25,000—9-11-32.

Block 7

Rack No.

Shelf No.

Serial No. 304

General No. 29666

304

Microfilm process

Book 152

From:- 1854

To:- 1856

52

From 3rd Jan. 1854
To 9th Dec 1856.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Proofs	When Issued	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom Served	When returned	Remarks
1	O.S. No. 654 of 1852 in the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoar Notice	Vencatasoob Boo. Moodely residing at Poonamally of the same Talook or in House No. 13 in Teroopully Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff 1 Mooneyeppa Moodely, 2 Vadavally Unmal residing in the House No. 49 in Govindappa Naicks Street at Peddoo naicks Pettah, 3 Cundasawmy Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 51 in General Mootoya Moodely Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah, 4 Baugheerady Unmal residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 49 in Aucharuppen Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah, 5 Moorooga a Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in House No. 2 in Nummalvar Street at Peddoo naicks Pettah, 6 Soobaraya Moodely his Heirs Mootoosawmy Moodely Cundasawmy Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in House No. 10 in Chenga bazar street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah, 7 Iyasawmy Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 2 in Nummalvar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah - Defendant	6th Defendants Heir Mootoosawmy Moodely residing in house No. 10 in Chenga bazar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras That the Plaintiffs Vakeel has presented a Motion representing you and Cundasawmy Moodely to be the surviving heirs of the 6th Defendant who died after the institution of this Suit by the Plaintiff against him and other Defendants to recover from them his portion due to one Share out of 8 Shares of a house Lackraj back-yard Ground and two wells situate at Poonamally subject to this Court Jurisdiction and also of all lands Maulgoazary and Lackraj belonging to 1/2 of one out of 26 whole shares of the said Village being the property common to himself your father and other Defendants and valued at Rupees 122-9-7 and requesting the Court to conduct the Suit against you and the Cundasawmy Moodely as Heirs of the 6th Defendant and require you to appear and to deliver an answer to the said Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	Jany 2d	Novr 4	Jany 3d	1854	Rs 122 as 9 p 7	Within 8 days after service as 15 days if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 30 days if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 1 month if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 2 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 3 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 4 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 5 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 6 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 7 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 8 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 9 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 10 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 11 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 12 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 13 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 14 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 15 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 16 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 17 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 18 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 19 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 20 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 21 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 22 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 23 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 24 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 25 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 26 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 27 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 28 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 29 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 30 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 31 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 32 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 33 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 34 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 35 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 36 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 37 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 38 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 39 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 40 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 41 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 42 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 43 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 44 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 45 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 46 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 47 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 48 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 49 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 50 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 51 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 52 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 53 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 54 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 55 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 56 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 57 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 58 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 59 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 60 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 61 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 62 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 63 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 64 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 65 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 66 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 67 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 68 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 69 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 70 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 71 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 72 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 73 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 74 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 75 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 76 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 77 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 78 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 79 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 80 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 81 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 82 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 83 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 84 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 85 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 86 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 87 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 88 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 89 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 90 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 91 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 92 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 93 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 94 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 95 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 96 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 97 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 98 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 99 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 100 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 101 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 102 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 103 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 104 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 105 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 106 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 107 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 108 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 109 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 110 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 111 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 112 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 113 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 114 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 115 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 116 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 117 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 118 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 119 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 120 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 121 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 122 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 123 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 124 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 125 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 126 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 127 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 128 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 129 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 130 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 131 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 132 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 133 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 134 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 135 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 136 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 137 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 138 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 139 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 140 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 141 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 142 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 143 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 144 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 145 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 146 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 147 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 148 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 149 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 150 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 151 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 152 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 153 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 154 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 155 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 156 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 157 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 158 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 159 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 160 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 161 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 162 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 163 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 164 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 165 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 166 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 167 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 168 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 169 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 170 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 171 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 172 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 173 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 174 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 175 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 176 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 177 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 178 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 179 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 180 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 181 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 182 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 183 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 184 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 185 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 186 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 187 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 188 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 189 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 190 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 191 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 192 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 193 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 194 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 195 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 196 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 197 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 198 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 199 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 200 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 201 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 202 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 203 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 204 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 205 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 206 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 207 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 208 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 209 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 210 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 211 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 212 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 213 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 214 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 215 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 216 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 217 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 218 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 219 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 220 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 221 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 222 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 223 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 224 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 225 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 226 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 227 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 228 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 229 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 230 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 231 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 232 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 233 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 234 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 235 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 236 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 237 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 238 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 239 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 240 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 241 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 242 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 243 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 244 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 245 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 246 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 247 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 248 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 249 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 250 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 251 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 252 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 253 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 254 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 255 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 256 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 257 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 258 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 259 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 260 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 261 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 262 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 263 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 264 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 265 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 266 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 267 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 268 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 269 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 270 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 271 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 272 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 273 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 274 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 275 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 276 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 277 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 278 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 279 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 280 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 281 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 282 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 283 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 284 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 285 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 286 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 287 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 288 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 289 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 290 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 291 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 292 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 293 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 294 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 295 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 296 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 297 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 298 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 299 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 300 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 301 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 302 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 303 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 304 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 305 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 306 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 307 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 308 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 309 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 310 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 311 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 312 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 313 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 314 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 315 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 316 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 317 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 318 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 319 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 320 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 321 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 322 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 323 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 324 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 325 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 326 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 327 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 328 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 329 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 330 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 331 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 332 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 333 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 334 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 335 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 336 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 337 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 338 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 339 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 340 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 341 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 342 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 343 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 344 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 345 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 346 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 347 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 348 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 349 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 350 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 351 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 352 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 353 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 354 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 355 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 356 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 357 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 358 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 359 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 360 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 361 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 362 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 363 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 364 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 365 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 366 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 367 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 368 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 369 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 370 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 371 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 372 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 373 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 374 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 375 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 376 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 377 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 378 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 379 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 380 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 381 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 382 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 383 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 384 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 385 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 386 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 387 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 388 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 389 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 390 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 391 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 392 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 393 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 394 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 395 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 396 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 397 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 398 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 399 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 400 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 401 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 402 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 403 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 404 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 405 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 406 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 407 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 408 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 409 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 410 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 411 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 412 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 413 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 414 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 415 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 416 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 417 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 418 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 419 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 420 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 421 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 422 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 423 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 424 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 425 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 426 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 427 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 428 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 429 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 430 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 431 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 432 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 433 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 434 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 435 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 436 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 437 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 438 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 439 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 440 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 441 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 442 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 443 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 444 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 445 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 446 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 447 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 448 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 449 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 450 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 451 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 452 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 453 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 454 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 455 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 456 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 457 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 458 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 459 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 460 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 461 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 462 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 463 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 464 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 465 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 466 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 467 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 468 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 469 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 470 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 471 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 472 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 473 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 474 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 475 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 476 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 477 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 478 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 479 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 480 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 481 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 482 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 483 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 484 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 485 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 486 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 487 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 488 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 489 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 490 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 491 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 492 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 493 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 494 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 495 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 496 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 497 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 498 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 499 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 500 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 501 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 502 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 503 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 504 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 505 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 506 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 507 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 508 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 509 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 510 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 511 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 512 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 513 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 514 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 515 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 516 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 517 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 518 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 519 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 520 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 521 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 522 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 523 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 524 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 525 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 526 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 527 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 528 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 529 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 530 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 531 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 532 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 533 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 534 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 535 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 536 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 537 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 538 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 539 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 540 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 541 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 542 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 543 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 544 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 545 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 546 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 547 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 548 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 549 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 550 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 551 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 552 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 553 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 554 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 555 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 556 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 557 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 558 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 559 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 560 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 561 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 562 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 563 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 564 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 565 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 566 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 567 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 568 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 569 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 570 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 571 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 572 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 573 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 574 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 575 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 576 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 577 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 578 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 579 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 580 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 581 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 582 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 583 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 584 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 585 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 586 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 587 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 588 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 589 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 590 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 591 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 592 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 593 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 594 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 595 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 596 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original Notice and translation and 597 months if sent to the out station being allowed on the original

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Date of Receipt in the Office	When returnable	Amount to appear	By whom to be served	When returned	Remarks
					1854	1853	1854	Rs as P			1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13 January 1854
2	O.S. No. 51 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiff Court of Trepassoor	Chedumbara Moodely residing in house No. 26 in the eastern line in Ayyalore Moottya Moodely street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a suburb of Madras - Plaintiff - Veerammane Ummal residing in the Village of Kyvullepoorum adjacent to Caullavoor in the Talook of Sanger or of Caulatee Pettah in the Talook of Sydah Pettah - Defendant	Witness Tyjoor Teroovengada Moodely residing in house No. 103 in the northern line in Annah Pillay's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras To give evidence on the part of the Petitioner -	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	Jany 9th	Dec. 31st	Jany 11	"	"	Within 6 days after Service	V Parthasarthy	Jany Served personally on the within named Witness on the 27th January 1854 by the 30th duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him -
		Summons									
					1854	1853	1854	Rs as P			1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th January 1854
3	O.S. No. 177 of 53 on the file of the Salem 1st Class District Moonsiff Court	Mr. A. Snell deceased / son of Capt. Snell by birth an Indo Briton by profession a Merchant residing at Madras Plaintiff	Plaintiff Mrs. A Snell the widow of Mr. A Snell residing at Chindadrapett at Madras That Mr. J. Bantleman of Salem has presented a petition representing that the said Moonsiff has passed a decision exonerating the 2nd and 3rd Deft. from all liability in the said Suit and holding the 1st Deft. alone liable for 280 Rupees the Petitioner further states that as he (Mr. Bantleman) and the late Plff your husband were Co partners and the latter had executed an agreement to the Petitioner authorising him to collect all debts due to him (Plff) the Petitioner / Mr. Bantleman / therefore requests to be permitted to file an Appeal from the above decision on behalf of himself and his late partner and requires you to appear & object to the above Petition if you deny that the debt was due to the Firm of which Mr. Bantleman declares that he is the only surviving partner -	Salem Zillah Civil Court	Jany 18th	Dec. 23rd	Jany 23rd	280	"	Within 30 days after Service	Do	Jany Served personally on the within named Plaintiff Mrs. A Snell on the 27th January 1854 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her but that she has refused to affix her signature to the Notice
		1 Mrs. D Chatlier husband name unknown by birth an Indo Briton & by profession a Merchant and her sons 2 Mr. A Chatlier, 3 Mr. O Chatlier - Defendants									
		Notice			1854	1854	1854	Rs as P			1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th January 1854
4	A.S. No. 8 of 1854 on the file of the Court of Sudr Udalut	Rajah Toolajaram Lala residing at Valub Aggraharum attachee to Trepliecane a Suburb of Madras - Appellant	1st Respondent Ameer Ud Dawlah Mahomed Tuckee Hossain Khan Ameer Jung Bahadoor residing at Royapettah a Suburb of Madras That you & the 2nd and 7th Respondents having failed to appear and receive the Sum of 7 Rupees being part of the amount deposited by the Appellant in the Treasury of the Court of Sudr Udalut for your actual Costs in the above Appeal and require you to appear and receive the said Sum within 3 Months after the service of this Notice on you and in the event of your failing to come forward and receive the said Sum it will be paid into General Treasury.	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	Jany 21st	Jany 21st	Jany 23rd	7	"	Within 3 Months after Service	Do	Feby Served personally on the within named 2nd 1st Respondent on the 1st February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him -
		Notice									
		⊗ 7 Ameer Doulah Begum 8	Ameer Ud Dowlah Mahommud Tuckee Hossain Khan Ameer Jung Bahadoor residing at Royapettah a Suburb of Madras his younger brothers 2 Unnoorolla Khan Bahadoor, 3 Faidoolleeyer Mahomed Akbar Padshah and 4 Ryderally Khan 5 Moomtaz Begum 6 Tayoonnissa Baigum & Roushoideen nissa Baigum, 9 Oomara Baigum 10 Camaroon nissa Baigum 11 Allish Baigum residing at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Respondents									

No. of Letter	Proceedings on the File of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Process issued	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom Served	When returned	Remarks
4	A.S.No.87 of 1844 on the file of the Court of Sudr Udalut Notice	Rajah Toolajaram Sahb residing at Valub Aggraharam attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Appellant 1 Ameer Ud Dawlah Mahomd Imaid Tuckee Boafsain Khan Ameer Jung Bahadoor residing at Royapettah a Suburb of Madras his younger brother 2 Unsoorollah Khan Bahadoor 3 Jaudosdeen Mahomed Aclar Badshah Bydera Ally Khan & Moomatlany Begum, 6 Fajoom Nissa Begum, 7 Ameer Un Nissa Begum, 8 Koar Shoodeen Nissa Begum, 9 Comara Begum, 10 Comaroon Nissa Begum, 11 Alliah Begum residing at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Respondents	2d Respondent Unsoorollah Khan Bahadoor residing at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. That you and the 1st and 2d Respondents having failed to Appear and receive the Sum of 7 Rupees being part of the Amount deposited by the Appellant in the Treasury of the Court of Sudr Udalut for your actual Costs in the said Appeal and require you to Appear before the said Court and receive the said Sum within 3 Months after the service of this Notice on you and in the event of your failing to come forward and receive the said Sum it will be paid into the General Treasury.	Sudder Dewanny Adawlut Madras	1854 Jany 21	1854 Jany 21st	1854 Jany 23d	7	Within 3 Months after Service	By Parthasarathy	Feby 7	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th January 1854 Served personally on the within named 2d Respondent on the 1st February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
3	A.S.No.87 of 1844 on the file of the Court of Sudr Udalut Notice	Do	7th Respondent Ameer Un nissa Baigum residing at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. That you and the 1st and 2d Respondents having failed to appear and receive the Sum of 7 Rupees being part of the amount deposited by the Appellant in the Treasury of the Court of Sudr Udalut for your actual Costs in the above Appeal and require you to Appear before the said Court and receive the said Sum within 3 Months after the service of this Notice on you and in the event of your failing to come forward and receive the said Sum it will be paid into the General Treasury.	Do	1854 Jany 21st	1854 Jany 21st	1854 Jany 23d	7	Within 3 Months after Service	Do	Feby 7	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th January 1854 Served personally on the within named 7th Respondent on the 1st February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her.
5	O.S.No.148 of 1852 on the file of the Moottoo Swamy Mudelly Court & A.S.No.2 of 1854 on the file of the Court Notice	Tolay Chetty of Chinna Nattum attached to Chingleput Appellant and Sevaganny Unmuny of Cheppauk a Suburb of Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Sevaganny Unmuny residing in House No. 113 in Chetty Street at Cheppauk a Suburb of Madras. Informing you that the 1st Defendant being dissatisfied with the Original Decree passed in the above suit preferred an appeal thereon and requires you to appear and file your answer to the said appeal Petition.	Chingleput Zillah Court	1854 Jany 23	1854 Jany 23	1854 Jany 25	"	"	Do.	Feby	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25 January 1854 Served personally on the within named 2d Respondent on the 31st January 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her.
6	O.S.No.160 of 1853 in the Court of Subordinate Judge in the Zillah of Rajahmundry Notice	Bollapragada Ramapa of Moottyalapetta a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Bollapragada Camaraz alias Caunmah of Moottyalapetta a Suburb of Madras, 2 Hydwoll Ramanah now of Moottyalapetta a Suburb of Madras. Defendants	1st Defendant Bollapragada Camaraz alias Caunmah residing at Moottyalapetta a Suburb of Madras That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Subordinate Court against you, and the other Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of 2 Candies 17 Maunekas 29 Cooles of Land at Cocanada yielding annually 30 Rs. Towards Godowns &c. therein contained fetching a rent of 60 Rs a month and past years produce 30 Rs and rents 720 Rs from 1st January to 31st December 1853 together with subsequent Rents & Costs of Suit, as the property sued for is located within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and requiring you to appear and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Rajahmundry Zillah Subordinate Court	1854 Jany 20th	1854 Jany 19th	1854 Jany 26th	750	"	"	Within 6 weeks after Service	Do	Jany 30th	1854 Chief Justice 27th January 1854 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 28th January 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Proof returned	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom Borne	When returned	Remarks
6	O.S. No. 160 of 1853 on the file of the Court of the Subordinate Judge in the Zillah of Rajahmundry Notice	Bollapragada Ramapa of Moottiyalapetta a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff Bollapragada Caumaraze alias Caumiah of Moottiyalapettah a Suburb of Madras 2 Mydavolu Ramana now of Moottiyalapetta a Suburb of Madras — Defendants	2d Defendant Mydavolu Ramana now residing at Moottiyalpetta a Suburb of Madras — That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Subordinate Court against you and the other Defendant &c. aforesaid for the recovery of Candies 7 17 manpekus and 9 Cuddas of land at Bocanadu yielding annually 30 Rs, houses, Godowns &c. fetching a rent of 60 Rs a month and past years produce 30 Rs and Rents 720 Rs from 1st January to 31st December 1853 together with subsequent produce, rents and Costs of Suits as the property sued for is located within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and requiring to appear and deliver an answer to the Plaint —	Rajahmundry Zillah Subordinate Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs 0 as 0				1854	Chief Justice 27th January 1854
					Jany 20	Jany 19	Jany 26	750 " "	Within 6 weeks after Service		Jany 30	Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 28th January 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
7	Cause No 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	N. Baule Chetty alias Nullatumboo Chetty now of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 N. Pardasarady Chetty of the same place 2 Moottyalu Naick 3 Chinnatumby Naick of Pareya Moollavoyel in the Talook of Nayer Defendants	1st Defendant Pardasarady Chetty son of Narahuree Goorroovuppa Chetty residing in house No 68 in the west side of Pedareyal Pagoda's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepute against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of his 4 Shares of 8 undivided Meerasee Pasoongary Village Shares yielding Annually Rupees 522-5-8 and also of Caunemaneyem Cooppatum and and Toondooranum yielding Rupees 100 out of whole 12 Shares of the Villages of Coodepullum & Chinnamoollevoyel in the Talook of Nayer situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court as well as of Half of a House Cattle Shed & trees &c. in the said Village of Coodeepullum and of Rupees 115-11-4 being half a share of the Amount of Decree in Cause No 208 of 1849 of the Trepassoor District Moonsiffs Court & require you to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint —	Chinglepute Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs 0 as 0	1854			1854	Chief Justice 28th January 1854
					Jany 25	Jany 25	Jany 27	— " "	February 9th	Do	Feby 3	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 2d February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
8	A.S. No 1 of 1851 on the file of the Sub Court of Combaconum Notice	1 Aroonachella Chetty alias Aroonachella Pillay, 2 Kistna Pillay of Caroondattangoody Mandaradoty Street in the Talook of Tirroovady — Appellants Sewasangara Davay now living in upper part of the terraced House No 80 in the western line of the Southern Street of Stree Vyagambaraswamy Pagoda at Madras Respondent	Respondent Sewasungara Davay now residing in the upper part of the house No 80 in the western line of the Southern Street of Stree Vyagambaraswamy Pagoda at Madras — That the Appellants have preferred an Appeal against the decision of the District Moonsiff of Papanasem in O.S No 277 of 1849 awarding you Rupees 146-9-2 the value of a Shop and its Site together with certain Arrears of Rent and require you to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint	Combaconum Zillah Subordinate Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs 0 as 0	1854			1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30 January 1854
					Jany 26	Jany 26	Jany 30	146 9 2	February 26th	Do	Feby 6	Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 4th February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

No.	Serial No.	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Receipt thereof	Issued When	Amount			Parties when to appear	By whom	Served When returned	Remarks
9		Suit No. 185 of 1852 on the file of the late Hoondee Sudr Ameens Court & Mad. No. 572 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Syed Easub Saib son of Syda Meyah Saib residing at Chittoor - Plaintiff / Puckeer Mohamed Kateda Miandithal Ally Yacoob Saib, 2 Pooranoo Theen Saib now residing in a house without No. situated in front of the Taliars Tanah in Peters Street at Royapettah the 5th Division of Madras - Defendants	1st Defendant Pakeer Mohamed Kateda Miandithal Ally Yacoob Saib residing in a house without No. situated in front of the Taliars Tanah in Peters Street at Royapettah the 5th Division of Madras That the Petition presented by the Plaintiffs Vakeel praying to recover from you and the 2d Defendant the sum of Rs 108-12-3 Viz. Rupees 89-12 being the Amount of the Decree, Rs 14-5-3 Costs of Suit, Rs 4-3-- Interest, & Annas 8 value of Stamp Papers on which the Motion and Vakalutnamah were written by the sale of the mortgaged Shop situated in Venealaghery in the Zillah of Chittoor was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court in the Zillah of Chittoor and Require you to attend & shew Cause why the Decree should not be executed -	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	1854	1853	1854	Rs 108	As 12	P 3	Within 15 days after service from the date annexed the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being affixed to the door of the Defts house	Parthasarathy	Feb 10 1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 30th January 1854 The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the duplicates of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9th February 1854 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house -
		Suit No. 185 of 1852 on the file of the late Hoondee Sudr Ameens Court Md. No. 572 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Do	2d Defendant Pooranootheen Saib residing in a house without No. situate in front of the Taliars Tanah in Peters Street at Royapettah the 5th Division of Madras. That the Petition presented by the Plaintiffs Vakeel praying to recover from you and the 1st Deft the Sum of Rs 108-12-3 Viz. Rs 89-12-- being the Amount of the Decree, Rs 14-5-3 Costs of Suit, Rs 4-3 Interest, and Annas 8 value of stamp Papers on which the Motion and Vakalutnamah were written by the sale of the mortgaged Shop situated in Venkatighery in the Zillah of Chittoor and require you to attend and Shew Cause why the Decree should not be executed -	Do And refer to the District Moonsiffs Court in the Zillah of Chittoor	1854	1853	1854	Rs 108	As 12	P 3	Do	Do	Feby 10 1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 30th January 1854 The within named 2d Defendant not having been found the Duplicates of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9th February 1854 affixed on the outerwall of his dwelling house -
10		Cause No. 10 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Partasarady acharry of Calatoor of Chingleput Taluk Plaintiff / 1 Seeyalo acharry, 2 Soobaray Charry, 3 Tondavaroya Charry, 4 Appacutty acharry, of Calatoor or Wayaloor the said Taluk, 5 Vurdacharyar of St. Thome a Suburb of Madras Defendants	5th Defendant Vurdacharryar son of Seroomiloor Pagubdevaty Soondara vurdacharyar residing in the south side or west side of Madaothee near Cabaliswarun's Pagoda Tank at St. Thome a Suburb of Madras That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput against you and the other Defendants to recover from you 6 5/12 Cawny of Mahgoozary Nunjah Land assessed yielding Annually Rupees 2-6-6 being his Share according to the Deed of Division situated in the above said Village of Callatoor within this Zillah the said Share having been Conditionally Mortgaged to you by the 1st Defendants father and require to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint -	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs	As	P	1854 February 17		1854 Feby 14	The Honorable The Chief Justice 10th Feby 1854 Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 13th February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Dated of Letters	Process issued	Writ received	Amount	Bailiffs	Date of Service	When returned	Remarks
11	O.S. No. 45 of 1854 on the file of the Court of the Moosiee Sudr Ameen	Ramakistnama rajah Residing in Croolaspeir street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff	1st Defendant Balakistnama Rajah son of Ayspor Vencato Rajah residing in House No. 18 in the western line in Verasawmy Pillays street adjacent to 7 wells situate at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.	Chingleput Civil Court	1854 Feby 16th	1854 Feby 13th	1854 Feby 17th	Rs 85 " "			1854 Feby 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th Feby 1854
	Notice	Balakistnamarajah & 2 Sarabajarajah Residing in Vellasalsing Pillays street adjacent to 7 wells situate at the said Pettah Defendants	That the Plaintiff has instituted a suit in the Mooslee Sudr Ameens against you and 2nd Defendant for the recovery of Kunjah Poonjah, Village Maneyem and other lands pertaining to half share of one out of 8 whole shares of the Village of Sembankum which is alleged to have been sold to him by you and 2nd Defendant for 85 Rupees under a Deed executed on the 29th December 1852 corresponding with the 17th Margaly of the year Pareedavy but not put in his possession with an entry of his name in the Public accounts. And Requiring you to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.					Within 8 days of the Service or within 15 days from the date on which the Duplicate of the Notice being of same tenor and date with the original shall be delivered to the 1st Defendant.	V Parthasarathy	1854 Feby 28th		Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 18th February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him
	O.S. No. 45 of 1854 on the file of the Court of the Moosiee Sudr Ameen	Do	2nd Defendant Sarabajarajah son of Rajagopalarajah Elder brother of 1st Defendant residing in House No. 18 in the western line in Verasawmy Pillays Street adjacent to 7 wells situate at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras		1854 Feby 16th	1854 Feby 13th	1854 Feby 17th	Rs 85 " "	Do	Do	1854 Feby 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th Feby 1854
	Notice		That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Mooslee Sudr Ameens Court against you and the 1st Defendant for the recovery of Kunjah Poonjah Village moneyem and other lands pertaining to half share of one out of 8 whole shares of the Village of Sembankum which is alledged to have been sold to him by you and 1st Defendant for 85 Rupees under a deed executed on the 29th December 1852 Corresponding with 17th Margaly of the year Pareedavee but not put in his possession with an entry of his name in the Public accounts and require you to attend and to deliver an Answer to the said Plaint.					Within 8 days of the service or within 15 days from the date on which the Duplicate of the Notice being of same tenor and date with the original shall be delivered to the 2nd Defendant.	Do	1854 Feby 28th		Served personally on the within named 2nd Defendant on the 18th February 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
12	O.S. No. 471 of 1853 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor	Chengaram Pillay son of Pallavaram Cundapillay residing in House No. 147 in the South side in Vycontavaradayar street adjacent to Elephant Gate at Madras Plaintiff	Witness Cavaray Venkatasawmy Naick, Shroff in the Mint at Madras, residing in House No. 2 in the Southern line in Ponnuppeis lane attached to Ottawada street adjacent to Elephant Gate at Madras.	Do.	1854 Feby 24th	1854 Feby 17th	1854 Feby 27th	" " "	Within 5 days after the same	Do	1854 March 2nd	The Honorable The Chief Justice 28th Feby 1854
	Summons	Chinnatumby Moodily of Nattam Shotreyem Village in the Talook of Paryapauliem Defendant	Requiring to appear and give Evidence on the part of the Plaintiff.									Served personally on the within named Witness on the 2nd March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Precept returned	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom Served When returned	Remarks
13	O.S. No. 39 of 1846 on the file of the Civil Court A.S. No. 97 of 1850 S.A.S. No. 50 of 1852 M.P. No. 57 of 1854 Summons	1 Veerasawmy Moodely, 2 Chinnatumbe Moodely of Pootloor in the Talook of Pareyapauliem Special Appellants 1 Venkataragavachareyar, 2 Streenevasaragachareyar, 3 Veerachchareyar, 4 Veerasawmy, 5 Seronoloor Appemeyar of the said Pootloor Special Respondent Poovah Reddy Merasseedar of Davundavaukum in the Talook of Pareyapauliem of Madras Petitioner	1st Witness Rungasawmy Moodely of Vatteeandoo residing in House No. 27 in Office Moottiya Moodely's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear and give evidence on the part of the Petitioner	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 March 1st	1854 March 1st	1854 March 8th	"	Within 5 days after service	By Parties Atty	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 3rd March 1854 March 8th Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 7th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him
a	O.S. No. 39 of 1846 on the file of the Civil Court A.S. No. 97 of 1850. S.A.S. No. 50 of 1852 M.P. No. 57 of 1854 Summons	——— Do ———	2d Witness Colapunjary Paramasewa Moodely residing in House No. 16 in Office Moottiya Moodely's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear and give evidence on the part of the Petitioner	Do	1854 March 1st	1854 March 1st	1854 March 3d	"	Within 5 days after service	Do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 3rd March 1854 March 8th Served personally on the within named 2d Witness on the 7th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him
14	O.S. No. 278 of 1851 on the file of the Mooftee Sudr Ameens Court A.S. No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Appasawmy Moodely of Calattoor in the Talook of Sydapettah Appellant 3d Defendant, Toolasee Ummal widow of Calattoor Terooveengada Moodely of Choolay a Suburb of Madras Respondent Plff	Respondent, Toolasee Ummal widow of Calattoor Terooveengada Moodely residing in House No. 2 in the Northern line in Aumundo Kistniar Street at Veerunnen tottum situate at Choolay a Suburb of Madras. Informing you that the said 3 Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Mooftee Sudder Ameen in the Suit his Vakeel has preferred an Appeal therefrom and require you to appear to file your Answer to the said Appeal	Do	1854 March 1st	1854 March 1st	1854 March 3d	"	Within 5 days after service	Do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 3rd March 1854 March 17th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 16th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice & translation being delivered to her
15	Appeal Suit No. 9 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Vellum Appiah Sastry an inhabitant of Caurmoor in the Talook of Rapully Appellant Plaintiff 1 Caurmoory Venkapa, 3 Do Appana Inhabitants of the same Village, 2 Caurmoory Chellapa at present residing at Peddoo noydoopetta in Madras Respondent Defendant	2d Defendant Caurmoory Chullapa residing at Peddenoydoopetta in Madras. That a bond executed by the 1st Respondent 1st Defendant to Moolpoor Chetumbarum in Guntoor within the Jurisdiction of the Civil Court of Guntoor during the time the 3 Defendants lived as an undivided family for Rupees 100-8-0 being the balance due on account of previous dealings and Rupees 135-0-0 then received for the purpose of building a tiled house, making a total of Rupees 235-8-0 mortgaging the whole of their property real and personal	Guntoor Zillah Civil Court	1854 March 4th	1854 March 3d	1854 March 7th	Rs 360 14 11	March 23d	Do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 7th March 1854 March 10th Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 9th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Proceedings when received	Amount	Parties intents to appear	By whom served When returned	Remarks
			having been transferred by the said Chetumbarum to Maunekarow Jungunnarow and by him to the present Appellant Plaintiff he preferred a Suit in No. 216 of 1853 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Guntoor for the recovery of Rupees 360-14-11 being the balance of principal with equal interest and that the Moofly Sudr Ameen having passed a decree dismissing the Claim & fining the Appellant in the Sum of 30 Rupees the Appellant has preferred an Appeal to the said Court against you & the other Defendants Respondents praying for a decree revising that above alluded to & directing the payment to him of the Amount of his Claim together with the costs in both Courts & the fine and require you to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Appeal	Guntoor Dist. Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854			The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 7th March 1854
									V Parthasarathy	
					March 4th	March 3d	March 7th	360 14 11		March 23d
16	O.S. No. 181 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Nellore Notice	Bommisetti Musalamsetti of Cottapattam in the Talook of Ongole Plaintiff 1 Vutucuree Ramanjulo of the same place now living in the Street of Tanda Mutteyeppa situated at Peddinaickpetta a Suburb of Madras. 2 Vutucuree Venkatasami 3 Do. Maholakshmamma of Cottapattam in the Talook of Ongole. Defendants	1st Defendant Vutucuree Ramanujulo residing in No. 43 in the northern line of Tanda Mutteyeppa Street situated at Peddinaickpetta at Madras. That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court in the zillah of Nellore against you & the other Defendants for the recovery of Rupees (1537-4-3) one thousand five hundred & fifty seven & three Pice due upon a bond executed by you who was a head member of the family to the Plaintiff mortgaging your tiled Godown its door &c. situated on the Sea Coast attached to Razupatam within the Jurisdiction of the said Zillah on the 9th November 1849 or 26th Alpisi of the year Sowmiya at Madras and require you to attend & to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Nellore Principal Sudr Ameens Court	March 4th	March 4th	March 9th	1537-4-3	March 20th	Do.	March 14th	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 13th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.
					1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th March 1854
"	O.S. No. 260 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Nellore Notice	Annam Chenna Kasavulo Setti of Cottapatam in the Talook of Ongole now at Madras. Plff Vutucuree Ramanjulo of the place now in the Street of Tandamoattiyeppa at Madras 2 Vutucuree Veyeatasami 3 Do. Mahalakshmamma, 4 Bommisetty Musalamsetti of Cottapattam in the Talook of Ongole. Defendants	1st Defendant Vutucuree Ramanjulo residing in No. 43 in the Northern line of Tanda Mutteyeppa Street situated at Peddinaickpettah at Madras That the Plff has instituted a Suit in the Princl. Sudr Ameens Court of Nellore against you & the other Defts for the recovery of Rupees 1479-9-11 upon a bond executed by you to the Plff mortgaging your Godown its door &c. & some open ground which stands on the west side of it situated on the Sea Coast attached to the Village of Razupattam within the Jurisdiction of the said Zillah on the 29th October 1849 or 15th Alpisi of the year Sowmiya at Madras and require you to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint	Do	March 4th	March 4th	March 9th	1479-9-11	March 20th	Do.	March 14th	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 13th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Page of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Praed. & Mandamus	When received	Amount	Parties sent to after	By whom Served	When returned	Remark
16	O.S. No. 286 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Parchuree Dharmaya of Cottapatam in the Talook of Ongole - Plaintiff V Vutukuree Ramanjulu son of Peda Narusu of of Razupatam in the Talook of Ongole now living in the Street of Tauda Mutteyeppa situated at Pedde Naick Pettah a Suburb of Madras 2 Do Venkatasami, 3 Do Mahalakshmi, 4 Bommesetti Musalamsetti of Razupatam in the Talook of Ongole Defendants	1st Defendant V Ramanujula residing in No. 43 in the Northern line of Tauda Mutteyeppa Street situated at Peddi Naickpetta at Madras. That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Nellore against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 570 due upon a Bond which was executed by you to the Plaintiff mortgaging your houses ground well &c. situated in the Village of Razupatam attached to the Jurisdiction of the said Zillah on the 24th June 1850 or the 15 Jaista Sudha of the year Sadarana at Madras and which was endorsed on the 10th July 1854 on its back by the 2d Defr. your younger brother at Rajupatam as to his admission of it & require you to attend & to deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Nellore & Madras Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1854 March 4	1854 March 4	1854 March 9	Rs 570	1854 March 20	V Parthasarathy	1854 March 14	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th March 1854 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 13th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice & translation being delivered to him.
17	Pauper Application No. 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Peddapoliem Mooneswamy Naick alias Peddaswamy Naick of Royapettah a Suburb of Madras. Pauper Petitioner	2d Defendant Iyaloo Naick residing in house No. 4 in Ramanjoo Iyers Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. That the Applicant has presented a Motion to obtain an Order to institute a Suit in forma Pauperis against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of a Share of the family property within the Zillah of Chingleput and require you to attend and to state your objection if any as to the Admission of the said Petitioner's Case in forma Pauperis.	Chingleput Zillah & Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1854 March 7	1854 March 6	1854 March 10	Rs	1854 March 21	Do	1854 March 22	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th March 1854 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 20th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice & translation being delivered to him.
18	O.S. No. 39 of 1846 on the file of the Civil Court & A.S. No. 97 of 1850 S.A.S. No. 150 of 1852 M.P. No. 73 of 1854 Summons	Veerasawmy Moodely, 2 Chinnathumby Moodely of Pooloor in Pareyapoliem Talook - Special Appellant 1 Venkataraghavachary 2 Streenivasaraghavachary, 3 Veerahahorry 4 Veerasawmiengar, 5 Perovaloor Appeniengar of the same Village Special Respondents Poovah Reddy Merasseedar of Davendavankum in the Talook of Pareyapauliem of Madras Petitioner	1st Witness Vutteeandoo Rungasawmy Moodely residing in House No. 27 in Office Moottey Moodely's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to give evidence on the part of the Petitioner	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 March 8	1854 March 8	1854 March 10	Rs	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1854 March 14	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th March 1854 Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 11th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.
"	O.S. No. 39 of 1846 on the file of the Civil Court A.S. No. 97 of 1850 S.A.S. No. 150 of 1852 M.P. No. 73 of 1854 Summons	Do	2d Witness Coluppenjary Paramaseva Moodely residing in House No. 16 in Office Moottey a Moodely's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to give evidence on the part of the Petitioner	Do	1854 March 8	1854 March 8	1854 March 10	Rs	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1854 March 14	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th March 1854 Served personally on the within named 2d Witness on the 11th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From whom sent	Date of Letter	Receipt thereof	When received	Amount	Parties relative to a/c/year	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
19	Cause No. 177 of 1850 & No. 75 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Cuddalore Paradosardy Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Ramasawmy Moodely, 2 Rungummall, 3 Patcheyeppa Moodely of the same place Defendants 4 Singaravaloo Moodely of the same place Supplemental Deft.	Supplemental Defendant Singaravaloo Moodely son of the deceased 1st Defendant residing in house No. 21 in Erooluppum's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. That the Plaintiff has presented a Motion praying for the sale of the Garden and other property at Codoongayoor within the Jurisdiction of the Zillah of Chingleput in Execution of the Decree passed in Suit No. 177 of 1850 and require you to attend and to state your objection why the said Property should not be sold by public Auction	Chingleput Principal Sudr Ameens Court.	1854	1854	1854	Rs As P	1854	V Parthasarthy	March 29th	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 14th March 1854 The within named Supplemental Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras-
					March 11th	March 11th	March 13th		March 26			
20	Cause No. 172 of 1853 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Istearnamah	Cunnegapare Cundasawmy Moodely of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff 1 Vengummal 2 Yagambara Moodely of the same place Defendants	1st Defendant Venummal the widow of the deceased Munnevack Venkatachella Moodely residing in house No. 9 in Venayaca Mastry's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. That the Plff thro' his Vakeel has instituted a Suit against you and your Son the 2d Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 84-1-9 including the interest due by you on a Mortgage Bond regarding 1 Share out of whole 16 shares of the Village of Munnevack of the Treporoor Talook in the Zillah as well as a Bill of sale of a House situated in the said Country and the Sheriff of the Supreme Court having returned the Notice with a letter to the effect that such Process was not served on you, you being then absent from your usual place of residence, Notice is hereby given that you do appear and give Answer to the said Plaint.	Do	1854	1854	1854	Rs As P	1854		March 17th	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 14th March 1854 March The Duplicate of the Original Istearnamah and translation were on the 16th March 1854 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 1st Defendant therein described-
					March 11th	March 11th	March 13th	84 1 9		Do		
21	No. 190 of 1850 on the file of the Court of Sudr Ameen Moofty of Nellore Notice	Mamidy Venkatalakshmi Plaintiff Mamidy Varadayya now residing in the house No. 41 in the western line of the Street of Govindappanaick at Peddinaidoopett in Madras- Defendant	Defendant Mamidy Varadayya Informing you that the Plaintiff having presented a Motion requesting to realize from you the Sum of Principal and interest of Rupees 236-4-0 due to her as per Razinamah filed in the Suit and require you to appear and to state why the warrant should not be executed against you-	Nellore Zillah Civil Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs As P	1854		March 25th	1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th March 1854 March Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 22 March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him
					March 14th	Feby 28th	Rec'd 17	236 4 0	March 28th	Do		

Within 15 days from the date of the duplicate of the Original Istearnamah and translation being affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 1st Defendant

Sl. Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Date of Proceedings thereon	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
22	Cause No. 51 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepute Notice	Auroomooga Moodely of Peddoo Naicko Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Namasevaya Moodely, 2 Appavoo Moodely, 3 Chetumbara Moodely of the same place Defendants	1st Defendant Namasevaya Moodely son of Saumenada Moodely residing in house No. 3 in the Malayappa Moodely's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you & other Defendants for the recovery of his 1/3 Share of the one paternal Meerasee Pungoo out of whole 6 Shares of the Village of Poarpundal of the Woottramaroor Talook within the Zillah of Chinglepute and also of the 1/4 Pungoo and ready money obtained according to the Decree of the Civil Court of Chinglepute in Appeal Suit No. 54 of 1850 in all pungoo 1/4 Consisting of Malgoozary and Lakherajee Nunjah and Poonjh &c. Lands and all Meerasee rights as well of the Tiled house, Cattle Shed wells, Cocoanut and Tamarind trees brass &c. Utensils Cattle &c. value & annually, yielding Rupees 342-14-9 and require you to attend & to deliver your Answer to the Plaint.	Chinglepute Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854	V Parthasara Mly	1854 April	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 27th March 1854 April 1st Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 31st March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
"	Cause No. 51 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepute Notice	Do	2nd Defendant Appavoo Moodely son of Saumenada Moodely residing in house No. 3 in the Malayappa Moodely's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepute against you & other Defendants for the recovery of his 1/3 Share of the one paternal Meerasee pungoo out of whole 6 Shares of the Village of Poarpundal of the Woottramaroor Talook within the Zillah of Chinglepute & also of the 1/4 pungoo & ready Money obtained according to the Decree of the Civil Court of Chinglepute in A.S. No. 54 of 1850 in all Pungoo 1/4 Consisting of Malgoozary & Lakherajee Nunjah & Poonjah Lands & all Meerasee rights as well as of the tiled house, Cattle Shed, wells, Cocoanut & Tamarind trees brass & utensils, Cattle &c. value & Annually yielding Rs. 342-14-9 and require you to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Do	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854	Do	1854 April	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 27th March 1854 April 1st Served personally on the within named 2nd Defendant on the 31st March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice
"	Cause No. 51 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepute Notice	Do	3rd Defendant Chetumbara Moodely son of Veerasawmy Moodely residing in house No. 84 in the Govindapah Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras That the Plff has instituted a Suit in the Princl. Sudr Ameens Court against you & other Defts. for the recovery of his 1/3 Share of one paternal Meerasee pungoo out of whole 6 Shares of the Village of Poarpundal of the Woottramaroor Talook within the Zillah of Chinglepute & also of the 1/4 Pungoo & ready money obtained according to the Decree of the Civil Court of Chinglepute in A.S. No. 54 of 1850 in all Pungoo 1/4 Consisting of Malgoozary & Lackerajee Nunjah & Poonjah Lands & all Meerasee rights as well as of the tiled house, Cattle Shed, wells, Cocoanut and Tamarend Trees Brass &c. Utensils Cattle &c. value and annually yielding Rs. 342-14-9 and require you to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint	Do	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854	Do	1854 April	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 27th March 1854 April 1st Served personally on the within named 3rd Defendant on the 31st March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Receipt when given	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
23	OS No. 89 of 1853 Masulipatam Civil Court's file Notice	Pillauresetty Venkata Runga mah of Butchpettahappertaining to the Town of Masulipatam - Plaintiff 1 Pillauresetty Venkatarayoloo Naidoo at present residing in Rajahmundry, 2 Do Narasimlu Naidoo of Butchoopettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam, 3 Do Paurdha Sauradhy Naidoo residing in the house No. 125 in the Street of Covoory Soondrum Moodelliar at Peddy Naidoopettah a Suburb of Madras - Defendants	3 Defendant Pillauresetty Paurdha Sauradhy Naidoo residing in the house No. 125 in the Street of Covoory Soondrum Moodelliar at Peddy Naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras That the Plff has instituted a Suit to obtain the privilege of selling her house situated in Butchoopettah in the Town of Masulipatam valued at about 300 Rupees to any one she may wish without let or hindrance from you or the other Defendants being fixed Inhabitants of this Pillah and require you to attend and to put in an Answer to the Plaint	Masulipatam Zillah & Civil Courts	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854			1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th March 1854
					March 23	March 23	March 27	" " "	April 10th	V Parthasarathy	April 1st	Served personally on the within named 1st & 3d Defendant on the 30th March 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him -
24	Pauper Appeal Suit No. 5 of 1846 on file of the Civil Court of Salem - Notice	1 Vaidom, 2 Seevagungay, 3 Mooroogasun, 4 Seeva Panckiem 5 Sookhambaul mother of the first 4 Appellants - Pauper Appellants 1 Appasawmy Moodelly, 2 Gheanaseekamany Moodelly 3 Dowaseekamany Moodelly Sons of the late Arroomooga Moodelly the District Moonsiff of Yadapandy lately residing at Salem but now occupying the house of Annasawmy Moodelly late manager of the Government Office in Podoocherroovoo at Mylapoor attached to Madras - Respondents	Respondents Appasawmy Moodelly Gheanaseekamany Moodelly and Dawaseekamany Moodelly - The 5th Pauper Appellant has presented a petition praying that the Arrears of Maintenance due to her under the above decree as here under particularized might be collected and paid to her Viz. Amount of Maintenance and value of Clothes including interest from the 20th March 1849 to the 4th March 1853 — Rs as P 227 2 3 Amount of Maintenance at 4 Rupees a Month from the 5th March 1853 to the 10th January 1854 — 40 12 9 Value of Clothes at 8 Rs 6 As and 1/2 Pice per Annum from the 5th March 1853 to the 10th January 1854 — 6 15 11 Interest due from the 1st April 1853 to the 20th March 1854 on the above 40 Rs 12 as 9 — 2 2 9 Value of Stamp paper used for Motion — 1 " " 278 1 8 Deduct Amount paid on the 10 June 1853 — 197 8 " Balance — 80 9 8 And require you to pay into the Court the above balance of Eighty Rupees Annas Nine and Pice Eight and indefault the payment of the sum in question will be enforced by the issue of a Warrant of arrest.	Salem Zillah Civil Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P 1				1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d March 1854
					March 25th	Feby 27th	March 28th	80 9 8	Within 30 days after Service	Do	May 10	Served personally on the within named 2d Respondent on the 5th May 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but the 1st and 3d Respondents also within named are not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras -

No.	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court.	Date of Letter	Recd. after Date	When received	Amount	Particulars to appear	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
25	Suit No. 331 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Amlapoor. Notice	Rednam Ramachendroodoo an Inhabitant at present of Amlapoor- Plaintiff / Ponnamunda Ramdas of Pauta Coringa in the division of Coringa. 2 Ponnamunda Venkatareddy now of Mooteyalapetta a Suburb of Madras- Defendants	2d Defendant Ponnamunda Venkatareddy residing in the house No. 66 in Veera Pillay's Street in Mooteyalapetta a Suburb of Madras That the Transfer Plaintiff Rednam Dhurmaroydoo of Cocanada presented a Petition in the District Moonsiffs Court of Amlapoor in the Zillah of Rajamundry praying that a Sum of Rs. 1596-13-5 may be Collected from you & from the 1st Defdt. residing at Coringa the same being the Amount & Subsequent Interest due under the Rayeenamah filed in the said Court in the Suit instituted for the recovery of the Amount of the Bond which you and the 1st Defendant had executed while residing at Pauta Coringa a Village situated within the jurisdiction of the said Court and require you to attend and to notify sufficient reasons (if you have any) why the Warrant should not be executed against you.	Rajahmundry Civil Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs 1596 13 5	1854 April 30	Pr Parthasarathy	1854 April 20	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th March 1854 / Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 19th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him-
"	O.S. No. 365 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Amlapoor Notice	Do	2d Ponnamunda Venkatareddy residing in the house No. 66 in Veera Pillays street in Mooteyalapetta a suburb of Madras. That the Transfer Plaintiff Rednam Dhurmaroydoo of Cocanada presented a petition in the District Moonsiffs Court of Amlapoor praying that a sum of Rs. 1611-6-9 may be Collected from you and from the 1st Defdt. residing at Coringa the same being the Amount & subsequent Interest due under the Rayeenamah filed in the said Court in the suit instituted for the recovery of the Amount of the Bond which you and the 1st Defdt. had executed while residing at Pauta Coringa a Village situated within the jurisdiction of the said Court and require you to attend & to notify Sufficient reasons (if you have any) why the Warrant should not be executed against you.	Do	March 23d	March 18th	March 29th	1611 6 9	April 30th	Do	April 20	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th March 1854 / Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 19th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him-
26	O.S.A.C. No. 119 of 1851 on the file of the O.S.A.Court & A.S. No. 180 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Streenevasa Pillay of Keelcuttady in the Talook of Sydapettah Appellant Defendant / Sashagherry Rangyer of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Sashagherry Rangyer son of Ramoorow residing in the west Street of Streeparthasaradi saumy Pagada at Triplicane. Informing you that the Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Moolsy Sudr Ameen in the Original Suit his Vakeel has preferred an Appeal therefrom and require you to appear and to file your Answer to the said Appeal.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	March 27th	March 27th	March 29th	a a a	Within 5 days after Service	Do	May 31st	The within named Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Process when issued	When received	Amount	Particulars to be given	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
27	O.S. No. 531 of 1857 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Chengulvaroya Pillay residing in house No. 22 in the western line in Cundasawmy Covil Street at Shunmoogaheanapoorum a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff. Soobbaroya Pillay Defendant he being dead his Heirs 1 Kistna Pillay, 2 Cunda Pillay, 3 Cunneya Pillay of Veeroogumbaukum in the Talook of Sydapettah	4th Witness Cundasawmy Pillay peon in the Marine Board & Son of Annapillay residing in House No. 36 in the western line in Varadah Moolleyen Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras To give evidence on the part of the Defendant	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 March 27th	1854 March 21st	1854 March 29th	Rs as P	Within 5 days after Service	Parthasarathy	1854 April 6th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th March 1854 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 4th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him
"	O.S. No. 531 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Do	5th Witness Mooneya Pillay Son of Mooneya Pillay residing in House No. 98 in the eastern line in Varadah Moolleyen's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To give evidence on the part of the Defendant	Do	1854 March 27th	1854 March 21st	1854 March 29th	Rs as P	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1854 April 6th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th March 1854 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 4th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translations being delivered to him
"	O.S. No. 531 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Do	9th Witness Ragava Pillay residing in house No. 7 in the Southern line in Tondavaroya Pillay's Street adjacent to Moollah Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras To give evidence on the part of the Defendant	Do	1854 March 27th	1854 March 21st	1854 March 29th	Rs as P	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1854 April 6th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th March 1854 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 4th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him
28	O.S. No. 68 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court of Chittoor Notice	Gasha Fakeer Saib residing at Daranampat in the Plaintiff Yacoob Saib his younger brother 2 Booranooddeen Saib formerly residing in the Village of Paradaramy attached to Yadowrendah Paliem in the Talook of Goodyattum & now occupy the house door No. 12 and trade in rice & other Articles in the Mundy Shop door No. 9 situated on the Southside of the Road leading to the Seehouse in the Meerjapettah attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Defendants	1st Defendant Yacoob Saib residing in the house door No. 12 and trading in the Mundy Shop door No. 9 situated on the Road leading to the Seehouse in the Meerjapettah attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. That the Plff has instituted a Suit in Sudr Ameen's Court of Chittoor against you & the other Defendant for the recovery of Rs. 112-0-0 being the Principal & Interest due upon the mortgage Bond executed by you & the other Defendants Booranooddeen Saib & one Dada Saib since deceased in favor of the Plff on the 31st Jany 1842 at Paradaraya Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court & require you to attend & to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	1854 March 30th	1854 March 25th	1854 April 1st	Rs 112 a P	April 10th	Do	April 12th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 3 April 1854 Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 10th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
"	O.S. No. 68 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court of Chittoor Notice	Do	2 Defendant Booranooddeen Saib residing in the house door No. 12 and trading in the Mundy Shop door No. 9 situated on the road leading to the Seehouse in the Meerjapetta attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Sudr Ameens Court against you & the other Defendant for the recovery of Rs. 112-0-0 being the principal and interest due upon the mortgage Bond executed by you and the other Defendants Yacoob Saib and one Dada Saib (since deceased) in favor of the Plaintiff on the 13th January 1842 at Paradaramy a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and require you to attend & to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do	1854 March 30th	1854 March 25th	1854 April 1st	Rs 112 a P	April 10th	Do	April 8th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 3 April 1854 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 6th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

No.	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Process issued	When received	Amount Rs	Amount As	Parties ordered to appear	By whom issued	When returned	Remarks
29	Notice issued by the Collector of Masulipatam		To Soodaresana Row at Madras	Masulipatam Collector's Office	1854	1854	1854					1854	

The Jewels which were produced by you before the Collector of Masulipatam under date 12th December 1851, with a petetion declaring that they had been throwen down before your Mother, by Hooggehelly Runganah having been required by the Civil Court for transmission to the Moofty Sudr Ameen's Court at Masulipatam in view to their being delivered to the Plaintiff and require to appear and to receive the Jewels alluded to above, failing to do, which you are further informed that those Jewels will be sent to the Court

Returned with the following Endorsement thereon by the Honorable The Chief Justice
"I cannot call on the Sheriff to Serve this Notice which does not appear to be issued by any Court, Judge or Magistrate in the Terrs. Vca." under S. I of Act XXIII of 1840.

(Signed) Christr. Rawlinson
C. J.

Madras 4th April 1854.

March 29th — March 29th — April 4th — Within One Month after Service — April 6th

No.	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Process issued	When received	Amount Rs	Amount As	Parties ordered to appear	By whom issued	When returned	Remarks
30	O.S. No. 654 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepasore	Notice			1854	1854	1854	Rs 122	As 9			1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th April 1854.

Venkatasoobboo Moodely residing at Poonamally of the same Talook or in house No. 13 in Seroopally Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff

1 Maureyeppa Moodely
2 Vadavully Ummaul residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 49 in Goundupparaicks Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 3 Cundasawmy Moodely he being dead his heir Samoodra Ummal residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 51 in General Mootleys Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras 4 Bangheeradoy Ummaul residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 49 in Ancharuppa Street at Peddoo Naicks Petta a Suburb of Madras 5 Moorooga sa Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 2 in Nummalwar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 6 Soobbaraya Moodely he being dead his heir Mootoosawmy Moodely Cundasawmy Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 10 in Chenga Bazar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras 7 Iyasawmy Moodely residing in the said Poonamally or in house No. 2 in Nummalwar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. — Defendants

To 3rd Defendant's Heir Samooddra Ummaul widow of the deceased 3rd Defendant Cundasawmy Moodely residing in house No. 51 in General Mootleys Moodelys Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a suburb of Madras.

That the Plaintiff has presented a Motion stating that you are the widow and Heir of the 3rd Defendant who died after the institution of this Suit by the Plaintiff Venkatasoobboo Moodely against him and other Defendants to recover from them his portion due to one share out of 8 Shares of a house Lackrajee backyard Ground and two wells (which are common to him your deceased husband and other Defendants situate at Poonamally subject to this Courts Jurisdiction) and also of all lands Mulgoojaree and Lackrajee belonging to 1/2 of one out of 26 whole Shares of the said Village altogether valued at Rupees 122-9-7 and that you are in the possession & enjoyment of his Estate and debts and protecting his son of 6 years old named Ponnoosawmy Moodely and requesting the Court therefore to Conduct the Suit against you as Heir of the 3rd Defendant and require you to appear and to Conduct the Suit in question.

(Signing Assistant to Clerk of Civil Court)

April 8th — March 31st — April 10th

Served personally on the within named 3rd Defendants Heir Samooddra Ummaul on the 18th April 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her.

V Parthasarathy

Within 15 days after service as from the date on which the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 3rd Defendants Heir.

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Proceedings stayed When received	Amount	Particulars of Suit &c &c	By whom demanded	When returned	Remarks
					1854	1854 1854	Rs As P			1854	
31	O.S. 221 of 1853 on the file of the Government Agents Court at Vizagapatam Notice	Motamurry Juggunnadhum at present residing at Madras - Plaintiff / 1 Navaloe Sait Juggunnad Doss Sawcar, 2 Navaloe Gopaldoss son of Juggunnad Doss Sawcar at present residing at Madras - Defendants	2d Defend Navaloe Gopaldoss son of Juggunnaddoss Sawcar at present residing at Madras That the Plaintiff Motamurry Juggunnadhum at present residing at Madras has preferred a Suit against you and 1st Deft Navaloe Sait Juggunnad doss Sawcar for the recovery of Rupees 8100 being the principal and interest due on a bond transferred to him and require you to appear and to defend the Suit -	Vizagapatam Government Agents Court -		April 8d	March 28d	April 17d	a a	48 days after Service	"	April 21st	1854 The Honorable Sir W W Burton declines to sign this Notice as no fact is stated in the proces shewing that the Defdt is subject to the Jurisdiction of the Court issuing it By Order / signed / G W L Ricketts Clerk to the Hon'ble Sir W W Burton
					1854	1854 1854	Rs As P			1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th April 1854
32	O.S. No. 74 of 1851 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court A.S. No. 28 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court - Notice	Paupa Moodelly of Chinna Canjeeveram - Appellant Plaintiff / Cadundarama Pillay, 2 Sellummay, 3 Vengoo Pillay & Venkatachella Moodely of the same place, 5 Soobbaroya Pillay of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Respondents Defts	5th Respondent Soobbaroya Pillay son of Alunjalapaukum Ponnapillay living in Bundy Venkatasa Naicks house in Venkatasa Naicks Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Informing you that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in the suit has preferred an Appeal therefrom and require you to appear and to file your Answer to the said Appeal -	Principal Sudr Ameens Court Chingleput & Civil Court Cuddalore		April 12th	April 12th	April 17th	a a	Within 5 days after Service	Venkatachellum Parthasarathy	June 23d	I served personally on the within named 5th Respondent on the 21st June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
					1854	1854 1854	Rs As P	1854		1854	
33	O.S. No. 670 of 1853 on the file of the Mohamedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Singoovagienchetty of Monojeeappa Chavady in the Talook of Paupanassem Plaintiff / 1 Venkatachellaputhy Chetty son of Ramapparamoodoo Chetty of the same place now living in the house of Shunmooga Moodeliar in Chepaukum Aroonachella aucharry Street at Madras, his undivided younger brother 2 Sashun Chetty and 3 Rookoo manyammal Mother and Guardian to Venien aged 3 years, son of deceased Basamy Chetty another undevided younger brother of the said Venkatachella puthy Chetty of Monojeeappa Chavady in the Talook of Paupanassem Defendants	1st Defendant Venkatachella puthy Chetty living in the house of Shunmooga Moodeliar in Chepaukum Aroonachella aucharry Street at Madras. That the Plaintiff has institute a Suit against you and the other Defendants in the Mohamedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum for the recovery of Rs 62-3-8 being the Principal & interest due on a Mortgage Bond dated June 1847 Aunny of Plavungal executed by you in the Telugoo language upon unstampt Cadjan pledging to him a Gold Ornament Jadamaly in 2 Sarums or Strings with 19 beeds as you failed to pay the Sum within the time specified in the said bond to take back the Jewel and require you to attend and to give answer to the Plaint -	Combaconum Principal & Civil Court &		April 17th	April 10th	April 20th	62	3 8	June 30th		April 21st	The Honorable Sir W. W. Burton declines to sign this Notice as no fact is stated in the proces shewing that the Defendant is subject to the Jurisdiction of the Court issuing it. By Order / signed / G. W. L. Ricketts Clerk to the Honorable Sir W W Burton

No. of Actions	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Action	Reply when to be filed	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom Issued	When returned	Remarks
34	O.S. No. 190 of 1853 on the file of the Mahandoo Sudr Ameen's Court of Combaconum Notice	Sabapathy Pillay son of Aroonachellapillay of Ponavassel in the Talook of Trivalore - Plaintiff 1 Ramasawmy pillay, 2 Chedumbara pillay, 3 Mootoasawmy pillay, 4 Chokyapillay sons of late Iyahpillay of Keelacattagum in the said Talook now residing at Maranpetta in the Jurisdiction of Karreical 5 Goondoo Soobboopillay alias Nagapatam Soobboopillay living in the house No. 83 in Yagatta Covil Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah attached to Madras - Defendants	5th Defendant Goondoo Soobboopillay alias Nagapatam Soobboopillay living in the house No. 83 in Yagattah Covil Street of Peddoo Naicks Pettah attached to Madras. That the Plff has instituted a Suit against you and the other Defendants for the transfer of Meerassee of 5 Valies 4 pullams and 62 and odd Goolies of land situated in the Village of Terroovaloor which was sold by the 1st Defe to the father of the Plff on the 14th Thy of Sowmiah or 25th January 1850 for Rs. 784 on a Bill of Sale upon Stamp Paper bearing date the 18th (Plff's father having died the Plff) instituted a Suit in No. 139 of 1852 in Keevalore Moonsiff's file against the 1st Defe for the transfer of Meerassee Registry in his name, that in the mean time the said land was attached for the amount of the Decree due to you in No. 9 of 1849 Sub Court file he Plff presented a Motion to the Sub Court representing the above circumstances thereupon an order was passed positively staying the Sale of the land & directing the Plff to include you as a Defe in the said Suit No. 139 which Suit was dismissed for default Consequently the Plff has instituted this and require you to attend and to give an Answer to the Plaint	Combaconum Zillah Civil Court.	1854	1854	1854	Rs as P	1854		1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 22d April 1854
						April 18	April 10	April 22	" " "	June 30	V Parthasarathy	May 10 — Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 8th May 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him
35	O.S. No. 66 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Rutnavaloo Chetty Durmacurtah of Seagaroyasawmy Pagoda at Terroovatteyoor in the Zillah of Chingleput residing in house No. 310 in the eastern line in Singhechetty Street at Moatteyaloo Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Plaintiff 1 Sashier, 2 Meenatchee Ummal, 3 Madava Pillay of Terroovatteyoor in the Talook of Sydapettah, 4 Budra Ummal residing in house No. 70 in the eastern line in Govindapah Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	4th Defendant Budra Ummal widow of Agateesa Moodelly residing in house No. 70 in the eastern line in Govindappa Naicks street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. That the Plff Rutnavaloo Chetty has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor against you & other Defendants to recover for the use of the Pagoda of Seagaroyasawmy the Lackrajee Backyard land paying a revenue of 1-2-9 to the above Pagoda together with a well worth Rupees 3 & 5 Cocanut trees valued at Rs 5 situate in the Village of Terroovatteyoor within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and require you to appear and to file your answer to the said Plaint	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1854	1854	1854				1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 9th June 1854
						June 5	May 27	June 7	" " "			June 12 — Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 10th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her -

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days from the date to which the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being of Plaint on Master's file

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Recd. with in time	Mon. received	Amount	Parties with in a Year	By whom Sent	Mon. returned	Remarks
34	O.S. No. 190 of 1853 on the file of the Mahaudan Sudr Ameen's Court of Combaconum Notice	Sabapathy Pillay son of Aroonachellapillay of Ponavassel in the Talook of Trivalore - Plaintiffs 1 Ramasawmy pillay, 2 Chedumbarapillay, 3 Mootoasawmy pillay, 4 Chokyapillay sons of late Iyahpillay of Heelacattagudi in the said Talook now residing at Maramputta in the Jurisdiction of Karrical 5 Goondoo Soobboopillay alias Nagapatam Soobboopillay living in the house No. 83 in Yagatta Coil Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah attached to Madras. Defendants	5th Defendant Goondoo Soobboopillay alias Nagapatam Soobboopillay living in the house No. 83 in Yagattah Coil street of Peddoo Naicks Pettah attached to Madras. That the Plff has instituted a Suit against you and the other Defendants for the transfer of Meerassee of 5 Valies 4 Mawns and 62 and odd Goolies of land situated in the Village of Teroneppar in the Talook of Terovaloor which was sold by the 1st Deft. to the father of the Plff on the 14th Thy of Sowmiah or 25th January 1850 for Rs. 784 on a Bill of Sale upon Stamp Cadjan; the said Plff father having died the Plff instituted a Suit in No. 139 of 1852 Heevalore Moonsiffs file against the 1st Deft. for the transfer of Meerassee Registry in his name, that in the mean time the said land was attached for the amount of the Decree due to you in No. 9 of 1849 Sub Court file he Plff presented a Motion to the Sub Court representing the above circumstances thereupon an order was passed post poning the Sale of the land & directing the Plff to include you as a Deft. in the said Suit No. 139 which Suit was dismissed for default Consequently the Plff has instituted this and require you to attend and to give an Answer to the Plaint	Combaconum Zillah Civil Court.	April 18	1854 April 20	1854 April 22	Rs as P	June 30	1854 V Parthasarathy	May 10	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 22d April 1854 Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 8th May 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him
35	O.S. No. 66 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Rutnavaloo Chetty Durmacurtah of Teagaroyasawmy Pagoda at Teroovutteyoor in the Zillah of Chingleput residing in house No. 311 in the eastern line in Singhechetty Street at Mootteyaloo Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Sashier, 2 Meenatchee Ummal, 3 Madava Pillay of Teroovutteyoor in the Talook of Sydapettah 4 Budra Ummal residing in house No. 70 in the eastern line in Govindapah Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	4th Defendant Budra Ummal widow of Agateesa Moodelly residing in house No. 70 in the eastern line in Govindappa Naicks street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. That the Plff Rutnavaloo Chetty has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor against you & other Defendants to recover for the use of the Pagoda of Teagaroyasawmy the Lackrajee Backyard land paying a revenue of 1-2-9 to the above Pagoda together with a well worth Rupees 3 & 5 Cocanut trees valued at Rs. 5 situate in the Village of Teroovutteyoor within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and require you to appear and to file your answer to the said Plaint	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	June 5	1854 May 27	1854 June 7	"	"	"	June 12	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 9th June 1854 Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 10th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her. Within 8 days of the service within 15 days from the date on which the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being affixed on the outward door of the dwelling house

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Rec'd after stamp fees recovered	When	Amount	Rec'd on account of Fees	By whom Served	When returned	Remarks
36	M.O.S. No. 250 of 1854 in the file of the Civil Court of Madura Notice	1 Canakiah Naick, 2 Kristnasawmy Naick Petitioners	Chinna Bauppoo Naick writer in the Court of Sudder Udalut at Madras and to all those whom it may Concern. That the Petitioners have as undivided brothers and heirs of Boochee Bauppoo Naick deceased late Cash Keeper in the Collectorate Treasury at Madura moved this Court for a Certificate under Act XX of 1841 with all powers Conferrable thereby and require you to attend should you desire to make any representation on the subject	Madura Court	1854 June 13	1854 June 13	1854 June 17	Rs As P	1854 June 30	V Bartholomeo	1854 June 20	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 20 June 1854 Served personally on the within named Chinna Bauppoo Naick on the 22. June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
37	O.S. No. 619 of 1845 on the file of the 1st Class District Moonsiff at Salem Notice	Sillicked umbara pillay a Pondicherry Hawker residing at Salem Plaintiff Chidumbara moodelly (fathers names unknown) of Cavalpatty a Government Servant of the Vellala Caste (deceased) his heirs and nephews &c. Coppoo Awmy Moodelly at the house next to the one belonging to Seroongaka Mootoo Awmy Mudali in the eastern row of Salem street situated to the west of Pander Ramaswamy Garden which lies in Agraharam mallé Coveil street at Pedda mudeliarpettah Madras 2 Shunmooga moodelly (alias a Jailer) residing at the Cashah of Combatore Defendants	Defendant Coppoo Awmy Moodelly. The Plaintiff has presented a Petition in which he represented that he gave notices in Nos. 720 of 49 and 387/51 requesting to be informed to what Court the said which had been instituted by him in the Honorable Moonsiffs Court for the recovery from the Defendt of Rs 30 due as per account and which had been called up by this Court, was referred that an order was then passed by the late Civil Judge Mr. Forsyth on the said motion No. 387 to the effect that he (Plff) should sue the Defendt here and that the Suit will be referred to the Pandits Shastry Ameens and that as nothing has has hitherto been done in the matter the Suit in question may be referred to the Moofty Shastry Ameens. Requiring to appear and Submit your objections in writing, otherwise the Plaintiffs prayers will be granted.	Salem Sillick Civil Court	1854 July 3.	1854 June 28.	1854 July 6.	Rs as P	1854 July 30 " "	Within 30 days after Service on the said Defendt	1854 July 8.	Served personally on the within named Coppoo Awmy Moodelly on the 8th July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
38	In Suit No. 595 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Caligiri Notice	1 Gazula Lakshmumma Shettee 2 Cadapah Veeraraghavulu Chetty 3 Vacancy of the Office of Curnum of and residing in the house of Gazula Lakshmiah Shetty and Co. at Mootty Chetty pettah in Madras Plaintiffs Chinta Panpaya residing at Calavoya in Rayavaram Talook Defendants	You the above named Plaintiffs are hereby informed that record of Suit No. 356 of 1854 brought by you before the Principal Sudr Ameen of Nellore for the recovery of Rupees 114-14-- as per Document executed by the said Defendant to the 1st Plaintiff on the 18 April 1850 at Calavoya in this Jurisdiction, having been transferred to this Court, and requiring you to appear and contest the Suit.	Nellore Sillick Civil Court	1854 July 6.	1854 June 29.	1854 July 8.	Rs as P 119 14 "	1854 August 1st D "	See Sheriffs letter dated 12th July 1854 to the Civil Judge of Nellore and his answer dated 28th of the same month.	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 8 July 1854

39 | Cause No. 333 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Caroongooly at Pencing before Rm Thos Ameens Court Notice | Vencatasa Naick of Calpettah & of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Soobaredda / Yellaty Yellaty / Yenadiar Yellaty / Yenadiar Yellaty / Yellaty Yellaty / Yellaty Yellaty / Yellaty

42 O.S. No. 61 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons

1 Sudyvarayana Setty, 2 Pluspem 3 Moottyalen Setty of Kelucka Pondy attached to Sydapooram in the Talook of Sydapooram in the Zillah of Salem Plaintiffs

1 Sloomeya Pillay, 2 Sappa Pillay of Tammermalay in the Talook of Sydapet Defendants

1st Witness Yandava Iyamberumah pillay residing in house No. 2 in Aulungatta pillay's street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear and give evidence on the part of the Plaintiffs.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

" O.S.N. 61 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons

do

2d Witness Yandava Caroppana Pillay residing in house No. 2 in Aulungattapillay's street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear and give Evidence on the part of the Plaintiffs.

do

---

43 Suit No. 13 of 1852 on the file of the Sudr Ameen Moofts Summons

Cannepuri Balasundaram Moodlie Plaintiff

Pullaya Ramisetti alias Pullaya Defendant

Plaintiffs' Witness Collatur Tulasingam Setti residing in the house No. 130 in the eastern row of the street of Yecambaresaware temple at Peddanaigapettah a Suburb of Madras your Evidence being required to be taken in the presence of the Sudr Ameen Moofter you are to attend the said Court and give your deposition.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

---

44 Suit No. 595 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Coliyiir Notice

1 Gazula Lakshmiarasetty 2 Cadapaka Viraraghavulanaidu 3 do Venkatrayalanaidu Partners of Gazula Siddala Setty & Co residing in the house of Gazula Siddala Setty & Co at Mootyalapettah in Madras Plaintiffs

Chila Papayya an inhabitant of Kaluvoyal in Renaur Talook Defendant

1 Gazula Lakshmiarasetty 2 Cadapaka Viraraghavulanaidu 3 do Venkatrayalanaidu Partners of Gazula Siddala Setty & Co.

You are hereby informed that the record of Suit No. 36 of 1854 brought by you before the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chellore for the recovery of Rs. 119 -4- - as per document executed by the said Defendant to the Plaintiffs on the 8th of April 1850 at Calvroya in the Jurisdiction of the said Court and having been transferred to the Sudr Ameen Moofussil Court and you are to appear by a Court Vakeel & Conduct the Suit.

do

---

45 Cause No. 47 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Summons

Nallumbadoo Verrasawmy Moodely of Peddanaickpettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

1 Soobbumaal 2 Shunmooga Moodely 3 Mylyy Vinayaca Moodely 4 Coopillo of the same Race Defendants

Witness Sunatha Pillay son of Venkatachella Pillay residing in house No. 214 in the Reddyramanis street opposite to the house of Pachaipoolavoo Condalarbya Chetty at Peddanaickpettah Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear and to give Evidence on the part of the Plaintiff

Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen's Court

From 17th Jan 1852
To 24th Dec 1853

Notices Proclamations and Summonses &c.ª

Received from the Mofussil Courts

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Proof when Issued	When Received	Amount	Parties when to Appear	By whom Served	When return'd	Remarks
6	O.S. No. 449 of 1850 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice with Duplicate	Shaick Maydeen residing in house No. 12 in the South side in Mantopoo Mary Chetty street at Saint Thomé a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Vyden Butten 2 Rooddera Butten, 3c. Mootoosawmy Butten & Vurasawmy Butten of Cummumpully attached to Mangadoo Defendants	Plaintiff Shaick Maydeen Son of Calingoo ravoo Hoonyman residing in House No. 12 on the South Side in Mantopoo Mary Chetty Street at Saint Thomé a Suburb of Madras Informing you that in the Suit instituted by you against the Defendants to recover Rs. 64 being the amount of balance due on a Bond inclusive of Penalty thereof, Summons having been issued some returned duly served and others not served in consequence of the absence of certain Witnesses that your Vakeel being asked regarding their absence he reported that a notice might be sent to you because he received no reply to his 4 or 5 letters addressed regarding the above Circumstance. Consequently the notice was ordered to be issued to you and requiring you to appear and conduct the Suit by producing your Witnesses.	Chingleput Principal Court	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	Rs as ⁘	Within 6 days from the date on which the Letter is delivered to the Defendant if he resides within the Limits of the Zillah Court, & if without 10 days	1852 Jany 23d	1852 Chief Justice 19th January 1852 The within named Plaintiff not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice was on the 26th of the 23d January 1852 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.	
7	O.S. No. 402 of 1850 on the file of District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Javurjee Sreaya Chitty residing in House No. 77 in the west side in Big Salay Street leading to Terovattyoor at Washermans Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Kistrasawmy reddy of Paulieur attached to Strechury Cottay in the Talook of Nayer Defendants	1st Witness Lutchmana Naick residing in House No. 77 on the west side in the high road leading to Terovattoor at Washermans Pettah a Suburb of Madras To depose on the part of the Plaintiff	Do.	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	"	Within 8 days from the date of Service	1852 Jany 23d	1852 Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 21st January 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	
"	Do.	Do.	Do. Witness Andy Surraina Moodelly residing in House No. 76 in the west side in the High road leading to Terovattyoor at washermanis Pettah a suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Plaintiff	Do.	1852 Jany 16	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	"	Do.	Do.	1852 Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Served personally on the within named 2d Witness on the 21st January 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	
"	Do. No. 87 of 1850 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Venkatachella Moodelly residing in House No. 3 in the west side in Venayacanastry street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Appasawmy Moodelly 2 Vadachella Moodelly of Volatoor in the Talook of Sydapet Defendants	3d Witness Mootoosawmy Moodelly residing in House No. 7 in Paromalcoil Tota Street at Peddoonaicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Plaintiff	Do.	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	"	Do.	Do.	1852 Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Jany Served personally on the within named 27th 3d Witness on the 26th January 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Process when Issued	When received	Amount	Parties when to Appear	By whom served	When return	Remarks
7	O.S. No. 415 of 1850 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sumt.	Soobbah sastry of Teroowatteyoor Plaintiff Moottoosawmy Moodelly of the same Village Defendant	1st Witness Aulusppa Moodily son of Veerabudra Moodelly residing in House No. 1 in the west side in Condakier street at Peddoo naicks Pettah of Madras. To depose on the part of Defendant	Chief Asst. to Gillad Civil Court	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	Rs as Do	Within 8 days after Service	V Parthasarathy	1852 Feb 1st	Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 25th February 1852 by the Duplicates of the Originals Summons and translations being delivered to him.
"	O.S. No. 268 of 1850 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sumt.	Chocalinga Chetty residing in house No. 5 in the west side in Karavier street adjacent to Gacambareswarer Coil at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Vettyappa Moodily 2 Mottiyal Moodily 3 Narrainsawmy Moodily 4 Chinniaboodoy Moodelly 5 Appasamy Moodily 6 Valedy Kymadoo with the Talook 7 Nayer Defendants Teroovengada Moodily of Karavencaspuram attached to Nayer Petitioners	1st Witness Cooroo Kosputtiaray Govindaraja Moodily son of Gajaspa Moodily residing in House No. 34 in the north side in Tungasalay Soobarayalas naicks street in washermans Pettah a Suburb of Madras To depose on the part of Petitioner	Do	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	Rs as Do	Do	Do	1852 March 6th	Chief Justice 19th January 1852 The within named Witness is not found within the limits of Her Majestys Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.
"	Do	Do	2d Witness Nawaseraya Moodily Son of Vadatoor Aswamy Moodily residing in House No. 13 in the East side in Soondrawnayaeur street adjacent to Tungasawmy Soobbarayalus naicks street at Washermans Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Petitioner	Do	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	Rs as Do	Do	Do	1852 Jany 30th	Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Served personally on the within named Jany 2d Witness on the 29th January 1852 by a Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.
"	Do	Do	4th Witness. Vayaloor Chengulvaroya Pillay, son of Curmum Vencatachella Pillay, residing in House No. 21 in the North side in Yala Cunduppa Moodily Street in Yadapaleim at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Petitioner	Do	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	Do	Do	Do	1852 Feb 4th	Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Served personally on the within named 4th Witness on the 3d February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.
"	Do	Do	5th Witness. Iyansawmy Moodelly (writer in the Government Office) Son of Valedee Kymadoo Iyyan Moodelly residing in House No. 35 in the south side in Annapillay Street near to Chengancada at Peddoo Naicks Pettah of Madras. To depose on the part of the Petitioner.	Do	1852 Jany 16th	1852 Jany 5th	1852 Jany 17th	Do	Do	Do	1852 Feb 4th	Chief Justice 19th January 1852 Served personally on the within named 5th Witness on the 3d February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Proceedings when Issued	When received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom Served	When returned	Remarks	
8	O.S. No. 112 of 1851 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	Abdoolazeis Saib of Cachumgarana in the Talook of Teroopparoor. Appellant Defendant Mohommed Hoossain Saib residing in House No. 19 & 20 in Nagatal Cowle Street near Governors Garden at Madras Respondent & Plaintiff	Respondent Mohommed Hoossain Saib residing in House No. 19 & 20 in Nagatal Cowle Street near Governors Garden at Madras- Informing you that the appellant being dissatisfied with the Original Decree passed in the above annexed has appealed therefrom & requiring to appear and file an appeal Answer to the said Appeal Petition-	Civil Court of Chingleput	1852	1852	1852	Rs AP		Within 5 days from the date of Service	V. Parthasarathy 21"	1852	Chief Justice 27th January 1852	
					Janry 19th	Janry 19th	Janry 22d	"	"	"		Feby 12	Served Personally on the within named Respondent on the 11th Febuary 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him-	
9	O.S. No. 388 of 1851 on the file of the Moofsy Sudr Ameens Court of Chittoor Notice	Veergasawmy Chetty Son of Canjeevarum Tiruvenkata Chetty of Greenimpett in the Talook of Chittoor. Plaintiff 1st Troop Narasimma Chetty Son of Veera Chetty 2 Caumooviummah his elder Sister the wife of Soobroya Chetty 3 Veera Chetty of Wallajahpettah in the Talook of Canjeevarum pauk, to 1st Seeroovengada Chetty the 3rd & 4th Defendants are the Sons of the elder brother of the 1st and 2d Defendants A Goomastah in the Malegay Shop of Pycumbra Chetty in the Street of Nanaseraya Chetty and residing in the house No. 26 of Rungasawmy Chetty Situate in the west Side of Singe Chetty Street at Mootteyabpettah a Suburb of Madras-	1st Seeroovengada Chetty residing in the No. 26 of Singe Chetty's Street at Mootteyalpettah, a Suburb of Madras- Defendant That the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants aforesaid for the Recovery of Rupees (121-7-0) One hundred and twenty one and Seven Annas being the principal and interest due upon a Mortgage bond jointly executed by you and other Defendant aforesaid to the Plaintiff aforesaid on the 11th August 1850 at Walajahpettah a Village Situated with the Jurisdiction of this Court, & requiring you to attend and deliver an Answer to the Plaint-	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	1852	1852	1852	1852			1862	Chief Justice 27th January 1852	
					Janry 21st	Janry 6th	Janry 24th	121 7				February 10th	Janry Do 29th Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 28th January 1852-	
10	O.S. 32 of 1851 on the file of the Moofsy Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Summons	1 Sevagoonnada Moodelly of Patsmul in the Talook of Sydahpet 2 Covoor Yacambara Moodelly of Vayalala Nellore in the Talook of Ponnamally Plaintiffs 1 Palava Seyagaraya Moodelly residing in house No. 13 in the lane of Pareya Oodundy at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras 2 Punna Pillay of Malemaneumbaur in the Talook of Ponnamally 3 Chengalvaroyen of Madurmbaddoo in the same Talook- Defendants	Witness Palavacculleyana Soondra Moodelly Son of Chedumbara Moodelly residing in House No. 13 in Pareya Oodundy Moodelly lane at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras To depose on the Part of the Plaintiffs-	Do	1852	1852	1852	1852			1852	Puisne Justice 2d February 1852	
					Janry 29th	Janry 17th	Janry 30th	"	"	"	Within 5 days from the date of Service	Do 5th "	Feb'y Served personally on the within named Witness on the 4th February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him	

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters Issued	Process when received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
10	O.S. No. 32 of 1851 on the file of the Sudr Ameen Court of Chingleput Summons	1 Sevagoaroonada Moodelly of Punnmul in the Talook of Sydapet 2 Covoor Yacambara Moodelly of Vayalallah Nellore in the Talook of Poonamally Plaintiffs 1 Palava Teyagaroya Moodelly residing in House No. 13 in the lane of Pareya Coodundy at Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras 2 Ponnapillay of Malemaurumbadoo in the Talook of Poonamally 3 Chengulvaroyen of Madarumbadoo in the same Talook Defendants	Witness Cootumbaukum Arroonachella Moodelly Son of Chengulvaroy Moodelly, residing in house No. 50 in the west Side in Varada Moottey 4th new Street at Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Plaintiffs.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1852 Jan'y 29th	1852 Jan'y 17th	1852 Jan'y 30th	Within 5 days from the date of Service	V. Parthasarathy	1852 Feb'y 6th	1852 Puisne Justice 2d February 1852. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 5th February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him
11	A.S. No. 5 of 1852 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notices	Syasawmy reddy of Nulandoor Cunotegay in the Talook of Sydapet, Appellant Defendant Goolammohemmud Sahib of Saint Thome a Suburb of Madras. Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Goolammohemmud Sahib residing in the Garden of Talab Oomadassal Omarabahader at Saint Thome a Suburb of Madras. That the Appellant being dissatisfied with the Original decree passed in the above Number has appealed therefrom and requires you to appear and to deliver and Appeal Answer to the said Appeal Petition	Do.	1852 Jan'y 31st	1852 Jan'y 31st	1852 Feb'y 2d	Do.	Do.	1852 Mar'h 3d	1852 Puisne Justice 2d February 1852. Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 2d March 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him
12	O.S. No. 157 of 1851 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangoly Summons	Ramasawmy Moodelly residing in House No. 7 in the North Side to the Elephant gate at Madras Plaintiff. Patchayappa Moodelly of Cavaunturdalum in the Talook of Cotteramarar Defendant	Witness Moorooccasa Moodelly Son of Auremoottoo Moodelly residing in House No. 33 in Iyahpillay Street at Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras To depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do.	1852 Feb'y 2d	1852 Jan'y 26th	1852 Feb'y 3d	Within 8 days from the date of Service	Do.	1852 Feby 9th	1852 Puisne Justice 6th February 1852. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 7th February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.
13	A.S. No. 92 of 1851 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Isteyarnama	Cunny of Teroovallore in the Talook of Poonamallee Appellant Plaintiff. 1 Cundappa Chetty 2 Annasawmy Chetty of Mootteyalo Pettah a Suburb of Madras 3 Veerasawmy Iyer of Teroovallore in the Talook of Poonamallee, 4 Dasapillay 5 Vandappa Moodelly 6 Emam Sahib Tumby Naick, 8 Mooneyappa Naick, 9 Veerasawmy reddy 10 Kristnen, 11 Mooneyen, 12 Coopoo Naick, 13 Teroovengadum, 14 Nallamoottoo naick of Cauca Coor in the same Talook, 15 Sengan Buttler, 16 Ponnumbala Chetty of Pulumcata reddy of Teroovallore in the same Talook. Respondents (Defendants)	2d Respondent Annasawmy Chetty residing in House No. 334 in Tumboo Chetty Street at Mootteyalo Pettah of Madras. Informing you that the Appellant Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed in the above number has Appealed therefrom and requires you to appear and to deliver an Answer to the said Appeal Petition	Do.	1852 Feb'y 4th	1852 Feb'y 4th	1852 Feb'y 5th	Within 15 days from the date of the Original Isteyarnama & translation & Notice		1852 Feby 9th	1852 Puisne Justice 6th February 1852. Feby 9th The Duplicate of the Original Isteyarnama and translation was affixed on the 9th February 1852 on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 2d Respondent therein described.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Proceedings when issued	When returned	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom served	When returned	Remarks
14	Notice On the file of the Civil Court of Chinglepute	1 Codundaramasawmy Naick 2 Jagunnadasawmy Naick Sons of the late Doctor Sawmy Naick 3 Venkataramanjooloo the minor Nephew and adopted Son of Seetarama Naick the deceased Brother of the two former & Moorty Ummal widow of Sawmy Naick of Conalee Swaren-pettah a Suburb of Madras	Codundaramasawmy Naick residing in House No. 20 in Conalee-wooroumpettah at Madras. Informing you and the 3 others above mentioned that the Government have limitted the right and title of the aforementioned Minor Venkata-ramanjooloo in the Village of Ootlocandoo within the Zillah of Chinglepute Originally granted on Shrotreum tenure to the late Doctor Sawmy Naick to 1/3 Share and that it is necessary under the provision of Section XX Regulation V of 1804 to appoint a Guardian for the Management of the Minor's property until such time as he shall obtain his Majority & requiring you to appear to enable the Court to obtain information with a view to passing Orders for the appointment of a Guardian to the minor Venkataramanjooloo afore-mentioned.	Chinglepute Zillah Civil Court	1852	1852	1852	Within 8 days from the date of Service	T Parthasarathy		1852 Puisne Justice 9th February 1852	Served personally on the within named Codundaramasawmy Naick on the 26th February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — March 6th
"	Do	Do	Jagunnadasawmy Naick residing in House No. 20 in Conalee-wooroumpettah at Madras — Informing you and the 3 others abovementioned that the Government have limitted the right and title of the aforementioned minor Venkata-ramanjooloo in the Village of Ootlocandoo within the Zillah of Chinglepute Originally granted on Shrotreum Tenure to the late Doctor Sawmy Naick to 1/3 share and that it is necessary under the Provisions of Section XX Regulation V of 1804 to appoint a Guardian for the management of the minors property until such time as he shall obtain his majority and require you to appear to enable the Court to obtain information with a view to passing Orders for the appointment of a Guardian to the minor Venkataramanjooloo aforementioned —	Do	1852	1852	1852	Feb 6 6th	Do	Do	1852 Puisne Justice 9th February 1852	Served personally on the within named Jagunnadasawmy Naick on the 26th February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — March 1st
a	Do	Do	Venkataramanjooloo residing in House No. 20 in Conalee Swarampettah at Madras Informing you and the 3 others abovementioned that the Government have limitted the right and title of you (Minor Venkataramanjooloo) in the Village of Ootlocandoo within the Zillah of Chinglepute Originally granted on Shrotreum tenure to the late Doctor Sawmy Naick to 1/3 Share and that it is necessary under the provisions of Section XX Regulation V of 1804 to appoint a Guardian for the manggement of your property until such time as you shall obtain your Majority and require you to appear and to enable the Court to obtain information with a view to passing Orders for the appointment of a Guardian to you —	Do	1852	1852	1852	Feb 6 6th	Do	Do	1852 Puisne Justice 9th February 1852	Served personally on the within named Venkataramanjooloo on the 26th February 1852 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him March 1st

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Proceedings thereon	When received	Amount	Parties who appear	Service	Returned	Remarks
14	Notice On the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput	1 Codundaramasawmy Naick 2 Jagunnadasawmy Naick Sons of the late Doctor Sawmy Naick 3 Venkataramanyaloo the Nunoo Nephew and adopted Son of Seetarama Naick the deceased Brother of the two former & 4 Moorty Unmal Widow of Sawmy Naick of Comaleeswarumpettah a Suburb of Madras	Moorty Unmal residing in House No. 20 in Comaleeswarumpettah at Madras. Informing you and the 3 others abovementioned that the Government have limitted the right and title of the aforementioned minor Venkataramanyaloo in the Village of Cootloseandoo within the Zillah of Chingleput originally granted on Shrotreum Tenure to the late Doctor Sawmy Naick to 1/8 Share and that it is necessary under the Provisions of Section XX Regulation V of 1804 to appoint a Guardian for the magement of the minors property until such time as he shall obtain his Majority and require you to appear to enable the Court to obtain information with a view to passing Orders for the appointment of a Guardian to the Minor Venkataramanyaloo aforementioned.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1852	1852	1852				1852	Punae Justice 9th February 1852. Served personally on the within named Moorty Unmall on the 26th February 1852 by the March 1st Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her.	
15	O.S. No. 415 of 1850 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Sooba Sawstry of Teroovotteyoor in the Talook of Sydapett Plaintiff Moothoovawmy Moodelly of the same Village Defendant	3d Witness, Aulapa Moodelly Son of Veerabudra Moodelly residing in House No. 1 in the west Side in Condalier Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Defendant.	Do.	1852 Feb 16th	1852 Feb 6th	1852 Feb 17th	"	"	"	Do.	1852 Punnee Justice 18th February 1852. Feb 25th Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 25th February 1852 by the Duplicates of the Original Summons and Translation being delivered to him.	
"	O.S. No. 128 of 1851 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Abdul Kader residing in House No. 28 in the South Side in Venkatasa Granning Street in Sawaracoppum at Triplicany a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Bauboo Naick of Salegramum in the Talook of Sydapett Defendant	1st Witness, Paramesa reddy residing in House No. 10 Situate in Ottavada in Gungo reddy Street at Egmore a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do.	1852 Feb 16	1852 Feb 6th	1852 Feb 17th	"	"	"	Do.	1852 Puisnee Justice 18th February 1852. March 22d Served personally on the within named Witness on the 19th March 1852 by the duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	

No. on the file of the Court	Names of Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court							
15	O.S. No. 128 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Abdul Kader Jamada residing in House No. 28 in the South Side in Vencatasa Gramum Street in Saunaracoopum at Trepliconyo Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff Bauboo Naick Bof Salegramum in the Taloo K of Sydapett. — Defendant	2d Witness Soobbaroya Moodelly residing in House No. 7 in the east Side in Meeran Sahib Street in Narasingapoorum adjacent to Chintadry pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Chingleput District Court	1854 July 18th	1854 July 11th	1854 July 19th	"	"	"	Within 5 days after Service	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th July 1854 July 26th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 25 July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Summons. Vadanarrainen
"	Do	Do	4th Witness, Moottoosawmy Moostry residing in House No. 86 in the west Side in Butler Iyah Moodelly Street at Chintadry Pettah a Suburb of Madras. To depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do	1854 July 18th	1854 July 11th	1854 July 19th	"	"	"	—Do—	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 27th July 1854 July 26th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 25th July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons & translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
16	O.S. No. 677 of 1851 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Comarazier residing in House No. 111 the west Side in Soobramanya Sawmy Koil Street in Cosapetah at Choola a Suburb of Madras. — Plaintiff Ramalinga Iyen residing in General Bazarat Pullaveram within the limits of Pummul in the Taloo K of Sydapet — Defendant	2d Witness, Bauder Sachiosane son of Abdool Nabeea, a Private Gl No. 110 of the 4th Company 43d Regiment N. I residing in House No. 12 in Veeranun Sotam attached to Choolay a Suburb of Madras To depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do	1854 July 28th	1854 July 24th	1854 July 31st	119	14	"	Aug 24th	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 3d July 1854 Aug 1st Served personally on the within named Plaintiff Gazula Lakshminnaen 3d Settie on the 2d August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Do	Do	1st Witness, Runga Pillay son of Yadava Moottiya Pillaya a writer under the Commanding Officer of the 43d Regt N. I residing in the house of Bungaroo Naick adjacent to Mrs House at Paramcoore a Suburb of Madras To depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do	1854 Augt 3d	1854 Augt 3d	1854 Augt 4th	"	"	"	On the 5th day after the Service	1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 7 Augt 1854 Augt 11th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 10th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

15 | 46 | O.S. No 120 of 1854 on the file of the Court of the Moonsiff Cherumeen | Notice | Sobbos Vattyar Residing at Nattikcoody in the Caulgoody Talook — Plaintiff | 1 Raween, 2 Ristnen & 3 Punchanadien residing in Nattikcoody in the Caulgoody Talook, 4 Chinna Tamanna residing in Vanagaremn in Toreine Talook, 5 Camary Ammal Residing in Nattikcoody, 6 Mootdooveen Naickar & Havildar of the 1st Company of the 1st Battalion Native Infantry residing at Vanniar Pettah attached to Madras — Defendants | 6th Defendant Mootdooveera Naicken residing at Vanniara Pettah in Madras. Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the said Plaintiff for the recovery of 4 Cawnies 11 1/8 Goolys of Mulgoozary Nunjah and Poonjah Lands valued at Rs 28-4 4 against you and other Defendants has been admitted and filed in the above No, and you are hereby directed to appear and to present an answer to the Suit. | Tachinatchy Zillah Court

1854 | 1854 | 1854 | Rs as ∞ | 1854 Honorable Chief Justice 7 August 1854

Augt 3 | July 25 | Augt 5 | 28 | 4

Vadanavraiven

Augt 10 — 6th Defendant on the 9th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him.

Vadanavraiven

47 | O.S. No 196 of 1857 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangoody A.S. No 146 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice | 1 Runguppa Naick, 2 Gunjanna Naick, of Aroomboolyoor Talook Appellants (Plaintiffs) | Govindiengar & 26 others Respondents (Defendants) | Dasega Iyengar son of the deceased 16th Defendant (Respondent) Aroomboolyoor Vastium Peroomalachary residing in house No 13 in the Agraharum Peroomal Coil Street Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras | Take notice that Goonduru Moodely Vakeel on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the above Suit has, by a Motion, represented that the Plffs sued the 27 Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangoody for the recovery of 9 Cawnies of Mulgoozary Nunjah and Poonjah Lands annually yielding Rupees 77 10 in the said Village of Aroomboolyoor Chingleput Zillah, that the Decree was passed by the Moonsiff in favor of the Plaintiffs that they (Plffs) being dissatisfied with the said Decree appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and that the appeal Petition was referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court that your father Peroomalachary (16th Defdt) in the Suit died, and that you succeeded to his Estate and Effects as his son heir and that in Consequence the appeal Suit should be conducted by you in lieu of your deceased father and require you to attend and to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition. | Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1854 | 1854 | 1854 | Rs as ∞ | 1854 | 1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15th Augt 1854

Augt 11 | Augt 11 | Augt 14 | 77 . 10 | August 21 | 00

Augt 18 — Served personally on the within named Dasega Iyengar the son of the deceased 16th Respondent on the 16th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanavraiven

" | O.S. No 196 of 1857 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangoody A.S. No 146 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice | ——— do ——— | 23d Respondent (Defendant) Elooviengar son of Aroomboolyoor Naraniengar residing in the house No 13 in Sooncoovar Agraharum Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras | Take Notice that the appeal Petition preferred by Soondara Moodely Vakeel on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the Civil Court against the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Carangoody in favor of the Defendants have been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court and require you to attend and to deliver an answer to the said appeal Petition. | do

1854 | 1854 | 1854 | Rs as ∞ | 1854 | 1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15th Augt 1854

Augt 11 | Augt 11 | Augt 14 | " | " | " | Augt 21 | 00 | do

Augt 18 — Served personally on the within named 23d Respondent on the 16th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. but he has refused to affix his signature to the notice.

Vadanavraiven

47 O.S.№196 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly A.S.№214 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

1 Rungappa Naick 2 Gungama Naick, of Arambooliyoor Pollaramaroon Taluk - Appellants (Plffs)
Govindiengar & 26 others of the same place Respondents (Defendants)

25th Respondent (Defendant) Vadum Shenevassa Ragaviengar son of Arumbooliyoor Vadum & Annuntachary residing in the house №12 in Soncoovar Agraharem Chintadrepettah attached to Madras

Take Notice that the Appeal Petition preferred by Govindara Roodiy Vakeel on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the Civil Court against the decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Carangooly in favor of the Defendants have been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court and require you to attend and to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition.

Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as ∞ 1854

Augt 11th Augt 11th Augt 14th " " " Augt 21st

Vadanarrainen

1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15th Augt 1854

Augt Served personally on the within named 25th Respondent on the 18th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but he has refused to affix his signature to the notice.

Vadanarrainen

48. O.S.№216 of 1854 on the file of the Court of the Moosiy Sudr Ameens Notice

1 Vencatanaraniengar of Vorattoor in the Carangooly Taluk or of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff
1 Vencatummal of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 2 Ragaviengar, 3 Naraniengar, 4 Anantiengar & 5 Veeraperumaliengar of the aforesaid Vorattoor Defendants

1st Defendant Vencatummaul wife of Sashadry Iyengar residing in House №9 in the western Cross of Casavien alias Ravaniens street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Court Vakeel Royaloo Iyer on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in this Court against you and other Defendants soliciting the Court to Cancel the Pattah which is in favor of yourself & the 2d Defendant for the lands &c. valued at Rs.150 attached to one of the 18 shares of which the Village of Vorattoor is composed & direct the said Pattah is to be issued in his (Plffs) name and requires you to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaintiff.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as ∞

Augt 14th Augt 7th 15 150 " "

Within 4 days after service on within mentioned 15th Defendant of the Affixion of the Copy.

1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Augt 1854

Augt Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 23d August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

49. Suit №237 of 1854 on the file of the Moosiy Sudr Ameens Court Notice

Parthasaradhy Moodelliar of Colupsumjiny in the Pomanallee Talook Plaintiff
Paravathummaul residing in Iyah Pillay's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendant

Defendant Paravathummaul wife of Valoo Moodeliar residing in House №33 in Iyah Pillay's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Court Vakeel Krishna Row on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you for the recovery of Rs 52 15 1 due on a Mortgage Bond purporting to have been executed by you on the 25th June 1845 for Rs 60 in favor of Plaintiff mortgaging your Mud House together with the land & backyard appertaining thereto situate in the Village of Tattiealay and Require you to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaintiff.

do

1854 1854 1854 Rs as ∞ 1854

Augt 29 Augt 21st Augt 30th 52 15 1 do do

Sept 6th The within named Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 5th September 1854 affixed on the outer wall of Her dwelling house.

Vadanarrainen

15

49 Suit No. 238 of 1854 on the file of the Moolsy Sudr Ameens Court

Notice

Davasecamany Moodely of Purnapankum in the Pareapankum Talook Plaintiff

vs

1 Pozmunbala Moodely, 2 Veyasawmy Moodely, 3 Rumbunda Moodely, 4 Soobramaneya Moodely, 5 Coopanna Moodely, 6 Thamicachella Moodely, 7 Moorooga ara Moodely of the aforesaid Village, 8 Jerovankala Moodely of Peddo naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras & 9 Rungacharyan of Veeraguddo in the aforesaid Talook Defendants

8th Defendant Jerovankala Moodely residing in house No. 24 in Venkatasa Naicken street at Peddo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras

Take notice that the Court Vakeel Hristna Row on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against 8 and other Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 650-12-2 due on a Mortgage Bond alleged to have been executed on the 2d April 1840 in favor of the Plff by your Arnachela Moodely father of Defts 1, 2, 4, 3 & brother of the grand fathers of the 4, 5, 6 & 7 Defendants on the pledge of the Rungal & Poonjal Lands in the Villages of Purnapankum & Arumpakkum & of a house situate in the said Purnapankum and requires you, to attend & deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Ellington Gillett Asst. Comll. Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as P

Augt Augt Augt

29 71 30 650 12 2

If within 8 days from the date of service or within 15 days from the date on which the Defendant shall be found the Suit will be dismissed

Vadanarasaiah

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 1st September 1854

Septr 7 Served personally on the within named 8th Defendant on the 6th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarasaiah

50 O.S. No. 68 of 1854 on the file of the Moolsy Sudr Ameens Court but pending before the Assistant to the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodeyatum

Notice

Gosha Saheb Saib residing at Durumpet attached to Goodeyatum — Plaintiff

vs

Yacoob Saib, 2 Boorunoodeen Saib formerly residing at Paradaramy attached to Yadercandal Palakkam in the Talook of Goodeyatum and now residing in the Tower door No. 12 and trading in the Rice Shop No. 9 situated on the South side of the street leading to the Ice houses at Meerjapett attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Defendants

1st Defendant Yacoob Saib residing in the House Door No. 12 and trading in the Rice Shop situated on the South side of the street leading to the Ice house at Meerjapett attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit instituted in the above Number against you and the other Defendant for the recovery of Rupees (112) One hundred and Twelve being the principal and Interest due under a Mortgage bond executed by you and the other Defendant Boorunoodeen Saib and by one Dandu Saib since deceased on the 31st January 1842 in favor of the aforesaid Plaintiff at Paradaramy within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court has been referred to the said Court and requires you to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Chilton Gillett Asst. Comll. Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as P

Augt Augt Augt

29 21 31 112 " "

On the 5th September 1854 to be returned after the service or after the Expiration of the time allowed.

Do

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 1st September 1854

Septr 6 The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation were on the 5th September 1854 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanarasaiah

" O.S. No. 68 of 1854 on the file of the Moolsy Sudr Ameens Court but pending before the Assistant to the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodeyatum

Notice

Do

1st Defendant Boorunoodeen Saib Residing in the house Door No. 12 and trading in the Rice Shop situated on the South side of the street leading to the Ice house at Meerjapett attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit instituted in the above number against you, and the other Defendant for the recovery of Rupees (112) One hundred and twelve being the principal and Interest due under a Mortgage Bond executed by you and the other Defendant Yacoob Saib and by one Dandu Saib, since deceased on the 31st January 1842 in favor of the aforesaid Plaintiff at Paradaramy within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court, has been referred to the said Court and requires you to attend and deliver an Answer to the Plaint.

Do

1854 1854 1854 Rs as P

Augt Augt Augt

29 21 31 112 " " Do Do

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 1st September 1854

Septr 6 The within named 2d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation were on the 5th September 1854 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanarasaiah

15

57 O.S.No 31 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

Pillaree Ally Pauridhasanood Naidoo of Chennanagoloor Pettah in the town of Masulipatam ——— Plaintiff

vs

1st Chittoory Baulah now residing in Peddy Naidoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 2d Putlooty Ramadoss Naidoo of Calabah in the Town of Masulipatam — Defendants

1st Defendant Chittoory Baulah residing in No 9 belonging to Chendrage Naughavaloo Naidoo in a lane of Manecuntum Moodeliar at Peddy Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras —

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in this Court against you, and the 2d Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees 704 10-8 (seven Hundred & four Annas Ten & Pice Eight being the principal & Interest due upon a Bond jointly executed by you and the 2d Defendant who is your undivided son, to the Plaintiff aforesaid on the 20th January 1857 at Chennanagoy Pettah in the Town of Masulipatam situated within the Jurisdiction of the Civil Court — Requiring you to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint —

Masulipatam Zillah Civil Court

1854 Aug 30

1854 Aug 21

1854 Sept 15

Rs 704 10 8

1854 Sept 15

Vadamarvainen

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th Sept 1854

1854 Sept 8 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 7th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original & translation being delivered to him —

Vadamarvainen

57 Cause No 94 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Triplicane but pending before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice

Ruttnavaloo Chetty of Moottyaloo Pettah Suburb of Madras ——— Plaintiff

vs

1 Sashee, 2 Meenatchee 3 Munnaly, 4 Madavo Pillay of Peroomalloor by dafter Taloo & 4 Bathrammal at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras ——— Defendants

Plaintiff Ruttnavaloo Chetty (adopted) son of Arconachellum Chetty the Church warden of the Pagoda at Peroomalloor in the above Pillah —

Take notice that the Suit instituted by you against the Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Triplicane for the recovery of back yard land yielding Annually Rs 1-2-9 payable to the above Pagoda and a well and Cocoanut trees valued at Rs 8 situated thereon having been called for and referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court by the Civil Judge of Chingleput Requiring to attend and Conduct the Suit —

Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1854 Sept 6

1854 Sept 4

1854 Sept 7

" "

" "

Written 8 days after the Service or within such further time as from the distance of the Defendants residence from the Court of the Notice may be allowed by the Court

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8th Sept 1854

1854 Sept 15 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 13th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him —

Vadamarvainen

16

" Cause No 94 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Triplicane but pending before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice

Do

4th Defendant Budrumma the widow of Agatesa Moodely residing in House No 70 on the East side of Govindapa naicks street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit instituted by Plaintiff against you and 3 others in the District Moonsiffs Court of Triplicane for the recovery of the back yard land yielding annual Rupees 1-2-9 payable to the above Pagoda and a well and Cocoanut trees valued at Rs 8 situated thereon having been called for and referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court by the Civil Judge of Chingleput, Requiring to attend and and Conduct the Suit —

Do

1854 Sept 6

1854 Sept 4

1854 Sept 7

" "

" "

Do

Do

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8th Sept 1854

1854 Sept 21 The within named 4th Defendant not having been found the Duplicates of the Original Notice and translation were on the 19th September 1854 affixed on the outer wall of Her dwelling house —

Vadamarvainen

53 Suit No 19 of 1853 on the file of the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice

Venkataramma Pillay son of Peroomungalum Vencatachella Pillay of Conjeveram Pultauy attached to Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras ——— Plaintiff

vs

Ingoova Balasubbien of Pallumbacken in the Conjeveram Taluk ——— Defendant

Plaintiff Vencataramma Pillay son of Peroomungalum Vencatachella Pillay residing in House No 9 in the west line in Venkeia street at Coroskoopultay attached to Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit No 19 of 1853 on the file of the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the Court of the District Moonsiffs Conjeveram for investigation and disposal by the latter tribunal. Requiring to attend before the District Moonsiffs Court at Conjeveram and proceed with the Suit —

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1854 Sept 6

1854 Aug 26

1854 Sept 7

" "

" "

Written 6 weeks after the Service or within such further time as from the distance of the Defendants residence from the Court of the Notice may be allowed by the Court

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8th Sept 1854

1854 Oct 3 The within named Plaintiff not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 2d October 1854 affixed on the outer wall of the House No 9 within mentioned —

Vadamarvainen

15

53 | Suit No 166 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice | Veeras awmy Setty of Moottyalo Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Aroonachala Setty of Chinglepat Defendant | Plaintiff Mumer ankenn Veeras awmy Setty son of Chinnatumbu Setty residing in house No 339 in Tumbu Setty's street at Moottyalo Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Suit No 166 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the Court of the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram for investigation & disposal by the latter tribunal. Requiring to attend before the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram and to proceed with the Suit. | Chinglepat Zillah Civil Court | 1854 Septs 6th | 1854 Augt 26th | 1854 Sept 7 | " | " | " | Within 6 months after receipt from the date of the Notice | Vadanarrainen | 1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8 Septr 1854 | Septr 12 | Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 12 September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. | Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 233 of 1853 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice | C Ramanjoolu Naick son of Encatachella Naick of Conalam warem Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Ramasawmy a Tackeen Pooma Veeras awmy Naicken & 3 Bala Brishnama Reddy of Pootteram in the Conjeveram Talook Defendant | Plaintiff C Ramanjoolu Naick son of Venkatrama Naick residing House No 10 in Ellappa Naick street at Conalam warem Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Suit No 233 of 1853 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Conjeveram for investigation and disposal by the latter tribunal. Requiring to attend before the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram and to proceed with the Suit. | Do | 1854 Septs 6th | 1854 Augt 26th | 1854 Sept 7 | " | " | Do | Do | 1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8 Septr 1854 | Septr 12 | Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 11 September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. | Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 50 of 1852 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice | Coollappa Chetty of Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Narrainsawmy Reddy of Erongattoor Cottay in the Walaja Talook Defendant | Plaintiff Coollappa Setty son of Ponnappa Setty residing in House No 21 in Velavan Chetty's street at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Suit No 50 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiffs Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Conjeveram for investigation and disposal by the latter tribunal. Requiring to attend before the District Moonsiffs of Conjeveram and to proceed with the Suit. | Do | 1854 Septs 6th | 1854 Augt 26th | 1854 Sept 7 | " | " | Do | Do | 1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8 Septr 1854 | Septr 12 | Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 11 Septr 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. | Vadanarrainen

16

" | Suit No 64 of 1854 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiffs of Conjeveram Notice | Jumboo Chetty of Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Chinnatumbu Naicken, 2 Pandaravary a Naicken of Ramanjeporum in the Conjeveram Talook Defts | Plaintiff Namum Jumboo Chetty son of Gungadara Chetty residing in House No 61 in the South side of Velava Chetty's street at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Suit No 64 of 1854 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the District Moonsiffs of Conjeveram and for investigation and disposal by the latter tribunal requiring to attend before the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram and to proceed with the Suit | Do | 1854 Septs 6th | 1854 Augt 26th | 1854 Sept 7 | " | " | Do | Do | 1864 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8 Septr 1854 | Septr 12 | Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 11th Septr 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. | Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 71 of 1854 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiffs of Conjeveram Notice | Vencataraw Setty son of Moottoo Setty of Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff / Nurogeiminaul wife of Julpa Bamboo Naicken, 2 Royappa Naicken, 3 Groolappa Naicken sons of the above of Cottoo Kellattray in the Conjeveram Talook Defendants | Plaintiff Vencataraw Setty son of Moottoo Setty residing in House No 173 in Ramasappa Chetty's street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Suit No 71 of 1854 on the file of the Moottyalo Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the Court of the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram for investigation and disposal by the latter tribunal and requiring to attend before the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram and to proceed with the Suit. | Do | 1854 Septs 6th | 1854 Augt 26th | 1854 Sept 7 | " | " | Do | Do | 1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8 Septr 1854 | Septr 12 | Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 11 September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. | Vadanarrainen

53	Suit No. 72 of 1854 on the file of the Moosiffy Sadr Ameen which has been referred to the District Moonsiff of Conjeeveram. Notice	Vencatasa Setty son of Mootto Setty of Pedda Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff Ramanada Sabapathy Moodely of Coovum in the Manneeruppa Cum Talook. Defendant	Vencatasa Setty son of Mootto Setty residing in House No. 123 in Ramasseppa Setty's street at Pedda Naicks Pettah Suburb of Madras. Take Notice that the suit No. 72 of 1854 on the file of the Moosiffy Sadr Ameen's Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the Court of District Moonsiff of Conjeeveram for investigation and disposal by the latter as tribunal & Requiring to attend before the District Moonsiff of Conjeeveram and proceed with the suit.	Chinglepat 2nd Asst. Civil Court	1854 Septr 8th	1854 Augt 26th	1854 Septr 7th	"	"	Within 6 months after this or within 6 months from the date of the order of the Privy Council.	Vadamarvainen	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 8th September 1854 Septr 15th Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 13th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarvainen
54	Cause No. 444 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor but referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice	Veeracararagava Reddy of Thiruvoorum = boddy of Manneeruppa Cum Talook. Plaintiff 1 Annamalay Moodily, 2 Ganapaty Moodily, 3 Narrainsawmy Moodily of Pedda Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 4 Venguppa Moodily of Conjeveram Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 5 Verrasawmy Moodily, 6 Rungasspa Chetty, 7 Ammiengar Moodily, 8 Thevasawmy Ammiengar, 9 Sadagopachary, 10 Balakistna Mastry, 11 Sundrapa Chetty, 12 Boodala Mastry, 13 Castavalo, 14 Vencatasawmy, 15 Ratchagan, 16 Narrayanan Chetty, 17 Verrasawmy Moodily of Calathy Pettah of Sydapet Talook. Defendants	1st Defendant Annamalay Moodily son of Sadras Agamachella Moodily residing in House No. 24 on the East side of Sundrasspa Moodelys street at Pedda Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Suit instituted by the Plaintiff against you and 16 others in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor to remove the Verandahs and huts erected by the 4th 10th, 11th, 12th, 15th, 15th & 18th Defendants on the 5 1/2 Cawnies of land of the large Garden, at Calletty pettah in the Zillah of Chingleput and also Rupees 46-5- being the balance due to him according to the decree passed in Cause No. 35 of 1854 regarding the said Garden as well as Rs 6-4- being his share of the amount of the Contract of the said Garden having been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. Requiring to attend and proceed with the Suit.	Chinglepat 2nd Asst. Civil Court	1854 Septr 8th	1854 Septr 6th	1854 Septr 9th	"	"	Within 6 days after the arrival of the Record from the District Moonsiffs Court.	do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 12th September 1854 Septr 23 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 21st September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarvainen
"	Cause No. 445 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor but referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice	do	2nd Defendant Ganapaty Moodily son of Arconchella Moodily residing in house No. 23 in the East side of Sundrasspa Moodelys street at Pedda naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the suit instituted by the Plaintiff against you and 16 others in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor to remove the Verandahs and huts erected by the 1st, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 15th, 15th and 16th Defendants on the 5 1/2 Cawnies of land of the large Garden and to recover 1 3/8 Cawnie valued at Rupees 245 being the one fourth share of the said Garden at Caulatty Pettah in the Zillah of Chingleput and also Rs 46-5- being the balance due to him according to the Decree passed in Cause No. 35 of 1854 regarding the said Garden, and as well as Rs 5-4- being his share of the amount of the contract of the said Garden having been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court and requiring to attend and proceed with the suit.	do	1854 Septr 8th	1854 Septr 6th	1854 Septr 9th	"	"	do	do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 12th Septr 1854 Septr 23 Served personally on the within named 2nd Defendant on the 21st September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarvainen
"	Cause No. 446 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor but referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice	do	3rd Defendant Carekistna Moodily residing in house No. 19 on the west side of the Syah Modelys Street at Pedda Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the suit instituted by the Plaintiff against you and 16 others in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor remove the Verandahs and huts erected by the 1st, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 15th, and 18th Defendants on on the 5 1/2 Cawnies of land of the large Garden & to 1 3/8 Cawnie valued at Rs. 245 being the one fourth share of the said Garden at Caulatty Pettah in the Zillah of Chingleput and also Rs 46-5- being the balance due to him of the Contract of the said Garden and having referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court and requiring to attend and proceed with the suit.	do	1854 Septr 8th	1854 Septr 6th	1854 Septr 9th	"	"	do	do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 12th September 1854 Septr 23 Served personally on the within named 3rd Defendant on the 21st September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarvainen
"	Cause No. 447 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor but referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice	do	17th Defendant Verrasawmy Moodily son of Ammeyasspa Moodily residing in house No. 16 in the East side of Ammeyasspa Moodely street at Vannarapettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the suit instituted by the Plaintiff against you and 16 others in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor remove the Verandahs and huts erected by the 1st, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 15th, 15th & 16th Defendants on the 5 1/2 Cawnies of land of the large Garden and to recover 1 3/8 Cawnie valued at Rs. 245 being the one fourth share of the said Garden at Calletty pettah in the Zillah of Chingleput and also Rupees 46-5- being the balance due to him according to the Decree passed in Cause No. 35 of 1854 regarding the said garden as well as Rupees 5-4- being his share of the amount of the contract of the said Garden having been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court and requiring to attend and proceed with the suit.	do	1854 Septr 8th	1854 Septr 6th	1854 Septr 9th	"	"	do	do	1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 12th September 1854 Septr 23 Served personally on the within named 17th Defendant on the 22nd September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarvainen

55	A.S.No 54 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Nellore Notice	1 Dodla Bala Rama Reddy residing in Buchireddypallem attached to Sungum Taluk, 2 Tammala Venkatanarayana Reddy residing in Munagalla in the Nellore Taluk Appellants Pulicharie Srenivasapilley residing in Chakalapetta a Suburb of Madras — Respondent	Respondent Srenivasapilley residing at Chakalapettah a Suburb of Madras are hereby informed that an appeal was preferred and filed on the 18th instant in No 54 of 1854 on behalf of the appellants above named from the Decree passed in your favor by the Moonsiff under ameen in Original Suit No 32 of 1852 in which you and the appellants (Defts) residing within the Jurisdiction of the Nellore Zillah for Rs 330 as per Document for the Contract of Sheep and require you to appear and file your answer.	Nellore Zillah Civil Court	1854 Sept 9th	1854 Sept Augt 31st	1854 Sept 11th	"	"	1854 Sept 15th or within 15 days after the service	Vadanavarainen	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Sept 1854 Sept 19 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 16th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavarainen
"	A.S.No 55 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Nellore Notice	1 Tammala Venkatanarayana Reddy 2 Do Venkataramireddy nephew of inhabitants of Munagallain Nellore Taluk Appellants Pulichari Srinivasapilley residing at Chakalapetta a Suburb of Madras Respondent	Respondent Pulicharie Srinivasapilla residing at Chakalapettah a Suburb of Madras are hereby informed that an appeal was preferred and filed on the 18th instant in No 55 of 1854 on behalf of the Appellants above named from the Decree passed in your favour by the Moonsiff under ameen in Original Suit No 33 of 1852 in which you and the Appellants (Defendants) residing within the Jurisdiction of the Nellore Zillah for Rs 250 as per Document for the contract of Sheep. and require you to appear and file your answer	Do	1854 Sept 9th	1854 Sept Augt 31st	1854 Sept 11th	"	"	Do	Do	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Sept 1854 Sept 19 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 16th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavarainen
56	O.S.No 700 of 1845 on the file of the Subordinate Court Notice	Mallingoundan of Mootanand on the Sherwaroy Hills Plaintiff 1 Mr W Gay now at Madras 2 Mr Johns deceased, 3 The Collector of Salem Defendants	1st Defendant Mr W. Gay residing at Madras. Take notice that the Suit which was instituted by the Plaintiff for the recovery of Rupees Rupees 210 the Amount of Rumdoy on for 7 years on 30 Acres of Land said to have been rented by you of the aforesaid Plaintiff and situated at Mootanand on the Sherwaroy Hills within the Jurisdiction of the Sub Court has been Remanded for the reception of Certain Additional evidence and decision de novo. Requiring you to attend and defend the Suit during the present trial of the Cause.	Salem Zillah Subordinate Court	1854 Sept 7th	1854 Sept 9th	1854 Sept 12th	"	"	"	Do	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th September 1854 Sept 19 1st Defendant on the 16th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavarainen
57	Pauper application No 4 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiff under Ambur Court Notice	Naidanowmy Naick residing in Cattavaddy of Elephant Gate at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Pauper Applicant 1 Cumma Unnamal, 2 Narayana Sawmy Naick, 3 Ubalaboo Naick, 4 Moongasha Naick, 5 Carnum Comara Pilley of Carangy in the Tergoporoor Taluk, 6 Verasawmy Naick & 7 Yalaboo Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Defendants	6th Defendant Verasawmy Naick son of Venkatrama Naick of Ammanumbankum residing in house 2187 in Cattavaddy Street in the South side of Elephant gate at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Pauper Applicant has presented a Petition soliciting permission to institute an action in forma Pauperis for the recovery of Mirasa Shares &c of Lauckanagee and Mualgoozary Lands paying an Annual Assessment of Rs 58—— and situate in the Village of Caranee of the Tergoporoor Taluk and Semmengary of the Sydapet Taluk within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput Of a Salt pan valued at Rupees 375——— and of Gold and Silver Jewels Cattle &c worth Rupees 681—12—— Amounting in all to Rs 1012-12. and that he has taken an oath declaring that he has no other property than those mentioned in the Schedule produced by him and requires you to attend and to state your objections if you have any to his being allowed to Sue in forma Pauperis.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 Sept 21st	1854 Augt 30	1854 Sept 23	Rs 1012	as 12	"	Within 8 days of the service of summons within 15 days of the Copy being affixed.	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Sept 1854 Sept 29 Served personally on the within named 6th Defendant on the 27th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaraiyan

15	57	Pauper Application Filed 1st Sept 1854 on the file of the Moodly's Sudr adawlut Court Notice	Nadamooney Nauck residing in Attavoday of Elephant Gate at Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Pauper Applicant Cunnee Unnual, 2 Narayansamy Nauck, 3 Athalo Nauck, 4 Moneyappa Nauck, 5 Cumum Comara Pillay, 6 Veerasawmy Nauck and 7 Iyalo Nauck of Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	1st Defendant Iyalo Nauck residing in house No 6 in Crosstappa Moodaly's street at Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Pauper Applicant has presented a petition soliciting permission to institute an action in forma Pauperis for the recovery of Meerapee Shaws &c &c of Lacquey and Mulgoozary land paying an annual Assessment of Rupees 56-- and situate in the Villages of Caravoy of Teroopooroor Talook and Summany of the Sydapett Talook within the limits of the zillah of Chingleput, of a tall pan valued at Rupees 375 and of Gold and Silver Jewels Cattle &c worth Rupees 581-12-- Amounting in all to Rupees 1012-12-- and that he has taken an oath declaring that he has no other property than those mentioned in the Schedule produced by him - and requires you to appear and to state your objections if you have any to his being allowed to sue in forma pauperis.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854	1854	1854	Rd	W O	Within 8 days after service on him if any affidavit filed.	Vadanarrainen	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Sept 1854
									Sept 21	Augt 30	Sept 23	1012 12							Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 27th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice & translation being delivered to him.	Vadanarrainen	
5		Suit No 95 of 1854 on the file of the Moodly's Sudr adawlut with the Zillah of Chingleput Notice	1 Ragoo unnual of Ashamaroor in the Talook of Ashamaroor Plaintiff Canacunnual alias Kaakoo unnuall, 2 Vengapa Iyengar and 3 Thimmappa of Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 4 Veerapa Gramany of Ashamaroor, 5 Ragavelu an of the said Village, 6 Singan, 7 Aunjan, 8 Munnaroo Reddy, 9 Chinnasubboy Pillay, 10 Munnappa Moodelly, 11 of the said Village, 12 Sooloo Naicker, 13 Moonoosamy Naick & 14 Cumma Veerasawmy Naick of Neeradey a hamlet of the said Village - Defendants	3d Defendant Streenevasa Iyengar residing in house No 125 in Mundaveldy Street at Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that Vaipul Tondaroy Moodelly on behalf of the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in this Court against you and other Defendants for the recovery of Mulgoozary Nunja and Ponjah Lands and Dofe Comprizing of different trees situated in the Village of Ashamaroor - &c &c as mullas of Utensils and Cloths worth of Rupees 15 or thereabouts together with the Title Deeds pertaining to the said lands they being the Patrimonial property of Plaintiffs husband and require you to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do	1854	1854	1854				1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Sept 1854
									Sept 21st	Sept 30	Sept 23	"	Do	Do					Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 27th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.	Vadanarrainen	
58		Original Suit No 139 1854 on the file of the Subordinate Court Notice	Veroomulroy Sahib son of the deceased Streenevasaroy Sahib late Sagheerdar Residing at Sateyanjayanarum in the Jaghier of Arnee in the zillah of Chittoor. Plaintiff Chittary Arnagherry Moodely now residing in the house Door No 127 situate in the East side of the Street of Davaraja Moodelliar at Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 2 Vencola Krishna Moodely residing on the Termanaroor Street at Conjeveram a Suburb of Vellore. Defendants	1st Defendant Chittary Arnagherry Moodely son of Moottoo Moodely of Vellala Caste engaged in trade &c and now residing in the house Door No 127 situated in the East side of the Street of Davaraja Moodelliar at Peddoo Naicke Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Sub Zillah Court of Chittoor by Vakeel Krishnah against you and the 2d Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees 10000 Ten Thousand including Interest due on an English account executed by you in favor of Streenevasaroy Sahib the said Plaintiffs father on the 27th December 1843 after a Settlement of the accounts relating to the trade jointly carried on by you and the 2d Defendant aforesaid at Cuttanejia Nagarum aforesaid, a town situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and require you to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chittoor Zillah Subordinate Court	1854	1854	1854	Rd	W O	1854		1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Sept 1854
									Sept 22	Sept 22	Sept 25th	10000	Octr 21st	Do	Octr 4th		Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 4th October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.	Vadanarrainen	

59. O.S. № 496 of 1849 and A.S. № 124 of 1850 in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Trepassoor — Notice

Apparow Moodely son of Sumbee Uppa Moodely residing in house № 254 situate in the high Road leading to Tiruvalore at Trudyar Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Pltff & Appellant

1 Ramoo Moodely 2 Valeyoodeum 3 Para Vacuntachellum 4 & 5 Appa Moodoo 6 Bottie 6 Venkatasen sons of the deceased Moottum 7 Ayerum 8 Vella Chenben 9 & Ayerum a the Pilley 10 Subapathy Moodely and 11 Seeblarayen of Manalee in the Sydapet Taluk Defendants Respondents

Plaintiff Apparow Moodely

Take notice that the said Defendants have presented a Petition to the Court of principal Sudr Ameen applying for the execution of the decree in Original suit № 496 of 1849 and appeal suit No 124 of 1850 passed respectively on the 8 November 1850 & 16 August 1851 and that the said Court has referred the said application to this Court by forwarding the record of the said suit for the purpose mentioned above and require you to appear and to state your objections if you have any to the said application.

Chingleput Civil Court

1854 1854 1854

Sept. 25 Sept. 18 Sept. 26 " " "

Written 15 days of the service on the Defendant of the Notice being effected

Vadanavaien

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25 Sept. 1854

Octr. 17 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 14th October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaien

60 A.S. № 10 of 1843 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court — Notice

Mungamoniy ammanl the widow of the late Pattum Verasoodoo Naick and her Son 2 Mary Munyappa Naick Paligaru of the Bangalore Paliapat in the Ossoor Taluk Appellants

Lutchmanay Chetty son of Moodaloo Kistna Chetty of Bangalore Respondents

To Streemaguntoo Janlumy Kerry Gosaymee (the heir of the late Jugadavag Kerry Gosaymee made Ower appt in A.S. № 1843 on the Sudr Udalut's file) residing in the house close to the one belonging to Dasanyee Sawmy Chetty in the Cloth painters Street at Triplicane Madras.

Whereas the Vakeel Narasaw in behalf of the Respondent in the above Appeal № 10/1843 has filed a motion in which he represents that the said Paliapat was attached under a Precept issued by the Civil Court of Salem for the Collection of Rupees 2007-4-6 the balance of the above Decree Amount together with Interest on the Principal from 26th July 1849 upto the date of Payment due by the aforesaid Paligaru to the Respondent in the said Appeal, that the time allowed in the Peteyar has expired, that the Petitioner hears that you and the said Paligaru have agreed before the Sudr Udalut that the above Paliapat should be enjoyed by you in satisfaction of the balance of the Sudr Udalut's Decree № 1843 and that an Order has been sent for holding the Paliapat and attachment by Circar. and that the Appeal Decree № 10 being of a prior date ie. 23 March 1844 the Respondents Claim must be first liquidated by the sale proceeds of the sequestered property. You are therefore informed that unless you shall come to some terms with the Respondent in the said Appeal № 10/1843 within 30 days from the date of seeing this notice the Paliapat will be sold.

Salem Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as 8

Sept. 26 Sept. 11 Sept. 30 " " "

Written 30 days after the service on the Individual within named

1854 The Honorable the Chief Justice 2 October 1854

Octr. 8 Served personally on the within named 17 Streemaguntoo Janlumy Kerry Gosaymee on the 14th October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaien

61. Suit № 6 of 1855 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput — Notice

Naraharee Baaly Setty alias Nullatumboo Setty of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff

1. Naraharee Parthasaradhy Setty of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. 2. Mottyal Naicken 3 Chinnatumbee Naicken of Paryanoor Ilavoyel in the Nayer Taluck Defendants

Selabuttoor Errama Setty Ramaswamy Setty House № 18 on the west Line of the Residing in Pedaniyar Covel Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Suppl. Deft.

Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted a suit against the Defendants to recover from the common property of the Plaintiff and Defendant a moiety of 8 shares of the lands valued at 4 paying an annual assessment of Rupees 522-5-8 out of 12 indivisible Meerassee shares of the villages of Codapullum & Chinnamoollavoyel of the Nayer Taluck within the jurisdiction of this Court as well as of Rs 100 value of the perquisites derivable from Coopputtum Toondoo & Cumry Manyam pertaining thereto, & also a moiety of a House, Cow, Stall trees & well valued at Rs 554 situate in the said village of Codapullum together with Rs 118-11-4 being the moiety of the sums due to Plaintiff under the Decree in suit № 208 of 1849 on the file of the Trepassoor District Moonsiff and that the Plaintiffs Vakeel has presented a supplemental Plaint against you alledging

Chingleput Civil Court

1854 1854 1854

Oct. 9 Sept. 23 Oct. 10 " " "

Written 8 days after service or within 15 days after the copy of the notice being affixed

1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 13 October 1854

Octr. 21 Served personally on the within named Supplemental Defendant on the 20 October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaien

alledging that the said village Meerassee &c. has been mortgaged to you by the 1st Defendant for Rs 1000. You are therefore required agreeably to Regulation II of 1811 to acknowledge the service of this Notice by an endorsement and further to attend — either in person or by Court Vakeel within 8 days from the date of this Notice being served on you or within 15 days from the date of the Copy hereof being affixed on the outer wall of your dwelling house & to deliver an answer to the said Plaint.

---

62 O.S. No 3167 of 1854 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice

Nunmalvar Naiken son of Pascopsvooreddy Vassundaraya Doo Naike residing at Streerungam in the Taluck of Coulgoody Plaintiff

Pauppoo Naiken alias Vencata Samy Naiken son of Tanjore Rungiah Naike residing at Meenjore Appasamy Pillay's Street in Pettoo Naiken Pettah attached to Madras Defendant

To Pauppoo Naiken alias Vencatadasamy Naiken residing at Madras. Defendant

Whereas the Original suit preferred by the said Plaintiff against you for the recovery of Rupees 677-8-0 being the amount of principal and interest due on a deed of mortgage, has been admitted and filed in the above number, you are hereby — directed to appear either in person or by an authorized Vakeel of this Court within 21 days from and after the receipt of this Notice to present an answer to the suit.

Should you fail to do so the suit will be proceeded with according to Regulations.

Sd/ Cheekoo for J. Gillah Civil Court.

1854 Octr 10d	1854 Septr 27s	1854 Octr 12d	Rs 677	As 8	P 0	within 21 days after the service on the Defendant written manner	Vadamavrainen	1854 Octr 13 Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 18. October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him — Vadamavrainen

---

63 O.S. No 654 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Tripassore Notice

Venkatasoobboo Moodelly — 1, of Peddoo Naike's Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff.

1 Maureyappa Moodelly, 2 Vadavillee Ummal, 3 Cundasamy Moodelly, 4 Bangheerathy Ummal, 5 Moorooga Moodelly, 6. Loobbaroya Moodily and 7. Appadamy Moodily of the same place Defendants

To The Plaintiff Venkatasoobboo Moodelly son of Iyahsamy Moodily residing in House No 13. in the Seroopully street at Peddoo Naike's Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take Notice that the suit instituted by you in the District Moonsiffs Court of Tripassore against the Defendants for the recovery of the House, ground backyard and merassee share of Malgoozary and Saketherazee Nunjah and Poonjah land &c value & yielding annually Rs 122-9-7. being one eighth share in the village of Poonamallee in the above Zillah has been called for & referred by the Actg Civil Judge to this Court.

You are required to attend and conduct the suit.

Shungleshut Gillah Principal Sudr Ameen's Court.

1854 Octr 14d	1854 Octr 11t	1854 Octr 16d	Rs 122	As 9	P 7	1854 Octr 26th	P 0	1854 Octr 21st Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 19. October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — Vadamavrainen

---

Dr Dr Dr

To The 1st Defendant Maureyappa Moodily son of Putcheyappa Moodely residing in house No 46 in the Govindappa Naike's Street at Peddoo Naike's Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take Notice that the suit instituted by the Plaintiff in the District Moonsiffs Court of Tripassore agt you and 6 others for the recovery of the House, ground, Backyard and merassee share of Malgoozary & Saketherazee Nunjah and Poonjah land &c valued and yielding annually Rs 122-9-7. being his one Eighth share in the village of Poonamallee in the above Zillah has been called for & referred by the Actg Civil Judge to this Court.

You are required to attend and conduct the suit.

Dr

1854 Octr 14th	1854 Octr 11th	1854 Octr 16th	Rs 122	As 9	P 7	1854 Octr 26th	P 0	1854 Octr 25th Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 24th October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him — Vadamvraenen

63	O.S. № 2 of 1852 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Tripassore Notice	Soobbaroya Pillay of Peddoo Naiks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff. 1 Apparow Moodily, 2 Moonesamy Iyer and 3 Nageremmy alias Pillay of Manalee Sydapet Taluk Defendants	To The Plaintiff Soobbaroya Pillay Son of Ramalinga Pillay the Curnum Merasseder of the villages of Manalee &c writer in the Revenue Department in the Government Office, residing in House № 233 in the East side of Numbookien's street at Peddoo Naik's Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the suit instituted by you against the Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Tripassore for the recovery of the Curnum Merassee of the Villages of Manalee, Arumoollavoyel & Vyekandoo in the aforesaid Zillah annually yielding Rs 70 — has been called for & referred by the 1st Civil Judge to this Court. You are required to attend and conduct the suit on or before the 26th October 1854 and to state your answer to certain difference found in the Extract filed by you in the suit	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court. Privy Seal under Attested Court.	1854 Oct 14	1854 Oct 11	1854 Oct 16	70-	"	"	1854 Oct 26	Vadanavaraiyen	1854 Oct 21	The Honorable Chief Justice 17 Octr 1854 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 19 October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — Vadanavaraiyen
64	O.S. № 107 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole Notice	Leander Miller Esqre of Calingapatam in the Zillah of Chicacole Plaintiff. Mr George Dunnigan now of Vepery a Suburb of Madras Defendant	Defendant George Dunnigan now residing at Vepery, a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Civil Court of Chicacole against you for the recovery of a Terraced with the Compound and out houses according to the Bill of Sale executed by you to him for 3000 Rs on the 3rd June 1854 at Calingapatam a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Civil Court and you are required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaints.	Chicacole Zillah Civil Court	1854 Octr 11	1854 Octr 11	1854 Octr 21	3000	"	"	1854 Novr 25	Vadanavaraiyen	1854 Octr 27	The Honorable The Chief Justice 24 Octr 1854 Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 28 October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaraiyen
65	№ 2464 of 1852 on the file of the Moodys Court Amens Court Notice	Sidumbara Moodily Residing in Oyalore Moottiah Moodilys Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff 1 Coomaras amony Pillay and 2 Dalchanamoorthy Pillay Residing in Pavalaccara Street at Mootyal Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendant	1st Defendant Coomaras amony Pillay Son of Vadaccapattoo Arunamalay Pillay residing in House № 76 in the west line of Pavalaccara Street at Mootyal Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Moodys Under Amens Court against you and your son the 2d Defendant for the recovery of Rs 1852-3-9 the Principal and Interest due on a Conditional Mortgage Bond alledged to have been executed by you on the 3 September 1850 on the pledge of your house and backyard situate at Salavan Kuim in this District for Rs 570 — 12 the balance due after an adjustment of accounts relative to a simple Bond and require you to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 Octr 19	1854 Octr 9	1852 Octr 21	Rs 00	as "	ps "	Within 8 days of the service or within 15 days by letter being appointed	Vadanavaraiyen	1854 Octr 27	The Honorable The Chief Justice 24 October 1854 Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 26 October 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaraiyen

65 | No 2444 of 1854 on the file of the Moosly's Sudr Ameen's Court Notice | Sidumbara Moodily residing in Oyalon Mosthiah Moodaly's street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Comaraswamy Pillay 2 Dutchanamoorthy Pillay residing in Pavalaccara street at Moothyal Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Defendants | 1st Defendant Dutchanamoorthy Pillay son of Comaraswamy Pillay residing in house No 76 in the west line of Pavalaccara street at Moothyal Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in this Court against you and the 1st Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 852-3-9 the Principal and Interest due on a Conditional Mortgage Bond alledged to have been executed by the 1st Defendant on the 3rd September 1850 on the pledge of his house and backyard situate at Salavankum in the District of Chingleput for Rs 579-12-. the balance due after an adjustment of accounts relative to a Simple bond by representing that you are his son and legal heir entitled to inherit his Estates and debts and that you have made certain agreements regarding the Debt in question. and require you, to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaintiff

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as P

Octr Octr Octr
19 9 21

Within 8 days of the Service or within 15 days after the Duty of Office.

Vadanarayanen

1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th Octr 1854

Octr 30 The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 30th October 1854 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house — Vadanarayanen

66 | O.S. No 60 of 1848 A.S. No 66 of 1849 and A.S. No 226 of 1851 on the file of the Moosly Sudr Ameen's Court — Notice | Valoo Moodaly residing in House No 102 in Aucharappen street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

Special Appellant

1. Sevacaumy Ummaul
2. Soobbaroya Pundarum
3 Tanyachella Moodaly and 4. Vengoo Moodaly of Seeroothoor in the Talook of Sydapet
Defendants Special Respondents | Special Appellant Valoo Moodaly residing in House No 103 in Aucharappen street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a suburb of Madras.

You are required to appear before the Moosly Sudr Ameen's Court and state your objections if you have any to the execution of the Special Appeal Decree against you in the above cause.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 1854 1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th Octr 1854

Octr Octr Octr Octr

19th 19th 21st ... 26th

Vadanarayanen

Octr 27 The within named Special Appellant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Vadanarayanen

67 | O.S. No 921 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiff's court of Cuddalore Notice | Seenivassyengar, Sclogoo School master, residing at Munjacoopum in the District of Cuddalore — Plaintiff

1 Vanya Soobroya Chetty of the same place now residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer Godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras. 2. Vydiechetty of Munjacoopum in the Cuddalore District, now in jail. 3. Ummalammay residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Appasamy Chetty at Madras 4. Mungatayammay of Trepaplore in the Cuddalore District — Defendants | Ummalammay the 3rd Defendant residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the House of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras.

Take Notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit ~~in this Court~~ against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rs 150/ one hundred and fifty, principal and interest due upon a bond executed by the 1st Defendant in favor of Seenevassyengar Plaintiff aforesaid on the 27th Mausy of Soobacroothoo mortgaging certain property situated at Munjacoopum within the jurisdiction of this Court.

You are required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint.

Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 1854 1854

Octr Septr Octr Octr

19th 26th 23rd 150 " " 25th "

Octr 24 Returned to the Civil Judge of Cuddalore to authenticate and attest the Notice and to Certify the translations thereof as required by Section I of Act XXIII of 1850.

67	OS. No 901 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore. Notice	Seenevassyengar, Talargoo School Master residing at Munjacorpum in the District of Cuddalore Plaintiff 1 Vanya Soobroya Chetty of the same place now residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks Street eastward of the house of Beer godown of Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras. 2 Vydichetty of Munjacorpum in the Cuddalore District now in jail. 3. Unnmalammay residing in Tanjore Ramah Naikes street eastward of the house of Beer godown Appasamy Chetty at Madras 4 Mungatoyammay of Tripaplore in the Cuddalore District Defendants	Vanya Soobroya Chetty the 1st Deft residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit in this Court against you the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rs (150) One hundred and fifty, principal and interest due upon a bond, executed by you in favor of Seenivassengar Plaintiff aforesaid on the 27th Mausy of Soobacroottoo, mortgaging certain property situated at Munjacorpum within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint.	Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court.	1854	1854	1854	Rs as p	1854	1854		
									Octr	Septr	Octr		Octr	Octr	Returned to the Civil Judge to authenticate and attest the Notice and to certify the translation thereof as required by Section I of act XXIII of 1840.
									19	26	23	150	"	"	25	"	
"	OS. No 914 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore Notice	Ristnappah Naicke residing in Munjacorpum in the District of Cuddalore Plaintiff 1 Unnmalammay, 2. Soobroo Chetty alias Soobroya Chetty of the same place now residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras. 3. Vydi Chetty of the Zillah Cuddalore Debtors Jail 4 Mungatoyammay widow of Rudrappa Naicke of Tripaplorein the above Zillah Defendants	Unnmalammay the 1st Defendant residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street, eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff Ristnappah Naicke has instituted a suit in this Court against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (95-3-9) ninety five annas three and pice nine inclusive of interest due upon a bond executed by you in favor of Coomarasamy Pillay deceased on the 16th Thy of Visvavesoo mortgaging certain property situated at Munjacorpum within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint.	Do	1854	1854	1854	Rs as p	1854	1854		
									Octr	Septr	Octr		Octr	Octr	Returned to the Civil Judge to authenticate and attest the notice and to certify the translation thereof as required by Section I of act XXIII of 1840.
									19	26	23	95-3-9			25	"	
"	OS. No 914 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore Notice	Do	Vanya Soobroo Chetty alias Soobroya Chetty the 2d Defendant residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks Street eastward of the House of Beer godown Vanya Appasawmy Chetty at Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff Ristnappah Naicke has instituted a suit in this Court against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (95-3-9) ninty five annas three and pice nine inclusive of interest due on a bond executed by the 1st Defendant in favor of Coomarasamy Pillay deceased on the 16th Thy of Visvavesoo mortgaging certain property situated at Munjacorpum within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint.	Do	1854	1854	1854	Rs as p	1854	1854		
									Octr	Septr	Octr		Octr	Octr	Returned to the Civil Judge to authenticate and attest the notice and to certify the translation thereof as required by Section I of act XXIII of 1840.
									19	26	23	95-3-9			25	"	

67 | Oct. No 1754 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore Notice

1 Sabapathy Chetty, 2 Arogamooga Chetty, 3 Arounachella Chetty residing at Nunjacorpaume in the District of Cuddalore Plffs

1 Vanya Sellappa Chetty of the same place now residing at Cavoor a Village of Poonamalee in the Chingleput Zillah, 2 Soobroya Chetty of Nunjacorpaum now residing in Triplicane Ronah Stacks street eastward of the house of Beer Godown Vanya Appasawmy Chetty at Madras 3 Vydie Chetty of the Cuddalore Zillah Debtors Jail and to Mungatoy ummay widow of Addapillah Stack of Triplicane within the above Zillah Defendants

To Defendant Vanya Soobraya Chetty residing in Triplicane Ronah Stacks Street eastward of the house of Beer Godown Vanya Appasawmy Chetty at Madras

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiffs Sabapathy Chetty Arroogamooga Chetty and Arounachella Chetty of Cuddalore have instituted a Suit against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of a house house ground &c. situated within the Jurisdiction of this Court Valued at Rs 705-8 (Seven hundred and five and annas Eight) being their share of the property.

You are required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rd as P 1854 1854

Octr 19 Septr 26 Octr 23 " " " Octr 25 "

Returned to the Civil Judge to amend the Octr 24 and attest the notice and to certify the translation thereof as required by Section I of Act XXIII of 1840.

68 | Oct. No 350 of 1850 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Ateyamanal

Bommisetti Masulam Settie Plaintiff

1 Grandhi Cotappa, 2 Yellamgada son of the 1st Deft vs 3 Coorapaty Ayyavarappa Inhabitant of Cottapatam present at the house No 260 of Addala Ranyya Naidoo in Varada Mudiyappa's street at Madras Defendants

To 3 Defendant Coorapaty Ayyavarappa

Whereas there are to inform you that in Execution of the above Decree a Warrant to recover from you Rs 201-9-1 has been delivered to the Peon Amalavadhani Venkatanarsu and that until such Amount has been deposed by you the aforesaid A Venkatnarsu is to remain in your Village. And you are hereby further informed that one month from the date of this Notice, a second Peon is to remain there until due payment be made by you of the amount in question.

The batta to be paid by you for each Peon is Rupees 5 per mensem.

Nellore Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854

Octr 24 Octr 18 Octr 31 " " " "

1854 The Honorable The Chief Justice 31 October 1854

Nov 2 As the 3 Defendant Coorapaty Ayyavarappa having been taken under the Warrant in the said Suit the Ongole Peon returned without execution.

Vadamaravainen

69 | O.S. No 115 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court sitting before the Moorsiffs Court of the Zillah of Chicacole Notice

1 Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramasetty, 2 Canapanka Rungayayenaidoo of Peddenaikpet a Suburb of Madras Plaintiffs

Calagurla Simmadrigaroo Venkiah of Vizagapatam Defendant

To Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramasetty and Canapanka Rungayayenaidoo of Peddenaikpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr ameen Court on the 22 April 1854 in No 76 for recovering Rupees 107-11-11 from the Defendant Calagurla Simmadrigaroo Venkiah being the principal and Interest due on the Settlement regarding the consigned trade of Ghee has been called up by the Civil Court and having filed in the above number referred to mine on the 19 September 1854 and you are therefore required to appear for the prosecution of your Suit.

Chicacole Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854

Octr 24 Octr 19 Nov 2 107 11 " Within 30 days after Service Do

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 3 Nov 1854

Nov 15 Served personally on the within named 2 Plaintiff on the 6 November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him and that the 1st Plaintiff is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Vadamaravainen

69 O.S. No 116 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court but pending before the Moofussil Sudr Ameens Court of Chicacole Notice

1 Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakerama Setty 2 Canapaka Rungasayenadoo of Peddenaickepeta a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiffs

Naulum Summiah having died his widow and heirs Naulum Lutchmiah of Vizagapatam — Defendant

Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramaiah Setty and Canapaka Rungasayenadoo of Peddenaickpeta a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameens Court on the 22d April 1850 in No 78 for recovering Rs 142-9-1 from the late Deft Naulum Summiah being the principal and Interest due on the Settlement of accounts regarding the consigned Trade has been called up by the Civil Court and having filed in the above number referred to mine on the 19th September 1854 and you are therefore required to appear for the prosecution of your Suit.

Chicacole Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as p

Octr 24 Octr 19 Nov 2 Rs 142 9 1 — Within 30 days after Service

Vadamarraiyan

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 3 Nov 1854

Nov 15 Served personally on the within named 2nd Plaintiff on the 6th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him and the 1st Plaintiff is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras

Vadamarraiyan

" O.S. No 117 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court but pending before the Moofussil Sudr Ameens Court of Chicacole Notice

1 Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramaiah Setty 2 Canapaka Rungasayenadoo of Peddenaickpet a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiffs

Bandum Rammasawmy of Vizagapatam — Defendant

Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramaiah Setty and Canapaka Rungasayenadoo of Peddenaickpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameens Court on the 10th May 1850 in No 108 for recovering Rs 117-15-" from the Defendant Bandum Rammasawmy being the Principal and Interest due on the Settlement of accounts regarding the consigned Trade has been called up by the Civil Court and having filed in the above number referred to mine on the 19th September 1854 and you are therefore required to appear for the prosecution of your Suit.

do.

1854 1854 1854 Rs as p

Octr 24 Octr 19 Nov 2 117 15 " do. do.

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 3 Nov 1854

Nov 15 Served personally on the within named 2nd Plaintiff on the 6th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him and that the 1st Plaintiff is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Vadamarraiyan

" O.S. No 119 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court but pending before the Moofussil Sudr Ameens Court of Chicacole Notice

1 Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramaiah Setty 2 Canapaka Rungasayenadoo of Peddenaickpet a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiffs

Passomarty Batchiah of Vizagapatam — Defendant

Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeramaiah Setty and Canapaka Rungasayenadoo of Peddenaickpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameens Court on the 6th September 1854 in No 198 for recovering Rs 154 7 11 9 from the Defendant Passomarty Batchiah being the principal and Interest due on the Settlement of accounts regarding the consigned Trade has been called up by the Civil Court and having filed in the above number referred to mine on the 19th September 1854 and you are therefore required to appear for the prosecution of your Suit.

do.

1854 1854 1854 Rs as p

Octr 24 Octr 19 Nov 2 547 11 9 do. do.

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 3 Nov 1854

Nov 15 Served personally on the within named 2nd Plaintiff on the 6th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him and that the 1st Plaintiff is not to be found within local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Vadamarraiyan

70 O.S. No 54 of 51 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Vizagapatam in the Zillah of Chicacole Notice

Garoodah Juggunnaickloo — Plaintiff

1 Chinnum Atchiah, 2 Tottecellah Valoo Moodiliar — Defendants

having died his sons & Heirs, 1 Tottecellah Ramasawmy Moodiliar 2 do Latchmanah Sawmy Moodiliar 3 do Kesavah Moodiliar 4 do Vasoodavah Moodeliar being Minors their mother & Guardian 5 Poonnamamee alias Poonamanamah Supplemental Defendants

Supplemental Defendants Tottecellah Ramasawmy Moodiliar, 2 do Latchmanah Sawmy Moodiliar, 3 do Kesavah Moodiliar, 4 do Vasoodavah Moodiliar being Minors their Mother & Guardian 5 Poonnamamee alias Poonamanamah residing in the Street of Sanjavaroos Pungulah Naidoo in Peddenaydoopettah at Madras

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff and 1st Defendant have presented a Razeenamah in the Court praying

Chicacole Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as p

Octr 20 Octr 24 Nov 4 834 1 " Within 5 days after Service do.

1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 3 November 1854

Nov 20 Served personally on the within named 3rd Supplemental Defendant on the 18th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to her.

Vadamarraiyan

praying that the Gold and Silver articles Valued at Rupees 836—1—" deposited with the Surety Gungabuttoolah Rajunah an account of the above suit or their value should be made over to the Plaintiff. You are therefore required to attend and to state your objections if you have any to the terms of the said Razeenamah.

71 No. 349 of 1854 on the file of the Moofsee's Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice

1 Vencatachalapathy Sonalaboo in the Talook of Tchooloroor Plaintiff
Ramaroyer of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Defendant

1 Defendant Ramaroyer son of Puttry Mudvaroyer residing in house No. 41 in the East line in Sarody street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Court has instituted a Suit in the Moofsee's Sudr Ameen's Court representing that you have sold to Plaintiff your own Meraspa share out of 32 whole shares of Sonalaboo in the Tcroolooroor Talook within the limits of the Chingleput District and executed a Bill of Sale in his favor on Stamped Cadjan at Triplicane on the 24th April 1852 for the same, but that you have not caused puttahs to be issued in his name and therefore praying for an Award for Puttahs being issued in his name for the said Share. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as 8

Nov 4 Octr 28 hor 6 " " "

Within 8 days of the service of this 15 days after the Defendant's the Notice being effected.

Vadamaraiaen

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 7 hor. 1854

Nov 11 Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 10th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

Vadamaraiaen

" No. 377 of 1854 on the file of the Moofsee's Sudr Ameen's Court. Notice

1 Nulloor Sheneva Parayavachoriar of Alesoor in the Talook of Cotteramangar Plaintiff

1 Parasoorama Moodely 2 Venkata Chelley Moodely 3 Pucky appa Moodely of the above Village 4 Nagappa Nainakawalia 5 Ananda Moodely of Cauttary of Vundaravaur Talook in the Chittoor District, 5 Venkatasa Chetty 6 Gungadara Moodely 7 Puchayappa Moodely 8 Iyahsawmy Moodely 9 Soondara Pillay of the aforesaid Alesoor, 10 Appavoo Moodely 11 Ratna Moodely residing in Paroomal Coil street Southward to Saunacolum at Madras 12 Saunappa Naicken and 13 Gengoo Chetty of the aforesaid Alesoor. Defendants

10 Defendant Appavoo Moodely son of Parasoorama Moodely residing in House No. 24 in the East line in Paroomal Coil Garden street South of Saunacolum at Madras.

Take notice that the Court Vakeel Vencatavaradier on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Moofsee's Sudr Ameen's Court to recover Rupees 112 alleged to be due on the mortgage of 5 shares to Plaintiff by 5 Defendant whose father Mootho Chetty had held them on the same terms for Pagodas 32 from Parasoorama Moodely (father of Gounapa 6 & 11 Defendant) by whom the said 5 shares together with 5 others shares in all 10 out of 106 whole shares of the Village of Alesoor of the Cotteramangar Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said Court had been mortgaged for Pagodas 90 and odd by Chetty Ramasamy & others and also to recover Rupees 186—11—11 value of 1852/53 Crops of produce and straw for the year Ramadeecha and representing that you and other Defendants prevent him (Plaintiff) from cultivating the said mortgage lands, prays for an award interdicting the interference of yourself and other Defendants with the enjoyment by Plaintiff of the Nunja & Punja Cottah and lands mentioned in the Plaint until the mortgage shall have been redeemed in the event of awarding to him only the amount of Costs sued for. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.

D.o

1854 1854 1854

Nov 4 Octr 28 hor 6 " " " D.o D.o

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 7 hor. November 1854

Nov. Served personally on the within named 10 Defendant on the 9th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

Vadamaraiaen

72	No. 82 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Injambooor Notice	Vencalachella Naick of Vadasundanum in the Talook of Sydapett — Plaintiff Danacoty Naick Residing in House No. 2 in Vencalachella Naicks street at Ottavadoy of Comalees warem Cowl a Suburb of Madras — 1st Defendant	1st Defendant Danacoty Naick Take notice that the Court Vakeel Vencatrow on behalf of Plaintiff has presented a motion praying for the execution of the Decree passed on the 22d May 1848 in Suit No. 82 of 1848 You are therefore required to appear and to state your objections if you have any.	Chinnapah Pillai Civil Court	1854 Nov 11	1854 Oct 30	1854 8th	Rs. 179	As. 12	Within 15 days of the Service of this Notice in which the Defendant is required to appear.	Vadamavraiven	1854 Nov 10th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 7th November 1854 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 10th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamavraiven				
73	A. S. No. 75 of 1854 in the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Vizagapatam Notice.	Volaty Ramaswamy Naidoo — Appellant Goday Venkat Narrainarow — Respondent	Respondent Goday Venkat Narrainarow of Vizagapatam at present residing in Choultry Street Madras Take notice that the aforesaid appellant has presented his appeal reasons on the 22d Ultimo in an appeal suit preferred by him. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver your appeal answer to the appeal reasons.	Chicacole Zillah Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1854 Nov 28th	1854 Oct 28th	1854 Nov 7th	Rs.	As.	Within 15 days of this Service.	Do.	1854 Nov 10th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 7th November 1854 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 9th November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadamavraiven				
74	No. 463 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Notice	1 Dhara Ramasawmy, 2 Do. Chendra Aboali inhabitants of Ongole, 3 Pocuri Adrayadu inhabitants of Do — Plaintiffs 1 Pocuri Peda Appaya, 2 Do. Ramasawmy undivided sons of Do. Residing at present at the house No. 18 in the Ratan street at Vattavadu a Suburb of Madras. Defendants	1st Defendant Pocuri Peda Appaya. You are hereby informed that the record of a Suit brought by the said Plaintiffs against you and the other Defendants before the Principal Sudr Ameen for the recovery of Rupees 179 — 12 being the amount of principal and Interest due on a Document executed by yourself to Dhara Gopala Sastrulu Father of the Plaintiffs on the 8th Aushuja Sukkhum of the year Kilaka corresponding with the 5th October 1848 at Ongole in the Jurisdiction of the said Court having been transferred to the District Moonsiffs Court. You are to endorse the Notice and appear to defend the Suit.	Peddy Chinnah Pillai Civil Court	1854 Nov 7th	1854 Oct 28th	1854 Nov 9th	Rs. 179	As. 12	1854 Nov 30th	Do.	1854 Nov 17th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th Nov 1854 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 17 November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamavraiven				
	No. 463 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Notice	Do.	2d Defendant Pocuri Ramasawmy You are hereby informed that the record of a Suit brought by the said Plaintiff against you and the other Defendant before the Principal Sudr Ameen for the recovery of Rupees 179 — 12 — being the Amount of principal and Interest due on a Document executed by the 1st Defendant to Dhara Gopala Sastrulu the father the Plaintiff on the 8th Aushuja Sukkhum of the year Kilaka corresponding with the 5th October 1848 at Ongole in the Jurisdiction of the said Court having been transferred to the District Moonsiffs Court. You are to endorse the notice and appear to defend the suit	Do.	1854 Nov 7th	1854 Oct 28th	1854 Nov 9th	179	12	1854 Nov 30th	Do.	1854 Nov 18th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th Nov 1854 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 17 November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamavraiven				

75 O.S.No. 469 of 1849 on the file of the Sadr Ameen's Court Notice

Ramen Supplemental Plaintiff of Injicolly in Valengaman Taluk

Sunnavein alias Sanoovein Defendant of the said place

Vengitramen residing in Vengitapathy Mudeliar's garden at Royanpettah Madras.

Take Notice that the aforesaid Supplemental Plaintiff Ramen son of the Plaintiff Y. deceased has put in a petition M.P.No 699 of 1854, stating that the Defendant having died childless and left unpaid the Amount of the decree due by him in the said number, you, his undivided Elder brother who had left this part of the Country previous to the institution of the said Suit, and your son Sanoovein alias Ramen who is now at the said Injicolly enjoying the family property, real and personal, are liable for that amount, and praying to collect from you both Rs 1858-8-8 which is the Amount of the decree Costs and Interest due as well as subsequent Costs. You are therefore required to attend and make known your objections if you have any.

Comlacunum Zillah Civil Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as ℔

Novr Novr Novr
9th 4th 13th 858 8 8

Within 15 days after Service

Vadanaraiven

1854 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton the Novr 1854

Decr Served personally on the within named
5th Vengitramien on the 4th December 1854
by the Duplicate of the Original Notice
and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanaraiven

76 Pauper A.S.No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

Caramasetti Vrama inhabitant of Rajapatam attached to Cottapatam & Cugale Jalsa & Pauper Applicant

& Caramasetti Sitamma wife thereof guardian of Pitchaya & Abbayi minor sons of the late Polisetti inhabitants of Chinacurti and at present at Cottapatam, 2 Do Polisetti 3 Do Ramaswamy, 4 Do Subbarayadu, 5 Do Narasimhulu sons of the Younger brother of the said late Polisetti, and inhabitants of Canjivij Durgum in the Village of Cuddapah, 6 Do Guravappa Brother of the late Polisetti inhabitant of Chinacurti, 7 Do Vencatanarsu Cousin of Do inhabitant of Cottapatam, 8 Do Raghavulu, 9 Do Subbalakshmi residing in the house No 113 in Varada Mutiyappa Mudali's street at Peddinayakapettah a Suburb of Madras, 10 Yammalapenta Polisetti alias Peraya inhabitant of Madras and at present residing at Nellore Respondents

8th Respondent Caramasetti Raghavulu & residing at the house No 113 in Varada Mallyappa Moodaliy's street at Peddenayakapettah a Suburb of Madras.

You are hereby informed that the Suit brought by the said Pauper applicant against the 1st Respondents husband Caramasetti Polisetti & the 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 9 Respondents and Caramasetti Guravappa husband of the 7 and brotherinlaw of the 10 Respondents and you for the recovery of Rupees 2052 being the amount of a Share of the property remaining at Rajapatam attached to Cottapatam in this Jurisdiction was in Original Suit No 28 of 1849 tried by the Moonsiff Sudr Ameen who passed his decree on reinvestigation of the Suit adjudging the Defendants to pay Rupees 224 and the heirs of the 8 Respondent to pay the Costs to the Pauper applicant upon which the Pauper applicant preferred an appeal in forma Pauperis praying to reverse the decree and to pass a fresh decision as per his Claim which was filed on the 19th Instant in Pauper Appeal No 12 of 1854. You are therefore required to appear & represent any strong reasons you may have to disprove the poverty of the Pauper applicant.

Nellore Zillah Court

1854 1854 1854 Rs as ℔

Novr Octr Novr
10th 31st 13th " " ℔ ℔

1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 14th November 1854

Jan
4th served personally on the within named
8th Respondent on the 4th January 1855 by the
Duplicate of the Original Notice and trans-
lation being delivered to him.

Vadanaraiven

Pauper A.S.No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

℔

9th Respondent Caramasetti Subbalakshmi residing in the house No 113 in Varada Mutiyappa Mudaliy's street at Peddinayakapettah a Suburb of Madras.

You are hereby informed that the Suit brought by the said Pauper applicant against the 1st Respondents husband Caramasetti Polisetti and the 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8 Respondents and Caramasetti Guravappa husband of your brotherinlaw of the 10 Respondent and yourself for the recovery of Rs 2052, being the amount of a Share of the property remaining at Rajapatam attached to Cottapatam in this Jurisdiction was in O.S. No 28 of 1849 tried by the Moonsiff Sudr Ameen who passed his Decree on reinvestigation of the Suit adjudging the Defendants to pay Rupees 224 and the heirs of the 8 Defendant to pay the Costs to the Pauper applicant, upon which the Pauper applicant preferred an appeal in forma Pauperis praying to reverse the said Decree and to pass a fresh decision as per his Claim which was filed on the 19th Instant in Pauper Appeal No 12 of 1854 you are therefore required to appear and represent any strong reasons you may have to disprove the poverty of the Pauper Applicant.

℔

1854 1854 1854

Novr Octr Novr
10th 31st 13th " " ℔ ℔

1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 14th Novr 1854

Jan
4th served personally on the within named
9th Respondent on the 4th January 1855 by
the Duplicate of the Original Notice and
translation being delivered to her. but
she has refused to affix her signature
to the Notice.

Vadanaraiven

77	O.S.No. 600 of 1853, A.S.No. 66 of 1854 & S.A.S.No. 26 of 1851 in the Civil Court of Chingleput. Notice	Valoo Moodaly Residing in House No. 103 in Anchaneya Street at Pedro Naicken Pettah a Saburb of Madras Plaintiff & Special Appellant 1 Sevacanny Nunnall 2 Soobaroya Pundarum 3 Tungachella Moodaly & 4 Vajoo Moodaly of Puvanta in the Talook of Sydapett Defendants & Special Respondents	Special Appellant Valoo Moodelly residing in House No. 103 in Anchaneya Street at Pedroo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras. You are required to appear before the Civil Court and to state your objections if you have any to the execution of the Special appeal Decree against you in the above cause.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 Nov 13	1854 Nov 13	1854 Nov 14	" " "	Within 10 days after service on you of the duplicate of this notice & Copy of the Petition of Appeal. Vadanarrainen	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 14 Nov 1854
										Nov 17	The within named Special appellant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation was on the 17 November 1854 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
78	O.S. No. 713 of 1854 on the file of the District Monsiff's Court of Cuddalore. Notice	1 Sevachedumbra chetty cloth merchant, Son of Tanappa Chetty residing in Cusbah of Cuddalore Plaintiff 1 Captain C. C. Cottrill of the same place in the Soldiers lane now residing in Parasavocke at Madras where 1st Defendant his wife is died	Defendant Captain C. C. Cottrill residing in Parasavock at Madras. Take notice that the suit instituted by the aforesaid Plaintiff in the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court against you and 1st Defendant your wife for the recovery of Rs 300 three hundred inclusive of principal and interest, being the balance due on a bond executed by your wife the 1st Defendant while a resident of Cuddalore situated within the jurisdiction of this Court, in favor of the said Plaintiff, after a settlement of accounts on the 27th July 1847 to which bond you have acknowledged liability and jointly with your wife filed answer thereto and proceeded to Madras having after the close of pleadings been referred to this Court for decision and information having been received that your wife had subsequently died and further the Vakeel having moved the Court to proceed against you as the heir of your deceased wife the 1st Defendant. Now this is to inform you of the same and you are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint aforesaid.	Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court.	1854 Nov 11	1854 Oct 16	1854 Nov 15	" " "	1854 Dec 14	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 17 Nov 1854
										Nov 22 Do	The within named Defendant Captain C. C. Cottrell is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
79	No. 268 of 1854 on the file of the Mooftey Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput Notice	Cana Kummall wife of C Sashady Iyengar of Cottamaroor in the Talook of Cottamaroor Plaintiff 1 Cumberpellay Streenevasa Iyengar of Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras 2 Vengaly Pullay Ramanoja Iyengar of the above Cottamaroor Defendants	1st Defendant Cumberpellay Streenevasa Iyengar son of C Venkatachellapathy Iyengar residing in House No. 18 in the North Veia of Ravanier's street South of Yacombeana-dura Pagoda at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court Vakeel M. Renga Row on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a suit in the Mooftey Sudr Ameens Court to cause the surrender to Plaintiff of certain Nunjah and Poonjah lands by your 1st Defendant who continues to cultivate the said lands after representing that Plaintiffs husband Sadharanah mortgaged to you on usufruct tenure for Rs 78 — the Cawnies of Nunjah & Poonjah lands belonging to Plaintiffs father in the Village of Cottaramaroor within the limits of this Zillah and executed a Deed for the same & that you have also executed on the said date a Counter Document in favor of Plaintiffs husband & that on Plaintiffs demanding you to restore the said lands after receiving the said 78 Rupees from him you refused to receive the money & restore the said lands to him you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 Nov 15	1854 Nov 17	1854 Nov	" "	Within 8 days after service on you of the duplicate of this notice & Copy of the Petition of Appeal.	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 17 Nov 1854
										Nov 21 Do	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 19 November 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen

80	O.S.No 901 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Cuddalore Notice	Seenevasyengar Selugoo Residing at Munjacoupum in the District of Cuddalore, Plaintiff	1st Defendant Vanya Soobroya Chetty, residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasawmy Chetty at Madras.	1854	1854	1854	Rs. as P.		1854		1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17 November 1854			
		1 Vanya Soobroya Chetty of the same place now residing in Tanjoor Ramah Naicks Street eastward of the House of Beer godown Vanya Appasawmy Chetty at Madras, 2 Vydi chetty of Munjacoupum in the Cuddalore District, known in Jail, 3 Unmalamma Residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street east ward of the house of Beer godown of Appasawmy Chetty at Madras 4 Mungatoy Unmmay of Tripapulore in the Cuddalore District Defendants	Take Notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of (Rs 150) One hundred and fifty principal and Interest due upon a bond executed by you in favor of Seeneva Syengar Plaintiff aforesaid on the 27th Inawy of Soobacroothoo Mortgaging Certain property situated at Munjacoupum within the Jurisdiction of the said Court. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer.												Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court	
"	O.S.No 901 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore Notice	Do	3rd Defendant Unmalamma residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasawmy Chetty at Madras.	1854	1854	1854	Rs. as P.		1854		1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17 Nov. 1854			
			Take Notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rs (150) One hundred and fifty principal & Interest due upon a bond executed by the 1st Defendant in favor of Seeneva Syengar Plaintiff aforesaid on the 27th Inawy of Soobacroothoo Mortgaging certain property situated at Munjacoupum within the Jurisdiction of the said Court. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.														
"	O.S.No 917 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore Notice	1 Sabapathy Chetty, 2 Arunnoya Chetty & 3 Arunachella Chetty residing at Munjacoupum in the District of Cuddalore Plaintiff.	2nd Defendant Vanya Soobroya Chetty residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras.	1854	1854	1854	Rs. As. P.		1854		1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17 Nov. 1854			
		1 Vanya Sellappa Chetty of the same place now residing at Cavoor a village of Nonamalla in the Chingleput Zillah, 2 Soobroya Chetty of Munjacoupum now residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks Street east ward of the house of Beer godown Vappasamy Chetty at Madras. 3 Vydi Chetty of the Cuddalore Zillah of Debtors Jail and 4 Mungatoy Unmmay widow of Adeappah Naick of Tripapulore in the above Zillah Defendants	Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff Sabapathy Chetty, Arunnoya Chetty and Arunachella Chetty of Cuddalore have instituted a suit against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of a house ground & Co situated within the jurisdiction of this Court valued at Rupees (705-8-0) Seven hundred and five & annas Eight, being their share of property. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint.	Nov 11	Oct 30	Nov 17	705-8 "		Dec 14	Do	Dec 15	The within named 2nd Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras Vadanarayanen			
"	O.S.No 964 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore Notice	Kistnappah Naick residing in Munjacoupum in the District of Cuddalore Plaintiff.	1st Defendant Unmalamma, residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks Street, eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras	1854	1854	1854	Rs. As. P.		1854		1854	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17 Nov 1854			
		1 Unmalamma, 2. Soobbo Chetty alias Soobroya Chetty of the same place now residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appasamy Chetty at Madras 3. Vydi chetty of the Zillah Cuddalore Debtors Gaol & 4 Mungatoyamma Widow of Ande appah Naick of Tripapulore in the above Zillah Defendants	Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff Kistnappah Naicke has instituted a suit in this Court against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (95-3-9) ninty five annas three and nine exclusive of interest due upon a bond executed by you in favor of Coonaracha Pelley deceased on the 16th Day of Visvanaden mortgaging certain property situated at Munjacoupum within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an Answer	Nov 11	Oct 30	Nov 17	95-3-9		Dec 14	Do	Dec 15	The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras Vadanarayanen			

80	C.S. No 314 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Cuddalore Notice.	Kistnappah Naicke residing at Munjacoopum in the District of Cuddalore Plaintiff 1 Ummalummay, 2 Soobboo Chetty alias Soobroya Chetty of the same place now residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appa Iaw my Chetty at Madras. 3 Vydi Chetty of the Zillah Cuddalore Debtors Sail V to Mungatay ummay Widow of Andiappah Naick of Trepaplore in the above zillah Defendants.	2d Defendant Vanya Soobboo Chetty alias Soobroya Chetty residing in Tanjore Ramah Naicks street eastward of the house of Beer godown Vanya Appa Iaw my Chetty at Madras Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff Kistnappah Naick has instituted a suit in this Court against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (95-3-9) namely five, annas three and Pie nine inclusive of interest due on a bond executed by the 1st Deft in favor of Coomarasamy Pillay deceased on the 16th Thy of Visvavesoo mortgaging Certain property situated at Munjacoopum within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court.	1854 Nov 11	1854 Oct 30	1854 Nov 17	0 0 95. 3-9	0 0 8	1854 Dec 14	Vadamarraiyan.	1854 Dec 15	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 17th November 1854 The within named 2d Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadamarraiyan
81	No 272 of 1854 on the file of the Moffly Sudr Ameen Court Notice	1 Arnachala Pillay of Syamumbaukum in the Taluke of Tenamullee Plaintiff 1 Paupoy Ummah, 2 Yaleerajoolo Naicke and 3 C. Vencatasawmy Naicke of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	1st Defendant Paupoy Ummah widow of the deceased Nootalapanty Bashiacaurloo Naicke residing in House No 58 in Narayana Moodaly's street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a suburb of Madras Take notice that the Court vakeel Soobraya pilley on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted an action in the Moffly Sudr Ameens Court against you, your Son of 3 years old the 2d Defendant and your executor the 3d Defendant, stating that while plaintiff was employed on a salary of 8 Rupees in the year 1846 in the Indigo Manufactories belonging to your husband Bashiacaurloo Naicke in the villages of Syaunumbaukum & Ulteeput within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput your husband purchased grain from Plaintiff for Rupees 250 & borrowed of him Rupees 100 to give to ryots for Indigo plants and also Rupees 50 for payment to the Dooms of the said Manufactories & that he (your husband) subsequently departed this life without liquidating the said money and therefore praying to recover for him the above specified sum of Rs 400 together with Rupees 240 alledged to be due by your husband on account of Plaintiffs Salary from March 1850 to September 1853 and also Rupees 144-9-4 interest alledged to have accrued on the amount of price of the grain mentioned above making in all Rupees 784-9-4 alledging that the said Manufactories & the articles belonging thereto have been placed under him as pledge for the said Amounts. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the plaint-	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1854 Nov 29	1854 Nov 18	1854 Nov 30	Rs As P 784-9-4				1854 Dec 23	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 1st Dec 1854 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 22d December 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to her but that she has refused to affix her signature to the notice- Vadamarraiyan
	No 272 of 1854 on the file of the Moffly Sudr Ameen Court Notice	Do	3d Defendant Coorumu Vencatasawmy Naicke residing in House No 68 in Narayana Moodaly's street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court vakeel Soobraya pilley on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted an action in the Moffly Sudr Ameen Court against you as executor of the 1st & 2d Defendants and also against 1st Defendant and her Son of 3 years old the 2d Defendant, stating that while plaintiff was employed on a salary of 8 Rs in the year 1846 in the Indigo Manufactories belonging to 1st Defendants husband Bashiacaurloo Naicke in the villages of Syaunumbaukum and Ulteeput within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput 1st Defendants husband purchased grain from Plaintiff for Rupees 250 and borrowed of him Rs 100 to give to ryots for Indigo plants and also Rupees 50 for payment to the Dooms of the said Manufactories, & that he the said Bashiacaurloo Naicke subsequently departed this life without liquidating the said money, & therefore praying to recover for him Plaintiff the above specified sum of Rupees 400 together with Rs 240 alledged to be due by 1st Defts husband on account of Plaintiffs salary from March 1850 to September 1853 and also Rs 144-9-4 interest alledged to have accrued on the amount of price of grain mentioned above making in all Rs 784-9-4 alledging that the said Manufactories and the articles belonging thereto have been placed under him as pledge for the said amount. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Do	1854 Nov 29	1854 Nov 18	1854 Nov 30	Rs As P 784-9-4	Do	Do	1854 Dec 23	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 1st Dec 1854 Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 22d December 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadamarraiyan

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days from the date on which the copy here of is affixed.

82	A.S. No. 111 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chittoor Notice	Raja Coomara Vencata Perumal Raj Bahadoor the son of Raja Bommaraz Bahadoor Zemindar of Carvetnuggur in the Zillah of Chittoor Residing at Carvetnuggur in the Zillah of Chittoor — Appellant Lalpet Vencatasa Naick of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Respondent	Respondent Lalpet Vencatasa Naick Residing in the house door No. 15 situated in the street of Vencatasa Naick at Triplicane a suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Appellant Original Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Subordinate Judge in the Original Suit brought by you against him for the recovery of the Village of Mangadoo situated within the Jurisdiction of the Civil Court annually yielding a produce of Rs 1188-9-- and a Garden valued at 500 Rupees together with their past produce amounting in all to Rupees 6145 -- -- preferred an appeal on the 12th July 1854 through the Court Vakeel V Varada Charry which was admitted by the said Civil Court - You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Appeal Petition.	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs	a	p	1854	Vadanavarinen	1854	The Honorable The Chief Justice 8th Decr 1854
					Decr 6th	Decr 6th	Decr 8	6145	.		Decr 20th		Decr 12th	Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 9th December 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.- Vadanavarinen
83	O.S. No. 296 of 1851 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court at Vizagapatam Notice	Birah Surpatty Row — Plaintiff 1 Sotteecullah Ramaswamy Modeliar 2 Dr Lutchmanasawamy Dr 3 Dr Kasavah Dr 4 Dr Vassodavah Dr being minors their mother and Guardians Ponnamunnee alias Ponamunnaumah — Defendants	Defendants 1st Sotteecullah Ramaswamy Modeliar 2nd Dr Lutchmanah swamy Dr 3rd Dr Kasavah Dr 4th Dr Vassodavah Dr being minors their mother & Guardian 5th Ponnamunnee alias Ponnamunnamah residing in the street of Rungiah Naidoo in Peddenaickenpettah at Madras.- Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has presented a motion in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen at Vizagapatam, praying that the sum of Rs 858-4-8 may be recovered from you on account of a Decree passed in his favor. You are therefore required to attend and to state your objections if you have any to the prayer of the aforesaid motion.	Chicacole Zillah Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1854	1854	1854	Rs	a	p			1854	The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Decr 1854
					Decr 2nd	Novr 15th	Decr 9th	858	4	8	within 15 days after service	Dr	Decr 22nd	Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 21st December 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice being delivered to her.- Vadanavarainen
84	C.S. No. 82 of 1852 on the file of the Civil Court of the Zillah of Masulipatam Notice	Saubropetta Venkatasa Naidoo son and heir of the late Venkatapaty Naidoo Zemindar the son of the late Venkatasa Naidoo of Triplicane a suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1st Rajah Simhadry Apparow Zemindar and 2nd Dr Venkatadry Apparow Zemindar Now at Adeyappah Naidoo's street a suburb of Madras Defendants	The Plaintiff Saubropetta Venkatasa Naidoo, son and heir of the late Venkatapaty Naidoo Zemindar, the son of the late Venkatasa Naidoo residing in house No at Triplicane a suburb of Madras.- Take Notice that as Vuttah Luchmana charloo a Pleader on your behalf in the above suit pending in the Civil Court of Masulipatam between you and the Defendants, is dead.- You are required to attend and proceed with the suit and further it being understood that out of the Witnesses cited by you one named Nadamungalum Sreerungacharloo an inhabitant of Triplicane a suburb of Madras, and a Person named Baulayee an inhabitant of Mysore and at present a resident at Madras, were absent from the above stations, you are required to state their actual places of residence.-	Masulipatam Zillah Civil Court	1854	1854	1854				1855		1854	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15th December 1854
					Decr 11th	Decr 11th	Decr 15th	"	"	"	Jan 15th	Dr	Decr 23rd	Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 23rd December 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered.- Vadanavaracen

85	O.S. № 38 of 1854 in the Court of the late Hinder Sudr Ameen Notice	Vengetramier, now living in Vengadapaty Naicks garden at Royapettah Madras. Plaintiff 1. Ramien 2 Renganoyakeeammal of Ingiccolly in Valungaman Talook Supplemental Defendants	The Plaintiff Vengetramien, now living in Vengadapaty Naicks garden at Royapettah Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Defendants have presented a Motion № 2113/1854 praying to recover for them from you Rupees 3059-10-4 being the Amount of the Decree including interest and the value of the Stamp paper of the said Motion, in accordance with the Decree passed by the Civil Judge on the 3d October 1853 in O.S. № 272 of 1852 (preferred by you) modyfying the original one; and at the same time requesting that you be put in possession of 18 Valies 7 maws and 98 Coolies of Nunjah and Poonjah Ds land (which is now in their enjoyment) situated in the Village of Woonamahadwaram in Tervoradytalook and valued at Rs 1119-12-4. You are therefore required to attend and take possession of the said lands on paying the foregoing amount; and in default thereof the Amount due to the Defendants will be realized by a sale of the said lands.	Combaconum Zillah Civil Court	1854 Dcer 13	1854 Decr 9	1854 Decr 18	3059	10-4	within 15 days from the date of the receipt of the Notice	Vadanarayanien	1855 Feb 2	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th December 1854	Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 2d February 1855 by the Duplicate of the original Notice and translation being delivered to him.	Vadanarayanien
86	№ 538 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court Trespasse re Summons	Gopaulakristnamacharier alias Kristnamacharier Son of Vorlley anelloor Sashadry Syengar residing in House № 78 in the North line of Vyconta Vaddyars street at Peddon Naickes Pettah & Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Nauttoo Ragavacharier and 2 Arien Sashiengar of Madavoy Vausal in the Talook of Poryapaulieum Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st Witness Venkatacharier residing in House № 3 in Venkatiers street at Mooltyalo Pettah a Suburb of Madras. The aforesaid Plaintiff having cited you as a Witness in the matter of dispute between both parties in Cause № 538 of 1854 and therefore you are required to appear before Narrainsawmy Naidoo the District Moonsiff of Trepassore № in the Court at Poonamallee to give your evidence on behalf of the said Plaintiff.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 Decr 16	1854 Decr 12	1854 Decr 18	"	"	within 5 days from the date of service	Dr	1854 Decr 22	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th December 1854	Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 21st December 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him but he has refused to affix his signature to the Summons.	Vadanarayanien

1	No 21 of 1854 in the Collectors Office in the Zillah of Chingleput & No 95 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	1 Rawky Shunmooga Moodily 2 Coondarama Moodily 3 Malayson Kistna Moodily 4 Jabapathy Moodily of Pommary in the Nayer Jalook Tancy Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiffs & Respondents	Respondent Taneyachella Moodily Son of Seevoor anpanny Comaravawny Moodily residing in House No 17 in Soobramanya Moodaly's Street at Pedoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Defendants have preferred an appeal to the Court against the award passed by the Collector in the aforesaid number. You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1854 Decr 29.	1854 Decr 29	1855 Jany 2.	"	"	"	Within 5 days after Service	Vadamarcainen	1855 Jany 9	The Honorable The Chief Justice 2 January 1855 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 8 January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarcainen
2	O.S. No 631 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Vellore Notice	1 Shroff Syalee Reddy residing in Cosapettah at Vellore Plaintiff 1 Ramasawmy Naik residing in Cosapettah at Vellore, 2 Parthasardy Naick, residing at Madras, son of Ramanjeloo Naik, a writer in the Office of Mr Smyth the Advocate General of the Supreme Court at Madras Defendants	2d Defendant Parthasarady Naik residing at Cosapolliem, son of Ramanjeloo Naik a writer in the Office of Mr Smyth the Advocate General of the Supreme Court at Madras Take notice that the Plaintiff instituted a suit for the recovery of Rs 197 — Ninety seven, being the rent and value of a Bezan due under a deed executed by the undivided elder brother of the 1st and father of the 2d Defendant on the 4th Sye of the year Paredavee, corresponding with the 16th January 1853, in his shop situated in the Military Bazar at Vellore within the Jurisdiction of this Court, in favor of the aforesaid Plaintiff. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court.	1854 Decr 30	1854 Decr 28	1855 Jany 4	Rs 197	As "	"	1855 Jany 16	Do	1855 Jany 9	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5 January 1855 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 8 January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original notice & translation being delivered to him. Vadamarcainen
3	O.S. No 252 of 1854 on the file of the Moosfy Sudr Amens Court Notice	1 Bellum Kristnachetty Son of Bellum Ramachetty of Cumbatore Plaintiff 1 Veeraswamyen alias Soobbalutchmien 2 Bellum Venkatarama Chetty Defendants	1st Defendant Veeraswamyen alias Soobbalutchmien He is by caste Bramin, by profession, a writer in Police Cutcherry and resides at Rely appen street in the house No 18 situated on the east of Velookaranattoo varoo at Madras. Whereas Sesomalien Vakeel for Plaintiff Bellum Kristna Chetty has instituted a suit against you and the 2d Defendant for the recovery of the sum of Rupees 100 — being the balance of the Principal and Interest due on an unstamped Bond — and you are hereby required to appear and give your answer.	Coimbatore Zillah Civil Court	1855 Jany 7	1854 Decr 10	1855 Jany 14	15	100	"	"	Within 30 days after Service	"	1855 Jany 17	The Honorable Sir W. W. Burton declines to sign this notice as no fact is stated in the process shewing that the Defendant is subject to the Jurisdiction of the Court issuing it. By Order (signed) J. W. L. Ricketts clerk to the Honble Sir W. W. Burton
4	O.S. No 314 of 1849 on the file of the Principal Sudr Amens Court of Vizagapatam Notice	1 Motamurry Janakeerama Chetty 2 Budapankah Rungasayee Naidoo Appellant Plaintiffs 1 Dokaravapoo Moorthey Respondent Defendant	Plaintiffs 1 Motamurry Janakeerama Chetty alias Janakeeramaiah, and 2 Budapankah Rungasayee Naidoo residing in Peddapettah near the Chengavey Market at Madras. Take Notice that the Appeal Petition presented in your behalf against the Decision passed in the above suit has been forwarded to the Civil Court of Chicacole on the 8th Instant — You are therefore required to attend the said Court	Chicacole Zillah Register of Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Amens Court	1855 Jany 8	1855 Jany 5	1855 Jany 15	"	"	"	"	Vadamarcainen	1855 Jany 24	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 15th January 1855 Served personally on the within named 2d Deft Budapankah Rungasayee Naidoo on the 22d January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him, and that Motamurry Janakeerama Chetty alias Janakeeramaiah the 1st Plaintiff within named is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras Vadamarcainen
"	O.S. No 315 of 1849 on the file of the Principal Sudr Amens Court of Vizagapatam Notice	1 Motamurry Janakeerama Chetty 2 Budapankah Rungasayee Naidoo appellants Plaintiffs 1 Dokaravapoo Iyapah having died his Sons and Heirs 1 Appoodoo 2 Narsinloo 3 their Mother Lanamah Respondent Defendants	Plaintiffs 1 Motamurry Janakeerama Chetty alias Janakeeramaiah and 2 Budapankah Rungasayee Naidoo residing in Peddapettah near the Chengavey Market at Madras. Take notice that the Appeal Petition presented in your behalf against the Decision passed in the above suit has been forwarded to the Civil Court of Chicacole on the 5th Inst. You are therefore required to attend the said Court	Do	1855 Jany 8	1855 Jany 5	1855 Jany 15	"	"	"	"	Do	1855 Jany 24	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 15th January 1855 Served personally on the within named 2d Plaintiff Budapankah Rungasayee Naidoo on the 22d January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him and that Motamurry Janakeerama Chetty alias Janakeeramaiah the 1st Plaintiff within named is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras Vadamarcainen

No. on the file of the Court.	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served	From what Court.	Date of Letters	Receipt when issued	When Received	Amount	Parties when to appear	By whom served	When returned	Remarks.
5	No. 281 of 1852 A.S. No. 2 of 1855 on the file of this Court. Notice	Ramasawmy Chetty son of Condaly Goorooswppa Setty of Moothialoopettah in the Taloork of Conjeveram Plaintiff Appellant — Condasspa Setty Son of Gommade =agaloo Venatas alias Setty residing in house No. 15 in Parala cara street at Moothialoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras Supplemental Deft. 3 Respondent	3d. Respondent Condusspa Setty son of Goommadeccoy alias Venatasawmy Setty residing in House No. 15 in Parala cara Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed in the Original Suit by the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram has preferred an appeal to the Civil Court. You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said appeal Petition.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1855 Jany 19th	1855 Jany 19th	1855 Jany 22d	Rs as p	1855 Jany 25th	Vadanarrainen	1855 Jany 25	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 22d Jany 55. Served personally on the within named 3d Respondent on the 25th January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
6	O.S. No. 1 of 1855 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Seander Miller Esqr of Calingapatam in the Zillah of Chicacole Plaintiff — Mr. George Dunnigan, Now of Vepery a Suburb of Madras Defendant	Defendant Mr. George Dunnigan. Now of Vepery a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit in the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court against you for the recovery of Rs. 937 - 10 being the Principal and Interest of the value of Black wood according to a document executed by you, to him on the 17th June 1854 at Calingapatam a village situated within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chicacole Zillah Civil Court.	1855 Jany 19th	1855 Jany 24th	1855 Jany 26th	Rs As P 937 - 0 - 10	1855 Feby 6th	Do.	1855 Jany 31st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 26th Jany 1855. Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 30th January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
7	O.S. No. 182 of 1854 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Rengacharry ar residing at Streerungum in Saloogoody Taloork Plaintiff — Codealum Thathamengar residing at Saint Thome attached to Madras Defendant	Defendant. Codealum Thathamengar residing at Saint Thome attached to Madras — Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the Plaintiff for the recovery of Rupees 1020 being Amount due upon an agreement including interest, against you, has been admitted and filed in the above No. You are hereby directed to appear and to present an Answer to the Suit.	Tanjore Zillah Civil Court.	1855 Jany 27th	1855 Jany 22d	1855 Feby 1st	Rs As P 1020 - -	Within 20 days after Service	Do.	1855 April 27th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 2d February 1855. The within named Defendant is not to be found within the Local Limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
8	Suit No. 1 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the Moofty Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput Notice	Goodaloor Sanecachala Moodaly executor to the minor Venatas ashier residing in Soobramanya Moodaly Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Coopsummaul, 2 Naraniar, 3 Nainiar, 4 Rungiar, 5 Ristnier of Valachary in the Sydapet Taloork, 6 Venatasobbier. 7 Venatanarainar of Moothialoopettah a Suburb of Madras. 8 Sulchoonee Ummaul of Ummararcer spun attached to Nagarum in the Chittoor District. Pullungummudal, 10 Venatarama naidoo, 11 Naradier, 12 Venatasobbier, 13 Mooney appa Moodaly, 14 Annandaloy Naiken, 15 Sellappa Naiken. 16 Coodemy Ramasawmy Naiken, 17 Abimumma Naiken, 18 Cundasspa Naiken, 19 Soobaraya Moodaly, 20 Moodaliatsina Naiken. 21 Ponnambalum 22 Munsepuddy Naiken. 23 Appasawmy Moodaly of the aforesaid Valachary. 24 Syadee Saib of Ponnamal Ummumpettay in Padumbaukum in the Sydapet Taloork. 25 Venkatachala Moodaly of Pulumboor in the said Taloork. 26 Parthasarady Naick of the above Valachary. 27 Balaramum of Padumbaukum in the said Taloork. 28 Sungara Naicken of the aforesaid Valachary. 29 Vembecka Moodaly of the said Pulumboor. 30 Chinna Raghavum of the said Valachary. 31 Veerasawmy Moodaly of the aforesaid Pulumbaukum. 32 Toppayamoodaly of Purcetty Vaukum in the said Taloork. 33 Veerasawmy Moodaly of the said Valachary. 34 Streenivasa Ragava chareer of Do. 35 Peeroovangadasettay of Do. 36 Elloomy apillay of Do. 37 Ramasawmy Pondaly of the said Purcetty Vaukum and 38 Coopoo Setty of Covelong in the Toperoor Taloork Defendants	6 Defendant Venatasobbier son of Soobbaramier residing in House No. 14 in Palavaccara Street at Moothialoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take Notice that the Court Vakeel Venatavaradier, on behalf of Sanecachala Moodaly executor to the minor Venatas ashier son of Sungasalay Venatasobbier, has instituted an action in the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court against you and the 2 Defendants requesting the Court to cause the surrender to the said minor Venatas ashier, by you and other Defendants of the Village Nattum, Salacaul & Manneiem & lands pertaining to 10/8 Shares alleged to belong to the said minor out of 100 whole Shares of the village of Valachary in the Sydapet Taloork within the limits of Chingleput Zillah and of a house well & trees, to direct the issue of Patta in the minors name for the lands in question after cancelling the one issued in the name of yourself and other Defendants and also to annul the auction sale made by Court as specified in the Plaint, and to put the said minor in possession of all village & perquisites attached to the Shares mentioned above, valued in all Rupees 2428-3-9. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1855 Feby 6th	1855 Jany 31st	1855 Feby 7th	Rs As P 2428 - 3 - 9.	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days from the date on which the Copy being affixed.	Do.	1855 Feby 15th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 9th February 1855. Served personally on the within named 6th Defendant on the 14th February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

8. Suit No. 1 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the Moosly Sudr. Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput. Notice

Doodaloor Tamachella Moodaly, executor to the minor Venkatachasher residing in Soobramany Moodaly's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a suburb of Madras Raiuts 1. Coopsumnaul 2. Naranier, 3. Ramier 4. Rungier, 5. Kistnier of Valachary in the Sydapet Taluk 6. Venkatasoobbier 7. Venkatanaranier of Mooltyalopetta a suburb of Madras. 8. Lutchoomee Ummaul of Ummavar coopsum attached to Nayarum in the Chittoor District 9. Mungummaul, 10. Venkataramanier, 11. Narasier, 12. Venkatasoobbier, 13. Mooney appa Moodaly, 14. Annamalay Moodaly, 15. Vellappa Naiken, 16. Cordemy Ramasamy Naiken, 17. Abeesumma Naiken, 18. Cundyppa Naiken 19. Soobbaroya Moodaly, 20. Moodookistna Naiken, 21. Bauttaun 22. Mungapathy Naike, 23. Pappaswamy Moodaly of the aforesaid Valachary, 24. Syadee Saib. of Cowne Ummunpettay in Audumbaukum in the Sydapet Taluk 25. Venkatachala Moodaly of Aulundoor in the said Taluk 26. Parthasarady Naike of the above Valachary 27. Palaramun of Audumbaukum in the said Taluk 28. Sungara Naiken of the aforesaid Valachary 29. Vembeelee Moodaly of the said Aulundoor 30. Chinna Raghavum of the said Valachary, 31. Verasawmy Moodaly of the aforesaid Audumbaukum 32. Toppay Moodaly of Puretty Vaukum in the said Taluke, 33. Verasawmy Moodaly of the said Valachary, 34. Streenevasa Ragavachariar of Do. 35. Jeerovengada Pillay of Do. 38. Moonesa Pillay of Do. 37. Ramasawmy Pondaly of the said Puretty Vaukum and 38. Coopoo Setty of Covelong in the Trepporoor Taluke Defendants

7e Defendant Venkatanaranier son of Soobbaramier residing in House No. 47 in Palaraccara street at Mooltyalo Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take Notice that the Court Vakeel Venkatavaradier on behalf of Tamachalah Moodaly executor to the minor Venkata=sashier, son of Tungasalay Venkatasoobbien, has instituted an action in the Moofty Sudr Ameen's Court against you and other Defendants requesting the Court to cause the surrender to the said minor Venkatasashier by you and other Defendants of the Village Nultum, Totucaul and Manicm Vts lands pertaining to 10/s shares alledged to belong to the said minor out of 100 whole shares of the Village of Valachary in the Sydapet Taluke within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput, and of a house well and trees, to direct the issue of Puttah in the minor's name for the lands in question, after cancelling the one issued in the name of yourself and other Defendants and also to annul the auction sale made by Court as specified in the plaint and to put the said minor in Possession of all village perquisites attached to the shares mentioned above valued in all Rupees 7,428-3-9. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs As P

Feb 5 Jan 5 Feb

6 31 7 2,428 3 9

Written 8 days after service, or within 15 days from the date on which the Copy being affixed.

Vadanarainen.

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 9 February 1855.

Feb 15 Served personally on the within named 7e Defendant on the 14 February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarainen

9 Suit No. 4 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the Moosiff under the Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput. Notice

Peroomall Naick of Casbah Goondoor in the Chingleput Taluke — Plaintiff

Padala Venkatasawmy Naick of the said Goondoor

Padala Narrainsawmy Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras

Defendants

1e Defendant Narrainsawmy Naicke son of Govindoo Naick of Chingleput now a Writer in the Custom Office residing in House No. 47 in Vycontasandalyar's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take Notice that the Court Vakeel Venkatasawmy Sastry on behalf of the said Plaintiff has instituted an action in the Moosiff Sudr Ameen's Court requesting to pass a Decision prohibiting your interference into the Narrow Gap adjoining to the Plaintiff's house-ground consisting of 48 feet east to west and 3 feet North to South and valued at Rs 3 and situated in the said Goondoor within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

1855 1855 1855 Rs As P

Feby Feby Feby

8 3 9 3 " " do do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 9 February 1855.

Feb 14 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 12th February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarainen

10 O.S. No. 523 of 1854 on the file of the Malcondar Sudr Ameen's Court Notice

Mooneappachetty son of Munga pady Soobrayachetty of Paracady Street in Rayavaddy of Cuddalore Mummargoody ——— Plaintiff

1B Balakistnachetty son of the deceased Tanjore Nayeenachetty of the same street, 2 Mummargoody Soobramaneachetty undivided younger brother of the 1st Defendant now living in the house No. 103 in the east line of Govindapanaicks street at Royapooram Jacks Pettah Madras, 3 Vengedassoo Ammall mother and Guardian to Govindoomurty aged 10 years younger brother of the said 1st & 2d Defendants of the said Paracady street of Cuddalore Mummargoody, 4 Mummargoody Gopoo Chetty now living in the said house No. 103 in the east line of Govindapanaicks street at Royapooram Jacks Pettah Madras & Mooneappa Chetty of the said Paracady street at Mummargoody & 6 Gopalachetty a retainer of the same street ——— Defendants

2d and 4th Defendants Soobramanya Chetty and Gopoo Chetty now living in the house No. 103 in the east line of Govindasappe Naicks Pettah Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has stated in his Plaint that one Nayeena Chetty related to you both as father of the 2d and Maternal Uncle of the 1st Deft had obtained a pair of Earrings as a loan from the mother of the Plff living in Paracady street of Royapooram of the above said Mummargoody within the Jurisdiction of the Malcondar Sudr Ameens Court and mortgaged it to one Soobbien through the means of the 1st Defendant on the 9th Pungony of Plavah or 20 March 1842; that it is about 9 years since the said Nayeena Chetty died that the Plff was directed to bring a fresh suit including in it the 1st Deft whom he the Plff had not named in the suit instituted by him in the first instance in the District Mooneiffs Court at Mummargoody in No. 1022 of 1853 against the other Defts for the recovery of Jewel in question and that you both remained at Mummargoody at the time when the said Ornament was received as loan and when it was mortgaged and proceeded to Madras about 4 years before whereas the Plff has brought the present suit against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of Rs 58 being the value of the aforesaid Earrings. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Coimbatore Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as 8 — 1855 — 1855 The Honorable Chief Justice 1st February 1855

Feby 8d — January 30d — Feby 12d — 58 " " — February 28th

Vadanarayanien

Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 15th February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him and that the 2d Defendant within named is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

March 1st

Vadanarayanien

11 A.S. No. 115 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

Ranchanadooyen Narrainaswamy residing in Pondopettah at Palamanair in the Zillah of Chittoor ——— Appellant

County Peddy Chinna Soobbiah residing in the house door No. 4 in Ramiasillayi street at Pareya mettoo Suburb of Madras Respondent

1st Respondent County Peddy Chinnia Soobbiah residing in the house door No. 4 in Ramiasillayi street at Pareya mettoo a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Appellant aforesaid, being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Moofty Sudr Ameen of the Zillah of Chittoor in Original Suit No. 326 of 1853 which instituted by you and Villagunteo Noyiah against him and others for the recovery of Rupees 113 one hundred and thirteen due under a Kanarnamah, preferred an appeal on the 25th August 1854 through the Court Vakeel Soobramaneya Sastry, which was admitted by this Court.

You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the appeal Petition.

Chittoor Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 — 1855 — 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th February 1855.

Feb 10th — Feb 9th — Feb 12th " " — Feb 25th Do

Feby 14th The within named 1st Respondent not having been found the Duplicate of the Notice and translation was on the 24th February 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanarayanien

12	Suit No 51 of 1854 lately in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput but now in the Court of the Moosly Sudr Ameen Summons	1 Aurroonooya Moodely residing in House No 238 in Lovinda Naiks Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Namasevaya Moodily 2 Appavoo Moodily Sons of Sawmy Nadar Moodely of Peddoo Naiks Pettah a Suburb of Madras and 3 Sedumbara Moodily Son of Veerasawmy Moodily of the said Peddoo Naiks Pettah Defendants	Defendants 1st Witness Canacarajah Son of Aravelazum Venatarajah residing in House No 4 in Veerasawmy pillay's Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Whereas the Defendants Vakeel Soondara Moodaliar has cited you as a witness in the matter of dispute between the parties in the above Suit. You are therefore required to appear and give your evidence on the part of the said Defendants	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1855 Feby 15	1855 Feby 10	1855 Feby 16	"	"	"	Within 5 days after Service.	Vadanarrainen	1855 Feby 23	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th February 1855. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23. February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 51 of 1854 lately in the Court of the Princ Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput but now in the Court of the Moosly Sudr Ameen Summons	Dc	Defendants 4th Witness Moodoocrishna Moodaliar son of Ponnary Cundy appa Moodaliar residing in House No 5 in Veerasawmy pillay's Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Whereas the Defendants Vakeel Soondara Moodaliar has cited you as a witness in the matter of dispute between the parties in the above Suit. You are therefore required to appear and give your evidence on the part of the said Defendants	Dc	1855 Feby 15	1855 Feby 10	1855 Feby 16	"	"	"	Dc	Dc	1855 Feby 23	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th February 1855. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23. February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 52 of 1854 lately in the Court of the Princ Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput but now in the Court of the Moosly Sudr Ameen Summons	Dc	Defendants 7th Witness Ungumbaukum Sevaggayanar Moodilyar alias Patchayappa Moodilyar residing in House No 816 in Ungumman Street at Peddoo naiks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Whereas the Defendants Vakeel Soondara Moodaliar has cited you as a witness in the matter of dispute between the parties in the above Suit. You are therefore required to appear and give your evidence on the part of the said Defendants	Dc	1855 Feby 15	1855 Feby 10	1855 Feby 16	"	"	"	Dc	Dc	1855 Feby 24	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th February 1855. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th February 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
13	O.S No 191 of 1854 on the file of the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court of Trichinopoly Notice	Rengusspa Chetty a Merchant residing at Streerungum in Udoogoody Talook Plaintiff 1 Nadamony Ragoonada Iyengar residing in do 2 Thathaniengar residing at Seeroopa Attorney in the said Talook, 3 Nanoveengar alias Ragoonada Iyengar residing at Triplicane attached to Madras, 4 Renga Iyengar, 5 Streenevasa Iyengar residing at Streerungum and Seeroopa Attorney Defendants	3rd Defendant Nanoveengar alias Ragoonada Iyengar residing at Triplicane attached to Madras. Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the said Plaintiff against you for the recovery of Rupees 737-12-8 being the amount due upon a Mortgage Bond including interest, has been admitted and filed in the above Court. You are hereby directed to appear and to present an answer to the Suit.	Trichinopoly Zillah Civil Court	1855 Feby 20	1855 Feby 12	1855 Feby 23	Rs 737	as 12	ps 8	Within 15 days after service	Dc	Dc	1855 May 2	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d Feby 1855. The within named 3d Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S No 251 of 1854 on the file of the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court of Trichinopoly Notice	Ramasawmy Iyengar residing at Nakary in Mossery Talook Plaintiff 1 Cothundarama routh, 2 Veerapilly, 3 Seetharam routh, 4 Palany Moopen, residing at Roya pettah attached to Madras, 5 Muttumen residing at Streenevasa Mudlien in Mossery Talook, 6 Narain Routh, Mootoosawmy routh residing at Cottrick Polliem in the said Talook Defendants	1st, 2d, 3d and 4th Defendants Cothundarama Routh, Veerapilly, Seetharam routh and Palaney Moopen residing at Roya pettah attached to Madras Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the Plff against you for the recovery of Rs 140-11-5 being the value of Paddy has been admitted and filed in the above Court. You are hereby directed to appear and to present an answer to the Suit.	Dc	1855 Feby 20	1855 Feby 12	1855 Feby 23	Rs 40	as 11	ps 5	Dc	Dc	1855 March 10	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d February 1855. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 9th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him, but Veerapalley Seetharam Routh and Palaney Moopen the 2d 3d and 4th Defendants also within mentioned are not served as the Copies were not accompanied with the Notice to serve on each of them. Vadanarrainen
14	Suit No 255 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Sholinghur in the Zillah of Chittoor Notice	Ramasawmy Naidoo alias Syabo son of Gate Cavarei pa Kallanthadee Sawmy Naidoo a Moochie in the Pay Office Residing at Moodlyapolliem a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Rungeah naidoo son of Calava Vencatasawmy Naidoo Subadar a writer in the Office of the Commissary General formerly Lived in the house door No 15 situated in the western line in Salay street north of the Pagoda of Yagathamma & now Lives in the house door No 218 on the western line in the street of Govoor Soondara Moodliar near Cotwal Chavadee a Suburb of Madras 2 Pallatum Ree Reddy alias Mahatumby Moodly Residing at Cavereypauk in the Zillah of Chittoor Defendant	1st Defendant Rungiah Naidoo son of Calava Vencatasawmy Naidoo Soobadar a writer in the Office of the Commissary General formerly Lived in the house door No 15 situated in the western Line in Salay street North of the Pagoda Yagathamma and now Lives in the house door No 218 in the street of Govoor Soondara Moodaliar near Cotwal Chavadee a Suburb of Madras. Take Notice that the Plaintiff aforesaid has instituted a Suit against you and the 2d Defendant in the District Moonsiffs Court of Sholinghur for the recovery of a tiled house & Backyard together with its backyard Valued at 75 Rs belonging to the Plff situated at Cavereypauk	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	1855 Feby 21	1855 Feby 12	1855 Feby 23	Rs 138	as 4	ps	1855 March 13	Dc	1855 March 9	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d February 1855. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 7th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and which is said to have been usurped by the 2d Defendant in the month of January 1846 on the plea that it had been sold by you together with Rs 56 being the value of the produce of Cutty and Brinjal for 8 years derived from the said land by yield and also Rs 17 - 4 - being the Costs incurred by the Plff in Suit No 412 of 1848 on the file of the said Court Total Rupees 138 - "

You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

15 O.S. No 34 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. Notice with Proclamation

Ally Hoosain Saib now of Cusbah Tinnevelly in the Talook of Nellambalom — Plaintiff

1 Hosunraja of Calacaud in the Talook of Nangoonary, 2 Hossainah Baricum, 3 Paraumully Saib of Cusbah Streevicoontum Talook, 4 Hoosain Beevee, 5 Baunoo beevee of Calacaud in the above said Talook, 6 Madarhoosain Khan Saib of Cusbah Tinnevelly in the above said Talook — Defendants

1 Honeefabeevee, 2 Mahiroo beevee, 3 Kathiejabeevee, 4 Ghoforally Saib of the same place, 5 Fauteemabaicombeevee residing in the opposite house to that of Ghoolamrajasaib the late Pleader of the Court of Sudder Udalut at Shaikera Garden at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. — Supplemental Defendants

5th Supplemental Defendant Fauteemabacombeevee residing in the house opposite to that of Gholamrajasaib the late Pleader of the Court of Sudder Udalut at Shaikera Garden at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Plff's Vakeels have instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court against the said Defendants for the recovery of Rs 2,990-6-3 being both the property and value of real & personal property - The said Plff's Vakeels have also filed a Supplemental Plaint requesting to recover from the 1st Supplemental Deft 2 Cottahs, 10 Marcals & measures of Sakherajenunja land within the jurisdiction of the said Court yielding Rs 1,837-8-" being the Amount estimated at 10 times the produce thereof which was granted to the Plff's ancestors free of rent for Moharum Festival and which was omitted in the aforesaid Suit and to include you, the Plff's elder sister as Supplemental 5th Deft in order that you may state your objections if you have any for the said land being enjoyed by the Plaintiff.

You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Supplemental Plaint.

Tinnevelly Gillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 — 1855 The Honorable the Chief Justice 1st March 1855

Febr Febr Febry — March

22nd 22nd 28th 1837 8 " — 30th

Vadanarrain

March — The within named 5th Supplemental Defendant

31st is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras - and that the Proclamation was not affixed on the outer wall of the house of the said Defendant, as upon enquiry it appears that She is not residing there -

Vadanarrain

16 Cause No 2 of 1855 on the file of the Pl Sudr Ameens Court. Notice

Vydenada Moodely of Cuddalore Chinglepet Talook — Plaintiff

1 Shubnick Resp[t] Collector of Chinglepet, 2 Arnaghery Moodely of Pedroo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 3 Cunneyappa Moodely of Paramaswara Mungalum Chinglepet Talook, 4 Venkatachella Pillay and 5 Chundrasecara Moodely of Voodulcara Coopp[um] of Do - Do - Defendants

2d Defendant Chittary Arnaghery Moodely son of Mootloo Moodely, the Zamindar of Anaicut Mootlah residing in house No 872 in the Davaroyo Moodelys Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras -

Take Notice that the Plff has instituted a Suit against you and 4 others for the recovery of Rs 978-8-6 the value of Grains and Straw damaged together with interest for the withdrawal of the Attachment made of property of Pandy Vera to the value of Rs 215-" - as also to recover Rs 196 rent of the Conveyance held under attachment & to have Cancelled the Pattahs issued by you to the 3d Defendant in the name of the Plff for Land Vera yielding Annually, Rags the total Amount of the Plaint is Rs 583-8-6 and requires you to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint -

Chinglepet Gillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court -

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 — 1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th March 1855

March Febry March — March — March

3d 27th 8th 583 8 6 — 15th — Do 9th

Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 7th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

Vadanarrain

17	No. 11 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court but pending before the District Moonsiffs Court of Canjeeveram Notice	Yaloomalayacharry of Noongumbaukum a Suburb of Madras- Plff. 1 Cutchalaya charry, 2 Patchayappacharry, 3 Ammamalayacharry 4 Ragaveingar, 5 Sadagopeingar 6 Tautiengar alias Veerasawmy Iyengar, 7 Narayaneingar, 8 Somanadarattyar, 9 Veerappeier 10 Yetteemoottoo Moodely 11 Moaneyappa Moodely, 12 Moroogappa Moodely, 13 Soondara Moodely, 14 Poonjoly Moodely, 15 Mottay Moodely 16 Coopoo Moodely, 17 Vadachala Moodely, 18 Iyem Calattee Moodely and 19 Nayana Pillay of Samangalum in the Manemungalum Talook Defendants	Plaintiff Yaloomalayacharry son of Yettee Uppacharry residing in house No. 1 in Rama Naicks Street at Noongumbaukum a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the Suit No. 11 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court has been referred by the Civil Court to the District Moonsiffs of Canjeeveram for investigation and disposal by the latter tribunal You are therefore required to appear and to proceed with the Suit.	Closing Ex. part Zillah Civil Court	1855 March 3d	1855 Feby 26th	1855 March 5th	"	"	"	Within 6 weeks after service or from the date on which the Duplicate may be affixed	Vadanarrainen	1855 March 15th	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th March 1855 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 14th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen
18	O.S. No. 261 of 1850 A.S. No. 11 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Veneatasawmy Setty son of Poeala Gooroona Setty of Peryapettay attached to Streehareecotah in the Nayer Talook- Appellant 2d Defendant 1 Runga Pillay, 2 Govinda Pillay sons of Kistna Pillay residing in house No. 18 in the west line of Ramakistnan's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondents Plaintiffs	1st Respondent Rungapillay son of Kistna Pillay residing in house No. 18 in the west line of Rama kistnan's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the Appellant being dissatisfied with the decree passed in the Original suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court through his Vakeel You are therefore require to appear & to deliver an Answer to the Appeal Petition	Do	1855 March 3d	1855 Febr 27th	1855 March 5th	"	"	"	1855 March 18th	Do	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th March 1855 March 9th Served personally on the within named 1st Respondent on the 8th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No. 261 of 1850 A.S. No. 11 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Do	2d Respondent Govinda Pillay son of Kistna Pillay residing in house No. 18 in the west line of Ramakistnan Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed in the Original Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court through his Vakeel- You are therefore require to appear & to deliver an Answer to the Appeal Petition	Do	1855 March 3d	1855 Febr 27th	1855 March 5th	"	"	"	1855 March 18th	Do	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th March 1855 March 19th The within named 2d Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras- Vadanarrainen
19	No. 10 of 1855 on the file of the Mooftee Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Soobaroya Moodelly of Peryacanjeeveram in the Canjeeveram Talook- Plaintiff 1 Appasawmy Moodelly, 2 Vully Ummay residing in Arapparum Chary Street attached to the said Canjeeveram 3 Nelloomundy Peroomah Moodelly of Soolaya Suburb of Madras- Defendants	3d Defendant Nelloomundy Peroomah Moodely son of Venkata Chella Moodely residing in house No. 27 in Lunger Pauseer Street at Soolaya Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court Vakeel on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Mooftee Sudr Ameens Court against you and	Do	1855 March 5th	1855 Febr 28th	1855 March 6th	Rs as P 242 8 9	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days from the date on which the Duplicate may be affixed	Do	1855 March 13th	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th March 1855 The within named 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 13th March 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house- Vadanarrainen

other Defendants representing that your junior uncles son Rugoppa Moodelly who had been indebted to the Plaintiff in the Sum of Rs 179 3/64 (alledged to be due on the adjustment of Account) departed this life without liquidating the said debt and that you and the 1st & 2 Defendants are the legal heirs to inherit his estates and debts & therefore praying the Court to recover for the Plff the said Amount together with the interest Viz Rs 242-8-9

You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.

20 O.S.No. 252 of 1854 on the file of the Moosfy Sudr Ameens Court

Notice

Bellum Kistnachetty son of Bellum Raumchetty of Cusbah Coimbatore Plff

1 Veerasawmyen alias Soobboolutchmien, 2 Bellum Venkataramachetty Defendant

1st Defendant Veerasawmyen alias Soobboolutchmien he is by caste a Bramin by profession a Writer in the Police Office and resides at Baulyappen Street in the house No 18 situated to the east of the Seven Wells in Madras.

Whereas Teroamalien Vakeel for Plff Bellum Kistnachetty has instituted a Suit against you and the 2d Defendant for the recovery of the Sum of Rs 100 being the balance of the principal and Interest due on an unstamped Bond. It is stated that the Cause of Action arose when you were residing as a fixed inhabitant in the Zillah of Coimbatore & when the Suit was commenced on the 1st October 1854 you are said to be residing within the jurisdiction of Madras.

You are therefore required to appear and to give your answer.

Coimbatore Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 9th March 1855.

March 5th — Feby 14 — March 9th — 100 — March 30th

Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 16th March 1855 by the 17th Duplicates of the Original Notice Copy of the Plaint and translations being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

Vadanarrainen

21 No. 244 of 1855 on the file of the Moosfy Sudr Ameens Court but pending before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor

Notice

Appavoo Moodely son of Taneyachella Moodely residing in house No 3 in the north line of Chinna Naikal Street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

Moothummay, 2 Ramanjee, 3 Paroondaivee, 4 Vadeevee alias Sundee 5 Veerasawmy Iyer residing in Vauneya Street attached to Mangadoo in the Poonamullee Talook, 6 Narrainasawmy Moodely of Sydapet. Defendant

7 Vurdapa Naick of Coparasa Nellore in the Poonamullee Talook 8 Boobloo Naick residing in house No 21 in the west line of Sawmy Pillay's Street adjacent to Carayancottay at Soolay a Suburb of Madras Supplemental Defendant

8th Supplemental Defendant Baaboo Naick residing in house No 21 in the west line of Sawmy Pillay's Street adjacent to Carayancottay at Soolay a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Plff's Vakeel has presented a Petition Soliciting the Court to include you as Supplemental Defts to this Suit & to conduct the same also in your name on the grounds that your other Defts have Collusively presented a Petition to the Magistrate while this Suit was pending before the Court in vein to usurp the jewels (deposited in the Civil Court) belonging to the 1st 2d & 4th Defendants who had Concealed the same with the 6th Defts aforesaid whom & the other Defts this Suit was brought by Plff for the recovery of Rs 295-12-3 alledged to be due on certain Bond executed on account of dealings in rice &c.

You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer & to conduct the said Suit.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs a P 1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 9th March 1855.

March 7th — Feby 20th — March 9th — 295 — 12 — 3

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days from the date on which the Plaint may be affixed.

March 16th Served personally on the within named 8th Supplemental Defendant on the 15th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

22 Extra Petition No. 305 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

Rajah Vareegandah Ramanah Gauroo, 2 Do. Vissumrauzoo Gauroo of Vissumapettah in the zillah of Masulipatam Pauper Plaintiffs

Cauvaly Venkata Narrainapah son of Cauvaly Lutchmiah Jageerdar residing in the house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Putchapah Pillay's Street at Doctor Sawmy Naidoo's Chuttrum on the Premises of the Pagoda of Comaleeswara Sawmy a Suburb of Madras Defendant

Defendant Cauvaly Venkata Narrainapah son of Cauvaly Lutchmiah Jageerdar, residing in house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Putchapah Pillay's Street at Doctor Sawmee-naidoo's Chuttrum on the Premises of the Pagoda of Comaleeswara Swamy a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Pauper Plaintiffs have presented the above application in the Civil Court to be admitted to sue as Paupers in order to establish their heirship to the late Vareegondah Lutchmeedavamah, Zameendarnee of Vissumpettah Mootah in the zillah of Masulipatam together with a Complaint against you for the recovery of 14 Villages of the Zamindaree of Vissumapettah Mootah, which Annually pay a permanent Sisti of Rs 12000 and yield a profit of Rs 6000, together with their hamlets and of Rs 20000 being the amount collected from the aforesaid Mootah up to the end of Fusly 1262 / 1852 / as well as the profits derivable from the said Mootah up to the date of the Decree that may be passed and of the ready money & real and personal property, amounting to Rs 17616-15-0 which is under the custody of the said Court; and pray for a Decree quashing the one passed in Suit No. 18 of 1838 on the file of the late Provincial Court for the Northern Division in favor of yourself & your father for Rs 55613-2-4 as well as Postponed Petition No. 206 of 1841 presented in accordance therewith & that the aforesaid Mootah of Vissumapettah which has been mortgaged to you in the said Postponed Petition No. 206 of 1841, the reversal of which is solicited & which the Pauper Plaintiffs sue to recover from you, is situated within the jurisdiction of the said Court.

You are therefore required to attend and disprove the Pauperism of the Pauper Appellants.

Filing of Suit Zillah Civil Court. Masulipatam

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 15th March 1855.

March 5th — Feby March 28th 10th — March 31st

Vadamarrain

Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 27th March 1855 by the Duplicates of the Original Notice, Copy of the Schedule and translations being delivered to him - but that he has refused to affixe his signature to the Notice.

Vadamarrainen

March 28th

23 O.S. No. 126 of 1854 on the file of the Sheriff's Under Amin's Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiff Court of Carangooly Notice

1 Parthasaradee Naicken Residing in house No. 27 or 28 in Govindappa Naicken street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras

2 Venkatanarayaha Pillay Executors to the deceased Streenevasa Pillay of Comaleeswaram Coil a Suburb of Madras Residing in house No. 72 in Venkatachella Moodeliar's street at the above Peddoo Naicken's Pettah Plaintiffs

Streenevasingar of Moodeliar Cooppum in the Carangooly Talook Defendant

1st Plaintiff Parthasaradee Naicken residing in house No. 27 or 28 in Gounidappa Naicken street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras

Take Notice that the above Suit which you and the 2nd Plaintiff Venkatanarayana Pillay had instituted against the above Defendant for the recovery of Rs. 292 alleged to be the balance due on account of Crara of the Village income and of the Grant Garden Situate in the above Village of Moodeliar Cooppum has with reference to the Orders from the Court Sudr Adalut been referred by the Civil Court to the District Moonsiff's Court of Carangooly as you seem not know the points recorded subsequently by the said Court you are hereby required to appear and prove the points required to be proved by you and to conduct the Suit by filing your Vakils Written

Filing of Suit Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th March 1855.

March 10th — Inmate March 5th — 12th 292 " "

Within 10 days after Service

March 19th

Served personally on the within named 1st & Do 19th Plaintiff on the 19th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadamarrainen

22 Extra Petition No. 305 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

Royah Vareegondah Ramanah Gauros, 2 Do. Vissumraujoo Gauros of Vissumnapettah in the Zillah of Masulipatam
Pauper Plaintiffs

Cauvaly Venkata Narrainapah son of Cauvaly Lutchmiah Jageerdar residing in the house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Putchapah Pillay's Street at Doctor Sawmy Naidoo's Chuttrum on the Premises of the Pagoda of Comaleeswara Sawmy a Suburb of Madras
Defendant

Defendant Cauvaly Venkata Narraina-pah son of Cauvaly Lutchmiah Jageer-dar, residing in house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Putcha-pah Pillay's Street at Doctor Sawmee-naidoo's Chuttrum on the Premises of the Pagoda of Comaleeswara Swamy a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Pauper Plaintiffs have presented the above application in the Civil Court to be admitted to sue as Paupers in order to establish their heirship to the late Vareegondah Lutchmeedavamah, zameendarnee of Vissumpettah Mootah in the Zillah of Masulipatam together with a Complaint against you for the recovery of 11 Villages of the Zamindaree of Vissunnapettah Mootah, which annually pay a permanent Sist of Rs. 12000 and yield a profit of Rs. 6000, together with their hamlets and of Rs. 20000 being the amount collected from the aforesaid Mootah up to the end of Fusly 1262 / 1852 / as well as the profits derivable from the said Mootah up to the date of the Decree that may be passed and of the ready money & real and personal property, amounting to Rs. 17616-15-0 which is under the custody of the said Court; and pray for a Decree quashing the one passed in Suit No. 18 of 1838 on the file of the late Provincial Court for the Northern Division in favor of yourself & your father for Rs. 55613-2-4 as well as Postponed Petition No. 206 of 1841 presented in accordance therewith & that the aforesaid Mootah of Vissunnapettah which has been mortgaged to you in the said Postponed Petition No. 206 of 1841, the reversal of which is solicited & which the Pauper Plaintiffs sue to recover from you, is situated within the jurisdiction of the said Court.

You are therefore required to attend and disprove the Pauperism of the Pauper Applicants.

Moosulipatam Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th March 1855.

March 5th — Feby 28th — March 10th — March 31st — Vadamarraiu

Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 27th March 1855 by the Duplicates of the Original Notice, Copy of the Schedule and translations being delivered to him - but that he has refused to affixe his signature to the Notice.

March 28th

Vadamarraiun

23 O.S. No. 126 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Adawlut which has been referred to the District Court of Carangoly by Notice

1 Parthasaradee Naicker Residing in house No. 27 or 28 in Govindappa Naik's street at Poddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras
2 Venkatanarayana Pillay of Executors to the deceased Streenevasa Pillay of Comaleeswaramun Coil a Suburb of Madras Residing in house No. 29 in Venkatachella Moodeliar's street at the above Poddoo Naick's Pettah
Plaintiffs

Streenevasa Singaram of Coopsum in the Carangoly Taloo
Defendant

1st Plaintiff Parthasaradee Naicker residing in House No. 27 or 28 in Gaunda-ppa Naik's street at Poddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras

Take Notice that the above Suit which you and the 2nd Plaintiff Venkata-narayana Pillay had instituted against the above Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 292 alleged to be the balance due on account of Ezara of the Village income and of the Grant Garden Situate in the above Village of Moodeliar Coopsum has with reference to the Orders from the Court Sudr Adalut been referred by the Civil Court to the District Moonsiff's Court of Carangoly as you seem not know the points recorded subsequently by the said Court you are hereby required to appear and prove the points required to be proved by you and to conduct the suit by filing your

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th March 1855.

March 7th — March 7th — 10 — 5 — 12 — 292

Within 10 days after Service

March 19th — Served personally on the within named 1st & 2nd Plaintiff on the 19th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadamarraiun

23	O.S.No.126 of 1854 on the file of the Moofte Sudr. Ameens Court which has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Notice	1 Parthasaradee Naicker residing in house No. 27 or 28 in Govindupa Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras, 2 Venkatanarayana Pillay Executors to the deceased Streenevasa Pillay of Comaleeswarun Covil a Suburb of Madras residing in house No. 22 in Venkatachella Moodaliars Street at the above Peddoo Naicks Pettah. Plaintiffs Streenevasa Singar of Moodeliar Coopum in the Carangooly Talook Defendant	2nd Plaintiff Venkatanarayana Pillay residing in house No. 22 in Venkata chala Moodaliar's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Suit which you and the 1st Plaintiff Parthasaradee Naicker had instituted against the Defendant for the recovery of Rs. 292 alledged to be the balance due on account of Ezara of the Village incomes and of the grant garden situate in the said Village of Moodeliar Coopum has with reference to the orders from the Sudr Udalut been refferred by the Civil Court to the Districk Moonsiffs Court - As you seem not to know the points subsequently recorded by the said Court in the said Suit, you are therefore required to appear and know the points required to be proved by you and to Conduct the Suit by filing your lists of Witnesses.	Civil Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs a p		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton	13th	March	1855.

24	O.S. No. 957 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Salem Notice	Tilleechedumbara pillay a Pondicherry Hawker of Salem ——— Plaintiff Chedumbara Moodely of the same place since deceased his heirs & Cooppoosawmy Moodely residing at the house next to the one occupied by Teromachee Moonesawmy Naick situated in the eastern row of the western Street lying close to Bunder Rama Naickens garden in yagautummal Covil Street of Peddoonaickenpettah Madras, 2 Shunmooga Moodely the late Goalen of Coimbatore ——— Defendant	1st Defendant Cooppoosawmy Moodely the heir of the deceased Chedumbara Moodely- Take notice that on the issue of a Notice to the said Defendant with reference to the Suit which was brought against him by Plff to recover Rs. 30 the amount of balance due as per unstamped accounts passed by the said Deft. on two different occasions (viz) on the 18th and 23d December 1844 at Salem and which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Salem it appears that the Deft. is dead & that the Plff has filed a Petition in Mo. P. No. 166/55 requesting permission to conduct the Suit against you as the heirs of the deceased Deft. after including you therein- you are therefore directed to appear and to deliver your answer to the said Complaint-	Salem Gillah Civil Courts	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th March 1855
									March 9th	Feby 20	March 13th	30	a	"	Within 30 days after service	Vadanarrain	May Served personally on the within named 11th Cooppoosawmy Moodely one of the Heirs of the deceased Defendant on the 10th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrain
25	O.S. No. 506 of 1853 on the file of the 1st Class District Moonsiffs Cuddapah Notice	Pondicherry Streenevasa pillay Brother in law and son in law of Pondicherry Appasawmy Pillay of Isakalapettah a Suburb of Madras ——— Plaintiff Pallavala Sashamah of Davatalapilly a hamlet of Catakoor in the Chemdoor Taluk ——— Defendant	Plaintiff Pondicherry Streenevasa pillay living in the house of Pondicherry Appasawmy Pillay residing in Isakalapettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the above Suit which was instituted by you in Ori. No. 186 of 1852 on the file of the late Sudr Ameen Syad Mahamed Saib of the Zillah of Cuddapah for the recovery from the Defendant of Rupees 535-2- due on Bond was referred by the Civil Court to the 1st Class District Moonsiffs Court for decision and parties have been accordingly recorded- and you are therefore required to attend and conduct the Suit by filing lists of Witnesses & documents	Cuddapah Zillah Civil Court	1855	1853	1853	Rs	as	p	1855	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th March 1855
									March 7th	March 6th	March 14th	535	2	"	April 6th	Do	March 21st	Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 21st March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrain
26	Pauper Suit No. 5 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Nandanoorum Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Pauper Plaintiff 1 Cunneyemmal, 2 Narrainsawmy Naick, 3 Appanloo Naick, 4 Moonyappa Naick, 5 Comarnpillay, 6 Veerasawmy & 7 Iyaloo Naick of Carana Seroopooroo Taluk & the 6 & 7 Defts of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	6th Defendant Veerasawmy Naick residing in house No. 87 in the Pettah Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the above Plff has instituted a suit in Pl. Sudr Ameens Court as forma Pauperis against you & the other Defendants for the recovery of Meerasee Share Consisting of Kaharam and Melvoosary Land yielding annually Rupees 56 & Salt pan to the value of Rs. 375 situated in the Carana & Semmeriary in the Zillah of Chingleput & also Gold & Silver ornaments & Cattle to the value of Rupees 581-12- you are therefore required to attend and file your answer to the Plaint-	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1855	1853	1855				1855	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th March 1855
									March 13th	March 8th	March 14th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service	Do	March 19th	Served personally on the within named 6th Defendant on the 17th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrain

26	Pauper Suit No 5 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Naudamoonee Naick of Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras Pauper Plaintiff & Cunneyemmal, 2 Narrainsawmy Naick, 3 Appaloo Naick, 4 Moonyappa Naick, 5 Comarapilley of Carana Tiroopoor & Talook, 6 Varasawmy Naick & 7 Ygalo Naick of Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	7th Defendant Ygalo Naick residing in house No 6 in the Crooshpuram Moodelliars street at Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take Notice that the above Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Princ. Sudr Ameens Court as forma Pauperies against you and other Defendants for the recovery of Maraffee Mars consisting of Sankarapie and Mulgoo arse Land yielding annually Rupees 56—. Salt pan to the value of Rs 375 situated in the Carana and Sunneyary in the Villah of Chingleput also Gold and Silver ornaments & Cattle to the value of Rs 581—12—. You are therefore required to attend and file your answer to the Plaint	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p		Vadanarrain	March 19th	March 13th	March 8th	March 16th	"	"	"	1855 March 17th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th March 1855 Served personally on the within named 7th Defendant on the 17th March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him— Vadanarrainen
27	O.S.No 187 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameen's Court in the Zillah of Cuddalore Notice	Sakker Malnea alias Mahomed Malnea of Cuddalore — Plaintiff & 1 Sashachella Naick, 2 Davana Naicker, 3 Joyemmal, 4 Eterajemmal, 5 Singammal, 6 Venkatammal, 7 Jasawmy Moodelly of Trepapalore in the Zillah of Cuddalore — Defendants 1 & 2 Keel and ammal, 2 Parcamoya emmal, 3 Appoo Sahib, 4 Dorasawmy Naick Ken, 5 Cumarasawmy Naicker, 6 Savala Vellum Moodelly, 7 Savala Vencatachella Moodelly, 8 Vyre Narrainsawmy Naicker, 9 Seetaram Moodelly, 10 Vyapoory Moodelly, 11 Soccalingya Chetty, 12 Nimmana Arsonga Salla Chetty, 13 Chinnana Chetty, 14 Ally Mahomed Carcossa Meraccayer, 15 Cumarasawmy Naicker, 16 Veerappa Moodelly, 17 Gnanda Reddy, 18 Vyasarawe Varda Royer Supplemental Defendants	Supplemental 7th Defendant Savalay Vencatachella Moodelly Employed in D'Colum and 6 at Madras Take Notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees 520—8—. being the balance of rent due on a rent Chit executed on the 6th July 1844 at Cuddalore situated within the Jurisdiction of the Sudr Ameen Court at Cuddalore for possession of a house and ground valued at Rupees 655-8-. also situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and for further rent at 6 Rs per mensem till the delivery of the said house to Plaintiff. You are hereby required to appear and to defend the suit.	Cuddalore Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855		March 19th	Feby 13th	March 21st	"	"	"	April 12th	do	1855 March 28th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 22d March 1855 Served personally on the within named 7 Supplemental Defendant on the 26th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No 187 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Cuddalore Notice	do	Supplemental 11th Defendant Pondicherry Vyapoory Moodelly now a writer in the Government Office at Madras Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted against you & the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rs 520-8-. being the balance of rent due on a rent Chit executed on the 6th July 1844 at Cuddalore situated within the Jurisdiction of the Sudr Ameens Court at Cuddalore for possession of a house ground and the building valued at Rs 655-8-. also situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and for further rent at 6 Rupees per mensem till the delivery of the said house to Plaintiff - you are therefore required to appear and to Defend the Suit-	do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855		March 19th	Feby 13th	March 21st	"	"	"	April 12th	do	1855 March 28th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 22d March 1855 Served personally on the within named 11th Supplemental Defendant on the 26th March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No 187 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Cuddalore Notice	do	Supplemental 8th Defendant Vyree Narrainsawmy Naicker residing at the house of Tanjore Rama Naick at Peddoo Naick Pettah Madras Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted agt. you & the other Defts. aforesaid for the recovery of Rs 520-8-. being the balance of rent due on a rent Chit executed on the 6th July 1844 at Cuddalore situated within the Jurisdiction of the Sudr Ameens Court at Cuddalore for possession of a house ground & the building valued at Rs 655-8-. also situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court & for further rent at 6 Rs. per mensem till the delivery of the said house to Plff you are hereby required to appear & to defend the Suit	do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855		March 19th	Feby 13th	March 21st	"	"	"	April 12	do	1855 March 31st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 22d March 1855 Served personally on the within named 8th Supplemental Defendant on the 31st March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him Vadanarrainen

28	No. 47 of 1852 on the file of the Pr. Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput & 124 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Shunmooga Moodaliar son of Mangadoo Comarasawmy Moodaliar residing in house No. 13 in the west line of Govinda pa Naicks Street at Peddoo Naick Pettah a Suburb of Madras- 2d Deft. Appellant Veerasawmy Moodaliar son of Nuttumbadoo Tumbee Uppa Moodaliar residing in house No. 48 in the west line of the above Street- Off Respondent	Respondent Veerasawmy Moodeliar son of Nuttumbadoo Tumbee Uppa Moodaliar residing in house No. 48 in the west line of Govinda pa Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the 2d Defendant being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court through his Vakeel- you are therefore required to appear and to deliver an Answer to the said Appeal Petition.	Chingleput Zillah & Civil Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs Asp		1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 26th March 1855			
						March 24th	March 23d	March 26th	"	"	"	Within 5 days after service	Vadanarrainen	March 29th	Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 28th March 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen
29	O.S. No. 251 of 1854 in the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court of Trichinopoly Notice	Ramaswawmy Iyengar residing at Ala Kuray in Moosery Talook Plaintiff 1 Cothundarama routh, 2 Veeruppillay, 3 Seetharama routh & Palany Mooppen residing at Roya pettah attached to Madras 5 Kistnien residing at Sheneva pa Pallaor in the Moosary Talook, 6 Narraina routh & 7 Mootloosawmy residing at Cottiah Collum in the said Talook- Defendants	2d 3d & 4th Defendants Veerappillay, Seetharama routh and Palany Mooppen residing at Roya pettah attached to Madras Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the Plaintiff against you and the other Defts for the recovery of Rs 140-11-8 being the value of Paddy, has been admitted and filed in the above A/c You are hereby directed to appear and present an answer to the Suit-	Trichinopoly Zillah Civil Court-	1855	1855	1855	Rs As P		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d April 1855			
						March 29th	March 22d	March 31st	40	11	"	Within 15 5 days after Service	Do	June 12th	The within named 2d 3d & 4th Defendants ~~therein named~~ are not to be found within the Local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras- Vadanarrainen
30	Suit No. 11 of 1855 in the Court of the Moosly Sudr Ameen of Chingleput Notice	1 Soobbaroyer of Coyarumbadoo in the Peroopooroor Talook Plaintiff 1 Vanamalay Sadagopacharier 2 Trivellore & Sufur of Madras, 2 Terroomul Row, 3 Sashagheree Row, 4 Coondapier of Terroovuttees wareru Pettah adjacent to Trapliceane, 5 Venkatramier & 6 Streenevasa Raghavacharier, 7 Koory Narasimachariar, 8 Appalacharier, 9 Hulmengar 10 Sudagopacharier, 11 Streenevasa Pillay of Valacherry, 12 Mooroogapillay & 13 Narrainapillay of the said Coyarumbadoo 14 Streenevasa Row Head Manistadar in the Collectors Office in the Chingleput District- Defendants	2d Defendant Terroomul Row son of Venkatramier residing in Houses Nos 29 & 31 in Nagapiem Street at Terroovuttees wareru Pettah adjacent to Trapliceane a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted the above Suit in the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court against you and other Defts praying for an Award to put him in possession on account of the holy services of Sunjeevaroya Swamyar of Peroombattoomulloor a hamlet attached to Coyarumbadoo in the Peroopooroor Talook within the jurisdiction of the Zillah of Chingleput with reference to the Cowel granted by the Circar in Plaintiffs favor in the year Velumbe- You are therefore required to attend & deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855	1855	1855			1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d April 1855-			
						March 31st	March 27th	April 2d	"	"	"	Within 8 days of the Service of the Copy of Notice being affixed.	Do	May 1st	Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 30th April 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 11 of 1855 in the Court of the Moosly Sudr Ameen of Chingleput Notice	Do	4th Defendant Coondupier son of Streenevasa Row residing in house No. 29 & 31 in Nagapier Street at Terroovuttees wareru Pettah adjacent to Trapliceane a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the said Plff has instituted the above Suit in the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court against you & other Defts praying for an Award to put him in possession on account of the holy Services of Sunjeevaroya Swamyar of Peroombattoomulloor without the interference of yourself & other Defts of 19 65/128 Cawnies of Mulgooza area Nunja land paying an Annual Teerwah of Rs 36-4-1 and of 2 11/16 Cawnies of Poonja Land paying an annual Teerowah of Rs 4-11-3 in all Cawnies 22 25/128 in the said Peroombattoomulloor a hamlet attached to Coyarumbadoo in the Peroopooroor Talook within the jurisdiction of the Zillah of Chingleput with reference to the Cowel granted by the Circar in Plaintiffs favor in the year Velumbe- you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Do	1855	1855	1855			1855	Hon Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d April 1855-			
						March 31st	March 27th	April 2d	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service of the Copy of Notice being affixed.	Do	April 11th	Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 7th April 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice- Vadanarrainen

31 Pauper Application No. 2 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. Notice

Soody Unnivall of Varago Mallaz in the Carangooly Talook Pauper Petitioner

1 Tervoengad Chareyar, 2 Anandan var, 3 Appalah Chareyer of Vellacherry in the Ponamulle Talook, 4 Streenevasa Ammal of Chintadry Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Defendants

To 4th Defendant Streenevasa Ammal the widow of the deceased Veneata-ragavah Chareyer the undivided brother of the 1st Defendant residing in house No. 14 in the Aggrahara Street near the Audeesavah Permal Pagoda at Chintadry Petta a Suburb of Madras

Take notice that the aforesaid Pltff has presented a Motion to obtain an order to institute a Suit in forma Pauperis against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of Rs 210 being the Amount borrowed by her from certain Persons for her maintenance at Rs 52-8- per Mensem, and also Merasse Share & the Shotreem produce in the Villages of Vellacherry, Mitto Nemmaly and Cavanoor in the above Zillah as well as House & Cattle &c. and also She filed a Schedule stating that she not possessed of any other property but those mentioned therein to the value of Rs 2-8- you are therefore required to attend & state your objections if any as to her being admitted to Sue in forma Pauperies.

By Order of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs As P

March 31st, March 31st, April 2nd

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the copy of Notice being affixed

Vadamarvinen

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2nd April 1855.

April 4th Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 7th April 1855 by the Pltff. Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her but that she has refused to affix her signature to the Notice.

Vadamarvinen

32 No. 282 of 1854 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepute Notice

1 Cooppoo Pillay, 2 Mangaly Pillay of St. Thomas Mount in the Sydapet Talook. Plaintiffs

1 Miss Thomas Kelly, 2 John George Kelly of do. 3 Voondy Soondarier of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants

To 3d Defendant Voondy Soondarier adopted son of Venkier residing in house No. 6 in the Agraharum Street attached to Yacambareeswarun Covil at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Plaintiffs have instituted this Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court stating that the upstair house &c. situated at St. Thomas Mount within the limits of the Zillah of Chinglepute which has been attached in pursuance of the Decree in Suit No. 16 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen, had been in the first instance mortgaged to them that while so you have preferred a Claim in the said Suit No. 16 alledging that the said property had been mortgaged to you & obtained a decree in your favor, & therefore praying the Court for an award to cancel the said decree & recover for them Rs. 700 from you and other Defts being the Amount due to them on the Mortgage of the said property, you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the plaint.

By Order of the Chinglepute Zillah Court

1855 1855 1855

April 5th, March 26th, April 7th

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th April 1855.

April 18th The 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 17th April 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadamarvinen

33	O.S.N°.885 of 1855 on the file of the Mohomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Tandavaroya Pillay alias Vengada Chellapillay of Pananasanellore in the Talook of Myavarum Plaintiff 1 Moorooga pillay of Tillavedungum in Shealy Talook living for the past Sixe Monthe in Causee Mutt situated in the lane of Tranquibar Vengada Soobbier in Pavalacara Street at Mootteloopettah a Suburb of Madras - 2 Bis undevided younger brother Nadaraja pillay of the said Tillavedugum, 3 Sabapathy pilla Elder son of the 1st Deft. living with the 2d Deft. & Chinnummal mother & guardean to Vyetelinga pilla aged 16 years younger son of the 1st Deft. living with the 2d & 3d Defendants Defendants	1st Defendant Moorooga pillay Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted a Suit in the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum against you and the other Defendants aforesaid as members of an undevided family for the recovery of Rs 1588 - - the Amount of the Principal and interest due under a bond executed by you to the Plff for Rs 990 - - - (forming part of the balance Rs 2284 - 4 - - struck against you at the Settlement of Accounts between yourself & Plff) on the 27th Margaly of Velumbee or 9th January 1839 upon 13/32 out of 5 Carays of Nunjah & Punjah lands situated at Tillavedungum in Shealy Talook within the jurisdiction of the said Court - you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Com[missione]r's Civil Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton	10th April 1855
									April 4th	March 24th	April 7th			April 30th	Vad[ana]varaiyan
														May 2d	The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarraiyan
"	O.S.N°. 86 of 1855 on the file of the Mohomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Do	1st Defendant Moorooga pillay. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Mohomedan Sudr Ameen's Court of Combaconum against you and the other Defendants aforesaid as members of an undevided family for the recovery of Rs 1588-8-0 the Amount of Principal and interest due under a Bond executed by You to the Plaintiff for Rs 794-4-0 on the 27th Margaly of Velumbee or 9th January 1839 pledging a dwelling house & a Godown which are common to you and the 2d Defendant at Tillavedungum in Shealy Talook within the jurisdiction of the said Court - you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Do	1855	1855	1855			1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton	10th April 1855
									April 4th	March 24th	April 7th	794	4 -	April 30th	Do
														May 2d	The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarraiyan
34	O.S.N°.83 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court of Masulipatam Notice	Podewurty Nangalinguem residing at Jalanpettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam Plaintiff 1st Pillaresetty Chena Lutchmeenurs[o] at present residing in House N°.42 in the street of Tarupah Naidoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras & 2d Narraioo Residing at Chem[n]anagherry Pettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam, 3d Swamy Naidoo at present residing in House N°.42 in the street of Tarupah Naidoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	1st Defendant Chena Lutchmeenurs[o] residing in House N°.42 in the Street of Tarupah Naidoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit to recover from you and the other Defendants Rupees 63 12 3 (Sixty three, Annas twelve and pice three, being the balance due to him on account of Cloth merchandize Dyed carried on between himself and the 3d Defendant at the request of the 1st and 2d Defts while the 1st and 2d Defendants were residing at Chemmanagherry Pettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam within the jurisdiction of the Civil Court of Masulipatam, the 3d being at Madras, and the 1st Defendant also having subsequently proceeded thither and they are now residing jointly there - You are therefore requested to attend & to deliver in an answer to the Plaint.	Masulipatam Civil Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton	10th April 1855
									March 31st	March 6th	April 9th	63	12	3	One Month after the date of Service	Do	April 27th	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 26th April 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarraiyan
"	O.S.N°.83 of 1854 on the file of the Sudr Ameen's Court of Masulipatam Notice	Do	3 Defendant Pillaureselly Swamy Naidoo residing in House N°.42 in the Street of Tarupah Naidoo at Peddoo Naidoo Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted a Suit to recover from you & the other Defts Rs 63-12-3 being the balance due to him on account of cloth merchandize Carried on between you & the Plff at the request of the 1st & 2d Defendants while the 1st & 2d Defts were residing at Chemmanagherry Pettah in the Town of Masulipatam within the jurisdiction of the Civil Court of Masulipatam you being at Madras & the 1st Deft also having subsequently proceeded thither & they are now residing jointly there - you are therefore requested to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton	10th April 1855
									March 31st	March 6th	April 9th	63	12	3	One Month after date of Service	Do	April 14th	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 13th April 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarraiyan

35 Suit N.° 186 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor A.N.° 33 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Ching.l Notice

Sabapathy Moodaly, 2 Tanjaya Chala Moodely and 3 Vullee Ummay of Coyambadoo in the Sydapet Talook - 17.° 21.° and 10.° Defendants Appellants

Nagappah Chetty son of Goommadeepoondy Coolluppa Chetty residing in house N.° 230 32 in the westline of Linghy Chettys Street at Moollaboopetta a Suburb of Madras. Pltff Respondent

Respondent Nagappa Chetty son of Goommadeepoondy Coolluppa Chetty residing in house N.° 230 32 in the west line of Linghee Chettys Street at Moollaboopettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Vakeel on behalf of the 17. 21 & 10.° Defendant has presented an Appeal Petition, the said Defendants being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor in the above Suit You are hereby required to appear and to deliver an Answer to the said Appeal Petition.

Ching.l Appeal Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as.° 1855

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 16.° April 1855.

April 14.° April 13.° April 16.° — — — April 20.°

Vadanarrainen

Respondent April 18. served personally on the within named on the 18. April 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

36 Suit N.° 257 of 1853 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice

1 Venkatanarrain of Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

2 Daushem Venkatareddy of Streeperoomadoor in the Maneenmungalum Talook, 2 Sadagopa Reddy, 3 Venkataraghava Reddy of Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras 4 Mootteyalu Reddy, 5 Narayana Reddy 6 Kistnareddy, 7 Seetta Reddy, 8 Vengoo Reddy, 9 Audy Casava Reddy, 10 Venkatasawmy Reddy of the above Streeperoomadoor, 11 Gungoo Reddy of Tandalum now residing in the said Village, 12 Narraina Reddy of Nemmaly in the above Talook, 13 Codundaramana Moodely of Carangoly now residing in the said Village, 14 Vada Reddy, 15 Narraina Naicken, 16 Moottia Pillay, 17 Sawmy Pillay, 18 Poona Pillay, 19 Moochy Naicken, 20 Chennappa Naicken, 21 Royappa Naicken 22 Appia Naicken, 23 Voodoomul & Emiah Reddy residing in the said Village Defendants

2.° Defendant Sadagopa Reddy son of Venkatanarrainna Reddy residing in house N.° 7 in the west line of Erooluppens Street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that Points have been recorded by the Court to be proved by you in the Suit you are therefore required to appear and to produce witnesses and Documents after knowing the said points.

Do

1855 1855 1855 Rs as.° 1855

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 1.st May 1855.

April 27.° April 24.° April 28.° — — —

Within 8 days after service on you within 5 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed

May 11.° Do

The within named 2.° Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9.° May 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanarrainen

" Suit N.° 258 of 1853 on the file of the Moofussil Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice

Do

2.° Defendant Sadagopa Reddy son of Venkatanarrainna Reddy residing in house N.° 7 in the west line of Erooluppens Street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras

Take notice that points have been recorded by the Court to be proved by you in this Suit you are therefore required to appear and to produce witnesses and Documents after knowing the said points

Do.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as.° 1855

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 1.st May 1855

April 27.° April 24.° April 28.° — — —

Within 8 days after service on you within 5 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed

May 11.° Do

The within named 2.° Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9.° May 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanarrainen

37	Os. No. 670 of 1853 on the file of the Mohomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Singoo Nagien Chetty of Manjaappah Chavady in Papanasem Talook — Plaintiff 1 Vengadachelapady Chetty son of Ramappa Ramoodoo Chetty of the same place, now living in the house No. 31 situated in Arookanachella Aucharys Street at Sapaukem a Suburb of Madras 2 his undevided younger brother Sashun Chetty of the said Manjaappah Chavady, 3 Rookomaneammah mother and guardian to Veereon aged 3 years Son of deceased Pah Samy Chetty and other undevided younger brother of the said Vegedachelapady Chetty of the same place Defendants	1st Defendant Vengedachelapady Chetty Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against your & other Deft.s for the recovery of Rs 62-3-8 being principal & interest due on a bond executed to him by you pledging 2 Strings of gold beads called Pajamaly for Rs. 35 on the 1st Munny of Palaymgah or 13th June 1847 at Manjeeappah Chavady in Papanasem Talook within the jurisdiction of the Mohomedan Sudr Ameens Court and where it is alleged you possess house & property - you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Combaconum Zillah Civil Court	1855 April 27th	1855 April 19th	1855 April 30th	Rs 0 0	As 0 0	P 0 0	1855 June 25th	Vadanarrain	1855 May 15th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 1st May 1855. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 12th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
38	Forma Pauperies No. 290 of 1854 on the file of the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepat Notice	1 Moonesawmy Naick alias Pedlasawmy Naick of Royapettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff 1 Chinna Moonesappah Naick of Cusbah Paryapaulieem in the Peryapaulieem Talook, 2 Iyaloo Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 3 Ramasawmy Naick, 4 Ubboo Naick, 5 Chinna Moonesawmy Naick of the above Peryapolliem Defendants	2nd Defendant Iyaloo Naick residing in house No. 4 in Ramanjiers Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that Points to have been recorded by the Court to be proved by you in this Suit you are therefore required to appear and to produce witnesses and Documents after knowing the said Points	Chinglepat Zillah Civil Court	1855 May 1st	1855 April 28th	1855 May 2nd	do	do	do	Within 8 days after service on within named defendant	do	1855 May 15th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th May 1855. Served personally on the within named 2nd Defendant on the 14th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Forma Pauperies No. 6 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepat Notice	1 Nadamoony Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Cumree Ummaul, 2 Narrainsawmy Naick, 3 Appaloo Naick, 4 Mooneappa Naick, 5 Camara Pillay of Carany in the Treporoor Talook, 6 Veerasawmy Naick and 7 Iyaloo Naick of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	6th Defendant Veerasawmy Naick of Ummanumbaukum residing in house No. 87 in Vottay Vaudy south of Elephant gate at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Take notice that this Suit which had been instituted by the Plaintiff in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen for the recovery of certain Laucrazee & Mulgoozaree lands and Salt pan situate in the said Village of Carany & in Semmunjary within the limits of the zillah of Chinglepat & of gold & Silver Jewels & Cattle &ca. valued in all Rs. 1012-12-0 has been called up & referred to me by the Civil Court you are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	do	1855 May 1st	1855 April 28th	1855 May 2nd	do	do	do	Within 8 days after service on within named defendant	do	1855 May 15th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th May 1855. Served personally on the within named 6th Defendant on the 5th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him Vadanarrainen
"	Forma Pauperies No. 6 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglepat Notice	do	7th Defendant Iyaloo Naick residing in house No. 6 in Croolaspa Moodely's Street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Take notice that this Suit which had been instituted by the Plaintiff in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen for the recovery of certain Laucrazee & Mulgoozaree lands & Salt pan Situate in the said Village of Carany & in Semmunjary within the limits of the Zillah of Chinglepat & of gold & Silver Jewels & Cattle &ca. valued in all Rs. 1012-12-0 has been called & referred to me by the Civil Court you are therefor required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint	do	1855 May 1st	1855 April 28th	1855 May 2nd	do	do	do	Within 8 days after service on within named defendant	do	1855 May 15th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th May 1855. Served personally on the within named 7th Defendant on the 5th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

39 O.S.No. 123 of 1855 on the file of the Mohomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum — Notice

Vengedasoobba Iyahvijen of Woollicady in Paupanasem Talook — Plaintiff

1 Badaisah living at Toolookkareo in Malavalootore of the same Talook, 2 his undivided younger brother Abdool Mahomed of the said Malavalootore now living in the house No. 35 situated in the South line of the Street called Lebbyteroo, east to west, in front of Dharmarajah Pagoda at Mylapore a Suburb of Madras — Defendants

2d Defendant Abdool Mahomed

Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and 1st Defendant to recover Rs. 26 - - the balance of principal and Interest due under a Bond executed by you jointly with 1st Defendant for Rs. 14 being the value of 21 Cabemes of Paddy purchased from him on the 1st Vysey of Sarvaree or 12th May 1840 when you were in the said Malavalatore within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum and it is alleged that since a few days you are residing at Madras & that the payment of Rs. 2 made by the 1st Defdt in part liquidation of the Bond has been deducted you are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer.

Combaconum 8th March 1855

Vadanarrainen

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs | as | P | 1855 | 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 8th May 1855

May 2d | April 19th | May 5th | 26 - - | June 30th

Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 28th June 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice.

Vadanarrainen

O.S.No. 131 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum — Notice

Alamalo Ammal widow of Naranien alias Iyasien of Suttoor in Cattalem Talook — Plaintiff

1 Ramien of Iyasanullore in Mayavaram Talook, 2 his undivided younger brother Samy Iyen now living in Rungapillay Choultry situated close to Kistnuppa Naicks Tank at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Defendants

2d Defendant Samy Iyen

Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you & 1st Defdt for an Annual Allowance of Rs. 25 on account of her maintenance as well as for certain jewels and utensils valued at Rs. 37-8 - stating that you and 1st Defdt as well as her husband are undivided brothers, that her husband died without issue in the month of Margaly of Keelaga or December 1848, that you and 1st Defdt possess personal and real property worth Rs. 1050 in the said Village of Iyanamullore within the jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum & you are employed as an Arkar on a Salary of 10 Rs. you therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.

Do

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs | as | P | 1855 | 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 8th May 1855

May 2d | April 19th | May 5th | 37 | 8 - | June 30th | Do

Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 16th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

---

40 Suit No. 550 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor but referred to the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court — Notice

Arulungatta Chetty of Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff

1 Veerasawmy Chetty of Arumvogel in the Poryapollieum Talook, 2 Mooroogapa Chetty, 3 Mooneappah Chetty of the above Village and also of Pauparumbaukum in the said Talook — Defendants

Plaintiff Arulungatta Chetty son of Gungadara Chetty residing in house No. 61 in the west line of Kalava Chetty's Street at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit which you had instituted in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rs. 25-8-7 being the principal & interest due on an adjustment of Account, has been referred to the Moofly Sudr Ameen of Chinglaput by the Civil Court - you are therefore required to appear and to conduct the Suit.

Chinglaput 8th March 1855

G. Gillanders Civil Asst.

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs | as | P | Within 8 days after service on the Defendant | 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 8th May 1855

May 4th | April 30th | May 5th | 25 | 8 | 7 | Do

May — Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 12th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

---

41 Suit No. 47 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chinglaput — Notice

Soobramanya Moodely of Carany a Suburb of Sydapet in the Talook of Sydapet — Plaintiff

1 Sabapathy Moodely, 2 Moodely of Munneparkum in the Trepassoor Talook, 3 Gungadara Moodely of Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras — Defendants

3d Defendant Gungadara Moodely son of Vencatapah Moodely residing in house No. 47 in the North line of Goorooswapah Chetty's Street at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Plff has instituted this Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court against you & 1st & 2d Defendants representing that your father & 1st Defdt on the 12th Margaly of the year Parabavah received from Plff Rs. 33 in advance for cultivating Indigo plants & also 10 Marcals of Indigo Seeds worth Rs. 10 & executed a bond on plain Cadjan in the Village of Padapay in the Manemungalum Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chinglaput & that he (your father) afterwards departed this life & therefore praying for the recovery of Rs. 89-5-2 being the Principal & interest due on the said bond you are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.

Within 8 days after service on the 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.

Do

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs | as | P | 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 8th May 1855

May 4th | April 30th | May 5th | 89 | 5 | 2 | Do

May 12 — Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 11th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

42 | O.S.No. 34 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen Court of Tinnevelly. Notice with Proclamation.

Ally Boosain Saib now of Cusbah Tinnevelly in the Talook of Nellicambalum. Plaintiff

1 Hosunraja of Calacaud in the Talook of Nangoonary, 2 Hossainah Baicum, 3 Paraumully Saib of Cusbah Streevecontum Talook, 4 Boosain Beevee, 5 Baunoobeevee of Calacaud in the above said Talook & Madarhoosain Khan of Cusbah Tinnevelly in the above said Talook Defendants

1 Honeefabeevee, 2 Mahiroobeevee, 3 Khatheejabeevee, 4 Ghoosoorally Saib of the same place, 5 Fauleemabaicombeevee residing in the house No. 57 in Parachary lane of Poothoosaukoo Yellaspen Street near Sambazar at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Supplemental Defendants

5th Supplemental Defendant.

Fauleemabaicombeevee residing in the house No. 57 in Parachary lane of Poothoosaukoo Yellaspen Street near Sambazar at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Plaintiffs Vakeels have instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Tinnevelly against the Defendants for the recovery of Rs. 2990-6-3 being both the produce and value of real and personal property. The said Plaintiffs Vakeels have also filed a Supplemental Plaint requesting to recover from the 1st Supplemental Defts. 2 Cottahs, 10 Marcals and 4 Measures of Lakheraje Nunja land within the jurisdiction of the said Court by yielding Rs. 1837-8-0 being the amount estimated at 10 times the produce thereof which was granted to the Plaintiffs Ancestors free of rent for Moharum Festival & which was omitted in the aforesaid Suit & to include you, the Plaintiffs elder Sister as Supplemental Defendants in order that you may State your objections if you have any for the said land being enjoyed by the Plff. You are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Supplemental Plaint

Tinnevelly Principal Sudr Ameen's Court

1855 June 22 | 1855 June 22 | 1855 June 27 | Rs — | as — | P — | 1855 July 20 | Vadanarayanan

1855 July 11 | The Honorable The Chief Justice 29th June 1855.

Served personally on the within named 5th Supplemental Defendant on the 11th July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her. Vadanarayanen

---

43 | A.S.No. 55 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore. A.S.No. 52 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court. Notice with Proclamation.

Durmaseva Chetty of Triplicane Appellant Sup. Defdt.

Paupee Chetty Peddoo Naiken Pettah Madras Colored Cotton yarn Merchant. Respondent Plff.

Respondent Plaintiff Paupee Chetty

Juggiah Chetty of Peddoo Naiken pettah a Suburb of Madras Colored Cotton yarn Merchant.

Whereas the Supplemental Defendant now appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Cuddalore in the above Suit has appealed therefrom to the Civil Court of Cuddalore & require you to appear & to file an Answer in the above appeal.

Cuddalore Principal Sudr Ameen's Court.

1855 June 25 | 1855 June 25 | 1855 June 27 | Rs — | as — | P — | July 14 | Do | 1855 July 4

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 29th June 1855.

Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 3d July 1855 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarayanen

---

44 | Notice issued by the Civil Court of Salem

Kottakitcherrna Chetty son of Kottarungha Chetty of Oossoor in the Salem Zillah. Pauper Petitioner

1 Jagadavagherry Gosayee son of Preedevagherry Gosayee of Sivamuckee attached to the Nagaree Division in the Mysore Territories a Merchant and Cultivator, 2 Puttum Mary zneer jappa Naick son of Varapooda Appa Tumee late Poligar of Bangalore in the Oossoor Talook in the Salem Zillah, 3 Mungamonyummaul & Jaulungherry Gosayee father of Sagadavagherry Gosayee of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Appellants

Jaulungherry Gosayee residing at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. 4th Opponent.

Take notice that the aforesaid Kottakitcherrna Chetty has presented a Petition to the Civil Court of Salem praying that he in consequence of his not being possessed of any further than 255 Rs. worth of property, he might be allowed to sue you & the parties aforesaid in forma pauperies for the recovery of Rs. 3127-4-3 the Amount of Appeal Decree Rs. 2871-3-0 on the Sudr Adalats file including Principal interest and Costs as also of subsequent Interest due as per documents and for having the transfer now in your son Jagadavagherry Gosayees name of the said Decree set aside you are therefore required to appear & give a written representation setting forth your objections in respect of the plea of Pauper urged by the Petitioner aforesaid otherwise no request will be at once complied with.

Salem Principal Civil Court

1855 June 25 | 1855 June 11 | 1855 June 28 | Rs — | as — | P — | Within 30 days after service | Do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 29th June 1855.

The within named Jaulungherry Gosayee Appt. is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarayanen

45 | O.S. No. 119 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor A.S. No. 38 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput | Notice | Chinnatumby Moodaly residing in Mayloo Street at St. Thomas Mount in the Talook of Sydapet — Defendant Appellant | Vyapoory Aucharry son of Moolloo veera charry residing in house No. 8 in the east line of Teroovangada Moodaly's Street at Peryamettoo a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff Respondent | Respondent Vyapoory Aucharry son of Moolloo veera charry residing in house No. 8 in the east line of Teroovengada Moodaly's Street at Peryamettoo a Suburb of Madras

Take notice that the Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by District Moonsiffs of Trepassoor in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput - you are therefore required to deliver an Answer to the said Appeal Petition -

To bring before the Civil Court

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs as P

June 28 | June 29 | June 29 | " | " | " | Within 6 days after Service | Vadanarrainan

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 30 June 1855

July 10 | Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 9 July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainan

46. | O.S. No. 30 of file on the file of Moofsy Sudr Ameens Court of Salem | Notice | 1 Alamalummael wife of Datty Singalacharry of Salem her adopted Son, 2 Datty Saraswummacharry of Do - Plaintiff — 1 Datty Singalacharry said to be the adopted son of Datty Teeroonaranacharry the son of Datty Singalacharry by his first wife, 2 Datty Singalacharry 3 Poollalogacharry the sons of Datty Gopaulacharry the son of the said Datty Singalacharry by his first wife of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras the Defendants Heirs —

1 Datty Singalacharry said to be the adopted son of Datty Teeroonaranacharry the son of Datty Singalacharry by his first wife, 2 Datty Singalacharry 3 Poollalogacharry the sons of Datty Gopaulacharry the son of the said Datty Singalacharry by his first wife of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras the Defendants heirs -

Take notice that this Suit has been remanded for reinvestigation and decision sens so after questioning you on certain points you are therefore required to answer the questions to be put to you

To bind over to give Security & appear before Salem Sudr Ameens Court

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs as P

June 29 | June 5 | July 2 | " | " | " | Within 30 days after Service | Do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 3 July 1855.

July 6 | Served personally on the within named Datty Singalacharry the son of Gopaulacharry on the 5 July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - The within named Datty Singalacharry son of Datty Teeroonaranacharry and Poollalogaucharry are not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras - Vadanarrainen

47 | Suit No. 205 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodeyatham | Notice | Lingareddy son of the deceased Chellapullay Rameredlya resident of Aukundaganj Pullay in the Talook of Veneatagheree cottah Plaintiff — 1 Maurum Tipparedly and 2 his son Gungooloreddy residents of Ramacoopum in the above Talook, 3 Mr. M. McDowell residing at Royapooram a Suburb of Madras, 4 Mr. Thomas Turner residing at Veneatagheree Cottah Defendants | 3 Defendant Mr. M. McDowell residing at Royapooram a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that in the Suit instituted by the Plaintiff in the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodeyatham in the Zillah of Chittoor against the 1st and 2d Defts for the recovery of Rs 220 being the amount of a mortgage Kararnamah executed by them in favor of the Plff & his father the deceased Rameredly on Stampt paper under date the 4 May 1849 in the Village of Aukundaganj Pullay in the Talook of Veneatagherry cottah within the jurisdiction of the said Court he included you & Mr. Thomas Turner as 3 & 4 Defendants on the plea that you and the 4 Defendant had caused the property mortgaged in the said Document to be attached in Suit No. 1016 of 1848 in which you and the 4 Defts were Plffs. you are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plff -

To Chittoor Zillah Civil Court

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs as P | 1855

June 30 | June 13 | July 5 | 220 | " | July 14 | do | July 7

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 6 July 1855

Served personally on the within 3 Defendant on the 6 July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice - Vadanarrainen

48	O.S.No. 158 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Vizagapatam Notice	Gungabuetoolah Rayanah Plaintiff 1 Tattacollah Ramasawmy Moodelliar, 2 do Lutchmanah sawmy Moodaliar, 3 do Kasavah Moodaliar, 4 do Vasvoodava Moodaliar being minors their mother and Guardian, 5 Poonamanee alias Poonamananah Defendants	Defendants 1 Tatteeollah Ramasawmy Moodelliar 2 do Lutchmanah Swamy Moodelliar 3 do Kasavah Moodelliar 4 do Vasvoodava Moodelliar being minors their mother and Guardian, 5 Poonamanee alias Poonamananah residing in the Street of Tanjavoor Runglah Naidoo in Peddoo Nayckenpettah at Madras.	1855 June 29th	1855 June 29th	1855 July 6th	Rs 0	as 0	0	Vadamarraini Mathews 5 days after Service	1855 July 13th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 6th July 1855. Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 12th July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her but that she has refused to affix her signature to the Notice. Vadamarraianen
		Take notice that the Plff has instituted a Suit against you and the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th Defendants Goday Veneala Juggarow Garoo, do Narrainah Guzzapatty Pawjaroo Garoodah Padmanaboodoo Googheelum Brumiah and Yendooree Bogavalo Garee Jagganatham, praying that certain real property valued at 1800 Rupees ju[illegible]dged in Suits Nos. 206, 209, and 263 of 1851 may be released from attachment and that he may be relieved from responsibility on account of certain other property valued at Rs 4020-12-8 for which he become surety - you are therefore required to attend and present your answer.											
		G. Sreenivasa Pillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Vizagapatam.													
49	Suit No 25 of 1855 on the file of the Moolsy Sudr Ameens Chingleput Notice	1 Cundasawmy Pillay of Chittaypauk-kum in the Sydapet Talook 1 Apparow pillay alias Soobroya pillay of Chintadrepettah Suburb of Madras. Defendant	Defendant Apparow pillay alias Soobroya pillay Son of Saravana Pillay a Dumpratys Gomasta in the Madras Collectors Cutcherry, residing in House No 16 in Vadagherry Maistrys street, situated in a lane of Northern Madavedee attached to Peroomall and Eeswaren Coils at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras.	1855 July 6th	1855 June 25th	1855 July 4th	Rs 100	as "	"	Within 8 days after the service of the Notice being of the hostile filing of the Plaint.	Do 23	The Honorable The Chief Justice 10th July 1855. July Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 21st July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
		Take notice that Vakeel Ristna Row on behalf of the said Plaintiff has instituted the above suit against you in this Court representing that your undivided younger Uncle Chinnya Pillay, who had held on mortgage deed for Rs 50-0 1/2 share of the Village Meerasee of Chittatoor Kum in the Sydapet Talook within the limits of the Pettah of Chingleput mortgaged the said Mortgage deed to Plff for Rs 50-0-0 executing to him (Plaintiff) a mortgage bond of debt on the 11th Chittery of Verodhi corattoo and afterwards died without any issue, and that you do continue to enjoy the produce of the said 1/2 share. The Plff therefore prays to recover from you Rs 100-0-0 being the principal and interest due according to the said Mortgage bond of debt. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an Answer to the Plaint.											
		Chingleput Sudr Ameens Court													
50	O.S.No. 32 of 1851 on the file of the Moolsy Sudr Ameens Court A.S.No. 13 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	1 Sevagooroonada Moodaly, of Punnur in the Talook of Sydapet, 2 Vyacambara Moodaly of Vayalanullore in the Talook of Poonamalle. Appellants 1 Kalyanasoondara Moodaly of Peddoo Naickenpettah a Suburb of Madras. 1st Appellant Respondent 1 Soobramanya Moodelly heir of the said Kalyanasoondara Moodaly since deceased of the said Peddoo Naickenpettah	Supplemental 1st Respondents Heir Soobramanya Moodaly residing in house No 13 in the North line of Vodundy's lane at Peddoo Naickenpettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court of Sudr Udalut have issued an extract from their proceedings held upon the special Appeal petition presented by you to the said Court in the said number remanding the said Suit for reinvestigation and directing the return of the value of the 1st Stamp, the Civil Court of Chingleput is therefore about to take up the suit for reinvestigation you are therefore required to appear and file State and have anything to represent regarding it	1855 July 9th	1855 July 9th	1855 July 10th	Rs "	as "	"	Within 5 days after service	Do 19th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 13th July 1855. July Served personally on the within named Soobramanya Moodelly heir of 1st Supplemental Respondent on the 18th July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
		Do													

51	Suit No. 2 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameen's Court of Chinglepet Notice	1 Davarajah Pillay of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras- Plaintiff 1 Gamambaul, 2 Venguppia Pillay of Do 3 Coonnattoor Cutchala Pillay of Musspadoo in the Talook of Sydapet- Defendants	1st Defendant Ganambaul wife of Vendaloor Veneatanarasoo Pillay the Shrotreemdar of Musspadoo residing in house No. 26 in Moolas Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court Vakeel on behalf of the Plaintiff has instituted the Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameen's Court of Chinglepet against you and the 2d & 3 Defendants representing that your husband Vencatanarasoo Pillay had on the 30th December 1850 executed to Plaintiff's elder brother Parasooroma Pillay a deed on Stamp permitting the latter to enjoy as Ezara the Shrotrium Village called Vadaya of Musspadoo within the limits of the Zillah of Chinglepet on account of the debt (500 Rs) due by your husband to the said Parasooroma Pillay and its interest and that subsequently your husband and the said Parasooroma Pillay died the Plff therefore prays to recover Rps. 617-8-4 being the Amount of principal and interest you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint-	Chinglepet Sudr Ameen's Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs. 617	8	4	Within 8 days after service and then 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed-	Vadanarain	July 25	The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 24th July 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	13th July 1855
52	Suit No. 114 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor now pending before the Moofly Sudr Ameen's Court of Chinglepet Notice	1 Venca Bragva Reddy of Streeperooma door in the Manemungalum Talook Plaintiff 1 Annamalay Moodelly, 2 Ganapaty Moodelly of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras, 3 Kary Kistna Moodaly a Do Do, 4 Venayaca Moodaly of Peddoo Coorookoo Puttaraya Suburb of Madras, 5 Veerasawmy Moodaly, 6 Rungappa Chetty 7 Chinniengar, 8 Streenevasaingar, 9 Sadagopah Charier, 10 Voopparah Balabudra Maisby, 11 Chundruppen, 12 Pottay Maistry 13 Casavooloo, 14 Venkatasawmy, 15 Kichigan 16 Narrainen of Kalatty pettah in the Talook of Sydapet, 17 Veerasawmy Moodaly of Vunnaro Paytlay a Suburb of Madras Defendants	1st Defendant Annamalay Moodaly son of Arnachella Moodely of Madras, residing in house No. 24 in the east line of Chundrappa Moodaly's Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court has recorded points for you in this Suit- You are therefore required to attend and to file a list of your witnesses and documents after knowing the said points	Do	1855	1855	1855	July 10	June 25	July 11	"	"	"	Within 8 days after service or within 5 days after the Copy of this Notice being affixed	Do	July 19	Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 18th July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice- Vadanarrainen	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	13th July 1855
53	Suit No. 2 of 1857 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor & No. 140 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chinglepet Notice	Sooboroya Pillay son of Ramalinga Pillay residing in house No. 38 in the east line of Tumbooleen's Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras- Plaintiff Appellant 1 Apparow Moodeliar son of Tumbee Uppa Moodeliar residing in house No. 47 in the west line of Govendappa Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras or in Manaly in the Talook of Sydapet, 2 Moonesawmy Iyer & of Sadyengoopsum in the said Talook and 3 Cyerungatta Pillay son of Mattoosawmy Pillay of Manaly in the said Talook Deft. Respondents	1 Respondent Apparow Moodeliar son of Tumbee Uppa Moodeliar residing in house No. 47 in the west line of Govindappa Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has, through his Vakeel Kristna Row preferred an Appeal against it to the Civil Court of Chinglepet you are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition	Do	1855	1855	1855	July 12	July 12	July 13	"	"	"	Within 5 days after service	Do	July 26	Served personally on the within named 1st Respondent on the 25th July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	18th July 1855

54 O.S.No. 79 of 1857 on the file of the Pl. Jr. Ameens Court of Vizagapatam O.S.No. 131 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

1 Motamurry Jankeeramasetty alias Jankeramiah 2 Cadapah Rungasayenaidoo of Madras Plaintiffs

Passoumurty Atchiah of Vizagapatam. Defendant

Plaintiffs Motamurry Jankeramsetty alias Jankeramiah and Cadapah Rungasayenaidoo residing in Peddy Naickoo pettah near the Chengavey market at Madras

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Prem.t Sudr Ameens Court in No. 79 for recovering Rs 32 from the Defendant Passoumurty Atchiah being the balance due as per Settlement account has been called up by the Civil Court & having been filed in the above O.S.131 referred to the District Moonsiffs Court at Vizagapatam in the Zillah of Chicacole the Pleadings in the above case have been filed in the Prin.l Sudr Amins Court and points were recorded by it you are therefore required to appear and to present lists of witnesses and documents & prosecute your Suit

Chicacole Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855

July 6 June 18 July 32 " " August 20

Vadanarrain

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 18 July 1855.

July 20 Served personally on the within named 2 Plaintiff Cadapah Rungasayenaidoo on the 19 July 1855 by the Duplicate of the said Notice and translation being delivered to him and that Motamurry Jankeramasetty alias Janakeramiah the 1st Plaintiff also within named is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen

55 O.S.No. 244 of 1854 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice

1 Sedumbara Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

1 Coomarasawmy Pillay 2 Dutchanamoorty Pillay of Moottialoo pettah a Suburb of Madras. Defendants

1st Defendant Coomarasawmy Pillay son of Vadaccapat Annamalay Pillay residing in house No. 76 in the west line of Pavalacara Street at Moottialoo pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Plaintiff has presented a Motion praying for the execution of the Decree passed in the above Suit you are therefore required to appear and to state if you have any objections for the execution of the said Decree.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855

July 28 July 24 July 30 " " "

Within 8 days after Service or within 15 days after the Copy of this Notice being affixed

do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 31 July 1855

Augt 2 Served personally 1st Augt 1855 on the within named 1st Defendant by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice. Vadanarrainen

56 O.S.No. 111 of 1848 on the file of the Deputy Moonsiffs Court of Tripassore O.S.No. 82 of 1850 M.P.No. 148 of 1855 on the file of the Pl Sameens Court of Chingleput Notice

Nippany Kistna Row of Coommungalum in the Nayer Talook Plff Appellant

Somasoondara Moodely of the same place and at present of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Deft. Respt.

Defendant Respondent Pareyapalem Somasoondara Moodely the Church warden of Augateeswara Sawmyar Pagoda of Commungalum Nayer Talook of the above Zillah now residing in house No. 13 in the west side of Sathany Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the above Plaintiff Appellant has presented a Motion praying the Pl Sudr Amins Court to issue an Order to collect from you the Sum of Rs 181 including Costs of Suit and also interest for the same being the Amount due according to the Decree passed in the said Suit you are therefore required to attend and to state your objection why the Decree should not be executed

Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Amins Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P

July 30 July 30 July 31 181 " "

Within 8 days after Service or within 15 days after the Copy of this Notice being affixed.

do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 31 July 1855

Augt 3 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 3 August 1853 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

57 | Suit No. 22 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice | 1 Kistnier, 2 Vadaghery Moodely of Poodoomavalingay in the Talook of Pareyapauliem & 3 Shunmooga Pillay of Poodoomavalinga Agarum - Plffs. | 1 Soobbaraya Moodaly, 2 Shunmooga Moodaly, & 3 Cheryaravaraya Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Defendants. | 1st Defendant Seevarum Soobaraya Moodaly son-in-law of Nelmundy Ramasawmy Moodely residing in house No. 67 in the north line of Vycontavaddyar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras -

Take notice that the Court Vakeel on behalf of the said Plffs has filed the above Suit in the Mooffy Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput stating the Plffs paid 119-12-4 as the amount of decree passed in Suit No. 284 of 1842 Trepassoor District Moonsiffs file, in which they were Defendants to your Maternal Uncle Ramasawmy Moodely Plaintiff in the said Suit No. 284 of 1842 and took a receipt but that after the death of the said Ramasawmy Moodely you who are his son in law in Conjunction with his sons the 2d & 3d Defendants caused a Warrant to be issued against the Plaintiffs in this Suit and Collected again the said Amount, Hence this Suit is brought to recover from you the 2d & 3d Defts Rs. 120-3-10 the Amount Collected according to the said Warrant including interest & also Rs. 5-8-0 the amount of Batta paid to the Peon who was deputed to execute the said Warrant. Total Rs. 125-11-10. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint -

Vadanarrainen

Chingleput Zillah Court.

1855 July 30 | 1855 July 24 | 1855 July 31 | Rs 125 | as 11 | p 10

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Costs of the Notice being affixed

1855 Aug 3 | The Honorable The Chief Justice 31st July 1855

Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 3d July 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him

Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No. 22 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice | Do | 2d Defendant Shunmooga Moodely son of Nelmundy Ramasawmy Moodely residing in house No. 67 in the north line of Vycontavaddyar Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Court Vakeel on behalf of the said Plffs has filed the above Suit in the Mooffy Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput stating that the Plffs paid 119-12-4 the Amt of Decree passed in Suit No. 284 of 1842 Trepassoor District Moonsiffs file in which they were Defts to your father Ramasawmy Moodely Plff in the said Suit & took a receipt but that after the death of the said Ramasawmy Moodely you who are his son in Conjunction with the 3d Defts & his (the decs) son in law the 1st Defts caused a Warrant to be issued agt the Plffs in this Suit & Collected again the said Amount, Hence this Suit is brought to recover from you & 1 & 3 Defts Rs 120-3-10 the Amt Collected according to the said Warrant including interest & also Rs 5-8-0 the Amt of Batta paid to the Peon who was deputed to execute the said Warrant. Total Rs 125-11-10. you are therefore required to attend & to deliver an answer to the Plaint -

Do

1855 July 30 | 1855 July 24 | 1855 July 31 | Rs 125 | as 11 | p 10

Do

Do

1855 Aug 3 | The Honorable The Chief Justice 31st July 1855.

Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 3d August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him personally.

Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No. 22 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice | Do | 3d Defendant Chengaluvaroya Moodaly son of Nelmundy Ramasawmy Moodely residing in house No. 67 in the north line of Vycontavaddyar Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras -

To be noticed that the Court Vakeel on behalf of the said Plffs has filed the above Suit in the Mooffy Sudr Ameens Court stating that the Plffs paid 119-12-4 the Amount of the Decree passed in Suit No. 284 of 1842 Trepassoor District Moonsiffs file in which they were Defts to your father Ramasawmy Moodely Plff in the said Suit No. 284 of 1842 & took a receipt but that after the death of the said Ramasawmy Moodely you who are his, in Conjunction with 2d Defts & his the deceased's son in law the 1st Defts caused a Warrant to be issued against the Plffs in this Suit & Collected again the said Amount Hence this Suit is brought to recover from you & 1 & 2 Defts Rs 120-3-10 the Amount Collected according to the said Warrant including interest & also Rs. 5-8-0 the Amt of batta paid to the Peon who was deputed to execute the said Warrant. Total Rs 125-11-10. you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint -

Do

1855 July 30 | 1855 July 24 | 1855 July 31 | Rs 125 | as 11 | p 10

Do

Do

1855 Aug 3 | The Honorable The Chief Justice 31st July 1855.

Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 3d August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

58	Suit No. 1077 of 1848 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodyalum in the Zillah of Chittoor Notice	1 Mr. Mc McDowell residing at Royapooruma Suburb of Madras 2 Mr. Thomas Turner residing at Veneatagerecottah 1 Dodda Gaudo residing at Bandarlapully attached to Veneatagerecottah, 2 Malega Narana residing at Moodduna pully attached to Do Defendants	1st Plaintiff Mr. Mc McDowell residing at Royapooruma Suburb of Madras. Take notice that under the Decree passed by this Court in the above Suit, the Sum of Rs. 318-8-10 being the amount Collected from the Defts by a Writ of Execution including Batta, appearing from the Chittah of the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodyalum in the Zillah of Chittoor to be due to you and the 2d Plaintiff Mr. Thomas Turner you are therefore required to attend and to receive the said Amount.	Chittoor 3d Circuit Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	Vadanarrainen	1855	The Honorable Chief Justice	3. August 1855
					July 30th	July 25th	Augt 1st	318	8	10	Augt 27th		Augt 6th	Served personally on the within named 1st Plaintiff on the 4th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen		
59	Suit No. 351 of 1852 on the file of the late Moonsiffs Ameen's Court which was disposed of by the District Moonsiffs of Conjeeveram A.D. No. 57 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	1 Teroomory Krishnamachariar of Chinna Conjeeveram in the Conchee Talook, 2 Anson Anuntachariar of Keranoor (8th & 9th Supplemental Defts) Appellants to 1 Ramasawmy Moodaly son of Teroonunryoor Arnachella Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Plff Respondent	Respondent Ramasawmy Moodaly son of Teroonunryoor Arnachela Moodaly residing in house No. 11 in Teroo palee Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Appellants (8th and 9th Supplemental Defts) being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff in the above Suit have preferred an Appeal against it, you are therefore required to appear and to deliver an Answer to the said Appeal Petition.	Attaching Sadr & Zillah Court & Collectr	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p			1855	The Honorable Chief Justice	3. August 1855
					July 31st	July 31st	Augt 1st				Within 5 days after Service	Do	Augt 6th	Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 4th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen		
60	Suit No. 129 of 1853 on the file of the P.S. Ameen's Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Summons	1 Savoocar Vora Balamookoondoo residing in house No. 9 in the west line of Salay Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Singiengar, 2 Ragava chariar 3 Runga Chariar residing in Aunay Cuttay Street at Chinna Conjeeveram Defendants	Witness Ramasawmy Iyengar son of Vencata Chariar residing in house No. 4 in the South line of Ravanier Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to give evedence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p			1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	7th Augt 1855
					Augt 6th	July 31st	Augt 7th				Within 5 days after served	Do	Augt 16th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 15th August 1853 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him personally. Vadanarrainen		
	Suit No. 129 of 1853 on the file of the P.S. Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Summons	Do	Witness Cullettoo Iyaloo Chetty residing in house No. 85 in the north line of Vycanta Vaddyar Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to give evedence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p			1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	7th Augt 1855
					Augt 6th	July 31st	Augt 7th				Within 5 days after Service	Do	Septr 12th	Served personally on the 11th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the ——— Summons and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Summons. Vadanarrainen		
	Suit No. 129 of 1853 on the file of the P.S. Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Summons	Do	Witness Vyapoory Chetty son of Veerah Chetty residing in house No. 836 in the east line of Thumbo Chetty Street at Mootiyaloo pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p			1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	7 August 1855
					Augt 6th	July 31st	Augt 7th				Within 5 days after Service	Do	Augt 16th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 15th August 1853 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him ——— Vadanarrainen		
	Suit No. 129 of 1853 on the file of the P.S. Ameens Court was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Summons	Do	Witness Audenarrayana Chetty son of Nullappa Chetty residing in house No. 79 in the north line of Bungarummen's Covil Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring you to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p			1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	7 August 1855
					Augt 6th	July 31st	Augt 7th				Within 5 days after Service	Do	Septr 22d	The within named Witness is not to be found within the Local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen		
	Suit No. 129 of 1853 on the file of the P.S. Ameens Court was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Summons	Do	Witness Rungaroyer son of Vasodavarayer residing in house No. 7 in the west line of Salay Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring you to give evedence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p			1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	7 Augt 1853
					Augt 6th	July 31st	Augt 7th				Within 5 days after Service	Do	Septr 20th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 18th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen		

61	Suit No. 506 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Conjeeveram Summons	Parasoorama Moodaly of Aulapaukum attached to Neddoongoonrum in the Trespasses Talook - Pltff. Conary Naicken of do Defendant	Witness Veerabadra Naicken residing in house No. 28 in the west line of Padavadummon Covil Street at Covapattay near Parsavaukum a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to give evidence on behalf of Plaintiff.	Schoney Gopaul pillah Tahsildar Court	1855 Aug^t 10	1855 Aug^t 8th	1855 Aug^t 11th	Ro	ao	o	Within 5 days after Service	Vadanarrainen	1855 Aug^t 21st	The Honorable The Chief Justice 14th August 1855 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 20th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him but that he had refused to affix his Signature to the Summons Vadanarrainen
62	O.S. No. 42 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Teckaly in the Zillah of Chicacole - Notice	Leonder Miller Esq^r at Present residing at Madras Plaintiff Unspoolo Byragy now in Jail — Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esq^r at present residing at Madras. Take notice that the Defendant Unspoolo Byragy presented a petition to the Court in E No. 151 of 1855 stating that he is confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the Decree passed against him that he has undergone 12 months Imprisonment, that he is poor, and therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtor Act, and gave a declaration upon oath that he possess no property - You are hereby required to attend before the said Court and offer any objections to his having the benefit of the rules laid down in the Insolvent Regulation, in failure thereof the Defendant will be discharged from Jail.	Chicacole Zillah Civil Court	1855 Aug^t 4	1855 Aug^t 4	1855 Aug^t 15	"	"	"	Within 30 days after Service	Do.	1855 Aug^t 18	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Aug^t 1855 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No. 313 of 1853 on the file of the Distt Moonsiff's Court of Teckaly in the Zillah of Chicacole Notice	Leonder Miller Esq^r at present residing at Madras - Plaintiff 1 Yendamoory Appalanaidoo, 2 Amoory Yerrappah, 3 Boddapilly Jaynaikeramaih, 4 Putnaidoogaum Chelmaih, 5 Cona Raumiah & Gantata vulsah - Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esq^r at present residing at Madras. Take notice that the 1st 2d 3d 4th 5th Defendants to Yendamoory Appalanaidoo, Amoory Yerrappah, Putnaidoogaum Chelmaih & Conah Raumiah presented petitions to the Court in E Nos. 152, 153, 154 & 155 of 1855 stating that they are confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the Decree passed against them, that 1st has undergone 11 months and rest 15 months Imprisonment, that they are poor, therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtor Act, and gave declarations upon oath that they possess no property; You are hereby required to attend before the said Court and offer any objections to their having the benefit of the rules laid down in the Insolvent Regulation in failure thereof the said Defendants will be discharged from Jail.	Do.	1855 Aug^t 4	1855 Aug^t 4	1855 Aug^t 15	"	"	"	Do.	Do.	1855 Aug^t 18	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 August 1855 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No. 327 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Teckaly in the Zillah of Chicacole Notice	Leonder Miller Esq^r at present residing at Madras. Plaintiff Nundegaum Appanaputnaidoo Now in Jail - Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esq^r at present residing at Madras. Take Notice that the Defendant Nundegaum Appanaputnaidoo presented a Petition to the Court in E No. 160 of 1855 stating that he is confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the Decree passed against him, that he has undergone 13 months imprisonment that he is poor, and therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtor Act, and gave a declaration upon oath that he possess no property - You are hereby required to attend and to offer any objections to his having the benefit of the rules laid down in the Insolvent Regulations in failure thereof the Defendant will be discharged.		1855 Aug^t 4	1855 Aug^t 4	1855 Aug^t 15	"	"	"	Do.	Do.	1855 Aug^t 18	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 August 1855 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen

62	O.S.No.333 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Teckaly in the Zillah of Chicacole Notice	Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Plaintiff Coranda Dauliah now in Jail. Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Take notice that the Defendant Coranda Dauliah presented a Petition to the Court in E.No.156 of 1855 stating that he is confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the Decree passed against him, that he has undergone 15 Months imprisonment, that he is poor, and therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtors act and gave a declaration upon oath that he possesses no property. You are hereby required to attend before the Civil Court and to offer any objections to his having the benefit of the rules laid in the Insolvent Regulations, in failure thereof the Defendant will be discharged from Jail.	Chicacole Zillah Civil Court	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 15th	"	"	"	Within 30 days after Service	Vadanarrainen	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Augt 1855 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.522 of 1853 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court of Teckaly in the Zillah of Chicacole Notice	Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras Plaintiff Unspoolee Yerrapah at present in Jail Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Take notice that Defendant Unspoolee Yerrapah presented a petition to the Court in E.No.157 of 1855 stating that he is confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the decree passed against him, that he has undergone 8 years imprisonment, that he is poor, and therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtor act, and gave a declaration upon oath that he possesses no property. You are hereby required to attend before the Civil Court and offer any objections to his having the benefit of the rules laid down in the Insolvent Regulation, in failure thereof the Defendant will be discharged from Jail.	Do	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 15th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1855 The Honorable the Chief Justice 15 Augt 1855 Augt 18th Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.428 of 1853 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court of Chicacole in the said Zillah Notice	Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Plaintiff Vysarauz Naidoo now in Jail. Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Take notice that the Defendant Vysarauz Naidoo presented a Petition to the Court in E.No.158 of 1855 stating that he is confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the Decree passed against him that he has undergone 13 months Imprisonment, that he is poor, and therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtor Act and gave a declaration upon oath that he possesses no property. You are hereby required to attend and offer any objections to his having the benefit of the rules laid down in the Insolvent regulation, in failure thereof the Deft will be discharged from Jail.	Do	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 15th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15th Augt 1855. Augt 18th Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.Nos.406 and 407 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Chicacole in the said Zillah Notice	Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Plaintiff Goorooyoo Narrumnaidoo now in Jail. Defendant	Plaintiff Leonder Miller Esqr. at present residing at Madras. Take notice that the Defendant Goorooyoo Narrumnaidoo presented a Petition to the Court in E.No.159 of 1855 stating that he is confined in the Zillah Jail for the amount of the Decrees passed against him that he has undergone 6 months imprisonment, that he is poor, therefore should have the relief of Insolvent Debtor Act, and gave a declaration upon oath that he possesses no property. You are hereby required to attend before the Civil Court and offer any objections to his having the benefit of the rules laid down in the Insolvent Regulation, in failure thereof, the Defendant will be discharged from Jail.	Do	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 4th	1855 Augt 15th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Augt 1855. Augt 18th Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 18th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

63	O.S. No. 34 of 1851 on the file of the R.S. Court & A.S. No. 80 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	1 Venkatachella Moodelly of Chinnacoyiccram Appellant & Defendant 1 Soobaroya Pillay of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Soobaroya Pillay attending in Venkatasa Naicks Choultry in the said Lo Venkatasa Naicks Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court of Sudr Udalut have issued a Precept directing Security to be required from you for the eventual Costs of the Special Appeal preferred by you the said Court to grant the decree passed by the Civil Court in the above Suit. You are therefore required to appear and file a Security Bond or to produce ready money for the same.	Chingleput Zillah & Civil Court	1855 Aug^t 14	1855 Aug^t 13	1855 Aug^t 15	" " "	Within 5 days after service	Vadanarrainen	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Aug^t 1855 Sept^r 17 The within named Respondent is not to be found at his place of Residence described in the said Notice but it has been reported that he has gone to Singaperumal Coil, a Village in the Zillah of Chingleput. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 117 of 1853 on the file of the R.S. Court & A.S. No. 61 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Appasawmy Chettyar son of Soora Ramasawmy Chettyar of Chittoor in the Zillah of Chittoor Appellant & Defendant Cundappa Reddy son of Chengulvaroya Reddy residing in house No. 45 in Chinna Court Ramen's Street at Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Cundappa Reddy son of Chengulvaroya Reddy residing in house No. 45 in Chinna Court Ramiers Street at Madras. Take notice that the 8^th Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Moonsiff Sudr Ameen in the above suit has preferred an appeal to the Civil Court through his Vakeel Tandavaroya Moodelly. You are therefore required to appear.	V.	1855 Aug^t 14	1855 Aug^t 13	1855 Aug^t 15	" " "	Do	Do	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Aug^t 1855 Septr 21 The within named Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 181 of 1852 on the file of the Trepasoor District Moonsiffs Court and A.S. No. 66 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	1 Mutty Paut Venkatappa Naick Son of Rama Naick of Madycoor in the Nagore Taluk Appellant and 1^st Defendant 1 Vellanoor Arnachella Moodely Son of Sudyappa Moodely of Coorocoopettay a Suburb of Madras Respondent & Plff	Vellanoor Arnachella Moodely son of Sudyappa Moodely residing in house No. 4 in Durma Rajah Coil Street at Coorocoopettay a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Appellant 1^st Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Trepasoor in the above suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court through his Vakeel Mc Runga Cow. You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition.	V.	1855 Aug^t 14	1855 Aug^t 13	1855 Aug^t 15	" " "	Do	Do	1855 Aug^t 27	The Honorable The Chief Justice 15 Aug^t 1855 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 27^th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
64	O.S. No. 140 of 1853 on the file of the Subordinate Court in the Zillah of Chittoor Notice	Pullayda Chunnia Basavapa Chetty residing at Mallajapett in the Talook of Caveripauk and Zillah of Chittoor Plaintiff Vanoova Narrainsawmy Chetty residing in the street of Rayapa in the Town Mallajapett aforesaid 1^st Defendant Vanoova Lutchmiah Chetty residing in the Coul Bazar at Bellary Son of the 1^st Defendant 2^d Defendant Vanoova Varathiah Chetty son of the 1^st Defendant residing in the street of Rayapa at Mallajapett aforesaid 3^d Defendant Vanoova Christnas awmy Chetty Son of the 1^st Defendant residing in the house No. 20 in the South side of the street of Curpoora Moodely at Pareamettoo a suburb of Madras 4^th Defendant	4^th Defendant Christnasawmy Chetty son of the said Vanoova Narrainsawmy Chetty of Patnul Caste engaged in trade and now residing in the lower floor No. 20 situated in the South side of the street of Curpoora Moodely at Pareamettoo a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Subordinate Court Vakeel Moonsiff much against you and the 1^st 2^d and 3^d Defendants aforesaid who are members of an undivided family and partners in trade for the recovery of Rupees 3176-10 being the principal sum alleged to be due on two Hoondies granted by the said 1^st Defendant in favor of the 2^d Defendant the 1^st Hoondie having been granted on the 11^th and the Second Hoondie on the 30^th April 1855 on receiving from the Plaintiff's Company at Mallajapett in the Zillah of Chittoor the sums mentioned in the said hoondies. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chittoor Zillah & Subordinate Court	1855 Aug^t 15	1855 Aug^t 15	1855 Aug^t 17	Rs 3176	As 10	1855 Sept^r 15	1855 Aug^t 21	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17^th August 1855 Served personally on the within named 4^th Defendant on the 20^th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

65	Suit No. 6 of 1854 on the file of the Rit. Sudr. Ameen's Court which was referred to the Moorsiff Sudr. Ameen of Chingleput Notice	1 Bauly Solly alias Nullatumboo Chetty of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras - Plaintiff 1 Parthasaradhy Setty of do 2 Moottiah Nauck & Chinna = tumbee Nauck of Parayamolla Veyal in the Nayer Talook Defendants 1 S. Ramasawmy Setty 2 Narahary Jemmarunga Setty 3 Narahary Razoo Setty residing in Pedaryar Coil street at Peddoo Naicks pettah of Madras Supplemental Defendants	2d Supplemental Defendant Narachary Jemmarunga Setty son of Rungasspa Setty residing in house No 68 in the west line of Padary Coil street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take Notice that the Plaintiffs Vakeel has presented a Supplemental Plaint against you and the 3d Supplemental Defendant (the sons of the deceased Rungasspa Chetty) in consequence of the 1st Defendant (the sons of the deceased Rungasspa Chetty) in this Suit having represented that your father the said Rungasspa Chetty had a right to a Share in the Village Meeraporas of Codepullum and Chinna mBallavoyal in the Nayer Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput as well as in the ready money &c. claimed by the Plaintiff in the said Suit - You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Calling Court Gillah Civil Court	1853	1853	1853	Augt 16th	Augt 6th	Augt 17th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after the Summons is written 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	Vadanarrainen	1853	The Honorable The Chief Justice	17 Augt 1853	The within named 2d Supplemental Defendant not having been found Sept 5th the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 4th September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 6 of 1854 on the file of the Rit. Sudr Ameen's Court which was referred to the Moorsiff Sudr Ameen of Chingleput Notice	do	3d Supplemental Defendant Narachary Razoo Setty son of Rungasspa Setty residing in House No 68 in the west line of Padary Coil Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the Plaintiffs Vakeel has presented a Supplemental Plaint against you and the 2d Supplemental Defendant (the sons of the deceased Rungasspa Chetty in consequence of the 1st Defendant in this Suit having represented that your father the said Rungasspa Chetty had right to a Share in the Village Meeraporas of Codepullum and Chinnamballavoyal in the Nayer Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput as well as in the ready money &c. claimed by the Plaintiff in the said Suit - You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint -	do	1855	1855	1855	Augt 16th	Augt 6th	Augt 17th	"	"	"	do	do	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	17 Augt 1855	Augt 25th Served personally on the within named 3d Supplemental Defendant on the 23d August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen
66	Suit No 122 of 1853 on the file of the County & District Moonsiff of Trepassoor Summons	Veerkeer Iamendar of Veroomanum Mootaly and son of Samier residing in house No 3 in Cattavanal Chandy at Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Moonesawmy Moodaly & Durmakurta of Haree Para = dees Coolis and son of Nulla Chinnatumba Moodaly of Veroonguzum in the Poonamalle Talook Defendant	1st Witness I Saneyachella Moodely son of Mullee carjoona Moodaly and a Writer in the accountant General's office residing in house No 3 in the west lane of Govorou Sppen's street at Peddoo naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Requiring to appear and give your Evidence on behalf of the said Defendant -	do	1855	1855	1855	Augt 16th	Augt 6th	Augt 18th	"	"	"	Within 5 days after Service	do	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	21st augt 1855	Augt 25th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d Aug at 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him Vadanarrainen
67	Suit No 95 of 1854 on the file of the Moorsiff Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Chingleput Summons	Ragoovummall widow of the deceased Colunda Vencatiengar of Ootramaroor in the Talook of Ootramaroor - Plaintiff 1 Canacummal alias Cacoocummal wife of Comandoor Sashadry Gungar of the said Ootramaroor 2 Vencatiengar of do 3 Streneevasa Gungar Residing in house No 125 in Madday Vaka street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras 4 Veeraragaviengar 5 Ragaviengar 6 Chinna 7 Chinnan 8 Munnaoo Reddy 9 Canacadabay pillay 10 Munnaspa Moodely 11 Veeroosawmy Moodelly 12 Doobba Naicken 13 Moony Sspa Naicken & 14 Chinna Veeraswamy Naicken of Ootramaroor Defendants	Witness Agarum Dooly Ramasawmy Gungar residing in House No 4 in Ravanier's street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear and to give your Evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff -	do	1855	1855	1855	Augt 18th	Augt 16th	Augt 20th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service	do	1853	The Honorable The Chief Justice	11th Augt 1853	Septr 21st The within named Witness is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen

68 Petition of Plaint No 1 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Trichinopoly Notice

Chedumbarum Pillay son of Moottooverum Pillay residing at Rock Fort in Trichinopoly Pauper Plaintiff

1 Arnachellum Pillay son of Moottooverum Pillay, 2 Vyapoory Pillay son of Peria Mootoveerum Pillay, 3 Kistnier alias Sadaseva-theetchther son of Iyacalamyer, 4 Mohotha-valheetchther, 5 Coomara Moothreyen, 6 Ganapathy Moothreyen, 7 Marootha Moothreyen, 8 Chinna Moothreyen, 9 Soontharum Pillay son of Iyapillay, 10 Gopaul Iyer, 11 Akkelandum Pillay, 12 Veerasawmy Naicken son of Pakooloo Subadar, 13 Royappillay son of Iyasawmy Pillay, 14 Vythelingum Pillay, 15 Ammoothookhan, 16 Vadamaloy Cothun, 17 Soontharum Pillay son of Sathumbarum Pillay, 18 Rojalingum Carpenter, 19 Puchya Pillay, 20 Soobaraya Pillay son of Narayna Pillay, 21 Thorysawmy Naicken, 22 Nullanayagum Dancing Girl, 23 Balasing Dass Venkatachellum Pillay brother of Akkelandum Pillay, 25 Cunthasawmy Pillay son of Pareathumbea Pillay, 26 Samy Pillay, 27 Manekem Pillay, 28 Munnooveyem, 29 Ponnoosawmy Pillay, 30 Moothoosawmy Pillay, 31 Ujee Mahomed Rubthulla Shade, 32 Ramayyer, 33 Muroothanayagum Pillay, 34 Marsothynayagum Pillay, 35 Iyasawmy Pillay son of Sadaya Pillay, 36 Moothocarpa Pillay son of Cothavaraya Subadar, 37 Maraya Pillay son of Payaneyandy Pillay, 38 Rengapillay, 39 Moothoosawmy Pillay, 40 Payaneeappa Chetty, 41 Veerappa Chetty, 42 Rama Chetty, 43 Chocalingam Pillay son of Ganapathya Pillay, 44 Nacheyappa Chetty, 44a Payaneeyandia Pillay, 45 Calicut Venkatarenga Naicken, 47 Venkatasen Chetty, 48 Narayana Chetty, 49 Coorroomun Bungaroo Chetty, 50 Thamotharam Naicken, 51 Veerappillay Agent to Paupammal widow of Vythelingum Pillay, 52 Nullasawmy and Chedumbaram Pillay writer residing in the Fort of Trichinopoly and its Suburbs — Defendants

46th Defendant Calicut Vengatarenga Naicken residing at the Beach Madras

Whereas the Plaintiff has filed a Plaint in forma Pauperis against you and other Defendants for the recovery of a share of personal and real property worth Rs 11456-4-0 You are hereby directed to appear & to prove by Witnesses if the Plaintiff is possessed of any property

Trichinopoly Q. Jg. Stamp & Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P — 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st August 1855.

Aug 18 Aug 7 Aug 20 — 11456 4 — Within 3 weeks after service — Vadanarrain

Sept 12 As the place of Residence of the within named 46th Defendant Calicut Vengatarenga Naicken is not properly described in the Notice, I have been unable to effect the Service of the said Notice — Vadanarrainen

69 O.S 305 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Keevalore in the Villages of Combaconum Notice

Soobbiah Chetty alias Soobbaroya Chetty son of Mootoosawmy Chetty of Nagapatam of Pavalacaratoo at Moottealoopettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

1 Vasovalinga Chetty of Nauniaccara Taroo at Negapatam in Keevalore Talook his sons, 2 Sabapathy Chetty, 3 Soobben alias Appavoo Chetty of the same place — Defendants

Plaintiff Soobbiah Chetty alias Soobbaroya Chetty —

Take notice that points have been recorded in the above Suit which has been instituted by you through the Vakeel Ramasawmy Iyen for the recovery of Rs 283-9-9 being the value of a certain quantity of gold received by the 1st Defendant in the month of Audy of Pelavungah or Jelly or August 1847 for the purpose of making Bundy Money or Golel beeds and the hire paid for making such beeds and that the said Vakeel has been transferred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Nunnelum — You are therefore required to attend & to Conduct further proceedings in the Suit and obtaining points —

Combaconum Q. Jg. Stamp & Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 — 1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 27th Augt 1855.

Augt 18 Augt 11 Augt 20 — Sept 10 — Augt 27 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 25 August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — Vadanarrainen

69	O.S.No.175 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Taroona Chetty of Malamadavalaga Street near Ramasadmy Pagoda in Cusba Combaconum Plaintiff 1 Balagooroomoorty Chetty late Shroff living in Periateroo in Cusba Combaconum 2 His undivided elder brother Baloosawmy Chetty Shroff of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Trichinopoly living in Cusba Trichinopoly their undivided younger brothers 3 Veerana Chetty and 4 Vanoo Chetty living in the house No 170 opposite to the Cutwal Tana Choultry in Mylapoor attached to Madras 5 Vengadasoobba Chetty living in Periateroo at Cusba Combaconum 6 Neelayadatcheeammal mother & guardian to Kichee Chetty aged 10 years the adopted son of deceased Kichee Chetty living in Vebrabadra Sawmy Pagoda Street at Negapatam in the Talook of Keevalure Defendants	3d Defendant Veerana Chetty Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants to recover Rs 1211-13-7 being the principal & interest due on a bond executed to him for Rs 700 - - - by the 1st Deft on the 20th Aunj of Keelaga ar 1st July 1848 when 1st 4th & 5th Defts and yourself were living at Combaconum within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum and it is alledged that you and 4th Defts are living at Madras since three years. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Combaconum 5th March 1855 Sudr Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st August 1855	
													Vadanarrainen
													Augt 18th
													Augt 9th
													Augt 20th
													1211
													13 4
													Septr 15th
													Augt 30th Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 29th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.175 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Do	4th Defendant Vanoo Chetty Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants to recover Rs the Principal & interest due on a bond 1211-13-7 being for Rs 700 by 1st Deft on the 20th Aunj of Keelaga ar 1st July 1848 when the 1st 3d & 5th Defendants to Do and yourself were living at Combaconum within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum and it is alledged that you & 3d Defendant are living at Madras since three years you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.		1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st Augt 1855	
													Septr Served personally on the within named 4th
													Augt 18th
													Augt 9th
													Augt 20th
													1211
													13 4
													Septr 15th
													Do 17th Defendant on the 14th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.193 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	1 Sturry Royer of Keelien Street in Cusba Combaconum - Plff 1 Bandapully Baloosawmy Chetty late Shroff of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court at Trichinopoly lately resident at Peria Street in Cusba Combaconum & now living within the Fort of Trichinopoly 2 Balagooroomoorthy Chetty of Peria Street in Cusba Combaconum their undivided younger brothers Vanoo Chetty residing since 3 years in the house No 170 opposite to the Tanah Cutchary in Mylapoor attached to Madras 4 Veerana Chetty living in the same house 5 Vengadasooba Chetty living at Peria Street in Cusba Combaconum - Defts	3d Defendant Vanoo Chetty Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted a Suit against you & other Defts for the recovery of Rs 1582-13-2 being the Principal & interest due on a Mortgage bond executed to him for Rs 1250 - - - by the 1st 2d & 4th Defts & yourself on the 26th Aunj of Plawanga ar 8th July 1847 pledging your 2 Shops situated in the said Peria Street within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameen's Court of Combaconum Your therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st August 1855.	
													Septr Served personally on the within named 3d
													Augt 18th
													Augt 9th
													Augt 20th
													1582
													13 2
													Septr 15th
													Do 17th Defendant on the 14th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.193 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum Notice	Do	4th Defendant Veerana Chetty Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted a Suit against you & other Defts for the recovery of Rs 1582-13-2 being the Principal & interest due on a Mortgage bond executed to him for Rs 1250 - - - by the 1st 2d & 3d Defts and yourself on the 26th Aunj of Plawanga ar 8th July 1847 pledging your two Shops situated in the said Peria Street within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameen's Court of Combaconum, You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st August 1855.	
													Augt Served personally on the within named
													Augt 18th
													Augt 9th
													Augt 20th
													1582
													13 2
													Septr 15th
													Do 30th 4th Defendant on the 29th August 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

70	Suit No 42 of 1855 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput Notice	1 Annasawmy Moodaly of Padary Tangul in the Pooranmully Taluk Plaintiff 2 Meerah Pillay, 2 Sandoo pillay alias Syndoo Pillay residing near the Fort at Pooranmully, 3 Madarkhan of Soolay a Suburb of Madras and 4 Dutchena mooty Moodaly of Pooranmully Defendants	3d Defendant Madarkhan residing in house No 161 in the North line of Rottee Vadumyoo Teeruvangada Moodaly's Street at Soolay a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Court of Vakeel Kistna Row on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted the Suit in the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court to cause the removal of yourself and the other Defendants from the house and shops which are situated near the Fort at Pooranmully within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput and which are occupied by you and the other Defendants on rent agreement and them to put the Plaintiff in possession thereof and further to recover to Plaintiff Rupees 18 being the rent Amount together with interest that may accrue thereon till the house We shall have been put in Plaintiff's possession. You are hereby required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaintiff.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855 1855 1855 Augt Augt Augt 20th 16th 21st " " "	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	Vadanarain	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th Augt 1855 Sept 8th The within named 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 7th September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarainen
71	Suit No 23 of 1855 in the Court of Sudr Ameen of the 4th Class of Nellore Notice	Sunnaya a son of Purchurre Dhakamaya an Inhabitant of Rajapatam in the Cuddapah Taluk Plaintiff 1st 1 Vobaya alias Vobulasamy 2 Sitamma the mother of the 1st Defendant inhabitants of Rajapatam present in the house No 45 in Stratton Moothya Mudaly's street at Madras. 3 Gudinarayadu inhabitant of Cottapatam. 4 P Bhawanna inhabitant of Rajapatam, 5 G Narasaya, 6 Venkatramnoo Inhabitant of Battulapalem a Suburb of Bobbiewapad attached to Durbar in Venkatagherry Zamindary Defendants	1st Defendant V Vobaya alias Vobulasamy. Claim is for Rupees 1375 - - being the principal and interest due on a Document You are hereby informed that the Plff having included you as 1st Defendant in the above Suit. You are to attend and defend the Suit. Although you are residing at Madras, still as it appears that your undivided father V Peda Subbarayudu and the husband of the 2d Defendant had mortgaged your godowns &c situated on the Beach at Rajapatam in the Zillah of Nellore for the sums you borrowed, and executed the Bond sued on and that you and the 1st Defendant are lately residing at Madras for carrying on Merchandize, this Suit is notwithstanding the prohibition in Section XII Regulation II of 1802 is Cognizable by the Moofty Sudr Ameen under Section V of the same Regulation and Circular proceedings Sudr Udalut 18 Sept 1848.	Nellore Zillah Civil Court	1855 1855 1855 Augt Augt Augt 20th 4th 22d 1375 " "	1855 August 21st or Within 15 days after Service	Do	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th Augt 1855 Sept 21st The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras Vadanarainen
"	Suit No 23 of 1855 in the file of the Court of the Moofty Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Nellore. Notice	Do	2d Defendant Vaiedhyem Sitamma Claim is for Rs 1375 - - being the principal and interest due on a Document You are hereby informed that the Plff having included you as 2d Defendant in the above Suit. You are to attend and defend the Suit Although you are now residing at Madras still as it appears that your husband Pedda Subba Royadu & the Deft Had Mortgaged godowns &c situated on the beach at Rajapatam in the Zillah of Nellore for the sums you borrowed and executed the mortgage bond sued on & that you & the 1st Deft are lately residing at Madras for carrying on Merchandize this suit notwithstanding the prohibition in Section XII Regulation II of 1802 is Cognizable by the Moofty Sudr Ameen under Section V of the same Regulation and Circular proceedings Sudr Udalut 18 Sept 1848.	Do	1855 1855 1855 Augt Augt Augt 20th 4th 22d 1375 " "	1855 August 21st or Within 15 days after Service	Do	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th Augt 1855 Sept 21st The within named 2d Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarainen

71	Suit No. 30 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameen's Court of Nellore Notice	1 Davata Lukshmaya, 2 Do Coodandaramaya- Plaintiffs 1 Mamidi Varadaya, 2 Do Runga ma, 3 Puvvada Ramasawmy Defendants	1st Defendant Mamidi Varadaya residing in Toguru Giddinge Streeb in Peddaniaidupettah at Madras. Take notice that D Lakshmaya & Coodandaramaya of Ongole have instituted a Suit against you & other Defendants in the Court of the Moofly Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Nellore for the Recovery of Rs. 1312-10-9 being principal and interest due on a Document- you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint. Though you are at Madras it appearing that the cause of Action arose in the said Zillah this Suit notwithstanding the prohibition in Section XII Regulation II of 1802 is Cognizable by the Moofly Sudr Ameen under Section V of the same Regulation & Circular Proceedings S.U. dated the 18th September 1848.	3	1855	1855	Rs as P	1853	The Honorable The Chief Justice	24th Augt	1855
						Augt 20th	Augt 4th	Augt 22	1312 10 9	Within 15 days after Service	Septr 21st	The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.		Vadanavaien	
"	Suit No. 30 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameen's Court of Nellore Notice	Do	2d Defendant Mamidi Rungamma residing in Toguru Giddangi Streeb in Peddaniaidupettah at Madras. Take notice that D Lakshmaya and Coodandaramaya of Ongole have instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants in the Court of the Moofly Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Nellore for the Recovery of Rs. 1312-10-9 being principal and interest due on a Document- you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint. Though you are at Madras it appearing that the Cause of Action arose in the said Zillah this Suit notwithstanding the prohibition in Section XII Regulation II of 1802 is Cognizable by the Moofly Sudr Ameen under Section V of the same Regulation and Circular Proceedings Sudr Udalut dated the 18th September 1848.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs as P	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	24th Augt	1855
						Augt 20th	Augt 4th	Augt 22	1312 10 9	Within 15 days after Service	Septr 21st	The within named 2d Defendant is not not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.		Vadanavaien	
72	Stayed by the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Cuddalore Notice	Teroopaly Kistnien, 2 Thavanagien sons of Tarnien residing at the Village Vasundaroyapolium in the Cuddalore Talook- Pauper Applicants 1 Rungien 2 Yatheerajien, 3 Teroopaly Ramanoojamaul, 4 Alagapah Chetty 5 Madwaraniya Pillay, 6 Auroomooga Mooly 7 Iyalooven, of the above Talook, 8 Aunxunthien son of Ahtoor Soobbaroya Vathear Do at Peddoo Naick Pettah a Suburb of Madras Opponents	8 Opponent Aununthien residing in house No. 14 Peddoo Naick Pettah a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the aforesaid Pauper Applicants have presented an Application in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Cuddalore against you & other Opponents aforesaid for the recovery of Property within the Jurisdiction of the said Court Viz. a Pagoda called Ramanjacoodastalum, its Dustrum Garden with trees &c. Nunja & Poonja Dustrum lands with their produce, Idol and utensils valued at Rs. 2759-4- assigning as their reason for making you a party that a portion of property was sold at public auction at your instance in Suit No. 82 of 1851 on the file of the said Court they also allege that they possess no other property besides that worth Rs. 15-8- as specified in their Schedule put in Court on Solemn Affirmation. You are therefore required to attend & deliver an objection if any exists, to the applicants being admitted as Paupers, in default thereof the applicants will be admitted to the benefit of Pauper Regulation.	1855	1855	1855	Rs as P	80	1856	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	24th Augt	1855
						Augt 20th	Augt 20th	Augt 23	2759 4	Within 15 days after the Copy of Receipt being affixed	September 10th or Sept 17th	As the place of Residence of the within named 8th Opponent Aununthien is not properly described in the Notice, I have been unable either to serve the said Notice on him or to affix the Duplicate thereof on the wall of his dwelling house.		Vadanavaien	

Cuddalore Principal Sudr Ameens Court

To

O.S.No.115 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole Proclamation

1 Motamurry Janakeeramaiah alias Janakeeramasetty 2 Canapaka Rungasayenaidoo of Peddanaikpet a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff

Calagurla Timmiah guaree Venkiah of Vizagapatam Defendant

1st Plaintiff Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty & Canapaka Rungasayenaidoo of Peddenaikpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameen's Court on the 22nd April 1850 in No. 76 for recovering Rs. 107-11-11 from the Defts Calagurla Timmiah gauree Venkiah being the Principal and interest due on the Settlement of Accounts regarding the consigned Trade of Ghee has been called up by the Civil Court and having filed in the above number referred to the Moosly Sudr Ameens Court on the 19th Sept. 1854. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice by endorsement and further to appear in the said Court either in person or by one of its authorized Vakeels for the prosecution of your Suit within 30 days calculated from the date of the service of the Notice in failure thereof the said Suit will be dismissed.

Upon a Notice being issued in the aforesaid manner the Sheriff of Madras informed the Civil Court of the Zillah of Chicacole that the 1st Plaintiff Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty was not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Therefore Notice is hereby given that if the 1st Plff shall not appear before this said Court either in person or by one of its authorized Vakeels within Six weeks from the time that this Proclamation may be fixed up, the said Suit will be dismissed.

Chicacole Zillah Civil Court.

1855 Aug 10 — 1855 Aug 4 — 1855 Aug 24 — Rs 107 — as 11 — P 11

Within 6 weeks after the Copy of this Proclamation being fixed on the outer wall of the 1st Plaintiffs House.

Vadanavarain

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th August 1855.

The within named 1st Plaintiff not having been found the duplicate of the Original Proclamation and translation were on the 3rd September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanavarain

O.S.No.116 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole Proclamation

1 Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramachetty, 2 Canapaka Rungasayeenaidoo of Peddoo Naickpet a Suburb of Madras. Plffs

Naelum Sunniah having died his widow & heiress Naelum Lutchmiah of Vizagapatam Defendant

Do

Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty and Canapaka Rungasayenaidoo of Peddenaikpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameen's Court on the 22nd April 1850 in No. 78 for recovering Rs. 1142-9-1 from the late Deft Naelum Sunniah being the Principal and interest due on the Settlement of Accounts regarding the consigned Trade has been called up by the Civil Court & having filed in the above number referred to the Moosly Sudr Ameen's Court on the 19th September 1854. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice by endorsement & further to appear in the said Court either in person or by one its authorized Vakeels for the prosecution of your Suit within 30 days calculated from the date of the service of this Notice, in failure thereof the said Suit will be dismissed.

Upon a Notice being issued in the aforesaid manner the Sheriff of Madras informed the Civil Court of the Zillah of Chicacole that the 1st Plff Motamurry Janakeramiah alias Janakeera Chetty was not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, therefor notice is hereby given that if the 1st Plff shall not appear before the said Court either in person or by one of its authorized Vakeels within 6 weeks from the time that this Proclamation may be fixed up the said Suit will be dismissed.

1855 Aug 10 — 1855 Aug 4 — 1855 Aug 24 — Rs 1142 — as 9 — P 1

Within 6 weeks after Copy of this Proclamation being fixed on the outer wall of the 1st Plaintiffs House.

Do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24 Aug 1855.

The within named 1st Plaintiff not having been found the duplicate of the Original Proclamation and translation were on the 3rd September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.

Vadanavarain

73 O.S.No.117 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole Proclamation

1 Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty, 2 Canapaka Rungasayeenaidoo of Peddinaikpet a Suburb of Madras - Plaintiffs

Baudum Ramasawmy of Vizagapatam - Defendant

Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty & Canapaka Rungasayeenaidoo of Peddenaickpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameen's Court on the 10th May 1850 in No. 108 for recovering Rs. 117-15-a from the Defendant Baudum Ramasawmy being the Principal & interest due on the Settlement of Accounts regarding the consigned Trade has been called up by the Civil Court & having filed in the above number referred to the Moofty Sudr Ameen's Court of Chicacole on the 19th September 1854 - You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice by endorsement & further to appear in the said Court either in person or by one of its authorized Vakeels for the prosecution of your Suit within 30 days calculated from the date of this Notice in failure thereof the said Suit will be dismissed.

Chicacole Civil Court

Upon a notice being issued in the aforesaid manner the Sheriff of Madras informed the Civil Court of the Zillah of Chicacole that the 1st Plff Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty was not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, therefore notice is hereby given that if the 1st Plff shall not appear before the said Court either in person or by one its authorized Vakeels within 6 weeks from the time that this Proclamation may be fixed up the said Suit will be dismissed -

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th August 1855.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P

Aug^t Aug^t Aug^t
10 4 24 117 15 -

Within 6 weeks after the Copy of the Proclamation being fixed on the outer wall of Pitchi's dwelling house.

Vadanarrain

Sept^r 4 The within named 1st Plaintiff not having been found the duplicate of the Original Proclamation and translation were on the 3 September 1855 affixed on the outerwall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen

" O.S.No.119 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole Proclamation

1 Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty, 2 Canapaka Rungasayeenadoo of Peddinaikpet a Suburb of Madras - Plaintiffs

Posoomurty Butchiah of Vizagapatam - Defts

Plaintiffs Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty and Canapaka Rungasayeenadoo of Peddinaikpet a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameen's Court on the 6th September 1850 in No. 193 for recovering Rs 547-11-9 from the Defts Pasoomurthy Butchiah being the Principal and interest due on the Settlement of Accounts regarding the consigned Trade has been called up by the Civil Court & having filed in the above number referred to the Moofty Sudr Ameen's Court of Chicacole on the 19th September 1854 you are therefore required to acknowledge the receipts of this Notice by endorsement & further to appear in the said Court either in person or by one of its authorized Vakeels for the prosecution of your Suit within 30 days calculated from the date of the service of this Notice, in failure thereof the said Suit will be dismissed.

Do

Upon a Notice being issued in the aforesaid manner the Sheriff of Madras informed the Civil Court of the Zillah of Chicacole that the 1st Plaintiff Motamurry Janakeeramiah alias Janakeeramasetty was not to be found within ~~within~~ the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, therefore notice is hereby given that if the 1st Plff shall not appear before the said Court either in person or by one of its authorized Vakeels within 6 weeks from the time that this Proclamation may be fixed up the said will be dismissed -

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 24th August 1855

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P

Aug^t Aug^t Aug^t
10 4 24 547 11 9

Within 6 weeks after the Copy of the Proclamation being fixed on the outer wall of Pitchi's dwelling house.

Do

Sept^r 4 The within named 1st Plaintiff not having been found the duplicate of the Original Proclamation and translation were on the 3 September 1855 affixed on the outerwall of his dwelling house - Vadanarrainen

74 Isteyarunmah sued by the Civil Court of Salem

Kottakitchenna Chetty son of Kottarungappa Chetty of Cossoor Talook. — Pauper Petitioner

Vs

Tegadaverghery Govayee son of Redeverghery Govayee a Native of Seruvanuckee attached to the Sagaranu Division in the Mysore Territories, 2 Puttyun Manimungappa Naick son of Pettion Veeraswadappa Naick the late Polygar of Sagaloor and his mother, 3 Mungammonniarmmaul of Cossoor Talook, 4 Jaulungherry Govayee father of Tegadaverghery Govayee of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. — Opponents to

Salem Zillah Civil Court

4th Opponent Jaulungherry Govayee father of Tegadaverghery Govayee.

Take notice that the said Kottakitchenna Chetty has filed a Petition praying that in consequence of his not being possessed of more than 255 Rupees worth of property he may be allowed to sue you and the 1st to 3d Opponents in forma pauperis for the recovery Rupees 3,274 — 3 — 4 the Amount of Decree in A.S. No 9 of 1843 on the file of the Sudr Adalut and Court Costs together with Subsequent interest due as per Documents as also for injunction to set aside the transfer now in your sons name of the said Decree. The Notice which was formerly sent to you requiring you, to attend the Civil Court and object the said Petition if you think it necessary so to do has been returned that you are absent from home. You are therefore hereby required to attend the Civil Court and put in a written statement setting forth your objections as to the Petitioner being a Pauper or otherwise; in default the Pauper Suit will be admitted

1855 1855 1855 Rs as p

Augt 29th Augt 13th Septr 1st " " "

Within 30 days after the Copy of the Statement being affixed. Vadanarrainen

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th Septr 1855

Septr 10th The Duplicates of the Original Isteyarunmah and translation were affixed on the 8th September 1855 on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 4th Opponent herein described. Vadanarrainen

" Remanded A.S. 39/1844 in the file of the Moofsy Sudr Ameens Court of the Zillah of Salem

Isteyarunmah sued by the Civil Court of Salem

Alamalummah wife of Datty Singalachary and Kodandapatty son, 2 Datty Saraswmachary of Salem — Plaintiffs

1 Datty Singalachary said to be the adopted son of Datty Saroonarahachary the son of Datty Singalachary by his first wife, 2 Datty Singalachary 3 Pillalagachary sons of Datty Gopalachary who is the son of Datty Singalachary by his first wife of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. — Defendants Heirs

1st and 3d Heirs of the Defendant 1st Datty Singalachary and 3d Pillalagachary of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that this Suit has been remanded to the Moofsy Sudr Ameens Court by the Civil Judge with directions to question you on certain points and then pass a review of Judgment. The former notices sent to you have been returned that you were not to be found and that your signature cannot consequently be obtained thereon. You are therefore directed to appear before the said Court and to defend the cause otherwise the Suit will be decided at once without further intimation being given you.

Do

1855 1855 1855

Augt 29th Septr 28th Septr 1st " " "

Within 30 days after the Copy of the Statement being affixed. Do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th Septr 1855

Septr 10th The Duplicates of the Original Isteyarunmah and translation were on the 8th September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 1st and 3d Heirs of the Defendant herein described. Vadanarrainen

75 O.S.No 215 of 1855 on the file of the Moofsy Sudr Ameens Court of Trichinopoly — Notice

1 Veeruppen Umbalagaren, 2 Coomarasinga Umbalagaren residing at Aroonumpettah in Moosery Talook — Plffs

Vs

1 Veeramala Umbalagaren 2 Moocka Pillay, 3 Croola Pillay, 4 Sanyanatha Pillay 5 Aroomoogum Pillay, 6 Chedumbarum Pillay residing at Aroonumpettah in Moosery Talook, 7 Alaghery Cothundarama Mouthy residing at Royapettah attached to Madras — Defendants

1st Defendant Alaghery Cothundarama Mouthy residing at Royapettah attached to Madras

Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the Plaintiffs against you and other Defendants for the recovery of a Share of Mulgoajary Nunjah and Poonjah lands valued at Rs 129 — 5 — 4 has been admitted and filed to appear and to present an Answer to the said Suit should you fail to do so the Suit will be proceeded with according to Regulations.

True Translation Sudr Ameens Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as p

Septr 1st Augt 29th Septr 1st 129 5 4

Within 15 days after service Do

1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th September 1855

Septr 17th Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 14th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

76	O.S. No. 181 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Tranquebar Notice	Soobbarayaloo Naicker son of Varadappa Naicker of Ooogamungalum in the Talook of Tranquebar Plaintiff Singara Balavundra Pillay son of Balavundra Pillay of Moonsheet to Rev. Mis Kremmar living at Ponnumbalaellodeliar Street in Poorasawakum attached to Madras. Defendant	Defendant Singara Balavundra Pillay Take notice that the said Plff has instituted a Suit against you in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Tranquebar in the zillah of Combaconum to recover Rs 232-5-4 being the amount of Principal and interest due on a Mortgage bond executed to him for Rs. 200-0-0 on the 12th April 1854 pledging your house with its title deed situated in the north line of Eechengadoo Street of Oogamungalum in Tranquebar Talook within the boundaries Viz. North of the street east of the backyard of Paramanunda Pillay's house west of the House ground of Daniel Pillay and south of the backyard of Moottiah Moodeliar's house. you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaintiff	1855	1855	1855	Rs as p	1855	1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th Sept. 1855 Sept. Served personally on the within named 12th Defendant on the 11th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
				Sept. 4	Sept. 24	Sept. 6	232 5 4	September 22
								Vadanarrainen
77	O.S. No. 2760 of 1852 of the file of the District Moonsiff Court of Conjeveram A.S. No. 5 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Vurdappa Naick of Madeppauk in the Talook of Sydapet 2 Defts. Appellant Paulakistna Pillay of Chintadry Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Plff Respondent	Plaintiff Respondent Paulakistna Pillay son of Vurda Pillay residing in House No. 35 in the North side at Chintadry Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid 2 Defendant Appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff in your favor in the original Suit Appealed therefrom before the Civil Court in the aforesaid Appeal Suit the Court Vakeel Royalier of the Civil Judge has referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput the Appeal Petition for investigation, You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition.	1855	1855	1855	Rs as p		1855 The Honorable The Chief Justice 10th Sept. 1855. Sept. The within named Respondent not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 11th September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
				Sept. 8	Sept. 7	Sept. 10	" " "	
								Within 8 days after Service a written Copy of the Petition being effected
								Vadanarrainen
78	Suit No. 21 of 1829 of the file of the late Northern Provincial Court, now in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Vizagapatam Notice	Stree Goday Sawakiah widow of the late Soorra Praedekrow Plaintiff Rajah Vasireddy Lutchmeputty Naidoo Defendant	Notice is hereby given that agreeably to the Orders of the Civil Judge of Chicacole, directing execution of the Decree passed by the late Northern Provincial Court in the above Suit the undermentioned Ornaments will be sold by Public Auction, in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen, at Vizagapatam on the 15th December 1855. 1. Large necklace of Pearls and Emeralds with a breast plate set with large square diamonds Wt. Valued at about 40,000 Rupees 1. Large Serpach set with Diamonds Wt. Valued at about 22000 Rupees 1. Andi crown set with Diamonds, Emeralds Pachi Wt. and two Khoojapeetahs valued at about 25000 Rupees 1. Ana Chonkadah set with Diamonds Wt. 8000 Rupees 1. A Pearl necklace in three rows Wt. 17,000 Rupees 1. A Pearl necklace in four rows Wt. 8,000 Rupees 2 Diamond Tavageers and Mardany Poochee valued at about 8000 Rupees	1855	1855	1855			1855 Sept. 15th The Telugoo Proclamation with its translation in English were on the 11th September 1855 affixed at the Goozalee Bazar in the Black Town of Madras. Vadanarrainen
				Sept. 5th	Sept. 5th	Sept. 13th	" " "	
								Vadanarrainen

79 Suit No. 31 of 1855 on the file of the Moofty and Sudr Ameen's Court of Chingleput — Notice

Aroonaghery Moodaly of Reddoo Naick's pettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff

1 Appavoo Reddy of Egmore a Suburb of Madras and 2 Sengalany Nattan of Odulcoracoppum in the Chingleput Talook — Defts

1st Defendant Appavoo Reddy son of Balakistna Reddy residing in house No. 43 in Reddies Street at Egmore a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that Vakeels Runga Row and Soondara Moodely have on behalf of Plff instituted the above Suit against you and the 2d Defendant stating that a document was executed by you to the effect that the Plff is entitled to all debts &c due to your father. In virtue of this document the Plff seeks to recover Rs. 255-15-6 being the Principal and interest due on a Swanoobogum deed executed by the 2d Defendant on the 15th August 1846 in favor of your father for the Village of Goondoomanj Chary within the limits of the zillah of Chingleput — You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1853 — 1853 — 1853 Rs as P

Septr 14th — Septr 6th — Septr 15th — 255 15 6

Within 8 days after the service or within 15 days after the copy of the Notice being affixed.

Vadanarrain

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17th Septr 1855

Septr 26th Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 25th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

Suit No. 39 of 1855 on the file of the Moofty and Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput — Notice.

1 Sashachella Moodaly of Perya Nattum a Suburb of Chintadrepettah Chingleput — Plaintiff

1. Govinda Moodaly, 2 Annaykan Moodaly of the said Nattum, 3 Nattelew Mooneyappa Moodaly of Poodoopauk a Suburb of Madras, 4 Soobeya Moodaly 5 Iroovangada Moodaly of the said Perya Nattum and 6 Pommen of Rajacool Pettah in the Chingleput Talook — Defendants

3d Defendant Mooneyappa Moodaly son of Cundappa Moodaly Village Munsiff of Nattum, residing in house No. 14 in Salavay street at Poodoopauk a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted this Suit in the Mooftys Sudr Ameens Court to recover from you and other Defendants to the Durma Puntashp Oboor Ummen Coil situated at Perya Nattum a Suburb of Chingleput within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput which yields an annual income of Rs. 5 as well as the Pagah Meraseas and property belonging to the said Payoo &c. valued at Rupees 295 — You are therefore required agreeably to Regulation II of 1811 to be knowledge and to attend & to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

&c.

1853 — 1853 — 1853 Rs as P

Septr 11th — Septr 6th — Septr 15th — 295 — —

Within 8 days of the service or within 15 days of the Notice being affixed.

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17th Septr 1853.

Septr 28th Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 28th September 1853 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

---

80 O.S. No. 222 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Combaconum — Notice

1 Soobba Pillay of Valoor in the Talook of Tritooripoondy, now living in the house of one Goondoo Soobba Pillay No. 83 situated in the Yagattah Coil Street at Poddoo Naiks Pettah Madras 2 His son Sevacoloondah Pillay of the same place, Veneatachella Moodely of Verumbeium in the same Talook — Defendants

1 Soobba Pillay of Valoor in the Talook of Tritooripoondy — Plaintiff

1st Defendant Soobba Pillay.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted a Suit against you & 2 & 3 Defts for the recovery of 15 Maws & 10½ Goolies of Nunjah & Poonjah valued at Rs. 444-4-0 out of the Mulgoojary land belonging to you at Valoor in Tritooripoondy Talook within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of the Zillah of Combaconum stating that you have sold it to him for Rs. 62½ under a Bill of Sale dated 10th Aumy of Saumiah or 22d June 1849 that as it was attached in satisfaction of the Amount of Decree due by you to 3d Defendant in O.S. No. 449 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiff of Tritoory poondy, he Plaintiff presented a Motion objecting to it and was directed to bring a regular Suit and that you and 2d Deft have gone to Madras & live at the place above described — you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Combaconum Zillah Civil Court

1853 — 1853 — 1853 Rs as P — 1855

Septr 14th — Septr 3d — Septr 17th — 444 — — October 15th

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th Septr 1855.

The within named 1st Defendant is Octr not to be found within the local Octr limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Vadanarrainen

80 O.S.No. 222 of 1855 on the file of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court of Combaconum. Notice

Chockaling Pillay of Valoor in the Talook of Tritooripoondy Plaintiff

1 Soobba Pillay of Valoor in the Talook of Tritooripoondy now living in the house of one Goondoosoobba Pillay No. 83 situated in the Vagaties Covil Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras, 2 his Son Sevacoloondah Pillay of the same place, 3 Veneatachella Moodely of Varumbeeimin the same Talook Defendants

2d Defendant Sevacoloondah Pillay Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has instituted a Suit against you and 1st & 3d Defendants for the recovery of 15 Maws and 10½ Goolies of Nunjah & Poonjah valued at Rs 44-4-- out of the Mulgoojary land belonging to 1st Defendant at Valoor in Tritooripoondy Talook within the Jurisdiction of the Mahomedan Sudr Ameens Court in the Talook of Combaconum stating that 1st Defendant has sold it to him for Rs. 62½ under a Bill of Sale dated 10th June of Soumiah or 22d June 1849 that as it was attached in satisfaction of the Amount of the Decree due by 1st Defendant to 3d Plff in O.S.No. 449 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiffs of Tritooripoondy he Plff presented a Motion objecting to it and was directed to bring a regular Suit and that you & 1st Defendant have gone to Madras & live at the place above described - You are therefore required to attend & deliver an Answer to the plaint.

Combaconum Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855

Septr 14th Septr 3 Septr 17 October 44-4--- 15th

Vadanarrainen

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th Septr 1855.

Served personally on the within named 2d Septr Defendant on the 21st September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and 21st translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

81 A.S.No. 83 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura Notice

Caroopen Chetty of Deivacottah in Goothaganad Talook 2 Nagappa Chetty of Carungoolum in Teroopatoor Talook Appellants

Mahomud Salaie Eboraniee Sait residing both at Madura and in the Codacaura Street Moottealoo Pettah at Madras Respondent

Respondent Mahomud Salaie Eboraniee Sait.

Whereas Caroopen Chetty and Nagappa Chetty of the aforesaid places have presented a Petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of the decision of the Court of Moofty Sudder Ameen dated 31st March 1855 awarding to you 2939-6-6 you are hereby required to appear and to deliver an answer to the Petition of Appeal.

Madura Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P

Septr 17th Septr 17th Septr 20th " " "

Within 20 days after Service } do

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 21st Septr 1855.

Septr 27th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 26th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

82 Suit No. 216 of 1854 on the file of the Moofty Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice

1 Venkatarraraviengar of Orattoor in the Carangoly Talook of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

1 Venkatummall of Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras, 2 Ragaviengar 3 Naraniengar 4 Ummuntiengar and 5 Veeraperoomaul Syengar of the said Orattoor Defendants

1 Kalyany Ummaul of Royapoorum situated beyond the North Gate at Madras Supplemental Defendant

Supplemental Defendant Kalyana Ummaul wife of Rajagopaulier residing in house No. 118 in front of the Munnar Sawmy Covil in Munnar Sawmy Covil Street at Royapoorum situated beyond the North Gate at Madras.

Take notice that the Plffs Vakeel has filed a Supplemental Plaint including you as Supplemental Defendant in this Suit in consequence of the 1st Defendant having stated that you are also entitled to the lands forming portion of the 1 Share claimed in the above Suit out of the 18 whole Shares of the Village of Orattoor within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput - You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P

Septr 21st Septr 13th Septr 22d " " "

Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed. } do

1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th September 1855.

Octr 1st The within named Supplemental Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 29th September 1855 affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house.

Vadanarrainen

83	Suit No. 36 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	1 Yalayalwar Jreddy of Streeperoombooor in the Maneemungalum Talook Plaintiff 1 Casava Reddy alias Casaramanooja Reddy 2 Streenevasa Reddy 3 Kanakavullee Ummaul alias Bungarummaul 4 Audy Reddy alias Audynarayana Reddy 5 Venealasawmy Reddy of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras 6 Ramasawmy Reddy of Tandalum in the Talook of Manymungalum and 7 Yateerajamoony Reddy of Nemmaly in the said Talook Defendants	1st Defendant Casava Reddy alias Casavaramanooja Reddy son of Venkatakristna Reddy residing in house No. 32 in the west line of North Salay Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Vakeel on behalf of the said Plff has instituted the above Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput to recover from you and 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, & 7 Defendants the 3 Moottahs of Tandalum, Keevalore & Beemuntangul which are situated in the Manemungalum Talook within the limits of the said Zillah & which Consists of 6 Villages yielding to Circar an Annual Kist of Rs. 1418-0-11 in Plaintiff's name in the Collectors Cutchery of this District - you are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855 1855 1855 Rs As P Septr 21st 12 22 " " "	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	Vadamarain	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th Septr 1855. Oct 1st The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 1st October 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house Vadanarainen
"	Suit No. 36 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	2nd Defendant Streenevasa Reddy son of Venealakristna Reddy residing in house No. 32 in the west line of North Salay Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Vakeel Tandavaroya Moodely on behalf of the said Plff has instituted the above Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput to recover from you and 1st, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 Defts the 3 Moottahs of Tandalum Keevalore and Beemun Tongul which are situated in the Manemungalum Talook within the limits of the said Zillah and which Consists of 6 Villages yielding to Circar an Annual Kist of Rs. 1418-0-11 & together the said Moottahs registered in Plff's name in the Collectors Cutchery of this District - You are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Do	1855 1855 1855 Rs As P Septr 21st 12 22 " " "	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	Do	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th September 1855. Septr 28 Served personally on the within named 2nd Defendant on the 27th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 36 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	3rd Defendant Kanacavullee Ummaul alias Bungarummaul wife of Venealakristna Reddy residing in house No. 32 in the west line of North Salay Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that Vakeel Tandavaroya Moodely on behalf of the said Plff has instituted the above Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput to recover from you & 1st, 2, 4, 5, 6 & 7 Defts the 3 Moottahs of Tandalum Keevalore and Beemun Tongul which are situated in the Manemungalum Talook within the limits of the said Zillah & which Consists of 6 Villages yielding to the Circar an Annual Kist of Rs. 1418-0-11 & together the said Moottahs registered in Plff's name in the Collectors Cutchery of this District - You are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Do	1855 1855 1855 Rs As P Septr 21 12 22 " " "	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed	Do	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th September 1855. Septr 28 Served personally on the within named 3rd Defendant on the 27th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 36 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	4th Defendant Audy Reddy alias Audynarayana Reddy residing in house No. 32 in the west line of North Salay Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Vakeel Tandavaroya Moodely on behalf of the said Plff has instituted the above Suit in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput to recover from you & 1st, 2, 3, 5, 6, & 7 Defts the 3 Moottahs of Tandalum Keevalore & Beeman Tongul which are situated in the Manemungalum Talook within the limits of the said Zillah & which Consist of 6 Villages yielding to the Circar an Annual Kist of Rs. 1418-0-11 & together the said Moottahs registered in Plff's name in the Collectors Cutchery of this District - You are therefore required to attend & to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Do	1855 1855 1855 Rs As P Septr 21st 12 22 " " "	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed	Do	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th Septr 1855. Septr 28 Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 27th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him Vadanarainen

83	Suit No. 36 of 1855 on the file of the Moosify Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput Notice	Gelayalwar Reddy of Streepercomboodoor in the Manemangalum Talook - Plff 1 Casava Reddy alias Casavaramanooja Reddy, 2 Streenevasa Reddy, 3 Hanacavelly Ummall alias Bungarunmal, 4 Audy Reddy alias Audy Naraina Reddy, 5 Venkatasawmy Reddy of Peddo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras, 6 Ramasawmy Reddy of Tandalum in the Manemangalum Talook, 7 Yateerajamoony Reddy of Nemmaly in the said Talook - Defendants	5th Defendant Venkatasawmy Reddy residing in houses No. 17 & 18 in Durmaraja Covil Street or Moorooga pans Street near the Elephant Gate at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the Vakeel Tondavarya Moodely on behalf of the said Plaintiff has instituted the above suit in the Moosify Sudr Ameens Court in the Zillah of Chingleput to recover from you & 1st, 2d, 3d, 4th, 6th & 7th Defendants the Moottahs of Tandalum Keesaloor and Beemun Tangel which are situated in the Manemangalum Talook within the limits of the said Zillah & which consist of 6 Villages yielding to the Circar an Annual Kist of Rs. 5448-0-11 and to get the said Moottahs registered in Plffs name in the Collectors Cutchery of this District - You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855 Septr 21st	1855 Septr 12th	1855 Septr 22d	Rs as P	Within 8 days after Service or within 15 days after Copy of the Notice being affixed. Vadanarainen	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th Septr 1855 Septr 28 Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 27th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarainen
84	O.S. No. 490 of 1855 on the file of the 3d Class District Moonsiffs Court of Tungatoor Notice	1 Mr. Jules Amalric, 2 Mr. Frier, 3 Mr. Gustave Gravier Partners & Agents of Amalric & Co. at Madras and at present residing in Pondicherry, 4 Mr. Edward Secot, 5 Mr. George A. T. Hicks, 6 Pondicherry Appasawmy Pillay residing at Madras - Plffs Settoor Soobbiah Setty residing at Chekrappa Naid Pett in the Chitwail Talook - Defendant	1st Plaintiff Mr. Edward Secot for himself and Attorney for the 1st, 2d & 3d Plaintiffs residing at Madras - Take notice that the above Suit which was instituted by you and others in Original Suit No. 271 of 1854 on the file of the Moosify Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Cuddapah for the recovery from the Defts of Rs. 402-3-0 being for loss of Indigo, was referred to the 3d Class District Moonsiffs Court of Tungatoor by the Civil Judge for decision - You are therefore required to attend and to Conduct the Suit	Cuddapah Zillah Civil Court	1855 Septr 22d	1855 Augt 14th	1855 Septr 25th	Rs as P	1855 October 15th do	1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25th September 1855 Septr 29th Served personally on the within named 1st Plaintiff on the 28th September 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarainen
"	O.S. No. 490 of 1855 on the file of the 3d Class District Moonsiffs Court of Tungatoor Notice	do	5th Plaintiff Mr. George A. T. Hicks, residing at Madras - Take notice that the above Suit which was instituted by you & others in O.S. No. 271 of 1854 on the file of the Moosify Sudr Ameen of the Zillah of Cuddapah for the recovery from the Deft of Rs. 402-3-0 being for loss of Indigo was referred to the 3d Class District Moonsiffs Court by the Civil Judge for decision - You are therefore required to attend and to Conduct the Suit -	do	1855 Septr 22d	1855 Augt 14th	1855 Septr 25th		1855 October 15th do	1855 October 5th The within named 5th Plaintiff is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras but it has been reported that he has proceeded to Europe some time ago - Vadanarainen
"	O.S. No. 490 of 1855 on the file of the 3d Class District Moonsiffs Court of Tungatoor Notice	do	6th Plaintiff Pondicherry Appasawmy Pillay residing at Madras - Take notice that the above Suit which was instituted by you & others in O.S. No. 271 of 1854 on the file of the Moosify Sudr Ameen of the Zillah of Cuddapah for the recovery from the Deft of Rs. 402-3-0 being loss of Indigo was referred to the 3d Class District Moonsiffs Court by the Civil Judge for decision - You are therefore required to attend and to Conduct the Suit -	do	1855 Septr 22d	1855 Augt 14th	1855 Septr 25th		1855 October 15th do	1855 October 5th The within named 6th Plaintiff is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras but it has been reported that he died about a year ago - Vadanarainen

85	Suit No. 51 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen which was disposed of by the Moofty Sudr Ameen's A.S. No. 89 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	Jroomooga Moodaly residing in house No. 38 in Govinda Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Appellant	1 Namasevoya Moodaly 2 Appavoo Moodaly, 3 Sedumbara Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants Respondents	1st Respondent Namasevoy Moodaly son of Sawminada Moodaly residing in house No. 3 in Mylapore Moodaly's street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Moofty Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput through his Vakeel Tondavaroy Moodaly- You are therefore required to appear and to file your Answer to the said Appeal Petition	Chingleput 2 Civil Court	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 5d	Rs as P " " "	Within 5 days after service	Vadanarrainen	1855 Octr 11th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1855. Served personally on the within named 1st Respondent on the 9th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 51 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen which was disposed of by the Moofty Sudr Ameen's A.S. No. 89 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	2d Respondent Appavoo Moodaly son of Sawminada Moodaly residing in house No. 3 in Mylapore Moodaly's Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Moofty Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput through his Vakeel Tondavaroya Moodaly- You are therefore required to appear and to file your Answer to the said Appeal Petition	Do	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 5d	Rs as P " " "	Within 5 days after service	Do	1855 Octr 11th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1855. Served personally on the within named 2d Respondent on the 9th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
8	Suit No. 51 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen which was disposed of by the Moofty Sudr Ameen's A.S. No. 89 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	3d Respondent Sedumbara Moodaly son of Veerasawmy Moodaly residing in house No. 84 in Govindappar Naicks Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the Moofty Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput through his Vakeel Tondavaroya Moodaly- You are therefore required to appear & to file your Answer to the said Appeal Petition	Do	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 5d	Rs as P " " "	Within 5 days after service	Do	1855 Octr 11th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1855. Served personally on the within named 3d Respondent on the 9th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No. 102 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court A.S. No. 91 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	1 Chengalvaroya Moodaly residing in house No. 71 in Vycontavaddyar Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras- Plff Appellant 1 Blunliffe Esqre Collector of Madras 2 Mr. R. P. Campbell Superintendent of Salt Calar Madras- 3 Venkatachella Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras 4 Ramasawmy Pillay of Jenroor in the Talook of Mayer Defendants Respondents	3d Respondent Venkatachella Moodaly residing in house No. 72 in Vycontavaddyar Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburb of Madras- Take notice that the Plff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal therefrom to the Civil Court of Chingleput- You are therefore required to appear and to file your Answer to the Appeal Petition-	Do	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 3d	1855 Octr 5d	Rs as P " " "	Within 5 days after service	Do	1855 Octr 11th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1855. Served personally on the within named 3d Respondent on the 9th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
86	Cause No. 102 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court A.S. No. 91 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	1st Respondent P. Blunliffe Esqre Appointed to act as Collector of Land Custom of Madras during the absence of Mr. H. Stokes on other duty Take notice that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in the above suit has preferred An Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput you are therefore required to appear and to file your Answer to the said Appeal Petition-	Do	1855 Octr 4th	1855 Octr 4th	1855 Octr 5d	Rs as P " " "	Within 5 days after service	Do	1855 Octr 11th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1855. Served personally on the within named 1st Respondent on the 9th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

86	Cause No. 102 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput No. 207 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	Chengulvaroy Moodely residing in house No. 71 in Vycontavaden Street at Peddoo Naicks petta a Suburb of Madras - Plaintiff Appellant 1 B Cunliffe Esqr appointed to act as Collector of land Customs of Madras during the absence of Mr. A Stokes on other duty 2 Mr. R P Campbell Superintendent of Salt Cotar Madras 3 Veneatachella Moodely of Peddoo Naicks petta a Suburb of Madras & Ramasawmy Pillay of Yennoor in the Nayer Talook - Defendants Respondents	2d Respondent Mr. R P Campbell Superintendent of the ~~Salt~~ Salt Cotar Madras - Take notice that the Plaintiff being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput and to file your Answer to the said Appeal Petition -	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855 Octr 4d	1855 Octr 4d	1855 Octr 5d	Rs 0	As 0	Within 5 days after Service	Vadanarrainen	1855 Octr 11	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1853 Served personally on the within named 2d Respondent on the 9th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen
87	Cause No. 119 of 1853 on the file of the Hon'ble Sudr Ameen Court which was referred to the District Moonsiff of Conjeeveram No. 193 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput Notice	1 Ragavachariar and 2 Anantachariar of Veegay in the Talook of Chingleput Appellants 1 & 2 Defendants 1 Seetaramier of Rayapooram a Suburb of Madras - Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Seetaramier Son of Naranier residing in the neighbourhood of Durmarajah Covil at Rayapooruma Suburb of Madras - Take notice that appellants being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the District Moonsiff in the above Suit have preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court of Chingleput - You are therefore required to appear and to file your Answer to the said Appeal Petition	Do	1855 Octr 6th	1855 Octr 6th	1855 Octr 8th	Rs	as	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1855 Nov. 5th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Barton 8 October 1855 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 3 November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his Signature to the Notice - Vadanarrainen
88	O.S. No. 100 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Ongole Notice	Valampalli Pitchiah Plff 1 Nukalapati Nulla Soobarayadoo, 2 Do Silaya Defendants	2d Defendant Nukalapati Silaya a teacher in the in the School situated back of the Chettiem Mudalary's Choultry at Cristam Naidu Agraharam a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that V Pitchiah of Santanutalapad in Ongole Talook has instituted a Suit against you & the 1st Defendant in the District Moonsiff's Court of Ongole for the recovery of Rs. 150 - - being the principal & interest due on a mortgage bond after deducting the payment which was executed by the 1st Do Defendant to the Plaintiff on the 4th July 1847 - You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint -	Nellore Zillah Civil Court	1855 Octr 9th	1855 Septr 18th	1855 Octr 11th	Rs 150	as 0	1855 Octr 17th	Do	1855 October 15th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 12 October 1855. Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 15. October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice Vadanarrainen
89	O.S. No. 103 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Court in the Zillah of Masulipatam Notice	The Collector of Masulipatam residing in the Town of Masulipatam Plaintiff Cavaly Venkata Narrainappa residing in the house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Puttekeppah Pillay's Street at Doctor Sawmy Naidoo's Chultrum on the premises of the Pagoda of Camaleeswara Sawmy a Suburb of Madras - Defendant	Defendant Cavaly Venkata Narrainappah residing in the house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Puttekeppah Pillay's Street at Doctor Sawmy Naidoo's Chultrum on the premises of the Pagoda of Camaleeswarumswamy a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you in the above mentioned number	Masulipatam Zillah Civil Court	1855 Octr 8th	1855 Octr 1st	1855 Octr 15th	Rs 12405-10-11	as	1855 Octr 31st	Do	1855 Novr 2d	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 16 Octr 1855 The within named Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras Vadanarrainen

claiming possession from you of the Moottah of Vijeanna Petta consisting of 11 Villages belonging to the late Zemindarnee Varegonda Lutchmeedavummah which annually pays a Sist of Rupees 12405-10-11 and which was made over to you by order of the Court of the Sudr Adalut agreeably to the Conditions of the Razeenamah filed in Suit No. 18 of 1838 late Northern Provincial Courts file / on the Ground that the Moottah in question become the Right of the Government - You are hereby required to attend and to put an Answer to the Plaint.

9° O.S.No. 12 of 1853 & the Petition No. 369 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Masulipatam Notice

Bungaroo Venkatravoo residing at Bellary - Plaintiff

Jevoo Bauyemmah widow and heiress of the late Combaconum Chakrapaunerow Puntooloo now residing in Doughton Bauboorow's house at Triplicane & in Doughton's Garden in Ellumboora Suburb of Madras - Defendant

Defendant Jevoo Bauyemmah widow and heiress of the late Combaconum Chakrapaunerow Puntooloo now residing in Doughton Bauboorow's house at Triplicane & in Doughton's Garden in Ellumboora Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plff has presented the abovementioned Extra Petition No. 369 of 1855 stating that you are the widow and heiress of the deceased Combaconum Chakrapaunerow Puntooloo Defendant in the aforesaid Suit that he is given to understand that you are making endeavours either to send for the Deftts property that is now in Masulipatam to Madras or to cause it to be sold so that there may no property available to satisfy the Sum of Rs. 382-12-0 being the amount of the Decree passed in Plff's favor by the Civil Court of Masulipatam that if the said property is taken out of the Jurisdiction of the Zillah of Masulipatam it would be difficult for him to recover the Amount of the Decree and that therefore the property of the said Defendant which is situated at Khojjellepetta in the Town of Masulipatam may be held under attachment and sent for to the Court that thereupon the property of the late Defendant valued at Rupees 261-5-0 which was at Khojjellepetta in this Town and which was pointed out by the Plff was attached in satisfaction of the Amount of the Decree referred to - You are therefore required to attend and take measures to produce Security for the said Sum of Rupees 382-12-0 being the Amount of the Decree preferred to and to obtain the release of the property in question -

Moottah's Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as P 1855 1855 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 17 Octr 1855

Octr 8th Octr 1st Octr 15th 382 12 . Octr 31st

Vadanarasiah

Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 25th October 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her.

Vadanarasiah

91	Cause No 51 of 1855 on the file of the Moofsy Sudr Amens Court Notice	1 Teeroovangada Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburt of Madras Plaintiff 1 Maunyska Moodaly of Cashbah Poonamalley 2 Tanjachella Moodaly & 3 Durmanna of Peddoo Naicks pettah a Suburt of Madras. Defendants	2d Defendant Tanjachella Moodaly son of Vyddilinga Moodaly residing in house No 13 in the North line of Teeroopaliys street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that Vakeel Kristna Row on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Moofsy Sudr Amens Court to recover as detailed in the Plaint Certain Lacrazee Mulcoozaree Lands &c Valued at Rupees 400 which are attached to the paternal property of yourself and the other Defendants and which are situated at Poonamelly within the limits of the Pillah of Chingleput - You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the said Plaint -	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	Within 8 days of the Notice being served or within 15 days of the Notice being affixed.	Vadanarrainen	1855	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 2d Nov 1855	Nov 10th	The within named 2d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 51 of 1855 on the file of the Moofsy Sudr Amens Court. Notice	Do	3d Defendant Durmanna mother of the 1st & 2d Defendants residing in house No 13 in the North line of Teeroopaliys Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take Notice that Vakeel Kristna Row on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Moofsy Sudr Amens Court to recover as detailed in the Plaint certain Lacrazee Mulcoozaree Lands &c valued at Rupees 400 which are attached to the paternal property of yourself and the other Defendants and which are situated at Poonamallee within the limits of the Pillah of Chingleput You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as			1855	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 2d Nov 1855	Nov 10th	The within named 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
92	Suit No 102 of 1853 on the file of the Civil Sudr Amens Court & S. No 91 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	A Chengulvaroya Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Appellant & Plaintiff 1 B Cunliffe Esqr Collector of Madras, 2 Mr R.P. Campbell Superintendent of the Salt Colar Madras 3 Tirumalachella Moodaly of the said Peddoo Naicks pettah & 4 Ramasawmy Pillay of Vesmoor in the Nayentalook Respondents & Defendants	Appellant A Chengulvaroya Moodaly son of Sabapaty Moodaly residing in house No 71 in Vycoonta Vaddyar street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that a notice issued to the 4th Respondent Ramasawmy Pillay was returned with a Subub by the Civil Court Nazir stating that the said Respondent was not found in his Village of Vesmoor and had no house &c in the said Village. You were thereupon called by the Court on 3 Court days to state his place of residence but you did not make your appearance. You are therefore required to appear and to acquaint the Court with the place where the said 4th Respondent resides	Do	1855	1855	1855			Within 5 days after Service		1855	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 2d Nov 1855	Oct 6th	Served personally on the within named Appellant on the 5th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen
93	Suit No 117 of 1853 on the file of the Sudr Amens Court which was disposed of by the Moofsy Sudr Amens & S. No 61 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Isleyarnamah	Appasawmy Chettyar son of Soory Ramasawmy Chettyar of Chittoor in the Pillah of Chittoor Appellant & Defendant Cundappa Reddy son of Chengalvaraya Reddy residing in house No 45 in Chinna Court Ramier Street at Madras Respondent and Plaintiff	Respondent Cundappa Reddy son of Chengalvaroya Reddy residing in house No 45 in Chinna Court Ramier Street at Madras. Take notice that the 8th Defendant being dissatisfied with the Decree above Suit has preferred an appeal to the Moofsy Sudr Amens in the Civil Court through his Vakeel Tandavaraya Moodaly - The above notice having been returned by the Sheriff of Madras with a letter dated the 21st Instant, stating that you were not found within the limits of His Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras - You are hereby required to appear and to [illegible] to the appeal [illegible]	Do	1855	1855	1855			Within 15 days of the Copy of the Isleyarnamah being affixed		1855	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 2d Nov 1855	Nov 26th	The Duplicate of the Isleyarnamah was not caused to be affixed on the outer wall of the House therein described, as upon an enquiry that the Respondent named in the said Isleyarnamah never resided at any time in the said house. Vadanarrainen

94	Cause No. 7 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangoolly	Summons	Venkatasa Naicker son of Venkatapaty Naicker and Zamindar of Moottah of Yendattoor in the Carangoolly Talook residing in the House No. 15 in Venkatasa Naicker's Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Vengadoo Narrain Reddy 2 Ramasawmy Reddy of the said Yendattoor in the Carangoolly Talook Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st 2d and 3d Witnesses Chittradeotum Sashadry Iyengar Kristnama Naick of Veeroppapoyoor and Veerasawmy Naick residing in House No. 15 in Venkatasa Naicker's Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the Veerasawmy Pillay District Moonsiffs Court at Carangoolly in the Carangoolly Talook to give your evidence on behalf of Plaintiff wherein the Plaff has sued the said Defendants for the recovery of certain sum inclusive of Interest due on an account by the 1st Defendant regarding an annual Muctah Teervah of Potical land fixed agreeable to the Sub Division account of the Plaintiffs Zameen Cowle of the said Yendattoor.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855 Oct 29d	1855 Oct 11th	1855 Oct 31st	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service	Vadanarrainen	1855 Nov 27d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 2 Nov 1855 Served personally on the within named Chittradeotum Sashadry Iyengar the 1st Witness on the 26th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him and that Kristnama Naick and Veerasawmy Naick the 2. and 3. Witnesses also herein named are not to be found within the local limits of His Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
95	Suit No. 536 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cholingur Notice		Chennamungalum Pantcha Saib residing in the House No. 39 situated on the east side in the street of Varasakkie Venagagur Coil at Pareyamettoo a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Defendant Caravadoo Vadamalay Salty	Plaintiff Chennamungalum Patcha Saib residing in the house Door No. 39 situated in the street of Varasakkie Venayagur Coil at Pareyamettoo a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that in the Suit No. 536 of 1854 instituted by you against the Defendant Caravadoo Vadamalay Salty Summons were issued at 2 different times to 5 of the Witnesses named by you, in which were returned with endorsements of the Villager to the effect that they were absent. As the other two witnesses did not appear notwithstanding their property was attached, it is necessary that you should file an affidavit for issuing a Warrant for their production, but as your Vakeel Vellore Moonesawmy Moodelly is absent on sick Leave. You are required to appear and proceed with the Suit either by producing the said Witnesses or filing an affidavit for their being produced.	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court	Nov 3d	Oct 22d	Nov 6th	"	"	"	Nov 14th	Do	Nov 10th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 6th November 1855 The within named Plaintiff not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 537 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cholingur Notice		Plaintiff Chennamungalum Pantcha Saib residing in the House Door No. 39 situated on the east side in the street of Varasakkie Venayagur Coil at Pareyamettoo a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that on the Suit No. 537 of 1854 instituted by you against the Defendant Jayaram Chetty, Summons were issued at two different times to the 5 witnesses named by you which were returned with endorsements of the Villager to the effect that they were absent and as your Vakeel Vellore Moonesawmy Moodelly is absent on sick leave no measures could be taken to procure the attendance of the Witnesses. You are therefore required to attend and Conduct the Suit by producing the Witnesses.	Do	Nov 3d	Oct 22d	Nov 6th	"	"	"	Nov 14th	Do	Nov 10th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 6th November 1855 The within named Plaintiff not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 9th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen

96	Issued by the Court of the Rural Sudr ameen of Trangebar in the Zillah of Combaconum Notice	Swagengay Ammal wife of Emberumpa Moodly living in the Street of Padasetty Ummeen Pagoda at Cosepettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff Arroonachellom of Poonia in the Talook of Trangebar Defendan	Plaintiff Swagengay Ummal. Take notice that in the execution of the Decree of the Sudr Court dated 16th September 1847 with reference to an Order issued to the Principal Sudr Ameen of Trangebar by the Civil Court of Combaconum together with the application made to us by you for the same thro the Vakeel Soondara Row, a Peon was employed at the Defendan to house and the balance of batta due to him by you is Rs 22-5-4. after deducting the amount paid by this Court Vakeel Coyarogana Chetty who had been named by you. You are therefore required to pay the said amount as well as Rs 2-0-0 being the fee payable to the Sheriff of Madras for serving this Notice on you.	Combaconum Zillah Civil Court	1853	1855 Nov 6th	1855 Nov 26th	Rs as 8 10th	"	"	Within 6 weeks after Service	Vadamarainen	1855 Dec 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th November 1855 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 24th December 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to her personally but that she had refused either to affix her signature to the notice or to pay the amount of Rupees 24-5-4 mentioned in the acting Civil Judge's letter dated the 6th November 1853. Vadamarainen
97	Pauper's application No 6 of 1855 in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Tinnevelly Notice	Ramakistna Chetty of Sheremungeum in the Talook of Conad Applicant Nunthagha Chorah Dosa Nows of Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras Opponent	Opponent Nunthagha Chorah Dosa residing in No 95 Peddoo Naicken Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid applicant has presented an application in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court for Pauper's permission to institute a Suit in forma against you for the recovery of the Village of Valaumkolum within the Jurisdiction of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court, with its produce, the value of Action Amounting to Rupees 8339-13-0. You are therefore required to attend and to shew cause why the applicant should not be admitted into institute his Suit as a pauper.	Principal Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1853 Nov 7th	1855 Nov 7th	1855 Nov 10th	Rs a 8 8339/13			1855 Nov 29th	Vadavarainen	1855 Nov 14th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th November 1855 Served personally on the within named Opponent on the 14th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
98	Cause No 186 of 1854 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameen's Court A.S No 1060 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	I Syed Ally Saib of Codum Caukum in the Tyd Pet Talook Appellant Defendant I Govindana Dasoo of Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Govindana Dasoo residing in house No 12 in Goozaratees street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that a Appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Moodly Sudr Ameen in the above Suit has preferred an appeal to the Civil Court though his Vakeal Kristna Row. You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said appeal Petition	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1853 Nov 13th	1855 Nov 13th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	"	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1855 Nov 20th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 16th November 1855 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 19th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
99	Cause No 23 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameen's Court Summons	Velagavarum Veeravawmy Chetty of Peryamettoo a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Maury Chetty, 2 Veeravawmy Chetty of Seravarambagoo in the Peryapaulum Talook and of Roddo Patrum in the Ponnamally Talook, 3 Cooppia pillay, 4 Arnachella pillay of Toloor Cooppum in the Peryapaulum Talook, 5 Vendappia naick of Cooppum attached to Trevalloor in the said Talook, 6 Venkatroylen of Trevalloor Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st Witness Putty Rengiah naick residing in house No 45 in the east line of Varadiah Venagaram Coil street at Peryamettoo a Suburb of Madras The Plaintiffs Vakeel Soobba Sastry having cited you as Witness in the matter of dispute between both parties in the above Suit. You are hereby required to appear and give your evidence on behalf the Plaintiff.	Do	1853 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 10th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service	Do	1856 Jany 4th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 16th November 1855 The within named 1st Witness is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarainen
"	Cause No 123 on the file of Moodly Sudr Ameen's Court Summons	Do	Plaintiffs 2d Witness Ally Saib residing in house No 45 in the east line of Varadiah Venagaram Coil street at Peryamettoo a Suburb of Madras. The Plaintiffs Vakeel Soobba Sastry having cited you as Witness in the matter of dispute between both parties in the above Suit. You are hereby required to appear and give your evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1853 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 10th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	Do	Do	1855 Nov 21st	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 16th November 1855 Served personally on the within named 2d Witness on the 20th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen

99	Cause No 23 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameens Court Summs	Velagavaram Veerasawmy Chetty of Paryamettoo a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff Maury Chetty, 2 Veerasawmy Chetty Veravaramboo on the Peryapolyem Talook and of Pedoo Pattum in the Ponamalee Talook, 3 Cooppen Pillay 4 Arnachella Pillay, of Tolour Cooppum attached to Trevallore in the said Talook, 5 Vythilinga Nayker of Tiruvottiyoor of Trevallore — Defendants	Plaintiffs 3d Witness Guindy Narrainsawmy Naick of Chintadrey Pettah, residing in house No 54 in the west line of Parasiawy Venayaeum Canil Street at Paryamettoo a Suburb of Madras. The Plaintiffs Vakeel Soobbarayalu having cited you as a Witness in the matter of dispute between both parties in the above Suit. You are hereby required to appear and to give your evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Chingleput Zillah Moodely Sudr Ameens Court	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service	Vadanarrain	1855 Nov 21st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 16th Nov. 1855 Served personally on the within named 3d Witness on the 20th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 273 of 1855 on the file of Moodly Sudr Ameens Court Summs	Do	Plaintiffs 4th Witness Sadagopacharriei residing in house No 36 in the South line of Audycasava Paroomall Canil Street at Chintadrey pettah a Suburb of Madras. The Plaintiffs Vakeel Soobbarayalu having cited you as Witness in the matter of dispute between both parties in the above Suit — You are hereby required to appear and to give your Evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1855 Nov 21st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 16th November 1855 Served personally on the within named 4th Witness on the 20th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 23 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameens Court Summs	Do	Plaintiffs 5th Witness Arnachella Moodaly residing in house No 72 in the west line of Gah Moodaly's Street at Chintadrey Pettah a Suburb of Madras. The Plaintiffs Vakeel Soobbarayalu having cited you as a Witness in the matter of dispute between both parties in the above Suit — You are hereby required to appear and to give your evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff.	Do	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1855 Nov 22d	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 16th Nov. 1855 Served personally on the within named 5th Witness on the 20th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 23 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameens Court Summs	Do	1st 3d and 5th Defendants Witness Valayavaram Veerasawmy Chetty son of Valayavaram Ramanna Chetty Residing at Paryamettoo a Suburb of Madras. The said Defendants Vakeel Soobbarayalu Pillay having cited you who are Plaintiff in the above Suit as witness you are hereby required to appear and give your Evidence.	Do	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 16th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1855 Nov 21st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 16th Nov. 1855 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 20th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
100	Pauper Notice issued by the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Cuddalore Notice	1 Seroofoly Kistnien, 2 Thavamaigien sons of Namien Residing at the Village Vusumdaroyapolium in the Cuddalore Talook — Pauper Applicants 1 Rungien, 2 Gathevajien, 3 Seroofoly Ramanoojamaul, 4 Alagaperi Chetty, 5 Madurenaiga Pillay, 6 Sroomoogahmoodi, 7 Vyalooren of the above Talook V/S 8 Sunenthien son of Ahtoosoobbaraya Vaithien Do in house No 14 in Condalier street at Peddoo naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Opponents	8th Opponent Sunenthien residing in House No 14 in Condalier street at Peddoo Naick Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Pauper Applicants have presented an application in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court against you and other Opponents aforesaid for the recovery of the Property within the Jurisdiction of the said Court Viz. a Pagoda called Ramanoojacood situate in Its Dustrict Garden with trees Viz. Nunja & Punjah Dustrict lands with their produce &c and Utensils valued at Rupees 2759-4-" assigning as their reason for making you a party that a portion of property was sold at Public Auction at your instance in Suit No 82 of 1851 on the file of the said Court, they also allege that they possess no other property besides that worth Rs 15-8-" as specified in their Schedule put in Court on Solemn affirmation — You are therefore required to attend and deliver an objection, if any exists to the applicants being admitted as Paupers.	Cuddalore Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1855 Nov 12th	1855 Nov 12th	1855 Nov 16th	2759-4-"		1855 Nov 30th or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice of Service	Do	1855 Nov 22d	The within named 8th Opponent not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 21st November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of this dwelling house — Vadanarrainen

101	A.S. 193 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Reddy Venkatareddy Deft & Appellant & Bollapragada Cammiah son of Madanagopaliah Plff & Supplemental Respondent	Supplemental Respondent Bollapragada Cammiah son of Madanagopaliah residing at Mootlayalapetta in Madras. Whereas Reddy Venkatareddy Deft Appellant being dissatisfied with the decision passed by the Moosify Sudr Ameen in Civil Jt. 201 of 1849 Civil Courts file, wherein you were Plaintiff appealed against the same. You are therefore required to attend and if you deem proper to put in your answer.	Rajahmundry Zillah Civil Court	1855 Nov 12th	1855 Nov 1st	1855 Nov 17th	"	"	"	1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 20th Nov. 1855 Nov 22d Served personally on the within named Supplemental Respondent on the 21st Nov. 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
102	Summons issued by the Collector of Nellore	Pamedegauntum Maundhataroyadoo at Madras	You are hereby informed that as you have to be examined regarding the charge preferred by Cordha Churn dass and Mohooddeen Madar Saheb of Calcutta to the Board of Revenue at Calcutta regarding certain extortion alleged to have been practised by the Officers of the Salt Superintt at Eskapully when the salt was shipped by you for Calcutta from that port on their behalf during the months of August and September 1855. You are required to appear in person with the accounts which are in your possession connected with the said transaction before the Collector of Nellore.	Nellore Zillah Collectors Cutcherry	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 17th	"	"	"	1855 Nov 28th	Do	1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 20th Nov. 1855 Nov 23d Served personally on the within named Pamedegauntum Maundhataroyadoo on the 22d November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Summons issued by the Collector of Nellore	Chilkalapoody Mookoonda Vaidoo at Madras.	You are hereby informed that as you have to be examined regarding the charge preferred by Cordha Churn dass and Mohooddeen Madar Saheb of Calcutta to the Board of Revenue at Calcutta regarding certain extortion alleged to have been practised by the Officers of the Salt Dept at Eskapully when the salt was shipped by you for Calcutta from that port on their behalf during the months of August and September 1855. You are required to appear in person with the accounts which are in your possession connected with the said transaction before the Cutcherry of the Collector of Nellore.	Do.	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 14th	185 Nov 17th	"	"	"	1855 Nov 28th	Do.	1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 20 Nov. 1855 Nov 23d Served personally on the within named Chilkalapoody Mookoondoo Vaidoo on the 22d November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarraivan
103	Summons issued by the Collector of North Arcot	To Ragava Chary a resident of Malemah attached to Mandavash Salook and at present living in the house of Pasoor Teroovengadah in Triplicane in Madras.	Having failed to appear at the Collectors Office of North Arcot within the 15th October last, as endorsed by you in the Summons which was served upon you on the 17th September 1855 You are hereby acquainted that as it is necessary to examine you on some matters connected with a Petition presented by you to the late Torture Committee at Madras. You shall positively appear at the said Collectors Office for the 2nd inst.	North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry	1855 Nov 20th	1855 Nov 14th	1855 Nov 22d	"	"	"	1855 Nov 30th	"	1855 I have been directed by Mr Justice Burton to make the following remarks upon this Document Viz:- That the act for executing within the local limits of the jurisdiction of Her Majesty's Courts Dec 2d Legal Process issued by authorities in the Mofussil, requires that the process should be issued by a Court, Judge, or Magistrate, which does not appear on this document. /Signed/ J. W. L. Ricketts
104	Suit No. 160 of 1853 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry Notice	Bollapragada Ramapah of Mootialapettah a Suburb of Madras. Plaintiff & Bollapragada Cammiah Defendant & 1st Midavolu Ramanah 2d Defendant residing in Mootialapettah of Madras. This Suit was brought to recover brought for the recovery of a house and ground situated at Cocanada in the Zillah of Rajahmundry	Plaintiff Bollapragada Ramapah residing in Mootialapettah of Madras. Take Notice that Bollapragada Cammiah the 1st Defendant in the above Suit has applied to this Subordinate Court to Compel your attendance as a Witness in the said Suit, before the Judges of the Court of Small Causes at Madras. You are therefore required to acknowledge and to shew cause before the said Subordinate Court why you should not attend and give Evidence.	Rajahmundry Zillah Subordinate Court	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 22d	"	"	"	1855 Nov 29th	Vadanarraivan	1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d Nov. 1855 Nov 28th Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 26th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarraivan

104	Suit No 160 of 1853 on the file of the Subordinate Judge's Court Notice	Bollapragada Ramapah of Mootealapetta a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Bollapragada Cummiah Defendant 2 Mudavole Ramanah 2 Defendant Residing in Mootealapetta of Madras	1st Defendant Bollapragada Cummiah residing in Mootealapetta of Madras Take notice, that Bollapragada Ramapah the Plaintiff in the above Suit has applied to this Subordinate Court to compel your attendance as a Witness in the said Suit before the Judges of the Court of Small Causes at Madras, you are therefore required to acknowledge and to Show Cause before the said Subordinate Court why you should not attend & give Evidence.	Rajah Kimmedy Gillard Subordinate Court	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 16th	1855 Nov 22d	"	"	1855 Nov 29th	Madanarrainen	1855 Nov 28	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d Nov 1855. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 27th November 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation leaby delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
105	Cause No 16 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Chingleput Notice	Mr V. Seth Saw of Saint Thome a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Goolam Hussain Khan Bahadur alias Nabob Hussain Khan Bahadur Telorejung, His father 2 Shurfil Hoomarah Saheb of the same place & 3 Rayapaty Royajee Savookar of Paddoo Chicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Defendants	1st Defendant Goolam Hussain Khan Bahadur alias Nabab Hussain Khan Bahadur Telorejung son of Shufool Hoomarah Mahil residing in the Nabob's Davady At St Thome a Suburb of Madras Take Notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff thro his Vakeel Venkatasa Sastry has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court against you and the 2d & 3d Defendants to recover from you and the other Defendants on the Security of the Trepassoor Mittah Poonamallee Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput, the Sum of Rupees 3840 - 4 - " with Interest Rupees 803 - 15 - 5 said to have been advanced to you and the 2d Defendants in excess of the value of the Yagattore Mittah which you and the 2d Defendant had Conjointly sold him. And these are to require you to appear and to deliver your answer to the said Plaint.	Chingleput Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1855 Nov 23d	1855 Nov 23d	1855 Nov 26th	Rs 3840	as 4	p "	Within 5 days after the Service of this Summons on the 15 days after the Expiration of the Notice	1855 Dec 2d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 27 Nov 1855 The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 30th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 16 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	2d Defendant Shurfil Hoomarah Saheb residing in the Nabob's Davady at St Thome a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff thro his Vakeel Venkatasa Sastry has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court against you and the 1st & 3d Defendants to recover from you and the other Defendants on the Security of the Trepassoor Mittah, Poonamallee Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput, the Sum of Rupees 3840 - 4 - " Interest Rupees 803 - 15 - 5 said to have been advanced to you, and the 1st Defendant in excess of the value of Yagattore Mittah which you and the 1st Defendant had conjointly sold him. And these are to require you to appear and deliver your answer to the said Plaint.	Do	1855 Nov 23d	1855 Nov 23d	1855 Nov 26th	Rs 3840	as 4	p "	Do	1855 Dec 2d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 27 Nov 1855 The within named 2d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 30th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 16 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Chingleput Notice	Do	3d Defendant S Rayapaty Royajee Savookar residing in House No 18 in the Goozlatty street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff thro his Vakeel Venkatasa Sastry has instituted a Suit in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court against you and the 1st and 2d Defendants to recover from you and the other Defendants on the Security of the Trepassoor Mittah, Poonamallee Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput, the Sum of Rupees 3840 - 4 - " Interest Rupees 803 - 15 - 5 said to have been advanced to the 1st and 2d Defendants excess of the value of the Yagattore Mittah which the 1st and 2d Defendants had Conjointly sold him. And these are to require you to appear and deliver your answer to the said Plaint.	Do	1855 Nov 23d	1855 Nov 23d	1855 Nov 26th	Rs 3840	as 4	p "	Do	1855 Dec 2d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 27 Nov 1855 The within named 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 30th November 1855 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen

106 | O.S. No. 213 of 1849 and Extra Petition No. 361 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameen Court. Notice | Tadapully Soobbaroydoo residing in Arava street of Rhoyillapetta in the Town of Masulipatam & Soobbaroydoo having died subsequently his widow & heiress Canakadoorgambah alias Latchmedavy residing at Poostombada in the Town of Masulipatam Plaintiff | 2d Defendant Cavaly Ven Kata Narrainappah Residing in the house the doorway of which is facing to the west in Putcheappah Pillais street at Doctor Sawmy Naidoos Chuttrum on the premises of the Pagoda of Conalaswara Sawmy a Suburb of Madras

1st Cavaly Ven Kata Borrah residing at Conalaswarum a Suburb of Madras, 2d Defendant Vencata Narrainappah Defendants

Take Notice that the Plaintiff having died, his widow and heiress has presented the aforesaid Petition No. 361, stating that a Sum of Rupees (1169-5-3) one thousand one hundred, and Sixty nine, annas five and pice three, being the Amount of Decree passed by the Sudr Ameen's Court on the 23d June 1851, with Subsequent Interest, is due to her; that the 1st Defendant having died, you became his heir; and requesting that the Sum in question, may be deducted from the Amount appertaining to the Mootah of Vijiamanapettah (which is in deposit in the Collector's Cutcherry for payment to you, and from the amount of Sale of Certain Brass untensils &c.) and paid to her. You are hereby required to acknowledge the service of the notice and further to attend and state your objections, if any, writing, to the Execution of the Decree, and to the Amount been deducted from the above Source or default whereof the decree shall be enforced according

Masulipatam Zilla & Civil Court

1855 | 1855 | 1855 | Rs as p | | 1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th Nov.r 1855

Nov.r | Nov.r | Nov.r | | |

108 O.S.No.251 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Chengica Notice

Vaapapier alias Kistnava Sapier of Venacumbady in the Talook of Chetput — Plaintiff

Davaney Ammal, 2 Paroomal, 3 Canacasaby, 4 Pasoopaty Nyanen of Vetty in &c Defendants

Mooneyen residing in the house of Perma Moodelly at Vepery a Suburb of Madras Supplemental Defendant

Supplemental Defendant Mooneyen residing in the house of Perauma Moodelly at Vepery a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that Plaintiff has instituted the above suit against the said Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 29-4-" being balance alleged to be due after an agjustment of the account of dealings which existed between the Plaintiffs Aunt and your mother the 1st Defendant but subsequently the Vakeels of both parties having moved the Court to include you as a Supplemental Defendant in the suit you being the eldest son of the 1st Defendant. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice and to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court

1855 Nov 27th — 1855 Nov 20th — 1855 Nov 29th — R a s 29 4 " — 1855 December 31st — Vadamarcaiyan

1855 Dec 8th — The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 30th Nov 1855

Served personally on the within named Supplemental Defendant on the 7th Dec 8th December 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadamarcaiyan

" O.S.No.251 of 1855 on the file of the Distt Moonsiffs Court of Chengica Proclamation

do

Supplemental Defendant Mooneyen residing in the house of Parauma Moodely at Vepery a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted the above suit against the said Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 29-4-" being balance alleged to be due after an adjustment and your mother the 1st Defendant but subsequently the Vakeels of both parties having moved the Court to include you as a Supplemental Defendant in the suit you being the eldest son of the 1st Defendant. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

do

1855 Nov 27th — 1855 Nov 20th — 1855 Nov 29th — 29 4 " — 1855 December 31st — Vadamarcaiyan

1855 Dec 8th — The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 30th Nov 1855

Returned unexecuted as the above notice having been served on the said Supplemental Defendant.

Vadamarcaiyan

109 Suit No 23 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameen & Moonsiffs Court in the Zillah of Nellore Proclamation

Purchuri Punnaya son of Bharmaya an Inhabitant of Rajapatnam attached to Ongole Talluk — Plaintiff

1 Jandhyam Vobiya alias Vobulaswamy a resident of Rajapatnam and now residing in the house No 45 in the street of Stranteum Mootiayamoodaliar Madras, 2nd Defendant Seetamma Mother of the 1st Defendant an Inhabitant of Rajapatnam and at present in the house No 45 in the street of Stranteum Mootiaya Moodaliar at Madras, 3 Canumalapoody Audinarayudu an Inhabitant of Sinkeshminsu an Inhabitant of Cottapatam, 4 Pokuri Bhavanna a resident at Rajapatam, 5 Jandhyam Narasaya, 6 Ft Vacantramudu Inhabitants of Chintalapalem a hamlet of Boddeswarapodem in the Talluk of Darsi attached to the Zamindary of Venkatagherry Rajah Defendants

1st Defendant Jandhyam Vobiya alias Vobulaswamy.

Claim is for Rupees 1375 being the Amount of principal and Interest due on a Document.

You are hereby informed and required as the Plaintiff made 1st Defendant in the above Suit brought by him to appear & present answer to the Plaint.

Although you are now residing at Madras yet as it appears that the Document in question was executed on a Mortage of Godowns on the Sea port at Rajapatam was as security for the borrowed by you and Jandhyamma Peda Soobarayudu your undeceased father and husband of the 2d Defendant are subsequently residing at Madras on account of Trade and notwithstanding prohibition Section XII Regn II of 1802

Nellore Zillah Civil Court

1855 Nov 30th — 1855 Nov 7th — 1855 Dec 3d — 1375 " " — 1855 Dec 27th — Do — 1855 Dec 7th — The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th December 1855

The Duplicate of the original Proclamation was on the 6th December 1855 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the within named Defendant, situate at No 77 in Narraina Moodelly Street at Peddoo naicks Pettah of Madras where he now resides.

Vadamarcaiyan

" Suit No 23 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameen & Moonsiffs Court in the Zillah of Nellore Proclamation

do

2nd Defendant Jandhyam Seetamma.

Claim is for Rupees 1375 being the Amount of principal & Interest due on a Document.

You are hereby informed and required as the Plaintiff made you Defendant in the above Suit brought by him to appear and present answer to the Plaint. Although you are now residing at Madras yet as it appears that the Document in question was executed on a Mortage of Godowns on Sea port at Rajapatam & as security for the money borrowed by your husband Jandhyam Peda Soobarayudu father of the 1st Defendant and that yourselves & 1st Defendant the Subsequently residing at Madras on account of Trade and notwithstanding the prohibition as Section XII Regulation II of 1802.

do

1855 Nov 30th — 1855 Nov 7th — 1855 Dec 3d — 1375 " " — 1855 December 27th — do — 1855 Dec 7th — The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th December 1855

The Duplicate of the Original Proclamation was on the 6th December 1855 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the within named Defendant situate at No 77 in Narrainamoodelly Street at Peddoo naicks Pettah of Madras where he now resides. Vadamarcaiyan

109	Suit No. 30 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameen Moofly's Court in the Zillah of Nellore Proclamation	1 Davata Lukshmayya, 2 Do. Coduvaruvangah Inhabitants of Ongole — Plaintiffs 1 Mamidi Varadayya, 2 Do. Rungamma Inhabitants of Collapatam now at present in the house No. 41 in Togarigoranga Gowdappa Street at Peddanaick Pettah A Suburb of Madras, Defendants Ranga alamy an Inhabitant of Allur attached to Rajapatam Defendants	1st Defendant Mamidi Varadayya — You are hereby informed that as the Nff has included you as 1st Defendant in the above suit — You are to attend and defend the suit — Though you are at Madras yet it appearing that the Cause of Action arose in this Zillah this Suit notwithstanding the prohibition in Section XII Regulation II of 1802 is Cognizable by the Moofly Sudr Ameen under Section V of the same Regulation and Circular proceedings of the Sudr Adalat dated 18 September 1848 — You, 1st Deft. also informed as it appears from the Order received from the Civil Court that the Sheriff mentioned in his letter that you were absent from Madras when a notice was first sent to you.	Nellore 3rd Mch Civil Court	1855	1855	1855	Rs	as	p	1855	1855 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th December 1855	

111	Cause No. 904 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Coolisumbeebee wife of Ally Asummud Saibo of Saligramum in the Talook of Sydapett — Plaintiff	Squnal Beebee alias Sumboo Beebee wife of Ally alias Shaba Ally residing in house No. 38 in Nayer Vurda pillay's Street at Meer Sudumsah Patta a Suburb of Madras — Defendant	Defendant Samaul Beebee alias Sumboo Beebee. Take notice that the said Plff Coolisumbeebee has instituted a Suit against you through Court Vakeel Vadamalay Pilloy for getting possession of 49/125 Cawney of Potacol land situated in the said Village of Saligramum and paying to Circar a Peerah of Rupee 1-5 — per Annum as well as of a Shop, house and trees situated on the said land and valued at Rupees 234-4-" in accordance with a foreclosed mortgage Bond and also for an award directing to issue a Putta for the said 49/125 Cawney of Land in her (Plffs) name. You are therefore required to appear to deliver an answer to the said Plaint & to conduct the Suit in question.	Ellungopoolt Sullakt Cavil Court	1855 Decr 12th	1855 Decr 4th	1855 Decr 14th	234 4 "	Within 20 days after Service of the Notice or within 20 days from the date on which the Duplicate of the Notice being affixed.	Vadanarainen	1855 Decr 27th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18 Decr 1855 The within named Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation were on the 26th December 1855 affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house. Vadanarainen
112	Cause No. 156 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Sums	1 Solyappa Moodaly son of Tandavaroya Moodaly and Village Megrapoedar of Undavarankum in the Carangooly Talook residing in house No. 43 in Vyddyanada Moodaly Street at Peddoo Naick's Pettah Madras, 2. Appa Sawmy Moodaly 1st Plff's son & Meerapoedar of the said Undavarankum residing in the said House — Plaintiffs 1 Moottia Moodaly and 2. Iyo Moodaly of Undavarankum in the said Carangooly Talook Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st Witness Veerasawmy Moodaly son of Venkatachella Moodaly of Kenaur residing in house No. 45 in the Northern Salay street at Peddoo Naick's pettah Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiffs of Carangooly at Cutchba Carangooly in order to give evidence on behalf of Plaintiffs wherein the Plaintiffs have sued the Defendants to recover certain lands &c attached to one of the whole 16 shares of the Village of the said Undavarankum by virtue of a Deed of Division and Swanoobogum agreement.	Do.	1855 Decr 13th	1855 Decr 4th	1855 Decr 17th	" " "	Within 8 days after Service	Do.	1855 Decr 21st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th Decr 1855 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 21st December 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him Vadanarainen
"	Cause No. 156 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Sums	Do	Plaintiffs 2. Witness Ruthna Moodaly son of Putchyappa Moodaly of Pootlambakum residing in house No. 44 in Vyddyanada Moodaly's Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiff of Carangooly at Cutchba Carangooly in order to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiffs wherein the Plaintiffs have sued the Defendants to recover certain lands &c attached to one of the 16 shares of the Village of the said Undavarankum by virtue of a Deed of Division and Swanoobogum Agreement.	Do.	1855 Decr 13th	1855 Decr 4th	1855 Decr 17th	" " "	Do.	Do.	1855 Decr 21st	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th Decr 1855 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 21st December 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
"	Cause No. 156 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Sums	Do	1st Defendants 3. Witness Potty Naick residing in house No. 16 in Moottyah Naicks Street at Poorasawank a Suburb of Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiff of Carangooly at Cutchba Carangooly in Order to give evidence on behalf of 1st Defendant the said Moottyah Moodaly, wherein the Plaintiffs have sued the Defendants to recover certain lands attached to one of the whole 16 shares of the Village of the said Undavarankum by Virtue of a Deed of Division and Swanoobogum Agreement.	Do.	1855 Decr 13th	1855 Decr 4th	1855 Decr 17th	" " "	Do.	Do.	1855 Decr 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th Decr 1855 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 26th December 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original & Summons and translation being delivered to him personally. Vadanarainen

187-
44
13
242
56

113 Pauper O.S.No.1 of 1855 on the file of the Court Court of Salem Notice

Kottaketchenu Chetty son of Kottarungappa Chetty of Ojoor in the Ojoor Talook Plaintiff

Segadavagherry Gosaujee son of Redderry Gosaujee merchant of Seyamuckee attached to the Nagarum Division in the Mysore Territories, 2 Puttery Maree Nunjappa Naick son of Puttum Veerasoodunppa Naick the late Pollagar and his nephew 3 Mungammangumalle of Bagloor in the Ojoor Talook 4 Saulungherry Gosaujee father of Segadavagherry Gosaujee of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Defts

4th Defendant Saulungherry Gosaujee father of Segadavagherry Gosaujee.

Take notice that the said Plff has filed a Bill of Complaint against you and the other Defendants for the recovery, on certain documents of Rupees 31274-3-4. (Principal and Interest and Costs) the amount of Decree in A.S. No 9 of 1843 on the file of the Sudder Adalut together with the Subsequent Interest, as also for the Cancelment of the trusts for the above debt which transfer now stands in your sons name. You are therefore required to endorse on the back of the notice the receipt thereof by you and to appear to give a written answer to the said Complaint.

Salem Zillah Civil Court.

1855 1855 1855 Rs as p — 1856.

Decr 14th Decr 14th Decr 18th 31274-3-4 — January 15th

Vadanarrainen

1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th Decr 1855

Jany 16th The within named 4th Defendant is not to be found at his place of Residence described in the Notice but it has been reported that he has gone to Sittarah in the Presidency of Bombay.

Vadanarrainen

114 O.S.No.102 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Masulipatam Notice

Pillaresetty Samoodralloo Naidoo Residing at Chumundrahgerry Pettah in the Town of Masulipatam Plaintiff

Jevahboyemmah widow and heiress of the late Coombacoonum Chakrapaungrow Puntolloo now residing in Doveton Banboorows house at Triplicane in Doveton's Garden in Ellumboor a Suburb of Madras Defendant

Defendant Jevahboyemmah, widow and heiress of the late Combacoonum Chakrapaungrow Puntolloo now residing in Doveton Banboorows house at Triplicane and in Doveton's Garden Ellumboor a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you in the Zillah Court for recovery of Rupees (947-3-") nine hundred and forty seven and annas three being the Amount of principal and interest due upon a Bond executed by your late husband Chakrapaungrow Puntolloo to Pillaresetty Samoodralloo Naidoo Plaintiff aforesaid on the 1st August 1855 at Masulipatam situated within the Jurisdiction of this District Moonsiffs Court at Masulipatam and that the Suit has been referred to the said District Moonsiffs Court by the Higher Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice and further to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Masulipatam Zillah Civil Court

1855 1855 1855 Rs as p — 1855.

Decr 19th Nov 26th Dec 24th 947-3-" — December 31st

Do 27th Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 26th December 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to her.

Vadanarrainen

115 O.S.No.161 of 1851 and A.S.No.116 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. Notice

Narrainsawmy Pilloy of Tolacandoo of Nagenabad Appellant (Defendant)

& Cristnasawmy Chetty of Peddoo naicks pettah A Suburb of Madras Respondent (Plaintiff)

Respondent Coodapurthy Cristnasawmy Chetty an adopted son of Soobaroya Chetty residing in house No 42 in the East side of the Pobe Chetty's street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the Defendant (Appellant) being dissatisfied with the decree passed in your favor in O.S.No.161 of 1851 appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition was referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said appeal Petition.

Ellore Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1855 1855 1855 — 1855

Decr 21st Decr 21st Decr 24th " " " — Written 8 days after service or within 15 days after the copy of the notice being served

1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 24th Dec 1855

Jany 5th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 4th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

116	O.S. No. 400 of 1855 on the file of the District moniffs Court of Oryole — Proclamation	Velampulli Pitchaya an inhabitant of Santamtalapad in Ongole Talook. Plaintiff 1. Nutalapati Natta doobbayadu 2. Do Sitaya Inhabitants and present at Peddinaidu pett a Suburb of Madras Defendants	2. Defendant Nutalapati Sitaya a teacher in the Street lying backwards of the lawyer Chintam Mudalari Choultry near Christnamanaidus tank at Christnamanaidus Agraharum attached Peddinaidu pett a Suburb of Madras. You take notice that the above Plaintiff sued you and the other Defendant for the recovery of Rs 150, balance of principal and Interest due on a mortgage Document executed by the 1st Defendant to the Plff on the 1st Jestabahula of the year Ravungo corresponding to the 14th July 1847 mortgaging ground houses &c. at Santamthalapad within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole. You 2d Defendant are hereby informed that as the above notice was returned stating that you had refused to signit, the suit will be disposed of without your answer if it were not presented after this Proclamation was affixed to your outer door.	Nellore Zillah Civil Court	1853	1853	1855	Rs	as	8	1855	Within 15 days after the copy of the Proclamation being affixed	Vadanarayanan	1856 Jany 8th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 4th Jany 1856 The Duplicate of the Original Proclamation was on the 7th January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the within named 2d Defendant situate at No. 15 in Venkataramiah Street at Saddoo nacks Pettah of Madras where he now resides. Vadanarainan
117	Suit No. 619 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole — Notice	Annayen Sudenarayanasetty an Inhabitant of Rajapatnam in the Talook of Ongole. Plaintiff 1. Bonnusetty Ramanna, 2. Do Sata, 3. Do Padmanabhudu 4. Do Veeraballadroogu residents of Ongole attached to the same Talook. — Defendants 5. Do Nursinhulu son of Ramanna residing at Peddinayanipetta a Suburb of Madras Supplemental Defendant	Supplemental Defendant Bonnusetty Narasimhay son of Ramanna dwelling in a House No. 165 in the eastern line of Davaroya Modalaru street at Peddanayanipetta a Suburb of Madras. You are hereby informed that the Plff brought the suit in this Court against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 999-10 & being the balance after payments made were deducted from the sums due on a Document executed to Plff by 4th Defendant borrowing money on the 23. march 1854 Corresponding with the 12th of Purgunie of the year Pramudeeka, from Powada Venkata-ringum the agent of the Plaintiff at Madras on an endorsement made on the said Document on the 27th march 1854 Corresponding with the 16th of the same month, or another Document executed to the Plaintiff by the 4th Defendant on the 25th August 1854 corresponding with the 22d Audi or 12th Sravana Shudha of the year Aunjeda borrowing money from Plffs Gomastah on account of the hire of a Godown. You are required to affix your signature on the back of the notice acknowledging the service of it upon you, and to appear and present your answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1853	1853	1855	Rs	as	8		Within 15 days after the service	Do	1856 Jany 8th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 4th January 1856 Served personally on the within named Supplemental Defendant on the 7th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainan
118	Cause No. 222 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly — Notice	Nalloor Sreenivasa ragavo Chetty of Nellore in the Cottaroor Talook — Plaintiff 1 Parasooranus Moodaly 2. Do Venkatachella Moodaly, 3 Pitchayya Moodaly of Do 4 Do Dasacoly Naick alias Dasacoly Moodaly of Cattary in the Talook of Vundavasy in the Zillah of Chittoor 5 Venkataramana Chetty 6 Gungadoo Moodaly, 7 Pitchayya Do Moodaly, 8 Vyasawmy Moodaly 9 Soondra Pelay of the said Nellore 10 Appavoo Moodaly, 11 Ruttuna Moodaly Sons of Parasooranus Moodaly residing in House No. 24 in the East Line of the South side Permal Coil Tottum street near Samy Colum at Madras, 12 Samyappa Naicker and 13 Gungoo Chetty of the said Nellore Defendants	10th and 11th Defendants Appavoo Moodaly and Ruttuna Moodaly sons of Parasooranus Moodaly residing in House No. 24 in the east line of the south side Permall Coil tottum street near Samy Colum at Madras. Take notice that this Civil Court has referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly the above suit wherein it is set forth by the Plff that 10 of the 106 shares of the Village of Nellore in the said Cottaroor Talook were mortgaged with possession by Setty Ramasawmy &c. for Pagodas 40 and add to wit your father Parasooranus Moodaly who enjoyed the said Shares and subsequently mortgaged 5 of them for Pagodas 32 to the 5th Defendants father Moothoo Chetty that the 5th Defendant afterwards transferred his mortgage right of the said 5 shares to the Plff and that you and other Defendants present	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1855	1853	1855	Rs	as	0	1856	Within 10 days after service	Do	1856 Jany 7th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 4th January 1856. Served personally on the within named 10th and 11th Defendants on the 5th January 1856 by the Duplicates of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to them. Vadanarainan

prevent Plaintiff from cultivating the said mortgage lands which were in his possession and enjoyment and thereby cause loss to him. The Plff has therefore solicited to recover ather Rs 182-11-3 the mortgage amount on the said 5 shares as well as Rupees 186-11-11 being the loss of produce and straw for the year Prumadeeche & to award to him only the aforesaid amount of loss and to direct you and other Defendants not to prevent him from enjoying the Nunjah & Punjah and Totaled Lands specified in the Plaint until the said mortgage Amount shall be paid to him. You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Caraingoody in the Cushba of Caraingoody and to conduct the suit in question.

Chittary Moodaly Sullah Civil Court
Chingleput Zillah Principal
Sudr Ameens Court

118	Cause No. 31 of 1853 on the file of the Moonsiff Sudr Ameens which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Caraingoody Notice	Aroonaghery Moodaliyar Son of Chittary Mootoo Moodaliar and Zamindary the Moottah of Unay cuttoo residing in house No. 127 in Davaroya Moodr street at Peddoo Naicks pettah of Madras Plff.	Plaintiff Aroonaghery Moodaliar Zamindar of the Moottah of Unay Cuttoo residing in house No. 127 in Davaroya Moodaliar's street at Peddoo naicks pettah Madras. Take notice that the above suit which was instituted by you to recover Rs 238-4-3 being the Amount of Principal and Interest due by the said Defendants to in the matter of a Swanoobogum Deed and also to get an award directing the said Defendants to pay you Rupees 17-11-3 yearly from and after the expiration of the year Rakchasa has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Caraingoody by the Civil Court. You are therefore required to appear in order to conduct the suit in question.	1855 Decr 29th	1853 Decr 11th	1856 Decr 31st	Rs 238	as 4	P 3	Within 10 days after the demise	Vadanarainen	1856 Jan 4	Jan 9th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th January 1856 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 8th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
		A Iyaroo Reddy residing in House No. 43 in Reddy's st at Egmore Madras. And 2. Chengalamy Nattan of Goondoor anicherry residing Vadyreccard Cooppum in the Taluk of Chingleput. Defendants											
119	O.S. No. 158 of 1853 and A.S. No. 126 & 127 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr ameens Court Notice	1 Coomaraswamy Moodaly 2 Cauneyappa Moodaly of Paramdswara Mungalum the said Taluk - Appellants & 2 & 5th Defendants	Respondent Plaintiff Chittary Arnaghery Moodaly son of Mootoo Moodaly residing in house No. 127 in the Davaroya Moodaly street at Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the 2nd and 5th Defendants Appellants being dissatisfied with the decree passed in your favor in O.S. 158 of 1853 appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appealed was referred to the Principal Sudr ameens Court You are therefore required to attend and to file your answer to the said appeal Petition.	1853 Decr 28th	1855 Decr 21st	1855 Decr 21st	"	"	"	Within 8 days after demise or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being effected.		1856 Jan 4	Jan 9th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th January 1856 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 8th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
		6 Arnaghery Moodaly of Peddoo Naicks Pettah & Island of Madras Respondent - Plaintiff											

1	O.S. Wits of 1851 and O.S. No 94 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. Notice	1 Chinta pundy Rajendra Royer, 2 Goondoo Royer of Triplicany a Suburb of Madras ——— Plaintiffs 1 Chinta pundy Samaroow, 2 Rama Row of Cuddalore Cuddalore Taluk, 3 Messrs. Ashton Richardson & Co. of Mount Road a Suburb of Madras & Roodrapa of Valendoor of St. Thomas Mount ——— Defendants	3. Defendant Messrs. Ashton Richardson and Co. residing in the house No. 134 opposite to Mr. Walkers Livery Stable Mount Road of Madras. Take notice that an Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Chingleput under date the 26th November 1855 has been sent up to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court directing to execute the Decree in Appeal Suit No. 94 of 1853. You are therefore required to appear and to state your objection why the decree should not be executed.	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1855 Decr 31st	1855 Decr 31st	1856 Jany 1st	Rs as per	Within 8 days after service of & within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being delivered	Vadanarrainen	1856 Jany 7th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th Jany 1856. Served personally on Mr J. D. R. Richardson one of the Partners of the Firm of Messrs Ashton Richardson and Co. the Defendants within named on the 5th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his Signature to the Notice. Vadanarrainen
2	Cause No. 370 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Sums.	1 Ragava Pillay of Goondoor attached to Chingleput. Plaintiff 1 Canacumma, 2 Rama Pillay, 3 Vurada Pillay, 4 Vadanalay Pillay, 5 Ummany Ummay, 6 Ringummay alias Lolly Ummay, 7 Kromaghery Pillay, 8 Vadachella Pandarany, 9 Partasarathy Nackeny 10 Ratna Chetty, 11 Soobroya Chetty and 12 Ramasawmy Moodelly of the said Village. Defendants	4th 5th and 6th Defendants Witness Khistna Pillay residing in house No. 21 in Khistnum Coil Street at Moottyalo Pettah of Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Chingleput in order to give your evidence on behalf of the 4th 5th and 6th Defendants.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 Jany 3d	1855 Decr 26th	1856 Jany 5th	" "	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1856 Jany 14th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8th Jany 1856 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 11th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No. 370 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Sums	Do	4th 5th and 6th Defendants Witness Cunny a Pillay who was lately living at Varoogum-Cankum in the Lydapet Taluk but at present residing in house No. 21 in Khistnum Coil Street at Moottyalo pettah Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the Districts Moonsiffs Court at Chingleput in order to give evidence on behalf of the 4th 5th & 6th Defendants.	Do	1856 Jany 3d	1855 Decr 26th	1856 Jany 5th	" "	Do	Do	1856 Feby 13th	The Honble Mr Justice Burton 8th January 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 12th February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
3.	Cause No. 947 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor in the Zillah of Chingleput Sums	Samaddy Narasoo Chetty of Paryamettoo Madras Plaintiff 1 Ramier, 2 Seetummaul of Choultry Veeraragava proorum in the Paryapaulliem Taluk, 3. Vadamalay Reddy and 4 Mootoosawmy Reddy, Sons of Chellappa Reddy of Velambaukum in the said Taluk Defendants	3d Defendants 1st Witness Samaddy Narasoo Chetty Plaintiff in this Suit, and Son of Rami Chetty residing in House No. 7 in the west line of Vara Siddy Venayacur Covil Street of Paryamettoo a Suburb of Madras. As the 10th day of January 1856 is fixed for examining you in the matter of dispute between the parties in Suit No. 947 of 1854 You are required to appear on the said date before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee in order to give your evidence on behalf of the said 3d Defendant.	Do	1856 Jany 5th	1855 Decr 31st	1856 Jany 8th	" " "	1856 Jany 10th	Do	1856 Jany 11th	The Honble Mr Justice Burton 8th January 1856 The within named Witness is not to be found within the Local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
4	O.S. No. 261 of 1850 and O.S. No. 1370 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Y Vencatasawmy Chetty of Pareya Pettah Nayer Talook Appellant (D. Defendant) Runga Pillay & 2 Gobinda Pillay of Rs 2000 Nacks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Respondents & Plaintiffs	1st Respondent Runga Pillay son of Khistna Pillay residing in House No. 8 on the west line of Ramakrishnen Street at Rs 2000 Nacks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the appellant (2 Defendant) being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor appealed therefrom in the Civil Court of Chingleput and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput by the Civil Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of the Notice and to attend and deliver Answer to the said Appeal Petition.	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Jany 16th	1856 Jany 16th	1856 Jany 18th	" " "	Within 8 days after Service or within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being served	Do	1856 Jany 22d	The Honorable The Chief Justice 18th January 1856. Served personally on the within named 1st Respondent on the 21st January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

4	O.S. N° 261 of 1850 and A.S. N° 137 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. — Notice —	V. Venkatasamy Chetty of Carey a Pettah Nayer Taluk Appellant — (2d Defendant) —	2d Respondent Govinda Pillay son of Veeraswamy Pillay residing in house N° 18 on the west side of Ramakristnas Street at Peddoo Naiken Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Take notice that the Appellant (2d Defendant) being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Tripassore appealed therefrom in the Civil Court of Chingleput and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen Court by the Civil Judge — You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice and to attend and deliver your answer to the said appeal Petition —	1. Runga Pillay and 2 Govinda Pillay of Peddoo Naiken Pettah a Suburb of Madras, Respondents (Plaintiffs)	S. Mungiah Asst Collector Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856	1856	1856					1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 18th January 1856.
								Jany	Jany	Jany					Jany	The within named 2d Respondent not having been found the Duplicate
								16d	16d	18th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after the Copy of the notice being affixed.	23d	of the Original Notice and translation was on the 22d January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.
														Vadanarrainen		Vadanarrainen
5	Cause N°. 216 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiff's Sudr Ameen's which was referred to the District Moonsiff's Court of Caurangoly Notice	1 Venkatanaraniengar Son of Vorattoor Durmiengar residing in House N° 22 in the North Line of Ravanien Street at Peddoo Naiken's pettah Madras Plaintiff	Plaintiff Venkatanaraniengar Son of the late Durmiengar residing in house N° 22 in the North Line of Ravanien's Street at Peddoo Naiken's pettah Madras — Take notice that the said Suit wherein you have sued the said Defendants for the recovery of Certain Lands & praying to be relieved at Rupees 150, attached to 1 Share belonging to you, out of the whole 18 Shares of the Village of the said Vorattoor has been referred by the Civil Court to the District Moonsiff's Court at Caurangoly — You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiff's Court at Cushbah Caurangoly in order to Conduct the said Suit —		S. Mungiah Asst Collector Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856	1855	1856	Rs	as	P		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th January 1856
		1 Venkatanaraniengar Son of Vorattoor Durmiengar residing in House N° 22 in the North Line of Ravanien Street at Peddoo Naiken's pettah Madras Plaintiff			Jany	Decr	Jany							Jany	The within named Plaintiff not having
		Venkatammaal wife of Sashadry Iyengar residing in House N° 19 in the west side of Casaveen alias Ravanien Street at Peddoo Naiken pettah Madras, 2 Rugavengar, 3 Naraniengar, 4 Ammathungar & 5 Veeraperumal Iyengars of Vorattoor in the Caurangoly Taluk Defendants			17th	24th	19th	150	"	"	Within 10 days of the Service or within 10 days of the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	Do.	23d been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation was on the 22d January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house.
		1 Calyany Nunnaul Residing in house N° 18 opposite the Nunnardoom Coil Street at Royapooram without the north Gate of Madras Supplemental Defendant													Vadanarrainen
"	Cause N° 296 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff of Caurangoly Notice	Croosappa Moodaly of Malavalem in the Caurangoly Taluk Plaintiff	3d Defendant Nilama Ramasawmy Naick Mechasidar of the said Malavalem residing in house N° 37 in the East Line of the High Road at Chintadrypettah of Madras — Take notice that the Court Vakeel Sanya Chella Pilley on behalf of Plaintiff has instituted Suit N° 296 of 1855 against you, and other Defendants setting forth that the 1st Defendant did not make over to him (Plaintiff) 1/32 Share out of the 1/16 share alluded to in the deed of Sale executed by you on the 16 may 1850 to him (Plaintiff) brother by the 1st Defendant selling to him the said 1/16 share out of your landed property situated at the said Malavalam for Rs 1140 and praying to recover to Plaintiff 12 125/128 Cawnies of Teroah land, Manavi, Manay Tottum, and Poorambuoy Tottum of Nunjah and Punjah descriptions, yielding annually Rupees 149 - 2 - 0 this amount of Rent & Profit attached to the said 1/32 share being Plaintiff's share of the said 1/16 share, for which a Jamabundy Pottah continues to be issued in the name of the 1st Defendant by you. It is further solicited by the Plaintiff to get an award directing the non interference on the part of any with a house and its roof built on 19 7/8 Coolies of Land wherein the Plaintiff resides. The Plaintiff further seeks to recover the other lands, Cattle, Cart, Bricks, and Jewels and to get a Puttah for the above Lands Issued in his name. You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiff at Cushba Caurangoly in order to deliver an answer to the said Plaint —	Do		1856	1855	1856	Rs	as	P		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th January 1856
		1 Moottoosawmy Moodaly alias Mootties Moodaly 2 Tanumnay of the said Malavalem 3 Nilama Ramasawmy Naick Pillay, Mechasidar of the said Malavalem residing in house N° 37 in the east line of the Road street at Chintadrypettah Madras, 4 Chinna Ramasawmy Moodaly and 5 Chinniah Chetty of the said Caurangoly Defendant			Jany	Decr	Jany							Jany	Served personally on the within named
					17th	24th	19th	"	"	"	Within 10 days of the Service or within 15 days of the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	Do.	3d Defendant on the 25th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.
															Vadanarrainen

5	Cause No 161 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Notice	Perroomaul Naicken of Amarumbadoo Maneeamungalum Talook Zillah Chingleput Plaintiff Veeraraawny Moodaly & Kristnasawny Reddy of the said Amarumbadoo and the Village of Mannoor Defendants Gungadara Moodaly of Triplicane Madras Supplemental Defdt	Supplemental Defendant Gungadara Moodaly residing in house No 26 in the East line of Massody Veeraragava Moodalys Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that whereas this Suit, instituted by the Plaintiff for getting a Pattah issued in his name for Certain nunjah and Punjah lands &c which pay annually Rupees 5 9 4 and which are attached to the 4/4 Share purchased by him in the Village of Amarumbadoo. and also for getting 7/32 Coowny of the said land, released from attachment under at the instance of the 2d Defendant, is pending investigation the Plaintiffs Vakeel Rammi has according to the Courts Order filed a Supplemental Plaint praying the Court to conduct the Suit after including you as Supplemental Defendant. You are therefore required to appear in Order to give an answer to the said Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 Jany 17th	1855 Decr 21st	1856 Jany 19th	Rs a p " "	Within 5 days after the service within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being effected.	Vadamarraini	1856 Jany 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th Jany 1856. Served personally on the within named Supplemental Defendant on the 26th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadamarraiven
6	Cause No 176 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court which was lately pending in the Moonsiffs Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput and was subsequently referred to the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor or Isleyarnamah	N. Baulee Chettyar alias Nullathumbee Chetty Residing in house No 33 in the east line of Soobramanya Moodalys street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah Madras Plff N. Parthasarathy Chetty Residing in house No 68 in the west line of Padaryar Coil street at the said Peddoo naicken Pettah. 1st Defendant Narahary Ramzoo Chetty Son of Rungappa Chetty residing in house No 68 in the west line of Padaryar Coil street at the said Peddoo naicken Pettah Supplemental 2d Defendant	1st Defendant N. Parthasawady Chettyar Whereas the Civil Court has referred the above Suit to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. You are hereby required in order to conduct the Suit by producing Witnesses according to the list of Witnesses filed by you in this Suit to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamally to conduct the Suit by producing Witnesses.	do	1856 Jany 22d	1856 Jany 9th	1856 Jany 28th	" "	Within 10 days of the service within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being effected.	do	1856 Jany 29th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856. The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Isleyarnamah and translation was on the 28th January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadamarraiven
"	Cause No 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court which was lately pending in that Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput and was subsequently referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Isleyarnamah	N Baulee Chettyar alias Nullathumbee Chettyar of Peddoo naicken Pettah Madras. Plff Errama Chetty Ramasawmy Chetty of Sembattoor residing in house No 68 in the west line of Padary Coil street at Peddoo naicken Pettah Madras. Supplemental 1st Defendant	Supplemental 1st Defendant Errama Chetty Ramasawmy Chettyar of Sembattoor. The Civil Court has referred the above Suit to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. You are hereby required to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee to conduct the Suit in question.	do	1856 Jany 22d	1856 Jany 9th	1856 Jany 23d	" "	do	do	1856 Jany 29th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856. The within named Supplemental 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Isleyarnamah and translation was on the 28th January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadamarraiven
"	Cause No 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court which was lately pending in that Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput and was subsequently referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Isleyarnamah	N. Baulee Chettyar alias Nullathumbee Chettyar of Peddoo naicken pettah Madras Plaintiff Narahary Ramanuja Chetty Son of Rungappa Chetty residing in house No 68 in the west line of Padary Coil street at the said Peddoo naicken pettah Supplemental 2d Defendant	Supplemental 2d Defendant Ramanuja Chettyar Whereas the Civil Court has referred the above Suit to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. You are hereby required in Order to Conduct the Suit to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee and to Conduct the Suit in question.	do	1856 Jany 22d	1856 Jany 9th	1856 Jany 23d	" "	do	do	1856 Jany 29th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856. The within named Supplemental 2d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Isleyarnamah and translation was on the 28th January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadamarraiven

6 | Cause No 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court which was lately pending in the late City Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput and was subsequently referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sriyarnaud

A Baula Chettyar alias Nallatumbee Chettyar residing in house No 33 in the East line of Sowramanya Moodaly's street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras — Plaintiff

A Parthasarady Chetty residing in house No 68 in the West line of Padaryar Coil Street at the said Peddoo Naicks Pettah 1st Defendant

Narahary Ramjoo Chetty son of Ringappa Chetty residing in house No 68 in the west line of Padaryar Coil street at the said Peddoo Naicks Pettah 2nd Defendant

Supplemental 3d Defendant

Narahary Ramjoo Chetty

Whereas the Civil Court has referred the above suit to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor - You are hereby required (in Order to conduct the suit by producing Witnesses and documents after knowing the points recorded to you on this suit) to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee and to conduct the suit by producing Witnesses and documents after knowing the points recorded to you -

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1856 Jany 22 | 1856 Jany 9th | 1856 Jany 23d | " | " | " | Within 10 days after service or within 10 days after the Copy of the Sriyarnamah being affixed | Vadanarrainen

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th January 1856

Jany 29th The within named Supplemental 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Sriyarnamah and translation was on the 28th January 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house -

Vadanarrainen

" | Cause No 250 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sriyarnamah

Cundasawmy Pillay of Chittlapauk Kum in the Sydapet Talook — Plaintiff

Apparow Pillay alias Soobaroya Pillay Son of Saravana Pillay a Jumpraty Gomastah in the Madras Collectors Cutcherry residing in House No 16 in Vadagherry Maistry's Street in the North Madras and Cawarenis Coulis attached to Permall and Cawarenis Coulis at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras. — — — — Defendant

Defendant Apparow Pillay alias Soobaroya Pillay -

Whereas the Civil Court has referred the above suit to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor you are hereby required (in order to conduct the suit by producing witness as per list of Witnesses filed by you in the said suit) to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee and to conduct the suit by producing Witnesses -

Do.

1856 Jany 22 | 1856 Jany 10th | 1856 Jany 23d | " | " | " | Do. | Do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856

Feby 1st Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 31st January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Sriyarnamah and translation being delivered to him -

Vadanarrainen

" | Cause No 290 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sriyarnamah

Davaraja Pillay of Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras — Plaintiff

Gyanambaul wife of Veadadoo Sarasoo Pillay Shroffin deceased of Muspadoo residing in house No 26 in Mey Lal Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras. 1st Defendant

1st Defendant Gyanambaul Unnmall

Whereas the Civil Court has referred the above suit to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor You are hereby required (in order to conduct the suit by producing witnesses & documents) to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee and to conduct the suit in question by producing witnesses & documents after knowing the points.

Do.

1856 Jany 22 | 1856 Jany 10th | 1856 Jany 23d | " | " | " | Do. | Do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856.

March 27th The Duplicate of the Original Sriyarnamah and translation was not affixed on the outer wall of the House described in the Sriyarnamah as upon enquiry that the House in question is not occupied by the 1st Defendant Gyanambaul Unnmall named in the said Sriyarnamah.

Vadanarrainen

" | Cause No 42 of 1855 on the file of the Moodly Sudr Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sriyarnamah

Annasawmy Moodelly of Padarsa Tungal in the Talook of Poonamallee — Plaintiff

Mudar Khan No 1st in the North Line of Rottigoungoo Scroovengada Moodaly's Street at Soolay a Suburb of Madras 3d Defendant

3d Defendant Mudar Khan -

The above suit which was instituted by Plaintiff in the Moodlys Court against you and 1st and 2d Defendants has been referred by the Civil Court to the District Moonsiffs of Trepassoor - You are hereby required in order to deliver an answer to the Plaintiff to appear before the said District Moonsiffs at Poonamallee and to conduct the suit by delivering an answer

Do.

1856 Jany 22 | 1856 Jany 10th | 1856 Jany 23d | " | " | " | Do. | Do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856.

March 27th The Duplicate of the Original Sriyarnamah and translation was not affixed on the outer wall of the House described in the Sriyarnamah as upon enquiry that the House in question is not occupied by the 3d Defendant Mudar Khan named in the said Sriyarnamah.

Vadanarrainen

" | Cause No 170 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice

Shaick Abdul Kader Sahib son of Shaick Kasem Lummud living in the Stables in the General Officers Garden at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Panjer Plaintiff

Shaick Natta Sahib 2 Shaick Meera Sahib and 3 Durga Rubee of Perry Pettah near Strahary Cattle in the Nayer Talook Defendants

Panjer Plaintiff Shaick Abdulkader Sahib.

Take notice that the above suit which was instituted by you against the Defendants in the said Suit for the recovery of Certain property paying and valued at Rupees 123-12- has been referred to the 1st District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor You are therefore required to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee and to conduct the suit in question.

Do.

1856 Jany 22 | 1856 Jany 9th | 1856 Jany 23d | " | " | " | Within 6 months after the decree or within 6 months after the Copy of the Notice being affixed | Do.

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856.

Feby 2d Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 1st February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him -

Vadanarrainen

6 Cause N° 1070 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor — Notice

Venatramanoja Setty son of Cottoor Aistnadawmy Settyar residing in house N° 20 in the west line of Govinduppa Naiks Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras — Plaintiff

1. Tossful Ramanojacharier, son of Ragavacharier of Calatty pettah in the Sydapet Taloke.
2. Ramadswamy Iyengar, son of Setty embar Streerunga charier residing in house N° 3 in the west line of Chennacaswara Perumaul Covil Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras.
3. Condul Chettyar, son of Balagungoo Chetty residing in house N° 2 in the west line of Peroma Chetty's Street at Janderpettah a Suburb of Madras and 4. Tooladee Ramanoja charier of the said Calatta pettah Defendants — Do

2d Defendant Ramaswamy Iyengar.

Take Notice that Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and 1st, 3d and 4th Defendants through Court Vakeel Venealakristna Pillay praying for the release from attachment made in suit N° 998 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor of Mulgoozaree Poonjah land N° 373 purchased by Plaintiff for Rupees 160 in the Village of Calattipettah as well as a well built of bricks valued at Rs 30, 2 Semmar Maree Marums, Nona Tree Corkapoolly & Palmira trees valued at Rs 2 all of which are situated in the said land. It is further solicited in the said Suit that the Plaintiff should be decreed to enjoy as usual the aforesaid estate & an award for the removal of a hut, valued at 2 Rupees, erected by the 4th Defendant on the said land is also prayed for.—

You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiff's Court at Poonamullee and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.—

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.

3d Defendant Condul Chettyar

Take Notice that Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and 1st, 2d and 4th Defendants through Court Vakeel Venealakristna Pillay praying for the release from attachment made in Suit N° 998 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor of Mulgoozaree Poonjah land N° 373 purchased by Plaintiff for Rs 160 in the Village of Calattipettah as well as a well built of bricks valued at Rs 30. 2 Semmar Maree Marums, Nona Tree, Corakapoolly, & Palmira trees valued at Rs 2 all of which are situated in the said land. It is further solicited in the said Suit that the Plaintiff should be decreed to enjoy as usual the aforesaid estate & an award for the removal of a hut, valued at 2 Rupees, erected by the 4th Defendant on the said land is also prayed for.—

You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiff's Court at Poonamullee and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.—

Do

1856 Jany 22d

1855 Dec. 26

1856 Jany 23d

1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856.

Feby 2d Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 21st February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

Within 20 days from the date of this notice being served or from the date of the City officer's return of the above being made.—

Vadanarrainen

Do

Jany 22d

Dec. 26

Jany 23d

Feby 22d Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 21st February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

A. S. N° 177 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Chittoor — Notice

Syaram Chetty adopted son of Venkatasa Chetty Residing at Wallajapet in the Taloke of Cavarepauk Kum in the Zillah of Chittoor. Appellant

Mundy Chinnamungalum Pantcha said, residing in the house door N° 39 situated in the Eastern line in the street of Varasiddee Venoyaun Covil at Pareyamettoo a Suburb of Madras Respondent

Respondent Mundy Chinnamungalum Pantcha said residing in the house door N° 39 situated on the Eastern line in the Street of Varasiddee Venoyaun Covil at Pareyamettoo a Suburb of Madras.—

Take Notice that the aforesaid Appellant Syaram Chetty has preferred an Appeal praying for the reversal of the Decree passed on the 17th November 1855 by the District Moonsiff of Cholungur adjudging him to pay you the Sum of Rs 265-11-6 due under a Bond executed by him in your favor on the 20th Sept. 1853 at Wallajapet within the jurisdiction of the Civil Court.

You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the appeal Petition.—

Chittoor Zillah Civil Court.

1856 Jany 21st

1855 Dec. 21st

1856 Jany 24th

1856 Feb 7

20th

1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856.

Jany 30th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 29th January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

Do

8	Case No 51 of 1855 on the Moffy Sudr Ameens file which was referred to the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor. Notice	Teeroovangada Moodaly of Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras Plaintiff. Tanayachala Moodaly Son of Vydialinga Moodaly Residing in house No 13 in the North line of Teeroppaly's Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras 2d Defendant.	2d Defendant Tanyachala Moodely. Take Notice that this Suit which was instituted by the said Plaintiff in the Mooftys Court against you and 1st & 3d Defendants for recovery of Sakrazee & Malgoorazee lands &c situated at Poonamallee & Valued Rs 400- has been referred to the District Moonsiffs Court by the Civil Court— You are therefore required in Order to deliver an answer to the plaint to appear before the District Moonsiff at Poonamallee and conduct the Suit after delivering an answer to the plaint.	Chunglepat 3 Civil Court.	1856 Jant 24th	1856 Jany 11th	1856 Jany 25th	"	"	"	Within 20 days from the date of this notice being served & from the date of the Copy being affixed.—	Vadanarrainen	1856 Feby 4th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856. The within named 2d Defendant not having been found the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 2d February 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
"	Cause No 51 of 1855 on the file of the Mooftys Sudr Ameens Court which was referred to the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor. Notice	Teeroovangada Moodaly of Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras Plaintiff. Durmummaul, Residing in house No 13 in the North line of Teeroppaly's Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras 3d Defendant.	3d Defendant Durmummaul Take notice that this Suit which was instituted by the Said Plaintiff in the Mooftys Court against you and your Son Saumukka Moodaly & Tanayachala Moodaly for the recovery of Sakrazee & Malgoorazee lands &c situated at Poonamaly & Valued Rs 400, has been referred to the District Moonsiff's Court by the Civil Court. You are therefore required in Order to deliver an answer to the plaint to appear before the District Moonsiff at Poonamallee and conduct the Suit after delivering an answer to the plaint.—	Do.	1856 Jany 24th	1856 Jany 11th	1856 Jany 25th	"	"	"	Do.	Do	1856 Feby 4th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856. The within named 3d Defendant not having been found the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 2d February 1856 affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house. Vadanarrainen
9	Cause No 248 of 1855 on the File of the 2d District Moonsiff of Caranguly Summons	1 Ramanjoolo Pillay residing in house No 1 in Veerasawmy Pillays Street at Egmore & Suburb of Madras Plaintiff. 1 Veerasawmy Naiken, of Maduranteakum in the Caranguly Talook, 2 Durmaroya Moodaly, 3, Reemaroya Moodaly, 4 Rungasawmy Moodaly and 5 Sashachala Moodaly of Saumon Defendants	Plaintiffs & Witness Coopproosawmy Naiker lately a writer in the Road Department & now employed as a writer in the Commissary Generals Office at Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiff at Cusbah Carangoly bringing along with you the accounts kept from February to June 1855 for the receipt of Chunnam from the 1st Defendant Veerasawmy Naiken, which accounts are in your possession in Order to give evidence on behalf of Plaintiff in Suit No 248 of 1855 on this Courts file, wherein the Plaintiff has sued the said Defendants for the recovery of balance due in virtue of a Karrarnamah, Zameen cut & receipts.	9d.	1856 Jany 24th	1856 Jany 11th	1856 Jany 25th	"	"	"	Within 8 days from the date of this Summons being Served.		1856 Jany 30th	The Honble Mr Justice Burton 25th Jany 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him on the 30th January 1856. Vadanarrainen
10	Cause No 390 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram. Summons	1 Abdulajeez Saib of Eachungranay in the Triporoor Talook Plaintiff. 1 Periya Sydemamm Saib and 2 Sundee Naiken of the said Village Defendants	Plaintiffs Witness Syed Smalloodeen Saib Son of Meeyalamallee Saib, residing in house No 16 in the Street called Wallaja Road No 91 Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. You are hereby required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Chunglepat in Order to give Evidence on behalf of Plaintiff	Do.	1856 Jany 30th	1856 Jany 26th	1856 Feby 1st	"	"	"	Within 6 days after Service	Do	1856 Feby 7th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 1st February 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 6th February 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

11	O.S. No. 2 of 1856 on the file of the Sub Court. Notice	1 Tera vengedompilly of Teromuny lady coolyayen in the Talook of Sheually - Plaintiff 1 Tha. Sawmy Iyer of Madras, living in Boongavoor in the same Talook 2 Tha. Mootloosamier now of Moottenlospettah a Suburb of Madras — Defendants.	D. Defendant - Tha. Mootloosamier residing in the house No. 1/4 at the Southern lane of Mullicandwaren covil — attached to Moottealospettah a Suburb of Madras. Take Notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit in the Subordinate Court against you and the other Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees 2800 being the Amount of Principal and Interest borrowed by you from the said Plaintiff when he was at Madras through Soobapilley youngest brother of Conduel Alagapillay in Cartigy of Paradava November December 1846. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Combaconum Zillah Subordinate Court.	1856	1856	1856	Rs	as	p	1856 Feb 4		1856 Feby 2 D	The Honorable The Chief Justice has declined to sign the Notice on the ground that it appears by the Notice itself that the cause of Action arose at Madras but it does not appear that the 2. Defendant at the time when the suit was commenced resided as a fixed Inhabitant within the Limits over which the Jurisdiction of the Subordinate Court of Combaconum extends agreeably to Section V. Regulation II of 1802. and further that the translations have not certified as required by Section I Act XXIII of 1840.
				Jany 28	Jany 28	Feb 1	2800	"	"		20	"		
12	A.S. No. 186 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court. Notice	Putchaipoolovoo Venetanumoo Chetty residing at Soorooran-poonum in the Talook of Narrunivaranum in the Samustanum of Cavaleneggur in the Zillah of Chittoor Appellant Nurdoo chetty Son of Samurty Ramee Chetty residing in the House door No. 7 in Siddevenai-koodoo street at Peddamettoo a Suburb of Madras Respondent	Respondent Nurdoo chetty Son of Samurty Ramee Chetty residing in the House door No. 7 in Siddevenai-koodoo street at Peddamettoo a Suburb of Madras. Take Notice that the aforesaid appellant has preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court against the decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Cholingur on the 21st Novr 1855, in Original Suit No. 31 of 1854 instituted by you adjudging him and one Soobaroya Chetty to pay the Sum of Rs. 306 being the Amount borrowed by them in ready money under a Bond executed in your favor on unstampt Paper on the 27th Feb'y 1849 at Peddamettoo a Suburb of Madras, praying for a reversal of the above decree.— You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Appeal Petition.—	Chittoor Zillah Civil Court.	1856	1856	1856				1856 Feb 4		1856 Feby 5	The Honorable The Chief Justice 2. February 1856 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 4th February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — Vadanarrainen
				Jany 31	Jany 31	Feb 2					20			
13	Cause No. 35 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor Notice	1 Streenevasa Moodaly of Meenjoor in the Nanjore Talook Plaintiff 1 Kistnasawmy Moodaly Son of Iya Moodely residing in house No. 9 in the South line of Nauttoo Soobroya Moodaly's street at Mylapoor a Suburb of Madras, 2 Rajavarn Moodaly & 3 Sambaseva Moodaly of the said Meenjoor Defendants	1st Defendant Kistnasawmy Moodaly — Take notice that the Plaintiff has instituted this suit against you and the 2 & 3 Defendants to this Court Vakul Seroovangada Charry for the recovery of Rs 34-5 - being the value of Malavarum produce &c. in the matter of a loss of Produce. You are therefore required to appear (in order to deliver an answer to the said Plaint) before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p			1856 Feby 11	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th Feby 1856 The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 11th February 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house — Vadanarainen
				Jany 31	Jany 21	Feby 2	34	5	-	Written Pleadings of the Vakeels or written Pleadings after the Copies of the Plaints being given		Do		
"	Cause No. 36 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor Notice	1 Streenevasa Moodaly of Meenjoor in the Nagor Talook Plaintiff 1 Bagavan Moodaly, 2 Sambaseva Moodaly, 3 Oysecarn Moothoo Moodaly of the said Meenjoor & Kistnasawmy Moodaly Son of Iya Moodely residing in house No. 9 in the South line of Nauttoo Soobroya Moodaly's street at Mylapoor a suburb of Madras, 5 Cattoo Moothoo Moodely, 6 Vanadeva Moodaly, 7 Sookha Moodaly, 8 Ramasawmy Moodaly, 9 Soobaroya Moodaly, 10 Rungapa Iya Moodaly, 11 Narrayan Chetty Moodaly & 12 Canna Iya Moodaly & 13 Saravana Moodaly of the said Meenjoor Defendants	4th Defendant Kistnasawmy Moodely Take notice, that the said Plaintiff has instituted this Suit against you and 1st 2; 3; 5; 6th; 7; 8; 9th; 10th; 11; 12 & 13th Defendants to this Court Vakul Seroovangada Charry to recover from you, Rs 20-11-11 for the Pagodas hereunder mentioned being the loss of Malavarum produce of Melwaram Lands belonging to the Careevalodaraja Perumaul of Meenjoor, as well as those of the back yards belonging to the Pagodas of the said Perumaul and Vaeambra Nadar. You are therefore required to appear (in order to deliver an answer to the said Plaint) before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do.	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p			1856 Feby 11	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th February 1856. The within named 4th Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 11th February 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house — Vadanarrainen
				Jany 31	Jany 21	Feby 2	20	11	11	Do		Do		

Patteon.

13	Cause No 46 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	1 Nagalinga Puttun son of Subbah Puttun of Gold Smith residing at Poonamallee in the Talook of Poonamallee Plaintiff Cowaras awmy Puttun Son of Calasby Puttun residing in house No 129 in the North Line of Nellooyenundy Street at Madras Nacks pettah Madras Defendant	Defendant Cowaras awmy Puttun Take Notice that the Plaintiff has instituted the said Suit against you thro' Court Vakeel Sabapathy Moodaly praying for an award directing you to give back to him either a Box about 2 feet long 1 foot broad & 3/4 of a foot high, made of Cutchucado, (or porm) Ivory together with its Iron Key, or its value Rupees 50 on your receiving from him Pdgs 7 Rs & its interest due to you on the said Box. You are therefore required to appear in Order to deliver an Answer to the said Plaint before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee and to deliver an answer to the Plaint	Chas Gillard Esqr Chief Justice & the Hon'ble the Judges of the Court	1856 Jany 31st	1856 Jany 21st	1856 Feby 2d	Rs a P 50 " "	Within 10 days after Service of the Copy within 21 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	1856 Feby 5th	The Honorable The Chief Justice has declined to sign the Notice on the ground that it does not appear by the Notice itself that the Cause of Action had arisen or that the Defendant at the time when the Suit was commenced resided as a fixed Inhabitant within the Limits of the Zillah over which the Jurisdiction of the Civil Court extends agreeably to Section V Regulation II of 1802
14	Pauper O.S. No 1/55 on the file of the Civil Court of Salem Notice	Kottakitchanah Chetty son of Kottazunga alias Chetty of Trepassoor Talook Pauper Plaintiff 1 Segadavay Kerry Gosayee son of Preedavey Kerry Gosayee of Secrevunnekeattached to the Nagarum Dinsoor in the City Territories 2 Puttum Maryumjappa Naick son of Puttum Verasoodalaparmuck the late Palgar of Bagalore and his mother of Poonamallee 3 Menganoor Nymanal 4 Jaulungherry Gosayee father Jagadavay Kerry Gosayee of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Defendants	4 Defendant Jaulungherry Gosayee father of Jagadavay Kerry Gosayee Take Notice that the said Plff has filed a Bill of Complaint against you and other Defendants for the Recovery on Certain Documents of Rupees 31274-3-4 (Principal and Interest & Costs) the Amount of decree in O.S. No 9 on the file of the Sudr Udalut, together with subsequent Interest, and also for an injunction to set aside the transfer in your sons name of the said Decree. The return made to the Notice, formerly sent to you shows that you are absent from Home. You are therefore required to appear and to give a written answer to the said Complaint.	Salem	1856 Jany 28th	1856 Jany 23d	1856 Febry 2d	Rs as P " " "	Within 20 days after the Copy of the Petitioners annexed being affixed.	1856 Feby 11th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th February 1856 The Duplicate of the Original & Petitioners translation was on the 11th February 1856 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 4th Defendant herein described Vadanarrainen
15	O.S. No 2 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Amens Court of Cotacamund Notice	Major General F Stratton Plaintiff Mr John Groves Defendant	Defendant Mr John Groves residing at Madras Take notice that Major General F Stratton of Cotacamund has instituted a Suit against you in the Principal Sudr Amens Court at Cotacamund for the recovery of Property or its value to the amount of Rupees 800 - You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of the Notice and further to attend and deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Collector & Principal Sudr Amens Court	1856 Jany 30th	1856 Jany 4th	1856 Feby	Rs as P 800 " "	1856 February 20th	"	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice has declined to sign the Copy of the Notice on the grounds that it does not appear by the Notice itself that the Cause of Action had arisen or that the Defendant at the time when the Suit was commenced resided as a fixed Inhabitant within the Limits of Cotacamund over which the Jurisdiction of the Principal Sudr Amens Court extends agreeably to Section V Regulation II of 1802 and further that the Original Notice and translation were not forwarded together with the said Copies as required by the Circular Order of the Court of Sudr Udalut dated the 14th June 1844 No 477 and also on the ground that the place of Residence of the Deft is not properly described.
16	Cause No 530 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeeveram Notice	1 Soobbaraya Moodaly and 2 Easawaya Moodaly of Anlesparum attached to Nedoongoonrum in the Talook of Trepassoor Plaintiffs 1 Margasahaya Gyer of Pulicat in the Nawyen Talook 2 Poonnoosawmy Moodaly 3 Nagappa Moodaly of Madras 4 Coomaras awmy Moodaly and 5 Verasawmy Moodaly of the said Nedoongoonrum Defendants	2 Defendant Poonnoosawmy Moodaly son of Veerabadra Moodaly residing in house No 22 in the East Line of Kelavan Chetty's Street at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that Chinnasawmy Moodaly Vakeel on behalf of Plaintiffs has instituted a Suit praying to recover from you and other Defendants Inam lands praying annually belonging to Plaintiffs in the Villages of Nedoongoonrum as well as Rupees 13-12- being the Cost of produce of the said land. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the Notice and further to appear in order to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chas Gillard Esqr Chief Justice & the Hon'ble the Judges of the Court	1856 Feby 4th	1856 Jany 31st	1856 Feby 6th	" " "	Within 5 days after Service & within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed.	1856 Feby 9th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th February 56 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 8th February 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

16. Cause No. 530 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram — Notice

1 Soobbaraya Moodaly and 2 Arivaroya Moodaly of Nalaspankum attached to Nedoongoonrum in the Sriperoor Talook — Plaintiffs

1 Margasahaya Iyen of Nedoongoonrum in the Nawyen Talook, 2 Poyroos aswmy Moodaly, and 3 Nagappa Moodaly of Maraj, 4 Coomaros aswmy Moodaly, and 5 Verrus aswmy Moodaly of the said Nedoongoonrum — Defendants

3d Defendant Nagappa Moodaly son of Perooma hall Moodaliar's Street Poodoopankum a suburb of Madras.

Take notice that Chinnasawmy Moodaly Vakeel on behalf of Plaintiffs has instituted a Suit praying to recover from you and the Defts attached to the Shares belonging to Plaintiffs in the Village of Nedoongoonrum as well as their land. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice and further to attend in order to deliver an answer to the said Plaint

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1856 Feby 4th — 1856 Feby 31st — 1856 Feby 6th — " " " — Within 5 days after Service or within 15 days after the Expiry of the Notice being affixed — Vadanarainen

1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th Feby 1856.

1856 Feby 9 — Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 8th February 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen

17 Cause No. 530 of 1855 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameen which was referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram — Notice

1 Seroomalay Moodaly of Mannumbaukum in the Seroopooroor Talook — Plaintiff

Narayana Moodaly of Madras — Defendant

Defendant Narayana Moodaly son of Seroomah Moodaly residing in houses No. 2 in the South line of Venayaca Moodaly's Street at Mylapoor a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the above Suit which was instituted in the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court either for recovery of Rupees 115 due under a foreclosed mortgage or for getting possession of the lands attached to the Share mortgaged for the said Amount and which pay annually Rupees 27-3-6 has been referred to the District Moonsiffs court of Conjeveram. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice on you and further to appear in order to deliver an answer to the Plaint

do.

1856 Feby 7th — 1856 Feby 2d — 1855 Feby 9th — Rs 115 — " " — do. — do.

1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 11th Feby 1856.

1856 Feby 14th — The within named Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 13th February 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarainen

18 O.S. No. 2 of 1856 on the file of the Subordinate Court — Notice with four Copies

Mahomed Sudradeen Khan Bahadoor Sikear Jung Residing at No. 22 in the Old mongers street, Pooliebone Bazar attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff

Vaterajooloo Naick a minor and son of Vootalapaty Bashecarloo Naick deceased 1st Defendant by his mother and guardian Pawpayamah the 2d Defendant Residing with his mother and guardian the 2d Defendant Pawpayamah the mother and guardian of the 1st Defendant 2d Defendant, Coopuswamy Venkatasawmy Naick 3d Defendant and Executor of the deceased Bashecarloo Naick Residing at No. 28 in the Street of Narrainia Moodaly at Pedoonaicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Residing at No. 42 in the above mentioned Street, Soubar Goviudasamy Jayapaul dass Residing at Chatraghaut attached to Hyderabad in the territory of His Highness the Nizam 4th Defendant Ramdurmoojee residing at No. 18 Sale Street at Pedoonaicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras 5th Defendant

1st Defendant Vaterajooloo Naick a minor and son of Vootalapaty Bashecarloo Naick deceased 2d Defendant Pawpayamah the mother and guardian of the 1st Defendant residing at No. 28 in the street of Narrainia Moodaly at Pedoonaicks pettah a Suburb of Madras.

3d Defendant Coopuswamy Venkatasawmy Naick residing at No. 42 in the above mentioned street.

5th Defendant Ramdurmoojee, residing at No. 18 in the Sale Street at Pedoonaicks pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the 4th Defendant aforesaid in the Sub Court by his Vakeel Shreenivasa Iyengar for the recovery of the Sum of Rupees 510 stated to have been due by the 1st Defendants father Vootalapaty Bashecarloo Naick deceased under an agreement executed by him to the Plaintiff on the 24th January 1854 on the sale of the Plaintiffs Cultivating and also to recover possession of the said Lackraja Swamy Village Pedoonaicks Pettah Sale Street Pedoonaicks Pettah Sale Street Pedoonaicks Pettah Sale Street

19	O.S.No.347 of 1853 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court and A.S.No.320 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court. Notice	Sabapaty Moodaly of Tevovaracadoo son of Soobbaroya Moodaly residing at Poonamallee in the Tonamullee Talook Appellant 1st Defendant Panayandy Moodaly son of Annamalay Moodaly residing in house No.8 in Pully appens street at Peddoo naicks pettah Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Panayandy Moodaly residing in house No.8 in Pully appens street at Peddoo naicks pettah Madras. Take notice that appellant being dissatisfied with decree passed by the Moofly Sudr Ameen in the above suit has preferred an appeal to the Civil Court thro Court Vakeel Kistna Row. You are therefore required to appear in order to deliver an answer to the said appeal Petition -	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court.	1856 Feby 16th	1856 Feby 15th	1856 Feby 19th	Rs as " "		8 Witness 5 days after Service	Vadanarainen	1856 Feby 23rd	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th February 1856. Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 22d February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen	
"	O.S.No.347 of 1853 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameens Court and A.S.No.33 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Soobbaroya Moodaly and 20 others Residing at Cushbah Poonamallee in the Poonamallee Talook Appellants 5, 6, 7, 47 Defendants Panayandy Moodaly, Annamalay Moodaly residing in house No.8 in Pully appens street at Peddoo naicks pettah Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Panayandy Moodaly residing in house No.8 in Pully appens street at Peddoo naicks pettah Madras. Take notice that appellants being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the Moofly Sudr Ameen in the above suit, have preferred an appeal to the Civil Court thro Court Vakeel Kistna Row. You are therefore required to appear in Order to deliver an answer to the said appeal Petition -	Do	1856 Feby 16th	1856 Feby 15th	1856 Feby 19th	" "	Do	Do		1856 Feby 23rd	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th February 1856. Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 22d February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen	
20	O.S.No.136 of 1853 and M.P.No.291 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameen's Court. Summons	Mahummud Sultan Hoossainkhan Sail of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras 1st Sayd Yegramum of Sydapett Talook Plaintiff Mirza sultan hukkah Sahib of Sayd Yegramum of Sydapet Talook Defendant	1st Witness Moosoodkhan Jung Bahadur son of Muttayat Dowlah Bahadoor residing in house No.1 in the Appavoo Gramy street at Triplicany a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court to depose on the part of the Defendant -	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Feby 20th	1856 Feby 17th	1856 Feby 21st	" "	Do	Do		1856 Feby 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st February 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 27th February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen	
"	O.S.No.136 of 1853 and M.P.No.291 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court Summons	——— Do ———	3d Witness Syed Hummud Hoossain Khan Sahib (Pensioner) Son of Syed Cusbah Hossain Khan Sahib residing in house No.23 in the Syen perumal buttons street at Triplicany a Suburb of Madras. Requiring you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court to depose on the part of the Defendant	Do	1856 Feby 20th	1856 Feby 17th	1856 Feby 21st	" "	Do	Do		1856 Feby 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st February 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 27th February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen	
	O.S.No.136 of 1853 and M.P.No.291 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court Summons	——— Do ———	4th Witness Goolam Jayanoolavoodeen Khan Sahib (Pensioner) Son of Yetsamdool Moorik residing in house No.16 of Toommiappens street at Royapettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court to depose on the part of the Defendant -	Do	1856 Feby 20th	1856 Feby 12th	1856 Feby 21st	" "	Do	Do		1856 Feby 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st February 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 27th February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen	
"	O.S.No.136 of 1853 and M.P.No.291 of 1855 on the file of the Sudr Ameens Court. Summons	——— Do ———	5th Witness Goolam Syalamy Saheb (Pensioner) Son of Meer Goolam Hoossain Sahib residing in house No.2 on the road near Royapettah attached to Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court to depose on the part of the Defendant -	Do	1856 Feby 20th	1856 Feby 12th	1856 Feby 21st	" "	Do	Do		1856 Feby 28th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st February 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 27th February 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen	

21	O.S. No. 11 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum — Notice	Govindaroo Kaundy son of Varadpoory agrahaream in Cushbah Combaconum & 1 Baulbossawy Chetty of Cushba 2 Trichinopoly Sheroff of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court 2 Balagooroowoorly Chetty of Vania Street in Combaconum 3 Condamallen Vanoo Chetty of Ally Cupoor attached to Madras 4 Veeranna Chetty & 5 Venguda Sooppa Chetty of Vania Street in Combaconum — Defendants	3d Defendant Condamallen Vanoo Chetty residing in the house No 7 in front of the Tanah Cutchery in Mylapoor attached to Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and other Defendants (undivided but) for the recovery of Rs 45 being principal and equal interest of a debt bond executed by you on the 23 May 1847 in Cushbah Combaconum within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice and further to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Combaconum Village Civil Court	1856 Feby 22.	1856 Feby 15th	1856 Feby 25th	Rs as p 45 " "	1856 March 15th	Vadavarrayen	1856 March 12.	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th February 1856. Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 11th March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadavarrayen
22	Cause No 2772 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court — Notice	1 Arrogasellum Pillay of Ganum Bankum in the Poonamallee Talook. Plaintiff 1 Banboy Ummaul, 2 Vatee razoolo Naick and 3 Venatta Sunny Naick of Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Defendants	1st Defendant Banboy Ummaul wife of Nootalapat Bashiacarbo Naick residing in house No 58 in Narayana Moodaly's Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Take Notice that Vakeel Soobaroya Pillay on behalf of the said Plaintiff has presented a Petition praying for the execution of the Decree passed in the above Suit. You are therefore required to appear to state your objections if you have any to the execution of the said Decree.	Chingleput Village Civil Court	1856 Feby 22.	1856 Feby 18th	1856 Feby 25th	Rs as p " " "	Within 8 days after service or within 15 days after Copy of Plaint hereof being affixed.	Dr	1856 March 18th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th February 1856. The within named 1st Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation was on the 17th March 1856 affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house. Vadavarrayen
"	Cause No 2772 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court — Notice	Do	3d Defendant Coovarum Venkatasawmy Naick residing in house No 58 in Narrayana Moodaly Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Take notice that Vakeel Soobaroya Pillay on behalf of the said Plaintiff has presented a Petition praying for the execution of the Decree passed in the above Suit. You are therefore required to appear to state your objections if you have any to the execution of the said Decree.	Do	1856 Feby 22.	1856 Feby 18.	1856 Feby 25th	" " "	Do	Do	1856 March 18th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th February 1856. The within named 3d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation was on the 17th March 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadavarrayen
23	O.S. No. 41 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly — Notice	1 Seeroomulloor Rithnengar Vakeel of the Carangooly District Moonsiffs Court residing at Madooranlakum in the Carangooly Talook Plaintiff 1 Seeroomangalum Narasimmah Chetty a Zillahdar of Trivellore District in the Tarea Tiruvallore Talook, residing at the said Tiruvallore, 2 Vuradachariar Plaintiffs brother a Paishkar of the Ramanadapooram Samasthanum, Sanoorkum residing in house No 11 situate in the South Street near Pareacoolum at Mylapoor a Suburb of Madras, 3 Rajoonadengar 4 Sreenevasdengar both of Poolyeny in the Talook of Carangooly, 5 Arroomooga Moodaliar of Soorambut in the said Talook, 6 Appoo Moodaly of Soorambut in the said Talook, 7 Soobbier, of Cassoor in the said Talook, 8 Mootloo Cattama Reddyar of Poolumbaukum in the said Talook, 9 Mootloocomarappa Reddyar of Chinna Coyapaukum in the said Talook, 10 Mootloo swamy Pillay, 11 Canagasabay Pillay, 12 Comarasawmy Pillay of the aforesaid Cassoor, 13 Madoor Vuradachariyar of the said Tiruvallore, 14 Cundappa Moodaly, 15 Patchyappa Moodaly, 16 Paramasivy Moodaly of Seeroomulloor in the said Talook of Carangooly, 17 Cullum, 18 Veeran and 19 Para Sookkum residing at the Chery attached to the said Seeroomulloor — Defendants	2d Defendant The Plaintiffs brother Vuradachariyar a Paishkar of the Samasthanum Samoorkum of Ramanadapooram residing in house No 11 situated in the South Street near Pareacoolum at Mylapoor a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the said Plff has instituted an action in No 41 of 1856 for recovering possession from you and 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 and 19 Defendants Manieu Nunja and Poonyah Lackrajee and Mulloozamee Manney Mandy Sollum Soondra Samoodayen Unandy Poonum Cannis bounds all round containing 2 61/128 said Villages of Seroomulloor the Plaintiff's Salfas gained property together with the trees, well, stone post &c &c situate thereon the whole yielding annually Rupees 604 5 9 - The Plaintiff further solicits the Court to recover from you &c Rs 107 - 8 being the amount of Loss of Produce. You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do	1856 Feby 28	1856 Feby 22.	1856 March 1st	" " "	Within 10 days after service or within 15 days after Copy of Plaint hereof being affixed.	Do	1856 March 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th March 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 11th March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice. Vadavarrayen

24	O.S. No. 857 of 1855 on the file of this District Moonsiffs Court of Valangaman Notice	Vengidasooba Iyaveen of Dolly Kaday in Paupasoem Taluk Plaintiff 1 Badasah At Toolokalatoo of Malavalutloor in the said Taluk his undivided brother 2 Abdul mahumood of the same place now residing at Mylapoor in Madras Defendants	2d Defendant Abdulmohammud living in the house No. 35 in the Southern line of the Lubbay street situated in front of Durmaraja Coil in Mylapoor attached to Madras. Take notice that the suit instituted by Plaintiff in No. 123/1855 in the Moolsiffs Sudr Ameens Court against you and 1st Defendant for the recovery of Rs 26 — being balance after deducting Rs 2 paid by 1st Defendant of Principal and Interest due under a loan Bond executed to him by both of you on the 1st Vyasee of Sarvary or 12th May 1840 at the said Malavalutloor within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Valangaman for Rs 14 — — the value of 21 Cullums of Paddy bought of him has been referred to the said District Moonsiffs Court and filed in No. 857 of 1855.	Comlacoonen Zillah Civil Court	1856 March 7th	1856 Feby 18th	1856 March 11th	Rs a P 26 " "	"	Vadamarraien	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 14th March 1856. March 26th The within named 2d Defendant not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 25th March 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadamarraien
25	Cause No. 136 of 1853 and M.P. No. 47 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Summons	Mahomed Soolthan Hoossain Khan Sahib of Triplicane Suburb of Madras and Saligramum of Sydapet Taluk Plaintiff Meersultan Bukesh Sahib of Saligram of Sydapet Taluk Defendant	Plaintiffs 1st Witness Mahomed Hoossain Sahib son of Goolam Hoossain Sahib residing in House No. 24 in the Cajooni Saibs street at Royapettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring you to appear and to depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Chinglepat Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 March 26th	1856 March 26th	1856 March 27th	"	"	Do	1st	On the 5th day from the date of Summons	1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th March 1856. April 2d Served personally on the within named Witness on the 31st March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarraien
"	Cause No. 136 of 1853 and M.P. No. 47 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Summons	Do	Plaintiffs 2d Witness Mahomed Yacoob Sahib Son of Goolam Mahomed Sahib residing in House No. 22 in the Mooktharoom Jaah Begum Saibs street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to appear and depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do	1856 March 26th	1856 March 26th	1856 March 27th	"	"	Do	Do		1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th March 1856. April 2d Served personally on the within named Witness on the 31st March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarraien
"	Cause No. 136 of 1853 and M.P. No. 47 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Summons	Do	Plaintiffs 3d Witness Abdoolcaboor Sahib Son of Moostaphia Sahib residing in House No. 8 in the Syamparoomals street at Roya Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring you to appear and depose on the part of the Plaintiff.	Do	1856 March 26th	1856 March 26th	1856 March 27th	"	"	Do	Do		1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th March 1856. April 2d Served personally on the within named Witness on the 30th March 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarraien
26	O.S. No. 63 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Trangebar Notice	Naroyana Chetty son of Ayakuappa Chetty of Porair in Trangebar Taluk Plaintiff 1 Naganoottoo Chetty son of Ramalinga Chetty of the same place 2 (His undivided younger brother) Aroonachella Chetty of the said Porair and now living in the house No. 16 situated in Nyneappa Moodaly's street at Madras and trading in the Godown of Mackey & Thundava Chetty near the Gate. Defendants	2d Defendant Aroonachella Chetty. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and 1st Defendant for the recovery of a tiled House and its backyard (measuring 20½ feet from south to north and 160½ ft from east to west and valued at Rs 700) situated in Chinnatumboo Pillays street of the said Porair within the boundaries as given in the Bill of Sale dated 9th December 1850 Viz. east of the Public street west of the backyard of Carooden Amoordalinga Chetty north of the House and backyard of Caula Soobramanea Chetty and South of that of Ramasawmy Chetty. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service and further to attend and give an answer to the Plaint.	Comlacoonen Zillah Civil Court	1856 March 25th	1856 March 15th	1856 March 29th	200 " "		April 12th	Do.		1856 The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 29th March 1856. April 3d Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 2d April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice. Vadamarraien

27	Cause No 460 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Poonamallee Notice	Nagalinga Putten son of Soobbien Putten a Goldsmith residing at Poonamallee — Plaintiff Coomaraswamy Putten son of Calastry Putten residing in house No 118 in the north line of N Bloomundy street at Peddu Naicks Pettah Madras — Defendant	Defendant Coomaraswamy Putten. Take notice that the Suit has been instituted regarding a box &c which were mortgaged by Plaintiff to your undivided Senior uncle Ramalinga Putten while the latter Ramalinga Putten was living as a fixed resident at Poonamallee. It is solicited in the Plaint to pass an award directing you either to deliver to Plaintiff a box made of Cuchaccudah and ivory together with two Key all valued at Rs 50, after receiving from him (Plaintiff) 7 Rupees and its Interest which he Plff had borrowed on the said Box or to pay him Plff the value of the said Box Rupees 50. It is also set forth that you continue to enjoy the house &c of the said Ramalinga Putten situated at Poonamallee ever since his death and that you have been living some time since at Madras as a fixed Resident. You are therefore required to appear and to deliver an answer to the said Plaint.	6 King's Sepoy Gillies Cavil Court	1856 March 26th	1856 March 12th	1856 March 29th	Rs 50	as —	p —	Written 8 days after the Service of the within 15 days after the Copy of the Notice being affixed	Vadamarainen	1856 April 4th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 31st March 1856. Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 3d April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarainen
28	O.S. No 429 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Notice	Abdool Rasal son of Sheikh Mohiyudeen a Pensioned Subadar an inhabitant of Ongole and at present residing at Darsi, 2 Mahommud Khasim Sondagar inhabitant of Ongole — Plaintiffs Anseralikhan Sahib residing in the house of Ishvullah near the temple of Nagamma at Peruvalkani a Suburb of Madras — Defendants	Defendant Anseralle Khan Sahib. You are hereby informed that the Plffs having brought a Suit in this Court for the recovery of Rupees 300 as per mortgaged Document executed by you to the father of the 1st Defendant and 2d Defendant on the 9th Phalguna bahula of the year Sarvari corresponding with the 16th March 1841 You are to endorse the notice as having been served on you and to make answer to the Complaint.	6 King's Sepoy Gillies Cavil Court Kellow's Cavil Court	1856 March "	1856 March 17th	1856 March 31st	300	"	"	1856 April 17th	Do	1856 April 16th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 31st March 1856. Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 15th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarainen
"	O.S. No 429 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Proclamation	Do	Defendant Anseralle Khan Sahib. You are hereby informed that the Plaintiffs having brought a Suit in this Court for the recovery of Rupees 300 as per mortgage Document executed by you to the father of the 1st Defendant and to the 2d Defendant on the Phalguna bhahula of the year Sarvari Corresponding with the 16th March 1841 — & You are further informed that the above said Notice sent to you, being returned as it could not be served on you the Suit will be tried & disposed of without requiring your answer &c — unless you present your Answer after you may have been served with this Proclamation —	Do.	1856 March "	1856 March 17th	1856 March 31st	300	"	"	Written 15 days after the Copy of the Proclamation being affixed	Do	1856 April 16	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 31st March 1856. Returned unexecuted as the above Notice having been served on the Defendant within named.
29	Cause No 80 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeevaram Notice	1 Garymella Coopsper & 2 Soobbammaul of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiffs 1 Soobiah Cattoor in the Trepporoor Talook and 2 Goorroomoorty Iyer of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Defendants	Defendant 2. Goorroomoorty Iyer, son of Soobbier, residing in Barry Kelly's Saltram No 69 in the street situated north of Parthasarady Swamy's Teeroovcolum at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the said Plffs have instituted the above Suit for recovering from you and 1st Defendant certain inam Poonjah lands &c yielding annually attached to their (Plffs) whole share of the 12 Rupees 63-8-a Mares of the Village of Cattoor in the Trepporoor Talook within the limits of the Villages of Chingleput. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice and further to appear in order to deliver an answer to the said Plaint.	Chingleput Gillies Cavil Court	1856 April 3d	1856 March 31st	1856 April 5th	63	8	a	Written 15 days after the service on the within 15 days after the Copy of this notice being affixed	Do.	1856 April 16th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th April 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 15th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarainen

29	Cause No 55 of 1856 on the file of the District Mounsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice	1 Mullaya Chetty of Punjeetty a Suburb of Paris Conjeveram Plaintiff 2 Sambasiva Moodelly, Sadyappa Moodaly of Iyempettay in the Cinchee Talook 3 Arroonaghy Moodelier, 4 Mayelay Kistna Savvy Moodly of Peddoo naicks Pettah Madras, and 5 Casava Moodely of Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	3d Defendant Arroonaghery Moodeliar Son of Chittary Moottoo Moodaly residing in House No 127 in the East Line of Davaroya Moodelys street at Peddoo naicks pettah Madras. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted the above Suit for recovering from you & other Defendants Rupees 138-9-6 being the Amount of principal and Interest due on a Mortgage Bond executed pledging a house situated at Iyempettah in the Conjeveram Talook within the limits of the Villah of Chingleput. You are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of the notice and further to appear in Order to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	1856 3d	1856 March 31st	1856 April 5th	Rs 138	as 9	ps 6	Within 5 days after Service or within 15 days of the Court of the Notice being affixed	Vadanarrainen	1856 April 11th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7 April 1856. Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 10th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen								Chingleput Villah Civil Court
"	Cause No 55 of 1856 on the file of the District Mounsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice	——— Do ———	4th Defendant Mayelay Kistnasawmy Moodely son of Iyasawmy Moodely residing in house No 1 in the South Line of Appaya Mattoo Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Take notice that the said Plff has instituted the above Suit for recovering from you, and other Defendants Rs 138-9-6 being the Amount of principal and Interest due on a Mortgage Bond executed pledging a house situated at Iyempettah in the Conjeveram Talook within the limits of the Villah of Chingleput. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice and further to appear in order to file an answer to the Plaint.	1856 3d	1856 March 31st	1856 April 5th	Rs 138	as 9	ps 6	Do	Do	1856 April 11th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7 April 1856. Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 10th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen								Do
"	Cause No 55 of 1856 on the file of the District Mounsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice	——— Do ———	5th Defendant Casava Moodelly residing in house No 83 in the west Line of Sawmy Naicker street at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted the above Suit for recovering from you and other Defendants Rupees 138-9-6 being the Amount of principal and Interest due on a Mortgage bond executed pledging a house situated at Iyempettah in the Conjeveram Talook in the Villah of Chingleput. You are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of the notice and further to appear in order to file an answer to the Plaint.	1856 3d	1856 March 31st	1856 April 5th	Rs 138	as 9	ps 6	Do	Do	1856 April 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7 April 1856. Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 11th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen								Do
30	Cause No 99 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameens Court which was referred to the Court of the Moonsiff Sudder Ameen and A.S. No 20 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	1 Chellapilley, 2 Govinda Pilley of Vunnipaukum in the Nayer Talook Appellants & Plaintiffs 1 Veeraswawny Reddy, 2 Chellappa Reddy, 3 Anoopiappa Reddy, 4 Pamboo Reddy, 5 Coolaccaroyd Reddy, 6 Venealasso Reddy, 7 Pommappa Reddy, 8 Narrayana Reddy, 9 Chellappa Reddy, 10 Ragoonaicolo Naick, 11 Pampa Naick, 12 Pommya Naick, 13 Karee Kristnama Naick, of the said Vunnipaukum, and 14 Cumyappa Moodely Son of Pavalamalay Moodely residing in house No 29 in Narrayana Moodelys street at Peddoo naicks Pettah Madras Respondents & Defendants	14th Respondent Cumyappa Moodely son of Pavala Moodely residing in House No 29 in Narrayana Moodelys street at Peddoo naicks Pettah Madras. Take notice that the Appellants being dissatisfied with the Decree passed in the above Suit have preferred an Appeal to the Civil Court through Court Vakuls Sooboroya Pilley and Rayalei. You are therefore required to appear in Order to deliver an answer to the said Appeal Petition.	1856 3d	1856 April 3d	1856 April 5th	Rs "	as "	ps "	Within 5 days after Service	Do	1856 April 10th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7 April 1856. Served personally on the within named 14th Respondent on the 9th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him, but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice. Vadanarrainen								Do

31	Suit No. 55 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Soobbaroya Moodely of Chittoocandoo in the Poonamallee Talook Plaintiff 3 1 Anna Moodely, 2 Vanas away Moodely, 3 Moniganum Moodely, 4 Pannas away Moodely, 5 Aroonachilla Moodely of the said Village, 6 Soondara Moodely (sons of Valayoodu Moodely) who lived at the said Chittoocandoo when the cause of this action arose and now resides since a few days in house No. 296 in the east line opposite to Samadars guard station in the Road leading to Teroovattiyoor from the gate of the old washer weens pettah a Suburb of Madras 7 Chinnatumbee Moodely of the said Chittoocandoo - Defendants	6th Defendant Soondara Moodely Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants in the Court of the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor at Poonamallee for the recovery of a House situated at the said Chittoocandoo Jewels, Bandy, Box &c. all valued at Rupees 150 and for getting an award for enjoying the same. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 April 9th	1856 March 31st	1856 April 10th	Rs 150	as "	1856 April 15th	"	1856 April 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th April 1856. The notice was not served on the within named 6th Defendant, as the Copy of the said Notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 66 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Soobramanya Moodely of Canany in the Talook of Sydapett Plaintiff 1 Canakatchy Ummal (wife of Doondala Mullaya Chetty) who lived as a fixed resident at Cosavan pettay near Canany in the Nungum Talook when the document in question was executed and now resides both at the said Cosavan pettah and in House No. 21 in the west line of north Salay street near Chengia Bazar at Peddoo Naicken pettah Madras, 2 Goorooswamy Chetty of the said Cosavan pettay Defendants	1st Defendant Canatchy Ummall - Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the 2d Defendant in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee for the recovery of Rupees 258-4-9 being the balance of principal and interest due on a bond. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice and further to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do.	1856 April 9th	1856 March 31st	1856 April 10th	258	4	9	1856 April 15th	"	1856 April 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th April 1856. The notice was not served on the within named 1st Defendant, as the Copy of the said notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 250 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Lokiah Naicken Residing in house 2010 in the east line Seenoo Moodaly's Lane which runs from south to North, at Peddoo Naicken Pettah Madras Plaintiff 1 Goorooummaul wife of Goday Goorooappa Naick who lived as a fixed resident at Cushba Poonamallee in the Poonamally Talook when the suit was instituted and now resides in house No. 7 in the west line of Aroonooga Moodely's street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras, 2 Rungummal of Poonamally and 3 Sachachilla Naicken Defendants	1st Defendant Goorooummaul Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and other Defts in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamally for the recovery of Rs 653-1-9 being the amount of principal and Interest due on a bond including the penalty of the Document. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of the notice and further to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do.	1856 April 9th	1856 March 31st	1856 April 10th	Rs 653	as 1	9	1856 April 15th	"	1856 April 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th April 1856. The notice was not served on the within named 1st Defendant, as the Copy of the said notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 216 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Gooroosabapathy Moodely of Semmeer Coopparm in the Poonamallee Talook Plaintiff 1 Balamunnaul of Poonamallee in the said Talook, 2 Moneyawmy Moodaly, Do Do Do, 3 Annamaley Ummall Do Do Do, 4th Venayaca Moodaly - who obtained a Pattah for Certain lands alluded to in the Document and who lives as a fixed Resident in House No. 39 in the west line of Yagattah Coil Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras Defendants	4th Defendant Venayaca Moodely Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee for the recovery of Rupees 210-13-4 being the balance of Principal and Interest due on a Mortgage Bond. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice and further to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do.	1856 April 9th	1856 March 31st	1856 April 10th	210	13	4	1856 April 15th	"	1856 April 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th April 1856. The notice was not served on the within named 4th Defendant, as the Copy of the said notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him.

32	Advertisement issued by the Subordinate Court at Rajahmundry	Notice is hereby given that Rupees 70-6-0 being the sale proceeds of Bandy and two Bullocks the property of one Vala Idumpilla a Tamil Inhabitant of Purswawkum near Madras, who died at Dowlaswerum on the 2d November 1855, having been deposited in the Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry, the heir or heirs of the deceased are required to appear either in person or by an authorized Vakeel within one year from the date hereof and prove their claim to the said Sum, failing which it will be disposited of in accordance with Section XVII Regulation III of 1802 - 18th December 1855 -	Rajahmundry Sudder Ameen's Court	1856 April 9th	1856 April 9th	1856 April 11th	Rs 70	a 6	p 0	Within one year from the date of the within Advertisement	Vadanarrainen	1856 April 17th	The Telugoo advertisement with its translation in English were on the 17th April 1856 affixed at the Bazar street in Purswawkum within the local limits of Madras.	Vadanarrainen
33	O.S. No 590 of 1854 of the J.D. Mff's file A.S. No 57 of 1856 before the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court Notice	1 Balagungoo Reddy of Paravaloor, Sydapett Taluk and 2 Nagoonada Reddy of Valoor, Maamungalum Taluk Appellants (Defendants) Kistnama Chetty of Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondent (Plaintiff)	The Respondent (Plaintiff) Chatterasala Kistnama Chetty, residing in house No 166 in the Flower Bazar Street of Mundavely at Peddoo Naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras. — Take Notice that the aforesaid Appellants (Defendants) being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the said District Moonsiff in your favour in O.S. No 590 of 1854 appealed therefrom in the Civil Court of Chingleput, and the appeal petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court for investigation. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this Notice and attend to file your answer to the plaint. —	Chingleput Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856 April 11th	1856 April 14th	1856 April 16th	"	"	"	Within 8 days after dated or 15 days from date of the copy being affixed.	Do	1856 April 25th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th April 1856. The within named Respondent not having been found the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation was on the 25th April 1856 affixed on the outer wall of his dwelling house. Vadanarrainen	
"	O.S. No 669 of 1854 of the J.D. Mff's file A.S. No 71 of 1856 before the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court Notice	Soobbier of the Custabs Sydapett Appellant (2 Defendant) Jalathinghee Veerasamy Chetty of Washerman's pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondent (Plaintiff)	The Respondent (Plaintiff) Jalathinghee Veerasamy Chetty residing in house No 89 in the west side on the Saroovalleyoor High Road at Washerman's pettah a Suburb of Madras. — Take Notice that the aforesaid appellant (1st Defendant) being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the said District Moonsiff in your favour in O.S. No 669 of 1854 appealed therefrom in the Civil Court of Chingleput, and the appeal petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court for the investigation. — You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this Notice and to attend to file your answer to the plaint.	Do	1856 April 11th	1856 April 14th	1856 April 16th	"	"	"	Do	Do	1856 April 14th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th April 1856 Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 22d April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice. Vadanarrainen	
34	O.S. No 2 of 1856 on the file of the Subordinate Court. Notice	Sectaramia Kurreebussupah of Bellary Plaintiff 1 Vanoo Lutchmesetty of Cowl bazar of Bellary - 2 Vanoo Narrainsawmy Setty, now of Pedda Mettoo a Suburb of Madras	Vanoo Narrainsawmy Setty residing at Peddoo Mettoo a Suburb of Madras. 2d Deft Take Notice, that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a suit in the Subordinate Court against you, and the 1st Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (4900-12-6) inclusive of principal and interest, due on account of certain transactions and bondies (one of which you are the drawer) and further you being the undivided father of the 1st Deft. — You are therefore required to acknowledge the service and to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint. —	Bellary Subordinate Court	1856 April 12th	1856 April 12th	1856 April 17th	Rs 4900	a 12-6	p 2d	1856 June 29th	Do	1856 April 29th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th April 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 26th April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him — Vadanarrainen	

| | | |

38 | Suit No 55 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor | Soobbaroya Moodaly of Chittoocaudoo in the Pooranullee Talook ——— Plaintiff | 6th Defendant Soondra Moodaly. | Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you, and other Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Pooranullee for the Recovery of a house situated at Dandy Bore V. all valued at 150 Rs and for getting an award for enjoying the same. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint. | Chas. Lyall Esqr & Fitzhardinge Ellis Esqr Civil Court

1856 May 5th | 1856 April 28th | 1856 May 6th | Rs 150 | a p | 1856 May 12th | Vadanarrainen

1856 May 12th | The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th May 1856. Served personally on the within named 6th Defendant on the 9th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 66 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor | Soobbramanya Moodaly of Caravy in the Sydapett Talook ——— Plaintiff | 1st Defendant Canatchee Ummall. | Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and 2d Defendant in the Court for the recovery of Rupees 253-4-9 being the balance of principal and interest due on a Bond. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of the Notice by endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint. | Do.

1856 May 5th | 1856 April 28th | 1856 May 6th | Rs 258 4 9 | a p | 1856 May 12th | Do.

1856 May 13th | The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th May 1856. The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 216 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor | Gooroosabapathy Moodelly of Semmoor Coopum in the Pooranullee Talook ——— Plaintiff | 4th Defendant Venayacca Moodely. | Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and other Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Pooranullee for the Recovery of Rupees 210-13-4 being the balance of principal and Interest due on a mortgage Bond. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by endorsement and further attend to deliver the answer to the Plaint. | Do.

1856 May 5th | 1856 April 28th | 1856 May 6th | Rs 210 13 4 | a p | 1856 May 12th | Do.

1856 May 12th | The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th May 1856. Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 9th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 123 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor | 1 Toolyaraum of Conmattoor in the Pooranullee Talook & 2 Mootloosawmy Moodaly of Peroonagaswaram in the said Talook ——— Plaintiffs | 1st Defendant Doorgadasoo Laulah. | Take notice that the said Plaintiffs have instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Pooranullee for the recovery of Rupees 796-11-3 being the Amount of advance including loss due on an agreement of Contract executed by you, in favor of Plaintiffs in the house situated at Chintadrepettah wherein you lived as a fixed resident ——— for Contract and giving to them (Plffs) which had been purchased by you in an Auction and which is situated in Moonamallee Talook. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint. | Do.

1 Doorgadasoo Lallah son of Ramaprasad Lallah residing in house No 8 in the Kelavan Chetty's street Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras, 2 Valappa Moodely 3 Soobbaroya Moodely, 4 Kulchoumany Moodaly of Munnivaky in the Pooranullee Talook &c, 5 Khristna Reddy, 6 Narayen Chetty, 7 Vengan Verany, 8 Cundasamy, 9 Munavady, 10 Seroosreedy an, 11 Ponnen, 12 Dasen, 13 Nangan and 14 Cattary of Rottawadi in the said Talook — Defendants

1856 May 5th | 1856 April 28th | 1856 May 6th | Rs 796 11 3 | a p | 1856 May 12th | Do.

1856 May 13th | The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th May 1856. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 12th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

" | Suit No 260 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor | Lokiah Naiken residing in house No 10 on the east line of Seenoo Moodaly's lane which runs from South to north at Pedda mucki Pettah Plaintiff | 1st Defendant Goorooramiah | Take notice that the said Plff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Pooranullee for the Recovery of Rupees 653-11-9 being the Amount of principal and Interest due on a Bond including the penalty of document. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by endorsement and further to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint. | Do.

1 Goorooramiah (wife G. Goorooappa Naick) who lived as a fixed resident at Cuddalore Pooranullee in the Pooranullee Talook, when the Suit was instituted and now resides in house No 7 in the west line of Nuroonooya Moodaly's street at Pedda mucki Pettah Madras 2 Ringammall and 3 Sashachella Naiken of Pooranullee — Defendants

1856 May 5th | 1856 April 28th | 1856 May 6th | Rs 653 1 9 | a p | 1856 May 12th | Do.

1856 May 12th | The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th May 1856. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 9th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to her. Vadanarrainen

39 Suit No. 117 of 1853 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice

1 Cundasspa Reddy Residing in Chinna Court Rannei street at Madras 1 Venguatachella Reddy, 2 Ringasspa Reddy, 3 Narasoo Reddy, 4 Teenoonaley Reddy, 5 Coumbo Reddy, 6 Vengoo Reddy, 7 Vengoo Reddy of Paroumbuk Rumi attached to Egmoor Talook, 8 Appasawmy Chetty, & 9 Veera Chetty of Allee Nyalo Pettah Madras Defendants

Plaintiff Cundasspa Reddy son of Chengalvaroya Reddy residing in house No. 45 in Chinna Court Rannei street at Madras.

Take notice that the Civil Court has remanded the above Suit to the Moofty Sudr Ameen for reinvestigation and decision de novo. You are therefore required to appear in order to conduct the Suit.

Chinny Iyah Gillah Civil Court

1856 May 5th — 1856 April 28th — 1856 May 6th — Rs a p — Within 5 days of the time within which the Plaintiff is to file the duplicate of the notice of the Defendant to be served — Tadamanei

1856 June 5th — The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th May 1856. The within named Plaintiff is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras and the Duplicate of the Notice and translation was not affixed on the outer wall of the House described in the said notice as, upon enquiry that the house in question is not occupied by the said Plaintiff.

40 Cause No. 216 of 1855 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice

M.V. Seth Saw of St Thows a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff

1 Goolam Hussain Khan Bahadoor alias Hussain Khan Bahadoor Zelorejung of the same place 2 Khunfal Bomarah Sahib 3 Ragoopaty royajee Savoo Rung Reddee Naidoo Pettah of Madras, Defendants and to James Cachlerlony Esqre of Mount Road a Suburb of Madras Supplemental Defendants

4th or Supplemental Defendant James Cachlerlony Esqre residing in the Garden of Fallofield opposite to the Thousand Lights on the Mount Road a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff through his Vakal Venkatasawmy Sastry has presented Supplemental Petition to the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen praying to include you as a Supplemental Defendant in the above Suit for the recovery of the sum in dispute from Yagatta Mudaly which the 1st & 2d Defendants had sold to you through the 3d Defendant and which being registered in your name, is now in your possession. These are to require you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court.

Chinny Iyah Gillah & Principal Sudr Ameens Court

1856 May 10th — 1856 May 10th — 1856 May 12th — Rs a p — 1856 June 26th or copy to be affixed — Sastrakarlu Ameen

1856 May 27th — The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th May 1856. The within named 4th or Supplemental Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, and the duplicate of the notice was not affixed on the outer wall of the Garden described in the said notice as upon enquiry that the said Garden in question is not occupied by him, he having gone to Cotacamund by

41 Suit No. 159 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Notice

Veerasawmyaroo Ramasawmy Moodeliar son of Vendatachella Moodeliar residing in house No. 3 in the west line of Nambookum street at Persoo wauks pettah Madras Plaintiff

1 Vannaney Tungachyammah younger wife and Executrix of Vennoney Appasawmy Moodelyar residing in house No. 20 in the east line of Vencyara Maistry's street at Persoo wauk Pettah Madras, 2 Apparoo Moodely, 3 Ramalinga Moodely, 4 Coolanday Valoo Moodely sons of the 1st Defendant residing in the said house, 5 Canacammall Elder wife of the said Appasawmy Moodelyar residing in the said house & Aroonagherry Moodely son of the said 5th Defendant, & Annamalay Moodely of Aryanoor in the Carangooly Talook Defendants

1st 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants Vennoney Tungachy ammall and her sons Apparoo Moodely, Ramalinga Moodely, and Coolanday Valoo Moodely and Canacammall and her son Aroonagherry Moodely residing in house No. 20 in the east line of Vencyara Maistry's street at Persoo Naicks Pettah Madras.

Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted the said Suit No. 159 of 1856 against you, and the said 1st Defendant Annamalay Moodely to recover Rupees 202-1-4 being the amount of balance including the interest due on a mortgage bond executed by the 1st Defendant to the Plaintiff on the 15th June 1853 for Rs 1380-- -- -- You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiff at Carangooly and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Chingiliyah Gillah Civil Court

1856 May 14th — 1856 May 9th — 1856 May 16th — Rs a p 380 — Within 10 days after service or within 15 days of the Copy of this notice being affixed — Thattagunny

1856 June 2d 3d — The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th May 1856. Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant Ramalinga Moodely on the 31st May 1856, by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him, Vennoney Tungachy ammall, Apparoo Moodely, Coolanday Valoo Moodely, Canacammall and Aroonagherry Moodely also herein named are not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

42 O.S. No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Masulipatam Notice

Canroonoora Bhadrachellum Residing at Rammanaidoo pettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam Plaintiff

1st Cokah Saurungapaumy Naidoo at present residing in house No. 42 Shop Keeper & trademan in house No. 17 in Soodara Moodeliar's street at Peddy Naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras, 2d Cokah Chacrapaumy Naidoo Residing at Butchowpettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam, 3d Cokah Soondaree canteroo doo Naidoo at present residing in house No. 43 Shop Keeper & trademan in house No. 17 in Soodara Moodeliar's street at Persoo wauks pettah, a Suburb of Madras, 4th Cokah Pardhasaradhy Naidoo Residing at Butchowpettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam Defendants

1st 2d Defendant Cokah Saurungapaumy Naidoo at present residing in house No. 42 Shop Keeper & trademan in house No. 17 in Soodara Moodeliar's street at Peddy Naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has presented a Petition of Appeal to the Court of Sudr Adalut requesting the reversal of the Decree passed by this Court on the 2d Feby 1856 against him on the 1st Feby 1856. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by an Endorsement.

Rasanjeeatam Gillah Civil Court

1856 May 14th — 1856 April 23d — 1856 May 19th — Rs a p — Do 30th

1856 May 20th — The Honorable The Chief Justice 20th May 1856. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 26th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

42	O.S.No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Masulipatam Notice	Cauvacooroo Bhadrachellum Residing at Pauwan hoosa pettah, appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam ——— Plaintiff	3d Defendant Cokah Poondaroo Cantachoolo Naidoo, at present residing in house No 42 Shop Keeper & tradesman in house No 17 in Soondara Moodeliars Street at Peddy Naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras.	1856 1856 1856 Rs a p	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 20th May 1856.	Kistnasawmy Masulipatam Fiscal's Office Civil Court
		1st Cokah Chacrapanny Naidoo, Residing at Butchoo pettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam 2d Cokah Poondaroo Cantachoolo Naidoo at present residing in house No 42 shop Keeper & tradesman in house No 17 in Soondara Moodeliars street at Peddy naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras, 4th Cokah Pardha Sawradhy Naidoo, residing at Butchoo pettah appertaining to the Town of Masulipatam ——— Defendants		May April May 14th 23d 19th " " " "	May 30th Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 26th May 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.	
"	O.S.No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Masulipatam Proclamation	Do ———	1st Defendant Cokah Saurungapanny Naidoo at present residing in house No 42 Shop Keeper & tradesman in house No 17 in Soondara Moodeliars Street at Peddy naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras.	1856 1856 1856 Rs a p	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 20th May 1856.	
			Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has presented a Petition of brief appeal to the Court of Suder Udalut, requesting the reversal of the Decree passed by this Court in the above cause, against him on the 4th February 1856; and that the notice not having been served upon you, You are hereby apprized of the presentation of the said brief Petition of appeal	Do	May April May 14th 23d 19th " " " "	May Returned unexecuted as the notice 30th having been served on the within named Defendant.	
"	O.S.No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Civil Court of Masulipatam Proclamation	Do ———	3d Defendant Cokah Poondaroo Cantachoolo Naidoo at present residing in house No 42 Shop Keeper & tradesman in house No 17 in Soondara Moodeliars street at Peddy Naidoo pettah a Suburb of Madras.	1856 1856 1856 Rs a p	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 20 May 1856	
			Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has presented a Petition of brief appeal to the Court of Suder Udalut requesting the reversal of the decree passed by this Court in the above cause against him, on the 4th February 1856 and that the notice not having been served upon you, you are hereby apprized of the presentation of the said brief Petition of Appeal.	Do	May April May 14th 23d 19th " " " " -	May Returned unexecuted as the notice having been served on the within named Defts. 30th	
43	O.S.No. 356 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Bauptla Notice	Mooppalanany Ramaswamy of Tallooryvary pollem a hamlet of Pedda Cherookoor in the Talook of Bauptla ——— Plaintiff	2d, 3d and 4th Defendants Daursary Lutchmoya, Do Soobboya, and Do Putnum residing in Jalanjee street at Moottyalapetta a Suburb of Madras.	1856 1856 1856 Rs a p	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 20th May 1856.	Gantoor Tahsildar's Civil Court
		1st Daursary Venkatroyalo of Cherookoor in the Talook of Bauptla 2d Do Lutchmoya, 3d Do Soobboya, 4th Do Putnum now of Moottyalapetta a Suburb of Madras ——— Defendants	Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiff's Court of Bauptla against you, and the 1st Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (133 – 9 – 8) One Hundred and thirty three, Annas Nine & Pies Eight due upon a Bond executed by your undivided elder Brother Daursary Venkatroyalo the 1st Defendant aforesaid, to Mooppalanany Ramaswamy the Plff aforesaid on the 2d August 1855 corresponding with the Nija Aushadha Bahoolum of the year Rackasa at Tallooryvary pollum a hamlet of Pedda Cherookoor a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of this Court you are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by Endorsement & further to attend and deliver an Answer.	May May May 15th 11th 19th 133 9 8	May 31st	Sadhachellum	May Served personally on the within named 2d 3d and 4th Defendants on the 26th May 27th 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice being delivered to them but they have refused to affix their signatures to the Notice.

43	O.S. No. 387 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Tavoy Notice	Vepoorroo Brenthiah of Paulapurroo in the Talook of Tuttepaud — Plaintiff 1. Seabbenany Veeruppa of Depalapoody in the Talook of Toonoor undivided Son of Linguppa deceased 2 Subbenany Venkaya Now of Commarapetta a Suburb of Madras undivided Son of Linguppa deceased — Defendants	2d Defendant Subbenany Venkaya, residing in Commarapetta a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court of Tavoy against you and the 1st Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (22-14-6) Twenty two, annas fourteen and Pice Six due upon a bond executed by Linguppa the undivided father of you and of the 1st Defendant aforesaid, to Vepoorroo Brenthiah the Plaintiff aforesaid on the 28th September 1846 Corresponding with 1st Assweja Soodhum of the Year Keelaka at Paulapurroo, a Village situated within the jurisdiction of this Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice and further to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Suntoor Zillah Civil Court.	1856	1856	1856	Rs	as	p	1856	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 25th May 1856.														
											May	May	May				May								
											15	11	19	22	14	6	31st								

45	O.S. No. 122 of 1853 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor and A.S. No. 82 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Moonesawmy Moodely of Tiroomanden Poonamallee Talook Appellant (Defendant) Venkeer of Peddoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondent (Plaintiff)	Respondent (Plaintiff) Venkiah Son of Sawmier residing in house No. 1 at Cutwall Chawdry Peddoo naick's Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Appellant (Defendant) being dissatisfied with the Decree passed in your favor in O.S. No. 122 of 1853 appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition was referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the notice by Endorsement and further to attend and file your answer.	Chungleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs a p	1856	Moonesawmy	1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 3d June 1856 June 9th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 7th June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.	
						May 31st	May 14th	June 2d	"	"	"	June 26th	
46	Suit No. 191 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor. Summons	Coosparaingar of Panapparkum in the Pary a punticw Talook Plaintiff 1 Christy Upparaingar, 2 Sreenevasingar, 3 Venkatachelingar, 4 Veerasawmy Gyngar, 5 Vencatasamyengar, 6 Rayaringar, 7 Vencatakrishnaingar, 8 Ramasawmyingar, 9 Teeroovengadasingar and 10 Teroovengadasingar Son of Seetaruwingar of Parattoor in the Said Talook Defendants	Whereas Uryoor pillay son of Vencatraya pillay residing in house No. 6 on the South line of the street which runs from East to west at Chintadrypettah a Suburb of Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give your Evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above causes. You are hereby required personally to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamalle on the 8th day of June 1856 for that purpose and to bring with you, the undermentioned Documents. Double account of the years Rowdry and Mr. Barrows Tarapaty & Punoogoomaloy accounts &c. regarding the Village of Panapparkum under dispute.	Chungleput Zillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs a p	1856		1856 June 10th Returned. As the returnable date in the Summons having expired on the 8th June 1856 it was not submitted to one of the Judges of the Supreme Court for his Endorsement.	
						June 5th	May 24th	June 9th	"	"	"	June 8th	"
47	No. 322 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor Notice	1 Velagasarum Veerasawmy Chetty & Rajava Chetty residing in house No. 45 on the east line of Vahassy Veerayaeum Civil street at Paramettoo a Suburb of Madras Plaintiffs 1 Parthapoolooroo Venkatakrishnama Chetty & Pattabi Chetty of Pommary in the Nayer Talook 3 Boovanaghury Krishnama Chetty residing in house No. 24 in the South line of Annapillay Street at Peddoo Naicko pettah of Madras Defendants	3d Defendant Boovanaghury Krishnama Chetty. Take notice that the said Plffs have instituted a Suit against you, and the 1st and 2d Defendants in the Court of the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rupees 23-5-9 being the Amount of Principal and Interest due on an account of Sundry Goods purchased from Plaintiffs by you residing at Pommary in the Nayer Talook as a fixed resident when the cause of action arose. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of the notice by Endorsement and further to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaintiffs	Do	1856	1856	1856	Rs a p	1856		1856	
						June 6th	May 27th	June 9th	23	5	9	June 10th	"
												June 10th	Returned. As the returnable date in the notice having expired on the 10th June 1856 it was not submitted to one of the Judges of the Supreme Court for his Endorsement.

47	Suit No. 423 of 1856 on the file of the Dist. Moonsiffs Court of Trespassor Notice	1 Varadiah Chetty and 2 Rungiah Chetty of Nellore Plaintiffs	1st Defendant Paradasrady Chetty. Take notice that the said Plaintiffs have instituted a suit against you and the 2. 3. and 4. Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trespassor at Poonamallee for the recovery of Nunjah and Poonjah Lands House, Cow Shed, ready Money &c valued at Rs 555-4-10 and attached to Plffs 7/8 of 8 out of 12 Shares of the Villages of Codepullum and Chinna Moollavoyal in the Nayer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court and for the suspension of the Execution of the Decree passed in Suit No. 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement hereon and further to attend ~~in person~~ and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	1 Parthasarady Chetty son of Ramah Chetty residing in house No. 68 in the west Line of Padary Coil street at Poonamallee Madras, 2 Banky Chetty alias Nallatumboo Chetty Residing in house No. 38 in the east line of Lawyer Lumbee Moodaly's street which is called Rama Bittens street at the said Poonamallee and 3 Tenunga Chetty and 4 Ranzoo Chetty sons of Rungiah Chetty residing in the said house No. 68 in the said Padary or Coil street - Defendants	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 June 6th	1856 May 27	1856 June 9th	Rs 555	a 4	p 10	1856 June 10th	"	1856 June Returned - As the returnable date in the 10th notice having expired on the 10th June 1856 it was not submitted to one of the Judges of the Supreme Court for his Endorsement.
"	Suit No. 423 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trespassor Notice	——do——	3d Defendant Tenunga Chetty. Take notice that the said Plffs have instituted a suit against you and the 1st 2d & 4th Defendants in the Distt. Moonsiffs Court at Poonamallee for the recovery of Nunjah & Poonjah lands, House, Cowshed, ready money &c valued at Rs 1555-4-10 and attached to Plffs 7/8 of 8 out of 12 whole shares of the Villages Code=pullum and Chinna Mollavoyal in the Nayer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said Distrct Moonsiffs Court for the Suspension of the Execution of the Decree passed in Suit No. 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	——do——	1856 June 6th	1856 May 27	1856 June 9th	Rs 555	a 4	p 10	1856 June 10th	"	1856 June Returned - As the returnable date in the 10th notice having expired on the 10th June 1856 it was not submitted to one of the Judges of the Supreme Court for his Endorsement.
	Suit No. 423 of 1856 on the file of the Dist. Moonsiffs Court of Trespassor Notice	——do——	4th Defendant Ranzoo Chetty. Take notice that the said Plffs have instituted a suit against you and the 1st 2d & 3d Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trespassor in the Zillah Chingleput for the recovery of Nunjah and Poonjah Lands House, Cow Shed, ready Money &c valued at Rs 555-4-10 and attached to Plaintiffs 7/8 of 8 out of 12 whole Shares of the Villages of Codepullum and Chinna Mollavoyal in the Nayer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court and for the suspension of the Execution of the Decree passed in No. 6 of 1854 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	——do——	1856 June 6th	1856 May 27	1856 June 9th	Rs 555	a 4	p 10	1856 June 10th	"	1856 June Returned - As the returnable date in the 10th Notice having expired on the 10th June 1856 it was not submitted to one of the Judges of the Supreme Court for his Endorsement.

48	O.S. No. 79 of 1852 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Vizagapatam O.S. No. 1310 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacol Proclamation	1 Motamurry Jan keramaditty alias Jankeramiah, 2 Cadapah Runyasayenendoo of Madras Plaintiffs Pasoo murty Atchiah of Vizagapatam Defendant	Plaintiffs Motamurry Jankeramaditty alias Jankeramiah and Cadapah Runyasayanendoo residing in Peddy Naidoo Pettah near the Shengany market at Madras. Take notice that the Suit preferred by you in the Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameen's Court as No. 79 for recovering Rs 32 from the Defendant Pasoo murty Atchiah being the balance due as per Settlement Account has been Called up by the Civil Court and having been filed in the above No. 131 referred to the District Moonsiffs Court of Ramyavarum in the Pillahs of Chicacol. The Pleadings in the above Case have been filed in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court and points were recorded by the said Court. Upon notice being sued in the aforesaid manner the Sheriff of Madras informed the Civil Court that the said notice has been served on the 2d Plaintiff and that the 1st Plaintiff Motamurry Janakeramaditty alias Jana keramiah was not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, therefore Notice is hereby given that if the 1st Plaintiff Motamurry Jankeramaditty alias Jankeramiah shall not appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Ramyavarum within 6 weeks from the time that the Proclamation may be fixed to Conduct the Suit as required in the said notice the Regulations will be enforced.	1856 June 2d	1856 March 22d	1856 June 13th	Rs	a	p	Within the walls of the Dwellings of the Original & translation and Proclamation being affixed on the outer wall of the 1st Plaintiff.	Venkata Sellum	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 13th June 1856	June 27th	The Duplicate of the Original Proclamation and translation was on the 26th June 1856 affixed on the outer wall of the dwelling house of the 1st Plaintiff therein described.	Chicacol Zillah Civil Court
49	Suit No. 201 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiffs Trepassoor Sums	Venkatachella Naick residing in house No. 13 the west line of Free Garys street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras Plaintiff / Chinnadoo Chellapareddy of Madaspaulem attached to Elavoor in the Nayer Talook, 2 Poondy Nanjappa Reddy of Naransannaballam attached to Chinnamboo Colum in the said Talook Defendants	D. Witness Ruttna Naick residing in house No. 7 in the east line of Seven Wells street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plff in the above cause you are hereby required personally to appear before the said Moonsiffs Court for that purpose	1856 June 16th	1856 June 10th	1856 June 17th	Rs	a	p	1856 July 4th	Sadasivah Mudelly	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th June 1856	June 23d	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court
"	Suit No. 265 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiffs Trepassoor Sums	Rayavoloo Naicken residing in house No. 3 in the west line of Ramakristnen Moodely's street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Plaintiff / Augadoo Vencatasawmy Chetty & 2 Moonesawmy Chetty of Cashaba Sydapett Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st Witness Jaundra Vydelingo Chetty residing in house No. 19 in the west line of Santhamy Narasen street in the Village of Attapaullem attached to Sandyavaroo a Suburb of Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above cause you are hereby required personally to appear the said District Moonsiffs Court.	1856 June 16th	1856 June 10th	1856 June 17th	Rs	a	p	1856 July 4th	Do	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th June 1856.	June 24th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	Do.
"	Suit No. 265 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiffs Trepassoor Sums	Do	3. Witness Coopala Gungoo Chetty residing in house No. 26 on the north line of Mallas street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give Evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above cause you are hereby required personally to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court.	1856 June 16th	1856 June 10th	1856 June 17th	Rs	a	p	1856 July 4th	Do	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th June 1856.	June 23d	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.	Do.

49	Suit No 266 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sums	Kistnasawmy Naicken Executor to Kristnama Chetty residing in house No 17 in the North line of Maus Kans Davady street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras Plaintiff Vellycammal 2 Ramana Moodaly 3 Soopapa Moodely of Chambaroo in the Mayaver Talook Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st Witness Tandala Vencatroya also Chetty residing in house No 1 in the west line of Ramakristna Pillays street at Peddoo Naicks pettah of Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plff in the above cause, you are hereby required personally to appear before the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Trepassoor for that purpose.	Chingleput 24 Pgs Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p	1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17 June 1856
					June 16th	June 10th	June 17th	"	"	"	July 4th	Do		June 23d	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23 June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Suit No 266 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sums	Do	Dr Witness Audy Casavooloo Chetty residing in house No 18 in the west line of Vurdachetty pan street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah of Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plff in the above cause You are hereby directed personally to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for that purpose.	Do	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p	1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17 June 1856.
					June 16th	June 10th	June 17th	"	"	"	July 4th	Do		June 23d	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23 June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Summons.		
a	Suit No 233 of 1856 on the file of the Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sums	Moothyaloo Naicken residing at house No 18 in the east line of Dumas Conadeu street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras Plaintiff Vencatasawmier of Ranksum pallieu in the Mayaver Talook Defendant	1st Witness Cavanthundalum Annamalay Moodaly attending in the Chinam Hall No 16 situated at Moolacottaliem attached to Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above cause You are hereby required personally to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for that purpose.	Do	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p	1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17 June 1856.
					June 16th	June 10th	June 17th	"	"	"	July 4th	Do		July 1st	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 28 June 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
50	O. S. No 214 of 1856 on the file of the Villoopooram District Moonsiffs Court on Notice	Malegay Rayaven Chetty of Pondicherry Plaintiff 1. R. Govoonada Moodely of Coregaraput in the Villoopooram Talook, 2. Ky aswamy Pillay Now residing at Mootyaloo Pettah a Suburb of Madras Defendants	2d Defendant Iyaswamy Pillay residing in Coopoo Chetty as Street in Mootyaloo Pettah a Suburb of Madras and a writer in the Office of Messrs Guy & Spielman and Co. Take Notice that Plaintiff has instituted the above suit against you and the said Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 120-4-10 due under a bond alleged to have been executed by you and the said Defendant jointly on the 27th June 1854 at Tolacoopum a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the Villoopooram District Moonsiffs Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of the Notice and further to attend to deliver answer to the Plaintiffs	Cuddalore Sillack Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p	1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7 June 1856
					June 19	June 11th	June 23d	120	4	10	July 23d	Varatasawmy		July 12th	Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 11th July 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.		
51	Suit No 322 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Valagavarum Varatasawmy Chetty Rayaven Chetty residing in house No 45 in the east line of Varadaddy Vanagaram Coud street at Paramacoudy a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Patchapoloosoo Vencata Krishnama Chetty & Pattatsee Chetty of Pommary in the Mayaver Talook 3 Boovanagherry Kristnamah Chetty residing in house No 27 in the south line of Ampillays street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras Defendants	3d Defendant Boovanagherry Kristnamah Chetty Take notice that the said Plaintiffs have instituted a suit against you and the 1st & 2d Defts in the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rupees 23-5-9 being the Amount of principal and Interest due on an account of Sundry Goods purchased from Plff by you and the 2d Defts jointly while you were residing at Pommary in the Mayaver Talook as a fixed resident when the Cause of Action arose. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of the notice by your Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	King & Pigot Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p	1856		1856	The Honorable the Chief Justice 3d July 1856
					June 27	June 19th	June 30th	23	5	9	July 18th	Moonsawmy		July 4th	Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 3d July 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.		

| | | |

52	Suit No 191 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Cum	Coopparungar of Parnapankum in the Talook of Pollam in Talook ——— Plaintiff 1 Shusty Uppayengar, 2 Sheeneyasengar, 3 Tonnatachellengar, 4 Veeraswamy Iyengar, 5 Tonnatasawmy Iyengar, 6 Ragaviah, 7 Vencata Ristniengar, 8 Ramaswamy Iyengar, 9 Tevvoroyadoo Iyengar, and 10 Tevvoroyen Veengar son of Sestaryengar of Parnattoor in the said Talook ——— Defendants	D. Witness Unjoona Pillay son of Venkatroya Pillay residing in house No 6 in the South line of the street which runs from east to west at Chintadrepettah a Suburb of Madras.	Chinny Appah Gillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856		1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 1st July 1856.	
		Whereas your attendance is required to give Evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above Cause. You are hereby required personally to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for that purpose and to bring with you the undermentioned Documents. Double account of the years Rowdry and Mr Barnards Tarapad and Pungoonaly accounts regarding the Village of Parnapankum under dispute.			June 24th	June 19th	June 30th	"	"	July 18.	S Kingsbe	July 21st	The within named 2d Witness is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.	
53	O.S. No 356 of 1856 In the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Banpatta Proclamation	Mooppalanany Ramasawmy of Tellooreyarepallam a hamlet of Peddacheroocoor in the Talook of Banpatta ——— Plaintiff 1 Dasary Venkatayyadoo of Cheroocoor in the Talook of Banpatta, 2 Dasary Lutchmiah, 3 Dr Soobbaya & Dr Ratnum now of Moottyala Petta a Suburb of Madras ——— Defendants	2. 3. and 4th Defendants Dasary Lutchmiah, Dr Soobbaya & Dr Ratnum residing in Jabiriss Street at Moottyala Petta a Suburb of Madras.	Gantoor Gillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	as	P	1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th July 1856	
		Whereas the above mentioned Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Moonsiffs Court of Banpatta against you and the Defendant aforesaid for the Recovery of Rs 133 9 8 due upon a bond executed by your undivided Elder brother Dasary Venkatayyadoo to Mooppalanany Ramasawmy the Plaintiff aforesaid on the 2d August 1855 Corresponding with 5th Nija Aushada Bahoolam of the year Rakshasa at Tellooreyarepallam a hamlet of Pedda Cheroocoor a village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court and whereas the Sheriff of Madras has returned the notice issued to you, stating that you had seen it but refused to affix your signatures to it, Proclamation therefore is hereby made that if you do not appear the Cause will be tried exparte and decided, as if you had appeared and answered the Plaint.			June 28th	June 25th	July 2d.	133	9	8	July 9th	Sadasiva Mudaliar	July 7th	The Proclamation was not affixed on the wall of the House in which the Parties are said to be residing as the Copy of the Proclamation not having been furnished for that purpose.	
54	O.S. No 387 of 1856 In the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Banpatta Proclamation	Paparoo Brenchiah of Paulaparroo in the Talook of Ruttepaudoo ——— Plaintiff 1 Subbenany Veerappa undivided son of Linigappah deceased of Doppalapoody in the Talook of Poonoor, 2 Subbenany Venkiah residing in Commaropetta a Suburb of Madras ——— Defendants	2d Defendant Subbenany Venkaya residing in Commaropettah a Suburb of Madras.	Do	1856	1856	1856				1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th July 1856	
		Whereas the above mentioned Plff has instituted a Suit in the Moonsiffs Court of Banpatta against you, and the Defendant aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees (27 14 6) due upon a bond executed by Linigappah the undivided father of you and of the 1st Defendant aforesaid to Paparoo Brenchiah the Plaintiff aforesaid on the 28 September 1848, Corresponding with 1st Jusweeja Soodhuru of the Year Rudhirakat at Paulparroo a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court and whereas the Sheriff of Madras has returned the Notice issued to you stating that you had seen it but refused to affix your signatures to it, Proclamation therefore is hereby made that if you do not appear the Cause will be tried exparte and decided as if you had appeared and Answered the Plaint.			June 28th	June 25th	July 2d.	27	14	6	July 9th	S Ramayyah	July 7th	The Proclamation was not affixed on the wall of the House in which the Party is said to be residing as the Copy of the Proclamation not having been furnished for that purpose.	

54	Suit No. 378 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Chendilnayaco Pillay residing in house No. 4 in the west line of Soondara Vencayaco Mobly's Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras ——— Plaintiff Yellappa Naicken of Sandy ambankum in the Nayer Talook, 2 Ubboolier son of Vainy Ramier residing in house No. 13 in the West line of Daddy Street in the Aggraharam at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras, 3 Oddendy Moodly, 4 Narrenooga Moodly and 5 Moorooyappa Moodly of the said Sandy ambankum Defendants	2nd Defendant Ubboolier. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and 1st 3d 4th and 5th Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rupees 64 being the Amount of Principal & interest due on a mortgage bond. The Plaintiff states that he has included you also as a Defendant in this suit in Consequence of your having caused the 1st Defendants property which had been mortgaged to the Plaintiff to be sufferd in Suit No. 637 of 1854 on the file of the said District Moonsiffs Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 June 30	1856 June 23	1856 July 23	Rs 64	a/8	1856 July 23	Vencatachellum	1856 July 9	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th July 1856 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 7th July 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
"	Suit No. 413 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Chendilnayaca Pillay residing in house No. 4 on the west line of Soondara Vencayaco Mobly's Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff 1 Yellappa Naicken of Sandy ambankum in the Nayer Talook, 2 Parthasaradhy Naicken son of the said Individual, 3 Ubboolier son of Vainy Ramier residing in house No. 13 on the west line of Daddy Street in the Aggrahaaram at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras 4 Oddendy Moodly, 5 Narrenooga Moodly and 6 Moorooyappa Moodly of the said Sandy ambankum Defendants	3d Defendant Ubboolier. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and 1st 2d 4th 5th & 6th Defendants in the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rs 140 due on Agreements & receipts. The Plaintiff states that he has included you Defendant in this Suit in Consequence of your having caused the 1st & 2d Defendants property which had been mortgaged to the Plaintiff to be sufferd in Suit No. 637 of 1854 on the file of the said District Moonsiffs Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	8d	1856 June 30	1856 June 23	1856 July 23	Rs 140	a/8	1856 July 23	Vencatachellum	1856 July 9	The Honorable The Chief Justice 4th July 1856 Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 7th July 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.
"	Suit No. 415 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. Notice	1 Rungummaul and 2 Sashachala Naiken residing in the old fort at Poonamallee Plaintiffs Sadanala Lokiah Naiken son of Govindoo Naicken residing at Poonamallee in the Poonamallee Talook & also in house No. 11 in the east line of Seenoo Moodaly's Lane at Peddoo Naiks Pettah Madras Defendant	Defendant Sadanala Lokiah Naiken. Take Notice that the said Plaintiffs have instituted a Suit against you in the Court of the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor for the recovery of Gold and Silver Ornaments Rs valued at Rupees 564-8-- or of their value Rupees 564-8-- and well as of ready Money Rupees 234-8-- Total Rupees 799; all of which were received by you from the Plaintiffs at Poonamallee, where you were at the time when the cause of the action arose, promising that you would secure and return them. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice by Endorsement and attend to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	9d	1856 June 30	1856 June 23	1856 July 23	Rs 799	a/8	1856 July 23	Sashachellum	1856 July 25	The within named Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

55 Suit No 229 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor Sum[s]

A Shummood begum Sahiba of Sappankeum attached to Triplicane as Suburb of Madras ——— Plaintiff
Appajee begum Sahiba wife of Coolam Dustageer of Poolyoor in the Sydapet Talook ——— Defendant

Defendants 1st Witness Meer Cadur Coolsane Sahib residing in house No 11 in the west line of Barojee Saibs Street at Poodooppauk a Suburb of Madras

Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Defendant in the above Cause, you are hereby required personally to appear before the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee for that purpose.—

Chingleput Fellah Cir[t] Court

1856 July	1856 June	1856 July			1856 July		1856 July 8th The Honorable The Chief Justice
2d	26th	7th	"	"	25th	Vadamarainen	24th Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 23 July 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.— Vadamarainen

" Suit No 229 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sum[s]

Dº

Defendants 2d Witness Shaik Emaum, a Lascar, residing in house No 9 in the West line Salasamaul Street at Sappauk a Suburb of Madras.—

Whereas your Attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Defendant in the above cause you are hereby required personally to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee for that purpose.

Dº

1856 July	1856 June	1856 July			1856 July		1856 July 8th The Honorable The Chief Justice
2d	26th	7th	"	"	25th	Dº	24th 2d Witness on the 23 July 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Summons.— Vadamarainen

" Suit No 229 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Sum[s]

Dº

Defendants 3d Witness Syed Ahammud Saib residing in house No 14 in Teetharappa Moodaly's Street at Poodooppauk a Suburb of Madras.—

Whereas your Attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Defendant in the above Cause you are hereby required personally to appear before the District Moonsiff's Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee for that purpose.

Dº

1856 July	1856 June	1856 July			1856 July		1856 July 8th The Honorable The Chief Justice
2d	26th	7th	"	"	25th	Dº	24th Served personally on the within named 3d Witness on the 23d July 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.— Vadamarainen

56. No 220 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Caroongoly. Notice

Tarooninayoor Kristnasawmy Moodaliar Son of Venkatachala Moodaliar residing in house No 3 in the west line of Numbeen Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras Plaintiff

Vemmuny Tungachyammal Younger wife & executor of Vemmuny Appatawmy Moodaliar of Aryanoor in the Caroongoly Talook 2. Appavoo Moodaly, 3 Ramalinga Moodaly, 4 Cooloondavaloo Moodaly Sons of Vemmuny Appatawmy Moodaliar 1st Defendant residing in house No 20 in the east line of Venayaca Maistry's Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras 5 Canaeummal, Elder wife of the said Appatawmy Moodaliar residing in the said house, 6. Aroonaghery Moodaly son of the said 5th Defendant residing in the said house and 7. Arunamalay Moodaly, of Aryanoor in the Caroongoly Talook ——— Defendants

2d, 3d, 4th, 5th and 6th Defendants Appavoo Moodaly, Ramalinga Moodaly, Coolendaay Valoo Moodaly, Canaeummal, and Aroonaghery Moodaly all residing in house No 20 in the east line of Venayaca Maistry's Street at Peddoo Naiks pettah Madras.

Take Notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted the said Suit No 220 of 1856 against you and the 1st & 7th Defendants to recover a bonded debt of Rupees 262—15—1 including interest and penalty of the said bond which was executed on the 4th February 1856 by the 1st Defendant in favor of Plaintiff for Rupees 246—6—5.—

You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Cusbah Caraingoly and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.—

Dº

1856 July	1856 June	1856 July	C[te]	ao	P		1856 July 8th The Honorable The Chief Justice
4th	28th	7th	262	15.	1.	Within Ten days from the date of the notice being served or 15 days from the date of the Copy being affixed—	July 9th Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant Appavoo Moodaly on the 9th July 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him personally but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice.— The notice was not served on Ramalinga Moodaly, Coolunday Valoo Moodaly, Canaeummal and Aroonaghery the 3d, 4th, 5th and 6th Defendants therein named, as the Copies of the notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to each of the said Defendants.— Vadamarainen

| | | |

58 | Pauper application No 5 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen | Notice

Ashtylandanmal Mother and guardian of Narrayena Pillay a minor of the Caste of Shealoor — Applicant

Narrayena Pillay of the same place, 2d Shadrah Pillay alias Shadagopallan Pillay, 3d Authemoolum Pillay now of Poodoor a Suburb of Madras — Opponents

2d Opponent Shadrah Pillay alias Shadagopallan Pillay residing in No. Poodoor a Suburb of Madras:

Take notice that the aforesaid applicant has presented an application in the said Court for permission to institute a suit in forma Pauperis against you and other opponents aforesaid for the recovery of an Annual maintenance for herself and her minor son, of Rupees 47 and the value of Certain Ornaments, Rupees 100, due jointly by you and other opponents aforesaid. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this Notice by endorsement and further attend to shew Cause why the applicant should not be admitted to institute her suit as a Pauper.

Fuckirsapah Pillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court.

1856 July 19 | 1856 July 19 | 1856 July 22 | Rs " | a " | ℘ " | 1856 August 9 | Vadanarainen

1856 Augt 11 | Honorable The Chief Justice 22 July 1856. The Notice was not served on the 2d Opponent, as the place of his residence not having properly described in the notice. Vadanarainen

" | Pauper application No 5 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen | Notice

——— do ———

3d Opponent Authemoolum Pillay residing in No 1 Poodoor a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid applicant has presented an application in the said Court for permission to institute a suit in forma Pauperis against you and other opponents aforesaid for the recovery of an annual maintenance for herself and her son of Rupees 47, and the value of Certain Ornaments, Rupees 100 due jointly by you and the other opponents aforesaid. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by endorsement and further attend to shew cause why the applicant should not be admitted to institute her suit as a Pauper.

do

1856 July 19 | 1856 July 19 | 1856 July 22 | Rs " | a " | ℘ " | 1856 August 9 | do

1856 Augt 11 | The Honorable The Chief Justice 22 July 1856. The Notice was not served on the 3d Opponent, as the place of his residence not having properly described in the notice. Vadanarainen

59 | A.S. No 71 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court | Notice

Serovalayem Mooneappa Naick residing at Veerataypoolium in the Talook of Cauvarypauk Kum — Appellant

Dowlet Kahn Bahadoor the Jaghiredar of Jayenpooram in the Talook of Cauvarypauk Kum Residing in the Mosque belonging to the Nabob Walajah situated at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras — Respondent

Respondent Dowlet Kahn Bahadoor the Jaghiredar of Jayenpooram attached to the Talook of Cauvarypauk residing in the Mosque belonging to the Nabob Walajah situated at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the appellant aforesaid being dissatisfied with the decision passed in your favor by the District Moonsiff of Cholinghur in the Suit instituted by you against the said Appellant and other Defendants for the recovery from them of a Sum of 113-15-0 One hundred and Thirteen and Annas Fifteen due under a bond granted by the aforesaid Appellant and others on the 30th November 1852 in the Village of the said Jayenpooram within the Jurisdiction of the Civil Court, preferred an Appeal to the said Court. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of the Notice by an Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Appeal Petition.

Chittoor Zillah Civil Court

1856 July 24 | 1856 July 24 | 1856 July 26 | 113 | 15 | " | 1856 August 30 | Ds

1856 July 31 | The Honorable The Chief Justice 28 July 1856. Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 29 July 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen

60 | Suit No 2738 of 1856 on the file of the ex District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice | 1 Vadagherry Achary of Callattoor in the Talook of Chingleput, and 2 Sumbatty Aychetty of Tapperam a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiffs. 1 Mary Achary 2 Mannicca Achary 3 Vaithinnamoottoo of Canyaur in the Talook of Tiruvoor, 4 Chinnoo Achary of Thagary Paley in the Talook of Tiruttoor in the Zillah of Chittoor and 5th Appadoo Auchary residing in Cona street at Choolay a Suburb of Madras — Defendants | 5th Defendant Appavoo Auchary son of Mungalum Iyasawmy Auchary residing in house No 59 in the west line of Cona Street at Soolay a Suburb of Madras.

Take Notice that the said Plaintiff have instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants praying for an Award directing the 3d Defendant, who lives at Canyaur in the Tiruvoor Talook within the limits of the Zillah of Chingleput to pay unto the 1st Plaintiff after receiving from them (Plaintiffs) Rupees 35 according to an agreement or for the recovery of Rupees 160 being the Amount including interest on Rs 105 paid by them, (Plaintiffs) to Defendants for the purpose of marrying the said 3d Defendant. The Plaintiffs further desire the Court to direct the said 3d Defendant not be married to any other until the said Amount shall have been recovered - You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of the notice by an Endorsement and further appear before the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram at Chingleput to deliver an answer to the said Plaint.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p

July 23. July 11th July 26th 160 " "

Written 5 days after Service or within 15 days after
No Copy of the Notice being affixed -

Vadamarainen

1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 28th July 1856.

Augt 7th Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 5th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadamarainen

61 | A.S. No 113 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice | Vencataragava Reddy son of Lutchominarayana Reddyar of Streeperumbadoor in the Maneinungalum Talook Appellant

Annamalay Moodely son of Arnachella Moodely of Sadras residing in house No 24 in the east line of Chendrappa Moodely Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras — 1st Respondent | 1st Respondent Annamalay Moodeli.

Whereas the appellant has presented a Petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of the decision of the Court of the Moonsiff Sudr Ameen in No 1414 of 1854 dated the 24th September 1855 - You are hereby required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice and further to appear and deliver an answer to the Petition of Appeal.

8a

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

Augt 7th Augt 7th Augt 9th " " " Augt 25th 2d

1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.

Augt 21st Served personally on the within named 1st Respondent on the 20th August 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadamarainen

" | A.S. No 113 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice | Vencataragava Reddy son of Lutchominarayana Reddyar of Streeperumbadoor in the Maneinungalum Talook Appellant

Ganapuly Moodely son of Arnachella Moodely of Sadras residing in house No 23 in the east line of Chundrappa Moodely Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras - 2d Respondent | 2d Respondent Ganapuly Moodely

Whereas the Appellant has presented a petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of the decision of the Court of the Moonsiff Sudr Ameen in No 1414 of 1854 dated the 24th September 1855. You are hereby required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice and further to appear and deliver an Answer to the petition of Appeal.

8a

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

August 7th August 7th August 9th " " " August 25th 2d

1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.

Augt 27th The within named 2d Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majestys Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras

Vadamarainen

" | A.S. 113 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice | Vencataragava Reddy son of Lutchemenarayana Reddyar of Streeperumbadoor in the Maneinungalum Talook Appellant

Carithistna Moodely son of Arnachala Moodely residing in house No 9 in the west line of Iyah Moodelys Street at Peddoo Naicks pettah Madras. 3d Respondent | 3d Respondent Carithistna Moodely

Whereas the Appellant has presented a Petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of the decision of the Court of the Moonsiff Sudr Ameen in No 1414 of 1854 dated the 24th September 1855 - You are hereby required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice and further to appear and deliver an answer to the Petition of Appeal.

8a

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

August 7th August 7th August 9th " " " August 25th 2d

1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.

Augt 16th Served personally on the within named 3d Respondent on the 15th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadamarainen

61	A.S. No. 113 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Venkataragava Reddy Son of — Lutchiminarayana Reddyar of Three person mondroor in the Manemungalum Talook — Appellant Venayaca Moodely son of — Monyappa Moodely residing in house No. 26 in the South line of Mootiah Moodely's Street at Poodoor Corrocoor puttaray a Suburb of Madras — 4th Respondent	4th Respondent Venayaca Moodely — Whereas the Appellant has presented a — petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of the decision of the Court of the Moonsiff Sudr — Ameen in No. 444 of 1854 dated the 24th September 1855 — you are hereby required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice and further to appear and deliver an answer to the petition of Appeal	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs.	a	p	1856		1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.	
					August 7th.	August 7th.	August 9th.	"	"	"	August 25th.	Vadanarainen	Augt 27th. The within named 4th Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras — Vadanarainen	
"	A.S. No. 113 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Venkataragava Reddy Son of — Lutchiminarayana Reddyar of Three person mondroor in the — Manemungalum Talook Appellant Veerasawmy Moodely Son of Ummayappa Moodely residing in house No. 6 in the east line of Ummayappa Moodely's Street at Washermans pettah a Suburb of Madras — 17th Respondent	17th Respondent Veerasawmy Moodely — Whereas the Appellant has presented a petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of the decision of the Court of the Moosiff Sudr Ameen in No. 444 of 1854 dated 24th September 1855 you are hereby required to acknowledge the receipt of this Notice and further to appear and deliver an answer to the petition of Appeal —	D.	1856	1856	1856	Rs.	a	p	1856		1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.	
					August 7th.	August 7th.	August 9th.	"	"	"	August 25th.	Do.	Augt 15th. Served personally on the within named 17th Respondent on the 14th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen	
62	O.S. No. 2222 of 1854 on the file of the Moonsiff's Court was sent to the District Moonsiff of Cazanbolu and O.S. No. 126 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court pending before the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court — Notice	Nullor Streenevasa Ragava Chetty of Allasoor Poothamakoor Talook — Plaintiff and Appellant Appuroo Moodely & 12 others of Madras — Defendants & Respondents	10th Respondent Appuroo Moodely son of Parasooranna Moodely now residing in House No. 24 in the east side of the Parcanal Cavil Garden street south of Sawmy Coloum of Madras. Take notice that the Plaintiff (appellant) being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Cazanbolu District Moonsiff in your and other Defts favor in the Original Suit, appealed therefrom to the Civil Court and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court for investigation — You are therefore required to appear and to file your answer to the said appeal Petition.	Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs.	a	p			1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.	
					Augt 8th.	Augt 8th.	Augt 9th.	"	"	"	Within 8 days of the date of the receipt of the notice 15 days after the expiry of the notice	Do.	Augt 16th. Served personally on the within named 10th Respondent on the 16th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen	
63	Suit No. 10415 of 1853 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes and M. P.P. No. 1756 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Ramasawmy Moodaly of Chittoor in the Zillah of Chittoor — Plaintiff Veerasawmy Moodely Residing in house No. 11 in Mootoo Moodeliar Street at Royapettah a Suburb of Madras. — Defendant	Defendant Veerasawmy Moodely Take notice that Vakil T. Ho Runga Row on behalf of the said Plaintiff has presented a Petition to the Civil Court together with a Copy of an award passed by the said Court of Small Causes, praying to recover to him, Plaintiff from the Defendants property which is situated within the limits of the Zillah, the balance of the said Judgment Amount due under the Decree passed by the said Court of Small Causes together with Interest due. You are therefore required to appear and to state your objections if you have any to comply with the prayer contained in the said Petition.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	a	p			1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 12th Augt 1856.	
					Augt 8th.	Augt 8th.	Augt 11th.	"	"	"	Within 5 days of the date	Do.	Septr 3d. Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 2d September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen	
64	A.S. No. 137 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Rajahmundry Notice	Chengalva Nrosimhacharlos of Madras P.P. Respondent Pydo Cheno Venkatasawmy at present of Juggernaickpooram Defendant Appellant	Respondent Chengalva Nrosimhacharlos of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid appellant has filed an appeal Arzee in the Civil Court of Rajahmundry praying that the decision passed on the 30th June 1856 in your favor by the District Moonsiff of Cocanada be reversed. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by endorsement and further to attend the Court to deliver an answer to the appeal Arzee —	Rajahmundry Zillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856				1856		1856 The Honorable The Chief Justice 15th August 1856.	
					Augt 7th.	Augt 6th.	Augt 14th.	"	"	"	Augt 18th.	"	Augt 16th. As the Copy of the notice not having furnished the notice was not served on the Respondent named in the notice and also the place of Residence of the Respondent is not properly described in the notice. Vadanarainen	

65	O.S. No 24 of 1856 on the file of the Mahomedan Judr Ameens Court. Notice	Nattahmy Kristnadanny Chitty of Silkweaver's Peryataroo Street in Combaconum ——— Plaintiff 1. Balasawmy Chitty, Shroff of the Principal Judr Ameens Court Within the Fort of Trichinopoly, 2. His undivided younger brother Balagovrroomoorty Chitty, 3. Veeranen Chitty, living in the North Street of Stree Sereng a pauvey Sawmys Pagoda, called Pareataroo at Combaconum, 4. Vanoo Chitty, living in the house (No 17) situated in front of the Tanah Cutcherry at Mialapoor in Madras. 5. Veng eda Soobboo Chitty, of Pareataroo in Combaconum. Defendants.	4th Defendant Vanoo Chitty. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and 1st, 2d, 3d and 5th Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 1154-8-3, being the Amount of principal and interest due on a debt bond, executed on the 6th Pungoony of Veroadeeredoo or 17th March 1852. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this Notice by endorsement and further to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaintiff.	Com. Vaccoman. Fellah. Head Court	1856 Aug 5 22d	1856 Aug 6 5th	1856 Aug 6 26d	CR 1154.	a/c 8	3.	1856 Sept 30e	Vadanarrainen	1856 Oct 1st	The Honorable The Chief Justice 26th Aug 56. The within named 4th Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majestys Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen
66	Suit No 262 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Notice	1 Cottay Gunga Reddy Son of Varadareddy of Nullamoor attached to the Mettah of Yendattoor a Zaree Zamin in the Carangooly Talook and 2d Bambooly Reddy son of Changa redd of the said Village. Plaintiffs 1 Audyappa Reddy son of Narayanareddy of Seroorumbankum in the said Carangooly Talook, 2 Soobba reddy son of Kistna reddy of Tornadam, 3 Lutchmana Reddy younger Brother of the 2d Defendant living in the said Village, 4 Venkatasa Naicker son of Venkatapathy Naicker and Zamindar of the said Mettah of Yendattoor residing in house No 15 in Venkatasa Naicks Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras, and 5 Arnachelier Moreen of the said Metta living in the said Yendattoor. Defendants	4th Defendant Venkatasa Naicker son of Venkatapathy Naicker and Zamindar of the Mettah of the said Yendattoor residing in house No 15 in Venkatasa Naicks Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the above Plaintiffs have instituted the Suit No 262 of 1856 praying for an award to Cancel the Cowle which had been executed in favor of 1st and 2d Defts regarding the 6 Cawnies of Nunjah Lands which yield a Revenue of Rupees 42 per Annum and which are situated in Nullamoor attached to the Mettah of Yendattoor & to prohibit you and the other Defendants from disturbing the Plaintiffs enjoyment of the said Lands and also to recover from them Plaintiffs Rupees 21 from you and other Defendants being the Cost of Produce. You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Carangooly to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Fellah. Head Court	1856 Augt 23d	1856 Augt 8th	1856 Augt 26th	42	"	"	Do	Within 10 days after service of this Summons or within 15 days after the Cost of Produce being assessed.	1856 Sept 3d	The Honorable The Chief Justice 25 August 1856. Served personally on the within named 4th Defendant on the 2d September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
67	Suit No 220 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly Notice	Teroovenirayoor Kistnasawmy Moodelier son of Venkatachella Moodelier residing in house No 3 in the west line of Pumboolien street at Pedooroonickipettah Madras ——— Plaintiff 1 Vennamy Tangachy annual & younger wife & Executor of Vennamy Appasawmy Moodelier of Aryanoor in the Carangooly Talook, 2 Appavoo Moodely, 3 Ramalinga Moodely & Coolundavalou Moodely Sons of Vennamy Appasawmy Moodelier & 1st Defendant residing in house No 20 in the east line of Vennayaen Annis street at Peddoo munkis pettah Madras, 5 Canacammaul Elder wife of the said Appasawmy Moodelier residing in the said house, 6 Arroonagiry Moodely Son of the said 5th Defendant residing in the said house, and 7 Annamaley Moodely of Aryanoor in the Carangooly Talook. ——— Defendants	3d, 4th, 5th and 6th Defendants Ramalinga Moodely, Coolunday Vaiko Moodely, Canacammaul and Arroonagiry Moodelly all residing in house No 20 in the East line of Venayaen Annistry's street at Peddoo munkis pettah Madras. Take notice that the said Plaintiffs has instituted the said Suit No 220 of 1856 against you & the 1st, 2d & 7th Defendants to recover a bonded Debt of Rupees 262-15-1 including Interest and Penalty of the said Bond which was executed on the 4th February 1856 by the 1st Defendant in favor of the Plaintiff for Rupees 146-6-5. You are therefore required to appear before the District Moonsiffs Court at Carangooly to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do.	1856 Augt 22d	1856 Augt 8th	1856 Augt 26th	262	151	Do.	Do		1856 Sept 12th	The within named 3d, 4th, 5th and 6th Defendants not having been found the Duplicates of the Original Notice and translation were on the 11th September 1856 affixed on the outer wall of their dwelling house. Vadanarrainen

68	Suit No 333 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram Notice	Mootoosawmy Moodaly of Rajampettai attached to Conjeveram — Plaintiff / Mr T Adamson of Madras 2 Venkatasawmy Chetty residing in Bosa Street at Conjeveram 3 Ramanjooloo Chetty living in Calal Godown in the said Village 4 Vadaranjoolo Chetty of Chinna Conjeveram — Defendants	1st Defendant Mr T Adamson a Shop Keeper in the Mount Road Madras. Take notice that Takeel Streenevasagen on behalf of the Plaintiff has brought an Action to recover from you and other Defendants Rupees 595—13—5 being the Principal and Interest due on an adjustment of Sub rent Accounts in the matter of Abkaree Farm you had made in the district of Chinglepat. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by an Endorsement and to appear before the said Court at Chinglepat in Order to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Chinglepat Zillah Civil Court	1856 Augt 28th	1856 Augt 27th	1856 Septr 1st	Rs 595	a 13	p 5	1856 Within 5 days after service of within 15 days from the date of the Order Engd & served.	Vadanarrainen	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th Septr 1856. Septr 9th Served personally on the within named 1st Defdt on the 6th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him but he has refused to affix his signature to the notice. Vadanarrainen
69	O.S.No 233 of 1853 and O.S.No 128 of 1856 now pending before the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameens of Chinglepat Notice	1 Veerasawmy Naick and 2 Balakistnamah Reddy of Noothoocandoor Cundy Taluk Appellants (2 Defendants) 3 Ramanjoolo Naick of Cornalieswaren Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Respondent & Plaintiff	Respondent (Plaintiff) S Ramanjoolo Naick Son of Venkatarayer Naick residing house No 10 in the Yellupa Naick's Street at Cornaliswaren Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the appellants (2 & 3 Defendants) being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by Cundy District Moonsiff in your favor in the said Original Suit appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. You are therefore required to appear before the said Principal Sudr Ameens Court and to file your answer to the said appeal Petition	Chinglepat Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Septr 1st	1856 Augt 23d	1856 Septr 2d	"	"	"	Within 8 days after Service on within 15 days after the Copy of the Petition.	9d	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th Septr 1856. Septr 15th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 12th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
70	O.S.No 437 of 1854 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor and O.S.No 137 of 1856 pending on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. Notice	1 Banpoo rayah 2 Calyana rayah of Ruvoor of Mayer Talook Appellants and Defendants / Mr Adrian D'Silva of Royapooram a Suburb of Madras Respondent. Plff	Respondent Mr Adrian D Silva of Cantloo Coil residing in house No 39 on the east side of the main Road at Royapooram a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the appellants (Defendants) being dissatisfied with Decree passed by Trepassoor District Moonsiff in your favor in the aforesaid Original Suit appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameens for trial. You are therefore required to appear before the said Principal Sudr Ameens Court and to file your answer to the said appeal Petition.	Do.	1856 Septr 9th	1856 Septr 8th	1856 Septr 12th	"	"	"	Do.	Do.	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Septr 1856. Septr 19th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 17th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No 154 of 1855 on the Trepassoor Court file and O.S. No 138 of 1856 pending on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	1 Chengalvaroya Moodily 2 Duvanny Unnai, 3 Rayava Moodely of Ramaya Poor of Poddospalam Talook Appellants / Defendants / Nelloomundy Shunmooga Moodely of Pedoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondent Plaintiff	Respondent Nelloomundy Shunmooga Moodily Son of Ramaswamy Moodily residing in house No 67 in the north side of the Tycoonta Tadeyan Street at Pedoo naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid appellants being dissatisfied with the decree passed by the Trepassoor District Moonsiff in your favor in the Original Suit appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameens for Trial. You are therefore required to appear before the said Principal Sudr Ameens Court and to file your answer to the said appeal Petition.	Do.	1856 Septr 9th	1856 Septr 8th	1856 Septr 12th	"	"	"	Do.	Do.	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Septr 1856 Septr 17th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 16th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice & translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

71	O.S.No 955 of 1854 on the file of the Deputy Collector's Court of Trivellore & O.S. No 142 of 1856 pending before the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Notice	Iswara prucata Ramarayer of Chittavattoo in the Zillah of Cuddapah — Appellant Plaintiff 1 Janlungherry Goshoyee of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras 2 Rayarah Chetty and Janlungherry Goshoyee by his dapet Talook Respondents Defendants	1st Respondent Janlungherry Goshoyee residing in house No 28 in the east side of the Veeraragava Moodelly Street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the Trivellore District Moonsiffin your favor in the Original Suit appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen for trial - You are therefore required to appear before the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen to file your answer to the said appeal Petition	Chinny Appah Pillay Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Sepr 10th	1856 Sepr 10th	1856 Septs 17th	Rs 0 0 " " "	Within 8 days after Service within 15 days from the date of the order being appealed.	Vadanarainen	1856 Oct 2d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 13th Septr 1856. The within named 1st Respondent Janlungherry Goshoyee is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras The Duplicate of the Notice and translation was not affixed to the outer wall of the House described in the Notice, as upon enquiry that the said House in question is not occupied by him, he having gone to Sittarah in the Presidency of Bombay in February last - Vadanarainen		
72	Pauper Application No 5 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Notice	Aybly Candamaul mother and guardian of Narayana Pillay a minor of the Castle of Arcadia — Applicant 1 Narroyana Pillay of the same place, 2 Shadiah Pillay alias Shadagopallien Pillay and 3 Authemoolum Pillay sons of Poodoor attached to the mount road of Madras - Opponents	2d Opponent Shadiah Pillay alias Shadagopallium Pillay residing in No 1 Poodoor attached to the mount road of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid applicant has presented an application in this Court for permission to institute a suit in forma Pauperis against you and the other opponents aforesaid for the recovery of an annual maintenance for herself and her minor sons of Rupees 42, and the value of Certain Ornaments, Rs 100, due jointly by you and the other opponents aforesaid - You are therefore required to attend and to shew Cause why the applicant should not be admitted to institute her suit as a Pauper.	Trichinopoly Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court.	1856 Sepr 16th	1856 Septs 16th	1856 Septs 19th	" " "	1856 October 10th	do	1856 Sepr 29th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19 September 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Opponent on the 26th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarainen		
"	Pauper application No 5 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr ameens Court Notice	do	3d Opponent Authemoolum Pillay residing in No 1 Poodoor attached to the mount road of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid Applicant has presented an application in this Court for permission to institute a suit in forma Pauperis against you, and the other opponents aforesaid for the recovery of an Annual maintenance for herself and her Junior Son of Rupees 42, and the value of Certain Ornaments Rupees 100, due jointly by you and other opponents aforesaid - You are therefore required to attend and shew Cause why the Applicant should not be admitted to institute her suit as Pauper.	do	1856 Sepr 16th	1856 Septs 16th	1856 Septs 19th	" " "	1856 October 10th	do	1856 Sepr 26th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th September 1856. Served personally on the within named 3d Opponent on the 25th September 1856 by the duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the notice - Vadanarainen		
73	Extra No 148 of 1845 on the file of the Supreme Court but now pending in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Notice	Paulavadoo Chengalvaroya Pillay of Pedoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff Narainsawmy Rajah of Calcoor Talook — Defendant	Poor annual D. widow & heiress of the deceased Plaintiff Paulavadoo Chengalvaroya Pillay residing in House No 22 in Andatrappen Street at Pedoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the Vakeel on your behalf has filed a motion stating that he has received from the Defendant who was brought before the Court by a Warrant the sum of Rs 223 - 8 - 0 on Account of the Amount of the Decree due by him - the Defendant moved the Court to pass an Order to add to the said Amount the other sum of 100 Rupees which he had paid to you on a Receipt obtained for the same but your said Vakeel on being asked about the same said that he has already informed you of the case but that no reply has yet been received from you - You are therefore required to appear before the said Principal Sudr ameen and give the necessary information with regard to the said Case and in default of which the Court will without further notice release the Defendant from the Charge	Chinny Appah Pillay Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Sepr 16th	1856 Septs 15th	1856 Septs 19th	" " "	Within 8 days after Service within 8 days from the date on which the Order being appealed.	do	1856 Sepr 26th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 19th September 1856. The within named Poor annual not having been found the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation was on the 24th Septr 1856 affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house - Vadanarainen		

74	O.S. No 698 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Conaud Notice	Thottavey Periasamy Pelley residing at Vasunthraya Pelley street Fort at Trichinapoly Plaintiff Poory Ganaputty Moodeliar employed in Chief Engineers office residing at Veraraogava Moodeliar street, Door No 28 in Triplicane attached to Madras - Defendant	Defendant Poory Ganaputty Moodeliar Defendant residing at Veraraogava Moodeliar Street Door No 28 in Triplicane attached to Madras - Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the Plaintiff against you for the recovery of Rupees 57 Annas 9 both principal and Interest under a Promissory note and Verbal agreement has been admitted and filed in the above No. You are hereby directed to appear and to present an answer -	Trichinopoly Zillah Civil Court	1856 Septr 18th	1856 Septr 5th	1856 Septr 20th	Rs a p 579.	1856 Septr 30th	Vadamaraiven	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 22d September 1856	1856 Septr 29th Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 26th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice being delivered to him - Vadamaraiven
76	R.S No 136 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice	Appoo Nacken residing at Parega poliem — Appellant Moonesawmy Nacken alias Vetta Sawmy Nacken son of Vencatasawmy Nacken of Parega poliem now residing in Vany Coottoo Parthasarathy Naicks house No 9 in Ummen Coul street Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras Respondent	Respondent Moonesawmy Nacken alias Vetta Sawmy Nacken Whereas the Appellant has presented a Petition of Appeal praying for the reversal of a decision passed by the Court of Moosify Sudr Ameen on the 29th March 1856 in Suit No 290 of 1854 - You are hereby required to affese your signature to this notice in proof of it having been duly served on you, and further informed to appear and deliver an answer to the said appeal & Petition -	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 Septr 19th	1856 Septr 19th	1856 Septr 22d	"	"	"	1856 Octr 10th	Do	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 22d September 1856 Octr 1st Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 30th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him personally - Vadamaraiven
76	Pauper application on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Notice	1 Seroopoly Kistnien, 2 Narasseeu, 3 Thavanaigien sons of Nameen residing at the Village Vasundaroo poliam in the Cuddalore Talook Pauper Applicants 1 Rungien, 2 Yalleneigien, 3 Seroopoly Ramanoojam sons of the late Alagapa Chetty 5 Madooraneiga Pillay, 6 Ameeraga Moodeliar Inhabitants of the above Talook & Zemindary Soobaraya Vauthear Residing in house No 14 in Condatier street at Peddoo Naick pettah a Suburb of Madras - Opponents	8th Opponent Aumuthapundaroom residing in house No 14 in Condatier street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Pauper Applicants have presented an application in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Cuddalore against you and other opponents aforesaid for the recovery of the Property within the Jurisdiction of the said Court Viz a Pagoda Called Ramanoojacoola and Poonja Dustrum Garden with trees &c. a Tank, Idol, and Utensils valued at Rupees 2759 - 4 - alleging as their reason for making you a party that a portion of property was sold at Public auction at your instance in Suit No 820 of 1851 on the file of the said Court, they also allege that they possess no other property besides that worth Rs 17 8 - as specified in their Schedule put in Court on Solemn affirmation - You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an objection if any exists to the Applicants being admitted as paupers -	Cuddalore Zillah Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Septr 22d	1856 Septr 22d	1856 Septr 25th	"	"	"	1856 Octr 10th or within 15 days after the receipt of the notice & affixing	Do	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th September 1856. Septr 29th Served personally on the within named 8th Opponent on the 27th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadamaraiven
77	Suit No 712 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Summons	Lutchoomanarayanasreddy alias Lutchoomanarayana Naicker of Nadoor accaray in the Sydapet Talook ——— Plaintiff 1 Seeravoodayammal second wife of Streenevasa Moodely of Chengeer in the Nanguer Talook and 2d Ratna Moodely son of the said Streenevasa Moodely by his first wife ——— Defendants	Witness Narayanasawmy Reddy residing in house No 62 in the North line of the Road street at Egmore a Suburb of Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above Cause - You are hereby required personally to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Ponnamallee.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 Septr 24th	1856 Septr 25th	1856 Septr 25th	"	"	"	1856 October 21st	Do	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th September 1856 Octr 16th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 14th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen
78	Suit No 432 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Bala Kristnien residing in the Ugraharam at Nungumbaukum a Suburb of Madras Plaintiff Vully ammall wife of Annasawmy Moodely residing at Moogappary in the Talook of Sydapet or in Annas Serpan 18 in the North line of Vydenaga Moodelys Street at Chetpet a Suburb of Madras 2 Ratna Moodely son of Appoya Moodely residing in the said House No 17 - Defendants	1st and 2d Defendants Vully ammaul and Ratna Moodely Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rupees 64 being the balance of Account due in the matter of a receipt executed by Annasawmy Moodely first and of the 1st Defendant - The Plaintiff states that the said Annasawmy Moodely died and you enjoy his landed property & are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Do.	1856 Septr 24	1856 Septr 13th	1856 Septr 25	64	"	"	1856 Octr 14th	Do	1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 15th September 1856 Octr 16th The within named 1st & 2d Defendants are not to be found within the Local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. 1st Vadamaraiven

79	Suit No. 66 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Soobramanya Moodly of Carance in the Sydapett Talook ——— Plaintiff Caumatchy Ummal (wife of Dondala Mallia Chetty) who lived about fixed resident at Cosavum pettah near Anna Nayar Talook when the Document in question had been executed & now resides both in the said Cosavum pettah & in house No 1 in the west line of the Street situated north of the Chengang Cadoy at Peddoo Naicks Pettah, Madras, 2 Goorroova ppa Chetty of the said Cosavum pettah — Defendants	1st Defendant Caumatchy Ummall. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you & the 2d Defendant in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for the recovery of Rs 258-4-9 being the amount of balance due in the matter of a Bond. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	1856 Sept 24	1856 Sept 6	1856 Sept 25	Rs 258	as 4	p 9	1856 October 20	Vadanarrainen	1856 Oct 21	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25 Sept 1856. The within named Caumatchy Ummal is not to be found at her place of Residence described in the Notice; but it has been reported that she has gone to Cosaven pettay in the Nauyer Talook in the Zillah of Chingleput. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 569 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Sittary Arronagherry Moodely residing in house No 177 in the East line of Davaraja Moodely's street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras Plaintiff Baskeya Moodely son of the said Plaintiff residing in the said House No 177 of the East line in Davaraja Moodely's Street of the said Peddoo Naicks Pettah — 1st Vencatachella Moodely son of Moottaya Moodely residing in house No 172 in the North line of Vyasarpa Paddy ar street in the said Peddoo Naicks Pettah, 3 Apparow Moodely son of Manaly Tumbeya ppa Moodely residing in house No 254 in the East line at Tondiarpettah a Suburb of Madras ——— Defendants	1st Defendant Baskeya Moodely. Take notice that the said Plff has instituted a Suit against you and the 2 and 3 Defendants to recover Rs 652-10-11 being the Amount of Principal and interest due in the matter of Agreement executed regarding certain advances made by the Plaintiff for the purpose of being cultivated by you 5 Salt pans, 5 by 2d Defendant and 7 by the 3d Defendant, all of which Salt pans are situated at Pooloodevankum in the Nauyer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	1856 Sept 24	1856 Sept 6	1856 Sept 25	Rs 652	a 10	p 11	1856 October 20	Do	1856 Oct 3	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25 September 1856. Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 2d October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 569 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	——— Do ———	2d Defendant Vencatachella Moodely. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the 1st and 3d Defendants to recover Rs 652-10-11 being the Amount of Principal and Interest due in the matter of an Agreement executed regarding certain advances made by the Plaintiff for the purpose of being Cultivated by you 15 Salt pans 5 by 1st Defendant and 7 by 3d Defendant, all of which Salt pans are situated at Pooloodevankum in the Nauyer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	1856 Sept 24	1856 Sept 6	1856 Sept 25	652	10	11	1856 October 20	Do	1856 Oct 3	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25 September 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 2d October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 569 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	——— Do ———	3d Defendant Apparow Moodaly. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the said 1st and 2d Defendants to recover Rs 652-10-11 being the Amount of Principal and Interest due in the matter of an Agreement executed regarding certain advances made by the Plaintiff for the purpose of being cultivated by you, salt pans 5 by the 1st Defendants and 15 by 2d Defendant all of which salt pans are situated at Pooloody vankum in the Nauyer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	1856 Sept 24	1856 Sept 6	1856 Sept 25	Rs 652	a 10	p 11	1856 October 20	Do	1856 Oct 6	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25 September 1856. Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 2d October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No 707 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Moottaya Moodly residing in house No 28 in the west line of Soley street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah Madras Plaintiff Rutnagherry Moodely son of Narainsa Moodely residing in house No 47 in the west line of Soley street near Chengang Cadoy in the said Peddoo naicks Pettah Madras — Defendant	Defendant Rutnagherry Moodaly. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor to recover possession from you of Mudgoozary Varapat Nungelle and Poonjal land in Annuals 17 0 17 1/8 which yield per annum Rs 65-7-10 & which are attached to the share belonging to Plaintiff as the holder of one third of 3 1/4 whole shares of the Village of Mazapey which is common to you and Plaintiff in Nanjy and Punjah in the Nauyer Talook within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and further to recover Village perquisites, Poramboke Lands, which are attached to the said Village share and which yeeld an income of Rupees 7 and also 1/3 portion of a House valued at Rupees 50. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	1856 Sept 24	1856 Sept 6	1856 Sept 25	"	"	"	1856 October 20	Do	1856 Sept 30	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25 September 1856. Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 29th September 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

80 Pauper application No 237 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice

Talumboor Coomaravaumy Moodelly son of Venatachella Moodelly residing in House No 35 in Office Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Applicant

Sadiringum maunmaty wife of Soobaroya Moodelly and Administratrix of the deceased Chetta Seroovangada Moodelly residing in house No 25 in Soobramanya Moodelly's street at Pedda Naiken Petta Madras, 2 Seroovalumnah daughter-in-law of the 1st Opponent and widow of the said Chetta Seroovangada Moodelly residing in the said house- 3 Maunicavalos Moodelly and 4 Singaravelos Moodelly sons of the 1st Opponent residing in the said House- Opponents

1st, 2d, 3d and 4th Opponents Auderumgummah Seroovalumnah, Maneccavalos Moodely and Singaravalos Moodely-

Take notice that the above applicant has presented an application requesting that he might be admitted in forma pauperis in order to recover from you Pankeravan Mabavan Shrothreem Village of Pankeud and its two hamlets Cooppay Nuttum and Paroomunjee situated in the Talook of Pedda Polleur of the District of Chingleput which were granted as Shrothreem to his father and which pay an annual Kist of Rupees 1050 to the Government.

You are therefore required to appear before the said Civil Court of Chingleput and to state your Objections if you have any to the applicant's being admitted to sue in forma Pauperis.

Chingleput Gillah Civil Court

1856 Sept 25 — 1856 Sept 25 — 1856 Sept 27 — Within 10 days after Service or within 15 days after the Return being effected — Vadanarrainen

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 29th Septr 1856-

Octr 1st 2d 3d 4th Served personally on the within named Opponents on the 30th September 1856 by the Duplicates of the Original notice and translation being delivered to them and the 2d Opponent also herein named not having been found the Duplicate of the said notice and translation was on the same day affixed on the outer wall of her dwelling house- Vadanarrainen-

81 Suit No 1072 of 1848 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodyattum Notice

1 Mr M MacDowell residing at Royapooram a Suburb of Madras, 2 Mr Thomas Turner Residing at Veneatagerecotah Plaintiffs

1 Doddagoundoo residing at Bundarlapullay attached to Veneatagerecotah, 2 Malega Naranappah residing at Moodda-napullay attached to Do Defendants

1st Plaintiff Mr M MacDowell residing at Royapooramwa Suburb of Madras-

Take notice that under the Decree passed by the District Moonsiffs Court of Goodyattum in the above Suit, the Sum of Rupees 318-8-10 being the Amount Collected from the 1st Defendant by a writ of Execution including Batta appearing from the Schittah of the said Court to be due to you and the 2d Defendant Mr Thomas Turner you are required to attend and receive the said amount-

Chingleput Gillah Civil Court

1856 Sept 26 — 1856 Sept 24 — 1856 Sept 27 — Rs 318-8-10 — as 8 — 1856 October 14 — do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 29th September 1856.

Octr 2d Served personally on the within named 1st Plaintiff on the 1st October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen

2 A.S No 78 of 1856 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Chittoor Notice

Appapillay of Poromungalam in the Talook of Sheeally Appellant

Mootloos awmen now of Mootleal pettah a Suburb of Madras Respondent

Respondent Tranquebar Mootloosawmien lawful in the house No 4 in the Southern land of Mullacaswaren Court attached to Mootleal pettah a Suburb of Madras-

Take notice that the suit No 451 of 1852 which you instituted in the additional Sudr ameens Court against Appellant for the recovery of Rs 168-2-8 being the amount admitted by the said Appellant as due to you under a Pottah Deed dated 5th may 1852 or 25th Chittery of Paredabee having subsequently been refunded to and filed by the Moonsiff of Sheally in O.S No 23 of 1853 and a Decree having also been passed thereon, the said Appellant has now preferred an Appeal against you for Rupees 855-8-1. You are therefore required to attend for delivering an Answer-

Combaconum Zillah Subordinate Court

1856 Sept 25 — 1856 Sept 24 — 1856 Sept 29 — 20 days — 1856 October 21 — do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 29th Septamber 1856

Octr 10th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 6th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him- Vadanarrainen

Suit No 3363 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff of Trepasoor Notice

Varadiah Chetty residing in house No 11 in the west line of Mundaa Moottayen street at Pedda Naiken Pettah Madras Plaintiff

1 Cony Chetty Potty Chetty who filed as a first respondent in Poolical in the Court whose residence since 2 months is in house No 43 in the west line of Savery wells street at Pedda Naiken pettah Madras, 2 Venatasawmy Chetty son of the said Indemnal residing in the said house and 3 Ramayummal of the said Poolical Defendants

Defendants 1st and 2d Cony Chetty Potty Chetty and Venatasawmy Chetty-

Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and 3 Defendant for the Recovery of Rupees 26 — " — " due in the Matter of adjustment of accounts. You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.

Chingleput Gillah Civil Court

1856 Sept 26th — 1856 Sept 24th — 1856 Sept 29th — 20 — " — 1856 October 21st — do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 29th September 1856

Octr 6th Served personally on the within named 1st and 2d Defendants on the 4th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to them personally- Vadanarrainen

83	Suit No 364 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiff's Court of Tripassoor Notice	Verdiah Chetty residing in house No 10 in the west line of Venkatamoottyen street at Peddoo Naick's pettah Madras Plaintiff Cony Chetty Potty Chetty, who lived as a fenial resident in Pulicat in the Nawyen Talook Zillah Chingleput but now resides since two months in house No 43 in the west line of the Seven wells street at Peddoo Naick's pettah Madras 2. Venkatasawmy Chetty son of the said individual residing in the said house and 3 Ramanjulumaul Defendants	Defendants 1st and 2. Cony Chetty Potty Chetty and Venkatasawmy Chetty. Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and 3. Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 17-8-6 due in the matter of an adjustment of Accounts - You are therefore required to acknowledge the receipt of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	Chingleput Zillah Civil Court	1856 Sept 26th	1856 Sept 20th	1856 Sept 29th	Rs 17	a 8	p 6	1856 Octr 21st	Vadanarrainen	1856 Oct 6th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 29th Septr 1856 Served personally on the within named 1st and 2d Defendants on the 4th October 1856 by the Duplicates of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to them - Vadanarrainen
84	O.S. No 6 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Carangooly and A.S. No 39 of 1856 in the Princ. Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Venkatasa Naick of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Appellant & Plaintiff Ragava Reddy & others of Vendattoor Carangooly Talook Respondents & Defts	Appellant & Plaintiff Venkatasa Naick Son of Venkatapaty Naick Zamindar of the Mettah of Vendattoor residing in house No 15 in Venkatasa Naicks street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid 1st Respondent being dissatisfied with the Decree in appeal Suit passed by the Princ. Sudr Ameens Court in your favor presented to the said Court a Special appeal Petition which has been forwarded to the Court of Sudr Udalut - You are therefore required to appear before the Court of Sudr Udalut and to state your objections if any -	Chingleput Zillah & Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Octr 3d	1856 Octr 3d	1856 Octr 6th	Rs "	a "	p "		Returned & Mr Jeffreys for service on the within defendants from the Clerk of the City Court of Madras	1856 Oct 21st	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 6 October 1856 Served personally on the within named Appellant on the 18th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No 8 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Carangooly & A.S. No 41 of 1856 in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Venkatasa Naick of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Appellant & Plaintiff Ramasawmy Reddy of Vendattoor Carangooly Talook - Respondent & Defendant	Appellant Plaintiff Venkatasa Naick Son of Venkatapathy Naick Zamindar of the Mettah of Vendattoor residing in house No 15 in the Venkatasa Naicks street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid Respondent being dissatisfied with the Decree in appeal Suit passed by the Principal Sudr Ameens Court in your favor presented to the said Court a Special appeal Petition which has been forwarded to the Court of Sudr Udalut - You are therefore required to appear before the Court of Sudr Udalut and State your objections if any -	Do	1856 Octr 3d	1856 Octr 3d	1856 Octr 6th	Rs "	a "	p "	Do	Do	1856 Oct 21st	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 6th October 1856. Served personally on the within named Appellant on the 18th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No 9 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Carangooly & A.S. No 42 of 1856 in the Princ. Sudr Ameens Court Notice	Venkatasa Naick of Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Appellant & Plaintiff Naicpoody Ramasawmy Reddy & his brother Angooloo Reddy of Vendattoor Carangooly Talook Respondent & Defendants	Appellant Plaintiff Venkatasa Naick son of Venkatapathy Naick Zamindar of the Mettah of Vendattoor residing in house No 16 in the Venkatasa Naicks street at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Take notice that the aforesaid 2. Respondent being dissatisfied with the Decree passed in Appeal Suit by the Princ. Sudr Ameens Court in your favor presented to the said Court a Special appeal Petition which has been forwarded to the Court of Sudr Udalut - You are therefore required to appear before the Court of Sudr Udalut and State your objections if any -	Do	1856 Octr 3d	1856 Octr 3d	1856 Octr 6th	Rs "	a "	p "	Do	Do	1856 Oct 21st	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 6th October 1856. Served personally on the within named Appellant on the 18th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him - Vadanarrainen

85 | O.S.No. 20/1856 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Bellary. Notice | Seelavanta Kinree Busapatla of Bellary — Plaintiff. Vs. Vanoo Lutchmeetally of Cowel Bazar of Bellary. 2 Vanoo Narrainsawmy now of Pedda Mettoo a Suburb of Madras — Defendants. 1 Vanoo Vuttee Letty of Vallagaty pettah Talook of Cdvery Pauk. Pillah Chetty. 2 Vanoo Kistna Sawmy Letty of Pedda mettoo a Suburb of Madras. 3 Ramasawmy Letty of Vallagaty pettah — Supplemental Defendant

2d Supplemental Defendant Vanoo Kristnasawmy Letty residing at Pedda Mettoo a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the Subordinate Court against you and the other Defendants aforenamed for the recovery of Rupees 4906-12-6 four thousand and nine hundred and six twelve and pies six an account of Certain transactions and Hoondies. You are therefore requried to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Bellary Subordinate Court

1856 | 1856 | Rs a p | 1856 | 1856 | The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th October 1856

Vadanarrainen

Octr | Octr | Novr
27 | D. 6th | 3d

October 30th Served personally on the within named 2d Supplemental Defendant on the 29th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

86 | O.S.No. 1654 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore. Notice | Seenivassa Reddy of Karrempoottoor at Pondicherry — Plaintiff. Vs. 1 Thunga Arunal of Teroocandeesoorum in the Talook of Triwady District 2. Ambalavana Pillay Broker in the Timber yard of Tranquebar Soobbier residing in the Supreme Court Street at Madras. 3 Comarasawmy Pillay residing South of the said Ambalavana Pillay's house 4. Vengoo Chetty of Nellicoopum in the Triwady District. 5 Durumi Reddy of Teroocandeesoorum — Defendants

2. Defendant Ambalavana Pillay Elder Undivided brother of 1st Defendants husband Anna Pillay alias Kylasa Pillay of Teroocandeesoorum in the Talook of Triwady, but now living south of the Madras Supreme Court street and doing business as a broker in the Timber yard of Tranquebar Soobbier at Madras.

Take notice that a Suit was instituted against you, and the other Defendants in this Court for the recovery of Rupees 208-8-0 with Interest due on a bond dated 15th Iveye of the year Sarvary or 24th January 1841 mortgaging Certain Nunjah Land, Thatched house & ground situated in Teroocandeesoorum and Coody Thanghy in the Talook of Triwady within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court and You are therefore to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Cuddalore Civil Court

1856 | 1856 | 1856 | Rs a p | 1856 | 1856 | The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 8th October 1856.

Octr | Sept/Octr | October | Octr
4th | 20th | 7th | 208 8 0 | 20th | Do | 21st | As the place of residence of the within named 2d Defendant is not properly described in the Notice & the service of the said Notice is not effected.

Vadanarrainen

OS No. 1654 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore. Notice | Do

3d Defendant Coomarasawmy Pillay the undivided nephew of 1st & 2d Defendants husband Anna Pillay alias Kylasa Pillay of Teroocandeesoorum in the Talook of Triwady but now residing in the Madras Supreme Court street South of the House of Ambalavana Pillay Broker in the Timber yard of Tranquebar Soobbier at Madras.

Take notice that a Suit was instituted against you and the other Defendants in the said District Moonsiffs Court for the recovery of Rupees 208-8-0 with Interest due on a bond dated 15th Iveye of the year Sarvary or 24th January 1841 mortgaging Certain Nunjah Land, thatched House and Ground situated in Teroocandeesoorum and Coody Thanghy in the Talook of Triwady within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court; You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer.

Do

1856 | 1856 | 1856 | Rs a p | 1856 | 1856 | The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 8th October 1856.

Octr | Sept/Octr | October | Octr
4th | 20th | 7th | 208 8 0 | 20th | Do | 21st | As the place of residence of the within named 3d Defendant is not properly described in the Notice & the Service of the said Notice is not effected.

Vadanarrainen

87 | O.S.No. 836 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Cuddalore. Notice | Iyah Pillay. Manager of Sambooas Varum Pagoda residing at Manacknallay in Lalgoody Talook Pillah Trichinopoly — Plaintiff. Vs. 1 Soobaroy Pillay residing at Sooddlur Sholay in the Lalgoody Talook Pillah Trichinopoly. 2 Makadaley Ramasawmy now residing at Makadaley Ramasawmy Iyer a Writer in the Commissary Generals Office near Kristnasawmy Pagoda at Cavalacara street in Mootkal pettah attached to Madras. 3 Kristnier residing at Chittanatho in Streerungum Taluk Trichinopoly. 4 Paulos Moodelly residing at Nauder street Fort at Trichinopoly — Defendants

2. Defendant Makadaley Veeraganoomier now residing in the house of Makadaley Ramasawmy Iyer a Writer in the Commissary General's near Kristnasawmy Pagoda at Cavalacara street in Mootkal pettah attached to Madras.

Whereas the Original Suit preferred by the Plaintiff against you and the 1st 3d & 4th Defendants for the Recovery of Rs 408-14-4 both principal and equal interest due under an account Current have been admitted and filed in the above Court. You are hereby directed to appear and present an Answer.

Trichinopoly District Court

Within 3 Months from date

1856 | 1856 | 1856 | Rs a p | 1856 | 1856 | The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 11th October 1856.

Octr | Sept | Octr
11th | 18th | 13th | 408 14 4 | Do | 17th | Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 16th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the original Notice being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

88 | O.S.No.337 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Shealy. Notice | Aurooroogal Moodelaar of Sellumcoopum in Cuddalore Talook in the Zillah of Cuddalore Plaintiff | 1st Defendant Goondoo Soobba Pilley alias Neyapatam Soobba Pilley living in the House No.83 in the street of Yek Ratta Coil or Pagoda in Pettoo Narekeny Pettah at Madras. 2 Cumaspah Somasoondara Moodely living in the street of Comera Coil or Pagoda Cuddalore in the Zillah of Cuddalore Defendants | Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted this Suit against you and the 2d Defendant for the Release from attachment of certain Mulgoozary Land at Mahandra Pally Pillaig within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Shealy. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint. | Coulacoonum Zillah Civil Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

Octr 10th Septr 25th Octr 14th 328 12 0 Novr 10th

Vadamarcaien

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 14th October 1856.

Octr 18th Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 17th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadamarcaien

89 | A.S.No.172 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court Notice | Nooppo Moonesawmy Residing at Vadamala in the Talook of Tarvanaivanum attached to Cauvalmuggar Zamindary in the Zillah of Chittoor Appellant | Respondent Chadoovalapaty Sashiah a Pensioned Sepoy residing in the house door No.29 in Cuppul Polpa Chetty's street near the Company's Market a Suburb of Madras.

Chadoovalapaty Sashiah &c residing in the house Door No.29 in Cuppul Polar Chetty's street near the Company's Market a Suburb of Madras Respondent

Take notice that the appellant aforesaid being dissatisfied with the Decree passed on the 6th July 1856, by the District Moonsiffs of Cholamgur in O.S. No.382 of 1855 which was instituted by you for the recovery from the Defendants of Gold and Silver Ornaments valued at Rupees 299 which were decided by a Punchayet assembled at Tandaw on the 9th August 1854 to be carefully secured and delivered over to your charge for the performance of the marriage of Gahakummah the daughter of the deceased Anantah Subedar, Adjudging the payment to you of the Sum of Rupees 146. had preferred an appeal to this Court a praying for a reversal of the above Decree. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an Answer to the appeal Petition.

Chittoor Zillah Civil Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

Octr 20th Octr 20th Octr 24th " " " Novr 20th Do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 21st October 1856

Octr 30th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 28th October 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadamarcaien

90 | O.S.No.892 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Talumgaman Notice | Coopa Annual in the Service of Pondicherry Dobbaroyer of Gramam Appahgrahram in Cuddalore Coubgeenum Plaintiff | 1st Defendant Malapoody Soodersana Ausary alias Suckarapany Iyengar. 2 Renga Aiyen Iyengar Do Do 3 Veeranachelle Iyen Wife of Sungara Iyen of Cuddaloogara Coorum in the Talumgaman Talook 4 Chinnapa Podien Do Do 5 Appah Pilley Do Do 6 Kylasakulaveen Nain of Malapoody in Talumgaman Talook, Soobba naicken Do Do Defendants | Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the said District Moonsiffs Court against you and the other Defendants for the Recovery of Rupees 393-12-1 being the balance after deducting payments from the principal and Interest of the Bill of Sale executed to him on the 3d Vyasee of Sowmeah or 24th May 1849 by you and Dollattars or Co parceners on the 1/2 out of the 3/4 Karay of Land in the Village of Malapoody within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court which is registered in your name You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by Endorsement and further to attend to give answer after taking a copy of the Plaint.

Coulacoonum Zillah Civil Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p

Octr 20th Octr 4th Octr 23d 393 12 1

Within 20 days after Service

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th October 1856.

Novr 15th Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 14th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadamarcaien

O.S.No.892 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Talumgaman Notice | Do | 2d Defendant Rengasamy Iyengar. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the said District Moonsiffs Court against you and the other Defendants for the recovery of Rs 393-12-1 being the balance after deducting payments from the principal and Interest of the Bill of Sale executed to him on the 3d Vyasee of Sowmeah or 24th May 1849 by the 1st Defendant and "Collattars" or Co-parceners on the half out of the 3/4 Karay of Land in the Village of Malapoody within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court which is registered in the 1st Defendants name. You are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of this notice by Endorsement and further to attend to give Answer after taking a copy of the Plaint. | Do

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p

Octr 20th Octr 4th Octr 23d 393 12 1 Do Do

1836 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 24th October 1856.

Novr 26th Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 22 November 1836 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice.

Vadamarcaien

91. A.S. No 171 of 1856 pending on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court. Notice

Cundasawmy Pillay of Chitlapauk & Sydapet Taluk (Plaintiff) Appellant

Vs

Apparow Pillay alias Soobaroya Pillay of Conalis-varum Coil & Suburb of Madras Respondent (Deft)

Respondent (Defendant) Apparow Pillay alias Soobaroya Pillay son of Saravana Pillay formerly resident of Chintadry Pettah now residing in House No 4 in Chendrabanoo's Street north to the Conaleswarum Coil a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that the appellant being dissatisfied with the Decree passed by the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor in O.S. No 25 of 1855 in your favor appealed therefrom in the Civil Court and the appeal Petition has been referred to the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court for investigation. You are therefore required to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameen at Chingleput and file an answer to the said Appeal Petition.

Chingleput Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen's Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p

Nov Octr Novr

1st 31st 3d " " "

Written 8 days after service in either 15 days before the Court of the Notice being affixed.

Vadanarrainen

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th November 1856

Nov 10th Served personally on the within named Respondent on the 8th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

Pauper

92 O.S. No 1 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Cuddalore Notice

Sashachella Naick guardian and Uncle of Veerasawmy Naick 16 years old adopted Son of Balakistna Naick of Trepapaloor in the Taluk of Cuddalore. Pauper Plaintiff

1 Soyemmal, 2 Davanayaga Naick 3 Rengasawmy Naick 4 Venatachery naick 5 Coopoosawmy Naick, 6 Moothia naick of the said Village, 7 Panjammal of the Bungalapauliam in the said Taluk 8 Venkatrama Reddy of Puraicheroo Jemm in the French Jurisdiction, 9 Trichinopoly Rungiah naick late of Trepapaloor but now living in the house No 15 of Lalpettah Bundar Venatasa Naick at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras, 10 Ramalinga Pillay of Cuddalore, 11 Cowarapa Moodly of Brook-pettah in the above Taluk 12 Varavana-peroomall Pillay nephew of Coolasagara-sultanna Sawmynada Pillay, 13 Maroorda Pillay his Agent, 14 Moothoosawmy Pillay, 15 Chinnathumbee Pillay of Portonovo, 16 Paracherry Trepapaloor in the Cuddalore Taluk 17 Sawmynada Pillay of Coolasagara-sultanna Defendants

9th Defendant Trichinopoly Rungiah Naick late of Trepapaloor but now living in the house (No 15) of Lalpettah Bundar Venkatasa Naick in the street of Venkatasa Naick at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras.

Take notice that Sashachella Naick guardian of Veerasawmy Naick has instituted the above suit in the said Civil Court for the Recovery from Defendants one to Eight and from 10 to 17 of property worth Rupees 46.5.14-11.7 belonging to the late Balakistna Naick & situated at Trepapaloor and other Villages within the Jurisdiction of the said Court and against you for the Cancelment of the Mortgage of a house valued at Rupees 1600. as well as 27/16 Cawny of Choultry Manyam land paying an annual assessment of Rupees 192-8-0 also situated at Trepapaloor within the Jurisdiction of the said Court said to be held by you from the first Defendant you are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of this Notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Cuddalore Zillah Civil Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

Octr Octr Novr Novr

30th 30th 3d 46.5.14-11.7 29th Do

Dec 2d The within named Trichinopoly Rungiah Naick 9th Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Vadanarrainen

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 4th November 1856.

93 Suit No 825 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice

Coopsunmah alias Chellummah of Seniyem in the Sydapet Taluk Plaintiff

1 Ragavacharrer of the said Seniyem, 2 Pohna Buttlen Residing in House No 11 in the north line of Chundans Naick Street at Chingeconum near Soolay a Suburb of Madras, 3 Damodara Moodely and 4 Mr Thomas Watkins of the said Village of Seniyem Defendants

2d Defendant Pohna Puttlen.

Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and the 3. & 4th Defendants stating that she obtained as Stredahnum or Dowry 5/31 Cawny of Poonjah Land valued at Rupees 35 belonging to 1st Defendant in the Village of Seniyem within the limits of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor, as well as trees, a well &c situated in the land, but as the same are enjoyed by 3d Deft on the plea that you fraudulently sold them to him, she prays to recover possession of the said land together with the trees &c situated therein. You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by Endorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.

Chingleput Zillah Civil Court

1856 1856 1856 Rs a p 1856

Novr Octr Novr Novr

6th 31st 7th 35 " " 28th Do

1856 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7th November 1856.

Nov 17th Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 15th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him.

Vadanarrainen

94	Suit No. 401 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Seven	Sabapathy Moodely & 2 Vallvo Moodely of Chavumbadoo in the Nayer Talook Plaintiffs Samooddrum mauly, 2 do Soobarya Moodely, 3 Tengavelo Moodely and 4 Cundasawmy Moodely of the said Village Defendants	Plaintiffs 1st Witness C. Narasimma Moodaly Son of Venkatachella Moodely residing in house No. 6 in the west line of Vydeenada Moodaly's Street at Poodoo Naick's pettah Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the above Cause, you are hereby required personally to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee.	Sangapatal Zillah Civil Court	1856 Nov 5th	1856 Octr 31st	1856 Novr 7th	Rs "	a "	p "	1856 Novr 28th	Vadanarrainen	1856 Novr 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7th November 1856. Served personally on the within named 1st Witness on the 11th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	Suit No. 401 of 1855 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Seven	do	Plaintiffs 2d Witness Sydapoorum Connapaty Moodly Son of Syasawmy Moodely residing in house No. 18 in the west Line of Vydeenada Moodaly's Street at Poodoo Naick's pettah Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to give evidence on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the above Cause. You are hereby required personally to appear before the said District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor at Poonamallee.	do	1856 Nov 5th	1856 Octr 31st	1856 Novr 7th	Rs "	a "	p "	1856 Novr 28th	do	1856 Novr 12th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7th November 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Witness on the 11th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
95	O.S. No. 333 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Vizagapatam Notice	Hurredass Venkobyenmah Plaintiff v Tolacallah Ramaswamy Moodely, 2d do Lutchmana Moodely, 3d do Kapawa Moodely, their mother and Guardian Poonamaya alias Poonamana Defendants	Defendants 1 Tolacallah Ramaswamy Moodely, 2d Lutchmanah Moodely, 3d Kapawa Moodely, 4th Vasoodava Moodely being minors their mother and Guardian 5 Poonamaya alias Poonamanamah residing in Rosse naides pettah at Madras. Take notice that your Pleader V. Venkiah has declined to produce Exhibits on your behalf to prove the points recorded in this Suit under the plea of want of funds and has requested permission to throw up his Vakalutnamah. You are therefore required to attend Court to present Exhibits.	Vizagapatam Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Octr 31st	1856 Octr 24th	1856 Novr 10th	Rs "	a "	p "	1856 Novr 25th	do	1856 Novr 15th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th November 1856. Served personally on the within named 5th Defendant on the 14th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to her. Vadanarrainen
96	O.S. No. 635 of 1855 on the Court of the District Moonsiff of Angole Notice	Gurrum Venkatasum of Angole Plaintiff v 1 Bommisetty Ramanna, 2 Salaya, 3 Padmanabhudu, 4 Virabhadrudu Sons of the 1st of the same place Defendants 5 Narsimha son of the 1st Defendant now of Peddi Naick pettah a Suburb of Madras Supplemental Defendant	Supplemental Defendant Bommisetty Narsimha residing in No. 163 Peddi Naick pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court of Angole against you, and the other Defts the Principal and Interest due upon a Mortgage Bond to the Plaintiff by the 3d Defendant in the name of the 1st Defendant on the 7th Pushya Suddhum of the year Sarvadarie at Angole a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint.	Sellore Zillah Civil Court	1856 Nov 7th	1856 Octr 21st	1856 Novr 10th	Rs 300	a "	p "	1856 Novr 21st	do	1856 Novr 22d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th November 1856. The Notice was not served on the within named Supplemental Defendant, as the Copy of the said Notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No. 636 of 1855 in the Court of the Districtmoonsiff of Angole Notice	do	Supplemental Defendant Bommisetty Narsimha residing in No. 163 Peddi Naick pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court of Angole against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rupees 540-2-7 being the Principal and Interest due on a Bond executed to the Plaintiff for Rs 500 in the name of the 1st Defendant on the 19th day of August 1854 corresponding with the 11th Sravana Bhahula of the year Ainmda at Angole a village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said Court. You are therefore required to attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	do	1856 Nov 7th	1856 Octr 21st	1856 Novr 10th	Rs 540	a 7	p 7	1856 Novr 21st	do	1856 Novr 22d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th November 1856. The Notice was not served on the within named Supplemental Defendant, as the Copy of the said Notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S. No. 637 of 1855 in the Court of the District Moonsiff of Angole Notice	Navalyre Venkatarayadu Patkhare in the Talook of Palakarole Davagoodoo Ranga, 1 Bommisetty Ramanna, 2 Salaya, 3 Padmanabhudu, 4 Veerbhadrudu Sons of the 1st of Angole Defendants, 5 Narsimha son of the 1st Defendant Supplemental	Supplemental Defendant B. Narsimha residing in No. 163 Peddi Naick pettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the other Defendants aforesaid for the recovery of Rs 101-6-11 due after deducting payments on a bond executed to him by the 1st Defendant on the 5th Jestah Bahula of the year Sadharana at Angole a Village situated within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an answer to the Plaint.	do	1856 Nov 7th	1856 Octr 21st	1856 Octr 10th	Rs 101	a 6	p 11	1856 Novr 21st	do	1856 Novr 22d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11th November 1856. The Notice was not served on the within named Supplemental Defendant, as the Copy of the said Notice not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen

96	O.S.No.635 of 1855 in the Court of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Proclamation	Cumum Venkatsem of Ongole 1 Bommatetti Ramanna, 2 Sataya, 3 Padmanabhudu, 4 Virabhadrudu Sons of the 1st of the same place Defendants 5 Narisimha son of the 1st Deft now of Peddanaickpettah a Suburb of Madras. Supplemental Deft	Supplemental Defendant B Narisimah residing in No 163 Peddi naick pettah a Suburb of Madras. You are informed that the notice issued to you having been returned unserved - You are now required to appear and present your answer or this suit will be decided without your answer &c-	Nellore Gillads Civil Court	1856 Nov 7	1856 Octr 7th	1856 Nov 10	Rs "	a "	Withheld 15 days after the Proclamation was affixed	Vadanarrainen	1856 Nov 22d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 10th Novr 1856. The Proclamation was not affixed to the outer Door of the dwelling house of the Supplemental Defendant therein named, as the Copy of the said Proclamation not having been furnished for the purpose of being affixed at his house. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.636 of 1855 in the Court of the District Moonsiffs Court of Ongole Proclamation	Do	Supplemental Defendant B Narisimha residing in No 163 Peddi naick pettah a Suburb of Madras You are informed that the notice issued to you having been returned unserved - You are now required to appear and present your answer or this suit will be decided without your answer	Do	1856 Nov 7	1856 Octr 7th	1856 Nov 10	Rs "	a "	Do	Do	1856 Nov 22d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11 Novr 1856. The Proclamation was not affixed to the outer Door of the dwelling house of the Supplemental Defendant therein named, as the Copy of the said Proclamation not having been furnished for the purpose of being affixed at his house. Vadanarrainen
"	O.S.No.637 of 1855 in the Court of the District Moonsiffs of Ongole Proclamation	Naruluri Venkatroyadu of Pellur in the Taluk of Palcherla Durgapadoo Plaintiff Bommatetti Ramanna, 2 Sataya, 3 Padmanabhudu, 4 Virabhadrudu Sons of Stat. of Ongole Defendants 5 Narisimha son of the 1st Defdt now of Peddi naickpettah a Suburb of Madras. Supplemental Defendant	Supplemental Defendant B Narisimha residing in No 163 Pedde naick Pettah a Suburb of Madras. You are informed that the notice issued to you having been returned unserved - You now required to appear and present your answer or the suit will be decided without your answer &c	Do	1856 Nov 7	1856 Octr 7th	1856 Nov 10	"	"	Do	Do	1856 Nov 22d	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 11 Nov 1856. The Proclamation was not affixed to the outer Door of the dwelling house of the Supplemental Defendant therein named, as the Copy of the said Proclamation not having been furnished for the purpose of being affixed at his house. Vadanarrainen
97	Suit No 740 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor Notice	Pagavo Moodaly of Cunnigapatti in the Pareyapanticum Taluk Plaintiff Sevaraum Soobbaroya Moodaly Residing in house No 67 belonging to Mundy Ramasawmy Moodaly in Sudyapoo of Pick street at Peddoo naickenpettah Madras Defendant	Defendant Seevaraum Soobbaroya Moodaly - Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you stating that regarding the decree Amount due by you as Plaintiff in Suit No 232 of 1853 the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor you executed a receipt to him (Plaintiff) who was Defendant in the said Suit notwithstanding caused the Amount to be realized - As the Amount is in deposit in the said District Moonsiffs Court, the Plaintiff objects to the Payment of the same to you, and Prays to recover Rupees 99 10 10 the Amount including interest due on the said receipt - Your are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint -	Chingleput Gillads Civil Court	1856 Nov 12th	1856 Nov 5th	1856 Nov 14th	Rs 99	a p 10 11	1856 December 4th	Do	1856 Nov 20th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 14th November 1856. Served personally on the within named Defendant on the 19th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him Vadanarrainen
98	O.S.No.745 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum Notice	Surakmoodoo Iyengar a Sarvabhauma in the Taluk of Vauly condah poorum of Moattadaroo in Cuckbah Combaconum now living in Paroomboliyoor of Vauligondah poorum Taluk in the District of Tuckinopoly Plaintiff 1 Chuckrapany Auchariar alias Soodursna Auchariar, 2 His Brother Rengah Chariar Sons of Ramabrahmoyah Chariar of Abrachariar street at Combaconum now living in the house (No 5) of Parthasarathy Iyengar in the North street of the Pagoda of Parthasarathy at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras - Defendants	1st Defendant Chuckrapany Auchariar alias Soodursna Auchariar - Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the 2d Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 366 - 3 - 2 being the principal and Interest due on a bond executed by you, both for Rupees 200 on the 6th October 1849 mortgaging to him a tiled house belonging to you in the Easbah of Combaconum within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum - You are therefore required to acknowledge the service of this notice by indorsement and further attend to deliver an answer to the Plaint -	Combaconum Gillads Civil Court	1856 Nov 13th	1856 Octr 31st	1856 Nov 17th	Rs 366	a p 3 2	1856 Nov 30th	Do	1856 Nov 26th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 18 November 1856 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 22d November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him Vadanarrainen

98	O.S.No 745 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum Notice	Sura Chundra Iyengar a Smartha Brahmin of Tanjore & Talook = Inhabitant of Mutthoorava in Cumbakonum = Professor of Vaidyacunda Sastrum = Talook & District of Tinchinopoly Plaintiff	2d Defendant Rengah Charear. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and the 1st Defendant for the recovery of Rupees 366-3-2 being the principal and Interest due on a Bond executed by you both for Rs 200 on the 6th October 1855 mortgaging to him a tiled house belonging to you, in the Cumbah Combaconum within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum. You are therefore required to acknowledge the Service of this Notice by endorsement and further to attend to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	1856 Nov 13th	1856 Oct 31st	1856 Nov 17th	Rs 366	a 3	p 2	1856 November 30th	Vadanarrainen	1856 Nov 26th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 18th November 1856 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 22d November 1856 by the duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice Vadanarrainen
99	Notice issued by the Superintendent of Police at Bangalore	Canagaroya Moodelliar a partner of the late Firm of Thopay Moodr and Canagaroya Moodr & Co now residing at Madras. Referring to your letter dated 6th March 1856 and to a Kadar purporting to be signed by you authorizing one Thopay Moodr here to dispose of Certain Articles belonging to the late Transit Firm of Thopay Moodr and Canagaroy Moodr & Co. You are hereby directed to appear personally or by duly Constituted Attorney before the Police Court at Bangalore to prosecute any Claim, Connected therewith, you may have against Thopay Moodr or others, failing which the Money now in deposit in the said Court Viz. Rupees 600 Six hundred recovered from Venkatasoobboo Moodr will be paid to the said Thopay Moodr.	1856 Nov 15th	1856 Nov 14th	1856 Nov 17th	Rs 600	a "	p "	1856 Dec 16th	Do	1856 Nov 27th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 18th November 1856 Served personally on the within named Canagaroya Moodelliar on the 25th November 1856 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
100	O.S.No 668 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Chittoor Notice	Mauttoocara Chinna Moonen Chetty of Oil monger Caste a Merchant and Resident of Chittoor Plaintiff	Defendant Venkatalechmanmal. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has through his Vakeel Appasawmyer filed a Suit against you and the 2d Defendant Moonsyen Venkatasawmy and others (in number) praying that a Decree should be passed adjudging that the Nunjah and Bunjah Lands forming a Teeruvah of Rs 3 1 4 which belongs to you, situated at Cattanmeckn in the Talook and Zillah of Chittoor and which was mortgaged by you to the Plaintiff for Rupees 60 under a Bond dated 5th February 1852 should be given up to him or that the amount of the Bond Rs 60 and and Interest Rupees 33 13 15 Total Rs 93-13-5 paid to him- deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	1856 Nov 18th	1856 Nov 13th	1856 Nov 20th	Rs 93	a 13	p 5	1856 Dec 8th	Do	1856 Dec 1st	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 21st Nov 1856 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 28th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
101	O.S.No 938 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum Notice	Punchanada Iyen of Vistrumpettah in Trivady Talook Plaintiff 1 Krishna Iyen of Runganadapooram snuff Seller son of the deceased Venkatarama Iyen living in the house of Appah Royer a relative of Aurangzeb Doory in the Saulay Street north of Adputtah Pillayar Covil Pagoda within the Fort of Madras 2 His younger brother) Narayana Iyen Do 3 Do 3 Subba Iyen & Krishna Iyen 5 Doraisawmy Iyen sons of the deceased Rangoo Iyen of Nammun in Trivady Talook 6 (Their Elder Paternal uncles wife) Sellammaul Do Do Defts	1st Defendant Krishna Iyen of Renganadapooram Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you and other Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 124-4-0 principal & equal interest due on a mortgage Bond executed to him on the 20th Vaishee of Yavelumbee or 31st May 1837 by your late father for Rupees 62-2-0 upon his western 1/2 Caray of Nunja land Called Madattal at Ragoonadapooram in Trivady Talook within the jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trivady in the Zillah of Combaconum. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint.	1856 Nov 20th	1856 Nov 18th	1856 Nov 25th	Rs 124	a 4	p 0	1856 Dec 30th	Do	1856 Nov 28th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Nov 1856 Served personally on the within named 1st Defendant on the 27th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice Vadanarrainen
	O.S.No 938 of 1856 on the file of the District Moonsiffs Court of Combaconum Notice	Do	2d Deft Narayana Iyen. Take notice that the aforesaid Plaintiff has instituted a Suit against you & the other Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 124-4-0 principal and equal interest due on a mortgage bond executed to him on the 20th Vaishee of Yavelumbee or 31 May 1837 by your late father for Rupees 62-2-0 upon his western 1/2 Caray of Nunjah land called Mandattal at Rengoonadapooram in Trivady Talook within the Jurisdiction of the District Moonsiffs Court of Trivady in the Zillah of Combaconum. You are therefore required to attend and to deliver an Answer to the Plaint	1856 Nov 20th	1856 Nov 11th	1856 Nov 25th	Rs 124	a 4	p 0	1856 Dec 30th	Do	1856 Nov 28th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 25th Nov 1856. Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 27th November 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him but that he has refused to affix his signature to the Notice Vadanarrainen

102	A.S.No. 76 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Nellore. Notice	Mahammed Karimuddin Saheb of Nellore - Appellant Mohamed Fariduddin Saheb residing in Setti Tota attached to Triplicane at Madras - Respondent	Respondent Mohammed Fariduddin Saheb residing in Setti Tota attached to Triplicane at Madras. Take notice that the aforesaid Appellant has prepared an appeal praying the reversal of the decision passed by the principal Sudder Ameen on the 25 June 1856 in Original Suit No. 224 of 1848 which was brought by you against the Appellant and the Original other Defendants for the recovery of Rs. 295-12-- being a moiety of the family property situated at Nellore within the Jurisdiction of the Civil Court at Nellore and Judging the Appellant to make over to you houses vacant ground well grain &c. to the value of 119-7-6 or their value out of the Amount claimed. You are therefore required to defend the Cause	Nellore Zillah Civil Court	1856	1856	1856	Rs	as	p	1856	Vadanarrainen	1856	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th Nov. 1856						

106	Suit No. 847 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor Notice	Soobbaroya Moodaly sons of Calatty appa Moodaly and Zemindar of Caringaly Village residing in house No. 70 in Calattiya appa Moodaly's street at Poodoopettah near Poorsabankum a Suburb of Madras — Plaintiff Mr. Mc Mc Donell residing near Cumbanawary Caswaren Coil attached to the Caringaly village in the Nayer Taluk — Defendants	Plaintiff Soobbaroya Moodaly- Take notice that the Defendant in the above Suit has applied to the District Moonsiffs Court to compel your attendance as witness in the said Suit, You are therefore required to shew cause why you should not attend and give Evidence-	Chingleput 2nd Civil Court	1856 Decr 2	1856 Novr 25	1856 Decr 14	"	"	"	1856 Decr 15	Vadanarrainen	1856 Decr 16	The Honorable The Chief Justice 5 December 1856 Served personally on the within named Plaintiff on the 12th December 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen	
"	Suit No. 1070 of 1855 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor Notice	Venkataramanoja Chetty residing in house No. 23 in the west line of Gormdasspat Naicks street at Pedoo Naicks Pettah Madras — Plaintiff Ramanoja Chavar & 3 others of Calatpattah in the Sydapet Taluk — Defendants	3d Defendant Condalo Chetty son of Bala Gungoo Chetty residing in house No. 2 in the west line of Peroomachetty's street at Jaundropettah a Suburb of Madras. Take notice that the Plaintiff in the above Suit has applied to the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor to compell your attendance as witness in the said Suit. You are therefore required to shew cause why you should not attend and give Evidence	Do	1856 Decr 2	1856 Novr 25	1856 Decr 4	"	"	"	1856 Decr 15	Do	1856 Decr 16	The Honorable The Chief Justice 5 December 1856. Served personally on the within named 3d Defendant on the 12th December 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen	
107	Suit No. 368 of 1856 on the files of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram Notice	Moottya Chetty of Serputtanum in the Chingleput Taluk Plaintiff 1 Davarajah Pillay son of Appa Pillay residing in house No. 3 in Mukky Moottia Moodaly's lane situated in Mukky Moodaly Moodaly's street at Pedoo Naicks Pettah Madras 2 Soobbaroya Pillay of Moothiapattoor in the Chingleput Taluk. Defendants	1st Defendant Davarajah Pillay- Take notice that Vakeel Ramaswamy Naick on behalf of the Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you & 2d Deft in the District Moonsiffs Court of Conjeveram for the recovery of Rupees 53-9-6 being the Amount of principal & Interest due on a bond executed by you while within the Jurisdiction of the Pallah of Chingleput. You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Do	1856 Decr 6	1856 hour 29	1856 Decr 8	Rs 53	a 9	p 8	1856 Decr 20	Do	1856 Decr 22	The Honorable The Chief Justice 9th December 1856 The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen	
"	Suit No. 468 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Conjeveram Notice	1 Appasawmy Moodaly & 2 Venayaca Moodaly of Amarumbacoo in the Maneumungalum Taluk Plaintiffs 1 Veerasawmy Moodaly son of Chengulvaroya Moodaly of the said Amarumbacoo 2 Gungadara Moodaly Son of Chinnatumby Moodaly residing in house No. 110 in the west line of Veeraroyava Moodaly Street near Chinna Mudaly at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras, 3 Rungasawmy Moodaly of the said Amarumbacoo for Rio son Venkatachella Moodaly, 5 Sooryanarayana Iyer of the said Village, 6 Veerasawmy Iyer, of the said Village, 7 Venkatachella Moodaly Village Monsiff of the said Village, 8 Moottya Moodaly & Cattayacara Soobbaroya Moodaly, 10 Ponnusawmy Moodaly, 11 Ruthna Moodaly, 12 Para Nauby, 13 Para Veerey, 14 Para Venkatachellum, 15 Para Ponnan, 16 Para Sunnyasay, 17 Annamalay, 18 Para Moottay, 19 Pattoocattaw - Defendants	7th Defendant Gungadara Moodaly- Take notice that Vakeel Ramier on behalf of the said Plaintiffs has instituted a suit in the District Moonsiffs Court at Conjeveram praying for the dismissal of a Suit instituted by you in the said Court regarding certain landed property which are in Plaintiffs possession at Amarumbacoo in the Maneumungalum Taluk within the Jurisdiction of the Pallah of Chingleput, & for an award directing the said lands to be assured by the Plaintiffs in this Suit, without the interference of the Defendants as well as for the recovery of Rupees 59-10-- being the value of straw, paras, tiles & bricks &c. which had been lodged by Plaintiffs in the said lands and which had been usurped by the said Defendants- You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Do	1856 Decr 6	1856 hour 29	1856 Decr 8	Rs "	a "	p "	1856 Decr 20	Do	1856 Decr 22	The Honorable The Chief Justice 9th December 1856 Served personally on the within named 2d Defendant on the 20th December 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Notice and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen	
"	Suit No. 934 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the District Moonsiff of Trepassoor Notice	Sowry Roya Pillay of Madavaram in the Sydapet Taluk Plaintiff 1 Narasappa Chetty Residing in the house No. 8 in Namagiroya Chetty's Street at Pedoo Naicks a Suburb of Madras, 2 Moottoosawmy Chetty 3 Sandavaroya Moodaly of Ennur Cooppum in the Poonamallee Taluk & 4 Dasappa Gramany of Cotavoy Iyoor in the Sydapet Taluk — Defendants	1st Defendant Narasappa Chetty Take notice that the said Plaintiff has instituted a suit against you and the 2d 3d & 4th Defendants in the District Moonsiffs Court of Trepassoor for the Recovery of Rs 68-5-7 being the principal and Interest due on a bond jointly executed by you & 2d, 3d and 4th Defts at Madavaram within the Jurisdiction of the said District Moonsiffs Court though you now lived as a fixed resident within the limits of Madras- You are therefore required to attend and deliver an answer to the Plaint-	Do	1856 Decr 6	1856 hour 25	1856 Decr 8	Rs 68	a 5	p 7	1856 Decr 30	Do	1857 Jany 5	The Honorable The Chief Justice 9th December 1856 The within named 1st Defendant is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarrainen	

| | | |

31	Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police at St Thomas Mount	Mr Gabbett — Complainant	Whereas Madar Sail Tailor residing at Madras stands Charged on Solemn Affirmation of Mount Police Peon Bowany Sing with having disobeyed a Summons and wilfully neglected to attend in the Police Court at Saint Thomas Mount agreeably to the said Summons issued and duly served upon him the said Madar Sail through the Superintendent of Police at Madras on the 20th October 1856 to answer a Complaint preferred against him by Captain I Lawder for absenting himself from the service of Mrs Gabbett without leave after having received One Months Pay in advance from her and absconded from the Cantonment of Saint Thomas Mount. You are hereby directed to apprehend the said Madar Sail and produce him before the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas Mount.	Saint Thomas Mount Police Office	1856 Oct 30	1856 Oct 30	1856 Oct 31	Bowany Sing the Officer Charged with the Execution of the Warrant.	1856 Oct 31	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 31st October 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.
31	Criminal Case No 1140 of 1856 on the File of the Subordinate Court of Chittoor Summons	Major Young — Prosecutor Voodundee Ramasamiah — Prisoner	1st Witness Ramasamiah residing at the house No 17 situated in the Daley Street of Peddenaidupett at Madras. 2d Witness Lakshmana Setty of Voneya Caste, residing in the Goozary Street of Peddenaidupett at Madras These are to give you Notice that your evidence being required in the above Case before the Subordinate Court of Chittoor. You are hereby required to Attend before the said Court.	Chittoor Subordinate Court	1856 Nov 12th	1856 Nov 12th	1856 Nov 13.	1856 Nov 20th Vadamarraimen	1856 Nov 20th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 14th November 1856. Served personally on the within named Witnesses on the 19th November 1856 by the by the Duplicates of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to them. Vadamarraimen

27	Summons if sued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		These are to command you Perea Chellun now residing at Vasarvandy Para Chary within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Nayer Talook at his Cutchery at Ponnary to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by one Aroomooga Moodelly an Inhabitant of Nundiumbaukum in the said Talook of Nanyer accusing you of having driven away to Madras his Sheep which were entrusted to your Custody.	Chingleput 3rd Head Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856 Sept 5	1856 Sept 5	1856 Sept 6	1856 Sept 10	Mullaid Naidoo the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant.	1856 Sept 6	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 6 September 1856 Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police
28	Criminal Case No 79 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Sowranga Sah Chary son of Vencatachary of Chinna Conjeeveram Talook 1 Ruenta Chary (Amoorto-Cone) son of Ristnanah Chary of Bangalore 2 Rungah Chary son of Appla Chary of Bangalore 3 Patchaboy (Banking woman) Daughter of Vencatanmay of Woottoor of Moorapachy Talook of Mysore Prisoners	Witness Veeras amy Iyengar of Saidaloor residing in a House opposite to the Municipal of Swarapagoda near Mowing bazar of Madras. These are to require you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput at his Court to depose on the part of the 1st Prisoner.	Chingleput 3rd Head Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856 Sept 11	1856 Sept 11	1856 Sept 12	Nothing 5 days after Service.	Vadanamaineni	1856 Sept 26	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 13 September 1856 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 11th September 1856, by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanamaineni
29	Criminal Case No 79 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Warrant	Ditto	Whereas, Singarah Chary of Poodoopandy residing in a house west side near the Iswaren Covil Muntapum opposite to the Goojely Street at Madras was nominated by the 1st Prisoner as a Witness to depose on his part to certain facts material to the issue of the Case under trial before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput and Summons having been issued and returned by the Sheriff of Madras as duly served on him on the 28th Augt 1856 & the said Singarah Chary failing to appear before the Court as required in the Summons, and the Court being satisfied that his evidence is material to the issue of the Case & his examination in person indispensably necessary. You are hereby Commanded that you take the said Witness Singarah Chary and keep him safely so that you may produce his person before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Chingleput to give evidence on the part of the 1st Prisoner in the said Case.	Do	1856 Oct 6	1856 Oct 6	1856 Oct 9	1856 Oct 15	Ameen Khan the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant.	1856 Oct 9	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 9 October 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police
30	Criminal Case No 79 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameens Warrant		Whereas, Sedalore Veeraswamy Iyengar residing in a house west side near the Easwarun Coil Muntapum opposite to the Goojeelee Street at Madras having been nominated by the 1st Prisoner as a Witness in the said Case Summons was issued and returned by the Sheriff of Madras as duly served on him on the 11th Sept 1856 and whereas the said Veeraswamy Iyengar endorsed on the back of the Summons that he requires batta for his Road expences. You are hereby directed to give him the sum of three Rupees herewith forwarded for his batta & bring him before the Principal Sudr Ameen to give evidence on the part of the 1st Prisoner in the said Case provided he fail in proceeding with you at once to appear before the said Court on the date fixed above	Do	1856 Oct 6	1856 Oct 6	1856 Oct 9	1856 Oct 15	Do	1856 Oct 9	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 9 October 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.

Criminal Case No. 79 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr ameen Sumt	Seroovengadah Chetty son of Seroodhalah Chetty of Chinna Conjeveram of the Cunchy Talook Prosecutor / Amoortacary Arumlah Chetty son of Kistnamah Chetty of Babgalore & Ranganchetty sons Appla Chetty of Do., 3 Lutchoomy daughter of Vencatummay of Woodandor of the Moolapauk Talook of Mysoor Prisoner	Witness Sangarah Chetty of Poodoopandy residing in the Sadalore Veerasawmy Iyengars house west side near the Iswaren Coil Muntapum opposite to the Goozaley street at Madras. Whereas these are to require you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput to depose on the part of the 1st Prisoner	Chingleput Tahsildars Office Principal Sudr Ameens Court	1856 Augt 23	1856 Augt 23	1856 Augt 25	1856 Augt 30	Vadavarrainen	1856 Augt 28	The Honorable The Chief Justice 26th August 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 28th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him Vadavarrainen						
Criminal Case No. 79 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court Sumt	Do	Witness Mahummud Galib, Sepoy of the 12th Company, 12th Battalion now at Sooloy a Suburb of Madras. Whereas these are to require you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court to depose on the part of the 1st Prisoner.	Do	1856 Augt 23	1856 Augt 23	1856 Augt 25	1856 Augt 30	Do	1856 Septr 1st	The Honorable The Chief Justice 26th August 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 30th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original & Summons and translation being delivered to him but that he did refuse to affix his signature to the Summons Vadavarrainen						
Criminal Case No. 79 of 1856 on the file of the Court of the Principal Sudr ameen Sumt	Do	Witness Coulepandy Kistnaswamy Moodely residing in a house (number unknown) north side of the Kistnum Covil in the Pavalacarra Street at Mootteyal pettah a Suburb of Madras. Whereas these are to require you to appear before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court to depose on the part of the 1st Prisoner.	Do	1856 Augt 23	1856 Augt 23	1856 Augt 25	1856 Augt 30	Do	1856 Augt 29	The Honorable The Chief Justice 26th August 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 28th August 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him Vadavarrainen						
25 Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		Whereas from the report of the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook it appears that Sagoorollabeg, Syed Mondoorally, Syed Ally and Soolan Moodeen residing at Triplicaney within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras against whom a Summons was issued from the Department of the Magistrate of Chingleput under date the 18th July 1856 requiring their attendence before the Magistrate in a charge of having forcibly entered the Prosecutors House at Cochimalay on the 23d February 1856 & carried away his Propery, have not conformed to the exigency thereof. These are therefore to command you to proceed with this Warrant, and with the aid of the Police Officers there to take the said Sagoorollabeg &c. into Custody, and Convey them to the said Magistrate.	Chingleput Tahsildars Office Magistrates Office	1856 Augt 21st	1856 Augt 27th	1856 "		Shaik Mauroo the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant.	1856 Augt 27th	The Honorable the Chief Justice 27th August 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.						
26 Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police St. Thomas Mount	Venkatachellum Prosecutor / Gungaram Registered Shroff Defendant	Whereas Gungaram Registered Shroff now residing at Madras Stands charged on Solemn affirmation of Peting Pon Davesing with having disobeyed a Summons and neglecting to attend at the Police Office at St. Thomas Mount when duly served on him on the 4th Septr 1856 to answer a charge of having fraudulently received a Family Certificate from Prosecutor Venkatachellum. You are hereby directed to apprehend the said Gungaram and produce him before the Supt of St. Thomas Mount	Saint Thomas Mount Police Office.	1856 Septr 5th	1856 Septr 5th	1856 Septr 6th		Delivering the Officer Charged with the execution of the Warrant.	1856 Septr 6th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 6th September 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.						

20	Summons Issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		These are to command you Sheik Peliwan, Sheik Ally, Mozafer, Batcha = mah Vuthaty, Munoorally, Sadirallah Beig, Syed Ally, Sultan Moodeen, Peda Govindum, Sheik Darood & Doraisinnagah residing at Triplicane within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at his Cutcherry at Sydapet to answer a Complaint preferred against you, of having forcibly entered the Prosecutors house at Chetimaley in the limits of the said Talook on the 28th February 1856 and Carried away property of the value of Rs 1183-8-0	Chingleput Assistant Magistrates Court	1856 July 18th	1856 July 18th	1856 July 23rd	1856 July 25th	Peruvaramy Naidoo the Officer of the Sydapet Talook Cutcherry	1856 July 23.	The Honorable The Chief Justice 23rd July 1856 Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police
21	Summons Issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Comarappa Naicken & Canacummall wife of the said Comarappa Naicken Complainants	These are to command you Comarappa Naicken & Canacummall wife of the said Comarappa Naicken residing at Amurcoil street in Black Town within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at his Cutcherry at Guindy to prosecute the Prisoners Coopoo Pundarum & one who had stolen certain Silver Jewels &c from you at Triplicane in the limits of the said Talook some time since and are now in Custody before the said Officer with the stolen Property in Question.	Do	1856 July 25th	1856 July 25	1856 July 26th	1856 July 28th	By Post Runner	1856 July 28th	The Honorable the Chief Justice 28th July 1856 Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police
22	Summons Issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Do	These are to command you Parthesarady Naicken residing at Egmore within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at his Cutcherry at Guindy on the 2nd August 1856 to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by Ragava Moodely of Kunnoor in the Peda Polleam Talook accusing you of having carried away Stamp Papers of the value of Rupees 34 and ready money amounting to 43 as also a decree of the Court entrusted to you at Bordilion Chardy in the Limits of the said Talook for delivery to the Court Vakeel.	Do	1856 July 30th	1856 July 30	1856 July 31 to	1856 Augt 2.	Sankaran the Officer of the Sydapet Talook Cutcherry	1856 Augt 1st to	The Honorable The Chief Justice 1st August 1856 Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police
23	Notice Issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		These are to Command you Noodeen Saib residing near Nagatha Coil, in Triplicane within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear in person or by Proxy before the Head of Police of the Poonamallee Talook at his Cutcherry to prosecute the Defendant Sasher of Prayentlut on a Charge of having forged a document in the name of Pedaner Saib & Manoomdars of Mangadoo in the said Talook.	Do	1856 Augt 8th	1856 Augt 8	1856 Augt 9th	1856 Augt 11th	Ramasawmy Naidu Peon to Talook Cutcherry of Poonamallee	1856 Augt 9th	The Honorable the Chief Justice 9th August 1856 Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police

17	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	These are to command you to Soobramania Iyer, residing at Poovendy street, Appeir residing at Ambadenker Davoy's House and Veerasawmy Bullock Driver residing in the Stables of the said Ambadenker Davy within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrate's Office at Saidapett to state what you know regarding a charge preferred by Vidvanatheah relative to the theft of a Bundle containing 2 Madras Bank Notes for Rupees 100 and 50 and other articles which were on his cart at Rajah's Choultry in the limits of the Chingleput District.	Chingleput Fellah's Magistrate's Office.	1856 July 10th	1856 July 10th	1856 July 11th	1856 July 12th	Vacation among Peons & Officers of the Chingleput Magistrate's Office.	1856 July 11th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 11th July 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.
18	Criminal Case No 103 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court Warrant	To the Division of Tado in the Fellah of Nellore now in the house of Mattur Aadiya situated at Chittamur Naidoo Agaaharum attached.	I, Moulavee Fazeeoodeen Saheb Principal Sudr Ameen of the Fellah of Nellore Command you to take Yanamandrum Venkata Subbaya an inhabitant of Mambatt Agraharam attached to Podily Naick's Pettah within the boundary of Madras & keep him safely so that you may cause his appearance before me the said Principal Sudr Ameen in my said Court as soon as possible for examination in a Criminal Case No 103 of 1856 brought against the Prisoner Yanamandrum Venkata Chetnayah who is charged with having forged a Document.	Nellore Fellah's Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856 July 10th	1856 July 10th	1856 July 17th	"	Tanjavur Ramaswamy Vice Officer of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Nellore.	1856 July 18th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 18th July 1866. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police
19	Warrant issued by the Supt of Police St Thomas Mount	Whereas Palyettan Ally Ponnan Veerasawmy Chinna Ponnen and Tooloocanum Servants residents of Saint Thomas Mount stands Charged on Solemn affirmation of Ramsuhay Pondary with having absented themselves without leave from their employer's service and absconded from the Cantonment of Saint Thomas Mount after receiving their wages in advance thereby defrauding him (their Master) the sum of Rupees 25 — Summons have been issued and due deligence has been used to serve the same upon the Defendants by a Peon who was unable to do so in consequence of the Defendants having absconded from the Cantonment. You are hereby directed to apprehend the said Palyettan Ally Ponnan Veerasawmy Chinna Ponnen and Tooloocanum and produce them before the Supt of Police at St Thomas Mount.	Saint Thomas Mount Police Office	1856 July 18th	1856 July 18th	1856 July 19th	"	Sambaseva Modelly Officer of the Supt of Police Saint Thomas Mount.	1856 July 19th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th July 1856. Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police

14	Summons issued by the Acting Joint Magistrate of North Arcot	Naras inna oloo Chetty Inhabitant of Sholungpur now residing at Parumettoo in Madras. Whereas you are charged with having sold arrack in the Talook of Sholungpur without a licence from the Arrack Renter Mr. Morison. You are hereby required to appear in person before the Acting Additional Joint Magistrate of North Arcot to answer to the said Charge.	Chittoor North Arcot Acting additional Joint Magistrates Office	1856 June 23	1856 June 23	1856 June 27.	1856 July 5th	"	1856 June 27.	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice	27 June 1856	Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.
15	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepute	Whereas from the Solemn affirmation made before the Magistrate of Chinglepute by one Visvanathiah of Madras it appears that Simtata Venkatasa Chetty, Narrayana Chetty and Shathoo Lalah residing within the limits of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras were in possession of 2 Bank Notes one No. 11539 for Rs 100 & the other No. 14912 for Rupees 50 a portion of the property stolen from his Cart on the 10th May 1856 at Rajahs Choultry in the limits of the Conjeveram Talook in the District of Chinglepute & discharged them for Cash at the Madras Bank. These therefore to command you to proceed with this Warrant and with the aid of the Police Officers there to take them into Custody and convey them to the said Magistrates Office	Chinglepute Magistrates Office	1856 June 26.	1856 June 26th	1856 June 27.	"	Vencatasawmy Naick the Police Officer of the Magistrates of Chinglepute	1856 June 27.	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice	27 June 1856	Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.
16	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepute	Whereas from Credible information received at the Magistrates Office it appears that C. Nadamooroy & Ramanjim gold Merchants residing within the limits of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras exchanged at the Madras Oriental Bank a Bank Note No. 11539 dated the 17th Septr 1849 for Rupees 100 which is stated to have been Stolen from the Cart of the Prosecutor Vishwanathiah at Rajahs Choultry in the limits of the Distrigt of Chinglepute. These are therefore to command you Hoozoo Deloyet Caulloopootoor Vencatasawmy Naick to proceed with this Warrant and with the aid of the Police Officers there to take the said C. Nadamooroy & Ramanjim into Custody and convey them to the said Magistrates Office.	Do	1856 July 3	1856 July 3	1856 July 4th	"	Caulloopootoor Vencatasawmy Naick the Police Officer of the Magistrates of Chinglepute	1856 July 4th	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice	4th July 1856	Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.

11	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Nellore	Whereas the Principal Sudder Ameen of Nellore has in a letter dated the 1st May 1855 addressed to the Acting Magistrate of Nellore, stated that one Yanamundra Venkatakristniah an inhabitant of Maumbutt in the Talook of Poloor attached to the Zemindary of Veneatagherry in the District of Nellore produced before the Principal Sudr Ameen of Nellore in a Civil action a Pharikhut said to have been executed to him by Paulagherry Veneatachelliah, but which on examination appeared to be a forgery has requested that the said Yanamundra Venkatakristniah should be apprehended and forwarded to the said Principal Sudr Ameen and whereas it is now understood from a report receiving from the Head of Police of Sadah Division dated 2d April 1856 and its enclosures, that the said Yanamundra Venkatakristniah is in the house of one Mootloor Audiah at Kristnum Naidoo Agraharum in Madras, You are therefore hereby directed to apprehend the said Yanamundra Venkatakristniah and produce him before the Magistrate of the Nellore Zillah.—	Nellore Zillah - Magistrates Office.	1856	1856	1856		1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice	7th May 1856.
				April	April	May		May.									
				29th	29th	7th	Mr. Leonard Ellis a man the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant.	7th.	Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.								
12	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	These are to command you Cundasawmy Moodely Son of Syah Moodly late Butler on the Establishment of the Government House and residing at Chintadry Pettah within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear before the Magistrate of Chingleput and produce the Original Bills of Sale in the Name of your father for lands sold to him at the Adyar in the Sydapet Talook for inspection during the investigation of a Charge of forgery preferred by one Mootoogooroo Cul against the Curnam of the Village accusing him of having forged certain Documents connected with the Sale of lands for the use of the Eastern Canal and defrauded the Government of Rupees 35 per Cawny.—	Chingleput Zillah Magistrates Office.	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice	2d June 1856
				May	May	May	June	June									
26				30th	31st	4th		3d	Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police.								
13	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	These are to command you Karavully Kistnasawmy Iyer on the Establishment of Civil Auditor of Fort St George and Soobramaneya Iyer Clerk in the Commissary Generals Office both residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear in person or by Proxy before the Head of Police of the Poonamally Talook at his Cutcherry at that Station on the 16th Instant to prosecute certain parties now in custody before that Officer on a Charge of having committed a highway robbery on you in the limits of Goodapaukum in the said Talook and robbed you of certain Property.—	Do.	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	The Honorable The Chief Justice	14th June 1856.
				June	June	June	June	June.									
				12th	12th	13th	16th	14th	Forwarded to the Superintendent of Police								

7	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput.		These are to command you Mr Taylor, Son of the Revd Mr Taylor residing at Nungumbaukum within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Taluk at his Cutcherry at Guindy to answer to a Complaint preferred against you accusing you of having collected a Mob in the limits of Tattungadoo in the above Taluk assaulted a Peon and created a breach of the Peace on the 21st February 1856. — Chingleput Gillard Magistrate's Office	1856 April 3d	1856 April 3d	1856 April 5th	1856 April 8th	Mooneshah Amin & Peon of the Sydapet Taluk in the Gillard of Chingleput.	1856 April 5th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 5th April 1856. — Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
8	Criminal Case No of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen Court at Poteacammund Summons	The East India Company — Prosecutor Nullooswamy Naik — Prisoner For Perjury	Witness Mr P Walsh residing at No 30 Maddacks street at Vepery Madras. — Whereas your attendance in the Principal Sadr Ameens Court is required to give your Evidence on behalf of the Prosecution in the above Case pending before the said Court and over which the said Court has jurisdiction, the alleged perjury having been committed before it. You are hereby required personally to appear before the said Court for the said purpose. — Ootacamund Principal Sudr Ameen's Court	1856 April 2	1856 April 2	1856 April 7	1856 April 22	Vadanarrainen	1856 April 8th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 7 April 1856. — The Summons was not served on the Witness within named as the Copy of the Summons not having been furnished for the purpose of being delivered to him. — See No 10 in this Page.
9	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput.		Whereas from Credible information and the Official report of the Head of Police of Sydapet, there are grounds for suspecting that portions of the property stolen from the House of Sir Henry Montgomery Bart at Cottoor in the limits of the district of Chingleput, are concealed in the house of One Daria Caroofekem at Nungumbaukum in the limits of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras; these are therefore to command you to proceed with this Warrant, and with the aid of the Police Officers there, institute a Search into the said House, and convey such property as may be found to the Head of Police of the said Taluke. — Chingleput Gillard Magistrate's Office.	1856 April 15th	1856 April 15th	1856 April 16th	"	Cummy Peon of the Sydapet Taluke in the Gillard of Chingleput.	1856 April 16th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th April 1856. — Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
10	Criminal Case No of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen Court at Ootacammund Summons.	The East India Company Prosecutor Nullooswamy Naik — Prisoner For Perjury —	Witness Mr P. Walsh residing at No 30 Maddacks street at Vepery Madras. — Whereas your attendance in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court is required to give your Evidence on behalf of the Prosecution in the above Case pending before the said Court and over which the said Court has jurisdiction the alleged perjury having been committed before it. — You are hereby required personally to appear before the said Court for the said purpose. — Ootacamund — Principal Sudr Ameen's Court.	1856 April 12th	1856 April 2d	1856 April 17th	1856 April 22d	Vadanarrainen	1856 April 18th	The Honorable The Chief Justice — 7th April 1856. — Served personally on the within named Witness on the 18 April 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons being being delivered to him. — Vadanarrainen

3	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	"	These are to command you Mr W. W. Bellen Hotel Keeper in Errabala Chetty's Street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Principal Sudr Ameen of the Zillah of Chingleput to prosecute the Parties who have been apprehended on a Charge of having committed a highway robbery on you in the limits of the Tiroopporoor Talook in this District, while proceeding to Madras.	Chingleput Police Magistrate's Office.	1856 Jany 16th	1856 Jany 16th	1856 Jany 18th	1856 Jany 21st	Mr Bellen attended to prosecute	1856 Jany 19th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	1856 Jany 19th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th January 1856.
"	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	"	These are to command you Casavenum and Chinnammah, Cooly and Ayah respectively in the Service of Mr W. W. Bellen, Hotel Keeper in Errabala Chetty's Street and residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Principal Sudr Ameen of the Zillah of Chingleput to give evidence in the matter of a highway robbery committed on Mr Beellen in the limits of Tiroopporoor Talook in this District.	Do	1856 Jany 16th	1856 Jany 16th	1856 Jany 18th	1856 Jany 21st	Do	1856 Jany 18th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	1856 Jany 18th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 18th January 1856.
4	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of North Arcot	"	Whereas Shashacharry, son of Oondy Thathiengar an inhabitant of Tewallore in the District of Chingleput and now suppose to be at Madras stands charged on Solemn affirmation of Magasingaracharry an inhabitant of Sholinghur with the Crime of having stolen a bank note No. 11476 of the value of 100 Rupees & cloth to the value of Rupees 5 "You are hereby directed to apprehend the said Shashacharry, and produce him before the Magistrate of North Arcot.	North Arcot Magistrate's Office.	1856 Feby 1st	1856 Feby 1st	1856 Feby 7th	"	Krishnama Naick attached to the Collectors Office.	1856 Feby 7th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	1856 Feby 7th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th February 1856.
5	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	"	These are to command you Mawry, Groom in the Service of Major Colbeck residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Saidapet Talook at his Cutcherry at Guindy to prosecute Sawmy &c. who have been apprehended in a Charge of highway robbery committed on you in the limits of the said Talook.	Chingleput Police Magistrate's Office.	1856 Feby 12th	1856 Feby 12th	1856 Feby 20th	1856 Feby 22d	Sudr Ameen's Peon attached to the Collectory of Saidapet	1856 Feby 21st	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	1856 Feby 21st	The Honorable The Chief Justice 21st February 1856.
6	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Baulajee - Prosecutor Veerasawmy - Prisoner	Whereas from the official report of the Head of Police of the Nayer Talook in the District of Chingleput it appears that one Veerasawmy residing near the Seven Wells within the limits of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras is charged before him with having at 6 A.M. on the 1st March 1856 at Mulmadalumbadoo in the said Talook, Committed a highway robbery on the Prosecutor Baulajee & Pillaged from him & Carried off Rs. 160 - - - in ready money. These are therefore to command you to proceed with this Warrant & with the aid of the Police Officers there to take the said Veerasawmy into Custody and convey him to the Head of Police of the Nayer Talook.	Do	1856 March 13th	1856 March 13th	1856 March 24th	"	Moonesawmy Naick Peon attached to the Talook of Nayer.	1856 March 24th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	1856 March 24th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 24th March 1856.

35	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Cundappa Gramany Prosecutor. Mottai Toddy drawer, Ponnen and Mootty — Defendants	Whereas from a Report received from the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook it appears that Mottai Toddy drawer Ponnen and Mootty residing at Kheelpauk within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras are charged with being implicated in a case of gang robbery committed in the House of the said Cundappa Gramany of Nadoo-vacauay in the said Sydapet Talook — These are therefore to command you to proceed with this Warrant and with the aid of the Police officers there to take the said Mottai, Ponnen and Mootty into custody, and convey them to the Head of Police of the said Talook.	Chingleput Bailiff's Office. Magistrate's Office.	1855 Dec^r 5^d	1855 Dec^r 5^d	1855 Dec^r 10^h	..	Mootty saw my Name & Bon attached to the Talook of Sydapet.	1855 Dec^r 11th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 11th December 1853 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
1	Case No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Sessions Court Sums.	Cundasse Gramany son of Valayoodeu Residing in Nadoovar Parayr of Sydapet Talook Prosecutor — 1 Mottay alias Nagasseu son of Yagappa Gramany living at Kalapauk within the limits of Madras, 2 Thasary alias Vengatasew son of Pareyasamy Naicken living at Poorsawakum within the limits of Madras Prisoners	2^d Prisoners 1st Witness Poolly en father's name Unknown dealing in Clothes in a Shop No Unknown in the Bazar Street at Poorsa-wackum Madras. The aforesaid 2^d Prisoner having cited you, as a Witness on his behalf in the above mentioned Sessions Case No. 27. You are hereby required to appear before the Sessions Court at Chingleput.	Chingleput Bailiff's Office. Sessions Court	1856 Jany 10^h	1856 Jany 10^h	1856 Jany 14^h	Written 5 days after Service	Vadanarainen	1856 Feby 15	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 15^h January 1856 The within named Witness is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadanarainen
"	Case No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Sessions Court Sums.	Do	2^d Prisoner's 2^d Witness Kristna Pillay father's name an Known residing in a house Door No. unknown situated in the high road at Egmore Madras. The aforesaid 2^d Prisoner having cited you as a Witness on his behalf in the above mentioned Session Case No. 27. You are hereby required to appear before the Session Court at Chingleput.	Do	1856 Jany 10^h	1856 Jany 10^h	1856 Jany 14^h	Do	Do	1856 Jany 23^d	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 15^h January 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 22^d January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
"	Case No. 27 of 1855 on the file of the Sessions Court Sums.	Do	2^d Prisoner's 3^d Witness Coomarasawmy Moodely father's name unknown a Servant in the house of one Soobbroya Moodaly, Hospital Butler at Poorsawakum Madras. The aforesaid 2^d Prisoner having cited you as a Witness on his behalf in the above mentioned Session Case No. 27. You are hereby required to appear before the Session Court at Chingleput.	Do	1856 Jany 10^h	1856 Jany 10^h	1856 Jany 14^h	Do	Do	1856 Jany 28^h	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 15^h January 1856. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 26^h January 1856 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarainen
2	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		These are to command you Theroovamoor Chellum residing at Syeppa Chitty Street Black Town within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Nayer Talook at his Cutcherry to answer the Charge preferred against you of having received 16 Sovereigns being a portion of property stolen by the Prisoner Goondah Goorroo run one of the runners attached to the Post Office at Poodoovoil out of 2 Bangly parcels despatched from Madras to Corringa on the month of November last date unknown	Chingleput Bailiff's Office. Magistrate's Office.	1856 Jany 12^h	1856 Jany 12^h	1856 Jany 16^h	1856 Jany 23^d	Received Mr. Leonard Bon attached to the Talook of Nayer.	1856 Jany 17^h	The Honorable The Chief Justice 16th January 1856 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

32	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		These are to command you Valoor Vacombra Moodely residing at Narrayia Moodelly street in Pasdoo Naicken Pettah and Peon Cundasawmy residing at Streerungapatnam Soobaroy Moodelly street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Taluk at his Cutcherry at Guindy to state what you know regarding a Charge preferred against Soobaroyo Moodelly a Mistry and others accusing them of defrauding Lieut.t Morgan D. Assistant Civil Engineer 4th Division of a quantity of Bricks belonging to Government	C. Ferry Esqr Sydapet Magistrate & Asst. Collr.	1855 Nov.r 13th	1855 Nov.r 13th	1855 Nov.r 16th	1855 Nov.r 17th	Soobaroyo Moodelly Peon attended to the Taluk of Sydapet.	1855 Nov.r 16th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 16th November 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
33	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Venkatachary of Ayalacherry in the Poonamallee Taluk — Complainant Narrainsawmy Naicken — Defendant	These are to command you Broonachella Moodelly residing at "Chengangadey" in Peddoo Naikens Pettah and Puchapudy Rungapah Naicken residing at Calicar Street in Triplicane within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Poonamallee Taluk at his Cutcherry at Poonamallee at 10. A. M. on the 28th November 1855 wednesday to state what you know regarding a charge preferred against Narrainsawmy Naicken by Venkatachary of Ayalacherry in the Poonamallee Taluk accusing him of having forged a bond	Do.	1855 Nov.r 22d	1855 Nov.r 22d	1855 Nov.r 23d	1855 Nov.r 28th	Rungapha Naicke Peon attended to the Taluk of Poonamallee	1855 Nov.r 23d	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d November 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
34	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Mr. W. W. Beellen — Complainant Govindan &c — Defendant	These are to command you Mr. W. W. Beellen Hotel Keeper residing at Errabala Chitty's Street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Chingleput to prosecute the Prisoners Govindan &c in the case of the Highway robbery committed on you by them in the limits of the Teroopporoor Taluk in the District of Chingleput, which has been committed to the Principal Sudr Ameens Court for trial.	Do.	1855 Dec.r 5th	1855 Dec.r 5th	1855 Dec.r 7th	1855 Dec.r 10th	Moonsawmy Naidoo Peon attended to the Taluk of Teroopporoor.	1855 Dec.r 7th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th December 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
0	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Mr. W. Beeller — Complainant Govindan &c — Defendant	These are to command you Casavelu and Chinnammay residing at Errabala Chitty's Street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Chingleput to state what you know regarding a Charge preferred against Govindan &c accusing them of having committed a highway robbery on one Mr. W. Beellen, Hotel Keeper at Madras in the limits of the Teroopporoor Taluk in the District of Chingleput.	Do	1855 Dec.r 5th	1855 Dec.r 5th	1855 Dec.r 7th	1855 Dec.r 10th	Do.	1855 Dec.r 7th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th December 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

30	Warrant issued by the Joint Magistrate of St. Thomas' Mount	Chendooroya Naick Nawaeety alias Annamah	Prosr. Prisoner	Whereas Nawaeety alias Annamah now residing at Madras stand Charged before me on Solemn affirmation of Chendooroya Naick with having at St. Thomas' Mount on the 21st September 1855 stolen taken and Carried away from the dwelling house of the said Chendooroya Naick his property the Sum of Rupees 10-0-0 in Silver. These are therefore to charge and Command you forthwith to apprehend the aforesaid person and bring her before the Joint Magistrate of St. Thomas' Mount in order that She may answer to the said Charges & be further dealt with according to Law.	Joint Magistrate's Office St. Thomas' Mount	1855 Octr 22	1855 Octr 22	1855 Octr 23	"	Sent. Captain Police Peon of the Joint Magistrate's Office St. Thomas' Mount	1855 Octr 23	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23 Octr 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
31	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of St. Thomas Mount	Chellapah Naick Veerasawmy Moodely and Papah	Prosr. Prisoner	Whereas Veerasawmy Moodely Butler residing at Madras and Papah woman residing at Saint Thome stand Charged before the Joint Magistrate of St. Thomas Mount on Solemn Affirmation of Mount Police Duffadar Yagambara Pillay with having received from prisoner Ramalingum Certain Gold Jewels valued about Rs. 145-0-0 knowing the same to have been stolen from the dwelling house of Prosecutor Chellapah Naick on the night of the 12th October 1855. These are therefore to Charge and Command you forthwith to apprehend the aforesaid Persons & bring them before the said Magistrate in order that they may answer to the said Charges & be further dealt with according to Law.	Do	1855 Octr 22	1855 Octr 22	1855 Octr 23	"	Do	1855 Octr 23	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23 Octr 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
"	Warrant issued by the Joint Magte of St. Thomas Mount			Whereas Complaint upon Solemn Affirmation has been this day made unto C. Smith Esqre one of Her Majesty's Justices of the Peace by Duffadar Yagambara Pillay that there were lately stolen from the house of Chellapah Naick Bazar Man Certain Gold Jewels valued about 145 Rs the property of Chellapah Naick that is to say certain Gold Jewels called Thalee vos roovoos & that there is just Cause to suspect that a part of the said stolen property is Concealed in the dwelling houses of Veerasawmy Moodely & Papah the former situated at Madras & latter situated at St. Thome. These are therefore to require you forthwith to make diligent search in the day time in the said dwelling house for the said stolen property & if you find the same or any part thereof that you then secure the said stolen property and bring the person or persons in whose Custody you shall find the same before the said Justices of the Peace to be examined and dealt with according to Law.	Joint Magistrate's Office St. Thomas' Mount	1855 Octr 22	1855 Octr 22	1855 Octr 23	"	Do	1855 Octr 23	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23 Octr 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

27	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Rung annuall of Poonamally Complainant	These are to command you Logasspa Naicken residing at Seenoo Mondelly's Street in Pedda Naickenpettah within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrates Office at Sydapett with the Mortgage Bond executed in your favor by Rung annuall of Poonamally to answer to a further Complaint against preferred by her under date the 16 August last in the matter therewith connected.	Chingleput Village Magistrate Office	1855 Sept 29.	1855 Sept 29	1855 Oct 1st	1855 Oct 3d	"	1855 Oct 2.	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d Oct 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
28	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		Whereas from the report of the Head of Police of the Poonamally Talook it appears that Narrainsawmy of Ayalacherry now residing at Periaparacherry in Periamettoo within the limits of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras against whom a Summons was issued in this Department under date the 28th Ultimo has failed to attend before that Officer in Compliance with the exigence thereof. these are therefore Commanded to take the said Narrainsawmy into Custody and Convey him to the Head of Police of the Poonamally Talook.	Do	1855 Octr 8th	1855 Octr 8th	1855 Octr 10th	1855	"	1855 Octr 10	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 10th October 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
29	Warrant issued by the Head Assistt Magistrate in Charge of the Joint Magistrate of North Arcot	Captain Arthur Edward Brooke of the 2d Native Veteran Bn Complainant A C Seagaroya Chetty Defendant	Whereas A. C. Seagaroya Chetty, an Inhabitant of Moothialpettah Madras was charged on the oath of Veerasawmy Chetty the duly authorized Vakeel & (deceased) of Captain Arthur Edward Brooke of the 2d Native Veteran Battalion residing at and Commanding the station of Arnee before Robert Blair Munro Cunningham Esqre Joint Magistrate of the district of North Arcot with having at Arnee within the limits of the Jurisdiction of the Justices of Arnee in the District of North arcot aforesaid on the 18th June 1855 obtained under false pretences and with fraudulent intent a Printed Bond for five thousand (5000) Rupees from the Prosecutor. You are hereby directed to proceed immediately to Madras and deliver the letter addressed to the Sheriff of that place and with his assistance to arrest the said Seagaroya Chetty said to be residing in Moothialpettah at Madras and to produce him before the Joint Magistrate of North arcot or other Magistrate Conducting the duties of this Office as soon as Convenient.	North Arcot Head Assistant Magistrate in Charge of the Joint Magistrates Office	1855 Oct 8th	1855 Oct 8	1855 Oct 15th	"	Mr Narrainsawmy the Officer of the Joint Magistrates Office at North Arcot District.	1855 Oct 17th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 16th October 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

23	Criminal Case No. 47 of 1855 on the file of the Session Court of Chingleput Summons		These are to command you Lascar Peroomah No. 1733 of the Ordnance Department residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Sessions Court of Chingleput to give evidence in Criminal Case No. 47 of 1855 now under Investigation before that Court		Chingleput Police Office Magistrate's	1855 Sept. 5	1855 Sept. 5	1855 Sept. 6	1855 Sept. 11	1855 Sept. 7	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7 Sept 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magestrate.
24	Criminal Case No. 47 of 1855 on the file of the Session Court of Chingleput Summons		These are to command you Lascar Veeraswamy of the Ordnance Department residing at a Parcherry near the Club House within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Session Court at Chingleput to give Evidence in a Criminal Case No. 47 of 1855 now under investigation before that Court		Do.	1855 Sept. 8	1855 Sept. 8	1855 Sept. 10	1855 Sept. 13	1855 Sept. 10	The Honorable The Chief Justice 10. September 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
25	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Somanathagoroo cul Complainant	These are to Command you Narrain swamy Naicken an Inhabitant of Ayalacherry of the Saranjerry Mootah now residing at Periyacherry in Perur Mettoo within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Poonamelly Talook at his Cutchery at Poonamelly to answer to a Complaint preferred against you, by one Somanathagoroo cul of the said Village accusing you of having forcibly broken into his Garden & Cut and Carried away a bunch of Plantain fruit &c.		Do.	1855 Sept. 28	1855 Sept. 28	1855 Sept. 29	1855 Oct. 3	1855 Oct. 1	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 1st October 1855. Narrain swamy Naicken Complainant by Somanathagoroo cul Police Cutchery Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
26	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Ponnarainga Moodelly on behalf of Lieut. H.R. Morgan Assistt Civil Engineer 2d Division Complainant	These are to command you Soobroya Moodelly and Valooyooda Naicken residing in the vicinity of Kistnama Naicken's Coolum within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at his Cutchery to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by one Ponnerainga Moodelly on behalf Lieut. H.R. Morgan Assistt Civil Engineer 1st Div. accusing you of having in consort with each other defrauded the Govt. of 23000 Rupees while employed as Monthly Contractor for the bridge at Chadaiancoopum in the Northern Coast Canal in the District of Chingleput.		Do.	1855 Sept. 29	1855 Sept. 29	1855 Oct. 4	1855 Oct. 4	1855 Oct. 2	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2. Oct. 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

20	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepat	Whereas from the Solemn affirmation made before the Magistrate of Chinglepat by the Gomastah of the Zamindar of Thercovoor in the Peddapollium Talook, it appears that one Kauder Beg who had fraudulently embezzled and appropriated to his own use a Sum of Rs. 120 -- -- out of the collections of the Moottah while employed as the Agent of the Zemindar in fusly 1264 and absconded, is now residing in Triplicane within the limits of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras and whereas the said Individual against whom a Summons was issued from the Magistrates Court of Chinglepat under date the 2d May 1855 for appearance before the Head of Police of the Peddapollium Talook to answer to the said Complaint has evaded the service thereof, it is hereby Commanded to take the said Kauder Beg into Custody and Convey him to the Head of Police of the Peddapollium Talook.	Chinglepat Zillah Magistrate's Office	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	17th Augt 1855.
				August 10th	Augt 10th	Augt 16th	"	Augt 17th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			
21	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepat	These are to command you Sascar Rungasawmy son of Chellacoolly Naicken of the Vunniah Caste residing at Commaleswarum Covil within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Session Court of Chinglepat to give evidence in Criminal Case No. 47 of 1855 now under Investigation before that Court.	Do	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	21st Augt 1855.
				Augt 20th	Augt 20th	Augt 21st	Augt 25th	Augt 21st	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			
22	Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police at St. Thomas Mount	Whereas Venketseen, Ponnen, Letcheghow, Veeraswamy, Chinna, Hempos, Palany and Condan Parreah Servants and residents of Saint Thomas' Mount stand charged on Solemn affirmation of Ramsaboy Pondary on the having absented themselves without leave from their employers, Sowcar and absconded from the Cantonment of Saint Thomas Mount after receiving their wages in advance, thereby defrauding him (their master) the Sum of Rs. 75 -- -, Summons having been issued and due deligence has been used to serve the same, upon the Defendants by a Peon who was unable to do so, in consequence of the Defendants having absconded from the Cantonment. You are hereby required to apprehend the said Parties and produce before the Superintendent of Police at St. Thomas' Mount.	Saint Thomas Mount Police Office	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice	31st Augt 1855
				Augt 31st	Augt 31st	Augt 31st	"	Augt 31st	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			

Samuel Ward Assistant to the Officer of the Superintendent of Police at St. Thomas Mount

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letter	Rec'd when issued	When Rec'd	Parties when sent to	Names of the Peons	When returned	Remarks
3	18	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepat	Modeen Saib Complainant Daudoo Saib, Ally Saib and Mohomed Saib Defendant	To Chinglepat & Mahabalipuram Magistrates Office	1855	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 28th July 1855
			These are to command you Daudoo Saib, Ally Saib and Mohomed Saib residing at the Basin within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Police Ameen of Streehurricottah in Nayer Talook to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by one Modeen Saib an Inhabitant of Triplicany accusing you of having prevented him from taking possession of the fuel Collected by him and abused and assaulted him at Streehurricottah		July 26th	July 26th	July 28th	July 30th	"	July 28th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
"		Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepat	Do		1855	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 28th July 1855
			These are to command you Hoossain Saib residing at the Basin within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Police Ameen of Streehurricottah in Nayer Talook at his Cutcheary at the above Station to state what you know regarding a Charge preferred against Daudoo Saib Ally Saib and Mohomed Saib by one Modeen Saib an Inhabitant of Triplicany accusing them of having prevented him from taking possession of the fuel Collected by him and abused & assaulted him at Streehurricottah	Do	July 26th	July 26th	July 28th	July 30th	"	July 28th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
19		Warrant issued by the Joint Magistrate of North Arcot	Captain A.C. Brook by his Vakeel Veerasawmy Chetty Prosecutor A.C. Seagaroya Chetty Defendant	To the Joint Magistrate of North Arcot	1855	1855	1855			1855	
			Whereas A.C. Seagaroya Chetty, inhabitant of Madras stands charged on the solemn declaration of Veerasawmy Chetty inhabitant of Arnee, and Vakeel on behalf of Prosecutor Captain A.C. Brook, of commanding Arnee, with the Crime of having fraudulently obtained from the Prosecutor a Printed Bond for Rupees 5000 as well as a Sum of Rupees 60, which sum was to be repaid in 7 days under false pretences. You are hereby directed to proceed immediately along with the Prosecutors Vakeel to Madras and to deliver the letter addressed to the Sheriff of that place and with his assistance to arrest the said Seagaroya Chetty now said to be residing in Moottial Pett at Madras, to produce him before the Joint Magistrate of North Arcot as soon as convenient.		July 24th	July 27th	Augt 6th	"	Augt 7th	The Honorable The Chief Justice has declined to sign the Warrant on the ground that the same is defective in not stating the place at which the Offence is alleged to have been committed.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	on whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Process taken out	Issued	When Received	Returnable	Name of the Peon to whom the Process was entrusted	When received	Remarks
	14	Summons issued by the Magte of Chinglepute	Rungasawmy Moodelliar &c. Complainants & Thanam Canacamboojum Vadivy Rungum Sivagamy Parthasarathy Pattun and Govindaputtum Defendants	These are to command you Thanum Canacamboojum Vadivy Rungum Sivagamy Parthasarathy Pattun and Govindaputtun residing at Block Town within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police at Sydapet to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by Rungasawmy Moodeliar &c. Inhabitants of Coimbadoo accusing you of having abused them	Chinglepute Magistrate's Office	1856 May 10th	1855 May 10th	1855 May 12th	1855 May 15th	Monsr Jak. Amiel the Bailiff of the Magistrate of Chinglepute	1855 May 15th	The Honorable The Chief Justice has declined to sign the Summons, on the ground that the charge against the Parties, as stated in the Summons, is no offence at Law and further that the place, at which the offence is alleged to have been committed is not stated in the Summons.
	15th	Calendar Case No. 13 of 1855 on the file of the Session Court of Madura Summons	Gopaul Vusthath Vakeel of Punjanadoo Chetty of Combaconum Prosecutor 1 Vellein Chetty 2 Chinna Meenah Rowther of Poodoopatty in Tinnavelly Prisoners	Witness Sharayoo Poothooty Yacoombrum Chettyar Inhabitant of Madras. Whereas your attendance is required to state what you know regarding the charge of Fraud brought by the aforesaid Prosecutor against the Prisoners. You are hereby required to appear before the said Court.	Madura Zillah Sessions Court	1855 May 16th	1855 May 16th	1855 May 19th	1855 June 5th	Vadanarrainen	1855 May 26th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 22d May 1855. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 25th May 1855 by the Duplicate of the original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrainen
	16	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepute	These are to command you Khaderbeg residing on the high road to the South of the Government House within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Peddapolliem Talook at his Cutcherry at Trivalloor to answer to a Complaint preferred against you of having fraudulently embezzled and appropriated to your own use a Sum of Rs 115-1-9 out of the collections of the Peroovoor Moottah while employed as the Agent of the Zemandar in Fasly 1264 absconded and evaded appearance	Chinglepute Magistrate's Office	1855 May 23	1855 May 23	1855 May 30	1855 June 4th	Lut. Schmidt Bailiff of the Chinglepute Magistrate's Office	1855 June 1st	The Honorable The Chief Justice 1st June 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
	17th	Calendar Case No. 130/1855 on the file of the Sessions Court of Madura Warrant	Gopaul Vusthath Vakeel of Punjanadoo Chetty of Combaconum Prosecutor 1 Vellein Chetty 2 Chinna Meenah Rowther of Poodoopatty in Tinnavelly Prisoners	Whereas at the instance of one Yacombrum Chetty of Madras a Criminal charge of Fraud has been brought against the above named Prisoners and whereas the evidence of the said Yacombrum Chetty is material to the issue of the trial and cannot be dispensed with, and whereas on the 26 May 1855 a Summons was duly served on the said Yacombrum Chetty requiring him to appear before the Sessions Court of the Zillah of Madura on or before the 5th June and whereas the said Yacombrum has on the back of the Summons aforesaid refused to comply with the exigence of the aforesaid Summons. Under the provisions of Section III Regulation I of 1824 & Act 23 of 1840 you are hereby directed to apprehend the said Yacombrum Chetty and produce him before the Sessions Court of the Zillah of Madura.	Madura Zillah Sessions Court	1855 June 1st	1855 June 1st	1855 June 19	"	Magistrate's Office of the Sessions Court of Madura	1855 June 19th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 19th June 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

No. of Letters	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties		On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of the Letters	Proof when Sped	When received	Parties when to appear	Names of the Witnesses accompanying the Proof	When returned	Remarks
11	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate Chingleput	Chengulroy Chetty Thumboo Chetty	Prosecutor Prisoner	These are to Command you Mooneasspa Chetty residing near Halee Kistneppa Chetty's House at Candasawmy's Pagoda, Aviah Mahomed residing at Mudjeed Street in Black Town within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before Acting Magistrates Office at Sydapet to give evidence in the matter of a Complaint preferred by Chengulroy Chetty accusing one Thumboo Chetty of having stolen certain Bandy Harness &c at Chunnumbedoo within the limits of the District of Chingleput	Chingleput Police Magistrates Office	1855 March 30th	1855 March 30th	1855 April 2d	"	1855 April 11th	1855 April 3d	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d April 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
"	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput	do		These are to Command you Pongiel Chetty & Veerasawmy Chetty, Pettys Appah Chetty & Pumbee Chuttah residing at Tankabala Soobroya Naicken's street in Cunnicul Pettah within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, to appear personally before the Acting Magistrate's Office at Sydapett to give evidence on behalf of the Prisoner in Thumboo Chetty who has been found in possession of certain stolen hand Cer- tains valued at Rs 89 the property of the Prosecutor Chengleroy Chetty	do	1855 March 30th	1855 March 30th	1855 April 2d	"	1855 April 11th	1855 April 3d	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 2d April 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
12	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput	Rungamah of Poonamally Complainant Logappah Goorooswamah Defendants		These are to command you Logappah Naicken and Goorooswamah residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Acting Magistrates Office at Sydapett to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by one Rungamah of Poonamally accusing you of having taken away certain Documents to belonging to her-	do	1855 April 5th	1855 April 3d	1855 April 5th	Chas. R. Cunningham Esqr. of the Chingleput Bar to be Sworn	1855 April 16th	1855 April 5th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 5th April 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
"	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate Chingleput	do		These are to command you Narasimah Chetty of Nellore and Kistnamah Chetty residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput at Sydapett to give Evidence in the matter of a Complaint preferred by Rungamah of Poonamally accusing Logappah Naicken &c of having taken away a bundle of Documents &c belonging to her-	do	1855 April 3d	1855 April 3d	1855 April 5th	do	1855 April 16th	1855 April 5th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 5th April 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
13	Warrant issued by the Ag Magistrate of Chingleput	Mr Rencontre Maury-	Prosecutor Prisoner	Whereas from the Report of the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook it appears that one Maury who was apprehended in possession of certain Planks hewn and stolen out of an old Boat at Cullewauk on the 17th March 1855 the property of one Mr Rencontre has resisted the Authority of the Village Police while under examination and fled to Madras where he is stated to be engaged in the service of one Mr Macdowell and it is hereby Com- manded to take the said Maury into Custody and Convey him to the Head of Police at Syda- pet-	do	1855 April 4th	1855 April 4th	1855 April 7th	Soobadar Naick the Assistant of the Magistrate of Chingleput	1855 April 7th		The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th April 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate

No. of Letter	No. on the file of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be served		Date of Letters	Proofs taken & sent	When received	Parties taken to officers	Names of the Persons examined	When returned	Remarks
8	Warrant issued by the Ag Magistrate of Chingleput	Kistna Iyengar Prosecutor Teroomalayarayen Prisoner	Whereas from the Solemn affirmation made before the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput by Kistna Iyengar residing at Goondoor in the Chingleput Talook it appear that one Teroomalayrayen an Inhabitant of Chingleput, entered the house of the Prosecutor at night on the 9th Instant and Stealing a box therefrom containing 30 Rupees fled with it to Madras and it is hereby Commanded to take into Custody the said Teroomalayrayen and Convey him to the Police Ameen at Chingleput.	Chingleput Ziller Ct Magistrates Office	1855 March 13th	1855 March 13th	1855 March 13th	"	Govindoo Ayen Peon of the Magistrate Office of Chingleput	1855 March 13th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 13th March 1855 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
9	Summons issued by the Ag Magistrate of Chingleput.	Rungamah Complainant Logasspah Naicken & Goorovamah Defendants	These are to Command you Logasspah Naicken & Goorovamah residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrates Office at Sydapet to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by one Rungamah of Poonamally accusing you of having taken away certain Documents belonging to her.	Do	1855 March 13th	1855 March 13th	1855 March 14th	1855 March 22d	"	1856 March 17	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th March 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
"	Summons issued by the Ag Magistrate of Chingleput	Do	These are to Command you Narasimah Chetty of Nellore and Kistnamah Chetty residing within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrates Office at Sydapett to give evidence in the matter of Complaint preferred by Rungamah of Poonamally accusing Logaspah Naicken &c. of having taken away a bundle of Documents &c. belonging to her	Do	1855 March 13th	1855 March 13th	1855 March 14th	1855 March 22d	"	1855 March 17th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 17th March 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
10	Summons issued by the Ag Magistrate of Chingleput	Chengulray Chetty Complainant Thumboo Chetty Defendant	These are to Command you, Conda Venea-tachella Chetty an Inhabitant of Sunjee-veroyen Pettah within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrates Office at Sydapet to give evidence in the matter of a Complaint preferred by Chengulray Chetty accusing one Thumboo Chetty of having stolen certain handkerchiefs &c. at Chinnumbedoo within the limits of the said District.	Do	1855 March 17th	1855 March 17th	1855 March 19th	1856 March 27th	"	1855 March 20th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 20th March 1855. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate

| | | |

Letters	Title of the Court.	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served.	From what Court:
35	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput		These are to command you, Sholia Kistnama Chetty, Nimmiah Chetty and Peddoo Chetty, residing near the House of Correction at Moottiaghett within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at his Cutcherry at Sunidy to state what you know regarding a Complaint preferred by Govindoo Naicken of Coodiagoor accusing Nagappa Naicken of having made over to Moozumiappa Naicken at Coodiacortty Thalay for pasturage a Cow and a Calf being a portion of the stolen property from his dwelling house	Chingleput Zillah Magistrate's Court.
36	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput	Rungummaul widow of the late Goorroovappa Naicken Complainant	These are to command you, Sadanul Loguppa Naick, residing in Seewoo Moodelly's street at Peddoo Naicken Pettah within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, to appear personally before the Magistrate at Sydapet to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by the said Rungummaul, widow of the late Goorroovappa Naicken an Inhabitant of Poonamally, accusing you of having fraudulently carried away certain Bonds appertaining to her.	Dr.
1	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput	Mr. J. D. Sim Plaintiff Masilamoney Defendant	These are to command you Mooneappa Gramany (Reg Toddy Renter at Sydapet upon oath) residing at Villapoor within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear before the Office of the Magistrate at Sydapet to give evidence in a Case in which the said Masilamoney is accused of having stolen a puppy the property of the said J. D. Sim.	Dr.
2	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput	Oongata Chetty Plaintiff Seroonmiyoor Ramasawmy Moodelly, Verasawmy Naiken, and Para Palaocar Verasawmy Defendants	These are to command you, Seroonmiyoor Ramasawmy Moodelly and Verasawmy Naiken Coomastah of Mangad Saravana Moodelly and Para Palaocar Verasawmy residing in Sala Street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear before the Head of Police at Sydapet to answer to a charge preferred by the said Oongata Chetty of Madras, accusing you of having forcibly entered his Choultry at Seroonullore in the District of Chingleput abused and assaulted him & carried away his property	Dr.
3	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput		These are to command you Mr. J. Hennessy residing at James Pereira's in Black Town within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrate at Sydapet to answer to a charge preferred against you of having inflicted a gun shot wound on one Mr. D. M. Deiken at Pulicat in the Zillah of Chingleput; which led to his demise in the General Hospital Madras on the 25th January 1855	Dr.
4	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput		These are to command you, Messrs T. Walker, J. M. Crosby, W. Harney and B. Mason residing in the Black Town within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Magistrate at Sydapet to state what you know relative to a charge preferred against Mr. J. Hennessey accusing him of having caused the death of the late Mr. D. M. Deiken by inflicting on him a gun shot wound at Pulicat in the Zillah of Chingleput where of he died in the General Hospital Madras on the 25th January 1855	Dr.

1854	1854	1854	1854		1854	The Honorable The Chief Justice	
Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Abdool Rahyman Esq. Magistrate's Office Chingleput.	Dec.		
14th	6th	13th	16th		14th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	55.
1854	1854	1854	1854		1854	The Honorable The Chief Justice 18 December 1854.	
Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Veerasawmy the Peon who served the Summons	Dec.		
14th	14th	16th	20th		18th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	
1855	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 5th January 1855	
Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.		Jan.		
3rd	3rd	4th	8th		6th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 6th January 1855 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons being delivered to him. Vadamaracainen	
1855	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 30th January 1855.	
Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.		Jan.		
25th	25th	29th	31st	11	30th	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	
1855	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 2nd February 1855.	
Jan.	Jan.	Feb.	Feb.		Feb.		
30th	25	1st	5th		2nd	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	
1855	1855	1855	1855		1855	The Honorable The Chief Justice 2nd February 1855.	
Jan.	Jan.	Feb.	Feb.		Feb.		
30th	25th	1st	5th		2nd	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.	

31	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput	Govinda Naiken	Complainant	These are to command you Nagappa Chetty, residing at Vetterada Street near the House of Correction within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, to appear personally before the Head of Police at Sydapet to answer a Complaint accusing you of having made over to Mooneappa Naiken at Cooderia Cotty Thalary for pasturage, a Cow & Calf being a portion of the Property stolen from the said Govinda Naiken of Codengayoor in the Sydapet Talook	Chas. Lyall Head Magistrate Coms.	1854 Nov.r 25th	1854 Nov.r 25th	1854 Nov.r 27th	1854 Nov.r 29th	A Police Peon	1854 Nov.r 29.	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28th Nov.r 1854. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			
32	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput	Adoodeen Saib	Complainant	These are to command you Saheum Saroodeen, Rahshamah Saib and Shaboo residing near the Jundal Street in Triplicane within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at Guindy to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by the said Adoodeen Saib of Nullancoopeum a hamlet of Codumbaukum, accusing you of having forcibly driven away 4 Sheep belonging to him valued at Rupees 8 on the 17th Ultimo	Do.	1854 Dec.r 1st	1854 Dec.r	1854 Dec.r 4th	1854 Dec.r 5th	Head Enumerator Magistrate's Office Chingleput	1854 Dec.r 5.	The Honorable The Chief Justice 5th December 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			
33	Summons issued by the Magistrate of the Zillah of Chingleput			These are to command you, Sholia Kistnama Chetty, Nimmiah Chetty and Peddoo Chetty residing near the House of Correction at Moottia Spettah within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Sydapet Talook at his Cutcherry at Guindy to state what you know regarding a Complaint preferred by the said Govindoo Naicken of Codengayoor accusing Nagappa Naicken of having made over to Moonia ppa Naicken at Cooderia Cotty Thalay for pasturage a Cow and a Calf being a portion of the Stolen property from his dwelling house.	Do.	1854 Dec.r 5th	1854 Dec.r 6th	1854 Dec.r 11	1854 Dec.r 11	Head Peon	1854 Dec.r 11th	Returned that the time herein mentioned Viz. at 11 A.M. on the 11th December 1854 for the parties therein named to appear, having expired before the Summons was delivered into the Sheriff's Office by the Peon Charged with the Summons.			
34	Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas Mount	Moonesawmy	Complainant	Moothey also Groner stands charged on Solemn affirmation of Moonesawmy with having at Saint Thomas Mount on the 17th November 1854 Stolen, taken & carried away from the dwelling house of the said Moonesawmy certain Clothes his property of the value of about Rs. 6 — — and it is hereby directed to apprehend the said Moothey also and produce him before the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas Mount.	Saint Thomas Mount Police Office.	1854 Dec.r 12th	1854 Dec.r 12th	1854 Dec.r 12th	"	Bailiff Officer of the Court of Request at St. Thomas Mount.	1854 Dec.r 13th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 13th December 1854. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			
35	Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas Mount	Moonyen	Complainant	Veerasawmy Cooly and Letchemee Washer woman Stand charged on Solemn affirmation of Moonyen Washerman with having at Saint Thomas Mount on the 26th November 1854 Stolen, taken and carried away from the dwelling house of the said Moonyen certain Clothes &c. valued about Rs. 9 — — and therefore it is hereby directed to apprehend the said Veerasawmy and Letchemee and produce them before the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas Mount	Do.	1854 Dec.r 12th	1854 Dec.r 12th	1854 Dec.r 12th	"	Do.	1854 Dec.r 13th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 13th December 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			

27	Warrant & Sessions Case No 13 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court	Moodelly Kisthnaden Prosecutor vs Alagherry 2 Moorty 3 Muroomooga alias Appavoo Moodely 4 Chellum and 5 Saulum Prisoners	Whereas Mottay Moodely and Moonesperoomaun, witnesses in this case have admitted to appear before this Sessions Court of Chingleput according to Summons issued to them by the Sessions Court of Chingleput on the 14th Sept 1854 and whereas their evidence is required in the said Case on the part of the 1st Prisoner	Clerk of the Court Sessions Court	1854 Oct 3	1854 Oct 6	1854 Oct 6	1854 Oct 16	Moonesperoomaun Witness in the Sessions Court	1854 Octr 7	The Honorable The Chief Justice 6th October 1854 The within named Mottay Moodely and Moonesperoomaun were taken & delivered on the 7th October 1854 to Moorooga appa Naick the Peon of the Sessions Court of Chingleput to whom the Warrant was directed.			
28	Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police of Saint Thomas' Mount	Whereas Palayettan, Ally, Mootten, Koollen and Naulee, Parriah Servants residents of Saint Thomas' Mount now hiding at Madras, stand charged on Solemn affirmation of Ramnaboy Ponday with having absented themselves without leave from their employer and absconded from the Cantonment of Saint Thomas' Mount after receiving their pay in advance: and it is hereby directed to apprehend the said Palayettan, Ally, Mootten, Koollen and Naulee and produce them before the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas' Mount	Saint Thomas' Mount Police Office	1854 Oct 12th	1854 10½	1854 12	"	Armagum Peon of the Saint Thomas' Mount	1854 Returned by the following Endorsement thereon by the Honorable The Chief Justice. I collect from the Sheriff that no Summons has yet been served - a warrant cannot be granted in the 1st instance for such an offence. 13th Octr 1854. (signed) Chr Rawlinson			
29	Do	Do Do Do	Do	1854 Oct 16th	1854 10th	1854 17th	"	Do	1854 Oct 18th	Returned again by the following Endorsement thereon by the Honorable The Chief Justice. If the Case is a Criminal Case within Act 10 of 1845. It should appear on the face of the Warrant that a Summons has been issued &c (signed) C.R 17/10/54.				
30	Do	Whereas Palayettan, Ally, Mooten, Koollen and Naulee, Parriah servants residents of St Thomas Mount & now hiding at Madras stand charged on Solemn affirmation of Ramsaboy Ponday with having absented themselves without leave from their Employer's service and absconded from the Cantonment of St Thomas Mount after receiving their wages in advance thereby defrauding him (their master) the sum of Rs 25 — Summons have been signed & due diligence has been used to serve the same upon the Defendants by a Peon who was unable to do so in consequence of the Defendants having absconded from the Cantonment and you are required to apprehend the said Palayettan, Ally, Mooten, Koollen and Naulee & produce them before me the Superintendent of Police at Saint Thomas' Mount.	Do	1854 Oct 19th	1854 19th	1854 20th	"	Do	1854 Octr 20th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 20th Sept 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.			

24 Warrant issued by the Magistrate of North Arcot — Vyapoory pillay the Hospital Conacapillay — Complainant — Ponnen — Prisoner

Whereas Ponnen, a resident of Madras who was employed as a Cooly in the Detachment of the 3. Madras European Regiment on route from Madura to Palley, stands charged before me (the Magistrate of North Arcot) on Solemn affirmation with having at Bareepully in the Bongaree Polliam about 1 Oclock in the night of the 31st August 1854 stolen from the Complainant Vyapoorepillay the Hospital Canacapillay of the detachment aforementioned, ready money Gold and Silver Ornaments &c — as particularized below. You are hereby directed to apprehend the said Ponnen wherever he may be found, to search the houses wherein he lives and other likely places and to produce him before me (the magistrate).

Ready money	Rupees	280 — —
1 Plain Gold ring valued at	"	15 — —
1 Silver Key Chain valued at	"	3 — 8 —
1 Iron pen used to write on Cadjan leaf	"	" — 9 —
1 Key partly silver and partly Iron	"	1 — — —
1 Turband Cloth	"	1 — — —
		301 — 1 — "

North Arcot Magistrate's Office

1854 Sepr 4 — 1854 Sepr 4 — 1854 Sepr 11 — Bala Sultan the Officer of the Magte's Escort

1854 Sepr 12 — The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Septr 1854

Sepr 12 — Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

25 Warrant issued by the Superintt of Police at St Thomas Mount — Anuntha hada hoodly — Complainant

Whereas Sutchetanunden Velley Caste Canteen Writer at the mount now hiding at Madras stands charged on Solemn affirmation of Anuntha hada Modooly with having on the 9th September 1854 fraudulently obtained possession of Certain Gold and Silver Jewels valued Rupees 1012 " — " and made away with the same and absconded from the Cantonment of Saint Thomas mount and requiring to apprehend the said Satchetanunden and produce him before the Superintendent of Police at St Thomas mount.

Saint Thomas Mount Police Office

1854 Sepr 19 — 1854 Sepr 19 — 1854 Sepr 19 — Syed Basheer Officer of the Police at St Thomas Mount

1854 Sepr 19 — Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.

26 Warrant issued by the Sub Collector of North Arcot

Whereas it appears from an Arzee received from the Tahsildar of Arcot Taluk under date the 11th September 1854 No 167 that Soobboo Seenavasengar, Seenoo Ragavengar and Vencatroyen, Monigars of Arumbakkum a Village in that Taluk, from whom there is a balance due to the Amount of Rupees 879 — 1 — 2 [Viz 369 — 15 — 9 on account of Certain Sums paid to them by the aforesaid Tahsildar for the execution of Repairs of a Tank, and Rs 529 1 — 5 due by them to the Circar for Fusly 1263 including Sums they collected from Tenants royetts] have absconded and proceeded to Madras: you are hereby commanded to proceed immediately to Madras and to deliver the letter addressed to the Sheriff of that place, and with his assistance apprehend the said Soobboo Seenavasengar, Seenoo Ragavengar and Vencatroyen now said to be at Madras and produce them before the Tahsildar of the Taluk of Arcot as soon as Convenient.

North Arcot Sub Collector's Office

1854 Sepr 18 — 1854 Sepr 18 — 1854 Sepr 26 — Tandavaroya Mudaly Tahsildar of the Taluk of North Arcot — Syed Basheer Officer of the Police at St Thomas Mount

1854 Sepr 27 — The Pursue Justice cannot call on the Sheriff to execute this Warrant which does not appear to be issued by any Court, Judge or Magistrate in the Territories &c under Sec I of Act 23 of 1840.

By Order
Signed G. W. L. Ricketts
Clerk to the Honorable
Mr Justice Burton

Madras 28th September 1854

22

21	Summons issued by the Acting magte of Chingleput	Vally - Complainant	These are to command you Pushpum, residing in the house Door Nº 115 in the Yagambrasan Coil Street and Namaseveya Chetty in the House Door Nº 66 in Nyneappa Naickens Street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Poonamally Talook at his Cutcherry at that station at Wake on the 4th Proceing, to answer to a charge preferred against you by one Vally accusing you of having carried away a box containing Sundry Gold and Silver Jewelry valued at Rupees 349, which was secured in a room appertaining to the dwelling house of one Ponniappa Chetty at Poonamally in which you are stated to have slept on the night of the 18th August 1854.	Chingleput Taluk Magistrate's Office	1854 Augt 31	1854 Augt 31.	1854 Septr 2d	1854 Septr 4	"	1854 Septr 2d The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 2d Septr 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
22	Warrant issued by the Acting magte of Chingleput	Whereas Pushpum residing in the house Door Nº 115 in the Yagambrasan Coil Street and Namaseveya Chetty in the House Door Nº 66 in Nyneappa Naickens Street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras, have failed to attend before the Head of Police at Poonamally on the 4th Instant, according to the Exigence of the Summons served on them by the Justice of the Peace at Madras, These are to command you to proceed with this Warrant and with the aid of the Police Officers in the Madras Jurisdiction take into Custody the said Pushpum and Namaseveya Chetty and convey them to the Head of Police of the Poonamally Talook.		Do		1854 Septr 9th	1854 Septr 9th	1854 Septr 11th	"	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Septr 1854 Septr 12th Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate.
23	Sessions Case Nº 13 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Sessions	Moodelly Krishna Reddy residing at St Thomas Mount the Taluk of Sydapet Prosecutor 1 Alagiery Residing at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras, 2 Moorty residing at Nadumbum in the Taluk of Sydapet, 3 Aurumooga Moodelly alias Apparoo Moodelly residing at Mootiyalapetta a Suburb of Madras, 4 Chellum residing at Chingleput in the Taluk of Chingleput, 5 Caulum Residing at Meenumbaukum in the Taluk of Sydapett. Prisoners	1st Prisoners Witness Mottay Moodelly's father's name Unknown a Cart Driver residing in the house opposite the house of Chinnoowa Saib son of Khandan Akbar Saib residing in Chokra Bog at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to give Evidence in Sessions Case Nº 13 of 1854 now under trial before the Sessions Judge	Chingleput Taluk Magistrate's Office Sessions Court.	1854 Septr 9th	1854 Septr 9th	1854 Septr 11th	Within 5 Coss of the Chingleput Sessions Court	To Adambaukum	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Septr 1854 Septr 15th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 11th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaiyaner
	Sessions Case Nº 13 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Sessions	Do	1st Prisoners Witness Moonoosawmy Naum son of Ramasawmy Moodelly Carnum in the house of Chinnoowah Saib son of Khandar Akbar Saib residing in Chakra Bog at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras Requiring to give Evidence in Sessions Case Nº 13 of 1854 now under trial before the Sessions Court.	Do	1854 Septr 9th	1854 Septr 9th	1854 Septr 11th	Do	Do	1854 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 12th Septr 1854 Septr 15th Served personally on the within named Witness on the 11th September 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons & translation being delivered to him. Vadanavaiyaner

18	Summons issued by the President of Tanjore	His H. the Rajah of Tanjore - Complainant Loakunda Roa & Moodoosamy the Servants of Do — Defendants	Loakunda roa and Moodoosamy the Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore. It having been represented to me that you, being Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore obtained money from H. H. under false pretences of obtaining the performance of certain acts for the benefit of H. H. which it is quite out of your power to obtain, you are hereby required to accompany the bearer Venkatachella Naick, a Deloyat of my Office and appear before me to answer what is alleged against you -	Tanjore President's Office	1854	1854	1854		Vencatachella Naick of the Bengal Regiment	1854	Returned by the following Endorsement thereon by the Honorable The Chief Justice. I cannot call on the Sheriff to serve this Document as it does not appear to be issued by any Court, Judge, or Magistrate in the Terrs H.M. under Section I of Act XXIII of 1840. (Signed) Chris Rawlinson C. J. 15th Augt 54
					"	Augt 9th	Augt 14th	"			
19	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Tanjore	H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore — Complainant Loakunda Roa and Moodoosamy the Servants of Do — Defendants	Loakunda Roa and Moodoosamy the Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore. It having been represented to me (Magistrate of Tanjore) that you, being Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore obtained money from H. H. under false pretences of obtaining the performance of Certain Acts for the benefit of H. H. which it is quite out your power to obtain, You are hereby required to accompany the bearer Venkatachella Nack a Deloyat of the Magistrates and appear before the said Magistrate to answer what is alleged against you -	Tanjore Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854	1854				1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 22nd August 1854
					"	Augt 18th	Augt 22nd	Do		Augt 22nd	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate -
20	Criminal Case No 89 of 1854 on the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Ummoye daughter of Moneyappa Moodely of Mootteyal Pettah a Suburb of Madras Provincial Mullayabutten son of Seroopoorantaca Buttler of the same place - Prisoner	Witness Pockavarum Pattaby Chetty a Shroff near Chennacasava Paromals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Tanjore Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen Court	1854	1854	1854	On the 3 days from the date of the Summons	Vadanarrayanan	1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29 August 1854 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan
14					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th			Augt 30th	
	Criminal Case No 89 of 1854 on the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Do	Witness Zillah Gopaulo Chetty the Jeweller near Chennacasawa Paromals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Do	1854	1854	1854			1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29th August 1854
					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th	Do	Do	Augt 30th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan
"	Original Case No 89 of 1854 in the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Do	Witness Zillah Narasimmoolo Chetty a Shroff near Chennacasawa Paromals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Do	1854	1854	1854			1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29th August 1854
					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th	Do	Do	Augt 30th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan
"	Criminal Case No 89 of 1854 on the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Do	Witness Yagappa Buttler living near Chinnadeal near Peroomals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Do	1854	1854	1854			1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29th August 1854
					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th	Do	Do	Augt 30th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan

15	Session Case No. 17 of 1854 on the file of the Session Court	Summons	Chinnatomby Narick residing at Wallajahad in the Taluk of Chingleput Vencatachella Moodely 2 Gounden Narick 3 Thammuppa Grammy residing at Teroporoor in the Taluk of Teroporoor 4 Mootoo Grammy residing at Cunneyputtoo in the said Taluk 5 Conary Residing at Teroporoor in the said Taluk — Prisoners	Ex. Prisoners Witness Say accara Coopiah Pillay son of Appavaumy Pillay residing in the house &c. situate East of the Gungoom - dammenta puram at Teroovuttaroo warum Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear before the Session Court and give Evidence	Chingleput Assistant Session Court	1854 July 14	1854 July 12	1854 July 15	On this 5th day of July Samuel Vadamarraiyen	1854 July 26	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th July 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 25th July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarraiyen
16	Warrant issued by the Acting Head of Chingleput	Ammoyee — Complainant Rungiah Chetty Yellabatten and Chetty — Prisoners	Whereas from personal representation made on Solemn affirmation before the Head Assistant Magistrate of the District of Chingleput by the Complainant Ammoyee, an Inhabitant of Madras, it appears that Rungiah Chetty and Yellabatten had in their possession and offered for Sale at the Sharing Bazar at that Station a Jewel called "Ponchy" valued at Rs 55 a portion of the property said to have been stolen by one Thirtagarry Chetty at Streeperoo - mbadoor, and that the said Rungiah Chetty and Yellabatten were apprehended and are now in the Custody of the Madras Police Office, and there are to command you to take into Custody the said Rungiah Chetty, and Yellabattan as well as Thirtagarry Chetty an Inhabitant of Madras with such property as has been discovered in their possession and convey them to the Head of Police of the Maneemungalum Taluk.	Chingleput Assistant Magistrate's Office	1854 July 27	1854 July 27	1854 July 28	Head Peon attached to the Assistant Magistrate's Office Maneemungalum Taluk Chingleput District & Paid Annamah	1854 July 28	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28 July 1854. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate. Vadamarraiyen
17	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Ammoyee of Madras Complainant Rungiah Chetty & others Defendants	These are to command you, Thepparooma Chetty, residing at Washermanpet Settati, Arroomooga Moodelly residing in Madras van Kum Varadaraja Moodelly's Street, Mungapaty Naicken residing in Puttoo Pasappa Moodelly's Street & Rungum residing in Munnady street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Acting Head of Police of Maneemungalum Taluk at his Cutcherry at Streeperoombadoor to give Evidence in a case in which Rungiah Chetty &c are accused of having offered for Sale at the Sharing Bazar at Madras a Golden Jewel called Ponchy being a portion of the property alleged to have been stolen from one Ammoyee	Dr.	1854 Augt 5th	1854 Augt 5th	1854 Augt 7	1864 Augt 10th	Head Peon attached to the Assistant Magistrate's Office Maneemungalum Chingleput District & Paid Annamah	1854 Augt 8th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th August 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness Rungum on the 7th August 1854 and the other Witnesses Thepparooma Chetty and Mungapaty Naicken on the 8th August 1854 by the Duplicates of the Original Summons being delivered to them. Arroomooga Moodelly the other Witness also within named is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadamarraiyen

13	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of Sessions Court Summons	Havalappa Moodily Warden of the Veroneermalay Pagoda in the Taluk of Sydapett of the Zillah of Chingleput & Paravastoo Ranga Chary & Mackha Veera Chary Butler, 3 Arcaneerapa-ragava chary son of the 2d & Challah Paromah Naicken, 5 Sondoy Moodily 6 Appavoo Naicken and 7 Moollah Moodily residing in Veroneermalay of the Sydapet Taluk in the Zillah of Chingleput Prisoners	3d Prisoner's Witness Urchaka Ramanoja Iyengar father's name Unknown residing in the west street to the Pagoda of Shree Parthasaradhy Swmyar at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	Chingleput Zillah Sessions Court	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	Within 5 days after service	Not to be found within the Local limits of Madras	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1854. June Served personally on the within named 26th Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	4th Prisoner's Witness Perruma Ganapathy Naidoo father's name Unknown, residing in Maroondoo Giddungoo street at St Thome a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1852. June Served personally on the within named Witness 26th on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	4th Prisoner's Witness Nayanissa Naicken, father's name Unknown residing in Maroondoo Giddingoo Street at St Thome a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1852. June Served personally on the within named Witness 26th on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	4th Prisoner's Witness Narrania Naicken (father's name Unknown) residing in the Nasso Seroovoo at Mylapore (St Thome) a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1854. June Served personally on the within named Witness 26th on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
14	Summons issued by the Acting Magte of Chingleput	Aroomooga Moodelly & Moorty Pillay Complainants	Witnesses Veerasawmy Naicken, Goorooswamy Moody and Menachy residing at Chinnamboocar street in Chintadrepet within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Requiring to appear personally before the Acting Magte at Sydapet to give Evidence in a Case of torture alleged to have been perpetrated by Perumall Naick and others under Orders of the Cutwall at the Mount on the persons of Aroomooga Moodelly and Moorty Pillay.	Chingleput Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854 June 26th	1854 June 26th	1854 June 30th	1854 July 4th	do	1854 July 4th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 30th June 1854. Served personally on Goorooswamy Moody and Menachy on the 1st July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons being delivered to them. The other Witness is not to be found within the local limits of Madras.			

13	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	Havaluppa Moodely Warden of Peroomamalay Pagoda in the Taluk of Sydapet of the Zillah of Chingleput — Prosecutor 1. Parasurama Runga Charry, 2. Uzchaka Veera Charry Patter, 3. Struenivasaraghava charry son of the 2d. by Chella Paloma Naicken, 5 Pondy Moodely, 6 Appavoo Naicken and Moottiah Moodely residing in Peroomamalay of the Sydapett Taluk in the Zillah of Chingleput. Prisoners.	1st. Prisoners Witness Yelamboo Ramasawmy Moodely fathers name unknown, residing in Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	Chingleput Sessions Court	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	Within 5 days of the issue.	Vide the warrant	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.	
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Parthasaradhy Naick, Contractor of the drains in the 3d. Division of the Aspatmt. Office residing in Peddoo Naicks Pettah at Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Vydyanadha Iyer fathers name unknown residing at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Kristnamachary a Teacher in the Revd. Mr. Anderson's School residing in the Aide Caserna Parade ground Coral street at Chintadrey Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Moothialoo Naick, father's name unknown residing in Cuspel Poloo Chetty Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	3d. Prisoners Witness Parichavacum Gopaulachary fathers name unknown residing in the north street to the Pagoda of Shree Parthasaradhy Swamy at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do		The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. See Sheriff's letter dated 12th July 1854 to the Acting Sessions Judge of Chingleput and his answer dated 14th of the same month.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	3d. Prisoners Witness Nummalwar a Dansanumbi of the Jewelry Godown in the Pagoda of Shree Parthasaradhy Swamy residing in the South Street of the said Pagoda at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		

10	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Malabar		Whereas Tharamel Coonhollen and Karichal Coonhollen Cotty Inhabitants of the Bettudnaad Talook in the Zillah of Malabar are suspected of having been Concerned in a Gang Robbery, which took place on the 25th January last in the said Talook and to have absconded to Madras; You are hereby directed to cause the apprehension of the said Tharamel Coonhollen and Karichal Coonhollen Cootty and forward them to the Magistrate of the said Zillah.	Malabar Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854 May 3d	1854 May 3d	1854 May 8d	" "	1854 May 11th	The Puisne Judge, Sir W. W. Burton, declines signing this Warrant, on account of its informality. The Warrant does not bear the Seal of the Court issuing it. The name of the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant now here appears. The XIII Sec of Reg IX of 1816 is not complied with, inasmuch as it does not appear that there had been any information at all before the Magistrate or sufficient cause for the apprehension of the parties on the report of a Police Officer. By Order (signed) G. W. L. Ricketts Clerk to the Honorable Sir W. W. Burton
11	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput	Annanalay Grammy Complainant Comaragooroo and Moottoocomarun Defendants	Defendants Comaragooroo and Moottoocomarun residing at Poodoopaukum within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Requiring to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Teroopporoor Talook at 10 A.M. on the 17th Instant at his Cutcherry at the Cusbah Station to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by Annanalay Grammy accusing you of having broken open a box containing the property of his Concubine Visalachy valued at Rs. 122-8- which was kept in a Muttum and abstracted therefrom certain Articles.	Acting Chingleput Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854 May 12	1854 May 12	1854 May 13	1854 May 17	1854 May 16th	The Honble Mr. Justice Burton 16th May 1854. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate. Moonesamy Naick Complainant's Servant
12	Warrant issued by the Acting Superintendent of Police at Palaveram	Easuff Saib- Complainant Ramalinga Paridasy Defendant	Whereas Ramalinga Paridasy Pundarum Caste resident and Native Doctor of the General Bazar Palaveram stands Charge on Solemn affirmation before the Acting Superintendent of Police at Palaveram by one Easuff Saib Reg Bazarman with having at Palaveram on the 28th day of October 1852 Received Company's Rs. Fifty under the false pretence of mortgaging his house & shop & with having absconded without having duly made over the said House and Shop and requiring to apprehend the said Ramalinga Paridasy and produce him before the said Acting Superintendent of Police at Palaveram.	Palaveram Acting Superintendent of Police	1854 June 9th	1854 June 9th	1854 June 15th	"	1854 June 16th	The Honorable Sir W. W. Burton declines signing this warrant, the matter complained of being merely a breach of contract for which the party complaining has his civil remedy. The warrant also bears no Seal. By Order (signed) G. W. L. Ricketts Clerk to the Honble Sir W. W. Burton Ramasamy Peon of the Police at Palaveram

No. of Letter	Nature of the Writs of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Receipt entered	When received	Parties when to appear	Name of the Peon entrusted with the Process	When returned	Remarks
					1854	1854	1854			1854	
7	Warrant Issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		Whereas from the Official Report made by the Head of Police of the Sydapet Taluk it appears that Streneva Isa Ragava Charry and Mambalum Ragava Charry charged with being concerned in a Case of Larceny committed in the Pagoda of Teroneermalay in the said Taluk are residing at Treplicane within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras and requires to apprehend the said Streneva Isa Ragava Charry and Mambalum Ragavah Charry and produce them the Head of Police of the Sydapet Taluk-	Chingleput Stipendiary Magistrate's Office	March 31	March 31	April 3		Sydrnadar Peon of the Sydapet Taluk.	April 3	The Honorable The Chief Justice 3. April 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
					1854	1854	1854			1854	
8	Warrant be issued by the Joint Magistrate of Saint Thomas Mount	Moodoo Kistna Reddy Prosecutor	Whereas Chinnamah, Chellee, Ghooroosawmy and Veerasawmy stand Charged before me on Solemn affirmation of Police Peon Hurry-presaud with having at Saint Thomas Mount at 12 P.M. on the 22. Febuary 1854 in Company with several others came to the dwelling house of Moodoo-kistna Reddy, armed with Swords and other weapons broke open the doors thereof after maiming the said Moodookistna Reddy and several other Police Officers when attempted to apprehend the gang and plundered away therefrom property to the value of Rupees 9093-6-11 and requiring to apprehend and produce before the Joint Magistrate of St. Thomas Mount-	St. Thomas's Mount	April 21	April 21	April 21		Hurry presaud Police Peon of the Saint Thomas's Mount	April 22	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 21st April 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
					1854	1854	1854	1854		1854	
9	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Shashachellah Chetty of Teroovammayoor Complainant Moonien an Inhabitant of Royapooram- Defendant	Defendant Moonien an Inhabitant of Royapooram within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras- Requiring to appear personally before J.A. Wedderburn Esqr. Asst. Magte. of Chingleput at Guindy to answer to a Complaint preferred by Shashachellah Chetty of Teroovammayoor accusing you of having defrauded him of Rupees 20 entrusted to you for making an advance to Nyniappa Naicken on account of Straw & appropriated the Amount to your own use-	Chingleput Stipendiary Magistrate's Office	April 22	April 22	April 25	April 28		April 25	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25th April 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate

File of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	What Court	Date	Remarks
Warrant issued by the Superintendent of Police of St Thomas's Mount	Reverend Richard Orlando - Prosecutor Prisoner	Whereas Orlando Parriah Servant stands charged on Oath of Reverend Richard with having embezzled and wilfully misapplied certain articles value Rupees 19-0-0 the property of his master the said Reverend Richard which were duly entrusted to him and absconded from the Cantonment of St Thomas Mount and it is hereby directed to apprehend the said Orlando and produce him before the Superintendent of St Thomas Mount	St Thomas Mount Police Office.	1854 March 8	1854 March 8 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 8th March 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepet	Mahamed Esoph Complainant Lawlameah - Defendant	Defendant Lawlameah residing near the Jundah Staff at Triplicane within Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras - Requiring you to appear personally before J. Wedderburn Esqre Assistt Magistrate of this District at his Cutcherry at Sydapet at 10 A.M. on the 25th Instant, to answer to a Complaint preferred by one Mahomed Esoph a Pensioned Sepoy accusing you of having by threats exacted from him certain ready money &c and having made away with the same -	Chinglepet Magistrate's Office	1854 March 17, 21, 22, 25	1854 March 28 The within named Defendant Lawlameah is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.
Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Chinglepet		Whereas from Solemn affirmation made before the Magistrate of Chinglepet by one Sawmy a Lascar in the service of Mr Wilson and Goomastah of one (Ammany) Ayah in the service of the same Gentleman) as well as from the examination held on the subject before the Tahsildar of Pedda pollium it appears Mootoosawmy residing at Vasal vali &c within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras is concerned in a High way robbery Committed on the said Ammany on her way from Vedyoor in the Periapollium Talook and it is hereby commanded to take into Custody the said Mootoosawmy and Convey him to the Head of Police of the Pareapolliam Talook.	Do	1854 March 23	Sheik Emam Peon of the Pedda pollium Talook 1854 March 24 The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 24th March 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate

18	Summons issued by the President of Tanjore	His H. the Rajah of Tanjore - Complainant Loakunda Roa & Moodoosamy the Servants of Do — Defendants	Loakunda roa and Moodoosamy the Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore. It having been represented to me that you, being Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore obtained money from H. H. under false pretences of obtaining the performance of certain acts for the benefit of H. H. which it is quite out of your power to obtain, you are hereby required to accompany the bearer Venkatachella Naick, a Deloyat of my Office and appear before me to answer what is alleged against you -	Tanjore President's Office	1854	1854	1854		Vencatachella Naick of the Bengal Regiment	1854	Returned by the following Endorsement thereon by the Honorable The Chief Justice. I cannot call on the Sheriff to serve this Document as it does not appear to be issued by any Court, Judge, or Magistrate in the Terrs H.M. under Section I of Act XXIII of 1840. (Signed) Chris Rawlinson C. J. 15th Augt 54
					"	Augt 9th	Augt 14th	"			
19	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Tanjore	H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore — Complainant Loakunda Roa and Moodoosamy the Servants of Do — Defendants	Loakunda Roa and Moodoosamy the Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore. It having been represented to me (Magistrate of Tanjore) that you, being Servants of H. H. the Rajah of Tanjore obtained money from H. H. under false pretences of obtaining the performance of Certain Acts for the benefit of H. H. which it is quite out your power to obtain, You are hereby required to accompany the bearer Venkatachella Nack a Deloyat of the Magistrates and appear before the said Magistrate to answer what is alleged against you -	Tanjore Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854	1854				1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 22nd August 1854
					"	Augt 18th	Augt 22nd	Do		Augt 22nd	Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate -
20	Criminal Case No 89 of 1854 on the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Ummoye daughter of Moneyappa Moodely of Mootteyal Pettah a Suburb of Madras Provincial Mullayabutten son of Seroopoorantaca Buttler of the same place - Prisoner	Witness Pockavarum Pattaby Chetty a Shroff near Chennacasava Paromals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Tanjore Zillah Principal Sudr Ameen Court	1854	1854	1854	On the 3 days from the date of the Summons	Vadanarrayanan	1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29 August 1854 Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan
14					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th			Augt 30th	
	Criminal Case No 89 of 1854 on the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Do	Witness Zillah Gopaulo Chetty the Jeweller near Chennacasawa Paromals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Do	1854	1854	1854			1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29th August 1854
					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th	Do	Do	Augt 30th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan
"	Original Case No 89 of 1854 in the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Do	Witness Zillah Narasimmoolo Chetty a Shroff near Chennacasawa Paromals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Do	1854	1854	1854			1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29th August 1854
					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th	Do	Do	Augt 30th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan
"	Criminal Case No 89 of 1854 on the File of the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen Summons	Do	Witness Yagappa Buttler living near Chinnadeal near Peroomals Pagoda at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear and depose on the part of the Prisoner.	Do	1854	1854	1854			1854	The Honorable Chief Justice 29th August 1854
					Augt 24th	Augt 24th	Augt 25th	Do	Do	Augt 30th	Served personally on the within named Witness on the 29th August 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadanarrayanan

13	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of Sessions Court Summons	Havalappa Moodily Warden of the Veroneermalay Pagoda in the Taluk of Sydapett of the Zillah of Chingleput & Paravastoo Ranga Chary & Mackha Veera Chary Butler, 3 Arcaneerapa-ragava chary son of the 2d & Challah Paromah Naicken, 5 Sondoy Moodily 6 Appavoo Naicken and 7 Moollah Moodily residing in Veroneermalay of the Sydapet Taluk in the Zillah of Chingleput Prisoners	3d Prisoner's Witness Urchaka Ramanoja Iyengar father's name Unknown residing in the west street to the Pagoda of Shree Parthasaradhy Swmyar at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	Chingleput Zillah Sessions Court	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	Within 5 days after service	Not to be found within the Local limits of Madras	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1854. June Served personally on the within named 26th Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	4th Prisoner's Witness Perruma Ganapathy Naidoo father's name Unknown, residing in Maroondoo Giddungoo street at St Thome a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1852. June Served personally on the within named Witness 26th on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	4th Prisoner's Witness Nayanissa Naicken, father's name Unknown residing in Maroondoo Giddingoo Street at St Thome a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1852. June Served personally on the within named Witness 26th on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
	Sessions Case No 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	4th Prisoner's Witness Narrania Naicken (father's name Unknown) residing in the Nasso Seroovoo at Mylapore (St Thome) a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 23d June 1854. June Served personally on the within named Witness 26th on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him.			
14	Summons issued by the Acting Magte of Chingleput	Aroomooga Moodelly & Moorty Pillay Complainants	Witnesses Veerasawmy Naicken, Goorooswamy Moody and Menachy residing at Chinnamboocar street in Chintadrepet within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Requiring to appear personally before the Acting Magte at Sydapet to give Evidence in a Case of torture alleged to have been perpetrated by Perumall Naick and others under Orders of the Cutwall at the Mount on the persons of Aroomooga Moodelly and Moorty Pillay.	Chingleput Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854 June 26th	1854 June 26th	1854 June 30th	1854 July 4th	do	1854 July 4th	The Honorable Mr Justice Burton 30th June 1854. Served personally on Goorooswamy Moody and Menachy on the 1st July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons being delivered to them. The other Witness is not to be found within the local limits of Madras.			

13	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	Havaluppa Moodely Warden of Peroomamalay Pagoda in the Taluk of Sydapet of the Zillah of Chingleput — Prosecutor 1. Parasurama Runga Charry, 2. Uzchaka Veera Charry Patter, 3. Struenivasaraghava charry son of the 2d. by Chella Paloma Naicken, 5 Pondy Moodely, 6 Appavoo Naicken and Moottiah Moodely residing in Peroomamalay of the Sydapett Taluk in the Zillah of Chingleput. Prisoners.	1st. Prisoners Witness Yelamboo Ramasawmy Moodely fathers name unknown, residing in Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	Chingleput Sessions Court	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	Within 5 days of the issue.	Vide the warrant	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.	
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Parthasaradhy Naick, Contractor of the drains in the 3d. Division of the Aspatmt. Office residing in Peddoo Naicks Pettah at Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Vydyanadha Iyer fathers name unknown residing at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 23d June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Kristnamachary a Teacher in the Revd. Mr. Anderson's School residing in the Aide Caserna Parade ground Coral street at Chintadrey Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	1st. Prisoners Witness Moothialoo Naick, father's name unknown residing in Cuspel Poloo Chetty Street at Peddoo Naicks Pettah a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	3d. Prisoners Witness Parichavacum Gopaulachary fathers name unknown residing in the north street to the Pagoda of Shree Parthasaradhy Swamy at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do		The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. See Sheriff's letter dated 12th July 1854 to the Acting Sessions Judge of Chingleput and his answer dated 14th of the same month.		
"	Sessions Case No. 12 of 1854 on the file of the Sessions Court Summons	do	3d. Prisoners Witness Nummalwar a Dansanumbi of the Jewelry Godown in the Pagoda of Shree Parthasaradhy Swamy residing in the South Street of the said Pagoda at Triplicane a Suburb of Madras. Requiring to appear before the Sessions Court to give Evidence.	do	1854 June 20th	1854 June 20th	1854 June 21st	do	do	1854 June 26th	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 23d June 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 24th June 1854 by the Duplicate of the Criml. Summons and translation being delivered to him.		

15	Session Case No. 17 of 1854 on the file of the Session Court	Summons	Chinnatomby Narick residing at Wallajahad in the Taluk of Chingleput Vencatachella Moodely 2 Gounden Narick 3 Thammuppa Grammy residing at Teroporoor in the Taluk of Teroporoor 4 Mootoo Grammy residing at Cunneyputtoo in the said Taluk 5 Conary Residing at Teroporoor in the said Taluk — Prisoners	Ex. Prisoners Witness Say accara Coopiah Pillay son of Appavaumy Pillay residing in the house &c. situate East of the Gungoom - dammenta puram at Teroovuttaroo warum Pettah a Suburb of Madras Requiring to appear before the Session Court and give Evidence	Chingleput Assistant Session Court	1854 July 14	1854 July 12	1854 July 15	On this 5th day of July Samuel Vadamarraiyen	1854 July 26	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 18th July 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness on the 25th July 1854 by the Duplicate of the Original Summons and translation being delivered to him. Vadamarraiyen
16	Warrant issued by the Acting Head of Chingleput	Ammoyee — Complainant Rungiah Chetty Yellabatten and Chetty — Prisoners	Whereas from personal representation made on Solemn affirmation before the Head Assistant Magistrate of the District of Chingleput by the Complainant Ammoyee, an Inhabitant of Madras, it appears that Rungiah Chetty and Yellabatten had in their possession and offered for Sale at the Sharing Bazar at that Station a Jewel called "Ponchy" valued at Rs 55 a portion of the property said to have been stolen by one Thirtagarry Chetty at Streeperoo - mbadoor, and that the said Rungiah Chetty and Yellabatten were apprehended and are now in the Custody of the Madras Police Office, and there are to command you to take into Custody the said Rungiah Chetty, and Yellabattan as well as Thirtagarry Chetty an Inhabitant of Madras with such property as has been discovered in their possession and convey them to the Head of Police of the Maneemungalum Taluk.	Chingleput Assistant Magistrate's Office	1854 July 27	1854 July 27	1854 July 28	Head Peon attached to the Assistant Magistrate's Office Maneemungalum Taluk Chingleput District & Paid Annamah	1854 July 28	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 28 July 1854. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate. Vadamarraiyen
17	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Ammoyee of Madras Complainant Rungiah Chetty & others Defendants	These are to command you, Thepparooma Chetty, residing at Washermanpet Settati, Arroomooga Moodelly residing in Madras van Kum Varadaraja Moodelly's Street, Mungapaty Naicken residing in Puttoo Pasappa Moodelly's Street & Rungum residing in Munnady street within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras to appear personally before the Acting Head of Police of Maneemungalum Taluk at his Cutcherry at Streeperoombadoor to give Evidence in a case in which Rungiah Chetty &c are accused of having offered for Sale at the Sharing Bazar at Madras a Golden Jewel called Ponchy being a portion of the property alleged to have been stolen from one Ammoyee	Dr.	1854 Augt 5th	1854 Augt 5th	1854 Augt 7	1864 Augt 10th	Head Peon attached to the Assistant Magistrate's Office Maneemungalum Chingleput District & Paid Annamah	1854 Augt 8th	The Honorable The Chief Justice 7th August 1854. Served personally on the within named Witness Rungum on the 7th August 1854 and the other Witnesses Thepparooma Chetty and Mungapaty Naicken on the 8th August 1854 by the Duplicates of the Original Summons being delivered to them. Arroomooga Moodelly the other Witness also within named is not to be found within the local limits of Her Majesty's Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Vadamarraiyen

10	Warrant issued by the Magistrate of Malabar		Whereas Tharamel Coonhollen and Karichal Coonhollen Cotty Inhabitants of the Bettudnaad Talook in the Zillah of Malabar are suspected of having been Concerned in a Gang Robbery, which took place on the 25th January last in the said Talook and to have absconded to Madras; You are hereby directed to cause the apprehension of the said Tharamel Coonhollen and Karichal Coonhollen Cootty and forward them to the Magistrate of the said Zillah.	Malabar Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854 May 3d	1854 May 3d	1854 May 8d	" "	1854 May 11th	The Puisne Judge, Sir W. W. Burton, declines signing this Warrant, on account of its informality. The Warrant does not bear the Seal of the Court issuing it. The name of the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant now here appears. The XIII Sec of Reg IX of 1816 is not complied with, inasmuch as it does not appear that there had been any information at all before the Magistrate or sufficient cause for the apprehension of the parties on the report of a Police Officer. By Order (signed) G. W. L. Ricketts Clerk to the Honorable Sir W. W. Burton
11	Summons issued by the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput	Annanalay Grammy Complainant Comaragooroo and Moottoocomarun Defendants	Defendants Comaragooroo and Moottoocomarun residing at Poodoopaukum within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. Requiring to appear personally before the Head of Police of the Teroopporoor Talook at 10 A.M. on the 17th Instant at his Cutcherry at the Cusbah Station to answer to a Complaint preferred against you by Annanalay Grammy accusing you of having broken open a box containing the property of his Concubine Visalachy valued at Rs. 122-8- which was kept in a Muttum and abstracted therefrom certain Articles.	Acting Chingleput Zillah Magistrate's Office	1854 May 12	1854 May 12	1854 May 13	1854 May 17	1854 May 16th	The Honble Mr. Justice Burton 16th May 1854. Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate. Moonesamy Naick Complainant's Servant
12	Warrant issued by the Acting Superintendent of Police at Palaveram	Easuff Saib- Complainant Ramalinga Paridasy Defendant	Whereas Ramalinga Paridasy Pundarum Caste resident and Native Doctor of the General Bazar Palaveram stands Charge on Solemn affirmation before the Acting Superintendent of Police at Palaveram by one Easuff Saib Reg Bazarman with having at Palaveram on the 28th day of October 1852 Received Company's Rs. Fifty under the false pretence of mortgaging his house & shop & with having absconded without having duly made over the said House and Shop and requiring to apprehend the said Ramalinga Paridasy and produce him before the said Acting Superintendent of Police at Palaveram.	Palaveram Acting Superintendent of Police	1854 June 9th	1854 June 9th	1854 June 15th	"	1854 June 16th	The Honorable Sir W. W. Burton declines signing this warrant, the matter complained of being merely a breach of contract for which the party complaining has his civil remedy. The warrant also bears no seal. By Order (signed) G. W. L. Ricketts Clerk to the Honble Sir W. W. Burton Ramasamy Peon of the Police at Palaveram

No. of Letter	Nature of the Writs of the Court	Names of the Parties	On whom to be Served	From what Court	Date of Letters	Receipt entered	When received	Parties when to appear	Name of the Peon entrusted with the Process	When returned	Remarks
					1854	1854	1854			1854	
7	Warrant Issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput		Whereas from the Official Report made by the Head of Police of the Sydapet Taluk it appears that Streneva Isa Ragava Charry and Mambalum Ragava Charry charged with being concerned in a Case of Larceny committed in the Pagoda of Teroneermalay in the said Taluk are residing at Treplicane within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras and requires to apprehend the said Streneva Isa Ragava Charry and Mambalum Ragavah Charry and produce them the Head of Police of the Sydapet Taluk-	Chingleput Stipendiary Magistrate's Office	March 31	March 31	April 3		Sydrnadar Peon of the Sydapet Taluk.	April 3	The Honorable The Chief Justice 3. April 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
					1854	1854	1854			1854	
8	Warrant be issued by the Joint Magistrate of Saint Thomas Mount	Moodoo Kistna Reddy Prosecutor	Whereas Chinnamah, Chellee, Ghooroosawmy and Veerasawmy stand Charged before me on Solemn affirmation of Police Peon Hurry-presaud with having at Saint Thomas Mount at 12 P.M. on the 22. Febuary 1854 in Company with several others came to the dwelling house of Moodoo-kistna Reddy, armed with Swords and other weapons broke open the doors thereof after maiming the said Moodookistna Reddy and several other Police Officers when attempted to apprehend the gang and plundered away therefrom property to the value of Rupees 9093-6-11 and requiring to apprehend and produce before the Joint Magistrate of St. Thomas Mount-	St. Thomas's Mount	April 21	April 21	April 21		Hurry presaud Police Peon of the Saint Thomas's Mount	April 22	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 21st April 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate
					1854	1854	1854	1854		1854	
9	Summons issued by the Magistrate of Chingleput	Shashachellah Chetty of Teroovammayoor Complainant Moonien an Inhabitant of Royapooram- Defendant	Defendant Moonien an Inhabitant of Royapooram within the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras- Requiring to appear personally before J.A. Wedderburn Esqr. Asst. Magte. of Chingleput at Guindy to answer to a Complaint preferred by Shashachellah Chetty of Teroovammayoor accusing you of having defrauded him of Rupees 20 entrusted to you for making an advance to Nyniappa Naicken on account of Straw & appropriated the Amount to your own use-	Chingleput Stipendiary Magistrate's Office	April 22	April 22	April 25	April 28		April 25	The Honorable Mr. Justice Burton 25th April 1854 Forwarded to the Chief Magistrate

366