
No 6
Notice Boiler
27 Feb 65
1885
1899
NOTE BOOK
1877-1885
1-1877-1865

C.S. No. 618 of 1872	1	Appeal No. 27 of 1885	13	Appeal No. 9 of 1886	29
C.C.M.P. " 18 of 1885	1	" " 725 of 1885	14	C.M.P. " 6 of 1886	30
Appl " 68 of 1885	1	" " 22 of 1885	14	Civ. Reg " 82 of 1880	30
C.M.P. " 345 of 1885	2	" " 29 of 1885	15	Appeal " 11 of 1886	31
Appeal " 19 of 1885	2	" " 30 of 1885	15	" No " 84 of 1886	31
Civ. Reg " 15 of 1881	2	" " 973 of 85 and 1044 of 85	16	Second Appl No. 193 of 1886	32
C.S. " 23905 of 1883	3	C.R.P. " 318 of 1885	16	Appeal " 15 of 1886	32
Land Reg. " 611 of 1885	3	" " 263 of 1885	17	Second Appl " 725 of 1882	33
Civil Reg. " 190 of 1873	3	C.S. " 18387 of 1883	17	Appeal " 16 of 1886	33
Appl " 18 of 1885	4	Civ. Appl " 31 of 1885	18	" " 17 of 1886	34
C.S. " 173 of 1885	4	C.R.P. " 324 of 1885	18	Second " " 291 of 1886	34
Appl " 21 of 1886	5	Claim C.S. " 115 of 1885	19	Claim C.S. " 5143 of 1884	35
Claim C.S. " 346 of 1880	5	C.M.P. " 1 of 1886	19	C.R.P. " 73 of 1886	35
Second M.P. " 629 of 1885	5	Appeal " 2 of 1886	20	C.R.P. " 144 of 1886	36
Appeal " 17 of 1885	6	Claim C.S. " 20475 of 1885	20	Land Reg. " 714 of 1886	36
Claim " 18474 of 1883	6	Appl. No. " 30 of 1886	21	Appeal " 40 of 1886	37
C.M.P. " 432 of 1885	6	Appeal No. " 19 of 1886	21	Civ. Appl " 736 of 1886	37
Appl " 123 of 1885	7	C.M.P. " 105 of 1886	22	Claim " 12588 of 1885	37
Claim " 346 of 1880	7	Appl. " 3 of 1886	22	" " 12589 of 1885	38
Appl " 23 of 1885	7	C.S. " 259 of 1886	23	" " 12590 of 1885	38
" " 24 of 1885	8	Appeal " 1 of 1886	23	C.S. " 399 of 1876	39
Claim C.S. " 1018 of 1884	8	" " 5 of 1886	24	" " 261 of 1885	39
C.S. " 1018 of 1884	9	" " 3 of 1886	24	C.R.P. No. 547 of 1886	40
C.R.P. " 263 of 1885	9	C.R.P. " 55 of 1886	25	Pauper Appl " 18 of 1886	40
Claim " 6279 of 1885	10	Second Appeal " 266 of 1886	25	Claim C.S. No. 5143 of 1884	41
Appeal " 25 of 1885	10	Appeal " 29 of 1886	25	C.M.P. " 3 of 1886	41
Civil Reg. " 125 of 1884	11	C.M.P. " 200 of 1886	26	Civ. Appl " 1087 of 1886	42
C.R.P. " 273 of 1885	11	Second Appl " 725 of 1882	26	Appeal " 19 of 1886	42
C.M.P. " 339 of 1885	12	Appeal " 8 of 1886	27	C.R.P. " 230 of 1886	43
Appl " 22 of 1885	12	" " 40 of 1886	28	A.A.O. " 153 of 1886	43
" " 26 of 1885	12	" " 10 of 1886	28	Appeal " 141 of 1886	44
C.S. " 1858 of 83	13	" " 50 of 1886	29	C.M.P. " 643 of 1886	44
" " 11719 of 85	13	" " 13 of 1885	29	C.M.P. 563 of 1885	44

Claim & C. No 169 of 1886
" " 169 of 1886
Supreme Appeal " 18 of 1886
O.S. Chr. " 28 of 1886
C.R.P. " 237 of 1886
Second Appeal " 1245 of 1886
Supreme Appeal " 20 of 1886
2 Appeals " 1320 of 1886
Appeal " 23 of 1886
" " 22 of 1886
" " 21 of 1886
C.R.P. " 310 of 1886
Second Appeal " 111 of 1887
" " " 67 of 1887
Appeal " 1 of 1887
C.R.P. " 21 of 1887
S. Appeal " 175 of 1887
C.R.P. " 237 of 1886
C.R.P. " 25 of 1887
Ch.P. " 63 of 1887
Second Appeal " 229 of 1887
Second Appeal " 244 of 1887
" Appeal " 2 of 1887
Appeal Sup. Ct. " 22 of 1887
Claim & C. No 151 of 1885
C.R.P. " 230 of 1881
Appeal " 3 of 1887
O.S. Chr. " 5 of 1887
Second Appeal " 374 of 1887
Appeal " 147 of 1886

45 C.S. No 140 of 1878
45 Second Rep " 4 of 1887
46 Supp " 517 of 1887
46 Supp " 1313 of 1886
47 Appeal " 81 of 1887
48 Appeal " 23 of 1887
47 O.S. Chr. " 4 of 1887
48 Ch.P. " 261 of 1887
48 Appeal " 4 of 1887
48 Chr. " 308 of 1887
49 S. Appeal " 468 of 1887
49 Appeal " 5 of 1887
49 Appeal " 713 of 1887
50 Chr. " 336 of 1887
50 Appeal " 108 of 1887
51 Chr. " 366 of 1887
51 Appeal " 88 of 1887
52 C.R.P. " 99 of 1887
52 Appeal " 7 of 1887
52 O.S. Chr. " 11 of 1887
53 Chr. " 444 of 1887
53 Appeal " 6 of 1887
54 " " 9 of 1887
54 Supreme " 31 of 1887
55 Sup. Ct. " 317 of 1887
55 Sup. Ct. " 438 of 1887
56 Appeal " 8 of 1887
56 Sup. Ct. " 97 of 1887
57 Appeal " 123 of 1887
57 Appeal " 169 of 1886

57 C.R.P. " 21 of 1887
58 C.R.P. " 153 of 1887
58 Appeal " 772 of 1887
58 " " 11 of 1887
59 " " 133 of 1887
59 Chr. " 454 of 1887
60 Ch. " 592 of 1887
60 Ch. " 987 of 1886
61 Ch. " 988 of 1886
61 Appeal " 12 of 1887
62 Appeals " 109 and 110 of 1887
62 Chr. " 522 of 1887
62 Appeal " 8 of 1887
63 " " 3 of 1887
63 " " 14 of 1887
64 " " 15 of 1887
64 " " 119 of 1887
64 " " 90 of 1887
65 " " 121 of 1887
65 C.R.P. " 190 of 1887
65 Appeal " 97 of 1887
66 Appeal " 16 of 1887
66 " " 78 and 79 of 1887
66 O.S. Chr. " 14 of 1887
67 Supreme " 12 of 1887
67 Chr. " 204 of 1887
67 Chr. " 19 of 1887
68 Appeal " 15 of 1887
68 C.R.P. " 209 of 1887
68 Chr. " 731 of 1887

69 Second Appeal No 1072 of 1887
69 Appeal " 18 of 1887
69 " " 19 of 1887
69 " " 1127 of 1887
69 " " 1077 of 1887
70 " " 176 of 1887
70 Chr. " 792 of 1887
70 Chr. " 793 of 1887
71 C.R.P. " 218 of 1887
71 Appeal " 1208 of 1887
71 " " 988 of 1886
72 Ch. " 188 of 1887
73 Second Appeal 1306 to 1308 of 1887
73 " " 1131 of 1887
73 Chr. " 161 of 1887
74 Appeal " 181 of 1887
74 " " 17 of 1887
74 C.S. " 14301 of 1886
75 Claim & C. " 100 of 1887
75 O.S. Chr. " 1 of 1888
76 Appeal " 20 of 1887
76 Appeal " 111 of 1887
77 Chr. " 5 of 1888
77 Supreme Ct. " 3 of 1888
77 Appeal " 14 of 1888
78 " " 200 of 1887
78 " " 207 of 1887
78 Chr. " 23 of 1887

79 Second Appeal No 1 of 1888
80 " " 4 of 1888
80 " " 988 of 1886
80 " " 26 of 1888
81 " " 6 of 1888
81 Chr. " 3 of 1888
82 C.R.P. " 61 of 1888
82 Supreme " 4 of 1888
83 Appeal " 5 of 1888
83 S. Appeal " 1208 of 1887
83 Claim & C. No 236 of 1887
84 S. Appeal " 183 of 1888
84 " " 196 of 1888
84 Claim & C. No 22614 of 1885
85 Chr. " 270 of 1888
85 Appeal " 62 of 1888
85 Appeal " 55 of 1888
86 Chr. " 5 of 1888
86 " " " 258 of 1888
86 O.S. Chr. " 9 of 1888
87 Appeal " 8 of 1888
87 O.S. Chr. " 10 of 1888
87 Appeal No. " 40 of 1888
88 Second Appeal " 988 of 1886
88 Appeal No. 48 of 1888
88 O.S. Appeal 12 of 1888
89 Civil Ch. 344 of 88
89 Supreme Ct. " 11 of 88

89 O.S. Appeal No 10 of 1888
90 O.S. Appeal " 14 of 1888
90 Ch.P. No 14 of 1888
90 S. Appeal No 462 of 1888
91 Second Appeal 464 of 1888
91 Claim & C. Appeal 15 of 1888
91 Ch.P. No 432 of 1888
92 Ch.P. No 562 of 1888
92 2nd Appeal No 719 of 1888
92 O.S. Ch.P. " 20 of 1888
93 2nd Appeal " 754 & 755 of 1888
94 " " 26 of 1888
94 O.S. Appeal " 10 of 1888
94 Claim & C. " 55 of 1882
95 O.S. Appeal " 20 of 1888
95 O.S. Appeal " 18 of 1888
95 2nd Appeal " 988 of 1886
96 Appeal No. " 74 of 1888
96 Ch.P. " 650 of 1888
96 Appeal " 118 of 1888
97 " " 128 of 1888
97 " " 140 of 1888
97 O.S. Appeal " 16 of 1888
98 2nd Appeal " 910 of 1887
98 2 Claim & C. " 55 of 1882
98 Order Ch.P. " 512 of 1888
99 O.S. Ch.P. " 24 of 1888
99 O.S. Appeal " 26 of 1888

Q.S.A.	No	28 of 1888	110	Q.S.A.	No	35 of 1888	124	C.R.P.	No	72 of 1889	136
"	"	24 of 1888	111	C.M.P.	"	38 of 1888	124	Partn. C.M.P.	"	34 of 1888	137
"	"	27 of 1888	111	C.M.P.	"	971 of 1888	125	Q.S.A.	"	2 of 1889	137
Order C.M.P.	"	3 of 1888	111	Appl.	"	192 of 1888	125	"	"	1 of 1887	138
Q.S.A.	"	10 of 1888	112	C.M.P.	"	1168 of 1888	125	2.A.	"	141 of 1889	138
Q.S.C.M.P.	"	30 of 1888	112	Q.S.A.	"	36 of 1888	126	Claim	"	127 of 1887	139
Q.S.A.	"	25 of 1888	112	C.M.P.	"	41 of 1888	126	2nd Appl	"	663 of 1888	139
"	"	23 of 1888	113	A.Mfg.	"	153 of 1888	126	C.M.P.	"	169 of 1888	139
C.R.P.	"	241 of 1888	113	"	"	148 of 1888	127	Q.S.A.	"	3 of 1889	140
A.A.C.	"	105 of 1888	114	2 Appl.	"	1372 of 1888	127	2 Appl.	"	1587 of 1888	140
"	"	45 of 1888	114	Q.S.A.	"	38 of 1888	127	2 Claim 69	"	137 of 1879	140
A.A.C.	"	107 of 1888	114	2 Appl.	"	1742 of 1888	128	Claim 69	"	23857 of 1886	141
C.R.P.	"	227 of 1888	116	Q.S.A.	"	37 of 1888	128	Appl.	"	6 of 1889	141
Q.S.A.	"	17 of 1888	115	C.M.P.	"	1163 of 1888	128	C.M.P.	"	4 of 1889	142
2 Appl.	"	1177 of 1888	116	C.M.P.	"	1156 of 1888	129	"	"	34 of 1888	142
"	"	146 of 1888	116	"	"	1157 of 1888	129	"	"	9 of 1889	142
2	"	1436 of 1888	116	Q.S.A.	"	42 of 1888	129	Q.S.A.	"	4 of 1889	143
"	"	158 of 1888	117	2 Appl.	"	1751 of 1888	130	Q.S.A.	"	5 of 1889	143
Q.S.A.	"	31 of 1888	117	A.Mfg.	"	158 of 1888	130	A.A.C.	"	13 of 1889	143
2 Appl.	"	1528 of 1888	118	C.R.P.	"	304 of 1888	130	C.M.P.	"	6 of 1889	144
"	"	1552 of 1888	118	"	"	312 & 313 of 1888	131	Claim 69	"	137 of 1879	144
Q.S. Appl.		32 of 1888	119	Q.S.A.	"	39 of 1888	131	C.R.P.	"	40 of 1888	145
A.A.C.	"	138 & 139 of 1888	119	Patent Claim 69	"	10 of 1882	131	C.M.P.	"	8 of 1889	145
2.A.	"	1587 of 1888	120	Q.S.A.	"	41 of 1888	132	2 Appl.	"	18 of 1889	146
A.	"	26 of 1888	120	2 Appl.	"	154 of 1888	132	"	"	346 to 391 of 1889	146
C.M.P.	"	1021 of 1888	121	Q.S.A.	"	40 of 1889	133	Claim 69		137 of 1879	146
Patent C.M.P.	"	34 of 1888	121	Claim 69	"	375 of 1882	133	2 Appl.	"	663 of 1888	147
C.R.P.	"	281 of 1888	122	2.A.	"	36 of 1889	134	Claim C.S.		18207 of 87	147
C.M.P.	"	650 of 1888	122	Claim 69	"	137 of 1879	134	"	"	277 of 1886	148
C.S.C.	"	33 of 1888	122	2.A.	"	1736 of 1888	134	C.M.P.	"	13 of 1889	148
Q.S.A.	"	34 of 1888	123	Claim 69	"	11925 of 1889	135	2 Appl.	"	541 to 557 of 89	149
2 Appl.	"	677 of 1888	123	C.R.P.	"	13 of 1888	135	Q.S.A.		8 of 1889	149
C.M.P.	"	578 of 1888	123	C.M.P.	"	1185 of 1888	136	A.Mfg.		22 of 1889	150

2 Appl.	No	392 of 1884	150	C.R.P.	No	239 of 1889	161				
Q.S. Appl.	"	7 of 1889	150	C.M.P.	"	24 of 1889	161				
Q.S.	"	6 of 1889	151	C.R.P.	"	200 of 1889	161				
Appl. Mfg.	"	42 of 1889	151	Appl. Mfg.	"	12 of 1889	162				
C.M.P.	"	19 of 1889	151	2 C.R.P.	"	136 of 1889	162				
Appl. Mfg.	"	52 of 1889	152	Q.S. Appl.	"	19 of 1889	162				
C.M.P.	"	551 to 554 of 1889	152	C.R.P.	"	252 of 1889	163				
Appl.	"	154 of 1888	152	C.R.P.	"	251 of 1889	163				
Claim 69	"	359 of 1882	153	C.M.P.	"	26 of 1889	163				
"	"	359 of 1882	153	C.M. Appl.	"	84 of 1889	164				
A.A.C.	"	28 of 1889	153	C.M.P.	"	24 of 1889	164				
Q.S.A.	"	14 of 1889	154	C.M.P.	"	952 of 1889	164				
A.A.C.	"	58 of 1889	154	Q.S. Appl.	"	21 of 1889	165				
Claim 69	"	282 of 1884	154	Patent Q.S. Appl.	"	22 of 1889	166				
Q.S.A.	"	9 of 1889	155	Q.S. Appl.	"	23 of 1889	166				
Q.S.A.	"	11 of 1889	155	Q.S. Appl.	"	24 of 1889	167				
Q.S.A.	"	13 of 1889	156	2 Appl. Mfg.	"	82 of 1889	167				
"	"	16 of 1889	156	Q.S. Appl.	"	25 of 1889	168				
Appl. Mfg.	"	38 of 1889	157	C.R.P.	"	312 & 313 of 1888	168				
2 A.A.C.	"	13 of 1889	157	Appl. Mfg.	"	138 & 139 of 1888	168				
Patent Q.S.A.	"	12 of 1889	157	Q.S. Appl.	"	28 of 1889	169				
C.M.P.	"	670 of 1889	158	C.M.P.	"	40 of 1889	169				
Appl. Mfg.	"	70 of 18889	158								
Q.S. Appl.		15 of 1889	159								
C.M.P.	"	20 of 1889	159								
Q.S.A.	"	17 of 1889	159								
C.M.P.	"	1185 of 1888	160								
"	"	840 of 1889	160								
Q.S.A.	"	18 of 1889	160								

C.S. No. 618 of 1872.	N. Ragaviah Gauroo — Plaintiff and S. Streeramoolooo Naidoo — Defendant	To The Defendant S. Streeramoolooo Naidoo	1885 July 13th	1885 July 27th	1885 July 30th	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant S. Streeramooloo Naidoo on Wednesday the 29th day of July 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 29th July 1885 Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff C.S.	1885 July 29th Es 1/-
		The Defendant S. Streeramoolooo Naidoo was served on Wednesday the 29th July 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the original dated 29th July 1885. C. Singaram Bailiff					
Original Sub C. No. 18 of 1885	The Agra Bank Ltd. Madras By. mesrs. Barclay and Morgan — Petitioner and Ameenudeen Saib — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Ameenudeen Saib	July 31st	August 1st	August 11th	This Notice was served by T. Suroomachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Ameenudeen Saib on Tuesday the 4th day of August 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 7th August 1885 Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff C.S.	Aug 7th 7/- Es
		Ameenudeen Saib the Counter Petitioner was served personally on Tuesday 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered by the above acknowledgment of service in ink on the original Notice dated 6th August 1885. C. Singaram Bailiff					
Appeal No. 68 of 85	Rajah Bommarazu Bahadur by Mr Ramachandra Row Saib — Appellant and Thatha Seetharama Chetty — Respondent	To The Respondent Thatha Seetharama Chetty	August 1st	August 4th	October 26th	This Notice was served by O. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Thatha Seetharama Chetty on Wednesday the 19th day of August 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 20th August 1885 Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff C.S.	Aug 20th 2/- Es 2-/-/85
		Thatha Seetharama Chetty the Respondent was served personally on Wednesday the 19th August 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice Dated this 19th August 85. O. Thalasingum Bailiff					

Civil M. P. No. 345 of 85	Raja Bommaraju Bahadar zemendar of Karvetnaggur by Moss. Subrahmmeyam and Ramachandra Row Saib — Petitioner (Appt in appeal 80 of 85) and Thatha Seetharama Chetty — Counter Petitioner (Respt in do.)	To Thatha Seetharama Chetty	1885 August 8th	1885 August 12th	1885 August 21st
		Thatha Seetharama Chetty the counter Petitioner was served personally on Wednesday the 19th August 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil on the back of the Original Notice. Dated this 19th August 85			
		O. Tholasingam Bailiff			
Appeal No. 19 of 1885	Colanday Ammall by Mentz Branson and Branson — Appellants and Singara Pillay Canagasabhy Pillay — Respondent	To the Respondent Singara Pillay Canagasabhy Pillay	5th	17th	15th
		Singara Pillay Canagasabhy Pillay Respondent was served on Monday the 31st August 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by the said R. T. Grant Esqr Attorney			
		R. T. Grant			
		Singarayan Bailiff			
Caveat 68-No. 198/80. Appeal No. 15/81.	C. Narsimmoo Coodaiden — Aff - Appellant. — and — T. Venkatachella Mudaliar — Deft. Respondent.	To T. Venkatachella Mudaliar the Defendant Respondent	20th	21st	26th
		T. Venkatachella Mudaliar the Defendant Respondent was served personally on Saturday the 22nd August 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him on the back of the Original Notice. Dated this 24th August 85			
		O. Tholasingam Bailiff			

Counsel for Petitioner
Counsel for Respondent
Counsel for Petitioner
Counsel for Respondent
Counsel for Petitioner
Counsel for Respondent

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Thatha Seetharama Chetty on Wednesday the 19th day of August 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

20th August 1885

/Sgd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

G.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Singara Pillay Canagasabhy Pillay on Monday the 31st day of August 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R. T. Grant Esquire Attorney for the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said R. T. Grant

1st September 1885

/Sgd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

G.P.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant Respondent T. Venkatachella Moodaliyar on Saturday the 22nd Day of August 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

26th August 1885

/Sgd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

G.P.

1885 Aug 20 Sept 1 Aug 26

C.S. No. 23905 of 1883 On the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes	M. Aroomooga Moodelly — Plaintiff and P. Siva Chockaliriga Moodelly — Defendant Yerayoor Vencammah — Claimant	To M. Aroomooga Moodelly — Plaintiff	1885 Sept. 12	1885 Sept. 4	1885 Sept. 17	M. Aroomooga Moodelly served personally on 1885 by a copy of the to him as appears by the ment of Service signed on the back of the Dated this 8th day of	Moodelly Monday Notice above acknowl by him in pencil Original Notice E. Tholasingum Bailiff
Second Appeal No. 611 of 1885	Vedammal by Mr. Sadagopachariar — Appellant and Rajavachari — Respondent	To The Respondent Rajava Chari son of Cadambi Thiruvenkatachariar —	4	5	24	The Respondent Rajava Chari in the ordinary Original Dated 23d September	is not to be found Jurisdiction of the High search have been made for him. Singaram Bailiff.
Claimant C.S. No. 190 of 1873 On the file of the District Munsiff's Court of Nellore	Kandoor Vencata Charloo — Plaintiff and Matapully Vencata Ranga Rau Naidoo and others — Defendants and Burrah Appala Charloo — Claimant	To Kandoor Vencata Charloo — Plaintiff	3	9	17	Kandoor Vencata Charloo Plaintiff was served personally on Thursday the 10th September 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 11th day of September 85.	O. Tholasingum Bailiff

K.L.D. Visvanatha Sastri
Pleader

Sadagopachariar
Appellant's Vakil

P. Balarama Row
Pleader

Ranga Row
Respondent's Vakil

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. Aroomooga Moodelly on Monday the 7th day of September 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by above endorsement in pencil made by him.

8th September 1885

/Seed/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

This Notice has not been served by C. Singaravel an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Rajava Chari son of Cadambi Thiruvenkatachariar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

23d September 1885

/Seed/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Kandoor Vencata Charloo on Thursday the 10th Day of September 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th September 1885

/Seed/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

Appeal
No 18
of 85

1. Mahomed Cassim Saib
2. Abdul Kader
By Mr M. O. Mosingara Chetty
— Appellants

and

1. Abdul Azeez Saib
2. Beejoo Bee
3. Mahomed Ghouse Saib } Minors by their guardian ad litem
4. Katheja Bee — } Beejoo Bee
5. Koolsoom Bee — }
— Respondents

To
The Respondents

1885 Aug 7 — 1. Abdul Azeez Saib
2. Beejoo Bee
3. Beejoo Bee guardian of the 3rd 4th & 5th Respts

1885 Sept 9 —

Requests to serve on Messrs Branson & Branson for the Respondents

Two copies of the 2nd, 3rd, 4th & 5th Respts were served on Mr Branson on the 9th Sept 85 & another copy on Abdul Azeez Saib 1st Respt on the 28th Sept 1885
28th Sept 1885
A. Cooper

1885 Sept 29 — cs
Fees for 5 at.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Beejoo Bee on Wednesday the 9th September 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R Branson Esquire a Partner in the Firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

A. Cooper
M. O. Mosingara Chetty
Applts Atty

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named Beejoo Bee the guardian ad litem of the within named 3rd, 4th and 5th Respondents on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said R Branson Esquire Attorney for the said Beejoo Bee as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent Abdul Azeez Saib on Monday the 28th day of Sept 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him
29th Sept 1885
Serv'd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Claimant
C. S.
No
173
of 1885

Guddaly Kotiah Naidoo — Plaintiff
No.
and
Cherukuri Kondiah and another — Defendants
and
Velampally Thoolsiah Chetty — Claimant

Sept 2 — Guddaly Kotiah Naidoo Plaintiff
Sept 8 — Guddaly Kotiah Naidoo Plaintiff
Sept 10 — Guddaly Kotiah Naidoo Plaintiff was served personally on Thursday the 10th September 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated this 11th day of September 85
A. Cooper
Bailiff

P. V. Kotayadanum & Co
Claimant's Attorneys

O. Tholasingum
Sheriff

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum Esquire an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Guddaly Kotiah Naidu on Thursday the 10th day of September 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th September 1885
Serv'd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Sept 2 — 14 — 2/6
Fees

Appeal No. 21 of 1885	Seena Vana Valleappah Chetty by Mrs. Ambrose — Appellant and Subramaneya Chetty — Respondent	To	The Respondent Subramanya Chetty	1885 Sept. 17th	1885 Sept. 21st	1885 October 12th	
Claimant C.S. No. 346 of 1880.	Muncharam Butt — Plaintiff and Cuppal Sobroyalu Chetty — Defendant and Kuppala Rakmany Ummall — Claimant	To	Muncharam Butt — Plaintiff	28th	30th	9th	
Second Appeal No. 629 of 1885.	Lakshmiammall by Mr. Viswanathan — Appellant and Venkatrayer and 7 others — Respondents	To	The 7th & 8th Respondents Ramasamier Krishnier.	October 30th	2nd	November 18th	

This Notice was served by C. Engaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Subramanya Chetty on Saturday the 26th day of September 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

28th September 1885

/Sd/ C. Engaram
/Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.S.

This Notice was served by me T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Muncharam Butt on Wednesday the 30th day of September 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of his

Service signed by him in pencil.

2nd October 1885

/Sd/ T. Auroonachellum
Bailiff
/Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.S.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum Officers of the Sheriff on the 8th Respt. Krishnier on Friday the 13th day of Novr/85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

The 7th Respt. Ramasamier is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Juris. of the Hon. Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th November 1885

/Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.S.

The 8th Respondent Krishnier was served on Friday the 13th day of November/85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

The 7th Respondent Ramasamier is not to be found although search has been made for him.

Dated this 17th day of November/85

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff

C.S.

Appeal No. 17 of 1885 K.V.	Ambanoor v. Sheruvengada Chanir By. Mess.rs Grant and Laing — appellant and 1. Allapaukum Singora Ammal 2. Allapaukum Sri Runga Chari — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent Allapaukum Sri Runga Chari	1885 Sept. 29th	1885 October 6th	1885 October 19th
Claimant C. S. No. 18474 of 1883 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	M. Vathachella Moodelly — Plaintiff and Poothooray Vyapooray Chetty — Defendant and P. Woonnamalay Ammall — Claimant	To The Plaintiff M. Vathachella Moodelly.	October 9th	10th	22nd
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 432 of 1885 K.V.	Kalagarla Surianarayana by Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar — Petitioner (Respdt in appeal 1884/82) and Maturi Ramabramham — Counter Petitioner Appellant in do	To The Counter Petitioner Maturi Ramabramham	9th	12th	November 13th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Allapaukum Sri Runga Chari on Monday the 12th day of October 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

15th October 1885

/Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Fees 4 As 15/10

C.V.

This Notice was served by me T. Auromachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff M. Vathachella Moodelly on Monday the 12th day of October 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in ink.

14th October 1885

/Sd/ T. Auromachellum Bailiff

/Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.V.

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Maturi Ramabramham on Thursday the 15th day of October 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

21st October 1885

/Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.V.

Grant and Laing Appellant's Attys.

Das Gass & Ramiengar Respt's Attys.

K. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar Petitioner's Counsel

D. S.

Appeal No. 123 of 1885	P. A. Chockalinga Pillai by his Mother Sadagopachariar — Appellant and Somasundrum Pillai — Respondent	To the Respondent Somasundrum Pillai	1885 October 9th	1885 October 12th	1885 January 18th				
Claimant C. S. No. 346 of 1880	Muncharam Butt — Plaintiff and Cuppal Soobrayalu Chetty — Defendant and Kuppala Rukmaney Ammall — Claimant	To the Plaintiff Muncharam Butt	9th	13th	22nd				
Appeal No. 23 of 85	Woodagery Parthasarady Naidoo By Mr. C. W. Wilson — Appellant and Frederick Rowlandson Esquire — Respondent	To the Respondent Frederick Rowlandson Esqre	20th	21st	24th	1885 November			

The Respondent Somasundrum Pillai was served on Thursday the 10th day of December 1885; by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated 10th December 1885.
G. T. C. Singaram
Bailiff

Frederick Rowlandson Esqre the within named Respondent was served Personally on the 23rd October 1885 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him and Signed for by him with Pencil
23rd October 1885
W. H. Middleton
Bailiffs

C. V. Sundaram Pandia — Solicitor for Appellant
Sadagopachariar — Appellant
Somasundrum Pillai — Respondent

C. V. Sundaram Pandia — Solicitor for Appellant
P. A. Chockalinga Pillai — Appellant

W. H. Middleton — Solicitor for Respondent

This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Somasundrum Pillai on Thursday the 10th day of December 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
11th December 1885
[Sig.] J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

This Notice was Served by me T. Muromachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Muncharam Butt on Tuesday the 13th day of October 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above Acknowledgment of Service signed by him in ink.
15th October 1885
[Sig.] T. Muromachellum
Bailiff
[Sig.] J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

This Notice was Served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Frederick Rowlandson Esquire on Friday the 23rd day of October 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
28th October 1885
[Sig.] J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

1885 Decr 11th
Oct 15th
Oct 28th

Appeal No 24 of 1885	Aroonachella Chetty Ruthnavalas Chetty Krishnasawmy Chetty and 3 others By Messrs Barclay and Morgan ——— Appellants. And Thumboo Chetty Veerasawmy Chetty and 5 others ——— Respondents	In The Respondents 1. Thumboo Chetty Veerasawmy Chetty 2. Thumboo Chetty Venceata Chella Chetty 3. Pauliam Somoosondrum Chetty 4. Mullappoo Moopoogappa Chetty 5. Amaramba doo Soobraya Chetty 6. Vallam Moopoogappa Chetty	1885 October 20d	1885 October 21st	1885 November 30th

A. Soobraya Chetty was served personally on Monday the 26th day of October/85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him.
J. Veerasawmy Chetty. J. Venkatachella Chetty P. Somoosondrum Chetty. M. Moovoogappa Chetty and V. Moovoogappa Chetty the 1st 2nd 3rd 4th and 6th Respts were served on Wednesday the 28th October/85 by copies of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqre Attorney for the said Respondents as signed in pencil by the said R. Branson. Dated this 28th October/85

O. Kulasingum Bailiff

Requests to serve Messrs Branson & Branson with the Notice intended for service on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th & 6th Respts vide letter of 28th Oct/85 from the attys herein.
L.T.

Claim'd C.S. No. 1018 of 1884 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	Isaac Chetty and Woonnamalay Ammall ——— Plaintiff And Ammani Ammall, J. Woonnamalai Ammall ——— Cundasawmy Chetty and Samarapoori ——— Defendants And Boothoor Soobroya Chetty ——— Claimant	In The Plaintiff Isaac Chetty	22d	22d	4th

P. Rodger, Proctor & Notary Public

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 5th Respondent Amabambadoo Soobraya Chetty on Monday the 26th day of October 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 1885 Nov 4 L.T.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 6th Respondents Thumboo Chetty Veerasawmy Chetty, Thumboo Chetty Venceata Chella Chetty, Pauliam Soongasoondrum Chetty, Mullappoo Moopoogappa Chetty, Vallam Moopoogappa Chetty on Wednesday the 28th day of October 1885 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqre a partner in the Firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Branson.

4th November 1885

/Seen/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

L.T.

This Notice was served by me J. Aurunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Plaintiff Isaac Chetty on Saturday the 24th day of October 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil. Oct 28th L.T.

26th October 1885

/Seen/ J. Aurunachellum Bailiff

/Seen/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.V.S.

S. C. No 1018 of 1884 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes	Teagoo Chetty + Woonnamalay Ammall — Plaintiffs and Ammani Ammall T. Woonnamalay Ammall Kuttasawmy Chetty + Samarapoori — Defendants and Boothoor Sooboya Chetty — Claimant	To The 2d Plaintiff Woonnamalay Ammall	1885 Oct 22	1885 Oct 22	1885 Nov 4	R. Balajee Row & Co Claimant's Vakils		This Notice was served by me T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Plaintiff Woonnamalay Ammall on Saturday the 24th day of October 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Teagoo Chetty the 1st Plaintiff alleged to be the Husband of the said Woonnamalay Ammall as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said Teagoo Chetty. 26th October 1885 / Sworn T. Auroonachellum Bailiff / Sworn J. H. Taylor Sheriff
Civil Revision Petition No 263 of 85	Jemboo Iyer by his Kalyana Ramier — Petitioner and Trichinopoly Iyavoo Iyer and another — Counter Petitioners	To 1. The Counter Petitioners 1. Trichinopoly Iyavoo Iyer 2. Trichinopoly Visvanatha Iyer	21	24	6	Kalyanaram Ramiah Petitioner's Vakil		This Notice has not been served by O. Thalaimuttu an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2d Counter Petitioners Trichinopoly Iyavoo Iyer and Trichinopoly Visvanatha Iyer as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 6th November 1885 / Sworn J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Trichinopoly Iyavoo Iyer and Trichinopoly Visvanatha Iyer the Counter-Petitioners were not to be found although search has been made for them.
Dated the 6th November 85 O. Thalaimuttu
Bailiff

Claimant C.P.No. 6279 of 85 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	P. Venkatarathnam ——— Plaintiff and Ramasawmy Naidoo & another ——— Defendants and G. Chenchuramiah ——— Claimant.	To The Plaintiff P. Venkatarathnam	1885 October 28th	1885 October 29th	1885 November 19th	
Appeal No 25 of 86	M. J. Comarasawmy Mudaliar By Messrs Grant and Laing ——— Appellant and M. J. Soobroy Mudaliar and 6 Others ——— Respondents	To The Respondents 1. M. J. Soobroy Mudaliar 2. M. J. Vyapoory Mudaliar 3. Pohnammal 4. M. C. Jungavalo Mudaliar 5. M. V. Kristnasawmy Mudaly (minor) by his guardian ad litem J. Munesawmy Mudaliar 6 & 7. M. R. Iyahsawmy Mudaly and M. R. Moorooga sa Mudaly (minors) by their guardian ad litem George Alfred Murray.	29th	31st	Decmb 7th	On the 2nd November 1885 on V. W. Laing Esq. for Messrs Grant & Laing 1st Respt on R. F. Burton Esq. for 2nd Barclay & Morgan 2nd & 5th Respts on R. Branson Esq. for 3rd & 4th Branson & Branson 3rd & 4th Respts on R. F. Grant Esq. for 6th & 7th Grant & Grant & Short 6th & 7th Respts vide letter 31st Oct 85 from Grant & Laing

W. Middleton
Bailiff

P. Ananda Chandra Aiyanar Bailiff

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff P. Venkatarathnam on Thursday the 29th day of October 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil

30th October 1885

C.S.

Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff

Sd/ J. F. Taylor Sheriff

1885 Oct 30 2/

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent M. J. Soobroy Mudaliar on Monday the 2nd day of November 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant and Laing Attorneys for the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said J. W. Laing.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent M. J. Vyapoory Mudaliar and P. Munisawmy Iyer alleged to be the guardian of the within named 5th Respondent M. V. Kristnasawmy Mudaly on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to C. F. Burton Esquire an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan attorneys for the said 2nd and 5th Respondents as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said C. F. Burton.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd and 4th Respondents Ponnammal and M. C. Jungavalo Mudaliar on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to R Branson Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson and Branson attorneys for the said 3rd and 4th Respondents as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Branson.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named George Alfred Murray Esquire alleged to be the guardian of the within named 6th and 7th Respondents M. R. Iyahsawmy Mudaliar and M. R. Moorooga sa Mudaly by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. F. Grant Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant and Short attorneys for the said 6th and 7th Respondents as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said R. F. Grant.

6th November 1885

Sd/ J. F. Taylor Sheriff

Grant and Laing Appellants Attorneys

G. Holmes

Nov 6 6/6 C.S.

Appeal against order No 125/84	Rengasamy Mudaliar by Mr N. Subrahmanyam — Appellant and Narayanasawmy Mudr a minor by his mother & guardian Cavary ammal residing in No 2 Govindaraja Mudaliar's Bungalow Choolay Madras — Respondents	To The 1st Respt Narayanasami Mudaliar (minor) by his mother & guardian Cavary ammal — On Thursday the 19th day of November 1885 I affixed the Original Appeal Notice in No 2 Govindaraja Mudaliar's Bungalow, General Collins Road Choolai, vide letter dated 4th November 1885 from the Deputy Registrar Appellate side. Dated 19th November 1885 — Dingaram Bailiff	1885 Oct 31st	1885 Nov 4th	1885 Nov 27th
Civil Revision Petition No 273 of 85	Mungapathy by Mr Subramania Sastriar — Petitioner and P. Arunachella Moodelliar — Caveator — Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner P. Arunachella Moodelliar — Civil Revision Petition No 273 of 1885. P. Arunachellam Moodelliar, the Counter Petitioner was personally served, on Tuesday the 11th day of November 1885, by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice. Dated this 12th day of November 1885 — Bailiff	Month Nov 4th	Nov 5th	Nov 12th

N. Subramanyam Esqr Appellants Vakil

S. Subramaniam Esqr Petitioners Vakil

Sheriff's Office

No 161 — Madras 19th November 1885 — 1885 Despatch No 161

From The Sheriff of Madras.

To The Deputy Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras Appellate Side

Sir, With reference to your letter No 546 Judl dated 4th November 1885 I have to report that on the 19th November 1885 I caused the Notice in Appeal Against Order No 125 of 1884 to be affixed in No 2 Govindaraja Mudaliar's Bungalow General Collins road Choolai.

19th November 1885

I have the honor to be your most Obedient Servt
(Sd) J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

This Notice was served by J. Ruvonachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner P. Arunachella Moodelliar on Tuesday the 10th day of November 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in Pencil made by him.

12th November 1885

(Sd) J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

Civil Mis Petition no 339 of 1885	Mature Ramabramham by the Honorable T. Rama Row ——— Petitioner (Appt in appeal 155 of 1882) and Kalagurla Suriamarayana - Counter - Petitioner (Respt in do)	To The Counter Petitioner Kalagarla Suria - narayana.	1885 November 23d	1885 November 24th	1885 December 4th	
Appeal no 22 of 85	Vencattavanagherry Gosayee Mr T.T. Ramayya Chanir - Appellant H Rowlandson Esqre and H Rowlandson Esquire Beerjee Lallgheary Gosayee Respondents.	To The 1st Respondent H Rowlandson Esqre	October 20th	November 30th	December 15th	
Appeal no 26 of 1885	P Kamiah Chetty By Mr E.W. Shaw — appellant and The National Bank of India Ld Madras G. Venkiah Chetty - Respondents	To The Respondents 1. The National Bank of India Ld Madras 2. G. Venkiah Chetty.	1886 Novr 23d	Novr 30th	January 12th	

This Notice was served on H. Rowlandson Esqre on the official Assignee on Tuesday the 3d Decr 85. by a copy of the Notice being delivd to him & signed by him in Pencil.
2d Decr 1885 } A Sooapel

John Kyd Esqre manager of the National Bank of India the 1st Respondent was served on the 2nd Dec 1885, and G Venkiah Chetty the 2nd Respondent was served on the 13th December 1885. by copies of this Notice being delivered to them and acknowledged by them in ink.
Dated 16th December 1885 } Singaram. Bailiff.

Civil Mis Petition no 339 of 1885
Appeal no 22 of 85
Appeal no 26 of 1885
T. Rama Row Petitioner
Kalagarla Suriamarayana Counter Petitioner
Vencattavanagherry Gosayee Mr T.T. Ramayya Chanir Appellant
H Rowlandson Esqre Official Assignee
P Kamiah Chetty By Mr E.W. Shaw Appellant
E.W. Shaw Esqre Appellants Vakil

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Kalagarla Suriamarayana on Sunday the 29th day of November 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
30th November 1885 } [Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff
Ld. C.S.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent H. Rowlandson Esqre on Thursday the 3d day of December 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
7th December 1885 } [Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff
Ld. C.S.

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent The National Bank of India (Limited) Madras on Wednesday the 2d day of December 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to John Kyd Esquire Manager of the said Bank as appears by the above endorsement made by the said John Kyd Esquire.
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2d Respondent G. Venkiah Chetty on Sunday the 13th day of December 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.
4th January 1886 } [Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff
Ld. C.S.

C. S. No 1838 of 1883 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.

S. Rungiah Chetty — Plaintiff

and

S. Alamalammall and S. Govindoo Naicken — Defendants

To The Defendants

1885 December 1st

1885 December 3d

1885 December 10th

S. Alamalammall

S. Govindoo Naicken

S. Alamalammall the 1st Deft was served on Saturday the 3d December/85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.

Govindoo Naicken the 2d Defendant not being found, a copy of the Notice was on the same day affixed by me on the outer door of the house No 27 in Theravalloor High Road Nasherman pettah in which the said 2d Deft is said to be Ordinarily residing.

Dated this 8th December 1885

S. Moonisheliam Bailiff

This Notice was served by J. Ausomachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Defendant S. Alamalammall on Saturday the 5th day of December 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service marked by her in pencil.

As the within named 2d Defendant Govindoo Naicker could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Saturday the 5th day of December 1885 affixed on the outer door of the House No 27 in Theravalloor High Road Nasherman pettah in which the said 2d Defendant is said to be Ordinarily residing.

10 December 1885

Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Dec 1885

Dec 10 -

Plaintiff in person

S. Lundrow Esqr Bailiff Vasse

Claimant C. S. No 11719 of 1885 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.

Chunoo Bee — Plaintiff

and

Ghouse Saib, Hasan Saib and Allum Bee the legal personal representatives of Esoof Saib deceased — Defendants

and

Usman Saib — Claimant

To The Plaintiff

1886 January 4th 5th 14th

Chunoo Bee

Chunoo Bee the Plaintiff was served on Monday the 7th day of December 1885 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.

Dated 7th December 1885

C. Singaram Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singa-ram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Chunoo Bee on Monday the 7th day of December 1885 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

8th December 1885

Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Dec 8th

Barclay and Morgan Proctors for Plaintiff

Appeal No 270/85

The Hon. A. Mackenzie and 2 Others of Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co By Messrs Barclay and Morgan — Appellants

And

1 B. Teruvengadatham Chetty

2 Gantham Venkiah Chetty — Respondents

To The Respondents

1886 January 7th 8th 19th

1 B. Teruvengadatham Chetty

2 Gantham Venkiah Chetty

B. Teruvengadatham Chetty and Gantham Venkiah Chetty the 1st and 2nd Respondents were served on Friday the 11th day of December/85 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.

Dated this 11th December/85

O. Tholasingum Bailiff

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents B. Teruvengadatham Chetty and Gantham Venkiah Chetty on Friday the 11th day of December 1885 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them respectively as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

12th December 1885

Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Dec 15th

Barclay and Morgan Proctors for Appellants

O. T.

Second appeal No 725 of 82.	Sreenivasa Runga Row Pantulu and 3 others — appellants and Ramasamy Reddy and another — Respondents.	To	1885	1885	1885
		The 1st & 4th Appellants	Decr	Decr	Decr
		1st Sreenivasa Runga Row Pantulu on his own behalf and as guardian of his brother 4th Runga Row a minor.	8d	9d	16d
		2d appellant Kristna Row Pantulu			
		3d appellant Rama Row Pantulu			

The Appellants Sreenivasa Runga Row Pantulu, Kristna Row Pantulu, and Rama Row Pantulu were severally not to be found in the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for them.

Dated. 15th December 1885.

C Singaram Bailiff

Mr. C. Narasimma Aiyar Respondent's Vakil

This Notice has not been served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 4th Appellants viz Sreenivasa Runga Row Pantulu and Runga Row a minor respectively and on the 2nd and 3d Appellants viz Kristna Row Pantulu and Rama Row Pantulu respectively as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

15th December 1885.

Sd/ J W Taylor Sheriff

Appeal No 22 of 1885.	Niradi Ragavachari by his Sadagopachariar and his M. E. Sirungachariar — appellant and Shamsudeen Saib and 5 others — Respondents	To The 6th Respondent			1886 January
		Kadir Bibi	10d	15d	4d

The 6th Respondent Kadir Bibi was served personally on Wednesday the 16th day of December 1885, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil on the original Notice

Dated this 18th day of December 1885.

S Ramaswamy for C Arumugam Bailiff

Mr. Sadagopachariar for M. E. Sirungachariar Appellant's Vakil

This Notice was served by T. Auromachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 6th Respondent Kader Bibi on Wednesday the 16th day of December 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her.

4th January 1886.

Sd/ J W Taylor Sheriff

1885 Decr 15d 6s 15-12-

1886 January 4d 9s

Appeal No 29 of 85	Mothe Lal Sowcar and Kamee Khan Sowcar By Mess. Grant and Short — Appellants. and H. Rowlandson Esqre and 4 others — Respondents.	To The Respondents 1. H. Rowlandson Esq. assignee of the Estate of Venkatesa Pillay 2. H. Rowlandson Esq. assignee of the Estate of Y. Mootho-ram Pillay 3. Y. Venkatanarayana Pillay 4. Vadavallee Ammal and 5. Sreenivassa Pillay. Respondents	1885 December 12th	1885 December 16th	1886 January 25th

The 1st and 2nd Respondents H. Rowlandson Esqre was served on Tuesday the 5th January/86 by 2 copies of the Notice being delivered to him and 3rd Respondent Y. Venkatanarayana Pillay was served on Tuesday the 5th January/86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R Balajee Rao Esqre Vakil for the said 3rd Respondent as acknowledged by them on the Original Notice.
The 4th Respondent Vadavallee ammall and the 5th Respondent Sreenivassa Pillay were severally not to be found within the limits of the High Court although search has been made for them.
Dated this 25th January/86

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff

Moss. Grant & Short
Appellants Attys.

O.T.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents H. Rowlandson Esquire on Tuesday the 5th day of January 1886 by two Copies of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 1886 Jan. 25 E.J.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd Respondent Y. Venkatanarayana Pillay on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. R Balajee Row Vakil for the said Y. Venkatanarayana Pillay as appears by the above endorsement made by the said R. Balajee Row.

And the within named 4th and 5th Respondents V. Vadavallee Ammal and Sreenivassa Pillay are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 4th 5th

25th January 1886

/Seed/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

Appeal No 30 of 85	Cadayem Sooborayloo Naidoo By Mr. C. W. Wilson — Appellant and Mambalum Soondara Ramanjooloo Naidoo Mambalum Soondarammah — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Mambalum Soondara Ramanjooloo Naidoo 2. Mambalum Soondarammah	15th	16th February	1st

The 1st Respondent Mambalum Soondara Ramanjooloo Naidoo was served on Thursday the 17th December by a copy of the notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqre Attorney for the said 1st Respondent and the 2nd Respondent Mambalum Soondarammah was personally served on Saturday the 9th January/86 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as acknowledged by them on the original notice in pencil.
Dated 11th day of January 1886

Singaram
Bailiff.

C. W. Wilson
Appellants Atty.

C.W.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mambalam Soondara Ramanjooloo Naidoo on Thursday the 17th day of December 1885 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqre a partner in the Firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Branson. Jan. 14 E. 80 R

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent Mambalam Soondarammah on Saturday the 9th day of January 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

14th January 1886

/Seed/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.T.

W.C.

Second appeals nos. 973 of 85 and 1044 of 85	Narasimma Pata Chavir and 2 others by Vr Rama-chendra Row Saib — Appellants and Sadagapachavir and 82 others — Respondents	To The 82d Respondent Alpankum Tiranga-chary son and legal representative of the deceased Rayana-chavir.	1885 Decemb 6th	1885 Decemb 19th	1886 Janry 21st.	

The 82d Respondent Alpankum Tiranga bhary was served personally on Saturday the 26th day of December 1885; by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the original Notice.

Dated this 28th day of December 1885

Sd/ T Viraraghellum
Bailiff

Civil Revision Petition No. 318 of 1885	Sundaravir by Vr Ma R Partha-sara thi Ayengar — Petitioner and Subbannavien — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Soobbannavien	16th	19th	15th	

The Counter Petitioner Soobbannavien is not to be found within the ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated this 4th day of January 1886

Sd/ O Tholasingum
Bailiff

Vr C Ramachendra Row Saib
Appellants Vakil

Vr R Parthasarathy Ayengar
Petitioner Vakil

O S

This Notice was served by T. Duroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff of the Sheriff on the 82d Respondent Alpankum Tiranga Chary on Saturday the 26th day of Decemb 85 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

7th January 1886

Sd/ J H Taylor
Sheriff

Jaur 7 6
RS
O

CS

This Notice has not been served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Soobbannavien as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

4th January 1886

Sd/ J H Taylor
Sheriff

Jaur 14 4
RS
O

CS

Second appeals nos. 973 of 85 and 1044 of 85

Narasimm and 2 ors - ch.

Plff 1885 Janry 7th

Defts

Kaliyana Ramier Petitioner Valid

Plff

Defts

Petitioner

Ch. S. 18387 of 1883

Ch. 14/1/86

As J. Govindoo Naicken the 2nd Defendant not to be found I therefore affixed a copy of the Notice, on Tuesday the 12th day of January 1886, on the outer door of the hut in Mosthead Maistery Street at Old Washermanpettah within the local limits of Madras in which the said Defendant is said to be Ordinarily residing

Dated this 14th day of January 1886

J. H. Thomas Bailiff

Bailiff

2nd C. S. No 18387 of 83 on the file of the Court of Small Causes

L. Rungiah Chetty - Plaintiff

and

T. Alamalammall and J. Govindoo Naicken - Defendants

To

1885 Decmb 17th

The Counter Petitioner Trichinopoly Syavoo Iyer.

Trichinopoly Viswanathier.

Trichinopoly Viswanathier was served personally on Tuesday the 5th day of January 86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Trichinopoly Syavoo Iyer was served on Tuesday the 5th day of January 86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Trichinopoly Viswanathier, alleged to be the adult brother of the said Trichinopoly Syavoo Iyer as signed in pencil by the said Trichinopoly Viswanathier.

Dated this 6th January 86

D. Tholasingum Bailiff

1885 Decmb 21st

1886 January 8th

To

The 2nd Defendant

1886 January 19th

5th

14th

J. Govindoo Naicken

This Notice was served by D. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Trichinopoly Syavoo Iyer on Tuesday the 5th day of January 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Trichinopoly Viswanathier alleged to be the adult brother of the said Trichinopoly Syavoo Iyer as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Trichinopoly Viswanathier.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the Counter-Petitioner Trichinopoly Viswanathier on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

7th January 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

As J. Govindoo Naicken the within named 2nd Defendant could not be found a Copy of this Notice was on Tuesday the 12th day of January 1886 affixed on the outer door of the hut in Mosthead Maistery Street at Old Washermanpettah Madras in which the said Defendant is said to be Ordinarily residing.

14th January 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 Janry 7th

1886 Janry 14th

Transfer

Appeal No 31 of 85

Arujammal By Means Balajee — Appellant
Row & Co
and
A. Streenivaesa Chetty and another — Respondents

To
The Respondents
1. A. Streenivaesa Chetty
2. Parthasarady Pillay

1886 Janua 15th

1886 January 18th

1886 Jan 8th

The 2nd Respondent Parthasarady Pillay was served personally on Tuesday the 19th January 1886, and the 1st Respondent A Streenivaesa Chetty was served on Saturday the 23rd January 1886, by delivering copies of the Notice being delivered to them respectively & signed by them in pencil on the original Notice.

Dated 25th day of January 1886

C Singaram. Bailiff.

P Balajee Row & Co vs A Streenivaesa Chetty & Another Appeal No 31 of 85

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Respondent Parthasarady Pillay on Tuesday the 19th day of January 1886 and on the 1st Respondent A Streenivaesa Chetty on Saturday the 23rd day of January 1886 by delivering Copies of the Notice being delivered to them respectively as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them.

27th January 1886

C.P.

(Sgd) J H Taylor Sheriff

1886 Jan 27 85

Civil Revision Petition No 324 of 85

Desika Chary by Mr Jagarow Pillai — Petitioner
and
Kunnathoor Siva Subramania Swamy Hindu Kutumba Rakshaka Nidi (Limited) — Counter-Petitioners.

To
The Counter Petitioners
Kunnathoor Siva Subramania Swamy Hindu Kutumba Rakshaka Nidi (Limited).

18th 21st 6th

Civil Revision Petition No 324/1885

Kunnathoor Siva Subramania Swamy, Counter-Petitioner was served personally on Friday the 22nd day of January 1886, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink on the original Notice.

Dated this 25th day of January 1886

C J Mayilvaganam Bailiff

C/E 25/1/86

Desika Chary by Mr Jagarow Pillai Petitioner vs Kunnathoor Siva Subramania Swamy & Another Civil Revision Petition No 324 of 85

This Notice was served by T Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Kunnathoor Siva Subramania Swamy on Friday the 22nd day of January 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

27th January 1886

C.P.

(Sgd) J H Taylor Sheriff

1886 Jan 27 85

Claimant's C. S. No. 115 1/85

In the Attaching Creditor S. Govintha Chary

Plaintiff

and

Collathoor Dasega Chary

Defendant

and

Frederick George Shayne Acting Manager of the Madras Branch of the Agra Bank Limited

Claimant

1886 January 22nd

1886 January 23rd

1886 February 9th

Bailiff's Diary and Acknowledgment

C. S.

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff S. Govintha Chary on Wednesday the 27th day of January 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. Arunatha Chavoloo Vakil for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said P. Arunatha Chavoloo.

28th January 1886

C. P.

/Sgd/ O. Tholasingum Bailiff

/Sgd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.S.

1886 Febt 1th 9

Original Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 1 of 1886

In the Counter-Petitioner Mambalam Soondara Ramanjoolo Naidoo and another

Counter-Petitioner

and

C. Soobrayaloo Naidoo by Mr. C. W. Welson Petitioner

To The Counter-Petitioners Mambalam Soondara Ramanjoolo Naidoo

Mambalam Soondaramah

February 2nd

February 3rd

February 9th

The 2nd Counter-Petitioner Mambalam Soondaramah was served personally on Friday the 5th February 1886, by a copy of the notice being delivered to her, and the 1st Counter-Petitioner was served on the same day by a copy of the notice being delivered to Re, Branson Esqre Attorney for the said 1st counter Petitioner as acknowledged by them on the original notice in pencil.

Dated 6th February 1886.

C. P.

Wingaram Bailiff.

Bailiff's Diary and Acknowledgment

C. W. Welson Esqre Petitioner's Attorney

C.S.M.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner Mambalam Soondara Ramanjoolo Naidoo on Friday the 5th day of February 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for the said 1st Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Branson.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Counter Petitioner Mambalam Soondaramah on the same day by a copy of the Notice being so delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

8th February 1886

C. P.

/Sgd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.S.

February 8th

Allen 8-2-86

Appeal No. 2 of 1886

The Pursevakum Hindu Jananukula Nidhi Limited By their Balaji Row & Samunatha Punt — Appellant

and

A Blasubramania Pillay and another — Respondents

To

The Respondents

1. A Balasubramania Pillay

2. A Coomaragooroo Pillay minor by his guardian ad litem C. Soobrayaloo Iyer.

1886	1886	1886
Janry	Febry	March
29th	9th	2nd

A Balasubramania Pillay and C. Soobrayaloo Iyer guardian of the within named A Coomaragooroo Pillay were served personally on Friday the 19th day of Feby 1886 by Officer of the Notices being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.

Dated 19th February 1886

L. Peroomall Bailiff

Feby Feby Feby

11th 12th 25th

Messrs Balasoe Row & Co. Appellant's Vakils

Claimant

C. S. No. 20475 of 785 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes

L. P. 1

Soondarammall — Plaintiff

and

T. Parasakthi Sadagopa Chary — Defendant

and

Soondaraboyee Ammall — Claimant

To

Plaintiff Soondarammall.

This Notice was served by P. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent A Balasubramania Pillay on Friday the 19th day of February 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2nd Respondent A Coomaragooroo Pillay on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to C. Soobrayaloo Iyer the guardian of the said A Coomaragooroo Pillay as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said C. Soobrayaloo Iyer.

22nd February 1886

[Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff

L. P.

Messrs Balasoe Row & Co. Claimant's Vakils

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Soondarammall on Saturday the 13th day of February 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service marked by her in pencil.

Dated 16th Feby 1886

[Sgd] C. Singaram Bailiff

[Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff

L. P.

1886 Febr. 22nd Rs

Sat.

Febr 16th

Rs

Appeal Against Order No. 30 of 1886

Bommarazu Baladen Zemindar by Mr. Sadagopa Chariar — Appellant

and

H. M. Bowden Esqr. — Respondent

To

The Respondent

H. M. Bowden Esqr

1886 February 18th

1886 February 22nd

1886 March 12th

H. M. Bowden Esqr. the within named Respondent was served on the 24th February 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in token

24 Feb 1886

W. H. Middleton

Appeal Against Order No. 19 of 1886

Moothoo Iyer by Messrs Balaji Rao & Co — Appellant

and

Saumy Iyer and 73 others — Respondents

To

The Respondents 67 to 73 only

1886 February 12th

1886 February 23rd

1886 March 19th

67. Sattayappayen son of Thittachary V. Ramien.

68. Vythinathasawmien son of Thittachary V. Ramien.

69. Arunthayen son of Thittachary Chidambarien.

70. Ramasawmi Iyen son of Kamachipuram Subramien.

71. Ramayen son of Thittachary Iyahvien

72. Appasawmy Iyen son of Thittachary Ramasawmi Iyen

73. Iyahsawmi Iyen son of Thittachary Saumy Iyen.

Arunthayen, Ramayen, Appasawmy Iyen and Iyahsawmy Iyen the 69. 71. 72 and 73 Respondents were served on Monday the 1st day of March 1886, by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil and on the same day Sattayappayen and Vythinathasawmien the 67. and 68. Respondents were served by copies of the Notice being delivered to them but they refused to sign and Ramasawmi Iyen the 70. Respondent was served on Wednesday the 17th day of March 1886, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 69 Respondent Arunthayen alleged to be the cousin of the said 70 Respondent as signed by the said Arunthayen in pencil for the said 70 Respondent.

Dated 18th March 1886.

C. Singaram Bailiff

Baladen Zemindar for Chariar Appellant's Vakil

P. Balajee Rao & Co Appellant's Vakils

Broomaulie

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent H. M. Bowden Esqr on Wednesday the 24th day of February 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him

25th February 1886

Sd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 Feb 25 C.P. 23

This Notice was served by C. Sugaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 69th 71st 72nd and 73rd Respondents Arunthayen Ramayen, Appasawmy Iyen and Iyahsawmi Iyen ~~of the~~ on Monday the 1st day of March 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appear by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the 67th and 68th Respondents Sattayappayen and Vythinathasawmien on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them but they refused to endorse the acknowledgments of service of the said Notice on them.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 70th Respondent Ramasawmi Iyen on Wednesday the 17th day of March 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 69th Respondent Arunthayen alleged to be the Cousin of the said 70th Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said 69th Respondent for the said 70th Respondent.

18th March 1886

Sd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 March 18 C.P. 13

Civil Miscellaneous Petition no 105 of 1886	Bommaraju Baladur by Mr Sadagopa Chariar in appl.t — Petitioner (appellant agt. Order 30/86) and H M Bowden Esqr. — Counter Petitioner (Respt in do)	To H M Bowden Esqr. — Counter Petitioner	1886 Feby 23rd	1886 Feby 25th	1886 March 12th	
Appeal no 3 of 1886	M. Anantha Chary and 13 others By Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellants and Donady Rungiah by his guardian Oosoor Akiah Maistry and 2 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Donady Rungiah minor by his Uncle and next friend Oosoor Akiah Maistry. 2. Donady Patha-thumby Maistry. 3. Donady Vurthammah.	25th	27th	April 6th	

H M Bowden Esqr. the within Respondent was served Personally on the 26th February 1886 by a Copy of the Petition being delivered to him and signed by him in my presence

W H Middleton

26th Feby 1886

Oosoor Akiah Maistry the uncle & next friend of the 1st Respondent D. Rungiah (minor) Donady Pathithumby Maistry & Donady Vurthammah the Respondents were served on Friday the 19th March/86 by copies of this Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil

Dated this 19th day of March/86.

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff

Sadagopa Chariar
Petr. Counr. Petnr

W H Middleton for H. S. Holland

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named H Counter-Petitioner H M. Bowden Esquire on Friday the 26th day of February 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

2d March 1886

Sd/ J W Taylor
Sheriff

1886 March 2d 2/- 8½

This Notice was served by O Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Donady Rungiah on Friday the 19th March 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Oosoor Akiah Maistry alleged to be the Uncle and next friend of the said Donady Rungiah as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by said Oosoor Akiah Maistry.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2d and 3d Respondents Donady Pathathumby Maistry and Donady Vurthammah on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

24th March 1886

Sd/ J W Taylor
Sheriff

Branson & Branson
Appt's Advs.

Tholasingum
Petitioner

March 24 6 C/R A.M. 24/3/86

Second Appeal No. 259 of 1886 L.P.

Lingappia Naiden and another by Mr Srirunga-chariar — Appellants

and

Viraswami Naiken and another — Respondents

To

The 2d Respondent Rangaya Naiken.

1886 February 24E. 1886 March 3d. 1886 April 8E.

The 2d Respondent Rangaya Naiken was served on Tuesday the 6th day of April 1886 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the Original notice.

Dated 7th April 1886.

Bingaram. Bailiff.

L.P.

Srirunga Chariar Esqr. Appellants Vakil.

L.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Rangaya Naiken on Tuesday the 6th day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

7th April 1886

L.P.

/Sgd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 April 7 6/8 C&E

Viswanatha Iyer Esqr. Appellant's Vakil.

L.P.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Joseph Barrow Cardoza on Thursday the 11th day of March 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Grahland Laing Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said J. W. Laing.

12th March 1886

L.P.

/Sgd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

March 12 6/8 E.S. A.L.

Appeal No. 10 of 1886 L.P.

A.L.P. Palaniappah Chetty By Mr Viswanatha Iyer — Appellant

and

Joseph Barrow Cardoza — Respondent

To

The Respondent Joseph Barrow Cardoza.

March 13E. 11E. 16E.

Joseph Barrow Cardoza was on the 11th March/86 served by a copy of the Notice being deld to J. W. Laing Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Grahland Laing as signed in pencil by the said Laing.

11/3/86

L.P.

Accepted

Appeal No. 5 of 1886	Moothu Lal Sowcar and another By Lr. Subbayya Chetty — Appellants and G. Venkeiah — Respondent	To The Respondent G. Venkeia	1886 March 19th	1886 March 19th	1886 July 13th	

G. Venkeia the Respondent was served on Saturday the 31st day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Terumgadadasammy Pillay Attorney for the said Respondent as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed in ink by the said P. Terumgadadasammy Pillay for the said Respondent

7th April 1886.

G.V.

This Notice was served by me J. Auromachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent G. Venkiah on Saturday the 3rd day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. Theroovengadasawmy Pillay Attorney for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said P. Theroovengadasawmy Pillay.

13th April 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

G.V.

Appeal No. 7 of 1886	Moothu Lal Sowcar and another By Lr. Subbayya Chetty — Appellants and 1. P. Venkatasubbammal. and 2. P. Venkatramaiah — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. P. Venkata-subbammal 2. P. Venkata-ramiah.	19th	19th	13th	

P. Venkatasubbammall and P. Venkatramiah the Respondents were served on Saturday the 31st day of April 1886 by copies of the Notice being delivered to P. Terumgadadasammy Pillay attorney for the said Respondents as appears by the acknowledgments of service signed in ink by the said P. Theroovengadasammy Pillay for the said Respondents.

7th April 1886.

G.V.

This Notice was served by me J. Auromachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents P. Venkatasubbammall and P. Venkataramiah on Saturday the 3rd day of April 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. P. Theroovengadasawmy Pillay Attorney for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said P. Theroovengadasawmy Pillay.

13th April 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

G.V.

Civil Revision Petition No. 55 of 1886 D.F.I	Appasamy Moodaly by Vr. Kaliyana Ramier — Petitioner and Sivanantham — Counter — Petitioner.	To The Counter-Petitioner Sivanantham	1886 March 16th	1886 March 22nd	1886 April 2nd	

The Counter Petitioner Sivanantham is not to be found within the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for her. Dated this 1st day of April/86. O. Tholasingam Bailiff

A.P.

Kaliyana Ramier Petitioner vs Sivananthan Vadivel

O.S.T.

This Notice has not been served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Sivanantham as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 1st April 1886 — Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff — A.P. — April 1st — Cts — D

Second Appeal No. 266 of 1886 D.F.I	Muthusami Mudaliar and another by Vr. Anandacharlu — Appellants and Muthusabapathi Mudaliar and 5 others — Respondents	To The 6th Respondent Pappal	19th	25th	14th	

The 6th Respondent Pappal was served on Thursday the 1st day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her. Dated 1st April 1886. C. Singaram Bailiff

A.P.

Ramasawmy Chetty & another Appellants vs Muthusawmy Mudaliar & others Respondents

O.S.T.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 6th Respondent Pappal on Thursday the 1st day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement made by her. 6th April 1886 — Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff — A.P. — April 6th — Cts — D

Appeal No. 29 of 1885 D.F.I	Mothu Lal Sowcar and Karee Khan Sowcar By mesne Grant and Shut — Appellants and H. Rowlandson Esqr. assignee of the estate of Venkatasa Pillay & 4 others — Respondents	To The 4th and 5th Respondents. 4. Vadavalle Ammal 5. Streenevasa Pillay	15th	26th	13th	

Vadavalle Ammall & Streenevasa Pillay the 4th & 5th Respondents were served on Friday the 26th March 1886 by 2 copies of this Notice being delivered to Mr. K. P. Visvanatha Iyer Vakil for the said Respondents as signed on pencil by the said Visvanatha Iyer. Dated this 29th day of March/86. O. Tholasingam Bailiff

A.P.

Messrs Grant and Shut Appellants Attys

O.S.T.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th and 5th Respondents March Vadavalle Ammal and Streenivasa Pillai on Friday the 26th day of March 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to Mr. K. P. Visvanatha Iyer, Vakil for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said K. P. Visvanatha Iyer. 31st March 1886 — J. H. Taylor Sheriff — A.P. — 31st — Cts — D

Civil Misc Petition No. 200 of 1886 C.J.	Raghavender Row by his next friend Ammaul Ammal by Wr. J. R. Ramachandra Iyer — Petitioner and Dymes & Co. of Madras, Trustees of Staves & Co of Coimbatore — Counter-Petitioners.	To The Counter Petitioners Dymes & Co. of Madras, Trustees of Staves & Co of Coimbatore	1886 March 30th	1886 March 31st	1886 April 16th

Messrs Dymes & Co the within named Counter Petitioners were served on the 31st March 1886 by a Copy of the notice being delivered to Wr. H. E Fox an assistant in the above named firm and signed by him in ink

31st March 1886 } Dy. W. Middleton

J. R. Ramachandra Iyer
Petitioner's Vakil

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Dymes & Co. of Madras on Wednesday the 31st day of March 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to to Mr H E Fox an Assistant in the said Dymes & Co as appears by the above endorsement made by the said H. E. Fox.

1st April 1886 } Sd. J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.P.

Second Appeal No. 725 of 1882. C.P.	Sreenivasa Ranga Row Panthulu and 3 others. — Appellants and Ramasawmy Reddi and another — Respondents.	To The 1st and 4th Appellants. 1st Sreenivasa Ranga Row Panthulu on his own behalf and as guardian of his brother 4th Ranga Row a minor.	April 2nd	April 3rd	April 30th

The 1st Appellant Sreenivasa Ranga Row Panthulu is not to be found within the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 29th April 1886 Dy. Sheriff

C. Ramachandra Iyer
Respondent's Vakil

This Notice has not been served by C. Singaravelu an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Appellant Sreenivasa Ranga Row Panthulu as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

29th April 1886 } Sd. J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.P.

1886 April 1st. C.P.			April 29th

Appeal No 8 of 1886

M. C. Woommer Saib and C. Cottappa Naidu By Mr. Visvanadha Iyer — Appellants

and

Beejan Bee Bee and 3 others — Respondents

To

The Respondents

1. Beejan Bee Bee
2. Ameen Bee Bee
3. Hajeeram Bee
4. Mahomed Bee

1886	1886	1886
April	April	July
3d	7th	19th

Beejan Bee Bee was served on Thursday the 8th April/86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her husband C. Ibrahim Saib as signed by him in pencil. Ameen Bee Bee and Mahomed Bee were served on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as marked by them in pencil. Hajeeram Bee was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her husband T. N. Ibrahim Saib as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 9th day of April/86.

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff

K.V. Visvanadha Iyer
Advocate for Appellants

O. Tholasingum

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2 and 4th Respondents Ameen Bee Bee and Mahomed Bee on Thursday the 8th day of April 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

1886 April 13 C.V.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent Beejan Bee Bee on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to C. Ibrahim Saib alleged to be the husband of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said C. Ibrahim Saib.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd Respondent Hajeeram Bee on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to T. N. Ibrahim Saib alleged to be the husband of the said 3rd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said T. N. Ibrahim Saib.

13th April 1886

Per J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.V.

Appeal No. 49 of 1886

Subramania Pillai by his Nor Norton ——— Appellant

and

Muthusami Pillai and 6 others ——— Respondents

To

The Respondents 1st & 3rd only

1886 April 8d

1886 April 10s

1886 July 19th

1. Muthusami Pillai
2. Thi Sundram Pillai
3. Venkatachalam Pillai

The 1st Respondent Muthusami Pillai was served on Saturday the 24th April 1886 and the 3rd Respondent Venkatachalam Pillai was served on Friday the 14th May 1886 by copies of Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil and the 2nd Respondent Thi Sundram Pillai is not to be found within the ordinary original Jurisdiction of High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 19th July 1886

C. Singaram Bailiff

Appeal No. 10 of 1886

A. Ammanay Row Pillay ——— Appellant

and

A. Cunneappah Chettiar ——— Respondent

To

The Respondent

13s 16d 2½d

A. Cunneappah Chettiar

A. Cunneappah Chettiar the Respondent was served on Saturday the 17th day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice.

19th April 1886

J. Jaganadha Row Pillay Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Muthusami Pillai on Saturday the 24th day of April 1886 and on the 3rd Respondent Venkatachalam Pillai on Friday the 14th day of May 1886 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them respectively as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them.

And the 2nd Respondent Thi Sundram Pillai is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

20th July 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Cost

This Notice was served by T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent A. Cunneappah Chettiar on Saturday the 17th day of April 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

20th April 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Cost

1886 July 20th Cost 13

1886 April 20th 8s

Appeal No 50 of 1886 L.T.	V. Gurvappa Chetti and another by Wm Krishna Sawmy Chettiar — Appellants and Mrs C. Dixon — Respondent	To Mrs C. Dixon — The Respondent	1886 May 6th	1886 May 6th	1886 august 2nd	

Mrs C. Dixon was served on the 14th day of July 86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as the original in such 14th July 1886 J. H. Taylor Sheriff L.T.

Appeal No 13 of 1886 L.T.	C. Lobrayaloo Naidu By Messrs Watson and King — Appellant and Gathumsetti Seethammah — Respondent	To The Respondent Gathumsetti Seethammah	10th	11th	July 20th	

Gathumsetti Seethammah the Respondent was served personally on Saturday the 15th day of May 86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. 18th May 86 April O. Tholasingum Bailiff

Appeal No 9 of 1886 L.T.	A. Srirunga Charriar By Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant and K. Narasimiloo Naidu — Respondent	To The Respondent K. Narasimiloo Naidu	13th	12th	27th	

The Respondent K. Narasimiloo Naidu was served on Monday the 7th June 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to D. Grant Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant & Laing attorneys for the said Respondent as signed by the said grant Esqre Dated 13th July 1886 L. Singaram Bailiff L.T.

Respondent's Advocate
Appellant's Vakil
G. Tolbraes

						1886
This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mrs C. Dixon on Wednesday the 14th day of July 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement made by her. [Sig.] J. H. Taylor Sheriff 16th July 1886 L.T.						July 16th

Respondent's Advocate
Appellant's Vakil
O. T.

						July
This Notice was served by O. Tholasinguem an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Gathumsetti Seethammah on Saturday the 15th day of May 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 14th July 1886 [Sig.] J. H. Taylor Sheriff L.T.						14th

Respondent's Advocate
Appellant's Vakil
Branson & Morgan

						July
This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Narasimiloo Naidu on Monday the 7th day of June 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to D. Grant Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant and Laing Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said D. Grant 14th July 1886 [Sig.] J. H. Taylor Sheriff L.T.						14th

Original Side Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 1 of 1886

Vellayanadan Thavasee Mootoo Nadan and 4 others — Petitioners

and

Tanjore Ramachandra Row and 3 others — Petitioners.

To

The Counter-Petitioners.

1. Vellayanadan Thabasee Mootoo Nadan
2. Vellayanadan Ponnoosawmy Nadan.
3. Ruthnasawmy Nadan.
4. Vellayanadan alias Vellaythumby Nadan
5. Gooroosawmy Nadan minors by their father and guardian the said 1st Defendant

V. Thavasee Mootoo Nadan. 3 to 5 Counter. Petitioners

	1886	1886	1886
	May	May	July
	10th	12th	16th

1 Vellayanadan Thavasee-ellaithan Nadan
2 Vellayanadan Ponnoosawmy Nadan
3 Ruthnasawmy Nadan
4 Vellayanadan alias Vellaythumby Nadan
5 Gooroosawmy Nadan
} minors by their father & Guardian the 1st Defendant

Jare severally not to be found although search has been made for them.

15th July/86. O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Claimant C.S. No 82 of 1880.

R. Gopaulakistnama Chetty and another — Plaintiffs

and

P. Vencatachalla Chetty and others — Defendants

and

P. Vencatachalla Chetty — Claimant.

To

The Plaintiffs

1. R. Gopaulakistnama Chetty
2. R. Manavalamahamunulu Chetty

	May	July	July
	11th	19th	29th

Thagana ja Iyer Claimant's Attorney

A. Sivalingayyan

Bramayya Pleader for Petitioners

J. Rivers

This Notice has not been served on the Counter-Petitioners Vellayanadan Thavasee Mootoo Nadan and Vellayanadan Ponnoosawmy Nadan as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for them. 1886 July 16 C&E

And this Notice has not been served on Counter-Petitioners Ruthnasawmy Nadan Vellayanadan alias Vellay Thumby Nadan and Gooroosawmy Nadan as their Father and guardian the said Vellayanadan Thavasee Mootoo Nadan is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras.

16th July 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2nd Plaintiff R. Manavalamahamunulu Chetty on Thursday the 22nd day of July 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil. July 27 C&E

And this Notice was served on the within named 1st Plaintiff R. Gopaulakistnama Chetty on Thursday the 22nd day of July 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 2nd Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in pencil by the said 2nd Plaintiff

23rd July 1886

(Sd) O. Tholasingam Bailiff

(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Appeal No 11 of 1886 D.C.	Ajee Shunmugappah Chettyar By Mr. Bhashyam Iyengar ——— Appellant and 1. Cottoor Pedda Venkiah 2. Cottoor Chinna Venkiah minor by their mother and guardian Canacamal ——— Respondents.	To the Respondents 1. Cottoor Pedda Venkiah 2. Cottoor Chinna Venkiah minor by their mother and guardian Canacamal	1886 July 21st	1886 July 21st	1886 August 23d	Cottoor Pedda Venkiah and Cottoor Chinna Venkiah minors the 1st and 2nd Respondents were served on Tuesday the 27th July by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Canacamal alleged to be the mother & guardian of the said minors as marked by herein pencil Dated this 30th July 1886 D. Tholasingam Bailiff	V. Bhashyam Iyengar for Appellant D. Tholasingam
Appeal Against Order No 84 of 1886 D.C.	Bacha Mudaly by Mr. N. Subramanyam ——— Appellant and Umapathi Mudaly and 8 others ——— Respondents	To the 5th and 8th Respondents only 5. Bachyam Mudaly. 8 Thandavaraya Mudaly.	22d	24th	27th	The 8th Respondent C. Thandavaraya Mudaly was Served on Thursday the 29th day of July 1886 and the 5th Respondent Bachyam Mudaly was Served on Thursday the 5th day of August 1886 as Signed by them. Dated 6th August 1886 C. Singaram Bailiff	Mr. N. Subramanyam Appellants Counsel. C. Singaram

This Notice was served by D. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Canacamal the mother and guardian of the within named Respondents Cottoor Pedda Venkiah and Cottoor Chinna Venkiah on Tuesday the 27th day of July 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

31st July 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.P.

1886 July 31st

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 8th Respondent C. Thandavaraya Mudaly on Thursday the 29th day of July 1886 and on the 5th Respondent Bachyam Mudaly on Thursday the 5th day of August 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in ink made by them.

9th August 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

C.P.

Aug 9th

Second Appeal No. 193 of 1886	M. Venkatachela Mudali by Mr. Sadagopa Charier — Appellant and P. Lomasundram Pillai — Respondent	To The Respondent P. Lomasundram Pillai	1886 July 27th	1886 July 28th	1886 Sept. 1st	Sadagopa Charier Appellt's Vakil	This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Lomasundram Pillai on Tuesday the 31st day of August 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 31st August 1886 [Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff C.P.	1886 Aug. 31st C.P. 13
							The Respondent P. Lomasundram Pillai was served on Tuesday the 31st day of August 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 31st August 1886 C.P. [illegible] Bailiff.	
Appeal No. 15 of 1886	T. Shadayappah Chetty and 2 others By Mr. Sadagopa Charier — Appellants and T. Mungathayee Ammal. — Respondent.	To The Respondent T. Mungathayee Ammal.	23rd	30th	August 23rd	Sadagopa Charier Appellt's Vakil	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. Mungathayee Ammal on Friday the 20th day of August 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 23rd August 1886 [Sgd] J. H. Taylor Sheriff C.P.	Aug. 23rd R1
							T. Mungathayee Ammal the Respondent was served personally on Friday the 20th day of August by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her marked by her in pencil. 20th day of August 86. O. Tholasingam C.P.	

Second Appeal No 725 of 1882.	Sreenivasa Runga Row Panthulu and 3 others — Appellants and Ramasamy Reddi and another — Respondents.	To The 1st and 4th Appellants 1st Sreenivasa Runga Row Panthulu on his own behalf and as guardian of the minor 4th Runga Row.	1886 August 4th	1886 August 4th	1886 August 25th

The 1st Appellant Sreenivasa Runga Row Panthulu on his own behalf and as guardian for the 4th Appellant Runga Row a minor was served on Thursday the 5th day of August 1886 as signed by him.
Dated 6th August 1886
C. Singaram Bailiff

In this Court Received only one Copy

Appeal No 16 of 1886	Venkatachella Pillai By Mrs Dunhill — Appellant and Munisawmy Naidu and six others — Respondents.	To The Respondents. 1. Munisawmy Naidu 2. Sunjeevi Naidu 3. Chinnayya Naidu 4. Muthyalu Naidu 5. Kallappa Naidu 6. Yerra Munisawmy Naidu 7. Chinna Sunjeevi Naidu	11th	12th	Sept 7th

The 1st Respondent Munisawmy Naidu the 3rd Respondent Kallappa Naidu and the 6th Respondent Yerra Munisawmy Naidu were served personally on Friday the 13th August 1886 the 3rd Respondent Chinnayya Naidu and the 7th Respondent Chinna Sunjeevi Naidu were served personally on Saturday the 14th August 1886 the 4th Respondent Muthyalu Naidu was served personally on Monday the 30th August 1886 and the 2nd Respondent Sunjeevi Naidu was served personally on Thursday the 2nd September 1886 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil.
Dated 3rd September 1886
C. Singaram Bailiff

C. Kadiresa Signed Peon duly sworn

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st Appellant Sreenivasa Runga Row Panthulu on his own behalf and as guardian of the minor the 4th Appellant Runga Row on Thursday the 5th day of August 1886 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. — 1886 Aug 6 — 10 — C.R — 53

10th August 1886
Sd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Mrs Dunhill Agent

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 5th and 6th Respondents Munisawmy Naidu Kallappa Naidu and Yerra Munisawmy Naidu on Friday the 13th day of August 1886 and on the 3rd and 7th Respondents Chinnayya Naidu and Chinna Sunjeevi Naidu on Saturday the 14th day of August 1886 and on the 4th Respondent Muthyalu Naidu on Monday the 30th day of August 1886 and on the 2nd Respondent Sunjeevi Naidu on Thursday the 2nd day of September 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. — Sept 6th — 6th — Sdy.

6th September 1886
Sd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Appeal No. 17 of 1886	Mundayam Pedithai Ammal alias Venkata Lakshmi Ammal By Mr. Balajee Row ——— Appellant. and Mundayam Camalatchy Ammal ——— Respondent.	To The Respondent	1886 Aug.t 11th	1886 Aug.t 16th	1886 Sept.r 7th	Mundayam Camalatchy Ammal.	Mundayam Camalatchy Ammal the Respondent was served personally on Friday the 3rd day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by her in pencil. 3rd Septr 1886 [signature]
Second Appeal No. 291 of 1886	Mur Subdar Ali Sahib by the Hon'ble Subramanya Iyer ——— Appellant and Arcot Balakrishna Mudaliar ——— Respondent	To The Respondent	Sept.r			Arcot Bala. krishna Mudaliar	Arcot Balakrishna Mudaliar the Respondent was served personally on Wednesday the 25th day of August 86 by a copy of this Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 25th August 86. O Tholasingam Bailiff

Hon'ble Subramanya Iyer. Appellt Counsel

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

This Notice was served by Sr Naronachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mundayam Camalatchy Ammal on Friday the 3rd day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

6th September 1886

[sig] J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 Sept.r 6th

Deb 1/

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Arcot Balakrishna Mudaliar on Wednesday the 25th day of August 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

25th August 1886

[sig] J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Aug.t 25th

Deb 1/

Claimant

C. S. No 5143 of 1884 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.

Moideen Bee — Plaintiff

and

1. Abdoollah Khan.
2. Lakshi Khan
3. Jaffer Hossain
4. Khaja Bee — Defendants

and

Khaja Bee — Claimant

To

The Plaintiff Moideen Bee

1886	1886	1886
August	August	Sept.
19th	26th	9th

The Plaintiff Moideen Bee is not to be found within the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 8th September 1886

C. Pungaram
Bailiff

F. Mc Grant Moore Esq.
Claimant's Vakil

Messrs. Grant Moore & Grant and Mackenzie Lang's Attorneys.

1886
Sept. 8

This Notice has not been served on the within named Plaintiff Moideen Bee as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

8th September 1886

(sd) C. Pungaram
Bailiff

C.J.

(sd) J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

Civil Revision Petition No 73 of 1886

R. Runganathem Chetty — Petitioner

by Mr. W. Grant and Messrs. Grant and Laing

To

The Counter-Petitioners.

1. Mr. Albert Gurdes
2. Mr. G. L. Wench

24th	26th	10th

and

Mr. Albert Gurdes and another — Counter-Petitioners.

Mr. Albert Gurdes was served on the 26th aug/86 & Mr. G. L. Wench was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being del. to Mr. Albert Gurdes & signed by him.

28th aug 1886

A Cooper

Sept. 3

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner Mr. Albert Gurdes on the 26th August 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

A Cooper

And this Notice was served by the said Andrew Cooper on the 2nd Counter-Petitioner Mr G. L. Wench on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Mr. Albert Gurdes alleged to be the constituted attorney of the said G. L. Wench as appears by the endorsement made by the said Albert Gurdes for the said G. L. Wench.

3rd September 1886

(sd) J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

G.L.

Civil Revision Petition No. 144 of 1886

Appasamy Chetty by Mr. Venkataramiah Chetty — Petitioner

and

P. Soobraya Chetty — Counter-Petitioner

To

The Counter-Petitioner P. Soobraya Chetty

1886	1886	1886
August	August	Sept.
25th	26th	17th

The Counter-Petitioner P. Soobraya Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 15th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 15th September 1886 — C. Singaram, Bailiff

Second Appeal No. 714 of 1886

Adiyammal by Mr. Ramasamy Mudaliar — Appellant

and

Rajamani Chettiar alias Venkatachela Chettiar and 2 others — Respondents

To

The 3rd Respondent Ramanjulu Chettiar

Sept.	October
30th	1st	14th

Ramanjulu Chettiar the 3rd Respondent was served personally on Thursday the 16th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil

Dated 16th September 1886 — O. Tholasingam, Bailiff

In Venkataramiah's Petition

Ramasamy Mudaliar — Appellant

O. T.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner P. Soobroya Chetty on Wednesday the 15th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him

17th September 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor, Sheriff

1886 Sept. 17th C.P. 03

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent Ramanjulu Chettiar on Thursday the 16th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

17th September 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor, Sheriff

Sept. 17th C.P. 03

Appeal No 40 of 1886 D.R.	Subramania Pillai by his Nort[illegible] — Appellant and Muthusami Pillai and 6 others — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent The Sundram Pillai	1886 Sepbr 1st	1886 Sepbr 7th	1886 October 4th	

The 2d Respondent The Sundram Pillai was served personally on Saturday the 25th September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated 25th September 1886 — C Singaram Bailiff
D.R.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent The Sundram Pillai on Saturday the 25th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
28th September 1886 — /Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff
D.R.

Wm Norton Appellant's Counsel.

Second Appeal No 736 of 1886 D.R.	Sesha alias Rama Sesha Gurukkal by his Ramachendra Row Sahib. — Appellant Govinda Row and 6 others — Respondents.	To The 3d Respondent Danakoti Mudaliar	8th	9th	21st	

Danakoti Mudaliar the 3d Respondent was served personally on Saturday the 18th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated 20th September 1886 — O Tholasingam Bailiff
D.R.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3d Respondent Danakoti Mudaliar on Saturday the 18th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
21st September 1886 — /Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff
D.R.

Ramachendra Row Sahib Appt's Counsel.

Claimant C.S. No 12588 of 1885 on the file of Madras Court of Small Causes G.V.	Alal Angoo Row — Plaintiff and Narasojee Row — Defendant and Venkoba Row and others — Claimants.	To The Plaintiff Alal Angoo Row	9th	10th	Sepbr 30th	

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Alal Angoo Row on Monday the 13th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil.
15th Sepbr 1886 — /Sd/ O. Tholasingam Bailiff — /Sd/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff
G.V.

G.V. Ramachendra & Co Vakils for Claimants

Sepbr 17th

Claimant C.S. No. 12589 of 1885 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes	Alal Angoo Row — Plaintiff and Narasojee Row — Defendant and Venkoba Row and others — Claimants.	To The Plaintiff Alal Angoo Row.	1886 Sept. 9th	1886 Sept. 10th	1886 Sept. 30th	
Claimant C.S. No. 12590 of 1885 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes	Alal Angoo Row — Plaintiff and Narasojee Row — Defendant and Venkoba Row and others — Claimants.	To The Plaintiff Alal Angoo Row.	9th	10th	30th	

C.S. No. 12589 of 1885 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes
Alal Angoo Row
Narasojee Row
Venkoba Row and others

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Alal Angoo Row on Monday the 13th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

15th September 1886

(Sd) O. Tholasingum Bailiff
(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 Sept. 17th

C.S. No. 12590 of 1885 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes
Alal Angoo Row
Narasojee Row
Venkoba Row and others

do do

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Alal Angoo Row on Monday the 13th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

15th September 1886

(Sd) O. Tholasingum Bailiff
(Sd) J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Sept. 17th

C. S. No 399 of 1876	Paramanunda Dos Anun Dos — Plff and A. Kristnasawmy Chetty — Defts Nagaswera Gurukal + N. Mothoosamy Chetty — Claimants	To The Plaintiff Paramanunda Dos Anundos	1886 Sept 25	1886 Sept 28	1886 Oct 14
C. S. No 261 of 85	Rai Rajah Eshoor Dos Diamauth Bahadoor — Plff and I. K. Appadoray Chetty & 7 others Defendts and Nagapatam Appasaw - my Pillay — Claimants	To The Plaintiff Rai Rajah Eshoor Dos Diamauth	25	29	Oct 14

V. Sundrum Chetti Claimants
R. Bahgie Row Claimants Vakil

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Paramanunda Dos Anun Dos on Wednesday the 29th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

30th September 1886

Serd C. Singaram Bailiff
Serd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 Oct 4

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Rai Rajah Eshoor Dos Diamauth Bahadoor on Thursday the 30th day of September 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil

30th September 1886

Serd C. Singaram Bailiff
Serd J. H. Taylor Sheriff

Oct 4

Civil Misc Petition No 547 of 1886.	The Rajah Yarlagudda Durgaprasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar garu by Mr V. Bhashyam Iyengar ——— Petitioner (Applicn ap 330f83) and Sreemath Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjana Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu ——— Counter Petitioner (Respondent do)	To The Counter Petitioner Sreemath Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjana Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu.	1886 October 13th	1886 October 14	1886 October 22nd	The Counter-Petitioner Sreemath Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjana Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu was served personally on Tuesday the 19th day of October 1886 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 19 October 1886. Veerayaram Bailiff
Pauper Appeal No 18 of 1886	Ghouse Ally Saheb and 5 others By Mr C. V. Sundram Sastriar ——— Appellants and Asseedullah Saib and 5 others ——— Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Asseedullah Saib 2. Mahaboob Begam 3. Ahamadee Begam 4. Hakeem Saheba 5. Zybonnissa Begam and 6. Abasee Begam.	21st	21st	22nd November	The 1st and 6th Respondents Asseedullah Saib and Abasee Begam were served personally on Friday the 29th day of October 1886 as acknowledged by them in pencil and the 2nd 3rd and 4th Respondents Mahaboob Begam Ahamadee Begam and Hakeem Saheba were served on Friday the 29th day of October 1886 by copies of the notice being delivered to the 1st Respondent Asseedullah Saib alleged to be the brother of the said 2nd and 3rd Respondents and the son of the said 4th Respondent as signed by him in pencil and the 6th Respondent Zybonnissa Begam was dead. Dated 4th November 1886. O. Thalasingum Bailiff

Sreemath Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjana Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu ——— Petitioner

Veerayaram Bailiff

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Sreemath Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjana Prasada Naidu Bahadur garu on Tuesday the 19th day of October 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

20th October 1886

Sheriff

O. Thalasingum

This Notice was served by O. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 6th Respondents Asseedullah Saib and Abasee Begam on Friday the 29th day of October 1886 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by them.

This Notice was served by the said O. Thalasingum on the within named 2nd 3rd and 4th Respondents Mahaboob Begam, Ahamadee Begam and Hakeem Saheba on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said Asseedullah Saib alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd and 3rd Respondents and the son of the said 4th Respondent as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by the said Asseedullah Saib.

And the within named 5th Respondent Zybonnissa Begam is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.

11th November 1886

Sheriff

2 Claimant						
C.S. No. 5143 of 1884 On the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.	Moideen Bee — Plaintiff and 1 Abdoollah Khan 2 Latiff Khan 3 Jaffer Hoosain and 4 Khaja Bee ——— Defendants and Khaja Bee — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Moideen Bee.	1886 October 23d	1886 October 29th	1886 November 5th
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 3 of 1886	A. Venkatasami Iyer and another minors by their guardian ad litem Soondra Iyer By Mr M.O. Alasingara Chary Petitioner J. Soobramania Iyer ——— Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner J. Soobramania Iyer.	November 1st	November 1st	November 2d

M. O. Alasingara Chary
Petitioner's Vakil

Signed

M. O. Alasingara Chary
Petitioner's Vakil

This Notice has not been served on the within named Plaintiff Moideen Bee as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

4th November 1886

Sd/ J. B. Broomall
Ag Bailiff

Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

1886 Nov 4 Civil

L.T.

The Counter Petitioner J. Soobramania Iyer not being found I affixed a copy of the notice on Monday the 1st day of November 1886 on the outside door of the house No 42 Ramasawmy Street Moottialpett Madras.

Dated 2d November 1886

Sd/ C. Singaram
Bailiff

L.T.

J. Subramania Iyer the within named Counter-Petitioner not being found, a copy of this Notice was on Monday the 1st day of November 1886 affixed by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 42 Ramasawmy Street Moottialpett Black Town Madras in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing.

2d November 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.E.

L.T.

Nov 2d

Sd/ [illegible]

Second Appeal No. 1080 of 1886	Tekkuber Sreenivasa Seruvenkata Charyulu by his M. A. Parthasarathy Iyengar Appellant and Abdul Rahiman Saib and 3 others — Respondents.	To The 4th Respondent Arcot Kaja Hussain Saib.	1886 November 11th	1886 November 13th	1886 December 22nd	The 4th Respondent Arcot Kaja Hussain Saib was served on Wednesday the 1st day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Abdul Karim Saib alleged to be the adult brother of the said Kaja Hussain Saib as signed by the said Abdul Karim Saib. Dated 4th December 1886. J. Arumugha Pillai Bailiff.
Appeal No. 19 of 1886	Singum Chetty Andall Ummall & Ega Ramasamy Chetty By Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellants and Singum Chetty Ramanujam Chetty Respondent	To The Respondent Singum Chetty Ramanujam Chetty.	1887 January 16th	16th	17th	The Respondent Singum Chetty Ramanujam Chetty was served personally on Thursday the 18th day of November 86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. D. Peroomall Bailiff. 18th November 1886.

Branson & Branson Mr. M. A. Parthasarathy Iyengar Appellant's Vakil

Mr. Stevenson

This Notice was served by J. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Respondent Arcot Kaja Hussain Saib on Wednesday the 1st day of December 86 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Abdul Karim Saib alleged to be the adult brother of the said 4th Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said Abdul Karim Saib. 7th December 1886. /Seal/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

This Notice was served by D. Peroomall an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Singum Chetty Ramanujam Chetty on Thursday the 18th day of November 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 19th November 1886. /Seal/ J. H. Taylor Sheriff

1886 Dec 4 7th 4 13

Nov 19th 18

Civil Revision Petition No. 230 of 1886	Ramia Bathuvan and another by Mr. V. Krishnaswamy Iyer — Petitioners and Bagirathe Boyammal and 2 others — Counter-Petitioners.	To The Counter Petitioners 1. Bagirathe Boyammal widow of Gurudas Doss Swarak Doss deceased 2 Govardon Doss. 3. Madura Doss.	1886 November 15th	1886 November 17th	1886 December 3rd	Bagirathe Boyammal Govardon Doss and Madura Doss the Counter Petitioners were not to be found although search has been made for them 2nd December 1886 O. Thalainayagam Bailiff	V. Krishnaswamy Iyer Petitioner's Vakil.
Appeal Against Order No. 153 of 1886	M. Muthu Meera Pahib by Mr. K. Subramanyam — Appellant and Mr. Francis Crippes and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Mr. Francis Crippes. 2. Ekambara Mudaly.	16th	17th	18th	Mr. Francis Mudaly were served personally on the 19th day of November 86 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them. 19th November 86 W. H. Middleton Bailiff.	The 1st and 2nd Respondents Crippes and Ekambara Mudaly

This Notice has not been served on the Counter Petitioners Bagirathe Boyammal, Govardon & Doss and Madura Doss as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

2nd December 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondents Mr. Francis Crippes and Ekambara Mudaly on Friday the 19th day of November 1886 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them.

23rd November 1886

(Sd) J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

C.P.

W. H. Middleton
Mr. K. Subramanyam
Appellant's Vakil

Appeal No 141 of 1886. C.P.	Marwadi Jaitmal and Marwadi Ram Narain Carrying on business under the title of Jaitmal Ram Narain by Mr L. Gordon — Appellants and Major W.B. Warner — Respondent	To The Respondent Major W.B. Warner.	1886 November 23d	1886 November 24th	1887 February 15th	Major W.B. Warner was on 14th Jany/87 served with a copy of the Notice signed in ink. 15th Jany/87. S Holmes
Civil Misc Petition No 643 of 1886 A.P.	Bala Gowrivallabha Thevar by Mr O.P. Ranganadhan — Petitioner and Periasamy alias Kottaisamy Tever and 16 others — Counter Petitioners	To The 1st Counter Petitioner Periasamy alias Kottaisamy Tever.	December 2d	December 2d	1887 January 7th	The 1st Counter Petitioner Periasamy alias Kottaisamy Tever was served on Sunday the 21st day of December by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 21st December 1886 } C. Singaram Bailiff
C. M. P. No 563 of 1885	Lawrence Lastry & 5 others by Mr Ramachendra Row Saib Petitioners 2d 5th 10th & Respts in S.A. 392/84 and Subbanna Iyen & 3 others Counter-Petitioners Appts and 1st 3d & 4th Respts in do	To The 1st & 3d Counter Petitioners 1. Subbanna Iyen and 3 - Ramanavien	9d	9th	7th	The 1st and 3d Counter Petitioners Subbanna Iyen and Ramanavien were severally not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court at Madras although search has been made for them. S Holmes Bailiff 7th January/87

Mr L. Gordon Esqr
Appellant's Vakil

Mr Ranganadhan
Petitioner's Vakil

Mr O. Ramachendra Row Saib
Petitioners Vakil

S Holmes

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Major W. B Warner on Friday the 14th day of January 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
17th January 1887 } /Signed/ P. S. Ramasawmy Moodelliar C. & E. Sheriff
C.P.

1887 Janr 17th 2/8 D

1886

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st Counter-Petitioner Periasamy alias Kottaisamy Tever on the 21st day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
21st December 1886 } /Signed/ P. S. Ramasawmy Moodelliar C. & E. Sheriff
C.P.

Decr 21st 2/8 D

This Notice has not been served on the 1st and 3d Counter Petitioners Subbanna Iyen and Ramanavien as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for them.
7th January 1887 } /Signed/ P. S. Ramasawmy Moodelliar C. & E. Sheriff
C.P.

1887 Janr 7th 2/8 D

Claimant C.S. No. 169 of 1886 K.V.	Krishna Doss Balamoocoon Doss ——— Plaintiff and Arthur Mosell Saunders ——— Defendant and August Chund Sawcar ——— Claimant	To The Plaintiff Krishna Doss Balamoocoon Doss.	1886 December 7th	1886 December 11th	1886 December 22nd
Claimant C.S. No. 169 of 1886 K.V.	Krishna Doss Balamoocoon Doss ——— Plaintiff and Arthur Morel Saunders ——— Defendant and Ernest Barclay and William Morgan ——— Claimants.	To The Plaintiff Krishna Doss Balamoocoon Doss	9th	13th	22nd

E. T. Shaw Esquire
Claimant's Attorneys

E. T. Burton
" "

E. T. Shaw Esquire
Claimant's Attorneys

E. T. Burton
" "

This Notice was served by me T. Aurooma-chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Krishna Doss Balamoocoon Doss on Monday the 13th day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink.

15th December 1886

C.S.

Sd/ T. Aurooma-chellum
Bailiff
Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

1886 Dec. 15th Cts.

This Notice was served by me T. Aurooma-chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Krishna Doss Balamoocoon Doss on Monday the 13th day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink.

15th December 1886

C.S.

Sd/ T. Aurooma-chellum
Bailiff
Sd/ J. H. Taylor
Sheriff

Dec. 15th Cts.

Appeal No. 18 of 1886

G. Ghouse Ally Saib and 5 others By Mr. C. V. Sundrum Sastryar — Appellants

and

Asadoollah Saib and 5 Others — Respondents

To

The 5th Respondent Zyboonissa Begum

1886	1886	1887
December	December	January
7th	15th	31st.

The 5th Respondent Zyboonissa Begum is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for her.

Dated this 29th January 1887

A. Tholasingaperumal

L.P.

This Notice has not been served on the within named 5th Respondent Zyboonissa Begum as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for her.

31st January 1887

Sd/ P. Ramasawmy Mudaliar

C.S.

Sheriff

1887 January 31st

Sheriff 31 Jan 87

C. V. Sundaram Sastri Appellant

vs.

Asadoollah Saib & others Respondents

Privy Council Appeal No. 18 of 1886

L.P.

Original Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 28 of 1886

L.P.

A. Venkatasami Iyer and another minors by their guardian Soondra Iyer by Mr. M. & Appadorai Mudliar — Petitioners

and

T. Soobramania Iyer — Counter-Petitioner

To

The Counter-Petitioner T. Soobramania Iyer

16th	17th	14th
December	December	January

The Counter-Petitioner T. Soobramania Iyer was served personally on Saturday the 18th day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

Dated 18 December 1886

A. Singaravelu Mudaliar

L.P.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner T. S. Soobramania Iyer on Saturday the 18th day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

18th December 1886

Sd/ J. H. Taylor

Sheriff

C.S.

1886 Decr 18th Sd/

			1886	1886	1887	
Civil Revision Petition No. 237 of 1886	Jataram Davay by Mr Champion — Petitioner and A Appu Pillay — Counter-Petitioner.	To The Counter-Petitioner A Appu Pillay	December 16th	December 18th	January 21st	The Counter-Petitioner A Appu Pillay is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him Dated this 20th January 87. O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Second Appeal No. 1245 of 1886	Vethachela Mudali by Mr M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Loganatha Mudali — Respondent	To The Respondent Loganatha Mudali	17th	21st	February 9th	The Respondent Loganatha Mudali was served personally on Wednesday the 22nd day of December 1886 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 22nd December 1886 Singaram Bailiff
Panel Appeal No. 20 of 1886	Sivakamoo and another by Mr Ananda Charlu — Appellants and K. Yelumalai Chetty and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. K. Yelumalai Chetty and 2. Srinivassa Row	20th	21st	31st	The 1st Respondent K. Yelumalai Chetty was served on Tuesday the 21st December 86 and the 2nd Respondent Srinivassa Row was served on Thursday the 30th December 1886 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil Dated this 10th January 87. O. Tholasingum Bailiff

Mr Ananda Charlu
Appellants Vakil

Mr M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar & Loganatha Mudali
Appellant Counsel Respondent Vakil

O. Tholasingum

Singaram

This Notice has not been served on the Counter-Petitioner A. Appu Pillay as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 20th January 1887	1887 January 20th
(Sd) P. S. Ramasawmy Mood. C. S. C. Sheriff	
This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Loganatha Mudali on Wednesday the 22nd day of December 1886 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 22nd December 1886	1886 December 22nd
(Sd) P. S. Ramasawmy Mood. C. S. C. Sheriff	
This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2nd Respondents K. Yelumalai Chetty on Tuesday the 21st day of December 1886 and on the within named 2nd Respt Srinivassa Row on Thursday the 30th day of December 1886 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 11th January 1887	1887 January 11th
(Sd) P. S. Ramasawmy Mood. C. S. C. Sheriff	

2d appeal No 1320 of 1886 K.V.	Venkatakrietnayar by Mr Stephen Andy — Appellant and V. Ramanuja Aiyangar Esqr — Respondt	To The 2d Respt Venkatakrishnama Charyar	1886 Dec — Jany 3d	1886 Jany 7th	1887 Feby 24th	The 2d Respondent Venkatakrishnama Charyar was served personally on Tuesday the 8th day of February 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil on the original notice 9th February 1887. V. Thomachellum Bailiff K.V.
Appeal No 23 of 1886 K.V.	P. Dorasamy Ammal and another By their Mr S. Subbaya Chetty — Appellants and P. Appasamy Pillay by his uncle and next friend P. Rajagopaul Pillai — Respondent	To The Respondent P. Appasamy Pillay by his uncle and next friend P. Rajagopaul Pillai	1887 Jany 17th	1887 Jany 19th	1887 Febry 15th	The Respondent P. Appasamy Pillay's guardian P. Rajagopaul Pillai was served on Wednesday the 19th day of January 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson & Branson attorneys for the said Respondent's guardian as signed in pencil by the said R. Branson Dated this 22d January 1887 O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Appeal No 22 of 1886 K.V.	A. Venkatasami Iyer and another minors by their guardian S. Soondra Iyer By their Mr Appadorai Moodliar — Appellants and S. Soobramania Iyer — Respondent	To The Respondent S. Soobramania Iyer	1887 Jany 17th	1887 Jany 21st	1887 Febry 15th	The Respondent S. Soobramania Iyer was served personally on Saturday the 22d day of January 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 24th January 1887 C. Singaram Bailiff

Mr Rivoir Andy Advocate

V. Ramanuja Aiyangar

Mr Stephen Andy Advocate for Appellants Attorney

Subbaya Chetty Appellants Attorney

O. Tholasingum

C. Singaram

Mr Appadorai Iyengar Advocate for Appellants Attorney

		1887
This Notice was served by T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Venkata Krishnama Charyar on Tuesday the 8th day of February 87 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 10th February 1887 K.V.	Seer R. Ramasawmy Mudr Sheriff C.E.	Febr 10th
This Notice was served by A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Appasawmy Pillay on Wednesday the 19th day of January 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to R. Branson Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Branson and Branson Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said R. Branson. 27th January 1887 K.V.	Seer P. Ramasawmy Mudr C & J.C. Sheriff C.E.	Janr 27th
This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent S. Soobramania Iyer on Saturday the 22d day of January 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 25th January 1887 K.V.	Seer P. Ramasawmy Mudr C & J.C. Sheriff C.E.	Janr 25th

Appeal No. 2 of 1886 L.P.	Parthasarathy Mudaly by Wm. Ananda Charlu — Appellant and Y. Moonisamy Mudaly — Respondent	To The Respondent	1887 Janry 17th	1887 Janry 27th	1887 Febry 15th	By. Moonisamy Mudaly	The Respondent Y. Moonisamy Mudaly was served personally on Thursday the 27th day of January 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 29th January 1887. S. Singaravelu Bailiff L.P.
C.R. Petition No. 310 of 86 L.P.	Surverkhan by Mr. Venkataramiah Chettiar — Petitioner and Coopposwamy Naidu — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Coopposwamy Naidu	Febry 3rd	Febry 5th	Febry 25th		The Counter Petitioner Coopposwamy Naidu was served on Thursday the 17th day of February 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to Khader Saib one of the Directors of the within named Hindu Janopakara Nithi (Limited) Madras as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said Khader Saib. Dated 21st February 87. S. Sivaramien Bailiff
Second Appeal No. 111 of 1887 L.P.	Muthusami Pillai and 62 Others by Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar and Rama Rao — Appellants and Sivarama Krishna Ayyar and 3 Others — Respondents	To The 4th Respondent Lakshmi Narayana Iyer	7th	10th	23rd		Second Appeal No. 111 of 87. The 4th Respondent Lakshmi Narayana Iyer is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated this 22nd March 1887. P. Sholavaram Bailiff

Appeal No. 2 of 1886 L.P.

Parthasarathy Mudaly by Wm. Ananda Charlu — Appellant and Y. Moonisamy Mudaly — Respondent

Ananda Charlu Appellant's Vakil

Evergreen

1887 Janry 27th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Y. Moonisamy Mudaly on Thursday the 27th day of January 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

31st January 1887

(Sd.) P. Ramasawmy Mudali C. & I. Sheriff

L.P.

C.R. Petition No. 310 of 86 L.P.

Surverkhan by Mr. Venkataramiah Chettiar — Petitioner and Coopposwamy Naidu — Counter-Petitioner

Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar for Mr. Venkataramiah Chettiar Petitioner's Vakil

O. Sholavaram Bailiff

1887 Febry 22nd

This Notice was served by T. Kuroomachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Coopposwamy Naidoo one of the Directors of Hindu Janopakara Nithi (Limited) on Thursday the 17th day of February 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Khader Saib one of the Directors of the within named Hindu Janopakara Nithi (Limited) Madras as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Khader Saib.

22nd February 1887

(Sd.) P. Ramasawmy Mudali C. & I. Sheriff

L.P.

Second Appeal No. 111 of 1887 L.P.

Muthusami Pillai and 62 Others by Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar and Rama Rao — Appellants and Sivarama Krishna Ayyar and 3 Others — Respondents

Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar for Mr. Venkataramiah Chettiar Petitioner's Vakil

O. Sholavaram Bailiff

1887 March 22nd

This Notice has not been served on the 4th Respondent Lakshmi Narayana Iyer as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

22nd March 1887

(Sd.) P. Ramasawmy Mudali C. & I. Sheriff

L.P.

Second Appeal No 67 of 1887	Srinivasa Rayer by Mr Ramaswamy Raju Appellant and Kumarasamy Naidu Law — Respondents	To The 1st Respondt Kumarasamy Naidu	1887 Febr 8th	1887 Febr 12th	1887 March 17th	

The 1st Respondent Kumarasamy Naidu was served personally on Saturday the 5th day of March 87 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him.
Dated 5th March 1887
C Singaram Bailiff

Appeal No " 1 of 1887	V. C. Angoo Chetty By Mr Balajee Row — Appellant and M. Thumboosawmy Naicker and 3 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. M Thumboosawmy Naicker 2. M. Souriandan 3. M. Ruthna Naick and 4. Iyempermall Naick	March 18th	2d	21st	

M. Thumboosawmy Naicker and Iyempermall Naick the 1st and 4th Respondents were served personally on Thursday the 10th and Ruthna Naick the 3rd Respondent was served personally on the 14th day of March 87 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by the 1st Respondent in ink and the others in pencil.
and M. Souriandan the 2nd Respondent was served on the 10th day of March 87 by a copy of the notice being delivered to M. Thumboosawmy Naicker 1st Respondent to be the alleged adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by the said 1st Respondent for the said 2nd Respondent
16th March 1887
P. S. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff

Mr Ramasawmy Raju Appellant's Pleader

C Singaram

1887 March 7th

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Kumarasamy Naidu on Saturday the 5th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
7th March 1887
P. S. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff
C.E.

March 16th

This Notice was served by T. Muruganachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 4th Respondents M. Thumboosamy Naicker and Iyemperumall Naick on Thursday the 10th day of March 1887 and on the within named 3rd Respondent Ruthna Naick on Monday the 14th day of March 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent M. Souriandan on Thursday the 10th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said M. Thumboosamy Naicker alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said M Thumboosamy Naicker.
16th March 1887
P. S. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff
C.E.

Second appeal no 67 of 1887

D.C.J.

Srinivasa Rayer by Mr. Ramasawmy Raju — Appellant

and

Kumarasamy Naiker — Respondents

To The 1st Respondt Kumarasamy Naiker

1887 Febr. 8th

1887 Febr. 12th

1887 March 17th

The 1st Respondent Kumarasamy Naidu was served personally on Saturday the 5th day of March/87 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him.

Dated 5th March 1887

C Singaram Bailiff

Mr Ramasawmy Raju Appellants Proctor

C Singaram

1887 March 7th

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Kumarasamy Naidu on Saturday the 5th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

7th March 1887

(Sgd) P. S. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff

C.P.

Appeal no 1 of 1887

D.C.J.

V. C. Angoo Chetty By Mr. Balajee Row — Appellant

and

M. Thumboosawmy Naicker and 3 others — Respondents.

To The Respondents.

1. M. Thumboosawmy Naicker
2. M. Louisanadan
3. M. Ruthna Naick
4. Iyempermall Naick

March 18th 2nd 21st

M. Thumboosawmy Naicker and Iyempermall Naick the 1st and 4th Respondents were served personally on Thursday the 10th and Ruthna Naick the 3rd Respondent was served personally on the 14th day of March/87 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the acknowledgment of Service signed by the 1st Respondent himself and the others respectively and M. Louisanadan the 2nd Respondent was served on the 10th day of March/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. Thumboosawmy Naicker 1st Respondent to be the alleged adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by the said 1st Respondent for the said 2nd Respondent

16th March 1887

(Sgd) P. Balajee Row Advocate & Proctor

(Sgd) V. C. Angoo Chetty

C Singaram Bailiff of Chatham

Mr Balajee Row Appellants Proctor

C Singaram

1887 March 7th

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Kumarasamy Naidu on Saturday the 5th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

7th March 1887

(Sgd) P. S. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff

C.P.

March 16th

This Notice was served by V. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 4th Respondents M. Thumboosamy Naicker and Iyempermall Naick on Thursday the 10th day of March 1887 and on the within named 3rd Respondent Ruthna Naick on Monday the 14th day of March 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent M. Louisanadan on Thursday the 10th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said M. Thumboosamy Naicker alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said M Thumboosamy Naicker.

16th March 1887

(Sgd) P. S. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff

C.P.

Civil Revision Petition No. 21 of 1887 D.F.I	J. Jambos Iyer by his Gd Kaliyana Ramier — Petitioner and Trichinopoly Iyanier and another — Counter-Petitioners	To 1. Trichinopoly Iyanier 2. Trichinopoly Viswanatha Iyer Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras	1887 March 3d	1887 March 6th	1887 March 25th	The 2nd Counter Petitioner Viswanatha Iyer was served personally on Wednesday the 23d March 87 by the copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him Add Trichinopoly Iyanier is not to be found within the local limits of the although search has been made for him. 23d March 87.	O. Tholasingam Bailiff
Second Appeal No. 175 of 1887 D.F.I	Parvathi Ammal and 4 others by Mr. W. Grant — Appellants and Messrs Parry & Co and 5 others — Respondents	To 1st Respondent Messrs Parry & Co.	4th	5th	Appeal 14th	A copy of this Petition was delivered to W. S. Shaw Esqr a Partner in the firm of Parry & Co on the 7th March 87. 8th March 1887	Adavapillai

		51
		1887
		March
		24th
		A.E.
		D.J.
Trichinopoly Iyanier Respondent	O. Tholasingam	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondent Trichinopoly Viswanatha Iyer on Wednesday the 23d day of March 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. And the Respondent Trichinopoly Iyanier is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 24th March 1887 [Seal] P. Ramasawmy Mudaly Sheriff
		March
		9th
		A.E.
		D.J.
W. Grant — Appellant's Counsel	Adavapillai	This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on W. S. Shaw Esqr a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Parry & Co the 1st Respondent on Monday the 7th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 9th March 1887 [Seal] P. Ramasawmy Mudaly Sheriff

Civil Revision Petition No. 237 of 1886 D.V.	Jataram Davay by Mr. Champion — Petitioner and A. Appu Pillay — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner A. Appu Pillay.	1887 March 7th	1887 March 9th	1887 March 18th	The Counter-Petitioner A. Appu Pillay was served personally on Friday the 11th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 11th March 1887. C. Singaram Bailiff. D.V.	C. Singaram Mr. C. Ramachandra Row Sait Petitioners Counsel
Civil Revision Petition No. 25 of 1887 D.V.	P. Ethiraja mmal and another by Mr. C. Ramachandra Row Sait — Petitioners and C. Krishnammal — Counter Petitioner.	To The Counter Petitioner C. Krishnammal	15th	16th	April 1st	The Counter Petitioner C. Krishnammal was served Personally on Thursday the 17th day of March 1887 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement of service marked by her in pencil on the original Notice. 21st March 1887. Illegible Bailiff	Mr. C. Ramachandra Row Sait Petitioners Counsel
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 63 of 1887 D.V.	Ramayyan by Mr. Balaji Rao — Petitioner (1st Respt. in Civil Revn. Petn. 25/86) and Lakshmanan — Counter Petitioner (Appellant — do)	To The Counter Petitioner Lakshmanan	17th	18th	1st	The Counter Petitioner Lakshmanan was served personally on Monday the 28th day of March 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 28th day of March 1887. A. Thalasingam Bailiff. D.V.	Mr. R. Balaji Rao Petitioners Counsel. A. Thalasingam.

1887 March 12th C.S. D.V.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner A. Appu Pillay on Friday the 11th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 12th March 1887.	Recd P. J. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff
March 22nd C.S. D.V.	This Notice was served by T. Narsonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner C. Krishnammal on Thursday the 17th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 22nd March 1887.	Recd P. J. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff
March 28th D.S. C.S.	This Notice was served by A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Lakshmanan on Monday the 28th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 28th March 1887.	Recd P. J. Ramasawmy Mudaliar Sheriff

Second Appeal No. 229 of 1887 C.V.	Purushothama Mudaliar minor by his Estate Receiver Sabapathy Moodelly by Mr. Ramasawmy Mudaliar — Appellant and Mariyappa Mudaliar — Respondent	To The Respondent Mariyappa Mudaliar	1887 March 17th	1887 March 19th	1887 April 21st	
Second Appeal No. 244 of 1887 C.V.	Raghavaprasada Rao and another minors by their mother and next friend Munibhiammal by Mr. W. Grant — Appellants and Mahomed Murtin abeek Sahib alias Badamiya Sahib and 3 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Mahomed Murtinabeek Sahib alias Badamiya Sahib 2. Mir Tippu Hussein Sahib 3. Sivasankara Pandiah. 4. Ramakrishnier	21st	24th	27th	

The Respondent Mariyappa Mudaliar was served personally on Tuesday the 5th day of April 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him.

Dated 5th April 1887. C Singaram Bailiff

C.V.

Mahomed Murtinabeek Sahib alias Badamiya Sahib Mir Tippu Hussein Sahib Sivasankara Pandiah and Ramakrishnier the 1st 3rd and 4th Respondents were served personally on Saturday the 16th day April 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively on the original Notice and Mir Tippu Hussein Sahib 2nd Respondent not to be found although search has been made for him.

Dated this 26th day of April 1887.

S Ramasawmy Mudaliar

Mr. W. Grant Appellants Counsel

C Singaram

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mariyappa Mudaliar on Tuesday the 5th Day of April 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him

6th April 1887. (Sd) P Ramasawmy Mudr Sheriff

C.V.

This Notice was served by T. Aurumachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondents Mahomed Murtinabeek Sahib alias Badamiya Sahib, Sivasankara Pondiah and Rama Krishnier on Saturday the 16th day of April 87 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them.

And the Respondent Mir Tippu Hussein Sahib cannot to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

26th April 1887. (Sd) P Ramasawmy Mudr Sheriff

C.V.

April 6th

C.V.

April 26th

C.V.

Appeal No 2 of 1887 L.P.	Narrain Dass by Mr Ananda Charlu — Appellant and Govinda Doss Gunsham Doss — Respondent	To The Respondent Govinda Doss Gunsham Doss	1887 March 23d	1887 March 26th	1887 April 25th	The Respondent Govinda Doss Gunsham Doss was served personally on Tuesday the 29th day of March/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated this 30th March 1887 } O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Appeal Against Order No 22 of 1887 L.P.	Ephraim Stevens by Mr Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Mr Lewies Strange and 2 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Mr Lewies Strange 2. Mr James Rose Hunter 3. Mr Henry Scott.	28th	29th & 22d		The three within named Respondents were served on Wednesday the 30th day of March 1887 by three copies of the Notice being delivered to James Rose Hunter Esqr. the within named 2 Respts Partner in the firm of Messrs Dymes & Co and signed by him for the aforesaid firms. 30th March 1887 } W.H. Middleton Bailiff

Mr Ananda Charlu Appellant & Vakil
O. Tholasingum
Mr Parthasarathy Iyengar Appellant's Vakil
W.H. Middleton

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Govinda Doss Gunsham Doss on Tuesday the 29th day of March 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the ~~above~~ endorsement in pencil made by him.
30th March 1887 } Sd/ P. L. Ramaswamy Mood. Sheriff
L.P.

1887 March 30th 2s 6d

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondents Mr Lewies Strange, Mr James Rose Hunter and Mr Henry Scott on Wednesday the 30th day of March 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to James Rose Hunter Esquire a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Dymes & Co Madras as appears by the above endorsement made by the said James Rose Hunter Esquire.
30th March 1887 } Sd/ P. L. Ramaswamy Mood. Sheriff
L.P.

March 30th 2s 6d

Claim in C.R. No. 151 of 1885 C.P.	Ramen, minor by his grandmother and guardian Govenithammall ——— Plaintiff and Chellammall ——— Defendant and Rungammall ——— Claimant	To The Plaintiff Ramen minor by his grandmother and guardian Govenithammall	1887 April 1st	1887 April 4th	1887 April 14th	1887 April 6th	E.S. M.C.R.
Civil Revision Petition No. 230 of 1886 C.P.	Ramia Batheran and another by Mr. V. Krishnasawmy Iyer ——— Petitioners and Bagirithe Boyammal and 2 others — Counter-Petitioners	To The Counter-Petitioners. 1. Bagirithe Boyammal 2. Govardon Doss 3. Madan Doss.	4th	4th	29th	April 15th	C.E. M.

Bagirithe Boyammal, Govardon Doss and Madan Doss the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Counter-Petitioners were severally served personally on Monday the 14th day of April 1887 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the several endorsements made by them on the original Notice.

Dated this 14th day of April 1887.

J. Simon Sellamuttu
Bailiff

C.E.

C. Singaram

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Ramen (minor) on Monday the 4th day of April 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Govenithammall alleged to be the guardian of the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service marked in pencil by the said Govenithammall.

5th April 1887

C. Singaram
Bailiff

Sgd. A. Ramasawmy Mudr.
Sheriff

C.E.

Mr. V. Krishnasawmy Iyer
Petitioners Vakil

This Notice was served by T. Nuvanachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the Counter-Petitioners Bagirithe Boyammal, Govardon Doss and Madan Doss on Monday the 11th day of April 1887 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them.

15th April 1887

Sgd. A. Ramasawmy Mudr.
Sheriff

C.E.

Appeal No. 3 of 1887 D.J.

Augur Chund Sowcar By his Atty James Short — Appellant

and

1. K. Murugesam Chetty minor by his Maternal uncle and next friend Munisami Chetty
2. K. Ponamalay Ammall
3. Milai Veerasawmy Chetty
4. Mr W.D. Edwards — Respondents

To the 1st 2nd and 3rd Respondents only

1. K. Murugesam Chetty minor by his Maternal uncle and next friend Munisami Chetty
2. K. Ponamalay Ammall
3. Milai Veerasawmy Chetty

on Wednesday the 20th April/87 of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.
Dated 21st April/87.

1887 April 5th — K. Murugesam Chetty (minor) was served on Wednesday the 20th April/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Munisami Chetty alleged to be the maternal uncle & next friend of the said K. Murugesam Chetty as signed in pencil by the said Munisawmy Chetty. K. Ponamalay Ammall and Milai Veerasawmy Chetty were served personally on Wednesday the 20th April/87 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.

1887 April 5th — O. Tholasingum Bailiff

1887 July 11th

Original Side Civil miscellaneous Petition No. 5 of 1887 D.J.

Augur Chund Sowcar By his Atty James Short — Petitioner (Appellant on O.S. Appeal 3/87)

and

1. K. Murugesam Chetty minor by his maternal uncle and next friend Munisamy Chetty
2. K. Ponamalay Ammall
3. Milai Veerasawmy Chetty
4. Mr W.D. Edwards — Counter Petitioners (Respts in O.S. Appl. 3/87)

To the 1st 2nd and 3rd Counter-Petitioners only.

1. K. Murugesam Chetty minor by his maternal uncle and next friend Munisamy Chetty
2. K. Ponamalay Ammall
3. Milai Veerasamy Chetty

K. Ponamalay Ammall & Milai Veerasamy Chetty were served personally on Wednesday the 20th April/87 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.
Dated 21st April/87.

1887 April 5th — K. Murugesam Chetty (minor) was served on Wednesday the 20th April/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Munisami Chetty alleged to be the maternal uncle & next friend of the said K. Murugesam Chetty as signed in pencil by the said Munisami Chetty

1887 April 5th — O. Tholasingum Bailiff

1887 April 29th — Appeal

James Short Pltff's Atty

O. Tholasingum

James Short Petitioners Atty

O.J.

1887 April 22nd

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent K. Murugesam Chetty (minor) on Wednesday the 20th day of April 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Munisawmy Chetty & alleged to be the maternal uncle and next friend of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Munisawmy Chetty.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents K. Ponamalay Ammall and Milai Veerasawmy Chetty on Wednesday the 20th day of April 1887 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

22nd April 1887 D.J.

Sd/ R.L. Ramasawmy Mudr Sheriff

April 22nd

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner K. Murugesam Chetty (minor) on Wednesday the 20th day of April/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the maternal uncle and next friend of the said 1st Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Munisawmy Chetty.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd and 3rd Counter-Petitioners K. Ponamalay Ammall and Milai Veerasawmy Chetty on Wednesday the 20th day of April/87 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

22nd April 1887 D.J.

Sd/ R.L. Ramasawmy Mudr Sheriff

Second Appeal No 374 of 1887	Viraswami Reddi by Wm H. E. Speirungacharair — Appellant and Kuppammal — Respondent	To The Respondent Kuppammal	1887 April 5th	1887 April 6th	1887 July 27th	The Respondent Kuppammal having refused to receive the copy notice tendered to her, thereupon I affixed on Saturday the 16th April 1887 at her house No 2 and 11 western side of Anathanam Pampayyan Lane Pursewalkum Madras. Dated 16th April 1887 C Singaram Bailiff
Appeal No 147 of 1886 C.P.	Arthur Theodore Simpson Esqr & 3 others — Appellants and Chinnam Venkatagopalam Garu & anr — Respondts	To The 2d Respt William Barnet Phillips Esqr	13th	14th	11th July	The 2nd Respondent Barnet Phillips Esqr was served personally on Friday the 15th day of April/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 16th April 1887 S Holmes Bailiff
C.P. No 140 of 1878	Picapadi Syala Puthen — Plaintiff and Mistress Charlotte Rose — Defendant and Ellammall — Transferee — Plaintiff	To The Defendant Mistress Charlotte Rose	22d	23d	April 26th	The Defendant Mistress Charlotte Rose having refused to receive the copy Notice tendered to her, thereupon I affixed on Saturday the 23d April/87 at her house No 11 Valayatten Chetty street Poodoo Pettah Madras Dated 23d April/87 O. Tholasingum Bailiff

S. Holmes
Bailiff
C. Singaram
Bailiff

S. Holmes
Bailiff
C. Singaram
Bailiff

C. Singaram
Bailiff
S. Holmes
Bailiff

O. Tholasingum
Bailiff

On Saturday the 16th day of April 1887 a copy of this Notice was tendered to the within named Respondent Kuppammal, but she refused to accept service of the said Notice, whereupon on the same day a copy of the said Notice was affixed to the outer door of the said Respondent's dwelling house Nos 2 & 11 situate in the Western side of Anathanam Pampayyan's Lane, Pursewalkum Madras.
22d April 1887
/Sd/ O. S. Ramaswamy Mudly
Sheriff
C.P.

1887 April 22d C.P. B

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent William Barnet Phillips Esqr on Friday the 15th day of April 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
20th April 1887
/Sd/ O. S. Ramaswamy Mudly
Sheriff
C.P.

April 20th C.P. B

On Saturday the 23d April/87 a copy of this Notice was tendered to the within named Defendant Mistress Charlotte Rose, but she refused to accept service of the said Notice whereupon on the same day a copy of the said Notice was affixed to the outer door of the said Defendant's dwelling house No 11 Valayatha Chetty Street Poodoo Pettah Madras.
23d April 1887
/Sd/ O. S. Ramaswamy Mudly
Sheriff
C.P.

April 23d C.P. B

Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 4 of 1887 D.C.

P. Murugesa Naicker By Mr. P. Subramania Iyer — Petitioner — and — P. Rajaruthnum Naicker and 3 others — Counter Petitioners.

To

The Counter Petitioners

1. P. Rajaruthnum Naicker
3. P. Panjalla Naicker
4. P. Rajagopala Naicker
5. P. Chella Pillay

1887 April 21st — 1888 April 26th — 1887 July 6th

P. Rajaruthnum Naicker and P. Rajagopala Naicker and P. Chella Pillay were served personally on Wednesday the 26th April 1887 by copies of the petition being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. and for 3rd counter Petitioner P. Panjalla Naicker's copy delivered to his mudumbi one P. Chella Pillay on same day & signed in pencil for 3rd counter Petitioner.

Dated 28th April 1887.

Singaram Bailiff

D.C.

Copy of the 2nd Counter Petn was not furnished

D.C.

P. Subramaniyer Petitioner's Proctor

C. Singaram

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 4th & 5th Counter-Petitioners P. Rajaruthnum Naicker P. Rajagopala Naicker and P. Chella Pillay on Wednesday the 26th day of April 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

And this Notice was served by the said officer on the within named 3rd Counter Petitioner P. Panjalla Naicker on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said P. Chella Pillay alleged to be the paternal uncle of the said P. Panjalla Naicker as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Chella Pillay for the said P. Panjalla Naicker.

6th July 1887

D.C.

(Sgd) Savalay Ramasawmy Moodoo Sheriff.

1887 July 6th — 6/7/87

V

Second Appeal No. 517 of 1887 D.C.

Mr. H. W. H. Brown by his Proctor Michell — Appellant — and — Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. — Respondents

To

The Respondents

Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. 29th April 30th April 25th August

The Respondents Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. was served on Wednesday the 4th April 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to John H. Peebles Esquire the manager of the said firm as signed by him.

Dated 6th April 1887.

A. Cooper Bailiff

A. Cooper

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. on Wednesday the 4th day of April 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to John H. Peebles Esquire assistant in the within named firm of Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. as appears by the above endorsement made by the said

John H. Peebles.

8th July 1887

D.C.

(Sgd) Savalay Ramasamy Moodoo Sheriff

July 8 — A.C. 13

V

Second Appeal No. 1313 of 1886 D.C.

Pulugandla Kumaraswamy and 8 others by his Proctor Ramachendra Row Pakil — Appellants — and — Durba Venkatramiah and 3 others — Respondents

To

The 1st Respondent

Durba Venkatramiah 29th April - 30th April 14th August

Durba Venkatramiah the 1st Respondent was served personally on Thursday the 5th day of May 1887 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil signed by him in pencil on the original Notice.

Dated this 10th day of May 1887.

Ramachendra Row Pakil Appellant's Vakil

Gillman Chellum Bailiff

This Notice was served by T. Aurooda-chellum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Durba Venkatramiah on Thursday the 5th day of May 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

6th July 1887

D.C.

(Sgd) Savalay Ramasamy Moodoo Sheriff

July 6th — A.C. 13

Appeal No. 81. of 1887.

V. Shunmugam Pillay & 2 others by the Hon'ble Sooleya - manadyer. —— Appellants.

— And —

Nagappen Cathiravelu Pillay & 50 others. —— Respondts.

To

	1887	1887	1887
The 1st Respondt.			
Nagappen Cathiravelu Pillay	May 11th	May 16th	Augt. 30th

The 1st Respondt. Nagappen Cathiravelu Pillay was served on Monday the 15th day of August 1887 as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 16th August 1887

C. Singaram Bailiff

D. Thalasingum.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondt Nagappan Cathiravelu Pillay on Monday the 15th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

16th August 1887

Sewd Pavalay Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

1887 August 16th Cts 03

Appeal against order No. 23. of 1887.

Gopaula Ratnam Iyer. by Mr. Parthasarathy Iyengar. —— Appellant.

— And —

Camarajiram Pillay & 17 others —— Respondts.

To.

The 4th Respondt.			July
Messrs Mosses & Co.	10th	"	15th

Fourth Respondent Messrs Mosses & Co was served on Friday the 17th day of June 1887 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr T. Coomathalwar Chetty a Partner in the Firm of Messrs Mosses & Co as appears by the acknowledgment of Service Signed in ink by the said Mr T. Coomathalwar Chetty.

Dated this 21st day of June 1887.

S. Muttusamy Pillai Bailiff

Mr. Parthasarathy Iyengar for Petr

S. Muttusamy Pillai

This Notice was served by S. Muruganchellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Respondent Messrs Mosses & Co on Friday the 17th day of June 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr T. Coomathalwar Chetty a partner in the said Messrs Mosses & Co as appears by the endorsement made by the said Mr T. Coomathalwar Chetty

8th July 1887

Sewd Pavalay Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

July 8 Cts 13

L.P.

Ord. Div. Civil mis Petition No. 4 of 1887 L.P.

P. Muruges a Naicker by Mr. S. Subramaniya Iyer — Petitioner.

and

P. Rajarathnam Naicker and 4 Others — Counter-Petitioners

To

The 2d Counter-Petitioner P. Kristappa Naicker.

1887 July 8th

1887 July 12th

1887 July 22nd

The 2d Counter-Petitioner P. Kristappa Naicker was served on Tuesday the 12th day of July 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 13th July 1887

C. Singaram Bailiff.

S. Subramania Iyer Petitioner's Vakil

C. Singaram

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Counter-Petitioner P. Kristappa Naicker on Tuesday the 12th day of July 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th July 1887

/Sgd/ S. Ramasawmy Moodr. Sheriff

1887 July 14th C.E.

Civil miscellaneous Petition No. 261 of 1887 L.P.

V. Shanmugam Pillai and 2 others by Honorable Subramanya Iyer — Petitioners (Appeln in appeal 8/8)

and

Nagappa Katheravalu Pillai and 5 others — Counter-Petitioners (Respts in — do —)

To

The 1st Counter-Petitioner Nagappa Katheravalu Pillai

13th 15th 12th August

The 1st Counter-Petitioner Nagappa Katheravalu Pillai was served personally on Sunday the 7th day of August 1887 as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 9th August 1887

C. Singaram Bailiff

Mr. Subramania Iyer Petitioner's Vakil

C. Singaram

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Nagappa Katheravalu Pillay on Sunday the 7th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

10th August 1887

/Sgd/ S. Ramasawmy Moodr. Sheriff

Augt 10th C.E.

104 (6) 96 8

45 (3) 36 9

60 (5) 6

95 (5) 80 15

91

			1887	1887	1887
Appeal No 4 of 1887 E.P.	J. Bhagavathe Ammal and another By Mr Viswanadha Iyer — Appellants and P. Annamalay Moodelliar and 3 others — Respondents	To The Respondents	July	July	August
		1. P. Annamalay Mudliar	18th	22d	22d
		2. P. Singaravelu Mudliar			
		3. P. Thungavelu Mudliar and			
		4. P. Apparasoondra Mudliar			

P. Thungavelu Mudliar the 3d Respondent was served personally on Monday the 15th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink on the Original Notice and P. Annamalay Mudliar P. Singaravelu Mudliar and P. Apparasoondra Mudliar the 1st 2nd and 4th Respondents were served on the same day by 3 Copies of the Notice being delivered to P. Thungavelu Mudliar the 3d Respondent alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st 2nd and 4th Respondents residing with them as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed in ink by the said P. Thungavelu Mudliar for the said 1st 2nd and 4th Respondents.

17th August 1887

J. Auroonachellum Bailiff

Civil Mis. P. No 308 of 1887 E.P.	Govinda Chetty by Mr Lakshmi Pathy Naidoo and Rajavatna Mudaliar — Petitioner (Pet. in C.R.P. 99/87)	To The Counter Petitioner Sawmi Subbiah Chetty	25th	26th	5th August

On Wednesday the 3rd August 1887 ~~was tendered~~ a copy of the Notice was tendered to T. Abboyee Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the within named Counter Petitioner Sawmi Subbiah Chetty but he refused to accept the service of the Notice I thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of the Notices on the outer door of the house No 15 in Adrappa Naicker's street Black Town Madras in which the said Counter Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing

3rd August 1887

O. Tholasingum Bailiff

Sawmi Subbiah Chetty — Counter Petitioner (Counter Pet. in do)

K. Lakshmipathy Naidoo & Rajavatna Mudaliar — Petitioners

O. Tholasingum — Bailiff

E. Viswanadha Iyer — Appellants Vakil

E. Thoomboo Chetty — Respondents Vakil

This Notice was served by J. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3d Respondent P. Thungavelu Moodelliar on Monday the 15th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st 2d and 4th Respondents P. Annamalay Mudliar, P. Singaravelu Mudliar and P. Apparasoondra Mudliar on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said P. Thungavelu Mudaliar alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st 2d and 4th Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said 3d Respondent for the said 1st 2d and 4th Rpondents.

20th August 1887

(Sd) Lawday Ramasamy Mudy Sheriff

E.P.

On the 3d August 1887 a copy of this Notice was tendered by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff to T. Abboyee Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the within named Counter Petitioner Sawmi Subbiah Chetty, but the said Abboyee Chetty refused to accept the service of the said Notice on him; whereupon and on the same day a copy of the said Notice was affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No 15 in Audiappah Naicker's Street Black Town Madras in which the said Counter Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing.

4th August 1887

(Sd) L. Ramasawmy Mudy Sheriff

E.P.

1887 August 20th

Aug 4 to 20 4 days

Fee 20/887 3 Pcs

Second Appeal No 468 of 1887 L.P.	Ethirajulu Naidu by Mr. S. Kaliana Ramier — Appellant and Venkatasami Chetti — Respondent	To The Respondent Pachipulusu Venkatasami Chetty.	1887 July 27th	1887 July 28th	1887 Augt 18th

The Respondent Pachipulusu Venkatasami Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
Dated 18th August/87. C. Swaminadha Pillai Bailiff

Mr. S. Kaliana Ramier Advocate for Appellant

C. Swaminadha Pillai Bailiff

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Pachipulusu Venkatasami Chetti as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
18th August 1887 } (Seed) Savalay Ramasamy Moodr Sheriff
L.P.

1887 August 18th C.E. D.

			August	August	August
Appeal No. 5 of 1887 L.P.	M. Gnanmal By Mr. Sundram Pillai — Appellant and Kamakshammal — Respondent	To The Respondent Kamakshammal	1st	1st	30th

The within named Respondent Kamakshammal was personally served on Tuesday the 2nd August 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her, as appears by the endorsement of service marked by her in token.
Dated this 4th day of August 1887.
Thirumalachetty Bailiff
Sundram Pillai Advocate

This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Kamakshammal on Tuesday the 2d day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.
4th August 1887 } (Sd) S. Ramasamy Moodr Sheriff
L.P.

Mr. Sundram Pillai Advocate

Augt 4th C.E. D. 4/8/87.

Appeal No. 113 of 1887 L.P.	D. Davasagayam Pillai and 2 others by Mr. Ramasamy Mudaliar — Appellants and Messrs Lumsden Strange and 2 others trading together as merchants under the title of Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Stanes & Co in Liquidation — Respondents.	To The Respondents Messrs Lumsden Strange and 2 others trading together as merchants under the title of Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Stanes & Co in Liquidation;	1st	1st	1st

Ramasamy Saminadha Pillai Bailiff

The within named Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co were served on the 2d day of August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to J. R. Hunter Esqr a Partner in the above named firm & Signed by him for the said firm of Dymes & Co
Madras 2d August 1887 } W. H. Middleton Bailiff

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Stanes & Co in Liquidation on Tuesday the 2d day of August/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. R. Hunter Esqr a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Dymes & Co as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. R. Hunter.
9th August 1887 } (Sd) Savalay Ramasamy Moodr Sheriff
L.P.

August 9th C.E. D.

Second Appeal No 468 of 1887 L.P.

Ethirajulu Naidu by Mr. S. Kaliana Ramier — Appellant

and

Venkatasami Chetti — Respondent

To The Respondent Pachipulusu Venkatasami Chetty

1887 July 27th

1887 July 28th

1887 Augt 18th

The Respondent Pachipulusu Venkatasami Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 18th August/87. C. Singaravelu Pillai

Mr. S. Kaliana Ramier Appellants Vakil

C. Singaravelu

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Pachipulusu Venkatasami Chetti as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

18th August 1887

/ Seen / Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff

C.P.

1887 August 18th C.S. D

Appeal No. 5 of 1887 L.P.

M. Gnanmal By his Sundram Pastrier — Appellant

and

Kamakshammal — Respondent

To The Respondent Kamakshammal

1st August 1887

1st August 1887

30th August 1887

The within named Respondent Kamakshammal was personally served on Tuesday the 2nd August 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her, as appears by the endorsement of service marked by her in token thereof. Dated this 4th day of August 1887.

Mr. Sundram Pastr. Appellants Vakil

S. Ramasamy Mudaliar

This Notice was served by T. Muruganachettiar an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Kamakshammal on Tuesday the 2d day of Aug 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

4th August 1887

/ True T. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff

C.P.

Augt 4th C.S. D. Tate 4/8/87.

Appeal No. 113 of 1887 L.P.

D. Savalagayam Pillai and 2 others by Mr. Ramasamy Mudaliar — Appellants

and

Messrs Lumsden Strange and 2 others trading together as merchants under the title of Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Stanes & Co in Liquidation — Respondents.

To The Respondents Messrs Lumsden Strange and 2 others trading together as merchants under the title of Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Stanes & Co in Liquidation

1st August 1887

1st August 1887

Ramasamy Mudaliar Appellants Vakil

Mr. Middleton

The within named Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co were Served on the 2d day of August-1887. by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. R. Hunter Esqr a Partner in the above named firm & Signed by him for the said firm of Dymes & Co

Madras 2d August-1887

W. H. Middleton Bailiff

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Stanes & Co in Liquidation on Tuesday the 2d day of August 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. R. Hunter Esqr a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Dymes & Co as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. R. Hunter.

9th August 1887

/ Seen / Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff

C.P.

August 9th C.S. D

C.M.
P. No 336
of 1887
L.V.

John Manickam Pillai and 2 others by Mr. K. A. Parthasarathy Iyengar — Petitioners (Applts in appeal 108/87)

And

Messrs Lumisden Strange and 2 others — Counter-Petitioners (Respondents do do)

To
The Counter-Petitioners
Messrs Dumisdon Strange & two others
Merchants under the title of Dymes & Co. and trustees for Messrs Staines & Co. in Liquidation at Madras.

1887 August 1st
1887 Augt 1st
1887 August 19th

The Counter-Petitioners Messrs Dymes &c. were served on Tuesday the 2nd day of August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to J R Hunter Esqr a partner in the above named firm and signed by him for the said firm of Dymes & Co. Dated 2nd August 1887. W H Middleton Bailiff

R. O. Court Madras 4th September 1887
Petitioners Counsel

Messrs Barclay & Morgan Attorneys for Petitioners

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Messrs Dymes & Co. Trustees for Messrs Staines & Co. in Liquidation on Tuesday the 2nd day of August 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J R Hunter Esq. a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Dymes & Co. as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. R. Hunter.

9th August 1887
Sd/ Savalay Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

L.V.

1887 August 9th

Appeal No. 108 of 1887
L.V.

John Manickam Pillay and 2 others by Messrs Sadagopa-charlar and P. Subramanya Iyer — Appellants.

And

Messrs Lumisden Strange and 2 others merchants under the title of Dymes & Co. trustees for Messrs Staines & Co. in Liquidation at Madras — Respondents

To
The Respondents
1. Messrs Lumisden Strange
2. James Rose Hunter
and
3. Henry Scott merchants under the title of Dymes & Co. and trustees for Messrs Staines & Co. in Liquidation at Madras.

4th 4th 1/6

Messrs Sadagopachariar & Iyer
Appts Vakils

The Respondents Messrs Dymes &c. were served on Friday the 5th day of August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to E. F. Burton Esqr. an assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as signed by the said E. F. Burton Dated 5th August 1887.
W H Middleton

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co. Trustees for Messrs Staines & Co. in Liquidation on Friday the 5th day of August 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. F. Burton Esquire an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. F. Burton.

9th August 1887
Sd/ Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

L.V.

August 9th

Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 356 of 1887	Devasagayam Pillai and 2 others by their Ramasamy Mudaliar Petitioners (Applts in App. 113/87) and Messrs Lumisdon Strange and 2 others — Counter-Petitioners (Respts in do)	To The Counter Petitioners Messrs Lumisdon Strange and two others trading under the titles of Dymes & Co. and trustees to Staines & Co. in Liquidation	1887 August 4th	1887 August 4th	1887 August 19th	
		The Counter-Petitioners herein Messrs Dymes & Co were served on Friday the 5th August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to E. J. Burton Esqre an assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan attorneys for the said Counter-Petitioners as signed by the said E. J. Burton Dated 5th August 1887 G.T.			W. H. Middleton Assistant	
Appeal No. 88 of 1887	Venkatroya Pillai and another by their R. Vadagopachariar — Appellants and Murugu Pillai and another — Respondents.	To 1. Murugu Pillai son of Davarasinga Pillai 2. Davasaam Pillai Son of Adangada Pillai	2d	4th	4th	
		Murugu Pillai & Davasa mi Pillai the 1st & 2nd Respondents were served personally on Thursday the 29th September 87 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil 29th September 1887 G.T.			30th October Early	
Civil R.P. No. 990 of 87	C. Govinda Chetty by his Lakshmi Pathy Rayudu and Mr. Rajaratnam Moodeliar — Petitioner and Sawmi Doobiah Chetty — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Sawmi Doobiah Chetty	6th	8th	26th August	
		The Counter Petitioner Sawmi Doobiah Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although diligent search has been made for him Dated this 6th August 87 G.T.			A. Thelaviagum Bailiff	

Mr. Ramasamy Mudaliar
Petitioners Vakil

Mr. Lakshmipathy Rayudu Mr. R. Vadagopachariar
Petitioners Vakil

A. Thelaviagum

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Messrs Dymes & Co Trustees to Messrs Staines & Co in Liquidation on Friday the 5th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. J. Burton Esquire an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Counter-Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. J. Burton.

9th August 1887 G.T.

(Sd) Savalay Ramasamy Mudaly
Sheriff

1887 August 9th Civil 5/-

This Notice was served by A. Thelaviagum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Murugu Pillai and Davasami Pillai on Thursday the 29th day of September 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them.

29th September 1887 G.T.

(Sd) Savalay Ramasamy Mudaly
Sheriff

Sept. 29th Civil 5/- 29.9.87

This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner Sawmi Doobiah Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although diligent search has been made for him.

26th August 1887 G.T.

(Sd) S. Ramasawmy Mudaly
Sheriff

August 26th Civil 5/- 26/8

Appeal No. 7 of 87	S. Subbiatchima Ammal By Mr Lundram Sastriar — Appellant and C. Subbarama Iyer and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1C. Subbarama Iyer and 2. C. Veeraraghava Iyer minor by his uncle and next friend C. Dandapany Iyer	1887 August 2d	1887 August 8th	1887 August 30th	The Respondents C. Subbarama Iyer and C. Veeraraghava Iyer (minors) were served on the day the 9th day of August 87 by copies of notice being delivered to C. Dandapany Iyer uncle & next friend as stated by him Dated 11th August 1887 C. Singaram Bailiff.
Original Side Civil Miscl. No. 11 of 1887	J. Moorooyesa Mudaliar By Mr Ayyanda Charlu — Petitioner (Applt in Appl. 9/87) and V. Etchammall — Counter-Petitioner (Resp. Appl. 9/87)	To The Counter Petitioner V. Etchammall.	10th	10th	20th	On Friday the 12th day of August 1887 I tendered a copy of the notice to V. Etchammall the Counter Petitioner but she having refused to accept the service of the notice I thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of the notice on the outer door of the house No 2 in Northern side of Kapaleeswaran Koil Mada Street Mylapore in which the said Counter Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing. Dated this 13th day of August 87 A. Holavengum Bailiff
Civil Miscl. No. 414 of 1887	M. Alagiri Chetti Naidu and others by the Advocate General — Petitioners and Periasami Thevar alias Udayana Thevar and 9 others — Counter-Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 8 Robert Gordon Orr Esqr Partner of Messrs Orr and Sons 9. E. H. Stranack Esqre Secretary & Treasurer Commercial Bank Madras 10. J. Ryan Esqre now residing with Mr E. H. Stranack Secretary and Treasurer Commercial Bank	11th	12th	19th	The 8th Counter Petitioner Robert Orr Esqr was served on the 12th August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to H. W. Emery Esqr a partner in the said firm as signed by him in pencil and 9th Counter Petitioner E. H. Stranack Esqre Secretary and Treasurer Commercial Bank was served on 13th August 87 as signed by him in pencil and 10th Counter Petitioner J. Ryan Esqre is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdictions of Madras. Dated 13th August 87. S Holmes

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents C. Subbarama Iyer and C. Veeraraghava Iyer (minors) on Tuesday the 9th day of August 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to the within named C. Dandapani Iyer alleged to be the uncle and next friend of the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsements made by the said C. Dandapani Iyer. C. Singaram

16th August 1887 } (Sd) Savalay Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

On Friday the 12th day of August 1887 a copy of this Notice was tendered to the within named Counter Petitioner V. Etchammall but she refused to accept service of the said Notice whereupon and on the same day a copy of the said Notice was affixed to the outer door of the dwelling house of the said Counter Petitioner Door No 2 on the northern side of Kapaleeswaran Koil Mada Street Mylapore in which the said Counter Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing.

16th August 1887 } (Sd) Savalay Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

This Notice was served by S. Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the 8th Counter-Petitioner Mr Robert Gordon Orr on Friday the 12th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr H. W. Emery a partner in the firm of Messrs Orr and Sons as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said H. W. Emery for the said 8th Counter-Petitioner.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the 9th Counter-Petitioner Mr E. H. Stranack the Secretary and Treasurer Commercial Bank of Madras on Saturday the 13th day of August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this notice has not been served on the 10th Counter-Petitioner Mr J. Ryan as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

16th August 1887 } (Sd) Savalay Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

S. Holmes
Petitioners Counsel
Mr. Advocate General

			1887 August 16th	1887 August 16th	1887 August 16th	

Secnd Appeal No. 317 of 1887 C.V.	Chockalinga Pillai by Mr. M. E. Appadorai Mudaliar —Appellant and Sitalatchmi Ammal and another —Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Sitalatchmi Ammal	1887 August 19th	1887 August 19th	1887 Septr 22nd	The 1st Respondent Sitalatchmi Ammal was served personally on Wednesday the 21st day of September/87 as marked by her in pencil Dated 21st September/87.	O. Tholasingam Bailiff	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Sitalatchmi Ammal on Wednesday the 21st day of September 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her. 21st September 1887 / Sd/ Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff C.V.	1887 Septr 21st C.V.	Dd/ 21/9
Secnd Appeal No. 438 of 1887 C.V.	Doraisamy Ammal by Messrs Venkata ramana Iyer and Sethaguri Iyer —Appellant and Vasudava Pillai and another —Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Vasudava Pillai	20th	22nd	22nd	The 1st Respondent Vasudava Pillai was served personally on Monday the 29th August/87 as signed by him. Dated 29th August/87.	C. Singaram Bailiff	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Vasudava Pillai on Monday the 29th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 5th September 1887 / Sd/ Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff C.V.	Septr 5th C.V.	Ad 5/9
Appl. No 84 1887 C.V.	P. Murugesa Naick by Mr. L. Subramania Iyer —Appellant and 1. Pondicherry Rajanthinum Naicker 2. do Kistappah Naicker 3. do Panjalla Naicker 4. do Rajagopala Naicker and 5. do Chella Pillay —Respondents To be served on the 1st, 3rd, 4th & 5th Respts only	To The 1st, 3rd, 4th & 5th Respondent	16th	23rd	14th	The 4th Respondent P. Rajagopala Naicker was personally served on Wednesday the 24 August 1887, and the 1st 3rd and 5th Respondents P. Rajanthinum Naicker P. Panjalla Naicker and Chella Pillay were personally served on Thursday the 25th August 1887, by copies of the notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated 25th August 1887 / C. Singaram Bailiff	L. Subramania Iyer Vakil for Appellant	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 4th Respondent Pondicherry Rajagopala Naicker on Wednesday the 24th day of August 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st 3rd and 5th Respondents Pondicherry Rajanthinum Naicker Pondicherry Panjalla Naicker and Pondicherry Chella Pillay on Thursday the 25th day of August 1887 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 2nd September 1887 / Sd/ Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff C.V.	Septr 2nd C.V.	Dd/ 2/9/87

Appeal No. 6 of 1887 L.V.	K. Comarappa Achari By Mr Vidvanadha Sayer — Appellant and V. Chinnakka ammal and two others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. V. Chinnakka ammal 2. V. Monoosamy Bathan by his mother and guardian Ankammah and 3. V Lutchmiah Bathan.	1887 August 1st	1887 August 16th	1887 August 30th
Appeal No. 9 of 1887 L.V.	T. Moorooga Mudliar By Mr Ananda Charlu — Appellant and V. Etchammal — Respondent	To The Respondent V. Etchammal	18th	19th	21st
Appeal Against Appellate Order No. 31 of 1887 L.V.	Mankittal Chattin Kutty Nair by Mr C. Sankara Nair — Appellant and C. R. Karunakara Menon — Respondent.	To The Respondent C. R Karunakara Menon.	8th	19th	9th

The 1st Respondent V. Chinnakka ammal was served on Tuesday the 16th august 187 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ankammah alleged to be the mother and guardian of the said 2d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Ankammah.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3d Respondent V. Lutchmiah Bathan on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent V. Chinnakka Ammal on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Lutchmiah Bathan alleged to be the adult son of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said V. Lutchmiah Bathan.

24th August 1887

(Sgd) Savalay Ramasamy Moodly
Sheriff

L.V.

O. Thelaisingum
Appellant's Proctor

The 1st Respondent V. Chinnakka ammal was personally served on Tuesday the 16th August 187 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ankammah alleged to be the mother and guardian of the said 2d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Ankammah.

1st August 1887

L.V.

O. Thelaisingum
Appellant's Proctor

This Notice was served by O. Thelaisingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent V. Monoosamy Bathan on Tuesday the 16th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Ankammah alleged to be the mother and guardian of the said 2d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Ankammah.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3d Respondent V. Lutchmiah Bathan on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respondent V. Chinnakka Ammal on Wednesday the 17th day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Lutchmiah Bathan alleged to be the adult son of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said V. Lutchmiah Bathan.

24th August 1887

(Sgd) Savalay Ramasamy Moodly
Sheriff

L.V.

1887 August 24th — C.V.S. — L.V. 25/8.

C. Singaram
Appellant's Proctor

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. Etchammal on Tuesday the 23d day of August 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

2d September 1887

(Sgd) Savalay Ramasamy Moodly
Sheriff

L.V.

Sept 2nd — L.V. 2/9/87

C. Singaram
Appellant's Proctor

The Respondent was personally served on Tuesday the 23d August 1887 as signed by her in pencil.

Dated 25th August 1887

C. Singaram
Bailiff

L.V.

Sept 8th

This Notice was served by V. Aroonachellum, an Officer of the Sheriff, on the within named Respondent C. R. Karunakara Menon, on Tuesday the 6th day of September 1887, by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to A. Sunkara Menon, alleged to be the adult son-in-law of the said Respondent, as appears by the Endorsement made by the said A. Sunkara Menon for the said Respondent.

8th September 1887

(signed) Savalay Ramasamy Moodly
Sheriff

L.V.

Sept 8 — C.V.S. — N.L.

C. Sankara Nair
Appellant's Proctor

C. R. Karunakara Menon the Respondent was served on Tuesday the 6th day of September 1887 by a copy of this Notice being delivered to A. Sunkara Menon to be the alleged adult son-in-law of the said C. R. Karunakara Menon residing with him, as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed in ink by the said A. Sunkara Menon for the said C. R. Karunakara Menon on the Original Notice.

8th September 1887.

V. Aroonachellum
Bailiff

L.V.

Appeal against order No. 97 of 1887	Yelu Mala Chetti & 2 others minors by their mother and guardian Baghiam Ammal by Mr. Anandacharlu —Appellants and R. Venkatachela Assary & anr. —— Respondents	To The Respondents 1. R. Venkatachela Assary and 2. R. Raghava Chary	1887 Augt. 22d.	1887 Augt. 22d.	1887 Septr. 9th	P. Anandacharlu Appellants Vakil	4 Rs	This Notice has not been served on the Respondents R. Venkatachella Assary and R. Raghava Chary as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 9th September 1887 / Sd/ T. Ramasawmy Mood Sheriff's	1887 Septr. 9th CVE	Rs 8 9/9/87

R. Venkatachela Assary and R. Raghava Chary the 1st and 2d Respondents are not to be found although search & enquiry has been made for them.
9th day of September 1887. Sd/ Wooring Bellum Bailiff

Appeal No. 123 of 1887	N. Rayavachariar by Mukt. R. Sadagopachariar and M. E. Srirangachariar —— Appellant and Shamsudeen Sahib and 6 others —— Respondents	To The 6th Respondent Kadir Bibi	26th	29th	November 15th	P. Sadagopachariar Appellant's Vakil		This Notice has not been served on the 6th Respondent Kadir Bibi as She is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 14th November 1887 / Sd/ T. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	November 14th CVE	14/11

The 6th Respondent Kadir Bibi is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.
14th November 87. Sd/ O. Nallasivam Bailiff

Appeal against Order No. 169 of 1886	Srinivasa Ragava Chariar and another by Mr. Ramasawmy Iyengar —— Appellants and Desika chariar — Respondents	To The Respondent Desikachariar.	26th	29th	Septr. 16th	R. Sadagopachariar Appellant's Vakil		This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Desikachariar on Monday the 12th day of September 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 13th September 1887 / Sd/ Savalay Ramasamy Mood Sheriff's	Septr. 13th CVE	13/9

The Respondent Desikachariar was served personally on Monday the 12th September 1887 as Signed by him in pencil
Dated 12th September 1887 C. Singaram. Bailiff.

Civil Revision Petition No 26 of 1887 on the file of the High Court Civil Misc Petition No 188 of 1887	Jembo Iyer By his Mr Kalyana Ramier — Petitioner and Vythianatha Iyer minor by his mother and guardian Soopalammal — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Vythianatha Iyer minor by his mother and guardian Soopalammal widow of Iyasamier	1887 August 29th	1887 August 30th	1887 Sept 19th	Soopalammal the mother and guardian of Vythianatha Iyer (minor) the Counter-Petitioner is not to be found although search has been made for her. 16th September 1887. Bailiff	Per Kalyana Ramier Petitioner	This Notice has not been served on the within named Soopalammal alleged to be the mother and guardian of the within named Counter-Petitioner Vythianatha Iyer as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 16th September 1887. Sewj Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff	1887 Sept 16th	Sept 19
Civil Revision Petition No 153 of 1887	Parthasarathy Iyengar and another by his Subba Row — Petitioner and Tiruvengada Chary — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Tiruvengada Chary.	29th	30th	30th	The Counter Petitioner Tiruvengada Chary was served personally on Wednesday the 21st day of September 87 as signed by him in token as signed by him in token dated 21st September 87. G Subbiah Bailiff	Per Subba Row Petitioner's Counter Vakil. G. Subbiah	This Notice was served by A Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Tiruvengada Chary on Wednesday the 21st day of September 1887 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 22nd September 1887. Sewj Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff	22nd	Sept 22/9
Second Appeal No 772 of 1887	Arumuga Mudaliar Appellant and Vedapulli Venugopal Naidu & Ors Respondents	To the Respondents 1. Vedapulli Venugopal Naidu 2. Vedapulli Narrainasawmy Naidu 3. Chinna-Kesavulu Naidu 4. Vadamulle Rajagopaul Naidu	Septr 31st	October 1st	October 12th	The 1st Respondent Vedapulli Venugopal Naidu was served personally on Thursday the 29th September 1887 as signed by him in token and the 2nd and 4th Respondents Vedapulli Narrainasawmy and Vedamulle Rajagopaul Naidus were served on Thursday the 29th September 1887 by copies of the notice being delivered to their adult brother Vedapulli Venugopal Naidu as signed by the said Venugopal Naidu for the said 2nd and 4th Respondents and the 3rd Respondent Chinna Kesavulu Naidu is not to be found within the limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him dated 29 Sept by Bailiff. A Singaram Bailiff	Per Subba Row Petitioner's Counter Vakil. G. Subbiah	This Notice was served by A Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st Respondent Vedapulli Venugopal Naidu on Thursday the 29th day September 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. This notice was served by the said Officer on the 2nd and 4th Respondents Vedapulli Narrainasawmy Naidu and Vedapulli Rajagopaul Naidu on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent Vedapulli Venugopal Naidu alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd and 4th Respondents as appears by the indorsement in pencil made by the said 1st Respondent for the said 2nd and 4th Respondents. And this notice has not been served on the 3rd Respondent Chinnakesavulu Naidu as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 3rd October 1887. A Singaram Sewj S Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff	3rd	Oct 3/10

Appeal No 11 of 1887 D.T.	1. Poolongan Chetty 2. Govindoo Chetty — Appellants and K Veneatammah — Respondent	To K. Venkatammah Respondent	1887 August 18th	1887 Sept 2d	1887 Sept 21st	K. Venkatammah, the Respondent, was personally served, on Monday the 12th day of September 1887 by a copy of this Notice, hereto delivered to her, as marked in ink by her on the original Notice. 13th September 1887.	S Ramasawmy Moodr Bailiff
Appl No 133 of 1887 D.T.	Israel Samuel & 2 others by Mr Ramasamy Moodr — Appellants and Messrs Dymes & Co — Respts	To The Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co	Sept 5th	Sept 9th	Nov 23d	The within named Respondents were served on the 9th September 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E Barclay Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay & Morgan attorneys for the said Respondents and signed by him for the aforesaid Respondents. Dated 10th September 1887.	W.H. Middleton
C.M. Petition No 454 of 1887 D.T.	Israel Samuel & 2 others by Mr Ramasawmy Moodr (Appts in app 133/87) — Petitioners and Messrs Dymes & Co & 2 others called Messrs Dymes & Co — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioners Messrs Dymes & Co Strange James Rose Hunter and Henry Scott trading under the name of Messrs Dymes & Co — Trustees for Messrs Staines & Co in Liquidation	Sept 5th	Sept 9th	Sept 19	The within named Counter Petitioners were served on the 9th September 1887 by a copy of the Petition being delivered to E Barclay Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay & Morgan the said Counter Petitioners attorneys and signed by him for the said counter Petitioners. Dated 10th September 1887.	W.H. Middleton

S Ramasawmy Moodr Bailiff for Appellants

W.H. Middleton Vakil for Appellants

This Notice was served by T. Anoo-nachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Venkatammah on Monday the 12th day of September 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement made by her. 14th September 1887. / Sigd / S. Ramasawmy Moodr Sheriff — 1887 Sept 14 — D.T. — J.H.P. 14/9.

This Notice was served by F. W. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Dymes & Co on Friday the 9th day of Sept/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E Barclay Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E Barclay. 13th September 1887. / Sigd / Barclay Ramasawmy Moodr Sheriff — Sept 13th — D.T. — J.H.P. 13/9.

do — This Notice was served by F. W. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Messrs Dymes & Co Trustees for Messrs Staines & Co in Liquidation on Friday the 9th day of Sept/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E Barclay Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Counter-Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E Barclay. 13th September 1887. / Sigd / Barclay Ramasawmy Moodr Sheriff — Sept 13th — D.T. — J.H.P. 13/9.

Second Appeal No. 592 of 1887 L.P.	Ramasawmy Reddi by his G. Srirangachariar — Appellant and Chengalvaraya Dever and another — Respondents	To Munisami Dever	1887 Sept. 13th	1887 Sept. 14th	1887 October 24th	Munisami Dever the 2d Respondent was served personally on Saturday the 22d day of October/87 by a copy of this Notice being delivered to him as endorsed by him in pencil 22d September 1887 L.P.	O. Tholasingum Bailiff	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Munisami Dever on Saturday the 22d day of October 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement thereon pencil made by him. 24th October 1887 L.P.	1887 October 24th L.P.	24/10
Second Appeal No. 987 of 1886 L.P.	Ramasawmy Reddi by his Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Subba Row — Respondent	To The Respondent Subba Row	13th	14th	24th	Subba Row the Respondent was served on Saturday the 22d day of October/87 by a copy by this Notice being delivered to K. Bhonda Row alleged to be the Paternal uncle of the said Respondent as signed in pencil by K. Bhonda Row for the said Respondent 22d September 1887 L.P.	O. Tholasingum Bailiff	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Subba Row on Saturday the 22d day of October/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to K. Bhonda Row alleged to be the Paternal uncle of the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said K. Bhonda Row for the said Respondent 24th October 1887 L.P.	October 24th L.P.	24/10
Second Appeal No. 988 of 1886 L.P.	Ramasawmy Reddi by his Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Ramaswami Mudali	To The Respondent Ramaswami Mudali	13th	14th	24th	Ramasawmy Mudali the Respondent is not to be found although search has been made for him 22d September 1887 L.P.	O. Tholasingum Bailiff	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Ramaswami Mudali as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 24th October 1887 L.P.	October 24th L.P.	24/10

Ditto — Ditto — Ditto —

Appeal No. 12 of 1887 L.P.	C. R. M. Ramalinga Chetty By Mr R Balajee Row — Appellant	To V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty — Respondent	1887 Sept 2nd	1887 Sept 16th	1887 October 5th	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 4th October 1887 / Sd/ S. Ramasawmy Mooty Sheriff L.P.	1887 Octr 4 C.E.	Sd/ 4/10.
	and V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty — Respondent					The Respondent V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 4th October 1887. Wingarayen Bailiff. L.P.		
Appeals Against Orders nos. 109 and 110 of 1887 L.P.	Viraswami Reddi by Mr M. E. Ipranga Chassiar. — Appellant and Munisawami Rayudu — Respondent	To The Respondent Munisawami Rayudu	15th	19th	14th	This Notice was served by O. Thola-singam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Munisawami Rayudu on Wednesday the 12th day of October 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 13th October 1887 / Sd/ S. Ramasawmy Mooty Sheriff L.P.	Octr 13th C.E.	Sd/ 13/10.
						Munisawami Rayudu the Respondent was served personally on Wednesday the 5th day of October 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in token. 13th October 1887 / O. Tholasingam bailiff L.P.		
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 522 of 1887 L.P.	Venkatraya Pillai and another by Mr L. Subramania Iyer — Petitioners (applts in appeal 88/87) and Muruga Pillay and another — Counter Petitioners (Respt in do)	To The Counter-Petitioners 1 Muruga Pillay and 2 Doraswamy Pillay	21st	22nd	4th	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Muruga Pillay and Doraswamy Pillay on Thursday the 29th day of September 1887 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 29th September 1887 / Sd/ Devaday Ramasawmy Mooty Sheriff L.P.	Sept 29th C.E.	29.9.87
						Muruga Pillay and Doraswamy Pillay counter Petitioners were served personally on Thursday the 29th September 87 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them signed by them in pencil Dated this 29th September 87. O Tholasingam bailiff L.P.		

Appeal No 8 of 1887 L.P.	P. Murugesa Naick By Mr. P. Subramania Iyer — Appellant And Pondicherry Rajavathnam Naicker and 4 others — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent Pondicherry Kistnappa Naicker.	1887 Sept 21st	1887 Sept 27th	1887 Octr 5th	The 2d Respondent Pondicherry Kistnappa Naicker was served on Tuesday the 27th September 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to his adult brother P. Panjalla Naicker as signed by the said P. Panjalla Naicker in pencil for the said Pondicherry Kistnappa Naicker. dated 28th September 1887. C. Singaram Bailiff. L.P.	C. Subramania Iyer Appellant's Vakil
Appeal No 13 of 1887 L.P.	Muthu Reddy and 3 others By Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Appellants And M. Veerasamy Iyer and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1st M. Veerasamy Iyer and 2d M. Streesilapathy Iyer.	23d	27th	26th	The 1st and 2d Respondents M. Veerasamy Iyer and M. Streesilapathy Iyer were served personally on Friday the 30th September 1887 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil on the original notice. dated 30th September 1887. C. Singaram Bailiff. L.P.	P. Ananda Charlu Appellant's Vakil
Appeal No 14 of 1887 L.P.	Singara Mudhaiyar By Mr. Ananda Charlu and Sundrum Sastriar — Appellant And Balamball — Respondent	To The Respondent Balamball	2d	27th	5th	The Respondent Balamball was served personally on Thursday the 29th September 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as signed by her in pencil on the original notice. dated 30th September 1887. C. Singaram Bailiff. L.P.	C. Sundaram Sastriar Appellant's Vakil

1887 Sept 29th Octr 1887	This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Pondicherry Kistnappa Naicker on Tuesday the 27th day of September 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Panjalla Naicker alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Panjalla Naicker for the said 2d Respondent. 29th September 1887 / Sd/ Savalay Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff L.P.	1887 Sept 29th Octr 29/9	
Sept 30th Octr 30/9	This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2d Respondents M. Veerasamy Iyer and M. Streesilapathy Iyer on Friday the 30th day of September 1887 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 30th September 1887 / Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff L.P.	Sept 30th Octr 30/9	
Sept 30th Octr 30/9	This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Balamball on Thursday the 29th day of September by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 30th September 1887 / Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff L.P.	Sept 30th Octr 30/9	

Appeal No. 154 1887	A. Venkatarasimha Sastrulu By Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Appellant and Adakalanatha Oodayan — Respondent	To The Respondent Adakalanatha Oodayan.	1887 Sept 23d	1887 Sept 27th	1887 Oct. 26th	The Respondent Adakalanatha Oodayan is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 25th October 1887. Q.V. B Rengaraman Bailiff.	1887 October 25th	
Appeal No. 119 of 1887	Iyyanki Venkata Subbarayudu by Mr Sundram Sastriar — Appellant and Mr Alfred Arathoon and another — Respondents	To 1. Mr Alfred Arathoon and 2. Mr C.W. Wilson	27th	28th	Amount 14th	Mr C. W. Wilson was personally served on Monday the 29th Sept/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and Mr Alfred Arathoon was served on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr C. W. Wilson duly for the said Mr Alfred Arathoon. 29th Sept/87 A Deraper			
Second Appeal No. 910 of 1887	Arumaghiri Nattan by Mr Srirangachariar — Appellant and Subba Row, minor by his next friend Satrala Srinivasa Row — Respondent	To The Respondent Subba Row minor by his next friend Satrala Srinivasa Row.	Oct.	Oct.	Nov.	The Respondent Subba Row is not to be found within the ordinary original limits of the jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 15th November 87. O Mahalingam Bailiff		

Appeal No. 154 1887 — Q.V.

P. Ananda Charlu Vakil

Mr. Sundram Sastriar Appellant's Vakil

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Adakalanatha Oodayan as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
25th October 1887
(Sd) S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff
Q.V.

1887 October 25th — Q.V. — 25/10/87

Appeal No. 119 of 1887 — Q.V.

Mr. Sundram Sastriar Appellant's Vakil

O. Mahalingam Vakil

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the 22d Respondent Mr. C.W. Wilson on Thursday the 29th day of September 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him.
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the Respondent Mr. Alfred Arathoon on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Mr C.W. Wilson Attorney for the said Mr. Alfred Arathoon as appears by the endorsement made by the said C.W. Wilson for the said Alfred Arathoon.
10th October 1887
(Sd) S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff
Q.V.

October 10th — 10/10

Second Appeal No. 910 of 1887 — Q.V.

Mr. Srirangachariar Appellant's Vakil

O. Mahalingam Vakil

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Subba Row the next friend of Satrala Srinivasa Row the next friend of the within named Respondent Subba Row (minor) as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
15th November 1887
(Sd) S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff
Q.V.

November 15th — 15/11

Appeal No 121 of 1887 L.T.	N. Vasudeva Pillai by his P. Ramasamy Raju — Appellant and Nagappan Cathiravelu Pillai and 5 Others — Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Nagappan Cathiravelu Pillai	1887 October 4th	1887 October 5th	1887 November 4th	The 1st Respondent Nagappan Cathiravelu Pillai was served personally on Saturday the 5th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 5th November 1887 L.T.	S Singaravelu Bailiff.	
Civil Revision Petition No. 190 of 1887 L.T.	Coorapati Mahalatchmoo Ammal and another by his P. Subramania Aiyar — Petitioners and Streeramsetti Pitchia Setty — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Streeramsetti Pitchia Setty.	October 4th	5th	21st	The Counter-Petitioner Streeramsetti Pitchia Setty is not to be found within the local limits of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 20th October 1887. L.T.	S Singaravelu Bailiff.	
Appeal Against Order No. 97 of 1887 L.T.	Yelu Malai Chetti and 2 others minors by their mother and guardian Bagiam Ammal by his Ananda-charlu — Appellants and R. Venkatachella Assary and another — Respondent	To The Respondents 1. R. Venkatachella assary 2. R. Raghava Aucharry.	October 6th	7th	28th	R. Venkatachella Assary and R. Raghava Assary the 1st and 2nd Respondents were severally served personally served on Saturday the 8th day of October 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil, on the Original Notice. Dated 11th October 1887 L.T.	S Singaravelu Bailiff	

Mr. P. Ramasamy Raju Appellant

Mr. S. Subramania Aiyar Petitioner

Mr. Ananda Charlu Appellant

This Notice was served by C Sugaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Nagappan Cathiravela Pillai on Saturday the 5th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 8th November 1887 L.T.	Capt. S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff	1887 Nov. 8th C.E.	8/11
This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioner Streeram Setti Pitchia Setty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 20th October 1887 L.T.	Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff	October 20th C.E.	20/10.
This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an officer of the Sheriff on the Respondents R. Venkatachella Assary and R. Raghava Assary on Saturday the 8th day of October 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them. 14th October 1887 L.T.	I Sgd S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff	Oct. 14th C.E.	14/10.

Appeal No. 16 of 1887 Oct. 26

Bagerathy Ammall By Myr. Branson & Branson - Appellant

and

Mungathoy Ammall, minor by her father and next friend Nagoo Chetty Mookundoo Sawmy Naidu and 5 Others - Respondents

To

1887 Oct. 3rd

The Respondents 1. Mungathay Ammall &c

2. Nagoo Chetty Mookoondoo Sawmy Naidu

3. M. Kristna Sawmy Naidu

4. M. Venkata Sawmy Naidu

5. T. Narasimoo loo Naidu and

6. Nagoo Chetty Kamalanatha Sawmy Naidu

1887 Oct. 8th

The 1st Respondent Mungathy Ammall (minor) was served on Tuesday the 11th October 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her father & next friend Nagoo Chetty Mookundoo Sawmy Naidu as signed by him in pencil and on the said 11th October 1887 Nagoo Chetty Mookundoo Sawmy Naidu, M. Kristna Sawmy Naidu, T. Narasimoo loo Naidu and Nagoo Chetty Kamalanatha Sawmy Naidu the 2nd 3rd 5th and 6th Respondents were served on Friday the 14th October 1887 on M. Venkata Sawmy Naidu the 4th Respondent by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and signed by them dated 14th October 1887

Wijayaram Bailiff

1887 Oct. 26

Appeals Nos 78 and 79 of 1887 Oct. 4

Pappaammal (appt in a 78/87) and Sevirajaperumal Nadan (appt in a 79/87 both by Mr. Ramasamy Raju — Appellant

and

Nagappan Cathivelu Pillai and 5 others — Respondents

To

The 1 Respondent

Nagappan Cathivelu Pillai

7th 10th 24th

The 1st Respondent Nagappan Cathivelu Pillai was served personally on Saturday the 5th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 5th November 1887

Wijayaram Bailiff

Myr. Branson & Branson Appellants Attorneys

Ramasamy Raju Appellant

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mungathoy Ammall (minor) on Tuesday the 11th day of October 87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named 2nd Respondent Nagoo Chetty Mookundoosawmy Naidu alleged to be the father and next friend of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Nagoo Chetty Mookundoosawmy Naidu, and on the same day this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2, 3, 5 and 6 Respondents Nagoo Chetty Mookoondoosawmy Naidu, M. Kristnasawmy Naidu, T. Narasimooloo Naidu and Nagoo Chetty Kamalanathasawmy Naidu and ~~the Notice was served~~ on the within named 4th Respondent M. Venkatasawmy Naidu on Friday the 14th day of October 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

20th October 1887

Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

1887 October 20th Civil

Civil 20/10/87 4

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Nov. 8 Nagappan Cathivelu Pillai on Saturday the 5th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

8 November 1887

Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

Civil 8/11/87

Original Side Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 114 of 1887

P. Muragesa Naick by his S. Subramania Iyer Petitioner

and

P. Rajarathnam Naicken and 4 others — Counter-Petitioners

To The Counter-Petitioners:

1. P. Rajarathnam Naicken
2. P. Kristappa Naicken
3. P. Panjalla Naicken
4. P. Rajagopala Naicken and
5. P. Chellapillay Naicken

1887 October 12th

1887 October 13th

1887 October 28th

The 1st, 3rd, 4th and 5th Counter-Petitioners P. Rajarathnam Naicken P. Panjalla Naicken, P. Rajagopala Naicken and P. Chellapillay Naicken were served personally on Friday the 14th October 1887 each by a copy of the notice and being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil and 2nd Respondent P. Kristappa Naicken was served on the 14th October 87 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil.

Dated 14th October 1887.

G. Singaram Bailiff

G.V.

---

2. Notice Appeal No. 12 of 1887

C. R. M. Ramalinga Chetty by Mr. R. Balaje Row — Appellant

and

V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty — Respondent

To The Respondent V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty

November 23rd

24th 25th

The Respondent V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty was served personally on Tuesday the 8th November 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by him in pencil.

Dated 10th November 1887.

G. Singaram Bailiff

Mr. R. Balaje Row Appellant's Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 3rd, 4th and 5th Counter-Petitioners P. Rajarathnam Naicken P. Panjalla Naicken, P. Rajagopala Naicken and P. Chellapillay Naicken on Friday the 14th day of October 1887 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Counter-Petitioner P. Kristappa Naicken on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said P. Panjalla Naicken alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Panjalla Naicken for the said 2nd Counter-Petitioner.

20th October 1887

G.V.

/Sig.d/ S. Ramasamy Mood.

Sheriff

1887 October 20th

20/10

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. M. M. Gungathara Chetty on Tuesday the 8th day of November 1887 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

12th November 1887

G.V.

/Sig.d/ S. Ramasamy Mood.

Sheriff

Novr. 12th

12/11/87

Civil Revision Petition No 204 of 1887 C.J.	Shaw Mohamad Saheb by Mr. Ambrose — Petitioner and C. V. Paroomall Chetty — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner C. V. Paroomall Chetty	1887 November 1st	1887 November 1st	1887 December 2nd	On Thursday the 10th day of November 1887 a Peon of the Court delivered a copy of this Notice to Vanoogopaul Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the within named Counter-Petitioner C. V. Paroomall Chetty, the said Counter-Petitioner C. V. Paroomall Chetty having refused to acknowledge the service of this Notice on him, I thereupon on the same day affixed a copy of this Notice on the outer door of the house No. 166 and 167 China Bazaar Road, Madras, in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing. Dated 15th November 1887. C.J.				
Civil Mis. Petn No 190 of 1887 (from the Appellate Side) C.J.	Anandi Ammal (1st Defendant) by Mr. Nammiah Chetty &c — Petitioner and 1 Ammayi ammal 2nd Defdt 2 C. Ekambara Naicken 2nd Defdt — Counter-Petitioners	To The Counter-Petitioners 1. Ammayi Ammal and 2. C. Ekambara Naicken by the said C. Ekambara Naicken Dated this 12th November 1887 C.J.	1887 November 14th	1887 November 14th	1887 November 15th	The 1st & 2nd Counter-Petitioners Ammayi ammal & C. Ekambara Naicken were served personally on Saturday the 12th day of November 1887 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them and marked in pencil by the said Ammayi ammal & signed in pencil by C. Ekambara Naicken & C. Tholasingam Bailiff				
Appeal No 15 of 1887 C.J.	A. Vencatanarasimha Pastulu by Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Appellant and Adakalanatha Oodayan — Respondent	To The Respondent Adakalanatha Oodayan	1887 November 14th	1887 November 14th	1887 November 15th	The Respondent Adakalanatha Oodayan was served personally on Monday the 14th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil. Dated 15th November 1887 C.J.				

Mr. Nammiah Chetty Petitioner's Vakil	On Thursday the 10th day of November 1887 I. J. Aaroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff tendered a copy of this Notice to Vanoogopaul Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the within named Counter-Petitioner C. V. Paroomall Chetty, but the said Vanoogopaul Chetty having refused to accept the service of the said Notice on him, I thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of this Notice on the outer door of the house Nos. 166 and 167 in China Bazaar Road at Madras in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing. 23rd November 1887 / Sd / J. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	1887 November 23rd C.P.	1887 23/11
C. J.	This Notice was served by A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners Ammayi Ammal and C. Ekambara Naicken on Saturday the 12th day of November by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them. 12th November 1887 C.J. / Sd / J. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	November 12th C.P.	12/11
Anandi Ammal & Ekambara Naicken Appellant's Vakil	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Adakalanatha Oodayan on Monday the 14th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 18th November 1887 C.J. / Sd / S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	Nov 18th C.P.	18/11

Civil Revision Petition No. 209 of 1887 L.V.	Sami Chetty by Mr. T. Rama Row — Petitioner and Rama & Bilas Counter — Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Rama Bilas	1887 November 17th	1887 November 18th	1887 December 16th	The Counter-Petitioner Rama Bilas was served personally on Saturday the 19th day of November 1887 a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed him in pencil. Dated 21st November 1887. L Singaram Bailiff	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Rama Bilas on Saturday the 19th day of November 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 22nd November 1887 L.V.	1887 Nov. 22nd	22/11
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 731 of 1887 L.V.	Patel Mahomed Yacoob Sahib By Mr. R. Sadagopa Chariar — Petitioner (Appt. in S.A. 1072/87) and Mohan Sing — Counter-Petitioner (Respt. in do)	To The Counter-Petitioner Mohan Sing	17th	18th	Dec. 9th	The Counter-Petitioner Mohan Sing was personally served on Saturday the 4th day of December 1887, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice. Dated 6th December 1887. L Singaram Bailiff	This Notice was served by T. Aurunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Mohan Sing on Sunday the 4th day of December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 6th December 1887 (Sd) T. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff L.V.	December 6th	6/12/87
Second Appeal No. 1072 of 1887 L.V.	Patel Mahomed Yacoob Sahib by Messrs. R. Sadagopachariar and S. Subramania Iyer — Appellant and Mohan Sing — Respondent	To The Respondent Mohan Sing	23rd	24th	1888 January 16th	The Respondent Mohan Sing was personally served, on Sunday the 4th day of December 1887, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil, on the original Notice. Dated this 6th day of December 1887 L.V. L Singaram Bailiff	This Notice was served by T. Aurunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mohan Sing on Sunday the 4th day of December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 6th December 1887 (Sd) T. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff L.V.	December 6th	6/12/87

Mr. R. Sadagopa Chariar for Petitioner Vakil

Messrs. Sadagopa Chariar and S. Subramania Iyer — Appellant's Vakils

Appeal No. 18 of 1887 K.V.	Yerra Veerappa Chetty and 8 others by their Ananda Charlu — Appellants and T. Rungamannaru Chetty and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. T. Rungamannaru Chetty and 2. T. Veeraragavalu Chetty	1887 November 25th	1887 November 28th	1888 January 16th	This Notice was served by the Respondent T. Veeraragavalu Chetty personally on Monday the 9th day of January/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him and the Respondent T. Rungamannaru Chetty was served on Monday the 9th January/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to T. Veeraragavalu Chetty alleged to be an adult brother of the said T. Rungamannaru Chetty as signed by the said T. Veeraragavalu Chetty for the said T. Rungamannaru Chetty 10th January/88. K.V.	O. Thelasingaperumal Pillai Gopalacharlu Appellants Pleader	This Notice was served by O. Thola singum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent T. Veeraragavalu Chetty on Monday the 9th day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 1st Respt T. Rungamannaru Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 2d Respt alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respt as appears by the above endorsement made by the said 2d Respt for the said 1st Respt. 10th January 1888 K.V. (Sd) A. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff	1887 January 10th Copy Fee
Appeal No. 19 of 1887 K.V.	S. Varathayah Chetty — Appellant and Lutchmidavammah legal peptua of Abiah Chetty — Respondent	To The Respondent Lutchmidavammah legal peptua of Abiah Chetty	23d	30th	9th	The Respondent Lutchmidavammah was served personally on Thursday the 1st day of December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as made by her on pencil. Dated 3d December 1887. (Sd) Singaram Bailiff K.V.	Appellants Pleader	This Notice was served by (illegible) Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Lutchmidavammah on Thursday the 1st day of December/87 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her. 5th December 1887 K.V. (Sd) A. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff	December 5th Copy Fee 5/12/87
Second Appeal No. 1127 of 1887 K.V.	Tulasi Kondan by his Partha Sarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Vaiyapuri pandaram — Respondent	To The Respondent Vaiyapuri pandaram	December 2d	December 2d	23d	The Respondent Vaiyapuri Pandaram was personally served on Sunday the 8th day of January 1888, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil, on the original Notice Dated 12th January 1888 K.V. (Sd) Sheromyghee Bailiff	Sri. Parthasarathy Iyengar Appellants Pleader	This Notice was served by T. Arunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Vaiyapuri Sundaram on Sunday the 8th day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 19th January 1888 K.V. (Sd) A. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff	1888 January 19th Gs	1887 19/1/88

Second Appeal No 1077 of 1887 G.V.

Coopporsamy alias Venkataramana Iyer and another minors by their next friend Venkatalatchemi Ammal by Mr. Subba Row — Appellants

and

Venkatasubbien and Others — Respondents

To The 1st Respondent Venkatasubbien

1887 December 9th

1887 December 12th

1888 February 6th

The 1st Respondent Venkatasubbien was personally served on Sunday the 8th day of January 1888, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as appears by the acknowledgment of service signed by him in ink, on the Original Notice

Dated 12th January 1888

G.V.

S. Vroomychellum Bailiff

This Notice was served by S. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Venkatasubbien on Sunday the 8th day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in ink made by him.

Suy. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff

23rd January 1888

G.V.

1888. January 23rd

Feby 23/88.

Mr. Subba Row Vakil

Mr. M. E. Srinivasa Chariar Vakil

O.S.

Appeal No 176 of 1887 G.V.

S. Raghavacharlu by Mr. M. E. Srinivasa Chariar — Appellant

and

Kanchi Krishna Row and 5 others — Respondents

To 2nd and 3rd The Respondents

2nd Respondent C. Sudarsana Charlu Surety office clerk, on his own behalf and as guardian of the minor 3rd Respondent Venkatachariu residing at No 60 Tholasinga Perumal Koil Street Triplicane Madras.

10th 12th 27th

Furnished with only one copy for service on the 2nd & 3rd Resp^ts.

G.V.

As the within named 2nd Respondent C. Sudarsana Charlu could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Thursday the 23rd day of February 1888 affixed on the outer door of the House No 60 Tholasinga Perumal Koil Street Triplicane Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.

24th February 1888

S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff.

G.V.

Feby. 24th

24/2

As the within named 2nd Respondent C. Sudarsana Charlu could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Thursday the 23rd day of February 1888 affixed on the outer door of the house No 60 Tholasinga Perumal Koil Street Triplicane Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing

24th February 1888

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 792 of 1887 A.T.	Manickam Samuel by Mr. K. Subrahmanyan — Petitioner (2nd Appln in appl. 133/87)	To The Counter-Petitioner	1887 December 12th	1887 December 13th	1888 January 20th	Messrs. Dymes & Co Madras.

and

Messrs. Dymes & Co — Counter-Petitioner (Respts do)

The counter-Petitioner Messrs Dymes & Co were served on Tuesday the 13th December 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to E. H. Burton Esqre an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said counter-Petitioners as signed by the said E. H. Burton.

Dated. 13th December 1887

A.T. W. H. Middleton

Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 793 of 1887 A.T.	D. Devasagayam Pillay by Mr. K. Subrahmanyam — Petitioner (1st Appt in appl. 133/87)	To The Counter Petitioner	1887 December 12th	1887 December 13th	1888 January 20th	do Messrs. Dymes & Co. Madras.

and

Messrs Dymes & Co — Counter-Petitioners (Respts in do)

The counter-Petitioner Messrs Dymes & Co were served on Tuesday the 13th December 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to E. H. Burton Esqre an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said counter-Petitioners as signed by the said E. H. Burton.

Dated 13th December 1887.

A.T. W. H. Middleton Bailiff

Mr. K. Subrahmanyan Petitioners' Counsel.

W. H. Middleton

1887 Decr 14th C.P. MN 14/12/87.

This Notice was Served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named counter-Petitioners Messrs Dymes & Co Madras on Tuesday the 13th day of December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. H. Burton Esquire an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said counter-Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. H. Burton.

14th December 1887 A.T. } Seen P. Ramasamy Mudaly Sheriff

Decr 14th C.P. MN 14/12/87.

This Notice was Served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named counter-Petitioners Messrs Dymes & Co Madras on Tuesday the 13th day of December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. H. Burton Esquire an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said counter-Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. H. Burton.

14th December 1887 A.T. } Seen P. Ramasamy Mudaly Sheriff

C.R.
No 218
of 1887
G.T.

Kanny Naicken and 3 others by Mr Parthasarathy Iyengar — Petitioners.

and

Raghava Chariar and another — Counter-Petitioners

To
The Counter-Petitioners

1887	1887	1888
December	December	January
13th	15th	20th

1. Raghava Chariar
and
2 Ramanuja Chariar.

The 1st counter Petitioner Raghava Chariar was personally served on Friday the 23d December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil and the 2d counter Petitioner Ramanuja Chariar was served on Friday the 23d December 1887 by a copy of the notice being delivered to his brother Raghava Chariar and signed in pencil by the said Raghava Chariar for the said Ramanuja Chariar.

Dated 30 January 1888.

G.T.

C. Singaram
Bailiff

2d appl
No 1208
of 1887
G.T.

Katiyabi by Mr Ramaswami Raju — Appellant

and

Sowcar Shamshudien Sahib — Respondent

To
The Respondent
Sowcar Shamshudien Sahib.

Dec	Dec	Febr
16th	17th	7th

Sowcar Shamshudien Sahib the Respondent is not to be found at, although search has been made for him.

Dated 6th February 1888.

G.T.

Illegible
Bailiff

2d appl
No 988
of 1886
G.T.

Ramaswami Reddi by Mr Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant

and

Ramaswami Mudali — Respondent

To
The Respondent
Ramaswami Mudali son of Kumara-samy Mudaliar

Dec	Dec	Janr
15th	17th	17th

The Respondent Ramaswami Mudali is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Madras although search has been made for him.

16th January 1888.

G.T.

C. Thodasingum
Bailiff

Mr Parthasarathy Iyengar
Appellant's Counsel

Mr Ramaswami Raju
Appellant

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Raghava Chariar on Friday the 23d day of December 1887 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2d Counter-Petitioner Ramanuja Chariar on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Counter-Petitioner alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2d Counter-Petitioner as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said 1st Counter-Petitioner for the said 2d Counter-Petitioner.

10th January 1888

/Seen/ Ramasamy Mudr
Sheriff

G.T.

1888 January 10th

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Sowcar Shamshudien Sahib, as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, although search has been made for him.

6th February 1888

/Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr
Sheriff

G.T.

Febr 6th

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Ramaswami Mudali as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th January 1888

/Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr
Sheriff

G.T.

Janr 17th

17/1/88.

Civil Mis Petition No 188 of 1887 C.V.	T. Jamboo Iyer by Mr. Kalyana Ramier — Petitioner in C.R.P. 21/87 and Vythinathan minor by his mother and guardian Looppala Amal — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Looppalo Amal as guardian of her minor son Vythinathan	1887 December 29th	1888 January 5th	1888 January 20th	The Counter Petitioner Vythinathan's guardian Looppalo Amal is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court's Original Jurisdiction although search has been made for her. Dated 19th January 1888. Signature. Bailiff. C.V.	This Notice has not been served on the within named Looppalo Amal the mother & guardian of the within named Counter Petitioner Vythia nathan as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 19th January 1888. (Sgd) S. Ramasamy Moodr. Sheriff C.V.	1888 Janr. 19th	20/1/88
Second Appeals Nos 1306 to 1308 of 87 C.V.	Venkataramana Aiyar in No. 1306 Ramasami Iyer in No. 1307 " " " in No. 1308 by T. R. Sundraya Iyer — Appellants and Mr. C. Ramachendra Rao Sahib — Respondent	To The Respondent Mr. C. Ramachendra Rao Sahib	1888 January 4th	1888 January 9th	1888 February 23rd	The Respondent Mr. C. Ramachendra Rao Sahib was personally served on Friday the 10th day of February 1888. by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 13th February 1888. (Sgd) T. Thirumalachariar Bailiff C.V.	This Notice was served by J. Annamachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mr. C. Ramachendra Rao Sahib on Friday the 10th day of February 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 15th February 1888 (Sgd) S. Ramasamy Moodr. Sheriff C.V.	February 15th	15/2
Second Appeal No. 1131 of 1887 C.V.	Vandikara Adam Sahib by Mr. P. Kalyana Ramier — Appellant and Ramasamy Chetty — Respondent	To The Respondent Ramasamy Chetty delivered to him in person 11th January 1888. C.V.	1888 January 4th	1888 January 9th	1888 Febr- 29th	The Respondent Ramasamy Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 11th day of January 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him A. Thobasingum Bailiff	This Notice was served by A. Thobasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Ramasamy Chetty on Wednesday the 11th day of January 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 11th January 1888 (Sgd) Ramasamy Moodr. Sheriff C.V.	January 11th	11/1/88

Appeal Against Order No 161 of 1887 L.P.

Viraswamy Reddy by Mr. Sriranga Chariar — Appellant

and

N. Jayagopaul Naidu — Respondent

To

The Respondent N. Jayagopaul Naidu

1888 January 4th — 1888 January 9th — 1888 February 3rd

The Respondent N. Jayagopaul Naidu was personally served on Monday the 16th January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by them in pencil.

Dated 16th January 1888.

C. Singaram
Bailiff.

C. Singaram Clerk
Appl. Pltff.

1888 January 23rd

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent N. Jayagopaul Naidu on Monday the 16th day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

23rd January 1888

C. Singaram
Bailiff

L.P.

23/1/88.

Appeal No 181 of 1887 L.P.

Subbier by Mr. C. Ramachandra Rao Pahib — Appellant

and

Appandai Nainar and 7 others — Respondents

To

The 6th 7th and 8th Respondents

1887 December 31st — 1888 January 9th — 1888 February 2nd

The 6th 7th & 8th Respondents were severally served personally on Tuesday the 3rd January 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them, and the 7th Respondent was served on the same day by copy of the Notice being delivered to the 8th Respondent Appavu Iyer alleged to be the adult son of the said 7th Respondent Locanadha Iyer, as signed by the said 6th Respondent for the said 7th Respondent on the original Notice

Dated 3rd February 1888.

C. Singaram
Bailiff

C. Singaram Clerk
Appl. Pltff.

This Notice was served by T. Aroovadachellum an Offr of the Sheriff on the 6th & 8th Respondents Deva Iyer and Appavu Iyer on Tuesday the 31st day of ~~July~~ January 88 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them. And this Notice was served by the said Offr on the 7th Respt Locanadha Iyer on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Appavu Iyer alleged to be the adult son of the said Locanadha Iyer as appears by the endorsement made by the said Appavu Iyer for the said Locanadha Iyer.

6th February 88

Sd/ S. Ramasamy Moodr
Sheriff

C.P.

1888 February 6th

6/2/88.

Appeal No 1 of 1887 L.P.

C. Muthulingu Achari by Mr. Sivasawmy Iyer — Appellant

and

1. Borasawmy
2. Chinna Borasawmy alias Parthasarathy minors by their mother and guardian Kuppathammal
3. Dawani Ammal — Respondents

To

The Respondents

1887 November 4th — 1888 January 19th — 1888 February 1st

1 Borasawmy
2 Chinna Borasawmy alias Parthasarathy minors by their mother and guardian Kuppathammal
3 Dawani Ammal

Borasawmy & Chinna Borasawmy alias Parthasarathy the 1st & 2nd Respondents were served on Thursday the 19th January 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Kuppathammal alleged to be the mother & guardian of the said Respondents as marked in pencil by the said Kuppathammal

and

Dawani Ammal the 3rd Respondent was served on Thursday the 19th January 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to D. Iyasawmy Moodelly alleged to be the husband of the said 3rd Respondent as signed in pencil by the said Iyasawmy

D. 26th January 88

C. Singaram
Bailiff

C. Singaram Clerk
Appl. Pltff.

1888 January 28th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2nd Respts Borasawmy and Chinna Borasawmy alias Parthasarathy (minors) on Thursday the 19th day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Kuppathammal the mother & guardian of the said 1st & 2nd Respts as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Kuppathammal.

And this Notice was served by the said Offr on the within named 3rd Respondent Dawani Ammal on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to D. Iyasawmy Moodr alleged to be the husband of the said 3rd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Iyasawmy Moodr.

28th Jany 88.

Sd/ S. Ramasamy Moodr
Sheriff

C.P.

Jany 28th 28/1/88

C.S. No. 14301 of 1886 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes. L.T.	A. Coopoo Chetty — Plaintiff and Mahalutchmee ammall and others — Defendants.	To The 1st Defendant only. 1. Mahalutchmee-ammall. 2. Parydasy Chetty 3. Gunnasaumy Chetty 4. Chinna Gunnappa Chetty And 5th Pulla Appuswamy Chetty	1888 January 17th Furnished with only one copy to be served on the 1st Defendant only — Mahalutchmee ammall the 1st Defendant was served personally on Monday the 23rd January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil 24th January 1888 C. Thalasinga Bailiff	1888 January 21st	1888 January 30th	This Notice was served by C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Defendant Mahalutchmee ammall on Monday the 23rd day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her. 25th January 1888 /Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff C.S.	1888 Jany 25th Es MCR.
Claimant Notice C.S. No. 100 of 1888 Outtu file of the Madras Court of Small Causes. Under Chapter 39 of Act 14 of 82. L.T.	C.P. Cunneappa Moodelly — Plaintiff and Narayanasawmy Pillay — Defendant and Venkatachella Moodelly — Claimant	To The Plaintiff C.P. Cunneappa Moodelly	1888 February 25th	24th	16th	This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff C.P. Cunneappa Moodelly on Wednesday the 25th day of January 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil. 26th January 1888 /Sgd/ C. Singaram Bailiff /Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff C.S.	1888 Jany 27th Es MCR.
Original Side Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 1 of 1888	Pappammah by her Nanamka Chari — Petitioner and Periatambi Markandeu Madura Pillai — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Periatambi Markandeu Madura Pillai	1888 February 24th	25	13th	This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioner Periatambi Markandeu Madura Pillai as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 13th February 1888 /Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff C.S.	1888 Feby 13th Es MCR. 13/2/88

Nanamka Chari Petr.
Periatambi Markandeu Madura Pillai C.P.

Appeal No. 29 of 1887 C.V.	Vedavalli Ammal by Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Iyer — Appellant and Singaravelu Naicken — Respondent	To The Respondent Singaravelu Naicken.	1888 Janry 24th	1888 Janry 26th	1888 March 19th	The Respondent Singaravelu Naicken was personally served on Monday the 20th February 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as marked by him in pencil. Dated 21st February 188. C.V. C. Rengaraw Bailiff.	Mr. T. Seshagiri Iyer Appellant's Vakil		This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Singaravelu Naicken on Monday the 20th day of February 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 22nd February 1888 C.V. /Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff C.S.	1888 Febry 22nd	Filed 22/2/88
2nd Appl. No. 111 of 1887 C.V.	Muthusami Pillay & 62 others by Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar & by Mr. T. Rama Row — Appellants and Siwarama Krishna Iyer & 3 others — Respondents	To The 4th Respondent Letchumi Narayana Iyer Son of Sadasiva Iyer.	Janr. 25th	Janr. 27th	Febr. 28th	The 4th Respondent Letchumi Narayana Iyer was personally served on Thursday the 23rd day of February 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed by him in ink on the original Notice Dated 25th February 1888 C.V. H. Thomas Bailiff	Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar Appellant's Counsel C.V.		This Notice was served by T. Annonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Respondent Letchumi Narayana Iyer on Thursday the 23rd day of February 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 27th February 1888 C.V. /Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff C.S.	February 27th	Filed 27/2/88.
C. M. Petn. No. 5 of 1888 C.V.	Arungiry Nattan by Mr. Srirungachary — Petr. appt. in O.S. 910/87 and Venkataramaniah Pantulu — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Venkataramaniah Pantulu	Janr. 28th	Janr. 30th	Febr. 20th	The Counter Petitioner Venkataramaniah Pantulu is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him 20th February 1883. Robertson Bailiff C.V.	Mr. Srirungachary Counter Petr's Counsel C.V.		This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioner Venkataramaniah Pantulu as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 20th February 1888 C.V. /Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff C.S.	February 20th	Filed 20/2/88.

Pauper

Original Side Appeal No. 3 of 1888 D.[illegible]	K. Puttasamy Chetty by Mr. C.V. Sundaram Sastriar — Appellant and K. Sadayandy Chetty and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. K. Sadayandy Chetty and 2. K. Veerammah	1888 January 30th	1888 Jany 31st	1888 March 5th	The 1st and 2nd Respondents K. Sadayandy Chetty and K. Veerammah were personally served on Tuesday the 31st January 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them in pencil. Dated 1st February 1888. C. Singaram Bailiff.	

Mr. C.V. Sundaram Sastriar Appellant's Vakil

Appeal No. 14 of 1888 D.[illegible]	Venkata Narayana Pillai alias Parthasaradhi Pillai and another by Mr. P.R. Sundara Iyer — Appellants and Venkoba Pillai and 4 others — Respondents	To The 5th Respondent Darmaraya Mudali	Febway 31st	April 2nd	April 4th	The 5th Respondent Darmaraya Mudali was personally served on Wednesday the 4th day of April 1888, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil, on the original Notice. Dated the 6th April 1888. A. Thomas Bailiff	

Mr. P.R. Sundara Iyer Appellant's Vakil

Appeal No. 200 of 1887 D.[illegible]	The Nawab Mahomed Abdoollah Khan Bahadur by Mssrs. Barclay and Morgan — Appellant and Khoorban Hussain Saheb and 10 others — Respondents	To 1st to 4th The Respondents 1. Khoorban Hoossain Saib 2. Sadutk Hoossain Saheb 3. Gulam Hussain Saheb 4. Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan	Febuy 1st	Febuy 2nd	March 20th	Khoorban Hoossain Saib, Sadutk Hoossain Saib, Gulam Hussain Saib, and Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan respectively the 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 4th Respondents were served personally on Saturday the 4th February 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil 6th February 1888 C. Singaram Bailiff	

Messrs. Barclay & Morgan Appellant's Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st & 2nd Respondents K. Sadayandy Chetty and K. Veerammah on Tuesday the 31st day of January 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

2nd February 1888

(Sig.) S. Ramasamy Mooda Sheriff

C.R.

1888 Febr. 2nd

This Notice was served by T. Suroo-nachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 5th Respondent Darmaraya Mudali on Wednesday the 4th day of April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

11th April 1888

(Sig.) S. Ramasamy Mooda Sheriff

April 11th

This Notice was served by A. Thalasamy an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondents Khoorban Hoossain Saib, Sadutk Hoossain Saheb, Gulam Hussain Saheb and Mahomed Jaffer Hussain Khan on Saturday the 4th day of February 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

10th February 1888

(Sig.) S. Ramasamy Mooda Sheriff

C.R.

February 10th

Appeal No 207 of 1887 D.F.	M. Parthasarathi Aiyengar by Vkl Sadagopachariar — Appellant and Ramanuja Aiyangar and 4 Others — Respondents	To The 4th Respondent Mungammal	1888 Febuary 1st	1888 Febuary 2d	1888 April 9th	The 4th Respondent Mungammal was served personally on Friday the 6th day of April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. Dated 6th April 1888. C Singaram Bailiff.	R Sadagopa Chariar Vkl for Appellant
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 23 of 1887 D.F.	K. Murugesam Chetty an infant by his next friend T. Munisamy Chetty by Vkl Biligiri Iyengar (Plaintiff) Respondent — Petitioner and Agarchand Sowcar (Defdt) — Appellant — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Agarchand Sowcar	6th	7th	March 2d	The Counter Petitioner Agarchand Sowcar was served personally on Tuesday the 7th February 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him Dated 8th February 1888. C Singaram. Bailiff.	Biligiri Iyengar Vkl for Petr.
Original Side Appeal No 1 of 1888 D.F.	The Madras Deposit and Benefit Society Limited by Vkl C. V. Sundram Pachier — Appellants and Ammani Ammal and others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Ammani Ammal 2. Sundaramma ll. and 3. M. Ponnusawmy Achary	January 30th	February 14th	March 5th	The Respondents Ammani Ammall Sundaramma ll & M. Ponnusawmy Achary the 1st 2d & 2d Respondents were personally served on Thursday the 1st March 88 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed in pencil by the said 3d Respondents & marked in pencil by the said 1st & 2d Respondents 2d March 88. O. Tholasingam Bailiff	Sundaramma Chariar Vkl for T. A.

This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Respondent Mungammal on Friday the 6th day of April 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her. 6th April 1888 / Sevd P Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff C.S.				1888 April 6th	6/4
This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Agarchand Sowcar on Tuesday the 7th day of February 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 10th February 1888 / Sevd P Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff C.S.				February 10th	8d
This Notice was Served by O Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 2d and 3d Respondents Ammani Ammal, Sundaramma ll and M Ponnusawmy Achary on Thursday the 1st day of March 1888 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively. 3d March 1888 / Sevd P Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff C.S.				March 3d	3/3

Appeal No 4 of 1888. C.J.	Donady Peddathumbu Maisiry by Mr P. Ananda Charlu — Appellant (Plaintiff) And Inspur Anuntha Chanar and others — Respondents (Defendants)	To The 3d Respondent 1. Inspur Anuntha Chanar 2. Baker Krishnat. 3. E. Lutchmiah and 4. Donady Rungiah Maisiry Minor by his guardian Assoor Ak Kiya Maisiry —	1888 Feby 13th	1888 Feby 15th	1888 March 26th	Furnished with only one copy to be served on the 3d Respondent only.	This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3d Respondent E Lutchmiah on Friday the 23d day of March/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 24th March 1888 / Seen S Ramasamy Mood Sheriff C.S.	1888 March 24th C.J.
		The 3d Respondent E Lutchmiah was served personally on Friday the 23d day of March 1888 by a copy of the within being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 24th March/88. C.J.				P. Ananda Charlu Appellant vs		
2d appl No 988 of 1886 C.J.	Ramaswami Reddi by Dr Mr. O. Parthasarathi Aiyengar Dans — Appellant And Ramaswami Mudaly — Respondent	To The Respondent Ramaswami Mudaly	13th	16th	13th	The Respondent Ramaswami Mudaly is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court, Madras, although search has been made for him. Dated this 12th March 1888. C.J.	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Ramaswami Mudaly as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him 13th March 1888 / Seen S Ramasamy Mood Sheriff C.S.	March 13th C.J. 13/3/88
Appeal No 26 of 1888. C.J.	N. Seepaija Sastre — Appellant And M. Anantammal and 3 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. M. Ananthammal 2. Minakshiammal 3. M. Subbarama Aeijar 4 D. Venkata Rao	July 24th	27th	2d	1 M. Ananthammall, 2 Minakshiammall, 3 M. Subbarama Aeijar, & 4 D. Venkata Rao the Respondents are not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras, although search has been made for them 2nd July. C. Tholasingam Bailiff C.J.	This Notice has not been served on the 1st 2d 3d and 4th Respondents M. Ananthammal Minakshiammal & Subbarama Aeijar and D. Venkata Rao as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them 2d July 1888 / Seen S Ramasamy Mood Sheriff C.S.	July 2d C.J.
						N. Seepaija Sastre Appellant vs M. Ananthammal & others Respondents		

Appeal No. 5 of 1888. K.V.	Appeal No.	And another minors by their maternal Uncle and guardian A.L. Nagappa Chetty by Mr. R. Balajee Row — Appellants (Defendants) and P.C. Palaniappa Chetty — Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent P.C. Palaniappa Chetty	1888 February 20th	1888 February 28th	1888 April 9th	The Respondent P.C. Palaniappa Chetty was served personally on Sunday the 11th March 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 12th March 1888. K.V. C. Singaravelu Bailiff
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 30 of 1888. K.V.		Dorasamy and 2 others by Mr. C.V. Sundram Pastrear — Petitioners (Respts in appeal No. 17 of 87) and C. Muthulinga Achari — Counter-Petitioner (Appt in appeal No. 17/87)	To The Counter-Petitioner C. Muthulinga Achari	March 29th	March 1st	March 23rd	The Counter-Petitioner C. Muthulinga Achari was personally served on Tuesday the 6th day of March 1888. by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 6th March 1888. K.V. S. Thirunavukkarasu Bailiff
C.R. P. No. 6 of 1888 K.V.		G. Veeriah & Co. by Mr. J.H. Nelson — Petitioner and Thiruvengadathan Chetty and Co. — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioners Thiruvengadathan Chetty & Co.	April 28th	April 1st	April 6th	The Counter-Petitioner Thiruvengadathan Chetty & Co was served on Thursday the 5th April 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to C. Chellum Chetty a partner in the firm of Messrs Thiruvengadathan Chetty & Co as signed in pencil by the said Chellum Chetty for the said Thiruvengadathan Chetty & Co. 5th April 88. A. Tholasingum Bailiff

R. Balajee Row Appellants Vakil

Mr. J.H. Nelson Petitioners Counsel

C.V. Sundaram Pastrear Petitioners Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P.C. Palaniappa Chetty on Sunday the 11th day of March 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 1888 March 21st 21/3. 2s

21st March 1888 /Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mooppen Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by T. Arunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner C. Muthulinga Achari on Thursday the 15th day of March 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. March 1888 15/3. 2s

15th March 1888 /Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mooppen Sheriff

C.P.

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Thiruvengadathan Chetty & Co on Thursday the 5th day of April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to C. Chellum Chetty a partner in the said Thiruvengadathan Chetty & Co as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said C. Chellum Chetty. April 1888 6/4. 2s

6th April 1888 /Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mooppen & Co. Sheriff

C.P.

Pauper

Civil
Misc
Petition
No
4 of
1888

Mahomed Hoosain and 2 others minors by their Guardian Pacha Meanah Mr. P. Kalyana Ramaiyer — Petitioners

and

The Nungambakam Dhana Rakshaka Nithi (Limited) — Counter Petitioners

To
1. The Counter Petrs The Nungambakam Dhana Rakshaka Nithi (Limited)
and
2. The Government Solicitor

1888 Feby 29th
1888 March 5th
1888 March 23d

C. Barclay Esqr the government Solicitor was served on Tuesday the 6th March 1888 and signed by him on behalf of the counter Petitioner the Nungambakam Dhana Rakshaka Nithi (Limited) and served on Friday the 9th March 1888 a copy of the notice being delivered to the R. Balajee Row vakil for the said counter Petitioners as signed by the said R. Balajee Row vakil.
Dated 10th March 1888. Wingate Bailiff

Appeal
No
5 of
1888.

M. Raghavulu Naidu and 2 Others by Mr. C. V. Sundram Pantu — Appellants (Plaintiffs)

and

M. Raghavulu Naidu and another — Respondents (Defendants)

To
The Respondents
1. M. Raghavulu Naidu
and
2. Iyagaraya Naicker

14th Feby
6th March
26th March

M. Raghavulu Naidu the 1st Respondent was served on Thursday the 8th March 88 on Iyagaraya Naicker on the 7th March 88 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil.
8th March 88. A. Tholasingam Bailiff

Second
Appeal
No
1208
of 1887

Kanja bai by Mr. P. V. Ramaswami Raju — Appellant

and

Low Kar Shamshudien Saheb — Respondent

To
The Respondent
Low Kar Shamshudien Saheb.

7th March
8th March
10th April

The Respondent Low Kar Shamshudien Saheb is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court, search was accordingly made for him
10th April 88. A. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mr. S. Kalyana Rama Iyer
Appellants Vakil.

Mr. C. V. Sundram Pantu
Appellants Vakil

Mr. C. V. Ramaswami Raju
Appellants Counsel

This notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on Ernest Barclay Esquire Government Solicitor on Tuesday the 6th day of March 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. And this notice was served by the said Officer on the within named Counter-Petitioners Nungumbakam Dhana Rakshaka Nithi (Limited) on Friday the 9th day of March 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to R. Balajee Row Vakil for the said Counter Petitioners as appears by the above endorsement made by him.
20th March 1888
(Sd) P. Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff
C.P.

1888 March 20th
20/3/88
C.S.

This notice was served by A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Iyagaraya Naicker on Wednesday the 7th day of March 1888 and on the within named 1st Respondent M. Raghavulu Naidu on Thursday the 8th day of March 1888 by copies of the notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.
(Sd) P. Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff
15th March 1888
C.P.

March 15th
15/3.
C.S.

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Low Kar Shamshudien Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.
(Sd) S. Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff
10th April 1888
C.P.

April 10th
10/4/88
C.S.

Claimant
C.S.
No.
236
of 1887
Q.T.

Soondara Chanier — Plaintiff

and

W.S. Venkataramanjulu Naidu
W.S. Seetha Ramasawmy Naidu

and

W.S. Kothandaramasawmy

— Defendant

and

Pookkpakantham — Claimant.

To
The Plaintiff
Soondara Chanier

1888 March 6th
1888 March 8th
1888 March 9th

P.T. Krishnaswamy Chetty
Claimant's Vakil

Q.T.

Two small brass Kinnums, one brass Coffee Chemboo, Six brass plates of sizes, Six wall brackets without shades, Two large English pictures, one small Silver bowl, One Silver tumbler, one Silver Thonay, one Silver Saucer, one Silver Chemboo with spout, Thirty Silver toys (small) one ladys Silver waist belt (gilted) one Plate, one nut cracker, Two Chemboo.

One Veenay mounted with ivory and mother O'Pearl in a Case.
One Teak wood Chest of drawers (old), One Veenay (without Case), One Rose-wood Office box (with Key) One large rosewood almirah, One Rosewood Cabinet in two parts with glass front, One Argand hanging lamp (Incomplete) One Violin (old), One Childs teakwood Cot with rails, One Rosewood lounging couch, One small round teapoy with marble top, Two small punkahs One pair teakwood Cots, One teakwood round table (broken), One Teak-wood Cloths Stand, One Cushion bottomed armless Chair, One large new brass pots, one large brass Gunda, Pradukka Chattees (brass) One Copper Chatty (Small) one Brass Spittoon, One large brass pot (Thalalai) one large Brass Gunda on Stand, one large Copper Thakkolas, one brass Lamp Two Copper Thukka Chattees, One large brass Gunda, Two small Copper thalalais Two small Copper Chattees One small Copper pot, one brass chemboo one brass chemboo (Small) one Brass Bogani, one Copper Bogani

This Notice was served by me O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Soondara Chanier on Thursday the 8th day of March 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr C.N. Nammah Chetty Vakil for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed in pencil by the said C.N. Nammah Chetty.

8th March 1888

Q.T.

Sevg O. Tholasingam
Bailiff

Sevg E Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

C.S.

1888 March 9th

Second Appeal No 1834 of 1888 L.C.	Raju Chettiar by Mr. K. Subrahmanyam — Appellant and Purushothama Mudaliar minor by his Estate Receiver Sabbapathe Mudaliar — Respondent	To The Respondent	1888 March 12th	1888 March 13th	1888 April 16th	Sabbapathy Mandaliar Receiver in the Estate of Purushothamen — Mandaliar (minor) the Respondent was served personally on Saturday the 14th April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 14th April 1888 L.C. C. Singaram Bailiff	Mr. K. Subrahmanyam Appellant's Vakil to Consult	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Purushothama Mudaliar (Minor) on Saturday the 14th day of April/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the within named Sabbapathi Mudaliar alleged to be the Estate Receiver of the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Sabbapathi Mudaliar. 14th April 1888 / Sign'd S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff L.C.	1888 April 14th C.W.
2d appl No 196 of 1888 L.C.	L. Ande Lakshmi by Mr. K. Subramanyam — Appellant and Bankat Lala & 3 others — Respondents	To The 4th Respt Wilson & Co Akbar Contractor	15th	17th	16th	The 4th Respondent Wilson & Co were served on Friday the 6th day of April 1888, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. J. O. Crawford a Partner in M/s Wilson & Co as signed by the said Mr J. O. Crawford. ~~for the said 4th Respondent~~ Dated 9th April 1888. L.C.	do	This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Respondent Wilson & Co on Friday the 6th day of April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. J. O. Crawford a partner in the said Wilson & Co as appears by the endorsement made by the said Mr. J. O. Crawford. 13th April 1888 / Sign'd S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff L.C.	April 13th C.W. 13/4/88
Notice to attaching creditor C. S. No 22614 of 1885 on the file of the District Court of Tanjore L.C.	1. Veerasawmy Naicken 2. Veeraperoomall Naicken 3. Govindappa Naicken and 4. Moothoosawmy Naicken — Plaintiffs and 1. T. Kristnasawmy Naidu 2. T. Venkatarengum Naidu and 3. T. Lutchmeepathy Naidu — Defendants and T. Rungaraumall — Claimant	To The 2d Plaintiff Veeraperoomall Naicken	17th	20th	28th	This Notice to be served upon the 2d Plaintiff herein vide Letter of 20th March/88 from the Vakil herein L.C.	P. R. Sokanda Mudaliar Claimant's Vakil	As the within named 2d Plaintiff Veraperoomall Naicken could not be found a Copy of this Notice was under instructions from Mr. T. R. Gopala Charlu Vakil for the Claimant herein on Tuesday the 27th day of March/88 affixed by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the door of the last Setwate in western side of Trivattore High Road Old Washermanpettah Madras in which the said 2d Plaintiff is said to be Ordinarily residing. 27th March 1888 / Sign'd C. Singaram Bailiff L.C. / Sign'd S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff	March 28th C.W. 28/3/88

C. M. P. No 270 of 1888 G.V.	K. Durlabha Subudhi & 3 others by Mr. Michell — Petitioners and Kadambi Srinivasa Charlu — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitr. Kadambi Srinivasa Charlu	1888 March 19th	1888 March 20th	1888 April 16th	Mr. Michell Petitioners Counsel	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Kadambi Srinivasa Charlu on Tuesday the 27th day of March 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 9th April 1888 / Sigd / S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff C.S. G.V.	1888 April 9th Rs
Appeal No 12 of 1888 G.V.	Rajagopala Pillai by Wm. W. Grant — Appellant and Valu Pillay and another — Respondents	To The 2d Respondent Srinivasa Pillay.	23d	26th	August 13th	Mr. W. Grant Appellants Counsel	This Notice was served by C. Singaravelan Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Srinivasa Pillay on Thursday the 8th day of May 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Narayanaswamy Pillay alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2d Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Narayanaswamy Pillay for the said 2d Respondent. 5th July 1888 / Sewd / S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff G.V.	July 5th C.S.
		The 2d Respondent Srinivasa Pillay was served on Tuesday the 8th May 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to his brother Narayanaswamy Pillay and signed in pencil for Srinivasa Pillay. Dated 1st June 1888. G.V.						
Second Appeal No 56 of 1888 G.V.	Manjunatha Chetty by Mr. K. Narasinha Row — Appellant and Vaikunta Rai and 6 others — Respondents	To The 7th Respondent Vitoba.	23d	26th	April 23rd	Mr. K. Narasinha Row Appellants Counsel	This Notice has not been served on the 7th Respondent Vitoba as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 23d April 1888 / Sewd / S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff G.V.	April 23d Rs
		The 7th Respondent Vitoba is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him. Dated 23d April 1888. G.V. C. Singaram Bailiff.						

Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 5 of 1888	Arumoogum Nattan by Vr Srinivasa Chariar — Petitioner (Appl. 21 940/87) and Venkatramaniah Puntulu — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Venkatramaniah Puntulu	1888 March 24th	1888 March 26th	1888 April 16th	As the within named Venkataramaniah Puntulu the Counter Petitioner could not be found a copy of this notice was on Saturday the 14th day of April/88 affixed by me O. Thalasingam an officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 20 Singara Chari on street Triplicane within the local limits of Madras in which the said Counter Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing 16th April/88.	O. Thalasingam Bailiff	
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 258 of 1888	Katyabi by Vr Ramaswamy Raju — Petitioner (Appl. 21 120/87) and Shumsuddien Saheb — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Shumsuddien Saheb	April 24th	April 26th	April 23rd	The Counter Petitioner Shumsuddien Saheb is not to be found within the local limits of Madras — although search has been made for him. Dated 23rd April 1888. Wingaram		
Original Side Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 9 of 1888	V. Rungiah Chetty and 5 others appts in O.S. Appl 9/88 by Vr S. Subbiah Chetty — Petitioners and P. Rowlandson Esqr and another — Counter-Petitioners	To 1st P. Rowlandson Esquire and 2nd S. Subroyalu Chetty	April 9th	April 9th	April 20th	P. Rowlandson Esqr and S. Subroyalu Chetty were served personally on Monday the 9th April 1888 by copies of the notice being delivered to them & signed by them. Dated 10th April/88 Wingaram Bailiff	Vr S. Subbiah Chetty Petitioners Atty	O.S.

As Venkataramaniah Puntulu the within named Counter-Petitioner could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Saturday the 14th day of April 1888 affixed on the outer door of the House No 20 Singara Chariar street Triplicane Madras, in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing. 16th April 1888 / Signed / S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff.	1888. April 16th	1888
This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter-Petitioner Shumsuddien Saheb as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 23rd April 1888 / Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff	April 23rd	1888
This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners P. Rowlandson Esqr. and S. Subroyalu Chetty on Monday the 9th day of April 1888 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively. 11th April 1888 / Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudr. Sheriff	April 11th	11/4/88

Appeal No 8 of 1888	Mysore Subbammal by Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant (Plaintiff) and Mysore Venkata Row — Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent Mysore Venkata Row	1888 April 5th	1888 April 9th	1888 April 24th	This Notice was served by Mr Tholasingiam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mysore Venkata Row on Monday the 23rd day of April/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 24th April 1888 (Sgd) P Ramasamy Sheriff C.S.	1888 April 24th	
			The Deft Venkata Row personally on 23rd April/88 to him as signed by him in pencil 23rd April/88.	Mysore was served on Monday the 23rd April/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered O Tholasingiam Bailiff	Messrs Branson & Branson Advocates			
Original Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 10 of 1888.	A.L.K. Vyraven Chetty and another Minors by their guardian A.L. Nagappa Chetty by Mr K. Brown and Mr E. Appadoorai Moodaliar — Petitioner and P.C. Palaniappa Chetty — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner P.C. Palaniappa Chetty	9th	10th	20th	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner P.C. Palaniappa Chetty on Wednesday the 11th day of April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 13th April 1888 (Sgd) S. Ramasamy Moodr Sheriff	April 13th	
			The counter-Petitioner P.C. Palaniappa Chetty was served personally on Wednesday the 11th April 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 12th April 1888. C Singaram Bailiff.					
Appeal Against Order No 40 of 1888	Kuppa Gunakkal by Mr M. Suranga chariar — Appellant and G. Mahadeva Chetty and 2 others — Respondents	To The Respondents 1st Gopatti Maha-deva Chetti 2nd Gopatti Subraya Chetti and 3rd Gopatti Vasadasajalu Chetty	12th	16th July	13th	This Notice was served by J. Arunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st and 3rd Respondents Gopatti Mahadeva Chetty and Gopatti Vasada-jalu Chetty on Saturday the 21st day of April/88 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2nd Respondent Gopatti Subraya Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said 1st Respondent for the said 2nd Respondent. 25th April 1888 (Sgd) S Ramasamy Moodr Sheriff C.S.	April 25th	
			The 1st and 3rd Respondents Gopatti Mahadeva Chetti and Gopatti Vasadarajulu Chetti were severally served personally on Saturday the 21st day of April 1888, by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them, as signed by them in ink and the 2nd Respondent Gopatti Subraya Chetti was served on the same day, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Gopatti Mahadeva Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2nd Respondent, as signed in ink for the said 2nd Respondent, on the original Notice. Dated this 24th April 1888.	J Arunachellam Bailiff				

Second Appeal No. 988 of 1888 O.S.	Ramaswami Reddy by Mr. M. O. Partha-sarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Ramaswami Moodelly — Respondent	To The Respondents	1888 April 14th	1888 April 17th	1888 July 16th	The Respondent Ramaswami Mudally is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him. 16th July 88. C. Tholasingam Bailiff	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Ramaswami Mudally as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 16th July 1888 Seen J. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	1888. July 16th
Appeal Against Order No. 48 of 1888 O.S.	Rangesa Row by Mr. V. Krishnaswami Aiyar — Appellant and R. G. Arr Esquire and 2 others — Respondents	To The 3rd Respondent Edwin Fulcher Stranack Esqr Treasurer and Secretary of Commercial Bank	The 3rd Respondent Edwin Fulcher Stranack Esqr was served personally on Thursday the 19th April/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him 19th April 1888 S Holmes				This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3rd Respondent Edwin Fulcher Stranack Esqr. on Thursday the 19th April 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 20th April 1888 Seen J. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	April 20th
O.S. Appeal No. 12 of 1888. O.S.	A. M. L. Latchmanan Chetty by Mr. T. R. Ramanja Chariar — Appellant (Plff) and N. A. Annamalai Chetty — Respondent (Defdt)	To The Respondent N. A. Annamalai Chetty	9th	20th	4th	The Respondent N. A. Annamalai Chetty served personally on Saturday the 21st April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 21st April 1888. C. Singaram Bailiff	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent N. A. Annamalai Chetty on Saturday the 21st day of April 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 24th April 1888 Seen J. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	April 24th

Wm. H. O. Partha Sarathy Iyengar Appellant's Vakil

V. Krishnaswami Aiyar Appellant's Vakil

T. R. Ramanja Chariar Appellant's Vakil

Civil Mis. P. No. 344 of 88. L.V.	Rajagopalu Pillay by Mr. W. Grant — Petitioner (Appt in appl 62/88)	To The 2d Counter Petitioner Srinivasa Pillay.	1888 April 20.	1888 April 21.	1888 July 16	The 2d Counter Petitioner Srinivasa Pillay was served on Tuesday the 3d May 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to his brothers Narayanasawmy Pillay and signed in pencil for Srinivasa Pillay. 1st June 1888. L.V. / (Sd) Singaram Bailiff.
	and Velu Pillay and another — Counter Petitioners (Respts in do)					
Pauper Original Side Appeal No. 11 of 1888 L.V. /	Anandiammal by Mr. C. N. Namasivaya Chettiar — Appellant (1st Defendant)	To The 1st and 2d Respondents 1. Ammayee Ammall and 2. Ekambara Naicken.	17th	25th	4th	
	and 1. Ammayee Ammall and 2. Ekambara Naicken — Respondent (Plff + 2d Defts)					The 1st Respondent Ammayee Ammall was served personally on Thursday the 26th April & the 2d Respondent Ekambara Naicken was served personally on Friday the 27th April 88 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as marked in pencil by the said 1st Respondent & signed in pencil by the said 2d Respondent 28th April 1888. (Sd) A. Thalasingam Bailiff L.V. /

C. N. Namasivaya Chettiar — Appellant
Mr. W. Grant — Proctor
Ekambara Naicken — Respondent

	1888.	This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Counter Petitioner Srinivasa Pillay on Tuesday the 8th day of May 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Narayanasawmy Pillay alleged to be the adult brother of the said 2d Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Narayanasawmy Pillay for the said 2d Counter Petitioner 5th July 1888 (Sd) J Ramasamy Mudd Sheriff L.V.
	July 5th	
Cvd		
WK		
	April 28th	This Notice was served by A Thalasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Ammayee Ammall on Thursday the 26th day of April 1888 and on the within named 2d Respondent Ekambara Naicken on Friday the 27th day of April 88 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them 28th April 1888 (Sd) J Ramasamy Mudd Sheriff L.V. CC
1888		

Original Side Appeal No. 10 of 1888. L.P.

V. Rungiah Chetty and 5 others by Mr. S. Subbiah Chetty — Appellants

and

F. Rowlandson Esqr. Official assignee and as such the assignee of Shunmuga Mudelliar's Estate and 158 others — Respondents.

To

The 1st to 5th, 8th, 9th and 11th Respondents.

1888 April 20th

1888 April 27th

1888 July 2d.

1. F. Rowlandson Esqr. Official Assignee
2. T. Subrayalu Chetty
3. Jogee Doss
4. Singojee Lala
5. Sabapathy Chetty.
8. Manicka Chetty.
9. Subba Raju
and
11. Matharoya Saleth.

F. Rowlandson Esqr. official Assignee was served on Friday the 27th April Jogee Doss agent Ruthun Singh, Singojee Lala and Matharoya Saleth were served on Monday the 30th April 1888. Subrayalu Chetty, Jathee Theruvengada Chetty, Subapathy Chetty and Manicka Chetty's brother in law Sevathambara Chetty were served on Tuesday the 1st May 1888 each by a copy of the Notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them and Subba Raju is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 2d July 1888.

L.P.

(Sig.) S. Singaram
Bailiff

This Notice was served by S. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent F. Rowlandson Esquire Official Assignee on Friday the 27th April 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

This Notice was served on the 3rd Respondent Jogee Doss on Monday the 30th April 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Ruthin Singh alleged to be the agent of the said Jogee Doss as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Ruthin Singh.

This Notice was served on the 4th and 11th Respondents Singojee Lala and Matharoya Saleth on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

This Notice was served on the 2nd Respondent T. Subrayalu Chetty on Tuesday the 1st May 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Theruvengada Chetty alleged to be the father of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Theruvengada Chetty.

This Notice was served on the 5th Respondent Sabapathy Chetty on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

This Notice was served on the 8th Respondent Manicka Chetty on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Seva Sethambara Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 8th Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Seva Sethambara Chetty.

And this Notice has not been served on the 9th Respondent Subba Raju as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

2d July 1888

(Sig.) S. Ramasamy
Sheriff

1888 July 2d. 1/0

Original Side Appeal No. 14 of 1888. L.P.

T. V. Tatjaram Row by Mr. Krishtnasawmy Chetty — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

T. V. Lutchmana Row — Respondent (Defendant)

To

The Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row

30th May 1888

7th July 1888

4th July 1888

The Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row was personally served on Friday the 22nd day of June 1888, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice.

Dated this 25th June 1888.

L.P.

(Sig.) P. Krishnasawmy Chetty
Bailiff

This Notice was served by T. Auroosamy Pillay an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. V. Lutchmana Row, on Friday the 22nd day of June 1888, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him as appears by the above Endorsement in pencil made by him.

3d July 1888

(Sig.) S. Ramasamy
Sheriff.

1888 July 3d. C.C. 1/0

C. M. Petition No. 14. of 1888.	Chinna Ammoyee (1st Deft) — Petitioner — and — Oothucadu Appu Chetty Ff — Counter Petr.	To The Counter Petitioner Oothucadu Appu Chetty.	1888 April 26th	1888 May 10th	1888 July 9th	The Counter Petitioner Oothucadu Appu Chetty was served personally on Thursday the 10th May 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him and a signed by him in pencil Dated 10th June 1888 G. Singaram Bailiff.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Oothucadu Appu Chetty on Thursday the 10th day of May 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 5th July 1888 Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	1888 July 5th
Second Appeal No. 462 of 1888.	E. Gibson Esqr. Receiver in Charge of the Tanjore Palace Estate by Puttahi Ramiah — Appellant. and. Anantha Krishna Vathiar — Respdt.	To The Respondent Anantha krishna Vathiar. is not to the local search has been 13th August 1888.				The Respondent Anantha krishna Vathiar be found within the limits of Madras although search has been made for him. C. Tholasingam Bailiff	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Anantha Krishna Vathiar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 14th August 1888 Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	Augt 14th
Second Appeal No. 464 of 1888.	E. Gibson Esqr. Receiver in Charge of Tanjore palace Estate by Hon. Ck. Puttahi Ramier — Appellant. — and — Ramachendra Rao — Respondent.	To The Respondt. Ramachendra Rao				The Respondent Ramachendra Rao was served personally on the 12th august 88 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 10th august 88. C. Tholasingam Bailiff	This Notice was served by C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Ramachendra Rao on Sunday the 12th day of August 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 14th August 1888 Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood Sheriff	Augt 14th

Pauper

Original Side Appeal No. 15 of 1888. C.V.

Mahomed Hoossain and 2 others minors by their guardian Pacha Meah
- Appellant (4th & 5th & 6th Defts.)

and

The Nungambakum Dhana Rakshaka Nithie (Limited)
- Respondent (Plaintiff)

To
The Respondent
The Secretary
Nungambakum
Dhana Rakshaka
Nithie (Limited)

1888 July 11th

The Respondent the Secretary Nungambakum Dhana Rakshaka Nithie (Limited) was served on Tuesday the 11th August 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ramdoss Naidoo the secretary of the said Nithie and signed by him in pencil.
Dated 15th August 1888.
C. Singaram Bailiff
C.V.

1888 July 12th

J. Kalayana Ramiah Deputy Fiscal

1888 Aug. 16th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondent C. Ramdoss Naidoo the Secretary to the within named Nungambakum Dhana Rakshaka Nithie (Limited) on Tuesday the 14th day of August 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
16th August 1888
(Sgd) P. Ramasawmy Mudr
C.V. C.S. Sheriff

Civil Misc Petition No. 432 of 1888. C.V.

P. Runga Row by
Mr. V. Kristnasawmy Iyer
- Petitioner (Appl. in Civil No. 48/88)

and

1. Mr. Robert Gordon Orr
2. Mr. Jermiah Ryan
and
3. Mr. Edwin Fulcher Stranack
- Counter Petitioner (Respts in do)

To
The 3rd Counter Petitioner
Mr. Edwin Fulcher Stranack

July 11th 14th 30th

The 3rd Counter-Petitioner Mr. Edwin Fulcher Stranack was served personally on this the 19th July 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him.
Dated 21st July 1888
C.V. A. Coopes.

Mr. V. Kristnasawmy Iyer Proctor for Petitioner

Mr. V. Kristnasawmy Iyer Proctor for Petitioner

July 21st

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Counter-Petitioner Mr. Edwin Fulcher Stranack on Thursday the 19th day of July 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.
21st July 1888
(Sgd) S. Ramasawmy Mudr
Sheriff
C.V.

C. Mis Petition No. 512 of 1888. G.T.

The District Judge of North Arcot — Petitioner

and

P. Seethapathy Naidu and 2 others — Counter Petitioners

(Pet and Depts in C.S. No 12 of 1888 on the file of the Dist Court of N. Arcot)

To
1. The Counter Petitioners P. Pondicherra Seethapathy Naidu
and
2. N. Shadagopa Chariar

1888	1888	1888
July	July	August
18th	20th	13th

The 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners P. Seethapathy Naidu and N. Shadagopa Chariar were severally served personally on Tuesday and Wednesday the 7th and 8th days of August 1888 respectively, by copies of the Notice, being delivered to them as signed by them in ink, on the original Notice.

Dated 9th August 88.

G.T.

P. Viswanatha Chetty
Bailiff

This Notice was Served by T. Suroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent P. Seethapathy Naidu on Tuesday the 7th day of August 1888 and on the 2nd Respondent N. Shadagopa Chariar on Wednesday the 8th day of August 88 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

10th August 1888

(Sd) P. Ramasamy Mood
C.S. Sheriff

1888. Augt 10th

2nd Appl. No. 719 of 1888 G.T.

V. Ganapaty by Mr. S. Ramasawmy Mood — Appellant

and

K. Dhondu Rao — Respt

To
The Respondent K. Dhondu Rao.

July	Augt	Sept
28th	1st	11th

The Respondent K. Dhondu Rao was served personally on Wednesday the 8th day of Augt 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him.

Dated this 30th August 88.

G.T.

O. Thalasingam
Bailiff

This Notice was Served by O. Thalasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Dhondu Rao on Wednesday the 29th day of August 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

7th September 1888

(Sd) P. Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

Sept. 7th C.S.

Original Side Civil M.P. No 20 of 1888. G.T.

M. Munisawmy Moodelly by Mr. T. Subbiah Chetty — Petitioner

(Appt in appl 1888)

and

Mungathammall — Counter-Petitioner

To
The Counter Petitioner Mungathammall

Augt	Augt	Septr
3rd	7th	3rd

The Counter Petitioner Mungathammall was served personally on Saturday the 18th day of August 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.

Dated 20th August 1888.

G. Singaram
Bailiff.

G.T.

Mr. T. Subbiah Chetty for the Petitioner

Mr. S. Ramasawmy Mood for the Appellant

This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Mungathammall on Saturday the 18th day of August 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

24th August 1888

(Sd) P. Ramasawmy Mood
Sheriff

August 24th C.S.

G.T.

2d
Appeal
Nos
754
and
755
of 1888.
K.T.

Madura Muthue Mudaliar in 754
and
Munisami Mudali and another in 755 — 3 by
Mr C Ramachandra Rao — Appellants.

and

Sahadeva Naicken and another in 754 and Subbaraya Reddy and another in 755 — Respondents

To 1st 2d
The Respondents
1. Sahadeva Naicken
and
2. Munisami achary

1888 August 6d
1888 August 8d
1888 Sept 18th

Sahadeva Naicken and Munisami achairy the 1st and 2d Respondents were severally served personally on Friday the 7th day of September 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed in ink by the said 1st Respondent and in pencil by the said 2d Respondent on the original Notice.

Dated 10th September 1888

K.T.

Sd/ Ramaswamy Mudali
Bailiff

1888
Sept 12th
K.T.

This Notice was Served by T Aurosmachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st and 2d Respondents Sahadeva Naicken and Munisami Acharry on Friday the 7th day of September 88 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.

12th September 1888

Sd/ T Ramasamy Mudali
Sheriff

K.T.

Mr C Ramachandra Rao
Appellant's Vakil

Officer

Appeal
No
26
of 88.
K.T.

N. Suraiya Sastriar by
Mr Ethiraja Mudaliar
— Appellant

and

M. Anantammal and 3 others — Respondents

To
The 1:2:3 and 4 Respondents.
1. M. Anantammal
2. Meenatshi ammal
3. M. Subbarama Iyer.
and
4. Venkata Row

Augt 9d
Augt 10th
Sept 17th

M. Anantammal, Meenatshi ammal, M. Subbarama Iyer, & Venkata Row respectively the 1st 2d 3d & 4th Respondents were severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them

15th Sept 88

K.T.

D. Tholasingum
Bailiff

Mr Ethiraja
Appellant's Vakil

D.T.

1888
Sept 15th
K.T.

This Notice has not been Served on the Respondents M. Anantammal, Meenatshi Ammal, M. Subbarama Iyen and Venkata Row as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them

15th Sept 88

Sd/ T Ramasamy Mudali
Sheriff

K.T.

Original Side Appeal No 10 of 1888	V. Rungiah Chetty and 5 others by Mr. S. Subbiah Chetty — Appellants and F. Rowlandson Esquire Official assignee and as such the assignee of Shunmuga Mudeliar's Estate and 15 others — Respondents	To The 6th Respondent Veerappa Chetty	1888 Augt 8th	1888 August 14th	1888 Sept. 3rd	The 6th Respondent Veerappa Chetty was served on Saturday the 18th day of August 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to V. Rungasawmy Chetty alleged to be the brother-in-law of the said Veerappa Chetty and signed in pencil by the said Rungasawmy Chetty for the said Veerappa Chetty. Dated 20th August 1888. A.T.	C. Singaram Bailiff	This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 6th Respondent Veerappa Chetty on Saturday the 18th day of August 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Rungasawmy Chetty alleged to be the brother-in-law of the said 6th Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Rungasawmy Chetty for the said 6th Respondent. 24th August 1888 A.T.	1888. August 24th	Serd J Ramasamy Mood Sheriff
do	do	To The 9th Respondent Subba Raju	13th	14th	3rd	The 9th Respondent Subba Raju was served personally on Tuesday the 14th August 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him and signed by him in part. Dated 15th August 1888. A.T.	C. Singaram Bailiff.	This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 9th Respondent Subba Raju on Tuesday the 14th day of August 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 16th August 1888 A.T.	Augt 16th	Serd J Ramasamy Mood Sheriff
Claimant C.P. No 55 of 82.	Mahomed Abdool Lateef — Plaintiff and Mrs H R C Dontet — Defendant Moorthee Veeratasem Chetty — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Mahomed Abdool Lateef	Augt 16th	20th	29th		Grant and Laing Claimants Attys	This Notice has not been served on the within named Plaintiff Mahomed Abdool Lateef as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the Hight Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 29th August 1888 A.T.	Augt 29th	Serd V Aurunachellum Bailiff Serd J Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

Original Side Appeal No 20 of 1888 Dist	K. B. Atchiah Naidu by Mr. P. Ananda Charlu — Appellant (Plff) and Gaddipaty Atchiah Naidu — Respondent (Deft)	To The Respondent Gaddipaty	1888 August 17th	1888 August 21st	1888 November 12th	Gaddipaty Atchiah Naidu the Respondent was served personally on Thursday the 23rd day of August/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated this 27th August/88. O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Original Side Appeal No 18 of 1888 Dist	C. Krishnasamy Naidu by Mr. R Balajee Row — Appellant (Plff) and P. Rungasamy Naidu and others — Respondents (Deft)	To The Respondents 1. P. Rungasamy Naidu 2. C. Gungajee Ammal 3. C. Munisamy Naidu and 4. C. Venkata Samy Naidu	1888 August 17th	1888 August 24th	1888 September 12th	The 1st and 4th Respondents P. Rungasamy Naidu and C. Venkatasamy Naidu were served on Thursday the 23rd day of August 1888 and the 3rd Respondent C. Munisamy Naidu was served on Friday the 12th October 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them. And the 2nd Respondent C. Gungajee Ammal was served on Thursday the 4th October 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to C. Venkatasamy Naidu alleged to be the grandson of the said Gungajee Ammal as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Venkatasamy Naidu. Dated 2nd October 1888. C. Singaram Bailiff
2nd appl No 988 of 86 Dist	Ramasamy Reddy by Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar — Appellant and Ramasamy Mudali & Co — Respondents	To The Respondent Ramasamy Mooda	August 24th	August 27th	September 26th	The Respondent Ramasamy Mudali was served personally on Sunday the 23rd day of September 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. Dated 24th September 1888. C. Singaram. Bailiff

Mr. P. Ananda Charlu Appellant's Vakil

O. Tholasingum

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Gaddipaty Atchiah Naidu on Thursday the 23rd day of August 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil — more by him.

30th August 1888

/Sign/ S. Ramasawmy Mood. Sheriff

1888 August 30th

Mr. R. Balajee Row Appellant's Vakil

Mr. M. O. Parthasarathy Iyengar Appellant's Counsel

C. Singaram

This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 4th Respondents P. Rungasawmy Naidu and C. Venkatasawmy Naidu on Thursday the 4th day of October 1888 and on the within named 3rd Respondent C. Munisamy Naidu on Friday the 12th day of October 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent C. Gungajee Ammall on Thursday the 4th day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 4th Respondent C. Venkatasawmy Naidu alleged to be the ~~grandson~~ grandson of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 4th Respondent.

23rd October 1888

/Sign/ Ramasawmy Mood. Sheriff

1888 October 23rd C.S.

This Notice was Served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respt. Ramasawmy Mood. on Sunday the 23rd day of Sept/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

24th September 1888

/Sign/ S. Ramasawmy Mudr. Sheriff

1888 September 24th C.S.

Appeal against order No 74 of 1888 L.J.	Pacheappa Mudali by Mr. O. D. Shaw — Appellant and Kuppusami Pillai & ors — Respondents	To The 1st Respt Kuppusami Pillai	1888 Augt 24th	1888 Augt 27th	1888 Septr 28th	The 1st Respondent Kuppusami Pillai was personally served on Thursday the 13th day of September 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the original Notice. Dated this 15th September 1888 } S. Thiruvengadachariar Bailiff L.J.	Mr. O. D. Shaw appellant's Counsel	This Notice was served by I Aurovanachellum and Officers of the Shuff on the within named 1st Respt Kuppusami Pillai on Thursday the 13th day of September 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him 19th September 1888 } (Seed) I Ramasamy Mudy Shuff L.J.	1888 Septr 19th C.P.
C.M.P. No 650 of 1888 L.J.	Devereddy Subbarayalu Reddi by Mr. A. Subramania Iyer — Petitioner appt in appl No 128 of 88 and Thambu Veerasamy Naidu & ors — Counter Petitioners Respts in do do	To The 2nd Respt Mutha Venkatarathnam Setty	25th	27th	25th	The 2nd Respondent Muttha Venkatarathnam Setty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Madras although search has been made for him. 25th September 1888. O. Thalasingum Bailiff	Mr. A. Subramania Iyer Petitioner's Counsel	This Notice has not been served on the 2nd Respondent Muttha Venkatarathnam as he Setty is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him 25th September 1888 } (Seed) I Ramasamy Mudy Shuff L.J.	Septr 25th C.P.
Appeal No 118 of 1888 L.J.	C. Seethamma by Mr. Bhashyam Iyengar — Appellant and V. Narayana Row and 2 others — Respondents	To The 1st & 2nd Respondents 1. Vemaganti Narayana Row and 2. Kavalkuru Tulasamma	29th	30th	October 29th	The 1st and 2nd Respondents Vemaganti Narayana Row and Kavalkuru Tulasamma were severally not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for them. Dated 27th October 1888. C. Singaram Bailiff.	Mr. Bhashyam Iyengar Appellant's Vakil	This Notice has not been served on the 1st & 2nd Respondents Vemaganti Narayana Row and Kavalkuru Tulasamma as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 29th October 1888 } (Sd) S. Ramasamy Mudy Sheriff L.J.	Octr 29th C.P.

Appeal No. 128 of 1888. K.V.	Devereddi Subrayalu Reddy by Mr. P. Subramanyan Iyer ——— Appellant and Mutha Venkatarathnam Setty and another Respondent	To The 1st Respondent	1888 Sept. 1st	1888 Sept. 3rd	1888 October 29th	Mutta Venkatarathnam setty was personally served on Saturday the 20th October 1888, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him, as signed by him in ink, on the Original Notice. Dated this 28th Octr 1888. K.V. — Ellisom Chelly Bailiff
Appeal No. 140 of 1888. K.V.	A. R. L. Lutchmanan Chettiar by Mr. Hon. Subramanya Iyer ——— Appellant and Periasamy Iwer alias Udayana Iwer and 19 others ——— Respondents.	To The 18th and 19th Respondents. 18th Mr. Robert Gordon Orr by his agent Mr. Stranack and 19th Mr. E. T. Stranack.	5th	7th	November 19th	18th Respt. Mr. Robert Gordon Orr was served on the 7th Sept/88 by a copy of the notice being delt to Mr. Stranack as agent by him in pencil. And the 19th Respt. Mr. E. T. Stranack was served personally on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 7th Sept. 1888. D. W. Middleton
Original Side Appeal No. 16 of 1888. K.V.	Nynah Mohudeen Sahib and 4 Others by Messrs Champion and Short ——— Appellants (1st 2nd 4th 5th 7th & 8th) and P. Krishnasamy Chetty and 2 others ——— Respondents (9th)	To The Respondents P. Krishnasamy Chetty V. Apparow Pillai and G. Calastry Chetty	July 12th	Sept 10th	Sept 10th	The 1st 4th & 7th Respondents not being found the copies of the Notice were affixed on the 10th September 88 on their dwelling house No. 34 in Tholappen street Washermanpett 2nd Respondent not being found a copy of the same affixed on the same day on his dwelling house No. 50 in Thallatthoo Chappen street Black Town Madras 10th September 88. P. Tholasingam Bailiff

		This Notice was served by T. Auronachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mutha Venkatarathnam Setty on Saturday the 20th day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 25th October 1888. Peer J. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff. G.V.	1888 October 25th
		This Notice was served by T. W. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the 18th Respondent Mr. Robert Gordon Orr on Friday the 7th day of Sept/88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. Stranack alleged to be the agent for the said 18th Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Stranack for the said 18th Respondent. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 19th Respondent Mr. E. T. Stranack on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 12th September 1888. Seen J. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff. G.V.	Sept. 12th
		As the within named 1st and 3rd Respondents P. Krishnasamy Chetty and G. Calastry Chetty could not be found, Copies of this Notice were on Monday the 10th day of Sept/88 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No. 34 in Tholappen Street Washermanpett Madras in which the said 1st and 3rd Respondents are said to be Ordinarily residing. And as the within named 2nd Respondent V. Apparow Pillay could not be found, a Copy of this Notice was on Monday the 10th day of Sept/88 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No. 50 in Thatha Mootheappen Street Black Town Madras in which the said 2nd Respt. is said to be Ordinarily residing. 11th September 1888. Seen J. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff. G.V.	Sept. 11th

2d appl No 910 of 1887 K.T.	Arunagiry Nattan by Mr Sreerunga Chary — Appellant and Subba Row minor by his next friend Venkata ramaniah Puntulu — Respondt	To The Respondt Subba Row minor by his next friend Venkataramaniah Puntulu —	1888 Sept 8	1888 Sept 11	1888 Oct 9	The Respondent Subba Row appellant named Venkataramaniah Puntulu the next friend of the Respondent Subba Row (minor) not being found the copy of the within order was affixed at his dwelling house No 20 in Singara Chariar Street Triplicane on Sunday the 16th September 1888. Dated 17th September 1888. K.T. Singaram Bailiff.
2d Claimant C.S. No 55 of 1882 K.T.	Mahomed Abdool Lateef — Plaintiff and Mr H. R. C. Dantre — Defendant Moorthee Venkatasamy Chetty — Claimant	To The Plaintiff Mahomed Abdool Lateef —	8	13	31	Please serve Copy of Notice on Messrs S. & T. Row Plaintiff's Attorneys & oblige 8/17/88 Yours truly Attorneys Clerk K.T.
Order C.M.P. No 512 of 1888 appellate side K.T.	The District Judge of North Arcot — Petitioner 1 - P. Sithapathy Naidu 2. N. Thadagopachari and 3. Appu Mudaliar	Counter Petitioners (Plffs and Defts in Civil Suit No 12 of 1888 on the file of the Dt Court North Arcot)	Augt 31	Sept 18		To be served on Appu Mudaliar residing at door No 1 Ambedkar Street Muthialpet Black Town Madras

The 3d Counter-petitioner Appu Mudaliar was served personally on Wednesday the 19th September 1888 by a copy of the order being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the back 8/17/Sept 88 C.M.P. No 512/88 Dated 21st September 1888. K.T.

Mr Sreerunga Chary Appellant vs Subba Row & Anr O.S. for L.S.

As the within named Venkataramaniah Puntulu the next friend of the within named Respondent Subba Row (minor) could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Sunday the 16th day of September 88 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No 20 in Singara Chariar Street Triplicane Madras in which the said Venkataramaniah Puntulu is said to be Ordinarily residing. 1888. Sept 20 Cts.

20th September 1888 / Sewd P Ramasamy Mood Sheriff K.T.

This Notice was served by me T. Suroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Mahomed Abdool Lateef on Friday the 16th day of September 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to B. Appiah Puntulu alleged to be the Constituted agent for the said Plaintiff as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed in ink ~~by the above acknowledgment of Service~~ signed in ink by the said B. Appiah Puntulu for the said Plaintiff. Sept 20 Cts

17th September 1888 / Sewd T Suroondachellum Bailiff / Sewd P Ramasamy Mood Sheriff K.T.

No 120 of 1888

From The Sheriff of Madras

To The Deputy Registrar High Court Appellate Side Madras.

Sir

With reference to your letter No 785 Judicial of 17th inst. I have the honor to report that the copy of the Order in C.M.P. No 512 of 1888 referred to therein was duly served on Appu Mudaliar on Wednesday the 19th day of September 1888.

Sheriff's Office Madras 24th Sept 88. K.T.

I have the honor to be Sir your most Obdt Servt P Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

Original Side Civil Suit No 24 of 1888 Dy

J. Ramachendra Row and 3 others ——— Petitioners (Affts. Respts in Appl 7/84)

and

V. Ponnusamy Nadan and 3 others ——— Counter Petitioners (Defts. Applts)

1888 Sept 12th — To The Counter Petitioner V Ponnusamy Nadan

1888 Sept 18th

1888 Sept 28th

The Counter Petitioner V. Ponnusamy Nadan was served on Thursday the 27th Sept/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Laing Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant & Laing attorneys for the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement of service signed by the said Laing 27th Sept/88 Dy

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Original Side Appeal No 26 of 88. Dy

V. Duraisingamany Pillai and another by his Guardian V. A. Rajapathna Mudaliar ——— Appellants (Defendants)

and

The Agra Bank Limited ——— Respondent (Plaintiff)

To The Respondent The Agra Bank Limited

24th 25th Dec 3d

The Respts Agra Bank were served on Tuesday the 25th Sept/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr F. G. Mayne, Manager in the said Agra Bank as appears by him.

25th Sept 1888

S. Holmes Dy

Original Side Appeal No 28 of 88. Dy

T. Namasivaya Chetty by his Guardian P. Thisikengadasamy Pillay (Deft) ——— Appellant

and

P. Parthasarathy Chetty ——— Respondent (Deft)

To The Respondent P Parthasarathy Chetty

22d 25th Dec 3d

The Respondent P. Parthasarathy Chetty was served personally on Monday the 8th October 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him.

Dated 9th October 1888.

Singaram Bailiff.

V. N. Rajapathna Mudaliar by his Guardian V. A. Rajapathna Mudaliar Appellant

V. Ponnusamy & two others Respts

Appeal Suit

C. J.

S. Holmes

This Notice was served by A Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner V. Ponnusamy Nadan on Thursday the 27th day of September 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant and Laing Attorneys for the said Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. W. Laing.

28th September 1888

Sworn P Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

1888 Sept 28th

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents the Agra Bank (Limited) on Tuesday the 25th day of September 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr F. G. Mayne, Manager in the said Agra Bank as appears by the above endorsement made by the said F. G. Mayne.

26th September 1888

Sworn P Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

Sept 26th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Parthasarathy Chetty on Monday the 8th day of October 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

10th October 1888

Sworn P Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

October 10th

Original Side Appeal No 24 of 1888

K. Streenivasa Row by Mr. K. Sadagopa Chari — Appellant (Plff)

and

A. Bagavantha Row — Respondent (Defk)

To

The Respondent A. Bagavantha Row

1888 Sept 25th

1888 Sept 26th

1888 December 3rd

The Respondent A. Bagavantha Row was personally served on Thursday the 22nd day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice.

Dated this 26th Nov/88.

T. Attiyamvitlam Bailiff

This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent A. Bagavantha Row on Thursday the 22nd day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

27th November 1888

[sig] S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff

1888 Nov 27th

Original Side Appeal No 27 of 1888

Pingala Jevana Row by Mr. K. Krishtnamachary — Appellant (Defk)

and

Pingala Radha Boyee — Respondent (Plaintiff)

To

The Respondent Pingala Radha Boyee

1888 Sept 25th

1888 Sept 26th

1888 December 3rd

The Respondent Pingala Radha Boyee was served personally on Tuesday the 21st day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil

27th November 1888

[illegible] Bailiff

This Notice was served by O. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Pingala Radha Boyee on Wednesday the 21st day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

27th November 1888

[sig] S. Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff

Nov 27th

Order

C.M.O No 3 of 1888

Copy of the order in the present Suit

1. Doraisawmy, 2. Chinna Doraisawmy alias Parthasarathy minors by their mother and guardian Kuppathammal and 3. Lanam Ammal — Petitioners Respts in original side appl No 17 of 1887

and

C. Moothoolinga Achary — Counter-Petitioner Appellant in do.

To

The Counter Petitioner C. Moothoolinga Achary

July 31st

Sept 27th

The counter-petitioner C. Moothoolinga Achary was served personally on Friday the 28th September 1888 by a copy of the order being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the letter.

Dated 28th September 1888.

C. Singaravelu Bailiff

V. Lakshmana Sastriar, Petitioner's Vakil

Mr. L. V. Lakshmana Sastriar, Petitioner's Vakil

No. 121 of 1888.

From

The Sheriff of Madras.

To

The Deputy Registrar High Court Appellate Side Madras

Oct 4th

Sir

With reference to your letter No 883 Judicial 2/26th Ult.o, I have the honor to report that the Copy of the Order in C.M.P. No 30 of 1888 referred to therein was duly served on C. Moothoolinga Achary the Counter Petitioner on Friday the 28th day of September 1888.

Sheriff's Office Madras 4th October 1888

I have the honor to be Your most obedt Servant [illegible] Ramasamy Mood. Sheriff

Original Side Appeal No 10 of 1888. on the file of the High Court O.S. C. Mis P. No 20 of 1888 D.F.	M. Munisamy Mudely & appt. in the Insolvent Appeal No 10/88 by Mr. T. Rama Row — Petitioner and Mungathammal — Counter — Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Mungathammal	1888 Sept 25th	1888 Sept 28th	1888 October 22d

As the Counter-Petitioner Mungathammall is not to be found, although search has been made for her and I, Friday the 19th day of October 1888, affixed a copy of this Notice, on the outer door of the house No 39, Budriah Street, Black Town, Madras, in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing

Dated this 20th day of October 1888

S. Veeraswamy Mudaliar Bailiff

C.P.

Mungathammal the within named Counter-Petitioner not being found a copy of this Notice was on Friday the 19th day of October/88 affixed by me T. Kuruvanchellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 39 Budriah Strat Black Town Madras in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing.

22d October 1888 — Sent/ T. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

D.F.

1888 October 22d

Alex 22-10-88

Original Side Civil Mis P. No 30 of 1888 D.F.	A. C. Anantha Chary by Messrs Grant and Laing — Defendant-Petitioner (2d Regd. in O.S. Appl 17/88) and J. A. Reardon — Plaintiff Counter-Petitioner (Appl. in O.S. Appl. 17/88)	To The Counter-Petitioner J. A. Reardon	27th	28th	15th

Messrs Grant and Laing Attorneys for Petitioner

Mr. T. A. Reardon was served on Tuesday the 2d Oct/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by the Petitioner in pencil on the outside thereof 2d Oct/88. A Cooper

D.F.

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Mr. T. A. Reardon on Tuesday the 2d day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

8th October 1888 — Sign/ T. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

D.F.

Oct 8th

Original Side Appeal No 25 of 1888 D.F.	P. Camporamanal and 3 others by Messrs Branson & Branson — Appellants (1st 3rd & 5th Defendants Suit No 196/87) and P. Veerasamy Chetty and another — Respondents (Plff + 2nd Deft &c)	To The Respondents 1. P. Veerasami Chetty and 2. P. Krishtnasamy Chetty living with his Brother Camporra Ammall	November 19th	19th 28th	19th

Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants Attorneys

as signed by the Petitioner this 5th October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him in pencil

4th October 88

D.F.

1st Respondent P. Veerasamy Chetty was served on Monday the 10th October by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the Petitioner in the form of an Office Writ of Attachment issued after the said 1st Respondent was served on Wednesday the 3d October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him

Ord Flaherty

Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants Attorneys

O.S.

1888 October 10th

Alex

This Notice was served by Nicholas Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent P. Veerasamy Chetty on Monday the 1st day of October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to H. C. King Esq a partner in this firm of Messrs Wilson and King Attorneys for the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said H. C. King.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent P. Krishtnasamy Chetty on Wednesday the 3d day of October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him

10th October 1888 — Sent/ T. Ramasamy Mood Sheriff

D.F.

October 10th

Alex

Original Side Appeal No. 230 of 1888 L.P.	The Madras Salt Trading Co. Limited by their Attorney Brauson — Appellants Plaintiffs in (C.S. No. 777 of 87) and The Hon'ble John Alexander Boyson and 2 others — Respondents (Defts in dt.)	To The Respondents 1. The Hon'ble John Alexander Boyson 2. Donning Rasbotham and 3. George Lawson Chambers Esqr.	1888 Sept 17th	1888 Sept 28th	1888 Nov 19th	The 3 within named Respondents were served on Friday the 28th September 1888 with 3 copies of the notice being delivered to E.F. Burton Esqr. an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan the said Respondents Attorneys and signed by the said E.F. Burton for the aforesaid Respondents. Dated 29th September 1888 L.P. W.H. Middleton Bailiff
Civil Revision Petition No. 241 of 1888. K.V.I.	Pakkiri Karamandan by Mr. R. Sadagopa Chariar Petitioner and George Munro, Agent, Oriental Bank — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner George Munro Agent Oriental Bank.	October 1st 28th	November 9th		Mr. Geo: Munro agent of the Oriental Bank was served personally on Monday the 1st Oct/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as by him on the orig. 1st Oct/88 S. Holmes L.P.

This Notice was served by William Henry Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 2nd and 3rd Respondents The Honorable John Alexander Boyson, Donning Rasbotham Esquire and George Lawson Chambers Esquire on Friday the 28th day of September 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to E. F. Burton Esqr. an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. F. Burton.

10th October 1888 } /Sd/ P. Ramasamy Mooty Sheriff

L.P.

1888 October 10th L.P.

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner :- Geo: Munro Esqr. Agent of the within named Oriental Bank, Madras on Monday the 1st day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

2nd Oct. 1888 } /Sd/ P. Ramasamy Mooty Sheriff

L.P.

Oct. 2nd L.P.

Appeal Against Order No. 105 of 1888 G.V.	Samier by Mr. C. M. Padmanaba Chariar — Appellant and Nagappah Pillay and 17 others — Respondents	To The 14th and 15th Respondents	1888 Oct. 2d.	1888 Oct. 3d.	1888 Nov. 9th.	The 14th Respondent Mess. Dymes & Co. on Thursday the 4th day of October 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to E. P. Burton Esq. an Associate in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said 14th Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said E. P. Burton. As the 15th Respondent Desika Chariar could not be found a copy of this notice was on Tuesday the 6th day of November 1888 affixed on the outer door of the dwelling house No 33 in Mangadoo Saumy Iyer Street Kingum bakum Madras in which the said 15th Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. Sd/ P Ramasamy Mudr 7th November 1888 Sheriff C.R.	1888 November 7th Sd/ 7/11/88
Appeal Against Appellate Order No 45 of 88. G.V.	C. Rajagopala Chari and another by Mr. T. Ramanja Chariar — Appellants and The Madras Railway Company — Respondents	To The Respondent The Madras Railway Company	3d	4th	9th	Mr. F. W. Read Agt. Asst. Agent Madras Railway Company was served on Saturday 6th October 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in red 8th Oct 1888 A. Cooper	This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent The Madras Railway Company on Saturday the 6th day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to F. W. Read Esquire Agt. Asst. Agent and Manager in the said Madras Railway Company as appears by the above endorsement made by the said F. W. Read. Sd/ P Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff 10th October 1888 G.V.	October 10th Sd/ C.R.
Appeal Against Order No 107 of 88 G.V.	Chockalingam Pillai by Mr. R. Sadagopachariar — Appellant and Somasundra Pillai — Respondent	To The Respondent Somasundra Pillai	4th	6th	9th	The Respondent Somasundra Pillai was served on Monday the 29th October 1888 by a copy of the notice, being delivered to P. Y. Mooroogasen Pillai, alleged to be the adult son of the said Somasundra Pillai, as signed in red on the Original Notice. Dated this 1st November 1888. S. Ramasamy Mudr Bailiff	This Notice was served by T. Annamachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Somasundra Pillai on Monday the 29th day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Thiroogasen Pillai alleged to be the adult son of the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said Thiroogasen Pillai. Sd/ P Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff 1st November 1888 G.V.	November 1st Sd/ 1-11-88

Mr. C. M. Padmanaba Chariar Appellant Vakil

Mr. Sadagopachariar Appellant Vakil

Mr. T. Ramanja Chariar Appellants Vakil

Civil Revision Petition No. 227 of 1888	T. Veerengadam Chetty and another by Mr. M. A. Narasimha Charlu — Petitioners — and — Melap Chend Sengaje Lallah — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Melap Chend Sengaje Lallah	1888 Oct. 4th	1888 Oct.	1888 Nov. 9th	The Counter-Petitioner Melap Chend Sengaje Lallah was served on Monday the 8th October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in person, dated this 9th October 1888. O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Original Side Appeal No. 170 of 1888.	T. A. Reardon — Appellant (Plaintiff) — and — The Administrator General of Madras and another — Respondents (Defendants)	To The Respondents 1 The Administrator General of Madras and 2. A Chettiar Anantha Chari Represented by Messrs. Grant and Laing	August 18th	Oct. 10th	19th	His 1st Respondent H. G. Wedderburn Esqre Administrator General of Madras was served on Wednesday the 10th October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him and on same day the 2nd Respondent A. Chettiar Anantha Chari was served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Messrs. Grant & Laing a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant & Laing the agent of the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the endorsement made by the said Laing. Dated 10th October 1888. W. H. Middleton

Mr. M. A. Narasimha Charlu Petitioner

O. T.

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Melap Chend Sengaje Lallah on Monday the 8th day of October/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

10th October 1888 — Sd/ P. Ramasamy Mudaly, Sheriff

T. A. Reardon Esqre Appellant

W. H. Middleton

This Notice was served by William Henry Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on H. G. Wedderburn Esquire the within named 1st Respondent the Administrator General of Madras on Wednesday the 10th day of Oct/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 2nd Respondent A. Chettiar Anantha Chari on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J. W. Laing Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Grant and Laing attorneys for the said 2nd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said J. W. Laing.

12th October 1888 — Sd/ P. Ramasamy Mudaly, Sheriff

2d
Appeal No. 1177 of 1888.
L.J.

Soonah Sastre by Mr J. Ethiraja Mudaliar — Appellant
and
Naina appa Naick and another — Respondents

To
The 2d Respondent
Meenakshi Ammal

1888 Oct. 12th
1888 October 12th
1888 December 11th

The 2d Respondent Meenakshi Ammal was served personally on Friday the 19th October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil.
Dated 19th October 1888.
C Singaram Bailiff
A.J.

Mr J. Ethiraja Mudaliar
Appellant's Vakil
C.J.

This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Meenakshi Ammal on Friday the 19th day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her
23d October 1888
L.J.
/Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

1888 Oct. 23d cds

Appeal No. 146 of 1888
L.J.

Subrahmanya Aiyar by Mr J. Ethiraja Mudaliar — Appellant
and
Lakshmi Narasaiyar and 15 Others — Respondents

To
The 1st Respondent
G. Lakshmi Narasaiyar.

19th 22d 3d

As the 1st Respondent Lakshmi Narasaiyar is not to be found although several times search has been made for him, I on Saturday the 1st December 1888 affixed a copy of the Notice on the outer door of the House No 6 Copo Venkatachella Aiyar Street, Peddoo Naick's Pettah, Black Town, Madras, in which the said 1st Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.
Dated 3d December 1888. /Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff
L.J.

Mr J. Ethiraja Mudaliar
Appellant's Vakil
C.J.

Dec. 1888
1st 3d
3-12-88

This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st Respondent Lakshmi Narasaiyar Could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Saturday the 1st day of December 1888 affixed by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the dwelling house No 6 in Copo Venkatachella Aiyar Street, Peddoo Naick's Pettah, Black Town Madras, in which the said 1st Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing.
3d December 1888
L.J.
/Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

Dec. 3d cds

Appeal No. 1436 of 1888
L.J.

M. Vidwan Venkatacharlu and 3 others by Mr R. Sadagopachariar and J. Subba Row — Appellants
and
Rajah Kavali Venkata Chinna Seetharamiah and another — Respondents

To
The 1st Respondent
Rajah Kavali Venkata Chinna Seetharamiah Garu.

23d 25th

The 1st Respondent Rajah Kavali Venkata Chinna Seetharamiah Garu was served personally on Monday the 5th day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.
Dated 6th November 88. O Tholasingam
Bailiff
L.J.

Messrs R Sadagopa Chariar and J. Subba Row
Appellant's Vakils
C.J.

Nov. 26th

This Notice was Served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Rajah Kavali Venkata Chinna Seetharamiah Garu on Monday the 5th day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
9th November 1888
L.J.
/Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood
Sheriff

Nov. 9th cds

Ac 9/11/88

Appeal No. 158 of 1888

Sree Rajah Rapamma Row Bahadur Zemindarugaru by Mr. T. Subba Row — Appellant

and

Raja Kavali Pedda Venkata Seetharamiagaru another — Respondents

To The 1st Respt. Raja Kavali Pedda Venkata Seetharamia -garu

1888	1888	1889
Oct.	Oct.	Jany.
26	29th	7th

The 1st Respondent Raja Kavali Pedda Venkata Seetharamiagaru was served personally on Monday the 29th October 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him and signed by him.

Dated 29th October 1888.

C. Singaram Bailiff

Mr. T. Subba Row Appellants Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Rajah Kavali Pedda Venkata Seetharamia garu on Monday the 29th day of October 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

30th October 1888

Seen J. Ramasamy Sheriff

1888 Oct. 30th

Original Suit appeal No. 319 of 1888

Pudupakkam Pushparatha Chetty minor by his Uncle & next friend Appoocootty Chetty by Mr. P. Anandacharlu — Appellant (1st Plff)

and

Runnutti Appasami Chetti & 15 others — Respondents (Defts)

To The Respondents 1-P. Appasami Chetty, 3-P. Collammal, 4-P. Chinna Ammanee Ammall, 5-Pareamanee, 6. Murugee, 7-Rajoo, 8. Chinnanen, 9. Caliana Sundram (minor), 10. Nagappa (minor), 11. Durmalingam (minor), 12. Manickam (minor), 13. Thangavelu (minor), 14. Rathnavelu (minor), 15. Appathorai (minor), 16. Parthasarathy minor

1888	1888	1888
Nov.	Nov.	Dec.
1st	2nd	12th

Furnished only 7 copies

* The 9th, 10th & 11th Respondents are represented by their guardian the 5th Respt.

and

The 12 to 16th Respondents by their guardian the 6th Respondent.

The 1st and 7th Respondents P. Appasami Chetty and Rajoo were served personally on Monday the 5th day of November 1888 by copies of the notice being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil.

The 3rd & 4th Respondents P. Collammal and P. Chinna Ammanee Ammall were served on the same day by copies of the notice being delivered to Ganapathy Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 3rd & 4th Respondents, & signed by him in pencil for the 3rd & 4th Respondents.

The 5th Respondent Pareamanee was served on the same day by a copy of the notice being delivered to the 7th Respondent Rajoo alleged to be the adult brother of the said 5th Respondent, & signed by him in pencil for the 5th Respondent.

The 6th Respondent Murugee on her own behalf and as guardian for the 9-10 & 11 Respondents Caliana Sundram, Nagappa & Durmalingam, & the 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 Respondents Manickam, Thangavelu, Rathnavelu, Appathorai and Parthasarathy (minors) were served on the same day by copies of the notice being delivered to them and signed by them in pencil.

Dated 6th November 1888.

C. Singaram, Bailiff

Mr. P. Anandacharlu Appellants Vakil

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 7th Respondents P. Appasami Chetty and Rajoo on Monday the 5th day of November 1888 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3rd and 4th Respondents P. Collammal and P. Chinna Ammanee Ammall on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to Ganapathy Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 3rd and 4th Respondents as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by the said Ganapathy Chetty.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 8th Respondent Chinnanen on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 7th Respondent Rajoo alleged to be the adult brother of the said 8th Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 7th Respondent.

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 5th Respondent Pareammanee on her own behalf and as guardian for the within named 9th 10th and 11th Respondents Caliana Sundram, Nagappa and Durmalingam on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 6th Respondent Murugee on her own behalf and as guardian for the within named 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16th Respondents Manickam, Thangavelu, Rathnavelu, Appathorai and Parthasarathy on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

9th November 1888

Seen J. Ramasamy Sheriff

1888 Nov. 9th

9/11/1888

2d Appeal No 1528 of 1888 Dy	Abdul Latiph Sahib by Mr T. Subramanyam — Appellant and Chockalinga Chetty and another — Respondents.	To The Respondents. 1. Chockalinga Chetty and 2. Katiph Ahmed Mohideen Sahib	1888 October 31st	1888 Nov 2d	1888 December 19th

Chockalinga Chetty & Katiph Ahmed Mohideen Sahib the 1st & 2d Respts were not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for them

19th December 88.

S Chockalinga Bailiff

Mr T. Subramanyam Appellant's Counsel

A.S.

This Notice has not been served on the 1st & 2d Respondents Chockalinga Chetty and Katiph Ahmed Mohideen Sahib as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for them.

19th Decr 1888

/Sgd/ S. Ramasawmy Mudr Kt Sheriff

Dy

1888 Decr 19th

118 19+28

2d Appeal No 1552 of 1888 Dy	J. E. O. Shaugnessy by Mr P. D. Shaw — Appellant and 1st H. G. Wedderburn Esqr Administrator Genl of Madras & as such the legal representative of Patrick O. Sullivan, deceased, the Orig Plff 2d & 3d. The Most Reverend Doctor Joseph Colgan Archbishop of Madras the Executor with Probate of the Will of the late Michael Gold, the Original 2d Plaintiff on his own behalf & for his Co-executor J. W. Handley Esqr Chief Judge of the Court of Small Causes at Madras, who has temporarily left India & now at Sea proceeding to England — Respondents	To The Respondts 1. H. G. Wedderburn Esqr 2. The Most Reverend Doctor Joseph Colgan Archbishop of Madras and 3. J. W. Handley Esqr	Nov 7th	Nov 9th	1889 Jany 16th

2 copies furnished for Canvas

H. D. Wedderburn Esqr was served on Friday the 9th Nov/88 by a copy of the Notice being delt to Mr J. J. Palman, Manager to the said H. D. Wedderburn as signed by the said J. J. Palman. Revd Doctor Joseph Colgan was served on his own behalf and as Attorney for his Co-Executor J. W. Handley Esqr on Saturday the 10th Nov/88 by a copy of the Notice being delt to Dr Colgan as signed by him.

12th Nov 1888

S Holmes

Dy

P. D. Shaw Esqr Appellant's Counsel

S Holmes

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st to Respondent H. G. Wedderburn Esqr Administrator General of Madras on Friday the 9th day of Nov/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr J. J. Palman, Manager in the Office of the said Administrator General of Madras as appears by the endorsement made by the said J. J. Palman.

And that this Notice was served by the said officer on the 2d Respondent the Most Reverend Doctor Joseph Colgan Archbishop of Madras on his own behalf and as Attorney for his Co-executor J. W. Handley Esqr Chief Judge of the Madras Court of Small Causes on Saturday the 10th day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Most Reverend Doctor Joseph Colgan as appears by the endorsement made by the said Joseph Colgan.

14th November 1888

/Sgd/ S. Ramasawmy Mudr Kts Sheriff

Dy

Nov 14

2 copies furnished for Canvas

Original Side Appeal No 32 of 88. L.P.

M. Shunmugavelu Mudaliar by Vakils Messrs T. R. Ramachenderum and A. Sambamurthi Ayja — Appellant (Defendant)

and

P. Sawmi Nadha Mudaliar and 3 others — Respondents (Plaintiffs)

To

1. P. Sawminadha Mudaliar
2. M. Subroya Mudaliar
3. T. Balasundara Mudaliar
4. Rajoo Mudaliar

1888 Nov. 8th

1888 Nov. 9th

1888 Dec. 19th

P. Sawminadha Mudaliar, M. Subroya Mudaliar & Rajoo Mudaliar respectively the 1st 2nd & 4th Respondents named in the above Petition were served this 9th day of November 1888 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed in pencil by them respectively

8th November 1888 } C. Balasingam Bailiff

L.P.

Seen T. R. Ramachenderum Sam'a Murthi Ayer & Vakils

L.P. 9-11-88

This Notice was served by P. Pholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st 2nd and 4th Respondents P. Sawminadha Mudaliar M. Subroya Mudaliar and Rajoo Mudaliar on Friday the 9th day of November 1888 and on the within named 3rd Respondent T. Balasundara Mudaliar on Saturday the 17th day of November 1888 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

21st November 1888 } Seey P. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff

L.P.

1888. Nov. 21st Cr. L.P. 21/11/88

Appeals Against Order Nos 138 and 139 of 1888. L.P.

Teshwaiyar by Mr. R. Sadagopachariar — Appellant

and

K. P. M. S. Mahomed Kassim Marakayar (an Insolvent) through the Official Assignee at Madras. — Respondent

To

The Respondent

K. P. M. S. Mahomed Kassim Markayar

10th 13th 21st

K. P. Mr. S. Mahomed Kassim Markayar the Respondent was served on Thursday the 15th day of November 1888, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to T. Rowlandson Esquire the Official Assignee, as signed in pencil by the said T. Rowlandson Esquire on the Original Notice.

Dated this 19th November, 1888.

S. Thirumalachetty Bailiff

L.P.

Mr. R. Sadagopachariar Appellant's Vakil

L.P. 14-11-88

This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. P. M. P. Mahomed Kasim Markayar on Thursday the 15th day of November 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to T. Rowlandson Esquire, the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said T. Rowlandson.

20th November 1888 } Seey P. Ramasamy Mudr Sheriff

L.P.

Nov. 20th Cr. L.P. 20/11/88

2d Appeal No 1587 of 1888 C.P.

Narayana Sami Raja and another by Mr Ramaswamy Raju ——— Appellants

and

Sesha Chella Chettiar ——— Respondent

To

The Respondent Seshachella Chettiar

1888 Nov 10th

1888 Nov 13th

1889 January 17th

The Respondent Seshachella Chettiar is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th January 1889

C.P.

O. Tholasingam Bailiff

O.S. 14/11/88

Appeal No 2 of 1888 C.P.

N. Suraja Pastre by Mr J. Ethiraja Mudaliar ——— Appellant

and

M. Aranthammal and 3 others ——— Respondents

To

The Respondents

1. M. Aranthammal
2. Meenakshi - ammal
3. M Subbarama - Aiyar
and
4 P. Venkata Row

1888 December 14th 15th 18th

On Friday the 16th November 1888 I tendered a copy of the notice to the 1st Respondent Mr Aranthammal but she refused to accept the same and thereupon affixed the said notice at her house No 313 in High Road Washermanpettah Madras. On the same day the 2 & 4 Respondents Meenakshi ammal and P Venkata Row were personally served by copies of the notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them and on the same day the 3rd Respondent Mr Subbarama Aiyar was served by a copy of the notice being delivered to Mr Veeraswamy Aiyar alleged to be the adult brother of the said Mr Subbarama Aiyar as signed in pencil by the said Veeraswamy Aiyar.

Dated 17th November 1888.

Bingaram. Bailiff

C.P.

Sri Ramaswamy Raju Appellant

O. Ethiraja Mudaliar Respondent

Valid

15-11-88

This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Seshachella Chettiar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th January 1889

/Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

1889 Janr 17th C.P. Rs. 17/1/89

On Friday the 16th day of November 1888 a copy of this Notice was tendered to the within named 1st Respondent M. Aranthammal but she refused to accept service of the said Notice, whereupon and on the same day a copy of the said Notice was affixed to the outer door of the said 1st Respondent's dwelling house No 313 in the High Road Washermanpettah Madras.

This Notice was served by C. Gingaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2nd & 4th Respondents Meenakshiammal and P. Venkata Row on the same day by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 3rd Respondent M. Subbarama Aiyar on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to M. Veeraswamy Aiyar alleged to be the adult brother of the said 3rd Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Veeraswamy Aiyar.

19th November 1888

/Sd/ S. Ramasamy Mudaliar for Sheriff

C.P.

1888 Novr 19th C.P. Rs. 19/11/88

Civil
miscellaneous
Petition
No.
1021
of 88.

Abdul Lathief Saib
by Mr. K. Subrahmanyam
Petitioner
(Appellant in S.A. No 1537/88)

and

Chockalingam Chetti and another - Counter-Petitioner
(Respondents do)

To
The Counter-
Petitioner
1. Chockalingam
-Chetti

and

2. Kathif Ahamed
Mohideen Saib

1888 Nov 13th

The 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners Chockalingam Chetti and Kathif Ahamed Mohideen Saib were served on Wednesday the 12th December 1888, by copies of the Notice being delivered to Ragava Chetty alleged to be the Gumastah of the said 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners as signed in pencil by the said Ragava Chetti for the said 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners, on the Original Notice
Dated this 13th December 1888.

L.V. /Sd/ S. Viramuthattinum Bailiff

1888 Nov 15th

Mr. K. Subrahmanyam
Petitioner's Advocate

1888 Dec 17th

O. Swaminadha Iyer
Petitioner's Pleader

This Notice was served by T. Aroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Chockalingum Chetti and the 2nd Counter-Petitioner Kathif Ahamed Mohideen Saib on Wednesday the 12th day of Decr/88 by copies of the Notice being delivered to Ragava Chetti alleged to be the Gumastah of the said 1st & 2nd Counter Petitioners as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by the said Ragava Chetti.

15th Decr 1888

/Sd/ A. Ramasawmy Mood. Pte.
Sheriff

L.V.

1888 Decr 15th

Civil
miscell.
Petition
No
34 of
88.

Ranganayakee Ammah
by Mr. K.P. Viswanatha
Iyer ——— Petitioner
(Plaintiff)

and

Kristnammah and another
- Counter-Petitioner
(Defendants)

To
The Counter-
Petitioners
1. Kristnammah

and

2. Streenivasalu
Iyah

1888 Nov 12th

The 1st Counter-Petitioner Kristnammah was served personally on Friday the 23rd November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil and the 2nd counter-Petitioner Streenivasalu Iyah is not to be found within the local limits of the road although search has been made for him.

Dated 23rd November 1888.

L.V. /Sd/ C. Singaram
Bailiff

1888 Nov 16th

Mr. K.P. Viswanatha Iyer
Petitioner's Pleader

1888 Nov 28th

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner Kristnammah on Friday the 23rd day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

And this Notice has not been served on the 2nd Counter-Petitioner Streenivasalu Iyah as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

28th November 1888

/Sd/ A. Ramasawmy Mood. Pte.
Sheriff

L.V.

1888 Nov 28th

1888 Dec 15th

15/12/88

C.R.P. No. 281 of 1888 A.P.	K. Subrahmanya Chetti by Mr. Venkatramiah Chetty Petitioner and P. Lakshmiah Chetty Counter Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner P. Lakshmiah Chetty	1888 Nov. 15th	1888 Nov. 17th	1888 December 21st	The Counter-Petitioner P. Lakshmiah Chetty was served personally outside Court this 12th December 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 12th December 1888. A.P. (Sd) Wingaram Bailiff.	Mr. Venkatramiah Petitioner
C.M. P. No. 650 of 88. A.P.	Devereddy Subrayalu Reddy by Mr. P. Subramania Iyer Petitioner (Appellant in Appl. 128/88) and Thumbu Veerasamy Naidu and another Counter Petitioners (Respts in do)	To The 2nd Counter-Petitioner Muttu Venkatarathnam.	17th	19th	10th	The 2nd Counter-Petitioner Muttu Venkatarathnam was personally served on Wednesday the 5th December 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the Original Notice Dated 5th December 1888 (Sd) P. Viswanathan Bailiff	Mr. P. Subramania Iyer Petitioner
P.S. Appeal No. 33 of 1888 A.P.	Perambur Tholasinga Mudali and 3 others by Vakil Mr. C. A. Namasivaya Chetty Appellants (Plaintiffs) and Karupan and another Respondents (Defendants)	To The Respondents 1. Karupan and 2. Pattee	14th	22nd	12th	The 2nd Respondent Pattee was served personally on Saturday the 24th day of November 1888 by a copy of Exhibit A.P. marked by him in pencil The 1st Respondent Karupan was served on Saturday the 24th December 88 by a copy of the Letter being delivered to Pattee alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respondent as marked on pencil by the said Pattee dated this 24th December 1888 (Sd) O. Tholasinga Bailiff	C. A. Namasivaya Appellant. O. T. 22/11/88

This Notice was served by C. Sinjaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner P. Lakshmiah Chetty on Wednesday the 12th day of December 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

13th December 1888

(Sd) P. Ramasamy Mudali
Sheriff

A.P. 17-11-88

13-12-88

This Notice was served by T. Narasimachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2nd Counter-Petitioner Muttu Venkatarathnam on Wednesday the 5th day of December 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him

5th December 1888

(Sd) P. Ramasamy Mudali
Sheriff

A.P.

5-12-88

This Notice was served by O. Tholasinga an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respt Karupan on Saturday the 24th day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 2nd Respt Pattee alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respt as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said 2nd Respondent.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2nd Respondent Pattee on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

28th November 1888

(Sd) S. Ramasamy Mudali
Sheriff

A.P.

28/11/88

Original Sadi Appeal No 34 of 88	Arunachella Gurukkal by Vakil Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar ——— Appellant (Plaintiff) and Munesami Mudali ——— Respondent (1st Defendant)	To The Respondent Munesami Mudali	1888 Nov 21st	1888 Nov 23rd	1889 Janry 7th	The Respondent Munesami Mudali was served personally on Saturday the 1st December 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him & signed by him in pencil Dated 1st December 1888. G Singaram Bailiff.	This Notice was served by G Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Munesami Mudali on Saturday the 1st day of December 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 1st December 1888 /Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood Dpty Sheriff	1888 December 1st Cr $
2 Appeal No 677 1888	Kader Mutha Rowther and 2 others by Mr S Subrahmaniam ——— Appellants and Sivasubrahmanja Servai and 8 others ——— Respondents	To The 7th & 9th Respts J. Robert Gordon Orr Esquire and 9. Edwin Fisher Stranack Esqre	22nd	24th	7th	R. G. Orr Esqre was served on Monday the 26th Novr/88 and E. F. Stranack was served on Thursday the 29th Novr as signed by them in link. 29/11/88 A Cooper	This Notice was served by Aaron Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the 7th Respondent Robert Gordon Orr Esqre on Monday the 26th day of Novr/88 and on the 9th Respondent Edwin Fisher Stranack Esqre on Thursday the 29th day of Novr/88 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them. 30th November 1888 /Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood Dpty Sheriff	Novr 30th Cr $
Mr H W H Brown by Mr R. B. Michell ——— Petitioner and Messrs Arbuthnot and Co ——— Counter-Petitioners		To The Counter-Petitioners Messrs Arbuthnot and Co	22nd	24th	7th	The Counter-Petitioners were served on the 26th November 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr E. P. Burton Esqr an assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan the Counter-Petitioners Attys and signed by the said E. P. Burton for Messrs Arbuthnot & Co. 26th November 1888 W Middleton Bailiff	This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioners Messrs Arbuthnot & Co on Monday the 26th day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to E. P. Burton Esqr an Assistant in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Messrs Arbuthnot & Co as appears by the above endorsement made by the said E. P. Burton. 29th November 1888 /Sd/ S Ramasamy Mood Dpty Sheriff	Novr 29th Cr $

D. C.
Appeal
No.
35
of 88.
L.J.

Bakshumeah and 2 others
by attorney Mr James Carr
— Appellant
(Defendant)

and

K. Ponnappa Gramony
— Respondent
(Plaintiff)

To
The Respondent
K Ponnappa
Gramony

1888 Nov 21 — The Respondent K Ponnappa Gramony was served personally on Monday the 26th day of November 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in proof
26th November 88
L.J.

1888 Nov 26 — O Sholasingum
Bailiff 88

1889 Janry 7

Mr James Carr
Appellant's Proctor

D. C. Jaffna
28/11/88

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. Ponnappa Gramony on Monday the 26th day of November 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

29th November 1888
L.J.

Sewp. Ramasamy Mood. Dep.
Sheriff

1888 Nov 29th
L.J.

25-11-88

C. R.
P. No
380
1888
L.J.

M. Shanmukhavelu Mudaliar
— Petitioner

and

P. Swaminadha Mudaliar and 3 others
— Counter-Petitioners

To
The Counter Petitioners
1. P. Swaminadha Mudaliar
2. M. Soobroya Moodelliar
3. T. Balasundara Moodelliar
and
4. M. Rajoo Moodelliar

1888 Nov 28th — The 1st 2nd 3rd and 4th counter Petitioners P. Swaminadha Mudaliar, M. Soobroya Moodelliar, T. Balasundara Moodelliar and M. Rajoo Moodelliar were served personally on Thursday the 29th November 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them and acknowledged by them.

Dated 30th November 1888.

C. Singaram
Bailiff.

S. R. Ramasamy Mood.
Petitioners Proctor
28-11-88

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the Counter-Petitioners P. Swaminadha Moodelliar, M. Soobroya Moodelliar and T. Balasundara Moodelliar and M. Rajoo Moodelliar on Thursday the 29th day of November 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

1st December 1888

/Sgd/ S. Ramasamy Mood.
Sheriff

L.J.

Decr 1st
L.J.

1-12-88

C.M.P. No 971 of 1888	Kotha Subramania Chetty by Mr Venkataramiah Chetty — Petitioner in C.R.P. 281/88 and P. Lutchmiah Chetty Counter Petitioner C.R.P. 281/88	To The Counter Petitioner P. Lutchmiah Chetty.	1888 Nov 29	1888 Nov 30	1889 January 7	1888 December 13

The counter petitioner P. Lutchmiah Chetty was served personally on this 2nd day the 12th December 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil.

Dated 12th December 1888.

Singaram.
Bailiff.

This Notice was served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner P. Lakshmiah Chetty on Wednesday the 12th day of December 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

13th December 1888

Benj Ramasamy Mudaliar
Sheriff

1889

Appeal No 192 of 1888	Balakrishna Devai Lawr by Mr T.R. Ramachendra Iyen — Appellants and Jamnaboy Ammal & 64 others — Respondts	To The 35th 47th 60th Respondents Ayeethiram Bhutt Gundarastwara Josi and Subbaroya Bhutt	Decr 4	Decr 6	March 4	

The Gundarastwara Josi and Subbaroya Bhutt the 47th 60th Respondents were severally served personally on Thursday the 7th February 89 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil

The Ayeethiram Bhutt the 35th Respdt was served on Thursday the 7th February 89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the Subbaroya Bhutt alleged to be the adult son of the said 35th Respdt as signed in pencil by the said Subbaroya Bhut. 7th February 89. O Tholasingam

This Notice was served by O Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the 47th and 60th Respondents Gundarastwara Josi and Subbaroya Bhut on Thursday the 7th day of February 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

8th February 1889

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 35th Respondent Ayeethiram Bhutt on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said Subbaroya Bhutt alleged to be the adult Son of the said 35th Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Subbaroya Bhutt.

8th February 1889

Benj J. Higgin botham
Sheriff

C.M.P. No 1168 of 1888	Grimantha Rajah Yarlagadda Durgaprasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu by Mr S. Subbramanien and Hon'ble V. Bhashyam Iyengar — Petitioners appellants Resp 2 App 33/88 and Grimantha Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu — Counter Petitioner 1st Respt in do	To The Counter Petitioner Grimantha Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu	1889 January 6	1889 January 8	1889 January 14	1889 January 10

The Counter Petitioner Grimantha Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu was served personally on Saturday the 22nd December 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him

7th January 1889

O Tholasingam

This Notice was served by O Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Grimantha Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu on Saturday the 22nd day of December 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

10th January 1889

Benj J Higgin botham
Sheriff

Original Side Appeal No 36 of 1888 D.V.	M. Nadamoonee Chetty by Mr C. Sankaran Nair Vakil —Appellant 1st Plaintiff and Konka Veerasawmy Chetty and 3 others —Respondents 1st to 4th Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Konka Veerasawmy Chetty 2. Konka Rungiah Chetty 3. Konka Ramoo Chetty and 4. Konka Ramanjoolo Chetty	1888 December 8th	1888 December 11th	1889 January 28th	The 3rd Respondent Konka Ramoo Chetty was served personally on Monday the 17th December 1888 by a copy of the notice being delivered & himself signed by him. The 1st 2nd & 4th Respondents Konka Veerasawmy Chetty, Konka Rungiah Chetty and Konka Ramanjoolo Chetty were served on Monday the 17th December 1888 by copies of the notice being delivered to the 3rd Respondent Konka Ramoo Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1-2 & 4 Respondents as endorsed by the 1 and 3rd Respondent for the said 1-2 & 4 Respondents. Dated 17th December 1888 C. Singaram Bailiff.
C.M.P. No 41 of 1888 D.V.	Arunachella Gounden Kal by Vakil Mr R Sadagopa Chariar —Petitioner and Munesawmy Mudali — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Munesawmy Mudali	12th	12th	18th	The Counter Petitioner Munesawmy Mudali was personally served on Wednesday the 9th January 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed in ink on the Original Notice. Dated this 11th January 1889 C. Singaram Bailiff.
Appeal Against Order No 15 of 1888 D.V.	Chinna Pillai by Mr. M. Jagarao Pillai —Appellant and Susaya Pillai —Respondent	To The Respondent Susaya Pillai	12th	15th	1st	The Respondent Susaya Pillai was served personally on the 30th January 1889 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 30th January 1889. O. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mr C. Sankaran Nair Appellant's Vakil
11-12-88

Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar Counter-Petitioner's Vakil
12-12-88

Mr M. Jagarao Pillai Appellant's Vakil
15-12-88

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st, 2nd and 4th Respondents Konka Veerasawmy Chetty, Konka Rungiah Chetty and Konka Ramanjoolo Chetty on Monday the 17th day of December 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to the 3rd Respondent Konka Ramoo Chetty alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st, 2nd and 4th Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said 3rd Respondent.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 3rd Respondent Konka Ramoo Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

20th December 1888

/sgd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1888 Dec 20th

This Notice was served by T. Arunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Munesawmy Mudali on Wednesday the 9th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

14th January 1889

/sgd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1889 Jan 14th

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Susaya Pillai on Wednesday the 30th day of January 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

31st January 1889

/sgd/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1889 Jan 31st

Appeal Against Order No. 148 of 1888. K.V.	Lakshmana Pillai and another by Mr. A.J. Ambrose —Appellants and Lakshmana Rao and another —Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Lakshmana Rao and 2. Narasojee Rao	1888 Dwaln 11th	1888 December 15th	1889 February 1st	The 2d Respondent Narasojee Rao was served personally on Tuesday the 18th in December 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. The 1st Respondent Lakshmana Rao was served on Tuesday the 18th December 1888 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to 2nd Respondent Narasojee Rao alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respondent as signed by the said Narasojee Rao in pencil. Dated: 18th December 1888. C.P. C. Singaram Bailiff.	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Lakshmana Rao on Tuesday the 18th day of Decr/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 2d Respondent Narasojee Rao alleged to be the adult brother of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said 2d Respondent. And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2d Respondent Narasojee Rao on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 22d December 1888 / sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.P.	1888 December 22d C.P. K.C.	22/12/88
2d Appeal No. 1372 of 1888 K.V.	Yeleswarapu Apparadha Row by Mr. T. Subba Row —Appellant and Venuri Venkatrutnam and another —Respondents	To The 1st Respondent Venuri Venkatratnam	1889 January 11th	15th	28th	The 1st Respondent Venuri Venkatratnam was served personally on Monday the 14th day of January 1889 by a Copy of this Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the original Notice. 16th January 1889. S. Viswanathellam Bailiff	This Notice was served by S. Aurosnachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Venuri Venkatratnam on Monday the 14th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 17th January 1889 / Seer/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.P.	1889 January 17th C.P. K.C.	17-1-89.
Original Petn Appeal No. 38 of 1888 K.V.	T. Parthasaradhy Chettiar by Vakil Mr. P. Ananda Charlu —Appellant (3rd Defendant) and Koorsheed Alli Shah and another —Respondents (Plaintiffs)	To The Respondents 1. Koorsheed alli Shah and Puthar alli Shah	13th	15th	31st	The 1st Respondent Koorsheed alli Shah was served personally on Tuesday the 18th day of December/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. As the 2d Respdt Puthar alli Shah [illegible] could not be found a copy of the Notice was on Wednesday the 19th December/88 affixed on the Notice on the outer door of the house in Mopleh Khan's Garden Black Town Madras in which the said Respdt is said to be ordinarily residing 21st December/88 A. Tholasingam Bailiff	This Notice was served by A. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Koorsheed Alli Shah on Tuesday the 18th day of December 1888 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. And as the 2d Respondent Puthar Alli Shah could not be found a Copy of the Notice was on Wednesday the 19th day of December/88 affixed on the outer gate of the house situate in Mopleh Khan's Garden, Black Town Madras in which the said 2d Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. 22d December 1888 / Seer/ J. Higgumbotham Sheriff C.P.	December 22d C.P. K.C.	22/12/88

Mr. A.J. Ambrose Appellant's Vakil 15-12-88

Mr. T. Subba Row Appellant's Vakil 15-12-88

Mr. P. Ananda Charlu Appellant's Vakil 15-12-88

2d appl
No 1742
of 1888
C.J.

The South Indian Railway Coy by its agent William L. Betts Esqr by Messrs Barclay & Morgan — Appellants

and

T. Ramakrishna Pillai — Respondent

To

The Respdt T. Ramakrishna Pillai

1888	1888	1889
Dec 13th	Dec 17th	Feby 26th

The Respondent T. Ramakrishna Pillai was served personally on Tuesday the 8th January 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him.
Dated 8th January 1889.
C. Pungaram.
Bailiff.

Messrs Barclay & Morgan Appellants Attorneys

17-12-88

This Notice was Served by C Pungaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. Ramakrishna Pillay on Tuesday the 8th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

11th January 1889

(Sd) J Hessenbotham
Sheriff

1889 Janr 11th

C.J.

Original Side Appeal No 37 of 1888
C.J.

V. Casavooloo Naidoo an infant of the age of 2 years or thereabouts by his next friend and natural father Venkatachella Naidu by Mr. P. V. Kistnasawmy Chetty Vakil — Appellant Plaintiff

and

V. Lutchmee Thayaramma and 20 others — Respondents Defendants

To

The Respondents

1. V. Lutchmee Thayaramma
2. V Rungammal
and
3. V Rayavalu Naidu

8th	20th	28th

V. Lutchmee Thayaramma V. Rungammall V. Ragavalu Naidu the 1st 2d & 3d Respondents were served personally on Saturday the 22d Dec 88 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them but the said 1st & 2d Respondents marked in pencil & the 3d Respdt signed in pencil
7th January 1889
O. Tholasingum Bailiff

Janr

C. in P. No 1163 of 1888
C.J.

Srimanta Raja yerlagadda Durgaprasada Naidu Bahadur and another by Hon. V. Bhashyam Iyengar & Jupl. V. Subba Row & V. C. Desika Chariar — Petitioners Appls 2d Respt in 33/83

and

Srimanta Raja yerlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur — Counter Petitioner (1st Respondent in the)

To

The Counter Petitioner Srimanta Raja yerlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur

20th	21st	28th

The Counter Petitioner Srimanta Raja yerlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur was served personally on Saturday the 22d December 88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him.
O. Tholasingum Bailiff

Hon. V. Bhashyam Iyengar & V. Row
Petr Vakils
O.C.J.

Messrs Barclay & Morgan Appellants Attorneys
O.C.J.

This Notice was Served by C Pungaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent T. Ramakrishna Pillay on Tuesday the 8th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

11th January 1889

(Sd) J Hessenbotham
Sheriff

1889 Janr 11th

C.J.

This Notice was Served by O Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the 1st 2d and 3d Respondents V. Lutchmee Thayaramma, V. Rungammal and V. Ragavalu Naidu on Saturday the 22d day of December 88 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

11th January 1889

(Sd) J Hessenbotham
Sheriff

Janr 11th

C.J.

The Notice hereunto annexed was Served by O. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Srimanta Raja yerlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur on Saturday the 22d day of December 88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him on the said Notice.

11th January 1889

(Sd) J Hessenbotham
Sheriff

Janr 11th

C.J.

C.M. P. No 1156 of 1888 L.P.	Seshu Aiyar by Mr Padagopa Chanar — Petitioner Appt in C.M.A 138/88 and K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakair by the Official assignee at Madras and another — Counter Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioner K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakair by the Official Assignee at Madras	1888 December 20th	1888 December 21st	1889 January 28th	F. Rowlandson Esqr Offg Assignee was served on Friday the 21st Decr/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 21st Decr/88 S Holmes	
C.M. P. No 1157 of 1888 L.P.	Sheik Abdul Kader Mondakair by Mr R. Padagopa Chary — Petitioner Rt in C.R.P. 304/88 and K.P.M.S. Mahamed Kassim Marakair by the Official Assignee at Madras and another — Counter Petitioners	To The 1st Counter Petitioner K.P.M.S. Mahamed Kassim Marakair by the Official Assignee at Madras	20th	21st	28th	F. Rowlandson Esqr Offg Assignee was served on Friday the 21st Decr/88 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 21st Decr/88 S Holmes	
O.S.C.M. P. No 42 of 88 L.P.	K. Ponnappa Gramony — Petitioner and Bukshumiah & 2 others — Counter-Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 1. Bukshumiah 2. Chinnoo Meah and 3. Syed Karim Dolla	21st	21st	28th	The 1st 2nd and 3rd counter Petitioners Bukshumiah, Chinnoo Meah & Syed Karim Dolla were served personally on Friday the 28th day of December 1888 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. Dated 7th January 1889. C Singaram Bailiff.	

Mr P. Padagopa Chanar Petitioner Vakil

S Holmes

This Notice was Served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kassim Marakair on Friday the 21st day of December/88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to F. Rowlandson Esquire the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the above endorsement made by the said F Rowlandson.

22nd December 1888 — Sewd J. Heggenbotham Sheriff

L.P.

1888 December 22nd C.S. H. 22-12-88

Mr P. Padagopa Chanar Petitioner Vakil

S Holmes

This Notice was Served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kassim Marakair on Friday the 21st day of December/88 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to F. Rowlandson Esquire the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the above endorsement made by the said F Rowlandson.

22nd December 1888 — Sewd J Heggenbotham Sheriff

L.P.

December 22nd C.S. H. 22-12-88

Mr R. Padagopa Chanar Petitioner Vakil

S Holmes

This Notice was Served by C Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st 2nd and 3rd Counter Petitioners Bukshumiah, Chinnoo Meah and Syed Karim Dolla on Friday the 28th day of December 1888 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsement to pencil made by them respectively.

9th January 1889 — Sewd J. Heggenbotham Sheriff

L.P.

1889 January 9th C.S. H. 9-1-89

Mr P. Ananta Chetty Petitioner Vakil

21-12-88

2d appeal No 1751 of 1888 C.P.	Komma Dannpuri Raghaviah by Mr A. Lambanurtu Iyer — Appellant and Chitti Sivaramiah & 4 others — Respondents	To The 2d Respondt Vecriah Bascara	1888 Decr 24th	1888 Decr 28th	1889 Feby 26th	The 2nd Respondent Bascara Veeriah was personally served on Thursday the 14th February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice. Dated this 14th February 1889. C.P. Wm Shellum Bailiff	This Notice was Served by T. Aurora-chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Bascara Veeraiah on Thursday the 14th day of February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 15th February 1889 C.P. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889 Feby 15th A.M. C.P.	15-2-89
Appeal against order No 158 of 1888 C.P.	Meer Jafur Ali by Mr K. Balaji Row — Appellant and Messrs Arbuthnot & Co — Respondents	To The Respondts Messrs Arbuthnot & Coy	do	do	15th	Messrs Arbuthnot & Co were Served on Monday the 7th Jany/89 by a copy of the Notice being Delivered to George Gough Arbuthnot a Partner in the said Firm as signed by him. Dated 7th Jany/89 A Cooper	This Notice was Served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Arbuthnot & Co on Monday the 7th day of January 1889 by a copy of the Notice being Delivered to George Gough Arbuthnot Esqr a partner in the Firm of the said Messrs Arbuthnot & Co as appears by the above endorsement made by the said George Gough Arbuthnot. 9th January 1889 C.P. Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	January 9th A.M.	9-1-89
C.R.P. No 304 of 1888 C.P.	Sheik Abdul Khadir Marakoir by Mr R. Lazgopalchariar — Petitioner and K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir & anr Counter Petitioners	To The 1st Counter Petitioner K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir by the Offg Assignee Madras.	do	do	15th	P Rowlandson Esqr Offg Assignee was Served on Tuesday the 8th January/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 8th January 1889 Wm	This Notice was Served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter-Petitioner K.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir on Tuesday the 8th day of January 889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to P Rowlandson Esqre the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P Rowlandson. 9th January 1889 C.P. Sd/ J. Higgenbotham Sheriff	January 9th A.M.	9-1-89

Mr K. Balaji Row — Appellants Advocate.

Mr A. Lambanurtu Iyer — Appellants Vakil.

7-1-89

Mr R. Lazgopalchariar — Petitioners Vakil.

C.R.O. Nos 312 and 313 of 1888 L.T.	Seshu Aiyar by Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar — Petitioner and H.P.M.S. Mohomed Kossim Marakoir and 1 other — Counter Petitioners	To The 1st Counter Petitioner H.P.M.S. Mohomed Kossim Marakoir through the Offg Assignee	1888 Decr 24th	1888 Decr 28th	1889 Febry 15th	This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner H.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir on Tuesday the 8th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Rowlandson Esq. the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Rowlandson. 10th January 1889 L.T.	1889 January 10th C.S. P.L.	10/1/89
Original Side Appeal No 39 of 1888 L.T.	K. Muthu Runeappa Mudali and 2 others by Mr K.P. Viswanatha Aiyar — Appellants (2nd to 4th Defendants) and C. Appasawmi Mudali — Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent C. Appa-swami Mudali	1889 Jany 16th	1889 Jany 19th	1889 Jany 28th	This Notice was served by A. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent C. Appaswami Mudali on Monday the 28th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 29th January 1889 L.T.	Jany 29th C.S. P.L.	29/1/89
Revival 12th C.S. No 10 of 1882 L.T.	Tanjore V. Soolgaram Row — Plaintiff and C. Gopala Chary — Defendant	To C. Pattabi-ramiengar the minor Son and legal representative of the deceased Defendant by his mother and guardian Namagiri Ammall.	1888 December 12th	1889 Jany 19th	1889 Jany 24th	This Notice is returned unserved as neither the Plaintiff nor any one on his behalf came to point out to me the within named C. Pattabiramiengar's Namagiri Ammall, the mother & guardian of C. Pattabi 24th January 1889 L.T.	January 24th C.S. P.L.	

This Notice is returned unserved as neither the Plaintiff nor any one on his behalf came to point out to me the within named C. Pattabiramiengar's Namagiri Ammall.
Dated 24th January 1889
A. Singaram Bailiff

M. & M. Middleton
for P. Viswanatha Aiyar & Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar
Petitioners Vakil

for Mr C. S. Nammalwar Chettiar
Atty Vakil
C.S.M. 19-1-89

Original Side Appeal No. 41 of 1888 L.J.	Palayjar Venkata ramanjen by Mr. T. Rama Row — Appellant (Plff) and Marayur Kithandaramaiyar and another — Respondents (Defendants)	To The 2d Respondent Marayur Panchoo Aiyar.	1889 January 17th	1889 January 22d	1889 February 28th	The 2nd Respondent Marayur Panchoo Aiyar was personally served on Thursday the 24th January 1889, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil, to the original Notice. Dated this 26th January 1889. L.J. A Aroonachellum Bailiff
2d Appeal No. 154 of 1888 L.J.	Streenivassa Kan Chetty by Mr. R. Sadagopa Chariar — Appellant and Mr. Robert Gordon Orr and 2 others — Respondents	To The 1st and 3d Respondents 1. Mr. Robert Gordon Orr Senior Partner of Messrs Orr and Sons and 3. Mr. Edwin Fletcher Stranack Secretary Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank	22d	24th	March 4th	Mr. Edwin Fletcher Stranack the 3d Respt. to wd served personally on the 11th Feby/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him — Mr. Robert Gordon Orr the 1st Respt. is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdn of the High Court Madras although search has been made for him — 1st March/89 S Holmes

Mr. T. Rama Row Appellant
Mr. R. Sadagopa Chariar Appellant's Vakil
Mr. S. Holmes
22-1-89

This Notice was served by T. Aroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respt. Marayur Panchoo Aiyar on Thursday the 24th day of January 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

28th January 1889 } (Sd) J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3d Respondent Mr. Edwin Fletcher Stranack on Monday the 11th day of February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

And this Notice has not been served on the within named 1st Respondent Mr. Robert Gordon Orr as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

(Sd) J. Higginbotham Sheriff

2d March 1889 }

K.V.

1889 January 28th C.J. K.

March 2d C.J. K.

28-1-89

2-3-89

O. Side Appeal No. 40 of 1888 Dt. 1/

Two copies only received

Rutnala Subbaroya Chetty by Mess. Brandon & Co — Appellant (Plff)

and

C. Singaravelu Mudali & 3 others — Respondts (Defts)

To

The Respondts

1- C. Singaravelu Mudr

+2- C. Theroona-vookarasa Mudr

+3- C. Thoraisami Mudr

and

4- C. Parvathi Ammall

1889 Jan 17

1889 Jan 25

1889 Febr 28

To 4th Respondent for her own behalf and on behalf of the 2nd & 3rd Respts

+ 2nd & 3rd Respondts severally sons of the 1st Respondt (being minors by their guardian & grandmother C. Parvathi Ammall the 4th Respt aforesaid) and the said 1st Respt is at present a prisoner in Her Majesty's Debtors Jail at Madras.

The 1st & 4th Respondents C. Singaravelu Mudaly & C. Parvathi ammaall are not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court Madras although search has been made for them

Dated 27th February 89

C. Tholasingam Bailiff

Mess. Brandon & Brandon Appellant's Attorneys

O. S.

This Notice has not been Served on the within named 1st & 4th Respondents C. Singaravelu Mudali and C. Parvathi Ammal as they are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them.

And this Notice has not been Served on the within named 2nd & 3rd Respdts C. Theroonavoo Karasa Mudali and C. Thoraisami Mudali as their guardian and grandmother the said 4th Respondent C. Parvathi Ammal is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras.

27th February 1889

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1889 Febr 27th

Sd/

27 Feb 89

Claim

C. S. No. 375 of 82

Dt. 1/

Cheethala Soobiah Chetty — Plaintiff

and

Caringalla Narrainah Chetty — Defendant

and

Caringalla Rajoo Chetty — Claimant

To

The Plff

Cheethala Soobiah Chetty

1889 Janr 23d

1889 Janr 25th

1889 Febr 5th

Mess. Brandon & Brandon Claimant's attys

Con 25-1-89

This Notice was Served by me C. Sangaram Bailiff on Officer of the Sheriff on the written named Plaintiff Cheethala Soobiah Chetty on Wednesday the 30th day of January 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil

30th January 1889

Sd/ C. Sangaram Bailiff

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

January 30th

Dt.

Sd/

2d Appeal
No 36 of
1889

Rajah Eswara Dass
Dayavant Bahadoor
by Mr N. Subramanyan
- Appellant

and

Abdool Kaunad Khan
Sahib & anr minors by their
father & guardian Hussain
Ally Sahib & anr - Respondts

Two copies
furnished

To
The 1st, 2d & 3d
Respondents

	1889	1889	1889
	Janr	Janr	March
1. Abdool Kaupad Khan Sahib	28th	31st	19th
2. Mahamed-unisa Begum Sahiba Minors by Hussain Ally Sahib and	The 1st and 2d Respondents Abdool Kaunad Khan Sahib and Mahamed-unisa Begum Sahiba were served on Monday the 4th March 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Hussain Ally Sahib alleged to be the father and guardian of the said Respondents, as signed in ink by the said Hussain Ally Sahib for the said Respondents on the Original Notice and the 3d Respondent Azeera Begum Sahiba not to be found although search has been made for her. Dated this 18th March 1889 C.V.		
3. Azeera Begum Sahiba			

J. Aroonachellum
Bailiff.

Mr N. Subramanyan
Vakil for the Appellant

31-1-89

This Notice was served by J. Aroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st and 2d Respondents Abdool Kaunad Khan Sahib and Mahomed unisa Begum Sahiba (minors) on Monday the 4th day of March 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Hussain Ally Sahib alleged to be the father and guardian of the said Respondents as appears by the endorsement made by the said Hussain Ally Sahib.

1889 March 19th

And this Notice has not been served on the 3d Respondent Azeera Begum Sahiba as She is not be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search had been made for her.

Sworn J. Higginbotham
Sheriff
19th March 1889

4d Under instructions from the Claimant herein, this Notice is returned unserved.

Feby 14th

Sworn O. Tholasingum
Bailiff
14th Feby 1889
J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

19-3-89

C. S.
No 137
of 1879

M. Veerasawmiah & M. Sreesiliah the legal representatives of the deceased M. Veeraraghaviah alias M. Raghaviah ____ Plaintiffs

and

M. Venkatasubbamah - Defendt

and

C. Lukshmiah - Claimant

To
M. Venkata Subbamah the Defendt

	Janr	Febr	Febr
	31st	1st	14th

Mr C. N. Kumaraswamy Chetty
Claimant's Vakil

O. Thodasingum

2d Notice
2d appl
No 1736
of 1888

Osman Bibi by Mr A. T. Ambrose - Appellant

and

Khadir Hussain Sahib - Respondent

To
The Respondent Khadir Hussain Sahib

	Febr	Febr	March
	1st	2d	18th

The Respondent Khadir Hussain Sahib was served personally on Thursday the 21st February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as Signed by him in pencil
Dated 21st February 1889.
C. Singaram
Bailiff.

Mr A. T. Ambrose
Appellant's Vakil

4-2-89

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Khadir Hussain Sahib on Thursday the 21st day of February 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him

Feby 25th

Sworn J. Higginbotham
Sheriff
25th February 1889

25 Feby

Claims

C. S. No 11925 of 1888 on the file of the Madras Court of Small Causes.

L.P.

T. Narayanasawmy Nair ——— Plaintiff and T. Banganiah Nair & T. Ramasawmy Nair the minor sons of T. Vadavallee Ammall by the said T. Vadavallee Ammall their guardian — Defendants and V. Balakistna Pillay — Claimant	To The Plaintiff T. Narayana Sawmy Nair	1889 Febr. 2d	1889 Febr. 5th	1889 Febr. 19th

T. Ramasawmy Nair claimants Valet

This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff T. Narayanasawmy Nair on Tuesday the 12th day of February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

12th Feby 1889

[Sgd] C. Singaram Bailiff

[Sgd] J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1889 Feby 13th

This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Subroya Chetty on Wednesday the 13th day of February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 1st Respondent Veruvengadam Chetty on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 2d Respondent Subroya Chetty alleged to be the adult son of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said 2d Respondent.

15th February 1889

[Sgd] J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Feby 15th

Letters Patent Appeal No 13 of 1888.

L.P.

M. Singajee Lalla by Mr. T. T. Ramanuja Chariar — Appellant and T. Veruvengadam Chetty and another — Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Veruvengadam Chetty and 2. Subroya Chetty		7th	7th	March 8th

The 2nd Respondent T. Subroya Chetty was personally served on Wednesday the 13th February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in pencil on the original Notice and on the same day the 1st Respondent T. Veruvengadam Chetty was served, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 2d Respondent T. Subroya Chetty, alleged to be the adult son of the said 1st Respondent T. Veruvengadam Chetty, residing with him in the house within described as signed in pencil by the said 2nd Respondent for the said 1st Respondent on the original Notice

Dated this 14th February 1889

[Sgd] T. Parthasarathy Chetty Bailiff

T. Ramanuja Chariar Appellant's Vakil

15th Feb/89

Civil Original Petition No 1185 of 1888	C. R. Kolandai Vellu Mudaliar Executor of the Jagannadha Mudaliar's Estate by Mr. R. Sadagopa Charriar — Petitioner and Kader Ali Sahib and 3 others — Counter-Petitioners	To The 4th Counter-Petitioner 4. Vijiaramal	1889 February 6th	1889 February 9th	1889 March 11th	The 4th Counter-Petitioner Vijiammall is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for her. 11th March 1889 C. Tholasingam Bailiff
Civil Miscellaneous Petition No 72 of 1889	Mujthaba Hoossain Khan Bahadoor by Messrs Barclay and Morgan — Petitioner and Khoorban Hoossain Sahib and 11 others — Counter-Petitioners	To The 1st to 4th Counter-Petitioners 1. Khoorban Hoossain Sahib 2. Sadak Hoossain Sahib 3. Gulam Hoossain Sahib and 4th Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan	8th	11th	April 1st	The 3rd and 4th counter-Petitioners Gulam Hoossain Sahib and Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan were served personally on Thursday the 21st February 1889 by copies of the notice being delivered to them & signed by them in pencil and the on same day the 1st and 2nd counter-Petitioners Khoorban Hoossain Sahib and Sadak Hoossain Sahib were served by copies of the notice being delivered to the 4th counter-Petitioner Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan alleged to be the uncle of the said 1st & 2 counter-Petitioners and signed by him in pencil for them. Dated 21st February 1889 C. Singara Bai

Messrs Sadagopa Charriar & Ramaswamy Vakils for Petitioner

D. J.

This Notice has not been served on the 4th Counter-Petitioner Vijiammal as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her.
11th March 1889
(Sd) J. Heggen Botham
Sheriff
G. V.

1889 March 11th
C.P. 11-3-89

The Notice hereunto annexed was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3rd and 4th Counter-Petitioners Gulam Hoossain Sahib and Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan on Thursday the 21st day of February 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made thereon by them respectively.

Messrs Barclay and Morgan. Petitioner's Vakils
11-2-89

And the said Notice was served by the said Officer on the 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners Khoorban Hoossain Sahib and Sadak Hoossain Sahib on the same day by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 4th Counter-Petitioner Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan alleged to be the uncle of the said 1st and 2nd Counter-Petitioners as appears by the endorsement in pencil made thereon by the said 4th Counter-Petitioner.
25th February 1889
(Sd) J. Heggenbotham
Sheriff
G. V.

Feby 25th
C.P. 25th Feb/89

Pauper

C.M.P. No 34 of 1888 & C.M.P. No 3 of 1889 L.P.

Ranganayaka Ammal — Petitioner and Krishnaammah & anr — Counter-Petitioner	3d Counter Petitioner Andalaammah	1889 Feby 8d	1889 Feby 16d	1889 Feby 22d	

As the 3d counter-petitioner Andalammah is not to be found a copy of this notice was on Tuesday the 19th February 1889 affixed on the outer door of the house No 19 in Salayappen Street Washermanpettah Madras in which the said 3d counter-petitioner is said to be ordinarily residing.
Dated 20th February 1889.
A Ringaroum
Bailiff.

To The 1st & 2d Respondents

Oside App.t No 2 of 1889 L.P.

George de la Poer Beresford by Messrs Barclay & Morgan Appellant (Defendant) and Rama Subbarazu Nayenavaru & anr the 1st a minor by his next friend W.H.J. Le Fanu Esqr the Ag. Collector of North Arcot Respondents Plaintiffs	1- Ramasubbaraju Nayenavaru by his next friend W.H.J. Le Fanu Esqr thro' his Attorneys Messrs Wilson & King and 2- William Lonsdale Esqr thro' his Attorneys Messrs Wilson & King.	16d	19d	April 23d

Ramasubbaraju Nayenavaru (minor) by his next friend & Guardian W.H.J. Le Fanu Esqr and William Lonsdale Esqr the 1st & 2d Respondents on Wednesday the 20th Feb/89 by copies of the notices being delivered to C.W. Nelson Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King attorneys for the said Respts as signed in pencil by the said C.W. Nelson
21st February/89
A Tholasingum
Bailiff

Mor. K.O. Kumaratha Iyer
Petitioner's Vakil

Messrs Wilson & King
Respts Attorneys

As the within named 3d Counter-Petitioner Andalammah could not be found a copy of this Notice was on Tuesday the 19th day of Febry 1889 affixed on the outer door of the House No 19 in Salayappen Street Washermanpettah Madras in which the said 3d Counter-Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing.
20th February 1889
Sealy J Higginbotham
Sheriff

1889 Febry 20d

This Notice was served by A Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Ramasubbarazu Nayenavaru and the 2d Respondent William Lonsdale Esquire on Wednesday the 20th February 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to C.W. Nelson Esqr a partner in the Firm of Messrs Wilson and King Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by the said C.W. Nelson

25th February 1889
Sealy J Higginbotham
Sheriff

Febry 25d

Ord Appeal No 10 1889	Ghousiah Begum Sahiba by Messrs Branson & Branson Appellant - Plaintiff and Nawab Kazimood Dowlah Rustrinjah Nawab —— Respts - Defendts	To The Respondts Nawab Kazimood Dowlah Rustrinjah Nawab Kazimood Dowlah Rustrinjah Nawab	1889 Febr 14th	1889 Febr 19th	1889 March 20th

Please serve Notice on Messrs Champion & Co Attorneys for the Respondts vide letter 9th March/89 from the Attys Below

The Respondent Nawab Kazimood Dowlah Rustrinjah Nawab was served on Saturday the 9th March/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to A. Champion Esqr partner in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short attorneys for the said Respondt as signed by the said A. Champion 9th March/89

P. Tholasingam Bailiff

Dft

2 Appeal No 141 of 1889 Dft	K. A. M. Muthu Kamatchia Pillai by Mr H. G. Wedderburn - Appellant and Nagappa Chette and 2 others Respondents	To The Respondents 1. Nagappa Chette 2 Kuppu Sami Chette and 3. A Raju Naiken	1889 Feb 19th	1889 Feb 20th	1889 April 9th

The 2nd & 3rd Respondents Kuppusami chetti and A. Raju Naicken were personally served on Thursday the 21st February 1889 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil and on the same day the 1st Respondent Nagappa chetty was served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Kuppusami chetti alleged to be the adult son of the said Nagappa chetti and signed by the said Kuppusami chetty in pencil for the said Nagappa chetti

Dated 21st February 1889

C Singaram Bailiff.

Dft

Messrs Branson & Branson Attorneys for Appellants

O. T.

Messrs Wedderburn & Cumming Attorneys for Respondents

20-2-89

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Nawab Kazimood Dowlah Rustrinjah Nawab on Saturday the 9th day of March/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Alfred Champion Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short, Attorneys for the said Respondts as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Alfred Champion.

11th March 1889

Sgd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

March 11th Cts Dft 11-3-89

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2nd and 3rd Respondents Kuppusami Chetti and A. Raju Naicken on Thursday the 21st day of February 1889 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 1st Respondt Nagappa Chetti on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 2nd Respondent Kuppusami Chetti alleged to be the adult son of the said 1st Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said 2nd Respondent.

25th February 1889

Sgd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Dft

Feby 25th Cts Dft

25 Feby

Claim at

C.S. No 127 of 1887 O.J.

K. Jeruvenga das ami Nayak
—Plaintiff

and

K. Muniyappa Nayak
—Defendant

and

Naimiappa Nayak—
Claimant

To
The Defendant
K. Muniappa
Nayak

1889 Feby 20th

1889 Feby 24th

1889 March 7th

As the within named Defendant K. Muniyappa Nayak could not be found a Copy of this Notice was on Tuesday the 5th day of March 1889 affixed by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the house No 10 in Agattumutten Street Triplecane Madras in which the said Defendant is said to be Ordinarily residing

5th March 1889
O.J.

Signed C. Singaram Bailiff
Signed J. J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1889 March 5th C.S. 24

Second Appeal No 663 of 1888 O.J.

Subbaya Gurukkal
by Mr. D. Grant—Appellant

and

Mr. James John Hills and 2 others—Respondents

To
The 3rd Respondent
Robert Gordon
Orr Esquire

21st 23rd 25th

The 3rd Respondent Robert Gordon Orr is not to be found within the local limits of Madras

21st March 1889
O.J.

Signed A. Cooper Bailiff

Mr. Ramaswamy Raju Mr. D. Grant Appellant's Counsel

Mr. A. Cooper Respondent's Counsel

Civil M.P. No 169 of 1888 O.J.

Narayanaswami Raju and another by Mr. Ramaswamy Raju—Petitioners
(Appl. in S.A. 153/88)

and

Iesha Chella Chettyar
—Counter Petitioner
(dr—in do)

To
The Counter-Petitioner
Iesha Chella Chettyar

22nd 23rd 25th

The Counter Petitioner Iesha chella Chetty was served personally on Saturday the 23rd March 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him

Dated this 23rd March 1889
O.J.

Signed J. Higginbotham Bailiff

Sheriff 23-2-89

This Notice has not been served on the 3rd Respondent Robert Gordon Orr Esquire as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the Hon'ble Court of Judicature at Madras.

22nd March 1889
O.J.

Signed J. Higginbotham Sheriff

March 22nd C.S. 22-3-89

This Notice was served by T. Aurunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Ieshachella Chettyar on Saturday the 23rd day of March 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him

23rd March 1889
O.J.

Signed J. Higginbotham Sheriff

March 23rd C.S. 23-3-89

Original Side Appeal No. 3 of 1889	M. Krishnama Charlu by Mr. M. Venkataramaiah Chetty — Appellant Plaintiff and The Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council and another — Respondents Defendants	To The 1st Respondent The Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council by Government Solicitor	1889 Febry 25th	1889 Febry 26th	1889 April 8th	Ernest Barclay Esqr. was served personally on Tuesday the 26th Feby/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 26th Feby/89. W. A. Middleton
2d appl. No. 1587 of 1888	Narayanasami Rajah Laur by Mr. P. V. Ramasami Raju — Appellant and Seshachella Chettiar — Respondt.	To The Respondent Seshachella Chettiar	Febr 25th	Febr 26th	March 25th	The Respondent Seshachella Chettiar was served personally on Saturday the 25th March/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. 25th March/89. O. Tholasingum Bailiff
2d Claim O.S. No. 137 of 1879	M. Veerasamiah and M. Gneseliah the legal representatives of the deceased M. Veeragaviah alias M. Ragaviah — Plaintiff and M. Venkatasubbammah — Defendant and E. Lukshmiah — Claimant	To The Defendant M. Venkatasubbammah	23d	28th	18th	Under instructions from the Claimant herein, this Notice is returned unserved. 18th March/89. O. Tholasingum Bailiff

M. Krishnama Charlu
by Mr. M. Venkataramaiah
Chetty
Appellt

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within mentioned 1st Respondent the Right Honble the Secretary of State for India in Council on Tuesday the 26th day of February 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqr. the Government Solicitor as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Ernest Barclay Esqr.

28th February 1889

Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

E.P.

1889 February 28th 2d Feby

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Seshachela Chettiar on Saturday the 23d day of March 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

25th March 1889

Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

E.P.

March 25th 25/3/89

Under instructions from E. Lukshmiah the Claimant herein this Notice is returned unserved.

18th March 1889

Sgd J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

E.P.

March 18th

Claim
C 9th
23859
of 86
in the file
of the Madras
Court of
Small
Causes
A.J.

A Soobroya Chetty by
his Constituted agent
C. Soobbrayalu Chetty
Plaintiff

and

Narasimmah Iyer
and
Soobbaramoney Iyer
- Defendants

and

C. Kuitnaswamy Iyer
- Claimant

To
The Plaintiff

1889 Febuary 26th

A Soobroya Chetty by his Constituted Agent C. Soobbrayalu Chetty

1889 Febuary 28th

1889 March 29th

This Notice was served by me A Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff A. Soobroya Chetty on Friday the 8th day of March 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of Service signed by him in pencil.

9th March 1889

A. Tholasingum Bailiff

J Higgen bothams Sheriff

1889. March 12th

A.J.

Rs. 19

Appeal No 60 of 1889 A.J.

Muttayya Chetti alias Ramanathan Chetti by Messrs P. Subramaniam and P.R. Sundara Iyer
- Appellant

and

Alagiri Sami Naidoo and 8 others Respondents

To
The 7th 8th and 9th
Respondents
7th Mr Robert Gordon Orr
8th Mr Jerimiah Ryan
and
9th Mr Edwin Fletcher Stranack

March 27th

July 1st 8th

Mr Robert Gordon Orr and Mr Edwin Fletcher Stranack severally served on Thursday the 4th July 89 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in ink - And the 8th Respt Mr Jerimiah Ryan is Not to be found -

8th July 89. S Holmes

Rt. A. Nanjunda Row
Clk

A.J.

Rowr. P. Subramaniam and P.R. Sundara Iyer
Appts Vakil

S. Holmes

This Notice was Served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the 7th and 9th Respondents Mr Robert Gordon Orr and Mr Edwin Fletcher Stranack on Thursday the 4th day of July 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively

And the 8th Respondent Mr Jerimiah Ryan has not been served as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

8th July 1889

J Higgen botham Sheriff

July 8th

A.J.

8/7/89.

C.M. P.M. 40 of 1889 C.V.	Muthu Muneappa Mudali and 2 others by Mr. K.P. Visvanatha Iyer — Petitioners (appln in D.C. 39/88) and C. Appaswami Mudali — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner C. Appaswami Mudali	1889 February 22nd	1889 March 1st	1889 March 20th	The counter Petitioner C. Appaswami Mudali was personally served on Wednesday the 13th March 1889 and signed by him in pencil. Dated 14th March 1889. C Singaram. Bailiff.	C.V. 7-3-89	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner C. Appaswami Mudali on Wednesday the 13th day of March 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 15th March 1889 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.V.	1889 March 15th C.V.	15/3/89
C.M. P.M. 34 of 1888 C.V.	Ranganayakeammal by Mr. K.P. Visvanadha Iyer — Petitioner and Krishnammah and another — Counter Petitioners	To The Government Solicitor	28th March	5th	6th	Ernest Barclay Eggins was served personally on Tuesday the 5th day of March/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink. 5th March/89. A Cooper		This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on Ernest Barclay Eggins, the Government Solicitor on Tuesday the 5th day of March 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 5th March 1889 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.V.	March 5th C.V.	5-3-89
C.M. P.M. 9 of 1889 C.V.	R. Sadayandhi Chetti and another by Mr. K.P. Visvanadha Iyer — Petitioners and R. Puttuswami Chetti — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioners R. Puttuswami Chetti	March 1st	5th	14th	The counter Petitioner R. Puttuswami Chetty was served personally on Tuesday the 5th March 1889 as signed by him in pencil. Dated 8th March 1889. Sd/ [illegible] my Peon Bailiff		This Notice was served by T. Auroonachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner R. Puttuswami Chetti on Tuesday the 5th day of March 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 9th March 1889 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff C.V.	March 9th C.V.	9-3-89

Original Side Appeal No 4 of 1889	R. Iruvenkadasamy Nayaker by Mr. A.J. Ambrose — Appellant (Plaintiff) and Kistnampett Muniappa Nayakar — Respondent (Defendant)	To The Respondent Kistnampett Muniappa Nayakar.	1889 March 2d	1889 March 7th	1889 April 8th	This Notice was Served by C. Singaravelu an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Muniappa Nayakar on Thursday the 7th day of March 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 11th March 1889 (Sd) J Higginbotham Sheriff
			The Respondent Kistnampett Muniappa Nayakar was personally served on Thursday the 7th March 1889 as signed by him in pencil Dated 8th March 1889			C. Singaravelu Bailiff
Original Side Appeal and another No 5 of 1889	R. Ladayandi Chetty and another by Mr. K.S. Viravaradha Ayyar — Appellants 2nd & 3rd Defts and R. Puttusami Chetty — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent R. Puttusami Chetty	6th	11th	15th	This Notice was served by T. Muruvachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondt R. Puttusami Chetty on Tuesday the 12th day of March 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 16th March 1889 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
			The Respondent R. Puttusami personally was served on Tuesday the 12th day of March 1889 as signed in pencil on the original Notice. Dated 15th March 1889			T. Muruvachellum Bailiff
Appeal Against Order No 13 of 1889	Swagami Ammal by Mr. P.V. Ramaswami Raju — Appellants and Kadir Bacha Sahib — Respondent	To The Respondent Kadir Bacha Sahib	12th	13th	26th	This Notice has not been served on the within named Respondent Kadir Bacha Sahib as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although Search has been made for him. 26th April 1889 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
			The Respondent Kadir Bacha Sahib is not to be found within the local limits of Madras although search has been made for him Dated 26th April 1889			C. Sholasingam Bailiff

Mr. P.V. Ramaswami Raju Appellant
Mr. A.J. Ambrose Respondent
O.S.

C.M. Petition No 6 of 1889 D.J.	R. Subroya Chetty & Co. by Messrs Branson & Co. — Petitioner and C. Singaravelu Mudali & 3 others — Counter Petitioners	To The Counter Petitioners 1- C. Singaravelu Mudali 2- C. Therunavukkarasu Mudali 3- C. Thorasami Mudali and 4- C. Parvathy Ammal The 2- & 3- being minors by their guardian the 4- Counter Petitioners.	1889 Feby. 25th	1889 March 14th	1889 March 27th

As C. Singaravelu Mudali the 1st Counter-Petitioner not being found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Tuesday 19th March/89 on the outer door of the house No. 35 Adams Street Mylapore and on the same day the 4th Counter-Petitioner C. Parvathy Ammal the guardian of the 2nd and 3rd Counter-Petitioners not being found, a copy of the Notice was also affixed on the outer door of the house No. 14 Ramasamy Street Muthialpett Black Town Madras in which the said Counter-Petitioners are respectively said to be ordinarily residing.

Dated this 19th March/89

O. Thoraisingam
Bailiff

Claim C.S. No 137 of 1879 D.J.	M. Veeraragaviah alias M. Ragaviah — Plaintiff and M. Venkata Soobbammah — Defendant and M. Veerasamiah and Pte. Sylapathy Iyah — Claimants	To the Defendant M. Venkata-soobbammah	March 14th	March 15th	March 28th

Messrs Branson & Branson
Petitioners Attorneys

Mr. O'Anandan
Claimants vakil

O.T.

As the within named 1st and 4th Counter Petitioners C. Singaravelu Mudali and C. Parvathy Ammal could not be found a copy of this Notice against the 1st Counter Petitioner was on Tuesday the 19th day of March/89 affixed on the outer door of the house No. 35 in Adams Street Mylapore and another copy of the said Notice against the said 4th Counter Petitioner and as such the guardian of the within named 2nd & 3rd Counter Petitioners C. Therunavukkarasu Mudali and C. Thorasami Mudali (minors) was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No. 14 in Ramasamy Street Muthialpett Black Town Madras in which the said Counter Petitioners are respectively said to be ordinarily residing.

Pte J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

21st March 1889

L.J.

1889 March 21st C.S. 7th

21-3-89

O.T.

On Saturday the 16th day of March 1889 I A Viola-singam an Officer of the Sheriff tendered a copy of this notice to the within named Defendant M. Venkatasoobbammah but the said M. Venkatasoobbammah having refused to accept the service of the said Notice on her I thereupon and on the same day affixed a copy of this Notice on the outer door of the house No. 1 in Such a pappan lane at Peddoo Naicko Pettah in the Black Town of Madras in which the said Defendant is said to be Ordinarily residing.

16th March 1889

Sd/ A Viola-singam Bailiff
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.J.

March 18th C.S. 7th

21-3-89

2d Original Side Appeal No 40 of 88 L.V.

Ratnala Subbaroyudu Chetti by Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

C. Singaravelu Mudali and 3 others — Respondents (Defendants)

To

The Respondents

1. C. Singaravelu Mudali
2. C. Theruvavukkarasu Mudali
3. C. Thoraiswami Mudali

and

4. C. Parvathi Ammal.

To 4. Respondent on her own behalf and on the behalf of the 2d & 3d Respondents

1889 March 18

1889 March 23

1889 April 10

As C. Singaravelu Mudali the 1st Respondent not being found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Monday the 25th March/89 on the outer door of the house No 35 Adams Street Mylapore and on the same day the 4th Respondent C. Parvathi Ammal the guardian of the 2d & 3d Respondents not being found, a copy of the Notice was also affixed on the outer door of the house No 14 Ramasawmy Street Mowbrayspet Black Towns Madras in which the said Respondents are respectively said to be Ordinarily residing.

Dated this 26th March/89. L.V.

O. Tholasinguvelu Bailiff

Messrs Branson & Branson Petitioners Advocates

O.S.

As the within named 1st and 4th Respondents C. Singaravelu Mudali and C. Parvathy Ammal could not be found, a Copy of this Notice against the 1st Respondent was on Monday the 25th day of March/89 affixed on the outer door of the house No 35 in Adams Street, Mylapore and another Copy of the said Notice against the said 4th Respondent and as such the guardian of the within named 2d and 3d Respondents (Respondents) C. Theruvavukkarasu Mudali and C. Thoraisami Mudali (minors) was on the same day affixed on the outer door of the house No 14 in Ramasamy Street Mowbrayspet, Black Towns, Madras in which the said Respondents are respectively said to be Ordinarily residing.

26th March 1889

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Bailiff

L.V.

1889 March 26th

25-3-89

C.M.P. No 8 of 1889 L.V.

Koorsheed Allie Shah by Messrs Branson and Branson — Petitioner (1st Respdt in O.S. 38/88)

and

T. Parthasarathy Chetti — Counter-Petitioner

To

The Counter-Petitioner T. Parthasarathy Chetti

27th 29th 8th

As T. Parthasarathy Chetti the Counter-Petitioner not being found a copy of the Notice was affixed on Sunday the 31st March 1889 on the outer door of the house No 11 in Swaramen Street Triplicane Madras in which the said T. Parthasarathy Chetti is said to be ordinarily residing.

Dated 1st April 1889.

C. Singaravelu Bailiff

Messrs Branson & Branson Petitioners Advocates

C.M. 29.3.89

As the within named Counter-Petitioner T. Parthasarathy Chetti could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Sunday the 31st day of March 1889 affixed on the outer door of the house No 11 in Swaramen Street, Triplicane Madras, in which the said Counter-Petitioner is said to be Ordinarily residing.

1st April 1889

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Bailiff

J.B.

April 1st

K.

1-4-89

2- Notice Appl. No. 18 of 89 C.P.	Ranjappa Chetty & 5 others by Mr. L. Ramaswa -mi Mudaliar - Appellants and Billaiyar Chetty & 10 others - Respondents	To The 1st Respt Billaiyar Chetty	1889 March 28th	1889 March 29th	1889 Augt 5th	The 1st Respondent Pillaiyar Chetty was personally served on Friday the 5th day of April 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as Signed in init. by him on the Original Notice. Dated this 8th April 1889. K. Veerasawmy Bailiff		
2- appeals Nos 346 to 391 of 1889 C.P.	Peria Chengamma Naicken & others - Appellants and Pitti Theagaraya Chetty - Respondent	To The Respt Pitti Theagaraya Chetty.	April 4th	April 5th	July 29th	The Respondent Pitti Theagaraya Chetty was personally served on Thursday the 9th day of May 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in init. on the original notice. Dated this 11th May 1889. K. Veerasawmy Bailiff		
3d Claim C.P. No 137 of 1879 C.P.	M. Veerasamiah and M. Seesilah the legal representatives of the deceased M. Veeraragaviah alias M. Raghaviah — Plaintiffs and M. Venkatasubbammah — Defendant and E. Lukshmiah — Claimant	To The Defendant M. Venkata- subbammah	5th	5th	April 24th			

M. S. Ramaswami Mudaliar Appellants Vakil

M. C. K. Kammiah & Brother Claimants Vakils

C.P.

30-8-89

						This Notice was served by T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Pillaiyar Chetty on Friday the 5th day of April 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 10th April 1889 C.P. / Sewd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889 April 10th C.P.	11-4-89
						This Notice was served by T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Pitti Theagaraya Chetty on Thursday the 9th day of May 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 8th July 1889 C.P. / Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	July 8th C.P.	8/7/89
						This Notice was Served by me at Tholasingum on the within named Defendant M. Venkatasubbammah on Saturday the 6th day of April 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the aforesaid acknowledgment of Service marked by her in pencil. 8th April 1889 C.P. / Sewd Tholasingum Bailiff / Sewd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	April 10th C.P.	Bona

2d. Appl. No. 662 of 1888 L.P.	Subbiah Gurukal by Mr. W. Grant — Appellant and Mr. James John Hills & 2 others — Respondts	To The 3d. Respt. Robert Gordon Orr Esqr. through Messrs. P. Orr & Sons, Madras	1889 April 13th.	1889 April 15th.	1889 July 8th.	The 3d. Respt. Robert Gordon Orr Esqr. served personally on the 4th July/89 (Thursday) by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ack. — 8th July/89 S Holmes	
Claim 695 No. 1820 of 1887 on the file of the Special Court of Tinnevelly Cases L.P.	Poologan Chetty and Govinda Chetty — Plaintiffs and Penumal Chetty and Venkatammal — Defendants and Subban Chetty — Claimant	To The Plaintiffs Poologan Chetty and Govinda Chetty	16th.	17th.	10th	Another copy of the 2d Deft. was on the 26th April/89 received for service on him with fee Rs 1	

Mr. W. Grant
Appellants Counsel

Mr. Sankaran Nair
Claimants Counsel

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the 3d. Respondt Robert Gordon Orr Esquire on Thursday the 4th day of July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

8th July 1889 } Sewd J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.P.

1889 July 8th. 6d. 7d.

8/7/89

This Notice was served by me J. Aurovanachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st. and 2d. Plaintiffs Poologan Chetty and Govindu Chetty, on Sunday the 28th. day of April 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them, as appears by the above acknowledgments of service signed by them in pencil

30th April 1889 } Sewd J Aurovanachellum Bailiff
Sewd J Higginbotham Sheriff

L.P.

May 1st. 2d. 4d. 1d. 1/7

Sewd

claim C.S. No 277/86 Div J	J. V. Tooljaram Row. — Plaintiff — and — A. Ramachendra Row — Defendt. — and — Addakki. Govintha Row — Claimant.	To J. V. Thooljaram Row. Defendt.	1889 May 13th	1889 May 14th	1889 July 23rd	This Notice was served by me C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff J. V. Thooljaram Row on Saturday the 25th day of May 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him. 27th May 1889. Sgd C. Singaram Bailiff. Sgd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889 July 10th	
C.M. P. No 130 of 1889 Div J	Kankoo Sreenivassalu Naidu a lunatic by his guardian ad litem K. Lakshmi Narasoo Naidoo — Petitioner (appl.n dated 9/89) and Meticala Chinniah Naidu — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Meticala Chinniah Naidu	July 15th	July 16th	29th	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner Meticala Chinniah Naidu on the Thursday the 18th day of July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. C. N. Nunmiah Chetty Vakil for the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said C. N. Nunmiah Chetty. 26th July 1889. Sgd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	July 26th	

The counter Petitioner Meticala Chinniah Naidu named hereunder Thursday the 18th July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. C. N. Nunmiah Chetty Vakil for the said counter Petitioner as Signed by him in pencil

Dated 19th July 1889

Sgd C. Singaram

Bailiff

P. Veeraderam Chetty. Claimant's Vakil

Sgd 14-5-89

P. Balayee Row Petitioner's Vakil

Sgd 16-7-89

Sgd 26-7-89

2nd Appeals No. 541 to 551 of 1889. L.Y.	Poongavanassay and 10 Others by Mr. R.D. Parthasaradhi Aiyangar — Appellants. and Raya Raja Iswara Das Dayavant Bahadur. — Respondent	To The Respondent Raya Raja Iswara Das Dayavant Bahadur	1889 July 16th	1889 July 18th	1889 Sept. 10th	The Respondent Raya Raja Iswara Das Dayavant Bahadur was personally served on Saturday the 17th August 1889 by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him, as signed by him in ink on the Original notice. Dated this 19th day of August 1889. L.Y.	This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Raya Raja Iswara Das Dayavant Bahadur on Saturday the 17th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 20th August 1889 } sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.Y.	1889. August 20th L.Y.
Original Side Appeal No. 8 of 1889 L.Y.	Salar Nunnoo Maya Sahib by Messrs. Champion and Ibbot — Appellant (Defendant) and Gerray Kistnasawmy Chettiar — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent Gerray Kistnasawmy Chetty	19th	22nd	30th August	The Respondent Gerray Kistnasawmy Chetty was served on Saturday the 3rd August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 3rd August 1889 } L.Y. O. Thalasingum Bailiff	This Notice was served by A. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Gerray Kistnasawmy Chetty on Saturday the 3rd day of August 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 6th August 1889 } Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff L.Y.	Aug. 6th L.Y.

Ramu Chandoo Appellant
vs
Mr R.D. Parthasaradhi Aiyangar Appellants Counsel

O.S. 18-7-89

O.S. 22-7-89

Appeal Against Order No. 22 of 1889. L.T.	W. J. Eales by Messrs Champion and Short Appellant and K. C. P. M. S. Mahomed Kassim Maracayer Respondent	To The Respondent K. C. P. M. S. Mahomed Kassim Maracayer through Mr. F. Rowlandson the Official Assignee at Madras.	1889 July 20th	1889 July 23rd	1889 August 30th	The Respt K. C. P. M. S Mahomed Kassim Maracayer was served on Wednesday the 24th day of July/89 by a copy of the Notice being delt to F. Rowlandson Esqr Offl Assignee as signed by him in ink. 24th July/89 S Holmes L.T.
2nd Appeal No. 392 of 1884 L.T.	Suppannayan — Appellant and Sundrappayen — 1st Respondent	To The Appellant Suppannayan.	22nd	23rd	26th	The Appellant Suppannayan was served personally on Tuesday the 23rd July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 26th July 1889. C Binyaram Bailiff. L.T.
Original Side Appeal No. 7 of 1889 L.T.	Geddipoolee Abboyee Naidoo and another by their Attorneys Branson & Branson — Appellants (1st and 2nd Defendants) and Kealacaray Cawder Saib — Syed Abdul Respondent (Plaintiff)	To The Respondent Kealacaray Syed Abdul Cawder Saib	19th	24th	30th	The Respondent Kealacaray Syed Abdul Cawder Saib was served on Wednesday the 31st July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to James Short Esquire a Partner in the Firm of Messrs Champion and Short, Attorneys for the said Respondent, as signed in ink by the said James Short Esquire on the original Notice Dated this 2nd day of August 1889. L.T. J Moses Bailiff

Assistant Registrar
Appellate Side

S Holmes

Asst. Registrar
Appellate Side

23-7-89

Asst. Registrar
Appellate Side

This Notice was served by Samuel Holmes an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent K. C. P. M. S. Mahomed Kassim Maracayer on Wednesday the 24th day of July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to F. Rowlandson Esqr the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the endorsement made by the said F. Rowlandson.

29th July 1889 — Sir J. Higginbotham, Sheriff

L.T.

1889 July 29th C.R. L.T.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Appellant Suppannayan on Tuesday the 23rd day of July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

29th July 1889 — Sir J. Higginbotham, Sheriff

L.T.

July 29th C.R. L.T.

This Notice was served by T. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respt Kealacaray Syed Abdul Cawder Saib on Wednesday the 31st day of July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to James Short Esqr a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion & Short Attorneys for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said James Short.

6th August 1889 — Sir J. Higginbotham, Sheriff

L.T.

Augt 6th C.R. L.T.

6-8-89

Original Side Appeal No 6 of 1889 L.P.	Alapaukum Cana Kannall by Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellant (1st Defendant in Testamentary Civil Suit No 8 of 1886) and Alapaukum Sreeranga chariar — Respondent (Plaintiffs do)	To The Respondent Alapaukum Sreerangachariar	1889 July 19th	1889 July 24th	1889 August 30th	As the within named Alapaukum Sreerangachariar the respondent could not be found a copy of this Notice was under instructions from the Attorneys on Friday the 16th day of Aug/89 affixed by me O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the dwelling house No 32 in Venkataramien Street Reddoddy Naicken Pettah Black Town Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing. 16th Aug/89 O. Tholasingum Bailiff
Appeal Against Order No 42 of 1889. L.P.	Beejan Bibi by Mr. G. P. Johnstone — Appellant and Shaik Bibi Saheba and another — Respondents.	To The 2d Respondent Hussain Bee	23rd	25th	30th	The 2d Respondent Hussain Bee was served on Wednesday the 7th August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the Said Hussain Bee the Father of the said Respondent as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Hussain Bee. Dated 8th August 1889 D Singaram Bailiff.
L.M.P. No 19 of 1889 L.P.	Gerdipatee Veneanmah Naiker & others by Mr. Branson — Petitioners Appt in OS Aff No 13/89 and H. S. Abdul Camder Sahib & 5 others — Counter Petitioners Respts — do —	To The Counter Petitrs 1-Reelacaray Lyes Abdul Camder Sahib 2-Rana Annamalley Columbo Moody (3 to 6) and 3. Thayammall on her own behalf and as the mother & guardian of the minors 4: 5: & 6: Counter Petitioners Sunderammall Akilandammall and Vurdammall	July 29th	July 30th	Augt 2nd	The 2d Counter Petitr as marked by the 3rd Counter Petr in pencil Reelacaray Syed Abdul Camder Sahib was served on the 1st Counter Petr on the same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to James Short Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said 1st Counter Petitioner as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said James Short Esquire on the 3rd Counter Petr's copy of the Notice. Jno Ferguson Bailiff. 1st August 1889. D Singaram Bailiff.

Rana Annamalay Columbo Moodelly the 2nd Counter Petr was personally served on Wednesday the 31st July 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice and Thayammall the 3rd Counter Petr was personally served on the same day on her own behalf and as the mother and guardian of the minors Sundaramall and Akilandammall and Vurdammall the 4th 5th and 6th Counter Petrs by a copy of the Notice

Messrs Branson & Branson
Petitioners Attorneys

As the within named Respondent Alapaukum Sreerangachariar could not be found, a copy of this Notice was, under instructions from the Appellant's Attorneys on Friday the 16th day of August 1889, affixed by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the outer door of the dwelling house No 32 in Venkataramien Street, Reddoddy Naicken Pettah, Black Town Madras, in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing.

20th August 1889

Wm J. Higginbotham
Sheriff.

L.P.

1889 August 20th

This Notice was served by O. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent Hussain Bee on Wednesday the 7th day of August/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Kadja Hussain Saib alleged to be the father of the said 2d Respt as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the said Kadja Hussain Saib.

9th August 1889

Wm J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

L.P.

Aug 9th

This Notice was served by Sivaramachettiar an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Counter Petitioner Reelacaray Syed Abdul Cawder Saheb on Thursday the 31st day of July/89 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to James Short Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Champion and Short Attorneys for the said 1st Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement made by the said James Short.

August 1st

This Notice was served by the said Officer on the 2d Counter-Petitioner Rana Annamally Columboo Moodelly on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 3d Counter-Petitioner Thayammall both on her own behalf and on behalf of the minors Sunderammall, Akilandammall and Vurdammall the 4th, 5th and 6th Counter Petitioners as the mother & guardian of the said minors by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 3d Counter-Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

1st August 1889

Wm J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

L.P.

1.8.89

Appeal Against Order No 52 of 1889 L.T.	J. Monthea Mudali by Mr. P. V. Krishnasami Chettiar — Appellant and M. Arunachala Mudaly — Respondent	To The Respondent M. Arunachala Mudaly.	1889 July 27th	1889 July 30th	1889 September 6th	The Respondent M. Arunachala Mudaly was served on Monday the 19th day of August/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 19th August/89 L.T. O. Tholasingum a Bailiff
Civil M. Ss. Nos 551 to 554 of 1889 L.T.	Veeraraghavier and 2 others by Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar — Petitioners and Mahomed Kossim Marakoir — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Mahomed Kossim Marakoir through the Official Assignee at Madras.		August 27th 30th	27th	The Counter-Petitioner Mahomed Kossim Marakoir was served on Wednesday the 31st July/89 by a copy of the Notice duly delivered to P. Rowlandson Esq Official Assignee as signed by him in pencil 31st July 1889 L.T. A Cooper.
Appl. No 154 of 1888 L.T.	Sreenevasakan Chetty by Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar — Appellant and Mr Robert Gordon Orr & 2 others — Respts	To The 1st Respt Mr Robert Gordon Orr	July 31st	Augt 2d	Augt 27th	The said Mr Robert Gordon Orr was on Wednesday the 14th August/89 served personally by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on the Orig. 14th augt/89 — W. H. Middleton

Mr P. Krishnasami Chettiar
Appellant Vakil

Mr R. Sadagopa Chariar
Petitioners Vakil

Mr P. Rowlandson
Official Assignee Vakil

Mr R. Satthianadhan
Respondents Vakil

Mr. Middleton

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Mailai Arunachala Moodelly on Monday the 19th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 20th August 1889 L.T.	Serv J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889 August 20th	
This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Mahomed Kossim Marakoir on Wednesday the 31st day of July 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to P. Rowlandson Esquire the Official Assignee at Madras as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said P. Rowlandson. 1st August 1889 L.T.	Serv J. Higginbotham Sheriff	August 1st	1-8-89
This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Mr Robert Gordon Orr on Wednesday the 14th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 16th August 1889 L.T.	Serv J. Higginbotham Sheriff	August 16th	16-8-89

Claim

C.S. No. 359 of 1882

Sree Lutchmee Narasimmah Reddyar — Plaintiff

and

Karanee Ponnachar Ammall — Defendant

and

Rungasayee Ammall — Claimant

To The Plaintiff Sree Lutchmee Narasimmah Reddyar.	1889 August 3rd	1889 August 5th	1889 August 20th	

Claim

C.S. No. 359 of 1882

Sree Lutchmee Narasimmah Reddyar — Plaintiff

and

Karanee Ponnachar Ammall — Defendant

and

Rungasayee Ammall — Claimant

To The Plaintiff Sree Lutchmee Narasimmah Reddyar.	3rd	5th	20th	

Appeal against Appellate Order No. 28 of 1889

Sadasiva Reddy by his V.C. Desikachariar — Appellant

and

Audi Lakshmi Ammal — Respondent

To The Respondent Audi Lakshmi Ammal.	6th	8th	13th	Sept.

The Respondent Audi Lakshmi ammal was served on Tuesday the 27th August 1889 by a copy of the notice being delivered to her as signed by her in pencil. Dated 27th August 1889.

C.S.

C. Singaram Bailiff.

K.P. Krishnaswamy Clerk to Vakil

C.S.

K.P. Krishnaswamy Clerk to Vakil

Mr. V.C. Desikachariar Appellant's Vakil

S.S.S.

This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Sree Lutchmee Narasimmah Reddyar on Friday the 9th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

10th August 1889

A. Tholasingum Bailiff

J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.S.

This Notice was served by me A. Tholasingum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Plaintiff Sree Lutchmee Narasimmah Reddyar on Friday the 9th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by him in pencil.

10th August 1889

A. Tholasingum Bailiff

J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.S.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Audi Lakshmi Ammal on Tuesday the 27th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her.

27th August 1889

C. Singaram Bailiff

J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.S.

1889 August 13th

1889 August 13th

August 27th 1889

Original Side Appeal No 14 of 1889 D.Y. 1

Samarapoori Chetty by Mr R. Ramanuja Chari — Appellant (Debtor)

and

Messrs Parry & Co — Respondents (Creditors No 1)

To The Respondents Messrs Parry & Co

1889 August 8th — 1889 August 8th — 1889 August 29th

The Respondents to wit Messrs Parry & Co were served on Friday the 9th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to H. C. King Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King Attorneys for the said Respondents as signed in ink by the said H. C. King.
9th August 1889
G.T.
J. Holmes
Sept 20th

9th — 12th — 20th

R. Ramanuja Chari
Appellant

J. Holmes

This Notice was Served by Samuel Holmes an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents Messrs Parry & Co Madras on Friday the 9th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to H. C. King & Co a partner in the firm of Messrs Wilson & King Attorneys for the Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said H. C. King.
13th August 1889
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
G.T.

1889 August 13th — 13-8-89

Appeal Against Order No 58 of 1889 C.M.P. No 192 of 1889 D.Y. 1

P. Thiruvengadasami Naidu by Mr R. Grant — Appellant Petitioner

and

P. Venkatanarasima Pillai — Respondent Counter Petitioner

To The Respondent Counter Petitioner P. Venkatanarasima Pillai

9th — 12th — 20th

The Respondent and Counter-Petitioner P. Venkatanarasima Pillai was personally served on Saturday the 7th September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice
Dated the 9th day of September 1889.
G.T.
Sd/ [illegible] Bailiff

R. Ramanuja Chari
Appellant

Mr. R. Grant
Appellant's Counsel

This Notice was Served by J. Arunachellam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent and Counter-Petitioner P. Venkatanarasima Pillai on Saturday the 7th day of September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
10th September 1889
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
G.T.

Sept 10th

Claim C.S. No 282 of 1884 D.Y. 1

Mrs James Fowler — Plaintiff

vs

P. N. Comaradsaumy Mudelly — Defendant

and

P. Armooga Mudelly by his next friend P. Ruthnasabapathy Mudely — Claimant

The Plaintiff Mrs Jane Fowler

9th — 13th — 28th

[illegible] served by me C. Singaram on the within named an Officer of the Sheriff on the Plaintiff Mrs Jane Fowler on Tuesday the 13th day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the above acknowledgment of service signed by her in pencil.
15th August 1889
Sd/ C. Singaram Bailiff
Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff
G.T.

August 16th

13-8-89

K. Ramanuja Chari
Claimant's Vakil

Mr. R. Grant
Appellant's Counsel

Original Side Appeal No 90 of 1889.	Kankoo Sreeniwasulu Naidu a lunatic by his guardian Kankoo Lakshmi Narasoo Naidu M.R. Balajee Row — Appellant Defendant and Meticala Chinniah Naidu — Respondent Plaintiff	To The Respondent	1889 August 8th	1889 August 15th	1889 Sept 16th		
		Meticala Chinniah Naidu	The Respondent Meticala Chinniah Naidu was personally served on Wednesday the 21st August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in pencil. Dated 21st August 1889. C Singaravelu. Bailiff.				
Original Side Appeal No 11 of 1889.	V. S. Mahamed Mohideen and another by Messrs Champion and Short — Appellants Plaintiffs and Hajee Pathackathoolah and another — Respondents 1st & 2nd Defendants	To The Respondents 1. Hajee Pathackathoolah and 2. Agamed Mohideen Shamna Lubbay	13th	16th	16th		
			The 2nd Respondent Agamed Mohideen Shamna Lubbay was personally served on Thursday the 12th September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him and Signed by him in pencil. And on the same day I tendered a copy of this Notice to the 1st Respondent Hajee Pathackathoolah, but he refused to accept the service on him & thereupon affixed on the outer door of the house No 198 in Ungappah Naick's Street Black Town Madras. Dated 19th September 1889. O. Tholasingum Bailiff					

Kankoo Sreeniwasulu Naidu a lunatic by his guardian Kankoo Lakshmi Narasoo Naidu M.R. Balajee Row — Appellant Defendant and Meticala Chinniah Naidu — Respondent Plaintiff

V. S. Mahamed Mohideen and another by Messrs Champion and Short — Appellants Plaintiffs and Hajee Pathackathoolah and another — Respondents 1st & 2nd Defendants

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Meticala Chinniah Naidu on Wednesday the 21st day of August 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him

23rd August 1889

Ewg J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1889 August 23rd

On Thursday the 12th day of September 1889 a copy of this Notice was tendered to the within named 1st Respondent Hajee Pathackathoolah, but he refused to accept service of the said Notice on him; whereupon and on the same day a copy of the said Notice was affixed to the outer door of the said 1st Respondents dwelling house of the said 1st Respondent No 198 in Ungappah Naicks Street Black Town Madras.

And this Notice was on the same day served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2nd Respondent Agamed Mohideen Shamna Lubbay by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

14th September 1889

Ewg J. Higginbotham Sheriff

Sept 14th

Original Side Appeal No 13 of 1889. E.J. 1

G. Vencanna Naiduand another by Messrs Branson and Branson — Appellants (Plaintiffs by Revivor)

and

K. Syed Abdul Cawder Sahib and 5 others — Respondents (Defendants by Revivor)

To The Respondents

1. Kealacaray Syed Abdool Cawder Sahib
2. Kana Annamallay Calundu Moodelly

and

3. Thoyammall *
4. Sunderammall
5. Akilaadammall
6. Vurdammall

minors by their mother & guardian ad litem the 3d Respts

1889	1889	1889
Augt	Augt	Septr
13	16	20

Furnished true copies E.J.

* 3d Respt Thoyammall for herself & of the minors 4, 5 & 6 Respts

Kealacaray Syed Abdool Cawder Sahib was served on Friday the 6th September 1889, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him as signed by him on pencil, on the Original Notice, and Kana Annamallay Calundu Moodelly and Thoyammal, on her own behalf and as the mother and guardian of the minors Sun. †

and on behalf of Sunderammall Akilandammall and Vurdammall were served on Sunday the 15th September 1889, by copies of the Notice, being delivered to them, as signed and marked by them in pencil, on the ~~of the~~ Original Notice.

Dated this 17th day of September 1889

Sd/ Wm Wellman Bailiff

Original Side Appeal No 16 of 1889 E.J.

Kalathur Yegna Thik Shathar the Jeer of Ahobellum Mutt at Thivellore by Messrs Branson & Branson — Appellant (Plaintiff)

and

Venkeypuram Ranga Chariar — Respondent (Defendant)

To The Respondent Venkeypuram Ranga Chariar

August 19 20 23

The said Respt Venkeypuram Ranga Chariar was served on Tuesday the 20th Augt 89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr S. Biligiri Iyer Attorney for the said ~~Appellant~~ Respt as signed by him in pencil.

20th Augt 1889 E.J.

Sd/ A Cooper

Messrs Branson & Branson Appellants Attorneys

Sd/ A Cooper 31-8-89

This Notice was served by J. Auroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Kealacaray Syed Abdool Cawder Sahib on Friday the 6th day of September 1889 and on the 2d Respondent Kana Annamallay Colundu Moodelly on Sunday the 15th day of September 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the within named 3d Respondent Thoyammall on Sunday the 15th day of September 1889 both on her own behalf and on behalf of the minors Sunderammall Akilandammall and Vurdammall the 4th 5th and 6th Respondents as the mother and guardian of the said minors by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 3d Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

18th September 1889 E.J.

Sd/ J. Wigg on behalf of Sheriff

1889 September 18 18 Sept 89

This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Venkeypuram Rangachariar on Tuesday the 20th day of August 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr S. Biligiri Iyengar, Attorney for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said S. Biligiri Iyengar.

21st August 1889 E.J.

Sd/ J. Wigg on behalf of Sheriff

August 21 21/8/89

Appeal Against Appellate Order No. 38 of 1889.	Vijaya Raghunadha Krishna Sawmi Pamickondar (minor) by Mr. T. Rama Rao — Appellant — and — Julja Rama Royer — Respondent	To The Respondent Julja Rama Royer	1889 August 19th	1889 August 22nd	1889 September 27th	The Respondent Julja Rama Royer was personally served on Friday the 23rd August 1889, by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice. Dated this 26th day of August 1889. Attorney Pellavan Bailiff
23. Appeal Against Order No. 130 of 1889.	Sriagami Ammal by Mr. P. V. Ramaswamy Raju — Appellant — and — Khadir Pacha Saheb. — Respondent	To The Respondent Khadir Pacha Saheb. Agent to Messrs. Thejee Syed Hussain Saheb & Co. 8 Street Pauldoss Madden ice which Pacha Saheb 10th October/89	October 24th	27th	11th	The respondent Khadir Pacha Saheb not being found although search has been made for him I, on Thursday the 10th October/89 affixed a copy of the Notice on the outer door of the suit door of the Godown No 24 in Varatha Mooliappen Street, Peddu Naicken Pettah Black Town Madras, in which the said Khadir Pacha Saheb is said to carry on business. Sriagami Bailiff Sept 16
Pauper Original Suit Appeal No. 12 of 1889.	C. Ramaswami Naidu — Appellant Plaintiff — and — Chinnathamba Naidu and two others — Respondents Defendants	To 1st The Respondent Thandu Mogapary Chinnathamba Naidu	8th	31st	16th	The 1st Respondent Thandu Mogapary Chinnathamba Naidu was served personally on Saturday the 7th September 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. Dated 7th September 1889. Singaram. Bailiff.

		1889.	
	This Notice was served by J. Annamachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Julja Rama Royer on Friday the 23rd day of August 89 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 26th August 1889 / Sewj J. Higginbotham Sheriff	August 24th Rs.
	As the within named Respondent Khadir Pacha Saheb could not be found, a Copy of this Notice was on Thursday the 10th day of October 1889 affixed on the outer door of the Godown No 24 in Vara tha Mooliappen Street, Peddu Naicken Pettah Black Town Madras, in which the said Khadir Pacha Saheb is said to be Ordinarily Carrying on business. 10th October 1889 / Sewj J. Higginbotham Sheriff	October 10th Rs.	10 Oct/89
31-8-89 C. Ramaswami Naidu Appellant Plaintiff	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Thandu Mogapary Chinnathamba Naidu on Saturday the 7th day of September 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 9th September 1889 / Sewj J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Sept 9th Rs.	9-9-89

Civil Miscellaneous P. No. 670 of 1889. A.V.

Hoossain Begum alias Shahazadee Begum By Messrs Barclay & Morgan Petitioner and Khoorban Hoossain Sahib and 10 others — Counter-Petitioners

To The Counter-Petitioners
1. Khoorban Hoossain Sahib
2. Paduk Hoossain Sahib
3. Goolam Hoossain Sahib
4. Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan, the legal personal Representative of Goolam Banker Ally Khan Bahadur died.

1889 August 30th
Paduk Hoossain Sahib, Goolam Hoossain Sahib and Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan the 2, 3d & 4th Counter-Petitioners were served personally on Tuesday the 10th September 1889 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them and Signed by them in pencil.

1889 Sept. 2d
Khoorban Hoossain Sahib the 1st counter-Petitioners was served on same day by a copy of the Notice being delivered to the 4th counter-Petitioners. Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan alleged to be the uncle of the said 1st counter-Petitioners and signed in pencil by the said 4th counter-Petitioners.
Dated. 11th September 1889.
A.V. C. Singaravelu
Bailiff.

1889 Sept. 30th
The Notice hereunto annexed was served by C. Singaravelu, an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d, 3d and 4th Counter-Petitioners Paduk & Hoossain Sahib, Goolam Hoossain Sahib and Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan on Tuesday the 10th day of September 1889 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements in pencil made by them.
And this Notice was served by the said Officer on the 1st Counter-Petitioner Khoorban Hoossain Sahib on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 4th Counter-Petitioner Mahomed Jaffer Hoossain Khan alleged to be uncle of the said 1st Counter-Petitioner as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by the 4th Counter-Petitioner.
16th September 1889
Sworn to before me
J. Higgins
Sheriff
A.V.

Appeal Against Order No. 70 of 1889. A.V.

Srimanta Raja Yarlagadda Durga Prasada Naidu & Bahadur garu Zemindar and another by the Honorable V. Bhashyam Aiyangar & Mr. V. C. Desika Chariar — Appellant and Srimanta Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu — Respondent

To The Respondent Srimanta Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu

1889 October 1st
Srimanta Rajah Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu the Respondent was personally served on Friday the 27th September 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him and signed by him in ink on the Original Notice.
Dated this 1st day of October 1889.
A.V. A. Narasimhulu Naidu
Bailiff.

1889 October 2d
This Notice was served by T. Anoonachellum an officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Srimanta Raja Yarlagadda Mallikarjuna Prasada Naidu Bahadur Zemindar Garu on Friday the 27th day of September 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.
2d October 1889
Sworn to before me
J. Higgins
Sheriff
A.V.

2 Orders 1/09

O.S. No. 15 of 1889 Dy.	N. Vijiaraghavoolo Chetty by Mr. Bilijiri Iyengar — Appellant Debtor and Caulooram Lalah — Respondent Creditor	To The Respondent Caulooram Lalah	1889 Augt 27th	1889 Sept 3d	1889 Sept 13th	1889 September 4th	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Caulooram Lalah on Tuesday the 3d day of September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 4th Sept 1889 } Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	
							The Respondent Caulooram Lalah was served personally on Tuesday the 3d September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 3d September 1889. C. Singaram Bailiff.	
C.M.P. No. 20 of 1889. Dy.	K. A. Annamalai Chetty — Petitioner and O. M. Lutchumana Chetty — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner O. M. Lutchumana Chetty	29th	3d	13th	Sept 9th	This Notice was served by T. Nuroonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter Petitioner O. M. Lutchumana Chetty on Thursday the 5th day of Sept/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. T. S. Ramanuja Chariar Vakil for the said Counter Petitioner as appears by the above endorsement made by the said T. S. Ramanuja Chariar 9th September 1889 } Sig'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	9 Sept/89
							Please serve the Notice herein against T. Ramanuja Chariar Vakil for O. M. Lutchumana Chetty Counter Petitioner herein vide letter 3d Sept/89 from the Vakil herein. Dy.	
							The Counter Petitioner O. M. Lutchumana Chetty was served on Thursday the 5th September 1889, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to the said Counter Petitioner, for in ink by the said T. S. Ramanuja Chariar Vakil on the Original Notice. Dated this 9th day of September 1889. Dy.	
Original Title Appeal No. 17 of 1889. Dy.	Mooneswami Naick and 4 others by Mr. K. R. Viswanadha Iyer — Appellants Plaintiffs and Mooneammal alias Rungammal Respondent Defendant	To The Respondent Mooneammal alias Rungammal	24th	4th	24th	Sept 25th	The Respondent Mooneammal alias Rungammal not being found, a copy of the Notice was affixed on Monday the 23d September 1889 on the outer door of the house No 28 Mroonachella Chetty Street Chepauk Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be ordinarily residing. Dated 28th September 1889 Dy. O. Thalasinguem Bailiff	
							As the within named Respondent Mooneammal alias Rungammal could not be found, a copy of this Notice was on Monday the 23d day of September 1889 affixed on the outer door of the house No 28 Mroonachella Chetty Street, Chepauk, Madras in which the said Respondent is said to be Ordinarily residing. 26th September 1889 } Serv'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff Dy.	25 Sept/89

C.M.P. No 1185 J 88	C. R. Kolandaivelu Mood: Executor of the Jagannadha Mudaliar's Estate by Mr R. Sada-gopa Chariar – Petitioner and Khader Ali Sahib & 4 others – Counter Petitioners	To The 4th Counter Petitioner Vijiammall 2d Widow of the deceased Appellant	1889 Sept: 4th	1889 Sept: 5th	1889 Oct: 1st	The 4th counter-petitioner Vijiammall was served personally on Saturday the 7th September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as signed by herself in pencil. Dated 7th September 1889. Singaram Bailiff.		
C.M.P. No 840 of 1889.	Ruthnasabapathy Mudy by Mr A O Masingam Chetty Petitioner in C.R.P. 239/89 and Sivasankara Tambiran Counter Petr – do do	To The Counter Petitioner Sivasankara Tambiran	1889 Sept: 7th	1889 Sept: 9th	1889 Oct: 1st	Sivasankara Tambiran, the Counter Petitioner was personally served on Sunday the 22d September 1889 by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him as signed on the Original Notice. Dated this 23d day of September 1889. Flemming Chetty Bailiff		
Original Civil Appeal No 18 of 1889	J. Gulam Mohideen Saib by Mr P. Ananda Charlu & Mr P. R Gopala Charlu – Appellant Plaintiff and Syed Mahomed Saib – Respondent Defendant	To The Respondent Syed Mahomed Saib	9th	10th	17th Sept:	The Respondent Syed Mahomed Saib was served personally on Tuesday the 10th day of September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil Dated 11th September 1889	O. Tholasingam Bailiff	

	Mr P. Sangaya Chariar Petitioner Vakil	C&M 6-9-89	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Counter Petitioner in Vijiammall on Saturday the 7th day of September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to her as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by her. 10th September 1889 Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889 Sept: 10th A.S. Fl. 1	10/9
	Mr A O Masingam Chetty Petitioners Vakil	O.T.	This Notice was served by J. Kuroona chellam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Sivasankara Tambiran on Sunday the 22d day of September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. 26th September 1889 Sewg J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Sept: 26th A.S. Fl. 1	26 Sept:
	Mr A O Masingam Chetty Petitioners Vakil	O.T.	This Notice was served by O. Tholasingam, an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Syed Mahmud Saib on Tuesday the 10th day of September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 12th September 1889 Sigd J. Higginbotham Sheriff	September 12th A.S. Fl. 1	12 Sept:

C.R.P. No. 239 of 1889 L.T.	Rathnasabhapathy Mudale by Mr. M. A. Alagangara Chanar — Petitioner and Sivasankara Thambiran — Counter-Petitioner.	To The Counter-Petitioner Sivasankara Thambiran	1889 Sept. 11th	1889 Sept. 13th	1889 October 18th	Sivasankara Thambiran the Counter-Petitioner was personally served on Sunday the 22nd September 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the Original Notice Dated this 23rd day of September 1889. L.T.	
C.R.P. No. 200 of 1889 L.T.	Raghavapershaud Lala and 2 others by Mr. Subrahmanya Aeyar — Petitioner and Lakshumana pershaud Lal — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Lakshumana pershaud Lal	17th	20th	1st	Lakshumana pershaud Lal the counter Petitioner was personally served on Sunday the 20th October 1889 by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil on the Original Notice. Dated this 21st day of October 1889. L.T.	
C.M.P. No. 24 of 1889 L.T.	Alapankam Sreeranga Chari by Mr. Sundram Iyer the — Petitioner and Alapankam Canacammal by her mother and next friend Chellathammal — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner Chellathammal the next friend of the minor Alapankam Canacammal.	13th	18th	Sept 25th	Chellathammal the next friend of the minor Alapankam Canacammal Petitioner is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Madras. although search has been made for her. Dated 23rd September 1889. L.T.	

Mr. S. Subramania Aiyar for K. & Alagangara Chanar Petitioner's Vakil

Mr. S. Lakshmana Aiyar for K. & Alagangara Chanar Petitioner's Vakil

18-9-89

	This Notice was Served by J. Aurona chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Sivasankara Sambiran on Sunday the 22nd day of September 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 26th September 1889 L.T. pow J. Higginbotham Sheriff.	1889 Sept. 26th Cts. L.T.	26 Sept/89	
	This Notice has not been served on the within named Chellathammall the mother and next friend of the within named Counter Petitioner Alapankam Canacammal as she is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for her. 23rd Septr 1889 L.T. Sig/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Sept. 23rd Cts. L.T.	23 Sept/89	
	This Notice was served by J. Aurona chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Lakshumana pershaud Lal on Sunday the 20th day of October 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 22nd October 1889 L.T. Sig/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	October 22nd Cts. L.T.	22-10-89	

Appeal Against Order No 12 of 1889 L.T.	D. McKiah by Mr. J. Rama Rao — Appellant and Vadala Narahari Nagamma and another — Respondents.	To The 2d Respondent The Acting Government Pleader and Public Prosecutor Madras.	1889 Sept. 19th	1889 Sept. 25th	1889 November 1st	The 2nd Respondent Government Pleader E.B. Powell Esqre was served on Wednesday the 9th day of October/89 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 9th October/89 L.T. O. Tholasingum a Bailiff	1889 Oct. 9th 9th Oct/89
2d C.R.P. No 136 of 1889 L.T.	Arumugam Pillai by Mr. P. Johnstone — Petitioner and Balaprasad & anr. — Counter Petitioner	To The 2d Counter Petr. Lal Naicken Jakur Prasad	Sept. 27th	Sept. 30th	Nov. 8th	The 2nd counter-Petitioner Lal Naicken Jakur Prasad was personally served on Friday the 25th October/89 by a copy of the notice being delivered to him as signed by him. Dated 26th October 1889. L.T. C. Singaram Bailiff	Oct. 28th 28th Oct/89
Original Side Appeal No. 19 of 1889 L.T.	Frederic Rowlandson Esqre by Messrs. Barclay and Morgan — Appellant Official Assignee and Conjeeveram Shanmuga Mudali and Messrs. Grant & Laing — Respondents	To The Respondents Conjeeveram Shanmuga Mudali & Messrs. Grant & Laing	October 2nd	Oct. 5th	Oct. 18th	J.W. Laing Esqr. who was on the 8th Oct/89 served with a copy of the Notice as signed by him in pencil on the 8th Oct. 1889 W.H. Middleton L.T.	Oct. 10th 10 Oct/89 Referring to the letter of 9th Oct/89 from Messrs. Barclay & Morgan attorneys the Original Notice & its copy were returned on the 10th Oct/89 to the Registrar Appellate Side for transmission to the Supreme Court at Singapore for Shanmuga Mudali L.T.

Mr. T. Rama Rao Appellant's Vakil O.T.

Messrs. Johnstone & Grant Petitioner's Counsel C.S.M. 30-9-89

Messrs. Barclay & Morgan Attorneys for Official Assignee

W.H. Middleton

This Notice was served by O. Tholasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Respondent E.B. Powell Esqr. the Govt. Pleader & Public Prosecutor on Wednesday the 9th day of October 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

9th October 1889 } Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.T.

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 2d Counter Petitioner Lal Naicken Jakur Prasad on Friday the 25th day of October 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him.

28th October 1889 } Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.T.

This Notice was served by W.H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 2d Respondent Messrs. Grant & Laing on the 8th October 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to J.W. Laing Esqr. a partner in the firm of Messrs. Grant & Laing as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said J.W. Laing.

10th October 1889 } Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.T.

transmission to the Supreme Court service on the 1st Respt. Conjeeveram a Contractor therein.

C.R. P.No 252 of 1889 Q.T.	Ranga Pillai by Mr. R. Sadagopachariar Petitioner and Jagannadha Mudali — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Jagannadha Mudali	1889 October 2d	1889 October 5th	1889 November 8th	Jagannadha Mudali the Counter Petitioner was personally served on Sunday the 27th day of October 1889, by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil on the original Notice. Dated this 30th day of October 1889.	F. Thiruvengadachelly Bailiff	This Notice was served by J. Annoonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner Jagannadha Mudali on Sunday the 27th day of October 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him. 31st October 1889	Sevy J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889. October 31st Q.T.
C.R. P.No 251 of 1889 Q.T.	Thiruvenkata Mudaliar by Mr. R. Sadagopachariar Petitioner and Arumuga Pillai — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Arumuga Pillai	2d	5th	8th	The Counter Petitioner Arumuga Pillai is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 8th November 89	O. Thalasingam Bailiff	This Notice has not been served on the within named Counter Petitioner Arumuga Pillai as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him. 8th November 1889	Sevy J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Novr 8th Q.T.
C.R. P.No 26 of 1889 Q.T.	Salar Nunnoo Meah Sahib by Messrs. Champion and Short — Petitioner (Appellant) and G. Krishnaswami Chetty — Counter-Petitioner	To The Counter-Petitioner G. Krishnaswami Chetty	7th	9th	Octr 28th	The Counter Petitioner G. Krishnaswami Chetty was served personally on Thursday the 10th October 89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil 10th October 89	O. Thalasingam Bailiff	This Notice was served by O. Thalasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner G. Krishnaswami Chetty on Thursday the 10th day of October 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. 11th October 1889	Sevy J. Higginbotham Sheriff	October 11th Q.T.

C.M. Appeal No 84 of 1889	Parachoor Ramakka by Mr A Venkataramiah Chetty — Appellant	To The Government Pleader Madras.	1889 Oct 15	1889 Oct 16	1889 Nov 22	This Notice was served by me Samuel Holmes an officer of the Sheriff on E. B. Powell Esqr the Government Pleader, Madras on Thursday the 17th day of October 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him. 18th October 1889 Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	1889 October 18th	18th Oct 89
						E. B. Powell Esqr Govt Pleader was on Thursday on the 17th Oct 89 served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement made by him on the 17th Oct 1889 } S Holmes		
C.M.P No 24 of 89	Alapankam Srirunga Chari By Mr C.V. Sundram Sastri — Petitioner and A. Kanakammal by her mother & next friend Chellathammal — Counter Petitioner	To The Counter Petitioner Chellathammal.	Oct 22	Oct 25	Nov 6	This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Counter-Petitioner A. Kanakammal on Thursday the 31st day of October 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Chellathammal the mother and next friend of the said A Kanakammal as appeared by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Chellathammal 1st November 1889 } Sd/ J Higginbotham Sheriff	November 1st	1st Nov 89
			22nd Chellathammal the mother and next friend of A Kanakammal the counter petitioner named in the petition was served on Thursday the 31st October 1889 by delivering a copy of the notice being delivered to her as marked by her in pencil. Dated 31st October 1889.					
						C. Singaram Bailiff		
C.M.P No 962 of 1889	Bala Gowri Vallabha Iyer by Mr T. Subramaniam Iyer — Petitioner (Appellant in Appeal 2/89) and Periasami alias Udayana Iyer & 8 others — Counter Petitioners (Respondents)	To The 7th to 9th Counter Petitioners	Nov 30	Dec 1	Dec 2	This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on Mr E. F. Strawack the 8th Counter Petitioner on Monday the 4th day of Nov 89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. And Mr R. G. Orr and Mr J. Ryan the 7th to 9th Counter Petitioners are severally not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for them. 30th November 1889 } Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	Nov 30th	30th Nov 89
			Mr E. F. Strawack 8th Counter Petitioner was served on Monday the 4th Nov 89 by the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him Mr R. G. Orr and Mr J. Ryan the 7th to 9th Counter Petitioners are severally not to be found although search has been made for them. Sd/ [illegible]					
			30th	Nov 1st	Dec 2nd			

O.S.
Appeal
No
21
of 1889
L.T.

J. V. Soolyaram Row
by Messrs Branson & Branson
— Appellant
(Defendant)

Colundai Nachi Ammal
and 3 others — Respondents
(Plaintiffs)

To
The Respondents

1889 Oct. 28th
1889 Nov. 1st
1889 Nov. 18th

*1. Colundai Nachi ammal
2. V.K.V. Arulambala Moodaly
3. V.K.V. Tirichittambala Moodelly
4. V.K.V. Malavaroya Moodely

*Colundai Nachi ammal, a hindu widow and administratrix of the estate & effects of the late Veerasondra Koonasagara Vyrapoothoodi Mudaliar a partner in the business of the Scottish Press; for herself and as mother and guardian of the 3rd and 4th Respondents residing at Conjeevaram Pettah of Madras

The 1st Respondent Colundai Nachi Ammall on her own behalf and as the Mother and Guardian of the 3rd and 4th minor Respondents V.K.V. Tirichittambala Moodelly and V.K.V. Malavaroya Moodelly, and the 2d Respondent V.K.V. Arulambala Moodelly were personally served on Sunday the 10th day of November 1889 by Copies of the Notice, being delivered to each of them as marked and Signed by them respectively in pencil, on the Originall Notice.

Dated this 12th day of November 1889.

Peroomalsawmy
Bailiff

L.T.

Messrs Branson & Branson
Attorneys

L.T.

1889.

This Notice was Served by J. Anoonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Colundai Nachi Ammall on her own behalf and on behalf of the within named 3rd and 4th Respondents V.K.V. Tirichittambala Moodelly and V.K.V. Malavaroya Moodelly as their mother and guardian on Sunday the 10th day of November 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to the said 1st Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by her.

Nov. 13th A.S. R.

And this Notice was Served by the said Officer on the within named 2d Respondent V.K.V. Arulambala Moodelly on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.

13th November 1889

Seen J. Huggin Botham
Sheriff

L.T.

13 Nov. 1889

Pauper

Original Side Appeal No 22 of 89 L.P.

P. Veerasamy Naiker — Appellant (Plffs. & 2263/88) and Ramasamy Naiker and 3 others — Respondents (Defendants &c)

To The Respondents
1. Ramasamy Naiker
2. Alimaloo Ammal
3. Parthasarathee Naiker and
4. Moonisawmi Naiker

1889 Nov. 11th
1889 Nov. 12th
1889 December 9th

Ramasawmy Naiker, Alimaloo ammal, Parthasarathee Naiker and Moonisawmi Naiker respectively the 1st 2nd 3rd and 4th Respondents were severally served personally on Tuesday the 19th November 89 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as marked by them in pencil.

21st November 1889. C. Thalaisingam Bailiff

L.P.

P. Veerasamy Naiker
Appellant
C. Thalaisingam

This Notice was served by C. Thalaisingam an officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 4th Respondents Ramasami Naicker, Alimaloo Ammal, Parthasarathee Naicker and Moonisawmi Naicker on Tuesday the 19th day of November 1889 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them respectively.

21st November 1889 Sheriff's Office J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1889 Novr 21st

L.P. 21 Novr 89

Original Side Appeal No 23 of 1889 L.P.

Davalla Janakiammah by Mr. P. V. Krishnasamy Chetty — Appellant (3rd Defendant) and Davalla Ramasawmy Iyah and 2 others — Respondents (Plffs. 1st & 2nd Defts.)

To The Respondents
1. Davalla Ramasamy Iyah
2. Davalla Subroyalu Iyah &
3. Davalla Venkatarama Iyah

Act. 29th 13th 2nd

The 1st Respondent Davalla Ramasawmy Iyah was personally served on the 23rd November 1889, and the 2nd and 3rd Respondents Davalla Subroyalu Iyah and Davalla Venkatarama Iyah were personally served on Saturday the 23rd November 1889. by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as endorsed by them.

Dated 25th November 1889.

L.P. C. Singaram Bailiff.

P. V. Krishnasamy Chetty
Appellant
C. Thalaisingam

13-11-89

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st Respondent Davalla Ramasamy Iyah on Saturday the 23rd day of November 89 and on the within named 2nd and 3rd Respondents Davalla Subroyalu Iyah and Davalla Venkatarama Iyah on Sunday the 24th day of November 1889 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the endorsements made by them respectively.

27th November 1889. Sheriff's Office J. Higginbotham Sheriff

L.P.

Novr 27th

27 Novr

Original Side Appeal No 24 of 89 L.P.

V. Raghava Chariar by Mr. K. P. Viswanadha Iyer — Appellant

Counter Petitioner & 2d Defendant in Civil suit 36/84 on the file of the Original Side of this Court.

and

Nautoo and P. K. V. Rajaratna Mudaly — Respondent Petitioners in -do-

2d Notice

1889 Nov. 18th

To the Respondent N. P. K. V. Rajaratna Mudaly.

The Respondent N. P. K. V. Rajaratna Mudaly was personally served on the 4th December 1889, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him as signed by him in ink on the original Notice

Dated this 6th day of December 1889.

K. P. Viswanadha Iyer Petitioners Vakil

1889 Nov. 20th

1889 Decr. 16th

This Notice was served by J. Aruvonachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent N. P. K. V. Rajaratna Mudaly on Wednesday the 4th day of December by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him.

9th December 1889

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1889 Decr. 9th

9 Decr 89

Appeal against Order No. 82 of 1889 L.P.

Mongandompulath Narayanan Musad by Mr. P. R. Sundrum Iyer — Appellant

and

Kariambah Bamblasheri Madhavan Numbier & 7 others — Respts.

1890 Jany. 17th

To The 6th Respt. Bemblasheri Govindan Numbiar

The 6th Respondent Bemblasheri Govindan Numbiar is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court Original Jurisdiction although search has been made for him.

17th January 1890.

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

1890 Jany. 17th

This Notice has not been served on the 6th Respondent Bemblasheri Govindan Numbiar as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

17th January 1890

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

17th Jany 1890

O.S. Appeal No 25 of 1889 L.P.	P. Yagambara Mudali by Mr. P. Arunthachalle — Appellant (Office's No 69/87) and P. Theyagaraya Mudali — Respondent (Offs in do)	To The Respondent P. Theyagaroya Mudali	1889 Nov. 29th	1889 Nov. 29th	1890 Janry 8th	P. Theyagaroya Mudali the Respondent was personally served on Wednesday the 4th December 1889, by a copy of the Notice, being delivered to him, as signed by him in pencil, on the Original Notice. Dated this 6th day of December 1889. P. Arunmychellum Bailiff
C.R. Nos 312 & 313 of 1888 L.P.	Veeraraghava Iyen & others by Mr. R. Shadagopachari — Petitioners and K.C.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir an Insolvent represented by the Official Assignee at Madras — Counter Petitioner	To The 1st Counter Petitioner The Official Assignee at Madras.	Decr 3rd	Decr 5th	Janry 17th	The Offl Assignee F. Rowlandson Esqr was served on Thursday the 5th Decr/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in ink on the Orgl Notice 5th Decr 1889 W.H. Middleton Bailiff L.P.
Appeal against Orders Nos 138 & 139 of 1888 L.P.	Veeraraghava Iyer & 2 others in 138 & Seshu Iyer & 2 others in 139 by Mr. R. Shadagopachari — Appellants and K.C.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir by the Official Assignee at Madras — Respondents	To The Respondent The Official Assignee at Madras.	do	do	do	The Offl Assignee F. Rowlandson Esqr was served on Thursday the 5th Decr/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed in ink on the Original Notice. 5th Decr 1889 W.H. Middleton Bailiff L.P.

Mr. P. Arunthachalle Appellant

Mr. R. Shadagopachari Counsel for Petitioners

Mr. K.C.P.M.S. Mahomed Kossim Marakoir Respondent

Mr. Wallace Counsel for Respondent

This Notice was served by J. Arunachellum an Offr of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent P. Theyagaroya Mudali on Wednesday the 4th day December of December 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him. Serv'd J. Higginbotham Sheriff 11th December 1889 L.P. — 1889. 11 Decr

This Notice was served by W.H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the 1st Counter Petitioner F. Rowlandson Esqr. the Official Assignee of Madras on Thursday the 5th day of December 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. Sd J. Higginbotham Sheriff 6th December 1889 L.P. — 1889 Decr 6th Cr 6 Decr 89 Sd

This Notice was served by W.H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the Respondent F. Rowlandson Esqr. the Official Assignee of Madras on Thursday the 5th day of December 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement made by him. Sd J. Higginbotham Sheriff 6th December 1889 L.P. — Decr 6th Cr 6 Decr 89 Sd

80

61 Appeal No. 28 of 89	V. Kotappa Chetty minor by his mother & guardian V. Kanniammall by her agt. M. A. Narasimha Chary — Appellant Proposer Defts. C.S. No. 143/88 and 1. A. Mackenzie Esqr. 2 P. Macfadyen Esqr. 3. G. G. Arbuthnot Esqr. 4. R. J. H. Arbuthnot Esqr. 5. C. E. Vansagnew Esqr. Respondents (Plff in do)	To The Respondents Carrying on business on the 1st Line Beach at Madras under the name of Messrs Arbuthnot & Co.	1889 Decr 6th	1889 Decr 6th	1890 Janry 8th	E. Barclay Esqr was served on the Friday the 6th Decr/89 on behalf of the Respondents by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on the original Notice. W. H. Middleton 6th Decr/89.	
C.M. P. No. 40 of 1889 (Original Side)	V. Kotappa Chetty, minor by his mother and guardian V. Kanniammall by her agent P. Subramaneya Chetty Vs. M. A. Narasimha Chary — Petitioner (appt. in O.S. No. 28/89) and 1. A. Mackenzie Esqr. 2. P. Macfadyen Esqr. 3. G. G. Arbuthnot Esqr. 4. R. J. H. Arbuthnot Esqr. 5. C. E. Vansagnew Esqr. Counter Petitioner Respondents in do	To The Respondents. Carrying on business on the 1st Line Beach at Madras under the name of Messrs Arbuthnot & Co.	1889 December 9th	10th	20th	E. Barclay Esqr was served on Tuesday the 10th day of December/89 on behalf of the Respondents by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him on the Original Notice 10th December/89. Reader	

S. Kotasamy
v/s M. A. Narasimha Chary
Petitioner's Attorney

This Notice was served by W. H. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents A. Mackenzie Esqre, P. Macfadyen Esqre, G. G. Arbuthnot Esqre, R. J. H. Arbuthnot Esqre & C. E. Vansagnew Esqre on Friday the 6th day of December 1889 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan, Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Ernest Barclay. Barclay. 9th December 1889	1889 December 9th	J. Hegg
This Notice was served by Andrew Cooper an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents A. Mackenzie Esqre, P. Macfadyen Esqre, G. G. Arbuthnot Esqre, R. J. H. Arbuthnot Esqre & C. E. Vansagnew Esqre on Tuesday the 10th day of December 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqre a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsement made by the said Ernest Barclay. 12th December 1889	12th December	Sheriff

Original Side Appeal No. 26 of 1889 L.J.

Pedda Govinda Bathudu by M. K. P. Viswanadha Iyer — Appellant (Plaintiff in civil suit No. 262/88)

and

1. T. Cooppa Bathudu
2. Parthasarathy Chetty
and
3. P. Chinna alias Lakshmi Narayana Bathudu — Respondents (Defts. in do.)

To The Respondents.

1. T. Cooppa Bathudu
2. Parthasarathy Chetty
and
3. P. Chinna alias Lakshmi Narayana Bathudu

1889 December 6th — T. Cooppa Bathudu, Parthasarathy Chetty & 1st & 2nd Respondents were severally served personally on Tuesday the 2nd December 89 by copies of the Notice being delivered to them as signed by them in pencil. On the same day P. Chinna alias Lakshmi Narayana Bathudu the 3rd Respondent was served by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Venkatachellum alias Venkatachellum alleged to be the adult brother of the 3rd Respondent as appears by the 3rd Respondent's signature in pencil to the said Venkatachellum.

6th January 1890 — C. Tholasingam Bailiff

1889 December 11th — 1889 December 6th

1890 January 8th

This Notice was served by C. Tholasingam an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 1st and 2nd Respondents T. Cooppa Bathudu and Parthasarathy Chetty on Tuesday the 24th day of December 1889 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to them as appears by the above endorsements in pencil made by them.

And this Notice was served by the said Affidavit on the within named 3rd Respondent P. Chinna alias Lakshmi Narayana Bathudu on the same day by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Venkatachellum alleged to be the adult brother of the said 3rd Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said Venkatachellum.

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

7th January 1890

C.T.

1890 January 7th

C.T.

2nd Appeal No. 1506 of 1889 L.J.

Papa Reddi by Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Pahib — Appellant

and

Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu and 3 others — Respondents

To The Respondents.

1. Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu
2. Venkataraman Juglu Naidu
3. Venkatarungum Naidu
4. Venkatapathy Naidu

1889 December 12th — 1889 December 13th — 1890 February 25th

The 4th Respondent Venkatapathy Naidu was personally served on Monday the 24th February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as signed by him in pencil. The 1st 2nd and 3rd Respondents Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu, Venkatramangellu Naidu, and Venkatarungum Naidu, severally not being found, by copies of the Notice were affixed on Monday the 24th February 1890 on the outer door of the house in Venkatalla Naidu Street at Triplicane Madras in which the said Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing.

Dated 24th February 1890

C. Singaram. Bailiff.

Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Appellant's Vakil

O. S. No. 1506 of 1889

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the 4th Respondent Venkatapathy Naidu on Monday the 24th day of February 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.

And as the 1st, 2nd & 3rd Respondents Venkatavaradarajulu Naidu, Venkataramangellu Naidu and Venkatarungum Naidu could not be found, copies of this Notice were on the said 24th day of February 1890 affixed on the outer door of the house in Venkatalla Naidu Street at Triplicane in which the said 1st, 2nd & 3rd Respondents are said to be ordinarily residing.

Sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

24th February 1890

C.T.

Feby. 24th

C.T.

24th Feby/90

Original Side Appeal No. 29 of 1889 L.J.	M. Coopoosawmy Naidu, minor by his next friend and guardian Lakshminarasu Naidu by his R. Balajee Row — Appellant (Affm'd 7.C.A. 5/88) and M. Chinniah Naidu — Respondent (Appellant in 88)	To The Respondent M. Chinniah Naidu Executor with probate of the last will and testament of M. Coopoosawmy Naidu (deceased)	1889 December 17th	1889 December 20th	1890 January 20th	This Notice was served by T. Arunachellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent M. Chinniah Naidu on Wednesday the 15th day of January 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Mr. C. N. Nunniah Chetty Sarn Vakil for the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement made by the said C. N. Nunniah Chetty. Sgd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff 18th January 1890 L.J.	1890 January 18th	18 Jany/90
		The Respondent M. Chinniah Naidu was served on Wednesday the 15th January 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to C. N. Nunniah Chettiyar Esquire Vakil for the said Respondent as signed in ink by the said C. N. Nunniah Chettiyar for the said Respondent on the Original Notice. Dated this 17th January 1890. L.J.				Please serve this Notice on Mr. C. N. Nunniah Chetty Sarn Vakil for the Respondent herein vide letter of 20th Decr/89 from the Vakil herein. L.J.			
Appeal No. 121 of 1889 L.J.	Lubbier by Mr. C. Ramachendra Row Sahib — Appellant and Appandai Naicker and 6 others — Respondents	To The 6th & 7th Respondents 6. Devaier 7 - Appavier	Decr 17th	Decr 20th	March 17th	Devaier the 6th Respondent on Friday the 3rd January 1890 and Appavier the 7th Respondent on Saturday the 4th January 1890 by copies of the Notice as being delivered to them as appears by their respective endorsements in pencil made by them 6th January 1890 O. Thelasingum Bailiff	1890 January 9th	9th Jany/90
Original Side Appeal No. 30 of 1889 L.J.	D. Nambirumahah by Mr. T. Rama Row — Appellant (Defendant in Civil Suit No 142/88) and A. Mackenzie Esqr. P. Macfadyen Esqr. G.G. Arbuthnot Esqr. R.J.H. Arbuthnot Esqr. & C.E.P. Vansagnew Esqr. Respondents (Plaintiffs in do)	To The Respondents 1. A. Mackenzie Esqr. 2. P. Macfadyen Esqr 3. G.G. Arbuthnot Esqr 4. R.J.H. Arbuthnot Esqr & 5. C.E.P. Vansagnew Esqr. Carrying on business together in Madras as Copartnership as merchants, agents & Bankers under the Style or Firm of Arbuthnot & Company			January 20th	The Respondents herein were served on Saturday the 21st Decr/89 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esqr. a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay & Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the endorsements were on the original Notice by the said E. Barclay - 21st Decr 1889 W.H. Macalester Bailiff	This Notice was served by Mr. W. Middleton an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondents A. Mackenzie Esqre, P. Macfadyen Esqre, G.G. Arbuthnot Esqre, R.J.H. Arbuthnot Esqre and C.E. Vansagnew Esquire on Saturday the 21st day of December 1889 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to Ernest Barclay Esquire a partner in the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan Attorneys for the said Respondents as appears by the above endorsements made by the said Ernest Barclay 9th January 1890 L.J. Sgd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff	January 9th	9th Jany/90

			1889	1890	1890
2-Appe No. 1576 of 89	Kunthasamy Mudaly Lawo by Mr. T. Ramanja Chariar — Appellant and Vytheanatha Iyer son of Ramasawmy Iyer — Respondent	To The Respondent Vythenatha Iyer.	Decr 21st	Janr 6th	March 4th

The Respondent Vythenathan Iyer was personally served on Thursday the 30th January 1890 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as Signed by himself in pencil.
Dated 31st January 1890.
G. Singaram.
Bailiff.

			1890		
Original Side Appeal No. 34 of 89	R. Subramanya Sastrulu & R. Seetharamiah by Mr. R. Sadagopachary — Appellants (2nd Sr. Dy. from 6th No. 228/88) and V. Paravatharamamaruthanamma — Respondent (Defendo)	To The Respondent V. Paravatharamma.	Janry 8th	Janr 10th	Febry 10th

The Respondent V. Paravatharamma. ruthnamma was served on Friday the 7th day of February 1890, by a Copy of the Notice, being delivered to the within named V. Audiseshiah the alleged Constituted Attorney of the said V. Paravatharamamaruthnamma as assigned in pencil by the said V. Audiseshiah for the said Respondent on the original Notice.
Dated this 8th day of February 1890.
Attorney Bellum
Bailiff

Original Side Appeal No. 35 of 89	U. Venkatasubbiah by Mr. P. Anantha Charlu — Appellant Affdt Cr. Dy. 296/89 and Boddupally Narayana Iyer — Respondent (Defendant Dr)	To The Respondent Boddupally Narayana Iyer	8th	10th	10th

The Respondent Boddupally Narayana Iyer was served personally on Saturday the 8th Feb/90 by a copy of the Notice being delivered to him as Signed by him in pencil
8th Feb/90.
A Thalasingum
Bailiff

Mr. T. Ramanja Chariar
Appellant's Vakil

Mr. R. Sadagopachary
Appellant's Vakil

Mr. P. Anantha Charlu
Appellant's Vakil

Cost 6-1-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Vythenatha Iyer on Thursday the 30th day of January 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the endorsement in pencil made by him.
4th February 1890
Sig/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

1890 Feby 4th Cur
4th Feby/90

This Notice was served by T. Narrana-chellum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent V. Parvatharamamaruthanamma on Friday the 7th day of February 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to V. Audiseshiah the Constituted Attorney of the said Respondent as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by the said V. Audiseshiah.
10th February 1890
Sig/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

February 10th Cur
10th Feby/90

This Notice was served by A. Thalasingum an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named Respondent Boddupally Narayana Iyer on Saturday the 8th day of February 1890 by a Copy of the Notice being delivered to him as appears by the above endorsement in pencil made by him.
10th February 1890
Sig/ J. Higginbotham
Sheriff

February 10th Cur
10th Feby/90

Original Side Appeal No 32 of 1889

Furnished with only three copies for service

D.V.

V. Kalyana Rama Iyer — Appellant Plaintiff in C.S. No 123/89

and

1. G. Kistnasamy Iyer
2. C. Annadurai Iyer
3. A. Arjadarai Iyengar
4. T.R. Ramanadha Iyer Carrying on business in Esplanade Black Town Madras under the name and Style of A. Arjadorai Iyer & Co.
5. C. Streenivasa Chari
6. Varada Chari Carrying on business in Pycrofts Road Triplicane under the Style of Streenevasa Varada Chari & Co.

and

7. G. R. Soundranayagaur alias G.T. Gilmore author and Publisher —— Respondents

(Defendants)

To

The Respondents

1. G. Kistnasamy Iyer,
2. C. Annadura Iyer
3. A. Arjadurai Iyengar
4. T. R. Ramanadha Iyer Carrying on business in Esplanade Black Town as book sellers under the name or Style of A. Arjadurai Iyengar & Co.
5. C. Streenivasa Chary
6. Varada Chari Carrying on business as Booksellers in Pycrofts Road Triplicane under the Style of Streenivasa Varada Chari & Co.
7. G.R. Soundranayagaur Pillai alias G.T. Gilmore author & publisher Anderson Street Black Town Madras.

1890 Jany 10th

1890 Jany 10th

1890 Feby 10

The 3rd Respondent A. Arjadurai Iyengar a partner in the firm of Arjadurai Iyer & Co and the 7th Respondent G.R. Soundranayagaur Pillai alias G.T. Gilmore were served personally on Friday the 10th January 1890 and the 5th Respondent C. Streenivasa Chary a partner in the firm of Streenivasa Varadachari & Co was served personally on Saturday the 11th January 1890, each by a copy of the notice being delivered to them as acknowledged by them.

Dated 17th January 1890.

C. Singaram. Bailiff.

Appeal No 138 of 1889

D.V.

Parathy Appayya Setty by Hon. Bhashyam Ayengar — Appellant

G. Rangiah Setty and 3 others — Respondents

To

The 3rd Respondent Gumpoody Narayana Setty

April 11th 16th 14th

The 3rd Respondent Gumpoody Narayana Setty is not to be found within the local limits of the High Court of Madras although search has been made for him.

Dated 16th April 1890.

C. Singaram.

V. Kalyana Rama Iyer — Appellant

Hon. V. Bhashyam Ayengar — Appellant's Vakil

D.V. 10-1-90

This Notice was served by C. Singaram an Officer of the Sheriff on the within named 3rd Respondent A. Arjadurai Iyengar, a partner in the within named firm of Messrs A. Arjadurai Iyengar & Co and on the within named 7th Respondent G.R. Soundranayagam Pillay alias G.T. Gilmore on Friday the 10th day of January 1890 and on the within named 5th Respondent C. Streenevasa Chary a partner in the within named firm of Messrs Streenevasa Varada Chary & Co on Saturday the 11th day of January 1890 by Copies of the Notice being delivered to the said 3rd 7th and 5th Respondents as appears by the above endorsements made by them respectively.

22nd January 1890 } Benj J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

1890 January 22nd

22-Jany/90

This Notice has not been served on the 3rd Respondent Gumpoody Narayana Setty as he is not to be found within the local limits of the Ordinary Original Jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras although search has been made for him.

14th April 1890 } sd/ J. Higginbotham Sheriff

C.P.

April 14th

14th April/90

354 Bags

1732
546
354