A 206

2 of 6

3 of 56

Diary.

19th January.

(1)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Received letter submitting an application from his Head Assistant Mr. W. H. Cadell requesting leave of absence to proceed to Europe on sick certificate.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(2)

Order thereon. Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted to Government through the channel of the Civil Auditor.

The Board observe that Mr. Cadell has omitted to state for what period he intends to be absent and whether he wishes to avail himself of the benefit of the proposed furlough allowance during his absence. The Board have called upon that Gentleman for these particulars which they will submit to Government as soon as received.

DIGITIZED BY

Ordered also that the following

letter

Diary.

19th January.

(1) From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Received letter submitting an application from his Head Assistant Mr. W.M. Cadell requesting leave of absence to proceed to Europe on sick certificate.

ORIGINAL RECEIVED DESTROYED

(2) Order thereon. Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted to Government through the channel of the Civil Auditor.

The Board observe that Mr. Cadell has omitted to state for what period he intends to be absent, and whether he wishes to avail himself of the benefit of the proclaimed furlough allowance during his absence. The Board have called upon that Gentleman for these particulars, which they will submit to Government as soon as received.

Ordered also that the following letter

2418 Fort St George 20th January 1847.

Letter be sent in consequence.

To

The Collector of

Trichinopoly.

(1-3)

To the Collr of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Reve to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th Instant, transmitting an application from yr Assistant Mr Cadell for permission to return to Eur on Sick certificate. This application has been forwarded to Government but the Board observe that Mr Cadell has omitted to state for what period he intends to be absent and whether he wishes to avail himself of the benefit of the reduced furlough allowance during his absence. You will be pleased to furnish these particulars by return of Post for submission to Government.

In Dy Com's 21st Jany 1847

Revenue Bd Office

Fort St George 19th Jany 1847

20th Jany.

/Signed/ J. Bycroft

Secretary

4

From the Acting Collr of Chingleput. Received letter dat transmitting an application from his Assistant Mr A. R. McDonell, requesting leave of absence for one month to proceed to Madras under Section 2 amended / of the absentee rules.

4-5

Order thereon.

Extract to be submitted thro' the Channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favourable consideration of Government.

2419 Fort St George 21st January 1847.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 21st January 1847

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

J. Robertson Esqre Second Member.

J. Maclean Esqre Acting third Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre Officiating Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

6

From the Bd to Govt

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 8th January 1847.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Here enter 30th November 1846 No 571)

The Governor in Council observes that the Board of Revenue in adverting to their Proceedings of the 24th March 1846 make no allusion to the information required in the Order of Government thereon dated 19th May 1846 respecting the results and effects of Sir Thomas Munro's Ryotwary System in the Ceded Districts. This however has been received since the date of their present communication; and will be separately considered

2420 Fort St George 21st January 1847

considered by Government.

/ A true Extract /

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ Signed / H.C. Montgomery
Offg Sect to Govern

(6-7)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 2nd January 1847.

/ From Board 14 Dect /

(8)

From the Offg Secretary to Government. Under the circumstances represented in the letter accompanying the above Proceedings, the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Bellary Collectorate the amount of Kists stolen from the house of the Potail of Kollagluttu, amounting to Rupees (210-9-11) two hundred and ten annas nine and Pice Eleven

/ Signed / H.C. Montgomery
Offg Sect to Govt

(8-9)

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 3rd December 1846.

Extract

2421 Fort St George 21st January 1847

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 11th January 1847
/ From Board 24th December /

(10)

From the Offg Secretary to Government. Under the circumstances represented, and considering the grounds on which the Yellamanchely Estate was conferred on Mullicarjoonoo Bhoosham Row, the Governor in Council is pleased to accept the terms proposed by him for the liquidation of the arrears outstanding, amounting to Rupees 4991-2-9 and to sanction the release of the Estate from attachment.

/ Signed / H.C. Montgomery
Offg Secretary to Govt

(10-11)

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to his letter dated 2nd December last.

Extract

2422 Fort St George 21st January 1847

Extract from the Minutes of Consultn

dated 11th January 1847.

/From Board 21st December/

12

From the Offg Secretary to Government. The Governor in Council sanctions the charges of Sibbendy and Sawdarwar incurred on account of the Neddadavole Gomastary in the Masulipatam District from the 1st May 1845 to 11th July 1846 amounting to Rupees (8390-6-0) Eight thousand three hundred and ninety and Annas six.

/Signed/ H.C. Montgomery

Offg Secretary to Govt

12-13

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Masulipatam with reference to Mr Porter's letter

2423 Fort St George 21st January 1847

dated 28th November last.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

dated 11th January 1847.

/From Board 24th December/

14

From the Offg Secretary to Government. The Governor in Council approves and sanctions the alterations proposed to be made in the Salary of the English Writers on the Establishment of the Nellore Collectorate, the same involving no increase of charge to the State.

/Signed/ H.C. Montgomery

Offg Secretary to Government

14-15

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Nellore with reference to Mr Bishop's letter dated 17th December last.

Extract

2424 Fort St. George 27th January 1847.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th January 1847.

(16) (From Board 21st Decr.)

From the Offg Secy to Government. The Governor in Council sanctions the disbursements recommended in the foregoing letter, amounting to Rupees (12325) twelve thousand three hundred and twenty five -.

(Signed) W.C. Montgomery
Offg Secy to Government.

Ordered that the following Letter be sent in consequence.

(16-17)

To the Collr of Canara —

To the Collector of Canara.

Sir

In Cons 21st Dec. 1846

With reference to your letter of the 14th November last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the disbursement

From Govt 11th in Cons 25th January 1847 No 59.

of Rupees 12,325 for the purchase of 725 Garce of Bombay Salt required for the Monopoly in your District.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George, 21st January 1847.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy

2425 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

In Cons 9th Nov. 1846.

(18)

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Extra Revenue in the Dy trict of Vizagapatam for fusly 1256-

Read letter dated 30th October 1846 from the Collector of Vizagapatam reporting on the settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in his District for fusly 1256-

(Here enter No 71-)

The annexed Statement shows therein,

1. the Increase and Decrease in the demand on each Source as compared with that of fusly 1254-

	Demand 1254	Demand 1255-	Increase	Decrease
Salt	208754-9-0	109130-8-0	375-15-0	
Sea Customs	34915-3-2	29445-12-6	"	5459-6-8
Abkary	54336-0-0	49981-0-0	"	4405-0-0
Stamps	24222-3-11	9611-11-0	"	14610-8-11
Motorpha	7433-8-7	6403-1-5	"	1030-7-2
Total	329701-8-8	204572-0-11	375-15-0	25305-6-9
		Deduct Increase		375-15-0
		Net Decrease		24929-7-9

It is observed that the trifling

Salt. 2. increase of Rupees 375-15-0 in the Demand under the head of Salt was more than counterbalanced by an increase of Rupees 430-2-2. in the charges by which the Net revenue derived from that source including Extra Collections fell short of that realized during fusly 1254- by Rupees 179-3-2.

Sea Customs. 3. The Gross receipts from the Sea Customs during the fusly under review were Rupees 5459-6-8 less than those of the previous fusly. The Collector attributes the falling off to the unfavorable seasons which it is stated to have affected both the trade and the produce

produce of the District. An addition to the Establishment caused a corresponding increase in the amount of charges which tended in reducing the Net Revenue to Rupees 5,899-3-10. below that of the preceding fusly -

4. The Board observe that since act XI of 1844 came into operation the average annual net Revenue from the Sea Customs has exceeded that of the nine previous fusles by about 11,000 Rupees, attributable to the greater scope of the act and to the higher rate of duty imposed by it on paddy and rice -

5. The decrease of Rupees 4,405 in the amount for which the Abkarry was rented of does not appear to call for any particular remark. The Board request that the Collector will take immediate measures to realize the balance of Rupees 5,815-1-- which he states to be outstanding at the period of his report against the renters -

6. The Board do not consider it expedient to authorize the change proposed by Mr Swollett in regard to the present mode by which the Abkarry is rented out but they are prepared to sanction its being taken up for a term of three years if circumstances are shewn to warrant such an arrangement. They think that the system of putting up the Farm to public Auction is on the whole, the best which can be adopted as the Collector has full & ample power

power to reject the highest bidder if he fails to produce unexceptionable security for the due fulfilment of his engagement or if he is found upon enquiry to be in other respects incompetent to discharge the obligations of the rent -

The decrease of Rupees 14,610-8-11. in the proceeds of the sale of Stamps is owing to the partial disuse of stamped Cowannahs in the Sea Custom Department and to the operation of the orders issued by the Sudder Court by which all suits under a certain amount have been confined to the jurisdiction of the Moonsiffs Courts without the use of Stamped Paper -

Stamps. 7

8 The falling off of Rupees 1030 in the Moturpha Demand was owing partly to the abolition of certain Hill Market taxes. The Net receipts were less than those of the previous fusly by Rupees 1111-10-11 -

Moturpha.

9. The Board beg to support the request made by the Collector that the unrecoverable arrears outstanding under this head on account of the period comprised within fusles 1250 and 1254 both inclusive and amounting to Rupees 250-6-8 may be written off in the Accounts -

10 It is observed that out of the whole demand of Rupees 2,04,572-2-11 upon all the sources of Extra revenue Rupees 19,615-2-9 were realized within the fusly - including Extra Collections and arrears the gross out-turn of the year was to Rupees 2,02,357-12-11 from which there remained after the deduction of Rupees 20,766-11-2 for charges a net revenue of Rupees 1,81,590-14-9 being Rupees 27,977-8-11 less than that realized during the preceding fusly -

Ordered that a Copy of these Proceedings be submitted to Government and a Copy transmitted to the Collector of Tinnevelly for his information and guidance together with the annexed Statement of Demand Collections and Balances -

Fusly

Estate of George Tennant January 1847-

A.

Upper Canada Estate 1865-

| | | |

2429. Fort St George 21st January 1847

Read the following letter -

(19)

From the Collr of Rajahmundry
Reporting the result of the sale of the Ramachendrapooram Zemindary and submitting a statement on that subject -

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 2d Ultimo directing the sale by public Auction of the Ramachendrapooram Zemindary and authorizing me to bid on behalf of Government to the extent of the balance outstanding thereon, I have the honor to report that the Zemindary has been sold by public auction & bought in on behalf of Government for Company's Rupees 80000 as shewn in the accompanying Statement No 1. No bidders appeared - The sale commenced at Samul - = o'clock on the 7th Instant and was brought to a close on the same day -

2. With respect to the remaining balance still outstanding against the Zemindary amounting to Rupees 27,1502--7 after deducting the above purchase money, I beg leave to state that the Zemindar being quite unable to pay the same, a separate proposal will be submitted hereafter for permission to strike off that amount from my accounts as soon as a Karaunamah is tendered by the Zemindar declaring that he will relinquish all demands against the Ryots attached to the Zemindary on account of any balances outstanding against them -

As

No 1.

Statement of Collections, Charges, Balance, Amount of Peishcush and Balance remaining of Ramachendrapooram Zemindary -

Collections		95,658-11-8
Charges	67,065-4-8	
Peishcush	21,090-0-0	
Amount	88,155-4-8	
Balance		7,502-11-0
Amount of Peishcush, Suncy	20,000-0-0	
Remaining Balance	27,1502-0-7	

Vide Collr's letter to Board dated 2d Sept 1846 Para 2 & 3 and Board's Proceedings of 9th Sept 1846 Para 2 & 3

2430. Fort St George 21st January 1847

3. As soon as I receive sanction from the Government for debiting in my accounts the purchase money the amount of the loan made by the Circar including interest amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 6269-14-7 will be carried to the credit of Government and the remainder Viz Rupees 1873-1-11 will be credited under the Peishcush balance -

4. The allowance of 400 Rupees per Mensem hitherto paid to the Zemindar Rajah Cauker lapoody Ramachendrarauze will be discontinued as before from this Treasury from the date of sale as a life pension with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government from the Minister dated the 14th April 1846 - In addition to the above ready money allowance the following dairy lands are now in the possession of the Zemindar -

	Land Pottah	S.	M.
Ramachendrapooram	28-	19-	8-
Moschommilly	23-	6-	0-
Garvapilly	8-	0-	0
Kundoo lapollam	2-	10-	0
Tanpavaram	25-	11-	8
Wondoor	9-	0-	0
Sayalah	3-	0-	0
Lowndswaram	2-	0	0
Total Quantity of land	91-	6-	0

Vide Govern't Sanction noted 29th June received with Board's Proceedings of 9th July 1846 Para 22

Orders have been issued to the Amildar of the Cotah Ramachendrapooram Talook to report to him portions of these lands are entered as Inams in the permanent Accounts, what extent of Garden lands are entered under the head of Arabam Purchata, and whether any Pottahs for lands is held by the Zemindar as Dairy lands and if so to state the produce thereof under Attachman to for the current year; under these circumstances I request the orders of Government whether these lands are to be continued to the Zemindar or not -

5. As no Copy of the report of the late Commissioner Sir H. C. Montgomery on this Zemindary has been received I request that one may be furnished to me in accordance with the intentions of the Government as expressed in the Minutes of Consultation of the 14th April last transmitted along with his general report on the state of the District -

Para 22 Rajahmundry District - Canadary 1st December 1846

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant (Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector

Statement

2431.

Fort St George

21st January 1847.

Statement of the Sale of Estates in the District of Rajah-mundry in the Month of December 1846 in fusly 1256-

No.	Names of the Zemindars	Names of the Estates-	No. of Villages	Permanent assessment-	Fusly in which arrears are outstanding-	Particulars	Principal.	Interest of arrears &c.	Charges attachment	Total	Date of Sale.	Names of the Purchasers	Amount of Sale-	Remarks
	1	2	3	Cᴴᴼ ᴬᴾ	5		Cᴴᴼ ᴬᴾ	7 Cᴴᴼ ᴬᴾ	8 Cᴴᴼ ᴬᴾ	9 Cᴴᴼ ᴬᴾ	10	11	12 Cᴴᴼ ᴬᴾ	13.
1	Sree Rajah Lankala poody Ramachendra Range Bahadur	Ramachendra-drapooram	20	60220 9 0	1247		62448 3 7			28122 0 1	-	-	90565 3 8	
					1248		34773 11 10			32538 5 8	-	-	67312 1 6	
					1249		41336 9 -			33617 1 8	-	-	74953 10 8	
					1250		13422 1 6			9144 11 4	-	-	22566 12 10	7th Decr 1846 Govern-ment- Eighty thousand Rupees-
					1251		16426 9 -			9049 9 10	-	-	25476 2 10	
					1252		15512 7 3			8154 2 3	-	-	23666 9 6	
					1253		26287 15 9			8023 - 10	-	-	34311 - 7	
					1254		4344 9 8			843 4 1	-	-	5187 13 9	
					1255		5966 10 8			1495 14 7	-	-	7462 9 3	
					1256		- - -			- - -	-	-	- - -	
							220513 14 3			130988 2 4	-	-	351502 - 7	
	Cash balance						1,7,8573 14 3			111719 3 8	-	-	235233 1 11	
	Loan made by the Circar in fusly 1247						47000 - -			19263 14 3	-	-	66268 14 3	
	Total						220513 14 3			130988 2 4	-	-	351502 - 7	

E. E. Fr. / signed / J. Prendergast Collector

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 9th December 1846.

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

(19-20)

To the Chief Secy to Government
Submitting a letter from the Collr of Rajahmundry reporting the sale of the Rajahchundrapoorum Zemindary for arrears of Peishcush & its purchase on behalf of Govt & recommending that the purchase may receive the sanction of Govt also stating with reference to the Collr's application for copy of the report of the late Commissioners on this Estate that the Board will be prepared to attend to his requisition should it not be objectionable to the being furnished to their office -
Read 2nd Jany 1847
Recd 21st Do 1847.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address -

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government
Sir,

With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date 14th April 1846 directing the sale of the Ramachundrapoorum Zemindary for arrears of Peishcush I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting the sale of the same on the 7th December last and its purchase on behalf of Government for Rupees 80000 the total Demand against the Estate at the date of sale was Rupees 351502-0-7 thus leaving after the deductions of Sale Proceeds the sum of Rupees 271502-0-7 for adjustment. The Board beg to recommend that the proceedings of the Collector in the purchase of

Peishcush including Interest	285233-1-11	
Loan advanced by Govt		
Principal . .	47000-0-0	
Interest . . .	19269-14-8	
	66268-14-8	
	351502-0-7	
Deduct.		
Sale Proceeds	80000-0-0	
Remaining Balance	271502-0-7	

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

of the Estate as above stated may receive the sanction of Government -

2. The remaining Balance of Rupees 271502-0-7 will be finally adjusted as soon as the late proprietor has entered into a written engagement to relinquish all outstanding demands against the Ryots of the Estate -

3. In addition to the life Pension of Rupees 400 per Mensem sanctioned by Government in the Minutes Consultation already quoted it appears that the late Proprietor is in the enjoyment of lands in certain Villages of the Estate - the Collector will be required to furnish further explanation in regard to the circumstances under which the Zemindars acquired these, their extent Value, the expediency or otherwise of confirming the enjoyment and in the event of its being determined that the lands shall be resumed whether, with reference to the observations of the Commissioner that "should the family be ultimately deprived of their ancient property a more liberal provision must be assigned for their support" the circumstances of the family require that the present pension of R 400 per Mensem should be increased

Extract of a Letter from Sir H. C. Montgomery Bt. C. 39 quoted in Min of Cons 29th June 1844 in Case of Tuljo

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

increased—

4. The Collector it will be seen (Para 6/ has applied for copy of the Report of the late Commissioner on this Estate. Copy of an Extract therefrom (Para 39/ which alone has been furnished by Government to the Board, was sent to the Collector on the 8th July 1844. I am directed to state that the Board will be prepared to attend to the requisition of the Collector should Government see no objection to this report being furnished to this Officer. The Board deem it proper to add that all the Reports of the late Commissioner received in this Office from Government are those noted in the margin and that Copies of all of these have been transmitted

Commissioner's Report to Government 31st January 1844 received with Extract Minutes of Cons 14th April 1846. Para 39 of Do Report to Do 10th February 1844 received with Do Do 29th June 1844—

Commissioner's Report to Do 4th March 1844 received with Do Do 12th May 1845—

Do Report to Do 18th March 1844 received with Do Do 28th May 1844—

Do Report to Do 30th May 1844 received with Do Do 29th June 1844—

to the Collector—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
21st January 1847.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

Read the following letter—

21

From the Coll[r] of Rajahmundry Reporting on Petition No 1480 of 1845 presented by M. Streenivasiah late Naib Sheristadar of this District

To
T. Pycroft Esq[re]
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to Para 13 of the Board's Proceedings dated 6th February and Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation dated the 3d which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of 23d April 1845 and to your letters of 9th February 1846 and 7th Instant requiring me to report on the subject of the Petitions No 1096 of 1844 and 1480 of 1845 from Mooperaula Streenevasiah late Naib Sheristadar of this Office praying that he may be reinstated in his former appointment, that his arrears of Salary for the months of September and October 1843 may be made good to him and that the increase of Salary of 20 Rupees per Mensem sanctioned by Government may also be disbursed to him. I have the honor to state that Petitioner was appointed Auildar in charge of Tanookoo Talook in August 1837 of Nugarum Talook in April

* The original Petition No 1480 of 1845 received with this letter is herewith returned—

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

April 1838 and Survey Superintendents in the month of May 1840. The Salaries pertaining to those Offices were the same as that of the Nair Sheristadar Pgs. Rupees 80 when he was removed to the Tanoor Taluk of Amberkhana Shanbagherry raw. (then Head Sawbriers) was appointed to Officiate as Naib Sheristadar and orders were passed that he should draw the Salary of that Appointment. The increase of 20 Rupees was sanctioned in the Month of May 1842 while Shanbaghereraw was Officiating but it was never paid either to Petitioner or to Shanbaghereraw. When Lieut. R. C. Montgomery was in Charge of this District, he addressed a Proclamation to Petitioner on the 30th September 1843 as Superintendant of Survey and from that date his title of Naib Sheristadar was dropped. He was removed in November 1843 in consequence of the Office having been discontinued. The address of that Proclamation was considered equivalent to a removal of Petitioner from the Office of Naib Sheristadar. His arrears of Salary for the Months of September and October 1843 as Superintendant to

Vide Boards Proceedings of 30th May 1842.

Vide Correspondence P. B. Dated 21st Sept 1843 from Sec. to Govt. 1845.

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Superintendant of Survey have been since paid from my Treasury. A Shanbaghereraw who never drew more than 80 Rupees a month was removed from the situation of Officiating Naib Sheristadar in the Month of March 1844 and Degavelly Timmaraze has since then been permanantly appointed to the situation on the full Salary of 100 Rupees per Mensem with the sanction of the Board under date the 22nd July 1844.

Vide Correspondence P. B. Dated 14th Sept 1844. From Sec. to Govt. 6th May — To do 5th June — From do 17th do — From do 22nd July —

2 Under these Circumstances it appears that Petitioner is not entitled as a matter of right to the additional Rupees 20 per Mensem because he was not performing the duty of the Office when the sanction of Government was received but whereas the then Collector had recommended that a Salary of Rupees 150 per Mensem should be conferred on the Superintendents of Survey and it may be presumed that he received the order of the Commissioner nominating him to that Office without remonstrance in the impression that he would in a short time enjoy a Salary higher than that of the Naib Sheristadar

Fort St. George 21st. January 1847.

Sheristadar it remains for the Board to pass final orders on the subject—

3. Regarding the character and conduct of M. Streenevasiah I find on record the undermentioned documents (Copies and translations of which are enclosed) on perusal of which I think the Board will concur in my opinion that it is inexpedient that M. Streenevasiah should be reemployed in this District—

№1. Arzee from M. Streenevasiah Nail Sheristadar in Charge of Survey Department to Mr Smith late Collector dated the 1st July 1843 with some remarks upon it in the hand writing of Sir H. C. Montgomery Commissioner in Charge—

№2. Order from H. C. Montgomery Commissioner in Charge to M. Streenevasiah Superintendant of Survey dated 16th October 1843—

№3. Order from Mr Smith late Collector to M. Streenevasiah Nail Sheristadar in Charge of Survey Department dated 23d December 1842—

Rajahmundry District
Rajamugger 31st December 1846—

(Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector
&c

Fort St. George 21st. January 1847—

No.

To
G. A. Smith Esqre.
Collector in the Zillah of
Rajahmundry

An Arzee from Mooperalah Streenevasiah to Buzzoor Nail Sheristadar in Charge of Survey Department—

There has been a talk in the Bazar for 2 or 3 days that Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart Commissioner was coming here to examine the Pymash accounts—Accordingly he and the Civil Engineer both arrived at Veeravaccharum at 8 Oclock this Morning. The Amildar of the Talook and his Subordinates came and visited them after they took leave of the Gentlemen Salsment and visited them because I heard that they came to Veeravaccharum to examine the Pymash accounts. The Commissioner enquired of me what Country I belonged to and in what places and under what Gentlemen I had served. I answered that I was an inhabitant of Palamanare in the Chittoor District and that I have served such and such Gentlemen. He directed me to attend his Office with the Pymash accounts by 11 Oclock in the Morning accordingly I went and waited in the Tent where his Cutchery Peutendahs remained along with

any

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

my Duftar, containing certain abstract & accounts, which you perused at Nursapoor regarding the Pymash already made. In the mean time the writer of the Engineer came to me with a foolscap paper in his hand & fully written in English from which he proposed me 16 questions in Gentoo, and required me to give answers to them saying that it was the order of the Civil Engineer at the same time the Civil Engineer sent for me into his tent and directed me to give answers to the Questions put by his writer. I said that some of the questions could not be answered without reference to the Records. He also required from me an abstract account of all the Villages wherein the Pymash was concluded shewing the description of lands and their quantity as entered in the accounts subsequently to the permanent settlement, in comparison with the quantity now found upon Pymash in acres. I said that this account also required a reference to the records. He then desired me to send the answers in two or three days with the said account, and the plan of the fields in Palacodaroo Village which latter he promised to return me after taking a copy of it. He thereupon called into the Tent a Ryat of Palacodaroo called Cottapully Vencata Ratyranze who is going

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

rebut making objections to the Pymaish & Cist and desired me at the same time to send for the Pymaish accounts of the said Palacodaroo Village which I according sent for from my Cutchery. On the perusal of the classification of the Cist of dry and wet lands of that Village as entered in these accounts he asked me why the average Cist of Palacodaroo is at 40 Rupees per Potty, while that of the neighbouring Village Mogulloo is calculated at 29 Rupees? I answered that the Potty in the latter Village was small containing only 10 Acres, whereas that in the former is large and contains 13½ Acres, that there is also a difference in the qualities of land and that in one Village some land bears the Cist of 30 Rupees while other land pays only 20 Rupees per Potty with reference to the extent of produce yielded by them respectively. I also informed him that no Pymaish Cist has yet been fixed in the said Mogulloo and other Villages according to the fertility of the various sorts of lands, and that when it takes place the state of the lands

Fort St George 21st January 1847

lands of those Villages will be known - He further asked me how the cist in question had been fixed for Palacodaros Village? I replied that my Collector had fixed it with reference to the average price of 20 years (excluding the famine year) for the circars Share of the net produce of the lands of various sorts the produce being duly examined, and the average Collections taken by the former Committees as well as the cist and Collections of 20 past years - While I was thus informing him the said Ryot Vencatapaty pauze interrupted me in an impudent manner before that Gentleman; who heard it and made no remark I then asked him whether it was just to allow a ryot to treat me thus disrespectfully in his presence upon this he bade him hold his tongue - He also wrote something with pencil upon a paper when I told him regarding the cist of Palacodaros Village -

The Commissioner afterwards sent for me and just as I went into his Tent the Civil Engineer also came there and after some conversation between the Gentlemen the Commissioner enquired of me regarding the Cist of Palacodaros Village and I informed him the particulars of it as I did to the Civil

Fort St George 21st January 1847

Civil Engineer - after hearing this they spoke a part - The Commissioner thereupon asked me how many Villages had undergone the Pymayesh - I informed him the number of the Villages and as he wished to look at those accounts I produced him a book containing all sorts of accounts solely for Palacodaros Village - he also caused me to read the names of the several accounts - He also required of me a Statement shewing the particulars of the lands of all the Villages which underwent the Pymayesh I informed him that those accounts had only been prepared for some Villages and that the abstract accounts were only partially prepared for a few Villages - In saying this I shewed him only the abstract which you inspected at Nurdapore for the 20 Vispalady Villages of Woondy Talook after deducting out of 22 two Villages settled by you - as this abstract was written both in Gunto and English he kept the latter by him and returned me the former He also took Copy of the account shewing the Cist and Collections of Palacodaros Village for 20 years - He afterwards asked me why the Cist and Collection of this District have been gradually reduced during the late

Fort St George 21st January 1847-

late years - I answered that I arrived in this
District a few years ago and that I could
not understand well of the Cist and Collection
of the former years but as he wanted me
to explain as far as my information would
permit, I then related what I heard as follows

That 50 or 60 years ago when
all the Villages of this District were held
by the Zemindars the seasons were favorable
and the lands produced abundantly and the
prices of grain were high, that the Zemindars
then made large Collections, that in
the Villages of the Zemindary of Calahindy
near the permanent Cistassessment was for
beyond the assets, that during the late years
the seasons became unfavorable and
the lands unproductive, that the Zemin-
dars and Proprietors still levied heavy
Cist and this the Ryots were involved in
poverty to the loss of the Zemindars and
Proprietors who consequently fell into
large arrears and their Estates were
ultimately brought to sale and reverted to
Government for want of purchasers that ever
after the Estates came under Government the
series of the unfavorable season the short

produce

Fort St George 21st January 1847-

produce of lands with the falling off in the
prices of Grain combined with the visitation
of famine in the years of Nondonah and
Velumby led to the Ryots becoming more
poor and to the consequent falling off
in the Cist and Collections of the District -
He then said that unfavora-
ble seasons had occurred in every District
yet their Collections had not been reduced -
I answered that those Districts were well
irrigated while the want of that resource
was seriously felt in this District - He
then said that as there were a great
many Manjums in this District he thought
the Ryots cultivated them more than
the progetty land I answered him that it
was so but that my Collector discovered it
and established some "Rules" that persons
cultivating more Lands should be
liable to pay -

The Civil Engineer then came
and told the Commissioner that the Cist
of the Strongarochcum Village is reduced
for the ensuing fasly though it was in a
prosperous state - The Commissioner asked
me what was the reason of it. I answered
that I did not know but as the Cist of

that

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

that Village was very heavy a part of it might have been reduced in order to encourage the Ryots to cultivate the lands and thereby realize the revenue —

The Commissioner thereupon directed me to attend in his Cutcherry at Nursapoor within 3 days along with some accounts of the Pymaysh. I report this for your information as it passed yesterday. Herewith submit for your perusal a paper containing the questions proposed by the Civil Engineer together with my answers thereto and a Statement prepared according to the form prescribed by that Gentleman shewing the lands of the Villages which have undergone the Pymaysh and Ajmaysh and request your orders if I may send to the Civil Engineer these two papers together with the Plan of Palacoderoo Village which he promised to return after taking a Copy and attend myself into the Office of the Commissioner within a week, in order to furnish the accounts required by him - Please to peruse -

Dated Veeravacharam 1st July 1843 -

(Signed) Matthewsjaick
Naib Shirestadar

I have read this and find the writers Statement

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

Statement a wicked and malicious perversion of facts and that he has been guilty of base treachery to men who unsuspectingly spoke a few civil words to him and has wilfully and grossly deceived his Master -

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

(True Copy and translation)
(Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector

No 2.

To Mooperaulah Streenevasjiah
Superintendent of Survey

I have had your Arzee of 1st July last No 94 to the late Collector and find you have made a wicked and malicious perversion of facts and that you have been guilty of base treachery to men who unsuspectingly spoke a few civil words to you and have wilfully and grossly deceived your Master Captain A. De Butts Civil Engineer of whom you mention certain circumstances in the said Arzee but upon my enquiry deemed the truth of the same. I therefore clearly observe that you are not worthy of truth -

Zillah Cutcherry Collectors Cutchery
Cuddalore 16th October 1843 -

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge

(True Copy and Translation)
(Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector

2448

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

No 3.

To,

Mooperalah Streenevasiah

Naib Sheristadar in Charge

of Survey Department.

I have received several Com-

plaints against you saying that when you

want bearers you compel the washermen

and Soldy people of the Villages of Woondy Talook

wherein you reside to carry you & any one

without paying them hire and cause them

to be beaten and maltreated if they refuse

to come. It is very unjust to force such

poor people to work without hire when

you receive pay from the Circar. If you

commit such pariah business any more

I will not pardon it. you should therefore

pay regular hire whenever you want bears.

If I receive further complaint accusing

you with forcibly taking away the bearers

without payment of the regular hire

you will immediately be called to my

Kuzzoor, enquiries will be made and you

will be dealt with according to the

Regulations and remember your

pay will also be stopped from the

day of your attendance till the period of

2449

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

of the conclusion of the investigation

Zillah Rajahmundry Collectors Cutcherry

Cocanada 23rd December 1846.

(Signed) C. A. Smith

Collector

(True Copy and Translation)

(Signed) T. Prendergast

Collector

Ordered that the foregoing

letter be submitted with the following address.

21-22

To,

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir,

Para. With reference to Para 2 of the

Extract Minutes Consultation dated 3 April 1845

requiring the Collector of Rajahmundry to explain

the circumstances attending the appointment of

the Naib Sheristadar M. Streenevasiah Iyen

to superintend the experimental Survey in

that District, I am directed by the Board of

Revenue to submit the accompanying letter

31st December 1846 from the Collector furnish-

ing explanation upon the above subject as

also upon other points brought to notice by

M. Streenevasa Iyen in two Petitions present-

ed by him to this Office.

From this report it will be

perceived that Streenevasa Iyen when Naib She-

ristadar of Rajahmundry District was in

the year 1837 appointed Amildar Charge of the

Tuncoor Talook. He was in the next year

transferred thence to take charge of the Nuga-

lum Talook and in the year 1840 was appointed Su-

perintendent of the Survey then about to be under-

taken in certain Villages of the Government Estates.

His pay in that capacity was the same which he

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting with reference to para 2 Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd April 1845 a letter from the Collr of Rajahmundry furnishing explanation on the circumstances attending the appointment of the Naib Sheristadar M Streenevasiah to superintend the experimental survey in that District as also upon other points brought to notice in the petitions presented by that individual.

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

he had drawn as Amuldar and Naib Sheristadar Rs 80 Rupees per Mensem He continued to be engaged in the prosecution of the survey for more than three years, but as the Board understand Mr Prendergast's letter, he was not considered to have vacated his old office of Naib Sheristadar until the 30th September 1843 on which date the Commissioner then in Charge of the District addressed a Letter to him as "Superintendent of Survey" and from that time his designation of Naib Sheristadar was dropped. The survey was suspended in November 1843 and he has since been without a situation -

2nd His Petitioner has requested 1st that his salary for the months of September and October 1843 be disbursed him - 2nd that he may receive in arrear the increase to the pay of the Naib Sheristadar 20 Rupees per Mensem sanctioned in May 1822 3rd that he may be replaced in his former office of Naib Sheristadar, or be made Sheristadar of the recently created Sub division. On the first of these requests it seems that since his Petition were presented to the Board Shaik Hussain has received his arrears of pay for the two months referred to. The second demand appears to be inadmissible in as much as the Petitioner had

Fort St George 24th January 1847

had ceased to do duty as Naib Sheristadar five years before the Salary of that office was raised. As regards the third request the place of the Sub Division Sheristadar has been already disposed of and although that of Naib Sheristadar has recently become Vacant by the Removal of the late incumbent, the Board could not advise any interference with the Collector in filling up an office of so much importance. The Petitioner's length of service and the circumstances under which he was removed from his last appointment would indeed seem to render his case deserving of consideration - if his past conduct has been meritorious - It will be seen that a former Collector - Mr G. A. Smith, seems to have not thought well of him - but that the opinion expressed

From Coll Rs 24th July 1841 Para 27 in Cons 5th Nov

Fort St. George 21st. January 1847

expressed by the Commissioner in his references to some part of the Petitioners conduct which came under his observation is far from favorable. The present Collector also appears averse to the reemployment of a Streenevassa Iyen in the Rajahmundry District—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st. January 1847 —

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the undermentioned

23 Statements—

From the Collector of Masulipatam— Statement of Collections for fasly 1255—

23 A

From Do— of Do— Statement of Charges for fasly 1255—

23-24

Order on the 2 abr. Statements— Ordered to be lodged in the Office—

Read.

Fort St. George 21st. January 1847.

Sel. Cons. 11th Jany 1847

25

Proceedings thereon—

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Bellary for fasly 1255 1845/46

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 12th October 1846 reporting on the Settlement of that District for fasly 1255 1845/46

The season of fasly 1255 1845/46 was unfavorable throughout. The early rains were altogether deficient and the red lands (mooyary cultivation) yielded no returns. The Produce from the black Lands (Kingar or late season) averaged about one eighth and one sixth of the usual quantity. The Talooks of Adwany Bellary and Cudlem suffered most. The principal deficiency however was in the wet Lands under Tanks the cultivation of which fell 1/3 below that of the preceding year and about 1/2 of that of fasly 1253 1843/44 a favorable season. Cholera prevailed from August till March and about 18364 souls out of 28098 attacked were carried off. The mortality was greatest in the Talooks of Adwany and Harpanhully. The alarm was naturally great and the Ryots were assembled with some difficulty for the Settlement—

2.

	fasly 1254	fasly 1255	Increase per Centage
Rice per Garce	157-4-0	234-0-0	52
Jowaloo—do—	61-2-0	108-4-0	78
Tajaloo—do—	62-8-0	112-8-0	80
Ragooloo—do—	58-11-0	96-0-0	64
Cotton per Candy	58-15-0	35-0-0	3

The prices of all Agricultural Produce were much enhanced. The holders of wet lands benefitted generally but the state of the market was of advantage to those only in the case of Dry lands who were substantial and whose produce had not

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

not been anticipated when prices were low. The realization of the public Revenue was greatly facilitated.-

Parag 6 to 10

3. The total land of every description included in the Ryots Puttahs according to their engagements was 2408430 Acres assessed at Rupees 3009175 by an increase in land of 2385 Acres above the extent of the preceding year and a decrease of Rupees 49859 in the assessment. The net amount included in the Ryots Puttahs after deducting Land unsown, was Acres 1684375 bearing an assessment of Rupees 2519186 or Acres 6961 assessed at Rupees 11037 below the net result of the preceding year. The Area comprising the same with the assessment is distributed as follows-

As per Ryots Puttahs.

	Acres-	Assessment
Fasly 1254 — 1844/45	2406045	3049984-
1255 — 1845/46	2408430	3009175.
Difference		
Increase	2385.	
Decrease	49859	

Land unsown Acres 1811 — 42055 — 48093.

	Acres.	Assessment	Assessment.
Dry Land.			
Black land.	570574.	865528.	
Mixed Soil & red land.	993436.	581225.	
	1564010.		1446753
Irrigated Land.			
Under Tanks	33906	31099.	
" Nullahs.	31274	40169.	
" Wells.	46219	36065.	
	111399.		107433.
Total	1684375.		2519186

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

4. Of the above the proportions of fully assessed and Cowle lands are.

	acres	Rs.
Fully assessed	1672164	2497747
Cowle Lands	12711	21439.

5. The Tank cultivation is already observed, fell largely below that of preceding years. In the lands irrigated by Nullahs and Wells there was a small increase arising from an extended cultivation in the beds of Tanks with the aid of Wells and Nullahs and from the land under the Tombadra Nullahs having been cultivated to the full extent, the extension in both cases taking place in consequence of the high prices of the year.-

Increase-	Acres-	Rupees
Nullahs	525.	1719
Wells	1361	9511.

6. In the report on the Settlement for the two preceding years the Collector furnished information showing how many Tanks received full supply of water and in how many and to what extent the supply was deficient. Similar information is wanting in the report under consideration. The Board desire that it may be supplied in future.-

See Para 4 of the Report for Fasly 1254 — 1844/45.

7. The remissions on the actual cultivation amounted to Rupees 126875 of which Rupees 58029 forms the established remission of 33 and 1/3 per Cent. The temporary remission for loss of crops was unavoidably large in consequence of the character

Statement. No 5 Col 12 at 16th

Loss of Crops.

		Rs
Fasly	1255 1845/46	85,349
"	1246 1844/45	32,348
Decrease in Fasly	1255 1845/46	53001

Character of the Season.

8. Deducting remissions the Net Settlement of the year including suspensed cultivation, Quit Rent and Taxes on Enams and excluding ready money allowances was Rupees 1,970,543 or Rupees 153,204 below the net Settlement of the preceding year. The decrease is larger in proportion in the Lub Division where the inadequate supply of water for the Lands under Tank irrigation was more felt.

		Rs
Settlement of Fasly	1257 1846/45	2123747
"	1255 1845/46	1970543
		153204

Para 12 & 16

9. The items composing the gross Increase and Decrease of which the above mentioned sum of Rupees 153,204 is the net result are explained in these Paras.

Gross Decrease	293256
" Increase	140052
Net	153204

The Principal Items are Rupees 37781 - on account of Ryots who held no Puttah in the same Village last year Rupees 47225 from extension of cultivation in beds of Tanks and under Nullahs, as noticed in a preceding Para, and Rupees 48680 on account of land left unsown in the former Fasly. The gross decrease consists chiefly of

Land relinquished for Rs
want of means - - - - - 27828 " "
Do - Do for want of water - 67694 " Land

Land still occupied but left
uncultivated - - - - - - - - - - 17,314 " "
On account of Loss of Crops - - - - - 35,701 " "

Statement No 2. Col 96.

10. The decrease on account of Enam Sodee is Rupees 4492. In their Proceedings on the settlement for last year (Parag) the Board called the attention of the Collector to the question whether Enam land which has been abandoned should not be incorporated with the lands paying full assessment. Mr Keller explains in his report under consideration that the persons who pay Sodee are customary to grant remission for Enam land left waste from want of rain and that cases rendering the incorporation of Enams with the Government lands necessary seldom occur and are confined to parties dying without heirs and to Emigrants not returning to the District. In regard to the Enamdars in the Konpanhally Rowdleghie and Kovinhadagaly Talooks who complain of the excessive rate assessed on their Enams and are desirous in consequence of giving them up, the Board will be prepared to take the case under consideration on the subject being brought to their notice in a separate communication

Para 16

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

communication.

11. Statement No. 11 explanatory of the Enam cultivation is defective as to details. The Board think it desirable that the several classes of Enams as well as particulars of the land should be exhibited, and request that a Statement embodying the information required according to the Form observed by Mr. Robertson in Statement No. 10 which accompanied his report on the settlement for Fasly 1235 (1825/26) may be substituted in future for that now submitted.

Para 17 and 18.

12. The total number of occupants of land in the year under review was 86,478 including Goochee holdings or Farms held in partnership. The Decrease in the present year including 16 Goochee Puttahs is 1776 composed of 5,776 individuals who relinquished their lands entirely from want of means and other causes and of 3446. New holders. The number of occupants whose holdings underwent no change was 59,344. In 7336 cases the Farm during the current year was extended and in 9898 instances a portion of the previous years holding was thrown up.

Individual Puttahs — 84493
Goochee Do — 1985
Total — 86478.

Decrease.

Individual Holdings — 1760
Goochee Do — 16.
Total 1776

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

thrown up.

13. The particulars explanatory of the

New holdings Col. 54–55–56–57–58.
Holdings relinquished Col. 78–79–80–81–82–

new and relinquished holdings are fully exhibited in Statement No. 2. They comprise the ordinary changes which annually occur as Ryots again taking a Farm who had given it up in the preceding year, dissolution of Goochee holdings in favor of the individual tenure, return of fugitive Ryots and Pyacaries, whether from the same or neighbouring Districts.

14. The extension of holdings is accounted for partly by the favorable state of the Grain Market causing an increase of cultivation under the Tambadra Nullahs. In future reports it will be desirable that some explanation be afforded of the causes affecting the extension and contraction of Farms. How far the changes are occasioned by the character of the season and to what extent the altered condition, for the better or otherwise, of the parties have had any influence.

The Board also desire that the Collector will furnish in each year with the Report on the Settlement a Statement shewing the Rent Roll of the District arranged according to a Scale as 0 2 10 20 30 and

Fort St George 21st January 1874

and so on. Thus the lowest rate in the scale or Rupees 10 will include all fragments below that amount the next Rupees 20 will embrace all sums between that amount and Rupees 10. other rates in like manner to the highest rent paid. This information supplied annually, with explanation of the changes that may occur, will furnish an index to the actual condition of the people.

Para 20 @ 22.

15. The Coorie assessment is Rupees 21452 of which Rupees 19542 belong to Black lands, (Kyem Coorie) cleared by the large Plough and Rupees 1910 to ordinary waste (Cheddy). Deducting remissions amounting to 1/4th

Remissions

Black lands including Cocoanut Gardens	1/3	18781.
Ordinary waste	1/4th	944.
Total		19725

19725 the payment of Government in the year under review was Rupees 1727. Statement No 9 furnishes the details of the Black lands for which the term of Coorie has expired during the current & the three preceding years. The total extent of term expired coorie during the interval in question is Acres 59037 assessed at Rupees 112380. Of this Acres 47774 assessed at Rupees 84642 are in the possession of the parties who originally engaged for the Land

Statement No 9 Col 133 to 1838.

Fort St George 21st January 1874

Land. Acres 7435 assessed at Rupees 18378 have passed into other lands and Acres 3878 bearing an assessment of Rupees 9460 is unoccupied. The proportions reclaimed, partially reclaimed and entirely unreclaimed are as follows:—

Do Col 125 to 132.

	Acres	assessment.
Fully reclaimed	47860	92545
Partially Do	10076	18123.
Entirely unreclaimed	1101	1712.
Total	59037	112380

Para 23

16 The land held in partnership (Goonchee) does not materially differ from the extent held in this way in the preceding year. The proportion of the former, relatively with the individual holdings is about 12 per Cent on the aggregate Revenue of the District.

Statement No 6.

Net Demand on Goonchee Holdings

Fasly 1254 / 1844/45	21619
Fasly 1255 / 1845/46	21857

Col 12 to 20.

Total number of Coorie cultivated Villages 3404.
Villages in which the Goonchee tenure is found 2395.

This found in 2393 Villages and includes 19573 persons of whom 19187 are also occupants of separate holdings in their own names, thus reducing the number who cultivate in partnership only to 7386 which includes 2274 Vacancies. The total number of Goonchee Pottahs is 4983 of which 3445 embracing a Net Demand of Rupees 15870 are permanent Partnerships and 1540 Pottahs with

Para 24.

with a Net Demand of Rupees 59313 are temporary.—

17. The Amount of waste included in the Ryots Pottahs, for neglect of cultivation in favor of Enam lands, and of the profitable portions only of the ordinary holding which under the usual rules forms a portion of the demand against them is Rupees 24706. being Rupees 836 below the amount demanded in the preceding year. The less amount charged arises from the unfavorable character of the season which rendered a rigid exaction of the rule inexpedient.—

Para 29

18. Of the Demand amounting to Rupees 1970543 the sum of Rupees 1958745 have been realized leaving a balance of Rupees 11798 or rather less than $\frac{10}{16}$ per Cent on the Demand.

Demand		1970543.
Collections within the fusly	1942233.	
Do to the end of September	16512	1958745
Balance		11798.

It is expected that about 7 or 8000 Rupees composing this balance will be found to be irrecoverable. The Board await the promised report on the outstanding balance of the preceding year.

19. The total Revenue including Extra sources, in comparison with that of the preceding year is exhibited in the following Statement.

Statement.

Comparison.

		1255 Fusly	1254 Fusly	Increase	Decrease	Percentage.
Land Revenue	Ryotwar Settlement	212394	196190	"	15204	7 5.
	Sholiem Peder &c.	1353	1353	"	"	" "
	Total	213747.	197543.	"	15204	7 3.
Sayer		100916.	9907.	"	91009	90 15.
Extra Revenue. Abkarry		282175.	301660.	19485	"	6 14.
Sundry Small farms & Licenses		18465.	19147	682	"	3 11.
Motursha		286890.	288189.	1299	"	" 8
Value of Stamp Papers		11857	2546	"	9305	78. 8
Total		680297.	601449.	"	78848.	11 9.
Grand Total		2804044.	2571997.	"	232052	8 4

St.

Fort St George 21st January 1847.

Para 33.

20. It is satisfactory to observe that the Tuccavy issued to Ryots is considerably less that in the preceding years Of the amount disbursed Viz Rupees 25031 a balance of Rupees 1120 was due at the close of September, which it is concluded will be speedily recovered -

Fasly 1254 - - - Amount issued ₹ 48,484
Fasly 1245 - - - 24031.

21. Mr Daniell the Sub Collector joined his Division in time for the settlement His report was not dispatched till the middle of November owing chiefly to his employment in the Eastern Talooks on the Cuddapah and Kurnool Frontiers where the disturbances prevailed, partly to his own indisposition after his return and to the sickness of his Cutcherry Servants who, with one exception, had been laid up with fever.

From Coll. 26th Nov. 1846 in Cons 11th Jany 1847.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for his information and guidance -

Read.

2405 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Read Letter dated 13th November 1846 from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah reporting on the settlement for Fasly 1255 of the Extra Sources of Revenue in his District.

Inform 19th Nov 1846

26

Proceedings thereon. The annexed Statement exhibits the Increase and Decrease in the Demands on each Source as compared with Fasly 1254 -

Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Cuddapah for Fasly 1255

	Demand Fasly 1254	Demand Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease
	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.
Sayer	8794-0-9	--	--	8794-0-9
Abbary	116440	119525	3085	--
Small Farms & Licences	15495-1-11	16710-0-0	1215-4-7	--
Stamps	15330-0-0	11822-4-0	--	3507-12-0
Moturpha	44,951-11-1	44,871-3-5	1519-3-4	--
	2,91,011-3-9	2,84,528-13-11	5819-12-11	12,302-2-9

Decrease 6482-5-10

Sayer 2. The decrease of Rupees 8794-0-9 under the head of Sayer does not demand any remarks or further explanation than for the Board to mention that it has been caused by the total abolition of the Sayer Duties in this District -

Abbary 3. The Abbary rented for Rupees 119525, being Rupees 3085 more than was obtained for it in the previous Fasly. It is observed that the full demand had been realized before the date of the Acting Collectors Report now under review -

The

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Small Farms & Leases.

4.- The increase of Rupees 1215-4-7 under the head of Small Farms and Leases is stated to have arisen chiefly from the enhanced rents obtained for Orchards and Topes owing to the season being favorable for the production of Mangoes. It is noticed that at the time of the Report there was only a trifling balance of Rupees 27-10-0 uncollected.-

Motorpha.

5. The increase of Rupees 15,190-8-4 in the Motorpha Revenue would seem to speak favorably for the improved state of the trade and manufactures of the District. The Acting Collector states that a balance of Rupees 503-11-5 was outstanding at the date of his report which the Board hope will be duly recovered.-

Stamps.

6. The falling off of Rupees 3517-12-0 in the revenue derived from the Sale of Stamps is attributed to the recent judicial orders by which Suits of a certain amount were restricted to the cognizance of the lower Courts of Judicature where stamped paper is not required.

7.- The result of the Settlement shows that the gross receipts, including those from Extra Collections and arrears, from all the Sources of Extra Revenue in the District amounted during the Fasly reported on to Rupees 287,345-13-0; from which there remained after the deduction of Rupees 1132-12-7 &c.

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Charges, a Net Revenue of Rupees 286,213-1-0-5, being Rupees 4341 less than that of the preceding Fasly.-

8. The Board beg to support by their recommendation, the request of the acting Collector that he may be allowed to write off in his accounts the irrecoverable portion of Motorpha arrears for former Fasslies amounting to Rupees 13-5-1.-

Ordered that Copy of these Proceedings be submitted to Government and a Copy furnished to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah for his information and guidance.-

Fasly.

Statt de Georgia 21st January 1847-

Quadrat Tuesday 1853.

Tuesday 19th	Tuesday 1855.	Quarter	Expenses
Balance on Hand			
Current Collection	23 8 "	374 4 "	257 12 "
Offertory	15316 8 "	1154 8 "	3758 8 "
Expenses	1710 13 "	284 8 "	1423 5 "
Balance	39 "	23 8 "	15 8 "
	19856 5 "	1185 9 "	3197 5 "

Balance on Hand					
Current Collection	14430 17	1146 2	13 8	1867 3	1
Offertory		102 10	"	102 10	"
Expenses	14435 10	1146 2	13 8	1867 3	1
Balance	14243	1146 2	13 8	1865 3	1
	99101	3	9	284	526 13 11
Amounts	2892	10	3	2809	3 5
Current Collection	288	118	7	281	719 10 6
Offertory	2989	9	7	2785	14 3
Expenses	3958	6	7	2840	4 1
Balance	99396	7	5	2873	45 13
	3405	3		2852	13 5

Examined

Examined

Examined

2469. Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(27)

Sir

From the Commissr. in Kurnool. } Adverting to my letter of the 25th February last No. 8, I have the honor to represent that one of my Amildars has made an application for a new Treasure chest the present one being in bad condition and insecure, and I request to be favord with the Board's instructions, as to whether I shall have a new one made up here or whether there is any intention of sending Chests from Madras.

Kurnool. 31st December, 1846. } /Signed/ C. Scott

Agent to the Governor.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To

The Commissioner in Kurnool.

(27-28)

Sir,

To the Commissioner in Kurnool. } With reference to your letter of the 31st ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that it does not appear from their records that any letter has been received from your Office dated 25th February last No. 8, they would therefore request to be favd

2470. Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

with a duplicate Copy of the one you refer to.

The Board are not aware of there being any intention of sending Treasure Chests from Madras to the Provinces, they authorise you to have the one you require made up in your district provided the Cost does not exceed 20 Rupees. Should the amount however be in excess of that sum, you will be pleased to submit an Estimate for Sanction.

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George. 21st January, 1847. } /Signed/ T. Pycroft Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(29)

From the Acting Collr. of Chingleput. } Sir

Requesting sanction to register the name of Veeraragava Moodely in lieu of that of his father Coddapah Moosely recently deceased for a portion of a third share of the Shrotriem Villages of Podiencherry Puttaca and Nellumbacum Puttaca.

Para 1- Under the Orders of Government dated the 20th April 1845, I have the honor to request sanction for registering the name of Veeraragava Moodely in lieu of that of his father Coddapah Moosely recently deceased for a portion of a third share of the Shrotriem Villages of Podiencherry Puttaca and Nellumbacum Puttaca, agreeable with an annual Land Rent of Rupees 20-9-2.

2- These Villages were granted on Shrotriem in perpetual tenure by the Government of Fort St. George under a Purwanah dated 31st August 1802 to Mungapha Moosely and his heirs on the abolition of the Office of Nalawar held by him in the Cuperaram Talook and the resumption of its privileges.

By

2471.

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

3. By a decree of the Zillah Court dated the 25th November 1818 a family division was made, wherein three part of the Shrotriem was assigned to the four Sons of Moorogappa and the remaining two shares to his brothers, which division has since remained in force.

4. Veeraragava Moosely whose name it is now requested may be registered in the place of his deceased father, is an only Son, and his Uncles Veerasawmy Moosely and Mooneappa Moosely the other parties in the share have expressed their consent to the change of registry.

5. Veerasawmy Moosely and Mooneappa Moosely have taken this opportunity of denying the propriety of the Decree and claiming the entire Shrotriem on the ground that the Parwanah was in favor of Inoroogappa Moosely only and his heirs. But as it appears that a motion for a review of Judgment was rejected by the Court of Chingleput on the 23rd August 1830 and the Shrotriem has been held for a long series of years in accordance with the decree, they have been informed that the present arrangement cannot be disturbed.

Chingleput District,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Pallecarney 9th January, 1847.

Signed E. Maltby
Acting Collector.

Resolution thereon.

29-30

The Board sanction the registry of the name of Veeraragava Moodely in lieu of that of his late Father Coollapa Moosely for a portion of a third share in the Shrotriem Villages of Polam-bay Puttada and Notamboo Puttada.

The Board concur with Mr. Maltby under the circumstances stated that the Revenue authorities cannot interfere with the arrangements under which the Shrotriem is now held.

Read

2472

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

21

From the Collr of Salem ----- Forwarding Copy of an Arzee from his Head Sheristadar Annajee Row Claiming increased Salary of 150 Pags per Month agreeably to the Circular Letter from the Board dated 6th March 1828.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit in hopes that it will meet with the favorable consideration of the Board, copy of an Arzee with translation presented by my Head Serestadar Annajee Row, claiming increased Salary of 150 Pags per month agreeably to the circular letter from the Board, dated 6th March 1828.

He was appointed Head Serestadar to this District to the 29th October 1846 makes 3 years, The Period of his services as Taileb and Division Serestadar in the District of Coimbatore from 31st July 1827 to 29th October 1841 amounts to 14 years 2 Months and 29 days, from which deducting 2 years 7 months and 14 days during which he was Acting Head Serestadar in the same District and drawing a salary of 80 Pags as per Sanction of Government dated 3rd October 1834, there remains 11 years 7 months and 13 days, but one third of this period reckoned as service actually performed in the Office of Head Serestadar as per Para 1st of the Circular above alluded to is 3 years 10 months and-

RECEIVED

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.—

and 15 days which being added to the period of his services as Head Serestadar in 2 Districts as stated above, makes years 15 Months 3 and days 29, which although it entitles him to a Salary of Pags. 125 as per Para 3 of the above Circular, yet Para 1 specifies that services of an individual as Naib or Division Serestadar exceeding 15 years half of the time to be reckoned as the actual services of the Head Serestadar, and Para 5 states that service of an individual as Tahsildar for above 15 years, half of the time to be reckoned as the service of the Head Serestadar, but Annojee Rows served as Tahsildar in the District prior to his being promoted as Division Serestadar from 9th March 1821 to 30th July 1827, as noted in the Margin and drew a Salary of Rs. from 100 to 250, which is above that of the Naib or Division Serestadar. His services as Naib or Division Serestadar including that as Tahsildar amounts to 20 years 7 months and 21 days which entitles him to an increased pay of 150 Pags. allowed in Para 4.—

	Yrs.	M.	D.
As Tahsildar of Tally aganl on a salary of Rupees 100—	1	11	1
As Do. Do. of Aundyoor and Errode, on a salary of Rs. 185	3	—	5
As Tahsildar of Aundyoor Errode and Parondoray on a Salary of Rs. 250	1	5	16
Total	6	4	22

Although the Circular does not specify any thing regarding those who are promoted from Tahsildar to Division Serestadar and shew

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.—

to Head Serestadar yet the privileges allowed in Para 1 to the Naib or Division Serestadar of 15 years standing being similar to that allowed to the Tahsildar of 15 years standing in Para 5, Annojeerow I think has every claim to the increased Salary of 150 Pagodas calculating the period of his service from the time he was appointed Tahsildar as hereunder.—

	Yrs.	M.	D.
Services reckoned as actually performed in the Office of Serestadar	10	3	26
As Head Serestadar in this District	5	—	—
Total	15	3	26

He was entertained in the Company's service on the 11th December 1815, from which time he appears to have conducted his duties with care and vigilance and I therefore request that the Board will recommend his case to the favourable consideration of Government to grant him the increased Salary of Pags. 150 allowed in Para 4 of the Circular Letter quoted above. The documents enclosed in his Urzee are herewith forwarded.—

Salem Zillah, —
Ossoor —
Coll.rs Cutcherry —
14th December 1846.

/ Signed / W.m Da Lockhart
Collector.

Translation

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.—

No 1

Translation of an Arzee submitted to W.C. Lockhart Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Salem by Annajee Row Koozzoor Head Sherestadar.—

I was entertained in the Company's service first as a Paishkar of Collaygaud a Talook in the District of Coimbatore by J. Sullivan Esqre in 1815 on a salary of Rs 28 per Mensem, and then I was promoted as Tahsildar and afterwards as Sub Division Serestadar and Head Serestadar I was further conducting the duties of Nair Serestadar in the days of Messrs J. Thomas G.D. Drury and J.C. Wroughton, and subsequently I was appointed as Head Serestadar of this District by W.r J.D. Gleig on the 30th October 1841. From the time I was entertained in the Company's service to this very day I conducted the Circar duties with care and attention. In consequence of my 5 years service as Head Serestadar being completed I am entitled to increase of pay agreeably to the Board's Circular letter dated 6th March 1828. The period of my service in the different grades is as follows, Viz.t

	Yrs	M	D
As a Talook Paishcar in the Zillah of Coimbatore from 11th December 1815 to 8th March 1821	5	2	28
As Tahsildar from 9th March 1821 to 30th July 1827	6	4	22
As Nair & Division Serestadar from 31st July 1827 to 29th October 1841	14	2	29
	25	10	19
As Head Serestadar of the Salem Division from 30th October 1841 to 29th October 1846	5	—	—
	30	10	19

For

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.—

In the District of Coimbatore I was first appointed Tahsildar of one Talook and subsequently as Tahsildar of two Talooks on a salary of Rupees 185, and afterwards I was appointed a Tahsildar of three Talooks Viz.t Aundyhoor Errode and Paroondary on a salary of Rupees 250 I was after this appointed Sub-Division Serestadar, and conducted the duties of Nair Serestadar also. but when the Head Serestadar was suspended I was made Acting Serestadar and received Serestadars Salary for 2 years 7 Months and 16 days at the rate of 280 Rupees per Mensem adding 80 to my Salary of Rupees 200 agreeably to the Sanction of Government. Copies of my Services has been forwarded to the Board with Mr. Gleig's letter dated 8th December 1841.

As the Paras 4 and 5 of the circular above quoted specify — that when the Services of an individual as Nair or Sub Division Serestadar or Tahsildar exceeded 15 years they should be recorded as half of the time of the actual services of the Head Serestadars whose pay should be increased accordingly. I was conducting the duties of Tahsildar for 6 years drawing Salary of Rupees 250

per

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

per Mensem, and subsequently I was pro-
moted as Division Sheristadar, in which
Office I remained for above 14 years transacting
the duties of the Naib Sheristadar at the
same time, which, added to my service as
Tahsildar makes a total of years 20 and the
Half of this period (10 years) should be considered
as actual Service of the Head Sheristadar to
which my 6 years service as Head Sheristadar
being added makes a total years 15 which
entitles me to the increased Salary of 150 Pags
agreeably to the Spirit of the above circular
letter you will therefore I humbly request be
pleased to recommend my case to the Board
and see my Salary increased accordingly —

The Sunnuds I possess are
as follows

1 Taheed from J Sullivan Esqre dated 15th
February 1826 appointing me as Tahsildr
of 3 Talooks Vizt Andeyoor, Paroondoy
and Erode —

1 Dt. 31st July 1827 appointing me as Sub Division
Sheristadar —

1 Dt. dated 22d October 1834 from G D Drury Esq
allowing me the full pay of the Head Sheristadr

1 Endorsement from J Thomas Esqre regarding
the duties I performed as Head Sheristadr

4

Fort St. George 21st January 1847 —

I humbly submit these 4 do-
cuments with this my Urgee and if necessary
Copy of them with translation may be forwarded
to the Board —

30th November 1840 — (Signed) Annajee Row
Head Sheristadar

(True Translation)
(Signed) W C Lockhart
Collector

No. 7 —

Annajee Row

I can bear testimony to the dili-
gence and ability with which you con-
ducted the duties of Head Sheristadar
while I had charge of the District
of Coimbatore and particularly in the
course of my Jammaubundy Settlements
for fusties 1239 40 and 41 —

(Signed) Jas Thomas
late P C —

(True Copy)
(Signed) W C Lockhart
Collector

Ordered that the
foregoing letter be submitted with
the following address —

To —

Fort St. George 21st January 1847-

31-32

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

To the Chief Secy to Government Submitting a letter from the Collr of Salem forwarding an application for an increase of Salary from his Head Sheristadar Annajee Row in consequence of his having completed a Service of 5 years in his present grade and recommending that an allowance of 125 Pagodas per Mensem may be paid to him from the 29th Octr 1846-

Sir,

1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter (11th December 1846) from the Collector of Salem forwarding an application for an increase of Salary from his Head Sheristadar Annajee Row under the rules of 22d March 1816 and 4th March 1828 in consequence of his having completed a Service of five years in his present grade-

2- It will be seen that prior to Annajee Row's appointment to be Head Sheristadar of the Salem District he served as Deputy and Sub Division Sheristadar in Coimbatore for 11 years 2 Months and 29 days of which time he officiated for 7 m 2 d 11 - 7 - 14 as Head Sheristadar of the District during the suspension of Ramiah discharging the full duties of the Office and drawing the whole pay- The rules of 22d March 1816 do not expressly state whether a native revenue Servant shall be entitled to claim as full Service as Head Sheristadar the time for which he may have been

Acting

Fort St. George 21st January 1847-

Acting in that capacity- In the present instance however the Board would hope that such indulgence might be granted in consideration of the length of time during which Annajee Row officiated and the satisfaction which he seems to have given to his Collector- If this be accorded Annajee Row's Service will stand as under-

See Enclosure No 2 in Annajee Row's Majee-

	y	m	d.
Head Sheristadar in Salem	5		
Acting Do in Coimbatore	2	7	14
One third of the time 7 m 2 d 11 - 7 - 13 that Annajee Row Served as Deputy and Sub Division Sheristadar	3	10	15
	11	5	29

or above ten years Service as Head Sheristadar and he will be entitled to draw Salary at the rate of 125 Pagodas per Mensem from the 29th October 1846 on the date on which he completed his service for 5 years as Head Sheristadar of Salem- The Board beg to recommend that these allowances may be passed to him Accordingly-

It will be seen that in

3- place of the Arrangement proposed in the last paras Mr Lockhart has suggested that Annajee Row's term of service as Tahsildar and Duputy or Sub-

2481 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Sub Division Sheristadar should be added together and that he should be permitted to reckon half the total period as service as Head Sheristadar in which case (See Margin) he would be entitled to rank as a Head Sheristadar of 15 years standing and to receive a Salary of 150 Pagodas per Mensem. This proposal seems however to the Board to be inadmissible - inasmuch as the rules of 6th March 1828 do not authorize a Head Sheristadar in calculating his term of service to take credit in reference to the sum of the periods that he may have served both as Shasildar and Deputy Sheristadar, nor to reckon his time as Shasildar at all if as has been the case with Annajee Row - his service in that grade was a shorter period than ten years -

Service as Sub Division Sheristadar 6 — 4 — 22
Ditto as Head Sheristadar 14 — 2 — 29
2 | 20 — 7 — 21
10 — 8 — 25
add 5 years for service as Head Sheristadar 5 — " — "
15 — 3 — 25

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st January 1847

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

2482 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Read the following Letter -

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

33

From the Collector of Salem - - - }

With reference to Extract from the Board's Proceedings under date the 26th November last requesting to be informed of the decision of the Civil Court in respect to the admission of the appeal proposed to be made by the Plaintiff in O.S. No. 74 of 1844 - I have the honor to state that a Brief appeal has been entered by the Plaintiffs and allowed by the Civil Court, as soon as I receive a Copy of the regular Appeal plaint I shall submit it to the Board with a Draft of the answer I propose to file -

With regard to no cause being assigned for the delay on the part of the Government Vakeel in transmitting the Copy of the Decree which had been furnished to him by the Civil Court - He states that he dispatched it on the 3d September by the Talook Tappal a delay of a day or two may have been occasioned in that Office from many heavy packages being sent about the same time and the rains.

Salem Zillah - - - - - - - - -
Sudder Collector's
Cutcherry 16th December 1846.

Signed W. C. Lockhart
Collector -

Resolution thereon. The Board will await the receipt of the appeal Plaint referred to in the

33-34

2483

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

the foregoing letter and of the Draft of the answer which the Collector may propose to file.

Read the following letter.

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir.

35

From the Collector of Trichinopoly - - }

In conformity to the instructions contained in a Circular letter of the 6th October 1834. I have the honor to transmit an Extract from the Register of Shrotriems in this District.

Trichinopoly - - - - - - }
Coll.rs Office
5th January 1847 - - } [signed] A. P. Onslow
Collector

Extract.

24 84.

Extract from the Register of Villages alienated on Shrotriem tenure in the District of Trichinopoly from 1800 to 1846.

Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Name of the Village and Talook.	Entire Village or Portion of Land.	Amount for which granted at the time of Grant.	Claimant and Relation to Grantee.	Date of Grant.	Reasons assigned for the Grants.	Remarks.
Military Department.						Rent and Assessment Remitted.
Pais Cursoury						
in the Ennaud Talook.	Entire Village.	Rent. Rs. 22-2.	Ramiah Boy Servant of the Company.	27th Jan.y 1801 Bramin	Rupees 2 0 0 Rs. 2 0 0	
					Rupees 3,386 11 5 500 " 5 2986 11	

True Extract /
Signed / A. P. Onslow Collector
Ordered.

Trichinopoly
5th January 1847

2485 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Order thereon,

35-36

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.

To

S. Bycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

37

From the Pr. Collr. of Madura ---

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Medical Certificate of the Assistant Surgeon at Coimbatore duly Countersigned by the Superintending Surgeon Southern Division in support of his request of leave of absence for my assistant Mr. Lator till the 31st Current under Section V of the Absentee Rules.

DESPATCH 35

Madura ---
16th January 1847

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Pr. Collr.
No. 1

To

J. Blackburne Esqre
Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will forward the accompanying Medical Certificate, granted to me by A. W. Fortoun Esqre Civil Surgeon of Coimbatore and duly countersigned

2486 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Countersigned for leave of absence under Section V of the Absentee Rules.

/Signed/ C. Claud Currie
Physician General.

Coimbatore ---
12th January 1847

/Signed/ T. S. W. Lator
Assistant

This is to certify that T. S. W. Lator Esqre Assistant to the Principal Collector and Magistrate of Madura, having applied to me for a Certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence I do hereby certify from personal observation that he is suffering from a chronic affection of the liver, and that in consequence I consider a temporary removal necessary for the benefit of his health and that he should be permitted to be absent from his duty till the 31st January 1847 to visit Coimbatore.

ORIGIN SECTION

Coimbatore ---
23d December 1846

/Signed/ A. W. Fortoun
Civil Surgeon

Ordered

2487 Fort St. George 21st January 1847.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To.

The Principal Collector of Madura.

(37-38)

To the Pr. Collr. of Madura.

In Cons. 21st January 1847.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th Instant with a Medical Certificate in support of leave of Absence for Mr. Nater till the 31st Instant and to observe that you have omitted to forward that Gentleman's application. They request that you will transmit it to enable the Board to submit it for the orders of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st January 1847.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Proceedings

2488 Fort St. George 21st January 1847

Read letter dated 11th December 1846 from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore reporting on the settlement for Fusly 1255 of the Polliems of Shamatoor and Cotampully under the management of the Court of Wards.

(Were enter No 891)

In Cons. 24 Dec. 1846.

(39)

Proceedings thereon,

Relative to the settlement of the Shamatoor and Cotampully Polliams in the District of Coimbatore under the management of the Court of Wards in Fusly 1255.

It appears by the report that in consequence of a failure in the regular Monsoons a diminution of both the Punjah and Poonjah cultivation occurred, by which a decrease of

	Demand Fusly 1254			Demand Fusly 1255		
	8637	9	5	7928	1	4
Deduct Peishcush	3544	2	"	3544	2	"
Deduct Sibbendy	525	"	"	525	"	"
Total	4069	2	"	4069	2	"
Net Revenue	4568	7	5	3858	15	4
Decrease				709	8	1

of Rupees 709-8-1 was caused in the Net revenue derived from the Polliems in the Fusly under review as compared with the previous one.

2 The Court notice that a balance of Rupees 227-5-3 was outstanding at the close of November against the family of the Minor, on account of the Loans alluded to in Para 6 of their Proceedings on the settlement for Fusly 1254, under date 14th December 1846. They would not wish that stringent measures should now be resorted to for the recovery of this balance, but they request that the Principal Collector will cause it to be clearly communicated to the Mother of the Minor, that the tenure on

Fort St George 21st January 1847

on the Sum in question must be punctually paid.

3. In respect of the circumstance represented by the Principal Collector in Para 5 of his Report, the Court direct that he will also inform her that she can not be allowed to dispose of any of the Goods belonging to the Poligurt, and that he must without any delay remit to his Treasury thro' the Manager of the Estate the proceeds of the sale of the Elephant said to amount to Rupees 4000. The Court of Wards will not object to authorize the purchase of land on account of her son, if it appears upon enquiry desirable that a portion of the surplus Funds should be so invested, but they can not admit the right to act in the manner she has done on this occasion. The Court observe that Rs 16,800 have been already invested in the 5 per Cent Loan of 1845/42 in trust for the Minor.

28th Dec. in Cons.
7th Jan. 1847.

Resolved that Copy of these Proceedings be submitted to Government and a Copy be furnished to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore for his information and guidance

Read

Fort St George 21st January 1847

Read the following letter

To J. Dycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

40

From the Pr Collr of Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Statement of Extra charges, actual and prospective, for Fusilies

1255/1845/46 and 1256/1846/47 called for in your letter of the 20th Ultimo.

Coimbatore
September 1846

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pr Collr.

Statement

2491

Fort St. George

21st January 1847.

No. 1 Statement exhibiting the actual charges for Fusly 1255 in the District of Coimbatore incurred on account of Additional Establishment

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1255					Actual charges for Fusly 1255	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7		Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorised	Remarks
	No. of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated charges		Increase	Decrease		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Additional Sibbundy for preparing Jamabundy Accounts.										
Principal Collector's Office.										
Goomastahs	12	10	720	6 months	1200	1264 8 3	64 8 3		To the Board Dated 30th August 1845. From the Dr 2d April 1846	Employed for preparation of the Jamabundy Accounts for the comparison of Chittas with the register of inspection of lands and also in ascertaining the actual cultivation.
	10	8	480							
Sub Collector's Office.										
Goomastahs	5	10	300	Dr	300	235 4 6		64 11 6		
	27		1500		1500	1499 12 9	64 8 3	64 11 6		

One thousand four hundred and twelve and pice nine.

Company's Rupees ninety nine and

Coimbatore 18th September 1846

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Dr Collr

Estimate

2492

No 2 Ex[tract] for

[illegible]arks

4

Additions
preparing
Principal

[illegible]d for the prepa
the Sunabunda
or the comparison
Marks, with the
inspection of
s and waste
the Cummums
ragars, and als
dy to see ass
for ascertaining
al extent of
ion &c.

Goomasta

Lubbunda

Sandal

Goomastd for superintg
felling and Co
Beans of Sandalwood

Order

2493 Fort St. George 21st January 1847

40-41

Order thereon. Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

42

From the Coll[r] of Masulipatam. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred during the Quarter ending 30th September last.

43

From the Asst Coll[r] of Chingleput. Statement of charges incurred on account of advances for cultivation for Fasly 1255.

44

From the Coll[r] of Trichinopoly. Statement of charges incurred on account of advances for cultivation for Fasly 1255.

42-45

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned letter and lists.

46

From the Asst Coll[r] of Masulipatam. List of letters accepted to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last.

47

From the Asst Coll[r] of Masulipatam. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last.

48

From the Asst Coll[r] of Chingleput. Forwarding lists of letters accepted to and received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last.

Ordered

2494 Fort St George 21st January 1847

46-49 Order on the 3 abovementioned letters and Lists } Ordered to be lodged in the Office

Read the undermentioned registers

50 From the Asst Coll.r of Chingleput. Register of endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by the Board during the month of November last.

51 From the Coll.r of Canara. Register of endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by the Board from 1st June to 31 December last.

50-52 Order on the 2 above registers Ordered to be lodged in the office.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

53 To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Chief Sec.t to Govt. Sir,

In Cons.n 19th March 1846. With reference to the resolution of Government under date the 17th March 1846 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the special sanction of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Bill of actual charges incurred in conveying Salt Rawanads &c from this Office to different Collectors in the year 1846/47 - Amounting to Rupees 263-2-8-

The Board further request that credit on the General Treasury to the extent of 250 Rupees may be granted to the Secretary to enable him to make the requisite advances for the Current year. The account particulars of the actual charges will be submitted at the end of the year.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
21st January 1847

Signed J. Pycroft
Secy
Accountt

7th July 1842 letter to Government dated 16th June 1842 No. 236 and the Minutes of Consultation thereon, directing that the accounts of the Salt Department should be kept in Bengal Maunds at 120 per Garce, and to Schedules annexed to Act No. VI. of 1844. I have the honor to request that you will inform me whether the accounts in the Salt and Sea Custom Departments are hereafter to be kept in Bengal Maunds of 3200 Tolas or the Madras Maund equivalent to 24-10-1540/7 Avoirdupois in accordance with the Notification dated the 16th and published in the Fort St. George Gazette dated 20th October last.

Have the goodness

Coll.r Canterbury

18th January 1847

I have &c.

(Signed) A. S. Mathison

Acting Collector

Resolution thereon The Acting Coll.r is informed that the Salt Accounts should be kept in Indian (not Bengal) Maunds each of 3200 Tolas 120 of such Maunds being equal to a Garce - The Maund of the same weight should also be used in levying Frontier or Sea Customs duty under Act VI 1844 wherever under the Schedules annexed to that Act duty is leviable by the Indian Maund.

3-4

Read

To

J. Pycroft Esq.re

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

5

From C. H. Stokes Esq.re Collector of Guntoor

Forwarding translate of a plaint in Suit No. 1 of 1846 and draft of answer proposed to be filed in the Court and requesting Sanction for defending the Suit at the public expence

Para. 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Boards Proceedings dated the 5th Ultimo, requesting me to state what further proceedings have taken place since the despatch of my letter of the 9th of May in the matter thereon referred to, and why the Plaint and Draft of Answer then promised have not been forwarded.

2 In reply I beg to state that no further proceedings have taken place as the procedure which was the subject of the suit was - Mr. Newills act, I requested him to prepare the defence, which he undertook but as he had much other work, it was not ready before he quitted the District on leave: I ordered the papers to be got ready as soon as I received the Proceedings under reply, but my Head Writer on whom I can chiefly dependend for translations, being absent on leave I was unable to get them completed sooner.

3 I now submit a translation of the Plaint and Draft of the proposed reply. - The present time allowed for filing the Answer will expire on this day, but the Government Vakeel has

has been directed to move for an extension.

4 It is very important that the doubt which appears to rest upon the construction of Regulation XXVIII. of 1802 should be cleared up and that the legal process for recovery of arrears whether of Village rents or Ryotwary Rents in the late purchased Zemindaries be plainly laid down, otherwise it is to be feared that most of the recoverable balances which might be easily realized will be withheld.

5. In conclusion I have to request sanction for defending the Suit at the public expense

Gundoor, Collectors Cutcherry 30th October 1846

/Signed/ W. Stokes
Collector

No. 1.

The Principal Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Gundoor

Plaintiff
Sheik Kasavadoss of the Goozerat Caste occupation a Sowcar and an inhabitant of Gundoor

Defendants
1 H. Newill Esqre Head Assistant Collr in charge of Gundoor.
2 Manoar Vencata Gopaloo Zemindar of Sultanapolly
3 Doomulroo Lutchemenarso Puntoolou of the Bramin Caste and who usually attained his livelihood in Government employ

Answer of the Collector of Gundoor in behalf of all the Defendants to the Plaint preferred against

against them by the Plaintiff on the 31st January 1846.

1. The Plaintiff sets forth in his Plaint that after the 2d Defendant had been replaced in charge of the Sultanapolly Estate, he/ Plaintiff/ rented from 2d Deft for a term of 5 years from Fusly 1246 to 1250 inclusive at a progressively increasing rent the two Villages of Goondoomedda and Jannalaguddu pertaining to that Estate that when the Estate was again taken out of the 2d Defendants charge whereas the balance of rent outstanding on account of those two Villages was only Rs A P 12-7-" the 2d Defendant from enmity caused the balance to be falsely entered in the accounts rendered by him to the Collector as Rupees 2484-7-" and whereas Plaintiff was prepared to prove that this entry was false no enquiry was made but a demand served upon him for the full amount that the aggregate rent of the two Villages for Fusly 1846 was Rupees 4256 on account of which his payments amounted to Rupees 4006 leaving a balance of Rupees 250 that after the term of the rent had been concluded he was in the same Fusly 1256 instructed to remit annually the Sheist amounting to 20 Rupees on a half Coolchell of land in Jannalaguddu given as a Manaem to a Goldsmith for making the

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

the 2d Defendant an Official Seal, 4 Rupees the annual Quit rent on the Enam of one Goondamedda Ramiah in the Village of Goondamedda and a further sum of 4 Rupees Quit rent on the Manium of Kundeluan Sivgara Charlu in the latter Village, making a total of Rupees 28- that in the subsequent years of the lease, he paid over and above, the annual rent Rupees 209-9-" which together with the Annual remission of 28 Rupees reduced the balance due by him to Rupees 12-7-"

2 In reply to the above it is observed that the Suttanapully Zemindary was sequestered for arrears of Peishcush on the 6th October 1834 that on the 23d December 1835 under the sanction of Government dated 1st December 1835 the Zemindar (2d Defendant) was allowed to manage his Estate but that under detailed instructions subsequently given he was to do so on account of Govern= ment and to render strict accounts of the Annual Settlement, Demand Collection and Balance and Summah Kurch on account of receipts and Disbursements, every item being subject to the confir= mations of the Collector and Board of Revenue In 1842 instructions were received to call upon the Zemindars to surrender their Estates and the Estate of the 2d Defendant was taken under the Collectors manage=

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

management on the 10th January 1843. In the Demand, Collection and Balance Account there given by the Zemindar the sum of Rupees 2446-"-7 was entered as the amount of rent outstandeng against the Plaintiff on account of the Villages of Goondamedda and Jannalagudda. The Zufty Ameen was instructed to take = measures for its recovery and on his re= porting that the Balance was disputed by the Plaintiff he was ordered to call = upon him to forward his vouchers. These after some delay Plaintiff forwarded to the Ameen and no further steps were taken pending a general enquiry intended to be made into the Zemindary balances. In 1845 the Commissioner deputed for the purpose of certain enquiries in this District investigated the Accounts of this and = certain other Estates and forwarded

to

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

to the Collector a Schedule of recoverable balances in which the sum of Rupees 2454 " - 7 was included. Thereupon orders were again issued to the Ameen to recover the amount. He accordingly called upon the Plaintiff for it who wrote referring to the receipts and other documents already delivered by him to the former Ameen. These were forwarded to the Huzzoor by the 3d Defendant, who expressed his opinion that some of them were not correct. As it appeared from them that the Plaintiff did not possess regular receipts but had taken credit for certain sums for which he had furnished goods to the 2d Defendant he (Plaintiff) was called to the Huzzoor for the purpose of their examination where he sent us to

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

his Vakeel one Soorn Veeatrow, who was requested to produce the daily Books of the Bank of the years alluded to in order that the other documents given in by the Plaintiff might be tested thereby. He refused to bring them accordingly but neglected to do so.

The points at issue in this case will be best understood by the subjoined figured Statement — exhibiting at one view the Demand and Collection on account of each Fusly as set forth by the Plaintiff and as entered in the public accounts rendered by the Zemindar on which the demand against the Plaintiff has been founded —

Fusly

2508

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

As per Zemindars Official accounts

Fusly	Demand on two Villages	Nuzzur	Total	Collection Within Fusly	Collection Subsequent	Total Demand	Remission claimed	As per Plaint Collections Within Fusly	As per Plaint Collections Subsequent	As per Plaint Collections Total
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1246	4,256 " "	46 " "	4302 " "	3793 12 "	11 " "	3,804 12 "	4,256 " "	28 " "	2,000 " "	2215 9 "	4,215 9 "
1247	4,504 " "	38 " "	4542 " "	4504 " "	" " "	4504 " "	4,504 " "	28 " "	4476 " "	" " "	4476 " "
1248	4,808 " "	38 " "	4846 " "	4689 3 6	80 " "	4709 3 6	4,808 " "	28 " "	4780 " "	" " "	4780 " "
1249	5,104 " "	38 " "	5142 " "	5663 " "	1086 9 5	4719 9 5	5,104 " "	28 " "	3026 " "	2050 " "	5076 " "
1250	5,608 " "	38 " "	5646 " "	3135 18 3	1170 7 5	4256 6 6	5608 " "	28 " "	4,526 9 3	1053 6 9	5580 " "
	24,280 " "	198 " "	24478 " "	19758 14 9	2768 " "	22023 15 5	24,280 " "	140 " "	18,808 9 3	5318 15 9	24,127 9 "

Net Balance 22023 15 5
2454 " "

24,127 9 "
Remission 137 7 "
140 " "
12 7 "

To

4 It will be observed that these accounts differ first in the amount of demand the Plaintiff having omitted a sum amounting in the aggregate to 198 Rupees under the head of Nuzzur which is included therein in the Zemindars account, Secondly the Plaintiff claims credit for an alleged annual remission of 28 Rupees amounting altogether to Rupees 140. Thirdly, there is a difference in the amount of payments 215 9 - 7 each of these points shall be considered seriatem.

5 First as to the Nuzzur. The Zemindars accounts annually rendered exhibit this item and there seems no ground to question them through the original Agreements executed between Zemindar and renter are not in the Collectors Office

6. Second, as regards the claim to remission annually of 28 Rupees - The Plaintiff is silent as to the existence of documents to support the claim of 20 Rupees for a Mariwno to a Goldsmith or under what conditions the remission was to be made - The Zemindar has not allowed it in his accounts and it is moreover submitted that under the regulations, the Zemindar while managing his Peishcush Estate on account of Government had no legal power to confer such a grant or that if he did make it, it must be considered as a collusive transaction

and consequently null and void and that the Plaintiff should recover his claim if he have any, from the Zemindar in a separate suit.

The remaining items of remission claimed are 8 Rupees being the Dust rent on two Manuwas and documents are referred to in support of them. It is to be observed however that the amount exactly corresponds with the difference in the amount of Nazeranah charged in the Zemindars Accounts in Fusly 1246 and the subsequent Fusles and it is to be supposed that it is on the same account - Moreover it may be questioned on the same grounds as above stated whether the Zemindar could legally make such a remission of Dust rent payable to Government

7 In the third place the payments in each Fusly shall be considered for Fusly 1246 the Official Accounts shew that there was collected within the Fusly Rupees 3793-12-" and Rupees 11 subsequently total Rupees 3804-12-" The Plaintiff on the contrary states his payments within that year to have been Rupees 4006 and in subsequent years 215-9-" To substantiate these payments he forwarded to the Zuffy Aneen with other documents a Chitta and list purporting to be an account of sums received into the Zemindars Treasury signed by Simbaraj Seetapaty. In his Pyadash which accompanied these

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

these documents he explained, that for this year Fusly 1246 he obtained no receipts from the Zemindar because the whole collection from the Zemindary were paid into his Bank, and he himself gave receipts to the Villages. On inspecting the Chilla which purports to be made up from 20th August to 29th September 1837 an entry appears to the effect that on the 4th September Plaintiff paid to the Zemindar Rupees 2006 being Rupees 1252 in full of the rent for Fusly 1246 of the Village of Goondoomedda and Rupees 754 in part of Rupees 1004 on account of Jonnalagudda leaving a balance of Rupees 250. The Zemindars Official account contains no corresponding entry, nor does it appear from the collection was made from the Plaintiff in the Month in question on that account; nor has Plaintiff any receipts to shew for the same. On examination of the documents itself it exhibits internal evidence of fabrication, for it bears date September 1837 or Fusly 1246, whereas the English Months named fall within Fusly 1247. Moreover it is quite at variance with the usual forms of such an account, to specify as in done in this, the balance outstanding or that the demand was paid in full. It is usual only to enter the sums collected, and this has been done in the case of the other Villages. The individual in whose hand writing this account is said to

Sumbaraz

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Sumbaraz Seetapaty though formerly in the Service of the Zemindar, has for some time as appears from the records of this Office been at enmity with him. There seems no reason why, if this payment had really been made the Zemindar should not have given credit for it, for he was then on terms of intimate friendship with Plaintiff, though they have since been at variance.

8. The payments on account of Fusly 1247 come next to be considered. Plaintiff asserts that they amounted including remission to Rupees 4543 being 39 Rupees in excess of the demand of that year. His vouchers are two lists bearing the signature of the Zemindar's Cash Keeper Sumbaraz Seetapaty now shewing that Rupees 2215 on account of Gundoomedda, Rupees 2300 on account of Jonnalagadda were received in that Fusly. The total amount entered in the Zemindars account is the same Viz. Rupees 4515-11- Rupees being entered on account of Fusly 1246 the only difference being that in the Plaintiffs document the whole payment is entered on account of current demand. This circumstance deserves special attention, for if the Plaintiff could justly claim a remission of 28 Rupees how came he to be satisfied with an acquittance in which the Current demand was overstated. He alleges the whole demand to have been Rupees 4504 and yet produces

produces an alleged receipt for 4515 on account of that demand.

9 For Fusly 1248 Plaintiff states his payments to have been Rupees 1859-3-6 being including remission 51-3-6 in excess of the demand. To support the Statement 62 accounts are referred to bearing the signature of a Seetapaty and one letter purporting to bear the Zemindar's seal and signature. One of these Accounts goes to shew payments to the amount of Rupees 2063-6-6 on account of Sonnalageedda and the other Rupees 2195-13 on account of Goondoomeedda. The remaining Sum said to have been paid is made up of the value charged by Plaintiff for goods furnished to the Zemindar on his private account. The payments in the list do not tally with those entered in the Official Accounts sent by the Zemindar. The Plaint is silent as to any part of the alleged amount of Rupees 4831-3-6 having been in goods and if there had been bonâfide Such an arrangement with the Zemindar's Consent, there is no reason why the Zemindar should not have given credit for the same in the accounts rendered by him to Government or at least have furnished him with an acquittance under his seal and Signature. It is obvious that Plaintiff is not entitled to credit from the Sirkar for sums claimed

claimed from the Zemindar for goods furnished to him for his private use, and for which he has not even got the Zemindar's acknowledgment.

10 For Fusly 1249 Plaintiff professes to have paid Rupees 5128-5-0 being including remission 52-5-0 above the demand. In support of his payments he forwarded 17 Cash receipts bearing the Zemindar's seal and signature for Sums amounting to Rupees 2978-5-5 three receipts signed by Sumbaraz Antial for Rupees 260 and certain letters written by the Zemindar relative to the purchase of goods. On the strength of these letters Plaintiff takes credit for Rupees 1854-15-6 as the value of goods sold to the Rajah, but he produces nothing to shew that he had the Zemindar's authority for the arrangement, or that his Bill was admitted by him. One of the receipts No. 159 dated 2d December 1839 purports to be for Cash paid to Sambaraz Seetapaty Pant and for value of goods furnished up to that time, which has been credited in full. In like manner Plaintiff might have obtained from the Zemindar Similar vouchers for the value of the goods specified in the list. Granting that goods were really supplied as alleged Plaintiff can have no right to take credit for their value in the rent due to Government without an

adjustment

adjustment with the Zemindar.-

11. With respect to the transactions of Fusly 1250 Plaintiff professes to have paid Rupees 5875-.-6- including remission being 67-.-6 in excess- To support his payments he has a list and 12 receipts purporting to be under the seal and signature of the Rajah for Rupees 4256-6-6- and some letters ordering goods The aggregate amount of these receipts corresponds with the amount of Collections credited in the Zemindar's Accounts- One of these receipts No. 33 dated 10th August 1841 is to the following effect.-

"Having on examination of your accounts found a sum of Rupees 1120-7-3- to be due to you on account of Cloths and Sundry goods purchased From Fusly 1250 to this date credit for this amount in full is given to you in the demand for rent up to this Fusly-" It is evident that various articles for which Plaintiff takes credit to the value of Rupees 1390-10-.- were ordered in letters bearing dates within the interval referred to in this receipt, this at once shews the Plaintiff's claim to be untenable

12. Finally it is understood from the Kurnums of the two Villages in question, that the Plaintiff and Zemindar were on most friendly terms during the whole time the Estate was in the Zemindar's charge from Fusly 1246 to 1252

That

That during part of that time Plaintiff received the whole of the Zemindary Collections and that, some time after the term of rent had expired, they had a settlement of Accounts and found the balance outstanding to be Rupees 2457-.-7 as above stated-

13. From what has now been stated and from the documents and evidence which will be adduced before the Court to support the same, it will, it is hoped be clear to the Court that the sum claimed from the Plaintiff was legally due to Government, and therefore that the Head Assistant Collector in charge of the District was justified in resorting for its recovery to the process of distraint, the suit having been instituted with the fraudulent design of evading or deferring payment.-

14. With respect to the proceeding of the Court under date 14th May 1846 directing with reference to an order of the Sudder Adalut dated 7th March 1842 that the enforcement of the demand which appears to have been served on the Plaintiff be suspended pending the decision of this suit it is to be observed that the order of the Sudder Adalut cited has never before been communicated to this Office and that it is by no means clear from the Regulation itself, that arrears of more than one years standing can only be recovered by a regular suit.-

The

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

The order seems to contemplate the case of a Zemindar recovering arrears from an under farmer or Tenant but in the present case although the rent was contracted with the Zemindar yet the demand was served on account of Government.-

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

No. 2.-

To The Principal Sudder Ameen
of Guntoor

Plaintiffs.- Defendants.-

Sheit Resavadoss & Venatadoss, of the Goozrat Caste & Sowcar, and an inhabitant of Guntoor.- 1 H. Newill Esqre. Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Guntoor
2 Rajah Manoor Venkata Gopaul Row Zemindar of Suttanapully
3 Doomaul Row Lutchmee Narsoo Puntamma of Suttanapully

The Subject of my Plaint is as follows-

When the 2d Defendant had possession of the Suttanapully Estate after it was released from attachment by the Circar he rented to me, on the 13th Shoodhum of the Month of Chaytra year Doormooky for a term of 5 years from Fusly 1246 to 1250.- at progressively increasing rent, the two Villages of Goondemada and Jonnalagudda appertaining to that Estate on which account

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

account I had to pay Rupees 4256 as rent for Fusly 1246 of which I liquidated at different times Rupees 4006 after the Villages had been rented by me in Fusly 1246. I was requested to remit the Collection of certain items, Viz. the Annual Shist of Rupees 20 on a 1/2 Coochela of land at Jonnalagudda, which had been given as a Maniem on account of making a Seal, also 4 Rupees the Annual Quit rent leviable from Goondemada Canamah of the Village Goondemadah, and a further sum of 4 Rupees alluded to in a letter written by Sumbaraaze Puntiah Punt and Candalum Runga Charloo by desire of the Rajah, total 28 Rupees. This Amount is to be placed to my Credit in the Accounts relative to the Amount due by me on account of rent, and if added to the sum paid will Amount to Rupees 4034 paid for that Fusly leaving a balance of only Rupees 222. In Fusly 1247 I had to pay Rupees 4504- as rent, Rupees 4515 were paid by instalments and the 28 Rupees alluded to still remained uncollected. This added to the payment made will amount to Rupees 4543 the excess being Rupees 39 due to me from the 2d Defendant.- In Fusly 1248 the Sum due was Rupees 4808 as rent for the aforesaid 2 Villages. Rupees 4831-3-6 were paid at different times, 28 Rupees being still remitted,

remitted, and on being added will make Rupees 4859-3-6 excess Rupees 57-3-6 paid to the Rajah- In Fusly 1249 the amount of Rupees 5104 was due by me on account of the rent of the said 2 Vil- -lages of which I paid Rupees 5128-5-- at different periods still remitting Rupees 28 for which credit should be given and which being included amounts to Rupees 5156-5-- excess paid Rupees 52-5-- In Fusly 1250 I had to pay Rupees 5608- on account of rent, the amount liquidated was Rupees 5647--6-- exclusive of the said sum of 28 Rupees for that Fusly also which being added will make Rupees 5675- --6 excess 57 Rupees--6 paid to the Rajah. These are the payments made to the 2d Defendant- The over payment for four successive Fuslies amount to Rupees 209---9 which if deducted from the sum of Rupees 222 outstanding in Fusly 1246 the first year of the lease will leave a balance of only 12 Rupees 7 Piee due by me to the 2d Defendant during the whole term of rent of 5 years. The case stood thus, and when the Paloot was under attach- -ment- I understood that in Fusly 1252 the 2d Defendant from animosity entered in the 2d Schedules of Accounts made over to the Circar- That I owed him a sum of Rupees 2454--7- This induced the Zuftz Ameen Yatoor Cotiah to write a Yadast to me in the year 1843 demanding pay- -ment, and when I wrote to say that I did not owe the amount, the Ameen wrote 2 other Yadasts to me dated 15th September

September and 21st October 1843 requesting that the account and documents should be brought and produced, and I sent 73 documents consisting of Accounts &c with a Yadast to the Ameen who looked over them, and though I do not know what he represented to the higher Authorities, Yet this, I Know that the then Ameen said nothing further to me about it. The present Ameen who is the 3d Defendant wrote a Yadast to me on the 1st January 1846 informing me that the 1st Defend- -ant ordered him to collect from the whole amount stated above, according to instructions from the Commissioner, I then wrote a Yadast on the 3d January to the 3d Defendant informing him that I did not owe the sum claimed, and that if he would read the Yadast sent with the Accounts to the former Ameen, the particulars would be known. He perused them and then wrote a Yadast on the 4th January to the effect, that as some of the documents did not appear to be proper I should attend at his Cutcherry; I then wrote a Yadast on the 6th Janu- -ary to the effect that I did not owe any thing on account of the 2 Villages that the Commissioner never sent for me during any investigation which may have been made, that my documents were not examined ere that decision was passed

that

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

that the present demand was illegal, and that I could not attend on account of certain incon=
=veniencies; after that the 3d. Defendant sent to the 1st. Defendant the documents &c. which I had previously presented, these were referred to the Sheristadar, who sent for me and on my re= representing certain particulars regarding the sub= =ject the Sheristadar replied, that as the 1st. Defend= =ant had gone on Circuit into the District, he would have the case settled on his return, consequently I waited the result, this is what transpired, when I heard that the 1st. Defendant had issued an order on the 19th. January to the 3d. Defendant directing him to collect the amount from me I addressed an arzee to the Defendant on the 20th. January telling him that as the documents regarding the affair were in his Department it would be well for him to look them over and pass a decision.- No reply was made, nor has the case been disposed of, while this was pending the 3d. Defendant made a demand on the 28th. January claiming on behalf of the Circar a sum of Rupees 247-4-.- for Fusly 1246- Rupees 38-.-.- for Fusly 1247- Rupees 136-12-6 for Fusly 1248 Rupees 320-.-.- for Fusly 1249- and Rupees 821-8-9 for Fusly 1250 total Rupees 1563-9-3 on account of the Village Goondemada, also Rupees 250 for Fusly 1246- Rupees 72-6-7 for Fusly 1249 and Rupees 568-9- for Fusly 1250 e= total Rupees 890-7-4- on account of the rent of the Village Jonnalagudda Grand Total Rupees 2454-.-7- and stated that if I failed to liquedate the same in 3 days from the date of demand, he would act according to the rules

prescribed

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

prescribed in Clause 21 Section 8 Regulation XXVIII of 1802 The paper containing the demand in writing reached me at 4 O'Clock in the evening of the 30th. January the day on which the 3 days time fixed therein terminated- He did not even give me sufficient time in this illegal demand. The act of his having served me with a demand to be enforced on the last day of the time fixed is unsatisfactory and evinces that the 3d. Defendant is disposed to treat me unjustly without the least regard of the respect which was wont to be paid to me according to my situation in life- I owe only 12 Rupees 7 Annas as stated above on account of 5 years rent- Further it was because I would not agree to give evidence in a suit between their Venkatadoss Balakistnam dofs and the 2d. Defendant in the Provincial Court, that he (the 2d. Defendant) caused this totally illegal Demand to be entered against me in the account framed for the e= Circar, I was prepared to refute the e= claim by documents in the presence of the 1st. Defendant, had that Gentle= =man looked over them, he has not seen them and injustice has been done by the 1st. Defendant having issued on the 19th. January an e= Order to the 3d. Defendant to collect the whole amount from me.-

The

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

The demand was put up against a wall of my house in which I live at 4 O Clock in the evening of the last day of the fixed time and while I was absent. An Order of Authority dated 31st January which the Deputy Ameen of Suttanapully Edoopooloory Ramanah Puntoolo is said to have received with the Yadast dated 29th Idem was brought in the Morning of the 31st January by the Deputy Ameen in company with the Village Curnums, Moonsiffs Police Peons and others, and after giving trouble saying that they would attach my property and finding that I was absent they placed peons at my house, and went away. On returning home afterwards I heard what had been done owing to the illegal demand alluded to, for that alone induced them to come to my house and attempt to attach my property. I prefer this Complaint against all 3 defendants, and trust that when my Witnesses and documents shall have been heard and perused, this illegal claim against me for Rupees 2454-4-7- be cancelled and that the Court will be pleased to pass a decree awarding the Costs which I have been forced to bear in the present Suit, to be paid by the Defendants.-

31st January 1846 } (Signed) In Goozarat Characters.

The Stamp is correct.

(Signed) N. Rungaviah.

Sheristadar

Filed in the Court this 3d February 1846.

(Signed) C. Vencataragava charloo

Principal Sudder Ameen.

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council for his Orders, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Guntoor, 30th October 1846 forwarding translation of the Plaint in Suit No. 1 of 1846 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen at Guntoor, brought against the Head Assistant Collector of the District, the late Zemindar of Suttanapully and the Ameen of that Estate and submitting Draft of the Answer which he proposes to put in on account of all three Defendants.-

2. From these papers it will be seen that, on the late Zemindar of Suttanapully being in accordance with the orders of Government of the 1st December 1835 placed in charge of the Suttanapully Estate for the purpose of managing it on account of Government, he leased to the Plaintiffs in the present action, the Villages of Gonnalagudda and Gundemeda, for the period

5-6

To the Chief Secy to Govt.- Submitting a letter from the Collector of Guntoor forwarding translation of the Plaint in Suit No. 1 of 1846 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen at Guntoor brought against the Head Assistant Collector of the District, the late Zemindar of Suttanapully and the Ameen of that Estate and requesting that the Collector may be allowed to put in the answer prepared by him and to defend the action at the public expence also stating with reference to the opinion expressed by the Judges of the Sudr Adalut to the effect that arrears of revenue of longer standing than those of the current and preceding fusly cannot be recovered except by

In Cons. 25th January 1847.-

by regular Suit that the Board are at a loss to discover the grounds of such a construction of the Law & that they do not think that the Collector should be deterred by it from proceeding to realize by summary process of the balances entered in the Guntoor Commissioner's Statement.-

period of five years, or from Fusly 1246 to 1250 (both inclusive) at a gradually increasing rent. The Estate was subsequently, 10th January 1843, again taken under direct Sircar management, and on an examination being made into the accounts kept by the Zemindar, during his administration of the property a balance of Rupees 2454 - a - 7 was found to be due by the Plaintiff, on account of his quinquennial lease of the two Villages already mentioned. As the defaulter was a man of substance this sum was entered by the Commissioner among the "recoverable balances" due to the Suttanapilly Zemindary, and the usual means were taken by the Head Assistant Collector, then in charge of the District, to collect it. On the customary notices being however served upon the Plaintiff, intimating the intention of the revenue authorities to resort to the distraint of his property, under the provisions of Regulation XXVIII 1802, in the event of the above sum of Rupees 2454 not being forthwith discharged, that individual immediately proceeded to institute the present Suit.-

3. In his Plaint the Plaintiff asserts

asserts that he has paid to the Zemindar the full amount of his rent, with the exception of the trifling sum of Rupees 12-7-a and he therefore prays the Court, that the claim for Rupees 2454, now preferred against him, may be cancelled, and that the costs which he has been compelled to incur, in bringing the present action, may be borne by the Defendants. In the proposed answer the Collector has entered into details calculated to show, that the balance which the Plaintiff has been required to discharge was justly due by him, and that the measures taken by the Head Assistant Collector, and his revenue Servants, were therefore fully warranted.-

4. The answer, of which the Collector has furnished a Draft, seems to the Board to be sufficient, and they therefore beg that he may be allowed to put it in, and to defend the action at the public expense.-

5. It has been already stated that the present suit was instituted by the Plaintiff immediately on the demand for his arrears being served upon him. The Board think it proper further to bring to the notice of Government that, on the Plaintiff's neglect to satisfy the Sircar demand being followed up by the attachment

Vide From Coll. 19th May in Cons. 3d Sept. 1846. Board's Proceedings 3d Sept. -

attachment of his property under Regulation XXVIII 1802 - the Principal Sudder Ameen interposed and directed that no further Steps should be taken for the recovery of the balance, until the Suit now in progress was decided. This order which was not passed until after the Suit had been filed, would not require special remark, were it not for the authority quoted by the Principal Sudder Ameen, in support of it, an opinion expressed by the Judges of the Sudder Adawlut, to the effect that arrears of Revenue of longer standing than those of the Current and preceding Fusly cannot be recovered, except by regular Suit. The Board are, equally with the Collector, at a loss to discover the grounds of such a construction of the Law, but its application to the Guntoor balances may be productive of considerable loss to Government, inasmuch as the whole of the arrears, prior to Fusly 1254, classed by the late Commissioner as recoverable, and amounting to upwards of two Lacs of Rupees are without the prescribed period, and if they cannot be realized by Summary process the recovery would seem nearly hopeless, it being evidently scarcely practicable to bring a separate Suit against each individual defaulter as

From Coll.r 19th May in Cons.n 3rd Sept.r 1846 - Para.s 2.

as the validity of the Sircar demand upon the Plaintiff, in the case to which this letter has reference, will be tried upon its merits in the Court, and as the order of the Principal Sudder Ameen, prohibiting the enforcement of that demand till its validity be established before him is of itself not unreasonable, the Board do not feel themselves called upon to comment at length on the opinion of the higher Court in connection with the present suit. At the same time, as that opinion seems merely to have been given in an interlocutory Order, and not to have been of the nature of an authoritative judicial decision and it is not impossible that the Judges, on further Consideration, on the point being regularly argued before them might view the matter differently, the Board do not think that the Collector should be debarred by it from proceeding to realize by summary process the other balances entered in the Commissioner's Statement. If in consequence of these proceedings in any of those instances, the matter should be brought before the Civil Court, in such a shape as will admit of the question in respect to the limitation of the powers of a Collector under Regulation XXVIII 1802 being argued on its merits, the Collector

Collector should defend the course which he has taken, carrying the matter, if necessary before the Court of Sudr Adalut, to the intent that the grounds on which their opinion, already referred to is based may be known, and the question be finally and formally decided.-

Rev. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George
25th January 1847.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.-

(1)

From the Officiating Coll.r of Nellore.- } Read abstract Statement of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the 2d half of the year 1846

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over until the

(7-8)

receipt of the Returns from other districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government

Read the following letter-

To,
T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.-
Fort St. George.

(9)

From the Actg. Coll.r of Nellorel. } affording explanation in reference to

Sir,

Para 1.- I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 17th Ultimo, containing the Board's remarks and Orders

to Board's letter of 17th Ultimo & stating that he has no wish whatever of withholding from the Head Sheristadar the full benefit of the Board's acquittal and which no time was lost in being communicated to him.-

Orders on my letter regarding the conduct of the Head Sheristadar in reference to the adjustment of the outstanding balances from Fusly 1240 to 1253.-

2. As the Board have acquitted the Head Sheristadar, it is not my wish to press the matter further against him.- But there are some remarks in your letter which appear to require some explanation. First as regards my not having afforded Sooboo Row an opportunity of offering any explanation regarding his Statement. although he had not been officially called upon it was perfectly well understood that his excuse was, as now stated, that he supposed that the Statement was intended by me to contain the details of the Irrecouerable balances only But it contained balances of Fusly 1253 while those due by the same persons for the same lands in former years were not included, as they should have been in the Column headed, amount balance from Fusly 1240 to 1252. It must I should hope appear improbable to the Board that I should adopt so absurd an arrangement.- But it is perfectly well known

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

known in my Cutcherry that my Orders were distinct and explicit as they were on repeated that the whole of the balances should either be collected without further delay or be shewn to be irrecoverable, so that they might be written off, and there might be one adjustment of the whole Amount, unless in any particular case there should be reasons, also to be fully explained why the adjustment should be further post=poned. The balance in question of Rupees 5922-3-8 as observed by the Board on would still have appeared in the Account and my omission to report thereon would probably have been noticed by the Board but delay would have occurred and altho' the Board are not satisfied of the Head Sheristadar's culpability. I am not under these circumstances doubt that it was his deliberate intention at least to retard the recovery of the balance.-

3. The Complaint of my having ceased to do business with him or to consult him is based merely upon this.- That I have of late considered it necessary to cause a Note to be taken of such matters as I have had occasion to refer to him and

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

and required him also to make a Note generally of his reply in furnishing informa=tion intended as the basis of Official Corres=pondence or proceedings but there was nothing to prevent him from attending also and making any personal communication which he might consider necessary.- Some precaution of this kind seemed necessary in order to guard against misapprehension such as he alleges occurred on the present occasion.-

The explanation referred to by the Board as having been required from the 4. Deputy Sheristadar was not regarding the Statement of his Principal but was called for under the supposition that he had pre=pared it, as he had attended to explain it to me but had made no mention of the above defect therein. I trust I shall be excused for troubling the Board with these few remarks on the subject begging at the same time to explain that in making them I have no wish whatever of withholding from Sooba row the full benefit of the Board's acquittal which I lost no time in communicating to him.-

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector

North Arcot Coll.r's Cutchery
Chittoor 6th January 1847.-

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read
Order thereon.-

9-10

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

Read the following letter.-

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

II

From the Collr of Salem - Reporting on the Meerasy Curnumship of Chinatore and leaving the case for the Boards decision -

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 29th October last, requiring me to report for the information of the Board the grounds on which I form the opinion that Samiah has no right to the Meerasy Curnumship of Chennatoor; and whether I consider him in any way disqualified to perform either the whole or part of the duties of that Office.- I have the honor to state that I mentioned in the latter part of my letter under date the 4th August last, that "the Office of Curnum being hereditary" "and the present incumbent, "the direct heir and in long possession "of that Office, the Petitioner has no "right whatever to it." but he may be entitled to a share in the allowance allotted to it, in consequence of his being connected with the family. As I think qualified to perform the duties but should the Petitioner's name be allowed to be registered as joint Curnum it will become

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

become a precedent in all other similar cases in the District in which one of the names of the Members of a family who is competent is alone registered as Curnum, and will open a path for endless litigation. Although one of the names of the Members of a family belonging to a deceased Merasy Curnum is entered as Curnum, the whole family enjoy the allowance thereof agreeably to the practice which obtains in the District. In the event of any fraud being committed in the Villages in which the names of 2 or more of a family are registered, the joint Curnums would find excuses for evading punishment by throwing the fault upon one another, and thereby cause a loss to Government; consequently, one is always held responsible to the Circar in the District.- Besides the Petitioner, the present incumbent has a brother named Venkyah, who also presented 2 or 3 Petitions to the Huzzoor to get his name registered as joint Curnum. In the event of the Petitioner's name being allowed to be entered as joint Curnum, the name of Venkyah also should be entered, e.

Therefore

I therefore leave it for the Board's decision.-

Salem Zillah, Ofsoor, Coll.r's Cutcherry, 14th December 1846.-

(Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Collector.-

In Con.n 29th October 1846.-

Read again letter dated 4th of August 1846 from the Collector of Salem on the subject of Appeal Petition No. 541 of 1846 from Shamiah of the Villg of Chennatoor in the Ofsoor Taluk.-

11-12

Proceedings thereon.-

Informing Petitioner in Appeal Petition No. 541 of 1846 that after a careful consideration of the Claim set up by him to the Curnumship of Chennatoor the Board find no reason to reverse the Collector's order by which his Uncle Narsyah was confirmed in the sole incumbency of it.-

The Appeal in this case is made against the order of the Collector, dated 12th December 1845 by which he cancelled a previous one directing the joint registration of the appellant with his uncle "Narasyah" in the Curnumship of Chennatoor.-

2. It is observed that appellant's claim to the Office in question was referred to a fessors who decided that he was entitled to half the Meraffy right attached to it, by virtue of his being the Grand son of "Nungapah" thro the eldest son "Pimmyen" who would have succeeded to it had he not died before "Nungapah" on whose demise in Fusly 1244 the present incumbent "Narasyah" being his Eldest son by the Second wife, was selected, and registered as Curnum

Curnum in preference to Appellant who was at the time a Minor and incompetent.-

3. The Board would not wish to interfere with private amicable arrangements by which the Members of the family to which such Offices as the one here alluded to belong participate in the rights and emoluments attached to them, but they deem it desirable that joint registrations should, as a general rule, be avoided.-

4. Upon mature consideration of the circumstances of the case before them, the Board see no grounds to disturb "Narasyah" in his incumbency and therefore resolve to confirm the Collector's Order against which the appeal has been preferred.-

5. The following Endorsement is Ordered to be passed on Appeal Petition No. 541 of 1846.-

"The Board of Revenue have carefully Considered the Claim set up by appellant to the Curnumship of Chennatoor, but find no reason to reverse the Collector's Order dated 12th December 1845 by which his Uncle "Narasyah" was confirmed in the sole incumbency of it.-

Resolved.-

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

Resolved that copy of these Proceed:
=ings be transmitted to the Collector of Salem
for his information and guidance.-

Read the following letter.-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George-

13

From the Sub Collr in charge of Tanjore

Sir,

1. In obedience to the instructions contained in the Extract from the Proceed:
=ings of the Board of Revenue under date the 21st Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that I have disbursed to Ramrow for the period during which he was under sus=
=pension, an amount equal to 35 Rupees per Mensem calculated according to the pay of the appointment to which he was trans= under the Board's orders of the 17th February 1845- and from the remainder of the amount in deposit I proposed to allot the sum of Rupees 162-14-5- at the rate of 50 Rupees per Mensem, in addition to the salary attached to the appointment of the person who performed Ram Row duties during his suspension- which will ma[illegible]

his

Reporting that Rs. 191-15.. have been disbursed to Ram Row late Tahsildar of Shealy proposing to allot a certain sum to the person who acted for him during his suspension-

† From 24th Octr 1844 to 31st January-

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

his allowances, for the time being equal to the lowest rate of pay to any Tahsildar in this District Viz.t 85 Rupees- The balance Viz.t Rupees 193-8-9 will be carried to the Credit of Government.-

2. Should this arrangement meet with the approbation of the Board I request their sanction and instructions on this subject.-

(Signed) J. J. Cotton.-
Sub Collector in Charge.-

Tanjore, - - - -
11th January 1847-

Resolution thereon-

The Board approve the proceedings of the Sub Collector in charge, and sanction the disbursements proposed by him as reported in the foregoing letter, he will be pleased to carry the balance Rs. 193-8-9. to the Credit of Government.-

13-14

Read the following

Statement.-

Statement

253 (15)

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Statement Shewing the Amount of Arrears due to the undermentioned Pensioners in the Trichinopoly District.-

Number of Certificate	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension Per Annum	Date of Government authority	Nature of the Grant.	Period for which Arrears are due-	Total Amount of Arrears due	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of Payment.-	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.			
The Party holds no Certificate-	Chuckanamale a Hindian Pensioner	84 " "	Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 17th November 1846-	Charity for her Subsistence.-	For 10 Months or from 1st January to 31st October 1846.-	70 " "	This Woman was formerly drawing her Stipend at Vellore from whence she was transferred to the Madura District by order of Government dated 2nd Feb. 1846- she did not go to the latter place and her pension accordingly was never paid from the Madura Treasury & eventually she was transferred to this Collectorate under the Orders of Government dated 17th November 1846- the time for which she did not draw her pension amounts altogether to 10 Months Under the foregoing explanation the Collector begs to recommend that the Arrears due to her may be paid.-	Recommended for Sanction under the explanation of the Collector- The Board observe that the Orders quoted by Mr. Onslow have not been communicated to this Department-	No. 75- Sanctioning the disbursement of the Pension accordingly and directing its continuance.- Political Department Fort St. George 18th February 1847. By Order Signed J.F. Thomas. Chief Sec.y

Rev.e Bd.e Office Fort St. George 25th Jany 1846 (By Order Signed J. Pycroft Sec.y

E. E. Fr.t (Signed) A.P. Onslow- Collector-

Trichinopoly 21st December 1846.-

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.-

15-16

Order thereon-

Ordered that the necessary re=
=marks of the Board be inserted in the proper
Column of the abovementioned Statement and
be submitted for the sanction of Government

Read the following letter-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.-
Fort St. George-

17

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly
Stating that application for the con=
=tinuance of Yeomiah No. 69- & a fresh state=
=ment for pay=
=ment of arrears due to them were tranomitted on the 29th October last.-

Sir,

1 With reference to the 4th Para of your letter to the Chief Secretary to Gover=
=ment under date the 1st October last, which accompanied the Extract from the Proceedings dated the 4th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the necessary application for the continuance of the Yeomiah No. 69 of Fakeer Mahomed and Chandabee and a fresh Statement for payment of arrears due to them were already transmitted to you with my letter of the 29th October 1846 as required in the 2d para of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 1st October 1846-

The

Fort St. George 25th January 1847-

2- The date of authority quoted in support of the Yeomiah No. 69 is a clerical error- It will be observed on reference to the 1st para of my letter of the 29th October 1846 that sanction for the payment of it was never received, and sanction is solicited in the second paragraph of the same letter.-

Trichinopoly Collrs Office
15th January 1847.-

(Signed) A. P. Onslow-
Collector.-

17-18

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded the application referred to in Para 1 has already been forwarded to Government, the error alluded to in Para 2 having previously been corrected.-

19

From the Collr of Trichinopoly-

Read letter stating that his Head Assistant Mr. W. M. Cadell requires leave of absence for 3 Years to proceed to Europe and that he wishes to avail himself of the reduced furlough allowance during his absence.-

19-20

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be submitted for the orders of Government in advertence to the Board's Proceedings of the 19th Instant.-

Read

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

4

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura. — Forwarding Sketches of the late Maroongapoory talook & of the zemindary of that name and suggesting the adoption of Poothanuttum for a Cutcherry but Continuing to recommend Manaparay as things exist.

Para 1. In reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 3d. received on the 14th Instant, I have the honor to forward a Sketch plan of the Collectorate, with the late talook of Maroongapoory marked in red, and the Zemindary of that name in green; as also a very rough Sketch shewing the position of the Roads 1 and 4 thro' and in reference to the late Maroongapoory talook.

2. Maroongapoory is on Road No. 1, leading from Trechinopoly to Dindigal, and has a domed public Bungalow; and a large stone Mundapum; not at all adapted for a Cutcherry; and should the Cutcherry be located there still more necessary to be reserved for the people

Poothanuttum

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Poothanuttum is hardly a Village; so small is its congregated population, and its only public building is a small neat Cheltrum, recently built by subscription. It is on the Cross road, not yet thought worthy of being numbered, uniting Manaparay on No. 1. to Shoverencoarchy on No. 4. - I believe it has a fair supply of water. -

The straight road, 8 miles, from 3. Coilputty to Manaparay, and that of about 12 miles, from Pootha Nuttum to Kulputty, have both been made within the last 3 years, without any expense to Government. - The hilly nature of the talook makes me deem Manaparay the situation best adapted in the actual state of things; and if Pootha Nuttum most desirable, if desired to be available on a future resumption of Maroongapoory. -

(Signed) J. Blackburne

Pt. Collector.

From

Madura. 17th December 1846.

2544

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

22

From the Pte Collr of Madura.- Read also letter returning Plan and Estimates for a Talook Cutcherry in the Ramagherry Talook amounting to Rupees 3007-4-0.-

22-23

Resolution thereon.

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board authorize the location of the cutchery for the Talook of Ramagherry at Manaparay.-

Resolved that the letter from the Principal Collector dated 8th Instant transmitting a Plan and Estimate for the above Cutcherry be transferred to the Department of Public Works, for disposal in that Department.-

24

From the Pte Collector of Madura.- Read letter Complaining that no notice has been taken of his application of 27th November ult. last for leave of absence.-

24-25

Order thereon.

Ordered to be submitted for the orders of Government in advertence to the Board's Proceedings of 1st December last.-

2545

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Read the following letter.-

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

26

From the Prin.l Coll.r of Coimbatore Communicates the adversity of season as regards Pullam Talook bearing with unprecedented severity on the People and with a view of forming means for their subsistence, suggests the expediency of digging wells under Government in suitable places, to be disposed of by Auction eventually.-

Sir,

I have just reached Nullor, and the appearance of the Country and the Tahsildars report of the adversity of the season as regards the Pullaum Talook bears with unprecedented severity on the people. It is imperatively necessary that some plan should be adopted to give employment to the starving population. The Punjah Harvest has entirely failed which the Board of Revenue are as well aware of as I can tell them; forms the means of subsistence to 2/3rds of the cultivating and lower classes of the Community. I find that there are no Public Works to give employment to those desires of earning their daily food, and in the absence of such means, an idea has suggested itself to me, which, if approved, I will use my endeavours to carry out, with reference to the wants of the people, and with due regard to the interests of Government. My project is to give employment for the digging of wells under Government management

management in suitable places, viz^t to immemorial waste lands which may be eventually sold by auction, or let out in any way most likely to make a return for the outlay. This will keep the people together, and in some degree supercede the necessity of running into debt for the purchase of grain at the exorbitant prices which they must inevitably concede to if some supervision for their protection is not originated to their rescue.

2. The Merchants (or rather Monopolists) are holding hard for high prices, and at this their doing so, cannot be expediently restricted, it ought in my opinion, to be counteracted by every possible legitimate means, and the one I propose seems to me easiest of accomplishment.

3. My mind was brought to bear upon this subject from the circumstance that no remission will be required on Garden lands even in this bad season, and that the return of the expence of constructing a well is certain, and calculated at 5 years purchase.

Nellore, 4th January 1847.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princ^l Coll^r

16-27

Proceedings thereon, Para 1. In the letter above recorded, the Principal Collector represents that, he has just arrived at Nellore, in the Pulladum Taloke, and that both the appearance of the Country, and the accounts received from the Local Native Revenue Officers show that the season has been peculiarly adverse in that Taloke, and that it bears with unprecedented severity upon the people. The Punjah harvest, which forms the means of subsistence to two thirds of the Cultivating and lower classes of the Community, has entirely failed, and it is imperatively necessary that some plans should be adopted to give employment to the starving population. There are no public works now in progress on which the people could be employed, and in the absence of such means it is suggested, that they may be occupied in digging Wells under Government Management in suitable places, viz^t in immemorial waste lands, and that these Wells may be eventually sold by auction, or let out in any way most likely to make a return for the outlay. The utility of such works is amply demonstrated in the

Authorizing the Pub^c Collector of Coimbatore to incur any expense not exceeding 5000 Rupees in the construction of Wells, repairs of roads or other works of public utility in the Pulladum Taloke in his district to give employment to the population starving on account of the unfavorable nature of the Season.

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

the present season, in which, notwithstanding its adversity, no remission will be required on Garden lands - the return of the expenses involved in the construction of the Wells, is certain, and is calculated at 5 years purchase. -

2. The previous accounts received from the Principal Collector of the dates noted in the Margin, had apprized the Board of the unpropitious nature of the present season in the Coimbatore District generally, and the Board had in consequence authorized him to make liberal remissions, at the approaching settlement, in all cases where such indulgence might seem to be called for. They now learn with much concern, the particularly disastrous character of the season as respects the Palladum Saloo'r. They approve of the measure suggested by the Principal Collector, of employing the destitute part of the population in sinking Wells in eligible localities; it seems doubtful to them, whether any considerable number of the people

From Coll.r 14th Nov.r 1845 in Cons.s 21st Dec.r 1846 - 1.
From " - 20th Dec.r " " Cons.s 15th Jan.y " - 2.
To - " - 21st Dec.r " - - - - - - - - " - 3.
To - " - 15th January - - - - - - - " - 4.

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

could be thus occupied - and they therefore request that Mr. Wroughton will give his attention to providing some further means of employment for those in need of it. Of these, the repair of the roads appears to be the most obvious, and that on which the greatest number of the people could be most readily and profitably engaged - Meanwhile, in consideration of the urgency of the case, the Board authorize the Principal Collector to incur any expense not exceeding 5000, five thousand Rupees, in the Construction of Wells, repair of roads, or other Works of public utility in the Palladum Saloo'r - He will commence at once on receipt of these orders, and will, as early as convenient, report to the Board, thro' the Department of Public Works, the nature of the works undertaken - their estimated cost - the amount already disbursed, and that remaining to be expended, with all further necessary particulars. He will also, at a future date inform the Revenue Department of this Office, of the number of Wells which may have

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

have been constructed. their size. extent of land which they would irrigate and the mode in which he thinks they should be disposed of. The Board do not doubt that the Principal Collector will be careful that none but Works of real utility are undertaken, that he will employ upon them such of the lower classes only as may be utterly destitute and unable to obtain work elsewhere. and that he will restrict the remuneration to be allowed to the low rate of wages obtaining in the District.

3. The necessity for adopting immediate measures of relief under the Circumstances brought to notice by the Principal Collector, appearing to the Board to be emergent, they have not thought it proper to defer issuing instructions to him until they could have communicated with Government upon the subject. They resolve however to lay the letter of the Principal Collector, and Copy of these Proceedings before the Most Noble the Governor in Council in the hope that the steps which they have taken will meet his approval and Confirmation. —

Read

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

28

From the Coll.r of Canara — Forwards for approval & Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in Civil Suit No. 6 of 1845 together with the other papers connected with it.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward to be laid before the Board of Revenue — translation of a Plaint and a draft of an answer which I propose to file in Suit No. 6 of 1845 together with

1. Deed of Sudr Ameen 27th February 1845
2. Proceedings of Civil Judge 24th May 1845.
6. Mool putta 20th July 1244 / 1837.

translations of papers noted in the Margin having reference to the

It will be seen from these papers

2. that the suit was in the 1st Instance brought against three individuals for the recovery of a spot of land as included in a Mool putta issued to Plaintiff by the late Principal Collector Mr. Cameron in 1831. The Proceedings of the suit having come before the Civil Judge upon an appeal against the original decree it was ordered to be replaced on the file and that the Collector should be made a party to it.

I have endeavoured to shew in my

3. answer that such a course was wholly unnecessary and improper and I do not therefore propose to put in my answer in defence not only because I consider that Sought not to have been made as

Fort St. George 25th January 1847-

a party to the suit, but because there appears to be no points in the Plaint upon which I can as Collector found an answer- I am besides desirous that this unusual course should be resisted in limine for the Board is aware of the number of estates held on similar Moolghenny tenures in this District, and if on every occasion of a dispute occurring regarding the boundaries of such estates or on other points the Collector were to be included as a defendant and required to shew the grounds on which his predecessors ejected the original Puttah, the department would I apprehend be seen overwhelmed with suits in which the Government as such can see has no concern-

4- I am clearly of opinion that no ground of action could under any circumstances exist against me for such an act done by my predecessor so many years ago, but if it could be sustained, it would certainly be only by the party who had suffered, in this case the Defendant- In the present instance however the order of the Court appears to me doubly extraordinary when it is considered that it directs the party who has benefitted by the act of my predecessor to prosecute me for that act- The proper course I consider for the Court to have pursued if it thought the Collector liable at all, was to pass a decree in favor of the Plaintiff, and direct the defendant to institute a suit against the Collector for any damage he might have sustained-

Coll.rs Cutcherry on Circuit
Cumdapoor 28th Dec.r 1846

/ Signed/ T. L. Blane
Collector

Fort St. George 25th January 1847-

No. 2-

Draft of an Answer proposed to be filed in Suit No. 6 of 1846 on the File of the Subordinate Court at Mangalore-

Plaintiff in his original Suit claimed possession of the Inam land with arrears of rent from one Appiya and two others on the ground that it formed part of the land mentioned in a Moolputta which was granted him by a former Collector under date 26th July 1831- The Suit however was decided against the Plaintiff solely on the merits of evidence, and without reference to the Moolputta, notwithstanding that the Sudr Ameen admits it to embrace the land in question- Against this decision an appeal was laid by Plaintiff and on a review of the records of the Suit the Civil Court ordered that the Suit should be replaced on the File and the Collector made a party to the Suit that the latter officer might prove if the land in question was or was not included in the Moolaputta above mentioned whereupon the Collector is

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

is named a supplementat Defend-
-ant and called upon to give in his
answer—

The Collector must express
his entire dissent from the opinion
of the Civil Judge as to the necessity
for including him as a defendant in
this case and is of opinion that
if such procedure should be ad-
-mitted as a precedent, it will oc-
-casion the most serious embar-
-rassment to the public service
for the same course might be with-
equal propriety resorted to in nearly
every dispute concerning the
right to land founded on a Mool-
putta—

The Puttah in the
present instance was granted
thirteen years ago by a former
Collector— The Plaintiff does
not even allege any cause
of Complaint against the
Revenue Authorities and the
Defendant might at the time
the grant was issued or even
perhaps

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

perhaps now had he considered
himself aggrieved by his land
having been included in a grant
made to another, have had a
ground of action against the
Collector on which he might
have founded a separate Suit
against that Officer— The Court
in its proceedings under date
the 24th May 1845 observes that
"the simple point to be deter-
"mined appears to be whether the
"land belongs to the Sircar—
"Cheeny Wurg transferred by
"the Principal Collector to the
"Plaintiff or to the estate of the
"3d Defendant" and on this grounds
alone has ordered the Collector
to be included as a Defendant—

The Collector would observe
upon this that the validity of the
Moolputtah granted by the former
Principal Collector is not disputed
by either party— It is a good and
sufficient document which has
subsisted for 13 years and in the
absence

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

absence of very strong grounds for disputing its validity and of course shewn why it had not been before disputed. The Collector is of opinion that it ought to have been admitted. If it were not considered sufficient of itself to establish the claim, it might at least have been accepted for what it was worth and it was competent for the Court to have called for any further evidence that it might consider necessary either verbal or documentary.

The usual course appears to have been pursued in granting this Moolpuitta as in other similar cases which consisted in ascertaining from the Village Officers and the Talook authorities whether there existed any objection to granting the land applied for on Ryotwary tenure and it is very probable that had the Court thought proper to call for these documents they might have been forthcoming and it appears to the Collector that this course would have

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

have been in every way preferable to including the present Collector as a Defendant for the sole purpose of requiring him to prove the grounds upon which a public grant was made by one of his predecessors.

The Collector begs to state that the point in dispute is one of a private nature in which neither he or the Government have any interest, neither the Plaintiff or Defendant allege to have sustained any wrong at the hands of the Principal Collector. The Plaintiff in whose favor the grant was made manifestly can have no cause of complaint and it is difficult to suppose on what grounds he can be called upon to prosecute the Collector whose proceedings through out have been in his favor. The Defendant it is admitted may have originally had grounds of complaint but if these have not subsided by lapse of time he might have been directed if he thought proper to bring a separate suit against the Collector.

The Supplemental Defendant therefore on the above grounds prays that the Court will reconsider its order for

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

for including him as a Defendant and direct the Suit as far as it regards him to be withdrawn.

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector.

No. 3.

Decree passed by the Sudr Ameen Sutteshma Varen Pantalu in the Zillah of Mangalore in O.S. No. 28 of 1844.

Codanjoy Kaishwa Hebara residing at Shedabyele Village in Buntwall Taluk by Hakeek Abdool Rahiman.

1. Appoya } Sons of Gop
2. Parayo } Sons of Gop

for the Def residing the said Village 3 Lower stated that the day

inhabitants of Malar village. The 1st Deft by Timapayah and the 2d by Vakeel Lucknow Rao

The Plff in his plaint sets forth the 1st Deft had been cultivating under him on rent

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

forming a part of the estate mentioned in his Dhurkast Puttah and situated at Shedabyele Village Rajeradaka Magany in the Buntwall Talook that in the year Seya he made over to him the ground with the 1834/35 gueny chit but after this the 1st Defendant again cultivated the said ground saying that he could not find any ground elsewhere that after this he alleged that it formed part of the 3d Deftt land and that as the 2d and 3d Deftt represented in the Talook of their respective Estates and as he Plaintiff had no gueny chit executed in his favor he was referred to a civil action. The Plaintiff therefore claims Rs. 22½ being rent due for 9 years at 2½ Rs per Annum together with the Batt fields jungle and trees thereto attached bounded on the East by the brow of the hill on the West by Bettookeray on the South by the watercourse and on the North by the brow of the hill, producing Annually Rupees 2½ and prays that the 1st Defendant might be restrained from the ground.

That 1st Defendant on his answer avers that the litigated Batt fields form a part of the portion (held by him 1st Defendant on payment of rent under the 2d Defendant and his ancestors) of

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

a land of Woons 2. Barig registered in the name of Caladaiyele Sonlha and situated at Malammudy Village and belonging to the 3d Defendant that these are not includ-ed in the Estate obtained by the Plaintiff on Darkast that the Plaintiff has made the 2d Defendant a party to this Suit merely with a view of causing him to put in a Cabo-mamah and that were it true that the disputed ground formed part of the Darkast land, as asserted by him, there was no reason why the Collector should not transfer it to to the Plaintiff.

The 3d Defendant in his Answer briefly asserts that there was no reason for the Plaintiff to listen to the 1st Defendant and not to possess himself of the disputed Grounds for nearly 16 years from the date of his Darkast to that of his plaint.

The 2d Defendant failed to answer.

The Plaintiff filed his reply and the 3d Defendant his rejoinder.

The Plaintiff cited 7 Witnesses the 1st Defendant 4 and the 3d Defendant 13.

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Plaintiff filed.

1. Moolpottah granted by Mr. Cameron the Principal Collector of Canara on behalf of the Company's Sircar to the Plaintiff under date the 26th July 1831.

The 3d Defendants Vakeel filed.

1. Gueny Chit executed by the 3d Defendant for the disputed ground Ycar for Woons 2 1/2 and 35 Nooras of rice on the 7th Bhadar pd. about of Villamby 1838-39 2. Gueny Chit executed by the 1st Defendant to the 3d on the 30th Shawana Bhal of the year Shoblacrootoo 1843-44 3 Ditto by Ditto to Ditto on the 13th Ashweja about of Shobacrootoo 1843-44 4. Ditto by Do to Do on the 30th Shawana Bhal of Goodby 1844-45.

With the exception of 2 witnesses whose evidence is dispensed with by the 3d Defendant Vakeel and that of another who is reported not to have been pointed out by him (3d Defendant) the other witnesses who were in attendance have been examined on oath viz.

For Plaintiff	1st Defendant	3d Defendant
1. Markadeva Behara	8 Appaya	11. Adayak. Hedasta
2 Parmeshra	9 Timmak	12. Manjoo
3. Timm Salee	10 Rookma	13 Annapa
4 Caantee		14 Sunna
5 Tamma		15. Timmapaya h.
6 Venkla		
7 Hanumpa		

16 Kistnayah
17 Appayah
18 Venkataramanee
19 Rangayah
20 Soggy also for that Dy of

The whole of the record of this case and the exhibits filed have been perused and heard - The Plaintiffs 4th and 5th Witnesses depose to the fact of the litigated land having been situated within the boundaries of the Shedabyele Village in which Plaintiff has obtained a land on Durkast - The 4th Witness Cauntoo adds that 15 years back on a certain day, his grand father observed to him "without knowing the boundaries of the Village you should not lose the jungle" and then told him (Witness Cauntoo) that he would point out the boundary - But this Witness now deposes to a fact in the same manner in which he deposed from memory to a fact which led to the above conversation and in which he had no interest and as he has not contradictory statements about the payment of 4 seers of rice made to him by the Plaintiff out of rice received from the 1st Defendant on account of rent for carrying the Kist

-dara (Standard of the Idol) his evidence cannot be depended upon - The 5th Witness Tammoo states that 10 or 20 years ago he heard his father mention the boundaries - There appears no cause why his father should communicate to this Witness a fact in which he had no concern and why this Witness should bear in mind a fact which is of no advantage - The 6th Witness is not a person connected with the Mogany nor is he a resident of that Village in consequence of which his evidence is worthless - The land in litigation appears to have been included within the limits mentioned in the Durkast Pattah obtained by the Plaintiff but the Plaintiff asserts that it was held by the 1st Defendant under him ever since the execution of the Durkast Pattah - Plaintiff admits that the Guany Chit executed to him by the 1st Defendant for the same is not now forthcoming - The 1st Witness Madeva Behara the Writer of the Guany Chit the 2d and 3d Witnesses Parmaishra and Christian Sahib the attesting witnesses thereof - the 6th Witness Tammoo the individual said to have been present at its execution, and

the

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

the 1st Witness Venkta who deposes to having heard the return of the said Gueny Chit state that such gueny Chit and Yedavomoody (counter gueny Chit) had been executed and that in the year Vyiya 1833-34 the 1st Defdt. returned the Gueny Chit to the Plaintiff and took back the Yedavomoody. The Mool Pottah for the land appears to have been granted to the Plaintiff in the Month of Ashada of the year Plavanga 1831. In the very Ashada Month in which the Pottah was granted, the land would appear to have been cultivated by the Plaintiff. In the Month of Ashad the crop is three Months old. Were it true that the 1st Defdt. cultivated the land under Plaintiff since the grant of the Pottah, the time in which seed was to be sown in the year Plavanga 1831-32 had expired. Therefore there is no reason for the 1st Defendant to consent to pay to Plaintiff the rent of that year. It appears from the Pottah that for four years previous thereto the land was cultivated by the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff had no authority to let it (on rent) previous thereto such being the case how can the Statement of the Plaintiff that he leased it out to the 1st Defendant be

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

be depended upon. The Plaintiff does not assert that he rented it prior to that year and if he should still allege it, it would be of no avail. Besides this the 1st Witness is the Writer of the Gueny Chit and Yedavomoody. He states that three years afterwards (the Gueny Chit) was given back on the very spot on which it was executed in the year Vyiya 1833-34 the intermediate time being three years. From the manner in which this Witness gave his evidence to the effect that he was present at both the times and as he states that a Yedavomoody was executed for the Shalgueny Chit his Temporary lease testimony does not at all appear to be trustworthy. The Gueny Chit is a material document in proof of his having enjoyed the land with so much vigilance. The (1st) Defendant had no advantage in taking back the Gueny Chit. The Plaintiffs allegation that he returned it throws discredit upon his statement. On the 17 January the Plaintiffs 2d Witness Parmeshra deposed to the execution of the Gueny Chit and Yedavomoody, and on the 18th he had been standing at the time

time of the examination of the 5th Witness for the Plaintiff at the door at a distance of one yard from the 5th Witness and had been engaged in hearing what the 5th Witness had been deposing to, in consequence of which he was fined, and the fine collected from him - Therefore it is not sufficient to consider that the evidence of the said Witness is worthy of credit and that he has given his evidence without learning it from the 5th Witness - This 2d Witness is not moreover an inhabitant of the Shedaboo in which the land in question stands - The 3d Witness professes the Christian Religion, therefore his evidence that he was present at a place which he could not approach is not to be believed - The Plaintiffs 5th Witness is unacquainted with characters of any description - He deposes to facts that occurred 13 years ago just as they occurred at that time: in consequence of which his testimony cannot be held as valid - The 6th Witness deposes that he heard that the Guremy Chit had been returned and

and that it was brought by the Plaintiff from his house to the spot at which it was returned a fact that took place ten years ago and it is therefore unworthy of belief - Altho' it appears from the evidence of these Witnesses that the 1st Defendant returned in Vejeeab 1833/34 the Guremy Chit with the land obtained by him on rent from Plaintiff in the year Fhasrah 1831-32 still the Plaintiff states that the land in dispute was for that year entrusted by the 1st Defendant, and there appears no cause for his omitting to press his claim from that time to recover it and to bring forward his claim for so long a time before a competent tribunal in order to have the matter settled - The Plaintiff does not say either in his plaint or his reply that he had received rent from the 1st Defendant - The Witnesses examined on his behalf depose that nine was brought from the 1st Defendant on account of rent a fact that the Plaintiff himself did not deny - Hence nothing is impossible but that the Plaintiff set up the Witnesses falsely to depose to a circumstance which might favor his purpose - The 7th Witness Shanbogue - Varnapah was cited

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

cited to appear before the Court with the Ajmaishee accounts of the Sheedaiyle Village. He however having stated that there is no such account, gave his evidence holding a paper in his hand which was written by his son having reference to the same (accounts) When questioned regarding it, he explained that he held it lest he might fall into mistake relative to what he was to depose according to his knowledge. This is a contempt of the Court and he therefore would appear to be a false witness and the paper in his hand must have been furnished him by the party for whom he was to depose. Under these circumstances his evidence cannot be admitted as true. From the documents produced by the 1st and 2d Defendants it is clear that the 1st Defendant passed a Queery Chits in favor of the 2d regarding the land in question and that he held it on rent from the 3d ever since the times of his fore-fathers. It appears that either the 3d Defendant or his ancestors were not present at the time when the Potails gave the declaration after making an Ajmaishee examination to the effect that there existed

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

existed no objection to the issue of a Puttah to Plaintiff. If the Puttah had been given for this land in a regular manner when the Plaintiff preferred a claim in the Revenue Department there was no the Plaintiff necessity for referring him to the Civil Court, instead of causing the Land to be made over to him. Both the Plaintiff and Defendant have failed to produce documents within the time fixed in the certificate furnished to them respectively. As the Plaintiff not having proved the allegations of the Plaintiff there is no occasion to discuss the evidence &c. adduced by Defendant. As the usual process has been served it is useless to defer the disposal of the suit on account of the absent Defendant. The Plaintiff's suit is therefore dismissed with costs.

Dated 27th February 1847.

/Signed/ Laurence Maxwell Pantelu
Sub. Ameen

(True Translation)
/Signed/ S.L. Blane
Collector

Extract

Fort St. George 25th. January 1817.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Mangalore under date the 24th May 1815.

A. S. 19 of 1815

Hesma Hebbara Plaintiff.

Versus.

1. Assaya 2 Gonsaly Bhut and 3 Chendy Hegeday Defendants.

Read proceedings in the above appeal from the decree of the Sudr Ameen in O. S 28 of 1814.

The appellant appealed on the grounds that it was customary to commence the cultivation of the Bell land in the Month of Ashad (July) that for 7 years he had not obtained a final order in his case from the Collector, so the delay did not originate with him in instituting proceedings that the Potail and Shanbogue had both staked the land was included in his Durkast. That if it had belonged to the 3d Defendant he would not have been silent, that the Defendant produced no documents worthy of credit. The Plaintiff claims the land on the ground of its forming a portion of the waste granted by the Principal Collector on Moolputtah.—

The

Fort St. George 25th. January 1817.

The 3d. Defendant alleges that the land belongs to his Estate in another Village. The Sudr Ameen in his decree states that the land is entered in the Durkast filed by Plaintiff as being portion of the Estate transferred by the Principal Collector, but dismisses the Plaintiffs claim on the grounds that it is proved that the 1st. Defendant has since of old rented the land from the 3d. Defendant and his ancestors. In the Moolputtah it is distinctly stated that the Estate transferred to the Plaintiff has been in his possession for some years previous. The simple point to be determined appears to be whether the land belongs to the Estate transferred by the Circar Guzzy Wurg to the Plaintiff or to the Principal Collector or to the 3d. Defendant. In order to settle this point it appears necessary that the Plaintiff should include the Principal Collector as a Defendant. If the land bounded as stated in the plaint and claimed by the Plaintiff was not included in the Moolputtah, the Principal Collector will have an opportunity by stating so in his defence if it was

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

was included, he will have an opportunity in order to prove that it belongs to the Circar Gurney muny, of bringing forward the evidence on which he acted when he granted the Moolputtah in question.—

The Plaintiff grounds his claim on the Moolputtah conferred on him by the Principal Collector. it is the duty of the Principal Collector, if the land claimed by the Plaintiff is included in that Moolputtah to prove that it belongs to the Circar Gurney Muny. and not to the Estate of the 3rd Defendant. The Civil Judge therefore resolves to remand the case to the Sudr Ameen and to enjoin that Officer to direct the Plaintiff to institute a supplemental plaint against the Principal Collector.—

Ordered that the Sudr Ameen be instructed to replace the suit on his file for the purpose above stated.—

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be sent to the Sudr Ameen for his information and guidance.—

The

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

The appellant to pay the Costs of the appeal suit.

(Signed) F. Anderson
Judge.

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. L. Blane
Collector.

Translation of a Moolputtah issued by the Principal Collector Mr. Cameron to Woodanjee Krishna Ebbara of Siddaly to Pajavadha Muganj in Puntual dated 26th July 1741/1831 corresponding with the 2nd Month Flava year of 1753 of Sri Nivahana.—

L. S.

Whereas under date the 1st December 1740/1830 Fasly you made an application and signed an engagement to that effect, requesting that the proprietary right in the Estate Siroor Gurney No. 4 of the said Village and entered in the name of one Comaraloo assessed with 130 Pa. 8 Shamil 1—1—10 total—130 Pa. 8 Shist 1—7—8 Sharam Beriz 2—8—8 Beriz 2—9—0 Sharam Beriz 2—8—8 might be conferred on you to enable you to enjoy the Estate on condition of paying the full

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

was included he will have an opportunity in order to prove that it belongs to the Circar Gueny wary. of bringing forward the evidence on which he acted when he granted the Moolguttah in question.

The Plaintiff grounds his claim on the Moolguttah conferred on him by the Principal Collector. It is the duty of the Principal Collector if the land claimed by the Plaintiff is included in that Moolguttah to prove that it belongs to the Circar Gueny Wary. and not to the Estate of the Defendant. The Civil Judge therefore resolves to remand the case to the Sudr Ameen and to enjoin that Officer to direct the Plaintiff to institute a supplemental plaint against the Principal Collector.

Ordered that the Sudr Ameen be instructed to replace the suit on his file for the purpose above stated.

Ordered that Extract for these proceedings be sent to the Sudr Ameen for his information and guidance.

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

The appellant to pay the Costs of the appeal suit.

(Signed) F. Anderson
Judge.

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. L. Blane
Collector.

Translation of a Moolguttah issued by the Principal Collector Mr. Cameron to Sreedevee Krishna Ebbara of Siddaly Pajeradka Wogany in Dunlual dated 26th July 1741/1851 corresponding with the 2nd Chill a pada Month of Sullivahana.

L. S.

Whereas under date the 1st December 1240/1830 Fasly you made an application and signed an engagement to that effect, requesting that the proprietary right in the Estate Sircar Gueny No. 4 of the said Village and entered in the name of one Coomaralen assessed with 180 Pc. of 8 shamil 1-1-10 total 180 Pc. of 8 Shist 1-11-8 and 180 Pc. of 8 Sharam Berij 2-8-8 might be conferred on you to enable you to enfranchise the Estate on condition of paying the full

full thereon by the following instalments.—

	Ps	as
1210	1	7 8
1211	2	— —
1212	2	2 8
1213	2	8 5

on the ground of its having been long abandoned by the proprietor, entered in the Revenue Accounts as Sirkar Guiny Warg, and cultivated by you since the last 4 Years. Your aforesaid application having been taken into consideration, under the Madras orders dated 31st August 1807. I do hereby confer on you the proprietary right in the aforesaid warg, to be exercised by you from and after Fasly 1210 (1800 01) of the year hereby constitute you proprietor of the said Estate.— the boundaries of which are specified below.

One spot called Kaiyagil is bounded as follows—

On the east by the brow of the Kaiyagil Hill.

On the west by the water course running close by the land of Komarah.

On

On the South by the brow of the Hill and on the North by the road leading to the Boths' place called Golly Tottoo

Sunyah the extent of land 4Pcs contained within the abovementioned boundaries consists of 37 fields of Byle land sowing with 1/4 Measures of seed and 16 Cocoanut trees.—

The boundaries of another spot called Cerjah by loo are as follows.—

On the East by the bank raised on the field called Goolina Cumbalgadah.

On the west by the field made belonging to Koodaw jee warg.

On the south by the brow of the Hill.

On the North by the water course

the land 4Pcs contained within the above is eight fields of Byle sowing 3/4 Measures.—

Another spot called Issadis enclosed within the under mentioned boundaries Viz.—

On the East by the brow of the Hill.

On

On the West by a Kottoo Kerah or dry land
On the South by a Nullah called
Bylehullah and
On the North by the brow of
the Hill the land contained
within the above is 15 fields of Byle
sowing 1/4 Moody. Total land contained
in the three spots as above circum-
scribed is 45 fields of Byle sowing 5/4
Moodies and 15 fields of Kattoo sowing
1/4 Moody. making altogether 60 fields
sowing 6/2 Moodies and 18 Cocoanut
Trees.-

You are to enjoy the above
together with the appendages thereto
annexed Viz.t Cocoanut trees Sooparry
trees cultivated and waste land
(Bettoo Chittee) Jungle and Wast
Jack and Mangoe trees. Nelley and
Toombah bushes, Wells &c. to
the

the flow of water springs and rocks &c.
in the same manner as the former
proprietor enjoyed by causing your
name to be entered in the Sircar
Register and paying the assessment
of Pr. 2-8-5 without failing to
arrears according as the settlement
may be made agreeably to your
Pottah until the permanent
Settlement of the District and after
that according to such settlement
as may then be fixed.

This Estate is confirmed
to you as Moody it having long been
abandoned and no mulgar forthcom-
ing by waste for some years, and
it has been cultivated through
Comarlee and entered in his
name among the Gueny Mungs.
The said Komarlee having
also

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

also given it up, you have cultivated it since these last 3 years and there appears to exist no objection to the grant of Moolputta according to the declaration given by Soobayah Hebbara, Potail of the same Village. Burmagah Mullah Potail of Kalmar Joe, the said Komara Coah Lingy Cumbly Potail of Hundoor and others.—

/Signed/ S. S. Cameron

Prov. Collector

Ordered.

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

28-29

To the Coll.r of Canara.

Communicating instructions as regards the course to be pursued by him in reference to his letter of the 28th Ultimo and. advising the Board of his having under orders of the Civil Court of Mangalore been included as a supplemental Defendant in a Suit remanded to the Sudr Ameen and submitting draft of the answer which you propose to file in the Court.

To The Collector of Canara.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th Ultimo advising them of your having under orders of the Civil Court of Mangalore been included as a supplemental Defendant in a suit remanded to the Sudr Ameen and submitting draft of the answer which you propose to file in the Court.

2. The Board observe that in the answer you have prayed that "the Court will reconsider its order for including you as a Defendant and direct the suit so far as regards you to be withdrawn." The Board quite agree with you that there is no valid reason for your having been made a party to the suit, and they think that the course which you propose to adopt viz to restrict your defence to a simple denial of your liability is the correct one. As far however as they can gather from the papers which you have laid before them

them the remanded Suit will have to be tried and your Answer of Course to be put in before the Sudr Ameen or Subordinate Judge, and it does not occur to the Board how either of those authorities could reconsider or set aside an Order passed by the Civil Judge. The proper mode of procedure would, it seems to the Board, be for you to address the Civil Court by motion requesting, on grounds similar to those urged in your Answer, from that tribunal a reconsideration of the views taken in their Proceedings of 24th May 1845. Should the Court adhere to its former opinion it will then be for you to apply to Government thro' this Office for permission to defend the Suit and in such case the answer of which you have a forwarded a draft will with some trifling modifications more particularly of the last Para be quite appropriate. You will be pleased to adopt this course, unless you are aware of any reason to the contrary in which case you will again address this Office.—

Enclosure Nath. 28th Dec. No. 1845 in Cons. 25th Jan. 1847

3. The Board observe that the Proceedings of the Civil Court remanding the Suit to the file of the Sudr Ameen for

for inclusion of yourself as Defendant were held on the 24th May 1845. The Supplemental Plaint was filed under date 21st February 1846. but the matter was not reported by you to the Board until 28th December or 10 months after the filing of the Plaint. The Board request that you will be so good as to explain the cause of the delay that has thus taken place.—

4. From the concluding part of the proceedings of the Civil Court whereby the Sudr Ameen is directed to replace the Suit on his file the Board are led to doubt whether it be not intended that the rehearing of the Case should be before that Officer. Should such have been the meaning of the Civil Judge's Order the Board need observe that it is on their opinion questionable whether a Sudr Ameen not being a Principal Sudr Ameen is entitled to try Cases in which an European Officer of Government may be a party. The Board are aware that the prohibition to entertain such Suits contained in Section VII Regulation VII 1827 has as regards Principal Sudr Ameens been withdrawn by subsequent Enactments but they doubt whether the powers

powers of Judr Ameens, have been similarly extended. You will be pleased to submit this point for the consideration of the Court.

Revd Bd Office.
Fort St. George
25th January 1847.

I have &c
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

30

From the Collr of Malabar explaining the circumstances under which he dismissed Mummattoo Kristnun a Police Peon of the the Cotyam Talook.

Sir,

I regret that I should have delayed so long to reply to your letter of the 16th November last. The petition it enclosed and on which I was desired to report was mislaid and escaped my recollection.

Mummattoo Kristnun late Police peon of the Cotiam Talook complains of my having dismissed him on the ground of his brought false charges against his Tahsildar.

On the 12th May 1845 Mummattoo Kristnun accused the Tahsildar of having concealed a murder for a bribe. The accusation was a grave one and required the most rigid investigation. It had it not

the result proved clearly to my mind that the charge was a wicked calumny. Had it been in my power I would have punished the calumniator more severely as it was I punished him to the extent of my power by dismissal.

The exact reason for Mummattoo Kristnun acting in the way he did I know not but for some cause or other he was irritated against his superior the Tahsildar and risqued every thing to overthrow him. I presume him to have had some more influential parties as backers in what I repeat was to the best of my belief a wicked calumny. The Tahsildar it is true had not made such a perfect enquiry as was desirable at first and his deficiency had been pointed out by his immediate superior Mr Crozier but beyond this deficiency his conduct was free from all suspicion. The case was taken up by me and further enquired into after Mr Croziers departure. I will willingly send all the proceedings that took place in this case should the Board wish to see them. but I will mention here one circumstance to shew the improbability of Mummattoo Kristnuns story. He states in one part of his petition which

Fort St. George 25th January 1847

complement of 330 for a Shop of the 1st Class
247 for a Shop of the 2nd Class, 165 for a Shop
of the 3d Class and 110 for a Shop of the 4th Class to
the holders of only 32 out of 314. Shops have found
out some Gardens for the selection of an adequate
number of Trees, the others having stated
their inability to procure any that would be
likely to furnish them with the smallest
quantity of Toddy, at least for the present, so
during the cold weather that is in the months
of November, December and January, even in a
favorable Season, all Trees are known to
yield but a scanty supply of Toddy.

4. Having communicated with the
Head Shopkeepers preparatory to the resolu-
tion of the government last, they observed that
many of the Trees which are entered in the
Conicopillays Accounts as in a state of produc-
tiveness would not continue so for more than
20 or 30 days, and that they would cease to give
Toddy for a considerable period afterwards.
This Statement was in some measure con-
firmed by the Conicopillays and others
in as much as they admitted that a
certain number of Trees in the Accounts
now before me, but which are not distinguished
from the rest, have suffered so much by

severe

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

severity of the Storm that it would take some
time before they are restored to their former
state, although, at present, Toddy in very
small quantities is drawn from them, to e-
nable the Shopkeepers to add to the supply
required to cover all expense on account of
Shop rent &c. Trees of the description of those
just alluded to, the Conicopillays did not feel
authorized to omit in their Accounts, for they
were actually yielding Toddy at the date of the
examination: but they should have been entered
under a separate head. I was not aware that
any objection would have been made to the
Accounts in question until a petition on the
subject was presented to me by some of the
Shopkeepers. This, however, reached me at
too late a period to admit of my remedying
the evil complained of.

5. As the re-examination of
all the Topes with the view of excluding Trees
such as are referred to in the foregoing Para.
would have occasioned much delay, I directed
that the quantity of Toddy which is now ob-
being drawn from a given number of Trees
might be measured, to ascertain whether it
fell considerably short of that which on
may

may have been obtained from an equal number of Trees about this time of the year in an ordinary season. The result has convinced me of the propriety of recommending the terms of the arrangement which I am about to submit for the consideration of the Board.

6. In 1836 the loss in the number of productive Trees by the Storm on the 30th October of that year, was 49 per Cent. and the amount of the Settlement was in consequence, reduced from Rupees 543-11-8 to Rupees 274-2-10 per diem, or 49 9/16 per Cent.

To B/ 8th Dec. 1836. P. 3710.

7. The Cutcherry accounts shew that the number of productive Trees prior to the Hurricane on the 25th Ultimo was 64,682, whereas according to the Conicapillay's account of examination, the number now productive is 50,585, the differences being about 22 per Ct. as will be seen by the entries in the Enclosed Statement. The Shopkeepers, however, informed me that they were wholly opposed to this per Centage being assumed as the basis for the formation of the new settlement, but they knew, at the same time, that no other data could immediately have been resorted to as exhibiting a less objectionable result

Encls. A.

result, although it is evident that the number of Trees which have been rendered unproductive, or will become so in a few days, by the effects of the recent storm, is considerably in excess of that stated above. I have reason to believe that the accounts of 1836 do not include Trees such as are alluded to in Para 4 of this report. In that year the Public Servants who were to conduct the enquiry, were associated with the whole of the former Enamdars, men of great experience and much practical knowledge. The servants sent to examine the Topes in the present year have not had the benefit of any assistance of the kind; for there is not now a single Enamdar in connection with the Toddy Department.

To B/ 8th Dec. 1836. P. 4.

8. On a careful review of the whole of the circumstances referred to above, coupled with the fact that there were two Storms within a Month of each other in the present year, during which all the Topes have suffered in a greater or less degree, I deemed it proper, as a measure conducive equally to the security of the revenue and the protection of the interests of the Shopkeepers, to allow on the total amount of the settlement, as it stood on the

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

the 25th Ultimo, a temporary remission of 44½ per Cent beyond the loss in the number of unproductive Trees adverted to in Para 7 above. This settlement being framed on the number of Trees from which Toddy is now actually drawn will be liable to variation on it being ascertained, by a reexamination, which I shall cause to be made from the beginning February next, that any of the Trees which are represented at present, to be nearly unproductive, have come into a state of productiveness, which after a time, some of them may be expected to do. Care will in the mean while be taken that the Shanars draw Toddy only from those Trees on which the new settlement has been made.

9. This settlement which came into operation on the 26th Instant, Commenced on the 25th and could not have been brought to a close before the 30th I submit a Statement of all particulars, from which it will be observed that whilst the reduction in the aggregate does not exceed 44½ per Cent there are some Shops on which it is more and on some less, the amount of the settlement being in proportion to the damage done to the Trees from which Toddy is drawn for the supply of each Shop.

B.

10. The total number of Shops for

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

to the Hurricane was 311, of which 10 Shops having been relinquished at a subsequent date, the number was reduced to 304 yielding Rupees 503—11—1 per diem, whereas the present number is 321 inclusive of 20 new Shops on the revenue Rupees 273—9—4 By adding to the former sum the revenue arising from Toddy supplied to the Bake Houses and to the latter that now payable by them, the first is raised to Rupees 517—11—0 and the second to Rupees 230—1—9 or 44½ per Cent. The Monthly revenue on the old Shops on which the sale is continued up to the present date, was prior to the Storm Rupees 15511—11—6 whereas the amount of the present Settlement is Rupees 8608—7—0 the difference being Rupees 6903—4—6.

15511	11	6
8608	7	0
6903	4	6

Under the foregoing explanation I beg leave to recommend that the present Settlement of the Toddy revenue yielding Rupees 286—15—2 per diem may be confirmed.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Abstract.

Madras 31st December 1846.

2592 Fort St. George 25th January 1817.

A

Abstract Statement shewing the Number of Cocoanut Trees within the Abkary limits of Madras which yielded Toddy prior to the Hurricane on the 25th November 1816 and the number found at a subsequent date to be in a State of productiveness.—

Classes	Number of Trees	Productive Trees before the Hurricane	Productive Trees after the Hurricane	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1st	48	1738	13563	"	3822	
2nd	56	11160	11170	"	2690	
3rd	169	28579	22039	"	6510	
4th	41	1558	3513	"	1015	
	314	61682	50585	"	11097	

Madras 19
31st December 1816.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Statement

2593.

Fort St. George 25th January 1817.

Statement shewing the Amount of Toddy Sold by Contract and the Amount of Toddy Sold subsequent to the Hurricane on the 25th November 1816.

Number of Shops	Number of Months	Amount Sold by Contract	Amount Sold subsequent to the Hurricane
10	1	301	20
		35	"
Bake Houses			
Total Rupees			

Double Pice Manufactured

Madras 31st December 1816.

Double Pice Manufactured on the 25th November 1816 and the next Days to Government.

1st Sort Rupees	503	11	27394	15 82	21591
" " "	13	510	13510	" "	" "
	517	" 11	28152	15 82	21591
			Deduct Increase		15 82
			Actual Decrease		23019
	155	11 16	8608	" "	69346

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Pice

(32-33)

Resolution thereon. The Board of Revenue confirm the Settlement of the Toddy Revenue made by the Collector of Madras as a temporary measure and will expect to learn the result of the re-examination intended to be made in the beginning of next Month.—

(34)

From J. B. Powell Esqr. Read letter reporting his return to the Presidency and his having resumed charge of his Office and requesting that the unexpired portion of the leave granted to him on the 15th Instant be cancelled.—

(34-35)

Order thereon. Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted for the information and orders of Government.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

(36)

From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of charges incurred on account of advances made for cultivation and the manufacture of Salt for Fusly 1254.—

(37)

From the Collr. of Ganjam. Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1256.

(38)

From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1255.

(39)

From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1255.—

Statement

(40)

From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of Charges incurred in the Magistrates Department for Fusly 1255.—

(41)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of advances made on account of charges establishment and Sundrymared for the Estates under attachment in Fusly 1255.—

(42)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of Charges incurred under estimate for Fusly 1255.

(43)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of Charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1255.—

(44)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of Charges incurred in the Magistrates Department for Fusly 1255.—

(45)

From the Collr. of Masulipatam. Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1254.—

(46)

From the Collr. of Nellore. Estimate of advances proposed to be required for the manufacture of Salt for Fusly 1256.—

(47)

From the Acting Collr. of Cuddapah. Estimate of extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1256.

(48)

From the Aff. Collr. of Cuddapah. Statement of Charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1255.

(49)

From the Aff. Collr. of Cuddapah. Statement of Charges incurred under Estimate in the Magistrates Department for Fusly 1255.—

Statement

50 From the Asst. Collr. of Chingleput. } Statement of charges incurred under estimate for Fusly 1755.

51 From the Asst. Collr. of Chingleput. } Statement of Charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1755.

52 From the Acting Collector of Chingleput. } Statement of charges incurred in the Magistrates' Department for Fusly 1755.

53 From the Collr. of Tanjore Estimate of extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1256.

54 From the Prin.l Collr. of Madura. Statement of charges incurred under estimate for Fusly 1255.

55 From the Prin.l Collr. of Madura. Statement of charges incurred in the Magistrates' Department for Fusly 1255.

56 From the Prin.l Collr. of Coimbatore. Estimate of extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1256.

Order on the 21 abovementioned Statements } 36-57 Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and be submitted for the Sanction of Government.

55 From the Offg. Collr. of Tellicherry. List of letters addressed from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last.

59 From the Offg. Collr. of Tellicherry. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last.

Order on the 2 abovementioned lists } 58-60 Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

61 From the Acting Collector of Chingleput. } Read Register of endorsements passed by the Board on petitions referred by the Board during the Month of December last.

Order thereon. } 61-62 Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

63 To the Chief Secretary to Government } In conformity with the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith a Bound Set of their Proceedings for the Month of October last.

2598 Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Cast for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors.—

Revl. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
25th January 1847.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.—

(64)
To the Actg. Collr. of North Arcot

To the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to the Circular dated 19th June 1845 requiring that reports on the land revenues be invariably submitted within Months after the expiration of the Fusly to which they relate and to request that you will submit your report for Fusly 1255 without any further delay.

Revl. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
25th January 1847.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

(65)
To the Actg. Collr. of Tanjore.

To,
The Acting Collector of
Tanjore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Endorsement dated 11th of December last on Petition No. 1347 of 1846, referred to you by order of the Board

2599 Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

Board of Revenue on 6th October last I am directed to request that you will report more fully on the case and that you will state what degree of culpability was shown to be attached to the conduct of the Sepoys on the occasion of the gang robbery alluded to, and whether they could have exerted themselves to prevent it, without deserting their own guard over the Talook Treasury.—

Revl. Bd. Office,
Fort Saint George,
25th January 1847.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

(66)
From the Actg. Collector of North Arcot — Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of November last.—

(67)
From the Collector of South Arcot — Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.—

(68)
From the Collector of Bellary — Stating that no persons were either charged or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.—

(69)
From the Collector of Bellary — Stating that no persons were either suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December last.—

Stating

Fort St. George 25th January 1847.

From the A.J. Collector of Chingleput. — Stating that no persons were charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of December last.—

70

From the A.J. Coll.r of Chingleput.— Stating that no persons were either convicted or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of December last.

71

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.— Stating that two persons defaulters were confined in Jail for the quarter ending 31st December last.—

72

From the Prin.l Coll.r of Madura.— Acknowledging the receipt of 12000 Salt Rowannahs.—

73

" A. Lushington

" Pycroft

Fort St. George — January 1847

Diary

Received the following Memorandum

1

From the Off.g Sec.y to Government.—

27th January

Memorandum.

The Officiating Secretary to Government has the honor to request that the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will be pleased to forward the undermentioned papers—

From the Collector of Cuddapah.
d/ 16th December 1845.
Board's Proceedings thereon.
From the Collector of Cuddapah
d/ 30th April 1846.
Board's Proceedings.
d/ 28th May 1846.

Regarding the Enams of the Cuttoobady Polliams

Also Copies of any instructions issued to the Collector of Cuddapah in 1845 to object to the jurisdiction of the Civil Court over Bhatwarti Enams.—

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

(Signed) H. G. Montgomery
Off.g Secretary to Government

Sent the following Memorandum in reply.

1-2

To the Off.g Sec.y to Government.

Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Officiating Secretary to Government the undermentioned papers as requested in his Memorandum of Yesterday's date—

From Coll.r of Cuddapah 16th Dec.r in cons.n 2d Feb.y 1846
From Do. — 30th April in cons.n 28th May 1846
Board's Proceedings — 28th May 1846
From Coll.r 4th September in cons.n 16th October 1845.
To Coll.r 25th October 1845
From Coll.r 9th January in cons.n 5th February 1846
Proceedings 5th February 1846
From Coll.r 27th June in cons.n 10th August 1846
From Coll.r 10th August 1846.

Revenue Board Office — Fort St. George 27th Jan.y 1847.

(Signed) G. Whitty
Acting Secretary

Vide Jud.l Cons.n 28th June 1847.

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Thursday 28th January 1847.

At a Meeting present

G. D. Drury Esqr Senior Member
A. Robertson Esqr Second Member
A. Maclean Esqr Adjt Genl Third Member
and
S. Goldingham Esqr Offg Member

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

3

From the Offg Secy to Government.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date 2d January 1847.

Extract Minutes Consultation in the Military Department.

Here Enter 8th December 1846 No 5580

The Governor in Council is of opinion with the Board of Revenue Communicated in their letter to the Military Board dated 12th November 1846 that ground within Fortifications and stations on which Buildings are erected by private individuals, is chargeable with quit rent or assessment and desired that the same be collected and carried to account by the local revenue Officer of the District in which the Fortification may be situated.

A true Extract
Signed A. C. Montgomery
Offg Secretary to Govt

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be circulated for the information and guidance of the several Collectors and Government Agents under this Presidency.

3-4

Read

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 11th January 1847.
From Bd 24th December.

5

From the Offg Secretary to Government.

The Governor in Council authorizes the Company's Agent General to furnish the Tin Box required in the foregoing Proceedings for the Revenue Treasury at Chicacole.

Signed A. C. Montgomery
Offg Secretary to Government

5-6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector & Gangam for his reference to his letter dated 12th December last. The Tin Box has been this day despatched by Bandy.

Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 11th January 1847.
From Bd 24th December.

7

From the Offg Secretary to Government.

Resolved that the annual life Pension of Rupees 186-7-3 (Eight hundred and Rix three annas Seven and Pies three) authorized by the Government of India in their Communication of the 13th June 1845 No 371 be paid to Tyrookee, the widow of the late Mahomed Issant in arears from the 21st August 1844, the date of her husbands death

Signed A. C. Montgomery
Offg Secy to Government

7-8

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 17th December last.

Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 27th Jany 1847.
From Board 14th Jany 1847.

9

From the Offg Secy to Government.

The Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first

first instance at the public expense of of the Suit No. 10 of 1846 instituted in the Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry by Merla Vencanah against the Collector of that District and others, but will determine when a Copy of the Decree is submitted, whether the costs if any be adjudged against the Revenue Officers shall be borne by them, or by the Government

Signed A. D. Montgomery
Offg Secretary to Government

9-10

Resolution thereon

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

11

From the Coll.r of Ganjam

Sir,

The abstract Statement noted in the Margin for the Half year from 1st July to 31st December 1846 is now forwarded, no Cases intended to be introduced by it having occurred during that period.

a Abstract Statement of Civil Suits in Ganjam
Statement of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822

DESPATCHED

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Signed R. K. Bannerman
Collector.

Ganjam District Collrs Cutcherry
Chatterpoor 16th January 1847.

Ordered

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting on the Settlement of the Cotah, Ramachendrapooram and Peddapore Estates for Justy 1255 / 1845/46 / Here enter No. 115.

V

11-12

13

Proceedings thereon

Relative to the Settlement of the Cotah, Ramachendrapooram and Peddapore Estates in the District of Rajahmundry for Fasly 1255 / 1845/46

Para 2

Ord.a in Cons.n 23rd Jan.y 1846

Para 1. The season was unfavorable throughout. The Poonasah or early crops were deficient in consequence of the failure of rain in May and June. No rain fell during the Months of July August and September and with the exception of a small supply in October the North East Poorum suffered from the want of the usual freshes in the Godavery. Peddapoor on the other hand, with the exception of the Beckavole Taloke yielded a good outturn, with the aid of the water of the Yalaroo and occasional showers from the Hills.

Para 2. Prices rose occasioned partly in consequence of the unfavorable character of the season and partly from exportations of Grain to Bowbon and other places.

		Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255
White Paddy	per Garce	58-1-4	85-3-2
Black Do	Do	52-1-5	73-8-8
Cholum Do	Do	58-9-5	92-6-4

Para 3. The Poorer Ryots, whose scarcity was chiefly felt, gladly accepted employment in the excavation of the Tank

Bhogat

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Bhogah, a channel from the Godavery and in other important works of irrigation - The necessity forgiving employment to all whose usual resources were cut off for the time in consequence of the extensive failure of crops, formed the subject of the correspondence in the Department of Public works noted in the Margin -

To Government 12th Feby 1846 From Do 28th Do Inclosure 2d March -

41

Ramachendrapoorum consists of 37 Villages, of which 32 were settled on Rent in the Fusly under review, 4 on Veesabady and 1 on the Sharing System - The Decrease of Rupees 1252 - 7 - 10 in the Veesabady Villages and of Rupees 478 - 14 - 1 in the Village settled on a divisions of the Produce arose from the

Ramachendrapoorum Paras 6 @ 11 -

	Fusly 1254 / 1844/45	Fusly 1255 / 1845/46
Veesabady	3	4
Assarah	-	1
Rent	34	32
Villages	37	37

	Fusly 1254.	Fusly 1255.	Decrease	
Veesabady	4152 - -	2899 8 2	1252	7 10
Sharing	519 12 -	43 13 11	475	14 1
Rent	69357 12 1	70129 - -	Increase 771	3 11

Remissions granted on account of land left uncultivated In the last mentioned Village out of 25 Pooties of land included in the Amaracum or Engagements of the year, no less than 15 - 11 - Remained uncultivated and in the Veesabady Village of Addampilly the large Sum of Rupees 872 - 11 - - out of a Berij of

Pooties
Amaracum 20 - -
Uncultivated 15 4 -
Remaining cultivated 5 4 9

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Statement A No. 6 Cols. 3 & 4

of Rupees 1054 was remitted for waste. The rented Villages on the other hand exhibit an increase of Rupees 771 - 3 - 11. affording a remarkable contrast to the results shewn in the Veesabady and Assarah Villages. The Sharing System is open to much abuse, the Veesabady being essentially Ryotwar, it is less so. The Board presume that in both cases the Collector Satisfied himself that the claims for remission on account of waste were well founded.

Para 11. @ 13. 5.

The total Settlement was -

	Rs.	As.	Ps.
Fusly 1254 / 1844/45	74029	8	1
Fusly 1255 / 1845/46	73072	6	1
Decrease	957	2	-

Rupees 73072 - 6 - 1 - or Rupees 957 - 2 - - below the settlement of the preceding year. The collections within the Fusly amounted to Rupees 48413 - 3 - 8. The Subsequent collections to the end of August were Rupees 5557 - 3 - 1. leaving an outstanding Balance of Rupees 19101 - 15 - 4. or 26 per Cent on the settlement.

	Berij	Balance	Percentage	
Veesabady and Assarah	2943	1862	63 - 5/16	17239 - 7 - 11.
Rented	70129	17239	24 - 9/33	

belong to Rented Villages. The Collections equally with the Settlement, exhibit a disproportion against the Villages under Veesabady and Assarah. In the one case the outstanding Balance is about 63 per Cent on the

the Peria and in the other about 24 per cent. The impolicy of retaining a Village under a division of the produce is obvious and where it is found necessary to do so the circumstances of the case should be fully explained. The Collector will be pleased to attend to this in future reports.

Tabular Statement in Para 12.

6 The collections appear to have been punctually made in 17 Villages. The Balances occur in the remaining 20. Of the outstanding amount arrangements have been made with the Ryots for the payment of Rupees 13789-4-10 with the Kists of the next Fasly by the Collector will find balances which are unavoidably post poned if not easily realized at the commencement of the Fasly following that for which they are due, should not be pressed as tending to interfere with the current collections. The balances of each year should be finally adjusted at the close of the following, such portion only as is justly recoverable being retained on the accounts and the remainder written off with the sanction of Government.

Of the Balances of former years the sum of Rupees 3725-2-7 which included Rupees 455-11-7 for Tuccavy, was collected during the Fasly under review. The present outstanding

Parah Statement No 2. 7

From bill 29th December 1846 in Cons 21st Jany 1847 To Cons 21st Jany

Para 15

outstanding arrears amounting to Rupees 146975-2-6 may now be finally adjusted as the Estate has become the Property of Government.

8. The Collector will be pleased to explain in a separate communication why the charge on account of commission for Fasly 1254 / 1844 45 in the three Estates embraced in the Report should not appear in Statement No 3 for the year under review and in the corresponding Statement for Fasly 1254 / 1844 45

9. The Peishcush of Ramachendra-poorum is Rupees 60220-9-0 on the current collections the sum of Rupees 40066 15-3 was credited on this account leaving a balance of Rupees 20153-9-9 The Balances of former years is Rupees 167700-13-4 the Loan Rupees 47000 made by Government being added, the total demand against the Estate at the close of Fasly 1255 / 1845 46 was Rupees 234700-13-4

	Settlement	Collections	Peishcush
	73072-6-1	53970-6-9	60220-9-0 &c-

Balances of Peishcush	Rs.	As.	P.
Current	20153	9	9
Arrears	167547	3	7
	187700	13	4
Loan	47000	"	"
Total	234700	13	4

The Cotah Estate consists of 29 Villages of which 24 were rented 3 Settled on Veesabady and 2 on a division of the Produce

Cotah Para 17 @ 22 18

	Fasly 1254- F 1255	
Veesabady	5	3
Asarah	2	2
Rent	21	24
	29	29

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Produce during the year under review Beyond the large remission of Rupees 1921–8–0 in the Village of Endamore, which the circumstances of the year appear to have rendered necessary the particulars of the Settlement do not call for remark. The total Settlement amounted to Rupees 73210–5–11 or Rupees 3091–13–7 below that of the previous year. Of this Amount Within the Fasly 63109–0–11– Rupees 66395–9–3 have been collected leaving an outstanding balance of Rupees 6814–13–8 distributed as follows–

Subsequently to the end of September 3286–8–4–

66395–9–3

Rented Villages	6005	10
Vresabady and Assarah	809	10
	6814	8

11 The Collector has postponed Rupees 4163–1–7 to be collected during the ensuing year. The remarks of the Board in preceding Para regarding the collection of balances together with the heads of the last Fasly apply equally to this Estate as also to Peddapore.

Para 32– 12. The arrears against the Ryots from Fasly 1254 / 1844/45 to the close of 1254 / 1844/45 including Increase amounted to Rupees 105138–11–7. Of this Rupees 7532–11– were collected during the Fasly under review thus making the present outstanding demand

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

To book 472 ½ October 1846– on this account Rupees 97,606– This Estate has become the Property of Government by purchase, and the Collector will be pleased

Subsn 12th October 1846– with reference to his Letter dated 11th September 1846 to adopt immediate measures for the final adjustment of this demand–

13 The Peishcush of Estate is Rupees 64,375–15–0 of which Rupees 64151–0–6 were credited to this head for the Fasly under report– The arrears of Peishcush including Interest amounted to Rupees 215,175–14–2 for which the Estate was put up for sale on the 15th September last and bought by Government for Rupees 57,000–

Settlement	73,210–5–11
Collections	66,395–9–3
Peishcush	64,375–15–0

Peddapore Para 27 @ 32 14 Of the 107 Villages composing the Peddapore Estate 30½ were settled on the principles of Vresabady and 70½ on Rent In the former the Settlement was Rupees 7,487–14–6 below that of the preceding year ansing

Settlements	Fasly 1254 / 1844/45	Fasly 1255 / 1845/46
Vresabady	48	30½
Rented	53	70½
	101	101

	Fasly 1254 / 1844/45			Fasly 1255 / 1845/46			Increase			Decrease		
Vresabady	37865	8	0	30377	9	6	"	"	"	7487	14	6
Rented	122360	6	4	122545	4	0	184	13	8	"	"	"
	160225	14	4	152922	13	6	184	13	8	7487	14	6
						Deduct Increase				184	13	8
										7300	"	10

parties

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

partly from less land having been taken up for the year and partly from Remission on account of land left uncultivated particularly in the Bickavole and Singumpurroo Mootahs. The rented Villages exhibit a net increase of Rupees 184-13-8 the gross increase and decrease being Rupees 2844-10-8 and Rupees 2659-13-0 respectively. The decrease arises it is stated from 4 Villages in the Bickavole Mootah having been settled "under Amany" in the preceding year for excessive amounts, large balances were left unrealized in consequence and the amount of rent for the year reported on was fixed with reference to the average collections of former years. The entire Settlement both Peishcushy and rented Amounted to Rupees 152,922-13-6 or Rupees 7,303-0-10 below the settled amount of Fusly 1254 / 1844/45

15 The collections amounted

	Rs.	A.	P.
Collections within the Fusly	126670	5	7
Do. Subsequently	4133	1	5
	130803	7	0
Balance	22119	6	6

to Rupees 130,803-7 leaving a balance of Rupees 22,119-6-6 of which Rupees 16791 occured in the Bickavole Talook alone. The

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

16 The demand on account of arrears at the commencement of the Fusly was Rupees 293694-0-7 which has been reduced to Rupees 283176-13-3 by collections during the year amounting to Rupees 10517-3-4 in Villages watered by the Yalaroo where both produce and price rendered the payment easy.

17 Of the collections the Sum credited to the head of current Peishcush was Rupees 112009-10-0-0 A large expenditure Rupees 13880 on account of repairs reduced the amount otherwise available for this purpose.

The entire demand current and arrears against this Estate is Rupees 517799-4-0. The Collector has been requested to Submit an advertisement for the Sale of this Estate in conformity with the orders of Government. to that effect

	Rs.	A.	P.
Statement	152922	13	6
Collections	130803	7	0
Peishcush	16435	0	0

Current	57425-5-1	
Arrears	460373-15-10	
Total	517799-4-11	

3 Numbers 14 Dec 1846

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be Submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Tajahmundry for his information and guidance.

Read

2614 Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Read the following Letter

To

J. Tycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

14

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir, I have the honor to submit an Extract from the Retrenchments of the Civil Auditor on the accounts of this Collectorate for July 1253 / 1843/44 and request that you will have the goodness to obtain the Sanction of Government under the explanation therein given, to enable the Civil Auditor to pass the charges.

Masulipatam Collectors Cutchery 12th November 1846.

Signed R. K. Porter Collr

To The Collector of Masulipatam

Sir, With reference to the annexed

2615 Fort St. George 28th January 1847

annexed Extract from the Minutes of Consultation bearing date the 18th of January 1842 I have the honor to transmit to you the accompanying Descriptive Roll of Mahomed Sandeck Yemadar therein alluded to.

North Arcot

Principal Coll.r Circuit Cutcheery Palmanair 2nd May 1842.

Signed J. Goldingham Actg Princ.l Collector

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th January 1842.

Para 5. It is observed from the Principal Collector's explanation opposite to the third incumbent in No. 86. that Mahomed Sandeck, who receives an hereditary allowance of Rupees 42-2-3. per annum. is employed

pin

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

in the Collectors Cutcherry at Masulipatam. As the deputation of a Takeel to draw his allowance is disallowed by the Rules, His Lordship in Council thinks that Steps should be taken for the transfer of the payment of the Yesmah to the Treasury of that District where it would be more feasible to attend to the Examination before payment and to the half yearly inspection enjoined by the Rules. —

(Signed) R. Chamier
Chief Secretary

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. Goldingham
Asst. Prin. Collr.

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector
Descriptive

2618.

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

No 2.

To The Collector of
Masulipatam

Sir

I have the honor to transmit
herewith the descriptive Roll of Yeomiahdars
transferred to the Collectorate of
Masulipatam from the Collectorate of
North Arcot

Agreeably to Standing Orders dated
the 18th Instant

I have &c

Signed Ed. Ed. Fs.

Asst. Collector

Collr. Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 31st August 1842

Extract

2619. Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Descriptive Roll of Yeomiahdars transferred to the Collectorate of Masulipatam

Number in the Register	Names of the Yeomiahdars	Age up to the end of August 1842	Class and Size &c	Amount of Yeomiah per annum			Term of the Yeomiah	Date to which the Yeomiah has been paid in North Arcot	Remarks
		Years		Rs	A	P			
86	Halim Sahibah	66	Moor, Dark, 4 feet and 6 Inches	42	2	4		Paid up to 11th April 1842 or 3d Kist of fusly 1251, Rupees 94-13-1	These female incumbents are residing at Masulipatam
87	Hyprom Sahibah	69	Moor, Bamboo, and 5 feet	42	2	3	For life		
88	Maregom Sahibah	51	Moor, Bamboo, 5 feet and 3 Inches	42	2	3			
			Rupees	126	6	10			

North Arcot
Collr. Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 31st August 1842

Ed. Ed. Fs. (Signed) J. Goldingham
Collr.

2620 Fort St. George

28th January 1847

Extract from the Retrenchment made from the accounts of the Collector of Masulipatam from the 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1844 on account of escpences for fusly 1253-

Explanation of the Collector.

Numbers	Charges objected to			Remarks of the Civil auditor.
	Pay of two Water Pandals Brahmins and a Toty employed in the Sherristah Department from 1st July 1843 to 30th June 1844 the former at 3½ Rupees each and the latter at 2 Rs per Mensem	Rs	As	
		108	"	"
2	Pay of a Guramut Goomastah employed in the Huzzoor = cutcherry from 1st July 1843 to 30th June 1844 at 8 Rupees per Mensem	96	"	"
3	Pay of a Sheresher employed in the Salt Innegodavon Cutcherry from Do to Do at 1½ Rupees per Mensem	18	"	"
4	Pay of a Peadey employed in the Sea Customs Depart= ment from 1st July 1843 to 31st March 1844 at 1 Rupee per Mensem	9	"	"
5	Pay of a Sheresher em= ployed in Do from Do to Do at 8 annas per Mensem	4	8	

Nos 1 to 3. For these items for Fusly 1252 the sanction of Govern= =ment was received under date 6th November 1843 the Collector therefore solicits that sanction may be obtained & Remise for those incurred for fusly 1253- The Collector further begs to state that these items have been included in the permanent Mayen Zabitah which was sanctioned by Govern= =ment under date 11th January 1845-

Nos 1 to 3 Still stand to be with held until the sanction of Govern= =ment is obtained to the retrenchment of these & annually the Collector is requ= =ired to adopt measures to obtain sanction of Government to the Continuance of the same being fixed Establishments

Nos 4 to 7- The sanction of Government for these items for Fusly 1252 was received under date 5th November 1845 the Collector therefore solicits that sanction of Govern= =ment may be obtained for these for fusly 1253-

No

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Civil Auditor	Explanation of the Collector.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue. Orders of Government.
	No. 8 Vide remarks on Nos. 1 to 3.	
	No. 12 In the account particular No. 5 forwarded to the Board of Revenue with the Statements of charges incurred under Estimate a sum of Rupees 322-14-11 was entered as the account of hire for 214 Bandies employed at 1-5-11 per Bandy for bringing 214,000 Bundles of long grass purchased out of Rupees 633-11-3 but the rate of hire was mistaken in the body of the said account Particulars at Rupees 1-4-11 instead of Rupees 1-5-11 per Bandy. The Collector therefore requests that the necessary alteration in the rate may be made accordingly and at the same time that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the difference of Rs. 16-6-11 retrenched by the Civil Auditor.	No. 12 Recommended for sanction under the explanation of the Collector.
	Nos. 20 and 21. The Collector begs that the Special sanction of Government may be obtained for these charges with reference to the remarks of the Civil Auditor.	Nos. 20 and 21. Recommended for sanction. It will be observed that the advances referred to have been recovered in full.
	Nos. 62 to 78. A Statement will be submitted to the Board of Revenue as directed in the form furnished on the 13th November 1845 and the Collector begs to solicit that the Sanction of Government may be obtained with reference to the remarks of the Civil Auditor.	Nos. 62 to 78. The Board under date the 13th November 1845 called for certain information regarding these pensions to enable them to submit such cases for the sanction of Government as required information not having been furnished. The required information not having been furnished the Board with reference to the Collector's request to beg to submit the charges for the orders of Government.

2622

Fort St. George

28th January 1

Articles	Charges objected to.		Remarks of the Civil Auditor.	Explanations.	Orders of Government	Remarks
63	Paid to Lutchmeyanah Amb of Dasie fusly 1252 ——— 18-2- Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 43-12-	91 14				
64	Paid to Potanauze Sooramah widow of the late Ramakrootna-mah Mungoondar in fusly 1252 ——— 192-8- Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 192-8-	385				
66	Paid to Venkamah Do of the late Inootamah in fusly 1252 ——— 192-8- Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 192-8-	385				
	Pensions and Charitable allowances Chargeable on the Revenues.					
66	Paid Rosfooms of the Zillah of Masulipatam in fusly 1252 ——— 91-4-6 Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 119-2-10	210 3 3				
67	Paid Rosfooms transferred from the Collector of Guntoor in fusly 1252 ——— 201-7-8 Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 253-7-8	454 15 4				
68	Paid to Sheik Mizarally Cazy of Ellore in fusly 1252 ——— 577-8- Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 682-8-	1260				
69	Paid to Syed Sheik Mahomed Ally in fusly 1252 ——— 43-14-10 Do — Do in fusly 1253 ——— 58-6-4	102 5 2				
	Pagoda and Inoogue allowances.					
70	Rosfooms of Swamy Pagodas in fusly 1252 ——— 49-4-11 Do — Do in fusly 1253 — 50-8-4	99 13 3				
71	Rajeenals transferred by the Collector of Rajahmundry in fusly 1252 ——— 325-8-6 Do — Do in fusly 1253 — 380-6-2	705 14 8				
72	Pensions to the family of the late Nazamut daulah from Shaeb 1842 to 30th June 1843 ab Rupees 5,302-8-0 per Quinquennd	6310				

Fort St. George 28th January 1817

Nos.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor	Explanations of the Collector	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
63				
64				
65				
66				
67				
68				
69				
70				
71				
72				

No. 84. The Peonials of Mahomed Sundeck have been transferred by the Principal Collector of the Circar for payment from the treasury of this Collectorate agreeably to the Minutes of Consultation of Government under date 18th January 1812. The Collector now solicits with reference to the remarks of the Civil auditor that the special sanction of Government may be obtained to enable the Civil auditor to pass the amount for the continuance of the same Establishment. A Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Government dated 18th January 1812 conveying a Letter from the Principal Collector of North Arcot dated 2nd May 1811 is herewith forwarded No. 1.

No. 84. Recommended for sanction under the explanation of the Collector.

2024

Fort St. George

28

Number	Charges objected to.		Remarks of the Civil Auditor
		Rs a P.	
85	Paid to Kalem Saheba from 1st May 1842 to April 1843 — 42-2-4		
	Do Do from 1st May 1843 to 30th April 1844 — — — — — — — — — 42-2-4	84 4 8	
86	Paid to Kyroom Saheba from 1st May 1842 to April 1843 — — — — — 42-2-4		
	Do to Do from 1st May 1843 to 30th April 1844 — — — — — 42-2-4	84 4 8	
87	Paid to Mareyem Saheba from 1st May 1842 to April 1843 — — — — — — — 42-2-4		
	Do to Do from 1st May 1843 to 30th April 1844 — — — 42-2-4	84 4 8	
91	Hire of Bandies employed for Conveying and bringing Records to & from with the Head Assistant Magistrate on Circuit — — — — 40-8-12		
	Admitted as per sanction of Government dated 7th August 1845 — — — 39-4-12	7 3 4	

incurred in the third quarter of the present year forwarded on the 7th November last.

Guntoor Zillah
Collector's Cutcherry
Countersigned 29th December 1846

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

18-19
Resolution thereon.

Under the explanation now furnished by the Collector, the Board sanction the Contingent Charges noted in the Margin, incurred in the 3d Quarter from 1st July to 30th September 1846.

No 2 Hire for conveying records from Rayfully Tanah to Sandale. 2-8-0
No 1. Charges incurred for making remittances of Treasure from Bya: ram to Rayfully

Rd a Branch DESPATCHED 3 JAN 1847

To J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

20
From the Collr of Guntoor

Sir

I have the honor to send the revised Statement No 3 of Extra Charges incurred without Estimate for fusly 1255 in the Revenue Department for Rupees 5988-11- in lieu of the one sent with other Statements on the 1st Instant which I request may be returned in consequence of an item No 9 being erroneously entered therein as Rupees 1-14-2 in place of Rupees 3-12-0

Guntoor Zillah
Collector's Cutcherry
Pruttipaud 7th January 1847

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector
Resolved

20-21
Resolution thereon.

Resolved that the statement of Charges incurred without Estimate in Fusly 1255 Submitted by the Collector of Guntoor under date 1st Instant be returned as requested in the foregoing letter.

Inclosure 11th Jany 1847

Read the following letter.

To J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

22
From the Officiating Collr of Nellore

Sir

I have the honor to inform you that Hoossain Bee widow of the late Jaghierdar of Woodiagherry who is in the receipt of a Pension of 10 Rupees monthly is desirous of residing at Madras in order that she may live with her son Mahomed Gouse khan and drawing her Pension from the Treasury of the Collector of that place I therefore beg to recommend that her request may be complied with.

Abbasally Khan Bahadur

Nellore
Collector's Cutcherry
21st January 1847

/Signed/ G. A Smith
Officiating Collector

Resolved

2629 Fort St George 28th January 1847

22-23

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council. The Board are not aware of any objection to Hoossain Bees residing at Madras, they therefore beg to recommend her application to the favorable consideration of Government.

Read-

2630 Fort St George 28th January 1847

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

24

From the Collr. of Bellary - - -

Submitting the further information called for in the Boards Proceedings of the 20th April 1846 regarding certain Enam lands in the Bellary District.

With reference to the Boards observations contained in their Proceedings under date the 20th April last I have the honor to submit the following explanation.

2 The Talook of Adoni for merly consisted of 440 Villages and the Zemindars of the Country were of three appellations Namely, Sirdaisayees Dasapondies or Naud Hoolakarannies and Dasayees or Naud Gowds.

3. The Sirdaisayees held Enam lands in the whole 440 Villages and their Descendants are stated to be in the enjoyment of these Enams. Their duty was to hold the Supervision over the Dasayees the 3rd in the description.

4 The Dasapondies or Naud Hoolakarnies also are stated to have had Enam Lands in the whole 440 Villages and their Enams are still enjoyed by their descendants. Their duty was to keep accounts.

5 The Dasayees or Naud Gowds were originally appointed about 250/300 years ago

ago by the Government of that time. There were two Naud Gowds in the Country and they served 204 Villages which they held in shares. Of these, one Antapah having at the commencement of the Company's Government to-ed rebel, his alienations were resumed while the family of the other became extinct with the de-mise of a female named Nagamah in Fusly 1245 and the Enam has in consequence rever-ed to Government. Under Dasayees are 230 Villages as allotted, Viz^t shares of tensixteenths 179 Villages allotted to one party, whilst the pre-sent Claimants father Poise Karoomanthar-o held 57.

6 The duty appertaining to the Dasayees and Naud Gowds was the same under for-mer Government. These Officers were in the ha-bit of agreeing to a certain Beriz (rent) for their Villages respectively, ensuring Cultiva-tion and delivering to the Circar the Revenue, enjoy-ing the Enam Lands Roofsooms &c but since the cession of the Country the Roofsooms were discontinued, the land being alienated for their Maintenance. Of these the proportions appertaining to the Naud Gowds having re-verted as aforestated, that of the Dasayee is still in the enjoyment of their descendants. It appears that the Roosooms or fees en-joyed by these Zemindars have been dis-continued since the Company's Governme-nt and the Enam Lands in all the Villages al-lowed for their Subsistence.

Naud Gowds and Dasayees

7 having originally shared their Villages, their res-pective Enams and rights do not appear to exist in one and the same Village.-

8 The Claimant being interro-gated to state whether he is now in the en-joyment of the Enams in all the 57 Villages entered in the Purvanah with the exception of the Carnanchee states that in 39 of these Vil-lages he enjoys the Enams without interruption and that in the other 18 he is precluded from the enjoyment for the following reasons.-

The Enams in 7 Villages because the Village Heads neglected to explain to the Surveyors at the time of Survey.-

9 in 4 Villages which are alienated to others by his parents and were accord-ingly entered in their respective Names.

9 in 7 Villages under dispute with other shares who hold the first said ten-sixteenths or 179 Villages.-

In the Village of Carnan-

9 -chee there are besides those claimed Enams of Rupees 40 Viz^t To Sirdasayees amount-ing to Company's Rupees 24 and to Desa-pondias Rupees 16, but the Naud Gowds have no Enams there. Out of the 4 tracts always enjoyed by the claimant till re-sumed by the Circar in Fusly 1205 N^o 192

and

and 201 are entered in the Survey and Enamty accounts as Nadd. Gowd Enams, whilst the other two (Nos 39 and 74) mention Dasayees to be the holders. These entries are concluded to be erroneous for the reasons specified in Paras 4th to 8th. There are no other accounts calculated to elucidate the matter but all testimony now procurable is in favor of the correctness of this supposition.

Bellary District, Collrs Office on Circuit at Hospett, 7th December 1846. } (Signed) A Mellor Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

24-25

To the Chief Secy to Government. Submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Bellary on the subject of Enam in the Carmanchee Village of the Punchapollicum Talook in his District recommending that it be given up to Colary Row to be held by him with his other Dasayee Enams.

From Collr of Bellary 7th Dec 1846. Recd in Cons 28th Jany 1847

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence which has passed between this Office and the Collector of Bellary on the subject of an Enam in the Carmanchee Village of the Punchapollicum Talook in his District.

2 The 4 to 1 Villages of which the Adwanie Talook was formerly composed were under the Native rule managed by Officers termed "Nadgowds" and "Dasayees" formerly

former had authority in 204 Villages the latter in the remaining 236 but the Officers so designated do not seem to have coexisted in the same Village. The functions of these Officers appear to have been the Superintendence and collection of the Revenue in their respective Villages were remunerated by Roosoums and Enam lands but since the Cession of the Country to the Company and discontinuance of the System, the Roosoums have been resumed and the Enams only allowed to the Parties for their support.

3 One of the Nadgowd families in the Adwanie Talook became extinct by the death in Fusly 1245 of one Nagammah when her Nadgowd Enams yielding a Revenue of Rupees 1837 reverted to Government among the Enams resumed on that occasion were included lands to the extent of 63⅞ assessed at Rupees 116-9-4 situated in the Village of Carmanchee. These lands seem to have been resumed in consequence of their being entered as Nadgowd Enams in the Enam accounts. In the Survey accounts they are entered partly as

as a Nadgowd and partly as Dasayee. In the year following their resumption, Conary Row, son of the party previously in the enjoyment of them made an application, which he has recently repeated, for the restoration of the lands on the plea, that they are not Nadgowd, that Dasayee Enams that they had always been enjoyed by his father, with his other Dasayee Inamens and that their resumption as Nadgowd emoluments was improper. The present Collector has investigated the matter and has ascertained by reference to the Village Officers and Ryots, that the lands in question were always cultivated by the Dasayees, and not by the Nadgowds. It further seems that Cannamunchee is entered as a Dasayee Village in a Purwanah granted by Subdur Jung in favor of Hanamuntal Row father of the present applicant Conary Row and dated Fusly 1197 / 1787 &c.

No.	Entered in Survey accounts.	Entered in the Enam Accounts
39	Dasay Seva Reddy and their Nadayee Enams	Nadgowd Sevareddy
197	Nadgowd Seva Reddy Nadayee Enams	Do
201	Do Do	Do
74	Dasary Nara, 1 pah Yeu. — — — —	Do

Looking to the testimony of the Villagers as to the enjoyment of the Enams now referred to, prior to Fusly 1206, and to the terms of Subdur Jung's Purwanah, the Board are

led to concur with the Collector in opinion that Caramunchee is a Dasayee Village, and that the entry of the lands in the Enam Accounts as Nadgowd Enams, must have proceeded from a mistake. They would therefore beg to recommend that these lands be given up to Conary Row, to be held by him with his other Dasayee Enams.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St.e George
28th. January 1817.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.e George.

26

From the Acting Coll.r of Cuddapah

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Memorandum of the appointment of Venkatrow of the appointment he holds of Dubash Sherestadar in the Board as required in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th. Instant.

DEPT. RECORDS ORIGINAL

Cuddapah District
Coll.rs Circuit Cutchery
Zummulmudgoo
11th. January 1817

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector.

26

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

25-27

Read again letter from the Commissioner in Kurnool dated 10th. October and entered in Consultation 17th. Ultimo.

Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted with the following address.

To

28

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting for orders a letter from the Commissioner in Kurnool transmitting accounts Particulars of certain items of Charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from the accounts of the Kurnool Province from the assumption of the Country in Fusly 1249 to Fusly 1253.
10th. October in Cons. 27th. Decr. 1846

To

The Chief Secretary to

Sir,

Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Commissioner in Kurnool transmitting Accounts Particulars of certain items of charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from the Accounts of the Kurnool Province from the assumption of the Country in Fusly 1249 A.D. 1839/40 to Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 and requesting sanction may be obtained for the same.

It will be seen from the Abstract Statement No. 52 furnished by the Commissioner that the total audit retrenchment is Rupees 15,66,850-5-3½ from this sum deducting Rupees 27,612-14-6 being the amount disbursed on account of Works of irrigation, the accounts of which will receive consideration in the Department of Public Works, the remainder is Rupees 15,38,443-6-9½. The principal items composing this sum are connected with the Revenue Department,

Account Particulars.	
No. 7	630-11-3
Do Do No. 30	24678-2-4
Do Do No. 31	2304-1-3
Total	27,612-14-6

Nos. 1-8-9-12-14-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-33-34-35-36-37-38-44-49 Rs. 13,83,337-4-9½-4

and appears generally to have been incurred under orders of Government communicated direct to the Commissioner on emergency.

+ Revenue Deptt. No. 2-3-4-5 Judicial Deptt. No. 6.

+ The Charges on account of the Kuzzy-ees and District Establishments appears to have been incurred previous to the Moyen Zabetah being sanctioned by Government on the 10th. September 1842. The charges incurred under this head from the above date will, the Board presume, be audited and passed by the Audit Office. The

2039 Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

The Extra and Sundrywared charges in the Revenue and Police Departments are such as are usually incurred in other Districts under this Presidency. The Board beg to state that the Extra charges for Fusly 1258. / A.D. 1848/49 have been duly examined in this Office and the sanction of Government obtained for the same.

Revenue and Police Departments.

Nos. 10 - 11 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 32 - 40 - 41 - 42 - 43 - 44 - 45 - 46 - 47 - 48 - 51 - 4

Do Judicial Departments

Nos. 17 - 39 - & 50.

Sanctioned 27th October 1848
Do 6th April 1849.

With these observations the Board beg to submit the Statement above referred to for the orders of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847.

(signed) J. Bycroft
Secretary

16

2040 Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Read the following letter.

To J. Bycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

Sir,

29 (1)

From the Collr of Chingleput Requesting sanction for registering the Shotriem Villages of Atteravanum and Poreravanum in the names of the heirs of the late Incumbents—

Dy. No. 1497-3-7

Para 1. In reference to my letter of the 19th November I have the honor to inform the Board that the Balance of Rist outstanding against the Shotriem Village of Atteravanum & Poreravanum has been collected and to request sanction for registering the Shotriem in the names of the heirs of the late incumbents under the following circumstances—

See Enclosure A.

2. The Shotriem is held under a Purwanah dated the 31st August 1802 which conferred the Villages on Challa=pa Naik and Rungapa Naik and their legal heirs at an Annual Rist of Rupees 994-7-2 in compensation for the abolition of the Office of Poligar held by their family, and the resumption of its privileges. These two persons who were cousins and held the Shotriem in equal shares are both dead— Challapa Naik died

3. in 1806 without issue and his share of the Shotriem was registered in the name of Mootiapa Naik an elder brother, who was absent from the country when the Purwanah was granted, having been captured in his infancy and

2641 Fort St George 28th January 1847.

and taken to Mysore by Hyder's troops. Mootaapa Naik died in July last, leaving three daughters, and a grandson, Chellapa Naik, the son of his eldest daughter, whom he formally adopted as his son and in whose name it is now requested that the share of the Shotrium may be registered. To this course there appears no objection as there are no surviving members of this branch of the family who are entitled to more than maintenance from its present head, Chellapa Naik.

4. Rungapa Naik proprietor of the second half of the Shotrium died on the 30th August last leaving two sons Ramachendra Naik, and Venatachellum Naik, whose right of succession is unobjectionable.

5. I therefore request the authority for registering one half share of the Shotrium in the name of Chellapa Naik, in succession to his grandfather and adoptive father Mootaapa Naik, and the second share in the joint names of Ramachendra Naik, and Venatachellum Naik in lieu of their deceased father Rungapa Naik. I beg to add that the sons of Rungapa Naik are of full age and that the mo[illegible] and

2642 Fort St George 28th January 1847.

and father of Chellapa Naik will aid him in the management of his property until he attains his majority, which will be in the course of another year.

Chinglepet District Collector's Cutchery Pallecarney 15th Jany 1847. } (Signed) E. Maltby Actg Collr.

A.

Purwanah Granted by the Right Honorable Edward Lord Clive Baron Clive of Walcot in the County of Salop and Baron Plassy of the Kingdom of Ireland Governor in Council of Fort St George on the part of the Honorable the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies to Chellapa Naik Ramagapah Naik late a Poligar of the District of Peddapolium in the Honorable Company's Jaghear.

Experience having shewn (S.S.) that the Police of the Jaghear under the Charge of the Poligars and Curnilgars has been inadequate to the prevention of Crimes or the apprehension of offenders the Right Honorable Governor in Council has determined to abolish that system to resume the fees appropriated to the support of it and to introduce a general reform of the Police Department. In consideration of the loss to which persons employed in that system of the Police will be in consequence Their Lordships in Council has resolved

2643 Fort St George 28th January 1847

resolved to compensate that loss by con-
=firming the Village they formerly held
on Moccasah tenure to them and their
heirs on shotrium tenure -

2 In consequence of the fore-
=going resolution the Governor in Coun-
confirms on you the Villages of Attevan-
Porevankum in the Zemindary of Vadamala
on shotrium tenure chargeable with
an annual Rent of Pagodas 284 two
hundred and eighty four including
Rosfoons and Merah Vozt -

Shotrium Rent - 265-
Cannongoes Rosfoons - "
Pagoda Merah - - - - "
Poligar Merah - 19 1/8

3. The Governor in Council
having commuted the Rosfoons and
Merahs assessable on the Village of
Attevankum Porevankum for a Quit
Rent you are entitled to collect the
Rosfoons and Merahs at the rate
entered in the Dowle of Fusly 1210 and
appropriate the amount to your own
4 The Governor in Council
confirms to you and your Heirs the
Village of Attevankum Porevankum
on Shotrium so long as you discha
the rent and are obedient to the Laws
and Regulations established or to be
established

2644 Fort St George 28th January 1847 -

established under the authority of the Governor
in Council for the time being -

5. In confirming to you and
your Heirs on Shotrium the Village of
of Attevankum Porevankum you are to
understand that the said Village is not
alienable by gift, sale or otherwise but
in default of legal Heirs that the said
Village shall revert to the Honorable
Company -

Given in Fort St George this
Thirty first day of August 1802 by and in
the name of the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council -

Entered in the Sec[y]'s Office (Signed) Clive
by order of the Right " Stuart
Honorable the Governor " W. Petrie
in Council - " M. Dick

(Signed) H[y] Rodyson
Sec[y] to Gov[t]

H.R.

29-30

Resolution thereon.

30. Resolved that the fore-
going letter be submitted for the orders of the
Most Noble the Governor in Council, with refer-
ence to Par[a] 2[d] of the Extract Minutes of Con-
sultation 26th April 1845.

In Cons[n] 29 May 1845.

Under the circumstances
stated in the foregoing letter the Board beg to
recommend that authority be granted for registering
one

one half share of the Shrotrium in the name of Chellapah Naick in succession to his Grand Father and adaptive Father Mootiapah Naick and the remaining half share in the joint names of Ramachendra Naick and Venkatachellum Naick in lieu of their deceased Son Rungapah Naick.-

Read the undermentioned Statements.

31 From the Acting Collector of Chingleput. Abstract Statement of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the 2d half of the year 1846.

32 From the Collector of South Arcot. Abstract Statement of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the 2nd Half of the year 1846.

31-33 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from the districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read

Read the following letter.

To J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir
Fort St. George

34 From the Collr of Salem. The Sub Collector having brought to my notice that the time of the Tahsildar of Shenkarydroog is so much occupied in procuring materials and workmen for the construction of the Bridge over the Cavery & Camara following, that the revenue duties of that taluk is falling in arrears but as it is necessary that the Tahsildar should be constantly present to superintend the Collection of materials for so extensive a work, and to prevent the revenue and Police duties of the Taluk from falling in arrears, I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for the employment of a Peishcar on 25 Twenty five Rupees per Mensem to be defrayed from the same appropriated for building the Bridge, and to be continued until the work is finished, as an assistant to the Tahsildar, for which purpose I beg to forward a new Statement in the prescribed form for additional establishment. The Civil Engineer assures me that the Tahsildar affords him much material assistance and that his constant attendance is indispensably required.

Regrets inability to sanction the entertainment of a Peishcar in the ShenkaryDroog Taluk to assist the Tahsildar in his revenue duties during his absence in superintending the Collection of materials and workmen for the Construction of the Bridge over the Cavery.

Salem Collr's Office 9th January 1847

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant (Signed) W. C. Locke
Collector
Statement

1847

Fort St. George

No. 1.

Statement shewing the additional Establishment requi[red]

Years

Actual as per Disbursement ——— 1843/44

1844/45

1845/46

1846/47

Offices to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charges.	Proposition		Just[ified] by Casual or Extra: ordinary.	Grounds of proposition.
		Permanent: Increase per Month.	Decrease per Month.		
		Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.		
Salem	1 Peish Can to be entertained to assist the Tahsildar of Shenkerry drog during his Employment on other duty				

Salem Zillah ——————

Durumsoory ——————

Coll[r]s Catcherry 9th Jan[y] 1847.

28th January 1847.

[re]n the Zillah of Salem.

Charges.		
Ordinary.	Extra Ordinary	Total.
"	14-11-2	
"	" — " — "	
"	22-5-8.	
"	31-10-10	

The Sub Collector having notified that the Revenue and Police duties of the Talook of Shenkerrydrog is falling in arrears in consequence of the Employment of the Tahsildar in superintending the Collection of Materials and workmen for the construction of the Bridge over the Cauvery it is necessary that this Peish should be entertained until the work is finished to assist the Tahsildar in his revenue and Police duties.

" " " 25 " "

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart

Coll[r]

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Proceedings

Submitting for orders a letter from the Coll.r of Salem requesting sanction for the entertainment of a Peishcar in the Shenkerry drog Talook to assist the Tahsildar in his revenue duties during his absence in superintending the Collection of Materials and workmen for the Construction of the Bridge over the Cavary.

35

thereon.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

2.

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Board beg to recommend that a Peishcar on a Monthly salary of 25 Rupees may be entertained in the Shenkerrydroog Talook temporarily to assist the Tahsildan in his general duties, so long as the services of that Officer may be required in the construction of the Bridge over the Cavary. The Collector has submitted a Statement of the proposed additional Establishment in the form prescribed by the orders of Government dated 4th March 1844, but the Board would observe that as the necessity for the temporary Employment of the Peishcar arises from the construction of the Bridge, it is proposed that his salary be paid from the sums appropriated for that work and the charge therefore will not require the sanction of the Supreme Government.

3.

The Board have ascertained in the Department of Public Works, that the expense involved in the appointment may be defrayed without inconvenience from the sums set a part in the Estimate for Superintendence and general charges connected with the building of the bridge.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary
Read

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Read the following letter

From the Coll.r of South Arcot

Stating that the instructions regarding the remission of the Kuttoor Peishcar imposed upon Petitioner Mootoosawmy Pillay shall be observed and forwarding a modification of Hoobroonamah Rules for approval.

36

To J. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. In acknowledging the receipt of your letter, I have the honor to state that the instructions therein contained regarding the Remission of the Kuttoor Peishcar imposed upon Petitioner Mootoosawmy Pillay shall be duly observed.

2. With reference to the last para. of your letter, I beg to suggest that the Hoobroonamah Rules be only modified* with regard to Private Tanks, and that no restrictions be placed on the construction of Private Wells. such a measure would be very obnoxious to the Ryots, and apparently opposed to the sentiments expressed by Government in the Minutes of Consultation, I cannot apprehend any loss to the Revenue by the unrestricted permission to dig new Wells, as the considerable labour required to convert Poruyab Land into Nunjab by means of the Picottah would always act as a sufficient check to any considerable increase.

Enclosure No. 1

* 20th August 1839 Para 11.

Sp

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

3. If however the Board are of opinion that the revision of the Hookumnamah Rules should also apply to the construction of Private Wells, I must request the favor of their instructions for my guidance in refusing or complying with the application of such Ryots as may request permission to construct new Wells.-

South Arcot Collr's Cutcherry
Cuddalore, 27th December 1846. } I Signed I W. D. Davis
Collector

Modification of Para 22.-

If any Ryot shall by means of new Wells dug at his own expense, or raise Nunjah or Garden Cultivation upon Poonjah land, such land shall be charged with Poonjah kurna Teerwah only. but where any Ryot by means of a New Tank dug at his own expense raise Nunjah or Garden Cultivation upon Poonjah Land, such land shall be charged with full Nunjah or Garden Teerwah unless such Ryot shall have obtained the previous sanction of the Collr for the construction of the said Tank.-

I Signed I W. D. Davis
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

36-37

To the Collr. of South Arcot

Stating that under present circumstances the Board think it necessary that P. 22 of the Hookumnamah of his District as regards both Private Wells and Tanks should be modified and therefore requesting him to substitute the rule now forwarded for that at present in force.-

To The Collector in
South Arcot.-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th Ulting, suggesting, in reference to the orders contained in the last Para of my letter of 26th October last, that the rules of the Hookummanah of your District be modified only with regard to private Tanks, and that no restriction be placed on the construction of Private wells. but requesting, in the event of the Board deciding that the revision of the rule should extend to wells as well as Tanks, that you may be furnished with instructions for your guidance in the disposal of the applications of such Ryots as may request permission to Construct new wells.-

In reply, the Board instruct me to state for your information, that the subject of "well cultivation" has lately engaged the attention of the Honorable the Court of Directors, who, in a recent despatch 22d September 1846. have expressed their opinion that when a Ryot, by means of works of irrigation provided at his own expense may convert

his

Fort St. George 29th January 1847.

his Poonjah land into Nunjah or Tolacal, he s
should, in all cases, be allowed such liberal
period of exemption from additional assess-
ment, as shall fully remunerate him for
his outlay and leave him an ample profit
upon his undertaking, but that it is not
necessary that such exemptions should be p
-petual. The matter is still under the consid
-ation of the Board and Government; but un
it be finally disposed of, it is obviously essential
that parties wishing to construct either wells or
Tanks, should be clearly informed of the actual
state of the question, and should not be in
-duced to enter upon such undertakings in
the expectation of receiving a larger mea
-sure of indulgence than may eventually be
accorded to them. Under these circum
-stances the Board think it necessary
that Para 22. of your Honourable Board's
be modified, as regards both the private Wells
and Tanks, and they therefore, request that
the revised rule, herewith forwarded by
you, may be substituted for that now
in force. You will observe that under the
rule, parties desirous of constructing Tanks
wells, at their own expense, are required to

Fort St. George 29th January 1847.

to apply to the Collector, for his permission,
and the Board request that, in dealing
with such applications, you will distinctly
intimate to the parties that, you cannot
guarantee to them the free enjoyment of
their proposed Wells or Tanks, in perpetuity,
altho' you can assure them that such period
shall be allowed, before the lands co
watered from such sources are subjected
to any additional assessment as shall
afford them a full and ample return
for the labor and expense which they
have incurred in the construction of such
works.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 29th Jan.y 1847.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Rule.

If any Ryot be desirous of sink
-ing a Well, or constructing a Tank, at his
own expense, for the purpose of raising co
Nunjah or Garden produce, in his Poonjah
land, he must first apply to the Collector,
for his permission, and that Officer co
will inform him of the terms under co
which such works may be constructed.
If any Ryot dig a well, or build a co
Tank, without obtaining such per
-mission, he shall be charged
upon

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

upon the land which may be watered from such works, the same assessment as would be payable were the water supplied from the Sircar sources of irrigation.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

38

From the Pt. Collr. of Madura

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report the death, on the 11th July last, of Mahalinga Cattalia Natchiyar, Daughter of the late Mootoo-ramaliinga Sathoopathy of Ramnad by Chi Sutchmee aunthal, one of his wives, also deceased and in receipt of an allowance of Rupees 13 a Month.

2. Ramasawmy Thavero 25 years of age only issue of the deceased, with a wife and 5 other dependents, prays his mothers allowance may be renewed to him, having no other means of maintenance, his father Cumaya Thavero, having died 7 or 8 years back, left no property, as is satisfactorily proved before the Collr. and under these circumstances begs to leave the case to the favorable consideration, my own want of information of the grounds of original pension preceding any recommendation from

Madura
18th November 1846

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Princl. Collr.

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

39

From the Pt. Collr. of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to report the decease on the 13th Instant of Ramasawmy Rajah, one of the Gate watchers of the palace of the late Mootooramaliinga Sathoopathy of Ramnad, and to receipt of Rupees 2 and Annas 8 a Month, and request the allowance may be renewed to his son Ramachendra Rajah, aged 27 years, as desired by the Members of the family.

Madura
23rd Novr. 1846

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Pt. Collr.

40

From the Pt. Collr. of Madura

Respecting the decease on the 8th June of Poorna Natchear one of the widows of Mootooramaliinga Sathoopathy of Ramnad deposed Sathoopathy of Ramnad and entrusted of Vuriety Thavero adopted son Vuriety Thavero 44 years ago to be granted of her allowance of Rs. 42-0-0 a Month. respecting his late dependence on this allowance but receiving any recommendation from Government of the circumstances under which the grant was originally granted.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report the death of Poorna Natchear, One of the widows of Mootooramaliinga Sathoopathy of Ramnad, one the 18th June last, in receipt of an allowance of Rupees 42-0-0 a Month, discontinued from the date of the deceased.

Poorna Natchear leaving no issue adopted, in Pertaty Fasli 1207, Vuriety Thavero her Sisters Son, with consent of her husband, the late Sathoopathy, whilst under restraint at Madras, since which he has been under her protection and performed her funerals rites as fully proved in the investigation through the Collr. and begs countenance of this adoptive Annulled, and begs continuance of his mothers allowance.

Having no papers to shew the

3. views of Government in their original grant I must content myself with bringing to the

Fort St. George 29th January 1847.

the case to the Board's notice and leaving to their consideration the propriety of recommending the bounty of Government prayed for, stating only that she is about 44 years of age and has no other means of livelihood

Madura — }
24th Nov. 1846 }

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Pt. Coll.

To
J. Pycroft Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

41

From the Pt. Coll.
of Madura — —

Sir,

I have the honor to report the death, on the 26th Ultimo, of Sevacammy one of the female Servants of the family of the late Moo. Hoss. Vengaa Saithapathy of Nannad, receiving 1½ Rupees Month, from the allowance of the above family for 3 daughters and a son, and beg to support the wishes of the Members of the family for nomination of deceased's eldest daughter, Nauniaee, aged 16 years, to a fitting hereditary Mudlia-teravaree, an old woman of 80 years of age in the habit of carrying messages, as was done by the deceased, leaving the hope to succeed on her decease, and, meantime, name the second daughter, Alleghamaigum, as servant in the room of her deceased Mother.

Madura — — —
16th December 1846 }

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Pt. Coll.

38-42

Order on the 4 foregoing letters.

From the Pt. Coll. of Madura.

43

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read letter submitting an application from the Assistant Mr. Cotton requesting leave of absence under Medical Certificate till 31st January 1847 under Section V of the absentee rules of the Civil Service.

Order thereon.

4344

Ordered to be submitted thro' the Board of Government for favorable Consideration.

Read

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Read the following letters.

To
J. Pycroft Esq.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

45

From Ag. Coll. of Tinnevelly

Requesting to procure the sanction of Govt. for the entertainment of 4 Rt. Tournums from the Current Fusly 1256 as also for the pay actually disbursed to them during Fusly 1255.

Sir,

Para 1

Under the explanation given below, I have the honor to request, that you will be good enough to procure the sanction of Government for the entertainment from the Current Fusly 1256 of 4 Number Tournums in addition to the number employed in Fusly 1253 and sanctioned by Government on the 16th May 1845

1 for	Pilliarcolum	at 4 Rs. 4 as —	per Month
1 for	Do	at 5 " 4 — "	Do
1 for	Sheerygoruttum	at 5 — " 4 — "	Do
1 for	Thenumputtty	at 2 — — 2 — — "	Do
Total per Month		17 — — " — —	

as also for the pay actually disbursed to them during Fusly 1255 amounting to Rs. 132 12 9 as per annexed Memo.

The Village of Pilliarcolum

2.

having been resumed and transferred to Jyen of Lutchoomeboy the 3rd and last incumbent of the said Village granted on Shrotriem tenure to Venkata Row late Head Sheristadar of this District

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

District for 3 generations, the service of 2 number Curnums to keep the Accounts of cultivation and Revenue of that Village as was the case previous to the grant is indispensably required.

3. In the Streeny goontum Cusbah there were up to Fusly 1250, 8 Number Curnums of whom one having been dismissed for fraud his situation remained vacant till Fusly 1255 when it was filled up in consequence of the remaining 7 Number Curnoms having been found insufficient for the duties of so large Village yielding an Annual Bariz of more than Rupees 50,000.

4 The Curnum of the Village of Tenumputty in the Cattapa-=darum Talook who enjoyed a certain Enam land in lieu of a Money Stipe having been found to Cultivate Ayen land in lieu, his Enam land being wholly unfit for Cultivation it was thought advisable to resume the latter and to remunerate the Curnum by a Monthly pay of 2-8 Pr.

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

equal to the Teerwah on his resumed Enam.

Finnually 17th Decr 1846

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Acting Collector

Memo

Rs. a. P. R. a. P.

1 Number Curnum of Pilliarcolum from 12th to 16th July 1845 being 5 days at 3. 2. 11 per Month the rate first fixed. ——— 4. 8-2

Do. from 17th July 1845 to 30th June 1846 being 11 M 15 D at Rs 4-6 as per Do. the rate afterwards fixed on the representation of the Curnum that the former rate was insufficient ——— 50-3-10 50-12-.

1 Do. of Do. from 16th January to 30th June 1846 being 5 M 16 D at 5 Rs 4 as per Month ——— 28-15-4

1 Do. of Cusbah Streenygoontum from Do. to Do. being D at D per Do. ——— 28-15-4

1 Rs. of Tenumputty Village in Cottaperadum Talook from 12th July 1845 to 6th January 1846 being 5 M 26 d at 2 4/8 Rs. per Month ——— 12-6-6

Do. of Do. in Do. from 16th January to 30th June 1846 being 5 M. 16 D at Do. per Do. ——— 11-11-7 24-2-1.

Total 132-12-9

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Actg Coll.

2660

(15-46)

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Order thereon

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances explained by the Acting Collector he may be authorized to entertain from the Commencement of the Current Fusly four additional numbers curnums at Co's an aggregate monthly charge of Rs. 17-, and that the disbursements made to those Village servants during the past Fusly Amounting to Rs. 132-12-9 may receive sanction

To.
J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(47)

From the Sub Collr. of Tinnevelly

Sir

I have the honor to enclose the receipt in Duplicate for the amount of Cash rs

ORIGINAL DESPATCH

2661

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

in the Treasury given by R. D. Parker Esqre Acting Collector on my delivering over charge of the Treasury to him this day

Tinnevelly 23d January 1847.

I Signed F. B. Elton
Sub. Collr.

Received

2662

Fort St George 28th January 1847.

Received from F. B. Elton Esqre Sub Collr of Tinnevelly in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees 6,08,224-6 being the balance of Cash upto 22d January 1847 according to the account of the Treasury.

Species	Coins	No. of Coins	Rate of Conversion per ½ Pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
Gold	Company's Rupees of 1835/40	559,220	100 " " "	559,220 " "	
	D° ½ Pieces	11,368	50 " " "	5,684 " "	
	D° ¼ D°	39,790	25 " " "	9,947 8 "	
	Company's Rupees	12,547	100 " " "	12,547 " "	
	D° ½ Pieces	5,358	50 " " "	2,679 " "	
	D° ¼ D°	3,667	25 " " "	916 12 "	
	Double Annas	82,324	12 8 " "	10,290 8 "	
	Single D°	4,932	6 4 " "	308 4 "	
Silver	Bombay Sonat Rupees	97	1 9 12 2 "	94 13 2	
	D° ½ Pieces	12	4 8 14 1 "	5 13 10	
	D° ¼ D°	22	24 7 " 30	5 6 "	
	Half Pagoda Pieces	113	172 14 5 "	195 5 9	
	Quarter D° D°	197	86 11 3 "	170 12 4	
	Spanish Dollars	930	220 " " "	2,045 15 9	
	German Crowns	485	212 " " "	1028 3 2	
	New fine fanams	82	37 14 2 "	31 1 1	6,05,170 7 1
Copper	Europe Copper Pie Pieces	1,322	84 "	6 14 2	
	New Copper Half Anna Pie Pieces	35,809	32 " "	1119 " 6	
	D° ¼ D°	19,691	1 9 " "	307 10 9	1,433 9 5
	In Bank Notes	4			1,620 " "
				Company's Rupees	6,08,224 " 6

Company's Rs. Six lacs eight thousand two hundred and twenty four and Pice Six.

Tinnevelly 23d January 1847

E. E. Jr. / Signed R. D. Parker
Asst. Collector

No

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

48

From the Actg Collr of Tinnevelly - - - }

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board my having this day received charge of the office of Collector and Magistrate of this District from Mr. F. B. Elton Sub Collector.

Tinnevelly - - -
23d January 1847 }

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Actg Collr

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

49

From the Actg Collr of Tinnevelly - - - }

Sir,

I have the honor to report my having taken charge of the Balance of Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 6,98,224 - 5 - 6 for which I have passed my receipt to Mr. F. B. Elton Sub Collector.

Tinnevelly
23 January 1847 }

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Actg Collr

Order on the 3 foregoing letters — Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

47-50

Read

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Read the following letters.

To

J. Pycroft. Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

57

From the Collr of Canara - - }

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board a Statement of Wastage of Tobacco for fasly 1255 / 1845/46 Amounting to Candies 2 - 16 - 8 - 35, the value of which is to be accounted for at Rupees 170 - 6 - 8 at Rupees 60 - 8 - 4 per Candy and Request the Company's Rupees 170 - 6 - 8 at Rupees 60 - 8 - 4 per Candy and Request the Board's sanction to write off the same in my accounts.

Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit
Mundapoor
2d January 1847 - - }

/Signed/ S. L. Blane
Collector

Statement.

2665

Fort St George 28th January 1847.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Tobacco stored, sold and the amount of Wastage with its per centage for Fusly 1255.

Talooks	Quantity stored			Quantity sold			Quantity transferred from one Talook to another			Wastage			Per Centage	Remarks
1	2			3			4			5			6	7
	Candies	Mds	lbs Rts	Candies	Mds	lbs Rts	Candies	Mds	lbs Rts	Candies	Mds	lbs Rts		
Provincial Depot	56	14	12 "	"	"	" "	56	13	7 20	"	1	4 20	"	2 2 "
Betul	80	"	9 35	"	"	" "	79	14	27 8	"	5	10 27	"	6 21 "
Buntwal	1	14	8 "	"	"	" "	1	14	3 12	"	"	14 28	"	10 " "
Codispy	30	15	15 10	"	"	" "	29	7	23 10	1	7	20 "	4	10 " "
Barcoor	26	15	21 10	"	"	" "	28	12	" 20	"	3	20 30	"	14 " "
Cundapoor	3	19	1 "	"	"	" "	3	17	15 "	"	1	14 "	1	17 21 "
Honone	12	12	10 "	3	1	18 "	9	5	23 "	"	4	25 "	1	19 14 "
Ankola	15	7	18 20	1	6	4 "	13	16	13 20	"	5	1 "	1	14 " "
Soopak	5	9	12 20	"	"	" "	5	7	2 "	"	2	10 20	2	3 " "
Sonda	9	"	16 30	2	"	22 10	6	15	17 "	"	4	5 20	2	6 4 "
Bilghi	14	22	" "	"	"	14 17 30	"	"	" "	"	"	4 10	1	" 21 "
Total	243	4	7 5	7	3	6 "	233	4	20 10	2	16	8 35	"	" " "

2d January 1847

Signed J. L. Blane
Coll.

Resolution

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Resolution thereon

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for writing off the wastage of tobacco in that District for fusly 1255 amounting to candies 2 — 16 — 8 — 35. M. lbs. Rs.

It will be seen that the value of this quantity at the average purchase price of the year amounts to Rupees 170 — 6 — 8.

To S. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr. of Canara — Requesting to be furnished with the Boards orders on the arrangements proposed for filling up the office of Head Sheristadar in his district.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that I may be favored at the Board's earliest convenience with a reply to my letter of the 8th Ultimo stating the arrangements which I proposed to make for filling up the office of Head Sheristadar in this district. The period for commencing the Jummabundy settlement has already elapsed, and as the state of the Head Sheristadar's health will not permit his taking an active part in it, I have only the Nail Sheristedar at present available for conducting the

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

the duties both in my own division and in that of the additional Sub Collector who the Board is aware has no separate establishment for that purpose. It is therefore of great importance that I should be furnished with the Board's sanction for the appointment of a Head Sheristadar as early as possible.

2. Since the dispatch of my letter of the 8th Ultimo, I have ascertained that the appointment of a Nail Sheristadar does not necessarily demand the sanction of the Board, but as it will in the present instance wholly depend upon whether the present Nail Sheristedar be promoted or not, I am unable to take any measures for filling up this latter appointment until I receive the Board's sanction for the appointment of a Head Sheristedar.

Collector's Cutcherry On Circuit
Moodbedry 12th January 1847.

/Signed/ J. L. Blane
Collector.

Resolution thereon

The Board observe that their confirmation of the appointment of Joaquem Fernandez to be Head Sheristadar of Canara was communicated to him on the 11th Instant.

Fort St George 28th January 1847.

Proceedings,

Relative to the management of the extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Madras for Fusly 1255.

55

Read letter dated 9th November 1846 from the Collector of Madras reporting on the settlement for Fusly 1255 of the extra sources of Revenue in his Collectorate.

(Here enter No. 90)

The annexed Statement exhibits the increase in the demand on each as compared with Fusly 1254.

	Demand		Demand		Increase			Decrease		
Salt	184,337	2	117,359	8	4	"	"	66,977	7	11
Sayer	397	10	366	9	11	"	"	31	"	8
Abkharry	601,160	2	569,999	1	8	"	"	31,161	1	2
Small Farms	11,784	4	12,067	7	10	283	3	1	"	"
Stamps	8,621	5	6615	13	4	"	"	2,005	8	6
Cochrane's Canal	32,084	10	23,484	11	11	"	"	8599	14	4
Total	838,385	2	729,893	4	8	283	3	108,775	"	6
								283	3	1
							Decrease	108,491		13

Salt 2

It appears that out of the aggregate deficiency of Rs. 66,977-7-10 in the Salt Revenue Rupees

Fort St George 28th January 1847.

Rupees 13,162-3 occurred on the sales for Home Consumption and Rs. 48,815-4-0 on those for exportation. The comparative decrease on the receipts from the former was caused by a decline in the demand to the extent of 117,316 of the sales were effected in Fusly 1254. From the falling off in the exportation to Calcutta and from the fact of no indents having been received from the Bengal Government for the last two years, it may be concluded that they do not require so large a supply of Madras Salt as formerly, and that there is not sufficient inducement for private traders to export thither, notwithstanding the reduced price of Rs. 15 per 100 Maunds at which they can now purchase. To this falling off in the demand and reduction of price the deficiency of revenue is to be attributed in comparison with the previous Fusly. Including the receipts from extra Collections and arrears the gross income from the sale of Salt amounted to Rs. 117,539-12-8 which left after the deduction of Rs. 55,122-10-4 for charges a net revenue of Rs. 62,417-2-4 being Rs. 67,694-13-7 less than that realized in the previous Fusly.

The Board notice the slow sale of

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Proceedings,

Relative to the management of the Extra-Sources of Revenue in the District of Madras for Fusly 1255.

55

Read letter dated 9th November 1846 from the Collector of Madras reporting on the settlement for Fusly 1255 of the Extra Sources of Revenue in his Collectorate.

(Here enter No. 90)

The annexed Statement exhibits the increase in the demand on each Source as compared with Fusly 1254.

	Demand			Demand			Increase			Decrease		
Salt	184,337	2	2	117,359	8	4	"	"	"	66,977	7	11
Layer	397	10	7	366	9	11	"	"	"	31	"	8
Abkharry	601,160	2	10	569,999	1	8	"	"	"	31,161	1	2
Small Farms	11,784	4	9	12,067	7	10	283	3	1	"	"	"
Stamps	8,621	5	6	6,615	13	4	"	"	"	2,005	8	0
Cochrane's Canal	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	"	"	"	8,599	14	4
Total	838,385	2	1	729,893	4	8	283	3	1	108,775	"	6
										283	3	1
									Decrease	108,491	15	5

Salt 2 It appears that out of the aggregate deficiency of Rs. 66,977-7-10 in the Salt Revenue Rupees

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Rupees 13,162-3 occurred on the sales for Home Consumption and Rs. 48,815-4-10 on those for exportation. The comparative decrease in the receipts from the former was caused by a decline in the demand to the extent of 117-316 5, and by the enhanced price at which some of the sales were effected in Fusly 1254. From the falling off in the exportation to Calcutta and from the fact of no indents having been received from the Bengal Government for the last two years, it may be concluded that they do not require so large a supply of Madras Salt as formerly, and that there is not sufficient inducement for private traders to export thither, notwithstanding the reduced price of Rs. 15 per 100 Maunds at which they can now purchase. To this falling off in the demand and reduction of price the deficiency of revenue is to be attributed in comparison with the previous Fusly. Including the receipts from extra Collections and arrears the gross income from the sale of Salt amounted to Rs. 117539-12-8 which left after the deduction of Rs. 55122-10-4 for charges a net revenue of Rs. 62417-2-4 being Rs. 67694-13-7 less than that realized in the previous Fusly.

3 The Board notice the slow sale of

of the stock of 155 334 3 of British Goods
purchased by orders of Government Communicated
In Cons 12th Feby 1846 in Extract of Minutes of Consultation under date
3rd February 1846 the Collector states that only
2 153 3 were disposed of between the 11th March
and the close of the Fusly adverting to which
the Board have called upon him to endeavour
to ascertain the real cause of the slack sale
and have requested him to add his opinion
in regard to the desirableness of adopting
some other measures than those already
taken, to effect a more speedy disposal of it.

Sayer— 4. The Sayer Collections amount to
the small sum of Rs 306 9 11 being Rs
31 8 less than those of the previous Fy
It seems that since the abolishment of
the transit duties the importation of the
few articles which pass thro' the Post
Office from Foreign Settlements affords the
only means of raising a revenue under
this head.

Abkharry 5. It is observed that of the total decrease
in the Abkharry Settlement Rs 9604 3 5 occa-
sioned on Country Arrack Rs 7162 2 on Batavia
Arrack and Rs 1043 6 7 on Toddy. The defi-
ciency appears as stated by the Collector

have been caused partly by the circumstance
of an unusually large quantity of very cheap
Beer having found its way into the native
Market towards the close of last year, and partly
to the continued high price of provisions which
prevailed during the greater part of the fusly
under report. The fact of the extensive retail
of the Beer at so low a price as to interfere
injuriously with the Abkharry revenue was
brought to the notice of the Board in
January of last year but the circumstances
attending it did not seem such as would war-
rant them in recommending the summary
interference of Government, or the enactment
of a law to impose restrictions and tax upon
the future sale of such liquor. Part of the
decrease in the usual receipts may also with
justness be attributed to the continued high
price of grain, as it is reasonable to conclude
that the Consumers of spirits would have less to
spend on them, while they were obliged to pay high
price for the more indispensable necessaries of
life. With the addition of the receipts from
extra Collections and arrears the gross Abkharry
income was Rs 570889 3 from which there
resulted, after the deduction of Rs 78591 11 3 on
account of charges a net revenue of Rs 492097 5 1 falling
short of that of the preceding Fusly by Rs 33908 13 7.
The

Sundry small Farms & Leecences

6. The increase of Rs 288-3-1 on the demand under this head is ascribed by the Collector to the circumstance of a greater than usual number of weights and measures having been stamped upon the payment of the regulated fee. The net Revenue derived from this source including extra Collections came to Rs 523-10-4 being 37-3-3 above that of Fusly 1254—

Stamps

7. The falling off of Rs 2005-8 in the proceeds of the sale of stamps does not seem to call for any particular remark as it has been occasioned by circumstances beyond control. Including Extra Collections the gross income amounted to Rs 6638 from which there remained, after deducting Rs 788-9-8 for Charges, a net revenue of Rupees 5,849-11-4 being Rs 2,055-2-10 below that of the previous Fusly—

Cochrane's Canal

8. The decrease of Rs 8599-11-4 in the amount of receipts from the tolls levied on this Canal is attributed to its dry state after last April, by which a stop was put for some time to the traffic carried on by it. The disbursements during the Fusly exceeded the income by Rs 1,676-5-7

were paid to Messrs Arbuthnot and Co., being the residue of the purchase of the lease. The Ordinary charges were less than those of Fusly 1254—

9. By the review of the settlement it is seen that the gross receipts within the Fusly including those from Extra Collections and arrears, from all the sources of Extra Revenue amounted to Rupees 730,805-5-8, and that the disbursements on account of them came to Rupees 177,316-6-, leaving a net outturn of Rupees 553,488-15-8— being Rupees 133,110-15-5 below that of Fusly 1254—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the collections charges and net Revenue, be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and—

Fort St George 28th January 1847

Madras Fusly 1255

		Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘
Salt	Demand	184337 " 2	117359 8 1	" " "	66977 7 10
	Balance on the 12th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	184337 " 2	117359 8 1	" " "	66977 7 10
	Extra Dº	2120 4 "	161 8 3	" " "	1958 11 9
	Arrears	28 11 "	18 12 1	" " "	9 14 11
	Total	186485 15 2	117539 12 8	" " "	68946 7 6
	Charges	56373 15 3	55122 10 4	" " "	1251 4 11
	Net Revenue	130111 15 11	62417 2 4	" " "	67694 13 7
Sayer	Demand	397 10 7	366 9 11	" " "	31 " 8
	Balance on the 12th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	397 10 7	366 9 11	" " "	31 " 8
	Extra Dº	78 10 "	" " "	" " "	78 10 "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	476 " 7	366 9 11	" " "	109 10 8
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue				
Abkarry	Balance on the 12th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	60,060 2 10	56999 1 8	" " "	3061 1 2
	Extra Dº	1255 3 4	689 14 7	" " "	565 4 9
	Arrears	723 " 8	" " "	" " "	723 " 8
	Total	60,3138 6 10	57,689 " 3	" " "	32449 6 7
	Charges	77137 4 2	78591 11 2	1454 7 "	" " "
	Net Revenue	52,601 2 8	40,097 5 1	" " "	23,903 13 7
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	Demand	11,784 4 9	12,067 7 10	283 3 1	" " "
	Balance on the 12th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	11,784 4 9	12,067 7 10	283 3 1	" " "
	Extra Dº	19 14 11	19 6 1	" " "	" 8 10
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	11,804 3 8	12,086 13 11	282 10 3	" " "
	Charges	2,659 12 7	2,563 3 7	" " "	96 9 "
	Net Revenue	9,144 7 1	9,523 10 4	379 3 3	" " "
Stamps	Demand	8621 5 6	6,615 13 "	" " "	2,005 8 6
	Balance on the 12th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	8621 5 6	6,615 13 "	" " "	2,005 8 6
	Extra Dº	15 3 2	22 8 "	7 4 10	" " "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	8636 8 8	6638 5 "	" " "	1998 3 8
	Charges	731 10 6	788 9 8	56 15 2	" " "
	Net Revenue	7904 4 2	5,849 4 4	" " "	2055 2 10

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Read the following letter:-

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(56)

Sir,

Madras } I have the honor to acknowledge the
receipt of an Extract from the proceedings of the
Board of Revenue dated 12th instant, calling upon me,
with reference to the orders of Government therein ad-
verted to, to report whether the collection, in Fusly
1254, of the arrears of Land revenue was enforced
with any undue stringency, and in such manner as
to impede the realization of Current revenue.

2. To shew that every exertion has been
made, within a few years past, for the collection
of as large a proportion of the current demand
as possible from all available sources, the balance
outstanding at the close of each Fusly for the
last ten years, or since 1837. is entered in the mar-
gin, from which it will be observed that, whilst
the Annual Amount of such balance was gene-
rally upwards of 16000 Rupees, that outstanding
at the termination of Fusly 1254 was only
Rupees 8746 — 13 — 2. At the end of the subse-
quent year the balance of Current revenue

was

Submitting return of plaints in which shows the Collection of Fusly 1254 of the arrears of Land revenue has not been enforced as being under the Fusly and in such manner as impeded the collection of Current Revenue

1837-8 — 14460 — 2 — 0
1838-9 — 9557 — 9 — 8
1839-40 — 9644 — 9 — 8
1840-41 — 10741 — 14 — 8
1841-42 — 10589 — 11 — 1
1842-43 — 9363 — 5 — 8
1843-44 — 9615 — 2 — 0
1844-45 — 11729 — 13 — 7
1845-46 — 8746 — 13 — 2
1846-47 — 7642 — 5 — 9.

2676

Fort St. George 28 January 1847.

Fusly 1254. Circars. Ceeranam

Demand	Rs	as	ps	Rs	as	ps	Rs	as	ps	Rs	as
Balance on the 12th July	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	8,893	14	8	1,09,991	13
Current Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Extra Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	8,893	14	8	1,09,991	13
Charges	19,123	11	10	14,599	14	4	5,493	14	6	85,158	5
Net Revenue	12,960	15	5	8,884	13	7	3,399	14	2	24,833	8
Demand	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	8,893	14	8	1,09,991	13
Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Current Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Extra Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	8,893	14	8	1,09,991	13
Charges	19,123	11	10	14,599	14	4	5,493	14	6	85,158	5
Net Revenue	12,960	15	5	8,884	13	7	3,399	14	2	24,833	8
Demand	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	8,893	14	8	1,09,991	13
Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Current Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Extra Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Arrears	3,489	3	5	3,489	3	5	894	11	8	25,945	6
Total	3,489	3	5	3,489	3	5	894	11	8	25,945	6
Charges	751	11	8	751	11	8	192	12	1	2,332	15
Net Revenue	2,737	7	9	2,737	7	9	702	15	7	23,613	7
Demand	32,084	10	3	23,484	11	11	8,893	14	8	1,09,991	13
Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Current Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Extra Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Arrears	7,751	11	8	7,751	11	8	1,988	5	5	55,520	11
Total	84,260	1	2	27,236	8	0	7,005	8	8	1,11,820	4
Charges	15,026	2	1	11,177	9	6	2,870	5	11	21,290	5
Net Revenue	69,234	15	1	16,058	14	6	4,135	2	9	90,529	15

2676

Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Read the following Letter

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th instant, calling upon me, with reference to the orders of Government therein adverted to, to report whether the collection, in Fasly 1254, of the arrears of Land revenue was enforced with any undue stringency, and in such manner as to impede the realization of Current revenue.

2. To shew that every exertion has been made, within a few years past, for the collection of as large a proportion of the current demand as possible from all available sources, the balance outstanding at the close of each Fasly for the last ten years, or since 1837. is entered in the margin, from which it will be observed that, whilst the Annual Amount of such balance was generally upwards of 10000 Rupees, that outstanding at the termination of Fasly 1254 was only Rupees 8,746 - 13 - 2. At the end of the subsequent year the balance of Current revenue

(8)

From the Collr. of Madras
Submitting statement of balance which shows that the Collection of Fasly 1254 of the arrears of Land Revenue has not been enforced with any undue severity and in such manner as to impede the realization of Current Revenue

10th Feby 1847 Recd

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Demand ... 32084 10 3
Balance on the 12th July ... 25484 11 11
Current Collection ... 6599 14 4
Extra Do. ... " " "
Arrears ... " " "
Total ... 32084 10 3
Charges ... 14123 12 7
Net Revenue ... 17960 13 8

Demand ... 1,729,893 4 8
Balance on the 12th July ... 1,084,991 13 5
Current Collection ... 644,902 6 11
Extra Do. ... 2595 14 6
Arrears ... 18 12 1
Total ... 1,732,510 6 11
Charges ... 111,820 14 6
Net Revenue ... 1,620,690 8 5

Demand ... 32084 10 3
Balance on the 12th July ... 25484 11 11
Current Collection ... 6599 14 4
Extra Do. ... " " "
Arrears ... " " "
Total ... 32084 10 3
Charges ... 14123 12 7
Net Revenue ... 17960 13 8

Demand ... 1,729,893 4 8
Balance on the 12th July ... 1,084,991 13 5
Current Collection ... 644,902 6 11
Extra Do. ... 2595 14 6
Arrears ... 402 5 0 33 2 12 6 8
Total ... 1,732,510 6 11
Charges ... 111,820 14 6
Net Revenue ... 1,620,690 8 5

Demand ... 83,385 2 1
Balance on the 12th July ... 33,385 2 1
Current Collection ... 34,89 3 5
Extra Do. ... 751 11 8
Arrears ... " " "
Total ... 84,026 1 2
Charges ... 15,002 6 2
Net Revenue ... 69,023 10 1

Coimbatore
Canara

2676

was still smaller Viz.t Rupees 7,648–5–9. The Collections of Current demand have accordingly exhibited a proportionate increase as follows.

Fusly	1253	Rs. 51,260–12–2
"	1254	" 54,966 — " — "
"	1255	" 55,961–9–4

3. The total amount collected including arrears, has been as follows.

Fusly	1253	Rs. 56,688–2–1
"	1254	" 61,583–3–7
"	1255	" 69,731–7–6

4. Adverting to the observations recorded in Para.d 3 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 15th June 1845 No. 669, I beg leave to explain that of the Collections

1839	8–11
1850	15–6
1838	9–5
1879	5–1
112	9–2
2291	14–9
1796	10–8

chief ground for the non realizations of the balances under the heads alluded to, before the commencement of Fusly 1255, is sufficiently proved by the fact of the amount recovered from the 12th July 1845 to the 30th September 1846, or within a period exceeding 14 Months, being only Rs. 2,092–14–9, leaving a balance unpaid on the 1st instant of Rs. 1,796–10–8. More detailed explanations as to the circumstances under which similar balances remain unpaid at the close of each year is contained in Para.a 16 of my report dated 30th June 1845 as well as in the several letters therein referred to.

5. The Collections of arrears were in Fusly 1254 Rupees 6,617–3–7, whereas those in 1255 were only Rs. 4,769–4–2; the amount on account of balances having been re-

6. Of the balance of Current demand at the close of 1254, Rs. 3,746—13—2, the whole Amount recovered up to the 30th Ultimo is Rs. 3219—1—1, leaving uncollected, at that date, the sum of Rupees 527—12—1, which is classed under the following heads.

3746—13—3	
3110—11—3	
118—5—10	
3,219—1—1	
527—12—1	

Due on Houses occupied by persons in indigent circumstances &c. as stated in Para 4 above — 1796—10—8

Do. Unoccupied Houses — 1424—7—8

Do. Uncultivated lands — 768—14—5

3990—0—9

Ground rent due by the persons who have left one spot for another — 1537—11—4

5527—12—1

7. In most of the foregoing Paras I have been induced to advert to the Collections of Fasly 1255 also, only to shew that the orders of Government of 4th June 1845 are being acted upon to the fullest extent of the means at my disposal.

8. It is the established rule of this Office to collect invariably the Current demand at first, and, afterwards, if circumstances will admit of it, to recover from the same parties the whole, or only a portion of the Arrears, either by direct application or by the distraint of personal property, excepting where the amount is due by parties who live in common Huts and in whose case a warrant of distraint can be of no avail.

The

9. The above explanation will, I trust, satisfy the Board and Government that the Collections of the year under review have not been postponed to favor the recovery of former balances, and that these recoveries have not been made up with any undue severity.

Madras 30th October 1846. (Signed) I. A. Huddleston Collector.

56-57

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

57

To J. Pycroft Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

58

From the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the endorsement under date the 21st March 1846 on the enclosed petition from Seoban Bukshi and others to the Board of Revenue No. 320 of 1846, I have the honor to submit herewith a detailed statement of their case in the form furnished in your Circular letter of date the 3d September last.

Encl. A.

Madras 28th November 1846. (Signed) I. A. Huddleston Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George

28th January 1847.

A

Statement shewing the particulars of the case of the surviving Members of the family of the late Jayamp Jayabah in the form furnished in the Circular letter from the Board of Revenue dated 22nd May 1845.

Case	Talook and Village	Extent and Value of the entire Enam or Jeemiah	When and by whom Granted	Grounds or other Documents in support of the Grant	Nature of the Grant, Charity or service — if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant	Name of the Original Grantee	Name of the last holder of the portion in which interest to the Grantee and date of his decease	Shares enjoyed by the deceased & that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties	Their Circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family	Recommendation and Grounds thereof	Further Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	"	Rupees 175 per Mensum	His Highness the Nabob Azeemut Doulah Bahadur gave a Jaghire in lieu of which the British Government Granted the Pensioners mentioned in Col 3	Government Sanction under date the 30th July 1813 Communicated in a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 9th August of the same year	The pension entered in Col 3 was originally granted on the resumption of the Jaghire enjoyed by Moulud Imam Baksh	Moulud Imam Baksh	Jayamp Jahabah the Widow of the late Moulud Imam Baksh who died on the 29th Decr 1845	Rs. 140. per Mensum granted to the Widow of the late Moulud Imam Baksh	1. Chand Bebee Alias Jamut Bebee Daughter-in-Law aged 32 years. 2. Sobaw Baksh, Grandson aged 14. 3. Catoom Bebee Grand daughter aged 10. 4. Rahatoon Beebee, Grand Daughter 6. Note. Chand Bebee one of the present claimant is the Widow of the late "ahmed Baksh" named in the statement dated 3rd June 1813, and the other Claimants are their children.	The family have no property other than a house which was mortgaged by the late Jayamp Saibeb.	The parties named in the Statement submitted from this Office on the 3rd June 1813 and in which each of the present claimants stood to the last incumbent is shewn in Col 10 of this statement. As they appear to be destitute of any means of support, and to have been wholly dependent on the late Jayamp Sahebah for their maintenance, it rests with the Board to determin whether on those grounds they can be recommended for the favorable consideration of Government.	The Collectors Endorsement under date the 13th February last, which is referred to in the Petition to the Board dated the 7th of the Month following No 220 of 1846 states his view of the Case.

Madras 30th November 1846

/Signed/ J. A. Maddeston
Collector

Jr

2682 Fort St. George 28th January 1847

Resolution thereon.

58-59

It appears that a pension of 50 Pagodas a Month was originally granted by orders of Government dated 4th June 1802 to Moolluck Emam Bakir in lieu of his returned Jaygeer. After his death which occurred in the beginning of 1812 the pension was reduced to 40 Pagodas a Month and renewed by orders of Government of the 30th July 1813 to his widow Jaun ab Sahiba who died on the 29th December 1845 leaving two Sons and one Daughter who also died shortly afterwards without enjoying any pension - The present Petitioners who apply for its renewal in their favor being the daughter-in-law and grand Children of the original grantee are informed that they should prefer their application direct to the Government.

In Con 30th July 1813.

Read the following letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to lay before the President and Members of the Board of Revenue the accompanying copy of a letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry to my address dated 1st December last, reporting the loss of a packet containing 100 Stamped Papers of the Value of 4 Annas each, by the unfortunate drowning of the Shasil Sapaul runner, and request that the Board will obtain the sanction of Government for my writing off the Value of them viz. Company's Rupees 25 to profit & loss.

60

From the Supte of Stamps.

Fort St George
Pub. Stamp Office
6th January 1847

Signed/ A. F. Bruce
Supt. of Stamps.

To

2683 Fort St. George 28th January 1847

No. 1.

To

A. F. Bruce Esqre
Superintendent of Stamps
Fort St. George.

Sir,

On the 21st October last a packet containing 100 Stamps papers of the Value of 4 Annas each was despatched by my Muzzoor Acting Stamp Darogah at Cocanada to Mr. R. E. Master my Assistant at Amsapoor for his signature, but the Tahsil Sapaul runner who conveyed that days Mail from Pacharam to Cotah was unfortunately drowned in crossing a Creek which was swollen by the heavy rains - The Mail with the packet of stamp Papers was lost - The body of the runner was found, but the packet had not been recovered.

I have therefore to request that you will authorize me to write off from my accounts the above mentioned One hundred Stamps.

Rajahmundry District -
Samulcottah, 1st December 1846.
Proceedings thereon.

60-61

[Signed] J. Prendergast
Collector.

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, I beg to recommend that authority may be granted for striking off the said sum of Rupees 25 from the Public account as requested.

DESTROYED

Read the following letter.

To

The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Gentlemen,

We beg leave to lay before your Board the accompanying abstract Statement of receipts and disbursements for the year 1845 on account of the late Pitchaypah Moodelliar's Charities placed under our Management.

Have the President & Trustees of the late Pitchaypah Moodelliar's Charities

Madras 30th December 1846.

62

[Signed] C. Stevenson Pillay, President.
" L. Vincent Deputy Sairbo.
" A. Vincent Chellum Chetty.
" C. Arnaghery.
" J. L. Ramanjooloo.
" J. Verasawmy.
" P. Rajaratnum.
" C. Ramanjooloo.

Or

Fort St. George

A

Patcheapah Moodelliar

Dr.

Abstract of General Receipts and Disbursements

1845

January 1st To Balance on the 31st December last ____ 55,313 6 11

To Interest received from the Accountant General on Chedumbarum Vencatachary Charity fund ____ 37,224 14 2

To Extra Resources ____ 159 1 8

To Revenue of the Shrotriem Village Timmengoody ____ 1,206 6 11

To Interest on the Government Promissory notes in hand ____ 1,843 15 11

Carried forward Rupees ... 95,777 12

28th January 1847.

Charities

from January to December 1845 ____ 6th

1845

December 31st By Amount disbursed at the Pagodah of Chimna Conjeveram ____ 409 8 6

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Periah Conjeveram ____ 421 9 11

By ____ Do. ____ at the Chouttry of Do. Conjeveram ____ 603 9 8

By ____ Do. ____ at the Pagodah of Chedumbrum ____ 315 2 3

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Sheally ____ 415 7 11

By ____ Do. ____ at Sorbanah's Chouttry ____ 378 4 10

By ____ Do. ____ at the Chouttry of Terroobloor ____ 766 11 11

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Terrovilur ____ 809 10 7

By ____ Do. ____ at the Pagodah of Do. ____ 406 4 2

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Tanjore ____ 407 4 6

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Terrovadamoodoor ____ 399 11 8

By ____ Do. ____ for the Celebration of Dumpuddy poojah at Terrovadamoodoor ____ 174 11 6

By ____ Do. ____ at the Chouttry of Terrovadamoodoor ____ 828 15 4

By ____ Do. ____ at the Pagodah of Combaconum ____ 174 11 3

By ____ Do. ____ for the Celebration of Dumpuddy poojah at Combaconum ____ 174 11 5

By ____ Do. ____ at the Pagodah of Terrapamundalle ____ 372 12 2

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Terrovamamalay ____ 420 12 8

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Sreerungum ____ 828 3 4

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Jembookeswarum ____ 411 1 10

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Madura ____ 403 8 4

By ____ Do. ____ at the Do. of Alagar ____ 808 9 7

By ____ Do. ____ at the Chouttry of Terrovutoor ____ 810 " "

By ____ Do. ____ being the annual Gift paid to Sorabs managing and Summiendah Dah sekah Pandarums of Terrovilur ____ 105 " "

By ____ Do. ____ at the Pagodah of Terrovisalvyelle ____ 620 " "

Carried forward Rupees 14,343 12 2

Fort St. George

Brought forward Rupees 95,777 12 8

Total Rupees ... 95,777 12 8

28th January 1847.

1845		Brought forward Rupees	1134 3 12	2
December 31st	By	Amount disbursed to Patchapah Moodelliar's School at Madras	1094 13	8
	By	Do to Endowment at the Madras University	2,500 "	"
	By	Do Trustee's Office	2,692 4	5
	By	Do Buildings / Separate fund /	127 7	11
	By	Do Patchapah's bounty to poor	48 "	"
	By	Do Village Swamen goody	292 5	10
			27898 12	"
	By	Balance	67,779 "	8
		Total Rupees	95,777 12	8

Sixty five thousand seven hundred and seventy seven, Annas Twelve and Pice eight.

Madras 1st January 1846.

Errors excepted 7th

/ Signed / C. Streenivasa Pillay

President.

/ " / T. Varadawmy.

Out of this Balance the sum of Company's Rupees 62923—11—" has been invested in 12 per Cent Promissory Notes for the benefit of the Charity.

Ordered.

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

62-63

To

The President and Trustees of the late Pitcheapah Moodeliars Charities.

To the President and Trustees of the late Pitchapah Moodeliars Charities-

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th December 1846 submitting an Abstract Statement of receipts and disbursements for the year 1845 on account of the late Pitcheapah Moodeliars Charities under your management-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847

Signed J. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

64
From the Sub Treasurer-
Statement of Contingent Charges for the Month of November last-

65
From the Secretary to the Savings Bank-
Statement of Contingent Charges for the Month of December last-

64-66
Order on the 2 above Statements.
Resolved that the necessary orders be passed-

Read the undermentioned 4 lists-

67
From the Sub Collector in Charge of Tanjore
List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last-

From Do. of Do.
68
List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to the 31st December

Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

December last.

69
From the Collector of Canara
List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last-

70
From the Collector of Canara-
List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st December last-

67-71
Order on the 4 Above mentioned lists-
Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To The Chief Secretary to Government-

72
To the Chief Secy to Government-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Return of the Sales of Salt for the Quarter ending 31st October 1846 prepared according to the order from the Government of India dated 17th May received with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 7th June 1845.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847-

Signed J. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Comparative

Sunderland — 31996 20 " 1 1 4/4 34793 4 " 41347 8 " 1 " 1947 " 19097 20 " 1 " 1 " 2007 "

Allendale — 5929 6 " 1 3 16 6074 4 " 7620 6 " 1 " 9128 12 " 1 " 9128 4 11
34980 12 " 1 3 74374 10 "

Hunwell — 16189 20 " 1 8 " 24284 4 " 73434 15 " 1 " 79236 1 84581 21 14 1 " 2631 8 9
70807 20 " 1 " 7080 7 8 "

Ninetrd — 9400 18 8 1 8 " 4100 12 2 23166 31 8 1 " " 23166 14 9 1867 25 4 0 " " 1867 11 9
9925 5 8 1 " " 992 5 2 11

Allendale — 35231 18 1/4 1 2 11/100 6290 14 9 56290 25 " 1 " " 56290 10 " 6090 63 13 3/4 1 " " 6090 5 8
7486 " " 1 " " 1486 " " 320 " " " 3 72/100 72 " "

Allendale — 3383 18 " " 1 8 " 3473 1 " 24730 15 " 1 " " 24730 6 " 3749 0 " " 1 " " 2824 20 " "
2490 8 " " 1 " " 840 0 " "

Total — 7890 6328 1/4 " " 86397 16 8 83912 9 3 " " 8283 83 8 " 7487 19 16 15/4 " " 9282 18 " 9

Ann. Dom. Allotment Showing the Quantity of Land and Allotment Certificates Granted to all the Allottees

Plan of Allotment — Scale 4 Perches to an Inch

Scale of Chains

Sheet 2. George 28th January 1847.

2688 Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

(73)

To the Chief Secy to Government — Sir,

To Govt 4th July 1844. From Ds 10 Jan Cons 19th August. Rec'd to Govt 12 Septr. From Ds 8th Jan Cons 7 October.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that 100000 Copies of Salt Rowannahs required by the Collector of Nellore may be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press according to the accompanying form.

The quantity of Paper required for the purpose is herewith transmitted.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary.

(74)

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

In Cons. 19th Nov 1845 & 11th May 1846

With reference to the orders of Government dated 3d and 31st March last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit a Bill for Medals procured by them for distribution to the cultivators of the best Cotton in Guntoor, amounting to Rupees 150 and to request you will be pleased to obtain sanction for payment of the same.

The Medals have been dispatched to Mr Stokes.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary.

2689 Fort St. George 28th January 1847.

Resolved also that the following letter be despatched —

To

The Collector of

Guntoor.

(75)

To the Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to advise you, that they have this day despatched by Bangby Six Medals for distribution to the cultivators of the best Cotton in your district as applied for in your letter to the Secretary to the Horticultural Society dated 11th February 1846.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th January 1847.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements —

(76) From the Collector of Bellary — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(77) From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(78) From the Acting Collector of Chingleput — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(79) From the Pr Collector of Madura — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

Geo

2698 Fort St. George 28th January 1847

80 From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

81 From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

82 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

83 From the Actg Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

84 From the Collector of Bellary — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

85 From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah — Statement shewing the

2691 Fort St. George 26th January 1847

the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

86 From the Acting Collector of Chingleput — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

87 From the Pte Collector of Madura — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

88 From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

89 From Do of Trichinopoly — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

90 From the Collector of Canara — Stating that no persons were either charged with offences or confined in Jail under Regulation X of 1822 during the Month of December last. Stating

2302 Fort St. Geo.e 28th January 1847.

91 From the Collector of Ganjam - Stating that certain returns for the Month of December last are not sent, there being no duty to enable

92 From the Offg. Collector of Nellore - Statement of Salt for exportation to Chittagong on account of the Indent for 1846/47 up to 15th January 1847.

93 From the Offg. Collector of Nellore - Requesting to be furnished with a supply of Salt Rowanahs -

93-94 Order thereon, Ordered to be supplied accordingly -

" Ashburnham

" Woodingham

Comp.d
S. Gibson.