Vol No 1

12432

Mayor's Court Records
Series XIV Records of the Court of Recorder · V° 11)
1774-1775 — Vol (1)

/12432

of Petitions

from No 1
to No 13

Mayors Ct

Register of

25,000—4-5-12.

Block 7

Rack No.

Shelf No.

Serial No. 1

General No.

439

Reuters' inst

Number & Date of Receipt	Complainant	Defendant	Subject			~~Decision~~ Proceedings and Decision
1794 30th	Trounmallee Bramins	The Village Curnum	Mentioning the Curnum have not held Tiruvotacal Inabob [illegible] the lands and engaging to relinquish the Curnum will [illegible]	having asserted that they Maniums were the right by the Poligars their pretensions of to it in the Pagoda —	1794 Novr 30th	Whether the Bramins held the Maniums in question or not is immaterial — It is evident to me they have no right to them, their Petition is therefore dismissed —
1794 Novr 21	Mummumungalum Inhabitants	Mummumungalum Renters	mentioning the [illegible] of Sutemfund, praying that it may be levied from them, as with the rest of the Inhabitants of the Jaghire 1/2 p Ct. —		1794 Decr 2	The Conduct of the Renters here complained of is on reference to Curnums records found to be according to [illegible], but at the desire of the Inhabitants the matter is to be referred to the Board —
					Decr 11	The Board consent to the above, an order is issued to the Aumildar accordingly to take care that no more than 1/2 p Ct. be collected from the commencement of Fusly 1204 —
Novr 28th 1794	Yerenniah of Panthum Village	Vencatacham	— for turning him out of his House & threatening him, —		28 Novr 1794	This dispute having been settled by Mr Davall, & the defendant having acted, in defiance of his determination, he is slightly punished & fined 1 Pagoda —

Number & Date of Receipt	Complainant	Defendant	Subject		~~Decision~~ Proceedings and Decision
1794 30th	Noumallee Bramins	The Village Curnum	Mentioning the Curnum have not held Tierotatal Nabob resumed the lands and engaging to relinquish the Curnum with service having asserted that they Maunneams were the seized by the Poligars their pretensions of to it in the Pagoda—	1794 Novr 30th	Whether the Bramins held the Maunnaum in question or not is immaterial— It is evident to me they have no right to them, their Petition is therefore dismissed —
1794 Decr 21	Munnemungalum Inhabitants	Munnemungalum Renters	Mentioning the over assessment of Sadernyard, praying that it may be levied from them, as with the rest of the Inhabitants of the Jaghire 1/2 pCt.—	1794 Decr 2	The Conduct of the Renters here complained of is on reference to Curnum's records found to be according to amount, but at the desire of the Inhabitants the matter is to be referred to the Board —
				Decr 11	The Board consent to the above, an order is issued to the Aumildar accordingly to take care that no more than 1/2 pCt. be collected from the commencement of Fusly 1204 —
Novr 28th 1794	Yerreviah of Puthum Village	Vencatacham	for turning him out thereof, & threating of his House & him,—	28 Novr 1794	This dispute having been settled by Mr Dawall, & the defendant having acted in defiance of his determination, he is slightly punished & fined 1 Pagoda —

No & date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject			Decision
1794 th Nov 30	Noumallee Brammins		Praying that the Inhabi Tickery, be made to ~~that they~~ the Brammins did not Teertee call Maunium Sunnud &c from the Nabob, they will relinquish them —	tants, Brammins &c declare on oath that enjoy the in right of a which if they do all claim to	1794 30 Nov	These Mauniums being recommended to be resumed, it is of no consequence whether or not they were ever enjoyed —
5 December 2nd 1794	Ecaudoo Inhabitant of Appaujie Mutaly & three other Inhabi= =tants —	The Renter Mooneyapah Mutaly —	That the Renter will a share in the Crop of neither will he divide are now on the Ground	not allow them that village, the Crops which & spoiling	1794 6 Dec	The Petitioner varying in his Examination from what his Petition sets forth, which is that all the Inhabitants were excluded, but being now able to speak only for himself & having no proof is told to bring Witnesses of the fact — With regard to the Renter refusing to divide the Grain, a muster of it was [illegible] brought to me actually sprouting but as the attention of every one is now required to the Tanks, the Petitioner is directed to return to me in 3 or 4 days, or when all danger to them shall be over —
Dec 3d 1794	Soobyah of Praunyem= =put in the Poonamallee District	Nadunjeny Inhabitants	For preventing him the the Water of a Tank to entitled, that the In Nadunjeny, having tak stones pointing out the village Land encroached on thereto, & otherwise illuse	use of the part of which he is habitants of en away the limits of his the ground belong. him —	1794 4 Dec 31	The Aumildar ordered to enquire into, & report upon the Subject for my decision — An order to the Aumildar to adjust the dispute about the water course accordingly; But as it appears as well by this paper as the confession of the complainant himself that he has unnecessarily referred a matter to me which fall to the province of the Aumildar who he admits never refused to do him justice — a fine is imposed upon him of 2 Rupees —

No & date of Receipt		Complainants	Defendants	Subject			Decision ——
4	1794 th Novr 30	Noumallee Bramines		Praying that the Inha- Tukery, be made to ~~that they~~ the Bramins did not Teervee all Maunniums Sunnud from the Nabob, they will relinquish them ——	tants, Curnums &c. of declare on oath enjoy the in right of a which if they do all claim to	1794 30 Novr	These Maunniums being recommended to be resumed, this of no consequence whether or not they were ever enjoyed —
5	December 2nd 1794	Cumdoo Inhabitant Appaujee Mootaly & three other Inhabitants ——	The Renter Moomyapah Mootaly ——	That the Renter will a share in the Crop of neither will he divide are now on the Ground	not allow them that village, the Crops, which spoiling	1794 6 Decr	The Petitioner varying in his Examination from what his Petition sets forth, which is that all the Inhabitants were excluded, but being now able to speak only for himself & having no proof is told to bring Witnesses of the fact — With regard to the Renter refusing to divide the Grain, a muster of it was set brought to me actually shooting out but as the attention of every one is now required to the Tanks, the Petitioner is directed to return to me in 3 or 4 days, or when all danger to them shall be over ——
6	Decr 3d 1794	Subyah of Prauyempet in the Poonamallee District	Nadumjeny Inhabitants	For preventing him the the Water of a Tank, to entitled, that the In- Nadumjeny, having taken stones pointing out the village Lands, carried thereby, & otherwise illuse	use of the part of which he is habitants of on away the limits of his the ground belongs him —	1794 4 Decr 31	The Aumildar ordered to enquire into, & Report upon the Subject for my decision — An order to the Aumildar to adjust the dispute about the watercourse accordingly; But as it appears as well by the paper as the confession of the Complainant himself that he has unnecessarily referred a matter to me which fall to the province of the Aumildar who he admits never refused to do him justice — a fine is imposed upon him of 2 Rupees —

No & date of Receipt		Complainant	Defendant	Subject		Decision
4	1794 Novr 30th	Noomallee Brammins		Praying that the Inha tants, Cunnums & Tukery, be made to declare on oath ~~that they~~ the Brammins did not enjoy the Tenth of all Maniums in right of a Sunnud from the Nabob, which if they or they will relinquish all claim to them	1794 30 Novr	These Maniums being recommended to be resumed, this of no consequence whether or not they were ever enjoyed —
5	December 2nd 1794	Ecundoo Inhabitant, Appauji Mustaly & three other Inhabitants	The Renter Moomeyapah Mustaly	That the Renter will not allow them a share in the Crop of that village, neither will he divide the Crops, which are now on the Ground & spoiling	1794 6 Decr	The Petitioner varying in his Examination from what his Petition sets forth, which is that all the Inhabitants were excluded, but being now able to speak only for himself & having no proof is told to bring Witnesses of the fact — With regard to the Renter refusing to divide the Grain, a muster of it was brought to me actually shooting out but as the attention of every one is now required to the Tanks, the Petitioner is directed to return to me in 3 or 4 days, or when all danger to them shall be over —
6	Decr 3d 1794	Soobyah of Pravyem-put in the Poonamallee District	Nadumjery Inhabitants	For preventing him the use of the part of the Water of a Tank to which he is entitled, that the Inhabitants of Nadumjery, having taken on away the stones pointing out the limits of his village [illegible] the ground belong'g thereto, & thrown illegal him —	1794 4 Decr 31	The Aumildar ordered to enquire into, & Report upon the Subject for my decision — An order to the Aumildar to adjust the dispute about the watercourse accordingly; But as it appears as well by this paper as the confession of the complainant himself that he has unnecessarily referred a matter to me which fell to the province of the Aumildar who he admits never refused to do him justice — a fine is imposed upon him of 2 Rupees —

	No.	Dates of Receipt	Complainant	Defendant	Subject		Decision —
4	7	1794 Decr 6	Status Mazumdars of the N.D.J		Praying that as they ha[illegible] allowed by the Board [illegible] in making up the [illegible] which being now finis[illegible] may be allowed them [illegible] been reinstated & assist the Conicoplies Teerwa Accounts, & as they request they Meerasee —	Decr 8th 1794	The Teerwa Accounts being under examination & comparing with those of the Canums, the Merits of their Petition will be considered when it shall have been known in what manner the Mazumdars have discharged their duty —
5	8	Decr 8th 1794	Inhabitants of Padanytangel —	Inhabitants of Punwakum —	For taking away 4 stones [illegible] planting out the bounda[illegible] preventing them raising a [illegible] former disputes of their [illegible] been settled in conseque[illegible] limits, the stones being [illegible] the arbitrators of that [illegible] which were placed for [illegible] of the two villages Hedge, owing to a [illegible] but which had [illegible] of their renewing the [illegible] fixed by orders from [illegible] dispute,	Decr 10 1794 24 Febry 16th	The Head Inhabitants of Punwaukum residing at Madras refusing attendance, an order is issued to the Aumildar of Poonamallee to publish by Beat of Tom Tom, that if they do not appear at my Cutchery within 8 days from this date, they shall forfeit their Meerasee — Forwarded to Mr Place with the Petitioners — Vide Minute in No. 18. —
6	9	Decr 8 1794	Varichen Wellavoil Inhabitants —	Typermaul Chitty Sirtrummar of Vichen & Wellaywoil & Teekanny his Aumildar,	That Typermaul Aumildar in office have complained to him [illegible] their Accounts & pay them the Aumildar in conseq[illegible] Village Correctly has [illegible] Years past, that in their taking the village ~~[illegible]~~ they complained to Madras, who have sent to seize any of the Inhab[illegible] Villages that a former [illegible] or Chitty the Inhabitants [illegible] Chitty continues his tho' the Inhabitants he will not adjust their shares which [illegible] ctir with the back for these 15 consequence of accounts, Teekha the Justices of two Taliar Peons bitants of those Taliars sent by them seized & flogged one Poonamallee Chuttry —	Decr 9	Dispatched two Daloyets to seize the Talliars if they should be found in the village being out of the Jurisdiction of the Justices — The Inhabitants if they have been punished have been unjustly so, as they had a right to their Accounts, wherever they could be found. Ordered them also to bring away the Accounts & any witnesses that could be found to the Facts herein stated — 25 Decr Vide letter to the board of Revenue of this date 25 Jany 95 on my departure from this to go to Covelong on the 11 of Decr I met Typermaull chetty's son on the road, desired that he would keep himself in readiness to repair to [illegible] on my return from Covelong [illegible] to Trevellore I sent him to Madras on the 20 Jany & desired that he would [illegible] He however disappointed me two days afterwards which he said he would do [illegible] his attendance at the [illegible] declined & I have repeatedly since sent to require his attendance [illegible] I have directed the Amuldar of Poonamallee to put the [illegible] under Sequestration & to continue it in that State until attendance shall be given on the part of the Shotrumdar & a fine paid of 50 Ps. For further particulars of the subject of Complaint Vide N 61 —

No	Date of Receipt	Complainant	Defendant	Subject			Decision
10	1794 Decr 8th	Chockanaindoo Arrack Farmer of St Thomé —		Requesting permission in St Thomé for the distilling Arrack,	to hire a House purpose of	1794 Decr 8 —	The Arrack Farm Renter being summoned to my Cutchery, enquiry on the subject of this Petition will be made from him, the Petitioner represents the permission he asks, being only a customary form —
						" 10	Having since information that the reason of this Petitioner asking permission to build another House, which should not seem to require leave is a dispute which exists between his brother Veeringa Mudaly who bought a house in the left hand cast Street, being himself of the right, & the refusal of that Cast to let him occupy it which has already caused much disturbance & decided on by my Predecessor on the 13th of October, I think it necessary to call upon Vencala Narriah, to explain to me the true reason of this Man's conduct, intending if I should discover that it has been with a view of renewing the dispute, to inflict punishment adequate to such offence —
11	8 Decr 1794	Mahomed Banker Bey —				Decr 10 1794	An order issued to the Aumildar of ~~Poonamalle~~ Santhagar to enquire into, and report me the reason that the Petitioner is prevented rebuilding a House —
						Jany 24th — 1795	By the Aumildar's letter of the 17th the accts of the two parties differ very widely. There appears great plausibility on the part of the Moormen & every requisite Voucher while on the other much great jealousy ~~on~~ that of the Inhabitants of Punjamullee. The matter is therefore directed to be referred to the arbitration of 2 Moormen & 2 of the best Inhabitants of that Village named by me — as follows. Abdul Nubbee, Seid Mohun; Ramanut Pentatoo Stala Moozumdar, & Palachary Vedinyar.
12	9 Decr 1794	Yateraaze Chitty Shroff of Ponnary —	The late Aumildar			Decr 10 1794	The Aumildar is directed to inform me of the cause of his dismission ~~from~~ an Office which he represents has been filled by himself & Ancestors for 150 Years back —
						13	The Aumildar in his letter of the 12th giving very sufficient reasons for the dismission of the Shroff he continues dismissed.

	Date of Receipts	Complainant	Defendant	Subject			Decision
13	Decr 9th 1794	Sindaverayen of Pallamumbautham,	Arringum Mudaly of Potacaly	Relating to the 1/4 share of Pallamumbautham, Requesting that the remaining 3/4 share be deposited, till the Shatumng to Merge that Village next Grand, he has sent	of the village decided by Mr Danale produce of the now under Arbitration dispute be settled he Reddy Renter of thrashing the rued also &c &c &c	1794 Decr 10	Arringum Mudaly who resides at Madras is summoned to be present at the making out of the 1/4 share decree'd by the Board ~~to~~ Sindaverayen
14	Decr 9th 1794	Iyaviah Inhabitant of Madanumbadoo	Narriah	Relating to some Land in Madanumbadoo, referred to Arbitration, and till it is cultivated by Payacaury, order Narriah is still	in the village of by Mr Dawall to led, to get the ground in defiance of which cultivating it —	11th Decr 1794	Order issued to the Aumildar of Piddapillam to prevent either of them cultivating the ground, & to fine the Renter's Gomastah, for not preventing the cultivation of it, by Narriah, as former order 1 Pagodas —
15	Decr 11 1794	Sanikiah of Arum		Praying that the value stolen from him, be made the Deshwar Polygar, in Arbitration, that he might them.	of some jewels &c good to him, by it is decided by to be answerable for	1794 11 Decr	The award of the Arbitrators is produced & found to be as herein stated that the Deshwar Polygar is chargeable with the amount of the stolen Goods - but his privileges being under sequestration & his arrears to the Company very great. The Petitioner is directed to return when this years Accounts shall have been taken, to see if they will admit of any arrangement towards reimbursement —

	Date Receipt	Complaining	Defendants	Subject		Decision —
16	Decr 11th 1794	Chinnewakum Pyah Bearmin	A Pariar Item Inhabitants of Chinnewakum Village	Preventing him the use of the Water of a small Tank in Chinnewakum, Ill treating him, his Mother & his Sister,	11 Dec 1794 29 Decr 94	The aggressing parties summoned to answer the Complaint — The Defendants denying having flogged, Chinnewaukum but that a Dispute took place in consequence of his Brother cutting the Bank of a Geentoo to Water his Ground during the Monsoon when it was not required, Chinnewaukum in Answer states, that they have no right to interfere with the Geentoo which he has had the sole use of for these 10 years that with regard to the Making use of the Water when not required he acknowledges, but pleads the ignorance of his brother as an excuse from the above declaration & appearing that both parties are wrong the one in wasting water and the other in abusing him for it. & thereby causing the present dispute instead of reporting it as they should have done to the Circar, and it likewise appearing that the dispute did not originate so much from the defendant wishing to benefit the Circar in preventing a Waste of Water but from a former ill will which they bore to Chinnewaukum I have fined them 4 Rupees, Chinnewaukum I have fined one for wasting the Water, which Sum I have directed the Tripasore Amuldar to Collect —
17.	Decr 13th 1794	Condapah Inhabitant of Alladie in the district of Poonary —	Toppah Pillah	Complaining against Toppah Pillah who deceived him by producing false accounts in the partnerships of Alladoo Village which they had jointly rented, in consequence of which Mr Carvall issued a Taeeked to the Aumildar of Poonary in order to settle the matter, but who impedes the determination, the Complainant now requests to render him justice — 22d Jany Toppah Pillah being [illegible] Summons of the Aumildar Condapah the Aumildar [illegible] to see the his Brother neglects the [illegible] is confined in the [illegible] & acct.s properly adjusted —	19 Decr 94 28 Decr 94	The Aumildar directed to enquire into their Accounts & forward me his report with Toppa Pillah & what documents he may have on this subject likewise Achenaick Inhabitant of Alladee village. The Aumildar likewise to state his reasons for not having conformed with Mr Darvall's order of the 4 August on this Subject — The Aumildar sends the Accounts of Achenaick & says that he cannot find Toppa Pillah, & pending at Muroor, Erulapillah Toppa Pillah's brother engages to produce him in 5 or 6 days — but failing, an order is issued to the Aumildar of Poonary this day the 7 Jan 1795 to sequester Toppa Pillah's privilege as Cauniarge of Allady till he makes his appearance at the Cutchery, as from the private Cultivation Account of the Complainant with Achenaick it appears he has falsified that Village Accounts —
18	Decr 19th 1794	Cunnaya Swamy & Inheritors of Puvepaukum	Inhabitants of Pedarethangel		24 Decr 94 16th Feb 95	Forwarded with the Petitioner to Mr Place The dispute being of long standing & involving Circumstances which can only be enquired into on the spot, this & No 8 are sent to the Aumildar of Poonamallee with orders to appoint Arbitrators & after they shall have given their Award to send it with both parties to me —

No.	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject		Decision
19	~~Jany~~ Decr 4 95	Madoor Inhabitants Ponnary District	Arrasoor Inhabitants Ponnary District	that the defendants have usurped some Ground to the West of their village, and having [illegible] them the use of some Water which run through its Course that they by damming in the Water complained to the Aumildar, who promised to come to the place & settle the dispute, that after he not having arrived they attempted to take broke the dam of the Arrasoor Inhabitants flogged them		The Aumildar (previous to the receipt of the Petition) having informed the Collector of the dispute & that in consequence of the Arrasoor Inhabitants having flogged the Madoor Inhabitants he had fined them 120 Rupees, he was directed to submit the dispute to Arbitration, 9 Decr 1794 —
					Decr 29	The Aumildar having submitted the above to Arbitration of 14 Head people, who having examined into the dispute say they cannot decide upon it as the Madoor people have the documents of the Ground & the Arrasoor have had the possession of it for these 200 Years past.
					Jany 95 — 7	The Aumildar directed to send the Arrasoor Inhabitants to the Huzoor, with any documents they may have in support of their claim —
					20th	Vide, Note of this date on the Petition below.
20	Date of Receipt ~~Jany~~ Jany 12 1795	Arrasoor Inhabitants	Madoor Inhabitants	15 October — 95 — Received from the Arrasoor Inhabts a Petition compg that their signature was obtained by force to the Razeena paper — which proving false they are fined [illegible] Pagods & dismissed for being litigious 20th Jany With regard to the Crop on the ground it is to belong to those by whom it was sown. The Madoor Inhabitants are to have nothing to do with it.	Jany 7th/12 — 1795	The decision on this Petition waits the Evidence & Documents called for in the foregoing minute of the 7th on the foregoing Petition of the Madoor Inhabitants No 19. —
					20th —	The option suggested by the Arrasoor Inhabitants of running over the limits is given to their Petr on the part of whom Vencatachellum Moodely appears as the head — he therefore consents to relinquish the right of the latter to the ground in question provided the former run over the limits in presence of four Witnesses to be named by me according to usual [illegible] I therefore name Moottapah Moodely & Chacaram Moodely Head Nattawars of Ponnary Pergunnah together with the Desmook & Canongoe of that District. Manuel is appointed by Royalianyan asked Nattawar of Tripasoor District to be that the limits are to be run over by the Vittian or Measurer of the Village after he shall have gone through the usual ablutions — Both parties consent to this & a Moochilka taken from them accordingly after which the Aumildar is to see it executed. —
21	21st Decr 94	Nunatay &c Inhabts of Mambaukum in the Salavauk District	Senegapaun Vencatachellum	For digging a Water Channel close to Mambaukum Tank —	25 Decr 1794	The Renter ~~being~~ ordered (with the consent of the parties) to take Muchilkas from them and settle the business by Arbitration —
					25 Jany 95	A second order issued to the above effect to the Tahsildar on the Petition of the Defendant, that the other Party have waved Arbitration, & to put him in possession of the Watercourse if they persist in declining.

No	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
22	Decr 22 1794	Ambanambaukum Agraharam Bramines	Mahummudadu & Humdaan —	Relative to the Inheritance of Ammanumbauk Village —	22d Decr 94 27 8th Jany 95	see Defendants Petition No 42 — The Amuldar order to enquire into & report to me the Circumstance & to Send the Defendants brother The Defendant appears & Says after he shall have given his answer in Petition he will leave to me whether or not the matter shall be settled by Arbitration He is therefore desired to petition The Right of the Bramineys having been fully proved & the unwarrantable Conduct of the Defendants as may be seen in their Petition, there appears no reason to refer the matter to Arbitration - the Same are therefore restored to their Village the latter dispossessed & made to pay a fine of Ps 5 each (Signed) Geo. Place Collr
23	1794 Decr 22nd	Sheenevasa Ayengar	Polygar of Ootramalor	Requesting that Pags 130, amount of Jewels stole from his House at Wootheramulloor, be made good to him by the Polygar of that Village that the Renter promised him, that he would make the deduction from the Polygar privileges, but that he has failed in so doing —	1794 Decr 22nd	Order the Renter to inform me the reason that the Jewels were not made good to the Petitioner, according to his promise —
24	1794 Decr 22nd	Nynapillah of Piddapaukum in the Conjeveram District	Shatchalapilla		22 December 1794 26 Jany 95	An order to the Amuldar to report to me why the Arbitration of this business was stopped - and to take a Muchilka from each party that the matter be arbitrated without delay On the 22 December I directed you to enquire into & Settle by Arbitration a Dispute between Nynapillah you have neglected to do so in Consequence of which another Petition has been presented to me I therefore direct you will pay a fine of 5 Pagodas & if You do not immediately obey the former order I shall inflict more Severe punish =ment, until this matter is settled I desire You will receive the Curnums Roosoome of Poodoopaukkum &c for the Circar

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
25	Dec.r 20th 1794	Inhabitants of Poodoopaum of Cattembly	Paupoo Rajah Poligar		20 Decem.r 24 D° 94 25 D° 27 D° 9 Jany 95	An order issued to Paprauze to attend & answer the Complaint – Venaikum came to my Cutcherry and informed me that he had lately obtained 4 years accounts of the above villages for a long period back – which I desired him to bring to me – he says they were concealed by the Curnum in the House of an Inhabitant who brought them to him Venaikum informs me that he has discovered another years accounts which also I desire him to bring – the accounts are produced & undergo an examination by the Sheristadar which will appear in due course in the Diary – The Decision is in the Petition but too long to enter here, but as Paprauzes claim is upheld by every Voucher & Evidence that in such a case can be expected or required his claim to the Inheritance of Poodoopaum & Cattembly which is always included with it is substantiated an order therefore to the Aumildar of Coonagong –
26	1794 Dec.r 23d	Ramingar of Alapaunhum	Servant		27 Decem.r 1794	The original sent to the Aumildar of Camengooly to enquire into & report upon it
27	1794 Dec.r 23d	Ootevauh Paupa Rauze Poligar	Valamvars			

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
28	Decr. 23d. 1794	Shashamah Widow of Colatoor Vencata narrain	Shashagery Iyengar		24th Decr 1794	an order to the aumeen to send hither Shashagary Ioseloo
29	1794 Decr. 24th.	Weavers of Connatore —	Inhabitants of Curnum —		31 Decr 1794	The original sent to the aumildar with an order to enquire into & report to me the circumstances relating to the Petition —
30	1794 Decr. 24th.	Vellooporam Narrain Modaly — — — —	Venaikum Moodaly of Madras — — —		24 Decemr 94 8 Jany 95	An order to the aumildar of Chingleput to send hither the Curnums & accounts of the four Villages in question Venaikum being at Madras left word with the aumildar that he was willing to make good to Narrain Moodaly whatever grain should be proved to have been carried away by his people whom he acknowledged to have done wrong — With regard to the dispute about the Inheritance he also said he would consent to an Arbitration by any persons whom the Circar should appoint and his brother Vencataroyloo confirms it — Narrain Moodaly gives the same consent, whereupon — Autoor Vencatareddy and Aringoonam Vencatachella Naik are nominated whom the disputants mutually approve — —— A Taukeed is issued to the Aumildar to examine what Grain has been taken away by Venaikum Moodaly & to restore it to Narrain Moodaly at a fair Valuation — To take Muchelkas from them to abide by the award of the Arbitrators and then to call upon the above two named persons to settle the Inheritance —

N°	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
31.	Decr. 25th 1794	Carthely Condapah Inhabitant of Vellapodore – – –	Moorroogapa Modaly		27 Decemr. 1794	The original sent to the Renter of Salwacck with an order to enquire into & report upon it – and then to return the original –
32	1794 Decr. 27th	Yagambra Pillah Curnum of Poodoopaukum & Cattembely – – – – – – – –	Mootiah Moodaly –		27 Decr. 1794	representing that he deposited 8 years accounts in the house of Mootiah Moodaly & cannot now obtain them Vinaikum having mentioned his having obtained from an Inhabitant 4 years accounts of those Villages which had been deposited with him they are sent for & produced – on being examined by the Petrs he admits that they are part of the accounts he alludes to – but are mutilated, & only for four instead of 8 years –
33	1794 Decr. 27th	Teroomadian Ironsmith of Thayarambaidoo – – –	Brother in law		27th Decr. 1794	This business was arbitrated and an award given a short time – which together with the defendant are sent for the parties since asked if they had the free choice of their Arbitrators to which they answer they had – The Award being read & apparently duly executed – each party is desired to state his objection to it – The Complainant says that some of the Arbitrators signed without Knowing the Contents – but the Defendants affirms that they all agreed & consulted together The option is then given to the Complainants to enter into a Muchilka to forfeit 10 Pags. if what he alledges should on enquiry be found not true and that if it is the business shall be re arbitrated, to which he objects – which being a strong presumption of his desire to take advantage of my being newly arrived, the award is confirmed, & he ordered to pay a fine of fs 24.– for the attempt –
					8 Jany. 95	a further Enquiry into this from indifferent people, leading me to suppose that although the award bore signs of being authentic some of the arbitrators were not altogether uninfluenced, an order is issued to the Aumildar to restore the fine, and after taking the usual Muchilkas from the parties to call together again the arbitrators and procure a fair decision –

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
34	Decr. 31st 1794	Madanumbadoo Narrainiah Gomastah of Chinnumbadoo Soobaroy moodaly Mattawar & Vencatachella moodaly &ca Inhabitants—	Permal moodaly			
35	1795 Jany 1st	Cowoor Venaihum and Mootiah	Singapermaull		29 Jany 1795	both Soobiah & Singapermall acknowledge that they gave proposals as Gomastahs of the Petitioners for the reasons set forth in the Petition & that they immediately surrendered the Cowle & the Farm to them Singapermall says that having fallen in arrear the late Aumuldar put him in Confinement & then Called upon the Securities to discharge his Balance but they affirmed that none was due & required that the Aumildar should examine the Accounts this he [illegible] did not, but telling them he did not want their Security any longer that he did not take it & that they should have nothing to do with the Farm required Singapermall to Name others & he named one Comarapah—
36	1795 Jany 1st	Mylapoor Rampah	Chengleroy moodaly		22d Jany 1795	An order to the Renter to collect such proofs & documents as both parties are able to produce & send them to me — He is at the same time warned that a neglect to do justice in all matters of complaint is a breach of his Cowle & Muchelkas which incurs the forfeiture of his Farm

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
37	Jany 1st 1795	Shashadry Iyengaroo Gomastah of Streenera Streenevaw Ramanyeroo	Laneyasee			
38	1795 Jany 2d	Annavyengadea Inheritor of Maganeam in the Dist of Tripassoora	Royaloo Iyengar		2 Jany 95	The Petitioner is told enquiry will be made on my Return to Trivalore
39	1795 Jany 5th	Cashiviyah	Renter		8 Jany 1795	The Renter in excuse for demanding 300 Pags Shotrum Rent alledges their being entered in the Village accounts which are ordered to be produced The Shotrumdar is also ordered to bring proofs of the Renter having seized his Grain & caused a loss to him thereby – As also of his having forcibly taken, as he alledges, Grain to the amount of Ps 600 for the two last years Rent –

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendant	Subject	Date of	Decision
40	Jany 6th 1795	Widow of Colatore Vencatanarrain in the Dist. of Covelong	Shashagery Josooloo		6 January 1795	The Contents of this Petition are confirmed by the Aumildar of Covelong - and as the flight of Josuloo is a strong presumption of his Guilt in having dishonestly possessed himself of the property of Vencata Narrain - an order is issued to the Aumildar to attach all his property & should he not return in one week to submit to an arbitration of the cause, & report to me when I shall decide on it
41	1795 Jany 6th	Streenevasa Ragavah Charry Church Warden of little Canjeverum	Tengala Brammins		7 January 1795	The Ceremony being about to commence an order is given to the Aumildar to prevent disturbance & 4 Circar Deloyets permitted for the same purpose a letter is written also to Major Frazer to furnish a guard of Sepoys if necessary
42	1795 Jany 7th	Mootanumdadoo of Cundadoo Inhabitants of Amanumbaukum in the District of Chingleputt	Brammins and Curnums		8 Jany 95	The only plea set up by these People is a pretended Inheritance which their ancestors held of this Village a Century ago - But they admit that the present Brammins have enjoyed it then 40 years past - and are not able to bring the slightest proofs of their claim. On the other hand the Brammins produce brass Patent Plates confirming on them the village in question, Those Plates in the Nagara language but have been examined by the Canongoe of Chingleput whose Knowledge of it enables him to express a belief that they are authentic, which the opposite party denies upon no other ground than that a forgoing was in some Country or other at a distance of time which he does not know, detected — The Conongoe is further enabled to affirm that the Brammins have within his own Remembrance enjoyed the Village & he never before heard that there was any Reason to contend their right It is discovered however that the Petrs obtained a footing in the Village first by the favor of Ninapa Moodaly whose Doctor one of them was & who procured for them some time ago ground for 6 Ploughs, afterwards upon the Remonstrance of the Brammins, these were reduced to 2 as appears by an order produced of Mr Balfour - The Petrs then as they confess got the verbal permission of Ninapa Moodaly, upon some pretended proof, to possess themselves of the whole Village when they collected a number of Lascars & others from the Cantonment at Walajabad or the Garrison of Chingleput & drove out the Brammins — One or two other instances of this unwarrantable conduct in Inhabitants taking upon themselves to drive out others from spots they have taken a fancy to & setting up forged pretensions having been brought before me this practice should be effectually checked - An order is therefore issued to the Aumildar of Chingleput to restore the Brammins to their Village. to cause any loss they have sustained to be made good to them - to deprive the Petrs of the ground for 2 Ploughs which they procured by improper means & to which they do not appear to have a shadow of Right; and finally to take from them a fine of Pags 5. each — (Signed) Lio. Place Collr.

19 May 1801

The same people appearing again are informed that their claim cannot be admitted and further warned that if they cause any disturbance to the Bramm another fine will be levied from them and they will be severely punished

Edw. Greenway
Collr.

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject		Date of	Decision
43	Jany 7th 1795	Lingapiah Agraharamdar of Teroovaracovil & Panumjary in the Distt of Carroongoley	Chayoor Agasteyapiah Moodaly — — —		not to be found	3d Febry 1795	The Aumuldar directed to enquire into this, agreeable to public notice of the 28th Jany [illegible] or the Petitioner to return to me—
44	1795 Jany 9-	Vencatacharlu Inhabitant of Cooroopanumboor agraharam in the District of Salwauk	Mambauk && Alpauk Inhabitant			21st Jany 1795	An order to the Tahsildar to execute my former order to the Renter, or if it should be found that the other party declines to arbitrate the Matter, to put the Petr in possession of the Watercourse
45	1795 Jany 9th	Madrantacum Seataramiah in the District of Carroongooly — — — —	Kistnah Row—				

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
46.	Decr 11th 1794	Meer audum Shaw Son of Meer Abdul Casseem Hussain	An account of Shotrum and Mearah - -			
47	1794 Decr 11th	Mahommed Yacoob Cawn - - - - - -	An account of Garden -			
48	1794 Decr 22d	Trevengadah Charloo -	Vullepooram Volagapa Modaly - - - -		7th Jany 1795	Mr Balfour having enquired into this affair & obtained the confession of the defendants that he owed the Money - It is ordered to be paid to the Complainant but only with Interest at 6 p Ct p annum - & an order given to the Aumildar of Chinglepet accordingly

No	1794 Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
49	Decr 22d 1794	Choliapen Inhabitant of Panapaukum in the District of Canjeveram	Valayooden			
50	1794 Decr 23d	Nagappah Moodaly renter of Paucum in the District of Poonamallee	an account of the aumuldar have accused on him			
1	1794 Decr 26th	Rungiyah Renter of Saulavankum	Layeva Moortiah			

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject		Date of	Decision
52	Decr. 31st 1794	Teagapen, Verdapen and Comarapen Inhabitants of Pollee in the District of Laut Maghans	Messrs. Roebuck and Abbott	+ had been created in an utter vast quantities of Manure R & A did not yield an adeq Inhabitants are therefore Village & to carry on their I should besides observe that are exposed to great imposition enclosed by the Inhabitants allowed to enjoy their —	new soil by the appearance of thrown on it by Messrs crop of Indigo - The directed to return to their Cultivation in Peace & quietness the Renters Messrs R & A in regard to the backyards who are notwithstanding	21 Jany 1795 21 Febry 1795 9 June 95	a Copy transmitted to Messrs Roebuck and Abbott who are requested to communicate any thing in their power on the subject Messrs Roebuck & Abbott's reply of this date is very clear & well supported by the Authorities sent along with it - But I purpose taking a personal View of the Ground in question — A majority of the Inhabitants agreed to transfer the ground in question to Messrs Roebuck & Abbot - What these men state as to one of the partners having no right to a ... upon the occasion is not on enquiry found to be a fact. But independent of the argument that may be deduced from the above circumstance & from the reply of Messrs R & A I lately took occasion personally ... to inspect the ground in question & was astonished to find the Green grain on it notwithstanding the utmost care of the Husbandmen & attention by watering had every appearance of being in a perishing state & that even that which +
53	1794 Decr. 31st	Narniah of Maloomada-nimbadoo in the Distt. of Peddapollam	Iyaviah				
54	1795 Jany 1st	Parrears of Pollee Magrs.	Messrs. Roebuck & Abbott			21 January 1795	A Copy to Messrs Roebuck & Abbott who are requested to inform me of any thing in their Knowledge on this subject

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
55	Jany 1st 1795	Executors of Gopaul naick Stated the Proprietor of the Village of Seetiar Agaram in the District of Poonamallee	An Account of Satiaragaram village		1 January 1795	The Gomastah of these people only, attends and is told that the Petition contains no reason why the arrear of nazir should not be paid – The village is therefore retained from the produce of which this year there is a prospect of the whole being discharged Mem: The right of disposal on the part of the original proprietor a Moorman who died without heirs, to be referred to the Board –
56	1795 Jany 7th	Chacaram Modaly &ca Inhabitants of Pauttoor in the Dist. of Poonary –	Mootapah Modaly		22d Jany 1795	One of the arbitrators Hurry Puntooloo in this dispute is sent for & questioned as to the manner in which the award was given He says it was perfectly regular & as is usual, that it was signed by both parties & afterwards objected to by the complainants because they would not "swear that they had decided according to justice, although they affirmed having done according to the best of their judgement. As the best means of ending the matter, I appoint with the consent of the parties, Vencatrow and Ragonada chary to reexamine the matter & decide on the award – as also to adjust the accounts between the Complainant & Defendant which the former affirms have been settled for 5 or 6 years –
					13th March	+ The Arbitrators give in their award & a letter written to the Aumildar accordingly – (Vide letter) –
57	1795 Jany 8th	Inhabitants of Pullipud In the District of Carongoley – – – – – –	Myoor Mootiah Moodaly in the Dist. of Caroongoley		23d Jany 1795	An order to the Aumildar to collect & send me all the proofs & declarations each party has to produce regarding this business to whom the Petrs are directed in order to relate what may be necessary, to him, for the elucidation of the matter – a Muchilka being taken from the Inhabitants to bring proof of what they have alledged on pain of forfeiting Pag 50

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
58	Jany 8th 1795	Taungy Veeraswamy of Cauvantundalam –	Aununda Chengleroy Moodaly		21 Jany 1795	An order to the Renter to refer the matter to arbitration & report to me the award first taking Muchulkas from the Parties – If the defendant as alledged by Veerasawmy this day has absconded to any other Purgunnah the Renter or Aumildar to be required in my name to send him to Cauvantandalum –
59	1795 Jany 9th	Goormoortiah of Canjeeveram – – – – –	Saumy Pillah –			
60	1795 Jany 14th	Inhabitants of Boodoor in the District of Poonary –	Aurygadoo Inhabitants		20 Jany 1795 25d	The Boodoor Inhabitants consent to forfeit Pags 30 if they do not prove that the declaration of the arbitrators alluded to has been vitiated by the Aumeldar having used the compulsion complained of & name 5 persons out of 15 – Chacaram moodaly one of their arbitrators says that he never heard nor does he believe that any compulsion was used by the Aumeldar – Audy narrain chitty one of those pointed out by the Petrs to have been compelled to sign is sent for without any previous notice & asked if compulsion was used with him which he absolutely denies – Having every reason to believe that the whole of this is a fabrication & ~~there~~ appearing no reason why any of the arbitrators should be induced to do injustice, particularly chacaram Moodaly & Audy Narrain who both affirm their belief of the circumstances to which they have signed the decision is confirmed It is a common resort for the losing Party to alledge compulsion in such cases but I have strong ~~reason~~ to suspect that Mootiah has instigated to this litigation, who with Valoydem behave with a great deal of forwardness & affrontery – They are therefore fined 5 Pags to be paid ~~between~~ between them A second Petition was this day presented praying that the Inhabitants of Angaud might be required to swear – but as it fully appeared that the right was on their side, there was no reason why the matter should be further prolonged – The Petition was therefore returned containing my Sentiments on the back of it

	N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subje	Date of	Decision
5.	61	Jany 15th 1795	Inhabitants of Vichoor and Villevoil village in the District of Poonamallee —	Tepperumaul Chitty Shotrumdar —			Vide Proceedings of this date N 9 —
5	62	1795 Jany 15	Sencaradoo of Tundlum village in the District of Conjeveram — — — —	Vencayah		23d Jany 1795	The Petitioner is informed that as I have not yet received from Mr Balfour the letters between the Board & him on this Subject I cannot decide upon it —
	63.	1795 Jany 15th	Annavengar of Lettepoorum village in the District of Chingleputt — — — —	An account of Servants		22 Jany 1795	On confronting the Petr with his defendant N 73 It appears that on their severally laying claim to the labourer in question some Arbitrators were appointed to draw lots for him none of whom the Petr says bore him any Enmity, and that in his losing the chance he then objected & denied having given his consent to the mode — the labourer however has ever since been withheld from both parties till the dispute could be decided; the opposite party alledging that in consequence of Mr Balfour's upholding him & Vinayak the other that he never could get it settled — As however the Circumstances appear against the Petitioner the disputed labourer is given to the defendant — With regard to the Amuldar flogging him, if he obstinately withheld his consent from a mode which is universally adopted upon such occasions, & which indeed he admits, he merited punishment. But it is not likely that the Trevengadapillah who was only nominal Amuldar at the time alluded, would without sufficient reasons venture on such a Step, he is however called upon to explain the matter — LP Collr

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
64	Jany 15th 1795	Veerabadra Modaly Renter of Maungados &ca in the District of	An Account of Rent		16th January 1795 22d Ditto 95	The Renter giving strong assurances of his performing the term stated is permitted to proceed to Madras to procure Security accordingly, my reasons for registering his farm proposals have been stated to the Board The Renter procuring the Security which he promised is reinstated in his Farm
65	1795 Jany 15th	Vencata Row late Renter of Coovum &ca	An account of his Balance			
66	1795 January 16th	Yadamachary Mootoo in the District of Caroongoly	Taunapah Modaly Pursaram Moodaly and Vadagery Moodaly Inhts		23d Jany 1795	The Petitioner upon being called upon for his Vouchers as mentioned in the Petition has omitted to bring them. He is therefore directed to bring them. In the mean time an order is issued to the Aumuldar to inform me why possession is withheld from the Petitioner of the ground he claims, & why the former order of Mr. Balfour if issued was not obeyed

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject		Date of	Decision
67	Jany 16th 1795	Vendoty Vencatachella Naik	on account of the Cauvil Mirassy				
68	Jany 16th 1795	Doppa Permall naik	on account of the Cauvil Mirassy				
69	Jany 17th 1795	Vencatachella Reddy	Moor people	Claiming 4½ Cawnies the Defendants enjoy	of Mauniam which vide No (245)	Jany 24 1795	From the imperfect information given upon this Subject by the Aumildar it should appear that it was not ripe for decision. Tunatapillah who testified the acknowledgment of the Moormen to relinquish the ground on his death has repeated before me that he witnessed that declaration; the Aumildar is therefore called upon to examine this business and to collect and send me all the Evidences of both parties. He is also fined 2 Pags for his neglect to do this before

No	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
70	17th Jany 1795	Macala Vengum Naick	Wooyahl Ramachundra Naik		28th Jany 95 19th June 95	The Poligar having informed me that he has not yet complained to the Amuldar, the original Petition is sent to him to enquire into & report to me upon it, with directions to return the Petition referred again to the Aumildar who is directed to report upon it —
71	17th Jany 1795	Vembaukum Vencatachelliah	Vencataramiah		30th Jany 1795	The Petitioner having entered into a Muchilka to prove the Contents of the Petition he is sent with it to the Umuldar who after having made particular enquiry with the cause of neglect complained of, & examined all the Witnesses & proofs of both parties is directed to send the Petr & his Petition to me —
72	17th Jany 1795	Jyengar of Satepooneam	Saumiah of the same Village		1st Febry 1795	This business has already been settled & the parties agreed in the manner setforth in the Petition - but the Petr understanding that his adversary cause to prefer a complaint on the Subject came to me also. The Aumildar confirms what is setforth & the adjustment as stated herein is directed to be carried into execution

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
73	17 Jany 1795	Streenevassiengar - - -	Annahviah on acct of a Labourer		Jany 31st 1795	The Petitioner having entered into a Moochelecca to forfeit Ps 20. if he does not prove the facts stated in his Petition, is sent to the Amuldar to Collect his proofs—
74	19th Jany 1795	Sashiengar in Ootramaloor	Govinda Moodly Amuldar		30th Jany 1795	The original sent to the Amuldar with order for him to enquire into & report to me upon it
75	1795 Jany 20th	Vaddesa Tremaliah of Madalambadoo village in the District of Poonary - -	Mootapah		23d Jany 1795	The Amuldar to make enquiry, & if he refuses to do Justice to the party, reference to be made to me— (Signed) L. Place Collector—

No.	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
76	20th Jany 1795	Paupavadasulu of Paramanellore	Vinkumbuttlos		Jany 31st 1795 22 June 1795	A Copy Sent to the Amuldar with Direction to enquire into the Circumstances stated & Send his report with the Parties & Arbitrators to Salavauk where I shall be in a few Days — As there appears to be no sufficient reason for setting aside the award it is confirmed — By that award the Petitioner gets half the property but contends for the whole, one party must of course be dissatisfied, & as it does not appear by the defendts declaration that the arbitrators are biassed, there are no grounds for appeal —
77	20th Jany 1795	Dauella Ramah Sastry of Cadarapoor in the Conjeveram District	Gooroomoorty		31st Jany 1795 May 1795 May 1796 10th June 1796	the Petitioner having entered into a Muchelka to forfeit Pags 20. if he does not prove the facts stated in his Petition is sent to the Aumildar to collect his proofs. referred to Arbitration, both parties in my presence giving a Muchelka to abide by the decision of the Arbitrators — The award made out & signed by both parties. The Award dated confirmed by me. & the aumildar of Conjeveram directed to act as awarded therein, as when both Parties chuse their own Arbitrators there can be no plea for altering the decision they make ——
78	22d Jany 1795	Muruppa Moodaly of Cautoor	Chackaram Moodaly		22d Jany 1795	Vide proceeding on the Petition of Chacaram Modaly No 58

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
79	22 Jany 1795	Moottoo Gungooreddy &c. Inhabitants	Egmore Gungyreddy –			
80	21 Jany 1795	Audern Shaw –				
81	22 Jany 1795	Attoyoor Vencatamah	her husband's brother		23d Jany 1795	a Copy sent to the Aumuldar who is required to state all the circumstances regarding this business –

N	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Deffendant	Subject	Date of	Decision
82	22d Jany 1795	Yerrapaupados in Pedda pollam District a village called Raullapaudos—	District Amuldar		23d Jany 1795	It appearing very unlikely that the Petr should be prevented from taking away the materials of his house—
83	22 Jany 1795	Valayoor Inhabitants in the Conjeeveram District	District Amuldar			
84	23 Jany 1795	Keelmadanumbados Curnum Comarasaumy	Cautoor Moottapah Moodaly		3d Febry 1795	The Aumuldar directed to enquire into this, agreeable to public notice of the 28th Jany. or the Petitioners to return to me—

N	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subjects	Date of	Decision
85	23 Jan.y 1795	Somangalum Concoply in the Mannimungalum –	Cangoe Paupana Puntootoo		3 Feby 95	A New Aumuldar having been appointed to Manimungalum & the Canogae Gomastah Paupanah Puntooloo removed The Petition is returned thither for enquiry –
86	23 Jan.y 1795	Chinniewaukumanna=saumy in the Tripassore	One of the partners named Vencatachelliah		Jan.y 31st 95	Sent to Amuldar, in order that he may make enquiry into & report to me upon it
7	23 Jan.y 1795	Moollapollam Andapah in the great Conjeveram –	Moollaphakeir & Paupy Chetty			Vide No 99

N	Date of Receipt		Complainants	Defendants	Subjects	Date of	Decision
88	23	Jany 1795	Parvocalatoor agraharam Ramaunoojacharloo in the Teeroovatoor District	Cowkeepers in Tripassore District			
89	23	Jany 1795	Palavoor Condiah in the Carangooly District	Vesoovanada Reddy of the District		28 Jany 1795	The original Petition sent to the Aumildar with orders for him to enquire into & report to me upon it & to return it —
90	23	Jany 1795	Garagumbauk Vencata Narnapah in Poonamallee	Vencata Narnapah		Jany 31st 1795	This being a matter the proof of which must be collected on the Spot the Petition is sent to the Amuldar for that purpose —

N	Date of Receipt	Complainant	Defendant	Subject	Date of	Decision
91	23d Jany 1795	Irsapah of Movoor	Robbers			
92	23 Jany 1795	Totvovoor Inhabitants	Streenevassaverdacharry		30th Jany 1795	The original sent to the Aumildar with orders to enquire into & report to me upon it
					March 22d	The Aumildar in a letter of this Day's date forwards me a decision he has made in this dispute in favor of the Complainants adjudging that they have no right to pay the fees alluded to—
					March 30th	Sheneevasa Verdachary expressing to me his dissatisfaction at the above decision of the Aumildar & requested that Arbitrators may be appointed to settle the Dispute — The Aumildar is directed to call upon Shadagopachary, Annasawmy, & Mooniapah Moodaly to enquire into & settle it—
					April 28th	The Aumildar this day informing me he called upon the Arbitrators, named by me as above) to settle this dispute, the Defendant gave his Moochilka to abide by the decision of the Arbitrators but the Complainant gave a Moochilka refusing to have any thing to do with either the Arbitrators or the Dispute—
					May 2d 95	As the Complainant refuses to refer his dispute to arbitration the Aumildar directed to settle it in favor of the Defendant as the *
93	23 Jany 1795	Chundrasakara Moodaly of Conjeveram	on Account of the Revenue			

* the 12th Article of his Muchilka directs, & him is to receive a fine of 5 Pags from the former; & cause all accounts between them to be adjusted & discharged—

N	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
94	23d Jany 1795	Kanakarauze & Chittum-Calam of Chinglepet	Valamawar		3d. Feby.— 1795	The Aumildar directed to enquire into this, agreeable to the public notice of the 28th Jany or the Petr. to come again to me—
					9th June 95	It appears that an order of the Board passed on the 30th Jany 88 directing that each party shd. enjoy their lands as they had to that time done. Mr Dighton gave an order in consequence, & also that the Maunians should be enjoyed in the same proportion which was reasonable, this is now the cause of dispute, but as the order of the Board would have been incomplete without this evident intention Mr Dightons order is confirmed. There is a dispute also respecting Wells all of which the Royahs say are in the Ground of the Velmahs but these also must be enjoyed in the same proportion— An order conformable to the above issued to the Aumildar. All accounts between the parties to be adjusted by the Aumildar—
95	23d Jany 1795	Aurymootoo & Inhabitants of Olapaukum in Conjeveram	Congoe Gerosmajee Puntooloo Conjeveram		2d Feby 1795	The Petitioner having already presented a Petition to the Board upon this Subject he is told of the impropriety of coming to me upon the Subject & Dismissed
96	24 Jany 1795	Inhabitants of Cadamatoor Agraharam in Tripassore	Pulla Ninadoo		16th Do 95	To be enquired into & reported upon by the Aumildar — agreeable to the general Order —

N	Dates of Receipt	Complainant	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
97	25 Jany 1795	Audyappory Moodaly Church Wardon of great Conjeveram.	about the Enam			
98	25 Jany 1795	Inhabitants of Audemoor Village in the Manimungalum District	Madras Caulapa Moodaly			
99	25 Jany 1795	Shaik ally Enamdar of Mullapollam	Weaver Cundapah		5th Feby 95	The Defendant admits having received the punishment from the Inhabitants of his Crime & as his own account Vide No 87. wears much probability of the fact an order is sent to the Amuldar to Dismiss him from the Village if he finds that the Crime was proved, & to receive a fine of 5 Pagodas from the Head Nattawar for protecting him from Justice —

N	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
100	26th Jany 1795	Inhabitants of Areyalavady Village in the District of Conjeveram	Cundapa Moodaly Saumy Moodaly		2d Febry 1795	The Original sent to the Aumildar to let me Know why Mr Balfour's orders were not executed—
101	26 Jany 1795	Tauloor Ramachundriah in the Chinglepet District	Volagapa Moodaly		3d Febry 1795	The Aumuldar directed to enquire into this, agreeable to public notice of the 28th Jany. or the Petitioner to return to me—
102	26 Jany 1795	Volauuck Tonderoydoo in the District of Conjeveram	Armootoo Moodaly			

N	Date of Receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
103	26 Jany 1795	Poodoopauk Annapillah of Conjeeuram	Amuldar			
104	24 Jany 1795	Triwalore Permall Chitty	Peddy Naik Jamadar			
105	28th Jany 1795	Conarycoopum Valoyden in the Conjeveram District	Amuldar		28th Jany 1795	An order to the Amuldar to enquire into & report upon this matter

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
106	19th Jany 1795	Inhabitants Tandavadoo	Moodely peoples		26th Jany 1795	On an attentive perusal of this Petition with the several Enclosures belonging to it, the Right of the Toddypeople to the Tondiour Village seems established beyond all doubt, and as from the opulence of their adversaries they appear to have sustained along & heavy prosecution there is no reason why their Property shoud be longer withheld— an order is therefore given to the Renter of Triviatore to put them in possession thereof— L.P. Collr Nos 4 & 5 of the accompaniments returned to the Petrs being originals— L.P. Collr
104	24 Jany 1795	Triviatore Permall Chitty	Peddy Naik Jamadar			
108	26th Jany 1795	Ragoonadacharloo Nattwar of Tripassore —	Royalieng ar Nattwar of Tripassore			

No.	Date of receipt	Complainant	Defendants	Subjects	Date of	Decision
109						
110	26 Jany 1795	Church Bramins	on account of the Church Mamool			
111	19th Jany 1795	Ragoonadacharloo Nattwar of Tripassore	Royaliangar Nattwar of Tripassore			

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subjects	Date of	Decision
112	25th Jany 1795	Myoor Streenovasiah in Carangooly District	his Cousin Singieregar		3d Febry 1795	— The Aumuldar directed to enquire into this agreeable to public notice of the 28th Jany or the Petitioner to return to me —
113	30th Jany 1795	Tapurmall Chitty Sotrumdar of Vichoor & Vellyvoyl in the Poonamallee District	Inhabitants of Vichoor Wellyvoyl			
114	30 Jany 1795	Vichoor Agraharam Nundala Kistnama charloo in the District of Poonamallee	Vichoor Inhabitants			

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
115	30th Jany 1795	Madymungalum Inhabitants	Congoe Paupanapuntles		18th Febry 1795	A great part of the matter of complaint being confessed by Ragoonadiah & Paupana Puntooloo & an account of the whole of their exactions for Durbar Khirch having been sent to me by the Ameeldar, he is directed to call upon all persons who have paid any thing in this account & to require a Refund to them besides a fine from the above Persons of 15 Pags each & 10 from each of the others named in the Petition as having fixed distribution – also one of 5 Pags from the late Ameeldar for his concern in the Affair – LP –
116	30th Jany 1795	Soobramaneyadoo & Parsaramoodoo of Vellyvoyl in the Poonamellee District	Inhabitants of Vellyvoyl			
117	30th Jany 1795	Saumypillay & Gooroovapillay Gomastah to Chinniah pillah Curnums of Vichoor & Villivoyl in the District of Ponnary	Inhabitants			

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subjects	Date of	Decision
118	30 Jany 1795	Cautoor Moottapa Moodaly	Sooba Moodaly			
119	2d Febry 1795	Bomrauze Poligar in the Carangoly District	Amuldar		2d February 1795	The Vakeel says that the reason of his Masters Villages were sequestered was because he did not come to see me - This is so unlikely that I have required him to enter into a Muchilka to forfeit Pag 20 if it is not true. An order is then sent to the Aumildar to inform me of the circumstances —
120		Minichee of Chevely= =mados in the Conjeveram District - - . .	Appauveiah Son inlaw of Kettyum- -mahl			

N	Date of receipt	Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Date of	Decision
121	28 Jany 1795	Stalacurnums of Conjeveram District	their Cousins — Nurapillay		18th Feby. 1795	The Aumildar directed to enquire into & Report upon this business agreeable to the General order issued (Sig) L Place Collr
122	16th April 1795	Moodoo Vencatapatty Reddy of Palumpenkun in the Carangooly District — — — — —	Inhabitants of Nerumbelee &c. 6 Villages vide Petition enclosed in No 122 —	Claiming Naut Manniams & other Priveleges which he enjoyed — And claiming his Villages of Nerumbelee &c in 33 Villages & says his Ancestors Manum in the	4th May 1795 A of this to satisfy others my conviction is so strong from circumstances which have been made known to me that I conclude him to be Guilty & that to deprive him of an influence which he has exerted towards bad ends is a just duty to my Employers & punishment to him — An order is therefore issued to the Aumildar of Carangooly to dispossess him (signed) L Place Collr	It is not easy to reconcile the contradictory orders that have been given by Mr. Balfour on the subject of these 7 Villages in dispute. On the 8th of Jany 1792 the complaints of both parties were referred by the Board of Revenue to him & on the 9th following he repeated his opinion in the strongest possible manner in favor "of the Reddies," by which it is to be inferred he meant Vencatapatty Reddy, although by using the Word in the plural number it would seem he had not well investigated the matter — His judgment was confirmed & Vencatapatty Reddy ordered by him accordingly to enjoy the said Villages — In October 1794, however he issued another order, signifying that as the opposite party denied the right of Vencatapatty Reddy they were not to be molested in the enjoyment of them, until the matter had been enquired into. But Mr. Balfour having been soon after removed I succeeded him — Vencatapatty Reddy claims Naut Manniams in the Villages of Erembely, Palawar, Cunnimungalum, Chittamoor Chittawady, Nadungul Malaca=garanuk, on the grounds of their having been enjoyed by his Ancestors previous to & until the War of 1780. but did not obtain his Title until the latter end of 1792, being withheld from it during the intermediate space, that is to say between the conclusion of the War & that date, either on account of his Youth, which deterred him from asserting
123	23d March 1795	Church Braminey of Mahabalypooram		Applying to be reinstated in the Shotrum of the Pagoda alleged to have been taken from it on account of inability after the War to pay the Rent mentioned date nor could have taken place by his [illegible] It is fully proved that this the Bramin who produced drummed out of Village Deduction of Rent [illegible] Perwanah is a forgery & is fined 10 Pag. & Dismissed	23d March 1795 2 May 1795 & 25 do	The Purvanah upon which this claim is founded is under the Seal of Anwurd Din Khan & Dated Hejiry 1174 or A..D..1760/61. many Years after his Death It may therefore be presumed a forgery for which reason an order is issued to the Aumildar of Cowlong to make particular enquiry how the Braminies became possessed of it & to examine some of the oldest Inhabitants in order to ascertain whether the Village was ever enjoyed as a Shotrum & why & when it was resumed for the Circar. The Petitioner ~~is~~ are sent to him with a Delayet & to be kept under restraint till the Matter has been enquired into From the Aumildar ~~[illegible]~~ and a Declaration of the Curnums & Head Inhabitants as follows — That in Fusly 1162 or A.D. 1753 the Village was enjoyed as a Shotrum at the Rent of 200 Pagodas till 1190 or A.D. 1780 when the War broke out & that they afterwards heard the Rent was reduced to 60 but the [illegible] never appeared — This then fully proves the forgery for Anwur ud Din Khan was not alive at the time of the first

* Asserting it, a because Ragah Row the manager of Mr. Dighton was at enmity with him — ble the Manniams which this man now claims, or enjoys, viz: in 33 Villages — I find originated with his Father who were the manager of these Countries previous, & subsequent, to the grant of the Jagheer who therefore had opportunities by his influence [of] inserting in the accounts whatever he pleased — Of his just right to a legal investiture, in, them, there is no proof either from record or tradition — The accounts on the other hand now in existence shew that he has never enjoyed them — & I find that when [the] claim was made many Years ago to Mr. Dighton, he did not think proper to admit it — Report says that Vencatta [Reddy] paid him upon the Servant of Mr. Balfour a high premium for the favorable recommendation of his Ma[ster] by which he obtained not only them, but manniams in several other Villages, and that some fraudulent means were used [is] further probable, since on my appointment when the Renters of these Villages were called upon to attest their Balances, having [illegible] [giv]en the Villages to the Inhabitants, they refused to do so unless the Manniams were returned which had been taken away by Vencatapatty Reddy, this he did, & the Balances were attested. I am very much disposed to believe that Venca[tapatty] Reddy has no right to Naut Manniams in these Villages from the best enquiries that I can make; but admitting the matter to be doubtful I have a strong reason for taking them away from him — I have lately detected a plan laid by this Man & others to bribe my Servant in order to suspend the Circar & falsify the Accounts; & found that he used his authority in his Naut Villages to levy a contr[ibution] on the Inhab.ts for this purpose, part of which had been effected when the Plan was disclosed to me — altho' I have not been able to obtain undeniable proof of it

No	Date of Receipt		Complainants :	Defendants	Subject			Proceedings & Decision
124	"	"	Singapermaul	His Daughters' Husband	respecting his daughter having been accused of misbehaviour			In the decision & Proceedings of this Dispute vide No 312. in Book No 4 ——
125	"	"	Chinnytomby & Condapah of Vadamtongeh Salwaunk	Syvam Mootiah Magah Teddy	respecting Cultivation			
126	"	"	Cashewa		Requesting to have Tungapah Naick Village, which he restored to him —	Vadamattee Magasa nadirented,		

No	Date of Receipt		Complainants	Defendants	Subject	Proceedings & Decision
127	"	"	Ramasawmy	Wyalawar Polegar	His having been robbed of a considerable sum of Money &c^a^	
128	"		Tarapah of Mylapoor		Praying for a Summons to have the award made out in his dispute confirmed	
129	"	"	Beetle Gardeners of Conjaveram	Gunnapetty of Conjevaram		

N°	Date of Receipt	Complainant	Defendants	Subject		Proceedings & Decision
130	25 May 1795	Appum Vencatacharloo of Carungooly	Vencatapetty Reddy	The Defendants having carried away some grain claiming it as his [illegible]	10th June 1795	The Nautmaunnium & Merassee in dispute to be delivered up to Vencapetty Reddy this Year or till such time as this matter can be thoroughly investigated with many others of the same nature
131	25 May 1795	Sambeah & Chengiah of Attoor in the Carungooly District	Abdul Saib of the same place	Complaining of the Moormans having possessed themselves of their Merassee in the Village of Attoor & praying their Claims may be examined & justice done them	25 May 95	Abdul Saib refused before me at Madurantacum to arbitrate & would consent to have it settled only by me. The Persian declaration of Sundry Moormen attested under the Seal of the Cauzee signifies only that the ancestors of this Man were Jaghiredars of Arpoor many years ago of which they were dispossessed, but retained the right of cultivation of certain mouzas or Districts in Arpoor which are not named. Of these ten were taken into the Carungooly District when the Jaghire was given to the Company and of which this Mans ancestors possessed the right of cultivation in two viz. Vimmum & Attoor. It is to be observed however that the declaration is dated only in Hegiree 1207 or 2 years ago, is signed by the Conongoe of the District of Arpoor; [illegible] the names of the Inhabitants appear however to have been written by one & the same hand. On the whole it does not appear to me that the Moorman has any right to the Village but that it belongs to the Bramins, in whose favor a Cowleed is therefore written to the Aumildar of Carungooly
132	26 May 1795	Nagavacharloo of Cottemungalum	The Aumildar of Chinglepout	Complaining of his being pressed for old Balances which he is not able to pay		

No	Date	Complainant	Defendants	Subject		Proceedings & Decision
133	26 May 1795	Varahacharloo of Munnemungalm	Aumildar of Munnemungm	Representing that the [illegible]mildar refuses to allow him h[illegible] Maniams without a Sunnud [illegible] which he prays for — —		Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Maniams (signed) L.P.
134	26 May 1795	Vencapetty of Cauvanoor in the District of Munnemungalum	Sevarameah of D°	Claiming the right to the Village of Cauv[illegible]heritance having been alienat[illegible] belonging to [illegible] by the means of a fals[illegible]tate —	17 Jul/95	It appearing by this Man's own confession he has never enjoyed the Meerassee of that Village for these 20 years past, & having no proof to bring of his right to it — The Aumild[illegible] of Munnemungalum is directed to allow it being enjoyed as heretofore — (signed) L.P.
					[illegible]th April 1796	On visiting all the Villages of this District the Complt again sets up a claim to the Meerassee, an enquiry made from the Nautwar, & others Inhabts of that District, who declare the Defendt to be the Meerasdar, to whom therefore the Village is rented.
135	26 May 1795	Comarasawmy Pandarum	Renter of Cavantandalum	Representing that the[illegible] refuses without a Sunnud [illegible]t him his Maniam — [illegible]ying for one	26th May 95	Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Maniams —

N°	D [illegible] pt	Complainant	Defendants	Subject		Proceedings & Decision
133	26 May 4/95	Varahacharloo of Munnemungal^m^	Aumildar of Munnemung^m^	Representing that t[illegible]mildar refuses to allow him his [illegible]aunians without a Sunnud [illegible]ach he prays for — —		Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Maunians (signed) L.P.
134	26 May 4/95	Vencapetty of Cauvanoor in the District of Munnemungalum	Sevarameah of D°	Claiming the right to the Village of Cauv[illegible] heritance having been alien[illegible] [illegible]ging to the Means of a fals[illegible] by [illegible]tate —	17 July 95	It appearing by this Man's own confession he has never enjoyed the Meerasee of that Village for these 20 years past, & having no proof to furnish of his right to it — The Aumil of Munnemungalum is directed to allow it being enjoyed as heretofore — (signed) L.P.
					16th April 1796	On visiting all the Villages of this District the Compl^t^ again sets up a claim to the Meerasee, an enquiry made from the Nautwar, & others Inhab^ts^ of that District, who declare the Defend^t^ to be the Meerasidar, to whom therefore the Village is ceded.
135	26 May 4/95	Comarasawmy Pandarum	Renter of Cavantandalum	Representing that the [illegible] refuses without a Sunnud [illegible] him his Maunian — [illegible] for one	26th May 95	Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Maunians —

N°	D pt	Complainant	Defendants	Subject		Proceedings & Decision
133	26 May 1795	Varahacharloo of Munnemungal^m	Aumildar of Munnemung^m	Representing that t mildar refuses to allow him hi auniums without a Sunnud ch he prays for —		Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Mauniums (signed) L.P.
134	26 May 1795	Vencapetty of Cauvanoor in the District of Munnemungalam	Sevarameah of D°	Claiming the right to the Village of Cauv heritance having been alienat [illegible] longing to by the means of a fals [illegible] ate —	17 July 95 [illegible]th April 1796	It appearing by this Man's own confession he has never enjoyed the Meerasee of that Village for these 20 years past, & having no proof to bring of his right to it — The Aumil of Munnemungalam is directed to allow it being enjoyed as heretofore — (signed) L.P. On visiting out the Villages of this District the Compl^t again sets up a claim to the Meerasee, an enquiry made from the Nauttawar & other Inhab^ts of that District, who declare the Defend^t to be the Meerasdar, to whom therefore the Village is ceded.
135	26 May 1795	Comarasawmy Pandaram	Renter of Covantandalam	Representing that th refuses without a Sunnud t him his Maunium — ving for one	26th May 95	Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Mauniums —

	Nº	D[illegible]pt	Complainant	Defendants	Subject		Proceedings & Decision
136	133	26 May 1795	Varahacharloo of Munnemungal.m	Aumildar of Munnemung.m	Representing that the [illegible]mildar refuses to allow him his [illegible]auniams without a Sunnud [illegible]ch he enjoys for — —		Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Mauniams (signed) L.P.
13	134	26 May 1795	Vencapetty of Cauvanoor in the District of Munnemungalum	Sevarameah of D.o	Claiming the right to the Village of Cauv[illegible]heritance having been alienat[illegible] belonging to [illegible] by the means of a fals[illegible] [illegible]ate —	17 July 95	It appearing by this Man's own confession he has never enjoyed the [illegible] of that Village for these 20 years past, & having no proof to furnish of his right to it — The Aumil[illegible] of Munnemungalum is directed to allow it being enjoyed as heretofore — (signed) L.P.
						[illegible]th April 1796	On visiting out the Villages of this District the Compl.t again sets up a claim to the Meerassee, an enquiry made from the Nautwar, & others Inhab.ts of that District, who declare the Defend.t to be the Meerasdar, to whom therefore the Village is ceded.
1	135	26 May 1795	Comarasawmy Pandarum	Renter of Cavantandalum	Representing that the [illegible] refuses [illegible] him without a Sunnud his Mauniam — [illegible]ying for one	26th May 95	Dismissed & informed no Sunneeds are given for Mauniams —