
792
1890
1893

2 appel	No	1007 of 1892	148		16/93	160		173 of 93	171
O.S. Appl.	"	5 of 1893	148		11/93	160		142 of 92	171
C.R.P. No	"	16 of 1893	149		12/93	161		102 of 93	171
O.S. C.M. P No	"	2 of 1893	149		1641/92	161	O.S. appl. No	27 of 93	172
4th notice C.M.P.	"	1033 of 1891	150		901/91	161	do	29 of 93	172
O.S. appl	"	4 of 1893	150		341/90	162	2 appl. No	684/93	172
2 Corpl O.S. appl	"	37 of 1892	150		122/92	162	3 Portion 2 appl. No	1662/92	173
2 appel	"	1662 of 1892	151		122/92	163	C.M.P. No	563/93	173
Appl	"	130 of 1892	151		157/91	163	O.S. appl. No	32/93	173
O.S. Appl	"	1 of 1893	152		15/93	163	do	30/93	174
O.S. Appl	"	10 of 1893	153		14/93	164	2 appl. No	747/93	174
O.S. appl	"	6 of 1893	153		15/93	164	O.S. appl. No	34/93	175
O.S. Appl	"	7 of 1893	153	C.M.P.	13/93	165	C.M.P. No	459/92	175
6 Jan Petition	"	184 of 1893	154		10/93	165	O.S. Appl. No	35/93	175
O.S. appl	"	2 of 1893	154		18/93	165	do No	25/93	176
O.S. appl	"	3 of 1893	154		17/93	166	do No	33/93	176
O.S. Appl	"	8 of 1893	155	Pauper	13/93	166	do No	31/93	176
C.M.P	"	29 of 1892	155		115/93	166	Appeal No against Appellate order	57/93	177
3 Corpl O.S. C.M.P	"	39 of 1892	155		27/93	167	O.S. appl No	22/93	177
C. Brief	"	71 of 1892	156		55/93	167	Appl ago order No	123/93	177
2 appl No	"	51 of 1893	156				O.S. Appl. No	38/93	178
Appl No	"	15 of 1893	156		21/93	167	Appl ago order Nos	103 104 / 93	178
O.S. Appl No	"	9 of 1893	157		446/93	168	C.M.P. No	896/93	178
O.S. appl No	"	11 of 1893	157		1646/92	168	Pauper C.S. No	129/	179
2 appl	"	173/93 —	158		19/93	168	O.S. appl. No	36/93	179
C.M.P.	"	30/93 —	158		26/93 —	169	"	37/93	179
2 appl	"	183/93 —	158		23/93	169	O.S. No	40/93	180
C.M.P	"	1169 of 92	159		59/93 —	169	O.S. appl. No	41/93	180
Pauper		28/93	159	Pauper	24/93	170	Appl ago order No	139/93	180
Appl No	No	14/92	159		21/93 —	170	O.S. appl No	27/93	181
							do	42/93	181

Claim C.S	No	11925 of 1888	1	O.S. Appl	No	8 of 1890	12	Appl No	73 of 1890	22
Appl No	"	4 of 1890	1	A.M. Appl Order	"	21 of 1890	12	C.R.P.	226 of 1890	23
Original Appl	"	33 of 1889	1	O.S. Appl	"	9 of 1890	13	Appl No Appeal	21 of 1890	23
" "	"	31 of 1889	2	C.S. C.M.P.	"	9 of 1890	13	C.R.P.	249 of 1890	24
" "	"	1 of 1890	2	C.R.P.	"	107 of 1890	13	O.S. Appl.	20 of 1890	24
Claim C.S.	"	306 of 1883	2	C.S.	"	57 of 1890	14	2 Appl.	236 of 1890	25
Appl No	"	111 of 1889	3	Claim C.S.	"	23736 of 1887	14	O.S. C.R.	21 of 1890	25
Pauper Appl No O.S.	"	42 of 1889	3	Claim C.S.	"	161 of 1888	15	Claim C.S.	20305 of 1889	25
C.S.	"	19525 of 1887	3	"	"	139 of 1889	15	"	20305 of 1889	26
Appl	"	105 of 1891	4	O.S. Appl.	"	11 of 1890	15	O.S. C.R. No	20 of 1890	26
Claim C.S.	"	11525 of 1889	4	O.S.	"	10 of 1890	16	O.S. Appl.	19 of 1890	26
" "	"	164 of 1889	4	2 Appeal	"	426 of 1890	16	O.S. Appl.	21 of 1890	27
" "	"	164 of 1889	5	C.M.P.	"	411 of 1890	16	O.S. Appl.	22 of 1890	27
O.S.	"	2 of 1890	6	2 Appeal	"	430 of 1890	17	O.S. C.R.P.	250 of 1890	27
Recd Appl	"	124 of 1890	6	2 Statute Appeal	"	138 of 1889	17	Pauper O.S. Appl	18 of 1890	28
O.S. Appl	"	3 of 1890	7	2 Appeal	"	575 of 1890	17	C.M.P.	952 of 1889	28
C.S	"	510 of 1877	8	Pauper O.S. Appl	"	13 of 1890	18	O.S. C.M.P.	22 of 1890	28
Appl	"	22 of 1890	8	2 Appeal	"	465 of 1890	18	O.S. Appl	19 of 1890	29
O.S. Appl	"	5 of 1890	8	O.S. Appl.	"	12 of 1890	18	O.S. Appl.	23 of 1890	29
O.S. Appl.	"	6 of 1890	9	O.S. Appl.	"	16 of 1890	19	O.S. Appl.	26 of 1890	29
C.R.P.	"	409 of 1889	9	Pauper O.S. Appl	"	14 of 1890	19	O.S. Appl.	25 of 1890	30
O.S. Appl	"	4 of 1890	9	C.M.P.	"	15 of 1890	20	2 O.S. C.M.P.	21 of 1890	30
2 Appl.	"	236 of 1890	10	O.S. Appl	"	15 of 1890	20	C.S. No	194 of 1888	30
2 C.R.P.	"	6 of 1890	10	C.S.	"	152 of 1888	20	O.S. Appl	3 of 1890	31
Claim C.S.	"	94 of 1887	10	Appeal	"	72 of 1890	21	O.S. C.M.P.	25 of 1890	31
" "	"	94 of 1887	11	O.S. C.M.P.	"	16 of 1890	21	3 2 Appl.	325 of 1890	31
" "	"	22 of 1889	11	Claim C.S.	"	6566 of 1889	21	2 2 appl.	455 of 1890	31
O.S. Appl.	"	7 of 1890	11	2 O.S. Appl	"	8 of 1890	22	O.S. A.	29 of 1890	32
O.S. C.M.P.	"	9 of 1890	12	2 C.R.P.	"	107 of 1890	22	O.S. Appl.	37 of 1890	32

O.S. Ch. No.	29 of 1890	32	O.S. Appl. No.	42 of 1890	42	O.S. C. No. Im. P.	9 of 1891	49	2 Appl. No.	630 of 1891	56
O.S. Appl. "	30 of 1890	33	" " "	41 of 1890	42	O.S. Aff. "	7 of 1891	49	2 " "	1228 of 1890	56
Claim O.S. "	11925 of 1888	33	2 Appl.	No. 1259		O.S. Ch. "	9 of 1891	49	Claim O.S.	140 of 1889	57
Ch. R. "	28 of 1890	33	" "	and 1260 of 1890	42	2 Cls. Aff. "	1 of 1891	50	Appl. "	55 of 1891	57
2 Appl. "	426 of 1890	34	O.S. Appl. "	43 of 1891	43	2 C.R.P. "	392 of 1890	50	3 C.R.P. "	392 of 1890	58
O.S. Ch. R. "	26 of 1890	34	2 Appl. "	22 of 1890	43	Appl. by Order "	47 of 1891	50	Claim O.S. "	1862 of 89	58
O.S. Appl.	28 of 1890	34	2 " "	981 of 1890	43	Appl. by Order "	28 of 1891	57	2 Ch. R. "	250 of 1890	58
2 O.S. Ch. Im. P.	22 of 1890	35	O.S. Aff.	5 of 1891	44	3 O.S. Appl.	1 of 1891	57		257 of 1890	
O.S. Appl. "	36 of 1890	35	" "	1 of 1891	44	Ap. No.	35 of 1891	57	Claim O.S. "	292 of 1890	59
2 Appl. "	94 of 1890	35	Claim O.S. "	1 of 1891	44	C.O.S. "	4 of 1891	52	" "	292 of 1890	59
2 Appl. "	73 of 1890	36	Ch. R. "	2 of 1891	45	O.S.C.M.P. "	38 of 1890	52	" "	292 of 1890	59
O.S. Appl. "	34 of 1890	36	O.S. Aff. "	4 of 1891	45	" "	39 of 1890	52	" "	292 of 1890	60
O.S. Appl. "	32 of 1890	37	Claim O.S. "	6767 of 1890	46	O.S. Appl. "	9 of 1891	53	" "	292 of 1890	60
O.S. Ch. R. "	33 of 1890	37	O.S. Appl. "	2 of 1891	46	Appl. "	94 of 1890	53	2 O.S.	2 of 1891	60
O.S. C.M.P. "	35 of 1890	38	O.S. Appl. "	6 of 1891	46	2 Appl. "	6 of 1891	53	O.S. Appl. "	11 of 1891	60
O.S. Appl. "	33 of 1890	38	O.S. Appl. "	31 of 1890	47	C.R.P. "	33 & 35 of 1891	54	Claim O.S. "	140 of 1889	61
O.S. Appl. "	33 of 1890	39	Appl. "	172 & 173 of 1890	47	C.R.P. "	25 of 1891	54	O.S. Appl.	12 of 1891	61
O.S. Appl. "	37 of 1890	39	"						Second Appl. "	827 of 1891	62
O.S.	19584 of 1888	39	Appl. "	38 of 1890	47	2 Appl.	1259 and 1260 of 1890	54	2 Ch. R. "	250 of 1890 & 251 of 1890	62
O.S. C.M.P. "	32 of 1890	40	Appl. by Order	14 of 1891	48	" "			P. Appl "	41 of 1891	62
O.S. Ch. "	36 of 1890	40	Cl. "	13 of 1891	48	Ap. No.	21 of 1891	55	Cl. "	88 of 1890	63
L.R. Appeal "	15 of 1890	41	O.S. Aff. "	8 of 1891	48	2 Appl.	623 of 1891	55	2 O.S. Appl.	16 of 1891	63
O.S. Appl. "	38 of 1890	41	2 Appl. "	250 of 1891	49	Ap. No.	14 of 1891	55	O.S. Aff. "	13 of 1891	63
Second O.S. "	336 of 1890	41	O.S. Appl. "	7 of 1891	49	2 Appl. "	631/91	56	Claim O.S. "	11687 of 1890	64
									Ch. R. "	1472 of 1891	64

O.S. Ch. No.	30 of 1891	65	2 Appl. No.	172 of 1890 & 173 of 1890	72
" "	1732 of 1890	65	" "		
" "	1732 of 1890	65	" "		
Claim O.S. "	62 of 1889	66	Ch. R. "	280 of 1891	73
Ch. R. "	280 of 1891	73	C.R.P. "	246 of 1891	73
C.R.P. "	246 of 1891	73	" "	206 of 1891	73
" "	206 of 1891	73	Ch. R. "	795 of 1891	74
Ch. R. "	795 of 1891	74	Ch. R. "	251 of 1890	74
Ch. R. "	251 of 1890	74	2 Appl. "	1014 of 1891	74
2 Appl. "	1014 of 1891	74	Letters Patent Appeal "	14 of 1891	76
Letters Patent Appeal "	14 of 1891	76	Ch. R. "	583 of 1891	75
Ch. R. "	583 of 1891	75	C.P. Aff.	20 of 1891	75
C.P. Aff.	20 of 1891	75	Claim O.S. "	70 of 1890	76
Claim O.S. "	70 of 1890	76	" " "	70 of 1890	77
" " "	70 of 1890	77	O.S. Appl.	22 of 1891	77
O.S. Appl.	22 of 1891	77	In the matter of the Indian Companies Act VI of 1882 and the Madras Hindu Benevolent Bank		78
In the matter of the Indian Companies Act VI of 1882 and the Madras Hindu Benevolent Bank		78	Ch. R. "	34 of 1891	79
Ch. R. "	34 of 1891	79	O.S. Appl. "	25 of 1891	79
O.S. Appl. "	25 of 1891	79	C.R.P. "	384 of 1891	79
C.R.P. "	384 of 1891	79			

2d Appeal	No 1450 of 1891	80	O.S. Appeal	No 34 of 1891	90	2nd Appeal	No 501 of 1891	108	Claims	No 141 of 1892	110
2d "	" 827 of 1891	80	3rd Interlocutory Appeal	" 21 of 1891	90	C.M.P.	" 322 of 1892	107	Original O.S.	" 23 of 1892	110
" "	" 1443 of 1891	80	C.R.P.	" 494 of 1891	90	O.S. Appeal	" 6 of 1892	111	C.M.P.	" 506 of 1892	111
2d "	" 1493 of 1891	81	2d C.R. Appeal	" 20 of 1891	91	O.S. Appeal	" 7 of 1892	101	2nd Interlocutory Appeal	" 664 of 1892	111
C.M.P.	" 583 of 1891	81	2d Appeal	" 1886 of 1891	91	O.S. "	" 9 of 1892	102	Appeal	No 70 of 1892	111
O.S. Appeal	" 26 of 1891	81	2d Appeal	" 430 of 1890	91	" "	" 3 of 1892	102	Claims	" 336 of 1890	111
" "	" 21 of 1891	82	2d Appeal	" 1779 of 1891	92	" Appeal	" 4 of 1892	103	2d Appeal	" 531 of 1892	111
" "	" 23 of 1891	82	2d Appeal	" 1964 of 1891	92	2d C.R.P.	" 1 of 1892	103	O.S. Appeal	" 26 of 1892	111
" "	" 27 of 1891	83	2d Original O.S. Appeal	" 29 of 1891	92	2d Appeal	" 1690 of 1891	103	C.M.P.	" 322 of 1892	112
2d Appeal	" 250 of 1891	83	Appeal	" 140 of 1888	93	O.S. Appeal	" 8 of 1892	104	O.S. Appeal	" 20 of 1892	112
" "	" 501 of 1891	83	C.M.P.	" 47 of 1892	93	C.R.P.	" 214 of 1892	104	2d Appeal	" 787 of 1892	112
L.P. Appeal	" 21 of 1891	84	C.M.P.	" 64 of 1892	93	O.S.A.	" 10 of 1892	104	C.M.P.	" 359 of 1892	112
O.S. C.M.P.	" 40 of 1891	84	2d C.R.P.	" 439 of 1891	94	C.R.P.	" 201 & 210 of 1892	105	O.S. Appeal	" 25 of 1892	113
Claims	" 9741 of 1891	84	2d Appeal	" 17 of 1892	94	O.S.A.	" 30 of 1892	105	3rd Interlocutory 2nd Appeal	" 1430 of 1891	113
L.P. Appeal	" 55 of 1891	85	C.M.P.	" 1033 of 1891	94	C.R.P.	" 136 & 145 and 246 of 1891	105	O.S. Appeal	" 22 of 1892	114
2d "	" 1442 of 1891	85	2d C.M.P.	" 1036 of 1891	95				O.S. Appeal	" 30 of 1892	114
O.S. Appeal	" 32 of 1891	85	C.M.P.	" 84 of 1892	95	2d Appeal	" 833 of 1890	105	O.S. "	" 21 of 1892	114
2d Appeal	" 1674 of 1891	86	Claims	" 156 of 1891	95	Appeal Ordered	" 44 of 1892	106	O.S. "	" 27 of 1892	115
C.R.P.	" 439 of 1891	86	Claims	" 156 of 1891	96	Claims O.S.	" 53 of 1892	106	O.S. "	" 24 of 1892	115
O.S. Appeal	" 29 of 1891	86	Appeal	" 55 of 1891	97	2d Appeal Nos. 515 to 522	of 1890	106	O.S. Appeal	" 29 of 1892	115
O.S. Appeal	" 30 of 1891	87	O.S. C.M.P.	" 10 of 1892	97	O.S. Appeal	" 11 of 1892	107	Substituted 2d Appeal	" 1450 of 1891	116
" "	" 31 of 1891	87	2d Appeal	" 430 of 1890	97	Partly O.S. Appeal	" 5 of 1892	107	3rd Interlocutory O.S.M.P.	" 371 of 1892	116
C.M.P.	" 1036 of 1891	87	Claims O.S.	" 12 000 of 1890	98	2d Appeal	" 1493 of 1891	108	Substituted 2d Appeal	" 53 of 1892	117
Claims	" 56 of 1888	88	Partly O.S. Appeal	" 10 of 1892	98	Substituted 2d Appeal	" 1014 of 1891	108	O.S. C.M.P.	" 35 of 1892	118
L. Original O.S.A.	" 32 of 1890	88	Appeal	" 18 of 1892	99	O.S. Appeal	" 12 of 1892	108	C.M.P.	" 36 of 1892	119
Claims	" 5408 of 1884	88	O.S. Appeal	" 2 of 1892	99	O.S. C.M.P.	" 20 of 1892	109	O.S. Appeal	" 31 of 1892	119
O.S. Appeal	" 28 of 1891	89	2d Appeal	" 259 of 1892	99	O.S. C.M.P.	" 21 of 1892	109	O.S.	" 21 of 1885	120
C.M.P.	" 1128 of 1891	89	2d Appeal	" 1964 of 1891	100	C.R.P.	" 313 of 1892	109	O.S. Appeal	" 28 of 1892	120
2d Appeal	" 33 of 1891	89	2d "	" 140 of 1888	100	2d C.M.P.	" 213 of 1892	110	C.R.P.	" 396 of 1892	121
									3rd Interlocutory 2nd Appeal	" 17 of 1892	121
									C.M.P.	" 191 of 1892	121
									O.S.	" 21 of 1885	121
									2d Interlocutory C.M.P.	" 1033 of 1891	122
									Claims O.S.	" 163 of 1882	122
									C.R.P.	" 319 of 1892	122
									2d Appeal	" 1789 of 1891	122
									C.M.P.	" 1060 of 1892	122
									2d Interlocutory C.M.P.	" 918 of 1892	123
									C.R.P.	" 579 of 1892	123
									2d Interlocutory 2d Appeal	" 729 of 1892	123
									2d Interlocutory 2d Appeal	" 729 of 1892	123
									2d Interlocutory 2d C.R.	" 673 of 1892	124

Vide the 1st Page for further numbers.

2d Appeal	No 1007 of 1892	136									
C.R.P.	" 458 of 1892	136									
Appeal	No 126 of 1892	137									
2d Appeal	" 1135 of 1892	137									
O.S. Appeal	" 32 of 1892	137									
O.S. Appeal	" 35 of 1892	137									
Appeal	No 150 of 1892	138									
3rd Interlocutory & C.R.P.	" 323 of 1892	138									
3rd Interlocutory C.M.P.	" 1033 of 1891	138									
3rd Interlocutory C.M.P.	" 673 of 1892	139									
O.S. C.M.P.	" 45 of 1892	139									
Claims O.S.	No 12200 of 1892	139									
Claims	" 12199 of 1892	140									
O.S. Appeal	" 33 of 1892	140									
O.S. Appeal	" 39 of 1892	141									
C.R.P.	" 466 of 1892	141									
O.S. Appeal	" 39 of 1892	141									
C.M.P.	" 46 of 1892	142									
O.S.	" 979 1891	142									
"	" 2559 of 1892	145									
O.S. Appeal	" 41 of 1892	143									
C.R.P.	" 655 of 1892	143									
2d Appeal	" 1478 of 1892	144									
Appeal Original	No 142 of 1892	144									
O.S. Appeal	" 367 of 1892	145									
S.C. Claim	70 of 1892	146									
" "	" 71 of 1892	146									
" "	" 71 of 1892	146									
Appeal	531 of 1892	147									
O.S.	" 40 of 1892	147									
2nd Original O.S. & M.P.	" 46 of 1892	147									
2nd Appeal	901 of 1891	148									

Vide the 1st Page for further Page Numbers

Claim
No
11925
of 1888
on the
file of
the Madras
Court of
small Causes
K.V.

J. Narayanasawmy Naidoo — Plaintiff

vs

J. Bapaniah Naidoo and others — Defendants.

and

V. Bala Kristna Pillay — Claimant

To

The Plaintiff

J. Narayanasawmy Naidoo.

1890 Jany 16th
1890 Jany 17th
1890 Jany 30th

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff J. Narayanasawmy Naidoo on Tuesday the 21st day of January 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

23rd January 1890

/Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff

/Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1890 January 28th C.S. J.H.

Mr. C. Vandayar Proctor Plaintiff's Vakil

O. K. for C.S.

---

appeal against Order No. 4 of 1890 K.V.

J. Krishnasawmy Naidu — Appellant

and

B. Parthasarathy Naidu + 3 Others — Respondents

To

The Respondents

1. B. Parthasarathy Naidu
2. B. Krishnasawmy Naidu
3. B. Ethirajulu Naidu
4. B. Verasawmy Naidu

17th 20th March 7th

The Respondent B. Parthasarathy Naidu the 1st Respondent herein served personally on Tuesday the 4th March 90 & B. Ethirajulu Naidu & B. Verasawmy Naidu the 2nd & 4th Respondents named herein by me on Friday the 7th March 90 by copies of the Notices being delivered to them as appears by my endorsement in pencil on the 2nd Respondent B. Krishnasawmy Naidu was served on Friday the 7th March 90 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to B. Ethirajulu Naidu alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent at his usual place of abode by the said B. Ethirajulu Naidu in pencil on the 7th March.

8 23 16

C. Singaram Bailiff.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent B. Parthasarathy Naidu on Tuesday the 4th day of March 1890 and on the 2nd and 4th Respondents B. Etherajulu Naidu and B. Verasawmy Naidu on Friday the 7th day of March 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2nd Respondent B. Krishnasawmy Naidu on Friday the 7th day of March 90 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said B. Respondent B. Etherajulu Naidu alleged to be the adult brother of the said B. Krishnasawmy Naidu as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said B. Etherajulu Naidu.

7th March 1890

/Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

Mr. C. Vandayar Proctor Plaintiff's Vakil

O. K. for C.S.

March 7th C.S.

7. 3. 90.

Original Side appeal No. 33 of 1889 K.V.

V. Venkiah garu by Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer — Appellant

1 Dft in Civil Suit 2228/88

and

V. Parvatharamanaruthnamma — Respondent

Dft in do

To

The Respondent

V. Parvatharamaruthnamma wife of V. Subramanya by her only constituted Agent V. Audiseshiah

The Respondent V. Parvatharamaruthnamma was served on Thursday the 6th February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Audiseshiah the agent of the said Respondent as signed by the said V. Audiseshiah in pencil on the original Notice.

Dated 6th February/90

/Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff.

K. P. Viswanatha Iyer for V. Ramachandra Row Pantulu Appellant's Vakil

/Sd/ 23-1-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. Parvatharamaruthnamma on Thursday the 6th day of February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Audiseshiah the Constituted Agent of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said V. Audiseshiah.

7th February 1890

/Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

Febry 7th C.S.

7 Febry/90

Original Side Appeal No. 31 of 1889. C.V.	K. Mooroogiah Chetty by his father and next friend K. Eroo-sappa Chetty by Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer — Appellant (Plaintiff in the Court below) and 1. Amoorthammall 2. Lowbagiammall by her guardian ad litem amoorthammall the 1st Respondent — Respondents (Defendants in do)	To The Respondents 1. Amoorthammall 2. Lowbagiammall	1890 Jany 8th	1890 Jany 23rd	1890 Febry 10th	
Original Side Appeal No. 10 of 1890 C.V.	M. Veerabhadra Chetty and 3 others Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer — Appellants (Plaintiffs in O.S. 295/88) and P. Basaviah P. Chengalvarayamiah — Respondents (Defts in do)	To The Respondents 1. P. Basaviah and 2. P. Chengalvarayamiah	16th	27th	17th	
Claim C.S. No. 306 of 1883 C.V.	Hariappah Moodr — Plaintiff and Veerasawmy Archakar & others Defendts and To Gopalasawmy Moodr — Claimant	To The 3rd Defendt S. Parthasarady Chetty	25th	29th	18th	

The 1st Respondent Amoorthammall on her own behalf and behalf of the 2nd Respondent Lowbagiammall (minor) was personally served on Wednesday the 29th January 1890 by copies of the notice & delivered to her & marked by her in pencil. O. Tholasingam
Dated 31st January 89

P. Basaviah the 1st Respondent was served with a copy of the notice dated 11th Feby 90 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as marked by him in pencil. P. Chengalvarayamiah the 2nd Respondent was served on the 11th Feby 90 by a copy of the notice being delivered to father of the said P. Chengalvarayamiah P. Basaviah alleged to be as marked in pencil by the said P. Basaviah.
13th Feby 90 O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer Appellant's Pleader
O. T.

Mr. P. V. Kistnaswamy Chetty Claimant's Pleader
O. T.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Amoorthammall on Wednesday the 29th day of January 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her. 1890 Febry 4th
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent Lowbagiammall by the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Amoorthammall alleged to be the guardian of the said Lowbagiammall as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Amoorthammall.
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
4th February 1890

C.V.
This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent P. Basaviah on Tuesday the 11th day of February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him. 11th February
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent P. Chengalvarayamiah on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said P. Basaviah alleged to be the father of the said P. Chengalvarayamiah as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Basaviah. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
14th February 1890 C.V.

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Defendt S. Parthasarady Chetty on Sunday the 2nd day of February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. Febry 4th
Sd/ O. Tholasingam Bailiff
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff 4-2-90
4th February 1890

Appeal Against order No 111 of 1889	L. Lanier & another by Mr R. Balajee Row — Appellants and Messrs Staines & Co in liquidation by their trustee Messrs Dymes & Co Madras — Respondents	To The Respondents Messrs Staines & Co in liquidation by their trustee Messrs Dymes & Co Madras	1890 Janr 31st	1890 Febr 1st	1890 March 14 1/4

A.H. Deane Esqre Asst in the firm of Messrs Dymes & Co was served on Monday the 10th Febry/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as per his on the Original Notice.
10th Febry/90 S Holmes
L.J.

S Holmes
This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Staines & Co on Monday the 10th day of February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A.H. Deane Esquire an Assistant in the within named firm of Messrs Dymes & Co alleged to be the trustees of the said Messrs Staines & Co as appears by the endorsement made by the said A.H. Deane
12th February 1890
Swg J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.J.

Mr R. Balajee Row — Appellants Advocate

1890 February 12 12 Cts Rs 1-12 Feby

Pauper C.M. P.No 42 of 1889 L.J.	V. Singaravalu Mudaliar (Appt) Petitioner and V. Sivagamy Ammall & others — Counter Petitioners	To 1. V. Sivagamy Ammall 2. Davasammall 3. V. Rajarathna Mudy and 4. F. Rowlandson Esq and To The Government Solicitor	Febr 3d	Febr 3d	Febr 24 1/4

Earnest Barclay Esqre the Government Solicitor and the 4th counter petitioner F. Rowlandson Esqre were personally served on Tuesday the 4th February 1890. and the 1st 2nd & 3rd counter petitioners V. Sivagamy Ammall and V. Rajarathna Mudaly were personally served on Tuesday the 11th February 1890 each by a copy of the Notice & Petition & also endorsed by them. on the said 11th February 1890 the 2nd counter petitioner Davasammall was served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 1st counter petitioner V. Sivagamy Ammall alleged to be the mother of the said 2nd counter petitioner as marked by the said 1st counter petitioner in pencil.
Dated 11th February 1890.
C Singaram Bailiff.
L.J.

Mr E. Barclay Govt Solicitor
4-2-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on E. Barclay Esqre the Government Solicitor and on the within named 4th Counter-Petitioner F. Rowlandson Esqr on Tuesday the 4th day of February 1890, and on the within named 1st and 3rd Counter-Petitioners V. Sivagama Ammall and V. Rajarathnamoody on Tuesday the 11th day of February 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Counter-Petitioner Davasammall on Tuesday the 11th day of Febry 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Counter-Petitioner V. Sivagama Ammall alleged to be the mother of the said 2nd Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement made by the said V. Sivagama Ammall.
14th Febry 1890 L.J.
Swg J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Febry 14 2 Cts Rs 1-14 Feby

C.S.No 19525 of 87 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes L.J.	C.R.M. Narrain Iyer — Plaintiff and R. Kurdarajalu Naidu — Defendant Kacharla Authiah Naidu — Claimant	To The Plaintiff C.R.M. Narrain Iyer	Janr 31st	Febr 4th	Febr 13 1/4

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff C.R.M. Narrain Iyer on Friday the 7th day of February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.
7th February 1890
Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.J.

Mr C.J. Cabaun Claimant's Attorney
L.J. 4-2-90

February 7 2 Cts Rs 1-4 Feby

E. Appeal No. 105 of 1890	Purushatama Iyer and another Minor by their guardian A.G. Veerasamy Iyer by Mr. K. P. Vinayaka Iyer — Appellants. and Venkatalakshmammal — Respondent	To The Respondent Venkatalakshmammal	1890 Febry 12th	1890 Febry 13th	1890 April 21st	This Notice was served by J. Amoona chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Venkatalakshmammal on Saturday the 22nd day of March 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her: 22nd March 1890 C.P.	1890 March 26th Sheriff 26 March/90
		Venkatalakshmammal the Respondent was personally served on Saturday the 22nd Day of March 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by her on pencil on the original Notice. Dated this 24th day of March 1890 C.P.					
E. Claim No. 14525 of 1889 on the file of Madras Court of small Causes C.P.	M. Sivaganoo Ammall — Plaintiff and Somasathy Achari and another — Defendants and Balammal — Claimant	To The Plaintiff M. Sivaganoo Ammall	15th	17th	March 4th	This Notice was served by me C. Sangaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. Sivaganoo Ammallon Saturday the 22nd day of February 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of service marked by her in pencil. 22nd February 1890 C.P.	February 25th Sheriff Bailiff Sheriff
C. C. No. 164 of 1889 C.P.	Hajee Mahomed Abdoolla Badsha Sahib and 3 others — Plaintiffs and 1. Cassim Hajee Mitta Sahib and 2. Abdool Latiff Rhymatoollah Sahib — Defendants and C. A. Turner Esquire — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdoolla Badsha Sahib	8th	18th	February 25th	This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdoolla Badsha Sahib on Wednesday the 19th day of February/90 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said Hajee Mahomed Abdoolla Badsha Sahib as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said R Branson. 21st February 1890 C.P.	February 24th Bailiff Sheriff
		Same on Messrs Branson & Branson vide letter 19th Feb/90 from Messrs Champion & Short attorneys. C.P.					

E. Appeal No. 105 of 1890 C.P.

C. Claim No. 14525 of 1889 on the file of Madras Court of small Causes C.P.

C. C. No. 164 of 1889 C.P.

Messrs Champion & Short Attorneys for Claimant

17-2-90

Claim C.S. No. 164 of 1889 C.J.	1. Hajee Mahomed Abdulla Badsha Saib and 3 Others — Plaintiffs and 1. Cassim Hajee Mittah Saib and 2. Abdool Lakey Rhymatullah Saib — Defendants. and C. A. Turner Esquire — Claimant	To The 2d Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdool Shaker Saib	1890 Feby 8s	1890 Feby 18d	1890 Feby 25s	Branson Branson & Abdul Shaker Plaintiff's Attorneys	C.S.	This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdool Shaker Saib on Wednesday the 19th day of February 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said Hajee Mahomed Abdul Shaker Saib as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said R. Branson. 21st February 1890 C.J. / Serv'd C. Tholasingum Bailiff / Serv'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1890. February 21s C.S. R.A.T. Levis
do C.J.	——— do ———	To The 3d Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdul Azeez Saib	"	"	"	do	C.S.	This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3d Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdul Azeez Saib on Wednesday the 19th day of February 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said Hajee Mahomed Abdul Azeez Saib as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said R. Branson. 21st February 1890 C.J. / Serv'd C. Tholasingum Bailiff / Serv'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Feby 21s C.S. R.A.T. Levis
do C.J.	——— do ———	To The 4th Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdul Salim Badsha Saib	"	"	"	do	C.S.	This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Plaintiff Hajee Mahomed Abdul Salim Badsha Saib on Wednesday the 19th day of February 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said Hajee Mahomed Abdul Salim Badsha Saib as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said R. Branson. 21st February 1890 C.J. / Serv'd C. Tholasingum Bailiff / Serv'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Feby 21s C.S. R.A.T. Levis

D.C. No. 2 of 1890

C. Aganbara Naicker — Appellant — Plaintiff

and

Anandee Ammall & 3 others — Respondents — Defendants

To

The Respondents

1- Anandee Ammall
2- Vyapoory Chetty
3- Loobroya Chetty
and
4- Vathachella

1890 Feby 18th

1890 Feby 18th

1890 March 18th

The 2nd Respondent Vyapoory Chetty was personally served on Thursday the 20th February 1890 and the 1st 3rd & 4th Respondents Anandee Ammall, Loobroya Chetty and Vathachella Moottien were personally served on Friday the 21st February 1890 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as shewn by them in pencil.

Dated 22nd February 1890

C. Singaram
Bailiff.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Vyapoory Chetty on Thursday the 20th day of February/90 and the within named 1st, 3rd & 4th Respondents Anandee Ammall, Loobroya Chetty and Vathachella Moottien on Friday the 21st day of February 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

26th February 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1890 Febr. 26th

Appeal No. 124 of 1890

D.C. No. 2 of 1890

Lakshmi Narasimmei (Receiver) of the estate of Tenappanandal Mutt by Mr. J. Rama Row — Appellant

and

Annasami Pandithar — Respondent

To

The Respondent

Annasami Pandithar

1890 17th

1890 19th

1890 April 21st

The Respondent Annasami Pondithar was personally served on Friday the 18th day of April 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink.

Dated 19th April/90

D. Veeromall
Bailiff

This Notice was served by D. Veeromall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Annasami Pandithar on Friday the 18th day of April 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

21st April 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

April 21st 1890

Original Side Appeal No. 3 of 1890 Dc. 4

D. Ganesa Nattan Iyer — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

Poorooshothama Nattan and 14 others — Respondents (Defendants)

To

The Respondents.

1890 Febry 25th — 1890 Febry 24th — 1890 March 21st

1. Poorooshothama Nattan
2. Theagaraja Nattan
3. Mungasa Nattan by his guardian Cundasawmy Nattan
4. Mungathoy Ammall
5. Lutchmee Ammall
6. Abiramee Ammall
7. C. Alagappa Mudaliar
8. K. P. Visvanadaier Esqre
9. P. Vijia Raghava Chariar
10. Elayalwariah
11. Navalinga Nattan
12. Ponnusami Nattan
13. Akambara Achary.
16. Shunmugaraya Mudaliyar and
17. Coppusamy Naidu.

1st 5th 6th Respondents Poorooshothama Nattan, Lutchmee Ammall and Abiramee Ammall were served personally on Tuesday the 4th day of March 90 and 8th Respt K. P. Visvanadaier on Wednesday the 12th March 90 & 12th Respt Shunmuga Raya Mudaliyar on Thursday the 15th March 90 & 9th Respt P. Vijia Raghava Chariar on Tuesday the 18th March 90 by copies of the Notice delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively and 2nd 4th 11th & 12th Respts Theagaraja Nattan, Mungathoy Ammall, Navalinga Nattan, Ponnusami Nattan, could not be found Copies of the Notice were affixed on the Tuesday 4th March 90 on the outer door of their dwelling houses within described in which the said Respondents are said to be Ordinarily residing and 13th Respt Akambara Achary could not be found a Copy of the Notice was on Thursday 13th March 90 affixed on the outer door of the house therein described in which the said Respt said to be Ordinarily residing and Cundasawmy Nattan alleged to be the guardian of the within named 3rd Respt Mungasa Nattan 7th 10th & 17th Respts C. Alagappa Mudaliar, Elayalwariah & Coppusamy Naidu are severally not to be found within the local limits of Madras

Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Iyer Appellant's Vakil

This Notice was served by A. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 5th and 6th Respondents Poorooshothama Nattan, Lutchmee Ammall and Abiramee Ammall on Tuesday the 4th day of March 1890, on the 8th Respondent K. P. Visvanadaier Esqr. on Wednesday the 12th day of March 1890, on the 16th Respondent Shunmugaraya Mudaliyar on Thursday the 13th day of March 1890, and on the 9th Respondent P. Vijia Raghava Chariar on Tuesday the 18th day of March 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.

1890 March 21st

As the 2nd 4th 11th and 12th Respondents named respectively Theagaraja Nattan, Mungathoy Ammall, Navalinga Nattan and Ponnusami Nattan could not be found Copies of the said Notice were on Tuesday the 4th day of March 90 affixed by the said A. Thalasingum on the outer doors of the dwelling houses within described in which the said Respondents are said to be Ordinarily residing and as the 13th Respondent Akambara Achary could not be found a Copy of the said Notice was on Thursday the 13th day of March 1890 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house within described in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

And this Notice has not been served on Cundasawmy Nattan alleged to be the guardian of the within named 3rd Respondent Mungasa a Minor Nattan, or C. Alagappa Mudaliar Elayalwariah and Coppusawmy Naidu, the 7th 10th and 17th Respondents as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

21st March 1890

J. B.

Engd J. H. 99 on behalf of Sheriff

24.3.90

O.S. No 510 of 1877 L.P.	Millap Chund Singji — Plaintiff and Mambalam Venkatasawmy Naidoo — Defendant	To The Defendant Mambalam Venkatasawmy Naidoo	1890 Febr 20th	1890 Febr 24th	1890 March 7th	This Notice was served by me C. Singaraw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant Mambalam Venkatasawmy Naidoo on Wednesday the 26th day of Febry 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 27th February 1890 Sd/ C. Singaraw Bailiff Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1890 February 28th
Appl. No 22 of 1890 L.P.	Syed Mohomed Ghouse Saib by Mr R. Balajee Row — Appellant and Fathuma Begum thro' her agent Anwr Ussain & 4 others — Respondts	To 1st The 2d & 5th Respondts 2d. Syed Ammod Saib 5th. Syed Yacob Ali Saheb	Febr 27th	Febr 28th	July 21st	This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d & 5th Respondents Syed Ammod Saib and Syed Yacob Ali Saheb as they are generally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 21st July 1890 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	July 21st
Original Side Appeal No 5 of 1890. L.P.	Y. J. Ramanna Naidu by Mr T. V. Krishnasamy Chetty — Appellant (Plaintiff) and G. Veerasawmy Chetty and another — Respondents (Defendants)	To The Respondents 1. G. Veerasawmy Chetty and 2. J. Bapaniah Chetty	March 27th	March 3rd	March 27th	This Notice was served by C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent J. Bapaniah Chetty on Tuesday the 4th day of March 1890. and the within named 1st Respondent G. Veerasawmy Chetty on Wednesday the 5th day of March 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in penceil made by them respectively. 6th March 1890 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	March 6th

J. Bapaniah Chetty the 2d Respondent was served personally on Tuesday the 4th March 90 & G. Veerasawmy Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 5th March 90 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as by order to me by them in penceil
5th March 90
O. Tholasingum Bailiff

7.3.90

Original Side Appeal No 6 of 1890 D.C.	K. Annasawmy proddy By Mr C.J. Paiman — Appellant (Plaintiff) and Hajee Hoossain Ally Namazee — Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent Hajee Hoossain Ally Namazee	1890 March 6th	1890 March 7th	1890 April 10th

The Respondent Hajee Hoossain Ally Namazee was served on Wednesday the 2nd April 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr K. Namazee alleged to be the adult Son-in-law of the said Respondent as signed by the said Mr K. Namazee in pencil.
Dated 9th April 1890.
C.D. C. Singaram.
Bailiff.

C.R. Pt. 409 of 89 D.C.	Ranzith Soundra Petitioner and The Government Pleader Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Mr C.B. Powell Govt. Pleader	6th	8th	18th

Mr C.B. Powell Govt. Pleader was served on Tuesday the 11th March/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed on the original Notice.
11th March/90
C.D. A. Cooper.

Original Side appeal No 4 of 1890 D.C.	J.V. Tooljaram Row by Mr P.S. Sivasawmy Major — Appellant (Plaintiff) and V.K.V. Arulambala Modelly and 3 others — Respondents (1st 2nd 3rd and 4th Defts)	To The Respondents 1. V.K.V. Arulambala Modelliar 2. V.K.V. Thinnichittambala Modelliar 3. V.K.V. Malavaroya Modelliar and 4. Kolundaiachee Ammall.	Feby 26th	March 11th	March 28th

V.K.V. Arulambala Modelliar 1st Respondent was served personally on Thursday the 13th day of March 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the original Notice.
And V.K.V. Thinnichittambala Modelliar 2nd Respondent was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed on the original Notice.
And V.K.V. Thinnichittambala Modelliar 3rd Respondent was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed on the original Notice.
And Kolundaiachee Ammall 4th Respondent was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to his Agent Mr C.J. Paiman as signed on the original Notice.
C.D. C. Singaram.
Bailiff.

V.K.V. Malavaroya Modelliar, and Kolundaiachee Ammall the 3rd and 4th Respondents were served on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent V.K.V. Arulambala Modelliar alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd and 3rd and adult brother of the said 2nd and 3rd Respondents and the son of the said 4th Respondent as signed in pencil by the said V.K.V. Arulambala Modelliar for the said 2nd 3rd and 4th Respondents.
Dated this 15th March 1890.
C.D.

Mr C.J. Paiman Appellant Proctor.
C&M 7-3-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Hajee Hoossain Ally Namazee on Wednesday the 2nd day of April 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr K. Namazee alleged to be the adult Son-in-law of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr K. Namazee for the said Respondent.
9th April 1890
C.D.
Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1890 April 9th a/c 9th April/90

This Notice was served by A. Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C.W. Powell Esqr the Government Pleader on Tuesday the 11th day of March/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
12th March 1890
Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

March 12th a/c 12-3-90.

Mr C.B. Powell Govt. Pleader.
11-3-90

This Notice was served by J. Annamuthu Modelliar an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt V.K.V. Arulambala Modelliar on Thursday the 13th day of March 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2nd 3rd & 4th Respondents named respectively V.K.V. Thinnichittambala Modelliar V.K.V. Malavaroya Modelliar and Kolundaichee Ammall on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respdt V.K.V. Arulambala Modelliar as alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd & 3rd Respondents and the son of the said 4th Respdt as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by the said 1st Respdt for the said 2nd, 3rd & 4th Respondents.
18th March 1890
Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

March 18th a/c 18/3

2-Appl. No 236 of 1890 L.J.	Kanagaroya Mudali & 4 others by Mr. R. F. Grant — Appellants and Shadagopa Mudali — Respondent	To The Respondent Shadagopa Mudali	1890 March 11th	1890 March 13th	1890 July 8th	The Respondent Shadagopa Mudali is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 14th July 1890 C. Tholasingam Bailiff L.J.	
2. Original Case Referred to 6 of 1890 (Under section 67 of Act XIV of 1882) L.J.	The Agra Bank Limited Madras — Plaintiffs in a Suit No 10 of 1890 on the file of the Subordinate Judges Court, Nilgiris, Ootacamund and Edward Hamlin, Esqr. and 5 others — Defendants in the Dept 2 to 6 ex parte	To The Plaintiffs The Agra Bank Limited Madras	14th	15th	17th	E. F. Burton Esqr. Asst in the firm of Messrs Barclay & Morgan was on the Saturday the 15th March 90 served with a copy as signed by him in ink 15th March 90 W. H. Middleton	
Claim C. S. No 94 of 1887 L.J.	A. Seychoo Chetty and V. Sellamoothoo Chetty — Plaintiff and Chandoo Royen and G. Vayhyada Mudaly — Defendants and Goodalore Parvathy Ammall — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff A. Seychoo Chetty	10th	15th	April 2nd	This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff A. Seychoo Chetty on Tuesday the 18th day of March 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in Tamil. 20th March 1890 C. Tholasingam Bailiff Sworn J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.J.	March 24th C.P. Vide	

Mrs. R. F. Grant Appellants Counsel O.S.

W. H. Middleton

Messrs Pearson & Pearson Claimants Attys O.S.

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Shadagopa Mudali as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 14th July 1890 Sworn J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.J. — 1890 July 14th C.P. — 14th July 90

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiffs the Agra Bank (Limited) Madras on Saturday the 15th day of March 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. F. Burton Esqr. an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay & Morgan, Attorneys for the said Plaintiffs as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. F. Burton. 19th March 1890 Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.J. — March 19th C.P. — 19th March 90

W. H. Middleton

Claim C.S. No 94 of 1889. D.T.	A. Sevchoo Chetty and V. Nullamoothoo Chetty — Plaintiff and Chundoo Boyee and G. Vayloydda Moodelly — Defendants and Gooda Love Parvathy Ummall — Claimant.	Is	1890 March 10th	1890 March 15th	1890 April 2nd
		The 2nd Plaintiff V. Nullamoothoo Chetty			
Claim C.S. No 221 of 1889. D.T.	C. Canemmall — Plaintiff and C. Balasubramanyam Chetty and C. Venkatasubramanyam Chetty — Defendants and Thasso Nummalwar Chetty, Thasso Parthasarathy Chetty and Thasso Thiperoomal Chetty — Claimants.	Is	17th	18th	1st
		The Plaintiff C. Capeammall			
Original Side Appeal No 7 of 1890 D.T.	Mylay Ummall Ummall by her Guardian Mylay Parvathy Ummall and 2. Mangala Ummall by her guardian Mylay Parvathy Ummall — Respondents	To	20th	22nd	21st
	Mylay Parvathy Ummall and another Respondents	The Respondents			

Messrs Branson & Branson
Appellant's Attys

Please serve the Notice of appeal herein on Messrs Champion & Short on behalf of the Respondents vide letter of 28th March/90 from Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys.
C.J.

The 1st and 2nd Respondent Mylay Parvathy Ummall and Mangala Ummall (minors) were served on Wednesday the 9th April 1890 by copies of the notice being delivered to Mr. J. Short a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. Short. Dated 9th April 1890.

Messrs Coomaraswamy & Chanmugam
Claimant's Attys

S. Venkatachellum Chetty
Claimant's Vakil

O.S.

This Notice was served by me A Thelasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Plaintiff V. Nullamoothoo Chetty on Tuesday the 18th day of March 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.
20th March 1890
C.J.

A Thelasingum Bailiff
Geo J Higginbotham Sheriff

1890 March 24th

This Notice was served by me A Thelasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff C. Canemmall on Tuesday the 18th day of March 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by her in pencil
20th March 1890
C.J.

A Thelasingum Bailiff
Geo J Higginbotham Sheriff

March 24th

This Notice was served by C. Saiyaram and Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Mylay Parvathy Ummall and Mangala Ummall (minor) on Wednesday the 9th day of April 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to James Short Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said James Short Esqr.
11th April 1890
C.J.

C. Saiyaram
Geo J Higginbotham Sheriff

April 11th

11th April 1890

Original C.M.P. No 9 of 1890 L.T.	P. Theagaroya Mudaliar - Petitioner and S. Agambara Mudaliar - Counter Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner S. Agambara Mudaliar	1890 April 2d	1890 April 2d	1890 April 15th	This Counter-petitioner S. Agambara Mudaliar has not been served as neither the Petitioner nor any one on his behalf came to point out the said counter-petitioner. Dated 18th April 1890 P. Veeramalle Bailiff L.T.	
Original C.M.P. No 8 of 1890 L.T.	P. P. Thatchanamoorthy Mudaliar by his natural father P. Swaminana Mudaliar by Messrs Branson & Branson - Appellant - Plaintiff and P. Rungasamy Mudaliar & 2 others - Respts - Defendants	To The Respondents 1. P. Rungasamy Mudaliar 2. P. Soobramanya Mudaliar and 3. Rao Bahadoor P. Rajaruthna Mudeliar	April 11th	April 15th	July 14th	As P. Rungasamy Mudaliar P. Soobramanya Mudaliar Rao Bahadoor P. Rajaruthna Mudeliar do not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 14th July 1890 O. Kalasingam Bailiff L.T.	
Appeal against Appellate order No 21 of 90 L.T.	Alavandan by Mfr M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar Mr - appt and Manicka Chetty & 3 others - Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Manicka Chetty 2. Namasivoya Chetty 3 & 4 - Kanthasami Chetty on his own behalf & as guardian of the minor 4th Respt Nadesa Chetty	July 15th	July 16th	July 18th	As the 1st 2nd 3rd & 4th Respondents Manicka Chetty, Namasivoya Chetty, Kanthasami Chetty and Nadesa Chetty are severally not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search had been made for them. Dated 17th July 1890 L.T. C. Singaram	

Mayne Boulton & Branson Attorneys for Appellants

C. Swaminada

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner S. Agambara Mudaliar as neither the Petitioner nor any person on his behalf came to point out the said Counter Petitioner.
15th April 1890
Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.T.

1890 April 15th C.M.P. 15-4-90

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st 2nd & 3rd Respondents P. Rungasamy Mudaliar, P. Soobramanya Mudaliar and Rao Bahadoor P. Rajaruthna Mudaliyar as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.
14th July 1890
Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.T.

July 14th C.M.P. 14 July 90

This Notice has not been served on the 1st 2nd 3rd Respondents Manicka Chetty, Namasivoya Chetty and Kanthasami Chetty as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. and this Notice has not been served on Nadesa Chetty the 4th Respondent as the 3rd Respondent Kanthasami Chetty guardian of the said Nadesa Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
16-4-90
18th July 1890
Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.T.

July 18th C.M.P. 18 July 90

O.S. Appl. No. 90 of 1890 L.J.	N. Kistnasawmy Pillay by Messrs. T. Rama Rao & S. Rama-sawmy Mooc. — Appellant (Plaintiff) and N. Vathavallee Ammall and — Respts (Defendants)	To The Respondents 1- N. Vathavallee Ammall and 2- S. Lutchmana Row-	1890 April 11th	1890 April 17th	1890 July 14th	The 1st and 2d Respondents N. Vathavalle Ammal and S. Lutchmana Row were personally served on Saturday the 12th day of July 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil dated this 14th July 1890 L.J.	P. Veeromall Bailiff	1890 July 14th cs
2- O.S.C. M.P. No. 90 of 1890 L.J.	P. Theagaroya Mudaly — Petitioner and S. Agambara Mudaly Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner S. Agambara Moodly	April 17th	April 18th	April 28th	The Counter Petitioner S. Agambara Moodly was personally served on Sunday the 20th day of April 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil dated 21st April 1890 L.J.	P. Veeromall Bailiff	April 22nd cs
Civil Revision Petition No. 107 of 1890 L.J.	Thirupoosur Siva Subra-manya Swamiar & Thana Pattaya Saswatha Nidhi by Mr. R. F. Grant — Petitioner and V. Ponnusami Chetty and another — Counter-Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 1- V. Ponnusami Chetty and 2- C. Sundara Chetty	1890 July 18th	1890 July 21st	1890 July 25th	V. Ponnusami Chetty & C. Sundra Chetty the 1st & 2d Counter Petitioners are not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras search has been made for them 25th July 1890 L.J.	O. Tholasingam Bailiff	July 25th cs

Mr. R. F. Grant Petitioner's Counsel

O.S.

Mr. T. Rama Rao & Mr. S. Ramasawmy Mooc. Appellant's Counsel

Mr. S. Ramasawmy Mooc. Counter Petitioner's Counsel

P. Veeromall

This Notice was served by P. Veeromall as Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2d Respondents N. Vathavalle Ammal and S. Lutchmana Row on Saturday the 12th day of July 1890 by delivering copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

14th July 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

P. Veeromall

This Notice was served by P. Veeromall as Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner S. Agambara Mudally on Sunday the 20th day of April 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

22nd April 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

This Notice has not been served on the Counter-Petitioners V. Ponnusami Chetty and C. Sundara Chetty as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them

25th July 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

C.S. No 576 1890 C.F.	I. Abboy Naion — Plaintiff and C. Amoortha Pillay — Defendt	To The Defendant C. Amoortha Pillai	1890 March 15th	1890 April 21st	Within one week from the date of service hereof	21-4-90	Mr A. J. Ambrose Offg Vakil	On Sunday the 27th day of April/90 I. C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff tendered a copy of this Notice to the within named Defendt C. Amoortha Pillai. But the said C. Amoortha Pillai having refused to accept the service of the said Notice on him, I thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of this Notice on the outer door of the house No 46 in Nallanna Mudali street in Royapettah Madras, in which the said C. Amoortha Pillai is said to be ordinarily residing. 28th April 1890 Sign'd C. Singaram Bailiff. Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.F.	1890 April 29th Affd Swtt
Claim C.S. No 23,736 of 1887 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes C.F.	M. Alamaloo Ammall and M. Vijaya Lakshmi Ammall — Plaintiffs Ammathangammall — Defendant and Abboyi Ammall Venkatachella Pillay Padmavalli Thoyar & Pangajavallee Thoyar alias Baboo — Defendants by Revivor and P. Therooveng ada Pillay — Claimant	To The 2d Plaintiff M. Vijaya Lakshmi Ammall	April 18th	April 23rd	April 29th		O.T.	On Monday the 23rd day of April/90 I. C. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff of the within named 2d Plaintiff M. Vijaya Lakshmi Ammall on Mernesday the 23rd day of April 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr M. Jagarow Pillay Vakil for the said M. Vijaya Lakshmi Ammall as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said M. Jagarow Pillay in pencil. 23rd April 1890 Sign'd C. Tholasingum Bailiff Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.F.	April 24th Affd Swtt
Do	Do	To The 1st Plaintiff M. Alamaloo Ammall	"	"	"			This Notice was Servedby me C. Tholasingum an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff M. Alamaloo Ammall on Wednesday the 23rd day of April/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr M. Jagarow Pillay Vakil for the said M. Alamaloo Ammall as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said M. Jagarow Pillay. 23rd April 1890 Sign'd C. Tholasingum Bailiff Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.F.	April 24th Affd Swtt

Claim C.S. No 161 of 1888. L.P.	M. P. Annamalay Chetty — Plaintiff and P. Balasoondra Moodelly and others — Defendants and P. Chockalingam Moodelly — Claimant	To The Plaintiff M. P. Annamalay Chetty	1890 May 1st	1890 May 5th	1890 July 16th		
Claim C.S. No 139 of 1889 L.P.	Nunjandappa — Plaintiff and Pabapathy Auchary — Defendant and Munisawmy Auchary — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Nunjandappa	1st	5th	May 16th		
Original Side Appeal No 11 of 1890 L.P.	Gran Bay Ummall By Messrs Branson & Branson — Appellant Plaintiff and Katrambaukum Warangam Asury Venkata Charlu — Respondent Defendant	To The Respondent Katrambaukum Warangam Asury Venkata Charlu	2d	5th	August 4th		

The Respondent H. W. Asury Venkata Charlu was personally served on Thursday the 17th July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated 19th July 1890
P. Veeramall
Bailiff
L.P.

Sworn & Examined & Allowed
E. A. Stanmore
Taxing Officer

L.P. 5-5-90

P. Veeramall
Appellant

L.P. 5-5-90

This Notice was served by me C. Swigaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. P. Annamalay Chetty on Tuesday the 6th day of May 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. P. Seethambaram Chetty alleged to be the agent of the said M. P. Annamalay Chetty as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said M. P. Seethambaram Chetty for the said M. P. Annamalay Chetty.
Sign'd C. Swigaram Bailiff
7th May 1890
Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.P.

1890 May 8th

This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Nunjandappa on Tuesday the 6th Day of May 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.
Sign'd A. Tholasingum Bailiff
6th May 1890
Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.P.

May 6th

This Notice was served by P. Veeramall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Katrambaukum Warangam Asury Venkata Charlu on Thursday the 17th day of July 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him
23d July 1890
Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff
L.P.

July 23d

23d July/90

O.S. Appeal No. 10 of 1890 C.J.	M. Manicka Chetty Law. By Mr. C. V. Sundaram Sastri Appellant (2d & 3d Defts) and Navanna Ana Annamalay Chetty 2nd Respondt (Plaintiff)	To The Respondt Navanna Ana Annamalay by his agent Navanna Ana Venkataramana Iyer.	1890 May 2d	1890 May 6th	1890 Augt 4th	The Respondent Navanna Ana Annamalay (left) on Thursday the 8th day of May 1890 copy of the notice issuing thereon & the within named Navanna Ana Venkataramana Iyer alleged to be agent of the said Respondent as signed and sealed by the said Navanna Ana Venkataramana Iyer. 9th May 1890 C.J.	To The Registrar Supt.
2 Appeal No. 426 of 1890 C.J.	Lakshmana Prasath Lala by Mr. S. Subrahmanyam — Appellant and Vethachala Pillai — Respondent	To The Respondent Vethachala Pillai	5th	7th	2nd	The Respondent Vethachala Pillai is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 1st September 1890. C.J. C. Singaram. Bailiff Augt	
C.M.P. No. 411 of 1890 C.J.	Shanmugaroya Moodaliar and 2 others by Mr. H. G. Wedderburn — Petitioners and The Secretary of State for India in Council — Counter-Petitioner	To the Counter-Petitioner The Secretary of State for India in Council through the Government Pleader, Madras	5th	7th	11th	E. B. Powell Esqr was personally served on behalf of the Counter Petitioner the Secy of State for India in Council on Wednesday the 7th May/90 by a copy of the Notice being delt to E. B. Powell Esqr 7/5/90 Holmes C.J.	

O.S. Appeal No. 10 of 1890 — Mr. C. V. Sundaram Sastri Appellants Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Navanna Ana Annamalay Chetty on Thursday the 8th day of May 1890 by a copy of the Notice thereon & the within named Navanna Ana Venkataramana Iyer alleged to be the Agent of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Navanna Ana Venkataramana Iyer.

Sd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

25th July 1890
C.J.

1890 July 25th A.C.J. — 25 July/90

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Vethachala Pillai as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him

Sd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1st September 1890
C.J.

Sept. 1st A.C.J. — 1st Sept/90

Jy. 5-90

H. G. Wedderburn
Petitioners Counsel

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner the Secretary of State for India in Council on Wednesday the 7th day of May 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. B. Powell Esqr. the Government Pleader, Madras as appears by the above endorsement in pencil — Sd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

8th May 1890
C.J.

May 8th A.C.J. — 8th May/90

S. Holmes

2: Appeal No. 430 of 1890 L.J.	Lakshmana Prasada Lala by Mr. N. Subramanyam — Appellant and Syed Mohidin Hussain Sahib — Respondent	To The Respondent Syed Mohidin Hussain Sahib	1890 May 6th	1890 May 8th	1890 Sept. 2d	1890 Sept. 1st

The Respondent Syed Mohidin Hussain Sahib could not be found a copy of the notice was affixed, under instructions from the Appellant herein, on Saturday the 30th August 1890 at Subadrai Street Triplicane Madras.

Dated 30th August 1890.

C. Singaram. Bailiff.

4th August

As the within named Respondent Syed Mohidin Hussain Sahib could not be found a copy of this Notice was under instructions from the Appellant herein on Saturday the 30th day of August 1890, affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door No. — in Subadrai Street at Triplicane Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

1st September 1890

Serj J. Heggin bothom Sheriff

1890 Sept. 1st

C.J.

8-5-90

2: Appeal No. 1387 1889. L.J.	Parathy Appayya Chetty by Hon. V. Bashyam Iyengar — Appellant and G. Rangiah Chetty and 3 others — Respondents.	To The 3d Respondent Gunpoody Narayana Chetty	7th	9th	25th	July 15th

The 3d Respondent Gunpoody Narayana Chetty was served personally on Sunday the 13th May 1890 by a Copy of the notice being delivered to him but signed by him in pencil.

Dated 13th May 1890. C. Singaram Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3d Respondent Gunpoody Narayana Chetty on Tuesday the 13th day of May 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

15th July 1890

Serj J. Heggin bothom Sheriff

July 15th

C.J.

13/5/90

2d Appeal No 515 of 1890 L.J.	Lakshmana Prasad Lala by Mr. N. Subramonyam — Appellant and Saiyed Mohideen Hussain Sahib and — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Saiyid Mohideen Hussain Sahib	10th	14th	Sept. 8th	Sept. 2d

The 1st Respondent Saiyid Mohideen Hussain Sahib could not be found a copy of the notice was affixed under instructions from the Appellant herein, on Saturday the 30th August 1890 at Subadrai Street Triplicane Madras.

Dated 30th August 1890

C. Singaram. Bailiff.

As the within named 1st Respondent Saiyed Mohideen Hussain Sahib could not be found a copy of this Notice was under instructions from the Appellant herein on Saturday the 30th day of August 1890, affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door No. — in Subadrai Street Triplicane Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

2d September 1890

Serj J. Heggin bothom Sheriff

Sept. 2d

C.J.

14-5-90

Paupers

Original Side Appeal No. 13 of 1890

C.J. Somasundra Mooty — In person — Appellant Plaintiff

and

S. Rajarathna Moodelly minor by his guardian ad litem P. Lutchmana Moodelly and S. Valliammall — Respondents Defendants

1890 May 10th — To The Respondents S. Rajarathna Moodelly minor by his guardian P. Lutchmana Moodelly and S. Valliammall

1890 May 15th — P. Lutchmana Moodelly the guardian ad litem of the 1st Respondent was served on Monday the 7th July 1890 and the 2nd Respondent S. Valliammall was personally served on Saturday the 12th July 1890, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil. Dated 14th July 1890. C. Singaram Bailiff.

1890 August 11th

2nd Appeal No. 455 of 1890

Arnee Nallappa Mudaliar by M. Rangasawmy Moyangar — Appellant

and

Salem Ramanjulu Chetty — Respondent

1890 Sept. 2nd — To The Respondent Salem Ramanjulu Chetty — The Respondent Salem Ramanjulu Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature or Madras although search has been made for him. 2nd Sept. 1890. C.V.

1890 Sept. 2nd

Original Side Appeal No. 12 of 1890

A. Gathala Venkatasingam Chetty by Mr. M. Jagarow Pillai — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

Arnee Adilukshmiammal — Respondent (Defendant)

1890 May 8th — To The Respondent Arnee Adilukshmiammal.

1890 July 14th — The Respondent Arnee Adilukshmiammal was personally served on Thursday the 17th July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. Dated 17th July 1890. C. Singaram Bailiff.

1890 August 11th

Mr. M. Jagarow Pillai for Plaintiff

C.S.M. for 1st & 2nd Appellants

15-5-90

C.J.

Mr. M. Jagarow Pillai for Appellant

C.S.M. 14-7-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent S. Rajarathna Mudelly on Monday the 7th day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Lutchmana Mudelly alleged to be the guardian ad litem of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

1890 July 25th

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent S. Valliammall on Saturday the 12th day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. Persd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

25th July 1890

C.V.

25th July 1890

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Salem Ramanjulu Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdictions of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. Persd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

2nd September 1890

C.V.

Sept. 2nd

2nd Sept. 1890

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Arnee Adilukshmiammal on Thursday the 17th day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. Persd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

25th July 1890

C.V.

July 25th

25th July 1890

Original Side Appeal No 16 of 1890

P. Somasoondra Mudelly
By Mr D Grant
Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

1. P. Thatchanamoorthy Moodelly an infant by his natural father and guardian ad litem Swaganna Moodelly.
2. P. Runganadha Moodelliar
3. P. Soobramanea Moodelly.
Respondents (Defendants)

To The Respondents.

1. P. Thatchana-moorthy Moodelly an infant by his natural father and guardian, Swaganna Moodelly
2. P. Runganadha Moodelliar
3. P. Soobramanea Moodelly.

1890 July 16th
1890 July 18th
1890 August 16th

To Messrs Champion & Short for the 2nd & 3rd Respts vide letter dated 18th July/90 from Mr D. Grant Atty for the Appellt

To be served on Messrs Brown & Brandon for the 1st Respt vide letter dated 19th July/90 from the Attorney Hereon.

Swaganna Moody father & guardian of the 1st Respt P. Thatchanamoorthy Moody served on Monday the 21st July/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqr attorney for the said Swaganna Moody as signed in ink by the said R. Branson.

The 2nd & 3rd Respondents Monday the 21st July/90 Esqr an Attorney as signed by the said

P. Runganathum Moodt by copies of the Notice in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short as signed by the said E. F. Gardner.

P. Peroomalle Bailiff
29/7/90

a partner in the firm of Messrs Moody as signed in ink by the said

P. Soobramanea Moodt Server on being delivered to E. F. Gardner & Short attys for the said Respts

Douglas Grant & Co Defendants Vakil

P. Peroomalle

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Swaganna Moodelly the father and guardian of the within named 1st Respondent P. Thatchanamoorthy Moodelly on Monday the 21st day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said Swaganna Moodelly as appears by the above endorsement made by the said R. Branson

1890 August 1st

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents P. Runganadha Moodelliar and P. Soobramanea Moodelly on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr E. F. Gardner an Asst in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. F. Gardner.

1st August 1890

Sey J. Hey Gunbotham Sheriff

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent V. Rajanathna Moodelliar on Tuesday the 22nd day of July 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Balajee Row Vakil for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Balajee Row

1890 August 8th

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 4th Respondent P. Rowlandson Esqr on Monday the 4th day of August 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

And the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents V. Swaganna Ammall and Davanamma could not be found. Copies of this Notice were on Tuesday the 22nd day of July 1890 affixed on the outer door of the house No 3 in Veerasawmy Pillai Street Egmore Madras, in which the said 1st and 2nd Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing;

8th August 1890

Sey J. Hey Gunbotham Sheriff

Original Side Appeal No 14 of 1890

V. Singaravelu Mudelly
By Mr K. P. Vivanadha Aiyar — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

V. Swaganna Ammall and 3 others — Respondents (Defendants)

To The Respondents

1. V. Swaganna Ammall
2. Davanamma
3. V. Rajanathna Moodeliar
and
4. P. Rowlandson Esquire

1890 August 16th
1890 August 21st
1890 August 16th

The 3rd Respt V. Rajanathna Moodeliar served on Tuesday the 22nd July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Balajee Row Vakil for the said 3rd Respt as signed by him in pencil.

The 1st & 2nd Respts V. Swaganna Ammall and Davanamma could not be found & the Notice were affixed on the outer door of their dwelling house No 3 in Veerasawmy Pillai Street Egmore Madras.

And the 4th Respt P. Rowlandson Esqr was served on Monday the 4th August 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him.

Dated 7th August 1890

O. Tholasingum Bailiff

V. Swaganna Ammall

Affidavit & Vakalut filed

1890 August 1st

Sey J. Hey Gunbotham Sheriff

1890 August 8th

Sey J. Hey Gunbotham Sheriff

C.M.
O.No
15 of
1890
Ex.

Nawab Nazim Ood Dowlah Rustumjah Nawab ——— Petitioner
Resp^{t} in Or^{s} Appl^{n} 1/89
and
Ghousiah Begum Sahiba
Counter Petitioner
Appt in - do -

To
The Counter Pet^{r}
Ghousiah Begum Sahiba.

1890 July 23^{d} — 1890 July 24th — 1890 Aug^{t} 5th

The counter petitioner Ghousiah Begum Sahiba was served on Wednesday the 30th July 1890 by a copy of the notice being delivered to Goolam Hussain alleged to be the agent of the said counter petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil

Dated 31st July 1890
Ex.
C Singaram.
Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Ghousiah Begum Sahiba on Wednesday the 30th day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Goolam Hussain alleged to be the Agent of the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Goolam Hussain.
4th August 1890
Ex.
Sig^{d} J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1890 Aug^{t} 4th 4th Aug^{t} 1890

Original Side Appeal No 15 of 1890 Ex.

Meer Mahomed Alli Sahib By Mr M Krishnama Chariyar — Appellant (Plaintiff)
and
Goverdana Doss and Narrain Doss — Respondents (Defendants)

To
The Respondents
1. Goverdana Doss
and
2. Narain Doss.

16^{r} — 25th — 16^{r}

The 1st Respondent Goverdana Doss served personally on Thursday the 31st July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. and the 2^{d} Respondent Narrain Doss served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 1st Respondent Goverdana Doss the Nephew of the said 2^{d} Respondent as signed in pencil. To by the said 1st Respondent.

The Defendant
Lieut H. A. R. Jennings

31st/90 Ex.
Perroomall
Bailiff

25^{r} 28^{r} 1st

To be served thro' the Inspector General of Ordnance Fort St George. The Deputy is an R. A. Ordnance Offr 3^{d} Class & resides at Fort St George vide letter of 26th Aug/90 from the Asst Sheriff
Ex.

Aug^{t} 5th 5th Aug^{t} 1890

Mr M. Krishnama Chariyar Appellant's Proctor
Perroomall Bailiff
24-7-90

Mr H. Krishnama Chariyar Appellant's Proctor

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Ghousiah Begum Sahiba on Wednesday the 30th day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Goolam Hussain alleged to be the Agent of the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Goolam Hussain.
4th August 1890
Ex.
Sig^{d} J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

This Notice was served by T. Perroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Goverdana Doss on Thursday the 31st day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2^{d} Respondent Narain Doss on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent Goverdana Doss alleged to be the Nephew of the said 2^{d} Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 1st Respondent.
5th August 1890
Ex.
Sig^{d} J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

Aug^{t} 5th 5th Aug^{t} 1890

C. S. No 152 of 1888 Ex.

B. Futtay Chund — Plaintiff
and
Lieut H. A. R. Jennings — Defendant

Lt H. A. R. Jennings was served in the presence of Col. C. W. Brereton on the Monday the 28th July/90 thro' the Inspector Genl of Ordnance by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by Lt H. A. R Jennings.
28th July/90. A. Dobbee.

T. Rowlandson Esqr Plaintiff's Attorney
Mr Cox/ler.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant Lieut H. A. R. Jennings on Monday the 28th day of July 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him through the Inspector General of Ordnance Fort St George as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Lieut H. A. R. Jennings.
29th July 1890
Ex.
Sig^{d} J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

July 29th Ex. Asst

Mr Cox/ler.

Appeal Against Order No 72 of 1890	Narain Raghavachari by Mr. A. E. Sherunga-chariar — Appellant and Shamsudien Sahib and Six others — Respondents.	To The 6 Respondents	1890 July 25	1890 July 28	1890 Sept. 5	This Notice has not been served on the ~~other~~ 6 Respondent Khadir Bibi as She is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for her. 5th September 1890. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff. G.P.
		Khadir Bibi wife of Coachman Shamsudien	The 6 Respondent Khadir Bibi is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 4th Sept. 90			
			A. Scholasticum Bailiff			
O.S. Civil Misc Petition No 16 of 1890.	Navanna Ana Annamaly Chetty, by his agent K. A. Venkataramana Iyer — Petitioner (Respondent in O.S. appl. 105/89) and M. Manicka Chetty and another — Counter-(Petitioners Appts in O.S.)	To The Counter-Petitioners	Augt 25	29	Augt 25	As the within named Counter Petitioners M. Manicka Chetty and M. Thungavelu Chetty could not be found, Copies of this Notice were given instructions from K. A. Venkataramana Iyer the Agent of the within named Petitioner on Wednesday the 13th day of August 1890 affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the dwelling house No 201 in Thumbu Chetty Street, Muthialpet Black Town Madras, in which the said Counter Petitioners are said to be ordinarily residing. 21st August 1890. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff. G.P.
		1. M. Manicka Chetty	25	29	25	
		and 2. M. Thangavelu Chetty	The 1st & 2nd counter-petitioners M. Manicka Chetty and M. Thungavelu Chetty could not be found after search on the return made on the 13th August 1890 to this Notice by the dwelling house No 201 in Thumbu Chetty Street Black Town Madras. Dated 15th August 1890. G.P. Singaram. Bailiff.			
Claim O S No 6563 of 1889 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	J. Moorogasa Moodelliar — Plaintiff and Mahomed Lateef Hoosain Sahiba — Defendant and Khyroon Nissa Begum Sahiba — Claimant	To The Plaintiff J. Moorogasa Moodelliar	29	31	12	This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff J. Moorogasa Moodelliar on Sunday the 3rd day of August 1890 by a copy of the ~~Summons and a copy of the Plaint~~ Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 4th August 1890. Sd/ C. Singaram. Bailiff. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff. G.P.

						Augt 6th C.P.
C. V. Lindaram Sastiar Claimant Vakil	P. Balaji Respondents Vakil	Mr. A. E. Sherungachariar Appellant's Vakil	C. Scholasticum Bailiff			26 Sept/90

O.S. App.l No 8 of 1890

P. P. Thatchanamoorthy Mudaly by his natural father P. Sivagnana Mudaly by Messrs Branson & Branson - Appellant - Plaintiff

and

1. P. Runganada Mudaly
2. P. Soobramanya Mudaly
3. Rae Bahadoor P. Rajarathna Mudaly - Respondents Defendants.

To

The Respondents

1. P. Runganada Mudaly
2. P. Soobramanya Mudaly and
3. Rae Bahadoor P. Rajarathna Mudaly

Thursday, Sunday & Monday served on 14th 24 27th augt/90 of the Notice as signed by them.

28th augt/90

P. Tholasingam Bailiff

1890 July 30th

1890 Augt 4th

1890 Augt 30th

P. Soobramanya Mudaly & Rae Bahadoor P. Rajaratna Mudaly the 2nd 3rd 1st Respondents respectively were served on the 24th day of August/90 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them

Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants Attorneys

P. Tholasingam

This Notice was served by P. Tholasingam an officers of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent P. Soobramanya Mudaly on Thursday the 14th day of August 1890, on the within named 3rd Respt Rae Bahadoor P. Rajarathna Mudaliyar on Sunday the 24th day of August 1890 and on the within named 1st Respondent P. Runganada Mudaly on Wednesday the 27th day of August 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

29th August 1890

Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1890 August 29th

29th Augt/90

2 C.R.P. No 107 of 1890 (Limited) Grant ——— Petitioner

and

V. Ponnusamy Chetty and another Counter-Petitioners.

To

The Counter Petitioners

1. V. Ponnusamy Chetty and
2. Sundara Chetty

V. Ponnusamy Chetty was served on Thursday the 14th day of augt/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

The 1st Counter-Petitioner V. Ponnusamy Chetty could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed under instructions from the Sheriff Sec. Chetty on Thursday the 4th Sept/90 on an outer door of the house within described

P. Tholasingam

August 8th 11th

Sept. 12th

And as the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner V. Ponnusamy Chetty could not be found, a copy of this Notice was under instructions from Lamacandaram Chetty, the Secretary of the Petitioners herein on Thursday the 11th day of September 1890 affixed by P. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door No 123 in Linga Chetty Street Black Town of Madras, in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing.

12th September 1890

Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Sept. 12th

12th Sept/90

Appeal Against Order No 737 1890

Rage Bommarajee Bahadur by Mr. S. Subramanyam ——— Appellant

and

Sahananda Kesara Doss ——— Respondent

To

The Respondent Sahananda Kesara Doss.

The Respondent Sahananda Kesara Doss is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him

12th September 1890

P Veeraraghavalu Bailiff

Mr. S. Subramanyam Advocate

P. Veeraraghavalu

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Sahananda Kesara Doss as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

12th September 1890

Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Sept. 12th

12th Sept/90

C.R.
P. No 226 of 1890
L.P.

Amirthavally Ammall — Petitioner
and
Anthoni Ammall — Counter Petitioner

To
The Counter Petitioner Anthoni Ammall.

1890	1890	1890
Augt 20	Augt 21	Sept 12
8	11	12

The Counter Petitioner Anthoni Ammall was served on Friday the 15th August 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.

20th August 1890

L.P.

C. Tholasingam
Bailiff

Petitioner's Proctor

Proctor for Counter Petitioner

O.S.

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Anthoni Ammall on Friday the 15th day of August 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her.

22nd August 1890

L.P.

/Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1890 August 22 C.R. 22/8/90

2nd Appeal Against Appellate Order No 21 of 1890
L.P.

Alavandan by Mr. M.C. Parthasaradhi Aiyangar and M. C. Sriranga Chariar — Appellant
and
Manicka Chetty and three others — Respondents

To
The Respondents.
1. Manicka Chetty
2. Namasivaya Chetty
and
3 and 4. Kandasamy Chetty on his own behalf and as guardian of the minor 4th Respondent Nadesa Chetty

The 1st 2nd & 3rd Respondents Manicka Chetty, Namasivaya Chetty and Kandasamy Chetty could not be found except of the notices were affixed on Friday the 12th September 1890 at their dwelling houses No. 20, 21, 250 in Arumachala Ambary Street Elephant Trichinopoly Madras.

Dated 12th September 1890.

L.P.

C. Singaram.
Bailiff.

Proctor for 1st 2nd & 3rd Respondents

As the 1st Respondent Manicka Chetty, 2nd Respondent Namasivaya Chetty and the 3rd Respondent Kandasawmy Chetty also a guardian of the 4th Respondent Nadesa Chetty (minor) could not be found. Copies of this Notice were on Friday the 12th day of September 1890 affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer doors of the dwelling houses within described, in which each of the said Respondents is said to be ordinarily residing.

12th September 1890

L.P.

/Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

Sept 12 C.R. 12/9/90

C.R.P. No. 249 of 1890 L.J.	Kothandapany Mudaliar by his A.J. Ambrose Petitioner and K. Somasundara Mudaliar and another Counter Petitioners.	To The Counter-Petitioners 1. K. Somasundra Mudaliar and 2. Rajagopal Naidoo	1890 August 13th	1890 August 14th	1890 Sept. 19th	The 1st & 2nd Counter-Petitioners K. Somasundra Mudaliar and Rajagopal Naidoo were served personally on Thursday the 21st August 1890 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them Dated. 22nd August 1890. L.J.	C. Singaram Bailiff
Original Side Appeal No. 20 of 1890 L.J.	Mrs E.M. Ross By Messrs Barclay & Morgan — Appellant (Petn. in Suit 29/89) and Mr H.J. Ross - Respondent (Counter Petn. in Suit 29/89)	To The Respondent Mr Henry Thomas Ross.	August 18th	18th	22nd	Serve this Notice on Messrs Wilson & King on behalf of the Respt. vide letter 9/18th aug/90 from the Attorneys herein L.J. Mr H.J. Ross was served on Monday the 18th aug/90 by a copy of the notice being delivered to H.C. King Esq. atty for a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King attorneys for the said Mr H.J. Ross as signed on the orgl. notice by the said Mr H.C. King. 18th aug/90 L.J.	W.H. Middleton Bailiff

Messrs Barclay & Morgan Atty for Appellant

Mrs E.M. Ross Appellant

D.A. Minuted

C.R.P. 14-8-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the Counter-Petitioners K. Somasundra Mudaliar and Rajagopal Naidoo on Thursday the 21st day of August 1890 by delivering to them as Copies of the Notice and pointing out the contents thereof as appears by the endorsements made by them

25th August 1890

Sig^d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

1890 August 25th

This Notice was served by W.H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mr Henry Thomas Ross on Monday the 18th day of August 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to H.C. King Esqr. a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King, Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said H.C. King.

18th Augt 1890

Sig^d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Augt 18th

18 Augt/90

2d Sittg. Second Appeal No 236 of 1890 Dt.	Kanagaraya Mudali and Four others by Mr. P. F. Grant — Appellants and P. Shadagopa Mudaliar — Respondent	To The Respondent P. Shadagopa Mudaliar	1890 August 18th	1890 August 19th	1890 Sept. 23rd	The Respondent P. Shadagopa Mudaliar was personally served on Saturday the 22nd September 1890, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated. 22nd September 1890. C. Singaram. Bailiff.
O.S. C. M.P. No 21 of 1890 Dt. / Claim C P No 20305 of 1889 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes Dt.	P. Thatchanamoorthy Mudaly Infant by his father and guardian Sivagana Mudaly — Petitioner 1st Rpt. in O.S. appl. 16/90 and P. Somasoondra Mudaly — Counter-Petitioner (Appellant in do) M. Ponnusaumy Moodelly and M. Krishnasaumy Moodelly — Plaintiffs and P. Yenakkammal Wrongly described as Yellakkammal in the Suit — Defendant and P. Ramalingam Chetty — Claimant	To The Counter-Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudaly	8th	21st	8th	The Counter-Petitioner Moodelly is not to be found within the Local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. Dated this 8th September 1890. P. Peroomall Bailiff
		To The 1st Plaintiff M. Ponnusaumy Moodelly	18th	23rd	2nd	

P. Somasoondra Mudaly
Counter Petitioner
(Appellant in do)

T. P. Viswanatha Iyer
Claimant

P. Peroomall

	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Shadagopa Mudaliar on Saturday the 20th day of September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff. 22nd September 1890. C.P.	1890 Sept. 22nd	27th Sept. 90
	This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudaly as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff. 8th Sept. 1890. C.P.	Sept. 8th	8th Sept. 90
	This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff M. Ponnusaumy Moodelly on Saturday the 30th day of August 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 1st September 1890. C.P. Sd/ P. Peroomall Bailiff. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff.	Sept. 1st	1st Sept. 90

Claim C.S. No.			1890	1890	1890	
20305 of 89 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes C.J.	M. Ponnosawmy Moodly and M. Krishnasawmy Moodly — Plaintiff and P. Yenakkammall wrongly described as Yallakkammall in this suit — Defendant P Ramalinga Chetty Claimant	To The 2d Plaintiff M. Krishnasawmy Moodly	August 18th	August 23d	Sept 2d	This notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff M. Krishnasawmy Moodelly on Saturday the 30th day of August 1890 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 1st September 1890 / Serg P. Peroomall Bailiff / Serg J. Higginbotham Sheriff — 1890 Sept 1st C.S. M.K.
O.S. C. Mis P. No. 20 of 1890 C.J.	K. W. A. Venkatachalu By Mr P. Ananda Charlu Petitioner (Respondent in O.S. Appeal 11/90) and Granthy Rookmanny Ammall — Counter Petitioner (Appellant in O.S. Appl 11/90)	To The Counter Petitioner Granthy Rookmanny Ammall	22d	25th	20th	This notice was served by A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Granthy Rookmanny Ammall on Tuesday the 26th day of August 90 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. / Serg J. Higginbotham Sheriff. 28th August 1890 C.S. — August 28th 90
		The Counter-Petitioner Granthy Rookmanny Ammall was served on Tuesday the 26th day of Aug/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. 28th Aug/90 A. Tholasingum Bailiff				
Original Side Appeal No. 19 of 1890 C.J.	J. Soobroyalu Chetty By Mr C. Mahadava Aiyar Appellant (3d Creditor in Insolvent Case 136/89) and H. Rowlandson Esqr and 6 others — Respondents Official Assignee Insolvent and (All Debtors in a Copy Nos 44, 46, 102 & 103)	To The 1st Respondent H. Rowlandson, Esquire, Official Assignee of Madras.	28th	Sept 3d	October 28th	H. Rowlandson, Esq., Official Assignee of Madras was served on Thursday the 4th day of Sept/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in pencil on the Original Notice 4/9/90 S Holmes

S Holmes
Appellant's Vakil

Mr C. Mahadava Aiyar
Appellant's Vakil

Mr P. Ananda Charlu
Petitioner's Vakil

Mr C. Thiruvengada Aiyar
Claimant's Vakil

						This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent H. Rowlandson, Esqre, Official Assignee of Madras on Thursday the 4th day of September 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 4th September 1890 / Serg J. Higginbotham Sheriff — Sept 4th C.S. — 4th Sept/90

Original Side Appeal No. 21 of 1890 C.V.	P. Venkataram Aiyar By Mr. C. Mahadava Aiyar — Appellant Plaintiff in C.S. 173/87 and M. Kothandaram Aiyar and another — Respondents (1 & 2 Defendants in do)	To The 2d Respondent M. Narayan Panchoo Aiyar.	1890 August 28.	1890 Sept. 3.	1890 Sept. 28.	The 2d Respondent Narayan Panchoo Aiyar served personally on Saturday the 13th September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 18th September 1890. C.V.	C. Singaravelu Bailiff. Acctt.	
Original Side Appeal No. 22 of 1890 C.V.	Catathur Manika Ammall By Mr. C. V. Sundaram Pastre — Appellant (Plaintiff in C.S. 449/87) and T. Ruthnavalu Mudelliar — Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent T. Ruthnavalu Mudr	Sept. 5th.	8th.	5th.	T. Ruthnavalu Mudr is not to be found within the local limits of the Hight Court of Judt. at Madras although search has been made for him— 6th Oct/90 C.V.	C. Singaravelu Bailiff	
Original Side C.R.P. No. 250 of 1890 C.V.	Veerasawmy Mudally by Mr. P. S. Sivaswamy Aiyar — Petitioner 3d Defendant and A. C. Chengalvaroya Moodelly and A. C. Mungesa Moodelly, minors, by their next friend Thandavaroya Mudally — Counter-Petitioners (Plaintiffs)	To The Counter-Petitioners A. C. Chengalvaroya Moodelly and A. C. Mungesa Mudally, minors, by their next friend Thandavaroya Mudally	August 29th.	8th.	Sept. 29th.	Thandavaroya Mudaly was served on Tuesday the 9th Sept/90 on behalf of the Counter Petitioners by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in pencil on the Original Notice. 9th Sept. 1890	P. Veeroomall Bailiff	

C.V. 3-9-90	C. V. Sundaram Pastre Appellant's Vakil	P. Veeroomall	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Narayoor Panchoo Aiyar, on Saturday the 13th day of September 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 16th September 1890 C.V.	1890 Sept. 16th C.R. Sheriff
			This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent T. Ruthnavalu Mudelliar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 6th Octr 1890 C.V.	Octr 6th C.R. Sheriff
	P. S. Sivaswamy Aiyar Petitioners Vakil	O. S.	This Notice was served by P. Veeroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners A. C. Chengalvaroya Mudally and A. C. Mungesa Mudally minors on Tuesday the 9th day of September 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Thandavaraya Mudally alleged to be the next friend of the said Counter-Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Thandavaroya Mudally. 9th September 1899 C.V.	Sept. 9th C.R. Sheriff

Paper

Original Side Appeal No 184 of 1890 G.T.	Grandi Chellam Chetty By Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Appellant (Affidavit 5/56/89) and Grandi Rukmony Ammall and two others — Respondents (Defendants)	To The Respondents 1. Grandi Rukmony Ammall 2. Pulla Chengalammall and 3. Nallamutlu Canne ammall	1890 Sept. 6:-	1890 Sept. 10:-	1890 Octor. 6:-

The 2nd & 3rd Respondents Pulla Chengalammall and Nallamutlu Canne ammall were served on Thursday the 11th September 1890 and the 1st Respondent Grandi Rukmony Ammall was served on Saturday the 13th September 1890 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and endorsements made by them in pencil on the original Notice

Dated. 15th September 1890.

G.T. C. Singaravelu. Bailiff.

C.M.O. No 952 of 1889 G.T.	Bala Gouri Vallabha Devar by Mr. S. Subrahmaniam — Petitioner and Pareadami alias Udayan Devar & 11 others — Counter Petitioners	To The 7:-9: Counter Petitioners Mr. R.G. Orr on his own behalf and as attorney and authorized Agent of the 9: Counter Petitioner Mr. J. Ryan.	4:-	10:-	10:-

Mr. R.G. Orr is not to be found although search has been made for him.

9th Oct/90

A Cooper.

C.S.C. No. 22 of 1890 G.T.	P. Ramanadha Mudr and another By Messrs Champion and Short — Petitioners (2nd & 3rd Respondents in O.S. appl. 16/90) and P. Somasoondra Mudr. — Counter Petitioner (Appellant in O.S.)	To The Counter Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudly.	9:-	12:-	8:-

The counter Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudelly is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated this 8th October 1890

G.T. P. Somasundaram Mudaliar

Mr. S. Subramaniam Vakil for Petitioners

Messrs Champion & Short Vakils for Counter Petitioner

Mr. R.G. Orr Vakil for Counter Petitioner

A Cooper

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents Pulla Chengalammall and Nallamutlu Canne-ammall on Thursday the 11th day of September 1890 and the within named 1st Respondent Grandi Rukmony Ammall on Saturday the 13th day of September 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

17th September 1890

Serv J. Higginbotham Sheriff

G.T.

1890. Sept. 17th

This Notice has not been served on the within named 7th Counter Petitioner Mr. R.G. Orr either on his own behalf or as attorney and authorized Agent of the 9th Counter Petitioner Mr. J. Ryan, as he the said R.G. Orr is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

9th October 1890

Serv J. Higginbotham Sheriff

G.T.

Oct. 9th

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudly as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him

8th October 1890

Serv J. Higginbotham Sheriff

G.T.

Oct. 8th

8th Oct/90

O.S. Appeal No. 19 of 1890 L.P.	T. Coobroyalu Chetty By Mr. C. Mahadava Aiyar — Appellant 3d Creditor in Insolvent Case 136/84. and F. Rowlandson Esqr & 6 others — Respts Offl Asignee Insolvent & all debtors in each of the Nos 44.46, 102 & 103)	To The 1st Respondent F. Rowlandson Esqr Official Assignee of Madras.	1890 Sept 16	1890 Sept 17	1890 Oct 10	F. Rowlandson Esqr Official Assignee of Madras was served on Monday the 19th Sept 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in ink on the Original Notice dated 19th Sept 1890 W. H. Middleton Bailiff L.P.
Original Side Appeal No. 239 of 1890 V.V.	Donady Rungiah Naicky Minor, by his uncle C. Akiah naicky, By Messrs Champion and Short — Appellant (Dispn in O.S. 187/84) and 1. Kuppoor Anantha Chary 2. Baker Krishniah (died) 3. Donady Pedda thamby naicky and 4. Ellancotay Lutchmiah naicky — Respondents (Defendants)	To The 3rd and 4th Respondents 3. Donady Pedda thamby naicky and 4. Ellancotay Lutchmiah naicky	13	20	28	The 3rd & 4th Respondents Donady Pedda thamby naicky and Ellancotay Lutchmiah naicky were served on Sunday the 5th October 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as assigned by them in pencil on the original Notice. dated this 15th October 1890 L. Personnall Bailiff V.V.
Original Side Appeal No. 26 of 1890 L.P.	J. Poobraylu Chetty By Mr. C. Mahadava Aiyar - Appellant (3d Creditor in Insolvent 136/84) and Melap Chund Sungojee Lala — Respondent (4th Creditor in do)	To The Respondent Melap Chund Sungojee Lala	19	22	10	The Respondent Melap Chund Sungojee Lala was served personally on Wednesday the 24th Sept 90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 29th Sept 90 O. Pholasingum Bailiff L.P.

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent F. Rowlandson Esqr, Official Assignee of Madras on Friday the 19th day of September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

24th September 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

L.P.

Sept. 24th

This Notice was served by P. Personnall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd and 4th Respondents Donady Pedda thamby Naicky and Ellancotay Lutchmiah Naicky on Sunday the 5th day of October 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

15th October 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

V.V.

Oct. 16th

This Notice was served by O. Pholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Melap Chund Sungojee Lala on Wednesday the 24th day of September/90 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

30th September 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

L.P.

Sept. 30th

Original Side Appeal No. 25 of 1890 L.P.	Dondikada Janaki Boyee Ammall By Mr. T. Subramaniem — Appellant Plff in C.S. 188/89. and 1. Dhunnu Lall Lalla and 2. Conjeveram Gopala Kishna Mudaliar — Respondents Defts-in-do.	To The Respondents 1. Dhunnu Lall Lalla and 2. Conjeveram Gopala Kishna Mudaliar	1890 Sept 23d	1890 Sept. 24:	1890 October 24:	The 1st & 2d Respondents Dhunnu Lall Lalla and Conjeveram Gopala Kishna Mudaliar were served personally on Thursday the 25th September 1890 by copies of the Notice delivered to them as appears by the signed by them. Dated: 25th September 1890 L.L. Singaram Bailiff	
D.S.C. No. 2104 1890 L.P.	P. Thatchanamoorthy Mudally Infant by his father and guardian Duiagand Mudaly — By Mr. Brausom & Brampton Petitioner 1. Respt. in C.S. appl. 16/90 and P. Tomasoondra Mudaly — Counter Petitioner (Appellant-do.)	To The Counter Petitioner P. Tomasoondra Mudaly	19:	24:	8:	The Counter Petitioner P. Tomasoondra Moodelly was served on Friday the 26th September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Douglas Grant Esq. attorney for the said Counter Petitioner as signed on the original by the said Douglas Grant. Dated this 26th September 1890. L.P. Veeroomalle Bailiff	
C.S. No. 1947 1888 L.P.	Desika Chary — Plaintiff and Krishnaswamy Minor by his guardian S. Narasimha Chary & 4 others — Defendts	To The 5th Defendt S. Narasimha Chary	24:	27:	1st	The 5th Defendant S. Narasimha Chary was served on Saturday the 27th September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him at Civil Jail and signed by him. Dated 29th September L.P. Singaram Bailiff	

Mr. T. Subramaniem Atty for Appellt

Mr. O. Dickinson Atty for Petitioner

Mr. O. Dickinson Atty for Plff

Mr. Brausom & Brampton Petitioner's Attys

24-9-90	This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2d Respondents Dhunnu Lall Lalla and Conjeveram Gopala Kishna Mudaliar on Thursday the 25th day of September 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them. 26: September 1890 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.P.	1890 Sept. 26th	
	This Notice was served by P. Veroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner P. Tomasoondra Mudaly on Friday the 26th day of September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Douglas Grant Esqr. Attorney for the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Douglas Grant. 1st October 1890 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.P.	Oct. 1st	1st Oct. 90
27-9-90	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 5th Defendant S. Narasimha Chary who is now a prisoner in the Civil Jail on Saturday the 27th day of September 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him in the presence of the Keeper of the said Jail as appears by the above endorsement made by the said S. Narasimha Chary. 29: September 1890 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.P.	Sept. 29:	Sept. 1890

O.S. Appl. No. 3/90 O.S. C.M.P. 25/90 C.V. C.V.	D. Ganesa Nattan by Mr. T.V. Seshagiri Aiyar — Appellant — Plaintiff and Poorooshothama Nattan & 16 others — Respts — Defendants	To The 3rd Defdt Respdt 3. Murugasa Nattan 7. Ruthna Puig Lala	1890 Sept. 25.	1890 Sept. 29.	1890 Oct. 18.	The 7th Respondent Ruthna Puig Lala was served on Monday the 5th October 1890 and the 3rd Respondent Murugasa Nattan was served on Monday the 6th October 1890 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil. Dated 7th October 1890. C.V.	C Singaram Bailiff
3rd Notice 2nd appl. No. 325 of 90 C.V.	Appadurai Mudali by Mr. P.V. Rama-sawmy Raja — Appellant and Muthusami Mudali & 2 others — Respts	To The 3rd Respondt Sivapatha Mudali		Nov. 20.	29.	17.	The 3rd Respondent Sivapatha Mudali could not be found a copy of the Notice was on Friday the 7th November 1890 at his house No. 21 Muthu Moodaly Street Royapettah Madras. Dated 7th November 1890. C.V.	C Singaram Bailiff
2nd Notice 2nd appl. No. 455 of 90 C.V.	Arunee Nallappa Mudaliar by Mr. M. Ranga-samy Aiyanger — Appellant and Salem Ramanjoolo Chetty — Respondent	To The Respondent Salem Ramanjoolo Chetty		29.	29.	27.	The Respondent Salem Ramanjoolo Chetty was personally served on Thursday the 2nd day of October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil on the original Notice. Dated 3rd October 1890. C.V.	C Singaram Bailiff.

O.S. No. 3/90 — D. Ganesa Nattan by Mr. T.V. Seshagiri Aiyar — Appellant — Plaintiff and Poorooshothama Nattan & 16 others — Respts — Defendants

29-9-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 7th Respondent Ruthna Puig Lala on Sunday the 5th day of October 1890 and on the within named 3rd Respondent Murugasa Nattan on Monday the 6th day of October 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

8th October 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.V.

1890 Oct. 8th

8th Oct. 90

O.S. No. 3/90 — Appadurai Mudali by Mr. P.V. Ramasawmy Raja — Appellant and Muthusami Mudali & 2 others — Respts

29-9-90

As the 3rd Respondent Sivapatha Mudali could not be found, a Copy of this Notice was on Friday the 7th day of November 90 affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 21 in Muthu Mudali Street, Royapettah Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

13th November 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.V.

November 13th

13th Nov. 90

O.S. No. 455 of 90 — Arunee Nallappa Mudaliar by Mr. M. Rangasamy Aiyanger — Appellant and Salem Ramanjoolo Chetty — Respondent

29-9-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Salem Ramanjoolo Chetty on Thursday the 2nd day of October 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

3rd October 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.V.

Oct. 3rd

3rd Oct. 90

Ori. Fiat appt. No 29 of 1890 L.V.	M. Theagasa Mudelly By Mr. K.P. Visvanatha Aiyar — Appellant — 2d Plaintiff and Ganthapody Mungam -mal — Respondt (1st Defdt)	To The Respondent Ganthapody Mungammall	1890 Octr 1st	1890 Octr 2d	1890 Octr 31st	The Respondent Ganthapody Mungammall was served on Friday the 16th October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil Dated this 15th October 1890 L.V. P. Peroomall Bailiff		
Fiat appt. No 27/90 L.V.	Terootani Manicka Mood by Mr. K. P. Visvanadha Aiyar — Appellant — Defendant and Terootani Vulliammall — Respondt — Plaintiff	To The Respondent Terootani Vulli -ammall.	Octr 1st	Octr 6th	Octr 31st	The Respondent Terootani Vulliammall was served on Tuesday the 7th October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. L.V. Dated 7th October 1890 C. Singaram Bailiff.		
Fiat C.M.P. No 29 of 90 L.V.	C. Rajarathna Mood= minor by his mother & next friend Thangammal Petitioner appt. in O.S. No. 31/90 and C. Ammani Ammal & C. Nagabushanum Aiyar — Counter Petitioner Respt — do do	To The Counter Petitioners 1. C. Ammani Ammal and 2. C. Nagabushanum Naidu	6th	6th	15th	The 1st & 2nd Counter Petitioners C. Ammani Ammal & C. Nagabushanum Naidu were served on Thursday the 9th October 1890 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil Dated this 10th October 1890 L.V. P. Peroomall Bailiff		

Mr. K.P. Visvanatha Aiyar Appellant's Vakil

P. Peroomall 6-10-90

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Ganthapody Mungammal on Friday the 10th day of October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff. 16 October 1890 L.V.

1890 Octor 16th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Terootani Vulli- -ammall on Tuesday the 7th day of Oct/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorse- -ment in pencil made by her. Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff. 8th October 1890 L.V.

Octr 8th

Mr. K.P. Visvanatha Aiyar Appellant's Vakil

Messrs. V. Ramasamy Moodr & C. Singaram Moodr P. Peroomall

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter- Petitioners C. Ammani Ammal and C. Nagabushanum Naidu on Thursday the 9th day of October 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. Sign'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff. 14th October 1890 L.V.

October 14th

Original Side Appeal No 30 of 1890 C.J.	P. Ruthnammall By Mr. P. Anandachariu — Appellant Plaintiff and S. Kanniammall and two others — Respondents Defendants.	To	The Respondents.	1890 Oct. 6:-	1890 Oct. 7:-	1890 Oct. 6:-	The 1st & 2nd Respondents S. Kanniammall & Puliyoor Woonnamally are hereby summoned to appear on Monday the 9th October and the 3rd Respondent Raju Mudally is summoned to appear on Friday the 10th October 1890 and by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil. Dated 7th October 1890 C.J. C. Singaram Bailiff
Claim C. J No 11925 of 1888. on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes C.J.	V. Narayanasawmy Naidu Plaintiff and V. Ramasawmy Naidu by their mother and guardian V. Vathavalli ammall — Defendant and C. Raju Naidu — Claimant	To	The Plaintiff V. Narayanasawmy Naidu	Oct. 11:-	7:-	Oct. 16:-	
C. M. P. No 28 of 1890 C.J.	M. Theagasa Mudelliar By Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Mejar — Petitioner (Appellant in os. appeal 29/90) and Ganthapody Mungammal — Counter Petitioner (Respondent in do)	To	The Counter Petitioner Ganthapody Mungammal	6:-	7:-	15:-	The Counter Petitioner Ganthapody Mungammal was served on Friday the 10th October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. Dated this 10th October 1890 C.J. P. Peroomall Bailiff

M. P. Anandachariu Esqr Appellant's Vakil

C.J. 7-10-90

S. Kanniammall & Claimant's Vakil

C.J. 7-10-90

K. P. Viswanatha Iyer Petitioner's Vakil

C.J. for P.P. 7-10-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents S. Kanniammall and Puliyoor Woonnamally on Annual on Wednesday the 8th day of October 1890 and on the within named 2nd Respondent Raju Mudally on Friday the 10th day of October 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

Sworn J. Higginbotham Sheriff

20th October 1890

C.J.

1890 Oct. 20 C.J. 20 Oct. 1890

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff V. Narayanasawmy Naidu on Wednesday the 8th day of October 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him.

Sworn C. Singaram Bailiff

Sworn J. Higginbotham Sheriff

9th October 1890

C.J.

Oct. 14th C.J.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Ganthapody Mungammal on Friday the 10th day of October 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

Sworn J. Higginbotham Sheriff

14th October 1890

C.J.

Oct. 14th C.J. 14 Oct. 1890

2d Appeal No. 426 of 1890 C.P.	Lakshmana Prasath Lala by Mr. S. Subramanyam — Appellant and Vedachela Pillai — Respondent	To The Respondent Vedachela Pillai.	1890 Oct. 2.	1890 Oct. 7.	1890 Nov. 27.	The Respondent Vedachela Pillai was served personally on Tuesday the 6th November 1890 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement signed by him in pencil. Dated 7th November 1890. C. Singaram Bailiff.
Original Side C.M.P. No. 26 of 1890 C.P.	Terootanu Manicka Moodaly By Mr. K.P. Viswanatha Aiyar — Petitioner (Appellant in appl 27/90) and Terootanu Vulliammall — Counter Petitioner (Respt in do)	To The Counter Petitioner Terootanu Vulliammall.	6.	9.	15.	The Counter Petitioner Terootanu Vulliammall was served personally on Tuesday the 14th October 1890 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil. Dated 14th October 1890. C. Singaram Bailiff.
61 appl 28.9.90 C.P.	Henry Derry — Appellant (Plaintiff) and The Secretary of State for India in Council Respondent — Defendant	To The Respondent The Secretary of State for India in Council	Oct. 10.	Oct. 14.	Nov. 10.	The Secretary of State for India in Council was served on Tuesday the 14th Oct. 90 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esq. the Govt. Solicitor as signed by him on the orig. Petition. 15th Oct. 90. S. Holmes

Messrs. Wilson & Co. Attorneys for Appellant

K.P. Viswanatha Aiyar Advocate for Appellant

S. Holmes

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Vedachela Pillai on Thursday the 6th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

13th Nov. 1890

Sig.d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

1890 Nov. 13 C.P. 13th Nov. 90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Terootanu Valliammall on Tuesday the 14th day of October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

14th October 1890

Sig.d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

Octr 14th C.P. 14th Oct. 90

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent the Secretary of State for India in Council on Tuesday the 14th day of October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esq. the Government Solicitor, Madras, as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Ernest Barclay Esq.

15th October 1890

Sig.d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

Octr 15th C.P. 15th Oct. 90

2? O.S. C. Mis P. No 22 of 1890 G.V.	P. Rungnadha Mudaly and another By Messrs Champion and Short — Petitioners (2nd & 3rd Respondents on appl. 16/90) and P. Somasoondra Mudaly — Counter-Petitioner (Appellant in do.)	To The Counter Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudaly	1890 Oct. 23rd	1890 Octr. 24th	1890 Novr 7th	The counter Petitioner P. Somasoondra Mudaly was served on Thursday the 6th November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Douglas Grant Esqr attorney for the said counter Petitioner as signed on the Original, by the said Douglas Grant. Dated this 7th November 1890 G.V.	P. Peroomall Bailiff
Original Side Appeal No. 36 of 1890 G.V.	Collah Lobramanien Chetty By Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant Plaintiff and Collah Venkata Cunniah Chetty — Respondent Defendant	To The Respondent Collah Venkata Cunniah Chetty	23rd Oct.	27th Oct.	26th Nov.	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Collah Venkata Cunniah Chetty on Thursday the 30th day of October 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to H. C. King Esqr. a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson and King, Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said H. C. King Esquire. 31st October 1890. Sig. J. Higginbotham Sheriff. G.V.	P. Peroomall Bailiff
2nd Appeal No. 94 of 1890 G.V.	Shanmugaraya Mudaliar and 2 others Hy. H. G. Widderburn — Appellant and Narasimha Chariar and 41 others — Respondents	To The 16th Respondent Lundaramma	28th Dec.	29th Dec.	12th Jany 1891	This Notice has not been served on the 16th Respondent Lundaramma as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 12th January 1891. For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff. Sig. R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff. G.V.	1891 Janr 12th P. Peroomall Bailiff

2 Appeal No 73 of 1890 L.P.	Raja Bommaraju Bahadur by Mr. Subrahmanyam — Appellant and Sahananda Kisora Does — Respondent	To The Respondent Sahananda Kisora Does	1899 Oct. 28th	1899 Oct. 29th	1890 Nov. 24th	The Respondent Sahananda Kisora Does was served personally on Friday the 21st November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in Tamil ink. Dated this 22nd November 1890. L.P. Veeramallai Bailiff	
Original Side Appeal No 34 of 1890 L.P.	Arabella French By Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant Plaintiff and Belinda Charlotte Rose Maelzer and Herman Maelzer — Respondents Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Belinda Charlotte Rose Maelzer and 2. Herman Maelzer	30th	31st	1st December	Serve this Notice on Messrs. Champion & Short on behalf of the Respondents vide letter of 27th day of October 90 from the Attorneys herein.	The 1st & 2nd Respondents Belinda Charlotte Rose Maelzer and Herman Maelzer were served on Saturday the 1st day of November 90 by copies of the Notice being delivered to E. P. Gardner Esqr. assistant in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short Attorneys for the said Respondents as signed by him in pencil on the Original Noted 1st November 90 A Cooper

Subramaniam Appellant
Branson & Branson Attorneys

Arabella French Plaintiff

	This Notice was Served by P. Veeramallai an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Sahananda Kisora Does on Friday the 21st day of November 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 24th November 1890. L.P.	/ Serg. J. Higgumbotham Sheriff	1890 November 24th	24 Nov 90
	This Notice was Served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents Belinda Charlotte Rose Maelzer and Herman Maelzer on Saturday the 1st day of November 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to E. P. Gardner Esquire an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said E. P. Gardner Esqr. 4th November 1890. L.P.	/ Serg. J. Higgumbotham Sheriff	November 4th	

Original Side Appeal No 32 of 1890 K.J.

Sundar Rungiah Chetty alias Runganaga-coloo Chetty. By Mr. P. Visvanadha Aiyar — Appellant (3rd Defendant)

Sundar Vardaraja-coloo Chetty and three others — Respondents (Appd 6th, 7th & 8th Defts)

To The Respondents.

1. Sundar Vardaraja-coloo Chetty, infant, by his guardian ad litem Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty.
2. Sundaram Ramasawmy Chetty
3. Sundaram Venkata Krishnama Chetty
and
4. Sundaram Narayana Chetty, minor, by his guardian ad litem A. Ramachandra Row Interpreter of the High Court.

1890	1890	1890
Octr. 20th	Novr. 1st	Novr. 18th

The 3rd Respondent Sundaram Venkatakrishnama chetty was served on Tuesday the 18th and Yekkala Soobbiah chetty the guardian for the 1st Respondent Sundar Vardaraja-coloo Chetty and Mr. A. Ramachandra Row the guardian for the 4th Respondent Sundaram Narayana chetty were served on Tuesday the 11th November 1890, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them on the original Notice.

The 2nd Respondent Sundaram Ramasawmy Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court & that although search has been made for him.

Dated 13th November 1890.

C. Vingaram Bailiff.

To The Counter Petitioner Mylay Ummall Ummall

Novr. 3rd	Novr. 5th	Novr. 17th

Mylay Ummall Ummall was served personally on Saturday the 15th Novr 90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by her in pencil.

17th Novr 1890

D. Veroomall Bailiff

O.S. C.M.P. No 33 of 1890 K.J.

Mylay Pannathy Ummall & anr — Petitioners — Respondents — dated 6th April 1890

Mylay Ummall Ummall — Counter Petitioner appellant in the

Mr. Sampian & Co Petitioner's Attorneys

D. Veroomall

This Notice was served by C. Vingaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent Sundaram Venkatakrishnama Chetty on Tuesday the 4th day of November 1890, and on the within named Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty, the guardian ad litem of the within named 1st Respondent Sundar Vardaraja-coloo Chetty and on the within named Mr. A. Ramachandra Row the guardian ad litem of the within named 4th Respondent Sundaram Narayana Chetty on Tuesday the 11th day of November 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively

And this Notice has not been served on the within named 2nd Respondent Sundaram Ramasawmy Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th November 1890

Sgd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

This Notice was served by D. Veroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Mylay Ummall Ummall on Saturday the 15th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

17th Novr 1890

Sgd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1890	Novr. 17th	Novr. 17th

O.S. C.R. P.C. 35 of 1890 Q.P.	C. Annadurai Ayyar By Mr. J.V. Seshagiri Ayyar — Petitioner (2nd Sept. in 08 ap 32/87) and V. Kalyanarama Iyer — Counter Petitioner (Appellant in Lis)	To The Counter Petitioner V. Kalyanarama Iyer.	1890 Nov. 3d	1890 Nov. 6th	1890 Nov. 17th	V. Kalyanarama Iyer was served personally on Saturday the 15th Nov/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in proof 17th Nov/90 } O. Thokasagiyan Bailiff	This Notice was served by O. Thokasagiyan an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner V. Kalyanarama Iyer on Saturday the 15th day of Nov/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 17th Nov 1890 } Sig/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff Q.P.
Original Side Appeal No. 33 of 1890 Q.P.	Sundar Ramasawmy Chetty son by Mr. P.V. Kristna Sawmy Chetty — Appellants 6th & 7th Defendants and Sundar Vurdarajooloo Chetty infant, by his guardian ad litem Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty and three others — Respondents (Off 1: 3 & 4th Defts)	To The 1st & 3rd Respondents. 1. Sundar Vurdarajoolu Chetty, infant, by his guardian ad-litem Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty and 3. Sundar Rungiah Chetty.	Act. 20th	11th	18th	The 3rd Respondent Sundar Rungiah chetty was served on Tuesday the 14th Novr/90 with Yekkala Soobbiah chetty the guardian of the 1st Respondent Sundar Vurdarajoolu chetty and on Wednesday the 12th November 1890, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them & signed by them in pencil on the original Notice. Dated 13th November 1890. C. Singaram. Bailiff.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent Sundar Rungiah Chetty on Tuesday the 11th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent Sundar Vurdarajoolu Chetty, infant, on Wednesday the 12th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty alleged to be the guardian ad litem of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 14th November 1890 } Sig/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff Q.P.
do Q.P.	do	To The 2nd Respondent Sundar Soobroya Chetty	20th	11th	18th	The 2nd Respondent Sundar Soobroya chetty was personally served on Saturday the 15th Nov/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil. Dated 17th November 1890. C. Singaram. Bailiff.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Sundar Soobroya Chetty on Saturday the 15th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 17th Nov 1890 } Sig/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff Q.P.

1890 Nov. 17th Q.P. 17th Nov/90

Nov. 14th Q.P.

Nov. 17th Q.P.

Original Side Appeal No 33 of 1890 L.J.	Sunder Ramasawmy Chetty and another By Mr P V Kristnasamy Chetty — Appellants (6th & 7th Defts) and Sunder Vundargjoolo Chetty, infant by his guardian ad litem Yekkala Doddiah Chetty and three others — Respondents (Defts 1, 3rd & 4th Defts)	To The 4th Respondent	1890 Oct. 20th	1890 Nov. 11th	1890 Nov. 18th
		Sunder Kotiah Chetty.			

The 4th Respondent Sunder Kotiah Chetty is not to be found within the limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him

Dated 17th November 1890

L.J. C. Singaram Bailiff

This Notice has not been served on the within named 4th Respondent Sunder Kotiah Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th Nov 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

1890 Nov 17

Sd/ L. Blanc 90

Original Side Appeal No 37 of 1890 L.J.	M. Pitchiah Chetty By Mr P V Kristnasawmy Chetty Appellant Defendant and M. Kistnamah Chetty — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent	18th	11th	18th
		M. Kistnamah Chetty			

The Respondent M. Kistnamah Chetty was personally served with this Notice on Wednesday the 12th November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appeared by endorsement in pencil signed by him in pencil

Dated 13th November 1890

L.J. C. Singaram Bailiff

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent M. Kistnamah Chetty on Wednesday the 12th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

14th November 1890

L.J.

Nov 14th

Sd/ C. Singaram

C. S. No. 19584 of 88 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes. L.J.	Kistnasawmy Chetty — Plaintiff 1- C. Soondara Moody and 2- G. Rutnavalu Moody by his guardian Thoyammall — Defendants and Angur Chund Sowcar — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Kistnasawmy Chetty	Nov 6th	Nov 13th	Nov 19th

Mr. C. S. Ramasawmy Chetty Claimant's Pleader

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Kistnasawmy Chetty on Friday the 14th day of November 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil

Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff

14th November 1890

L.J.

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

November 17th

Sd/

O.S. C.M.P. No 32 of 1890	S. Rungiah Chetty alias Rungunayacooloo Chetty — Petitioner Appl.t in O.S. aff.d 32/90 and S. Varadarajooloo Chetty & 3 others — Counter Petitioners Respondents in do do	To the Counter Petitioners 1- S. Varadarajooloo Chetty infant by his guardian Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty 2.- Ramadawmy Chetty 3. Venkatakrishnama Chetty and 4- Narayanasamy minor by A. Ramachendra Row Interlocutory High Court, Orig.l Side	1890 Nov.r 17.th	1890 Nov.r 27.th	1890 Dec.r 1.st

the 3.rd 4.th & Petitioners Venkatakrishnama Chetty & A. Ramachendra Row for himself & Narayanasamy did not appear when the matter was called on by the Court on Thursday the 27.th November 1890 nor has any one on their behalf answered to the Rule issued to them at Chambers dated the 17.th November 1890 returnable on the 27.th November 1890 nor has any cause been shown why the Rule should not be made absolute as prayed for. The 1.st Counter Petitioner S. Varadarajooloo Chetty having refused to accept the service of the Notice & thereupon on the 27.th November 1890 affixed a copy of the Notice at the house No 48 in Govindoppah Naick Street Black Town Madras in which the said S. Varadarajooloo Chetty is said to be ordinarily residing. And this Notice has not been served on the within named 2.nd Counter Petitioner Ramadawmy Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated. 27.th November 1890.

Sig.d C. Singaram Bailiff.

Sig.d C. Kumarakiller Bailiff

O.S. C.M.P. No 36 of 1890	V. Kalyana Rama Iyer — Petitioner Appellant in O.S. aff.d 32/89 and 1. G. Kistnasamy Iyer 2. C. Annadurai Iyer 3. A. Atiyadurai Iyengar & 4 others — Counter Petitioners Respts in do	To The Counter Petitioners 1. G. Kristnasamy Iyer 2. C. Annadurai Iyer 3. A. Atiyadurai Iyengar and 4. T. R. Ramanada Iyer all carrying on business in Esplanade, Black Town Madras under the name & style of A. Atiyadurai Iyengar 5. C. Streenivasa Chetty and 6. Varadachari carrying on business in Pycrofts Road Triplicane under the name & style of Streeni-vassa Varadachari & Co. and 7. G. R. Soundaranayagam Pillai alias G. T. Gilmore author & publisher Anderson Street, Black Town Madras.	Nov.r 17.th	Nov.r 27.th	Dec.r 1.st

the 2.nd counter petitioner Atiyadurai Iyengar on his own behalf & on behalf of the 1.st 3.rd & 4.th counter petitioners & Soundarayagam Pillai alias G. T. Gilmore served on Thursday the 27.th November 1890 by copy of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the Return as signed by them in pencil. The 6.th counter petitioner Varadachari on his own behalf & on behalf of the 5.th counter petitioner had on Saturday the 29.th November 1890 by copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated. 1.st December 1890.

Sig.d C. Singaram Bailiff

Sig.d C. Kumarakiller Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3.rd Counter Petitioner Venkatakristnama Chetty and on the within named A. Ramachendra Row the guardian of the within named 4.th Counter Petitioner Narayanasamy Chetty on Thursday the 27.th day of Nov.r 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by the said Venkatakristnama Chetty and A. Ramachendra Row respectively.

1890 Nov.r 28.th

On the same day the said Officer tendered a copy of this Notice to the within named Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty the guardian of the within named 1.st Counter Petitioner S. Varadarajooloo Chetty but the said Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty having refused to accept the service of the said Notice on him; I thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of the said Notice on the outer door of the house No 48 in Govindoppah Naick Street Black Town Madras in which the said Yekkala Soobbiah Chetty is said to be ordinarily residing.

And this Notice has not been served on the within named 2.nd Counter Petitioner Ramadawmy Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Sig.d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

28.th November 1890

L.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2.nd Counter-Petitioner S. Annadurai Iyer on his own behalf and on behalf of the within named G. Kristnasamy Iyer, A. Atiyadurai Iyengar and T. R. Ramanada Iyer, the 1.st, 3.rd & 4.th Counter-Petitioners respectively, on the within named 7.th Counter-Petitioner G. R. Soundarayagam Pillai alias G. T. Gilmore on Thursday the 27.th day of November 1890 and on the within named 6.th Counter-Petitioner Varadachari both on his own behalf and on behalf of the within named 5.th Counter Petitioner C. Streenivasa Chetty on Saturday the 29.th day of November 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by the said 2.nd, 7.th and 6.th Counter-Petitioners.

1.st December 1890

Sig.d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.P.

Dec.r 1.st 1890

L.P. appeal No 15 of 1890	G. Lamier (in person) — Appellant and Messrs Staines & Co in liquidation by their Trustees Messrs Dymes & Co — Respondents	To The Respondents Messrs Staines & Co in liquidation by their Trustees Messrs Dymes & Co	1890 Nov 26	1890 Nov 28	1891 Jan 12

On Monday the 1st Dec 90 a copy of this Notice was served on A. H. Leane Esq Head Asst to the Clerk of the Trustees for the Respondents Messrs Staines & Co Madras.

1st Dec 1890

S Holmes

This Notice was served by S. Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Staines & Co in liquidation on Monday the 1st day of Dec 90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A. H. Leane Esq Head Assistant in the within named firm of Messrs Dymes & Co the Trustees for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said A. H. Leane Esq

3d December 1890

Sig d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

S Holmes

1890 December 3d

3d Dec 1890

O.S. Appl No 28/90	R. Chatrayandee Chetty — Appellant 7th Defdt and R. Veerammall Respondent — Plaintiff	To The Respondent Royathooroo Veerammall	Nov 13th	Dec 3d	Dec 15th

The Respondent Royathooroo Veerammall was served on Thursday the 4th December by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the Adult Son of the said R. Abboyee Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said Royathooroo Veerammall as signed in pencil by the said R. Abboyee Chetty

5th December 90

L.P.

S. Namby Naidu

C. E. Sivaraganacharlu

Appellants Vakil

Award Notice O.S. No 336 of 1890

Amalpatt Venkata Varada Rajulu Naidu — Plaintiff and S. Namby Naidu — Defendant

To The Defendant	December 6th	9th	December 15th

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant S. Namby Naidu on Saturday the 13th day of December 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil

15th December 1890

Sig d C. Singaram. Bailiff

Sig d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C. E. Sivaraganacharlu

Appellants Vakil

Dec 8th

This Notice was served by O. Thomasing an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Royathooroo Veerammall on Thursday the 4th day of December 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Abboyee Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Abboyee Chetty for the said Respondent.

Sig d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

8th December 1890

L.P.

Dec 8th

S. Dec 1890

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant S. Namby Naidu on Saturday the 13th day of December 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil

15th December 1890

Sig d C. Singaram. Bailiff

Sig d J. Higginbotham Sheriff

December 15th

Original Side Appeal No 42 of 1890.

Collah Subramaniem Chetty By Mr. P. Subramania Aiyar — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

Thellavacoolos Subramaniem Chetty and two others — Respondents (Defendants)

To

The Respondents.

1. Thellavacoolos Subramaniem Chetty
2. Thellavacoolos Mulliah Chetty
and
3. Thellavacoolos Kistnasawmy Chetty

1890 December 10th
1890 December 10th
1891 January 12th

The Respondents Thellavacoolos Subramaniem Chetty, T. Mulliah Chetty and T. Kistnasawmy Chetty were served on Monday the 15th day of December 1890 by copies of the notice being delivered to Mr Reddy Branson Attorney for the said Respondents as signed by him in ink on the Original Dated this 16th December 1890.

P. Perumall Bailiff

Original Side Appeal No 41 of 1890

L. Narrainsawmy Moodaly By Messrs Branson & Brunsdon — Appellant (Defendant)

and

Louise Lippert — Respondent (Plaintiff)

To

The Respondent

Louise Lippert

13th December 1890
16th December 1890
15th January 1891

Please serve on Messrs Champion & Short on behalf of the Respondent herein vide letter of 16/12/90 from the Attys herein.

Rd.

Original Side Appeal No 1259 and 1260 of 1890

Lakshmanan Chetty & 20 others in 1259 and Muthuramaan Chetty & 4 others in 1260 — Appellants

and

Alagappa Chetty & 5 others — Respondents

To

The 2nd Respondent Annamalai Chetty

Abacker Febry 15th 1891
17th 1891
16th 1891

How 2nd Respondent Annamalai Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Original Side although search has been made for him.

16th Feby 1891

O. Thobias Bailiff

Messrs K. Ramachendra Pantulu & Co. Kuppuswami Aiyan Appellants Vakils

O. C. T.

P. Perumall

Messrs Branson & Brunsdon Attorneys for Appellants

Abacker

This Notice was served by P. Perumall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Thellavacoolos Subramaniem Chetty, Thellavacoolos Mulliah Chetty and Thellavacoolos Kistnasawmy Chetty on Monday the 15th day of Decr 1890 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr Reddy Branson, attorney for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Reddy Branson.

17th December 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.P.

1890 Decr 17th A.S. 17 Decr 90

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Louise Lippert on Thursday the 18th day of December 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr James Short a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr James Short.

19th December 1890

Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.P.

December 19th A.S. 19 Decr 90

This Notice has not been served on the 2nd Respondent Annamalai Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

16th Febry 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dr. Sheriff

L.P.

1891 Febry 16th A.S. 18th Febry 91

Original Side Appeal No 43 of 1890

Augur Chund Boy Champion and Short — Appellant (2d Defendant)

and

The Madras Building Company Limited Madras — Respondent (Plaintiff)

To

The Respondent

The Madras Building Company (Limited) Madras

1890 December 15th

1890 December 17th

1891 January 15th

Mr N. Bazely, Secy for the said Respondent was served on the Wednesday the 17th Decr 90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

18th Decr 1890

G.T.

W.N. Middleton Bailiff

This Notice was served by W. N. Middleton an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondt the Madras Building Comp (Ld) on Wednesday the 17th day of December 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr N. Bazely, the Secretary for the said Madras Building Comp (Ld) as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr N. Bazely.

19th December 1890

Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

G.T.

1890 Decr 19th

1891 March 10th

2d Appl No 220 of 1890

Syed Mohomed Shoosaheb & ors — Appellants

and

Fatima Begam her agent Amir Ussain & 4 others — Respondents

To

The 5th Respondent

Syed Yakoob Ali Sahib

1891 Jany 5th 23d

1891 March 16th 37th

The 5th Respondent Syed Yakoob Ali Sahib could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Friday the 6th day of March 1891 on the outer door of the Thyfoonkhan street near Anzam Triplicane Madras

Dated 6th March 1891

G.T.

C. Singeravu Bailiff

As the 5th Respondent Syed Yakoob Ali Sahib could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Friday the 6th day of March 91 affixed by C. Singaravelu an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No — in Thyfoonkhan street near Anzam Triplicane Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

10th March 1891

G.T.

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 March 10th

2d App No 981 of 90

Vaidiyanadha Aiyar — Appellant

and

Subba Reddi & ors — Respondents

To

The 2d Respondent C. Veerasami Reddi

1891 Jany 5th

1891 Jany 6th

1891 March 9th

The 2d Respondent C. Veerasawmy Reddy is not to be found within the local limits of the High court of Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 7th March 1891

G.T.

J. Doraisamy Bailiff

This Notice has not been served on the 2d Respondt C. Veerasami Reddi as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

9th March 1891

G.T.

For Robt G. Orr Sheff

Sd/ R A Thompson Dy Sheff

March 9th

9-3-91.

Mayor &c of Madras Plaintiffs

v. Subramanya Aiyan Defendant

Mr Bonnerjee Counsel for Plaintiffs

Mr Barton Solr for Defendant

O.S. Appl. No. 5 of 1891	The Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Comp. (Limited) — Appellants and F. Rowlandson Esqr. the Official Assignee and as such the Assignee of Pondicherry Sowmynatha Philomenatha Moodley Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent F. Rowlandson Esqr.	1891 Janr. 12th	1891 Janr. 16th	1891 Febry. 12th	Mr. F. Rowlandson was served on Tuesday the 20th Jany/91 with a copy of the Notice as signed by him on the 20th/1/91 S Holmes	Myers, Barclay, Morgan & Orr Appellants Attorneys S. Holmes	This Notice was served by S. Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent F. Rowlandson Esquire the Official Assignee of Madras on Tuesday the 20th day of January/91 by a copy of the ~~Petition~~ Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. Serv. Robt G Orr Sheriff 21st January 1891 L.G.	1891 January 21st L.G. 22-1-91
O.S. appl. No. 1 of 1891 L.V.	G. Venkatasooboo Chettiar & Co. — Appellants 1st & 2nd Defts. and R. Veerammall Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent R. Veerammall	16th	17th	16th	The Respondent R. Veerammall is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Jurisdiction as diligent search has been made for her. 16th Feby/91 O. Tholasingum Bailiff L.V.	Mr. R. Balgie Ross Appellants Table O. Tholasingum	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent R. Veerammall as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 16th February 1891 L.V. For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Feby. 16th L.V. 16 Feby 91
Claim C. S. No. 1 of 1890 L.V.	M. A. Gopala Charryar — Plaintiff and P. Rajagopala Charyar T. Colunday Ammall P. Ammutha Charyar & C. Lesha Charryar — Defendants Saravana Mootho Pillay Rooda moorthy Pillay Anthavalu Pillay Sewasumkara moorthy Pillay Claimants	To The Plaintiff M. A. Gopala Charryar	16th	17	3rd		Messrs Branson & Branson Claimants Attys.	This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. A. Gopala Charryar on Thursday the 22nd day of January 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 23rd January 1891 L.V. Sigd O. Tholasingum Bailiff ~~Sigd~~ For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sigd R A Thompson Dy Sheriff	Janry. 24th L.V. L.V.

Civil Mis. P. No. 2 of 1891

C. Munusawmy Chetty another By Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Petitioners (appl^n in O.S. 96/91)

and

1. C. Ramu Chetty and 2. C. Manicka Chetty — Counter Petitioners (Respts. mdg)

To The Counter Petitioners

1. Calatty Ramu Chetty
+
2. Calatty Manicka Chetty

1891	1891	1891
Jany	Jany	Jany
20th	20th	27th

The 1st and 2nd counter-petitioners Calatty Ramu Chetty and Calatty Manicka Chetty were served on Wednesday the 21st January 1891 by copies of the notice being delivered to their grandson C. Dorasamy Chetty in the presence and with the permission of the 1st counter-petitioner as signed by the said C. Dorasamy Chetty in pencil for the said 1st & 2nd counter-petitioners.

Dated 22nd January 1891

G. Singaram Bailiff

G.J.

Original Side Appeal No 4 of 1891

G.J.

Panwayal Krishnasawmy Moodelly and another By Mr. K. R. Pattabhiramayyan — Appellant (1st & 2nd Defts.)

and

P. Bala Krishna Naidoo and 11 Others — Respondents (1st & 3rd to 13th Defts.)

To The Respondents

		Feby
19th	20th	19th

1. P. Bala Krishna Naidoo
2. P. Rajamuthia Naidoo
3. P. Venkata Ramanjoolo Naidoo
4. C. Davasikamanay Naidoo
5. C. Venugopaul Naidoo
6. P. Gajandram Naidoo
8. P. Moonoosawmy Auchayy
9. Lutchoomana Naidoo
10. Vencatachella Naidoo
11. Davasigamany alias Baulo Naidoo and
12. Cunnee Ammall alias Valleeammall

P. Gajandram Naidoo & P. Moonoosawmy Auchayy served on Thursday 22nd January 1891 P. Balakristna Naidoo & Venugopal Naidoo and Lutchoomana Naidoo served on Tuesday 27th January 1891 and Vencatachella Naidoo served on Wednesday 4th February 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed duly by them in pencil a copy of the Notice tendered to P. Rajamuthy Naidoo on Tuesday 27th January 1891 but refused to accept the Notice thereupon affixed on the door of the House in which he resides.

Davasigamany Naidoo alias Balu Naidoo was served on Thursday 22nd January 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to his guardian P. Tooroshatham Naidoo as signed in pencil. P. Venkata Ramanjoolo Naidoo was served on Tuesday 27th January 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to his son Sundra Baskiyacaravalo Naidoo as signed in pencil. C. Davasigamany alias Balu Naidoo not being found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Wednesday 4th February 1891 on the door of the House in which he resides. Cunneammall alias Valleammall a convict in Penitentiary was served on Monday 9th February 1891 through the Superintendent of Penitentiary.

G.J.

Mr. P. Ananda Charlu Advocate

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners C. Ramu Chetty and C. Manicka Chetty on Wednesday the 21st day of January 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to C. Dorasamy Chetty alleged to be the grandson of the said 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners in the presence and with the permission of the said 1st Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said C. Dorasamy Chetty

1891 Jany 22nd

22nd January 1891

Jsewy Robt. G. Ayr Sheriff

22nd Jany 1891.

This Notice was served by P. Tiroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 6th and 8th Respondents P. Gajandram Naidoo and P. Moonoosawmy Auchayy on Thursday the 22nd day of January 1891, on the within named 1st 5th and 9th Respondents P. Bala Krishna Naidoo, C. Venugopaul Naidoo and Lutchoomana Naidoo on Tuesday the 27th day of January 1891 and the within named 10th Respondent Venkatachella Naidoo on Wednesday the 4th day of February 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively on the Original Notice.

Feby 11th G.J.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 11th Respondent Davasigamany Naidoo alias Baulos Naidoo on Thursday the 22nd day of January 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to C. Tooroshatham Naidoo (alleged to be the guardian of the said 11th Respondent) as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him on the Original Notice.

On Tuesday the 27th day of January 91 the said Officer tendered a copy of the Notice to the within named 3rd Respondent P. Rajamuthia Naidoo being within the said P. Rajamuthia Naidoo's house but the said P. Rajamuthia Naidoo refused to accept the service of the said Notice on him whereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of the Notice on the outer door of the house mentioned in the Notice, in which the said 3rd Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

11th Feby 91.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd Respondent P. Venkata Ramanjoolo Naidoo on Tuesday the 27th day of January 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Sundara Baskiacarlo Naidoo (alleged to be the son of the said 3rd Respondent) as appears by the endorsement in penal made by him on the Original Notice.

As the within named 4th Respondent C. Davasikamanay Naidoo could not be found, a copy of the Notice was on Wednesday the 4th day of February 1891, affixed by the said Officer on the outer door of the house within described in which the said 4th Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

This Notice was served through the Superintendent of Prisons for the Town of Madras, on the within named 12th Respondent Cunnee Ammall alias Valleeammall a Convict in the Male Convict Ward Madras, on Monday the 9th day of February 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsements on the Original Notice made by her, and by the Superintendent of the said Prisons.

11th February 1891

Jsewy Robt. G. Ayr Sheriff

G.J.

Claim C.S. No. 6767 of 1890 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes	Munglay Miah Saib — Plaintiff and Choudry Ghouse Saib — Defendant and Ather Abdool Raha man Saib — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Mungaly Meah Saib	1891 Janry 21st	1891 Janry 28th	1891 Febry 3rd	

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Munglay Miah Saib on Saturday the 24th Day of January/91 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above ~~endorsement~~ acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink.

26th January 1891

Sigd P. Peroomall
Bailiff
For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1891 Janr 27th

Sd. R. S. Ranganadoss Mudr. Asst. to Clerk of Court

Original Side Appeal No. 2 of 1891

C. Venkatarungaiah By his P.M. Sivangnana Moodelliar — Appellant 1st Defendant

and

1. The Madras Hindu Union Bank (Limited)

and

2. Bysanee Venkata Ummah — Respondents (Plaffs & Defts)

To
The Respondents
1. The Madras Hindu Union Bank (Limited)

and

2. Bysanee Venkata Ummah.

The 1st Respondent The Madras Hindu Union Bank (Limited) was served on Tuesday the 17th Feb/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ramanja Chary alleged to be the Liquidator of the said Bank as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice has not been served on the 2nd Respondent Bysanee Venkata Ummah within named as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

19th February 1891

Sd. R. Sivangnana Mudr. Asst. to Clerk of Court

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

19th February

Sd

Original Side Appeal No. 6 of 91

C. Munusammy Chetty and another By his P. Arunda Charlu — Appellant (Plaintiffs)

and

Calathy Ramu Chetty and another — Respondents (Defendants)

To
The Respondents
1. Calathy Ramu Chetty

&

2. Calathy Manicka Chetty

The 1st and 2nd Respondents Calathy Ramu Chetty and Calathy Manicka Chetty are severally not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for them.

Dated 27th Feby 1891

Sd. P. Arunda Charlu
Appellant's Vakil

Sd

Dated 27th Feby 1891

Sd. P. Virgaraw
Bailiff

This Notice was served by A. Thiruvengadam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Madras Hindu Union Bank (Limited) on Tuesday the 17th day of February 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Ramanja Carry alleged to be the Liquidator of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice has not been served on the within named 2nd Respondent Bysanee Venkata Ummah as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

19th February 1891

Sd. R. Sivangnana Mudr. Asst. to Clerk of Court

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

February 19th

Sd

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondents Calathy Ramu Chetty and Calathy Manicka Chetty as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

2nd March 1891

Sd. R. Sivangnana Mudr. Asst. to Clerk of Court

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

March 2nd

2. Duard Dy.

O.S. appeal No. 31 of 90 L.P.

C. Rajarathna Mood. Minor by his mother C. Thangammal — Appellant — Plaintiff

and

C. Ammani Ammall & ors — Respts — Defendants

To

The 1st 2d Respondents

1. C. Ammani Ammall and
2. C. Nagabushanum Naidu

1891 Febry 3d — 1891 Febry 4th — 1891 Febry 20th

The 1st 2d Respts C. Ammani Ammall & C. Nagabushanum Naidu personally were served on Wednesday the 11th Febry by copies of the Notice being del. to them as signed by them in pencil on the original Notice 12/2/91

Peroomall Bailiff

L.P.

Wm. S. Ethiraja Advt. for Appellants

Peroomall

Appeal No. 172 of 1890 L.P.

M. Govinda Row in — 172

M. Sankara Row in 172

— Appellants

and

Malur Rama Row & 3 others — Respondents

To

The 1st 2d Respondents

1. Malur Rama Row and
2. Malur Streenivassa Row.

1891 Febr 2d — April 5th — April 20th

Malur Rama Row and Malur Streenivassa Row the 1st 2d respondents are out of the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

18th April 91

L.P.

O. Scholasagan Bailiff

Wm. S. Ethiraja Advt. for Appellants

O. S.

Appl. No. 38 of 1890 L.P.

Srimanthu Raja Yerlagadda Mallikhajuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zamindar Garu — Appellant

and

Maberla Sreedvamma & 4 others — Respondents

To

The 5th Respondent Maberla Venkatasamy Naidu by his guardian Emmaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu

Febr 5th — 7th — 16th

Emmaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu the guardian of the 5th Respondent Maberla Venkatasamy Naidu was served on Wednesday the 11th February 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 11th February 1891

C. Singaram Bailiff

A.V.

Wm. C. V. Seetharamiah Advt. for Appellants

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 2d Respondents C. Ammani Ammall and C. Nagabushanum Naidu on Wednesday the 11th day of February 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

Sigd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

12th February 1891

L.P.

1891 Febry 12th

This Notice has not been served on the 1st and 2d Respondents Malur Rama Row and Malur Streenivasa Row as they are not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

20th April 1891

L.P.

April 20th 20.4.91

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 5th Respondent Makerla Venkatasawmy Naidu on Wednesday the 11th day of February 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Emmaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu alleged to be the guardian of the said 5th Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Emmaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu.

Sigd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

12th February 1891

L.P.

Febr 12th

12th Feby 91

Appl. against Order No. 14 of 1891 L.C.J.	Lama Greeramuloo — Appellant and Madduri Pilla Loca charyulo & 14 others. — Respondts	To The 13th Respondent Liram Vudayalingam	1891 Febz 10th	1891 Febz 11th	1891 March 16th	1891. March 16th 1891

The 13th Respondent Liram Vudayalingam is not to be found within the local limits of the High court of Madras although search has been made for him.
dated 14th March 1891
C. Personnall Bailiff
L.C.J.

Mr. K. Kathamiah Clerk
Appellant's Vakil

C. Personnall

This Notice has not been served on the within named 13th Respondent Liram Vudayalingam as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
16th March 1891
L.C.J.
For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
/Sey R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.S. No. 13 of 91 L.C.J.	The Administrator General of Madras and as such the Administrator of the Estate and effects of the Gregory Avanis deceased — Plff and The Right Revd P. A. Bigandet D. D. — Defendant	To The Defendant The Right Revd P. A. Bigandet D.D.	9th	11th	16th	

Serve on Mr Laing on behalf of the Defts vide letter of 10th Feby 91 from the attys herein.
L.C.J.

Defendant the Right Revd P. A. Bigandet D.D. was served on Sunday the 23rd day of February 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esqr attorney for the said Defendant as signed by him in pencil
To 23/2/91
A Boaler.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant the Right Revd P. A. Bigandet D. D. on Monday the 23rd day of February 1891 by a copy of the Notice and a copy of the Agreement being delivered to J. W. Laing Esquire, Attorney for the said Defendant as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said J. W. Laing Esquire.
23rd February 1891
L.C.J.
For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
/Sey R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

Fleming
23rd
Cooper
Laing

Original Side Appeal No 8 of 1891 L.C.J.	P. Kristnasawmy Naicker and another By Mr. R. Ramanuja Chari — Appellants (Plaintiffs) Madula Soorayya Pastry and another — Respondents (1st & 2nd Defendants)	To The 2nd Respondent Arjee Shunmugappa Chetty	12th	16th	11th	

Arjee Shunmugappa
The 2nd Respondent
Arjee Shunmugappa Chetty was served personally on Tuesday the 10th day of March 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil
10th March 91
L.C.J.
C. Tholasingam Bailiff

R. Ramanuja Chariar Master & Bowen Hormusjee Attys

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Arjee Shunmugappa Chetty on Tuesday the 10th day of March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
10th March 1891
Sey/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

March 10 & 10.3.91
Cs
SM

2d. Appeal No. 250 of 1891	C.R. Karunakara Menaven — Appellant and Bhamuprasatha Naidu Garu — Respondent	To The Respondent Chirumanula Bhamuprasatha Naidu Garu Executor with Probate of the Will of Rajah Vasi Reddi Veakata Lakshmammal Bahadur Manney Sultan Garu deceased.	1891 Feby 14th	1891 Feby 17th	1891 April 23d	The Respondent Chirumanula Bhamuprasatha Naidu is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court's Jurisdiction although search has been made for him. Dated 22d April 1891.	C. Bangaroo Bailiff.	
Original Side Appeal No. 7 of 1891	M. Ponnuranga Mood and Thayaramonal By Mr R. Sadayoppachaniyar — Appellants 4th Defdt & 5th Defdts (Representatives) and C. Candaswami Modaliar and three others — Respondents (Plaintiffs)	To The 2 to 4th Respondents 2 N.L. Daivanayaga Mood 3. C. Theruvengada Mood and 4. M. Yakambara Mood		Instrument 17th 19th	9th	The 4th Respondent M. Yakambara modelly was served on Thursday the 5th March 1891 and 2/3rd Respondents N.L. Daivanayaga modelly & Theruvengada modelly were served on Friday the 6th March 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated 7th March 1891	P. Peroomall Bailiff	
O.S. C.M. Petition No. 9 of 1891	Belinda Charlotte Rose Maelzer and another By their Champion Short — Petitioner Respt in O.S. 434/90 and Arabella French Counter-Petitioner (Appt in do)	To The Counter-Petitioner Arabella French		Feby 19th	Feby 19th	Feby 24th		

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Chirumanula Bhamuprasatha Naidu Garu as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

23d April 1891

1891 April 23d

/ Serg Robt G. Orr Sheriff

L.P.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Respondent M. Yakambara Moodelliar, on Thursday the 5th day of March 1891 and on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents N.L. Daivanayaga Moodelliar and C. Theruvengada Moodelliar on Friday the 6th day of March 1891 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

9th March 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

/ Sgt R.A. Thompson By Sheriff

L.P.

March 9th 9-3-91

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Arabella French on Friday the 20th day of February 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Redr Branson Esqr. Attorney for the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

20th February 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgt R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

Feby 20 L.P. 2nd Feby

2-Original OS Appl No 1 of 91 C.V.	G. Venkatasoobro Chetticar & anr — Appellants 1st & 2nd Defts and R. Veerammall — Respondent — Plaintiff	To The Respondent R. Veerammall	1891 Feby 18th	1891 Feby 20th	1891 March 2nd	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent R. Veerammall as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 2nd March 1891 / Sevd R. A Thompson by Shff. For Robt G. Orr Sheriff C.V.	1891 March 2nd C.V. 2 March 91
		The Respondent R Veerammall is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 2nd March/91 C.V.				O Thalasingum Bailiff	
2nd Notice C.R.P. No 392 of 1890 C.V.	Babbikanti Sivappa by Mr S. Ramasawmy Mudeliyar — Petitioner and K. Suriah — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner K. Suriah	23rd	24th	16th	This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioner K. Suriah as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 16th March 1891 / For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sevd R. A. Thompson by Shff C.V.	March 16th C.V. 16.3.91
		K. Suriah the Counter Petitioner is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 16th March/91 C.V.				O Thalasingum Bailiff	
Appeal Against Order No 17 of 1891 C.V.	Rangachari by Mr S. Nadhamuni Chetty — Appellant and Marakatam alias Lakshminara-simmah (minor) — Respondent	To The Respondent Marakatam alias Lakshminara-simmah (minor) by guardian Pedda Ammoy alias Venkata-lakshmamma	24th	26th	23rd	This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Marakatam alias Lukshminarasam mah (minor) on Saturday the 7th day of March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Pedda Ammoy alias Venkatalakshmamma the guardian of the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Pedda Ammoy alias Venkatalakshmamma — 10th March 1891 / For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dr Sheriff C.V.	March 10th C.V. 10.3.91
		Pedda Ammoy alias Venkata-lakshmamma the guardian for the Respondent Marakatam alias Lakshminara-simmah made Service on Saturday the 7th March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by her in pencil. Dated 9th March 1891 C.V.				C Singaram Bailiff.	

Mr R. Balajee Rao Appellant's Vakil

Mr S. Nadhamuni Chetty for Mr S. Ramasawmy Mudeliyar Petitioner's Vakil

Mr S. Nadhamuni Chetty for Appellant's Vakil

Appeal Against Order No. 28 of 1891. L.J.	Rajai Bomma Rajai Bahadur Varlu by Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Appellant and The Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank Limited, Madras — Respondent	To The Respondent The Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank Limited, Madras.	1891 March 2d	1891 March 4th	1891 April 6th	
3rd Original Side Appeal No. 1 of 1891 L.J.	G. Venkatasoobloo Chettiar and another By Mr. R. Balajee Row — Appellants (1st + 2nd Defendants) and R. Veerammall — Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent R. Veerammall.		March 9th	March 11th	1892
Appeal Against Order No. 35 of 1891 L.J.	T. V. Soobiah Chetty Rao by Mr. R. Balaji Rao — Appellant and Venkatesa Pawker and 6 others — Respondents.	To The 5th 6th & 7th Respondents. 5. Murugesam Modaliar 6. Narsee Jeke Jevan and 7. Gopaul Doss		April 4th	April 11th	April 9th

The 5th 6th & 7th Respondents Murugesam Modaliar Narsee Jeke Jevan and Gopaul Doss were served personally on Wednesday the 8th April 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered them as signed by them in pencil

R. Veerammall Bailiff

Dated this 9th April (89)

Mr. P. Ananda Charlu Appellant's Vakil

Mr. R. Balajee Row Appellants' Vakil

Mr. R. Subramania Ayyar Appellants' Vakil

1891

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent the Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank Limited Madras on Wednesday the 4th day of March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. A. Chinchward Esquire the Dy. Secretary and Treasurer in the said Bank as appears by the endorsement made by the said P. A. Chinchward Esqr.

6th March 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sgd R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

L.J.

March 6th 6th 6.3.91

This Notice was served by A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent R. Veerammall on Wednesday the 11th day of March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

13th March 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sgd R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

L.J.

March 13th 13.3.91

This Notice was served by P. Veerammall on the 5th 6th and 7th Respondents to Murugesam Moodelliar, Narsee Jeke Jevan and Gopaul Doss on Wednesday the 8th day of April 91 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively

9th April 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sgd R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

April 9th 9.4.91

			1891	1891	
Court	1. D. Sadagopararanujiah	To	Friday	March	
Order	2. D. Kanniah		14th	11th	
O. S. C.	and	A. Mackenzie Esquire,			
Mis Petition	3. D. Thepperumaliah	R. Macfadyen Esquire,			
No.	Petitioners.	G. G. Arbuthnot Esquire,			
4 of 1891		R. J. H. Arbuthnot Esquire			
		&			
	and	C. & P. Vansaguene Esquire			
		Carrying on business &			
		together in Madras in			
		Copartnership as Merchants,			
		Agents & Bankers, under			
		the style or firm of			
		Arbuthnot & Company,			
	A. Mackenzie Esqre	Madras.	12/3/91		
	and others.				

Served on Mr Orr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay Morgan & Orr on 12th March 91 on behalf of Messrs Arbuthnot & Co with the Copy of the Order.

Wm. Middleton Bailiff

Mr. T. Ramaswamy Row
Petitioner's Vakil

W. Arbuthnot for Coy

On the 12th March 1891 a copy of this Order was delivered to Mr. J. Orr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay Morgan & Orr, Attorneys for the within named Messrs Arbuthnot & Co as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr. J. Orr.

12th March 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1891 March 12th 12-3-91

				March	
O. S. C. No.	Sunder Vardarajooloo	To			
38 of 1890	Chetty in Lant. by his guardian Yethkala Subbiah Chetty By Mr. R. Balajee Row — Petitioner	The Counter-Petitioners	March		
	(1st Rept in 38 of 90 3/90)	Sunder Rungiah Chetty alias Runganayacoolo Chetty	9th	12th	23rd
	and				
	Sunder Rungiah Chetty alias Runganayacoolo Chetty — Counter Petitioner	Serve this Notice on Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer on behalf of the Counter Petitioner vide letter of 12th March 91 from the Vakil herein	Sunder Rungiah Chetty alias Runganayacoolo Chetty the Counter-Petitioner was served on 16th March by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr K P Viswanatha Iyer Vakil for the said Counter-Petitioner as signed in pencil by the said K P Viswanatha Iyer 18th March 91		O. Thalasingum Bailiff
	(Appt in do)				

				March	
O. S. C. No.	Sunder Vardarajoolo	To			
39 of 1890	Chetty in Lant. by his guardian Yethkala Subbiah Chetty by Mr. R. Balajee Row — Petitioner	The Counter Petitioners			
	(1st Rept in 39 of 90 3/90)	1. Sunder Ramasawmy Chetty	9th	12th	23rd
	and	and			
	Sunder Ramasawmy Chetty & another — Counter Petitioners	2. Sunder Vencata Kristnama Chetty	Sunder Ramasawmy Chetty & Sunder Vencata Kristnama Chetty the 1st & 2nd Counter Petitioners are not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.		O. Thalasingum Bailiff
	(Appts in do)	Serve this Notice on Mr. P. V. Kristnasawmy Chetty on behalf of the Counter Petitioners vide letter of 12/3/91 from the Vakil herein	21st March 1891		

R. Balajee Row
Petitioner's Vakil

This Notice was served by me O. Thalasingum on the within named Counter-Petitioners on the 16th day of March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer, Vakil for the said Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr. K. P. Viswanatha Iyer

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

19th March 1891

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

March 19th 19-3-91

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioners Sunder Ramasawmy Chetty and Sunder Vencata Kristnama Chetty as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

23rd March 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

March 23rd 23-3-91

Original Appeal No 9 of 1891 L.C.	Tupoia Soobroyalu Naidu By Messrs Brandon & Branson Appellant (1st Defendant) and The Bank of Madras Respondent (Plaintiff)	To the Respondent The Bank of Madras	1891 March 13th	1891 March 16th	1891 April 13th	McSutherland Orr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay Morgan & Orr attorneys for the Respondent on Tuesday the 17th March 91 with a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on the original in pencil. 17/3/91 L.C.	W.H. Middleton
Substituted Service Appeal No 94 of 1890 L.C.	Shanmugaroya Mudr two and others by Mr H.G. Wedderburn — Appellants and Narasimha Chanar and 41 others — Respondents	To The Respondents	18th	21st	27th	As an witness mom 16th Respondent Sunda- rammal could not be fou a copy of the notice was on Friday the 24th April 91 affixed on the outer door of the mayan kadatty house in Pattica -Street Mylapore within a local limit of which he is said to be ordinarily residing. 27th April 91	O Theetayiga Bailiff
2nd Original O/S Appl No 6 of 1891 L.C.	C. Munusamy Chetty Appellant Plaintiff Laws — and Calathy Ramu Chetty Respondents Defendants Laws —	To The Respondents 1. Calathy Ramu Chetty and 2. Calathy Manicka Chetty	13th	26th	3rd	The 1st & 2nd Respondents Calathy Ramu Chetty and Calathy Manicka Chetty were served personally on Tuesday the 31st March 1891 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as stated by them Dated 1st April 1891. L.C.	C. Singaravelu Bailiff

This Notice was served by W.H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent The Bank of Madras on Tuesday the 17th day of March 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr Sutherland Orr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgan & Orr, Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr Sutherland Orr.

18th March 1891
L.C.

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
Lieut R.A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1891 March 18 L.C. — 18.3.91.

No 82 of 1891

From

The Sheriff of Madras.

To The Deputy Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras Appellate Side

Sir

With reference to your letter No 435 Judicial dated 18th March 1891 I have to report that on the 24th April 1891 I caused the Notice in Appeal No 94 of 1890 to be affixed in No — Mayandi Chetty House in Pattickara Street, Mylapore.

I have the honor to be Sir
Your most obdt Servant
Sheriff's Office, Madras, 27th April 1891. L.C.
Sheriff Robt G. Orr

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents Calathy Ramu Chetty and Calathy Manicka Chetty on Tuesday the 31st day of March 1891 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively

2nd April 1891 L.C.

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
by R.A. Thompson
by Sheriff

April 27th Sent to the Appellate side as per Memo Book with Correspondence Clerk I.B.R.

April 2nd L.C. 2.4.91

C. R. C.R. No 33 to 35 of 1891 K.V.

Kuppa Mudali in 33
Venkatachelliyan in 34
Pola Reddi in 35
— Petitioners
and
Veerasami Chetti
— Counter Petitioner

To
The Counter Petitioner
Veerasami Chetty

1891 March 24th
1891 March 31st
1891 April 10th

The counter-petitioner Veerasami Chetty was called on Monday the 6th April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to K. Ramanuja Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said Veerasami Chetty as required by the said K. Ramanuja Chetty in pencil

Dated 7th April 1891.

C.V. C. Singaram
Bailiff.

C. R. P. No 25 of 91 K.V.

Malukalabbai Saib
Ahmed Saheb — Petitioner
and
Alangi Abdul Rahiman Saib — Counter Petitioner

To
The Counter Petitioner
Alangi Abdul Rahiman Saheb Son of Alangi Abdulla Saheb.

do do do

As the within named Counter Petitioner Alangi Abdul Rahiman Saib could not be found a copy of the Notice was on Thursday the 9th day of April 1891 affixed on the outer door of the house No 26 in Galay Street Peramettu Black Town Madras in which the said counter Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing

Personally April 10th 1891

C. Singaram Bailiff

2nd Motion
2 Appeals Nos 1259 and 1260 of 1890 K.V.

Lakshmanan Chetty and 2 others in 1259
Muthusawmi Chetty & 4 others in 1260 by their G. Ramachandra Row Saib & A. Sivaswami Iyer — Appellants
and
Alagappa Chetty and 5 others — Respondents

To
The Respondents
2. P. R. M. A. Annamalai Chetty
5. P. R. M. A. Narayanan Chetty

P. R. M. A. Annamalai Chetty the 2nd & P. R. M. A. Narayanan Chetty the 2nd & 5th Respondents are severally not to be found within the local limits of Chetty although search has been made for them

4th April 1891

C. Sabapathy Iyer
Petitioners Vakil

G. Ramachandra Row
Appellants Vakil

C. Singaram Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Veerasami Chetty on Monday the 6th April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to K. Ramanuja Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said K. Ramanuja Chetty for the said Counter-Petitioner

8th April 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 April 8th 8.4.91.

C.V.

As the within named Counter Petitioner Alangi Abdul Rahiman Saheb could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Thursday the 9th day of April 1891 affixed by P. Peroomall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 26 in Christian Galay Street, Peramettu Black Town Madras, in which the said Counter Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing

10th April 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

April 10th 10.4.91

C.V.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2nd and 5th Respondents P. R. M. A. Annamalai Chetty and P. R. M. A. Narayanan Chetty as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

5th May 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

May 5th 5.5.91

C.V.

Appeal Against Order No 21 of 1891 C.P.	Sahananda Kisor by Mr. S. Doss — Appellant and Gurunatha Chetty — Respondent	To The Respondent Gurunatha Chetty	1891 April 2d	1891 April 6d	1891 May 8d	Respondent not be found on Thursday the 7th day of May 91 affixed on the outer door of the house wherein said Respondent is said to be formerly residing. 7th May 91 C.P. / As the within named Gurunatha Chetty could not be found a copy of the Notice was on the 7th day of May 1891 affixed by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 29 in Narayana Mudali Street Pedda Naick's Pettah Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be formerly residing. 7th May 1891 C.P. / For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sign'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff
2d Appeal No 623 of 1891 C.P.	Appavoo Pillai by Mr. K. Krishnamachariar — Appellant and M. Vythilinga Mudali — Respondent	To The Respondent M. Vythilinga Mudali	2d	6d	27th July	The Respondent M. Vythilinga Mudali was personally on Wednesday the 22d April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 24 April 1891 C.P. / C Singaravelu Bailiff
Appeal Against Order No 44 of 1891 C.P.	Rama Swamulu by Mr. M. Venkatasamiah Chetty — Appellant and Madduri Pilla Lokacharyulu + 46 Others Respondents	To The 13th Respondent Seerum Dodayalinga	2d	6d	8d May	The 13 Respondent Seerum Dodayalinga was served personally on Wednesday the 29th April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil dated 30th April 1891 C.P. / D Perumall Bailiff

M. Krishnamachariar for S. Subrahmanya Iyer Appellant's Vakil

M. S. Venkatasamiah Chetty Appellant's Vakil

		1891 May 7th C.P.	7.5.91
		April 24th C.P.	24.4.91
		May 2d C.P.	2.5.91.

2ª appe No 631 of 1891 L.J.	V. Murugesa Mudali Appellt and M. Vythialinga Mudali — Respt	To The Respondent M. Vythialinga Mudali.	1891 April 3d	1891 April 7th	1891 July 27th	The Respondent M. Vythialinga Mudali was personally served on Wednesday the 22d April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him Dated 24th April 1891 C. Singaravelu Bailiff	Mr. R. Short Proctor for Appellant Mr. Williams Vakil
2d Appeal No 630 of 1891 L.J.	Sambasiva Moodr. Appellant and The Secretary of State for India in Council & another — Respondents.	To The 2d Respondent The Court of Wards Madras through the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras.	7th	8th	27th	The 2d Respdt Court of Wards Madras was served on Monday the 13th April by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. E. B. Powell Govt Pleader as appears on the orig Notice by him in sign. 13th April 1891 A Booper.	Mr. H. G. Wedderburn Appellants Counsel Mr. A. Cooper.
2d Appeal No 1228 of 90 L.J.	K. Luppi Haji — Appellant and P. Kombi Luppi Haji & 3 others — Respondts	To The 3d Respondent Chenkoth Kombi Autterman -	14th	16th	13th	The 3d Respondent Chenkoth Kombi Autterman is not to be found within the local limits of the High court of Madras although search has been made for him. C. Boyampalli Bailiff Dated this 13th July 1891	Thomas Sankaran Nair Appellants Counsel C. Ramaiah

This Notice was served by C. Singaravelu an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent M. Vythialinga Mudali on Wednesday the 22d day of April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 24th April 1891 Sworn Robt G. Orr Sheriff L.P.	1891 April 24th		24/4/91
This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent the Court of Wards Madras on Monday the 13th day of April 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. E.B. Powell the Government Pleader of Madras as appears by the above endorsement made by him - 16th April 1891 For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff L.P.	April 16th		16/4/91
This Notice has not been served on the 3d Respdent Chenkoth Kombi ~~Autterman~~ Autterman as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 13th July 1891 For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sd R. A. Thompson by Sheriff L.P.	July 13th		13.7.91

Claim C.S No 140 of 1889 C.P.	G. Abdul Rahaman Saheb and T. Abdul Rahaman Saheb — Plaintiffs and Sahoo Mia Abdul Kareem Saheb and Ibrahim Sait — Defendants and Vadamalay Naicken and Govindarajooloo Naicken — Claimants	To The 1st Plaintiff G. Abdul Rahiman Saheb	1891 April 13th	1891 April 16	1891 April 27th	
do C.P.	do	To The 2nd Plaintiff T. Abdul Rahiman Saheb	4	4	4	
Appeal No 65 of 1891 C.P.	Sreekanta Deekshitar by his Pabramanian — Appellant and Ranganadha Jawker and 101 others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Ranganadha Jawker	16	20	17 August	

The 1st Respondent Ranganadha Jawker is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
17th August 1891
C.P.
O. Thodasinga Mudaliar
Bailiff

H. L. Krishnamachariar
Asst. Valuer

O. S.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Plaintiff G. Abdul Rahiman Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
27th April 1891
C.P.
/Serv C. Singaravelu Bailiff
/Serv Robt. G. Orr Sheriff

1891 April 27th C.P. Writ

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2nd Plaintiff T. Abdul Rahiman Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
27th April 1891
C.P.
/Serv C. Singaravelu Bailiff
/Serv Robt. G. Orr Sheriff

April 27th C.P.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Ranganadha Jawker as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
17th August 1891
C.P.
For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff
/Serv R. A. Thompson 2nd Sheriff

August 17th C.P. 17-8-91

3 Notice
C. R. P. No 392 of 1890
L.D.

Bobbukanta Thippa by Mr. S. Ramasawmy Modelliar — Petitioner
and
Kristnan Soorial garoo — Counter Petitioner

To
The Counter Petitioner Kristnan Soorial garoo

1891 April 20. 1891 April 22. 1891 July 27.

The 1st Counter-Petitioner Kristnan Soorial Garoo is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
Dated 27th July 1891
L.D.

C. A. Kammaswamy Mudaliar
Petitioner's Vakil

The Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner as the Kristnan Soorial Garoo as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
27th July 1891
L.D.

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1891 July 27 Rs
CR 27.7.91.

Claim
C. S. No 18692 of 1889 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes
L.D.

P. Cunneappah Modelly — Plaintiff
and
A. Muthoosawmy Modelly — Defendant
and
A. Rajanathanadar and A. Dorasawmy Modelly — Claimants

To
The Plaintiff
P. Cunneappah Modelly
24th May 1891 — 27th May 1891 — 5 Rs

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff P. Cunneappah Modelly on Tuesday the 28th day of April 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in person.
30th April 1891
L.D.

Serv P. Peroomall
Bailiff
Serv Robt G. Orr
Sheriff

April 30th CR

2nd Notice
C. M. P. Nos 2504 2511 of 1890
L.D.

Subramaniya Pillai and two — Petitioners
and
Bala Prasad and two — Counter Petitioner

To
The 1st Counter Petitioner Bala Prasad
5th May 1891 — 6th May 1891 — 10

The 1st Counter Petitioner is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
15th July 1891
L.D.

Mr. G. P. Johnstone
Petitioner's Counsel

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner Bala Prasad as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
15th July 1891
L.D.

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

July 15th CR 15.7.91

Claim

C.P. No 292 of 1890 Q.V.

Davy Viswanatha Rameswar — Plaintiff
vs.
Davy Jayashunker Rameswar — Defendant
and
Umboo Boyi and Pandia Umba Sunker, her husband — Claimants

To The Plaintiff
Davy Viswanatha Rameswar

1891 May	1891 May	1891 July
4th	6th	22nd

Claim

C.P. No 292 of 1890 Q.V.

Davy Viswanatha Rameswar — Plaintiff
vs.
Davy Jayashunker Rameswar — Defendant
and
Kansy Boyi Soubagiavathy Sacaswathy Boyi and Rajoo Boyi — Claimants

To The Plaintiff
Davy Viswanatha Rameswar

4th	6th	22nd

Claim

C.P. No 292 of 1890 Q.V.

Davy Viswanatha Rameswar — Plaintiff
vs.
Davy Jayashunker Rameswar — Defendat
and
Soubagiavathy Ganga Boyi and Ramachundra Davy her husband
Claimants

To The Plaintiff
Davy Viswanatha Rameswar

4th	6th	22nd

C.P.

Davy Viswanatha Rameswar
vs.
Claim to Land

This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Davy Viswanatha Rameswar on Saturday the 9th day of May 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

C. Tholasingum
Bailiff

11th May 1891

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

1891
July 17th

C.P.

This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Davy Viswanatha Rameswar on Saturday the 9th day of May 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

C. Tholasingum
Bailiff

11th May 1891

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

July 17th

C.P.

This Notice was served by me C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Davy Viswanatha Rameswar on Saturday the 9th day of May 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

C. Tholasingum
Bailiff

11th May 1891

For Robt G. Orr
Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

July 17th

Claim Ex No 292 of 1890 Ex/	Davy Viswanatha Rameswar — Plaintiff vs Davy Jayashankar Rameswar — Defendant and Hury Gunga Boye — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Davy Viswanatha Rameswar.	1891 May 4th	1891 May 6th	1891 July 22nd		
3rd Original Side Appeal No 2 of 1891 Ex/	C. Venkatarangiah By Mr. A.M. Sivagnana Mood. — Appellant (1st Defendant) and The Madras Hindu Union Bank (Limited) and another — Respondents (Offsht & Defdt)	To The 2nd Respondent Bysanee Venkata Ummah	5th	6th	13th		
Original Side Appl No 11 of 1891 Ex/	S. Venkatarama Chetty By Messrs Theroungada-sawmy Pillai & Subbiah Chetty — Appellant (2nd Defendant) and C. Kistnasawmy Pillay Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent C. Kistnasawmy Pillay	8th	9th	13th		

This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Davy Viswanatha Rameswar on Saturday the 9th day of May 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

/Seg A. Tholasingum
Bailiff

11th May 1891

Hon. Robt. G. Orr Sheriff

/Seg R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

Ex/

1891 July 17th

A. Tholasingum Bailiff

This Notice was served by A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Bysanee Venkata Ummah on Sunday the 12th day of July 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Bysanee Mathava Chetty alleged to be the husband of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Bysanee Mathava Chetty

13th July 1891

Hon. Robt. G. Orr Sheriff

/Seg R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

Ex/

July 13th 1891

A. Tholasingum Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Kistnasawmy Pillay on Tuesday the 12th day of May 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him

13th July 1891

Hon. Robt. G. Orr Sheriff

/Seg R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

Ex/

July 13th 1891

C. Singaram Bailiff

The Respondent C. Kistnasawmy Pillay was served personally on Tuesday the 12th May 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 16th May 1891

C. Singaram
Bailiff

Ex/

Second Appeal No 827 of 1891

V.

Chokalingam Pillai by Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant

and

Somasundra Pillay and another — Respondents

To

The Respondents

1. Somasundra Pillay

and

2. Rookmany Ammall

1891 May 12. — 1891 May 14. — 1891 August 24.

The 1st and 2nd Respondents Somasundra Pillay and Rookmany Ammall are not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Jurisdiction although several bailiffs have been made for them

Dated 24th August 1891

C. Rangaraoo
Bailiff

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondents Somasundra Pillay and Rookmany Ammall as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

24th August 1891

For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1891 August 24 — 25.8.91

C.V.

R. Appeal No 41 of 1891

V.

Ethanaswamy Pundithen — 2nd Respt. Cross Appt.

1. Rungasamy Naicker
2. Balamba Ammal

— Appts. Cross Respts.

To

The 1 Respondent

Rangasami Naicker
Clerk. Census Office
Madras.

8th — 14th —

Dup. copy only sent with a letter of 12th May/91 from the Appellate Side.

C.S. No 88 of 1890 A.V.

A.L.A.R. Ramasawmy Chetty — Plaintiff

and

A.R. Arunachellam Chetty — Plaintiff

and

Soobhammall and Soobhammall — Defendants

1891 May 13th

1. G. Manikyam Chetty
2. Govinda Chetty and Soobroya Chetty. } Residing in No 167 Linger Chetty St.
3. Goomastah Soobroya Chetty.
4. Govinda Chetty
5. Veerasawmy Aychary and
6. Kavoor Ranga Reddy.

Mr Ebg Retd pd for Kavoor Ranga Reddy

Goomastah Soobroya Chetty & Ramasawmy Aychary were served personally on Wednesday the 17th inst and G. Manikyam Chetty & Govinda Chetty were served personally on Wednesday the 8th inst by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

Soobroya Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

16 July/91 C.V.

C. Thiagaraya Mudaliar Bailiff

1891 May 15th

1891 May 16th

1891 July 16th

Sheriff's Office Fort St George

C. Thiagaraya Mudaliar

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Goomastah Soobroya Chetty and Veerasawmy Aychary on Wednesday the 17th day of June/91 and on the within named G. Manikyam Chetty and Govinda Chetty on Wednesday the 8th day of July 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

And the within named Soobroya Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

16th July 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

C.V.

1891 July 16th

O.S. Appl No 13 of 1891 V.V

J. Thaipurammall By Mr. Branson and Branson — Appellant

— Defendant

and

J. Mallikarjuniah and D. Ramasawmy Sejah — Respondents (Plaintiffs)

To The Respondents

1. J. Mallikarjuniah 9h 15th 13
2. D. Ramasawmy Sejah

The 2d Respondent D Ramasawmy Sejah was served personally on Tuesday the 7th day of July 1891 and the 1st Respondent J. Mallikarjuniah was served personally on Sunday the 12th day of July 1891 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.

Dated 13th July 1891.

C.V. C. Singaram. Bailiff.

Sheriff's Office Fort St George

C. Singaram Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent D. Ramasawmy Sejah on Tuesday the 7th day of July 1891 and on the within named 1st Respondent J. Mallikarjuniah on Sunday the 12th day of July 1891 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

13th July 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

July 13th 13.7.91

C.S. No. 88 of 1891 K.V.

A.L.A.R. Ramasawmy Chetty — Plaintiff
and
A.R. Arunachellum Chetty — Plaintiff
and
Soobhammall and Soobhammall — Defendants

1891 May 13½ — Issuing ins. No 2167 Linzee Chetty &c.

1891 May 15th — To 1. G. Manikyam Chetty
2. Gowinda Chetty and Soobroya Chetty.
3. Goomastah Soobroya Chetty.
4. Gowinda Chetty
5. Veerasawmy Aechary
and
6. Kavoor Ranga Reddy.

Mr. Ogg Attd. and for Kavoor Ranga Reddy Received fair Copies

1891 July 16½ — Goomastah Soobroya Chetty & Veerasawmy Aechary were Severally Served (Personally, on Wednesday the 17th Inst. with the 1st and 8. Manikyam Chetty Gowinda Chetty were Severally Personally on Wednesday the 8th Inst. by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as Signed by them in pencil. and for Soobroya Chetty by whom to be found within the limits of Madras was made for him.

16 July 1891 — O. Thirlogen Bailiff

O.S. Appl No 13 of 1891 K.V.

J. Thaipurammall — By Mr. Branson
and Branson — Appellant — Defendant
and
J. Mallikarjuniah
and
D. Ramasawmy Iyah — Respondents (Plaintiff)

To The Respondents

1891 July 9th — 1. J. Mallikarjuniah
2. D. Ramasawmy Iyah

1891 July 15th — The 2d Respondent D. Ramasawmy Iyah was Served personally on Tuesday the 7th Inst. and the 1st Respondent J. Mallikarjuniah was Served personally on Sunday the 12th Inst. by a Copy of the Petition being delivered to them as Signed by them in pencil.

1891 July 13 — Dated 13th July 1891.

C. Singaram. Bailiff.

Filed 13 July 1891 — O. Thirlogen

Filed 13 July 1891 — A.L.A.R. Ramasawmy Chetty Appellee also

1891 July 16 — This Notice was Served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Goomastah Soobroya Chetty and Veerasawmy Aechary on Wednesday the 17th day of June/91 and on the within named G. Manikyam Chetty and Gowinda Chetty on Wednesday the 8th day of July 1891 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

And the within named Soobroya Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.

16th July 1891

For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff
Sgd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

G.P.

1891 July 13 — This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Attend of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent D. Ramasawmy Iyah on Tuesday the 7th day of July 1891 and on the within named 1st Respondent J. Mallikarjuniah on Sunday the 12th day of July 1891 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

13th July 1891

For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff
Sgd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

G.P.

Claim			1891	1891	1891
C.S. No 88 of 1890	A.L.A.R. Ramasawmy Chetty and another — Plaintiffs and K. Soobbammall and another — Defendants and 1. Vommidichetty Goocoorappah Chetty + 2. Vommidichetty Venkata Cunniah Chetty — Claimants	To	The 1st Plaintiff	May 14th	May 19th	July 22nd
do	do	To	A.R. Arunachellam Chetty	4	4	4
C.C. No 472 of 1891.	Rajah Rammanjoo Bahadoor Zemindar Varoo by his P. Ayuudo Chetty — Petitioner and The Commercial & Land Mortgage Bank (Ltd) Mortgagee — Counter Petitioner.	To	The Counter Petitioner The Commercial & Land Mortgage Bank (Ltd)	20th	26th	13th

Mr P. A. Churchward
Dr. Secy. & Treasurer
in the Counter Petitioner
Mortgage Bank Ltd
was served with a
the 1st June 91
copy of the Notice being
delivered to him as required
by the

P. A. Churchward Esqr
P.C. Madras

Chas. Lett. Shaw

As the within named 1st Plaintiff A.L.A.R. Ramasawmy Chetty could not be found, a copy of this Notice was under instructions from the 2d Claimant Vommidichetty Venkata Cunniah Chetty herein, on Thursday the 16th day of July 91 affixed by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 144 in Coral Merchant Street Black Town Madras in which the said 1st Plaintiff is said to be Carrying on business.

Bailiff
C. Singaram
For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Bailiff R.A. Thompson
by Sheriff

18th July 1891
C.S.

1891 July 18th

As the within named 2d Plaintiff A.R. Arunachellam Chetty could not be found, a copy of this Notice was under instructions from the 2d Claimant Vommidichetty Venkata Cunniah Chetty herein, on Thursday the 16th day of July 1891, affixed by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 144 in Coral Merchant Street Black Town Madras in which the said 2d Plaintiff is said to be Carrying on business.

Bailiff
C. Singaram
For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Bailiff R.A. Thompson
by Sheriff

18th July 1891
C.S.

July 18th

This Notice was served by C.K.B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Commercial & Land Mortgage Bank Limited on Monday the 1st day of June 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P.A. Churchward Esqr. Secretary and Treasurer in the said Bank as appears by the above endorsement made by the said P.A. Churchward

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Esq R.A. Thompson
by Sheriff

13th July 1891
C.S.

July 13th 1891

C.M.P. No. 447 of 1891 Dt

Raga Pillay - by Mr S. Sellerawaneen. —Petitioner

—and—

T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillay — —Counter Petitioner

To The Counter Petitioner

1891 May 15th

T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillay was served personally on monday the 13th day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ~~pencil~~ ink Dated 14th July/91 O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Dt

1891 May 26th

Do Do " "

1891 July 20th

S. Sellerawaneen Pet's Clerk

O.S.

Appeal No. 91-of 1891. Dt

—— do ——

The Respondent T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillay was served personally on monday the 13th day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 14th July/91 O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Dt

O.S.

Appeal No. 1326 of 1890. Dt

Vavilala Lakkiah & 5 others by Mr P. Ammandra Chorla — —Appellant.

—and—

Kollah Venkata Kanniah Chetty — —Respondent.

To

The Respondent

Kollah Venkata Kanniah Chetty

July 23

The respondent Kollah Venkata Kanniah Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 22nd day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 22nd July/91 O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Dt

P. Ammandra Chorla Appellant

O.S.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner T. Pattabirama Naik alias N. Pattabirama Pillai on Monday the 13th day of July 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

16th July 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Dt

1891 July 16th

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. Pattabirama Naik alias N. Pattabirama Pillai on Monday the 13th day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

16th July 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Dt

July 16th 16-7-91

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Kollah Venkata Kanniah Chetty on Wednesday the 22nd day of July 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

22nd July 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Dt

July 22nd 22-7-91

C.M.P. No. 447 of 1891	Raga Pillay - by Mr. S. Sellaramaneen. — Petitioner — and — T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillay — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner	1891 May 15th	1891 May 26th	1891 July 20th	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillai on Monday the 13th day of July 1891 by a copy of the Notice in being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 16th July 1891 For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sgd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1891 July 16th
		The Counter-Petitioner T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillay was served personally on monday the 13th day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 14th July/91					
Appeal No. 91-g 1891.	— do —	Respondent do	"	"	Sept. 16th		
		The Respondent T. Pattabirama Naick alias N. Pattabirama Pillay was served personally on monday the 13th day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 14th July/91					
C. 2nd Appeal No. 132 of 1890.	Vavilala Lakkiah & 5 others by Mr. P. Ammandachorlu — Appellant — and — Kollah Venkata Kamniah Chetty — Respondent.	To The Respondent Kollah Venkata Kamniah Chetty			July 23	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Kollah Venkata Kamniah Chetty on Wednesday the 22nd day of July 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 22nd July 1891 For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sgd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	July 22nd
		The respondent Kollah Venkata Kamniah Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 22nd day of July/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 22nd July/91					

O.S. C.M.S. No. 1805 of 1891 L.J.	Sah Mann Mull and Hunsaraj — Petitioners and The Madras Building Company	To The Madras Building Company	1891 July 13th	1891 July 18th	1891	The Madras Building Company was served by a Saturday the 18th July 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqr. Attorney for the said The Madras Building Company as signed by the said R. Branson Esqr. Vingaram Bailiff. Dated 20th July 1891.	
2d appeal No. 501 of 1891. L.J.	Narayana Rao by Mr. C. Krishnasami Row — Appellant and Attani Krishnasami Row — Respondent	To The Respondent Attani Krishnasami Row. Sons of Attani Ranga Row.	July 28th	July 30th	Augt 31st	The Respondent Attani Krishnasami Row son of Attani Ranga Row is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 31st August 1891. Vingaram Bailiff.	
2d Original Side Appeal No. 12 of 1891 L.J.	S. Ainthaliga Mudy minor by his father S. Ekambara Mudy — Appellant (Plaintiff) and C. Varadaraja Modely — Respt Defdt.	To The Respondent C. Varadaraja Modely.	16th	30th	17th	The Respondent C. Varadaraja Modelly was served personally on Friday the 7th August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 8th August 1891 P. Veroomall Bailiff	

Mr. Krishnasamy for Appellant

Mr. Srinivasa Aiyar for Respondent's Vakil

Mr. P. Veroomall

	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Madras Building Company on Saturday the 18th day of July 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Reddy Branson Esqr. Attorney for the said Madras Building Company as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Reddy Branson. For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1891 July 20th 21-7-91.
	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Krishnaswami Rao as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Augt 31st 31.8.91
	This Notice was served by P. Veroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Varadaraja Modelly on Friday the 7th day of August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sgd	August 11th 11-8-91.

Original Side Appeal No 16 of 1891 C.P.	S. Ragava Chariyar By Mr. K. P. Viswanadha Iyer Appellant (Plaintiff) and C. Sudarsana Chary and another — Respondents Defendants	To The 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary	1891 July 24.	1891 July 30.	1891 August 24th	This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him 24th August 1891 C.P.	1891 Aug 24 4/-	25.8.91.
		The 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him 24th August 1891 C.P.				For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff		
						O.T.		
						Mr. C. V. Viswanadha Iyer Appellant's Vakil		
Appeal No 92 of 1891 C.P.	T. Subramanian by Mr. K. P. Sankara Menon Appellant and T. Seshan and 7 others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent T. Seshan	August 1st	August 4th	Octr 8th	This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent T. Seshan on Monday the 14th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 14th September 1891 C.P.	Septr 14 4/-	14-9-91.
		The 1st Respondent T. Seshan was served personally on Monday the 14th September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him & signed by him in pencil Dated 14th September 1891 C.P. C. Singaram Bailiff.				For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff		
						K. P. Sankara Menon Appellant's Vakil		
OS Appl No 14 of 1891 C.P.	C. Balasubramanian Chetty — Appellant - Plaintiff - and C. Cundasawmy Moodelly Respondent (2d Defdt)	To The Respondent C. Cundasawmy Mudelly -	July 21st	Augt 6th	Augt 21st	This Notice was served by T. Proamall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Cundasawmy Mudelly on Sunday the 9th day of August 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 11th August 1891 C.P.	August 11th	11-8-91.
		The Respondent C. Cundasawmy Moodelly was served personally on Sunday the 9th August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 10th August 1891 T. Proamall Bailiff				per Robt G. Orr Sheriff		
						Mr. C. V. Sunteram Aiyar Appellant's Vakil		
						T. Proamall		

Original Side Appeal No 169 1891	P. Ragava Charriyar By Mr. K. P. Viswanadha Iyer Appellant (Plaintiff) and C. Sudarsana Chary and another — Respondents Defendants	To The 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary	1891 July 24.	1891 July 30.	1891 August 24.	1891 Augt 24th	25.8.91.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

24th August 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Dy/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1891 Augt 24th

The 1st respondent C. Sudarsana Chary is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him

24th Augt 1891

C. Thalavaya Bailiff

Mr. P. Viswanadha Iyer Appellant v. C. Sudarsana Chary Respondent

O.T.

Appeal No 92 of 1891	T. Subramanian by Mr. K. P. Sankara Menon Appellant and T. Leshan and 7 others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent T. Leshan	August 1st	August 4th	Augt 8th	Sept 14th	4-9-91.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent T. Leshan on Monday the 14th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th September 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Dy/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

The 1st Respondent T. Leshan was served personally on Monday the 14th September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him & signed by him in pencil. Dated 14th September 1891

C. Singaram Bailiff

Mr. K. P. Sankara Menon Appellant v. T. Leshan Respondent

OS Appl No 14 of 1891	C. Balasubramanian Chetty — Appellant - Plaintiff - and C. Cundasawmy Moodr Respondent (2d Defdt)	To The Respondent C. Cundasawmy Mudally -	July 21st	Augt 6th	Augt 21st	August 11th	11-8-91.

This Notice was served by P. Peromall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Cundasawmy Mudally on Sunday the 9th day of August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

11th August 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

The Respondent C. Cundasawmy Moodelly was served personally on Sunday the 9th August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 10th August 1891

P. Peromall Bailiff

Mr. C. V. Sundram Iyer Appellant's Vakil

P. Peromall

Od Appl
No 15 of
1891
A.V.

Cavaripankum Dorasawmy Pillay — Appellant — Plaintiff
and
Yercanchary Mooneesawmy Moodelly — Respts Defts

To
The Respondent
Yercanchary Mooneesawmy Moodelly

1891 Augt 1st — 1891 Augt 6 days — 1891 Sept 1st

As the within named Respondent Yercanchary Mooneesawmy Moodelly could not be found a copy of the Notice was on Monday the 31st day of August 1891 affixed by C. Tholasingum, an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 135 China Bazaar Street Peddoo Vaiko Pettah, Black Town, Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.
1st September 1891
A.V.

Pro. Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

Sept 1st Ack 1-9-91

Major Branson & Branson
Appellants Attorneys

4 Notice
Appeal
No 201 of
1887
A.V.

Sri Raja Rayu Ramayamma Zamindar Garo Laws — Appellants
and
Sri Raja Rayu Venkata Mahipathi Gangadhara Rama Row Bahadur Garo & 2 others — Respts.

To
The 3d Respondent
Sri Raja Rayu Venkata Mahipathy Surya Ramakrishna Row Bahadur Garo generally known as the Kumara Raja of Pittapore.

1st September 1891
A.V.

C. Tholasingum Bailiff

This Notice was served by the 3d Respondent Sri Raja Rayu Venkata Mahipathy Surya Ramakrishna Row Bahadur Garo generally known as the Kumara Raja of Pittapore on the 1st August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
Dated 18th August 1891.
Bailiff

Augt 28th

Original Side Appeal No 17 of 1891
A.V.

M. Ammayee Ammall By Mr W. A. Narasimha Chari — Appellant (Defendant)
and
C. Yalamalay Chetty and M. Coottee Chetty — Respondents (Plaintiffs)

To
The Respondents
1. C. Yalamalay Chetty
2. M. Coottee Chetty

6 days — 10 days — Sept 7th

Served on Messrs Branson & Branson on behalf of the 2d Resp vide letter dated 25th Augt 91 from the Atty herein.
A.V.

Mr G. A. Narasimha Chari — Appellants Attorney

The 2d Respondent M Coottee Chetty served on Monday the 31st day of August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A. Branson attorney for the said 2d Respondent as signed by the said A. Branson and 1st Respondent C. Yalamalay Chetty served personally on Tuesday the 1st September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink.
A.V.

Mr C. Tholasingum — Appellants Attorney

As the within named Respondent Yercanchary Mooneesawmy Moodelly could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Monday the 31st day of August 1891 affixed by C. Tholasingum, an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 135 China Bazaar Street Peddoo Vaiko Pettah, Black Town, Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.
1st September 1891
A.V.

Pro. Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

1891 Sept 1st Ack 1-9-91

This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3d Respondent Sri Raja Rayu Venkata Mahipathy Surya Ramakrishna Row Bahadur Garo generally known as the Kumara Raja of Pittapore on Tuesday the 18th day of August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
20th August 1891
A.V.

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

Augt 20th Ack 20.8.91.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent M. Coottee Chetty on Monday the 31st day of August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said 2d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said R. Branson.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent C. Yalamalay Chetty on Tuesday the 1st day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.
2nd September 1891
A.V.

P. Peroomall Bailiff

Sept 2d Ack 2-9-91

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

Claim C. P. No. 1687 of 1890 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes D.J.	Y. Manoraroo Modelly Plaintiff and A. Moorthy Naidoo and another — Defendants and W. S. Mouthoosawmy Chetty Claimant	To The Plaintiff Y. Manoraroo Modelly	1891 August 10th	1891 August 18th	1891 August 27th		
O.S. Appeal No. 189 1891 R.T.	C. Andamma and 4 others By Mr. P. V Krishnaswamy Chetty Appellants (1st to 5th Defts) and G. Audenarayana Chetty minor by his mother & Venkamma — Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent G. Audenarayana Chetty, minor by his mother Venkamma (Chetty minor) was served on Tuesday the 25th August/91 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to Venkamma alleged to be the mother of the said Respondent as appears by pencil by the said Venkamma Dated 26th August/91 The Respondent G. Audenarayana O. Thalasingam Bailiff	7th	22nd	Sept. 7th		
O.S. Appl. No. 19 1891 L.T.	L. C. Andall Ammall By Messrs Branson & Branson — Appellant 1st Plaintiff and L. C. Ramanjum Chetty & P. Thoyarammall — Respondents Defendants.	To The Respondents 1. Lingum Chetty Ra- -manjum Chetty and 2. His wife Perindavee Thoyaram mall Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants Cabis	Aug. 28th	Sept. 4th The 1st Appellant Lingum Chetty and Ra- manjum Chetty the 1st Respondent on Thursday the 10th September by a copy of the Petition by him to him all signed by him in pencil on same day the 2nd Respondent Perindavee Thoyarammall was served by a copy of the being delivered to the said Lingum Chetty Ramanjum Chetty alleged to be the hus- band of the said 2nd Respondent as signed by the said 1st Respondent in pencil Dated 10th September 1891 C. Singaram Bailiff	Sept. 28th		

This Notice has not been served on the within named Plaintiff Y. Manoraroo Modelly as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Sd/ P. Peroomal
Bailiff
For Robt. G. Orr
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

28th August 1891

C.P.

This Notice was served by O. Thalasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent G. Audenarayana Chetty on Tuesday the 25th day of August 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered ~~to him~~ to Venkamma alleged to be the mother of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Venkamma.

28th August 1891

C.P.

August 28th

Sd/ Robt. G. Orr
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

28.8.91

This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Lingum Chetty Ramanjum Chetty on Thursday the 10th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent Perindavee Thoyarammall on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent Lingum Chetty Ramanjum Chetty alleged to be the husband of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 1st Respondent.

11th September 1891

C.P.

September 11th

Sd/ Robt. G. Orr
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

11/9/91

1								
2d				1891	1891	1891		
C.R.	Subbramanya Pillai and	To		Sept.	Sept.	Sept.		
P. No.	Armugam Pillai by Mr.	The Counter Petitioner		5th	8th	16th		
250	G. P. Johnston — Petitioner	~~Bala prasad~~	The Counter-petitioner Bala prasad is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court and I have called the Court several times over for him although search has been made for him. Dated 15th September 1891. C. Singaravelu Bailiff.					
and		Bala prasad						
251 of	and							
1890.	Balaprasad and another							
C.V.	— Counter Petitioners							

1								
2d	L. Ragava Chariyar by	To						
Original	Mr. K. S. Vivanadha Iyer	The 1st Respondent		3d	8d	16d		
Side	— Appellant	C. Sudarsana Chary	The 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary was served on Thursday the 10th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil. Dated 10th September 1891. C. Singaravelu Bailiff.					
Appeal	(Plaintiff)							
No.	and							
16 of	C. Sudarsana Chary and							
1891	another — Respondents							
C.V.	(Defendants)							
1								
Claim	Sundaraja Moodelly —	To						
C. S.	Plaintiff	The Purchaser						
No.	vs.	P. Ponnusaumy Moodelly		9th	14d	24th		
319	Murugasa Moodelly and							
of 1889	others — Defendant							
C.V.	and							
	Chithambarasaumiyar —							
	Petitioner							
	P. Ponnusaumy and Moodelly							
	Purchaser							

Mr. G. P. Johnston Petitioner Counsel

Mr. K. S. Vivanadha Iyer Appellant's Counsel

Mr. T. S. Thanga Moodelliar Petitioner's Counsel

Mr. Pennasamy Vakil

	This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner Balaprasad as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras — although search has been made for him. 15th September 1891. C.V. For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff Sig. R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1891 Sept. 15th C.V.	15.9.91.
	This Notice was served by C. Singaravelu an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent C. Sudarsana Chary on Thursday the 10th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 10th September 1891. C.V. For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff Sig. R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	Sept. 10th C.V.	10/9/91.
	This Notice was served by me P. Perumall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Purchaser P. Ponnusaumy Moodelly on Monday the 14th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink. 15th September 1891. C.V. Sig. P. Perumall Bailiff For Robt. G. Orr Sheriff Sig. R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	Sept. 16th C.V.	

Claim 2 C.S. No. 1687 of 1890 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	Y. Mannaroo Moodelly -Plaintiff	Vs	1891 Sept. 4th	1891 Sept. 14th	1891 Sept. 21st
	A. Moorthy Naidoo and another -Defendants	The Plaintiff			Y. Mannaroo Moodelly
	and				
	N. S. Moothoosawmy Chetty -Claimant				

This Notice was served by me P. Peromall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Y. Mannaroo Moodelly on Wednesday the 16th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

16th September 1891

per P. Peromall Bailiff

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

per R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 Sept. 17th C.S. W. Clerk

3 Original O.S.C. No. 9 of 1891	S. Narayanasawmy Chetty minor, by his guardian S. Veerasawmy Chetty By his P. V. Kisthnasawmy Chetty -Petitioner (Representing the demand Appellant Rs 33 4p/33/90)	Vs The 1st & 3rd Counter-Petitioners.	8th	15th	28th
	and	1. S. Varadarajulu Chetty, infant, by Y. Soobbiah Chetty			
	S. Varadarajulu Chetty, infant, by his guardian ad litem Y. Soobbiah Chetty and two others -Counter-Petitioners (Reports in do.)	3. S. Rungiah Chetty			

Mr R Balajee Row Vakil for Y. Soobbiah Chetty guardian of S Varadarajulu Chetty (minor) the 1st counter-petitioner and the 3rd counter-petitioner S Rungiah Chetty, were served on this day the 17th September 1891 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them at Eight by them in pencil.

Dated 17th September 1891.

C Singaram Bailiff

C.S.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Y. Soobbiah Chetty, alleged to be the guardian of the within named 1st Counter Petitioner S. Varadarajulu Chetty on Thursday the 17th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Balajee Row, Vakil for the said Y. Soobbiah Chetty as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr R. Balajee Row.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd Counter Petitioner S. Rungiah Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

18th September 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Sept. 18th C.S. W.

J.R. 18/9/91.

O.S.C. Mis P. No. 30 of 1891

P. Rowlandson Esqr Official Assignee of Madras — Petitioner (1st Respdt 8 Sep 19/91)

and

T. Loobrayalu Chetty and 6 others — Counter Petitioners (Appts 1 & 2 & 7 Respdts)

To

The 1st & 2d Counter Petitioners

1891 Sept 16

1891 Sept 17

1891 Sept 30

1 T. Loobrayalu Chetty

and

2. C. Shunmuga Moodelly

The 1st Counter petitioner T Loobrayalu Chetty was served on Tuesday the 23d September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to T. Tennevagada Chetty alleged to be the father of the said T. Loobrayalu Chetty as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said T. Tennevagada Chetty.

The 2d Counter petitioner C Shunmuga Moodelly is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court although search has been made for him.

Dated 29th September 1891

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

2d Appeals Nos 172 & 173 of 1890 L.P.

M. Govinda Row
M. Sankara Row — Dead

Mr. P. Biliguri Iyengar and M. Rama Row — Appellant

M. Rama Row and 3 others — Respondents

To

The 1st Respondent

1891 Sept 15

1891 Sept 17

1891 Oct 19

M. Rama Row the Respdt was served personally on Thursday the 17th day of Sept/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

17 Sept/91 L.P.

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Claim C.S. No. 62 of 1889 L.P.

T. Madura Moodelly — Plaintiff

and

V. Sambasiva Moodelly — Defendant

and

A. Comarasammy Moodelly — Claimant.

To

The Plaintiff

T. Madura Moodelly

1891 Sept 16

1891 Sept 17

1891 Sept 29

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Sept

O. S. Petitioner by Messrs Barclay Sparrow & Orr Petitioners Solrs

A. O. Tiruvenkata Iyer Counsel for Petr

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st counter Petitioner T. Loobroyalu Chetty on Tuesday the 29th day of Sept/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to T. Tennevagada Chetty alleged to be the father of the said 1st Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said T. Tennevagada Chetty.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner C. Shunmuga Moodelliar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

29th Sept 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

L.P.

1891 Sept 29 cd

29.9.91.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent M. Rama Row on Thursday the 17th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

18th September 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

L.P.

Sept 18 cd

18/9/91.

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff T. Madura Moodelly on Friday the 18th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

18th September 1891

Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff
For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

L.P.

Sept 19 cd

L.P.

C.M. Petn No 286 of 1891 D.V.	Bala Gouri Vallabha Ievar —— Petitioner and Periasawmi alias Udayana Ievar & 10 others - Counter Petitioners	To The 6th, 7th & 8th Counter Petitioners	1891 Sept 16th	1891 Sept 19th	"

Mr R. G. Orr the 6th Counter Petitioner was served on the 24th Sept/91 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him and by and his name on the back of the Original Notice —

On the same day Mr E. F. Stranack the 7th Counter Petitioner was served by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. G. Orr the 6th Counter Petitioner who signed the Original Notice for the said E. F. Stranack he being dead and the 6th Counter Petitioner serving for the deceased & his - Petitioner.

25th September 1891 C.V.

The 8th Counter Petitioner Mr J. Ryan has not been served he being dead and the 6th Counter Petitioner serving for the deceased & his - Petitioner.

Wm Middleton Bailiff

C.R.P. No 246 of 1891 D.V.	Narayanasawmy Mudaly by Mr A. Krishnamachariar - Petitioner and Rajah Eswara Doss Sowcar - Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Rajah Eswara Doss Sowcar ——	18th	21st	October 15th

The Counter petitioner Rajah Eswara Doss Sowcar was personally on Tuesday the 29th September 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 29th September 1891.

C Singaram Bailiff.

C.V.

C.R.P. No 206 of 1891 D.V.	A. Sellamma by Mr P. V. Ramasami Rajoo —— Petitioner and V. Obulu Setti and another - Counter Petitioners	To The 1st and 2nd Counter Petitioners 1. V. Obulu Setti 2. A. Govindasawmy - Setti	19th	23rd	15th

A. Govindasawmy Setti was personally served on Tuesday the 13th Oct/91 and served on V. Obulu Setti was personally served on Wednesday the 14th Oct/91 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by them in pencil.

14th Oct/91 C.V. P. Perroumall Bailiff

Mr P. Subramanyam Petitioners' Vakil

Mr P. V. Ramasami Rajoo Petitioner's Vakil

P. Perroumall

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an officer of the Sheriff on Thursday the 24th day of September 1891 on the within named 6th Counter Petitioner Mr R. G. Orr both for himself and for Mr J. Ryan by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him and Mr R. G. Orr accepted service on his own behalf as appears by the above endorsement made by him, but he refused to accept service of the said Notice on behalf of the within named 8th Counter Petitioner Mr J. Ryan who is reported to be dead.

On the same day Mr E. F. Stranack, the 7th Counter Petitioner was served by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. G. Orr, the 6th Counter Petitioner who offered to accept the service and signed the Original Notice for the said Mr E. F. Stranack.

For Robt G. Orr

25th September 1891 C.V.

Sig/ R. A. Thompson Sheriff by Sheriff

1891 Sept 25th

25-9-91

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 6th Counter Petitioner Rajah Eswara Doss Sowcar on Tuesday the 29th day of September 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

30th September 1891 C.V.

Sig/ R. A. Thompson by Sheriff

Sept 30th

30-9-

This Notice was served by P. Perroumall an officer of the Sheriff on the 2nd Counter Petitioner A. Govindasawmy Setti on Tuesday the 13th day of Oct/91 and on the 1st Counter Petitioner V. Obulu Setti on Wednesday the 14th day of Oct/91 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

14th October 1891 C.V.

Sig/ R. A. Thompson by Sheriff

Oct 14th & 15th

14-10-91.

O.M.P. No 795 of 1891	C. R. Karunakara Menon —— Petitioner and The Secretary of State for India in Council —— Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner The Secretary of State for India in Council through the Government Pleader & the Public Prosecutor of the High Court of Madras.	1891 Sept. 23d	1891 Sept. 25th	1891 Oct. 5th

The within named Counter Petr. Secy. of State for India in Council was on Monday the 28th Sept/91 served by a copy of the Notice being delivd. to E.B. Powell Esqr. as signed by him on the Original Notice. 29th Sept. 1891 }
Thos. N. Shaw Bailiff

C.M.P. No 795 of 1891

Sundara C. Sankaran Nair Petitioner's Vakil

Thos. N. Shaw Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. N. B. Shaw, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within mentioned Counter Petitioner, the Secretary of State for India in Council on Monday the 28th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E.B. Powell Esqr. the Government Pleader and the Public Prosecutor of the High Court of Madras, as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E.B. Powell Esqr.

29th September 1891 }

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

G.V.

1891 Sept. 29th C.W.C. 29.9.91.

C. M. P. No 251 of 1890	Arumugam Pillai By his G. P. Johnston —— Petitioner and Balaprasad and another Counter Petitioners	To The 2d Counter Petitioner Lal Naicker Tawker Prasad.	1891 Sept. 24th	1891 Sept. 25th	1891 Oct. 5th

The 2d Counter Petitioner Lal Naicker Tawker Prasad was personally served on Tuesday the 29th September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him at signed by him in pencil dated 29th September 1891.
C. Lingaraw Bailiff.

G.V.

Mr. A. G. Ramachandra Advocate for O.P.

This Notice was served by C. Lingaraw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Lal Naicker Tawker Prasad on Tuesday the 29th day of September 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

30th Sept. 1891 }

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

G.V.

Sept. 30 C.W.C. 30.9.91.

2d. appl. No 1014 of 1891	Venkatesa Naicker & anr. - Appellants and Gulari Begum Sahiba & anr. —— Respondents	To The 2d Respondent Kathija Begum Sahiba.	1891 Sept. 28th	1891 Sept. 30th	1891 Oct. 26th

The 2d Respondent Kathija Begum Sahiba is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras. although search has been made for her.
Dated this 26th October/91
O. Shaw Bailiff

K.V.

Mr. A. G. Ramachandra Advocate for O.P.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d Respondent Kathija Begum Sahiba as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras. although search has been made for her.

26th October 1891 }

Sigd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

G.V.

Oct. 26 C.W.C. 26/10.

Letters Patent Appeal No 14 of 1891 L.P.	V. Ponnusami Chetty Another —— Appellant and K. Lomasundra Chettiar —— Respondt	To The Respondent K. Lomasundra Chettiar.	1891 Sept 29	1891 Oct 1st	1891 Oct 15th

The Respondent K Lomasundra Chettiar was personally served on Sunday the 4th October 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in point.
Dated 5th October 1891.
L.P. C Singaram Bailiff.

L.M. P. No 583 of 1891 L.P.	Lakshmana Prasath Lala —— Petitioner and Syed Zaffer Mohideen Sahib Kazi & ors — Counter Petitioner	To The 2d Counter Petitioner Syed Mohideen Goose Sahib	do	do	19th

The 2d counter Petitioner Syed Mohideen Goose Sahib is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him.
Dated this 19th October 1891
L.P. Ponnomall Bailiff

L.P. Appeal No 20 of 1891 L.P.	Appandai Nainar & ors — Appellants and Sree Hari Joishi minor by his guardian Balakrishna Metta —— Respondent	To The Respondent Sree Hari Joishi minor by his guardian Balakrishna Metta	Oct 16th	Oct 17th	Nov 10th

Balakrishna Metta the Guardian of the Sree Hari Joishi (minor) is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him;
10 Nov 1891
O. Tholasingam
Asst
L.P.

Sir W. E. Grey's Chamier
Asst to the Clerks of Papers

Mr. K. Lakshmanayam
Petitioners Vakil

Mr. Ponnomall
Bailiff

O.T.

Mr. B. V. Ramaswamy Ayyar
Advocate for Respondents Vakil

C.M.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Lomasundra Chettiar on Sunday the 4th October 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
5th October 1891
L.P.
For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 October 5th C.M. 5-10-91

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Syed Mohideen Gouse Sahib as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
19th October 1891
L.P.
For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Oct 19th C.M. 19-10-91

This Notice has not been served on the within named Balakrishna Metta the guardian of the within named Respondent Sree Hari Joishi, minor, as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.
10th November 1891
L.P.
Sig'd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Nov 10th C.M. 10-11-91

Claim C.R. No. 70 of 1890 C.P.	J. C. Shaw, J. Fortune, W. S. Shaw and A. J. Yorke — Plaintiffs — and V. C. Permanayagam Pillay — Defendant — and N. A. Comarappah Chetty — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff J. C. Shaw Esquire	1891 October 10th	1891 October 15th	1891 October 22nd
do C.P.	—— do ——	To The 2nd Plaintiff J. Fortune Esqr.	"	"	"
do C.P.	—— do ——	To The 3rd Plaintiff W. S. Shaw Esqr.	"	"	"

Fee Rs. Cents Claimant's Proctor

D. C. Jaffna

This Notice was Served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff J. C. Shaw Esquire on Friday the 16th day of October 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to S. W. Davis Esquire an assistant in the firm of Messrs Wilson and King Attorneys for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said S. W. Davis.

17th October 1891

C.P.

Serv O. Tholasingam Bailiff

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Serv R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 October 20th

D. C. Jaffna

This Notice was Served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Plaintiff J. Fortune Esquire on Friday the 16th day of October by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to S. W. Davis Esquire an assistant in the firm of Messrs Wilson and King Attorneys for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said S. W. Davis.

17th October 1891

C.P.

Serv O. Tholasingam Bailiff

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Serv R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

October 20th

D. C. Jaffna

This Notice was Served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Plaintiff W. S. Shaw Esquire on Friday the 16th day of October 1891 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to S. W. Davis Esquire an assistant in the firm of Messrs Wilson and King Attorneys for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said S. W. Davis.

17th October 1891

C.P.

Serv O. Tholasingam Bailiff

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Serv R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

October 20th

Claim	J.C. Shaw J. Fortune W.S. Shaw and A.J. Yorke } Plaintiffs	To The 4th Plaintiff A.J. Yorke Esqr.	1891 Oct. 10th	1891 Oct. 15th	1891 Octor. 22nd
6 of 1890	V.C. Permanayagam and Pillay Defendant and N.A. Comarappah Chetty Claimant				
		To			Novembr
O.S. Appeal No 22 of 1891	The Most Reverend Joseph Colgan D.D. Roman Catholic Archbishop of Madras and another by 2nd Sir W. Lang } Appellants and The Administrator General of Madras and three others — Respondents Pffs 1st to 3rd Defdts	To The Respondents. 1. The Administrator General of Madras as such the Administrator of the Estate & Effects of Hosanna Arathoon late of Madras. 2. Alfred Peter Arathoon 3. Frederic Rowlandson Esqr Official Assignee of the Estate & Effects of late Ariteal Peter Arathoon and 4. The Administrator General of Madras and as such the Administrator of the Estate & Effects of the late Hosanna Chambers.	26th	27th	24th

The 1st & 4th Respondents the Administrator Genl of Madras were served on Friday the 30th Octr/91 by copies of Notice being delivered to Mr J.J. Palman Manager in the Office of the Administrator Genl of Madras as signed by him.

The 3rd Respt Frc: Rowlandson Esqr was served for himself and as Attorney for the 2nd Respt Alfred Peter Arathoon on Monday the 2nd Novr/91 by two copies of the Notice being delivered to the 3rd Respt F. Rowlandson as signed both for himself and 3rd Respt A.P. Arathoon

2nd November 1891

Wm Middleton Bailiff

C.J.

No 1 Mr Kunniah Chetty Pffs Advt

O.S.

No 1 Mr Kannia Chetty Pffs Advt

Mr Lang Advt

Appellants Advt

This Notice was served by me O Thulasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Plaintiff A. J. Yorke Esquire on Friday the 15th day of October/91 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to J.W. Davis Esq ~~on Sunday the 15th day of October 1891 by a Copy of the Summons Notice being delivered to J.W.D~~ an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Wilson and King Attorneys for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said J.W. Davis

17th October 1891

O Thulasingam Bailiff

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

C.J.

This Notice was served by W.H. Middleton, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within mentioned 1st & 4th Respondents, the Administrator General of Madras on Friday the 30th day of October 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to & Mr J.J. Palman, Manager in the Office of the said Administrator General as appears by his endorsement.

And this Notice was served by the same Officer on the within named 2nd & 3rd Respondents Messrs Alfred Peter Arathoon and Frederic Rowlandson respectively on Monday the 2nd day of November 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to the said Mr F. Rowlandson on behalf of & himself and as his attorney on behalf of the 2nd Respondent Mr Alfred Peter Arathoon, as appears by the above & endorsement made by the said F. Rowlandson.

4th November 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

C.J.

1891 October 20th

4-11-91.

In the Matter of the Indian Company's Act VI of 1882 and of the Madras Union Bank Limited.

To

1- R. Balajee Row
1 Copy Notice
2- Copy Petition
3- Schedule A
4- do - C
5 Exhibit C to Petition

2. C. N. Nummiah Chetty
1- Copy Notice
2- Copy Petition
3- Schedule B
4- do C
5- Exhibit C to Petition

4. C. N. Balu Chetty
1 copy Notice
2- Copy Petition
3- Schedule D
4- do C
5- Exhibit C to Petition

5- C. Manavala Naidoo
1. Copy Notice
2- copy Petition
3- Schedule E
4- Exhibit C to Petition

7- C. Janakiramiah

8. P. Runganatheen Mudaliar
1- Copy Notice
2- do Petition
3- Schedule G
4- Exhibit C to Petition

3. C. Balasoondara Mudaliar
1- Copy Notice
2- do Petition
3- Schedule C
4- Exhibit C.

	1891	1891	1891
	Oct.	Oct.	Nov.
	27.	30.	11.

On Saturday the 7th November 1891, copies of Notice, Petition, Schedule C and Exhibit C were delivered to C. Balasoondaram Moodelliar as signed by him in

Dated 7th November 1891.

C. Singaram
Bailiff.

On Friday the 30th October 1891, copies of Notice, Petition, Schedule [illegible] and Exhibit C were delivered to S. Orr Esqre a partner in the firme of Messrs Barclay Morgan & Orr. Attorneys for C. Manavala Naidoo as signed by him

on same day, copies of Notice, Petition, Schedule B and G and Exhibit C were delivered to C. N. Nummiah Chetty Esqre as signed by him

On Saturday the 31st October 1891, copies of Notice, Petition, Schedule G and Exhibit C were delivered to C. Janakiramiah as signed by him

on same day, copies of Notice, Petition Schedules A & G and Exhibit C were delivered to R Branson Esqre Attorney for R Balajee Row Esqre as signed by him

on same day, copies of Notice, Petition Schedules D & G and Exhibit C were delivered to C. Balu Chetty as signed by him

On Sunday the 1st November 1891, copies of Notice, Petition Schedule G and Exhibit C were delivered to P Runganatheen Mudaliar as signed by him in pencil

Dated 2d November 1891.

C. Singaram
Bailiff.

Mr Douglas Grant
Solicitors Attorney

1. This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Mr C. Manavala Naidoo on Friday the 30th day of October 91 by copies of the Notice, Petition, Schedule E and Exhibit C being delivered to Saw Esquire, a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgan and Orr, Attorneys for the said C. Manavala Naidoo as appears by the above endorsement made by the said S. Orr Esqr.

2. This Notice was served by the same officer on the within named Mr C. N. Nummiah Chetty on the same day by copies of the Notice, Petition, two Schedules B and G and Exhibit C being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

3. This Notice was served by the same Officer on the within named Mr C. Janakiramiah on Saturday the 31st day of October 1891 by copies of the Notice, Petition, Schedule G and Exhibit C being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

4. This Notice was served by the same Officer on the within named Mr R. Balajee Row on the 31st day of October 1891 by copies of the Notice, Petition, two Schedules A and G and Exhibit C being delivered to R. Branson Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said Mr R. Balajee Row, as appears by the above endorsement made by the said R. Branson Esqr.

5. This Notice was served by the same Officer on the within named Mr C. N. Balu Chetty on the 31st day of October 1891 by copies of the Notice, Petition, two Schedules D and G and Exhibit C being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

6. This Notice was served by the same Officer on the within named Mr P. Rungana theen Moodeliar on Sunday the 1st day of November 1891 by copies of the Notice, Petition, Schedule G and Exhibit C being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

7. And this Notice was served by the same Officer on the within named Mr C. Balasoondara Moodelliar on Saturday the 7th day of November 1891 by copies of the Notice, Petition, Schedule C and Exhibit C being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

10th November 1891

Sevy Robt G. Orr
Sheriff.

1891.
November
10th
Rec'd
Taxed

Serve this Notice on Messrs Barclay, Morgan & Orr on behalf of the C. Manavala Naidoo vide letter of 30th Oct/91 from them.

Notice against C. Balasoondara Moodr to be served the 6th Nov/91 received

Notice against P. Rungana theen Moodeliar to be served the 1st Nov/91 received

C. M. Petition No. 34 of 1891

Vellayanadan Ponnusami Nadan & 3 others — Petitioners 2d to 5th applts in O.S. appl. No. 17/84

and

Tanjore Ramachendra Row (dead) & 3 others — Counter Petrs (Respondents in do)

To The Counter-Petitioners Nos (2 & 4)
2- Tanjore Catchwara Row.
and
4- Tanjore Venkata Suljaram Row

1891 Oct 29

1891 Oct 30

1891 Nov 13

The 2d & 4th counter Petitioners Tanjore Catchwara Row and Tanjore Venkata Suljaram Row were served personally on Tuesday the 10th day of November 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.

P. Veeroma Bailiff

Dated the 10th November 1891

L.P.

This Notice was served by P. Veroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d & 4th Counter Petitioners Tanjore Catchwara Row and Tanjore Venkata Suljaram Row on Tuesday the 10th day of November 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively-

11th November 1891

Sigd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

L.P.

1891 Nov 11th

11-11-91

O/S Appeal No. 25 of 1891

P. Ragavalu Chetty Dawr — Appellant — Petitioner

and

Cooree Chetty Rungiah Chetty — Respondt — Insolvent

To The Respondent Nov 1 Cooree Chetty Rungiah Chetty

1891 Nov 3

1891 Nov 10

1891 Dec 3

The Respondent Cooree Chetty Rungiah Chetty was served on Tuesday the 1st December/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A Brandon attorney for the said Respondent as signed by the said 1st December/91

O. Thoslasingum Bailiff

L.P.

Hon'ble W. Badham Lyons Petitioners Counsel

Mr. J. W. Laing Respondt's Attorney

This Notice was served by O. Thoslasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Cooree Chetty Rungiah Chetty on Tuesday the 1st day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Reddy Brandon Esqr Attorney for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

2d December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

1891 Dec 2d

C. R. P. No. 334 of 1891

Shuchagiriayer by M. P. V. Ramaswamy Raju — Petitioner

and

Krishna Iyer and three others — Counter-Petitioners

To The 3d & 4th Counter Petitioners Rohagherry Sastri & Venkatasa Sastri

1891 Nov 7th

1891 Nov 12th

1891 Nov 7th

The 2d and 3d counter-petitioners Subhagherry Sastri and Venkatasa Sastri were served on Thursday the 19th November 1891, each by a copy of the Petition being delivered to them as signed by them.

Dated 20th November 1891.

Vijayaram Bailiff

L.P.

P. V. Ramaswamy Raju Petitioners Counsel

This Notice was served by Vijayaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d and 3d Counter Petitioners Rohagherry Sastri and Venkatasa Sastri on Thursday the 19th day of November 1891 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively

24th November 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

L.P.

1891 November 24th

2d Appeal No 1450 of 1891 L.P.	Ramachendra Royer By Mr M. R. Runga Row — Appellant and Venkasamy Pandithar and 2 others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Venkasamy Pandithar	1891 November 10th	1891 November 12th	1891 December 17th

The 1st Respondent Venkasamy Pandithar is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him

Dated 16th December 1891

C.P.

Coonnoll Bailiff

2d Appeal No 827 of 1891 L.P.	Chockalingam Pillai By Mr M. A Parthasarathy Aiyengar — Appellant and Lomasundra Pillai and another — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent 2d Rukmani Ammal	10th	12th	7th

The 2d Respondent Rukmani ammal is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

7 December 1891

C.P.

O Thomson 1892 January 11th

2d Appeal No 143 of 1891 L.P.	Gopal Row & anr by Mr S. Subramaniam — Appellants and The Bank of Madras — Respondent	To The Respondent The Bank of Madras	12th	14th	11th

William Morgan Esqr. a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgan & Co was served personally on Monday the 16th Nov 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him on behalf of The Bank of Madras as Sign by W. Morgan Esqr their atty

16th Nov 1891

Thos Reilly

C.P.

Buckaram & Ayyangar for Mr M. R. Runga Row Appellant's Counsel

C.P.

S. Subramaniam Appellant's Vakil

Mr S. Subramaniam Appellant's Vakil

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Venkasawmy Pandithar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th December 1891

Sgd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 December 17th

C.P.

17.12.91.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d Respondent Rukmani Ammal as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

7th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Decr 7th

C.P.

7.12.91

This Notice was served by C. W. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent The Bank of Madras on Monday the 16th day of November 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to William Morgan Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgan and Orr, Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said Wm Morgan Esqr.

17th November 1891

Sgd Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Novr 17th

C.P.

17.11.91.

2d Appl. No. 1493 of 1891 G.V.	Sharadi and 5 others. by Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Sahib —— Appellants and Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu & 3 others —— Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu 2. Venkataramanjulu Naidu 3. Venkatarungum Naidu and 4. Venkatapathi Naidu	1891 Nov. 13	1891 Nov. 16	1891 Dec. 14	Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu Venkataramanjulu Naidu Venkatarungum Naidu & Venkatapathi Naidu the 1st 2d 3d and 4th Respondents are not found within the local limits of the ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 14th December 1891. G.V.	For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff
C.M.P. No. 583 of 1891 G.V.	Lakshmana prasad to Sahib By Mr. N. Subramanyam Petitioner and Syed Zaffer Mohideen Sahib and another —— Counter-Petitioner	To The 2d Counter Petitioner Syed Moideen Gows Sahib	16th	17th	4th	The 2d counter Petitioner Syed Moideen Gows Sahib was served personally on Thursday the 3d day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 3d December 1891 G.V.	O. Tholasingam Bailiff
O.S. Appeal No. 26 of 1891 G.V.	Davay Jayasanker Ramaswar By Mr. T.R. Ramanujachari and Mr. T. Madhava Row —— Appellant 1st Defendant and Davay Viswanadh Ramaswar —— Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent Davay Viswanadh Ramaswar	3d	17th	3d	The Respondent Davay Viswanadha Ramaswar was served personally on Tuesday the 17th November 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated this 20th November 91 G.V.	O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mr. C. Ramachandra Row Sahib — Appellants' Vakil

Mr. N. Subramanyam — Petitioner's Vakil

Mr. T.R. Ramanujachari & Mr. T. Madhava Row — Appellant's Vakil

O.S.

G.V.

This Notice has not been served on the Respondents Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu, Venkataramanjulu Naidu, Venkatarungum Naidu and Venkatapathi Naidu, as they are generally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for them.

14th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

G.V.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Counter-Petitioner Syed Moideen Gows Sahib on Thursday the 3d day of December 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

4th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

G.V.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Davay Viswanadh Ramaswar on Tuesday the 17th day of November 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him

Sd/ Robt G. Orr Sheriff

24th November 1891

G.V.

1891 Dec. 14 — 14.12.91

Dec. 4 — 4.12.91

November 24 — 24.11.91

O.S. Appeal No 21 of 1891 L.V.	A. Loshaya Chetty and another By Mr. C. V. Sundaram Pastre — Appellants 1st & 2nd Defendants & C. Coopposawmy Chetty — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent C. Coopposawmy Chetty	1891 November 4th	1891 November 19th	1891 December 4th	The Respondent C. Coopposawmy Chetty was personally served at Chetty road this day the 3rd December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 3rd December 1891. C. Singaram Bailiff. L.V.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Coopposawmy Chetty on Thursday the 3rd day of December 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 3rd December 1891. L.V. By Robt G. Ow Sheriff per R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1891 December 3rd 3/12.
O.S. Appeal No 23 of 1891 L.V.	Moulvie Syed Mahomed Abdool Allam Sahib Bahadoor By Messrs Branson & Branson — Appellant Defendant and Assima Begum Sahiba — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent Assima Begum Sahiba	17th	19th	17th	Serve Mr. J. W. Laing with the appeal Notice herein as he has consented to accept Service thereof for the Respts vide letter 3/16th Nov 91 from Bransons Esqrs. The Respondent Assima Begum Sahiba was served on Friday the 20th day of November 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esq Attorney for the said Assima Begum Sahiba as signed by the said J. W. Laing Esq. Dated the 23rd Nov 1891 L.V. P. Peroomall Bailiff	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Assima Begum Sahiba on Friday the 20th day of November 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esquire Attorney for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. W. Laing Esquire. 26th November 1891 L.V.	November 26th 26/11.

O.S.

Appeal No 27 of 1891

Subbaw Bee By Mr A.T. Ambrose — Appellant (2nd Defendant)

and

Kali Bee — Respondent (Plaintiff)

To The Respondent Kali Bee

1891 Novr 21st

1891 Novr 24th

1891 Decr 21st

The Respondent Kali Bee was served personally on Wednesday the 25th day of November 91 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.

27th November 1891

C. Thalasingam Bailiff

Mr A.T. Ambrose Appellants Vakil

O.S.

This Notice was served by C. Thalasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Kali Bee on Wednesday the 25th day of November 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

27th November 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1891 Novr 27th

27.11.

2nd appeal No 250 of 1891

C. R. Karunakara Menaven — Appellant

and

Bhamprasatha Naiker Garu Executor with probate of the will of Raja Vasi Reddy Venkata Lakshmanmall — Respondent

To The Respondent Bhamprasatha Naiker.

Decr 27th

Decr 1st

Decr 8th

The Respondent Bhamprasatha Naiker is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Original although search has been made for him.

Dated 7th December 1891.

C. Rangaram Bailiff.

Mr. C. Sankaran Nair Appellants Vakil

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Bhamprasatha Naiker as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

7th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Decr 7th

7.12.91.

2nd appl No 501 of 1891

Narayana Row — Appellant

and

Attani Krishnaswamy Row — Respondent

To The Respondent Attani Krishnaswamy Row

Decr 27th

Decr 1st

Decr 8th

The Respondent Attani Krishnaswamy Row is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him

dated this 7th December 1891

C. Ponnamall Bailiff

Mr. C. Krishnaswamy Row Appellants Vakil

C. Ponnamall

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Attani Krishnaswamy Row as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

7th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Decr 7th

7.12.91.

L.P. Appeal No 21 of 91 D.F.	D. Lakshminarasimham — Appellant and Somasundram (Minor) Respondent	To The Respondent Somasundram (minor) by his guardian D. Bramarambal the Respondent — D. Bramarambal the guardian of Somasundram (minor) is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 8th December 91 G.P.	O Tholasingam Bailiff	1891 Nov 27th	1891 Dec 1st	1891 Dec 8th	Hon'ble V. Ramayam Aiyar Mr. Lewis Attorneys for Petitioners	O.T.
O.S. C.M.P. No 409 of 1891 G.P.	P. Ragavaloo Chetty and another — Petitioners Appl's in O.S. No 25/91 and Loorie Chetty Rungiah Chetty — Counter Petitioner Resp't in do	To The Counter Petitioner Loorie Chetty Rungiah Chetty	O Tholasingam Bailiff	21st	1st	7th	Mr. T. M. Kenandia Iyer Petitioners Atty	
Suit No 9741 of 1891 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes G.P.	Ungammall — Plaintiff and Authammall and Rageammall and Rayara Moodelly — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Ungammall		25th	1st	9th		

This Notice has not been served on the within named D. Bramarambal alleged to be the guardian of the within named Respondent Somasundram, as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

8th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1891 Dec 8th

8.12.91.

This Notice was served by O Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Loorie Chetty Rungiah Chetty on Tuesday the 1st day of December 1891 by a copy of the notice being delivered to Reddy Branson Roguni, attorney for the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

2nd December 1891

~~pay~~ For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Dec 2nd

2.12.

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Ungammall on Sunday the 6th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of service marked by her in pencil.

7th December 1891

For Robt G. Orr Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Dec 7th

2d Appeal No. 55 of 1891	Seeikanta Deekshathar By Mr. P. Subbramanian — Appellant and Renganatha Taiker and 108 others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Renganathen Taiker.	1891 Decmbr 3d.	1891 Decmbr 8th.	1892 Jany 27th.	The 1st Respondent Renganatha Taiker is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 26 Jany 1892 P. Perumall Bailiff	1892 Jany 26th C.P.
2d Appeal No. 1442 of 1891 C.P.	Mathuradeganatha Tevar and another — Appellants Mr. Robt. G. Orr and two others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Mr. R. G. Orr	3d	5th	18th	Robt. G. Orr Esqr was served on Friday the 18th Decr/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 19th Decr/91 C.P. W. H. Middleton	
Original Side Appeal No. 32 of 1891.	V. Sawmy Auchary By Mr. M. Jaggeeah Pillay — Appellant 2 Defendt. — and — V. Sooraraya Auchary. — Respondent. Plaintiff	To The Respondent. Deeb. 7th	Decbr. 8th	1892 Jany 4th	V. Sooraraya Auchary The Respondent V. Sooraraya Auchary was served personally on Tuesday the 8th day of December/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 9th December 1891 C.P. Tholasingum Bailiff		

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Renganatha Taiker as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
26th January 1892
C.P.
P. Perumaldam
Sheriff
Sig/ R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

1892 Jany 26th
26/1/92

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mr. R. G. Orr on Friday the 18th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.
4th January 1892
C.P.
For P. Ruganadam
Sheriff
Sig/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

Jany 4th
4/1/92

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. Sooraraya Auchary on Tuesday the 8th day of Decr/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
10th December 1891
C.P.
For Robt. G. Orr
Sheriff
Sig/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1891 Decr 10th
10-12-91.

2 Note Appeal No 55 of 1891	Sreekanta Deekshathar By Mr P. Subbramanian — Appellant and Renganatha Taiker and 108 others — Respondents	To The 1 Respondent Renganathen Taiker.	1891 Decmbr 3rd	1891 Decmbr 5th	1892 Jany 27th	The 1st Respondent Renganatha Taiker is not to be found within the local limits of the High court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 26 Jany 1892 E Veeramalla Bailiff	P. Subbramanian Appellant's Vakil
2 Appeal No 1442 of 1891 C.J.	Mathuvadayganatha Tenar and another — Appellants Mr. Robt G. Orr and two others — Respondents	To The 1 Respondent Mr R G Orr	3rd	5th	18th	Robt G. Orr Esqr was served on Friday the 18th Decr/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 19th Decr/91 C.J.	W.H. Middleton
1 Original Side Appeal No 32 of 1891.	V. Sawmy Auchary By Mr M. Jaggeswar Pillay — Appellant 2 Defendt. - and - V. Soobaraya Auchary. — Respondent. Plaintiff	To The Respondent.	1892 Decbr 7th	Decbr 8th	Jany 7th	The Respondent V. Soobaraya Auchary was served personally on Tuesday the 8th day of December/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 9th December 1891 C.J.	O. Tholasingum Sheriff's Bailiff
						This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Renganatha Taiker as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 26th January 1892 C.J.	1892 Jany 26th P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sig/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff 26/1/92
						This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mr. R. G. Orr on Friday the 18th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 4th January 1892 C.J.	Jany 4th For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff 4/1/92
						This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. Soobaraya Auchary on Tuesday the 8th day of Decr/91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 10th December 1891 C.J.	1891 Decr 10th For Robt G. Orr Sheriff Sig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff 10.12.91.

2d Appl. No 1674 of 1891 C.V.	P. Lakshmiah by Atr P. Subramoneya Iyer — Appellant and P. Venkatrayadoo & 3 others — Respondents	To The 4th Respondent Nimmagudda Goammiah	1891 Decr 7th	1891 Decr 9th	1892 Janr 25th	The 4th Respondent Nimmagudda Goammiah was served personally on Friday the 22d January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil on the original Notice. Dated 22d January 1892. C. Singaram Bailiff.
C.R.P. No 439 of 1891 C.V.	Kidangu Bhaskar Naicker by Mr R. Subbramaniem Aiyer — Petitioner and Muthusawmy Pillai and another — Counter Petitioners	To The 2d Counter Petitioner Macha Gunnappa Chetty	8th	10th	19th	The 2d Counter Petitioner Macha Gunnappa Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him Dated 18th January 1892. P. Ponnoosamy Bailiff. C.V.
O.S. Appl. No 29 of 1891 C.V.	Hakim Goolam Asadoola Saheb — Appellant — Plff and Meer Mahomed Ali Saheb Dawr — Respondents — Defts	To The Respondents 1. Meer Mahomed Ali Saheb and 2. Akba Abdul Guffur Saheb	5th	10th	7th	The 1st Respondent Meer Mahomed Ali Saheb is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him and Akba Abdul Guffur Saheb the 2d Respondent was served personally on Wednesday the 6th day of January 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as directed by him. 6th January 92. O. Thalasingum Bailiff. C.V.

Mr P. Subramoneya Iyer Appellant's Vakil

Mr P. Subbramaniem Iyer Petitioner's Vakil

Mr Pereira Counter Petitioner's Vakil

Mr P. Subramoneya Iyer Appellant's Vakil

Mr Pereira Counter Petitioner's Vakil

					1892	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Respondent Nimmagudda Goammiah on Friday the 22d day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. Sd/ P. Ruganadam Sheriff. 22d January 1892. C.V.
					Janry 18th	This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Macha Gunnappa Chetty, as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. Sd/ P. Ruganadam Sheriff. 18th January 1892. C.V.
					January 7th	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respt Akba Abdul Guffur Saheb on Wednesday the 6th day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respt Meer Mahomed Ali Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. For P. Ruganadam Sheriff. Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff. 7th January 1892. C.V.

O.S.
App.l No
30 of 91
L.P.

Kayniappa Naick
Appellant (Defendt)
and
K. Mooneappah Naick
– Respondent
(Plaintiff)

To
The Respondent
K. Mooneappah
Naick

1891 Decr 3d
1891 Decr 11th
1892 Jany 7th

The Respondent K. Mooneappah Naick was served personally on Wednesday the 6th January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil.
Dated 6th January 1892.
C. Singaram
Bailiff.

L.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Mooneappah Naick on Wednesday the 6th day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
6th January 1892
L.P.
For P. Ranganadam
Sheriff
per R. N. Thompson
for Sheriff

1892 January 6th
C.S.
6.1.92

O.S.
Appeal No
31 of
1891
L.P.

T. Tholasi Ammal By
Mr. P. T. Sivasawmy Aiyar
– Appellant
(Plaintiff)

1. T. Chengal Rau
2. C. Ramachendroodoo
and
3. C. Ramakistnadoo
2nd & 3rd Respondents are minors by their mother and guardian C. Ramanjammah – Respondents
2.3.4th Defts

To
The Respondents
1. T. Chengal Rau
2 & 3. C. Ramachendroodoo and C. Ramakistnadoo minors, by their mother C. Ramanjammah.

4th Dec 12th 7th

The 1st Respondent T. Chengal Rau served personally on Wednesday the 16th December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the original Notice and to the C. Ramanjamah mother and guardian of the 2nd & 3rd Respondents could not be found a copy of the Notice on Monday the 4th day of January 1892 was affixed on the outer door of Cynthia Moodelly street Pedda Naick Pettah Madras as stated in the Affidavit filed.
Dated 5th January 1892
P. Peromall
Bailiff

L.P.

This Notice was served by P. Peromall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent T. Chengal Rau on Wednesday the 16th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
16th January 1892
L.P.
For P. Ranganadam
Sheriff
per R. N. Thompson
for Sheriff

1892 January 6th
C.S.
6.1.92

C.M.P.
No 1036
of 1891
L.P.

Arumugum Pillai – Petitioner
and
Bala Prasad Dawr
– Counter Petitioner

The 2nd Counter Petitioner Lal Naicken Jakur Prasad.

10th 14th 14th

The 2nd Counter Petitioner Lal Naicken Jakur Prasad is not to be found within the limits of the High Court Madras
21st January 1892
T. Thelasingam
Bailiff

L.P.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 2nd Counter Petitioner Lal Naicken Jakur Prasad as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for him.
21st January 1892
per P. Ranganadam
Sheriff

1892 January 21st
C.S.
21/1/92

Claim
C.S. No. 569 of 1888

Azum Chund Sowcar — Plaintiff
and
Ahmed Meera Bee Bee & two others — Defendants
and
S. Venkatakrishnama Chetty — Auction Purchaser
and
Ka Khoj Mahomed Cubby — Claimant

	1891	1891	1892
To The Auction Purchaser S. Venkatakrishnama Chetty	Dec. 10	Dec. 14	Jan. 6

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Auction Purchaser S. Venkatakrishnama Chetty on Tuesday the 15th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.
15th December 1891
Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff
For Robt. G. Ow Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1891 December 16th

Alr. S. Krishnama Chetty Claimant's Vakil

2 Original O.S.A. No. 32 of 1892

C. Rungiah Chetty alias Ruganayacula Chetty By Mr. L. R. Viavanathan Iyer — Appellant 3d Defendant
and
S. Narayanasawmy Chetty minor by his guardian ad-litem S. Veerasawmy Chetty on his own behalf and as Representative of the 2d and 3d Respondents deceased — Respondent Respondent on the part of the 1st & 4th Defendants

To
The 4th Respondent S. Narayanasawmy Chetty minor by his guardian S. Veerasawmy Chetty

S. Veerasawmy Chetty the Guardian for the 4th Respondent S. Narayanasawmy Chetty was served on Friday the 18th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated the 19th December 1891
Personall Bailiff
Sd/

	1891	1891	1892
To The 4th Respondent S. Narayanasawmy Chetty minor by his guardian S. Veerasawmy Chetty	Dec. 15	Dec. 16	Jan. 4

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named S. Veerasawmy Chetty alleged to be the guardian of the within named 4th Respondent S. Narayanasawmy Chetty on Friday the 18th day of December 1891 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said S. Veerasawmy Chetty as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
4th January 1892
Sd/ P. Peroomall Bailiff
For P. Ruganadam Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1892 Jan. 4th

Alr. S. Veerasawmy Chetty Appellant's Vakil

Claim
C.S. No. 5408 of 1884 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes

C. Balakrishna Moodelliar — Plaintiff
vs
S. G. Varadarajulu Naidu — Defendant
and
G. Govindu Chetty — Claimant

To
The Plaintiff C. Balakrishna Moodelliar

	1891	1891	1892
	Dec. 15	Dec. 17	Jan. 12

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff C. Balakrishna Moodr. on Saturday the 19th day of December 91 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.
19th December 1891
Sd/ P. Peroomall Bailiff
For P. Ruganadam Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

1892 Jan. 4th

Alr. S. Narayanasawmy Chetty Claimant's Vakil

P. Peroomall

O.S. Appeal No. 28 of 1891 C.P.	Cavaly Narasimooloo Chetty — Appellant — Plaintiff and Alarika Goolam Hoossain Sait and Ismael Sait — Respondents — Defendants	To The 1st & 2d Respts 1. Alarika Goolam Hoossain Sait and 2. Ismael Sait.	1891 Decr 14th	1891 Decr 19th	1892 Janr 25th	This Notice was served by A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Alarika Goolam Hoossain Sait on Tuesday the 5th day of January 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named ~~2d Respt~~ 2d Respondent Ismail Sait alleged to be the adult son of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said 2d Respondent. This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2d Respondent Ismail Sait on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 11th January 1892. P. R. Ringadamum Sheriff. By R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff. C.P.
						1892 January 11th C.P. 11.1.92.
C.M.P. No. 1128 of 1891 C.P. No letter sent with this Petition C.P.	Khusalbhai Jeverbhai By Mr. T. Madhava Row — Petitioner and Lukkumsey and Devichund Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Lukkumsey and Devichund -	21st	24th	Feby 1st	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioners Lukkumsey and Devichund on Tuesday the 26th day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. 27th January 1892. For P. Ringanadamum Sheriff. Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff. C.P.
						January 27th C.P. 27.1.92
Original Side Appeal No. 33 of 1891	Nallappa Jeyappangar By Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Aiyar — Appellant (Plaintiff) and The Secretary of State for India in Council. — Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent The Secretary of State for India in Council through Messrs Barclay, Morgan and Co, Government Solicitors, Madras.	18th	5th	18th	This Notice was served by C. M. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent the Secretary of State for India in Council on Tuesday the 5th day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqr, the Government Solicitor, Madras, as appears by the above endorsement, made by him. 8th January 1892. For P. Ringanadamum Sheriff. Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff. C.P.
						Janr 8th C.P. 8.1.92

Mr. T. Madhava Row for Petitioner

Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Aiyar Mr. T. Madhava Row for Respondent

Mr. W. H. Shaw

D.S. Appl. No. 34 of 1891	A. Aadilakshmammall Saasthiri — Appellants — 2nd & 3rd Defts. and 1. P. S. Venkatachella Moodeliar — Respondents — Applt 1st Defts.	To The 1st Respondent Pannicheri Subbuvencatachella Mudr.	1892 Jany. 7th	1892 Jany. 7th	1892 Feby. 8th

The 1st Respondent Pannicheri Subbuvencatachella Mudelly was served personally on Sunday the 17th January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as sighed by him in pencil on the original notice dated 18th January 1892

P. Peroomall Asstt Sheriff

Jany

3rd Letters Patent Appeal No. 21 of 1891	D. Lakshminarasimham by Hon'ble V. Bashyam Aiyangar — Appellant and Somasundram (minor) — Respondent	To The Respondent Somasundram By his mother & guardian D. Bramarambal	8th	11th	28th

The Respondent Somasundram (minor) was served on Wednesday the 27th day of January 1892 by a copy of the notice being delivered to D. Bramarambal alleged to be mother & guardian of the said Somasundram as marked by her in pencil

To 28th January 92

O. Tholasingam Asstt

Civil Revision Petition No. 494 of 1891	Khuallbhai Jeevabhai By M. T. Madhava Rao. — Petitioner and Luckamsi and Divi Chund — Counter Petitioner	To Luckamsi and Divi Chund.	8th	11th	27th

The Counter-Petitioners Luckamsi and Divi Chund were severally served personally on Tuesday the 26th January 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil

26th January 1892 O. Tholasingam Asstt Bailiff

M. T. Madhava Rao Appellant Petitioner Valid

P. Peroomall Asstt Sheriff

Mr. V. Bashyam Aiyangar Mr. Balajee Rao & Mr. Appellants Vakils

O. T.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Pannicheri Subbuvencatachella Mudaliar on Sunday the 17th day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

19th January 1892

Sign'd P. Ruganadam Sheriff

1892 Jany 21st Fee 21/1/92

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Somasundram on Wednesday the 27th Jany. 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to D. Bramarambal alleged to be the mother & guardian of the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said D. Bramarambal.

28th January 1892

For P. Ruganadam Sheriff

Sign'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Jany 28th Fee 28.1.92

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioners Luckamsi and Devichund on Tuesday the 26th day of January 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

27th January 1892

For P. Ruganadam Sheriff

Sign'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Jany 27th Fee 27.1.92

2d Notice
L. P.
Appeal
No 20
of 1891
L.P.

Appandai Nainar dau
By Mr M.E. Sree Runga
Charlu — Appellant
and
Sree Hari Josi, minor by
his guardian Balakrishna
Metha — Respondent

To
The Respondent
Sree Hari Josi
minor by his
guardian Bala
krishna Metha

1892 Jany 11th
1892 Jany 15th
1892 Jany 27th

Balakrishna Metha the guardian for the Respondent Sree Hari Josi (minor) is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court but at Although search has been made for him.
Dated 26th January 1892
L.P.
Rangaram
Bailiff.

This Notice has not been served on Balakrishna Metha the guardian of the within named Respondent Sree Hari Josi, as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
26th January 1892
L.P.
For P. Rungaradam
Serjt R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1892 January 26th
26/1/92

2d appl
No 1886
of 1891
L.P.

Periya Muthusami Aiyar
by Mr A. Sambamoorti Aiyar
— Appellant
and
Annasami Aiyar & 2 others
Respts

To
The 2d & 3d Respts
2. N. Veerabhadra Aiyar
and
3. Vythianatha Aiyar

Feby 22d
" "

The 2d & 3d Respondents N. Veerabhadra Aiyar & Vythianatha Aiyar were served personally on Thursday the 21st day of January 1892 each by a copy of Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in ink on the Original Notice.
Dated this 21st January 1892
L.P.
O. Peroomall
Bailiff

This Notice was served by O. Peroomall an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d & 3d Respondents N. Veerabhadra Aiyar and Vythianatha Aiyar on Thursday the 21st day of January 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.
22d January 1892
L.P.
Sigd P. Rungaradam
Sheriff

Jany 22d
22/1

2d Appl No 430 of 1890
L.P.

Lakshmana Prasada Lala
By
Mr S. Subramonyam
— Appellant
and
Saiyed Mahidin Hussain Sahib and 2 others — Respondents

To
The 2d Respondent
Saiyed Mahomed Gouse Sahb
22d 25th 16th

The 2d Respondent Saiyed Mahomed Gouse Saib is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him
15th Feb/92
O. Tholasingam
Bailiff
L.P.

This Notice has not been served on the 2d Respondent Saiyed Mahomed Gouse Saib within named as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
16th February 1892
L.P.
For P. Rungaradam
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

Feby 16th

Mr M. E. Sree Runga Charlu Appellants Vakil
Mr A. Sambamoorti Aiyar Appellants Vakil
Mr S. Subramonyam Appellant's Vakil

5 Appeal No 1779 of 1891 L.P.	Periya Muthusamy Aiyar By Mr A. Sambamoorte Aiyer — Appellant and Veerabhadra Aiyer and another — Respondents.	To The Respondents 1. Mr Veera Badra Aiyer and 2. Vaithyanadha Ayer.	1892 Jany 22d	1892 Jany 25th	1892 Feby 1st	The 1st and 2d Respondents Mr. Veerabadra Aiyar and Vaithyanadha Aiyar were served personally on Wednesday the 27th January 1892 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them. Dated: 27th January 1892 C Singaram Bailiff. L.P.
2 Appeal No 1964 of 1891 L.P.	Sundara Aiyar and Mr A. Raja Gopala Aiyar — Appellant and Mr R. G. Orr and 6 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Mr R. G. Orr and 2. Mr E. F. Stranack	26th	28th	29th	Mr E. F. Stranack was served on Wednesday the 3d Feby/92 and Mr R. G. Orr was served on Saturday the 27th idem by copies of the Notice being deld to them respectively as signed by them 29th Feby/92 L.P. A Cooper Bailiff
2 Original Side Appeal No 297 1891 L.P.	Hakim Goolam Assadoola Sahib By Mr K. P. Viswanatha Ayer — Appellant Plaintiff and Meer Mahomed Ali Sahib and another — Respondents Defendants	To The 1st Respondent Meer Mahomed Ali Sahib	19th	1st	3d	The 1st Respondent Meer Mahomed Ali Sahib was served on Monday the 1st day of Feby/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil — dated this 2d Feby/92 O Tholasingam Bailiff L.P.

Mr Sambamoorthy Aiyar Pltff's Vakil

Mr P.R. Sundaram Aiyar & Mr A Rajagopala Aiyar Appellant's Vakils

Mr K.P. Viswanatha Ayer Appellant's Vakil

O.T.

A Cooper

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st & 2d Respondents Veerabhadra Aiyar and Vaidianadha Aiyar on Wednesday the 27th day of January 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.

27th January 1892

For P. Rungasadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

1892 January 27th L.P. J.E. 27.1.92

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Mr E. F. Stranack on Wednesday the 3d day of February 1892 and on the within named 1st Respondent Mr R. G. Orr on Saturday the 27th idem by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

29th February 1892

For P. Rungasadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

Feby 29 L.P. 29/2

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Meer Mahomed Ali Sahib on Monday the 1st day of February 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

2d February 1892

For P. Rungasadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

Feby 2d L.P. 2.2.92.

Appeal No 140 of 1888. L.P.	A. R. L. Lakshmanan Chettiar — By Mr. V. Ranga chariar — Appellant and Periasamy Tevar alias Udayana Tevar and 22 others — Respondents	To The 23d Respdt. Edwin Pulcher Stranack Esqr. by his duly constituted agent Mr Robt Gordon Orr.	1892 Jany 28th	1892 Feby 1st	1892 Feby 15th	Mr Robt Gordon Orr is not to be found although search has been made for him. Abbe Chetty 17/2/92 *Mr E.P. Stranack has been tendered with a copy of the within notice but he declines to accept service thereof. 27th
C. Mis P. No 47 of 1892 L.P. No covering letter rec'd L.P.	Perumal Madaly By Mr. A. Subbramanyam — Petitioner and Abbu Chetty — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty		1st	15th	The Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty was served personally on Sunday the 14th Feby 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 15/2/92 P Peroomall Bailiff
C. Mis P. No 64 of 1892 L.P. No covering letter rec'd L.P.	Annasamy Madali and two others By Mr. A. Subbasamanyam — Petitioners and Abbu Chetty — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty	27th	1st	15th	The Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty was served personally on Sunday the 14th day of February 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 15th Feby 1892 P Peroomall Bailiff

Mr V. Rungachariar
Appellant
Mr A. Subbramanyam
Petitioner
P. Peroomall
Bailiff

This Notice has not been served on the within named Mr Robt Gordon Orr, the duly constituted Agent of the within named 23d Respondent Edwin Pulcher Stranack Esqr. as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him by the bailiff noting he has been tendered to Mr E.P. Stranack but he declines to accept service thereof.
17th Feby 1892
L.P.
For P. Rungaradan
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1892 Feby 17th

This notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty on Sunday the 14th day of February 1892 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
15 February 1892
L.P.
For P. Rungaradan
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1892 Feby 15th

This notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty on Sunday the 14th day of February 1892 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
15 February 1892.
L.P.
For P. Rungaradan
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1892 Feby 15th

2d C.R. P.N. 439 of 1891 L.T.	Kidangu Bhaskara Nactar By Mr. R. Subbramania Ayyar — Petitioner and Muthusami Pillai and another — Counter Petitioners	To The 2d Counter Petitioner Macha Guruvappa Chetty	1892 Filing 3d	1892 Filing 5th	1892 March 3d	The 2d Counter Petitioner Macha Guruvappa Chetty — Petitioner made Guruvappa Chetty was served personally on this the 2d March 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him see pencil. Dated this 2d March 1892 L.T.	O. Thalaiengam Bailiff
2d Appeal No 17 of 1892 L.T.	K. Pitchiredy by his Mr. K.O. Krishna Menon — Appellant and M. Lakshmiraghavayya minor & 5 others — Respdts.	To The 4th & 5th Respondents. 4. Ashraf Oonissa Begam and 5. Oorada Begam Lahiba.	3d	5th	7th	The 4th and 5th Respondents Ashraf Oonissa Begam and Oonda Begam Lahiba were served on Friday the 4th March 1892 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Meer Gulam Alli Sahib alleged to be the brother-in-law of the 4th Respdt and husband of the 5th Respondent as signed by him in pencil. Dated 4th March 1892. L.T.	Singaram Bailiff
C.M.P. No 1033 of 1891 L.T.	Srimanta Raja Yerlagadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur by Mr. C.R. Pattabhirama Ayyar — Petitioner and M. Gridevamma 24 others — Counter Petitioners.	To The 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkataswamy Naidu minor by his guardian Mouvarseni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu.	8th	12th	9th	The guardian M Venkata Govinda Row Naidu is not to be found although Search has been made for him Dated 9th March 1892 L.T.	Ponnomall Bailiff

Mr. R. Subbramania Ayyar
Petitioner's Vakil

Mr. K.O. Krishna Menon
Appellant's Vakil

Mr. C.R. Pattabhirama Ayyar
Petitioner's Vakil

L.T.

1892 March 3d L.T.	This Notice was served by A. Thalaingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Macha Guruvappa Chetty on Wednesday the 2d day of March 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 3d March 1892 L.T.	For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sig'd R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff
March 7th L.T.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th and 5th Respondents Ashraf Oonissa Begam and Oonda Begam Lahiba on Friday the 4th day of March 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Meer Gulam Alli Sahib alleged to be the brother-in-law of the said 4th Respdt and the husband of the said 5th Respdt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Meer Gulam Alli Sahib 7th March 1892 L.T.	For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sig'd R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff
March 9th L.T.	This Notice has not been served on the within named Mouvarseni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu alleged to be the guardian of the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkata-swamy Naidu as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 9th March 1892 L.T.	For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sig'd R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

2ds							
C. M.	Arumugam Pillai	To The 2d Counter	1892	1892	1892	Mr. C. Rangachariar.	As the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Lal Naicken Tawker Prasad could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Sunday the 21st day of February 1892 affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 2 in Nagojee Row street alias Eda street Triplicane in which the said Lal Naicken Tawker Prasad is said to be ordinarily residing.	1892
P. No.	by Mr. C. Rangachariar	Petitioner	Feby	Feby	Feby	Petitioners Vakil.		February
10367	Petitioner	Lal Naicken Tawker	12th	15th	24th		22d	
1891.	and	Prasad.	The 2d Counter petitioner Lal Naicken Tawker Prasad could not be found a copy of the notice was affixed on Sunday the 21st February 1892 at his house No. 2 in Nagojee Row Street alias Eda Street Triplicane Madras.				C.P.	
C.P.	Bala Prasad and another.		Dated 22d February 1892					
	Counter Petitioners.		C. Singaradan. Bailiff.					
C M	Balagouri Vallabha Tenar						This Notice was served by C. W. B. Shaw an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Counter Petitioner Mr. C. F. Shanack on Thursday the 25th day of February 1892 by a copy of the Notice & being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.	March
P No.	by Mr. D Gopalasawmy Aiyangar							1st
84	Petitioner							C.P.
of		To						
1892	and	The 4th Counter	17th	20th	March 9th			
C.P.	Singa Dorai and 3 Others	Petitioner &					1st March 1892	
	Counter Petitioners	Shanack Esqr.	Mr. C. F. Shanack was served on Thursday the 25th Feby/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the Original. 25/2/92 C.P.				C.P.	
Claim								
69	Richard Augustus Willis and	To					This Notice was served by me Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on Richard Augustus Willis the 1st Plff. on Tuesday the 23d Feby/92 by a copy of the notice being delivered to Cowasjee Eduljee the alleged Attorney of the 1st Plff as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Cowasjee Eduljee in ink	Feby.
No.	Cowasjee Eduljee — Plaintiffs	The 1st Plaintiff						25
156	and							C.P.
of 1891	Iruppaty Valala Rama Row	Richard Augustus	19th	22d	1st			
C.P.	Pentaler and another —	Willis						
	Defendants							
	and							
	V. Mungammah — Claimant							
							Dated 24th Feby/92	
							C.P.	
							(Sd). Andrew Cooper. Sheriffs Bailiff.	
							For P. Ranganadam Sheriff	
							Dig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	

Claim			1892	1892	1892		
	Willis	To	Feby	Feby	March		
C S	Richard Augustus and another — Defendants	The 2d Plaintiff	19th	22d	1st		
No 150	and	Cowasjee Edulje					
of 1891	Tsupaty Velala Rama Row						
C.C.	Pantulu and another — Defendants						
	and						
	V. Mungammah — Claimant						
		To					
do	do	The 1st Plaintiff					
C.C.		Richard Augustus Willis.	"	"	"		
	and						
	J. Rama Row Claimant						
		To					
do	do	The 2d Plaintiff					
C.C.		Cowasjee Edulje	"	"	"		
	and						
	J. Rama Row — Claimant						

V. Lodagha Chavan
A Coutts

A Coutts

This Notice was served by me Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on Cowasjee Edulje the 2d Plaintiff on Tuesday the 23d February 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him in ink.

24th Feby 1892

C.C.

Sd/ Andrew Cooper
Sheriffs Bailiff
For P. Ruganadan
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dr. Sheriff

1892 Feby 25th C.C.

This Notice was served by me Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on Richard Augustus Willis the 1st Plaintiff on Tuesday the 23d Feby/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Cowasjee Edulje the alleged Attorney of the 1st Plaintiff as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Cowasjee Edulje in ink.

24th February 1892

C.C.

Sd/ Andrew Cooper
Sheriffs Bailiff
For P. Ruganadan
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dr. Sheriff

Feby 25th C.C.

This Notice was served by me Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on Cowasjee Edulje the 2d Plaintiff on Tuesday the 23d February 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him in ink.

24th February 1892

C.C.

Sd/ Andrew Cooper
Sheriffs Bailiff
For P. Ruganadan
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dr. Sheriff

Feby 25th C.C.

Appeal No 55 of 1891	Sreekanta Dheekshathan By Mr S. Subbramaniem — Appellant and Rungavatha Tawker and 109 others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondt Rungavadha Tawker	1892 Feby 23d	1892 Feby 25th	1892 March 15th	

The 1st Respondent Rungavadha Tawker was personally served on Saturday the 12th March 1892 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil. (Signed) Bairagee Bailiff

Dated 12th March 1892

C.V.

C.P.C. Mics. No 109 of 1892	C. Candaswami Mudaliar — Petitioner Respt in D.C. Apl 35/91 and 1. Bysani Madhava Chetty and 2. Bysani Rangiah Chetty Counter Petitioners Appellants and	To The Counter Petitioners 1. Bysani Madhava Chetty and 2 Bysani Rangiah Chetty	Madrs March 2d	March 7th	14th	

B. Madhavaiah was served on Sunday the 13th March/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivd to B. Rungiah Chetty for B. Madhava Chetty B. Rungiah Chetty was personally served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivd to him as signed by him & for the said B. Madhava Chetty in pencil 14/3/92 (Signed) P. Veeromall Bailiff

C.V.

2d Appl. No 430 of 1890	Lakshmana Prasada Lala by Mr N. Subbramaniem — Appellant and Saiyed Muhideen Hussen Sahib (dead) & 2 others — Respondents	To The 3d Respondt Saiyed Mahomed Gouse Saheb	7th	9th	23d	

How 3d Respondent Saiyed Mahomed Gouse Saheb was served personally on Tuesday the 22d day of March/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 22d March/92 (Signed) O. Tholasingum Bailiff

C.V.

Mr P. Saminada Chetty Mr S. Subbramaniem Appellant's Vakil

Mr N. Subramaniem Appellant's Vakil

C.V.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Rungavadha-dha Tawker on Saturday the 12th day of March/92 by a copy of the ~~same~~ Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th March 1892

For P. Rungavadam Sheriff
Sig/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.V.

1892 March 14th

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Bysani Madhava Chetty on Sunday the 13th day of March 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Bysani Rungiah Chetty alleged to be the son of the said 1st Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 2d Counter Petitioner.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner Bysani Rungiah Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th March 1892

For P. Rungavadam Sheriff
Sig/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.V.

March 14th

This Notice was served by O. Thosasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3d Respondent Saiyed Mahomed Gouse Saheb on Tuesday the 22d day of March 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

22d March 1892

For P. Rungavadam Sheriff
Sig/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.V.

March 22d

Claim C.S. No 12009 of 1890 on the file of the M. C.S. Cannes E.P.	Mr Alfred Champion and Mr James Short ——— Plaintiffs and Mahomed Latheef Hoosain Daik ——— Defendant and To Mr Alfred Champion Mahomed Abdulla Daik Claimant	To The 1st Plff Mr Alfred Champion	1892 March 5th	1892 March 9th	1892 March 16th
do	——— do ———	To The 2d Plff Mr James Short	"	"	"
Pauper Original & Appeal No 1 of 1892 E.P.	Cumaka Teagaroya Moodelly in person ——— Appellant (Plaintiff) and Logananda Moodelly and two others ——— Respondents (Defendants)	To The Respondent 1. Logananda Moodelly 2. Rajoo Moodelly and 3. Gopaul Moodelly	2d	10th	April 4th

The 1st 2d and 3d Respondents Logananda Moodelly Rajoo Moodelly and Gopaul Moodelly were personally served on Tuesday the 22d March 1892 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them all signed by them in person. Dated 23d March 1892.

E Singaram
Bailiff.

Mr A. Tankaya Pandia Pillai
Claimants Vakil

do

Appellant in person

Mr Middleton

This Notice was served by me William Henry Middleton an Offr of the Sheriff on Alfred Champion Esqr the within named 1st Plaintiff on Thursday the 10th day of March/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to James Short Esqr the within named 2d Plaintiff and a Partner with the said 1st Plaintiff in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short Solicitors as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said James Short for aforesaid Alfred Champion

Sigd W. H. Middleton
Bailiff

Madras 10th March/92

For P. Rungaaradam
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E.P.

This notice was served by me William Henry Middleton an officer of the Sheriff on James Short Esq the within named 2d Plff on Thursday the 10th day of March 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgement of service signed by the above acknowledgement of service signed by him in ink.

Sd W. H. Middleton.
Bailiff.

For P. Rungaaradam.
Sheriff.

Madras 10th March 1892

Dy. R. A. Thompson.
Dy. Sheriff.

E.P.

This Notice was served by E. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Logananda Moody, Rajoo Moody and Gopaul Moody on Tuesday the 22d day of March/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

For P. Rungaaradam
Sheriff

23d March 1892

Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

E.P.

1892 March 11th

March 11th

E.P.

March 23rd

E.P.

23/3

Appl. No. 18 of 1892 C.P.	M. Sadagopachari By Mr. K. Lakshmanaswamy — Appellant and P. Sitapati Naidu — Respondent	To The Respondent P. Sitapati Naidu	1892 March 10th	1892 March 12th	1892 July 18th	The Respondent P. Sitapati Naidu was served personally on Monday the 28th day of March/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 30th March/92 O. Tholasingam Bailiff C.P.
Original Side Appeal No. 2 of 1892 C.P.	G. Lobhrayalu Chetty By Mr. C.V. Sundaram Sastriar — Appellant (Plaintiff) and G. Mahadeva Chetty and another — Respondents (1st 2nd Defendants)	To 1. G. Mahadeva Chetty and 2. G. Varadarajulu Chetty	April 8th	17th	April 8th	G. Mahadeva Chetty & G. Varadarajulu Chetty the 1st 2nd Respondents were served personally on Saturday the 2nd April/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. O. Tholasingam Bailiff July
2 Appeal No. 259 of 1892 C.P.	Subbramanya Gurukkal By Mr. N. Subbramanyam — Appellant and Kanthasawmi Gurukkal — Respondent	To The Respondent Kanthasawmi Gurukkal	21st	24th	14th	The Respondent-Kanthasawmi Gurukkal was personally served on Monday the 2nd day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 2nd May 1892. C. Singaram Bailiff.

S. Subbramanyam Appellant's Vakil

K. Lakshmanaswamy Appellant's Vakil

C.V. Sundaram Sastriar Appellant's Vakil

O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Sitapati Naidu on Monday the 28th day of March 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 30th March 1892 C.P.	For P. Rungaradam Sheriff Sig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1892 March 30th 393.
This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2nd Respondents G. Mahadeva Chetty and G. Varadarajulu Chetty on Saturday the 2nd day of April/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively. 4th April 1892 C.P.	For P. Rungaradam Sheriff Sig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	April 4th
This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Kanthasawmi Gurukkal on Monday the 2nd day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 4th May 1892 C.P.	For P. Rungaradam Sheriff Sig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	May 4th

2d Appe. No 1964 of 1891	Adappa Chetty by Mr P. R. Sundara Aiyar — Appellant and Mr R. G. Orr & 6 others — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent E. F. Stranack Esq as the legal representative of the deceased 3d Resp. Mr J. Ryan.	1892 March 29th	1892 March 30th	1892 April 20th	1892 April 4th	
		Mr E. F. Stranack was served personally on Friday the 1st April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on 1/4/92					
23d Notice & Appeal No 140/88 of	A. R. L. Lashmanan Chetty by Mr V. Runga Charier — Appellant and Periasamy Tevar alias Udayan Tevar and 22 others — Respondents.	To The 23d Respondent Edwin Fulcher Stranack Esq Executor to the Estate effects of J. Ryan Esq deceased and Secy & Treas Commercial Bank Madras.	March 28th	April 2nd	April 7th	April 5th	
		Mr E. F. Stranack was served personally on Sunday the 2nd April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by himself. 5/4/92					
5th Notice 2nd Appeal No 801 of 1891.	Narayana Row by Mr C. Krishnasawmy Row. Appellant and R. Krishnasawmy Row Respondent	To The Respondent R. Krishnasawmy Row of Triplicane or Sreerungam Choultry & care of J. S. Sherlock Hubbard Esq Chief Public Works Accts Office Chepauk near Triplicane.	31st	2nd	12th	April 6th	
		The Respondent R. Krishnasawmy Row was served personally at Chepauk on Monday the 4th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. Dated this 5th April 1892					

Mr P. R. Sundara Aiyar
Appellant's Vakil.

Mr. V. Runga Charier
Appellant's Vakil.

C. Krishnasawmy Row
Appellant's Vakil.

P. Peroomall
Bailiff

The Notice was served by C. W. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Mr E. F. Stranack on Friday the 1st day of April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

For P. Ringanadum
Sheriff
4th April 1892
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E. F.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 23d Respondent Mr Edwin Fulcher Stranack on ~~Sunday~~ Monday the 4th day of April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

For P. Ringanadum
Sheriff
5th April 1892
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E. F.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent R. Krishnasawmy Row on Monday the 4th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him personally at Chepauk, Madras, as appears by the endorsement made by him.

For P. Ringanadum
Sheriff
5th April 1892
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E. F.

C.M.P. No 322 of 1892 C.V.	A. Comasundara Moodr. by Mr P.R. Sundara Iyer Petitioner. and Abbu Chetty the next friend of minor Ammakannu Ammal. Counter Petitioner.	To The Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty.	1892 March 31	1892 April 2nd	1892 April 20th	This Notice has not been served on the within named Abbu Chetty the next friend of the within named Counter Petitioner Ammakannu Ammal as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 20th April 1892. For O. Ruganadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff C.V.
		Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty The Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 20th April 1892. C.V.		Subbaraya Chetty		1892 April 20th C.V. Sd.
O.S. Appeal No 6 of 1892 C.V.	P. Gooroonatham Chetty by Messrs Champion and Short — appellant — Defendant and The Bank of Madras Respondents (Plaintiff)	To The Bank of Madras	31st	4th	2nd	This Notice was served by C.W.B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on Mr J. Matheson Secretary and Treasurer Bank of Madras on Tuesday the 5th day of April 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 6th April 1892. Dy. Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff C.V.
		Mr J. Matheson Secretary and Treasurer Bank of Madras on Tuesday the 5th day of April/92 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in Mr. On the Original Notice. 6th April 1892. Chas. W.B. Shaw Bailiff				1892 April 6th C.V. Sd.
O.S. Appl. No 7 of 1892 C.V.	Muhammed Alim Oollah Sahib by Messrs Brandon & Branson — Appellants — Plffs — and The Right Honble the Secretary of State for India in Council — Respondents — Defendants	To The Respondent The Right Honble the Secretary of State for India in Council.	31st March	6th April	2nd May	This Notice was served by C.W.B. Shaw an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council on Thursday the 7th day of April 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr Ernest Barclay the Govt Solicitor, Madras, as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 7th April 1892. Dy. Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff C.V.
		The Right Honble the Secy of State for India in Council was on Thursday the 7th April/92 served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the Govt Solicitor Ernest Barclay as appears by the endorsement on the original. 7/4/92 C.V.				April 7th C.V.

Messrs Brandon & Branson Appellants Attorneys

Chas. W.B.

Messrs Champion & Short Appellants Attorneys

Chas. W.B.

O.S.

6

C.S.
Appeal
No.
97
1892.
C.P.

Kader Mohideen Lab. By
Mr. M. Venkata Ramiah
Chetty —— Appellant.
Plaintiff

and

1. C. Thirumala Naidu
and
2. C. Audikasavalu Naidu
minor by his guardian C.
Thirumala Naidu the 1st
Defendant —— Respondents
Defendants

To
The Respondents
1. C. Thirumala
Naidu
and
2. C. Audikasa-
valu Naidu,
minor, by his guardian
C Thirumala
Naidu the 1st
Defendant

1892 April 4th

The 1st Respondent C. Thirumala Naidu was served personally on Thursday the 14th April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

The 2nd Respondent C. Audikasavalu Naidu (minor) on Thursday the 14th April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to C. Thirumala Naidu alleged to be the adult Mother & Guardian of the said C. Audikasavalu Naidu as signed in pencil by the said C. Thirumala Naidu dated the 20th April 1892

C.P.

O. Thotasecyam
Bailiff

1892 April 7th

1892 May 4th

C.S.
Appeal
No. 3
of 1892
C.P.

Kotha Kamatchee Ammall
by Messrs Branson & Branson
— Appellant
(Plff).

and

Kotha Sashammall &
4 others. — Respondents
(Deft)

To The Respondents
1. Kotha Sashammall
2. Papparthi Soobbaroya
Chetty
3. Papparthi Goorro-
vappah Chetty.
4. Gooram Ponniah
Chetty
and
5. Koomaragoonta
Paupiah Chetty.

1892 April 6th

The 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 Respondents Kotha Sashammall, Papparthi Soobbaroya Chetty, Papparthi Goorrovappah Chetty, Gooram Ponniah Chetty and Koomaragoonta Paupiah Chetty were served personally on Monday the 18th day of April 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil on the original Notice dated this 20th April 1892

C.P.

P. Proomall
Bailiff

1892 April 8th

Mr. Venkata Ramiah Chetty
Appellant
C.S.W.

Messrs Branson & Branson
Appellants' Attys.
Proomall

1892 April 25th

C.P.

This Notice was served by O. Thotasengam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent C. Thirumala Naidu on Thursday the 14th day of April/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent C. Audikasavalu Naidu on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent C. Thirumala Naidu alleged to be the guardian of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 1st Respondent.

For P. Renganadam
Sheriff

25th April 1892

C.P.

I/ser R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

1892 April 25th

C.P.

This Notice was served by P. Proomall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 2nd 3rd 4th and 5th Respondents K. Sashammall, P. Soobbaroya Chetty, P. Goorovappah Chetty, G. Ponniah Chetty and K. Paupiah Chetty on Monday the 18th day of April 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

For P. Renganadam
Sheriff

25th April 1892

C.P.

I/ser R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

			1892 March	1892 April	1892 May	
Original Side Appeal No. 4 of 1892.	The Hindu National Bank Permanent Savings Branch (Limited) and Carrying on business at No. 36 Sykes Mendelly Street Chintadripet. Madras By Mr. P.R. Sivangunana Mood: — Appellant (Plff) and M. Alankarammall — Respondent (Defendant)	To the Respondent Mr. Alankarammall	30th	8th	2nd	

The Respondent Mr Alankaram mall was personally served on Friday the 8th April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her at market by her in pencil.
Dated 8th April 1892.
C. Singaram
Bailiff.

2nd Notice C.R.O. No. 1 of 1892	G. Kondiah by Messrs Ternunjora Sawmy Pillay & Co: — Petitioner and S. Ramalingayya Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner G. Ramalingayya	April 9th	April 12th	April 27th	

The counter-petitioner G Ramalingayya is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him.
Dated 27th April 1892.
C. Singaram
Bailiff.

2nd Notice 2nd Appeal No. 1680 of 1891.	Subbanna Aiyan By R. Sadagopachari — Appellant and Mr R. G. Orr & 2 others — Respts	To The 3rd Respdt E. F. Stranack Esqr authorized Agent to Sivagangai estate executor & legal representative of the deceased 2nd Respondent named Mr J. Ryan		April 9th	April 12th	April 20th

The 3rd Respondent E F Stranack Esqr was served personally on Wednesday the 13th April 1892 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as Engineer Stranack Esqr
Dated 13th April 1892.
A Cooper.

Mr R. Sharrock Appellant
A Cooper

Mr P. R. Sivangunana Pillay & Co Appellants Vakil
Mr Sharrock Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent M. Alankaram mall on Friday the 8th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.	20th April 1892	For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1892 April 20th
This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner G. Ramalingayya as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.	27th April 1892	For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	April 27th
This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent E. F. Stranack Esquire on Wednesday the 13th day of April 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.	13th April 1892	For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	April 13th

Original Side Appeal No 8 of 1892. C.P.	P. Ramiah Naidu minor and two others By Mr. C. Mahadava Iyer — Appellants (1st, 2nd & 3rd Defts.) and P. Venkatarathnam Naidu by his guardian P. Venkatanarasu Naidu — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent P. Venkatarathnam Naidu by his guardian P. Venkatanarasu Naidu	1892 April 7th	1892 April 13th	1892 May 6th	1892 April 27th	
							P. Venkatanarasu Naidoo the Guardian for the Respondent P. Venkatarathnam Naidoo was served on Wednesday the 13th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. C. Perumall Bailiff. Dated 20th April 1892. C.P.
C.R.P. No 214 of 1892. C.P.	Subramanya Gurukkal by Mr. N. Subramaniam — Petitioner and Kanthasamy Gurukkal Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Kanthasamy Gurukkal	11th	20th	5th		
							The Counter-Petitioner Kanthasamy Gurukkal was personally served on Thursday the 5th May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 5th May 1892. C. Tholasingam Bailiff
O.S.A. No 10 of 1892 C.P.	T. V. Tooljaram Row By Mr. R. Baliga Row — Appellant Plff and T. V. Lutchmana Row 2 Respts Defts	To The Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row	4th	20th	4th		
							The Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row was personally served on Monday the 2nd May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 2nd May 1892. C.P. C. Singaram Bailiff

C. Mahadava Iyer Appellants Vakil

C. Perumall

Mr. N. Subramaniam Petitioners Counsel.

Mr. R. Baliga Row Respondents Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Perumall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Venkatarathnam Naidu on Wednesday the 13th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Venkatanarasu Naidu alleged to be the guardian of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Venkatanarasu Naidu.

27th April 1892. C.P.

For P. Ringanadan Sheriff
Dpty R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1892 April 27th

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Kanthasamy Gurukkal on Thursday the 5th day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

5th May 1892. C.P.

For P. Ringanadan Sheriff
Dpty R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

May 5th C.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row on Monday the 2nd day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

2nd May 1892. C.P.

For P. Ringanadan Sheriff
Dpty R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

May 2nd C.P.

C.R.P. No. 201 of 1892 S.A. No. 430 of 90 C.J.	N. Subbramaniyan Counsel was appointed as a Judge of Small Cause Court Madras.	To Latchmana Prasada Lala	1892 April 13th	1892 April 21st	3 weeks time allowed for appointing another.	Bonnaud	"	This Memorandum was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Latchmana Prasada Lala on Wednesday the 27th day of April 1892 by a copy of the same being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 29th April 1892 C.J. For P. Renganadan Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1892 April 29th Sd
			Latchmana Prasada Lala was served personally on Wednesday the 27th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Memorandum being delivered to him as signed by him in penile on the Original 28th April 1892 C.J.						
			P. Peroomall Bailiff						
C.R.P. Nos. 136 to 145 of 246/91	— Do —	To Roya Raja Eswara Dass Dayavunth Bahadur	do	do	do		"	This Memorandum was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Roya Raja Eswara Dass Dayavunth Bahadur on Monday the 25th Day of April 1892 by a copy of the same being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 28th April 1892 C.J. For P. Renganadan Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	April 28th Sd
			Roya Raja Eswara Dass Dayavunth Bahadur was served personally on Monday the 25th April 92 by a copy of the Memorandum being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the Original 25/4/92 C.J.						
			P. Peroomall Bailiff						
Second Appeal No. 833 of 1890 C.J. 2 copies only were furnished	P. Ramasamy Mudaliar Vakil, deceased.	To 1. Munisamy Naicken for himself and as guardian for the minors 2. Chinna Munisamy Naicken 3. Vijaya Naicken and 4. Narayanasamy Naicken	13th	21st	2 weeks time is allowed you for appointing another			A Copy of this Memorandum was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Munisamy Naicken for himself and as guardian of the within named Chinna Munisamy Naicken and Vijaya Naicken and another Copy on the within named Narayanasamy Naicken on Friday the 29th day of April 1892 as appears by the above endorsement to me thereon respectively made by them respectively. For P. Renganadan Sheriff 3rd May 1892 C.J. Sergt R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	May 3rd Sd
			Munisamy Naicken for himself and as guardian for the minors Chinna Munisamy Naicken and Vijaya Naicken and Narayanasamy Naicken were served personally on Friday the 29th April 92 by two copies of the Memorandums being delivered to them respectively as signed by them in pencil C.J.						
			P. Peroomall Bailiff						

Appeal Against Order No 44 of 1892. L.P.	Chenchamma by M. C. Ramachendra Row Sab ——— Appellant and K. Venkatasayya ——— Respondent	To The Respondent K. Venkatasayya	1892 April 13	1892 April 21	1892 July 18	The Respondent K. Venkatasayya was served personally on Wednesday the 18 day of May 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in Council Dated this 20 day of May 92. C.P.	O Tholasingum Bailiff			
Claim C.P. No 53 of 1872. L.P.	Theroovengadasawmy Naicker - Plaintiff and Appasvoo Naick - Defendant and Appasvoo Naick - Claimant	To The Plaintiff Theroovengadasawmy Naicker	22	23	May 4					
2 Appeal Nos 515 to 522 of 1890 L.P.	N. Subbramaniyam Counsel appointed as a Judge of Small Causes Court Madras -	To Lakshmana prasad lala	23	26	3 weeks time is allowed for appeal another -	Lakshmana Prasad lala was served personally on Wednesday the 27th day of April 1892 by a copy of the Memorandum being delivered to him as signed by him in Council on the Original dt. 25th April 1892 C.P.	P. Peroomall Bailiff			

R. Balaya Row
Claimant's Pleader

Mr. C. Ramachendra Row
Appellant's Pleader

O.T.

O.T.

Appeal

1892	1892	1892
July	July	July
16	16	18
ac	ac	ac
W	W	W
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Venkatasayya on Wednesday the 18 day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

16 July 1892

C.P.

For P. Ruganadam Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum, an Off[r] of the Sheriff on the within named D[ft] Theroovengadasawmy Naicker on Tuesday the 26 day of April 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil.

28 April 1892

C.P.

Sig[d] O. Tholasingum Bailiff
For P. Ruganadam Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

May
3
ac
W
[illegible]

This Memorandum was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Lakshmana Prasad Lala on Wednesday the 27 day of April 1892 by a copy of the same being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

29 April 1892

C.P.

For P. Ruganadam Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

April
29
ac
W
Sal

Original Side Appeal No 11 of 1892.

C.V.

M. Chinnasaumy Moodelly By Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant (2nd Plaintiff)

The Advocate General for the Presidency of Madras and two others — Respondents (Defendants.)

To

The Respondents.

1. The Advocate General for the Presidency of Madras
2. Annathay Dorasaumy Mudelly and
3. Assarappen Appasaumy Paranjothee Mudelly.

1892 April 25th

The 1st Respondent J. H. Spring Branson Esqr the Advocate general for the Presidency of Madras was served on Thursday the 5th day of May 1892 and the 3rd Respondent Assarappen Appasaumy Paranjothee Mudelly was served on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892, and by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them.

The 2nd Respondent Annathay Dorasaumy Mudelly could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892 at his house No 96 in Eastern Mada Church Street Royapooram Madras in which he is said to be residing.

Dated 22nd July 1892. Vijiyaraga Naidu Bailiff

Please serve this notice sincerely to the 3rd Respt or Messrs Branson & Branson vide letter from the Attys hereof 21st July 92 recd on 22nd July 92.

1892 July 23rd

This Notice was served by C. Ingaraman an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st Respondent J. H. Spring Branson Esq the within named Advocate General for the Presidency of Madras on Thursday the 5th day of May 1892 and on the within named 3rd Respondent Assarappen Appasaumy Paranjothee Mudelly on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

As the within named 2nd Respondent Annathay Dorasasaumy Mudelly could not be found, a copy of the said Notice was on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892, affixed on the outer door No 96 in East Mada Church Street Royapooram, Madras, in which the said 2nd Respondent is said to be residing.

23rd July 1892

For P. Rungaradan Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.V.

Pauper 4

Original Side Appeal No 5 of 1892.

C.V.

P. Cunniah Naidu and two others — Appellants (Plaintiff)

and

T. Venkatamma alias Ammalamma and two others — Respondents (Defendants)

To

The Respondents

1. T. Venkatamma alias Ammalamma
2. P. Kanakammal alias Akkammal and
3. P. Narayanasamy Naidu

1892 April 28th

The 1st Respondent J. H. Spring Branson Esqr the Advocate general for the Presidency of Madras was served on Thursday the 5th day of May 1892 and the 3rd Respondent Assarappen Appasaumy Paranjothee Mudelly was served on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892, and by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them.

The 2nd Respondent Annathay Dorasaumy Mudelly could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892 at his house No 96 in Eastern Mada Church Street Royapooram Madras in which he is said to be residing.

Dated 22nd July 1892. Vijiyaraga Naidu Bailiff

Please serve this notice sincerely to the 3rd Respt or Messrs Branson & Branson vide letter from the Attys hereof 21st July 92 recd on 22nd July 92.

1892 May 7th

The 1st 2nd Respondents T. Venkatamma alias Ammalamma and P. Narayanasaumy Naidu were served personally on Tuesday the 3rd day of May 92 being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. The 2nd Respondent P. Kanakammal alias Akkammal could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Wednesday the 4th day of May 1892 at her house No 74 in Chella pillayar Covil Street Pudupakam Madras.

Dated this 4th May 1892

Veeroomall Bailiff

1892 July 25th

The 1st Respondent J. H. Spring Branson Esqr the Advocate General for the Presidency of Madras was served on Thursday the 5th day of May 1892 and the 3rd Respondent Assarappen Appasaumy Paranjothee Mudelly on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

As the within named 2nd Respondent Annathay Dorasasaumy Mudelly could not be found, a copy of the said Notice was on Thursday the 21st day of July 1892, affixed on the outer door No 96 in East Mada Church Street Royapooram, Madras, in which the said 2nd Respondent is said to be residing.

23rd July 1892

For P. Rungaradan Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.V.

1892 July 23rd

C.V.

This Notice was served by P. Perumal an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 2nd 3rd Respondents T. Venkatamma alias Ammalamma and P. Narayanasaumy Naidu on Tuesday the 3rd day of May 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

As the within named 2nd Respondent P. Kanakammal alias Akkammal could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Wednesday the 4th day of May 92 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No 74 in Chellapillayar Covil Street, Pudupakam Madras in which the said 2nd Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

5th May 1892

For P. Rungaradan Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

May 5th

C.V.

Sd

C.V.

2d Notice

2d Appl. No. 1493 of 1891	Tharadi & 5 others By Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Saib — Appellants and Venkatavarodarajulu Naidu & 3 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1st, 3d & 4th 1. Venkatavarada Rajulu Naidu 3. Venkata Rangam Naidu and 4. Venkatapathi Naidu	1892 May 2d	1892 May 5th	1892 July 11th	This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st, 3d & 4th Respondts Venkatavarada Rajulu Naidu, Venkata Rangam Naidu and Venkatapathi Naidu as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 14th July 1892 C.J. For P. Ringanadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1892 July 14th
Substituted Service 4th Notice 2d Appl. No. 1014 of 1891 C.V.	Venkatesa Naicker & another By Mr. A.E. Rencontre — Appellants and Gulori Begum Lahiba & another — Respondts	To The 2d Respondent Kadija Beegum	Venkatavarada Rajulu Naidu & Venkatapathi Naidu were severally not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for them. 13th July 1892 C.V.	do	11th	At the within named 2d Respondent Kadija Beegum could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Thursday the 2d day of June 1892, affixed by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 2 in Abbrama Moodely Street, Mylapore, in which the said Kadija Beegum is said to be ordinarily residing. 14th July 1892. C.J. For P. Ringanadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	July 14th
Original Side Appeal No. 12 of 1892 C.V.	P. Kamiah Naidu minor by his guardian the 2d Appellant and two others — Appellants By Mr. A.E. Rencontre — Defendants and — P. Venkatarathnum Naidu minor by his guardian Venkatanarasoo Naidu — Respondent P.S.F.	To The Respondent P. Venkatarathnum Naidu, minor by his guardian Venkatanarasoo Naidu	5th	6th	August 8th	Venkatanarasoo Naidoo the Guardian for the Respondent P. Venkatarathnum Naidoo was served on Saturday the 7th day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated this 9th May 1892 C. Peroomall Bailiff C.J.						This Notice was served by P. Peroomall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Venkatanarasoo Naidu the guardian of the within named Respondent P. Venkatarathnum Naidu on Saturday the 7th day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 21st July 1892 C.J. For P. Ringanadam Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	July 21st C.V. CV to SAS 21/7.

for R. Ramachendra Row Saib Appellants Vakil
for R. & Appadorai Mudaliar Respondents Vakil
C. Peroomall
O.J.

O.S. 16. M.P. No. 20 of 1892 E.G.

P. Ramiah Naidu, minor by his guardian, the 2d Pet. and two others By Mr. M. E. Appadorai Mood. — Petitioners (Appl. in O.S. of 92)

and

P. Venkatanathnum Naidu minor by his guardian Venkatanarasoo Naidu — Counter-Petitioner (Resp. in — do)

To The Counter Petitioners P. Venkatanathnum Naidu by his guardian Venkatanarasoo Naidu.

1892 May 5d

1892 May 6h

1892 July 25th

Venkatanarasoo Naidoo the Guardian for the Respondent P. Venkatanathnam Naidoo was served on ~~the~~ Saturday the 7th day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil dated the 9th May 1892 by P. Perumall Bailiff

E.G.

Mr. H. E. Appadorai for Petitioners

E. Branch

This Notice was served by P. Perumall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Venkatarasoo Naidu the Guardian of the within named Counter-Petitioner P. Venkatanathnum Naidu on Saturday the 9th day of May/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

For P. Ramanadan Sheriff

20th July 1892

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

E.G.

1892 July 20th

Cl (Finished) 20/7

O.S. Civil M.P. No. 21 of 1892 E.G.

The Bank of Madras. By Messrs. Barclay, Morgan and Co. — Petitioners

Respondents in O.S. Appl. 4/92

and

P. Goorroonathaum Chetty — Counter-Petitioners

To The Counter Petitioners P. Goorroonathaum Chetty, through his Attorneys Messrs. Champion & Short

May 5th ~~July~~ 7th

May 7th

July 11th

On Monday the 20th June/92 a copy of this Notice was tendered to Mr. Alfred Champion a partner in the firm of Messrs. Champion & Short Attorneys for P. Goorroonathaum Chetty Counter Petitioner but the said Mr. Champion having refused to accept service of Notice on him, the said copy was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the Office of Messrs. Champion & Short.

14th July/92 E.G.

Messrs. Barclay, Morgan & Co Petitioners Proctors

Messrs. Champion & Short Counter Petitioners Attorneys

Mr. Alfred Champion

On Monday the 20th June 1892 a copy of this Notice was tendered to Mr. Alfred Champion a partner in the firm of Messrs. Champion & Short Attorneys for the within named Counter Petitioner P. Goorroonathaum Chetty, but the said Mr. Alfred Champion having refused to accept service of the said Notice on him, the said copy was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the Office of Messrs. Champion & Short Madras.

For P. Ramanadan Sheriff

14th July 1892

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

E.G.

1892 July 14th

Sd.

C.R.P. No. 313 of 1892 E.G.

A. Kolandasamy Pillay by his duly constituted Agent M. Ratna Pillay — Petitioner

and

J. Slater Inspector of Police — Counter Petitioner

To The Counter Petr. J. Slater

May 3d

May ~~8th~~

July 13th

Mr. J. Slater was served on Friday the 27th May/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the original Notice.

13th July 1892

W. A. Middleton

Messrs. Edwardes & Short Counter Petrs Attys

W. A. Middleton

This Notice was served by W. A. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Mr. J. Slater on Friday the 27th day of May 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

For P. Ramanadan Sheriff

13th July 1892.

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

E.G.

July 13th

Sd

2							
C.R.P.	Peer Mohideen Rowther	To	1892	1892	1892	This Notice has not been served on the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Abdul Kadir Rowthan as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.	1892
No 213 of	by Mr. N. Subbramanyan	The 5th Counter	May	May	July		July
1892	— Petitioner	Petitioner	6th	8th	13th		14th
L.P.	over	Abdul Kadir					Ans
	Muthamma	Rowthan.					—
	Bee Bee & others						Sal
	Counter Petitioners	The 5th Counter Petitioner Abdul Hadir Rowthan is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although Search has been made for him 13th July 92 L.P.				14th July 1892. L.P.	
						For P. Rungasamy Sheriff	
						Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	
						This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Plaintiff M. Kajah Mohideen Rowther as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.	July
Claim	M. Kajah Mohideen Rowther	To				19th July 1892 L.P.	19th
C.S.No.	and	The 1st Plaintiff	13th	17th	19th		Ans
141 of	N. Mahomed Meyan Rowther	M. Kajah Mohideen					—
1892.	— Plaintiff	Rowther					Sal
L.P.	and					For P. Rungasamy Sheriff	
	1. Moona Ladya Koothanar					Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	
	2. Moorga Koothanar						
	and						
	3. Chinnasaumy Naidoo — Defendants						
	and						
	Ramasaumy Naickar — Claimant						
						This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Plaintiff N. Mahomed Meyan Rowther on Wednesday the 18th day of May 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 19th May 1892 L.P.	July
do	——— do ———	To The 2nd Plaintiff	13th	17th	19th		16th
L.P.		N. Mahomed Meyan					Ans
		Rowther					—
							Sal
						Sig'd C. Singaram Bailiff	
						For P. Rungasamy Sheriff	
						Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	

23 C.R.P. No 213 of 1892 L.P.	Pear Mohideen Rowther by Mr. N. Subbramanyan — Petitioner — and Muthamma Bee Bee & another Counter Petitioners	To The 5th Counter Petitioner Abdul Kadir Rowthan.	1892 May 6th	1892 May 8th	1892 July 13th		
		The 5th Counter Petitioner Abdul Kadir Rowthan is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although Search has been made for him. 13th July 92 L.P.					
Claim C.P.R. 141 of 1892. L.P.	M. Kajah Mohideen Rowther and N. Mahomed Meyan Rowther — Plaintiffs — and 1. Moona Sadaya Kothanar 2. Moorooga Kothanar and 3. Chinnasaumy Naidoo — Defendants — and Ramasaumy Nachar — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff M. Kajah Mohideen Rowther	13th	17th	19th		
do L.P.	do	To The 2nd Plaintiff N. Mahomed Meyan Rowther	13th	17th	19th		

Mr. S. Kadiramayan Petitioner's Clerk

Mr. Branson Watson Claimant's Clerk

This Notice has not been served on the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Abdul Kadir Rowthan as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

14th July 1892. L.P.

For P. Rungaradam Sheriff

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1892 July 14th

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Plaintiff M. Kajah Mohideen Rowther as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

19th July 1892. L.P.

Sig'd C. Singaram Bailiff

For P. Rungaradam Sheriff

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

July 19th

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Plaintiff N. Mahamed Meyan Rowther on Wednesday the 18th day of May 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

19th May 1892. L.P.

Sig'd C. Singaram Bailiff

For P. Rungaradam Sheriff

Sig'd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

July 16th

C.M.P. No. 506 of 1892	Gopaul Row & anr. by the Hon. V. Bashyam Aiyangar. — Petitioner and Mr. Frederic Rolandson Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Mr. Frederic Rolandson Official Assignee	1892 May 17th	1892 May 23rd	1892 July 13th	The counter-petitioner Mr. Frederic Rolandson was served on Wednesday the 1st June 1892 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to Mr. H.C. King official assignee and signed by the said Mr. H.C. King as official assignee, in pencil. Dated 1st June 1892. H.C.K. Chas. Pittet	This Notice was served by C. W. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on Mr. H. C. King, the Ag. Official Assignee, High Court, Madras on Wednesday the 1st day of June 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 13th July 1892. For P. Ruganadan Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff C.P.	1892 July 13th ans — W Sd.
Appeal against Order No. 70/92	— do — Appellant Petitioner and — do — Respondent	To The Respondent Mr. Frederick Rolandson Official Assignee	do	do	20th	The Respondent Mr. Frederick Rolandson was served on — Wednesday the 1st June 1892 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to Mr. H. C. King Official Assignee and signed by the said Mr. H.C. King as official Assignee, in pencil. Dated 1st June 1892. H.C.K. Chas. Pittet	This Notice was served by C. W. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on Mr. H. C. King, the Ag. Official Assignee High Court, Madras on Wednesday the 1st day of June 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 13th July 1892. For P. Ruganadan Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff C.P.	July 13 ans — W Sd.
2 Appeal No. 531 of 1892. C.P.	Narayanasami Naicken and another By Mr. J. G. Kernan — Appellant and Ramalinga Achary minor by his guardian Thevanai Ammal and 3 others — Respondents	To The Respondents. 1. Ramalinga Achary 2. Kothandapany Achary and 3. Vallee Ammall.	August 16th	23rd	11th	Thevanai Ammal the guardian for the minor 1st Respondent Ramalinga Achary was served on Thursday the 4th August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her and marked by her in pencil. the 2nd and 3rd Respondents Kothandapany Achary and Vallee Ammall could not be found & copies of the Notice were affixed on Thursday the 4th August 1892 at their House No. 14 in Kalappah Street Black Town Madras. Dated 4th August 1892. C.P.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Ramalinga Achary on Thursday the 4th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Thevanai Ammal, the guardian of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Thevanai Ammal. As the within named 2nd & 3rd Respondents Kothandapany Achary and Vallee Ammall could not be found, copies of this Notice were affixed to the same day on the outer door of the dwelling house No. 14 in Kalappah Achary Street, Block Town, Madras, in which the said 2nd & 3rd Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing. 9th August 1892 For P. Ruganadan Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff C.P.	August 9th ans — W Sd

Mr. J. G. Kernan Appellant's Counsel

Petition filed 2nd Aug. 1892

Notice to Respondent

E.M.P. No 322 of 1892 L.P.	A. Sonasundara Mudaliar By M. P. R. Sundara Aiyar — Petitioner and Abbu Chetty guardian of the minor Ammakannu Ammall — Counter — Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Abbu Chetty guardian of the minor Ammakannu Ammal.	1892 May 16th	1892 May 25th	1892 July 13th	Abbu Chetty was served on Tuesday the 12th July/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 12th July 1892 } L.P.		This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Abbu Chetty, the guardian of the within named Counter-Petitioner Ammakannu Ammal on Tuesday the 12th day of July/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 13th July 1892. For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Aiyr R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff L.P.	1892 July 13th Sat.
Os Appeal No 13 of 1892 L.P.	K. Abbu Chetty by Mr. R Balaji Row - Appellant (Plaintiff) and K. Kuppammall Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent K. Kuppammall	May 9th	July 18th	Augt 8th	K. Kuppammall was served personally on Tuesday the 2nd day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by her in pencil. Dated the 2nd August 1892 L.P.	Peroomall Bailiff	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Kuppammall on Tuesday the 2nd day August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 4th August 1892 } For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Aiyr R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff L.P.	Augt 4th Thu.
Original Side Appeal No 157 1892. L.P.	T. Linghee Chetty By Messrs Branson & Branson - Appellant (Defendant) and The Municipal Commissioners for the City of Madras - Respondents Plaintiff	To The Respondents The Municipal Commissioners for the City of Madras	July 25th	July 29th	August 25th	The Municipal Commrs for the City of Madras was served on Friday the 29th July/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivd to Ernest Barclay Esqr Attorney in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgan & Orr as signed on the Original Notice by the said Mr. E. Barclay Respondents attys - 29th July 1892 } W. H. Middleton	Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants Attys	This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Municipal Commissioners for the city of Madras on Friday the 29th day of July 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgan & Orr, Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Ernest Barclay Esqr. 1st August 1892 } For P. Ruganadam Sheriff Aiyr R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff L.P.	August 1st Sat.

O.S.
Appeal
No.
167
1892
E.P.

Mahomed Alim Bollah Saib By Messrs Branson and Branson —— Appellant Plaintiff

and

The Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council Respondent (Defendant)

To

The Respondent

The Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council

1892 July 25

1892 July 29

1892 August 25

The Respts Right Honble the Secretary of State for India in Council has served on Friday the 29th July 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr Ernest Barclay Esq Govt Solicitor Madras

29th July 1892 } W. H. Middleton

E.P.

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent the Right Honble the Secretary of State for India in Council on Friday the 29th day of July 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqr the Government Solicitor Madras as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

1st August 1892 } For P. Ringavaraam Sheriff
Dy R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

E.P.

1892 August 1st

O.S.
Appeal
No.
189
1892
E.P.

P. A. Chockalingam Pillay By Messrs Branson and Branson —— Appellant 1st Defendant

Tiruchinopoly Natasa Iyer and two others —— Respondents Plaintiffs

To

The Respondents

1. Tiruchinopoly Natasa Iyer, son & legal Representative of the deceased T. Viravanatha Iyer, being a minor, represented by his next friend T. Vythinatha Iyer.

2. T. V. Vythinatha Iyer, son & legal Representative of the deceased T. Veeraya Iyer.

3. M. M. Meyyappa Chetty.

25th 29th 25th

The 2nd & 3rd Respondents T. V. Vythinatha Iyer and M. M. Meyyappa Chetty were served personally on Wednesday the 24th August 1892, each by a copy of the Notice, hereunder, being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil.

The 1st Respondent Tiruchinopoly Natasa Iyer (minor) was served on Wednesday the 24th August 1892, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to T. V. Vythinatha Iyer alleged to be the next friend of the said minor and signed by the said T. V. Vythinatha Iyer in pencil.

Dated 24th August 1892

E.P. C. Ringaraam Bailiff.

O.S.
Appeal
No.
189
of 1892
E.P.

Veeraya

a.
18

This Notice was served by C. Tengaraman Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents T. V. Vythinatha Iyer and M. M. Meyyappa Chetty on Wednesday the 24th day of August 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

This Notice was served on the within named 1st Respondent Tiruchinopoly Natasa Iyer on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named T. Vythinatha Iyer ~~on the same day~~ alleged to be the next friend of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said

T. Vythinatha Iyer.

24th August 1892 } For P. Ringanadam Sheriff
I/Sheriff R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

E.P.

August 24th

Sd

O.S. Appeal No. 197 of 1892	P. A. Chockalingam Pillay By Messrs. Branson and Branson — Appellant (Defendant) and Tirichinopoly Natasa Iyer and another — Respondents Plaintiffs	To 1. Tirichinopoly Natasa Iyer Son and legal Representative of the deceased T. Veeravamatha Iyer being a minor represented by his next friend T. J. Vythinatha Iyer and 2. T. J. Vythinatha Iyer	1892 July 25.	1892 July 29.	1892 Aug. 2 August 25.	The 2nd Respondent T. J. Vythinatha Iyer was served personally on the 24th August 1892 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him and by him in pencil. The 1st Respondent Tirichinopoly Natasa Iyer (minor) was served on 24th August by the 2nd Respondent by a copy of the Petition being delivered to T. J. Vythinatha alleged to be the next friend of the said (minor) and signed by the said T. J. Vythinatha Iyer in pencil. Dated 24th August 1892. C. Singaravelu Bailiff.	
2nd Appeal No. 73 of 92	S. Samiah in person — Appellant and Messrs. Dymes & Co. Respondents	To The Respondents Messrs. Dymes & Co. Trustees for Messrs. Graves & Co. Madras	July 23rd	July 29th	Aug. 23rd	Mr. H. Deane Senior Partner of Messrs. Dymes & Co. was served on Monday the 1st by a copy of being delivered to him on 1st August 92.	
C.M. Petition No. 359 of 1892	Srinivasa Chari by Mr. H. G. Wedderburn — Petitioner and Chellammal daughter of Srinivasachari — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Chellammal daughter of Srinivasa Chariar	28th	29th	29 Thyagaraya Iyer personally on 24th August copy of the Petition and the counter-Petition in pencil	The counter-Petitioner Chellammal was served on Wednesday the 17th August 1892 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to Mr. G. Srinivasa Charriar alleged to be the father of the said Chellammal and signed in pencil by the said Mr. G. Srinivasa Charriar. Dated 17th August 1892. C. Singaravelu Bailiff.	

O.S. Appeal No. 20 of 1892	Boodoor Loobraya Chetty and another By Mr. R. Balajee Row — Appellants Defendants and Coomroo Chetty Veerasamy Chetty — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent Coomroo Chetty Veerasamy Chetty	1892 Sept. 21st	1892 Oct. 4th	1892 Oct. 21st	The Respondent Coomroo Chetty Veerasamy Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 5th October 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil. Dated 5th October 1892. C. Singaravelu Bailiff.	
2nd Appeal No. 787 of 1892	Seshachella Naidu and another By Mr. M. E. Sreerangachariar — Appellant and Veerachetty and 22 others — Respondents	To 4th, 5th, 6th and 11th Respondents The Chenchammall guardian of the 4th Respondent Venugopala Chetty 5th Veera Raghava Chetty 6th Govinda Naidu and 11th Raghavan	October 13th	November 5th	November 13th	The 4th 5th & 11th Respondents Chenchammal Guardian of the 4th Respondent Venugopala Chetty Veera Raghava Chetty and Raghavan were served personally on Wednesday the 2nd November 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them As Govinda Naidoo could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Wednesday the 2nd November 1892 at his dwelling house No 87 Thumeruppadrayan street Black Town Madras dated 2/11/92 C. Viswanadha Bailiff.	
C.M. Petition No. 359 of 1892	A. Nallappa Mudr By Mr C. De Rozario — Petitioner and Salem Ramanjulu Chetty — Counter Petr	To The Counter Petitioner Salem Ramanjulu Chetty	October 4th	October 6th	October 31st	The Counter Petitioner Salem Ramanjulu Chetty was served personally on Monday the 10th day of October 92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil dated the 12th October 92 O. Holding Bailiff.	

This Notice was Served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidoo on Thursday the 6th day of October 1892 by a Copy of the ~~Summons~~ Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

8th October 1892

O. Tholasingum Bailiff

For P. Rungasadaum Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 2nd & 4th Respondents Abdul Razaac Saib, Abdul Sammath Saib and Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib on Friday the 7th day of Oct/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd, 5th, 6th & 7th Respondents Chanthu Abdul Azeez Saib, Jambu Aminuddeen Saib, Abdul Kareem Saib and Shunmuga Modelly on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 4th Respondent Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib a partner in the within named firm of H. B. Abdul Razaac Saib & Co. as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib.

17th Oct 1892

For P. Rungasadaum Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

C.P.

O. Tholasingum Bailiff

6. A. Nummah & Kellar Vakil

O. Tholasingum Claimant's Vakil

7. Balakrishnayya Appellant's Vakil

Claim		To	1892	1892	1892
C.P. No. 336 of 1890	A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidoo — Plaintiff and S. Numby Naidoo — Defendant and S. Ethirajammah — Claimant	The Plaintiff A. Venkatavaraju Naidu	Oct. 1st	Oct. 3rd	Oct. 11th
Original Civil Appeal No. 26 of 1892	Abdul Kather Saib and another minor by their next friend Jumna By the Honorable Bee, Bhashyam Iyengar & P. V. Krishnasawmy Chetty and M. J. V. Seshagiri Aiyar — Appellants Plaintiffs and Abdul Razaac Saib and 6 others — Respondents Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Abdul Razaac Saib 2. Abdul Sammath Saib 3. Chanthu Abdul Azeez Saib 4. Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib 5. Jamba Aminuddeen Saib 6. Abdul Kareem Saib and 7. Shunmuga Modelly.	3rd	4th	November 1st

3rd & 4th Respondents Abdul Sammath Razaac Saib & Abdul Sammath Razaac Saib & Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib severally were served personally on Friday the 7th October/92 as signed by them and 3rd, 5th, 6th and 7th Respondents Chanthu Abdul Azeez Saib, Jambu Ameenaddeen Saib, Abdul Kareem Saib & Shunmuga Modelly were served on Friday the 7th October/92 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the 4th Respondent as alleged to be the Partner of the said 3rd, 5th, 6th & 7th Respondents as signed by the said 4th Respondent

10th October/92 O. Tholasingum

Bailiff

Claim			1892	1892	1892
C.S. No. 336 of 1890	A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu — Plaintiff and S. Numby Naidu — Defendant and S. Ethirajammah — Claimant	To The Plaintiff A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu	Oct. 1st	Oct. 3d	Oct. 11th
Original Side Appeal No. 26 of 1892	Abdul Kather Saib and another minors by their next friend Gunna Bee, By the Honorable V. Bhashyam Iyengar & P.V. Krishnasawmy Chetty and Mr. T.V. Seshagiri Aiyar — Appellants — Plaintiffs and Abdul Razaac Saib and 6 others — Respondents — Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Abdul Razaac Saib 2. Abdul Sammath Saib 3. Chanthu Abdul Azziz Saib 4. Chanthu Abdul Hajee Dadhaji Saib 5. Jamba Ameenuddeen Saib 6. Abdul Kareem Saib and 7. Thummuga Modelly.	3d	4th	November 1st

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu on Thursday the 6th day of October 1892 by a Copy of the Summons & Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

8th October 1892

Serg O. Tholasingum Bailiff

For P. Rungadauw Sheriff

Serg R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

C.S.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 2d & 4th Respondents Abdul Razaac Saib, Abdul Sammath Saib and Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib on Friday the 7th day of Oct/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively -

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3d, 5th, 6th & 7th Respondents Chanthu Abdul Azziz Saib, Jambu Aminuddeen Saib, Abdul Kareem Saib and Thummuga Modelly on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 4th Respondent Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib a partner in the within named firm of M. B. Abdul Razaac Saib & Company as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib -

17th Oct 1892

For P. Rungadauw Sheriff

Dy/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

C.S.

3d & 4th Respondents Abdul Razaac Saib, Abdul Sammath Saib & Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Saib severally were served personally on Friday the 7th October/92 as signed by them and 3d, 5th, 6th and 7th Respondents Chanthu Abdul Azziz Saib, Jambu Ameenuddeen Saib, Abdul Kareem Saib & Thummuga Modelly also were served on Friday the 7th October/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to the 4th Respondent & also to the Partners of the said 3d, 5th, 6th & 7th Respondents as signed by the said 4th Respondent

10th October/92 O. Tholasingum Bailiff

C.S.

V. Bhashyam Iyengar for Vadi

P. Tholasingum Bailiff

C.A. Nummah Chettiar Claimant Vadi

This Notice was Served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff A. Venkataswaradarajulu Naidoo on Thursday the 6th day of October 1892 by a Copy of the ~~Summons~~ Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of same signed by him in pencil.

8th October 1892

/Sd/ O. Tholasingum
Bailiff

For P. Rungasadam
Sheriff
/Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.F.

1892 October 10th

This Notice was Served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 2nd, 4th Respondents Abdul Razaac Saib, Abdul Jammath Saib and Chauthu Hajee Leadhaji Saib on Friday the 7th day of Oct/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

This Notice was Served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd, 5th, 6th & 7th Respondents Chauthu Abdul Aziz Saib, Jambu Aminuddeen Saib, Abdul Karim Saib and Shunmuga Mudelly on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 4th Respondent Chauthu Hajee Leadhaji Saib a partner in the within named firm of H. B. Abdul Razaac Saib & Co. as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Chauthu Hajee Leadhaji Saib.

17th Octr 1892

For P. Rungasadam
Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.F.

Oct 17th

C. A. Kummah Chettiar, Claimant & Appellant
v.
O. Tholasingum

This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Trichinopoly Natesa Iyer on Wednesday the 24th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named T. I. Vythinatha Iyer alleged to be the next friend of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said T. I. Vythinatha Iyer. This Notice was Served on the within named 2nd Respondent T. I. Vythinatha Iyer on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

For P. Rungasadam
Sheriff
24th August 1892
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.F.

1892 August 24th

This Notice was Served by C. W. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Messrs Dymes & Co. Trustees for Haines & Co. Respondents on Monday the 1st day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. H. Leath the Senior partner in the said firm of Messrs Dymes & Co as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

For P. Rungasadam
Sheriff
2nd August 1892
/Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.F.

August 2nd

This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Chellammal on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. G. Srinivasa Charriar alleged to be the father of the said Chellammal as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said G. Srinivasa Charriar.

For P. Rungasadam
Sheriff
17th Augt 1892
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.F.

August 17th

V. Chockalingam Chetty, Appellant
v.
H. G. Woldenberg & Co. Respondents & Petitioners &c.

Appeal No. 59 of 1892. L.P.	Srinivasa Chariar By Mr. H. G. Wedderburn and Mr. K. Krishnamachariar — Appellant and Chellammal daughter of Srinivasa Chariar — Respondent	To The Respondent Chellammal	1892 August 5th	1892 Aug. 8th	1892 August 21st	The Respondent Chellammal was served on Wednesday the 17th August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. G. Srinivasa Chariar alleged to be the father of the said Chellammal as appears by the endorsement in pencil signed by the said M. G. Srinivasa Chariar. Valid. 17th August 1892. L.P.	
Claim C.S. No. 26072 of 1890 L.P.	Alamelammall — Plaintiff and Kandasawmy Chetty — Defendant and A. Veerabhadra Chari — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Alamelammall	July 27th	9th	10th August		
Claim C.S. No. 337 of 1889 L.P.	M. Govinda Row a minor by Rama Row his next friend — Plaintiff and R. Madhabammi Naidu alias Richard Watson — Defendant and Punta Subba Reddy —	To The Plaintiff M. Govinda Row by his next friend Rama Row	August 6th	9th	19th		

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Chellammal on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. G. Srinivasa Chariar alleged to be the father of the said Chellammal as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said M. G. Srinivasa Chariar

18th August 1892

For P. Rungavadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

1892 Augt 18th

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Alamelammall on Tuesday the 9th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of service marked by her in pencil.

10th August 1892

Sigd C. Singaram Bailiff
For P. Rungavadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

Augt 10th

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. Govinda Row (minor) on Monday the 15th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Rama Row alleged to be the guardian of the said M. Govinda Row as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said Rama Row.

17th Augt 92

Sigd O. Tholasingam Bailiff
For P. Rungavadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

Augt 18th

Appeal No 59 of 1892 L.P.	Srinivasa Chariar By Mr. H. G. Wedderburn and M. K. Krishnamachariar — Appellant — and Chellammal daughter of Srinivasa Respondent Chari —	To The Respondent Chellammal	1892 August 5th	1892 Aug 8th 9th	1892 November 21st	The Respondent Chellammal was served on Wednesday the 17th August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. G. Sreenivasa Chariar alleged to be the father of the said Chellammal as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said M. G. Sreenivasa Chariar. Dated 17th August 1892. Bailiff. L.P.
Claim C.S. No 26072 of 1890 L.P.	Alamelammall — Plaintiff — and Kandasawmy Chetty — Defendant — and A. Veerabhadra Chari — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Alamelammall	July 27th	9th	August 10th	
Claim C.S. No 337 of 1889 L.P.	M. Govinda Row a minor by Rama Row his next friend — Plaintiff — and R. Madhabammi Naidu alias Richard Watson — Defendant and Punta Sobla Reddy — Claimant	To The Plaintiff M. Govinda Row by his next friend Rama Row	August 6th	9th	19th	

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Chellammal on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. G. Srinivasa Chariar alleged to be the father of the said Chellammal as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said M. G. Srinivasa Chariar

18th August 1892

For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
Dep. Sheriff

L.P.

1892 August 18th 9th

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Alamelammall on Tuesday the 9th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of service marked by her in pencil.

Sig[d] C. Singaram
Bailiff

10th August 1892

For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
Dep. Sheriff

L.P.

August 10th 9th

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. Govinda Row (minor) on Monday the 15th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Rama Row alleged to be the guardian of the said M. Govinda Row as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said Rama Row.

17th Aug[t] 92

Sig[d] O. Tholasingam
Bailiff
For P. Rungasawmy
Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
Dep. Sheriff

L.P.

August 18th 9th 19th

Substituted Service 2nd Appeal No. 1450 of 91

Ramachendra Rayar. By Mr. K. Ranga Row — Appellant

and

Venkasamy Pandithar and two others — Respondent

To the 1st Respondent

1892 Augt 12th

1892 Augt 15th

1892 Sept 7th

Venkatasamy Pandithar

To be affixed on the outer door No. 36 the usual place of abode in Nagappier Street Peroy Pett Triplicane Madras.

Sd/ C. Thelasingiam

Bailiff

A copy Saturday the 3rd September 1892 affixed on the outer door of the house No 36 in Nagappier Street Peroy Pett Triplicane in which the 1st Respondent Venkasawmy Pandithar is said to be ordinarily residing as per letter dated 12th August 1892 from the 2nd Registrar Appellate Side.

Sd/ C. Peroomall Bailiff

dated 5/9/92 Sd/

To

The Respondents.

1892 July 21st

1892 August 15th

1892 August 22nd

1st Respondent Govindien is not to be found although search has been made for him.

Davani alias Butcha, Thanammah, Balagooroo Achary alias Comara Achary, Parasooramasa, Moonesa, Eswarasa and Veerabadrasa on Saturday the 20th August 92 by copies of the notices being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

Sd/ C. Thelasingiam

Bailiff

nanchaarammah By Mr. K. P. Viswanadha Iyer — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

Govindien and ten others — Respondents (Defendants)

1. Govindien
2. Davani alias Butcha
3. Peroomony.
4. Thanammah
5. Chinnasawmy, infant by his mother and guardian the 4th Defendant Thanammah
6. Balagooroo Achary alias Comara Achary
7. Ganapathy Achary by his guardian Balagooroo Achary alias Comara Achary
8. Parasooramasa
9. Moonesa
10. Eswarasa

and

11. Veerabadrasa.

Side Appeal No. 147 of 1892.

Sd/

Mr. K. Ranga Row Appellant's Vakil

Mr. K. P. Viswanadha Iyer Appellant's Vakil

A copy of this Notice was on Saturday the 3rd day of September 1892 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No 36 at Nagappier Street in Peroy Pett Triplicane in which the within named 1st Respondent Venkatasamy Pandithan is said to be ordinarily residing.

5th September 1892

Sd/

For P. Ruganadam Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1892 Sept 5th

This Notice was served by C. Thelasingiam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd 4th 6th 8th 9th 10th and 11th Respondents Davanaiah alias Butcha, Thanammah, Balagooroo Achary alias Comara Achary, Parasooramasa, Moonesa, Eswarasa and Veerabadrasa on Saturday the 20th day of August 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

1892 Augt 20th

This Notice was served on the within named 5th Respondent Chinnasawmy on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named 4th Respondent Thanammah the mother and guardian of the said 5th Respondent as appears by the above Endorsement in pencil made by her.

This Notice was served on the within named 7th Respondent Ganapathy Achary on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named 6th Respondent Balagooroo Achary alias Comara Achary the guardian of the said 7th Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

As the within named 3rd Respondent Peroomony could not be found, a Copy of this Notice was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house within described in which the said 3rd Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Govindien as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Sd/ P. Ruganadam Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

20th August 1892

Sd/

Claim			1892	1892	1892
C.S. No. 337 of 1889. C.C.	Govinda Row a minor by his father and next friend Rama Row — Plaintiff and R. Muthusawmy Naidu alias Richard Watson — Defendant and S. Chinniah Pillay — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Govinda Row a minor by his father and next friend Rama Row	August 15th	Augt 17th	Augt 24th

S. Chinniah Pillay
Claimant Examined

C.J.

1. One Coach painted Green
2. One Tin Box.
3. Two Kerosine table lamps
4. One teakwood bench
5. Six teakwood chairs
6. One leather trunk
7. One wooden box containing Cooking Implements & brass utensils
8. One harness Stand
9. One iron crow bar.
10. One time piece
11. One teakwood writing table
12. One rosewood writing desk
13. One teakwood Cot
14. Two brass pot
15. One brass bonachette
16. One brass lamp
17. One brass large chembo
18. One brass goglet.
19. Two brass Gehdi chembos
20. One brass cup
21. One lota
22. One prona tumbler
23. One brass tumbler
24. Two brass choda thabalas
25. One brass Chette
26. Two brass Cottara
27. Two brass lota
28. One Carpet bag.
29. One dirty cloth basket
30. One travelling tin box
31. Two Volumes Websters Smaller dictionary.
32. Roget Thesaurus
33. One small Cutch chair

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Govinda Row (minor) on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. Rama Row, alleged to be the father and next friend of the said Govinda Row as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by the said M. Rama Row.

18th Augt 1892 Sigd C. Singaram Bailiff

For P. Ramanadan Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1892 Augt 19th

Claim					
C. 9	V. Casava Chetty alias Casavarloo Chetty V. Sundraraja Chetty V. Chukravarthy Chetty and V. Baloo Chetty and V. Vasudava Chetty the infant sons and legal representatives of the deceased V. Casava Chetty by their maternal uncle and next friend A Ranganathan Chetty — Plaintiff and C. Ramacutty Chetty C. Moorooguppa Chetty and two Others — Defendants and C. Chockalingapa Chetty — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff V. Soondararaja Chetty by his next friend A Ranganathan Chetty	1892 Augt 6	1892 Augt 18	1892 Augt 24
No 29 1888	——do——	To The 2d Plaintiff V. Chakravarthy Chetty by his next friend A. Ranganathan Chetty			
do	——do——	To the 3d Plaintiff V. Balo Chetty by his next friend A Ranganathan Chetty			

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff V. Soondararaja Chetty (minor) on Thursday the 18th day of August 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named A. Ranganathan Chetty alleged to be the maternal Uncle and guardian of the said V. Soondararaja Chetty as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said A. Ranganathan Chetty.

20th August 1892

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff
Pr. P. Ringanadan
Sheriff
Sey R. A Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1892. August 23. ——

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff V. Chakravarthy Chetty (minor) on Thursday the 18th day of August 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named A Ranganathan Chetty alleged to be the maternal Uncle and guardian of the said V. Chakravarthy Chetty as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said A. Ranganathan Chetty.

20th August 1892

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff
Pr. P. Ringanadan
Sheriff
Sey R. A Thompson
Dy Sheriff

August 23d. ——

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3 Plaintiff V. Balo Chetty (minor) on Thursday the 18th day of August 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named A. Ranganathan Chetty alleged to be the maternal Uncle and guardian of the said V. Balo Chetty as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said A. Ranganathan Chetty.

20th August 1892

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff
Pr. P. Ringanadan
Sheriff
Sey R. A Thompson
Dy Sheriff

August 23d. ——

Claim					
C.S. No. 2 of 1888.	V. Casava Chetty alias Casavarloo Chetty V. Sundraraja Chetty V. Chokkanavarthy Chetty and V. Balos Chetty and V. Vasoodava Chetty the infant sons and legal representatives of the deceased V. Cathinna Chetty by their next friend and maternal uncle and next friend A. Ranganathan Chetty — Plaintiff and C. Rama Cuttychetty C. Moorugappa Chetty and two others — Defendants and C. Chokkalinga Chetty — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff V. Soondararaja Chetty by his next friend A Ranganathan Chetty.	1892 Augt 6th	1892 Augt 18th	1892 Augt 24th
C.R.P. No. 10/1892	S. Kondiah by his Guardian Sowmyadasa-swamy Pillay & S. Rathakrishna Chetty Petitioner and S. Ramalingayya — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner S. Ramalingayya.	Septr 19th	22nd	7th

The Counter-petitioner S. Ramalingayya is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 6th August 1892.

Bailiff.

E.T.

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff V. Soondararaja Chetty (minor) on Thursday the 18th day of August 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named A. Ranganathan Chetty alleged to be the maternal Uncle and guardian of the said V. Soondararaja Chetty as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said A. Ranganathan Chetty within writ to be the said V. Vasoodava above acknowledgment in pencil by the said A. Ranganathan Chetty.

20th August 1892

E.T.

	18.92.
	August 23.
	W.
	Sd/ O. Tholasingam Bailiff
For P. Ranganadam	Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson	Dy Sheriff

Sd/ O. Tholasingam 1 August Bailiff

For P. Ranganadam Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner S. Ramalingayya as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.

6th Septr 1892

E.T.

	Septr 6
	W.
For P. Ranganadam	Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson	Dy Sheriff

Claim
C. S
No
2 of 1888

V. Casava Chetty
alias
Casavalu Chetty
V. Soondrarasegan
Chetty
V. Chinnavarathy
Chetty
V. Balos Chetty
and
V. Vasoodava Chetty
the infant sons and
legal representatives
of the deceased
Chetty by their next friend maternal
uncle and next friend
A. Ringanatham
Chetty —
Plaintiff
and
C. Rama Cuttly Chetty
C. Moorogappa Chetty
and two others —
Defendants
and
C. Chockalinga
Chetty — Claimant

To
The 4th Plaintiff

V. Vasoodava Chetty
by his next friend A.
Ringanatham Chetty.

1892 Augt 6th
1892 Augt 18th
1892 Augt 24th

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Plaintiff V. Vasoodava Chetty (minor) on Thursday the 18th day of August 1892 by a Copy of the ~~[illegible]~~ Notice being delivered to the within named A. Ringanatham Chetty alleged to be the maternal Uncle and guardian of the said V. Vasoodava Chetty as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said A. Ringanatham Chetty.

20th August 1892

C.J.

Per O. Tholasingam
Bailiff

For P. Ringanadam
Sheriff

Per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1892
August
23rd
a.m.

5
3
C.R.P.
No
10 of 1892

S. Kondiah by his Tavanmgada-
saumy Pillay his Attorney
Subbiah Chetty Petitioner
and
S. Ramalingayya
— Counter-Petitioner

To
The Counter-Petitioner
S. Ramalingayya.

19th 22nd 7th Sept.

The counter-petitioner S. Rama-
lingayya is not to be found
within the local limits of the
High Court Madras although
search has been made for him.

Dated 6th September 1892.

C.J.

Per Binyaram
Bailiff

Sir. Tavanmgada Pillay Attorney for the Claimant Chetty

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Per R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner S. Ramalingayya as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

6th Sept 1892

C.J.

For O. Ringanadam
Sheriff

Per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

Sept 6th

6th
Sept
1892

C.P. No. 21 of 1885 on the file of the District Court of Chengalpat C.V.	1. Lutchmana Row 2. Kistnayee Row (deceased) by his sons and legal personal representatives Veneeba Row Venkata-ravana Row by their guardian the 1st and 3d Plaintiff and Narasyee Row Plaintiffs and V. Kistna Pillai Defendant and Velampathe Lachmi-mana Pillai and Velampathe Kesharda-ramasawmy Claimants.	To The 1st Plaintiff Lutchmana Row	1892 Augt. 15th	1892 Augt. 22nd	1892 Augt. 31st	Please serve the Notices for the Minors Plaintiffs Veneeba Row and Venkataramana Row on their guardians Lakshman Row and Narasyee Row. Vide letter of 22nd aug/92 from the Vakil herein. C.V.	
do C.P.	do	To The 3d Plaintiff Venkataramana Row	"	"	"	"	
do C.P.	do	To The 4th Plaintiff Narasyee Row	"	"	"	"	

Mr. P. Arunachalam Claimants Vakil

Mr. Ramaswamy

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff Lutchmana Row on Monday the 22nd day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

Sigd P. Peroomall Bailiff
For P. Rungavadaw Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

24th Augt 1892

C.V.

1892 Augt 29th

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3d Plaintiff Venkataramana Row (minor) on Monday the 22nd day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named 1st Plaintiff Lutchmana Row alleged to be the guardian of the said 3d Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said Lutchmana Row.

Sigd P. Peroomall Bailiff
For P. Rungavadaw Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

24th Augt 1892

C.V.

Augt 29th

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Plaintiff Narasyee Row on Monday the 29th day of August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

Sigd P. Peroomall Bailiff
For P. Rungavadaw Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

29th August 1892

C.V.

Augt 30th

C.R.C. No 396 of 1892	Gopala Row Lawr by the Hon'ble V. Bashyam Ayengar — Petitioner and F. Rowlandson Esq. Counter Petitioner	To F. Rowlandson Esq. The Official Assignee High Court Madras	1892 Aug^t 20^d	1892 Aug^t 24^th	1892 Sept^r 12^th

Mr F. Rowlandson was served on Thursday the 25^th aug^t/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in ink.
25^th aug^t/92 A. Cooper
C.P.

This Notice was served by A. Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Mr. F. Rowlandson on Thursday the 25^th August 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.
25^th Aug^t 1892
For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Dep^y R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff
C.P.

Aug^t 25^th Cr

C.M.P. No 191 of 92	The Collector of Chingleput by the Gov^t Pleader — Petitioner and Ramadoss Pandithan alias Ramadass Row &c — Counter Pet^rs	To The 2^d Counter Pet^r Veerasami Chetty	23^d	25^th	15^th

The 2^d counter petitioner Veerasami Chetty was served on Wednesday the 14^th September 1892 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to K. Ramanuja Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said Veerasami Chetty as signed by the said K. Ramanuja Chetty in pencil.
C. Singaram Bailiff.
Dated 14^th September 1892
C.P.

This Notice was served by me P. Rungasawmy an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2^d Counter Petitioner Veerasami Chetty on Wednesday the 14^th day of September 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to K. Ramanuja Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said Veerasami Chetty as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said K. Ramanuja Chetty.
14^th September 1892
For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Dep^y R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff
C.P.

Sept^r 14^th Cr

C.S. No 21 of 1885 on the file of the District Court of Chingleput	1. Lutchmana Row 2. Kistnajee Row (deceased) by his sons and legal representatives Venkoba Row, Venkataramana Row by their guardians the 1^st & 3^d Pltffs and Narasajee Row — Plaintiffs and V. Kistna Pillai — Defend^t and Velampatta Lackshmana Pillai and Velampatta Kothandaramadoss — Claimants	To The 2^d Plaintiff Venkoba Row	15^th	29^th	Aug^t 31^st	

Shantha Chetti & Varaiah Claimants Vakils

This Notice was served personally on the 2^d Plaintiff Venkoba Row (minor) on Monday the 29^th day of August/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named ~~1^st Plaintiff~~ Narasajee Row alleged to be the guardian of the said 2^d Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said Narasajee Row.
Sig^d P. Rungasawmy Bailiff
30^th Aug^t 1892
For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Sig^d R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff
C.P.

Aug^t 30^th Cr

C.M. Petition No 1033 of 1891 K.J.	Srimanta Raja Yerlagudda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadoor Zamindar Garu by Mr C.R. Pattabhirama Aiyar — Petitioner and M. Sreedevamma & 4 others — Counter Petitioners	To The 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkata Swami Naidu minor by his guardian Immaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu	1892 Augt 28th	1892 Sept 1st	1892 Sept 8th	Immaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu the guardian for the 5th Counter-Petitioner Makerla Venkata Swami Naidu having his residence is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court in Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 7th September 1892 Pungavaram Bailiff. K.J.
C.R.P. No 319 of 92 K.J.	Murugesa Mudaly by Mr T.S. Ramanja Charriar — Petitioner and Ramasamy Mudaly Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petr Ramasamy Mudaly	30th	1st	29th	The Counter-petitioner Ramasamy Mudaly was served on Saturday the 17th September 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Subbramaniya Mudaly alleged to be the son-in-law and agent of the said Counter-petitioner as signed by the said Subbramaniya Mudaly in pencil. Dated 17th September 1892. Pungavaram. Bailiff. K.J.
C.S. No 124 of 1881 K.J.	T. Ramachendra Row & 2 others — Plaintiffs and Vellayanadan Poolunsawmy Nadan Ruthnasawmy Nadan Vellayanadan alias Vellayathumby Nadan & Surusawmy Nadan Defendants	To The 2d, 3d & 4th Plaintiffs 2d Tanjore Lakshmana Row 3d Tanjore Rajaram Row and 4th Tanjore Venkata Toolajaram Row	Sept 3d	Sept 7th	Sept 13th	The 2d & 4th Plaintiffs Tanjore Lakshmana Row and Tanjore Venkata Toolajaram Row were personally and severally served on Friday the 9th September 1892 with a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil. Dated 12th September 1892. Pungavaram. Bailiff. K.J.

Mr T.S. Ramayyan Avl Pattabhi Ramayyan Petitioners Vakil

K.J.

This Notice has not been served on the within named Immaneni Venkata Govinda Row Naidu, alleged to be the guardian of the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkataswami Naidu, as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for him.

7th September 1892

For P. Rungasamdam Sheriff
Sd/ R.A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

K.J.

1892 Sept 7th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Ramasamy Mudaly on Saturday the 17th day of September 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Subbramanya Mudaly alleged to be the son-in-law and the Agent of the said Ramasamy Mudaly, as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Subbramanya in Madras.

21st September 1892

For P. Rungasamdam Sheriff
Sd/ R.A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

K.J.

Sept 21st

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d & 4th Plaintiffs Tanjore Lakshmana Row and Tanjore Venkata Toolajaram Row on Friday the 9th day of September 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

On the 10th Sept/92 a copy of this Notice was forwarded by post in a registered letter to the address of the within named 3d Plaintiff Tanjore Rajaram Row son of Venkata Row residing at the Male Veethy, Tanjore Fort, Tanjore.

12th September 1892

For P. Rungasamdam Sheriff
Sd/ R.A. Thompson
Dy Sheriffs

K.J.

Sept 12th

Sept 21st

O.S. No: 345 of 1891 L.P.	G. T. Gilmore ——— Plaintiff and J. Cooleng and another ——— Defendants	To The Plaintiffs Attorneys A. E. Rencontre Esqre	1892 Sept. 6th	1892 Sept. 8th	4th days from the service of the Notice	Mr. A. E. Rencontre was served on Thursday the 8th Sept/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed on the outer Notice by him— 9th Sept 1892 Chas. W.B.
Appeal No: 85 of 1890 L.P.	A. Somasundra Mudaliar by Mr P. R. Sundara Aeyar ——— Appellant and Annasamy Mudaliar and 5 others ——— Respondents	To The 6th Respondent Markathavalli alias Ammakannu Ammall being a minor by her guardian Abbu Chetty		1892 Sept. 8th	13th	Abbu Chetty the guardian for the 6th Respondent Markathavalli alias Ammakannu Ammall was served on Friday the 9th September 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink dated 9th September 1892 P. Peroomall Bailiff
2nd Notice 2 Appeal No. 729 of 1892 L.P.	O. Papa Reddy and another by Mr M. O. Parthasaradhy Ayyangar ——— Appellant and Venkata Varadarajulu Naidu and three others ——— Respondents	To The 2nd to 4th Respondents. 2. Venkatamanjulu Naidu 3. Venkatrangam Naidu and 4. Venkatapathi Naidu		October 23rd	27th	12th October 1892 The 2nd 3rd & 4th Respondents Venkataramanjulu Naidu Venkatarangam Naidu and Venkatapathi Naidu could not be found the copies of the Notice were affixed on Wednesday the 12th October 1892 on the outer door of their dwelling house No. 15 Venkatachellam Naidu Street Triplicane Madras. O. Thalasingam Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. W. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Mr A. E. Rencontre on Thursday the 8th day of September 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

9th Sept. 1892

For P. Rungavadoo Sheriff
Dig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1892 Sept. 9th

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 6th Respondent Markathavalli alias Ammakannu Ammall on Friday the 9th day of September 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Abbu Chetty alleged to be the guardian of the said 6th Respt as appears by the endorsement made by the said Abbu Chetty.

12th Sept. 1892

For P. Rungavadoo Sheriff
Dig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Sept. 12th

As the within named 2nd 3rd and 4th Respondents Venkataramanjulu Naidu, Venkatarangam Naidu, and Venkatapa thi Naidu could not be found. Copies of this Notice were affixed on Wednesday the 12th day of October 1892 on the outer door of the dwelling house No. 15 Venkatachellam Naidu Street Triplicane, Madras, in which the said Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing.

12th October 1892

For P. Rungavadoo Sheriff
Dig/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

October 12th

2 Notice Civil Misc Petition No 673 of 1892	Guana Parana Upadeasai by Mr P R Sundara Aiyar Petitioner and John Daivasagayam Pillay & others — Counter-Petitioners	To The 2d Counter-Petitioner S. Danial Nadar	1892 Sept 27th	1892 Sept 29th	1892 October 19th	This Notice has not been served on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner S. Danial Nadar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 20th October 1892 C.P.	1892 Octr 20 ad
			S. Danial Nadar is not to be found although search has been made for him. 18th October 1892			For P. Rungavadaru Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	
1 Original Side Appeal No 23 of 1892	P. L. M. Swathia Chetty By Mr R. Ramanuja Chari — Appellant Defendant and P. L. Ponnusawmy Pillay — Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent P. L. Ponnusawmy Pillay	28th	29th	27th	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. L. Ponnusawmy Pillay on Wednesday the 5th day of October 192 by a Copy of the Notice duly delivered & endorsement in pencil made by him. 7th October 1892 C.P. For P. Rungavadaru Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson by Sheriff	October 7th ad
			The Respondent P. L. Ponnusawmy Pillay was personally served on Wednesday the 5th October 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him & signed by him in pencil. Dated 5th October 1892 C. Singaram Bailiff				
2 Notice of Appeal No 684 of 1892	Kumarasami Gunti Kal and another by Mr S. Gopalasami Aiyengar — Appellants and G. Mahadeva Chettiar and others — Respondents	To The 1st and 2d Respondents 1 G. Mahadeva Chettiar and 2 Subbarayah Chetty	October 29th	3rd	19th	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2d Respondents G. Mahadeva Chettyar and Subbarayah Chettyar on Tuesday the 11th day of October 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively. 13th October 1892 C.P. For P. Rungavadaru Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	October 13th ad 13/10.
			The 1st & 2d Respondents G. Mahadeva Chetty and Subbarayah Chetty were served personally on Tuesday the 11th day of October 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in ink. Dated 11th October 1892 P. Peroomall Bailiff				

Os Appl. No 34 of 93	Y. N. Nagamoney Moor. By Mr. P. Ch. Givangnana Moor. Appellant —Defdt	To The Respondent Arcot Janakeram Mordelian.	1893 Oct. 13.	1893 Oct. 14.	1893 Nov. 27.	The Respondent Arcot Janakeram Mordelliar was personally served on Sunday the 22d October 1893, by a copy of the letter being delivered to him as refused by him in person. Dated 23d October 1893 Bangaru Reddi
and Arcot Janakeram Moor. — Respt. —Plff.						
C. M. P. No. 459 of 1893 C.J.	Kumaraswami Gurukal Saw. By Mr. S. Gopalaswamy Aiyengar — Petitioner and Narayanaswamy Chetty minor by guardians 1- Veeraswamy Chetty Saw. — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioners guardians 1- Veeraswamy Chetty 2- Ramakrishna Chetty	Octr. 13.	Octr. 16.	Octr. 23.	As Veeraswamy Chetty & Ramakrishna Chetty the guardians of the within named Counter Petitioner Narayanaswamy Chetty (minor) could not be found 2 copies of the letter were on Sunday the 22 Octr/93 affixed on the outer door of their house No. 50 Coral merchant Street Mootteal pettah Black Town Madras the which the said guardians are said & are ordinarily residing. 23 October/93 C.J. O Tholasingam Bailiff Rovr.
Os Appl. No. 35 of 93 C.J.	P. Valliammall otherwise called P. Davana Ammah By Mr. S. Krishnaswamy Iyer Appellant Plff and T. Moothoosawmy Moodly Respondent (2d Deft.)	To The Respondent T. Moothoosawmy Mudally	13.	18.	27.	The Respondent T. Moothoosawmy Mudally was served personally on Wednesday the 25th October 1893 by a copy of the letter being delivered to him as signed by him in person. Dated 25th October 1893 C. Bangaru Bailiff

Os Appl. No 33 of 1892 C.J.	A. Venkatramiah Chetty and 2 others — Appellants — Plaintiffs and P. Venkatramiah Chetty — Respondent — Defendant	To The Respondent	1892 Dec. 16.	1892 Oct. 3.	1892 Oct. 11.	The Respondent was served personally on Wednesday the 26th October 1892 by a copy of the letter being delivered to him as signed by him in person. Dated 26th October 1892 C. Bangaru Bailiff

O.S. Appl. No. 30 of 93 E.T.	Madabooshi Kawday Govinda Charlu Bey Mpt. Brandon & Brandon Appellant (2d Deft) and Frederic Rowlandson Esqr. Offg Asst. Master & Asst. the Assignee of the Estate & Effects of Rungaloo Sooroonathum Chetty an Insolvent Debtor Respondents (Pltff)	To The Respondent Frederic Rowlandson Esqr.	1893 Octr. 4th	1893 Octr. 10th	1893 Novr. 20th

Mr. Frederic Rowlandson was served on Tuesday the 10th Octr. 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on the Original Notice.

10th Octr. 1893 — Wm. Middleton Bailiff

E.T.

This Notice was served by Wm. H. Middleton an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Frederic Rowlandson on Tuesday the 10th day of October 1893 by delivering to him a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

16th October 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

E.T.

1893 Octr. 16th

Wm. Middleton

Wm. H. Brown & Co Bailiff

Mr. T. Rama Row Pltff

O.S.

2d Appl. No. 747 of 1893 E.T.	Suthinarayanadawmy Reddi minor by his next friend Venkata-sami Reddi Bey Mr. T. Rama Row — Appellant and Thomaden Naick & 25 others — Respts.	To The Respondents 18. Rungaatha Mudr. (Pentigapa Ranjulu Naid) 19. Venkatramanjulu Naid 20. Appasami Chettiar 21. Krishnamacharlu 22. Rungaatha Mudr. 23. Thiyagaroya Chettiar 24. Vijiaranga Mudr. 25. Ramasawmy Mudr. 26. Rungaiya Naick	10th	13th	22d

Rungaatha Mudr. on R. respondent was served on 27th October/93

Rungaatha Mudr. Venkatramanjulu Naid Appasami Chetty & Thiyagaroya Chettiar and 18th 19th 20th & 23d Respondents were severally served personally on 21st Novr. by copies of the Notice delivered to them as signed by them in pencil

Krishnamacharlu Vijiaranga Mudr. & Rungaiya Naidu the 21st 24th & 26th Respondents were served on 21st Novr/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. Rungaatha Mudr. the 18th respondent alleged to be agent of the Respondents and signed by the Rungaatha and Ramasawmy Mudr. the 25th Appt. is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordy. Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

22d November/93

O Tholasingam Bailiff

This Notice was served by A. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 22d Respondent Rungaatha Mudr. on Friday the 27th day of October 1893 and the within named 18th, 19th, 20th & 23d Respondents Rungaatha Mudr., Venkatramanjulu Naidu, Appasami Chettiar and Thiyagaroya Chettiar on Tuesday the 21st day of Novr/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

Nov. 22d E.T.

This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 21st, 24th & 26th Respondents Krishnamacharlu, Vijiaranga Mudr. and Rungaiya Naidu on Tuesday the 21st day of Novr/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 18th Respondent Rungaatha Mudr. alleged to be the Agent of the said 21st, 24th and 26th Respondents as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by the said 18th Respondent.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 25th Respt. Ramasawmy Mudr. as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

22d November 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E.T.

3d Notice
2d Appeal
No 1662
of 1892

Apparow Mudali
By Mr R. Sadagopachari
— Appellant
and
Kamatchi Ammal
another — Respondts.

To
The 2d Respondent
Bhanumoorti Buttian

1893 Oct 3d

As the 2d Respondent Bhanumoorthi Buttian could not be found a copy of the Notice was on Monday the 9th day of October 1893 affixed on the outer door of the house within described in which the said 2d Respt is said to be ordinarily residing.

Dated this 10th October 1893

O Tholasingam
Bailiff

1893 Oct 5th

1893 Oct 10th

E.P.

C.M.P. No 563 of 1893

Srimanta Raja Yerla-gadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zamindar Garu by Mr E.R. Pattabhiramaiyar — Petitioner

and

Makarla Sreedavamma & 4 others — Counter Petrs

To
The 5th Counter Petitioner
Makarla Venkataswami Naidu

1893 Oct 7th

1893 Oct 10th

Appeal to Her Majesty in Council as to the decree in Appl No 38 of 90.

The 5th Counter petitioner Makarla Venkataswami Naidu was served personally on Tuesday the 10th October 1893 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him as required by him in pencil.

Dated 11th October 1893

C Singaram.
Bailiff.

E.P.

1893

O.S. Appl No 32 of 93

Vellayasawmy Rowroo Sawmy Nayanar & 3 others
By Mr Douglas Grant
Appellants
(2d to 5th Defts)

and

Tanjore Lutchmana Row & 2 others — Respts
(2d to 4th Defts)

To
The 1st & 3d Respts

1. Tanjore Lutchmana Row

3. Tanjore Venkata Toljaram Row

The 1st Respondent Tanjore Lutchmana Row was served personally on Wednesday the 25th October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink.

Same as Messrs Branson & Branson for 3d Respt vide Letter 7/8 Nov 1893 from Douglas Grant Advocate

1893 Nov 22d

and the 3d Respondent Tanjore Venkata Toljaram Row was served on Thursday the 16th November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R Branson.

Dated the 17th November 1893

Mr R Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said 3d Respondent as signed by the said

O Tholasingam
Bailiff

Mr R. Sadagopachari
Appellant's Vakil

Mr C. R. Pattabhiramayyar
Petitioner's Vakil

Mr Douglas Grant
Appellant's Attorney

Mr Branson
Respondent's Attorney

As the within named 2d Respondent Bhanumurti Buttian could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Monday the 9th day of October 1893 affixed by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the dwelling house No 10 Ramappa Chetty Street, Peddu Naicken Pettah, Black Town, Madras, in which the said 2d Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

10th October 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sgd R A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E.P.

1893 Oct 10th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Makarla Venkataswami Naidu on Tuesday the 10th day of Oct 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

11th Oct 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sgd R A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E.P.

1893 Oct 11th

11.10.93.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt Tanjore Lutchmana Row on Wednesday the 25th day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him in pencil.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3d Respt Tanjore Venkata Toljaram Row on Thursday the 16th day of Nov 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said 3d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr R. Branson.

20th November 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sgd R A Thompson
Dy Sheriff

E.P.

Nov 20th

20.11.93

Claim		To	1892	1892	1892	
C.S. No. 336 of 1890	A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidoo — Plaintiff and S. Numby Naidoo — Defendant and S. Ethirajammah — Claimant	The Plaintiff A. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu	Oct. 1st	Oct. 3rd	Oct. 11th	
Original Side Appeal No. 26 of 1892	Abdul Kather Sait and another minor by their next friend Jumna Bee, By the Honorable V. Bhashyam Ayyangar & P.V. Krishnasawmy Chetty — and — M.T.V. Teshagiri Aiyar — Appellants Plaintiffs and Abdul Razaac Sait and 6 others — Respondents Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Abdul Razaac Sait 2. Abdul Jammath Sait 3. Chanthu Abdul Azeez Sait 4. Chanthu Abdul Hajee Dadhaji Sait 5. Jambu Ameenuddeen Sait 6. Abdul Kaeem Sait and 7. Shunmuga Mudelly.	3rd	4th	November 1st	1st & 2nd Respondents Abdul Razaac Sait & Abdul Jammath Sait & Chanthu Hajee Dadhaji Sait severally were served personally on Friday the 7th October 92 as signed by them and 3rd 5th 6th and 7th Respondents Chanthu Abdul Azeez Sait & Jambu Ameenuddeen Sait & Abdul Kaeem Sait & Shunmuga Mudelly were served on Friday the 7th October 92 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the 4th Respondent & alleged to be the Partner of the said 3rd 5th & 7th Respondents as signed by the said 4th Respondent 10th October 92 O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mr R. Sampathkumar
Attorney for Petitioner

Mr C. R. Pattabhiramayya Pantulu
Petitioner's Vakil

Mr V. Ramachandra Iyer
Attorney for Petitioner

Mr R. Bransom Attorney for Petitioner

	As the within named 2nd Respondent Bhanumurti Buttian could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Monday the 9th day of October 1893 affixed by O. Tholasingam an Offr of the Sheriff on the outer door of the dwelling house No 10 Ponnappa Chetty Street, Peddu Naicken Pettah, Black Town, Madras, in which the said 2nd Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. 10th October 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sign'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1893 Oct 10	
C.S.	This Notice was served by O. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkataswami Naidoo on Tuesday the 10th day of Oct 92 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil. 11th Oct 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sign'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Oct 11th	
C.S.	This Notice was served by P. Perumall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt Tanjore Lutchmana Row on Wednesday the 25th day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 3rd Respt Tanjore Venkata Loojaram Row on Thursday the 16th day of Nov 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said 3rd Respt as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr R. Branson. 20th November 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sign'd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Nov 20th	

O.S. Appl. No 34 of 93	Y. N. Nagamoney Moodr. By Mr. P. Ch. Moodr. Sivangnana Moodr. Appellant — Defdt and Arcot Janakeram Moodr. — Respdt — Plff.	To The Respondent Arcot Janakeram Mordelliar.	1893 Oct. 13.	1893 Oct. 14.	1893 Nov. 27.

The Respondent Arcot Janakeram Mudelliar was personally served on Sunday the 22nd October 1893, by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him as desired by him in pencil.

Dated 23rd October 1893. C. Vijayaraghava Reddy

C.M.P. No. 459 of 1893	Kumaraswami Gurukkal Draw. By Mr. S. Gopalaswamy Aiyengar — Petitioner and Narayanaswamy Chetty minor by guardians 1- Veeraswamy Chetty Draw. — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioners guardians 1- Veeraswamy Chetty 2- Ramakrishna Chetty	Oct. 13.	Oct. 16.	Oct. 23.

As Veeraswamy Chetty & Ramakrishna Chetty the guardians of the within named Counter Petitioner Narayanaswamy Chetty (minor) could not be found 2 copies of the Petition were on Sunday the 22nd Oct/93 affixed on the outer door of their house No. 50 Coral merchant Street Mount Road Pettah Black Town Madras the usual place where said guardians are said to reside ordinarily residing.

23rd October/93

O. Thelatsingam Bailiff

O.S. Appl. No 35 of 93	P. Valliammall otherwise called P. Davanammal Draw. By Mr. S. Krishnaswamy Iyer — Appellant Plff and T. Moothoswamy Moodly Respondent (2nd Defdt)	To The Respondent T. Moothoswamy Mudaliy	13. 6.	18.	27.

The Respondent T. Moothoswamy Mudaliy was served personally on Wednesday the 25th October 1893 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 25th October 1893. C. Vijayaraghava Reddy

O.S. Appl. No 34 of 93	V. N. Nagamoney Moor. By Mr. P. ch. Sivangnana Moor. Appellant — Defdt and Arcot Janakeram Moor. — Respdt — Plff.	To The Respondent Arcot Janakeram Mudeliar.	1893 Oct. 13.	1893 Oct. 14.	1893 Nov. 27.	1892 Oct. 5 C.P. 1893 Jan. 8 C.P.
	The Respondent Arcot Janakeram Mudeliar was personally served on Sunday the 22nd October 1893, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 23rd October 1893. C. Singaram Bailiff.					
C.M.P. No 459 of 1893	Kumaraswami Gurukkal Saw. By Mr. G. Gopalaswamy Aiyengar — Petitioner and Narayanaswamy Chetty minor by guardians 1- Veeraswamy Chetty Saw. — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioners guardians 1- Veeraswamy Chetty 2- Ramakrishna Chetty	Oct. 13.	Oct. 16.	Oct. 23.	Nov. 3. C.P. 3/11.
		The guardians of the within named Counter Petitioner Narayanaswamy Chetty (minor) Could not be found & copies of the Notice were on Sunday the 22nd Oct. 93 affixed on the outer door of the house No. 50 Coral merchant Street Mount Road Petteah Black Town Madras the which the said guardians are said & are ordinarily residing. 23rd October 93 C.P.			C. Tholasingam Bailiff. Nov.	
O.S. Appl. No 35 of 93	P. Valliammall otherwise called P. Davana Ammah By Mr. N. Krishnaswamy Iyer — Appellant Plff and T. Moothoosawmy Mudy Respondent (2nd Defdt)	To The Respondent T. Moothoosawmy Mudaly	1893 Oct. 13.	1893 Oct. 18.	1893 Nov. 27.	Oct. 13 C.P. 13/10
	The Respondent T. Moothoosawmy Mudaly was served personally on Wednesday the 25th October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. C. Singaram Bailiff. Dated 25th October 1893. C.P.					

Mr. P. Balaya Pavey Appellant's Vakil

Mr. Seemangalam Appellant's Vakil

Mr. L. de Rozario Petitioner's Counsel

C.J.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Coomroo Chetty Veerasamy Chetty on Wednesday the 5th day of October 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

5th October 1892

For P. Rungnadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by P. Saroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Chencham-mal alleged to be the guardian of the within named 4th Respondent Venugopala Chetty, on the within named 5th Respondent Veera Raghava Chettyar and on the within named 11th Respondent Raghavan on Wednesday the 2nd day of November 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.

As the within named 6th Respt Govinda Naick could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No. 87 in Thanumgarayan street Black Town, Madras, in which the said 6th Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

Dated 3rd Nov. 1892

For P. Rungnadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Salem Ramanjulu Chetty on Monday the 10th day of October 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

13th October 1892

For P. Rungnadam Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.P.

Original Sidi Appeal No 257 of 1892 C.J.	K. Pedda Lutchmiah By Mr M. Venkata Ramiah Chetty — Appellant Defendant and S. Kotelingam Garu 2 and another — Respondents Plaintiffs	To The Respondents 1. S. Kotelingam Garu and 2. G. Narayana Garu	1892 Octr 5th	1892 Octr 7th	1892 Novbr 7th	The 1st and 2nd Respondents S Kotelingam garu and G Narayana garu were served personally on Tuesday the 18th October 1892, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated 18th October 1892 C.J. C Singaracharry Bailiff.	This Notice was served by me C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents S. Kotelingam Garu and G. Narayana Garu on Tuesday the 18th day of October 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 21st October 1892 C.J. For P. Rungaradam Sheriff Seey R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1892 Octr 21st C.J.
3rd Notice 2. Appeal No 1430 of 1891 C.J.	Mangathammal by Mr P. R. Sundara Aiyar — Appellant and Mangappa Gramani and 3 others — Respondents	To The 4th Respondent Natesan minor by his grandmother and guardian Ammani Ammal	October 6th	7th	25th	Ammaniammall the Guardian for the 4th Respondent Natesan (minor) is not to be found within the Local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for her dated 25/10/92 C.J.	This Notice has not been served on the within named Ammani Ammal the grandmother & guardian of the within named 4th Respondent Natesan as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 25th October 1892 C.J. For P. Rungaradam Sheriff Dy Sheriff R. A. Thompson	Octr 25th C.J.
Original Sidi Appeal No 22 of 1892 C.J.	C. Ramanjiah By Mr P. V. Krishnasawmy Chetty — Appellant Plaintiff and Ramanjacostum Manavaliah and 4 others — Respondents Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Ramanjacostum Manavaliah 2. Polapally Nathanayakiamah 3. Thachapally Thoyaparah 4. Thachapally Gathrajiah and 5. Thachapally Thiruvengadathan Iyah	3	4th	3rd	Thachipally Thoyaroad Thachipally Gyatterajiah Thachipally Thiruvengadathan Iyah respectively the 3rd 4th & 5th Respondents were Summoned & served personally on Sunday the 23rd October 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. The 1st Respondent Ramanjacostum Manavaliah could not be found a copy of the Notice was under instructions from the Appellant herein on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No 8 Tholasinga Perumall Koil Street Triplicane Madras in which the said 1st Respondent is said to live Ordinarily residing. The 2nd Respondent Polapally Nathanayakiamah could not be found a copy of the Notice was under instructions from the Appellant herein on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No 143 Davaraja Moodelly Street, Pedda Naicken Pettah Madras in which the said 2nd Respondent is said to live Ordinarily residing. 28th October 1892 C.J. For P. Rungaradam Sheriff Seey R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	October 28th C.J.

P. R. Sundara Aiyar Appellant's Vakil

Mr M. Venkata Ramiah Appellant's Vakil

P. V. Krishnasawmy Chetty Appellant's Vakil

C.S. Appeal No. 30 of 1892 L.D.	C. Venkata Subbu Chetty and another by Mr. C.V. Sundaram Sastri — Appellants Defendants and C. Appusundrum Chetty Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent C. Appusundrum Chetty	1892 Oct. 13th	1892 Oct. 14th	1892 Oct. 14th	The Respondent C. Appusundrum Chetty was served personally on Tuesday the 18th October 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as required by him on pencil. Dated 18th October 1892. L.D. L. Singaravelu Bailiff.	
C.S. Appeal No. 219 of 1892 L.D.	M. Pathasarathy Chetty and two others By Messrs. P. Ananda Charlu and Varadiah — Appellants (1st 4th & 8th Defts) and G. Chenchooram Chetty and another — Respondents Plaintiffs	To The Respondents. 1. G. Chenchooram Chetty and 2. G. Bayannah Chetty	13th	14th	14th	The 1st & 2nd Respondents G. Chenchooram Chetty & G. Bayannah Chetty were served on Monday the 24th day of October 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. V. Kristnasamy Chetty Vakil for the said 1st & 2nd Respondents as signed by the said P. V. Kristnasamy Chetty. Dated this 26th October 1892. L.D.	P. Peroomall Bailiff	
C.S. Appeal No. 27 of 1892 L.D.	C. Alavandiah By Mess. P. Ananda Charlu and Varadiah — Appellant 3rd Defendant and G. Chenchooram Chetty and another — Respondents Plaintiffs	To The Respondents 1. G. Chenchooram Chetty and 2. G. Bayannah Chetty	13th	14th	14th	The 1st & 2nd Respondents G. Chenchooram Chetty & G. Bayannah Chetty were served on Monday the 24th day of October 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. V. Kristnasamy Chetty Vakil for the said 1st & 2nd Respondents as signed by the said P. V. Kristnasamy Chetty. Dated the 26th October 1892. L.D.	P. Peroomall Bailiff	

Received a Charge
Appl. Petn. C.V. Sundaram Sastri
Mr. P. Ananda Charlu
Appl. Vakil

						This Notice was served by G. Ingaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Appusundrum Chetty on Tuesday the 18th day of October 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 21st October 1892. Pr. P. Ruganadam Sheriff. I Secy R. A. Thompson by Sheriff. L.D.	1892 Oct. 21st W.P. W 21/10
						This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents G. Chenchooram Chetty and G. Boyannah Chetty on Monday the 24th day of October 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. V. Kristnasamy Chetty Vakil for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 26th October 1892. Pr. P. Ruganadam Sheriff. I Secy R. A. Thompson by Sheriff. L.D.	October 26th W.P. 26/10
						This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents G. Chenchooram Chetty and G. Boyannah Chetty on Monday the 24th day of October 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. V. Kristnasamy Chetty Vakil for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 26th October 1892. Pr. P. Ruganadam Sheriff. I Secy R. A. Thompson by Sheriff. L.D.	October 26th W.P. 26/10

Original Side Appeal No. 24 of 1892

Donady Pethachy Maistry and another By Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants 3rd & 4th Defts

and

Donady Rungiah Maistry an infant by his uncle Cossor Akiah Maistry Respondent

To

The Respondent Donady Rungiah Maistry, an infant by his uncle Cossor Akiah Maistry.

1892 October 3rd

1892 October 17th

1892 November 3rd

As the within named Cossor Akiah Maistry alleged to be the uncle and guardian of the within named Respondent Donady Rungiah Maistry minor could not be found a Copy of this Notice was under instructions from the 1st Appellant herein on Tuesday the 1st day of November 92 affixed on the outer door of the house No: 17 in Nummalwar Pettah within the local limits of Madras in which the said Akiah Maistry is said ordinarily residing

1st November 1892

G.V.

Dpy. P. Rungaudaun Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Original Side Appeal No. 29 of 1892

Sabapathy Chetty Mr J. W. Laing Appellant Plaintiff

and

Ambrose Miller Hooper and three other Respondents Defendants

To

The Respondents.

1: Mr A. M. Hooper
2. Mr G. D. Coleman
3. Mr G. A. Ruppel
and
4. Mr G. Younger.

24th October 1892

26th October 1892

24th November 1892

Please serve all notices on solicitors Messrs Wilson & King for 4 Respondents vide letter dated 26th October 1892 from the Atty herein

The said 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 4th Respondents were served on Friday the 28th Oct/92 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr King a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King attorneys for the said four Respondents as signed by the said Mr King in pencil

28th Oct/92

G.V.

A Cooper Bailiff

Messrs Branson & Branson Appellant's Attys

T. W. Laing Esq. Appellant's Atty

O.S.

A. Cooper

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper, an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 4th Respondents Mr A. M. Hooper, Mr G. D. Coleman, Mr G. A. Ruppel and Mr G. Younger on Friday the 28th day of October 1892 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr H. C. King, a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King, Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr H. C. King.

31st October 1892

G.V.

For P. Rungaudaun Sheriff

Sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

Oct 31st

G.V.

31/10.

Appeal Against Order
No. 1227 of 1892.
C.P.

Vembaiyar By Mr. Ranga Row — Appellant

and

1. Tirumalachariar
2. Barathasarathy Aiyengar
3. Rungachariar all minors by their maternal uncle K. Venkatachariar — Respondent

To minor The Respondents Guardian K. Venkatachariar

1892 October 25th

1892 October 27th

1892 November 24th

As the within named K. Venkatachariar, the guardian of the (minor) Respondents, could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Tuesday the 22nd November 1892 at the outer door of the house No. 24 in South Andak Street, Triplicane Madras in which the said K. Venkatachariar is said to be ordinarily residing.

Vembaiyar
Bailiff

Dated 22nd November 1892
C.P.

3rd Defendant Original C.P. No. 371 of 1892
C.P.

Janatheswara Iyer alias Rasangam Iyer and another by the Hon'ble V. Bhashiam Iyengar — Petitioners

and

Valady Krishna Iyer — Counter-Petitioner

To The Counter-Petitioner Valady Krishna Iyer

1892 26th

1892 27th

1892 2nd

On Thursday the 27th day of October 1892 I tendered a copy of the Notice to the Counter Petitioner Valady Krishna Iyer but the said Valady Krishna Iyer having refused to acknowledge the service of the Notice on him I therupon and on the same day left with him a copy of the said Notice at door No. 62 Pingi Chetty street Black Town Madras.

Dated this 28th October 1892
C.P.

Perumall Bailiff

Substituted Service

2nd Appeal No. 531 of 1892
C.P.

Narayanasami Naicker and another By Mr. J. G. Kernan — Appellant

and

Ramalingha Chari minor by guardian Thevanai Ammal and 3 others — Respondents

To The 3rd Respondent Velli ammal

26th 27th 23rd

The 3rd Respondent Velli ammal was served personally on Thursday the 23rd day of November by — copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.

Dated the 23rd November 92

O. Tholasingam
Bailiff

C.P.

Ranga Row for Appellant

Kernan for Respondent

Ordered

Bailiff

As the within named K. Venkatachariar the guardian of the within named minor Respondents Tirumalachariar, Barathasarathy Aiyengar and Rungachariar, could not be found, a copy of this Notice was, on Tuesday the 22nd day of November 1892, affixed on the outer door of the house No. 24 in South Andak Street, Triplicane, Madras, in which the said K. Venkatachariar is said to be ordinarily residing.

1892 November

23rd

For P. Rungasamy Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

23rd November 1892

C.P.

On the 27th day of October 1892 I tendered a copy of this Notice to the within named Counter-Petitioner Valady Krishna Iyer, but the said Valady Krishna Iyer having refused to acknowledge the service of the said Notice on him I thereupon and on the same day left with him a copy of the said Notice at house No. 62, Pingi Chetty Street, Black Town, Madras.

1892 November

1st

For P. Rungasamy Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1st November 1892

C.P.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent Velli Ammal on Tuesday the 22nd day of November 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her.

1892 November

23rd

For P. Rungasamy Sheriff
Sig'd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

23rd Nov. 1892

C.P.

O.S.C. M.P. No 35 of 1892 L.Gr	Trichinopoly Natasa Iyer and another By Mr R. Sadagopa Charier Petitioner 1st & 2nd Respondents 8th April 1892 and P. A. Chockalingam Pillai — Counter Petitioner Appellant Counts	To The Counter Petitioner P. A Chockalingam Pillai	1892 Octob 26th	1892 Oct. 27th	1892 Novbr 10th	The Counter-petitioner P. A Chockalingam Pillai was personally served on Tuesday the 1st November 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 2nd November 1892. C Singaram Bailiff. L.Gr	
O.S.C. M.P. No 36 of 192 L.Gr	——do——	To The Counter Petitioner P. A Chockalingam Pillai	"	"	10th	The Counter-petitioner P. A. Chockalingam Pillai was personally served on Tuesday the 1st November 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him Dated 2nd November 1892. C Singaram Bailiff. L.Gr	
O.S. Appl No 31 of 1892 L.Gr	Madar Ummah and another By Messrs Champion and Short — Appellants Defendants and Ooree Meera Saib and another — Respondents Plaintiffs	To The Respondents 1. Ooree Meera Saib and 2. Ooree Maqudu Mahomed	24th	8th	24th	The 1st & 2nd Respondents Ooree Meera Saib & Ooree Maqudu Mahomed were served personally on Saturday the 5th day of November 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated this 5/11/92 P Veeromall Bailiff L.Gr	

Trichinopoly Natasa Iyer & another Vs P. A. Chockalingam Pillai

Madar Ummah & another Vs Ooree Meera Saib & another

C.S.	This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner P. A. Chockalingam Pillai on Tuesday the 1st day of November 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 2nd November 1892 L.Gr. Fr. P. Ranganadam Sheriff / Seg R. A Thompson by Sheriff	1892 November 2nd	C.S. W BD M 2/11
W.S.	This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner P. A Chockalingam Pillai on Tuesday the 1st day of November 92 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 2nd November 1892 L.Gr. Fr. P. Ranganadam Sheriff / Seg R. A Thompson by Sheriff	November 2nd	C.S. W BD M 2/11
W.S.	This Notice was served by P. Veeromall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Ooree Meera Saib and Ooree Maqudu Mahomed on Saturday the 5th day of November 1892 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 18th November 1892 L.Gr. Fr. P. Ranganadam Sheriff / Seg R. A Thompson by Sheriff	November 18th	C.S. W BD M 18/11

Mess Champion & Short Agents for Appellants

P Veeromall Agent

Original Ede Appeal No: 28 of 1892	Bajeenath Kusmanee By Mr. C. Ranganadam Naidu — Appellant Plaintiff and P. Kristnasawmy Naidu and another - Respondents Defendants	To The Respondents 1. P. Kristnasawmy Naidu and 2. P. Rungasawmy Naidu	1892 October 24th	1892 November 7th	1892 November 24th	On Wednesday the 9th day of November 1892 at 9 O'Clock in the forenoon an officer of the Sheriff tendered 2 certified copies of an Notice to P. Kristnasawmy Naidu and P. Rungasawmy Naidu the 1st & 2nd Respondents, but the said Respondents having refused to accept Service of the Notice on them, I thereupon affixed 2 copies of the Notice on the outer door of the house No. 12 Venkatasamy Street Moothealpettah Black Town Madras in which the said Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing. 17th November 1892 C.P.	O'Thalaivyen Bailiff
3rd Notice 2nd appeal No: 17 of 1892 C.P.	K. Pitchi Reddy by Mr. K. P. Krishnaswamy and Mr. P. Subramaniya Aiyar — Appellant and Lakshmiraghavaraya and 5 others — Respondents	To The 2nd Respondent Mir Ahmed Ali Sait	Number 5th	8th	16th	The 2nd Respondent Mir Ahmed Ali Sait was personally served on Wednesday the 9th November 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. Dated 10th November 1892 C.P.	Gangaram Bailiff
P. No: 399 1892 C.P.	Ponnuchaarammah - Petitioner Appellant in O.S. Apl. 1/92 and Vallee Ammall Counter-Petitioner (Proposed guardian of the minor Respondents of the deceased 1st Respondent in O.S.)	To The Counter-Petitioner Vallee Ammall.	Number 17th	22nd	28th	Vallee Ammall the guardian is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. 28th Nov. 1892 C.P.	Veeroomall Bailiff

On Wednesday the 9th day of November 1892 copies of this Notice were tendered to the within named Respondents P. Kristnasawmy Naidu and P. Rungasawmy Naidu, but the said Respts having refused to acknowledge the service of the said Notice on them, the said copies were thereupon, and on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No. 12 Venkatasamy Street, Moothealpettah, Black Town, Madras, in which the said Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing.

For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

17th November 1892
C.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Mir Ahmed Ali Sait on Wednesday the 9th day of November 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

10th November 1892
C.P.

This Notice has not been served on the within named Vallee Ammall the guardian of the minors herein as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

For P. Rungasawmy Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

28th Nov. 1892
C.P.

Claimant							
C J No 163 of 1892	Colappanchen Masilamony Moodelliar and three others ——— Plaintiffs and Colappanchen Panithumby Moodelliar and three others ——— Defendants. And Kali Rathna Chetty Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff Colappanchen Masilamony Moodelliyar.	1892 Nov. 24th	1892 November 24th	1892 Novemb 25th	This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Fiscal on the within named 1st Plaintiff Colappanchen Masilamony Mudaliar on Thursday the 24th day of November 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr Sivangana Moodelliar Vakil for the said 1st Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said Sivangana Moodelliar. 25th November 1892. Sgd A. Tholasingam Bailiff. Pr. P. Ruganadan Fiscal. Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Fiscal.	1892 November 25th cts Sgd
do	——— do ———	To The 2nd Plaintiff Kolappanchen Govindaraja Mudaliar	"	"	"	This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Fiscal on the within named 2nd Plaintiff Kolappanchen Govindaraja Mudaliar on Thursday the 24th day of November 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr Sivangana Moodelliar Vakil for the said 2nd Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said Sivangana Moodelliar. 25th November 1892. Sgd A. Tholasingam Bailiff. Pr. P. Ruganadan Fiscal. Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Fiscal.	November 25th cts Sgd
do	——— do ———	To The 3rd Plaintiff Collappanchen Tiruvengada Mudaliar	"	"	"	This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Fiscal on the within named 3rd Plaintiff Collappanchen Tiruvengada Mudaliar on Thursday the 24th day of November 1892 by a Copy of the Summons and a Copy of the Plaint being delivered to Mr Sivangana Moodelliar Vakil for the said 3rd Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said Sivangana Moodelliar. 25th November 1892. Sgd A. Tholasingam Bailiff. Pr. P. Ruganadan Fiscal. Sgd R. A. Thompson Dy Fiscal.	November 25th cts Sgd

Claim C.J. No. 163 of 1892 C.J.	Colappanchen Masilamany Mudaliar and three others — Plaintiff and Colappanchen Para thamby Moodelliar and three others — Defendants and Kali Ruthna Chettiar Claimant	To The 4th Plaintiff Colappanchen Appadorai Mudaliar	1892 November 24	1892 November 24th	1892 November 25th	This Notice is returned unserved as the within named 4th Plaintiff Colappanchen Appadorai Mudaliar is said to be dead as appears by the above endorsement made by Mr Veikangana Moodelliar Vakil. 25th November 1892 } Bailiff Pte P. Rungnadam Sheriff Sgt R. A. Thompson by Sheriff C.J.	1892 November 25th	
		requests to serve on Mr Sivagnana Mood. Vakil for Plffs ~~Vakil~~ on behalf of the 1.2.3.4th Plffs vide letter 7/24th Nov/92 from Claimants Vakil C.J.						
2 Appeal No. 1789 of 1891. Ag	Alagappachetty By the Advocate General — Appellant and R. G. Orr and two others — Respondents	To The 3rd Respondent the deceased Mr Rhyan's legal representative Mr E. F. Stranack Secretary to the Commercial Land Mortgage Bank of Madras	22nd	25th	December 12th	This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Mr E. F. Stranack on Friday the 25th day of November 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr Sutherland Orr, a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay Morgan and Orr, Attorneys for the said Mr E. F. Stranack, as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr Sutherland Orr. 29th November 1892 } Pte P. Rungnadam Sheriff Sgt R. A. Thompson by Sheriff C.J.	November 29th	29.11.92
		Mr E. F. Stranack was served on Friday the 25th Nov/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A. Orr a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay, Morgand Orr attys for the said Mr E. F. Stranack 25th Nov 1892 } W. H. Middleton Bailiff						
C.M. P. No. 1060 of 1892 C.J.	T. Krishnamachari & 27 others by Mr J. Ambrose — Petitioners vs and Anjanam alias Polium son of Peria Murugan Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner C. Anjanam alias Polium.	24th	25th	November 30th	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Anjanam alias Polium on Saturday the 26th day of November 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil wrote by him. 28th Nov 1892 } Pte P. Rungnadam Sheriff Dft R. A. Thompson by Sheriff C.J.	Novr 28th	28.11.92
		The Counter-Petitioner Anjanam alias Polium was served personally on Saturday the 26th day of November/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 28th November/92 C.J.						

2ª Notice C.M.P. Nº 9185 1892	Lakshmidevi Ammal By Mr P. Nadesamme Chetty — Petitioner and Seetharam Chetty & anr — Counter Petitioner	To The 2ª Counter Petitioner Raghavulu Chetty	1892 Nov 24	1892 Nov 25	1892 Dec 12	The 2ª counter petitioner Raghavulu Chetty was personally served on Monday the 12th December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 12th December 1892 } C. Singaram Bailiff	Mr P. Nadesamme Chetty Petr's Vakil	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2ª Counter Petitioner Raghavulu Chetty on Monday the 12th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 12th Dec 1892 } For P. Rungasamy Sheriff Dy/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1892 Dec 12 C&P JPM 12.12.92
C.R.P. Nº 579 of 1892	— Do —	To The 2ª Counter Pet Raghavulu Chetty	"	"	22d	The 2ª counter petitioner Raghavulu Chetty was personally served on Monday the 12th December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 12th December 1892 } C. Singaram Bailiff	do	This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2ª Counter Petitioner Raghavulu Chetty on Monday the 12th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 12th Dec 1892 } For P. Rungasamy Sheriff Dy/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Dec 12 C&P JPM 12.12.92
3ª Notice 2ª Appl Nº 729 of 1892	O. Papu Reddy & anr by Mr M. O. Parthasarathy Ayyangar — Appellant and Venkata Varada-rajulu Naidu & 3 Ors — Respondents	To The 1st 3ª & 4th Respondents 1. Venkata Varada-rajulu Naidu 3. Venkatrangam Naidu and 4. Venkatapathi Naidu	28d	30th	22d	1st Respondent Venkata Varadarajulu Naidu was served on Tuesday the 20th December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the adult brother of the said 1st Respondent Venkata Ramanjulu Naidu as signed by the said L. Venkata Ramanjulu Naidu. The 3rd & 4th Respondents Venkatrangam Naidu and Venkatapathi Naidu were served on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them. Dated this 21st December 1892 } L. Peroomall Bailiff.	Mr O. Parthasarathy Ayyangar Appellant's Vakil. L. Peroomall	This Notice was served by L. Peroomall an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Venkata Varadarajulu Naidu on Tuesday the 20th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to by a copy of the Notice being delivered to L. Venkata Ramanjulu Naidu alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said L. Venkata Ramanjulu Naidu. This Notice was served by the said officer on the within named 3ª & 4th Respondents Venkatrangam Naidu and Venkatapathi Naidu on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively. 21st Dec 1892 } For P. Rowlandson Sheriff Dy/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Dec 21st C&P JPM 21.12.92

2-appl^{t} No 1007 of 92 C.V.	Rangammal & Mr. V. Raghavendra Row — Appellant and Ramachendra Row & 5 others — Respts.	To The 4th Respondent Layammal.	1892 Nov^{r} 30th	1892 Decr 2nd	1893 Janr 9th

The 4th Respondent Layammal is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Jurisdiction although search has been made for her.

9th January 1893

O. Thotasingam Bailiff

Mr. V. Raghavendra Row Appellant's Vakil

This Notice has not been served on the within named 4th Respondent Layammal as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

9th January 1893

C.V.

For & For F. Rowlandson Sheriff

Sig^{d}/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

1893 Janr 9th C.V. 10/1/93

C.R.O. No 458 of 92 C.V.	Gundra Gopalan By Mr. P. R. Sundara Aiyar — Petitioner and (1) Venkata Varada Aiyengar & (2) his son Lamanna Aiyengar — Counter — Petitioner	To The 2nd Counter Petitioner Lamanna Aiyengar	Decr 5th	Decr 6th	Janr 11th

The 2nd Counter petitioner Lamanna Aiyengar was personally served on Tuesday the 10th January 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

Dated 10th January 1893.

C. Ringaraw. Bailiff.

Mr. P. R. Sundara Aiyar Petitioner's Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Counter-Petitioner Lamanna Aiyengar on Tuesday the 10th day of January 1893 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered thereof as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

10th January 1893

C.V.

For P. Rowlandson Sheriff

Sig^{d}/ R. A. Thompson by Sheriff

January 10th C.V. W/ 10/1/93

Appeal against Order No 126 of 92 C.V.	Sreeman Shadagopa Sreenivasa Swami alias Vanjiparam Rungachariar By Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Sahib — Appellant and Anantha Kuppa naiyengar & 3 others Respts	To The 2nd Respondent A. Aiyathurai Aiyengar	do	do	do

The 2nd Respondent A. Aiyathurai Aiyengar was served personally on Thursday the 8th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink.

Dated 8th December 1892

C.V.

P. Peroomall Bailiff

Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Sahib Appellant's Vakil

P. Peroomall

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent A. Aiyathurai Aiyengar on Thursday the 8th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

8th December 1892

C.V.

For P. Rungaraw Sheriff

Sig^{d}/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff

Decr 8th C.V. W/ 8.12.92

2 Appeal No 1135 of 1892 C.P.	T. Krishnamachari and 7 others By Mr. A. T. Ambrose ——— Appellants and Anjanam alias Palim wife of Pena Mungan Respondents	To The Respondent Anjanam alias Palim	1892 December 8:	1892 December 10:	1893 January 19:	The Respondent Anjanam alias Palim was served personally on Friday the 16th December/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him signed by him in pencil Dated this 16th December/92 C.P.	O. Thelasingam Bailiff
Original Side Appeal No 32 of 1892 C.P.	The Hindu National Bank Permanent Savings Branch Limited by its Liquidators G. Varadiah Naidu and another By Mr. R. Balaji Row & Mr. P. M. Srinivasa Iyengar ——— Appellants Plaintiffs and M. Ghulam Dastagir Sahib and another ——— Respondents Defendants	To The Respondents M. Gulam Dastagir Sahib & 2. Hoossain Bee Bee Ammall	November 16th	December 12:	December 16:	the 1st Respondent M. Gulam Dastagir Sahib was personally served on Tuesday the 13th December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the same day the 2nd Respondent Hoossain Bee Bee Ammall was served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 1st Respondent alleged to be the adult son of the said 2nd Respondent as signed in pencil by the said 1st Respondent for 2nd Respondent. Dated 13th December 1892 C. Srinivasam Bailiff	
Civil Appeal No 35 of 1892 C.P.	Frederic Rowlandson Official Assignee In Re-Petition & Schedule of Anne Smith Insolvent Debtor — Appellant and Messrs Champion & Short Mortgagees — Respondents	To The Respondents Messrs Champion & Short.	Decr 10:	Decr 14.	1893 Jany 13:	Mr James Short a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short attorneys was served on Thursday the 15th Decr/92 with a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice. 15th Decr/92.	C. Srinivasam Bailiff

Messrs Barclay, Morgan & Orr Appellants Attorneys

Messrs Champion & Short Attorneys for Respondents

Messrs A. T. Ambrose Appellant's Vakil

This Notice was served by O. Thelasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Anjanam alias Palliam on Friday the 16th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 20th December 1892 C.P.	For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sign/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1892 Decr 20
This Notice was served by C. Srinivasam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt M. Gulam Dastagir Sahib on Tuesday the 13th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. This Notice was served by the said officer on the within named 2nd Respondent Hoossain Bee Bee Ammall on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent M. Gulam Dastagir Sahib alleged to be the son of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 1st Respondent. 13th December 1892 C.P.	For P. Ramaswamy Sheriff Sign/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1892 Decr 13 13.12.92.
This Notice was served by C. M. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Champion & Short on Thursday the 15th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr James Short a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Champion & Short, Attorneys of the High Court, Madras. 15th December 1892 C.P.	For P. Ramaswamy Sheriff Sign/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	Decr 15 15.12.92.

Appeal Against Order No 150 of 1892 only 2 Copies were furnished C.P.	Mr R. Fischer By Mr H.G. Wedderburn - Appellant and Sreemathu Muthu Vijia Ragunatha Raja Udayana Thevar and 3 others Respondents	To The 2d to 4th Respondents 2d Mr R.G. Orr and ~~3rd & 4th E.F. Stranack~~ ~~for himself~~ 3d & 4th Respondents Mr E.F. Stranack for himself and as representative of the late J. Ryan the 4th Respondent	1892 Decmbr 12	1892 December 15th	1893 Janry 11th	Mr R. G. Orr was served on Monday the 19th Decr/92 by a copy of the Notice being delivd to him and Mr E.F. Stranack was served on the same day both for himself and for the late J. Ryan as signed by them respectively in ink. 20th Decr/92 A Cooper Bailiff C.P.
5 Notice C.R.P. No 323 of 1892 C.P.	Parasa Rama Patter by Mr C.R. Pattabhi Rama Iyer - Petitioner and Parrat Veetil Sika and 3 others Counter Petitioners	To The 3d Counter Petitioner Appu Kutta alias Subramanyan Nather	1892 Decmbr 12th	1892 December 15th	1892 Decmbr 22	The 3d Counter Petitioner Appu Kutta alias Subramanyan Nather is not to be found although search has been made for him. Dated 21st December 1892 C.P. O Peroomall Bailiff
5 Notice C.M.P. No 1033 of 1891 C.P.	Srimanta Raja Yerlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Nardoo Bahadoor Zamindar garoo by Mr C R Patta bhirama Aiyar - Petitioner and Mr Sudasivamma and 19 others Counter-Petitioners	To The 5th Counter Petitioner Makarla Venkata-sawmi Nardoo	1893 Janry 13th	1893 January 15th	1893 Janry 11th	The 5th Counter Petitioner Makarla Venkatasawmi Naidoo is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. 10th January/93 O Tholasingam Bailiff C.P.

Mr H.G. Wedderburn Appellant

Mr C.R. Pattabhi Rama Iyer Petitioner

Mr R. Fischer

O.S. 7

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Mr R. G. Orr on Monday the 19th day of December 1892 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the 3d Respondent Mr E. F. Stranack on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him on behalf of himself and as a representative on behalf of the late Mr J. Ryan the 4th Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr E. F. Stranack.

C.P. 22d Decr 1892

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sd/ R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

This Notice has not been served on the within named 3d Counter Petitioner Appukutti alias Subramanyan Nather as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.

22d Decr 1892

C.P.

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sd/ R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

This Notice has not been served on the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Makarla Venkatasawmi Naidoo as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him.

10th January 1893

C.P.

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sd/ R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

1892 Decr 22d 8 P.M. 22.12.92

Decr 22d 8 P.M. 22.12.92

1893 January 10th 8 P.M. 10.1.93

3 Notice

C.N. P.N. 673 of 1892 C.J.	Gnana Parama Pandesivar by Mr. P.R. Sundara Aiyer Petitioner and John Dorairagayam Pillay and 8 others Counter-Petitioners	To The 2d Counter-Petitioners S. Daniel Nadarayson of Savuri Adayan	1892 Decmbr 14:	1892 Decmbr 17:	1893 Jany 11:	The 2d counter-petitioner S. Daniel Nadar was personally served on Tuesday the 10th January 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 10th January 1893 C. Singaram Bailiff.	1893. January 10:
C.J.C. M.P. No. 45 of 1892 C.J. only one copy was furnished	Abdul Razaac Sahib and another By Messrs Champion & Ehot Petitioners 1st & 2d Respts C.S. Ap 26/92 and 1. Abdul Kather Sahib and 2. Abdul Sathar Sahib minors by their next friend Jumma Bee Counter-Petitioners (Appellants and)	To The Counter Petitioners 1. Abdul Kathar Sahib and 2. Abdul Sathar Sahib minors by their next friend Jumma Bee	19:	21:	16:	Jumma Bee the next friend of the counter-petitioners Abdul Kather Sahib and Abdul Sathar Sahib (minors) was served on Sunday the 15th day of January 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V.A. Abdul Razaac Saib alleged to be the son-in-law of the said Jumma Bee as signed & left by the said V.A. Abdul Razaac Saib. Dated 16th January 1893 C. Singaram. Bailiff.	This Notice was, on Sunday the 15th day of January 1893, served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Jumma Bee, the next friend of the within named Counter-Petitioners Abdul Kathar Sahib and Abdul Sathar Saib minors by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. A. Abdul Razaac Saib alleged to be the son in law of the said Jumma Bee as appears by the above endorsement & made by the said V. A. Abdul Razaac Saib 16th Jany/93 Sigd F. Rowlandson Sheriff	Janr 16:
Claim C.J. No. 12200 of 1892 on the file of the Court of small Causes C.J.	S. Chinnapapiah Gam Plaintiff vs T. Appadurai Mudaliar Defendant and Manonmani Ammell Claimant	To The Plaintiff S. Chinnapapiah Gam	16:	22	17:		

P.R. Sundara Aiyar Petitioner

Champion & Ehot Petitioners Solrs

Pd

T.T. Seshagiri Aiyar Interpreter to Vakils

Pd

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Counter-Petitioner S. Daniel Nadar on Tuesday the 10th day of January 1893 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered thereon as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him 10th January 1893 Per F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd R.A. Thompson by Sheriff

C.J. 10/1/93

This Notice was, on Sunday the 15th day of January 1893, served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Jumma Bee, the next friend of the within named Counter-Petitioners Abdul Kathar Saib and Abdul Sathar Saib minors by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. A. Abdul Razaac Saib alleged to be the son in law of the said Jumma Bee as appears by the above endorsement & made by the said V. A. Abdul Razaac Saib 16th Janr/93 Sigd F. Rowlandson Sheriff

Janr 16: F.R. 16.1.93

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff S. Chinnapapiah Gam on Saturday the 24th day of December 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered thereon as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. 24th December 1892 Sgd C. Singaram Bailiff Per F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd R.A. Thompson by Sheriff

January 9: Decr

Claim 65

No 12199 of 1892 on the file of the Madras Court of small Causes

S. Chinnapapiah Garu — Plaintiff — vs — J. Appadurai Mudaliar — 2d Defendant — and — Maroomani Ammall — Claimant

To The Plaintiff S. Chinnapapiah Garu

1892 December 16th

1892 December 22nd

1893 January 17th

Original Side Appeal No 33 of 1892

J. V. Lutchmana Row By Mr. J. Venkatasubba Iyer and his J. Madhava Row — Appellant (2nd Defendant) — and — 1. J. L. Atmaram Row; 2. Jooga Bhai alias Janaki Bhai Ammall; 3. J. V. Rajaram Row; 4. J. V. Tholgaram Row; 5. Sanghi Bhoyee Ammall; 6. Venkata Row, minor, by his father — Respondents

Affts 1st & 6th Defdts

To The 1st and 4th Respondents 1. J. L. Atmaram Row + 4. J. V. Tholgaram Row

1893 January 10th

February 15th

22nd

The 4th Respondent J. V. Tholgaram Row was served personally on Friday 10th day of Feb/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

The 1st Respondent Atmaram Row could not be found, a copy of the Notice was affixed on Sunday the 12th day of Feb/93 on the outer door of the House No 9 Siva mootha Moodelly Street Mootheal-Pettah Black Town Madras in which the said 1st Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

13th Feb/93

O. Tholasingum Bailiff

J. V. Tholgaram Row

Respondent

This Notice was served by me O Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff S. Chinnapapiah Garu on Saturday the 24th day of December 1892 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

24th December 1892

O. V.

Serg O Singaram Bailiff

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff

Serg R. A Thompson Dy Sheriff

1893 January 9th

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Respondent J. V. Tholjaram Row on Friday the 10th day of February 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

As the within named 1st Respondent J. L. Atmaram Row could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Sunday the 12th day of Feb/93 affixed on the outer door of the house No 9 Siva Mootheea Moodelly Street, Mootheal pettah Black Town Madras in which the said 1st Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

15th Feby 1893

C. P.

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff

Sgd R. A Thompson Dy Sheriff

Feby 15th

15.2.93

C.S.C.		To	1892 Dec 2	1893 Jany	1893 Jany	As the within named Counter-Petitioner Vallee Ammall could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Sunday the 15th day of January 1893 affixed by P. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 10 in Lepoye Cherry Cox Road, Chintadrepet Madras in which the said Vallee Ammall is said to be Ordinarily residing.	1893 Jany 16: C&C FR 8 Pr 16.1.93
This Petition No. 39 of 1892 C.P.	P. Nauchiaramma by M.K.P. Viswanadha Iyer — Petitioner (Appt. in OS Appl. 14/92) and Vallee Ammall — Counter Petitioner (Proposed guardian of the minor Respdts of the deceased Respdt in do)	The Counter-Petitioner Vallee Ammall	18:	14:	16:	16th January 1893 } C.P.	
						This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Somasundara Moodelly and Rajagopala Naidu on Monday the 6th day of February 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them.	Feby 7th C&C 7.2.93
C.R.P. No. 466 of 1892. C.P.	C. Kothandapani Mudali By Mr A.E. Rencontre — Petitioner and Somasundaram Mudali and another — Counter Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 1. Somasundara Mudali + 2. Rajagopala Naidu	14:	17:	8:	7th February 1893 } For. F. Rowlandson Sheriff. By R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff.	
						The 1st & 2nd counter petitioners Somasundara Mudali and Rajagopala Naidu were severally served personally on Monday the 6th February 1893 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil. Dated 6th February 1893	Feby 9th C&C 9.2.93
C.J. Appeal 390 of 1892 E.B.	Frederick Rowlandson the Official Assignee and as such the trustee of the Estate & effects of the 1st Defendant A. Cundasawmy Mudaly on Insolvent Side for By Sam Barclay Bonyan & Orr — Appellant 2nd Defts and Yarlagadda T. Ramanna Naidu + another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Yarlagadda T. Ramanna Naidu + 2. Yarlagadda Naidu	1893 Jan 18: 14:			This Notice was served by P. Veeromall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2nd Respondents Yarlagadda T. Ramanna Naidu and Yarlagadda Naidu on Tuesday the 7th day of February 1893 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to P. T. Kamiah alleged to be the Agent of the said 1st & 2nd Respondents as appears by the endorsement made by the said P. T. Kamiah in ink. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff By R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	Feby 9th C&C 9.2.93
		alleged to be the Respondents as said P. Kamiah.	14:			The 1st & 2nd Respondents Yarlagadda T. Ramanna Naidu and Yarlagadda Naidu were served on Tuesday the 7th day of February 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to P. Kamiah agent of the said 1st & 2nd signed in ink by the	
		Dated this 9th February 1893				P. Veeromall Bailiff	

C.P. No. 46 of 92	P. Moonoosawmy Pillay By Att'y V. Seshagiri Aiyar Petitioner (Appeal O.S. 998. 36/92) and Hayathmeah Saheb — Counter Petitioner (Respondent under)	To The Counter-Petitioner Hayathmeah Saheb	1892 Dec 23	1893 Jany 18	1893 Jany 23	The Counter-Petitioner Hayathmeah Saheb is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 23rd Jany/93	O. Hawkins Bailiff
C.P. No. 97 of 1891	M. Govinda Row minor by his next friend M. Rama Row — Plaintiff and W. G. Rowley and Richard Watson alias Mudusawmi Naidoo — Defendants	To the Defendant W. G. Rowley and	1893 Jany 19	1893 Jany 21	1893 Jany 25		
do	do	To the 2nd Defendant Richard Watson alias Mudusawmi Naidoo				The 1st and 2nd Defendants the W. G. Rowley and Richard Watson alias Naidoo alias Mudusawmi Naidoo were personally served on Monday the 23rd January 1893, each by a copy of the Petition being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated 23rd January 1893. C. Singaram Bailiff.	

C. Singaram
Bailiff
M. Rama Row
next friend of Plaintiff

					1893 January 23	This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioner Hayathmeah Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 23rd January 1893. Hon. F. Rowlandson Sheriff Per R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	23.1.93
					1893 January 24	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Defendants W. G. Rowley and Richard Watson alias Mudusawmi Naidoo on Monday the 23rd day of January 1893 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. 24th January 1893. Hon. F. Rowlandson Per R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	

69 No. 255 of 1892	Gajaraja Moodelliar Plaintiff and Major H. K. Arnold Defendant	To The Plaintiffs Vakil Mahadava Iyer.	1893 Jany 20:	1893 Jany 23	1893 Jany 27:	The Plaintiff Gajaraja Moodelliar's Vakil was served on Wednesday the 25th day of January 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. Mahadava Iyer Vakil for the said Plaintiff assigned in ink by the said Mr. Mahadava Iyer. Dated 25 January 1893	Personmall Bailiff	This Notice was served by P. Swamallan Officer of the Sheriff on Wednesday the 25th day of January 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mahadava Iyer Vakil for the Plaintiff herein as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 26 January 1893 — Fr. F. Rowlandson Sheriff. I Serg't R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1893 January 26
Original Side Appeal No. 41 of 1892	T. Nates a Iyer by his next friend T. Vaythianatha Iyer and another By Mr. K. Krishnaswamy Chariyar Appellants (Plaintiff) and P. A. Chockalinga Pillai Respondent (1st Defendant)	To The Respondent P. A. Chockalinga Pillai	2½	2½	2½	The Respondent P. A. Chockalinga Pillai was personally served on Tuesday the 7th February 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 7th February 1893 — Biyaram Bailiff.		This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. A. Chockalinga Pillay on Tuesday the 7th day of Febmary 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him in pencil. 13th Febmary 1893 — Fr L. Rowlandson Sheriff. — R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff.	Feby 13
6 R. P. No. 655 of 1892	Seshan Patter and another by Ahmed Hussain Petitioners and Mr. L. S. Moss Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Mr. L. S. Moss	23	25:	15½	The Counter-Petitioner L. S. Moss Esq. Agent & Manager Madras Railway Co. was served on Saturday the 28th day of January 1893 by a copy of the notice being delivered to A. Edwards Esq. Asst Agent & Manager and signed by him in ink for the said L. S. Moss Esq. 30 January 1893 — W. H. Middleton Bailiff.	Ahmed Hussain Petitioner	This notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on Saturday the 28th day of January 1893 by a copy of the notice being delivered to A. Edwards Esq. Asst Agent & Manager, Madras Railway Company, Royapuram, Madras for L. S. Moss Esq. the Counter Petitioner herein as appears by the above endorsement made by him in ink. 31 January 1893 — for F. Rowlandson Sheriff. — R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff.	Jany 31

2d App. No 1475 of 1892 Sm	Chedambaram Chetty and 2 Ors By Mr S. Subramanyam & Anr —Appellants and Mr R. G. Orr & 2 Others —Respondts	To The 1st Respondent Mr R. G. Orr for himself and as duly constituted Agent to the 2d Respt Mr E. F. Stranack, who is also the Executor & legal representative of the deceased 3d Respdt Mr J. Rhyan residing at Mylapore.	1893 Janr 25	1893 Janr 26	1893 Febry 27
Appeal against order No 1429 of 1892 do	T. V. Soobjaraw Row by Mr R Balajee Row Appellant and Venkatesa Tanakar & 7 Ors Respondts	To The 2d Respondent 5 T. Moorooyasa Moodr 6 V. R. Runga Charrier 7 Gopalathasoo and 8 Narasee jugjeevan To The 6th Respondent V R Runga Charrier	26	30.	22

The 5th Respondent T. Moorooyasa Moodr and Gopala Thasoo were personally served on each by the 1st February 1893 being delivered to them & Sign'd by them.

On same day the 8th Respondent Narasee Jugjeevan was personally served by Copy of the Notice being delivered to Pethumbee Narasee alleged to be the adult son of the said 8th Respondent as appears by the Sign'd by the said Pethumbee Narasee.

The 6th Respondent V. R. Runga Charrier is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 20th February 1893

C Binjaraw.
Bailiff.

Mr S. Subramanyam for Appellants

R Balajee Row
Appellants Vakil

Mr. Wm.

This Notice was served by C. W. B. Shaw an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mr R. G. Orr for himself and as the duly Constituted Agent to the 2d Respondent M. E. F. Stranack on Monday the 30th day of Janr/93 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Mr R. G. Orr as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

20th February 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sign'd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 5th & 7th Respondts T. Moorooyasa Moodr and Gopala thasoo on Saturday the 4th day of Febr/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 8th Respondent Narasee Jugjeevan on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Pethumbee Narasee alleged to be the adult son of the said 8th Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said Pethumbee Narasee.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 6th Respondent V. R. Runga Charrier, as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

21st Febry 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sign'd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1893
Febry
20th
C.W.B.
8/93
20.2.93.

1893
Febry
21st
C.W.B.
8/93
21.2.93

Appeal against order No 1427 1892	V. Toolsaram Rowlay Mr. R. Balajee Row Appellant and Venkatasa Sastakal & Thro Respondents.	To The 7th Respondent Gopalakrasoo	1893 Janry 26	1893 Janry 30	1893 Feby 22.
do.	do.	To The 8th Respondent Ramasengiengaru	"	"	"
Appeal No 36 of 1892	P. Poonoosawmy Pillay by Mr. T. V. Seshagri Aiyar Appellant —(Plaintiff) and Hayathmeah Sahib Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent Hayathmeah Sahib.	Janry 21st	Feby 1st	Feby 21st

The Respondent Hayathmeah Sahib was served personally on Friday the 3d day of February 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated this 4 February 1893

J.B. P. Veeramall
Bailiff

Mr. R. Balajee Row
Vakil for Appellant

Mr. T. V. Seshagri Aiyar
Vakil for Appellant

P. Veeramall

1893.

This Notice was served by P. Veeramall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Hayathmeah Sahib on Friday the 3d day of Febmary 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him in pencil.

13th February 1893

For T. Rowlandson.
Sheriff.

Sd/- R. A. Thompson.
Dy. Sheriff.

Feby 13th
" "
" "
13.2.93

Claim & Sho. 70 of 1892 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes. J.B.	Moolchund Sowcar Plaintiff and J. Appadurai Moodelly Defendant and Manonmany Ammall Claimant	To The Plaintiff Moolchund Sowcar	1893 Jany 27th	1893 Feby 6th	1893 Feby 17th	Mr. J. Wardagnidyer Claimants Vakil	This notice was served by me C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Moolchund Sowcar on Wednesday the 8th day of February 1893 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. Dated 9th February 1893. sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff For T. Rowlandson Sheriff sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1893. Feby 13th C.S. W. Seal
Claim & Sho. 71 of 1892 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes. J.B.	Moolchund Sowcar Plaintiff and J. Appadurai Moodelly Defendant and Manonmany Ammall Claimant Moolchund Sowcar	To The 1st Plaintiff Moolchund Sowcar	"	"	"	do	This notice was served by me C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff Moolchund Sowcar on Wednesday the 8th day of February 1893 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. Dated 9th February 1893. sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff For T. Rowlandson Sheriff sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff.	Feby. 13th C.S. W. Seal
do J.B.	— do — 2nd Plff	To The 2nd Plff H Hariedoss Sowcar	"	"	"	do	This Notice has not been served on the within named 2nd Plaintiff Harridoss Sowcar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 17th Feby 1893. C.S. sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff For T. Rowlandson Sheriff sd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff.	Feby 17th C.S. W. Seal

Substituted service.

Appeal No. 531 of 1892. Dt.	Narrainsawmi Naicken Iaur. by J. G. Keenan Esq. — Appellant and Ramalinga Acharry (minor) by his guardian Davani Ammall & 3 ors. — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent Kothandapani Acharri.	1893 Feby 8th	1893 Feby 10th	1893 March 2nd	The 2d Respondent Kothandapani Acharri could not be found, a copy of the notice was affixed on the outer door of the house No. 14 Kalappa Achary Street Black Town on the 1st day of March/93 and the said 2d Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. Dated this 1st March/93. O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Os Appl. No. 40 of 92 Dt.	Mawalu Ammall Iaur by Mr. T. Madhava Row ——— Appellant Petr. and Pooathandlum Thermengada Pillai — Respondt Defendt.	To The Respondent Pooathandlum Thermengada Pillai.	Janry 21st	18th	Feby 21st	The Respondent Pooathandlum Thermengada Pillai was served personally on Sunday the 19th February 1893 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil. Dated 20th February 1893. C. Singaram Bailiff
2d Ors. Os C.M. P. No. 46 of 92 Dt.	P. Moonoosawmy Pillay by Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Aiyer Petitioner and Hayathmeah Sahib — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petr. Hayathmeah Sahib	Febry 9th	Feby 20th	Feby 23d	The counter Petitioner Hayathmeah Sahib was served personally on Wednesday the 22d day of February 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 22d February 1893. C. Perroumall Bailiff

J. G. Keenan Esq. Counsel for Appellants.

Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Aiyer Petr's Counsel

Mr. T. Rom Counsel for Respondent

	As the within named 2d Respondent Kothandapani Achari could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Wednesday the 1st day of March/93 affixed by O. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 14 Kalappa Achary Street Black Town Madras in which the said 2d Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. 1st March 1893. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1893 March 1st
	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Poonthandlum Thermengada Pillai on Sunday the 19th day of February/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 20th Febr 1893. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Febry 20th
	This Notice was served by P. Perroumall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Hayathmeah Sahib on Wednesday the 22d day of Febr/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 22d Feby 1893. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Feby 22d

2d-Appl. No 901 of 91	Gnanaparna Upadesi by Mr. S. Subramanyam Sastri — Appellant and Samiradiar & 10 others — Respondts	To The 4th Respondt S. Daniel Nadar	1893 Feby 17th	1893 Feby 20th	1893 March 20th
		The 4th Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court ale adus although search has been made for him. 20 March 93		S Daniel Nadar O Thalayingiyan Bailiff	
2d Appeal No 1007 of 1892	Rungammall by Mr. H Raghavendra Row — Appellant and Ramachendra Row and 5 Others — Respondts	To The 3d Respondent Janaki Ammall	20th	22	13th
		The 4th Respondent Dayammall.			
		On Thursday the 23d February 1893 I visited a copy of the Notice to the 4th Respondent Rungammall, but she refused to accept the service and thereupon on the same day affixed the said copy of the Notice in the outer door of the house No 2/3 in Palayappen Street Black Town. And on Tuesday the 28th February 1893 I visited a copy of the Notice to the 3rd Respondent Janaki ammall, but she refused to accept the service and thereupon the same day affixed the said copy of the Notice on the outer door of the house No 41 Meenjoor Appavoo Modeliar Street Black Town Madras			
		Dated 2d March 1893.			C Rungaraw Bailiff
Dis Appl. No 5 of 93	V. Moorooga as a Moodr by Messrs Champion & Short — Appellant 2ff and V. Thungavalu Moodr — Respondt 1st Defdt	To The Respondent V. Thungavalu Mudr	23d	27th	23d
		The Respondent V Thungavalu Moodelly was served personally on Monday the 27th day of February 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.			
		Dated this 28th Feburary 1893			C Perumall Bailiff

Mr. S. Subramanyan Ayyar — Appellant's Vakil

Mr. H Raghavendra Row — Appellant's Vakil

Messrs Champion & Short — Appellant's Attorneys

Mr. Perumall — Appellant's Vakil

O.S.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 4th Respt. S. Daniel Nadar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for him.

20th March 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.J.

On Thursday the 23d February 1893 a copy of this Notice was tendered to the within named 4th Respt. Sayammall, but the said Sayammall having refused to accept the service of the said Notice on her, the said copy was thereupon and on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No 2/3 in Palayappen Street, Black Town, Madras in which the said Sayammall is said to be ordinarily residing.

6th March 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.J.

This Notice was served by Perumall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. Thungavalu Mudaliar on Monday the 27th day of February 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

28th Feby 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

C.J.

1893 March 20th — 20.3.93

March 6th — 6.3.93

Feby 28th — 28/2

C.R.P. No 16 of 1893	Perumal Nattan by Mr T.N. Narasimhachariar — Petitioner and Selva Pillay Nain — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Selva Pillay Nain	1893 Feby 24th	1893 Feby 27th	1893 March 13th	The Counter-Petitioner Selva Pillay Nain was served on Tuesday the 7th day of March/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 7th March/93 O Thelasingam a/Bailiff
O/S C.M. O. No 2 1893	A. Govindiah Chetty & 12 others by Mr T. Biligiri Iyengar — Petitioners and V. Ragava Charyan & 13 others — Counter Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 1. V. Ragavacharyan 2. T. Sreenivasa Iyengar 3. E.N. Annasamy Mudr 4. M. Gambaugy Nain 5. N. Venkataramanjulu Chetty 6. P.N. Singaravelu Mudr 7. M.N. Vijiyaragava Mudr 8. V.T. Karikrishna Pillai 9. T.N. Varatharaja Nayakar 10. M Cooppu Chetty 11. A. Kristna Reddiar 12. Loros Govindarajulu Naidu 13. K. Venkatachalam 14. I.J. Parthasarathy Pillai The Proposed Durmakartha Raghunatha Pillai The Do — Do — L. Venkatarangum Naidu	1893 March 24th	1893 March 28th	1893 March 3rd	The counter petitioners 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th 10th 11th 12th and 13th T Kreniwasa Iyengar, N. Annasamy Mudr, M. Gambaugy Naidu, N. Venkataramanjulu Chetty, P.N. Singaravelu Mudr, M.N. Vijiyaragava Mudr, V.T. Karikrishna Pillai, T.N. Varatharaja Nayakar, M. Cooppu Chetty, A. Kristna Reddiar, Loros Govindarajulu Naidu, and Venkatachalam were served on Wednesday the 1st March 1893, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Messrs R. Branson partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson attorneys for the said counter petitioners all signed by the said R Branson. the 14th counter petitioner I.J. Parthasarathy Pillai and the proposed Durmakartha Raghunatha Pillai were served personally on Wednesday the 1st March 1893. each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them all signed by them. the 1st counter petitioner and the Proposed Durmakartha V. Raghava charyan and L. Venkatarangum Naidu were personally served on Thursday the 2nd March 1893. each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them all signed by them. Dated 2nd March 1893. C Sujaram Bailiff.

Mr T.N. Narasimhachariar Petitioner's Vakil

O/S

Mr S. Biligiri Iyengar Petitioner's Attorney

		This Notice was served by O. Thelasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Selva Pillay Nain on Tuesday the 7th day of March/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 8th March 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Dy/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1893 March 8th			
		This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd to 13th Counter Petitioners T. Sreenivasa Iyengar, E.N. Annasamy Mudr, M. Gambaugy Nain, N. Venkataramanjulu Chetty, P.N. Singaravelu Mudr, M.N. Vijiyaragava Mudr, V.T. Karikrishna Pillai, T.N. Varatharaja Nayakar, M. Cooppu Chetty, A. Kristna Reddiar, Loros Govindarajulu Naidu and K. Venkatachary on Wednesday the 1st day of March/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr Reddy Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson attorneys for the said Counter Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr Reddy Branson. This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 14th Counter Petitioner I.J. Parthasarathy Pillai and the Proposed Durmakartha Raghunatha Pillai on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively. This Notice was served by the same Offr on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner V. Raghava Charyan and the Proposed Durmakartha L. Venkatarangum Naidu on Thursday the 2nd day of March/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appear by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. 3rd March 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Dy/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	March 3rd	3.3.93		

4th Notice C.M.P. No 1033 of 1891	Grimanta Raja Yerla-gadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadaru Zamindar Garu by Mr C.R. Pattabhirama Aiyar — Petitioner and Greedevamma & 4 others. Counter Petitioners	To The 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venka tasawamy Naidu	1893 Feby 27th	1893 March 1st	1893 March 9th	5th Counter Petr M. Venka tasawamy Naidu was served on Wednesday the 8th March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on the Original notice in pencil. 9th March 1893 P. Peroomall Bailiff
Original Side Appeal No 407 1893	M. Chella peroomall Moodelly & anr by Mr Champion & Short — Appellants (Defendants) and C. Amma Thayee Ammall & C. Chuckrapany Naidu — Respondents Plaintiffs	To The 1st Respdt C. Amma Thayee Ammall. The 2nd Respdt C. Chuckrapany Naidu.	March 1st	March 2nd	April 3rd	The 1st & 2nd Respondents C. Ammathayee Ammall & C. Chuckrapany Naidu were served personally on Monday the 13th day of March 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by the Bailiff dated the 13th March 1893 P. Peroomall Bailiff
2nd Asst Os Appl No 237 of 1892	Messrs Champion & Short by Messrs Tyagaraja Iyer & Rajaratna Mood — Appellants and The Official Assignee of this Court & as such of the Assignee of the Estate & Effects of the Insolvent Annie Smith deceased — Respondent	To The Respondent The Official Assignee of this Court.	Feby 28th	March 3rd	March 20th	Mr F. Rowlandson Offg Assignee was served on Friday the 3rd March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed on the Original by him. 3rd March 1893 Bdcooper.

Messrs Champion & Short Attorneys for Appellants

Messrs Tyagaraja Iyer & Appellants Vakil

Messrs Tyagaraja Iyer & Appellants Vakil

Mr C. R. Pattabhirama Aiyar Petitioners Vakil

P. Peroomall

	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkatswamy Naidoo on Wednesday the 8th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 9th March 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1893 March 9th 9.3.93
	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2nd Respondents C. Amma-thayee Ammall and C. Chuckrapany Naidu on Monday the 13th day of March 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively. 17th March 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson By Sheriff	March 17th 13.3.93
	This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondent Mr F. Rowlandson the Official Assignee of this Court on Friday the 3rd day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 6th March 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	March 6th 6.3.93

2d Appe No 1662 of 1892 E.P.	Appa Row Mudaly By Mr R. Sabapathy — Appellant and Kamakshi Ammal another — Respondts.	To 1. Kamakshi Ammal and 2. Bannmoorthy Bathan	1893 March 2d	1893 March 4th	1893 April 10th	

The 1st & 2d Respondents Kamakshi Ammal & Bannmoorthy Bathan are not to be found within the local limits of the Ord Jr facet. Inquiries although search has been made for them.
13th April/93
E.P.
O Thelasingam
Bailiff

Appe No 130 of 1892 E.P.	Mathura Doss By Mr T.S. Ramanjaloo — Appellant and Chockalinga Pillay — Respondent	To The Respondent Chockalinga Pillay	3d	6th	May 1st	

The Respondent Chockalinga Pillay was personally served on Tuesday the 11th April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him & him at Sight & by him in pencil.
Dated 18th April 1893
Bailiff.

Mr R. Sabapathy Proctor for Appellants

O.K.

Mr T.S. Ramanjaloo Proctor for Respt

	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondents Kamakshi Ammal and Bannmoorthy Bathan as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 13th April 1893	For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sig'd/ R.A. Thompson by Sheriff	1893 April 13th

E.P.

	This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Chockalinga Pillay on Tuesday the 11th day of April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 18th April 1893	For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sig'd/ R.A. Thompson by Sheriff	April 18th 88 Rs pd. 4.93

E.P.

O/S Appl. No. 1 of 1893

V. Ragava Charriyar by Mr. P. Anandachariu — Appellant 1st Defdt.

and

A. Condiah Chetty & 31 others — Respondents.

To

The Respondents

1. A. Caondiah Chetti
2. B. Runjiah Chetty
3. P. Ragavoolou Chetti
4. P. Vijiaragavoolou Naide
5. P. Parthasarathy Iyengar
6. S. Veerasawmy Iyengar
7. Parthasarathy Pillai
8. J. Ragavoolou Naidu
9. A. Parthasarathy Iyengar
10. M. Vejiaragava Charri
11. Narasu Modelli
12. M. K. Lutchmana Charri
14. P. Parthasarathy Iyengar
15. A. Lokia Raju
16. K. Thermangada Ramanja Modelli
17. Neelacantum Veerasawmy Chetti
18. Greenivasoolou Naidu
19. J. Mounesawmy Naicken
20. Thernthern Greenivassa Iyengar
21. Edamany Nattoo Annasawmy Mooddian
22. Mamoody Lampangy Naidu
23. Neelacantum Venkatramanjulu Chetti
24. Poodoopakam Nattoo Singaravaloo Modelli
25. Mailay Nattoo Vijiaragava Modelli
26. Velambakam Triplicane Karikistna Pillai
27. Triplicane Nadu Varadarajia Naicker
28. Managapoody Coopoo Chetti
29. Mandoor Kistna Reddiyar
30. Lorroo Govindarajulu Naidu
31. Kanthada Veneata Charri
32. Iya Pillai T. Parthasarathy Pillai

1893 March 6th

1893 March 7th

1893 April 6th

Messrs Arratoon & Kanda Attorneys for Appellants Dakers

1- This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 20 to 31 Respondents T. Sreenivasa Iyengar, E. N. Annasawmy Moodr, M. Sambangiu Naidu, N. Venkataramanjulu Chetty, P. N. Singaravalu Moodr, M. N. Vijiyaragava Moodr, V. T. Karikrishna Pillai, T. K. Varatharaja Nayakar, M. Coopoo Chetty, A. Krishna Reddiar, Lorroo Govindarajulu Naidu and K. Venkatachary on Saturday the 18th day of March/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr Reddy Branson, a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson Branson, Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the endorsement made by the said Mr Reddy Branson on the original Notice.

1893 March 24th

2- This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 4th, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 18 & 19th Respondents P. Vijiaraganoolou Naidu, P. Parthasarathy Iyengar, S. Veerasawmy Iyengar, P. Parthasarathy Pillai, J. Ragavoolou Naidu, Narasu Modelli, P. Parthasarathy Iyengar, A. Lokia Raju K. T. Ramanja Modli, Greenivasoolou Naidu and J. Mounesawmy Naicker on Sunday the 19th day of March/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

3- This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 9th Respondent A. Parthasarathy Iyengar on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A. Greenivassa Iyengar alleged to be the adult brother of the said 9th Respt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said A. Greenivassa Iyengar—

4- This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 10th Respt M. Vijiaragava Chary on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Thirumalachary, alleged to be the nephew of the said 10th Respt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Thirumalachary.

5- This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 12th Respt M. K. Lutchmana Chary on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. Parthasarathy Iyengar alleged to be the father in law of the said 12th Respt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said M. Parthasarathy Iyengar.

6- This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 17th Respt N. Veerasawmy Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to N. Thermangadathan Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 17th Respt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said N. Thermangadathan Chetty.

7- This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 32nd Respts A. Condiah Chetty, B. Runjiah Chetty, P. Ragavoolou Chetty and Iya Pillai T. Parthasarathy Pillay on Monday the 20th day of March/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
per R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

24th March 1893

24.3.93

The Respondents 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 27th, 28th, 29th, 30th and 31st Thernthern Chinnatha Iyengar Edamany Nattoo Annasawmy Mooddiar, Mamoody Lampangy Naidu, Neelacantum Venkatramanjulu Chetty, Poodoopakam Nattoo Singaravaloo Modelli, Mailay Nattoo Vijiaragava Modelli, Velambakam Triplicane Karikistna Pillai, Triplicane Naidu Varadarajia Naicker, Managapoody Coopoo Chetti, Mandoor Kistna Reddiyar, Lorroo Govindarajulu Naidu, Kanthada Venkata Charri, were served on Saturday the 18th day of March 1893, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said Respondents as signed by the said R. Branson for the said Respondents.

The Respondents 4th, 6th, 8th, 7th, 9th, 11th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 18th and 19th P. Vijiaraganoolou Naidu, P. Parthasarathy Iyengar, S. Veerasawmy Iyengar, P. Parthasarathy Pillai, J. Ragavoolou Naidu, Narasu Modelli, K. Thermangada Ramanja Modelli, Greenivasoolou Naidu, P. Parthasarathy Iyengar, & J. Mounesawmy Naicker, were personally served on Sunday the 19th March 1893 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them respectively the 9th Respondent A. Parthasarathy Iyengar made a pencil endorsement dated 19th March 1893 on the copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil for the 9th Respondent A. Parthasarathy Iyengar alleged to be the adult brother of the said 9th Respt A. Greenivassa Iyengar made a pencil endorsement dated 19th March 1893 on the copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil for the 9th Respondent the 10th Respondent M. Vijiaragava Chary made a pencil endorsement dated 19th March 1893 on the copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil for the 10th Respondent to be the nephew of the said 10th Respt as signed by the said Thirumalachary in pencil for the 10th Respondent the 12th Respondent M. K. Lutchmana Chary made a pencil endorsement dated 19th March 1893 on the copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil for the 12th Respondent to be the father in law of the said 12th Respt as signed by the said M. Parthasarathy Iyengar in pencil for the 12th Respondent the 17th Respondent N. Veerasawmy Chetty made a pencil endorsement dated 19th March 1893 on the copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil for the 17th Respondent to be the adult brother of the said 17th Respt N. Thermangadathan Chetty as signed by the said N. Thermangadathan Chetty in pencil for the 17th Respondent the 1st, 2nd & 3rd Respondents A. Condiah Chetty, B. Runjiah Chetty & P. Ragavoolou Chetty each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them respectively on Monday the 20th March 1893 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil for the 1st, 2nd & 3rd Respondents respectively.

Dated 20th March 1893.

Vejiaragava Chetty

O.S. Appl. No. 10 of 1893 L.P.	The Curasawakum Avion Janopacara Saavatha Nirhi (Ld.) By Atr. V. Maselamony Pillai — Appellants 1. Defts. and T. Kistnavarny Ammall — Respts. (Plff)	To The Respondent T. Kistnavarny Ammall.	1893 March 7.	1893 March 7.	1893 April 7.	The Respondent T. Kistnavarny Ammall was served personally on Saturday the 25th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as marked by him in pencil. 27th March 93. O. Tholasingam Bailiff
O.S. Appl. No. 6 of 1893 L.P.	Saiyed Cassim Saib by Messrs. Branson & Branson Appellant 1. Defts. and Saiyed Muhammed Nasir Saib & 2 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Saiyed Muhammed Nasir Saib 2. Fathma Begum and 3. Kulsum Bee Bee	2d.	7½	3d.	The 1st Respondent Saiyed Muhammed Nasir Saib named in the within written Notice served on him the 10th March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Shaik Ahmed Saib alleged to be the maternal uncle of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Shaik Ahmed Saib in pencil. The 2nd Respondent Fathma Begum named & served on same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Gulam Mahomed Saib alleged to be the son of the said 2nd Respondent as signed by the said Gulam Mahomed Saib in pencil. The 3rd Respondent Kulsum Bee Bee named & served on same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mahomed Sulaiman Saib alleged to be the husband of the said 3rd Respondent as signed by the said Mahomed Sulaiman Saib in pencil. Dated 11th March 1893. O. Singaram Bailiff.
O.S. Appl. No. 7 of 1893 L.P.	C. Theroovengadathaen Chetty alias Cumaravelu Chetty & 2 others By Messrs. Branson — Appellants Defts. and C. A. Paterson — Respondent Plff	To The Respondent C. A. Paterson	6d.	7½	6d.	The Respt. C. A. Paterson was served on Thursday the 9th March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. W. O. David, Agent in the firm of Messrs. Barclay, Morgan & Orr Attorneys for the said Respt. as signed on the Original by the said Mr. W. O. David. W. H. Middleton Bailiff 9th March 1893

O.S. Appl. No. 10 of 1893 L.P.

Messrs. Branson & Branson for Appellants

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respt. T. Kistnavarny Ammall on Saturday the 25th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

28th March 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

L.P.

1893 March 28 ½ Cd. 29.3.93

This Notice was served by O. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt. Saiyed Muhammed Nasir Saib on Friday the 10th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Shaik Ahmed Saib alleged to be the maternal uncle of the said 1st Respts. as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Shaik Ahmed Saib.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2d Respt. Fathma Begum on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Gulam Mahomed Saib alleged to be the son of the said 2d Respts. as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Gulam Mohomed Saib.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3d Respondent Kulsum Bee Bee on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mahammad Sulaiman Saib alleged to be the husband of the said 3d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mahammad Sulaiman Saib.

16th March 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

L.P.

March 16th Cd.

Messrs. Branson & Branson for Appellants

W. H. Middleton

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mr. C. A. Paterson on Thursday the 9th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. W. O. David, Agent in the firm of Messrs. Barclay, Morgan & Orr Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr. W. O. David.

9th March 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

L.P.

March 9th Cd. 8.3.93

do

C.M. Petition No 184 of 1893 Urgent L.J.	Gopalasami Mudali & 2 ors minors by their mother & guardian Jayanelli ammall By the Advocate General & Mrs R.E. Subbra-mania Aiyan - Petitioners and Peria Ammani Ammal & 2 others - Counter Petitioners	To The 1st Counter Petitioner Peria Ammani Ammall	1893 March 7th	1893 March 8th	1893 March 15th

The 1st Counter petitioner Peria Ammani Ammall was served personally on Thursday the 9th March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by her.

Dated 9th March 1893. Bailiff

O.S. Appl. No 2 of 1893 L.J.	Jeenuth Bee by Mr P. V. Kristnasawmy Chetty — Appellant (1st Defdt) and Coorooveethola Camben Saib — Respt (Plff)	To The Respondent Coorooveethola Camben Saib	2d	9th	April 3rd

The Respondent Coorooveethola Camben Saib was served personally on Friday the 17th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him at Kignal by him in pencil

20th March 93 O. Tholasingam Bailiff

O.S. Appl. No 3 of 93 L.J.	S. Manikam Naidu Dalr by Mr P. V. Kristnasawmy Chetty Appellant (Plff) and T. Soobia Chetty Respondt Deft	To The Respondent T. Soobia Chetty	do	do	do

The Respondent T. Soobia Chetty was served personally on Friday the 10th March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

C. Bingaram Bailiff

Dated 11th March 1893

Mr P. V. Kristnasawmy Chetty Appellant's Proctor

O.S.

do

Mr Advocate General R. Subbramaniya Aiyan Petitioners Counsel.

H. Rozendaal

This Notice was served by C. Gingaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Peria Ammani Ammall on Thursday the 9th day of March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement made by her.

10th March 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff

Dpy R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

L.J.

1893 March 10th

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Coorooveethola Camben Saib on Friday the 17th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

22nd March 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff

Dpy R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

L.J.

March 22nd 1893 22.3.93

This Notice was served by C. Gingaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. Soobia Chetty on Friday the 10th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th March 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff

Dpy R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff

L.J.

1893 March 14th

O/S Apl. No 8 of 93 C.P.	P. I. Kristnasawmy Chetty By Mr. P.V. Kristnasawmy — Appellant (Plaintiff) and The Natal Emigration Board carrying on trade by its Agents Messrs Parry & Co. Madras — Respts (Defts)	To The Respondents Natal Emigration Board.	1893 March 6th	1893 March 9th	1893 April 6th	Respondents The Natal Emigration Board were served on Thursday the 9th March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr C.W. Wilson partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King attorneys for the Respondents Messrs Parry & Co. Madras Agents for the said Respondents — 9th March 1893 — F. W. Gordon
C.M. No 29 of 1892 C.P.	C. Candasawmy Mudaliar By Messrs P. Ananda Charlu — Petitioner and Bysani Madhava Chetty Law — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioners 1- Bysani Madhava Chetty and 2. Bysani Rungiah Chetty			March 24th	B. Rungiah Chetty 2d Respt on Friday the 17th March 93 was served personally delt to him as signed by him in pencil & on the same day Madhava Chetty 1st Respt was served by a copy of the Notice being delt to the 2d Respt the son of the said 1st Respt as signed in pencil by the 2d Respt dated 20th March 93 — E. Peroomall Bailiff
3d Writ. C.M. No 39 of 1892 C.P.	Ponnanchiaramah By Mr R.D. Visvanadan Iyer — Petitioner and Vallee Ammall — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Vallee Ammall	Feby 11th		March 15th 28th	The Counter Petitioner Vallee Ammall was served personally on Sunday the 12th March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil Dated this 14th March 93 — O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mr. P.V. Kristnasawmy Chetty Appellant Plaintiff

Mr. F.W. Gordon Agent

Mr. P. Visvanadan Messrs Ananda Charlu & Petitioner Counter Petitioner

E. Peroomall Bailiff

Mr. R.D. Visvanadan Messrs Ananda Charlu & Petitioner Counter Petitioner

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

This Notice was served by F. W. Jordan, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Natal Emigration Board on Thursday the 9th day of March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr C. W. Wilson, a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King, Attorneys for Messrs Parry & Co, Agents for the Respondents herein. 20th March 1893 — For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Dpy R. A. Thompson Dr Sheriff	1893 March 20th	
This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respt B. Rungiah Chetty on Friday the 17th day of March 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 1st Respt B. Madhava Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 2d Respt B. Rungiah Chetty alleged to be the son of the said 1st Respt B. Madhava Chetty as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 2d Respt B. Rungiah Chetty. 21st March 1893 — For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Dpy R. A. Thompson Dr Sheriff	March 21st	21.3.93
This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Vallee Ammall on Sunday the 12th March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 15th March 1893 — For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Dpy R. A. Thompson Dr Sheriff	March 15th	

C.S. No 71 of 1892 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	Moolchund Sowcar & Hari Doss — Plaintiffs and T. Appadurai Mudaly — Defendt and Manoumany Ammall — Claimant	To The 2d Plaintiff Hari Doss	1893 March 13th	1893 March 14th	1893 March 15th	This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff Hari Doss on Tuesday the 14th day of March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Moolchund Sowcar alleged to be the partner with the said Hari Doss as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by the said Moolchund Sowcar. 14th March/93. Sigd/ C. Singaram Bailiff For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson By Sheriff	1893 March 15th
2d Appeal No 51 of 1893.	Kamalathammal by Mr. R. Subramanya Aiyar — Appellant and Rajah Sir Savalay Ramaswamy Mudr Kt C.I.E. Amergal — Respt	To The Respondent Rajah Sir Savalai Ramaswami Mudr	17th	20th	April 17th	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Rajah Sir Savalai Ramaswami Mudaliar Kt C.I.E. Amergal on Monday the 27th day of March/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him — 28th March 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	March 28th 89R 29.3.93
		The Respondent Rajah Sir Savalai Ramaswami Mudr was served personally on Monday the 27th day of March/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. 27th March/93 O. Tholasingum Bailiff					
Appeal No 15 of 1893	C. R. Carunakara Menon by the Hon'ble C. Sankara Nair — Appellant and The Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank Ld. Madras — Respts	To The Respondent Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank Ld Madras	do	20th	July 10th	This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents the Commercial & Land Mortgage Bank (Ld) Madras on Tuesday the 21st day of March 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr P. A. Chinchwad Dy Secy & Treasurer of the said Bank, as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr P. A. Chinchwad. 24th March 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	March 24th 89R 24.3.93
		The Commercial & Land Mortgage Bank (Ld) Madras were served personally on Tuesday the 21st March/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr P. A. Chinchwad Dy Secretary & Treasurer in the said Bank as signed by him in ink. 21st March 1893 A Cooper. Bailiff					

Mr. T. C. Sankaran Nair Claimants Vakil

Mr. R. Subramanya Aiyar Appellant's Vakil

Hon'ble C. Sankara Nair Appellant's Vakil

A Cooper

			1893	1893	1893
		To	March	March	April
		The Respondents.	6th	20th	6th
O.S. Appl. No. 9 of 93	A. Coondiah Chetty & 12 others by Mr. O. P. Kristnasawmy Chetty — Appellants	1. V. Ragava Chariyar			
		2. Theruthom Sreenivassa Iyengar			
		3. Edamany Nattoo Arunasawmy Moody			
		4. Mamoody Sampangy Naidu			
		5. Nelacantum Venkatramanjulu Chetty			
		6. Poodoopakum Nattoo Singaravelu Modelli			
	and	7. Mailay Nattoo Vijiaragava Morrelli			
	V. Ragava Chariar & 13 others — Respts.	8. Velambakam Triplicane Karikistna Pillai			
		9. Triplicane Nadu Varadaraja Naicker			
		10. Managapoody Coopoo Chetty			
		11. Alandoor Kistna Reddiyar			
		12. Sooroo Govindarajulu Naidu			
		13. Kanthada Venkatachari			
		14. Iya Pillai T. Parthasarathy Pillai			

The 1st and 14th Respondents V. Ragava Chariyar and Iya Pillai T. Parthasarathy Pillai were personally served on Tuesday the 21st March 1893, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.

On the same day the Respondents 2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12 and 13 Theruthom Sreenivasa Iyengar, Edamany Nattoo Arunasawmy Moodly, Mamoody Sampangy Naidu, Nelacantum Venkataramanjulu Chetty, Poodupakam Nattoo Singaravelu Mudelli, Mailay Nattoo Vijiaragava Mudelli, Velambakam Triplicane Karikistna Pillai, Triplicane Nadu Varadaraja Naicker, Managapoody Coopoo Chetty, Alandoor Kistna Reddiyar, Sooroo Govindarajulu Naidu, and Kanthada Venkatachari were served, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. R. Brandon attorney for the said Respondents as signed by the said R. Brandon for the said Respondents.

Dated 23rd March 1893.

C. Singaraven
Bailiff.

Mr. O. P. Kristnasawmy Chetty
Appellants Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaraven an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 14th Respts. V. Ragava Chariyar and Iyah Pillai T. Parthasarathy Pillai on Tuesday the 21st day of March 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

1893 March 28 d. A.S. HW 29.3.93

This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 2 to 13 Respondents T. Sreenivassa Iyengar, E. A. Arunasawmy Moodly, M. Sampangy Nair, A. Venkataramanjulu Chetty, P. A. Singaravelu Moody, M. A. Vijia ragava Moody, V. T. Karikistna Pillai, T. A. Varadaraja Naicker, M. Coopoo Chetty, A. Kistna Reddyar, Sooroo Govindarajulu Naidu and Kanthada Venkatachari on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. Reddy Branson, Attorney for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr. Reddy Branson.

28th March 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Dy Sheriff R. A. Thompson
Dr. Sheriff

C.S.

			1893	1893	1893
		To	March	March	April
		The Respondent			
O.S. Appl. No. 11 of 1893	R. Thiruvengadasawmy Naicker by Mr. A. J. Ambrose — Appellant	Appavu Naick	15th	23rd	17th
	and				
	Appavu Naick — Respt.				

The Respondent Appavu Naick could not be found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Thursday the 13th day of April 93 on the outer door of the house No. 20 in Arumooga Naick Street Royapeta in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing dated 15th April

C. Peroomall
Bailiff

Mr. A. J. Ambrose
Appellants Vakil

This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named Respondent Appavu Naick could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Thursday the 13th day of April 93, affixed by C. Peroomall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 20 in Arumooga Naick Street Royapeta Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

April 17th A.S. 17.4.93

17th April 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Dy Sheriff R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.S.

2d appl. No 173 1893	Kattu Varadappa Mudaliar by Mr R. Sadagopa Chari — Appellant and Setloor Veerasami Iyengar — Respt.	To The Respondent Setloor Veerasami Iyengar.	1893 March 24th	1893 March 28th	1893 April 26th	Wm R. Capper Chief Bailiff	O.S.	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Setloor Veerasami Iyengar on Tuesday the 25th day of April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 26th April 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd/ R.A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1893 April 26th
		The Respondent Setloor Veerasami Iyengar was served personally on Tuesday the 25th April/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 25th April/93 O. Tholasingam Bailiff							
C.R.P. No 30 of 1893	Chinnvelu Nagarajoo by Mr C. Venkatramiah Iyenga — Petitioner. and Grimanku Raja Yerlagadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidoo Bahadur Garu Zemindar of Chellapalli — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Grimanku Raja Yerlagadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu	24th	28th	April 13th	Wm R. Capper Chief Bailiff	O.S.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Grimanku Raja Yerlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Garu on Wednesday the 5th day of April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 7th April 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	April 7th
		The Counter Petitioner Grimanku Raja Yerlagadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu was served personally on Wednesday the 5th day of April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 5-6 April 1893. C. Singaram. Bailiff.							
2d appl. No 183 of 1893	Arunachella Mudy & 60 others By Mr M.C. Parthasarathy Ayyangar — Appellants and Seshiah Chetty Resp. — Respt.	To The 1st Respt. Seshiah Chetty.	27th	28th	26th	S. Peromall Bailiff		This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respt. Seshiah Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 26th April 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	April 26th
		The 1st Respondent Seshiah Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the High court Madras although search has been for him Dated this 26th April 1893 S. Peromall Bailiff							

Mr M.C. Parthasarathy Ayyangar Advocate for Appellants. C.P.

Mr C. Venkatramiah Ayyangar Advocate for Petitioner. C.P.

C. M.
O. No 1169
of 1892

P. Kathiravelu Mudaliar — Petitioner
by Mr. R. Ramanujachariar

and

Arun Narayanaswamy Moodr & 2 others — Counter Petitioners

To
The 3rd Counter Petr
Cumdasawmy Mudaliar

1893 March 29th
1893 April 5th
1893 April 20th

The 3rd Counter-Petitioner
Cumdasawmy Moodaliar was served personally on Tuesday the 19th April/93 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

19th April/93

O Tholasingam
Bailiff

Mr R. Ramanujachariar
Petitioners Atty

O. S.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Counter Petitioner Cumdasawmy Mudaliar on Wednesday the 19th day of April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

20th April 1893

For
F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

1893 April 20th

20.4.93

Pauper Appeal No 28 of 1893

Govindaraja Mudaly minor by guardian Dhanammal by Mr. M. Jaggarow Pillay — Appellant

and

Arangantha Mudaly & 16 others — Respondts.

To
The Respondents
2. Ethirajulu Naidu
and
4. Ariappa Mudaly

April 20th
April 21st
July 10th

The 4th Respondent Ariappa Mudaly was personally served on Friday the 5th May 1893 by a copy of the Petition being attached & him to appear by him in pencil. The 2nd Respondent Ethirajulu Naidu was served on Saturday the 27th May 1893 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to P. Purushotham Naidu and he alleged to be the adult son of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said P. Purushotham Naidu in pencil.

Dated 10th July 1893

C Singaram
Bailiff.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Respt Ariappa Mudaly on Friday the 5th day of May/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respt Ethirajulu Naidu on Saturday the 27th day of May 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Purushotham Naidu alleged to be the adult son of the said 2nd Respt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Purushotham Naidu.

10th July 1893

For
F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

July 10th

10.7.93

Os appe No 14 of 1892 (Os cmo 39 of 92)

Ponnucharammah By Mr. K. P. Vivanadhya — Appellant Plaintiff

and

Govindan & 12 others — Respondts (Defendts)

To
The 12th & 13th Respdts
Cumdasawmy
and
Loganathan
by their guardian
Vallee Ammale

May 14th
May 26th
June 1st

The 1st Vallee Ammal as guardian of the 12th & 13th Respondents could not be found, 2 copies of the Notice were on Saturday the 29th April/93 affixed on the outer door of the house No 10 Cox Road Chintadrepett in which the said Vallee ammal is said to be ordinarily residing.

1st May/93

O Tholasingam
Bailiff

Mr. R. & P. Viswanatha Ayyar
Appellant's Advocate

O. S.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 12th & 13th Respondents Cumdasawmy and Loganathan by their guardian Vallee Ammale could not be found, copies of this Notice were on Saturday the 29th day of April/93 affixed by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 10 Cox Road Chintadrepett Madras, in which the said Vallee Ammale is said to be ordinarily residing.

1st May 1893

For
F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

May 1st.

9.5.93

Os Appl. No 16 of 93

F. G. R. Branson By Mr. D. Grant Appellant Off

and

P. Appasawmy Pillay Law — Respondt 1 & 2 Defts

The 1 & 2 Respts

1. P. Appasawmy Pillai and 2. The Administrator General of Madras

1893 April 25

1893 April 26

1893 July 25

Serve Mr. Champion & Lee on behalf of the 1 Respts & the Administrator General personally vide letter of 28 April 93 from the Atty thereon. L.P.

2d Respondent the Administrator General was served on Wednesday the 3d May 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. J. J. Palman Manager of the said 2d Respondent as signed in ink by the said Palman. 1st Respondent P. Appasawmy Pillay was served personally on Thursday the 20th July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated this 21st July 1893 L.P.

This Notice was served by P. Peromall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respt the Administrator General of Madras on Wednesday the 3d day of May 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. J. J. Palman Manager in the office of the said Administrator General as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. J. Palman.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respt P. Appasawmy Pillay on Thursday the 20th day of July 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

For J. Rowlandson Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

24th July 1893

L.P.

Mr. Bonnerjee Grant Appellants Atty

1893 July 24th

Mr. R. Ramanjachary Appellants Atty

C. M. P. No 11 of 93

C. B. Kumiappa Moody By Mr. R. Ramanjachary Petitioner Appellant

and

C. Krishnasawmy Pillay Counter Petitioner Respt

The Counter Petitioners

1. C. Krishnasawmy Pillay and his Vakil

2. Mr. C. V. Sundram Sastry.

26th 27th 28th April

On Thursday the 27th day of April 1893 Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff tendered a copy of Petition to 1st Counter Petitioner C. Krishnasawmy Pillay, but the said Counter Petitioner having refused to accept the Service of the said Petition on him the said Officer left a copy of the said Petition on the 2d Counter Petitioner Mr. C. V. Sundram Sastry and on the Secretary of the said Petitioner on Thursday the 27th April 93 a copy of the Petition being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

27th April 93

C. Tholasingam Bailiff

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2d Counter Petitioner C. V. Sundram Sastry Vakil on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

For J. Rowlandson Sheriff

Sigd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff

27th April 1893

E.P.

April 27th

27.4.93

Ossie Appeal No 12 of 1893	C. Kristnasawmy Pillay by Mr P.V. Kristnasawmy Chetty & Sundrum Chetty — Appellant — Defendt and A. Moonesawmy Mudr — Respt	To The Respondent A. Moonesawmy Modelliar	1893 April 7th	1893 April 28th	1893 May 5th	The Respondent A. Moonesawmy Modelliar mentioned personally served on Sunday the 30th April 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. Dated 1st May 1893	Bargavan Bailiff
Memorandum 2d Appeal No 1646 of 1892	Appellant Venkatraya Mudaly Son of Easwara Mudaly is informed that Mr T.R. Ramachendra Iyer Vakil in the above suit having been appointed as a Judge in Cochin Territory 1 weeks time is allowed you for appointing another or appearing in person -	To The Appellant Venkatraya Mudaly		May 28th 1st	6	The Appellant Venkatraya Mudaly is not to be found within the local limits of the Madras although search has been made for him Dated this 10th July 1893	C. Veeromal Bailiff
2d appl No 901 of 1891	Gnanapurna Upadesi by Mr S. Subbramanium Pantulu — Appellant and Saminadian & 10 others Respondts	To The 4th Respondt T. Danial Nadan.		July 27th	1st	10th	
		No 4 Respondent Daniel Kadan is not to be found within the local limits of the Madras although search has been made for him. 10th July 1893					O. Nolasingum Bailiff

Mr P.V. Kristnasawmy Chetty
Mr S. Subramanium Pantulu
Appellants' Vakils

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent A. Moonesawmy Modelliar on Sunday the 30th day of April 1893 by a copy of the ~~same~~ Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

2d May 1893

For T. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sgd R.A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1893 May 2d

This Memorandum has not been served on the within named Venkatroya Mudaly ~~on~~ as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

11th August 1893

For T. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sgd R.A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

Augt 11th

This Notice has not been served on the within named 4th Respondent T. Danial Nadan as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

10th July 1893

For T. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sgd R.A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1893 July 10th

Claim C.S. No 341 of 1890 Q.P.	M. Moorooga[illegible] Chetty & others — Plffs and P. Singara Chetty & others — Defendts P. Singara Chetty and Annamalai Chetty — Claimants	To The 2d Plaintiff A. Loobroya Chetty	1893 April 28th	1893 May 5th	1893 July 12th	Mr P. Kathiravelu Proctor Claimants Vakil	Peroomall	This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff A. Loobroya Chetty on Friday the 9th day of June 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in token. Sgd/ P. Peroomall Bailiff For F. Rowland Low Sheriff Sgd/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	1893 July 11th cd
Claim C.S. No 122 of 1892 Q.P.	Calavala Chellam Chetty — Plaintiff and Joyaraj Singh — Defendant Lutchmee Boyee — Claimant	To The 1st Plaintiff by revivor C. Therovengadathan Chetty.	May 9th	May 10th	July 12th.	Mr C.P. Sundrum Pathir Claimants Vakil	Peroomall	This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff C. Therovengadathan Chetty on Thursday the 11th day of May 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in token. Sgd/ P. Peroomall Bailiff For F. Rowland Low Sheriff Sgd/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	July 11th cd
— do — Q.P.	— do —	To The 2d Plaintiff by revivor C. Therovengadathan Chetty alias Kunnen Chetty	"	"	"	do		This Notice was served by me P. Peroomall, an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff C. Therovengadathan Chetty alias Kunnen Chetty on Thursday the 11th day of May 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in token. Sgd/ P. Peroomall Bailiff For F. Rowland Low Sheriff Sgd/ R. A Thompson Dy Sheriff	July 11th cd 2nd

Claim C.S. No 122 of 1892 C.P.	Calavala Chellum Chetty — Plff and Joyaraj Singh — Deft^s and Lutchmee Boyee Claimant	To The 3^d Plff by Revivor C. Ramanjum Chetty	1893 May 9^th	1893 May 10^th	1893 July 12^th	1893 July 11^th C.P.

Sigd P. Peroomalle
Bailiff
For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomalle an Off^r of the Sheriff on the within named 3^d Plaintiff C. Ramanjum Chetty on Thursday the 11^th day of May/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink.

13^th May 1893 C.P.

Sigd P. Peroomalle
Bailiff
For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomalle an Off^r of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff T. Arunmuga Moodr on Monday the 10^th day of July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink.

10^th July 1893 C.P.

Sigd P. Peroomalle
Bailiff
For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

This Notice was served by W. Hamilton Middleton an Off^r of the Sheriff on the within named Respt^s Mr C. A. Paterson on Monday the 3^d day of July/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr W. O. David an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay Morgan & Orr Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr W. O. David.

21^st July 1893 C.P.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R A Thompson
Dy Sheriff

Messrs Brauer & Bauer
Sigd W. H. Middleton
Attorneys

Mr C. V. Sinnatamby Barrister
Claimants' Proctor
Sigd W. H. Middleton

Claim C.S. 1570 of 1891 on the file of the District Court of Pomanallee C.P.	T. Arunmoorga Moodr Plff and C. V. Pedr Seethana mial — Defendant and Rai Rajah Echoor Doss Diawanth Bahadur Claimant	To The Plaintiff T. Arunmooga Moodr	9^th	11^th	12^th	July 11^th C.P.

Sigd P. Peroomalle
Bailiff
For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

This Notice was served by me P. Peroomalle an Off^r of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff T. Arunmuga Moodr on Monday the 10^th day of July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink.

10^th July 1893 C.P.

Sigd P. Peroomalle
Bailiff
For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sigd R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

of Appeal No 15 of 93 C.P.	C. Tirunegadathan Chetty alias Cumaran Chetty & 2 others Appellants (Defts) and C. A. Paterson — Respt — Plff	To The Respondent C. A. Paterson	2^d	12^th	Aug^t 2^d	July 21^st HW 21.7.93

The Respondent C. A. Paterson Esqre was served on Monday the 3^d July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr W. O. David an attillant in the firm of Messrs Barclay Morgan and Orr attorneys for the said Respondent as signed by the said W. O. David

Dated 10^th July 1893 C.P.

Sigd W. Middleton
Bailiff

O/S Appeal No. 14 of 1893	Kamatchee Ammall By Mr. R. Balajee Row — Appellant (Plff) and Pauparmall & 2 others — Respts (Defendts)	To The Respondents 1. Pauparmall 2. Chinnathoyee Ammall and 3 Moonoosawmy Chetty	1893 April 28th	1893 May 17th	1893 July 28th	The 1st & 3rd Respondents Pauparmall and Moonoosawmy Chetty were personally served on Saturday the 27th May 1893, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered & thereon endorsed and by them in person. The 2nd Respondent Chinnathoyee Ammall was served by the copy of the Notice being delivered to the 3rd Respondent Moonoosawmy Chetty alleged to be the husband of the said 2nd Respondent & signed by the said 3rd Respondent in person. Dated 10th July 1893 Gingaram Bailiff.
C.M.O. No. 15 of 1893	V. Ragava Chariar by Mr. Ananda Charlu Petitioner and T. Greenivassa Iyengar & 11 others — Counter Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 1. T. Greenivassa Iyengar 2. E. Nattoo Ammasawmy Mood. 3. M. Lampangy Naick 4. N. Venkatramanjulu Chetty 5. P. Nattoo Gingaravelu Mood. 6. M. Nattoo Vijiaragava Mood. 7. V. T. Karikistna Pillai 8. T. Nadu Varadaraja Nayakan 9. M. Coppoo Chetty 10. A. Kistna Reddiyar 11. T. Govindarajulu Naidu 12. K. Venkata Chary	May 12th	July 10th	July 25th	the Counter petitioners 1 & 12. T. Greenivassa Iyengar, 2. Nattoo Ammasawmy Mudaliar, 3. M. Lampangy Naick, 4. N. Venkatramanjulu Chetty, 5. Nattoo Gingaravelu Mudaliar, 6. Nattoo Vijiaragava Mudaliar, 7. V. T. Karikistna Pillai, 8. T. Nadu Varadaraja Nayakan, 9. M. Coppoo Chetty, 10. A. Kistna Reddiyar, 11. T. Govindarajulu Naidu and K. Venkata Chary were served on Tuesday the 18th July 1893, each, by a copy of the Petition being delivered to R. Branson & Mr. Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said counter petitioners & signed by the said R. Branson for the said counter-petitioners. Dated 19th July 1893 Gingaram Bailiff.

Mr. R. Balajee Row
Appellants Vakil

Copy

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 3rd Respondents Pauparmall and Moonoosawmy Chetty on Saturday the 27th day of May 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

This Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 2nd Respt Chinnathoyee Ammall on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 3rd Respondt Moonoosawmy Chetty alleged to be the husband of the said 2nd Respt as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Moonoosawmy Chetty.

20th July 1893

For T. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sign'd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1893 July 20th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioners 1 to 12 T. Greenivassa Iyengar, E. Nattoo Ananasamy Moodr, M. Lampangy Naidu, N. Venkatramanjulu Chetty, P. Nattoo Nattoo Gingaravelu Moodr, M. Nattoo Vijiaragava Moodr, V. T. Karikistna Pillai, T. Nadu Varadaraja Nayakar, M. Coppoo Chetty, A. Kistna Reddiyar, T. Govindarajulu Naidu and K. Venkata Chary on Tuesday the 18th day of July 93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. R. Branson, a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said Counter Petitioners.

20th July 1893

For T. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sign'd R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

July 20th

O/S
C.M.P
No 13
of 1893

Coorooverthole Caudar Laib by Mess Branson & Branson — Petitioner

and

Jeenutha Bee — Counter Petitioner

To
The Counter Petitioner
Jeenutha Bee

1893 May 12th

The counter petitioner Jeenutha Bee was served on Friday the 21st July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Caudar Moideen alleged to be the adult brother of the said Jeenutha Bee as signed in ink by the said Caudar Moideen

Dated this 22nd July 1893
P. Peroomall
Bailiff

1893 July 25th

Messrs Branson & Branson
Petitioners Proctors

P. Peroomall

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Off: of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Jeenutha Bee on Friday the 21st day of July 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Caudar Moideen alleged to be the adult brother of the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Caudar Moideen.

24th July 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sgd R A Thompson
Dy Sheriff

1893 July 24th

O/S
C.M.P.
No 10 of
1893

Doree Meera Saib
Law: By Mr A. E. Reneontre — Petitioners

and

Madar Vemmah
Law: — Counter Petitioners

To
The Counter Petitioners
1- Madar Vemmah
2- Nooga Fathima

July 13th

Report of Bailiff

To be served on Mr Champion vide letter of 13th July 93 from the Attorney herein

Under instructions from Mr A. E. Reneontre Atty for the Petrs This Notice was tendered on Friday the 14th July 93 to Mr Alfred Champion who refused to accept Service of the same.

20th July 1893

July 13th

July 20th

Under instructions from Mr A. E. Reneontre, Attorney for the Petitioners herein, this Notice was tendered on Friday the 14th day of July 1893 to Mr Alfred Champion who refused to accept Service of the same.

20th July 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sgd R A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1893 July 20/7

O/S
Appl
No 18
of 1893

C. B. Kanniappa Moody by Mr R. Ramanujachary — Appellant — Defendt

and

C. Krishnasawmy Pillay — Respondt (Plff)

To
The Respondent
C. Krishnasawmy Pillay

May 12th
July 17th
Augt 10th

The Respondent C. Krishnasawmy Pillay was served personally on Friday the 28th day of July 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

Dated this 3rd Aug 93
O. Tholasingam
Bailiff

Mr R. Ramanujachari
Atty for Appellant

O.S.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Off: of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Krishnasawmy Pillay on Friday the 28th day of July 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

7th August 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sgd R A. Thompson
By Sheriff

Augt 7th

O's Appeal No 17 of 1893	Ponnoosawmy Gramany Byeths K. Raghavendra Row — Appellant (3d Defts) and Ekambara Gramany another — Respts (Plff & 2d Defts)	To The Respondents 1. Ekambara Gramany and 2. Annasawmy Gramany	1893 July 14th	1893 July 19th	1893 Augt 14th	The 1st Respondent Ekambara Gramany was served personally on Sunday the 30th July 1893 and the 2d Respondent Annasawmy Gramany was served personally on Monday the 31st July/93 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated 1st August 1893	C Ponnusawmy Bailiff
Pauper O's Appl No 13 of 1893	R. Cumbasawmy Chetty By Mr S. Gurusawmy Chetty Appellant (Plff) and R. Abboyee Chetty & 2 others — Respts (1st, 2, 3d Deft)	To The Respondents 1. R. Abboyee Chetty 2. R. Loobroya Chetty 3. R Ponnusawmy Chetty	April 28th	July 19th	July 28th	The 2d & 3d Respondents R Loobroya Chetty & R Ponnusawmy Chetty were served personally on Monday the 24th July 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. The 1st Respondent R Abboyee Chetty was served on Monday the 24th July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said R Loobroya Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said R Abboyee Chetty as signed in pencil by the said R Loobroya Chetty dated 26th July 1893	O Perumall Bailiff
C.S. No 115 of 1893	Moladina Cudda Lebbe Saib — Plaintiff and Oorapaukum Govinda Chetty — Defts	To The Defendant Oorapaukum Govinda Chetty	July 22d	July 24th	July 26th	The Defdt Oorapaukum Govinda Chetty was served on Sunday the 23d July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 25th July 1893	O. Scholasingam Bailiff

Mr F. Rowlandson Sheriff's Cable

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt Ekambara Gramany on Sunday the 30th day of July 1893 and the within named 2d Respondent Annasawmy Gramany on Monday the 31st day of July 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.
1st August 1893
C.S.
For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sign'd R.A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1893 Augt 1st & 2d

Mr S. Linganam Cable Applt's Cable

This Notice was served by P. Perumall an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d & 3d Respondents R. Loobroya Chetty and R. Ponnusawmy Chetty on Monday the 24th day of July/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.
This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent R. Abboyee Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said R. Loobroya Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said R. Abboyee Chetty as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Loobroya Chetty.
26th July 1893
C.S.
For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sign'd R.A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

July 26th & 27th

Messrs Schaffter & Bilfinger Applt's Attys

P Perumall

This Notice was served by O. Scholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant Oorapaukum Govindu Chetty on Sunday the 23d day of July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
25th July 1893
C.S.
For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sign'd R.A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

July 25th & 26th

Pauper Appl.No 27 of 93 E.P.	Nagan Servai — Appellant and Kumarasamia Pillay & 6 others — Respts.	To 6. Ramalingam Pillay alias Seetharama netha Pandara Sannadhi and 7. Muthu Pillay alias Ramanatha Pandara Sannadhi	1893 July 27th	1893 July 28th	1893 Octr 17th	The 6th & 7th Respondents, Ramalingam Pillay alias Seetharamanatha Pandara Sannadhi and Muthu Pillay alias Ramanatha Pandara Sannadhi are lawfully to be found within the local limits of the High Court but the Original search has been made for them. Dated 17th October 1893 E.P.	C.Singaram Bailiff
Appl. against order 55 of 1893 E.P.	S.M.A.R. Arunachellam Chetty by his Agent P. Ramasamy Aiyer By Mr P.M. Sivagnanam Mudr — Appellant and Oriental Bank Corpn Limited Madras — Respts.	To The Respondent Oriental Bank Corporation Ld. Madras.	25th	28th	Augt 23d	The Oriental Bank Corporation (Ld) were served on Monday the 31st July/93 by a copy of the Notice being delt to Mr R. Branson atty for the said Oriental Bank Corpn as signed by him in pencil. 31st July 1893 E.P.	W.A. Middleton
Appl. No 21 of 93 E.P.	P. Rathnammal — Appellant (Defts) and Thillay Yagappa Chetty Respt (Plff)	To The Respondent Thillay Yagappa Chetty	31st	31st	Augt 31st	The Respondent Thillay Yagappa Chetty was served personally on wednesday the 2d day of August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated the 1st August 1893 E.P.	C. Perroomal Bailiff

This Notice has not been served on the within named 6th & 7th Respondents Ramalinga Pillay alias Seetharamanatha Pandara Sannadhi and Muthu Pillay alias Ramanatha Pandara Sannadhi as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for them.

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
17th October 1893
Sgd/ R A. Thompson
by Sheriff

E.P.

1893 Octr 17th C.C. 1893 17/10.

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton, an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Oriental Bank Corporation (Limited) on Monday the 31st day of July 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr R. Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson, Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Mr R. Branson.

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
1st August 1893
Sgd/ R A Thompson
by Sheriff

E.P.

Augt 1st C.C. 1893 1/8

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Thillay Yagappa Chetty on Wednesday the 2d day of August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
14th August 1893
Sgd/ R A. Thompson
by Sheriff

E.P.

Augt 14th E.P. 1893 14/8

C. M.P. No. 446 of 93	Janadoss Moodr. by Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Saheb — Petitioner and Peria Ammani Amal another — Counter Petitioner	To The 1st Counter Petr. Peria Ammani Amal	1893 July 28th	1893 July 31st	1893 Augt 23rd

The 1st Counter-Petr. Peria Ammani Ammal is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for her.

Dated this 22nd August 93

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

C.P.

2nd appl. No. 1646 of 1892	Venkatroya Mudaliar — Appellant and Ramasawmy Mudaliar another — Respondents	To The 2nd Respondent Thoyammal.	Augt 4th	Augt 7th	Augt 31st	

The 2nd Respondent Thoyammal was personally served on Tuesday the 15th August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 15th August 1893

Singaram Bailiff

C.P.

15 appl. No. 19 of 1893	Chinna Krishnasawmy Chetty by his guardian Chinthala Yerrabhoy Chetty — Appellant (Plff) and A. Parthasarathy Chetty & anr — Respondts (1st & 2nd Defts)	To The Respondents 1. A. Parthasarathy Chetty and 2. A. Venkatadree Chetty	3rd	10th	Sept 4th	

The 2nd Respondent A. Venkatadree Chetty was served personally on Thursday the 10th day of August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil and 1st Respondent A. Parthasarathy Chetty was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said A. Venkatadree Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said A. Parthasarathy Chetty as signed in pencil by the said A. Venkatadree Chetty Dated 12th August 1893

Veeroomall Bailiff

Mr. A. Krishnaswamy Iyengar Pltff's Vakil

Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Saheb Petitioners Vakil

C.P.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Peria Ammani Amal as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for her.

22nd August 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Thoyammal on Tuesday the 15th day of August 1893 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her.

15th August 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by V. Veeroomall, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent A. Venkatadree Chetty on Thursday the 10th day of August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent A. Parthasarathy Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 2nd Respondent alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 2nd Respondent.

15th August 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

C.P.

1893 Augt 22nd	22/8/93
Augt 15th	15/8/93
Augt 15th	15/8/93

Os Appeal Nº 23 of 1893	Ramkum Runguna-dha Moodelliar & others Trustees of Pachaiyappa Mootti Charities & Executors of the late P. T. Lee Chengalroya Naicker deceased by Mr. T. W. Laing — Appellants (Plffs) and The Trustees of the Harbour of Madras carrying on business at the Harbour Trust Board Office on the beach B. Town Madras (Respts) (Defts)	The Respondents The Trustees of the Harbour of Madras.	1893 Augt 11th	1893 Augt 14th	1893 Septr 11th	The Trustees of the Harbour were served on Monday the 14th Augt/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. E. W. Wilson attr for the said Trustees as signed by him on the original Notice. 15/8/93. E.J. H. Jordan Bailiff
Appeal Nº 59 of 1893	P. T. Ponnarath Appa Nambiar & 5 others by Mr. V. Ryru Nambiar — Appellant and P. T. Janaenajayan Nambiar & 30 others — Respts	To The 4th Respondent Pookott Thottathil Appa Nambiar	17th	19th	Novr 27th	The 4th Respondent Thottathil Appa Pookott Nambiar was served personally on Tuesday the 24th October/93 by a copy of the Notice as signed by him in pencil being delivered to him 25 October/93 E.J. Pholasingam Bailiff
Os Appeal Nº 26 of 1893	Varadappa Nayakan otherwise known as Varadappa Nayudu By Mr. A. J. Ambrose Appellant — Plff and Alavandaraiya Respt (Deft)	To The Respondent Alavandaraiya	Septr 15th	Septr 22nd	Novr 1st	The Respondent Alavandaraiya was served personally on Friday the 22nd September 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated the 23 September 1893 E.J. Veerumall Bailiff

Mr. T. W. Laing Appellants Attr.

E. Jordan

This Notice was served by E. Jordan, an Offr of the Sheriff on the within mentioned Respondents the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras on Monday the 14th day of August/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. E. W. Wilson a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King, Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr. E. W. Wilson. 17th August 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Dpty R. A. Thompson
Dr. Sheriff

E.J.

1893 Augt 17th

This Notice was served by O. Pholasingam, an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Respondent Pookott Thottathil Appa Nambiar on Tuesday the 24th day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 26th October 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Dpty R. A. Thompson
Dr Sheriff

E.J.

Octr 26th

Mr. Ryru Nambiar Appellants Pakir

O J

Mr. A. J. Ambrose Vakil for Plff (Appt)

Veerumall

This Notice was served by P. Ponnaah on the within named Respondent Alavandaraiya on Friday the 22nd day of Septr/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 26th September 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Dpty R. A. Thompson
Dr Sheriff

E.J.

Septr 26th

Kandyan

O.S. Appl. No 24 of 93 L.T.	M. Livanamoo Ammall in person — Appellant (Plaintiff) and S. Muthuliniga Aucharry & two others — Respts (Defts)	To 1- S. Muthuliniga Aucharry 2. S. Woomapathy Aucharry and 3. Balammall	1893 Aug 11	1893 Aug 21	1893 Sept 11	The 1st 2nd & 3rd Respondents S Muthuliniga Aucharry S Woomapathy Aucharry and Balammall were served personally on Thursday the 24th August 1893 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil. Dated 26th August 1893. L.T. C. Singaram Bailiff.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram, an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents S. Muthuliniga Aucharry, S. Woomapathy Aucharry and Balammall on Thursday the 24th day of August 1893 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. 30th August 1893 L.T. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy. Sheriff	1893 Aug 30 L.T.
O.S. C.M.P. No 21 of 93 L.T.	C.B. Kanniappa Mooty by Mr R. Ramanjalhariar Petitioner Appt in O.S. No 18/93 and C. Krishnasawmy Pillay — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner C. Krishnasawmy Pillay	22	23	Aug 24	The Counter Petitioner C. Krishnasawmy Pillay was served personally on Wednesday the 23rd August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 23 August 1893 L.T. Peroomall Bailiff	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner C. Krishnasawmy Pillay on Wednesday the 23rd day of August 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 23 August 1893 L.T. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Aug 23 L.T.
C.M.P. No 740 of 1893 L.T.	Jana Dois Mudali By Mr C. Ramachendra Row Sahib — Petitioner and Peria Ammani Ammall & 2 others — Counter Petitioners	To The 3rd Counter Petitioner N. Ramaliga Pillay	Sept 15	Sept 18	Oct 9	The 3rd Counter Petitioner N. Ramaliga Pillay was served personally on Monday the 9th day of October 93 by a copy of the Petition as signed by him delivered Dated this 10th October 93 L.T. O. Tholasingam Bailiff	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Counter Petitioner N. Ramaliga Pillay on Monday the 9th day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 10 October 1893 L.T. For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff	Oct 10 L.T. 10/10

Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Sahib Mr. R. Ramanjalhariar Petitioners Solr

Mr. A. Sellamuttu in Person

O. Tholasingam

C. R. O. No. 173 of 1893 C.V.	Timmalawguttty Timmiah Sawr. by Mr. C. Rungacharian — Petitioner and Madras Salt Trading Compy. Sawr. — Counter Petitioner	To The 1st Counter Petitioner Madras Salt Trading Company.	1893 Sept. 18th	1893 Sept. 20th	1893 Oct. 18th	The 1st Counter Petitioner Madras Salt Trading Company was served on Monday the 25th September 1893, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Numberoomall Chetty the Secretary of the said Company as signed by him — Dated 28th September 1893 C. Ringaram Bailiff. C.V.	This Notice was served by C. Ringaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Madras Salt Trading Compy. on Wednesday the 27th day of September 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Numberoomall Chetty, Secretary in the said Salt Trading Company, as appears by the endorsement made by the said P. Numberoomall Chetty. 4th October 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson By. Sheriff C.V.
4th Motion Appeal agt Order No. 142 of 1892 C.V.	D. V. Loljaram Row By Mr. R. Balaji Row — Appellant and Venkatesa & 7 others — Respts	To The 6th Respondent V. K. Rungacharian	Sept. 25th	Sept. 28th	Oct. 4th	The 6th Respondent V. K. Rungacharian was served personally on Tuesday the 3rd October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink dated 3rd October 1893 P. Veroomall Bailiff C.V.	This Notice was served by P. Veroomall an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 6th Respondent V. K. Rungacharian on Tuesday the 3rd day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him. 3rd October 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff C.V.
Appl. No. 102 of 1893 C.V.	Venkatramana Aiyan by Mr. C. Dasekachariar — Appellant and Nagammal & 3 others — Respondents	To The 3rd & 4th Respts 3. The Officer in charge of the Public Debt Office Bank of Madras 4. The Bank of Madras	1894 Jan. 27th	29th	1894 Jan. 8th	3rd Respt Mr. J. Bowman Supt. of the Public Debt Office Bank of Madras & the 4th Respt Mr. C. N. Dawson Ag. Dy. Secy. of the Bank of Madras ~~on~~ were served on Friday the 29th Sept. 93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them in ink 29th Sept. 1893 J. Davis Sheriff	This Notice was served by J. Davis an officer of the Sheriff on the 3rd Respondent Mr. J. Bowman Superintendent of the Public Debt Office, Bank of Madras and on the 4th Respondent Mr. C. N. Dawson, Ag. Dy. Secretary of the Bank of Madras on Friday the 29th day of September 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively. 3rd October 1893 For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd R. A. Thompson By Sheriff C.V.

Mr. C. Rungacharian for C. Rungacharian Petitioner Taken

Mr. R. Balaji Row Appellants Vakil

P. Veroomall Madras

Mr. C. Dasekachariar Appellants Vakil

J. Davis Sheriff

1893 Oct. 4th	C.V.	4.10.93
Oct. 3rd	C.V.	3.10.93
Oct. 3rd	C.V.	3.10.93

O/s Appeal No. 27 of 93	Patrick Macfadyen & 3 others — Appellants (3rd to 6th Defts) and P. Ponnusawmy Chetty Respondent — Plaintiff	To The Respondents P. Ponnusawmy Chetty	1893 Sept. 21st	1893 Sept. 29th	1893 Nov. 6th	1893 Nov. 6th 6.11.93 8.11.93

P. Ponnusawmy Chetty the Respondent is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

6th November 93

O Tholasingam
Bailiff

E.T.

Mr. Barclay & Morgan
Appellants Attorneys

O/S

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent P. Ponnusawmy Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for him.

6th November 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sig'd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

E.T.

O/S Appl No. 29 of 1893	Haji Muhammad Rahamatulla Lahib By Mr. T. W. Laing — Appellant (Deft) and Rajah Thomalapalli Rama Row & ors Respts (Plffs)	To The Respondents 1. Rajah Thomalapalli Rama Row 2. Goondalapudi Ramakistniah	Sept. 27th	Oct. 3rd	Nov. 13th	Oct. 18th 18/10 18.11.93

The 1st and 2nd Respondents Rajah Thomalapalli Rama Row and Goondalapudi Ramakistniah were served on Thursday the 5th day of October 1893, each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. Douglas Grant Attorney for the said Respondents as agreed by the said Douglas Grant.

Subsd. 6th October 1893

O Singaram
Bailiff

E.T.

Mr. T. W. Laing
Appellant's Atty

E.T.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Off. of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Rajah Thomalapalli Rama Row and Goondalapudi Rama-kistniah on Thursday the 5th day of October 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. Douglas Grant Attorney for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Mr. Douglas Grant.

18th October 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sig'd/ R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

E.T.

2nd Appeal No. 684 of 1893	Arunachini Naicken By Mr. T. A. Narasimha Chariar — Appellant and Aghamath Khan Saheb & 24 others — Respondts	To The 5th Respondent Syed Jalandeen Saheb	Oct. 2nd	Oct. 4th	Nov. 15th	Nov. 15th 15.11.93

The 5th Respondent Syed Jalandeen Saheb is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him

Dated 15th November 1893

E.T.

L. Veeroomall
Bailiff

Mr. T. A. Narasimhachariar
Appellant's Atty

Veeroomall

This Notice has not been served on the within named 5th Respt. Syed Jalandeen Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

15th November 1893

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
Sig'd/ R. A. Thompson
By Sheriff

E.T.

3d Notice
2d Appeal
No 1662
of 1892
Dy

Apparow Mudali By Mr R. Sadagopachari — Appellant and Kawatchi Ammal another — Respts.	To The 2d Respondent Bhanumoorti Buttien	1893 Oct 3d	1893 Oct 5th	1893 Oct 10th	

As the 2d Respondent Bhanumoorthi Aethan could not be found a copy of the Notice was on Monday the 9th day of October 1893 affixed on the outer door of the house within described in which the said the 2d Respt is said to be ordinarily resident

Dated this 10th October 1893 — O Tholasingam, Bailiff

Dy

L.M.P.
No 563
of 1893
Dy

Srimanta Raja Yerla-gadda Mallikharjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zamindar Garu by Mr P. Pattabhiramaiyar — Petitioner and Makarla Sreedavamma & 4 others — Counter Petrs	To The 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkataswami Naidu	Oct 7th	Oct 10th	Appeal to Her Majesty in Council agt the decree in Appl No 38 of 90	

The 5th Counter Petitioner Makerla Venkataswami Naidu was duly served personally on Tuesday the 10th October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him and dated 11th October 1893 — C Singaram, Bailiff

Dy

O.S. Appl No 32 of 93 Dy	Vellayanadan Kunnoo Kurumy Nair & 3 others By Mr Douglas Grant Appellants (2d & 5th Defts) and Tanjore Lutchmana Row & 2 others — Respts (2d & 4th Defts)	To The 1st & 3d Respts 1. Tanjore Lutchmana Row 3. Tanjore Venkata Soobiah Row	1893 7th	1893 10th	1893 Nov 22d

The 1st Respondent Tanjore Lutchmana Row was served personally on Wednesday the 25th October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink.

Jeune or Mayne, Branson & Branson, for 3d Respts Letter 7/8th Nov 93 from Douglas Grant Atty

Mr R Branson a partner in the firm of Messrs R Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said 3d Respondent Tanjore Venkata Soobiah Row was served on Thursday the 16th November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by the said R Branson.

Dated this 17th November 1893

O Peroomall, Bailiff

17L

O.S. Appl No 25 of 93 Dy	M. Govinda Row minor by his father M. Rama Row in person — Appellant Plff and 1. Raghava Mudali and — Respts (Defts)	To The Respondents 1. Raghava Mudali and 2. Mudusami Naidu alias Richard Watson.	1893 Sept 21st	1893 Oct 20th	1893 Nov 6th

The 1st & 2d respondents Raghava Modelly and Mudusamie Naidoo alias Richard Watson were served personally on Friday the 20th October 1893 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in ink dated the 21st October 1893

O Peroomall, Bailiff

Dy

O.S. Appl No 33 of 93 Dy	T. M. T. Marriam Pillay & 2 others By Mr R. Balajee Row Appellants 1st 5th & 6th Defts and 1. The Bishop of Mylapore 2. The Revd M. J. D'Quadros Respts (Plffs)	To The Respondents 1. The Right Reverend Dom Henrique Jose Reed Da Silva Bishop of Mylapore 2. The Reverend Michael Joachim D'Quadros	Oct 13th	Oct 24th	Nov 27th

The within named 1st & 2d Respts The Right Revd Dom Henrique Jose Reed Da Silva & The Revd Michael Joachim D'Quadros were served on Tuesday the 24th Oct 93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr T. W. Laing Atty for the said 1st & 2d Respts

25th Oct 93 — T W Jordan, Bailiff

Dy

O.S. Appl No 31 of 93 Dy	T. V. Soolgaram Row By Mr R Balajee Row Appellant Plff and T. V. Lutchmana Row — Respt (Defts)	To The Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row	4th	24th	20th

The Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row was served personally on Wednesday the 25th October 1893 by a copy the Notice being delivered to him as signed by in ink

Dated this 28th October 1893

O Peroomall, Bailiff

Dy

G. Sankaranarayana Ayyan & Mr. A. M. Sevagnanam Pillai
Petitioners Vakil
Appellants Vakil

O. S.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram 1893
an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respts
Arcot Janakeram Mudr on Sunday the 22d October
day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice 24th
being delivered to him as appears by the
above endorsement in pencil made by
him.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
24th October 1893
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.P.

As the within-named Veerasamy Chetty Octr
and Ramakrishna Chetty could not be
found the guardians of the within named 23d
Counter Petitioner Narayanasawmy Chetty as
could not be found copies of this Notice
were on Sunday the 22d day of Octr 1893
affixed by C. Tholasingam an Offr of the
Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 50
in Coral Merchant Street Moothial Pettah
Black Town, Madras, in which 23/10.
the said guardians are said to be ordinarily
residing.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
23d October 1893
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram Octr
an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 30th
Respondent T. Moothoosawmy Mudally
on Wednesday the 25th day of October 1893
by a copy of the Notice being delivered to
him as appears by the above endorsement
in pencil made by him.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
30th October 1893
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.P.

Appellants in Person

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall 1893
an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respts
Raghava Mudali and Mudusami Naidu Octr
alias Richard Watson on Friday the 20th
day of October 1893 by copies of the Notice 23d
being delivered to them as appears by the
above endorsements made by them respectively.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
23d October 1893
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by F. W. Jordan Octr
an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2d
Respondents The Right Reverend Dom Henrique 26th
Jose Reed DaSilva and The Reverend
Michael Joachim D'Quadros on Tuesday
the 24th day of October 1893 by copies of the
Notice being delivered to Mr T. W. Laing,
Attorney for the said 1st & 2d Respondents as
appears by the above endorsement made by
the said Mr T. W. Laing.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
26th October 1893
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by P. Novr
Peroomall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within 1st
named Respondent T. V. Lutchmana
Row on Wednesday the 25th day of Octr 93
by a copy of the Notice being delivered
to him as appears by the above endorse
ment made by him.

For F. Rowlandson
Sheriff
1st November 1893
Sigd/ R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

C.P.

R. Balajee Row
Appellants Vakil
do
P. Peroomall for Self

Appl[e] against Order 57 of 1893	T. V. Lakshmana Row by the Hon: C Sankaran Nair & Mr. T. Madhava Row — Appellant and T. V. Tooljaram Row — Respondent	To The Respondent T. V. Tooljaram Row	1893 Oct[r] 26[th]	1893 Oct[r] 30[th]	1893 Dec[r] 8[th]	The Respondent T. V. Tooljaram Row was not served personally on Sunday the 30th October/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil. Dingaram Bailiff. Dated 30th October 1893.
O.S. Appl No. 22 of 1893	Nittheanantha Moodely by his Guardian ad litem T. Chockaliga Moodely By Mr. T. Venkatasubba Iyer — Appellants (2nd Deft) and 1. V. Veeriah Chetty 2. G. Venkatasimudu Chetty 3. V. Rungunayaki Ammal & 4. T. Masilamoney Moodely Respondents (3, 4 & 5 Pltffs & 1st Defendant)	To The Respondents 1. V. Veeriah Chetty 2. G. Venkatasimudu Chetty 3. V. Rungunayaki Ammal and 4. T. Masilamoney Moodely.	Nov[r] 3rd	Nov[r] 6th	Nov[r] 17th	V. Veeriah Chetty & Venkatasimudu Chetty & T. Masilamoney Moodely as 1st 2nd & 4th Respondents were severally served personally on Saturday the 11th instant by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in person. As the 3rd Respondent V. Rungunayaki Ammal could not be found, a copy of the Notice was affixed by me O. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No. 14 Anna Pillay Street Peddu Naicken Pettah Black Town Madras in which the said 3rd Respondent is said to reside ordinarily residing. 15th November/93. O. Thalasingum Bailiff
		To The Respondent Venkatanarayana Pillay	Nov[r] 3rd	Nov[r] 10th	Dec[r] 8th	This Respondent Venkatanarayana Pillay is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 8th December/93. O. Thalasingum Bailiff
Appl[e] against Order No. 128/93	Bollu Abbu Chetty By Mr. T. A. Narasimha Chary — Appellant and Venkatanarayana Pillay — Respondent					

Mr. C. Sankara Nair & Mr. T. Rangachariar for Appellant's Vakil.

Mr. V. Veeriah Chetty Appellant Permanall

Mr. T. A. Narasimha Chary Appellant's Attorney

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Off[r] of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. V. Tooljaram Row on Monday the 30th day of October 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made ~~for~~ by him. — 1893 Oct[r] 31st

31st October 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

This Notice was served by O. Thalasingum an Off[r] of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 2nd & 4th Respondents V. Veeriah Chetty G. Venkatasimmudu Chetty and T. Masilamoney Moody on Saturday the 11th day of Nov[r]/93 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. — Nov[r] 16th

As the within named 3rd Respondent V. Rungunayaki Ammal could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No. 14 in Anna Pillay Street Peddu Naicken Pettah Black Town Madras in which the said 3rd Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. — 16.11.93

16th Nov[r] 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

This Notice ~~was~~ has not been served on the within named Respt Venkatanarayana Pillay as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. — Dec[r] 8th

8th December 1893

For F. Rowlandson Sheriff
Sig[d] R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

8.12.93

O.J. Appeal No. 38 of 1893 E.V.	Dharmawalle — Appellant (1st Defdt) and V. Ramasawmy Mudaly Respondt (Plff)	To The Respondent V. Ramasawmy Mudaly.	1893 Novr 6th	1893 Novr 10th	1893 Decr 20th	The Respondent V. Ramasawmy Mudaly was served on Monday the 13th November 1893, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Thanicka Mudaly alleged to be the brother of the said Respondent as signed by him in pencil for Respondent. Dated 14th November 1893. Vijayaram. Bailiff.	Misspr Rungasamy Ayyar & Sinnappah Pillay's Appellants Proctor
Appeal against orders Nos 1032 " 1042 1893 E.V.	Ioyi Venkata Lubbarow Mattarla Erideswamy Naidoo by Mr C. Venkatarama Surma — Appellants and Makarla Venkatasami Naidoo — Respondt	To The Respondent Makarla Venkata-sami Naidoo	9th	11th	11th	The Respondent Makarla Venkatasawmy Naidoo was served personally on Tuesday the 28th day of November 1893 by a copy of Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 28th November 1893. P. Veeramalle Bailiff	Mr C. Venkatarama Surma Appellants Proctor
D.C. M.O. 2896 of 1893 E.V.	Sreekanta Deekshadar By Mr P. R. Sundara Iyer — Petitioner and Ranganatha Tanker & 196 others — Counter Petitioners	To The 1st Counter Petitioner Ranganatha Tanker	10th	13th	14th	The 1st Counter Petitioner Ranganatha Tanker was served personally on Wednesday the 13th day of December 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 13th December 93 O. Tholasingam Bailiff	Mr P. R. Sundara Iyer Petitioners Proctor

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respt V. Ramasawmy Mudaly on Monday the 13th day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Thanicka Mudaly, alleged to be the adult brother of the said V. Ramasawmy Mooty as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said V. Thanicka Mudaly for the said Respondent.

14th November 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Asst R. A. Thompson
Dy. Sheriff

1893 Novr 14th

This Notice was served by P. Veeramalle an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Makarla Venkatasami Naidoo on Tuesday the 28th day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

28th Novr 1893

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Asst R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

Novr 28th

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an offr of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Ranganatha Tanker on Wednesday the 13th day of Decr/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

13th Decr/93.

For H. Rowlandson Sheriff
Asst R. A. Thompson
Dy Sheriff

Decr 13th

Pauper C.S. No 129 of 1887 C.P.	Moorooganmale — Plaintiff and Cumdasawmy Pillay — Defendant	To The Defendant Cumdasawmy Pillay	1893 Nov 10	1893 Nov 13	1893 Nov 17	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Off of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant C. Cumdasawmy Pillay on Tuesday the 14 day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 16 November 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff C.P.	1893 Nov 16
		The Defendant Cumdasawmy Pillay was served personally on Tuesday the 14 November 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 15 November 93 O. Tholasingam Bailiff					
Off Appl No 36 of 1893 C.P.	Rae Rajah Eshoor Dss Diamanth Bahadoor of Madras by Meyrs Branson & Branson — Appellant — Plff. and Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A. & B.C) — Respts (Deft)	To The Respondent Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) (B.C).	Oct 13	Nov 18	Nov 27	This Notice was served by I. Perroomalle, an Off of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) (B.C) on Monday the 20 day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 21 November 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R.A. Thompson Dy Sheriff C.P.	Nov 21 21.11.93
		Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) (B.C) was served personally on Monday the 20 Nov/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. I. Perroomalle Bailiff 21 Nov 1893					
Off Appl No 37 of 93 C.P.	Mundy Venkatachella Chetty By Meyrs Branson & Branson — Appellant (Plff) and Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) (B.C) Respondent. (Deft)	To The Respondent Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) (B.C).				This Notice was served by P. Perroomalle, an Off of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) & (B.C) on Monday the 20 day of Nov/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 21 November 1893 For F. Rowlandson Sheriff Sigd/ R. A. Thompson Dy Sheriff C.P.	Nov 21 21.11.93
		Rentala Venkata Loobba Row (B.A) & (B.C) was served personally on Monday the 20 Nov/93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. I. Perroomalle Bailiff 21 Nov 1893					

Os Appeal Nos 40 & 1893 E.T.	D. Munsingh — Appellant Plff and G. Maugammall — Respondt Deft	To The Respondent G. Maugammall	1893 Novr 17th	1893 Novr 21st	1894 Janr 8th	1894 January 8th Adm WW 8/1/94

Saturday the 6th day of January 1894 10 Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff tendered a copy of this Notice to G. Maugammall the Respondent, but the said G. Maugammall having refused to accept the Service of the said Notice on him & thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of the Notice on the outer door of the house No 28 Govindappah Naick Street Black Town Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

10 Tholasingum
Officer of the Sheriff

Os Appeal No 41 & 93 E.T.	L. Royalon Chetty By Mr J. Short — Appellant Opp Cr No 5 and Henry Deflosets Jawr — Respts — Insolvents	To The Respondents 1- Henry Deflosets 2- Henry Amedee Deflosets	1893 Novr 21st	1893 Novr 23rd	1894 Janr 1/2	1893 Decr 8th Adm WW 8/1/94

2nd Respt Henry Deflosets was served personally on Friday the 24th Novr 93 as signed in pencil.

1st Respt Henry Amedee Deflosets was served on Monday the 4th Decr 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 2nd Respt the son of the said 1st Respt as signed in ink by the said 2nd Respt 4th Decr 1893

Joseph Davis
Bailiff

Appeal Against Order No 139 of 1893 E.T.	T. Pachayappa Gramany Jawr By Messrs Champion & Bilijiri — Appellants and Tholasi Ammal & anr — Respondts	To The 1st Respondt Tholasi Ammal	1893 Novr 24th	1893 Novr 30th	1894 Janr 22nd	1893 Decr 22nd Adm WW 22.1293.

The 1st Respondent Tholasi Ammal is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras although search has been made for her.

Dated 22nd December 1893.

C. Singaram.
Bailiff.

Messrs Champion & Bilijiri
Appellants Proctors

Appellant in Person

O.S.

Mr James Short
Atty for Appellant

Os 139/93

On Saturday the 6th day of January 1894 a copy of this Notice was pasted to the within named Respondent G. Maugammall, but the said G. Maugammall having refused to accept the service of the said Notice on her, the said copy was thereupon, and on the same day, affixed on the outer door of the house No 28 in Govindappah Naick Street, Black Town, Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

For Cowasjee Eduljee
Sheriff
8th January 1894
Sgd R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

E.T.

This Notice was served by Joseph Davis, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Henry Amedee Deflosets on Friday the 24th day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

This Notice was severally served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respt Henry Amedee Deflosets on Monday the 4th day of Decr 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 2nd Respt Henry Amedee Deflosets, alleged to be the son of the said 1st Respt Henry Amedee Deflosets as appears by the above endorsement in ink made by the said 2nd Respt for the said 1st Respt

For L. Rowlanjron
Sheriff
8th December 1893
Sgd R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

E.T.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Tholasi Ammal as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for her.

For Cowasjee Eduljee
Sheriff
22nd Decr 1893
Sgd R. A. Thompson
by Sheriff

E.T.

O/S Appl. No 27 of 1893 2d Orig. E.T.	Patrick Macfadyen & 23 others — Appellants - 3d to 6th Defts. and P. Ponnusawmy Chetty — Respondt — Plaintiff	To The Respondent P. Ponnusawmy Chetty.	1893 Nov. 24th	1893 Nov. 30th	1893 Decr. 20th	Myers Barclay Morgan & Attorneys for Appellants	Personally E.T.	This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Ponnusawmy Chetty on Thursday the 30th day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 1st December 1893. E.T. For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd R. A. Thompson by Sheriff	1893 Decr. 1st Cts 2.12.93
			The Respondent P. Ponnusawmy Chetty was served personally on Thursday the 30th day of November 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 30th November 1893. E.T. P. Peroomall Bailiff						
O/S Appl. No 42 of 93 E.T.	The Municipal Commissioners for the City of Marras Appellants (Plffs) and P. Parthasarathy Moodsaw — Respondts (Defts)	To The 2d Respt A. Mooneesawmy Moody	28th	30th	Janr 15th	do	O'Holasingam	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent A. Mooneesawmy Moody on Monday the 4th day of December 1893 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appeared by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 5th December 1893. E.T. For H. Rowlandson Sheriff Sgd R. A. Thompson by Sheriff	Decr. 5th Cts 5.12.93
		A. Mooneesawmy Moody was served personally on Monday the 4th Decr 93 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 4th Decr 1893. E.T. O'Holasingam Bailiff							