

2030
2030
93

4710/25

5830/27

12970/80

203

Vol V

1843 Fort St George 9th July 1846

No Diary

Thursday 9th July 1846

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

A. Maclean Esqr Actg Second Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

and

F. Goldingham Esqre officiating Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

(1)

From the Secy: to Government communicating Orders on the Board's Proceedings recording observations on the report from the Commissioner of the Northern Circars on the causes of the decline of Revenue in the Rajahmundry District

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th April 1846.

Read again

Report from the Commissioner in the Northern Circars on the Causes of decline of Revenue in the Rajahmundry district, and the measures necessary for the improvement of the District.

dated 18th March 1844 No 272 and recorded in Consultation 28th May of the same year

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue recording observations on the above Report.

dated 14th July 1846 No 986 and recorded in Diary to Consultation 11th November of the same year

x x x

Read

8844 Fort St George 9th July 1846

Read also the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue on the effects of the importation of arracan Rice into the Ports under the Madras Presidency—

(Here Enter 16th Febry 1842 No 92)

Para 1 The Report from the commissioner establishes beyond question the fact of the greatly deteriorated State of the Rajahmundry district and depressed Condition of the whole body of Cultivators It is clear that this State of things has not arisen entirely from natural Causes such as the drought and inundations to which the district has been exposed but that it is in great part to be attributed to the Mismanagement of the Zemindars, arising from their incapacity to fulfil the obligations which devolved upon them as the proprietors or landlords of Estates— Had they possessed the intelligence and those habits of business which would have enabled them to know the true Value of the land, and the fair rent to be drawn from the Ryot with the Moral qualities of Self denial and forethought which would have led them to promote the interests of the Ryots, as the true source of their own wealth there can be no doubt that the district of Rajahmundry would have been in a very different state to that in which it is now represented to be—

The

8845 Fort St George 9th July 1846

The habits of present indulgence and reckless extravagance, and vindictive litigation, have led to the dissipation of the Zemindars own fortunes and the ruin of their Ryots, and it cannot therefore be a matter of Surprise that the expectations entertained on the introduction of the Zemindary System, should have failed—

2. Upon a Careful consideration of the Commissioners Report the Most Noble the Governor in Council can see no ground to believe that if these Estates are restored to the possession of the Zemindars such an alteration in their Character and habits, and such an improved System of Management will take place as will secure Justice to the Ryot and prosperity to the district— On the Contrary he has the strongest reason to Conclude that even should the Peishcush be greatly reduced, the same results as now brought to notice, would again be Manifested on the occurrence of adverse Seasons, droughts and other difficulties.

3. With these views His Lordship in Council Concurs generally in the opinion expressed both by the Commissioner and the Board that it is not advisable to perpetuate the Zemindary System in the Rajahmundry district, and that attention should be immediately and steadily directed to the introduction of a System which shall foster and protect the

Proceedings Para 54.

interests

interests of the Ryots themselves, and Conse-
=quently that when such Estates fall into arrear
they should be brought to sale under the pro-
=visions of the law and purchased on accou-
of Government.

Proceedings Para 36

4. His Lordship in Council accor-
=dingly desires the Board will proceed to consi-
in furtherance of the object in view, the propri
of proceeding with the sale of the Zemindaries
present under attachment for arrears of the
Government Pesheush, and in submitting the
result of their deliberations place before Gover
=ment a succinct history of each Estate and th
various circumstances which may have affecte
its Condition and Resources down to the presen
period.

Proceedings 55 & 56
Com[rs] Report 79 & 82

5. Where Estates belonging to ancie
Zemindars are sold, the Government will be
prepared to give consideration to their claims
to support, and make respectable and liberal
provision for them in the mode suggested by
the Commissioner Viz[t] by an assignment of
land equivalent to their support according to
their Station in Society with a Nominal Peishcu

Com[rs] Report para 82

Renting System Proceedings para 40 & 47.
Com[rs] Report para 68 & 73 & 75.

6. In lands already belonging to Gover
=ment or which may become so under the Order
above issued His Lordship in Council is of
opinion that a defined System of joint Village
rents

rents, which is admitted to be the best practical
System, should at once be introduced until a regular
Survey and Assessment on sound principles can be
Carried out. No interference with Crops after the rents
are Concluded either in estimating or giving per-
=mission to Cut them should be permitted, and the local
Officers should be particularly warned in this respect.

The Board of Revenue will on an early date
explain fully to the Government the System of joint
Village Rents proposed in the foregoing proceedings,
and when the Approbation of Government is
Communicated to them, they will give explicit
directions to the Collector on all essential points
and instruct him to put the System in force
throughout the District with the least practi-
=cable delay.

Survey and Assessment 8-
Pro[r] para 65

The next and most important point
for consideration and one most essential for the
prosperity of the District is the organization of
a System of Survey and Assessment. His Lordship
observes that in view to the introduction of a
general Survey and fixed field Assessment in the
Government Estates in this District, the Survey
of certain Villages in the Woondy Talook was
undertaken in 1839 - as a preparatory measure,
but it does not appear from the Report, on
that Survey furnished by the Board of Revenue
on the 6th February 1845 No 110 that the results were

Letters 18th Dec[r] 1844 No 52-53
8th April 1845 No 6-7.

such

such as to render it expedient to extend the measure upon the same principles throughout the rest of the District. In Extract Minutes of Consultation 3d April 1845, the Board were required to call upon the Collector for an explanation on the several points noticed by them in respect to which the operations of the Survey and Assessment have been pronounced defective and altho in para 12 of their Proceedings of the 2d October following it was observed that the were in Communication with the Collector, Yet no reply has been received. As the subject of Surveys generally however is now under the consideration of the Board it will be time to consider what arrangements are to be adopted in future in Rajahmundry when the Board's report comes before Government

Irrigation 9. The neglect and inattention under the Zemindary System to the all important object of preserving and improving the means of irrigation, which is a striking instance of the incompatability of that System with the prosperity of the Country will require an early remedy. In lands which have reverted to Government, or in which the Revenue Officers have entire Control, immediate attention should be given to works of irrigation and improvements under

System which shall ensure the careful and judicious appropriation of all sums allotted for construction or repair. From the correspondence noted in the Margin it is observed that the Civil Engineer of the 4th Division has already devoted his time to this grand object, and that an examination into the facilities for improving the irrigation of the District was Contemplated in the latter part of the year 1844 and that since that period several works involving an aggregate expenditure of a Lac of Rupees have been sanctioned or submitted to the Government of India on the recommendation of that Officer supported by that of the Board of Revenue both for the improvement of the Revenue and Security of the Crops from inundation Viz.t—

Cons.n 11th Feb.y 1845	No 1	2	
2d Jan.y 1846	" 14	17	
20th "	" 92		
also			
11th Nov.r 1845	" 60	61	
5th May 1845	No 54	55	
3d "	" "	60	61
7th June	" "	29	30
1st July	" "	61	62
29th "	" "	15	16
8th Augt	" "	8	10
27th Jan.y 46	" "	100	
Resr		175.	

Cutting the bend in Southern Godavery for the purpose of relieving that part of the Country from floods ——— 7,416 — 11 — 0

Ordenary works, such as deepening Channels Strengthening banks &c.a and restoring the western Embankment of the Vasista Godavery ——— 35,132 — 14 — 0

Raising Dykes and constructing Sluices on the right bank of the Godavery to restrain the high floods from spreading over the lands for the Security of the produce ——— 8515 — 6 —

Ordinary Repairs to works of irrigation in certain Zemindaries under Management ——— 8449 — 10 —

Constructing a Dyke with Sluices along the left bank of the Godavery and stone grains for defence against encroachment of the Stream ——— 31,994 — 10 — 11

Extending the Thooliah Bayah Channel of irrigation from the Godavery ——— 9,821 — 0 — 0

104,350 — 3 — 11

Treasury Pro: Para 7 48 Commissioners Report 74.

10. His Lordship in Council is of opinion that advances for Cultivation should be made when required as at present in preference to the Loan System advocated by the Board of Revenue in para 47 of their Proceedings but that the Local Officers should be instructed to take especial care to secure to the Cultivator the full benefit of the

the advance.

Grama Khurch Pro: 32 & 35 Comrs Report para 47 & 67.

The Grama Khurch the abuse of which is so forcibly depicted in the Commissioners Report should be abolished by Proclamation, and it should be notified that any public Servant receiving to his own use any sum as Batta or on any other account from the Village Collections or levying Contributions from the pay of Subordinate public Servants, shall in addition to the punishment adjudicable under the Regulations and orders of Government be declared incompetent to serve the State again.

Allowances to Pagodas Commrs Report para 52. Pro: para 56.

12. The allowances to Pagodas Sanctioned by long usage should be made payable from the Collectors Treasury as His Lordship in Council is of opinion that a deduction from the Village Collections on that account is open to abuse. The amount of all such allowances should be carefully ascertained and a Statement submitted to Government for Sanction to enable the Collector to make the necessary disbursement and the Office of Audit to pass the amount upon the principle observed in other Districts.

The

Village Officers Pro: 51 & 52 - Commrs Report para 78

13. The observations of the Commissioner on the state and inefficiency of the Village Officers particularly on the State of the Office of Curnum as separately reported by that Officer on the 30th May 1846 deserve the unremitting attention of the local Officers and the opportunity offered by the proposed Survey should be taken for introducing the remedial measures His Lordship in Council is of opinion that where Officers have no Inamum lands or other emoluments allotted for their services they should not until such grants are settled upon some fixed principle be allowed a remission at the discretion of the Collector in consideration of the Services required and rendered, as proposed in para 78 of the Commissioners Report — This remuneration aided by a Selection for office of persons who can read and write, will by making it the interest of each Village Officer to discharge his own duty, prevent the Curnum from assuming to himself all the powers of the Village authorities as at present, and become the means of improving the Police as well as Revenue Management of the Village. —

Dft to Comr 2d July 1846 Nos 71 — 72 -

Lands

Unauthorized alienations Pro: para 37 & 39 Commrs Report para 53 & 60.

14. Lands held rent free and not registered on any account should be at once resumed the present holders of registered lands long since alienated, but for which they cannot produce valid titles, may be allowed to hold them during their life time on the terms proposed by the Board of Revenue in 1819, Viz^z a remission of ten or fifteen percent upon their full rent. —

15. The Collector should be enjoined to carry out most strictly the Regulations and Acts against Malversation in Revenue affairs and the embezzlement or Corrupt receipt of money by public Servants —

Arracan Grains Pro: para 30- Commrs Ref: 35-

16. The proposition to impose restrictions on the importation of grains from Arracan recommended in Para 35 of the Commissioners report, has been considered in the Separate Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th February 1846 N° 89 and for the reasons therein recorded His Lordship in Council is of opinion that the Subject should not for the present be agitated —

Commrs Report para 8-

17. Adverting to the extent and value of the Government lands in the Rajahmundry District and to the probability of a large accession to them by the arrangements above Contemplated His Lordship in Council admits the importance of the Boards suggestion to place the Head Native Revenue

Pro: para 58-68-

Fort St George 9th July 1846

in throughout the Dintrict for this purpose,
a Copy of the Commissioners Report and the
Board's Proceedings thereon with the above Resolu-
tions and indeed of all the Reports of the Commissioner
on the various subjects referred for his investigation
should, as solicited by a former Collector in a
letter dated the 29th August 1845, be furnished to
the Collector and Sub Collector and by them
communicated to their Sheristadars, and their
attention directed to the various important
matters therein discussed—

Cons. 1st Oct. 1844 Nos. 37-38.

21

Finally His Lordship in Council
is of opinion that all representations from the
local Officers on Matters Connected with the
Rajahmundry District, should in the State of
affairs now prevailing there, receive the im-
mediate attention of the Board of Revenue, and
that the delays which have been so frequently
noticed by the Government should not be
suffered to occur—

22.

The Ramachendrapooram Zemindary
the Report upon which it was proposed to take
into consideration on the Submission of the Papers
recorded at the head of these Proceedings,
will be sold for the arrears at present against
it under the views already expressed, and the
allowance of Rupees 400 per Mensem sanctioned

by

Fort St George 9th July 1846

Revenue Officer and his Deputy on the same
footing in regard to allowances as Officers of
similar grade in Ryotwar Districts. The gradu-
ated Scale of allowances for Settled Districts which
now obtains in Rajahmundry will, therefore, be
set aside and His Lordship in Council
permits the Head Sheristadar of that District
to draw Salary according to the Scale authorized
for Sheristadars of unsettled Districts—

18.

The Government cannot hardly
express upon the Board of Revenue the ne-
cessity of having men of ability and practical
experience to fill the Office of Sheristadar, in the
Rajahmundry District and also in the Northern
Circars generally, where such important
changes in the Revenue administration of
those provinces are about to take place—

19.

His Lordship in Council observes
from the papers noted in the Margin that the Con-
cluding para of the Board's Proceedings has been
disposed of by the appointment of a Sub Collector in
Rajahmundry with the necessary Establishment
and that application has been made to the Govern-
ment of India for a Confirmation of the arrangem

Rev. Dy. 11th Nov. 1845 15th ... No. 53
20th Jany. 1846
Rev. Dy. 11th March 153

Dy. to Bors 11th July 1843 Nos. 15 & 17
Rev. Dy. 2d July 1844 Nos. 63-64
Ramachendra-pooram

20.

It is essential that the views
of Government should be fully understood by the
local European and Native Officers, in order
to one uniform System being steadily persevered

in

Fort St. George 9th July 1845

by the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 25th June 1844. Continued to the Zemindar as a provision for life.—

Dy. to Govt 1st April 1845 No. 1-2.
Dy. 13th May 1845 No. 25-26

23. The Board of Revenue have promised in their Secretary's letter of the 10th April 1845 to submit their sentiments in regard to the Course to be pursued towards the Colah Zemindary and His Lordship in Council resolves to await the receipt of their Report.

Rec'd 22nd Oct. 1845 No. 41-42.
31st " Dy. 4-6
28th " Nov. 22-23.
25th " May 1846 4-5.

24. Regarding the Pauty Zemindary the circumstances of which are adverted to in Mr. D. Montgomery's letter dated 9th September 1843 it is only necessary to observe that the Estate has been sold in consequence of the failure of the Proprietor to take advantage of the terms offered to her for its restoration.—

Cons 26th Sept. 1843 No. 57-58
Dy. 11th March 1845 No. 9-10
Reddapore.

25. The Report of the Commissioner on the Resources assessment and Condition of the Reddapore Zemindary will be transmitted to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Acting Secretary's letter dated 28th August 1843 and to the Extract Minutes Consultation 7th March 1845 No. 315, with instructions to submit their sentiments on the Course to be pursued in regard to this Estate under the views communicated above.—

Cons 2nd July 1844 No. 90-91
" 27th Aug. 1844 No. 62-63
Dy. 7th July 1845 No. 37-38

26. The Pettapore Zemindary which is adverted to in the Commissioner's Report to the Board dated 10th January 1844 it is observed has been restored to the Proprietor under the orders of Government dated 2nd July 1844. No. 864.

I Have &c
(Signed) E. B. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue

E.B.

Fort St. George 9th July 1845.

A

To
G. D. Drury Esqre
Chief Secretary to
Government of
Fort St. George

Sir,

The Honorable the Court of Directors having desired to be furnished with further information regarding the Peddapore Zemindary which Mr Lushington has stated "is notoriously over assessed" the subject at the suggestion of the Board of Revenue was referred for my investigation and report by the Most Noble the Governor in Council on the 26th September 1843 I have now the honor to submit the following Remarks—

Para 1.

No 5

Extract Minutes Cons 26th Sept. 1843 No 1057

2. The Peddapore Zemindary which at the time of fixing the Permanent assessments in this District was the most extensive and important Estate in it consisted then of 44 Purjanahs and 388 Villages the assets of which according to the accounts of the Sircarcut Commattee were estimated after deduction for Salt and Sayer at Rupees 9,94,623 Star Pagodas 2,84,178

The Peshcush was formed

3. on the Principle of assessing the Zemindary at 2/3rds of the average value of the gross resources of

Fort St. George 9th July 1816

Star Pagodas 189452

Star Pagodas 1622

Star Pagodas 190895

Madras Pagt. 166000

Madras Pagt. 6000

Madras Pagt. 24,000

Madras Pagt. 16934

of the Estate as ascertained by the Accounts for 8 years from 1777 to 1784 being Rupees 66,3082 from which a further deduction of Rupees 5,677 equal to 1/3d the produce of Salt enjoyed by the Zemindar and an addition for Despondiah Mauniums and Roospoom resumed being made the Net Peshcush was finally settled at Rupees 66,817-8-0

4. Previous to the year 1797 the Peshcush on this Estate was Rupees 61,600 being Rupees 23,100 less than 2/3d of the Net effects which according to the same account amounted to Rupees 93,8650- But in 1797 on the occasion of installing the successor of Timma Jagapatyraz in the Zemindary "The Principle approved by the Court of "Directors of assessing the Zemindars under "Masulipatam 2/3ds of their gross Revenue was adopted in the case of Peddapore and Rupees 63,195-14-6 were added to the Peshcush- The Board of Revenue of that period stating also "that there was "reason to believe the Committee of "Circuits valuation of the Peddapore "Zemindary was made at a moderate rate"-

5.

In 1802, the special Commission

Fort St. George 9th July 1816

Commission in fixing the Amount of Peshcush observe that "the Zemindar's share from which "to defray charges of Management and to supply his personal expenses amount to Rupees 33,6703-8-0 supposing the Country now yields a Revenue equal to that estimated by the Committee of Circuit of "which we have no doubt, it is on the contrary "probable in our opinion, that the Revenue will "improve under the operation of the Public "investment and from the increasing trade"-

6. The Sitimrar Sunnud was given in the name of Rayajogapaty Ranze who in 1803 added to his Estate by purchase of Public Auction for the sum of Rupees 31,570-0-0 the two Purganahs of Doddy puttah and Corcondah increasing his Peshcush Rupees 18,664- In December 1804 Mr. Churchill then Collector thus addressed the Board of Revenue-

"On this Zemindary including Corocondah and Doddy puttah under proper management will "yield an yearly Revenue of three lacs of Pagodas I trust the Board will not think it necessary to make any "reduction on the present allowance."

Thus

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

This Roya Sagapaty Rauze
died in 1805 leaving his Estate to an
adopted son an infant and in the same
year Mr. Hodgson was appointed Com-
missioner for the special purpose of
investigating the affairs of this Zemin-
dary.

8. With a view to ascertain
the then real resources of the Estate
which he proposed to rent by Villages
Mr. Hodgson obtained two sets of accounts
one from the Curnums shewing the actual
assets for three years previous to have
been Rupees 1008333-7-2 the other being
an Estimate valuation by the Munza
dars at Rupees 1007,610-7-2. For justly
1215/1805/6 the Summa for the Estate is given
at Rupees 1005153-14-7 and this revenue
is estimated to yield a Surplus of Rupees
124570-9-7 after defraying the expences of
Management of maintenance of the
Minor Zemindar, and allowance to re-
lations and dependants on a most liberal
scale.

9. Mr. Hodgson concludes his
report with the following remarks.

"Having now brought the
subject

Mr. St. 26/897

Mr. St. 26/717

Mr. St. 26/1078 15/16

Mr. St. 32356.

Para 151.

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

"subject of the Revenue affairs of the Zemin-
"dary of Peddapore to a close it will be
"satisfactory to observe that the result affords
"even should my expectations and the estimates
"founded thereon not be immediately realized
"ample security for the liquidation of the
"arrears due to the Company, abundant
"funds for the public revenue and
"great hopes that a Surplus may be
"accumulated for the contingent de-
"mands which must arise as the
"Minor advances towards Manhood"
Up to this period, therefore there would
seem to have been no impression
that the Estate was over assessed.

The extravagant expen-
diture of the Zemindar consequent on
the assumption of Regal splendor
added to neglect and mismanagement
of their affairs may have caused
embarrassments but the resources of
the Estate appear to have been ample to
meet the Peishcush with which it was
charged.

From

8860
8862

Fort St. George 9th July 1808.

| Fusly | Remarks | Charges | Receipts | | |

"are Ample and are as follows—

	Star Pags	fl	c
"Charges Collection including "Managers allowance	7,240	42	57
"Rajah's Coundah	13,366	25	10
"Wages to Sepoys & attendants	6,970	28	5
"allowance to Rawnies	9,666	—	—
"Pagoda fees	655	20	65
"Rajah Caste peoples allowance	2,115	2	20
"Company's Dowle	22,359	—	—
	251,762	27	11
"Remains to pay annual instalment	287.83	38	2

"In the three first sums "of proposed charges, if the Zemindar is "prudent he will have an opportunity of "saving considerably and should he have "availed himself of the additional means "of increase in consequence of the general "repairs which took place whilst the "Zemindary was under the management "of the Court of Wards, he will have "after paying his annual instalment a "considerable surplus not less than (14,000) "Fourteen thousand Star Pagodas with "which to answer extra demands"

13 Thus shewing that after payment

L.O. 22359. payment of the Peshcush of Rupees 77,256 8 — and the allotment of sums for Manage= =ment of the Estate and for the support of the Zemindar and his relations a= surplus Revenue of upwards of Rupees

L.O. 30143. 105,640 8 — might be fairly expected=

14 Vutsavoy Jaganathrauz the father of the present Zemindar was now put in possession of the Estate by order of the Zillah Court, and from this period the property was the subject of litigation between him and the Widows of the late Zemindar which ended for a time in 1810. by the transfer of the = Cottam Mootah to Jaganatharauz and the possession by the elder widow of the rest of the Estate—

15— During the period of the disputed succession it was not surpris= =ing that arrears should have accrued and accordingly notwithstanding the = transfer by private sale of the two entire Proprietary Punganahs of Doddypullah and Corcondah which were acquired by purchase during the life time of Royajaga= =paty rauz and the sale of 4 Villages a = portion of the ancient Zemindary arrears

amounting

Fort St George 9th July 1816

8806

Fs. 16237-43-49. amounting to Rupees 571805 4 6 were outstanding in fusly 1222/1812/13 and in satis= =faction of them 8 Mootahs were sold by public auction realizing Rupees 5,80,825-

Fs. 165950.

16. Mr A. G. Blake then Collector in reporting the sale of these Mootahs states as follows—

"The scandalous behaviour "of the Revenue servants attached to this "Cutcherry during last year, the little re= "=gard that was paid to the realization of "the Revenues and the willing anarchy "and confusion in the Sudr Cutcherry "have been the principal causes of the "arrears"

17. In April 1814 the elder= widow died and Jagannatharauz was= again placed in posession of the Estates by order of the Hillah Court—

In the same year he= transferred to others by private sale the two entire Mootahs of Veloogoobundah and Vadesalaroo and a portion of Beemanapully. The Colunka Mootah was divided in 1226/1816/17 and a part sold by auction and in 1228/1818/19 Kurlumpoody and Ingguinpettah were also= similarly

Fort St George 9th July 1816

similarly disposed of—

18. The Systematic pillage to= which the Zemindary was exposed about this period added to the disputed succesion to it by the 2d Widow whose claim was then under Judicial investigation, sufficiently= account for the Zemindar's embarrasments and for the nonfulfilment of his engage= =ments to Government—

19. The malversations of Anna= =pindy Ummanah the Deewan are too= notorious here and too well known to the Government to require more than slight notice—

During his term of Office he not only assumed the state and pro= =fuse extravagance of a Zemindar but himself became the purchaser of 14= Estates at a total realized amount of= Rupees 396000—

20. The investigations of the Commission on the charges preferred against Mr Henry Oakes Collector of Rajahmundry from fusly 1223/1813/14 to 1227/1817/18 shew that upwards of two Lacs of Rupees were paid by Ummanah to Ramasawmy Naik that Officer's private Debash=

The

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

21. The following Extract from the correspondence of this period recorded in the Office of the Collector tends to shew that the resources of the Zemindary were still considered by the authorities more than equal to the assessment on them. In 1818 Mr Smalley writes — "I have estimated that the gross Collections for "two years will amount to Rupees "4,20,580 — 12 — 1. the permanent Summah "and Charges to Rupees 3,58,864 — which "leaves a surplus of Rupees 61,716 — 12 — 1 "for the discharge of the arrears, which "amount to Rupees 61,891 — 2 — 1" supposing therefore that no unforeseen charges should occur, and that the seasons are commonly favorable the balance may be liquidated in two years —

Statement

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

Statement exhibiting the estimated means of defraying the balance outstanding in the Peddapore Zemindary —

	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Settlement of fusly 1228				211,439	3	0
Deduct loss occasioned by the Freshes				10,000	0	0
				201,439	3	0
Expected amount of Balance for fusly 1227 to be Collected in fusly 1228 (Viz.)						
Renters				4,400	0	0
Inhabitants				7,200	0	0
Zemindars				2827	8	0
				14,427	8	0
				215,866	11	0
Estimated Settlement of fusly 1229 being the average of 4 years actual Collection from 1223 to 1226				204,714	1	1
				4,20,580	12	1
Permanent Summah and Charges for fusles 1228 and 1229				358,864	0	0
Remains for discharging the Balance				61,716	12	1

and

and in the many letters addressed by the Zemindar to the Collector and to the Board of Revenue seasonably recorded the valuable nature of the property and the ability of the Estate to meet more than the current de= =mand is adverted to—Earlier in 1818 the Zemindar writes thus the subject of the sales of his Estates which had taken place in that year—"Shirlumpoody and "Juggumpettah were purchased by Rajah "Vencataneeladryrow the former for 31,500 "Rupees and the latter for 37,000 Rupees—"

"The said Rajah Vencata= "neeladryrow who now purchased the "two Estates had formerly at different "times sent Messages to me offering a "price of (72,000) Seventy two thousand "Rupees for Shirlumpoody and (70,000) "Seventy thousand Rupees for Juggum= "pettah besides which on the day prece= "ing the sale Ramarow the son of the "abovementioned Chintalapulla Vencata= "row sent me a word that he could "pay (65,000) Sixty five thousand Rupees for "Juggumpettah if I get it registered in "his name—

22

Thirty four Estates had

to this time been alienated from the original ancient Zemindary Veeravarum subsequently detached making 35). Of these 16 assessed at Rupees 197174 remain to this day in the hands of those to whom they belong yielding to their owners still ample means to dis= =charge the Peshcush fixed on them—

Among ten under attach= =ment are found the following equally valuable—

Peshcush Pl 3767-8-0

Rawley

Gollaprole

Vadasalaroo

Moongandah and Yanoogapilly—

Rawley though now about to be sold for arrears which have accrued from the misconduct and mismanage= =ment of its Proprietor has realized in the last fusly a surplus of Revenue = under all the disadvantages of tempora= attachment—

23

The accounts of Gollaprole= =ment are found the following equally valuable—

Peshcush Rl 26026

24

row a portion of the neighbouring Zemin= =dary of Pettapore for 15 years under the Management of the Court of Wards = demonstrate the moderation of the assess= =ment on it—

of

Fort St George 9th July 1816.

Peshcush Rs 38493-

25. Of Vadesalaroo Mr Smalley in 1817 writes thus "I should inform the "Board that the Estate Vadesalaroo is good "property and under proper management will "yield a considerable net Income probably "4,000 Pagodas"

26. This and the Mootahs of Moongandah and Yanoogapully have suffered from the devastations of Storm and famine in the years 1248 1838/39 and 1249 1887/40 and they will probably shortly revert to Govern=ment- Under moderately judicious manage=ment and prudent appropriation of the income derived from these Estates in former years, the difficulties with which they were then beset might have been overcome.—

Peshcush Rs 28130.

27. Nine Estates assessed with a Peshcush of Rupees 111,212 &c has reverted to Government—

28. The dismemberment of the ancient Zemindary as traced in the foregoing remarks, the full extent of which will be seen at one view by reference to the Sketch enclosure No 1. may more justly be attributed to reckless extravagance corruption

Fort St George 9th July 1816.

corruption and misrule, to dissensions among the family causing frequent and ruinous litigation, and to utter disregard of the obligations to the State and the people, which its possession imposed on the Zemindars, than to over assess=ment- Indeed an examination of the early accounts would lead to the con=clusion that the ancient Peddapore Zemindary was originally more favorably assessed than any other portion of the Rajahmundry District.—

29. From fusly 1227 1817/18 to fusly 1237 1827/28 the portion of the original Zemindary that then remained to the family was at the request of Jaganatharauz managed by the Collector and the following is the account during the same period.—

Statement—

8808

8874 Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Receipts Charges and Balance remaining in Peddapore Zemindary — 1st July 1846

Fuslies	Demand of Peshcush &c.	Balance of Cash remaining at beginning of each Fusly	Receipts — Collections in each Fusly	Sale proceeds of some Mootahs	Total	Charges — Wages of Servants Saderward &c.	Charges — allowances to the Zemindars &c.	Total Charges	Amount paid for Peshcush	Total	Remaining Balance in Cash
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
1 — 1227	2,23,352 8 "	" " "	2,63,667 11 9	" " "	2,63,667 11 9	15,793 11 5	" " "	15,793 11 5	2,47,874 " 4	2,63,667 11 9	" " "
2 — 1228	1,66,834 8 "	" " "	2,10,716 2 8	72,904 2 4	2,83,620 5 "	29,912 10 7	19,300 10 4	49,213 4 11	2,34,407 " 1	2,83,620 5 "	" " "
3 — 1229	1,66,834 8 "	" " "	2,06,793 7 8	" " "	2,06,793 7 8	22,088 " 10	14,662 12 4	36,750 13 2	1,70,042 10 6	2,06,793 7 8	" " "
4 — 1230	1,58,172 " "	" " "	1,81,700 6 6	931 14 "	1,82,632 4 6	35,093 11 4	17,045 " 6	52,138 11 10	1,30,493 8 8	1,82,632 4 6	" " "
5 — 1231	1,58,172 " "	" " "	1,75,319 2 5	600 " "	1,75,919 2 5	28,428 9 8	13,410 8 1	41,839 1 9	1,34,080 " 8	1,75,919 2 5	" " "
6 — 1232	1,58,171 " "	" " "	2,16,722 4 8	" " "	2,16,722 4 8	24,278 10 4	17,472 " "	41,750 10 4	1,74,971 10 4	2,16,722 4 8	" " "
7 — 1233	1,58,172 " "	" " "	1,55,357 7 4	" " "	1,55,357 7 4	26,066 11 11	6,088 3 7	32,154 15 6	1,23,202 7 10	1,55,357 7 4	" " "
8 — 1234	1,96,311 8 "	" " "	3,08,282 10 1	" " "	3,08,282 10 1	48,496 5 7	2,323 15 "	50,820 4 7	2,57,462 5 6	3,08,282 10 1	" " "
9 — 1235	1,96,311 8 "	" " "	3,12,452 14 6	" " "	3,12,452 14 6	42,358 11 3	3,545 10 10	45,904 6 1	2,28,453 7 6	2,74,357 13 7	38,095 " 11
10 — 1236	1,96,311 8 "	38,095 " 11	3,13,336 3 "	" " "	3,51,431 3 11	38,241 4 11	3,636 8 "	41,877 12 11	2,98,923 13 6	3,40,801 10 5	10,629 9 6
11 — 1237	1,47,222 " "	10,629 9 6	2,20,493 9 "	" " "	2,31,088 9 6	27,234 " 10	3,679 13 11	30,913 14 9	1,91,486 11 2	2,22,400 9 11	8,688 3 4
	19,25,866 " "	48,724 10 8	25,64,807 10 4	74,436 " 4	26,87,968 " "	1,37,992 8 8	10,116 5 2	74,391 5 7	11 3	21,91,397 12 1	26,30,555 7 4

Balance of Peshcush for fusly 1226 — 15,82,80 8 3
Interest — 11,028 5 3
Charges of attachment — 874 2 5
= 17,01,83 " 1

Advance paid by Govt for redeeming Valoogoobunda Estate — 95,348 12 "

21,91,397 12 1

= 74,436 " 4

Deduct sale Proceeds of some Mootahs amount of Balance liquidated under management —

95,746 15 9

The

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

30 The results of Mr. Robertson's management of this part of the property shew that up to the late period of $\frac{1237}{1827/28}$ the assessment was not disproportioned to its resources not only were large outstanding balances cleared off but the Estate of Valoogoobundah redeemed and the advance of Rupees 98,348-12- made by the Government to the Zemindar for that purpose repaid.—

12th March 1828.

Fasly 1236-15-9

31 In $\frac{1237}{1827/28}$ the decrease of the Sudr Court having recognized the claim of the second widow, the 7 Mootahs to which the Zemindary had been reduced were made over to Boochee Seetiah.—

32. On the occasion of this transfer the Collector Mr. Anstey reports a balance of Rupees 8,688 in favor of the Zemindary but as Interest had not been demanded on the Government loan for redemption of the Valoogoobundah Estate, that Mootah was retained under his management lest the Government should think proper to exact it, and Mr. Anstey adds

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

that "the Valoogoobundah Estate is sufficiently productive to liquidate the above balance in a short time" This Demand for interest was ultimately relinquished but notwithstanding this, and the evident prosperous condition in which the Estate was made over to the Zemindar, it again fell into arrears in Fusly 1241 when two Mootahs were attached, and in the following year the entire remaining Estate was brought under the Collector's Management for balances amounting to Rupees 1,41,907-8-6- The Statement below shews the result of the Collectors' Management during these years.—

+ Rs. 17267 for Interest

N.

8877

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

No.	Names of the Mootahs	Fuslies	Demand exclusive of arrears	Gross Collections	Charges	Paid for Peishcush	Balance of Peishcush	Surplus	Net Balance	Net Surplus
2	Veloogoolundar and Peecavole Mootahs	1242	98871 8 "	54016 4 8	7242 9 8	34060 2 "	6482 1 6 "	12723 9 "	52097 13 "	" " " "
7	Whole Mootah {From 1243 to 1245}		589934 8 "	714466 4 7	111706 8 4	56534 6 1	23589 1 11	374146 2 "	" " "	138254 3
			687806 " "	768482 9 3	118949 2 "	590395 8 1	88410 7 11	501137 15 2	52097 13 "	138254 3

Deduct Net Surplus ——— 138254 3

Net Balance which accrued during Management ——— 38272 8 9

Add Arrears previously outstanding ——— 15477 5 6 3

Total Balance ——— 193047 15 "

8878

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

33. In July 1242 (1832/33) there was a balance, and in addition to that, a fasuree, and in addition to that, Calamity, the District was visited by a destructive storm, and consequently it will be seen that the Collectors on the two Mootahs there under attached the Estate, exceeded Rupees 38292 8 9 during the Collectorship of the Government Demand and thus the balance against the Estate exceeded the Government Demand by Rupees 38292 8 9 but excluding that year of universal distress the management of the whole property from July 1242 to July 1245 (1833/36) produced a net surplus Revenue of Rupees 138254 after defraying charges to the large extent of Rupees 114706 —

The Balance as exhibited

34 in the Statement annexed to the foregoing Para were fortunately liquidated by acceding to the Request of dated the 3d of 1246, to whom on the decease of the deceased, the Estate had descended, that credit should be given her for the sum she was to receive from Government in Commutation for the Peishcush by Government of the Revenue Collecting Mootahs and the rights of Collectorship and

in fusly 1246 (1836/37) the Estate was again placed in possession of its owner with a reduced demand for arrears amounting to Rupees 40,876 - which under engagements then entered into were to be made good within the following fusly. —

35. In recommending that the Estate be restored to the Zemindar and referring to the balance which the adjustment then proposed left against it - Mr. Malcolm Lewin remarks "there is every reason to "belive that it would be liquidated by the Zemindar if not in the "Current fusly in the one which "Supervenes - The surplus of the past "year Amounted to Rupees 41,728-"

1244 (1834/35.)

36. For the two fusly years of 1246 (1836/37) and 1247 (1837/38) great difficulty was experienced in realizing from the Zemindar the dues of Government but from the recorded opinion of the Collector this difficulty was not occasioned by the failing resources of the Estate - In 1838, Mr. Smith writes thus to the Board of Revenue "An examination of the Accounts" (of the Zemindars

Zemindars) "shews that whilst they have "been crying out that the season was "bad they have been wantonly. squandering the means which were actually "in their hands for discharging the Government Demand - Thus in the case of Peddapore Zemindary in the Bicka- "vole estate alone the sum of Rupees "31045-10-7 was appropriated by the "Zemindar". —

Government demand on the annual Permanent Peiz	69,732 - " - "
"Proprietors Settlement - - - -	77,845 - 13 - 4
"Proprietors Collections - - - -	73,489 - 8 - 7
"Amount Paid into the Government Treasury -	42,443 - 14 - "

"and again in 1838 thus the Balance "against the Zemindary of Peddapore "after deducting the amount allowed to lie over until the settlement of "the Moturpha question was at the "Commencement of fusly 1247 (1837/38) Rupees "9,366-14 - The terms on which the "balance was then allowed to be over "were that the Current Revenue of 1247 "and a fair proportion on account "of arrears should be paid into my Treasury

Fort St. George. 9th July 1816

"Treasury within that period. These
"terms not having been fulfilled, and
"being satisfied, that the non fulfilment
"was owing to abuse and mismanagement
"and not to a deficiency of the
"resources of the Country, I declined to
"interfere further with the natural
"Course of the Regulations and the
"Board approved of the Course adopted
"by me - Again "I thought the Manage=
="ment of this Zemindary was very bad
"in the past fusly, and the collections
"were shamefully misappropriated yet
"a good deal of that Management was
"to be attributed to Members of the
"Peddapore family to whom Bootahee
"Bungariah applied for assistance in
"Conducting the management and who
"abused the confidence reposed on them"

37. The Current Peshcush for these two years was ultimately rea=
=lized in full, but in fusly 1248 / 1838/39 the death of third widow again brought the Estate under Management pending the decision of the Government on the subject of a succession to it - Towards the end of the fusly it was made over to Jaganatharauz on the present

Fort St. George. 9th July 1816

Zemindar on his making an immediate payment of Rupees 30,000 on account of outstanding balances, on binding himself to discharge the Kist as settled for that fusly amounting to Rupees 76,088, and on engaging to pay the balance then outstanding in amount 1,03,682 Rupees in 5 yearly instalments —

38. Sooriya Narayana Jagga=
=patty Rauz the present Zemindar was certainly entered on the possession of the Estate under unfavorable circumstances - In addition to succeeding a person who had only a life interest in the property and who looked merely to present ad=
=vantages, he was hampered with a heavy debt, his right to the full inhe=
=ritance was disputed by his relatives, and he had to contend against seasons of adversity - It was therefore too much to expect of him completely to fulfil the engagement with which he was entangled - accordingly we find that he failed almost immee=
=diately after the confirmation of the agreement he had entered into, and left a balance of Rupees 41,985 - more than half of the sum he had engaged to

8883

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

to pay- thus making the actual receipts
of Government from the Estate for fusly
1248 Rupees 84540 or 43 per Cent less
than the Peishcush.—

Peishcush-196311
Peaid. 111711
Balance 84540

39. At the end of fusly 1249
the Estate was attached, when it appeared
that out of Collections made in that
year by the Zemindar up to that date
of Rupees 171332 Rupees 79098- had been
appropriated to his own purposes In
October 1250 (1840/41) the Zemindar paid Rs
54604 and having entered into fresh
engagements for the liquidation of the
remaining balances was again put in
possession of his property- Before the
end of the same year the Estate was
attached for further arrears and an
examination of the Village- Accounts
shewed that out of Rupees 156910- Collected
16th April 1841- to the date of attachment Rs 87065 more than
half had been again appropriate by the Zemindar-
40 Thus in 20 Months during
these three years that the Estate was
entrusted to the present Zemindar
it appears that out of Collections
made by him amounting to Rupees
362998- only 240231 Rupees were paid
to the Government and Rupees 122767
appropriated- The Zemindar renders
the following account.—

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Peishcush
Loans
Peaid
Balance

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Collections made from
the Ryots of the Village
during the Fusly

Rupees at Rupees at Rupees at

Fasly 1248 ... ... 64755 62
1249 ... 34755 62 30200 ... 64755 62
1250 ... ... 51312- 1172062 64
59 ... 16469 64 ... ...
... 50881 7 ... 2206861 64
35 154112 911 50881 7 ... 2206861 64

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Sales

Fasly

Fort St. George 11th July 1838- Rs 1218-39

Fasly 1248 Rupees 84540

Fort St. George 1st April 1839- Rs 1240-10

Fasly 1249 Rupees 79098

Fort St. George 1st April 1840-41 Rs 1250

Fasly 1250 Rupees 54604

8880

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

1.1 Under the head of receipts are entered three items purporting to be sums borrowed by him for the purpose of paying the Government demand. The 1st item of 30000 he professes to have borrowed when in $\frac{1248}{1838/39}$ the Estate fell to him on the death of Boochy Bungariah – It is true he paid as noticed in Para 37 a like sum at that period – but of this ten thousand Rupees were Government stock the profits of Cottam under management and the remainder Cash belonging to himself derived from the same Moodak- The 2d item is fictitious and the 3d was composed of the embezzlements of the Collections of fusly $\frac{1249}{1839/40}$ complained of by Mr Smith and referred to in Para 39. These 3 items are therefore only entered to account for those of Lands discharged with interest Amounting to 95015 Rupees and the charge in his own favor for interest on sums actually by himself withheld from the Government accords with the flagrancy of his Conduct throughout. The exorbitancy of the other items of Charges is too plain to require notice They exceed a fair and liberal estimate of what they ought

† Jo Bd of Rs 25th May 1841 Para 11 "And he been Commonly honest in his behaviour he would now have been in a very different position.

8885

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Disbursements.

No.	Amount Paid for	Paid for	Paid for	Total	Grand Total
	Loan on Mortgage	Charges			Balance
1	40000 " 13158/ 8 2100 a 14,200 48	Soolen Charges and Interest thereon	Paid for the maintenance of Sepoys for attacking Pollams	Total of Charges incurred by Government	Total of Balance due Government
3	101234 " 23777 10 8 1403 " 32 8 21				
1	130538 8 5 38882 15 1275 3 0 836 4 5 8778 2 7				
	271762 8 5 9818 11 1677 3 4 1838 5 2 8778 2 7				

Principal 95015 3 Servants Wages 1247 15

Interest 38838 8 Establishment 2013 2 3

95818 11 Advance for Cultivation 3013 2 3

Remainder 8778 2 7

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

ought to have been by Rupees 35,000 or one half.

1249-1250- 42.
1839-1839/40. 1840/41.

The Revenue results of these 3 years cannot be taken as a just criterion of the correctness of the assessment. They were notoriously seasons of peculiar adversity the last being but little better than the two immediately preceding. But comparing their results with the same standard in the other parts of the District it is seen that the resources of Peddapore afforded better means of meeting the Government Demand, than were to be found generally.

43. Deteriorated as these Estates doubtless were by this succession of unfavorable seasons, by the frequent alternation of management, and by the uncertainty of their ultimate disposal which must have discouraged improvement or extension of cultivation, it is remarkable, and by no means substantiating their asserted over assessment that in Fusly 1251/1841/42 the very year succeeding the disastrous period above noticed, Veeraveram should have been purchased by Parties well acquainted with its resources

and

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

and liabilities at the high Price of Rupees 54,120 and that offers were made for Peddapore, Bickavole and Velagobunda of Rupees 51,000, 50,000 and 59,100 respectively — these 3 Mootahs being ultimately bought in at the sale on account of Government for Rupees 182,010. Neither can it be considered as a sign of overassessment that the Zemindar himself on two occasions, the latter so late as 1250/1840/41 when the condition of the Estate was fully known to him, should have sacrificed considerable sums of ready money to secure possession of the Zemindary and have bound himself to pay a large annual addition to the fixed demand in liquidation of balances outstanding. But lest it should be suspected that the six Mootahs now constituting the Peddapore Zemindary on the occasion of the subdivision of the Estate may have had an undue proportion of the assessment of the entire ancient Zemindary assigned to them, and that on this account they have been pronounced to be overassessed, I have examined the Sub division accounts and I find that on the assets that

were

Note for the remaining three estates. Offers were made as follows. Linguma Pt. Rs. 31,400. Purty 5,1400. Colanka 12,000. Cunderacoopa 33,000.

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

were then apportioned on these Mootahs, with the exception of Kundeecoopah a fraction less 32 per cent was deducted in the Peshcush and that for Kundeecoopah 26 per cent was the deduction on the same account. The assets then newly adopted being calculated on an average of the gross resources of years immediately preceding the Subdivision.

4th. The accounts of the Mootahs now forming the Peddapore Zemindary for the years 1251 / 1841/42 and 1252 / 1842/43 as found in the Collector's Office are below given. The sale of Veeraveram having been confirmed that Mootah is not included in it.

Demand of Fusles 1251 & 1252.	Gross Collection	Charges	Paid for Peshcush	Balance of Peshcush	Surplus
Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p
338870	"	28810	"	539975	2	6	255333	1	7	83530	14	4	"	"

Remission for Maturing 6598 3 8

Arrears previously outstanding 26274 14 6

29530 8 1 Total Balance 31,184 2 10

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

But some accounts which I have myself caused to be made out from the original accounts of each Village found in the possession of the Curnums shew that a large amount was actually collected though not fully brought to the credit of Government.

8892

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Of the large item of Rupees 32570. 4. 2.
for Village expenses Rupees 7381. 12. "
have been recognized as legitimate
charges for which remission was
authorized. The remaining sum of
Rupees 25188. 8. 2 must be looked upon
as embezzlements for the combined
benefit of the Village Officers and
Public servants, which the vigilant
superintendence of the Zemindar
would have prevented. But taking
the Gross Collections here given there
is still in these two years an
insufficiency to meet the Govern-
ment demand from which it
may perhaps be inferred that this
demand is too great. A further
examination into the causes of
this deficiency will shew that
the fair resources of the
Estate have not
been elicited.

The

8891

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Names of Mootahs | Kadapa | Bellary | Cuddapah | Anantapur | Total

Pychoor: Rs 2,257 | Rs 2,257

Statement of Collections the ensuing Fusly: Rs 2,257

Statement of Collections to the end of the Fusly: Rs 2,257

Balance

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

No.	Names of the Estates	Jeroyette as per permanent accounts 1252.	Jeroyette in fusly 1252.	Cent: age of Increase	Enams as per permanent accounts	Enams in fusly 1252.	Cent: age of Increase
		Rd ap	Rd ap		Rd ap	Rd ap	
1	Peddapore	683 10 11 7/32	762 5 6 23/32	11 10 7	341 7 12 63/64	517 4 5 2/8	51 10 5
2	Lingumpurty	773 5 9 1/8	1107 1 17/8	43 3 6	203 8 12 51/64	331 2 39/64	62 10 4
3	Biccanole	2701 16 1 1/2 30	1442 7 11/16	12 2 "	900 13 3	1288 17 6/2	43 2 10
4	Cundecoopa	235 13 5/4	224 1 3	" " "	75 6 "	193 11 3/4	157 13 5
	Total	1394 7 11 3/32	1351 14 12 9/32	16 17 3	1520 15 12 25/32	2330 16 4 47/64	53 6 "
5	Velooyumbunda	2158 10 14 39	80 15 5/2	84 14 6	1121 16 9	1195 19 8	6 12 4
6	Kolunka	411 18 3 1/2	550 " "	33 10 4	308 " 11 3/4	351 8 6	14 1 13
	Total	2570 9 1/2	4536 15 5/2	76 10 "	1429 17 4 3/4	1547 7 14	8 4 6
	Grand Total	6964 12 19/32	9672 10 1 27/32	38 17	4.2950 13 1 7/32	38 78 42 47/64	31 9 "

45. The Marginal Statement shews the relative proportion of Jeroyettee and Enam lands at the formation of the Permanent assessment in comparison with the present time. It will be seen by it that in Peddapore Mootah while Jeroyettee has increased 10-10-7- per Cent, Enam land has advanced 51-10-5- per Cent. In Lingumpurty Enam has nearly doubled in extent and in Cundecoopa where there is an actual deficiency in Jeroyettee, Enam land is found to have been augmented from 75 Pootties to 193 Pootties or at the rate of 157-13-5- per Cent.

46. The reasons that may

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

be assigned for increase in the quantity of Jeroyettee land are mostly inapplicable to Enam. The former may be augmented by reclaiming of waste, the bringing to account illicit occupation and the cultivation of portions of fields excluded from the original accounts of cultivable land Enam can only be justly increased by the detection of erroneous entry in the original accounts and it must be an exception to the rule as when each entry is unfavorable to the owner. Lapses will occasion decrease, but all augmentations of Enam land other than of the nature last noticed, must arise from encroachments or unauthorized alienations both directly affecting the interests of the Government. It is not easy to state with any degree of exactness the actual encroachments in these Six Mootahs but if only 3/4 th of the increase shewn by the above accounts have been unauthorizedly enjoyed (a quantity in my opinion less than the reality) land that should bear on a most moderate calculation an assessment at 20 Rs. per Pooty.

a fesement of Rupees 27,820. has been held during these two years as well as for many preceding free from tax.

47. Besides the alienations which these accounts have shewn there are others which do not appear and the extent of which can only be ascertained by a careful and minute examination which would attend a regular survey of each Village. The existence of these has been actually ascertained in some Villages and the examples thus afforded give reason to suppose that the fraudulent enjoyment of land rent free is not an inconsiderable item. The Zemindar in an address of which translation accompanies takes prominent exception to these alienations, and he has asserted to me that under both heads these amount in value to at least Rupees 30,000 per Annum.

48. The free enjoyment by the Zemindar himself of Sayr and Chattrum lands under the permission of the Government has also diminished during

Note The actual accounts to of 3 Villages are forwarded in elucidation of what is above stated.

Enclosure No. 2.

Enclosure No. 3.

during these two years by Rupees 5502. 3. 6. per Annum the general resources of the Zemindary.

49. The diminution of Village rent and the substitution of a Vishuddy System which in practice has encouraged the relinquishment of lands by those most able to cultivate them without relieving those who were burdened with more than their means allowed them to cultivate well has affected the Amarakum and the demand. These and the inefficient condition of the works of irrigation have contributed to diminish the revenue of the Zemindary.

50. The profits of this as of other Estates will never probably be so high as they were when the commercial pursuits of the East India Company diffusing wealth among the Ryots were in full operation, and when prices of agricultural produce were nearly double what they have of late years been. The small Mootahs of Bundecoopah and Colunka detached from the other portion of the Estate and situated near the Coast having suffered from inundations of the

Sea

sea and from the effects of the storms in some degree detract from the present value of the whole Estate, and it will take some years and some outlay of Capital to restore them to prosperity, but under judicious management and fostering encouragement there appears to me no substantial reasons why (except in seasons of extreme adversity) the demand of Government as now charged on the Mootahs of Peddapore, Biccavole, Velagoodundah and Lingumpurty should not be punctually discharged.—

57. Though not immediately connected with the subject here especially referred for report the opportunity seems fitting to notice the propositions the Zemindar has made to me for resuming the charge of his Zemindary—

1st As a sine quâ non he requires to be relieved of all outstanding balances.—

In the event of the Estate being restored to him it would be, as always on former occasions it has proved to have been, utterly vain to expect adherence to any engagements however moderate, for the liquidation of arrears, and I am confident that no portion of those outstanding can be recovered

recovered from him under any circumstances.—

2d He solicits a reduction of Peshcush—my opinion on this point will have been found in the preceding Paragraph of this letter.—

3d Should this latter be disallowed he proposes that he should manage the Estate with Servants to be nominated by himself but approved of by the Collector—Security for their good conduct being given and that he should himself be responsible for a faithful account of his stewardship. By restoring the works of irrigation which have been neglected and by good management he anticipates being at once able considerably to increase the revenue and in the Course of few years to make the property yield sufficient to pay the Peshcush when it should unreservedly be made over to him—Until this event he asks that an adequate allowance for his support be allotted to him.—

58.— Of the Zemindar's ability to perform such an engagement I have no—doubt but neither in his character nor past conduct is there the slightest—guarantee—

Fort St. George 9th July 184

guarantee that his honesty equals his ability, a
I am convinced that he could not withstand the
temptation which such an opportunity wou
afford him. Besides the proposed arrangemen
solely recognized the Zemindar's benefit.

53. An allowance of 500 Rs. per Mensem w
already paid by the Government to the Zemind
on the plea of his assisting in the Management
of the Estate, though he has not at any time
taken any part in it and he has been p
-mitted to retain in his possession the sayir
and Chuttrum lands attached to the Ze
-mindary. The profits of the latter received f
the support of certain Choultries he has dis
-honorably misappropriated to his own use
Besides these he holds possession of the rev
-luable Mootah of Cottaim a portion of the
ancient Zemindary which may be estimated
to yield him at least ten thousand Ru
-pees per Annum. so that his income sin
the estate has been under Management
has been upwards of 20,000 Rs. per Annum
54. It is for the Government to de
-cide whether after the tried conduct
of this Zemindar justly stigmatized
as it has been by Mr. Smith as
"worthless" and "dishonest" he merits

the

Fort St. George 9th July 1840.

the exercise on their part of special consideration
as the descendant of an ancient Family his claim
on their generosity would seem solely to rest.
Should it on that account be deemed politic to
extend to him an act of Grace, it remains to
consider whether the whole or only a portion
of the six Mootahs now forming the Peddapore
Estate should be restored. The possession of
Peddapore itself is naturally a main object
of the family, and if to that Mootah those
of Lingumpurty and Vatsavoo bundah were
added, ample provision for the Zemindar
and his relations would be afforded. He
would possess with Cottam a property
as extensive as he could efficiently
manage and control, and the acquisition
of the three remaining Mootahs would
slightly compensate the Government
for their surrendered claims for ba-
-lances. Without their relinquishment
no increase of relief can be
efficacious.

Rajahmundry District.
Co-canadah. - - - - } Signed / H. C. Montgomery
31st January 1840. - - - - - Commissioner
Rajah.

8902

Gazette Office, Georgetown, 9th July 1826.

Advertisements following the Examination of Witnesses respecting the Robberies

Advertisements.

Notice of Examination of Accounts.

Notice of the Examination of Claims.

Notice of the Examination of Claims.

In July 1826.

| | | |

8903

Fort St. George 9th July 1845.

Serial No.	Names of the Enamdars	As per permanent accounts Extent of Land			Serial No.	Names of the Enamdars	In July 1252 Extent of Land			Increase			Decrease		
		P.	F.	M.			P.	F.	M.	P.	F.	M.	P.	F.	M.
					31	G. Ramanah B^n	1	5	9 8/16	1	5	9 8/16	"	"	"
					32	N. Gauriah B^n	"	12	12 12/16	"	12	12 12/16	"	"	"
					33	N. Pracasem	"	12	12 12/16	"	12	12 12/16	"	"	"
					34	P. Vencatacholum	2	11	15 14/16	2	11	15 14/16	"	"	"
					35	To the Choultry of Vuntawady	30	11	13 2/16	30	11	13 2/16	"	"	"
					36	G. Paupadoo	"	19	3 2/16	"	19	3 2/16	"	"	"
					37	B. Ramasing	"	5	"	"	5	"	"	"	"
					38	Chinnabuttoodoo	"	19	3	"	19	3	"	"	"
					39	C. Baupannah	"	14	"	"	14	"	"	"	"
					40	D. Nursiah	"	5	8 14/16	"	6	8 14/16	"	"	"
					41	C. Jabuttoodoo	1	"	12 4/16	1	"	12 4/16	"	"	"
					42	N. Langapattoodoo B^n	1	15	"	1	15	"	"	"	"
					43	C. Appagaudoo	"	12	12 12/16	"	12	12 12/16	"	"	"
					44	Tripaty gaudoo	"	19	3 3/16	"	19	3 3/16	"	"	"
					45	B. Cunnegaudoo	"	11	12 12/16	"	11	12 12/16	"	"	"
					46	S. Venkoo	"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"
					47	C. Narsiah	1	11	15 14/16	1	11	15 14/16	"	"	"
					48	M. Pogadoo	1	11	15 14/16	1	11	15 14/16	"	"	"
					49	Sasully Saib	"	15	15 15/16	"	15	15 15/16	"	"	"
					50	N. Vencatasawmy B^n	2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
					51	Soorka Yemanyy	2	15	"	2	15	"	"	"	"
					52	M. Boochegaudoo	"	16	"	"	16	"	"	"	"
	Total	23	16	12		Total	80	7	8 2/16	60	7	8 2/16	"	"	"
						Grand Total	80	7	11 2/16	65	10	8 2/16	2	9	10 2/16

Rajahmundry District.
Cocanada
31st January 1844

E. E. P. C. / Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner
Statesmen

8904

Fort St. George 9th July 1850

No 2.

Statement Shewing the Enams of Cuttamoor in Peddapoor Talook.

Number	Enams as per accounts of circuit Committee — Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land	Number	In July 1853 — Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land	Increase	Decrease
		P. J. M.			P. J. M.	P. J. M.	P. J. M.
1	S. Lutchmepaty	" 19 3/8	1	S. Ramiah	" 19 3/8	" " "	" " "
2	S. Baupiah	" 19 3/8	2	S. Samaraz	" 19 3/8	" " "	" " "
3	P. Ghuntaloo	1 5 9 3/4	3	P. Juggutpah	1 5 9 3/4	" " "	" " "
4	S. Ramunnah	" 6 6 1/2	4	S. Ramunnah	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" " "
5	S. Chelmiah	" 3 3/4	5	S. G. Boocheramoodoo	" 3 3/4	" " "	" " "
6	Samadoo	" 6 6 1/2	6	Samadoo	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" " "
7	Vudla Cummuloo	" 15 15 11/16	7	Vudla Cummuloo	" 15 3 11/16	" " "	" " 12/16
8	Mungalapatty	" 13 9 13/16	8	M. Chinnay Dr	" 13 9 13/16	" " "	" " "
9	Cha Salavalloo	" 5 9 9/16	9	Chakala Pulligal Dr	" 13 "	" " 7 6 9/16	" " "
10	Vasavalloo	" 12 12 1/4	10	V. R. Summegaudoo	" 15 6 1/2	" " "	" 6 6 1/2
11	Sree Puttabheswamy	1 2 6 1/2	11	Sree Puttabheswamy	6 4 11 3/16	5 2 7 11/16	" " "
12	Sree Ramalingaswamy	" 19 3 5/16	12	Sree Ramalingaswamy	" 19 3 3/16	" " "	" " "
13	C. Naganah	" 6 6 1/2	13	M. Kistnamah	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" " "
14	C. Vencataroyadoo Dr	1 12 "	14	C. Vencataroydoo	" 19 3	" " "	" 12 13
15	C. Atchunnah	" 15 15 1/2	15	C. Soobaroydoo	" 12 13	" " "	" 3 2 1/2
16	C. Venkiah	" 6 6 1/4	16	Ditto Ditto	" 12 12 1/2	" 6 6 1/4	" " "
17	M. Sastrooloo	" 12 12 1/2	17	M. Juggunnah	" 12 12 1/2	" " "	" " "
18	P. Venkiah	" 6 6 1/4	18	P. Venkanah	" 6 6 1/2	" " 1/4	" " "
19	B. Juggiah	" 3 3 1/4	19	B. Nursumah	" 3 3 1/4	" " "	" " "
20	C. Sabaramoodoo	" 1 9 10/16	20	M. Kistnamah	" 1 9 10/16	" " "	" " "
21	P. Appunnah	1 5 9	21	B. Venkanah	1 5 9	" " "	" " "
22	M. Vissappah	" 3 3 1/4	22	M. Madavagopaloodoo	" 3 3 1/4	" " "	" " "

8905. Fort St. George, 9th July 1816.

In Fasly 1253.

Enams as per Accounts of Circuit Committee

Number	Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land	Number	Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land	Increase	Decrease
		P. F. M.			P. F. M.	P. F. M.	P. F. M.
23	A. Soona Avadhanloo	" 9 9/16	23	A. Ramachendroodoo	" 9 9 3/4	" " 3/16	" "
24	M. Venkiah	" 11 3 3/16	24	C. Atchanah	" 4 12 14/16	" " "	" 0
25	V. Juggiah	" 7 15 5/16	25	V. Narsummah	" 8 1/8	" " 3/16	" "
26	L. Vencatraghavoodoo	" 12 12 1/2	26	L. Veeraraghavoodoo	" 12 12 1/2	" " "	" "
27	V. Venkiah	" 3 3 1/4	27	A. Camaraz	" 3 3 1/4	" " "	" "
28	D. Venkatakistamah	" 5 13 1/4	28	S. Sautiah	" 10 3	" " "	" 10 1/4
29	D. Paedasy Sastroolo	" 6 6 1/2	29	D. Seetarama Sastroolo	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
30	J. Ramachendroodoo	" 6 6 1/2	30	S. Ramajoghy	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
31	A. Caupiah	" 12 12 1/2					
32	B. Paupiah	" 6 6 1/2	32	V. Paedasy	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
33	D. Somah	" 6 6 1/2	33	D. Somah	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
34	V. Soobiah	" 6 6 1/2	34	V. Vysumnah	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
35	Y. Venkataroyadoo	" 9 9 1/4	35	N. Rainah	" 9 9 1/4	" " "	" "
36	P. Jayaramoodoo	" 12 12 3/4	36	Ditto Ditto	" " "	" " "	12 12 3/4
37	V. Tripaty raz	" 9 9 1/4	37	V. Apparaz	" 9 9 1/4	" " "	" "
38	M. Paupiah	" 12 12 1/2	38	M. Reddy	" 12 12 1/2	" " "	" "
39	C. Narsumnah	" 12 12 1/2	39	C. Poolliah	" 12 12 1/2	" " "	" "
40	B. Darappah	" 6 6 1/2	40	B. Baupunnah	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
41	N. Ditchatoolo	" 12 12 3/4	41	Ditto Ditto	" " "	" " "	12 12 3/4
42	G. Atchanah	" 6 6 1/2	42	G. Venkunnah	" 6 6 1/2	" " "	" "
43	N. Somanah	" 4 12 14/16	43	N. Somanah	" 4 12 14/16	" " "	" "
44	D. Venkannah	" 1 9 10/16	44	D. Joganah	" 1 9 5/8	" " "	" "

8908

Fort St. George, 9th July 1860

Enams as per Accounts of Revenue Committee

Number	Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land			Number	Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land			Increase			Decrease		
		P.	F.	M.			P.	F.	M.	P.	F.	M.	P.	F.	M.
45	G. Vysswaradhoodoo	"	6	6½	45	G. Joganah	"	6	6½	"	"	"	"	"	"
46	M. Venkataroyadoo	"	3	3¼	46	Ditto Ditto	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	3¼
47	M. Paraaz	"	12	12½	47	S. Ramiah	"	12	12½	"	"	"	"	"	"
48	V. Bauyunnah	"	6	6½	48	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	6½
49	S. Ludchuraz	"	7	15 16/16	49	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	15 16/16
50	D. Ithunnah	"	19	3 2/32	50	T. Veeraraghavoodoo	"	19	13	"	"	0 25/32	"	"	"
51	T. Seevaramoodoo	"	6	6½	51	T. Louriayyoodoo	"	6	6½	"	"	"	"	"	"
52	R. Rajunnah	1	8	12 11/16	52	R. Raghoopaty	1	8	13 3/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
53	C. Cansey	"	12	12½	53	M. Juggapah	"	12	12½	"	"	"	"	"	"
54	B. Chanchunnah	"	12	12½	54	B. Venkanah	"	12	12½	"	"	"	"	"	"
55	M. Venkatramoodoo	1	5	9 2/16	55	M. Venkatroydoo	1	12	6½	"	6	12 15/16	"	"	"
56	B. Peda Nursoo	"	19	3	56	B. Sashachellah	1	18	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
57	China Nursoo	"	19	3	57	A. Veeranah									
	Total	33	8	12¾		Total	35	13	3 23/32	6	3	12 7/32	3	19	5¼
					58	M. Somanah	"	12	12½	"	12	12½	"	"	"
					59	C. Appanah	"	15	15¾	"	15	15¾	"	"	"
					60	C. Venkanah	"	3	7 15/16	"	3	2 15/16	"	"	"
					61	R. Seetanah	"	3	3¼	"	3	3¼	"	"	"
					62	C. Joganah	"	6	6½	"	6	6½	"	"	"
					63	M. Atchanah	"	3	3¼	"	3	3¼	"	"	"
					64	N. Comaraz	"	6	6½	"	6	6½	"	"	"
					65	V. Rojennah	2	18	12½	2	18	12½	"	"	"
					66	C. Raghavoolo Bj.	1	8	13 2/16	1	8	13 2/16	"	"	"

8907

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Examined and recommended for Payment

Assistant Commissary General

Examined

Deputy Assistant Commissary General

Passed

Assistant Adjutant General

Passed

Deputy Assistant Quartermaster General

75	H. Cummock			"	3	3/4	"	3	3/4	"
76	G. Sokanna			"	"	12 13/16	"	"	12 13/16	"
77	V. Venkanna			"	4	12 3/4	"	4	12 11/16	"
78	V. Ramadass			"	3	3/4	"	3	3/4	"
79	A. Gopala Krishnama			1	6	15 3/16	1	6	15 3/16	"
80	J. Munnaraik			"	5	"	"	5	"	"
81	A. Nannakna			"	6	6 1/2	"	6	6 1/2	"
82	P. Ramlak			"	12	19/2	"	12	19/2	"
83	G. Sankak			3	19	31/2	3	19	31/2	"
84	Mesfrados			"	6	6/2	"	6	6/2	"
85	M. Arofrados			"	6	6 1/2	"	6	6 1/2	"
86	Sungunna Co			"	"	19 13/16	"	"	19 13/16	"
	Grand Total			33	8	19 3/16				
				17	10	3/4	17	10	3/4	"
	Grand Total			53	3	8 31/32	23	11	15/32	3

Paymaster's Office

Examined } E. E. Ps. Squad 1st Regt. Cavalry

13th January 1846 Commissariat Department

Examined

8908

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

No. 3.

Statement Shewing the Enams of Vaultimmapooram in Piddapore Talook.

Nos.	As per Permanent Accounts — Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land	Nos.	In July 1252 — Names of the Enamdars	Extent of Land	Increase	Decrease
		C F M V			C F M V	C F M V	C F M V
1	T. Bheema Raz B.r	2 " " "	1	T. Camaraj B.r	1 " " "	2 " " "	" " " "
2	M. Paupadoo	" 2 8 "	2	M. C. Bhadregaudoo	" 6 8 "	" 4 " "	" " " "
3	C. Timmygaudoo	" 5 " "	3		" " " "	" " " "	5 " "
4	R. Venkatabhuttoo	" 5 " "	4	R. Chelmiah	1 " " "	" 15 " "	" " " "
5	Vettenadoo	" 5 " "	5	V. Vencadoo	" 7 8 "	" 2 8 "	" " " "
6	G. Camabhuttoo	" 2 8 "	6	O. Soobanah	1 10 " "	1 7 8 "	" " " "
7	Timmaz Raz	8 5 11 4	7	T. Ramadoss B.r	16 15 " "	8 9 1 12	" " " "
8	T. Gungiah	" 2 8 "	8		" " " "	" " " "	2 8 "
9	C. Moorty	" 5 " "	9	C. Pacandem	" 5 " "	" " " "	" " " "
10	C. Ramiah	" 5 " "	10	V. Vistuasomayajy	2 " " "	1 15 " "	" " " "
11	G. Janah	" 2 8 "	11	G. Vesunnah	" 5 " "	" 2 8 "	" " " "
12	R. Alchanah	" 5 " "	12		" " " "	" " " "	5 " "
13	B. Chintaraz	" 5 " "	13	B. Pararaz	" 5 " "	" " " "	" " " "
		12 10 11 4 "		Total	26 11 " "	11 15 9 12 "	12 8 "
			14	M. Venkatabhuttoo	" 6 12 "	" 6 12 "	" " " "
			15	C. Yeyanah	" 10 " "	" 10 " "	" " " "
			16	B. Ramanah	" 10 " "	" 10 " "	" " " "
			17	A. Timmanah B.r	1 10 " "	1 10 " "	" " " "
			18	B. Vencatachellum	" 10 " "	" 10 " "	" " " "
			19	C. Mullumbhuttoo	" 8 8 "	" 8 8 "	" " " "
			20	V. Gungaraz	" 13 8 "	" 13 8 "	" " " "
			21	V. Munagadoo	" 6 8 "	" 6 8 "	" " " "
			22	G. Venkatakistnama B.r	3 15 " "	3 15 " "	" " " "
				Total	8 10 1 "	8 10 4 "	" 12 8 "
	Total	12 10 11 4 "		Grand Total	35 4 4 "	23 5 13 12 "	12 8 "

Rajahmundry District.
Cocanada
31st January 1844

E. E. J.r [Signed] A. C. Montgomery
Commissioner.

Carpt.

Fort St. George 9th July 1816

No 3

Remarks of the Commissioner

Pursuit of an Arzee from the Zemindar of Pedda-
-pore dated 25th October 1813.

The Zemindar proposes to assume the Management of his Estate on the following Conditions.

1st Proposition.

A new formation of the permanent assess-ment so that it may equal 2/3d of the present terms Net Revenue, resulting from the deduction out of the gross Collections, the Ryots share and the charges of Repairs and Village expenses. As regards this new Assess-ment prayed for he alludes to the time when the Peishcush was determined and contrasting the Price of grain then, which he says was Rupees 40 per Garce with the present rate of Rupees 20 insists on the impos-sibility of the same. original Cists being now collected. He further supports his claim by representing the present state of his Estate as so much deteriorated which he attributes to the abolition of the Company's Factories putting a Stop to an annual circula-tion in his territories of 90 Lacks of Rupees and to the decrease of Exportation and in-crease of Imports.

This proposition is utterly incompatible with the principles of Zemindary Tenure. The relinquish-ment of 1/3d of the assets of the Estates, was pursuant made to cover the Charges of Collection of which these mentioned form a part. The Regu-lation of a new As-sessment on the terms here stated, would ensure to the Zemindar the 2/3d which should belong to the Government and leave to the State only as Revenue the 2/3d only as Revenue to the state thus sub--verting the hitherto recognized rights of both parties. The Causes here assigned have operat--ed to reduce the value of the Estate, but not to the extent stated.

2nd Proposition.

A Remission of the Balances Standing out against him to this time. He says that these balances have arisen since Fusly 1241. That in the period

The Remission of those balances which have accrued in Sea-sons of extreme adversity is a subject for consider-ation but some outstanding are

period from Fusly 1212 to the present time, Six years the rains have failed. Fusles 1212/13/14. were marked with the ill results of Storm, attended with the swelling of the Sea. That at this time the Estate has been partly under Attachment and partly under the Management of the Widows of the original Zemindar. That when it was registered in his name, it brought with itself a Demand against him on account of the arrears of Peishcush, which have subsequently increased from no other cause than unpropitiousness of the times. That nothing has ever been appropriated by himself. And that on these grounds the

are attributable to the bad Conduct of the Zemindar himself.—

The Zemindar's assertion that nothing has ever been appropriated by himself is opposed to fact.—

the remission should be considered as warrantable.—

3d Proposition

The recovery of Rupees 354969—10—5 which he says is the balance of Compensation of Rupees 479092—11—9 due to him in consequence of the resumption of Motupha Collection &c. included in the Assets. He calculates thus.—

Compensation under 4 items	479092—11—9	
Deduct remissions already admitted and paid	124123—1—4	
Remainder	354969—10—5	

The Amount of Remission for Motupha resumed was determined in Fusly 1214 and the whole question with regard to the Peddapore Zemindary finally disposed of.—

4th Proposition

Public permission to attach and annex to the Jeroyettee lands of the Zemindary such an extent as has

The alienation of Jeroyettee lands is prohibited by Regulations and lands so alienated

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

has, either under the — alienated are liable
Management of the widows to resumption. Non-
of the original Zemindar public permission is
or under Circar Sunnud requisite — this for the
been alienated — The Zemindars to protect
asserts that these lands their own just rights,
have been at the forma: and not the business
tion of the permanent of the Government to
assessment entered as "take on itself the —
Jeeroyettee and as the "responsibility which
assessment on these lands attaches to them —
forms part of the perma:
nent Sunnud their
resumption is sanctioned
by Regulations —

5th Proposition.

The investing his. The Character and
own Revenue Officers &c conduct of the Zemindar,
with the Police Authority is not such as would
which he says is materially warrant the conferring
necessary for the welfare on him or his servants
of his subjects, and for proof any Police Authority —
refers to the Acts of Sir,
Thomas Munro, late &c.
Governor, who invited Police
Authority to the Revenue
Officers distinguish from the
Civil Courts. He further
adduces

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

adduces the examples
of "Calastry" and "Rannad"
Zemindaries existing
now —

Rajahmundry District } Signed H. C. Montgomery.
Cocanada — — — — } Commissioner.
31st January 1844.

Purport of an Argee from the Zemindar of Pidda: pore on the Estate of Cottam —

Remarks of the Commissioner.

Remission for
The Zemindar Loom tax up to Fusly
Seconding his title to the 1219 on this Estate was
sole Estate of Peddapore, given to the late Zemin:
of which the Moottah of dar, up to that period
Cottam is a part represents it formed part of
this Moottah as an ancient the ancient Zemindary
and not a Proprietary Estate of Peddapore. It was
He claims a sum of — in Fusly 1220 alienated
Rupees 35,148 — 1 — 9 as a to the father of the
compensation due to him present Zemindar, thro
for the resumption by a claimant for the
Government of the Motur: entire ancient Zemindary
pha and the Loom under the circumstances
tax Collections &c explained in the 11th Para
to the end of — of the Report. The Cottam
Fusly Estate having been &c
alienated

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Fusly 1250. He calculates thus:—

Loom taxes from Fusly 1220 to Fusly 1234 — 9155-8-9

Moturpha taxes included in the ajuets to the end of Fusly 1250 — 25992-11-0

Total — 35148-1-9.

He further prays for a remission of the Moturpha Collections for Fuslies 12/51/52, and for the future he requests either an annual remission in his Jummah or permission to assume the Moturpha himself.

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
31st January 1844.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner

Ordered

alienated to one of the family because it formed part of the ancient Zemindary, and not by purchase, and the branch of the family to which it was alienated, having since become possessed of the ancient property, there do not appear good grounds for treating it with regard to Moturpha Remissions as a Revenue Estate, and the Collections made by the Government from Fusly 1230 and for prospective annual remission, though not to the extent stated, seems founded on justice, and deserving of favorable consideration.—

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

1-2
Order thereon,

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.—

Read the following Resolutions.—

Extract from the Mins of Cons: dated 3d July 1846.
(From Pp. 25th June)

3
From the Secretary to Government.— Resolved that the Collector of Rajahmundry be authorized to incur the proposed Charge of Rupees (295-10-5) Two hundred and ninety five, annas ten and pice five on account of Extra Peons to be employed at the Pittapore Salt Pans.—

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

3-4
Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated for the Information and guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to his letter dated 29th May last.—

Extract from the Mins of Cons: dated 3d July 1846.
(From Pp. 18th June)

5
From the Secretary to Government.— The Government approve of the Course which the Board of Revenue recommend for adoption in the concluding paragraph of the foregoing letter for adjusting the claims of Mr. Turner and direct that the local Officer be instructed accordingly.—

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Sec. to Government.

5-6
Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded.—

(Mr. Turner's claim has been settled by Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 24th July in

8917 Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

(in Consultation 3d August 1846.)

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(7)

From the Collr of Vizagapatam

I have the honor to inform you that no cases of offences under Regulation IX of 1822 were examined by me or the Assistant Collector for the portion of the District which is under my charge as Collector during the 1st Half of the year 1846.

Vizagapatam District
Bimlipatam Collrs Circuit
Cutcherry 2d July 1846

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Collector.

Order thereon.

(7-8)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters.

To

T. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(9)

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st May with the undermentioned work Viz.t: One Copy of Thorntons History of the British Empire in India in 5 Volumes.

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 2d June 1846.

/Signed/ T. Prendergast
Collector.

Order thereon.-

(9-10)

Ordered to be recorded

Read

8918 Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Read the following letter.

(11)

From Collector of Guntoor

To, J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Submitting 4 statements of proposed Establishments for the Hoozoor and Talook, adapted to the new subdivision of the District recommended in a letter to the Bd dated 25th May 1843 suggesting that this arrangement be carried into effect as soon as practicable after purchase of the Zemindaries and that accounts should be kept as for an unsettled District. Notification being made in the Gaverniment Gazette that the permanent settlement has ceased to have effect in Guntoor.

In my letter of the 25th May 1843 I submitted a plan for a new subdivision of the Guntoor Zemindaries into twelve Talooks, with Statements of the proposed establishments for them, at the same time intimated my intention of revising the Hoozoor establishment after comparing it with those of some other districts. The Board in their reply dated 16th October 1843 deferred consideration of the plan till they should receive the whole of the required information respecting the Zemindaries.

2

In my letter of the 29th June 1844 this matter was again adverted to, but the promised revision of the Hoozoor Establishment was not made up to my departure on leave of absence.

3.

It is unnecessary to recapitulate here the arguments in favor of the new arrangement. It is an indispensable and preliminary step to any effectual reform of the existing vicious system. I understand this to be also the opinion of the Commissioner.

The

Fort St. George 9th July 1816.

4. The Moyenzabitahs of several dis: tricts having been procured and all the details of the plan revised and arranged by the present Sherishtadar I am now able to submit a complete new Moyenzabetah for the whole District.

5. Statement A. exhibits proposed establishments for fourteen Talooks including the Palnaud. The principal deviations from the Statement submitted on the 25th May, which ex: have been made on further consideration, and at the suggestion of my, enlightened and advising friend Mr. Cherry are as follows. The number of twelve Talooks being retained Condaveed and Ponnoor are substituted as Cutcheries for Chilkaloorpaud and Sultenapillay, as it was found that in consequence of the great preponderance of revenue and population in the South East: tract of the district, the Tenaly Talook as first proposed would have been a disproportionate charge, I wished to accompany this letter by a sketch Map shewing the boundaries of the new Talooks, but the Civil Engineer to whom I applied has been unable to furnish one at present. I hope to obtain one soon. The want of it need not delay the consideration of this important question

H.

8920

Fort St. George 9th July 1816.

It is still proposed to annex to the Palnaud within the natural limits whereof they are included the Mutrumzy village of Poolacud, and the Wafsereddy villages of Macheverampad and Bralamanpilly. The Board will take into consideration my suggestion touching certain isolated villages of Nellore. The number and Settlement of the villages under the new Talooks is not finally settled but it will not differ much from what is shewn in columns 3 and 6.

6. This opportunity has been taken to rectify some anomalies in the Palnaud establishment where the pay of the Revenue inferior Servants is higher than in any other part of the District without any apparent necessity for the difference.

7. The pension has also been Extended to the Officers of the Police Sibbendy. The old rates of pay for the Jamadars, Duffadars and Naigues fixed for the Revenue Sibbendy corps had been retained. Rates are now proposed suitable for Taluk Police, I must here however solicit authority to continue to such of the present incumbents as belonged to the old Sibbendy corps their present pay

* Monthly Salary. Rupees.

Revenue Madigar	3	12
Jamundar	2	12
Revenue Talier	5/6	1/4

Fort St. George 9th July 1816.

8. It will be seen that rates have been framed for 1st 2d and 3d Class Talookes. I consider this of material importance, not only because it is reasonable to regulate remuneration by the responsibility and labor of the Office, but further as furnishing a highly a valuable stimulus to good conduct. . . .

There are many points in a Talookdar's conduct affecting his efficiency, yet not amounting to cause of dismissal, and the hope of promotion can be made a very influential motive to exertion.

9. Statement **B** exhibits in parallel columns the Huzoor establishments of Nellore, Cuddapah, Rajahmundry and Guntoor, with that proposed for the latter. Statement **C** shews the present sanctioned Mozenzabitah under the several heads chargeable to Zemindaries and Government respectively. **D** is the Huzoor Mozenzabitah now submitted for sanction.

10. Except in consolidation no great change is proposed in the Huzoor Office. The Serishtadar at present receives from Government Rs. 210, and from the Zemindaries Rs. 60. He is entitled

Fort St. George 9th July 1816.

entitled under the rules to the sum fixed for an unsettled district or Rupees 280. The Naib Serishtadar ought to have half that sum. The present appointment of Head Assistant Serishtadar on 70 Rupees seems anomalous and unnecessary. Instead thereof it is proposed to entertain a translator at the above pay, at present I am dependant for translations on the Head Writer, who has no time to attend to both duties. An English Record Keeper is also much wanted and I propose to add one on the pay of 35 Rupees. Ten Rupees has been added to the pay of the Cash Keeper which was lower than in other districts. The total increase of the present sanctioned amount for the Huzoor Cutcherry will be Rupees 110-8- but the saving on the whole Establishments including that from substituting fixed pay for Commission to the Shroffs of the Vasereddy Estate will be Rupees 456-4- monthly.

11. The abstract on the opposite page shews the Comparative result of the proposed Changes.

Departments

8923

Fort St. George 9th July 1816.

Department	Present			Proposed			Increase			Decrease		
	Govern: ment	Zemin: daries	Total	Govern: ment	Zemin: daries	Total	Govern: ment	Zemin: daries	Total	Govern: ment	Zemin: daries	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Land Revenue												
Huzoor	1342	581	1923	1342	721 8	2063 8		140 8				
District	1013 12	4333	5346 12	803	4832 8	5635 8		499 8		210 12		
Total	2355 12	4914	7269 12	2145	5554	7699		629 12				
Salt												
Huzoor	151		151	109		109				42		
District	849		849	849		849						
Total	1000		1000	958		958				42		
Moturfsha												
Huzoor	24		24	24		24						
District	233		233							233		
Total	257		257	24		24				233		
Frontier												
Huzoor	29		29	29		29						
District	136		136	136		136						
Total	165		165	165		165						
Mahramut Huzzoor	153 8		153 8	153 8		153 8						
Magisteriad												
Huzzoor	693		693	580		580				113		
District	3267 8		3267 8	2797		2797				470 8		
Total	3960 8		3960 8	3377		3377				503 8		
Abkarry												
District	27		27							27		27
Sea Customs District	12		12	12		12						
Grand Total	7960 12	4914	12874 12	6861 5	5554	12418 8			640			1096 4
									Deduct Increase			640
									Net decrease			456 4
Resort pay of 11 Heads of Police in Guntoor Circar to be deducted from Zemin: daries and charged to Government			510			510						
			7374 8		5014							
Annual	95529	58968	154497	88194	60528	149022						5475

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

11. It is to be observed that it seems fair to allow credit to the Zemindaries for the amount now paid to 11 Heads of Police whose pay will hereafter merge in that of the Tahsildars. Thus the following will be the proportions of the whole charges to be borne by Government and Zemindaries.

	Monthly	Annual
Government	737½ 8	8841 4
Zemindaries	5044 "	60528 "
Total Establishment	1241 8 "	14902 2

This sum can be distributed upon the five Estates in the ratio of their Collections.

12. It is proposed after the close of each Fasly to furnish to the Board a Statement of the Settlement Collections, and charges of each Zemin but, to make out the Jumabundy all other Revenue Amounts, whether for the Office of the Board or Accountant General viz. the forms for an unsettle district merely distinguishing Guntoor

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

and Palnaud. Thus, there will be no longer any necessity for keeping a separate account current with each estate.

13. I think the new arrangements should take effect as soon as practicable after the purchase of the Zemindaries.

14. In conclusion I would beg to suggest the expediency of a notification in the Government Gazette for the guidance of the Courts that the permanent settlement, has ceased to have effect in the Guntoor Circar.

Guntoor Collector's Circuit Cutcherry 27th March 1846.

Signed H. Stokes Collr.

Statement

...Georgy the 1st July 1846.

...submitted with letter dated 25th May 1843.

	Record Keeper		Shroffs		Samildars		Marshalgees		Sweepers	
	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
15	1	6 " "	1	10 " "	6	84 " "	1	3 " "	4	" " "
10	1	6 " "	1	10 " "	4	56 " "	1	3 " "	0	" " "
10										
20	2	12 " "	2	20 " "	10	140 " "	2	6 " "	"	" " "
10	1	6 " "	1	10 " "	9	102 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	10 " "	10	112 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	10 " "	9	102 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	10 " "	7	80 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	7	78 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	9	102 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	8	90 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	9	102 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
10	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	9	102 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
0	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	6	68 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
6	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	6	68 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
0	1	6 " "	1	8 " "	6	68 " "	1	3 " "	1	2 " "
0	12	72 " "	12	104 " "	95	1074 " "	12	36 " "	12	24 " "
0										
440	14	84 " "	14	124 " "	105	1214 " "	14	42 " "	12	24 " "

8927

Fort St. George

9th July 1846

Revenue / Cont.d

Sauderward

Buffadars

Number	Pay	Samutdars	Salook	Total
26	27	28	29	30
1	7	3	13	16
1	7	2	13	15
2	14	5	26	31
1	7	4 8	15	19 8
1	7	5	15	20
1	7	4 8	15	20
1	7	3 8	15	19 8
1	7	3 8	14	18 8
1	7	4 8	14	18 8
1	7	4	14	18 8
1	7	4	14	18
1	7	4 8	14	18 8
1	7	4 8	14	18 8
1	7	3	13	16
1	7	3	13	16
1	7	3	13	16
12	84	47 8	169	216 8
14	98	52 8	195	247 8

Total

Number	Pay
31	32
15	290
13	261
28	551
20	321 8
21	332
20	321 8
18	298 8
18	267 8
20	292 8
19	280
20	292 8
20	292 8
17	242
17	242
17	242
227	3,424 8
255	3,975 8

Police

Peishcars		Muddutgars		Buffadars		Havildars		Naigues	
Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42
		1	10	1	15			1	10
		1	10	1	15			1	10
		2	20	2	30			2	20
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8					1	10
1	14	1	8					1	10
1	14	1	8					1	10
1	14	1	8					1	10
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8	1	15			1	10
1	14	1	8					1	10
12	168	12	96	5	75			12	120
12	168	14	116	7	105			14	140

Fort St. George

9th July 1846

Police

Naiques		Total		Cutwalls Choultry								Cont.d									
				Cutwall		Goomastah		Naiques		Dundeyahs		Peons		Total Cutwalls Choultry		Total Police		Grand Total of Revenue and Police	
Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
1	7	4	42													4	42	19	332
1	7	4	42													4	42	17	303
2	14	8	84													8	84	36	635
1	7	5	54													5	54	25	375 8
1	7	5	54													5	54	26	386
1	7	4	39													4	39	24	360 8
1	7	5	54													5	54	23	352 8
1	7	4	39	1	26	1	7	1	7	12	60	3	15	18	115	22	154	40	421 8
1	7	4	39													4	39	24	331 8
1	7	4	39													4	39	23	319
1	7	4	39													4	39	24	331 8
1	7	4	39													4	39	24	331 8
1	7	5	54													5	54	22	296
1	7	5	54													5	54	22	296
1	7	4	39													4	39	21	281
12	84	53	543	1	26	1	7	1	7	12	60	3	15	18	115	71	658	298	4,082 8
14	98	61	627	1	26	1	7	1	7	12	60	3	15	18	115	79	742	334	4,717 8

8929

Fort St George

9th July 1846.

Revenue Peons		Police Peons		Total		Grand Total of Columns 62 & 68		Amount of present Sanctioned Moyenjia Estab.t
Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
33	132 " "	72	360 " "	105	492 " "	124	824 " "	" "
30	120 " "	61	305 " "	91	425 " "	108	728 " "	" "
63	252 " "	133	665 " "	196	917 " "	232	1552 " "	1799 12 "
"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"

8930

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

B

Statement shewing in parallel Columns the Hoozoor Establishments of Nellore, Cuddapah, Rajahmundry and Guntoor with that proposed for the latter.

Cash Keeper	21	118	"	20	863	4	22	778	"	19	719	"	18	768	"					
Deputy Dº	1	100	"	1	100	"	1	80	"	1	70	"	1	80	"					
Goomastahs	1	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	28	"	1	28	"					
Goomastahs at 35 Rs	"	"	"	2	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 21 Rs	"	"	"	2	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 20 Rs	1	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	20	"	1	20	"					
Ditto at 17½ Rs	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 17 Rs	"	"	"	2	34	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 10 Rs	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	1	10	"	1	10	"					
Head Shroff	1	40	"	1	40	"	1	35	"	1	40	"	1	40	"					
Shroff at 28 Rupees	1	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 21 Rs	"	"	"	1	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 18 Rs	1	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 17 Rs	"	"	"	1	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 16 Rs	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 14 Rs	"	"	"	1	14	"	"	"	"	1	14	"	1	14	"					
Golah at 8 Rs	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 7 Rs	1	7	"	3	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
	8	261	"	14	359	"	5	158	8	6	182	"	6	192	"					

8931

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Head Accountant	1	100	"	1	100	"	1	100	"	1	100	"	1	100	"				
Deputy Ditto	1	70	"	1	45	"	1	60	"	1	60	"	1	60	"				
Translator	1	85	"	1	35	"	1	50	"	"		"	1	70	"				
Head Writer	1	50	"	1	70	"	1	50	"	1	70	"	1	70	"				
Writer																			
Writer at 50 Rupees	1	50	"	"		"	"		"	"		"	"		"				
Ditto at 40 Rupees	"		"	"		"	1	40	"	1	40	"	1	40	"				
Ditto at 38 Rupees	"		"	"		"	1	38	"	"		"	"		"				
Ditto at 30 Rupees	"		"	3	90	"	2	60	"	1	30	"	1	30	"				
Ditto at 25 Rupees	1	25	"	1	25	"	1	25	"	1	25	"	"		"				
Ditto at 20 Rupees	1	20	"	4	80	"	"		"	"		"	"		"				
Ditto at 17½ Rupees	"		"	"		"	1	17	8	2	35		2	35	"				
Ditto at 15 Rupees	2	30	"	"		"	1	15	"	1	15	"	1	17	"				
Ditto at 10 Rupees	"		"	"		"	3	30	"	"		"	"		"				
Record Keeper	"		"	1	40	"	1	40	"	"		"	1	35	"				
	9	430	"	13	485	"	14	525	8	9	377	"	10	457	"				
Record Keeper	"																		
Record Keeper at 50 Rupees	1	50	"	1	30	"	1	30	"	1	50	"	1	30	"				
Goomastahs at 15 Rupees each	2	30	"	1	15	"	"		"	1	15	"	2	30	"				
Persian Moonshee	1	18	"	"		"	"		"	"		"	"		"				
Dufterbunds at 7 Rupees each	3	21	"	2	10	"	"		"	1	7	"	1	7	"				
Stationary Keeper	"		"	"		"	1	10	"	"		"	"		"				
	7	119	"	4	55	"	2	40	"	3	72	"	4	67	"				

8932

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Head Moonshee				1	85	"	2	100	"	1	70	"	1	70	"	1	70	"					
Deputy Do				1	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Javabnivises.																							
Javabnivis at 35 Rupees each				1	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 30 Rupees				1	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 25 Rupees				1	25	"	"	"	"	1	25	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 21 Rupees				"	"	"	2	42	"	"	"	"	2	42	"	2	42	"					
Ditto at 20 Rupees				1	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 17½ Rupees				"	"	"	"	"	"	1	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 17 Rupees				1	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 15 Rupees				1	15	"	"	"	"	4	60	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 14 Rupees				"	"	"	2	28	"	"	"	"	2	28	"	2	28	"					
Ditto at 12 Rupees				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12	"	1	12	"					
Ditto at 10 Rupees				"	"	"	"	"	"	2	20	"	1	10	"	1	10	"					
Ditto at 7 Rupees				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7	"	1	7	"					
Persian Moonshee				"	"	"	1	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
				8	277	"	7	210	"	9	192	8	8	169	"	8	169	"					
Ructwans or Ink makers																							
Ructwan at 10 Rupees				1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 7 Rupees				1	7	"	"	"	"	1	7	"	2	14	"	2	14	"					
Ditto at 5 Rupees				"	"	"	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"					
Ditto at 4½ Rupees				"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4	4	"	"	"	"	"	"					
				2	17	4	2	10	"	2	11	4	2	14	"	2	14	"					
Water Pendal Bramin										1	7	"	2	8	"	2	8	"					

Fork Jr. Journey 1st July 1846

Merchandise.

| | | |

8934

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Extra Sources.

Salt Department.

| | | |

8935

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Magistrate Department

Moonshee	1	50	"	1	35	"	1	28	"	1	70	"	1	70	"			
Savabnivis	1	25	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	35	"	1	35	"			
Ditto	3	60	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	21	"	1	21	"			
Ditto at 17½ Rupees each	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
Ditto at 17 Rupees D°	"	"	"	2	34	"	"	"	"	1	17	"	1	17	"			
Ditto at 10 Rupees D°	"	"	"	2	20	"	"	"	"	1	14	"	1	14	"			
English Writer	"	"	"	1	30	"	1	35	"	1	35	"	1	35	"			
Mussulgee	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4	"			
Ructwans	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	1	6	"			
Naigue	2	20	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
Duffadar	"	"	"	2	12	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	1	10	"			
Peons																		
Peons at 5 Rupees each	20	100	"	24	96	"	14	70	"	26	130	"	26	130	"			
D° at 4 Rupees D°	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	24	"	1	4	"	"	"	"			
Puckally	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12	"	1	12	"			
artificers	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	25	"	"	"	"			
	28	260	"	32	227	"	26	205	"	39	373	"	36	354	"			
Grand Total	"	3345	"	"	2541	"	"	2454	8	"	2653	8	162	2726	"			

Amount as per the present list of Establishment Rupees 2053-8

Particulars

	Rs.	a	p
Amount as per Sanction under the Government Charges	2072	8	"
Land Revenue	1342	"	"
Salt	151	"	"
Motturpha	24	"	"
Frontier	29	"	"
Marummut	153	8	"
Magisterial	373	"	"
	2072	8	"
Amount of charges on the Sequestered Zemindaries Rs. 581			
Wassereddy Estate	277	"	"
Mulzaaje D°			
Chilacaloorpaud, Sattanapully & Rapully	43	"	"
	581	"	"
	2,653	8	"

Sanctioned Amount of detached Sibbundy not included in this list 227

2880-8-"

Guntoor Killah
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Guntoor 27th March 1846

(Signed) A. Stokes
Coll.

8936

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

C. Present sanctioned Moyenzabitah under the respective Guntoor,

Heads chargeable to Government and Zemindaries in

Number	Department	Situations	Land Revenue		Salt		Moturpha		Frontier		Maramut		Vaseredy		Mulnauze		Chilkalom paud		Sultanapully		Rapully		Total	
			Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
1	Sheristah Department,	Head Sheristadar	1	210	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	60	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	270
		Deputy Do	1	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70
		Head Assistant Sheristadar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70
		Head Muddulgar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	40
		Do Do	1	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	35
		Do Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	28
		Muddulgar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	24	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	24
		Do	1	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	20
		Do at 15 Pr each	5	75	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	1	15	10	150
		Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12
		Salt Head Moottasuddy	"	"	1	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	30
		Do Goomastah	"	"	1	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	21
		Moturpha Muddulgar at 12 Pr each	"	"	"	"	2	24	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	24
		Frontier Goomastah	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14
			9	410	2	51	2	24	1	14	3	127	4	137	1	15	1	15	1	15	24	808		

8937

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Numbers	Department	Situations	Land Revenue		Salt		Moturpha		Frontier		Maramut		Vaiseredy		Mutrauze		Chilkaloor pand		Suttanapully		Rapullay		Total	
			Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
2	Moonsheer Department	Head Moonshee	1	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70
		Assistant	1	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	21
		Sevabnevis	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	21
		Muddutgars at 14 Rs each	1	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	28
		Do	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10
		Do	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7
		Salt Sevabnevis	"	"	1	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	28
			5	122	"	1	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	197
3	English Department	Head Accountant	1	100	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	100
		Deputy Do	1	60	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	60
		Writer	1	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	40
		Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Salt Writer	"	"	1	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	30
		Frontier Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	15
			3	200	"	1	30	"	"	"	1	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	245
			1	178	"	1	178	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	280

8938

Fort St. George 1st July 1845

Number	Department	Situations	Land Revenue Number	Land Revenue Pay	Salt Number	Salt Pay	Moturpha Number	Moturpha Pay	Frontier Number	Frontier Pay	Mazamut Number	Mazamut Pay	Vaseredy Number	Vaseredy Pay	Mulrauze Number	Mulrauze Pay	Chilkaloor paud Number	Chilkaloor paud Pay	Suttanapully Number	Suttanapully Pay	Papully Number	Papully Pay	Total Number	Total Pay
		Head Writer		1 70																				1 70
		Writer		1 30																				1 30
		Do		12 8																				
		Do		6 8																				
		Do		6		1 25																		1 25
		Do																						
				1 17																				1 17
				4 142																				4 142
4	Cash Keeper Department	Cash Keeper		1 70																				1 70
		Do Assistant		1 28																				1 28
		Do Do		1 20																				1 20
		Do Goomastah																						
		Head Shroff		1 40																				1 40
		Assistant Do		1 14																				1 14
				5 172																				6 182
5	Record Keeper Department	Record Keeper		1 50																				1 50
		Assistant		1 15																				1 15
				2 65																				2 65

8939

Fort St George

9th July 1846

Number	Department	Situations	Land Revenue		Salt		Motunpha		Frontier		Maramut		Vafseeddy		Mulrauze		Chilkaloor spaid		Suttanapully		Rapully		Total	
			Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
		Puctwan	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	14
		Water Peridal																						
		Bramin	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8
6	Moochee		1	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	3	34
		Jemadar	1	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	20
		Dº	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10
		At 8 Pª each	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	8	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	26
		Dufterbund	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7
		Dalayets	12	84	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	34	216
		Salt Pygusty	"	"	6	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	42
		Cutcherry Peons	15	75	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	75
		Mashaljee	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	7
		Sweeper	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	3
			35	231	"	6	42	"	"	"	"	"	15	89	8	14	90	8	"	"	"	"	70	453
8	Maramut	Superintendent	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70
	Department	Bricklayer Maistry	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	70
		Bricklayers	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	35
		Goomastahs	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	21
		Duffadar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	17
		Peons	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6
			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4
			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	153	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	153

8940

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

Numbers	Department	Situations	Land Revenue		Salt		Motupha		Frontier		Maramut		Tapsereddy		Mulrauze		Chilkaloorpaud		Suttanapully		Rapully		Total	
			Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay	Number	Pay
9	Magistrate	Manager	1	70																			1	70
	Department	English Writer	1	35																			1	35
		Tavabnevis	1	35																			1	35
		Do	1	21																			1	21
		Do	1	17																			1	17
		Do	1	14																			1	14
		Duffadar	1	10																			1	10
		Artificers	4	25																			4	25
		Puckally	1	12																			1	12
		Peons	26	130																			26	130
		Do	1	4																			1	4
			39	373																			39	373
	Detached Police Sibundy	Surdar	1	30																			1	30
		Havildars	2	20																			2	20
		Lance Naique	1	7																			1	7
		Peons at 5 Rs each	34	170																			34	170
			38	227																				
	Cutwalls Choultry	Cutwall 26 / Jemadar 7 / 12 Peons at 5 Rs each 60	4	93																			14	93
					151	2 24		2 29			8	153 8	22	227	20	259	1 15		1 15		1 15		3973	8

Deduct Cutwalls Establishment included in that of the District — 93

Remainder Hoozoor Establishment — 2880 8

Particulars

Charged to Government

Land Revenue	1342					
Salt	151					
Motupha	24					
Frontier	29					
Maramnut	153	8				
Magistrate	600		2299	8		
Zemindaries						
Tapsereddy	277					
Mylrauze	259					
Chilkaloorpaud	15					
Suttanapully	15					
Rapully	15					
			581			
Total			2,880	8		

Guntoor Zillah Collrs Circuit Cutchery Maurtoor 27th March 1846 Signed H. Stokes Collr.

Hoozoor

Department Schaumburg

Hr.		1	7	"	"
		8	109	"	4
Alle Havanahunde		1	28	"	"
				9	197 " "
Head Creamdrink		1	100	"	4
Whisky Hr.		1	60	"	"
Hand Mixer		1	70	"	1
Hamelette		1	70	"	1
Round Fruit		1	35	"	1
Mixte		1	40	"	1
Hr.		1	30	"	1
Hr. & Mrs. Hyde		2	35	"	"
Hr.		1	17	"	"
Old Mixer		1	30	"	"
Cheerio		1	15	"	"
				12	302 " "

8942

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

			Rs	a	p		Rs	a p
Cash Department — Cash Keeper		1	80	"	"			
Assistant		1	28	"	"			
Goomastah		1	20	"	"			
Do		1	10	"	"			
Head Shroff		1	40	"	"			
Assistant Do		1	14	"	"			
						6	192	" "
Record Keeper Department — Record Keeper		1	30	"	"			
Goomastahs at 15 Rs each		2	30	"	"			
Dufterbund		1	7	"	"			
						4	67	" "
Ructwans at 7 Rupees each		2	14	"	"			
						2	14	" "
Water Pundal Bramin		2	8	"	"			
						2	8	" "
Moochee		1	17	"	"			
Do		1	10	8				
Do		1	7	"	"			
						3	34	8 "
Jamadar Do — Jamadar		1	20	"	"			
Do		1	10	"	"			
Do		1	8	"	"			
Delayets at 7 Rupees each		12	84	"	"			
Do at 6 Rupees each		22	132	"	"			
Do at 5 Rupees Do		15	75	"	"			
		52	329	"	"			
Mashaljee		3	12	"	"			
Sweepers		2	7	"	"			
						57	348	" "
Marahmut Department — Superintendant		1	70	"	"			
Head Maistry		1	35	"	"			
Maistries at 10½ Rupees each		2	21	"	"			
Goomastah		1	10	"	"			
Do		1	7	"	"			
Duffadar		1	6	8				
Peons		1	4	"	"			
						8	153	8 "

80 A 3

Boiz de Jean par 9 ½ Sept 1846

Hamper	1	10	35	21	17
Sandwich	1				
Q.	1				
Q.	1				
Q.	1				
Water	1				
Headache	1				
Beetroot	1				
Stiffeden	1				
Room at Bulwer's rock	26				
Bellah	1				
Mrs Stockinet					
Sundries	1				
Steamboats at 10 Bulwer rock	2				

Magistrate's Stephen's court

	14	11	20
	35	"	"
	4	"	"
	5	"	"
	10	"	"
	130	"	"
	12	"	"
	30	"	"

Brought forward 92 8 8

Steamboat for sundries ... 28808

Sundries ... 2

Refreshments

Flowerdew

Refreshments

Flowerdew

Refreshments

Flowerdew

Magistrate
Department
Mahajin 4 "
Sirkar 5 "
Mahar 2 " 2 " 2 "
3 "

Proposed
reductions
Headman 32 "
Assistant
Accountant
Corporal 25 "
Writer 24 "
Record Keeper 24 "
Jamadar 8 "
Peon 12 "
Sepoy
Messengers
Peon 4 "
Watchman 25 " 29 " 194 "
209 "

Signed/ H. M. Elliot
Collector
Ordered.

Surveyor Village Collector
Canoe Gutzlaff
Munshi 2d. March 1846.

5

Fort St. George, 9th July 1846.

in charge of both the Tanks of the Palmand, as the most suitable person to complete what was defective in the Survey. He has since obtained proposals to cultivate the Wet-lands on Money rates from 24 out of 41 Villages which contain such lands. Statements showing the nature of these proposals are forwarded herewith and as the season for Cultivation is near I propose with the Board's permission to accept these proposals, modified where it may seem necessary, for one year, during which they can be revised. It is not anticipated that, except in some few instances, the boundaries of the fields originally marked off are obliterated, where they are they will be restored.

Strict injunctions to see that the limits of all fields be properly marked, were again issued by Mr White to the Ameen in the Palmand on the 19th July 1845 and twice subsequently. A report was received from the Dafchapully Peishcar dated the 10th of February, that returns had been sent in from all the Villages of the stones having been fixed, but these returns require to be verified by the inspection of the Ameen or other superior servant. From Timmerat it is stated no report was received; but it was understood that about half of the work had been completed. It is to be

8944

11-12

Order thereon.
Read again in Jul 23d July 46 To

Fort St. George, 9th July 1846.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to furnish the required information relative to the Survey and Settlement of the Palnaud and Chief Sub-Collectorship by the Board and Government.

2. The following are the Topics noticed in the passages referred to.

1st The assessment of the Wet-lands.
2nd The want of Boundary Stones.
3rd The want of a Complete register of the lands.
4th The want of a perfect Aguant account.
5th The expediency of requiring good & bad lands to be relinquished together.
6th The operation of the Cowlcannah in the Palnaud.

3. With respect to the assessment of Wet lands, I stated in my letter of the 28th of February, that I had placed Chuckrapaiwy Rs. in

13

From the Collector of Guntoor ——

Sir,
Para.

Furnishing the information required by the Board of Revenue in their Proc: Cons: on the Summary Report 30th May 1845 on the defects in the Palnaud Survey; suggesting how they might be supplied and explaining further his views on the subject of the waste requiring good and bad land to be relinquished together, and the reasons for considering the grant of Cowle unnecessary where there is recent waste land available, stating that the reason for restricting remuneration on lands taken but not sown to whole shots is the difficulty of detecting encroachments given on parts of fields.

To Board Report 21st May 1845 Para 40.
Board's Proceedings 26th Augt 1845 Paras 8-19 & 20.
M. C. 26th May 1845 Paras 13-16-21-22 & 23.
Board's Proc: 18th June 1845 To Board 10th October 1845 Para 4—
From Board 3d Nov: 1845
To Board 28th Feby 1846
Ditto — Dr Summary Report
From Dr 28th March 1846

8945

Fort St. George, 9th July 1846.

in charge of both the Tanahs of the Palnaud, as the most suitable person to complete what was defective in the Survey. He has since obtained proposals to cultivate the Wet-lands on Money rates from 24 out of 41 Villages which contain such lands. Statements showing the nature of these proposals are forwarded herewith and as the season for Cultivation is near I propose with the Board's permission to accept these proposals, modified where it may seem necessary for one year, during which they can be renewed. It is not anticipated that, except in some few instances, the boundaries of the fields originally marked off are obliterated; where they are they will be restored.—

4. Strict injunctions to see that the limits of all fields be properly marked, were again issued by Mr White to the Ameens in the Palnaud on the 19th July 1845 and twice subsequently. A report was received from the Dutchapully Peishcar dated on the 10th of February, that returns had been sent in from all the Villages of the stones having been fixed. But these returns require to be verified by the inspection of the Ameens or other superior Servants. From Timmerakottah no report was received; but it was understood that about half of the work had been completed. It is to be observed

8946-

Fort St. George, 9th July, 1846-

observed that up to this time the lands of Enam lands have never been marked by stones or otherwise. Why I have not succeeded in ascertaining. Chinnappamy Row will have the deficient land marks supplied in all cases-

Para 10 of Report 31st May 1844-

5. My meaning with respect to the defect of the survey accounts was that there is no complete authenticated register of all the fields and lands in each Village measured and numbered according to their Situations there is an Ayaut called here Gudshat Jabtah which professes to exhibit in abstract the whole area of each Village and its appropriation, but it is imperfect in most Villages in as much as with the exception of fields that have been cultivated since 1820 the area has not been ascertained by measurement. I send in Telugoo an explanatory list of the Survey accounts now existing shewing also when and how they were prepared, together with a set of the original accounts for one Village which it is requested may be returned, also Copies of those Hoobunnamahs which are forthcoming-

6. To account for the state of the things described, it is only necessary to refer to the History.

8947-

Fort St. George, 9th July, 1846-

History of the Paimash survey. Having been very busy and but little at Guntoor since I returned to the District, I have not searched through the English records; but I believe the following account is tolerably correct. The Boards records will shew if it be wrong or defective-

To Board 19th December 1825-

1- In fasly $\frac{1214}{1803/4}$ and $\frac{1215}{1804/5}$ Mr. Crawford caused all the lands then under cultivation both Circar and Enam to be surveyed, but the accounts of these surveys were rendered inapplicable under the Village rents which were in force from $\frac{1218}{1807/8}$ to $\frac{1230}{1819/20}$- In $\frac{1229}{1818/19}$ and $\frac{1230}{1819/20}$ under the orders of Mr. Oakes a survey and assessment was again made by the Cumums, but only of the Enam lands and the Sirkar lands under Cultivation. I have not been able to obtain a copy of Mr. Oakes Hoobunnamah. The Cumams say that they took no list of the fields in the order in which they are situated. The measurements, in Cawnies and Coontahs are recorded in an account called Pymash Jabta in which under the name of each ryot are entered the boundaries and area of the fields occupied by him. In the first Jabta of that year the fields were numbered under the first ryot from 1 Consecutively and the number was continued on the fields of the

Fort St. George. 9th July 1830.

the second ryot. These numbers have since been retained.

8. From $\frac{1231}{1820/21}$ to $\frac{1234}{1823/24}$ such fields as were newly cultivated were surveyed and added to the accounts. In $\frac{1233}{1822/23}$ the measure of Kaumies and Coontahs was converted into English measure of acres and Chains in the Shist Jabta. In July 1824. an examiner and 9 Surveyors were appointed and furnished with instructions similar to those given in the Ceded Distts to measure and enter all lands Cultivated or waste in the order in which they might be situated. After they had surveyed 18 Villages of Datchapully, and 13 of Timmercottah their operations were discontinued, Mr. Thirlt having apparently thought it desirable first of all to have the measurements and boundaries of the fields taken by the Cumums according to be issued a detailed Brohummanah directing the completion of such an account, and they were also directed where the fields exceeded 8 acres to subdivide them. The Cumums accordingly prepared a register and it appears to have been completed, but it was never Checked nor furnished to the Huzzoor or brought into operation in the annual settlement. Most of the Cumums have now produced these registers and Chuckrapany Row.

To Board 18th October 1824. Dr. 26th Do. Nr. 1826.

Fort St. George. 9th July 1830.

Row has been directed to take them as the basis of the revisions now to be made.

On the 18th of March 1830 an order was issued for completing what remained to be done in marking off the boundaries of the fields and their subdivisions called in the dialect of the Country "Pompa".

9. In $\frac{1248}{1837/38}$ under orders from the late Mr. Goldingham the Cumums measured the lands under Cultivation both Dry and Enam; but the differences in the result from the existing accounts were brought to account on the Sirkar land only.

10. Under these Circumstances the annual accounts are made out and Checked as follows. An account is kept by the Cumum of all the lands occupied by each ryot to which the ryot affixes his signature. When the season for Cultivation is closed and before the Jummabundy Commences, the Ameen and his Establishment proceed to examine the accounts of each Village when the Cumum gives in a list of all the lands relinquished or occupied in that season called "Vidaadali by Kol Jabta" as well as those which tho not relinquished may not have been sown. This list is then Compared by the Sanutdar or Jaloob Servant in Company with the Cumum with the actual state of the fields. It is obvious that under this

Fort St. George. 9th July 1846.

this practice no security is afforded against the concealment of cultivated fields not included in the last year's Settlement. The remedy to be applied is for the examining Officer to have a Complete register of all fields and to look at every number on it.

12. I propose the following steps for the revision. The Curnums are to be called upon to verify and Complete where necessary the register taken in Fasly 1230 / 1825/26. These registers are then to be submitted to the examination of an Ayahmaish Mootsaddy & finally the whole to be gone over by the Ameen. When corrected a Copy is to be made in a book similar to that adopted under the orders of the Commissioner in the Custom Survey.

13. It is desirable that a suggestion of Chuckrapauny Row should be acted on to inscribe on one of the boundary stones of each field its number in the register. This can be done at a trifling expense and will greatly facilitate the further checking of the Curnums accounts.

14. Within the natural limits of the Palnaud are included 3 Zemindary Villages which I beg to suggest should now be surveyed and assessed on the same principles as the adjacent.

Jooladul. Brahmapilly. Markaverum.

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

adjacent Palnaud lands around them.

15. All these arrangements I have reason to think can be effected in the course of the next 6 Months without entailing any Extra expense on the Government by the arrangement proposed in my letter of the 28th of February last. To this however the Board object and unless the objection can be met I must solicit sanction for the subjoined Statement of Charges.

2	Ayamaish. Mootsaddies at 15 Rupees per Month each	30	— —
8	Stone Cutters at 3 Rupees each Do	15	— —
1	Mootsaddy Do Do	8	— —
	Contingent charges	13	— —
	Total per Month	66	— —

16. I would however again respectfully submit, that Chuckrapauny Row is conducting the duties of both Tanahs far more efficiently than any two former Ameens have done since I have had charge of the District, and the Settlement being made and Collections nearly so, the Current business will be very light for some months. One great object of placing him in charge of both Tanahs, was to give him that authority over the Curnums, which an Ameen alone can have another reason for not wishing to fill up the vacant situation of the Ameen of Timmeracottah is, because if the proposed new division of the District be Sanctioned the number of Ameens situations

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

situations will be reduced by 4. He would continue to discharge the duties on his present pay, altho' I think he well deserves the addition I recommended.

17. I regret that I have failed in satisfying the Board and Government of the inapplicability to the Palmaud, of the rule requiring the relinquishment of good and bad land together. The Board are aware that each field in the Palmaud has been classed and assessed according to its quality, and the assessment has stood the test of many years. If land is to be relinquished it must be by entire fields, and I am not aware that practically a single case could occur where as anticipated by the Government, a field thrown up by one ryot could not be cultivated by another. This rule would require that, except where a ryot occupied only one field, he must be compelled if he wish to relinquish any, to relinquish two fields unless all his fields happened to be of the same quality. I cannot see that the operation of such a rule would at all promote the security of the revenue while it would be very inconvenient to the ryot. In certain Districts and in the Zemindaries of this District there are Villages where the whole available lands have been parcelled out

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

out into shares containing equal portions of good and bad lands, and where this tenure exists, tho' I doubt its advantages, it may be proper when land is relinquished to require the relinquishment of good and bad land together.

18. With respect to my suspension of the Cowlenamah, I have to explain that I did it on the following principle. Where there is in any Village unoccupied land which has been relinquished within 3 or 4 years and on which consequently no remission of assessment can be claimed it appears to me unnecessary for a ryot who wishes to take up land, to select such as having been longer waste would be entitled to the remission of the Cowlenamah. In the last 4 years a number of fields have been relinquished in almost every Village, and until these are again occupied it seems a gratuitous indulgence to grant remission on old waste which there can be no necessity for taking up.

19. The Board think the practice which has hitherto obtained in this District of restricting remission for land taken up, but not cropped to whole fields or Pompaes unreasonable. The reason for it is the difficulty of checking remission given on the principles proposed by the Board to be substituted.

20. On all these points I think it will be better to continue the existing usage than to introduce the changes proposed.

Guntoor Collector
Cuttery on Circuit
Dindy 18th April 1846

(Signed) W. Stokes
Collector

Abstract

9th July 1846.

in the Datchapully Tanah of Palnaud.

being 10 years			Mean	Result of Proposals Submitted by Ameen.	
Price per Pootlee	Settlement	Ditto per Acre	Shist per Acre	Total Wet land	Average rate per Acre	Settlement
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	a c	Rs a p	Rs a p
20 5 11	94 5 2	5 3 4	7 1 3	86 5	8 " "	689 " 4
20 5 5	197 10 2	5 10 1	6 12 4	47 21	6 8 "	308 15 4
22 " 6	330 1 9	8 4 6	7 13 6	121 37	7 5 2	893 2 7
21 2 5	54 11 6	4 6 2	5 " 7	72 8	6 " 4	433 3 10
21 13 6	200 5 8	8 10 9	8 7 10	59 8	8 7 11	502 14 2
20 6 3	137 9 6	5 5 6	6 4 "	105 6	6 4 "	637 2 4
20 12 2	575 14 11	7 2 11	9 10 1	183 24	11 4 "	2065 8 4
20 7 1	55 15 6	4 12 "	6 6 "	23 32	8 " "	190 6 5
20 12 3	56 12 4	10 " 8	10 11 6	55 7	11 " "	606 14 10
20 11 7	147 15 4	7 5 9	8 9 "	45 34	9 8 "	435 9 2
21 13 1	143 2 11	5 11 9	7 8 9	49 22	7 12 "	384 " 2
21 1 7	469 " 7	5 4 10	5 11 10	322 4	8 " "	2576 12 10
19 11 6	86 10 9	4 6 5	6 5 4	33 5	7 4 "	240 2 6
19 10 7	148 13 2	6 " "	5 12 2	44 39	6 10 1	253 3 6
20 7 5	185 3 11	7 10 3	9 11 3	43 33	9 11 "	424 8 11
21 2 5	666 13 1	8 8 3	9 14 11	105 35	9 " "	952 14 "
20 12 5	352 11 5	4 12 8	5 15 8	103 11	7 " "	722 14 10

Signed W. Stokes
Collector

Abstract

8955

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

No. 2. Abstract Statement of the proposals for Cultivating Wet Lands on Money rates obtained by Chacrapany Row Ameen in the Timmerecottah

No.	Villages	Average from Fusly 1232 to 1241 being 10 years. Cultivation	Gross Produce	Government Share and Dasabhagum after deducting Kyotwar Share and fees	Price per Pottee	Settlement	Net per Acre	Average from Fusly 1246 to 1254 being. Cultivation	Gross produce	Government Share and Dasabhagum after deducting Kyotwar Share and fees	Price per Pottee
		A C C	T M	C T M	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	A C	C T M	C T M	Rs a p
1	Timmerecottah	28 34 12	10 11	5 12 15	22 1 5	124 12 3	4 6 2	24 36	23 4 12	10 3 13	9 14 8
2	Muncheullee	27 2 36	16 4	12 11 12	21 6 2	269 3 11	9 15 3	33 29	25 11 9	8 14 14	20 11
3	Jutty pollem	13 33 6	16 2	2 19 13	20 14 "	82 7 8	5 15 4	10 29	8 16 9	3 13 "	21 7 4
4	Goorjalah	35 10 33	5 15	14 13 4	22 5 8	327 13 3	9 4 9	39 10	35 17 15	15 17 4	19 14 8
5	Maudoogolah	27 27 23	" 10	10 3 9	22 3 1	225 14 6	8 2 7	12 16	10 4 6	4 10 5	20 13 2
6	Rentalah	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 "	2 12 13	" 18 1	19 5 "
7	Rentachintalah	17 21 10	11 2	4 13 5	28 1 9	131 2 8	7 7 8	8 4 3	19 9 1	11 10	18 7 "
8	Poolepaud	74 28 50	15 6	22 8 13	29 9 2	529 " 3	7 1 4	60 4	47 4 12	20 13 1	20 5 6
9	Jyagaurepollem	10 20 7	1 9	3 2 9	29 11 6	93 " 2	8 13 8	13 25	11 4 "	4 18 12	20 6 "

Copy

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

From the Board of Revenue

To the Secretary to Government

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith, agreeably to the Order of Government in Public Department dated 15th April 1846 (No 13-14) a Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of Export in the District of Nellore up to 30th June 1846.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) H. Stokes
Secretary

Board of Revenue
Public Department
Fort St. George
9th July 1846

Read the foregoing Statement and ordered to be brought forward to the Consultation of Tuesday next.

(Signed) C. Lushington
Chief Secretary

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of Export in the District of Nellore up to 30th June 1846.

No.	Places at which Salt is Shipped	Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1845/46	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready to be exported
15.		In Maunds	In Maunds	In Maunds	In Maunds
1	Toommalapenta	42,111	"	42,111	42,111
2	Eshapally	38,338	"	38,338	38,338
3	Gegoolapally	10,6667	"	10,667	10,667
4	Kareny	69,417	"	69,417	69,417
5	Kristnapatam	57,467	"	57,467	57,467
	Total	3,14,000	"	3,14,000	3,14,000

Nellore Collectors' Cutcherry 4th July 1846. E. E. for [signed] J. F. Bishop
Collector.

Statemen

8958

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

16

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in Store &c available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the Several Places of Export in the District of Madras.

Places at which Salt is shipped	Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1845	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity Actually in Store & ready to be exported	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	
Ennore	18259	69541	96716	96716	Besides the quantity entered in Col. 5, 196966 12/20 Maunds of the newly manufactured Salt of the present Season have been already removed to the platform at the Ennore Depôt.-

Madras ----- 6th July 1840 } (Signed) J. A. Judleston
Collector.

Ordered

8959
15-17

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements.- Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.-

Read the following letter.-

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

18

From the Actg Collr of Cuddalore

Sir,

Reporting on the subject of the Manufacture of Earth Salt in the District of Cuddalore as required in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th April 1840.-

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th April 1840 together with Copy of Paras 32 of a general letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 12th November 1845 on the subject of the Manufacture of earth Salt and as required to Submit my opinion on the feasibility or expediency of the measure of placing as suggested by the Honorable Court the Manufacture of earth Salt under a more defined System of Regulation and in lieu of levying Moturpha (as in Bellary) on the Manufacture imposing a Moderate Tax, proportioned to the quantity Manufactured and to State the arrangement which I deem it will be necessary to adopt for Carrying the plan proposed by the Court into effect.-

2. The above Extract from the Honorable Courts despatch would appear to apply to the System in practice in the Bellary District where the Manufacture of

5

As Marine Salt is rarely given to Cattle for reasons above Stated the withdrawal of the prohibition to Manufacture Earth Salt will not by increasing its supply for the use of Cattle be detrimental to the Salt Monopoly and it is needless to say, as the existing restriction placed it beyond the reach of a Considerable proportion of the Ryots of the District to make use of Earth Salt for their Cattle owing to the supply being limited, how much it would conduce to the Welfare of the Ryots (to whom the loss of a Bullock is no trifling one) and Consequently to the prosperity of the District if the Manufacture was legalized and the use of this Article was made more generally available.-

6.

In regard to this description of Salt being used by the Inhabitants as a Condiment or relish to their food from all that I can learn I firmly believe that there would be no detriment to the Salt Monopoly even on this Account, if however any it would be very trifling and the profit derivable by the strict enforcement of the Regulation would not Compensate for the loss of Revenue caused by the Mortality of Cattle a severe loss in impoverishing the People which would otherwise be greatly alleviated.-

7

The Marine Salt is so very much preferred as an article of food to the Earth Salt manufactured in this District (which in quality is

is very inferior to that made in Bellary) that it is only the poorest Classes of People who Cannot afford to purchase the former who are induced to use the latter, and who easily find means of surreptitiously preparing sufficient for their own Consumption which if they did not do they would be deprived of Salt altogether. Taking all these points into consideration 1st/ly Marine Salt not being used for Cattle 2dly/ the benefit derivable to the Ryots by their being able to use Earth Salt freely for the preservation of their Cattle 3dly/ The Comparative small quantity of Earth Salt used (as an article of food) only by those who Cannot afford to purchase Marine Salt 4thly/ the difficulty of preventing them by any System of Surveillance from preparing Steal thily Sufficient Earth Salt for their own Consumption, I cannot but arrive at the Conclusion that the extending the relaxation of the restriction of the Manufacture of Earth Salt in this District and the levying of a moderate Tax in proportion to the quantity of Earth Salt Manufactured as proposed by the Honorable Court would be highly desirable. The amount of Tax would more than Compensate for any loss the legalizing the Manufacture of Earth Salt would entail by any diminished Sale of Marine Salt, and the proposed measure would greatly tend towards the prosperity of the District and afford satisfaction to its Inhabitants.-

I

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

8 I now beg to offer a few remarks as applicable to the Manufacture of Earth Salt in this District and to suggest the adoption of such arrangements as appear necessary for Carrying the proposed Measure into effect.-

9 There are 1706 Earth Salt Hillocks in this District as shewn by the following detailed Statement. The Talook of Goorrumcondah is the only one free from them.-

No.	Names of the Talooks	Number of Modaloo or Hillocks
1	Cumbum	300
2	Doopand	160
3	Budwail	400
4	Yummulmudgoo	100
5	Coilcoontlah	80
6	Roychooty	6
7	Chitwail	53
8	Sidhouth	82
9	Chennoor	167
10	Camalapoor	150
11	Muddenpully	36
12	Poolevendlah	50
13	Poonganoor	62
	Total	1706.

10 From the Number and appearance of these Hillocks the Manufacture of Earth Salt must have been Carried on very extensively and for a long period previous to the enactment of the Law

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

Law prohibiting its Manufacture several of them being very large from being so repeatedly worked and renewed with fresh Earth.-

11 Of Earth Capable of yielding Salt appertaining to the black Cotton Soil is used for the Manufacture of Salt it is Computed that 48 Seers of the best quality (as Manufactured in this District) the value of which would be 1 Rupee can be made in two days in each Hillock and if Earth from the Red and Mixed Lands is used a Hillock yields double the quantity of Salt in the same period though of an inferior quality, being doubly cheap the price of 96 Seers being a Rupee also.-

12 Of the total number of Hillocks in this District as above detailed it is estimated there are 906 in which Salt of the 1st quality would be produced - The number of Hillocks which would yield Salt of an inferior quality would be 802.- The Hillocks are worked during the hot season which is favorable for the Manufacture of the Article and allowing that it is Carried on during three Months of the year Viz.t March April and May the quantity of Salt of the superior quality that would be Manufactured during this Period on one Hillock would be 2160 Seers being 240 Seers less than half a Garce and that of the inferior quality 4320 Seers being 480 Seers less than a Garce which contains 4,800 Seers - The Value of 2160 Seers of

+48 Seers in 2 days
48+45=2160.

+96 Seers in two days
96+45=4320.-

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

of the Superior is the same as that of 4320 Seers of inferior produce Viz.t Rupees 45.-

13 The quantity of Salt Manufactured on the 906 Hillocks yielding on an average 2160 Seers each of the 1st.a quality would be 1956960 or Garces 407 and 33 Seers. that of the inferior quality produced from the remaining 802 Hillocks would be 3464640 Seers or Garces 721 and Seers 36 making a total annual average produce of garces 11281 and Seers 71.-

14 Taking into Consideration the quantity of Salt that can be produced during three Months or 90 days, its price and the Charges of Hire incurrible, it is Calculated that a Tax on each Hillock varying from 5 Rs. to 2 Rs. and averaging Rupees 3-6-- would be a fair impost and one which would at the same time allow a suitable profit to the Manufacturer.-

15 The following exhibits the profit calculated as derivable from each Hillock after deducting the charges incurrible from the value of the article produced.-

The Value of Salt Manufactured in each Hillock during three Months or 90 days 1 Rupee being realized in 2 days ——— 45 — — —

Deduct Charges.

Cooly for 2 Men and hire for two Buffaloes engaged in digging and Conveying Earth to the Hillock } 33—12—

Carried over — 33—12—

Chunam.

Fort St. George 9th July 1840

Brought over 33—12—

Chunam Sand and Jaggery for — } 1—4—
Masonry for Salt pans — }
Cooly hire ——— 0—12—
35—12—

Profit to the Manufacturer being about 1/5th of the Value of Salt Manufactured ——— } 9—4—

45—0—0

16 A Tax as above proposed varying from 5 Rs. to 2 Rs. or 3½ Rs. per Hillock would amount to Rupees 5978 and the tax leviable on the Annual average produce Viz.t 1128 Garces would be Rupees 5—3—6 per Garce.- In the Bellary District Moturpha is Jamabundy Collected from the Woddawars who Manufacture the Salt to the amount of Rupees 13,000.- There being 2260 Hillocks the average annual produce of which is 1100 Garces the Nominal average amount of Tax leviable on each Hillock is therefore 5 Rs. 11 a. 3 p. and on the Annual average produce Rupees 11—13—1 per Garce.-

17 The amount of Moturpha as leviable in Bellary on the average annual produce (which is calculated to be somewhat less than in this District though the No. of Hillocks is greater by 472) when Compared with the Amount of Tax proposed to be imposed in this District would appear very disproportionate. the Collection in the former exceeding that proposed to be levied on the

latter

16

8968 Fort St. George 9th July 1840.

latter District according to the Computation noted as above shewn by Rupees 6022.-

Bellary Rs. 13000.
Cuddapah Do. 5978
6022 16.-

The Amount of Tax proposed to be levied in this District has been Calculated on the average Annual value of the Article Manufactured 4/5ths of which is Consumed by Charges incurrible in making it the Remaining 1/5th being allowed as profit to the Manufacturer (from which is deducted the tax leviable) and the disproportion of the Amount in the 2 Districts is readily accounted for by the Selling price of the Article (owing to its superior quality) being in the same proportion higher in Bellary than it is in this District. The average Selling price in Bellary is I believe Rs. 136 — — while in this District it is Calculated at Rs. 75 per Candy. The rates between the Selling price of the article and the Amount leviable thereon in the one District Compared with the other would appear pretty proportionate. The per Centage being Rs. 1 4 — and Rs. 1 4 — respectively.-

19 Should the plan proposed meets approval I would suggest that the several Tahsildars be directed to ascertain the Number of Pillocks Individuals wish to work in their respective Talooks and obtain documents from them submitting a list thereof to the Collector when puttahs should be prepared and sent for delivery to them previous to which on no account should Persons be allowed to commence Manufacturing. Any infringement on this rule should be visited by a suitable fine imposed by the Collector.-

20 If the privilege of Manufacturing Salt was given out on Contract it might perhaps be rather more profitable to Government but I am inclined to think the plan proposed is preferable.-

Cuddapah District——
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry.
Poonganoor 27th May 1840

/signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

Ordered

8969 Fort St. George 9th July 1840.

(18-19) Order thereon.-

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.-

Read the following letter-

20

From E. Maltby Esqr. Collr. of Chingleput. Para 1.

Reporting the sale of the Attavary Farm for the ensuing 3 years and recommending for sanction the highest offer of Rs. 7,500 per annum made by A. Govinda Reddy and P. Perasawmy Moodelly.-

To J. Pycroft Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the contract for the Abkarry Farms of this District for 3 years commencing with the approaching fusly 1250 has been put up to public competition in accordance with the directions given by the Board, on the 25th Ultimo, and that the highest offer Rupees 7,500 per annum has been made by A. Govinda Reddy and P. Perasawmy Moodelly.—

2. In explanation of my stating in my letter of the 15th Ultimo that the under Renters would probably be willing to continue the farm for the two unexpired years of Peldanki Veerasawmy Chitty's contract on the same terms or Rupees 85,310 per annum, and the present highest tender falling below that amount I beg to offer the following remarks— The under renters had agreed to pay annually a higher sum to Peldanki Veerasawmy Chitty and it was important for them not to be disturbed in their farms before the expiration of the 3 years.- It seemed to me therefore that their interest would make them willing to continue the farms of their respective Talooks directly under the Government

Fort St George 9 July 1846.

Government, on terms which would have been more favorable to them, rather than run the risk of being disturbed by the contract being put up to public auction. at the same time such an arrangement seemed to me advantageous to the Government as the Farm had never previously exceeded the sum of Rupees 76000 and the circumstances of Seldanki Veerasawmy's failure, although it arose from his mismanagement, aided by the effects of an unfavorable season, was likely to deter competition in the event of the farm being put up to public auction, and enable the under Renters in the absence of other candidates to offer the lowest terms which they thought would be accepted in preference to the farm being kept under aumany management. ——

3. This apprehension on my part has been realized as the under Renters have coalesced with the party whose offer is now submitted to the Board, and I have not been able to obtain a higher offer, from the absence of competition although I kept open the auction to this day for the purpose. The highest tender made on Saturday, the day named in the proclamation, was Rupees 74,000. and I did not feel myself authorized to

Fort St George 9 July 1846.

to submit such an offer to the Board —

4. Govinda Reddy and Peeasawmy Moodelly, whose tender is now reported on are both inhabitants of this District. They have made the usual deposit of 1 per Cent pending the orders of the Board regarding their tender, and will be prepared on its being accepted to lodge a sum equal to 3 months rents as security ——

5. A Statement is annexed of the farm for the last 10 years, and as the present offer exceeds all previous years except the last I beg to request sanction for accepting it, in preference to the farm remaining under aumany management. ——

July	Amount Rupees
1246	49000
1247	57350
1248	56000
1249	61900
1250	68400
1251	67500
1252	76000
1253	76000
1254	76000
1255	85310
Total of 10 years	673460
Average per annum	67346

Chingleput District
Collectory Pullecarney
6 July 1846 ——

I Signed B. Maltby
Asst Coll.

The

Fort St. George 9 July 1846.

Resolution 20-21 thereon. The Board sanction the acceptance of the offer made by Gowdia Reddy and Peracawmy Mudelly for the Abkarry Farm of the Chingleput District for three years commencing from July 1256 at 76,500 Rupees per annum, and authorize the parties being placed in possession on the required deposit and security being furnished.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

22 Sir,

From the Collr. of Salem I have the honor to forward by Copy of an Urzee from Tirmul Row, Naib Sheristadar of my Establishment, applying for permission to erect a Chattrum at Muttoor, for the accommodation of Travellers at a Cost of 2000 Rupees on the High Road from Madras to Salem and Trichinopoly via Vaniambady, the nearest Chattrum to Muttoor on the South Side is at Daramporry distant 25 Miles, and on the North at Triplatoor distant 13 Miles. The road in question is always frequented by Travellers from Madras to Trichinopoly and Southern Districts as also by Pilgrims proceeding

Fort St. George 9 July 1846.

proceeding to Ramadaram. I beg to recommend that permission be granted to the Applicant for the erection of the Chattrum, on the completion of which a Plan and Estimate of the Cost will be submitted.

Salem Collrs Cutchery 19 June 1846. } (Signed) W. C. Lockhart Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

22-23 To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy. to Government. Submitting a letter from the Collr. of Salem forwarding an application preferred by his Naib Sheristadar Trimul Row for permission to build a Choultry & for the usual grant of waste land in endowment of it & desiring to be informed whether the order of the Honble Court of Directors prohibiting the holding of land by a revenue servant within the district to which he is attached is applicable to such Cases.

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Salem 19 June 1846 giving cover to an application preferred by his Naib Sheristadar Trimul Row for permission to build a Choultry and for the usual grant of waste land in endowment of it—

Under the Orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors conveyed in their despatch of 29 November 1843, which it is probable will be shortly enforced in all Districts, excepting perhaps in Malabar and Canara, the holding of land by a revenue Servant within the District to which he is attached is strictly prohibited. The

8974 Fort St George 9th July 1846.

The object of the Board in making the present reference is to ascertain whether in the opinion of Government this interdict should be held to extend to the Holding by such parties of rent free lands granted in endowment of Choultries and similar institutions which they may have founded. It appears to the Board that as the small assignment of Canam land usually granted on such an occasion, this entered in the public accounts in the name of the founder of the institution with a view to connect his name with it, is not given for his personal profit, but for the support of the institution itself and is resumable when diverted from that purpose the Holding of such rent free lands by public revenue servants is unobjectionable, and that the orders of the Honorable Court were not intended to apply to such cases. but on this point they beg to be favored with the views of Government.——

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George ——
9th July 1846 ——

(Signed) J Pycroft
Secretary.

24

From the Collr of Madura
More detailed report

To J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir
Para 1.

In reply to your letter of the

8975 Fort St George 9 July 1846.

Report on the Annum Ship of Vadakurray & Thenkurray Maganums in the settled Zemindary of Ramnad.

26th February, calling for further explanation on subject of Petition No 27 of 1846, I have the honor to submit that, on the 30th December 1841 Theroo: putty Iyen and another, hereditary Village Curnums of Vadakurray and Thenkurray Maganums of Rajasingamungalum in Ramnad complained to me that the Ameen of the Talook was unjustly compelling them to admit the Board's Petitioner Poolyaeeva Ramasawmy Taven, to one fourth share of the Meerasee, and to let him enjoy that share of the emoluments as Curnums on behalf of the Plyots, and affix his signature to all accounts, notwithstanding they were exclusive owners of the whole Meerasee, and have been in enjoyment of it, from fusly 1211, with insertion of their names in the accounts.——

2. Called upon for explanation, the Ameen reported, on the 4th June 1842, that it was in pursuance of an order from the late Managers of the Zemindary, dated 9th February 1832, to admit a participation of the emoluments of the hereditary offices, by the Coody Curnum in proportion of 3/4 to the former and one fourth to the latter, and of a renewed one of the 23d July 1841, to which some objections on the part of the Complainants in 1838. had

given

given rise ——

3. On the 13th June 1843 the late Manager of the Zemindary received my prohibition against enforcement of the above order, or consignment of any part of the income of the hereditary Curnum to the Board's petitioner with instructions to let the former be maintained in possession, leaving the latter to receive any remuneration, as Coody Curnum, from the Ryots themselves, if they were in need of his services ——

4. On the subsequent complaint that effect had not been given to the order I had it carried out thro' the Ameen of the Taluk by direct communication to him, under date the 7th November of that year ——

5. On receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 12th October 1843 prohibiting interference with offices of Hereditary Village Curnums in permanently settled Zemindaries, I cancelled generally all my orders, and amongst them those of the 13 June and 7th November 1842, by an order of the 8th March 1842, dispossessing Board's Petitioner of the 1/4 share of the Meerassee, and directed maintenance of each party in possession of his share as theretofore; a subsequent recommendation

recommendation of the deceased Mussulman Manager of the 30th April of that year, for restoration of petitioners adversaries to the whole Meerassee in virtue of their title to, and enjoyment of it, up to fusly 1253, with insertion, in the account of fusly 1211 as well as in one furnished by a late Head Sahiebar, in 1821, to exclusion of any entry of petitioners name, was refused on the 11 May following on the general ground of no power of interference with the Board's petitioner and his adversaries in possession of one fourth and three fourth, share of the Meerassee, respectively, and reported the latters refusal to do duty with the former on plea of their exclusive ownership to it ——

6. The Manager acted in accordance to the original cancel of my 2 orders, by putting

7. Pressed by a subsequent application for renewed examination of the case on the ground of non-enjoyment by them / the petitioners adversaries / of their one fourth share in question, and on the 16th May last I entered into a reinvestigation, and on the 18th took a deposition from petitioner, when, on his offering proving his enjoyment of the 1/4 share of the Meerassee by the fact of his having signed the public accounts as Coody Curnum, till 1837 and as Meerassedar one fourth share in question from 1838, the deceased Manager

Manager was requested on the 2? June following, to ascertain the truth by reference to the settlement accounts from 1838, and to report the result, with particulars if he had enjoyed the Maniem as pretended -

8- On the 22? August the deceased Manager forwarded a Memorandum addressed him by the Sumpreg. then in charge of the Talook, reporting that exeamination of the accounts, from 1838 to 1842, shewed petitioner and his adversaries in charge of the Office, and enjoying the Maniem, the former, one fourth, and the latter three fourths; but, on information it had been obtained by the Manager, in place of one previously addressed him by the late Ameen, shewing the enjoyment of the whole Meerassee, by the petitioners adversaries, I called upon the successor on the 18 September, for submission direct to my office of the returned Memorandum with the original accounts, from which it had been drawn up, the original receeipts passed by the Curnums for the emoluments, enjoyed by them, from 1836 to 1842, and the original order of the Manager, returning them for correction. It was obeyed on the 10 October, and

and a careful exammination of the accounts and other documents submitted having satisfied me that petitioners adversaries have been in exclusive charge of the Office from 1838 to 1842, and have enjoyed the whole maniem, passing receeipts accordingly - that petitioner, as introduced by the late Manager of the Zemindary had been in charge of one fourth share of the Meerassee for only 10 months in 1842, and that the opposing Memo of the Sumpreg framed in obedience to the Manager's instructions, was at variance with the accounts in the records of my office - I directed the reinstatement of the former to the whole Meerassee in possessory right, on the 29 Nov October last, cancelling the previous orders of the former Manager and my own respectively -

Madura - - - - }
Manapaar 9 June 1846 } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector -

Endorsement on Petition No 27 of 1846 -

Resolution thereon - The Board of Revenue having been informed on reference to the Principal Collector of Madura that the exclusive right to the Maniem claimed to the extent of one fourth share by the Appellants, is shewn by the Public Accounts to be vested solely in their opponents who have been reinstated in the entire Meerassee, see no grounds for their interference with the existing arrangement -

24-25

To

8980 Fort St George 9 July 1846.

To

J. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

(26)

From the Pl Collr of Madura — Submitting for sanction the biddings for the Abkarry for fusly 1256.

Sir

I have the honor to forward a Statement of the bidding for the arrack and Toddy farms for the ensuing fusly 1256 in the districts of Madura and Dindigul, with exception of Ramnad Zemindary, where it was so low I could not recommend it, and sent orders to the Acting Manager to ascertain if he could obtain better offers on the spot, but have not yet receeived his answer, which is the cause of the late period of my submission of the offers to the Board; and for which, I therefore, take the liberty to beg their immediate attention; and I greatly fear it will be necessary to keep the Ramnad Abkaree revenue under direct management. —

2. It has always been understood by the Regulations and enforced on Complaints, that the farmer has no interference with the owner of the tree so long as the Juice of the palm is not used for Toddy or Spirits; but it has been but by degrees that the owners trees have known, and demanded protection of their rights, which have been enforced more strenuously from year to year, and hence the cause of slack bidding generally in my division

8981. Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

division, and neighbourhood advantage gained in the case of the Meyloor talook by subdivisions of the farm; In three talooks of the subdivision there is considerable increase but to what circumstance attributable the Sub collector did not refer when forwarding the return to me on the 11th Instant; and in the fourth talook of Sherkuppay the decrease is only Rs 206-10-8 below the average of the last 3 years. The Biddings in the whole collectorate are for fusly 1256 Rs 46,245 — Rupees 4841-10-8 above Bidding for fusly 1256 Rs 41403-5-4 the total average of the three years average

Increase 4841-10-8 last three years excluding the farm in Ramnad Zemindary.

Madura ...
Dindigul ... } Signed J. Blackburne
30th June 1846 Principal Collector.

Statement.

| | | |

Resolution thereon (21-27)

The Board of Revenue sanction acceptance of the offers for the 2 Karry Farms of Madura and Dindigul for Fusly 1256 reported in the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 46245, and they authorize the parties being placed in possession of their several Farms on their depositing one Months Kist in ready money; and furnishing good Collateral Security to the extent of three Kists more or on their depositing in Cash two Kists without security. The Board will await further Communication from the Principal Collector respecting the Ramnad Farm.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir.

(28)

From the Asst. Collr. of Tinnevelly

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th Instant and to inform you that the explanation therein called for in regard to certain Charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from the accounts of this District for Fusly 1252 were forwarded to you in my letter of the 27th Ultimo.

Tinnevelly 17th June 1846.

[Signed] R. D. Parker
Asst. Collector

(28-29)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

From the Pnl. Collector of Coimbatore (30)

Read letter submitting an application from his Head Assistant Mr. W. C. Oswell requesting that the leave of absence granted to him may be extended to 1st February 1847 on Medical Certificate under Section V and VI of the Absentee rules.

(30-31)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be Submitted thro the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable Consideration of Government.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(32)

From the Collr. of Canara

Submits the Report respecting the proposed sales of Certain Lands for arrears of Revenue called for in the Proceedings dated the 22nd May 1846.

Sir.

Para 1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board dated the 23rd Ultimo calling for information relative to the proposed sales of Certain lands in satisfaction of arrears of Revenue. I shall now proceed to submit such information with respect to each of the cases noticed by the Board, as I hope will be satisfactory. Previously to doing so however I propose to advert to the questions referring generally to the sale of lands for arrears of revenue which have been noticed by the Board and on which I am desired to report.

In

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

2. In reference to the Board's Circular of the 23d January 1840 and to the observation in its proceedings now under reply that "it should be explained "whether any less extreme measure" "than that of sale can be resorted to" I have the honor to observe that it appears to be the practice in this District to recommend for sale only such lands as are considered Capable of paying the full assessment on them if properly managed. Their failure to do so may be occasioned either by the misconduct or fraud of the proprietor in making away with the produce, or in spending the proceeds of the estate in some other manner such as in the payment of private debts, instead of paying the Government demand, or it may be occasioned by the land holder being unable either from want of the necessary Capital, or from indolence or neglect to do justice to the land, so as to derive from it that amount of return which it may be nevertheless fully capable of yielding, if properly treated. In the former of these cases it is of course necessary to grant any indulgence and

Fort St. George 9th July 1846.

and in the latter it appears to me that the sale of the land is the only safe principle which can be adopted, for it cannot reasonably be expected that the demand of the Government should bear reference not to the Capability of the estate upon which alone it was originally fixed, but to the means or capability of the ryot to make the best use of it. Were it otherwise, the loss occasioned by the improvidence or want of industry in the ryot would not, as it ought to do, fall upon the ryot himself but upon Government. It is a matter of great difficulty however to ascertain with any certainty the balance is to be ascribed to the fault of the ryot or to overassessment and there is perhaps no better test of the real capability of an estate to pay the demand on it than to put it up for sale. If no one is disposed to purchase it, there is nothing to prevent its being left in the hands of the owner with such an abatement of the demand as may appear to be necessary, and with the assurance at the same time that the interests of Government have not been unnecessarily sacrificed.

3. It is also to be observed that advertising the land for sale is in many cases the only means of enforcing the Collection of the Revenue which the owner is well able to pay, and that he is frequently a wealthy person who has

has no intention of allowing it to pass out of his hands. but will discharge the arrear before the land is put up. his only object being to put off the payment untill the last minute by which he may be a positive gainer for the process of recovery by this means is but of slow operation and he can obtain a larger sum as interest for the money in the bazar than he has to pay on his Revenue arrear.-

4. In reference to Nos. 2. 3. 5. & 10 the Board remark that "the amount of the arrear does "not bear a large proportion to the Bariz of the "whole Wurg, and that only parts of these "Wurgs are advertized for sale" It is further observed that "the subdivision of Wurgs should not be allowed when it can be avoided," and that "it appears probable that were the "whole Wurg made liable and advertised "for sale, the arrears would be paid."-

5. The particular Circumstances under which each of these estates has been recommended for sale, is explained in the Statement subjoined to his letter, but it seems important to notice with reference to the above remarks that there are questions involved in them of great importance to the Revenue administration of this District which have formed the subject of suits

and appeals before the Courts, and which have been on more than one occasion discussed at considerable length and duly reported for the consideration of the Board.-

6. Of this nature is the competence of the Collector to sell the whole of a large Estate for a small arrear. In a suit which was brought against the Collector by special appeal before the Provincial Court, it was ruled by that Court that the Collector could not sell more of an Estate than sufficient to cover the arrear of Revenue due and although this decree was not approved on appeal to the Sudder Adawlut on this and other grounds, yet that Court does not appear to have given any very decisive opinion upon the Construction of Sec V Regulation 27 of 1802, but it would seem to have entertained some doubts upon the subject from the fact of its having considered it necessary to admit evidence being given on the part of the Collector that what the Court termed "Excessive Sale" meaning the Sale of land greatly in excess of what was required to cover the arrear, had not been resorted to. The question may be said to be still open to dispute

No. 16 of 1837.

See letter to Board from the Acting Principal Collector of the 9th April 1839.

But although the sale of the whole estate might be effected without opposition in a case where it was in the entire possession of the defaulter in other cases

cases where portions have been alienated permanently by sale or only temporarily by mortgage it could not be effected without considerable difficulty and the danger of involving the Collector in another long and tedious law suit.-

7. The question of the subdivision of Murgs is intimately mixed up with this last-mentioned subject: If the Collector cannot legally sell or even (as appears to be held by the Sudder Adawlut) if he be not justified in selling the whole of an estate to realize a balance which might be liquidated by the sale of a portion only the sole course left, if the sale of land is to be resorted to at all, is to sell that portion, and this in every such case amounts to a subdivision of the Murg. I fully concur in the opinion expressed by the Board that the subdivision of Murgs is to be deprecated but referring to the late decision of the Sudder Adawlut as communicated to the Board By Mr. Parker with his letter of the 6th February last and the Boards reply of the 26th March, there appears to be no means of restraining the practice where resorted to by the Murgdars themselves: and as the subdivisions arising from sales for arrears of

of revenue do not bear a proportion of invasion to the cases of private subdivision it appears to me that there would be but little advantage gained by placing a restriction upon it only when it is for the benefit or convenience of the public Creditor while the practice continued to be freely allowed and resorted to at the suit of any private Creditor or for the convenience of the Murgdar himself and this is entirely independent of the consideration above noticed that if the sale is to be in proportion to the arrear there is absolutely no other course left.-

8. The Board desire that I will "explain when Murgs are subdivided in Canara "on what principle the subdivision is usually "made and the assessment distributed upon "the subdivided portions." The process by which this is effected is after ascertaining the original standard assessment or Ruwaz Bootawally on the whole Estate and the local rates at which the different classes of land are assessed to fix the amount to be put on the portion sold according to the class to which the land may belong. This is probably done with sufficient accuracy and fairness when the sale is for arrear of revenue but it

it is not perhaps too much to say that a great proportion of the sales by decree of Court or by private contract are to the detriment of the public revenue in many they are effected directly with that view, and the tendency of nearly all is certainly rather to subject the Government to a loss either present or prospective, than to be of any benefit to it. In short the effort to get rid of inferior land and retain the better Kind is just as common in this is in the ceded Districts or any other District, and it would be vain to expect the public officers however trustworthy and active could successfully contend in preventing it against the efforts of so numerous a body of land owners even if the same object where it has failed with the revenue Department could not be effected indirectly by the intervention of the Court. A man has nothing to do but to make a fictitious sale of the land he wishes to get rid of, and get a decree passed against him in the Court, and it is at once handed over to another party, who may throw it up the following year.

9. I find that in respect to sales of land belonging to estates in which

which the Jarrow levy is fixed at a lower sum than the Standard assessment or Rivaz Fortunally which it is in a vast number of the Estates, a practice prevails of apportioning the assessment on the divided fields which has a direct tendency to diminish the Revenue and which appears on that account to be certainly defective, and yet it is difficult to say in what manner it can be remedied. I cannot easily explain this without stating a case and I will suppose an Estate the Standard assessment of which was originally fixed at 50 Pagodas. It may consist of Dyle or first class land assessed at 30 Pagodas and Bett land assessed at 20 but this may have been in reality too high, and the Jarrow settlement may have been settled in consequence at 40 Pagodas. Now if as frequently happens, the inferior land has been left waste, because it cannot be profitably cultivated, and the whole or greater part of the assessment paid from the proceeds of the better land, the distribution of the levy in proportion to the Jarrow levy is still made upon the inferior land although it in fact yields no part of it. supposing then that the owner has mortgaged the good land and it is directed by a decree of the Court to be made over to the creditor and the Collector ordered to

Register

register it in his name fixing the proper proportion of the assessment on it, it would be done in this way.

	original Assessment	Jarraw Settlement
On Byle land ----	30	24
Bett land ----	20	16
	50	40

The new owner would thus have only to pay 24 Pagodas to Government, the inferior land which had never been cultivated would be thrown up and the result of the transaction would be that Government would only receive 24 Pagodas on an estate for which it had before received 40 This is an extreme case and is only put by way of illustration, but that the same process is constantly going on in a greater or smaller degree is notorious.

10. In a case so simple as that which I have here supposed it appears and would in fact be easy to adjust the settlement by fixing the whole or the greater proportion of the assessment on the better lands though even then the inferior lands would be left almost unassessed unless the aggregate settlement fixed on the original Wurg were increased, but it may readily be supposed that

that where every part of the estate is under cultivation and yields a share of the revenue though in a different proportion to that fixed by the Standard rates, it would be difficult or impossible to ascertain the true and fair rate which each field could bear. The owner himself could not perhaps tell this with any certainty. Mr. Parker has very truly observed in his letter above quoted that "though valueless when separated, the poorer "lands adjoining or intermingled with the "rich lands can be cultivated with profit "where both belong to the same proprietor, "and aid considerably in the payment of Govt "his Peiz" but they could not be cultivated without loss by themselves and hence the very circumstance of separating them may at once alter the relative value of the different fields. It is indeed manifestly necessary to have some fixed rule or Standard to go by in assessing Sub divided Wurgs without which every case would give rise to dispute as to the fairness of the rates fixed, while at the same time the Standard is so imperfect that it will

generally

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

generally furnish an opportunity by which the ryot may obtain some undue advantage, more or less at the expense of the circar when the Wurgis subdivided.

11. It has been the rule lately in this office in making a return to the requisition of the Court to have the Beriz distributed on divided portions of estates, to state the proportion of original assessment, as well as that of the present Jarrow Beriz to which they are liable. The former however is never imposed, and the measure is only one of precaution which it is supposed may be made available hereafter, but the Board be of opinion that it would operate as some check upon the separation of good and bad lands if the collector were authorized to impose the original assessment at once in cases where the subdivision of the Estate has been unduly favorable to the better lands, and has had the effect of diminishing the public revenue derived from the entire estate.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore 9th June 1846

/Signed/ T. L. Blane
Collector

No. 1

Explanation respecting lands recommended for sale for arrears of Revenue in Canara.

No.		Rs	A	P
1	1253	20	15	—
	1254	16	15	—
		37	14	—

The capabilities of this estate are such

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

as to enable the owner to discharge the settlement regularly but the negligence on the part of the owner has been the cause of a portion of the estate remaining waste, which has occasioned the arrears in question. It is expected that if the estate be put in possession of another more industrious cultivator, the assessment will be realized with the same regularity as has been the case from 1243 to 1252.

No.		Rs	A	P
2	1251	32	—	—
	52	11	4	—
	53	18	8	—
	54	16	4	—
		77	—	—

The estate of which a portion is proposed to be sold is held by a number of different ryots owners. The holder of the portion recommended for sale and unless his right is cancelled by public sale, no other ryot can be induced to cultivate it. In 1251. the arrears accrued in consequence of his mis-management and during the subsequent years the spot was under Circar management, or when the rent realized fell short of the Beriz to the extent of arrears shewn. This is frequently the result of land being only temporarily held by a person who has no permanent interest in improving it and is unwilling therefore to make the necessary outlay for that purpose. Some other individuals offer to pay the assessment and undertake the cultivation, and to secure its future cultivation and the more regular collection

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

Collection of the Revenue it is proposed to sell it.

	Fasl.	A	P
3	1252	4	2
	54	5	12
		9	14

This is only a portion of a large estate held by the defaulter by right of purchase. The cause of this arrear is owing to the neglect of the holder as the rivaty or estimated produce is above the Government demand on it. It is expected however that the arrear will be discharged before the land is actually sold.

	Fasl.	A	P
4	1251	14	1 5
	52	13	14 10
	53	10	2 5
	54	10	4 9
		48	7 5

In this case the Wurgdar has left the Village and proceeded to Malabar. The cultivation is consequently neglected and the produce obtained is not sufficient to discharge the Kist. The object proposed by the sale of this land, is to cancel the proprietory right of the defaulter, without which the regular collection of the Revenue cannot be expected.

	Fasl.	A	P
5	1253	20	4 -
	54	8	14 -
		29	2 -

The portion of land on which the arrears have accrued, has been covered with earth thrown in by freshes of one of the rivers and the owner is unable to clear it even by the aide of Tuccavi. It was recommended to be sold under the idea that, if purchased by a person of greater means who would lay out some money in restoring it to its former state

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

state, it would then bear the full Settlement. There appears to be some hardship in this case, and I now propose to suspend the sale until next year when I shall be able to ascertain at the Summabundy whether any other course can be adopted.

	Fasl.	A	P
6	1252	57	6 5

The full Beriz of this estate is Rupees 78 6 5 of which Rupees 3 - 11 - 7 is held by a purchaser who has discharged his share of the Kist, the remaining Beriz is in the possession of the Proprietor, the defaulter. The assessment due by the Proprietor was regularly paid by him up to 1251 but in consequence of his falling into arrears for 1252 a restriction was placed upon his disposal of the produce of the following years by which means the demands on it for 1253 and 1254 has been fully collected. The defaulter has no personal property to satisfy the arrear, and as it has been occasioned by his misapplying the produce in 1252 it was recommended that the arrear should be realized by the sale.

	Fasl.	A	P
7	1252	15	5 8
	53	2	8 5
	54	57	4 5
		75	2 1

The owner paid the Kists regularly up to 1251, and in 1252 fell into arrears. Upon an inquiry he appeared to have proceeded into another Taluk almost abandoning this estate. It also appeared that the Wurg was sold to another man. Both the Proprietor and purchaser were

8998

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

collection of the revenue it is proposed to sell it.

	Rs	A	P	
3	125	2	4	2
5-4	5	12		
	9	14	0	

This is only a portion of a large estate held by the defaulter by right of purchase The cause of this arrear is owing to the neglect of the holder as the rivalry or estimated produce is above the Government demand on it It is expected however that the arrear will be discharged before the lands is actually sold

	Rs	A	P	
4	125	1	14	1
5-2		13	14	10
53		10	2	5
54		10	4	9
	48	7	5	

In this case the Wungdar has left the Village and proceeded to Malabar: The cultivation is consequently neglected and the produce obtained is not sufficient to discharge the Rent. The object proposed by the sale of this land, is to cancel the proprietory right of the defaulter, without which the regular collection of the Revenue cannot be expected.

	Rs	A	P	
5	125	3	20	4
5-4		8	14	
	29	2		

The portion of land on which the arrears have accrued, has been covered with earth thrown in by freshes of one of the rivers and the owner is unable to clear it even by the aide of Taccavi It was recommended to be sold under the idea that, if purchased by a person of greater means who would lay out some money in restoring it to its former

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

9003

37 From the Collector of Bellary.— Statement shewing the rates of prices of grain up to 20th June 1846

38 From the Collector of Salem.— Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of grain up to 20th June 1846

39 From the Collector of Trichinopoly.— Forwarding a list of the prices of grain up to 30th June 1846

40 From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of the prices of the principal kinds of grain up to 20th June 1846

34-41 Order on the 5 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to be recorded

Read the undermentioned Lists.

42 From the Collector of Guntoor. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st May last.

43 From the Collector of Guntoor. List of letters received & from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st May last.

44 From the Collector of Madras List of letters addressed to and received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last

42-45 Order on the 3 abovementioned Lists Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

46 From the Collector of Rajahmundry Register of endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by the Board during the Month of May last.

47 From the Collector of Tinnevelly Register of endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

were cautioned to pay more attention to its cultivation, but none coming forward for the purpose it was kept under amani, and the amount due for 1253 is what remained of uncollected, after the disposal of the produce of that and following year. the full demand of 1254 remaining as an arrear against the estate. It being a Mooly Warg it cannot be transferred otherwise than by legal process without which the possession is insecure and consequently no one will offer to hold it or pay the assessment regularly. It was therefore proposed to sell the estate.

8	1252	16	8	10
	53	9	2	5
		19	11	3

The cause of the arrear for 1252 was the misappropriation of the Produce by the owner It was retained under Sircar management for 1253 in which the produce was sold and the proceeds credited leaving a balance against the estate to the extent exhibited. The result of 1254 was a full collection of the demand on the same The object in the proposed sale is to relieve the Sircar from its Management by transferring the right to a purchaser by public sale without which process no one is willing to cultivate the Warg and pay the Sircar assessment because after the property may have been improved, he is liable to be ousted

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

ousted by the original Proprietor

		Rs.	A.	P.
9	1252	36	14	11
53 / 54		29	4	5
		66	3	4

The estate if properly cultivated is capable of paying the Sircar assessment, but as the Proprietor possesses no sufficient means to do so, the produce has not been equal to the Government demand. If the land be purchased by a more wealthy man at the public sale, it is expected that the future collection of its Kists will be more regular.

		Rs.	A.	P.
10	1251	11	10	0
	52	9	3	2
	53	4	0	0
		24	13	2

The Proprietor died and there are no successors in consequence of which his share of the entire estate has fallen under Sircar management. The arrears for the several years specified, is the amount that could not be made up after the sale of the produce. The property is recommended to be sold in order to relieve the Sircar from its management and thereby confer the Mooly right on the purchaser in order to render the collection of the Kists more regular and steady for the future.

		Rs.	A.	P.
11	1252	36	8	9
	53	33	13	7
	54	5	12	0
		76	2	4

The Kists due on this Warg were regularly collected and the Warg has not having fallen into arrears in 1252 in consequence

48

From the Collector of Canara. Register of Endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by the Board from the Month of January to May last.

46-49

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To The Acting Collector in the Nth Dn. of Arcot.

50

To the Actg Collr in the Nth Dn. of Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will explain in what Villages and under what circumstances it was found necessary to grant remission on account of Poonjah Shavy in Fusly 1255-1-0 / 1844/45 as Rs. 275-4-3 this indulgence is not usual you will be pleased to explain why a departure from the ordinary practice of the District was permitted on the present occasion.

It is requested that the information now called for may be submitted without delay as the Settlement of Fusly 1255-1-0 / 1844/45 is at present under the consideration of the Board.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
9th July 1846

I have &c.
Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

51

From the Actg Collr of the Nth Dn. of Arcot. Return of persons suspended and dismissed from Office under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of May last.

52

From the Actg Collr of the Nth Dn. of Arcot Stating that no Revenue defaulters were confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 30th Inst. last.

53

From the 2d Collr of Coimbatore Abstract of Demands Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

54

From the Collr of Guntoor Abstract of Demands Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

55

From the Collr of Masulipatam Abstract of Demands Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

56

From the Collr of Masulipatam Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

57

From the 2d Collr of Coimbatore Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

58

From the Collr of Guntoor Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

59

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Return of persons suspended and dismissed from Offices under

Fort St. George 9th July 1846

60 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam under Regulation VI of 1831 from the Month of January to May last. Reporting that no

61 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam persons were either tried or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 from the Month of January to May last.

62 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam Reporting that no public servants were suspended or dismissed during the Quarter ending 31st March last.

63 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam Reporting that no public servants were tried during the Quarter ending 31st March last.

64 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of June last.

65 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam Stating that no Revenue defaulters were confined in Jail during the Quarter ending 31st March last.

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam Stating that no petitions were referred to him for disposal during the Month of June last.

" G. D. Drury

" A. Maclean

"

" Goldingham

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

A. Maclean Esqre acting Second Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre officiating Member

Monday 13th July 1846

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract

1 From the Chief Secry to Govt forwarding a letter from the Accountant General & Vice keeping the necessity of great vigilance in watching over the various disbursements charged under the different heads of "advances" & in looking to their early adjustment in the accounts as well as to the due recovery of all advances repayable.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2d April 1846.

Read the following letter from the Accountant General.

To. J. F. Thomas Esqre

Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st May last, observing that the Most Noble the Governor in Council is led to conclude that the present system of accounts, as regards disbursements made under the head of "advances of allowances" must be defective, as under it the Audit office is precluded from exercising immediate check over them, already sums paid out of the Public Treasury as advances for public purposes, may remain unadjusted in the accounts for years without

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

without the possibility of fixing the responsibility of any unauthorized charges on the officer who incurred them, and who may leave the District before they are finally adjusted, and directing me, in Communication with the Civil Auditor to Consider and report on the subject with a view of remedying the evil.

2 Prior to 1843 all allowances on account of the Public Service, whether repayable or not were entered under the head of "Advances of allowances" with the exception of those on account of Cultivation, Manufacture of Salt &c. since 1843, with the same exception, all advances of the nature referred to have been entered under one, or the other head of "Advances on account of the Services of Government" and advances on loan, or in other words "Advances repayable," and the head of "Advances of allowances" has been struck out of the Books. There is another head on the Books, "Account advances of the Revenue Department" under which, also, Advances which are Recoverable are exhibited, such as advances for Cultivation Manufacture of Salt, Tobacco Monopoly &c.

3 It does not appear to me that taking into Consideration the Character of these advances, and the financial

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

financial operations of the Government, that the present system admits of any modification. It is the duty of the Audit Office to pass as charges such actual disbursements only, for work or service, performed, as have received the sanction of Government. The Civil Auditor cannot pass as charge any disbursements, made as an advance for work or service to be done; and it would be inexpedient that he should do so, for if the advance had once audited and passed the same as a sanctioned charge, it would probably be lost sight of, and greater evil ensue than is now felt, for in the case of an advance on account of the service of Government not repayable it would be matter of no concern to the executive Officer whether his Bill for the actual work were ever submitted, or sanctioned, and in the Case of its being an advance repayable, standing under the head "Advances Repayable" or "Account Advances of the Revenue Department" it would be equally a matter of indifference to

to him, whether it were repaid or not. The Civil Auditor having passed the advance as a charge to the Officer who made it would be so far as the Civil Auditor's Books went, relieved of all responsibility in respect to such advance or the portion of it remaining unadjusted or unrecovered, and his being thus absolved would in the event of his intending to leave the Country, entitle him to the usual Certificate of "No demands" from the Civil Auditor, without his furnishing the usual security, which the Civil Auditor cannot demand consistently with his own Books which exhibit no demands against the party. Under the present system the executive Officer continues responsible till the advance has been finally adjusted. His personal interest in the matter, therefore leads him to have all such advances adjusted as early as practicable, but to check this is not the duty of the Audit office but of the several Boards or other authorities under whom the executive officer is placed

placed, and through whom the advances in the first instance are submitted for the sanction of Government, and whose duty it is to examine and submit also for sanction the Bills for works actually performed on account of such advances. They are the authorities likewise who should look to the recovery of such advances as are to be recovered. Of the former description, or advances on account of the service of Government, are advances for the construction and repair of roads, buildings, &c. of Tanks and water courses, also for a vast number of sundry charges for remitting Treasure, Batta to Prisoners &c. In the latter under the head "Advances Repayable" among various others, may be comprised advances for the purchase of grain for Prisoners in the Jails, and for the charges of Zemendaries under management of the Collector and under the head "Account Advances of the Revenue Department" the advances for Cultivation for the Manufacture of Salt &c.

H.S

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

The Civil Auditor has no power, nor is it
I conceive his duty to check all such
advances or to look to their adjustment.
He can only deal with the actual charges
and which only become such after
the final sanction of Government
for the cost of work done on account
of such advance has been received.

4. In the Annual State-
=ment of Receipts and Disbursements pre-
=pared for the Honorable Court of Directors it
is the practice under their instructions to
exhibit all advances within the year as
actual charges but the object of this was
simply to exhibit the actual cash receipts
and payments of the year, to enable them to
frame the accounts required to be laid
before Parliament. In the Territorial
Books none but audited charges can
be exhibited as charge.

5. It appears to me, therefore
that if the items in the public accounts
under the heads "Advances on account of
the Service of Government" "Advances re-
=payable" and "Account Advances of the
Revenue Department" be vigilantly watched

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

by the controlling authorities, much of the
delay complained of by Government, in the
adjustment of such advances would
be avoided, and it is always the interest
of the officer making the advance to
effect as early an adjustment as pos-
=sible, as till the Bills for which the
advance has been made, have been
sanctioned &, if it be an advance re-
=payable, has been recovered, he is personally
responsible for the amount. The present
system, as regards the advances debited
under the two heads of "Advances on
account of the Service of Government" and
"Advances repayable" seems in fact to be
grounded in a great measure on the in-
=structions of the Honorable Court of Direc-
=tors who in their Financial Despatch
dated 17th April 1833 direct two heads to
be opened in the General Books, one of
"Advances on account of the Service of Govern-
=ment" to the debit of which they observe
should be carried all advances made
to parties for the purpose of enabling
them to perform any service for the state,
and when the service has been actually

performed

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

performed the head should receive credit by writing off the sums expended to charges of the proper Department and should, after the lapse of much time, a balance remain due by any party in consequence of the advances made to him, having more than sufficed to cover the charge incurred in performing such service, the head of "Advances on account of the Service of Government" should receive credit by transferring the balance so due to the head of "Advances Repayable" the other head alluded to by the Honorable Court. It would appear, therefore the Honorable Court were sensible that more or less time must necessarily elapse before disbursements under the head of Advances could be finally adjusted. I think unnecessary delay has taken place in their adjustment, in great part owing to the delay in examining and submitting for the sanction of Government by the Controlling Board, or authority, the Bills for the work performed for which the advances was made. There may be some delay also on the part of

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

the executive officer in submitting these Bills but I believe the chief cause of the tardy adjustment of these advances is Principally to be found in the office of those authorities whose duty it is to see to the timely transmission of the Bills and to check and submit them for sanction. As regards advances repayable exhibited under the two heads of "Advances repayable" and "Account Advances of the Revenue Departments" I conceive that it is the duty of the Controlling Board, or authority to look to their recovery. The Revenue Board is, doubtless, the proper authority to see that advances for the charges of Zemindaries under the management of the Collector; advances for Cultivation for the manufacture of Salt, and all other advances recoverable are recovered. Since I assumed charge of this office, I have exercised a general check over all advances of whatever description out standing in the Collector's Books, and a Statement of all unadjusted balances standing under the different

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

different heads of advances up to 30th April last, has under my requisition been furnished to it with one containing the same information in regard to sums exhibited under the head of "Advances on account of the service of Government" closed up to the same Period. Collectors have already been called upon to furnish statements in continuation of that last mentioned with similar statements on account of advances under the head of "Advances Repayable" closed up to 30th April next, after the termination of the present official year. My attention has been and will continue to be given to the Adjustment of advances of every description whatever as early as practicable, but this check is additional, and does not in any opinion absolve the Controlling Boards and authorities in the several Departments from the duty of watching these items of the public expenditure

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

adopting such measures as they may deem best calculated to ensure the early adjustment of such advances.

6 Beg to state

That the Civil Auditor, with whom I have been in communication fully concurs in the three remarks.

Fort St. George - - - - - Signed J. H. Stonhouse
Accountant General's Office
21st March 1846 - - Accountant General

A true Copy
Signed J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

The most Noble the
Governor in Council resolves
to communicate the foregoing
letter to the Board of Revenue and
Department of Public Works and also
to the Court of Sudder and Foujdaree
Adalut, and in drawing their particular
attention to para 5 to impress upon them
the necessity of great vigilance in watching
over the various disbursements charged
under the different heads of "Advances"
three referred to and in looking to their
early

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

early adjustment in the Accounts as well as to the due recovery of all advances repayable. The Controlling authorities will accordingly issue the necessary instructions to the local officers to ensure the timely transmission of Bills, and will themselves avoid all unnecessary delay in examining and submitting them for the sanction of Government.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) L. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

(1-2)

Proceedings thereon.

The Board of Revenue observe that Statements shewing the advances made in the past years on the Own account of Estates under General accounts Management noted in the Margin for Cultivation (which comprise all Salt Manufacture the advances referred Tobacco Monopoly to by the Accountants General and falling under the Revenue Department of that Office) are annually submitted from each District at the close of the Fasly. Those Statements exhibit the amount of advances sanctioned, that actually disbursed,

Stating that it seems necessary to call upon the Coll.rs in sending up the annual Statements of advances made on account of Estates under management for cultivation Manufacture of Salt and Tobacco Monopoly to append to them a Memo: shewing Firstly what the balance of these advances outstanding on account of previous years, and the period within which it will be realized or adjusted.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

the amount recovered, and the balance still outstanding. The period within which this balance is expected to be realized or adjusted, and the causes which have prevented its recovery or adjustment are usually exhibited in the Column of remarks. In order fully to carry out the check alluded to by the Accountant General in Para 5 of his letter it therefore seems only necessary to call upon the Collectors in sending up these annual Statements to append to them a Memorandum shewing Firstly what the balance of these advances outstanding on account of previous years and the period within which this balance will be realized or adjusted. The Board will then have before them every year a Statement of all unadjusted balances, and may take such measures as may be necessary regarding them.

Ordered therefore that the following Circular be addressed to all Collectors.

(1-3)

Circular to all Coll.rs except Sea Customs.

Circular.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that in sending up for the future for each year your Statements

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

Statements exhibiting the Amount of advances made on the accounts noted in the Margin, you will spend to it a Memo shewing for Guidance the balances of similar advances made in previous years which still remain unrecovered or unadjusted in your accounts to the period within which these balances may be expected to be settled, and the causes which have hitherto prevented their adjustment or recovery—

On account of Estates under Management.
for Collection.
Manufacture of Salt.
Tobacco Monopoly.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th July 1846

(Signed) T. Byrne
Secretary

4

From the Secry to Government

Communicating orders on a Statement of arrears of Peshcush due in the District of Trichinopoly—

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st June 1846.

Ordered that the Statement of arrears of Peshcush in the Trichinopoly District submitted with the Remarks of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th Ultimo be returned to that Office with the following orders of Government inserted in the proper Columns—

Syed Assud Ullah Rupees 206-6-3 arrears due. 1 Sanction deferred until the information

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

required in para 14 of the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th January last is furnished by the Collector.—

No. 95. is furnished by the Collector.—

Syed Ghouse— arrears due Rs. 99-12-2— The Board will be pleased to state whether Syed Ghouse No. 30 entered in the marginal Statement of Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th January last—No. 95 and Syed Ghouse referred to in their letter dated the 1st December 1845. No. 728 and in the subsequent correspondence, are one and the same individual—for if so, it will be necessary to cancel the authority granted on the former date, in which the Yeomiah was regarded as a charitable grant—It is observed that in the case considered in the above correspondence, the Yeomiah was first entered in Mr. Wallaces' and Mr. Travers' Registers as granted in charity in the Board's letter of the 1st December 1845, it was stated to have been originally granted for the expenses of an Ausaree Mosbareck, a place in which the

Extract from Pro. of B. of Rev.	dd 27th Feby. 1845 No. 54	
Resolution thereon—	d/13th	201
Extt. Pro of B. of Revr.	d/12th March ..... No. 139	
Resolution thereon —	d/19th	324

the relics of Mahomedan Saints are deposited and subsequently in the Collector's letter of the 15th January 1846 it is shewn to be a grant for the performance of service in a †Mosque in the Fort of Trichinopoly — These contradictory State=ments require that the original Purwanahs stated to be in the Board's Office should be laid before Government, with a translation of the document, which the Board will accordingly furnish with the information now called for respecting the identity of the parties above mentioned —

† Submitted with Board's Proceedings dated 2d February 1846 No. 54
† Note- From the Tahsildar's arzee forwarded with the Collectors' letter of the 17th April 1846 Service appears to be performed in a Mosque situated at Volicondapooram —

	arrears due
Fakeer Mahomed	Rs. 4-8-
Chand Beebee	4-8-

3. These parties are not mentioned in the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th January 1846 quoted by the Collector in support of the grant nor in the revised List submitted by that Officer, which accompanied the Board's letter of the 1st December 1845 — No. 733 — It is not stated

whether the yeomiahs were granted in charity or for service, and in the absence of this information and of any authority for the grant — the Government cannot authorize the payment of the arrears —

	arrears due
Subsistence yeomiah	
Cawder ally	Rupees 16-3-5

4 The payment of the arrears in this case is sanctioned —

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy. to Govt —

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue —

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence —

(4-5)

To The Collector of Trichinopoly —

To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly — 1 Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return your Statement of arrears of yeomiah dated 2nd March last, with the remarks of the Board, and the orders of Govern=ment thereon —

In Cons.s 16th March 1846 —
From Govt. 1st June in Cons.s 15th July 1846

2. The information called for in para 1 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation now transmitted was laid before Government on the 18th Ultimo with your letter of 7th May last —

In Cons.s 18th June 1846 —

With

3. With respect to the observations in Para 2 the Board are led to believe, from a reperusal of the correspondence which has taken place, that the name "Syed Gouse" belongs to two distinct individuals, one being the Grandson of Shaw Mahomed Gouse Cawdry yeomiah No. 34 of Trichinopoly and the other the successor of Syed Hoosain yeomiah No. 6 of Volcondaporam —

From Govt. 23d Octr in Cons 4th Novr 1819

From Govt. 13th in Cons 19th Feby 1846

To Coll. 18th Octr 1824 +

4. In regard to the discrepancy noticed by Government in the above yeomiah 34, the Board observe that the grant was made for the purpose of performing the duties of Aushur Shereef in an Ansaree Mosbareek situated within a certain Mosque in the Fort of Trichinopoly. —

From Coll. 22d Sept. in Cons 7th Octr 1819 Para 2 —

Copy Purwanah From Coll. 13th Octr in Cons 16th Octr 1845 —

From Coll. 15th Jany. in Cons 2d Feby 1846 Para 4. —

5 Yeomiah No. 6 appears to have been granted for service performed in a Mosque of Volcondahporam, the remark therefore in the revised list No. 3 of yeomiahs retrenched by the Civil Auditor, received with your letter of 26th September 1845, that it was a charitable yeomiah, would appear to be a clerical error — the original list No. 3 in your letter of 18th November

To Coll. 18th Octr 1824

In Cons 23d Octr 1845

In Cons 20th Jany. 1845.

November 1844 seems correctly to specify the nature of this grant. —

6. You will be pleased to state whether the Board are correct in these conclusions, and at the same time furnish the further information called for in para 3. of the Government Minutes Consultation now forwarded to you. —

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 13th July 1846 —

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

6

From the Secy. to Government

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 13th June 1846.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 18th May 1846 No. 252)

Para 1. Adverting to the opinion expressed in Para 5 of the orders passed under date the 20th January last on the yeomahs of the Trichinopoly District, the Most Noble the Governor in Council would not be justified in acceding to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue in the case now submitted for [illegible] especially as the surviving incumbent Hyaut Bee receives her share of the yeomah. The portion received by the late Hawah Bee will accordingly lapse to Government from the 10th of April 1846 the date of her death.—

vide also Extract Minutes Consultation 8th October 1842 No. 1198

2. The Government is of opinion that there is nothing in the circumstance of the grant for the care of the tomb in question to warrant its renewal in perpetuity, and as before remarked, it can be regarded only as a charitable grant. Under this view the remaining share of the yeomah will also lapse to Government on the death of the present incumbent Hyaut Bee.—

3. The Government observe that the information furnished in the first instance in respect of this yeomah did not afford a

correct view of the nature of the obligation imposed by the terms of the grant, which was represented at first to provide for "service in the Mosque," but now "to take care of, and light lamps at, a tomb." This renders it necessary that particular care should be taken in procuring the information required in the other cases noticed in the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th January 1846. No. 95.—

No. 1. 8. 14

To

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secy. to Govt.

6-7

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly, with reference to his letter dated 29th April last No. 62.

The attention of the Collector is called to Para 2.—

8

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 16th June 1846.—

The following List of Statements of Ordinary Charges submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue on the 1st Instant is ordered to be recorded.—

(Here enter List No. 614)

1 The Government grants sanction for the several charges incurred in Fasly 1254 in the Provinces, and also for the Contingent

Contingent charges of the General Treasury for March 1846, amounting to Rupees 83,932-7-9 Eighty three thousand nine hundred and thirty two, annas seven, and Pice nine.—

2. The Board will be pleased to instruct the Collector of South Arcot to exclude from the annual Statements the charges on account of Batta paid to the native Drefsers attached to the Establishment of that District.—

Statement A No. 835 Item 9 — Rs. 39-15-1 paid to Native Drefsers.

The Batta to these servants being authorized by the Military Pay and Audit Regulations, will be admitted by the Civil Auditor under those Rules and on the production of the requisite Certificate in support of the Charge. The same course should be observed in respect of similar charges incurred in other Districts, and the Collectors should be instructed by the Audit Office to enter such disbursements uniformly under the proper head in the accounts furnished by them.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

A true Extract
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to
Government

Resolved—

Resolution thereon— Resolved that a Copy of Para 2 of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Collector of South Arcot, for his information and guidance.—

(9)

Ordered also that the following Circular be sent in consequence—

(8-10)

Circular
To all Collectors except South Arcot and Madras.—

From Govt. 15th June Inclosure 13th July 1846 Para 2

Circular

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue under instructions from Government to request that you will exclude from the annual Statement of charges transmitted to this office the disbursements on account of Batta paid to native Drefsers attached to your Establishments, The Batta to these servants being authorized by the Military Pay and Audit Regulations, will be admitted by the Civil Auditor under those Rules and on the production of the requisite certificate in support of the Charge.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George — 13th July 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following Extract—

(11)

From the Secy. to Government—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 20th June 1846.—

Read the following papers.—

(Here enter 18th May 1846 No. 251)

Para 1. The reasoning and the views of

Fort St. George 13th July 1818.

of the Board of Revenue recorded in their letter of the 18th May, appear to the Most Noble the Governor in Council to be at variance with the provisions of Regulation IV of 1818, that Regulation is express, that the Veesabuddy shall be founded upon, and its amount regulated by certain data, and this Lordship in Council does not consider the past neglect of the Collector or of the Board in carrying out the Regulation, a ground for the continuance of the same neglect, and he is of opinion that if the principle of the Regulation is sound and correct, it should be upheld.—

2. In regard to the alleged difficulty arising out of the recent abolition of the Transit and Frontier duties, the accounts for the last five years in which those duties were in force, will afford some help and could be taken in part, as a guide—and the tax, if it is to continue, should be assessed on the equitable principles declared by the Law—His Lordship in Council therefore directs the Board of Revenue with in the present case, observe the Regulation, and lay a summary of the data by which the assessment is to be regulated before the Government with the least possible delay—If the Board are of opinion that the tax should be modified or abolished in Bellary, as appears to have been the case with a similar impost in the neighbouring provinces of the Bombay Presidency they will report upon this point specifically.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Govt

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue—

Read

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Read also the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(12)

From the Collr of Bellary—
Submitting the further information on Veesabady taxes called for by the Board on the 5th May 1846.

Sir,

Para 1— In reply to your letter of the 4th Ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that in order to put an end to the various irregular modes which obtained under former Government levying Taxes on Trade and Professions (owing to change of systems between Villages and extreme partiality which was going on, assessing the poor highly while the rich were subjected to a slight tax only) and to equalize and establish one uniform mode throughout the Province, Colonel Munro framed an Estimate in the year 1806, as I before reported. Summoned the Merchants of all the principal towns (rich and poor) to his Woozoor Cutcherry and there assessed them with Veesabady with reference to the extent of the Trade of each Person. This Estimate embraced the whole of the Ceded Districts. I have (since the receipt of your letter under reply) extracted therefrom, the Talooks comprising the Bellary Division and now beg to submit a copy of the same. It shews the cost per Annum for Food and raiment of the People residing in the Talooks on which and on the speculations in Coins or Currency, the Double Estimate was framed. The following is an abstract of this Double—

1 P—

× Enclosure No 1

Fort St. George 13th July 1816.

Population	Average Charge for each Year.	Total Charge	Deduct Amount for Articles not exported into the Interior	Net Amount
As ascertained in July 1816				
1st Class Persons	2	2,39,568	1/3	1,11,664
2d Class or Boys	3	3,42,887	1/2	2,83,072
3d Class or Hired Labourers	2	2,18,654	1/2	1,09,327
		8,51,139		5,03,603

Add Duty as the amount of Traffic is Cent. 4,32,497

Total 9,35,100

Estimated Amount of Trade at 1½ Per Cent on the above Tax Collected in July 1816 — 14,026

Add 1½ Per Cent on the Amount of Trade — 14,026

Total — 28,052

Deduct 1½ Per Cent on the Amount of Trade — 14,026

Remains

Fort St.e George 13th July 1846.

3. In the 17th Para of his letter to the Board dated 16th August 1807 Colonel Munro says that "It would be vexatious to attempt to "discover the income of individuals, but a tolerable "Estimate of the aggregate income of the Merchants "of a District may be made from Accounts of "the Exports and Imports the Population, the "produce and consumption and the usual profits of Trade and 15 Per Cent upon the Sum "resulting from these calculations might be imposed "upon the Merchants leaving them to distribute it in de- "tail according to the income of the several Individuals" and this was referred to by Mr. Chaplin in the 2d Para of his report to the Board dated 18th April 1812. I think it was upon this that the Provisions contained in Sec.n III Regulation IV of 1818 were laid down. It does not however appear that Colonel Munros' Estimate bore reference to Imports and exports and Produce. The Board will clearly perceive this and that the document is formed merely upon the Trade of each place. Neither does it appear that any of his successors in Office ever framed a similar Estimate nor is any such Account forthcoming in the records. I have already explained in the 6th Para of my letter to you under date the 5th March last the difficulties &c.a which present themselves to the preparation of such a document.

4. In the first place the want of

Fort St.e George 13th July 1846.

the necessary information and accounts interferes with framing any such Estimate as Colonel Munros 2ndly &c. Grain Cloths and other articles have become so exceedingly Cheap that the Profits of the Merchants have considerably diminished. The various Gold and Silver Coins have been gradually melted down and converted into Bullion, the Profit which they (the Merchants) used to gain in their speculations and transactions in those Coins has ceased entirely. These contingencies if they are to form items for the preliminary data required by the regulation will undoubtedly lead to considerable fluctuations in the Baruj therefore the present mode of framing the Estimate with reference to past collections is not in my opinion open to any sufficient objection, as the Settlement is made by the Merchants themselves, who assemble at the Talook Cutcherry and divide amongst themselves the aggregate amount of Peccabady of the Talook according to each man his share with reference to his trade.

5. Under these circumstances and for the reasons already given in my letter of the 5th March last Para 7. I have the honor to request that the Arrangement which I have proposed may be approved and sanctioned, and the sanction of Government be obtained for the Baruj of Rupees 1,12,000 as submitted in my letter of the 6th September 1845.

I have &c.a

(Signed) A. D. Keller
Collector

Statement.

Bellary Coll.rs Office 18th June 1846

9036

No. 1 - Statement shewing the Estimate of Traffic upon which the Moturpha Tax is levied as framed by the Principal

Fort St. George

No. Division	Talooks	1st Class Peasantry or People — Total Number of Persons	1st Class — Amount of Grain & other Articles consumed by each Person at Rupees 17-3-10 each Per Annum	2d Class being Ryots — Total number of Persons	Consumed per Annum — In Grain at Rupees 6-1-2 by each Person	Other Articles at Rs. 3-1-2 by each Person	Total at Rs. 9-2-4 each	3d Class or Hired Labourers — Total number of Persons	Amount of Grain & other Articles consumed by each Person at Rs. 7-7-10 each per Annum	Total — Number of Persons	Amount of consumption	On the Consumption of the Class Persons
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Bellary	20246	348400	19606	119104	60133	179237	14104	104898	53956	632535	87100
2	Rumplee	13130	225945	7512	45634	23040	68674	6974	51869	27616	346488	56486
3	Hurryhully	15093	259725	25623	155656	78587	234243	13997	104103	54713	598071	64932
4	Hoodlegkee	13087	225206	21247	129073	65166	194239	16272	121023	50606	540468	56301
5	Hoovinhadagully	13756	236717	22650	137596	69469	207065	12936	96212	49342	539994	59179
6	Adonie	22733	391197	15660	96347	48644	144991	17260	128371	55853	664589	97799
7	Goollem	9106	156699	9731	59114	29846	88960	7968	59262	26805	304921	39175
8	Punchapollem	20913	359878	25444	154569	78038	232607	19754	146920	66111	739405	89970
9	Gooty	27146	467137	18473	112221	56659	168880	12327	91682	57946	727699	116754
10	Yadkee	8748	130539	20814	126442	63839	190281	6728	50039	36290	390859	27635
11	Raidroog	20808	358071	23574	143209	72302	215511	20118	149628	64500	723210	89818
12	Tudputry	12008	206638	23583	143264	72331	215595	6929	51534	42520	473767	57660
	Total	196774	3386152	234117	1422229	718054	2140283	155367	1155841	586258	6681976	846639
1	Anuntapoor	23246	400025	43810	266140	134365	400505	15970	118777	83026	919307	100006
2	Pengondah	9973	171619	25708	156174	78848	235022	11580	86126	47261	492767	42905
3	Coodeecundah	8743	150452	21893	132997	67147	200144	10965	81552	41601	432148	37613
4	Muddugeerah	4750	81739	14532	88280	44570	132850	7779	57857	27061	272446	20435
5	Dhurmaveram	16082	276745	32827	199420	100682	300102	17023	126609	65932	703456	69186
	Total	62794	1080580	138770	843011	425612	1268623	63317	470921	264881	2820124	270145
17	Grand Total	259568	4466732	373887	2265240	1143666	3408906	218684	1626462	851139	9502100	1116684

13th July 1846-

Collector Colonel Munro in Fiscly 1216-

Deduct Amount of Articles not coming into Traffic							
On the Consumption of the 2d Class Persons			On the consumption of the 3d Class Persons	Total Deductions	Net Amount being Home Traffic	Add moiety of the Amount in Column 18 being the Amount of the dealings in Money	Aggregate Amount of Trade
Grain in full	Other Articles (a moiety only)	Total					
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
119104	30066	149170	78674	314944	317591	158795	476386
45634	11521	57155	38902	152543	193945	96973	290918
185656	39292	194948	78079	337959	260112	130056	390168
129073	32583	161656	90767	308724	231744	115872	347616
137596	34734	172330	72159	303668	236826	118163	354489
96347	24322	120669	96278	314746	349813	174906	524719
59114	14923	74037	44446	157656	147263	73632	220895
154569	39019	193588	110190	393748	345687	172825	518485
112221	28329	140550	68762	326096	401603	200802	602405
126442	31920	158362	37530	233527	157332	78666	235998
143209	36151	179360	112221	381099	342111	171055	513166
143264	36165	179429	38650	260739	204028	102014	306042
142229	35925	178154	86658	849461	318525	159362	478287
266140	67184	333324	89082	522412	396895	198447	595342
156174	39423	195597	64595	303097	189670	94835	284505
132997	33573	166570	61164	265347	166801	83401	250202
88280	22285	110565	43392	174392	98054	49027	147081
199420	50342	249762	94956	413904	289562	144776	434338
843011	212807	1055818	353159	1679152	1140972	570486	1711458
2265240	571832	2837072	1219847	5773603	4328497	2164248	6492745

Estimated Peeshcabady Tax			Comparison between Columns 22 & 23	
Rate of per Centage	Amount as Estimated in fusly 1216	Peeshcabady Tax levied in Fasly 1215	Increase	Decrease
21	22	23	24	25
1 8 "	7,146	6616		530
1 8 "	4,363	6757	2394	"
1 8 "	5853	2507	"	3346
1 8 "	5214	2974	"	2240
1 8 "	3,318	5528	210	"
1 8 "	7,871	10031	2160	"
1 8 "	3313	3106	"	207
1 8 "	7,778	7313	"	465
1 8 "	9036	8438	"	598
1 8 "	3540	1630	"	1910
1 8 "	7,698	8699	901	"
1 8 "	4590	3103	"	1487
1 8 "	71,720	66602	"	5118
1 8 "	8929	5719	"	3210
1 8 "	4268	1015	"	3253
1 8 "	3753	1809	"	1944
1 8 "	2206	1126	"	1080
1 8 "	6515	5006	"	1509
1 8 "	25,671	14675	"	10996
1 8 "	97,391	81277	"	16114

Estimate shewing the Name and Quantity of Articles consumed Ceded Districts by the former Principal Collector Colonel Munro

Consumption to a family of the 1st Class consisting of 6 Persons in Number

	Articles	Quantity	Va
Grains	Grain of Sorts	90 Seers per Month at 3 Seers per day	4 6 " 52
	Pulse	15 Do. per D. at ½ D. per Do.	1 1 6 13
	Fire Firewood & Vegetables		7
		Total	72
Other Sorts of Provisions	Betel Nuts	199 half Seers per Annum	2
	Jaggary	1 Maund & 36 Seers per Do.	1
	Ghee	½ Do. @ 10½ Seers per Do.	2
	Tobacco	¾ Maund & 6 Seers per Do.	"
	Turmeric	¼ Maund per Do.	"
	Betel leaves	900 in Number per Do.	"
	Oils of Sorts	¾ Maund per Do.	1
	Chillies	¼ Maund per Do.	"
	Salt	½ Maund & 6 Seers per Do.	"
	Tamarind	1¼ Maund per Do.	"
	Garlic	6 Seers per Do.	"
	Onions	½ Maund & 6 Seers per Do.	"
	Gingely Oil Seeds		"
	Cumin Seeds	6 Seers per Do.	"
	Cocoanuts	6 in Number per Do.	"
	Dry Cocoanuts	¼ Maund per Do.	"
	Curry Stuff		1
	Drugs & Medicines		"
			14
Cloths	Piece Goods		10
	Woollens Cumblies		5
			15
		Total	30
	Grand Total for each Family		103
	Average per each Individual		※ 17

Bellary Collr. Office
18th June 1846

tax had been originally fixed on a low scale in consequence of the small extent of his trade has since become substantial and extended his dealings, he is notwithstanding now subjected to his former low trade of Tirigi only he consequently enjoys under advantages: thus also if the substantial trader of former days who has been subsequently reduced in circumstances be liable to the payment of his former higher rates of tirigi he must be eventually ruined. With these considerations I made enquiry among the substantial Pottah Traders and they expressed their opinion that the settlement and adjustment of this tax by the Vishadi system throughout the Talooks would ensure to the traders rich and poor an equable demand of this tax agreeably to the extent of their respective trades — and that under this system none would be subject to distress - I have therefore for the purpose of equalizing the Tax laid down the following -

1st — The expenses of the people in each talook do not fluctuate, the Total to be entered in Column 1. -

2d — Persons great and small poor and rich as entered in the population returns are divided into 3 Classes agreeably

† It appears that a form of account accompanied this Takeed. I have not however met with it.

agreeably to their average expenditure of grain and other things Viz.

1 Trazgari
2 Ryots
3 Coolies &c. } from Column 2 to 11 in the State-

ment thus ascertain the expenditure of each class of the population in each talook

3d — To be deducted from the Account as unconnected with trade -

1 — Ryots who do not purchase what they consume but supply their consumption by grain &c. the produce of their farms -

2 — Cultivating Coolies who receive in return for their labor corn cotton &c. which they reserve for consumption thus columns from 12 to 17 are reserved for the entry of some classes of persons or Articles which do not enter into the merchandize of the District -

4th — In No. 18 is entered the net result after deducting consumption as above which is considered unconnected with merchandize -

5th — In No. 19 is entered the result of the trade of those who are engaged in the shroffing and exchange of

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

the rates at which other merchants are assessed in equalizing the whole agreeably to the new rules the excess here noticed must be reduced as deficiencies formerly adverted to are raised to the proper standard at present I have fixed on the demand of fusly 1216 the Amount of which must be distributed on the Vises or shares at the individual Settlement.

13. I fixed on the Collection of fusly 1215 for comparison to shew the encrease and decrease of the Settlement under the present rules Afterwards the Accounts of fusly 1216 were received from some of the talooks and in writing talkeds.

I fixed on the collection of fusly 1216 for comparison. Thus is to be determined the permanent Vis badi.

2d Section

1 On fluctuating trade including.

1st The Consumption of troops and travellers.

The Consumption of troops fluctuates because troops are not stationary.

The consumption of travellers also fluctuates because they move from place to place therefore their consumption cannot be attached to any one place.

2 Cloths made up for sale to traders of foreign countries by the weavers of

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Tadpatry and other pettahs under the Superintendence of resident merchants.

3. Cloths made up under the immediate orders and thro' the advance of foreign traders without the agency of resident merchants and by them conveyed to other countries. In this case the Resident merchants obtain no additional trade.

4. This applies also to places where coarse sugar and other things are manufactured.

5. Foreign merchants some time make their purchases thro' Brokers or the agency of Resident Merchants and sometimes not, therefore in this respect the trade of the Resident Merchants varies. By the operation of the system of foreign Merchants making their own purchases the trade of the Resident Dealers has been encroached on and wherever this practice has been introduced there it remains and although in some places foreign merchants still make their bargains thro' the Agency of the Resident Traders, yet if the Foreigners continue and persevere in making their own bargains, the trade of the Resident merchants must be reduced; therefore in consequence of the uncertain nature of this branch of the trade I have rejected it from the Vis badi accounts although it was subjected to taxation during the former Governments.

Bellary Collr. Office
18th July 1846.

Signed A. Mellor
Collector

From

9047

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Proceedings thereon — Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract and the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted to the Collector of Bellary for his information in reference to his letters of 5th March and 18th June 1846 —

11th-13

15th Ult. 1st Inst.

1st — From the Extract Minutes Consultation now forwarded the Collector will perceive that in the opinion of Government it is essential that the Veesabuddy Tax be founded upon and its amount regulated by the data specified in Regulation IV. 1818 and they have therefore required that a summary of the data by which the assessment is to be regulated be laid before them with the least practicable delay — Under these circumstances the Collector will be pleased to furnish, at as early a period as possible, Statements exhibiting in respect to the Bellary District the various data particularized in Section III of the Regulation Viz. the exports and imports as gathered from the Returns of the last five years during which the Frontier and Transit duties were in force the population the produce and consumption the usual profits of Trade with such information in regard to the general circumstances of the several descriptions of parties liable to the payment of the Veesabuddy as may be necessary for the due regulation of that Tax — The Collector will also be pleased to state Taloohwar the maximum calculated on the data above recited which he would propose to fix the Veesabaddy of his District for the ensuing five Fusles —

Read

9048

14

From the Secy to Government Requesting that measures be taken for expediting the transmission of certain Nos of the Collections.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 1st July 1846.

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Gentlemen.

1. I am directed to transmit a Memorandum of General Letters to the address of the Honorable Court of Directors both in the Revenue Department and Department of Public Works. the completion of which is delayed for want of the Collections to be furnished from your Office. and to request that you will take measures for expediting their transmission.

2. The Government desire that the papers relating to the Guntoor and Rajahmundry Districts Nos 10 and 22 be first supplied. and the remainder submitted as soon after as possible. /Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government

A

Memorandum.
Revenue Department

Genl. Ltr. dated 7th March 1846 No. 10 with Enclosures. } Reporting proceedings of Government respecting the measures adopted for the sale of the Guntoor Zemindaries, the debts of the Zemindars, and the allowances assigned to

Memorandum Submitted to the Board for Collns 21st March 1846

to them- Reporting also the dismissal of Shashagherry Row. the Head Sheristadar of Guntoor. the Completion of the survey of a Division of the Vaysereddy Estate and the extent to which survey operations have been carried on in the several Districts.

Genl Dr dated 30th March 1846 No 13 } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 17th April 1846. with Collections } Government connected with Revenue set-tlements.-

Genl Dr 30th March 1846 No 14 } Reporting upon the ma-
Memo submitted to the Board 20th April 1846 with Enclosures } nagement of the Estates in the Districts of Ganjam. Vizagapatam. Rajahmundry. Ma-sulipatam Coimbatore: and upon the set-tlements of the Land Revenue of Nellore for Fusly

		1253
Extra Revenue of Nellore for Fusly		1254-
Land Revenue of Salem for	"	1253
Extra Revenue of Bellary	"	1254
Dr Trichinopoly	"	1254
Land Revenue Tinnevelly	"	1253

Dr Dr 2d April 1846 No 15 } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 21st April 1846. with Collections } Government connected with the operation of the Custom Acts.

Dr Dr 14th April 1846 No 20 } Report on the Subject of
Memo submitted to the Board 29th April 1846 with Collections } Yeomans and Pensions for the 1st Half of 1845.

Reporting

Genl Dr dated 4th April 1846 No 21. } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 28th & 29th April 1846. with Collections } Government relating to Establishments and allowances for the 1st Half of 1845.

Dr Dr 8/14th April 1846 No 22 } Forwarding Extract from the
Memo submitted to the Board 1st May 1846. with Enclosures } proceedings of the Board of Revenue com-municating observations on the Report in the Rajahmundry District by Sir H. Montge-mery Commissioner in the Northern Circars and suggesting certain measures for the improvement of that District together with the proceedings of Government thereon.-

Dr Dr 24th April 1846 No 25 } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 29th April 1846. with Collections } Government on Miscellaneous subjects for the 1st Quarter of 1845.

Dr Dr 7/19th May 1846 No 30 } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 21st May 1846. with Collections } Government on Miscellaneous subjects for the 2d Quarter of 1845.

Public Works Department.

R. Gl Dr 7/11th March 1846 No 11. } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 17th March 1846. with Collections. } Government relating to Public Works for the improvement of the Revenue for the 1st Half of 1846.

Dr Dr 12th April 1846 No 17 } Reporting proceedings of
Memo submitted to the Board 24th April 1846. with Collections } Government

to them- Reporting also the dismissal of Shashagherry Row. the Head Sheristadar of Guntoor. the completion of the survey of a Division of the Vafsereddy Estate and the extent to which survey operations have been carried on in the several Districts.

Genl. Dr. dated 30th March 1846 No. 13
Memo submitted to the Board 17th April 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government connected with Revenue settlements.-

Genl. Dr. 30th March 1846 No. 14
Memo submitted to the Board 20th April 1846.
with Enclosures } Reporting upon the management of the Estates in the Districts of Ganjam. Vizagapatam. Rajahmundry Masulipatam Coimbatore; and upon the settlements of the Land Revenue of Nellore for Fusly

		1253
Extra Revenue of Nellore for Fusly		1254-
Land Revenue of Salem for	"	1253
Extra Revenue of Bellary	"	1254
Dr. Trichinopoly	"	1254
Land Revenue Tinnevelly	"	1253

Dr. Dr. 2d April 1846 No. 15
Memo submitted to the Board 21st April 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government connected with the operation of the Custom Acts.

Dr. Dr. 14th April 1846 No. 20
Memo submitted to the Board 29th April 1846
with Collections } Report on the subject of Yeomiahs and Pensions for the 1st Half of 1845:

Reporting

Genl. Dr. dated 14th April 1846 No. 21.
Memo submitted to the Board 28th & 29th April 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government relating to Establishments and allowances for the 1st Half of 1845.

Dr. Dr. 8/14th April 1846 No. 22
Memo submitted to the Board 1st May 1846.
with Enclosures } Forwarding Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue communicating observations on the Report in the Rajahmundry District by Sir H. Montgomery Commissioner in the Northern Circars and suggesting certain measures for the improvement of that District together with the proceedings of Government thereon-

Dr. Dr. 27th April 1846 No. 25
Memo submitted to the Board 29th April 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government on Miscellaneous subjects for the 1st Quarter of 1845.

Dr. Dr. 2/19th May 1846 No. 30
Memo submitted to the Board 21st May 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government on Miscellaneous subjects for the 2d Quarter of 1845.

Public Work's Department.

R. Gl. St. 2/11th March 1846 No. 11.
Memo submitted to the Board 17th March 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government relating to Public Works for the improvement of the Revenue for the 1st Half of 1846.

Dr. Dr. 2/2d April 1846 No. 17
Memos submitted to the Board 24th April 1846.
with Collections } Reporting proceedings of

Government

Government relating to the Public Work for the improvement of the Revenue for the 2d Half of 1845.

Genl Dr. D/27th April 1846 No. 25 with Collections } Reporting proceedings of Government on Miscellaneous subjects for the 1st Quarter of 1845.

Genl Dr. 27th April 1846.
Memo submitted to the Board 29th April 1846

Dr Dr D/14th April 1846 No. 22 with Enclosures } Forwarding Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue communicating observations on the Report in the Rajahmundry District by Sir H. Montgomery Commissioner in the Northern Circars and suggesting certain measures for the improvement of that District together with the proceedings of Government thereon

Dr Dr D/14th April 1846.
Memo submitted to the Board 1st May 1846.

Genl Dr. D/24th February 1846 No. 4 with Collections } Reporting upon Public Works connected with the improvement of the Country for the Second Quarter of 1845

Genl Dr. D/24th February 1846.
Memo submitted to the Board 27th Feby 1846.

Genl Dr. D/17th March 1846 No. 5 with Collections } Reporting upon Public Works connected with the improvement of the Country for the 3d Quarter of 1845.

Genl Dr. D/17th March 1846.
Memo submitted to the Board 30th March 1846.

Dr. Dr. D/26th May 1846 No. 6 with Collections } Reporting upon Public Works connected with the improvement

Dr. Dr. D/26th May 1846.
Memo Submitted to the Board 28th May 1846.

of the Country for the 4th Quarter of 1845.

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

14-15

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a transcript of Para 1 of the foregoing letter and of the Memorandum which refers to papers in the Department of Public Works be transmitted for disposal in that Department.

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th July 1846.

/From Bd 25th June/

16

From the Secretary to Government. Resolved that the Plan found on the records of Government headed "General Plan of the new Buildings proposed to be erected on the Beach to the southward of the Custom House." and which is believed to be the one referred to by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter be furnished to them.

2. The Government apprehend there will be no difficulty in obtaining through the Department of Public Works any further information or plans which may be forthcoming in the Chief Engineer's Office.—

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secy. to Govt

16-17

Order thereon.— Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th July 1846.

/From Bd 6th July/

18

From the Secy. to Government. The Government's Sanction

sanction the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the suit instituted by Nullapa reddy and Veerama reddy against the Collector of Salem and others but will determine on a Copy of the Decree being submitted whether the Costs if any be adjudged against the local Officers shall be borne by them or by the Government.

/ Signed / E. R. Thompson
Secy to Govt

(18-19)

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 18th June last.

In Cons. 6th July. (20)

From the Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Concurring with the Board that it is not expedient to institute further proceedings under Regulation IX of 1828 against the late sheristadar of Guntoor Shashagherry Row nor in the case of the Gomastah who has been also dismissed.

/ Revenue Department under date the 27th June 1846 /

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

/ Here enter 28th May 1846 No 265 /

Para 1. The Government concur with the Board of Revenue and the Commissioners, that under the circumstances explained in the foregoing Communication, it is not expedient to institute further proceedings under Regulation IX of 1828 against

against the late Sheristadar of Guntoor Shashagerry Row nor in the case of the Gomastah who has been also dismissed.

2. The Government are fully sensible that unless these proceedings could be prosecuted to conviction they would only open the door to renewed intrigue and Cabal and still further involve the District in disorder. His Lordship in Council would call the attention of the Board at the same time to the importance of directing the early prosecution of delinquents under the Regulations in force in future cases similar to those brought under the notice of Government by the Commissioner in Guntoor —

3. The Government see no ground for departing from the usual course and directing the further investigation prayed for by Shashagerry Row in his petition. The Sheristadar had full opportunity for rebutting the charges against him both before the Commissioner Mr. W. Elliot and the Acting Collector Mr. D. White. These officers the Government also observe had not previously any immediate connection with the District and could labor therefore under

under no bias against him when they de-
=clared their judgement. There are like-
=wise before the Government, the recorded
opinions of the Collectors Mr. Goldingham
and Mr. Stokes unfavorable to Shashi-
=gerry Row, and his final conviction
and dismissal by the Board. With this
more than ordinary amount of evidence
against him the Most Noble the Gover-
=nor in Council can see no ground for
instituting further enquiry nor for re-
=versing the orders passed on the late
Sheristadars / Shashagerry Row / case.—

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / R. G. Clarke
Dy Secy to Govt

20-21

Order thereon.— Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

From the Dy Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d July 1846.—

Read again the following letters.

From the Resident in Travancore and Cochin — } Dated 27th April recorded in Cons. 12th May 1846 No. 6.—

From the Collector of Malabar — Dated 6th recorded in Cons. 16th June 1846 No. 7.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Stating in reply to Extract Minutes of Cons. 16th June 1846 that the period for which the Chon-
=gancherry Lease should be renewed may properly be fixed at 12 Years.— / Here enter 22 June 1846 No. 312 /

Adverting

Adverting to the opinions expressed by the Resident of Travancore and the Col-
=lector of Malabar the Government are pleased to review at the request of His Highness the Rajah the lease of the Chengancherry Farm at Quilon for the term of Twelve years, commencing from the 13th July instant, on the conditions set forth in the grant of the original lease.—

/ Signed / R. G. Clarke
Dy Secy to Government

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Con-
=sultation and of the Board's letter to
which it refers be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Col-
=lector of Malabar.—

22-23

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

24

From the Coll. and Agent to the Governor in Ganjam — } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the orders of the Board of Revenue and of the Government on the subject of

Recommending that the pay of the Cash Keepers at Chatterpore & Chicacole respectively be raised to 30 Rs per Mensem —

Proceedings Board of Revenue 9th June 1846 — Minutes of Cons. 19th May of Government 1846. — the affairs of the

Zemindar of Beredeen in this District. As therein directed. I shall en-
2. deavour to effect a composition of the
Zemindar's

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

4. The continuance of one of the members of this family as Cash Keeper has doubtless arisen from their respectability of character, and from their wealth enabling them to furnish ample security by the deposit of Government Bonds for the responsibilities of their trust, and owing to the extreme difficulty experienced in this part of the Country in obtaining the services of persons eligible for so responsible an employment. This is in a great measure however attributable to the inadequacy of the salary assigned for the situation. The pay of the Cash Keepers of the two Treasuries in this District is only 35 Rupees each per mensem, which is manifestly a wholly inadequate remuneration for the duties and responsibilities of such an Office

Chatterpore	
Cash Keeper	35
Goomastah	15
Shroff	25
Chicacole	
Cash Keeper	35
Shroff	25

The annual receipts at each of the Treasuries is about Nine lacks of Rupees - I believe that in no

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

no other District is the Office of Cash Keeper so inadequately paid, and the only way of accounting for so insufficient a salary having been fixed is, what I believe was the case, that the usual salary allowed to a Cash Keeper of a Collectorate was here divided between the two Cash Keepers. Frequent representations have been made by them of the insufficiency of their Salary, of which I have not been insensible, and it has only been from a desire to avoid proposing any increase of charge, that I have not hitherto brought the matter under the notice of the Board - If from any cause a vacancy of either of the present incumbents should occur, the services of a competent person could not be secured on

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

on the present scale of salary, and I hope that the Board will concur with me in the propriety of its being increased. I beg leave on these grounds according to recommend that the pay of the Cash Keepers at Chutterpore and Chicacole respectively be raised to Rupees 50 per mensem.

Ganjam District.....
Collectors & Govt. agents Office
Chutterpore 15th June 1846.

/Signed/ R. a Bannerman
Coll.r & Agent to the Govt.

Resolution thereon -
24-25

In reference to the concluding Para of the foregoing letter the Collector is requested to state whether he can suggest any reductions in other Departments of his office in compensation of the increased expense which would be occasioned by raising the salaries of the two Cash Keepers. He will also be so good as to inform the Board what amount of Security is required of those parties.

To

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Sir.

26

From the Coll.r of Ganjam. Submitting information as to the data on which the price currents furnished to the Military Department are framed, with suggestions thereon

Para 1. I have the honor to receive your Circular letter dated the 30th April last, asking for information as to the data on which the price currents furnished to the Military Department are now framed, and for any suggestions in view obtaining more accurate Statements of the prices of the various articles enumerated therein.-

2. The practice in this District has been for the Heads of Police of the two principal towns at which troops are stationed, towards the end of each Month, to obtain from the Bazar Merchants and dealers, lists of the Current wholesale and retail prices, on that day, of the several articles of Commissariat supply; the correctness of which is certified under their signatures, and these rates are entered separately in the quarterly prices Current furnished from this Office to the General Officer Commanding the Northern Division. Of many of the articles enumerated in this lists, the Consumption at the stations of Chicacole and Berhampoor, being confined to

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.-

to Europeans and their descendants, is extremely limited
and the prices of these articles, as taken and record-
=ed through the Heads of Police, probably are
not sufficiently accurate to form a stand-
=ard by which to compare the changes for arti-
=cles of this nature made by the Commis-
=sariat Department, but in regard to the
staples, such as the various descrip-
=tions of Grain, Salt, Oil, Fuel &c.a, I
believe that the Returns are sufficiently
accurate for most purposes. It is to be observ-
=ed however at the same time, that the
Bazar dealers lists do not exhibit the
prices of the finer qualities of some of the
articles, such as are only used at Gentlemen's
tables, and which are not required for ordi-
=nary consumption. The prices of grain or
other articles of a superior kind, it may
be added, are more arbitrary and liable
to greater variation, than the ordinary quali-
=ties in general consumption. On the whole,
I think that the mode at present followed
of obtaining lists of the current prices of
the different articles from the principal
dealers, affords as near an approximation
to accuracy, as would be likely to be attained
under any other plan that could be readily
adopted and the only suggestion that occurs

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.-

to me, is that the lists might with advan-
=tage be made up at shorter intervals
which would shew any fluctuations of
price from time to time.-

Ganjam District-
Collr's Cutcherry
Chatterpur 30th June 1846.-

Signed/ R. N. Bannerman
Collector

Order thereon.-

Ordered to lie on the table-

(26-27) To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(28)

From the Collr of Ganjam

Sir,

Para 2.

States that there being no lands in the Ganjam District belonging to Religious Institutions under the management of the Officers of Government the Statement called for by the Board of Revenue is not furnished.-

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter dated the 15th Ultimo, with its accompaniments, and in reply to state that as reported in my letter under date the 2d October 1842, there being no lands in the Ganjam District belonging to Religious Institutions under the Management of the Officers of Government, the Statement called for in the 2d Para of your letter under reply is not furnished.-

2. In respect to the small Villages belonging to such Institutions which in this District have always remained in the possession and under the Management

of

Fort St. George 13th. July 1836.

of their Superiors and Priests without any interference on the part of Government Officials, I am of opinion that the rights and privileges of the Cultivators of these lands are sufficiently secured by the provisions of the existing Laws and that no change is called for in that respect.

3. In reference to Para. 3, I beg to observe, that from an early period, an arrangement has obtained under which certain Pagoda and Mosque lands situated in Vadadah, which are irrigated from the General water Courses that supply that Talook, have been required to contribute their quota of the expense annually incurred for the maintenance and repairs of these Channels, according to Established Custom.

4. I have to add that there are no surplus Pagoda funds in deposit in the Treasury of this Collectorate, which could be appropriated to purposes of general usefulness in the manner that is contemplated.—

Ganjam District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chutterpoor, 4th. July 1836.

Signed/ R. H. Bannerman
Coll.r

Order thereon.—

Ordered to lie on the Table.—

28-29

Fort St. George 13th. July 1836.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Statement of Estates attached for arrears of Revenue, according to the form which accompanied the Deputy Secretary's letter under date the 28th. March 1836, and request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the Establishments proposed.—

Rajahmundry District,
Coony,
13th. June 1836.

Signed/ J. Prendergast
Collector

Statement

9067

Fort St. George

13th July 1846.

Statement of Estates attached for fusly 1255 in the District of Rajahmundry.

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estates	Permanent Summah of the Entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kists due for current fusly.	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of the Villages annual proved to be attached at present attached	Actual or estimated annual value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed in the attachment	Per Centage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p				
	Proprietary Estates.												
1	Vencatarow dah Estates	Sandoory Sreeramah Narsimha = now Proprietor	1	2058 " "	1942 8 "	1551 " "	388 8 "	1	2058 " "	1 Peon	4 " "	3 1 "	12th June 1846	Placed under the Warrandy Talook.
							664 "						
							1052 14 "						
2	Annadaravapah doo	Enoogunty Pedda Chinnarow and China Chinnarow = joint Proprietors	2	3895 8 "	3675 " "	2940 " "	735 " "	2	5600 " "	1 Samuldar 10. 2 Ronsatus 8. Peaches 18			
										Saderwarad 8.		188 " "	5 3 "	Ditto Do under the Darogah of the Goolalah Division
	Total		3	5953 8 "	5617 8 "	4491 " "	1787 14 "		3 7658 " "			22 8 "		

Rajahmundry District
Coony 13th June 1846

E. E. Jr.

/ Signed / I. Prendergast
Collector

She

Resolution thereon. (30-31)

The Board sanction the establish-
=ment amounting to Rupees 22-8-- per Mensem,
deputed for the management of the Estates under
attachment as exhibited in the Statement which
accompanies the foregoing letter.--

Read again letter from the Collector
of Masulipatam furnishing the further in-
=formation called for by the Board respecting
levy of Poollary in the Kykaloor and Boojaba-
=lapatam Estates and reporting the result of
arrangements made with the Ryots of Ellore
and Cuvelly for the future payment of the taxe.

/ Here enter No. 105 /

In the Statement annexed to para 6 of
the present report the Collector shows the ba-
=lance outstanding on this account from Fasly
1248 to 1253 to be Rupees 8099-11-3 of which he
observes that 7712-6- is due by the Ryots of the
Estates adjoining Kykaloor and Boojaba-
=patam who in 1248 demurred to the claim
advanced by the Zemindar to payment of
the taxe in question on the plea
that their cattle were not liable
and that they had not sent them
for pasturage to the grass lands
attached to these two Estates.
The Collector however having satisfied
himself

30th Sept. 1845 in Cons. 5th June 1846 3083.

32 Proceedings to- calling for further infor-=mation res-=pecting the levy of Pool-=lary in the Kykaloor and Boojabala-=patam Estates in the District of Masuli-=patam.-

himself that the grounds of objection taken
up by the Nonconsenting Ryots were inva-
=lid and that no doubt existed as to the
fact that they had availed themselves of
the pasturage in question, succeeded in
inducing the principal Ryots of Ellore and
Cuvelly to withdraw their opposition to the
future payment of the taxe and has furnish-
=nished Copy of a Kyfeat given by these parties
on behalf of the Ryots of the above Estates a-
=greeing to the payment of Poollary for the
Number of Cattle they may send to graze in
accordance with the established rates paid
by other Villages excepting such cattle as may
be actually employed in Cultivation.-

2. Mr. Porter further states that he anti-
=cipates that the example set by the Ryots of
Ellore and Cuvelly will be followed by those of
the other Estates entitled to graze their cattle
in the Colair, and recommends on their so do-
=ing that the old arrears amounting to Rupees
7712-6- may be at once remitted.-

3. In the arrangements entered into with the
Ellore and Cuvelly Ryots the Board observe
that the cattle employed for agricultural
purposes are especially excluded from
all payment of taxe - It is not apparent
from

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

from the terms of the Kyfeat whether the reservation in question is intended to apply to such Cultivating Cattle only as shall be so employed in the lands of Kykaloor and Boojabalapatam, which it is observed are stated to be cultivated in great measure by Ryots of adjoining Estates, or whether the indulgence is to be understood as extending generally to cattle employed for purposes of agriculture in the different Estates whose ryots are allowed to graze their herds in the Collair Lake.

4. In the third para of the present report it is remarked that the Zemindar exercised his discretion in levying payment of Poollary from the Ryots engaged in cultivating his own lands "having on some occasions made remissions in their favour" it would hence appear that even in the case of Ryots using the land of his own Zemindary the remissions for cultivating Cattle was looked upon in the light of an indulgence and not a customary exemption. Mr. Porter will be good enough to explain himself further on this point.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

5. In furnishing the above information the Collector will also state upon what principle and in what manner the Collections of Poollary were made during July 1845 and furnish a Statement shewing the amount and particulars of the Collections as well as the Number of Cattle sent to graze and from what Villages for that period.

32-33

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam.

Read the following Letters

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

34

From the Collr of Nellore

Sir,

1. A Petition has been presented to me praying that a part of the Fund now in deposit belonging to a Pagoda in the Village of Mullavaram in the Inamanamelloor Talook of the Sub Division may be given over to the Managers of the Pagoda for the purpose of repairing it the building is represented to be in such a dilapidated condition as that unless put in order it will be likely to tumble down altogether.

The

2. The surplus fund belonging to this Pagoda has arisen from the non payment of Rs. 10-3-6. per Mensem, compensation for resumed Salt Roosoums, which the debited in the accounts has been kept in deposit the usual ceremonies and expences of the Pagoda having been derived from the proceeds of the lands attached to it. The amount in deposit is 3296 and the amount required for the repair of the Pagoda has been estimated at Rs. 2126. 8 a. p. I take this opportunity of remarking that the total amount of deposit belonging to all the Pagodas in the District only comes to Rupees 4451. 12 a. 7 p. of which Rs. 3296-3-a belongs to Meillaveram ment and I beg to recommend the request of the Managers to the favorable notice of the Board with a view to their sanctioning the expenditure of the sum required.

Nellore
Coll.rs Cutcherry
29th May 1846.

/ Signed / J. F. Bishop
Collector

Resolution thereon. The Government of India having determined that the surplus Pagoda funds now in deposit are to be applied to purposes of general benefit, with reference to local interest, as intimated in the circular from this Office dated 15½ June 1846, the Board cannot authorize the disbursement recommended in the foregoing letter.

34-35

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

36

From the Collr. of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 1st June 1846, I have the honor to submit herewith the claims of certain peons for arrears as per form transmitted under date 18th May last.

Statement

Nellore
Coll.rs Cutcherry
18th June 1846

/ Signed / J. F. Bishop
Collector

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

38

From the Collector of Nellore — Read abstract Statement shewing the Number of Persons charged convicted, acquitted, and forwarded to the Principal Sudder Ameen and the Number of appeals preferred and disposed of under Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 from 1st January to 30th June 1846.—

38-39

Order thereon — Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.—

Read the following letter—

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

40

From the Collector of Bellary — The Board will be aware of the System obtaining in this District in regard to Ferry Boats. These Boats are employed in conveying across the "Toombadra" Goods, Merchandize, Persons and Cattle when the river is not fordable, for which a Toll is collected according to the usage of the District from a series of years, under the management of the Sayer Chowkedars, and the Collections have always formed a branch of the Sayer Revenue the agency through

Forwarding for Sanction a Statement of the Offices for the Rent of the River Toll for Fusly 1256 & A.D. 1846/47 in the Bellary District.—

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

through which the same are made changing simultaneously with the Sayer Vizt. by the Circar Servants when under Amance, and by the contractors when Rented. On the Sayer latterly coming under annuance the Collection of the River Toll was entrusted to the Frontier Chowkeedars and will continue to be made by them till 21st Instant.

2 That there is no Check over the Collections under the existing System is evident, and is not unknown to the Board. Even supposing the River Toll to be unconnected with the Sayer, fraud will be practised by the Sayer Servants and information of this has compelled me to adopt measures for providing against it. It appearing that the only means by which this object could be gained is to take the management of the River Toll from the hands of the Sayer Chowkeedars and rent it out, and being at the same time informed that the renting System obtains in other Districts, I purpose introducing it here from Fusly 1256 A.D. 1846/47 and have accordingly invited by Proclamation Offers for the rent of the Revenue arising from the Collection of River Toll. They were made before

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

before me on the 1st Instant in a Public outcery at my Cutcherry. The rents of the 6 Talooks which have Fords were sold by Competition to the amount of 4,599 Rupees as will be perceived in the accompanying Statement.

3. The Number of Fords at which Boats are employed in the above 6 Talooks is 50. 6 of which having deep Water not fordable require Boats to ply throughout the year. In the remaining 44 Fords they are required only for 8 Months in the year the remaining 4 Months falling in the hot season, when they become generally fordable.

4. I beg to subjoin an abstract Statement shewing the Amount of Toll Collected by the Sayer Servants during the past 3 years Vizt. from Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 to 1255 1845/46 (after deducting the Boatmens share) the Amount expended in the construction of Boats and the Net Revenue carried to the Credit of Government contrasted with the Rent at which the rent was sold and which is a clear profit to Government.

Fusly.	Net Amount of Rent after deducting Boatmens shares.	Deduct Charges attending the constructing & Repairing of Boats	Balance credited to Government
	Cht. A P	Cht. A P	Cht. A P
1253	2819 9 -	570 14 -	2248 11 -
1254	2722 10 -	723 3 -	1999 7 -
1255	2307 6 -	513 9 -	1793 13 -
Average of 3 Fuslies	2616 8 -	602 9 -	2013 15 -
Offers for Fusly 1256 A.D. 1846/47.			4599 - -
Increase above the Average of 3 fuslies			2585 1 -

The

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

The charges attending the construction and Repair of Boats to be borne by the Renters themselves, and the Amount of Rent to be paid in 8 or 12 instalments according to the terms of each Renters Cowle with reference to the period of employment of Boats at each Ford are prescribed.—

5 The Renters were called upon to lodge a deposit in money equal to 1/8th of the Rent to which they consented, and the Tahsildars have received my instructions to receive the Deposit and report their having done so, soon as the reports are made effect will be given to the rent from the 21st Instant subject to the Board's Approval.

6 A Draft of the Stipulations necessary in the Puttah to be granted to the Renters and in the Moochalekah to be obtained from them is enclosed.—

7 The employment of the Boatmen is considered a Measure attached to them. They receive their share of the Toll according to the practice of the Fords and subsist thereby. Of the aggregate Collections the Share of the Boatmen being deducted, the nett Collections alone continued to be

credited.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

credited to Government. The Renters will get the duty performed by the same Boatmen and no others.—

8 Independent of the advantage resulting to Government in a financial point of view, which I do not point out as the object chiefly to be gained, there is an important benefit to be gained from the renting System, and that is, the accounts of the Renters will be a check against those of the Frontier Chowkedars in respect of articles exported to His Highness the Nizams' Territories, on which duty is levied by the Lattermentioned Servants, and would I think be the means of ensuring on their part more care in the discharge of their duties.—

9 The Tahsildars were likewise furnished with necessary instructions to see the conditions of the contract strictly adhered to by the contractors.—

Bellary Collrs. Office
17th June
1846

/Signed/ A. Moller
Collector.

Statement.

3th July 1846.

Ceded Districts for Fusly 1256.—

Offers for Fusly 1256.

Average Collections of 3 years.			Names of Renters.	Parish Rupees	Comparison of Colns 16 & 18.	
Collections on the Charges Collections for Boats	Deduct Nett				Increase	Decrease
11.	15	16	17.	18	19	20.
Rs a P Rs a P Rs a P					Rs a P	Rs a P
1314 " " 107 " " 27 1 "			Nellore Raumna: gowd and Rumpa: nah of Seekalacollah	310 " "	282 12 "	" " "
557 1 " 68 " " 189 1 "			Kutty cull— Veneapah	1065 " "	595 12 "	" " "
31 1 " 22 3 " 8 11 "			Shroff Streepaty Noicke	24 " "	15 2 "	" " "
157 15 " 16 " " 11 1 15 "			Kenchapah Curnum of Cottoor	280 " "	138 1 "	" " "
953 " " 209 " " 71 1 " "			Kristna Gowd of Chittanad	1160 " "	4 16 "	" " "
783 " " 1806 " 602 10 "			Vuddur Cunkah of Almanie	1760 " "	1157 6 "	" " "
				4599 " "	2585 1 "	" " "
3 " 2618 " 6029 " 2013 15 "						

Office 17th " June 1846 E. E. Jr. (Signed) A. Miller. Collector.

Translated

Fort St. George 13th July 1816.

No. 2

Translation of Rules for the guidance of the Ferry Renters on the line of Soonbadra fords.

1 You will levy the Toll according to the rates in the Renazfutty furnished to you and not more or less.

2 You will still continue to Pass those who usually had the privilege free of Toll.

3 You will allow the usual share to the Boatmen for their service.

4 Should any of the Renters endeavour to convey passengers in his own ferry thereby to augment his own profit, he is responsible to make up the loss sustained by the next Ferry Renter thro whose Ghout the passengers should otherwise proceed according to Maamool.

5 You will never assist or pass those Culprits who may attempt to cross the River but when you come to know of it you will immediately intimate the same to the nearest Police Officers.

6 You will not collect any toll when the River is fordable, neither would you meddle with them. All that you have a right to is to collect the toll when you pass them in your ferry Boats (that is as long as the river is high.)

Fort St. George 13th July 1816.

7 You will always provide a strong and perfect number of passable Boats well manned and equipped so that no mischief may occur to endanger the lives of those that occupy them.

8 In passing goods of merchants intended to be imported into the Nizams Dominions you will take care that no article liable to the payment of duty is allowed to cross the River, unless it is accompanied by a Sayer certificate as a token that it paid the Custom to our Sayer Chowkee.

9 You have power to sub rent any part or the whole of your contract, and all sub renters will have to conform to these Rules.

10 You will punctually pay the kists fixed by the Circar, into the Talook Treasury, and should you fail in paying any kist you will have to pay the same with interest at 1/2 Rupee per cent per Month for the days running over.

11 The expenses attending the construction of Basket Boats as well as for sibbundies are to be defrayed by yourselves and the Circar will have

Fort St. George 13th July 1816

have nothing to do with them.—

12. You will at the close of each Month furnish the Talook Tahsildar with your accounts regularly prepared to shew the Ghat: -man. Esunmar and Rosmar with the amount Collected thereon.—

13 You will not commune with any of the Sayer Mootuddies, neither are you at liberty to take them or their relations as your subrenters.—

14. You will conform to these and any further Rules that may hereafter be established in this matter.—

15. Should you act in any way contrary to these instructions you will abide and suffer such punishment as the Circar may inflict on you.—

/A true Translation/

/Signed/ A: Meller.

Collector.

40-41

Proceedings thereon.— The Board concur with the Collector in opinion that it is desirable to separate the Collection of the Ferry Tolls from that of the Frontier Duties and to rent out the former branch of Revenue, but they observe that as the matter was not of an

Observing that as the renting of the ferry tolls of the Collary district was not of an emergent nature the change

change of System should not have been made without prior Reference to the Board and Calling for further information on the subject

an emergent nature the change of System should not have been made without prior Reference to them and their sanction being first obtained. They will not however withhold their confirmation from the proposed arrangement if modified to a certain extent, and if the explanation on certain points now called for prove satisfactory, means while the renters may continue in charge of their respective Farms.—

2. It does not appear to the Board that the Ferry Renters will constitute any efficient check upon the Frontier Sayer Chowkedars, while vesting the former with the power contemplated in Para 8 of their Cowle, supposing such measure to be legal which may be doubted - would probably lead to abuse, and be productive of annoyance to the public. Para 8 of the Cowle

Fort St. George 13th. July 1816.

Cowle should be omitted and the Renters confined simply to the Management of their Farmes. Para. 4 should also be expunged. It would give room for disputes and could not in practice be carried out.—

3. The Collector will be pleased to forward to the Board the Renay Putty or Table of Tolls with a translation and any remarks which he may have to offer on it.—

Rules Para 1.

D° Para 2.

4. The parties who have hitherto been allowed to pass free and the circumstances under which the privilege has been granted should be fully explained. In particular it should be stated what promision is made for the passage of Troops.—

D° — 10

5. The rate of interest on arrears of Rent should be 1 per Cent. that being the usual rate on arrears in other branches of the public revenue.—

Fort St. George 13th. July 1816.

6. In the last Paras (15) of the Cowle in place of the expression "You will abide and suffer such punishment as the Circar may inflict upon you" may be substituted "your rent will be resumed and you will be liable for all the loss which may accrue in consequence."

Read the following Return

Return

9088 | 42

Fort St George

13th July 1846.

Return of Tahsildars in the Collectorate of Chingleput

as they stood on the 1st May 1846.

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	amount of Collections	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment	Total length of service	Remarks
				Rs	Rs	y. m. D.	y. m. D.		y. m. D.	
Janakeeram Pantooloo Yalavanassoor	Hydrabad Bramin	54	Chingleput	98771	100	5 1 0	13 0 18	Chingleput Zillah Sayer Nevesendah — 2 0 0 Hoozoor Mananut Goomastah — 4 6 0 Sattaneen — 0 3 0 6 9 0 Malabar Zillah 2d Magisterial Javabnevis in the Northern Division — 1 8 0 Head Goomastah D° — 0 8 0 Hoozoor Revenue Head Goomastah — 0 4 0 Native Record Keeper in the Sea Custom Department — 0 9 0 D° D° — 3 5 0 Madras Hindive Goomastah in the Office of the Carnatic Commissioners — 1 6 0 Canara Zillah Magisterial Javabnevis in the Northern Division — 0 4 0 Sub Coonshee in the Zillah Court of Combaconum — 2 6 0 14 6 0	27 6 18	
Ramasawmy Syah Trevanattoor	Gentoo Bramin	41	Pottamaloor	125617	85	7 7 14	7 7 14	Chingleput Zillah Hoozoor Sayer Sumpretty — 3 4 5 D° Revenue Goomastah — 6 4 15 Sheristadar in the Sydapet Talook — 1 9 5 D° in the Chingleput D° — 1 0 15 12 6 10	20 1 24	

DISCONTINUED & DESTROYED

9089

Fort St George

13th July 1816

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment	Total length of service	Remarks
				Rupees	Rs	Y M D	Y M D		Y M D	
Muttenevasa Row Cauvery putnum	Madava Bramin	55	Conjeveram	48842	100	8 8 -	9 8 20	Northern Division of Arcot Sayer Goomastah at Wallajah=nagur	7 9 -	
								Do Moochruff at Tripady	- 4 -	
								Do Do at Wallajahnagur	2 2 -	
								Chowkedar at Vundavash	- 6 -	
								Hungami Davastanum Goomastah at Trepaty	- 3 -	
									11 - -	
								Chingleput Zillah Hoozoor Goomastah	1 7 -	
								Do Head Do	9 4 8	
								Do Hungami Mail Sheristadar	- 8 15	
									11 7 23	
									22 7 23	32 4 13
Kaukajee Row Saudamungalum	Dasuttoo Braman	47	Chingleput	82972	70 2 5 -	7 9 23		South Arcot Javabneviss in the Terroovelloor Talook	9 - -	
								Chingleput Zillah Head Goomastah in the Cotramaloor Talook	- 2 27	
								Javabneviss in the Carangooly Talook	7 5 27	
								Peishcar in the Seddapolliem Do	- 6 -	
								Sheristadar in the Cotramaloor Do	- - 21	
								Do in the Sayer Do	- 1 15	
								Hoozoor Revenue Goomastah	3 2 10	
								Do 2d Do in the salt Department	- 10 -	
								Do Sayer Head Goomastah	1 1 7	
									13 6 17	
									22 6 17	30 4 10

9090

Fort St George

13th July 1816

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment.	Total length of Service	Remarks
				Rupees	Rs	Y M D	Y M D		Y M D	
Vencata Row Atteyoor	Tamil Bramin	47	Manemunga-lum	82256	70	0 10 0	0 10 0	Chinglepet Zillah Zufty Moosheriff — 0 5 6 Do Ameen — 0 6 0 Do Ameen — 2 4 0 Sultanum Goomastah — 0 5 0 Do Savalneviss — 5 3 2 Hoozoor Pymash Goomastah — 0 10 5 Salt Ameen at Pyennoor — 0 3 0 Rayasum in the Peddapollium Talook — 2 7 10 Hoozoor Sayer Sumpretty — 0 9 18 Do Revenue Do — 6 6 0 Do Do Goomastah — 2 1 0 Do Moonshee — 22 0 11	22 10 11	
Soobbiah — Valayattoor	Tamil Bramin	34	Triporoor	59307	70	0 4 13	0 4 13	Chinglepet Zillah Sayer Sumpretty — 2 5 13 Police Darogah Savalneviss — 8 1 22 Do Sumpretty — 0 3 14 Hoozoor Moonshee Goomastah — 15 3 8 Savalneviss in the Talook of Conjeveram and Bonamullee Carangoly Conjeveram and Peishcar in the Peddapolliem Triporoor Sayer and Chinglepet Talooks — 7 2 13	33 4 10	33 8 23	
Syed Yacoob Saheb Carangooly	Moosulman	51	Sydapet	77543	85	10 5 6	10 5 6	Chinglepet Zillah Sayer Peon — 1 9 21 Tanah Peon — 5 2 10 Duffadar in the Conjeveram Talook — 10 10 2 Police Ameen in Sydapet — 2 6 12	23 4 15	33 9 21	

9091

Fort St George

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	amount of Collections Rupees	Pay Rs	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook Y M D
Narasinga Row Veloopoorum	Dasasta Braman	45	Poonamalee	74,865	70	5 1 0
Kunjoondiah Junjumcottah	Badga nada Carnatican	39	Peddapollium	103084	85	2 5 0
Seetapaty Row Madras	Nayoga Braman	52	Sayer	102783	85	8 8 6

Chingleput District
Coll[r]s Cutcherry
Pullicaumey 10th July 1846

13th July 1846

Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate Y M D	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment	Y M D	Y M D	Total length of service Y M D	Remarks
	South Arcot				
5 3 0	Goomastah in the Talooks of Valadavoor and Bhavaraghenny	2 1 0			
	Chingleput Hillah				
	Goomastah in the Carangooly Talook	8 5 3			
	Goomastah in the Carangooly Talook	3 7 8			
	Hoozoor Davastanum Goomastah	3 11 25			
	Do Revenue Do	16 4			
		18 1 4	23 4 4		
	Chingleput Hillah				
	Sheristadar in the Carangooly Talook	1 0 0			
	Do in the Tirporoor Do	0 6 28			
	Do Do Do	6 10 17			
3 2 0	Sheristadar in the Do Do	9 5 0			
	Do in the Manmangalum Do	17 10 15	21 0 5		
	Cuddapah Hillah				
	Hoozoor Sawabnuvis	5 11 6			
	Revenue Ameenah of Hoozoor and Madoor Purganah	3 8 5			
8 10 4	Head of Police of Hoozoor Madoor and Vellore Purganah	0 5 6			
	Head of Police in Revenue the Punzully Purganah	0 3 0			
		10 3 11			
	Masulipatam Hillah				
	Sayer Ameenat Masulipatam	2 6 14			
		12 3 25	21 1 29		

(Signed) E. Maltby
Acting Collector

Ordered

42-43

Fort St George 13th July 1816

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letters

To T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir

44

From the Coll.r of Salem 1st. In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 20th ult.o of April last, requiring further information on the subject of the immemorial waste Punjah Acres 210—23—10, termed Giddegavel Lands, attached to the Mootah of Saudamungalum in the Kamcul Talook, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that "the immemorial waste Punjah Acres 210—23—10" mentioned in the 4th Para of my letter dated 31st March last, applies to the Giddegavel lands only, and is entered in the accounts prepared at the time of the introduction of the Mootahdaree System as immemorial waste lands, in which the Giddegavel Fusly 1211. acres 196—6—4 shewn in Para 3 is included. The greater portion of these lands is already in the possession of the Mootahdars, and there remains only Acres 39—15—4 at present under

Fort St George 13th July 1816

under the Circar management

The circumstances under which

2 this description of lands were withheld from the Mootadars by Mr. M. D. Lockhart the former Collector, are from their having been under Circar management and covered with bamboos, they were supposed to belong to Government and reserved at the time of the permanent settlement.

3 As recommended in Para 6. I hope the sanction of Government may be obtained for refunding to the Mootahdars of Saudamungalum the amount of Rupees 2596—9—10, and to give up to them Acres 39—15—4 of Giddegavel lands still retained under the Circar management.

Salem Hillah Trippatoor Coll.re Cutcherry 15th June 1816. Signed W. D. Lockhart Collector

Proceedings thereon

44-45

The Board 20th April

The Board of Revenue have still a difficulty in reconciling the various statements given by the Collector of Salem in regard to the extent of the Giddagavil lands of the Saudamungalum Mootah. In his letter of 31st March last Paras 3 & 4 the Giddagavel was stated to be Acres 174—35—8 according to the Pymash ayacut but Acres 196—6—4 according to the ayacut accounts of Doorimaty or Fusly 1211 the

the year in which the Mootahs were formed. In a subsequent passage of the same letter Para 4, mention is made of the immemorial waste Kunjah Acres 210 23 10 and in the letter above recorded this expression is said to apply to the Giddagavel lands only and again it is said that in it the Giddagavel lands Acres 196 6 4 are included. The Collector is requested to explain these discrepancies to state the precise extent of the Giddagavel lands and at the same time to transmit to the Board an Extract from the Ayacut accounts of Saudamungalum for Fasly 1211 shewing the extent of the several descriptions of land Kunja Poonja Giddagavel &c. comprised in the 3625 15 Acres entered in Column 3 of Statement B which accompanied the Special Commissioners letter to Government 27th August

In Cons. 1st Sept. 1802

1802

In Cons. 20th April & 13th July 1846 2

In his letters of 31st March & 16th June Mr Lockhart states that the greater part of the Giddagavel lands is in the possession of the Mootahdars and that only Acres 39 15 4 are under Circar Management. In Statement No 2 transmitted to this Office by Mr Collector Lockhart in his letter of 3d July 1826 Columns 120 121 122 the whole extent was at that time represented as being in possession of the Circar. The Board wish to be informed at what period and under what circumstances the land now in possession of the Mootahdar was made over to him.

In Cons. 13th July 1826.

To

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(46)

From the Collr of Salem Relative to Cuddagaur Mootah with Petitions Nos 300 and 584 1846.

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 5th March and 18th May last, forwarding Petitions Nos 300 and 584 of the Current year from Ramaswamy Iyengar Zemindar of Cuddalore in the Tengaracottah Talook, requesting that he may be allowed to pay up the Kists of his Mootah into the Treasury of the Darampoory Talook, I have the honor to state that this indulgence was once granted to the Petitioner, and orders were issued on the 23d March 1844. that the Kist Money of his Mootah should be received into the Talook Treasury of Darampoory until further orders in consequence of his having represented that a robbery with torch light, was committed in his house in the Tengaracottah Talook and that he apprehended some of the robbers but those who escaped on that occasion entertained a spite against him; that he could not therefore with safety carry the Kist Money of his Mootah to the Treasury of the said Talook

Talook at Wootingwray, the distance being 20 Miles and requested that he might be permitted to pay the List into the Talook Treasury of Darampoory, which is near to his Mootah. Finding afterwards that the practice of receiving the collection of one Talook into the Treasury of another tends not only to confuse the Accounts of both Talooks, but also gives the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah to which the above Mootah belongs no control either to attach the property when in arrear or to release it immediately after the arrears are paid, an order was issued on the 2nd January 1846 that the List should be received into Talook Treasury of Tengaracottah in the usual manner, and an endorsement was also given to the Petitioner on the subject from which he must be perfectly aware that the previous order granting him the indulgence was annulled.-

The Petitioners prayer being therefore contrary to the rules and usage of the District it cannot be conveniently complied with. The 2 Petitions received are herewith returned.-

Salem Zillah Treppatore Collectors Cutcherry, 17th June 1846. - - - } (Signed) W.E Lockhart Collector.

Order thereon.

(46-47)

Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos 300 and 584 of 1846.- Ordered that the following - To The Petitioner is informed that the indulgence temporarily granted to him by the Dist: Darampoory having been found to be attended with Public inconvenience has been properly cancelled by the Collector.2d

To

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(48)

Sir

From the Collr of Salem - - - - } I have the honor to forward for publication in the Board's Office two Advertisements for the Sale of certain Revenue Defaulters' lands situated in Jaury Mootahs in the Talooks of Darampoory and Kristnagherry in consequence of the Mootahdars having represented that they are unable to recover the balance due by the Defaulters, who possess no other property than the said lands as the Defaulters belong to Jaury Mootahs, I see no occasion for reporting on their circumstances or inability to discharge the demands.-

Two Statements are also forwarded, shewing the immovable property that has been sequestered and the balance due by the Defaulters. I request the sanction of the Board for the Sale taking place and that the date may be fixed by them, and the same made known to me with sufficient time to issue the necessary Advertisement to the Talookes.-

Salem Zillah Treppatore Collectors Cutcherry, 17th June 1846. - - - } (Signed) W. E. Lockhart Collector.

Advertisement

Fort St George

No 1)

Advertisement.—

Notice is hereby given that the Land particulars
= putty &c attached to the Jaury Moottah of Reddyhully in
moveable property been attached in consequnce of the holders
Moottahdar for Fusly 1254, the same will be sold by Auction
10 O'Clock A.M. at the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Oss
The purchaser is required to pay 15 per cent
balance to be paid within 30 days from the date of the
default thereof the Lands will be resold at the risk of
the sale of the lands will not be considered valid
received confirming the same.—

Names of the Talooks. Chinnamanaikenpully. Cunnaghee putty. Names of the Villages. Darumpoory.

Name of the Defaulter	Amount	Names of the Village in which the Land is situated	Names of the Land	Particulars Poonjah Extent	Assessment
	Rs a p				Rs a p
Chennaroya goundan 1 Donnien 2 Bareya Ramen 3 Chinna Ramen 4	22 6	Conunghee putty	Woorady thar Poonjah	5 13/16 Coolies	8 4 9
Chicka Chinnien	19 9 11	Chinnamanaikenpully	Woorady thar Poonjah 1 Well	6 3/16 Coolies	7 1 9
		Sulamarum thar		6 13/16 Coolies	7 6 6

Salem Zillah Ixpatoor Collector's Cutcherry
17th June 1846

13th July 1846

= larized below, situated in the Villages of Conunghee-
the Talook of Darumpoory, having in the absence of
thereof not having paid the Assessment due thereon to the
to the highest bidder on the 1846 at

of the purchase Money at the time of Sale and the
Sale either in the Huzzoor or Talook Treasury and in
the first purchaser. It is to be understood, that
until the Orders of the Board of Revenue are

of the land for Sale

Garden Names of the Land	Extent	Assessment	Nunjah Name of the Land	Extent	Assessment	Total Extent	Assessment
		Rs a p			Rs a p		Rs a p
Pogala Totum	7/16 Coolies	1 4 3					
1 Well 13 Tamarind Trees		7 6 6				6 1/2 Coolies	16 9 6
Pogala Totum	3/8 Coolies	4 9					
9	1 1/16 Coolies	9 6				11 1/16 Coolies	15 6 6

(Signed) W.E. Lockhart
Collector

DESTROYED

Advertisement

9099

Fort St George 13th July 1846

No 2)

Advertisement

Notice is hereby given that the land particularized below situated in the Village of Balagooly Talook of Kistnagherry, having in the absence of moveable property been attached, in consequence of the holder thereof not having paid the Assessment due thereon to the Mootadar for Fusly 1256 the same will be sold by Auction to the highest bidder on the 1846 at 10 O'Clock at M at the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Ossoor.

The purchaser is required to pay 15 per Cent of the purchase Money at the time of Sale, and the balance to be paid within 30 days from the date of the sale either in the Huzzoor or Talook Treasury and in default thereof the land will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser. It is to be understood that the sale of the land will not be considered valid until the orders of the Board of Revenue are received confirming the same.

Particulars of the land for Sale.-

Name of the Talook	Name of the Village	Name of the Defaulter	Amount	Name of the Village in which the land is situated	Poonjah			Garden			Nunjah			Total	
					Name of the Land	Extent	Assessment	Name of the Land	Extent	Assessment	Name of the Land	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment
			Rs a p			acres g'a	Rs a p		acres g'a	Rs a p		acres g'a	Rs a p	acres g'a	Rs a p
Kistnagherry	Balagooly	Moolacolla Machary	48 12 9	Balagooly	Moolacolla Poonjah	40 25.	31 4 9	- - -

N: 3. List of immoveable property belonging to the Account of the Mo-

Fort St George 13th July 1846

undermentioned Individuals and Sequestered on Ac-tahdar's Demand for Fusly 1254-.

N:	Names of the Defaulters.	Fusly	Pattah Beriz.	Collection	Balance due to Government.
			Rs a o	Rs a o	Rs a o
1	Chennaroya Gounden / Dennien ——— 2 / Pareya Ramen —— 3 / Chinna Ramen —— 4	1254	29 6.	7 - -	22 6 -
2	Chicka Chennien — D:	D:	28 9 11	9 - -	19 9 11

Salem Zillah, Tripatore, } Coll[rs] Cutcherry, 17th June 1846. }

N:	Names of the Fields sequestered.	Extent	Assessment	Probable Values
			Rs a o	Rs a o
	Woorady thar Poonjah	5 13/16 Coolies	8 4 9	8 - -
	Pogalatotums ———	1/16 Coolies	- 14 3	7 - -
	Well ——— 1 -			
	13 Tamarind Trees —		7 6 6	8 - -
		6 1/2 Coolies	16 9 6	23 - -
	Woorady thar Poonjah	6 3/16 Coolies	7 1 9	9 - -
	Well ——— 1			
	Aulamaramthar ——	6 13/16 Coolies	7 6 6	9 - -
	Pogala Totums ——	3/8 Coolies	- 4 9	- 9 11
	D: —— D: ——	1 1/16 Coolies	- 9 6	1 - -
		14 7/16 Coolies	15 6 6	19 9 11

Signed W.E. Lockhart
Collector

List

9101

Fort St George 13th July 1846.

No 4.- List of immoveable property belonging to the undermentioned Individual and Sequestered on account of the Mootahdars Demand for Fusly 1254.-

No	Name of the Defaulter	Fusly	Puttah Beriz	Collection	Balance due to Government	Name of the fields Sequestered	Extent	Assessment	Probable Value
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	No.	Acres g a	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Moolacolla Machary	1254	48129	- - -	48129	Moolacolla Poonjah ____ Wells ____ 2 ____ 1 Old Thatched house of 3 Unganums with a well ____	4025.	3149	15 - - 2 - - 17 - -

Salem Zillah, Trepatore - - 9th
Collr Cutcherry, 17th June 1846

(Signed) W.E. Lockhart
Collector

Ordered

48-49

To the Collr of Salem.-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th ultimo forwarding a Statement of the Mootahdars Demand for Fusly 1254 for the sale of certain lands and other real property situate in some Talooks of your Zillah, which it is proposed to put up to Sale, under the provisions of Section XXVIII Regulation III of 1802 as amended by Section IV Regulation V of 1822 granted authority to Proprietors of Estates and Farmers under Leases from Government to distrain for arrears due by Ryots. The only property which after distraint may be sold, is that which after distraint is found to belong to the Defaulter, or for the sale of which the Collector can under Section IV Regulation V 1822 grant authority to Proprietors of Estates and Farmers under Leases from the Government. Tenant has no personal property in the Soil, and after its sale compulsorily with the exception of Rent due to the Proprietor and this latter the assignee of Rent is entitled to remain a balance of Rent due to the Proprietor and this latter the assignee of Rent is entitled to the arrears of Rent due by the Ryots, under the provisions of Section XXVIII Regulation III of 1802 and Section IV Regulation V of 1822 as amended by Section II Regulation VII of 1831.

I am to request that the following Statement in reply.-

Letter to Collr in reply.-

To The Collector of Salem

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th ultimo forwarding a Statement of the Mootahdars Demand for Fusly 1254 for the sale of certain lands and other real property situate in some Talooks of your Zillah, which it is proposed to put up to Sale, under the provisions of Section XXVIII Regulation III of 1802 as amended by Section IV Regulation V of 1822 granted authority to Proprietors of Estates and Farmers under Leases from Government to distrain for arrears due by Ryots. The only property which after distraint may be sold, is that which after distraint is found to belong to the Defaulter, or for the sale of which the Collector can under Section IV Regulation V of 1822 grant authority to Proprietors of Estates and Farmers under Leases from the Government. Tenant has no personal property in the Soil, and after its sale compulsorily with the exception of Rent due to the Proprietor and this latter the assignee of Rent is entitled to remain a balance of Rent due to the Proprietor and this latter the assignee of Rent is entitled to

Fort St George 13th July 1846

to bring to sale any interest which his tenants may have in the lands which he holds, the application for this purpose must be made Clause VII Section XXXIV and Regulation XXVIII 1802 to the Judge of the Zillah and not to the Collector. You will be pleased to communicate this to the Moottahdars and instruct them as to the course which they should pursue.-

Revenue Board Office, ...
Fort St George 13th July 1846.- } (Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read again letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 15th and entered in Consultation 20th April last.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

50

To the Collr of South Arcot
In Con. 26th April 1846.

To, The Collector of
South Arcot
Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 15th April last on the subject of the arrangements which you have suggested in consequence of the abolition of the office of Homikee Sheristadar in your District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish them with a Statement in the form prescribed by the Board's Circular of 21st March 1846 shewing the proposed additions to your Frontier Sayer Establishment as also the increase Rupees 13½ which will be incurred by the creation of the Office of Head Goomastah.-

1 Goomastah. 17-8-
2 Overseers. - 20-0-
15 Peons. - - - 52-8-
90-0-

The Board are prepared to recommend to Government that the Salary of your Revenue Head Jawabnevis be raised from Rs 42 to 50 Rs pr Mensem and they request that the additions Rs 8 be entered in the Statement already mentioned. They regret that they cannot advise any increase of the pay of the other Servants noticed in Para 3 of your Letter as they observe that the Salaries drawn by these parties are on a part with those attached to similar situations in other Districts.-

Revenue Board Office, ...
Fort St George 13th July 1846.- } (Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary
Read

Fort St George 13th July 1846

Read the following letter.-

To, J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

51

From the Collr of South Arcot.-

Bt Pro. 26th Octr 1843

Sir,


Venkata Row the son of Madava Row the late Head Sheristadar of this Cutcherry on whom the two Shrotriem Villages were authorized to be registered by the Board having died on the 25th May last, I have the honor to request to be favored with the Board's sanction to register the said two Villages in the name of one Raja Row the only surviving heir and grandson of the original grantee, and to continue them to him from the 26th ultimo, during his life time, on the modified terms and conditions of the grant Viz: subject to a Peishcush of Rs 3301-5-6 pr annum according to the orders of the Honorable Court of Directors.-

See Genealogical Tree annexed.

16th June 1843. Para 3.

South Arcot Collr Cutcherry, } (Signed) W. D. Davis
Cuddalore, 29th June, 1846. } Collector

Genealogical Tree



(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the fore-
going letter be submitted with the fol:
lowing addresses.-

To. The Chief Secretary to
Government

(51-52)

To the Chief Sect.
to Government.-
Submitting a
letter from the
Collector of
South Arcot
reporting the
demise of Ven-
cata Row a
Shrotriemdar
in his District
and recommend-
ing that dona-
tion may be
granted for
continuing the
Shrotriem to
Rajah Row the
Son of the de-
ceased the
grand son of
the original
grantee Ma-
dava Row sub-
ject to an assess-
ment of Rs
3301-5-6-per
annum and to
be resumed
in his death.-

29th June in Con. 13th July 1846.

Sir

I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to request that you
will lay before the Most Noble the Governor
in Council the accompanying letter from
the Collector of South Arcot reporting the
demise on the 25th May last of Vencata Row
a Shrotriemdar in his District.-

2 From the papers noted
in the Margin it will
be seen that a Shro-
triem of the annual
value of Rs 4,500---
was conferred on
Madava Row late Head Sheristadar
in the District of South Arcot and that
in consequence the Villages of Trepapalore
and Hoorovady in that District were made
over to him in 1839 subject to a yearly Peish-
cush of Rupees 301-5-6 being the excess of
the aggregate value of the two Villages over
the amount of the grant- the grant was subse-
quently extended to the heirs male of Madava
Row for two generations on condition that
the

From Gov.t 11th in Con.t 21st Feb.y 1839.
To D.o 16th Dec.r 1839.
E. M. C. 26th Dec.r 1839
in Con.t 2nd Jan.y 1840.

From Gov.t 1st in Con.t 30th Aug.t 1841.

the Shrotriem should be reduced to $\frac{2}{3}$rds of its ori-
ginal amount on the accession of the first heir
and to $\frac{1}{3}$rd during the life time of his successor.-
In pursuance of these orders, the Villages were on
the death of the original grantee, authorized to be
registered in the name of his eldest son Vencat Row,
subject to a Peishcush
of Rupees 1,801-5-6-
as shewn in the
Margin.-

	Rs A P
Original Peishcush	301-5-6
Add $\frac{1}{3}$rd of 4500 being the value of the Shrotriem per annum	1500---
	Rupees 1801-5-6

Original Peishcush 301-5-6.
2/3rds of 4500-3000---
3301-5-6

Mr. Davis in his present com-
munication reports the death of this individual and
solicits sanction for continuing the Shrotriem to Raja
Row, the son of the deceased and the grandson of the
original grantee, from the 26th May on the modified
terms and conditions of the grant, Viz.t to be subject to
an assessment of Rupees 3301-5-6 per Annum. and
to be resumed on his death under the orders of the
Court of Directors above adverted to. The Board
beg leave to recommend a compliance with the
Collectors request.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George.
13th July 1846.----

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To. J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(53)

From the Collr of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

With reference to your letter
of the 30th April last, I have the honor to state
that the Bungalow in Loulgoody which I proposed
to sell was built in the year 1804 (at the cost of Govern-
ment) for Rs 525---- that two trees which stand on
the

Fort St George 13th July 1846.

the land were planted by the Villages and two
Wells are supposed to have been dug by them.-

I have the honor to send a co
of a deposition taken from two of the principa
inhabitants of the Village on the subject.-

Trichinopoly Collrs Office
22nd June 1846. - - - - -

(Signed) A. P. Onslo
Collecto

No 1.

Translate of a Deposition taken before
Tahsildar of Caulgoody from Cullecoody Pull
Sepperoomal Oodian Chedambara Oodian Tanakoopoodian
the 28th May 1846.

2 In what year was the Bungalow at C
=lecoody built and by whom? to whom belongs the land on
which the Bungalow stands.-

A The land upon which the
Bungalow stands does not belong to any o
but the Circar. The Bungalow was built
it in Fusly 1213 or 1214 by Mr Wallace at the
expense of the Circar. The two wild Olive or Eb
trees which are within the hedge of the Bungalo
are planted by the Villagers before the Bungalo
was built and the produce of the said trees wa
made over to Easweren Pagoda the other trees
having been planted after the erection of the
Bungalow the Villagers have nothing to do with ther
Of the two wells within the compound of the Bunga
the one is on the north side was made by one Tha
=then of the said Village and the other on the south
side by the Grand father of Sepperoomal Oodians
the Puttadar they are therefore the property of the
abovementioned persons.-

(Signed) Sepperoomal Oodia
" Chedumbara Oodia
(Signed) Marked - Tana kappan
Boojunga Row
Tahsildar
(True Translate)
(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collect

53-54

Resolution thereon.

The Collector is requested to state
whether there are any parties who have a valid claim to the
two Wells in the Bungalow Compound. and if so, what arrang
=ment should be made with them in the event of the building an
=land being sold.-

J. G. B.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Read again letter from
Principal Collector of Madura 15th May
1846. Submitting pay roll for the police
establishment proposed to be entertained
in the Ramnad Zemindary.-

(Here enter No 107.)

Proceedings thereon.- The Board observe that
the Principal Collector proposes to add
the 1st Division of the Zemindary to the
Salt amildars present charges of the
whole line of coast with an additional
30 Rupees monthly. They beg to be in=
=formed what is the extent of the range
of coast now under the superintendence
of the amildar at what place it
is intended that he shall hold his
Police Cutcherry and whether Mr.
Blackburne is fully satisfied that this
individual can discharge the Police duties
proposed to be assigned to him with due
efficiency in addition to those of the
Salt Department.-

55

With his reply to these
Proceedings the Principal Collector will
be so good as to forward the Police
Mayenzabitah in the Form prescribed
in the Circular from this Office dated
21st March 1844.-

Read

Read the following letter-

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

56

From the Dpy Collr of Madura ----

Sir

1 In submitting the further information called for in the Board Proceedings of the 30th April received on 11th May on the subject of granting fresh maniem lands to the Nattamgars Hd. of Sameanellore in Madaculum I have the honor to inform you that 3 cuts of 4 15/16 cawnies of Nunjah land shewn in para 4 of my letter of the 20th May 1845. had long been unremunerative from the soil being Alur /brackish/, and entirely abandoned as hopeless of remunerating from 1252 by reason of helpless exposure to the drainage water of the old Tank of the Village Thinoor and of the new Tank constructed in 1251 in the village of Samianellore dug and raised to remove the inconvenience of scanty supply of one single Tank under which the Village had long suffered. Whilst the remainder Viz. 1 15/16 cawnies has been waste for the last 10 years from the soil being also Alur, neither of these two portions were cultivated up to fusly 1252 as assumed by the Board much Ain land has suffered equally with this Maniem land and with it is thrown entirely out

Endeavoring to explain how the Alur soil of the Samia Nellore Nattamai Maniem is unproductive from the Actions of Nature and not from any fault in the holder, and repeating the request of the 20th May 1845.-

Shewing also the cause of union of the Nattum Maniem with that of the Nattamai and readiness to dismite them if any one can be found to accept the latter.-

out of Cultivation but it is not within the possibility of the owner nor would it be to Government worth the escpence of restoring it- The gain from the new Tank far exceeds the loss to which the loss completed by its formation has exposed this land.-

2. The other portion of Nunjah Viz. 4 10/10 cawnies from its high site became incapable of irrigation, and was, therefore, cultivated with Nunjah and Punjah but from the natural badness of the soil /shookan/ so little productive has it proved as to have necessitated its abandonment since fusly 1245- The holders of the Maniem would have neglected nothing in their power to render it productive had there been reasonable hopes of success.-

3 Adverting to the Boards desire of dismiting the office of Nottagar from that of Nattangar, I beg to state that since the death of the last surviving Nottagar no one is willing to accept the office partly because of the small nominal remuneration Viz. Rupees 13-1-- and partly from the natural badness and incapability of the soil refusing to give that return: but it has to be ascertained if the grant of fresh lands will induce any one to undertake the service. I separately. But even if no one will accept it its union

Fort St. George 13th July 1840

union with the Nattamai is not in my opinion of much importance at least there are several similar examples in other Villages of this Collectorate where the income is too small to engage the service of a separate Natagaren.-

Madura ---- } 1st June 1840 (56-57) } Signed J. Blackburne Princl Collector.

Proceedings thereon.-

Calling for further information from the Princl Collr of Madura regarding certain unremunerative lands appertaining to the Natagar of Samyanellore.-

The Principal Collector remarked that of the 4 15/16 C. of Maniem Nunjah land abandoned by the Natamgars since 1252, 3 Cawnies were rendered unproductive from exposure to the drainage water of the old and new Tank which was constructed in Fusly 1251, and that "much "din land has suffered equally with this Maniem "and is thrown entirely out of Cultivation" but adds "that the gain from the old Tank for "exceeds the loss to which the loss completed "by its formation, has exposed this land."-

2. With reference to the 3 Cawnies of Maniem land thus exposed to inundation from the new work constructed in the Village the Board would wish to be informed whether any measures were adopted at the time the Estimates were made to provide an equivalent to the Nattamgars as it is presumed that the future injury to their lands must have been foreseen and

Fort St. George 13th July 1840

and well known to them when arrangements were originally made for the new Work and that any objections raised on their part should then have received consideration had they been deemed of importance- As it appears however that besides these lands a great portion of Circar lands have also been inundated it is desirable that the Principal Collector should enter into further explanation on this point and furnish a Statement shewing the extent of the Ayacut in the Village together with the annual and detailed Cultivation Assessments and Collections for the year preceding the construction of the new Tank and similar details for the years subsequently as well as the particulars of the extent and Assessment of the land inundated by the Tank water.-

3 On referring to the Annual Accounts of the Village in the Boards records it will be seen from the particulars noted in the Margin that the Demand has not increased since Fusly 1251 when the Modern Tank was Constructed but as compared with the earlier years quoted exhibits a decrease

	Rs.	a.	p.
Fusly 1232	2,145	1	10
" 1234	2,009	12	2
" 1245	2,060	15	6
" 1249	1,713	6	9
" 1250	1,667	9	1
" 1251	1,618	14	6
" 1252	1,693	11	6
" 1253	1,976	13	4
" 1254	1,784	3	6

As

Fort St George 13th July 1840

4

As regards the other Maniem Nun=
=jah land mentioned in para 2 it would appear
that the Maniemdars abandoned its Culture as
far back as Fusly 1245 and which from its
position is stated to have become incapable of
irrigation, this land would not therefore
appear to have suffered deterioration from
the Tank recently constructed.-

5

The Board will await the further
information now called for before it
taking any further steps in respect to
the original application submitted by
the Principal Collector for a grant on
lease of the unremunerative lands apper=
=taining to the Nattamgar of Nokagar of
Semyanellore.-

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

58

From the Princl. Coll.r of Madura ---- 1

Sir,

I have the honor to submit
that in consequence of a Complaint against the
Zemindar of Keelacotay in Thiroomungalum for
having taken illegal possession of 380 Goolies of
land appertaining to the Village of Mayicoody the
matter was referred to the Tahsildar for examination

from

Ist Forwarding extract from Estimate Accounts of Kelacotay Zemindary; and suggesting Poishcush should be added on a piece of boundary disputed since the original Settlement excluded from

Fort St. George 13th July 1840

from the Assets on which the Peishcush was fixed but ever since in the Zemindars enjoyment.-

from whose report of the 21st March it appears that in the Survey Accounts of 1212 the land in question is entered as a disputed boundary and tho' a part of its Viz.t 4 7/10 Goolies which is a barbers Maniem forms part of Mayicoody yet it has been admitted by the Curnum and Nattamgar of that Village that the remainder of the disputed boundary had never been cultivated nor its taxe paid by the Ryots of Mayicoody from the time of Survey;- That a reference to the Talook Treasury Accounts of Fuslies 1211 and 1212 shews the taxe on the Culti=
=vated portion of the disputed land had been collected and remitted to the treasury distinct from other items of Revenue, and that ever since it has been cultivated and enjoyed by the Kee=
=lacotay Zemindar.-

2. The Survey and Settlement Accounts of Fuslies 1212 and 1215 of Mayicoody shew a deduction of 382 7/10 Goolies from the Ayacut extent of that Village as a disputed boundary of Logi Gownden Zemindar of Kelacotay.- Whilst the Survey accounts of the Zemindary Villages for Fusly 1213 exhibit a Corresponding deduction tho' with difference in the extent of land of 542 5/10 Goolies of Punjah and 3 153/4/10 Cawnies of Nunjah lands from the Ayacut extent of those Villages as a disputed

boundary

9115

Fort St. George 13th July 1840

boundary..

3. It appears further that the Istimrar of the Zemindary has been framed with reference to actual cultivation the disputed boundary being excluded which is evidently under the occupancy of the Kolacotary Zemindar to exclusion of any enjoyment on the part of the Mayicoody Ryots.

4. Tho' from the facts of the Zemindars enjoyment of the land in question for the last 42 years he is entitled to possession yet from the circumstance of its being deducted from the accounts as a disputed boundary I am of opinion it should be added to the Istimrar of the Zemindary and its revenue realised from him in addition to that originally fixed. In this view of the case I beg to submit an Extract with translate from the Istimrar accounts the original having been returned to the Board on the 31st May 1836 and request instructions.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector

Memorandum

9116 Fort St. George 13th July 1840

Madura
June
1840

(58-59)

Proceedings thereon-

Requesting the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura to furnish further information regarding certain land deducted from the Ayacut of the Keelacotay Zemindary on account of a disputed boundary.-

Fort St. George 13th July 1840.

From the above letter it appears that the land deducted from the Ayacut of the Keelacotay Zemindary on account of a disputed boundary is 540 Goolies, but of this quantity only about 380 Goolies are on the boundaries of Keelacotay and Mayacoody.- The Principal Collector is requested to explain how the remaining 166 Goolies are situated and by what parties they are occupied.- He will also be pleased to satisfy himself by further enquiry whether the report of the Tahsildar be correct Whether the land calculated by the Zemindar be really that deducted from the Mayacoody Ayacut, and whether the whole 380 Goolies is in the occupation of the Zemindar Depositions as to the situation of the land and the party to whom the Teeruah upon it is paid should also be taken from the Ryots who cultivate it, and from the Village Officers of Mayacoody, and of the Zemindars Villages, and the Zemindar should be called upon for any observations which he may wish to offer on the subject.- The Principal Collector will also be so good as to forward to this Office the Survey Accounts of Mayacoody.-

It is observed that in his settlement report for Fasly 1245. Mr. Blackburne stated

Fort St. George 13th July 1840.

stated that since his arrival in the District most of the Cases of disputed boundaries had been disposed of.- The Board wish to know what other cases still remain unadjusted besides that of Keelacotay now under consideration.-

To,

T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(60)

From the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura.....

Asking permission to furnish Purnatha Pundaram Nachyar with Copy of one para of Board's Proceedings of 21st May 1846 and with Copy of their Endorsement of 21st Idem on Petition No. 635 of 1846 for her adversary Mootoo Veerayde Nachiar in view to put her on her guard against a line of Conduct which the Board anticipate would cause transfer of management of her Estate out of her hands.-

Sir

I.

Looking upon the debt without reference to its being or not being excessive due by Ranee Purvatha Vurdhany Nachyar of Ramnad to Mr. Fischer of Salem as just and for very important services performed I should have encouraged her immediate payment of it if I had not been made aware of the Board's sentiments by their proceedings of the 21st Ultimo.-

2 I am at a loss to guess upon what grounds they have formed that opinion left as I am to believe they have seen no more of the report of the two Commissioners Messrs. Drury and Morehead of the 10th May 1843 made during my seven months' suspension than was communicated to me being charged against me formal administration of revenue and falsification and for maladministration of repairs of Tanks Water Courses and

Roads

Roads, and to which my answers were duly submitted more than two years ago without being yet relieved from the odium of their unfounded charges, but when I consider the heavy punishment with which they threaten Purvatha Vurdhanee Natchyar at the hands of the Civil Courts if she should presume to pay what I have already reported her to believe a true and honest debt as well as her intention honestly to discharge it, and when I consider their endorsement of the 21st Ultimo on petition No. 635 of 1840 in which they encourage her adversary Mootoo Veerayee Natchyar to address herself to the Civil Courts to enforce the Board's expectations I cannot but request permission to furnish her with a copy of that para of the Board's Proceedings as also copy of their endorsement on Petition No. 635 of 1840 to guard her if possible from the risks they think she will incur by following the present dictates of her heart and mind.-

Madura ----- }
Coil putty ---- }
10th June 1840.- }

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector

Resolution thereon- The Board of Revenue do not consider it in any way necessary to furnish Purvathy Vurdhany Natchyar with Copy either of para 5 of

of their Proceedings of the 21st May last or of their endorsement on Petition No. 635 of 1840.-

To,
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(62)

From the Princ. Collr of Madura ----- }

Requesting sanction to resume a Muddem Maniem in Carroongalgoody of Nuttum in Meyloor as one that ought to have lapsed with the death of the first holder.-

Sir,

1. I have the honor to submit that 19½ Goolies of Nunjah and Punjah lands taxed at Rupees 42-7-8 in the Village of Carroongalgoody in Nuttum of Meylare is entered in the Survey Accounts of Fusly 1212 as a Muddum Maniem, in name of Cunnoopundarum subject to a Quit Rent of Rupees 9-6-6. It was held by him till his death in 1235 and on failure of male issue was disputed between Mootoovadooga pundarum his Nephew and Auroomoogum pillay his son in law.-

2. By report of the Tahsildar of Meyloor of the 21st Ultimo it appears the Maniem in question has been under the enjoyment of both parties in equal shares since death of the Survey incumbent and still held in his name and that 4 Goolies of it had been sold by Auroomoogum pillay to another man.-

3. The Maniem register of Fusly 1223. shews it was conferred upon Cunnoopundarum as a subsistence Maniem, and, apparently to streng then his claim as remuneration for making Poojah in the

+ The rear of reexamination.-

9121 Fort St. George 13th. July 1840

the Muddem and that the alienation was made so far back as Vikary / now 360 years ago / in the 1375 year of Salevahana, by the then Zemindar Alaga =linga Nauken.-

4

Looking upon it as a life maniem and having no connexion whatever with Devad =nems I have the honor to request authority for resumption without retrospect to its enjoyment hitherto.-

Madura Collutty
10th June 1840 } [Signed] J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

62-63

To, The Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Princ. Coll.r of Madura }

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th Ultimo recommending for stated reasons the re =sumption of a Muddem Maniem as liable to lapse on the death of the late Maniemdar it having been granted as shewn the Maniem Register as a subsistence allowance to Cunnoo pundarum deceased.-

Adverting to the 2d para of your letter under reply the Board request that you will inform them whether on the demise of the abovenamed individual the parties who then succeeded to the Maniem obtained possession under any and if so under what authority and how it has occurred that the Maniem should have been held for a period of 21 years in the name of the deceased by the present incumbents. In furnishing this information you will be good enough to ascertain and report whether the present holders of the Maniem have any other means of support besides the land thus obtained, and what may be their respective ages.-

Rev.e Bd. Office
Fort St. George
13th July 1840 } [Signed] T. Pycroft
Secretary
Read

9122 Fort St. George 13th. July 1840.

Read the following letter.-

To, T. Pycroft. Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

64

From the Acting Coll.r of Tinnevelly---

Sir,

With reference to the remarks of the Board of Revenue on Item No. 2 in the Extract from the Statement of Charges incurred with our Estimate in Fusly 1254, I have the honor to state, that the difference of Annas 7 — Dee. between the details and the total number of days charged for the 2 persons named in the margin arose from one day for each having been omitted in Copying the details from the Original. An Extract from the Office Copy of the Statement is therefore herewith forwarded, and I request that you will be good enough to obtain the Sanction of Govern: for the sum of Annas seven and Dee Six which has been retrenched.

Vuvodianaigum Pillay.
Chegary Row

Tinnevelly,
7th June 1840 } [Signed] R. D. Parker
Acting Collector

Extract

9123

Fort St. George

Extract from the Account Particulars of Batta when on Circuit

13th July 1846.

to the Huzzoor Cutcherry Servants in the Tinnevelly Collectorate for Fusly 1254

Names	Employ	No.	Amount of monthly Pay in Rupees		Period employed in travelling from one Station to another. Date	No. of Days	Number of Days for which Batta was paid after coming to a halt	Total Days	Number of Coolies allowed to each per Day	Total Coolies	Hire of Coolies at 3 Madras fanams each. Amount in Madras fanams	Amount in Rupees
1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			R.	A. P.								
From Tencausey to Tinnevelly Trechendoor Poodoocoodey. Sanitoor Conilputty Kytaur and back to Tencausey viâ Tinnevelly.	Suvoodana: yacum Pillay	Goomastah	1	17 8	D°. 10th & 11th October 1845	2	..	2				
					D°. 25th & 26th March	2	10	12				
					D°. 12th May	1		6				
					D°. 18th and 19th D°	2	2	4				
					D°. 22d D°	1	1	2				
					D°. 24th D°	1	..	1				
					D°. 25th D°	1	..	1				
					D°. 15th and 16th June	2	10	12				
						12	28	40	1	40	120	9 5 2
Ditto — Ditto — Belegererow — Ditto			1	14 ..	As above	12	28	40	1	40	120	9 5 2

Tinnevelly, 17th June 1846

E. E. P. (Signed) R. D. Parker.
Asst. Collector.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

9124

Fort St. George 13th. July 1846.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

64-65

To the Chief Secy. to Government dated 19th Feby. 1846.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the remarks of the Board of Revenue on Item No. 2 in the Statement of Charges incurred without estimate in the District of Tinnevelly in Fusly 1254. I am directed to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from Mr. Parker explaining the nature of the discrepancy in the Account particulars of Batta Paid to the public servants while on circuit, which caused the retrenchment of annas 7 & Pice 6 in the above Statement.—

17th June in Covr. 13th July 1846.

Under the explanation furnished by the Acting Collector the Board beg to recommend that Sanction may be granted for the Sum of Annas Seven and Pice six which has been retrenched.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 13th July 1846.—

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary.—

9125

Fort St. George 13th. July 1846.

Read the following letter

To, T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

66

From the Actg. Collr. of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 29th. Ultimo forwarding an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 18th. Ultimo on Proceedings of the Board dated 11th. Ultimo have been received by me this day unaccompanied by the last mentioned Proceedings, and that as the only Proceedings of Board of the 11th. Ultimo which have been furnished to me did not refer to my letter of the 29th. May (which is stated to be the origin of the Proceedings now acknowledged) I conclude that the Proceedings of the 11th. have been left out of the cover by mistake.—

Tinnevelly Tencausey, 7th. July 1846.

(Signed) R. D. Parker Acting Collector

Resolution thereon,

66-67

Resolved that a Copy of the Boards Proceedings dated 11th. June 1846. be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly as requested in the foregoing letter.— Read

Fort St. George 13th. July 1846.

Read the following letter

To,
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

68

From the Dy. Collr. of Coimbatore

Sir,

Adverting to your letter of the 5th. May 1845 requiring me to report my opinion on Petition No. 160 of 1845 stating also the surplus Funds of the Dannistanum referred to, now in deposit, I have the honor to acquaint you, that the payment of a portion of the Surplus Fund for the repair of Pagodas, having been authorized by the Board on a previous occasion, I am not aware of any objection to urge against

Fort St. George 13th. July 1846.

against a compliance with the request of the Petitioners for similar indulgence.

2. To save correspondence, I beg also to enclose an Estimate of Charges required for the repair of the Pagodas alluded to by the Petitioners.—

3. The Surplus Fund in deposit in favor of the Devastanum under reference amounts to Rupees 107—6—5 up to the present date.—

4. The Petition No. 160 of 1845 is returned herewith.—

Coimbatore, June 8th. 1846

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Estimate

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

Estimate of Charges required to be incurred for the repair of Poondoray Pagoda in the Talook of Errode.

Name of Talook	Work	Length	Breadth	Height	Superficial contents	Cubic contents	Particulars of Work.	Price	Rate	Articles	Works	Explanation of necessity of work when last repaired and at what cost,	By whom recommended.
			Measurement										
Errode Talook.	Eswaran Pagoda.	12	1/2	6/16	"	2/4	Inner Room, 4 Stones each 3 ft 9 in. in length						
		10	6/16	1/4	"	15/16	Do. " 4 Do. 2½ Yards in length						
		14	6/16	1/4	"	6/16	Do. " 4 Do. 1 Yard in Do.						
						3 3/16	Yards		6 12 "	per Cubic Yd.	24 1 "		
		6	1/2	6/16	"	6/16	Ft. 8 Posts		" 6 "	per Cubic ft.	2 2 "		
		38	1/2	1 1/2	"	256½	ft.						
					or	9½	Yd.		3 "	per Cubic Yd.	28 8 "		
		21	11	1	"	231	ft. Flooring with Jelly and Chunam upon the Montapum		7 "	per Square of 100 feet	16 3 "		
		34	34	"	1156		Terracing with flat tiles and Chunam the great Montapum		2 8	per Square of Do.	28 11 4 "		
		140	5		700		Plastering Do.		2 "	Do. Do.	14 " "	113 12 "	
							Replacing a Stone in the North Side of the Montapum					2 " "	
	Ammen Covil	15	12	"	180								
		6	11	"	66								
		27	27	"	729								
					975		Repairing roofing with flat tiles Plastering and Sundries to the great Montapum		2 8	per Square of 100 ft.	21 6 "		
											5 8 "	31 14 "	
	Vinisvara Swamy Pagoda	9	10	"	90							15 " "	
		8	9	"	162		Repairing the roofing of the Montapum with flat tiles and Jelly		6 "	per Square of 100 ft.			
					252								
	Sendava Swamy Pagoda	6	1/2	1/4	"	3/4	Inner Room 4 Stones each measuring 9 in.						
		4	6/16	1/4	"	6/16	Do.						
							4 Do. measuring Do.		6 12 "	per Cubic Yard	9 8 "		
		1½	1/2	6/16	"	4 7/16	Do.						
						4 13/16	1 Do.						
							Carried forward				9 8 "	160 10 "	

9129

Fort St. George 3rd July 1816

Repairing Damodura Swamy Pagoda

Talook	Name of work	Length	Breadth	Height	Superficial contents	Cubic contents	Particulars of Work.	Price	Rate	Article	Work	Explanation of necessity of work & by whom paired and recommended & what cost
							Brought forward			9 8 "	160 10 "	
		7½	½	6/16	"	"	22 Posts at 6 Annas each		" 6 " each	8 4 "		
		10½	1	1	"	"	1 Beam		1 " " "	1 " "		
							Filling in spandrills & repair of roof and Sundries			10 3 "		
		24	10½	"	"	252	"			15 " "		
		20	7½	"		150	" Flooring above Muntapum with flat tiles Jelly & ca		6 " " per Square of 100 ft	3 " "		
							Flooring Muntapum Jelly and Chunam		2 " " Do	7 " "	53 15 "	
		3½	½	½	"	4/16	Plastering to the walls					
		12	6½	"	78	"	Stone pavement above		8 " " per Cubic Yard	7 " "		
							Flooring and Plastering &ca above		7 " " per Square of 100 ft	5 7 "		
							Do with Pantiles & Chunam & Jelly					
							Repair of Roof or upper side of Muntapum			9 14		
							Stone Pillar to the entry			1 8 "		
							Repairing Door			7 " "	30 " "	
							Plastering &ca				24 9 "	
							Sundrees				13 " "	
							Refixing the Idols				25 " "	
											50 " "	
										Totals	332 9 "	

Coimbatore
8th June 1816

Company's Rupees Three hundred and thirty two and Annas nine

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector &c

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Resolution thereon;

68-69

The Government of India having determined that the Surplus Pagoda Funds now in deposit are to be to be applied to purposes of general benefit, with reference to local interest, as intimated in the Circular from this Office dated 15th June 1846, the Board cannot authorize the disbursement recommended in the foregoing letter

Read the following letter

To, T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

70

From the Collr. of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 18th Instant requiring me to give effect to the orders of the Honorable Court of Directors therewith forwarded and to report my proceedings for the information of Government.

In reply I beg to state that the Subahdar therein referred to was permitted to retire from the Public Service on a pension of Rupees 53-8- per Mensem from the 1st May 1845 under the orders of Government dated 3d April 1845.

(Signed) H. V Conolly
Collector.

Calicut 27th June 1846

Madalgatha Kistna Menon

70-71

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 1st June last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Malabar stating that the Subahdar referred to in the above Extract was permitted to retire from the Service on a pension under the orders of Government dated 3d April 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To the Chief Secry to Government Judicial: 18th June 1846.

27th June to 13th July 1846.

Rec'd in Office Fort St George, 13th July 1846.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

72

From the Collr of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that Kader Ally Khan a Member of the Family of the late Tumbuk Jaghiredar whose name is entered in No 9 of the Statement submitted on the 24th February 1821, died on the 6th March last leaving a Daughter Mahomedaly Begum.

2. The monthly pension held by the deceased was Rupees 8-5-4 of which, under the instructions communicated in a letter from your office of date the 26th March 1821 two thirds, equal to Rupees 5-8-11 are payable to his successor for life.

3. Having duly ascertained that the Daughter named above who has applied for the transfer of the pension to her, is the only legal representative of the last incumbent, I beg leave to request the necessary sanction for continuing to her the regulated proportion of Rupees 5-8-11 per Mensem and for discharging the Arrears remaining undrawn up to the 31st May 1846 Rupees 17-3-3.-

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector.

Kader ally Khan's pension remaining undrawn from 1st to 7th March 1846 at Rupees 8-5-4 per Mensem Rupees — 1-9-9

Do of his pensioner Dec'd 25-8-11 per Mensem from 7th March to 31st May 1846 — 15-9-6

17-3-6

Madras 16th June 1846

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that the Collector may receive sanction to pay to Mahomeddy Begum the daughter of the deceased the sum of Rupees 5-8-11 per Mensem being the amount to which she is entitled for life under the orders of Government dated 20th March 1821.-

The Board also recommend that sanction

Resolution thereon

72-73

In Cons. 26th March 1824

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

sanction be granted for payment of the arrears due amounting to Rupees 17-3-3.-

Read the undermentioned Statements a-

(74) From the Collector of Nellore - Statement of Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 21st to 30th June last.-

(75) From the Collector of South Arcot - Statement of Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 11th to 20th June last.-

(76) From the Collector of South Arcot - Statement of Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 21st to 30th June last.-

(77) From the Collector of Tanjore - Statement of Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 11th to 20th June last.-

(78) From the Principal Collector of Madura - Statement of Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 11th to 20th June last.-

(79) From the Collector of Canara - Statement of Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain 21st to 30th June last.-

(74-80) Order on the six abovementioned Statements } Ordered to be recorded.-

(81) From the Acting Collector of Chingleput - Read letter transmitting list of letters addressed to and received from the Board of Revenue remaining unanswered to 30th June 1846.-

(81-82) Order thereon - Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

(83) From the Collector of Guntoor - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during Month of June last.-

(84) From the Collector of Nellore - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of June last.-

(85) From the Principal Collector of Madura - - - } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the month of June last.-

(83-86) Orders on the 3 abovementioned Registers - - - } Ordered to be lodged in the Office

Resolved

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

(87) To the Chief Secy to Government } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1845 to 30th April 1846 of Fusly 1255 compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1254.-

A. Abstract B. shews a net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 452,278-6-9¼ resulting from a net Increase of Rupees 725,988-9-4¾ in the Collections of Land Revenue and a net Decrease of Rupees 273,710-2-7½ in the Collections from Extra sources of Revenue.-

Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources in the several Districts.-

Increase in the Collections of Currents	952,683-13-9
Deduct Decrease in the Collections of Arrears	226,695-4-4½
	725,988-9-4¾

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th July 1846.-

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary
General

13th July. 1846.

1845 to 30th April 1846 of Fusly 1255 compared with the Collections in arrears and Current.

Land Revenue
Comparison

					Decrease		Net	
Total		Arrears		Current	Total		Increase	Decrease
10		11		12	13		14	15
194388	1 7	"	" "	"	" "	" "	194388	1 7 " "
339406	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "	339406	" " " "
"	" "	155485	7 6	58016	7 3	213501 14 9	"	" " 213501 14 9
"	" "	88050	14 8	"	" "	88050 14 8	"	" " 9318 8 4
78732	6 4	"	" "	"	" "	" "	215192	14 10 " "
215192	14 10	"	" "	65213	4 2	109478 9 "	"	" " 109478 9 "
"	" "	44265	4 10	7163	14 3	7163 14 3	15295	" 11 " "
22458	15 2	"	" "	"	" "	" "	81518	14 9 " "
81518	14 9	"	" "	"	" "	47094 12 7	233491	10 5 " "
280586	7 "	47094	12 7	63729	2 4	124632 7 11	"	" " 124632 7 11
"	" "	60903	5 7	"	" "	116187 11 10	"	" " 33921 7 9
82266	4 1	116187	11 10	"	" "	73555 6 11	264156	6 7 " "
337711	13 6	73555	6 11	"	" "	3260 3 "	22992	9 2 " "
26252	12 2	3260	3 4	"	" "	6004 9 11	235927	4 1 " "
241931	14 "	6004	9 11	"	" "	198912	54	" " 198912 54
"	" "	5968	3 "	192944	12 4	193864 " "	"	" " 183281 10 "
10582	6 "	"	" "	193864	" "	4154 10 7	"	" " 2815 4 1
1339	6 6	4154	10 7	"	" "	10724 6 10	6764	6 2 " "
17488	13 "	10724	6 10	"	" "	2027 1 9	3825	2 5 " "
5852	4 2	2027	1 9	"	" "	1767 5 1	"	" " 365 8 4
1401	12 9	1767	5 1	"	" "	" "	"	" " " "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "	"	" " " "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "	"	" " " "
"	" "	30350	12 9	594	13 4	30945	9 9	" " 30945 9 9
1937111	1 10	649800	4 10	5815265	4	12313261	02	16129586 11 9071715 3
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "	"	3688663 " 1668248 "
								16984134 9238563 1/4
								9238563 1/4 D.r Decrease
								725988 9 3/4 Net Increase

Estate Account

For Quarter ended 25th March 1854.

	Dr.	Cr.
To Balance brought down	2,639,658	11 3
By Rent		2,624,403 5
To Timber sold	79,173	8 —
By Repairs		85,165 8
To Rent	29,106,24	8 —
By Rates & Taxes		116,278 10 3
To Timber sold	29,106,23	10 10
By Repairs		389,422 8 —
To Rent	29,039,27	13 6
By Rates & Taxes		381,892 3 4
To Timber sold	32,606,05	5 9
By Repairs		330,622 8 —
To Rent	29,041,21	10 —
By Rates & Taxes		381,892 3 4
To Timber sold	26,812,21	8 —
By Repairs		351,042 17
To Rent	29,015,74	11 —
By Rates & Taxes		389,089 15 8
To Timber sold	23,614,73	13 —
By Repairs		948 12 —
To Rent	29,042,12	10 —
By Rates & Taxes		210,091 8 —
To Timber sold	21,347,32	13 —
By Repairs		361,447 14 —
To Rent	29,072,12	7 —
By Rates & Taxes		369,721 12 —
To Timber sold	23,094,56	11 —
By Repairs		2,412,274 11

Fort de George

Annually recorded Occurrences

	Yearly Total	Yearly Increase	Decrease	Yearly Total	Yearly Increase
1	3,447,411	3,281,579	" "	165–152	7,269,571
2	" "	" "	" "	" "	7,873,130
3	7,170,746	6,950,843	" "	219 158	579,078,829
4	80 "	71 "	" "	" "	4,824,268
5	4,210,444	4,094,410	" "	425,461,537	3,246,868,62
6	8015–910	9,404,103	403 72	129,219,910	13,937,613
7	6,687,449	43–70 31	" "	125–948	1,744,111 "	23,879,418
8	3,207,903	5,825–715	2,625–72	10,636,306	11,781,971
9	193 " 4	308 114	115–108	609,075–36	639,457,854
10	1,876,902	2,265–43	389 11	19,614,844	20,682,121
11	3,743,35–	3,230,148	374 63	1,748,221,0	19,881,52
12	2,170,134	2,208,353	334 139	24,764,233	24,730,13
13	1,983,244	2,238 " 1	504 39	395,895–2	40,682,944
14	9,062,424	10,605–26	" "	865,746 – 4	57,5–2,411
15	9,881,125–	103–39 " 6	657 4 1	79,169,59	7,941,361
16	7,386,611	7,347,338	85,577 6	23,845,310	2,331,871,11
17	411 124	407,124	39 33	12,799,017 6	1,236,061,5–
18	1,236,153	2,586,151	11 " "	617,457,126	65,480,611
19	3,720,105–	309,114 82	1,844,16–4	8,596,693	1,330,108
20	1,708,907	1,869,644	206 123	2,411,131	8,760,572,4
21	2,175,5–6	2,034,327	160 129	" "	" "
22	4,791,14–5	273 71	118 53	2,636,127	1,412,871,2
23	1,206,644,1	1,834,087	1,625–137	179,411	9,095,838,9

Yearly Total — Yearly Increase — Decrease — Yearly Total — Yearly Increase

24	1,391,899	1,622,377	10		
25	2,887,44	2,126,0	14,9		

	Surname	Christian Name	Residence	Occupation
34	Abbott	Edward	Fern Hill	Farmer
35	Adams	Thomas	Fern Hill	Blacksmith
36	Allen	Samuel	Fern Hill	Miller
37	Anderson	Robert	Fern Hill	Carpenter
38	Armstrong	James	Fern Hill	Schoolmaster
39	Atkinson	William	Fern Hill	Clerk

34	604 89	" "	1057	63	3,187,426,1
35	921 87	" "	" "	" "	24,314 "	1,347,9 "
36	10,157 6	" "	" "	" "	4,478 " 8	" "	1,461,131	5,218,37	3 59
37	3,734 107	" "	505–4 8	2,967,707	3	20,486,810	1,644,29	83	792 5–5	1,634,637	2 10	1,644,29	83	792 5–5	3,833,10
38	109 31	" "	" "	" "	" "

9137

Fort St. George 13th. July 1846.

	Tobacco				Stamps			
	Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10,081 106	6,910 9 "	" " "	3171 16
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21,923 15 "	8343 15 "	" " "	13580 " "
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,037 97	6536 11 "	" " "	2501 147
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,105 13 "	7513 2 "	" " "	4592 11 "
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4279 66	2642 7 "	" " "	1636 156
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,433 710	7191 13 "	" " "	4241 1010
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,325 " "	5699 8 "	" " "	5625 8 "
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,321 136	12,435 16	1113 4 "	" " "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7141 14 "	6231 12 "	" " "	910 2 "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	16,532 96	10,363 7 "	" " "	6169 26
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,950 66	4588 9 "	" " "	5361 136
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,238 32	2,114 7 13 "	" " "	10,082 62
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9118 13 "	6938 12 "	" " "	2180 1 "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18,306 10 "	7966 13 "	" " "	10339 13 "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11186 9 "	2099 14 "	" " "	9086 11 "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14,731 9 "	10,115 " "	" " "	4616 9 "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,248 15 "	7015 12 "	" " "	4233 3 "
18	2,125,411	4,220,835	743 8,324	1211 " "	26,971 68	15,322 7 "	" " "	11,648 158
19	4,135,691	10,450,863	310 37,294	2 " "	40,299 2 "	27,601 126	" " "	12,697 56
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,482 116	5,328 10 "	" " "	2154 16
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,536 12 "	" " "	" " "	3,536 12 "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	927 15 "	703 11 "	" " "	224 4 "
	6,260,832	26,716,992	1,456,181	411 " "	300,174 43	182,697 7 "	1113 4 "	118,590 13
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	6,260,832	26,716,992	1,456,181	411 " "	300,174 43	182,697 7 "	1113 4 "	118,590 13
							Dft Increase	1113 4
							Net Decrease	117,476 133
	5,532,034	5,979,338 "			272,176 132	164,609 146		
	7,287,915	7,376,515 1			27,997 71	18,087 86		

	Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue				Net	
	Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	48	49	50	51	52	53
	315,188 123	360,881 5 "	49,057 1511	3365 7 2	45,692 89 "	" "
	175,833 19	154,027 "	5992 " "	27,707 109	" " "	21,805 109
	253,971 87	262,432 14 1	23,528 14	17,866 1110	8461 56 "	" "
	384,033 11	474,701 " 11	99,492 51	8824 53	90,667 1510	" "
	342,842 157	366,561 14 "	27,331 1 10	3612 3 5	23,718 145	" "
	413,361 141	421,245 10 8	12,128 45	4244 7 10	7883 127	" "
	166,778 311	138,000 10 2	951 159	29,729 96	" " "	28,777 99
	348,840 86	333,702 1 "	6529 62	21,667 138	" " "	15,138 76
	472,308 " 10	626,655 728	155,257 1310	910 2 "	154,347 1110	" "
	154,865 410	154,733 154	13,541 411	13,672 105	" " "	131 56
	378,700 138	423,752 14 6	57,950 73	12,898 65	45,052 " 10	" "
	672,532 116	685,011 610	30,865 66	18,386 112	12,478 114	" "
	30,980 6 "	31,803 95	2,203 45	2180 1 "	23 35	" "
	576,653 118	447,633 23	262 " 4	129,282 99	" " "	129,020 95
	571,081 68	481,023 148	13,898 99	103,956 19	" " "	90,057 "
	332,953 51	214,964 159	498 28	18,486 8 "	" " "	17,988 54
	137,627 12 "	128,924 115	4619 15	13,322 2 "	" " "	8703 " 7
	10,103,331 31	8,463,801 01	48,970 1411	212,924 111	" " "	163,953 "
	1,111,929 19	1,062,610 42	39,185 106	88,504 81	" " "	49,318 137
	661,036 911	573,575 " 4	160 129	87,622 64	" " "	87,461 97
	22,755 " 6	20,343 27	" " "	2411 1311	" " "	2411 1311
	539,921 23	498,030 114	" " "	41,890 611	" " "	41,890 611
	113,430 156	107,220 3 "	275 1 4½	6485 7310½	" " "	6210 126
	90,849,605 "	88,104,175	2,594,699 131½	869,242 1211½	388,326 46	66,286,944
					832 132½	" "
	5,510,139	6,343 10	11½ "	" " "	389,159 18½	66,286,944
	90,904,712	88,167,618	1½		Dft Increase	389,159 18½
					Net Decrease	273,710 27½
	80,225,619	77,788,092	11½			
	10,679,093	10,379,513 2				

9138

Fort St. George

13th July 1846–

Total including Bectra Branches of Revenue

	Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease
	54	55	56	57	58	59
1	100814667	1248227 " 11	243446 16	3365 72	240080 104	" "
2	81799146	1135592 39	345398 "	27797 109	317600 53	" "
3	18595039	1834463 106	25528 14	230568 107	" "	" "
4	999374 " 10	1080723 84	99402 51	18142 87	" "	205040 93
5	98622223	1225733 156	242524 " 8	36123 5	81340 76	" "
6	98749785	885902 12 "	12128 45	1137 23 " 10	238911 133	" "
7	131015813 5	1296676 47	16247 " 8	29729 96	" "	101504 125
8	157230127	1578681 910	88048 411	21667 138	" "	13482 810
9	178797634	2175815 97	3887409 83	910 2 "	66380 73	" "
10	153110538	1406341 63	13541 411	387839 63	" "	" "
11	19056153	1916191 144	57950 73	46819 142	" "	124763 135
12	3045632133	3322267 152	2950213 1	18386 112	111309 1	" "
13	1132421132	1155437 99	25195 137	2180 1 "	276635 111	" "
14	21107331	2217639 109	2361894 5	129282 99	230157 27	" "
15	2443909137	2156939 63	1389899	30286 9 11	1069061 08	" "
16	19443086 7	1743238 73	49828	20176 82 "	" "	288970 74
17	19539825 1	1942464 " 5	46191 5	16137 61	" "	201269 154
18	2248961134	2001773 " 6	5573551	21292 411	" "	11518 48
19	2357732124	2306239 12	43010121 1	8850 481	" "	157188 1210
	70928448	62145729	16012 9	8798 7148	" "	45493 112
20	2275 " 6	2034327	" "	241 13 11	" "	87827 111
	5399213	4980301 14	" "	4189 611	" "	2411 1311
21	65990855 8½	6227515 5½	2751 4½	3743 177½	" "	41890 611
						37156 63
	3387109 0 11½	3430233 2 21½	2207658 4½	1776 41 6 12 2½	1749850 41	1318608 123
	1420861 48½	1631231 37½	" "	" "	37965 14 "	16928 15 9/4
	3401317 7 99¾	3446545 6 " 7	" "	" "	17878162 1	1335537 113¾

1335537 11 33/4 D.r Decrease
452278 69/4 Net Increase

2834498 9 103/4 2852685 7 89
5668187 15 6 5938598 7 10

Collection of Old Balances
Land Revenue

Sayer

	Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1254	Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease
	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67
	7592538	8517911 2	925476	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1210 125	5230 10 10	4019 145	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	34 1	111 " 7	7612 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	80543	332 79	" "	473 66	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1000 1411	483 " 8	" "	5171 48	" "	" "	" "	" "
	56 5 1	504 58	448 " 7	" "	" "	26 5 "	26 5 "	" "
	3390121	4285 1511	89530	" "	6798	" "	" "	6798
	30814 141	19674 142	" "	111691 511	" "	" "	" "	" "
	3553 510	19192 110	15638 12 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	4822 1510	6341 59	1518 511	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	2982 21	6773 22	3791 " 11	" "	" "	469 "	469 "	" "
	11307 9	16607 2	52915 5	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	3788	1506	" "	2284 2	" "	" "	" "	" "
	44 " 8	659 4	2188	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1271 149	1660 99	397 11 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	17401510	17231 09	" "	1751 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	419 7 "	378 42	" "	41 210	" "	" "	" "	" "
	102781	1322 27	29410 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	59351110¼	1701711	" "	42343 11¼	16 " "	28 "	" "	138 "
	1365760 " 11¼	1567802	836886 65	166824 8¼	839 3	756 "	721 4 "	81 18

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 3[rd] July 1846

Abkarry

Sundry Sma[illegible]

	Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1254	Fusly 12[illegible]
	68	69	70	71	72	73
1	444 3 10	84 10 9	" " "	359 9 1	11 8 "	87 "
2	" " "	1463 9 6	1463 9 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	646 9 3	" " "	" " "	646 9 3	1 12 "	58 10 "
4	23 8 "	32 1 6	8 9 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	2 " "	" " "	" " "	2 " "	426 "	103 9 "
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	679 8 11	52 " "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1691 14 3	" " "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	178 2 3	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	38 " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	95 8 "	866 12 "	" " "	771 4 "	" " "	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	11 " "	2020 " "	" " "	2009 " "	" " "	" " "
	1222 13 1	4467 19	4252 7 "	10824	2791 14 5	273 7

Arms and Licenses.

	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease
	74	75	76	77	78	79
	" " "	3 " 2	" " "	" 12 4	" 12 4	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	212 6 8	528 6 10	311 " 2	" " "
	57 1 5	" " "	1 8 "	72 8 7	71 " 7	" " "
	" " "	" " "	216 3 2	366 7 10	150 4 8	" " "
	61 3 10	" " "	112 5 "	27 2 4	" " "	85 2 8
	" " "	627 3 6	21 6 "	33 2 4	11 12 4	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	1691 14 3	31 4 2	159 11 "	128 6 10	" " "
	" " "	" " "	11 1 6	10 3 8	" " "	" 13 10
	" " "	" " "	6 15 2	" " "	" " "	6 15 2
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	178 2 3	69 4 "	" " "	" " "	69 4 "
	" " "	32 3 4	97 10 9	" " "	" " "	97 10 9
	" " "	" " "	4 5 2	" 6 9	" " "	3 14 5
	" " "	" " "	145 13 5	12 " 6	" " "	133 12 11
	" " "	" " "	153 4 2	4 8 "	" " "	148 12 2
	" " "	" " "	" 4 9	2 3 2	1 14 5	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 13 10½
	" " "	" " "	328 12 8	314 14 9¼	" " "	" " "
	" " "	104 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	118 5 3	2636 12 7	1412 8 7	1527 12 1½	675 7 4	560 3 9½

Liverpool Average

	Total.										

Fort St. George

13th July 1846

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing. 92			Balance under 15 years standing 93			Total 94			Particulars of Arrears of fasly 1254 and Balances Land Revenue. Jaury Mostahs. 95			Minors. 96		
1	"	"	"	8,30,128	1	7	8,30,128	1	7	27,222	15	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	1,015	12	1	1,015	12	1	68,686	8	5	"	"	"
3	10,438	"	3	30,605	"	6	32,043	"	9	7,033	8	3	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	3,892	15	7	3,892	15	7	12,87,092	11	6	1,34,319	8	7
5	"	"	"	1,39,586	"	"	1,39,586	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	29,561	15	10	29,561	15	10	3,218	15	4	22,546	4	6
7	"	"	"	6,768	1	1	6,768	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	1,068	12	4	1,068	12	4	1,10,42	4	1	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	36,276	"	5	36,276	"	5	2,360	12	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	38,477	13	"	38,477	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	25,643	12	"	44,434	5	5	70,078	1	5	1791	"	8	"	"	"
12	5,863	9	6	30,7898	14	10	3,13,762	8	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	71,368	"	5	71,368	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	7,234	2	7	66,759	8	11	73,993	11	6	17,595	1	2	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	87	"	8	87	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	1495	4	5	1495	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	14,150	2	8	14,150	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	4,390	12	10	4,390	12	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	2,339	1	7	2,339	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	491	1	10	19,515	4	4	20,006	6	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	1,70,716	12	1¼	1,70,716	12	1¼	"	"	"	"	"	"
	49,670	10	2	20,99,535	12	7¼	21,49,206	6	9¼	14,89,043	12	5	1,56,865	13	1

Balances of prior date in train of liquidation permanently settled.

Sequestered. 97			Total. 98			Land Revenue not permanently settled. Rent. 99			Aumany. 100			Total Land Revenue. 101.		
						"	"	"	13,956	2	"	44,676	1	3
3,497	"	3	30,719	15	3	"	"	"	37,042	12	3	12,1233	11	10
15504	7	2	84,190	15	7	"	"	"	46,891	12	2	8,33,905	12	5
7,86,980	8	"	8,37,014	"	3	"	"	"	1,00,228	12	10	26,41,711	2	"
11,20,070	1	1	25,41,482	5	2	"	"	"	12,303	15	"	7,15,6725	1	7
7,144421	2	7	7,144421	2	7	"	"	"	1135	10	5	26,900	14	3
"	"	"	25,765	3	10	"	"	"	8,154	4	3	8,154	4	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	724	15	"	28361	13	4
16,594	10	3	27,636	14	4	"	"	"	1,61,901	5	4	1,64,262	1	4
"	"	"	2,360	12	"	"	"	"	2,619	6	4	2,619	6	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,877	8	11	4,674	12	1
"	"	"	1,791	"	8	6	2	6	2	7	8	24,497	1	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	24,494	9	9	777	4	6	777	4	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,292	8	6	20,337	9	8
"	"	"	17,595	1	2	"	"	"	5,686	9	1	5,686	9	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,664	5	"	2,664	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,317	11	8	2,317	11	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,522	1	9	3,522	1	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	194	"	"	902	7	7	1,096	7	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,032	3	7	6,032	3	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14,107	8	10	14,107	8	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9,06,067	13	4	10,71,2977	6	10	24,694	12	3	4,27,141	12	8	1,11,64,813	15	9

9142

Fort St. George

13th July 1846.

	Salt. 102	Sayer. 103	Abkarry. 104	Sundry small farms and Licenses. 105	Extra Revenue. Moturpha. 106	Sea Customs 107	Tobacco. 108	Stamps. 109	Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109. 110	Balance of Current Demand of July 1254. Land Revenue. 111	Extra Revenue. 112	Total. 113
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	45504 3 4	51967 14 5	"	51967 14 5
2	"	"	2657 12 11	131 6 4	696 11 9	"	"	"	124020 11 8	354820 12 10	7339 9 3	342160 6 1
3	"	"	"	"	129 2 6	"	"	"	834803 4 3	251014 2 7	14167 5 8	265181 8 3
4	"	"	"	119 13 "	777 10 10	"	"	"	264180 15 11	264630 10 9	3474 15 4	268105 10 1
5	"	"	"	"	99 13 11	"	"	"	71668 53 10 "	322984 9 "	"	322984 9 4
6	"	"	"	128 8 5	"	"	"	"	27148 2 10	70285 9 10	29947 15 10	100233 9 8
7	"	"	"	121 11 7	125 9 "	"	"	"	9191 9 3	59431 3 2	2964 " 3	62395 3 5
8	"	"	31 13 7	21 12 1	1027 5 "	"	"	"	28415 7 "	74201 1 1	1706 2 "	75907 3 1
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	164645 13 1	57981 1 3	1173 2 1	59154 3 4
10	"	"	"	"	383 11 9	"	"	"	2619 6 4	20025 13 7	10580 11 9	30606 9 4
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4681 3 "	"	2609 12 11	2609 12 11
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24497 1 5	216942 18 4	6197 13 8	223140 13 "
13	"	"	"	"	6 6 11	"	"	"	777 4 6	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	25872 12 7	19178 6 3	15131 " "	34309 6 3
15	"	"	"	4912 " "	"	"	"	"	5853 10 10	"	1034 3 4	1034 3 4
16	"	"	"	25 4 "	78 2 11	"	"	"	2719 10 8	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	141 13 9	"	"	"	3122 12 10	2310 " "	3777 " "	6087 " "
18	"	"	"	"	55 5 3	"	"	"	3630 7 "	461180 4 4	11197 14 8	472378 3 "
19	"	"	46 2 10	15 " "	305 1 2	"	"	"	1329 6 4	4888 8 11	3953 8 "	8842 " 11
20	"	"	"	89 14 1	93 5 3	"	"	"	6032 3 7	16520 1 10	3782 10 5	20302 12 3
	"	"	"	"	96 13 10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	572 2 9½	60 " "	365 10 3	"	"	"	15105 5 10½	"	19687 8 10	19687 8 10
			3308 " 1½	5625 5 6	4881 5 7	6 6 11			111786351 10½	22283 63 3 2	1387 25 6 "	2367088 9 2

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

	Total Columns 110 and 113.	Total Balances Columns 94 and 114.	Remission. Of recent arrears.	Of Old Balances.	Total.
1	97,472 1 9	9,27,600. 3 4	" " "	25,128 13 1	25128. 13 1
2	4,66,181. 1 4	4,67,196 13 5	6,478- 2 "	" " "	6,478 2 "
3	11,49,984 12 6	1,47,0127 13 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	19,09,916 10 -	29,13,809 9 7	67 " "	2099 11 8	2,166 11 8
5	74,79,838 3 -	76,19,424 3 -	" " "	33 " "	30 " "
6	1,27,381 12 6	1,56,943. 12 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	71,586 12 8	73,354 13 9	" " "	1,741 6 8	1,741 6 8
8	104,322 10 1	105391 6 5	" " "	852 3 7	852 3 7
9	2,23,800 " 5	2,60,976 " 10	39,500. 7 11	1,036,948. 10 2	1,076,444 2 1
10	33,225 15 8	71,703 12 8	1,082 3 7.	" " "	1083 3 7
11	7,290. 15 11	77,369 1 4	" " "	335 13 4	335 13 4
12	2,47,637 14 5	5,61,400 6 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	77,746	72,145. 4 11	" " "	297 11 5	297 11 5
14	60,182 2 10	1,34,175 14 4	20 14 4	503 12 8	524 10 7
15	6,887 14 2	6,974 14 10	" " "	4739 4 7	4739 4 7
16	2,719 10 8	4,214 15 1	" " "	1370 2 -	1370. 2 -
17	9,209 12 10	23,359 15 6	" " "	1,552 14 8	1,552 14 8
18	4,76,003 10 -	4,80,399 6 10	" " "	2,761 3 4	2,761 3 4
19	10,171. 7 3	12,510. 8 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
	26,334 15 10	46,341 6 -	" " "	" " "	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	34,792 14 8½	2,05,509 10 9¾	" " "	894 " 5	894 " 5
	135,45,723 11 ½	156,94,930 1 9¾	47,149 11 10.	10,79,300 11 2	1126,450 7 -

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary
Comparative.

9114

B. Comparative abstract of the Collections of Land Revenue and Duties in the Madras Presidency for Fasli 1251 and 1255 viz. to 30th April 1846.

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Fasli 1251. Fasli 1255. Increase. Decrease.

Nil.

	Rs. as	Rs. as	Rs. as	Rs. as
Land Revenue	24,23,706 7 ¼	26,48,695 - 6½	2,24,988 9 6¼	" " "
Salt	38,37,20. 14 1	38,109 61- 12 10	1,41,340. 14 9	" " "
Sayer	4,32,211 6 2½	2,16,894 8 ½	2,15,316. 14 2	" " "
Abkary	15,43,347 - 9	15-63,039 2 7½	19,442 1 10½	" " "
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	1,82,066. 14 1	1,83,498 6 7	1,431 3 6	" " "
Moturpha	90,533 8 9½	99,982 4 7½	9,193 11 1	" " "
Sea Customs	13,16,031. 15 6	12,78,888 4 4	" " "	4,143. 11 10
Tobacco	6,26,80. 8 2	6,41,899 2 1	14,818 9 11	" " "
Stamps	3,01,174 4 3	1,82,697 7 -	" " "	4,5,613 14 11
Total of the Eastern Branches of Revenue	99,04,91 2 9	88,16,461 - 1½	2,12,2 2½	3 10½
Grand Total of Land and Eastern Branches of Revenue	34,01,147 9 9¾	34,46,456. 7 9¾	47,85,15. 13 8½	7 9
Particulars				
Collections to the end of March	28,34,39 10 8¾	28,76,95 8 9		
Collections in April	58,68,18 7. 15 6	59,33,93 7 10		

13th July 1846.

Abstract

9145 Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and Revenue from Extra Sources up to 30th April 1846.

	Land Revenue.				Extra Sources of Revenue.			
	Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
Ganjam	203642	9 1	"	" "	45330	11 10	"	" "
Vizagapatam	83940	6 "	"	" "	"	" "	20341	13 "
Rajahmundry	"	" "	209482	" 4	8182	13 10	"	" "
Masulipatam	"	" "	93138	4	99747	9 11	"	" "
Guntoor	215269	11 4	"	" "	23928	6 11	"	" "
Chingleput	"	" "	109951	15 6	7171	6 5	"	" "
Salem	14777	2 8	"	" "	"	" "	28765	13 "
Madura	81966	15 4	"	" "	"	" "	16804	" "
Nellore	234386	14 3	"	" "	154408	9 "	"	" "
Nr. Dn. of Arcot	"	" "	135802	7 10	"	" "	132	3 "
Sr. Dn. of Arcot	"	" "	13282	11 9	45045	1 8	"	" "
Tanjore	265674	12 6	"	" "	12478	11 4	"	" "
Trichinopoly	26783	9 3	"	" "	"	" "	154	4 "
Tinnevelly	236457	3 6	"	" "	"	" "	129075	12 "
Bellary	"	" "	199141	3 6	"	" "	90155	2 "
Cuddapah	"	" "	133260	1 4	"	" "	17992	3 9
Coimbatore	"	" "	24179	1	"	" "	8836	13 "
Canara	6747	1 1	"	" "	"	" "	164101	15 "
Malabar	37783	15 7	"	" "	"	" "	43545	11 2
Madras — Land Customs	"	" "	70	13 10	"	" "	87461	9 "
Madras — Toll collected on Cochrane's Canal	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2411	13 "
Madras — Sea Customs	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	41390	6 1
Kurnool	"	" "	35179	13 2¼	"	" "	4333	2 8
Total	1628395	14 7	902907	5 2¼	337293	6 11	661003	9 6
	902907	5 2¼	Dr. Decrease		Dr. Increase		337293	6 "
	725988	9 4¼	Net Increase		Net Decrease		273710	2 6

13th July 1846.

(signed) T. Pycroft
Secy.

9146.

88

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Submitting a Statement shewing the amount of Gross Collections charges and Net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Residency for Fuly 1254 A.D. 1844-45 compared with Fuly 1253. A.D. 1843-44

Fort St. George 13th July 1846

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying

1. General Statement shewing the amount of Gross Collections charges and Net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Residency for Fuly 1254 A.D. 1844-45 compared with Fuly 1253 A.D. 1843-44

2. The following Abstract Statement exhibits a Net Decrease on the Net Revenue from all sources amounting to Rupees 12,60,459-6-5 resulting from a Gross Increase of Rupees 7,35,968-15-7 under the heads of Salt, Sea Customs, and Moturpha, and a Gross Decrease in Tobacco, Land Customs, Abkarry Sundry small Farms and Licenses and Stamps amounting to Rupees 19,96,428-6-"

Abstract

9147

nue

rse Decrease

Salt

Deduct Charges

facture and Ex

4 8 " " "

Tobacco " 10,185 1 10

Deduct Charges fo

Land Custom " 187834 5 6

Sea Customs 7 2 " " "

Abkarry " 12,973 12 "

Sundry small

and License " 23770 2 3

Stamps " 7 1,153 15 5

Moturpha 99 " " "

57 1,296,428 6 "

se 2,35,968 457

Grand Total " 1,260,459 65

Deduc

The

9148

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

3. The Gross receipts from all sources in Fusly 1254 without deductions of Charges of Purchase and Manufacture of Salt and Tobacco amounted to Rupees 1,15,28284 – 6 – 4

The Gross receipts deducting those charges were Rupees 108,73184 – 12 – 5 exhibiting a Decrease in the receipts of Fusly 1254 in the former case of Rupees 18,79,320 – " – 9 and in the latter of Rupees 19,21,152 – 14 – 7. –

Fusly 1253 –	1,34,07,604 – 7 – 1	
" 1254 –	1,15,28284 – 6 – 4	
Decrease –	18,79,320 – " – 9	
Fusly 1253 –	1,27,94337 – 11 – "	
" 1254 –	1,08,73184 – 12 – 5	
Decrease –	19,21,152 – 14 – 7	

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th July 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

General

9150

Fort St. George

Stamps and Returns for Fusly 1254. Compared with Fusly 1253.

13th July 1846.

	Charges for Superintendence						Salt Total		(Contd) Charges				Net Revenue			
	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease	
	14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21	
	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P
1	6,384	7 6	7,059	9 5	775	1 11	-	- -	18,225	8 1	10,780	4 10	-	- -	7,475	3 3
2	7,620	12 4	9,289	12 5	1,669	" 1	-	- -	9,523	10 7	16,856	14 "	7,333	3 5	-	- -
3	17,509	2 11	10,846	6 11	-	- -	6,662	12 "	29,666	5 4	28,175	15 "	-	- -	1,491	3 11
4	9,717	7 2	9,485	11 9	-	- -	231	11 5	18,113	10 1	19,822	7 7	1,708	13 6	"	" "
5	14,442	8 1	17,389	" 1	2,946	8 "	-	- -	21,321	4 6	52,570	26 "	31,248	14 "	"	" "
6	28,653	11 5	17,879	7 2	"	" "	10,774	4 3	96,539	" 3	63,818	11 7	-	- -	32,720	4 8
7	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
8	24,208	2 3	23,303	15 2	"	" "	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
9	43,798	14 11	41,802	15 1	"	" "	904	3 1	50,218	8 9	51,633	13 9	1,415	5 "	"	" "
10	852	4 "	862	" "	"	" "	1,995	15 10	83,607	14 6	105,646	13 3	22,038	14 9	"	" "
11	25,984	8 2	26,643	10 6	659	2 4	-	- -	2,186	14 3	2,808	4 "	621	5 9	"	" "
12	14,635	1 1	14,203	8 7	-	- -	-	- -	35,124	9 1	52,422	23 "	17,297	9 2	"	" "
13	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "	431	8 6	51,632	13 8	50,084	7 6	"	" "	1,548	6 2
14	12,473	8 4	12,359	1 7	"	" "	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "	-	- -
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	114	6 9	51,528	" 4	33,323	5 8	"	" "	18,204	10 8
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	23,250	2 11	30,759	11 4	7,500	8 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	7,045	13 2	7,514	3 9	468	6 7	"	" "	89,635	" 2	67,687	16 "	"	" "	21,947	14 8
20	18,634	1 2	24,703	7 7	6,069	6 5	"	" "	96,870	14 3	110,648	7 10	13,777	9 7	"	" "
21	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "	51,227	5 7	56,373	10 3	5,146	9 8	"	" "
22	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
	255,110	9 5	254,102	9 4	20,106	13 9	21,114	13 10	705,421	7 5	722,622	11 "	355,753	5 3	113,852	8 2
					Dr. Increase		20,106	13 9					355,753	5 3	Dr. Decrease	
					Net Decrease		1,008	" 1					241,899	3 1	Net Increase	

	Net Revenue			
	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease	
	22		23		24		25	
	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P	CRs.	a P
	237,536	5 10	292,799	5 -	55,254	3 7	-	- -
	104,142	15 5	94,572	11 "	"	" "	9,570	4 5
	254,604	1 8	124,286	4 8	-	- -	130,217	13 "
	253,559	13 6	31,050	" 3	57,490	2 9	-	- -
	326,761	5 9	304,042	13 11	"	" "	22,718	7 10
	365,809	8 5	356,421	15 "	"	" "	9,387	9 5
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
	232,831	10 7	299,015	4 9	66,183	10 2	-	- -
	488,077	8 5	393,406	10 4	-	- -	94,670	14 1
	17,353	6 9	24,903	8 "	7,550	1 3	"	" "
	158,867	6 7	206,748	6 11	47,881	" 4	"	" "
	369,207	6 2	417,065	9 3	47,858	3 1	"	" "
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
	219,363	9 9	269,411	8 2	50,047	14 5	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	239,533	10 4	348,539	" 11	109,005	6 7	"	" "
	210,846	8 4	280,061	12 1	69,215	3 9	"	" "
	89,139	14 5	130,111	15 11	40,972	1 6	"	" "
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	"	" "
	3,567,535	3 11	3,852,428	2 7	551,487	15 5	266,565	" 9
	1,058,841	0 10	833,871	14 "			266,565	" 9
	833,871	14 "	Dr. Decrease				284,892	14 8
	172,009	6 "	Net Increase				Net Increase	

Fort St. George

13th. July 1846

Gross Revenue before deductions for purchase of Tobacco

	Fasly 1253			Fasly 1254			Increase			Decrease			Gross Revenue after Tobacco Monopoly deductions for purchase of Tobacco Fasly 1253			Fasly 1254		
	26			27			28			29			30			31		
	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	13291	10	5	36674	5	3	23382	10	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	306216	5	6	314634	7	4	8418	1	10	"	"	"	13291	10	5	36674	5	3
19	541951	14	6	541808	7	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	230308	11	1	237072	6	8
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	143	6	11	454903	10	10	432790	5	11
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	861459	14	5	893117	4	2	31800	12	8	143	6	11	698504	"	"	470637	1	10

143 6 11 Dr. Decrease

31657 5 9 Net Increase

Monopoly deductions for purchase Tobacco

	Increase			Decrease			Charges for purchase Fasly 1253			Fasly 1254			Increase			Decrease		
	32			33			34			35			36			37		
	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P	CRs.	a	P
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	23382	10	10	"	"	"	75907	10	5	77562	"	8	1654	6	3	"	"	"
19	6763	11	7	"	"	"	22113	4	11	87048	3	8	109018	1	8	21969	14	"
20	"	"	"	22113	4	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	30146	6	5	22113	4	11	162955	14	1	186580	2	4	23624	4	3	"	"	"

22113 4 11 Dr. Decrease

8033 1 6 Net Increase

Charges for Superintendence &c.ca

	Fasly 1253			Fasly 1254			Increase			Decrease			Tobacco Total Fasly 1253			Tobacco Total Fasly 1254		
	38			39			40			41			42			43		
	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	37235	"	2	52546	7	6	15311	7	4	"	"	"	113142	10	7	130108	8	2
19	23852	3	11	26758	15	11	2906	12	"	"	"	"	110900	7	7	135777	1	7
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	61087	4	1	79305	7	5	18218	3	4	"	"	"	224043	2	2	265885	9	9

13th July 1846

Monopoly (Contd.)

Charges

Net Revenue

	Increase			Decrease			Fasly 1253			Fasly 1254			Increase			Decrease		
	44			45			46			47			48			49		
	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	13291	10	5	36674	5	3	23382	10	10	"	"	"
19	16965	13	7	"	"	"	193073	10	11	184525	15	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	24876	10	"	"	"	"	631051	6	11	406031	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	41827	7	"	"	"	"	637616	12	3	627231	10	5	23382	10	10	33567	12	8

Increase 23382 10 10

Net Decrease 10185 1 10

9153

Fort St. George

13th July 1846

	Gross Revenue.						Land		Customs Charges		Net Revenue					
	Fasly 1253		Fasly 1254		Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1253	Fasly 1254	Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1253	Fasly 1254
	50		51		52		53		54	55	56		57		58	59
	CRs. a	P	CRs. a	P	CRs. a	P	CRs. a	P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a	P	CRs. a	P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P
1	21363 10	3	28 6	6	" "	"	21335 3	9	4891 1 9	" " "	" "	"	4891 1	9	16472 8 6	28 6 6
2	58283 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	58283 4	"	18829 " 8	" " "	" "	"	18829 "	8	39454 3 4	" " "
3	64767 10	2	4276 9	"	" "	"	60491	2	13567 11	3 1740 2 5	" "	"	11827 8	10	51199 14 11	25366 7
4	80610 13	7	38691 3	7	" "	"	41919 10	"	16972 13	2 5620 7 7	" "	"	11352 5	7	63638 " 5	33070 12 "
5	43562 4	"	11042 12	2	" "	"	32519 7	10	10304 13	3 868 2 8	" "	"	9436 10	7	33257 6 9	10174 9 6
6	39340 4	9	17 3	1	" "	"	39323 1	8	6165 5	8 " " "	" "	"	6165 5	8	33174 15 1	17 3 1
7	121363 10	1	16992 2	7	" "	"	104371 7	6	15765 2	7 2032 8 8	" "	"	13132 9	11	106198 7 6	14950 9 11
8	20624 7	63	3165 18	4	" "	"	203091	8 11	19965 7	6 569 13 10	" "	"	10398	8	186278 14 9	2585 15 6
9	83281 1	5	427 13	"	" "	"	72853 4	5	13046 15	8 " " "	" "	"	13046	5 8	70234 1 9	427 13 "
10	11700 4	13 9	7698 15	7	" "	"	109305	14 2	17199 "	9 2145 14 5	" "	"	15053	2 4	99805 13 "	5553 1 2
11	54260 "	4	5052 8	8	" "	"	104216	7 8	3738 15	5 7257 15 3	" "	"	24481 "	2	122530 " 11	4279 4 9 5
12	72734	9 1	2946 11	7	" "	"	19787	9 13 6	2148 4	5 3 7888 14 10	" "	"	13595	6 5	205857 3 10	21572 12 9
13	10105	3 12 11	" "	"	" "	"	10105	3 12 11	687 6 10	11 " " "	" "	"	687	6 10	11 94177 2 "	" " "
14	15584	1 10 9	580	1 " 4	" "	"	9784	0 10 5	1250 5 9	6 280 1 6 "	" "	"	9704	3 6	142336 1 3	55799 10 4
15	28	1 3 8 11	10	9 19 2	10 "	"	1802	1 9 3	2287 " 9	12000 4 7	" "	"	10869	12 2	258268 10 4	88918 14 3
16	20481	7 12 8	972	6 15 11	" "	"	19509	0 12 9	1199 8 5	6 2195 4 "	" "	"	9803	1 6	192819 7 2	7631 11 11
17	1537	1 2 9	1529	4 9 9	" "	"	13607	6 9 "	700 2 9	6 2038 1 5	" "	"	4964	8 1	144368 9 3	13256 8 4
18	29242	7 13 7	1807	9 5 8	" "	"	11163	2 8 7	4854	3 11 7 3207 4 10 11	" "	"	10469	" 8	243884 2 "	14872 0 13 1
19	10	7 12 3 10 7	1283	3 11 5	" "	"	9448	9 15 2	427 6 5	8 6535 10 1	" "	"	36229	11 7	64358 4 11	6098 1 4
20	4742	7 3 14 "	476	4 7	" "	"	4737	9 7 5	3127 7 3	2 " " "	" "	"	31277	3 2	161500 11 10	4764 7
21	"	" "	"	" "	" "	"	"	" "	" "	" " "	" "	"	"	"	" " "	" " "
22	12014	2 6	1142	2 " 7	" "	"	592	1 11	1536 5	10 2398 2 2	" "	"	"	"	" " "	" " "
	299749	8 2 6	55111	4 8 6	" "	"	244638	3 10 "	65620	5 7 0 4 8816 7 6 1 0	" "	"	861	12 4	10477 12 8	9023 14 5
													861	12 4	568899 15 10	234129 8 2 4 6 2 9 4 7 1 8
													Dt Increase		861 12 4	
													Nett Decrease		568038 3 6	

9154

Fort St. George

13th July 1846

Sea

Gross Revenue

	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1253		Fusly 12	
	62		63		64		65		66		67	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a
1	22907	1 8	25527	5 9	2620	4 1	"	" "	1602	6 8	1611	"
2	18490	1 5	34905	3 2	16415	1 9	"	" "	18555	15 4	18301	3
3	28806	" 1	33767	4 6	4961	4 5	"	" "	3913	2 3	3909	2
4	8092	13 9	11560	14 1	3468	" 4	"	" "	2310	1 11	2267	15
5	5145	6 7	7297	6 3	2151	15 8	"	" "	504	5 "	503	"
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
8	17349	6 4	23735	9 5	6389	3 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
9	14052	6 "	12771	3 10	"	" "	1281	2 2	2313	4 9	2305	10
10	461	13 2	140	5 7	"	" "	321	7 7	528	" "	528	"
11	10719	14 7	35886	10 11	25166	12 4	"	" "	74823	7 "	3558	"
12	102596	12 9	169344	15 6	66748	2 9	"	" "	7712	7 2	7829	6
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
14	59599	14 1	161412	11 3	101812	13 2	"	" "	2126	14 5	2483	7 11
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
18	325508	" 9	283791	9 "	"	" "	41716	7 9	213897	4 8	114664	15
19	250029	4 11	183078	1 11	"	" "	66951	3 "	48551	6 6	49244	1 6
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
21	5116971	22	7027781	5 7	1910813	5 "	"	" "	190445	2 6	169415	8
22	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"
	13754561	2 3	16860014	9 "	4208141	3 "	1102704	6 6	485203	10 10	362565	12

1102704 6 Dr. Decrease

3105448 Net Increase

Customs Charges.

Increase		Decrease	
68		69	
CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
8	9 4	"	" "
"	" "	25	2 1
"	" "	4	" 2
"	" "	42	2 1
"	" "	1	5 "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
153	10 1	"	" "
"	" "	7	10 1
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	3924	3 6
116	15 7	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
356	9 6	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	99232	5 8
692	11 "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	21029	9 7

Net Revenue

	Fusly 1253			Fusly 1254			Increase			Decrease		
	70			71			72			73		
	CRs.	a p		CRs.	a p		CRs.	a p		CRs.	a p	
	21304	11 "		21916	5 9		2611	10 9		"	" "	
	16634	2 1		33074	5 11		16440	3 10		"	" "	
	24892	13 10		29858	2 5		4965	4 7		"	" "	
	5782	11 10		9292	11 3		3510	2 5		"	" "	
	4641	1 7		6794	6 3		2153	4 8		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	15388	6 3		21323	15 3		5935	9 "		"	" "	
	11739	1 3		10465	9 2		"	" "		1273	3 1	
A	66	2 10	A	387	10 5		321	7 7		"	" "	
	3237	11 "		32328	10 10		29090	15 10		"	" "	
	94884	5 7		161515	8 9		66631	3 2		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	57472	15 8		158929	3 4		101456	3 8		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	111610	12 1		169126	10 "		57515	13 11		"	" "	
	201477	14 5		133334	" 5		"	" "		67643	14 "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	321252	9 8		533363	6 8		212110	13 "		"	" "	
	"	" "		"	" "		"	" "		"	" "	
	499319	4 3		1324333	3 "		502421	4 10				
A	66	2 10	A	387	10 5		321	7 7				
	899263	1 5		1323433	8 7		502099	13 3		68917	6 1	Dr. Decrease
							68917	6 1				
							433182	7 2				Net Increase

Deduct Sums marked letter A 1628 7 6 | 124266 6 2

Dr. Increase 1628 7 6

Cr. Net Decrease 122637 14 8

9155

Fort St. George

13th July 1846

Abkarry.

	Gross Revenue.				Net Revenue.		Charges.			
	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease		
	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85
	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1	35680 12 8	37792 9 9	2111 13 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35680 12 8	37792 9 9	2111 13 1	" " "
2	51364 6 8	53580 1 "	1715 10 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	51364 6 8	53550 1 "	1715 10 4	" " "
3	49120 15 1	52219 7 5	3098 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	49120 15 1	52219 7 5	3098 8 4	" " "
4	36687 7 7	36050 1 1	" " "	637 6 6	284 2 3	232 4 2	" " "	51 14 1	36403 5 4	35817 12 11	" " "	585 8 5
5	15671 15 1	15752 4 "	80 4 11	" " "	329 11 1	314 7 9	" " "	15 6 4	15342 1 "	15437 12 8	95 11 3	" " "
6	84255 5 4	65622 9 "	" " "	18632 12 4	95 3 7	411 2 9	315 15 2	" " "	84160 1 9	65211 6 3	" " "	18948 11 6
7	84616 9 6	89260 10 7	4644 1 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	84616 9 6	89260 10 7	4644 1 1	" " "
8	52382 18 8	54956 8 2	2573 10 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	52944 10 8	54588 5 2	2573 10 6	" " "
9	23191 3 11	26407 2 5	3215 14 6	" " "	368 3 "	368 3 "	" " "	" " "	22858 " 9	26071 2 5	3213 1 8	" " "
10	138310 9 2	128138 1 5	" " "	10172 7 9	333 3 2	336 " "	2 12 10	" " "	138310 9 2	128138 1 5	" " "	10172 7 9
11	79206 5 6	79566 13 4	360 7 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	79206 5 6	79566 13 4	360 7 10	" " "
12	72518 12 9	74563 5 8	2044 8 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	72518 12 9	74563 5 8	2044 8 11	" " "
13	20554 4 7	18903 13 11	" " "	1650 6 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20554 4 7	18903 13 11	" " "	1650 6 8
14	24939 " "	25273 13 "	334 13 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	24939 " "	25273 13 "	334 13 "	" " "
15	27021 9 2	28331 7 2	1309 7 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27021 9 2	28331 7 2	1309 7 10	" " "
16	110300 1 11	106934 " 9	" " "	3366 1 2	315 11 2	297 13 6	" " "	17 13 8	110434 6 9	106636 3 3	" " "	3848 3 6
17	70391 9 "	73391 15 2	3000 6 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	70391 9 "	73391 15 2	3000 6 2	" " "
18	60460 10 3	60220 8 10	" " "	440 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	60460 10 3	60220 8 10	" " "	440 1 5
19	61650 2 "	64156 15 5	2506 13 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	61650 2 "	64156 15 5	2506 13 5	" " "
20	60336 10 9	60313 8 10	" " "	5222 3 11	68280 12 3	77137 4 2	8356 7 11	" " "	54007 9 14 6	52600 1 2 8	" " "	1407 3 11 10
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	91245 9 1	89198 12 7	" " "	2046 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	91245 9 1	89198 12 7	" " "	2046 12 6
	2042128 12 8	2033245 2 6	38734 10 1	42668 4 3	7000 7 1 6	7009 3 4	9175 3 11	85 2 1	1972121 11 2	1959447 15 2	38797 3 7	61770 15 7
			Dt Increase	38734 10 1			85 2 1	Dt Decrease			Dt Increase	38797 3 7
			Net Decrease	3933 10 2			9090 1 10	Net Increase			Net Decrease	12973 12 "

Fort St. George

13th July 1846

	Gross Revenue				Sundry Small farms and Licenses & charges		Net Revenue			
	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease
	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	3308 14 10	3610 2 5	301 3 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3308 14 10	3610 2 5	301 3 7	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	6782 6 3	7698 11 3	916 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6782 6 3	7698 11 3	916 5 "	" " "
4	169 10 "	178 " "	8 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	169 10 "	178 " "	8 6 "	" " "
5	7315 6 8	11443 13 8	4128 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7315 6 8	11443 13 8	4128 7 "	" " "
6	18602 14 7	15310 7 2	" " "	3292 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18602 14 7	15310 7 2	" " "	3292 7 5
7	8070 3 1	9963 3 5	1893 " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8070 3 1	9963 3 5	1893 " 4	" " "
8	11407 7 11	10014 11 6	" " "	1392 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11407 7 11	10014 11 6	" " "	1392 12 5
9	308 " "	214 9 "	" " "	93 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	308 " "	214 9 "	" " "	93 7 "
10	5459 14 "	6932 10 7	1472 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5459 14 "	6932 10 7	1472 12 7	" " "
11	4057 6 8	5142 13 1	1085 6 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4057 6 8	5142 13 1	1085 6 5	" " "
12	26144 11 4	24598 7 8	" " "	1546 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	26144 11 4	24598 7 8	" " "	1546 3 8
13	5033 " 3	3837 11 11	" " "	1195 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5033 " 3	3837 11 11	" " "	1195 4 4
14	36479 8 5	26443 11 10	" " "	10036 12 7	1 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	36478 2 5	26443 11 10	" " "	10034 6 7
15	20522 4 2	18644 13 3	" " "	1877 6 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20522 4 2	18644 13 3	" " "	1877 6 11
16	15485 4 4	15813 7 2	328 2 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15485 4 4	15813 7 2	328 2 10	" " "
17	4491 14 11	5365 11 2	873 12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3405 8 8	3208 4 5	" " "	197 4 3
18	35200 8 6	21992 8 10	" " "	13207 15 8	1086 3 "	2157 6 9	" " "	" " "	27112 " 8	12762 7 8	" " "	14351 9 "
19	45215 14 2	48705 11 2	3489 13 "	" " "	3086 7 10	9230 1 2	" " "	" " "	44424 13 7	48025 4 "	3600 2 5	" " "
20	45390 6 7	43888 13 11	" " "	1501 8 8	791 " 7	680 11 2	" " "	110 5 5	25776 2 1	22105 9 9	" " "	3672 8 4
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19612 4 6	21783 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	4177 8 11	4326 14 5	149 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4177 8 11	4326 14 5	149 5 6	" " "
	303623 5 7	234127 1 5	14646 10 6	34142 14 8	29577 9 2	33851 7 3	4385 9 6	111 11 5	274045 12 5	250275 10 2	13383 3 8	37653 5 11
			Dr. Increase	14646 10 6			111 11 5	Dr. Decrease			Dr. Increase	13383 3 8
			Net Decrease	19496 4 2			4273 14 1	Net Increase			Net Decrease	23770 2 3

Gottfried Hermann

Jahr	Ort	Datum	Nr.	Betrag	Bemerkung
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	98	1	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	99	2	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	100	3	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	101	4	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	102	5	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	103	6	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	104	7	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	105	8	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	106	9	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	107	10	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	108	11	
1253	Zwickau	12. Juli	109	12	

13. Juli 1846

Gottfried Hermann

1	10126	16	6	11415	2	2	1289	2	8	CPl. 40	
2	16444	9	"	24222	3	"				CPl. 40	
3	15191	5	"	11380	8	"				CPl. 40	
4	16869	7	6	15780	3	4				CPl. 40	
5	7081	3	2	3694	14	6				CPl. 40	
6	12766	3	11	13092	14	4				CPl. 40	
7	17436	13	"	13392	9	11				CPl. 40	
8	16683	14	"	19686	9	"				CPl. 40	
9	11474	6	"	9625	10	"				CPl. 40	
10	24508	14	3	18397	10	6				CPl. 40	
11	14938	12	6	12379	3	6				CPl. 40	
12	15207	7	6	3429	6	2				CPl. 40	
13	14310	29	10	8556	15	8				CPl. 40	
14	23436	11	"	20402	13	6				CPl. 40	
15	22029	5	"	12293	6	"				CPl. 40	
16	24876	3	"	19026	6	"				CPl. 40	
17	16494	12	"	19626	6	"				CPl. 40	
18	35796	7	3	43470	6	11				CPl. 40	
19	52714	6	"	43535	2	10				CPl. 40	
20	10134	9	2	3636	8	8				CPl. 40	
21	"	"	"	"	"	"				CPl. 40	
22	14306	12	"	12121	15	"				CPl. 40	
	14329	6	1	35306	13	9	1621	11	1	9178	8

915.8

Fort St. George

13th July 1854

	Gross Revenue				Visakhapatty and Motupha Charges		Net Revenue					
	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.
	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121
	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1	7139 5 -	8079 4 1	889 15 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	7139 5 -	8079 4 1	939 15 1	- - -	- - -	- - -
2	6958 4 -	7238 12 6	1180 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	5914 4 -	7094 12 6	1180 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -
3	43762 12 9	48827 12 10	5064 - 1	- - -	1142 - -	1142 - -	31803 11 10	37549 10 2	5745 14 4	- - -	- - -	- - -
4	17924 6 8	17449 1 9	424 11 1	- - -	11960 - 11	11278 2 8	6852 13 10	11912 8 10	1259 11 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
5	37822 - 5	34149 1 7	- - -	3672 14 10	6371 3 10	5536 8 11	34732 12 5	31040 1 8	- - -	3692 9 9	- - -	- - -
6	33900 2 5	27958 8 -	- - -	5941 10 5	3988 5 -	3108 15 11	29911 13 5	27958 8 -	- - -	1952 5 5	- - -	- - -
7	81536 10 9	79758 2 10	- - -	1777 7 11	- - -	- - -	71084 6 2	69305 14 4	- - -	1778 7 11	- - -	- - -
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	19452 4 6	19452 4 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
9	20585 9 1	21299 7 6	713 14 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	20585 9 1	21299 7 6	713 14 5	- - -	- - -	- - -
10	34493 15 9	36231 - 5	1737 - 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	34493 15 9	36231 - 5	1737 - 8	- - -	- - -	- - -
11	39501 - 8	39806 - 11	305 - 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	39501 - 8	39806 - 11	305 - 3	- - -	- - -	- - -
12	40138 13 7	40200 14 8	62 1 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	39522 15 11	39585 1 -	62 1 1	- - -	- - -	- - -
13	6332 - 3	6355 9 3	23 9 -	- - -	615 13 8	615 13 8	6332 - 3	6355 9 3	23 9 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
14	98383 5 4	102653 12 11	3770 7 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	98383 5 4	102653 12 11	3770 7 7	- - -	- - -	- - -
15	26148 3 6	26739 4 9	591 - 8 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	26148 3 6	26739 4 9	591 - 8 3	- - -	- - -	- - -
16	14125 1 8	14435 10 7	310 8 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	14096 7 8	14427 3 7	330 8 11	- - -	- - -	- - -
17	85386 3 3	87194 1 10	1807 14 7	- - -	162 10 -	162 10 -	85386 3 3	87194 1 10	1807 14 7	- - -	- - -	- - -
18	15320 10 4	16081 10 -	760 15 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	15320 10 4	16081 10 -	760 15 8	- - -	- - -	- - -
19	115703 8 6	116501 6 7	797 14 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	115703 8 6	116501 6 7	797 14 1	- - -	- - -	- - -
20	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
22	44936 2 4	45967 8 9	1031 6 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	44936 2 4	45967 8 9	1031 6 5	- - -	- - -	- - -
	1131849 3 -	1147581 15 9	27790 7 8	11393 1 2	32794 10 11	31298 7 3	1096389 14 4	1116283 8 1	29307 5 10	11413 12 1	29307 5 10	11413 12 1
			11393 1 2	Dt. Decrease			20 10 11	1516 14 2			11413 12 1	Dt. Decrease
			16397 6 6	Net Increase			Dt. Increase	20 10 11				
							Net Decrease	1496 3 3			17893 9 9	Net Increase

Thread

Spools of thread for the manufacture and sale of the same.

Year	122	123	124	125	126	127
	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.
1	326337 9 10	379993 12 11	33656 3 1	" "	3143196 9 3	36303 1 6
2	2741807 3 1	7137376 13 9	" "	143131 5 6	2192904 1 10	2138208 12 -
3	492602 3 4	3111537 11 1	" "	131470 13 3	1703445 3 11	2938208 2 -
4	431128 2 3	4605281 18 3	19453 13 "	" "	2273138 15 9	4267382 10
5	4641681 2	4419994 4 9	" "	2263748 11 4	1139423 9 6	1906212 2
6	6312079 3	5422442 2	" "	1038658 5 6	2331228 10	4696202 18 9
7	3130734 3	2093866 13 4	" "	1038657 1 1	3132238 11 5	2098666 15 4
8	5791213 6	4662065 5 11	" "	1306919 13 4	5236014 2 10	2098666 15 4
9	7127378 1 4	3696996 5 4	5611106 13 4	4278791 7 4	1637804 2 10	1521128 3 4
10	3397306 4 10	2251906 8 1	6879769 1 9	6062656 7 2	" "	" "
11	4964864 10 5	4820044 11 9	1146588 12 "	2232444 4 1	" "	" "
12	9347236 10	8422648 14 "	" "	4762226 3 10	" "	" "
13	1473834 9	3966542 7	" "	1473443 9 4	3977908 10 3	3066476 16 1
14	6790411 8	6896922 12 8	1645811 "	" "	1473443 9 4	3966542 7
15	8553934 11	6825648 8 "	" "	1712824 12 11	8653934 11	6832568 8 "
16	4971047 7	2939666 7 5	" "	2031382 " 2	4971047 7 7	2939666 7 5
17	3411279 4	2315461 2	" "	1092881 3 2	3411279 7	2315461 2
18	4000928 12 "	1327912 15 4	" "	712185 11 8	1267306 5 5	1963566 7 6
19	4321068 3 3	4057949 12 10	" "	1963566 5	1393723 13 6	1321923 6 6
20	1279256 12 6	9426261 2	1910831 3 5	" "	4358901 11 4	1284934 3 1
21	3116971 12 2	4027978 16 7	" "	" "	1284934 3 1	3109855 9 6
22	4533044 2 10	1652128 3 4	" "	1647516 6	1633804 2 10	1521128 3 4
	1890320 9					

Wax

Candles.

Year	128	129	130	131	132	133
	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.
1	3676 3 1	" "	3443896 9	3436303 16	" "	" "
2	4631.5 6	2192904 4 10	2138208 12 -	" "	" "	" "
3	1911.31 11 1	" "	1701445 5 11	2938208 2 -	" "	" "
4	1911.31 13 "	" "	2273138 15 9	4267382 10	" "	" "
5	4641681 2	4419994 4 9	1139423 9 6	1906212 2	" "	" "
6	1038658 5 6	2331228 10	4696202 18 9	" "	" "	" "
7	1038657 1 1	3132238 11 5	2098666 15 4	" "	" "	" "
8	1306919 13 4	5236014 2 10	1521128 3 4	" "	" "	" "
9	4278791 7 4	1637804 2 10	" "	" "	" "	" "
10	6062656 7 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
11	2232444 4 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
12	4762226 3 10	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
13	3066476 16 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
14	2938208 2 -	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
15	6832568 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
16	2939666 7 5	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
17	2315461 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
18	1963566 7 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
19	1321923 6 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
20	1284934 3 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
21	3109855 9 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
22	1521128 3 4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1890320 9					

Thread

Spools of thread for the manufacture and sale of the same.

Year	122	123	124	125	126	127
	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.
1	326337 9 10	379993 12 11	33656 3 1	" "	3143196 9 3	36303 1 6
2	2741807 3 1	7137376 13 9	" "	1431.3 6	2192904 4 10	2138208 12 -
3	492602 3 4	3111537 11 1	" "	1317.0 13 3	1701445 5 11	2938208 2 -
4	431128 2 3	4605281 18 3	19453 13 "	" "	2273138 15 9	4267382 10
5	4641681 2	4419994 4 9	" "	2263748 11 4	1139423 9 6	1906212 2
6	1038658 5 6	2331228 10	4696202 18 9	" "	" "	" "
7	1038657 1 1	3132238 11 5	2098666 15 4	" "	" "	" "
8	1306919 13 4	5236014 2 10	1521128 3 4	" "	" "	" "
9	4278791 7 4	1637804 2 10	" "	" "	" "	" "
10	6062656 7 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
11	2232444 4 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
12	4762226 3 10	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
13	3066476 16 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
14	2938208 2 -	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
15	6832568 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
16	2939666 7 5	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
17	2315461 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
18	1963566 7 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
19	1321923 6 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
20	1284934 3 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
21	3109855 9 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
22	1521128 3 4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1890320 9					

Wax

Candles.

Year	128	129	130	131	132	133
	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.	Amount.
1	3676 3 1	" "	3443896 9	3436303 16	" "	" "
2	4631.5 6	2192904 4 10	2138208 12 -	" "	" "	" "
3	1911.31 11 1	" "	1701445 5 11	2938208 2 -	" "	" "
4	1911.31 13 "	" "	2273138 15 9	4267382 10	" "	" "
5	4641681 2	4419994 4 9	1139423 9 6	1906212 2	" "	" "
6	1038658 5 6	2331228 10	4696202 18 9	" "	" "	" "
7	1038657 1 1	3132238 11 5	2098666 15 4	" "	" "	" "
8	1306919 13 4	5236014 2 10	1521128 3 4	" "	" "	" "
9	4278791 7 4	1637804 2 10	" "	" "	" "	" "
10	6062656 7 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
11	2232444 4 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
12	4762226 3 10	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
13	3066476 16 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
14	2938208 2 -	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
15	6832568 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
16	2939666 7 5	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
17	2315461 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
18	1963566 7 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
19	1321923 6 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
20	1284934 3 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
21	3109855 9 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
22	1521128 3 4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1890320 9					

Fort St. George 13th July 1826

Grand List (contd.)

Net Revenue

Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264
Charges after deductions for manufacture, purchase of Salt and Tobacco.								Percentage of charges on the Gross Revenue before deductions		Percentage of charges on the Gross Revenue after deductions	
134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145
CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p				
1 12390 13 -	9984 13 3	" " "	2405 15 9	331005 12 3	276368 4			7 1 9	3 7 11	3 14 2	2 9 2
2 32149 3 6	14240 4 4	" " "	17908 15 2	249755 1 4	209668 7	45362 3 4	" " "	12 6 3	9 6 9	11 12 6	6 5 9
3 47697 10 7	28353 10 11	" " "	19348 15 8	422747 11 4	265449 5	" " "	31136 9 3	12 2 5	14 10 11	9 14 10	9 10 5
4 37660 6 2	24817 4 -	" " "	12848 2 2	335071 9 7	415627 16	" " "	16298 5 3	10 10 11	10 3 6	8 14 6	5 10 2
5 29000 6 5	22594 11 7	" " "	6405 10 10	428751 13 4	334217 6	30256 6 3	" " "	7 11 6	13 1 2	6 5 4	5 8 10
6 35499 2 7	18870 13 10	" " "	16628 2 9	547823 4 3	477432 1	" " "	44514 6 9	15 14 -	11 15 3	6 1 4	3 12 10
7 26452 9 1	13111 2 11	" " "	13341 6 2	236371 5 4	196255 11	" " "	70391 2 4	8 7 2	6 2 2	8 7 2	6 4 2
8 48016 1 1	28039 4 7	" " "	19976 12 6	513994 11 11	399332 2	" " "	99816 10 11	12 9 9	12 5 8	8 8 11	6 8 10
9 69196 10 6	44870 11 10	" " "	15325 14 8	627572 7 2	460934 11	" " "	118262 9 2	13 11 11	19 1 4	8 12 -	8 13 11
10 19811 7 9	4734 15 4	" " "	15076 8 5	318634 2 10	218509 4	" " "	16657 11 11	6 8 7	2 15 5	5 13 4	2 1 11
11 65972 6 8	38046 1 4	" " "	27926 5 4	421572 2 10	418180 2	" " "	100124 14 1	15 1 11	18 3 10	13 8 6	8 5 5
12 45960 3 9	32389 7 10	" " "	13561 - 11	851240 1 6	774373 7	" " "	3891 - 4	8 13 11	8 1 7	5 1 10	4 - 3
13 7451 8 4	2893 4 11	" " "	4562 3 5	139831 12 5	36760 13	" " "	77461 10 3	5 - 11	7 4 9	5 7 11	7 4 7
14 27654 2 1	18298 8 6	" " "	9355 9 7	603763 1 7	657660 -	" " "	10309 6 9	9 15 2	5 10 2	4 6 1	2 11 4
15 24031 14 2	12645 11 8	" " "	11336 2 6	831361 6 9	669922 12	53896 14 6	" " "	2 12 11	1 13 8	2 12 11	1 13 8
16 13626 11 3	3412 6 2	" " "	19214 5 1	483477 12 4	290534 1	" " "	16143 10 5	2 11 10	1 2 7	2 11 10	1 2 7
17 8858 15 9	4962 8 2	" " "	3296 7 7	332568 4 7	226523 9	" " "	19892 11 1	2 9 6	2 2 8	2 9 6	2 2 3
18 33278 12 8	24098 5 11	" " "	9795 6 9	925025 1 8	977437 11	" " "	10591 11 7	33 14 10	26 12 8	26 7 4	19 13 9
19 12678 10 2	9375 3 5	" " "	3201 4 6	1179463 4 4	1099142 3	47412 8 11	" " "	20 6 8	21 12 2	9 10 2	7 13 8
20 41985 15 1	12485 10 5	" " "	2955 - 4 8	826077 9 -	636529 15	" " "	7961 - 3	35 6 2	18 8 3	33 11 2	15 5 4
21 19946 2 6	16941 5 11	" " "	2102 9 7	321262 9 8	533363 6	" " "	13947 9 11	37 3 6	24 1 8	37 3 6	24 1 8
22 1607 14 5	2458 12 6	850 14 1	" " "	152196 4 5	149669 6	212110 13 -	" " "	1 - 8	1 9 10	1 - 8	1 9 10
16 13380 4 6	9528 12 4	850 14 1	6615 4 6 3	11130457 6 6	9914993 -	" " "	2526 13 7	16 9 9	13 15 2	12 9 10	8 12 3
		Net Increase	6609 3 2			3 39139 2 3	1649597 9 1				
						Net Decrease	1260459 6 6				

(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary

To

9161 Fort St. George 13th July 1846

To

The Secretary to

Government

89

Sir,

Public Department.

To the Secretary to Government.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Return of Books received from Government and purchased in the year 1845 as required by the Notification dated 11th and published in Page 77 of the Fort St. George Gazettes of the 12th November 1839.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th July 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Return

Received 15th July 1846

Return of Books received from Government and purchased in the year 1845 as required by the Notification dated 11th November 1839 and published in Page 77 of the Fort St. George Gazettes of the 12th November 1839.

Sl. No.	Date of Receipt	Names of Books	Number of Books	Price of each Book	Amount	Remarks
		Folio Size.				
1	1845	Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
2		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
3		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
4		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
5		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
6		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
7		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
8		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
9		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
10		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
11		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
12		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
13		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
14		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
15		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
16		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
17		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
18		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
19		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
20		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
21		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
22		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
23		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
24		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
25		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
26		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
27		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
28		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
29		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
30		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
31		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
32		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
33		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
34		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
35		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
36		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
37		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
38		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
39		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
40		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
41		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
42		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
43		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
44		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
45		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
46		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
47		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
48		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
49		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
50		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
51		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
52		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
53		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
54		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
55		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
56		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
57		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
58		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
59		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
60		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
61		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
62		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
63		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
64		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
65		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
66		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
67		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
68		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
69		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
70		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
71		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
72		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
73		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
74		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
75		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
76		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
77		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
78		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
79		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
80		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
81		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
82		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
83		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
84		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
85		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
86		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
87		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
88		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
89		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
90		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
91		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
92		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
93		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
94		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
95		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
96		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
97		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
98		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
99		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
100		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
101		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
102		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
103		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
104		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
105		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
106		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
107		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
108		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
109		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
110		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
111		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
112		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
113		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
114		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
115		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
116		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
117		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
118		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
119		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
120		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
121		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
122		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
123		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
124		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
125		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
126		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
127		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
128		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
129		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
130		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
131		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
132		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
133		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
134		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
135		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
136		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
137		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
138		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
139		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
140		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
141		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
142		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
143		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
144		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
145		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
146		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
147		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
148		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
149		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
150		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
151		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
152		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
153		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
154		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
155		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
156		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
157		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
158		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
159		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
160		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
161		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
162		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
163		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
164		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
165		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
166		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
167		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
168		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
169		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
170		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
171		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
172		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
173		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
174		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
175		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
176		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
177		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
178		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
179		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
180		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
181		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
182		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
183		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
184		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
185		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
186		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
187		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
188		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
189		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
190		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
191		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
192		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
193		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
194		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
195		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
196		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
197		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
198		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
199		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
200		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
201		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
202		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
203		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
204		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
205		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
206		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
207		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
208		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
209		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
210		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
211		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
212		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
213		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
214		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
215		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
216		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
217		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
218		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
219		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
220		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
221		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
222		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
223		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
224		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
225		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
226		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
227		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
228		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
229		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
230		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
231		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
232		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
233		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
234		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
235		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
236		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
237		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
238		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
239		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
240		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
241		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
242		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
243		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
244		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
245		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
246		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
247		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
248		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
249		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
250		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
251		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
252		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
253		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
254		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
255		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
256		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
257		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
258		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
259		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
260		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
261		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
262		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
263		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
264		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
265		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
266		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
267		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
268		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
269		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
270		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
271		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
272		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
273		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
274		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
275		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
276		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
277		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
278		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
279		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
280		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
281		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
282		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
283		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
284		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
285		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
286		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
287		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
288		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
289		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
290		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
291		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
292		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
293		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
294		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
295		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
296		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
297		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
298		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
299		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
300		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
301		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
302		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
303		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
304		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
305		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
306		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
307		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
308		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
309		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
310		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
311		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
312		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
313		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
314		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
315		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
316		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
317		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
318		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
319		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
320		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
321		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
322		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
323		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
324		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
325		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
326		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
327		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
328		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
329		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
330		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
331		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
332		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
333		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
334		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
335		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
336		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
337		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
338		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
339		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
340		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
341		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
342		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
343		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
344		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
345		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
346		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
347		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
348		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
349		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
350		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
351		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
352		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
353		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
354		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
355		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
356		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
357		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
358		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
359		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
360		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
361		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
362		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
363		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
364		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
365		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
366		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
367		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
368		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
369		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
370		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
371		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
372		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
373		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
374		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
375		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
376		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
377		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
378		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
379		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
380		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
381		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
382		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
383		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
384		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
385		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
386		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
387		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
388		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
389		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
390		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
391		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
392		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
393		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
394		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
395		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
396		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
397		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
398		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
399		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
400		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
401		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
402		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
403		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
404		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
405		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
406		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
407		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
408		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
409		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
410		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
411		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
412		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
413		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
414		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
415		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
416		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
417		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
418		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
419		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
420		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
421		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
422		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
423		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
424		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
425		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
426		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
427		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
428		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
429		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
430		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
431		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
432		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
433		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
434		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
435		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
436		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
437		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
438		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
439		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
440		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
441		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
442		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
443		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
444		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
445		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
446		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
447		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
448		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
449		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
450		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
451		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
452		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
453		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
454		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
455		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
456		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
457		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
458		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
459		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
460		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
461		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
462		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
463		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
464		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
465		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
466		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
467		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
468		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
469		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
470		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
471		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
472		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
473		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
474		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
475		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
476		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
477		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
478		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
479		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
480		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
481		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
482		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
483		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
484		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
485		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
486		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
487		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
488		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
489		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
490		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
491		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
492		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
493		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
494		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
495		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
496		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
497		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
498		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
499		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
500		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
501		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
502		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
503		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
504		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
505		Standard Orders for the Army	1	1	1	
506

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.—

To.

The Collector of Bellary.—

(90)

To the Collector of Bellary

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish the information called for in their Proceedings dated 16th April 1846, on the subject of the manufacture of earth salt in your District.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th July 1846.

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary.—

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

(91) From the Collector of Canara. Statement of Smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of April last.—

(92) From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of Smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last.

(91-93) Order in the two above Statements. Ordered, that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the above Statements be authorized.—

(94) From the Collector of Canara. Informing that the Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail for

Fort St. George 13th July 1846.

from 1st April to 30th June 1846 is not sent there being no entry to make.—

(95) From the Collector of Canara. Acknowledging the receipt of the Printed forms of Bills of Exchange and Letters of advice.—

(96) From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

(97) From the Collector of Malabar. Requesting a supply of certain printed forms for the use of this District.—

(97-98) Order thereon. Ordered that the printed forms requested in the above mentioned Letter be supplied accordingly.—

(99) From the Collector of Nellore. Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of Salt to Chittagong from the Ports of Nellore District in account of the Chittagong Indent for the Year 1845/46 in the Month of June 1846.—

(100) From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indents for 1845/46 up to 8th July 1846.—

(101) From the Collector of Nellore. Reporting that no persons were fined or confined under Regulation IX of 1832 for the Month of June last.—

(102) From the Collector of Nellore. Reporting that [illegible] Revenue Defaulters