MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

(1150) Port St. George 3d September 1816.

A

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday — 7 September 1816 — G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

A. Maclean Esqre Acting Second Member

Walter Elliott Esqre Third Member

and

S. Goldingham Esqre Officiating Secretary

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th Sept. and entered in Consultation 10th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.

1

Circulars to Collectors Governor's to except addressed.

To The Collector of

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue under orders from Government to request that all future Copies of Canams Agencies Accounts Communicated to this Office under the Board's Circular order dated 22d May 1815 for submission to Government may be reported in the accompanying Tabular form.

A

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 7 September 1816 — Signed J. Reeve Secretary

Tabular

4/1157

Fort St. George 4th September 1845.

A Tabular Form for reporting the lapses of Enams, Yeomi[ra]s under the Board's Circular dated 22d May 1845.

Case	Taluk and Village	Extent and value of the enam=ires Enam or Yeomiah	when and by whom granted	Summed or other Documents in support of the Grant	Nature of the Grant — Charity or Service — If service, nature of the duty pre=scribed by the Grant	Name of the last holder & the rela=tion in which he stood to the Grantee & date of his decease	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties	Their Cir=cumstances and the means of subsistance possessed by the family	Recom=mendations and grounds thereof	Further Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

N.B. If any further Columns are necessary they may be added.
any information which cannot conveniently be given in any
of the Columns of this Forms may be affixed in a foot Note.

Read

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Read the following Extracts

2

From the Secy to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 17th July 1846.

Read

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 11th July 1846.

Read again Petition of
C. Rajah Row late Sahsildar of Sera-
=vatore Talook in the Zillah of Chittoor
to the Madras Government dated 17th May
1846 No. 395.

Prior to the transmission
to the Honorable Court of the Memorial re-
=ceived with the foregoing letter resolved
that all the papers which accompanied
it be forwarded to the Revenue Depart
=ment for a review of the Case -

(Signed) P. C. Clarke
Depy Secy to Govt

Resolved that the Papers which
accompanied the above Extract be referred for
report of the Board of Revenue -

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Govt

Ordered that the following
letter be submitted in reply -

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

No. 1.

To The Honorable
the Court of Directors
of the Honorable East India Company.
&c. &c. &c.

The humble Petition of
C. Rajah Row late and
Pensioned Sasildar of
Seravatore Talook in the
Zillah of Chittoor subject
to the Govt of Fort St. George.

Respectfully Sheweth,

With profound respect and in-
=finite submission your Memorialist begs leave
to lay his narrative widely before your Honorable
Court with a sanguine hope that it will meet
with that liberal and impartial consideration,
which have been invariably shewn towards the
claims of the old, meritorious and faithful
public Servants, whose services are considered as
parallel with that of your Memorialist and
obtain proper reward to his servitude in which
he was disappointed or overlooked by the ruling
power in India although numerous applicants
were in conformity to the Rules and in obedience

to

to orders of the local authorities, made--

Note.
Southern Provl. Court.
As English writer 2-11-9
As Deputy Sheristadar 3-3-7
As Head Do 12-0-11
Centre Division Zillah Chittoor
As Acting District Moonsiff 2-2-8
Revenue Depte.
As Sheristadar of Satagada Talook 1-10-3
Do. Nellore Do. 1-2-7
Do. Tirupatty Do. 3-5-7
Do. Cadapah Do. 1-6-9
Do. Tellicherry Do. 2-5-9
Total years -- 29-2-6

Your Memorialist has rendered a service of about 29 years to the Honorable Company as detailed in the Memorandum No. 1 in the accompanying Packet marked A in the Judicial and Revenue Departments in high and responsible trusts in which he has honorably acquitted himself both to the credit of his character and conduct, and much beneficial to the state, which the accompanying copies of correspondences and testimonials of Gentlemen particularly the letters from and to Sudr Adawlut and Govermt of Fort St. George under dates the 24th April and 6th May 1823 (Nos. 5 and 6 in the accompanying Packet marked A) regarding an augmentation of Salary of your Memorialist while he was Sheristadar in the Southern Provincial Court at Trichinopoly, which was granted as a special case in consideration of his most important services.--

Your Memorialist had served as Sasildar and District Moonsiff in different Talooks in the Zillah of Chittoor while he was holding the Office of Sasildar of Tirupatty he was confined to Sick Bed by a continued fever and Cold

cold, which generally prevails in that part of the Country originating from the bad air of mountains. He was upon his own request transferred thence to the Talook of Tiruvatore, where after a lapse of some time, his health has been repaired. He continued in that situation for a period of 2-5-29 years Vide D. in which he was, Mr. C. H. Hallet, late Sub Collector of the Zillah of Chittore, under whose range your Memorialist had been employed, wrote an English Note No. 10 in the accompanying packet marked A to your Memorialist on the 20th February 1837, in which it is said that, Mr. Ogilvee Principal Collector will not allow your Memorialist to remain in Tiruvatore as Sasildar, and it would be better for him to apply for Pension or Leave to which your Memorialist has applied for half a Pension praying to continue to draw his pay till sanction for Pension may be received as will be seen by the 5th Para of an English Arzee or address to the Sub Collector under date the 13th February 1837, in reply to the note No. 10. above alluded to, upon which, the Sub Collector has issued a Sarkeed or order on the 24th the following day to deliver over charge of the Talook

to

to Perkcar, who was ordered to act as Tasildar your Memorialist, has in obedience to the order, delivered over charge and desired the Sub Collector by a further Arjee under date the 26th June 1837 to allow him to draw his pay till Pension is sanctioned and reminded the same by a subsequent Arjee under date the 6th August 1837; but, to no effect, for he was only allowed to draw his pay until the 2d April 1837; on which day he was recommended for Pension to the Principal Collector of Nellore

4. On which your Memorialist has forwarded an Arjee to Mr. Ogilvie, Principal Collector on the 16th March 1837, informing him of his application to the Sub Collector for Pension, upon an understanding of his (Principal Collectors) unwillingness to retain him (Memorialist) any more in the Service as expressed in the Sub Collectors Note to No. 10 above referred to, and finding it to be disadvantageous by acting contrary to the wish of an employer and desiring him at the same time either to provide your Memorialist with the half a Pension or authority requiring the small period of 1.2 to complete 30 years, or to allow your Memorialist to remain in the Service even for

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

the deficiency of period required for 30 years and to send for and peruse some English Papers containing the correspondence and Testimonials of Gentlemen, which he (your Memorialist) has forwarded to the Sub Collector.

5. Your Memorialist has successively applied to the Principal Collector by 14 Arjees from 16th March 1837 up to 1st July 1839, either to allow him to remain in the service for the small period wanting for 30 years, which will easily entitle him for half a Pension agreeably to the rules for Superannuation Pension, or to recommend to Madras Govt. strongly and provide the half a Pension as prayed for desiring further at the same time to peruse the papers alluded to in the preceding Para before the case of your Memorialist submitted for the consideration of Higher Authorities, and to issue orders for pay to your Memorialist till sanction is received for Pension. Neither of these three prayers have been granted by the Principal Coll[r] nor sufficient cause has been shewn of their non-compliance in time agreeably to the rules of Petitions (No. 13 in the accompanying packet marked A) published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date the 16th May 1837; but on the 1st July 1839 a Sheed has been issued in the name of your Memorialist that he does not clearly understand the case where as he has brought it more distinctly to his notice by the Arjees above referred to the injustice that was done to your Memorialist.

6. Your Memorialist has in obedience to the Sheed above adverted to, forwarded his 15th Arjee No. 16 in the accompanying packet marked B/ to the Princ[l] Coll[r] on the 9th July 1839. upon which he has been pleased to make the following Endorsement thereon on the same date.-

Endorsement of the Principal Collector -- "The Petitioner is hereby informed that his case having already been decided and granted Pension no other answer could be given to him

7. Your Memorialist begs leave to observe that the endorsement in question has in no way reference to the prayers requested for, and if such an Endorsement had already

Note.

No.
1-Arjee dated 16th March 1837
2. Do. 28th Do. "
3. Do. 15th April "
4. Do. 6th May "
5. Do. 26th June "
6. Do. 6th Aug. "
7. Do. 5th Sept. "
8. Do. 9th Oct. "
9. Do. 22d Feb. 1838
10. Do. 30th April "
11. Do. 31st July "
12. Do. 3d Jan. 1839
13. Do. 29th June "
14. Do. 1st July "

Total Arjees 14.

already been given at the time of the first application to the Principal Collector on the 18th March 1837, or even for subsequent arzees immediately and even after the sanction was received for Pension, your Memorialist would have laid his case before higher authorities and obtained earlier an equitable Justice, your Honorable Court shall thereby easily perceive that the case of your Memorialist has thus been utterly disregarded or overlooked for upwards of 4 years since, altho' your Memorialist has applied the Principal Collector by several arjees previous and after the Pension has been sanctioned in conformity to the Petition rules / No. 13 in the accompanying packet marked A above referred to.

8. That your Memorialist has afterwards laid his case before the Board of Revenue as a Controlling authority over the Collectors by a Petition under date the 19th August 1839 (No. 17 in the accompanying packet marked B) enclosing the Endorsement of the Principal Collector under date the 9th July 1839 together with certain papers therein connected, who were pleased to make the following Endorsement thereon on the 26th August 1839.

1st Endorsement of the Board

"The Petitioner should wait upon the Principal Collr of North Arcot, who will be pleased with reference to the Boards' letter of the 22d May 1837 to explain the occasion of the delay in submitting Petitioners' application for Pension to Government."

9. That your Memorialist is unable to judge the motives of the Board in making the above Endorsement while by 15 Arjee to the Principal Collector under date the 9th July last which bears the Endorsement of that Gentleman, clearly shews the details of the case particularly that One third Pension being Rs. 32-9-3 has already been conferred upon your Memorialist.

10. That your Memorialist supposing that the Board of Revenue could have erroneously made the Endorsement desired them again by Petition (No. 18 in the accompanying packet marked B) on the 3d December 1839. to supply the omission of the word "Half"

in addition to that of Pension stated in the above Endorsement, for it is the continual prayers of your Petitioner to get half a Pension instead of 1/3 already granted. The Board upon being reminded by the 3d Petition / No. 19 in the accompanying packet marked B / on the 1st February 1840, have been pleased to make the following Endorsement on the 2d Petition / No. 18 in the accompanying packet marked B / dated 3d December 1839.

2d Endorsement of the Board

"The Board of Revenue decline interfering further in the matter of this Petition until Petitioner has complied with the Boards Instructions contained in the Endorsement on Petition No. 1160 of 1839."

11. That your Memorialist has in obedience to the Orders of the Board applied by Arjees or Petitions to the Principal Collector to renew either his recommendation to Government for half a Pension as a Special case bringing to their favorable notice the important services of your Memorialist Supported by the correspondences and Testimonials granted by his late Employers particularly the letters from and to Sudr Adawlut and Government under dates the 26th April and 6th May 1823 / Nos. 5 and 6 in the accompanying packet

packet marked A) augmenting the Salary of your memorialist as a Special case or to favor him with an Endorsement containing the particulars of letters of Mr. C. H. Hallet late Sub Collector recommending your memorialist to the Principal Collector in the end of April 1837 for Pension and that of his Collector's Recommendation to Government on the Subject to allow him (memorialist) to furnish Stamp Papers for Copies of two letters above alluded to in order to enable the memorialist in performing memorial to the Higher authorities; but neither of these 3 Prayers have been granted.

12. Upon which your memorialist has further brought to the notice of the Board by the 4th Petition (No. 20 in the accompanying packet marked B) under date the 28th October 1840 that his four Arjees from No. 20 to 23 to the Principal Collector, have proved no effect praying that a Copy of the Translation of his Arjee dated 29th June 1840 may be transmitted to the Principal Collector for his report, or favor him (the memorialist) with such instructions as the Board may deem proper, to which the following Endorsement has been made by the Board on the 14th

11158 Fort St. George 3d September 1846.

December 1840.

3d Endorsement of the Board

"On a reference to the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot, it has been ascertained that a Pension of Rupees 32-9-3 a a was conferred upon the Petitioner agreeably with the Regulations of Pension Rules; if the Petitioner has any further claims on Government, he should apply direct and state the same, but no further application on this subject will be received by the Board of Revenue."

13. Upon which a Petition (No. 21 in the accompanying packet marked B) was on the 27th December 1841, presented to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council of Fort Saint George by your memorialist praying to grant justice either by providing with half a Pension as prayed for, or bringing to the favorable notice of your Honorable Court the particulars of the case of your memorialist taking into the Special and liberal consideration of his Lordship in Council the long and important services rendered to the Honorable Company in high and responsible trusts for a considerable period and the sudden charge of financial circumstances for want of reason, that is by (3d Pension viz. Rupees 32-9-3 instead of monthly pay of 100 Rupees which he continued in the receipt of

of as well as the injustice done towards his just claims, but the Madras Government has been pleased to make the following Endorsement thereon on the 17th January 1842.-

Endorsement Madras Government

"The Government are precluded from conferring on the Petitioner a higher rate of Pension than the Superannuation Rules authorize."

16. On the 27th December 1841 another Petition / No. 22 in the accompanying packet marked B/ was submitted to the Governor Fort Saint George to the same effect for which a similar Endorsement was made on the 17th January 1842.-

15. Afterwards a Petition / No. 23 in the accompanying packet marked B/ was on the 29th December 1842 presented to the Right Honorable the Governor General of India in Council soliciting to protect your Memorialist doing the Justice of providing him with half a Pension as a special case upon a proper ment of the value and Strength of his past servi and Testimonials both public and private whet by granting it by this Lordship in Council or by submitting it to the favorable consid of your Honorable Court supported by Kind recommendation

bringing to the notice of your Honorable Court the hard, laborious, and responsible Services performed by the Memorialist to the Honorable Company for a period of nearly 29 years, and the cause of his being subjected to great distress by a small and inadequate Pension which has been unjustly conferred upon your Memorialist instead of Half as well as the injustice experienced by him the cause of his just claims having been unnoticed by authorities.-

16. To which the Supreme Government has been pleased to issue the following order on the 6th of February 1843 / No. 24 in the accompanying packet marked B/-

No. 10.

1st Order of the Supreme Government.

Office Memorandum.

Judicial Department.

Camp Delhi the 6th February 1843.

Read a Petition from C. Rajahrow late Tasildar of Seravatoor Talook in the Zillah of Chittoor dated 29th December last, praying as an indulgence the full Pension of his situation instead of 1/3d that has been granted to him.-

Order. Ordered that the Petitioner be informed that the Governor General cannot reverse the decision

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

decision of the Governor in Council at Madras upon a matter peculiarly within the province of the local Government.

/Signed/ x x

Officiating Secretary to Government of India with the Governor General

17. Your Memorialist assumes the liberty to observe that his prayer was not for a full Pension as stated in the Office Memorandum No. 24 above alluded to, but, for a half to which he would be fairly entitled, had he been allowed by Mr. Ogilvie, late Principal Collector of Chittoor Zillah to remain in the Service only for a small period of 11 months wanting to complete 30 years as required by the Rules of Superannuation Pension to be eligible for half a Pension Viz.t. 50 Rupees whereas /3d. Pension 32-9-3 has been unreasonably conferred upon your Memorialist on account of the deficiency of 11 months service above stated.-

18. Upon the 1st. Order alluded to in the 11th. Para received from the Supreme Government Eight further Petitions Nos. 25.26.27.28.29.30.31.32 A in No. 32/ in the accompanying packet marked B/ from 12th. April 1843 to 10th. October 1845 submitted to the above authority as noted in the Margin praying to grant justice by providing Half a Pension Viz.t. 50 Rupees whether Sanctioning it by the Supreme Government or by Submitting to the favorable notice of your Honorable Court together with the whole papers connected with the case of your Memorialist/which are lying before the Supreme Government/ To which the following 2nd. Order/ No. 33 in the accompanying packet marked B/ has been issued on the 25th. October 1845 to your Memorialist.-

No. 740.

To C. Rayah Row

Late Tasildar of Teravatore in the Zillah of Chittore.

Fort St. George

In reply to your Petition of the 10th. Instant, I am directed to state that, your application for an increase of Pension should be preferred to the Government of Fort Saint George.-

By Order of the Honorable the President in Council

/Signed/ x x

Secretary to the Government of India

Fort William
The 25th. October
1845

11158

20. Note
No. dated 29th.
December 1842
2 Do. — 12th. April 1843
3 Do. — 16th. Augt. Do.
4 Do. — 22d. Novr. Do.
5 Do. — 14th. Augt. 1844
6 Do. — 29th. Jany. 1845
7 Do. — 5th. March Do.
8 Do. — 24th. April Do.
9 Do. — 10th. Octr. Do.

2d. Order of the Supreme Government.

Home Dept.

19. Your memorialist is unable to judge what measures he should adopt to relieve himself from the insufferable distress, to which he is subjected these 9 years since from the causes particularized in the 15th. Para, and passing it over unnoticed by the authorities to whom appeals have been made more than 43 times successively to that effect, Viz:, 27 Petitions or Urzees to Mr. Ogilvie, late Principal Collector of North Arcot, 5 to the Board of Revenue, 2 to the Government of Fort St. George and 9 to the Supreme Government, but, to no effect, for, neither a single authority took notice of the claims laid down before them, nor has passed a reasonable order in time that has reference to the prayers. The object of the prayers of your memorialist is why he was not allowed to remain in the Service for 14 months to which the sum of Rupees 17-6-9 have been sacrified being the difference between Half and 1/3d. Pension. If the Superior authorities are not inclined to question the injustice done by their Subordinate, Mr. G. M. Ogilvie Principal Collector in the Zillah of Chittore, agreeably to the Rules published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date the 16th

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

may 1837, they should have granted the prayers of your memorialist whether sanctioning it themselves if it lies in their power, or submitting the whole papers without loss of time to the favorable consideration of your Honorable Court; but, not thus deprive the poor memorialist of his regular advantage or right.

20. The British Government is always considered as a charitable one, but, not despotic like other Governments. The honor and support which the Madras Government have manifested to shew towards Tasildars in the Circular Order dated 22d. may 1835 / No. 11 in the accompanying packet marked B / if impartially extended in the case of your memorialist they would not have decided the case in such a fruitless manner, and the above order strictly prohibits the Collectors to transfer Tasildars from one Talook to another, but, the Principal Collector, the Board of Revenue, and the Government have not been pleased to apply it in the supportless case of your memorialist, whereas his immediate employer advised him to apply for Pension thro an English

English Note / No. 10 in the accompanying packet marked A / in direct opposition to the Circular Order aforesaid.—

21. The Circular Order above alluded to / No. 11 in the accompanying packet marked A / letters from and to Sudr. Adawlut and Government No. 5 and 6 in the accompanying packet marked A, and Copies of Proceedings of the Provincial Court for the Southern Division No. 2 in the accompanying packet marked A will themselves speak highly of the services of Tasildars in and in the situation of Serishtadar of the Southern Provincial Court and District Moonsiff in the Centre Division your memorialist, had for a period of nearly 29 years, served to the entire satisfaction of his immediate Superior placing utmost confidence upon the Honorable Company that they will reward his services; whereas, it has proved otherwise by the grant of 1/3d. Pension unjustly without allowing him to remain in the service even for 14 months to complete a service of 30 years to entitle him easily to Half a Pension, which tho applied and appealed to the authorities gradually no proper redress was granted.—

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

22. Your Memorialist has only been suddenly removed from the situation by the unreasonable cause of his immediate Employer, late Mr. C. H. Hallets note Vide No. 10 Altho all the authorities to whom applications or appeals made heretofore were unwilling to adhere the proposal made by him in his Petition Viz.t. to allow him to remain in the situation for a small period of 14 months, they should have taken the services of your memorialist into their consideration as a Special one upon the precedent of Government having once granted an increase of 40 Rupees while he was Serishtadar of the Southern Provincial Court / as a Special case / and bestow on the Memorialist with a pension of 50 Rupees being one half of his late Salary as requested in his repeated applications to the Employers of your memorialist, whereas 1/3d. Pension Viz.t. Rupees 32-9-3 has been granted which has not only deprived your Memorialist a monthly salary of 100 Rupees per mensem, but also throws obstacles in his way of further promotion

promotion and even getting at least Half a Pension which he could legally claim as a matter of Right of his long servitude with the British flag. The distress he suffers since these 9 years by a reduction of 17 Rs. 9/ being the difference between the Half 50 Rupees and 1/3d. Pension 33 Rs. 3 As. 3 per Mo. from 28th April 1837 up to this date cannot be ended in writing besides the non compliance with the Prayers of your Memorialist by the Principal Collector was detrimental of his being fairly and easily entitled to Half a Pension without being troubled himself and the authorities

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

23- Had the Principal Collector brought to the notice of Madras Government the prayers of your Memorialist prior to his being recommended for Pension they would have sanctioned either the continuance of your Memorialist in the service or the grant of Half a Pension as a special case upon the strength of his laborious and responsible services.-

24- The Bundle (No. 11 in the list which accompanied 1st. Petition to the Supreme Government on the 29th. December 1842) contains the correspondences of Gentlemen under whom your Memorialist had the honor of serving in the Judicial Department for upwards of 19 years. by which it would appear the high opinion entertained by them in his favor. The papers in question are in the Supreme Government.-

25- Your Memorialist submitted 16- Takeeds or appointment Orders marked Nos. in the list above alluded to and which are now in the Supreme Government by which it would appear the high opinion with which Mr Charles Roberts has been pleased to place your Memorialist in the situation of Head Police & Revenue Officer for a period of 9 years and a half or until his embarkation

embarkation to Europe.-

26. Your Memorialist most humbly begs leave to represent further that it would be superfluous on the part of your Memorialist to enter more detailedly upon the Subject of his case for it (the Petition) together with all the originals as the list which accompanied it already submitted to the Supreme Government on the 29th. December 1842 will on a perusal & Consideration convince your Honorable Court in Such an explanatory manner as your Honorable Court may wish to have.-

27. In conclusion your Memorialist takes the liberty to implore your Honorable Court will be so pitiful towards him who stands unsupported in the world for these 9 years & who has rendered his services to the Honorable Company with great Credit to himself and much beneficial to Government, and protected by relieving him earlier from his distress. It is not Justice to see a Public Servant that has been maintained for a Considerable time under shadow of the British Government suffer a want in time when every Comfort and assistance should be rendered to him as

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.-

a mark of his past Services.-

28. Your Memorialist further begs leave to implore that if your Honorable Court will reconsider the whole of the case of your Memorialist together with the letters from & to Sudr Udalut and Government (No. 346) and the English note No. 10 it would clearly appear that his case may thereby be disposed of as a Special one vide 4th. Para of Pension rules (No. 14).-

29. In conclusion your Memorialist begs leave to observe that all the authorities in India except the Governor General who at last has been pleased to order your Memorialist to apply the Madras Government for an Increase of Pension on the 25th. October 1845 retaining the whole papers relative to the case by which it is inferred that the Governor General in Council is inclined to support the claims of your Memorialist when laid before him by a regular channel that is Madras Government. The several authorities have passed their decisions in different shapes but the meaning of which nearly corresponds each other. No notice was taken as to the grievance and injustice laid before them all the Rules & Regulations were framed as if justice is made

made to cases similar to that of your Memorialist who from his personal experience these 9 & Years since can avowedly say that Justice on which the administration of British Govern=ment is said to have been founded has been deprived in his Memorialists case - your Memorialist beg to submit it for your clemency whose decision is final which puts an end to the hopes. If the important services and claims of your Memorialist are taken into an impartial view he (your Memorialist) entertains no doubt that his past services will as a special case upon grounds set further in the accompanying Papers marked A meet with a better reward Viz. Half a Pension 50 Rupees instead of 1/3 Viz. Rupees 32 - 9 - 3 which will relieve him from the great distress of finance to which he is now subjected to for want of Justice & keep him in a comfortable State during the remaining portion of his days and also enable him to offer his utmost prayers to the Almighty God for the prosperous State of British Government under which he had the honor of serving in High & [illegible]

trusts for 29 years. Two Packets marked D. from No. 1 to 15 & B from No. 16 to 33 are herewith & Submitted for the Honorable Courts Kind perusal and consideration; the former Duplicates of papers regarding the Services and cha=racters of your Memorialist and the latter of all the Petitions addressed to the Principal Collector. Board of Revenue Madras and Supreme Government together with their decisions thereon (Originals of all those documents are now in the Supreme Government) which will Clearly shew the whole particulars of the case of your Memorialist.

30. Your Memorialist begs leave to Subjoin herewith a list of Papers Submitted for the Honorable the Court of Directors.

For which act of Kindness Your Memorialist as in duty bound Shall Ever Pray.

Madras 17th May 1866

Signed C Rajahrow late Tahsildar.

11158 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

List of Papers herewith Submitted to the Honorable the C
of Directors by C. Rajahrow Memorialist dated the 17th Augt

No.	Letter of Documents	Remarks
1	Memorial to the Honorable Court of Directors	
2	Opinions of the late Employers from No. 1 to 15 marked A	
3	Copies of Petitions &c. addressed to the Principal Co
North Arcot the Board of Revenue the Governme
of Fort St. George & Supreme Government from No. 1
to 33 marked B.	
4	Original Resignation of Memorialist dated 18th Dec
Original order of the Supreme Government dated
6th February 1843.	
2d	or last Original order of Do. Do. dated 25th October 18
Besides the above 16 Yakeeds or appointment Orders marked C
the List which accompanied the 1st Petition to the Supreme
Government dated the 29th December 1842 by which it would
appear the high opinion entertained by Mr. Charles Roberts
placing the Memorialist in the Situation of Head Police
Revenue Officer for a period of 9 years and a half are
the Supreme Government and Bundle marked No. 11 on the
List above alluded to Continuing the correspondences of
Gentlemen under whom your Memorialist had Served
in the Judicial Department which expresses their high op
towards him are also in the Supreme Government.	

Madras
17th May 1846

/Signed/ C. Rajahrow.

Nos 2 to 7. Encls. Documents in Tamil.

Ordered that the following Letter be addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government.

11159 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

2-3

To the Chief Sect. to Govt. Reporting on a memorial addressed to the Honble Court of Directors by C. Rajah Row late Sheristadar of the Seravatore Talook of the North Arcot & stating that it is for Govt. to consider whether his services have been such as to render him deserving of any peculiar indulgences

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of a resolution of Government dated 17th July last No. 745 referring to them for report the papers which accompanied an Extract Minutes Consultation 11th July 1846. being a memorial and enclosures addressed to the Honorable Court of Directors by C. Rajah Row late Sheristadar of Seravatore Talook of the North Arcot.

2. From these documents the Board learn that C. Rajah Row served above 19 years in the Judicial Department as English Writer Naib and Head Serishtadar and upwards of nine years in the Revenue Department as Tahsildar, making a total service of years 28-9-26 and that the last appointment he held was that of Tahsildar of Seravatore.

3. On the 20th February 1837 it appears that Mr. Hallet the Sub Collector of Chittoor wrote to Rajah Row advising him to resign his situation because

Mr.

Fort St. George 3d September 1840.

Mr. Ogilvie the Principal Collector would not allow him to remain in Teravatore and promising at the same time to use his best exertions to obtain a pension for him - In compliance with this intimation Rajah Row sent in his applica-tion for pension on the 23d of that Month and begged that he might be allowed to draw his full salary until the pension was sanctioned On the day following the sub Collector ordered him to deliver over charge of the Talook to the Peishcar who was appointed to succeed him which order was at once obeyed - Rajah Row continued to draw his full pay until 27th April 1837. the day on which he was recom-mended for pension to the Principal Collector of North Arcot, and his pension of one third was sanctioned on the 16th September 1837 with retrospective effect from 28th April 1837 Intermediately on the 26th June and again on the 6th August 1837, he had renewed his application to be permitted to draw his full pay until his pension was sanctioned, but without effect -

4. From 18th March 1837 to 9th July 1839 Rajah Row petitioned the Principal Collector 15 times to allow him his full pay

Fort St. George 3d September 1840.

from the day he delivered over charge until the date the Government sanctioned his pension. as well as to procure for him half pension although he wanted 11 months and 4 days to complete his full term of thirty years to entitle him to that allowance or if that could not be complied with to permit him to continue in the service until he had completed his full time - In July 1839 he received an Endorsement to the effect that his case had already been decided and pension granted. -

5. In 1839 Rajah Row presented several petitions to the Board, who after refer-ring to the Principal Collector of North Arcot informed him by endorsement, that he had received a pension of one third of his salary agreeably to the Pension Rules - but if he had any further claims on Government he should apply direct to that authority -

6. Petitioner then addressed Govern-ment, who stated in reply that they could not confer on him a higher rate of pension than the Rules authorized - he next memorialized the supreme Government, who replied that they could not reverse the decision of the Governor in Council of Madras upon a matter peculiarly

peculiarly within the province of the local Government.

7. Finding that his several applications to the local authorities and to the Supreme Government were fruitless, he now Memorializes the Honorable Court to grant him a pension of one half the amount of his salary (to which rate of pension he would have been entitled had he served only 14 months longer) in consideration of his having been compelled to give up his employment, contrary to his own wishes, after meritorious services of nearly 29 years.

8. In regard to the Petitioner's statement and particularly his allegation that he was compelled to resign his appointment, the Board would remark that the transaction occurred nearly ten years ago. Of the revenue authorities who at that time conducted the management of the District, the Sub Collector (Mr. Hallett) is dead and the Principal Collector (Mr. Ogilvie) has some years since quitted the service, but it may fairly be presumed that these Gentlemen did not urge upon Rajah Row the propriety of retiring from public life, unless they were convinced that from infirmity, Physical or Mental, he was no longer equal to its duties. If he were disqualified by either of these causes for

for the office of Sahukar to have retained him in it for the space of 14 months longer merely to entitle him to a larger rate of pension at its close would have been palpably very objectionable, as by the Rules for regulating superannuation pensions certain rates of pension are assigned to specified periods of services. Individuals may fail to fulfil the required periods by a very few months or even days, but if the line be drawn, it must be maintained or the principle is lost. With regard to the nature of the services performed by the petitioner, there seems to be nothing in the documents which accompanied his Memorial to shew the manner in which he discharged his revenues duties. Papers serving to indicate the high opinion entertained of him by Mr. Principal Collector Roberts are said to have been forwarded to the Supreme Government but not returned. The Board observe however that Rajah Row was for many years Deputy and Head Seristadar of a Provincial Court, and in that capacity seems to have obtained high testimonials from the Judicial officers under whom he served but whether his services have been such as to render him deserving of any peculiar indulgence must be for the consideration of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d. September 1816.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 3d September 1846.

4

From the Secy to Govt

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th August 1846.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 20th July 1846 No 349)

The Government do not learn from the foregoing Proceedings the mode in which the Collections from the Bungana pilly Sazhire have been disposed of or the Amount applied in each of the two years under review to the adjustment of the Nabobs Debts. It is stated that in Fusly 1254 there was the sum of Rupees 51493-7 available for this purpose which would reduce the balance still due to the Creditors to Rupees 24650-1-4 and this amount it was calculated would be adjusted by different periods extending from 2 to 16 years. The Government deems it of importance that these Demands should be adjusted as early as possible and will be glad to receive any suggestions towards that object.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thomspson
Secy to Govt

4-5

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information of the Government Agent in Tinnevelly with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 20th July 1846.

Mr Scott will be pleased to furnish at an early date the information required by Government

Fort St. George 3d September 1846

6

From the Secy to Government sanctioning the continuance of certain subsistence allowances in the district of Tinnevelly during the life of the present incumbents & the payments made to the Yeomiahdars since Fusly 1252 calling for further explanation regarding the Yeomiah of Rs 130-8 per annum enjoyed by Enayat Cawn

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th August 1846.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly furnishing explanation respecting certain Yeomiahs paid in that District, and recommending that sanction be granted for the continuance to the present incumbents for life of their subsistence allowances, and also for the actual disbursements in Fuslies 1253 and 1254.

(Here enter 29th June 1846 No 226)

Under the explanation furnished in the letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly submitted with the foregoing Extract the Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the continuance of the undermentioned allowances which were originally granted in charity during the life of the present incumbents and also the payments made to the Yeomiahdars since Fusly 1252 subject however to examination and check in the Office of Audit which will show that the Resolution contained in para 17 of the Minutes of Consultation of the

Fort St George 3d September 1846

the 12th December 1845 No 1438 is duly complied with—

Subsistence allowances now authorized

		Per Annum
No 7.	Nabeeshaha	Rupees 49-7-6
20	Fathemakbeebee	" 26-1-8
13	Sathoeja Beebee Syed Bauboo Syed Ally Syed Kausum	at Rs 10-4-2 each " 41-10-8
14	Syed Fakundeen Cauzee Syed Aboobaker Mooftee Syed Faizoolla	" 49-2 each " 147-6-0
38	Mahomed Allee	" 23-13-4
40	Shaik Mahomed Shubbeluna Hoonies Ninah	" 15-11-4 each " 31-10-8
42	Saunder Mohodeen	" 18-2-8
46	Ahomed Khan Meer Abdul Saunder	" 65-4-2 each " 130-8-4

2.

As regards the Yeomiah of Rupees 130—8—2 per annum enjoyed by Enayet Cawn, it is not explained under what circumstances this individual received in addition to his own share the amount which had lapsed by the death of Mohomed Khan, one of the five disciples among whom the Yeomiah was divided on the death

* Mahomed Khan (dead)
Salaimon Shaw (dead)
Meer Essoof (dead)
Enayet Cawn
Ahomed Khan

Fort St George 3d September 1846

of the original Grantee—The Board will be pleased to supply information on this point and also state by whose authority the grant was continued On the receipt of the information now called for, orders on this case will be given—

Being a subsistence grant,

3.

the share of Salaimon Shaw was properly discontinued on his demise, and that of Meer Essoof should have also reverted to Government instead of being continued to Meer Abdul Saunder—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Govt

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

6-7

Resolution thereon

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Boards Proceedings to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 27th May last—

In Cons 29th June 1846

Mr Parker will be pleased to furnish the information called for by Government in Para 2.

Extract

Fort St. George 3d September 18[illegible]

8

From the Secy. to Government

Directing that the sale of the Pauky and Dunlah Estates in the District of Ganjam be cancelled and that the Coll. be instructed to assume and retain charge of the property for the present

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 31st August 1846

Read the following papers

Letter

From the Secy. to the Bd. of Revr.

(Here enter 3d Augt 1846 No. 368)

Memorandum

From the Secy. to the Bd. of Revr.

(Here enter 11th Inst. No. 887)

Petition

From Mr. Ramiah Pantulu

Son of late Balaram Pantulu

Proprietor of Polaky &c.

Pondahs in Ganjam, thro'

Vakeel S. Krishniah

(Here enter 28th July — " — 1050)

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council approves of the course of Proceeding recommended by the Board of Revenue in respect of the Estates of Polauky and Duntah in the Ganjam District, and accordingly directs that the sale of these Estates be cancelled, and that the Collector be instructed to assume and retain charge of the Property for the present.

2 The sale of the Bamanka and Lutchmeepooram Estates which is

Fort St. George 3d September 1846

adverted to in para 3 of the letter from the Board of Revenue dated 3d August 1846 No. 368, would not appear from para 5 of their previous communication of the 13th February 1845 No. 105, to require the confirmation of Government—

3. The Board of Revenue will cause the foregoing orders to be communicated to Mr. Ramiah in reference to his Petition to Government dated 28th July 1846—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Co. Jno. Thompson
Secy to Govt.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

8-9

Order thereon

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter and Memorandum to which it relates, be furnished to the Collector of Ganjam for his information and guidance, in reference to his communication of 10th June last—

Inbons 3 Augt

Mr. Bannerman will be pleased to take immediate measures for assuming charge of the Polaky and Dunta Mootahs, the sale of which has been cancelled. He will repay the purchase money to the present holders, and will make such further arrangements as may

Fort St. George 3d September 1846

(12)

From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th August 1846.

(From Board 20th Augt)

Resolved that the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the sum of Rupees (276 15 8) Two hundred and seventy six annas fifteen and pice eight, paid by the Collector of Canara to the person who acted as Tahsildar of Coondah from July to December 1845.

(Signed) C. F. Thompson
Secy to Govt

(12-13)

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 27th July last.

(14)

From the Deputy Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d August 1846.

Directing that the sum of 2000 Rs ordered by Government for the maintenance of the Rajah of Vezianagrum & his family do take effect from the 1st April 1846 & the remittances regulated accordingly and that no further remittance be made beyond that ordered for their maintenance.

To R. G. Clarke Esqre
Deputy Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have had the honor to receive Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 25th June, having reference to the affairs of the Rajah of Vezianagrum, Copy of which has been forwarded for his information and guidance to Canara.

Fort St. George 3d September 1846

Government having been pleased

2

to resolve that Rupees two thousand only shall be remitted for the maintenance of the Rajah until some definite arrangement for the future has been made, I request you will inform me from what date it is wished that this arrangement shall have effect.

I beg also to know whether it is

3

desired that the former Scale of allowance shall be paid up to the date of the commencement of the new Scale. That allowance was one Lac of Rupees a year, but a portion only was remitted by Instalments of Rupees 6000 a Month. The Balance due was sent in one sum at the close of the Fusly year.

The arrangement aforesaid

4

continued up to the 31st March last, since which no payments have been made agreeably with the Minutes of Consultation of the 23d December last, which contemplated the severance of the Officers of Government from the management, and directed that the Rajah should either in person or by his agent receive charge of his Zemendary on or before the 31st March 1846. I now therefore request to be informed whether the Balance calculated at the rate of one lac a year up to the first April last, is or is not to be

be remitted to the Rajah—

5

The Rajah of Vizeanagrum having expressed his readiness to nominate a Manager, and to receive charge of his Zemmindary through his agent, seems to have complied with the orders of Government noted above, and an opportunity is thus afforded of withdrawing from the responsibilities of the management, if such a course is deemed really desirable—

6.

Allusion has been made to the embarrassments of the Zemmindary and the probable necessity of selling a portion of it to discharge the same. There seems to me to be no present necessity whatever for such a measure. The debts for which acknowledgements have been given in this District amount to Six Lacs and ten thousand Rupees, the charge for interest being 36000 and odd Rupees per annum to meet that, as well as every other engagement, the Revenues of the Vizeanagrum Zemmindary if the Estate was only managed with ordinary ability would amply suffice, while money also at the same moderate rate of Interest could readily be obtained from private Parties

for payment of the Benares debts. The absence of the Rajah would in no respect impede, as his presence would not facilitate the arrangement of his affairs—

Vizagapatam District
Vizeanagrum
Governor's agent's office
8th July 1846

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
agent to the Governor

To the Agent to the Governor of
Fort St George
Vizagapatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Most Noble in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Ultimo—

2.

Under the orders of Government of the 23d December 1845, the 31st March last was named as the latest date to which the Rajah of Vizianagarum should prolong his absence, and the Government could consent to retain the charge of his Zemmindary—

His Lordship in Council resolves therefore to direct the new Scale of allowances fixed by the orders of Government of the 25th June, to take effect from the 1st April 1846 and the remittances will be regulated accordingly—

His Lordship in Council also desires in reference to para 4 of your letter that at present no further remittance be made, beyond

11176.

Fort St. George 3d September 18

beyond the 2000 Rupees for Mennen f
the maintenance of the Rajah and h
family—

3. Adverting to paras 5 and 6
His Lordship in Council directs me to cal
your attention to the views expressed by
the Honorable Court and by the Governme
contained in the Minutes of Consultation of
25th June last The Government expect
that in lieu of apparently advocating the
course now pursued by the Rajah you
will further the wishes of Government
by impressing on the Rajah the propri
if not necessity of his immediate retu
to his Estates His Lordship in Counc
can place no confidence in the
estimate you have formed of the
liabilities of the Rajah, as experience
has shewn, that the most extravagant
expenditure has been indulged, and
debts contracted at Benares with a
reckless disregard of consequence—

(Signed) R L s Clarke
Deputy Secy to
Government

14-15

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

11177

Fort St. George 3d September 1846.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

16

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 6th Ultimo, I have the honor to report, that sentence was passed by the Head Assistant Collector upon the Petitioner Pooloo-goontah Kanakaraze (late Goomastah) on the 7th October 1843, and no appeal as prescribed in Section VII of 1828, was made within three Months from that date to the Officer then in charge of the District. The Petition for reemployment, which he presented to me assenting his innocence and praying me to examine the record of the Head Assistant Collectors Proceedings bears date the 31st May 1844—It therefore appears unnecessary that I should transmit the proceedings—

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 5th August 1846

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Resolution thereon

16-17

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 37 of 1846—
Petitioner appears to have been convicted by the Head Assistant Collector of fraud and embezzlement under regulation IX of 1822 he made no appeal in the manner prescribed

11178 Fort St George 3d September 18

prescribed in Clause I Section XI Regulation
VII 1828 within Three Months from the date
the sentence 7th October 1843 and the Board
cannot now review his case His re-
employment must rest with the Collector

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

18

From the Collr of
Rajahmundry

Sir,

With reference to the instruc-
tions conveyed in the Extract from the
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated
6th Ulting, I have the honor to report to
I have this day shipped on board the
Honorable East India Company's Steamer
"Hugh Lindsay" Consigned to the Collector of
Sea Customs at Madras, 28 Bundles equal to
50 Bags of Seed Paddy to be forwarded to the
Collector of Tanjore

containing
990 Coochums

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 26th August 1846

Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

Read also the following letter

19

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the 2d Collr
of Sea Customs

Sir,

I have the honor to forward

11179 Fort St George 3d September 1846

Copy of a letter from the Collector of Rajah-
mundry under date the 26th instant and request
to be favored with the instructions of the Board
of Revenue respecting the disposal of the
Seed Paddy therein alluded to

Sea Customs House
Madras
27th August 1846

Signed H. Morris
Acting Collector
Sea Customs

4

To the Collector of
Sea Customs
Madras

Sir,

With reference to the in-
structions of the Board of Revenue
veyed to me in an Extract from their
Proceedings of the 6th Ulting I have the
honor to inform you that I have this day
Shipped on board the "Honorable East India
Company's Steamer "Hugh Lindsay" consigned
to you 25 Bundles equal to 50 Bags of
Seed paddy to be forwarded to the Collector
of Tanjore

containing
990 Coochums

Rajahmundry
District
Cocanada
24th August 1846

Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

Ordered that the
following letter be sent in corre-
spondence

To

11180 Fort St George 3d September 1846

To the Acting Collector of
Sea Customs
Madras

(18-20)

To the Actg Collr of Sea Customs

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting his having shipped on board the Steamer Hugh Lindsay 28 Bundles of Seed Paddy consigned to you for the purpose of being forwarded to the Collector of Tanjore

24 Augt in Cons 3d Sept 1846

2

The Board request you will be so good as to receive the paddy under your charge for the present and to take measures for despatching it by Sea to Negapatam by the next convenient opportunity

Revr Board Office
Fort St George
3d September 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

11181 Fort St George 3d September 1846

Read letter from the Collector of Masulipatam dated 7th July 1846 reporting on the settlement of the Estates under attachment for fusly 1254-1844-45

In Cons 29th July 1846

(Here enter No 56)

21

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Estates under attachment in the District of Masulipatam for Fusly 1254-1844-45

(Para 1 to 18)

Of the entire number of Estates under report, 26 were under the Collectors management during the previous year. The season varied but with some exceptions was favorable for the wet Crops. the dry crops suffered from excess of moisture in Covally and the wet lands of Davercottah, Hykaloor Boojabalapatam, Toomedy Wootcoor and Shreeramavaram experienced a deficiency of rain.

2. The following Statement exhibits the Settlement of the whole of the Estates under review the results in the 26 Estates under the Collectors Management during Fusslies 1253 and 54 being separately shewn

Estates

York & Yorkshire Society. 1854.

No.	Names.	Address.	Occupation.	Proposed by	Seconded by	Elected
1	Allen,					
2	Beverley,					
3	Brown, Thos.					
4	Clarendon,					
5	Dyer,					
6	Ely, Wm.					
7	Fredericks,					
8	Glen, Robert					
9	Hardy,					
10	Hawkins,					
11	Kirkpatrick,					
12	Lamb, Benjamin					
13	Mitchell,					
14	Nicholson,					
15	Sherrington,					
16	Smith,					
17	Townsend,					
18	Thompson,					
19	Wilkes,					
20	Young,					

Letters.

No.	Names.	Address.	Subject.	Date.	Answered
1	Allen,				
2	Beverley,				
3	Brown, Thos.				
4	Clarendon,				
5	Dyer,				
6	Ely, Wm.				
7	Fredericks,				
8	Glen, Robert				
9	Hardy,				
10	Hawkins,				
11	Kirkpatrick,				
12	Lamb, Benjamin				
13	Mitchell,				
14	Nicholson,				
15	Sherrington,				
16	Smith,				
17	Townsend,				
18	Thompson,				
19	Wilkes,				
20	Young,				

Collections. Different sums. July 1853 July 1854

No.	Names.	Address.	Occupation.	Proposed by	Seconded by	Elected
1	Allen.					
2	Beverley					
3	Brown Thos.					
4	Clarendon					
5	Dyer					
6	Ely Wm.					
7	Fredericks					
8	Glen Robert					
9	Hardy					
10	Hawkins					
11	Kirkpatrick					
12	Lamb Benjamin					
13	Mitchell					
14	Nicholson					
15	Sherrington					
16	Smith					
17	Townsend					
18	Thompson					
19	Wilkes					
20	Young					

Letters. Received

No.	Names.	Address.	Subject.	Date.	Answered
1	Allen.				
2	Beverley				
3	Brown Thos.				
4	Clarendon				
5	Dyer				
6	Ely Wm.				
7	Fredericks				
8	Glen Robert				
9	Hardy				
10	Hawkins				
11	Kirkpatrick				
12	Lamb Benjamin				
13	Mitchell				
14	Nicholson				
15	Sherrington				
16	Smith				
17	Townsend				
18	Thompson				
19	Wilkes				
20	Young				

Collections. Different sums.

preference should always be given to individual settlements, each individual being allowed according to his means to take up a given extent of holdings where lands ordinarily cultivated have been lying waste, or progressively increasing viz.—

6. "The increase in the settlement of this Estate is owing to a greater extent of land having been brought under cultivation and a larger quantity of produce obtained therefrom as will be seen from the annexed Marginal Statement.—

Land.	Produce
C. V. P.	C. V. P.
53-8-8-2½	34-1-15 11/16
54-16-2-0	65-14-2 13/16
Increase 79-13½	31-12-35 2/16

Para 85 & 115.

7. As the Board await the special report called for in the Wast-ereddy Estates in Extract Minutes of Consultation 13th February 1846 it only remains for them to notice in this place the general results of the year under report.—

In Cons. 2nd March 1846.

Para 91.

8. The property was placed under attachment for arrears of revenue in the middle of the July or December 1844 and the settlement was made accord-ing to the engagements previously entered into with the Ryots by Ramanadha Baboo

The total number of Villages in the Estates now under consideration is 162 of which 48 were held on annual and 91 on quinquennial and tricennial leases, the remaining 23 are Mocassah and Agraharums.—

Para 98.

Amount of Settlement for July 1254 including Extra items not specified in the rents	21,607-12-8	
Leases concluded by Ramanadha Baboo including other items	47,616-1-4	
Ditto on agraharums &c.	5,134-4-4	
Total settlement of July 1254		74,358-2-4
Do. Do. of July 1253.	66,686-6-10	
Increase	7772-11-6.	

Para 96.

9. The total Demand on these Estates was 74,358-2-4 which is in excess of the settlement of the previous year by Rupees 7772-11-6.—

Investigadores Mukkoolamanadar Caldindy.

10. The settlement of certain Estates near the Coast and composed almost entirely of wet lands was formed on the Estimated Circar share of the produce as well as with reference to the number of Ploughs and the extent of land settled on Nazar and Bilmeeta tenures together with the visits of Peeravati Garden lands and Money Collections. The Board will expect in future more explicit information regarding the principles and details of the settle-ment which is observed in these Estates.—

Colonial Secretary Dunlop. Para 106 & 113.

11. In these Estates tho' forming a dependant portion of the Nundegamaab property it not virtually so the proprietor

proprietor having been allowed to have direct transactions with Government the Collector takes a brief review of the results of the management of the Proprietor from the period Fusly 1248 (1838-39) of his being entrusted with the superintendence of the property which however it is unnecessary to comment upon further than to observe that a progressive augmentation in the resources of the Estate is visible from that period Fusly 1253. the time when the Estate was placed under circar arrangement by attachment for arrears of Revenue amounting to Rupees 11,708-2-4. The decrease in Fusly 1253 (1843-44) occurred principally in the Teerwa put. lands and was owing to the unfavorable character of the season. The Settlement of Fusly 1254 exhibits an increase of Rupees 1,401-7-1 as compared with that of the

	Settlement	
	Rs.	a. p.
Fusly 1248 (1838-39)	7,152	13. 8
" 1249 (1839-40)	9424	5. 6
" 1250 (1840-41)	10,668	10. 4
" 1251 (1841-42)	12,104	10 4
" 1252 (1842-43)	12,843	13. 11
" 1253 (1843-44)	11,758	9 7
" 1254 (1844-45)	12,160	2. 8

Para III Statt. in the Margin

Cistawals	19,868 — 4 — "
Settlement	12,160 — " — 8
Difference	7708 — 3 — 4

Upper Zemindary Pages 114 @ 130. 12.

but falls below the Peishcush by Rupees 7,708-3-4.

A large balance was outstanding against the Zemindary in consequence of which it was deemed advisable to place the property under attachment owing to the unpopular character of the Zemindar's Manager ment before this event had occurred several of the principal inhabitants presented Petitions complaining of over assessments collected by compulsory means and praying for a modification of the quinquennial lease which had been adopted by the Zemindar; consequently the ryots abandoned their cultivation in consequence of which an enquiry became necessary which the Collector commenced on the Zemindar expressing his readiness to give his assent to any modification of the assessment that it might be found indispensable

The Zemindar's quinquennial lease

Fusly 1252 (1842-43)	Rs. 88,792	a. p. 14 "
" 1253 (1843-44)	93,190	" "
" 1254 (1844-45)	96,099	12 "
" 1255 (1845-46)	98,317	4 "
" 1256 (1846-47)	100,359	12 "
	4,76,759	10 "
Average	95,351	14 9

	Rs.	as.	p.
Fusly 1254	53,523	4	8
" 1255	80,901	12	"
" 1256	81,202	12	"
Total	2,15,627	12	8
Average	71,875	14	11
Average of the Zemindar's lease } Vide Para 118.	95,351	14	9

Average from Fusly 1230 to Fusly 1239 — 92,570-2-6.
Do. of 20 years to Fusly — 1251 — 75,675-13-7
Do. of 10 Do. from Fusly 1242 to Fusly 1251 — 58,781-9-7
Do. of 5 Do. from Fusly 1247 to Fusly 1251 — 71,566-14-3

indispensable to adopt. The result of the investigation was the introduction as of modified rents as shewn in the Margin the average of which was more in proportion with the present resources of the property than that at which was represented by the quinquennial leases concluded by the Zemindars: and the Collector reports that "the expectation founded on the revised settlement have been realized to the fullest extent."

Para 117. 13.

The foregoing observations have reference only to the Mayoor and Madoor Purgunahs it remains for the Board merely to observe with reference to the other Estate composing this Zemindary that the aggregate Demand for Fusly 1254 exceeded that of the antecedent year by

Rupees 13,886-5-5 thus making the total demand on the property Rupees 12,599 4-5-7 which yielded a surplus after payment of Peishcush of Rupees 2,799 4-5 7 demonstrating the capability of these Estates to meet the Peishcush Demands. The property was made over to the Nazeed Zemindar in conformity with the orders of Government on the conditions stipulated by the Collector as described in the last para of the report under review.—

The following tabular

14.

Statement for each Estate shews the Collections made on account of the demand and the balances that are still due.—

Estates.

1191

Fort St. George 30th September 1845

No.	Estate	Settlement Fasly 1254	Collections. Within the Fasly	Subsequent	Total	Balance
1	Ellore	13,141 2 11	12,078 13 2	1,217 - -	13,831 7 5	1,364 3 8
2	Canally	6,794 8 2	5,278 10 3	6,136 7 -	11,539 5 9	6,212 1 4
3	Davercottah	11,571 7 1	9,223 3 9	3 - -	1434 13 11	142 - 5
4	Chenoobundah	1579 14 4	1434 13 11	- - -	1165 13 4	1143 13 -
5	Innagadapah	1,309 10 4	1,165 13 4			
6	Ry Kaloor	12,025 7 -	11,264 5 5			
7	Boyabalapatam	13,152 12 1	12,537 7 6			
8	Chenpandrica	3,604 11 1	3372 9 6	348 14 9	4,024 6 -	3,239 1 10
9	Poomedy	4,098 8 5	3,544 4 9			
10	Valavadam	10,882 1 3	9,156 12 1			
11	Chintalapoody	13,988 12 3	13,160 6 10	344 13 10	13,505 4 8	483 7 7
12	Ramadingavaram	500 14 1	387 14 9	- - -	387 14 7	112 13 4
13	Vurtullapully	333 10 5	289 8 6	- - -	289 8 6	44 1 11
14	Wootcoor	1,588 11 10	1,511 9 11	- - -	1,511 9 11	76 10 11
15	Cavamavaram	3,347 1 10	3,306 3 10	- - -	3306 3 10	40 14 -
16	Calagara	30,510 10 8	26,639 4 11			
17	Nundegama	18832 8 -	13052 10 9			
18	Panoogunchepirole	3,600 4 11	2789 2 6			
19	Batavole	4,129 4 6	3999 9 7			
20	Innagoodooroo	1,395 2 1	1338 - 2	547 14 8	1,885 14 10	509 7 3
21	Ancoolamanand	2,503 5 -	2,502 10 1			
22	Cauldindy	8,561 - -	8,382 9 -			
23	Condapully	1,336 1 9	642 10 3			
24	Namalay	3,783 3 5	3,743 11 -			
25	Six Islands	12,160 - 8	11,446 13 10	24 9 10	11,471 7 8	688 9 -
26	Chintalapauty vuntoo	29,268 14 11	26,629 12 9			
27	Weyore	28,801 11 8	29,334 4 9			
28	Madoor	35,125 11 5	29,635 9 11	864 3 1	30,500 13 -	4,625 - 5
29	Nonnatalam	21,677 11 7	16,663 3 9			
30	Gollapully	6,062 2 7	5,266 2 11			
31	Veerayaroy	5,257 11 5	4,180 10 8			
32	Chatroy					
	Total	4,10,582 - 1	3,61,348 5 -	9,286 9 11	3,70,634 14 11	39,947 1 2

From

15. From the foregoing Abstract Statement it will be perceived that of a demand of Rupees 4,10,582-0-1 the sum of Rupees 3,70,834-14-11 was brought to account leaving at the date of the Collectors report a balance amounting to Rupees 39747-1-2 for future adjustment.—

16. The following Statement exhibits the Current and outstanding balance of Peishcush that are now due on each Estate from which it will appear that with only two exceptions, the Estates are burdened in most instances with heavy liabilities.—

Estates.

No.	Estates.	Peishcush	Current Balance	Arrears	Total Balance
1	Ellore and Cavalley	39383 7 7	25182 7 7	25,615 1 6	28,369 8 9
3	Davercottah	1,02,690 " "	12,163 12 "	29,532 2 4	30,885 14 4
4	Chanoobundah	784 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	Ingadapah	1316 " "	" " "	2567 6 11	7567 6 11
6	Ky Kaloor				
7	Bogabalapatam				
8	Chenapondrica	38,550 14 9	6023 5 "	151,245 12 4	157,180 2 4
9	Poomedy				
10	Vatavadam				
11	Chintalapoody	11550 " "	350 " "	23,159 6 5	23,509 6 5
12	Ramasingaveram	676 " 3	436 " 2	1,809 " 11	2,178 14 3
13	Vurtullapully	626 13 1	309 13 2	1,809 " "	" " "
14	Wootcoor	22,19 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	Meramaveram	530 8 9	490 8 9	501 11 1	992 3 10
16	Calagara	2,237 8 2	" " "	179 11 1	179 11 1
17	Nundegama				
18	Punoguncheprole				
19	Batavole				
20	Inagoodooroo				
21	Ancoolamanaud	122332 4 "	66,251 9 2	9,50,425 9 9	10,16,786 2 11
22	Caldindy				
23	Condapully				
24	Namalay				
25	Six Islands				
26	Chintalapoodyguntoo	19868 4 "	9,168 4 "	15888 " 7	1,65,056 4 7
27	Weyore				
28	Madoor				
29	Noonastalum				
30	Gollapully	2,98,000 " "	" " "	19,006 6 7	19,006 6 7
31	Vejayaroy				
32	Chatroy				
		6,40,775 4 5	1,20,680 15 1	18,65,808 8 10	19,86,495 7 11

The

Fort St. George 3d September 1846

Para 26-

17. The Board proceed to take into consideration the future disposal of the Estates that have formed the subject of the foregoing review. In Para 26 the Collector reports his intention to bring to sale the Ellore and cowally Mootahs in conformity with the orders of the Board of the 9th October last, which measure is approved.—

Mr. Porter will be so good as to furnish a special report on the present state of the irrigation of these two talooks and the further steps he contemplates taking for developing the resources of the property. His attention is requested to the observation of Government in reference to the irrigation of these Estates by attention to which, it is stated, "it is confidently hoped that they will again arrive at their former flourishing condition."—

From Govt 2d Feby 1843 Para 6. Letters 6th Feby 1843-

18. This Estate will be made over to the Proprietor at the close of the fasly in obedience to the orders of Government dated 27th April last.—

Para 33. Pauncottah Letters 18th May 1846-

19. The Chennoobundah and Injadapah Mootahs have already been delivered

Fort St. George 3d September 1846

delivered over to the Superintendence of their Proprietor as directed by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 6th December last.—

Letters 15th Decr 1845-

20. As the Estates named in the Margin belonging to the Zemindar of Mylaveram have reverted to Government by purchase, the Collector is requested to furnish a special report on the state of the irrigation and the measures that are necessary to ensure their improvement. Mr. Porter's best efforts should be directed to furnish Toomedy and Chena Pondraca with a source of supply independant of the falling rains.—

Para 64- Tyleadoor Injabalapatam Chena Pondraca Toomedy Malavadum Huntalapoody

21. The Watcoor Estate has been given up to the management

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

management of the Zemindar and the
Board approve of the intention of
the Collector to put up for sale the
Estates Ramadengaveram and
Sreeramavarum The Balance on
Calegam is greatly reduced and unless
the affairs of its Proprietor are irre-
trievably embarrassed the Board pre-
that the attachment will be soon remove

Para 103 22 The transmission of the
separate report on the Kundegamah
Estate should be expedited as it is
desirable that final orders should be pass
for the future disposal of the Property.

7 Keyan Recd 23 These Estates have been
again made over to the Noogeed Zemindar
their Proprietor as alluded to in another
part of these Proceedings. —

Ordered that a Copy of
the Board's Proceedings be submitted
to Government and a transcript of the same
be forwarded to the Collector of Masulipa-
tam for his information and guidance

Read

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George —

(22)

From the Collr of Masulipatam — Sir

Submitting the application of the church warden of Stree Vanoogopaula Swamy Pagoda for the continuance of 4 Cutties of Enam land in Dokepurroo Sillage and recommending its restoration —

I have the honor to request you will lay before the Board the accompanying Copy and Translation of a Petition addressed to me by Agnehotram Rookma Charlu Church warden of Stree Vanoogopaula Swamy Pagoda situated in the Town of Masulipatam praying that four Cutties of Enam land Viz.ts 2 of Dry and 2 of Wet land in Dokepurroo Village belonging to Vinnacottah Purgunah granted for the daily Offerings of the said Pagoda by Rajah Canadana Sobhanadry Row Zemindar of Charmahal in Fusly $\frac{1210}{A.D.1800}$ which had been resumed in Fusly $\frac{1238}{1828-29}$ may be continued to the Pagoda.

2. It appears that the Enam in question was held under a Sunnud granted in Salwahan 1723 or Fasly 1210 corresponding to A.D. 1800 by Rajah Canadana Sobhanadry Row Zemindar of Charmahal was continued uninterruptedly till Fusly $\frac{1237}{1827-28}$ as surve-

Doombalah

Doombalah Enam or free from any assessment and that according to the orders of the Board conveyed in Para 6 of their Proceedings dated 22d. September 1828, the Enam was attached and re-annexed to Virnacottah Purganah on the ground of its having been granted by the Zemindar subsequent to Fusly 1212 / 1802-3.

See Boards Proceedings 20th June 1831. Para 9.

3. The Petitioner has produced the Original Summud above referred to as well as the Chakrorumah executed by the Villagers for the land in question.

Fusly 1210 " 1212 - 1215 - 1216 & 17 - 1218 to 1221 - 1223 to 1225 - 1229 - 1230 to 1234 - 1235 & 36.

4. He has also produced 18 Original Doombalahs for the years noted in the Margin which were granted to him from Fusly 1210 till the Late of the resumption by the orders of the Board.

5. The Original Summud and the Doombalah for Fusly 1210 only are herewith submitted for the Board's consideration with translations thereof as I consider the transmission of the other Documents is unnecessary.

6. The above documents were not however produced during the investigation which took place relative to the Enams in the year 1830 in consequence

of the Petitioner having proceeded on pilgrimage to a distant country and the Enam in question was attached merely on the report of the Curnums of the Village, who availed themselves of the Petitioners absence from the spot to fabricate a false statement to the effect that the grant had been made subsequently to Fusly 1212.

7. The several accounts lodged in the Records at the Kuzzoor as well as the report of the Tahsildar of Goodewadah Taloob who has instituted an enquiry into the subject both from the Tanah and Village Accounts as well as the Ryfeut delivered in by the Inhabitants of Dokepurroo Village on the subject fully prove that the grant was made previously to fusly 1212 / 1802-3 and enjoyed under the said Pagoda since that period till the date of the resumption.

To Board 15th May 1839. Boards Proceedings 30th Dec. To Board 30th June 1841. Boards Proceedings 15th July 1842.

8. Under these circumstances and with reference also to the Correspondence noted in the Margin I am of opinion that the four Cutters of Enam land above alluded to should be restored to the Petitioner in favor of the Pagoda.

9. The two Original documents herewith forwarded, it is requested, may

&c

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

to be returned for delivery to the Petitioner.

Masulipatam,
Collector's Cutchery
5th August 1846.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector.

No. 1.

Translation of an arzee addressed to E. E. Ward Esqre Head Assistant Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Agnihotrum Rookmacharloo Church Warden of the Pagoda of Stree Vanoogopaulaswamy swar situated at Godoogoopettah in the Town of Masulipatam, dated 22nd June 1841.

For the performance of the daily offerings and Lamp lights &c in the said Swamy Pagoda, the Zemindar of Vinnacottah and Goodewadah Purgana having a long time prior to fusly 1212. that is to say in fusly 1210 corresponding to Friday 5th Decreasing Moon Chytrum year Doormaty granted an Enam of 4 Cutties of land in Dokepurroo Village in Vinnacottah Purganah Viz: 2. Cutties wet and 2. Cutties Dry land as Surva Doombalah Enam during the time when my father Kristamacharloo was alive with a Sunnad executed under his

/Zemindars

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

/Zemindars/ seal and signature and issued a Taukeed in the name of the Villagers. and the Canpoos and Curnums of that Village have also defined the limits of the said 4 Cutties of land and executed a Chakoonamah under date Monday 6th increasing Moon Vyoukhum year Doormaty ever since the produce yielded in the said Enam had up to fusly 1236 been continued to be applied for the use of the daily offerings of the said Swamyvaur as per Doombalah granted by the Zemindar and the Company's Officers &c as Surva Doombalah Enam, without any interruption, but afterwards having been necessitated to undertake a journey on pilgrimage to Stree Rungum and Tirumalacharloo &c places I have at the eve of my departure retained my younger brother Vullabharloo &c persons in my house for performing the divine services in the said Temple, set out on pilgrimage and after a stay for 10 or 12 years in those Countries returned here one year ago, but on my enquiring my brother having told me

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

me that ever since I went on pilgrimage the said Enam land was not used to be brought under tillage and no produce yielded, the the performance of the daily Offerings &c. of the Swamy Pagoda was obstructed, tha thereby the Compound walls of the Pagod and the Godowns &c. attached to it have been delapidated for want of repair, and that a great inconvenience is felt to the per formance of the daily offerings and lamp lights &c. I proceeded to that Village to get the said Enam cultivated, but the Caupoos and Curnams have prevented me saying that since a period of 10 or 12 years the said Enam was not entered in the Accounts as it was not brought under Cultivation, and that as the Talook is under attachment, permission should be obtained for allowing the Enam to be cultivated and inserting the same in the Cuscar accounts. As there is no other means left to thes Swamy Pagoda except this Enam, if this means is prevented from con tinuance, a great prejudice for the due performance of the daily Offer ings and Lamp Lights &c. other festivities

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

festivities of the swamy vaur and also for the repairs of the Pagoda &c. will be experi enced, and thereby the Pagoda will become extinct. I therefore pray your honor who is the emblem of justice and equity, will on a thorough perusal of this my Arzee and on reference to the Sunnud and Chukoonamah &c. documents in my profession as well as to the accounts lodged in your Kuzzoor and on a scrutiny through the Villages, be pleased to pass an order in the name of the Gooder wadah Tahsildar so that the Enam land in question may according to Maamool be brought under plough and the produce thereof appropriated for the use of the daily Offerings &c. of the Swamy Pagoda aforesaid.

(Signed) A. Rookmacharlu.

No. 2.

Translation of a Deed of Gift executed in the name of Sreegopaulaswamy vaur situated at Godoogoopettah by Rajah Canadana Sobhana dry row Zemindar of Vinnacottah &c. charna hat Estates under date Friday 5th Deerasing Moon Chytrum of the year Doormaty Corres ponding to Salewahana year 1723.

Whereas for the purpose of performing the daily Offerings and lighting

lighting the Lamps in your Pagoda on
Thane this day devoted by virtue of this
Deed 2 Cutties of wet and 2 Cutties of
Dry land making in all 4 Cutties which
it is hoped should henceforward be brought
under Cultivation every year and the pro-
duce yielded in it continued to be applied
for the daily Offerings Lamps festivals &c
&c of the said swamy Pagoda—

/Signed & Sealed/ Rajah Sobhanadry
dry row.

No 3

Translation of a Doombalah or perm
of produce written by Rajah Camadan
Sobhanadry Row in the name of Peddas
Nagarauzer Dated 4th Stravanasoodh
year Doormaty or fusly 1210—

The Produce yielded for the
current year in the Enam land of ex
Stree Gopaulaswamy var which is situ
ated in Dokepurroo Village in the
Pinnacottah Purgunah has hereby be
permitted. You are therefore directed
to allow the Church wardens to take
profession of the produce in
question according to Maumood.

/Signed & sealed/ Sobhanadry Row—

Under

Under the explanation
Resolution thereon afforded in the above letter the Board of Reve-
(22-23) nue authorize the restoration of the four Cutties
of land in question to Agnehotrum Rookma-
charloo for the benefit of the Stree Vanoo-
gopaula Swamy Pagoda—

Read the following letters—

To. J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(24)

Sir

In the accounts rendered by
the Suttanapully Zemindar when the manage-
ment of his estate was taken out of his hands in
Fusly 1253. there appeared a balance outstanding
against one Shettkasavadoss of Gantoor on account
of the rent of two villages Jonnalagudda and
Gundemeda from Fusly 1246 to 1250 of
Rupees 24.5½—7. the whole of which sum
was after investigation included by the Com-
missioner under the head of "Recoverable"
the defaulter being a Sowcar of reputed
wealth. On the 6th January last the
Ameen reported that the defaulter
on being pressed for payment disputed
the

the justice of the demand on the plea that he had already discharged the amounts in support of which he referred to receipts of the Zemindar and an account from which it appeared that the Zemindar had given him credit for Rupees 1120-7-3- value received by cloths, goods and ready cash supplied to the Zemindar in his private transactions and for the remainder he claimed credit on the same ground altho' his claim was not supported by similar documents- As however the Zemindar had not credited any part of these sums on the public Accounts and had moreover while managing the sequestered estate on behalf of Government, no authority to carry monies collected on account of revenue to his private account the defaulter's plea was considered inadmissible and the Ameen was ordered by the Head assistant Collector in charge on the 19th January to serve a demand upon him for the arrears as above stated- This was accordingly done, whereupon Kesavadas filed a suit in the Court of the Principal Sudder

Sudder ameen at Guntoor against the Collector, the Zemindar and the Ameen- The draft of an answer in this suit with application for sanction to defend it at the public expense will be submitted to the Board shortly- In the mean time such property as was forthcoming was attached on the 2d April- The Government Vakeel has now communicated copy of the Proceedings of the Principal Sudder ameen under date the 14th Instant directing that the demand shall not be enforced till the suit be decided together with copy of a reference made by that Officer to the Sudder Adalut with the Court's reply thereto- I now enclose translation of the Principal Sudder Ameen's proceedings and Copy of the Correspondence referred to in it- It will be observed that in their proceedings of the 7th March 1842 the Court of Sudder Adalut give their opinion that process of arrest can only issue on account of balance due for the Current Fusly and that immediately expired and that for the recovery of a balance of longer standing, a suit must be instituted before the Court-

Being at a loss to discover the grounds of such a construction of the Law

2.

Fort St. George 3d September 1846.

Law. I have the honor to solicit the opinion and advice of the Board on this point as speedily as convenient. The news of this opinion has already spread rapidly in the District and if it be maintained as of general application nearly the whole of the balance prior to Fusly 1254 in this District elapsed by the Commissioner as "Recoverable" which amount to upwards of two lacs of Rupees may be relinquished as the alternative of filing a regular suit against each individual defaulter would seem out of the question.

Guntoor Collectors Office Dindy, 19th May 1846. } /Signed/ H. Stokes. Collector.

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Principal Suder Ameen of Guntoor dated 14th May 1846.

Read Extra Petition No. 66 together with the reference made by this Court on the 6th February last to the Court of Suder Adalut thro the Civil Judge of Guntoor, and the reply thereto dated 28th April from that authority thro the Civil Judge; and read also Extract from the Proceedings of the Suder Adalut dated 7th March 1842 which accompanied the above Return. It is evident by these documents that a demand should be made for the balances outstanding in the present and last year only, and that a regular suit be instituted for the recovery of the balance alleged to be outstanding for the previous Fuslies.

Fort St. George 3d September 1846.

2 Ordered therefore that the Demand made for the amount of rent from Fusly 1246 to 1250 regarding which a Suit had been instituted against the 1st Defendant be not enforced until the Suit is hereafter tried and determined on the merits in this Court.

Ordered further that Copy of these Proceedings be granted to the Government Vakeel if required.

/Signed/ C. Venkata Ragava Charloo. Principal Sudr Ameen.

To The Civil Judge of Guntoor

Sir

1 I have the honor to state that one Shanti Casavadoss, Venkatadoss Sowcar presented a complaint and a motion in this Court stating that he rented from the Sattampilly Zemindar the Villages of Gondewada and Jonnalagudda attached to his Talook (which is now under the Circars management for Fuslies Viz. from 1246 to 1250) and paid the amount of the Sist as well be shewn by the

the documents in his profession such as receipts &ca granted by the Zemindar, that whilst a balance of Rupees 12-7-- only remained against him the Head Assistant Collector in charge of Guntoor issued on the 28th Ultimo fasly 1255 a demand against him allowing a time of 3 days to recover from him Rupees 2,454 -- 7 on account of the balance alleged to have been accumulated during the manage- ment of the Zemindar from Fasly 1246 to 1250 with which his lease has expired and caused it to be stuck up to the wall of his house and that his (Sowcars) property is about to be Zufted irregularly by the Ameen to the prejudice of his character and dignity and requesting the Court to perusie the said receipts &ca and annul the above demand and also to issue orders so that the attachment of his property may be postponed. The written demand produced by the complainant shews the same abovementioned

2. Altho' the existence or otherwise of the said balance as a point to be determined in the investigation, yet I beg to know the propriety or otherwise of the issue of the demand which

appears

appears to have been issued by the Head Assistant Collector in charge under Section VIII Regulation XXVIII of 1802 for the Collection of the said balance.—

3. Having gone thro' the said Regulation I find that the amount of shists payable by Renters is to be paid on an average according to the kistbundy that if the sum of any kist falls due it should be considered as arrears from the day of the expiration of the period of kist and that the defaulters should be regularly demanded for the arrears and on failure of payment his property be attached By the said Regulation I con- strue that it is just before the expiration of each fusly year to collect by means of a written demand the shists fall due in the said Fusly.—

4. As it is not mentioned in the said Regulation that the amount of arrears should be collected by means of written demand at any period after it was fallen due and consequently it is not consonant to the provisions of the said Regulation to issue a demand after about 5 years since the expiration of the lease for the recovery of the balance which was gradually accumulated during the time of the Zemindar

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

Zemindar from Fusly 1246 to 1250 in the same manner as a demand is issued for such unquestionable balance as might stand at the end of the same fusly.—

5. I therefore request that you will be pleased to obtain for me the opinion of the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut as to whether or not the construction placed by me on the Regulation above quoted is right.—

/Signed/ C. Venkata Ragava Charlu
Prnt. Sudr ameen—

To J. Horsley Esqr.
Civil Judge of
Guntoor—

Sir,

1. In reply to your letter of the 12th February last, forwarding papers relative to a reference made by the Principal Sudr Ameen of Guntoor respecting the constructions of Regulation XXVIII of 1802—

2. I am desired by the Judges of the Sudr Udalut to transmit a copy of proceedings held by the Sudr Udalut under date the 7th March 1842 which appears to contain the information sought by the Principal Sudr Ameen.—

Sudr Udalut Registers Office 28th April 1846—

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Register
Extract—

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date 7th March 1842

Read letters dated respectively the 21st April and 11th June 1841 and 21st January 1842 from the Provincial Court in the Northern Division submitting copies of two letters from the acting Zillah Judge of Rajahmundry in which that officer refers the question whether it was competent for him to receive under Section XXXIV Regulation XXVIII of 1802 a complaint filed by a Zemindar against his Renters for arrears of Revenue due previous to the current fusly or whether those arrears must be sued for in a regular Suit.—

The Court of Sudr Udalut are of opinion that process of arrest can only issue on account of a balance due for the current fusly or for that immediately expired and that for the recovery of a balance of longer standing a Suit must be instituted before the Court.—

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Provincial Court in the Northern Division for communication to the Judges in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

For

11214 Fort St. George 3d September 1846

for his information and guidance.—

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. Doug

Register—

(24-25)

Proceedings thereon— The Collector is requested to state what further Proceedings have been taken since the despatch of the above letter, upon the subject to which it relates, and why the plaint in the suit filed in the Guntoor Principal Sudr Ameen's Court, and draft of proposed Answer mentioned in Para 1 have not yet been forwarded to this Office.—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26)

From the Collr of Guntoor— Sir,

With reference to the 3d para of my letter of the 18th April last, I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the proposals obtained by the Ameen of Datchapully for the cultivation on money rates of wet lands in 7 other Villages in the Palnaud. There remain

11215 Fort St. George 3d September 1846

remain still 5 Villages containing wet lands, but they cannot be cultivated in the present season as the Tanks are out of repair. I propose to accept the proposals for one year as explained in my letter above quoted.—

Guntoor Collrs Cutcherry,
Dindy ——— (Signed) H. Stokes
5th June 1846— Collector—

Abstract.—

t. St. George, the 3rd September 1846.—

osals for Cultivating wet lands on money rates, obtained
the Puniyere ottah Panaah of Palnaad.—

| | | |

stand prominently forth in this case, independently of the testimony of witnesses, are, in my Judgment conclusive as to the guilt of the accused. In reporting the value of the property destrained by him, he declares the grain is all damaged, and in the list which he forwards, he sets down the value of all that is saleable as Rupees 149. The same grain was subsequently sold for, Rupees 520-10-4 while at the same time he reported that only 7 Candies and 15 Poons were fit for sale, whereas 25 Candies and 14 Poons were found saleable. His plea that he estimated the grain without taking it out of the pits is of little avail, because it was his duty to satisfy himself of the correctness of his appraisement of the destrained property, or if he had substantial grounds for giving only a conjectural value, he should have explained them in his Arzee. So far from this he sends an unqualified statement of the exact quantity and value of the serviceable grain, and adds, that the value he has put upon it will not be realized, unless his recommendation be speedily carried into effect.

5. But besides these facts, there is oral testimony that his recommendation was the result of corrupt negotiation with

with the defaulter, whose property was destrained, and that a bribe was paid to him for it, and tho' much weight might not be due to this testimony were it unsupported, it does seem credible in the connexion in which it stands.

6. With respect to the non payment of S. Soobiah's Salary for three months during which he was kept at the Huzoor I considered that as no order had been issued up to the time of his suspension, I might await the issue of the investigation into his case before giving any. I understand that he was called to the Huzoor in the belief that his presence in the Tanah obstructed the enquiries of the Commissioner. As however he was not under suspension, I conclude he was entitled to his pay.

7. Of his former services and character I have only to say, that my first acquaintance with him was as a witness in support of one of the late Sheristadar's charges against Subbarayalu Venkatasastrulu, and I always regarded him as a deep and dangerous character, a staunch supporter of Sashagerry Row, and of all the abuses which it was the aim of that party to uphold. Mr. Goldingham shortly before he left the District had

had appointed him to the situation of Head of Police of condaveed on 40 Rupees salary with the view of removing him from the Hazoor. In Mr. Mathesons' arrangement he was brought back to the Hazoor as asistant Sheristadar on 70 Rupees; and my reason for sending him to be ameen of Poloor was to place him where his influence would be less injurious and his mal-practices more easy of detection than in the Hazoor.—

Guntoor Zillah,
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Pannoor 2d. July 1846

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector.—

(28-29 Proceedings thereon.)

Conferring the Leasing power by the Head Asst. to the Coll.r of Guntoor under Reg.n IX of 1822. against Somaya Zola Sooliah late Ayah of Bapatlah Tank in that district awarding the payment of a fine of 100 Rupees.

The offences for which the Petitioner S. Soobiah was arraigned consisted of two charges the first for making a false entry in the account regarding the quantity and value of certain Grain attached by him on account of arrears of Rent due by one Bellumcondah Vencaya for Attalore and other Villages and secondly for receiving as a bribe the sum of 50 Rupees from the said B. Vencaya in consideration of the advantage accruing to him by such false and fraudulent entry in the Public accounts.—

2. It appears on reference to the evidence which was taken in the

the investigation of the charges brought against the accused that S. Soobiah in person attached the property of the defaulter which among other items consisted of a quantity of grain stored in pits and without taking any measures to ascertain the actual quantity and condition of such grain made a memorandum in his own hand writing of the alleged contents of the several pits and caused a list to be drawn out in the regular form for transmission to the Collector in accordance with such memorandum and forcibly compelled the other defendants in the case (who were acquitted in the trial) consisting of the Maduttgar of the Tannah and the Curnoms and Moonsiff of the Allatoor Village to attach their signatures to such list as witnesses to the correctness of the contents which represented the total quantity attached to be 26-67/C of which only 7-3/C were represented as pit for use the estimated value of the latter being 149 Rupees-Whereas it appeared on a re-examination of the grains subsequently made by a Maduttgar deputed for that purpose from the Hoozoor that the true quantity of grain contained in the pits attached by the Petitioner comprised 28-3/C of which 25-14-6 were saleable and in good order and of the Estimated value of Rupees 206-13

but

but which subsequently realized Rs 520-10-4 being in excess of the value of the quantity represented as serviceable by S. Soobiah by Rupees 371-10-4. a difference which being more than treble in quantity and value that contained in the list furnished in the first instance excludes, as observed by the Examining Officer Mr. Newill, the supposition of involuntary inaccuracy on the part of S. Soobiah who it further appeared in evidence had urgently represented that the least delay in accepting certain terms offered by the defaulter for the liquidation of a portion of the arrears due would prejudice the realization of the amount he had estimated and which he recommended should be released from attachment.—

3 It does not appear necessary to refer at any length to the evidence connected with the second charge Viz.t. that of bribery as the case was not pressed to conviction but was adduced rather to prove the corrupt motive which existed for furnishing a fraudulent return of the grain in question on the part of the late ameen.—

4. Upon a review of the whole case as shown in the evidence laid before them and taking also into consideration the defence

set forth by the accused the Board are of opinion that the appellant S. Soobiah has been fully convicted of making a false and fraudulent entry in the public accounts in respect to the value and extent of the grain in question and that he has committed an offence comprised in the provision of class 4 of clause 2 Sec. 2 Regulation IX of 1822 as extended by Act. 56 of 1837 and they accordingly confirm the sentence awarding the payment of a fine of 100 Rupees passed upon him pursuant to the tenor of clause 4 Sec. 5 of the above Regulation.—

Ordered accordingly that the following Endorsement be passed on appeal Petition No. 786 of 1846 returned with the foregoing letter.—

"The Board of Revenue having given their careful consideration to the evidence connected with the charges brought against the appellant together with the defence set forth in justification of his conduct have confirmed the decision passed upon him by the local authorities under the provision of Regulation IX of 1822.—

Read the following letter.—

To T. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

30

From the Asst. Coll.r of Chingleput.— Sir,

I have the honor to transmit an advertisement for the sale of certain Mootahs on account of arrears of Revenue due for Fasly 1258 and request you will cause it to be published in your office.—

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullearreiy 14.th August 1846

[Signed] E. Maltby
Asst. Collector

Advertisement.—

| | | |

11225 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

Resolution thereon.

(30-31) The Board authorize the publication in their office of the advertisement which accompanies the foregoing letter, the 5th. October next being fixed for the day of sale. The acting Collector will be pleased to make a corresponding alteration in the advertisement published in his office.—

Mr. Maltby will be pleased to report by the 25th. Instant the amount of arrears which may be outstanding on that date and what measures may be proper to take in Consequence.—

Read.

11225 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(32) From the Act. Collr. of Chingleput— Comparative Statement of Gross Collections for Fasles 1254 & 1255.

(33) From the Actg. Collector of Chingleput— Comparative Statement of Gross Charges for Fasles 1254 & 1256.

(32-34) Order on the two abovd. Statements— ordered to be lodged in the Office.

(35) From the Asst. Collr. of Chingleput— Read letter submitting an application from his Assistant Mr. A. P. Mc. Donell for permission to

11227 Fort St. George 3d. September 1816

to reside in Madras —

for the ensuing two —

Months for the purpose

of completing his studies

and passing an exa-

mination for the

honorary Reward —

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted thro'

(35-36)

the Channel of the Civil Auditor for

the favorable Consideration of Government.

Read the following Letter —

To

11228 Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(37)

From the Sy. Collr. Patchapa Street — } Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings under date 30th ult. Patchoa last regarding the Enam held by Roopaiah Bee and to forward a copy of the Register thereof as required by the Board.

2 It appears that the original Grantee was succeeded by his son Cossim ally the party named in the Register, the date of his decease is unknown, but the Enam was registered, by the Sub Collector in the name of Roopaiah Bee the present incumbent as the Grand daughter by adoption of the original Grantee brother and in the year 1839 on its being shewn that Pacha Bee had received the allowance of 6 Rupees per annum from the Enam for about 18 years the Principal Collector Mr. Ogilvie ordered that it should be continued to her — Mr. Ogilvie's order appears to be founded not merely on the fact that the Petitioner had been long in receipt of the allowance but also aware of any grounds as generally rule applicable to the case —

3 The Petition of Patcha Bee No. 8 of 1816 is herewith returned —

North Arcot — — — —
Collectors Circuit Cutchery
Palmanair — — — —
24th July 1816 — — —

(Signed) R. C. Lovell
Sy. Collector
Translation

Fort St. Georg September 1816

Translation of Taluk of Sweet for Fasly 1749-

Number of Pattahs	Name of the original Grantee	As per Summary account.		As per Survey account.							
		Poonjah		Nunjah		Total		Total			
		Land	Jeernah	Land	Jeernah	Land	Jeernah	Land	Jeernah		
1	2	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
		C	C.	C	C	as Sheyd	f. C	C	as Sheyd	f. C	C	as Sheyd	f. C
36	ayan Dues for Moorsiar maishadah	Gal. Mar. Gah. Jag.	1	4									

1 12 37 h " 2 0 15 32 " " " " " " 5 " 21 27 bg

North
Collect
Sal
24th

Fort St. George 3d. September 1816

Amount of Judee 23	For what purpose the Enam was allotted 24	Appearance in the Horizon for Enquiry 25	Date of enquiry 26	Remarks. 27
Hypathy Charity	Reform 25th. Octr. of the original Summud ally 1809.	having been lost during the War of the Year of Fasli		

(True Translation)

(Signed) Wm. Cn. Lovell

Asst. Collector

Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

37-38

To The Chief Secry. to Government

Submitting the reference & Extra Mumbo Consultation 4th October last Letter from the Acting Collector with Ariedas answering Enquiry Cost from the Revenue Board explaining the circumstances under which a grant of a Hyraty & Inam Village Charitable Government was made to Annam Bee with an Allowance of Rupees per annum from the Enam to Packa Bee & stating that the Board are not aware of any Fixed & general rule for observance in cases of this description

Ans. Cons. 3d. Sept. 1816

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address-

To-

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation 13th. October last desiring them in reference to the Boards' letter of 11th. September 1816 to procure from the Collector of North Arcot and Extract from the Register of the Enams showing under what Circumstances the grant to Vorsiem Bee was made and further to ascertain by what authority the Enam was registered in Fasly 1232 / 1822-23 in the name of the present incumbent, and by what authority she was required in 1839 to pay 5 Rupees annually to Packa Bee also to state whether there is any fixed or general rule for observance in such cases-

2 In reply the Board direct me to submit the accompanying letter from the acting

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

acting Collector forwarding Extract from the Register of Enams from which it will be perceived that the grant was a Kyraty or charitable endowment. It was registered by the Sub Collector in Fusly 1232 in the name of the present incumbent Hossain Bee apparently without any direct authority, but on the score of her being a grand daughter by adoption of the original grantee her brother. From about the same time the Petitioner Basha Bebee in virtue probably of some relationship to the first grantee seems to have been allowed 5 Rupees annually from the Enam. The order of the Principal Collector Bylaie in 1839 directing the continuance of the allowance appears to have proceeded from consideration of the length of time during which it had been drawn.

3 The Board are not aware that there can be said to be any fixed or general rule for observance in cases of this description. In the event of disputes arising among parties sharing in an Enam it has been usual for the Collector to make the best adjustment of their differences that he

8th January 1823.

Note. She states herself to be his grand sister's grand daughter.

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

he was able to effect. In the present instance it will be for the consideration of Government whether the Petitioner Basha Bebee shall continue to draw 5 Rupees annually from the proceeds of the Enam as she seems for some years to have done - or whether (C. 11 D. 11 Sep. 14 1831) any further investigation of her claims shall be held, and in that case whether the enquiry should be conducted by the Civil Court or by any other authority. It must of course be understood that any investigation which may now be authorized will in no way preclude the Government from taking such measures as they may think fit in regard to the Enam itself on a lapse occurring.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George - - -
3d. September 1846 - -

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 10th and Entered in Con. 16th July last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To

11232 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

39

To The Collector of Salem.

To The Collector of Salem.

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement submitted by you under date 10th July last recommending payment of arrears due to certain Yeomiahdars named in the Margin. The date of the authority of Government for these Yeomiahs is stated to be 28th April 1835. On reference to the Lists of Yeomiahs which received sanction on that date the Board cannot find the name of Fanspah Bee they are therefore led to suppose that she is a sharer in one of the Yeomiahs entered in the above lists or that she has succeeded to the enjoyment of one of those Yeomiahs - if so, you will be pleased to specify the number in the Lists of the Yeomiah enjoyed by her or in which she has a share the names of the other sharers and the portion drawn by each - and the authority under which the several shares are held.

Arrears due Rs. As. P.
1 Paupah Bee 2 6 11
2 Fanspah Khanum 5 8 -

From Pr. Collr. of Salem to Civil Auditor 28th January 1834 in Cons. 5th Jan. 1835.

From 2d. to Dy. 21st. Decr.

You will also be pleased to state whether Fanspah Bee the Yeomiahdar No 2 in your statement is the same individual who is stated in the Civil Auditor's List to be one of the daughters of Hoosseen, and if so the Board wish to know in how many shares the Yeomiah in question is divided the names of the sharers and the portion drawn by each, and under what authority.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d. September 1846.

(Signed) J. Byerley
Secretary

11233 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

Proceedings thereon - Pun. Con. 30th July 1846.

40

Read letter from the Collector of Salem returning Petitions Nos. 1392 of 1842 and 990 and 1176 of 1845 with his Endorsements.

(Here enter No. 71)

From the Collector's Endorsement on petition No. 990 of 1845 it appears that a Poolliary Jaw of Rupees 8-3-1- is payable according to the Pymash settlement by the Poyats of Selagenpatty Agrau formerly a village but now attached or resumed for the privilege of grazing on the waste land of the village of Hanumanthan. The Jaw seems to have been intermitted for some years but in Fasly 1254 it was reimposed and Rupees 25-10-6 collected - In Fasly 1255 the Jaw is said to have reverted to its original amount Rupees 8-3-1- the Collector is requested to furnish some further information on the subject and to state under what circumstances the Jaw is leviable to what Villages the right of pasturage

11234 to 236

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

pasturage enjoyed by the Ryots of
Selagempatty applies and why the
charge made upon them for Fasly
1251. is so much in excess of the
Pymash Pollam-

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on the other two
Petitions Viz.

1392 of 1842

The charge of carrying away the Petitioner's
has been enquired into but the proof failed
the rest of his petition- Petitioner is referred
to the Board's endorsement of August 18
on his Petition No. 1354 of 1843-

1176 of 1846-

"Petitioner does not appear to have
any title to the Meerasee offices which
he claims being only distantly related
the last Curnam-"

The Board of Revenue sanction the
registry of the Curnamship of the Jaghire
of Pamaraty in the name of Seshamal Vinay
the last Meerasidar and allow her to enter
a Chamundah to be appointed by the Collector
of the Jaghiredars Chamundahs Emoluments

ordered

11237 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

Ordered that a Copy of the
Order thereon- foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the
(40-41) Collector of Salem-

Read the following letters-

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(41) I have the honor to forward
From the Collr. of Salem- four Petitions Nos. 218 of 1841 and 8 of 1842,
682 of 1842, 148 of 1841 and 808 of 1845 with the
Endorsements-

Salem Zillah Dankencottah Cutcherry — — —

11238 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

that one man cannot perform the duties of Inoneger in seven Villages. The attention of the Collector is called to this circumstance, and he will be pleased to see that such arrangements are made as shall ensure the due performance of the Inonegars duties in all the eight Villages which are included under the same Incrafsee.

Ordered that the following Endorsements be passed on the several Petitions received with the foregoing letter respectively

2148 of 1841 and 8 of 1842.

The Complaints made in these Petitions seem to be without foundation. In regard to the performance of the Inonegars duties in their Villages, Petitioners are referred to the Collector to whom instructions have been sent upon the subject.

682 of 1842.

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector no further notice of this Petition is necessary.

148 of 1841.

Petitioners appear from the report of the Collector to have carried on concealed cultivation for some years. The fraud was eventually discovered

11239 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

discovered, and the parties charged with a portion of the assessment due upon the concealed lands. The Board see no reason to interfere in their behalf.

808 of 1845.

The assessment which Petitioner has been required to pay appears from the report of the Collector to have been properly charged against him, and the Board see no cause to interfere.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(44)

From the Collr. of Salem. Forwarding a Statement of old Stamp Papers remaining unadjusted in his Collectorate and requesting the Sanction of Government for the irrecoverable amount being written off in the Accounts.

Sir,

Fort St. George

I have the honor to transmit a Statement of old Stamps papers amounting in Rolls to 509 and valued at Rupees 509-1-0 remaining unadjusted in the accounts of this Collectorate up to the 12th July 1817 during the time of the late Mr. Hargrave, and after deducting the sum of Rupees 256-3-2 subsequently recovered on this account there remains a balance of Rupees 250-13-10.

As this deficiency took place in the late Zillah Court of this station on the account of papers forwarded there the

Subordinate

Subordinate Judge who had been called upon to recover the balances informs me that the parties by whom the sums have been due, have either died or not to be found, he is unable to recover any further sums as will appear from the accompanying Copies of his letters dated 11th June and 4th October 1815 and as the Superintendent of Stamps is very desirous for the early and final adjustment of the balance, I have therefore the honor to request you will be pleased to bring the Circumstance to the notice of Government for sanction being granted for the amount in question which is old and irrecoverable nature being written off in the accounts of this Collectorate—

Salem District
Denticottah - - -
Colrs. Cutchery - - - -
10th August 1816 - -

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Statement

No. 1

Statement of old Stamp Papers remaining unadjusted in the Collectorate of Salem up to the 12th July 1817 the sums subsequently recovered & that remaining irrecoverable—

		Rs	A	P
	Balance of old Stamp papers remaining on the 12th July 1817 and amounting to Rupees 509	509	1	0
1818 March.	By Cash received from the Zillah Court and credited under the Head of "Stamp Revenue" & included in Pagodas 372-6-58 in the Account Current for that Month	206	14	0
Novr.	By Do. from Do. and credited under Do. and included in Rupees 569-15-11 in Do. of that Month	3	0	0
1819 February	By Do. from Do. and credited under Do. and included in Rupees 569-13-11 in the account Current for that Month	5	0	0
August	By Do. from Do. and credited under Do. and included in Rupees 871-2-1 in the Do. for that Month	4	0	0
1815 June	By Do. from Do. and credited under Do. and included in the Journal for that Month	35	5	2
August	By Do. from Do. & credited under the Do. in the Journal for that Month	4	0	0
	Total Receipts	258	3	2
	Balance Remaining irrecoverable	†250	13	10

10th August 1816.

† This is the amount actually due and not Rupees 254-8-1 as specified in the Subordinate Judges letter of the 4th October 1815—

E. E.

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

To

11242 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

No. 2

To

W. C. Lockhart Esqre.
Collector of Salem.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 2d. ultimo to the address of the Civil Judge, which was referred to me, I have the honor to inform you that from the accompanying Account particulars of the Stamped papers received from your office, and of the amount remitted to your treasury on that account from 21st April 1809 to 2d August 1819 there appears to be a balance of Rupees 293-13-3 and not Rupees 302-8-- as stated in your letter of the 9th December 1843 to the address of the Civil Judge.

Of the said amount balance Rupees 293-13-3 the sums of Rupees 35-6-2 has been collected and is herewith transmitted to your treasury Receipts issued for the Collection of Rupees 113-6-9 are not yet returned, and Receipts issued for the net balance being Rupees 114-2-4 have been returned by the Nazir of the Court, stating that it is impracticable to

11243 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

to collect the said sum, in consequence of some of the Parties to whom the Stamped Papers were sold, having died leaving no heirs or property and others having left the Country and not being to be found.

Salem Subordinate Court
11th June 1846. } (Signed) H. Frere
Subordinate Judge.

To

W. C. Lockhart Esqre.
Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 25th Ultimo I have the honor to state, that of the Amount balance of the value of the Stamped Papers being Rupees 258-8-1 only Rupees 4 have been collected and transmitted to your treasury, as will appear from the Account particulars of the Judicial Receipts forwarded from this Court for the Months of July last.

It appears impracticable to collect the remaining sum, in consequence of some of the Parties to whom the Stamped Papers were sold having died leaving no heirs

ar

or property and others having left the Country and not being to be found.—

Salem Subordinate Court 4th October 1846 } /Signed/ H. Frere
Subordinate Judge

/True Copies/
/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Salem dated 10th August requesting sanction for writing off in the public accounts the sum of Rupees 250 – 13 – 10 being the irrecoverable portion

44-45

To the Chief Secy to Government

Submitting a letter from the Coll.r of Salem recommending that sanction may be granted for writing off in the public accounts the sum of Rs. 250. 13. 10 being the irrecoverable portion of the balance due on account of Stamp Papers supplied in 1817 to the late Zillah Court of that District

portion of the balance due on account of Stamp papers supplied to the late Zillah Court of his District by Mr. Collector Hargrave prior to 12th July 1817.—

It will be observed that the present Subordinate Judge of Salem Mr. Frere has not been able to recover any portion of this balance, the parties by whom it is due being either dead or not to be found—

Under these Circumstances the Board beg to recommend that the necessary Sanction

11246 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846

Sanction may be granted to Mr. Lockhart for writing off the amount in the accounts of his Collectorate.

Revl. Board Office
Fort St. George
3d. September 1846

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

From the Collr. of Salem. Read Abstract

(46)

Statement shewing the number of Persons Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the first half year ending 30th. June last.

(46-47)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read.

11247 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Read the following letter.

To,
J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(48)

From the Collector of South Arcot.....

Forwards a Statement shewing the Annual Revenue of Land in this District during the last 30 Years.

Sir,

In conformity to the direction contained in your Circular Letter of the 17th. Instant, I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the annual Revenue drawn from the land in this District during the last 30 Years or from 1815 to 1845, prepared according to the Form Annexed to it, and with the required additional Columns, together with my Remarks briefly appended thereto, as thereon called for.

South Arcot
Collr's Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
31st. August 1846

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector
of
Land

Fort St. George

Sources in the District of South Arcot from 1815 to 1816 or from Fusly 1225 to

Actual Collections			Remissions granted			Balance a greater portion of which irrecoverable, remains to be struck off hereafter		
Ain	Jaghire	Total	Ain	Jaghire	Total	Ain	Jaghire	Total
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
5,39,492 12 -	" " "	18,39,492 12 -	23,752 4 -	" " "	23,752 4 -	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,38,883 15 4	" " "	18,38,883 15 4	53,002 4 7	" " "	53,002 4 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,79,443 9 9	" " "	18,79,443 9 9	31,615 1 8	" " "	31,615 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,68,120 15 5	" " "	18,68,120 15 5	49,287 8 -	" " "	49,287 8 -	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,68,224 15 10	" " "	18,68,224 15 10	48,723 2 -	" " "	48,723 2 -	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,68,623 7 7	" " "	18,68,623 7 7	45,670 2 2	" " "	45,670 2 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,79,397 - 7	" " "	1,79,397 - 7	13,469 2 1	" " "	13,469 2 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
20,43,835 13 3	" " "	20,43,835 13 3	42,553 15 10	" " "	42,553 15 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
4,96,561 12 3	" " "	4,96,561 12 3	33,633 13 7	" " "	33,633 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
18,36,111 1 8	" " "	18,36,111 1 8	94,766 -	" " "	94,766 -	" " "	" " "	" " "
20,07,025 13 2	" " "	20,07,025 13 2	13,869 15 3	" " "	13,869 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
20,78,085 3 11	" " "	20,78,085 3 11	17,818 4 2	" " "	17,818 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
18,41,317 12 8	" " "	18,41,317 12 8	35,021 11 8	" " "	35,021 11 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
17,85,557 3 -	" " "	17,85,557 3 -	39,963 -	" " "	39,963 -	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,87,308 10 4	80,375 9 8	18,76,814 -	41,735 15 8	3,21,597 7,389 9 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18,18,320 13 2	70,611 8 -	18,88,962 62	" " "	" " "	2,826 11 8	86,16 13 2	84,43 11 10
16,06,620 11 8	74,706 15 -	16,81,327 10 8	" " "	" " "	4041 - 11	3,755 11 8	7,796 15 7
12,41,639 7 5	60,318 1 9	13,03,957 12	" " "	" " "	1,755 9 11	367 4 11	2122 14 10
15,46,322 11 6	69,920 - 8	16,16,152 3 7	" " "	" " "	1620 2 7	111 11 10	1743 12 5
1,89,280 26 -	86,725 86	19,76,176 6	" " "	" " "	581 1 2	275 5 5	856 6 7
1,89,287 9 9	81,870 3 6	19,74,367 13 3	" " "	" " "	1112 8 3	98 10 -	12,10 15 3
1,80,682 13 -	81,793 3 2	18,83,76 - 2	" " "	" " "	260 6 2	75 7 -	336 13 2
1,91,403 14 1	86,226 2 4	20,29,324 - 6	" " "	" " "	116 56	" " "	116 56
2,03,110 10 -	97,784 6 10	21,36,804 15 10	" " "	" " "	57 83	36 14 7	94 - 10
2,26,287 14 2	94,666 3 8	23,57,654 1 10	" " "	" " "	638 65	11 6 10	649 13 3
4,22,766 12 4	85,966 12 1	23,13,619 8 5	" " "	" " "	7936 4 3	150 1 -	8086 5 3
8,20,703 14 6	79,988 13 7	20,87,026 12 -	" " "	" " "	8311 15 6	94 2 11	8406 2 5
2,17,756 20 14 9	77,720 9 1	15,56,240 13 10	" " "	" " "	3791 13 2	1309 10 2	5101 7 10
1,90,581 8 5	78,061 15 8	19,83,438 1 -	" " "	" " "	6304 13 5	1145 6 1	7450 3 6
1,81,736 1 9	83,228 8 11	19,45,987 10 8	" " "	" " "	3248 1 8	847 14 4	4096 - -

25th August 1816 Errors Excepted &c. (Signed) W. D. Mann Collr

1248

Land Revenue exce

Years

Years							
1815/16 or 1225	18						
1816/17 or 1226	18						
1817/18 or 1227	19						
1818/19 or 1228	19						
1819/20 or 1229	19						
1820/21 or 1230	19						
1821/22 or 1231	18						
1822/23 or 1232	20						
1823/24 or 1233	11						
1824/25 or 1234	18						
1825/26 or 1235	20						
1826/27 or 1236	20						
1827/28 or 1237	18						
1828/29 or 1238	17						
1829/30 or 1239	17	6	13	2	8443	11	0
1830/31 or 1240	18	11	8		7796	16	1
1831/32 or 1241	16	4	11		2122	11	4
1832/33 or 1242	12	11	10		1743	11	3
1833/34 or 1243	16	5	5	5	850	6	7
1834/35 or 1244	15	8	10		12240	15	1
1835/36 or 1245	18	7			3336	18	2
1836/37 or 1246	1				116	5	0
1837/38 or 1247	16	14	7		92	6	0
1838/39 or 1248	21	6	10		649	12	3
1839/40 or 1249	20	1			8088	5	3
1840/41 or 1250	24	2	11		8406	2	5
1841/42 or 1251	609	10			8101	7	10
1842/43 or 1252	1145				7457	3	0
1843/44 or 1253	847				4098	11	11
1844/45 or 1254							

South Division

11249 Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(48-49)
To the Chief Sec.y to Gov.t

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d. Ultimo No. 800 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for Submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the Accompanying Letter from the Collector of South Arcot transmitting a Statement shewing the annual Revenue drawn from the Land in his District during the last 30 years or from 1815 to 1785.

In Cons.n 17th Aug.t 1816

25th Aug.t Cons.n 3d Sept.r 1816.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 3d. September 1816...

(Signed) J. Pycroft Sec.y

Read

11250 Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

Read the following letter.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(50)
From the Collr. of Trichinopoly Replying to Extract from Proceedings of the Board dated 7th May last regarding the Shrotrium Village of Elungachary.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date the 7th. May last calling for information on certain points regarding the Shrotrium village of Elungachary.

2. The Shrotrium is at present in the possession of Government United assumption of it the Shrotriemdars had possession, the present claimant to whom it descended by inheritance, having held it about ten years — His ostensible means of livelihood during the period for which he has been deprived of possession were derived from the cultivation of 5 Cawnies of land bearing an Assessment of Rupees 36 up to the current fasly during which he has only cultivated Cawnies 10/16 bearing an assessment of Rupees 4, having become impoverished. A deposition taken from him is herewith sent with a translation, — It is proper to add that the village is entered

entered as a grant for Cavil purposes and a Statement of the Bariz is submitted.

3. As regards the arrangement under which the emoluments of the Cavil: gars were taken under the Circar Manage: ment in fusly 1246. I beg to state that it was effected in the first instance without authority. that the measure subsequently received the Sanction of Government and that finally the proceeds being found inadequate to defray the charges the attach: ment was withdrawn from the arrealor portions of lands & fees and continued only in respect to the village in question and certain lands situated in Oodiarpollium. The correspond: ence which took place on the subject is noted in the margin.

To B. of R. 8th Oct. 1838. From Do. Rect. March 1839. Para 16.

Do. 5th May 1839. Para 6

To Do. 18th August 1840 Para 3.

To Do. 19th April 1845.

To Do. 16th June 1845

The only lands now under attachment on this account are as above stated the Village of Elungachary the claim to which is now under discussion. and the lands in the villages of Moovoor and Mootum in Oodiarpollium. A claim has recently

recently been preferred to the latter which I shall immediately proceed to investigate and report on. The proceeds of these lands are kept in deposit and Amount to Rupees 828.

5. In conclusion I beg to state that no compensation has ever been made on account of the resumption of the lands and emoluments in question and that the claimant of Elungachary is not qualified for and does not desire the Office of Cavilgar.

The foregoing remarks are only applicable to the Talook of Oodiarpollium regarding which I was desired to afford information. but in Arrealore there are lands and fees under attachment to the Amount of Rupees 718-15-9. in lands and Rs. 122-7-11 in fees To none of these have claims been preferred.

It is proper to add that the

7. arrangement made in the first instance by Mr. Dondillon was abandoned as regards Oodiarpollium because the proceeds of the fees &c. of both Oodiarpollium and Arrealore were insufficient to meet the re: gular disbursements. In Arrealore the arrangement is

is continued as the proceeds are sufficient for its wants.

Trichinopoly, Coll.rs Office, 25th. June 1816. } (Signed) A. D. Onslow Collector.

No. 1.

Examination taken from Mootoopadiachey of 29 Years age Son of Veloydapadiachey of Elungy chary a Shotrium Village now under dispute, on the 11th June 1816.

Q. How did your ancestors get the Village of Elengy chary and when?

A. I heard that about 150 Years ago when my 4th. Grand father was residing in that Village, Nabobs people came & halted there & were robbed of their things My 4th. Grands father having discovered the theft and got them back the Nabobs people were much pleased and desired the late Zemindar of Codiarpolliam to grant the aforesaid Village to my 4th. Grand father as Shrotriem & the Zemindar named on Cutchee Uaarangapa Chalaka to the Codiar accordingly granted him the Village Its annual Deriz is about 200 Rupees

Rupees and quit rent Rs. 37 is paid to Government.

Q. Have you got any document in support of the right.

A. 150 Years having lapsed I dont know where the document is gone long enjoyment itself is the document.

Q. How long did you yourself enjoy the revenue of the Village aforesaid.

A. After my father died I enjoyed it for 10 Years.

Q. How many Persons does your family consist of.

A. My family consists of myself my wife my mother a male child named Ratnem 3 Years old & 2 Women Servants.

Q. What other means have you for your livelihood than this Shrotrium Village Elungachary?

A. Formerly I had a Puttah in Arr for 36 Rupees afterwards my Land lords died Having no means to purchase I cultivated in the current fasly 1255 7/16 Canvies the tax of which is

4.

11255

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

4 Rupees I sold my House to Ramien of Royembasem for 70 Rupees after this Village has been attached I borrowed 1000 Rupees for my subsistence.

Q. Can you do Cavil business?

A. I am unable to do that duty.

Q. The Village was Zufted 10 Years ago why did you not prefer your Complaint before this?

A. It was attached in the Year 1837 I was sick for 2 Years since Year 1839 I have repeatedly presented petitions about it, I got an Endorsement dated 13th May 1839, saying the Village was alloted to the office of Cavil and in the year 1846 I submitted a Petition to the Board of Revenue on who passed an Endorsement on it. On the 12th December 1846 I obtained another endorsement from the Collector stating that my case will be brought to the notice of the Board, both these endorsements are now in my profession.

(Signed) Mootoopandiachee

(True Translation)

(Signed) A. D Oroteau

Collector.

11256

Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Extract from the Register of Absolutions passed by the Collr. Pondy in the Year 1846.

No. 2.

Name of the Village | Name of the Inamdar | Name of the Inam | Description, Boundary & Quantity of the Inam | Amount of Assessment on the Village | Remarks.

Adiard | Mootoopandiachee | Inam in Amount | Adiard Village | Granted by the Collr. Pondy | Rent free | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

(Signed) A. D Oroteau

Collector

Pondy

25th June 1846

11256

Extract from the Register of Absolutions passed by the Collr. Pondy in the Year 1846.

No. 2.

Name of the Village | Name of the Inamdar | Name of the Inam | Description, Boundary & Quantity of the Inam | Amount of Assessment on the Village | Remarks.

Adiard | Mootoopandiachee | Inam in Amount | Adiard Village | Granted by the Collr. Pondy | Rent free | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

(Signed) A. D Oroteau

Collector

Pondy

25th June 1846

---

18 Elangadaiyanoor | 4 | 1870 | Mangan Naicken | Inam | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

Past | Subsidy | Granted | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

Subsidy | Granted | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

Pondicherry 2

25th June 1846

Name of the Village | Name of the Inamdar | Name of the Inam | Description, Boundary & Quantity of the Inam | Amount of Assessment on the Village | Remarks.

Adiard | Mootoopandiachee | Inam in Amount | Adiard Village | Granted by the Collr. Pondy | Rent free | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

(Signed) A. D Oroteau

Collector

Pondy

25th June 1846

Name of the Village | Name of the Inamdar | Name of the Inam | Description, Boundary & Quantity of the Inam | Amount of Assessment on the Village | Remarks.

Adiard | Mootoopandiachee | Inam in Amount | Adiard Village | Granted by the Collr. Pondy | Rent free | Rent free | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar | Granted for the Support of the Inamdar

(Signed) A. D Oroteau

Collector

Pondy

25th June 1846

1257 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

No. 3.

Statement shewing the Peiz Collections and Charges of Elungachary Village in the Woodiarpolliam Talook under attachment.

Fusles.	Peiz.	Actual Collection in each Fusly.	Amount payable to Government	Particulars Amount appropriated by the Shrotriemdars to their own use to the attachment.	Amount paid to Cavund in deposit of Sibbundy	Amount	Balance against the individuals.	Remarks.
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
1246		223 11 4½	223 11 4½	37 7 4½	162 3 -	20 14 -		* The Sums entered in this Column were appropriated in part payment of the Cullygars under the arrangement alluded to in the letter.
1247		211 8 4½	211 8 4½	37 7 4½	" " "	174 1 -		
1248		215 4 9	215 4 9	37 7 4½	" " "	177 13 4½		
1249		220 12 3	220 12 3	37 7 4½	" " "	183 1 10½		
1250		222 9 1½	222 9 1½	37 7 4½	" " "	185 - 9		
1251		224 6 2	224 6 2	37 7 4½	" " "	186 13 9½		
1252		207 9 2	176 3 4½	37 7 4½	" " "	138 12 -	31 7 9½	
1253		188 1 1	37 7 4½	37 7 4½	" " "	" " "	150 9 8½	
1254		180 5 9	180 5 9	37 7 4½	" " "	142 14 4½	" " "	

Trichinopoly 25th. June 1846. (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector.

1258 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

Proceedings thereon;

Read the above Letter the Board will communicate the 50-57 of the enclosed Statement upon the Collections and Charges mentioned to the Collector requesting him to call upon the Shrotriemdars of Elungachary Village in the Woodiarpolliam Talook under attachment which appears to be the Property of Cavund or Sibbundy Peons employed by the Shrotriemdars to collect their Rents, and to report whether the Shrotriemdars have any other Claims upon the Ryots of the Village which they have withheld from the Collection of the Government Rents, and if so, to state the Amount of such Claims, and the Grounds on which they are founded.

Ordered that a Letter be written to the Collector of Trichinopoly transmitting a Copy of the above Proceedings, and requesting him to report the Result of the Inquiry directed to be made by the Board's Letter of the 12th. Instant.

Recorded 18th. September 1846.

Read a Letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 25th. June 1846 (No. 3) transmitting a Statement shewing the Collections and Charges of Elungachary Village in the Woodiarpolliam Talook under attachment.

Ordered that a Copy of the said Statement be transmitted to the Board of Revenue for their Information.

Recorded 18th. September 1846.

11259 Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

To,

J. Bycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(52)

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly---

Sir,

With reference to the Boards Endorsement on Petition No. 537. of 1816 / here: with returned / from Appathoor Iyer I have the honor to state that the situation from which the Petitioner was dismissed is that of Naut Curnum which, tho' it has always been under the control of the Revenue Officers of government, is paid by the Ryot in grain fees. He was dismissed by me for having rendered the Tahsildar a false return regarding some crops which he was sent to examine.

Trichinopoly District,
Voytalagoodalore, ----
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry, --
24th. July 1816. ----

(Signed) A. D. Onslow
Collector

Order thereon;

(52-53)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 537 of 1816.

Petitioner appears from the report of

11260 Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

of the Collector to have been dismissed for rendering to the Tahsildar a false return of Crops which he had been sent to examine. The Board see no grounds for interference in his behalf. His reemployment hereafter must rest with the Collector.

Read the following letter.

To, J. Bycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George

(54)

From the Collr. of Madura---

In reference to my letter of the 30th. June I have the honor to strongly recommend acceptance of an Offer I have induced Siridam Iyna Mercoyer of Keela-eruvay to make of Rupees 10000 for the Arbitrary rent of the Current fusly. I have taken much pains in the matter and feel satisfied so much cannot be realized in any other way. It is by private Contract after public outcry has failed both here and at Ramnad. The average of the last 5 years ---- 11622 " "

Last years Collection ---- 13000 " "

Present Offer ---- 10000 " "

Iyer

11261 Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

The reasons of the falling off as already shewn are the strict prohibition to interfere with tree owners who prefer making Jaggery.—

Madura, 15th. August 1846. } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

54-55

Resolution thereon; The Board Sanction the acceptance of the offer of Kerridan Syna Marcoyer of Keelacurray for the Abkarry rent of Ramnad for Fusly 1256; reported in the foregoing letter amounting to 10000 Rupees and authorize his being placed in possession of his farm the Renter should be required to deposit one Kist in ready Money and give good security for three Kists more, or to make a deposit of two Kists in Cash, when security may be dispensed with.

Read the following letter.

To,
J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secy to the Board of Revenue.

56

From the Asst. Collr. of Tinnevelly. } Sir, Fort St. George

In reply to your letter of the 23d April last, I have the honor to refer

11262. Fort St. George 3d. September 1846.

+ Translation Enclosed.

refer the Board to Section XI of the Dookoom: nanah for Fusly 1252. as an authority for assessing a single Crop raised on two Crop land according to the highest rate Car or Peshanum. This rule has been so far enlarged by Subsequent orders that the highest rate is now levied for a single Crop on two Crop land, whether the Supply of water be sufficient for 2. Crops or not; on the principle that a Single Crop would be more productive. Petition No. 1328 of 1845 is herewith returned.

Tinnevelly, 17th. June 1846. } (Signed) R. S. Parker.
A.C. collector.

No. 1.

Translation of Section XI of the Jyen Dookoomnamah for Fusly 1252.

XI If while there is water enough for a 2d. Crop, only one Crop be raised on lands usually yielding 2 Crops, then such single Crop should be charged with the highest rate of Teerwah Carr or Peshanum, but it is to be observed that this order is to be acted on, only after reference to the Collector.— 2

(True Translation)
(Signed) R. S. Parker
A.C. collector.

Resolution thereon;

56-57

In Cons: 23d. Feb.y 1843.

The Board observe that in the latest Hookumnamah for Tinnevelly in their Office, that for Fasly 1245 transmitted in Mr. Acting Collector Thomas letter of 6th February 1843. the rule Section XI given in the letter of the Acting Collector is not to be found Mr. Parker will be so good as to explain under what authority that rule was introduced and under what circumstances it has been so far extended as to subject a Single Crop raised in two Crop land to the highest rate of Teerwah whether there may have been water available for the Second Crop or not. It should also be stated whether the rule would be carried out alike in the case of a Ryot who cultivated his whole extent as in that of one who left a portion of his usual holding waste. It should further be explained whether in the Petitioner's case there was water Sufficient for a 2d. Crop.

The Acting Collector is also requested to forward with translation the Hookumnamah now in use in the Tinnevelly District.

Read

Read again the Report of the late Principal Collector of Canara on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of 1254 Fasly.

In Cons: 30th. October 1845 3245.

(Here enter 21st. October 1845. No. 94)

58

Proceedings thereon;

Relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Canara for Fasly 1254.

The Settlement of 1254 Fasly was concluded by Mr. Parker Acting Principal Collector and Messrs. Ward and Forbes the Sub and Additional Sub Collectors but the duty of drawing up the report devolved on Mr. Blair.

P. 3 4 4. 2. The Season was on the whole favorable, the fall of rain during the Monsoon having been moderate but some of the High Lands obtained less than their share of water while the valleys in the other hand were flooded but on the whole the produce exceeded that of the preceding year.

P. 5 4 6. 3. A considerable number of persons and cattle were swept away by epidemic diseases which prevailed to an unusual extent, notwithstanding which the census taken every three years, exhibits a marked improvement over the last Returns prepared in 1249 Fasly, the addition to the population in 1254 Fasly being 53530 and to the Agricultural Stock 46299 head of horned Cattle. The abstract in

Report of Fy 1249. Para 6.

Fort St. George 3d. September 1876.

in the report giving the proportions of the former, shews the increase among the Mohummedans to have been nearly three times greater than that of the other Castes, corresponding particulars of which have not however been given in the detailed Statement No. 5 accompanying the Report.

P. 7 to 12.

4. The favorable character of the season did not prevent an increased demand for produce and a slight rise of price (except) in Cocoanuts) tho' the latter was

14 Pay. 5 Rup.

still below the commutation price.

P. 12 & 15.

5. The result of the settlement effected under such circumstances was an increase of Rupees 6,255 9 8 exclusive of quit rent, over the Revenue of the preceding Fusly, but including that item it is only Rupees 5905 5 9 This addition is derived chiefly from the changes in progress connected with the reform of the Assessment partly from a small extension of cultivation and partly from the Sum allowed for remissions having been some what less than in the preceeding Fusly.

By changes in Classification of Estates..	9054	12 10
By new cultivation	3998	6 9
	13053	3 7
Remissions in Fusly 1253	7466	13 5
" " " 1254	6797	9 11
Less	669	3 6

6. The progress of the revision of the assessment is exhibited in the following Table prepared from Statement J.

Bhisty

Fort St. George 3d. September 1876.

Bhurty Wurges.	No. of Wurges	Turrow Beriz	Last Years Settlement	Present Years Settlement
According to last Year	42682	1498320 6 11	1498320 6 11	" " "
Deductions				
Transferred to Vydah or instalments	85	2912 11 10	2912 11 10	" " "
Do. to Board sefarish	15	371 6 7	371 6 7	" " "
Wurges waste for want of Cultivators	112	2275 7 6	2275 7 6	" " "
Transfers from subdivision of Wurges Fasly	12	" " "	" " "	" " "
	224	5559 12 11	5559 12 11	" " "
Remaining	42458	1492760 10 "	1492760 10 "	1492760 10 "
Additions in the present Year.				
From Vydah or instalments	487	22220 11 7	20564 7 1	22220 11 7
Do. Board Sefarish	9	672 11 2	193 2 9	672 11 2
Do. Junkey	112	2994 13 "	2541 12 9	2994 13 4
Do. Wurges Waste for want of Cultivators	54	767 8 2	616 3 3	767 8 2
Do. Transfers by Subdivision of Wurges	10	" " "	" " "	" " "
	672	26855 11 11	24215 9 10	26855 11 11
Increase in Hosagamy. Cultivation	50	176 7 6	" " "	176 7 6
	722	26832 6 5	24215 9 10	26832 6 5
Total	43180	1519593 " 5	1516976 3 10	1519593 " 5
Increase compared with the last Year	498	21272 9 6	" " "	" " "

From

From this it is seen that of the 42,682 Estates entered as under full assessment (or Blurtee) in the preceding Fasly 224 have failed in fulfilling the conditions of that class Of these 84 being found unable to pay the Standard (or Tarow) have been placed in the class (Wydah) which provides for that demand being attained by progressively increasing instalments, and 15 have been recommended for permanent reduction of Assessment. The remainder are chiefly Estates deserted from the misfortunes of their owners and a few (12) Subdivided into smaller portions. Besides these 158 of the Wydah Wurgas or Estates under instalments the term of whose remission had expired and which according to the conditions of the settlement should this year have been liable to the full assessment under the head of Blurtee, were allowed a further period of indulgence in consequence, Mr. Blair states, "of the loss of Cattle and the Sickness prevailing among the cattle * * * added to the continued low price of grain which" rendered it unadvisable rigidly to enforce the instalments in all cases. A Statement of these occurs in the following Para, in examining which it is observed that of 57 Wurgas in Codipy Taluk one only was considered

P. 15.

considered to require such indulgence the Amount postponed being the small sum of 8 Annas. Such an Amount would not appear to afford prima facie grounds for departing from a formal engagement like that concluded with the proprietors of the Wydah Estates.

7. The additions on the year to the Blurtee Estates under the corresponding heads are shown in the same table and Amount to 722 Wurgas making a Net increase of 198 Estates on paying the full Standard in the year under review as compared with the preceding one. But this which is taken from the enclosure of the report marked F does not agree with the Statement made in Para 18 of Report itself, where the addition to the Blurtee Estates is put down at 806.

Paying full Tarow in Fasly 1254	43,180
In Para 18	43188
Difference	8

In this Para of the report the number of unoccupied Estates newly brought under cultivation is stated to be 72 Subject to a Tarow Deriz of 757—10—9. but this information is not to be found in the figured Statements. The absence of information regarding these Wurgas had been noticed on former occasions and the Collector now explains that they are Chiefly gardens situated in the Balaghat and are classed under the head of Wydah with

P. 19 ; 8.

Bd. 29th. May 1815 P. 8.

P. 63.

with reference to the degree of decay into which they may be found to have fallen — The longest period allowed for restoration is 12 Years which it is observed is the full period allowed by the Cowle Naman for converting old forest into garden cultivation, but in one instance it would seem that the term has been extended to 15 Years. The information however is still incomplete for the Principal Collector has not stated in what class the remaining 2,153 wholly waste Estates are to be found, and the Board have failed in discovering them in the Accounts.

See Statement C Col. 32. 33.

Q. In addition to these, 1143 Estates have been newly brought to light as Wasaganee or newly discovered land of which 50 have been assessed at once with the full Jarow. To admit of this they must have been under full cultivation for some Years, for the Collector in the Settlement report of 1253 Fusly has explained that these Wasaganee additions are derived either from clearings of ancient forest or from alluvial deposits of rivers. Neither of these descriptions of land could at once be brought under complete Cultivation so as to be able

Statement C

Para 27

to bear the full assessment and the probability therefore is, that they have been furtively enjoyed for a length of time and that they have at length, as the Principal Collector explained on a former occasion, been brought to light by the enmity or jealousy of the people against each other.

10. The Settlement including Quit rent Amounted to Rupees 18,580 9 4 — 11 — 10. of which Rupees 18,200 0 7 — 11 — 8. were realized within the Fusly and Rupees 314 5 6 1/2 — 11 — 5 Subsequently leaving a balance of Rs. 3 6 2 2 — 1 — 9 outstanding on the 30th April last as exhibited in the following Table of Current and Arrears.

		Arrears		Demand of Fusly 1254		Total	
		Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
Total Demand		66501	6 6	18,580 9 4	11 10	19,14,596	5 3
Collection.	Within Fusly 1254	4772	8 15 2	18,200 0 7	11 8	1,82,773	6 13 10
	Subsequent Collections to the end of April Fusly 1255	1723	10 9	314 5 6 1/2	14 5	36,288	9 2
Total		49,452	9 11	18,514 5 7 2	13 1	19,10,25	7 4
Remission		2757	3 4	0	0 0	2757	3 4
Total		52,209	13 3	18,514 5 7 2	13 1	19,06,782	10 4
Remaining		4291	9 2	3522	1 9	7,813	10 11

A.

In b22 Dec. 1845

A Statement of former Arrears was submitted by the late Principal Collector on the 13th. November 1845 and a portion of them Amounting to Rupees 1254—14—9 was written off under the Sanction of Government conveyed in Extract Minutes Consultation 7th. January 1846 leaving a further Amount of Rupees 3329 by. for future adjustment.

In Cons. 12th Jan. 1846.

11.

The explanation in Paras. 30 and 31. tho' appearing to refer to two different subjects relates in fact to the same. The Board had remarked in their Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1252. as they had likewise done in the previous Year, that the Accounts exhibited an increase of 88 in the number of private Estates with a Pa. Rs. Pa. Rs. Pa. Rs.

knowledge of the former amount of this item which has only been entered regularly in the Accounts since 1243 Fusly. The present forms of Hoxagamee Accounts are moreover consider: ed by him to be defective. He Board will be glad to receive an amended State: ment for 1253 Fusly in addition to the Form now in use after which they will be prepared to authorize such alterations as may seem necessary. At the same time they must observe that the discrepancy above mentioned, being exhibited in Col. 18 of Statement R separately for each Talook, it does not appear that much difficulty would be experienced in tracing each item to its particular Wing.

13. The remainder of the Report is taken up with strictures on the Proceed: ings which the Board recorded on the Settle: ment of 1252 Fusly which should rather have formed the subject of a separate commu: nication than have been introduced into the report of the Settlement under review. In which they are urged with an unnecessary degree of warmth. The following Summary will give a tolerable idea of the Principal Collectors Remarks which are somewhat unconnected and are spread over a large space. Mr. Blair observes that no one who has had experience of the working of the present system can

Para 143.

can entertain any doubt of its soundness. that the opinion of those best qualified to judge shou: however bein held cheap, and theory and con: jecture have been preferred before experiment and fact. that not having originated the system

Para 144

he can have no undue partiality for it but that he has been convinced of its benefit both to Government and the people by the steady in: crease of the revenue since its introduction and by the improved condition and increased value of the lands; that the Board after having ori: ginally approved of the principle on which it has been conducted have since found fault with it on grounds which are of no practical importance and furnish no valid objection against the measure; that notwithstanding all the information laid before them on the subject they appear still to be ignorant of its working and details. that the success of the measure is proved by the increase of the Revenue but that the Board have attributed this result to Seasons and to the additional territory transferred from Coorg, that the comparison in support of their own view drawn by the Board between the first and last 17 years of British rule does not exhibit any fair representation of the present system nor does it in fact apply to the point at

Para 61

Para 143

Paras 36. 37

Paras 38. 39

Fort St. George 3d. September 1816

at large that not only had there been a steady
increase since Mr. Vincash time but that the
revenue of the last ten considerably exceed
: ed that of the first ten Years of our posses
: sion of the Country. that the expectations
held out on the introduction of the plan by
Mr. Vincash have been more than fulfilled,
that the Board in testing results have made
no allowance for the fall in prices, the
difference between those of the first and
last ten Years of our rule being 28 per Cent.
that the increase in population and Agricultural
stock is not sufficient to Account for the
increase of revenue which is rather to be as
: cribed to the operation of the more correct
System now in progress in securing
all the Government duties; that the Amount
of concealed cultivation existing in Canara is
trifling and rarely eludes discovery and that
the doubts and fears of the Board on this subject
are grounds less; finally she protests against
any remodelling of the Assessment based on a
Survey which she understands the Board to
have recommended and the injustice and inex
: pediency of which she points out at length but
she admits that the waste and unclaimed lands
might be measured with advantage; she

Paras 52. 3

Para 37.

Para 46

Para 48

Paras 46. 50

Para 46. 47

pronounces

Fort St. George 3d. September 1816.

pronounces the existing Accounts to be suf
: ficient for all practical purposes and con
: cludes Paras 53 & 58 a recapitulation of his
Arguments in the same strain.

It would seem that much of
the above has been penned under a misconcep
: tion of the Boards true sentiments. Their Pro
: ceedings of the 16th. November 1813 on the general
subject of the land tax of Canara Submitted to
Government on the 15th. of the following month
have not been sent to the District, and many
of the preceding observations evidently refer
to their supposed purport most of the views
entertained by the Board however had been
previously expressed from time to time
in their Proceedings on the Settlements and these
were only recorded more correctedly in the
Proceedings abovementioned. They will now
proceed to notice the principal points brought
forward by Mr. Blair in the present report
and will then shortly recapitulate the opinions
they have formerly expressed on the general
subject.

When Mr. Vincash introduced
his revision of the Assessment in 1212 and 1213
Fasslies the Board observed that it seemed
likely to prove beneficial but they considered it
necessary first to examine minutely into the details

No. 602

15

of

Proceedings on Ft. St. 12th. and 12th Paras 14. 15—17 dated 11th. Jany. 1836

of the proposed alterations and they called on the Principal Collector for extensive information on every part of his plan, which they directed should be submitted with an explanatory report before any further steps were definitively taken. To this extent only the Board approved of the principle of the revision as stated by the Principal Collector in Para. 81 of his report. But the information then called for was never furnished and it was only after repeated Calls that the Principal Collector's Letter of the 3d. February and 30th. July 1842 were received which tho' not conveying the required particulars

In Cons: 21st. Feb. and 18th. Aug. 1842

Proceedings 30th. June 1836. on 12th. Finly Para 9 repeated the Call

From Govt. 29th. July 1836 Para 6.

Proceedings 20th. March 1837 on 1245 Finly Paras 7 & 34 particularly Ps 23 & 33.

From Government 16th. May 1837 Para 3

Proceedings 9th. August 1838 on 1246 Finly Para 7 & 16 particularly Ps 11 & 14.

From Government 31st. August 1838 Para 6.

Proceedings 17th. October 1839 on 1247 Finly Para 9-13 & 19

From Government 28th. November 1836 Para 8.

Proceedings 1st. April 1841 on 1248 Finly Paras 16 & 18

From Government 10th. May 1841 Para 5.

Proceedings 23d. September 1841 on 1249 Finly.

From Government 13th. December 1842.

Proceedings 17th. November 1842 on 1250 Finly

From Government 12th. January 1843.

Proceedings 16th. November 1843 on 1251 Finly

Proceedings 5th. December 1844 on 1252 Finly

From Government 30th. June 1845 on Finlies 1251 & 52.

Proceedings 29th. May 1845 on 1253 Finly.

From Government 5th. August 1845.

the soundness of the System

made made the foundation of the Proceedings of 16th. November 1843. Meantime in the Annual review of each successive Settlement the Board had taken notice of the points in which the experiment then in progress had failed to realize the expectations originally held out, and in the Proceedings last mentioned they shewed the grounds on which they were led to doubt introduced by Mr. Vincent the

particulars in which they considered its working to have been faulty and the Causes to which they attributed the want of success.

16. The Argument on which Mr. Blair has placed the greatest reliance is the progressive increase of the revenue, in noticing which the Board observed that some improvement was to have been expected from a succession of favorable Seasons which had of late followed a period of unusual depression and distress extending from 1235 / 1826-26 Finally to 1343 / 1833-34. They further pointed out that the improvement for which Credit had been taken was considerably over rated through an over sight by which the revenue of the Talooks transferred from Coorg in 1834 had been included in the comparison of the subsequent years. It was at the same time remarked that the small increase exhibited after allowing for the Coorg cession was very unequally distributed, being confined entirely to the Balaghat Talooks and Ancole forming the charge of the Sub Collector, while in the Principal Division there was actually a decrease.

17. Instead of affording further explanation calculated to confirm his own conclusions the Principal Collector denies the fairness of the objections raised to them. He states that

that he had not overlooked the accession of the Coorg Revenue tho he admits it was an omission not to have made allowance for it, but that this did not materially alter the state of the case whether this difference was material or otherwise is apparent from the figures in the margin. The Principal Collector however without adverting to the distinction drawn by the Board between the Annual revenue of the upper and lower Talooks, reiterates the Argument that the increase aimed 1243 Fusly (reduced tho' it be by the preceding correction) yielded by the whole District exclusive of the Coorg Magazines affords sufficient proof of the success of the system, and adduces another figured Statement of it in a somewhat different form. But this on examination, leads to the same result as before. The increase is still confined to the Sub Division Talooks.

See Stat.t "A" in No.s of 21st Oct.r 1845 and Para 36 of Report

Settlement of 1264 Fusly inclusive of Coorg	Rupees 18,37,786	
Settlement of 1219 Fusly	17,04,987	
Increase	1,32,799	
Settlement of 1254 Exclusive of Coorg	17,77,502	
Settlement of 1219	17,04,987	
Increase	72,515.	

Para 39 Marginal Statement

	8 Talooks in which Tarow was fixed	3 Talooks in which the Tarow Beriz was fixed	Total Tarow Beriz was not fixed
Highest of the former 10 years Viz.t Fusly 1215	11,46,071	2,82,321	17,28,392
Highest of the last 10 years Viz.t Fusly 1254	11,42,875	3,29,098	17,71,971
Increase	"	46,777	43,581
Decrease	3195	"	"

Note

See Statement of the annual revenue from Fusly 1209 to 1252 in the Principal Division and Sub division exhibited in Statement A in Enclos.e No. 8 of the Settlement Report.—

So also in the Comparison of the last ten with the first ten years of our administration since which time a great deal of waste land has been brought under cultivation and both the Board and Principal Collector concur in believing that the District generally has greatly advanced in wealth and prosperity. The revenue so far from indicating that any improvement has taken place would rather tend to the opposite conclusion and that too where the progress of improvement has been the most rapid and perceptible. Thus we find that there has been in fact a decrease in the Talooks in which the Tarow was fixed of Pr. 4,889-1-11. while there is an increase on those in which the remission has been made on the Kadeem Beriz of Pr. 4,5,901-15-8. Giving a Nett increase for the whole District of Pr. 4,1072-13-9. 18. This remarkable difference between the results of the same system in two different parts of the District the Principal Collector has entirely overlooked, and the Board in

in the absence of positive information surmised that they might be owing to the different course pursued in the Principal and Subdivision — The Sub Collectors report in Fusly 1243 shews that the remission was conducted on a some what different principal in the Sub Division from that laid down by Mr. Vincash and the fact of its having been completely surveyed and the Measurements of every field recorded between the years 1822 and 1825 must, however imperfectly performed, have enabled the settling officers to carry on their enquiries with a degree of certainty unattainable in other parts of the District —

(1) From Sel.n 27.th May 1822
(2) —"— —"— 24.th Augt 1825 —
Parat 2 & 10 & 23 —
Proceedings 30.th April 1827 —
(1) Instruct.s 3.d June 1822.
(2) Instruct.s 30.th April 1827.

19. But Mr. Blair not perceiving the point of the argument imagined that the Board were ignorant that any reductions of the assessment had been effected in these Talooks under Mr. Vincash's system at all, and goes on gravely to point out that the remission had been applied to them in a greater degree than to any others and takes the trouble to prepare the Statement I for the purpose of shewing it, adding that it is chiefly owing to the relief given to those Talooks by the present System that numbers of Estates previously

previously valueless have been brought into a profitable state — It is evident enough that greater room for the application of the Principles of the revision would be found in Talooks where the old Beiz remained untouched than in those where large reductions had already taken place on the Settlement of the Jarow — But even here the Principal Collector has overrated the working of the measure — The improvement has been least where it might have been expected to be greatest — In Ankola &c. situated on the Coast with the greatest facilities for improvement the increase is less than in the inland wild and mountainous tracts of Soondah and Soopah, whereas if it was owing to Mr. Vincashs' system its effects ought to be as perceptible in one place as in another, and more so in the most favorable localities — The following Statement however shews that in Ankola 30 per Cent of the Estates only are in the first class while the remainder 70 per Cent are still Rumbhuttee —

	Fusly 1243	Fusly 1244 increase	
Ankola	115279	117421	2142
Soopah	74191	83261	9070
Soondah	120209	128415	8206
			19418

45,000 Rs.
100,000 Rs.

Items.

Items	No. of Wurges	Kadeem Beriz	Amount of Settlement	Net Amount of Remission	Per Centage
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
Bhurtee Wurges	3753	45,694	3 10	1,524	3 10 " " " " " "
Vydah or instalment	95	1533	125 80	66	727 5 11	4 7 69
Board's Sepoys	2003	86078	7 10	66125	6 19953	7 4	233 "
Tunkey	386	17753	13 11	1532	9 13722	13 2	7 4 8 "
Wurges abandoned for want of Cultivators	110	6891	11 9	3346	355 11 3	51 12 "
Total	2,594	106056	"	117197	11 3 34258	5 8	32 4 "
Grand Total	6347	151680	1, 9 11	74715	1 34258	5 8	229 3

Remissions &c.

9/-

Settlement Report of 1230 Fusly
in Cons. 25th June 1821 Paras. 52 & 69.
Settlement Report of Sub Collector
for 1243 Fusly 2d. September –
in Cons. 30th October 1834 Pp. 40-41 & 50-51.

If this view be correct it does not appear that the revision has been completed so extensively as stated, especially with reference to the condition of this Talook as described in the Settlement report of 1230 Fusly and afterwards by Mr. Stokes at the commencement of the last revision in 1243 Fusly, and again in the Report under review—

20. But Mr. Blair goes on to argue that the expectations held out by Mr. Vincash when he undertook the revision and admitted at the time by the Board have not only been fulfilled but have been more than realized. A reference to the Proceedings on that Gentlemans' Report already quoted, will shew the particular increase then expected to be made good in the next 12 years, &c. was that derived from one class of estates only Viz.t those given on Vydah or Coulé. The remission granted on those to be gradually recovered by instalments, the last of which would expire in 1255 &c.

Para 13 & 14.

	Rs. A P
Beriz of 1213 Fusly	1681308 5 6
Anticipated increase from Coules	53097 5 6
	1734405 11 "

Fusly was 53097 – But in the Statement marked G which accompanied the Settlement.

of 1835 Fusly

Proceedings 11th Jany 1836 Para 18.—

Settlement. Report the whole amount remitted to the Kunbhurtee estates was shewn to be Rs. 780,854—9—10. and it was distinctly remarked that the above mentioned addition was exclusive of the increase to be anticipated from those Estates entered in the Statement as not at present capable of yielding the full standard assessment the resources of which are under investigation, and the present assessment of which was Rupees 1,93,029—2—5 below the maximum Demand.—

21. The fall of price referred to by Mr. Blair has not been confined to Canara but has been felt in all parts of the Presidency and is one natural result of a series of favorable seasons and of an increased supply. It has not materially affected the revenue of other Districts and being of general influence the Board did not think it necessary to refer to it specially in Canara tho' it has doubtless had some effect on the Collection. But on the other hand if the Board have reckoned too little Mr. Blair has laid too much stress on the comparison between former and recent prices. His calculations appear to be founded on the price of

the lowest and cheapest kind of rice described in Para 8 of his Report. The superior kinds of grain have not been taken into account. If they are the average shews favorably with the commutation price. But to strike a fair average it is necessary to know the proportions of each that came into the market. The Returns in the Boards' Office &c. make the decline of late years much less than that shewn by the Principal Collector. In Garden produce too, the fluctuation has not been so unfavorable as represented. The prices of the staple product of Cocoa Nuts is entered in the Margin as taken from the Settlement Reports.—

With regard to Mr. Blairs' &c.

22. observations in Para 41 regarding the increase of population and Stock, the Board so far from attributing the slight increase of revenue to such a cause instead of to the measures introduced by

		Rs. A. P.
+ Jeerah Rice per Corge		71—11—6
Muskatee	Do.	61—5—6.
Rassy	Do.	54—4—5.
		187—5—5
	Average	62—7—1.
Commutation rate		56—"—"
Increase		6—7—1 or 11 p. Cent.

* Average of 10 years from 1218 to 1227 — Rs. 274—182—186 per Garse. (1st sort, 2d sort, 3d sort.)

Average of 10 years from 1215 to 1254 — " 233—181—165.

Price per 1000 Cocoanuts.

Fusly 1217	Rs.	11	8	11.
" 1218	"	17	12	1
" 1219	"	11	8	11
" 1250	"	15	11	9
" 1251	"	16	7	7
" 1252	"	11	9	11.

of 1813. Finly. Settlement. Report the whole amount re-
mitted to the Kumbhuntee estates was
Proceedings 11th Jany. 1816. Para 15. shewn to be Rs. 780854-9-10. and it was dis-
tinctly remarked that the above mentioned
addition was exclusive of the increase to
be anticipated from those Estates entered in
the Statement as not at present capable
of yielding the full standard assessment
the resources of which are under investigation
and the present assessment of which was
Rupees 1,93,029-2-5 below the maximum
Demand.

21. The fall of prices referred to
by Mr. Blair has not been confined to Canara
but has been felt in all parts of the
Residency and is one natural result of a
series of favorable seasons and of an increased
supply. It has not materially affected the
revenue of other Districts, and being of
general influence the Board did not think
it necessary to refer to it specially in Canara
tho it has doubtless had some effect on the
Collection. But on the other hand if the
Board have reckoned too little Mr. Blair
has laid too much stress on the comparison
between former and recent prices. His calcu-
lations appear to be founded on the price of

the lowest and cheapest kind of rice described
in Para 8 of his Report. The superior kinds
of grain have not been
taken into account.
If they are the average
shews favorably with the
commutation price.
But to strike a fair
average it is necessary
to know the proportions
of each that came into
the market. The Returns
in the Boards' Office &c.
make the decline of
late years much less
than that shewn by
the Principal Collector.
In Garden produce too,
the fluctuation has
not been so unfavorable as represented. The prices
of the staple product of Cocoa Nuts is entered in
the Margin as taken from the Settlement reports.

With regard to Mr. Blairs &c.
22. observations in Para 41 regarding the increase of
population and Stock, the Board are far from
attributing the slight increase of revenue to such
a cause instead of to the measures introduced
by

	Rs.	A.	P.
*Seerah Rice per Corge	71	11	6
Muskatee Do.	61	5	6
Rassy Do.	54	1	5
	187	5	5
Average	62	7	1
Commutation rate	56	-	-
Increase	6	7	1 on 11 p. Cent.

	1st Sort	2d Sort	3d Sort.
*Average of 10 years from 1218 to 1227	Rs. 274	182	186 per Gana
Average of 10 years from 1245 to 1254	233	181	165.

Price per 1000 Cocanuts.

Finly	1247	Rs. 11	8	11.
"	1248	" 17	12	1
"	1249	" 11	8	11
"	1250	" 15	11	9
"	1251	" 16	7	7
"	1252	" 11	9	11.

Fort St. George 3d September 1816.

by Mr. Tweedale have always considered that its influence on the Berij should have been much more apparent. The census in Canara is taken every three years and the following are its results since 1213 Fusly 1213 taken in

	Persons	Cattle
1212	759776	573210.
1215	768123	591.923.
1218	831.874	612948.
1251	867.061	659278.
1254	920591	705577.

Nor is the increase confined to late years. It has been steadily progressive ever since the downfal of the Mahommedan Government. The earliest return extant shews that in Fusly 1210. the numbers inclusive of the Coorg & Wynaad (which had not then been ceded) were only 592635 and 388994 respectively and certainly if these facts were kept out of sight, the advance of the revenue would not indicate the same degree of advancement in the prosperity of the Province.—

23. The Board having thus noticed in detail the facts adduced by the Principal Collector which have confirmed the view they have from the first taken of the subject will now conclude with a few observations on the general question.—

Fort St. George 3d September 1816.

24. The assessment of Canara has always been admitted to constitute a light Demand in its application collectively to the whole District, but the inequality of its distribution on numerous petty Estates into which the province is divided causes it to press heavily on some whilst on others its bearing is comparatively trifling. This inequality and the poverty of those land-lords on whom it fell with the greatest severity, compelled the grant of frequent remissions and rendered the revenue uncertain and fluctuating. To remedy this Mr. Harris the Principal Collector in 1819 undertook the revision of the assessment on which the Jarow was founded, the principle of which was to fix a permanent demand on averages of the Collections realized under our own administration all that exceeded the prescribed limits was remitted provided the remainder did not fall below the ancient Ayen or Standard assessment of the Bednore Government. The revision was completed

Proceedings 28th Dec. 1820 No. 31.

25. in 8 Talooks and a sum of 11.7729 was permanently struck out of the Demand. But in

in this process no examination of the condition of the land or of the collateral circumstances affecting its value was made, and experience soon showed the imperfect nature of the Costly remedy. There can by no doubt that much collusion and fraud must have been practised for even after so large a sacrifice of Revenue the prospect of a certain and regular income continued as distant as ever. The same inequality was found to exist and remissness to indigent proprietors were as indispensible as before.

From Rev. & Coll. 18th June in Cons 1st July 1830. No. 11.

26. Mr. Vincash perceiving the errors of the former experiments devised a new scheme for the attainment of the same object by different means. He proposed to ascertain the permanent demand on each defaulting Estate, by an investigation of its individual resources. The success of his endeavours is now the subject of consideration.

27. As Mr. Vincash had detected the causes of his predecessors' failure in the imperfect nature of the enquiries instituted by him, so his successor (Mr. Blair) after some experience of the new plan perceived from the results that the

Settlement Report of 1847 dated 11th in Cons 28th January 1839 No. 19.

Kumbedies (i.e. the defaulting) Wungs had been somewhat too hastily made and that in many instances they required to be modified. This is likewise the view taken by the Board. On both occasions the attempt to remodel the Assessment has failed, from the same cause, the imperfection of the means employed.

The chief obstacle is the defective manner in which the lands are described in the accounts. Complaints of the want of correct registers have been frequent from the earliest period of our connection with the district. These have been examined at length in the Proceedings of the 18th November 1843. It is true that a more favorable opinion of the practical usefulness of these documents has been expressed in the 49th Para of the report under view. But the weight of evidence in support of the contrary conclusion so greatly preponderates that the Board are constrained to adhere to their former sentiments.

The consequence of such a state of the accounts has been to leave the rights of Government at the mercy of local interests and to paralyze the exertions of the European Officers to keep in check the Native servants. Those being generally themselves

themselves proprietors of land their private interests are brought into direct collision with their public duties. The value of land makes it a desirable investment for capital and thus merchants and monied men have been eager to acquire possession of it and their influence likewise is naturally exerted to promote mystification and concealment for which the nature and circumstances of the Country afford unusual facilities.

30. During the oppressive rule of the Mussulman princes and in seasons of scarcity and distress, many families absconded or became extinct and their Estates in consequence escheated to Government. Some of these lie totally neglected but others, sublet to tenants of the Circar, are cultivated with the lukewarm interest incident to such a tenure. The proprietary Estates are intermixed with these and with unoccupied forest waste and the owners tempted to overstep their limits have enlarged their original possessions by encroaching on the neighbouring land no longer protected by proprietary right. A few of the reclaimed Estates

have been granted afresh with a reduced assessment on proprietary (or Puli) titles, but a still greater number overgrown with trees and underwood are lost in the surrounding forest (or according to the local terminology Nushtom) and the still borne on the accounts their boundaries and even their very sites are no longer distinguishable. Considerable changes have also been effected by natural causes still in operation in a Mountainous & wooded tract intersected by torrents and subject to the extreme violence of the monsoon. Land is lost in one place and recovered in another and spots deserted from the ravages of fever or wild animals and abandoned to the jungle are again reclaimed and brought under cultivation (Hosagance) when the result is favorable to individuals it remains unnoticed until accident or enmity brings it to light, but when the reverse is the case remission is immediately claimed and easily obtained.

A third mode of profiting at the expense of Government has arisen out of the necessities of impoverished landlords who have been induced to sell the best portions of their Estates and by fraudulent and collusive subdivisions to saddle themselves with the worst lands for which they after a time procure remissions. All these means of injuring the

Fort St. George 3d September 1816

the Revenue have been in operation during the several revisions of the assessment under consideration - During Mr. Harris time no attempt was made to check them except in the three N. Talooks since 1743 Justly the examination of Estates has been conducted by the district Establishment, that is by the Talooke officers and Shanabhogs, most of whom have a direct interest in the result.

32 The Principal Collector makes light of the influence of such causes but after the pronounced manner in which they have been brought to notice by officers entirely of equal experience in the affairs of the district, the Board do not feel justified in passing them over without some better proof of their insignificance than mere assertion.

33. Messrs. Harris Babington, Stokes. Dickinson, Venkata H. Stokes, &c. have adduced abundant instances of the facts on which the Board have founded their recommendations.

From Rev. & Coll. 2d 16th August 1825 in Cons. 30th April 1827 -
Report on 1931 Fasly 17th June in Cons. 1st July 1822
From Rev. & Coll. 15th August in Cons. 18th Sept. 1828
Report 1236 Fasly.
" " 23d January in Cons. 5th February 1829. Report 1235 Fasly.
" " 19th in Cons. 29th Oct. 1829 Fasly 1238
" " 18th June in Cons. 1st July 1830 - with Enclosures.
From Commr. 12th January in Cons. 11th Feby. 1833.

The collusive subdivision of Estates was

Fort St. George 3d September 1816.

deemed of sufficient importance to call forth a distinct declaration of Government in defence of the public rights, but it does not appear that it has ever been acted on: With such facts before them added to the knowledge that no remarkable increase of Revenue has occurred during the last 45 years tho' the population and stock of the province have nearly doubled within the same period, that much waste land has been brought under cultivation and that there has been actually a decrease in that portion of the district in which the progress of improvement has been most perceptibly manifested by the condition of the inhabitants and the appearance of their lands and Villages, - they feel bound to pause before they pronounce in favor of a financial experiment which is to fix the public demand irrevocably on its present basis and to put it out of the power of Government to rectify errors hereafter -

From Gov. 31st May in Cons. 4th June 1819 Para. 2 -

24. It is not denied that advantage has been derived from the exertions of the local officers during the progress of the present revenue - To do so would be as unjust as to charge them with the responsibility of the defects which are still believed to be in existence - The friends of this office and of Government bear frequent testimony

testimony to the zeal and industry of all those Gentlemen who have maintained the excellence of the present system. But the opinions of those best qualified to pronounce on the success of the various measures that have been adopted to secure the Revenue differ: it is seen considerably and the Board have followed the side on which the weight of evidence seemed to them to preponderate and that the more readily when they found it to be supported by the Accounts annually submitted to them. The loss of revenue may be greater or may be less than has been surmised, but at all events it is desirable to ascertain the exact truth. The Board in their proceedings of the 18th November 1813 explained several modes by which this object might be accomplished. Mr. Blair concurs with them so far as to consider it advisable to survey the Estates escheated to Government. The propriety of applying the same test to those under investigation (Tunkey) and recommended for permanent reduction (Board's farish) is equally obvious and so far it may be apprehended no reasonable objection can be offered in any quarter. But a

Para 47.

patch work measurement of detached Estates would be productive of little permanent good. It would fail to throw light on the disputed questions of encroachments made by the proprietary rents. To be really useful and to be applicable to all practical purposes hereafter such a survey should be general and if free from interference with the old maximum of assessment except in cases of proved fraud would be a very desirable measure for the people themselves. The established Peruz is known to be very unequal and must be so from the physical peculiarities of the province and from different degrees to which improvement has been carried by a numerous body of landed proprietors whose circumstances have fluctuated remarkably with the political relations of their Country during the last hundred years. The Board felt the force of long prescriptive enjoyment which many landowners can plead and therefore confined their recommendation of remedial measures to the reform of the revenue accounts and to the accurate registry of the Lands in their present state. If a topographical survey limited at first to those objects may

was cautiously and gradually carried from the Government Estate to those immediately surrounding them, and was thus extended by degrees to the whole area it would probably excite neither jealousy nor alarm on the contrary it would be very likely to be favorably received by the Landholders when they perceived that it would give them an exact and authoritative record of their rights. The strongest argument against it is that of the expence. And this must be put in competition with a more correct system of management, with the improvement of the Revenue, with an accurate knowledge of the resources of the Country, and with a Standard of reference for the adjustment of all disputes either before the revenue or judicial tribunals.—

Ordered that Copies of these proceedings be forwarded to Government and to the Collector of Canara. The Board likewise resolve to transmit to the Collector a Copy of their Proceedings &c. under date 16th November 1815.—

Read the following letters.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

59

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir, Fort St. George

The Colungode Nambidy from the time that Malabar has come into our possession has been in the habit of paying Rupees 811 — 1 — per Annum as a general Tax on his Forest produce embracing honey Wax Sniples and pasturage. He has for a long time withheld a balance of Rupees 317 — 8 — 9 on the ground that it is a proportion which is due on account of the two Pathays of Attiam and Chunanum, East of the Meengary River, which by the orders of Government under date the 15th October 1814, were placed under the jurisdiction of Coimbatore. He was in the habit he declares of realizing this sum from leasing out the pasturage &c. obtainable in these two Pathays an advantage of which he has been deprived by the Coimbatore Authorities subsequent to the orders above referred to.—

That he has been so deprived is I find from Mr. Wroughton true, that Gentleman considering that the orders of Government which prevented him from felling timber in the disputed tract

Brings to the notice of the Board that the Colungode Nambidi has for some time past withheld a balance of the tax hitherto paid by him on his forest produce and solicits the orders of the Board.—

At the rate of Rs. 235 — — per Annum. The amount for the whole of Fusly 1255 and a part of Fusly 1254.

tract were intended to exclude him from all other rights on it. Whether or no Mr. Monghton is borne out in this reading of the order, May I think be a matter of question but it is a question not within my province to decide. All I have to look to is the point how the balance standing in my Accounts against the Numbidi is to be realized or Settled. From the best information I can obtain I am unable to say that the proportion of the general forest tax which the Numbidi lays on the two Pathays is an unfair one. They embrace a large extent of ground and were very valuable as pasturage Ground being let annually for that purpose to parties from the Trichinopoly and other Districts. It is for the Board to decide whether if this privilege be taken from him, he should not be relieved from the payment of the tax which he paid in consideration of the privilege. But it might perhaps be continued to him, and the amount which he has to pay on its account transferred from the Malabar to the Coimbatore Jumma.

An Area of about 11 Miles Vide Mins of Cons of Govt dated 3d April 1815 Para 2.—

I am really very sorry to have to write to the Board on a subject which in one shape or other has been treated of to extremity of weariness. I have done all in my power for many months past to get the matter settled without a reference to Madras. But I have failed and have no resource but to make it.

Malabar Collr Office
Calicut 30th July 1816.

(Signed) W. D. Conolly
Collr

Proceedings thereon. . . . It does not appear to the Board that the claims advanced by the Collector Numbidi to the right of pasturage or of collecting the smaller Forest produce in the tract in dispute between himself and the Coimbatore Sircar furnish any reason why he should be exempted from the payment to the Malabar Treasury of any portion of the Forest tax (Rupees 811—1—1) which he has hitherto been in the custom of paying. The Collector will therefore be pleased to collect this tax from the Numbidi in full, and will require him at once to discharge the balance (Rs 317—3—9) now outstanding. The representation of all doubtful points connected with the two Pathays being (Extract Minutes Consultation 59-60 15th October 1816) the especial duty of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore the Board cannot at present enter upon the consideration of that part of the subject.

In Cons 21st October 1816.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance.

Ordered also that copy of the above Extract and the letter from the Collector

11303

Fort St. George 3d September 1840

Collector of Malabar be submitted to Govern-
ment in reference to the previous correspon-
dence on the subject.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(61)

From the Collr of Malabar

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular Letter dated 20th Ultimo together with one Copy of Thornton's Gazetteer in 2 Volumes therein alluded to.

Malabar Collr's Office,
Calicut 25th August 1840

Signed J. H. V. Conolly
Collector

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(62)

From the Collr of Malabar

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter dated the 21st May last, together with one Copy of Thornton's History of the British Empire

11304

Fort St. George 3d September 1840

in Sindh in 5 Volumes therein alluded to.

Signed J. H. V. Conolly
Collector

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters

Correct.
20th August 1840

Order on the two foregoing
61-63 Letters.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(64)

From the Collr of Madras

Sir,

With reference to the enclosure
mitted under date the 18th May last on the
Petition from certain Ryots of Mydapore to
of Mydapore No 86 of 1840. I have the honor
to report that it is customary with the Police
Authorities to apply to this Office for the
examination of any encroachment reported
before by their Overseers, whenever they
are to suspect the Ground, and to afford
them such information on Oath as they
may be called upon to give in ascertaining
to the circumstances of each case.

2. I accordingly received a letter
from the Chief Magistrate under date
the 5th March last, relative to an alleged
encroachment on Public Ground and
leaving

Fort St. George, 3rd September 1830

having accounts referred it to one of my Assistants, a Complaint was afterwards preferred to me by a Bazar man Vendachella Pillay to the effect that some person took upon himself to hedge in a piece of Ground of which a portion was in his occupancy and on which he kept his Bazar for a considerable period, on payment of a monthly Ground rent to Government.

3. On enquiry I found that the Ground in the occupation of Vendachella Pillay was part of that referred to in the Chief Magistrate's letter of the 8th March and the Ground rent thereon was paid by him during 1828 and that a further portion of Ground was occupied by another Bazar man who also paid a similar rent up to the year 1829.

4. The entire spot claimed by the Petitioners is about 6 Grounds (see Government Grant No 1029) for two Gardens which were granted to one Mr Jacob Lawson on the 20th April 1804, the Ground in question is described as a road leading to Luz Church and as forming the Southern boundary On the 26th June 1825 fresh Grants of four 1208 1209 and 1210 were granted to Dr Kindersley

Fort St. George, 3rd September 1830

in substitution of No 1029, and in which the Ground hereinbefore referred to is described as a "Cocoanut Garden and road" this the Petitioners now call "Hassanmotchi Palladai" and say that the occupant pays them Ground rent for the part upon which his Bazar stands: and it is a fact well known that all Bazars are kept on any part of the public roads or streets are liable to be assessed with Ground rent by this Office only.

On the 25th March the Vicar

5. of the Roman Catholic Church at Luz complained to me of the encroachments and I referred his complaint to the Acting Chief Magistrate, in consequence of the proprietary right being then under investigation in his Office I observed, on the occasion, that the Ground would cease "from the records of this Office to be the property of Government, as we must accept of it, has been assessed for a considerable number of years, with Ground rent."

6. I understand that Mr Dalrymple resorted to the spot in person and instituted an enquiry there from several respectable persons, and that at the Police Office also, some of whom besides the Catekeny

Fort St George 3rd September 1840

Cuttaloy Mistry made there appearance and were examined. On satisfying himself that the Complaint was false & frivolous he ordered the Judge Constable may at any future date to be removed for that day.

When the Petitioners complainant to me of the Mistry having given wrong information so as to mislead the Magistrate I told them that the ongoing having been made before a competent tribunal and the Mistry examined on Oath, it was not for me to enter again upon their cases but that they were at liberty if they wished to prove their claim further to lodge their complaint against him before the presiding Chief Magistrate or if united with him alone to obtain the original decision if there were sufficient grounds for the doing so.

Madras
9th July 1840

Signed J. A. Braddon
Collector

Resolution thereon.

(64-65)

It is to appear that the Petitioners have been confirmed to the Collector that the Mistry deputed by them to examine their Cowcal should given wrong information to the Magistrate engaged to it the Board are of opinion that the Collector should do further enquiry the complaint as to

Fort St George 3rd September 1840

ascertain whether the Statement made by the Mistry to the Police Authorities was or was not correct. If on further enquiry the Collector be satisfied that the Statement of the Mistry was well founded the Petitioners may be dismissed but if otherwise the real state of the case should be communicated to the Magistrate in order that he may take such steps in respect to the former decision as he may deem expedient.

Ordered that the following Government be posted on Petition Nos 956 908 and 1024 of 1840.

Petitioners are referred to the Collector of Madras to whom instructions have been sent in their case.

To J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(44)

From the Collr of Chingleput — dated 9th July — Recd the same day — Ansd the 3rd Sept — Entd the 4th Sept

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 9th Instant transmitting Copy of Nos 1 to 3 of a letter to the Chief Secretary and of the orders of Government thereon;

and

that may be confirmed. That Officiating Clerk John D'Paz Head Clerk and Record Keeper may be allowed to draw Rupees 360 per Annum from the Date of the last Testimonials which have been already recorded in his favour and of his Length of Services in the Madras Cutcherry and Secretariat

and in pursuance of the instructions of the Board to recommend that D. Venkata Subbiah Pramin who was appointed by me on the 4th January last Acting Shereistadar may be confirmed, as he is fully qualified for the duties of the Office from his practical knowledge of the business, as well as from his past experience for upwards of 12 Years that he has been on the Public Service, as detailed in the Margin.

Assistant Book Keeper at the late Government Bank from 1st March 1830 to 6th October 1834.

" Drawback Accountant pro. tem. at the Sea Customs House from 7th October 1834 to 23d June 1839.

" English Head Accountant at the Madras Cutcherry from 24th June 1839 to 28th January 1845.

" Acting Shereistadar from 29th January to 13th August 1840.

4-7-6.

4-8-17.

0-1-2.

0-2-0.

10-5-12.

Note.

From 4th May to 6th July 46 and from 19th December 45 to 15th January 46 V. Venkata Soobiah acted for the late Shereistadar.

2.

As regards Mr. John D'Paz his claims have been repeatedly brought to the notice of the Board that I consider it unnecessary to enter again into a lengthened discussion of them at this place. I submit, however that it is fair due to his long and very meritorious services that I should, on this occasion,

Rs 85. 15th Oct. 1839.

recommend such an addition to his Pay as will induce him to remain in the Cutcherry and which will make the Amount equal to what he might have got many years ago. Viz. Rupees 360 per Annum. I had already long been willing to part with him in 1838, when I was particularly requested to permit him to be employed in another Office at the Presidency.

He has drawn Rupees 210 since 1837, or for 9 years. In addition to his

Rs 63 8th June 1830
Rs 99 1/8 Nov. 1831
Rs 75 8th Nov. 1832
Rs 105 2 Oct. 1838
Rs 30 6th June 1839

long Tried services in this Office and elsewhere, embracing a period of 30 years, as fully subjoined. Merits and to the high testimonials in his favor, which are already on record, and which the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to notice in the orders now under acknowledgement, I beg leave strongly, but respectfully to recommend for favorable consideration, that Mr. D'Paz may be allowed to draw from the date, the additional sum of Rupees 110. as a well merited reward for his services, which in 1831 that is, 15 Years ago were declared to be "meritorious" by a former Collector, whose opinion is entitled to great respect. Whilst the sentiments which that Gentleman

11311 Fort St George, 3d September 1818

Gentlemen enjoined at the time are so highly creditable to Mr D'Vaz and which are perfectly in unison with my own and those of my immediate Predecessor, I cannot, in closing my remarks on this case do better than adopt as he did, that I could not have ventured to make this strong representation but from thorough experience and the fullest conviction of its expediency in furtherance of the public interests.

Madras
13th August
1818

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Memo

11312 Fort St George, 3d September 1818.

Memorandum of Mr John D'Vaz services

	Yrs	Mo	D.
As Assistant writer in the Office of the Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot from 1st March 1818 to 31st March 1819	3	1	
" Correspondence Head Clerk in the Company's Ware House at Madras from April 1819 to 31st July 1820	1	4	
	4	5	
" Register of Piece Goods in the Madras Collectors Cutcherry from 6th May 1821 to 31st March 1824	2	10	27
" Head Clerk and Record Keeper in Dr from 1st April 1824 to 23d October 1828	2	6	23
" Head Clerk, Record Keeper and Manifest Writer in Dr from 24th October 1828 to 31st January 1832	6	3	8
" Head Clerk and Record Keeper in Dr from 1st February 1832 to 13th August 1840	11	6	12
	25	3	10
Total	29	8	10

Madras
13th August 1840

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Ordered

Fort St George, 3rd September 1840.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To

The Collector of

Madras.

(66-67)

To the Coll.r of Sir.

Madras — } 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue
In Fort 3d Sept.r 1840. } to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th Ultimo recommending that D. Venata Soobiah who was appointed Acting Shirestadar of your Cutcherry in January last may be confirmed in that Office and that the Salary of Mr D'Vaz Head Clerk and record Keeper may be raised to Rupees 350 per mensem in consideration of his long and valuable services—

2. In reply the Board desire me to convey to you their assent to the confirmation of D. Venkata Soobiah as Head Shirestadar of your Cutcherry but they are unable to take into consideration your proposal on behalf of Mr D'Vaz as now submitted. They suggest that this application may be made the subject of a separate communication and it is desirable that on laying it before the Board you should enter fully into the nature and extent of Mr D'Vaz' services show the opinions entertained of him by the several Officers under whom he has served, and explain at large the grounds of your recommendation in his favor. Your application for the proposed increase of Salary should also be accompanied by a Statement drawn up in the form prescribed in the Boards Circular of 21st March 1844—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George —
3d September 1840 —

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Fort St George, 3rd September 1840.

Read the undermentioned

(68) Statements—

From the Collector of Rajahmundry } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th August last.

(69)

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Forwarding 3 Statements shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain up to 31st July last.

(70)

From the Collector of Nellore. } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 20th August last.

(71)

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah. } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th August last.

(72)

From the Commissioner in Kurnool } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th August last.

(73)

From the Collector of South Arcot — } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 20th August last.

Statement

11315 Fort St George, 3rd September 1840.

(74) From the Collector of Tuticorin } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 20th August last.

(75) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain for 1st to 10th August last.

(76) From the Collector of Canara } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain for 1st to 20th August last.

(77) From the Collector of Malabar } Statement shewing the prices of the Principal kinds of Grain for 1st to 20th August last.

(68-78) Order on the 10 above mentioned Statements and Letter } Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved that the following Letter be dispatched.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

(79) To the Chief Sect. to Govt. Sir Thos. M. Munro 1840. Sir, With reference to the concluding Paragraph of the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th December 1840. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Memorandum shewing the number of

11316 Fort St George, 3rd September 1840.

of Printed Bills of exchange and letters of advice required to complete the Indents received from Collectors, and to request that the same may be printed at the Fort St George Gazette Press according to the Form accompanying.

The quantity of paper required for the purpose is herewith sent.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 3rd September 1840. } I Lionel I Pycroft Secretary.

A

Memorandum

Number		Quantity of Paper Quires	Sheets
1	Bills of Exchange drawn on the Accountant General }	1,000	41 10
2	Letters of advice drawn on Do }	2,000	20 20
3	Bills of exchange drawn on Collr. Salem }	700	7 7
4	Letters of advice drawn on Do }	400	4 4

Resolved

11317 Fort St. George, 3rd September 1816

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To. The Collector of Malabar.

(80)

To the Coll.r of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 21st July last No. 94. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the payment of arrears of Malikanah allowance due to the Cherikal Chingenn Kaimlaguem Vierlah Varmah Rajah, Amounting to Rupees 183 6

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd September 1816.

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary

Circular

To. The Collector of Ganjam.

(81)

To the Coll.r of Ganjam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you by bearer 52 Copies of the printed forms of Demand Collection and Balances Statements and 10 printed forms of Statement for the insertion of the

to all Collectors.

11318 Fort St. George, 3rd September 1816

the items of Extra Revenues in your District.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd September 1816.

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary

To. The Secretary to the Military Board.

(82)

To the Secr.y to the Military Board

Sir,

With reference to the letter from this Office dated 3rd September 1816 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that the Collector of Tyagapatam and the Governor's Agent in Kurnool have reported that they have not yet been supplied with a set of the Standard weights and measures in accordance with the orders of Government dated 15th June 1816. The Board beg to request the Military Board will be pleased to issue instructions to the proper Department for the early supply to those Offices of the weights and measures referred to.

Rec.d 13th Aug.t 1816.

1st July & 13th Aug.t 1816.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd September 1816.

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary

Received

Fort St. George, 3rd September, 1840.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

(83) From the Acting Collector of North Arcot — Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulations of 1822 for the month of July last.

(84) From the Actg Collector of Chingleput — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

(85) From the Collector of Ganjam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

(86) From the Collector of Guntoor — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

(87) From the Collector of Guntoor — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

Abstract

Fort St. George, 3rd September, 1840.

(88) From the Commissioner of Kurnool — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

(89) From the Actg Coll.r of Tinnevelly — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balances from 1st to 11th July last.

(90) From the Actg Coll.r of Chingleput — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt from 1st to 11th July last.

(91) From the Coll.r of Ganjam — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

(92) From the Coll.r of Guntoor — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

Informing

11303

Fort St. George 3d September 1840

Collector of Malabar be submitted to Govern-
ment in reference to the previous correspon-
dence on the subject.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(61)

From the Collr of Malabar

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular Letter dated 20th Ultimo together with one Copy of Thornton's Gazetteer in 2 Volumes therein alluded to.

Malabar Collr's Office,
Calicut 25th August 1840

Signed J. H. V. Conolly
Collector

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(62)

From the Collr of Malabar

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter dated the 21st May last, together with one Copy of Thornton's History of the British Empire

11304

Fort St. George 3d September 1840

in Sindh in 5 Volumes therein alluded to.

Signed J. H. V. Conolly
Collector

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters

Correct.
20th August 1840

Order on the two foregoing
61-63 Letters.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(64)

From the Collr of Madras

Sir,

With reference to the enclosure
mitted under date the 18th May last on the
Petition from certain Ryots of Mydapore to
of Mydapore No 86 of 1840. I have the honor
to report that it is customary with the Police
Authorities to apply to this Office for the
examination of any encroachment reported
before by their Overseers, whenever they
are to suspect the Ground, and to afford
them such information on Oath as they
may be called upon to give in ascertaining
to the circumstances of each case.

2. I accordingly received a letter
from the Chief Magistrate under date
the 5th March last, relative to an alleged
encroachment on Public Ground and
leaving

11321 Fort St George 3rd September 1824 184

93

From the Collr of Ganjam Informing that certain 3
Returns for the Month of June last are
not sent there being no entry to make

94

From the Collr of Vizagapatam Stating that he
report on the Returns of persons charged 1
with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822
for the half year ending 30th June last
was transmitted on the 2nd July last

" A. Macleod

" Walter Elliot

" Spoldingham