Vol. 2033.

TO BE RETURNED TO THE MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

A 2033

Vol 2033

96

24-10-1938 BW

Page 42/44

4712435

Board of Rw

Vol. 2033

N 28

19-4-52

Brown & Dw

20 33

Board of Rev Vol. 2033

9868 Fort St. George — August 1846

Diary

Sent the following letters-

30th July-

To Captain S. C. Macpherson
Agent in the Hill
Tracts of Orissa at
Nowgaum-

(1)

To Captain S. C. Macpherson Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa at Nowgaum - - - - - - - -
Inclosed 15th June 1846

Sir

With reference to your Indent for Stationery dated 22d May last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be pleased to specify your office Establishment at the foot of the Indent (herewith returned) as required by the 2d para of the orders of Government dated 17th May 1841 published in the Fort St. George Gazette Page 407-

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George - -
3d August 1846 - - -

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

31st July-

Received the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(2)

From the Collr of Tanjore - -

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 24th Instant that Mr. G. Ellis desires to proceed on leave to Pondicherry-

Tanjore - -
Vadaurnicum
28th July 1846

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collr

Resolution thereon-

(2-3)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government-

4th

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member

Monday 3d. August 1846 } A. Maclean Esqre. Jr. Second Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre. officiating Member

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

4

From the Secretary to Government Directing that the sale of the Cossimcotah & Mellooparahah Zemindaries in the District of Vizagapatam be suspended & that the Property be taken under charge of the Board in their Capacity of Court of Wards on behalf of the minor sons of the late Proprietor

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th. July 1846.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 22d. June 1846 No. 303 /

Para. 1. Under the very different Circumstances now brought to the notice of Government in the Correspondence between the Board of Revenue and the Collector of Vizagapatam respecting the Cossimcotah and Mellooparahah Zemindaries in that District, the Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves to direct in modification of the orders of the 21st. February 1846, that the sale of the above Estates be suspended and that the Property be

be taken under charge of the Board of Revenue in their Capacity of Court of Wards under the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 on behalf of the two minor sons of the late Proprietor.

2. The Court of Wards will require from the Collector of Vizagapatam a full report of the origin and of all particulars regarding this Family and of all Circumstances Connected with the affairs of the Property, its resources, and embarrassments, as well as whether any and to what extent, Compromise of the private debts can be effected, and the Course he may propose to adopt for the Education of the Minors under the orders of Government noted in the Margin.

23d. March 1841 No. 393.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / C. P. Thompson

Secy. to Government

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon.

4-5

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the

the information and guidance of the Collector of Vizagapatam with reference to the letter from this office dated 11th June 1846.

Mr. Smollett will take charge of the Cassimcotah and Mellapiankah Zemindaries under Management as Agent of the Court of Wards and he will be pleased to submit at an early date a full report upon the several particulars on which information has been required by Government in Para 2 of the Extract-

(6)

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th July 1846.

Read the following letter.

To E. B. Thomason Esqre
Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 25 dated the 2d March last with Enclosures, and in reply to state that for the reasons therein assigned and in compliance with

		Rs.
1	Sheristadar	150
1	Sheristah Muddutgar	30
2	Ditto Ditto	21 18 15 12 10
1	Javobneviss	35
1	Assistant Do	15
1	Magistrate Do	21
1	Assistant Do	10
1	English Writer	35
1	Moochee	7
1	Ink Maker	5
1	Muskalgee	3.8
1	Succoser	2
1	Duffadar	12
2	Daleyets @ 7/ each	14
8	Ditto @ 6/ "	48
8	Ditto @ 5/ "	40
	Total Rupees per Mensem	503.8

with the recommendation of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the President in Council is pleased to sanction the employment of the Establishment noted in the Margin, amounting to Rupees 503/8 per Mensem, to be attached to the Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate lately appointed to the District of Rajahmundry.

The

9873 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

2

The original Enclosure
your letter is returned.-

Fort William
the 27th June 1846

(Signed) G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the
Govt of India

Ordered to be Communi=
=cated to the Board of Revenue and the
reference to their Secretary's letter dated
5th February 1846, and to the Offices
of Accounts and Audit.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt

6-7
Order thereon-

Ordered to be Communi=
=cated to the Collector of Rajahmundry
with reference to his letter dated 28th
January last.-

9874 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.-

From the Secretary to Government --- 8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th July 1846.-

Read the following letter.-

To. C. P. Thompson Esq re
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.-

Sir.

I am directed to acknow=
=ledge the receipt of your letter No. 397 dated
the 6th April last, with enclosures, and in
reply to state that, in compliance with the
recommendation of the Most Noble the
Governor in Council, the President in Council
sanctions the salary of Mr. T. Ward Head
Accountant on the Establishment of the Collector
of Nellore, being raised from Rupees 100
to Rupees 120 per mensem with
the understanding that it is as a
personal grant only, not to be taken
into calculation in any subsequent
application for Pension.-

Para 1.

The original enclosures
2. of your letter are returned.-

Fort William
the 27th June 1846.

(Signed) G. A. Bushby.-
Secy to the Govt of India

Ordered to be commani=
=cated to the Board of Revenue and to
the

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

the Offices of Account and Audit.—

/Signed/ E.P. Thompson.
Secry to Govt

(8-9)

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Nellore, with reference to his letter dated 10th March 1846.—

In Cons 26th March 1846

From the Secry to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th July 1846.—

(10)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

/Here enter 18th June 1846 No 304/

Under all the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter the Most Noble the Governor in Council is disposed to adopt the suggestion of the Board of Revenue communicated at the conclusion of the above letter, Viz, that in consideration of the expense incurred by Mr Lascelles in making additions to the House at Honore he be allowed to occupy the premises free of Charge so long as he may remain at that station, subject however to the condition that the Bungalow and such buildings as Mr Lascelles may erect shall become the property of Government on his leaving Honore

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Honore.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E.P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.—

(10-11)

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 9th May last.—

(12)

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th July 1846.

Directing the immediate withdrawal of the Company's Chowkies on the Cochin or Frontier Transmitting Copy of a communication from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin dated 25th May 1846 and directing that the Collector of Malabar be required to report upon it.

Read the following Papers—

Extract Minutes Consultation in the Political Department— (Here enter 1st June 1846 No 235)

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.— (Here enter 18th June 1846 No 301.)

Para 1. As it appears from the communications recorded above that the Cochin Government have assented to the removal of all their inland Custom Houses on the Frontiers of the Malabar and Coimbatore Districts, the Most Noble the Governor in Council

9877 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Council is pleased to direct the immediate withdrawal of the Company's Chowkies on the Cochin Frontier, and requests the Board of Revenue will issue the necessary instructions to the local officers for giving effect to this arrangement. This Government highly appreciate the liberal policy of the Cochin Sircar, and doubt not that the measure will be found mutually beneficial.—

2. The questions brought to the notice of Government, in the communication from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin dated 23d May 1846. are of so much importance, that His Lordship in Council resolves to furnish a Copy to the Board with a request that they will call upon the Collector of Malabar to report fully on all the points adverted to by the Resident, especially those contained in paras 9 & 13, and that in the Department of Public Works, the Principal Collector of Coimbatore may also be required to submit his views on the proposed line of road pointed out by the Resident in paras 4—14— and 15—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.—

To

9878 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

No. 1.

To
J. F. Thomas Esquire
Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 40 of 23d January last and of No. 57 of the 3d February, on the subject of the restrictions and duties to which the produce of the Travancore and Cochin Sircars are at present liable from being classed as "Foreign States."—

1. I have already transmitted to the Government the addresses of certain European and Native Merchants residing in Travancore and Cochin, who are more or less extensively engaged in the Export of the produce of their Provinces, representing the very great disadvantages they labour under from the additional duties levied in England on Coffee and other produce, the growth of Travancore and Cochin, because included in the Schedule as "Foreign States," notwithstanding their long and intimate alliance and connection with the British Government.

9877 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Council is pleased to direct the immediate withdrawal of the Company's Chowkies on the Cochin Frontier, and requests the Board of Revenue will issue the necessary instructions to the local officers for giving effect to this arrangement. This Government highly appreciate the liberal policy of the Cochin Circar, and doubt not that the measure will be found mutually beneficial.—

2. The questions brought to the notice of Government, in the communication from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin dated 23d May 1846. are of so much importance, that His Lordship in Council resolves to furnish a Copy to the Board with a request that they will call upon the Collector of Malabar to report fully on all the points adverted to by the Resident, especially those contained in paras 9 & 13, and that in the Department of Public Works, the Principal Collector of Coimbatore may also be required to submit his views on the proposed line of road pointed out by the Resident in paras 4—14— and 15—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.—

9878 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

No. 1.

To
J. F. Thomas Esquire
Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 40 of 23d January last and of No. 57 of the 3d February, on the subject of the restrictions and duties to which the produce of the Travancore and Cochin Sirkars are at present liable from being classed as "Foreign States".—

1. I have already transmitted to the Government the addresses of certain European and Native Merchants residing in Travancore and Cochin, who are more or less extensively engaged in the Export of the produce of their Provinces, representing the very great disadvantages they labour under from the additional duties levied in England on Coffee and other produce, the growth of Travancore and Cochin, because included in the Schedule as "Foreign States" notwithstanding their long and intimate alliance and connection with the British Government.

To

Government, so much so as to have rendered them virtually almost British possessions, differing in no respect from the State of Mysore, to which all the privileges of a British Colony have been so liberally conceded. —.

2. On the subject of the Frontier Chowkies between Cochin and Malabar and Coimbatore I have again communicated with the Dewan of Cochin. The more immediate origin of the proposal to abolish these Chowkies, was the extreme annoyance and the interruption they caused to Commercial intercourse, especially after the promulgation of the Act No. VI of 1844, abolishing the Transit duties, which led to much greater strictness at the Frontier Chowkies and I believe to an increase in their number. —.

3. Representations on the subject having been made to the Collector of Malabar, that Gentleman seeing that the Revenue from these sources was very inconsiderable, and in no proportion to the annoyance and interruption caused to Trade, most considerately suggested to the

the Board of Revenue their entire abolition, but the Board of Revenue objected to this concession, unless the Cochin Sirkar also abolished all their Chowkies. —.

The Board of Revenue could not have been aware of the extent of such a sacrifice on the part of that Sirkar for while the Collector of Malabar estimated his Frontier duties at only 2,700 Rupees, those of the Cochin Sirkar amounted to from 12 to 13,000 Rupees, a large sum for that small State to relinquish. —.

Table A annexed

But the Cochin Sirkar

4.

have been constantly occupied for the last 6 or 7 years in the improvement of the roads and communications through their Territory, and on which they have laid out nearly 25,000 Rupees, with a view to the encouragement of Commercial intercourse by the route of Trichoor from Palghat to the Port of Cochin, by which there is not only a saving of 20 Miles in the land carriage, but a road of a most superior description to Trichoor, from whence there is a water communication all the rest of the way to Cochin. —.

As noticed in my report to Government No. 12 of 16th March 1843.

1843, Mr. Brice a Bombay Merchant Set=
=tled at Cochin, and connected with Lan=
=caster and Co. of that Presidency, was the
first to draw attention to the advantages
likely to result to the Port of Cochin, as
well as to the Cochin Sirkar and to the
Company's District of Coimbatore &c. &c. if the
Sirkar were to reduce their Frontier duties
on Cotton Europe Metals, and a few other
of the chief articles of traffic. —.

The Cochin Sirkar im=
=mediately agreed to a reduction of duty
on those articles from 5 to 2 per Cent, but
the failure of the Firm with which Mr.
Brice was connected, put a stop to fur=
=ther proceedings. —.

6. More recently however
the subject has been again taken up
by the Merchants at Cochin, and especially
by a Mr. Richmond a leading Member
of a Bombay Firm, whom I met at that
Port in January last, and who imme=
=diately perceiving the advantages pre=
=sented by that Port as a Depot for the
Cotton of Coimbatore and Dindigul &c. &c.
addressed me the letter herewith forward=
=ed, stating that if the Sirkar Frontier
Duties

duties could be sufficiently reduced he would in
concert with a Parsee Merchant Sapporjee
Hirjee of Cochin, immediately erect Cotton
Screws, and the Buildings are already
I believe nearly completed. —.

The great saving of 20
Sketch No. I 7. Miles in the land carriage, and the decided
superiority of the road, which with exception
of one small ascent may be considered
almost a dead level throughout, had attracted
the general attention of the Mercantile Com=
=munity in this quarter, to the advantages of
Cochin as a Cotton Depot, if the difficulty in
regard to the Frontier duties can be got over,
and I am happy to be enabled to report for
the information of the Most Noble the
Governor in Council, that the Dewan of
Cochin has most liberally expressed his
desire to meet the views of Government by
their entire abolition. —.

Material as will be the present
loss of Revenue, the Sirkar trust to the
great indirect and prospective benefits
likely to be derived from this measure, while
they feel that they could not reasonably throw
obstacles in the way of an arrangement so
likely to be attended with important
advantages

advantages to the Company's Port of Cochin.—

8. The apprehensions of the Board of Revenue in their letter to your address No. 751 of 11th December 1845 para 2. that the produce of Malabar (and it may now be added of Coimbatore and perhaps of Dindigul &c.) might be taken for shipment to some of the Ports of these Sirkars and vice versa, that goods might be imported and introduced by the same channels into the Company's Districts to the prejudice of the Sea Custom Revenue, may perhaps be considered as sufficiently answered by the 3d Para of the letter of the Dewan of Cochin quoted by the Board of Revenue in their correspondence with Mr. Conolly, viz. that goods Shipped at the Sirkar Ports would be liable to all the duties of "Foreign" Produce if carried to any British Port, or perhaps goods on passing the Frontier might be required to be accompanied by some Certificate which should regulate all these points.—

9. The object at first was merely to get rid of an oppressive system of Frontier Chowkies, the great object now however

however may be deemed that of promoting the prosperity of the Company's Port of Cochin by which the Cochin Sirkar must also eventually benefit, not only from the additional traffic passing through its territory, but in the increased activity and demand for labour that it will give rise to among st the population of Cochin itself, and especially, in the Sirkar suburbs of Mutton-cherry and Vypeen.—

10. No stranger arriving at Cochin but must be astonished at the little attention that appears to have been paid to the improvement of that fine Port, a neglect probably originating in great measure in the British Government possessing nothing but the mere site of the Port itself, all the suburbs and surrounding country belonging to the Cochin Sirkar.—

Yet Cochin has always been noted for the advantages it offered for Shipbuilding from its vicinity to the Cochin and Travancore Teak Forests.—

11. The great saving of distance and advantage of opening a direct Commercial communication between Cochin and Coimbatore and the other

other Districts to the Eastward via Trichoor, seem never to have attracted particular notice, yet no traveller for the last 30 years ever thought of following any other route.—

It is in the first instance to the excellent roads and bungalows &ca recently constructed by the Cochin Sirkar, and next to the Mercantile Community of Cochin, and chiefly those connected with Bombay, that we now owe the attention that has been drawn to this important object.—

12. There is one point however connected with the Port of Cochin which has I believe occasionally occupied the attention of Government, viz, the removal of the Bar at its entrance, and one which there are not more than 16 or 18 feet water at spring tides. I am unacquainted with the plans which may have been proposed to remove this obstruction, but the subject may now again perhaps be considered deserving of enquiry.—

13. The enclosed small sketch exhibits the outline of the Coast at Cochin and the position of the sand Banks—

After

After a heavy Monsoon the waters on clearing the mouth of the River seem to make their way out in three principal Currents, one nearly due W directly over the Bar, another S close round the Fort of Cochin, and the 3d S close round the Vypun point, but when the Monsoon is scanty, especially the N E Monsoon, the rise and velocity of the current appear to be unable to resist the effect of the surfs and currents of the Sea and the N. Channel immediately round Vypun point closes up. This effect occurred towards the end of the last year, and Vypun has ever since been connected by a New sand bank several feet above the ordinary tides, with the reef or bank forming the N^n^ boundary of the Channel thro which Vessels pass into the River— What effect the closing of this N^n^ Channel may have had on the Bar I do not know, but as it probably throws a greater body of water directly on the Bar it may tend perhaps to deepen or widen the Main Channel, and if so, it may lead to a consideration of whether this natural barrier may not be strengthened by artificial means by the driving of piles

or

or other methods.—

14. In these days of rail road speculation, to the apparent oblivion of the humble but fertilizing canal, it may perhaps also be proper to shew what facilities the proposed new line of communications between Cochin and Coimbatore may appear to offer for a Rail Road, and it may be stated that there are no obstructions or difficulties beyond what the mere inclination of the ground may present.—

Trichoor is hardly 50 feet above the Backwater, and the ascent in the next 20 Miles to Waddakunncherry (in the Company's Country, is only about 180 feet. In the next 20 Miles to Palghat, the ascent is about 150 feet, and to the open Country a few Miles S of Coimbatore between Mudakurray and Chetty pollium, a distance of about 35 Miles, the ascent is about 900 feet but the above is rather a circuitous route, and a more direct one from Waddakunncherry to Coimbatore, and to that District generally would, I think, be by Pattamunnalam in the Cochin District of Chittoor. This would probably shorten the distance several miles, and would lead through a more

more open and level Country. Chetty pollium and Palachi are probably about the summit level between Malabar and the Cauvary river near Caroor, ie about 1100 or 1200 feet above Sea, and which latter place is only about 500 feet above the Sea, although 150 Miles distant from it.—

Interested greatly in

15. the project of removing all impediments to a free Commercial intercourse between the Company's Districts of Coimbatore &c. and the Port of Cochin, I had almost forgotten to notice a claim that the Travancore Sirkar might put into duties on the Transit of produce along the proposed new line, because a small part of the water communication, passes through what has been considered as within the limits of the Travancore District of Paroor.—

The tract I more particularly refer to, is the short piece of Backwater lying between the District of Paroor and the Farm of Palliport, which also belongs to Travancore, and is rented from that Sirkar by a Mr. Vernede of Cochin.—

The claim to duty on the part of Travancore will I presume in a

a cause of this kind be readily relinquished, the duties may now amount to about 1,500 or 2,000 Rupees, nor could they object to it with any propriety when it is recollected, that they posess the Paroor District itself, as well as those of Allengaud and Coonatnaad — entirely owing to the generosity of the British Government which by the 1st Article of the Treaty of 1,795 restored those Districts to Travancore after having been ceded to the British in 1,792 by Tippoo Sultan.—

16. I fear I may be considered to have entered too much into details not immediately necessary to the points referred. The question was simply, whether the Cochin Sirkar would agree to abolish the Frontier Chowkies, provided the Madras Government did the same. The Cochin Sirkar are prepared to do this, and if I have entered into other details it has been from a desire to endeavor to satisfy the Government as to the great advantages likely to result from the measure to both States.—

17. I beg to be permitted to conclude with an Extract from a Paper by Mr. Brown formerly of Calicut and Cannanore, and published in the Journal of

of the Royal Geographical Society Vol. 3, page 268, a Gentleman long settled on the Malabar Coast, and well able to judge of the value of the Port of Cochin.

"Here is not a desert, but
"a "peopled" Singapore, in the very heart
"of our own territories and surrounded by
"our dependencies Countries gifted by
"Nature with a boundless extent of
"fertile soil, a fine climate producing
"grain in a vast superabundance, and
"as cheaply as in Bengal rich in numerous other valuable staples, such as
"Timber Cotton, Hemp, Oils, Pepper, Ginger
"Turmeric, Cardamoms, Betel Nut, Copra,
"Ivory, Gold dust, Iron and Drugs, all
"that is required to give value and activity
"to exchange where the Indigo plant flourishes spontaneously, and where the growth
"of the Sugar Cane, of Coffee, of the Clove,
"Nutmeg, and Pimento and lastly of Raw
"Silk has ceased to be matter of doubt.
"And yet, with all these undoubted proofs
"of natural wealth and great capabilities,
"these Countries are admitted to be neither
"prosperous contented nor happy"

I have in anticipation of the entire abolition of Frontier duties, suggested to the Sirkar the immediate abolition of all Frontier duty on Cotton wool, and the substitution of a Tax on each Cart of Cotton of 1 Rupee and on each Bullock load ½ Rupee.

Residents Office
Tiruvandrum
23d. May 1846.

Signed W. Cullen. M.G.
Resident

Abstract.

9891 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Abstract Statement of the Amount of Land Frontier Duties collected by the Cochin Sircars for 8 years from 1015 to 1019 Inclusive.

No. 1.

	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
Rice	3424	3524	3724	3405	2960
Curry Stuff	1894	1933	2012	2100	1356
Sundry Goods	1230	1041	1405	1442	1418
Iron	375	330	342	364	1387
Plantains	625	618	594	564	376
Cotton	123	65	57	63	47
Betel Nut	1858	1302	1554	1446	1493
Pice	1885	639	849	959	957
Paddy	1735	655	746	810	868
Cocoanut Oil	589	583	565	639	52
Salt Fish	197	199	188	130	162
Cocoanut and Palmyra Jaggery	269	285	225	242	255
Coir	255	197	177	193	191
	11975	11149	12417	11492	
Other Sundries	1635	574	992	857	979

Presidents Office
Fremandrum
23. May 1846

Signed W. Cullen
President

9892 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

No. 2.

To

General Cullen
British Resident in Travancore
Cochin.

Sir,

We had the honor to receive in due course your favor of the 31st Ultimo sanctioning the reduction of the Cochin Circars frontier duty on the transit of Goods thro their part of the Chowghat road as proposed by us and assuring us of your readiness to afford every facility to the speedy and cheap transit of Goods thro that Territory. The obliging and immediate attention which you gave to that suggestion induces us to offer another equally worthy of consideration requiring the consent as affecting the interests of both Circars. It is to open the road from Paulghat to this, viâ Trichoor by reducing the combined duties to 2 or at most 2¼ P.C. We find that Copper and other Metals very largely consumed in Coimbatore and those parts of Malabar in the vicinity of Palghaut can be laid down there when imported here direct from England cheaper by

by 1/2 and 1/2 % than it can be now when imported from Bombay into Calicut or Ponany provided that no more than the above duty on the market value be levied by the Circars. The difference is small, but still ample to induce Merchants to send their orders to this Market in preference to any other as affording them the means of procuring a saving remittance for Produce which will also be brought to the Coast by the Trichoor road for the following reasons.

Ponany the present Cotton Depôt is the most objectionable that could be selected, but to no other part of the Coast in Malabar can bandies come direct except Chowghat at which place the Rivers are too shallow to admit Pattimars loading inside and the roadstead are dangerous for large shipping. At Ponany the difficulty in procuring labourers is considerable and no suitable spot is available for the erection of Cotton Screws which alone are required to enable that branch of trade to assume an important station. In every respect Cochin stands preeminent and the saving or expence of land conveyance from Paulghat to Carrapaduvay

Carrapaduvay will nearly equal the further charge hither by water which can be effected throughout the year, while during the greater part of it, boats can go so far as Trichoor. The Collector of Malabar would we are sure render his immediate assistance to the forwarding of this object by repairing that portion of the road which runs thro' the Company's Territory. It remains to consider whether this part offers now or will hereafter, sufficient advantages to counterbalance the 2% duties that would attach to all the Produce coming by that route as it does to Goods going by it at least to those landed here direct from England. The present saving in the expense of shipment would not certainly be equal to 2% on the value of all Goods, but upon Cotton unscrewed the staple of Coimbatore it would be nearly this. So even however as this arrangement could be completed we would undertake to erect screws here, which could be managed with our present business at a small additional expence and would at once establish this as the first Port on the Coast to which all Produce would be concentrated and where Ships bound for either England or China could obtain

an.

an assorted Cargo a vast number of the productions of Travancore and Malabar composing part of the shipments to the latter. We have already extended this Epistle to an extreme length and though there are many other points bearing equally forcible with those introduced on the question we content ourselves and conclude with remark that by the proposed route Goods will pass thro only 5 Miles of the Travancore Territory and that by water carriage entailing no expence of maintaining roads in repair, and we suggest that the Cochin Circar be requested to receive all dues for the Travancore Government as it is not less to be hoped that the road will be freed from those vexatious and expensive stoppages which occur at the various Chowkies as it is to be desired that the duties be reduced.

Cochin
Nov.r 3.t 1842

/ Signed Per Foster Lancaster &c.
/ Signed A. C. Brice.

To.

Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
and Cochin.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1748 under

under date the 15th Instant forwarding copy of one to your address of the 3d from Mr. Brice at Cochin, and in reply I beg to furnish you with the following Report on the subject therein referred to.

2. After a due consideration of the several points explained by Mr. Brice in his letter above adverted to, I am of opinion that the Cochin Circar may be satisfied at present with a duty of 2 per Cent on Europe articles passing through the Cochin Territory viâ Trichoor on their way from Cochin to Paulghat and Coimbatore, as also on Cotton and other produce of the latter place coming by the same route specifically for Shipment at Cochin.

3d. There can be no inconvenience to the Merchants respecting the Tariff of the Cochin Circar since it will be examined and revised so as to keep the Tariff valuation nearly equal to the market value of different Articles.

4th. In order to afford a greater facility to the Merchants I think it advisable that duty on the articles passing to and from Cochin as above explained should be received at the Hurriyoor Cutcherry at

at Ernaculum, and a Pass granted in due form under the signature of the Dewan, which measure I have reason to hope would prove a considerable check on the irregular conduct of the Sowky servants, but this distinction can only be shewn to the respectable Merchants who deal in goods to any large Amount.

Buzzoor Cutcherry
Ernaculum in Cochin
21st November 1812

I Signed Shungra Warrier
Dewan

General Cullen
British Resident in
Travancore Baulghaty.

Honorable Sir,

From the kind and affable manner you were pleased to answer the question I had the honor to put to you regarding a more direct road from hence to Coimbatore than the route viâ Ponany, I am induced to trespass on your time a little further, and sincerely hope you will deem the subject worthy of your attention as I hope to shew it is one materially affecting the interests of the Port of Cochin.

From

From your reply to my enquiry I am led to understand that a good road exists from Trichoor to Paul Ghaut by which various Kinds of Produce, and especially Cotton, could be carried on Carts from Coimbatore to Trichoor and transported thence to Cochin by water, but as far as I can learn this route is not so advantageous as to saving of time and expense will require your aid in setting a fee or tax to be paid on the Carts passing through the Territories of the Rajahs of Travancore and Cochin, which if not fixed at a moderate rate, so as to amount to a mere road tax will act as a prohibition, and cause the present circuitous and inconvenient route by Ponany to be still resorted to, I would therefore earnestly urge on your Honor the great benefit you will confer by having this matter arranged on a moderate scale with the respective authorities of those Princes.

As it may appear presuming in a stranger from Bombay addressing you on the subject, I beg to explain that I have for some time been doing a considerable business with Cochin thro' my worthy friend Sapoorjee

Wirjee

Virjee and am now concerned with him in im-
-porting Cotton from Coimbatore and in —
erecting Premises for Screwing and pre-
-paring the Article for foreign export which
require a considerable outlay, and have
every confidence that if encouraged by
your aid in arranging this matter of Carriage
by a more direct route considerable benefit
will arise to the Port as well as some to the
parties undertaking a new branch of commerce
in the port, as from conversation I have
had with the Mercantile body here I find
they are well pleased at the proceedings
and mean to call the attention of their
friends to the article as one of Export from
hence.

Trusting this explanation
may induce your honor to give the subject
your favorable consideration, and as I am
leaving for Wynaad requesting any an-
-swer you may favor me with may be sent
thro' Mr. W. F. Owen of Cochin.

I Signed T. R. Richmond

Cochin
6th Dec. 1845

To
Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
and Cochin

Sir,

I have the honor
to

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.
1712 under date the 9th forwarding copy of one
to your address of the 6th Instant from T. R.
Richmond Esquire and beg to make the
following observations on the subject to
which it refers.

2. On all Articles brought into
the Town of Cochin from the Company's
Territories through the Territories of Cochin
and Travancore a duty of 11 p Cent is now
levied by the two Circars Viz. 6 per Cent
by the former and 5 by the latter. The ex-
-istence of this duty has doubtless been of
great injury to trade in the great line of
communication between Coimbatore and
the Town of Cochin and I am fully of
opinion that a modification of it will be
advantageous in every point of view whe-
-ther the Interests of the Circars be consider-
-ed or those of the Traders and People gener-
-ally whether subjects of the Company or
of the Native States.

3. With regard to the duty
levied by the Cochin Government I have
nothing to observe here beyond what I have
already stated in my letter No. 326
of the 21st November 1842 in reply to yours

No.

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

No. 1748 of the 15th. of the same. Mentg. in which I proposed to reduce it to 2 per Cent on all Articles the produce of Coimbatore passing through the Cochin Territory for bonâ fide Shipment from the Company's Port of Cochin.—

4. To reach the Town of Cochin however, a small portion of the Travancore Territory on the back water must also be passed, Vizt. from Cranganore to Chattenaud a distance, I think, of about 10. Miles and even in this space or rather for the greater part of the way the one bank only belongs to Travancore and the other to Cochin.

5. The whole distance thrô the Cochin Country is about 50. Miles on 36 of which great expense has been incurred in the making of roads and construction of Bungalows Bridges &c. the Keeping of which in order will of course entail on the Circar an occasional further expense.

6. The Travancore Circar have no expenses to incur in the line of route thrô their Territory. the modification of duty therefore which it is fair to expect from that Government, when the Cochin

Circar.

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Circar are prepared and willing to make the reduction before mentioned is a matter for your consideration.——

Huzzoor Cutcherry
Ernacolum in Cochin
11th. December 1845.

I Signed Thungra Warrier
Dewan.

To,
Major General H. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
and Cochin.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 238 under date the 9th. ultimo forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the Government of Fort St. George dated the 3d. Idem, containing Extract from a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors and asking for any suggestions which in my opinion might tend to promote the object therein desired of ameliorating the trade of Native States in intimate alliance with the British Government.——

2. The general question has received my due consideration; and I am of opinion that, to carry into full

effect

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

No. 1748 of the 15th of the same. Month in which I proposed to reduce it to 2 per Cent on all Articles the produce of Coimbatore passing through the Cochin Territory for bonâ fide Shipment from the Company's Port of Cochin.—

4.— To reach the Town of Cochin however, a small portion of the Travancore Territory on the back water must also be passed, Viz.t from Cranganore to Chatteraud a distance, I think, of about 10. Miles and even in this space or rather for the greater part of the way the one bank only belongs to Travancore and the other to Cochin.—

5.— The whole distance thro' the Cochin Country is about 30. Miles on 36 of which great expense has been incurred in the making of roads and construction of Bungalows Bridges &c. the Keeping of which in order will of course entail on the Circar an occasional fur ther expense.—

*P. 22000 — 4 6.— The Travancore Circar have no expenses to incur in the line of route thro' their Territory. the modification of duty therefore which it is fair to expect from that Government, when the Cochin Circar

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Circar are prepared and willing to make the reduction before mentioned is a matter for your consideration.—

Huzzoor Cutcherry
Ernacolum in Cochin
11th December 1845.

I Signed Thungra Warrier
Dewan.

To,
Major General H. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
and Cochin.—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 238 under date the 9th ultimo forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the Government of Fort St. George dated the 3d Idem, containing Extract from a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors and asking for any suggestions which in my opinion might tend to promote the object thereon desired of ameliorating the trade of Native States in intimate alliance with the British Government.—

2. The general question has received my due consideration; and I am of opinion that, to carry into full

effect

No. 1748 of the 15th of the same Month in which I proposed to reduce it to 2 per Cent on all Articles the produce of Coimbatore passing through the Cochin Territory for bonâ fide Shipment from the Company's Port of Cochin.—

4— To reach the Town of Cochin however, a small portion of the Travancore Territory on the back water must also be passed, Viz. from Cranganore to Chatteraud a distance, I think, of about 10. Miles and even in this space or rather for the greater part of the way the one bank only belongs to Travancore and the other to Cochin.—

5— The whole distance thro' the Cochin Country is about 30. Miles on 36 of which great expense* has been incurred in the making of roads and construction of Bungalows Bridges &c. the keeping of which in order will of course entail on the Circar an occasional further expense.—

6— The Travancore Circar have no expenses to incur in the line of route thro' their Territory the modification of duty therefore which it is fair to expect from that Government when the Cochin Circar

*P. 22000-0-0

Circar are prepared and willing to make the reduction before mentioned is a matter for your consideration.—

Huzzoor Cutcherry
Ernacolum in Cochin
11th December 1845.

I Signed Shungra Warrier
Dewan.

To
Major General H. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
and Cochin.—

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 238 under date the 9th ultimo forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the Government of Fort St. George dated the 3d Idem, containing Extract from a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors and asking for any suggestions which in my opinion might tend to promote the object therein desired of ameliorating the trade of Native States in intimate alliance with the British Government.—

2 The general question has received my due consideration; and I am of opinion that, to carry into full

effect

Fort St George 3d August 1846.

effect the objects in view and to give to trade all the encouragement of which it is susceptible, the Frontier Chowkies in Travancore and Cochin as well as those belonging to the Honorable Company in the Districts of Malabar Coimbatore Madura and Tinnevelly should be abolished, and the sea Exports and Imports of the two former states be treated in the Company's Territories and Ports on an equally favorable footing with articles imported into and Exported from the Company's own Ports. ——

3. I am fully persuaded of the expediency as recommended by the Most Noble the Governor in Council, of abolishing the Frontier Chowkies of Malabar and Cochin, a measure productive of much relief to the Inhabitants of both Countries, and of material benefit to trade generally, and more particularly to the article of Cotton which on this measure being carried out would be brought down in great quantities from Paulghat and Coimbatore to the Company's Port at Cochin, as since the repair of the Putteund road, a fine line of communication has been opened between these places and you are aware that

Fort St George 3d August 1846—

that European Merchants desirous of engaging in this Trade, are only deterred from doing so in consequence of the heavy frontier duties. ——

4. To render however, the above measure really and truly beneficial I beg to express my opinion of the necessity of a simultaneous abolition of the Coimbatore Chowkies on the Cochin Frontier, and also of the two Chowkies of Chattenaud and Turtepooram belonging to Travancore on the Cochin backwater. ——

5. The immediate loss of Revenue, which the Cochin Circar will sustain from the abolition of its Chowkies on the Frontiers of Malabar and Coimbatore is about 12000 Rupees, per annum, but the prospective benefits to be expected from the measure will, I am confident, more than counterbalance this loss.

6. In conclusion I beg to observe that the Cochin Circar has already expended nearly a quarter of a Lakh of Rupees in the recent repairs of the Public Road between Paulghaut and Cochin and in the construction thereon of Travellers Bungalows, and an annual sum of 2 or 3000 Rupees will be required to keep the

Fort St George 3d August 1846.

effect the objects in view and to give to trade all the encouragement of which it is susceptible, the Frontier Chowkies in Travancore and Cochin as well as those belonging to the Honorable Company in the Districts of Malabar Coimbatore, Madura and Tinnevelly should be abolished, and the sea Exports and Imports of the two former states be treated in the Company's Territories and Ports on an equally favorable footing with Articles imported into and Exported from the Company's own Ports. ——

3. I am fully persuaded of the expediency as recommended by the Most Noble the Governor in Council, of abolishing the Frontier Chowkies of Malabar and Cochin, a measure productive of much relief to the Inhabitants of both Countries and of material benefit to trade generally, and more particularly to the article of Cotton which on this measure being carried out would be brought down in great quantities from Paulghat and Coimbatore to the Company's Port at Cochin; as since the repair of the Puttiroad, a fine line of communication has been opened between these places and you are aware that

Fort St George 3d August 1846.

that European Merchants desirous of engaging in this Trade are only deterred from doing so in consequence of the heavy frontier duties. ——

4. To render however the above measure really and truly beneficial I beg to express my opinion of the necessity of a simultaneous abolition of the Coimbatore Chowkies on the Cochin Frontier, and also of the two Chowkies of Chattenaud and Tuttepooram belonging to Travancore on the Cochin backwater. ——

5. The immediate loss of Revenue which the Cochin Circar will sustain from the abolition of its Chowkies on the Frontiers of Malabar and Coimbatore is about 12,000 Rupees per annum, but the prospective benefits to be expected from the measure will, I am confident, more than counterbalance this loss.

6. In conclusion I beg to observe that the Cochin Circar has already expended nearly a quarter of a Lakh of Rupees in the recent repairs of the Public Road between Paulghaut and Cochin and in the construction thereon of Travellers Bungalows, and an annual sum of 2 or 3,000 Rupees will be required to keep the

Fort St George 3d August 1846.

the above in proper order. To indemnify the Excise for this annual outlay a small fee on the loaded Carts and Bullocks travelling in the road in question will not I am sure be deemed objectionable, but on the contrary I have been given to understand that the Merchants are well inclined to the levy of such a fee ——

Huzzoor Cutcherry Trichoor Circuit 20th March 1846 — } I Signed Thungra Warrier Dewan -

Order thereon -

(12-13)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation and of its enclosures be furnished to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance Mr Conolly will be pleased to take measures for the immediate removal of those Custom Houses in his District which are situated on the Cochin Frontier - He will also report fully upon all the points adverted to by the Resident of Travancore and Cochin in his letter of the 23d May last, and more especially on those noticed in para 9 to 13 of that communication

Ordered further that Copy of Para 1 of the Extract be furnished for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector

Fort St George 3d August 1846 -

Collector of Coimbatore, who will be pleased immediately to withdraw those Chowkies of his District which are now stationed on the Frontier of the Cochin Territory. ——

(14)

From Deputy Secy to Government Communicating instructions for the disposal of funds in deposit in Government Treasuries to the Credit of religious Institutions -

Read the following Extract -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th July 1846 -——

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Government of India dated 20th September 1845 and recorded in Dcry to Consultation 17th April 1846 No 29 -——

Read also Memorandum from the Accountant General -——

(Here enter 11th June 1846 No 243).

Para 1 - The Supreme Government having directed in their orders of 20th September 1845 that "the funds in deposit in Government Treasuries to the Credit of religious Institutions, the Management of which has been transferred to Native Administrations, should be held to be at the absolute disposal of the Government" and applied "to works of general utility, preference being given as to the locality where the surplus is to be expended to the Neighbourhood from which it was derived" -

Para 19 - adding the opinion that the education of the people being

9907

Fort St George 3d August 1846.

being held forth as the most legitimate object to which it should be applied. Such an appropriation of the surplus funds will accord with the views of the Honorable the Court of Directors communicated to the Government of Fort St George in paras 47 and 48 of their despatch dated the 9th May 1838. His Lordship in Council resolves to instruct the several Collectors of the Eight Districts named in the Margin where the amount in deposit is inconsiderable to disburse this amount on any work or works of public benefit most acceptable and value to the Native community generally as Bridges, Choultries, Tanks or Wells, the works to be of a permanent character and open to all classes. The Collectors will carry out these instructions in communication with and under the orders of the Board of Public Works.

Vizagapatam
Masulipatam
Guntoor
Nellore
Madras
Cuddapah
Salem
Canara

2. His Lordship in Council further resolves in accordance with the views of the Government of India that of the amount in deposit in the following Districts—

Chingleput	68311
Tanjore	185650
Trichinopoly	65000
Madura	80195
Tinnevelly	381306
Total	1080468

A sum of Eight (8) Lacs be transferred by the Accountant

9908

Fort St George 3d August 1846.

Accountant General to the General Education Fund of the Presidency for the purposes of education in the Provinces. That of the balance 280468 Rupees a sum not exceeding 80000 Rupees be appropriated under the orders of the Board of Public Works to public Works in Tanjore and Rupees 20000 in Madura. These Districts being already provided with Roads and Bridges to a great extent and comparatively large sums having been expended in them on public Works for some years past.

3. He also directs that Rupees 100000 be disbursed from the funds in deposit in Tinnevelly in constructing Roads, with the necessary Bridges, Choultries and Wells, to connect the Cotton Districts with the Port of Tuticoreen. The Board will also call upon the Collectors of Chingleput and Trichinopoly and the Civil Engineer of the Division to point out the works of benefit to the public on which they would recommend the remaining sum of Rupees 80468 or Rupees 40234 in each District to be laid out and they will also require the Collectors of Bellary, South Arcot and Coimbatore in concert with the several Engineers, to report the works on which they would propose to expend the surplus Pagoda funds now in deposit in their Treasuries.

4. The Board will be pleased to give effect to so much of the foregoing order as relates to their Department simultaneously with their instructions for transferring the religious endowments to the charge of the Native Trustees and in making the requisite communications to the several Collectors they will be careful to ascertain that the sums now ordered to be expended (as given in the Statement from the Accountant General dated 11th June) are available. The Board will also submit for the consideration of Government their final instructions sanctioning particular works in the Districts named in the Margin—

Tanjore
Chingleput
Trichinopoly
Madura
South Arcot
Coimbatore
Bellary
Tinnevelly

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Resolutions with Copy of the Statement from the Accountant General be communicated to the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works, and that a Copy be also furnished to the Accountant General.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) R. G. Clarke
Dy Secy to Govt

Statement

9909 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.-

Statement shewing the sums remaining in deposit in the hands of the Treasurer of the Collectors on the 31st March 1846, on account of Pagodas or Native Religious Institutions with the proofs.

1 Sheet

(Signed) R. G. Clarke
Deputy Secy to Govt.

Ordered.

9910 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.-

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.-

(14-15) The Extract Minutes Consultation above recorded having been circulated to the several Collectors, and instructions communicated to them on the subject to which it relates in the Department of Public Works. no further orders from this Department of the Office are called for.-

Read the following resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th July 1846.-

(16) From the Secy to Government } (From Board 29th June.)

The Government sanction the disbursement recommended by the Board of Revenue to be granted to Mr. Turner late abkarry Renter in the District of North arcot, in compensation for the arrack shops and Toddy Topes improperly held by the Vellore Military abkarry Contractor within the limits of the former's Civil farm amounting to Rupees (6910) six thousand Nine hundred and ten.-

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

(16-17) Resolution thereon.- Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.-

of the acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 24th. June last.-

Mr. Lovell will be careful to take a receipt from Mr. Turner in full of his entire demand upon Government and will collect from that individual the balance of his arbitrary rent.-

18
From the Sec.y to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th. July 1846.-

(From Board 13th. July.)

Resolved that the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the charge of Annas (7) seven, and Pice (6) six, paid as Batta to the Public servants in the Tinnevelly District in Fusly 1254.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

18-19
Order thereon.

In Cons. 13th. July 1846.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 17th. June last.-

20
From the Sec.y to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th. July 1846.-

(From Board 20th. July.)

Resolved that the charge of Rupees (504) five hundred and four, incurred in Fusly 1254 on account of additional

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Peons employed to guard prisoners in the Salem District, be sanctioned.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

20-21
Resolution thereon

In Cons. 29th. June 1846

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 13th. June last.-

Mr. Lockhart's attention is called to Para. 2 of the Board's letters, he will be guided by the instructions therein contained.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th. July 1846.-

22.
From the Sec.y to Government.

(From Board 20th. July.)

The Government grant special sanction for the charges reported in the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees (40215) Forty thousand, two hundred and fifteen.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.-

of the Acting Collector of North arcot with reference to his letter dated 24th June last.-

Mr. Lovell will be careful to take a receipt from Mr. Turnier in full of his entire demand upon Government and will collect from that individual the balance of his abkarry rent.-

18
From the Sec.y to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th July 1846.-

(From Board 13th July.)

Resolved that the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the charge of Annas (7) seven, and Pice (6) six, paid as Batta to the public servants in the Tinnevelly District in Fusly 1254.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

18-19
Order thereon.

In Cons.n 13th July 1846.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 17th June last.-

20
From the Sec.y to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th July 1846.-

(From Board 20th July.)

Resolved that the charge of Rupees (504) five hundred and four incurred in Fusly 1254 on account of additional

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

additional Peons employed to guard prisoners in the Salem District, be sanctioned.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

20-21
Resolution thereon

In Cons.n 29th June 1846

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 13th June last.-

Mr. Lockhart's attention is called to Para 2 of the Board's letters, he will be guided by the instructions therein contained.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th July 1846.-

22.
From the Sec.y to Government.

(From Board 20th July)

The Government grant special sanction for the charges reported in the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees (40215) Forty thousand, two hundred and fifteen.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To

9913 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

(22-23)
To the Coll.r of Canara —
From Govt. 29th July 1846 — No. 786 — In Cons. 3d. augt. 1846.

To the Collector of Canara.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 26th June last, No. 55, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant special sanction for the sum of Rupees 4025 advanced in Fusly 1254 to the Contractor for the supply of Tobacco for the Monopoly in your District.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 3d. August 1846. — (Signed) T. Pycroft, Secretary.

(24)
From the Sec.y to Government

Read the following resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846.

(From Board 23d. July)

Resolved that the Civil Auditor be authorised to admit the charges Nos. 2 and 14 retrenched from the Accounts of the Coimbatore Collectorate for Fusly 1253 amounting to Rupees (17-0-0) Seventeen.

As the pay of the Authacaries included in the Village Establishment of Malabar has been authorised as a permanent charge, instructions should be issued to the authorities concerned for rectifying the

9914 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.—

the mistake and adjusting the small disbursement on this account, so as to obviate the necessity of any future application for the special sanction of Government.—

(Signed) E. P. Thompson, Sec.y to Govt.

(24-25)
Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter dated 25th June last.—

In Cons. 23d. July 1846.

Mr. Wroughton will be pleased to communicate Para 2 of the foregoing order for the guidance of the Collector of Malabar.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846.—

(From Board 23d. July)

(26.)
From the Sec.y to Government

The Government authorize the issue of the Permission Certificate applied for by Captain Hutton for certain land at Honore on the terms proposed in the foregoing proceedings.—

(Signed) E. P. Thompson, Sec.y to Govt.

Resolved.

9913

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

22-23

To the Collr. of Canara —

From Govt. 29th July 1846 No. 786 In Cons 3d. augt. 1846.

To the Collector of Canara.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 26th June last, No. 55, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant Special Sanction for the sum of Rupees 4021-5 advanced in Fusly 1254 to the Contractor for the supply of Tobacco for the Monopoly in your District.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 3d. August 1846.-

(Signed) P. Pycroft Secretary-

24

From the Secy. to Government

Read the following resolutions-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846-

(From Board 23d. July)

Resolved that the Civil Auditor be authorised to admit the charges Nos. 2 and 14 retrenched from the accounts of the Coimbatore Collectorate for Fusly 1253 amounting to Rupees (17-0-0) seventeen-

As the pay of the Authecaries included in the Village Establishment of Malabar has been authorised as a permanent charge, instructions should be issued to the authorities concerned for rectifying the

9914

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.-

the mistake and adjusting the small disbursement on this account, so as to obviate the necessity of any future application for the special sanction of Government.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson-

Sect. to Govt.

24-25

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his

In Con. 23d. July 1846. letter dated 25th June last.-

Mr. Wroughton will be pleased to communicate Para 2 of the foregoing order for the guidance of the Collector of Malabar.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846.-

(From Board 23d. July)

26.

From the Sect. to Government

The Government authorize the issue of the Permission Certificate applied for by Captain Hutton for certain land at Honore on the terms proposed in the foregoing proceedings.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson Sect. to Govt.

Resolved.-

9915

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.-

Resolution thereon.

(A-17)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it relates, be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 1st. July last.-

In Cons 23d July 1846.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846.

(From Board 23d July)

(28)

From the Secy. to Government

She Superintendent of the Fort St. George Gazette Prefs will attend to the requisition contained in the foregoing letter.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Sec. to Govt.

(28-29)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read.

9916

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

(30)

From the Collr. of Ganjam Reports the result of an examination held in regard to the alleged minority of M Ramiah adopted son of the late Proprietor of Polaky zee and submits his opinion that the sale of the Polaky and Dunta Estates must be set aside and recommends that he be authorized immediately to assume the Management of these two Estates.

+ See Appendix Nos. 1243.

Sir

Para 1. I have now the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue, the result of the examination, which in Conformity with their instructions, I have held in regard to the alleged minority of Mosulcunty Ramiah, adopted son of the late Proprietor of the Polakee and other Estates on this District, the immediate object of the enquiry being, as was intimated to me, to ascertain Ramiah's real age.-

2. I thought it proper in the first place to call upon Ramiah to submit any documentary evidence he might have to adduce and to give a list of the persons whom he wished to be examined in support of his Statements. He accordingly produced Three+ documents, the Originals of which, with translations are submitted along with, and will be more particularly noticed in a subsequent part of this report.

The

9915

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Resolution thereon.

16-17

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it relates, be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 1st. July last.-

In Cons 23d July 1846.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846.

(From Board 23d July)

28

From the Secy. to Government

The Superintendent of the Fort St. George Gazette Prefs will attend to the requisition contained in the foregoing letter.-

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Sec. to Govt.

28-29

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.-

Read.

9916

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

Read the following letter

To

J. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

30

From the Collr. of Ganjam Reports the result of an examination held in regard to the alleged minority of Ramiah adopted son of the late Proprietor of Polaky &c and submits his opinion that the sale of the Polaky and Dunta Estates must be set aside and recommends that he be authorized immediately to assume the Management of these two Estates.

+ See Appendix Nos. 12 & 3.

Sir

Para 1. I have now the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue, the result of the examination, which in Conformity with their instructions, I have held in regard to the alleged minority of Mosulcunty Ramiah, adopted son of the late Proprietor of the Polakee and other Estates in this District, the immediate object of the enquiry being, as was intimated to me, to ascertain Ramiah's real age.-

2. I thought it proper in the first place to call upon Ramiah to submit any documentary evidence he might have to adduce and to give a list of the persons whom he wished to be examined in support of his Statements. He accordingly produced three+ documents, the Originals of which, with translations are submitted along with, and will be more particularly noticed in a subsequent part of this report

The

The list of Witnesses given in, contained the names of upwards of two hundred persons; including a large portion of those, whose signatures are affixed to the Mahazarnamahs regarding Ramiahs age which were laid before the Board. From the explanation afforded by the Young mans Vakeels, it being evident that the great majority of these persons could possess no direct or personal knowledge touching the matters to be enquired into, and that they could only speak as to their own belief, and the general understanding in the Country in respect to Ramiahs age; the accumulation of a Mass of depositions of this vague nature from persons not in a situation to give definite and exact proofs testimony, seemed likely to involve a useless waste of time and labour and I therefore desired the Vakeels to make a selection of those who from their personal knowledge of facts might be able to give direct testimony as to the question at issue. I have already alluded to the difficulty and delay that has been experienced in procuring the attendance of Witnesses, particularly of those who had to come from a distance: and they to add that up to this time, not one of the individuals

See the Acting Collectors letter dated 26. Augt 1845

individuals residing in the Vizagapatam District to whom Summonses were issued, has attended to give evidence.—

3 I beg to submit for the perusal of the Board, as an appendix, translations of the examination taken before me in this matter. The evidence may be conveniently classed under the following several heads. First the direct testimony given by the inhabitants of the Village of Muntanah in the Kuttaly Hoondah of the Vizagapatam District, in which Ramiahs parents resided and where he was born; and by persons living in adjoining Villages who were at the time of his birth, or went there within a very few days after, and who depose from their personal Knowledge as to the period when that event took place; secondly the testimony of priests, brahmins and others who were present and assisted on the several occasions of the celebration of the usual Ceremonies performed, on naming the Child—on piercing the ears—on adoption and on investure with the Sacred thread &c. &c. which are all performed at stated periods & exceed with reference to the time of birth. Thirdly the Astrologers who drew out the Horoscope

No 4.

See appendix from Page 1 to Page 27

See Page 28 & 34

See Page 36 to 39

Horoscope and deduced therefrom according to the rules of their Craft the destiny of Ramiah two of whom recorded in their Cadjan bundles which they produced to me the exact time of his nativity, as communicated to them by his adoptive father. Balram Puntoolu, for the purpose of making their astrological calculations accordingly and the Witnesses who have identified the book produced marked No. 1 containing the Horoscopes of Ramiah and certain other Members of his family, with a variety of other Memoranda which Balram Puntoolu is stated to have been in the habit of referring to.

See Page 40 to 42 and 45.

See Page 43 to 45.

Fourthly the evidence of Ipalee Krustnumbhut who deposes that a very short time before his death, he received from Balram Puntoolu the Original letter produced marked No. 2/ in which it is explicitly stated that two or three years would have to elapse before Ramiah should attain his majority; the authenticity of this letter is also proved by the Amanuensis who wrote it out at Balram Puntoolu's dictation. —

Page 47

4 This evidence it is to be remarked is altogether exparte; and a great part of it of a general character and unsupported by

by circumstantial details admitting of verification. The evidence to which I attach the greatest importance, and which has had the most weight in the determination of my opinion on the merits of the case, is the letter above alluded to, addressed by Balram Puntoolu a few days before his death to Ipalee Krustnumbhutloo. Having carefully compared the signature subscribed to this letter with those of a number of Original communications received from the late Proprietor, which are deposited among the records of the Collector's Office, I am satisfied of its genuineness. The purport of this communication is to request Ipalee Krustnumbhutloo in his capacity of Astrologer to inform him without reserve if he is likely to recover from the dangerous illness with which he was then afflicted in order that he might make such arrangements for the settlement of his household and other affairs as might be necessary in such a juncture; and it is plainly stated therein that the term of Ramiah's minority would extend for two or three years longer during which the talook would remain under the Circar's attachment. Balram Puntoolu it

See Appendix No 2

appears

appears died shortly after this letter reached the hands of the person to whom it is addressed and it remained in his possession until a short time ago, when he gave it up to Ramiah at his desire, in view to its being produced in the course of this enquiry.

5. The entries recorded at the time in their Books in regard to the nativity and the Horoscope of Ramiah by the Astrologers Issoola Cumaswara Siddanty and Randacharee Santara, among a mass of miscellaneous memoranda and calculations regarding the Horoscope of numerous Zemindars and other persons belonging to this, and the adjoining District, tends to support the evidence of the Witnesses, as to the day of Ramiah's birth. So also is in some degree, the Book of Memoranda marked No. 1 Kept by Babaun Puntoolos, and in which the Horoscope of Ramiah is noted, corroborative of the same fact. The letter addressed by C Annutarama-
=miah to M. Ramiah produced by him in which it is evidently mentioned that Jogunnah being a female and Ramiah a Youth ("Balludoo") he declines giving up charge of the estates, does not appear to me to

See Appendise No 3

to be of any particular importance as a proof of his minority.

6. On the whole I am of opinion that the birth of Masalecunty Ramiah, at the Village of Muntanah on Tuesday the 15th of the decreasing moon of the Month of Carteeka of the year Survadary corresponding with the 25th day of November 1828, is sufficiently established, and that it is manifest that a scandalous fraud was practised in representing him to have attained his majority at the time of the death of his adoptive father on the 20th October 1843, Further that the Horoscope which was fraudulently produced to me in support of this Statement was a fabricated and forged document. From a consideration of all the circumstances connected with, as well as from the probabilities of the case I incline to believe that Babaun Puntoolos was himself a party to the false representation in respect to Ramiah's age, for although the signatures subscribed to the Arzee addressed to me on the 17th October 1843, has the appearance of having been written by a man in a very weak and precarious state of health and differs slightly from his ordinary mode of signature I believe that it is genuine

There

There is no room for doubting that his aunt Jogamah participated in and took an active share in the fraud that was practised, to which it is in my opinion equally clear that Ramiah himself was a perfectly willing and Consenting party

7. I think that, on a consideration of the evidence now laid before them, the Board will have little difficulty in arriving at the conclusion that Ramiah has not up to the present time attained the age of 18 years; and consequently that he was a minor at the period when the Estates which had devolved to him were sold by Auction on account of Arrears of Revenue It has then to be considered how far this conclusion, if adopted, will affect the validity of the public sale of the several Estates. It appears on examining the details of the arrears outstanding against the Palakee and Dunta Estates respectively, as entered in the sale advertisement for which they were brought to sale

Palakee 2[illegible]10 ---
Dunta 2020 --

that the balances on account of the 2nd 3rd 4th and 5th Kists of Fusly 1253 to the extent of between twenty three and twenty four thousand Rupees which had become due subsequently to the death of Palrane Puntaloo on the 20th October 1845 were included therein; but it being expressly declared by

by Section II Regulation X of 1831 that the Estates of a Minor shall not during his minority be sold for arrears of revenue accruing & subsequently to his accession to the same, the sale of these two Estates must Conceive be set aside as illegal; On the other hand in regard to the Estates of Lutchmepooram and Barwah, I find that the whole of the arrears for which they were respectively brought to sale had accrued during the life time of the proprietrix Carray Jogunmah, and were owing at the time of her death, which took place only a few days before the end of Fusly 1253, when of course the whole of the Kists for that Fusly had long been due; admitting Ramiah on whom these two Estates have devolved to be still a minor, I with deference submit that the sale was properly legal, and is not impeachable on any other groands that I am aware of.

8. The Estate of Burwah was purchased at the public sale by Coostanachetum Santaral the Zemindar of Joradah for Rupees 14,000 of which he paid down the prescribed deposit of Rupees 2,100, but he having declined to make good the remainder of the purchase money, until the confirmation of the sale should

Should be received he was not placed in possession and the Estate has remained under the manage=ment of an Officer on the part of the Collector although the past season was unfavorable the Peshcush has been credited in full besides defraying the expenses of its management.

9. The other Estates of Lutchmeepooram as well as those of Polakee and Duntah were as reported by the Acting Collector placed in the possession of the purchasers Edanapoody Ammula Ramiah and Sooria Pruzasa Row by whom the whole of the purchase Money was paid into the treasury. It is to be regretted. I think that this course was pursued, for great Confusion will ensue and difficulty be experienced in the settlement of their fair claims, in the event of the sales being set aside. and I request that I may be favored with instructions for my guidance on this complecated matter. In the mean time I beg to recommend that should the Board resolve on setting aside the sales on the ground of the Minority of Ramiah. I may be authorized immediately to attach and take under manage=ment the Estates of Polakky and Duntah which as the Board are aware Continue in the possession of the purchasers.—

Ganjam District
Coll^rs Cutcherry
Chutterpore 10th June 1846.

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector
Disposition

Appendix N° 1 in Seloogoo

Appendix N° 2

Translation of a letter addressed by Moosalee kunty Balarandajs to Ippely Krisnamah Buttoo dated Pavalavulsah Sunday the 7th Solar day of the month Ausweejah of the year Sobacroot Correspond:=ing with 15th October 1843.

/After usual Compliments/

Since the Month of Maugam /February/ my sickness has gradually increased day by day & I became worse in health— For some time I have not relished food and of whatever small quantity of water I take into the body ten times the quantity come out— I have taken many sorts of Medicines but have derived benefit from none Moreover from Rheumatism legs have become weak disabling me from rising unless supported by two persons— Lately when I went to Chicacole, I was twice in a very dangerous State Even now, the sickness increases day by day & while my strength gradually fails me Having been unable to partake food and water for the space of eight months my body has been emaci=:ated and I have not strength to rise which I attribute to the share of destiny to be endured by me and Considering my present state, I think that I cannot most survive Nevertheless, I do not know what—

what ill fate may be in store for me and whether I may yet survive- You have my Horoscope and that of "Baboo" /son/ which I request you will consider maturely and inform me truly of the real state so that I may take measures conformable to anticipated circumstances I have incurred debt for my son and not acquired wealth- While I am still alive all my affairs appear to be getting into confusion until Baboo /son/ attains the age of 18 years the Talooks will remain under the Circars attachment at least for these two or 3 years to come, and I must accordingly make some arrangement in regard to my household but if this circumstance should become known here, it would cause much embarrassment to me while I am alive- You know the state of my household Although you have urgent business I beg that you will immediately, on receipt of this letter without making any one acquainted with this circumstance set out and come here proceeding by Bearers thro' Burwah, where Godavary Putnaik will supply you with a new set of Bearers Please set out and come down speedily without any delay Unless I know definitely what is to befal me I cannot make up my mind to any matter

Therefore please come up very speedily I wait for your arrival. Bring two white Sugar Loaves when you come up.—

/Signed/ A. Balaramdoss.

Appendix No. 3.

Translation of a letter addressed by Eddana poody Annutaraniah to Mosalacunty Ramiah Puntoolo Dated Tuesday/ the date of the month Illegible is not known the original having been torn./

/after usual compliments states as follows/

You sent last night a letter announcing my removal from employment which I received, perused its contents and was very glad of it

1. The late Balaramdoss Puntooloo nominated us Sowkars and Managers for his Talooks and made them over to us.—

2. You address me the above mentioned letter to the effect that I should deliver charge of the Talooks to Ingooly Gopaul Krishnama Puntooloo The late Balaramdoss Puntooloo owes me the following sums.—

On account of Lutchmepore Estate on its security—— 10000 "—

on Security of the Polanky Estate, being part of the amount Rupees 20000 of the Bond on Stamp paper about 17000 "—

Total Twenty seven thousand Rupees due [Part is not understood 27000 "—

understood and is omitted the original having been torn/-

3. Should you entertain any suspicion that any thing is misappropriated by me in the management of the Talooks, I am prepared to furnish security to reimburse you the Amount which may be proved to be so misappropriated by me at the same time, it is not right in you to entertain a suspicion while I am administering your affairs keeping the whole of my property in your apartments-

4. You are/ Baladoo/ a youth and Sree Jogunnah is a woman, the authorities have not sent orders assigning the Talooks to you and my debt has not been liquidated. While the affairs are so when you say that I should give up charge, how can I deliver it. Nevertheless, the whole of these circumstances will be brought to the notice of the Collector of Ganjam and what he may direct will be attended to, but at present, the charge shall not be given up. Please address me on requisite matter-

/Signed/ E. Anuntaramiah

/True translation/

/Signed/ R.A. Bannerman

Collector

Appendix

Appendix N° 4

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by Ragella Soorapa Sastry son of Narsanah & Sastrooloo, Brahmin caste, Siva religion gains his livelihood by Vydeekum, aged 62 years an inhabitant of Chicacole.-

2 State what you know regarding the adoption of Mosalecunty Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo late Proprietor of the Polakee Vea Hoondahs?

A Balaram Puntooloo having no children was desirous of adopting a son- It having been understood that one of his Cousins by name Venkiah Puntooloo had five sons, he endeavoured to obtain one of them in adoption to which Venkiah Puntooloo agreed, Ramiah Puntooloo who is the sixth son of Venkiah Puntooloo having been subsequently born, Balaram Puntooloo resolved to take him as his son and sent for him to Rauvoolavulsa in the 11th Month after his birth-

2 Do you know when this Ramiah Puntooloo was born?

25 & 2 Nov. 1828. A Yes, He was born on Tuesday the 4th decreasing moon of Carteek Sunn of the year Surwadharee

2. How do you recollect that he was

was born on that particular day?

A I am a Poorohit / or priest performing all the Ceremonials and sacrifices of the family of Venkiah Puntooloo, I was at the Villagi of Muntanah on the day on which this Ramiah Puntooloo was born, and performed all the ceremonials from the time of his birth till the 22nd day, on which the Ceremony of Baptism took place. Besides this the Ceremonies of Coopanayanum and Annaprasana / giving food to a child for the first time / &c. have been made in reference to his birth day — Under these circumstances, I have a good recollection of his birth. —

Q When was the Ceremony of the adoption of Ramiah Puntooloo performed?

A The Ceremony of adoption was performed at Ravoolavulsa on the + 2nd increasing moon of Maghum of the year of Munmadha, and Coopanayanum on the + 5th of that Month. at which time, Ramiah Puntooloo was aged 7 Years and a little more. —

+ 20th Jan.y 1836.

+ 23d Jan.y 1836.

/Signed/ Ragella Soorapasastry

Solemnly affirmed before me.

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by Ragulla Venkatram Sastry son of Puttabbera = mial Bramin Caste, Smarta religion, gains his livelihood by Vydeekum aged 40 years, present inhabitant of Chicacole.

Q Are you acquainted with the circumstances regarding the adoption of Masalecunty Balarama Puntooloo late Proprietor of Polaky & ano.s Hoondahs?

A The said Balaram Puntooloo having no Children wished to adopt a boy as his son and it having come to his notice that one of his Cousins by name Masalecunty Venkiah = Puntooloo, had five sons, Balaram Puntooloo sent a Message to him through one Mun: = daleka Balaramiah, besides causing letters to be addressed to him to that effect by Colabarega Jummiah Puntooloo and some others desiring to give him one of his /Venkiah Puntooloo/ five sons, by name Vasoodava Row, in adoption, to which the said Venkiah Puntooloo agreed - In the mean time however the present adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo was born and it was resolved to adopt him with the consent of his father Venkiah Puntooloo, and the

boy

boy was accordingly taken to Ranvoolavulsa
above 4½ Months after his birth.—

2 When was Raniah Puntooloo born,
and when did his Baptism take place?

+ 25th Novr. 1828 A. He was born on Tuesday the 4th decreasing
moon of Carteekum of the year Survadharee
and his Baptism took place, at the Village
of Muntanah on the 21st day after his birth

2 How do you come to recollect that Ra-
-niah Puntooloo was born on that particular
day?

A Being a Poorohit (or priest conducting
all the Ceremonials and sacrifices of the
family) of Venkiah Puntooloo, I was present
at Muntanah on the birth day of Raniah
Puntooloo, and have performed from time to
time the Ceremonies, such as Baptism and
Anna prasana (or Ceremony of giving food
to a child in the first time). Consequently
I perfectly recollect his birth day.—

2 When were the Ceremonies of adoption
and of Poonanayanum for wearing sacred thread
same as other Bramins wear, performed to Raniah
Puntooloo?

A The Ceremony of adoption was performed
+ 20 Jany 1836 at Ranvoolavulsa on the 2nd Magha Soodhum
of the year Manmadha, and the Ceremony
of investing the sacred thread on the 5th
of

23d Jany 1836 of that month at which time Raniah Puntooloo
was aged past 7 years.—

(Signed) Ragulla Venkataram Sastry

Solemnly affirmed before me.—

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by
Mundaleka Balaraniah son of Soombanah
Braminn Caste Seva religion, gains his live-
-lihood by Vydeekum, aged 60 years, an
inhabitant of Arka.—

Were you acquainted with Masalecunty
2 Balaram Puntooloo late Proprietor of Polankee
Yea Hoondahs and his adopted son Raniah
Puntooloo?

A Yes.—

2 State what you know regarding the
adoption of the said Raniah Puntooloo
by Balaram Puntooloo?—

A I had attended on Balaram Puntooloo
for about 22 years. Having had no issue, Balaram
Puntooloo was desirous to adopt a boy
as his son, and it being understood that
one of his Cousins by name Masalacunty
Venkiah Puntooloo had 5 sons, Balaram
Puntooloo used endeavours through
Calabarega Tunniah and Vasunta row
Tunniah, to obtain one of his sons in adoption.

Venkiah

Venkiah Puntooloo having agreed to his proposal, Balaram Puntooloo sent me to Muntanah Village in the District of Vizagapatam to bring him the Horoscopes of all the sons of Venkiah Puntooloo, During my stay there the latter fell sick, and asked me if there were any Doctors at Ranvoolavulsa. Told him that there was one named Vydeyakulunnamulu Balabhadracharlu Venkiah Puntooloo then addressed a letter to Balaram Puntooloo on the subject, and the Doctor was accordingly sent to Muntanah who remained there for 5 days - At that time Venkiah Puntooloo inscribed on a Cadjan leaf the Horoscopes of his 5 sons, and having given it to me, I was about to leave that place, for Ranvoolavulsa, but in the mean time, the wife of Venkiah Puntooloo who was pregnant and about to be delivered of a Child, I put off my journey at this juncture this Ramiah Puntooloo was born, and I conveyed this intelligence to Balaram Puntooloo, and he answered to me desiring that I should also bring the Horoscope of this Ramiah Puntooloo. I then after having entered the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntooloo also on the same Cadjan, left the Village Muntanah for Ranvoolavulsa, on the 11th day after the birth of the Child and gave to Balaram Puntooloo

Puntooloo the Cadjan leaf containing the Horoscopes of the six sons of Venkiah Puntooloo, afterwards when the boy had reached the age of 3 Months a letter was received to the effect that the boy had a boil on his Cheek, Balaram Puntooloo his sister Coreyee Jogunah, myself, and several others proceeded to Muntanah, where we stopt for four days, and returned to Ranvoolavulsa after the boys recovery - Afterwards when the Boy had attained the age of 10 Months, he was brought to Ranvoolavulsa. I perfectly recollect that this Ramiah Puntooloo

+ 28th Novr 1828 was born on Tuesday the 4th decreasing moon of Carteekum of the year Surwadharee, and all the Ceremonials have been progressively performed at the proper periods, calculated with reference to said birth day. The Ceremony of cutting his hair was performed in the 3rd year after his birth and the ceremony of adoption was performed at Ranvoolavulsah in the 2nd in-

+ 20th Janr 1836 creasing moon of Maghum of the year Munmadha, and Poopanayanum on the 5th

+ 23d Janr 1836 of that month.

Signed Mundaleka Balaramiah

Solemnly affirmed before me -

Signed P. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by Thyderyarutnum alias Balabhadra Charloo son of Balamalee Ancharloo, Brammin Caste, Gowdeya religion, by profession a Doctor, aged 48 Years an inhabitant of Saovurnapoorum in Jaradah Talook.—

2. Were you acquainted with Balaram Puntooloo late Proprietor of Polanki & en Hoondahs, and his adopted son?—

A. Yes.—

2 State what you know regarding the adoption of Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo?

A. I was employed by Balaram Puntooloo as a Doctor for a period of about 20 or 21 years Having had no issue, Balaram Puntooloo was desirous to adopt a boy as his son. and having understood that one of his Cousins by name Maseleenty Venkiah Puntooloo, had five sons, he sent a message to him desiring to give one of his sons in adoption. He having agreed to this request, Balaram Puntooloo sent one Mundaleka Balaramiah to the Village of Muntanah situated in the District of Vizagapatam, in order to bring him the Horoscope of the 5 sons of Venkiah Puntooloo Two or three days afterwards, a letter was addressed to Balaram Puntooloo by Venkiah

Puntooloo

Puntooloo saying that he was suffering from the Belly ache and requesting that a Doctor might be sent to cure him I accordingly proceeded to Muntanah and under my Medical treatment Venkiah Puntooloo recovered his health. When I was about leaving the place, the wife of Venkiah Puntooloo who was pregnant, was about to be delivered of a Child I was desired by Venkiah Puntooloo to stay there until after the delivery. at that juncture Ramiah Puntooloo was born + 25th Nov. 1827 at about 9 oClock P.M. of Tuesday the 4th decreasing moon of Carteekum of the year Surwadharee, I remained there during the next day, and then returned to Balaram Puntooloo. The latter having learnt from me the intelligence of Ramiah Puntooloo birth, immediately caused letters to be sent to Muntanah, desiring to have the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntooloo, also. On the 10th or 12th day afterwards, the said Mundaleka Balaramiah accordingly brought the Horoscopes of the six sons of Venkiah Puntooloo inscribed on a Cadjan leaf which Horoscopes Balaram Puntooloo caused to be entered in a small

book

book. In the mean time, a letter was received from Muntanah that the Ramiah Puntooloo had a boil on the cheek, on which Balaram Puntooloo his sister Joganah, and myself, and some others proceeded to Muntanah where we remained for about four days and returned after the Boys recovery, Some time afterwards, the father of Balaram Puntooloo having fallen sick therefore desirous to see the Boy, the Boy and his parents were sent for to Ramvoolavulsa, where they remained for a week, and then returned to their Village. Afterwards, when the boy had attained the age of 11 Months, he was brought back to Ramvoolavulsa and remained there.—

Signed/ Vydeya Ritnum alias Balabhadra charloo.

Solemnly affirmed before me.—

Signed/ R.A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on solmn affirmation by Vasuntarow Soobanah son of Sevarow, Bramin caste, Smarta Religeon by profession a Mootsuddee aged 70 years, present inhabitant of Podalygaded 70 years, present inhabitant of Podaly—

Q. Were you acquainted with Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polaukee &c. Hoondahs, and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. Yes.

Q. State what you know regarding the adoption of Ramiah Puntooloo—

A. Balaram Puntooloo having no issue, intended to adopt a child and while he was endeavouring to accomplish this object Vasoodava row the 5th Son of Mosalicunty Venkeah Puntooloo an inhabitant of Muntanah, the present adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo was born on the 14th day of the decreasing moon of Carteekum of the year Survadharee— On which, Balaram Puntooloo resolved to adopt the said lad, and sent for him to Ramvoolavulsa while he was of the age of three months— The Boy went back after a few days and after some time was again sent for, and thus Balaram Puntooloo was in the habit of sending him to Muntanah from time to time— Ramiah Puntooloo was baptized on the 21st day after his birth— This I know from my having been an inhabitant of Muntanah

25th Novr 1828

Muntanah at the time of the birth of Ramiah Puntooloo and also my niece having been married to Venkiah Puntooloo. The Ceremony of adoption of Ramiah Puntooloo was performed at Rauvoolavulsa on the 2nd increasing Moon of Maugham of the year Munmadha and Poopanayanum on the 5th of that Month. At which time Ramiah Puntooloo was 7 years of age and a little more. I was then present at Rauvoolavulsa.

20 January 1836.

23 January 1836

Q. Do you know who is the Poorohit or priest who performs all the Ceremonials of a family of Venkiah Puntooloo?

A. Ragella Venkataram Sastry is his Poorohit.

(Signed) Vasuntarow Soobbanah

Solemnly affirmed before me.

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Vasuntarow Sevarow son of Nursanah, Brahmin Caste, Smarta religion, by profession a Mootsuddee aged 40 years, present inhabitant of Podaly in Vizagapatam District.

Q. Were you acquainted with Mosalecunty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Plauky &c. Hoondahs and his adopted Son Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. Yes.

Q. State what you know regarding the

a adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo?

A. Balaram Puntooloo having no Children became desirous of adopting a boy and was using endeavours through me to accomplish this object as I am an inhabitant of Muntanah where one of his Consoons by name Mosalecunty Venkiah Puntooloo resides who had five sons, Venkiah Puntooloo agreed to give to Balaram Puntooloo his 5th son by name Vasoodavaraw for adoption. But as that Boy was older than Balaram Puntooloo liked, he hesitated to take him, at this juncture Ramiah Puntooloo was born on Tuesday the 4th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Surwadharee. Balaram Puntooloo accordingly sent for the Horoscope of that Boy, and determined to adopt him, sent for him to Rauvoolavulsa in the 11th Month after his birth. When Ramiah was 7 years of age the Ceremony of adoption was performed at Rauvoolavulsa on the 2nd increasing Moon of Maugham of the year Munmadha and Poopanayanum on the 5th of that Month. This, I know as I was then present at Rauvoolavulsa.

25 November 1828

20th Janf. 1836.

23rd Janf. 1836.

Q. When and where was the Ceremony

of

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

of the Baptism of Ramiah Puntooloo performed and did any Bramins come there on that occasion?
Who is the Poorohit of Venkiah Puntooloo?

A. The ceremony of Baptism was performed at Muntanah on the 21st day after his birth at which time several Bramins and respectable persons came there Kagulla Venkataram Sastry was and still is a Poorohit of Venkiah Puntooloo

(Signed) Vasuntarow Sevanow

Solemnly affirmed before me

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Vasuntarow Jogiah son of Soobbanah Brahmin Caste, Smarta Religion by profession a Moot=suddie aged 40 years an inhabitant of Podaly in the District of Vizagapatam

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalecunty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polauky &ca Hoondahs, and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo

A. Yes.

2. State what you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo, and the circumstance of his birth?

As

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

A. As we were formerly living at Muntanah Village this Ramiah Puntooloo was born to Mosalecunty Venkiah Puntooloo on the 4th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Sarwadharee I had then intended to perform the Ceremony of Poopanayanum to my brother but this Ramiah Puntooloo having been born to Mosalecunty Venkiah Puntooloo, who is my brotherinlaw, I was precluded from performing the Ceremony at that time, Consequently I perfectly recollect the day of his birth. Afterwards Mosalecunty Balaram Puntooloo, who had no Children, wished to adopt this Ramiah Puntooloo and at last took him to Rauvoolavulsa in the 11th Month after his birth.

25th Nov. 1828.

2 When and where were the Ceremonies of adoption and Poopanayanum performed to Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. The Ceremony of adoption was performed at Rauvoolavulsa on the 2nd increasing Moon of Maughum of the year Munmadha and Poopanayanum on the 5th of that Month. At that time Ramiah Puntooloo was 7 years of age.

20th Jan. 1836.

23d January 1836.

Having been called for, I proceeded to

Rauvoolvulsa

Fort St. George 3d August 1826

of the Baptism of Ramiah Puntooloo performed and did any Bramins come there on that occasion?

Who is the Poorohit of Venkiah Puntooloo?

A. The ceremony of Baptism was performed at Muntanah on the 21st day after his birth at which time several Bramins and respectable persons came there Kagulla Venkataram Sastry was and still is a Poorohit of Venkiah Puntooloo—

(Signed) Vasuntarow Sevanow

Solemnly affirmed before me—

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Vasuntarow Jogiah son of Soobbanah Brahmin Caste, Smarta Religion by profession a Moot=suddie aged 40 years an inhabitant of Podaly in the District of Vizagapatam—

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalecunty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polauky &ca Hoondahs, and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo—

A. Yes.

2. State what you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo, and the circumstance of his birth?

A.

Fort St. George 3d August 1826.

A. As we were formerly living at Muntanah Village this Ramiah Puntooloo was born to Mosalecunty Venkiah Puntooloo on the 4th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Surwadharee I had then intended to perform the Ceremony of Poopanayanum to my brother but this Ramiah Puntooloo having been born to Mosalecunty Venkiah Puntooloo, who is my brotherinlaw, I was precluded from performing the Ceremony at that time— Consequently I perfectly recollect the day of his birth— Afterwards Mosalecunty Balarama Puntooloo, who had no Children, wished to adopt this Ramiah Puntooloo and at last took him to Rauvoolavulsa in the 11th Month after his birth—

x 25th Nov. 1828.

2 When and where were the Ceremonies of adoption and Poopanayanum performed to Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. The Ceremony of adoption was performed at Rauvoolavulsa on the 2nd increasing Moon of Maughum of the year Menmadha and Poopanayanum on the 5th of that Month— At that time Ramiah Puntooloo was 7 years of age— Having been called for, I proceeded to Rauvoolvulsa

† 20th Jan.y 1836.

† 23d January 1836.

Fort St George 3d August 1836

Rauvoolavulsa at that time —

(Signed) Vasuntarow Jogiah

Solemnly affirmed before me —

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Mungespoody Lutchme Nauroynah son of Brumhanah, Buamin Caste, Smarta Religion gains his livelihood by Vydeekum, aged 38 years, an inhabitant of Rauvoolavulsa —

2 Were you acquainted with Mossalecunty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polauky & Co Hoondahs and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. Yes.

Q State what you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo?

A. I was formerly living in Canemetta agraharum in the District of Vizagapatam Having proceeded to Bobbily and obtained certain presents there, I went from thence to Muntanah on the 11th* decreasing moon of Canteekum of the year Sunwadharee — Which being the 11th day after the birth of this Ramiah Puntooloo, the Ceremony consequent on his birth was performed — At that time, Venkiah Puntooloo gave presents to several Brahmins and to

* 6th Dec. 1828.

Fort St George 3d August 1836

to myself among the number — Afterwards — when the boy was 10 or 11 Months old, he was taken to Rauvoolavulsa from Muntanah At which time I had proceeded to Nursanahpett where my paternal Uncles son Mungespoody Pada Lutchmenauroynah was living and having heard there that Balaram Puntooloo had sent for the boy to Rauvoolavulsa, in order to adopt him, I went to Rauvoolavulsa, and saw the lad — The birth day of this — Ramiah Puntooloo is Tuesday the 4th* decreasing Moon of Canteekum of the year Sunwadharee — The Ceremony of adoption took place on the 2nd† increasing Moon of Maughum of the year Munmadha and Poopanayanum on the 5th† of that Month — At that time I also proceeded to Rauvoolavulsa from Nursunnapett and Ramiah Puntooloo was then under the age of past 7 years —

* 25th October 1828.

† 20th January 1836.

† 23d January 1836.

Q How do you know that this Ramiah Puntooloo was born on that particular day?

A. At that time I proceeded to Muntanah, the lad had been born only 11 days and my Sisters† son having been born on the 5th increasing Moon of Margaserum of Sunwadharee, I have a perfect recollection of the Month and year in which this Ramiah Puntooloo was born — Besides this, when this

Ramiah

Fort St George 3d August 1836

Rauvoolavulsa at that time —

(Signed) Vasuntarow Jogiah

Solemnly affirmed before me —

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Mungespoody Lutchme Nauroynah son of Brumhanah, Brahmin Caste, Smarta Religion gains his livelihood by Vydeekum, aged 38 years, an inhabitant of Rauvoolavulsa —

2 Were you acquainted with Mosaleciuty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polauky &ca Hoondahs and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. Yes.

2 State what you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo?

A. I was formerly living in Canemetta agraharum in the District of Vizagapatam Having proceeded to Bobbily and obtained certain presents there, I went from thence to Muntanah on the 11th decreasing moon of Cartieekum of the year Surwadharee — Which being the 11th day after the birth of this Ramiah Puntooloo, the Ceremony consequent on his birth was performed At that time, Venkiah Puntooloo gave presents to several Brahmins and to

6th Dec.r 1828.

Fort St. George 3d August 1836

to myself among the number — Afterwards — when the boy was 10 or 11 Months old, he was taken to Rauvoolavulsa from Muntanah At which time I had proceeded to Nursanahpett where my paternal Uncles son Mungespoody Pada Lutchmenauroynah was living and — having heard there that Balaram Puntooloo had sent for the boy to Rauvoolavulsa, in order to adopt him, I went to Rauvoolavulsa and saw the lad The birth day of this — Ramiah Puntooloo is Tuesday the 4th decreasing Moon of Cartieekum of the year Surwadharee — The Ceremony of adoption took place on the 2nd increasing Moon of Maughum of the year Munmadha and Voopanayanum on the 5th of that Month — At that time I also proceeded to Rauvoolavulsa from Nursunnapett and Ramiah Puntooloo was then under the age of past 7 years —

25th October 1828.

20th January 1836.

23d January 1836.

2 How do you know that this Ramiah Puntooloo was born on that particular day?

A. At that time I proceeded to — Muntanah, the lad had been born only 11 days and my Sisters son having been born on the 5th increasing Moon of Margaserum of — Surwadharee, I have a perfect recollection of the Month and year in which this Ramiah Puntooloo was born — Besides this, when this

Ramiah

15th April 1837.

Ramiah Puntooloo was aged about 9 years/ Balaram Puntooloo sent for me on the 10th increasing moon of Chetrum of the year Havalumbee and selected me to teach Ramiah Puntooloo the Telugu language. Consequently I have been teaching him since that time.—

/Signed/ Mungepoody Latchme Nauroynah.

Solemnly affirmed before me—

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by Cavee Jogiah Sastry son of Venkanah, Bramin caste, gains his livelihood by Tydeekum aged 35 years, an inhabitant of Senkooroone agra= harum, subject to Caveta Purganah in the Zemindary of Bobbely.

Q. State what you know regard= ing the adoption of Ramiah Puntooloo by late Balaram Puntooloo, Proprietor of Polaukee Dee Hoondahs.

A. Mosalecunty Balaram Puntooloo having no Children became desirous to adopt a son, and having understood that one of his Cousins named Mosalecunty Venkiah Puntooloo an inhabitant of Muntanah had five sons he sent a message to him through one

one Mundaleka Balaramiah desiring to give one of them for adoption, at this juncture, Ramiah Puntooloo was born to Venkiah Puntoo= loo and I proceeded to that Village on the day following that of his birth/ being wednesday the 5th decreasing moon of Carteekum corres= ponding with 26th November 1828/ as I was then living in the house of Colloor Ramanah at Honjoreum which is Contiguous to Muntanah I found Mundaleka Balaramiah at the house of Venkiah Puntooloo and was informed by him that Venkiah Puntooloo agreed to give one of his sons by name Vasoodavarow to Balaram Puntooloo in "adoption, but that as another Son had also been "born on the preceding day, he was prepared to inform Balaram Puntooloo of the same" I also saw the Boy, that day Afterwards Balaram Puntooloo resolving to adopt that Boy who is this Ramiah Puntooloo, sent for him to Ranoolavulsa, when he was 11 Months old.—

Q. State when was Ramiah Puntooloo born and when and where his Baptism took place.

A. Ramiah Puntooloo was born on Tuesday the 4th decreasing moon of Carteekum of the year Sunwadaree, and I heard that his Baptism took place at Muntanah on the

25th Nov. 1828.

2d

9951 Fort St George 3d August 1846

it having been resolved to give this boy to Mosalacunty Balaram Puntoolo for adoption he was taken away to Rauvolanulsah when he was 10 Months old—

2 When and where were the Ceremonies of adoption and Voopanayanum of Ramiah Puntoolo performed—

A. When Ramiah Puntoolo was aged past 7 years, the Ceremony of adoption was performed at Rauvolanulsah on the 2d increasing moon of Maghum of the year Munmadah, and his Voopanayanum on the 4th or 5th of that Month I know this as I was then present at Rauvola=nulsah—

20th January 1836.

22d or 23d January 1836.

(Signed) Mosalacunty Timmaja
Solemnly affirmed before me
(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on Solemn Affirmation by Cullapully Balaram Sastry inhabitant of Berhampore—

2 Were you acquainted with Mosala=cunty Balaramdoss Puntoolo late Proprietor of Polankee Vca Hoondahs, state if you know whom he was adopted, and what took place in that respect—

9952 Fort St George 3d August 1846

A. Yes I know Balaram Puntoo=loo and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo= when I was 17 years of age, I proceeded to my Maternal Uncle, who is residing in Senkarone agraharum in the Zemindary of Bobbely in the District of Vizagapatam, in order to= prosecute my studies. At that time this= Ramiah Puntooloo was born at Muntanah a Village situated within a distance of one and half a Cos from the place where I was residing. On the 21st day after his birth all the Bramins living in the adjoining Villages and hamlets being invited to take their= meals at the occasion of the Ceremony of Baptism, I also went thither in Company with others. This Ramiah Puntooloo was born in the Month of Vartteekum of the= year Surwadharee, but I do not recollect the exact day and week afterwards Balaram Puntooloo wished to adopt Ramiah Puntooloo and accordingly sent for him to Ravoola= =nulsah in the 10th or 11th Month after his= birth. When Ramiah Puntooloo was in his 8th year the Ceremony of adoption was perform= =ed on the 2d or 3d increasing moon of Maghum of the year Munmadha, and his Voopanayanum on the 5th of that month I was then present at

November 1828.

x 20th or Jan.y 1836.

23d Jan.y 1836.

Fort St. George 3d August 1816.

at Ravoolanulsah and my age was then about 24 or 25 Years. This Ramiah Puntooloo is the same who was then adopted by Balaram Puntooloo.

(Signed) Cullupully Balaram Sastry
Solemnly affirmed before me
(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Moorekootta Bhavanee Sastrooloo an inhabitant of Itchapore.

2 Were you acquainted with the late Mosalacunty Balaramdoss Puntooloo Proprietor of Polauky &c. Hoondahs?
A. Yes
2 Do you know his adopted son?
A. Yes
2 What do you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo?
A. Balaram Puntooloo having no children, his father Hanoomiah Puntooloo wished me very much to obtain a child from the families of their cousins, and it being understood that one Mosalacunty Venkiah Puntooloo an inhabitant of Munsanah in the District of Vizagapatam had several sons, a message was sent to him requesting him to give one of his sons to Balaram

Fort St. George 3d August 1816

Balaram Puntooloo for adoption who having agreed to do so. The Horoscopes of all his other sons as well as of Ramiah Puntooloo, who had been born in the interim were sent for, and caused to be examined by certain astrologers. The Horoscope of Ramiah Puntooloo was approved in preference to those of the others and it was resolved to adopt him to which his father Venkiah Puntooloo gave his consent. In determining that the Horoscope that of Ramiah Puntooloo should be preferred one Sutheavarum Canaswarajosee, and some astrologers were consulted some time afterwards intelligence was received that the boy was ill and a Doctor and some others accompanied Coravee Juggunnah the sister of Balaram Puntooloo, to the Village of Muntanah and caused medicines to be administered, to the boy. We stopt there for a few days and returned when the child was about 3 months old, the father of Balaram Puntooloo fell sick and being desirous of seeing the boy, sent for him to Ravoolanulsah, as I was occasionally visiting at Ravoolanulsah, I have been in the habit of seeing the boy from time to time.

20th Janry. 1836. The ceremony of adoption was performed on the 2d increasing

increasing moon of Maugheem of the year Munmadah, and Poopanayanum on the 28th Jany. 1836. 5th of that Month, the boy was then 7 years old I and some others were present on such occasions and performed the ceremonies At that time the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntooloo having been shown to some Astrologers, I also saw it. This Ramiah Puntooloo is the same boy whom I had then seen

2. It has been stated in a Petition addressed to the Hoozoor by Balaram Puntooloo before his death, that this Ramiah Puntooloo had attained his majority and a Horoscope was also sent for examination, while from your Statement, it now appears that he has not attained his proper age?

A. Balaramdoss Puntooloo was in a state of delirium for 4 or 5 days before 20th October 1845 his death and died on the 12th decreasing moon of Auswejum I do not know whether the persons employed by Balaram Puntooloo may have written any such things, but I believe that no such Arzee was ever addressed while Balaram Puntooloo retained his senses

2 Can you positively speak as to the birth day and the present age of Ramiah Puntooloo?

Yes

A. Yes I can assure you of it, because I have seen his Horoscope and further been in the habit of seeing him from time to time though I was not present at the time of his birth I recollect that he was born on the 4th decreasing moon of Carteekum of the year Sarwadharee 25th November 1828. He would now be upwards of 17 years of age

2. Are you acquainted with the hand writing of Mosalacunty Balaramdoss Puntooloo?

A. Yes I know his hand writing because he was in the habit from time to time of writing letters to me

2 Look at the letter, now shown to you and state whose signature is affixed thereto?

A. Yes I have examined it and it is the signature of Mosalacunty Balaram Puntooloo

Signed Moorookatta Bhavanesastry

Solemnly affirmed before me

Signed R. A. Bannerman

Collector,

Deposition given on Solemn Affirmation by Kamby Sevanow son of Suggaanathum, Bramin Caste, Smarta religion by profession a Mootuddy, aged 35 years

an

Fort St George 3d August 1816

an inhabitant of Itchapore—

2. Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polambee Vca Hoon=dahs and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo?

A. Yes

2 State what you know re=garding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo—

A. In the year Verodhee, my maternal uncle Mosalacunty Rookmajee was a Huftee Goomastah of Palatalgum Hoondah, and I was going to visit him from time to time, the said Rook=majee was in the habit of going to pay his respects to Balaram Puntooloo—whenever he came to the Village of Vroodoo=poorum subject to the Dunta Hoondah of which Balaram Puntooloo was the Proprietor I used also to accompany him on such occasions, when I heard Bala=ram Puntooloo say to Rookmajee that as he had no Children he was desirous of adopting a boy from the families of any of his Cousins, that he had therefore thought

Fort St George 3d August 1816

thought of adopting Vasoodavarow the 5th son of Mosalacunty Venkiah Puntooloo who is an inhabitant of Muntanah, in the District of Vizagapatam, but that as another son had subsequently been born, he thought it would be preferable to select him as the 5th son was 2 or 3 years of age afterwards Ramanah Puntooloo the father of Balaram Puntooloo having became dangerously ill, this Ramiah Puntooloo was brought to Ravoolavulsah from Muntanah I was then at Ravoolavulsah and saw the boy who was then about 10 or 11 Months old—

2 When and where were the ceremonies of adoption and Voopanayanam performed to Ramiah Puntooloo—

A. I understood by the Soobha=rakaloo or wedding that those ceremonies were performed in the month of *Maughum of the year Manmadha but I did not then go to Ravoolavulsah—

*January 1836.

/Signed/ Kambhee Sevarow

Solemnly affirmed before me

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition

9959

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Madhela Jugganathum an inhabitant of Bauroovah—

2. Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balaram Puntoolo the late Proprietor of Polauky Yca Koondah, and his adopted son Ramiah Puntoolo—

A. Yes, when this Ramiah Puntoolo about the age of 3 years he came to Bauroovah and remained there for about 3 years subsequently after he had attained the age of 4 Months, and 4 days he commenced his education and I was employed as his tutor—He was born on

25th November 1828. tuesday the 4th decreasing moon of Kartickum of the year Durmadhara—I see fixing on the propuous days with several ceremonies his birth day was always referred to Ramiah Puntoolo afterwards went to Rauvoolavelsah and I accompanied him—The ceremony of his adoption was

20th Janr. 1836. performed on the 2d increasing moon of

23d January 1836. Maghum of the year Munmadah and his Woopanayanum on the 5th of that Month Ramiah Puntoolo was then past 7 years of age—

2 Look at the Arzee which is now shown to you and which was received at the Hoozoor on the 17th October 1843 from Balram Puntoolo do you know in whose hand writing it is.—

A Yes I have examined it, I cannot positively speak as to whose writing it is, but I think that it is that of Rajanah Polliah. I cannot say whose signature it is.—

2 Look at a letter addressed to one Ikpadee Kristnum Bhottoo an inhabitant

15th Oct. 1843. of etska, under date Sunday the 7th decreasing Moon of Aswejum of the year Sobhacroot,— and state if you know in whose writing it is and whose signature it bears.—

A Yes I have examined it and think the hand writing is that of one Dubbee Nursammah Putnaick, the signature is that of Mosalacunty Balram Puntoolo.—

(Signed) Madhela Jagganathum.

Solemnly affirmed before me—

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

9960

Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Yerragoonta Jogy Bhooeta an inhabitant of Mundasah—

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balram Puntoolo the late

Proprietor

Proprietor of Polaukee Pte Hoondahs, and his adopted son Ramiah Puntoolo.

A. Yes.

2. What do you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntoolo.

A. I know nothing on the subject, but I saw him once when he came to Baurovah, before his Voopanayanam or ceremony of investure with the Sacred thread and he then seemed to be 5 or 6 years of age. At that time Balram Puntoolo spoke to me at Baurovah about making an astamor solemn affirmation between his adopted son Ramiah Puntoolo and the son of my Rajah (the Zemindar of Mundasah) to be for ever friends, and he then shewed me the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntoolo which is in a Book with several other Horoscope.

2 Could you identify that Book if shewn to you now.

A. Owing to the failure of my sight I could not identify it now

2 What is the age of Ramiah Puntoolo and that of your Rajahs son which is the elder of them.

A My

A My Rajah's son is now of the age of about 17 years, and I think that Ramiah Puntoolo would be a year oldet.

(Signed) Jogy Bhooeta

Solemnly affirmed before me.

(Signed) R.t Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Appeeatta Seetaram Sastry an inhabitant of Itchapore.

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balaramdoss Puntoolo the late Proprietor of Polaukey Pte Hoondass and his adopted son Ramiah Puntoolo.

A Yes.

2 What do you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntoolo.

A. I was always in attendance on Balram Puntoolo at Ravoolavulsah, at times when Balraram Puntoolo used to mention me that he had no offspring. I recommended that he should adopt a boy, from the families of any of his cousins, this being the case, it was understood that Moosalacunty Venkiah Puntoolo an inhabitant of Muntanah in

in the District of Vizagapatam had six sons and a Message was sent to him requesting him to give one of his sons for adoption, who agreed to do so and the Horoscopes of all of his sons were sent for, and shewn to several Astrologers who having amongst them selected that of Ramiah Puntooloo, as preferable, it was resolved to adopt him and he was brought to Ravoolavulsah in the eleventh months after his birth where his baptism was performed, the ceremony of adoption took

†20th or 21st Jany 1836. place on the 2nd or 3rd increasing moon of Maugham of the year Munmadah and the ceremony of Woopanayanum on the

†23rd Jany 1836 5th of that Month, when Ramiah Puntoolu was seven years of age. Sand some others were employed in performing the said ceremonies.-

2 Can you Speak as to the date on which Ramiah was born?

A. Although I was not present at the time of his birth, yet as I was seeing the boy after he was brought to Ravoolavulsah, and as the usual ceremonies have been performed from time to time with

with reference to his birth day and further as I have been seeing his Horoscope having some knowledge in Astrology, I know the date of his birth which

†25th Novr 1828. was the 12th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Survadaree- This Ramiah Puntoolu is the same who was adopted by Balaram Puntoolu.-

2 Was any thing done when the Horoscopes of the six sons of Mosalacunty Venkiah Puntoolu, were sent for by Balaram Puntoolu?

A Balaram Puntoolu caused them all to be entered in a book by one Collour Sectaram, but I did not see what was written in it, by Balaram Puntoolu.-

(Signed) A. Sectaram Sastry

Solemnly affirmed before me.-

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on solemnly affirmation by Radhacharum Santra son of Dhanoo Santra, a Bramin Caste Ramanooja religion by profession an Astrologer, aged 30 years an inhabitant of Beemapoorum in Chegaty.-

2 Were you acquainted with Balaram Puntoolu the late Proprietor of Polauky 4 Coondahs and his adopted son Ramiah Puntoolu.-

A Yes.

What

2 What do you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntooloo by Balaram Puntooloo.

A I know nothing regarding the adoption, but about 4 or 5 years ago Balaram Puntooloo came to Itchapore, and put up at the house of Khajane Seetaram, at that time, I was at Itchapore and hearing of the arrival of Balaram Puntooloo went to visit him, who desiring me to look at the Horoscopes of some members of his family gave a small book containing certain Horoscopes of himself, his wife his son Ramiah Puntooloo, and Chintah Juggannatham Puntooloo - I then wrote Copies of the said Horoscopes in the Cadjans book which I now shew to your honor, as Balaram Puntooloo read them to me from that Book. among those, the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntooloo was dated Tuesday the 4th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Survadharee, which was his birth day.

25th Novr 1828

(Signed) Radha Cheram Santhoo

Solemnly affirmed before me.

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by

by Jasoola Camasevara Siddhantee an inhabitant of Satteyavaram Agraharam subject to Vadadah Tallook.

2 Were you acquainted with the Mosalacunty Balaram Puntooloo the late Proprietor of Polauky 9 Vrs Hoondahs and his adopted son Ramiah Puntooloo.

A Yes.

2 What do you know regarding the adoption of Ramiah Puntooloo.

A The said Balaram Puntooloo having no children was desirous of adopting a son, and it being understood, that this Ramiah Puntooloo, was born at Muntanah, I and my father were sent for by Balaram Puntooloo to Ravoolavulsah. When we arrived there Balaram Puntooloo produced a book containing several Horoscopes and asked us to say, which Horoscope should be preferable. I answered that the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntooloo, was preferable and entered an exact copy of the same in the bundle of Cadjans, which I now shew to your honor. Subsequently Balaram Puntooloo having resolved to adopt Ramiah Puntooloo, sent for him to Ravoolavulsah, in the eleventh month after his birth. The birth day of Ramiah Puntooloo is tuesday the 4th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Survadharee. In selecting propitious

25th Novr 1828

days

days for performing the several ceremonies of piercing the ears of commencing one his stu-dies, the day of his birth was referred to, and the time of performing the said cere-monies was determined accordingly. The ceremony of adoption of the said Ramiah
20th Janr 1836. Puntoolu was performed on the 2nd increas-ing moon of Maghum of the year Manma-dha and his Woopranayanum or a ceremony
23rd Janr 1836 of investiture with the sacred thread, on the 5th of that Month. at which time, Ram Puntoolu was 7 years of age. after calcu-lating the motions of the planets and and deducting the destiny of the man, from the Horoscope. I returned it to them. Some time afterwards Gopenadhe Deo (the Zemindar of Jeekaly) having come to Ravoolavulsah. Balram Puntoolu sent for me and desired me to explain to us Gopenada Deo the calculation connect-ed with the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntoolu which I accordingly did.

2 You have stated above that you made a copy of the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntoolu from a Book containing

containing several others, which was produced to you by Balaram Puntoolu could you iden-tify that book if shown to you.

A Yes.

2 Look at the Book which is now shewn to you and state if it is the same which refer to in your evidence.

A. Yes it is the same Book, from which I made a copy of the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntoolu.

2 Are you acquainted with the hand writing of the late Mosalacunty Balram Puntoolu.

A Yes.

2 Look at this letter address-ed to one Appeela Kristnum Bhottu-an
15th Octr 1843 inhabitant of Aska under date Sunday the 4th decreasing Moon of Etsweejum of Soba-croot, and state whose signature is af-fixed to it.

A Yes I have examined it and the signature is that of the late Mosala-cunty Balaram Puntoolu.

(Signed) Josoola Camaswara Siddantee.

Solemnly affirmed before me.

(Signed) R.A. Bannerman Collector

Deposition

Fort St George 3rd August 1846

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Rawee Janakeeramiah son of Naugaswera Row Bramin Caste, Smarta religion by profession a Moodaliar aged 50 years an inhabitant of Raghoo-nadhapooram.-

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balaram Pantoolo and his adopted son Ramiah Pantoolo

A Yes.

2 What do you know re-garding the adoption of the said Ramiah Pantoolo.-

A. I was a Vakeel on behalf of the said late Balaram Pantoolo for a

†1828 period of about 10 or 12 years since the year Sarvadharee Balaram Pantoolo having no Children, was desirous of adopting a son and having accordingly procured a boy in the Village of Muntanah, he

†1829 brought him to Rawoolavelsah in the year of Varodhee, and kept him there for four days. He having afterwards gone to Muntanah, Balaram Pantoolo again

†November sent for him to Rawoolavelsah in the Month of Carteekum of that year. The child was then about 10 or 11 Months old and not more. This Child is the same

Fort St George 3rd August 1846.

same Ramiah Pantoolo, who was adopted by Balaram Pantoolo. Afterwards the Ceremonies of his adoption, and Voopanayanum were

Jan† 1836. performed in the Month of Maughum of the year Munmadha.-

2 Do you know any thing, regarding the Horoscope of the said Ramiah Pantoolo.-

A I know nothing on the subject, but I know Balram Pantoolo caused several Horoscopes to be entered in a Small Book, in which I also wrote some thing or other at his desire.-

2 Could you identify that Book if shown to you now.-

A Yes I can.

2 Look at the Book which is now shewn to you and state if it is the same of which you make mention.-

A Yes. It is the same, which I referred to. The prescription of medicine for Cholera therein mentioned is in my hand writing.-

(Signed) Rawee Janakeeram

Solemnly affirmed before me

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by

by Appele Kristnumbhuttoo an inhabitant of Atka.

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balaramdass Puntoolu late Proprietor of Polunkee Vencatachellums and his adopted son.

A Yes

2 What do you know regarding the adoption of the said Ramiah Puntoolu by Balaram Puntoolu

A I was on friendly terms with late Balaramdass Puntoolu from the time that he had been living at Atka and I am acquainted with Astrology. Balaram Puntoolu sent me two Horoscopes enclosed in a letter, desiring me to inform him which of these should be preferred. After examining them both on making the necessary calculations I returned them with my answer that the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntoolu was to be preferred. Afterwards having been sent for by Balaram Puntoolu in the year Munmadha 1836, I proceeded to Rawoolavulsa. The ceremony of adoption of the said Ramiah Puntoolu was performed on the 2nd increasing Moon of

20th Jany 1836.

of Maugham of the year Munmadah and Voopanayanum on the 5th of that Month. at which time Balaram Puntoolu gave me a copy of the Horoscope of Ramiah Puntoolu which was recorded in a Book desiring me to examine and calculate the destiny of the same and I found it to be the same which I have previously examined being one of the two that had been sent to me by Balaram Puntoolu. The day and week &c were all exactly the same as in the former. The day of birth of Ramiah Puntoolu is Tuesday the 4th decreasing Moon of Carteekum of the year Survadharee Ramiah Puntoolu was in his 8th year at the time when his Voopanayanum and Ceremony of adoption took place. Moreover I am fully satisfied from my personal view that he was at that time of the age Stated.

23rd Jany 1836.

2 Did you subsequently receive any letter from Balaram Puntoolu

A Yes a few days before his death he wrote to me a letter stating "that his son was still in his minority" and that as his Horoscope and that of Balaram Puntoolu were in my possession he requested (me deponent) again to examine them and

25th Novr 1838.

acquaint

"acquaint him with the result of my ob-
"servations as to their future fate and
"that he would then consider what arrange-
"ment it would be proper to make for
"the future; and he desired me to come
"to him and bring with me some Sagat.
I received that letter when I was at Ber-
-hampoor, and I put it into a Box in
which I keep my Books. Having only a
few days afterwards, heard that Balaram
Puntoolu has departed this life, I did
not proceed to Rajamundry, but
last Margaseram I came here on my
way to Ganjam and met Ramiah Puntoo-
in the course of conversation, he told me
that orders have been received from the
Higher authorities to enquire into the
circumstance of his minority & ther hav-
-ing mentioned that I had received a
letter from his father stating that his
son was still minor and that letter was
left in my Bose, Ramiah Puntoolu
requested me to give it to him. Accor-
-ingly gave it to him.

2 Look at the letter which
is now shown to you and state if it is the
same which you had received from
Balaram Puntoolu, and which you gave back
to Ramiah Puntoolu.

A Yes it is the same.

you

2 You have stated above that you
took a copy of the Horoscope from a Book which
Balaram Puntoolu produced to you for that pur-
-pose. Could you identify that Book if now shown
to you.

A Yes I could.

2 Look at the Book which is
now shewn to you, and state if it is the same
of which you have made mention.

A Yes It is the same, from which
I took a copy of Ramiah Puntoolu's Horoscope.

This is among others the Horoscope of Ramiah
Puntoolu, on which certain Sanscrit words
"Poonurvasoo Dwetiya Charanam" are inscribed,
at the time when I made the Calculations I found
it was "Tretiya charanum".

2 Are you well acquainted
with the hand writing of the late
Balaram Puntoolu.

A Yes I am.

2 Look at the Atzee which
is now Shewn to you and which was re-
-ceived from Balaram Puntoolu, under
date the 17th October 1843 whose signature
is affixed thereto.

A. I have examined it but
cannot say whose signature it is I do not
think

think it is the signature of Mosalacunty Balaram Puntoolu, and I do not know in whose hand writing the arzee is drawn out.

(Signed) Ipplee Kristnumbhatlu

Solemnly affirmed before me.

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Deposition given on Solemnly affirmation by Dubbeer Nursimhoolu son of Appa-
-zee Patrick Caranum caste, Ramanooja religion by profession Mootsuddee aged 38 years, an inhabitant of Parasellie sub-
-ject to Julmoor Koondah.

2 Were you acquainted with Mosalacunty Balram Puntoolu the late Proprietor of Polankee & Hono-
-dahs and his adopted son Ramiah Puntoolu?

A. Yes.

2 State what you know regarding the adoption of Ramiahs Puntoolu by Balaram Puntoolu?

A Balram Puntoolu having no children became desirous to adopt a boy and having procured this

Ramiah in the Village of Muntanah in the District of Vizagapatam, he sent for him to Rawoolavalsah and adopted him. The was then about 10 or 11 Months old. All the people considered him to be of that age. and I also thought the same- I heard all the people say that this Ramiah Puntoolu was born in the year Surradharee-

1828 +

1829 @

-valsah in the year Veerodhee, and he is the same Ramiah Puntoolu who was then brought. In his 8th year Ramiah Puntoolus Voopa-
-nayanum and ceremony of adoption were performed in the Month of Maghum

January 1838. +

of the year Manmadha-

Have you seen the Horos-
-cope of Ramiah Puntoolu?

2 A. No.

Were you employed as an amanuensis under Balaram Puntoolu?

2 A. Yes I was employed under him and I used to write letters &c at his dictation.

Look at the letter dated Sunday the 7th decreasing Moon of Atswee-
-jum of the year Sobhakrut, addressed to one Ipplee Cristnumbhatlu and state whose

15th Dec. 1843. +

Fort St George 3rd August 1846.

whose hand writing it is, and who caused it to be written and whose signature is affixed thereto.-

A. I have examined it. The hand writing of this letter, is mine. About 5 or 6 days before the death of Balaram Puntoolu, one day at 10 O'Clock A. M. I went out to Balram Puntoolu when he directed me to write a letter to one Ipplee Kristnumbhutloo of Atska. I accordingly wrote this letter at his dictation. It was stated in it "that the Horoscopes of both of "his son and himself are with you Kristnum "bhutloo that his son was still a minor, "that he would therefore be pleased to examine the Horoscopes and inform him the "result of his observations on it and that he "should come to him". The signature thereto affixed is that of Balram Puntoolu. I saw him sign the letter and he gave it into the hands of a certain Peon, whose name I cannot recollect to be dispatched to Atska. There were no body else present on the occasion, except myself and Balram Puntoolu.-

(Signed) Dubbeer Narsimhoolu

Solemnly affirmed before me.-

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

(True Translation)
(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Ordered

Fort St George 5th August 1846.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

30-31

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Ganjam reporting the result of the enquiry instituted respecting the alleged minority of Ramiah Proprietor of the Palauky and other Estates in his District and stating that from the evidence in the case it has been sufficiently established that Ramiah is still a minor. Recommending for stated reasons that the sale of the Barwah and Lutchmeepooram Zemindaries may be confirmed but that the sale of the Palauky and Dimita Estates be cancelled.

10th Jany 1845 Ext. Cons. 24th July 1846

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Ganjam reporting the result of the enquiry which he has instituted in conformity with the orders of Government contained in Extract Minutes Consultation 10th July 1845 respecting the alleged minority of Ramiah Proprietor of the Palauky and other Estates in his District.-

2. From this letter and the papers which accompany it, it will be seen that the witnesses that Mr Bannerman has examined for the purpose of ascertaining the real age of Ramiah may be divided into four Classes. 1st Those parties who depose from their personal knowledge to the date of Ramiah's birth and who are inhabitants of the Village of Munteenah in the Kintaly Moondah of the Vizagapatam District in which Ramiah's parents resided and where he

was

was born, or the inhabitants of the adjoining Vil-
lages who were at Muntenah at the time of his
birth or went there within a
very few days afterwards.
2dly. The priests, Brahmins and others who were
present and assisted on the several occasions of
the celebration of the usual Ceremonies perform-
ed at stated periods fixed in reference to the
time of birth. 3dly. The Astrologers who drew out
his Horoscope and deduced therefrom according
to the rules of their craft the Destiny of Ramia-
ah, and who noted down in their bundles the
exact time of his nativity as communicated to
them by his adoptive Father for the purpose of
their calculations. 4th. Those parties who testify
to the genuineness of the documents No. 1 and
2 adduced in proof of the Minority. The documentary
evidence which the Collector has examined is 1st. The
Memorandum Book kept by Balram Doss adoptive
father of Ramiah and containing among much other
miscellaneous matter, the Horoscope of Ramiah & other
Members of the family 2ndly a letter addressed by Bal-
ramdoss a few days before his death to one Spalee Crist-
numbutloo proved by the testimony of the party to whom it was
written and that of the amanuensis who wrote it and
in which the Zemindar distinctly states
that his sons minority would extend
for two or three years longer. 3d. of the
genuineness

genuineness of which document the Collector ex-
presses himself satisfied and on which he lays
great stress and lastly a letter written by E.
Anuntaramiah late Manager of the Palaukey and
Dunta Estates to Ramiah which however
does not seem of any importance. After carefully
weighing the evidence adduced in the course
of the enquiry both oral and documentary and
adverting more particularly to the letter to
Spalee Cristnumbutloo (No. 2) already mentioned the
Collector states that in his opinion it has been
sufficiently established that Ramiah was born on
the 25th day of November 1828. and that he is therefore
still a Minor. The Board have given their best
attention to Mr. Bannerman's letter and its en-
closures and they cannot but concur in the con-
clusion at which he has arrived.

3. If the Minority of Ramiah be
deemed to have been proved, the next point
for consideration is the course to be adopted
in regard to the sale of his Estates. Polam Raj
Dunta Bamiah and Lutchmeepooram which
took place in December 1844. In their letter
of 16th June 1845 the Board stated that if it
should be proved that Ramiah was a Minor
at the death of his father and at that of his
Aunt the sale of his Estates for arrears part of
which have accrued during Minority was

Contrary

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

was born, or the inhabitants of the adjoining Vil-
lages who were at Muntenah at the time of his
birth or went there within a
very few days afterwards.
2dly. The priests, Bramins and others who were
present and assisted on the several occasions of
the celebration of the usual Ceremonies perform-
ed at stated periods fixed in reference to the
time of birth. 3dly. The Astrologers who drew out
his Horoscope, and deduced therefrom according
to the rules of their craft the Destiny of Ramia-
ah, and who noted down in their bundles the
exact time of his nativity as communicated to
them by his adoptive Father for the purpose of
their calculations. 4th. those parties who testify
to the genuineness of the documents No. 1 and
2 adduced in proof of the Minority. The documentary
evidence which the Collector has examined is 1st. The
Memorandum Book kept by Balram Dos, adoptive
father of Ramiah and containing among much other
miscellaneous matters, the Horoscope of Ramiah & other
Members of the family 2ndly a letter addressed by Bal-
ramdos a few days before his death to one Palee Crist-
numbutloo proved by the testimony of the party to whom it was
written and that of the amanuensis who wrote it and
in which the Zemindar distinctly states
that his sons minority would extend
for two or three years longer - of the
genuineness

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

genuineness of which document the Collector ex-
presses himself satisfied and on which he lays
great stress and lastly a letter written by E.
Anuntaramiah late Manager of the Palauky and
Dunta Estates to Ramiah which however
does not seem of any importance. After carefully
weighing the evidence adduced in the course
of the enquiry both oral and documentary and
adverting more particularly to the letter to
Palee Cristnumbutloo (No. 2) already mentioned the
Collector states that in his opinion it has been
sufficiently established that Ramiah was born on
the 25th day of November 1828. and that he is therefore
still a Minor. The Board have given their best
attention to Mr. Bannerman's letter and its en-
closures and they cannot but concur in the con-
clusion at which he has arrived.

3. If the Minority of Ramiah be
deemed to have been proved, the next point
for consideration is the course to be adopted
in regard to the sale of his Estates Polaukey
Dunta Bamiah and Lutchmeepooram which
took place in December 1846. In their letter
of 16th June 1845 the Board stated that if it
should be proved that Ramiah was a Minor
at the death of his father and at that of his
Aunt the sale of his Estates for arrears part of
which have accrued during Minority was

Contrary

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

contrary to the provisions of Clause II. Regulation X 1831 and must be set aside. When this passage was written it escaped the observation of the Board that altho' the sales were not held until December 1846 the arrears on account of which they took place were calculated only to July of that year. Covery Jegunnaik. Proprietrix of Berwah and Sulchnee pooram died 6th July 1846 a few days before the end of Fusly 1253 - and the whole arrears on account of which these Zemindaries were ultimately sold were due at the time of her death. The sale of these Estates therefore as both Mr. Smollett and Mr. Bannerman have stated is unimpeachable, and the Board beg to recommend that they may be confirmed.

4- As respects the Palunky and Dunta Estates the case is different. The late Proprietor of these Zemindaries died 20th October 1843 or early in Fusly 1253 and the balance for which they were eventually brought to sale include the whole Peishcush for that Fusly of which all but the first Kist fell due after his death. These arrears therefore were in part at least arrears accruing under Minority and the sale must be annulled. Mr. Smollett has objected to

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

to this view on the ground first that these balances were made up only to the close of the Fusly in which the Zemindar died, secondly that they had become due prior to the date August 1846 at which the Estates were registered in Ramiah's name. It does not appear to the Board that these objections are of any weight. The delay which occurred in the registration of the property in the name of Ramiah in the public accounts does not affect his title, and his succession to the Estates must date from the delay of his father's death. The arrears which subsequently accrued tho' in the same Fusly in which the old Zemindar died and tho' prior to the registration of his property in the name of his son will still be Arrears under Minority - and their being included among the arrears for which the Estates were sold will vitiate the sale. The Board therefore beg to recommend that these sales be cancelled, and the Collector be directed to assume charge of the Palunky and Dunta Estates repaying the purchase Money to the purchasers and arranging with them the best settlement of which circumstances may admit. At the expiration of Ramiah's minority.

minority is so near at hand 25th November next the Board would not advise the assumption of his paternal Estates under the management of the Court of Wards. The Collector may for the present retain charge of them on account of the arrears due upon them, and on Ramiah attaining his Majority, the Arrangements which he may propose for the liquidation of those balances and the measures to be adopted in regard to the Zemindaries may be taken into consideration.

5. In the Extract Minutes Consultation of 11th Dec. 2d December 1845 the Board are requested to submit a report on the letter of the Acting Collector of Ganjam to their address so dated 12th August 1845 respecting the Polavaram Estates and to state at the same time the ground on which they declined to forward copy of the same for the consideration of Government as requested by Mr. Smollett.

6. In reply the Board instruct me to state that it does not appear to them that there are any parts of Mr. Smollett's letter which require detailed notice. The objections which he has raised to the cancelling of the sales have been discussed in a preceding para. The remainder of his communication is chiefly occupied in representing the

the difficulties which would attend the investigation of Ramiah's age and the scandalous frauds which if he were still a Minor must have been practised by the Family. The Board fully appreciated both these considerations but as regarded the first the difficulties incident to the inquiry did not appear to them to constitute any valid reason why the inquiry should not be made and as respected the second they were of opinion that proofs as the deception might have been, Ramiah being at the time in a state of nonage and legal disability could not be held responsible for it.

7. In explanation of the non transmission of Mr. Smollett's letter to Government the Board beg to state that that Officer had objected to the investigation of Ramiah's alleged minority and had requested that the Board would submit his letter to Government with their recommendation that the sales should be confirmed. The Government had however already decided that the inquiry into Ramiah's age should take place and that upon the issue of that inquiry the measures to be pursued in

Extract Mins. Cons. 19th Aug. 24th July 1845.

regard

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

regard to the recent sale of his Estates should depend, and as Mr. Smollett's communication did not seem to the Board to furnish any reason why the course ordered by Government should not be followed, they did not consider that they would have been justified in forwarding his letter.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d. August, 1846.

(Signed) J. Lycroft
Secretary.

(32)

Proceedings thereon.-

Relative to the management of the Ramachendra poorum Cotah and Peddapore Estates for Fusly 1254 in the district of Rajahmundry.

Read again the following letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting on the management of the Estates of Ramachendrapooram, Cotah and Peddapore for Fusly 1254 A.D. 1844-45.

These Estates are under the management of the Collector. Ramachendrapooram was resumed under the orders of Government dated 18th October 1842, and Cotah and Peddapore and under attachment for arrears of Revenue.

10th July 1846.

2. The season as already observed in reviewing the Settlement of the Government Estates was not favourable throughout and the want of rain was felt in most of the Villages of these Estates. The Tanks in Dichanole, Linguntpurro &c. are stated to have only received about half their usual supply.

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

supply of water, and in consequence the lands which were irrigated by them yielded but a short crop. the price of grain however as noticed in the Board's Proceedings of 18th Ultimo had throughout the year, maintained a higher standard than in the preceding Fusly.

Fusly 1254.	Vissabadi Villages.	share	Rent.	Total.
Ramachendrapoorum	3.	"	34	37
Cotah	6	2	21	29
Peddapore	48	"	53	101
Total	57	2	108	167.

3 The mode of settlement was Vissabadi share or Rent but the Renting system predominates, as exhibited in the Statement entered in the Margin.-

4- A comparative view of the settled demand in each Estate is shown in the following abstract.-

	Fusly 1253.			Fusly 1254			Increase			Decrease		
Ramachendrapooram	62970	13	11	74029	8	1	11058	10	2	"	"	"
Cotah	86324	14	11	76302	5	6	"	"	"	10022	1	5
Peddapoor	171783	3	10	160225	11	4	"	"	"	11557	5	6

Ramachendrapooram para. 1 to 5.

5- A net increase of Rupees 654.1- is exhibited in the demand on account of the three Vissabadi Villages of Ramachendrapooram in comparison with the preceding Fusly. this result is partly attributed to the cultivation of certain waste lands, and

and partly to the tax leviable on ryots who cultivated lands - a very considerable increase is also shown in the settlement of the Rented Villages, Viz.t Rupees 1,404-8-11 making a total increase of Rupees 11,058-10-2. The total demand for this Estate exceeded the Permanent Settlement by Rupees 13808-15-1.

Colah
Para 12.

6. In Colah there was a net increase of Rupees 20-3-3 in the demand on account of the Vissabady Villages and Asarah lands. the Collector satisfactorily explains in para 12 the causes of difference in the several items carried to account under these heads the principal item of increase in the expenditure is Rupees 500 for Pagoda Allowance - Mr. Prendergast states in reference to this sum that in Fusly 1253 the usual payment to the Pagodas of Colah was not made, in consequence of the falling off in the Collections of Revenue, but in Fusly 1254 the receipts having come up to the proper standard the payment was not withheld.

7. The two Asarah Villages yielded an increased

increased revenue of Rupees 191-8 - which is attributed to good Crops.

Para 14.

8. In the 21 rented Villages a decrease of Rupees 1,233-17-8 is exhibited in the Demand - this decrease is apparent and not real, as the Kham List which represents a higher demand than has been or can be realized is entered as the Demand for Fusly 1253, whereas the Demand for the year under report was fixed with reference to the capability of the several Villages in which the Kham List is excessive.

Peddapore
Para 20.

9. In Peddapore there was a decrease of Rupees 7582-6-6 in the settlement of the 48 Vissabady Villages caused by a reduction in the Amara cum or land taken up, owing to the poverty of the Ryots, especially those of Bickavole, there was also a falling off of Rupees 397-15 in the Rented Villages which is satisfactorily accounted for as the Mootahs of Peddapore and Bickavole in which this decrease is apparent were settled in Fusly 1253 at the Kham List under Vissabady and fell

into

into arrears but in Fusly 1254 they are were rented in accordance with the average Cist and collections of former years.

10. The total demand of this Estate is represented as having been Rupees 9209-1-8. below the permanent Settlement in the year under report.

11. The uncollected portion of the Current years demand was considerable both in Ramachendrapooram and Peddapore. In the former Estate it is stated to be "owing "partly to the failure of "Crops from want of rain "partly to the poverty of "the Ryots and partly to "the lands of the rented Villages not having been fully "cultivated" In reference to the last observation the Board would remark that the renters should be held to their obligations, otherwise the rents are merely nominal. It is much better that the rent should resolve itself into a Ryotwar settlement, than that Contracts

Ramachendrapooram—

Demand of Fusly 1254		7029-8-1
Collections within the Fusly	6252-5-11	
Do Subsequently	1688-13-7	
	6216-5-0	
Balance	813-2-4	
Per centage	13-4-11	

Cotah

Demand of Fusly 1254		7602-3-0
Collections within the Fusly	6783-15-5	
Do Subsequently	2098-10-11	
	6938-10-4	
Balance	663-9-2	
Per centage	8-5-5	

Peddapore

Demand of Fusly 1254		16775-11-1
Collections within the Fusly	13767-11-1	
Do Subsequently	1838-0-0	
	15515-11-1	
Balance	1260-0-0	
Per centage	11-0-8	

contracts should be made with the Village collectively, which they fail to fulfil. Of the balance against Cotah the Collector states that 393 Rupees have been paid by the Ryots to the Zemindar for his Sairy lands and of the remaining 5970 Rupees, it is probable that about 2000 will be recovered if the approaching season be favorable. The balance to the Peddapore Estate is said to be due by the poor Ryots of the Villages where the Crops have failed the portion due from the Mootahs of Bickavole and Linguimpurroo are considered desperate, but of the remainder the Collector supposes that about 4000 Rupees will be realized.

12. The Inccamy advances and recoveries were as noted in the Margin, the balance in the Ramachendrapooram Accounts is trifling, and that due to the Cotah Zemindary has been allowed to lie over for future adjustment.

Inccamy	Advanced			Recovered			Balance		
Ramachendrapooram	4908	8	"	4989	6	"	9	2	"
Cottah	3695	12	3	3395	12	3	360	"	"
Peddapore	5622	4	"	5622	4	"	"	"	"

13. The following abstract exhibits in what manner the Current Collections

Fort St. George 13th August 1846.

Collections have been appropriated.

	Total Collections including Incang.			Payments on account of Peishcush Current and arrears.			Other Disbursements.			Balance on hand.		
Ramachendrapooram	69,980	9	8	56019	7	4	13961	2	4	"	"	"
Cotah	74,034	2	5	64527	5	2	8456	13	6	1049	15	9
Peddapore	150893	6	4	123719	12	5	25158	1	11	15	8	"

	Peishcush			Amount Credited			Balance		
Ramachendrapooram	60220	9	"	55875	15	4	4344	9	8
Cotah	64375	15	"	64375	15	"	"	"	"
Peddapore	169435	"	"	125187	1	8	43947	8	4

11th The current Peishcush has been credited in full for Cotah, but the balance of current Peishcush amounts to Rupees 4344-9-8 and 43947-8-4 in Ramachendrapooram and Peddapore respectively.

Vide from Govt 5th inst 29th June 1846.

15- Cotah, the Government are aware, has been ordered to be sold for its arrears. The balances due by Ramachendrapooram amount to Rupees 167547-3-7 and this Estate will be sold in Conformity with the orders of Government dated 14th April 1846. The arrears of Peishcush against Peddapore amount to Pr 160544-5-8.

Ramachendrapooram.

Arrears outstanding during Zemindar's Management	91553-8-5
Do Government Management	75993-11-2
Total	167547-3-7

Peddapore.

Arrears outstanding	116596-13-4
Current balances	43947-8-4
Total	160544-5-8

Fr. Govt 2d Jan 1846

as.

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

as the Collector is unable to hold out any expectation of a speedy realization of the outstanding amount the Board are constrained, under all circumstances to recommend the sale of the Estate to be purchased by Government in order that immediate and effective measures may be taken for its improvement. The past History of this Property conclusively shews that failure has been owing to extravagance and mismanagement and not to over assessment. The present

From Sir H. Montgomery Bart. Commissioner in Northern Circars. dated 31st Jany 1846. Para 38 at sequel

Zemindar Sooriya Narayana Sagapaty Ranze acquired possession at the close of July session at the close of July 1248. 1838/39 at the end of July 1249 1839/40 the Estate was attached for arrears when it was found that out of a collection of Rupees 17,332. the Proprietor had appropriated to his own use Rupees 7098. Fresh arrangements having been entered into with the Zemindar, the Management was restored to him, but before the end of July 1250 1840/41 it was again necessary to proceed to attachment and the Accounts on that occasion shewed that out of Rupees 15,910 Collected to the date of attachment the Zemindar had appropriated to private purposes Rupees 8,065 or more than one half of the amount

Collected

9993

Para 51-52.

Fort St. George 3d August 1840

collected. The Commissioner in the Northern Circars points out the impracticability [illegible] entering into terms with the Zemindar. It would be "he observes" "as always on former occasions" "has proved to have been, utterly vain, to expect "adherence to any engagements, however modified "for the liquidation of arrears and some confession "that no portion of those outstanding claims "recovered from him under any circumstances" The Commissioner does not question the "absolute" of the Zemindar, but thinks that there is not "slightest guarantee that his honesty equals his "ability." It is thus hopeless to look to the indiscriminate [illegible] administrative system under which [illegible] he acquired his right to [illegible] wish of the Government to [illegible] serve this remnant of the [illegible] Property in the possession of [illegible] family appears unattainable [illegible] for the arrears becomes a [illegible] and the alternative of sale for the arrears becomes a [illegible] amount obligation for the security of the revenue and the [illegible] parity of the Ryots.-

Note. The family were Servants or Managers to the "Reddywars" Deesmuks or farming Land holders." In the year 1734 they were "attainted and cut off" In 1750 the then Amildar Reamut ulla Khan "for the private consideration of Rupees 90000" conferred the Zemindary on Royapa- rauze- This individual was afterwards killed fighting on the side of the French against the British. He left a son Timmarauze 8 years old. The corruption of the times but in what particular way 'clever' loped is not known, favored the claims of Timmarauze to the succession.-

V. Report Page 665.-

16. The family is ancient but [illegible] appears to have particular claims [illegible] the liberality of Government. [illegible] lector will be instructed, if [illegible] Government are pleased to [illegible] of the course recommended above [illegible] suggest what would be a suitable permanent provision for the [illegible] day bearing in mind the other [illegible] whence he draws a subsistence [illegible] without reference to Colaur, a [illegible] at Rupees 27,163-8- which came [illegible] said to yield a permanent [illegible]

[illegible] asily liable to attachment and sale on the [illegible] of the Zemindar to discharge the Peishcush-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance.

Read

Fort St. George 3rd August 1840

Read the following letter.-

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request that printed Telugoo forms of the Muchilcas, in duplicate, which Abkarry Renters are authorized to take from persons placed by them in charge of Stills and Shops may be forwarded to this Office to the number of 8000 viz.

4,000 Muchilcas for Stills and

4,000 Do. for Shops.-

I further request to be informed of the price at which these forms will be furnished in order to enable me to realize the amount from the parties Concerned

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 9th July 1840

[illegible] Prendergast
Collector.

Resolution thereon-

(33-34)

The Board observe that it is not usual to supply from this Office printed forms of Muchilcas for the use of Abkarry Renters. A Telugoo translation of the forms+ should be given to each Renter, who should be required to have the number of Copies for which he may have occasion prepared at his own expense. The Collector will take care that these forms are duly prepared by the Renters and

+ Nos. 2 and 3. forwarded in the Board's letter of 29th July 1835.-

From Govt. to 4th January 1842. Para 1.
in Cons. 17th January

To --- Do. 10th March --
From Do. 11th April -- in Cons. 18th April
To --- Do. 13th June --
From Do. 1st July -- in Cons. 7th July.

and taken by them from the parties in charge of Stills and Shops.-

13th in Cons. 28th May 1846 1573.

Read again the following letter from the Collector of Masulipatam reporting on the settlement of the Deccy and Monegal Estates for Fusly 1254/1844-45.-

(35)

(Here enter No. 39)

Proceedings thereon-1.

Relative to the settlement of the Government Estates of Deccy and Monegal in the District of Masulipatam for Fusly 1254-1844-45.

The season of Fusly 1254/1844-45 was favorable for the wet lands. The Tanks received a supply of water from the Kistnah in the early part of the season and copious falls throughout the year were of much advantage for this description of Cultivation. The Jonna Crops on the other hand suffered from the heavy falls of rain, but the demand of Government experienced no diminution from this cause as the Dry lands were held on rent, the amount of which is payable under all circumstances without reference to variations of season. The increase in the cultivation of wet lands was

Fusly 1254/1844-45	C	V	D
	355	15	9½
1255/1845-46	85	5	10
	270	9	15½

2.

The Dry lands are settled on a quinquennial lease deductions being allowed for losses in consequence of the River Freshes. The sentiments of the Board regarding Rents for a series of years were recorded in their Proceedings on the settlement for fusly 1253/1843-44. The Report of the Collector is not very explicit in regard to

to the settlement of the wet lands for fusly 1254/1844-45. The Board conclude (see Para 9) that the Circar share of the Produce was rented to the Head Ryots according to an Estimate of the standing Crops and they trust that such measures were taken as effectually ensured to the Cultivators their respective shares.-

3 The total Demand for the year in comparison with the settlement of the preceding fusly is as follows.-

	Fusly 1253			1254			Increase			Decrease		
Wet lands	3,026	13	4	27,293	7	2	24,264	9	10	"	"	"
Dry lands	32,405	"	"	32,455	"	"	50	"	"	"	"	"
	35,433	13	4	59,748	7	2	24,314	9	10	"	"	"
Deduction	926	10	"	927	6	"	"	14	"	"	"	"
	34,507	3	4	58,820	15	2	24,313	11	10	"	"	"
Additions	1,827	"	2	2121	11	10	294	11	6	"	"	"
Settlement	36,334	3	6	60,942	11	"	24,606	7	0	"	"	"

4. The items composing the Deductions and additions do not call for remark.-

5. The Collections within the Fusly are not specified in the report but from the account Current No. 3 they amounted to Rupees 55,207-9-4 and Rupees 1,937-3-6 have been Collected since, leaving a Balance of Rupees 3797-14-2. Of this Rupees 3003-12-7- are

Settlement	60,942-11-"
Collections.	
To the end of the Fusly	55,207-9-4
Subsequently	1537-3-6
	57,144-12-10
	3797-14-2

9th Oct. 1845- Para 10.

are chiefly due by the quinquennial Renters and the amount it is stated will be collected hereafter from the resources of the Renters. The Board would point out that a punctual discharge of the amount of Rent is an important condition of the Contract otherwise the Rent presents the evils of a mere nominal compact and loss of Revenue is superadded to the objectionable and unsound Principle on which the Rents have been concluded. The quinquennial Renters are further in arrear to the extent of Rupees 5189-13-5 — on account of Fusly 1253/1843-44. The Board are aware that it will be pleaded that the out-turn of the Crops in both years was affected by the vicissitudes of the season. The Collector in enforcing the collections of the first year of the rent but held out the expectation that the Balance of that year would be collected afterwards. The Board do not wish to do more in this place than to point out the unsuccessful working of the lease during the two years it has been in

Para 13 Fusly 1254.

		Rs
Amount of Quinquennial Rent		32455--
Deduct-losses from the Encroachment of the River	892-10-9	
	31562-5-3.	

Balance of Fusly 1254 about Rupees 3000--

Report on the Settt. for Fusly 1253/1843-44 dated 7th June 1845 Para 14 in Lr. dated 19th June.

in operation relying on the exertions of the Collector to realize what is due.-

Para 10 6 The Balances due by the Ryots of the Estate amounts to Rupees 69,677-10-10 of which Rupees 46,766-11-9- accumulated during the Zemindars' Management and Rupees 11908-15-1 under that of the Collector.-

Para 15 and Statt. No. 4 7 The Amount credited on account of Peshcush for the year reported on was

	Rs
Peshcush	47,884---
Amount Credited.-	
within the Fusly	38906-3--
Subsequently	8870-0--
	47778-3--
Balance	106-13--

Rupees 47,778-3-- leaving a Balance of current Peshcush amounting to Rupees 106-13-- . The arrears of Peshcush at the Commencement of Fusly 1254/1844/45 amounted to Rupees 97901-11-7-0 sum of Rupees 9087- has been credited on this account - The present outstanding Balance as Peshcush Current and arrears is Rupees 88923-8-7--

Balance of Peshcush.-		
Current	106-13-0	
arrears	88814-11-7	
Total		88923-8-7

8. As both Wet and Dry lands were under rent during the year which has been reviewed the Collector will be pleased to explain in a separate letter why a charge

In account Current 9th Monegal.

Charge of Rupees 54-10-7 was incurred on account of Gratuitys.-

9 The affairs of Monegal are administered by the Manager - The Peishcush of Fusly 1254 had been discharged in full - a sum of Rupees 500 had been paid on account of the annual instalment of Rupees 2000 for the arrears and the remainder amounting to Rupees 1500 is in Course of liquidation - The sum payable annually to the Nizams Government on account of Poollary had also been discharged.-

10 The Collector has not yet furnished the information called for by Government both regarding Monegal and Deevy - The Board would call the attention of Mr. Porter to their requisition on this subject.-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance.-

Read

Extract Minutes Con.d 2d March 1840.
Paras 3-4-5. 13-14.
Inform.d 21st May 1840.
Pro: 21st May 1840.

Read the following Letter.-

To,
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(36)

From the Collr. of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the annual Statement of Tents and Extra Tent allowance drawn by the Collector and his Assistants in this Zillah during Fusly 1254, prepared agreeably to the form transmitted with the Deputy Secretarys' letter of the 22d. December 1828, and have to request that the Boards sanction may be obtained for the disburdement amounting to Company's Rupees 1509-0-0.-

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 7th July 1840.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector

Statement

10001

Fort St. George 3d

Statement Shewing the number of Tents possessed by
District of Madulipatam the number of Days in each
Public Service in July 1254 the names of Places at whic
remained at each place and the Amount of Extra Tent

			Days at each place	Rule and Amount of Extra Tent allowance
		1	2	
		1	1	
		12th D°	1	
	At Bezoarah	13th D°	1	
	At Condapully from	14th to 19th D°	6	
	At Cunchecaherlah	20th D°	1	
	At Nundegamah	21st D°	1	
August 31	At Sharemahomedpettah from	22d July to 10th August	20	
	At Nundegamah	11th and 12th August	2	
	At Cunchecacherlah	13th D°	1	
	At Condapully from	14th to 21st D°	8	
	At Ny Lavaram from	22d to 24th D°	3	
Sept.r 30	At Condapully from	25th Aug.t to 12th October	49	
October 31	At Vijeyanah pettah from	13th to 20th October	8	
November 30	At Condapully from	21st Oct.r to 18th Nov.r	29	
	At Bezoarah from	19th to 26th November	8	
	At Cunkepandoo	27th D°	1	
December 16	At Madoor from	28th Nov.r to 5th Dec.r	8	
	At Chullapully from	6th to 16th Dec.r	11	
1845 Jany 6	At Vurtullapully from	7th to 11th Jan.y 1845	5	
Feby 6	At Padanah from	31st Jan.y to 4th Feby	5	
	At Vurtullapully	5th and 6th Feby	2	
			173	At 2-10-0 per day = 454-2

Mr. J. A. Hunter acting Head Asst. Collector

1 Double Dole
1 Single D°

10002

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

1844 July 7	At Ellore from 1st to 9th July 1844		9
Octr. 21	At Canchapooram from 1st to 7th October		7
	At Chodavaram from 8th to 12th Do.		5
Novr. 30	At Goodewadah from 23d Octr. to 18th Novr.		27
	At Canmurroo 19th and 20th November		2
Decr. 22	At Madoor from 21st Novr. to 5th December		15
	At Challapully from 6th to 16th Do.		11
	At Ellore from 26th to 30th Do.		5
	At Bheemadole 31st Do.		1
1845 Jany. 31	At Nullacherlah 1st and 2d January 1845		2
	At Pungedy 3d Do.		1
	At Vezadwaram from 4th to 14th Do.		11
	At Yerranagoodem 15th Do.		1
	At Nullacherlah 16th Do.		1
	At Bheemadole 17th Do.		1
	At Ellore from 18th to 20th Do.		3
February 25	At Tungellamoody from 21st Jany. to 3d Feby.		14
	At Gollapully 4th February		1
	At Noozeed from 5th to 9th Do.		5
	At Somavaram from 10th to 12th Do.		3
	At Chintalapoody from 13th to 18th Do.		6
	At Ragapore 19th Do.		1
	At Chanoobundah 20th Do.		1
	At Tirwoor from 21st to 23d Do.		3
	At Innagadapah 24th and 25th Do.		2
		138	

At 2-10 Rs a pr. day = 362-4

Total Rupees 1509-6

Mr. E. M. Bird, Assistant Collector — 2 Single Pole Tents

Madulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 7th July 1846 -

E. E. Y. Co. /Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 3d. August 1840

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply and a copy of the same be sent to the Civil Auditor.-

To the Collector of Masulipatam.-

(36-37)

To the Collector of Masulipatam

Inform. 3d. augt. 1840.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 9th Ultimo No. 54 Submitting a statement of Extra tent allowance for Fasly 1254 and to convey sanction for the amount drawn by yourself and Subordinates for the number of days noted in the margin.-

	No. of days
Mr. R. T. Porter Collector	132-
Mr. L. A. Hunter acting 2d. Asstt.	173-
Mr. E. W. Bird Assistant	136-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d. August 1840

I have &c.
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.-

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(38)

From the Collr. of Guntoor

Sir,

1. With reference to my letter of the 25th May I have the honor to announce for the information of the Board that I have this day received from the Suttanapully Zemindar the

Fort St. George 3d. August 1840.

the required agreement relinquishing in favor of Government all demands upon his Subtenants.-

2 He assigns as the reason for hitherto delaying the execution of the document his dissatisfaction at the arrangement made for the support of his Mother whereby his allowance had been reduced to a level with that of the Rapully Zemindar, whose Peishcush is only $\frac{3}{4}$ths of that of Suttanapully - It remains for the Board to determine whether Mannoor Vencata Gopaulrow should at once be admitted to share in the remission of the Balance of Peishcush contemplated by Government - His conduct on this occasion has been quite in keeping with the unprincipled and refractory tenor of his previous proceedings.-

Guntoor Zillah
Collectors Cutcherry
Ponnoor 25th June 1840

I have &c.
(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

(38-39)

To the Collr. of Guntoor

To the Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your

Fort St. George 3d August 1840

Copy to Rev. Bd. 3d Aug. 1840

your letter of the 25th June last. re=
=porting that you had on that day
received from the Suttanapilly Zemin=
=dar the required agreement relin=
=quishing in favor of Government all
demands upon his subtenants.-

The reasons assigned by
the Zemindar for his having so long
delayed the execution of this instrument
are certainly far from satisfactory, but
in recommending the remission of
the balances of Peishcush due by the
Guntoor Proprietors the Board are
not under all the circumstances dis=
=posed to make an exception in his
case. To enable them therefore to make
the necessary application to Govern=
=ment the Board request that you
will furnish them with a Statement
shewing the arrears of Peishcush as
outstanding against each of the
Zemindars calculated up to the day
(1st April last) on which the Estates
were sold and inclusive of Interest and
Commission - if these items have hitherto
been brought to account against the
Proprietors as also of any Charges
which should properly be placed
to their debit up to the same

Fort St. George 3d August 1840

same date.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d August 1840

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letters.-

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

40

From the Collr Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to report
the death on the 19th ultimo of the Ro=
=zendar Hafsain Shaw attendant at the
Hashoor Khana in Guntoor who used
in the receipt of a Monthly pension
of Ps 4-13-0 as shabee the Motherinlaw of
the deceased has applied for the
continuance of the allowance in her
name. This pensioner's case forms
item No. 9/1 in the orders of Govern=
=ment under date 14th October last
with reference to which I solicit
orders as to the continuance of the
Rozeno.-

Guntoor
Collector's Cutcherry
13th July 1840

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon- Ordered to be sent again
in Circulation.-

41-41

To

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)

From the Collr. of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter of the 15th Ultimo with the accompanying Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 6th April and its enclosure together with a Form of Statement for information required by the Board on the subject of the landed endowments of Religious Institutions at present under the Management of the Officers of Government which are to be transferred to their Trustees.-

Furnishing the information called for in the Board's Circular letter of 15th June regarding the transfer of endowments of religious institutions to the Management of trustees and recommending the employment of surplus funds in providing permanent supply of water in Guntoor.-

2.

In this District there are only three inconsiderable Villages to which these instructions apply, all in the Talook of Damalmadica and Lingapoorum Chowdry assigned to the temple at Macherla and Singarootla to a temple at that place as stated in the Statement for these Villages in the prescribed. Form is now transmitted as required.

3

It does not appear to me that in transferring the management of these lands to the Dhermacurta

any additional security beyond the existing Regulations, is required for the protection of the Rayets. They will be in the same position as the Rayets of other Surwa Agraharum Villages who experience no particular difficulty in maintaining their rights.- I would however recommend that in the case of the Macherla Pagoda, the head of the Village be ex-officio a joint trustee with the present Dhermacurta, and that they should execute a document declaring the obligation under which the Trustees undertake the charge of the endowment.-

4. There are no works of irrigation to be maintained in these Villages.-

5.- The surplus funds belonging to these Institutions now in deposit amount to Rupees 7686-7-3 as shewn in the Margin, With respect to their appropriation the Ameen represents that about 1000 Rupees are required for the repairs of the Pagoda at Macherla, and that a sum is also required for Singarootla, but he does not state how much.-

To the end of June 1840-	
Macherla	4728-13-4
Singarootla	3957-10-3.
	7686-7-3.

The

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

6.- The objects of general utility with reference to local interests, which have been thought of, for the employment of these Funds in the Palmanair are, The planting of Topes, Improvement of irrigation, construction of a choultry at Pondigul. The repair of the Hyderabad road, and the Endowment of a school. From the report of the Ameen however it does not appear that importance is attached by him to the two first, as the repair of the road from Pondigul to Naikrekal tho' highly desirable, is perhaps a legitimate object of public cost a choultry at Pondigul would be useful in the rainy season when travellers are liable to be detained on the south bank of the Kristna, but at other times it does not seem to be much required, as there is a choultry at Datchapilly 8 miles South, and a large Serai at Warapilly on the North bank, a school, if it comprised Christian instruction would be the most beneficial way of employing the funds but that would probably be considered to be at variance with the designs of the endowment.-

7.

If it be not inconsistent

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

with the limitation as to local interests implied in the orders of Government, I would recommend as an unexceptionable and highly benevolent mode of appropriation of the Funds to use them as far as required in providing a permanent supply of water for the consumption of the Town of Guntoor in the dry seasons. The distress felt on these occasions, and they are of frequent recurrence, is intense, as two of the Members of the Board can testify from personal observation. There is reason to think that water may be obtained throughout the year from Springs, distant about 2 miles, by pipes or an aqueduct, the difficulty to be overcome is an intervening eminence requiring the water to be raised about twenty feet above the surface at the springs. In many countries wind-Mills are successfully employed for the purpose of raising water, and I am not aware of any insuperable obstacle to their introduction in this Country, and one might answer the purpose in this case, If the Board concur with me generally as to the appropriateness of this project, the Civil Engineer might be called on to report on it in detail, as regards feasibility and cost.-

Guntoor
Coll[r]s Cutcherry,
25th July 1846

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

Endowments under

Rent to the Circar		Entire Villages
Deduct Quit Rent	Remaining	Names of the Villages
7	8	9
		Ligatur Khandah of Pachak
3 137	118 125	"
3 137	118 125	"

3d August 1846.

Management of the Officers of Government in the

Villages partly alloted to the Pagodas				Total		
Deduct Circar Share	Remaining	Number of Villages	Deduct Quit Rent or value of Circar share	Remaining		
Beriz for Fusly 1254				Beriz for Fusly 1254		
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
445 2 2	19 4 "	425 14 2	2	1209 11 2	19 4 "	1190 7 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	1	122 10 "	3 13 7	118 12 5
445 2 2	19 4 "	425 14 2	3	1332 5 2	23 1 7	1309 3 7

100,12

Fort St. George

3rd August 1846.

District of Guntoor and their Revenue for Fasly 1254.

Lands

Survey Enam or rent Free			Paying Quit Rent to the Circar				Total					
Extent of land	Assessment	Settlement of Fasly 1254	Extent of land	Assessment	Settlement of Fasly 1254	Deduct Quit Rent	Remaining	Extent of land	Assessment	Settlement of Fasly 1254	Deduct Quit Rent	Remaining
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29

Total of Columns 16 and 29	Fees &c. tanta-remo Marakoyeas collected by the Circar on account of Pagoda &c.	Total
30	31	32
1,90,72	" " "	1,90,72
118125	" " "	118125
1,309 37	" " "	1,309 37

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry 25th July 1846

Signed/ H. Stokes

Collector

L.B.

Order thereon (42 43) Ordered to lie on the Table.
Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(44)

Sir,

From the Collr of Bellary - - - - - In Fusly 1238 A.D. 1828/29 the Shrotriem: =dar of a Cayem Jodee Village Dasarumpully in the Talook of Dhurmavorum of this District by name Vencatanarsimmah Charry represented to the late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson that he was unable to liquidate the Jodee or Quit Rent due to the Circar in consequence of the Village not yielding even half of the amount of the Jodee itself. Mr. Robertson resumed the Village according to the Shrotriemdar's Request, and reported the Circumstance to the Board in the 18th Para of the Summary report of the said fusly which a reference to that document will shew-

2 The son of the Shrotriem: =dar named Streenevasacharry appeared in fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 before the late Sub Collector Mr. Petty (in whose Division the Village is situated) and applied for the restoration of the Village on Condition of his discharging the Establishd: Quit-Rent =ed Jodee and offering a competent Security

Forwarding documents (with translation) produced by the former

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

security for the fulfilment of his agreements-
Mr. Pelly in consequence made a reference to me
on the subject vide his letter dated 1st December 1845
giving it as his opinion that the request of
of the applicant might be granted as a
temporary measure for the space of 3 years
to ascertain during that period whether
the Claimant is able to keep to his
terms and pay the Sodee regularly that
when satisfied on this point application
might at the expiration of that period be made
to the Board to confirm the restoration of the
Village in question. I accordingly agreed to the
arrangement to give Streenevasacharry a
fair trial-

3 On the 24th September 1845 the Dr
acting Sub Collector Mr. Molle report-
-ed to me that during the past 3 years
Viz. from fusly 1252 to 1254 Streenevasacharry
A D 1842/43 1844/45
had fulfilled the terms having punctually
discharged the Sodee due to Government
that he brought Ryots from the Mysore
Territory to the Cultivation and re-
-stored at his own expense certain
ruined wells and that the Cultiva-
-tion actually increased as Com-
-pared with the years between fusly
1239 and 1251 during which period
A D 1829/30 & 1841/42

the

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

the Village was under Amanie, and in Con-
-clusion, recommended as Streenevasacharry has
offered good security to the Circar for the full
† Lieut. Reid: amount of Sody Canteray Pagodas 21 that the Village
be finally restored to him from the Current
fusly 1255
A D 1845/46
4 The Village has always been
a Cayem Sodee Village- It was only from
the inability of the Shrotriemdar to pay the
Sodee that he of his own accord tendered to the
late Principal Collector in fusly 1239 his resig-
A D 1829/30
-nation of the Village and there appears no
other ground for such a proceeding- That
his Heir the present claimant did im-
-prove the Village according to his proposal
is evident-

Total Ayacut-117-
Cayem Sodee
paid to Govt.
per Annum
since the
cession of the
Country up to
fusly 1238-61-
Amount
Pariz under
Amanie
from fusly 1239
as follows-
fusly 1239- 26.
1240- 26
1241- 20
1242- 20
1243- 22
1244- 45
1245- 45
1246- 42
1247- 41
1248- 39
1249- 35
1250- 43
1251- 43

5 The Ayacut of the Village
the Cayem Sodee paid to Government
when it was in the possession of the
Shrotriemdar, the yearly Pariz while under
Amanie and under the Management of the
heir of the Shrotriemdar the present Claimant
are specified in the Margin, by which the Board
will perceive, that it is beneficial to Government
to make over the Village to the Shrotriem-
-dar's heir rather than to Continue
it under Circar Management-

On

10917

Fort St. George 3d August 1846-

Cayem Jodee Collected under Shrotriendars from Streenevasa-charry — Management from fusly 1252.-

Fusly 1252 61-4-u
1253-61-4-u
1254-61-4-u

* Original Grantee named in the Summud Streenevasa Charry-

1 His Son Venca-tamurs umma-charry.

1 His Grand son Streenevasa-charry present Claimant-

6 On a reference to the Registers of Enams submitted to the Board by a former Collector Mr. Chaplin in his letter of the 30th May 1818 it would appear that this Village was originally granted by one Rayah Go-paul Naid of the Raidroog Sunistanum in A.D. 1754 to be enjoyed hereditarily as Surma Maneyend or rent free. In the year A.D. 1786 it was continued on a reduced Bariz (during the time of Tippoo Sultan) to the termination of the Mahomedan Government in A.D 1799 and the same Bariz was fixed as Cayem Jodee at the cession of the country-

Streenevasacharry produced

* No 1

7 the Enclosed Paper as being the Deed of Gift granted by the Raidroog Sunstanum for the said Village to his Grand Father Streenevasacharry, but does not know whether it is the original or a Copy and states, that it has always been in the possession of his ancestors- His on being Compared with the Copy in the Records of this Office obtained from the Shotriendar (when all title deeds in the possession of the holders of Enams &c. in this Distr-

10918

Fort St. George 3d August 1846-

were transcribed in fusly 1223/ A.D 1813/14/ Correspondence in every particular- an English translation of the document is herein enclosed- Besides the above document the Puttahs issued every year to the Shrotriendar during Colonel Munro's time or from fusly 1212 to 1217 A.D 1802/3 1807/8 for the Cayem Jodee Canteroy Pagodas 21 or Company's Rs. 61-4-u are likewise forthcoming-

8 Under these Circumstances I request the Board will Comply with the desire of the Claimant Streenevasacharry-

Bellary Collector's office
20th June 1846- - - -

(Signed) A. Meller
Collr.

No 1

Translate of a Summud or Grant of a Village issued by Dalavoy Raja Gopaul Naid of Raidroog to Streenevasacharry-

On the 15th day of moon of the month of Sravana in the year Bhavah corresponding with the year 1675 of Salevahana, I Raja Gopaul Naid of the race Achuta Gotrum and Grand son of Sreemum Maharaja Rajastree Dalavoy Summapah Naid and son of Veneatapaty Naid do hereby give &c. Village grant out of Charity to you Streenevasacharry (of the race of &c. Mouna Bhargava Gotrum and of the Sootra of Asualayana and of

Ruckshaka

(44-45)

To the Chief Secry to Govt. submitting a letter from the Colr. of Bellary reporting on the subject of the Cayem Sodee Village of Dasarumpully in that District & recommending its restoration to Streenevasacharry the grandson of the original grantee.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address-

To the Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Bellary reporting on the subject of a Cayem Sodee Village in that district and recommending its restoration to Streenevasacharry the grandson of the original Grantee on the terms upon which it was formerly held by his family-

2 The Village of Dasarumpully in question appears to have been granted by the Raidroog Sumstanum as a Service Inam in the year A.D. 1754 to Streenevasacharry and to have been subsequently continued by the Mahomedan Government on a reduced Peiz of C. Pagodas 21 per annum, which amount was also fixed as the Sodee on the ultimate cession of the Country to the Company. Upon these terms the Village was held by the grantees until Fusly 1238 when Venkatanarsinha Chary the son of the first incumbent voluntarily solicited its resumption by the Principal Collector as the receipts of

25th Govt. in Cons. 3d Jany 1831.

Para 18-

of the Village had for many years fallen considerably below the amount of Quit rent with which it was charged, this proposal being acceded to by the Principal Collector Mr. Robertson, was duly brought to the notice of the Board in the settlement report for Fusly 1239-

3 In pursuance of this arrangement the land remained under dumany Management until Fusly 1251 when an application was made to the Collector by the present claimant Streenevasacharry for the restoration to himself of the Village relinquished by his late Father, and on due security being offered for the discharge of the full amount annually payable to Government he was allowed to enter on possession as a temporary measure for three years with the prospect of eventual confirmation at the expiration of that period should the fulfilment of his engagement warrant a favorable application being submitted to the Board on his behalf-

4 The term of probation having now expired Mr. Meller remarks that the incumbent has punctually discharged his payments, and has

Succeeded

succeeded in developing the resources of the Village by collecting Ryots from Mysore and repairing at a personal outlay the neglected means of irrigation, and as the security offered for the future payment of the full Sodee is good, he solicits permission to restore the lands to Mreenenasa: =charry permanently from the current Fusly.

5. The Board are of opinion that it was irregular to allow the applicant to have possession without the previous consent of Government, but under the circumstances set forth they instruct me to state that they see no objection to adopt the course proposed by the Collector and as the transfer will be advantageous to Government in the increased amount which will thereby be secured as compared with the Revenue results of the Amrany Management particularized in the marginal entry annexed to para 5 of the Collectors letter, they beg to support his recommendation that the Village should be at once restored to the Shotriendars.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George -
3d August 1846 -

(Signed) Tu Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Read the following letters.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(46)

From the actg. Collr. of Chingleput

I am acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 16th April last, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that there is only the sum of Rupees 77-3-1 in deposit on account of the Dannastanum of Teravercaud up to Fusly 1257- since which period the fixed annual allowance of 350 Rupees has been paid in full to the Dummaburtah - His Goomastah the Petitioner seems to consider the Pagoda entitled to the surplus revenue of the Shrotriem Village formerly belonging to it, but that Village was resumed by Government many years since and a fixed money allowance granted as above stated.

+ vide Extract of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 8th June 1835.

He Petition No. 391 of 1846 which accompanied your letter is herewith returned.

Chingleput District -
Collectors Cutcherry -
Pullecarney 10th July 1846 -

(Signed) Co. Matthy
Actg. Collr.

Resolved

10025 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Resolution thereon. (4647) Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 391 of 1846.

From the reports of the acting Collector it appears that the Shatriem Village within referred to was resumed many years since and a proceedable allowance of Rupees 350 per annum for the support of the Pagoda granted in lieu of it. which allowance is regularly paid. The Request of the Petitioned cannot therefore be complied with.—

To

10026 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue.
Fort St. George,

Sir,

(48) From Acting Coll. of Chingleput ..... Enclosure A.

I have the honor to forward a further statement of Mootahs which have been attached for arrears of Revenue due for fusly 1255, and request that the Board of Revenue will sanction the Establishment proposed for their Management.—

Chingleput District —
Collectors Cutcherry
Pallecarney 20th. July 1846

(Signed) E. Maltby
Acting Collector

Statement

10,025

10027

Resolution

(4647)

Statement of Estates attached in the Fasli

August 1846.

Kingleput for Fasly 1255.

1 Nos of the Zemindaries or Estates.	2 Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	3 Nos of the Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	4 Permanent Summum of the entire Zemindary or Estate	5 Amount of Kist due up to June 1846	6 Amount Collected.	7 Balance.	8 Nos of the Villages proposed to be attached.	9 Actual or estimated annual Value of the proportion proposed to be attached.	10 Establishment proposed to be Employed for the attachment.		11 Percentage of Establishment to the estimated annual Value of the portion of the Estate attached.	12 Date of the attachment.	13 Remarks.
			Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.		Rs. a p.		Rs. a p.			
1. Peroonaly	Vencata Reddy Lutchoomana Reddy	1	488 4 10	488 4 10	386 14 -	101 6 11	1	488 4 10	1. Sumeenah and Mooshuruff	7 4 "			
2. Velungavoor	Naugulla Anunda Row	1	143 12 9	143 12 9	84 - 9	59 12 -	1	143 12 9	Mooshuruff				
									1. Peon	3 "			
3. Toondam Vellary	Sorayoor Ramasawmy Naik. Pedda Iyaloo Naik & Chinna Iyaloo Naik	1	117 3 6	117 3 6	74 2 "	43 1 6	1	117 3 6	Sanderwared	" 8 "			
		3	749 5 1	749 5 1	545 - 9	204 4 4	3	749 5 1		10 8 "	1 - 6 - 5	13th July 1846.	
4. Paundoor	Coonuttoor Pundaray Moodely	1	1,024 7 7	1,024 7 7	825 5 9	199 1 10	1	1,024 7 7.					
5. Peroombadoo	Wallajahbad Suburdeensaib	1	492 1 10	492 1 10	251 " "	241 1 10	1	492 1 10	1. Sumeenah	10 8.			
6. Caroomara pauckum	Coonuttoor Armooga Moodely	1	102 - 5	102 - 5	6 " -	96 - 5	1	102 - 5	1. Mooshuruff	7 " .			
									1. Peon	3 " .			
7. Eachuncarny	Polechaloor Ramalinga Moodely	1	157 10 2	157 10 2	58 " "	99 10 2	1	157 10 2	Sanderward	" 8 "			
8. Amanambackum	Nittum Ponnambala Chetty	1	390 12 8	390 12 8	316 14 9	73 13 11	1.	390 12 8.					
		5.	2,164 " 8	2,164 - 8.	1,457 4 6	709 12 2	5.	2,164 " 8.		21 " "	15 - 6.	Do.	Do.

10028

Fort St. George.

3d. August 1846.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due up to June 1846.	Amount Collected.	Balance.
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
9. Coomuttoor	Ramanattan (died)	1	502. 6	502. 6	400 5 9	101 10 9
10. Bommaraja Pooram	Singa Iyengar Sashadre Iyengar Ragavacharry	1	409 8 1	409 8 1	272 11 3	136 9 5
		2	911 8 7	911 8 7	673 4 5	238 4 2
11. Suttoopauc Kum.	Tantoputty Bauboorow	2	103 7 4	103 7 4	61 . .	42 7 4
12. Noogumbauc Kum	Tantoputty Bauboorow	1	540 . .	540 . .	293 4 5	246 11 7
13. Malagharam	Periyattoor Teroomalay Ca. Chambady Soon. Dracharry	2	1951 .	1951 .	150 . .	451 .
		5	838 8 4	838 8 4	504 4 5	333 9 11
14. Carangauly	Yacattoor Teroovengada Mos. Dely (died)	1	187 3 7	187 3 7	71 . .	116 3 7
15. Veppattoor	Mr. T. W. Nailer	2	367 12 9	367 12 9	139 . .	228 12 9
16. Puttamunjy	Mr. T. W. Nailer	3	2,028 12 9	2,028 12 9	1,583 13 3	444 15 6
		6	2,583 13 1	2,583 13 1	1,793 13 3	789 15 10

No. of the Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or estimated annual Value of the proportion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed for the attachment.		Percentage of the Establishment to the estimated annual Value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
8	9	10		11	12	13
	Rs. a p					
1	502. 6	1. Sumeenah and Mooshuruff	7 . .			
1	409 8 1	1 Peon	3 . .			
		Sauderward	. 8 .			
2	911 8 7		10 8 .	1 . . 5	13th July 1846.	
2	103 7 4	1. Sumeenah and Mooshuruff	7 . .			
1	540 . .	1. Tarufdar	5 . .			
2	195 1 .	1. Peon	3 . .			
		Sauderward	. 8 .			
5	838 8 4		15 8 .	1 . 13. 6	Do.	Do.
1	187 3 7	1. Sumeenah	10 8 .			
		1. Mooshuruff.	7 . .			
2	367 12 9	1. Tarufdar	5 . .			
3	2,028. 12 9	1. Peon	3 . .			
		Sauderward	. 8 .			
6	2,583 13 1		26 . .	1 . . 1	Do.	Do.

10029

Fort St. George

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to June 1846	Amount Collected	Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
17. Perumbaukum	Moulave Mooty Syed Mahomed Abdool Vadoodeen Macave Saib and Abdool Ayash Goolam Yayayum Macave Saib	1	1988 5 3	1988 5 3	527 4 11	1460 6 4
18 Caucaloor	Madurapaukum Cundapachetty	1	966 3 7	966 3 7	763 . .	203 3 7
19. Maumbalum	Streepermatoor Venkatarayasa Reddy	4	2,233 4 7	2,233 4 7	1,345 2 3	888 2 4
20. Teroomalaraja-poorum	Eachumbaudy Venkatacharry	1	254 10 10	254 10 10	188 . .	66 10 10
Total		28	12,692 12 .	12,692 12 .	7,318 6 6	4,874 5 6

Chinglepat District Collector's Cutcherry
Pullacarney 20th July 1846 ..... E. E. Y/5.

3o August 1846.

1	9	Established proposed to be Employed for the Attachment. 10	11	12	13
		1. Annuvanah — 10 8 .			
		1. Moodeliaff — 1 . .			
		1. Tarufdar — 5 . .			
1	1988 3 3	1. Peon — 3 . .			
		Sauderward — . 8 .			
		26 . . 1 - 4 - 11	13th July 1846		
		1. Annuvanah — 7 . .			
1	966 3 7	1. Peon — 3 . .			
		Sauderward — . 8 .			
		10 8 . . 1 - 1 - 4	Do.	Do.	
		1. Annuvanah — 8 . .			
		1. Moodeliaff — 3 . .			
4	2233 4 7	1. Peon — 3 . .			
		Sauderward — . 8 .			
		11 8 . . 8 - 4	Do.	Do.	
1	254 10 10				
28	12,692 12 .	. 131 8 .			

The Balance on this Mootah being small it has been placed under the superintendence of the same Annuvanah employed for the Mootah of Chittracandan Vo attached and entered in my statement dated 18th May 1846.

(Signed) E. Maltby Coll.

Resolution

10030 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

(4849)

Resolution thereon. The Board

sanction the Establishment

Amounting to Rupees 131-8-

per Mensem for the

Management of

the Estates under attach=

=ment as specified

the Statement which accom=

=panies the foregoing letter.

Read

10031 Fort Saint George 3d. August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(50)

Sir.

From the Asst. Collector of North Arcot

Para 1. I have the honor to Acknow=ledge the receipt of your letter dated 9th Instant requiring me to explain in what Villages and under what Circumstances it was found necessary to grant remission on account of Poonjah Shavy in Fusly 1254 the indulgence not being considered in accordance with the usual practice in this District.

2. I have the honor accordingly to forward a Statement of the Villages in which the remission was granted shew=ing the amount remitted in each Village. The total account and Rupees 162-11-7 granted as Remission. The indulgence was granted only where the Shavy was ex=tensive generally exceeding the harvest and the Ryots were unable to bear entire loss.

3. No remission was granted on Poonjah shavee in Fusly 1253 the season having been very favorable but the ac=companying Memorandum will shew that it is not unusual to allow the Remission, when the seasons and other Cir=cumstances are such as to render it necessary. I would beg to submit that it should not be entirely discontinued. There are many advantages in granting relief at once in preference to carrying to account what the Ryot is unable to pay and must ultimately be written off as an irrecoverable balance.

Forwards a Statement of the Villages in which Poonjah Shavy remission was granted to=gether with a Memorandum in explanation that it is not unusual to grant the re=mission under the Circumstances set forth.

Signed/ E. C. Lovell Asst. Collector.

North Arcot Collrs Cutcherry Chittoor 14th July 1846.

Statement

10030 Fort St. George 3. August 1846.

(48-49)

Resolution thereon. The Board

sanction the Establishment

Amounting to Rupees 131-8-

- per Memorandum for the

Management of

the Estates under attach=

=ment as specified

in the Statement which accom=

=panies the foregoing letter

Read

10031 Fort Saint George 3d. August 1846.

Read the following letter.-

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(50)

Sir.

From the Asst. Collector of North Arcot

Para 1. I have the honor to Acknow=ledge the receipt of your letter dated 9th. Instant requiring me to explain in what Villages and under what circumstances it was found necessary to grant remission on account of Poonjah Shavy in Fusly 1254 the indulgence not being considered in accordance with the usual practice in this District.-

2. I have the honor accordingly to forward a statement of the Villages in which the remission was granted shew=ing the amount remitted in each Village.- The total amount of Poonjah shavee brought to notice was Rupees 275-4-3 of which Rupees 112-8-8 was carried to account and Rupees 162-11-7 granted as Remission.- The indulgence was granted only where the shavy was ex=tensive generally exceeding the harvest and the Ryots were unable to bear entire loss.-

3. No remission was granted on Poonjah shavee in Fusly 1253 the season having been very favorable but the ac=companying memorandum will shew that it is not unusual to allow the remission, when the seasons and other cir=cumstances are such as to render it necessary.- I would beg to submit that it should not be entirely discontinued. There are many advantages in granting relief at once in preference to carrying to account what the Ryot is unable to pay and must ultimately be written off as an irrecoverable balance.-

Signed/ E. C. Lovell Asst. Collector.

Statement

North Arcot Collrs. Cutcherry Chittoor 14th. July 1846.

Court of Exchequer, 9th August 1846.

Before the Lord Chief Baron Pollock and a Special Jury.

Cause tried 1854 in Middlesex.

Plaintiff's Counsel — Defendant's Counsel

Damages £ — Costs £

Jury — Witnesses for Defendant

	£	s.	d.

10033 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

No. 2.

Statement exhibiting the Remissions granted in Certain Fusilies on account of Poonjah Shavy in North Arcot.

Fuslies.	No. in the Summa Remission Kundy State actually ment. A	Amount granted. Rs a p
1242	862	121 7 9 ..2
1243	924	2,025 14 5
1244	247	165 15 7
1245	924	74 7 2
1247	924	226 1 9
1250	948	1 15 1
1251	948	7 12 3
1252	948	31 12 4

North Arcot, ...
Coll.rs Cutcherry .....
Chittoor 14th July 1846.

C. C. Gr.x (Signed) C. C. Lovell
Asg. Collector

Ordered

10034 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Read again also Letter &c. from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 15th June 1846 reporting on the Settlement of that District for fusly 1254/1844/45

(50-51)

Proceedings thereon (Here enter No. 67)

Relative to the Settlement of the District of North Arcot for Fusly 1254 A.D. 1844/45

1 In the early part of the Season the rains were light & and partial. In September the Tanks received a small supply of water. In the important month of November and till the 13th December no rain fell. For some days after the 13th December rain fell heavely & and all the reservoirs were filled.

10,032

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Amount.

Comparison.

Fasly 1253 Fasly 1254 Amount Decreased

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Gross Land Revenue for the year according to the Calculation

Deduction

Net Land Revenue for the year

Add Balance of Land Revenue and Sayer

Amount

Add Remissions and Coolwannies

Total Demand

Add Payments to the Revenue Servants

Total Collections

Deduct Collections on account of Balance of former years

Balance remaining and Sayer &c.

Add Payments to the Revenue Servants &c.

Collections of Balance

Total Net Land Revenue

10,032

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Para 12 to 28

4. The usual deductions are specified in these Paras and with the exception of Poonjah Shary do not call for particular remark remission on account of Poonjah Shary is not generally customary. The Acting Collector having been called upon to explain under what circumstances it was given has furnished a statement to shew that a similar indulgence has been given on former occasions. It will be seen that with the exception of Fasly 1253/1843/44 when no remission was found to be necessary, very inconsiderable sums have been occasionally allowed. In Fasly 1242/1832/33 a large remission was granted amounting to Rupees 121759-11-2 but that year was one of extensive failure and and Remission was given under special circumstances. The indulgence is not usual in other Districts except when specially allowed and the Board request that a similar practice may be observed in North Arcot.

From Acting Coll. 14th July 1846. In Cons 3d Aug. 1846.

Para 32.

5. The Demand Collection and Balance of the whole Land Revenue of the District including Zemindary are as follows-

	Land Revenue.	Zemindary Peishcush	Total.
Total Demand	14,97,101-5-6	4,60,983-7-3	19,58,085-12-9
Collections within the Fasly	14,27,608-8-7	4,60,000-13-11	18,77,809-11-6
	69,592-16-11	982-12-4	60,275-12-3
Subsequent Collections to 10th June 1846	57,126-14-2	982-12-4	58,079-6-6
Balance	2,466-1-9		2,466-1-9

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

6.

Of the foregoing Balances Rupees 709-13-10. are recoverable or doubtful and Rupees 1756-3-11. are stated to be irrecoverable. Of the last mentioned portion Rupees 152-3-11 are due by certain Shrotriemdars. The Board await the separate report on the subject of these Shrotriems when the recommendation of the Acting Collector to write off the Balance under this head will be taken into consideration. The remaining irrecoverable Balances consists of amount due by poor Ryots- Rs. a. p.

Do. emigrated	1170-2-9
Do. Dead	260-9-5
Enam Sadigy on land which has been given up	15-10-2
amount erroneously included in the Summalundy	19-13-6
	137-11-2
	1604-"-"

7.

The explanation afforded by the Acting Collector regarding the foregoing items is satisfactory and the Board beg to recommend that sanction may be given for writing off the amount Rupees 1604-"-" in the public accounts. Mr. Lovell will report specifically whether the land on which Rupees 19-13-6- are due as quit rent has been incorporated

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

rated with lands paying full Revenue.

From Govt. dated 21st Jan. Cons 26th June 1845.

8.

The irrecoverable balances of former years to the close of Fasly 1252 (1842/43.) have been written off under the sanction of Government. The Balances to the end of Fasly 1253 (1843/44.) according to the last Returns made to this office amount to Rupees 107042-6-10. The Acting Collector has not alluded to these on the present occasion. He will be pleased to report upon them separately at an early date in view to their final adjustment.

Demand Collection Balance Statt for May.

Zemindary	94492-15-2
Amany	12549-7-8
	107042-6-10

Tanks	795
River Channels	54
Spring Do.	208
Anicuts	32
Total	1089

9.

In Para 31 of his report Mr. Lovell enumerates the works of irrigation out of repair drawn from Columns 82. 85. 88. and 94 of the General Statement A and states that "many of these appear to have been of considerable "value and to have been unattended "to from the insufficiency of the "Establishment and the arrangements "in the Department". The loss of "Revenue" it is stated "must have been

"been considerable" and the whole "subject" it is added "seems deserv" "ing the Board's consideration in "the Department of Public works" The Board are dissatisfied that so important a subject should be alluded to in this cursory manner, particularly if loss of Revenue from inattention has been so considerable as is here alleged, and they desire that Mr. Lovell will report specially in the proper Department to what works his remarks have reference their present condition, under what circumstances and since what date they have been thus neglected and on the loss of Revenue which has ensued in each case - Mr. Lovell's attention is called to the papers noted in the Margin from which he will observe that portion of the subject, Viz: the restoration of certain ancient works has not escaped attention.

Official Diary of the Civil Engineer for August 1845 Page 14. Bamasamoodrum Tank. Appendix No 4. Restoration of the Pulliecondah Tank.

Para 36. 10. Referring to the remarks of the acting Collector regarding the pro-

mode of conducting the Summahundy it will be sufficient to express the wish of the Board that the accounts shall not be closed with the aid of the Curnums and Monigars alone, without allowing each individual Ryot full opportunity of knowing before hand and of discussing freely the demand against him - Thus the Chittah, on which under the orders of the Board is to be signed by each Ryot, whether prepared before or after the arrival of the general body of the Ryots at the Summahundy Cutchery when the Collector is present, is to be fully explained and discussed, and all objections answered before it is finally closed. The observations of the acting Collector on that it has been customary for "the Sa" "rpdars and other Talook officers to "make a preliminary examination and "settlement after which the Ryots attend" "ed and received their Puttahs" appears to have reference to the examination, on /adangal/ of the accounts of cultivation rendered in the first instance by the Curnums - The adangal examination, whereby

Board's Proc. on Settlement of Fasly 1253 - 6th. February 1845 - Concluding part of Para 17.

the

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

the accuracy of the Curnums accounts is tested by the personal inspection of the Tahsildars and their subordinate officers has always been usual as a necessary preliminary to the settlement to be made by the Collector. The acting Collector appears to be under an erroneous impression, in supposing it to have been also usual for "the Sarofdars and other Talook officers "to make a preliminary settlement."

Mem. Cons 28th June in Cons 14th July 1845. Paras 9 & 10.

11. In regard to the remarks of Government on this subject as noted in the Margin, the Board would observe that as a Ryotwar settlement strictly requires that each individual should have the opportunity of discussing the demands against him, their instructions were directed to a due observance of this important rule in North arcot, in substitution of the irregular practice of closing the accounts with the assistance of the Curnums and Headmen alone, which they were disposed to believe had been too prevalent. any deviation from the rule in other districts will be duly noticed by the Board. They do not think that a Circular

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

on the subject is required.

Report of the acting Sub Collector dated 21st Oct. 1845. Paras 13 & 17.

12. The Board observe that the Acting Sub Collector has requested instructions on the subject of Well Remissions and regarding the desired relinquishment of certain lands on taken up on Cowle, and that the acting Collector has not noticed either point in his settlement report. In a Letter from Mr. Lovell dated 27th October 1845 / Para 3 / the first mentioned subject is briefly adverted to and the Board are led to infer from the remarks of Mr. Lovell that Mr. Cotton's orders "merely granting "Remission on lands possessed by the "actual owner of the Land, in which "the Well is situated" is at variance with the "course pursued in the "other Talooks". The limitation contemplated by Mr. Cotton appears to be in unison with the usage of other Districts. The Board however desire that more explicit information may be afforded in a separate communication as to the practice in North arcot with instances illustrative of such practice. The remarks of the Board

In Cons 1st Dec. 1845.

in

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

in Para 11 of their Proceedings on the settle-
ment for Fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ have no connexion
with the present subject, but refer to
the abandonment by the Ryots of a part
of their Puttah Land in order to raise a
second Crop by means of wells or other
fields of it."-

dated 6th Feby. 1845.

Report from the Collector on Petition Rc 799 of 1844 dated 23d. October in Cons 4th November 1844.
To Collector 5th December 1844

13. The second point has reference to 300 Cawnies of waste land taken up in the Village of Tyaar in the Wam-dinash Talook by 4 Ryots in from the Chingleput District, to be brought gradually under cultivation during Fuslies 1251, 1252, 1253 and 1254- Three of the Copartners withdrew after Fusly 1252 alleging that they had sold their land to the Monegar of Tyaar, and the remaining individual was desirous of being relieved of the obligations he had entered into- The Board on the subject being brought to their notice desired that the three persons who had withdrawn "should be held to the terms of their agreement if they should have given security or if not that the party who purchased their engagement should be called on to fulfil it" and that the remaining Copartner "should

only

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

"only be compelled to make good the co-
"proportion to which he is more immed-
"diately liable"- The Acting Sub. Collec-
tor now reports that the alleged sale
is doubtful and recommends in con-
sequence of the inability of the parties
to fulfil their engagements that the
Lands should be resumed- The acting
Collector will be pleased to give
the subject his best consideration
and will report in a separate co-
letter his opinion in regard to
the resumption of the Lands and
the final adjustment which it
will be proper to make with the
parties.-

14. The Board would
take this opportunity to call the
attention of the Acting Collector
to the practice of the District in

assessing

10042 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

10046 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

(52)

Sir,

Fort St. George

From the Collr of North Arcot Para 1

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter dated the 23d Instant, communicating the Boards instruction not to proceed with the sale of the Cantunggoor Zemindary until further Orders and to inform them of the total amount of the public demand now outstanding against the property.—

Respecting that the balance against the Cantunggoor Zemindary would be Rupees 111895-6-2 exclusive Current arrears Fasli and that the collections in the current month will probably amount to from 10,000 to 15,000 Rupees

A.B.

2 The Nett Collections which have been received since the Estate has been under attachment amount to Rupees 33739-2-3. by carrying this sum to the account of Government the balance would be reduced to Rupees 111895-6-2 as shewn in the margin.—

	Rupees	A	P
Current as in the advertisement	55107	8	.
Deduct Collections under attachment	33739	2	3
Remaining	21368	5	9
Arrears	10737	11	3
Interest as in the advertisement	67815	4	6
Add interest to the 11th July 1846	695	10	5
Commission	11748	3	3
*	111895	6	2

3- The Collections in the Current Month will

Dupl. sent 1st Decr 1845.

Referring to Para 3 of his letter dated 6th October 1845, to the Acting Collector copy of which accompanied the letter dated 27th October 1845, from Mr Lovell to the Board, which has been already alluded to in a former Para of these Proceedings. If the orders of the Board are required on this subject Mr Lovell will bring the particulars to their notice in a separate letter or in his report on the extra Cowries of Revenue.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of North Arcot for his information and guidance.—

Read

10047 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

will probably amount to from 10,000 to 15,000 Pags. a larger sum might be realized but for the expectation entertained of the Estate being shortly released from attachment.

North Arcot
Coll.s Circuit Cutcherry.
Palamanair 27th July 1846.

/Signed/ E. Lowe
Acting Collector

Ordered that the following Letter be sent in reply.

(52-53)

To the Acting Coll.r of North Arcot.

Requesting him in the name of the Zemindar of Cauttenuggur discharging the full ammt. of the Principal due by him to withdraw the present attachment upon the Estate.

To

The Acting Collector
of North Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th Ultimo, reporting the amount of the public demand outstanding against the Cauttenuggur Estate, from which they learn that the balance of Peishcush Principal due upon the Zemindary both current and in arrear is Rupees 31,606 4 - that the Interest and Commission chargeable against the property are Rupees 80,289 2 - 2 and that the total public liabilities against the Zemindary, Principal, arrears and Current, Interest and Commission, stand therefore at Rupees 111,895 6 - 2. The Collections in the Month just elapsed would it is calculated be from 10,000 to 15,000 Rs.

In

Current Rs. 21,368 5 9.
Arrears 10,237 14 3.

10048 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

In reply the Board direct me to request that in the event of the Zemindar discharging the full Amount of the Principal arrear and Current due by him, you will withdraw the present attachment upon the Estate, and will allow him to re-enter upon the management of it leaving the consideration of the charges for Interest and Commission for future disposal. You will be so good as to notify this to the Zemindar, and will at the same time distinctly apprize him that the demand on account of these last charges has not been abandoned but merely suspended for the present, and that the measures which it may be proper to take in regard to that portion of his debt, will remain for the consideration of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d August 1846.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read also the following letters

To

The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(54)

From A. Seth Sam Esqre agent to the Zemr of Cavettee Nuggurrum

Requesting the Board to give

Gentlemen,

With reference to my letter to your Board of the 21st Instant which has

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

issue instructions to the Collector of North Arcot for the immediate release of the Cavettee Huggerum Zemindary.

has not as yet been finally disposed of.

I have the honor to state that this being the Poonjay harvest and Nunjay Cultivation time, and such a proper and profitable opportunity as cannot be met with again if once lost; for the Zemindar to use his best exertions towards the full collections of Revenues on Poonjay crops and to make the necessary advances to such ryots as are deserving of the same in order to secure Nunjay Cultivation for the present new Fusly to an ample extent and thereby raise large revenue from the Estate; and as this cannot be done by the Zemindar while the Estate is under attachment. I beg leave to submit that any delay however short, and even of one single day, in the removal of the attachment is, and will be productive of sad and irreparable loss to the Zemindar. Therefore, and as it was solely by the order of the Court on account of Private Creditors that the Zemindaree was attached, and not by order of the Revenue Authorities for account of any alleged arrears, you Sir will I trust and feel confident perceive plainly that justice requires the removal

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

of the said attachment immediately after the issue of an Order of Court withdrawing their process against the Estate on account of the creditors; it being of course understood that if what is now called arrears (forming as they do nothing but Interest and Commission and not at all demandable) be not paid by the Zemindar when finally ordered by the revenue authorities to be paid; then, it is open to the Collector, under Section IV Regulation XXVII of 1802 to proceed to a demand upon the Zemindar of the same, and on failure of payment even then, to proceed to an attachment and sale of the Estate, which can be done at any time, the Government claim being preferable to that of any other person.—

As regards the said Interest and Commission in question, I beg to observe that the Collector has not adopted the preliminary proceeding prescribed by the aforementioned section, but all that he has done, has been the inclusion of that item in the advertisement of sale lately published at the instance and by order of the Court, an inclusion, the intention of which is too well

10051 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

well detailed and explained in my former letter to need a further repetition here.-

The Zemindar is quite prepared to pay, with in a month after the release, the amount of such demand as can be reasonably made upon him on account of the last Fusly; and as the least delay in the removal of the attachment of the Estate, is and will be productive of very great loss to him as above shewn, and as from the nature of the request contained in my former letter regarding the remission of the Interest and Commission I believe it will require a deliberate consideration and may therefore take some time before it is finally disposed of; I request on behalf of my Constituent, the Zemindar, that your Board will be pleased forthwith to issue instructions to the Collector of North Arcot for the immediate release of the Zemindar from attachment, in order that he the Zemindar may be saved from all the loss which may otherwise accrue to him under the circumstances above stated.-

Madras 21st July 1846. } I Signed I A. Seth Sam
Agent to the Zemindar
of Cavettee Nuggrum.

10052 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

To.

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
&c. &c. &c.
Fort St. George

55

From A. Seth Sam Esqre. }

Requesting an early consideration to his application for the release of the Cavettee Nuggurrum Zemindary.

Sir.

I had the honor of addressing the Board a second time on the 21st Ultimo on the subject of the Zemindaree of Cavettee Nuggerrum pointing out the urgent necessity of its immediate release from attachment in consequence of the very great loss the Estate is and will be subjected to by remaining in Zuft for any further time, however short, but to which I have not been favoured with a final reply as yet and am quite ignorant as to how the Board have disposed of it: Having this day received another letter from my Constituent the Zemindar, complaining very bitterly of the delay in the removal of the attachment and most anxiously and earnestly deprecating the ruinous consequences, both present and future of its any further Continuance; I take the liberty of addressing you on the subject and request you will be pleased to submit the matter again to the Kind consideration of the Board with as little delay as possible.-

With regard to the balance of the current demand and the arrears of Kist now remaining due by my Constituent to Government, if the Board will not feel disposed to accede to the terms proposed by me Viz. of allowing

10053 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

allowing him one months time to liquidate the same; in such case, I beg leave to state, that, my constituent will be prepared to deposit funds to the extent as security, if the Board will be pleased to direct the Collector to receive and retain the same until redeemed by payment of the amount, which shall be done without the least delay. With many apologies for this intrusion.

Madras ... 3d August 1846 } I Signed I A. Seth Sam
agent to the Zemindar of Cavettee Nuggrum.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To A. Seth Sam Esqre
Agent to the Zemindar of Cavettee Nuggerum

54-56

To A. Seth Sam Esqre
Agent to the Zemindar of Cavettee Nuggerum

Sir.

In reply to your letters of the 21st Ultimo and of the 3d Instant on the subject of the release of the Cavetaygur Zemindary from Sequestration I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that orders have been this day sent to the Acting Collector of North Arcot directing him, in the event of the Principal of the public demand due upon that Estate current and in arrear being discharged in full to withdraw the attachment at present upon the property leaving the demand on account of Interest and Commission for consideration hereafter. It is however to be distinctly understood that the demand on account of those charges has not been abandoned but has been merely suspended for the present and that the measures to be taken respecting it will remain for the determination of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d August 1846. } I Signed I T. Pycroft
Secretary

10054 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot Road Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the first half of the year 1846.

57

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Order thereon.

57-58

Read the following letters

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

59

From the Collr of Salem

Sir

I have the honor to forward two Petitions Nos 1448 of 1845 and 1831 of 1842 with Endorsements.

Salem Gillah
Kistnaghirry
Collectors Cutchirry
4th July 1846 } I Signed I W. C. Lockhart
Collector

Order thereon

59-60

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 1831 of 1842.

"The Tank referred to in this Petition appears to have been in a ruined state from the time of the Pymash — If it were now repaired upwards of 80 Cawnies

10055 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Cawnies of land would be flooded and thrown out of Cultivation and seven Wells would be rendered useless. The request of the Petitioners cannot therefore be complied with, unless the parties who own those lands and Wells are willing to give them up in consequence of the benefit which they would derive from the repair of the Tank, in which case the Petitioners may again address the Collector."

Endorsement on Petition

1448 of 1845.

"The assessment charged on Petitioner's land appears to be that sanctioned by the provisions of the present Hoskumnamah, and the Board cannot therefore authorize any reduction."

To

T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

61

From the Collector of Salem — 3

I have the honor to forward two Petitions, Nos. 1473 of 1843 and 1018 of 1845 with Endorsements.

Salem Zillah — — —
Sheristadar's Cutcherry 3
Collr's Cutcherry 3
10th July 1846 — — — 6

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

10056 Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

Proceedings thereon.—

(61-62)

The Board observe that when Petitions bearing the Endorsement of the District authorities are re-transmitted to this Office, or other periodical Returns made to the Board which do not call for any remarks on a distinct communication, that the practice of furnishing a separate letter as in the present instance, may for the future be dispensed with, and the papers forwarded under a blank Cover.

Ordered that the following Endorsements be passed on the Petitions above referred to, Viz.ts 1473 of 1843 and 1018 of 1845.—

1473 — "The Board of Revenue seeno occasion to disturb the orders passed by the District authorities as recorded on the Endorsement upon the above Petition."—

1018 "The additional assessment on the land objected to by the Petitioner having been levied agreeably to the provisions of the Hoskumnamah the Petitioner has no grounds for complaint."—

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

63

Sir

From the Collr of South Arcot 3

With reference to your letter

10057 Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

x 12th Decr 1844

x Letter. I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board, that having heard from Mssrs. Parry and Co. that they have, for certain reasons, relinquished for the present their original intention of understanding the cultivation of the tract of waste land in the Talook of Chellumbrum on the terms of the Cowle thereto, I proposed any further enquiry into the matter appears now unnecessary.—

South Arcot—
Collector's Circuit—
Cutchery Cottacoopum
11th July 1846.

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Order thereon.—

(63-64)

Recorded.

Ordered to be

Read the following letter

To

10058 Fort St. George 3rd August 1846—

To

T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(65)
From the Coll.r
of Trichinopoly

Sir

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that one of the Mandrian prisoners whose allowances are paid at this Treasury having omitted to draw her stipend for a period of three years and eight months I have thought proper not to pay the arrears without the Board's sanction and I beg to send a statement of the case in the form observed in regard to Peonichdars on similar occasions for orders.—

Trichinopoly—
Collector's Office
11th July 1846.

/Signed/ A. B. Onslow
Collector

Statement

10059

Fort St. George

No. 1.

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due

3rd August 1846.

to the Undermentioned Hindoo Prisoner in the District of Trichinopoly.

Number of certificate	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per annum	Date of Government authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the cause of suspension of Payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8.	9	10
		C Rs a p				C Rs a p			
Holds a Sunnud at no Madras ½ fanam a day for one fasli year of 365 days—	Appennaul at		Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th June 1838.—	Batta allowed by the Government of Ceylon for the daily subsistance of this man—	From 1st November 1842 to 30th June 1846, being 3 years and 8 months or 1338 Days	85 2 2	The applicant is a female and prohibited by Rules of caste from appearing abroad. The Vakeel thro whom she used to draw her allowances having left Trichinopoly she was unable during the period in question to procure another Vakeel. Having now procured one she prefers her application which under the Circumstances stated it is recommended be complied with.	As it appears from the Collector's letter of the 12th Instant No. 102 that he has satisfied himself of the identity of the Pensioner Appennaul the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the payment of the arrears due to her amounting to Rupees 85-2-2	
Ab ½ a Measure grice per day for one year are 182½ Measures the 10 years average value of which at 9½ price per measure are Rupees		14 3 1							
		9 " 6							
Total Company's Rs		2337							

Trichinopoly
11th July 1846.

Rent Dept. Office
Fort St. George
20th August
1846

By Order

/signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

/signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Before

10060 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Proceedings thereon.-

(65-66)

Before submitting the foregoing letter for the orders of Government the Board wish to know whether the Collector has taken measures to satisfy himself of the identity of Appanmal.-

Read the following letter

To-

10061 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(67)

From the Pro. Collr. of Madura-

Recommending registry in name of Soob Ammal to an hereditary & Devastanum service Maniom in Cayoopookal in Meyloor.-

Sir,

Para 1.- In reference to the Board's Circulars of the 22d. May and 29th. September 1845 I have the honor to submit the claims of Soob Ammal and Senkara Ayen of Madura in dispute for succession to the hereditary service Maniem of the Village of Cayoopookal in Meyloor consisting of 108 3/8 Cawnies of Nunjah and Punjah lands paying an annual quit rent to Government of Rupees 16-4-5 hitherto held by Shamoo Vien elder brother of the latter, and deceased in 1845.

2. The land in question is entered in the Survey Accounts of Fusly 1212 in the name of Vengoovien as a Maniem for services to the deity Kalamagapermal at Thoroomboor and on his death in 1805 it fell in succession to Soobien his son; and was held in his name till his death in 1826 the service having been performed for the last 15 years of his minority upto 1826 by the deceased Shamoovien as his Gomastah. On the death of his principal Soobyen, who was his Cousin he entered into an agreement with the Widow

No 1 Translate accompanies.

of

10060 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

Proceedings thereon.

(65-66)

Before submitting the foregoing letter for the orders of Government the Board wish to know whether the Collector has taken measures to satisfy himself of the identity of Appanmal.

Read the following letter

To

10061 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

To

J. Bycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(67)

From the Pte. Collr. of Madura

Recommending registry in name of Soob Ammal to an hereditary & Devastanum service Maniom in Carroopookal in Meyloor.

Sir,

Para 1.- In reference to the Board's Circulars of the 22d. May and 29th. September 1845 I have the honor to submit the claims of Soob Ammal and Senkara Ayen of Madura in dispute for succession to the hereditary service Maniem of the Village of Carroopookal in Meyloor consisting of 105 3/8 Cawnies of Nunjah and Punjah lands paying an annual quit rent to Government of Rupees 16-.-.5 hitherto held by Shamoo Vien elder brother of the latter, and deceased in 1845.

2. The land in question is entered in the Survey Accounts of fasly 1212 in the name of Vengoovien as a Maniem for services to the deity Kalamagapermal at Thoroomboor and on his death in 1808 it fell in succession to Soobien his son; and was held in his name till his death in 1826 the service having been performed for the last 15 years of his minority upto 1826 by the deceased Shamoovien as his Gomastah. On the death of his principal Soobyen, who was his Cousin he entered into an agreement with the Widow

No 1 Translate accompanies.

of

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

No. 2 Translate accompanies.

of the deceased and with her written consent & continued in charge till his death in 1845, which brought the matter of succession into question between the applicant Senkara Ayen, brother of the deceased Gonnasta, and Sool Ammal. Widow of Soobien: the former claims as heir of his deceased elder brother Shanmoo Vien the immediate prior incumbent in charge and as Cousin to the deceased Soobyen whilst the latter claims heir-ship as Widow of Soobyen the last rightful incumbent and on the fact of her adversary being member of a divided family. The Manager of the Dawastanum, before whom the question was brought in the first instance, awarded the succession to the applicant Senkara Ayen under his own authority on the 7th January.

3. On the subsequent objections of the Widow the matter was referred to the Tasildar of Meylow from whose report supported by documents of the 21st March, it appears, that the deceased Shanmoo Vien performed the duty for the last 20 years and that 1/3 of the Net income of the Inamem has always been appropriated for the institution.

4. There is no original grant, but because of its entry in the Accounts, the acknowledged title of the Minor Soobyen &c to

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

to it and of the agreement with Soobu Ammal his Widow under which the deceased Shanmoo Vien held it for so many years, I have no hesitation in recommending its being conferred to the Widow, in preference to the Cousin and Gonnasta and in giving it as my opinion that she has hereditary rightful claim.

Madura
Cutcherry
10th June 1846.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

No. 1.

Translate of an Agreement dated 13th Avany of Virodhi passed in favor of Soobammal Widow of Soobyen. Hereditary Superintendent of Tandavaroya pillay's Kattalay at Madura by Shanmoogyen the Younger brother of her father-in-law.

Whereas your husband in whose name the Merapsee of superintending the institution in the Meenatchee Pagoda at Madura was entered in fusly 1221, being incapable from minority to do his duty I performed it from that time upto the present; and whereas on his death I performed his funeral rites by taking Durba grass &c. under your authority, I do hereby bind myself (in event of your resigning the Office in my favor that

I

10,064 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

I may do duty for you, and securing it to me I to give you and your Motherinlaw 7½ fanams each from the monthly pay of 20 fanams, taking for my trouble five fanams a month, three dressed balls of rice 18 in number for the offerings to the idol being enjoyed 3 by me and 6 by yourselves in equal shares on the understanding that any income from monthly and annual festivals be enjoyed in equal portions

I likewise bind myself to give a moiety of the net income of Caroopookal Village an endowment of Thooroomboor Dewastanum after paying quit rent of Government and other institution charges in conformity to the agreement passed by your husband in my favor; should your Motherinlaw refuse to accept the portion of income offered her it will be made over to you after Citing witnesses to her refusal - should Moottoosawmy institute any suit in the Court it is to be defended at our united expense. This agreement will be replaced by one on a Stampt Cadjan after Confirmation by Government of the agreement referred to. Thus I have voluntarily given this agreement.

[Signed] Samoo Gyen.

[Witnesses] Ramasawmy Gyen.
Another name not distinguishable

(True Translate) [Signed] J Blackburne
Pr. Coll. Transl.

10,065 Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

No. 2.

Translate of an Agreement dated 8th July 1829. before Vencata Row Acting Tasildar of Madacolum by Soobummal Widow of Sooryen Hereditary Superintendent of Tandavaroya Pillay's & Kuttalay in the Meenatchee Davastanum at Madura.

Whereas Vengoovyen my fatherinlaw who superintended the institution and was dismissed in 1216 died in July 1218 leaving my late husband his son in minority Thamovvyen the younger brother of my fatherinlaw not only undertook responsibility of all demands against him but performed his funeral rites and by his exertion secured the deceased's place for my said husband in 1221 and himself took charge of the office and of my husband's family & whereas my said husband also dying on the 14th February 1826, his funeral rites were also performed by him, and as he has been in charge of the Office for the last 18 years from July 1221 to 1238 Current, I do hereby acquiesce and Confirm him in charge of the duty under the Conditions he has entered into of giving me and my Motherinlaw 7½ fanams each, per mensem taking for himself 5 fanams from the Monthly pay of 20 fanams and request effect may be given thereto.

[Marked] Soobummal.

(True Translate) J Blackburne.
Pr. Coll. Ordered

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

(67-68)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.-

Submitting a letter from the Pr. Collr. of Madura regarding the succession to an hereditary service Maniem of 108 3/8 Cawnies of land assessed at the annual Quit rent of Rs. 16-5 at Thoorambore supporting the Pr. Collector's recommendation that it be continued to the widow of the last registered incumbent.-

Pr. Collr. of Madura's letter dated 3d. Augt. 1846.

Sir,

1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura regarding the succession to an hereditary Service Maniem of 108 3/8 Cawnies of land assessed at the annual Quit rent of 16-5 which he recommends may be continued to the widow of the last registered incumbent.-

2- It will be seen that the land in question was originally granted for the service of a divinity at Thooramboor and was entered in the survey account of Tusby 1212 in the name of Vengoovien who was succeeded by his son Soobyen but being a minor the services of the Maniem were conducted by his relative Shamoovien up to the date of his death in the year 1826 when his widow whose claims are advocated by-

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

by the Principal Collector entered into an engagement with her deceased husband Gomastah - to continue his services in charge of the Maniem On his death however taking place in 1845 his brother Senkara Iyen claimed the right to succeed to the land to the exclusion of the widow Sooba Ummaul.-

3- The Board concur in the opinion expressed by the Collector that the hereditary claim to the Maniem is vested in the surviving widow Sooba Ummal as the representative of the last rightful occupant and they accordingly beg to support his recommendation that it may be registered in her name.-

4- It will be perceived that although there is no original Summud now

10,058 Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

now forthcoming the Maniem is entered in the Survey Account and that the validity of the title has never been questioned and as the land has long been in the enjoyment of the family who are stated to have always appropriated 1/3 of the net income of the Maniem for the support of the institution the Board see no objection to its continuance to the present Claimant Sooba Ummal - as suggested by the Principal Collector due provision being made by her to secure the performance of the duty attached to the grant.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd August 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

10,059 Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

Read the following letters.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

69
From the Pl. Coll. of Madura. -

Sir,

I find from the records of the Sub Collector's Office that one Pichoo Mootoo made himself responsible in Fusly 1253 for tax to amount of Rupees 12-5-6- in the Village of Poodooputty in Saudicomboo, which however he left waste and paying only 2 annas Rupees 3 and annas 2 were recovered by distraint and sale of his hut. The circumstances under which the transaction took place, and the improbability of ever recovering more will be gleaned from the accompanying correspondence with the Sub Collector, and I have the honor to request Sanction for writing off the balance of Rupees 9-1-6.-

Nunjah
G. 1- - taxed at 1-11-2
Punjah
G. 8-a 8 1/4 taxed at 10-10-4
12-5-6-

To Sub 15th April
16th May
1st June
From Sub 27th May
18th June 1846.

Madura,
Dindigul
29th June 1846.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.-

No. 1.
No. 262.-

To H. Elliot Esqre
Sub Collector
Dindigul.-

Sir,

In reference to the balance of

10,070

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Taudicomboo - - 243-8-11
Tyempully - - - 40-0-0
Nellacottay - - - 54-5-5
337-14-4

of Rupees 337. 14. 4, in the Aumanee land Revenue for fusly 1254, up to 31st March, in your division, as shewn in the Margin, outstanding even after harvest of the following (Current) fusly. I have the honor to request you will take immediate steps towards it's realization, or shew good reason for allowing further delay.-

Madura - - -
15th April 1846.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Prin.l Collector.-

No. 350.-

To
W. Elliot Esqre
Sub Collector.-
Dindigul.-

Sir

No. 262.- 1. I regret to have received no notice from you regarding my advice of the 15th Ultimo, of neglect to recover certain Amany Land Revenue balances, for fusly 1254, nor do the Accounts admit the recovery of a single pice of the Rupees 337-14-4- then outstanding during the whole Month.-

Taudicomboo - - 243-8-11
Tyempully - - - 40-0-0
Nellacottay - - - 54-5-5.

2. I request you to be so good as to afford me full detail on these points to reach me before the end of the Current Month.

Madura - - -
16th May 1846.-

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Prin.l Collector

To

10,071

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.-

No. 252.-

To The Principal Collector of Madura.-

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, under date the 16th Instant, and in reply thereto to state from information

No. 350. received from my Sheristadar that in Fusly 1253. Picha Moottoo requested to have a piece of land situated under or near a tank for Nunjah Cultivation. One Venkatachella Pillay wished to have the said land for Punjah but Picha Moottoo desired the same for Nunjah and it was given to him as the land had been waste from 10 years. He appears not to have cultivated any of it but states that he has this year Subrented it. Picha Moottoo did not detain away whole Kist but only paid 2 annas + away. the remainder of the Rupees 3-4-0 was realized

Sub Collector's mistake for Rs. 3-2-0 by the sale of a hut belonging to him.-

The land was left waste in the following year but this year fusly 1255 Picha Moottoo has stated that he Subrented it.-

Buttalagoontah
27th May 1846.-

(Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Collector.

No. 396.

To
W. Elliot Esqre
Sub Collector
Dindigul

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 27th Ultimo

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Ultimo, No. 252, I have the honor to request you will inform me under what terms Pichoo Moottoo has subrented for the Current Fusly 1255 / 1845/46 the piece of ground which he newly undertook to Cultivate with Nunjah, and for which he is so great a defaulter, for Fusly 1253. and which was left unoccupied in 1254.-

When a man subrents he usually receives a land lord's share, in which case the whole should be taken to answer the balance of Fusly 1253. and, in event of his receiving no such share, he should not be allowed to have any interference whatever with the land.- In your future report on this subject I shall be glad to know the state of Collections on it, for the Current year, and from whom made, and if there be not means, or hope to recover the balance due by future profit on industry in reference to other land in his holding or to his other means of livelihood.-

Madura - - }
1th June 1846 }

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Princl Collector

No. 289.-

To
The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.-

you with reference to your letter of the 1th Instant No. 396 that Picha Moottoo and Venca-tachellam Pillay both claim the credit of Sub-renting for Fusly 1255 the same parcel of Ground which the former engaged to Cultivate during Fusly 1253. It appears however that for the present Fusly 1255, Vencatachellum Pillay has possessed the land and paid the Kists and Picheemoottoo has no right to that land and lays no claim to any other- I do not think it possible to make any further Collections from Picha Moot-too and if you should be of the same opinion it would probably be better to obtain permission for striking off the balance from the accounts.-

(Signed) W. Elliot
Sub. Collector

Palany - - - - }
16th June 1846 }

(True Copies)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Princl Collector

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

70
From the Pl. Collr of Madura - - }

Sir,

I have the honor to request sanction for writing off an irrecoverable balance of Rupees 54-5-5, the only remaining balance for

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.-

for Fusly 1254 in the Palook of Nellacottay of Dindigul. It is due from the Head of the Village, who collected it from the tax payers embezzled and decamped with it. The particulars of the transaction are shewn in the accompanying copy of a letter from me to the Sub Collector of yesterday's date.-

Madura . . . .
Dindigul . . .
30th June 1846.-

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Princl. Collector.

No. 1.-

To
The Sub Collector of Madura.-
Dindigul.-

Sir,

It appears from your order of the 13th February to the Tahsildar of Kellacottay, that Bommiaik, Nattangar of Sundiyoor having been sent to you on the 25th January, because of a balance against him of Rupees 54-5-5 for Fusly 1254, was sent back by you on that date (13th February) with intimation of his promise to liquidate it in two Months, on failure of which you directed the Tahsildar to send him back to you on its expiration.

2. In your letter of the 19th May No. 241, you informed me "no part of the Sum of Rupees 54-5-5 was capable of

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.-

of collection as the Nattangar by whom it was due had decamped, and gone, it was said, to Ceylon" and in a further letter of the 27th Idem No. 253, without the least further explanation you recommended it should be struck off the accounts as irrecoverable.-

3. From your reply of the 18th Instant, No. 288, to my answer of the 1st Idem No. 397, declining to support your recommendation on such unsatisfactory and insufficient information, I learn, to my great surprise, that the defalcation is not for payment of his own lands, of which he does not appear to have had any, but was an embezzlement of taxes he had collected from other inhabitants.-

4.- As it appears he has no property whatever I have the honor to request he may be immediately dismissed, for absconding with this charge of malversation hanging over him, from his poorly remunerating Nattamai, and that, after due notice, an exparte decision may be given in the case on production of accounts, under Regulation IX of 1822, and, if against him, enforced whenever he returns; and I beg to give my opinion he ought to have been

proceeded

proceeded against for the embezzlement whilst he was under your custody from soon after the 25th. January to the 13th. February.-

adura, - -
indigul - -
t June 1846

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector

edings thereon. - The Board think it unnecessary
(71) to make a separate application to Government for sanction for writing off the small balances entered in the foregoing letters. They request the Principal Collector will as early as convenient submit a report on all balances of Land or Extra Revenue for Fuslies 1252 - 1253 and 125 specifying all those which he deems irrecoverable and which he would recommend being struck out of the Public Accounts.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

the Pl. Coll.
dura. - } Sir,

I tho' in doubt if I am the proper medium of communication I take the liberty to recommend to the favorable consideration of Government, the accompanying original application of Assistant Apothecary B. H. Bond for reimbursement of

of travelling expenses on the requisitions the Judicial Courts of Madura in 184 1844 to, and from Madura to Caumbem, he was in charge of the Military detachme and convict Jail, to give evidence before the Criminal and Circuit Courts in a case manslaughter as per his bill to amou Rupees 87-8-0.

2. He has correctly represente the facts, and tho' he might with manageme have travelled cheaper, in a bullock Cart has evidently not put the Government to expense wantonly; for at that time he d not seem to doubt it was a tax he was to pay himself, and in respect to empl ing 10 bearers, when 9 would have been sufficient on ordinary occasions, I am of opinion the gain in time was more th equivalent to the extra bearer. and in to his charge for 100 Miles, instead of the present distance of 94; I take the l to inform you letters were then charged beyond 100 Miles, and the distance has b subsequently decreased by improved roads and ascertained by more correct measure

Madura. - - -
Dindigul. - -
29th. June 1846.. } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector.-

10078 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

To

J. Blackburne Esqre

Principal Collector and Magistrate

Sir, Madura.

I beg to bring most respectfully to your kind notice, the following circumstances, to which I entreat your kind consideration 1st That in the latter end of 1843, while station=ed at Paumben, I received a written order from your Court that I should personally repair to Madura to give evidence before the Sessions Judge - Douglas Esqre" in a case of Man slaughter, the Patient having died at Paumben in my Hospital. -

2d That in the early part of 1844: I was again summoned, to undergo a similar examination before the Circuit Judge W. Harrington Esqre. -

3. That on these two occasions I was necessitated to proceed by "Palanquin" in order that I may speedily return to the post of my responsible duties, where the Military and extensive Civil Establish=ments were then placed under my im=mediate Medical charge. -

4. That in order to effect this I underwent an expense of Rupees (87-8-0) Eighty

10079 Fort St. George 3d August 1846. -

eighty seven and annas Eight as per annexed Memo. -

Being since informed that Medical Officers, or Subordinates, when called on occasions to give evidence before "Judicial Courts" situated at a distance from their Stations are repaid the expences, that such emergency may involve on them, I respectfully lay before you, these circumstances, and altho' at such a late period, yet I humbly hope that in consideration of my faithful servitude while at Paumben and your approbation of my zeal and atten=tion to the various orders, promptly carried out in all instances, where my professional duties were concerned, and my gratuitous attendance on the Pilgrims resorting to Ra=misseram, that you will graciously be=pleased to recommend for the favorable consideration of a liberal Government this my humble solicitation that the amount thus laid out from my small salary may be kindly ordered to be repaid to me, which act of generosity and kindness I shall always most gratefully remember. -

Trichinopoly 25th June - - 1846. -

(Signed) B. H. Bond Asst. Apothecary Supd. Surgeon's Depart.

Memorandum

11,080 Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

Memorandum of Expences incurred for Bearers on being ordered to Madura on two occasions in 1843 and 44 for the purpose of attending the Judicial Courts.-

1843	From Paumben to Madura distance 100 Miles 10 Bearers at 3½ Annas for every 10 Miles	Rs. a. p. 21-14-0	
Do.	Returning to Paumben the same number of Bearers at the same rate.	21-14-0	
1844	The same number of bearers going to Madura and returning from thence to Paumben at the same rates.	43-12-0	
	Total expended Company's Rupees	87-8-0	

(Signed) B. H. Bond.
Asst. Apothecary.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector.

72-73

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that the original application from Assistant Apothecary Bond received with the foregoing letter be returned to the Principal Collector of Madura who is requested to submit it through the Court of Foujdaree Udawlut which is understood to be the proper Channel.-

Read the following letter.

To

10081 Fort St. George 3d August 1846

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

74

From The Actg Collr of Tinnevelly

Sir,

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 26th February last, I have the honor to forward the Documents therein required and enumerated in the Margin.

Forwarding the Documents required in regard to the Claims on the Singumpully Estate.-

1 - Copy of the Decree in A.S. No 3 - of 1829 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court.-
1 - Copy of the Decree in A. No 6 of 1830 on the file of the Sudr Udalut.-
1 Copy of the Decree in A.S. No 10 of 1838 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court.-
1 Copy of the Decree in A.S. No 10 of 1838 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court passed by the special Commissioner at Trichinopoly.-
1 Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 7th November 1842.-
1. Copy of the Urzee addressed by the 1st Defendant to the Court of Sudr Udalut dated 7th April 1837.-
2 The paper by which Soodala Annoollee Ummal renounced her claims to the

Singapully Zemindary, was, it will be observed, a Petition to the Sudr Udalut.-

3 It is recorded in the Decree passed by the Provincial Court Southern Division in A.S. No 3 of 1829 and again in the Decree of the Sudr Udalut in No 6 of 1830, that no Division of property had taken place between the descendants of Perea Sawmy Tavern marked B in the Genealogical table, which accompanied

accompanied Mr Thomas's letter of the 22d Instant

Tinnevelly
17th June 1846

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Acting Collector

No 1.

The Decree of the Provincial Court of the Southern Division.

Provincial Court Original Suit No 3 of 1839

Plaintiff
Nullacootty Parasawmy Tavern
by Vakeel
Yellapa Moodelly
Parasoopramania

(Seal)

Defendant
Shunkara Moodelly
Nunnal
by Vakeel

This is an action to recover the Zemindary of Singumpully situated in the Tinnevelly Collectorate yielding an annual produce of Rupees 9208-2-10-91 Cents and also the sum of Rupees 558-2-10-91 Cents being the profits arising from after deducting Kist and all other expences the year Survadary or 1828/29.

The following sketch of the family of the parties as given by the Plaintiff in his Petition will tend to elucidate the case.

3 The plaintiff states that the Zemindary now in dispute has always been held by the eldest son, but that all the above persons have been maintained by the produce of it.

4. That in regular succession it fell under the management of Seevasoobramoniatavent who not having any issue, sent for his two wives two days before his death, and declared in the presence of his karriakars that the plaintiff as the sole lineal heir ought to succeed to the Zemindary, and maintain his two wives, and to this effect he addressed an arzee to the Collector which he directed his Caurikars to send and in two days afterwards died in 7th Arpesy Aundoo 1002 or 21st October 1826.

5. That the plaintiff performed his funeral rites, but the Caurikars having suppressed this letter and forged another in support of the claim of the 1st widow, the latter was put in possession by order of the Collector.

6. That the Plaintiff intended to complain, but the widow proposed terms, and thro' his means managed the affairs of the Zemindary that this widow falling sick she on the 7th December 1828 made a Will which was witnessed by the Defendant by which she granted a certain quantity of land for the support of the Defendant but named the Plaintiff as her successor to the Zemindary

Fort St. George 3d. August 1840

Zemindary as directed by her husband, and then delivered the said Will and also the Istimeerah Pottah of the Zemindary to the Plaintiff - that she addressed two argees to the Collector on this subject dated the 7th and 9th December 1828 and died on the 10th of the same month -

That the Plaintiff also performed her funeral rites and paid into the Collectors Treasury the sum of 200 Pagodas due on account of the Kist for December, but that the Defendant having sent an argee to the Collector, that Officer put her in possession of the Zemindary on the 11th December for the recovery of which and the profits thereof for one year the present action is brought -

8 - The Defendant in her answer states that she was married to the late Zemindar Soobramaniatavan D whilst his first wife was alive and that in order to reconcile the 1st wife to the Match the deceased Zemindar on the 12th June 1824 and previous to the wedding, executed two papers or Wills by which he provided that if he had a son by his first wife that son should succeed to the Zemindary and in case of his dying without issue the two wives should inherit the estate in succession -

9 That the said Zemindar died without issue on the 21st October 1826 that on the day preceding

Fort St. George 3d. August 1840

preceding he having addressed an argee to the Collector communicating the contents of the said two papers an order was issued to the Tahsildar of the Taluk to inquire and report thereon, which he accordingly did and that then the Collector put the 1st widow in possession of the Zemindary, who retained the same till her death which occurred on the 10th December 1828 and that upon her death the present Defendant was in conformity to the wish and Will of her husband placed in possession by Summud from the Collector dated 22d December 1828 -

10 - The Defendant then goes on in her answer to state that her husband did not write to the Collector informing him that he had nominated the Plaintiff to succeed to his estate that he did not perform his funeral rites and that he is not his lineal descendant -

11 - She further states that the 1st widow never made a Will in favor of Plaintiff, nor could she do so by law, but that upon her death the Plaintiff forcibly took possession of the estate and effects and also of the Istimeerah summud and the Will left in favor of the 1st widow, but that he was afterwards dispossessed by order of the Collector and the Defendant put in possession to which as a widow of the last Zemindar who died without issue she maintains she has a legal right -

The

17 The reply and rejoinder were filed in due course but as they contain nothing material to the point at issue it is unnecessary to recite their contents.-

13 On a perusal of the pleadings in this case the Court were of opinion that the Wills stated to have been left by the late Zemindar and his first wife were of no validity whatever provided either party were entitled to succeed by Hindoo Law to the estate, and they therefore before laying down the points to be proved by the parties drafted a Question to the Pundit which was framed upon the Genealogical table of the family, as given by the Plaintiff in his Petition and it appearing from his answer that if the plaintiff is a lineal descendant of the old Zemindar Jennatoopooly Nullacooly Perriasawmy taven he is entitled to succeed in preference to the Defendant the widow of the late Zemindar who died without issue, the Court directed that the Plaintiff should confine himself to that fact and prove the value of the produce of the Zemindary as specified in the plaint whilst the Defendant was directed to produce evidence to the prove reverse.-

14 Accordingly the following witnesses were examined the examination of the remaining witnesses entered in the

list not being required by the parties.-

Witnesses for Plaintiff.	Witnesses for Defendant.
1- Soobien.	1- Chockalingum pilly
2- Periasawmy pilly	2- Paramanguim pilly
3- Sevanaperma pilly	3- Soobramaneapilly
4- Anundanaden.	4- Rama Taven.
5- Soobramoniataven.	5- Woomgooroobagum pilly
6- Mottoocoomarasawmy pilly.	6- Arnachella taven and 7- Ramalinga Taven.

15 And the Court being of opinion from the evidence that the Plaintiff has satisfactorily proved that he is lineally descended from Jennatoopooly Nullacoottee Periasawmy taven the old Zemindar of Sungumpully, and the Original proprietor of the estate, they direct in conformity to the Hindoo Law as laid down in the answer of the Pundit that he be put in possession of the Zemindary, but with respect to the claim for the profits thereof for the year 1828/29 the Court are of opinion that the evidence of the only witness to that point by name Mottoocoomarasawmy pilly is unsatisfactory and inconclusive and that part of Plaintiffs demand is therefore rejected.-

16 The Court further Decree that all the Costs of Suit be paid by the Defendant excepting

Fort St. George 3d. August 1840.

excepting on the amount disallowed which must be paid by Plaintiff.

Amount of Property allowed to the Plaintiff — 9208-2-10

Amount disallowed to the Plaintiff — 558-2-10

Total amount claimed — 9766-5-11

Plaintiffs Costs Viz.

Stampt duty on institution under Section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816 — 250-0-0

Fees of the Plaintiffs Vakeel under Sec. XXV Regulation XIV of 1816 — 345-5-1

Value of Stampt paper filed by the Plaintiff — 37-0-0

632-5-1

Defendants Costs Viz.

Fees of the Defendants Vakeel — 345-5-1

Value of Stampt paper filed by the Deft. — 35-0-0

380-5-1

Costs on the amount decreed — 1012-10-2

Costs on the amount disallowed — 955-2-6

57-7-8

Total Rupees — 1012-10-2

Total Rupees One thousand and twelve, annas ten and Pice two.

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court in the Court House at Trichinopaly this 1st. day of February A.D. 1830.

Attested

/Signed/ S. Nicholls.
3d. Judge for Register.

/Signed/ E. H. Woodcock.
Ag. 2d. Judge

/Signed/ S. Nicholls
Ag 3d. Judge

The period for appeal will expire on the 18th. June 1830.

/Signed/ S. Nicholls
3d. Judge for Register

/ A true Copy /
/Signed/ W. Harrington
Civil Judge

Fort St. George 3d. August 1840.

No. 2.

The Decree of the Court of Sudr Udalut.

Provincial Court of the Southern Division Original Suit No. 3 of 1829 No. 6 of 1830.

Court of Sudr Udalut.

Appellant. — Versus — Respondent.

Sullacootta Vanee Sumbaw. — Periasawmy Savon

Sawody Emmall.

Vakeel. — Vakeel.

Goolam Rauze in the room of Mootoosawmy Sastry (deceased). — S. Appiah.

/Signed/ Frat. Alex. Grant / L. S. /

1. The suit was instituted in the Southern Provincial Court to recover the Zemindary of Singumputty situated in the Collectorate of Tinnevelly and said to yield an annual produce of Rupees 9208-2-10. 91 Cents. and also for the sum of Rupees 558-2-10. 91 Cents alleged to be the amount of the profit that accrued therefore after deducting Rents and other expenses in the year Sunvadaree or A.D. 1828/29.

2. The Plaintiff rests his claim to the Zemindary on the ground of being the lineal descendant in the male line from Innata Sooty Sullacooty Pareasawmy Savon the Common

Fort St. George. 3rd August 1840.

Common ancestor and again that the Plaintiff at present in the actual possession of the Zemindary in virtue of being the 2d Widow of the last Zemindar.

3. The Provincial Court having considered the pleadings and the evidence of the witnesses examined by both parties among whom that the Plaintiff had satisfactorily proved the fact of his descent from the common ancestor and that as such he was entitled to the Hindoo law as declared by the Pundit of the Provincial Court to be entitled to the succession in preference to the 2d Widow of the last Zemindar. In this effect the Provincial Court gave judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and in pursuance thereof he was put in possession of the Zemindary. But the Provincial Court disallowed the sum of Pdt A P 85 2 10 91 claimed as the profits of the Zemindary for the year Sumvatsarry or 1828/29 as the evidence to that point was not considered sufficiently conclusive.

4. The defendant being dissatisfied with the decree of the Provincial Court

Fort St. George. 3rd August 1840.

made an appeal therefrom to the Court of Sudr Udalut, presenting in the first instance by means of Mootoovaumy Sastry a brief petition dated the 10th April 1830. and the special grounds of appeal were subsequently filed by the same Vakeel on the 28th October 1830.

3. The Court of Sudr Udalut having maturely considered the proceedings and evidence in the original Suit, the special grounds urged in appeal from the Judgment of the Provincial Court, as well as the pleas set forth in the answer filed by Vakeel Solaty Appiah on behalf of the Respondent, observe that the matter in issue between the parties is purely a case of inheritance, involving the right of succession to the Zemindar of Singumputty, situated in the Collectorate of Tinnevelly that the appellant is the 2nd Widow of the last Zemindar and that the Respondent is represented to be a lineal descent, in the male line from the common ancestor. This latter fact, though denied by the appellant is considered by the Court of Sudr Udalut to be fully proved in the evidence deposed to that point before the Provincial Court and as the Record of the case contains nothing

to

to shew that any diversion of interest had
over taken place among the members
of the family it must be presumed
that they have hitherto lived together in
the Customary integrity of an undivided
community.

Under these circumstances there
=fore the Pundits of the Court of Sudres
Adalut were required to declare to which of
the litigants under the Hindoo law the
right of succession legally belonged.

Question.

A the proprietor of the Zemindary
had two sons B and C. who had issue to
each D and E. D had no issue, but
E had a son F. D succeeded to the Ze=
=mindary and died leaving two Widows
the 1st Widow succeeded to the Zemindary
and died.

The Pundits are required to declare
whether 1st Widow was entitled to the
Zemindary in preference to F and having died
whether the 2d Widow or F is now entitled to
succeed.

Answer.

If B and D the offspring of the elder
branch of A the proprietor of a Zemindary and
C and F the offspring of the younger branch are

one of an undivided family the succession
to the Zemindary must devolve on F after
the death of D and neither the 1st nor
Widow of D nor the 2d Widow after
the 1st Widows death can be entitled to it.
If on the other hand they were of
a divided family the succession to the
Zemindary should go to the 1st Widow of
D and after her death to the 2d Widow
and the said F can have no right=

In conformity therefore
Hindoo law of Inheritance as declared
by their Pundits in the above answer
to the question put to them, the Court
of Sudr Adalut affirm the decree of
the Southern Provincial Court and do
order and adjudge that the Appellant
shall pay all the costs according to the
following Statement.

Sicca Rupees payable to Appellants Vakeels on
Rupees 9208 2 11 calculated accord=
=ing to clause first Section XXV Regu=
=lation XXV of 1810 Viz.

To

Fort St. George, 3rd August 1840.

R. a P. R. a P. R. a P.

To the heirs of the Vakeel Moo:
Toosawmy Sastry deceased ——— 292-5-3
To Goolam Raujah ——— 41-12-4 334-2-7.
D: D: to Respondents Vakeel 334-2-7.
To Appeals as above ——— 334-2-7 668-5-2.

Value of Stamps papers filed by the appellant Viz:-
1. Roll for Vakalutnamah — 2-"-"
1. Roll for appeal petition. — 250-"-"
4. D: for the special grounds of appeal ——— 10-"-"
3. D: for Motion ——— 12-"-" 280-"-"

Value of Stamps papers filed by the Respondent Viz:-
1. Roll for Vakalutnamah. — 2-"-"
7. D: for answer ——— 28-"-"
2. D: for Motion ——— 8-"-" 38-"-" 318-"-"
980-5-2.

Costs incurred in the Provincial Court Viz:-
By the Plaintiff ——— 532-5-1.
" ——— Defendant ——— 380-5-1.
1,012-10-2.

Deduct the amount of Costs on the amount disallowed by the Provincial Court ——— 57-7-8.
955-2-5.

Total Rupees One thousand nine hundred and forty one, Annas seven, and pice eight payable by the Appellant ——— 1941-7-8

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court this 19th day of October in the year of our Lord A. D. 1831.

By order of the Court of Sudr Udalut.
/Signed/ W. Hudleston
Register.

/A true Copy/ D. Eliott.
Ag Register.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. Harrington
Civil Judy.

Read in Court this 28th November 1831. the period for appealing will expire on the 22d May 1832.
/Signed/ D. Eliott
Ag Regr.

Fort St. George 3d August 1840.

No. 3.

Decree of the Provincial Court for the Southern Division.—

Original Suit No. 10 of 1838.—

Plaintiff	Versus	Defendants
Tennatoopooly Nullacooly		1 Sodalaymoottomaul
Rauncee Sunkara Aurady		2 Palany Anchee
Unimaul		Vakeel of Defendant
Vakeel		Kistna Row
Vendajully Kistnarow		

This Suit is instituted for the recovery of the Zemindary of Sivagumpatty situate in the Pramhadasom Talook of the Tinnevelly Province, yielding annually Rupees 9,552 and paying a Peshcush of Rupees 8,050 to the Circar.—

The Plaintiff represents in her plaint that the Zemindary in question was held from time immemorial by her ancestors as well as by those of her husband Tennatoopooly Nullacooly Sevasoobramania Tauer, and that a permanent patta was granted by the British Government to the Father of her husband on 21st April 1803.— The Plaintiffs husband is stated to have succeeded to the Estate whilst in his minority in consequence of which a Manager was employed for the purpose of superintending the affairs of the Zemindary

set

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

set forth that Plaintiff's husband had two wives he having in the first instance married Vailasoodalay Mothummaul, and a few days subsequently he was united to the Plaintiff upon which occasion Documents were executed in favor of both wives on 12th June 1824, to the effect that the son of the 1st. wife was to succeed to the Estate upon his decease, and in failure of any issue by her then the son of the 2nd. wife [Plaintiff] It was further stipulated that in the event of their said husband dying without issue, the Zemindary was to be enjoyed by the first wife during her life, and to descend at her death to the Plaintiff. To this effect notice was given by him to the Collector in an Arzee dated 20th October 1826, and in conformity to his directions the 1st. Wife was upon her decease placed in possession of the Estate by the Collector on the 26th November 1827, who accordingly enjoyed it upto the period of her death which occurred on the 10th December 1838 when the Plaintiff was placed in possession by the Collector, and whilst she was enjoying it, Perriasawmy Saver put in a his claim to the Estate, and by some means obtained possession of it for a short time, until he was executed on the 12th August 1834. The Plaintiff further represents that the said Parrasawmy Saver died without issue that she is descended

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

descended from the common Ancestor, and that she is entitled to inherit the Zemindary both by right of birth as well as by marriage, but the 2nd Defendant who has no title whatever to the Estate has been placed in possession upon executing a Moochilka. The 1st Defendant who is the Mother of Parriasawmy Saver and who has no right to the Estate is also included as a Defendant in this suit as she also lays claim to it.

The 1st Defendant in her answer admits in part the right of the Plaintiff to the Zemindary in question, but claims an equal right to it herself. She acknowledges that the Plaintiff succeeded to the Estate in right of her deceased husband, but that whilst she was in possession of it, her own son Parriasawmy Saver instituted a suit for its recovery, and obtained decree of Court in his favor which recognized the right of the heirs male in preference to that of the female line. The son of the 1st Defendant having died unmarried, the Plaintiff ought to have made an adoption according to the injunctions of her husband, but not having done so, and as there are now no heirs male, the Plaintiff and 1st Defendant are equally entitled to share the Zemindary, and as the Plaintiff had formerly the sole management of the Estate, and as it is undivided

Fort St. George 3nd August 1846.

undivided it is proper that the Plaintiff should con-
-tinue to hold it as before. The 1st Defendant denies
that her son was married to the 2nd Defendant who
has no right whatever to the Zemindary, and she con-
-cludes by averring that her son was unmarried at the
time of her decease.—

The 2d Defendant in his answer denies
the right of the Plaintiff to the Zemindary in dispute,
and represents that her claims to the Estate have
already been investigated and set aside by the De-
-crees of the Provincial Court and Sudr Udalut in
favor of her 2nd Defendants husband, notwithstanding
which she has again brought forward her fictitious
claim. She affirms that she was formally united in
marriage to the son of the 1st Defendant, and is
consequently entitled as his widow to succeed to the
Estate, which fact she will establish by satisfactory
proof, as also by a Will left in her favor by
her late husband She further affirms that the
1st Defendant had no concern in the matter, and
that there has evidently been collusion between
the Plaintiff and 1st Defendant in instituting
the suit. The 2d Defendant was placed
in possession of the Estate upon furnishing
security from which she prays to be exempt.—

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.—

In the reply the allegations contained
in the Plaint are repeated, and there is nothing
in it particularly deserving of notice.—

The 1st Defendant did not rejoin.—

The 2d Defendant in her rejoinder
stated that she was married to Pariatawmy Tavei
on the 13th Avany of Veyziah, 23d June 1833 and that
the ceremony took place in the house of her father
at Podoocoody in the presence of several persons, and
that the Will left by her husband was executed
a long time previously to his decease.—

The following documents were filed
by the respective parties.—

Plaintiff's Document.—

A in No. 23 Copy of a Deposition given by Aundy
Goorookul before the Collector of Tin-
-nevelly dated 19th December 1834.—

B in Do. Copy of Do. by Ritchoopatan before Do.
dated Do.—

C in Do. Copy of Do. by Soobramania Tavei
before Do. dated Do.

D in Do. A Pichozumamah executed by Caveri
Iyen and 7 others before Do. dated Do.

E in Do. Copy of a Deposition given by Sadoo-
-ramy in Zemindary of Vodlarcadoo before Do.
dated

Fort St. George 3rd August 1846.

undivided it is proper that the Plaintiff should con-
-tinue to hold it as before. The 1st Defendant denies
that her son was married to the 2nd Defendant who
had no right whatever to the Zemindary, and she con-
-cludes by averring that her son was unmarried at the
time of her decease.—

The 2d Defendant in his answer denies
the right of the Plaintiff to the zemindary in dispute,
and represents that her claims to the Estate have
already been investigated and set aside by the De-
-crees of the Provincial Court and Sudr Udalut in
favor of her 2nd Defendants husband, notwithstanding
which she has again brought forward her petitions
claim. She affirms that she was formally united in
marriage to the son of the 1st Defendant, and is
consequently entitled as his widow to succeed to the
Estate, which fact she will establish by satisfactory
proof, as also by a Will left in her favor by
her late husband. She further affirms that the
1st Defendant has no concern in the matter, and
that there has evidently been collusion between
the Plaintiff and 1st Defendant in instituting
the suit. The 2d Defendant was placed
in possession of the Estate upon furnishing
security from which she prays to be exempt-

She

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.—

In the reply the allegations contained
in the Plaint are repeated, and there is nothing
in it particularly deserving of notice.—

The 1st Defendant did not rejoin.—

The 2d Defendant in her rejoinder
stated that she was married to Pariasawmy Saver
on the 13th Avany of Veeyiah, 23d June 1833 and that
the ceremony took place in the house of her father
at Poodoocoody in the presence of several persons, and
that the Will left by her husband was executed
a long time previously to his decease.—

The following documents were filed
by the respective parties.—

Plaintiffs Document.—

A in No. 23 Copy of a Deposition given by Aundy
Goorookul before the Collector of Tin-
-nevelly dated 19th December 1834.—

B in Do. Copy of Do. by Pitchoopatan before Do.
dated Do.—

C in Do. Copy of Do. by Soobramania Saver
before Do. dated Do.

D in Do. A Mohozurnamah executed by Caveri
Iyen and 7 others before Do. dated Do.

E in Do. Copy of a Deposition given by Sateo-
-nanny in Zemindary of Woolarcadoo before Do.
dated

dated 2d. June 1837

F. in No. 1846 Copy of a letter addressed by the Collector of Tinnevelly to the Board of Revenue dated 23d. December 1834.

G in No. D. Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 6th. March 1835.

H in No. D. Copy of a Rejoinder filed in O.S. No. 8 of 1834 of the file of the Provincial Court by the 1st. Defendant in Ord. No. 10 of 1838. and her Daughter Shevanoyer Amaul, dated 6th. April 1838.

I in D. Copy of an Extract from the proceedings of the Court of Sudr Udalut dated 23d. January 1837.

J in D. Copy of D. D. dated 7th. March 1837.

K in D. Copy of D. D. dated 1st. May 1837.

L in No. 46 Copy of a Summd issued by the Collector of Tinnevelly to the 2d. Defendant dated 3d. October 1837.

M in No. D. Extract from the Register of the sale of Stamps in the Talooke of Bromahdatham, under date 24th. and 25th. December 1839.

N in D. Copy of a Tahseed issued by the Tahsildar

Tahsildar of Bromadatham to the Zemindar of Carcand dated 20th. 1838.

2d. Defendants Documents.

A in No. 42 Copy of an Arzee addressed by the 2d. Defendants husband to the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 11th. February 1834.

B in D. Copy of a Will executed in favour of the 2d. Defendant by her husband. dated 10th. February 1834.

C in D. Copy of a letter addressed to the Collector of Tinnevelly by the Criminal Judge of Madura, dated 30th. July 1834.

D in No. 45 Copy of an Arzee addressed by the 1st. Defendant to the Court of Sudr Udalut, dated 24th. April 1837.

Ten Witnesses were examined in behalf of the 2d. Defendant namely.—

1 Vencatachella Naiken, 2 Draviumpilly 3 Vailootaven, 4 Vitteelrow, 5 Nurdiew 6 Soundraiah Naiken, 7 Nullapermaul Taven, 8 Veezia Raya Gopaula Taver. 9 Ramalinga Chitty and 10 Sevaneyee Ommaul.—

The Provincial Court did not consider it necessary to record any points for the Plaintiff

Plaintiff in this suit as upon reference to the Decree of the Court in No. 3 of 1839 which was affirmed by the Sudr Udalut in A No. 1 of 1836 the claim of the present Plaintiff was rejected and that of Nullacooty Periasawmy Tavin was recognized the only point consequently for the determination of the Court in this suit is whether the 2d. Defendant was legally married to the said Periasawmy Tavin in which case alone she would be entitled to succeed to the Estate and in failure of producing satisfactory proof on that head the Zemindary would revert to the Plaintiff—

On this point the Provincial Court are of opinion that the most satisfactory proof has been adduced by the 2d. Defendant (both by the documents in her favour as well as by the evidence given in her behalf) that she was married to the late Zemindar Nullacooty Pareasawmy Tavin in strict conformity to the prevailing customs of the Caste on the 13th. Annee of 1008 Aundoo and that every necessary preliminary and ceremony was resorted to for the purpose of legalizing the marriage in the presence of a large assembly of respectable persons. The disavowal of this fact by the 1st Defendant in her pleadings

pleadings is highly reprehensible for it appears by the Urzee which she addressed to the Sudr Udalut under date the 24th April 1837 that she brought that new circumstance to the notice of the Sudr Court for the express purpose of claiming the Zemindary in behalf of her Daughterinlaw the 2d. Defendant in conformity to the terms of the Will left by her son to which she particularly alludes in the Urzee referred to—

This view of the case is strengthened and confirmed by the Will executed by Nullacooty Periasawmy Tavin in favour of the 2d. Defendant on the 1st. Mausee of Vizigah which was transmitted by him with an Urzee to the Collector of Tinnevelly through the Criminal Judge of Madura, attested Copies of which two documents are filed in this suit, the genuineness of which there is no reason or grounds for questioning. It is true that the document marked F in No. 46 filed by the Plaintiff dated 23d. December 1834 goes to shew that the Collector examined several persons who declared that they had no knowledge of such a marriage having been contracted by the late Zemindar and that he was not aware of the circumstance himself, but such negative evidence cannot be admitted as conclusive in the face of positive proof adduced of the fact by the opposite party. In the Extract from the proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under

Plaintiff in this suit as upon reference to the as
Decree of the Court in No. 3 of 1839 which was
affirmed by the Sudr Udalut in A No. 6 of 1836 the
claim of the present Plaintiff was rejected and
that of Nullacooty Periasawmy Tavei was recognized
the only point consequently for the determination of
the Court in this suit is whether the 2d Defendant
was legally married to the said Periasawmy Tavei
in which case alone she would be entitled to succeed
to the Estate and in failure of producing satisfactory
proof on that head the Zemindary would revert to
the Plaintiff—

On this point the Provincial Courts are
of opinion that the most satisfactory proof has been
adduced by the 2d Defendant (both by the documents
in her favour as well as by the evidence given in her
behalf) that she was married to the late Zemindar
Nullacooty Pareasawmy Tavei in strict conformity
to the prevailing customs of the Caste on the
13th Aunee of 1008 Aundoo and that every necessary
preliminary and ceremony was resorted to for the
purpose of legalizing the marriage in the presence
of a large assembly of respectable persons. The
disavowal of this fact by the 1st Defendant in her
pleadings

pleadings is highly reprehensible for it appears by
the Urzee which she addressed to the Sudr Udalut
under date the 24th April 1837 that she brought that
very circumstance to the notice of the Sudr Court
for the express purpose of claiming the Zemindary
in behalf of her Daughterinlaw the 2d Defendant
in conformity to the terms of the Will left by her
son to which she particularly alludes in the Urzee
referred to—

This view of the case is strengthened
and confirmed by the Will executed by Nullacooty
Periasawmy Tavei in favour of the 2d Defendant
on the 1st Mansee of Vizjah which was transmit-
-ted by him with an Urzee to the Collector of Tinnevelly
through the Criminal Judge of Madura, attested Copies
of which two documents are filed in this suit, the
genuineness of which there is no reason or grounds for
questioning. It is true that the document marked
F in No. 46 filed by the Plaintiff dated 23d December 1834
goes to shew that the Collector examined several persons
who declared that they had no knowledge of such a
marriage having been contracted by the late Zemindar
and that he was not aware of the circumstance him-
-self, but such negative evidence cannot be admit-
-ted as conclusive in the face of positive proof
adduced of the fact by the opposite party. In the
Extract from the proceedings of the Sudr Udalut
under

Plaintiff in this suit as upon reference to the Decree of the Court in No. 3 of 1839 which was affirmed by the Sudr Udalut in A No. 6 of 1836 the claim of the present Plaintiff was rejected and that of Nullacooty Periasawmy Tavee was recognized the only point consequently for the determination of the Court in this suit is whether the 2d Defendant was legally married to the said Periasawmy Tavee in which case alone she would be entitled to succeed to the Estate and in failure of producing satisfactory proof on that head the Zemindary would revert to the Plaintiff—

On this point the Provincial Court are of opinion that the most satisfactory proof has been adduced by the 2d Defendant (both by the documents in her favour as well as by the evidence given in her behalf) that she was married to the late Zemindar Nallacooty Pareasawmy Tavee in strict conformity to the prevailing customs of the Caste on the 13th Annee of 1008 Aundoo and that every necessary preliminary and ceremony was resorted to for the purpose of legalizing the marriage in the presence of a large assembly of respectable persons. The disavowal of this fact by the 1st Defendant in her pleadings

pleadings is highly reprehensible for it appears by the Urzee which she addressed to the Sudr Udalut under date the 24th April 1837 that she brought that very circumstance to the notice of the Sudr Court for the express purpose of claiming the Zemindary in behalf of her Daughterinlaw the 2d Defendant in conformity to the terms of the Will left by her son to which she particularly alludes in the Urzee referred to—

This view of the case is strengthened and confirmed by the Will executed by Nullacooty Periasawmy Tavee in favour of the 2d Defendant on the 1st Mansee of Vizziah which was transmitted by him with an Urzee to the Collector of Tinnevelly through the Criminal Judge of Madura, attested Copies of which two documents are filed in this suit, the genuineness of which there is no reason or grounds for questioning. It is true that the document marked F in No. 46 filed by the Plaintiff dated 23d December 1834 goes to shew that the Collector examined several persons who declared that they had no knowledge of such a marriage having been contracted by the late Zemindar and that he was not aware of the circumstances himself, but such negative evidence cannot be admitted as conclusive in the face of positive proof adduced of the fact by the opposite party. In the Extract from the proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under

under date 1st May 1837 filed by the Plaintiff the substance of the motion addressed by Sodala Moottamaul (1st Defendant) is given in the 5th para wherein she expressly recognizes the right of her daughterinlaw (2nd Defendant) to succeed to the Zemindary, in whose favour she even resigned her own pretensions. It is evident therefore that she has since colluded with the Plaintiff in order to deprive the 2nd Defendant of her just right.-

For the foregoing reasons the Court nonsuit the Plaintiff, and decree that She shall pay the full costs of Suit.

The Court deem it necessary to warn the Plaintiff that she will subject herself to be heavily fined, should she upon any future occasion institute a suit so unfounded and vexatious as the present a suit in the support of which the most objectionable practices have been resorted to.-

Costs incurred by the Plaintiff Viz.t Rs. as. p. Rs. as. p.

Stamp duty on Institution under section XIII. Regulation XIII of 1816 — 250 " "

3/4 Fees of her Vakeel under Section XXV. Regulation XIV of 1816 — 255 12 6

Stamp duty on 14 exhibits filed from A to N.o inclusive — 28 " "

Value of Stamp papers filed — 45 " "

— 578 12 6

Costs incurred by the 1st Defendant — 8 " "

Costs incurred by the 2nd Defendant Viz.t

Fees of her Vakeel under Section XXV Regn XIV of 1816 — 341 " 8

Stamp duty on 8 exhibits filed from A to D inclusive — 8 " "

Value of Stamp papers filed — 11 " "

Total Rupees — 436 " 8

1014 13 2

Given under our hands and the Seal of the Court in the Court House at Trichinopoly this 31st day of January A. D. 1840.

(Signed) G. J. Waters
Acting 1st Judge
" W. R. Taylor
2d Judge

Attested
(Signed) W. R. Taylor
2d Judge for Regr

Vakeel and signed on the 11th June 1840 The period for appealing will expire on the 10th September 1840.

(Signed) W. R. Taylor
2d Judge for Regr

A true Copy
Signed) H. Harrington
Civil Judge

No. 4.-

Decree of the Special Commissioner in the Southern Division.-

O. S. No. 10 of 1838. Before the late Southern Provincial Court.-

Plaintiff.- Defendant.-

Tennatoopooly Nullacoottay Raince Sunkera auvady Ummal.- Vakeel — (Seal) — 1 Soodalay Moottamaul Palany Auchee Vakeel of 2d Defendant.- Kistnarow.-

Yendapully Kistnarow.-

Read Extract from the proceedings of the Court of Sudr Udalut of date 14th November 1842 in Sudr Appeal No. 22 of 1840 from the decree of the Provincial Court Southern Division in O. S. 10 of 1838 whereby for reasons recorded at large, the said appeal was ordered to be struck off the file of the Sudr Court and the Provincial Court directed to hear the Plaintiff's evidence and pass such a decree as the proofs should call for.-

Thirteen witnesses on behalf of the Plaintiff were accordingly examined Viz.t Ponnavalumpilly. Nullaperoomalpillay Rauskah Mosttootaven, Mootoosawmy taven Soodalay Muta Mosttootaven, Soodalay Mosttootaven Soobramaneya Vatyar, Aurasmooga Ausary, Alagkapilly and Mosttoosawmy Chetty, and in the mind of the Special Commissioner have no doubt of

of the validity of the Plaintiffs claim.-

The following additional documents were filed on behalf of the Plaintiff and 2d Defendant respectively.-

For the Plaintiff.-

Copy of an Arzee addressed by the 1st Defendant Soodalay Moottamaul to the Board of Revenue dated 5th December 1834.-

A Takeed issued by the Sub Collector of Tinnevelly under date. 25th May 1838 to the 2nd Defendant Palany Aucharry.-

For the 2d Defendant.-

E. Copy of an Arzee addressed by the Ryots of the Zemindar of Singumputty to the Sub Collector of Tinnevelly dated 7th December 1836.-

F. Copy of a Takeed issued by the Sub Collector of Tinnevelly to the Zeyt Tumeenah of Singumputty dated 28th April 1836.-

G. A receipt granted to Palangachy Annual Zemindar of Singumputty by the Collector of Tinnevelly for Rupees 1400 dated 31st May 1840.-

H. A Takeed issued to Tennatoopooly Nullacoatty Palaney Auchy Annual Zemindar of Singumputty by the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 26th July 1839.-

I. A D. D. D. D. D. by the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly dated 28th November 1844.-

The

J. The Curnam's account of the Sibbandy expenses &c. of the Sansta Soory Moottien Covil attached to the Zemindary of Singumputty for Fusly 1245.-

The former decree of the Provincial Court (to which the Special Commissioner was not a party is made to turn on the inadmissibility of certain evidence termed "Negative" as put in opposition to the positive proof of the 2nd Defendant's Marriage to the late Zemindar Peravaumy taven, and stress is laid on an admission by the 1st Defendant of the fact of the Marriage in a Motion purporting to have been made by her on 1st May 1837 to the Court of Sudr Adalut.-

Now with regard to the aforesaid positive evidence the Special Commissioner is far from considering it so satisfactory as did his late Colleagues being of opinion that it bears its own refutation, in a great measure, on the face of it, attempted, as it is, to be proved that the Marriage was a sort of smuggled transaction devoid of all that pomp and parade to which natives of the rank in life of the deceased Zemindar are so attached, without notice to the Collector, without possession, without the presence of the high Officers of the Zemindary and without any public demonstration of joy and greeting on the return of the Zemindar with his

bride

bride from Poodoocoodee to Singumpatty all which appears utterly incredible.-

Viewed in this light and set against the positive proof now adduced in contravention of the fact of the Marriage supported by the so termed "Negative" testimony before alluded to, the Special Commissioner can allow it no weight and as regards the alleged motion of 1st. May 1837 it cannot be admitted without distrust and suspicion presented as it was by the same Vakeel who presented a motion for the 2d. defendant whose interest it was to shew that the fact of her Marriage had the support of Peracawmy Lauen's Mother, and opposed as it is to the answer of the 1st. defendant in this case and to the Plaintiff's document filed on 22d. June 1843 being Authenticated Copy of an Arzee presented by 1st. defendant to the Board of Revenue on 5th. December 1834 wherein it is expressly declared that the assertion of her Son's Marriage was made by him falsely thro' evil persuasion and want of Sense- what may have induced the deceased to style the 2d. Defendant his wife in the Arzee accompanying his Will forwarded to the Collector by the Criminal Judge on the 30th. July 1834 and bearing date the 10th. February of the

the same year (the date of the Will which does not designate her So) the Special Commissioner is unable to divine but he cannot allow that it is sufficient to Nullify the evidence for the Plaintiff in whose favor he gives Judgment accordingly and adjudges the 2d. Defendant to pay all costs of suit the 1st. defendant waiving any claim she may have in favor of the Plaintiff.-

Costs incurred by the Plaintiff filing &c.

	Rs. a. p.
Amount charged in the former Decree - - -	578-12-6
One fourth fees of her Vakeel, three fourths having been charged in the former Decree under section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816 -	85-4-2
Value of additional Stampt papers filed -	54-0-0
Stampt duty on 2 additional exhibits filed -	4-0-0
Costs incurred by the 1st deft as per former Decree -	8-0-0
	800-0-8

Carried Over 800-0-8

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846.

	Rs. a. ℘	Rs. a. ℘
Brought over		800--8
Costs incurred by the 2d. Defendant Viz.t		
Amount charged in the former Decree	426--8	
Value of additional Stampt papers filed	52--8	
Stamp duty on additional exhibits filed	12--0	
	490--8	
Total Rupees	1220-1-4	

Given under my hands and the seal of the Court this 12th day of October 1843.-

(Signed) W. Harington
Special Commissioner.

Signed and Sealed on the 29th February 1844 and the period for preferring an appeal will expire on the 29th May 1844.-

(Signed) W. Harington
Special Commissioner.

(A true Copy.)
(Signed) W. Harrington
Civil Judge.

Fort St. George 3d. August 1846

No. 5.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Adalut under date the 14th November 1843.-

In Appeal No. 22 of 1840.

Rawny Tennattoo Pooly Nulla cootty Sunkara Anvoodia Ammaul } Appellant

Versus.

1st Soodalamoottoo Ammaul and 2nd Palany Auchy } Respondents

1. The suit was filed for recovery of the Singumputty Zemindary in the Tinnevelly District and comes before the Sudr Adalut in appeal from the Southern Provincial Court who nonsuited the Plaintiff with Costs.-

2. Plaintiff and another female deceased (Moottamaul) were the wives of the deceased Zemindar N. Sevadoobramania Taver it is set forth, that it was agreed that the son of the 1st Wife should succeed to the Estate, and in default of issue by her that then the son by the 2d Wife should succeed it was further agreed that in the event of of the Zemindar dying without issue the 1st Wife should succeed and after her the 2nd Wife Viz.t the Plaintiff. after the above circumstances had transpired a dispute arose regarding the inheritance when a decree passed

passed declaring the right in favor of Periadawmy Tavar the Son of the 1st Defendant.

3. In the decree now appealed from the Provincial Court make the question in dispute to rest upon the fact of whether the 2nd Defendant was married to the deceased Pariadawmy Tavar, and being of opinion that the marriage was proved they decree the right to the Estate to be vested in the widow (the 2nd Defendant) as heir at law. The Provincial Court did not call upon the Plaintiff to enter on the proofs of her case being of opinion that the proof to be adduced was on the side of the 2nd Defendant.

4. The Provincial Court did not consider it necessary to record any points for the Plaintiff in this suit as upon reference to the decree of this Court in No. 3 of 1839 which was affirmed by the Sudr Adalut in Appeal Suit No. 6 of 1839, the claim of the present Plaintiff was rejected and that of Vellacootty Pariadawmy Tavar was recognized the only point consequently for the determination of the Court in this suit, is whether the 2nd Defendant was legally married to the said Pariadawmy Tavar, in which case alone she would be entitled

entitled to succeed to the estate and in failure of producing satisfactory proof on that head the Zemindary would revert to the Plaintiff.-

5. The Provincial Court were of opinion that the Marriage was clearly established between Pariadawmy Tavar and the 2nd Defendant- and they lay stress upon a Will said to have been executed by Pariadawmy Tavar in favor of the 2nd Defendant by which they Consider their view of the case is strengthened and confirmed in a subsequent part of their decree the Provincial Court observe in reference to an enquiry made by the Collector- "It is true that the document marked F in No. 40 filed by the Plaintiff dated the 23d December 1834 goes to show that the Collector examined several persons who declared that they had no knowledge of such a marriage having been contracted by the late Zemindar and that he was not aware of the circumstance himself. But such negative evidence cannot be admitted as conclusive in the face of positive proof adduced of the fact by the opposite party." Allusion is made in other parts of the decree to a petition presented by the 1st Defendant (dated 24th April 1837)

in

in which in contradiction of her pleadings in this suit She admits the marriage of the 2nd. Defendant and her claims to the Zemindary as dependent upon it, and great stress is laid upon the former admission and present contradiction. She expressly recognizes the right of her daughterinlaw (2d. Defendant) to succeed to the Zemindary in whose favor she even resigned her own pretensions. It is evident therefore that she has since colluded with the Plaintiff in order to deprive the 2d. Defendant of her just rights.

6 In appeal from this decree the appellant urges among numerous arguments that the Will executed by Pariadawmy Taver and upon which so much stress has been laid does not designate the 2nd. Defendant as his wife, this appears to be true on reference to the Will) appellant further urges that if the 2nd. Defendant had really been the heir she would have applied through her male relations to the Collector for the inheritance and not have waited several years.

7 The Court of Sudr Adalut are

are of opinion that the records of this case should be sent back to the Provincial Court with orders that they hear the Plaintiffs evidence and pass such decree as the proofs call for, the evidence which the Provincial Court think of no avail appears to the Court of Sudr Adalut to be almost conclusive in favor of the Plaintiffs' claim and in disproof of the Marriage between Pariadawmy Taver and the 2nd. Defendant. although the Collectors' decision may not have the legal force of a decree it appears to the Court of Sudr Adalut strong in proof that there was no marriage, for had there been one the parties called by the Collector it may be safely assumed would have known of it. decided this there is an intercourse subsisting between Zemindars and the Collector of a district which almost excludes the possibility of his being in ignorance of the fact had it transpired the result of the enquiry before the Collector appears to the Court of Sudr Adalut to be the strongest evidence in the case.

8 Regarding the Will it appears to have been executed when Pariadawmy Taver

Taver was under sentence of death - and it makes no mention of the 2nd Defendant as his Wife, she is described as the daughter of Comaravalo Davan of Poodoocoode. There was no necessity for this Will, for by the Hindoo law the 2nd Defendant if married to Paria Sawmy Taver was his heir at law in default of issue, and it is most pro= bable for the very reason of their having been no Marriage that the Will was made.-

9. The Court of Sudr Udalut consider that the Provincial Court were wrong in refusing to receive the Plaintiffs evidence and direct that the Case be sent back and Stamps in Appeal returned.-

10. The Appeal No. 22 of 1840 is ordered to be struck off the file and the Court treating this Appeal as a Summary Appeal direct under the provisions of Clause Eleventh Section V Regulation XV of 1810 that Pleaders of this Court do receive each one fourth of the regular fee; the same to be paid by the parties respectively.-

11 The Court further direct that the amount of the difference between the Stamp duty paid on the Petition of Appeal and that payable on a Petition of Summary

Summary Appeal being Rupees (248-0-") Two hundred and forty eight be returned to the Appellant Rawny Tennantoo Pooly Nullacoolty Sunkara Envoodia Ammaul by the Provincial Court in the Southern Division.-

12 The Court also direct that the Sum of Rupees 10-0-" being the dif= ference between the Amounts paid by the 2nd Respondent on account of Stamps for her Answer and the Amount which she would have paid under the Provi= sions of Section XX Regulation XIII of 1810 for an Answer in a Summary Ap= peal be repaid to her.-

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings together with the original Record of the Suit be returned to the Provincial Court in the Southern Division for their information.-

(True Extract)
(Signed) A. Mathison
Acting Register

To The Provincial Court of Appeal
in the Southern Division

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Harrington
Civil Judge

Ordered

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous Communication on the subject be submitted with the following address.-

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

(74-75)

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting a Correspondence with the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly on the subject of the succession to the Singumputty Zemindary in that District and recommending that previous to the Government asserting their right to the property as an escheat the Law Officers of the Court of Sudder Udalut be required to state whether according to the Hindoo Law any of the titles of the Claimants to inherit the Estate be good and valid and which title is preferable.-

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Correspondence which has passed between this Office and the Collector of Tinnevelly on the subject of the succession to the Singumputty Zemindary in that District.-

+ From Coll.r 13th June inst.o 9th July 1845
To- Collector ---------- 9th " "
From Do 15th Sept.r inst.o 16th Oct.r
To ---Do ---------- 20th " "
From Do 22nd Jan.y inst.o 26th Feb.y 1846
To Do ---------- 26th " "
From Do 17th June inst.o 3d Aug.t 1846.-

2 The history of this Zemindary is as follows.- It was held at the time of the permanent Settlement by Nullacoottie Taver and descended from him to his son Siva Soobramuneya Taver who died in 1826 leaving no issue, but two Widows.- The elder widow Valy Soodalamoottoo Ummal succeeded to the Zemindary which she held until her death in 1826.- She was succeeded by the second widow Sungaravoody Ummal who after enjoying the property for a short time was ousted under

Fort St. George 3d August 1846-

under a decree of Court by Pereya Sawmy Taver her husbands Cousin.- the ground of the decree being that as Pereya Swamy Taver and Soobramuneya Taver were descended from a common ancestor and members of an undivided family the title of Pereya Sawmy Taver was preferable to that of a party who claimed only by marriage.- Pereyaswamy Taver was executed for murder in the year 1834.- He left a Mistress Pyne=yachy Ummal.- a Mother Soodalamoottoo=yachy Ummal.- a Brother Seenanoye who has a Son and daughter Pyneyachy Ummal, who alleged that she had been married to Pereya=Sawmy Taver, was for some time after his death in possession of the Zemindary but was at length rejected by Sunkaravoody Ummal already noticed, who proved in appeal before the Special Commissioner Southern Division that the pretended Marriage had not taken place.- The restoration of Sunkaravoody Ummal to the Estate and other Circumstances Connected with it were brought to the notice of Government by the Board in their letter of 26th May 1845.-

3 Sunkaravoody Ummal died 7th June 1845.- She left no Children and

Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

and the succession to the Singumputty Zemindary is now contested by the following parties-

1. Nullacoattee Tauer. Nephew (brother's son) and adopted son of the deceased Sunkaranooty Tummaul-

2 Pyneyachy Tummaul pretended wife, but in reality Concubine of Pereya Sawmy Tauer already noticed-

3 Soodalamoottoo Tummaul mother of Pereyasawmy Tauer for herself & and for her daughter Vizt-

4 Seenanoye (Sister of Pereyasawmy Tauer) and her children-

In Cauv. 16th Octr. 1845

From the circumstances stated in the Collector's letter of the 15th September 1845 there seems to be no doubt that the alleged adoption of Nullacoottey Tauer is fictitious- any further claim which that party may urge as nephew to the deceased appears also to the Board to be of no validity because Sunkaranooty Tummaul by her marriage passed into the family of her husband and thereby severed the Connection between herself and her own family so that no Members

Fort St. George 3d August 1846-

of it can now inherit her property- For a similar reason that claim of Seenanoye sister of the Zemindar Pereyasawmy Tauer on behalf of herself or children seems invalid- The pretensions of Pyney Tummaul who has been proved in a Suit in Court to have been the Mistress of Pereyasawmy Tauer do not deserve notice-

5. The only party among the several claimants to whose pretensions the Board are inclined to attach much weight is Soodalamoottoo Tummaul mother of Pereyasawmy Tauer and as regards that individual they are not able to state how far the property once held by her son would in failure of other heirs revert to herself- It has been stated indeed by the Collector that Soodalamoottoo had on a former occasion resigned her claim to the Zemindary in favor of Pyneyachy Tummaul- but on referring to the paper which is considered to convey the renunciation alluded to (Petition to Sudr Court 24th April 1837) a document the authenticity of which is moreover considered by the Special Commissioner to be open to suspicion- it will be

Collectors letter 22d Jany. 1846 in Cauv. 26th Febry.

found

found to be merely an address asserting the reality of the marriage of the Petitioner Pereyasawmy Tauer with Pynaychy Timmaul, and maintaining that the latter was in consequence entitled to succeed to the Estate of her alleged husband. This pretended marriage as already shewn has been disproved in a Court of Law - but it does not appear to the Board that the support at one time given to it by Soodaneen Lamoottoo Timmaul can in any way affect the claim which she may now prefer on her own behalf.

The above are the only parties by whom the succession to the Zemindary is contested and should none of their claims be well founded the Zemindary will fall in to Government as an escheat. Prior however to the Government asserting their right to the property it is of course indispensably necessary that the titles advanced by the several claimants should be duly investigated, and with this view the Board would recommend that the case be referred for the opinion of the Law officers of the Courts of Sudr Adalut who should be

be required to state whether according to Hindoo Law the title of Nullacoottoo Tauer as nephew of the deceased. 2d of Seevanaye as sister of Pereya: =swamy Tauer by the same mother or 3rd of Sooda: =Lamoottoo Timmaul as Mother of that individual to inherit the Sivigunputty Estate be good and valid, and which title is preferable It seems unnecessary to submit the same question as regards Pynaychy Timmaul as the marriage on which her only claim can rest has already been pronounced to be fictitious in a Civil Court. On the opinion of the Law officers being received the further measures to be adopted in regard to the estate can be taken into consideration.

It remains only to add that the Zemindary is now under charge of the officers of Government on account of arrears but these are not considerable and in August last the net amount was only Rupees 1284-4-8. The Peishcush Rupees 8050. The estate being situated near the Hills and receiving the first supply of water is presented to be a valuable property and capable

From Collr 15th Septr 1845
In Cons. 16th Octr

10126

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

Capables of much improvement; and
if put up to auction might it is
is stated realize Rupees 40000.-

8. The Board beg to annex a Genealogical Table in illustration of the foregoing Paras exhibiting the Members of the Singumpatty Family and the Connection borne to it by the several parties who now contest the succession to the Zemindary-

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George -
3d August 1846 -

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary
Peraadumury

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

A

Peraadumany Taxes

Zemindary Taxes

Company exempted for Life

Sivagunga Zemindary Taxes

Sivagunga Zemindary Taxes
established 1730.
Exempted for Life
His Lordship Sivagunga Zemindar
as Claimant.
His duties by the name of Mahl Mahs.
Claimant-
A Claimant
His Lordship Sivagunga Zemindar
A Claimant-

Paid

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

A

Peraadumany Taxes

Company exempted for Life

N.B. A division of [illegible]
Sivagunga Zemindary
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees

His Son

His Son

Sivagunga Zemindary Taxes died 1826.

Mr Macaulay Taxes
Zemindar as the Commandant's Title.
Minor &c
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1828-
2 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
3 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
4 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
5 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
6 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
7 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
8 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
9 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
10 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
11 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
12 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
13 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
14 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
15 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
16 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
17 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
18 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
19 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
20 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
21 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
22 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
23 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
24 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
25 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
26 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
27 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
28 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
29 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
30 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
31 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
32 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
33 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
34 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
35 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
36 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
37 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
38 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
39 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
40 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
41 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
42 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
43 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
44 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
45 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
46 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
47 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
48 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
49 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
50 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
51 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
52 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
53 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
54 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
55 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
56 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
57 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
58 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
59 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
60 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
61 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
62 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
63 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
64 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
65 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
66 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
67 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
68 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
69 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
70 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
71 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
72 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
73 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
74 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
75 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
76 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
77 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
78 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
79 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
80 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
81 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
82 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
83 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
84 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
85 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
86 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
87 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
88 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
89 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
90 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
91 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
92 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
93 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
94 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
95 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
96 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
97 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
98 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
99 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-
100 Sivagunga Zemindary
Exempted for Life
Collusion Mahs Tax Rupees
Exempted for Life
Succeeded his Husband in the
Zemindary and died 1829-

Read the following letters-

(76)

From the Ag. Collr. of Tinnevelly — To T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 22d. Ultimo, I have the honor to state that the log of Sysoo wood sent by Mr. Thomas to the Board as a specimen, was obtained from a tree produced from seed supplied by the Board, and that I cannot learn that there are any trees of this description in the District with the exception of about 70 of the same age (4 years) and raised in the same way.

Tinnevelly Tencaussey 7th July 1846

(Signed) R. D. Parker Acting Collr.

(76-77) Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded.

To T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(78)

From the Ag. Collr. of Tinnevelly —

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to state that on the Pawaly Zemindary being

To Board No. 231 dated 5th Decr. 1844.
From Do. No. 24 dated 23d Jany. 1845
From Do. No. 79 dated 21st April Do.
To - Do. No. 104 dated 12th May Do.
From Do. No. 169 dated 21st July Do.
To Do. No. 206 dated 13th Sept. Do.
From Do. No. 53 dated 19th Feby. 1846

being taken under management, pending a decision on the disputed right to succeed to the deceased Zemindar, it was thought sufficient to appoint one Goomastah only, on a Monthly pay of 7 Rupees, to superintend it under the supervision of the neighbouring Tahsildar, on account of the short period for which the attachment was likely to continue, but as this arrangement has proved altogether inadequate for the efficient management of the Property, and it seemed probable with reference to the opinion of the Board in their letter+ noted in the Margin, that it might be held for an indefinite period I deemed it necessary on the approach of the Harvest of the present year, to appoint the Sibbendy Specified in the Margin, in anticipation of the Board's sanction, which I have now the honor to solicit.-

+ to Govt. 27th Octr. 1845

	Per Month	
Ancenah	4	—
1 Jumpredy	7	—
1 Sheroff	4	—
4 Peons at 3-8 each	14	—
1 Sweeper	0	8
Sawderwared	1	8
	41	—

The Settlement for Fasly 1254 amounted to Rupees 74.35 — the Peishcush being only 2800 Rs.

Tinnevelly Tencaussey 13th July 1846

(Signed) R. D. Parker Ag. Collector

Under

10130 Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Resolution thereon. Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board sanction the additional Establishment required for the management of the Pauvelly Zemindary amounting to Pagodas 41-4-0 per mensem.

Read again letter from the Collector of Canara dated 13th June and entered in Consultation 16th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(78·79)

(80)

To the Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th Ultimo and the subject of the refunds of the Hamlut duties collected in your District subsequently to act VI 1846 coming into operation.

2 On referring to the Statements A and B which accompanied your letter the Board perceive that in calculating the amount of Hamlut duty repayable on articles exported by Sea, you have deducted from the whole amount of Hamlut levied, that portion which was paid in the Land Customs, and that the remainder, less the export duty of the present Act constitutes the sum which it is proposed to refund. The Board do not quite understand in

To the Collector of Canara — Requesting explanation on certain points connected with the refunds of the Hamlut duties collected in his District subsequently to act VI 1846 coming into operation.

10131. Fort St. George 3d August 1846.

Note. This sum cannot be included in Statement B) of Cash Abstract No. 3 L.C. V statement-B) Collected on Exports to the Bombay Territory as this is expressly stated (83) to have been levied on articles exported by the way of Dharwar

in what manner you intend to deal with the Amount 16,133-15-4 Column 7 Statement B) thus collected at the Inland Chowkies. It is not explained whether this part of the Hamlut duty was levied prior or subsequently to the introduction of Act VI 1846 or whether in part before and in part after that enactment came into force. To such part of the inland duty as may have been collected before the introduction of the act the instructions contained in the latter part of para 4 of the Circular from this office 15th July 1846 were intended to apply, and but for the mistake which has occurred in regard to the Continuance of the Hamlut duties in Canara, those inland payments would have secured free export for the goods on which they had been made, and without prejudice to the further claims of Drawback upon them hereafter. Where therefore payments of the Inland Hamlut duty now referred to was made prior to 1st April 1846 the entire Sea Customs duty subsequently levied should it seem to the Board be refunded — that part of it demandable under act VI 1846 having been already satisfied by the previous inland payment. The claim to Drawback on such inland duty is a distinct question and will remain to be disposed of separately. As respects that portion of

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

of the Inland Haulut duty which may have been collected after the present Customs act was introduced, it is evident that the levy of this duty inland was as illegal as its levy on the Coast, and that the whole duty wherever paid should be returned excepting the 3 per Cent of the existing schedules. You will be so good as to favor the Board with your explanation on the above points.

3 The Board concur in the views expressed in Paras 6-7 and will recommend their adoption to Government. It is presumed that the Rowannahs will always shew whether the articles covered by them were retained in the Bombay Territories for Home Consumption or exported thence by Land or Sea and in the latter case whether any further duty was levied. The Board would also observe that the 3 per Cent duty to be retained out of the Haulut on such goods as may have been admitted to free exports from the Bombay Territories should be calculated upon the valuation of the Bombay Tariff.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d August 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

Fort St. George 3rd August 1846

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

81

From the Collector of Canara.....

Sir,

With reference to the Board's circular letter dated 19th January last, I have the honor to inform you that the several Talsildars in this District have been furnished with Copies of translations either received from Government or made in my Office of the several Regulations and Acts which are necessary for their guidance as Revenue and Police Officers.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore 25th July
1846.

81-82

Order thereon.-

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collr. of Canara

Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the following letter.-

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

83

From Mr. G. F. Fischer
Zemindar of Salem.

Gentlemen,

In obedience to their orders

Minutes of Cons of the 13th Instant.

I beg to submit through your Board the enclosed appeal to Government against your Board's proceedings

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

proceedings of the 1st April last in regard to my claim for rent for Lands belonging to my Mootah occupied by the Judicial Buildings at Salem.—

Salem
18th June 1846.

/Signed/ G. F. Fischer
Zemindar of Salem.

To
E. D. Thomjson Esqre
Secretary to Government.
Fort St. George.

A

Sir,

1. I had the honor duly to receive the Extract from the Minutes of Consultations, which you transmit me, passed on the 7th Instant, on my Petition to Government of the 28th Ultimo.—

2. I am therein informed, "that my claim to arrears of rent on the ground occupied by the Judicial buildings at Salem was brought under the consideration of Government by the Board of Revenue, and finally disposed of on the 23d March last; and that the decision of Government will be communicated to me in due course through the proper authorities." — This communication I in fact received a few days

Fort St. George 3 August 1846

days after having addressed my Memorial to Government on the subject.—

3. It was however with no little surprise that I learned the grounds upon which the Board of Revenue resisted the claim I had preferred, and placed it upon the footing which has received the sanction of Government.— But as the Board's representation to Government is, and I venture to make the remark without intending any disrespect to that authority, an entirely one sided version, as I have arguments to advance against the view the Board has taken, which I am under the impression will be found conclusive, and as the question at issue is one that may be said to be directly between myself, as proprietor of the land, and the Government, as occupying a portion of that land, I indulge the hope that the Government will be disposed to reconsider its decision, and to examine the subject in the full light which two antagonist statements must shed upon it.—

4. I will then in the first place bring under the notice of Government the fact that my present claim has

sole

sole reference to a certain portion of ground
in the possession of public departments, s
and that the justice of this claim is not
only admitted by the Board, but also
the correctness of my statement in respect
to the quantity of land.- A trifling differ=
=ence only existing, which has already bee
waived by me as a point in dispute.-
5. Surely after an admission
such as this, there could apparently exist s
little ground for still protracting a s
payment, or raising an opposition to
its being made.- But / and I must be per=
=mitted to use the term / the ingenuity of
the Board has discovered this, by raking s
up a long since adjusted account, and
giving the lie to the result of a most
formal and deliberate enquiry entered
upon by all the legitimate local authori=
=ties of the Collectorate of Salem, grounded
upon all the best evidence obtainable s
in such a question, and finally sanction=
=ed by the very Board of Revenue, which
now by something more than a strang
inconsistency seek to reopen this closed
enquiry, to stigmatise its conclusions
as erroneous, and, having s
settled

settled this by a stroke of the pen, to take
advantage of a separate debt being owing
to me as Zemindar of Salem, by stopping
out of its accounts, the sum previously
paid to me, as what was most formally
acknowledged to be justly and legitimately
due.-
6. May I not be permitted to sub=
=mit to the Government, whether a proceed=
=ing of this Kind is reconcileable with s
right principle / whether it would accord
its deliberative sanction to such a course
being pursued towards any individual, as
when a claim preferred by him had been
disposed of by all its own constituted au=
=thorities and payment thereof finally s
made, the whole question should be s
reopened / in this instance it has too been
in a purely one sided manner / and the s
money paid be arbitrarily taken back from
a fund that chanced to be available for
the purpose.-
7 Yet it cannot be denied
that this is no exaggeration of the features
of the present case.- I preferred a claim
in 1839 for the rent of certain land desig=
=nated as Nuttum Pompah. It was fully
investigated

investigated by the then Collector of Salem Mr Orr. He was satisfied that the land was Nuttum Poonjah, and he reported accordingly- The Board confirmed this view, and ordered the amount of the claim to be disbursed- Now however the result of a fresh enquiry is said to be that the land is not Nuttum Poonjah, but Dhara Perembok, and so the amount already paid is not to be claimed back in any course of Law or otherwise, which would admit of a thorough investigation- but to be arbitrarily deducted from a sum acknowledged to be justly due for other land- Is this reasonable? Is it consistent with common justice?-

8. But apart from this question of general principle, the intrinsic merits, of the question regarding the original payment to me are equally in my favor.-

9. At the time of my putting forward that first demand Mr Orr the Collector made the fullest enquiry into the history and condition of the land in question- he examined the Curnum Pullaneyappah Moodelly about it, and examined all the Fiscal and Putcut accounts regarding

regarding it and the issue of all this was the conviction in his mind that the land was Nuttum Poonjah.-

And what appears on the other side a report by Mr Gleig at a subsequent date, supported by one from his then locum tenens Mr Lockhart, representing that the former decision, was erroneous, and that there is no Nuttum Poonjah land within the Court enclosures; the probable correctness of which last Statement is supported by alleged fact / Vide para 7 of Board's letter to Government / that Messrs Gleig and Lockhart inspected and measured the lands in question "with great care in person" and by evidence furnished by the Curnum Palany appah Moodelly and the Moonsiff Marremoottoo Pillay; "the latter of whom cultivated "the land in question at the time of "the Paymash-" a few remarks will however satisfactorily dispose of these grounds of support-

10

What guarantee I may be allowed to ask have I, that this also will not be similarly disturbed at some future period?-

Fort St George 3rd August 1846.

11. Of the personal inspection by Mr Lyle and Mr Lockhart I never before heard, and can only suppose there must be an error in the statement for I repeatedly offered to point out the boundaries of the land, and my offers were as constantly evaded - I am however at a loss to see how this personal inspection and measurement of land could by possibility suffice to invalidate a previous investigation depending from circumstances more upon records and information than any oculor demonstration. -

12. Respecting the surname of Palaniyappah Moodelly, it will not escape the notice of Government that his new evidence ostentatiously brought forward by the Board, is diametrically opposed to that which he furnished to Mr Orr, and I will add that it is quite notorious, that, immediately after his giving the latter deposition, he examined the accounts in the possession of the de facto Curnum, Vencatachellum Moodelly and forthwith gave a Kayfeu thanamah, recanting his statement, and averring the land to be Nuttum Poonjah, and not Dhara Perembokc. Further it is

likewise

Fort St George 3d August 1846

likewise notorious that two or three months afterwards, on a further enquiry being made into the matter, he gave a deposition to the same effect - I am quite at a loss to account for these facts not having been brought under the notice of Government. -

13. Lastly, with regard to the blind man Narrainsoottoo Pillay, his statement that he cultivated the land in question at the time of the Tymash is of itself altogether in my favor as Mootahidar!

14. I trust that I have now succeeded in setting this question (which I have been driven into making a matter of some controversy) with sufficient clearness before Government, and that the Most Noble the Governor in Council will be prepared to admit, that neither in respect of a general principle, nor of its own intrinsic merits, can the Board of Revenue be justified in re-opening the settled question of my former demand, and far less in making of it a plea for recommending another claim being withheld, which is acknowledged on every side to be justly and legitimately due; and I therefore hope that His Lordship will be pleased to

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

to reconsider his decision, and order a payment to be made of the amount of my present claim, without any deduction of the kind suggested by the Board of Revenue.-

15 I will now only add one word on the question of interest. The Board leave it to be inferred that I claim thes from the commencement of the arrears, and resist it on the ground that I did not bring forward my demand till 1841, and then preferred it in the wrong quarter. I only ask for interest from 1841, and I ask it as my unquestionable right. My claim is acknowledged to be perfectly just, and surely I am not to suffer because I have had to struggle five long years to obtain its recognition: with respect to the objection of my preferring my request in the wrong quarter, I may be forgiven for the remark that the Board of Revenue should blush to prefer it- not a syllable on this score has been urged up to the present time and the correspondence before it must have shewn the Board that Collector, Judge and all acted in unison in the matter-

I stand solely upon my legetimate rights and confidently look

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

look for a full enquiry and justice at the hands of Government.-

Salem ---
28th May 1846

(Signed) G. F. Fischer
Zemindar of Salem.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To The Collector of Salem.-

83-84

To the Collr of Salem --- Para 1.

Transmitting for report a letter from Mr Fischer objecting to the mode in which his claim for rent on account of the land of his Mootah occupied for judicial purposes at Salem has been disposed of.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you for such observations as you may wish to offer, the accompanying letter from Mr Fischer which has been sent by that Gentleman to this Office with a view to its being laid before Government, and in which he objects to the mode in which his claim for rent on account of the land of his Mootah occupied for judicial purposes at Salem has been disposed of.-

In Para 11 of this letter Mr Fischer states "of the personal inspection "by Mr Glieg and Mr Lockhart of the lands "in question, "I never before heard and can "only suppose that there must be an "error in the Statement for I repeatedly

offered

Fort St. George 3d August 1846

"offered to point out the boundaries of the
"land and my offers were as constantly evaded."

From various parts of the correspondence
which has passed on this subject, the Board
were certainly under the impression that
either Mr. Fischer himself or some of
his servants had been present when the
land referred to was inspected and measured
that he or they had been made acquainted
with the details of the examination, &
had an opportunity of offering any objections
which they might wish to urge and of pointing
out what in their view was the true state
of the case. As however it is of importance
that no doubt should be left upon this
point the Board request that you will
now call upon Mr. Fischer to go in
person with you over the whole ground
comprised within the Court Enclosure.
You will point out to him Station by
Station the several fields of which you con-
sider the entire area to consist with all parti-
culars connected with them, will desire
him to state in writing any objections
which he may wish to make to your
view of the question, and to furnish a plan
shewing the extent site and boundaries
of

Fort St. George 3rd August 1846

all the various fields which as he contends
are occupied by the Judicial Buildings and
for which he claims rent. In forwarding this
Plan and Statement to the Board you will
accompany it with any remarks which may
seem to be required. and it would be well
if you could at the same time furnish
them with a Map of the Ground under dis-
pute of greater minuteness and accuracy
than that transmitted in your letter of 5th July
In Counc. 13th July 1843 1843. you will cause such a plan to be
prepared, if possible, by a Surveyor or drafts-
man attached to the Civil Engineer of the
Division. It should be drawn upon a scale
and show Tak by Tak the dimensions of
(N to S E to W) Area, position, and bounda-
ries of each parcel of Ground within the
Court Enclosure as laid down in the Pymash
accounts. the exact situation of the several
buildings now standing on the land and of
any hedges walls or enclosures by which
it may now be subdivided. It should also
exhibit a larger portion of the sur-
rounding Ground than is shown in your
last plan

3 From some passages of his
letter Mr. Fischer would appear to
suppose

10144 Fort St. G.

10145 Fort St George 3rd August 1846

suppose did he land which he contends to be Nuttum Poonjah is considered by the Board to be Dhara Perumboke and he seems to have been led into that opinion from some parts of the Board's letter to Government which are perhaps not sufficiently explicit This however is not the case The Board understand the point at issue between Mr Fischer and the Salem authorities to be whether the whole court enclosure is of Condacharum Dolum Vadoogun Tottum and Nuttum Poonjah as Mr Fischer contends or Condacharum Totum Vadoogum Totum and Dhara Perumboke as maintained by yourself and Mr Igleig but the Board do not consider the question of Nuttum Poonj and Dhara Perumboke to apply to the same land on the contrary they consider the land termed by Mr Fischer Nuttum Poonj to be Condacharum and Vadoogun Totum and part of that which he calls Condacharum and Vadoogun Totum to be Dhara Perumboke as shown in your map of 5th July 1843 - you will be so good as to explain this to Mr Fischer

4. You will be pleased to afford explanation on the Statement made by Mr Fischer in Para 12 of his letter

ow

10147 Fort St George 3rd August 1846

3 On referring to the Biles sent in by Mr Fischer and transmitted to this Office 9th January 1843 the Board remark that he has charged rent for Nuttum Pony = 3-32-14 acres
for Condacharum Totum and Vadugun Totum = 2-32-4
in all acres 6-25-2
whereas the whole quantity of Ground within the Court enclosure occupied by Government according to the measurement made by yourself and Mr Igleig is only Acres 4 21 8
or according to the Statement rendered by the Curnums Goomastah 4-31-1 acres you will call upon Mr Fischer for an explanation of this difference between the extent of Ground on which his demand is made, and that found on actual measurement - In Para 6 From the Extract from the Village Cultivation
Im Cons 2 Nov 7 Feby 1843 accounts transmitted in your letter of 18th October last, it appears that in the accounts of the year Rucktachy or 1803-1- Gooty of Nuttum Pony is entered in the name of Mr Anfrere - The land on which Mr Anfrere's bungalow is built appears from the evidence of the Curnum Pyneapah Moodelly and the Moonsiff Maree Moottoo Gounden to be Condacharum Dolum - It is desirable that it should be ascertained as far as possible

possible where the Nuttum Pooj entered in Mr. Ingfred's name is situated and under what circumstances and for what purpose he obtained it.

You are requested to furnish 7 copies of those papers in the native language which were forwarded to the Board by your predecessor in his letter of 14th November 1842 those first sent having been mislaid

Rec. 8th 21st Nov. 1842

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd August 1846 } /signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the undermentioned Statements.

85 From the Collector of Rajahmundry Statement shewing the prices of the principal kinds of Grain up to 10th July 1846.

86 From the Collector of Canara Statement shewing the prices of Grain up to 20th July 1846.

87 From the Collector of Malabar Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of the principal kinds of Grain up to 10th July 1846.

85-88 Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements } Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Lists

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.
89

From the Collector of Rajahmundry List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.
90

Order on the 2 abovementioned Lists - Ordered to be lodged in the Office.
89-91

Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot... } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of June last.
92

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of April last
93

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of May last.
94

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of June last.
95

Order on the 4 abovementioned Registers } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.
92-96

Resolved

Fort St. George 3rd August 1846

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

97

To the Collector of Vizagapatam

To The Collector of Vizagapatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition No. 231 of the current year from Streeb Seetiah Gauroo, Mother and Guardian of the minor Zemindar of Cocopaum, and to request that you will be pleased to report on the subject to which it relates.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd August 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

98

To the A. Collector of N. D. of Arcot

To The Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition No. 293 of the current year from Fatima Beebee, Daughter of the late Monlavie mohomed khan, formerly a moofty to the Nabob of Arcot, and with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, to request that you will be pleased, after satisfying yourself as to the identity of the person, to report your opinion in regard to her

From Pr. Coll. 11th Jan. 28th March 1839
To D. 15th July
From D. 2nd D. 1st Aug. 15th Aug.
Para 18
To D. 14th May 1840
Para 6

Fort St. George 3rd August 1846

her claim as set forth in her Petition

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd August 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

99

To the Acting Collr. of North Arcot.

To, The Acting Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition No. 949 of the current year from Mahomed Sadroodeen khan Bahauder Attaheer Jung and to request that you will be pleased to report upon it and to state what may have heretofore taken place regarding the subject of the complaint.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd August 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

To,

The Collector of Tanjore

100

To the Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition No. 1027 of the current year from one Doraisamy Moodliar, and with reference to your endorsement of the 28th May last, to request that you will be pleased

pleased to report the circumstance under which the Petitioner is not considered entitled to remission, his Village being as it appears a Woodungoo rented Village.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd August 1846.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received the undermentioned.

101 From the Acting Collector of North Arcot — Miscellaneous letters and Statements

Stating that no persons were either suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of June last

102 From the Acting Collector of North Arcot — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last

103 From the Collector of Ganjam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

104 From the Collector of Malabar — Abstract of Demands Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

105 From the Collector of Nellore — Abstract of Demands Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

Abstract

106 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last

107 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last

108 From the Acting Collector of North Arcot — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

109 From the Collector of Ganjam — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

110 From the Collector of Malabar — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

111 From the Collector of Nellore — Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

112 From the Collector of South Arcot — Forwarding an Indent for printed papers for the year 1846 for the use of his Office.

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

Order thereon.
112-113

114 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

Return

115 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

116 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.

117 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last

118 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of June last.

119 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of June last.

120 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of March last.

121 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of April last.

122 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI.

VI of 1831 for the Month of May last.

123 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.

124 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Statement shewing the quantity of smuggled Tobacco seized during the Month of April last

125 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Statement shewing the quantity of smuggled Tobacco seized during the Month of June last

124-126 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements. — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized

127 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Stating that no smuggled Tobacco was seized during the Month of May last.

128 From the Collector of Guntoor — Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the Quarter ending 30th June last

129 From the Collector of Guntoor — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last

Return

130 From the Collector of Guntoor — Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

131 From the Collector of Malabar — Acknowledging the receipt of certain printed forms.

132 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of Public servants suspended and dismissed for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

133 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

134 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

G. D. Drury

" A. Maclean

"

"

" Jo Cuningham

Diary.

4th August 1846. Sent the following letter.

To,

A. Seth Sam Esqre
Madras.

1

Sir,

To Seth Sam Esqre
Madras.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will allow them again to inspect the Mookhtiarnamah or Power of Attorney granted in your favor by the Zemindar of Cavetnugger and forwarded to this Office in your letter of the 21st Ultimo. The Board will not detain it above a few hours.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th August 1840

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member
A. Maclean Esqre Acting Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member.

and

J. Goldingham Esqre Officiating Member

Minutes of the last Meeting

Thursday 6th August 1840

read and approved.

Read

10158 Fort St. George 6th August 1840

(2)

From Secy to Govt Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Government dated 28th July 1840.

/From Bd 6th July/

Read the following Resolutions

Under the circumstances repre-
-sented in the foregoing communication and
subject to the confirmation of the Government
of India the Government authorize the Ac
Acting Collector of Tinnevelly to entertain
temporarily an Extra Police Force of 12
Peons and 1 Duffadar at a Monthly charge
of Rupees /49/ forty nine.

/signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt

(2-3)

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the
information and guidance of the Acting Collector
of Tinnevelly, with reference to his letter dated
Inl Cons 6th July 22d June 1840 No. 103.-

From the Secy (4) Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
to Govt Government dated 1st August 1840

/From Bd 13th July/

In conformity with the principle
of reduction laid down in the orders of Government
of the 20th March 1821 in respect of the pensions
granted to the family of the Lautumbaut
Jaghiredar the Most Noble the Governor
in Council resolves to authorise the Collector
of

10159 Fort St. George 6th August 1840

of Madras to continue to Mahomedoly Begum
the sum of Rupees /5-6-11/ five annas Eight
and pice eleven per Mensem being 2/3rds of
the pension received by her late Father
Kadre Ally Khan, and also to disburse
to her the amounts remaining undrawn by
the deceased, as well as the arrears due to
herself from the 6th of March 1840 the date
of her father's death.

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt

(4-5)

Order thereon, Ordered to be communicated
for the information and guidance of the Collector
Inl Cons 13th July of Madras with reference to his letter dated 16th
June 1840.-

(6)

From the Secy to Govt Stating that Mr De Vaz should not be vested with the duties of the Sheristadars Department as well as his own.-

Read the following Extract.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 25th July 1840.-

Read again letter from the
Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 11th and
entered in Consultation 23d June 1846 No. 666.-

Read also the following letters.-

From the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.- /Here enter 22d June 1846 No. 302/

From Mr J De Vaz /Here enter 5th to 9th June 1846 No. 679/

The Most Noble the Governor
in Council concurs in the view now taken by
the Majority of the Board and directs that
it

it be carried out. The Government had entertained considerable doubt of the propriety of the course as originally proposed by the Board, vesting Mr. De Vaz with the duties of the Sheristadars Department as well as his own.- This arrangement appearing open to objection on principle:-

2 From the papers now submitted His Lordship in Council observes that no member of the Board advocates this arrangement as a permanent one but solely in Mr. De Vaz's case; and he is of opinion that on this ground it is not expedient. At the same time the high Testimonials which Mr. De Vaz has produced and his lengthened service would induced His Lordship in Council to give Mr. De Vaz's claims further consideration if the Board are of opinion that they are not duly provided for at present.-

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

/True Extract/ /Signed/ R. G. Clarke Dy. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon..

6-7 Infos. 11th June 1840.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes Consultation and of Paras 1 & 3 of the Board's letter to which it is a reply, be furnished to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance in reference to his letter of 2d May last.-

2. Mr. Hudleston will be pleased to recommend for the approval of the Board at an early date the person whom he would propose to nominate Sheristadar of his Office. He is also informed that the Board will be prepared to give due consideration to any suggestion which he may wish to offer upon the subject referred to in the latter part of Para 2 of the Extract Minutes Consultation now forwarded to him..

Read

Read the following letters.-

To, J. Pycroft Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue

8

From R. T. Porter Esqr. Collector of Masulipatam

Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 20th Instant I have the honor to inform you that the Set of Standard Weights and Measures therein alluded to have been received from the Commissary of ordnance at Masulipatam and retained as Standards at my Office as already reported to the Military Board under date 19th January last..

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 30th July 1840

/Signed/ R. T. Porter Collector

To

10162 Fort St. George 6th August 1840

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(9)

From H. Scott Esqre
Commissioner in
Kurnool ------

Sir,

In answer to &c
your letter of the 20th Instant
I have the honor to inform you
that I have not yet received from
the Military Board the sets of standard
Weights and Measures therein alluded to.

Kurnool ------
28th July 1840

Signed H. Scott.

Commissioner

10163 Fort St. George 6th August 1840

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(10)

From Lt. H. C. Montgomery Asst
Collector of Tanjore ------

Sir,

In reply to your letter
of the 20th Instant
I have the honor
to state that
a set of Standard
Weights and
Measures as
per Margin was
furnished to me
by the Commissary
of Ordnance at Trichinopoly on the 7th March 1840.-

Measured Iron Mercab ___ 1
" " 1/2 " 1
" " 1/4 " 1
" " Puddy " 1
" " 1/2 " 1
" " 1/4 " 1
" " Block 1
" " 1/2 1
" " 1/4 " 1
Weight Brass Maund 1
" " Viss 1
" " Pollum 1
" " Tollah 1

Tanjore Hodycurry
29th July 1840 ---

Signed H. C. Montgomery
Collector.

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(11)

From A. P. Onslow Esqre
Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

In reply to your letter of
the 20th Instant. I have the honor to report for the
information of the Board that the andermentioned
set

Fort St. George 6th August 1840

Set of Standard Weights and Measures were Supplied to my office from the Ordnance Department at this Station on the 10th February last:-

Measures Iron Mercals No. 1
Do. ½ Do. No. 1
Do. ¼ Do. No. 1
Do. Paddy No. 1.
Do. ½ Do. No. 1
Do. ¼ Do. No. 1
Do. Ollock No. 1
Do. ½ Do. No. 1
Do. ¼ Do. No. 1
Weight Brass Maund No. 1
Do. Viss No. 1
Do. Pullah No. 1
Do. Tollah No. 1

13

Trichinopoly District
Voyttalary Goodalore
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry
29th July 1840

Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

12

From Jas. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 20th Ultimo, and to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that on the Indents referred to in my Communication of date the 22d August 1844, the Principal Commissary of Ordnance furnished this Office on the 25th January 1845 with 1 Set each of Standard Weights and Measures as shewn in the Margin.

1 Set consisting of 4 Weights.
12 Do. Do. 9 Measures.

Madras
3d August 1840

Signed Ja. Hudleston
Collector.

13

Order on the 5 foregoing letters.- Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read

Fort St. George 6th August 1840

Read the following letters.-

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

14

From the Collr of Salem

Regarding the Remission to be granted to Tiroomalaramah Samoodrum and Camalapooram Agraarum Villages.-

Sir,

1. With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 11th December 1845 conveying Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Sanctioning the redumption and incorporation with the Government Lands of the Aggraarum Villages of Termalanamasamoodrum in the Paramutty and Camalapooram in the Salem Talooks and Requiring me to report upon the balances due by them also to state "what portion of them may be considered to "be recoverable and whether in failure of "ordinary measures for the realization I "would recommend prosecution for the same "in the local Courts" I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the balances that were due on the above 2 Aggraarum Villages for Fuslies 1252, 53 & 54, the Amount realized in these fuslies also in Fusly 1255, after

Fort St. George the 6th August 1840

after being resumed and incorporated agreeably to the instructions above referred to.-

2. The amount of balance due on the above 2 Villages as noted in the Margin is Rupees 4103-13-5 which I do not feel justified in recommending to be collected by means of prosecution in the local Courts as Government were pleased to sanction the Remission of balances in 2 similar cases after the resumption of the villages on the 27th April 1841 and 31st January 1843 I request therefore that in accordance with the same principle the sanction of Government may be obtained for the remission of the balance Rupees 4103-13-5 due on the above 2 Villages

On Teroomalanama=samoodrum	2955-12-7	
Do Camalapooram	1146-a-10	
	4,103-13-5	

* Remission on Villages, Viz.t.
Araty Natar Pew 1,901-15-2

⊕ Remission on Appanadamoo=drum and Abbenavem 2,982-6-4

Salem Gillah Office
Collectors Cutcherry 16th July 1846

Signed W. C. Lockhart
Collector

Statement.-

Statement Camalapooram Agraharu

Taluq Salem

1	2	Balance remaining after deducting the Amounts entered in Column 11 from those in Column 4.-			Remarked
Paramutty	Teroomale	881	1	11	
	=Samoodr	953	4	11	
		1,321	5	9	
		2955	12	7	
Salem	Camalapa	700	15	1	
	" " "	447	1	9	
		1,146	a	10	
		4,103	13	5	

Sa[illegible]hart
Late Collector

Ordered

Resolution thereon-

(14-15)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council in reference to the resolution of Government 2nd December 1845.-

2. The Board beg to express their concurrence in the view taken by the Collector and to recommend that in accordance with the Course which has been pursued towards the holders of other Agraharums in the Salem District whose Villages have been resumed and in Consequence of the loss of their Agraharum privilege, no further measures be taken against the late agraharumdars of Peroomalanamdamoodrum and Camalapooram and that the arrears outstanding against them, Rupees 4103-13-5 be written off in the public accounts.-

To

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(16)

Sir,

From the Collr of Salem ---

I have the honor to forward a Return of Tahsildars in this District as they stood on the 30th April 1840.-

Salem Fjillah Office, Collr's Cutcherry 31st July 1840

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant (Signed) W. E. Lockhart Collector-

Return

10170

Fort St. George

Return of Tahsildars in the Collectorate of Salem

6th August 1840

as they Stood on the 30th April 1840.-

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	amount of Collections of Fusly 1255	Pay	Period of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Period of service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment.-	Total Period of service	Remarks
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	years M D		years M D	
Ramakistniah of Maharajagedy in the Salem Zillah	(Brahmin)	46	Ahtoor	104645 15 3	100 " "	" 6 2	11 9 6	Caishkar in the Coimbatore Cutcherry Talook in the Zillah of Coimbatore— Muddeyastam Goomastah in the Huzzoor Davestanum in the Zillah of Tinnevelly—	1 11 4 3 " "		
									4 11 4	17 3 10	
Vencut Row of Pyoor in Verdachellum Zillah	Do.	34	Namcul	215513 1 10	100 " "	1 7 "	2 6 4	Assistant to the Huzzoor Sewabneviss in the Salem Zillah— Huzzoor Magistrates Sewabneviss in the said Zillah Do. Revenue Do. in Do.— Do. Head Revenue Do. in Do.—	1 " " 6 " 19 3 6 3 6 1 25		
									16 8 17	20 9 21	
Beemah Row of Amboor in Chittoor Zillah.	Do.	54	Paramutty	130971 7 10	100 " "	" 4 "	13 5 17	aHead Goomastah in the Dindigul Talook of Madura— Do. in the Talook of Thody Comba in the Zillah of Do. bHuzzoor Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Cutcherry in Salem Zillah— Sheristadar in Tengaracottah Talook in Do. Do. in Ahtoor Do. in Do.—	" 5 20 5 11 27 1 10 22 6 9 10 1 5 18		
									16 7 7	30 4 24	

10170

Return of Tahsildars in the Collectorate of Salem

Fort St. George 6th August 1840

as they stood on the 30th April 1840.-

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	amount of Collections of Fusly 1255	Pay	Period of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Period of service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment.-	Total Period of service	Remarks
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	years M D		years M D	
Ramakrishniah of Maharajagedy in the Salem Zillah	Brahmin	46	Athoor	104645 15 3	100 " "	" " "	6 2	11 9 6 Caishkar in the Coimbatore Cutcherry Talook in the Zillah of Coimbatore— 1 11 4 Muddeyastam Goomastah in the Huzzoor Davestanum in the Zillah of Tinnevelly— 3 " " 4 11 4	17 3 10	
Venkut Row of Pyoor in Verdachellum Zillah	Do.	34	Namcul	215513 1 10	100 " "	1 7 "		2 6 4 Assistant to the Huzzoor Jewabnevis in the Salem Zillah— 1 " " Huzzoor Magistrates Jewabnevis in the said Zillah 6 " 19 Do. Revenue Do. in Do.— 3 6 3 Do. Head Revenue Do. in Do.— 6 1 25 16 8 17	20 9 21	
Beemah Row of Amboor in Chittoor Zillah.	Do.	54	Paramutty	130971 7 10	100 " "	" " 4 "		13 5 17 Head Goomastah in the Dindigul Talook of Madura— " 5 20 Do. in the Talook of Thody Comba in the Zillah of Do. 5 11 27 Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Cutcherry in Salem Zillah— 1 10 22 Sheristadar in Tengaracottah Talook in Do. 6 9 10 Do. in Athoor Do. in Do.— 1 5 18 16 7 7	30 4 24	

10171

Fort St. George

6th August 1846.

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	age	Talook	amount of Collections of Fasly 1255	Pay	Period of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment.	Period of such service	Remarks
				Rs a p	Rs a p	years M D	years M D		years M D	
Streenevasa Row of Dommasamoodrum in the Surjapoor Talook attached to Mysore.	Brahmin	51	Salem	1928 30 1/1	120	5 5		Cutwall in Kencatagherry Cutcherry in the Chittoor Zillah	4 7 10	
								Police Royasem in Palavanary Talook in Dº Dº	2 2	
								Sayer Moodhruff in the Frontier Chunkey in Kistnapoorum in Dº — Dº	3 3 6	
								Zaftee Goomastah in the Carvetty nagarum Samastanum in Dº — Dº	4 29	
								Cungoondy Talook Goomastah in Dº — Dº	1 15	
								Pymash Goomastah in the Talook of Gopesetty poleum in the Zillah of Coimbatore	1 20	
								Head Goomastah in the 1st Commissioner's Cutcherry where Dariaft took place in Salem Zillah	4	
								Huzzoor Goomastah in the Salem Zillah	3 5	
								Daryaft Head Goomastah of Enam land and in the Zillah of Guntoor	2 4	
								Head Goomastah in Huzzoor for Sayer Moturpha Dues in Dº — Dº	10 11	
								Head Goomastah in Huzzoor for Sayer Salt Moturpha, Abkarry Dues in Guntoor Zillah	2 4	
								Naib-Sheristadar in Dº — Dº	2 10 20	
								Sheristadar under Head Assistant Collr in the Nellore Zillah	2 4 6	
								Tahsil in the Talook of Sarroovapully in the Nellore Zillah	1 9 12	
								Tahsil in the Cusbah Nellore in Dº — Dº	6 2	
									24 1 1	34 1 11

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10172

Fort St. George

6th August 1846

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	amount of Collections of Fasly 1255	Pay	Period of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate years m D	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment.-	Total Period of service years m D	Remarks
Kistnah Row of Trepatore in the Zillah of Salem	Brahmin	46	Shenkerry droog	137325 9 6	100 " "	" 4 "	14 11 16	Huzzoor Sewabenevis in the Zillah of Salem	10 10 26	26 2 12
Ramah Row of Cullaar in Cud. =dapah Zillah	Do	36	Ranzeepoor	140322 1 11	100 " "	1 " "	7 3 17	Head Goomastah in the Cotta Talook in the Zillah of Nellore Sheristadar in the Paramutty Talook in the Salem Zillah Do in Namcul Do in Do Do in Tripatore Do in Do Huzzoor Sewabenevis in Do Do	3 1 " 2 9 1 " 9 11 1 1 " " 3 14 9 11 26	16 3 13
Venkatasiah of Do Womalore Ganapeutty aggrar in Coimbatore Co Zillah-		46		131671 12 9	100 " "	1 " "	3 4 18	Dymash business in Neelgherry Dca in the Coimbatore Zillah Do of Bungalows in Neelgherry Dca in Do Royadum in the Marrahmut Superintendants Cutcherry in the Zillah of Coimbatore Deputy Superintendents in Do Do 1st Class Paishcar in the Paroondoray Talook in Do Do 1st Class Paishcar of Pollachee Talook in Do Do Do in Pulladum Talook in Do Do Tahsildar in the Talook of Paroondoray in Do 1st Class Paishcar in the Palladum Talook in Do Do in the Talook of Danaikencottah in Do 2 - Class Paishcar in the Talook of Suttya= =mungalum in Do Do 1st Class Paishcar in the Talook of Paroondoray in Do 1st Class Paishcar in the Talook of Dannaiken= =cottah in the Zillah of Coimbatore 1st Class Do Do in the Talook of Suttyamungalum in Do	" 2 " " 1 " " 2 " 4 " " 1 11 26 1 6 23 " 1 " " 1 6 " 9 3 " 5 24 " 4 4 " 1 15 " 6 23 " 3 15 10 6 21	14 11 9

10173

Fort St. George

6th to 7th August 1846

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1255	Pay	Period of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment	Total Period of Service	Remarks
						years m d	years m d		years m d	
Kistnah Row of Bawanagherry in the Zillah of Verdachellum..	Bramin	51	Trichengode	C.Rs 1609 10 15 6	C.Rs 100 0 0	10 5 22	3 3 24	Sayer Ameen in Casbah Dindivanum in the Zillah of Verdachellum — 3 7 0 Magistrate Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutchery in the Zillah of Salem — 12 1 15	15 8 15	29 6 1
Woobbuladoddy Nashagherry Row of Trichinopoly	Dº	40	Darumpoory	14 1837	2 1	100 0 0	0 0 0	Huzzoor Goomastah in Madura Zillah — 4 0 3 Head Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor in the Zillah of Salem — 1 0 13	5 0 16	5 7 29
Timmapiah of Salem	Dº	47	Tengaracottah	1005 26 1 3	100 0 0	1 6 20	0 0 0	Goomastah in the Talook of Senkerry droog and Salem in the Salem Zillah — 2 5 20 Sheristahdar in the Talooks of Senkerry droog and Trichengode in Dº — 3 11 20	6 5 10	24 1 0
Aroonachelliah of Tripatore in the Zillah of Salem..	Dº	57	Kistnagherry	124 1966 3	100 0 0	1 10 0	0 0 0	2d Class Police ameen in the Talooks of Kistnagherry & Hosoor in the Salem Zillah — 1 5 15 Paishear in the Talooks of Tengaracottah Kistnagherry and Trichengode — 2 0 7 Acting Nail Sheristah in the Hoozzoor in the Salem Zillah — 9 0 4	4 0 22	25 11 22
Samah Row of Conjeveram in the Zillah of Chingleput	Dº	61	Tripatore	143 855 4 9	100 0 0	1 10 0	0 0 0	Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Madura — 2 0 0 Huzzoor Sewabneviss in the Zillah of Chittoor — 8 4 0 Tahsildar in the Mogural Talook in Dº Dº — 0 8 0 Dº in the Talook of Vellore in Dº Dº — 3 9 0 Dº in Sattagheady Talook in Dº Dº — 3 0 0	17 9 0	37 3 0

10173

Fort St. George

1st to 31st August 1846

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment	Total Period of Service	Remarks
Kistnah Row of Bawanagherry in the Zillah of Verdachellum	Bramin	51	Trichengode	1609 10 15 6	100 " "	10 5 22	3 3 24	Sayer Ameen in Casbah Dindevanum in the Zillah of Verdachellum — 3 7 " Magistrate Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in the Zillah of Salem — 12 1 15 15 8 15	29 6 1	
Woobbuladoddy Sashagherry Row	Dº	40	Darumpoory of Trichinopoly	14 1837 2 1	100 " "	" 5 12		Huzzoor Goomastah in Madura Zillah — 4 " 3 " 2 1 Head Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor in the Zillah of Salem — 1 " 13 5 " 16	5 7 29	
Timmapiah of Salem	Dº	47	Tengaracottah	1005 26 1 3	100 " "	" 6 20	17 1 4	Goomastah in the Talook of Senkerry droog and Salem in the Salem Zillah — 2 5 20 Cheristahdar in the Talooks of Senkerry droog and Trichengode in Dº — 3 11 20 6 5 10	24 1 4	
Aroonachelliah of Tripatore in the Zillah of Salem	Dº	57	Kistnagherry	124 198 6 3	100 " "	1 10 "	20 1 4	2d Class Police ameen in the Talooks of Kistnagherry & Ossoor in the Salem Zillah — 1 5 15 Peishear in the Talooks of Tengara cottah Kistnagherry and Trichengode — 2 " 7 Acting Naib Cheristah in the Huzzoor in the Salem Zillah — 7 " 4 4 " 22	25 11 22	
Samah Rowe of Conjeveram in the Zillah of Chingleput	Dº	61	Tripatore	143855 4 9	100 " "	1 10 "	17 6 "	2d Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Madura — 2 " " Huzzoor Sewabneviss in the Zillah of Chittoor — 8 4 " Tahsildar in the Mogural Talook in Dº Dº — " 6 " Dº in the Talook of Vellore in Dº Dº — 3 9 " Dº in Sattaghedy Talook in Dº Dº — 3 " 0 17 9 " 37 3 "		

10174

Fort St. George

6th August 1840

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly Day 1255	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of the Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment.-	Total Period of Service	Remarks
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	years m. d.		years m. d.	
Kistnah Row of Pulloor in Verda-chellum Zillah	Bramin	51	Denkencottah	10802213	100 " "	6 2 0	Tamil Record keeper in the Zillah of Salem	4 " "	16
						5 6 "	Sayer Goomastah in the Zillah of Salem	3 9 "	
							Sayer Goomastah in the Huzzoor in Do. Do.	" 11 "	
							Treasury Goomastah in the Huzzoor in Do.	4 5 "	
							Sewabnivess in the Huzzoor in Do.	" 5 12	
							Dairhcar in the Talook of Pauzepoor in Do.		
							Goomastah in the Additional Sub Collectors' Cutcherry in the Zillah of Salem	" 4 13	
							Sewabnivess in the Sub Collrs. Cutcherry in Do.	8 1 25	
							Huzzoor Sewabnivess in the Zillah of Trichinopoly	" 6 18	
							Sayer Tahsil in the Do. — Do. —	" 9 26	
								19 1 22	25 2 12
Kanoomunta Row Do. 43 of Badanahutty in the Zillah of Bellary.-	Do.		Huzzoor	7 2 5 16	102 " "	100 " "	1 7 "	" " "	
							Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub division in the Zillah of Darwar	10 5 13	
							Do. Do. in the Zillah of Salem	1 10 "	
							Head Goomastah in Do. Do.	9 4 "	
								21 7 13	23 2 13

Salem Zillah Huzzoor Collrs. Cutcherry 30th July 1840

[Signed] W. C. Lockhart
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon
(16-17)

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(18)
From the Coll.r
of Salem.....

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Instant forwarding one Copy of Thornton's History of the British Empire in India in five Volumes for the use of my Office.-

Salem Zillah Cutcherry 31st July 1840 } signed W.m C.s Lockhart
Collectors Office } Collector

Order thereon
(18-19)

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read the following letters.-

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(20)
From the Coll.r
of Tanjore..

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 21st January 1846 forwarding a Petition No. 111 of 1846 from D. Appadaumy Pillay of Madras complaining of the delay in the registry of a certain Village in his Name which he had purchased from

Herewith returned

from one Manaly Letchmana Moodely and calling upon me to report whether there is any objection to a Compliance with Petitioners request I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the Cause of the delay complained of in the matter in question arose from the following circumstances.-

The Village itself is registered in the name of deceased Moottookistna Moodely the brother of Letchmana Moodelly above mentioned whose widow and the said Manally Letchmana Moodely are at this very time engaged in a Civil Suit before the Supreme Court on account of the Estates of the deceased Manally Moottookistna Moodely and the question naturally arises if the widow Triperasoondry Ammal has any claim upon this Village / Pattay / similar to that on other Villages registered in the name of her husband.-

Secondly. Beyond the Bill of Sale executed by Mr. Acworth for Mr. D. Cator on behalf of the Estate / as Executor / of Manally Poonia Moodely / another brother / to Manally Letchmana Moodely before named there are no documents or records to show that Poonia Moodely was ever the proprietor of the lands in question

question / Tittay / now transferred to Petitioner
Thirdly. It is true that the agreement
bond of transfer is duly executed by Manally
Letchmana Moodely to Petitioner but it
might have been executed by the widow
of deceased Manally Mootookistna Moodely
/ Triperadoondry Ammal / who is sole heir to
deceased in whose name the Meerasy of the
Village is entered if there was no dispute
as to its unquestionably belonging to
Manally Lutchmana Moodely. This Cus=
=tom is universal in all transfers of lands
in this District and if it be transgressed
in any other way it might lead to un=
=speakable mischief and wrongs at some
future day.-

Fourthly. It appears by the Petition=
that this Village / Tittay / was sold in auction
in satisfaction of certain debts against Manally
Poonia Moodely on the 20th April 1840 at
Combaconum by one Vencatachellien the Goomas=
=tah of Mr. Acworth, attorney of Mr. P. Cator
Executor of the Will of the said Poonia Moodely
and was purchased by / his brother / Letch=
=mana Moodely for Rs 33,000. Mr. Acworth accord=
=ingly executed a Bill of Sale to the latter

who

who made no application at any subsequent
time for the registry of the Meerasdy in his
name nor used any transfer made. Also that
this Deed of sale was transferred to Petitioner
by Letchmana Moodely on the receipt of
15000 Rupees from the former who executed
a Bill of sale to this effect accordingly.-

Lutchmana Moodely's Agent also
informed the Sub Collector / in whose Division
the disputed Village is situated / that his Master
has taken an agreement from Petitioner to
restore the Village to him any time within 4 or
years provided Letchmana Moodely repays
the sum last named and in default that
the sale should be considered absolute. This
Agent produced an unattested Copy of this
agreement which however is acknowledged
by the Petitioner.-

It does not clearly appear how and
when or why the land registered in Mootookistna
Moodely's name was sold on account of Poonea
Moodely's debt by the Executor of the latter
for there are no documents such as agreement
bonds &c to show that these lands were
transferred to the latter at all.-

The Deed of sale was executed to Letch=
=mana Moodely in March 1842 while the Village
was sold in April 1840 a period of 2 years elapsed
during

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.

during which no attempt was made to transfer the Meerasy to his name nor indeed during the nesct 2 years when he sold the same Village /in 1844/ to Petitioner for 15000 Rupees abating no less a Sum than 18000 Rupees from that ath which he originally purchaded it.-

The Village in question contains 39 acalies of Nunjah land. The price paid by Letchmana Moodely is 840 Rupees per Valey which is double the value of the very best Tarruff lands and equal to that paid for the second sort Shotrium lands.-

Petitioners Agent remained a short time in attendance on the Sub Division Cut= cherry to furnish any further evidence or in= formation that might be required. he however falling sick returned to Madras. One Vurda= rajapillay afterwards came in his stead with a Petition from his Master Appasawmy pillay again requesting the registry of the lands to be transferred in the Name of Petitioner - but as he was ignorant of any further particulars in the case he was desired to write to his Master to send some one who could give full information of all that was required but no one has since appeared.-

Under

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.-

Under such circumstances it was deemed inadvisable to transfer the registry till some well grounded information could be obtain= ed regarding the original sale of these lands by auction by Mr. Acworth on account of deceased Poonial Moodely.-

In the mean time orders have been issued with a Notice allowing 30 days for any one to appear and make objections to the entry in the Revenue account of the actual enjoyment of the lands by Appasawmy pilly and none having been made such registry has been effected.-

If under the circumstances stated the Board are of opinion the regular transfer of the Meerasy right should be made their instructions to this effect can be attended to.-

1 Bill of sale on Stamp paper executed to Petitioner by Lutchmana Moodely
2 Do. executed to Letchmana Moodely Mr. N. B. Acworth attorney to Peter Caton Esqre Executor of the late Manally Ponnya Moodeliar.-

The documents noted in the Margin which Petitioner claims the restoration of are herein enclosed for the purpose of being returned to him.-

Tanjore ---
Trichinopoly --
6th July 1846.

(Signed) F. C. C. Montgomery
Collector.

No. 1. Tamil.

No.

10181

10179

Fort St. George 6th August

No. 2.

To

Sir Henry Montgomery Baronet
Principal Collector to the
Tanjore &c.

The Humble Petition
Manally Lutchmana
=liar respectable Inhab
of Madras.-

That the Petitioner with most
humbly solicits your Honor will gracio
be pleased and take a little trouble to per
the whole matters of this arzy and as well
last Para. and trust with so confidently y
would do the reasonable Justice in this ca
and also the petitioner begs to bring to your kin
Notice this English Copy to be particularly con
=ed to your honor as well as the Tamil urze the
was already sent to you under date the 7th
March Instant.-

The Petitioner had presented seven
urzies on different dates as notified in the
Margin 9th June, by which you understood the
whole particulars of the case and consequently intimate
to J. J. Cotton Esqre Sub Collector at that zillah directi
ing

16th of Decr 1844
2d of March 1845
27th of Dc
7th of April dc
28th of May dc
31st dc Dc

10182

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.-

ing him to deliver all the Villages as stated in
those urzees in to the possession of the petitioner
according to the documents and enjoyments and likewise
urzies were sent to his address but however he
procrastinated and gave only an order that the
Villages should be enjoyed as usual.-

That the petitioner had obtained a
deed of the rent from the renters for the Payment
of the stipulated amount of the rent in Money
when the Villages called Cokoor and Chinnacokoor
were rented and as there was a considerable arrears
due by the renters up to Fusly 54- the petitioner
ordered to his servants to sequester the said Villages
previous to the reaping of the Car Paddy and to exert
utmost to accelerate the Cultivation in the other
Villages and to pay regularly the amount of the
Lists to Circar, the petitioner also sent letters to
the said Servants named Saloyyer Ameena
Peroovembala pillay and Sevanandapadayachee
the Superintendant of all the Villages to that
effect but they neglected to do so upon which the
Farmers carried away the whole produce of
the said Villages and they also began to reap the
crop of the Sumba paddy the said servants of the
petitioner are afraid to prevent them from carrying
away the produce of that grain owing to their ignorance
and neglect of duty with the exception of those two

Villages

Fort St. George 6th August 1846

Villages the other are very properly cultivated and the amount of the Kists is regularly paid to their=

The petitioner begs to state that though M.r Cotton the Sub Collector ordered to enjoy the Village as mamool yet the renters of the said Cokoor and chinna Cokoor did not pay the arrears due up to fusly 54- as the Price of the grain being too dear, the servants of the petitioner were confident on the promise of the renters made for the Payment of the amount of the rent for fusly 55 according to the time fixed for the Payment of the stipulated sum on the produce of Sumba paddy as well as it is men=tioned in the deed of the rent and therefore they did not sequester the said villages fearing through ignorance that unnecessary trouble and quarrel would arise for fusly 55- as occurred in fusly 54. and the whole produce had been taken away by the renters who now by persuasion of one Manaly Apparow Moodely who was discharged by you in= Paloor of Cakanada subject to the Zillah of Rajamundry for misconduct and another man named Sevanum= =don, who you know and having Created dissension among the servants of the Cutcherry and runaway to Madras from Tanjore on the parts of the petitioners late brothers widow Seroopoorasoondraummall. wrote letters and sent for two Persons named Salapuddy and Vadagerry sons of the petitioners late Fathers Concubines and in conjunction with them considering

or

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.-

or exerting to take away the whole produce of the said Villages in fusly 55- as they have done in fusly= 54. The petitioner further begs to state that he has no means by which to recover the much arrears due by the renter up to fusly 54 and consequently that he has strictly ordered the Village Servants of the petitioner to sequester the Sumba Produce of the Current year according to the tenor of the deed of the rent and to prevent their inter =ference with the whole quantity of the Paddy and if they do so that the renters would by their influence of the Tasildar of Mayooram Paloor not only take away the whole produce for the Current fusly but also create vain disturbance which circumstances the petitioner has already brought to your notice thro' Urzies and now request you will be pleased to issue Takeed forthwith to the Duffadar of the Cutcherry to assist the Village ser= =vants of the petitioner of the time of sequestration and so prevent the produce of the said Villages from being taken away by the renters.-

Petitioner further begs to state that the late husband of Seroopoorasoondra ummall sold all the Villages both in the Zillahs of Chingleput and Tanjore to the Petitioner and that they are in

his

his posession, the said widow Teroopoorasoondra e
unnmall made an affidavit and prayed the
Supreme Court to grant an Injunction, but the
Court refused to comply with, because it is contrary
to the documents and enjoyments.-

While it is so one of the renters of the
said villages named Ponnasara Chetty with a bad
view of usurping the arrears due by him for fusly
54 gave declaration to the Tasildar of the said e
Mayooram Taloq.e/ who is also Privy to this Intrigue/
falsely stating that the said two Villages are in
the posession of the said widow and that officer
upon that ungrounded statement made his report
accordingly to the Sub Collector.-

The petitioner states that he has addressed
by Tapal on the 26th. March 1845 Urzies to the Sub
Collecto shewing his rites &ca. to those Villages as for
the copies of the documents with his signature sub-
-mitted with a list of them to the Collector
for that purpose.-

The Petitioner further begs to state that
he understood thro his servants that the other renter
of the said Village named Caroopore Jacanna pillay
having heard that his declaration is to be taken by
the Circar addressed a letter by Tapal to the Sub Co-
-lector saying that he has given up his right for the
half share in those two Villages, that it is true that

he

he has given the deeds of rent &ca. that the two villages
are in the petitioners posesion and that he has been
the Superintendant of those two Villages, but the Circar
not being satisfied with that letter sent for him to
the Cutcherry and took declaration from him to that
effect which confirmed the letter above mentioned.-

The petitioner further begs to state,
that inconsequence of the villages not having been de-
-livered in the posesion of the petitioner after
investigating the both parties according to the
documents and enjoyments as particularized in
the urzy addressed to the Sub Collector dated 26th.
March 1845, the petitioner has addressed another urzy
dated 22d. May to that gentleman requesting him to
translate the said urzy and documents list &ca.
and upon perusing the translation that he will find
himself justified in delivering the Villages to
the petitioner, he accordingly caused all the said
documents &ca. to be Translated and perused them, the
petitioner addressed to you on the 27th. March 1845
enclosing copies of the documents and urzy to the
Sub Collector and upon inspecting them, you
were pleased to direct the Sub Collector to act upon
the documents &ca. in this case the petitioners village
Servants informed him, that Mr. Cotton has after
the produce of those Villages for fusly 54 having been
taken away by the renters ordered that the Villages

should

Fort St. George 6th August 1846

should be enjoyed according to the documents and Mamool, and therefore the petitioner Prays your honor will be pleased to issue Sakeed to Duffadar that the produce of fusly 55 may not be taken away by the renters as was unjustly done in fusly 54.-

The petitioner further begs to state that he has submitted the Copy of the urzy under date the 26th March annexing to it the list of the documents executed to him respecting the said Villages called the Cakoor and chinna Cakoor, by a reference to them you will be made acquainted with the Trick and misconduct of the renters.-

The Petitioner further begs to state that the said renters got the office of Putta maniem by the Circar by giving an agreement to the late Sevasedombra pillay who was formerly managing the affairs of the said Villages finding thereby that if they acted improperly in performing that office they would immediately renounce it, now in breach of that agreement the renter without the knowledge of the petitioner's servants and without giving any agreement as usual got the office of putta Maniem for fusly 55 to their own people in order to evade the payment of the arrears and in doing so that the petitioner will sequester the Villages and then the renters will sell away to the Merchants the whole produce of those Villages being the grain is too dear, and in such case that they will bring the Village to

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.-

to be sold in public auction for the balance of Rist money which may fall due to the Circar as they are impressed with such an idea as above set forth, the petitioner request your honor will be pleased to favor the Office of Putta Maniem to the petitioners ameenah Seroovumbala pillay and so prevent the loss which may occur in addition to that already occurred by the misconduct of the renters.-

That the petitioner further begs to state in the Urzy to your address on the 7th April it is mentioned that the widow Prayed in the Supreme Court to grant an Injunction, but the Court refused to do so because it was contrary to the documents and enjoyments, the petitioner therefore retained Mr. George Norton Mr. Ausperu and Mr. Smith to file a suit in the Crown side against the widow, the said three retain Papers and one order sent to the petitioner by Mr. Freese Collector of Chingleput demanding the payment of the Rist due to the Vembanoor Zemin in the said Zillah, all 4. in number are enclosed in that Urzy for your inspection, which documents are not returned up to this day to your petitioner, therefore he solicits your Honor will be pleased to return them to him.-

The petitioner further begs to state that his late Father Chinniah Moodeliar was one of the most respectable Inhabitants

10189 Fort St. George 6th August 1846.

Inhabitants of Madras and in order to usurp his properties and the moveable property which are in the possession of the widow one Apparow Moodeliar Cousin to your petitioner and was discharged by you in the Zillah of Rajamundry for misconduct does create dissension and mis= understanding and he has also usurped the Salt Compensation due to the petitioner to the extent of 15000 and odd Rupees the petitioner requests to say that he is unable to issue him and receive the amount from him owing to this dispute between him and the widow Peroo= =poorasoondara Ummall, and the said Apparow Moodliar kindles purposely with a view of usurping the amount as above stated, the petitioner has addressed an Urzy on 26th March to Mr. Cotton the Sub Collector stating that the said Apparow and his adherents maliciously created the dissension between the petitioner and the widow copy of which has been sent to you on the 27th of the same month and if you refer to it you will be convinced with the truth of the Statement.—

The Petitioner further begs to state that in order to defraud of the petitioners property and the moveables in the possession of the widow the said Apparow Moodely. M. Sevanondon Missionary Traveller

10190 Fort St. George 6th August 1846

Traveller and Mr. Neilor took from the widow the Jewels and squandered them as they liked and then considering that they are in want of Cash and to meet their expences and the Court charges they borrowed the Sum of 1000 Rupees of one Individual upon drawing a note of hand by the said Missionary Traveller endorsed by the said Servanondon and Mr. Neilor with a view of bringing to the Servants of the Cutcherry and of getting thereby the Villages in the possession of the widow they also got the recommendation from Mr. Minchin then Master in equity to Mr. Cotton the Sub Collector being his son in law, but however the said Sevanundon pretending that he had given a bribe of 500 Rupees to serustadar under the Sub Collector and caused one Colundavalah pillay one of the Servants of the said widow to prefer a Complaint against the serustadar and he himself returned to Madras lavishing that amount as he pleased, now the person who lent them the amount of 1000 Rupees Prosecuted them in the Supreme Court to receive the amount, but Mr. Neilor is shut up in the house and the Traveller and Sevanundum are intending to be benefit Insol= =vent act, by all these ungrounded and unjustifi= =able proceedings you may perceive that they gained nothing

but

but subject the petitioner for various trouble and serious Losses.-

The petitioner further begs to state that he came to understand that the said servanondon and others are maliciously intending to sell the said two Villages and put them in possession of the purchaser receiving the sale amount from him.-

The petitioner further begs to state that their Intention of selling the Villages and creating various disturbances by keeping one Subapetty and Vadaghery the sons of the petitioners Father's Concubine in the said Villages is owing to Mr. Cotton and having properly investigated the matters in dis=pute between both parties explained in several Urzies sent to him on different date and consequently passing his equitable sentence, which has been the occasion also for the renters to take away the whole produce of the grain for fusly 54 and are intending to take away in the same manner the produce for fusly 55.-

The Petitioner further begs to state that in consequence of Mr. Cotton not having given strict orders the Tasildar of different Talooks and although one of the renters named Caroopoor Jaganna pillay gave declaration stating that the Villages are in Possession of the petitioner that it was true of his having renounced his share of the rent in the said Villages

to the petitioner and that the declaration given by the other renter named Ponnachara chetty as false yet Mr. Cotton considering that Statement of both parties to be dissonant gave slight order that the Villages should be enjoyed as mamool after the renter have taken away the whole produce of the said Villages for fusly 54.

In conclusion the petitioner begs to state that the said renters and the people attached to the said widow are under intention of disposing of the said Villages and to create further disturbance in the Villages and to take away the whole produce also for fusly 55 and if they do so the petitioner beg to know to whom he ought to look for the reem: bursement of the loss already occurred in fusly 54 and probably to occur for the current fusly and who is likewise answerable to the Higher Authority.

The petitioner begs to know, that how the widow came to possess the two Villages out of the 12 which have been sold to the petitioner and a deed of assignment is executed to him to that effect. The widow prayed to Court to grant an In: junction stating that all the Villages and other landed properly both here and in the Zillah of Chingleput belonging to the late Moodookristna moodeliar are in the possession of the petitioner but the Court refused to do so because it was contrary to the documents and enjoyments

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.

but subject the petitioner for various trouble and serious Losses.—

The petitioner further begs to state that he came to understand that the said servanondon and others are maliciously intending to sell the said two Villages and put them in possession of the purchaser receiving the sale amount from him.—

The petitioner further begs to state that, their Intention of selling the Villages and creating various disturbances by keeping one Subapully and Vadaghery the sons of the petitioners Father's Concubine in the said Villages is owing to Mr. Cotton and having properly investigated the matters in dispute between both parties explained in several Urzies sent to him on different date and consequently passing his equitable sentence, which has been the occasion also for the renters to take away the whole produce of the grain for fusly 54 and are intending to take away in the same manner the produce for fusly 55.—

The Petitioner further begs to state that in consequence of Mr. Cotton not having given strict orders the Tasildar of different Talooks and although one of the renters named Caroopoor Jaganna pillay gave declaration stating that the Villages are in Possession of the petitioner that it was true of his having renounced his share of the rent in the said Villages

to

Travell

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.

to the petitioner and that the declaration given by the other renter named Ponnachara Chetty as false yet Mr. Cotton considering that Statement of both parties to be dissonant gave slight order that the Villages should be enjoyed as manood after the renter have taken away the whole produce of the said Villages for fusly 54.

In conclusion the petitioner begs to state that the said renters and the people attached to the said widow are under intention of disposing of the said Villages and to create further disturbance in the Villages and to take away the whole produce also for fusly 55 and if they do so the petitioner beg to know to whom he ought to look for the reimbursement of the loss already occurred in fusly 54 and probably to occur for the current fusly and who is likewise answerable to the Higher Authority.

The petitioner begs to know, that how the widow came to possess the two Villages out of the 12 which have been sold to the petitioner and a deed of assignment is executed to him to that effect. The widow prayed to Court to grant an Injunction stating that all the Villages and other landed property both here and in the Zillah of Chingleput belonging to the late Moodookistna Moodeliar are in the possession of the petitioner but the Court refused to do so because it was contrary to the documents and

enjoyments

Fort St. George 6th August 1816.

enjoyments. The Petitioner already informed to your Honor all the above stated circumstances in detail by the Urzy of the 26th March and other documents and you are thereby perfectly acquiesced with them.

Therefore the petitioner begs to solicit your Honor will graciously be pleased to favor with your Yaked as early as possible directing the Duffadar to assist the Servants of the petitioner in these two Villages to prevent any further loss which may otherwise occurred to the petitioner and that the office of Puttamanier may be confirmed on Teroovembala pillay the present Ameena in the Villages as well as the above mentioned four documents may be returned to the petitioner.

As one Serasedumbra pillay who was managing all the affairs of the Villages with care and diligence is now dead, and as the Servants who are now employed in the Villages are not so competent as to conduct the affairs of the Villages in such a manner as to give explanation on any occasion of this kind and as the Petitioner is residing in a very remote place from your Zillah he is obliged to write so long an Urzy as may be Tedious to your Honor for which and for any impropriety of the language and inconsistency of the matters he begs that you will kindly excuse and do justice in this case in reference to the documents and manner and in conformity to

Fort St. George 6th August 1816.

to the Regulation to be approved by the Higher Authority.

In act of Justice the Petitioner as in Duty Bound and shall Ever pray.

Madras, 13th March 1816. } (Signed) M. Lutchoomana

Proceedings thereon;

(20-21)

From the circumstances stated in the above report the Board see no reason to question the validity of the sale of the Village of Tillay to P. Appasawmy Pillay by Manaly Letchmana Moodeliar and as due notice appears to have been given for parties to make objections to the entry in the Public accounts of the possession of the lands by the purchaser without producing any counter claims, the Board sanction the regular registration of the Inamdars rights of that Village to the Petitioner P. Appasawmy Pillay as requested by him.

The documents noted in the concluding para of the Collectors letter are herewith returned for delivery to the Petitioner.

Endorsement on Petition.

"The Petitioner is referred to the Collector to whom instructions have been issued on the subject of his Petition."

To, J. Bycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(22)

From the Collr. of Tanjore... Requesting.

Sir,

Fort St. George.

I have the honor to request the permission of

10195

permission for accepting the offer of Alagapilly of 1000 Rupees for Chunk Fishery in his District.

Fort St. George 6th. August 1846.

Read the following letter of the Board for accepting the offer of Alagapilly of 1000 Rupees for the rent of the right of fishing for Chanks on the Coast of this District for the Current Fusly.

The chank rent was given with the sanction of the Board for Fusly 1255 for Rs. 1,200 but the renter failed early in the season and the greater portion of the Amount stands as a balance against him. In Fusly 1254 no rent was obtained. and in Fusly 1253 the rent was for 570 Rupees.

I have the honor to observe that I consider the sum now agreed to by Alagapilly sufficient in the present state of the market for Chanks, and that there is no probability of a better offer being obtained. The renter has deposited Rs. 333 As. 5. one third of the rent.

Tanjore, Kodicurry, 1st. August 1846. } (Signed) H. C. Montgomery. Collector.

Resolution thereon;

(22-23) The Board sanction the acceptance of the offer of Alagapillay Amounting to Rupees 1000 for the right of fishing chanks on the Tanjore coast for Fusly 1256. reported in the foregoing letter.

Read

10196

Fort St. George 6th. August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To, J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(24)

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the number of Native Christians and Heathens in Revenue Employment in this District prepared in the Form which accompanied your letter of the 21st. May last.

Trichinopoly District, Vayalagoodaloor, --- Colbns Circuit Cutcherry, --- 31st. July 1846 ----- } (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector.

Statement shewing the Number of Native Christians and Heathens in Revenue Employment in the District of Trichinopoly.

	Hoozoor Servants.	Jaiboke Servants	Village Servants	Total.
1. No. of Protestant Christians	3	2	58	63
2. No. of Christians of other Sects	1	12	518	531
3 No. of Heathens	85	1,030	9,185	10,300
Total	89	1,044	9,761	10,894

No. 2. Two of the individuals under No. 1. are East Indians.
No. 3. includes Mussulmans.

Trichinopoly 31st. July 1846. (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collr.

10198 Fort St. George 6th. August 1846.

Wastage in Salt in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul for fasly 1255 A.D. 1845/46.

Sheethundathamum	1845	10	9	255	-	-	145	-	3 5/8
Edayanadum	1845	10	9	305	-	-	95	-	2 5/8
Total	-	1610	1557	358	-	52	42	-	3 33/64

Madura 29th. July or Saumdy 1846. E.E. 6252. 24 Sicca [signed] J. Blackburne Principal Collector Order.

Fort St. George 6th. August 1846.

Read Return of Wastage charged under Regulation IX. of 1822 for the first half of the fasly 1255 Order thereon,

Ordered to be entered on the Table.

Read Return of Wastage charged under Regulation IX. of 1822 for the first half of the fasly 1255 Order thereon,

Ordered to be entered on the Table.

Read the following Letter from the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George 6th. August 1846.

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith a statement of wastage of salt for fasly 1255 and to request you will be pleased to move the Honorable the Board of Revenue to direct the Collector of Madura to be furnished with an order for the payment of the sum of Rupees 252 - 24 Sicca to the Proprietors of Pandaram Choultry in the Pergunnah of Tirumungalum in the Zillah of Madura, being the amount of the Allowance granted to them for the year 1255 fasly.

I have &c.

(signed) J. Blackburne

Principal Collector of Madura,

29th. July 1846

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

10199 Fort St. George 6th. August 1831.

28-29 Order thereon.

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

To, J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

30

From the Dy. Collector of Coimbatore ..... Submitting the information called for by the Board on the subject of Nerickes.

Sir,
Para 1.

Fort St. George

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter* dated 30th. April last and also your subsequent communication of the 23d. Instant requiring information on the subject of furnishing Nerickes.

2. In reply I beg leave to state that the orders of the Board of Revenue conveyed in their Circular of the 31st. March 1831 have not been carried out in this District. No price Currents are furnished quarterly to the Officer Commanding the Southern Division of the Army they are only transmitted to the Board of Revenue Monthly and to the Commissariat Officer at Trichinopoly annually in these the prices of the principal Grains are merely exhibited many Articles enumerated in the form received with the Circular above quoted being altogether omitted from the list.

3. Our average is formed on the selling prices prevailing in the principal Towns and Markets in the District and this System whilst it appears to have worked without molestation or

10200 Fort St. George 6th. August 1831.

or interruption to the commercial regularity which prevails in the District at the same time also seems to be in strict accordance with the spirit of Orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors and of the Government noted marginally and to have afforded as fair an Approximation to the average selling prices as is possible Infancy to be met with by any other means.

*Vide Extract from Letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 6th. June 1814 Dy. of Government dated 23d. January 1833.

Under these circumstances I am not aware of any other mode by which the object in view can be more correctly arrived at.

Coimbatore, 27th. July 1831.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

Order thereon;

30-31

Ordered to lie on table.

Read

10201 Fort St. George 8th August 1846.

Read the following letter

From

C. F. Nuchols Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(32)

From the Collector Sir

of Kanara

I have the honor to

submit for sanction an Account of Contingent charges incurred in the

Department of Conservator of Forests in the Month of June last

amounting to Rupees 7. 10—10 as per

Abstract hereunto annexed for Fusly

1254 which is less than the sanction

Rupees 7—10—10

Deduct as per

Abstract 1—9—1 details of the Pencilled Charges

1254 ---------- 5—1 as shewn in the Statement

12553 Rs. A. P. Rs. A. P.

1254 ---------- 8—3—11 7—10—10

Deduct as per

Abstract 1—9—1

1254 ---------- 5—1

by Warrant Rs. as shewn in the Statement

Collectors Cutcherry

Mangalore

13th June 1846 (Signed) T. L. Blane

Collector

Accounts

10202 Fort St. George 8th August 1846.

Account Particulars of Contingent Charges incurred in the Department of the Sub Conservator of Forests in Canara for fusly 1254.

	Rupees	A.	P.
To 2 Reams and 69 punchaes China Paper at Rupees 2—3 per ream of 70 punchaes	6	8	4
" 2 Bundles and 42 Sheets of Karada Coarse Paper at " —6—10½ per Bundle of 60 Sheets	1	2	6
Total Company's Rupees	7	10	10

Mangalore, 13th June 1846

(Signed) T. L. Blane

Collector

Proceedings

10203

102

(32-33)

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.

Proceedings thereon.

The Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the Charges incurred in the Department of the Sub Conservator of Forests in Canara for Fusly 1254 amounting to Rupees 7—10—10.

Read the following letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(34)

From the Coll. of Malabar—

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an application for the payment of arrears of Malikanah allowance due to the Cherukal Changom Kawilagom Kerlah Varmah Rajah prepared agreeably to the form received with your letter dated the 18th May last and to request you will be good enough to submit the same for the sanction of the Board of Revenue—

Calicut 21st July 1846

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement

10204

Fort St. George 6th August 1846.

10205

Fort St. George.

Statement shewing the Amount of Arrears due to

Number of Certificate	Names of the Pensioners.	Amount of Pension per Annum.	Date of Government authority.	Nature of the Grant.	Period for which arrears are due.	Total Amount of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.
	Cherikal Chingom Hawelagom Karlak Warmah Rajah.	170. 13 3.	22nd April 1814 4th December 1815.	Exemption of Lots and Pepper Vines of the Lands belonging to the Rajah.	For 4 years from 4th Kist 12th June. reinstatement of 1017 to 1021 1842 10th Kist of 1021 June 1846.	683 5 -

Calicut. ——
21st July 1846.

E. E. J. S.

8th August 1846.

the undermentioned Rajah in the District of Malabar.

Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Orders of Government.
8	9	10.
The Rajah states that being a resident of Travancore, and consequently under the necessity of deputing another person to this distant place for the purpose of receiving his Malikanah allowance, he has permitted the same to remain in arrear as he was formerly accustomed to do for 1-2-3 years with the view of receiving the whole at once. The reason is, so far as I have any cause to know, a correct one, and I beg the arrears may be paid. I will explain to the Rajah the necessity of not allowing his allowances to fall into arrears for the future.	Recommended for sanction under the explanation afforded by the Collector Rupees Six hundred and eighty three and Annas five (Rupees 683—5—)	Sanctioned. Fort St. George 20th August 1846. By order. Signed C. P. Thompson Sec. to Govt.
	Rev. Board's Office, Fort St. George, 8th August 1846. By order. Signed T. Pycroft Secretary.	

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

Ordered

10206. Fort St. George 6th August 1840.

Order thereon,
(34-35)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement accompanying the foregoing letter and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(36) From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the prices of Grain up to 20th July last.

(37) From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement of the retail prices of Grain up to 31st July last.

From

10207. Fort St. George 6th August 1840.

(38) From the Collector of Nellore. Statement of the prices of the principal kind of Grain up to 20th July last.

(39) From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah. Forwarding a Statement shewing the prices of the principal kinds of Grain up to 10th July last.

(40) From the Commissioner in Kurnool. List of average prices of Grain up to 20th July last.

(41) From the Actg Collector of Chingleput. Statement shewing the selling prices of Grain up to 20th July last.

(42) From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot. List shewing the prices of the principal kinds of Grain up to 20th July last.

(43) From the Princ.l Collector of Madura. Average bazar wholesale prices of Grain up to 20th July 1840.

(36-44) Order on the 8 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

(45) From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot. Read Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption for the Quarter ending 31st July last.

(45-46) Order thereon, Ordered to lie over.

Read

58 From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot } Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of Servants and Stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

59 From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot } Stating that no Public Servants were suspended or dismissed during the Quarter ending 31st March last.

60 From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot } Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

61 From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot } Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

62 From the Collector of Guntoor. Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last.

63 From the Collector of Masulipatam. Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

From

64 From the Collector of Masulipatam. Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of Servants and Stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

65 From the Collector of Madras. Stating that no Endorsement was made on any of the Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of July last.

66 From the Collector of Nellore. Statement of Salt for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1845/46.

67 From the Collector of Salem. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

68 From the Collector of Salem. Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

69 From the Collector of Salem. Forwarding a return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last and stating that no persons were in Confinement under that Regulation during the same period.

70 From the Collector of Salem. Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of May last

From

10173

Fort St. George

6th to 7th August 1846

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1255	Pay	Period of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment	Total Period of Service	Remarks
						years m d	years m d		years m d	
Kistnah Row of Bawanagherry in the Zillah of Verdachellum..	Bramin	51	Trichengode	C.Rs 1609 10 15 6	C.Rs 100 0 0	10 5 22	3 3 24	Sayer Ameen in Casbah Dindivanum in the Zillah of Verdachellum — 3 7 0 Magistrate Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutchery in the Zillah of Salem — 12 1 15	15 8 15	29 6 1
Woobbuladoddy Nashagherry Row of Trichinopoly	Dº	40	Darumpoory	14 1837	2 1	100 0 0	0 0 0	Huzzoor Goomastah in Madura Zillah — 4 0 3 Head Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor in the Zillah of Salem — 1 0 13	5 0 16	5 7 29
Timmapiah of Salem	Dº	47	Tengaracottah	1005 26 1 3	100 0 0	1 6 20	0 0 0	Goomastah in the Talook of Senkerry droog and Salem in the Salem Zillah — 2 5 20 Sheristahdar in the Talooks of Senkerry droog and Trichengode in Dº — 3 11 20	6 5 10	24 1 0
Aroonachelliah of Tripatore in the Zillah of Salem..	Dº	57	Kistnagherry	124 1966 3	100 0 0	1 10 0	0 0 0	2d Class Police ameen in the Talooks of Kistnagherry & Hosoor in the Salem Zillah — 1 5 15 Paishear in the Talooks of Tengaracottah Kistnagherry and Trichengode — 2 0 7 Acting Nail Sheristah in the Hoozzoor in the Salem Zillah — 9 0 4	4 0 22	25 11 22
Samah Row of Conjeveram in the Zillah of Chingleput	Dº	61	Tripatore	143 855 4 9	100 0 0	1 10 0	0 0 0	Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Madura — 2 0 0 Huzzoor Sewabneviss in the Zillah of Chittoor — 8 4 0 Tahsildar in the Mogural Talook in Dº Dº — 0 8 0 Dº in the Talook of Vellore in Dº Dº — 3 9 0 Dº in Sattagheady Talook in Dº Dº — 3 0 0	17 9 0	37 3 0

10173

Fort St. George

1st to 31st August 1846

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment	Total Period of Service	Remarks
Kistnah Row of Bawanagherry in the Zillah of Verdachellum	Bramin	51	Trichengode	1609 10 15 6	100 " "	10 5 22	3 3 24	Sayer Ameen in Casbah Dindevanum in the Zillah of Verdachellum — 3 7 " Magistrate Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in the Zillah of Salem — 12 1 15 15 8 15	29 6 1	
Woobbuladoddy Sashagherry Row	Dº	40	Darumpoory of Trichinopoly	14 1837 2 1	100 " "	" 5 12		Huzzoor Goomastah in Madura Zillah — 4 " 3 " 2 1 Head Sewabneviss in the Huzzoor in the Zillah of Salem — 1 " 13 5 " 16	5 7 29	
Timmapiah of Salem	Dº	47	Tengaracottah	1005 26 1 3	100 " "	" 6 20	17 1 4	Goomastah in the Talook of Senkerry droog and Salem in the Salem Zillah — 2 5 20 Cheristahdar in the Talooks of Senkerry droog and Trichengode in Dº — 3 11 20 6 5 10	24 1 4	
Aroonachelliah of Tripatore in the Zillah of Salem	Dº	57	Kistnagherry	124 198 6 3	100 " "	1 10 "	20 1 4	2d Class Police ameen in the Talooks of Kistnagherry & Ossoor in the Salem Zillah — 1 5 15 Peishear in the Talooks of Tengara cottah Kistnagherry and Trichengode — 2 " 7 Acting Naib Cheristah in the Huzzoor in the Salem Zillah — 7 " 4 4 " 22	25 11 22	
Samah Rowe of Conjeveram in the Zillah of Chingleput	Dº	61	Tripatore	143855 4 9	100 " "	1 10 "	17 6 "	2d Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Madura — 2 " " Huzzoor Sewabneviss in the Zillah of Chittoor — 8 4 " Tahsildar in the Mogural Talook in Dº Dº — " 6 " Dº in the Talook of Vellore in Dº Dº — 3 9 " Dº in Sattaghedy Talook in Dº Dº — 3 " 0 17 9 " 37 3 "		

10174

Fort St. George

6th August 1840

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly Day 1255	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of the Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employment.-	Total Period of Service	Remarks
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	years m. d.		years m. d.	
Kistnah Row of Pulloor in Verda-chellum Zillah	Bramin	51	Denkencottah	10802213	100 " "	6 2 0	Tamil Record keeper in the Zillah of Salem	4 " "	16
						5 6 "	Sayer Goomastah in the Zillah of Salem	3 9 "	
							Sayer Goomastah in the Huzzoor in Do. Do.	" 11 "	
							Treasury Goomastah in the Huzzoor in Do.	4 5 "	
							Sewabnivess in the Huzzoor in Do.	" 5 12	
							Dairhcar in the Talook of Pauzepoor in Do.		
							Goomastah in the Additional Sub Collectors' Cutcherry in the Zillah of Salem	" 4 13	
							Sewabnivess in the Sub Collrs. Cutcherry in Do.	8 1 25	
							Huzzoor Sewabnivess in the Zillah of Trichinopoly	" 6 18	
							Sayer Tahsil in the Do. — Do. —	" 9 26	
								19 1 22	25 2 12
Kanoomunta Row Do. 43 of Badanahutty in the Zillah of Bellary.-	Do.		Huzzoor	7 2 5 16	102 " "	100 " "	1 7 "	" " "	
							Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub division in the Zillah of Darwar	10 5 13	
							Do. Do. in the Zillah of Salem	1 10 "	
							Head Goomastah in Do. Do.	9 4 "	
								21 7 13	23 2 13

Salem Zillah Huzzoor Collrs. Cutcherry 30th July 1840

[Signed] W. C. Lockhart
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon
(16-17)

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(18)
From the Coll.r
of Salem.....

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Instant forwarding one Copy of Thornton's History of the British Empire in India in five Volumes for the use of my Office.-

Salem Zillah Cutcherry 31st July 1840 } signed W.m C.s Lockhart
Collectors Office } Collector

Order thereon
(18-19)

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read the following letters.-

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(20)
From the Coll.r
of Tanjore..

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 21st January 1846 forwarding a Petition No. 111 of 1846 from D. Appadaumy Pillay of Madras complaining of the delay in the registry of a certain Village in his Name which he had purchased from

Herewith returned

from one Manaly Letchmana Moodely and calling upon me to report whether there is any objection to a Compliance with Petitioners request I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the Cause of the delay complained of in the matter in question arose from the following circumstances.-

The Village itself is registered in the name of deceased Moottookistna Moodely the brother of Letchmana Moodelly above mentioned whose widow and the said Manally Letchmana Moodely are at this very time engaged in a Civil Suit before the Supreme Court on account of the Estates of the deceased Manally Moottookistna Moodely and the question naturally arises if the widow Triperasoondry Ammal has any claim upon this Village / Pattay / similar to that on other Villages registered in the name of her husband.-

Secondly. Beyond the Bill of Sale executed by Mr. Acworth for Mr. D. Cator on behalf of the Estate / as Executor / of Manally Poonia Moodely / another brother / to Manally Letchmana Moodely before named there are no documents or records to show that Poonia Moodely was ever the proprietor of the lands in question