48
1282
2628
1627

2905/75

4743

13359-W/75

WP
2021

5770 Fort George 27th April 1846.

# Diary.

1

24th April

From the Coll.r of Malabar.— Received letter submitting an application from his A.C. Assist.t Mr. H.D. Cook requesting leave of absence retrospectively from the 29th February to the 31st March under Sec.n XII of the absentee rules

1-2

Order thereon.— Ordered to be submitted thro' the Channel of the Chief Auditor for the favorable Consideration of Government.—

At a Meeting Present

G.n D. Drury Esq.re Senior Member.

Monday ——— 27th April 1846 } A. Maclean Esq.re actg.n Second Member
and
J. Goldingham Esq.re actg.n Third Member

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.—

3 3

From the Chief Secy.y to Government ——— Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st March 1846.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 23rd Feby 1846 No.92)

Desiring the Bd.s to ascertain and report whether it may not be found practicable to make such arrangements as shall secure the Sugar Manufacturers in South Arcot against an increase in the price of fuel and provide the Arrow Company with the Charcoal essential to their Work.— (dated 11th May 1835)

1. Adverting to the Orders of the Government of India upon the subject now under Consideration the most Noble the Governor in Council is desirous before he passes any orders on the application and the papers submitted by the Board of Revenue to receive full

Fort St. George 27th April 1846

full and definite information on the following points.-

2. It is alleged by certain Proprietors of the Sugar manufactories that a great increase has taken place in the price of fuel required for their Works, consequent upon the assumption by the Porto Novo Iron Company of their right to the exclusive privilege of cutting wood in certain Government Jungles. The Board will ascertain and report whether there is reason to suppose that this increase of price has arisen solely from this cause - or, whether the increased demand for firewood by the establishment of additional Sugar works, has not led to the increase of price. Also whether, as the Iron Company only require two sorts of wood, arrangements cannot be made by which this species of wood may be secured for the Company, and at the same time the Brush-wood & and all other Classes of firewood left open to the Cutters and available therefore for the Sugar Manufactories.-

3. The Board will also require a full explanation of the allegation that fuel has risen in price from the dealers being compelled to resort to Markanum and other distant parts of the district for their supplies. It should also be explained

4 Messrs. Vinnacy Cardozo & Co
" Lowe & Co
" Dr Colonel & Co
Mons: Bulliard.

Grow 2800 Billets in 1845 / 43 / 44 of 2 feet long and 3 to 4 inches in Circumference to 2700 Billets of 1½ long and 1 to 2 Inches in Circumference per Pagoda.-



Fort St. George 27th April 1846

explained, why it is necessary to give the Iron Company the exclusive privilege over the Jungles at a distance from Cuddalore, now applied for, if, as stated, those Jungles in consequence of the cost of Carriage, cannot be resorted to by the ordinary dealers with profit. If this be so that, of itself, would appear, to render an exclusive rent, shutting out the Sugar Manufacturers, unnecessary.-

4. As at present informed it appears to His Lordship in Council, that the matter has not been sufficiently investigated, and he would hope that with due enquiries, it may be found practicable to make such arrangements, as shall secure the Sugar Manufacturers against an increase in the price of fuel, and provide the Iron Company with the Charcoal essential to their Works.- Both are objects of the greatest public importance, and the Board will be pleased to place themselves in Communication with all the interests affected, and submit their report as early as practicable to the Government.-

5. They will also furnish a Copy of these instructions to the parties who have addressed them and the Collectors of South Arcot.-

To, The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

/A true Extract/
/signed/ J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Proceedings thereon. 1. Ordered that Copy of P. 1-4 of the foregoing Extract be furnished to the Collector of South Arcot in reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin:-

Transmitting to the Collector of South Arcot Copy of Paras 1-4 of Extract Mins. of Cons. dated 31st March last on the subject of the Lease of Certain Jungles in his District applied for by the Porto Novo Iron and Steel Company and requesting him to furnish certain information on the subject.-

4

2. Mr. Davies will be pleased to ascertain by careful inquiry and to report the cause of the great enhancement in the price of fuel which is represented by the Sugar Manufacturers to have taken place- whether this rise in price be owing solely to the resumption by the Porto Novo Company of their right to the exclusive cutting of firewood in certain Government jungles- or whether it has proceeded from the increased demand for fuel occasioned by the establishment of additional Sugar works- he will also explain whether as the Iron & Steel Company only require two kinds of wood these two sorts could not be secured for them and the brush wood and all other classes of firewood be left available to other parties.-

3 The Collector will also be pleased to supply full information in respect to the statement made by the Sugar Manufacturers that fuel has risen in price in Consequence of the dealers having been obliged to resort to more distant places of supply- He will furnish a Statement of the prices



prices of firewood for each of the last five years- will state the places to which the dealers resorted to in the years 1841-2-3-4- and those places in which they now cut- explaining the state of the Jungles at the former and present places of supply- the distance of each place from Cuddalore- and facilities for transporting fuel thither whether by land or water- this part of the subject should be illustrated by a Sketch Map shewing the position of the several Jungles.-

4 Mr. Davies will further furnish explanation in regard to the necessity which there exists for granting the Porto Novo Company the exclusive privilege over the Jungles at a distance from Cuddalore, if as stated in Mr. Brunton's letter to the Board 2d Feby. 1840 (Copy of which will be furnished to the Collector) these Jungles cannot, in Consequence of the Cost of Carriage, be resorted to by the Ordinary dealers with profit- He will also explain whether it may not be practicable to make such arrangements as shall secure the Sugar Manufacturers against an increase in the price of fuel, and provide the Iron Company with the Charcoal essential for their Works.-

in Cons. 2d Feby.

5 The Collector will be pleased to Communicate fully both with the Porto Novo Company and with the Sugar Manufacturers and will submit his sentiments upon this subject in detail at an early date.-

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary

Ordered also that the following letters be sent in Consequence.-

To

Fort St. George 27th April 1840

To,

R. Brunton Esqre

Managing Director of the Indian Iron
and Steel Company
Porto Novo

5

Sir,

To R. Brunton Esqre Managing Director of the Indian Iron and Steel Company Porto Novo

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that your application on behalf of your Company for a Lease of certain Jungles in the District of South Arcot and the Correspondence which had passed upon it between yourself, the Collector, and this Office, have been submitted to Government and I am instructed to forward to you the accompanying Extract Minutes Consultation of Government of 31st March last in reference to the subject.-

Transmitting an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st March last on the subject of the Lease of certain Jungles in the district of South Arcot applied for by him and deserving him to submit any remarks he may be inclined to offer upon the Observations of Government.-

Ext. Min. Cons. 31st March 1840 Para 2.

From this you will perceive that before passing orders upon your application the Government have requested to be furnished with some further information on certain points connected with the question.-

The parties engaged in the Manufacture of Sugar at Cuddalore have as you are no doubt aware objected to the grant to your Company of the Lease applied for. These parties allege that since your Company have resumed operations, the Sugar Manufacturers have been prevented from drawing their supplies of fuel from the Southern Jungles, and have been obliged to resort to Marcanum and other parts of the district. They state that the cost of fuel has in Consequence risen greatly since the Commencement of last year and they express their apprehensions lest if exclusive privileges be granted to your Company



Fort St. George 27th April 1840

over any further portions of the wood land of South Arcot the result may be most prejudicial to the interests of the Sugar Manufacturers. Copy of an address from a Firm engaged in the Manufacture of Sugar to the Collector to the effect above given is herewith forwarded to you, and the Board will be happy to receive from you for the purpose of being laid before Government any remarks which you may feel inclined to offer upon the allegations contained in it, as also upon the Observations of Government in Para 2 of the Extract now forwarded to you.-

From Mesrs De Colomb & Co dated 18th Dec 1839 Enc: in letter from South Arcot 10th Jany in Con: 23d Feby 1840.-

The Government have further observed that two kinds of Wood only are required for the use of your furnaces, and they wish to be informed whether arrangements may not be made whereby these two Species of fuel may be secured for the purposes of your Company and all other descriptions of firewood be left available to any other parties who may require them. On this point also the Board will be happy to receive such explanation as you may wish to afford.-

In Con: 28th Feby 1840

In your letter of 2nd February last, you have represented that owing to the distance and the want of water Carriage the Jungles to which your application refers cannot be used by the Sugar Makers or any other persons who require billetts and not Charcoal. It has occurred to Government (Extract Minutes Consultation Para 3) that if this be the case, the interference of the Sugar Manufacturers in these Jungles need not under any circumstances be apprehended by your Company and that the exclusive rent which you have solicited will be unnecessary. It is desirable that this also should be explained.-

The

5775 Fort St. George 27th April 1840

To,

R. Brunton Esqre

Managing Director of the Indian Iron and Steel Company

Porto Novo

5

To R. Brunton Esqre Managing Director of the Indian Iron and Steel Company Porto Novo

Transmitting an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st March last on the subject of the Lease of certain Jungles in the District of South Arcot applied for by him and desiring him to submit any remarks he may be inclined to offer upon the Observations of Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that your Application on behalf of your Company for a Lease of certain Jungles in the District of South Arcot and the Correspondence which has passed upon it between yourself, the Collector, and this Office, have been submitted to Government and I am instructed to forward to you the accompanying Extract Minutes of Consultation of Government of 31st March last in reference to the subject.-

From this you will perceive that before passing orders upon your Application the Government have requested to be furnished with some further information on certain points connected with this question.-

The parties engaged in the Manufacture of Sugar at Cuddalore have as you are no doubt aware objected to the grant to your Company of the Lease applied for. These parties allege that since your Company have resumed operations, the Sugar Manufacturers have been prevented from drawing their supplies of fuel from the Southern Jungles, and have been obliged to resort to Marcanum and other parts of the District. They state that the Cost of fuel has in Consequence risen greatly since the Commencement of last year and they express their apprehensions lest if exclusive privileges be granted to your Company

over

5776 Fort St. George 27th April 1840

over any further portions of the wood land of South Arcot the result may be most prejudicial to the interests of the Sugar Manufacturers. Copy of an address from a Firm engaged in the Manufacture of Sugar to the Collector to the effect above given is herewith forwarded to you, and the Board will be happy to receive from you for the purpose of being laid before Government any remarks which you may feel inclined to offer upon the allegations contained in it, as also upon the observations of Government in Para 2 of the Extract now forwarded to you.-

From Messrs De Colombe dated 15th Dec 1839 Enc: in letter from South Arcot 10th Jany in Con 23d Feby 1840

Ext Min. Cons: Para 2.

The Government have further observed that two kinds of Wood only are required for the use of your furnaces, and they wish to be informed whether arrangements may not be made whereby these two Species of fuel may be secured for the purposes of your Company and all other descriptions of firewood be left available to any other parties who may require them. On this point also the Board will be happy to receive such explanation as you may wish to afford.-

In Con: 28th Feby 1840

In your letter of 2nd February last, You have represented that owing to the distance and the want of water Carriage the Jungles to which your Application refers cannot be used by the Sugar Makers or any other persons who require billetts and not Charcoal. It has occurred to Government (Extract Minutes Consultation Para 3) that if this be the case, the interference of the Sugar Manufacturers in these Jungles need not under any circumstances be apprehended by your Company and that the exclusive rent which you have solicited will be unnecessary. It is desirable that this also should be explained.-

The

Fort St. George 27th April 1846

The Collector of South Arcot to whom, under orders of Government, the Board have communicated on this subject will probably address you on the points above noticed. The Board have however been directed to place themselves in direct Communication with all the parties whose interests may be affected by the proposed arrangement and they will hope to receive from you direct any explanation which you may be desirous of furnishing on the points referred to in the preceding Paras, or on any other questions Connected with your late application.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 27th April 1846

/signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To,
Messrs. Vinay & Co.
" De Colons & Co.
" Law & Co.
Ballard.

3-6

To Messrs. Vinay & Co.
" De Colons & Co.
" Law & Co.
Mons. Ballard.

Transmitting an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st March last on the Subject of the lease of Certain Jungles in the district of South Arcot applied for by the Porto Novo Iron & Steel Company & Copy of Mr. Brunton's letter with a sketch map shewing the position of the Jungles for the lease of which his Company have

Dated 2nd April 1846



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

have applied & desiring them to submit any remarks they may wish to offer either upon the observations of Govt. Connected with the proposed Lease.

Gentlemen,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the proposal of the Porto Novo Iron and Steel Company to rent certain Jungles in the District of South Arcot together with the Correspondence which has passed respecting the application and your representation to the Collector in regard to it has been laid before Government who in an Extract Minutes Consultation under date 31st March last Copy of which I am instructed to forward to you have directed that further information upon the subject may be obtained from all parties whose interests are likely to be in any way affected by the proposed Lease.

2. The Board observe that in your letter of you state that since the Porto Novo Company resumed operations in the Commencement of the past year 1845 you have been prevented from obtaining your firewood from your former places of supply, and have been compelled to resort to other Jungles; that the price of firewood has greatly increased in Consequence and that if the further lease now sought by the Company be allowed them, you apprehend that the result will be very prejudicial to the interests of the Sugar Establishments.

V. & Co. 14th Dec. 1845 — vide
D. & Co. 16th — Ditto — From Coll. South Arcot
S. & Co. 11th — Ditto — 10th Cons. in Con. 25th
M. B. 11th — Ditto — Feby. 1846

3. The Board request that you will be so good as to inform them from what Jungles your supply of fuel was drawn in 1843/44, and whence it had been derived in 1845 and the present year, what are the relative situations of these several Jungles

Jungles, their respective distances from Cuddalore the nature of the communication whether by land or sea between Cuddalore and these Jungles and the cost of transport, the esctent of the Jungles and supply of wood in them, and generally the reasons on account of which the fuel which you now procure is dearer than that which you obtained in 1843/44.-

4. The Porto Novo Company have stated that in Consequence of the distance of the Jungles, for which they have recently applied, from your Sugar Works, and the want of water Carriage these Jungles could not be of any use to you, or to any parties who require billets and not Charcoal- Copy of Mr. Brunton's letter to the above effect is herewith forwarded to you, together with a sketch Map shewing the position of the Jungles for the lease of which his Company have applied, and the Board will be happy to receive from you any observations which you may wish to offer either upon the subject of that letter or upon any other question connected with the proposed lease.-

2nd Feby in Con. 28th Feby 1846

Revenue Board Office—
Fort St. George 27th April 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read



Read the following Extract & Memo=

From the Chief Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d April 1846.-

7

Mr. Walter Elliot having under date the 17th Instant submitted to Government his final report upon the affairs of the Guntoor district, the Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves to instruct that Gentleman to resume his seat at the Board of Revenue at the end of the Month— In the meantime he is requested to place before the Government a precis of his report.-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Memorandum

5781 Fort St. George 27th April 1846

8

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Memorandum

Mr. Walter Elliot having been instructed by Government to resume his duties at the Board of Revenue at the end of the current Month, the Secretary to Government has been desired to give intimation of the same to Mr. Goldingham the Acting third Member, in order that the Matters in which he is personally engaged in the Board may be disposed of immediately:-

Fort St. George 23d April 1846 } /Signed/ C. P. Thompston
Secretary to Govt.

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.- Ordered to be recorded.-

7-9

Read

5782 Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

10

From the Secy. to Government

Transmitting Copy of a Decree passed by the Calicut Zillah Court in appeal Suit No. 13 of 1845 in which the Collector of Malabar is a Party.

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th April 1846.

Read the following letter

To

J. F. Thomas Esqre.
Chief Secretary to Government
in the Judicial Department
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, in conformity with Section XXXI, Regulation VII of 1809 Copy of a Decree passed by this Court in Appeal Suit No. 13 of 1845 in which the Collector of Malabar is a Party.

Calicut 30th March 1846. } /Signed/ C. R. Baynes
Acting Civil Judge.

No 1

Decree of the Civil Court Zillah Calicut.

O.S. No. 74 of 1842 on the file of the late Zillah Court Edapamvittill Komasha Nambiar. Versus 1. Kambaraden Kadoongon Koonoop the Tahsildar of Kootnaad Taluk. 2. Kattiyat Rangasha Menon the Sheristadar of the said Taluk. (L.S.)

Appeal Suit No. 13 of 1845 on the file of the Civil Court H. V. Conolly Esqre. Collector of Malabar by Vakeel Ambo Pothwaal Versus Edapamvittil Komasha Nambiar

H.

As

large remissions, while prices would seem from their low standard to have in no manner compensated for the deficiency in the harvest.

2. The Revenue from the Government Estates forms but a small portion of the entire land revenue of the District and it is observed in the year under review, that out of a demand of Rupees 11,97,891-8-2 no less a sum than Rupees 970,845. was the permanent demand on Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates in possession of the Proprietors, and the remainder Rupees 221046-8-2. the revenue from the reverted or Amany Estates, the Settlement of which is the subject of the Collector's Report.

3. The land taken up in Fusly 1254. in the Government Estates for cultivation, was of the value of Rupees 196,473-13-11, and Compared with the Cultivation of Fusly 1253, exhibited a decrease of Rupees 5,958-1-" but in consequence of 5 x estates having reverted to Government in this year and their lands being included, the Cultivation increased to Rupees 255,241-3-2 exhibiting an excess over the previous year of Rupees 82,809-4-3.

x Permanent Beriz Rs 70,905

4 Remissions to the large amount of Rupees 105,947-0-4. were allowed by the local Officers, Viz.ª Rupees



Rupees 62696-8-3. for failure of Crops and Rupees 41,794-84-6 for lands left uncultivated by which the revenue from cultivation was reduced to Rupees 179294-2-10. adding taxes, rents, Enams, Shrotriums &c. the total land revenue demand under Amany Management as settled for Fusly 1254 was as above noticed Rupees 2,21,046-8-2. The Peishcush on Zemindaries being included the total demand exhibits a net decrease of Rupees 42,054-2-10

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1253 | Rupees | 12,39,945-11-" |
| " 1254 | " | 11,97,891-8-2. |
| Decrease | | 42,054-2-10 |

5. The Collections made within the Fusly an account of the Amany demand amounted to Rupees 2,12,845-6-3 and the balance of Rupees 8,201-1-11 at its close, was reduced by subsequent Collections to Rupees 6156-12-4. This balance is certainly large, considering, as the Board of Revenue justly observe "that remissions were made with a liberal hand."

6. Explanation regarding the falling off in the Revenues of the Royeveram Estate which was purchased by Government in 1844 for Rupees 30,000 seems especially necessary. The Attoobady Beriz of this estate was rated at Rupees 30,491 out of which sum remissions were allowed amounting to

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

3 H.V. Conolly Esqr. the Collector of Malabar.

The 3d Defendant by Vakeels Soonien Narana Shastry and afterwards Ambee Pothwal ——.

As observed by the Court below in the 10th Para of its original Decree "the question is whether Crops raised by a Lessee are liable for arrears of Revenue due by the Lessor"

Upon decision of this general question depends the necessity of considering whether in the particular case before the Court the alledged lease was a bona fide or collusive transaction.

In the Original Decree it is held that such crops are not liable, and the Subordinate Judge has supported this view in Para 12 of his Decree, in which it is laid down "that the land and the Crops thereon form two distinct items of property which may belong to distinct individuals. The Crops undoubtedly are the property of the tenant who raised them, and cannot be viewed as belonging to the Lessor &c." To this doctrine the Court most entirely and fully assents but cannot go on to draw from it the conclusion that the Crops which are indeed the property of the Lessee, are not therefore liable for the Lessor's arrears of Revenue.

A



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

A thing is not the less property, because it is liable to certain contingencies. The Court would not say the Crops are not the property of the Lessee, but on the contrary that the Lessee as the owner and holder of such property is liable to be called on to pay thereout on account of the Lessor a certain debt due by him to the State.

It would indeed be depriving of the Lessee of his property if it were maintained that the produce so taken or held liable, became ipso facto the property of the Lessor that it was paid by him out of his resources, and that the Lessee was not entitled to take credit in account with him for having paid the Revenue, but this is not the case. and in calling on the Lessee to pay in such case the Government in no way intevefere with his admitted and indisputable proprietary rights. The utmost extent of his complaint must be "that he is required to advance money on account of an individual from whom he of all men has the simplest and readiest way of recovering it." A Lessee may call this an inconvenience, but it is an inconvenience from which he has a sure and simple way of guarding himself, he has only

before

before taking the lease to enquire if any Revenue be in arrear, and if it be, either decline the lease at once, or take it with his eyes open to the well known fact that the Government have the option of proceeding for its recovery, in the way it may consider the readiest and simplest, whether against the land or its produce.

Under the above construction of the Law and Custom, the Court is of opinion that the Original Decree must be reversed. The Lessee in settling with the Lessor, must include whatever amount he may have paid, or been made to pay to the Government on his account.

The Plaintiff in Original Suit will pay all Costs as well as those incurred in the Appeal

Costs

| | Rs | a | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| Stamp duty on institution under Section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816 | 8 | " | " |
| Fee of Appellants Pleader under Section XXV Regulation XVI of 1816 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Value of Stampt papers filed by Appellant | 37 | " | " |
| | 49 | 3 | 2 |
| Plaintiffs costs in the original Suit | 22. | " | " |
| 3rd Defendants Do | | | |
| Do | 19. 14. 5 | | |
| | 41 | 14 | 5 |
| Total Costs Rupees | 91 | 1 | 7 |

Given under my hand and the Seal of



of the Court, this 27th day of February 1846

(Signed) C.R. Baynes
Ag. Civil Judge

Signed and sealed this
30th day of March 1846.
The period of Special
Appeal will expire on
the 27th June 1846.

(Signed) C.R. Baynes
Ag. Civil Judge

Ordered that the Decree received with the above letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue for their information.

(Signed) E.P. Thompson
Secy. to Government

10-11

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

From the Secy. to Government

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1254.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th April 1846.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue -

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Vizagapatam for fusly 1254 A.D. 1844/45.

(Here enter 5th March 1846 N: 127)

12

Para 1. The season of Fusly 1254 in the district of Vizagapatam is represented by the Collector to have been the most unfavorable of a long series of years and to have proved detrimental to both Cultivation and Crops and to have induced large

large remissions, while prices would seem from their low standard to have in no manner compensated for the deficiency in the harvest.

2. The Revenue from the Government Estates forms but a small portion of the entire land revenue of the District and it is observed in the year under review, that out of a demand of Rupees 11,97,891-8-2 no less a sum than Rupees 976,845. was the permanent demand on Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates in possession of the Proprietors, and the remainder Rupees 221,046. 8. 2. the revenue from the reverted or Amany Estates, the Settlement of which is the subject of the Collector's Report.

3. The land taken up in Fusly 1254. in the Government Estates for cultivation, was of the value of Rupees 196,473-13-11, and Compared with the Cultivation of Fusly 1253, exhibited a decrease of Rupees 5,958-1-" but in consequence of 5* Estates having reverted to Government in this year and their lands being included, the Cultivation increased to Rupees 255,241-3-2 exhibiting an excess over the previous year of Rupees 82,809-4-3.

*Permanent Beriz Rs 7,905

4 Remissions to the large amount of Rupees 105,947-0-4. were allowed by the local Officers, Viz.

Rupees



Rupees 62,696-8-3. for failure of Crops and Rupees 41,794-4-6 for lands left uncultivated by which the revenue from cultivation was reduced to Rupees 179,294-2-10. adding taxes, rents, Enams, Shrotriums &c. the total land revenue demand under Amany Management as settled for Fusly 1254 was as above noticed Rupees 2,21,046-8-2. The Peishcush on Zemindaries being included the total demand exhibits a net decrease of Rupees 42,054-2-10 - - -

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1253 | Rupees 12,39,945-11-" | |
| " 1254 | " 11,97,891-8-2. | |
| Decrease | 42,054-2-10 | |

5. The Collections made within the Fusly an account of the Amany demand amounted to Rupees 2,12,845-6-3 and the balance of Rupees 8,201-1-11 at its close, was reduced by subsequent Collections to Rupees 6,156-12-4. This balance is certainly large, considering, as the Board of Revenue justly observe "that remissions were made with a liberal hand."

6. Explanation regarding the falling off in the Revenues of the Royeveram Estate which was purchased by Government in 1844 for Rupees 30,000 seems especially necessary. The Athoobady Beriz of this estate was rated at Rupees 30,491 out of which sum remissions were allowed amounting to

to Rupees 17,428 leaving a collectable balance of Rupees 13,062 being Rupees 5,132 below the peishcush fixed on the Estate.

7. Of the demand on the Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates, amounting to Rupees 9,76,845, the sum of Rupees 6,11,695- 2- 9. was collected within the Fusly, and the balance of Rupees 365,149- 13- 3. has since been reduced to Rupees 32,542- 5- 1. Looking to the Statement attached to para 34 of the Collector's Report of the particulars of this balance, it appears that in some cases, the full amount of the Peishcush, and in others nearly the whole amount was outstanding at the close of the Fusly, thus leading to the inference that the Regulations are set aside, and unauthorized indulgences granted to the hazard of the public revenue.

8. The reason why these indulgences have been granted should be reported upon, and the Board, will be pleased to ascertain for the information of Government whether the resources of any of these estates are appropriated to the liquidation of private debts to the prejudice of the public demand against them -

The



9. The above is an outline of the results of the Settlement as they appear in the Collectors Report and Board's Proceedings, and is not such as the Most Noble the Governor in Council can regard as satisfactory. The necessity for such large remissions as Rupees 105,947- 0- 4, and exceeding as they do those of the previous year, in the sum of Rupees 63,080- 2- 4 are not sufficiently detailed, and no explanation has been afforded as to the steps taken to ascertain the real losses of the Cultivations, their means of payment nor of the principle upon which the remissions have been granted. The remissions granted in the five Estates which newly reverted to Government, appear more striking generally amounting to, or exceeding fifty per Cent of the amount of Cultivation - The Board have frequently deprecated unnecessary remissions - It does not however appear to the Government that they have enforced their instructions by any practical orders nor do the Government perceive that they have taken measures to satisfy

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1253 | Rupees 42,866- 14- "- | |
| " 1254 | " 105,947- "- 4- | |
| Increase | 63,080- 2- 4. | |

Result Coll's Report

para 17 Coll's Report

satisfy themselves that the remissions granted in the Vizagapatam District were necessary and therefore that they have exercised the degree of watchfulness necessary to ensure the good management the Government desire to see Established there. The Board will report in a separate communication the instructions they may now issue on this subject.

10. On the whole, His Lordship in Council is of opinion that the administration of the revenues of this Collectorate, is susceptible of much improvement, and the requests the Board will specially direct the attention of the Collector to this important object.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secy. to Government

Resolution thereon. 13

12-13

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Vizagapatam, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 5th March 1846.

Mr. Smollet will be pleased to furnish at an early date the explanation called for by Government in Paras 6 and 8.

Read



Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

14

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Forwarding Copy of a letter from the Subordinate Judge at Rajahmundry regarding the property of a Prisoner.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 23d of March 1846 calling for an Explanation regarding the property of the Prisoner Davla Veerashalingum, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from the Subordinate Judge at Rajahmundry on the subject.

From the records in my Office it appears that the property of that Prisoner was sent to the Huzoor in 1832, when he was committed to the Criminal Court, that by order of Mr. Crawley the then Magistrate it was in 1833 sold by public auction together with other unclaimed property, and that the proceeds were held in deposit until 1838 and then credited to Government according to the custom in this Office.

Rajahmundry District
Amlapoor 13th April 1846

/Signed/ T. Prendergast
Collector.

No 3.

To T. Prendergast Esqr.
Magistrate of Rajahmundry

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 30th Ultimo

Ultimo annexing an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue requiring explanation "why the claim of Daurla Veerasalingum Prisoner for the recovery of his property was left so many years unnoticed." I have the honor to inform you that the Prisoner, at the time of his apprehension and committal had no relations to whom he could have made over his property which was then Zuftee and sold by order of the Magistrate, and as his sentence is now drawing to a close, and will expire on the 9th May next he has by petition requested the Sessions Judge to cause the adjustment of his claim.

Rajahmundry District,
Sub Court ——————
9th April 1846 ——————

/Signed/ J. H. Rohde
Sub Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J. Prendergast
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the

14-15

To the Chief Secy to Government — Submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Rajahmundry on the subject of the refund of the proceeds.



Proceeds of the property of certain prisoners &c — &c.

From Coll. 18th In Cons. 23rd March 1846.
Proceedings — 23rd March —
From Coll. 13th in Cons. 27th April —

the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Collector of Rajahmundry on the subject of the refund of the proceeds of the property of a certain prisoner which had been sold on account of Government.

2. From these papers it will be seen that one Daurla Veerasalingum was committed to the Criminal Court of Rajahmundry in 1832 on a charge of Gang Robbery and that at the time of his apprehension and committal as he had no relatives to whom he could make over his property, it was attached and sent to the Huzzoor, where by order of the then Magistrate, it was sold by public auction in common with other unclaimed property and the sale proceeds carried to the credit of Government. The Sentence of the Prisoner will expire on the 9th May next and he has by Petition applied to the Session Court for the restoration of his property and Mr. Prendergast requests at the instance of the Subordinate Judge the authority of

of Government for the disbursement to the Pri: soner of Rs 40-9-6, being the sale proceeds of the property in question. The Board recommend that sanction be granted.

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St George.
27th April 1846. } (Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

16

Sir,

From the Collr of Masulipatam } With reference to your letter of the 2nd Instant I have the honor to inform you that no cases cognizable under Regulation IX of 1822 having occurred during the 2nd half of the year 1845 the usual Return has not been submitted for that period.

Masulipatam Zillah,
Collr's Circuit Catchery
at Gollapollem,
20th April 1846. } (Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

16-17

Read



Read the following letter.

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

18

Sir,

From H. Stokes Esqre
Collr of Guntoor. } 1

Reporting that the five Guntoor Zemindaries were put up at Public auction this day and bought in on behalf of Government for 5000 Rs each and states that the enclosed draft of an assurance in writing is proposed to be given to the Zemindars as requested by them.

B/d Pro: 9 Feby 1846
To Do: 18th " Do:
From Bd 5th March.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to report that the five Guntoor Zemendaries have this day been put up to Public Sale, and no other bidders appearing have been bought in on behalf of Government for the sum of Company's Rupees 5,000 each. The purchase will be notified as required in Section XV Regulation XXVI of 1802.

2. An Enquetnamah will be addressed to the Zemindars calling on them to execute an agreement relinquishing all demands against their former Sub tenants, the result of which shall be duly communicated.

3. In the course of the last Month three of the Zemindars have addressed to me Arzees stating frivolous objections to the Sale of their Estates, and one of them the Zemindar of Suttanapully has begged me to forward the enclosed English Petitions to Board and Government. It seems unnecessary to notice in detail their representations, but

Suttanapully.
Chilkaloorpaud.
Respectfully

Fort St George 27th April 1846

but as they and the other two Zemindars also have subsequently expressed a desire to have an assurance in writing. I think it better that they should have one. I enclose a draft in English and Telugoo which if approved I propose to send to them.—

Guntoor Collr Cutcherry
1st April 1846....

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

No 1.

To.
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

The humble representation of Rajah Mannoor Venkata Gopaul Row Zemindar of the Talook of Suttenapully and Mazoomdar of the Circar of Moortyanagar &c &c

Humbly Sheweth,

With profound respect I beg leave to submit to your favorable consideration and orders a concise account of my case which is as follows.—

That



Fort St George 27th April 1846

That Mr Stokes the Collector of the Zillah of Guntoor sent for me on the 17th February 1846, and informed me that as it is found impossible to improve the Talook with reference to the claims of creditors the Honorable Government sanctioned the sale of the Talooks but that they would act upon the conditions entered into at the time the Cowles and Cabarnamahs were taken from me. He also shewed me a translation of your Board's Proceedings held under the orders of Government. Your Board it appears, persisted in your design that your honors cannot try to improve the Talooks in the present state notwithstanding the orders of the Government to retain the Talooks in your possession until they are improved, on the ground of the Court of Sudr Udalut having declared that the execution of their Decrees passed in favor of Creditors, cannot be deferred except in case the Talooks are sold in Public Auction and so become the property of Government, but that there can be no doubt either to restore the Talooks to the Proprietors eventually or to pay them allowances according

to

to the conditions originally entered into.
Your honor therefore suggested that the
Talooks should be sold after informing us
the same.-

We are bound to obey your
orders with infinite submission but as the
said mode appearing to deprive us of our
just and ancient rights. I beg to represent
certain circumstances on the subject which
I hope and trust your honors will take
into your favorable consideration and
grant us redress according to my prayer.

I have no fear whatever of
any injury to my Talooks in as much as
the orders of the just Governor which state
that there can be no objection to restore
the Talooks to the proprietors notwithstand-
-ing the sale and as the late orders of the Court
of Directors which declared that the Talooks
should be taken temporarily and restored
when improved, are very clear on this point
and mostly in my favor.-

But it appears from the
Estcharnamahs which were issued by the
Collector with reference to the Regulations
acts and the Circular orders of the Court of
Sudr Adalat that there can be no objection
to



to the Talookas being purchased by what-
-soever person according to their choice-
Therefore it cannot be in your power to re-
-store the Talookas to us to the detriment of
the rights of any person purchasing and
possessing the Talookas thus it occurs to us
to loose our just rights and to the Court
of Directors and the Government to break
their conditions.-

Moreover we obtained our
Zemindaries during the Mogul Government
and we are men of rank and the sale of
our rights would not only be disgraceful
to us, but also injurious to our lives.-

My family has been in pos-
-session of the Zemindary for a long time.
Besides this we are Mazoomdars from the
time of the Padooshahs by name Dahelatak
nishin Shahagahn, and Mazoomdars and Sur-
-dessa Paundeas from the time of Aulungar
Padooshah besides Zemindary.- We behaved
respectably not only under the Mogul Govern-
-ment but also under your authority up to the
present time tho we are not in possession
of the Talookas and in flourishing circum-
-stances- We are ancient Zemindars and not
Proprietors- The Honorable Court of Directors
considering

considering all the above circumstances and thinking that the sale of the Estates would cause the destruction of our rights, respectability and Character directed that we should surrender our rights tempararily in view of their being improved this we duly obeyed.-

Such being the case your proceedings ordering the sale appear to rest on two reasons which are as follows.-

1stly That tho' the Cowles and Cararnamahs were taken, yet your Board could not trust to improve the Talookas at your own descretion.-

2nd That the Sudr Adalut declared that unless the Estates shall become the property of Government in Public sale the warrant of the creditors cannot be stayed upon them. I proceed to make my remarks upon these two points.-

The debts upon my Estate are not onerous Mr Walter Elliot the Commissioner of this Zillah in his enquiry dismissed the claims of some and the sums which were considered to be justly due amount to 20,000 Rupees some thing less or more. Of this he remitted interest and took



took Cararnamahs from some of the Credetors with deductions from the principal sums at 15 and 20 Rupees per Cent. thus the debts are within 20,000 Rupees and do not press the Estate and the Company. Moreover the company in some way or other discharged the debts amounting to 1,00,000 and odd Rupees on the Estate of Caundragoolawar a Rajah of Masulipatam Zillah. It can therefore be considered that it is easy and just to discharge alike the said debts which are very small.-

Moreover as the Court of Sudr Adalat determined that arrears of Revenue should be discharged before any other, it does not appear that the warrants of Private Individuals do in the least interfere with the Estates which are in the temporary possession and Management of the Circar.-

With reference to the opinion of your Board in regard to the improvement of the Talooks. I beg to represent that no more is wanting than what has already been

been done since Cowles and Cararnamahs were taken from us together with the Estates according to the orders of the Court of Directors, with the understanding that they could have no interest if the Talookas were merely under attachment, thus the Circar have full interest, and I can have neither interest nor concern whatever with it. Therefore, the two grounds cannot warrant the sale.—

The Court of Directors declare "that I should surrender my right temporarily that it would be improved and restored, that no trouble can happen to me regarding debts that an adequate maintenance will be granted until the Talook shall have been restored, and that the Talookas should be put to outcry for arrears only in case that I should not deliver Cowles and Cararnamahs." Such being the case it is evident that they never sanctioned the sale of the Estate of a Zemindar who has duly conformed to their orders, and that it was their intention that the responsibility of discharging the arrears to cease



cease in delivering Cowles and Cararnamahs. This arrears has not accumulated owing to any defect on my part, but to the calamities of the famine. This is quite clear not only from your expressing that the Talookas will be sold not for arrears, but to avoid the debts of Creditors, but also from the Management of the Talookas while under "Sumany". Should it not be your pleasure to discharge the debts I beg that the Talookas be restored to me after making any remission from the Peishcush which your Board may deem reasonable with reference to the present distressed condition of the Talookas, and the opinion of the Court of Directors together with the Settlement of debts made by the Commissioner, I shall adopt means to satisfy the Creditors and discharge the Circars dues accordingly.—

In the event of your Board not accepting this offer I promise to pay in case of my being put in possession of the Talookas 100000 Rupees for Fusly 1256, 108000 for Fusly 1257, 116000 for 1258 Fusly, and from the 1259 Fusly full Peishcush in any how narrowing all my expenses until such time

time as your honor may please to remit any part of the Peisheush - I shall also in that case satisfy the creditors without any trouble to your Board - I do not at all agree to have the Talooka sold to the great injury of my ancient right - It was not hitherto intended by your Government to have any thing done against the consent of the Proprietors -

Should your honor entertain any doubt as to my acting in the manner above said, the Talooka may be restored to me, and a servant on the part of the Circar appointed to remain with me to look so that I may expend reasonable sums on account of managing the Estates, and maintaining myself, and pay until the discharge of the amount thus agreed on by me the whole of the collections from the Talook - your honors may please to try - My conduct in one or two years and then leave the Talooka to my entire Management - If you do not accept even this, I request that the Talooka may be allowed to remain, as at present in the Temporary Management of the Circar making some



some provision for the discharge of the small debts on the Talooka in the same manner as your Board did in the case of Caun: dragoolawar and for the improvement of the Talooka, according to the wishes of the Court of Directors, and it may then be returned to me - I trust that your honors will consider that what I represented above is just and that the exposure of the Talooka to outcry thereby injuring my ancient right and respectability is not just -

As it is the opinion of your Board, also that of the Court of Directors and Government to support my long enjoyed dignity without any injury to my right and respectability - I humbly pray your Board will maintain the same and comply with my humble prayer -

At the time the Cowles and Caranamahs were taken from me, a condition was entered into the effect, that an adequate maintenance would be paid to me until my Talooka shall have been re-placed in my possession as will be seen from my Cararnamah - The

expense

expense of all Zemindaries are equal, and it is therefore necessary and just to fix my allowance at the same rate as Vaseredy-war, but it was not so granted. With reference to the condition that an adequate maintenance would be allowed to me, it was necessary that an enquiry should be instituted as to how much I require on account of my expense, but no such enquiry was instituted. It cannot even be supposed that my allowance was fixed with reference to the profits of my Estate because 300 Rupees were fixed to Mauricya Row-wer and his mother whose Talooka pays only 3/5ths Peishcush. Hence it is just to fix 400 Rupees to me instead of 300 Rs as my Talooka pays 4 of 4ths Peishcush. Your equitable Board will consider it essential to further the ends of Justice that my allowance should be raised according to the same scale as the sum sanctioned is not at all sufficient to answer all my necessary and unavoidable expenses.

It is not the first time in which I am obliged to make this representation, I am from the beginning representing the



the same. The Commissioner also inspected my condition and considering that my allowance is insufficient, issued an Enoyet-namah on the 22nd August 1845 informing me that he considered the propriety of recommending to the superior authority on the subject. The Government were pleased to make an Endorsement on my Petition regarding this subject favorably. I shall write the particulars by and by. The Collector sent me a demand for the arrears up to Fasly 1255 Viz. to the month of January 1846, but my responsibility to pay the same was ceased on the day on which I executed a Cararnamah surrendering my Estate temporarily into the hands of Government with reference to the opinion of the Court of Directors. It can be manifested to your Board that there is no occasion to send me a demand for the above balance which comprises of the balance accumulated since the surrender of my Talookas. What I now have to represent chiefly is that it is not at all agreeably to my wishes to have my Talooka sold. Therefore I request that the Talooka may be replaced

replaced in my possession on any of the conditions above stated, and that orders may be issued to the Collector of Guntoor to suspend the sale recommending favorably to Government. As the period fixed by the Collector for the sale of my Talook is nearly elapsed, I beseech your Honorable Board to condescend and to issue the necessary orders speedily and as I am very fearful lest any injury would happen now to our ancient right I beg your speedy and favorably orders so that I may be relieved from such fears and I may possess and enjoy my right and I may be courageous.-

For which act of benevolence I shall as in duty bound

Ever Pray

Guntoor, - - - 20th March 1846. } (Signed) [illegible]

№ 2.



№ 2.

To

The Most Noble the Governor in Council Fort S.t George

The humble representation of Rajah Mannoor Venkata Gopal row Zemindar of the Talook of Sud: tenapully, and Mra: Moondar of the Circar of Moorlyzannagur &c.a -

Humble Sheweth.

With profound respect I beg leave to Submit to your favorable consideration and orders a concise account of my case which is as follows.-

That Mr. Stokes the Collector of the Zillah of Guntoor sent for me on the 17th February 1846 and informed me that as it is found impossible to improve the Talooks with reference to the claims of creditors the Government sanctioned the sale of the Talooks, but that they would act upon the conditions entered into at the time the Cowles and Carar -namahs were taken from us.- He also shewed me a Translation of the Board's proceedings held under your orders The Board it appears persisted in their design that they cannot try to improve the Talook in the present

State

state notwithstanding the orders of the Government to retain the Talooks in their possession until they are improved, on the ground of the Court of Suder Adalut having declared that the execution of their Decrees passed in favor of creditors cannot be deferred except in case the Talooks are sold in Public auction and so become the property of Government, but that there can be no doubt either to restore the Talooks to the proprietors eventually or, to pay them allowances according to the conditions originally entered into. They therefore suggested that the Talooks should be sold after informing us the same. we are bound to obey your orders with infinite submission, but as the said Mode appearing to deprive us of your Just and ancient Rights I beg to represent certain circumstances on the subject which I hope and trust you will take into your favorable consideration and grant us redress according to my Prayer.

I have no fear whatever of any injury to my Talook in as much as the orders of your Just Government which state that there can be no objection to restore the Talooks to the proprietors notwithstanding the sale, and as the late orders of the Court of Directors which declare that the Talooks should



should be taken Temporarily and restored when improved are very clear on this point and mostly in my favor.

But it appears from the Esteharonnamahs which were issued by the Collector with reference to the Regulations acts and the Circular orders of the Court of Suder Audaulut that there can be no objection to the Talooks being purchased by whatsoever person according to their choice. Therefore it cannot be in your power to restore the Talooks to us to the detriment of the Rights of any person purchasing and possessing the Talooks; thus it occurs to us to lose our Just Rights and to the Court of Directors and the Government to break their conditions.

Moreover we obtained our Zemindaries during the Mogul Government and we are men of Rank and the sale of our Rights would not only be disgraceful to us but also injurious to our lives.

My family has been in possession of the Zemindary for a long time Besides this we are mazoomdars from the time of the Pandooshaha by name Dahelatakt nislien shahajahan and mazoomdars and Surdasa Pandeya from the time

time of Sulingere Pandoshaka besides Zemindary we behaved respectably not only under the mogul Government but also under your authority upto the present time tho' we are not in posfesion of the Talooks. and in flourishing Circumstances - we are ancient Zemidars and not proprietors. The honorable Court of Directors considering all the above Circumstances and thinking that the sale of the estates would cause the destruction of our Rights, respectability and characters directed that we should surrender our Rights Temporarily in view of their being improved: this we duly obeyed.

Such being the case, your proceedings ordering the sale appear to rest on two reasons which are as follow.

1st That altho the Cowles and Cararnamahs were taken, yet the Board could not trust to improve the Talooks of their own discretion.

2. That the Sudr andaulut declares that unless the estates shall become the property of Government in Public sale the warrants of the Creditors cannot be stayed upon them. I proceed to make my remarks upon those two points.

The debts due upon my Estate are not onerous. Mr. Walter Elliot the

Commissioner



Commissioner of this Zillah in his enquiry dismissed the claims of some and the sums which were considered to be Justly due amount to 20000 Rupees, something less or more - of this he remitted interest and took Cararnamahs from some of the Creditors with deductions from the principal sums at 15 & 20 Rupees percent. Thus the debts are within 20,000 Rupees and do not press the Estates and the company. moreover the company in some way or other discharged the debts Amounting to 100,000 odd Rupees on the estate of Caundragoolawar a Rajah of Masulipatam Zillah. It can therefore be considered that it is easy and Just to discharge alike the said debts which are very small.

Moreover as the Court of Suder Udaulut determined that arrears of revenue should be discharged before any other it does not appear that the warrants of private Individuals can in the least interfere with the Estates which are in the Temporary posfesion and management of the Circar.

With reference to the opinion of the Board of Revenue in regard to the improvement of the Talooks I beg to represent

represent that no more is wanting than what has already been done since Cowles and Cavarnamahs were taken from us — together with the Estates according to the orders of the Court of Directors with the understanding that they could have no interest if the Jaulooks were merely under attachment, thus the Circar have full interest and we can have neither interest nor concern whatever with them. therefore the two grounds cannot warrant the sale-

The Court of Directors declare that "I should surrender my Rights Temporarily that it would be improved and restored, that no trouble can happen to me regarding debts, that an adequate maintenance will be granted until the Jaulook shall have been restored and that the Jaulooks should be put to outcry for arrears, only in case that I should not deliver Cowls and Cavarnamahs" such being the case it is evident that they never sanctioned the sale of the Estate of a Zemindar who has duly conformed to their orders and that it was their intention that the responsibility of discharging the arrears to cease in delivering Cowles and Cavarnamahs. This arrear has not accumulated owing



owing to any defect on my part but to the Calamities of the famine this is quite clear not only from your expressing — that the Jaulooks will be sold not for arrears but to avoid the debts of Creditors but also from the management of the Jaulook while under amany.—

Should it not be your pleasure to discharge the debts I beg that the Jaulooks may be restored to me after making any remission from the Peshcush which you may deem reasonable with reference to the present distressed condition of the Jaulooks and the opinion of the Court of Directors together with the list of settlement of debts made by the Commissioner I shall adopt means to satisfy the creditors and discharge the Circar dues.—

In the event of your not accepting this Offer I promise to pay in case of my being put in possession of the Jaulook 100000 Rupees for — Fusly 1256, 108000 for Fusly 1257, 116000 for 1258 Fusly and from the 1259 Fusly the full Peshcush. in any how warrowing all my expenses until such time as your honor may please to remit any part of the

Peshcush

Peshcush. I shall also in that case satis-
=fy the creditors without any trouble
to your Government. I do not at all
agree to have the Talook sold to the
great injury of my ancient Right. It
was not hitherto intended by your
Government to have any thing done against
the consent of the proprietors.

Should you entertain any
doubt as to my acting in the manner
above said the Talook may be restored
to me and a servant on the part of
Circar appointed to remain with me
to look so that I may expend reasonable
sums on account of managing the
Estates and maintaining myself
and pay, until the discharge of the
amount thus agreed on by me the whole
of the collections from the Talook, you
may please to try my conduct in one
or 2 years and then leave the Talook
to my entire management. If you
do not accept even this I request
that the Talook may be allowed
to remain as at present in the Tem-
=porary management of the Circar
making some provision for the
discharge of the small debts on the
Talook



Talook in the same manner as they did
in the case of Caundrugoolawar for the
improvement of the Talook according
to the wishes of the Court of Directors
and it may then be returned to me.
I trust that you will consider that
what I represented above is just
and that the exposure of the Talook
to outcry thereby injuring my ancient
Right and Respectability is not just.

As it is the opinion of
your Government as also that of the Court
of Directors to support my long
enjoyed dignity without any injury
to my Right and respectability I humbly
pray that you will maintain the same
and comply with my humble prayer.

At the time the cowles
and Cabarnamahs were taken from me
a condition was entered into to the effect
that an adequate maintenance would be
paid to me until my Talook shall have
been replaced in my possession as will
be seen from my Cabarnamah the expenses
of all Zemindars are equal and it is therefore
necessary and Just to fix my
allowance at the same rate as Vaseereddy-
=war but it was not so granted. with reference to
the

the condition that an adequate maintenance would be allowed to me it was necessary that an enquiry should be instituted as to how much I require on account of my expenses, but no such enquiry was instituted. It cannot even be supposed that my allowance was fixed with reference to the profits of my Estate because 300 Rupees were fixed to Manc Rerswonr and his mother whose Talook pays only 3/4ths Peshcush Hence it is just to fix 400 Rupees to instead of 300 Rupees as my Taulook pays 4/4th Peshcash your equitable Government will consider it essential to further the ends of Justice that my allowance should be raised according to the same scale as the sum sanctioned is not at all sufficient to answer all my necessary and unavoidable expenses.

It is not the first time in which I am obliged to make this representation I am from the beginning representing the same. The Commissioner also inspected my condition and considering that my allowance is insufficient issued an Enquetnamah on the 22d. August 1825 informing me that he considered the propriety of recommending to the superior authority on the subject. You were pleased to make an endorsement on my Petition regarding this subject favorably I shall write the particulars thereof by and by.

The Collector sent me a demand for



for the arrears up to Fusly 1255 (Vizt. upto the month of January 1826 but my responsibility to pay the same was ceased on the day on which I executed a Cowar-namah surrendering my Estate Temporarily into the hands of Government with reference to the opinion of the Court of Directors. It can be manifested to your honor that there is no occasion to send me a Demand for the above balance which comprises of also the balances accumulated since the surrender of my Talook.

What I now have to represent chiefly is, that it is not at all agreeable to my wishes to have my Taulook sold, therefore I request that the Taulook may be replaced in my possession on any of the conditions above stated - and that orders may be issued to the Board of Revenue to suspend the sale as the period fixed by the Collector for the sale of my Taulook is nearly elapsed I beseech your Just and noble Government to condescend to issue the necessary orders speedily - and as I am very fearful lest any injury would happen

happen now to our ancient Right I beg your speedy and favorable orders, so that I may be relieved from such fears and I may possess and enjoy my Right and I may be courageous.—

For which act of benevolence I shall as in duty Bound.—

Ever Pray.

Guntoor 28th March 1846.

No. 3.

As you have subsequently expressed a wish to have in writing an assurance to the same effect as was made to you verbally, when the reasons of Government for ordering your Estate to be sold and bought in by Government were explained to you, you are hereby informed that the Government will in no way depart from the conditions on which your Estate has been surrendered Viz.t "that of receiving a sufficient maintenance and the hope that it may be eventually restored".—

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To



18-19

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Guntoor reporting that the five Zemindaries of that District were put up to public sale for arrears of revenue and no other bidders appearing were purchased on account of Government for 5000 Rupees each 4th April 1846.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Guntoor reporting that the five Zemindaries of that District were put up to public sale for arrears of Revenue on the 1st April, and no other bidders appearing were purchased on account of Government for 5000 Rupees each - The exproprietors will hereafter be required to execute agreements relinquishing all demands upon their former subtenants.-

It will further be seen that the Zemindars have lately expressed a desire to be furnished in writing with an assurance of the intentions of Government towards them and that the Collector is of opinion that it is desirable that such a document

should

should be given them. He has therefore as directed Extract Minutes of Consultation 20th January Para 8. forwarded draft of proposed assurance in Enlish and Teloogoo for approval.

The form appears to the Board to be sufficiently guarded and is in close adherence to the expression employed by Government in the Extract Minutes of Consultation above referred to. The Board see no objection to the document being given to the Zemindar but they have suggested a slight modification of one part of the Teloogoo version which will be found in red ink.-

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St. George
27th April 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read



Read the following letter.-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.-
Fort St. George.-

Sir

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to forward Copy of the decission of the Head Assistant Collector on the Charges on which Soobiah Ameen of Poloor and acting as Ameen of Coorapaud was by him suspended and tried under Regulation IX. of 1822. Soobiah has been convicted of "knowingly and fraudulently making false entries in the public accounts" and has been sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 100, or in default to be imprisoned for a period of 6 Months, and I therefore, agreeably to Mr. Newill's recommendation in which I fully concur, request authority for his dismissal from the date of suspension.- I may further mention that the Commissioner pointed out this individual to me as one of those whom he had reason to know to be highly corrupt and unprincipled.- I request sanction for

(20)

From the Collr. of Guntoor.

Reporting the conviction by the Hd Assistt. Collr. under Regulation IX of 1822 of Soobiah, Acting Ameen of Coorapaud, forwarding Copy of Mr. Newill's decission, recommending Soobiah's dismissal and the appointment of Vecheroo Bapher to be Ameen of Poloor.-

To Bd. 11 Feby 1846
Extract from Proc. 23d Feby 1846.

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

for the appointment, to be ameen of Poloor in succession to S. Sobeah, of Kistna Row Bhashker, who has been acting in that Office for the last four Months.—

Guntoor Circuit Dindy 4th April 1846 } Collectors Cutcherry

I Signed H. Stokes
Collector.

No 1.

Plaintiff
Sobeah

Defendant
1 Somayajoolah Sobeah
Acting Ameen of Coorapaud Tanah.
2 Bodey Ramakristnamah
Aud dutgar of Do
3 Attalore Veeriah } Cumums of
4 Do Paramaraige } attalore.
5 Nooloolapauley } Village
Raigunnah } Meerasdiff of Do.

1 Defendants are charged with having made false accounts of certain grain attached for arrears of Revenue due by one Bellemconda Venkiah on account of the rent of attalore and other Villages 1st Defendant is further charged with Corruptly receiving Rupees 50 from the said Venkiah being a portion of a bribe of Rupees 100 required by 1st Defendant for the advantage given to them in making the said false accounts of the attached property.—

It



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.—

2. It is proper to premise that one Bellemconda Ramiah was renter of the Village of attalore, Wootoocoor, Gaurapaud & Enomedegullah Villages in the Coorapaud Tannah and incurred a balance of Rupees 2695—4—1 from fusly 1249 to 1253 on account of the same, upon his death in fusly 1254 his son Venkiah succeeded to his property and liabilities. The investigations of the Commissioner in this District having led to the conclusion that a portion at least of the outstanding balances in this Tannah were recoverable an order was given by the late Acting Collector on 19th April 1845 to enquire into the balances of attalore &c. and in the event of the renter not coming to some settlement to proceed to attach his property.

3. The then acting Ameen commenced an enquiry and some time afterwards 1st Defendant took charge of the Tannah and on 29th December 1845 reported that, as the defaulter had come to no settlement, he had attached his property in due form as per list enclosed amounting in value to Rupees 571-6-—00 property found in Vepperlah Rs 422-5, and grain found at attalore Rs 149. The latter item forms the subject of this enquiry.—

In

Fort St. George 27th. April 1846.—

4. In his argee above mentioned the 1st Defendant states that he "personally went to attalore, and in the presence of the Curnums and Village Moonsiff and defaulter, opened the Pits containing the grain and found that some had turned into dust, some more was Completely destroyed, some had also turned red, that after consulting the Villagers, he had entered in the attachment list such portion of the latter as was of any use, "and further adds, that, "if immediate orders are given for its sale, the estimated value may be realized, but, if there is any delay, even this will not be forthcoming," and, after hinting that there would be some other claims urged to the property attached, recommends that the whole may be released upon Venkiah's producing good security for the payment of Rupees 600 of the arrears in 2 years.—

5. The attachment list, which bears the signature of all the Defendants, gives 26 Candies 6 3/4 as the total quantity of grain, and 7 1/4 Candies as the quantity fit for use and saleable out of the above at the estimated amount of Rs. 149. The quantity as since ascertained by re-examination



Fort St. George 27th. April 1846.—

re-examination by a person deputed from the Huzzoor is as follows—

| | Candies | T- | Ps |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total quantity of grain | 28 | 5 | 4 |
| Quantity fit for use | 25 | 14 | 6 |
| Estimated value of the latter | Rs 506 | 4 13 | 60 — |

The grain has since been sold for Rupees 520- 10—4, and carried to account, being an excess of Rupees 371- 10—4 above the value given in the attachment list, and upwards of 3 times more both in quantity and value than the specification in that account—

6. The first intimation of any fraud and irregularity in this matter was conveyed in an argee of one Colagonta Condiah of Coorapaud on 3d January 1846, stating that 1st Defendant had agreed with the Defaulter Venkiah upon Consideration of a bribe of Rs. 50 and a promise of a further sum, to underrate and misrepresent the grain as bad, and that he (Petitioner) was prepared to give a much larger sum for the grain if it would be made over to him, than was estimated by 1st Defendant at the time of attachment, and that the fraud would be discovered by any person who might be deputed from the Huzzoor to examine the grain—

7. On 3d January 1st Witness deputed from

1st Witness Vencala County Amanut Levata Muddelgaree—

from the Kuzzoor to examine the grain, and the result has already been shewn above. The Witness deposes further to the pits being in such a state when he opened them as to lead him to conclude that the grain was good with the trifling exception of a little at the out side.—

2nd Witness Ameenadey Shashiah
3rd Witness Cumtum rangel Chakiah Ryots of Allatore

8. The 2d and 3d Witnesses depose that they were with the 1st Defendant when he opened some of the Grain pits, and that the grain appeared to them to be of a good quality except a little on the out side, and that the 1st Defendant did not appoint any arbitrators at the time as to the quantity and quality of the grain. The 4th Witness the samootoodaur, who attended with the 1st Defendant at the attachment of the grain, deposes to the same facts as the 2d and 3d Witness stating that the grain in the several pits was good after the outer part was removed and agrees with the 5th Witness in stating that 3d 4th & 5th Defendants were detained by 1st Defendant in the Tannah upon their unwillingness to sign the list of attached property.—

4th W. Chadeeta puttey Samootoodaur of Allatore

5th W. Moonnura Vencataraidoo Village Moonsiff of Coorapaum

9. The 1st Defendant it will appear was principally concerned in this transaction and his defence will be noticed more at length.—

10. The 2d Defendant, to whom the written authority



authority was given to attach the property in question, states that he did not do so, as the Ameen, 1st Defendant, did so himself personally, and brought a Memorandum of the grain in his own hand writing from which he made him prepare the attachment list, and afterwards compelled him to sign it in order that it might be in due form, to which he with much hesitation consented.—

11. The 3d 4th and 5th Defendants admit that they were present when 1st Defendant opened the Pits, and were then convinced, from what they saw, that the grain was of good quality except a little at the surface, and state that the 1st Defendant wrote himself a Memo of the grain at the time, which he took away with him, and that they positively refused to sign the false list made out by the Ameen, when called to do so the following day in the Tannah, but that, after being detained for 3 days and appressed, they at last did so upon 1st Defendant saying that the responsibility would fall upon him only.—

12. The absence of the 2d Defendant at the

the attachment, and his subsequently making out the account according to the instructions of his superior /1st Defendant/ is admitted by the latter, and as his signature to the list was probably obtained by constraint and he had no other part in the transaction, he is acquitted. ——

13 The statement of 3d 4th 5th Defendants, that their signature to the list in question was obtained by 1st Defendant by oppression, is supported by the evidence of 4th and 5th Witnesses, and admitted by 2nd Defendant, and the 1st Defendant failing to obtain it in due form at the time of attachment further favours the conclusion that they were not a willing partly to the fraudulent transaction. They are therefore acquitted. ——

14. Of the two points of this charge, Viz. the making a false account of the attached property, and the receiving a bribe for the undue advantage given by the same, the former has been selected, as the one most easily susceptible of satisfactory proof, as it rests for the most part upon the fact of the grain being in a good and saleable condition when

examined



examined by 1st Defendant, and, although it is not intended to press a Conviction upon the latter point, which would render the punishment two fold for one and the same transaction, the evidence adduced against 1st Defendant on this point requires to be briefly noticed, as it corroborates in an incidental manner the charge of 1st Defendant having made a wilfully false and fraudulent account. ——

6th Witness Bellaconda Venkiah

15. B. Venkiah the Defaulter, after finding that the fraud was discovered, and that he was disappointed of the undue advantage he hoped to gain by it came forward, and deposed to his having been invited by 1st Defendant to pay him a bribe of 100 Rupees to represent the Grain as damaged and unsaleable, and to obtain its release from attachment upon favorable conditions, of which he then agreed to pay Rupees 50, he further affirms that, upon the attachment, he took 50 Rupees to the Ameen at his house, in Coonapaud, that he got this Money, from 8th and 11th Witnesses for

8th 11th W Candaveeley Ucciah Canpoo

11th Dr Andracoondiah Canpoo

Fort St. George 24th April 1846.

for this express purpose. Viz. Rupees 26 from the former, and Rupees 24 from the latter, by Lastening the payment of sums due from them, which were not agreed to be paid till after the threshing of their grain, and that 7 and 9 Witnesses were sitting outside the 1st Defendants door when he went in to pay them the Money, to whom he communicated the business he was upon. The 8th and 11th Witnesses Corroborate Venkiah's statement, and do likewise the 7th and 9th Witnesses the two latter stating that he told them that he was taking a bribe to the 1st Defendant in the matter of the grain, and, as he came out of the house, that he had paid it to 1st Defendant. The 10th Witness enumerates certain intrigues on the part of the 1st Defendant stating that he directed him to get up a claim by another party to the grain to evade its being examined and sold. ——

7th Witness Bhaushayem Rawmiah Canpoo
9th Do. Condaveetay Rawmiah Do.

10th Witness Bedambey appalunchaarlu Samootadaur of Paremey—

16

1st Defendant urges in his defence as an excuse for the inaccuracy of the list, that he did



Fort St. George 24th April 1846.

ed not measure the grain, that it was old, having been for several years in the pits, and further, that he wrote the specification in the list, after consulting the Villagers, who were present, and that as the name of a person named Soonkara Veerunna appeared in some of the Cottacks or Palmyra Chits with the grain, and as the defaulter had made a proposal to give security for the payment in 2 years of Rupees 600 (about the value of property as estimated by 1st Defendants) he did not think it necessary to make further examination of the grain. ——

17. The annexed Statement shews at one view the description and value of the grain as reported by 1st Defendant, compared with the same as appears by the re-examination and sale. ——

Number

5835 Fort St. George 24th April 1846.

| Number | Pits | as per Defaulter's Statement: Quantity of grain in the Pits | Quantity of grain fit for sale. | Estimated Value | Weyed out: Quantity found in the Pits | Quantity damaged | Examination: Remaining fit for sale. | Estimated value of the grain | Amount actually realized by sale. | Increase discovered upon examination: Difference in quantity between Columns 3 and 7. | Difference in value between Columns 4 and 8. | Difference in value between Columns 4 and 9. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 Candies / Pounds / Maunds | 3 C Ft Mn | 4 Rs As Ps | | 5 C Ft Mn | 6 C Ft Mn | 7 C Ft Mn | 8 Rs As Ps | 9 Rs As Ps | 10 C Ft Mn | 11 Rs As Ps | 12 Rs As Ps |
| 1 | Do | 2 14 6 | 10 | 10 | 2 14 6 | 7 15½ | 2 6 6½ | 45 1 9 | | 1 16 6½ | 35 1 9 | |
| 2 | Do | 3 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 1 2 | 2 18 14 | 56 14 9 | | 2 Do 14 | 44 14 9 | |
| 3 | Do | 3 2 11 | 1 | 20 | 3 2 11 | 6 12 | 2 15 15 | 51 13 5 | | 1 15 15 | 31 13 5 | |
| 4 | Do | 5 5 | 2 | 40 | 5 5 | 5 15 | 4 19 1 | 98 5 | 520 10 4 | 2 19 1 | 58 5 | 371 10 4 |
| 5 | Do | 4 13 11½ | 1 10 | 30 | 4 13 11½ | 9 3½ | 4 4 8 | 89 3 | | 2 14 8 | 59 3 | |
| 6 | Do | 3 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 12 15 | 2 7 1 | 43 4 9 | | 1 12 1 | 31 4 9 | |
| 7 | Do | 2 5 | 15 | 15 | 4 3 9½ | | 4 3 9½ | 84 4 6 | | 3 8 9½ | 69 4 6 | |
| 8 | Do | 2 6 | 10 | 10 | 2 6 | 7 1 | 1 18 15 | 37 13 10 | | 1 8 15 | 27 13 10 | |
| | | 26 6 12½ | 7 15 | 149 | 28 5 6 | 2 11 | 25 14 6 | 506 13 | 520 10 4 | 17 19 6 | 357 13 | 371 10 4 |

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

The

18th. The question now is whether, making allowance for trivial and pardonable inaccuracy, the grain was of the description and value represented by 1st Defendant in the account of it made by him, the examination made by 1st Witness, and the subsequent sale of it by public Auction shew that out of 28 C — 5 Y — 6 W (was estimated by 1st Defendant 26 C — 6 Y — 12 W) there was 25 C — 14 S — 6 A of good and saleable grain, which was estimated at Rupees 506-13. and sold eventually for Rupees 520 — 10 — 4, instead of there being only 7¾ C of good and saleable grain of the value of Rupees 149, as represented by 1st Defendant in the account — The difference which is more than treble in quantity and value, or an excess of Rupees 371-10-4 is such as excludes the supposition of involuntary inaccuracy, and the agreed testimony of 2d — 3d — 4th Witnesses, and the admission of 3d 4th — 5th Defendants who were present when 1st Defendant opened the pits, that the grain was to all appearance with a trifling exception of the outside of a good quality places it beyond doubt that 1st Defendants representation that 7-C 1/4 only out of the whole was good, and that the remainder was good for nothing, was wilfully false —

19th. 1st Defendants manner of proceeding also in taking a Memo himself on a rough paper, and not obtaining



obtaining the signature of the Canungo &c. who were then present, in the regular form, to a list of the attached property, and his subsequent detention of 3d 4th and 5th Defendants in the Tannah till they after much hesitation, at last agreed to sign the list, is otherwise unaccountable, and his giving 2nd Defendant formal authority to attach the grain and proceeding personally himself, and afterwards making 2d Defendant sign his name to a list of property which he in fact knew nothing about, is further suspicious as well as being irregular —

20th. 1st Defendants plea that as he did not measure the grain, and that as it had been in the pits some years, he stated it according to the best of his Judgement upon examination of the pits and upon the arbitration of the Canungo &c. is refuted by the evidence already noticed of the grain appearing when opened to be of good quality — and by his not having consulted any arbitrators at all, and not obtaining the signature of the persons whom he alleged he had consulted, and the mention of a claim being brought by another person to the grain, and the proposal of the Defaulters to give security for the payment in 2 years of what could at once realized from the attached property at

at Defendants estimate, appears a meare subterfuge, as there was on record in the Tanah an enquiry by his predecessor, resulting in a report to the Huzzoor that the claim alluded to was without any support whatever.

21. 1st Defendants report to the Huzzoor accompanying the account of the grain, in which he recommends the release of the grain from attachment upon certain Condetions, is written in Confident terms, leaving no room but for supposing his having made a full and fair examination and valuation of it, and as strongly states that the least delay would prejudice the realization of the amount he had estimated, which is made to appear as a ground for accepting the terms proposed, and ordering the release of the property which from what has been stated appears to be the fraudulent object he wished to secure. Had an order to this effect been passed upon 1st Defendants report, the fraud would have been complete but altho the fraud, which this false account countenanced was not actually committed, the position of his having made a false representation in the same is not affected by it, for the falsity of the account remains the same as if the fraud had been committed.

22. As regards the 1st Defendant having received a bribe of Rupees 50 in this transaction, the evidence for



so the reason above stated, is only considered in a collateral view as tending to show that 1st Defendant had a corrupt and fraudulent design in making the account.

23. The evidence is perhaps all that could be expected in such a transaction, as in such cases the delinquent has the means of taking the precaution of avoiding the presence of a third person at the payment of the money and also the commitment of any thing to writing, which might be afterwards brought to inculpate him.

24. 1st Defendant denies this charge, and as the first point is considered proved without the evidence adduced in this, it will only be necessary to observe upon his insinuations (contained in an argee which he wished to be considered as part of his defence) that the charge of bribery was got up by the instigation of the Head Sircar and that the evidence of the Witnesses to this point was not fairly taken, and that he had not heard all the depositions, that the whole of the evidence was taken before me in a fair manner and correctly committed to writing, and that altho' for obvious reasons I considered 1st Defendants presence at the Cowsaud Summahundy not desirable, he had an opportunity of Cross questioning each of the Witnesses, and upon his stating that he thought some of the Depositions taken in his absence were not correctly written, was permitted to put questions which belonged properly to the examination in Chief.

25. Upon a Careful consideration of all the

Fort St George 27th April 1846.

the circumstances of the case, and of 1st Defendants statement, it is considered that the offence, as proved against him, amounts to more than simply "making false entries in a public account", which might be done from culpable and wilful negligence and is one, in which 1st Defendant had been guilty of knowingly and fraudulently making such entries in the account in question. The offence comes under the 4th Clause 2d of section 2 of Regulation 9 of 1822 as extended by act 36 of 1837, the property of which the account consisted, being attached property, and consequently coming under the denomination of public. 1st Defendant is sentenced to pay a fine of Ps. 100 one Hundred or in default to be imprisoned for the period of six months or until the fine be paid under clause 4 of Section 5 of the Regulation above quoted.

30 March 1846.

Order thereon.

20-21

/Signed H. Newill
Hd. W. Collector.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

From the Collr of Guntoor

21a

To J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

The draft of Mr Newill's letter with accompaniments to the Board dated 6th February on the subject of the Kistna Ferries having been mislaid, I have the Honor to request you will have the goodness to return the originals for the purpose of being copied for this Office.

Guntoor Collr's Circuit Cutchery
Dendy 9 April 1846

/Signed H Stokes
Collector.

21a-22

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Guntoor.

To the Collr of Guntoor

27 April 1846.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 9th Inst. No 2037 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you an attested Copy of Mr Newill's letter dated 6th Feby last on the subject of the Kistna Ferries.

/Sgd J Pycroft
Secy.

Read



Fort St George 27th April 1846.

Read the following letter.

23

From the Collr of Nellore

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

All the Salt for export to Chittagong having been taken from the Ports of Gogoolapully Ascapully and Tommulpent a. I have the Honor to request information of this circumstance, may be given to the owners of export Vessels now at Madras to prevent them going farther than Ellestrapatam as much inconvenience arises from their passing this Port, and encountering difficulty in making their way back again against the prevailing winds. I have been informed by a Syrang that many Vessels bound for this Coast to take in Salt are now lying in the Madras roads and perhaps thro' the Master Attendant or Collector of Sea Customs the information required may be given.

Nellore District
Yanoor
Collrs Cutchy. 22d April 1846

/Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

23-24

To The Master Attendant
Madras.

To the Master Attendant. The same to the Aly Collr of Sea Customs

Sir,

I am directed by the Board

5842 Fort St. George 27th April 1826.

Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Collector of Nellore and to request that you will be so good as to inform the Owners or Commanders of any Vessels now in these roads or who may hereafter arrive here and who are authorized by the Chittagong Salt Agent to take Salt from the Nellore District for exportation to Chittagong that all the Salt stored for that purpose at the Ports of Gogorlapully Escapully and Toommulpenta has been exported it will not therefore be advisable that they should proceed to the Northward of Vizianagaram.——

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St. George
27th April 1826

I Signed J. Dyecroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter:-

To J. Dyecroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

25

From the Commissioner in Kurnool

Sir,

Adverting to your Circular letter of the 19th instant I have the honor to request you will be so good as to furnish me with Copies of the Circular

5843 Fort St. George 27th April 1826.

Viz.
Circular 27th March 1826 Para 3
Do 14th July 1828
Do 12th Decr. 1831
Do 18th Augt. 1834
Do 29th Decr.
Do 23rd May 1836.

Camp
Gogorlapully
30th March 1826

Circular letters noted in the Margin thereof.——

I Signed S. Scott
Commissioner.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.——

To
The Commissioner in
Kurnool

25-26

To the Commissioner in Kurnool

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th March last and as therein requested to transmit Copies of the Circular letters from this Office noted in the Margin.

Circular 27th March 1826 Para 3
Do 14th July 1828
Do 12th December 1831.
Do 18th August 1834
Do 29th December 1834
Do 23rd May 1836.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 27th April 1826

I Signed J. Dyecroft
Secretary.

Read

Fort St George 27th April 1846.

Read the following Letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

27

From the Asst Collr of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the Honor to acknowledge your letter of the 8th May last, forwarding the Board's Proceedings on the Survey Settlement of this District and in conformity with the instructions received by me submit the following explanation in as succinct a form as practicable on the leading points therein adverted to.

Submitting explanations regarding the observations of the Bd on the Survey settlement of the Manemungalum Talooks & on Mr. Freece's revision of the settlement made by Messrs Pycroft & Smalley.

2. The subject to which on account of its importance it seems proper that I should first allude is the settlement of the Talooks of Manemungalum Nayer and Peddapallium and the 26 lapsed Villages which the Board condemn on the ground that the Collector Mr. Maclean by whom it was framed departed from their instructions and from the course which his predecessor Mr. Smalley had followed in the Chingleput Talook. The Board observe that Mr. Maclean had been directed by them more particularly in a memorandum

Board's Proceedings Paras 30 to 34.



Fort St George 27th April 1846.

memorandum attached to their proceedings of the 7th December 1835 to determine first the gross Beriz of the different Villages and Talooks leaving the final adjustment of the field assessment to be his last work after he had reported on and received the orders of the Board regarding the gross Beriz. But that Mr. Maclean proceeded from detail to aggregate or from single fields to whole Villages submitting his report only when the field assessment of an entire Talook had been completed the consequence of this course being considered to be that the assessment is not equal in the Districts settled by Mr. Maclean and that it is lighter generally than in the remainder of the District.

3. From the tenor of these observations it appears that the present Board are under the impression that Mr. Maclean received positive instructions to follow the Course laid down in the Memorandum alluded to, and that they are not aware of the form in which it reached this Office. On referring to the records

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

records I find that the Memorandum was never officially Communicated, but was sent without signature accompanied by a demi Official note from one of the Members Mr. G. Elliott explaining that it had been communicated in that manner with the express view of not binding the Collector to the mode of fixing the Assessment laid down in it, as the Board were not certain of its practicability Mr. Maclean was therefore instructed that he was at liberty to depart from the course prescribed in the Memorandum, if necessary, but that explanation of the reasons which might lead him to do so would be required, and Mr. Elliott added that it was wished that an open Communication should continue between the Cutcherries of the Collector and the Board during the progress of the Survey.—

6. Mr. Maclean was anxious to meet the wishes of the Board under whose immediate inspection his work was to be carried on and by whom it was to be judged and he accordingly endeavoured to prepare in the first instance a Beriz list for their approval



Fort St. George 27th April 1846

approval, but finding the plan full of difficulties, he entered into personal communication and on his representation the Board allowed him to follow the course now objected to, and dispensed with the preliminary report. Mr. Maclean then proceeded with the Settlement by entering on the details of the different Villages, and when the Taluk of Manemungalum was completed

22d July 1836. he forwarded a brief Statement exhibiting the result—

5. This Statement was laid before

† Min. of Cons. 18th Augt. 1836. the Government, who expressed a favorable opinion of the progress made in the Survey but instructed the Board to scrutinize the details.

* 22d Augt. 1836. The Board then* sent for the Collectors Sheristadar with the detailed accounts of several Villages and Mr. Maclean wrote a full report on the 15th April 1837 explaining the exact steps pursued in fixing the Beriz in the Taluk. Some of the Collectors'

‡ 20 April 1837. Servants were afterwards summoned by the Board and remained seven months to assist their Cutcherry in examining further details of the Manemungalum Taluk, and Mr. G. Elliott, one of the Members of the Board, visited the

Fort St George 27th April 1846.

recess I find that the Memorandum was never officially Communicated, but was sent without signature accompanied by a demi Official note from one of the Members Mr. G. Elliott explaining that it had been communicated in that manner with the express view of not binding the Collector to the mode of fixing the assessment laid down in it, as the Board were not certain of its practicability Mr. Maclean was therefore instructed that he was at liberty to depart from the course prescribed in the Memorandum, if necessary, but that explanation of the reasons which might lead him to do so would be required, and Mr. Elliott added that it was wished that an open Communication should continue between the Cutcherries of the Collector and the Board during the progress of the survey. ——

6. Mr. Maclean was anxious to meet the wishes of the Board under whose immediate inspection his work was to be carried on and by whom it was to be judged and he accordingly endeavoured to prepare in the first instance a Beriz list for their approval



Fort St George 27th April 1846

approval, but finding the plan full of difficulties, he entered into personal communication and on his representation the Board allowed him to follow the course now objected to, and dispensed with the preliminary report. Mr. Maclean then proceeded with the settlement by entering on the details of the different Villages, and when the Talook of Manemungalum was completed

22d July 1836. he forwarded a brief Statement exhibiting the result —

5. This Statement was laid before the Government, who expressed a favorable opinion of the progress made in the Survey but instructed the Board to scrutinize the details.

† Min of Cons 18th aug. 1836.

*22d aug. 1836. The Board then* sent for the Collectors Shereistadar with the detailed accounts of several Villages and Mr. Maclean wrote a full report on the 15th April 1837 explaining the exact steps pursued in fixing the Beriz in the Talook. Some of the Collectors

‡ 20 April 1837. Servants were afterwards summoned by the Board and remained seven months to assist their Cutcherry in examining further details of the Manemungalum Talook, and Mr. D. Elliott one of the Members of the Board visited the

5848

Fort St George 27th April 1846-

the District to observe personally the process followed in the survey and scrutinize the accounts of a few Villages, but no objections were made to the system adopted, and on Mr Maclean being afterwards nominated to the Board, the Board obtained the sanction of Government that he should continue the superintendence of the survey in order that the work might not lose the benefit of his experience. It also appears that after Mr Maclean had completed the settlement of the three Taloks and the 260 lapsed Villages, and submitted his reports the Board recommended to Government that the survey establishment should be retained for a time under Mr Freese in order that some suggestions of Mr Maclean might be carried out in the other Taloks in which case "they observed "Government will have before them the survey accounts of one entire District into which a field assessment has been introduced on an uniform principle and which will serve as a useful basis for the direction and guidance of the Collectors in Districts into which it yet remains to be introduced".

Having

Letter to Govt. dated 9th April 1838 Para 3.

In final Report dated 31st July 1839.

To Chief Secy to Govt. 7th Octr. 1839.

5849

Fort St George 27th April 1846-

6. Having entered into this explanation for the purpose of showing that the course pursued by Mr Maclean during the 3½ years when he was occupied with the survey was known to and approved by the Board of Revenue, I beg briefly to allude to the causes which prevented his adopting the system which it was wished that he should if possible follow. These were 1st. The absence of data on which to fix the Bariz of a Talook and apportion it among the different Villages, full reliance not being able to be placed on the old accounts as remarked by the Board 2d. The difficulty or perhaps impossibility which would be experienced in correcting errors if when proceeding with the details it was discovered, as might be anticipated, that in some instances too large, and in others too small an amount of Bariz had been apportioned to different Villages- 3d. The plan was contrary to the wishes of the people, and Mr Maclean thought it advisable to gain their acquiescence and cooperation in the introduction of the new settlement, by meeting their opinions and jealousies when it could be done without

Bd's Proceedings 19th May 1825 Para 7 Para Board's present proceedings Paras 10-33. Para

Fort St George 27th April 1826-

without injury to the interests of Government —

7. I have thought it proper to allude thus briefly to the above difficulties because they were equally influential on the course which Mr Smalley had previously adopted in the settlement of the Chingleput Talook although it appears that the commencement of that Gentleman's report has led to an impression that he followed the System which was recommended to but abandoned by Mr Maclean I beg however to observe that this was not the case and that altho Mr Smalley in his report on the Completion of the Chingleput Talook expressed his opinion of the amount of Revenue which the District was capable of yielding and which ought to be realized under the new Settlement, he in no instance first prepared a gross Beriz for a Talook, or for different Villages but proceeded by the same steps as Mr Maclean - The lands of a tract of Villages were first surveyed and classified according to their produce, when a Beriz account was prepared for them by the Sir Tarumdars - It was then that Mr Smalley was in possession of the information which



Fort St George 27th April 1826-

which enabled him by Comparing the result with previous assessments and Collections to form a judgment of the general bearing of his settlement and to submit it for approval and adoption -——

8- That both Gentleman pursued the same course and that no other was open, except trusting to the accounts of the Village Curnums which the Board particularly prohibited, will perhaps be more apparent when it is remarked that the survey settlement is not a new assessment formed on any novel principle or a new apportionment of the produce, but a careful conversion of the old payment in kind to one in money. — When the two preliminary processes of measuring the lands and classifying them according to their rates of produce had been accomplished the third step taken by Mr Smalley was to divide the produce according to the ancient rates, which not only vary in different Villages, but on different descriptions of land in the same Village according to the expense and labour of Cultivation - The Swatuntrum portion of the Grain being first set aside the remainder was divided into

Proceedings 19th May 1825 Para 6 to 12

Mr Smalley's Report 31st Octr 1822 Para 8-

† Grain fees to Village servants &c.

into the Codewaram / Cultivators share / and Nuliwaram (Government share / The latter converted into money on the average price of several preceding years formed the Assessment a settlement framed on this principle must it appears to me necessarily lead from details to the aggregate or from single fields to Villages, and then to larger Districts, and as the course had been approved and sanctioned in the Chingleput Talook, Mr. Maclean pursued it when continuing the settlement. Neither Gentlemen overlooked the circumstances that the Mostahdaree or Permanent Rent was a net, and the survey a gross assessment, nor that the former was a fixed rent while the latter being a field assessment would fluctuate with the extent of ground annually under Cultivation. Attention was also given to the various preceding Rentes and the average of former Collections but the principle on which the survey assessment was framed only allowed a reference to these accounts to be useful in the following manner 1st as a test though an imperfect one of the accuracy of the



the Measurement and classification of the lands and 2d as a standard of Comparison to aid in judging whether the adoption of the proposed settlement was consistent with the interests of Government & and the people.

Proceedings Board of Revr. 19th may 1825. Instructions repeated in letter to Mr. Cotton dated 7th March 1831 See also letter from Board 29th October 1829

In Corroboration of this view I beg to refer to the instructions given by the Board for the completion of the Survey after the settlement of the Chingleput Talook had been sanctioned by the Government. In these instructions Mr. Smalley's system is referred to as a guide and is described in the following words. "although Mr. Smalley likewise took the Accounts of 21 years produce and revenue it was rather for the sake of Comparison with the revenues of other periods and the produce of fusly 1210 when the Permanent settlement had not yet been introduced, than as data which could be implicitly followed in determining the future assessment. In the Villages settled by him the survey rates were founded on the classification and value fixed by the assessors upon the information obtained from the inhabitants in respect to the productive powers of the different soils and with reference to the produce of the 3 years Cumary

Proceedings of Board 19th May 1825. Para 20.

Management

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

management and local advantages &c, subject to such modifications as personal enquiry and the general effect of the assessment induced him to think proper. It appears to the Board that this course should still be pursued &c. In accordance with this system the Board forwarded forms of accounts for the use and guidance of the Collector when proceeding with the Survey, and in the first account (No. 7) columns are opened to show full details of the various classes of land and the rates of assessment proposed for each in the different Villages. I would therefore submit that sanction had been formally given to the system of proceeding from details to the aggregate or from single fields to a Village, using the old accounts of produce and revenue not as a preliminary standard or basis on which to fix an aggregate Beriz but as a test by which to try the aggregate Beriz before the details of which it was composed were submitted for sanction and it appears to me that no other course could have been followed without departing from the main principle of the Survey assessment which has been a careful Conversion of the current payment in



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

kind on each description of land to a corresponding money assessment. If other expressions in those instructions of the Board are considered to direct an opposite course (see Board's present Proceedings Para 84) I would submit with deference that they are not reconcileable with the above description of Mr. Smalley's system and the injunction given to follow it that the Collectors Messrs. Cotton, Maclean, and Freese successively regarded the instructions in the light now represented, and that their opinion of the Course to be adopted was confirmed by the Board expressing to Government their approval of the manner in which Mr. Maclean had Continued the Survey in the Districts settled by him.

10. The Course pursued by Mr. Smalley and Mr. Maclean being thus similar any difference which may exist in the results at which they arrived must be looked for in the details of their operations. But I am induced to hope, especially after the recent revision made in some of the Chingleput Villages, that no essential difference will be found. One point which I would here notice is that Mr. Smalley took

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

took the cultivation of fusly 1230 while that of 1232 formed the basis of Mr. Maclean's Settlement this may slightly affect a comparison of their respective settlements as with the ancient Barizes unless the arable waste (Laikh Bunjer) is included, but it did not affect the rates of assessment which were regulated by the Capabilities of the lands alone. The reason why the Cultivation of Fusly 1232 was taken in the Talooks Settled by Mr. Maclean will be adverted to in a future part of this report.—

Board's Proceedings Paras 35 & 36.

It is remarked that though the aggregate of Mr. Maclean's Settlement exceeds the previous standard Beriges, except the Collection of 1206 yet when the Comparison is carried into the Talooks the results vary in a remarkable manner and it is particularly observed that while the settlement exceeds the Collection of fusly 1232 in Inammungalum by 11 per Cent it falls below them in Vayer by 3 per Cent in Poddapollium by a fraction and in the 260 Villages by 3 per Cent.—

11. I now beg to refer to the remarks of the Board the purport of which is given in the margin, and to annex for the sake of explanation a Statement in which the Settlement of the Chingleput Talooks as well as that of the districts superintended by Mr.



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Maclean are contrasted with the 3 principal standards referred by the Board. My object in including the Chingleput Talooks, the settlement of which has been approved and sanctioned, is to show that the same causes (the irregularity of the former Berizes and inaccuracies of the old accounts) which have thrown doubt on Mr. Maclean's assessment affect equally that Talooks when its settlement is tested in this manner.—

Talook.

State of George

Between 21st & 24th

Executors

Legatees

Between 24th & 27th

Executors

Legatees

Between 27th & 30th

Executors

Legatees

Between 30th & 33d

Executors

Legatees

Between 33d & 36th

Executors

Legatees

Between 36th & 39th

Executors

Legatees

Between 39th & 42d

Executors

Legatees

Between 42d & 45th

Executors

Legatees

Between 45th & 48th

Executors

Legatees

Between 48th & 51st

Executors

Legatees

Between 51st & 54th

Executors

Legatees

Between 54th & 57th

Executors

Legatees

Between 57th & 60th

Executors

Legatees

Between 60th & 63d

Executors

Legatees

Between 63d & 66th

Executors

Legatees

Between 66th & 69th

Executors

Legatees

Between 69th & 72d

Executors

Legatees

Between 72d & 75th

Executors

Legatees

Between 75th & 78th

Executors

Legatees

Between 78th & 81st

Executors

Legatees

Between 81st & 84th

Executors

Legatees

Between 84th & 87th

Executors

Legatees

Between 87th & 90th

Executors

Legatees

Between 90th & 93d

Executors

Legatees

Between 93d & 96th

Executors

Legatees

Between 96th & 99th

Executors

Legatees

Between 99th & 102d

Executors

Legatees

Between 102d & 105th

Executors

Legatees

Between 105th & 108th

Executors

Legatees

Between 108th & 111th

Executors

Legatees

Between 111th & 114th

Executors

Legatees

Between 114th & 117th

Executors

Legatees

Between 117th & 120th

Executors

Legatees

Between 120th & 123d

Executors

Legatees

Between 123d & 126th

Executors

Legatees

Between 126th & 129th

Executors

Legatees

Between 129th & 132d

Executors

Legatees

Between 132d & 135th

Executors

Legatees

Between 135th & 138th

Executors

Legatees

Between 138th & 141st

Executors

Legatees

Between 141st & 144th

Executors

Legatees

Between 144th & 147th

Executors

Legatees

Between 147th & 150th

Executors

Legatees

Between 150th & 153d

Executors

Legatees

Between 153d & 156th

Executors

Legatees

Between 156th & 159th

Executors

Legatees

Between 159th & 162d

Executors

Legatees

Between 162d & 165th

Executors

Legatees

Between 165th & 168th

Executors

Legatees

Between 168th & 171st

Executors

Legatees

Between 171st & 174th

Executors

Legatees

Between 174th & 177th

Executors

Legatees

Between 177th & 180th

Executors

Legatees

Between 180th & 183d

Executors

Legatees

Between 183d & 186th

Executors

Legatees

Between 186th & 189th

Executors

Legatees

Between 189th & 192d

Executors

Legatees

Between 192d & 195th

Executors

Legatees

Between 195th & 198th

Executors

Legatees

Between 198th & 201st

Executors

Legatees

Between 201st & 204th

Executors

Legatees

Between 204th & 207th

Executors

Legatees

Between 207th & 210th

Executors

Legatees

Between 210th & 213d

Executors

Legatees

Between 213d & 216th

Executors

Legatees

Between 216th & 219th

Executors

Legatees

Between 219th & 222d

Executors

Legatees

Between 222d & 225th

Executors

Legatees

Between 225th & 228th

Executors

Legatees

Between 228th & 231st

Executors

Legatees

Between 231st & 234th

Executors

Legatees

Between 234th & 237th

Executors

Legatees

Between 237th & 240th

Executors

Legatees

Between 240th & 243d

Executors

Legatees

Between 243d & 246th

Executors

Legatees

Between 246th & 249th

Executors

Legatees

Between 249th & 252d

Executors

Legatees

Between 252d & 255th

Executors

Legatees

Between 255th & 258th

Executors

Legatees

Between 258th & 261st

Executors

Legatees

Between 261st & 264th

Executors

Legatees

Between 264th & 267th

Executors

Legatees

Between 267th & 270th

Executors

Legatees

Between 270th & 273d

Executors

Legatees

Between 273d & 276th

Executors

Legatees

Between 276th & 279th

Executors

Legatees

Between 279th & 282d

Executors

Legatees

Between 282d & 285th

Executors

Legatees

Between 285th & 288th

Executors

Legatees

Between 288th & 291st

Executors

Legatees

Between 291st & 294th

Executors

Legatees

Between 294th & 297th

Executors

Legatees

Between 297th & 300th

Executors

Legatees

Between 300th & 303d

Executors

Legatees

Between 303d & 306th

Executors

Legatees

Between 306th & 309th

Executors

Legatees

Between 309th & 312d

Executors

Legatees

Between 312d & 315th

Executors

Legatees

Between 315th & 318th

Executors

Legatees

Between 318th & 321st

Executors

Legatees

Between 321st & 324th

Executors

Legatees

Between 324th & 327th

Executors

Legatees

Between 327th & 330th

Executors

Legatees

Between 330th & 333d

Executors

Legatees

Between 333d & 336th

Executors

Legatees

Between 336th & 339th

Executors

Legatees

Between 339th & 342d

Executors

Legatees

Between 342d & 345th

Executors

Legatees

Between 345th & 348th

Executors

Legatees

Between 348th & 351st

Executors

Legatees

Between 351st & 354th

Executors

Legatees

Between 354th & 357th

Executors

Legatees

Between 357th & 360th

Executors

Legatees

Between 360th & 363d

Executors

Legatees

Between 363d & 366th

Executors

Legatees

Between 366th & 369th

Executors

Legatees

Between 369th & 372d

Executors

Legatees

Between 372d & 375th

Executors

Legatees

Between 375th & 378th

Executors

Legatees

Between 378th & 381st

Executors

Legatees

Between 381st & 384th

Executors

Legatees

Between 384th & 387th

Executors

Legatees

Between 387th & 390th

Executors

Legatees

Between 390th & 393d

Executors

Legatees

Between 393d & 396th

Executors

Legatees

Between 396th & 399th

Executors

Legatees

Between 399th & 402d

Executors

Legatees

Between 402d & 405th

Executors

Legatees

Between 405th & 408th

Executors

Legatees

Between 408th & 411st

Executors

Legatees

Between 411st & 414th

Executors

Legatees

Between 414th & 417th

Executors

Legatees

Between 417th & 420th

Executors

Legatees

Between 420th & 423d

Executors

Legatees

Between 423d & 426th

Executors

Legatees

Between 426th & 429th

Executors

Legatees

Between 429th & 432d

Executors

Legatees

Between 432d & 435th

Executors

Legatees

Between 435th & 438th

Executors

Legatees

Between 438th & 441st

Executors

Legatees

Between 441st & 444th

Executors

Legatees

Between 444th & 447th

Executors

Legatees

Between 447th & 450th

Executors

Legatees

Between 450th & 453d

Executors

Legatees

Between 453d & 456th

Executors

Legatees

Between 456th & 459th

Executors

Legatees

Between 459th & 462d

Executors

Legatees

Between 462d & 465th

Executors

Legatees

Between 465th & 468th

Executors

Legatees

Between 468th & 471st

Executors

Legatees

Between 471st & 474th

Executors

Legatees

Between 474th & 477th

Executors

Legatees

Between 477th & 480th

Executors

Legatees

Between 480th & 483d

Executors

Legatees

Between 483d & 486th

Executors

Legatees

Between 486th & 489th

Executors

Legatees

Between 489th & 492d

Executors

Legatees

Between 492d & 495th

Executors

Legatees

Between 495th & 498th

Executors

Legatees

Between 498th & 501st

Executors

Legatees

Between 501st & 504th

Executors

Legatees

Between 504th & 507th

Executors

Legatees

Between 507th & 510th

Executors

Legatees

Between 510th & 513d

Executors

Legatees

Between 513d & 516th

Executors

Legatees

Between 516th & 519th

Executors

Legatees

Between 519th & 522d

Executors

Legatees

Between 522d & 525th

Executors

Legatees

Between 525th & 528th

Executors

Legatees

Between 528th & 531st

Executors

Legatees

Between 531st & 534th

Executors

Legatees

Between 534th & 537th

Executors

Legatees

Between 537th & 540th

Executors

Legatees

Between 540th & 543d

Executors

Legatees

Between 543d & 546th

Executors

Legatees

Between 546th & 549th

Executors

Legatees

Between 549th & 552d

Executors

Legatees

Between 552d & 555th

Executors

Legatees

Between 555th & 558th

Executors

Legatees

Between 558th & 561st

Executors

Legatees

Between 561st & 564th

Executors

Legatees

Between 564th & 567th

Executors

Legatees

Between 567th & 570th

Executors

Legatees

Between 570th & 573d

Executors

Legatees

Between 573d & 576th

Executors

Legatees

Between 576th & 579th

Executors

Legatees

Between 579th & 582d

Executors

Legatees

Between 582d & 585th

Executors

Legatees

Between 585th & 588th

Executors

Legatees

Between 588th & 591st

Executors

Legatees

Between 591st & 594th

Executors

Legatees

Between 594th & 597th

Executors

Legatees

Between 597th & 600th

Executors

Legatees

Between 600th & 603d

Executors

Legatees

Between 603d & 606th

Executors

Legatees

Between 606th & 609th

Executors

Legatees

Between 609th & 612d

Executors

Legatees

Between 612d & 615th

Executors

Legatees

Between 615th & 618th

Executors

Legatees

Between 618th & 621st

Executors

Legatees

Between 621st & 624th

Executors

Legatees

Between 624th & 627th

Executors

Legatees

Between 627th & 630th

Executors

Legatees

Between 630th & 633d

Executors

Legatees

Between 633d & 636th

Executors

Legatees

Between 636th & 639th

Executors

Legatees

Between 639th & 642d

Executors

Legatees

Between 642d & 645th

Executors

Legatees

Between 645th & 648th

Executors

Legatees

Between 648th & 651st

Executors

Legatees

Between 651st & 654th

Executors

Legatees

Between 654th & 657th

Executors

Legatees

Between 657th & 660th

Executors

Legatees

Between 660th & 663d

Executors

Legatees

Between 663d & 666th

Executors

Legatees

Between 666th & 669th

Executors

Legatees

Between 669th & 672d

Executors

Legatees

Between 672d & 675th

Executors

Legatees

Between 675th & 678th

Executors

Legatees

Between 678th & 681st

Executors

Legatees

Between 681st & 684th

Executors

Legatees

Between 684th & 687th

Executors

Legatees

Between 687th & 690th

Executors

Legatees

Between 690th & 693d

Executors

Legatees

Between 693d & 696th

Executors

Legatees

Between 696th & 699th

Executors

Legatees

Between 699th & 702d

Executors

Legatees

Between 702d & 705th

Executors

Legatees

Between 705th & 708th

Executors

Legatees

Between 708th & 711st

Executors

Legatees

Between 711st & 714th

Executors

Legatees

Between 714th & 717th

Executors

Legatees

Between 717th & 720th

Executors

Legatees

Between 720th & 723d

Executors

Legatees

Between 723d & 726th

Executors

Legatees

Between 726th & 729th

Executors

Legatees

Between 729th & 732d

Executors

Legatees

Between 732d & 735th

Executors

Legatees

Between 735th & 738th

Executors

Legatees

Between 738th & 741st

Executors

Legatees

Between 741st & 744th

Executors

Legatees

Between 744th & 747th

Executors

Legatees

Between 747th & 750th

Executors

Legatees

Between 750th & 753d

Executors

Legatees

Between 753d & 756th

Executors

Legatees

Between 756th & 759th

Executors

Legatees

Between 759th & 762d

Executors

Legatees

Between 762d & 765th

Executors

Legatees

Between 765th & 768th

Executors

Legatees

Between 768th & 771st

Executors

Legatees

Between 771st & 774th

Executors

Legatees

Between 774th & 777th

Executors

Legatees

Between 777th & 780th

Executors

Legatees

Between 780th & 783d

Executors

Legatees

Between 783d & 786th

Executors

Legatees

Between 786th & 789th

Executors

Legatees

Between 789th & 792d

Executors

Legatees

Between 792d & 795th

Executors

Legatees

Between 795th & 798th

Executors

Legatees

Between 798th & 801st

Executors

Legatees

Between 801st & 804th

Executors

Legatees

Between 804th & 807th

Executors

Legatees

Between 807th & 810th

Executors

Legatees

Between 810th & 813d

Executors

Legatees

Between 813d & 816th

Executors

Legatees

Between 816th & 819th

Executors

Legatees

Between 819th & 822d

Executors

Legatees

Between 822d & 825th

Executors

Legatees

Between 825th & 828th

Executors

Legatees

Between 828th & 831st

Executors

Legatees

Between 831st & 834th

Executors

Legatees

Between 834th & 837th

Executors

Legatees

Between 837th & 840th

Executors

Legatees

Between 840th & 843d

Executors

Legatees

Between 843d & 846th

Executors

Legatees

Between 846th & 849th

Executors

Legatees

Between 849th & 852d

Executors

Legatees

Between 852d & 855th

Executors

Legatees

Between 855th & 858th

Executors

Legatees

Between 858th & 861st

Executors

Legatees

Between 861st & 864th

Executors

Legatees

Between 864th & 867th

Executors

Legatees

Between 867th & 870th

Executors

Legatees

Between 870th & 873d

Executors

Legatees

Between 873d & 876th

Executors

Legatees

Between 876th & 879th

Executors

Legatees

Between 879th & 882d

Executors

Legatees

Between 882d & 885th

Executors

Legatees

Between 885th & 888th

Executors

Legatees

Between 888th & 891st

Executors

Legatees

Between 891st & 894th

Executors

Legatees

Between 894th & 897th

Executors

Legatees

Between 897th & 900th

Executors

Legatees

Between 900th & 903d

Executors

Legatees

Between 903d & 906th

Executors

Legatees

Between 906th & 909th

Executors

Legatees

Between 909th & 912d

Executors

Legatees

Between 912d & 915th

Executors

Legatees

Between 915th & 918th

Executors

Legatees

Between 918th & 921st

Executors

Legatees

Between 921st & 924th

Executors

Legatees

Between 924th & 927th

Executors

Legatees

Between 927th & 930th

Executors

Legatees

Between 930th & 933d

Executors

Legatees

Between 933d & 936th

Executors

Legatees

Between 936th & 939th

Executors

Legatees

Between 939th & 942d

Executors

Legatees

Between 942d & 945th

Executors

Legatees

Between 945th & 948th

Executors

Legatees

Between 948th & 951st

Executors

Legatees

Between 951st & 954th

Executors

Legatees

Between 954th & 957th

Executors

Legatees

Between 957th & 960th

Executors

Legatees

Between 960th & 963d

Executors

Legatees

Between 963d & 966th

Executors

Legatees

Between 966th & 969th

Executors

Legatees

Between 969th & 972d

Executors

Legatees

Between 972d & 975th

Executors

Legatees

Between 975th & 978th

Executors

Legatees

Between 978th & 981st

Executors

Legatees

Between 981st & 984th

Executors

Legatees

Between 984th & 987th

Executors

Legatees

Between 987th & 990th

Executors

Legatees

Between 990th & 993d

Executors

Legatees

Between 993d & 996th

Executors

Legatees

Between 996th & 999th

Executors

Legatees

Between 999th & 1002d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1002d & 1005th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1005th & 1008th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1008th & 1011st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1011st & 1014th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1014th & 1017th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1017th & 1020th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1020th & 1023d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1023d & 1026th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1026th & 1029th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1029th & 1032d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1032d & 1035th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1035th & 1038th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1038th & 1041st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1041st & 1044th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1044th & 1047th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1047th & 1050th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1050th & 1053d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1053d & 1056th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1056th & 1059th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1059th & 1062d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1062d & 1065th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1065th & 1068th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1068th & 1071st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1071st & 1074th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1074th & 1077th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1077th & 1080th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1080th & 1083d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1083d & 1086th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1086th & 1089th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1089th & 1092d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1092d & 1095th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1095th & 1098th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1098th & 1101st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1101st & 1104th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1104th & 1107th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1107th & 1110th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1110th & 1113d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1113d & 1116th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1116th & 1119th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1119th & 1122d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1122d & 1125th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1125th & 1128th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1128th & 1131st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1131st & 1134th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1134th & 1137th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1137th & 1140th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1140th & 1143d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1143d & 1146th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1146th & 1149th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1149th & 1152d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1152d & 1155th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1155th & 1158th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1158th & 1161st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1161st & 1164th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1164th & 1167th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1167th & 1170th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1170th & 1173d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1173d & 1176th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1176th & 1179th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1179th & 1182d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1182d & 1185th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1185th & 1188th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1188th & 1191st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1191st & 1194th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1194th & 1197th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1197th & 1200th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1200th & 1203d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1203d & 1206th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1206th & 1209th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1209th & 1212d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1212d & 1215th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1215th & 1218th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1218th & 1221st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1221st & 1224th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1224th & 1227th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1227th & 1230th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1230th & 1233d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1233d & 1236th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1236th & 1239th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1239th & 1242d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1242d & 1245th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1245th & 1248th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1248th & 1251st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1251st & 1254th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1254th & 1257th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1257th & 1260th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1260th & 1263d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1263d & 1266th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1266th & 1269th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1269th & 1272d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1272d & 1275th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1275th & 1278th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1278th & 1281st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1281st & 1284th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1284th & 1287th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1287th & 1290th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1290th & 1293d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1293d & 1296th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1296th & 1299th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1299th & 1302d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1302d & 1305th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1305th & 1308th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1308th & 1311st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1311st & 1314th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1314th & 1317th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1317th & 1320th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1320th & 1323d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1323d & 1326th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1326th & 1329th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1329th & 1332d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1332d & 1335th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1335th & 1338th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1338th & 1341st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1341st & 1344th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1344th & 1347th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1347th & 1350th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1350th & 1353d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1353d & 1356th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1356th & 1359th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1359th & 1362d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1362d & 1365th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1365th & 1368th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1368th & 1371st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1371st & 1374th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1374th & 1377th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1377th & 1380th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1380th & 1383d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1383d & 1386th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1386th & 1389th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1389th & 1392d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1392d & 1395th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1395th & 1398th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1398th & 1401st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1401st & 1404th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1404th & 1407th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1407th & 1410th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1410th & 1413d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1413d & 1416th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1416th & 1419th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1419th & 1422d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1422d & 1425th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1425th & 1428th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1428th & 1431st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1431st & 1434th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1434th & 1437th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1437th & 1440th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1440th & 1443d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1443d & 1446th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1446th & 1449th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1449th & 1452d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1452d & 1455th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1455th & 1458th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1458th & 1461st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1461st & 1464th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1464th & 1467th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1467th & 1470th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1470th & 1473d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1473d & 1476th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1476th & 1479th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1479th & 1482d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1482d & 1485th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1485th & 1488th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1488th & 1491st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1491st & 1494th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1494th & 1497th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1497th & 1500th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1500th & 1503d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1503d & 1506th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1506th & 1509th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1509th & 1512d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1512d & 1515th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1515th & 1518th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1518th & 1521st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1521st & 1524th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1524th & 1527th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1527th & 1530th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1530th & 1533d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1533d & 1536th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1536th & 1539th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1539th & 1542d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1542d & 1545th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1545th & 1548th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1548th & 1551st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1551st & 1554th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1554th & 1557th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1557th & 1560th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1560th & 1563d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1563d & 1566th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1566th & 1569th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1569th & 1572d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1572d & 1575th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1575th & 1578th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1578th & 1581st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1581st & 1584th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1584th & 1587th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1587th & 1590th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1590th & 1593d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1593d & 1596th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1596th & 1599th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1599th & 1602d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1602d & 1605th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1605th & 1608th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1608th & 1611st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1611st & 1614th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1614th & 1617th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1617th & 1620th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1620th & 1623d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1623d & 1626th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1626th & 1629th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1629th & 1632d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1632d & 1635th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1635th & 1638th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1638th & 1641st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1641st & 1644th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1644th & 1647th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1647th & 1650th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1650th & 1653d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1653d & 1656th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1656th & 1659th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1659th & 1662d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1662d & 1665th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1665th & 1668th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1668th & 1671st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1671st & 1674th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1674th & 1677th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1677th & 1680th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1680th & 1683d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1683d & 1686th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1686th & 1689th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1689th & 1692d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1692d & 1695th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1695th & 1698th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1698th & 1701st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1701st & 1704th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1704th & 1707th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1707th & 1710th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1710th & 1713d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1713d & 1716th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1716th & 1719th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1719th & 1722d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1722d & 1725th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1725th & 1728th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1728th & 1731st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1731st & 1734th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1734th & 1737th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1737th & 1740th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1740th & 1743d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1743d & 1746th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1746th & 1749th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1749th & 1752d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1752d & 1755th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1755th & 1758th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1758th & 1761st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1761st & 1764th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1764th & 1767th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1767th & 1770th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1770th & 1773d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1773d & 1776th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1776th & 1779th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1779th & 1782d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1782d & 1785th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1785th & 1788th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1788th & 1791st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1791st & 1794th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1794th & 1797th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1797th & 1800th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1800th & 1803d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1803d & 1806th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1806th & 1809th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1809th & 1812d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1812d & 1815th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1815th & 1818th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1818th & 1821st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1821st & 1824th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1824th & 1827th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1827th & 1830th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1830th & 1833d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1833d & 1836th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1836th & 1839th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1839th & 1842d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1842d & 1845th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1845th & 1848th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1848th & 1851st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1851st & 1854th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1854th & 1857th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1857th & 1860th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1860th & 1863d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1863d & 1866th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1866th & 1869th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1869th & 1872d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1872d & 1875th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1875th & 1878th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1878th & 1881st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1881st & 1884th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1884th & 1887th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1887th & 1890th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1890th & 1893d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1893d & 1896th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1896th & 1899th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1899th & 1902d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1902d & 1905th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1905th & 1908th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1908th & 1911st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1911st & 1914th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1914th & 1917th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1917th & 1920th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1920th & 1923d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1923d & 1926th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1926th & 1929th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1929th & 1932d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1932d & 1935th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1935th & 1938th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1938th & 1941st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1941st & 1944th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1944th & 1947th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1947th & 1950th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1950th & 1953d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1953d & 1956th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1956th & 1959th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1959th & 1962d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1962d & 1965th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1965th & 1968th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1968th & 1971st

Executors

Legatees

Between 1971st & 1974th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1974th & 1977th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1977th & 1980th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1980th & 1983d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1983d & 1986th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1986th & 1989th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1989th & 1992d

Executors

Legatees

Between 1992d & 1995th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1995th & 1998th

Executors

Legatees

Between 1998th & 2001st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2001st & 2004th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2004th & 2007th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2007th & 2010th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2010th & 2013d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2013d & 2016th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2016th & 2019th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2019th & 2022d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2022d & 2025th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2025th & 2028th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2028th & 2031st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2031st & 2034th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2034th & 2037th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2037th & 2040th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2040th & 2043d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2043d & 2046th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2046th & 2049th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2049th & 2052d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2052d & 2055th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2055th & 2058th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2058th & 2061st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2061st & 2064th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2064th & 2067th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2067th & 2070th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2070th & 2073d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2073d & 2076th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2076th & 2079th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2079th & 2082d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2082d & 2085th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2085th & 2088th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2088th & 2091st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2091st & 2094th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2094th & 2097th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2097th & 2100th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2100th & 2103d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2103d & 2106th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2106th & 2109th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2109th & 2112d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2112d & 2115th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2115th & 2118th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2118th & 2121st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2121st & 2124th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2124th & 2127th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2127th & 2130th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2130th & 2133d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2133d & 2136th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2136th & 2139th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2139th & 2142d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2142d & 2145th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2145th & 2148th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2148th & 2151st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2151st & 2154th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2154th & 2157th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2157th & 2160th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2160th & 2163d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2163d & 2166th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2166th & 2169th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2169th & 2172d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2172d & 2175th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2175th & 2178th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2178th & 2181st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2181st & 2184th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2184th & 2187th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2187th & 2190th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2190th & 2193d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2193d & 2196th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2196th & 2199th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2199th & 2202d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2202d & 2205th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2205th & 2208th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2208th & 2211st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2211st & 2214th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2214th & 2217th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2217th & 2220th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2220th & 2223d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2223d & 2226th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2226th & 2229th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2229th & 2232d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2232d & 2235th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2235th & 2238th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2238th & 2241st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2241st & 2244th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2244th & 2247th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2247th & 2250th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2250th & 2253d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2253d & 2256th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2256th & 2259th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2259th & 2262d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2262d & 2265th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2265th & 2268th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2268th & 2271st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2271st & 2274th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2274th & 2277th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2277th & 2280th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2280th & 2283d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2283d & 2286th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2286th & 2289th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2289th & 2292d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2292d & 2295th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2295th & 2298th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2298th & 2301st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2301st & 2304th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2304th & 2307th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2307th & 2310th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2310th & 2313d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2313d & 2316th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2316th & 2319th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2319th & 2322d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2322d & 2325th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2325th & 2328th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2328th & 2331st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2331st & 2334th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2334th & 2337th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2337th & 2340th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2340th & 2343d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2343d & 2346th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2346th & 2349th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2349th & 2352d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2352d & 2355th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2355th & 2358th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2358th & 2361st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2361st & 2364th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2364th & 2367th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2367th & 2370th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2370th & 2373d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2373d & 2376th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2376th & 2379th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2379th & 2382d

Executors

Legatees

Between 2382d & 2385th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2385th & 2388th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2388th & 2391st

Executors

Legatees

Between 2391st & 2394th

Executors

Legatees

Between 2394th & 2397th

12 The first Comparison is with the Permanent Beriz and the results are exhibited in the Margin. The Permanent Beriz being a net, and the Survey & Settlement Cagrass, Revenue, the propriety of the latter exceeding the former has been point=ed out by the Board, and it is only necessary to remark briefly on the different rates of increase. Manemungalum it will be ob=served exactly corresponds with Changlepat, the settlement of which has been sanc=tioned, and Nayer does not exceed it to an extent to require detailed remark. The increase in Peddapollium amounts to 30 and in the 260 lapsed Villages to 44 per cent, which is accounted for by Mr. Maclean, whose Report on Pedda=pollium Talook dated 27th Sept. 1837 Para. 11 & 12. Final Report dated 31st July 1839 Para. 8 &c. shows that since the introduction of the Permanent Beriz in Fusly 1210 great im=provements had been made in those Districts by tracts of waste land being taken

| Talook | Permanent Beriz | Survey Settlement | Per centage of Increase |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Pagodas | Pagodas | Pagodas |
| Changlepat | 16316 | 17093 | 11 3/8 |
| Manemungalum | 31363 | 38331 | 11/2 |
| Nayer | 32792 | 38081 | 16 1/8 |
| Peddapollium | 20731 | 27100 | 30 11/16 |
| 260 lapsed Villages | 32416 | 46705 | 44 1/16 |



taken into cultivation and by the Conversion of dry to Wet land so that the Cultivation of Fusly 1232 on which the Survey Settle=ment was framed, much exceeded that of the years by which the Per=manent Beriz was regulated.—

13 In further explanation of the inequalities which this Comparison shows, I would beg to point out how unequal a standard the Permanent Beriz affords by which to judge of another Assessment. Some Mook=tahs were thrown up, some after its introduction, and a longer trial led to the abandonment of so many others, especially in Fusly 1230 that it was deemed advisable to introduce a field Assessment. On the other hand

hand some Mootahs are still re-
=tained by their proprietors as profit-
=able and others which have reverte-
=ed to Government by purchase have
been also found to be so. It may
be further added that as the Perma-
=nent Beriz was not fully rea-
=lized and large remissions were
granted, a Comparison should
be made with the amount of
Collections realized under it rather
than with the total Beriz of the
Survey Settlement is to be estimated
by its exceeding the preceding Beriz
in a sufficient ratio to reimburse the
Government for the new expenses of Collection
and Maramut Charges for works of irrigation

These

Memorandum of Permanent Beriz

| | Rupees | a/s | p |
|---|---|---|---|
| Beriz of Mootahs given up between 1213 and 1223 | 195,918 | 6 | 11 |
| Do from 1223 to 1233 | 1,325,197 | 1 | 7 |
| Do since 1233 | 208,1,1,9 | 1 | 11 |
| Total Beriz of Mootahs reverted to Government | 836,994 | 13 | 5 |
| Remissions granted between 1213 & 1233 | 1,23624 | 1 | 7 |
| Do since 1233 | 57,297 | 6 | 4 |
| Total Remissions | 180,924 | 7 | 7 |



These expenses were estimated by Mr. Snalley at
20 per Cent but the Settlement of the Chingleput
Talooks shows that it is impossible to rely on
that increase being realized.

11. The next Comparison is with
the Beriz of Fusly 1210 and
on this subject also few
remarks are called for.
The decrease in the Chingleput
Talooks the Beriz of which
has been approved and
sanctioned arose from the
Cultivation being greater
in Fusly 1210 than in the
year which was taken
as the basis of the Survey settlement and a
similar cause led to the same result in Mane-
=mungalund and Nayer. The increase in Pedda-
=pollium and the 260 Villages arises from an
opposite cause or the extended Cultivation and
improvement which has been already alluded to
(Para 11) and this Comparison would be satisfactory
in the 3 first named Talooks also if the lands
which were Cultivated in 1210 and appear
in the Survey Accounts as arable waste
(Lairk Bunjer) were again brought under Tillage

Before

| Talooks | Beriz of Fusly 1210 | | Survey Settlement | | Per Centage of Increase | | Per Centage of Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | As | Rs | As | Rs | As | Rs | As |
| Chingleput | 17932 | 12½ | 17093 | 9 | " | " | 4 | 11 |
| Manemungalum | 4131.9 | 8½ | 38331 | 9⅛ | " | " | 7 | 4 |
| Nayer | 40277 | 13⅝ | 38081 | 9⅜ | " | " | 5 | 7 |
| Peddapollium | 254.90 | 12⅛ | 27100 | 8⅞ | 6 | 5 | " | " |
| 260 Lapsed Villages | 2069-1⅛ | | 46705 | 8½ | 16 | 9 | " | " |

15 Before quitting the subject of this Comparison I beg briefly to allude to the remarks in Parâ 13 of the Board's Proceedings for the purpose of observing that though Mr. Maclean alluded to the accounts of Fusly 1210 as the most worthy of credit (Final Report dated 31st July 1839 Parâ 115) this remark applied only to them as a guide to show the gross produce and the Beriz which the District was capable of yielding - As a guide to show the extent of ground in Cawnies under Cultivation they were known to be deficient, and Mr. Maclean pointed out this circumstance in his report on the Manemungalum Talook (dated 15th April 1837 Parâs 26 and 27) Under the Aumany system or division of the Crops the principal points required in the Accounts were a detailed list of the fields Cultivated and their gross produce: the extent of ground in Cawnies being immaterial was entered on a rough guess or estimate up to the time of the survey and often proved in-accurate - A remarkable instance of this was found on surveying some Villages under the large Chembrumbaukum Tank, the whole arable area of which did not contain the number of Cawnies entered in the Accounts as Cultivated in Fusly 1210- Comparatively little value can therefore be attached to a Comparison between the rates of the Survey assessment per Cawney and those shown



shown in the Accounts of Fusly 1210 and the Comparison is particularly intended in the Manemungalum Talook-

16 The third Comparison contrasts the Survey Settlement with the Beriz of Fusly 1232, on the Cultivation of which year it was framed, and as the difference in the result has led the Board to an impression that the Survey Beriz has not been accurately established and that the Talooks of Nayer and Peddapollium and the 260 lapsed Villages are under assessed. I beg to offer the following remarks which I trust will show that the different results arrived at in the several Districts are not caused by inaccuracy in the Survey Settlement, but are the consequence of former errors and discrepancies being removed by the introduction of a field assessment.

| Talooks. | Collection in Fusly 1232 | | Proposed Beriz | | Per centage of Increase | | Per centage of Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Ps | As | Ps | As | As | Ps | As | Ps |
| Chinglepet | 17370 | 15½ | 17093 | 9 | - | - | 1 | 10 |
| Manemungalum | 34413 | 13⅝ | 38331 | 9⅛ | 11 | 6 | - | - |
| Nayer | 39619 | 13½ | 38081 | 9⅜ | - | - | 3 | 14 |
| Peddapollium | 27121 | 2⅝ | 27100 | 8⅞ | - | - | 1 | - |
| 260 lapsed Villages | 17624 | 13⅞ | 16706 | 8½ | - | - | 1 | 15 |

17 The increase in the Manemungalum Talook of 11 per Cent above the Beriz of Fusly 1232 arose from the correction of the assessment in a few particular Villages the Beriz of which was found

found to have been improperly reduced in Fusly 1232 as noticed in Paras 35 and 36 of Mr. Macleans report. These Villages are situated under the Chembrumbaukum and other large Tanks, and their Navary cultivation (or 2d Crop sown in December) equals in extent the Sumbah which is sown in the first part of the Fusly year. In the Commencement of 1232 these Villages were rented partly in reference to the average produce of former years and partly on a calculation of the cultivation of the first five Months, and an estimate or guess

| Villages | Wet land cultivated with Sumbah before December | | Wet land cultivated with Navarry since December | | Total Wet Cultivation | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cs | As | Cs | As | Cs | As |
| 1 Streepermatoor | 1,13 | 5 | 510 | 1 | 923 | 6 |
| 2 Coonnatoor | 396 | 4 | 710 | 6/4 | 1096 | 10/4 |
| 3 Couvor | 154 | 15 | 144 | " | 298 | 15 |
| 4 Coottumbaukum | 219 | 10 | 126 | 15 | 346 | 9 |
| 5 Maungaud | 331 | 7 | 283 | 6 | 614 | 13 |
| 6 Ponnamalee | 395 | 10 | 115 | " | 510 | 10 |
| 7 Teroomoodeyaukum | 273 | 12/4 | 477 | 3 | 750 | 15/4 |
| 8 Saragoluttoor | 95 | " | 181 | 14 | 276 | 14 |
| 9 Moodeshoor | 106 | 3 | 73 | 5 | 179 | 8 |
| 10 Namuun | 211 | 6 | 195 | 6 | 406 | 12 |
| 11 Caroonaeaeraeherry | 92 | 8 | 17 | 4 | 109 | 12 |
| 12 Sgharum | 70 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 83 | 8 |
| 13 Maspoor | 106 | 8 | 90 | " | 196 | 8 |
| 14 Vellaray | 56 | 7 | 38 | 3 | 94 | 10 |
| 15 Nadoogoottagay | 69 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 72 | 2 |
| 16 Caulloopaukum | 48 | " | 75 | " | 123 | " |
| 17 Pedaealaeoopum | 51 | 3 | 8 | " | 59 | 3 |
| 18 Voluguncottavelaguum | 27 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 43 | 11 |
| 19 Naulancottalay | 7 | 8 | 46 | 10 | 54 | 2 |
| 20 Moonancottalay | 69 | " | 38 | " | 107 | " |
| 21 Caruvanoor | 59 | " | 84 | 12 | 143 | 12 |
| 22 Teroomungalum | 44 | 15 | 61 | 10 | 106 | 9 |
| 23 Matanelaguum | 21 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 35 | " |
| | 3311 | 6/4 | 3322 | 7/4 | 6633 | 13/2 |



guess of the probable extent of the Navary Crop, which as observed above, is sown later in the renenue year. In the imperfect State of the accounts such a system was easily made profitable to the renters to whom it gave all the advantage of an extended Cultivation. The Survey Beriz it must be remembered, is not Compared with their actual receipts from the land or a different result would appear, but with the rent which they undertook to pay to Government. The introduction of a field assessment levied on all the land actually under cultivation has now given to the Government the advantage then enjoyed by the renters and the result has naturally been that the Survey Settlement shows an increase above the Beriz of 1232 when the renting system was followed. In elucidation of this point I beg to annex a Statement of the Villages to which these remarks apply and deem it proper to add that their Survey Settlement has not been disputed or given rise to Complaints of over assessment in Comparison with other Villages. The Statement shows that of the total increase in the Talook or Pagodas 3917 the sum of Pagodas 3781 is attributable to the Cause now described.

Statement

| | Names of Vessels | Tons | Whence | Date of Arrival | Consignees | Date of Clearance | Destination | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Shetlandmerchant | 222 | 53/8 | 19 Sep | 125/8 | 13 | 8 | 19 Sep | 3/4 | 5 | 11 | 8 3/4 | 10/4 | |
| 2 | Bonnalore | 260 | 7/2 | 20 | 33 | 6/2 | 160 | 5/2 | 210 | 10 3/4 | 5 | 0 | 10/4 | 31/2 |
| 3 | Leopard | 74 | 6 | 11/4 | 66 | 13/8 | 29 | 3/2 | 66 | 6 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 13/2 | 125/2 |
| 4 | Good Intentions | 93 | 7 | 9 3/4 | 72 | 9/4 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 36 3/4 |
| 5 | Hawgood | 11 | 6 | 13/2 | 12 | 1 | 2/2 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 2/2 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 1/2 | 17/2 |
| 6 | Constantia | 99 | 4 | 13/8 | 53 | 1 | 7/8 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 11/4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 7/2 | 35-3/4 |
| 7 | Freemindedness | 11 | 9 | 7/4 | 100 | 3 | 6/2 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 5/2 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 13 3/4 |
| 8 | Grandcliff | 1 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 23/4 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 7 3/4 | 10 | 5 | 21/2 |
| 9 | Elizabeth | 23 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 5/8 | 25 | 8 | 12 | 5/8 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 6 3/2 | 11 | 9 | 10/8 | 4 | 6/2 |

| | | |

18 The Nayer Talook in which there is a decrease of 3 per Cent was rented, except the division of Streeharicottah and a few Villages, on the same principle, but as there is no extensive Nanjary Cultivation the rent was settled on a more accurate calculation and the Survey Settlement does not therefore exhibit the increase which occurred in Mano=nmungalum - There was on the contrary a decrease as shewn in the margin, and it appears to me proper to notice briefly the details, as the Survey Settle=ment falling below the Revenue which was col=lected from the same land in 1232 is a point requiring explanation -

| | Pagodas |
|---|---|
| Collections of Fasly 1232 | 39619 — |
| Proposed Settlement | 38081 — |
| Decrease 1538. | |

19 Premising therefore that for the sake of fairer Comparison the accounts of the Beriz of Fasly 1232 were made to include the gross receipts of the Mootahdars and Shro=trumdars, instead of merely the Perma=nent Beriz payable by them, I beg to point out that of the decrease in the Talook amounting altogether to



to Pagodas 1538, the sum of Pagodas 726 occurred in the Mootahs of Streeharicottah and Erookum and arose from the profits which the proprietors realized by reserving their Mail= =aurem grain and selling it in the ensuing year of Scarcity (1233) at 133⅓ Inercals per Pagoda, while the price on which the present assessment was calculated is 18 Inercals, a difference of 24 per cent - Of the remaining de= =crease more than half occurred in some Villages of the Mootah of Tirumuttoor, situated near the Presidency, and in Nayer Cushah which were rented by public Competition in Fasly 1232 and were bid for at rates which were found to be too high for annual realization, when the resources of the Villages were ascertained at the Survey -

| Memorandum of Streeharicottah Mootah | Pagodas As | |
|---|---|---|
| Beriz of Fasly 1210 | 7318 | " |
| Permanent Beriz | 5073 | " |
| Average of Collections realized by Mootadar from 1210 to 1232 | 6945 | " |
| Do. by Do. in 1232 and entered in Survey Statements as the Beriz for that year | 8135 | " |
| Proposed Survey Settlement. | 7474 | " |

20 Deducting the above items the assessment in the Nayer Talook approximates closely to the Beriz of 1232, as

as it also does in the Peddapallium Talook where the lands and means of irrigation are of a similar description. Both these Talooks contain few Tanks, and the greater part of their lands is of a sandy nature inferior and more dependent on the falling rain. These circumstances were taken into Consideration by Mr. Maclean when estimating the produce on which the Survey assessment was fixed, and experience has since shewn that the rates are not too moderate as the revenue is not realized with facility, and no improvement is visible in the Condition of the people notwithstanding that the abolition of the Annamy System and the introduction of a money payment has relieved the Cultivation from injurious restrictions in reaping and disposing of his produce. The disadvantages which these Talooks are under in point of soil and irrigation when

Comparative Statement of Nunjey lands.

| Talooks. | Per Centage of land watered by Tanks, Springs &c. | Per Centage of land dependent on falling rain. |
|---|---|---|
| Manemungalum | 94 | 6 |
| Peddapallium | 80 | 20 |
| Nayer | 74 | 26 |
| 260 lapsed Villages | 94 | 6 |



compared with Manemungalum are noticed by the Board (Para 38) though in describing the Condition of Nayer they assign to the whole Talook the possession of natural springs which the Collector pointed out as existing only in some Villages of the Streecharcottah division.

21. In the Settlement of the 260 Villages the decrease below the Beriz of 1232 amounts to Pagodas 919 or nearly 2 per Cent. But it will be observed that in these Villages as in the Peddapallium Talook the main basis of the Settlement, or the assessment of the 1st Crop Nunjah, and the Poonjah lands exceeds the standard of 1232 the decrease arising from the alterations made with the approval of the Board in the arrangements for the 2da Crop Teerua and in the revised money Collections on the Totacal lands. Of the net decrease in the Settlement of these Villages the greater portion or Pagodas 755 occurred in the 24 Palaputtadu Villages situated round Conjeeveram. These Villages contain no arable land, and the assessment is levied on the backyards and gardens of the different inhabitants. The decrease which appears in contrasting their Survey Settlement with the Beriz of 1232 arose partly from the decay and the destruction during storms of a large number of Tamarind and other fruit trees

subsequent

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

subsequent to that Fasly, and partly from a light
lease having been fixed on the remainder with the
approval of the Board, instead of the inhabitants
being called on as previously to pay a rent for
the trees calculated on the average of former
years. The state of these Villages is described by
Mr. Freese in his letter of the 21st January 1839
22. I beg in this place to make one
general observation regarding the position of
the Taloks of Maravanungalum, Peddapollam
and Nayer which the Board advert to (Para. 3
when expressing an opinion that they are gen-
erally lighter assessed than the other Taloks
The vicinity of many of their Villages to the
Presidency is doubtless of advantage to the
Ryots in the disposal of their produce, but on
other hand it entails disadvantages. The encou-
ragement which the labouring classes (the Pulla
and Pariahs) find in the Presidency and adjoi-
ning Military Cantonments both raises the wa-
ges and renders them averse to the labo
of field work. The cost of maintaining
cattle required to plough and furnish ma
for the fields is also greater from the ne
of free pasturage. It is therefore usual for
Ryots to make annual purchases of plough
cattle



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

cattle and they have to pay for some things required
by them (wood, grass for thatching &c.) which are
obtained free of expense in the more remote Districts.

23 I beg now to offer a few remarks on
the result of the comparison made by the
Board between the Revenue realized under the
Survey rates and the collection of preceding years,
more especially Fasties 1210 and 1233. In para 49
of their Proceedings the Board attribute to the mode
in which the Survey settlement was made the
result that "the average Revenue of the last 5
years is 20 per cent below the proposed and
23 per cent below the Permanent Beriz. In other
words the gross collections of 5 tolerably favorable
years made according to the Survey rates are 20 per
cent below the maximum Beriz proposed by the Collector
and which he has employed in his comparisons with the
former Standard, while the net revenue of the same
years (obtained by deducting the Talook and Maramut
Charges) is 23 per cent less than the Net Revenue fixed by
the Permanent Settlement.

Board's Proceedings Para. 49 to 49

Note. From the stress laid on this term it appears proper to mention that Mr. Maclean's Settlement was strictly confined to the lands actually cultivated in Fasly 1232. The separate assessment proposed for the Garbh Bunjer or lands cultivated on former occasions, though left fallow in that year amounts to Pagodas 49193 and the future cultivation of these lands ought to be expected under a fixed moderate assessment.

Before

24- Before proceeding to offer ex=
=planation regarding this state of the Revenue, I would beg first to allude to two points noticed by the Board. the first is the difference apparent in the Mavenmungalum and Nayer Talooks where the average Collections of the three years 1249 1250 and 1251 are compared with the Collections of 1232.

× the Collections here alluded to include the gross receipts of the Mootahdars and Shrotriendars, and exceed the Sircar Collections by Pagodas 25020 in the Villages comprized in Mr. Maclean's Settlement.-

| | |
|---|---|
| Gross Collections of 1232 entered in Survey Accounts | S. Pags. 147729- |
| Sircar Collections | 122103- |
| Difference | 25626- |

the former Talooks nearly reaching that standard, while the latter falls much below it- On this point I beg to refer to my former remarks on the discrepancy which was discovered between the cultivation and Beriz of 1232 in several Villages of the Mavenmungalum Talook and on the effect which this had on the Survey Settlement. If the increase (3917 Pagodas) added on the account to the proposed Beriz be deducted it will be observed that in the remainder of the Talook the revenue falls below the standard of 1232 in a ratio approximating to that of Nayer-

25- The second point is that the Board who had previously expressed an opinion that the "Talooks settled by Mr. Maclean are



are lighter assessed than the remainder of the District and that his Settlement is lighter in Nayer and Peddapollium than in Mavenmungalum" allude to the result of Fusslies 1250 and 1251, as confirmatory of this opinion by shewing that the ryots had begun to transfer their cultivation from Mavenmungalum to the other Talooks.

On this point I would beg to explain that the decreases of cultivation in those 2 years compared with Fusly 1249 arose from the large Tank of Chembrumbaukum, which irrigates land yielding a Beriz of 50,000 Rupees, not receiving a full supply of water

Memorandum of Cultivators-

| Fusly- | Mavenmungalum- | Nayer- | Peddapollium- |
|---|---|---|---|
| | No. | No. | No. |
| 1249 | 1304 | 2287 | 2203 |
| 1250 | 1306 | 2349 | 2363 |
| 1251 | 1314 | 2009 | 2389 |
| 1252 | 1417 | 2962 | 2574 |
| 1253 | 1445 | 3050 | 2604 |

A reference to the population returns shows that the number of Cultivators has gradually increased in the 3 Talooks at a nearly similar rate-

26- With these preliminary remarks I proceed to point out that the comparisons instituted by the Board would be equally unfavorable if applied to Mr. Smalley's Settlement, which

which has been approved and confirmed.

Statement of 125 Villages settled by Mr. Smalley in Chinglepat Talook.

| | Pagodas | As. |
|---|---|---|
| Proposed Beriz | 17093 | 9 |
| Permanent Beriz | 15346 | 7 |
| Beriz of 1210 | 17932 | 12½ |
| " 1232. | 17370 | 15½ |
| Average annual Collections from 1234 to 1248 | 12436 | 8¼ |
| Do. from 1249 to 1254 | 15234 | 13½ |

The Statement in the Margin shews that the Collections in the Chinglepat Talook fall much below the proposed Beriz, as well as the gross Revenue realized in Fusleis 1210 and 1232. and that they barely approach the Permanent Beriz instead of exceeding it to the extent (23 per Cent.) which is noted in the Board's Proceedings as equivalent to the Talook and Inaumut Charges. Both in Mr. Smalley's and in Mr. Maclean's Settlements this result has arisen from similar causes, to which I beg briefly to allude for the purpose of shewing that they are distinct from one under assessment.

27. The Collections of Fusleis 1210 and 1232 were realized when the prices of grain were higher than that which it was necessary to adopt as the conversion rate in the Survey, a circumstance which was favorable to the Revenues of those years by encouraging high



high offers when the Villages were rented out. and it has been already remarked in reference to the Permanent Beriz that the full amount was not realized, and that many of the more heavily assessed Aootahs were given up shortly after its introduction. The previous revenue had thus been realized under an advantage which did not afterwards exist, while the permanent Beriz forms a high standard for comparison, but still the comparison would have been in favor of the Survey Settlement had cultivation remained at its former height. It is to a decrease in the land under tillage that the result noticed by the Board is ascribed.

28. Before adducing extracts from the Public accounts in corroboration of this Statement. I beg to observe that the Boards' cultivation includes Fusly 1248. which I propose to omit. because Mr. Maclean's Settlement was only partially introduced and my Office does not contain full accounts of the cultivation, some Villages being then under rent. Omitting this year, the average collections in the Districts settled

Fort St. George. 27th April 1846.

settled by Mr. Maclean are 10 3/8 per cent below the Beriz of Fusly 1210 but the Munjey land cultivated in that year exceeds the average of the recent years by 180 Cawnies or 12 per cent. In the same way the average collections including remissions have hitherto fallen below the proposed settlement by 11 per cent; but the Munjay cultivation of 1232 which formed the basis of the settlement amounted to 68,399 Cawnies while the recent average cultivation is only 57,392. a difference of 13½ per cent. The lands included in the Sheegal of 1232 must therefore be more fully cultivated before the collections can be expected to reach the Standard of Fusly 1210 or the amount of the proposed Survey Beriz, and I trust that the satisfactory general state of the land revenue notwithstanding this decrease of cultivation, will shew that Mr. Maclean's settlement has not been injurious to the interests of Government by being below the amount which the land ought justly to bear.

| Fusly. | Beriz. | | Munjah Cultivation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Pagodas. | a/ | Cawnies. |
| 1210 | 140343 | 7 1/8 | 64253. |
| Average from 1249 to 1254 inclusive | 131425 | 5 7/8 | 57392. |
| Decrease | 15718 | 2 1/4 | 8861. |
| Percentage of Decrease | 10 | 6 | 12. |

Omitting some Talooks and Mudah Villages to be exclusive Statement (see Mad. A)

29. I beg also to refer to the want of



Fort St. George. 27th April 1846.

eagerness and in some instances the reluctance which was shewn by the Ryots to receive their Puttahs and cultivate under the Survey Settlement as a proof that they did not consider the change peculiarly advantageous notwithstanding that it removed the restrictions under which they were placed by the Sumany system in reaping and disposing of their produce. Another argument against the new assessment being deemed unduly high appears to me to be found in the Settlement itself, the basis of which is a portion of the gross produce never less than 30 and amounting in some instances to 50 per cent converted into a money payment.

I am thus of opinion that we 30
have sufficient experience to remove any objection to the adoption of Mr. Maclean's assessment on the ground that it is too unfavorable to the land holders, but a longer trial is necessary to ascertain whether it has had any influence in keeping cultivation below the standard of 1232. This point can only be clearly ascertained by the Settlement being formally proclaimed as the maximum demand and being allowed a longer trial under different varieties of Season, after which such modifications as experience shewed to be necessary could be introduced.

Under

31. Under ordinary circumstances a deficiency of cultivation during six successive years when the Seasons as remarked by the Board were not unfavorable would seem at once to establish the fact of the assessment being disadvantageously high. But it must be remembered that between Fusly 1232 and Fusly 1248 when Mr. Maclean's rates were brought into practical operation cultivation had been much depressed by the evils of the Aumany System. Joined to some unfavorable Seasons and low prices, and the example of Mr. Tweedie's Talooks shows that the revival of cultivation is not to be expected immediately and that even under favorable terms of assessment the experience of several years is necessary to remove the doubt which the Cultivators feel on returning from a grain to a money payment. In the Talooks settled by Mr. Maclean cultivation has shown a tendency to increase since the introduction of the Survey rates, and it may be presumed that except under the casualty of bad seasons

| | Cawnies | As |
|---|---|---|
| Extent of Sheyabl lands in Mr. Tweedie's Settlement | 43730 | 5/2 |
| Annual Cultivation since the Settlement. | | |
| Fusly 1238 | 34042 | 11 |
| 1239 | 32,306 | 2 1/8 |
| 1240 | 32056 | 3 5/8 |
| 1241 | 41995 | 9 3/4 |
| 1242 | 23070 | 15 3/8 |
| 1243 | 28384 | 7/2 |
| 1244 | 35008 | 1 5/8 |
| 1245 | 30735 | 1 3/8 |
| 1246 | 36330 | 10 1/4 |
| 1247 | 43200 | 2 7/8 |
| 1248 | 30192 | 8 7/8 |
| 1249 | 49799 | 15 1/8 |
| 1250 | 48284 | 14 7/8 |
| 1251 | 50414 | 3/2 |
| 1252 | 45235 | 5/2 |
| 1253 | 47284 | 3 3/8 |
| 1254 | 45571 | 13 7/8 |



Seasons and low prices the improvement will continue. At the same time I beg to add that the progress of this improvement would in my opinion be more rapid if Mr. Maclean's Assessment was fairly tried by its being proclaimed as the Standard Maximum demand and the cultivators receiving their Pottahs without a cautionary notice now inserted in them that the rates are to be considered temporary only until the final orders of Government are received. It is true that a similar notice is given in the Pottahs of the Talooks settled by Mr. Tweedie where cultivation is now more extensive than under the former System. but in these Districts lighter rates of assessment have partly counteracted the tendency which such a notice has to express agricultural enterprise and improvement.

32. With these observations I proceed to notice the different Villages referred to in the Proceedings of the Board on the ground of their Settlement being considered erroneous. The Board observe that these Villages have been selected for remark not from their being peculiar instances but to shew a general want of correctness and uniformity in Mr. Maclean's

Maclean's assessment, and it has therefore appeared to me proper to notice them in brief detail as I hope to be successful in removing this unfavorable impression by shewing that explanation can be given in what ... have appeared the more prominent instances of error. At the same time I would beg permission to express a hope that when inaccuracies are found to exist and Mr. Maclean recorded his sense of the impossibility of avoiding all error in so minute and extensive a work, that this Local imperfections may be subjected to revision rather than be made the ground of a condemnation of the entire work.

Final Report Para 12th.

Board's Proceedings Para 49.

It is remarked that Anjoo-cuttoovale is a striking instance of under assessment and that the same observation applies to Coatrumbankum and Goparasanellore.

33. The first Village noted in Anjoocuttoovale and I beg to explain that the difference remarked upon between the Survey settlement and its ancient Berizes is nominal and not real. The latter appears to have been exaggerated through a mistake, when preparing the Village account by including certain Junmah Meraks not at their real value but in proportion to the rate of percentage which they bore to the Permanent Beriz of

* Sumavane takdah or tabular general Statement prepared for the Collector's inspection.



a year (Fusly 1210) when the collections on account of the Permanent Beriz were reduced to a trifling sum from the lands remaining uncultivated. The correct sums are now annexed to shew how the error arose and satisfy the Board that the Survey Settlement of the Village exceeds some of the Berizes and approximate to the others.

| Particulars. | Survey Settlement Beriz. | Ancient Beriz. | Difference. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chamel Beriz | | | |
| Average of 10 years ex | | | |
| Immediately preceding | 45 | | |
| Do of 10 years after the | | | |
| expiration of war. | 24 | | |
| Do of 3 years from Fusly | | | |
| 1203 to 1205. | 14 | | |
| Do of 3 years from Do 1205 to 1207 | 37 | | |
| Do of 3 years from Do 1208 to 1210 | 39 | | |
| Total average. | 25 | | |
| Moatah Beriz. | | 12½ | |
| Permanent Beriz. | | 1 | |
| Fusly 1206 | 49 | 11¼ | |
| 1207 | 38 | 11¼ | |
| 1208 | 23 | 10¾ | |
| 1209 | 1 | | |
| 1210 | 4 | | |
| 1211 | 1 | | |
| Proposed Beriz | | | |

34. In the next Village Coatrumbankum the survey settlement only falls below the Beriz of one Fusly 1235, in which year

year it amounted to Pagodas 888 in consequence of the Shrotriumdar having sold his Mail-vanum grain at the high rate of 11 Marcals per Pagoda. At the ordinary and common-tation rate of 18 Marcals the Beriz would have been only Pagodas 443 while the survey Settlement is Pagodas 804 I trust that this explanation and a reference to the revenue of 1230 which is shewn next to that of 1235 in the Statement before the Board will remove their doubt of the fairness of the proposed assessment-

† Pagodas 497-

35- The Settlement of Gopanasa-nellore also exceeds the old Berizes with the exception of the average of 10 years before Hyder's invasion and the Beriz of fusly 1218- With regard to the former I would beg to point out that the average 3 year collection is only Pagodas 93 though it is increased to Pagodas 120 by the inclusion of certain re-sumed Merahs on a rough calculation the particulars of which cannot now be ascertained; and with regard to the latter I would observe that the Beriz of 1218 reached the sum of Pagodas 97 in con-sequence of a favorable year joined to the high price of grain which was disposed of



at 13½ Maracals per Pagoda, or 25 per cent above the price on which the survey Settlement is founded- In order that the Board may be satisfied that fusly 1218 was too unusual a year to be taken as a Standard- I beg to point out that the average collections of the 23 years from 1210 to 1232 is only Pagodas 55 and that the highest collection next to that of 1218 is Pagodas 70 and that this occurred in one year only 1214. In fusly 1232, on the cultivation of which the survey Settlement is made, the Beriz was Pagodas 84 and the proposed assessment is Pagodas 70½-

35-

I beg next to refer to the observations in Para 50 of the Board's Proceedings to which a table of several mootahs is annexed, and to point out that the unfavorable opinion therein expressed has arisen from an error in the proportion of the Statement on which the remarks are founded. the permanent Beriz of Codumbaukum mootah being exhibited in the Statement appended to the Board's Proceedings at a sum exceeding the

Board's Proceedings Para 50- A Statement of several Mootahs is annexed and it is remarked that tho' the proposed Beriz exceeds the Permanent in most instances, it falls be-low it in Codumbau-kum by 20 per cent-

the correct amount by Pagodas 100 while an equal reduction has been made in the Beriz of the Coonnatoor Mootah- The result of a correct comparison exhibits not a decrease of 20 per cent but an increase of 6¼ per cent in the Settlement of the Codumbaukum Mootah when compared with the Permanent Beriz and reduces the increase from 28 to 9¾ per cent in Coonnatoor as shown in the Margin-

| | Codumbaukum Mootah | | Coonnatoor Mootah | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Ps | Fs | Ps | Fs |
| Permanent Beriz entered in the Statement appended to Proceedings Para 80- | 3972 | 1/8 | 6714 | 1 3/4 |
| Correct amount of Permanent Beriz | 2972 | 1/8 | 7714 | 1 3/4 |
| Proposed Settlement- | 3159 | 7/8 | 8,182 | 12 5/8 |
| Increase- | 187 | 6 | 708 | 7/8 |
| Per centage- | 6¼ | | 9¾ | |

37-

Board's Proceedings Para 81-

The Villages of Coluppenjairy and Nochomadoo are considered under-assessed from the ryots Beriz having apparently been taken as the Standard-

In reference to the two Villages next noticed by the Board, I beg first to remark that the Settlement proposed by the Collector appears to me to have been regulated by the capabilities of the lands alone and then to explain regarding Coluppenjairy that from enmity among the ryots thereof was competed for in fusly 1232 and rose





rose from Pagodas 240 the rent accepted in fusly 1231 to Pagodas 274 while the same cause by producing numerous disputes diminished the cultivation. so that the returns did not equal the sum which the renters stipulated to pay and they sustained loss- The Survey Sheigal Settlement being fixed on the ground actually cultivated thus fell below the Beriz which consisted of the rent offered for the entire Village on the supposition that the cultivation would proceed as usual- If the arable land (119 Coawnies) left waste in fusly 1232 is included the proposed Survey assessment amounts to Pagodas 342. a sum exceeding the Beriz for which the Village was rented in 1232. and not unequal to the high collection of fusly 1210 (Pagodas 381) where allowance is made for the difference in the price of grain which in that year was disposed of at 11 Marcals per Pagoda- It only remains to add that Mr Maclean in his remarks on the Village appears to have referred to the collection of 1232. not as being unusually high when compared with preceding years, but as being "enormous" in reference to the extent of ground under cultivation

according to the Cuddoomodul accounts their receipts were only Pags 190-

Pags 274-

cultivation, in order that he might explain the circumstances of that Beriz and point out why his settlement appeared low in comparison with it.

38. Regarding the Tillage of Sochinnadoo I beg to observe that the Ryots Beriz shown in the Board's proceedings (Para 51) is exclusive of the assessment on the dry lands, while the collections of preceding years with which it is compared include the Punjey lands and ready money Collections. Including these items the Ryots Beriz is Pagodas 140. The proposed survey settlement is Pagodas 156 while the Izarah Beriz of 1232 on the cultivation of which year it was framed was Pagodas 128. With this explanation I trust that the proposed Survey Beriz will be considered to have been framed on a just estimate of the capabilities of the land especially as the annexed Memo. shows that high collections of Fusleis 1210. 1215 and 1223 arose from the comparatively large extent of ground then under cultivation.

| Fusly. | Punjey land cultivated | Beriz of Punjey and Poonjey including Beriz money Collections |
|---|---|---|
| | Cawnies. | Pagodas. |
| 1210 | 56 | 172 |
| 1215 | 56 | 213 |
| 1223 | 59 | 220 |
| 1232 | 33. | 128. |

J.



39.

Board's Proceedings Paras 56 to 60. The Board remark that a large number of Villages were settled on the average of 33 years collections, others on the Beriz of 1232, or on other Standard Berizes and that the amount of such Beriz was distributed over the Sajees &c. The settlement of Cuscha Manamungalam is adduced as an instance.

I now beg to refer to the annexed observations of the Board regarding which it is my duty to remark that I do not find that the survey settlement was formed in the manner surmised by the Board, but that the course pursued was as represented in the Collector's reports to institute a careful enquiry into the produce of the different kinds of land, which were then divided into Sajees, under the heads of wet and dry according to their capabilities, the Mailvarum portion of the produce being converted into a money payment at the rate of 18 Mercals per Pagoda. The result thus attained corresponded unpremeditatedly in many Villages with some of the former Berizes and averages, not in these Talooks alone but also in that of Chingleput settled by Mr Smalley, and while this accidental Coincidence does not afford any real objection against the proposed assessment, I would submit that the variety of result-

arrived

arrived at. Many Villages approximating so former standard while the others differ in the Standard to which they approach, is a proof that the proposed survey settlement has been prepared on a careful detailed investigation.

10- The attention with which the Board examined the details of the Manemungalum Talook during the progress of the survey has been already pointed out, and I would submit that if Mr Maclean had departed from the system and the rules which Mr Smalley had been authorized to adopt, his proceedings would have been at once objected to- Mr Maclean stated in his report that these rules had been strictly followed and his experience in the District in which he was an Assistant during Mr Smalley's survey prevented his being mistaken from want of local knowledge- It seems to be therefore that the surmise which the Board now expresses arises from more attention having been fixed on the "Sunavan Juktah" than on the other detailed accounts which were laid before them- The Sunavan Juktah which the Board observe is not available for framing a field assessment is a Statement giving a general outline of each Village with the preceding Collections Berujes &c- The object for which it was prepared

from



from the commencement of the survey under Mr Smalley to its termination under Mr Freese was to give a general connected view of the Statistics of each Village- The other accounts which were laid before the Board at the same time contain the full details and shew the manner in which the Settlement was framed-

11 To remove the impression which the Board have entertained that the Settlement of the different Villages was framed by a Standard such as the average of 23 years, the ryots Beriz or the Beruz of 1232 being taken and the amount distributed among the different Sapses of lands I beg to refer more especially to their observations in Para 88 on Cusbah Manemungalum- It is considered that this Village was settled on the average Collections of 23 years, but to arrive at this conclusion the Board have made their calculation at the rate of 3-6 per Cawney which was obtained by blending the averages assessment of the one crop and the two crop lands. Such a rate has never been brought into practical use as it must lead to error unless the extent of each kind of land happens to be equal, which in Manemungalum is

Sir I am please bing the cause of the delay
which has occurred has been to take the
Assessment of the ore, & of land only
for which compensation was proposed
I shall wish necessary May to refer
to the Settlement of 1819 Village ofs
Reserve to Pas 5th of the Boards Sur-
veyor. The annexed Statement
exhibited separately will show that
the average Ore for the Ore
one crop and the two crops and
the Settlement of this Village could
not have been made in the manner
which the Board summon
Sir
Your

| Ore crop. | Land. | Timber. Average value per square. | Land. | Timber. Value per square. | Total Land Timber. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

| | Ca | da | Pa | da | Ca | da | Pa | Pa | da | Pa | da |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Average collections of 23 years. | 432 | 03/6 | 1230 | 8 | 2 | 12/8 | 115 | 83/8 | 207 | 63/8 | 2 4 3/4 | 1521 13 3/8 |
| Amount of Average Taxes as assessed during the above average | 814 | 5/4 | 1809 | 10/2 | 2 | 12 3/8 | 163 | 13/4 | 376 | 3/8 | 2 4 3/4 | 1886 11 1/8 |
| Ditto of the Settlement as per Average &c | 814 | 5/4 | 1809 | 10/4 | 2 | 12/8 | 200 | 10 3/4 | 160 | 11/4 | 2 4 3/4 | 19 10 8 3/4 |
| Proposed Settlement | 814 | 5/4 | 1199 | 0 3/8 | 2 | 12. | 200 | 10 3/4 | 339 | 1/4 | 1 11. | 1838 12/8 |

Pa for over

12. Before alluding to the Settlement of the 10 Villages of which a Statement is entered in Para 57 of the Board's proceedings I beg in the first place to remark that in no instance does their proposed assessment fall below the amount which the ryots agreed to pay as the Board suppose. The two Villages (2 and 5) of which it is observed that the Ryots agreed to higher terms in fusly 1241 did not revert to Government until after that period and it was in 1240 that the ryots were called on to propose their assessment, and mentioned the rates entered in the Board's Statement. which it will be observed are lower than the collector's decision. I would here beg permission to remark that the form in which the Board's Statement is drawn up must lead to error unless it is remembered that the rates proposed by the collector on the Sheigal land alone ought to be taken for comparison. and I beg to point out for correction an error in the Village N.o 4. the Sheigal rate being entered as 1—0—11 instead of 1—10—11.

13. Regarding the Settlement of these 10 Villages. I beg to submit a few remarks



Memo. remarks to remove the impression which the Board have expressed on the subject. The Original Memorandum shews that the 3d 4th and 8th on the list could not have been settled on the average of 1232 or of the 23 years, as considerable difference exists between those Standards and the assessment proposed for the Sheigal lands. In the seventh the average proposed assessment nearly equals that of the single crop land, but the total differs from the Beriz of 23 years as shewn in the margin. In the same manner in the 8 Villages although the average rate of the Sunjey Teerwa corresponds with that of 1232 the details and the total result vary. In the small Village N.o 9 the average Teerwa per Cawney corresponds with the Sirtanundars Beriz, but the rates of produce differ. and the total result happens to correspond because the Sirtanundars had fixed the Mercalls per

| | Rs | As | as |
|---|---|---|---|
| N.o 3. Serogaliuttoor | | | |
| Average Collection per Cawney of 1232 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| Proposed Settlement. —— | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| N.o 4. Malaputt- | | | |
| Average Collection of 23 years- | 1 | 8 | 4 |
| Proposed Settlement. —— | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| N.o 5. Caloy- | | | |
| Average Collection of 23 years- | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Proposed Settlement. — | 1 | 5 | 2. |

† N.o 7. Heelamanumbadoo-

| | Rs | as |
|---|---|---|
| Collection of 23 years. | 105 | 14 1/8 |
| Proposed Settlement. | 98 | 4 7/8 |

N.o 8 Sevabootum.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Sunjey Collection of 1232 | 109 | 15 |
| Proposed Settlement. | 105 | 8/2 |

| Class- | Collections of Fusly 1232- Rs | As | Proposed Settlement. Rs | As |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 2/2 | 2 | " |
| 2 | 1 | 10/8 | 1 | 12. |
| 3 | 1 | 3/8 | 1 | 4 |
| 4- | " | 14 3/8 | 1 | " |
| Average. | 1 | 9 3/8 | 1 | 9 3/8 |

* N.o 9 Hulvadahery-

| Sir Tanundars proposal. Collection for 1232 Fusly Assessment. | | Rs | As | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rate | Sheigal | 3 | 2 | 12½ |
| | Single crop | " | " | " |
| Rate | Sheigal | 3 | 2 | 12½ |
| | Single crop | 10 | 8 | " |
| Produce | Sheigal | 3 | 2 | 12½ |
| | Single crop | 6 | 3 | " |
| Produce | Sheigal | 1 | 0 | " |
| | Single crop | 7 | 0 | " |
| Class | | 1 | 2 | Average. |

per Pagoda as the conversion rate and this was altered by the Collector to 18 to correspond with the rest of the Taluk - In the last Village No 10. the produce and rates proposed by the ryots were followed as the enquiry shewed them to be correct.

44.

Board's Proceedings Para 58.

It is remarked that the Mochulkas taken from the Ryots of 5 of these Villages in the form L include the arable and waste land together and not as generally the arable alone apparently for the sake of securing the ryots acquiescence because the rate of the Sheigal alone would have been too high -

Regarding the observations of the Board on the form of the Mochulka which was taken from the ryots of some of the above Villages I beg to observe that it was always usual to include arable waste in the Mochulkas or deeds of Assent taken from the ryots when the waste exceeded the arable land (Sheigal) in extent, or in the number of Classes the five Villages noticed by the Board are instances in point, but such cases are of unfrequent occurrence - I would beg to add that the Mochulkas taken from the ryots of these Villages are not as supposed by the Board in the form E which belongs to a deserted Village named Seroscaloy, and is a more simple document than usual because no cultivation had been carried on from the

† Bechirag -

period



period of Hyder's war to the year of the Survey Settlement -

45 -

Board's Proceedings Paras 8 to 9.

It is observed that the classification and produce of lands have been materially altered by the Collector to suit his mode of Settlement and that a large extent of addition was made to the double crop lands to avoid an appearance of decrease of Revenue as exemplified in the Cusbah Manemungalum and other Villages -

In explanation of the Remarks of the Board quoted in the Margin I beg to submit that on enquiry the alteration made in the classification of the lands in a few Villages appear to me to have had no object but the correction of errors on the part of the Sir Tarumdars - In the Cusbah of Manemungalum for instance which is particularly adverted to, the extent of land cultivated with two crops in 1232 and preceding fusles amounted to 200 Cawnies, including the Plantain and Lime tree gardens while only 163 Cawnies were entered in the accounts of the Sir Tarumdars as double crop land - It was therefore necessary for the Collector to rectify the accounts when framing the assessment and thus led to the changes of classification which the Board advert to in Para 8 of their proceedings -

In the Village of Pillapaukum 45 likewise it was discovered that 34 Cawnies had been usually cultivated with 2 crops though in consequence of disputes and want of agreement among the Ryots not a single Cawny was shewn by the Sir Tarumdars as double crop land - They were therefore added to the accounts

accounts, and have since been annually cultivated with two crops when the State of the Tank allowed. I beg further to observe that alterations of this description were confined to the 10 Villages noticed by the Board and that the total amount of double crop assessment added by Mr. Maclean does not exceed Pagodas 233 which circumstance will, I trust, make it clear that these alterations originated solely in a careful correction of error and could not have been intended to cover any general deficiency in the Settlement.

Sixthly.

| | Extent of two crop lands | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cs | As |
| Cultivated before the Settlement. Average of 23 years from 1210 to 1232 | 31 | 10 |
| 1233 | 41 | 3½ |
| 1236 | 19 | 2½ |
| 1243 | 34 | 13⅝ |
| Included in the Settlement | 34 | 8½ |
| Cultivated since the Settlement. 1249 | 25 | 3 |
| 1250 | 22 | 16⅞ |
| 1251 | 27 | 5⅛ |
| 1252 | 11 | 1 |
| 1253 | 34 | 5⅞ |
| 1254 | 33 | 3¼ |

47. In explanation of the grounds why a considerable portion of what has been entered as double crop land has been cultivated only with a single crop in late years, it may be briefly remarked that this is owing not to the unfitness of the land, but to the choice which the ryots have been allowed to make of raising a second crop according to their convenience, on any land under the different Tanks whether entered in the accounts as single or double crop land, they paying of course the prescribed extra assessment in all cases. The double crop land would doubtlessly be cultivated with more



more regularity, if it was deemed advisable to restrict the ryots in their choice and to oblige them to cultivate it before proceeding to raise a second Crop on single Crop land.-

48. The alterations in other Classes of lands in some Villages were also rendered necessary by the discovery of error in the proceedings of the Sir Tarumdars. For example in the Village of Toondlum the Sir Tarumdars divided the single Crop lands watered by the same Tongul into 4 Classes, and the double Crop lands irrigated by a Spring into 2 Classes but as this subdivision of the lands into separate Classes under each reservoir complicated the accounts, it was considered advisable to reclassify these lands according to their productive powers, under which plan the 1st Class lands under the Tongul were incorporated with the second class under the Spring and corresponding arrangements. In the Village of Namum the classification of the Assessors was not consented to by the ryots and

a

a fresh examination was therefore instituted through servants sent from the Hoozoor to the Village with the ryots, when the 5th Class of land was dispensed with- Again in Vachemadoo, where all the lands are uniformly irrigated from Wells by means of Picotahs and were considered to be of nearly equal. pro=ductive powers, the Sirtarumdars had divided them unnecessarily into 4 classes. A corrected arrangement was therefore made in the Hoozoor by incorporating the two first and the two last descrip=tions of land and thus reducing the number of classes from four to two.-

49. In this manner the clas=sification was revised in a few Villa=ges where it appeared necessary on a full consideration of the different lands and their several means of irrigation- The revision was made with the general consent of the ryots, and as it has not been disadvantageous to the Government, I trust that with this expla=nation the Collector will be considered to have exercised proper discretion in correcting the proceedings of the subordinate



Servants where they appeared to him to be erroneous.-

50- I beg now to allude to the observations of the Board regarding the two Villa=ges of Coottumbaukum and Arumbaukum and for the purpose of explaining that the rate adopted for the 1st Class land in the former Village has appeared to

Board's Proceedings Paras 70 and 71- The Board remark that the average produce of lands adopted by the Collector is very dissimilar as shewn in the two Villages of Coottumbaukum and Arumbauk=kum- On the first of which the produce fixed for the 1st Class land exceeds the highest average on record while on the second it is less by one fourth.-

exceed the recorded average from the Board's computation being founded on the one crop land only the average of the 1st Class two Crop land according to such accounts as were obtained is Clk. 12 - M. 1 - M. 1 being thus above the Collector's rate- In the latter Villages the average referred to by the Board is of five favorable years, against the adoption of which the ryots protested, and it will be observed on referring to the accounts that the average of ten successive years is much lower- When the accounts of some Villages were sent for by the Board these two Vil=lages were selected by the Collector among others as best exemplifying the proces followed

followed in the Survey and the difficulties which were experienced from the Kundoomoodul or Curnums Accounts not affording full and trustworthy information Coottumbaukum had been frequently rented and its detailed accounts were not forthcoming for a series of years, while in Arumbaukum the recorded produce varied much in different Seasons, and the ryots objected strongly to the higher rates alone being adopted as a guide. Careful local enquiries were therefore made in each of these two Villages before the rates were finally arranged and I trust that the following Memorandum will show that their proposed Settlement is not deficient in uniformity or unfavorable to the Government.-

Beriz-



| | Arumbaukum. Rate per Pagoda at which grain was disposed of | Beriz | | | Coottumbaukum. Rate per Pagoda at which grain was disposed of | Beriz | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Merc. | Ms. | Ps. | As. | Merc. | Ms. | Ps. | As. |
| Beriz of Fusly 1210 | 14 | 4 | 283 | 9¼ | 13 | 7 | 937 | 9⅝ |
| Permanent Beriz | " | " | 222 | 12⅜ | " | " | 727 | 9⅛ |
| Average Collection of 23 years | 14 | 1 | 226 | 7⅝ | 14 | " | 717 | 7⅛ |
| Actual produce of Fusly 1232 | 14 | 6½ | 245 | 12¾ | 13 | 3½ | 610 | 8¾ |
| Actual Collection of Do. | 14 | 6½ | 230 | 6 | 13 | 3½ | 618 | 11 |
| Sir Tarumdars proposal - | 15 | " | 259 | 8¼ | 15 | " | 832 | 5¼ |
| Average Collections of 5 good years | 15 | " | 241 | 11¼ | 14 | 7½ | 655 | 12½ |
| Do. of 10 years including the above 5 years | 15 | 1 | 181 | 11⅜ | 14 | 2¾ | 558 | 1 |
| Proposed Assessment- | | | | | | | | |
| Sheigal | 18 | " | 246 | 3½ | 18 | " | 846 | 15 |
| Laikh | " | " | 30 | 5¾ | " | " | 93 | 13¾ |
| | " | " | 276 | 9¼ | " | " | 940 | 12¾ |

From

51.

Board's Proceedings Paras 72 and 73 -

The Board remark that considerable discrepancies are found in Comparing the produce and rates of assessment of different classes of land- the same assessment of 3 Pagodas being found on lands yielding from 13 Cullums thro' 11 gradations to 8 3/4- they also remark on the extraordinary fact of 31 sorts of lands being discovered in one Talook - (Manemungalum)-

From these remarks the Board appear to consider that because there are 31 rates of assessment there are as many different classes of land in the Manemungalum Talook, but I would beg to refer to the Statements submitted to them as showing that so many rates of assessment have been proposed not from difference in the soil alone but from variation in the Standard rates of Coodewarum, Swatantrum and Mailwarum prevailing in the different Villages- These rates vary in different

Comparison of the classifications of land in the Chingleput and Manemungalum Talooks.-

| Talooks- | Wet land | Dry land. |
|---|---|---|
| | Greatest number of classes in any Village | Greatest number of classes in any Village - |
| Chingleput | 12 | 5 |
| Manemungalum | 6 | 3 |

descriptions of land in the same Village, while they also vary generally between one Village and another they having been regulated to meet the difference in the labour and expense which the Cultivation of different lands entails as also the advantages or disadvantages which one District is under Compared with another- The Coodewarum varies from 40 to 50 per Cent of the gross produce, the Swatantrum from 10 to 22 and the Mailwarum from 33 to 50 including all descriptions of irrigated land, and these variations have necessarily led to as many different rates of assessment in following out the principle on which the Survey has been conducted of apportioning the produce according to the ancient Village rates- In elucidation of this point I beg to annex a Memorandum showing the process by which an assessment of Pagodas 3 has been imposed on lands varying in their produce from 8 3/4 to 13 Cullums, and of Pagodas 2 on lands yielding in one instance 6 and in the other 9 Cullums- At the same time I would remark that care has been taken to prevent as far as possible a multiplicity of rates by omitting in the accounts all fractional Sums when this could



| Village | Class- | Sorts of Land- | Gross Produce = Coodewarum, Cantagy | Deduct- Swatantrum 1/5 | Deduct- Mailwarum 1/2 | Total of Cullums & Cantagy | Pagodas | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | Jungle Loam | 4 Mr 5 Cd Mr | 0 7 Cd Mr | 2 3 3/4 " " | 6 0 1/2 | 3 | M.G.C. Madras Report Paras 52-53- and 54.- |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Jungle Loam | 4 Mr 5 Cd Mr | 0 7 Cd Mr | 2 3 3/4 " " | 6 0 1/2 | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Jungle Loam | 4 Mr 5 Cd Mr | 0 7 Cd Mr | 2 3 3/4 " " | 6 0 1/2 | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Jungle Loam | 4 Mr 5 Cd Mr | 0 7 Cd Mr | 2 3 3/4 " " | 6 0 1/2 | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Gravelly Soil | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Sand | 2 " " | 0 " " | 1 " " | 3 " " | 1 | |
| | | Red Clay | 3 " " | 0 " " | 1 2 1/2 " | 4 2 1/2 " | 1 | |
| | | Clay Loam Dry | 4 " " | 0 " " | 2 " " | 6 " " | 2 | |
| | | Clay Loam Wet | 5 " " | 1 " " | 2 5 " " | 8 6 " " | 3 | |

could be done without entailing a decided loss of Revenue.-

52.- On scrutinising the details of Mr. Smalley's settlement of the Chingleput Taluk it will be found that the rates of assessment are even more numerous than in the Manemungalum Taluk, there being no fewer than 59 rates in the Villages included in that settlement, as shewn in a statement herewith submitted, in a form similar to the one (No. 14) on which the present remarks of the Board are founded. With this explanation the Board and Government will I trust be satisfied that the difference in the rates of assessment on lands which are on an equality in point of produce, though not in the labour and expense required for their cultivation, is the natural consequence of fixing the survey assessment in reference to the Standard rates established for apportioning the produce between the Cultivator the Government and the Village Servants, and that this very circumstance instead of shewing that the produce has been altered to correspond with the rates shows that the rates of assessment

Enclosure B



assessment have been regulated by the produce and circumstances of the lands-

53.- In reference to the annexed Board's Proceedings Paras 74 to 78- observations of the Board I beg to observe that in noting the names of the adjoining Villages on the back of the Chitta of Manemungalum Cushah, a practice generally followed, it was

It is observed that the rates of produce and Teerwa do not agree in Manemungalum Compared with 12 adjacent Villages watered from the Tank, whose names are written on the back of the Manemungalum account to shew that they corresponded in Capabilities and are fit for Comparison.-

intended only to shew the neighbouring Villages and not to express that they corresponded in the Capabilities and circumstance as supposed by the Board. Three only of the 12 are irrigated by the Manemungalum Tank and in the condition of even these there is a considerable variety of circumstantstance, as the Tank when full irrigates the Cushah for 8 Months while Kerchengal and Chetput receive Supplies only for 6 and 4 Months respectively their lands being on higher levels.- This circumstance combined with other varieties in the means of irrigation and in the rates of

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.-

of Coodewarum, Swatantrum &c. the effect of which has been already noticed, occasioned the want of apparent coincidence in the rates of produce and Teerwa pointed out by the Board - I may be permitted to observe that the lands of adjoining Villages, even when they have a common source of irrigation cannot be generally expected to be without some peculiarities and differences That this was found to be the case in Mr. Smalley's settlement also, will be seen from the subjoined Statements showing the classification and assessment of the large Village of Coluttoor in the Chingleput Talook and the adjacent Villages, as well as of Villages



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.-

Villages watered in common by the Manambady Tank - In reference to the conclusion which the Board deduce from those variations in the Manimungalum Talook, I would beg again permission to remark that the Survey Assessment has been proposed it appears to me, in reference only to the ascertained produce of the different fields and that while the approximation of the Survey Settlement in some Villages to one of the former averages or Berizes is a result which might have been anticipated and which does not imply any incorrectness the coincidence was accidental and not caused by that particular average or Beriz having been taken as a standard, and then subdivided on the lands.-

Clas

27th April 1846.-

| Clafs. | Colattoor | | Ponpadircoottum | | Vembaukara | | Poonmapet | | Ottavaukum | | Matavelagum | | Odiembaukum | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment. | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment. | Gross Produce | Rate of aſſeſs=ment. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15. |
| | Cl. M. Ps. at | | Cl. M. Ps. at | | Cl. M. Ps. at | | Cl. M. Ps. at | | Cl. M. Ps. at | | Cl. M. Ps. at | | Cl. M. Ps. at | |
| 1 | 13 6 5 4 | | 11 1¼ 4 2 | | 11 7 3 12 | | | | 2 6 6 9⅜ | | 2 5 11 ⅛ | | 3 12 | |
| 2 | 12 " 4 11 | | 9 4⅝ 3 8 | | 9 7⅞ 3 2 | | 4 6 1 7 | | 7 " 2 1 5 ⅞ | | 1 12 9 10⅞ | | 3 6 | |
| 3 | 11 " 4 5 | | 6 6½ 2 7 | | 8 6 2 12 | | 3 9 1 3 | | 6 6 1 14 3 4⅝ | | 1 3 8 9¾ | | 3 " | |
| 4 | 10 " 3 14 | | 4 6⅝ 1 11 | | 6 11⅜ 2 4 | | 3 6 1 2 | | 5 6 1 9 2 3 1/16 | | " 13 7 8⅜ | | 2 10 | |
| 5 | 9 " 3 8 | | 2 3¼ " 14 | | " " " " | | 3 " 1 " | | 4 6 1 9 | | " " " " | | 2 4 | |
| 6 | 8 " 3 2 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | 3 6 1 3 | | " " " " | | 5 6 | 1 14 |
| 7 | 7 " 2 12 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | 2 6 " 14 | | " " " " | | 4 4⅞ | 1 8 |
| 8 | 6 " 2 6 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | 2 " " 11 | | " " " " | | 2 9 | " 15 |
| 9 | 5 " 1 15 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | 1 4 " 8 | | " " " " | | " " | " " |
| 10 | 4 " 1 9 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " | " " |
| 11 | 3 " 1 3 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " | " " |
| 12 | 2 " " 13 | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " " " | | " " | " " |
| Percentage of Mailwarum | 50½ | | 50 | | 40⅝ | | | | | | 45⅝ | | 4 7⅜ | |
| Do of Coodewarum | 37¼ | | 36¾ | | 42⅞ | | 42¾ | | 45 | | 41 | | 38 | |
| Do of Swatumtrum | 12¼ | | 13¼ | | 16½ | | 45⅜ | | 43⅜ | | 13⅞ | | 14⅝ | |
| | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | 11⅞ | | 11⅞ | | 100 | | 100 | |
| | | | | | | | 100 | | 100 | | | | | |
| Remiſsions | " " 12 " | | 4 " | | 3 " | | | | 2 " | | 2 " | | 11 " | |
| | | | | | | | | | 3 " | | | | | |

5911 Fort St. George 27th April 1846.-

Gross produce of one crop land assessed in virtue of Investigation.-

| Villages | Gross produce 1st class | Ditto 2d class | Ditto 3d class | Ditto 4th Class | Ditto 5th class | Ditto 6th class |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Gross Pagodas | Ditto | Ditto | Ditto | Ditto | Ditto |
| | Produce: ment | Produce: ment | Produce: ment | Produce: ment | Produce: ment | Produce: ment |
| | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Cl. Mr. Pt. | Cl. Mr. Pt. | Cl. Mr. Pt. | Cl. Mr. Pt. | Cl. Mr. Pt. | Cl. Mr. Pt. |
| Manamkudy | 8 " " | 3 2 7 | " 12 6 | " 14 5 | " 13 1 | " 5 7 |
| Agaram | 8 6 3 | 12 6 6 | 2 14 5 | 6 11 2 | 4 " " | 1 " " |
| Govidarajam | 8 6 2 | 6 7 6 | 2 1 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " | 4 " " | 1 3 " | 3 " " | 15 2 " | " " " | " " " |
| Manikuk | 5 " " | 2 " 4 | " 1 10 | 2 " " | " " " | " " " |
| Colandamanikuk | 3 6 1 | 5 3 " | 1 2 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Chinnapuk | 4 " 1 | 6 3 " | 1 1 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Dotchenamurty | 4 " 1 | 6 3 " | 1 1 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Codapuk | 4 6 1 | 6 2 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |

5912 Fort St. George 27th April 1846.-

Board's Proceedings Para 78-

In Virdarajapooram the produce assigned to the 1st class (8—8m) is less than the average produce of the whole lands the inferior included which were cultivated in 1236- or 7-9- That 12 Cawnies of land held on a light tenure in this Village ought to have been assessed at the full rates the difference being deducted as remission at the annual settlement-

54- In regard to the settlement of the Village of Virdarajapooram, I beg to explain that the average of 7-9- alluded to by the Board is not the produce of all the sorts of land, but that of 4 Cawnies only of best land, which happened to be cultivated in 1236 out of the 22 Cawnies of Sheigal land which the Village Contains- The produce of this Village for 10 years from Fusly 1234 is shewn in the Margin, and it will be observed that the average is less than 4 Cullums- The 12 Cawnies of land held on a permanent Cowle under the authority of Government on account of a religious institution were inadvertently entered in the Survey accounts according

| Fusly | Extent of land cultivated.- Cawnies | ats. | average Produce Pagodas Cullums | Pts. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1234 | 3 | 7 5/8 | 3 | 7 1/8 |
| 1235 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 3/8 |
| 1236 | 4 | 10 1/2 | 7 | 9 |
| 1237 | 10 | 1 3/4 | 5 | 5 1/4 |
| 1238 | 30 | 2 1/8 | 4 | 6 5/15 |
| 1239 | 27 | 2 1/8 | 3 | 5 13/15 |
| 1240 | 26 | 1 3/8 | 2 | 8 3/15 |
| 1241 | 30 | 12 5/8 | 4 | 1/4 |
| 1243 | 3 | 0 7/8 | 2 | 3/15 |
| 1244 | 10 | 3 5/8 | 2 | 0 1/8 |
| Total | 149 | 15 " | " | " |
| Average Pts. Annum | 14 | 15 7/8 | 3 | 10 3/8 |

according to the full survey rates to account and as remission in the manner

Board's Proceedings Para 79-

It is observed that other anomalies exist such as Garden land being at times assessed as Nunjah and at others at higher rates, of Manawary land entered as double crop land - of the same rates of Seerwah being applied to lands dependent on the rain (Manawary) to land under Tanks and to lands Watered by Pekotah-

the Cowle rates, but the rates have been since brought to account and the difference deducted in the annual settlements directed by the Board-

55- The Garden lands here alluded to by the Board appear to be 34 Cawnies of Nunjah land in Manemungalum and 3 adjacent Villages, which had been occupied for several preceding years. for garden Cultivation (plantain, lime trees &ca) and were assessed during the survey as two Crop land from its appearing probable that they would again be brought under Nunjey Cultivation when the garden trees ceased to be productive This anticipation has proved well founded as a portion of the land was recultivated with rice shortly after the survey had taken place.-

56. Regarding garden lands generally I would beg to observe that under the Aumany System an assessment varying from 12½ to 3⅛ Pagodas per



per Cawney used to be collected from them, both when situated within and without the Village limits - But as these rates were oppressively high, and impeded the cultivation of the valuable products usually raised on these lands (Tobacco, Chillies, Ginger &ca) they were revised by Mr. Maclean and new rates varying from 6 to 1 Pagoda per Cawney according to the capabilities of the land were substituted for them The highest rate is found principally in the Villages of the Carangooly Taloor &c., while in Manemungalum a Medium rate of 4 Pagodas generally prevails- These rates were proposed from their being considered to be moderate and generally equal to these recommended for Nunjah land.-

57- The Board's remark regarding some Manawary land being entered as two Crop land appears the consequence of an error in transcribing the accounts The observation applies, I believe, to 4 Cawnies of Nunjah land situated in the Village of Sevabootum and irrigated by a Spring which appear to have been entered in the accounts sent to the Board as Manawary (dependent on the rain) but are entered in the other Survey accounts as

as 2 crops Nunjey land under a Spring-
58. The point last mentioned of the same rate of Assessment being applied to lands under Tanks and to those dependent on the rain, or on water raised by Pic[illegible]otahs is I believe of very rare occurrence, and caused in these instances by peculiar circumstances. On examining the Survey accounts I find that in one Village (Arimbankum) lands situated under a Small Tank of one Month's supply were considered to be of the same value as the adjoining Manavary land, the examination shewing no difference in their produce, and the same rates of Mailwaram being Common to both. In another Village (Goparasanellore) there is a Small tract of 4 Cawnies, situated among Manavary land to which it belongs which were cultivated with 2 crops by aid of wells sunk at a small cost - but as the Coodewaram rate of the Village appeared to afford sufficient remuneration to the Cultivator, it was not considered necessary to make a distinction in the accounts regarding this small piece of land. Other Similar

Gross Produce by Cullums 100 -
Coodewaram 43
Swatuntram 17 7/8
60 7/8
Mailwaram 39 1/8
100



similar instances will not, I believe, be found, but if any do exist, I trust that an opportunity may be afforded to explain under what circumstances they occurred, before they are considered a ground for passing an adverse opinion against the entire settlement.

Boards' Proceedings Para 81-

The Board observe that the result of the measurement conducted under the Superintendence of Mr. Maclean were not examined as on all previous occasions by Azmaishdars, the duty of testing the measurement being left to the Assessors-

59. They now to advert to the general observations made by the Board on the primary steps in Mr. Maclean's Survey and to observe that the Supervision of the field measurement by Azmaishdars to which the Board allude, was discontinued a considerable time before Mr. Maclean joined the district and resumed the Survey operations, in consequence of its being found that the examination by the Tarundars was sufficient to test the accuracy of the Pymashdars measurement - The accounts of these Officers show that they scrutinized the measurement in the same manner as the special Azmaishdars, and it was thought that a further examination

examination would have entailed additional expense without corresponding advantage.-

60.- The result of the measurement thus made showed considerable discrepancies in the old accounts, instances of which, taken casually from 2 Villages in the Manimangalum and Chinglepat Talooks are exhibited in the margin for facility of reference, and I would add that this difference is to be found in the entire extent of Sheigal land and the Villages of Ayacut,

Palumtandalum in the Manimangalum Talook.-

| No. of field | Extent as per old account | | Extent as per late survey measurement. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cs. | As. | Cs. | As. |
| 1 | " | 5 | " | 3 1/2 |
| 2 | " | 10 | " | 6 3/8 |
| 4 | " | 2 1/2 | " | 1 5/8 |
| 5 | " | 2 1/2 | " | 2 |
| 7 | " | 4 | " | 3 5/8 |
| 8 | " | 4 | " | 3 3/4 |
| 9 | " | 1/2 | " | 3/8 |
| 10 | " | 1/2 | " | 1/2 |
| 11 | " | 1 | " | 7/8 |
| 12 | " | 2 | " | 1 3/4 |

Coolpantandalum in the Chinglepat Talook

| No. of field | Extent as per old account. | | Extent as per late survey measurement. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cs. | As. | Cs. | As. |
| 1 | " | 4 | " | 2 5/8 |
| 2 | " | 4 | " | 2 3/8 |
| 3 | " | 8 | " | 5 |
| 6 | " | 8 | " | 6 1/4 |
| 7 | " | 8 | " | 4 5/8 |
| 10 | " | 8 | " | 5 7/8 |
| 11 | " | 8 | " | 6 1/8 |
| 12 | " | 8 | " | 5 3/8 |
| 14 | " | 6 | " | 4 3/4 |
| 15 | " | 2 | " | 1 1/4 |

as well as in particular fields.- The more striking instances of discrepancy to which the Board allude as attracted much of Mr. Maclean's attention, and I trust that the careful enquiries which were then made and the manner in which the general correctness of the field





field measurement has been since tested for several years during the detailed business of the Collectorate, more particularly at the annual Settlements, will be considered to show that these discrepancies arose from the correction of former error and not from want of exactness in the present survey.- On this point I would beg to add that though Mr. Maclean alludes to a former partial measurement by Mr. Place in 1797 and to an old practice followed by private individuals in dividing lands in the Agraharam Villages, I can find no traces of any field measurement prior to the one now under report, and the extent of ground assigned to each field in the old Village and public accounts appears to have been entered on a rough calculation or according to what was termed the Maunoo Cawnie.-

61.- With respect to the selection of fasly 1232, which the Board now consider unadvisable, I would beg to point out that the Cultivation and revenue of that year were adopted as the basis of the Survey in conformity with the instructions of the Board, communicated in Para 25 of their Proceedings under date the 19th May 1825. This year was selected because the season was favorable, the Cultivation and produce extensive, and the price of grain moderate, and it was deemed advisable to prepare for the anticipated result that under a Moderate Survey assessment.-

Board's Proceeding Para 81.-

The selection of the Cultivation of a season so far back as 1232 for the basis of the settlement was very inconvenient. Much of the land then under tillage is now waste and vice versâ. Moreover the Cultivation of that year was very large owing to a favorable season, and much of the land then Cultivated has not been ploughed since.- The Beriz therefore of the Sheigul has been made to appear much larger than it ought or really is. Probably Mr. Maclean was influenced by the extent of land which he already found surveyed under the orders of his predecessors."-

assessment cultivation would at least remain at the height which it had attained under the disadvantages of the Aamany system - Under the same impression the arable waste (Layak Banjur) though not included in the Settlement was assessed at rates corresponding to the Sheigal lands of the same description in preparation for its future recultivation and I would submit that this course was the one most likely to avoid inconvenience - I beg to add that the greater portion of the lands had been measured and classified under the predecessors of Mr. Maclean to whom it only remained to Scrutinize the proceedings of the Survey Servants and arrange the Settlement - In those Villages where this preliminary process had not been executed Mr. Maclean took the Cultivation of the same year both for the sake of uniformity and because no subsequent season possessed equal advantages as a Standard - Justice requires me to add that Mr. Maclean

Confined



Memorandum of Mr. Smalley's & Mr. Maclean's Villages -

| | 1st Crop Mungey | Co. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sheigal of 1230 | | 7380 | 435 |
| Sheigal of Settlement | | 8253 | 1155 |
| Increase | | 873 | 720 |

confined himself strictly to the cultivation of that particular year, while the amount of Mr. Smalley's Settlement was increased by such lands as had been cultivated in any one of the several preceding years being included in it, although the cultivation of Fusly 1230 was the prominent basis - To the Government this difference is of no practical importance, as in both cases the assessment was fixed in detail on the lands according to their several capabilities alone - but Mr. Maclean's Settlement is thus under an undue disadvantage, compared with Mr. Smalley's, when they are contrasted with the Collections and Standards of preceding years - On the other hand a larger increase of revenue from the Spread of cultivation is of course to be anticipated (caeteris paribus) in Mr. Maclean's Talooks than in the one settled by Mr. Smalley. -

Board's Proceedings.

Para 81. -

An essential variation from the rules laid down for the Ceded Districts pervades the Saghire Survey - as the difference between the Deeyuts or

Q2. On the subject of the inequalities which prevail in the rates of difference between the classes or Deeyuts into which the lands are divided,

I beg

or classes is not uniform but varies from 2 to 12 annas- no stipulations having been made on this point in the original orders to the Assessors.-

I beg to observe that on the commencement of the Survey operations Mr. Smalley appears to have refrained from giving orders to the Assessors that the difference should be uniform in each Village under an impression that such a procedure could not be adopted without departing from the main principle on which the Survey was based of ascertaining the Mailwarum portion of the produce, and converting it into an exact equivalent in money- Mr. Smalley appears also to have anticipated objections on the part of the land holders, who were unlikely to consent to any additional Assessment however small for the sake of uniformity in the accounts, and would insist on the produce not being entered at any amount exceeding that which the investigation shewed it to be- Another desirable object, viz. classifying the lands in all the Villages on an equal scale according to their rates of produce per Cawney- so that all lands of any particular class would be of the same productive power in whatever Village they might be situated was also it appears given up in



consequence of the principle adhered to in the Survey of fixing the assessment in accordance with the ancient rates for dividing the produce into the Mailwarum, Koodewarum, and Swatantram Shares- This principle necessarily made the Assessment vary on lands of which the produce was the same- and the advantage of equalizing the classes of lands throughout the District according to their productive powers would not therefore have been as great as under other circumstances- These are doubtless imperfections, but they were unavoidable under the System followed and though they are of practical inconvenience so far that they render the accounts less simple, and throw difficulties in the way of comparisons, they have no influence whatever on the rates of Assessment.-

Board's Proceedings. Para 82- On the Board examining the Accounts of Chinnumbadoo Vullore, the Villages of the Pedapollium and Nayer Talooks the rates of Assessment are very low compared with Manemungalum particularly in Nayer-

83. In reference to the remarks of the Board in Para 82 a Statement is annexed comparing the rates of produce and Assessment of Runjey lands in Cusba Manemungalum, and Chinnumbadoo, the Chiefs

chief Village in the Peddapollium Talook, which shows that the rates are highest in the latter Village- no part of the Nayer Talook equals either of these Villages in the soil of its wet land and in facilities of irrigation, but I have added its best Village Cuttavoor to the Statement for the sake of comparison as the Village of Vallore was selected for notice by Mr. Maclean not from its superiority, but in consequence of the resistance which the ryots made to his proposed rates- as the Board consider the rates in these Talooks low and more especially in Nayer I beg to call attention to the annexed statement which compares the proportion of Nunjah land in these three Talooks which is Manawary or dependent on the falling rain- In addition to this disadvantage of irrigation the Soil in the Peddapollium and Nayer Talooks is generally sandy and poor, and I trust that these circumstances will be considered to account satisfactorily for their Nunjey Assessment not being generally equal to that of more favored districts.-

Manemungalam

Statement of Nunjey Land-

| Talook- | Percentage of land irrigated by Tanks, Springs- | Percentage of land dependent on falling rain for Manawary- |
|---|---|---|
| Manemungalum | 94 | 6 |
| Peddapollium | 80 | 20 |
| Nayer | 74 | 26 |
| 260 lapsed Villages | 94 | 6 |



Particulars- Solapo- Nunjey-

| | Manemungalum Talook Cusbah Manemungalum Sheigal 6 Cs 544- 5/4- | | | | Peddapollium Talook Chinnumbaidoo Sheigal 6 Cs 682- 2/8 | | | | Nayer Talook Cuttavoor Sheigal 6 Cs 1667/4 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Average per Cawney | | | | Average per Cawney | | | | Average per Cawney | | | |
| | Gross Produce | | Assessment- | | Gross Produce | | Assessment | | Gross Produce | | Assessment | |
| | Cs. | M | Ps. | As. | Cs. | M | Ps. | As. | Cs. | M | Ps. | As. |
| 1 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 14 | " | 4 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | 11 | " | 3 | 8 | 13 | " | 4 | 4 | 10 | " | 3 | " |
| 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | " | 12 | " | 3 | 14 | 7 | " | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 11 | " | 3 | 8 | 5 | " | 1 | 8 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12½ | 10 | " | 3 | 2 | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | 8 | 3 | 2 | 12 | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | " | " | " | " | 7 | 3 | 2 | 6 | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | " | " | " | " | 6 | " | 2 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Average per Cawney in Village | 8 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 9 | " | 2 | 14 5/8 | " | " | 2 | 4 3/4 |
| Rate of Nuilwarum | 48 3/10 | " | " | " | 49¼ | " | " | " | 45½ | " | " | " |
| How many Months water can the Tank contain | 8 Months | | | | 10 Months | | | | 6 Months- | | | |

The

Board's Proceedings Para 82.

The Board further remark that in many instances the average recorded produce of the whole Village exceeds that assumed for the 1st class land and that in 16 Villages included in the former Mootah of Streeharecottah the average recorded produce of all the wet lands hardly exceeds that of the dry the former being Pagoda 1-12½ the latter 1-8½.--

From Fusly 1233 to 1245.

| | Extent of Nunjey Circar land as per Settlement | Produce of 1st Class Nunjey- average of 5 years | | average of 13 years | | Average of the Settlement | | Proposed rates of Produce for 1st Class | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cs. | as. | Cs. | m | Cs. | m | Cs. | m | Cs. | m |
| 1 Barepetta | 4 | 5½ | 11 | 4⁷/₁₀ | 6 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 10 | u |
| 2 Madedanurpolliam | 1 | 4⅜ | 12 | 4⁵/₁₀ | 8 | 4 | 11 | u | 11 | u |
| 3 Pullecooppum | 38 | 6½ | 13 | 11⅜ | 10 | u | 12 | u | 13 | 3 |
| 4 Chennoogarepolliam | 22 | 7½ | 13 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 1½ | 12 | 6 |
| 5 Pullaveedy | 16 | 6⅛ | 14 | 2½ | 9 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 13 | 6 |
| 6 Matamvarepolliam | 8 | 2¼ | 12 | 3¼ | 6 | 5 | 11 | 4½ | 11 | 6 |
| Total Cawnies | 91 | 10¼ | | | | | | | | |

64. The Board remark that in many instances the average recorded produce exceeds the survey rates for the 1st class land, does not apply as far as my enquiries show, to more than 6 Villages in the Nayer Palook containing a very small extent of Nunjey land of nearly the same quality and amounting altogether to only 91 Cawnies and 10¼ Mas. I beg to remark regarding these lands that the average referred to by the Board is not the average of a series of years, but of seven consecutively good seasons selected from 13 successive years at the time of the survey to furnish information and comparison rather than to serve as a standard. The adoption of this average was objected to by the ryots who pointed out how much it exceeded the average produce of the 13 successive years from which these seasons had been selected. The difference between these averages being very great it was considered proper and just after examining the Capabilities of the lands to fix on medium rates, and it will be seen by the Memorandum in the Margin that the survey rates of



of produce assigned to the 1st class lands, considerably exceed the fair average of the 13 preceding years. These rates will I trust with this explanation be approved, but if they are considered to require alteration I would submit that these small Villages should not affect the judgment passed on the entire Survey.-

65. With respect to the average rates of the wet lands being found hardly to exceed those of the dry, I would beg to point out that the averages of 1-12½ and 1-8½ referred to in the Board's Proceedings are not as supposed those of 16 Villages, but of all the 48 Villages comprising the Mootah of Streeharecottah. In the 16 Villages which are better supplied than the rest of the Mootah with means of irrigation the respective average rates for the wet and dry land are 2-11- and 1-12½ the difference being considerable. To explain why the Average of the wet lands of all the 48 Villages does not exceed that of the dry in a greater degree I would beg to point out the local circumstances which distinguish the Punjah lands in this Mootah. Their cultivation is carried on with more than usual care from their being divided among a set of industrious

Cultivators

Cultivators in small portions, the assessment paid by 858 out of 1000 cultivators not exceeding 5 Rupees each - No total cash lands exist free of assessment like the back yards in other parts of the district and much of what is entered as Punjey land consists of plots of Ground situated round the ryots houses, and carefully cultivated with Natcheny of which they yield an abundant produce - While therefore in other parts of the district the highest Punjah assessment is only 1- 8 - the rates progress in this as Mootah from 12 as - up to 2-4 per Cauney, equalling those on the poorer sorts of Nunjey land which have an indifferent soil and are dependent on the falling rain.-

Board's Proceedings Para 83.
The Board remark that if a revision of Mr. Vireash's settlement was necessary on the ground that the Villages were under rent in 1232, and that their settlement does not come up to that standard and the application of the same test renders a revision of settlement of the Peddapollium and Nayer Talooks also necessary as in Nayer there is a decrease of Pagodas 1538, and in Peddapollium of Pagodas 21. -

60- I beg now to refer to the remarks of the Board quoted in the Margin and to submit that the two cases of Mr. Vireash and Mr. Maclean's settlements cannot be regarded as parallel - Of the 625 Villages settled by Mr. Vireash 610 were rented in fusly 1232 and in the revision of their settlement which fell below the Rented Beriz of fusly 1232 by 6 per cent - Mr.



Mr. Freese proposed an addition raising it to 4½ per Cent above that standard - On the other hand out of the 750 Villages settled by Mr. Maclean, 380 only were rented in 1232 and in these the total of the proposed Survey settlement which was made on full consideration of the circumstances under which these Villages were rented, exceeds the rented Beriz by 5¼ per cent, a rather higher rate of increase than Mr. Freese thought proper to recommend in Mr. Vireash's Villages.-

61- I would also beg to point out that in the rented Villages of the Nayer Talook the decrease in the settlement proposed by Mr. Maclean is Pags. 669, not Pagodas 1538, while in Peddapollium the settlement proposed for the rented Villages shows an increase of Pagodas 385 - The circumstances which led to the small decrease in particular Villages in Nayer were explained by the Collector, and have been already alluded to in this report - In elucidation of these remarks I beg to annex a statement showing the results of Mr. Vireash's and Mr. Maclean's settlements of the Villages rented in fusly 1232 and in explanation of the result not being similar in all instances beg to submit that a careful field assessment introduced to correct errors and discrepancies cannot be expected to correspond uniformly with the results of preceding years, unless the rule of paying strict attention to the ascertained produce is departed from and the details are modified on indefinite principles.-

Talook

| Taloork | Rent or Collection of fusly 1232 | Proposed Beriz | Comparison between Col.s 3 & 4 Increase | Decrease | Per Centage | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | Pgs. As. | Pags. As. | Pags. As. | Pags. As. | | |
| Conjeveram | 155 | 34.640 | 15 5/8 | 35.878 | 1 3/4 | 1237 | 2 1/8 | " | " | 3 | 8 | |
| Cotramaloor | 141 | 26.531 | 3/4 | 28.719 | 10 1/4 | 2188 | 9 1/2 | " | " | 8 | 4 | In column 4 the proposed Beriz of Mr. Vivcash's |
| Carangooly | 166 | 24.126 | 15 1/4 | 25.088 | 13 5/8 | 961 | 14 3/8 | " | " | 4 | " | |
| Siriperoor | 121 | 16.161 | 13 7/8 | 16.536 | 12 7/8 | 374 | 15 3/4 | " | " | 2 | 4 | Villages in= cludes the addition recommended by Mr. Freese. |
| Chinglepat | 29 | 4.099 | 14 1/2 | 4.070 | 6 3/4 | " | " | 29 | 7 3/4 | " | 12 | |
| | 612 | 105.560 | 11 1/4 | 110.293 | 13 1/4 | 4762 | 9 3/4 | 29 | 7 3/4 | 4 | 8 | |
| | | | Net Increase | 4.733 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Mr. Macleans Rental Villages- Masamungalem | 151 | 29.209 | 4 | 32.990 | 10 1/8 | 3.781 | 6 1/8 | " | " | 12 | 15 | |
| Nayer | 110 | 21.429 | 9 1/4 | 20.759 | 10 1/2 | " | " | 669 | 14 3/4 | 3 | 2 | |
| Peddapollium | 89 | 18.029 | 5 3/8 | 18.415 | 3 1/2 | 385 | 14 1/8 | " | " | 2 | 2 | |
| 26 lapsed Villages | 13 | 762 | 2 1/4 | 1.007 | 1/4 | 244 | 14 | " | " | 32 | 2 | |
| | 363 | 69.430 | 4 7/8 | 73.172 | 8 3/8 | 4412 | 2 1/4 | 669 | 14 3/4 | 5 | 6 | |
| | | | Net Increase | 3.742 | 3 1/2 | | | | | | | |

Mr. Vivcash- Mr. Maclean-

Now



Board's Proceedings Paras 84 & 85.-

It is remarked that Mr. Freese instead of correcting first the Beriz of Taloors. and Villages as directed by the Board, followed the course adopted by Mr. Maclean of proceed= =ing from details to the aggre= =gate modifying the rates of produce and assessment on a System of expediency.-

him deeming it proper to continue the System, which for the reasons stated in the commencement of this report had been successively followed by Messrs. Smalley, Cotton, and Maclean, and partly because the Board's instructions of the 7th March 1831 directed that the examination of Mr. Vivcash's settlement should be made Village by Village, and that a full re= =port with all necessary details should be submitted at once to avoid the ne= =cessity of a demand for further in= =formation. In carrying out these in= =structions it was absolutely necessary to enter into the details before fixing an aggregate Beriz, and this was the course commenced by Mr. Cotton on receiving these instructions.- I would beg to add that the proceed

68. I now beg to allude to the remarks of the Board referred to in the Margin on the revision of Mr. Vivcash's Settlement and to submit that Mr. Freese pursued the course followed by him, partly from=

+ See remarks in Board's Proceedings Para 28.-

process of fixing an aggregate Beriz+ and dividing it upon the Villages is the easiest of execution, but as the result of such a system appeared unlikely to prove correct or satisfactory and would have been a departure from the main principle adopted in Mr. Smalley's settlements, the more laborious process of proceeding after careful investigation from details to the aggregate was followed.-

69- I beg in this place to supply the information alluded to in Para 85 of the Board's Proceedings by mentioning that of the 24 Villages transferred to North Arcot, five Viz.t Pennumbuttoo, Calemputtoo, Poladavaukum and Palikal in the Conjeveram Taloot, and Poodoopolliem in Ootramaloor were considered by Mr. Freese to be correctly settled the remaining 19 were thought to be under-assessed.-

I would



Board's Proceedings Para 86-

Mr. Vivash's Beriz was increased by Pagodas 11143- or 11 per cent bringing it very nearly to the sum proposed by the Sir Sarumdars which induces a belief, that the Collector followed that standard- But it is added that the details do not correspond- the Collector took a conversion rate of 18 Marcals and the Sir Sarumdars 15, hence if the above surmise is right, the result should either have been lower or the difference must have been made good by alterations in the classification.-

70- I would beg to point out that the belief expressed by the Board is in opposition to Mr. Freese's report of his Proceedings in which he states (Para 14) that he ascertained by personal and by local enquiries the productive powers of the lands of each Village and judged from the result of this investigation what amendment was necessary in their rates of produce and assessment- The revision approximates in its total result to the amount of assessment proposed by the Sir Sarumdars, but this approximation is shewn to be accidental, by the result varying considerably in different Villages- The surmise of the Board regarding the necessity of extensive alterations in the classification to prevent the assessment being lowered would have been correct if there had been the supposed great difference in the conversion rate+ taken by Mr. Freese and the Sir Sarumdars but I beg to point out that the rate proposed by the Sir Sarumdars was not 15 Marcals throughout the district but varied from 15 to 20 the former rate prevailing only in a few Villages- A Memorandum of some Villages is annexed to shew that the Beriz proposed by the Sir Sarumdars was not followed as a guide- Mr. Freese's revision being in some instances below and in others above it.-

Villages

+ In Board's Proceedings P. 86- Mr. Freese's rate is stated as 18 and the Sir Sarumdars rate as 15 Marcals per Pagoda-

| No. | Villages.- | Sirtaramdar's Beriz | | Proposed Settlement | | Increase | | Decrease | | Per Centage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Pcs. | a/c | Pcs. | a/c | Pcs. | a/c | Pcs. | a/c | |
| 1 | Vuppany | 189 | 7 1/4 | 208 | 13 | 19 | 5 3/4 | " | " | 10 |
| 2 | Cadamungalum | 220 | 13 1/2 | 248 | 12 1/4 | 27 | 14 3/4 | " | " | 12 1/2 |
| 3 | Pottanavel | 118 | 3/8 | 127 | 5 1/4 | 9 | 4 7/8 | " | | 7 3/4 |
| 4 | Kooroombara | 132 | 3 | 161 | 5 1/4 | 29 | 2 1/4 | | | 22 |
| 5 | Ramanoojapooram | 288 | 3/8 | 311 | 15 7/8 | 23 | 15 1/2 | | | 8 1/4 |
| 6 | Nelleeoopum | 235 | 9 | 329 | 13 1/4 | 94 | 4 1/4 | | | 40 |
| 7 | Govindavaudy | 1201 | 6 3/8 | 1334 | 8 1/2 | 133 | 2 1/8 | | | 11 1/16 |
| 8 | Velroy | 96 | 1 | 103 | 15 7/8 | 7 | 14 7/8 | | | 8 1/4 |
| 9 | Olacalput | 195 | 8 | 236 | 3 5/8 | 40 | 11 7/8 | | | 20 13/16 |
| 10 | Valoor | 500 | 7 5/8 | 537 | 7 1/8 | 36 | 15 1/2 | " | " | 7 3/8 |
| 11 | Myoor | 1350 | 15 1/2 | 1283 | 7 7/8 | " | " | 67 | 7 5/8 | 5 |
| 12 | Carevepumpoondy | 483 | 2 5/8 | 425 | 2 3/4 | " | " | 57 | 15 7/8 | 12 |
| 13 | Maumbaukum | 150 | 5/8 | 131 | 6 7/8 | " | " | 18 | 9 3/4 | 12 1/4 |
| 14 | Dasericoopum | 112 | 5 5/8 | 95 | 8 5/8 | " | " | 16 | 13 | 15 |
| 15 | Vembadoo | 369 | 14 1/4 | 315 | 8 1/8 | " | " | 54 | 6 1/8 | 14 3/4 |
| 16 | Ravattanelloor | 363 | 3 3/8 | 316 | 8 1/8 | " | " | 46 | 11 1/4 | 12 13/16 |
| 17 | Mailottavaukum | 120 | 1/4 | 88 | 11 1/4 | " | " | 31 | 5 | 26 1/16 |
| 18 | Vichentongulu | 302 | 8 1/8 | 279 | 11 1/2 | " | " | 22 | 12 5/8 | 7 1/2 |
| 19 | Coorevemalay | 102 | 13 3/8 | 91 | 12 5/8 | " | " | 11 | 3/4 | 10 3/4 |



Board's Proceedings Para 86-

"It would have been satisfactory if the result of Mr. Cotton's examination had been stated, and the agreement or difference of the two revisions Compared."

71. The omission which the Board point out of the result of Mr. Cotton's examination being laid before them was occasioned solely by that Gentleman leaving the district before his operations were brought to a conclusion- He instituted enquiries into the rates of produce in each Village and prepared some statements of the rates of assessment, which these enquiries showed prima facie to be equitable. But these rates were not subjected to a full scrutiny nor were the ryots informed of them and called on to express their assent or objections Much remained to be considered and decided and it was therefore deemed unnecessary to make particular allusion to Mr. Cotton's Proceedings.-

Board's Proceedings Para 86-

"Explanation should have been afforded of the reasons which induced the Collector to propose a Beriz for the Madumbaukum Mootah of 41 Villages considerably less than the ryots themselves offered, and less also than the Collections of 1232 Fusly.- Surumdar by

72- Adverting to the Board's remarks that the proposed settlement of the Madumbaukum Mootah including Mr. Freese's additions is below the Beriz offered by the Ryots to the Sirtaramdar by Pagodas 236, I beg to explain the

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.-

the manner in which this supposition has arisen- In some of the Statements to Rent from the Ryots by the Sir Parumdars in 1232 and 1233 the produce was entered inclusive of Swatuntrums or the Grain fees of the Village Servants &c.a whilst other Statements were prepared exclusive of them; but as the headings of the former accounts did not show distinctly that the Swatuntrums were included in the produce they were adopted in preparing the Surveyaccounts in 1241 under Mr. Cotton's Superintendence- This error was brought to light by the Village ryots and Curnums during Mr. Freese's revision which was modified with reference to this circumstance and it will be found that when the Swatuntrum portion of the produce has been deducted, according to the invariable practice the result of the revised Settlement does not fall below the Ryots Beriz, but exceeds it in the Amount shewn in the Margin- The decrease which is apparent in the entire Mootah (Pag.108) on

| | Total of the Mootah comprizing 41 Villages- | |
|---|---|---|
| Ryots Beriz as per accounts erroneously prepared by including Swatuntrums | 5,070 | 9/8 |
| Do excluding Swatuntrum | 4538 | 7 3/4 |
| Mr. Freese's revised Settlement | 4834 | 6 7/8 |
| Increase | 295 | 14 7/8 |



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.-

on comparing the proposed Beriz with the Collections of Fusly 1232 arose from the peculiar circumstances of the Cusba Village. On this Village being rented in 1232 a dispute regarding the Merassy rights between the cultivators and the Managers of the Pagoda led to an excessive rent being offered. The few former instances in which the Collections approached a similar sum were in years when the Mootahdar disposed of his Mailwarum grain at prices far beyond the conversion rate, and I trust that the Statement in the Margin will satisfy the Board that Mr. Freese's Beriz has been proposed with due regard to the interest of Government.-

73. In reference to the remarks of the Board on the Village of Damurlah it appears proper to mention that

Memorandum of Cushah Wasumbaw Rum.-

| Fusly | Sheigal Cultivation.- | Beriz- | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Cawnies | Pagodas- | |
| 1210 | 334 | 422 | |
| 1211 | " | 570 | |
| 1232 | 322 | 810 | The Renewal Accounts make the Mailwarum Pags. 500 |

| | Pags. |
|---|---|
| Permanent Beriz | 471 |
| Beriz of Fusly 1210 | 422 |
| Average from 1212 to 1227 | 548 |
| Collection of Fusly 1228 | 464 |
| 1229 | 370 |
| 1230 | 508 |
| 1231 | 557 |
| Proposed Beriz of Sheigal land | 663 |

Board's Proceedings 87 to 90.-

In the Village of Damurla the Assessment has been increased on the 1st Class Punjah Land and confirmed on the 2d and 3d Class though a falling off in the

Cultivation

Cultivation of the former, and increase in that of the latter seem to show that a contrary remission was proper. The same observation applies to the Nanjey lands with the addition that the classification has been much altered that the rate for the 5th is higher than for the 4th That alterations have been improperly made in the assessment of double crop land.-

that the addition to the assessment of the 1st Class Punjah land is only 1/10 of a Pagoda, and equalises the rate with the assessment borne by similar lands in the adjacent Villages. The opinion that an excessive assessment has interfered with the cultivation of this land has apparently originated in attention being fixed on the cultivation of one year only (Fusly 1247) The ryots look almost entirely to the Nanjey land, and cultivate the Punjey only when the Season and their own leisure permit. The 1st Class Punjah land being situated near the Villages is retained in their hands, and the annexed Memorandum shews that its non cultivation in particular years is not owing to over assessment but to the ryots finding it their interest to leave land of this description occasionally fallow as otherwise considerable expense in manure is necessary.

| Memorandum- | C. | As. |
|---|---|---|
| Extent of 1st Class Punjey sheigal | 105 | 3 3/8 |
| Cultivated in Fusly 1238 | 61 | 7 7/8 |
| Ditto 1240 | 99 | 15 3/8 |
| Ditto 1244 | 82 | 13 1/4 |
| Ditto 1251 | 97 | 2 |
| Ditto 1252 | 74 | 10 |
| Ditto 1253 | 45 | 12 3/4 |

The



The 2d and 3d Class Punjey lands are remote from the Village, and are generally cultivated in alternate years, when the Seasons permit, by the inhabitants of the neighbouring Villages.-

74- The alterations in the Nanjey lands appear less considerable than supposed by the Board, and for explanation of the peculiar circumstances of this Village I beg to refer to Mr. Freese's letter under date the 15th September 1841. Out of the 86 Cawnies comprizing the 2d Class of Single Crop land, 73 Cawnies are situated in the bed of the Teroopagoody Tank, and 49 Cawnies of other Nanjey land are in the bed of the Damarlah Tank. These lands are liable to injury both from too much and too little water, according to the time and circumstances of the season, and as their produce is thus more exposed to injury than that of lands of a similar class whose irrigation is better regulated it appeared necessary to revise their assessment. The modified rates to which the ryots gave their assent,

are

| Particulars | | Classes | As per Mr. Vincash's Settlement. Extent of land | | Teerwa | | As per Mr. Freese's revised settlement. Extent of land | | Teerwa | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Cr. | as. | Pr. | as. | Cr. | as. | Pr. | as. |
| Nunjey Irrigated by Sun & and Spring Channel. | 2 Crops. | 1 | 54 | 11 7/8 | 5 | 4 | 54 | 11 7/8 | 6 | " |
| | | 2 | 49 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 49 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| | | 3 | 16 | 8 7/8 | 3 | 12 | 16 | 8 7/8 | 4 | 2 |
| | | 4 | 8 | 5/8 | 3 | " | 8 | 5/8 | 3 | 6 |
| | | | 128. | 6 3/8 | 4 | 10 1/8 | 128 | 6 3/8 | 5 | 4 7/8 |
| | 1 Crop. | 1 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 8 |
| | | 2 | 86 | 15 3/8 | 3 | " | 12 | 7/8 | 3 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | 18 | 15 1/4 | 2 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | 55 | 15 1/4 | 2 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | 86 | 15 3/8 | " | " |
| | | 3 | 104 | 3 7/8 | 2 | 8 | 104 | 3 7/8 | 2 | 12 |
| | | 4 | 83 | 4 3/8 | 2 | " | 83 | 4 3/8 | 2 | 4 |
| | | 5 | 101 | 11 3/8 | 1 | 10 | 101 | 11 3/8 | 2 | " |
| | | 6 | 161 | 10 3/4 | 1 | 8 | 161 | 10 3/4 | 1 | 12 |
| | | 7 | 184 | 10 3/4 | 1 | 6 | 184 | 10 3/4 | 1 | 10 |
| | | 8 | 148 | 8 1/8 | 1 | 2 | 148 | 8 1/8 | 1 | 6 |
| | | 9 | 105 | 10 7/8 | 1 | " | 105 | 10 7/8 | 1 | 4 |
| | | | 988 | 10 1/2 | 1 | 11 | 988 | 10 1/2 | 1 | 14 1/2 |
| | | | 1117 | 7/8 | 1 | 13 1/2 | 1117 | 7/8 | 2 | 4 5/8 |
| | Spring Channel 1 Crop. | 1 | 44 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | 33 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | 44 | 7 | " | " |
| | | 2 | 5 | 1/2 | 3 | " | 5 | 1/2 | 2 | 12 |
| | | 4 | 15 | 14 7/8 | 2 | " | 15 | 14 7/8 | 2 | 4 |
| | | 5 | 19 | 12 3/4 | 1 | 10 | 19 | 12 3/4 | 2 | " |
| | | | 85 | 3 1/8 | 2 | 12 1/8 | 85 | 3 1/8 | 2 | 6 |
| Total of Nunjey | | | 1202 | 4 | 2 | 1 1/8 | 1202 | 4 | 2 | 4 3/4 |

are shown in the Margin, and the mitigation of assessment from so much a peculiar and permanent cause seems distinct from an ordinary alteration in the classification of land. Regarding the rate for the 5th class land in this Village as being higher than the 4th it would appear that some mistake has occurred as the Hoozoor survey accounts show the rate to be Pagodas 2-4 for the 4th Class, and





and Pagodas 2 for the 5th On the objection offered to the assessment of the double crop land, I would submit that Mr. Freese has adhered to the rate of fixing the assessment for the 2nd crop at one half of the first but the best single crop land, and the best double crop land are not always equal either in soil, or in facility of irrigation and consequently their assessment does not always correspond - In this Village for instance the best double crop land has advantages both of soil and situation, it being close to the spring channel - it is therefore assessed at 4 Pagodas per Cawney, while the best single crop land bears a Teerwa of only 3 Pags 8 - On the other hand in the Chittoor Villages there is no double crop land of the 1st Class the classification being dependant on the soil as well as on the means of irrigation -

This

Board's Proceedings Para 90.

The Board remark that Mr. Freese's comparison of his rates with those in the Chittoor Villages is not complete. It being limited to the rates of assessment and not including the productive powers of the land the supply of water, the shares of the Government and Ryots, the commutation price.

75 This detailed comparison was noted omitted by Mr. Freese, but the result was not laid before the Board because the difference of system interfered with the result, and affected the value of the comparison. In the Chittoor Villages the system followed was to deduct the Swatuntram and Coodewarum from the gross produce at an uniform rate. Some Jaxy Merahs were added to the remaining produce which was converted into a money assessment at the rate of 17 Mercals per Pagoda and formed the Settlement. a few years subsequently the Jaxy Merahs



Merahs and a general remission of 12½ per cent were deducted, and the remainder has formed the Beriz since realized. In Damurlah the commutation price was 18 Mercals, and the Swatuntram and Coodewarum rates prevailing in the Village were followed. Consequently the rates of assessment actually collected were alone shewn in the comparison laid before the Board, but a comparative Statement containing as far as possible the desired information is now submitted.

Statement

Fort St. George

27th April 1846.

Conversion Paloose in Chinglepute

Cauvery pauk Paloose

in North Arcot

Particulars of

| Class | As per Mr Vincent's Settlement — Gross Produce | | | As per Mr Freese's revised Settlement — Deduct Assessment upon the Mailwarum | | Gross Produce | | Deduct Assessment upon the Mailwarum including Grammum and Cheroomy Merahs. | | Collection deducting Grammum Merahs and Remission at 12½ per Cent | | Gross Produce | | Deduct Assessment upon the Mailwarum including Grammum Merahs | | Collection deducting Grammum and Merah. and allowing Remission at 12½ per Cent. | | Gross Produce | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Ps | As | Cls | Ms | Ps | As | Cls | Ms | Ps | As | Ps | As | Cls | Ms | Ps | As | Ps | As | Cls | Ms | |
| Two Crops | 1 | 3 | 8 | 13 | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | 2 | 3 | " | 11 | " | 3 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 13 | | 13 | 4 | | | | | |
| | 3 | 2 | 8 | 9 | " | 2 | 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | 4 | 2 | " | 8 | " | 2 | 8 | 10 | " | 3 | 5 | 2 | 13½ | | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 8 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 5¼ | | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| One Crop | 1 | 3 | 8 | " | " | " | " | 15 | " | 5 | " | 4 | 4⅜ | | 15 | " | 5 | 1½ | 13 | 1 | The conversion rate in Chinglepute was 18 and in North Arcot 17 Mercals per Pagoda — |
| | 2 | 3 | " | 11 | " | 3 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 13 | | " | " | " | " | 11 | 8 | |
| | 3 | 2 | 8 | 9 | " | 2 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 5¼ | | 11 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 5¼ | Villages — |
| | 4 | 2 | " | 8 | " | 2 | 8 | 10 | " | 3 | 5 | 2 | 13½ | | 8 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 5⅜ | Damurla ... Irrigation Tank and Spring Channel |
| | 5 | 1 | 10 | 7 | " | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 5⅜ | | 5 | " | 1 | 11 | 1 | 7¼ | |
| | 6 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 2 | " | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 14⅜ | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 5 | " | 1 | 11 | 1 | 7¼ | | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | 1 | 2 | 5 | " | 1 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | " | 15½ | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | 1 | " | 4 | 6 | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10 | " | " | 4 | " | 1 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Damurla

Moosurvaukum

Peroomoolevaukum

Moottavaukum

| Particulars of Waurum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Percentage of Goodewarum | 37¼ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do of Merahs, Swatuntrum & co | 15⅞ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Do of Mailwarum | 46⅞ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Board's Proceedings Para 92

Explanation is described to be wanting for the alterations in the classification of land in the Village of Payanoor and it is observed that the standing order of the Board regarding double crop assessment has been infringed

76 In this Village the alterations are of a similar description to those in Dannulah 8 bawnies of Nunjey land situated in the bed of the Tank were found highly assessed as their produce was subject to injury, and they were reduced from Pagodas 3 8 to 2 2. On the other hand some first class double crop land consisting of 20 bawnies was found to have too low rates of produce and assessment assigned to it and it was therefore raised from Pags 3 1/12 to 4. The full rates for these two descriptions of land if cultivated with a 2nd crop would be respectively Pags 5 6. and Pags 6 according to the standard rule of assessing the 2nd crop at one half of the first and this explanation will I trust show that the orders of the Board have not been departed from.

Board's Proceedings Para 93.

It is observed that in Kedulgul some lands watered by Pookotahs in the 2d class have been reduced to the 3d while other fields watered by the hand from the Tank is retained as 1st class and its Teerwa raised.

77. The lands of which the assessment has been reduced in this Village are also situated in the bed of the



the Tank and this circumstance together with the labour of raising water for them by Pickotahs in the dry months made it appear expedient to comply with the application of the Cultivator and allow a small reduction. The 1st class single crop land, which has been retained in its original classification its Teerwa being raised to the new rate though the land is watered by the hand consists of a single field of 1½ bawnie, which is watered without difficulty as a channel runs by its side.

78. Mr Freese's revision was conducted on the above principle of enquiring into the details of all the lands and although he considered it proper to confine his report to the net result of his alterations in each Village the record of his proceedings shews the causes which led to the different detailed alterations. It will be observed that the measurement and in general the arrangement of the classes of land remained undisturbed, but in remodelling the assessment according to the capabilities of the soil some lands were transferred from one class to another. In a few instances enquiry shewed that it was necessary to lower the assessment, but

the

Fort St George 27th April 1846

the result of Mr Freese's labours has been a net increase of Pagodas 1143 making an addition of 10 per cent to Mr Livesay's settlement. In following the system which he pursued of commencing with a detailed scrutiny of the lands and thus proceeding to the aggregate of a Village Mr Freese evidently thought that he was carrying into effect the orders of the Board, and that no other course was open to him, but the remarks which I have felt it my duty to offer on this point regarding Mr Maclean's proceedings render it unnecessary for me to pursue the subject here.

Board's Proceedings Para 95 to 99.

The Board remark on the revision of Mr Smalley's Settlement by Mr Freese noticing particularly two Villages Kuttum careacherry and Coonnavaukum. It is then remarked "no orders had been given to revise Mr Smalley's Settlement because it has only been lately discovered that it had not been brought into operation as sanctioned by Government. The Collector however being aware of the fact did revise it."

79. Before alluding to the manner in which Mr Freese conducted the revision of Mr Smalley's Settlement, I beg to observe that it was undertaken in conformity with the orders which the Board gave on the 19th May 1828, and which were repeated



Fort St George 27th April 1846.

repeated by Government on the 25th October 1839. These orders were issued for the purpose of making the settlement throughout the Jaghire final and uniform on Mr Maclean noticing in his Concluding report that Mr Smalley's settlement had not been carried correctly into operation. In conducting this revision Mr Freese limited himself to a scrutiny of the rates which have been actually in operation during the past 20 years making occasional reductions and additions to assimilate them to those which prevail in other parts of the District. These modifications extended only to 38 of the 141 Villages settled by Mr Smalley and the result is as follows.

| | |
|---|---|
| Aggregate settlement proposed by Mr Smalley omitting intended remissions | Pagodas 18954 |
| Berij in force during the past 20 years. | 19855 |
| Revised settlement proposed by Mr Freese | 19669 |

80. Regarding the two Villages more particularly noticed by the Board I beg to explain that in Kuttamcareacherry Mr Freese thought the assessment on the 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th classes of Nunjay land too low, as they were irrigated by a large Tank holding

holding 5 months supply of water and he corrected the classification and rates as shown in the Margin. In Coonnavankam on the other hand the assessment appeared too high on 18 Cawnies of land lying in the bed of the Chinglepet Tank and watered by Pickotahs. To ensure their more regular cultivation the assessment was consequently reduced from Pagodas 3/16 to 2/2 per Cawney.

| Class | Former assessment Ps | Fs | Proposed revised assessment Ps | Fs |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 11 | | |
| 7 | 0 | 9 | | |

81. Having thus brought my detailed observations to a close I beg to offer a few general remarks, and then to conclude by a brief allusion to two measures which the Board think it would be advisable to adopt pending the final decision of Government regarding the Survey.

82 It will be observed that as I felt myself neither called upon nor authorized to give an opinion regarding the principles on which the Survey settlement has been framed



framed my object in this address has been limited to explaining supposed instances of error and to showing that the course commenced by Mr Smalley in the Chinglepet Talook and approved by the Board and the Government has been followed by Mr. Maclean in the portion of the District assessed by him and by Mr Freese in the revision of Mr Smalley's and Mr Wiseash's settlement. The records show that Messrs. Maclean and Freese devoted great attention to the Survey and it appears to me that a detailed field assessment has been thus completed possessing as much uniformity as is perhaps attainable in so extensive a work and meriting sanction and approval on the same grounds as the original settlement of the Chinglepet Talook a comparison has already been exhibited in this report between Mr Smalley's and Mr. Maclean's settlements when tested by former Collections and standard assessments and I now annexe a.

Statements of the Districts Surveyed by Mr. Maclean.—

| Fusly | Sirkar Collections | | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | a. | p. | |
| Fusly 1232 | 427361 | " | 9 | Under former system |
| Highest Collection between 1234 and 1248 | 484878 | 4 | 8 | |
| Average of above 14 years | 320348 | 7 | " | |
| Fusly 1249 | 452930 | 10 | 5 | Since introduction of Mr. Maclean's assessment. |
| 1250 | 436824 | 2 | 6 | |
| 1251 | 458828 | 2 | " | |
| 1252 | 394769 | 15 | 2 | |
| 1253 | 443864 | 11 | 2 | |
| 1254 | 437025 | 13 | 4 | |
| Annual average | 437190 | 8 | " | |
| Increase in annual average | 116842 | 2 | 1 | |

a Memorandum to show that the practical Result of Mr. Maclean's assessment has been highly advantageous to the Government in raising the revenue from its previous depressed state.

83. In the Course of this address it has been my duty to express an opinion that the proposed settlement is not opposed to the interests of Government on the grounds of its being too light, an opinion which I have been led to entertain from Considering the large portion of the gross produce which is taken as the Government share (Mailwarum) together with the price at which it is converted into a money payment, and from observing that Cultivation has not yet



yet reached the height which it attained in former years. At the time I would wish to remark that this observation has not been made for the purpose of arguing in favor of an immediate reduction of the rates, but to show that danger would not arise from Mr. Maclean's assessment being proclaimed as the fixed Government demand. At present it has not been sufficiently long in force to distinguish its effect on Cultivation from those which may have arisen from incidental circumstances of seasons and prices, nor has it been fairly tried, as the Cultivators have been discouraged from agricultural enterprize by being warned that the rates are not confirmed. It seems to me therefore advisable that the assessment should have a longer and more favorable trial, when it might be expected that the tendency to improvement hitherto apparent would become more extensive and rapid. But if the settlement did not stand this test and cultivation failed to attain its proper level, relief might then be afforded and the prosperity of the District advanced by the assessment being modified at an uniform rate.

It

84. It is proper for me to leave without further remark for the consideration of the Board and the Government the question whether the present survey including the revision of Mr Smalley's and Mr Vivash's settlements is to be adopted. But if it is considered from late general experience that a settlement formed on other principles or a different apportionment of the produce would be more conducive to the interests of the District it appears to me that the necessary statistical information is ready and I therefore trust that the labour and expense with which the present survey has been completed will not under any circumstances have been in vain. The Board remark (Para 107) that they have no proof of the accuracy of the field measurement, but on this point I beg to refer to Mr Maclean's Report on the Manemungalum Talook where he describes the precautions which were taken to ascertain the Correctness of the measurement, which has now been further tested by the practical experience of many years during which it has been Constantly referred

Para 16

for



for the protection of public interests and the decision of private disputes. Equal care was bestowed in ascertaining the rates of produce on the different lands by minute investigation and reference to all sources of information.

85. I now request permission to offer a few observations on the two measures which the Board recommend should be adopted pending the final decision of Government regarding the Survey the 1st is that a Proclamation be issued notifying that the present rates are objectionable and cannot be Confirmed, and the 2nd that a temporary increase of 11 3/8 per cent be made to the present assessment in the Talooks of Peddapolleum and Nayer and the 260 lapsed Villages.

86. Regarding the 1st proposal I would submit that the proclamation is unnecessary as a notice is inserted in the Puttahs annually given to the Ryots except in the Chinglepet Talook, warning them that the Survey rates are not to be considered the fixed maximum demand until they have been expressly sanctioned by the Government. On other

grounds

grounds the Proclamation would, I fear, be prejudicial to the public interests by rendering the present realization of the revenue difficult and creating a feeling of distrust on the part of the land holders which would oppose serious obstacles to any future settlement. I beg to point out that the assent of the land holders was obtained with difficulty to the change from the ancient system to a Money payment for though they benefitted by gaining an unrestricted power of reaping and selling their Crops, the influential Ryots lost opportunities of lightening their taxation by fraudulent collusion with the district servants, and all became more exposed to distress and difficulty in the event of unfavorable seasons and prices. Their assent to the change was consequently given with reluctance and they sought in frequent instances to impede the progress of the settlement by Complaints against the Collectors who has had inconsequence to enter into explanatory Statements of the justice of his assessment. These proceedings as well as the general progress of the Survey have been closely watched and the

cultivators

†See Boards present Proceedings Para 10.



cultivators who are so deeply intereoted in the subject cannot have failed to remark the attention which was given to Mr. Macleans Survey during its progress, and the general approval which has been shown towards it first by Mr. Macleans Services being retained to complete the settlement after his appointment to the Board, and subsequently by his Settlement being introduced and remaining in practical operation with the sanction of the Board during the last 7 years. If therefore a proclamation was now issued that the rates are objectionable and it would be impossible to Conceal that the objection arises from a supposed under assessment, not only would Confidence be shaken in the future measures of Government, but alarm would be felt and a disinclination be probably shown to continue the present money payment.

87. I would beg equally to deprecate the second measure or the proposal to raise temporarily the Survey Beriz in the Talooks of Nayer and Peddapollium and in the 260 lapsed Villages by 11 3/8 per Cent in order that their settlement may exceed the Beriz of 1732 in the same ratio as the settlement of the Manemungalum Talook does. I have already pointed out (Para 17th) peculiar circumstances under which the settlement of Manemungalum exceeded the Beriz of

of 1232 by the correction of errors which en
that Talook entailed undue loss on the
Government during the renting Systems
These circumstances do not apply to the
other divisions and I am strongly impressed
with an opinion that their settlement is as
high as is Consistent with justice and
policy, and that in Peddapollium and
Nayer more especially where the land is very
inferior its enhancement would be impracti=
=cable —

88. The Board observe that by the
proposed addition the Beriz will be raised
in the same ratio as the settlement of Mr
Vieaish was increased by Mr Freese, and
that the total demand will thus corres=
=pond nearly with the Beriz proposed by
the Sir Tarumdars, but I beg to observe that
the parallel does not hold good. The Amount
of Mr Maclean's Assessment would have
exceeded that of the Sir Tarumdars had he
followed their conversion prices, but when
Mr Maclean took an uniform conversion
rate of 18 Mercals per Pagoda, because
this rate had been previously adopted in
the Survey and agreed with the fair
average of former Years, he made a
virtual



Memo
It will be observed that the modification which Mr Smalley previously thought ne=cessary in the Sir Tarum=dars assess=ment of the Chingle=put Talook varied from 2 to 15 & average 10 per Cent.

virtual reduction of 11 per Cent, and yet his
assessment did not fall below that of these
Sir Tarumdars by more than 6 per Cent On
the other hand in the Districts settled
by Mr Vieaish there was only a differ=
=ence of 5½ per Cent in the Conversion price
proposed by the Sir Tarumdars and that
finally adopted by the Collector Consequently
when in the revision of the settlement
Mr Freese raised the rates of produce
and assessment the total demand was brought
nearly to a par with the Sir Tarumdars
Beriz. That the same addition cannot be
made with propriety to Mr Macleans
settlement will be more clearly seen
from the subjoined Statement which shows
that his settlement exceeds the ancient
Berizes, and the average Collections of for=
=mer Years while Mr Vieaish's falls below
them — I beg to add that although Mr Freese's
revision rested on the just ground of
equalising the assessment throughout the
District it met with much opposition
instead of being made with little difficulty
as supposed by the Board and the addi=
=tional assessment is still unen=
=forced —

Ancient

5958

Fort St George

Mr Maclean's

Ancient Berizes

27th April 1816.

| | | Beriz | | Increase | | Mr Viveash's Settlement | | | | | | Decrease | | Per centage | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Beriz | | Increase | | Decrease | | | | | |
| | | Pds | As | Pds | As | Pds | As | Pds | As | Pds | As | Pds | As | Pds | As |
| 1 | Hamiel Beriz | 137306 | 9 3/8 | 12912 | 10 1/2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Fusly 1205 | 148387 | 1 1/4 | 1881 | 15 5/8 | 161093 | 7 3/4 | " | " | 60625 | 10 | 37 | 10 | 9 | 6 5/8 |
| 3 | " 1206 | 106812 | 13 3/4 | " | " | 106126 | 8 3/8 | " | " | 5958 | 10 5/8 | 5 | 13 3/4 | 1 | 3 3/4 |
| 4 | " 1207 | 130770 | 15 5/8 | 19448 | 6 1/4 | 130373 | 10 1/2 | " | " | 29905 | 12 3/4 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 15 3/8 |
| 5 | Average of 3 Years | 147948 | 11 1/8 | 2270 | 8 3/4 | 99580 | 12 3/4 | 887 | 1 | " | " | " | 14 1/4 | 14 | 14 1/4 |
| 6 | Fusly 1208 | 98060 | 10 1/8 | 52158 | 9 3/4 | 113652 | 6 5/8 | " | " | 13186 | 8 7/8 | 11 | 9 1/2 | 1 | 8 1/2 |
| 7 | " 1209 | 122650 | 15 1/2 | 27588 | 4 3/8 | 87002 | 9 1/2 | 13465 | 4 1/4 | " | " | 15 | 8 | 3 | 53 |
| 8 | " 1210 | 115076 | 2 1/8 | 5143 | 13 1/4 | 111082 | 10 7/8 | " | " | 10614 | 12 3/8 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 22 |
| 9 | " 1211 | 143495 | 10 3/4 | 6723 | 9 1/8 | 126799 | 11 7/8 | " | " | 26331 | 14 1/8 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| 10 | Moodah Beriz | 147813 | 11 7/8 | 2205 | 5 | 127257 | 2 3/4 | " | " | 26789 | 5 | 21 | 3/4 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | Permanent Beriz | 120303 | 13 1/2 | 29915 | 6 3/8 | 125989 | 11 5/8 | " | " | 25521 | 13 7/8 | 20 | 4 | 10 | 1 |
| 12 | Actual Produce of 23 Years | 129575 | 8 7/8 | 20643 | 11 | 107296 | 7 3/8 | " | " | 6828 | 9 5/8 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 21 |
| 13 | Collections of Do | 134830 | 15 1/4 | 15388 | 4 5/8 | 92116 | 9 1/8 | 8351 | 4 5/8 | " | " | 9 | 1 | 6 | 15 3/4 |
| 14 | Linear Collections of Do | 119948 | 13 1/4 | 30271 | 2 1/8 | 99267 | 12 7/8 | 1200 | 7 1/8 | " | " | 1 | 5 1/4 | 1 | 6 3/8 |
| 15 | Actual Produce of 1232 | 144325 | 14 | 5893 | 5 7/8 | 101401 | 5 3/8 | " | " | 933 | 7 5/8 | " | 15 | " | 11 3/4 |
| 16 | Collections of Do | 148779 | 11 5/8 | 1439 | 8 1/4 | 98957 | 7 7/8 | 1510 | 5 7/8 | " | " | 1 | 8 1/4 | 1 | 1 1/8 |
| 17 | Sir Tarumdars proposed Beriz | 160328 | 3 | " | " | 106642 | 2 | " | " | 6174 | 4 1/4 | 5 | 12 1/2 | 4 | 15 3/8 |
| 18 | Ryots proposal | 144798 | 4 1/4 | 5420 | 15 5/8 | 112421 | 1 7/8 | " | " | 11953 | 4 1/8 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 4 7/8 |
| 19 | Proposed Settlement | 150219 | 3 7/8 | " | " | 97410 | 9 1/8 | 3057 | 4 5/8 | " | " | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 5/8 |
| | | | | | | 100467 | 13 1/4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

Jn

89. In conclusion it only remains for me to allude to the regret which the Board expres (Para 111) "at the unsatisfactory Comp=letion of this Survey, a measure under=taken with the most benevolent intentions and dictated by the wisest views, and which has Cost from first to last (a period exceed=ing 20 years) not much less than 1½ lac of Rupees"

90. It has been my endeavour in this report to show that Mr Maclean and Mr Freese have followed the Course which Mr Smalley was instructed to pursue and that inconsequence the settlement of the entire District has been completed on the same principles which were approved and sanc=tioned in the Talook of Chinglepet. The question of the adoption of this Survey & settlement is for the decision of the Board and Government, but even if it is now deemed advisable to introduce a differ=ent System I would submit that the ex=pense of the Survey and the labours of the Servants of Government employed in its Completion have not been in vain since a valuable mass of Statistical



Statistical information, the want of which was seri=ously felt has been Collected, and the Gaverument has received a large increase of revenue

91. In confirma=tion of the latter point I beg to re=fer to the Statement in the Margin which shows that since the abolition of the Amany and the renting Systems by the introduction of Mr Macleans Settlement in fusly 1219 the average Collections have exceeded those of the preceding 14 years by more than two lacs of Rupees annually notwithstanding a disadvantageous prevalence

| Particulars | Demand on account of land Revenue including Mottarpha & Sundry farms Pagodas | Fs. | Actual Collections Pagodas | Fs. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Average of 10 years from fusly 1212 to 1221 | 318621 | 5 3/4 | 309042 | 7 |
| Dº of 10 Dº from fusly 1222 to 1231 | 302039 | 7/8 | 289262 | 8 3/4 |
| Fusly 1232 | 317147 | 6 3/4 | 312824 | 12 1/4 |
| 1234 | 271220 | 11 1/4 | 261662 | 14 1/2 |
| 1235 | 257011 | 2 3/8 | 252847 | 10 1/2 |
| 1236 | 267717 | 1 1/8 | 259881 | 8 1/2 |
| 1237 | 243436 | 7 3/8 | 240787 | 6 3/4 |
| 1238 | 254966 | 4 1/8 | 253194 | 27/8 |
| 1239 | 240575 | 3 | 237391 | 7/8 |
| 1240 | 236757 | 10 3/8 | 235180 | 12 |
| 1241 | 269535 | 11 3/8 | 266480 | 11 3/4 |
| 1243 | 235771 | 13 7/8 | 230384 | 5 3/8 |
| 1244 | 244882 | 10 | 242623 | 10 5/8 |
| 1245 | 256479 | 6 1/8 | 254029 | 12 |
| 1246 | 270577 | 4 3/4 | 269466 | 15 3/8 |
| 1247 | 286481 | 7 3/4 | 285487 | 8 |
| 1248 | 267528 | 8 3/4 | 266530 | 11 1/2 |
| Total of above 14 years | 3602941 | 6 1/4 | 3555949 | 25/8 |
| Average | 257352 | 15 3/8 | 253996 | 5 7/8 |
| Fusly 1249 | 327723 | 2 5/8 | 326619 | 10 5/8 |
| 1250 | 313522 | 11 | 311099 | 7 1/4 |
| 1251 | 328164 | 10 5/8 | 321974 | 6 |
| 1252 | 293557 | 6 7/8 | 292116 | " |
| 1253 | 318654 | 11 1/4 | 316916 | 9 |
| 1254 | 313819 | 3/8 | 313012 | 10 1/8 |
| Total of 6 years | 1895441 | 13 1/2 | 1881738 | 11 |
| Average | 315906 | 15 1/2 | 313623 | 1 3/4 |
| Increase above the preceding average or in Rupees | 58354 | 1/8 | 59626 | 11 7/8 |
| | 204939 | As 6 - 5 | 208639 | As 7 |

prevalence of low prices. It will be further observed that the revenues have recovered from their depression so as now to surpass also the higher averages of the preceding 20 Years from 1212 to 1232, and that they exceed the sum of Pagodas 3,000,00 to which Mr. Smalley limited his anticipations. The result has been attained without the full Cultivation of the Sheegal land, and while there remains a large extent of arable waste Laikh Bunjer which has been under occasional tillage, besides the immemorial waste (Anady Bunjer) or land which though still unreclaimed is fit for cultivation. It is therefore to be anticipated that except under the casualties of unfavorable seasons and prices the Revenues will still further improve when agricultural industry is encouraged by the assessment being confirmed and the District being placed on a more settled footing.

Statement of wet and Dry land belonging to the Sheegal and Laikh Bunjer of the Survey accounts left uncultivated in 1244, and not included in the settlement.

| Description of land | Extent in Cawnies | Assessment | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cz | As | Rs | As | Ps |
| Wet | 60279 | 7 5/8 | 325772 | 11 | 2 |
| Dry | 60932 | 1/8 | 127635 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 121211 | | 453407 | 13 | 2 |

Laikh Bunjer or land fit for cultivation but left out of settlement and included in Anady Bunjer.

Chinglepet District } (Signed) D. Maltby
Collector's Cutcherry } Collector
Conjeveram 20th April 1846

Abstract



Abstract of Report.

| Paragraphs | Subject. |
|---|---|
| 1 to 10. | Observations on the process adopted by Mr. Maclean in fixing the field assessment for the purpose of showing that Mr. Maclean in proceeding from the details of fields to the aggregate of a Village instead of first proposing a gross Beriz acted according to the instructions of the Board of Revenue and followed the same Course as Mr. Smalley whose settlement of the Chinglepet Talook had been approved and sanctioned. |
| 11 to 22. | Comparisons are shewn with explanatory remarks, between Mr. Smalley's and Mr. Maclean's settlements on the one side and 1st The Permanent (or Zemindaree) Beriz 2d The Beriz of fusly 1210. 3d The Beriz of fusly 1232, the cultivation of which year formed the basis of Mr. Maclean's settlement. |
| 23 to 31. | Observations on the Revenues realized under the Survey settlement, showing that if the Collections do not equal the proposed settlement, and the standard of fusly 1210, the same result has occurred Mr. Smalley's Talook and arises not from an undue lightness of |

of

Paragraphs

Subject.

of Assessment but a decrease of Cultivation. It is added that Cultivation has shown a tendency to increase since the practical introduction of Mr. Maclean's Settlement and might be expected to increase more rapidly if encouraged by the Assessment being permanently settled.—

32 to 65 Explanatory observations on the particular Villages and Mootahs noticed by the Board in Mr. Maclean's settlement as instances of erroneous Assessment. In the Course of these remarks the following subjects are adverted to.

1. Observations are offered to shew that Mr. Maclean's Assessment was framed on the Capabilities of the different lands, and not by assuming a standard for a Village and distributing the amount on the fields (Paras 39 to 43)

2. That the alterations made in the Classification of the Sir Tarundars was to correct error and not to cover any deficiency in the Assessment (Paras 45 to 49)—

3. That the principle always followed in the survey of adopting the Ancient Village rates for dividing the produce into the Cultivators share, the Government share &c. has made it appear that the land has been devided into more Classes than is the Case (Paras 51 to 52)—

The

D.G.



Paragraphs. Subject.

4. The mode in which the measurement of the land was tested (Paras 59 and 60) and again in (Para 84).—

5. The selection of the Cultivation of Fusly 1232 as the basis of the Survey (Para 61).—

6. The irregularity of the rates of difference between the classes into which the land is divided (Para 62).—

66 to 67. Observations to shew that as the Circumstances of the rented Villages comprized in the two Settlements are not similar, the reasons which led to Mr. Vincash's Settlement being revised and increased do not apply to Mr. Maclean's.—

68 to 78 Observations on the Revision of Mr. Vincash's settlement by Mr. Freese, with explanation regarding the particular Villages noticed by the Board.—

79 to 81. Observations on the revision of Mr. Smalley's Settlement by Mr. Freese.—

82 to 91. Concluding remarks. Observing that this report has not entered on the principle of the survey Assessment, but is limited to explaining supposed instances of error, and to shewing that the course approved in the Chinglepet Talook has been followed by Mr. Maclean. Allusion is made to two measures proposed by the Board pending the decision of Government regarding the survey and the opinion of the Acting Collector is expressed that Mr. Maclean's Settlement and that of Mr. Vincash's as revised by Mr. Freese merit confirmation equally with the Assessment of the Chinglepet Talook. It is added that the introduction of Mr. Maclean's Assessment has added considerably to the Public Revenue, and that a further increase may be anticipated if it is allowed a longer trial and if Cultivation is encouraged by its being proclaimed the fixed maximum demand.

(Signed) E. Mattby
Actg Coll.r

Statement.

# Fort St. George

27th April 1846.

…uation and Revenue of the Manemungalum Vcy. Talooks Settled by Mr. Maclean from fusly 1249 the year in which that Settlement was introduced to 1254 Vijillah — Shinglepat.

| | Manemungalum Talook 178 Villages. | | | | Nagur Talook 192 Villages. | | | | Peddapollium Talook 122 Villages. | | | | 256 lapsed Villages. | | | | Total Villages 748. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | …tent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey Punjey and Ready money Collections. Amount including remissions. | | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey Punjey and Ready money Collections. Amount including remissions. | | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey Punjey and Ready money Collections. Amount including remissions. | | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey Punjey and Ready money Collections. Amount including remissions. | | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey Punjey and Ready money Collections. Amount including remissions. | | Net Settlement deducting remissions. |
| | 2 | 3 | | 4 | 5 | 6 | | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 10 | 11. | 12 | | 13 | 14 | 15 | | 16 |
| | Bl. | at. | Pags. | at. | Pags. | at. | Bl. | at. | Pags. | at. | Pags. | at. | Bl. | at. | Pags. | at. | Pags. | at. | Bl. | at. | Pags. | at. | Pags. | at. |
| | 364 | 13 5/4 | 41349 | 8 1/2 | 41349 | 8 1/2 | 19760 | 8 1/2 | 40277 | 13 5/8 | 40277 | 13 5/8 | 11813 | 10 1/2 | 25318 | 15 | 25318 | 15 | 14814 | 9 | 39597 | 2 3/4 | 39597 | 2 3/4 |
| | " | " | 34363 | 11 | 34363 | 11 | " | " | 32792 | 14 1/4 | 32792 | 14 1/4 | " | " | 20577 | 11 7/8 | 20577 | 11 7/8 | " | " | 32136 | 13 3/4 | 32136 | 13 3/4 |
| | 007 | 3 1/4 | 34129 | 9 3/4 | 34129 | 9 3/4 | 18999 | 1 | 39619 | 13 1/2 | 39619 | 13 1/2 | 13452 | 8 1/2 | 26938 | 13 1/8 | 26938 | 13 1/8 | 17940 | 5 1/4 | 47041 | 10 | 47041 | 10 |
| | 07 | 3 1/4 | 37894 | 14 7/8 | 37894 | 14 7/8 | 18999 | 1 | 38081 | 9 3/8 | 38081 | 9 3/8 | 13452 | 8 1/8 | 26849 | 10 3/8 | 26849 | 10 3/8 | 17940 | 5 1/4 | 46296 | 13 1/8 | 46296 | 1 3/8 |
| | 43 | 11 | 36600 | 2 7/8 | 34783 | 13 7/8 | 17239 | 5/8 | 36531 | 5/8 | 33875 | 6 7/8 | 12000 | 8 1/2 | 23861 | 7 5/8 | 22837 | 8 1/2 | 13889 | 3 1/8 | 39678 | 1/2 | 37911 | 14 7/8 |
| | 56 | 11 1/2 | 33620 | 1/2 | 31589 | 3 1/2 | 17106 | 6 3/8 | 35377 | 13 3/4 | 33736 | 6 1/2 | 13125 | 9 3/4 | 24534 | 6 | 23427 | 14 1/8 | 14016 | 8 5/8 | 37829 | 9 1/8 | 35967 | 10 7/8 |
| | 43 | 13 3/8 | 34265 | 11 5/8 | 32655 | 2 3/4 | 18163 | 7 3/8 | 36485 | 13 1/2 | 34445 | 10 3/4 | 14420 | 3/8 | 26833 | 6 7/8 | 25333 | 1 1/2 | 15037 | 1 3/4 | 40542 | 5 1/2 | 38659 | 13 |
| | 4 | 2 5/8 | 29589 | 3 1/8 | 27405 | 2 | 16009 | 1/8 | 32218 | 9 7/8 | 30326 | 14 1/8 | 13382 | 5/8 | 24064 | 1/4 | 21698 | 13 7/8 | 13515 | 5 3/8 | 34677 | 13 3/4 | 33360 | 8 5/8 |
| | 41 | 2 1/4 | 32868 | 9 7/8 | 31465 | 13 | 16910 | 3/8 | 34520 | 3/8 | 33214 | 15 1/8 | 13366 | 8 3/4 | 25592 | 3 5/8 | 24388 | 13 1/4 | 14259 | 8 3/4 | 38862 | 8 3/4 | 37520 | 5 1/4 |
| | 4 | 12 1/4 | 33965 | 6 3/8 | 32661 | 11 1/8 | 16078 | 4 1/4 | 33105 | 13 3/4 | 32052 | 7 1/8 | 11757 | 3 1/4 | 24096 | 8 | 22788 | 6 5/8 | 13023 | 3 1/4 | 38841 | 7 1/4 | 37361 | 15 1/2 |
| | 4 | 5. | 200899 | 1 5/8 | 190560 | 14 1/4 | 10506 | 3 1/8 | 208239 | 3 7/8 | 197651 | 12 1/2 | 78051 | 15 1/4 | 148982 | 3/8 | 140474 | 9 7/8 | 83740 | 15 5/8 | 230431 | 12 7/8 | 220782 | 4 1/8 |
| | 9 | 3/4 | 33483 | 2 3/4 | 31760 | 2 1/4 | 16917 | 11 1/8 | 34706 | 8 3/4 | 32941 | 15 1/2 | 13008 | 10 1/2 | 24830 | 5 3/8 | 23412 | 6 7/8 | 13956 | 13 1/4 | 38405 | 4 5/8 | 36797 | 1/2 |

(Signed) E. Maltby
Asst Collector

tors Cutcherry Conjeveram 20th April 1846. Errors Excepted. Statement.

3965

Fort St. George

# A. Statement Shewing the Cultivation and Revenue of the Manemungalum &c.a Talooks Settled by Mr. Maclean from fusly

| Particulars. | Manemungalum Talook 148 Villages. | | | | Nager Talook 192 Villages. | | | | Peddapollium Talook 122 Villages. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey, Punjey and Ready Money Collections. Amount including remissions. | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey, Punjey and Ready Money Collections. Amount including remissions. | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | | Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey, Punjey and Ready Money Collections. Amount including remissions. | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| | Bs. a. | Pags. a. | Pags. a. | | Bs. a. | Pags. a. | Pags. a. | | Bs. a. | Pags. a. | Pags. a. | |
| Fusly 1240 | 17,864 13 3/4 | 41,349 3 1/2 | 41,349 | 8 1/2 | 19,760 8 1/2 | 40,277 13 5/8 | 40,277 | 13 5/8 | 11,813 10 1/2 | 25,318 15 | 25,318 | 15 |
| Permanent Beriz | " " | 34,363 11 | 34,363 | 11 | " " | 32,792 14 1/4 | 32,792 | 14 1/4 | " " | 20,577 11 7/8 | 20,577 | 11 7/8 |
| Collection of Fusly 1232 | 16,007 3 1/4 | 34,129 9 3/4 | 34,129 | 9 3/4 | 18,999 1 | 39,619 13 1/2 | 39,619 | 13 1/2 | 13,452 8 1/2 | 26,938 13 1/8 | 26,938 | 13 1/8 |
| Beriz as per Survey Settlement | 16,007 3 1/4 | 37,894 14 7/8 | 37,894 | 14 7/8 | 18,999 1 | 38,081 9 3/8 | 38,081 | 9 3/8 | 13,452 8 1/8 | 26,849 10 3/8 | 26,849 | 10 3/8 |
| Fusly 1249 | 14,443 11 | 36,600 2 1/8 | 34,793 | 13 7/8 | 17,239 5/8 | 36,531 5/8 | 33,845 | 6 7/8 | 12,000 8 1/2 | 23,861 7 5/8 | 22,834 | 8 1/2 |
| " 1250 | 13,756 11 1/2 | 33,620 1/2 | 31,589 | 3 1/2 | 17,106 6 3/8 | 35,377 13 3/4 | 33,736 | 6 1/2 | 13,125 9 3/4 | 24,534 6 | 23,427 | 14 1/8 |
| " 1251 | 13,943 13 3/8 | 34,255 11 5/8 | 32,655 | 2 3/4 | 18,163 7 3/8 | 36,485 13 1/2 | 34,445 | 10 3/4 | 14,420 3/8 | 26,833 6 7/8 | 25,333 | 1 1/2 |
| " 1252 | 12,144 2 5/8 | 29,589 3 1/8 | 27,405 | 2 | 16,009 1/8 | 32,218 9 7/8 | 30,326 | 14 1/8 | 13,382 5/8 | 24,064 1/4 | 21,698 | 13 7/8 |
| " 1253 | 12,941 2 1/4 | 32,868 9 7/8 | 31,465 | 13 | 16,910 3/8 | 34,520 3/8 | 33,214 | 15 1/8 | 13,366 8 3/4 | 25,592 3 5/8 | 24,388 | 13 1/4 |
| " 1254 | 13,824 12 1/4 | 33,965 6 3/8 | 32,661 | 11 1/8 | 16,078 4 1/4 | 33,105 13 3/4 | 32,052 | 7 1/8 | 11,757 3 1/4 | 24,096 8 | 22,788 | 6 5/8 |
| Total of 6 years | 81,054 5 | 200,899 1 5/8 | 190,560 | 14 1/4 | 101,506 3 1/8 | 208,239 3 7/8 | 197,651 | 12 1/2 | 78,051 15 1/4 | 148,982 3/8 | 140,474 | 9 7/8 |
| Average per year | 15,509 3/4 | 33,483 2 3/4 | 31,760 | 2 1/4 | 16,917 11/8 | 34,706 8 3/4 | 32,941 | 15 1/2 | 13,008 10 1/2 | 24,830 5 3/8 | 23,412 | 6 7/8 |

Chingleput District, Collectors Cutcherry Conjeveram 20th April 1846. Errors Excepted.

Pres.d

27th April

1249 the year in

256 lapsed

| Extent of Nunjey Land. | Beriz including Nunjey, Punjey and Ready Money Collections. Amount including remissions. | Net Settlement deducting remissions. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 11 | 9 | 10 | |
| Bs. a. | Pags. a. | Pags. a. | |
| 14,814 9 | 39, | | |
| " " | 32, | | |
| 17,940 5/4 | 4[illegible] | | |
| 17,940 5/4 | 4[illegible] | | |
| 13,889 3/8 | 39, | | |
| 14,016 8 5/8 | 37, | | |
| 15,037 1 3/4 | 40, | | |
| 13,515 5 3/8 | 34, | | |
| 14,259 8 3/4 | 38, | | |
| 13,023 3 3/4 | 38, | | |
| 83,740 15 5/8 | 23[illegible] | | |
| 13,956 13/4 | 3[illegible] | | |

Fort St. George

27th April 1846

g the rates of produce and Teerua per Cawney of the various classes of Nunjah land in the Talook of Chinglepet settled by Mr Smalley Tillah Chinglepet.

per Cawney.

Teerwah

| 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | 6 | | 7 | | 8 | | 9 | | 10 | | 11 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1/16 | | 4 5/16 | | 4 1/4 | | 3 15/16 | | 3 7/8 | | 3 3/4 | | 3 5/8 | | 3 1/2 | | 3 3/8 | | 3 5/16 |
| Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | 4 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 10 | 3 | 8 | " | " | 3 | 5 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 6 | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | "

5966

B. Statement shewing the rates of produce and Teerua per Cawney of the various Classes of Nunjah lands in Fort St. George

27th April 18

the Talook of

Teerwah

per Cawney.

| Gross Produce per Cawney. | | | 5 1/4 | | 4 11/16 | | 4 5/16 | | 4 1/4 | | 3 15/16 | | 3 7/8 | | 3 3/4 | | 3 5/8 | | 3 1/2 | | 3 3/8 | | 3 5/16 | | 3 1/4 | | 3 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | 6 | | 7 | | 8 | | 9 | | 10 | | 11 | | 12 | | 13 | |
| Ps. | M. | M. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. |
| 13 | 6 | " | 5 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 12 | " | " | " | " | 4 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 4 | " | " |
| 8 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | " |
| 8 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 10 | 3 | 8 | " | " | 3 | 5 | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 2 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

5967.

Fort St. George

27th. April 1846.

Peerwah

| 2 13/16 | 2 3/4 | 2 11/16 | 2 5/8 | 2 9/16 | 2 1/2 | 2 7/16 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| 2 13 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| 2 13 | 2 12 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| 2 13 | " " | " " | " " | 2 9 | " " | " " |
| " " | 2 12 | 2 11 | 2 10 | 2 9 | 2 8 | 2 7 |
| " " | " " | " " | 2 10 | 2 9 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | 2 10 | 2 9 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | 2 10 | 2 9 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | 2 9 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | 2 9 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 2 7 |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |

per Causeway (Continued)

| 2 3/8 | 2 5/16 | 2 1/4 | 2 3/16 | 2 1/8 | 2 1/16 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. | Ps. a. |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| 2 6 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | 2 4 | " " | " " | 2 1 | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | 2 3 | " " | " " | " " |
| 2 6 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | 2 5 | 2 4 | 2 3 | " " | 2 1 | " " |
| 2 6 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | 2 4 | " " | 2 2 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | 2 3 | 2 2 | 2 1 | 2 " |
| 2 6 | 2 5 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | 2 4 | " " | " " | " " | 2 " |
| " " | 2 5 | 2 4 | " " | 2 2 | " " | 2 " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | 2 2 | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | 2 2 | 2 1 | 2 " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |

5968.

Fort St. George

27th April 1816.

Seerwah

| 1 15/16 | | 1 7/8 | | 1 13/16 | | 1 3/4 | | 1 11/16 | | 1 5/8 | | 1 9/16 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 32 | | 33 | | 34 | | 35 | | 36 | | 37 | | 38 | |
| Ps. | as. | Ps. | as. | Ps. | as. | Ps. | as. | Ps. | as. | Ps. | as. | Ps. | as. |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | "

Fort St. George

27th April 1846

per Cowny (contd)

Teriwah

| 1 1/16 | | 1 | | 15/16 | | 7/8 | | 13/16 | | 3/4 | | 11/16 | | 5/8 | | 9/16 | | 1/2 | | 7/16 | | 3/8 | | 5/16 | | 1/4 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 46 | | 47 | | 48 | | 49 | | 50 | | 51 | | 52 | | 53 | | 54 | | 55 | | 56 | | 57 | | 58 | | 59 | |
| Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | "

5911

Fort St George

Teerumah per

27th April 1816.

Cawney (contd)

| 2 13/16 | | 2 3/4 | | 2 11/16 | | 2 5/8 | | 2 9/16 | | 2 1/2 | | 2 7/16 | | 2 3/8 | | 2 5/16 | | 2 1/4 | | 2 3/16 | | 2 1/8 | | 2 1/16 | | 2 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | | 19 | | 20 | | 21 | | 22 | | 23 | | 24 | | 25 | | 26 | | 27 | | 28 | | 29 | | 30 | | 31 | |
| Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As | Ps | As |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | "

5972.

Fort St. George

Freemarks per

27th April 1816.

Cawney (contd)

| 1 15/16 | | 1 7/8 | | 1 13/16 | | 1 3/4 | | 1 11/16 | | 1 5/8 | | 1 9/16 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 32 | | 33 | | 34 | | 35 | | 36 | | 37 | | 38 | |
| Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 9 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | "

5973.

## Fort St. George

### Teerwah per

27th April 1846.

Cawney (contd.)

| 1/16. | | 1. | | 15/16. | | 7/8. | | 13/16. | | 3/4. | | 11/16. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 46 | | 47 | | 48 | | 49 | | 50 | | 51 | | 52. | |
| Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. |
| " | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | " | " | 15 | " | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | 15 | " | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | " | " | 15 | " | 14 | " | 13 | " | 12 | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | 15 | " | 14 | " | " | " | 12 | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 13 | " | 12 | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 13. | " | 12 | " | 11 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 11 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 11 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry
Conjeveram 20th April 1846 ..... } Errors.

Order thereon,

(27-27a)

| 5/8 | | 9/16. | | 1/2. | | 7/16 | | 3/8 | | 5/16 | | 1/4. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 53 | | 54 | | 55 | | 56 | | 57 | | 58 | | 59. | |
| Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. | Ps. | As. |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | 10 | " | 9 | " | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 9 | " | 6 | " | 5 | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

Excepted. Vide. (Signed) E. Maltby Acting Collector.

27(a) Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following Statement

Statement.

5974. Fort St George 27th April 1846.

28

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Cove long in the Gillah of Chingleput up to 4th April 1846.

| Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported. | Quantity actually in store Ready for exportation on the 4th inst. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|
| Tons 1843. | | |
| Bengal Rounds | | |
| 4,000. | 3,940 20. | 2,980. |

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Conjeveram, 23rd April 1846.

(Signed) E. Maltby
Acting Collector

Ordered

The Salt may be paid for at the Board's Treasury at Egmore near Madras or to the British car at Covelong as it may best suit the convenience of the Exporters.

5975

28-29

Order thereon, 29th

28th

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Ordered to be recorded. —

Read the following letter.

To,

E. Maltby Esquire
Acting Collector
of Chingleput.

30

30

From the Actg. Collr of Chingleput.....

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose an application from myself for one months leave of absence from the 1st prosemo and request you will be good enough to forward it to the Board of Revenue.

Chingleput District.....
Carangooly, 22nd April 1846

(Signed) W. M. Cadell
Assistant Collector.

To,

T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that leave of absence may be obtained for me for one Month from the 1st prosemo under Section VI of the absentee rules. —

Chingleput District
Carangooly
22nd April 1846

(Signed) W. M. Cadell
Assistant Collector

The

The application of Mr. W. M. Cadell Assistant Collector for one Months leave of absence from the 1st Proximo under Section XI of the (amended) Absentee Rules is submitted by the Acting Collector for the favorable consideration of the Board of Revenue.—

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry — Conjeveram 24th April 1846

(Signed) E. Maltby
Acting Collector.

(30-31)

Proceedings thereon,

31

The Board observe that Mr. Cadell has omitted to state in his application the place to which he proposes to proceed on leave of absence. he will be pleased to supply this information to enable the Board to submit his application for the orders of Government.

Read the following letter.

To



To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(32)

3d

From the Collr. of South Arcot

Sir,

Para 1. One of the Cutbady Enamdars in this District by name Mahomed Issauk having departed this life on the 21st August 1844, I have the honor to submit my report on the Claims preferred for succession to this enam for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue:-

Reporting the death of Mahomed Issauk Cutbady Enamdar of Trenomalie on the 21st August 1844 and the Collr submitting the Claims preferred for succession for the orders of the Board.—

2 On reference to the enclosed statement it will be seen that in 7 Villages in the Talluk of Trenomalie 136 c — 1 d — of land yeilding an annual Teerwah of Rupees 479-14-9 are entered as Cutbady Enam, granted during the Nabob's time, in the name of Shaik Ameer Subadar, on his death his eldest Son Saul Mohomed and afterwards his Son Goolam Dustegeer appear to have successively enjoyed this Enam during their life time, on paying half the Teerwah of the land; on demise of the latter without issue, the Enam appears to have been permitted to be entered in the public accounts since December 1835, in the name of Mohomed Issauk, his paternal Uncle

uncle, the last incumbent.-

3. This individual has left a Widow, two Sons and a daughter, the Widow claims the succession on behalf of her son Abdul Kureem a minor, there are two co-brothers of the deceased by name Goolam Hoossain and Comroodeen, who also claim the succession as senior members of the family, to the whole or a portion of the Enam lands; but as they both appear to be pensioned Sepoys the former on Rupees 5 and the latter on Rupees 10½ a Month, their Claim seems inadmissible since they have other means of subsistence.-

+ 1st Nov.r 1806.- 4. According to the orders of Government, all Cutbady Enams having been declared liable to resumption, as to bear full assessment, on the death of the then incumbents, and the Claimants in this Case not professing any deeds to enable me to ascertain the terms of the grant, there appears no reason to induce me to re-commend the Continuance of the whole grant as heretofore; but as the deceased has left a Widow and three Children without adequate means of subsistence, I beg to recommend, that if the Board concur with me



me in thinking this a Case worthy of in-dulgent Consideration, the grant in the Village of Keeranoor only, the half teerwah of which amounts to Rupees 66-7-3, be renewed in the name of the eldest son Abdul Kureem on life tenure or during the pleasure of Government, exclusively, for the support of the family of the deceased incumbent on the existing Conditions, and that the Enam land in the first five Villages &c as amounting to Cawnies 61-11 or Rupees 307-4- be resumed and incorporated with the Ayen land from the Current Fusly 1255.-

South Arcot----
Collr.t & Circ.t Cutcherry
Cuddalore ----
25th March 1846

[signed] Wm D Davis
Collector

Statement.-

5980

Fort St. George 27th April 1840.

No. 1.

Statement Shewing the exclusive Cultivation of Kadoody en Tarab in the name of Mahomed Ismail in the undermentioned Village of Tranomalay in the Jaghire of the Talook of Tranomalay in South Arcot.

Pollam

Name of the Village: Land Tirmah

| No. | Name of the Ryots | Land | Tirmah | Land | Tirmah | Land | Tirmah |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Cs. | as Cs. | Rs. a. p. | Cs. | as Cs. | Rs. a. p. |
| 1 | Cottamboody | 31 | 5 | 103 ½ | 10 | 14 | 81 11 30½ |
| 2 | Nazeahutt | 6 | " | 19 11 6 | 6 | 6 | 62 12 3 54 6 14½ |
| 3 | Pachumbacky | 5 | " | 10 8 " | | | |
| 4 | Pattaperum | 14 | 8 | 57 14 9 | 2 | 14 | 25 2 6 14 1 3 |
| 5 | Cariangal | " | " | " " " | 1 | " | 4 4 6 |
| 6 | Cotchimalay Cryshum | 11 | " | 33 10 11 | " | " | 11 " 33 10 11 |
| | Total | 40 | 13 | 219 4 4 | 19 | 14 | 150 8 3 136 1 4 14 14 9 |
| 4 | Seranies | 48 | " | 110 2 2 | | | |
| | Grand Total | 118 | 13 | 329 6 6 | | | |

Cheri Creepa

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Coll.
Ordered

5981

Fort St. George 27th April 1840

Genealogical Tree.

No. 1.

Shaikellmeen Soobadar the Original grantee.

No. 2
1st Son
Laul
Mahomed

No. 4
2d Son
Mohomed
Issaute died
in august
1834.

No. 5
Goolam
Hussain 3d Son
surviving enjoys
a Pention of
5 Rupees
per mensem

No. 6
Cummerod=
=deen 4th Son
surviving enjoys
a Pension of 1½
Rs. per
mensem

No. 3
Son
Goolam Dusta=
=gheer died
without
issue

No. 7
1st Son
Abdul Kareem
9 years old 2d=
Cauder Hussain
6 D.o D.o
Fyroobel widow
(daughter's name
not known)

Remarks.

No. 1. This Person's name is entered in the Survey accounts prepared in the year 1806 and 1807.

No. 2 & 3. Appear to have successively enjoyed the Enam until the year 1835.

No. 4 Is the person on whom the Enam was permitted to be entered by an order of the Head Assistant Collector on the 28th December 1835. who also appears to have enjoyed a Pension of 5 Rupees Monthly as a retired Sepoy.

No. 7 The present head of the family on whom the Village No. 7 is recommended on the existing terms.

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Coll.
Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

Para. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot communicating the death of one Mahomed Essack a Cuttobady Enamdar in the Trinomallie Talook of that district, and recommending that a portion of the Original Grant should be continued to the Eldest son of the deceased a minor, named Abdulkareem, for the support of the family who are represented as being without adequate means of Subsistence.—

2. The Cuttbady Enam in question appears to have been conferred in the first instance by the late Mahomedon Government upon Shaick Ameen Subadar who was succeeded in the grant by his Eldest Son and Grandson in regular succession on payment of half the teerwah on the land which consisted of 136—1—0 yielding an annual Bariz of 499—14—9—On the demise of the latter individual without issue in the year 1836 the Enam is stated to have been Entered in the account in the name of Mahomed Essack the late incumbent who was at the time in the receipt of a Small pension from Government as a retired Seapoy. This arrangement appears to have been made without the Sanction of the Controling authorities, having been carried into effect by

32-33 33

To the Chief Sect. to Government—

Submitting a letter from the Coll.r of South Arcot Commu.r -nicating the death of one Mahomed —s Essack a Cuttobady Enamdar in the Trinomallie talook of that district and stating that if Government should see fit to permit the grant situated in the Village of Keeranoor to be continued in half teerwah for the support of the family of that individual it should be entered in the name of the Widow of the deceased for the maintenance of herself and Children with the distinct understanding that the allowance shall cease on her death—

From Sec.y 25th & Rec.d 27th April 1840. in Cons.n 24th April 1840.



by the order of the Head assistant as shewn by the remarks appended to enclosure No.2 Agreeably to the instructions of Government bearing date the 1st of November 1806 directing the discontinuance of Cuttbady Enams on the demise of the then incumbents this grant should have either been resumed or assessed at full teerwah on the occasion of a Casualty occurring subsequently to those orders, that course seems however not to have been followed, and it has consequently been Enjoyed by the several parties referred to up to the Month of August 1844.—

In Cons.n 1st Dec.r 1806.

3. The Collector states that the family of the last incumbent, consisting of a Widow, and three children who are minors, have by his death been left without adequate means of support, and he is therefore induced under the circumstances of their position to recommend that portion of the original grant situated in the Village of Keernanoor only, the half teerwah of which amounts to Rupees 86—4—3 be renewed in the name of the Eldest son Abdulkareem on life tenure, or during the pleasure of Government, exclusively for the support of the family of the deceased, the remaining portion of the land being at once incorporated in the Dyen lands.—

1st Nov.r 1806 in Cons.n 1st Dec.r

4. Adverting to the orders above quoted the Board feel themselves precluded from affording the Collector's recommendation their specific support, but taking into consideration the long period that the

the Enam has been continued to the family generally, and to the inadequacy of the means of subsistence — the present survivors are stated to possess, and who are incompetent at present to provide for themselves they beg to submit the question for the favourable consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in — Council with the suggestion that should Government see fit to permit the Village of Keeranoor to be continued on half teerwah for the support of the family that it should be entered in the name of the Widow of the deceased for the maintenance of herself and Children with the distinct understanding that the indulgence shall cease on her — death. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th April 1846.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter —

To —

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

34

From the Collr of South Arcot

Sir,

With reference to your letter

+ 30th March 1846

I have



I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that no allowance or Pension of whatever description have been paid, by — deduction from Kistbundy Peries (as therein alluded to in this District) either of the land or of any extra source of Revenue, but that they are invariably paid direct from the Treasury and exhibited in the accounts furnished regularly to the Accountant General and Civil Auditor. —

2. Whenever a Pensioner happens to hold a Puttah from Government — and his Kists fall in arrear, the — circumstance is brought to my notice by the Tahsildars on the occasion of his submitting his Arzee for the periodical payment of their — Yeomiah Pension &c., when such arrear is collected from the — Pensioners

5986 Fort St. George 27th April 1840.

Pensioners on their receiving their allowances, and Credit given them in liquidation for the Amount due—

South Arcot ———
Collectors ———
Cutcherry ———
Cuddalore ———
13th April 1846

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector.

34-35

Order thereon. 35

Ordered to lie on the table.

36

From the Pt. Collector of Madura.— Read Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred during the year 1845 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

36

36-37

Order thereon. 37

Ordered to lie Over.

Read.

5987 Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

To. Read the following letter—

J. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

38

38

From Sub Collr. in charge Tinnevelly

Sir.

I have the honor to submit herewith a Translation of a Plaint in O. S. No. 1 of 1846 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court in Tinnevelly preferred against C. B. Thomas Esqr and Henry Row the Tahsildar of Tencandey in their Official Capacity, and also against 2 other private individuals, by Khancuttoo Meera Rowten and 2 others of Tencandey, together with a translation of a Draft of the Answer proposed by Mr. Thomas to be filed in the Court and signed by that Gentleman, and request you will be pleased to obtain Sanction for me to defend the Suit at the public expense; or furnish me with such orders on the matter at issue as the Board may deem fit.

Tinnevelly
Spicheudoo
27th March 1846

/Signed/ F. B. Elton
Sub Collector
in charge

No. 1 Tamil
No. 2.

Translation of a Plaint preferred to the Principal Sudr Ameen of Tinnevelly, by Khancuttoo Meera rowten, Son of Saundoo rowten, Arumunthacoody nagoo meerah rowten, Son of Mottava Lubbay, Nullaumnoo pilly Son of ammay adeapillay, all Cultivators (the 2 former also Merchants and

/and/ residents in the Cusbah of Sen-
-ganday Talark against C. B. Thomas Esqr Col-
-lector of Tinnevelly, Hunsay row. Tahsildar of
Tencandey Talark, Tpmmasawien Son of Appoovien
and Streenevasangar Son of Kullaperan Tyengar,
both residing in the Cusbah Tencandey.
Plaint set forth first— The land dependant
on Keelaputcha Naicken Colum (the boundary of
which is described below) was incapable of Cultivation
from being Situated on high levels, consequent on the burst-
-ing of the above said Tank bank. The pucka of the
first Plaintiff by name Nyna rowten purchased on
6th Awany Aundoo 985/ corresponding with the year
1806/ from Pariasawmy Naicken the owner, for 20
Pully Pins, the said Tank, and the land under
it/ contained within the four boundaries given below
measuring in all S. C. Stock 4 1/8 inclusive of the
bed of the Tank, deducted from Circar account toge-
-ther with the Poonjah lands attached to it, and said
out a large capital including the breaches, and bring
-ing the land under Nunjah Cultivation, and enjoyed the same
under Circar Pully or Registry, jointly with his indi
-vidual Brothers Saundar Rowten (father of 1st Plaintiff)
and Kadaramma rowten. In the interim the father
of the 1st Plaintiff died.— Afterwards the first
Plaintiff and both his uncles continued to enjoy the
land in question in common up to Aundoo 996 on the
same footing with their other Candeed properties— A division
of



of property between the first Plaintiff and both his
Uncles took place on the 4th Arpesy 997 Aundoo
/corresponding with 18th October 1821/ when the Tank
under litigation was also divided into 3 equal shares
/viddawar/ between them, and enjoyed as Cottawarthy
according to the accounts of partition. The pattah for
the whole quantity of land was, and is being made
out from Fasly 1235/ in which the issue of Pattahs
was newly introduced into the District/ in the name
of Nyna rowten the registry for the same having
been already in his name. While we continue enjoying
the land in 3 Shares since the Division, under the
said Pattah and Pully, the Meailaputcha Naicken
Colum Situated on the west side of the Tank in
dispute having broken or breached in Fasly 1237 from
inundation, the water overflowed its banks, rushed into
the disputed Tank, and burst open its bunds. The conse-
-quence was the lands under the Tank in question became
situated on high levels. The proprietors of Meila-
-putcha Naicken, Colum not having closed the breach
in their Tank, the breach in the disputed Tank
also was not closed, so as to put it again in a state capa-
-ble of Nunjah cultivation, from a consideration that
the closing of the latter breach would be of no use, so
long as the former continued unrepaired. Therefore
the Nunjah lands under the disputed Tank, as well
as the bed of the Tank, were since cultivated with
Nunjah

Nunjah Mailpoonjah, while So. Puttany rowten Son of the said Nyna rowten mortgaged his share to the 2nd Plaintiff for 152 Rupees on two different dated- Vizt 24th Aunny 1015 Anundoo / 7th August 1839, and 4th Margly 1016 Anundoo / 11th December 1840; and Saundoomoondanum Son of Hoodesummy rowten /another partners/ mortgaged in 1837 or 1013- Anundoo his share of the land &c to the 1st Plaintiff and to Puttany-rowten the 3rd partner- both of whom mortgaged the land with its deed on 5th Chittery 1016 Anundoo / 15th April 1840 to the 2nd Plaintiff for 64 Rupees Since which we continued to enjoy the land as Puttawarthy

2nd

While circumstances stood thus the 3rd and 4th Defendants who are our Enemies, in view to the illegal usurpation of our land, tendered a proposal to the 2nd Defendant, stating that the land (disputed ing on the Tank in question) lies waste and that they will cultivate it with Nunjah and pay Nunjah tax thereon. Upon which, the 2nd Defendant ordered the Nos Curnom and the Tarufdar to inspect the land, and report the result with Kithady accounts. They accordingly inspected the land and made a report stating the particulars above mentioned Viz- that the land was cultivated by us with Nunjah mail poonjah upto Fusly 1253- and the tax thereon is punctually paid- Subsequently the 2nd Defendant sent for us and asked on the subject, to which we answered that as the Gov-



-ernment Sisdon for Fusly 1254 was over, we are unable to cultivate the land with Nunjah. Thereupon the 2nd Defend- ant passed an order to the effect that we may cultivate as usual Nunjah mail-poonjah for Fusly 1254, but that we should raise Nunjah Cultivation in Fusly 1255 in which though we fail to do so, we should pay Nunjah tax, and if we do not make Nunjah Cultivation in Fusly 1256 also, the land will be made over to the Durkastdars who proposed to cultivate it with Nunjah. In compliance with this order, we executed a Moochelcca to the above effect- Upon which, the 2nd Defendant issued on 14th January and 12th April 1845, respectively 2 Summuds stating the above particulars to the Annuker Curnum of Conaramanelloor / to whose Division the disputed Tank is attached) and to the Merasidar of the same Village- Accordingly we cultivated the land in Fusly 1255 with Poonjah, and obtained Puttah on payment of the Sirwah due on the land and with a view to make Nunjah cultiva tion in Fusly 1256 cleared the breach and prepared a portion of the land fit for Nunjah Cultivation at the cost of 15 Rupees-

3rd After the land was as above said made fit for Nunjah Cultivation, we were about to cultivate it with wet crop, when the 2nd Defendant, at the inste gation of the 3rd and 4th Defendants wrote an Urge at direct variance with the said Proceedings and forwarded us as well as the 3rd & 4th Defendants (who made the proposal) to the first Defendant- Who without

without making any Examination of us or others, and without referring to the Documents as we begged, passed an order on 22nd August 1845, stating that the 3d and 4th Defendants who made the proposal should Cultivate the land - Upon the above order, the 3rd and 4th Defendants have cultivated the land prepared by us. Thus the 1st and 2nd Defendants having put the 3d and 4th in possession of Buttawathy land owned by us contrary to custom, and at variance with the Kachcheri mamah, we were obliged to include the former, as Defendants with the latter who have cultivated 4th our land for the present Fusly.

As the land in question has not been cultivated with Nunjah from Fusly 1237 to 1254, and as the gross produce of the Cultivation by 3d and 4th Defendants for the present Fusly, has not yet been ascertained, we presented this Suit for the recovery of Mulgoozary Nunjah land measuring S.C. 6.8.m 3¾ and capable of yielding / with reference to the 5 year average fixed for the land / per annum a gross produce of Pc 591-4¼ Calculated at 9¼ per Seed Bottah, or gc 39-19-1⅛ / after deducting 19-3-2½, an account of Cultivation charges at 3 gc per SC / valued at Rupees 139-11 as P-4 with reference to the present selling prices at Tuticorin / which is Rupees 3-8-1 per Gcm bottah / and subject to a tax of Rupees 9 7 12-8. as also the net profit derivable from the land from Fusly 1254 up to the date of the Decree.

5th Particulars of the land Bounded on the East by the road running from South to North



in the Aeyscoody limits, on the North by Cunnenvathoo and Thondathoopunjah; on the west by Malapatcha Naicken Sank; and on the South by Road running from east to west and by Malaimian poonjah No 5 The measurement of the land / dependant on Kelapatcha Naicken Eetum poonavoo attached to Koonarannanpallam / now in the occupation of the Defendants and contained within the boundaries just described is as follows.

| No of the Field. | No of the Sub division of the field | Extent of land contained within each field. |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 12 7½ |
| " | 2 | " 17 5⅜ |
| " | 3 | " 15 3 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 10 2 |
| " | 4 | " 14 4 |
| | Total | 6 8 1⅛ |

29th December 1845.

/True Translation/

(Signed) J. B. Elton
Sub Collector in charge

No 3

Translation of the Answer proposed to be represented by Meerahamed Ibrahim Government Vakeel in behalf of C. B. Thomas Esq. Collector of Tinnevelly 1st Defendant in Suit No 1 of 1846 of the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court -

The statements of the Plaintiffs in the 4th Para of their Plaint that they have claim to recover SC 6.8.3⅛ of Nunjah land, as well as the loss of produce, is false, for the following reasons. The Anjacut extent of land under the Sank is SC 11.4.4⅝ of which the quantity usually brought under cultivation is SC 7.2.3½ paying an annual revenue of about Rupees 76-0-0. In consequence of a small breach having occurred in the Sank bund in Fusly 1237 the Plaintiffs abandoned the Nunjah Cultivation without closing the breach ever since & since then converted on Poonjah Cultivation (on the above Nunjah lands) Subject to the payment of only ⅓ of the Nunjah Sarwah, whereby sustaining a great loss to Government -

2 In Fusly 1254 the 3d and 4th Defendants offered a proposal that they will close the breach in the

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Tank bank, and pray full Nunjah Seerwah to the Circar by raising Nunjah Cultivation. But finding the Season of Cultivation was over at that time, the Plaintiffs appeared and executed a Moochelakah that they will repair the breach and pay Nunjah full Seerwah for Fasly 1255. The 1st Defendant understanding that the Plaintiffs did not act up to their agreement with an intent to continue their Poonjah Cultivation which is beneficial to them but prejudicial to the interests of Government repaired personally to the Spot in company with the Civil Engineer in the months of August 1843 and found the Tank bund unrepaired, notwithstanding the amount required for repair was very small. The Plaintiffs made Poonjah Cultivation. Much profitable to them but paying less Seerwah to Government. From the above it was obvious to the 1st Defendant that the Plaintiffs were unwilling to carry on the Nunjah Cultivation, under an idea that they would derive a great advantage by raising Poonjah Cultivation liable to a far less Seerwah than Nunjah tax. Whereupon the Plaintiffs were summoned and enquired of the cause of their procrastination in repairing the breach, they gave a Moochelakah promising to execute the repair within 15 days but failed to complete the repair of the Tank within the limited period, and only made a trifling and nominal repair merely to shew that they had executed it.

3 If the Plaintiffs were hereafter allowed to cultivate the lands in question they would injure the interests of Government as they have done from a series of years. They have twice failed to act up to their agreements. It appears that they are of opinion to continue Nunjah Mail Poonjah Cultivation with a hope of good profit. As the 3d and 4th Defendants offered to close the breach and pay Nunjah full Seerwah by raising Nunjah Cultivation, their request was complied with ordering them at the same time to repay the amount of tripling repair executed by the Plaintiffs. It does not appear necessary to answer to the other points contained in the Plaint.

(Signed) E. B. J. Collector
True Translation
(Signed) J. B. Elton
Sub-Collector in charge



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

39
38-39

To the Chief Secy to Government

Submitting a letter from the Sub Collector in charge of Sinnevelly reporting the institution of Suit No 1 of 1846 in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of that station against the Collr the Shasildar of Sencaudey & Others for the recovery of certain Nunjah land of the annual average value of Rs 137-11-6 Subject to a tax of Rs 9-12-8 and for the refunding of the net profits derivable therefrom, from July 1254 up to the date of the decree recommending that sanction may be granted for putting in the draft of answer proposed to be filed in the case & defending the suit at the public cost.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

Para 1.— I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the most noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Sub Collector in charge of the District of Sinnevelly reporting the institution of a Suit against the Collector the Shasildar of Sencaudey and 2 others No 1 of 1846 in the Principal Sudr Ameens court of that Station and sending also Copy and translation of the plaint and draft of the Answer proposed to be filed in the case.

2. In the Plaint the Suit is stated to be brought for the recovery of 65 m 8 — 338 of Nunjah Land of the annual average Value of Rupees 137-11-6 subject to a tax of Rupees 9-12-8 and for the refunding of the net profit derivable therefrom, from July 1254 up to the date of the decree.

3. In the proposed answer bearing the Signature of the Collector it is represented that the Plaintiffs have no claim (according to the accounts of the Village) to the recovery of the Land and produce (it) declared to by them. It appears that at for back as Fasly 1237 a trifling injury occurred to the bank of the Tank which was purposely neglected by the Plaintiffs and the cultivation of the land converted from Nunjah to Poonjah to the detriment of the Revenue. In Fasly 1254 a proposal was made by the 3d & 4th Defendants to

Fort St. George 24th April 1846.

to repair the injury sustained by the tank and to cultivate the land in dispute with Nunjah paying the higher rate of assessment fixed thereon. The Plaintiffs however having entered into an Engagement to comply with these same conditions, were retained in possession, but having failed to conform to the terms of the Moochilka a further period was subsequently allowed them to complete the trifling repair required to render the land available for Nunjah produce, which having been exceeded, it was deemed inimicious to the interests of Government to permit them longer to hold the land which was accordingly made over to the 3rd and 4th Defendants, by the 1st & 2d Defendants subject to the payment of full Nunjah thereafter.

4. The answer of which the above is an abstract appears to the Board to be good and sufficient as it is clearly shewn that the Plaintiffs pertinaciously protracted the execution of the repairs undertaken by them, in two specific engagements in order to avoid the payment of the regular assessment on the land, the Board therefore beg to recommend that sanction may be given for putting it in and defending the suit at the public cost.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read



Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Rt. Collr of Coimbatore
Submits the Statements connected with the Settlement of the Davastanum and other Enam lands shewing an Increase of 778-8-10 above the Settlement of last Fusly & requests Sanction for writing off Rs. 24,757-4 being irrecoverable balances accumulated from Fusly 1225 to 1254.

40

† within the year

With reference to the Standing orders of the Board of Revenue dated 13th July 1826, I have the honor to forward the Statements connected with the Settlement of Davastanum and other Enam lands in this District for Fusly 1254. — A.D. 1844/45

2. The increase in the Settlement of this Fusly above that of the past year amounts to Rupees 778-8-10 as shewn in the marginal Statement arising from the same causes which produced the increase in the Land Revenue Settlement of the District.—

3. The Total demand amounted to Rupees 28524-14-1 of which Rupees 28230-7-9 were recovered, leaving a balance of Rupees 294-6-4 which was reduced by subsequent collections to the trifling sum of Rupees 17-7-9 and this is also in the course of realization.—

| Items | Settlement of Fusly 1253 | Settlement of Fusly 1254 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lands alienated for the support of Davastanums &c. | 21430-2 | 22191 | 760 | |
| Cheltorum Lands | 5335-11 | 5618 | 282 | |
| Resumed Do. Moorasa & Inamdars lands | 161 10 9 | 163 2 † | 1 7 10 | |
| Do. | | | | |
| Fusly Items on Land allotted to Rt. Davastanums and Cheltorums | 5748 13 4 | 5772 | 23 | |
| under temporary resumption — | 0 | | | |
| Total | 32716 5 3 | 33524 14 1 | 785 3 5 | 6 10 † |
| Net Increase | | | 778 8 10 | |

Items

5999 Fort St. George 27th April 1846

4. A reference to the records of this Office shews that the arrears which have accumulated from Fasly 1225 to 1254 / A.D. 1815/16 to 1844/45 amount to no less than 24,975-6-7

It does not appear from the enquiries which have been made that any portion of this amount can be recovered and as its existence in the accounts is a means of oppression and extortion on the part of the Village Officers, I would request the sanction of the Board to write it from the Public accounts - The enclosed Statement exhibits the annual amount with reference to each particular item of Settlement. -

Coimbatore }
March 23d 1846 } (Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Statement

5999 Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Demand Collections Subsequent to the end of December 1845

| Sterio of Fasly 1254 | Total Demand | Collections to the end of December 1845 | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|
| Devastanum Lands | 22,144 14 | 22,131 3 | 9 |
| Settlement Lands | 55,854 0 | 55,015 5 | 8 |
| Moorasi Kumaki Do | 183 2 | 183 2 | 7 |
| Sundry Dues | 3 8 4 | 565 10 | 5 |
| Total | 28,230 7 9 | 28,524 14 | 6 |

# Fort St. George

27th April 1846

| Deduct waste lands (Cont.d) Nunjah Cawnies | Survey Assessment | Total Survey Assessment | Bullahs | Remainder Punjah Survey Assessment | Settlement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 141 11 3/4 | 10 7 5 1 8 | 20 7 2 11 10 | 3 14 1/2 | 7 14 1 | 7 14 1 |
| " " " " " | " " " | " " " | 160 3 | 537 13 5 | 525 9 6 |
| 1 9 1/4 | 26 4 8 | 31 11 11 | 16 8 1/4 | 65 3 3 | 49 11 11 |
| 1 14 1/4 | 19 6 10 | 510 11 10 | 427 5 | 1147 1 1 | 722 1 10 |
| " " " " " | 1,363 4 8 | 1,938 6 1/2 | 5,062 8 4 | 3,659 10 1 | |
| 28 3/4 | 171 1 8 | 2,496 4 10 | 1,795 10 1/2 | 5,246 5 1 | 3,606 10 4 |
| " 8 12 1/4 | 109 2 4 | 554 5 " | 440 9 3/4 | 1,206 5 6 | 970 1 7 |
| 33 3/4 | 176 4 " | 1,119 14 8 | 375 4 3/4 | 908 11 2 | 385 " 3 |
| 215 4 | 1,577 5 2 | 8,147 " 9 | 5,157 14 1/4 | 14,181 13 11 | 9,926 11 7 |

| Cultivation of Fusly 1254 Garden Bullahs | Survey Assessment | Settlement | Total Bullahs | Survey Assessment | Settlement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| 1 10 3/4 | 19 10 4 | 19 10 4 | 5 9 1/4 | 27 8 5 | 27 8 5 |
| " 14 3/4 | 15 1 3 | 15 1 3 | 161 13 1/4 | 552 14 8 | 540 10 9 |
| 4 3 1/2 | 45 14 6 | 45 1 4 | 20 11 3/4 | 111 1 9 | 94 13 3 |
| 12 14 1/2 | 197 " 2 | 157 3 8 | 440 3 1/4 | 1344 13 8 | 795 5 6 |
| 248 2 1/4 | 4,102 2 " | 3,347 15 " | 2,186 8 3/4 | 9,164 10 4 | 7,007 9 1 |
| 67 3 1/2 | 923 15 6 | 636 6 " | 1,862 14 | 6,170 4 7 | 4,243 " 4 |
| 87 4 1/2 | 1,468 10 9 | 1,154 3 3 | 527 14 1/4 | 2,675 " 3 | 2,124 4 10 |
| 54 8 | 561 6 9 | 446 6 11 | 429 12 3/4 | 1,470 1 11 | 831 7 2 |
| 476 13 1/2 | 7,333 13 3 | 5,821 15 9 | 5,634 11 3/4 | 21,515 11 2 | 15,748 11 4 |

# Fort St. George.

27th April 1846.

Remainder Cultivation of Fusly 1254 (Contd.)

| Cawnies | Punjah Survey Assessment | Settlement | Total Survey Assessment | Settlement |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| 214 3/4 | 2,270 15 7 | 2,224 11 2 | 2,298 8 - | 2,252 3 7 |
| " " | " " " | " " " | 552 14 8 | 540 10 9 |
| 16 0 1/2 | 240 8 5 | 187 13 2 | 351 10 2 | 282 10 5 |
| 38 15/4 | 694 4 3 | 642 4 8 | 2,038 15 6 | 1,521 10 2 |
| " " | " " " | " " " | 9,164 10 4 | 7,007 9 1 |
| 369 6/2 | 2,928 10 11 | 2,402 - 7 | 9,098 15 6 | 6,645 - 11 |
| 22 13/2 | 301 3 3 | 236 7 7 | 2,976 3 6 | 2,360 12 5 |
| 96 13/4 | 561 4 3 | 582 15 7 | 2,031 6 2 | 1,414 6 9 |
| 758 10 3/4 | 6,997 8 8 | 6,276 4 9 | 28,513 3 10 | 22,025 - 1 |

Errors Excepted J.C.

| Fixed Sovernadayem | Total including Sovernadayem for Fusly 1254 | Settlement of Fusly 1253 | Comparison of Fusly 1252 & 1253 Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 93 8 4 | 2,345 11 11 | 2,248 9 4 | 97 3 7 | " " " |
| " " " | 540 10 9 | 540 10 9 | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 282 10 5 | 303 11 - | " " " | 21 - 7 |
| " " " | 1,521 10 2 | 1,487 11 5 | 33 14 9 | " " " |
| 17 2 8 | 7,024 11 9 | 6,757 12 4 | 266 15 5 | " " " |
| " " " | 6,645 - 11 | 6,363 2 6 | 281 14 5 | " " " |
| 35 8 - | 2,396 4 5 | 2,455 9 9 | " " " | 59 5 4 |
| " " " | 1,414 6 9 | 1,273 - - | 141 6 9 | " " " |
| 146 3 - | 22,171 3 1 | 21,430 2 1 | 821 6 11 | 80 5 11 |

Net Increase 741 1 -

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Chief Collr.

Statement

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Deduct Waste land (Cont.)

| Nunjah Bullahs | Nunjah Survey Assessment | Total Survey Assessment | Punjah Bullahs | Punjah Survey Assessment | Punjah Settlement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 10 13¾ | 129 9 1 | 257 11 - | 47 15¼ | 208 7 7 | 203 10 - |
| 28 5¾ | 430 5 8 | 442 - 8 | 2 9 | 14 1 8 | 14 1 8 |
| - - | - - - | 749 10 10 | 23 14½ | 108 1 8 | 108 1 8 |
| - - | - - - | 156 4 8 | 4 8¾ | 18 12 10 | 18 12 10 |
| 4 12¾ | 48 3 8 | 125 7 9 | 245 3¾ | 960 13 8 | 848 14 8 |
| 1 7½ | 21 4 9 | 258 9 10 | 58 8½ | 251 12 8 | 233 13 5 |
| - - | - - - | 1165 7 8 | 114 1½ | 378 1 11 | 373 12 6 |
| 5 14¾ | 76 15 1 | 79 - 1 | - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - | - - - | 603 8 4 | 229 10 | 662 37 | 425 11 11 |
| - - | - - - | 127 3 6 | 180 2½ | 427 74 | 262 6 4 |
| - - | - - - | 284 - 7 | 164 6 | 462 72 | 269 77 |
| - - | - - - | 138 11 - | 7 7¼ | 87 5 6 | 22 2 11 |
| 9 3½ | 112 9 3 | 266 7 11 | 112 1¾ | 326 89 | 278 10 10 |
| 4 8 | 31 9 5 | 235 8 6 | 31 10¼ | 120 5 - | 95 7 - |
| 64 15¾ | 850 8 11 | 4889 12 4 | 1222 3 | 3976 9 4 | 3155 - 14 |

Remainder Cultivation of Fusly 1254.

| Garden Bullahs | Garden Survey Assessment | Garden Settlement | Bullahs | Total Survey Assessment | Total Settlement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| - 13½ | 12 6 3 | 12 6 3 | 48 12¾ | 220 13 10 | 216 - 3 |
| 1 2 | 16 8 4 | 16 8 4 | 3 11 | 30 10 - | 30 10 - |
| - - | - - - | - - - | 23 14½ | 108 1 8 | 108 1 8 |
| - - | - - - | - - - | 4 8¾ | 18 12 10 | 18 12 10 |
| 6 6½ | 89 5 9 | 89 5 9 | 251 10¼ | 1050 35 | 938 45 |
| 2 9¼ | 32 3 2 | 32 3 2 | 61 13¾ | 283 5 10 | 266 - 7 |
| 1 5 | 19 6 3 | 19 6 3 | 115 6½ | 397 82 | 393 2 9 |
| 2 8½ | 49 7 7 | 30 4 8 | 2 8½ | 49 7 7 | 30 4 8 |
| 5 10½ | 90 - 3 | 78 7 1 | 235 4½ | 752 3 10 | 504 3 - |
| - 6 | 5 8 - | 1 6 1 | 180 8½ | 432 15 4 | 263 12 5 |
| - 1 | - 11 9 | - 11 9 | 16 4 7 | 463 2 11 | 270 3 4 |
| 6 7¾ | 86 3 - | 70 15 9 | 13 15 | 123 8 6 | 93 2 8 |
| - 3¾ | 2 12 1 | 2 12 1 | 112 5½ | 329 4 10 | 281 6 11 |
| - - | - - - | - - - | 31 10¼ | 120 5 - | 95 7 - |
| 27 9¾ | 404 8 5 | 354 7 2 | 1249 12¾ | 4381 1 9 | 3509 86 |

6005

Fort St. George

27th April 1846.

Remainder Cultivation of Fusly 1254 (Contd)

| Cawnies | Survey Najjah Assessment | Settlement | Survey Total Assessment | Settlement | Fixed Sooverna-dayem | Total | Settlement of Fusly 1253 | Comparison of Fuslies 1253 and 1254 Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 |
| 29 10¾ | 327 5 3 | 259 6 2 | 548 3 1 | 475 6 5 | | 475 6 5 | 431 10 4 | 43 12 1 | ~ ~ ~ |
| 87 3 | 1,333 8 2 | 1,175 11 2 | 1,364 2 2 | 1,206 5 2 | | 1,206 5 2 | 1,341 11 ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 135 5 10 |
| 7 2 | 70 ~ ~ | 70 ~ ~ | 178 1 8 | 178 1 8 | | 178 1 8 | 176 7 2 | 1 10 6 | ~ ~ ~ |
| ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 18 12 10 | 18 12 10 | | 18 12 10 | 23 8 8 | ~ ~ ~ | 4 11 10 |
| 5 3¼ | 37 14 ~ | 37 14 ~ | 1088 1 5 | 976 2 5 | | 990 10 9 | 1009 6 4 | ~ ~ ~ | 18 11 7 |
| ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 283 15 10 | 266 ~ 7 | 14 8 4 | 266 ~ 9 | 248 11 11 | 17 4 8 | ~ ~ ~ |
| ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 397 8 2 | 393 2 9 | | 393 2 9 | 417 15 7 | ~ ~ ~ | 24 12 10 |
| 22 2¾ | 311 14 3 | 273 15 8 | 361 5 10 | 304 4 4 | | 304 4 4 | 300 9 5 | 3 10 11 | ~ ~ ~ |
| ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 752 3 10 | 504 3 ~ | | 504 3 ~ | 437 4 3 | 66 14 9 | ~ ~ ~ |
| ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 432 15 4 | 263 12 5 | | 263 12 5 | 230 5 8 | 33 6 9 | ~ ~ ~ |
| ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 463 2 11 | 270 3 4 | | 270 3 4 | 552 2 10 | 18 ~ 6 | ~ ~ ~ |
| 5 15 | 87 ~ 9 | 66 2 3 | 210 9 3 | 159 4 11 | | 159 4 11 | 147 3 3 | 12 1 8 | ~ ~ ~ |
| 1 14 | 10 8 5 | 10 8 5 | 345 1 3 | 297 15 4 | 8 1 10 | 306 1 2 | 282 8 4 | 23 8 10 | ~ ~ ~ |
| 19 1¼ | 186 9 4 | 186 9 4 | 306 14 4 | 282 ~ 4 | | 282 ~ 4 | 276 2 4 | 5 14 ~ | ~ ~ ~ |
| 178 4 | 2,370 12 2 | 2,086 3 ~ | 6,751 13 11 | 5,595 11 6 | 22 10 2 | 5,618 5 8 | 5,575 11 1 | 226 4 8 | 183 10 1 |

Net Increase 42 10 7

Errors Excepted /per/ Signed / J. C. Throughton
Prin.l Collr.

Statement

6007 Fort St. George 27th April 1846

Remainder cultivation of Fasly 1254

| Cawnies | Punjah Survey Assessment | Punjah Fatal Survey Assessment | Bullalo | Punjah Survey Assessment | Punjah Settlement | Garden Bullalo | Garden Survey Assessment | Garden Settlement | Fatal Bullalo | Fatal Survey Assessment | Fatal Settlement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 1 15 3/4 | 20 11 4 | 30 2 4 | 8 8 | 35 6 1 | 35 6 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 8 8 | 35 6 1 | 35 6 1 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " | " " " | " " " | " 10 3/4 | 8 2 10 | 8 2 10 | " 10 3/4 | 8 2 10 | 8 2 10 |
| " " " | " " " | 18 4 2 | 1 8 | 7 " 10 | 7 " 10 | " 9 | 6 12 5 | 2 10 4 | 2 1 | 13 13 3 | 9 11 2 |
| " " " | " " " | 6 6 6 | 1 1/2 | 5 12 7 | 5 12 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 1 1/2 | 5 12 7 | 5 12 7 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " 15/2 | 5 1 11 | 5 1 11 | 1 2/2 | 14 16 2 | 14 14 2 | 2 2 | 20 " 1 | 20 " 1 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " 12 3/4 | 3 8 2 | 3 8 2 | " 11 3/4 | 8 3 2 | 8 3 2 | 1 8/2 | 11 11 4 | 11 11 4 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " 8 | 3 1 4 | 3 1 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " 8 | 3 1 4 | 3 1 4 |
| " " " | " " " | 3 8 5 | " " | " " " | " " " | 2 9 | 36 2 2 | 36 2 2 | 2 9 | 36 2 2 | 36 2 2 |
| 1 15 3/4 | 20 11 4 | 58 5 5 | 13 5 3/4 | 59 14 11 | 39 14 11 | 5 11 | 74 2 9 | 70 " 8 | 19 3/4 | 134 1 8 | 129 15 7 |

6008

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Tanjore

| Cowries | Survey Assessment | Settlement | Survey Assessment | Total Settlement | Comparison of Fasles 1253 and 1254. Settlement of Fasly 1253 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| " " " " " " " | | | 35 6 1 | 35 6 1 | 35 6 1 | " " " | " " " |
| " " " " " " " | | | 8 2 10 | 8 2 10 | 8 2 10 | " " " | " " " |
| " " " " " " " | | | 3 13 3 | 9 11 2 | 9 11 2 | " " " | " " " |
| " " " " " " " | | | 5 12 7 | 5 12 7 | 5 12 7 | " " " | " " " |
| " " " " " " " | | | 20 " 1 | 20 " 1 | 18 8 3 | 1 7 10 | " " " |
| " " " " " " " | | | 11 11 4 | 11 11 4 | 11 11 4 | " " " | " " " |
| 3/4 | 33 3 " | 33 3 " | 36 4 4 | 36 4 4 | 36 4 4 | " " " | " " " |
| " " " " " " " | | | 36 2 2 | 36 2 2 | 36 2 2 | " " " | " " " |
| 3/4 | 33 3 " | 33 3 " | 167 4 8 | 163 2 7 | 161 10 9 | 1 7 10 | " " " |

Coimbatore 11th July 1845. E. E. Fr.

/Signed/ J. C. Throughton
Princl Collr

Abstract

6009

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

Abstract Comparative Statement of the Settlements of Davustanums and other Enam lands in the Coimbatore District for Fusly 1253 and 1254

| | Comparison between Settlement of Fusly 1253 & 1254. | | | | Collection of Fusly 1253 | Collections of Fusly 1254 | | | Balance | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1253 | Fusly 1254 | Increase | Decrease | | Within the Fusly | Since collected up to December 1845 | Total | Fusly 1253 | Fusly 1254 | Total |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lands granted to Tusteek. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Davustanums | 21,430 2 1 | 22,171 3 1 | 741 1 | " " " | 21,377 32 | 22,146 14 | 27 1 | 22,171 24 | 52 14 11 | " " 9 | | 52 15 8 |
| Lands granted to Chuttrums | 5,575 11 1 | 5,618 5 8 | 42 10 7 | " " " | 5,575 11 1 | 5,354 9 5 | 249 13 7 | 5,604 7 " | " " " | | 13 14 8 | 13 14 8 |
| Lands granted to | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public Choultries | 161 10 9 | 163 2 7 | 1 7 10 | " " " | 161 10 9 | 163 2 7 | " " " | 163 2 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Do. Do. to sundry Davustanums and Chuttrums | 5,781 3 4 | 5,722 9 " | " " " | 6 10 7 | 5,562 1 | 5,681 0 5 | 22 11 3 | 5,681 0 5 | 3 8 4 | 26 3 7 | | |
| Total | 27,765 3 2 | 28,524 14 1 | 785 3 5 | 6 10 7 | 27,670 11 1 | 28,230 7 9 | 276 14 7 | 28,507 6 4 | 75 10 2 | 17 7 9 | 93 1 11 | |

Coimbatore 11th July 1845

C. C. [illegible]

/Signed/ J. C. Throughton
Prin. Coll.

Statement

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

No. 2

Statement shewing the arrears from Fasly 1225 to 1254 under the heads Devastanum and Chettrum Enam lands &c. in the District of Cimbatore.

| Fasleys | Lands allotted for the supp=port of Devasta=num | Lands allotted for the supp=port of Chettrum but subse=quently re=sumed | Lands allotted for the supp=port of Mosaker =khanahs subsequently resumed | Lands set aside at the settle=ment of Enam as requiring further in=vestigation | Lands allotted as Enam to Bra=mins but resumed from the in=abvence of the claimants | Jodee Enam lands given up. | Bridge Enam lands | Brampoke land farming the com=pound for Bun=galow | Lands allotted for Petty Devasta=nums since resumed | Fakeer Enam | Lands allotted for the pay of Mechanic Sibbendy | Land settled for the pay of Kunda=char sib=bendy | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1225 | 555 6 5 | 913 4 3 | 57 7 1 | 48 13 10 | 55 12 9 | 50 15 5 | | | | | | | 1,746 4 9 |
| 1226 | 1,024 15 " | 833 1 5 | 37 2 9 | 40 11 11 | 46 5 6 | 57 6 3 | | | | | | | 2,073 14 1 |
| 1227 | 221 13 " | 384 11 4 | 42 9 3 | 20 " 7 | " " " " | 87 | | | | | | | 818 6 11 |
| 1228 | 181 0 2 | 287 14 11 | 31 6 6 | 12 11 " | 9 1 4 | 15 " 9 | | | | | | | 392 2 1 |
| 1229 | 58 1 " | 31 2 3 | " " " | 5 7 " | 3 6 11 | " 7 11 | | | | | | | 98 9 1 |
| 1230 | 22 3 " | 71 4 9 | 11 10 8 | 1 2 6 | 1 10 2 | 2 2 " | | | | | | | 110 " 11 |
| 1231 | 25 4 8 | 24 14 " | 8 8 " | 6 3 2 | 5 3 4 | " " " | | | | | | | 70 1 2 |
| 1232 | 4 10 4 | 29 7 6 | " " " | 2 " " | " " " " | " " " | | | | | | | 42 1 8 |
| 1233 | 477 " 9 | 80 11 " | 5 13 3 | 6 3 2 | 7 7 2 | " " " | | | | | | | 622 6 4 |
| 1234 | 505 " 7 | 136 8 1 | 4 6 8 | 10 15 7 | 7 7 2 | " " " | | | | | | | 773 7 3 |
| 1235 | 96 4 7 | 33 9 9 | " " " | 15 8 " | " " " " | " " " | | | | | | | 178 14 4 |
| 1236 | 121 10 9 | 36 11 3 | " " " | 3 9 6 | " " " " | " " " | | | | | | | 190 11 8 |
| 1237 | 49 10 " | 90 6 2 | " " " | 45 14 4 | 8 7 1 | " " " | | | | | | | 194 5 7 |
| 1238 | 24 3 2 | 33 3 1 | " " " | 56 9 5 | " " " " | " " " | | | | | | | 203 10 2 |
| 1239 | 273 5 " | 36 2 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " " | " " " | | | | | | | 309 7 6 |

Fort St. George

27th April 1845.

| Fasleo | Lands allotted for the supply of Devastanums | Lands allotted for the supply of Chettiums but subsequently resumed | Lands allotted for the supply of Moosalpeer Khanahs subsequently resumed | Lands set aside at the time of the settlement of Enams requiring further investigation | Lands allotted as Enam to Brahmins &c. but resumed from the absence of the claimants | Jodee Enam lands given up | Bridge Enam Lands | Brampoke land forming the compound for Bungalow | Lands allotted for Petty Devastanums since resumed | Fakeer Enam | Lands allotted for the pay of Neeranie Sibbendy | Lands settled for the pay of Hundachas Sibbendy | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1240 | 67 31 36 | 22 1 3 | | | | | | | | | 3 4 11 | | 900 7 3 |
| 1241 | 1,091 4 | 200 10 | | | | | | | | | | | 1,302 10 7 |
| 1242 | 1,457 15 1 | 438 8 8 | | | | 7 11 3 | | | | | | | 1,916 5 6 |
| 1243 | 1018 13 4 | 226 9 4 | | | | 10 10 3 | | | | | 3 14 | | 1,261 3 |
| 1244 | 1,396 9 | 185 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1245 | 1,216 10 | 200 7 4 | | | | | | | 4 15 4 | | 4 15 4 | | 1,587 1 2 |
| 1246 | 4,505 15 1 | 475 4 4 | 6 | | | | | | | | 3 7 2 | | 1,447 13 2 |
| 1247 | 1,374 14 9 | 327 6 11 | | | | | | | | | 31 5 | | 5,106 6 2 |
| 1248 | 648 6 8 | 57 2 11 | 17 4 | | | | | | 116 9 | | 3 8 | | 1712 |
| 1249 | 234 3 2 | 94 15 7 | | | | | | | | | 5 13 | | 731 3 7 |
| 1250 | 296 12 11 | 35 14 11 | 7 10 | | | | | | 9 10 4 | | | | 339 6 7 |
| 1251 | 171 6 7 | 28 4 2 | | | | | | | | | 8 5 8 | | 373 11 3 |
| 1252 | 157 5 6 | 22 15 5 | | | | | | | | | 10 3 10 | | 199 10 9 |
| 1253 | 52 14 11 | 22 11 3 | | | | | | | | | 33 14 7 | | 180 4 11 |
| 1254 | 9 | 17 7 | | | | | | | | | | | 75 10 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17 7 9 |
| Total | 17,774 10 6 | 5,577 6 2 | 223 5 10 | 295 14 | 144 12 11 | 144 14 5 | 191 12 11 | 8 6 1 | 257 5 3 | 4 15 | 133 9 | 238 14 9 | 24,975 6 7 |

Coimbatore, March 23d 1846. C. E. Lt

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Coll.r

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

To the Chief Secy to Government
Submitting a letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore forwarding Statements of the settlement of the Devastanum & other Enam lands in his District for Fusly 1254 & recommending that sanction may be granted for striking out of the public accounts the irrecoverable balances amounting to Rupees 24975-6-7.

(40-41)

Sir,

1.- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collr of Coimbatore submitting Statements of the settlement of the Devastanum and other Enam lands in his District for Fusly 1254- A.D. 1844/45.-

2.- Neither in the settlement itself which exceeded by Rupees 778-8-10 that of the previous Fusly- nor in its realization which had nearly been effected in full at the date of the report, does there appear any thing which calls for special notice.-

3 It will however be seen from the 4th Para of the Principal Collectors letter that arrears to the extent of Rs. 24975-6-7 have accumulated during the last thirty years and from the enquiries made it does not seem that any portion of this amount is recoverable- The several classes of lands on which these balances have accrued are exhibited in Statement No. 2 of the Principal Collectors report. Mr. Wroughton further states that the retention of these arrears in the accounts gives



gives an opening to oppressions and extortion on the part of the Village Officers and he therefore requests sanction to write them off.-

5. Under the circumstances stated by the Principal Collector the Board beg leave to recommend that the sanction of Government may be granted for striking these irrecoverable balances Rupees 24975-6-7 out of the Public Accounts.-

Revenue 3d Office
Fort St. George
27th April 1846

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.-

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Malabar

(42)

Sir,

With reference to your Circular letter dated 30th Ultimo. I have the honor to state that with the exception of the grant of a Palankeen allowance of twenty Pagodas per Mensem to Kulpully Ranna Guria Menon and his next heir, for special Services rendered, and which under the authority of Government dated 22d and received with the Board's letter of the 29th April 1822, was commuted into an equal abatement of the rent of his lands, there do

6015.

Fort St. George 27th April 1846

44 Statement shewing separately the Collection on account of the several Items included in the Statement of Demand Collection & Balance under the Several heads of Extra Revenue from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846 Compared with the Average Collections of the same period during the preceding Fusles.-

(44)

| Fusles | Salt | Abkarry | Sundry small Farms and Licenses | Stamp Paper & Sadjans | Grand Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 1252 | 1,35,515 6 3 | 4,21,482 12 11 | 9,540 14 2 | 1,386 10 - | 5,70,925 11 4 |
| 1253 | 83,570 4 6 | 4,39,413 7 2 | 9,590 6 3 | 82,99 8 - | 5,40,873 9 11 |
| 1254 | 1,40,684 9 - | 1,30,750 15 4 | 9,553 13 1 | 6,918 12 - | 5,93,908 15 |
| " | 3,59,770 3 9 | 12,97,647 3 5 | 28,685 1 6 | 19,604 14 - | 17,05,707 6 8 |
| " | 1,19,923 6 7 | 4,32,549 12 | 9,561 11 2 | 6,534 15 4 | 5,68,569 2 3 |
| 1255 | 89,007 8 4 | 4,13,769 6 - | 9,875 5 3 | 4,956 2 - | 5,17,608 5 7 |

From 12th July to 31st March

Average Collections

Actual Collections from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846

Madras 20th April 1846.

E. E. J.P. / Signed J. A. Pudleston
Coll.r

Order thereon-

45 Ordered to be recorded.-

Read

(44-45)

Read the following letter.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

46 46

From the Coll.r of Madras.

Sir,

Fort St. George

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to request to that if Copies of the present years Na: = tive Almanac have been received by the Board, one of them may be furnished, as usual, for the use of this Office.

From D.o 28th April 1845 — 1
To D.o 15th May — 1
From D.o 23d D.o — 1
To D.o 25th D.o — 1
To D.o 28th March 1846 — 1
From D.o 11th April — 1
To D.o 3d May 1845 — 1
From D.o 6th — 1

Madras
22d April 1846

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To

46-47 The Collector of Madras.

To the Coll.r of Madras

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Re: = venue to transmit one Tamil Almanac for the current year Parabhawa for the use of your Office as required in your letter of the 22d Instant.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th April 1846

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read



Read the following letter.

To,
The Board of Revenue
Madras.

48 Gentlemen,

From the Com: = missary General

48

6th April 1846

In acknowledging the receipt of your Secretary's letter I have the honor to inform you that the probable cost of each box will be Rupees 4- 10- 0. when one is ready it will be sent to your Secretary for in: = spection.-

Commissary General's Office,
Madras 11th April 1846

(Signed) A. Sullock Lt. Col.
Commissary General.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Memo:

Memorandum.-

48-49 Order thereon.- 49

50 48

From the M. Commis = sary General

50

With reference to a letter from this Office dated 11th April 1846, the Commis: = sary General has the honor to send, for the in: = spection of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, a Tin Box made up according to instructions received, if approved of, the others will be made like it.-

By Order

Commissary Gen.l Office,
Madras, 22d April 1846

(Signed) James Robertson
A. C. G.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To

51

To The Commissary General.

To the Commissary General

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Captain Robertson's Memo: of the 22d Instant, with the tin box which accompanied it and to inform you that the Box appears well suited to the purpose for which it is required but the lock is of rather too common a description the Box being intended for the custody of Bank notes and similar Securities. The Board request that you will be so good as to inform them what would be the cost of the box if furnished with a Bramah or other patent lock instead of that now attached to it.

50-51

To Com. 27 April 1846

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th April 1846

/ Signed / I. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

52

From Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.

Sir,

We have the honor to inform you that we have admitted Mr. William Urquhart Arbuthnot to be a partner in our Business from the 16th Instant and we refer you to his signature.



signature of the Firm underneath.

Madras ----
20th April 1846

/ Signed / Arbuthnot and Co.

Signature of Mr. William Urquhart Arbuthnot.

52-53

Order thereon. 53

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

53a

From the Pd. Coll. of Coimbatore - 53a Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Revenue Department for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

54

From the Pd. collector of Coimbatore 54 Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each in the Magistrate's Department for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

55

From the coll. of Malabar. - 55 Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Revenue Department for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

53a-56

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements 56 Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

57

From the Coll. of Ganjam - 57 Statement shewing the whole sale prices of the principal Kinds of Grain up to 10th April last.

58

From the Coll. of Guntoor. 57 Statement of the retail prices of Grain up to 20th April last

From

6020 Fort St. George 27th April 1846

59

59 From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Statement shewing the selling prices of the principal kinds of grain up to 10th April last.-

60 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Forwarding a List of the prices of the principal kinds of Grain up to 20th April last.-

57-61 Order on the above mentioned Statements } Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the undermentioned Lists:-

62 From the Pr. Collector of Madura } List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.-

63 From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura } List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.-

64 From the Coll.r of Malabar.- List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.-

65 From the Coll.r of Malabar.- List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.-

62-66 Order on the above mentioned Lists } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

67 To the Chief Secretary to Government.-

To the Chief Sec.y to Govern.t Submitting Statements } Sir

Para.l With reference to Para 3.-

6021

Statements exhibiting the Sayer & Sea Custom Establishments as they stood on the 1st April 1846 compared with the Establishments subsequently sanctioned for the Collection of the Frontier & Sea Custom duties.-

In Cons.n dy 27 April 1846 No.1

Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th May 1845, No. 61, Consultation directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Statements exhibiting the Sayer and Sea Custom Establishments as they stood on the 1st April 1846 Compared with the Establishments sanctioned by Government under dates noted in the Margin for the Collection of the Frontier and Sea Custom duties.-

16th May } 1845.-
1st Sept.r }
2nd Jan.y } 1846.-
26th Do }

It will be seen that the total

2 cost of the general Sayer Establishment as it stood on the 1st April 1846 before the abolition of the Transit & Sea duties Amounting to Rupees 338631-6-0 per Annum, and that recently sanctioned for the Establishment for the Collection of the Frontier duties

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Genl Sayer Establishment | 338631- | 6- | 0 | is Rupees 391169- |
| Frontier ---- Do. ---- | 391169- | 2- | 0 | per annum |
| Saving | 299482- | 4- | 0 | being a saving of |

Rs 299482-4-0 per Annum under this head.-

3 The Sea Custom Establishment at the several Ports under the Madras Presidency as it stood on the 1st April 1846 amounted to Rupees 98134-13-0 per Annum

Annum and the revised Establishment including that for the Madras Sea Custom House
†26th Jany 1846. as approved † by Government is Rupees 13,1,119-8—a shewing an increase of Rupees 35,684-11—a. If this be deducted from the Amount of Rupees 299482-4—a saved by the abolition of the Transit Duties the Net saving to Government in Establishment on the change of System is Rupees 263797-9—a per Annum. The reduction in Saderwarad as exhibited in enclosed Statement No 2 is Rupees 12,687-4-6 thus raising the total saving in the Land and Sea Custom Charges consequent on the introduction of Act XI 1844 to Rupees 276,485-13-6.-

| | |
|---|---|
| Saving effected by the abolition of the Transit Duties | 299482-4—a |
| Deduct increase in the Sea Custom Establishment | 35684-11-a |
| Net saving | 263797-9-a |
| Saving in the Sayer Saderwarad | 12,687-4-6 |
| | 276,484-13-6 |

4- The Board beg to explain that the Return now forwarded would have been submitted at an early date had they not been in expectation of learning shortly the pleasure of the Supreme Government in regard to the continuance or discontinuance of the Hyderabad Frontier Chokies- As however it appears doubtful when the decision



decision of the Government of India upon the subject will be communicated, the Board have not thought it advisable longer to delay the transmission of the Statements now forwarded should the abolition of the Custom House on the Hyderabad Frontier ultimately be determined on, the further reduction which will be effected as shewn in the Margin will be Rupees 13215 raising the total saving to Rupees 289699-13-6.-

| | Establishment per annum | Saderwarad per annum | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | C Rs | C Rs | C Rs | |
| Rajahmundry | 312 | 12 | 324 | |
| Masulipatam | 5310 | 93 | 5403 | |
| Guntoor | 1932 | 48 | 1980 | |
| Bellary | 3210 | 114 | 3324 | |
| Kurnool | 2094 | 90 | 2184 | |
| Total | 12858 | 357 | 13215 | |

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th April 1846

Signed J. Tyeroft
Secretary

Sayer

## Fort St. George

### Sayer

| | Establishments as it stood on the 1st April 1844 per Mensem. | Establishment of Frontier Chokies sanctioned by Government under date 16th May & 1st Sept. 1815 & 2d Jany 1816 per Mensem. | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | C Rs. a p | C Rs. a p | C Rs. a p | C Rs. a p |
| Ganjam | 516 8 " | " " " | " " " | 516 8 " |
| Vizagapatam | 741 8 " | " " " | " " " | 741 8 " |
| Rajahmundry | 1264 8 " | 161 8 " | " " " | 1100 " " |
| Masulipatam | 1719 " " | 442 8 " | " " " | 1276 8 " |
| Guntoor | 1080 " " | 161 " " | " " " | 919 " " |
| Nellore | 1387 " " | " " " | " " " | 1387 " " |
| Bellary | 1967 " " | 267 8 " | " " " | 1699 8 " |
| Cuddapah | 1225 8 " | " " " | " " " | 1225 8 " |
| Kurnool | 199 " " | 174 8 " | " " " | 24 8 " |
| Chingleput | 613 8 " | " " " | " " " | 613 8 " |
| North Arcot | 1744 8 " | " " " | " " " | 1744 8 " |
| South Arcot | 1942 11 9 | 610 " " | " " " | 1332 11 9 |
| Salem | 1608 8 " | " " " | " " " | 1608 8 " |
| Tanjore | 1794 8 " | 194 " " | " " " | 1600 8 " |
| Trichinopoly | 720 2 " | " " " | " " " | 720 2 " |
| Tinnevelly | 1107 10 9 | 236 14 10 | " " " | 870 11 11 |
| Madura | 1191 " " | 43 12 " | " " " | 1147 4 " |
| Coimbatore | 665 " " | 82 4 " | " " " | 582 12 " |
| Canara | 2515 12 " | 502 " " | " " " | 2013 12 " |
| Malabar | 1061 " " | 583 8 " | " " " | 677 8 " |
| Madras | 3155 " " | " " " | " " " | 3155 " " |
| Total per Mensem | 28219 4 6 | 3262 6 10 | " " " | 24956 13 8 |
| Total per Annum | 338631 6 " | 39149 2 " | " " " | 299482 4 " |
| Total Saderwasel per Do. | 13561 " 6 | 873 12 " | " " " | 12687 4 6 |
| Grand Total per annum | 352192 6 6 | 40022 14 " | " " " | 312169 8 6 |
| Deduct Increase in Sea Custom | | | | 35684 11 " |
| Net Saving | | | | 276484 13 6 |



## Fort St. George 27th April 1846.

### Sea Customs.

| | Establishment as it stood on the 1st April 1844 per Mensem. | Establishment Sanctioned by Govt on the 1st July 1845 & 1st January 1846 per Mensem. | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | C Rs. a p | C Rs. a p | C Rs. a p | C Rs. a p |
| Ganjam | 132 " " | 149 8 " | 17 8 " | " " " |
| Vizagapatam | 141 8 " | 176 8 " | 35 " " | " " " |
| Rajahmundry | 312 " " | 312 " " | " " " | " " " |
| Masulipatam | 186 12 " | 175 8 " | " " " | 11 4 " |
| Guntoor | 40 " " | 40 " " | " " " | " " " |
| Nellore | 185 " " | 215 " " | 30 " " | " " " |
| North Arcot | 44 " " | 44 " " | " " " | " " " |
| South Arcot | 288 2 " | 377 " " | 88 14 " | " " " |
| Tanjore | 556 12 " | 648 4 " | 91 8 " | " " " |
| Madura | 154 " " | 240 " " | 86 " " | " " " |
| Tinnevelly | 168 " " | 198 " " | 30 " " | " " " |
| Canara | 1520 8 " | 2103 8 " | 583 " " | " " " |
| Malabar | 1811 14 " | 1907 6 " | 95 8 " | " " " |
| Sea Custom Madras | 2662 5 | 4590 " " | 1927 9 7 | " " " |
| Total per Mensem | 8202 14 5 | 11170 10 " | 2984 15 7 | 11 4 " |
| | | | | Do. Decrease |
| | | | 2973 11 7 | Net Increase |
| Total per annum | 98434 13 " | 134119 8 " | 35684 11 " | " " " |

* Amount Recommended by the Board & approved by Government 26th January 1846.

# Parish of George 27th April 1846.

## Corps.

| | Estimated Value as it stands in the Valuation of 1844 | Estimated Value as increased by Amendment. | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Arms of Cross | £ s d | £ s d | £ s d | £ s d |
| Do. Head | 314 " " | " " " | " " " | 314 " " |
| Do. Neck | 778 8 " | " " " | " " " | 778 8 " |
| Do. Chest | 792 " " | 198 " " | " " " | 594 " " |
| Colon | 583 31 | " " " | " " " | 583 31 |
| Carpus | 806 310 | 36 12 " | " " " | 769 710 |
| Metacarpus | 486 109 | " " " | " " " | 486 109 |
| Innominata | 372 " " | 96 " " | " " " | 276 " " |
| Clavicle | 737 48 | 24 " " | " " " | 713 48 |
| Coxa | 727 " " | 51 " " | " " " | 676 " " |
| Femur | 473 71 | " " " | " " " | 473 71 |
| Patella | 301 26 | 99 " " | " " " | 202 26 |
| Tibia | 265 54 | " " " | " " " | 265 54 |
| Fibula | 168 " " | 90 " " | " " " | 18 " " |
| Os Calcis | 1356 " 6 | 8731 2 " | " " " | 1268 4 6 |

Total

* In the several Items of Valuation in the Valuation Book Decimals must be understood as Fractions of Pounds only and not Decimals in that Valuation. The Fractions of Pounds are to be expressed in Twelfths and Twentieths of a Pound.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

18

To the Chief Sec.y to Government. } Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government, conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken set of their Proceedings for the month of January last, for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th April 1846. } /Signed/ T. Pycroft Secretary

Resolved that the following Letters be dispatched.

To the Collector of Salem.

69

To the Collector of Salem. } Sir,

In your Letters of 21st October 1843 and 6th October 1845 you have particularized the items of Vapaulay leaves, Bamboos and sealing Wax as those of the Petty farms of your District which are open to objection and might properly be abolished. The Board request that you will state what are the other "Petty Farms" of Salem besides the three above enumerated - and that you will explain their nature and mode of operation - the parties by whom the farms are usually rented - and those who contribute

In Con 26th October 1843 & 13th October 1845.

69



contribute to them adding to your report the amount of revenue realized from each item for the average of the past ten Fusles.

2. And as is of importance that the subject of small Farms which has been for some time under the consideration of the Board should be disposed of at an early date, it is hoped that you will be able to furnish the information now called for within ten days after the receipt of this letter.

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George — 27th April 1846. — } /Signed/ T. Pycroft Secretary.

To

The Collector of
Salem.

70

To the Coll.r of Salem } Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement of the 19th December 1845 on Petition No. 913 of that year, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will furnish the Board with further information on the following points connected with the Petition in question.

1st The circumstances under which the Petitioners produce was first distrained and sold, without the knowledge of the Board.

2nd The subsequent sale and transfer (with the Board's Sanction) of a piece of land belonging to Petitioner, without advertence to the previous sale of Grain, for the alleged purpose of realizing the arrears due by him for Fusly 1252.

3d The Board wish also to be informed how the proceeds of the sale of this land were applied, not only to the liquidation of the arrears due for Fusly 1253, as advertised, but also of the arrears on account of Fusly 1254.

70

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George — 27th April 1846. — } /Signed/ T. Pycroft Secretary.

Fort St. George 27th April 1846

To

The Collector of

Salem.

71

To the Colls. of Salem

71

Sir,

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 541 of the Current year, from one Samiah late a joint Curnum of Shennatoorein Ossoor Talook in your District having been received by Tappal, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward it to you, and request that you will be pleased to submit for their information all the proceedings which may have passed in the case together with any further observations which you may have to offer on the subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th April 1846.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To

The Collector of

Malabar.

72

72

To the Collector of Malabar.
The same to Canara.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for sanction the Statements of Charges incurred under and without estimates in your District for the past Fusly 1254.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th April 1846.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To



Fort St. George 27th April 1846

To

The Accountant General

Civil Auditor

&

Sub Treasurer. Committee for

passing Contingent Charges.

73

To the Acct. Genl. Civil Auditor & Sub Treasurer.

73

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1841 published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent Charges incurred in this Office for the month of March last, amounting to Rupees 22-7- and to request that they may be passed and returned.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th April 1846.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To

G. A. Smith Esqre

74

To G. A. Smith Esqre at Madras

74

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information the accompanying copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22d July 1844 with copy of the Extract from the letter from the Honble the Court of Directors therein referred

In Cons. 1st August 1844

referred to.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
27th April 1846. } [Signed] T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements

74a

From the Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of the General and Revenue departments for the month of May 1846.

74a-75

75 Order thereon. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned.

76

From the Asst Collector of the Nth Divn of Arcot. } Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of March last.

76

77

From the Asst Collector of the Nth Divn of Arcot. } Return of persons suspended and dismissed from Office under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of March last.

77

78

From the Asst Collector of the Nth Divn of Arcot. } Stating that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of March last.

78

79

From the Acting Collector of Chingleput. Forwarding a duplicate Copy

79



Copy of the report of the 20th December 1845 on the subject of frauds occurred in the Covelong Salt pans.

80

From the Asst Collr of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March 1846

81

From the Collector of Madras. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March 1846.

82

From the Asst Collr of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March 1846.

83

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

83

84

From the Asst Collr of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of March last.

84

85

From the Collector of Madras. Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of March last.

85

86

From the Collr of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1845/46 up to 15th April last.

86

87

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Stating that the Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of December last is not sent there being no entry to make.

87

Stating

88

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry- Stating that the Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of January last is not sent there being no entry to make-

89

From the Asst Sub. Treasurer- Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of his Office-

89-90

Order thereon- 90 Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned-

91

From the Coll.r of Tanjore- 91 Submitting an Indent for printed forms of Bills of Exchange-

91-92

Order thereon- 92 Ordered to be supplied accordingly

" G.D. Drury

" A Maclean

" Jno Cumming

Comd.d by
L.C. Boyle
Secretary



# Diary

27th April Sent the following letter

To the Principal Collector of Coimbatore

1

To the Princ.l Coll.r of Coimbatore---

Sir,

In Cons.n 6th April 1846

With reference to your letter of the 16th March last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the Estimate for making Shelves Tables and Chairs required for the use of the English Writers in your Office, amounting to Rupees 152 9 5

From Govt 17th In Cons.n 23d April 1846 No. 439-

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George --- 27th April 1846-----

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient humble Servant Signed/ T. Pycroft Secretary

A t

Thursday 30th April 1840

At a Meeting Present
G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.
A. Maclean Esqre acting Second Member
and
I. Goldingham Esqre acting Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of consultation dated 5th and entered in consultation 30th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.

(1a)

Circular — To all Collrs except Madras

Requesting them to state whether it may be practicable to furnish Cs Nerricks such as may afford an efficient Check upon the Commissariat Charges at the several Stations of the Army.—

Cons. 30th April 1840

1—1a

Circular.

Sir,

Para 1 The Board of Revenue having been directed in Extract Minutes of consultation in the Military Department dated the 24th February last to ascertain whether it may not be practicable for Collectors to furnish Nerricks such as may afford an efficient check upon the Commissariate Charges at the several Stations of the army I am instructed to transmit for your information the enclosed Extracts from the correspondence which accompanied the above orders of Government and to request that you will explain the mode

From Comr General 28th July 1845
From Capt Colphinstone 5th July 1845
From Coll Bellary 25th June 1845



mode in which the Quarterly Price current furnished to the Officers commanding the Divisions in which your District is situated pursuant to the Board's Circular dated 31st March 1831 are framed, and the date upon which the wholesale and retail prices of the several articles enumerated in the list then communicated for guidance are regulated.

2 It appears from the accompanying Extract from the correspondence from Government that a material difference exists between the rates recorded in the Nerricks supplied by the Collectors and by the Military superintendant of Police, so as to render them inconclusive checks upon the charges incurred by the Commissariat Department. You will be pleased therefore to ascertain and report from what circumstances the difference referred to arises, and at the same time state whether more precise and correct information than would appear to be contained in the present price currents supplied from your Office, can be obtained from sources distinct from those by which the nerrick of the Commissariat Department are regulated, and also if any mode present itself to you by which the complete and

and accurate statement of prices required by Government can be procured, to meet the object in view.

3 The Board would observe that where such a system of computing the current rates was not adopted that it would perhaps conduce to greater accuracy if the average market prices rendered by the Merchants of the Cutcherry Town at the close of each Market day were included in the Statements furnished to the Military Department

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th April 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To the President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to call your attention to the Orders of the dates noted in the margin and to request that the reports required by Government on the important subjects there brought under consideration may be submitted without delay, and that you will be pleased to

16th May 1845 No. 611 - Statement of the Saving upon Establishments since the abolition of the Transit duties and change of System.
10th Sept. 1845 No. 1067 - Tobacco Monopoly.
15th Do. " 1069 - Loom Tax.
25th Oct. " " 1236 - Vessabuddy and Moturpha.
1st Dec. " " 1380 - Reform of the Small Farms.

2



to state, whether these reports are now in a state of forwardness.

Fort St. George
31st March 1846

/Signed/ I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 31st ultimo, requesting that the reports required by Government on the subjects noted in the Margin may be submitted without delay and that the Board will state whether those reports are now in a state of forwardness

2-3

To the Chief Secy. to Govt. 30th April

Stating in reply to the letter from Govt. dated 31st ultimo that the reports on the Loom Tax and on the Saving effected in the Custom Establishment have been submitted and that the report upon the Small Farms is in a state of forwardness. Also stating that the subject of the Tobacco Monopoly and the Vessabuddy & Moturpha taxes are engaging the Board's earnest attention & that the reports upon them shall be forwarded at the earliest period in which the result of their enquiries and deliberations can be submitted in a matured and digested form.
27th April 1846.

Loom Tax
Saving of Establishment since abolition of Transit duties and change of System.
Reform of Small Farms.
Tobacco Monopoly
Vessabuddy and Moturpha

In reply the Board direct me to state that their report on the Loom Tax was laid before Government on the 22d September last. That on the saving effected in the Custom Establishment has been submitted since the receipt of the letter now acknowledged. The report upon the Small Farms is in a state of forwardness.

In

In regard to the reports of upon the Tobacco Monopoly and upon the Veerabuddy and Moturpha Farms of I am instructed to state that from the comprehensive nature of the latter of these subjects and from the difficulty found in respect to the former in suggesting any efficient reform of the present system or in devising any adequate substitute it is probable that some time will elapse before the views of the Board upon those questions can be laid before Government. Both subjects are however engaging their earnest attention and the reports upon them shall be forwarded at the earliest period in which the result of their inquiries and deliberations can be submitted in a matured and digested form.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th April 1840

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Extract

4

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th April 1840

From the Chief Secy to Govt
To J. F. Thomas Esqre
Chief Secy to the Govt of Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your letter of No 3



No 3 dated the 24th Ultimo, I am directed to transmit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council of Fort St. George, the annexed copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Honorable the President in Council in the Home Department dated the 28th Instant, authorizing the restoration of the Office of Master attendant at Tuticorin on a Salary of Rupees 200 per mensem.

Fort William
The 31st March 1840

Signed J. Dorin
Secretary to the Govt of India

Extract from the Proceedings of the Honble the President of the Council of India in Council in the Home Department under date the 28th March 1840

Read Extract from the Proceedings in the Financial Department No 427, dated the 13th Instant containing copy of a letter from the Government of Madras recommending the grant of a Salary of Rupees 200 per mensem to the Master attendant proposed to be appointed at Tuticorin.—

Resolution

In compliance with the recommendation of the Government of Fort St. George the President in Council is pleased to authorize the restoration of the Office of

of Master attendant at Tuticorin and to attach a salary of Rupees 200 per mensem to the situation

Order

Ordered, that a copy of the foregoing Resolution be forwarded to the Financial Department in reply to the Extract from that Department dated the 13th Instant.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ G. A. Bushby

Secy to the Govt of India

Resolved accordingly that the Office of Master Attendant at Tuticorin be re-established, and that a salary of Rupees /200/ Two hundred per mensem be attached thereto,

4-5

/Signed/ A. F. Thomas

Chief Secretary

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded-

5

Read the following Extract

From the Secy to Government

6

Sanctioning certain Statements of Charges-

6

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th April 1846

The following List of Statements of ordinary charges in the Provinces submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue are ordered to be recorded-

/Here enter Lists Nos 1698-72 209 and 305/

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the several charges, actual and estimated, recommended by the Board of



Actual 1253 and 54 Rupees 4,23,616-11-2 of Revenue in their remarks

Estimated 1255 Rupees 23093-5-6 on the Statements amounting

Total Rupees 4,46,710-4-10 in the aggregate to Rupees

4,46,710-4-10 Four Lacs forty six thousand Seven hundred and ten, and Pice ten, being inclusive of the undermentioned items submitted for the orders of Government-

Statement No. 3313 Rupees 191-7-8 Contingent charges incurred in Malabar in the 3d Quarter of 1845

" No. 66 Items / Rupees 133-2-0 Batta to Police Peons escorting convicts from Bellary to other Districts

" No. 54 Item / Rupees 36-4-6 Batta to convicts in Coimbatore

" " " Rupees 4-10-4 Paid hire for conveying a sick convict from Coimbatore to Sentencery Drooog.

2 In reference to the Boards remarks on the above items, His Lordship in Council directs that the charges on account of convicts and those incurred under the orders of the Court of Sonjdaree Adalut,

Adalut, be submitted in future through the Court, and that in all instances where Magistrates may deviate from these instructions, the Statements be returned immediately for correction, to prevent delay in the adjustment of the charges.

Rupees 414-2-6 Pay of the Curnams on the Pyleny Wells in Madura Item 16th in Statement No. 2886-

3 The disbursement noted in the Margin, being a fixed charge, should not have been included in the annual Statement of charges submitted for the Sanction of Government- as it appears from the Civil Auditor's reply to the reference made to him under date the 16th June 1845- that the payment was retrenched by that Officer in consequence of the date of authority not having been quoted in support of the charge, the Board will instruct the Principal Collector to attend to this particular and thereby obviate future retrenchments in his accounts-

From Civil Audr. 19th July 1845 No. 140-

4. These Statements contain omissions and many irregular entries, and should therefore have been returned to the Collectors for correction previously

Statement No. 3436- of Charges in the Salem District-
" No. 26- of Extra Charges in the Magistrates Depart. in Chingleput-
" No. 3713- of Charges in 2da in Tinnevelly-



previously to their being submitted to Government- The Board will be pleased to observe this course in future- and in cases where they may object to any charges for want of explanation the same should be at once obtained and submitted with the Statement, and thus obviate the necessity of further application for the sanction of Government to trifling items-

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

To the Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Princl Collr of Madura

From Govt to Trichinopoly 30th April 1846-

6-7

In Cons. 23d March 1846-

Para 3-

In Cons. 30th April 1846-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the sum of Rupees 18,666-7-1 entered in the Statement submitted by you under date 11th July last of charges incurred without estimate in your District for the past Fasly 1254 and with reference to Item No. 16 of that Statement to transmit the accompanying Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th Instant stating that the disbursement on account of the pay of the curnams on

on the Pulney Hills being a fixed charge should not be included in this Statement, you will be pleased in future to attend to this order and in forwarding your accounts to the audit Office you should be careful to give the date of authority under which the charge is incurred.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th April 1846

Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following Extracts

From the Secy to Government &c

8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th April 1846

Read the following letter

To T. V. Stonhouse Esqre
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 261 dated the 22d February 1845 with enclosures, and in reply to state for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council that the explanation therein afforded of the causes of the deficiency in the revenues of the Nedavole Zemindary while under Government management is considered by the President in Council to be satisfactory.

2 The original Enclosures

of



if your letter are returned.

Fort William
The 21st March 1846

Signed/ G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the Govt of India

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue.

Signed/ E. B. Thompson
Sect to Govt

8-9

9

Resolution thereon-

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing letter from the Government of India and of the Board's letter of the 30th January 1845 be transmitted for the information of the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to his report dated 24th August 1844.

Infon 21st Sept 1844-

From the Secy to Government &c

10

10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 14th April 1846

Read the following papers

From the Secy to the Board of Revenue (Received 12th February 1846 No. 69)

Before passing final orders on the subject of the foregoing communication the Most Noble the Governor in Council requests the Board of Revenue will state for the information of Government, the grounds on which they suppose Mr. De Vaz can discharge the duties of Sheristadar, in addition to "the most important part of his present duties, and whether by the Union of the two Offices any checks

against

against abuse will be thereby lessened or removed, and whether they propose this as an arrangement solely applicable to Mr. De Vaz himself, or as a permanent arrangement for the office. The Board will also explain more fully the necessity and public advantage of the arrangement proposed in regard to the two other parties, to whom it is proposed to assign additional duties and in consequence to increase their salaries.-

D. Vencata Soobyah
P. Augustees
Nara Moodelly

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(signed) C. D. Thompson
Sec.y to Gov.t

Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter of the 22d January last.- Mr. Huddleston will be pleased to state the grounds on which he supposes Mr. D. Vaz can discharge the duties of Seristadar in addition to the most important part of his present duties and whether, if the two offices are united any checks against abuse will be thereby lessened or removed, he is also requested to explain more fully the necessity and public advantage of the arrangement proposed in regard to Vencata Soobyah and Augustees Nara Moodelly to whom it is proposed to assign additional duties and in consequence to increase their salaries.-

Read

11-11



Read the following Extract-

12

From the Deputy Secy. to Govt.

Desiring the Board to verify the period of Cauza Lingiah's Services in Hoozoor Serishta Mudutgar in the Mulraunze & Valsaraddy Estates in the Pillah of Guntoor.-

12

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st April 1840.-

Read the following letter.-

To, J. F. Thomas Esqr.
Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Para 1. In returning the original Communication and its enclosures received with the order of Government in the Public Department No. 350 dated 14th Ultimo I have the honor to report for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council that the average of the Salary or authorized Official allowance drawn by Cauza Lingiah Hugoor Serista Mudutgar in the Mulraunze Estate in the Pillah of Guntoor during the last five years of the undermentioned period of his services is Rupees 20-- per mensem; and that he appears from the Monthly and annual lists of Establishment furnished to this Office to have been employed as Serishta & Mudutgar of land Revenue from 25th March 1833 to 12th April 1842 being a period of 9 years and 19 days.-

2 With reference to the period for which Cauza Lingiah is stated to have been

been employed as additional Sherista Madutgar in the Guntoor Collectorate Viz.t from 1st September 1822 to 28th November 1824, being 2 years, 2 Months and 28 days, I beg to state that I am unable to verify it in Consequence of his name not appearing in the Monthly and annual lists of Establishment furnished to this Office for that period.-

3. In regard to the undermentioned alledged periods of Service of Cauza Lingiah I beg to state that the Charges of Estates under Government management not being debitable to Government are not forwarded to this Office, but I presume are transmitted to the Board of Revenue.

| | | Yrs | Ms | Ds |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| As Sherista Madutgar in the Mulrauze Estate from 29th November 1824 to 15th October 1827 | | 2 | 10 | 17 |
| As Do. Do. in the Vafsareddy Estate from 16th October 1827 to 24th March 1833 | | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| As Do. Do. in the Mulrauze Estate from 13th April 1842 to 31st Jany. 1840 | | 3 | 9 | 9 |

Fort St. George
Civil Auditor's Office
20th March 1840

/Signed/ J. C. Morris
Civil Auditor

1. Ordered that a Copy of para. 2 of the foregoing letter be furnished to the



the Collector of Guntoor in reference to his Head Assistant's letter of the 31st January last with a request that he will ascertain and report whether that portion of the alleged Service of Cauza Lingiah which the Audit Office is unable to verify is supported by the records of his Office.-

2. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to verify the portion of Cauza Lingiah's alleged Service to which Para. 3 refers.-

/Signed/ R. G. Clarke
Dep.y Secy. to Govt.

12-13

Resolution thereon- Resolved that a Copy of Paras 2 and 3 of the letter from the Civil Auditor dated 20th March last which accompanied the foregoing order of Government be transmitted to the Collector of Guntoor who will be pleased to ascertain and report whether the alleged periods of Service of Cauza Lingiah noted in the margin, are supported by the records of his Office.-

Read

| | Yrs | Ms | Ds |
|---|---|---|---|
| Head Assistant Sheristadar from 1st September 1822 to 28th November 1824 | 2 | 2 | 28 |
| As Sheristadar in the Mulrauze Estate from 29th November 1824 to 15th October 1827 | 2 | 10 | 17 |
| As Do. in the Vafsareddy Estate from 16th October 1827 to 24th March 1833 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| As Do. in the Mulrauze Estate from 13th April 1842 to 31st January 1840 | 3 | 9 | 9 |

6050 Fort St. George 30th April 1840

Read the following Resolutions.

14

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th April 1840.
(From Board 16th April.)

14

Resolved that the yearly allowance of Rupees (48-15-5) Forty, Annas fifteen, and pice five, per annum, and the Mauriem of two Cawnies being the half share enjoyed by the late Sheik Tippoo in the Service Grant to the Mosque at Puttapetta be continued to his Son Badradeen from the 20th of October 1845 the date of his Father's decease.-

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to
Government

15

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Order of Government, and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 24th March last.-

Extract

6051/6052 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.-

16

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th April 1846.-
(From Board 16th April)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement recommended by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter, amounting to Rupees (234-4-9) Two hundred and Thirty four, annas four and Pice Nine.-

(Signed) Ea. P. Thompson
Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

16-17

To The Collector of South Arcot

To the Collr. South Arcot

Sir,

From Govt. dated 27th inst. 30th April 1846 No. 470.-

With reference to your letter of the 18th March last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant sanction for the payment to the heirs of the late Government Vakeel of the Cuddalore Court the sum of Rupees 234-4-9 being the amount of the Vakeel's fees adjudged to be paid by the defendant (the Collector) in suit No. 296 of 1842 on the file of the Principal Sudr ameen's Court.-

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

18

From the Accountant General's

18

I have the honor to transmit to you the accompanying General Statement of the Revenue Department for the past Fasly year 1254 A.D. 1844/45 which has been prepared with reference to the instructions conveyed in the General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Revenue Department dated 22d May 1818.-

Fort St. George Acct. Genl's Office 4th April 1846.

(Signed) J. V. Monhouse
Accountt. Genl.

General

6052

for the three preceding Years and in the Fort St. George Old account

30 1/2

and

6052

Land Revenue—

Arrears—

| | Old Balances | Fusly 1251 | Fusly 1252 | Fusly 1253 | Total arrears | Current Fusly 1254 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 607 2 10 | 378 4 | 577 6 5 | 5,054 10 | 6,617 3 7 | 54,966 | 61,583 3 7 |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 411 4 3 | 209 4 7 | 1,357 4 5 | 45,651 1 11 | 47,728 15 2 | 18,20,087 14 8 | 18,67,736 13 10 |
| 6 | 155 4 5 | 96 15 11 | 1,395 2 10 | 9,770 3 3 | 11,417 10 5 | 22,12,744 14 8 | 22,24,162 9 1 |
| 7 | | | 390 7 2 | 24,329 13 10 | 24,720 5 | 21,58,140 3 3 | 21,24,860 8 3 |
| 8 | | 5 4 10 | 38 11 10 | 23,093 13 6 | 23,137 14 2 | 19,97,147 | 20,40,315 3 |
| 9 | 123 1 5 | 233 13 9 | 130 4 5 | 19,916 11 8 | 20,403 15 3 | 16,19,676 15 | 16,40,080 14 3 |
| 10 | 2,024 6 11 | 459 6 2 | 3,044 8 4 | 1,16,727 10 1 | 121,255 15 6 | 35,19,114 11 | 36,40,370 10 6 |
| 2 | 347 9 6 | 25 7 7 | 168 3 | 3,764 14 9 | 4,306 2 10 | 2,45,412 11 3 | 2,49,718 14 1 |
| 11 | 1,030 7 | 162 14 3 | 999 15 10 | 1,62,467 14 10 | 1,64,710 13 6 | 94,97,600 10 8 | 11,44,471 8 2 |
| 12 | 111,146 5 4 | 5,979 12 9 | 28,087 9 1 | 1,11,246 5 3 | 3,01,460 | 15,73,800 5 8 | 18,75,260 6 1 |
| 13 | 53,107 11 9 | 19,481 4 11 | 12,499 1 8 | 1,69,067 4 8 | 2,54,155 7 | 6,19,791 1 11 | 8,73,946 8 11 |
| 14 | 2,492 | 1,500 7 9 | 8,817 13 2 | 65,031 13 8 | 77,839 4 7 | 6,35,875 15 | 7,13,715 3 7 |
| 15 | 28,221 1 6 | 215 7 8 | 3,323 6 4 | 96,447 1 7 | 128,207 1 1 | 8,24,540 9 | 9,52,747 10 1 |
| 16 | 12,369 | 17,510 15 8 | 96,617 9 7 | 1,25,732 13 5 | 2,32,230 6 8 | 7,43,671 | 9,75,901 7 2 |
| 17 | 232 | 154 4 | 1,052 8 10 | 40,074 7 8 | 41,304 4 10 | 16,36,398 12 9 | 16,78,203 2 7 |
| 18 | | | 17 13 9 | 19,013 14 8 | 19,031 12 5 | 5,68,230 4 3 | 5,87,262 |
| 2 | | | | 1,128 13 2 | 1,128 13 2 | 47,684 5 5 | 48,813 2 9 |
| 2 | | 12 7 5 | 1,723 9 2 | 11,364 9 6 | 13,101 10 1 | 42,193 7 9 | 55,294 1 10 |
| 24 | 129 4 | 3,011 4 7 | 3,173 15 6 | 44,845 12 6 | 51,149 11 | 5,98,796 2 | 6,49,945 10 1 |
| 25 | 212,234 4 10 | 94,526 2 6 | 143,415 7 4 | 11,03,729 13 11 | 15,53,905 12 7 | 2,15,10,553 9 5 | 233,64,459 6 |
| 27 | 248,781 1 11 | 166,701 8 2 | 150,273 10 | 16,99,917 8 9 | 22,65,473 3 8 | 216,28,636 9 1 | 333,94,109 12 9 |

Sundry small Farms and Licences—

Mutapha

| | Collections within the Fusly | Extra Revenue | Interest account | Total | Collections within the Fusly | Extra Revenue | Total | Sayer Inland Town & Frontier duly Collected within the Fusly |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 43868 15 | 19 14 11 | | 43888 13 11 | | | | 397 10 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 21,947 14 2 | 44 9 | 7 8 | 21,992 8 10 | 16,079 | 2 9 | 16,081 10 | 180,546 2 |
| 6 | 932 4 7 | | | 7324 7 | 87,925 8 | 1 12 2 | 87,194 1 10 | 16,286 |
| 7 | 18,636 6 11 | 8 6 4 | | 18,644 13 3 | 26,286 3 4 | 107 13 5 | 26,394 | 9,10,916 9 |
| 8 | 15,601 12 4 | 211 10 10 | | 15,813 7 2 | 1,44,239 10 | 156 8 9 | 1,44,396 | 10 7 9,445 5 |
| 9 | 48,705 11 2 | | | 48,705 11 2 | 16,501 6 7 | 17 7 1 | 16,518 13 8 | 12,623 4 |
| 1 | 22,768 10 5 | | | 22,768 10 5 | 36,411 4 4 | 7 1 8 | 36,411 15 9 | 26,294 12 |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,829 13 3 | | | 1,829 13 3 | 3,723 14 11 | | 3,723 14 11 | |
| 11 | 15,155 15 9 | 154 7 5 | | 15,310 7 2 | 27,858 122 | 74 11 10 | 27,958 8 | 21 |
| 12 | 7,698 11 3 | | | 7,698 11 3 | 43,803 10 | 24 12 | 43,827 12 10 | 4,222 |
| 13 | 178 | | | 178 | 17,441 7 | 8 1 2 | 17,449 1 9 | 38,666 |
| 14 | 7,297 13 2 | 5 11 | | 7,307 1 2 | 30,726 15 | | 30,726 15 | 199 |
| 2 | 4,136 12 6 | | | 4,136 12 6 | 3,223 2 | | 3,223 2 | 14,780 |
| 15 | | | | | 7,238 12 6 | | 7,238 12 6 | |
| 16 | 3,610 2 5 | | | 3,610 2 5 | 8,479 4 1 | | 8,479 4 1 | 28 6 |
| 17 | 9,904 6 5 | 10 11 6 | | 9,915 11 3 | 285 8 7 | 29,115 3 | 29,391 3 10 | 16,927 11 |
| 2 | | | | | 38515 | | 38515 | |
| 18 | 4,263 14 2 | 2 | | 4,263 14 4 | | | | 3,150 |
| 2 | 5,736 12 6 | 14 8 | 4 | 5,736 12 6 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | 190 5 | | 190 5 | 423 |
| 2 | 4,541 4 2 | | | 4,541 4 2 | | | | |
| 2 | 599 2 | | | 599 2 | 1 11 11 | 591 13 11 | 3,493 13 5 | 1,143 |
| 22 | 9,837 11 11 | 473 7 9 | | 10,311 3 8 | | | | |
| 23 | 26,408 11 10 | 35 | | 26,443 11 10 | 23,123 11 10 | | 23,123 11 10 | 37,804 |
| 24 | 4,312 2 5 | | | 4,312 2 5 | 45,381 2 5 | 93 5 4 | 45,474 7 9 | 11,41 |
| 25 | 227,293 3 | 455 7 2 | | 53 8 1 227,801 15 8 | 2,41,537 4 4 | 7,540 9 | 2,49,134 15 1 | 4,27 |
| 26 | 5,521 8 6 | 344 10 7 | | 5,866 3 1 | 1,21,490 | 193 3 3 | 1,21,683 6 3 | 12,03 |
| 27 | 278,914 8 11 | 1,015 1 9 | | 53 14 1 279,973 8 9 | 11,47,610 4 | 948 | 11,48,558 4 | 3,79 |

6052

Fort St. George

for the three preceding Years and in the Old account

30th April 1846.—

antecedent to Fusly 1251.—

Particulars of Gross Collections

Land Revenue.—

Ordinary.—

Arrears.

| | Old Balances | Fusly 1251 | Fusly 1252 | Fusly 1253 | Total Arrears | Current Fusly 1254 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 1 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| 2 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| 3 | 607 2 10 | 372 " 4 | 577 6 5 | 5,054 10 " | 6,617 3 7 | 54,966 " " | 61,583 3 7 |
| 4 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| 5 | 411 4 3 | 209 4 7 | 1,357 4 5 | 45,651 1 11 | 47,727 15 2 | 18,20,007 14 8 | 18,67,736 13 10 |
| 6 | 155 4 5 | 96 15 11 | 1,395 2 10 | 9,770 3 3 | 11,417 10 5 | 22,12,744 4 8 | 22,24,162 9 1 |
| 7 | " " | " " | 390 7 2 | 24,329 13 10 | 24,720 5 " | 21,01,140 3 3 | 21,24,860 8 3 |
| 8 | " " | 5 4 10 | 38 11 10 | 23,093 13 6 | 32,137 14 2 | 19,97,147 " 6 | 20,29,284 14 8 |
| 9 | 123 1 5 | 233 13 9 | 130 4 5 | 19,916 11 8 | 20,403 15 3 | 16,19,676 15 " | 16,40,080 14 3 |
| 10 | 2,024 6 11 | 459 6 2 | 3,044 8 4 | 116,727 10 1 | 121,235 15 6 | 35,19,114 11 " | 36,40,370 10 6 |
| 12 | 347 9 6 | 25 7 7 | 168 3 " | 3,764 14 9 | 4,306 2 10 | 2,45,412 11 3 | 2,49,718 14 1 |
| 11 | 1,030 " 7 | 162 14 3 | 999 15 10 | 162,467 14 10 | 164,710 13 6 | 943,760 10 8 | 1,14,471 8 2 |
| 12 | 111,146 5 4 | 5,979 12 9 | 28,087 9 1 | 1,11,246 5 3 | 3,01,480 " 5 | 15,73,800 5 8 | 18,75,280 6 1 |
| 13 | 53,107 11 9 | 19,431 4 11 | 12,499 1 8 | 169,067 4 8 | 2,54,155 7 " | 6,19,791 1 11 | 8,73,946 8 11 |
| 14 | 2,489 2 " | 1,500 7 9 | 8,817 13 2 | 65,031 13 8 | 77,839 4 7 | 6,35,875 15 " | 7,13,715 3 7 |
| 15 | 28,221 1 6 | 215 7 8 | 3,323 6 4 | 96,447 1 7 | 128,207 1 1 | 32,45,402 9 " | 9,52,747 10 1 |
| 16 | 12,369 " " | 17,510 15 8 | 76,617 9 7 | 125,732 13 5 | 232,230 6 8 | 7,43,671 " 6 | 9,75,901 7 2 |
| 17 | 232 " | 154 2 4 | 1,052 8 10 | 40,074 7 8 | 41,304 4 10 | 16,36,998 13 9 | 16,78,303 2 7 |
| 18 | " " | " " | 17 13 9 | 19,013 14 8 | 19,031 12 5 | 5,08,230 4 3 | 5,27,262 " 8 |
| 22 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| 23 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| 24 | 129 " 4 | 3,011 4 7 | 3,173 15 6 | 44,845 12 6 | 51,149 " 11 | 5,98,796 9 2 | 6,49,945 10 1 |
| 25 | 212,234 4 10 | 94,526 2 6 | 143,415 7 4 | 11,03,729 13 11 | 1,553,905 12 7 | 21,510,553 9 5 | 23,364,459 6 " |
| 27 | 248,781 1 11 | 1,60,701 2 2 | 1,50,273 " 10 | 10,99,717 8 9 | 22,65,473 3 8 | 21,28,636 9 1 | 33,94,109 12 9 |

Extraordinary.—

| | Extra Revenue | Total including Extra Revenue | Recoveries of advances for cultivation | Recoveries of Charges Collection of sequestered zamindaries | Interest on account of arrears unpaid | Receipts on account of Comp.y's Sales of Lands & Batta on gamby exchanges | Refund of Revenue Charges | Total Rec.s |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | |
| | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 884 9 10 3 | 8,84 |
| | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 173 13 11 | 65 9 |
| | 417 9 " " | 65,762 3 7 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " |
| | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 12,543 4 2 | 19,00,3 |
| | 9,627 10 5 | 18,77,364 8 3 | 10,356 8 " | " " | 101 13 8 | " " | 11 5 6 5,099 2 6 | 22,46,9 |
| | 7,707 11 1 | 22,31,870 4 2 | 9,941 3 10 | " " | " " | 549 5 10 | 10,593 1 1 | 22,00,3 |
| | 4,563 11 10 | 21,29,364 4 1 | 59,871 9 1 | " " | " " | 375 1 6 | 11,372 1 7 | 20,52,3 |
| | 8,790 2 11 | 20,39,075 1 7 | 1,500 " " | " " | 16 13 11 | 13 4 11 | 928 3 3 | 16,46,4 |
| | 5,362 3 4 | 16,45,444 1 7 | " " | " " | " " | 397 9 7 | 3,800 4 10 | 37,19,5 |
| | 18,310 14 1 | 26,58,631 8 7 | 50,691 4 9 | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 844 5 | " " | 254 9 |
| | 980 13 3 | 250,699 11 4 | 4,184 " 10 | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| | 3,110 10 3 | 11,17,582 2 5 | 9,695 3 1 | 1,254 2 6 | 2,253 10 11 | " " | 1,067 9 8 | 11,32,1 |
| | 2,292 12 4 | 18,77,553 2 5 | 21,969 8 4 | 15,141 7 6 | 74,506 6 7 | " " | 7,242 12 1 | 20,06,1 |
| | 4,851 10 | 8,78,531 10 9 | 3,912 7 " | " " | 14,396 6 11 | " " | 1,539 12 10 | 8,98,1 |
| | 9,429 11 1 | 7,23,214 14 8 | " " | " " | " " | " " | 3,871 12 9 | 7,27,1 |
| | 24 3 10 | 29 0 1 6 | 2,720 " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| | 2,538 " 10 | 955,285 10 11 | 8,943 2 8 | " " | " " | " " | 2,268 14 8 | 9,66,1 |
| | 3,038 3 1 | 9,78,939 10 3 | 19,455 9 1 | 4,249 6 9 | 29,529 7 7 | " 3 5 | 7,414 2 " | 10,39,1 |
| | 4,425 9 9 | 16,82,728 12 4 | " " | 1,420 12 7 | 2,473 12 2 | 10 15 7 | 6,635 3 3 | 16,93,1 |
| | 6 6 8 | 10,304 12 " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| | 2,031 15 11 | 589,294 " 7 | " " | " " | " " | " " | 1,175 12 4 | 590,1 |
| | 22,891 10 3 | 1,439,823 6 11 | 147 " " | 10,928 12 " | 2,916 15 6 | 6 15 6 | 3,043 11 9 | 1,56 |
| | 24,208 " 8 | 18,64,832 14 11 | 6,144 15 6 | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| | 5,530 11 11 | 20,55,789 15 8 | 23,705 3 6 | " " | " " | " " | " " | 4 |
| | 57 11 11 | 48,870 14 6 | 200 " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| | 24,731 6 11 | 20,33,158 1 | 61,201 2 " | " " | " 13 7 | 339 3 3 | 8,471 6 8 | 21,4 |
| | 110 4 6 | 55,464 6 4 | 500 " " | " " | 1,458 6 9 | " " | " " | 5 |
| | 2,163 5 8 | 128,442 8 8 | 23,268 3 10 | " " | " " | " 1 2 | 931 10 6 | 1,3 |
| | 10,828 11 3 | 2,094,611 12 " | 2,672 6 4 | " " | " " | 1,285 10 " | 8,268 1 3 | 21,1 |
| | 2,653 13 1 | 6,52,599 7 2 | 14,451 11 " | " " | " " | " 10 4 | 3,388 3 9 | 6,1 |
| | 93,807 1 6 | 234,58,266 7 6 | 231,672 3 8 | 22,065 14 4 | 125,016 14 6 | 1,443 7 1 | 88,001 9 11 | 2,39,1 |
| | 91,250 4 2 | 2,100,2,000 15 11 | 126,826 " 2 | 2,928 12 " | 27 " " | 1,632 3 2 | 30,539 3 12 | 1,0 |
| | 185,163 10 8 | 34,079,270 7 5 | 358,498 12 10 | 34,994 10 4 | 125,294 14 " | 3,077 12 3 | 1,18,540 13 9 | 34 |

Domestic Occurrences.

Table of Contents

Meteorological Observations made at Hartwell Castle during the Year 1814.

Table of Contents

| | | |

# Fort St. George

| | Salary & Commissions of Collectors &c. 62 | Hoozoor and District Establishments 63 | Sauder-wared 64 | Extra Charges 65 | Repairs of Tanks and Water courses 66 | Ordinary Miscellaneous Extra Charges connected with the Revenue but not chargeable to any particular Branch of Revenue 67 | Rent allowance 68 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 173,988 7 9 | 72,059 15 5 | 644 6 6 | | 18,894 11 2 | 185 2 u | u u u |
| 2 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 3 | 4,266 2 3 | 15,942 8 2 | 120 1 8 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 4 | u u u | u u u | u u u | 421 5 6 | 381 1 1 | u u u | u u u |
| 5 | 87,060 5 1 | 1,53,532 1 4 | 3,928 6 9 | u u u | u u u | 53 1 u | u u u |
| 6 | 65,833 10 5 | 1,16,544 15 8 | 4,374 6 9 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 7 | 65,197 13 8 | 1,45,764 9 u | 6,360 6 u | u u u | 37,016 6 u | 14,873 3 5 | 6,912 8 3 |
| 8 | 59,895 15 9 | 1,19,098 15 7 | 3,547 3 8 | u u u | 53,765 13 4 | 32,323 14 2 | 4,400 15 11 |
| 9 | 66,518 5 7 | 1,69,989 7 3 | 3,044 9 8 | u u u | 26,143 9 5 | 6,887 5 u | 6,374 1 5 |
| 10 | 56,613 1 u | 2,03,767 15 7 | 6,132 1 u | u u u | 22,001 9 u | 6,250 14 1 | 6,216 4 7 |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 126,150 5 4 | 16,964 8 3 | 5,047 7 6 |
| | u u u | 11,527 12 u | 294 u u | u u u | u u u | 6,789 8 8 | 5,990 9 7 |
| 11 | 46,102 4 7 | 1,10,513 8 10 | 1,692 u u | 217 15 4 | 30,186 6 1 | u u u | u u u |
| 12 | 46,877 12 4 | 73,421 u 8 | 3,421 12 1 | 10,869 6 3 | 37,725 5 4 | 2,442 7 5 | 3,208 10 5 |
| 13 | 37,103 10 3 | 29,858 14 3 | 1,198 4 11 | 1,223 12 1 | 19,282 11 7 | 3,726 2 5 | 3,974 2 6 |
| 14 | 36,302 5 u | 15,495 14 7 | 827 15 6 | u u u | u u u | 3,030 15 6 | 3,519 6 u |
| | u u u | 11,695 6 u | 416 9 1 | u u u | 1,960 u u | 3,872 7 u | 2,645 4 u |
| 15 | 68,783 8 11 | 40,078 9 3 | 1,266 9 u | u u u | 24,552 2 3 | 549 14 5 | u u u |
| 16 | 55,572 1 10 | 52,381 11 3 | 1,687 5 6 | 10,824 8 3 | 3,472 2 2 | 2,797 6 8 | 4,676 7 10 |
| 17 | 62,225 12 4 | 1,10,992 8 u | 3,388 13 u | 840 12 u | 17,267 2 u | 2,854 2 7 | 6,900 9 u |
| | u u u | 420 u u | 24 u u | u u u | u u u | 8,428 10 7 | 4,713 u u |
| 18 | u u u | 19,707 11 6 | 734 2 5 | u u u | 9,266 8 8 | u u u | u u u |
| | 52,866 4 u | 87,291 11 3 | 2,854 7 8 | u u u | 3,562 4 10 | 1,072 9 10 | 4,538 10 u |
| 19 | 60,089 13 11 | 1,59,854 11 10 | 5,856 14 10 | 177 9 4 | 38,548 15 8 | 3,246 1 1 | u u u |
| 20 | 50,521 14 3 | 1,21,737 11 9 | 4,401 3 9 | u u u | 23,451 2 8 | 2,888 1 7 | 5,394 3 6 |
| | u u u | 1,266 10 3 | 681 u | u u u | 100 u u | 2,224 5 2 | 3,521 14 4 |
| 21 | 58,552 u 4 | 1,19,486 14 4 | 3,831 11 4 | u u u | 52,795 u 3 | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | 2,305 2 u | 100 u u | 4 14 9 | 261 9 9 | 8,864 3 6 | 5,146 u u |
| 22 | 38,961 2 4 | 82,100 u u | 2,161 3 6 | 33 4 6 | 80,645 4 8 | 9 12 1 | u u u |
| 23 | 43,780 2 11 | 1,32,165 u 8 | 3,431 2 6 | 2,210 6 2 | 42,284 4 9 | 1,944 15 8 | 2,224 8 4 |
| 24 | 28,370 7 3 | 79,047 4 2 | 2,682 10 1 | 677 3 2 | 4,530 9 2 | 2,101 3 8 | 7,633 10 10 |
| 25 | 949,711 12 u | 1,343,297 2 9 | 46,013 3 8 | 25,079 13 4 | 441,493 u 4 | 118,802 7 5 | 71,146 11 u |
| | 3,464,473 2 11 | 6,84,869 7 10 | 22,510 2 8 | 2,226 7 6 | 282,724 10 10 | 21,467 4 u | 25,020 5 u |
| | 12,96,685 2 11 | 22,28,166 10 7 | 68,520 13 4 | 27,306 4 10 | 7,24,832 11 2 | 1,40,571 11 5 | 95,067 u u |

| | Surgeon's allowance 69 |
|---|---|
| 1 | u u u |
| 2 | u u u |
| 3 | u u u |
| 4 | u u u |
| 5 | 29 u u |
| 6 | 76 u u |
| 7 | 3,68 u u |
| 8 | 4 u u |
| 9 | 5,2 u u |
| 10 | 4,52 u u |
| | u u u |
| | u u u |
| 1 | 29 u u |
| 2 | 41 u u |
| 3 | 29 u u |
| 4 | 4,04 u u |
| | u u u |
| | 51 u u |
| | 4,05 u u |
| 1 | 1,81 u u |
| 2 | u u u |
| | u u u |
| | 75 u u |
| | 60 u u |
| | u u u |
| | 1,50 u u |
| | u u u |
| | 300 u u |
| | u u u |
| | 5,293 u u |
| | 32,245 u u |
| | 4,084 u u |
| | 36,249 u u |

Lr. Karry or exclusive sales of Spirituous Liquors — Sundry Small Farms &c.

| | Commissions to the Collectors &c. 85 | Hoozoor & District Establishments 86 | Sauder-wared 87 | Extra Charges 88 | Total 89 | Commissions to the Collectors &c. 90 | Hoozoor & Sauder-District Establishments 91 | Sauder-wared 92 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 2 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 3 | 24,630 1 9 | 21,059 6 8 | 313 3 | 55,933 12 6 | 1,01,655 2 2 | 482 u 8 | 6,456 15 6 | 6 u u |
| 4 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 5 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 530 5 4 | u u u |
| 6 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 7 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 8 | u u u | u u u | u u u | 297 13 6 | 297 13 6 | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 9 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 1 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 10 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 2 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 1 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 2 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 1 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
| 2 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u |
|

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.—

| Disencer Extra Charges 93 | Total 94 | Maturpha Commission to the Collectors &c 95 | Maturpha Hoozoor & District Establishments 96 | Maturpha Sunder wared 97 | Maturpha Extra Charges 98 | Total 99 | Layer at Hoozoor & District Establishments 100 | Layer at Sunder wared 101 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 15,275 6 4 | 22,220 6 6 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 8,699 11 10 | 9,230 12 | | | | | | 24,164 a 8 | 391 14 a |
| | | | | | | | 1,879 4 6 | 143 10 11 |
| | | | | | | | 10,995 13 7 | 805 10 a |
| | | | | | 162 10 a | 162 10 a | 1,116 6 9 | 81. a 11 |
| 675 8 a | 675 8 a | | | | | | 5,280 13 9 | 83 1 1 |
| | | | | | 615 13 8 | 615 13 8 | 3,870 2 7 | 85 13 5 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 11,278 2 8 | 11,278 2 8 | 1,147 3 2 | 12 2 11 |
| | | | 282 6 8 | 126 a a | 2,529 8 a | 5,532 a 8 | 5,296 3 9 | 93 a a |
| | | | 2,866 7 11 | 204 a a | | 3,070 7 11 | 258 12 3 | 21 a a |
| | | | | | | | 96 15 5 | 9 1 8 a |
| | | | 144 a a | | | 144 a a | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 10,452 4 6 | 10,452 4 6 | 1,792 14 7 | 53 a 6 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 525 a a | 20 12 a |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 132 9 3 | 132 9 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 871 1 | 30 3 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1,196 9 7 | 47 12 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | 5,017 2 3 | 150 8 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | 107 13 a | a a a |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 2,599 10 5 | 66 a a |
| 24,650 10 2 | 32,125 15 8 | 132 9 3 | 5,837 a 7 | 330 a a | 25,088 6 10 | 31,255 7 5 | 59,794 2 11 | 1,935 3 1 |
| 24,650 10 2 | 32,125 15 8 | 132 9 3 | 5,837 a 7 | 330 a a | 25,088 6 10 | 31,388 a 8 | 68,779 6 10 | 2,279 6 11 |

Sea Customs

| Land Duties Extra Charges 102 | Draw back 103 | Total 104 | Commission to the Collectors &c 105 | Hoozoor and District Establishments 106 | Sunder wared 107 | Extra Charges 108 | Draw back 109 | Total 110 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3 6 9 | 2,923 6 6 | 2,926 13 3 | | | | | | |
| | | | 66,234 11 3 | 30,841 9 4 | 323 3 7 | 6,374 9 11 | 32,953 4 4 | 1,36,727 4 6 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 537 6 6 | 6,981 5 9 | 32,074 10 11 | | 18,293 7 3 | 313 3 8 | 781 6 9 | 95,276 8 4 | 1,14,664 15 a |
| 152 a | a a a | 2,038 1 5 | | | | | | |
| 258 a a | | 12,081 7 7 | | | | | | |
| 997 10 4 | | 2,195 4 a | | | | | | |
| 238 8 a | 933 3 3 | 6,535 10 1 | | 22,129 3 3 | 436 2 3 | 675 10 4 | 25,953 1 8 | 49,244 1 6 |
| 2,408 1 a | 1,554 13 11 | 7,918 14 10 | | 4,256 14 9 | 123 9 6 | 666 | a a a | 4,446 4 9 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2,785 8 a | 84 a a | 513 a a | a a a | 3,382 8 a |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 534 13 10 | | 554 13 10 | | | | | | |
| 144 a | 570 11 4 | 1,744 5 5 | | 3,734 7 9 | 171 1 8 | 388 | a a a | 3,909 2 1 |
| a a a | 232 a a | 5,621 3 9 | | 2,183 12 a | 858 a | 211 8 | a a a | 2,271 5 8 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| a a a a | | 27 9 2 3 | | a a a | 47 9 a a | 24 a a | a a a | a a a | 503 a a |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 588 5 5 | | | | | | |
| a a a | 3,120 10 4 | 3,120 10 4 | | 1,644 13 3 | 24 a a | 162 a a | a a a | 1,830 13 3 |
| a a a | a a a a | a a a a | | 1,584 a a | 27 a a | a a a | a a a | 1,611 a a |
| 18 6 9 | a a a | 2,032 8 8 | | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3 a a | a a a | 54 8 12 a | | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a |
| a a a | 21 1 10 | 21 1 10 | | 2,078 a | 181 8 3 4 | 2 a a | 5 10 4 10 | a a a | 2,440 12 2 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 4,010 a | 222 5 10 8 | 78 a a | 2 a a | a a a | 2,310 5 8 |
| | | 4 5 9 | a a a | 528 a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | 528 a a |
| a 22 | a a a | 1,244 6 4 | | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 3,484 6 a | 63 2 6 | 44 a | a a a | 3,551 2 6 |
| a a a | 107 13 a | a a a | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 113 12 5 | a a a | 2,398 a a | 20 5 a | 27 7 8 | a a a | 2,445 12 8 |
| 138 12 8 | a a a | 2,398 2 2 | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a | a a a |
| 5,237 12 10 | 16,316 3 a | 83,343 5 10 | 66,234 11 3 | 91,417 1 7 | 1,725 4 2 | 8,583 7 10 | 154,182 14 4 | 322,143 7 2 |
| 7,438 9 11 | 16,361 1 3 | 94,858 11 6 | 66,312 13 3 | 95,365 9 7 | 1,917 9 2 | 9,129 4 4 | 154,182 14 4 | 329,908 2 8 |

## Salt Monopoly

| | Commission to the Collectors &c. | Horzoor & District Establishments | Sadar | Extra Charges | Salt Manufacturers Share | Salt compensation | Salt Advances for the Monopoly of Salt | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 111 | 112 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 118 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 7,527 11 2 | 6,951 15 4 | 4 18 | 17,680 1 1 | 26,162 6 3 | 2,254 a 5 | 2,254 1 a | 63,834 4 11 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | 13,447 9 a | 290 6 6 | 53,949 2 a | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | 67,687 1 6 |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | 5,100 a a | 149 6 9 | 104,619 12 | a aa | 237 a 6 | a aa | 1,19,105 8 5 |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | a aa | 11,738 14 4 | 232 8 a | 2,105 14 5 | 51,401 10 1 | 2,254 10 a | a aa | 67,733 8 10 |
| 12 | a aa | 7,288 a 10 | 267 6 5 | 3,340 8 5 | 17,328 10 6 | a aa | a aa | 28,224 10 2 |
| 13 | a aa | 5,425 1 6 | 70 8 a | 4,001 12 8 | 10,336 11 10 | a aa | 10,569 4 8 | 30,402 6 8 |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | a aa | 4,076 11 10 | 151 8 a | 4,547 12 7 | 9,567 1 9 | a aa | 2,550 a a | 18,393 2 a |
| 16 | a aa | 4,206 15 11 | 42 a a | 1,541 9 6 | 2,690 11 5 | 69 a a | 1,200 a a | 10,750 4 10 |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 2,407 10 4 | 26,768 10 9 | 948 a 7 | 11,945 6 2 | 63,843 14 2 | 1,138 10 a | 954 a a | 128,011 4 a |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | a aa | 10,320 11 10 | 246 a a | 2,391 3 9 | 29,964 4 1 | 1,552 8 a | a aa | 33,323 8 8 |
| 24 | a aa | a aa | a aa | a aa | a aa | a aa | a aa | a aa |
| 25 | 7,527 11 2 | 84,299 10 11 | 1,776 12 3 | 195,169 3 5 | 1,39,297 12 3 | 5,969 10 11 | 19,738 8 8 | 6,03,579 2 7 |
| 26 | 3,756 18 4 | 55,736 7 8 | 1,826 1 9 | 31,668 13 6 | 1,39,114 5 4 | 2,027 1 a | 4,731 8 a | 2,44,905 4 5 |
| 27 | 11,284 10 6 | 1,39,880 2 7 | 3,602 13 10 | 2,26,838 a 11 | 3,23,412 1 7 | 10,996 11 11 | 24,469 13 8 | 7,43,434 7 a |

Fort Saint George, Accountt Genls Office 4th April 1846.



## Appendix to the Yearly Statement.

| | Balances of cash at the beginning of the year | Gr Sala Rev Rec |
|---|---|---|
| Boards of Revenue | a aa | 8, |
| Superintt of Stamps | a aa | a |
| Collector of Madras | 25,977 2 4 | 9,08 |
| Dr of Sea Customs | 8,015 10 1 | 6,56 |
| Canara | 7,54,726 a 8 | 32,28 |
| Coimbatore | 5,67,316 11 1 | 26,55 |
| Bellary | 5,67,600 13 11 | 28,82 |
| Cuddapah | 741,637 12 7 | 23,46 |
| Malabar Province | 9,13,938 a 6 | 30,59 |
| Tanjore &ca | 16,67,811 4 1 | 48,17 |
| Zillah of Chingleput | 1,50,698 8 2 | 16,74 |
| Dr of Rajahmundry | 2,43,093 1 8 | 23,17 |
| Dr of Masulipatam | 17,853 15 3 | 12,59 |
| Dr of Guntoor &ca | 1,12,247 10 11 | 13,00 |
| Dr of Vizagapatam | 3,13,046 8 7 | 12,01 |
| Dr of Ganjam | 3,31,118 3 3 | 14,29 |
| Dr of Salem &ca | 4,43,589 14 1 | 19,18 |
| Dr of Dindigul &ca | 2,56,055 8 10 | 22,02 |
| Nellore and Ongole | 1,69,239 2 6 | 24,40 |
| Nn Dn of Arcot &ca | 6,11,628 15 1 | 23,56 |
| Sn Dn of Arcot &ca | 440,821 10 10 | 26,47 |
| Trichinopoly | 3,30,588 2 5 | 13,62 |
| Tinnevelly | 4,23,330 1 7 | 28,02 |
| Burnool Territory | 4,57,246 6 a | 8,22 |
| Total Compa Rupees | 95,47,581 4 5 | 4,64 |

Fort St. George, Accountt Genls Office
4th April 1846.

6052 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Order thereon 19 Ordered

(18-19)

to be brought

forward at

a future

day

Read

6053 Fort St. George 30th April 1846

Read the following Letters.

To J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

20

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George in Vizagapatam

Submitting Annual Report on the Minor Estates of Sungunvulsah and Coorpaum together with the usual Accounts

Sir,

Para 1. I do myself the honor to transmit a Report with the usual Accounts appertaining to the Estate of Sungunvulsah and Coorpaum in Fusly 1254, for the information of the Board of Revenue. These Zemindaries it is well known are managed by the Governor's Agent on behalf of the disqualified Proprietors.

Sungunvulsah

2. The comparative Demand and actual Settlement effected on Sungunvulsah in this and the preceding year is shewn in the subjoined Statement.

20

| Sources | Fusly 1253 | Fusly 1254 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Demand Peroyet tax | 12906 7 5 | 12547 6 10 | " " " | 359 " 7 |
| Remission at Settlement | 728 7 " | 1161 5 7 | 432 14 7 | " " " |
| Remaining | 12178 " 5 | 11386 1 3 | " " " | 791 15 2 |
| Enam Cuttooba-dies, Agraharums Shotrums | 1415 11 " | 1415 11 " | " " " | " " " |
| Moturpha | 108 15 9 | 101 4 9 | " " " | 7 11 " |
| Total | 13702 11 2 | 12903 1 " | " " " | 799 10 2 |

It

3. It will be seen that the Demand fell short of that of the preceding Season by Rupees 359-"-7, a decrease accounted for by fluctuation of Season. From the same cause the remissions allowed to the Villagers were Rupees 1161-5-7, shewing a considerable excess above those of Fusly 1253. The result exhibits an actual Settlement of Rupees 12903-1-" and a net decrease upon the year of Rupees 799-10-2.

4. The Season had been very unfavorable as has been often stated, and hence the decrease above reported. Of the remissions given, Rupees 773-9-1. were for lands left uncultivated, Rupees 198-"-2 for crops perished, and Rupees 189-12-4 for loss of produce and deceased and deserted ryots.

5. Of the Settlement so effected, Rupees 11954-4-11 were brought to account, leaving a balance outstanding of Rupees. 948-12-1. Little of which, in consequence of the present unfavorable Season, will I apprehend, be collected.

6. The charges of management, Peishcush, the Minor's subsistence, and other necessary disbursements amounted to Rupees



Rupees 10050-4-9. The Receipts together with the Balance of Cash on hand at the end of the preceding year were Rupees 15743-2-11. Adverting to this result a further sum of Rupees 5000, was invested in Government Securities, and there remained on hand at the close of Fusly 1254 a Surplus of Rupees 692-14-2.

7. The total amount of Surplus invested in Public Securities is Rupees 31000. The Zemindar will come of age in twelve Months hence. Under these circumstances the suggestion made to remove the young man to Calcutta for his education would not seem expedient.

Coorpanum. 8. A similar Statement as regards the Estate of Coorpanum is herewith furnished, from which it will be seen that the Settlement, from adversity of season, and the necessity of enhanced remissions, fell below the settlement of Fusly 1253 in Rupees 175-5-4.

Sources

| Sources | Fusly 1253 Villages | Fusly 1253 Berij | Fusly 1254 Villages | Fusly 1254 Berij | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Demand | 41 | 15697 6 10 | 41 | 16196 9 " | 499 2 2 | " " " |
| Deduct Remission at Jannabunoy | " | 199 15 10 | " | 831 " " | 631 " 2 | " " " |
| | 41 | 15497 " " | 41 | 15365 9 " | " " " | 131 14 " |
| Cram cut to badies Moccasahs &c | 45 | 6867 12 3 | 45 | 6862 1 3 | " " " | 5 11 " |
| Motursha | " | 731 6 5 | " | 693 10 1 | " " " | 37 12 4 |
| Total | 86 | 23096 9 8 | 86 | 22921 4 4 | " " " | 175 5 4 |

9. The Villages are principally rented and the adversity of the year pressed so heavily on the renters that a considerable balance remained uncollected at the close. The sums brought to account were Rupees 19988-"-10 and the balance was consequently Rupees 2933-3-6.

10 From the Statement hereto annexed it will be observed that the balance on hand at the close of the Fusly was Rupees 10107-1-2 of which ten thousand Rupees is invested in Government Securities.

Receipts



| Receipts | | Disbursements | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Balance of Fusly 1253 | 561 0 1 | Subsistence to the Minor | 3012 " " |
| Receipts of Fusly 1254 as per Statement No 3 | 20371 " 6 | Charges collection | 1437 14 8 |
| | | Government Commission | 388 11 9 |
| | | Postage paid | " 14 " |
| | | Paid to the creditors under the sanction of the Court of Wards dated 6th March 1844 | 770 8 " |
| | | Government Peishcush | 10264 " " |
| | | | 15874 " 5 |
| | | Paid into the Public Treasury for investment in Government Securities | 10000 " " |
| | | | 25874 " 5 |
| | | Balance remaining | 107 1 2 |
| Company's Rupees | 25981 1 7 | Company's Rupees | 25981 1 7 |

Vizagapatam, Governor's Agent's Office 21st April 1846.

(Signed) P. B. Smallett, Agent to the Governor

Comparative

6058 Fort St. George 30th April 1846

No 1. Comparative Statement of Demand Collection, and Balance of the Sungunvulsah Zemindary for Fuslies 1253/54.

| No. | Fuslies | Demand | | | Collection | | | Balance | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | |
| 1 | Fusly 1253 | 13,702 | 11 | 2 | 12,892 | 14 | 7 | 809 | 12 | 7 |
| | Dº 1254 | 12,903 | 1 | " | 11,954 | 4 | 11 | 948 | 12 | 1 |
| | Increase | " | " | " | " | " | " | 138 | 15 | 6 |
| | Decrease | 799 | 10 | 2 | 938 | 9 | 8 | " | " | " |

Vizagapatam 21st April 1846. C.C. p/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor.

Demand

6059 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

No 2. Demand Collection, and Balance for fusly 1254 of the Sungunvulsah Zemindary.

| No. | Fuslies | Demand | | | Collection | | | Balance | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | |
| 1 | Ryanee or Village rent | 7071 | 11 | 4 | 6372 | 9 | " | 699 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | Rent for term of years | 4314 | 5 | 11 | 4244 | 14 | 2 | 69 | 7 | 9 |
| | Total Jeroytee | 11386 | 1 | 3 | 10617 | 7 | 2 | 768 | 10 | 1 |
| 3 | Cwam Cuttoobadies | 1103 | 3 | " | 960 | 15 | " | 142 | 4 | " |
| 4 | Shotrium upon Agraharums | 91 | " | " | 91 | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | Cuttoobadies upon Talabdevany | 221 | 8 | " | 209 | 10 | " | 11 | 14 | " |
| 6 | Moturpha | 101 | 4 | 9 | 75 | 4 | 9 | 26 | " | " |
| | Total | 12,903 | 1 | " | 11,954 | 4 | 11 | 948 | 12 | 1 |
| 7 | Tuccavy | 100 | " | " | 92 | " | " | 8 | " | " |
| | Grand Total | 13,003 | 1 | " | 12,046 | 4 | 11 | 956 | 12 | 1 |

Vizagapatam 21st April 1846. C.C. p/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor.

Dº

6060

Fort St George

No 3.

30th April 1846

Dr. Account Current of the Minor Estate of Sun gumvoulsah Zemindary for fusly 1254. Cr.

| Receipts. | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Balance as per Account Current of Fusly 1253 | | 3649 14 " |
| Receipts of Fusly 1254. | | |
| Ordinary | | |
| Jeroytee | 10617-7-2 | |
| Cranculluttobadies | 960-15 " | |
| Agraharums Shotrium | 91 - - - | |
| Talabdevany | 209 10 " | |
| Moturpha | 75-4-9 | |
| | 11954-4-11 | |
| Arrears | | |
| Fusly 1253. | | |
| Talabdevany | 35-6 " | |
| Moturpha | 5-2 " | |
| | 40-8 " | |
| Fusly 1252 | | |
| Cranculluttobadies | " -2 " | |
| Talabdevany | 4-8 " | |
| Moturpha | 1-8 " | |
| | 6-2 " | |
| Moturpha of fusly 1251 | " -6 " | |
| Extraordinary | 12001 4 11 | |
| Carried Over | 12001 4 11 | 3649 14 " |

| Disbursements. | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Paid Subsistence to the Minors &c<br>From July 1844 to June 1845 being 12<br>months at 115 Rupees per Month | 1380 " " | |
| To the Teacher at 8 Rs per Month | 96 " " | |
| To N. Seetummah at 30 Rs de | 360 " " | |
| To B. Lutchemmah and M. Nursim-<br>-ranga Minors relations at 5 Rupees<br>each per Month | 120 " " | 1956 " " |
| Charges Collection. | | |
| Ordinary. | | |
| Pay to the Hoogoor<br>Goomastah at 4 Rupees<br>per Month | 48 " " | |
| Dr to the Sunneen at 10 de.<br>deducting 18-10-4 for fines &c | 101-5-6 | |
| Dr to a Muddutgar at 10 Rs<br>per Month | 120 - " - " | |
| Dr to 2 Samoodars at 10 Rupees each | 240 " " | |
| Dr to 8 Peons at 4 Rs<br>each per Month deducting | 1240- 383-3-2 | |
| Hoogoor and<br>District Saderwared.<br>Hoogoor de at 2 Rs per Month | 24 - " - " | |
| District de at 3 de | 36 - " - " | 60 - " - " |
| Carried Over | 952 8 10 | 1956 " " |

Fort St George 30th April 1846

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Brought Over | 12001 4 11 | 3649 14 " |
| Recovery Succavy | | |
| Current Fusly —— 92 " " | | |
| | 12093 4 11 | |

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward | 952 8 10 | 1956 " " |
| Extraordinary. | | |
| Additional Servants. | | |
| To one additional Goomastah for 2 Months at 10 Rupees per Month —— 20 " " | | |
| To One Duft Goomastah for 2 Months & 17 days at Do —— 25-10-3 | | |
| | 45-10-3 | |
| Jamalumdy Saderwarad —— 9-15-7 | 55 9 10 | 1008 2 8 |
| Succavy for fusly 1254 to poor ryots —— | 100 " " | |
| Government Poishcush of Fusly 1254 —— | 6700 " " | |
| Do Commission on the Collections of Fusly 1253 —— | 181 12 1 | |
| Purchase of Government Securities —— | 5000 " " | |
| Postage of letters —— | 4 6 " | |
| Paid for the funeral Ceremonies of the Minors Maternal grand Mother —— | 100 " " | |
| | 15050 4 9 | |
| Balance to the end of fusly 1254 —— | 692 14 2 | |
| Total Company's Rupees —— | 15743 2 11 | |

Total C Rupees —— 15743 2 11

Vizagapatam 21st April 1846

E E excepted

Signed P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

Demand

Book 3 — Term of Years 30th March 1846

Statement shewing the Computation of Charges of the Management of the Estates belonging to the Hospital for the Year 1853/54.

| | Yearly Charges | Increase Decrease |
|---|---|---|
| | 1853 | 1854 |
| | 2 | 3 4 5 |
| Salaries to the Manager &c. | 1896 " " | 1956 " " Dr " " " " |
| Pay to the Surveyor Generals | 48 " " | 48 " " " " " " |
| Wages to the Mines Collectors | 352 3 1 | 844 8 10 " " " 7 10 3 |
| Surveyor's Contingent Charges | Do " " | Do " " " " " " " " |
| Total | 960 3 1 | 952 8 10 " " " 7 10 3 |
| Wages to Additional Surveyors | 83 15 8 | 45 10 3 " " " Dr 37 5 |
| Value for Returns made Commutation Valuations | 12 4 10 | 9 15 7 " " " 3 3 3 |
| | 99 4 6 | 55 9 10 " " " 43 10 8 |
| Total Charges Collections | 1059 7 7 | 1008 2 8 " " " 51 4 11 |
| Interest Receivable | 75 " " | 100 " " 15 " " " " |
| Interest Receivable | 6700 " " | 6700 " " " " " " " |
| | | 191 6 7 181 12 1 |

Statement of Collections

Ordinary

Extraordinary

Commutation Valuations

Commutation Valuations

Statement and Collection and Balance of the Ordinary Receipts of the Hospital for the Year 1854.

| | Receipts | Collections | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 4 5 |
| Balance of the Year 1853 | 6700 " " | 6700 " " | " " " |
| Yearly Rents | 1896 " " | 1956 " " | Dr " " " " |
| Pay to the Surveyor Generals | 48 " " | 48 " " | " " " " |
| Wages to the Mines Collectors | 352 3 1 | 844 8 10 | " " " 7 10 3 |
| Surveyor's Contingent Charges | Do " " | Do " " | " " " " |
| Total | 960 3 1 | 952 8 10 | " " " 7 10 3 |

Examined and Compared

Examined and Compared

Examined and Compared

6063 Fort St. George 30th April 1846

No. 5

Statement Shewing the comparison of charges of the Sungumvulsah Zemmendary for Fuslies 1253/54

| | | Fusly 1253 | | | Fusly 1254 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 2 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | |
| Subsistence to the Minor &c. | | 1896 | " | " | 1956 | " | " | 60 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Charges on Collections — Ordinary | Pay to the Hoozoor Goomastah | 48 | " | " | 48 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | Wages to the Ameen Establishment | 852 | 3 | 1 | 844 | 8 | 10 | " | " | " | 7 | 10 | 3 |
| | Hoozoor District Sudderwaree | 60 | " | " | 60 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | Total | 960 | 3 | 1 | 952 | 8 | 10 | " | " | " | 7 | 10 | 3 |
| Extraordinary | Wages to Additional Goomastah | 85 | 15 | 8 | 45 | 10 | 3 | " | " | " | 40 | 5 | 5 |
| | Hire for carrying records and Samabundy Sudderwaree | 13 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 7 | " | " | " | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| | | 99 | 4 | 6 | 55 | 9 | 10 | " | " | " | 43 | 10 | 8 |
| Total charges Collections | | 1059 | 7 | 7 | 1008 | 2 | 8 | " | " | " | 51 | 4 | 11 |
| Extra charges — Turcavy | | 85 | " | " | 100 | " | " | 15 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Current Peishcush | | 6700 | " | " | 6700 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Government Commission | | 191 | 6 | 1 | 181 | 12 | 1 | " | " | " | 9 | 10 | " |
| Postage of Public letters | | 2 | 8 | " | 4 | 6 | " | 1 | 14 | " | " | " | " |
| For the performance of the funeral rites of the Minor's Grand Mother | | " | " | " | 100 | " | " | 100 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Total | | 6978 | 14 | 1 | 7086 | 2 | 1 | 116 | 14 | " | 9 | 10 | " |
| Grand Total of charges | | 9934 | 5 | 8 | 10,050 | 4 | 9 | 176 | 14 | " | 60 | 14 | 11 |
| Paid into the Treasury for the purchase of Government Bonds | | 3000 | " | " | 5,000 | " | " | 2000 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Grand Total | | 12,934 | 5 | 8 | 15,050 | 4 | 9 | 2,176 | 14 | " | 60 | 14 | 11 |
| Net Increase | | | | | | | | 2,115 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " |

Vizagapatam
21st April 1846

E E pr Signed J. P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

Comparative

6065.

Sierra Leone Revenue 30th June 1846.

Jl. 4.

## Gunnel Collection and Balances for Year ending 12th of the Corresponding Quarter 1845.

| | Ports | Gunnel Collection | Balances. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Queen Victoria Land | 435 " " | 265 " " | 165 18 8 |
| 2. | Rent for a term of years | 14930 9 " | 12450 " " | 2480 " 8 |
| | Total Revenue | 15365 9 " | 12715 " 8 | 2646 " " |
| 3 | Crown Collection | 2387 15 " | 2221 1 6 | 166 13 6 |
| 4. | Collection upon Imprisonment | 746 10 3 | 443 6 8 | 3 3 7 |
| 5 | Collection upon Taxation | 3727 8 " | 3703 " " | 22 " " |
| 6. | Miscellaneous | 693 10 1 | 599 " " | 94 10 1 |
| | Total | 22921 " " | 19988 " 10 | 2933 3 6 |
| 7 | Treasury | 62 " " | 29 " " | 33 " " |
| | Grand Total | 22983 " " | 20017 " 10 | 2966 3 6 |

Liverpool 21st April 1846. E. E. (signed) C. A. Mitchell
Agent to the Governor. Account.

Duplicate

Liverpool 21st April 1846

E. E. Pellegrini / C. Mitchell
Agent to the Governor

Duplicate

Sierra Leone 30th April 1846.

Jl. 4.

## Gunnel Collection and Balances of the Corresponding Quarter of 1845.

| | Ports | Gunnel Collection | Balances. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Duty 1253 | 23096 9 8 | 20829 " 7 | 2267 3 7 |
| 2 | Do. 1254 | 22921 " " | 19988 " 10 | 2933 3 6 |
| | Increase | " " " " | 665 15 11 |
| | Decrease | 175 5 " | 841 5 3 | " " " |

Liverpool Factory

21st April 1846

E. E. Pellegrini / C. Mitchell
Agent to the Governor

6066

Fort St. George

No 58

# Dr. Account Current of the Minor Estate of

30th April 1846.

Coorpaum Zemindary for Fusly 1254. Cr.

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| **Receipts.** | | |
| Balance as per account current of fusly 1253. | " " | 5610 1 1 |
| Current Fusly. | | |
| Ordinary. | | |
| Fusly 1254. | | |
| Jeroyetty. | | |
| Ryotwar 269. " 4 | | |
| Rented 12439-4-4 | 12,708-4-8. | |
| Fruit trees | 11. " " | |
| Cuttoobadies upon Enams. | | |
| Ryotwar 118-10-6. | | |
| Rented 2102-7-" | 2,221. 1. 6. | |
| Agraharum Shotrium | 743. 6. 8. | |
| Cuttoobadies upon Talabdevany | 3705-4-" | |
| Moturpha | 599-" " | |
| | 19,988-" 10 | |
| Fusly 1253. | | |
| Jeroyetty | 105-6-6. | |
| Cuttoobadies upon Enams | 47. 12. " | |
| Talabdevany | 85. " " | |
| Agraharum Shotriums | 10. 2. 7. | |
| Moturpha | 22. 13. " | |
| | 271. 2. 1. | |
| Carried over | 20259-2-10 | " " " 5610. 1 1 |

| | Amount | Total. |
|---|---|---|
| **Disbursements.** | | |
| Paid subsistence to the Minor &c. &c. | | |
| To the Minor from 1st July 1844 to 30th June 1845 being 12 Months at 200 Rupees per month | 2,400. " " | |
| To the Guardian M. Santoo haroydoo Dr. Dr. at 20 Rs. per Dr. | 240. " " | |
| To the Teloogoo Teacher Dr. Dr. at 6 Dr. | 22 " " | 2,712-" " |
| Charges Collection. | | |
| Pay to the Hoozoor Goomastah for 12 Months at 12 Rupees per month | 144 " " | |
| Aumeen Establishment. | | |
| To the Aumeen for 12 months at 10 Rupees per month 120. " " | | |
| Deduct fine imposed 2. 10. 4. | | |
| | 117. 5. 8. | |
| To the Head Goomastah for Dr. at 12 Dr. 144. " " | | |
| Deduct fine imposed 14. 14. " | | |
| | 129-2-" | |
| To the Goomastah for Dr. at 10 Dr. | 120. " " | |
| To 2 Samoodars for Dr. at 10 Rs. each per Dr. | 240. " " | |
| To the Shroff for Dr. at 4 Dr. 48. " " | | |
| 12 Peons at 4 Rupees each per month 432. " " | | |
| | 1086. 7 8. | |
| Carried forward | 1230. 7 8. | 2712. " " |

6066.

For Ft. St. George

No. 8

Dr. Account Current of the Minor Estate of

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| **Receipts.** | | |
| Balance as per Account Current of Fusly 1253 | " " | 5610 1 1 |
| Current Fusly. — | | |
| Ordinary. | | |
| Fusly 1254. | | |
| Jeroyetty. | | |
| Ryotwar 269. 11. 4 | | |
| Rented 12439-4-4 | 12,708-4-8. | |
| Fruit trees | 11. " " | |
| Cuttoobadies upon Enams — | | |
| Ryotwar 118-10-6. | | |
| Rented 2102-7-" | 2,221. 1. 6. | |
| Agraharum Shotrium | 743. 6. 8. | |
| Cuttoobadies upon Salabdevany | 3705-4-" | |
| Moturpha | 599-" " | |
| | 19933-" 10 | |
| Fusly 1253. | | |
| Jeroyetty 105-6-6. | | |
| Cuttoobadies upon Enams 47. 12. " | | |
| Salabdevany 85. " " | | |
| Agraharum Shotreums 10. 2. 7. | | |
| Moturpha 22. 13. " | | |
| | 271. 2. 1. | |
| Carried over | 20259-2-10 | " " " 5610 1 1 |

30th April 1846.

Coorpaum Zemindary for Fusly 1254. Cr.

| | Amount | Total. |
|---|---|---|
| **Disbursements.** | | |
| Paid subsistence to the Minor Vizt — | | |
| To the Minor from 11th July 1845 to 30th June 1845 being 12 Months at 200 Rupees per month | 2,400. " " | |
| To the Guardian M. Santoo haroydoo Dr Dr at 20 Rs per Dr | 240. " " | |
| To the Teloogoo Teacher Dr Dr at 6 Dr | 22 " " | 2,712. " " |
| Charges Collection. | | |
| Pay to the Hostoor Goomastah for 12 — Months at 12 Rupees per month | 144 " " | |
| Sumeen Establishment. | | |
| To the Sumeen for 12 months at 10 Rupees per Month 120. " " | | |
| Deduct fine imposed 2. 10. 4. | 117. 5. 8. | |
| To the Head Goomastah for Dr at 12 Dr 144. " " | | |
| Deduct fine imposed 14. 14. " | 129. 2. " | |
| To the Goomastah for Dr at 10 Dr | 120. " " | |
| To 2 Samoodars for Dr at 10 Rs each per Dr | 240. " " | |
| To the Shroff for Dr at 4 Dr | 48. " " | |
| 12 Peons at 4 Rupees each per Month | 432. " " | 1086. 7 8. |
| Carried forward | 1230. 7 8. | 2712. " " |

# Fort St. George

30th April 1846

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward | 20,259. 2. 11 | 5610. 1 1. |
| Fusly 1252. | | |
| Jeroyetty | 24. " " | |
| Enam Cuttoobadies | 6. 8. " | |
| Augraharum Shotreum | 2. 11. 1 | |
| Moturpha | 12. 14. 2 | |
| | 49. 1. 3. | |
| Fusly 1251. | | |
| Jeroyetty | 30. 10. " | |
| Augraharum Shotreum | " 6. 4. | |
| Moturpha | 1 10. " | |
| | 32. 10. 4. | |
| | 20,340. 14 6. | |
| Cash recovered on account of Tuckavy advanced to the Ryots of Fusly 1254. | 29 " " | |
| Total as per Demand Collection | 20,369 14 6 | |
| Exctra Collection. | | |
| Sales proceeds of deserted Ryots | 1. 2 " | |
| | 20,371. " 6 | |
| Particulars. | | |
| Collected in Cash in the Talook | 19,600. 8 6 | |
| Amount collected by the Creditor of the Estate from the village rented by them which was disbursed under their debt and Credited under the Collection | 770. 8 " | |
| | 20,371. " 6. | |
| Carried forward | 25,981. 1 7. | |

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward | 1,230 7 8 | 2,712 " " |
| Sauderward. | | |
| Hoozoor Sauderward for 12 months at 2 Rupees per month | 24. " " | |
| Tanah Dr. for Dr. at 4 Dr. | 48. " " | |
| | 72. " " | |
| Total Ordinary | 1,302. 7 8. | |
| Exctraordinary. | | |
| Pay for 2 additional Goomastahs for 2 months and 17 days at 10 Rupees each per month | 51. 4. 6 | |
| Summahbundy Sauderwared | 3. 10. 6. | |
| Travelling Charges to Hoozoor Goomastah | 18. 8. " | |
| | 73 7 " | 1,375. 14 8. |
| Tuckavy advanced to the poor Clafs of Ryots in fusly 1254 | " " " | 62 " " |
| Paid Government Peishcush | " " " | 1,026 4 " |
| Government Commission on Collections of fusly 1253 | " " " | 388. 11 9 |
| Amount Credited in the Public Account. | " " " | 10000 " " |
| Postage on account of Public letters | " " " | " 14 " |
| Paid to Seemanah Mother and Guardian of the Minor upon her receipt being the costs of an Appeal Suit preferred on account of the Village of Poonkanaidooveulsah | " " " | 300 " " |
| Amount paid to C. Atchiah on account of the instalment of Poottoor Village as per Account Particular forwarded to the Board of Revenue under date the 25th March 1844 | 350 " " | |
| Carried forward | 350. " " | 25,103 8 5 |

6068

Fort St. George

30th April 1846

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward ..... | | 25981 1 7 |

Total Company's Rupees ..... 25981 1 7

| | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward | 350 " " | 25103 8 5 |
| Amount paid to P. Venkatacroostama on account of instalment of Royem: thoravulsah and Garoo-goobilly | 420 8 " | 770 8 " |
| Total Charges ..... | | 25874 " 5 |
| Balance remaining to the end of fusly 1254 | | 107. 1 2 |

Total Company's Rupees ..... 25,981. 1 7.

Vizagapatam,
21st April 1846.

E. E. Jr

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

Demand

6070 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

No. 10.

Statement Shewing the Comparison of Charges of the Coorpaum Zemindary between fusalies 1253 and 54.—

| | | | Fusly 1253. | | | Fusly 1254. | | | Increase. | | | Decrease. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | | | |
| Ordinary. | Subsistence to Minor Rajah | | 2,732 | " | " | 2,712 | " | " | " | " | " | 20 | " | " |
| | Pay to the Koozoor Goomastah | | 80 | 14 | 8 | 144 | " | " | 63 | 1 | 4 | " | " | " |
| | Do. to the Cumeen Establishment | | 1,038 | 11 | 2 | 1,086 | 4 | 8 | 47 | 12 | 6 | " | " | " |
| | Koozoor District Sadeerared. | | 65 | 14 | 6 | 72 | " | " | 6 | 1 | 6 | " | " | " |
| | Total. | | 1,185 | 8 | 1 | 1,302 | 4 | 8 | 116 | 15 | 4 | " | " | " |
| Extraordinary. | Wages to the Additional Goomastahs | | 153 | 6 | 1 | 51 | 4 | 6 | " | " | " | 102 | 1 | 4 |
| | Do. to the Peons | | 4 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 | 4 | " |
| | Hire for carrying record & Summah. Sundy Sadeerared. | | 41 | 4 | 11 | 22 | 2 | 6 | " | " | " | 19 | 5 | 5 |
| | Total | | 199 | 2 | " | 73 | 4 | " | " | " | " | 125 | 11 | " |
| Total Charges Collection | | | 1384 | 10 | 1 | 1375 | 14 | 8 | 116 | 15 | 4 | 125 | 11 | " |

6069.

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

No. 9. Demand Collection and Balance of the Circars' Peishcush of the Coorpaum Zemindary for Fusly 1254.

| Fusalies | Demand. | Collection. | Balance. | Charges. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1254 | 12,464 " " | 10,264 " " | 2,200 " " | 4 to 20 April. |
| Vizagapatam 21st April 1846 | Errors Excepted. | (Signed) P. B. Smollett Agent to the Governor | | |

Statement.

Extra Charges.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1253. | Fusly 1254. | Increase. | Decrease. |
| Tuccavy | 195 4 " | 62 " " | " " " | 133 4 " |
| Current Pishcush | 12,464 " " | 10,264 " " | " " " | 2,200 " " |
| Dr Arrears | 5,744 12 4 | " " " | " " " | 5,744 12 4 |
| Government Commission | 332 12 11 | 388 11 9 | 55 14 10 | " " " |
| Postage of letters | " " " | " 14 " | " 14 " | " " " |
| Court Charges | " " " | 300 " " | 300 " " | " " " |
| Debts discharged | 17,584 6 2 | 770 8 " | " " " | 16,813 14 2 |
| Amount Collected by Soobadrama in fusly 1251 from the ryots now remitted upon enquiry | 170 2 " | " " " | " " " | 170 2 " |
| Total Extra Charges | 36,491 5 5 | 11,786 1 9 | 356 12 10 | 25062 " 6 |
| Grand Total | 40,607 15 6 | 15874 " 5 | 473 12 5 | 25,207 11 6 |
| Amount Credited in the Public accounts for the purchase of 4 per cent Government Security | " " " | 10,000 " " | 10,000 " " | " " " |
| Grand Total | 40,607 15 6 | 25874 " 5 | 10,473 12 5 | 25,207 11 6 |
| | | | Deduct Increase | 10,473 12 5 |
| | | | Net Decrease | 14,733 15 1 |

Vizagapatam 21st April 1846. E. E. Vs. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

Read.

J C B.



Order thereon. 21.
Vide Pt 8th June
20-21

Ordered to be brought for-
ward at a future Meeting.

Read the following letter.-

To

F. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue.
Fort St. George

From the Coll. of Rajahmundry Submitting for the consideration of the Board the explanation required in Para 11 of their Proceedings relative to the sale of Salt by Weight and not by Measure in this District and reporting that orders have been given to sell all salt in future by Measure.

Sir,

Para.

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th January 1846, I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board the explanation required in Para 11 of the above proceedings relative to Salt having been sold by weight and not by Measure in this District.

22

2. According to the orders received to carry into effect Act VI 1844, the sale of Salt by weight commenced on 1st April 1844, and the Boards letter dated 29th April 1844 stated that "doubts having arisen about "the intentions of the Act, the rule was that henceforth "Salt should be received and sold by Weight as far "as the present means would permit, and until the additional necessary Scales could be procured the former practice must be continued." In obedience to the act subsequently confirmed by the Boards letter

the

Fort St. George 30th. April 1846.

the Scales and weights that had been previously provided for Salt exported to Calcutta" &c were made available for general purposes. The Salt then made but not received into store was at once weighed, and the sale of the new Salt commenced immediately by weight, for on the 1st. June 1844, there were only 7869 Bengal Maunds of old Salt in store. The Board may also have observed that in the Estimate forwarded with my letter dated 22d. May 1844 the number of the Scales and Weights already in use was considerable. The Board's letter dated 13th. January 1845 was received by Mr. Bird the Acting Collector on the 25th. of that Month in which the Board declined forwarding for sanction the estimate forwarded with my letter dated 27th. May 1844 and state that "pending a reference to Government on the expediency of selling salt by a Measure made to contain a Maund instead of by Weight as provided by Act XI of 1844, it was desirable that no change should be made in the practice hitherto obtained in this District in regard to Salt". This was understood by Mr. Bird to imply that the existing practice of weighing was to be continued as all the Salt had been received and sold by Weight for the period of about 10

Months



Fort St. George 30th. April 1846.

Months and the Board's letter of 29th. April 1844 speaks of the Sale by Measure as the former practice. I would also observe that there appears to have been no Circular dated 13th. January 1845 regarding Salt received at this Office.

3. As however it appears from the Board's proceedings that it was their intention that Salt should be sold by Measure, I have given orders that all Salt in future should be sold by Measure, and I trust this will meet with the Board's approval.

4. The greatest care will be observed in preventing any frauds which may arise from the difficulty of checking the sale by Measure of what had been received and stored by Weight.

5. I would also beg for instructions from the Board as to how the Salt is to be entered in the Accounts. Since the 1st. April 1844 the date of the Commencement of sales by Weight, all the Accounts have been in Indian Maunds and Seers, but now the sales being by Measurement of Madras Garces and Mercals, it may be desirable to have the Accounts of Madras and Garces converted into Indian Maunds.

Rajahmundry District,
Ramchendrapoor,
16th. March 1846

[Signed] J. Prendergast.
Collector
Ordered

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.

To The Collector in the Gillah of Rajahmundry

23

To the Coll.r of Rajahmundry
Ins.d 30th Apl. 1846.

(23)

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th March last stating the circumstances under which Salt has been received and sold exclusively by Weight at the Cotaurs of your District since the 1st April 1846 - your explanation is considered to be satisfactory -

2. In Para 3 of your letter you inform the Board that since the receipt of their Proceedings of 19th January last you have given orders that all Salt should be sold by Measure - As however it would seem that the system of receipt and sale by Weight only has been pursued in your District for nearly two years past and as it is doubtful which mode may be ultimately adopted - it appears to the Board to be preferable that you should revert to the late practice of selling by Weight till further instructions - The quantity which has been



been sold by Measure since the receipt of the Board's Proceedings may be converted into Indian Maunds in your Accounts at the rate of 120 Indian Maunds to the Garce -

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
30th April 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(22-24)

To the Chief Sec.y to Government
Submitting with reference to Para 1 Extract Mn. of Cons.n 9th Feb.y 1846 a letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry explanatory of the grounds on which Salt has been sold by Weight at the Cotaurs of his District and stating that the Authorities of Rajahmundry have acted in this instance in conformity with the Board's instructions.
Cons.n 12th May 1846

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to Para 1 Extract Minutes of Consultation 9th February 1846. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry explanatory of the grounds on which Salt has been sold exclusively by Weight at the Cotaurs of his District -

2. From this it will appear that shortly after the passing of Act VI 1844 which seemed to require the sale of salt by Weight the Board by a Circular 29th April 1844 directed the several Collectors of Salt Districts to receive and sell Salt by Weight "as far as their present means would permit" In Rajahmundry unlike other Districts the supply of Scales and Weights was sufficient to allow the weighing system to be carried out

out in full, and it was therefore brought at once into general operation. The Board were subsequently led to make a reference to Government upon the expediency of selling salt by a Measure made to contain an Indian Maund, instead of by Weight and they by a Circular 13th January 1845, ordered that pending the result of this reference no change should be made in the practice in regard to the sales of salt which at that time obtained in the several Salt Districts. This was understood by the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry to imply that the then existing practice in his District of selling salt wholly by Weight should not be altered and it was continued accordingly.-

3. In the Board's Proceedings 19th January 1846 the Circular of 13th January 1845 was spoken of as having prohibited the sale of salt by Weight. This however was inaccurate. that Circular as already shewn directed the existing practice to be adhered to. The practice in other Districts as regarded sales of salt for home and inland Consumption was that of sale by Measure - in that of Rajahmundry it was that of sale by Weight; in maintaining



maintaining sale by Weight as before the Authorities of Rajahmundry acted therefore only in conformity with their instructions.

4. In Para 3 of the letter now forwarded, Mr. Prendergast states that since the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of 19th January last he has ordered that all salt at his Golaurs should be in future sold by Measure.- As however the question whether Measure or Weight shall hence forth be adopted in regulation of salt transactions is at present undecided and as sales have been conducted in Rajahmundry by Weight for nearly two years past it appears to the Board that no alteration should for the present be made, they have therefore directed the Collector to continue selling by Weight until further orders.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
30th April 1846.

(Signed) F. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter-

To

F. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue
Fort St. George

25

From the Coll.r of
Rajahmundry

28

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose
Copy

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Copy of a letter addressed to me by Mr. W. Forbes the Sub Collector requesting that Silla-
=lagluttum Bheemarow the Amildar of Woon-
=dy may be removed from his Office in con-
=sequence of his repeated neglect of duty,
especially in the Maramut department.
Thinking it essential that the Authority of
the Sub Collector should be supported and
Considering the great importance which
the Maramut department has now at-
=tained in this District I beg to recommend
that the Amildar's dismissal may be sanc-
=tioned by the Board. His present removal
will be no bar to his re-employment sooner
or later in another situation of equal emolu-
=ment.

Rajahmundry District,
Seva coodoo,
22d April 1846

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Encl.te No. 1.

To,
Thomas Prendergast Esqre
Collector in the District
of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

On the 31st January the
ordinary Estimate for the Woondy Talook
Amounting to Rupees 2900 was received
from



Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

from the Amildar Sillaghattum Bheem
row, and sanctioned on the 7th of February, an
advance of Rupees 1000 being at the same time ordered.

The periodical reports shewing
no advance in the works, orders have from
time to time been sent pointing out to
the Amildar the necessity for exertion, &
but I regret that those orders have been
attended with so little good result that
up to the 15th of the present Month work
to the amount of only Rs. 150 has been
performed; the season rapidly ad-
=vances, and each day renders the soil
more and more hard, and the work more
difficulty of performance.

I have now received your
instructions to add some important works
to the amount of Rupees 6,000 to the ordinary
Estimate for this year, but the utter in-
=attention shewn to my orders by the
present Amildar and the Complete indif-
=ference he evinces to the most important
branch of his duty makes it evident that
with no more efficient assistance
that I receive from him, I cannot carry
out your intentions, and renders it
therefore my duty to the Division

and

and to myself to move for his removal.-

In further proof of the system of non attention to orders pursued by this Amildar, there are at present no less than 151 orders from the Head Cutcherry remaining unanswered in the Woondy Talook.-

The Marcanut in this District is rapidly increasing in importance, and it is obvious that the best energies of the Talook servants must be exerted to carry out the projected improvements, and as a warning to himself, a wholesome example to others, and in support of the authority of my orders, which have hitherto been totally disregarded, I have the honor to request that you will submit to the Board of Revenue my application to be permitted to remove Sillaghuttum Bheem Row from the Office of Amildar of Woondy and to supply his place with some one who will evince more zeal in the performance of his duty and a more ready and cheerful obedience to the orders of his superiors.

Rajahmundry District
Nursapoor 17th April 1846 } I have &c
Signed H. Forbes
Sub Collr.

Resolution thereon.- 26

25-26

Under the circumstances stated the Board sanction the removal of Sillaghuttum Bheem Row from the Office of Amildar of Woondy. His removal will not however be considered as a bar to his employment in the public service on some future occasion.-

26a

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Read Statement of Contingent charges incurred during the year 1845 & sanctioned by the Board

Ordered to lie over.-

Order thereon.- 27

26a-27

Read



Read the following letter

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collector of Masulipatam. } Para 1.

Recommending that the allowance enjoyed by the late Seneraummuly Raghavandra Charlos in the Salt Department may be continued to his Widow until the adopted boy attains his proper age.

28.

I have the honor to request you will lay before the Board the accompanying Copy and Translation of an Arzee addressed to me by Senkaraummuchee Raghavandra Charloo who was in the receipt of a Monthly allowance of Rupees 8-9. as noted in the Margin in the Salt Department representing that he has left a will authorizing his Widow to adopt a son, to whom he requested that the allowance may be continued.-

| | Rs. | a. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| From the Masulipatam Salt Golaurs | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| Transferred from the Guntoor District in 1813 agreeably to the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 21st January 1813 | 6 | 1 | 11 |
| Total | 8 | 9 | - |

2. I also beg to submit a Petition received from the Widow of the deceased, apprizing me of her having taken in Adoption a boy Aged 10 years with a request that the Monthly allowance enjoyed by her husband

husband since dead may be continued to her.-

* To be returned. 3. The Original Summuds for the allowance granted by the Mogul Government in Fizzeree 1170 and 1176 are herewith forwarded with translations.-

4. The Records of this Office shew that the allowance has been enjoyed by the deceased's family till the date of the last incumbents death- several Doorpbalahs granted Annually by different Authorities for the allowance have been produced to me, but I considered their transmission unnecessary unless they are required.-

5 In Mr Roberts's letter dated 25th April 1825, it is stated that the Salt Roysoonus in this District, of which that now under report is one, are considered hereditary and continued as such as Casualties occur, and as the family has hitherto enjoyed the allowance for a long series of years, I beg to solicit that sanction may be obtained for its continuance and for the disbursement of the allowance to the Widow until the boy attains his proper Age in arrear from the date of this deceased's demise Vizt 25 March 1845.-

Masulipatam Zillah
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
at Weyore 11 March 1846.-

/Signed/ R T. Porter
Collector

Translation



No 1

Translation of an arzee addressed to R.T. Porter Esqre Collector in the zillah of Masulipatam by Soubharaumiah Raghavendra Charloo an Inhabitant of Goodoogoopettah.

A severe illness which had attacked me some time having materially increased and this day finding that I can live no longer, I have as I had no male issue permitted my Wife to take a boy in adoption agreeably to the Hindoo Law to be an heir for me, and this day at 10 Oclock P.M. I caused certain rules to be drawn out on a Stamped Paper of the Value of 1 Rupee purchased in your Cutcherry, to be attended to by my heir after my demise as well as for receiving the Salt Roopoonu of 8.9. granted to me every Month in your Cutcherry and for Managing the whole property and Estate belonging to me including my Enam lands &c. I have also put my signature on it and caused it to be attested by certain Witnesses and given it in charge of my Wife. I therefore beg leave to represent this circumstance for your honors information, and humbly solicit your honor will be pleased to issue instructions to continue the payment of the said Roopoonu in charge of the boy who may be adopted as stated by me in the paper above referred to.-

Dated 25 March 1845. /Signed/ Raghavendra Charloo

No 2.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to R.T. Porter Esqre Collector in the zillah of Masulipatam by Venkooboyeemmah adoptive

adoptive Mother and Guardian to the adopted Son Geremnah Aged 10 years who has been adopted under the orders of Senkaramunchie Raghavaundra Charloo.

With reference to a Hookoom issued by your honor in No. 170 to the Tahsildar of Masulipatam Taloke under date 9th April 1845, adverting to the Petition presented by my husband stating that he had on 25th March last permitted me to adopt a boy as an heir according to the rules prescribed by Hindoo Law drawn out a will with certain conditions, given it into my Charge and represented to your honor the same by his Arzee, and directing him that he (Tahsildar) should enquire into and take proofs as to the circumstances alluded to by the Witnesses and documents and to report to your honor whether the adoption of the Son has been effected or not, Beg leave to represent that he has accordingly interrogated and taken proofs through the Witnesses attesting the will as well as through me of the circumstance of the will having been duly executed by him and of the intended adoption which was about to take place after the expiration of Moodhamy, held a Record on the subject and forwarded the same to your presence. I have, as directed in



in the said will selected a boy named Geremnah whom I think best calculated for the purpose and who is the 4th Son of Davagoopthun Ammutachiarloo who is one of the same Gotrum or lineage — Corresponding to mine, and according to Dhurma-sastras adopted him on 24th June 1845, and with regard to the said adopted son I and my Daughter Raghavamah have executed certain deeds on Stamp'd Papers in the name of the said Ammita Chiarloo and he (Ammutachiarloo) in the same manner — and he (Ammutachiarloo) in the same manner executed deeds in our names and exchanged them between us, and performed Woopanayanum or investing in Brammincal thread to the said boy Geremnah on Thursday 7th Baboolum of this Month. I therefore most respectfully beg leave to Solicit that your honor will be pleased to take the foregoing circumstances, the Record held in this case, and the Thurwanah &c. Documents in my possession regarding the Roopoon into your Candid consideration and pass your Hookoom to the Cashkeeper for the payment of the arrears of the Roopoons payable to us at 8-9 Rs. per Month from the Month of March in which my husband departed this life, and to continue hereafter every Month paying the

the same as was heretofore continued.-

Dated 30th June 1845. / Marked Veriboobayeennuals.

No. 3.

Seal of Anlungherry Paudsham

Translation of a Sanhood written in the names of the Naibs, Dessondiahs, Desmookhs, Mazoomdars, Moostajirs, Darogahs &ca of the Salt Colaurs of Masulipatam, Nezampatam and Ellore Moorjamnagur and Moostafanagur Circars Appertaining to Hyderabad.-

As a Roosoom at 2 Annas per each Candy of Salt has been established to be paid by the purchasers of Salt in the Colaurs of the aforesaid Circars to Senkaramunchy Amunta Charloo Iyawar to continue for his Maintenance, Summuds and Rooksoms having formerly been issued to that effect, now it is determined that the same payments of Roosoms should be continued to him as before. Therefore the Roosoums in question should without any Molestation be ordered to be Collected from the purchasers of Salt and paid to the said Brammy. This privilege he is to enjoy as long as his posterity continue Offering up his prayers to Almighty God for the increase of prosperity and reputation of Our Government.-

This Sanhood should be considered as positive one and Act according to the instructions contained in it.-

Salt Colaurs in the Masulipatam Circar. Augdoo Hindareyahah.

Salt Colaurs in the Nezampatam Circar Nezampatam Cavoobah.



Tundoor Loondar. Parly Tanapoor-
Ellore Circar- Caracodur &ca.
Canzah Calwah Volobundy. Moorjamnagur Colaurs.
Mastafamnagur Circar Colaurs.
Culdendy-

Dated 19th Jeky dah 1170 Hegery-

No. 4.

Translation

Seal of Mahomed Bawker

Senkaramunchy Amunta Charloo Brammy having represented that a Khyraty or Charity allowance at 2 Annas per each Candy of Salt in the Masulipatam Division had been established to him and Summuds &ca have formerly been issued to that effect, and as he had represented that he is suffering much for maintenance as his family is numerous - and that the Khyraty or Charitable allowance should be ordered to be continued to him, and as we have enquired into his honesty and good conduct, the Khyraty allowance at 2 Annas per Candy in time of the sales of Salt as directed by the former Summuds and Rooksoums should be continued to him.-

He is to enjoy this Roosoom every - year Carrying on the maintenance as long as his posterity continues praying the Good for our prosperity

prosperity and reputation.

This Saheed should be considered as positive and brought into execution.—

Colaur Nezampatam Cushah 1. Charnakal

Colaur Peelaar ..... 1 Colaur Parley 1

Colaur Cudahoodroo 1

Dated 5th Jee Hazee ..... 1176 Hezeree.

True Translations

Signed W. L. Porter

Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

28-29

To the Chief Secy to Government

Submitting a letter with its Enclosures from the Collector of Masulipatam reporting the death of Seneeramunchy Ragavandra Chariloo who was in the receipt of a Salt Roopeem of Rs 8.9. per Mensem and suggesting should Government see fit to Acknowledge the permanency of the allowance that the grant be registered in the name of the widow of the late holder for the support of the family of the Deceased & the amount be disbursed to her in

Mins. 30 April 1840

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter with Enclosures from the Collector of Masulipatam reporting the death of Seneeramunchy Ragavandra Chariloo who was in the receipt of a Salt Roopeem of Rupees 8.9 - per Mensem and soliciting sanction to disburse the amount to his widow in arrears from the 25th of March 1835 on behalf of a posthumously adopted son until he shall attain his full age.—

The



in arrears from the 25th March 1835

2 This Roopeem in question would appear to have been originally conferred by the late Native Government for the maintenance of the then incumbent and to have been confirmed to him by the Sunnuds which accompany the Collectors letter being dated respectively Hegery 1170 and 1176.

A.D. 1756 & 1760

3 In an enquiry instituted into the Roopeems by the general Salt Agent in the year 1806 that Class of Enams which conferred charitable allowances under which this one in question was entered, were stated to have been invariably paid and their continuance was accordingly recommended by the Board in their letter to Government dated 27th March 1806 and sanctioned by Government on the 11th April following. The grants have therefore been hitherto considered as hereditary and continued in this instance for a long series of years to this family of the late incumbent.—

Para 4 & 5 vide 28 April 1806.

4. Under these circumstances the Board would beg to suggest should Government still see fit to acknowledge the permanency of this allowance, that the grant should be registered in the name of the Widow of the late holder for the support of this family of the deceased the payment taking effect in arrear from the 25th March 1835 as requested by the Collector.

Signed J. Tyeroft Secretary

Revenue Board's Office

Fort St George 30th April 1846

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

Sir,

From the Collector of Masulipatam. 30

30

With reference to Para 16 of my letter under date the 10th February last, I have the honor to state that the renter of Condapelly Purgunah having failed to find competent security for the amount of the decennial rent settled with him by Ramanadha Bauboo from fasly 1254 A.D. 1844-45 to 1263 A.D. 1853-54 inclusive, I have resumed the rent and have the honor to forward a list of an increased establishment deputed by me for the management of the Purgunah as entered in the list accompanying, for which I request that sanction may be granted.—

[Signed] R. T. Porter

Collector

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 9th April 1846

Statement



Fort St George 30th April 1846

No. 1 Statement shewing the Establishment already appointed for which sanction is obtained the Condapelly Purgunah after the rent of it is attached which should be submitted

| Names of the zemindary | Names of the Purgunahs | Number of Villages | Permanent Jummah of the entire Estate | Balances of Peishcush outstanding on the Estate: Balance on account of Fasls up to March 1846 of fasly 1255 | Arrears up to fasly 1254 | Total | Number of the Villages proposed to be attached | Estimated Cist of the said Estate | Establishment proposed: Peishcar; Muddelgar; Sumutdar; Peons |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 1 2 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | | Rs a p | |
| Vassireddy Ramanatha Bauboo | Condapelly Purgunah | | 11 15/30 | 7655 15 11 | 91880 15 2 | 99536 15 1 | 11 | 9108 | 9 5 Peons — Total 9 Salary Saderwar Total 9 Amount |

The said Purgunah having been under rented tenure ward attached an Establishment then required for the same was proposed a Sanction on the 5th December 1845. But the rent of the said Purgun is proposed for its Management on a sum of Rs 30 per Mensem as shewn

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 9th April 1846. E. E. [Signed] R. T. Porter Collect

Fort St George 30 April 1846

No 1 Statement shewing the Establishment already appointed for which Sanction is obtained and also the same proposed to be employed hereafter for the Condapilly Purgunah after the rent of it is attached which should be submitted for Sanction.

| Names of the Zemindary | Names of the Purgunahs | Number of Villages | Permanent Summa of the entire Estate | Balance of Peishcush outstanding on the Estate: Balance on account of Fists up to March 1846 of fusly 1255 | Balance of Peishcush outstanding on the Estate: Arrears up to fusly 1254 | Balance of Peishcush outstanding on the Estate: Total | Number of the Villages proposed to be attached | Estimated rent of the said Estate | Establishment proposed to be employed in this attachment: Description of Employment | Establishment for which sanction is already obtained: No | Establishment for which sanction is already obtained: Monthly Pay | Establishment for which sanction is proposed: No | Establishment for which sanction is proposed: Monthly Pay | Total: No | Total: Monthly Pay | Percentage of the Estabblishment to the estimated rent | Date of attachment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rs a p | | Rs a p | | Rs a p | Rs a p | |
| Vassereddy Ramanatha Bauboo | Condapully Purgunah | 11 | 1530 | 7655 15 11 | 9880 15 2 | 99536 15 1 | 11 | 9108 | 9 5 Peons | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Peishcar | " | " | " | " | 1 | 17 8 | 1 | 17 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Muddulgar | 1 | 8 | " | " | " | " | 1 | 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sumtdar | " | " | " | " | 2 | 16 | 2 | 16 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Peons | 2 | 6 | " | " | 5 | 15 | 7 | 21 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Total of Salary | 3 | 14 | " | " | 8 | 18 8 | 11 | 62 8 | 8 9 | 12 March 1846 |
| | | | | | | | | | Saderwar | " | 1 | " | " | " | 1 8 | " | 2 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Total of Month | 3 | 15 | " | " | 8 | 50 | 11 | 65 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Total for year | | | | | | | | 780 | | |

The said Purgunah having been under rented tenure when the whole Estate of Vassereddy Ramanada Bauboo were attached an Establishment then required for the same was proposed as shewn in Col 12 which the Board of Revenue have been pleased to Sanction on the 5 December 1845. But the rents of the said Purgunah having been attached at present a further Establishment is proposed for its Management on a sum of Rs 50 Fs Month as shewn in Col 14 for which sanction is requested.—

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 9 April 1846. E E J. [Signed] R. J. Porter Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Collector in the Tillah of Masulipatam

Sir,

To the Collector of Masulipatam

31

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 9th April last submitting for Sanction list of Establishment deputed for the management of the Condapilly Purganah attached on failure of the renter to furnish competent security.—

Proceedings 30 April 1846.

30-31

2. Before passing orders upon your application the Board beg to forward to you the enclosed Petition from the renter, in which he represents that he paid the rent in full for last Fasly. that he has discharged three Kists of the current year has offered three further Kists or those for January February and March, and stated his readiness to produce security in real property and deposit in Cash to the amount of Rupees 15000. The Board request that you will report upon the allegations contained in the Petition.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 30 April 1846

Signed T. Pycroft Secretary.

R 1

f°. 300 of 1846.



Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

32

32

From W. Stokes Esqre. Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

Explaining the causes of arrears of business and decline of Revenue under his management up to 18th August 1846 the State in which Establishment was found, Pressure of extraordinary work want of Confidence in Serishtadar and his unfitness, Illhealth, low prices and relief in consequence given to Ryots. Forwarding accounts to shew operation of prices Replying to Boards Proceedings dated 5th August 1846.

The Proceedings of the Board dated 5th August 1846 commenting on my letter of the 29th June of that year, wherein I reported what I had done towards carrying out the orders of Government for improving the Administration of the Gentoo-dawn of this Circar as required by the Court of Directors, reached the Office after I had been obliged under Medical Certificate to give it over to Mr. Newill, I wished notwithstanding to reply to the Board at once and also to offer some explanation of the unsatisfactory state of the District which I could not but foresee would attract the attention of the Controlling Authorities whether here or in England, but the Medical Officer who attended me enjoined me to abstain from public business while I remained at Guntoor, and at Madras the late lamented Dr. Lane for similar reasons dissuaded me from waiting either upon the Most Noble the Governor, or the Members of the Board, for the purpose of giving any explanation in person. The delay that has thus intervened has been attended with this great advantage to me, that, in the meantime, information as to the

State

State of the District, and the causes which led to it, have, from independent sources, been furnished to the Board and Government, through the searching and laborious investigations of the Commissioner and Mr. White, superseding the necessity of much that I might have had to say.—

2.— Some explanation, however, will doubtless still be looked for from me, and I shall now attempt to give it, begging that the Board will be pleased to forward it with their own remarks to Government. I shall first notice the arrears of business, and decline of revenue, which characterized the two years of my charge, and then the particular points which require reply in the Board's Proceedings quoted above.—

3.— The deterioration of the District, notoriously commencing on my arrival, increasing during my stay, and abating on my departure, would, by a natural inference, be ascribed to defects in my management. These defects I am far from denying, and I would here beg to express my grateful acknowledgements to the Board for the consideration and forbearance I experienced from them, for though I honestly desired to discharge my duty, I know I failed in many things; and a sense of my inability situated as I



when was to manage the District, pressed heavily on my spirits, energy, and health as many friends can testify, during the whole time I was at Guntoor, and eventually as I have reason to think was a main cause of the illness which Providentially has relieved me from the difficulties under which I had so ineffectually struggled. My object now is not therefore, to exculpate myself from all censure, but to state, as faithfully as I can, the process by which the unhappy results above noticed came to pass.—

4.— I was informed at Madras when about to proceed to Sea in September 1840, though the Board did not consider it necessary to communicate with me officially on the matter, that Government had called on them to report, whether they had reason to think I had neglected Official duties for Missionary pursuits. How such a surmise originated, I am at a loss to conjecture, It was my privilege to receive into my house some Protestant Missionaries, who came to Guntoor, and to induce one of them to establish a Mission there in connexion with the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, but I cannot recall that I abridged my Public Labours for the Society of these excellent men, whom I met at meals and in the evening occasionally, I devoted a short time before

Fort St. George 30th April 1842

breakfast, after dispersing of the arzees, to the scriptu=ral instruction of my private servants, but this, when a Missionary was with me, I left to him, I am not aware of having done any thing else that could bear a semblance of Missionary pursuits.—

5.—

The Board are aware that on assumed charge of the District under a combination of adverse circumstances. Unexperienced in the details of a Collector's Office, the peculiarities of a Tamu=lary District, and almost a stranger to the Telugu language, I was met by a far more serious obstruction in the State of the Native Establishment.— Mr. Golding=ham left the District in high order, and except in the Vasseredy Estate, which had only been taken under his management about a month, I found matters had been efficiently carried out, and were producing the fruits of steady and general im=provement, current business was easily got through, and he had leisure to devote much attention to important projects of improvement in the roads, irrigation, and assessment.— We ascribed these happy results in a great measure to the able assistance he received from Subbaroyalu Krishna Row notwithstanding the impediments thrown in their way by the

To the Board Vanmahundy report for July 1st 1842 April 1843 Para 2.— To B. of R. 10th October 1842 Para 6.—

7th Jan.y 1842.



Fort St. George 30th April 1842

the disqualifications of Sheshagerry Row.—

6.—

During the interval of two months between Mr. Goldingham's departure and my arrival, a thorough revolution was effected,— the establishment left by Mr. Goldingham — overturned and his measures and plans buried in the ruin.— I found new Seristadars, new Moonshees and new Servants in almost every post down to that of peons.— and here I would beg to guard against being understood as desiring to reflect upon Mr. Mathison or to shift responsibility from my own shoulders to his. I differ from him diametrically in his estimate of the character of the rivals Sheshagerry Row and Subbaroyalu Venkata Krishna Row, but suppose him to have acted from the best intentions, most of the changes moreover were made with the sanction of the — Board. We believed Sheshagerry Row an honest, valuable, and injured public Servant, the victim of a faction, and did his best to redress the — grievances to which he supposed him and other old Guntoor Servants to have been subjected through the intrigues of an interloper from Masu=lipatam, Had he remained to carry out his own plans with his own agents, he might have averted those

15th Feby 1842 22d April 1842.

Mr. Mathison to B. of R. 11th April 1842.

these disastrous results which I could not - I found Subnevis Vencata Krishna Row under suspension from the 13th April 1842 on eight grave charges, seven of them under Reg. IX of 1822, the trial of which required daily many hours of close attention for some weeks. On all these and on one of conspiracy, and subornation of perjury tried in the Joint criminal Court, originating from the same source, he was eventually acquitted after being harassed incessantly for more than eighteen Months. I was moreover beset with petitions from all who had suffered in the late changes. The course of Current business was choked up, arrears accumulated, and thus one of the most effectual checks that can be exercised on the District servants was neutralized. —

To B. 10th Oct. 1842

To B. 10th Nov. 1843

7. — To have summarily reversed — as Mr. Mathison's appointments would, except in the case of Subnevis Venkat Krishna Row, have only renewed the confusion, I saw no alternative but to make the best of the Establishment as I found it, and I hoped in filling up vacancies as they occurred, gradually to allay the spirit of party and weaken the confederacy which it had been Sheshagerry Rows chief object to cement. —

8. — In the end of 1842 when I was relieved from the pressure of the extraneous work — I have described, and hoping to get the District under some command, I was again thrown back by the new



new duties devolving upon me under the orders of the Court of Directors relative to the Zemindaries. It was necessary to assume the management of the two Estates which still remained under their proprietors, who had availed themselves of the interval, after they had private intelligence of the design of Government, to derange the affairs of their Villages, as much as they could. —

+ 11th Jan.y 1843 Sub = = tenopilly 19th April 1844 Respfully

9. — In the course of this year 1843 / my health began to give way, and I suffered repeatedly from attacks of a painful disorder, on account of which the Civil Surgeon tho' he did not urge my taking leave of absence required me to keep quiet for several days at a time. after being on three occasions thus obliged to be absent from Cutcherry for a week at a time, I reported the Circumstance officially to the Board on the 19th October 1843, but my letter was returned as unnecessary, it being allowable for a Collector to delegate the whole or any part of his duties to his Assistant. —

10 — Another fatal impediment to my success existed in the entire extinction of Confidence in the Serishtadar which was the necessary result of my experience of his Cunning and Malevolence in his deeply

Evid

laid plots for the ruin of his rival, and his own firm establishment in power.- When I found, moreover, that in the accomplishment of his ends, he did not hesitate to assail, not only men of his own class, unhappily too often vulnerable, but even, behind his back, the character of Mr. Goldingham, by charging him in a petition to the Board with having signed blank Summuds of appointment, and given them to his then Serishtadar, who gave them to Subnevis Vencata Krishna Row who sold them for ready Cash, I could regard him with no other feelings than disgust and suspicion, and felt it exceedingly painful to have any intercourse with him.-

From Dc. 7th to March 1842-
To Dc. 11th April "
To Dc. 5th May "
To Dc. 18th Aug. "
From Dc. 21st Sept. "
To Dc. 19th Sept. "

11. Nuckalapilly Sooborow the Naib Serishtadar who had before and has since been Convicted and dismissed for peculation, was a man of no character or efficiency, a mere tool in the hands of the Serishtadar, and could render me no assistance.-

12. In addition to his other faults I found Sheshagerry Row devoid of the first rudiments of good revenue management which he pronounced inapplicable to the Circumstances of this District, in other words to the oppressive and short sighted, but to the Native Servants lucrative practices of the Zemindars, to which he was wedded.- To display these disqualifications in their full proportions I obtained his replies to certain questions, and submitted them to the Board with my letter of the 25th September 1842, but, I did not do strongly as I thought to have done, press for his removal, because I had no specific act of Corruption or embezzlement to charge him with that, and could say nothing stronger regarding his general unfitness for his post than had been before said by Mr. Goldingham. I fell thus into the false position of attempting to work independently of him.-

Answered 10th November 1842-

It

A.G.



13. It may here reasonably be asked, why I did not when I found myself in this position, request the Government to relieve me either of the Serishtadar or the charge of the District. In November 1842 after receiving the Boards reply to my letter of the 25th September 1842, I did feel strongly inclined to this course, I made it matter of careful deliberation, and consulted friends, whose judgment I highly respected. The result was the conclusion, that I ought not without the clearest necessity to quit a post to which unsought by myself, the Government had called me.-

14. During all this time I have reason to think there was an under current of intrigue and opposition on the part of the Zemindars, to thwart my measures, and injure the Revenue, for the purpose of making it appear how much better their estates were managed by themselves, than by the Collector.-

15. I now come to speak of the decline of the revenue, I am writing in ignorance of the particular conclusions to which the Commissioner may have arrived on this subject, how far he may have ascribed it to corruption, and in what measure he supposes such corruption to

have

have originated, or increased under any Management. I have not seen the accounts of alleged Village expenditures obtained by him from some of the Kurnums. No doubt corruption existed, and increased as it always does in proportion as the powers of European control are weakened, Yet these practices are not peculiar to my time or to this District. Under the very unsuccessful experiment of allowing the sequestered Estates to be managed by their own proprietors, the Kurnums were exercised in the practice of keeping a double set of accounts. The Zemindars' actual collections differing so much from the returns sent in to the Collector-

16. In my letter to the Board of the 10th October 1842 Para: 9 I adverted to the proofs of prevalent corruption which came out on submission of Venkatakrishna Row's trial.—

17 The grand cause of the decrease of Revenue, I consider unquestionably to have been the depression of prices, and though this facilitated the usual practices of the servants and supplied a convenient plea for losses caused by their own depredations, yet a great loss of revenue was inevitable.—

18. what proportion of the actual loss may be assigned to this cause is not easy to determine but it is very desirable that the practical operation of a depression of prices to the point which



was reached in 1845, should be calmly and fully traced by the Authorities who have the matter under consideration, with reference to Guntoor. The Board observe in para: 8 of their Proceedings and 21st April 1845, that they are disposed to make due allowance for the depressed State of the market but the difference between what was realized, and what ought to have been realized in the improved state of the District, calls for explanation, the Government in Paras 11 and 12 of Minutes of Consultation dated 24th June 1845 do not allude to this as one of the possible causes. Mr. White

10th Oct. 1845. in his letter on the subject uses no stronger language than that "due allowance should be made for the well known decrease of prices in late years which have doubtless had considerable effect on the Revenue of the Country"

19. Now it is to be remembered that this depression had begun to be felt in Fusly 1250, so much so that Mr. Goldingham made it the ground of a recommendation to reduce the assessment of Palnad. In each succeeding Fusly prices continued to fall, and throughout 1252 and 53 ranged about 8 and 10 Rupees per Coolly, being the lowest on record. Thus the depression was not only unprecedented in degree, but of four or

To B. 27th May 1844. years duration. A substantial ryot might bear

up

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

up against the consequent drain on his capital, &c. for one or two Years, but few could stand their ground for three Years. I was aware that in many instances land was left uncultivated through a combination to obtain low rents, or at the instigation of the Zemindars, and that arrears were ascribed to this cause, which might have been collected, &c. but this could not blind my eyes to the existence of great real distress.—

20. Under this conviction, I felt &c. constrained by a regard for the relief of the Rayets, in face of the determined opposition of my Collectors, and without the full support of the Board, to make on my own responsibility those innovations in the Zemindary usages, which were represented to Mr. Lockhart as the sole cause of the mischief.—

Jamabundy Report for Fusly 1253 15th Feby. 1845 Para 46.

21. I forbid the Ameens to resort to a practice common in some Tannahs of constraining rayots to cultivate. I authorized them to relieve rayets from land, they through want of ability wished to throw up. The practice of giving permission to reap crops was dispensed with. The collections of current revenue were deferred till December and January. The Ameens were told not to demand balances of more than three Years standing. The Rayets were permitted to thrash and remove their crops at their pleasure.—

In



Fort St. George, 30th March 1846.

22. In making the Settlement I went on the principle of striking out for the most part land not cultivated, and abstained from a close scrutiny into the Village accounts, judging that the survey would speedily apply the most effectual remedy for all such defects, and that in the mean time great forbearance must be shewn. For the same reason in accepting rents I looked chiefly to the existing Dowl of the Village, and was satisfied with a moderate increase upon it. Advantage may have been taken of all these measures by the crafty and greedy class whom we are obliged to employ in our Native Agency, but this does not prove that the measures were unsound, or that a rigid enforcement of the old system would have either been just or politic.—

23

| | Zemindaries | Palmah | Total |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1250 | 986954 | 166437 | 1153391 |
| 1251 | 873975 | 155706 | 1029132 |
| 1252 | 840036 | 140353 | 980389 |
| 1253 | 685563 | 133533 | 819097 |
| 1254 | 838233 | 128856 | 967089 |

The collections of Land revenue from Fusly 1250 to 1254 are shewn in the Margin. The falling off in 1253 below 1250. was Rupees 331,294.—

24. The proportions of Village rents munetah and sharing lands according to 1254 are subjoined. The loss in sharing lands would amount to nearly 50 per Cent by the difference

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

difference of prices in 1250 and 1253.

| | Settlement of Fusly 1254. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land | | | Sh.st |
| | Cutchels | V | P. | |
| Village rents. | " | " | " | 4,03747 |
| Muctah | 8196 | 12 | 9 5/16 | 32,8917 |
| Share. Dry land | 2309 | 7 | 3 5/16 | 6,7665 |
| Share. Wet land | 529 | 11 | 1 12/16 | 1,6628 |
| Quit rents &c. | " | " | " | 84351 |
| Total | " | " | " | 9,30,800 |

25 To obtain a clear insight into the actual operation of the state of the market, the best way seems to view the subject in detail. It is unfortunately next to impossible to obtain an authentic record of the actual transactions of any Ryots Farm. But for the purposes of comparison other accounts will supply date.

26. I here subjoin the Statement of the estimated produce of sharing lands of the Village of Buddampaud in the Repally Tannah received a few days ago, for the purpose of the current Settlement.

| | Land | Gross produce | | | Sircar share | | | Settled Value | | | Average price. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cutchels | V | P | C | F | M | C | F | M | Rs. | A | P. | Rs. | A | P. |
| 1251 | 12 | 11 | 1 10/16 | 12 | 2 | " | 5 | 15 | 1/16 | 95 | 1 | 9 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| 1252 | 12 | 10 | 12 2/16 | 18 | 10 | 3/4 | 8 | 15 | 8 14/16 | 111 | 2 | 3 | 13 | " | 1 |
| 1253 | 13 | 12 | 11 14/16 | 15 | 11 | 5 3/16 | 7 | 9 | 7/16 | 73 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 11 | " |
| 1254 | 13 | 6 | 1 12/16 | 15 | 17 | 2 12/16 | 7 | 12 | 7 2/16 | 11.2 | 11 | 4 | 18 | 11 | 7 |
| 1255 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 61 | 8 | 1/12 | 29 | 7 | 8 | 1057 | 8 | " | 36 | " | " |

Estimated Actually Shared

Another



Fort St. George, 30th April 1846.

27. Another similar Statement is given for the Village of Pasumurroo in Sultenapilly.

| | Land | Gross produce | | | Sircar share | | | Settled Value | | | Average prices. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | C. | V | P. | C. | F | M | C | F | M | Rs. | A | P. |
| Actually Shared. | 1251 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 20 | 5 | 13 11/16 | 10 | 13 | 10 2/16 | 151 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 6 |
| | 1252 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 11 10/16 | 5 | 19 | 2 | 77 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 8 |
| | 1253 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 35 | 12 | 12 1/2 | 18 | 14 | 3 6/16 | 149 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 6 |
| | 1254 | 10 | 9 | 3/4 | 31 | 19 | 1/2 | 16 | 15 | 7 13/16 | 302 | 6 | 3 | 18 | " | 5 |
| Estimate. | 1255 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 13 5/16 | 257 | 1 | 7 | 36 | " | " |

28. On lands paying a Money Assessment the Ryot is the first to suffer under low prices, but eventually unless the depression is of short continuance, the Government must also suffer even more perhaps than on sharing lands. To show the effect on Muctah Lands, the following particulars have been extracted from the Accounts of -

Fort St. George 30th April 1846

of 1251 and 1252 of 4 Villages of the Poloor Taunah, for one Cutchell of dry land.—

| Village | Class. | Shist | Gross produce as per Auchina Jabitah. | | | Value. abt Rs 8 per Candy as in 1253. | | | abt Rs 10 per Candy as in 1255. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Poloor | 1 | 118 | " | " | 2 | 19 | 1¼ | 23 | 10 | 1 | 118 | 2 | 6 |
| | 2 | 89 | " | " | 4 | " | " | 32 | " | " | 160 | " | " |
| | 2ds | 53 | 6 | " | 4 | " | " | 25 | 9 | 7 | 128 | " | " |
| | 6 | 40 | " | " | 5 | 4 | 8 | 32 | " | " | 160 | " | " |
| | 5 | 48 | " | " | 3 | 13 | 8 | 41 | 12 | 10 | 209 | " | " |
| Total | " | 1256 | " | | 23 | 1 | 1¼ | 184 | 6 | 11 | 922 | 2 | 6 |
| Average | " | 70 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 13½ | 30 | 11 | 10 | 153 | 11 | 1 |
| Condapantoor | 1 | 70 | 8 | " | 5 | 18 | " | 47 | 3 | 2 | 236 | " | " |
| | 2 | 62 | 8 | " | 14 | 10 | 15 | 116 | 6 | " | 581 | 11 | " |
| | 3 | 54 | 8 | " | 3 | 13 | 4 | 29 | 4 | 10 | 146 | 8 | " |
| | 4 | 46 | 8 | " | 2 | 8 | " | 19 | 3 | 2 | 96 | " | " |
| | 5 | 38 | 8 | " | 6 | 15 | 12 | 54 | 4 | 10 | 271 | 8 | " |
| | 6 | 30 | 12 | " | 14 | 8 | " | 115 | 3 | 2 | 576 | " | " |
| Total | " | 303 | 4 | " | 47 | 13 | 15 | 381 | 9 | 2 | 1907 | 14 | " |
| Average | " | 50 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 18 | 15¾ | 63 | 9 | 6 | 317 | 15 | 8 |
| Maurloor | 1 | 60 | " | " | 6 | " | 6 | 48 | 2 | 5 | 240 | 12 | " |
| | 2 | 54 | " | " | 4 | 11 | 7½ | 36 | 9 | 5 | 182 | 15 | " |
| | 3 | 48 | " | " | 4 | 6 | 8 | 34 | 9 | 7 | 173 | " | " |
| | 4 | 42 | " | " | 6 | 7 | 7 | 50 | 15 | 7 | 254 | 14 | " |
| | 5 | 36 | " | " | 1 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 49 | 4 | " |
| | 6 | 30 | " | " | 5 | " | " | 40 | " | " | 200 | " | " |
| | 7 | 24 | " | " | 4 | " | " | 32 | " | " | 160 | " | " |
| | 8 | 18 | " | " | 4 | " | " | 32 | " | " | 160 | " | " |
| | " | 312 | " | " | 35 | 10 | 6¼ | 284 | 2 | 7 | 1420 | 13 | " |
| | " | 39 | " | " | 4 | 8 | 12 3/16 | 35 | 8 | 4 | 177 | 9 | 7½ |
| Gurnesoody | 1 | 74 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 29 | 4 | " | 146 | 4 | " |
| | 2 | 51 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 3¼ | 20 | 7 | 7 | 102 | 6 | 6 |
| | 3 | 48 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 4 | " | 25 | 9 | 7 | 128 | " | " |
| | 4 | 32 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 56 | 11 | " |
| | 6 | 37 | 10 | 8 | 2 | " | " | 16 | " | " | 80 | " | " |
| Total | " | 243 | 15 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 17¼ | 102 | 11 | 2 | 513 | 8 | 6 |
| Average | " | 48 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 5½ | 20 | 8 | 8 | 102 | 11 | 4 |

To



Fort St. George, 30th April 1846

29. It is evident that scarcely in any case could the full assessment have been paid on dry lands at the prices of 1252 and 1253.—

30. The result was that they were either not paid or paid from the sale of cattle from concealed cultivation or from other property. It is not surprising that the Ryots should have endeavoured wherever they could to contract their farms. The Board have noticed the gradual increase of stock in the District from 1250 to 1253. The possession of cattle would not encourage a ryot to cultivate at a loss. It would rather have the contrary effect. He would be the more anxious to be relieved of arable land as he could subsist on the profits of his herds and flocks.—

Proceedings 10th May 1845. Para. 35.

J.

31. I now come to the point to specially noticed in the Boards Proceedings. With respect to the delay in investigating the Zemindars' debts, the Board remark

5th August 1844.

Para: 2. that they "are concerned to observe that little or nothing has been effected" and that I "have not assigned nor can they conjecture why so little progress has been made". I stated in the 1st Para: of my letter that I had waited for the Statement of the Results Zemindar, which I could not get till the 15th January when I was busy with the Jamabundy of the Current season, which with current business had hindered my taking up the subject sooner. with the exception of transactions of long standing, some of them the subject of suits in Court, there was reason to suspect many of the debts in the Zemindars schedules to be collusive, fabrications between themselves and pretended creditors, I could not think of referring





referring them for enquiry to my Cutcherry, and I literally had not time to enquire into them myself.-

32. In Para: 4 the Board observe that more than a Year has elapsed since the last Zemindar signified his adhesion to the terms offered by Government, and complain that my views as to the proposed Assessment are given in the most vague and general terms, I was under the impression that in proposing to adopt the plan of survey operations drawn up by Sir Thomas Munro for the Ceded Districts, I was sufficiently explicit. His Hookumnamah contains definite and minute directions for the work. I have had no personal experience in Surveys further than in seeing the commencement of one in Kurnool under Mr. Blains Orders. Sir Thomas Munros Hookumnamah was slightly modified by his Scrishta Establishment with reference chiefly to the present Assessment of Cuddapah. This was submitted to Government approved and as I understood acted upon.-

33. The Board then notice other defects in the information given by me on the subject of the proposed Assessment.-

J.

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

34. I can only regret my inability to discharge the duty assigned to me more satisfactorily, but as the matter has since been happily placed in abler hands it seems unnecessary for me to pursue it further. The Commissioners' letter of the 24th May 1845, and his subsequent survey report has furnished the information required.—

35. Lastly the Board animadverts on my failure to frame estimates of the prospective revenues of the Zemindaries. On this point I can only repeat what I then intimated that any Estimate then founded on existing prices must have proved fallacious, and I could not possibly form any conjecture as to the future state of the Market. The results of the present year would have falsified any calculations framed on the existing circumstances of July 1253.—

36. In conclusion I would respectfully suggest that in forwarding this letter to Government, the Board will be pleased, to append to it such of the correspondence to which I have referred, as they may think necessary, and may not already be in the records of the Government Office.

Guntoor Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Martoor, 26th March 1846.

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector.

Ordered



Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government.—

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Govt. 33 } 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue in compliance with the request of Mr. Stokes Collector of Guntoor to forward for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying report from that Officer in explanation of the causes of the arrears of business and decline of public revenue in the Guntoor District whilst under his management up to 18th August 1844.—

32-33

26th March in Board's 30th April 1846

2. The condition of the Guntoor District during the period to which Mr. Stokes' letter refers, has been so fully discussed in the various papers which have from time to time been laid by the Board before Government and very recently in the detailed report submitted by the Commissioner that in forwarding Mr. Stokes' present communication it appears to the Board to be unnecessary to do more than as requested by that Gentleman to append to it such papers bearing upon the question as may not be already on the records of Government.—

Rev. Bd. Office, Fort St. George, 30th April 1846

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Read the following letter.—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the 34 Collr of Guntoor —

Sir,

I have the honor to report the suspension on the 10th Ultimo of Mungaputty Row Ameen and Head of Police of Bellumcondah on the charge in the Police Department of having taken into custody and detained in confinement for more than 36 hours 40 persons against whom was no charge and released them without enquiry while one person who was apprehended in possession of a Silver waist chain evidently stolen, was allowed to escape.— On enquiry the Head of Police stated that the parties who were taken into custody at a Native festival on the Sevaratree at Colapalcondah in his Talook were persons distinguishable as belonging to a class of professional thieves resembling pickpockets who frequent fairs and festivals and that the escape of one who was seized with stolen property was ascribable to the negligence of the Duffadar and Peons— The conduct of the Head of Police tho highly unsatisfactory not appearing to call for dismissal he was punished by a fine of 25 Rupees and re-instated in his Office on the 21st March. They authority

34



Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

to carry his salary for the time of suspension to the credit of Government.—

Guntoor Zillah Collr's Circuit Catcherry
Dindy 7th April 1846 —

Signed H. Stokes
Collector—

Resolution thereon.— 35 The Board grant authority for carrying to the credit of Government the Salary of Mungaputty Row, Ameen and Head of Police of Bellumcondah, during the period of his suspension from Office, Viz. from 16th to 21st March 1846.—

34-35

To. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—
Fort St. George.

36

From the 36 Collr of Guntoor ---

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day suspended in the Police Department Shair Khan Ameen and Head of Police of Tenaly for extreme inefficiency which I cannot help ascribing to corrupt causes, in enquiring into the circumstances under which a female, whose body was discovered in his Talook, met her death; there bring every reason to believe that she has been murdered— On the 30th a report was read from the Head of Police dated the 29th of March enclosing copy of one to himself dated the 26th and marked as received on the 29th from the Head of the Village Depalapude stating

Reporting the suspension for extreme inefficiency in the Police Department of Shair Khan Ameen and Head of Police of Tenaly & the appointment of Tanadar Jaggemathe Raz, to the temporary charge of the Talook

stating that the body had been found that day - On being called upon to explain how the head of the Village's letter addressed on the 26th had not been received till the 29th the Ameen replied that he had dated his first report on the 29th by mistake, instead of the 28th and that the head of the village had also by mistake inserted the 26th instead of the 27th as the day when the body was discovered - These suspicious circumstances coupled with the fact that up to the 3d of April the Head of Police declares that he can discover no trace not only of the murderers, but even of the identity of the deceased female or any information whatever as to how the body came there, induce me to resort to the strong measure, I have proposed as the only probable way of discovering the truth. It is scarcely necessary for me to observe to the Board that the extreme prevalence of corruption in this District renders severity indispensible in supervising the proceedings of the native Servants. —.

2. I have appointed Jugger nathe Raz Jemmadar of Police and a very intelligent and active Police Officer to the temporary charge



charge of the Talook, hoping that his exertions will bring to light the facts of the case. —.

Guntoor Collectors' —
Circuit Cutcherry —
Dindy 7th April 1846 —

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector -

Resolution thereon — 4

(36-37)

Under the circumstances detailed in the foregoing letter, the Board sanction the suspension from Office of Shair Khan Ameen and Head of Police of Tenally. —

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

38

From the Collr of Guntoor — } Sir,

(38)

I have the honor to report the suspension on the 15th Instant on two charges of bribery, of Dontala Kistnapah Chetty, Ameen and Head of Police of Innacondah. —.

Guntoor Collectors' —
Cutcherry on Circuit —
Chundole 24th April 1846 -

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector -

Resolution thereon. — 39

(38-39)

The Board sanction the suspension of Dontala Kistnapah Chetty for the reasons assigned in the above letter, and will await the result of the Collectors' proceedings in the case. —

Read.

Read the following letters.—

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

40

From the Collr of Nellore ——— } Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 30th Ultimo and in reply to inform you that there are in this District no ready money allowances &c. which have been paid by deduction from the Kistbundy Beriz either of the land Revenue or of any of the Extra Sources which are not exhibited in the accounts.—

Nellore District Cotta Collrs Cutcherry 27th April 1840 } /Signed/ I. F. Bishop.
Collector.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

41

From the Ag. Collr of Chingleput } Sir,

In reply to your circular letter of the 30th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that no allowances of the nature of yeomiahs personal or charitable have been paid in this Gillah by deduction from the Kistbundy Beriz, either of the



the Land Revenue or of any of the Extra Sources.—

Chingleput District Collrs Cutcherry ——— } /Signed/ E. Maltby
Conjeveram 24th April 1846— } Ag. Collector.

Order on the two foregoing letters.— } 42

40-42

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.—

43

From the Ag. Collr of Chingleput ——— } Sir,

Reporting on certain Petition from the Weavers of Conjeveram regarding the loom tax to which they were newly subjected.

43

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 7th Instant, conveying to me the orders of Government to report on the allegations contained in a Petition presented in the name of the Weavers of Conjeveram.—

2. As I was about to visit the Talook for the purpose of making the annual Settlement, it appeared to me that I should then have the best opportunity of ascertaining the truth of the Petition which contained a general assertion, instead of mentioning any specific instances of misconduct on the part of the subordinate

subordinate Revenue Officers. The Board and the Government are aware that at the dis= =tribution of the annual Puttahs a free= =opportunity is given for the representation of any complaints and grievances and it appeared to me that as I have recently joined the District and was not employed in it when the loom tax, the cause of the present Petition, was imposed, the Weavers could have no cause to be= backward in bringing any abuses from which they suffered, to my notice. —.

3. The settlement being now= finished it is in my power to state that not a single allegation was made to me of ill treatment in the Collection of the tax nor have I learnt in conversing with some of the Weavers that they have any complaints on this head to urge — I would further beg to remark that the firmness which they have always shewn in supporting both= their real and supposed rights, and the care which is taken to furnish each= individual with a Puttah or written statement, under the Collector's signature, shewing the amount and items of his taxation as, guarantees against malpractices on the part of the subordinate



local servants. —.

4. It seems to me therefore that the particular statement on which I have been ordered to report was inserted, together with some others of a similar description, in the Petition forwarded to Govern= =ment, from the parties who prepared the= Petition thinking that their object of obtaining the abolition of the Loom Tax would be thus aided. It may be expected that I should allude to the degree of expectation which is felt of effecting this object, and= I therefore beg to offer the following= brief remarks on the subject. —.

5. It is always to be anticipated that repugnance should be felt by any= class of persons to being subjected to new taxation — and the loom tax, like other= branches of the Moturpika, has the disad= =vantage of being unpopular from its being a tax of a direct and personal nature. But it appeared to me from my observations at the time of the settlement, and from conversation with some of the parties, that the Weavers in general now acquiesce in the tax, as a measure which the Government

6123

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.—

Government have introduced and which is in force in all other districts. They are aware that their rates of taxation are not high, compared with other places, and they have benefitted by the abolition of the Sayer duties, and by the present mode of assessing their Tamarind Trees.—

6. Under these circumstances the Petitions presented to Government do not appear to me to be the spontaneous act of the great body of the Weavers, but to arise principally from the exertions of Chellapa Moodelly a dismissed Servant of Government who makes the agitation of the question a source of personal profit obtaining money from some of the Weavers under promises of obtaining them exemption from the tax.—

Chingleput District
Collr's Cutcherry
Conjeveram
24th April 1846.

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Ag. Collector.

Order thereon.— 44

(43-44)

Ordered that the foregoing report be communicated to Government in reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 2nd April 1846.—

Read the following Statements

Read

In Cons 7th Apl 1846.

LS.

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry, 24th April 1846.

Statement of Sales for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Conjeveram in the Zillah of Chingleput for the month of March 1846.

| Quantity of Sales | Quantity remitted to the Collector's Cutcherry for exportation. | Quantity already exported | Quantity remaining to be exported. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 400000 | 397020 | 296000 | 101020 | The Sales may be taken for at the Collector's Cutcherry at Conjeveram. |

(45)

For 1843
Bengal Mounds

Chingleput District Collector's Cutlery, Conjeveram 28th April 1846.

C. Cotton / Signed / C. Malby
Acting Collector.

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.-

Order thereon..46

(45-46)

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letters.

To J. Pycroft Esqre

(47) 47

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Asp. Collector of North Arcot - - -

Para 1. I have the honor to forward the accompanying letter received this day from the acting Head Assistant Mr. Phillips with its enclosure to the address of the Board. I have also the honor to enclose the correspondence with Mr. Phillips relating to the conduct on his part which led to the measure (The withdrawal of his charge of three Talooks and his establishment) of which he now complains. As the acting assistant had for some time ceased to afford me useful assistance of any kind and his efforts latterly appeared to be directed with very different views, It seemed necessary to deprive him of the means of doing mischief. I therefore at once withdrew his establishment with the exception of two Deloyets whom however be refused to receive and sent them also to my Cutchery.-

2. The Board will see from my letter to Mr. Phillips dated 31st Ultimo the arrangement

Forwarding copy of a letter from the acting Head assistant and its enclosure to the Board relating to the withdrawal of the establishment under his charge.

Dated 30th March 1846.

From acting H. Assistt 18th March 1846.
From Do. 27th Ditto
From Do. 29th Ditto
To — Do. 31st — Ditto



Fort St. George 30th April 1846.-

arrangement which I had since made in regard to his establishment and future employment.-

3. With regard to the two or three people of the Poty class whom Mr. Phillips sought out with so much industry as a pretence for his proceeding referred to in 2d Para. of his letter of the 16th Ultimo, their employment by my servant was in fact contrary to my directions, not that I am aware of any particular objections to it on public ground but that I considered the arrangement a bad one for myself. For the fact is if these people receive less pay than labourers employed regularly by the Month they are less to be depended on and do very much less work.-

North Arcot - - - -
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry -
Palicat 3d April 1846.-

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Coll.r

No. 1.

To The Acting Collector
in the Northern Division of Arcot

Sir,

Having received no reply to my letter of the 27th I have the honor to request you will forward the accompanying letter without delay to the Board of Revenue.-

Chittoor - - -
30th March 1846.-

(Signed) A. W. Phillips
Act.g H. Assist.t Coll.r

A in No. 1.

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to state for the information

information of your Board and with a view to the case being laid before the Most Noble the Governor in Council, that the acting Collector has without notice of any kind ordered the whole of the establishment which was placed under my control together with the records to join his Cutcherry now on Jummabundy leaving me without servants of any description to carry on the duties of my Office for the last 15 or 20 days. It would not become me to comment on the proceedings of my immediate Superior, but as the Acting Collector has given no reason for withdrawing the Establishment though I have written Officially to enquire, I respectfully beg he may be called upon to state in what manner I have acted amiss or contrary to the Orders of Government, and should it appear that I have over-stepped my authority, or neglected my duty in any way I will gladly submit to such censure as it may be deemed fit to impose upon me - but on the other hand, if I have acted to the best of my abilities and with a due sense of the trust reposed in me, I beg that the authority now withdrawn may be ordered to be restored, and that measures may be taken to prevent a recurrence of what has passed.-

Chittoor - - -
30th March 1846.

/ Signed / A. W. Phillips
Actg Head Asst. to Coll.r

No. 2.-

To The Acting Magistrate of North Arcot.-

Sir,

Having received no reply to my Tamil Yadast of 25th Ultimo or to my Official letter of a later date, I have the honor to state that I have deemed it my duty to remove Police Peons Shaik Madar hitherto employed in your Bungalow



Bungalow as his services are more required in the Police Department the deficiency of which I have had frequent occasion to complain of.-

2. It has also been brought to my notice that several Servants of Government were employed in the Garden attached to the Bungalow lately purchased by you and sending for the Tasildar to enquire by whose authority such servants were employed his reply was that your Butler and Duffadar had given him orders to procure people to work at the rate of 10 (ten) pice per diem, and not being able to procure Coolies at that rate he had called in and employed, the servants in question such being directly contrary to the Orders of Government and also I presume to your wishes I have ordered the people back to their Villages where their services are required.-

Chittoor -
March 16th 1846.

/ Signed / A. W. Phillips
Asst. to Magte

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Collector.-

Sir,

In pursuance of your Yakeed received some days some I despatched my Cutcherry servants with the records and Peons.-

I have now the honor to request that you will inform me what your intentions are regarding them, that I may know how to proceed as it is impossible to suppose for a moment that I can remain as I now am without charge or establishment of any kind to conduct the duties of my Office, without a reference being made by me to Higher Authorities.-

Chittoor - - -
March 21st 1846

/ Signed / A. W. Phillips
Acting Head Asst. to Coll.r

Jo-

information of your Board and with a view to the case being laid before the Most Noble the Governor in Council, that the acting Collector has without notice of any kind ordered the whole of the establishment which was placed under my control together with the records to join his Cutcherry now on Jummabundy leaving me without servants of any description to carry on the duties of my Office for the last 15 or 20 days. It would not become me to comment on the proceedings of my immediate Superior, but as the Acting Collector has given no reason for withdrawing the establishment though I have written officially to enquire, I respectfully beg he may be called upon to state in what manner I have acted amiss or contrary to the Orders of Government, and should it appear that I have over stepped my authority, or neglected my duty in any way I will gladly submit to such censure as it may be deemed fit to impose upon me. but on the other hand, if I have acted to the best of my abilities and with a due sense of the trust reposed in me, I beg that the authority now withdrawn may be ordered to be restored, and that measures may be taken to prevent a recurrence of what has passed.

Chittoor 30th March 1846.

/ Signed / A. W. Phillips
Actg Head Asst. Coll.

No. 2.

To The Acting Magistrate of North Arcot.

Sir,

Having received no reply to my Tamil Yadast of 25th Ultimo or to my Official of letter of a later date, I have the honor to state that I have deemed it my duty to remove Police Peons Shaik Madar hitherto employed in your Bungalow



Bungalow, as his services are more required in the Police Department the deficiency of which I have had frequent occasion to complain of.

2. It has also been brought to my notice that several Servants of Government were employed in the Garden attached to the Bungalow lately purchased by you and sending for the Tasildar to enquire by whose authority such servants were employed his reply was that your Butler and Duffadar had given him orders to procure people to work at the rate of 10 (ten) pice per diem, and not being able to procure Coolies at that rate he had called in and employed, the servants in question such being directly contrary to the Orders of Government and as I presume to your wishes I have ordered the people back to their Villages where their services are required.

Chittoor March 16th 1846.

/ Signed / A. W. Phillips
Asst. Magte

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Collector.

Sir,

In pursuance of your Yakeed receive some days since I despatched my Cutcherry servan with the records and Peons.

I have now the honor to request that you will inform me what your intentions are regarding them, that I may know how to proceed as it is impossible to suppose for a moment that I can remain as I now am without charge or establishment of any kind to conduct the duties of my Office, without a reference being made by me to Higher Authorities.

Chittoor March 21st 1846.

/ Signed / A. W. Phillips
Acting Head Asstt. Coll.

To

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre.

Sir,

Acting Collector

I have the honor to request you will issue orders to the Cashkeeper to have the Treasury ready for me to inspect on the Morning of the 26th or 27th as I have no way of communicating with him.

/Sig. C. C. Lovell
Actg. Collr.

Chittoor
March 23. 1846.

/Signed/ A. W. Phillips
Actg. Head Assistt. Collr.

To

A. W. Phillips Esqre.
Acting Head Assistt. Collector of
North Arcot.

Chittoor.

Sir,

I have received your letters dated the 16th 21st and 23d Instant. With reference to the 1st Para. of the letter of 16th Instant, you were well aware that the Peon Shaik Madar was in attendance at my house at Chittoor with my full consent because you had already twice brought the circumstance to my notice, there could be no reason therefore for your interfering with the arrangement without my permission to do so.

2. In Para 2 of the same letter you say that it had been brought to your notice that several "Servants of Government" were employed in my Garden and that you sent for the Shasildar to enquire by whose authority they were employed there. From your records now in my possession the persons referred to appear merely to have been 2 or 3 Coolies of the Soliy class, allowing them to have been the Soliy Meerasidars themselves, it would make no difference, as you well know that the nature of the connection of these persons with the Public Service is not such as to prevent their undertaking any Occasional or job work such as that you appear to have found those in question engaged in. If it had been intended that they



Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

they should be employed exclusively in the service of Government a provision would have been set apart for them adequate to their subsistence which is not the case.

3. But in order to understand rightly the character of your proceedings in this matter it is necessary to look back a little and consider the course which you had been previously pursuing. almost from the time of your first joining my Cutchery you have shewn great disregard of my wishes and orders. In one instance you formally superseded my orders by others of your own, and this act was the more offensive from the trivial nature of the matter in question, shewing that you were endeavouring to establish your own authority by bringing mine into contempt. Checked in your course you indulged in a sullen humour and would do no duty. Referred bundles of Papers unread for my decision, and instead of any assistance, your Office became the means of mere obstruction and delay.

4. Returning from Palicat to Chittoor you were requested to accompany my Cutchery to distribute the Puttahs of the Talooks in your charge. I know of nothing to have prevented your doing so unless it was the hostile state of feeling which you had

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Collector.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will issue orders to the Cashkeeper to have the Treasury ready for me to inspect on the Morning of the 20th or 21st as I have no way of communicating with him.

/Sig.d/ C.C. Lovell
Actg Collr.

Chittoor
March 23d 1846.

/Signed/ A. W. Phillips
Actg. Head Assistt Collr.

To

A. W. Phillips Esqre.

Acting Head Assistt Collector of
North Arcot.

Sir,

Chittoor

Para 1.

I have received your letters dated the 16th 21st and 23d Instant. With reference to the 1st Para. of the letter of 16th Instant, you were well aware that the Peon Shaik Madar was in attendance at my house at Chittoor with my full consent because you had already twice brought the circumstance to my notice, there could be no reason therefore for your interfering with the arrangement without my permission to do so.

2.

In Para 2 of the same letter you say that it had been brought to your notice that several "Servants of Government" were employed in my Garden and that you sent for the Shasildar to enquire by whose authority they were employed there. From your records now in my possession the persons referred to appear merely to have been 2 or 3 Coolies of the Soliy class, allowing them to have been the Soliy Meerasidars themselves it would make no difference, as you well know that the nature of the connection of these persons with the Public Service is not such as to prevent their undertaking any Occasional or job work such as that you appear to have found those in question engaged in. If it had been intended that they



they should be employed exclusively in the service of Government a provision would have been set apart for them adequate to their subsistence which is not the case.

3. But in order to understand rightly the character of your proceedings in this matter it is necessary to look back a little and consider the course which you had been previously pursuing. almost from the time of your first joining my Cutcherry you have shewn great disregard of my wishes and orders. In one instance you formally superseded my orders by others of your own, and this act was the more offensive from the trivial nature of the matter in question, shewing that you were endeavouring to establish your own authority by bringing mine into contempt. Checked in your course you indulged in a sullen humour and would do no duty. Referred bundles of Papers unread for my decision, and instead of any assistance, your Office became the means of mere obstruction and delay.

4. Returning from Pulicat to Chittoor you were requested to accompany my Cutcherry to distribute the Puttahs of the Talooks in your charge. I know of nothing to have prevented your doing so unless it was the hostile state of feeling which you had

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

had so long allowed yourself to cherish: you remained at Chittoor and the duty devolved entirely on myself.

5. I find no trace of the "notice" of any thing irregular in my Gardens having been received by you. I am informed that on the 14th about 11 or 12 o'clock in the day you proceeded suddenly to my Gardens - arrested in person the 2 or 3 Coolies above mentioned whom you found working there. Brought them directly over to your house and then commenced an enquiry regarding the terms of their Employment and other particulars. Your visit was one entirely of aggression - your object to find any thing that might be made the means of annoyance and provocation and your ill. success only the more embittered your feelings - such are the principal features of your conduct as appears from your proceedings and information which has reached me on the subject.

6. In your two last letters you complain of being deprived of the means of doing your duty, I apprehend therefore you feel the disgrace of holding your appointment - in such terms, but it was not long since your boast that you would do no duty - I cannot now consent to give you again a separate charge. But I have reappointed you an establishment in the hope that you will shew now a more proper sense of your duty, by disposing, in a dispassionate and business-like manner, of such matters as I may have occasion to refer to you.

North Arcot - - - - -
Coll[r]s Ct[s] Cutc[y] - - - - -
Pulicat 31st March 1846.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector

To

Revenue Jcvab. newspapers Stree- newspa Rom Magistrate Goomastah Joondrials Deloyets



Fort Saint George 30th April 1846.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Collector in the
Nn Dn of Arcot - -

48

Sir,

Para 1. Referring to my letter to you dated 3d Instant. I have the honor to submit a further letter from the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr A. W. Phillips in reply to my letter to him dated 31st March last already before the Board.

I have the honor to enclose Copies of the Proceedings referred to by Mr Phillips in Para 2 and 4.-

North Arcot - - - - -
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Pulicat, 13th April 1846.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector

No 1.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Collector

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 31st Ultimo, and in expressing regret at the very offensive language in which it is couched, to state my gratification at your having again placed me in a position to transact a portion of the duty which it is expected I should perform.-

I.

2. I feel it to be due to myself to notice some of the remarks contained in your letter under acknowledgment, and with reference to Para 1, I beg to say that the services of the Police Peon were urgently required that I as you admit brought this no less than twice to your notice, and that receiving no answer to my communication I exercised the power with which I am vested by Regulation 7 Section III 1828, by directing the Peon to return to his legitimate duties. had you sent me an order not to remove the Peon from your garden, whatever I might have thought I should have deemed it my duty to obey.

3. With reference to Para 2 of your letter I have only to say that the employment of Taly Meerasidars in your compound was notorious, and thinking it was impossible they could be employed there with your knowledge, I adopted the Proceedings of which you complain.

4. With regard to Para 3 of your letter I beg distinctly and plainly to deny having thwarted your measures or intentionally disobeyed your orders in this Para.

I



I presume you allude to the case of a peon who applied for leave to change belts with one of yours. for many reasons I refused to grant this request, which however was acceded to on his applying to you. I was naturally annoyed at the Mans complaining against my decision and withheld my consent to the change. in having done this, I confess I was wrong and acted hastily. but as I said before I deny having had any intention of Establishing my own authority by bringing yours into contempt, or of acting in any way offensively in an official point of view.

5. In reply to Para 4. I have merely to state that I never received any order to join your Cutcherry. had any thing of the sort been conveyed to me, I shall have considered it my duty to obey.

6. In conclusion I have only to state that I cannot accuse myself with any want of Zeal in the discharge of my duties, and I am not aware that I have exhibited any incapacity. Under different Circumstances I certainly should have felt degraded by the Establishment which you have thought fit to place at my disposal, but as the case now stands, I will

will chearfully transact for the present any busi=
=ness which may be placed before me, at the
same time protesting against your Proceedings
and requesting that a copy of this letter as
well as one of your own under acknowledgment
may be kept for the satisfaction of the Board
of Revenue whenever they may think fit to call
for them.-

Chittoor---
April 8th 1846.

(Signed) A. W. Phillips.
Actg Head Assistant Collr.

(True Copy)
(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Collector.-

No. 2 in Teloogoo.
No. 3.

Translation of a Teloogoo Memorandum
addressed by the Acting Head Assistant
Collector to the Acting Collector of North=
Arcot under date 20th February 1846.-

As it is understood that
Chittoor Talook Peon Shaik Madar, hold=
=ing appointment to perform Circar duty,
is engaged in the attendance at your house,
and that no Peon was appointed to
succeed said Esoof a Peon of the said
Talook alluded to in my Memorandum of
the



the 7th. Instant, giving cover to an Arzee from
the Tahsildar of the Chittoor Talook, report
=ing that the said Sied Esoof died of
Cholera and as I am now informed by the
Cutwall, that several crimes of Burglary
and theft &c. are being perpetrated in the
Town of Chittoor, and as the Peons
in the Town are insufficient to guard a=
=gainst such Acts, I request that the afore=
=said Shaik Madar and the successor of
the deceased Sied Esoof will be sent
here.-

(Signed) A. W. Phillips.
Actg Head Assistant Collector

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. C. Lovell.
Acting Collector

No. 4.

To The Acting Collector of
North Arcot.

Sir,

Having received no reply to my
Tamil Yadast of the 20th Ultimo I have the
honor again to bring to your notice the request
made therein.-

Chittoor---
March 3d 1846.

(Signed) A. W. Phillips
Actg Head Asstt Collr

(True Copy)
(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Collr

No. 5 in Teloogoo

No. 6.

Translation of a Takeed issued by E. C. Lovell Esqre. Acting Collector to the Tahsildar of Satghur Talook on the 17th. September 1845 No. 257.

Nangen a Peon in your Talook, employed in conveying Talook Tappal at Chittoor having in his Urzee solicited that he be transferred to the Cuddapanattum Talook, He is accordingly transferred to that Talook in lieu of Peon Bodegaun, deceased whither you will direct him to proceed and discontinue his name from the baravurd of your Talook.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell

(True Translation)

(Signed) E. C. Lovell

Actg. Collector

No. 7 in Teloogoo

No. 8.-

Translation of a Takeed issued by A. W. Phillips Esqre. Acting Head Assistant Collector to Streepatee Row, Tahsildar of the Santghur Talook on the 24th. September 1845. No. 31.-

It is understood from your Urzee of the 19th. Instant No. 23 that the Acting Collector has transferred Naugen a Peon in your Talook, employed in conveying Talook Tappal at Chittoor to the Cuddapanattum Talook in



lieu of Bodegaun, deceased. Naugen as per Takeed to you, dated 22d. Instant, No. 30 to be sent to the Hoozoor, appeared before me. He is sent back to you. He is not to be transferred to the Cuddapanattum Talook according to the Acting Collector's Order but continue to obtain his services as per Rules. and his name is to be included in the Talook Baravurd.-

(Signed) A. W. Phillips

(True Translation)

(Signed) E. C. Lovell

Acting Collector.

No. 9 in Teloogoo

No. 10.

Translation of a Takeed issued by A. W. Phillips Esqre. Acting Head Assistant Collector to Seenevasa Row Cashkeeper of the Hoozoor Treasury on the 25th. September 1845. No. 38.-

It having been understood from an Urzee from the Tahsildar of Satghur Talook dated 19th. September 1845. No. 23 that he has received instruction from the Acting Collector to transfer Naugen a Peon of the Santghur Talook employed in conveying the Talook Tappal at Chittoor to the Cuddapanattum

Cuddapanuttum Talook in lieu of Bodeegaun who died of Cholera. The Tasildar was thereupon directed by Takeed not to make the transfer, but to continue to have his name in the baraward of that Talook and obtain his service as per Rules. You will therefore refer to the Baraward and be guided by the rules accordingly.—

(Signed) A. W. Phillips.—
Actg Hd Asstt Collector

(True Translation
(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector

No. 11 in Telugoo.

No. 12.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to E. C. Lovell Esqre Acting Collector of North Arcot by Seenevasa Row Cash Keeper of the Hoozoor Treasury dated 26th September 1845. No. 384.—

According to your order No. 880 to transfer Naugen a Peon in the Saitghur Talook in lieu of Bodegaun, deceased, a Peon in the Cuddapanuttum Talook, I was preparing the Hauzoor Baraward to correspond with the Talook Baraward when I received yesterday a Takeed No. 38, from the Head Assistant Collector directing me not to transfer Naugen to the Cuddapanuttum Talook.

But



But that he should be continued in the Baraward of the Saitghur Talook. I beg to bring this to your notice in the hope that I may receive instruction as how to prepare the Baraward.—

(Signed) Seenevasa Row
Treasurer.—

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector
No. 13 in Telugoo
No. 14.—

Translation of a Telugoo Memorandum issued by the Acting Collector to the Acting Head Assistant Collector on the 6th October 1845.

With regard to my Takeed transferring Naugen, a Peon of the Saitghur Talook to the Cuddapanuttum Talook, I am informed that the Acting Head Assistant Collector has issued Takeeds to the Tahsildar of the Saitghur Talook and the Hoozoor Treasurer to continue the Peon in the said Talook (Saitghur) It is requested therefore that the Acting Head Assistant Collector will recall his Takeeds and that in future he will not appoint or dismiss any public servants without my knowledge, because it will be the means of confusing the Public accounts.—

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Hd Collr

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Hd Collr

6142

Fort St. George 30th April 1846

Proceedings thereon, 1. The Papers above recorded relate to the Proceedings of Mr A. W. Phillips Acting Head Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate of North Arcot. From the Acting Collector's letters of 31st March and 3d April it appears that the conduct of the Acting Head Assistant in the discharge of his duties generally has for some time past been far from satisfactory and has tended rather to embarrass than to assist the Head of the District. Mr Lovell has therefore been under the necessity of withdrawing from the Acting Head Assistant his separate charge - has given him a small establishment and directed him to dispose of such business as may from time to time be referred to him from the Huzzoor Cutcherry. Some instances of the manner in which Mr Phillips has conducted himself are shown in the enclosures of the letters now under consideration. From those it seems that on one occasion the Acting Collector had stationed a Peon in attendance at his house. The Acting Head Assistant Collector requested that the Peon might be sent to perform Police duties in the Town of Chittoor, but as this request was not complied with Mr Phillips himself withdrew the Peon from his attendance at the house of the Acting Collector. On a second instance Mr Phillips having understood that certain coolies of the Toty class were working in Mr Lovell's garden, proceeded thither without making any previous reference to the Acting Collector in person, seized the coolies took them to his house and then commenced an enquiry as to the circumstances of their having been so employed. On another occasion, some months previously, Mr Phillips appears to have issued Takeeds to the Huzzoor Cash Keeper and to the Tahsildar of the Sautghur Talook in direct supercession of the orders already passed on the same subject by the Acting Collector. The Proceedings of the Acting Head Assistant Collector in these instances were clearly very disrespectful and indecorous - and shew that Mr Phillips is but very imperfectly acquainted with the relative position of a Collector and his Assistants.

2. Under the circumstances stated the Board approve of the course taken by the Acting Collector towards his Acting Head Assistant, but they trust that Mr Phillips by propriety of conduct and attention

---

Dft
47-49

Proceedings thereon, 1. 49

Approving of the course taken by the A.g. Collr of North Arcot towards his Acting Head Assistant in regard to the withdrawal of the Establishment under his charge and directing that the letter addressed by the latter Gentleman to the former on the 8th April last be removed from the records -

+ To Collr 14 Oct 46 & Collr c. to Board

attention to Public business will soon regain the confidence of his superior, and enable that Officer once more to invest him with a separate charge. In such case however his revenue duties must be limited to the management of Two Talooks, it being contrary to the orders of Government 2d. January 1844 - to entrust an Assistant Collector with a more extensive charge.

3. The Board cannot allow such a letter as that addressed by the Acting Head Assistant to the Acting Collector on the 8th April last to remain on the records of the Chittoor Cutcherry - The Acting Collector will be pleased to remove it from his records - and will also remove from the records of the Head Assistants Office any copy of it which may have been placed upon them. He will also furnish transcript of these Proceedings to Mr. Phillips for his information and guidance.-

Read



Read the following letter

To,
J. Tycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(50) 50

From W. E. Lockhart Esqre. Sir,
Collector of Salem -

I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the Mootahs sequestered for arrears of revenue up to the 11th of February or Fye Fisly of Fasly 1255. in this District, with the Establishment appointed for the management and to request that the sanction of the Board may be given for the Establishment in question.-

Salem Zillah - - -
Darampoory - -
Collector's Cutcherry
8th April 1846.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Statement

6145

Fort St. George

No. 1.

# Statement shewing the Mootahs sequestered for Establishment appointed to

30th April 1846

arrears of Revenue to 4th February or Tye List of Fusly 1255 in their charge requiring the sanction of the Board of Revenue

| Names of the Zemindaries or Estates | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors | Number of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate | Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate | | | Amount of List due for current Fusly | | | Amount Collected | | | Balance | | | Number of Villages proposed to be attached | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be Collected | | | Establishment to be employed the attachment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 | | | 10 |
| | | | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | | Rs | A | P | |
| Minnambully Mootah in the Talook of Trichengode | Naugamala<br>Gowdah died | 4 | 3,404 | 3 | 8 | 1,345 | .. | .. | 592 | .. | .. | 753 | .. | .. | 4 | 3,404 | 3 | 8 | Ameen<br>Peon |
| Marapora Mootah in the Talook of Do | Coomarasawmy pillay and Caukalala Gowdah | 3 | 2,723 | .. | .. | 1,095 | .. | .. | 417 | .. | .. | 678 | .. | .. | 3 | 2,723 | .. | .. | Ameen<br>Peons |
| Cokala Mootah in the Talook of Do | Kanjah Gowdah and Gengodavala Gowdah | 3 | 2,418 | 14 | 5 | 1161 | .. | .. | 563 | .. | .. | 598 | .. | .. | 3 | 2418 | 14 | 5 | Ameen<br>Peon |
| Annuttavady Mootah in the Talook of Kristnagherry | Seroovengada Moodelly and Mullapah | 5 | 1,925 | 6 | .. | 963 | .. | .. | 480 | .. | .. | 483 | .. | .. | 5 | 1,925 | 6 | .. | Ameen<br>Peon |
| | | 15 | 10471 | 8 | 1 | 4564 | .. | .. | 2052 | .. | .. | 2512 | .. | .. | 15 | 10471 | 8 | 1 | |

Salem Zillah, Darampoory, Collectors Cutcherry, 8th April 1846. E. E. Jr. (Signed) W. Co

30th April 1846

Arrears of Revenue to 4th February or Tye Kist of Fusly 1255 in the Zillah of Salem with the their charge requiring the sanction of the Board of Revenue

| Amount of Kist due for current Fusly | | | Amount Collected | | | Balance | | | Number of Villages proposed to be attached | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be Collected | | | Establishment proposed to be employed in the attachment | | | | Per Centage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estates attached | Date of the attachment | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | | | 6 | | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 | | | 10 | | | | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | | Rs | A | P | | Rs | A | P | | | |
| 1,345 | .. | .. | 592 | .. | .. | 753 | .. | .. | 4 | 3,404 | 3 | 8 | Ameen | 1 | 10 | 8 | .. | 6 7 | 20th February 1846 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Peon | 1 | 3 | 8 | .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 14 | .. | .. | | |
| 1,095 | .. | .. | 417 | .. | .. | 678 | .. | .. | 3 | 2,723 | .. | .. | Ameen | 1 | 10 | 8 | .. | 8 2 | Do Do |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Peons | 1 | 3 | 8 | .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 14 | .. | .. | | |
| 1161 | .. | .. | 563 | .. | .. | 598 | .. | .. | 3 | 2418 | 14 | 5 | Ameen | 1 | 10 | 8 | .. | 9 3 | Do Do |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Peon | 1 | 3 | 8 | .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 14 | .. | .. | | |
| 963 | .. | .. | 480 | .. | .. | 483 | .. | .. | 5 | 1,925 | 6 | .. | Ameen | 1 | 10 | 8 | .. | 14 6 | 17th Do |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Peon | 2 | 7 | .. | .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 17 | 8 | .. | | |
| 4,564 | .. | .. | 2,052 | .. | .. | 2512 | .. | .. | 15 | 1,0471 | 8 | 1. | | 9 | 59 | 8 | .. | | |

8th April 1846 E. E. Jr. /Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
A.J. Collector

The

Resolution thereon. 51 The Board sanction the Establishment amounting to Rupees 59-8-- per Mensem for the management of the Estates under attachment for arrears of Revenue as shown in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter. But as it appears that the Proprietor of the Minnambully Mootah is dead the Collector will be pleased to state when he died and who are the claimants to this Mootah.

(50-51)

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(52)

From W. E. Lockhart Esqre 52 Sir,
Collector of Salem.

Dated 26th Jany 1846. With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue requesting to be informed whether Mr. Macfarlane applied for the land on the Shervaroy Hills on his own account, or whether he made the proposal on behalf of his employer Mr. Seth Sam, I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Macfarlane states he applied for the land on behalf of Mr. Seth Sam, who verbally requested him to do so, and that he has no written authority to that effect from that Gentleman, but has since applied for one.

Salem Zillah
Darampoory
Collectors Cutcherry
15th April 1846.

[Signed] W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Resolution thereon 53 The Board as at present informed decline to sanction the grant of the land applied for by Mr. Macfarlane.

(52-53)



To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(54)

From the Collector of Salem } 54 Sir

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board, that the Moottahdar of Nanceul claims Rupees 31-4-- the price of 250 Bundles of Grass, at 2 Annas a Bundle, cut by the Horse Keepers of the 4th Regiment Light Cavalry on their march from Trichinopoly to Bangalore in February last, from Poonjah Lands reserved by the Moottahdar for pasture, measuring 2 Acres 87-29-2 and assessed at Rs. 97-14-11. I beg to forward the Moottahdars account for the said amount, signed by Major Anderson the Officer commanding the 4th Regiment Light Cavalry, with Copy of his letter on the subject. The Tahsildar also reports that the claim is correct. I therefore solicit the Sanction of the Board to refund the amount Rupees 31-4-- to him.

Requests the Sanction of the Board to disburse to the named Moottahdar Rs. 31-4-- being the value of Grass cut from his pasture lands by the Horse Keepers of the 4th Light Cavalry as per Enclosure.

Salem Zillah
Darumpoory
Coll.rs Cutcherry
25th April 1846

[Signed] W. E. Lockhart
Collector

To W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of
Salem

Sir,

In reply to your letter of 26th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the Grass cut by

by the Grass Cutters of the Regiment under my command at Namkul was actually necessary for the Government Horses, and was no where else to be had. The Sahsildar informed me after the Grass had been cut, that a private individual held the land, and would suffer damage, I therefore signed the accompanying paper, that the Man's case should be considered by you agreeably to Para 16. Page 233 General Regulation of the Army.-

x In Tamil

Bangalore 19th April 1846 } /Signed/ J. Anderson Major Com.g 2.d Lt. Dr. Cavalry.

54-55

Resolution thereon. 55 Resolved that the foregoing letter be Submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.-

Under the Circumstances stated by the Collector the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for the disbursement of the sum of Rupees 31-4- as above referred to.-

To J. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

56

From the Collector of Salum. } Sir I have the honor to transmit an x advertisement for the sale on the 5th June next at Daramjeery of the rent of the Abkarry of this District for the ensuing Fusly.-

x In Tamil

Salem Gillah Daramjeery Collrs Cutcherry 27th April 1846. } /Signed/ W. E. Lockhart Collector

Resolution thereon. 57 The Collector will be pleased to furnish as soon as possible a translation in English of the Advertisement which accompanies the foregoing letter.-



Read the following letter

To J. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

58

From the Collector of Tanjore. } Sir I have the honor to forward the Statement of Salary paid for Potails for July 1254 and request Sanction may be obtained for the disbursement of the amount Viz

Rs a p
59,643-4-4.-

Tanjore. . . . .

Vellum 31st March 1846 } /Signed/ H. C. Montgomery Collector.

Statement.-

# Parish of St. George 30th April 1846.

No. 1 Electoral Division containing the Amount of Lettings last year. Total enfranchised from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 on account of July 125l in the Parish of Tarvote.

| Name in full | Residence | Qualification | Amount of Valuation on which Elector is registered | Particulars of Property | Where situate | Amount of Rent | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bentley Thomas | Tarvote | Occupier | £ 14 | House & Garden | Tarvote | £ 14 | |
| Bentley Thomas | Tarvote | Occupier | 3 | Land | Tarvote | 3 | |
| Bentley Thomas | Tarvote | Occupier | 2 | Land | Tarvote | 2 | |
| Bentley Thomas | Tarvote | Occupier | 1 | Land | Tarvote | 1

Proceedings thereon.

59

(58-59)

The Collector is informed that the Statement of Salary paid to the Potails of his District for Fusly 1254 has been submitted to Government and Sanction requested for the disbursement.—

On examining the Statement the Board however perceive that in the first line the amount of Salary (Col: 8) paid to 531 Potails is only Rupees 1085-11-15 being Rupees 2-5-6 each, whereas in the Memorandum of the proposed rates of remuneration to the Tanjore Puttahmanigars which accompanied the Principal Collector's letter of 23d February 1832. the lowest scale of pay proposed to each Manigar was Rupees 3. It should be explained why the sum disbursed in the past Fusly has in so many instances fallen below this Minimum.—

Ordered also that the following address be submitted in Consequence.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(58-60)

60

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

31st March in Cons. 30th April 1846. 1072.

Sir

With reference to the instructions contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841 No. 1809, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Letter from the Collector of Tanjore submitting a Statement of Salaries paid to Potails in his District for Fusly 1254 amounting to Rupees 59,648-4-6 for which the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th April 1846.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read



Read the following Letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(61)

61

From the Collector of Tanjore

Sir

I have the honor to submit for Sanction an Estimate for making 900 Brass Badges for the use of the Talook Peons in this District Amounting to Rupees 637-8-11 at an average of 11-4 per Badge. The Badges which those now required are to replace are of various sizes and shapes and from having been in use from the period of the District coming under the Company's Government are worn out and the writing engraved on them is mostly obliterated their appearance being altogether not creditable to the Government. It does not appear that any expence for new Brass Badges has been incurred since Mr. Hepburns management which ceased in 1819.—

Tanjore
Trangambar
16th April 1846

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Estimate

6153 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

No. 1 Estimate of Charges to be incurred for making 900 Badges for the use of the Peons in the Talooks and at the Police Cutchery at Tranquebar in the Province of Tanjore requiring Sanction of Government.—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| To Value of 337½ seers of brass at 5 as. per seer for making 900 Badges @ ⅜ seer each | 105 | 7 | 6 |
| To Cooly hire for making the Badges at ⅛ annas per Badge | 63 | 4 | 6 |
| To Do. for cutting characters on them at 9 annas per Badge | 506 | 4 | " |
| | 675 | " | " |
| Deduct amount to be realized by the sale of old badges | 37 | 8 | " |
| Balance Rupees Six hundred & thirty Seven and annas Eight | 637 | 8 | " |

Tanjore 16th April 1846. } E.E.P.C. / Signed / W.C. Montgomery
Collector

Ordered.

6154. Fort St. George 30th April. 1846.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address.

61-62

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tanjore forwarding an Estimate for making brass Badges for the use of the Talook Peons in that District, and to recommend that, under the explanation furnished, Sanction may be granted for the proposed disbursement Amounting to Rupees 637—8—

No. In Con. 30th April 1846

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 30th April 1846. } / Signed / T. Pycroft Secretary.

Read the following letter.

63

From Sir. W.C. Montgomery Collector of Tanjore.

To T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir.

I have the honor to Submit my opinion of the rules for encouraging the Planting of Topes as far as they are applicable to his District.

In the greater part of the Tanjore District no encouragement is required for the Planting of Topes. In fact in the interior

interior of the wet land Talooks the Country abounds with Choultries, Topes and charitable institutions and in the light roads of other Talooks there is no scarcity of them. There may be however some parts to the South of the District where Topes may be beneficially planted and the rules No. 1 & 2 appear to provide sufficient encouragement. The Revenue from Trees in Tanjore amounted last year to the large sum of 87917 Rs. of which 44528. was derived from Trees to which these rules would apply, and this Revenue shews increase on former years. The adoption of rules 3 & 6 by encouraging the planting of new Trees under the proposed advantages, will effect the bringing of waste lands into cultivation in river irrigated Villages, obstruct the Planting of Tope land on the terms hitherto allowed, and encourage the relinquishment of Topes now paying Revenue to Government. I am therefore of opinion that rules of this nature should be acted on with great caution if ever they are introduced into Tanjore.

In rules 5 & 7 I have to express my concurrence.

Tanjore Tranquebar 23d April 1846. } (Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Order thereon. 64

63-64

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read



Read the following letter --

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(65) 65

From A. P. Onslow Esqre
Collector of Trichinopoly
* 2d Jany 1846

Sir

With reference to the orders of the Board noted in the margin* I have the honor to transmit a copy of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Zillah Court of Trichinopoly in regard to my application for a revision of judgment in the decision passed in the case of Tengoovien against certain public Officers of this District, and I solicit their further orders. --

Trichinopoly District ...
Thottiem, Colltrs Circuit Cutcherry
1st April 1846. ... } (Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

No 1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Zillah Court of Trichinopoly dated 17th February 1846.

M. P. 2d 35 of 1846.

Read Petition presented on the 3d February 1846 by Vencut Row and Punchanada Moodelly Appellants in O. S. No 69 of 1845 file of the Civil Court, stating that the original suit was instituted against them and the Collector for the recovery of Rupees 45-5-5 being the alleged value of 2 Cows and Calves

L. L.

Fort St George 30th April 1846

which had been disposed of at Public auction to satisfy a demand on account of arrears of Revenue due by Letchamanien the undivided brother of the Plaintiff. that the Principal Sudar Ameen passed a Decree exonerating the 1st Defendant from all liability and adjudged that the 2d and 3rd Defendant should pay to plaintiff the value of the Cattle Viz Rupees 29 and the Costs of Suit, the Plaintiff bearing the Costs of 1st Defendant; and that this decision was confirmed in the Appeal Suit, because on a full consideration of the case it appeared to the Court that the Appellants took upon themselves to disregard the instructions of the Collector and that the Tufting Respondents property was a wanton and unauthorized act on the part of the Appellants for which they were responsible.—

The Petitioners pray for the reasons assigned for a revision of the Appeal Judgement and request that the Documents filed and the witnesses named by them may be examined

Order of the Court.

The Court has no power to revise the judgement of the late Acting Judge and the Petitioners are referred to higher authority.—

True Extract

Signed A. D. Anslow
Collector

Ordered



Fort St George 30th April 1846

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

(65-66)

66

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Directing him to instruct the appellants in O.S. No. 69 of 1845 to address the Court of Sudr Udalut by motion and to request that the Zillah Judge may be directed to receive their application and to deal with it according to the mode prescribed in Clause II Section VI Regulation XV 1816 also desiring him to furnish them with the form of motion to be made to the Sudr Udalut.

In Cons. 30th April 1846

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st April last transmitting a Copy of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Trichinopoly Zillah Court, in regard to the application for a review of Judgment in the case of Vengoovyen, and soliciting further orders upon the subject.—

2 On perusal of the Extract referred to, the Board find that the Court has stated that it has no power to revise the judgment of the late Acting Judge. The Board are aware that under Clause II. Section VI Regulation XV 1816 the Judge cannot himself review the judgment without reference to higher authority. but the section quoted directs that when an application for review of judgment be made the Court shall either dismiss it, if the grounds on which the review is sought are insufficient or shall forward it with all requisite papers for the orders of the Sudr Udalut. Neither of these courses has been pursued upon the present occasion. The Petition has been dismissed not upon its merits, but on account of the alleged inability of the Zillah Court to entertain it.

Under

3

Under these circumstances it therefore appears proper that the Petitioners should address the Court of Sudr Udalut by motion and explaining the nature of their late application to the Zillah Judge Copy of which should accompany and the reason of its rejection, should request that the Functionary may be directed to receive the application and to deal with it according to the mode prescribed in the Regulation already quoted. You will be pleased to instruct the Appellants to pursue this Course and will furnish them with the form of motion to be made to the Sudr Udalut.

Revenue Board Office -
Fort St George 30th April 1846 } Signed T Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George -

67

From A P Onslow Esqre
Collector of Trichinopoly } Sir

Para 1. During the Collectorate of Mr Wallace a Bungalow still maintained at the expence of Government, was built at Kullagoody in the Lalgoody Talook in this District for the use of Travellers on the then high road to Madras a different line of road having been adopted the Bungalow has been disused for a long time past only



only one Gentleman a Missionary having taken advantage of it for at least 7 years. —

2

As the building is in need of repair and as the cost of repairing it would produce no adequate advantage I beg to propose that I may be allowed to dispose of it and the grounds consisting of 72 Goolies of land at public auction. The estimated value of the whole is Rupees 118-10-". but as from the remoteness of the situation the materials will be of no value to any one except the Missionary who occasionally visits the neighbourhood, and who would probably bid a few Rupees for the building I do not expect that so much will be realized.

Estimate -

| | Rs | As | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| Value of Materials | 98 | 14 | " |
| " of two wells | 15 | " | " |
| " of Trees | 4 | 12 | " |
| Total Rupees | 118 | 10 | " |

Trichinopoly District - -
Cuttay, Coll's Circuit Cutcherry } Signed A. P. Onslow
10th " April 1846 - - - - - } Collector - -

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To

The Collector of
Trichinopoly

67-68

68

To the Collector of
Trichinopoly - - - }

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue
t

In cons. 30th April 1846 No.

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th Instant recommending the disposal by Public auction of a Bungalow situated in the Palgoody Talook of your District together with the lands attached thereto measuring 72 Goolies, which you state has long fallen into disuse being little frequented by Travellers.

2. In reply I am instructed to state that previous to submitting the proposed measure for sanction the Board are desirous of being informed by whom and at what period the Bungalow in question was built, whether it is clearly the property of Government or whether any party has claims either to the building or to the land attached to it.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George 30th April 1846

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letters

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(69)

69.

From J. Blackburne Esqre
Principal Collector of Madura

Sir,

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 9th June last, being in answer to mine of the 20th May + No 117 I have the honor to submit the Nattamgars of Sawga-
=mullore, in whom the duty of Notayar is also vested,



have lands of their own, but remission of assessment on those lands, equivalent to the tax on the unremunerative old Maniem, is objected to by the Mahajanoms, who affect to fear the distinct possession of it, rent free, will so far from securing faithful distribution of water to all, induce the Nattamgars to appropriate as much as possible to their own Maniem land. This Village is in a state of great discord, but after a protracted discussion it is wished the tax alienated may be appropriated in proportions from each Ryots holding so that the Nattamgars may suffer proportionally with each Ryot from any accidental, or wilful unfaithfulness of Office.—

2 As this measure has received the Consent of the Nattamgars, also, I beg to recommend effect may be given to their united wishes, carrying the old Maniem to the sin account, as the addition-al trouble in accounts will only be increased from 13 to 16 entries.—

Madura 2nd April 1846

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Proceedings thereon. Para 1. Before submitting any recommendation to Govt in respect to the remuneration proposed by the Principal Collector to be granted in lieu of the unproductive service Maniem referred to in the above letter

Requesting the Collr of Madura to furnish further information in respect to the remuneration proposed

(69-70)

The.

proposed to be granted in lieu of the improductive service mannium referred to in his letter of the 3d April last.

The Board would wish to be more particularly informed of the circumstances which have induced the Enamdars to relinquish that portion of the Enam which is stated to have been cultivated up to Fusly 1252 but to have been left waste since that period. The land in question is represented to be "alur" but it is not stated whether there is any reason to conclude that it is more impregnated with salt now than formerly when it would seem to have been regularly cultivated by the Enamdars. It is not apparent however upon what grounds the cultivation of Punjah mail Punjah on that portion disused since 1246 was abandoned on that occasion. but it may be presumed that due measures have not been taken by the Enamdars to render the land productive. The Board are unwilling to facilitate the relinquishment of Enam service lands in exchange for others more productive except where the circumstances are such as to admit of no question as to the necessity of the measure and the exigencies of the Public service call for it. They will therefore be prepared to recommend to Government that such portion of the Enams attached to the Office of Nattamgar and Notagar in this Village as shall have been rendered of undoubted inutility from the over spread of water or other



other similarly destructive causes be exchanged, or remuneration granted from other sources in view to the original object of the grant. But they will await the Principal Collector's reply to the present requisition before taking any further steps in the present Case.—

2 It occurs also to the Board that it would be desirable that the Office of Notagar should in the event of fresh lands or other remuneration being sanctioned in exchange for those attached to that service be held distinct from that of Nattamgar and no longer united in the same parties as appears to be the case in this Village. The Principal Collector will be good enough to state whether any objections exist to this arrangement.

3- The Principal Collector will be so good as to supply the additional information now called for at his early convenience.—

To

To

J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

71

Sir

From J. Blackburne Esqre — Principal Collector of Madura — 9th Instant, leaving the sequestration of Shevagunga to depend upon the confirmation of the Civil Judge's order by the Sudr Udalut I have the honor to forward copy of the former address to the Superior Court, of the 14th Ultimo to which he has received no answer: and to which he does not anticipate one, the silence of the Superior Court being construed into acquiescence in the orders of the lower one.

Madura — 15th April 1846

Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

To

No 1

H D. Phillips Esqre
Register to the Court of
Sudr Udalut
Fort St George

Sir

With reference to the Precept issued by the Court of Sudr Udalut under date the 2d September 1844 directing that possession of the Zemindary of Shevagunga be forthwith given unconditionally to Sree Mattook Nayah Mootoo Vizia Ragoonada Gowree Vallabha Perea



Perea Oudia Taver the Representative of Bodagoorcosawmy Taver late Zemindar of Shevagunga and Respondent in A. S. No 13 of 1835 on the file of the said Sudr Court, I have the honor to transmit for the consideration of the Judges translate of miscellaneous Petition No 557 of 1845 presented by Vakeel Anna Sastry on behalf of Ranee Ungamoottoo Natchear the Pauper Plaintiff in A. S. No 2 of 1845 on the file of this Court praying for the attachment of the Shevagunga Zemindary which is at issue in that suit and copy of my proceedings thereon directing the attachment prayed for, in order that if the attachment shall appear to the Judges to be unealled for I may receive their instructions to remove it. —

Madura — Civil Court 14th March 1846

Signed G. S. Greenway
Acting Civil Judge

Order thereon .. 72

71-72

Ordered to be recorded. It appears from a subsequent letter (22d April 1846) that the Court of Sudr Udalut have over ruled the order of the Acting Judge for the sequestration of the Zemindary.

To

J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

73

Sir

From J. Blackburne Esqre — Principal Collector of Madura —

I have the honor to inform you I was yesterday favored by the Civil Judge with Proceedings

Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut of the 14th Instant over ruling his order of the 13th Ultimo for sequestration of Shevagunga.

2

I shall now lose no time in giving effect to the sanctioned change in its Police administration.

Madura — 22nd April 1846 } Order thereon. 74

(73-74)

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To

J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

75

From the Coll$^{r}$ of Tinnevelly }

Sir

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 12th ultimo annexed, Minutes of Consultation of 7th Idem directing me to furnish the information required by the Supreme Government as to whether Ismall Khan late Duffadar in the Sayer Department in this District has no relatives in the service or otherwise able to support him in his old age, I have the honor to state that the late Duffadar has three sons and a Daughter of whom the 1st and 2nd sons are in Government employ on a monthly Pay of Rs 7 & 3½ Rupees respectively and live separately while the 3rd son a boy of 7 years of age together with a wife

(75)



wife and a Daughter are under the protection of Ismall Khan. Both the sons in employ state that they cannot afford to give any thing from their pay for the support of their Father and his family having themselves their own families to support. The father also does not wish to depend on their means for maintenance, but is very anxious to secure an independant provision in the shape of a Pension for the remainder of his life. —

Tinnevelly — Tricheldoor — 4th April 1846 } Resolution thereon 76

(75-76)

/Signed/ T. B. Elton
Sub Collector in charge

Resolved that the foregoing letter be forwarded for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the order of Government dated 7th March 1846 No. 369. —

Read the following letter.

77

From the Sub Coll$^{r}$ in Charge of Tinnevelly }

To

J Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

In reporting the death on the 13th Ultimo of Ranny Chuckummal the Mother of the Minor Zemindar of Yailoomady, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Court of Wards for the disbursement from the surplus funds now in Deposit on account of the above Estate of Rupees 187-1-— for the performance of the funeral rites of the deceased. This sum has it appears been borrowed as stated by the Minor Zemindars Grand Mother and applied

Tinnevelly
Truchendoor
11th April 1846
Proceedings
77-78

applied to the purpose above mentioned.—

/Signed/ F. B. Elton
Sub Collector in Charge

78

Proceedings thereon.

Agreeably to the request contained in the foregoing letter, the Court of Wards sanction the disbursement of Rupees 187-"-" from the surplus funds in deposit on account of Yaloomaday estate, for the performance of the funeral rites of the deceased mother of the Minor Zemindar.—

Read the following letter.

To
F. Pycroft Escqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

79

From the Pl Collr of Coimbatore

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the accompanying Petition No 680 of 1845 bearing the endorsement of the Board of Revenue dated 17th July last.

2. The land referred to in this Petition is the hereditary Property of the person named Konun, as decided by a Punchayet assembled in 1844, which was confirmed in that year, and the Petitioners have no right to it. They took forcible possession of the crop, in company with 48 others and were examined and fined by the Joint Magistrate of Cotacamund.—

3 Under these circumstances, the claim of the Petitioners would appear to be untenable and their complaint false and vexatious.—

4 The Petition No 680 is returned herewith.

Cotacamund
April 11th 1846

80

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pl Collr

Resolution thereon,

From the report of the Principal Collector it appears that the land referred to in this Petition is the property of the Defendant Konun and has been awarded to him by decree of a Punchayet. The Board cannot interfere.

Read the following letter.

79-80



To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

81

From the Sub Collr in Charge of Canara.

Reports upon the effect from the abolition of Hawlut duty and forwards Copy of a letter from the Acting Head Assistant Collr of Canara.

Sir.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 24th November last calling for a report with reference to the increase observable in the export from this District by Sea of Betel and Pepper in fusly 1254 as compared with fusly 1253 on the state of the trade in general on these articles to what extent the abolition of the Hawlut duties has occasioned an increase in the Demand and the probable position of these articles in the Market in future at the places of consumption in connexion with relief afforded by those restrictive duties having been taken off—

2. In reply I beg to state that of the export of Betel nut about 2/3 ds takes place by the land Frontier and 1/3 d by Sea as will be seen from the accompanying Statement - I am unable to state whether there was an increase on the aggregate of both Heads in fusly 1254 because after the Hawlut duty had been discontinued in February last year the accounts of exports by land were

were necessarily discontinued, but as the crop was good and the export of the former year was not larger than usual I think it probable that there was an increase —

3. After inspecting the Statement the Board will I think agree with me in thinking that the increase in question cannot be made the ground of inference either favorable or unfavorable as to the effect of the abolition of the Havlut duty - The export by Sea was still higher in fusly 1244 and in fusly 1250, and the variation from year to year has been considerable - I deem it more probable that the increase was occasioned by the diminution of the rate of Sea Custom Duty which took place at the same time or to a larger crop —

4. Betel nut is not an article that keeps much more than a year in this climate - The greater part is disposed of in the year of production, and as I have not been able to learn that any considerable quantity has been known to remain on hand and spoil, I am induced to believe that the demand is effectual to the extent of

* Note. Previous to the passing of the act VI of 1844 the Sea Custom duty on Betel nut was 2.35 per Cent subject to deduction of the duty paid for the Land Customs which was 30 per Cent for Balaghaut produce and 25 for that of the Payonghaut. Thus the net Sea Custom duty was 10 or 8 per Cent as the case might be. It is now only 3 per Cent



of the present means of production, and any variation observable in the account of the Exports of different years has been owing chiefly to the amount of crop —

5. We posess very little information of the Markets to which the Betel is exported either by land or Sea - The greater portion of the export by land takes place from the Towns of Sirsy and Siddapoor in the Balaghaut to a District called Vairy in the Nizams Dominions and to Cuddapah and Bellary - The Merchants of those countries send Bullocks which are laden through the instrumentality of resident Brokers - It is understood that the consumption in the interior is confined chiefly to marriages and occasions of ceremony - If the price were lower it is reasonable to conclude, that the article would fall into more ordinary use as in Canara itself - If this be the case the abolition of the Havlut duty which cannot fail in some degree to lower the prices will tend to increase the Demand - This remark will apply also to the Markets to which Betel is exported by Sea Viz Bombay

Bombay, Guzerat and Cutch —

6. But there are special circumstances to prevent this effect — taking place immediately — The Sooparee Tree, producing the Betel nut, is from 8 to 12 years before it comes into bearing and a larger supply cannot therefore be immediately thrown into the market, whatever may be the willingness to purchase — Moreover, the Garden Cultivators of North Canara from their habit of inordinate expense at marriages &c are generally deeply in debt and under engagements to deliver their crops to Sowcars at a certain price — This limitation of supply and engross-ment by a few individuals must tend to prevent prices falling in the ratio of the amount of Hawlut duty abolished, particularly if the demand previous to the abolition was as I suppose equal to the supply —

7. With regard to the question to what extent the Cultivator of Betel is likely to be effected by the estate of the Trade present and prospective. I think that the abolition of the Hawlut duty is calculated to increase Cultivation in two ways — During its continuance



continuance a considerable sum of ready money was required to be advanced to pay the duty before any return was received. Few of the Cultivators possessed the means of making this advance; consequently they could only sell through the medium of Capitalists who engrossed the greater part of the Profit. Now they whose produce is not forestalled by creditors, can make their own bargains and keep the whole profits —

2dly The Profits themselves for some time, till there is a considerable increase of production, will be larger. A considerable portion of these it may be expected will be laid out in planting fresh Gardens and improving old. But this result will be restricted by the circumstances mentioned in the former para of few of the Ryots being free from debt — still we may hope that improvement will also be made through the Sowcars themselves. Some of them are beneficially interested in the Gardens as Mortgagees, and others may be tempted by the profits to purchase land or to be liberal in advances to their dependent Ryots —

8 My observations in regard to the cause of increase noticed in the

Note
The Sea Customs duty under the former

former System was 15 per Cent subject to deduction to the amount paid for Land Customs at 10 per Cent leaving a balance of 5 per Cent. The present duty is 3 per Cent.

Note The Pepper Vine comes into bearing in the 3rd Year.

the export by Sea applies to Pepper, and also the remarks on the effect of the Hawlet Duty in keeping the trade and profits in the hands of Brokers and Merchants, and the obstruction to the natural result of its removal by the indebted states of the Garden Cultivators - But as it is less an article of luxury we can rely with more certainty on the demand augmenting with diminished prices and an increase of Supply can be sooner produced. The exports by land and Sea it will be seen from the Statement are nearly equal - The former - take place to the Mahratta Country and the Nizams' Dominions and the latter to Bombay for reexport to the United kingdom.

9. The Hawlet Duty has been frequently pronounced injurious as falling entirely on the foreign consumers - It is true that the price of Betel and Pepper hitherto paid by the consumer has been enhanced to the full extent of the Hawlet Duty, but if the dearness of those articles has restricted the amount of consumption, the Canara District has





has suffered also, by the limitation in the Demand preventing the inducement which there would otherwise have been to extend Cultivation -

10 While I think, however that the opinion that a removal of the Hawlet duties will be of no advantage was erroneous, I consider that comparatively it was the least hurtful of any of the sources of Extra Revenue with the exception of the Abkaree Tax, and it had the merit of being very cheerfully paid -

11. I beg to forward Copy of a report made to me on this subject by the acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Forbes at my request, and should I receive an answer to the reference I also made to the additional Sub-Collector I will do myself the honor to submit it immediately -

Collectors' Cutcherry on Circuit Buntwall 6th February 1846 -

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Sub Collector
in Charge

Statement -

6144

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

No. 1. Statement shewing the Exports of Betelnut and Pepper from 1244 to 1254

| Fuslies | Betelnut — By Sea | | | Betelnut — By land | | | Total | | | Pepper — By Sea | | | Pepper — By land | | | Total | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cs. | M. | lbs | Cs. | M. | lbs | Cs. | M. | lbs | Cs. | M. | lbs | Cs. | M. | lbs | Cs. | M. | lbs |
| 1244 | 8.806 | 8 | 25 | 16.237 | 7 | 6 | 25.043 | 16 | 3 | 2.676 | 5 | 17 | 1.529 | 7 | 14 | 4.205 | 13 | 3 |
| 1245 | 6.943 | 6 | 23 | 10.132 | 1. | 1. | 17.075 | 7 | 24 | 1.828 | 14 | 1 | 1.164 | 2 | 27 | 2.992 | 17 | .. |
| 1246 | 7.188 | 17 | 21 | 14.674 | 11 | 24 | 21.863 | 9 | 17 | 1.917 | 4 | 25 | 2.078 | 10 | 26 | 3.995 | 15 | 23 |
| 1247 | 5.970 | 19 | 6 | 13.286 | 14 | 4 | 19.257 | 13 | 10 | 2.397 | 3 | 18 | 2.682 | 13 | 13 | 5.079 | 16 | 3 |
| 1248 | 6.295 | 17 | 27 | 14.451 | 6 | 11. | 20.747 | 4 | 10 | 1.911 | 14 | 7 | 1.961 | 15 | 9 | 3.873 | 9 | 16 |
| 1249 | 7.197 | 1 | 26 | 16.346 | 13 | 22 | 23.543 | 15 | 20 | 1.112 | 12 | 5 | 1.179 | 17 | 10 | 2.292 | 9 | 25 |
| 1250 | 8.412 | 9 | 18 | 14.300 | 15 | .. | 22.713 | 7 | 18 | 1.786 | 10 | 23 | 1.927 | 16 | 1 | 3.714 | 6 | 24 |
| 1251 | 6.898 | 2 | 21 | 15.915 | 14 | 21. | 22.723 | 17 | 14 | 2.354 | 4 | 1. | 2.586 | 7 | 9 | 4.940 | 11 | 10 |
| 1252 | 6.212 | 8 | 23 | 14.712 | 13 | 16 | 20.925 | 2 | 11 | 1.933 | .. | .. | 2.123 | 1 | 21 | 4.056 | 1 | 21 |
| 1253 | 7.620 | 5 | 27 | 14.523 | 1 | 19 | 22.143 | 7 | 18. | 1.687 | 3 | 10 | 1.903 | 7 | 9 | 3.590 | 10 | 19 |
| 1254 | 8.230 | 7 | 8 | 9.643 | 1 | 10 | 17.873 | 8 | 18 | 2.622 | 7 | 6 | 960 | 7 | 2 | 3.582 | 14 | 8 |

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Subcollr. in charge
To

Fort St. George 30th April 1846

No. 2.

To W. D. Bourke Esqre
Sub Collector in Charge
Mangalore —

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3rd instant, forwarding Copy of a letter from the Board of Revenue calling for information regarding the duty set by the trade in Betelnut, or the duty levied by Government, & must and keeper from this Presidency, & to the present province 52 " as to shew which the addition of the two shares which the addition of the two Market Duties has had on increasing the increased export of those articles during the last fifty years as the effect which may be expected to result from the abolition of these duties on foreign Markets; and lastly how far the cultivation of Betel nut and Pepper may be increased in consequence of an increased demand and encouragement.

2. In reply to your request to be furnished with an opinion on those questions I have the honor to observe

1st That the general prospects of the trade in Betel nut Pepper and other articles preclude to appear to me to be favorable on the whole, although very much the reverse of the restrictions which formerly existed - As the Madras and

transit duties, and still more so by the reduction in the Sea Custom Tariff.

2dly That the removal of the Hawlut does not appear to me to be the cause of the increased exports by Sea noticed by the Board. I should consider this increase attributable entirely to the abolition of the transit duties and to the reduced Sea Custom Tariff.

3dly The effect which this abolition of the Hawlut duty would probably produce in the Markets to the eastward in the large towns, to which the export by land principally takes place, still remains I should think to be seen information on this point which may be best gathered from the Merchants engaged in the trade, who reside chiefly at Sirsy will undoubtedly be forwarded to you by the additional Sub Collector but it may safely be concluded: I think that the price must fall and that the habits of the people will induce a proportionate increase in consumption. Lastly this increase of consumption and consequently of demand can scarcely fail to operate beneficially on the Cultivation of Betel and Pepper in the



the Balaghaut, by stimulating the industry of the garden proprietors & by contributing to open the trade which appears (as it is reported to me) to have been chiefly monopolized hitherto by a clique of Merchants residing chiefly at Sirsy, to the great disadvantage of the actual producers still these effects can not be expected to appear at once owing to the length of time required to bring the Betel tree to maturity and even to make increased care and labor till effectively on the quantity of fruit produced by trees already at maturity.

A. Asst. Coll.
Cutcherry Bellekery
20th December 1845

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Actg. Asst. Coll.

7th in Cons.
20th November 1845

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Canara reporting on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in his District for Fusly 1254. A. D. 1844-45.

81-82

Settlement Extra 1852

Proceedings thereon. Para 1. Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Canara for Fusly 1254 A.D. 1844-45

Here enter No. 104.

The gross demand on account of all Sources of Extra Revenue in the District of Canara for the year under report exhibits a Net Decrease of Rupees 67,547-1-6 below that of the preceding fusly resulting from a gross increase of Rupees 101,475-5-0 and a gross decrease of Rupees 169,022-6-6. as shewn in the Margin.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Decrease | | |
| Sayer | 111,671-1-7. | |
| Sea Customs | 42,068-1-8. | |
| Small Farms | 17,248-14-". | |
| Moturpha | 453-10-9. | |
| Stamps | 2,580-10-6. | 169,022-6-6 |
| Increase. | | |
| Salt | 87,005-1-3. | |
| Abkarry | 5,809-"-". | |
| Tobacco | 8,661-3-9. | 101,475-5-0 |
| Net Decrease | | 67,547-1-6 |

The

Salt Para 8 to 6. 2.

The sales of Salt for Inland and Home Consumption during the Year under

Increase Rs 87005-13 report increased to the extent of Rupees 83094-15-4. Under the former, and Rupees 1929-14-11. under the latter head, in addition to which a small quan= =tity was exported to Malabar, making the gross increase noted in the Margin.

3. In para 7 the Principal Collector draws attention to the loss which the Salt trade of Canara has experienced from the free admission into the District under the operation of Act VI of 1844 of Goa Salt through the Southern Mahratta Country, which he represents is superceding in many parts of the Country the manufacture of the Province to the serious injury of the Revenue and suggests in conse= =quence the expediency of some modification of the existing duties being made in regard to the future impor= =tation of the foreign Article.—

4. As this subject is of great local importance and does not admit of being discussed at length on the present occasion the Board request that the Collector will take an early opportunity of bringing the question before them in a separate communication accompanying it with such further information and remarks as he may consider necessary with a view to its submission to Government.—

The



Sayer para 8. Decrease Rs 111671-1-7

5. The abolition of the Transit duties caused a decrease of Rupees 111671-1-7 in the Sayer Revenue. The Collections at the Chowkies on the Goa Frontier had also fallen off to the extent of Rupees 19893-9- owing to the prohibitory duty of 3 Rupees per Maund imposed by the new act on the Import of Salt from that Settlement into Canara direct=

Sea Customs para 9 to 11 Decrease Rs 42068-1-8

6. The Net decrease under the head of Sea Customs is shown to be Rupees 42068 1—8— The Rice Trade had improved considerably, and the great Export of this article in the year under report, combined with the enhanced rate of duty recently fixed upon it caused the large increase of Ru= =pees 62385-5-9 in this item alone The Exports of Betelnut and Pepper had also increased in

Betelnut- 610-1-9 Pepper — 925-5-10

the proportions noted in the Margin, but the re= reduction of duty on those commodities under Act VI 1844 occasioned a decrease in the amount of collections. The Cardamum crops are stated to have partially failed, which added to the reduced sales of Sandalwood in Mysore, the dulness of the Bombay Cotton market and the reduction of duty from 8 to 3 per Cent on sundry o= other articles caused on the whole a net de= =crease in the Sea Custom Revenue of Rupees 42068-1-8

On receipt of the report now under re= review the Board called upon the Principal Collector in

24th November 1845

in reference to the increase shewn in the Exc= ports of Bettenut and Pepper to report on the state of the Trade in general in those articles- they desired to know to what extent the abo= =lition of the Hawlut duties had occasioned an increase in the demand, the probable position of those articles in the market in future at the places of consumption in connexion with the relief afforded by the discontinuance of the Hawlut duties and the extent to which their cultivation was likely to be affected by the state of the Trade- the reply from the Sub Collector in charge is herewith transmitted for the informa= =tion of Government-

6th Feb.y in Cons.n 30th April 1846

8.

Rents arose from a brisk competition at the sale of the Farms- The Tobacco Revenue also exceeds that of the preceding year by Rupees 8661-3-9.

| | Increase | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Abkarry para 12 | | 5809 | — |
| Tobacco para 16 | | 8661 | 3 9 |

9

The decrease in the Motunpha Tax was caused by some reductions which the Collector found it necessary to make in certain trades liable to the payment of the tax and the loss in the Stamp Revenue arose from the diminished sale of Sayer Rowannahs

| | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Motunpha para 15 | | 453 | 10 9 |
| Stamps para 18 | | 2580 | 10 6 |

The



Small Farms para 13-14-& 19

10

The demand on account of Ferry Farms and Sundry Small Farms exhibits a decrease of Rupees 180-4-0 in the former and an increase of Rupees 918-14-3 in the latter The Revenue derived from the Ameendevi Islands was carried to the head of Small Farms and exhibits a falling off

| | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ameendevi | 12987 | 8 | 3 |
| Ferry Farms | 180 | 4 | — |
| | 13167 | 12 | 3 |
| Deduct increase in Small farms and Licenses | 918 | 14 | 3 |
| Net Decrease | 12248 | 14 | 0 |

in the year under report of Rupees 12987-8-3

Collections para 20-21-

11

Of the current demand Rupees 12,9,283-4-2 were collected within the Fusly and Rupees 3,303-0-1 subsequently, leaving a balance of Rupees 1089-8-3 on the 31st October 1845- the Principal Collector states that the cause of the large arrears was the failure of the Abkarry Renters in Mangalore and Oodissi, and of the Ferry Farmers in the former Talook The Board presume that active measures are in pro= =gress for the recovery of this large balance

Outstanding balances para 22 & 23

12

Of the arrears Rupees 3619-9-10, outstanding at the commencement of Fusly 1254, Rupees 3520-6-1. had been recovered leaving a small balance of Rupees 99-3-9 due chiefly by certain Fishermen which under the circumstances stated

stated the Board beg to support the Collectors recommendation that the amount may be remitted.

13 The Net Revenue of Fasly 1254 was Rupees 9,72,437.10.7. being Rupees 47,412.8.11 above that of the antecedent Fasly. The charges for the same period amounted to Rupees 3,55,475.2.9 or Rupees 1,19,598-7-7 below those of the previous year. the principal items under which a decrease in the charges is shewn, are Sayer and Sea Customs. the Collector will explain in his future reports should there be any material difference in the comparision of Expenditure the causes which may have led to such result.

Net Revenue charges

14. In para 24 the Principal Collector explains the cause of the discrepancy noticed in the Board's Proceedings of 14th April 1845 para 20, from which they learn that it was occasioned by an adjustment made in the accounts under the orders of the Accountant General.

Para 24

15. In these paras Mr. Blair replies to the observations of Government dated 29th May 1845 on the gradual decline in the Revenue from the Tobacco Monopoly and traces the cause to the illicit sale and consumption of Tobacco grown in the District under the licence granted formerly to the Coorg Ryots it being almost impossible to

Para 25 & 28



prevent a greater quantity being grown than is delivered into the Government Stores Mr. Blair further States that in his opinion the Revenue would be benefitted by a discontinuance of the indulgence now enjoyed by the Coorg Moyanies

16 As the question of the Tobacco Monopoly generally is now under the consideration of the Board they consider it unnecessary to make any observations on this subject on the present occasion.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed comparative Statement of the Collections Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Canara for his information and guidance

Canara

6189 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(83)

83

From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 30th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter and its enclosure from my Sub Collector dated 22d Instant, containing the information therein called for in regard to the value of the property left by the late Moyanmarwittil Parwathy.

Calicut
24th April 1846

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1.

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collr of Malabar.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 8th Instant forwarding Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 30th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit the Schedule called for and to observe that the calculations have been now made from Original Documents, and that if the lands were to be estimated at their present real value the previous calculation of two lacks would not, it is supposed, be excessive, as during the life time of the deceased the tenures were generally improved.

The 49,105 Rupees of personal property included, arrears of rent, debts, and in fact every kind of moveable property.

Sub Collr's Office
On Circuit Cannanore
22d April 1846

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Sub Collr
Schedule.

6188 First at Fort St. George 30th April 1840

Hamlet

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Demands | 35790 | 15 | 2 | 33210 | 4 | 8 | " | " | " | 25800 | 10 |
| Balance on the 11th July | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Current Collection | 35790 | 15 | 2 | 33210 | 4 | 8 | " | " | " | 25800 | 10 |
| Credit Dy | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1100 | 2 | 3 | 1155 | " | 10 | " | " |
| Arrears | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Total | 35790 | 15 | 2 | 33210 | 4 | 8 | " | " | " | 25800 | 10 |
| Charges | 35790 | " | 7 | 34370 | 6 | 11 | " | " | " | 1425 | 98 |
| Revenue | 1708 | 7 | 6 | 1709 | 14 | 0 | " | " | " | 58 | 90 |
| Demands | 1306222 | 14 | " | 1290655 | 12 | 6 | " | " | " | 65542 | 10 |
| Balance on the 11th July | 3400 | 10 | 5 | 9302 | 8 | 4 | 5982 | 13 | 11 | " | " |
| Current collection | 1303813 | 3 | 7 | 1290283 | 4 | 2 | " | " | " | 33529 | 15 |
| Credit Dy | 32838 | 12 | 8 | 34117 | 11 | 1 | 1278 | 14 | 7 | " | " |
| Arrears | 3446 | 11 | 11 | 3511 | 14 | 1 | 65 | 2 | 2 | " | " |
| Total | 1400098 | 12 | " | 1327912 | 13 | 4 | " | " | " | 72185 | 14 |
| Charges | 47507 | 10 | 4 | 3554752 | 9 | " | " | " | " | 119598 | 7 |
| Revenue | 950 | 2 | 5 | 47512 | 8 | 11 | | | | | |

Schedule of property moveable and immoveable left by the deceased Moganmarwittil Parwathy.

| Particulars. | Value. Rs. | g. | z. | Total. Rs. | g. | z. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gold and Silver Ornaments | 297 | 2 | 37½ | | | |
| Cash | 9 | 1 | 16 | | | |
| Speller Utensils | 288 | 3 | 88 | | | |
| Brass Ditto | 43 | 1 | 64 | | | |
| Copper Ditto | 96 | 2 | 87 | | | |
| Iron Ditto | 84 | 2 | " | | | |
| Sundry boxes &c. | 112 | 1 | 60 | | | |
| 270 Parambas producing a yearly rent of Rupees 1480 | 14,800 | " | " | 3,608 | " | 77½ |
| 459 Lands producing a yearly rent of 12,323 1/4 Edungalies Paddy | 62740 | " | 55 | | | |
| 167 Houses | 4,503 | " | " | 8,2043 | " | 55 |
| Documents executed to the deceased for 38,489 1/4 Edungalies Paddy | 11,414 | 2 | 73 | | | |
| Ditto Do. for Rupees | 30609 | 2 | 18½ | | | |
| Balance of rent to be recovered | 3482 | " | 18 | | | |
| 49 Head of Cattle | | | | 45,506 | " | 99½ |
| | | | | 146 | 1 | 20 |
| Total Rupees | | | | 131303 | 3 | 52 |

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Sub Collector

(True Copies)
(Signed) W. V. Connolly
Collector



Proceedings (83-84)

84

Thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th March last No. 347.

Read the following letters.

To J. Tycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

85 85

Sir,

From the Collr. of Madras — Reporting the death of Mr. R. W. Keppen Superintendent of Cochrane's Canal and recommending that the pay of his successor be fixed at Rs. 55 per Mensem and that an Overseer be appointed on Rupees 30 if the salary are attached to the Office of Superintendent since appointment of the deceased be allowed to continue undiminished Viz. Rupees 105.

From Bd. 15th Nov. 1837.

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that Mr. R. W. Keppen, who was appointed to the situation of Superintendent of Cochranes Canal, under the instructions communicated to this Office in the letters noted in the Margin, died on the night of the 9th Instant, and that I appointed, on the 10th the Officer next to him on the Establishment of the Toll Office, to act in that capacity until further Orders.

2. Mr. Keppen having previously held the appointment of Master attendant at Palicat on Rupees 105 per Mensem, he continued to receive the same amount of salary on being transferred to the Toll office at the Basin of Cochranes' Canal, although

To Mr. C. R. Cotton
Member of the
Board of Revr.
25th March 37
From D. D. 27th D.

although the individual who filled the situation of Superintendent before him received only Rupees 70.-

3. Having personally visited the Toll Office on more occasions than one in 1843, I found that a responsibility was attached to common Peons, whose Pay is only Rupees 7 each, which I could not at all approve of, but from the Canal not having been finally transferred to Government by the Lessee, according to the proposition made by Mr. Arbuthnot & Co. in 1838, I did not feel authorized to recommend any immediate alteration in the Establishment. The recent arrangements, with the view of obtaining a surrender of the lease, and the death of Mr. Mesppen, however, afford such facilities for carrying out the object I have in view, that I feel no hesitation in now submitting my sentiments on the subject for the consideration of the Board.-

4.- Shells, in particular, and certain other articles are necessarily landed along the bank† of the Canal at the distance of upwards of 200 yards from the Toll Office, and

† Note The space within the distance of 200 yards from the Basin is filled with Goods liable to the payment of toll.-





and they are measured at the same place, for the levy of the Government dues, in the presence of one or two Peons. The billets of firewood, which the Importers land as near as possible to their respective Depots, are also counted out by Peons and the toll levied accordingly.- The Toll Office is, therefore, made to depend, in a great measure, for the realization of the revenue, on inferior servants, whose honesty is always questionable, from the Establishment not having the means of deputing other servants to do their duty, or at least one who can always act as a check upon the Peons.-

5. Under these circumstances, should the expense hitherto incurred on account of the Pay of the Superintendent be allowed to continue undiminished, I would beg leave to recommend that the salary of Mr. Mesppen's successor be fixed at Rupees 55 per Mensem, and that an Overseer, to act in concert with him, be appointed on Rupees 50, it being his special duty to see that the measurement of shells &c. takes place in his presence, or under his own

Rs. 105 per Mensem.

own immediate superintendence, and that the 4 Peons now attached to the Toll Office are employed on his personal responsibility, in order to ensure the delivery of as correct an account of the outturn as possible. It is desirable that the Overseer's pay should be liberal, so as to secure the services of some respectable person in whom sufficient confidence can be placed. I have, in consequence, proposed that his salary be nearly equal to that of the Superintendent, in consideration of the duties allotted to each, and trust that the arrangement will accordingly meet with the approval of the Board.

Madras 14th April 1846. (Signed) J. A. Hudleston

Resolution thereon. 86

(95-86)

Collector

Referring to Extract Minutes of Consultation 27th October 1845, Copy of which was forwarded to the Collector of Madras with the Board's resolution of 30th idem, Mr. Hudleston is requested to state whether some arrangement may not be made for the employment of Mr. J. A. Keeffe at Cochrane's Canal. The Collector is also requested to explain at what distance from the Toll Office firewood is usually landed and whether any other articles are landed at any, and if so at what distance from that Office. They observe that there is at present an Assistant on 24 Rs. per Mensem and it does not occur to them that the services of three persons can be required. They likewise think it improbable that the Government will sanction the continuance of a higher rate of allowance for the Superintendences of the Canal than was drawn by Mr. Keeffe's predecessor. Viz. Rs. 40

in Cons. 30th Oct.



To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Madras

87

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 26th February last and of its accompaniments, and to report, with reference to Para 2 that, having placed myself in communication with the Officer Commanding St. Thomas Mount, I deputed the Surveyor attached to this Department for the purpose of marking out the Cantonment limits by boundary Stones, at those places where natural land marks were wanting, before such Officers as he might wish to send to be present on the occasion.

2 But on the Surveyors arrival at the Mount, the Staff Officer informed him that it would be preferable to have 12 piers, with an appropriate inscription on a Granite Slab, erected on the line indicated in the Cantonment plan. Having forwarded a sketch of the proposed piers for the inspection of the Commanding Officer he stated in reply that he fully approved of it. I beg leave therefore to submit herewith a Copy of the sketch in question together with an estimate of the expence required for the erection of the piers, and request that the necessary sanction may be procured for the estimated outlay of 6 Rupees 126-

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Encls. A
" B

Madras 16th April 1846

A. Plan Estimate

6197 Fort St. George 30th April 1846

Proceedings thereon. 88

(87-88)

Dated 26th February 1846.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 13th February last

RECEIVED

The Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the outlay of 120 Rupees for erecting piers to mark the boundary of the Military Cantonment of St. Thomas Mount.

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collector of Madras — 31

(89)

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th Instant and to report that the document referred to in Mr. Elliots Certificate as having been mislaid is the original Purwannah granted to the late Ramsuff Khan and not his "descriptive Roll," for it was in consequence of the non production of the Purwannah in question that the transmission of the usual Memorandum Requesting sanction to disburse the arrears remaining undrawn by him was deferred since December 1843, although a report on his son's application for payment

Estimate of the Expense of erecting 12 Piers on Land Marks at Public Ground at St. Thomas Mount.

6196 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

| Description of Work | Measurement | | | | | Rate | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Length | Breadth | Depth | Cubic feet | | | |
| | ft. | ft. | ft. | | | Rupees | Rupees |
| Pier including foundation | 2 | 2 | 6 | 24 | 2 | 13 | 9 |
| Coping in lime | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | | |
| Cubic feet Brick Masonry | 2 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 8 | |
| Square Plastering 3 Coats in lime | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | | |
| Granite Slab cut and polished | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | |
| Excavating foundation | | | | 25 | | 10 | 3 |
| | | | | Total 92½ | | Total of each Pier Rupees | 10 |
| | | | | | | Total of 12 Piers Rupees | 120 |

Madras, 16th April 1846.

(Signed) J. A. Ruthleston
Collector

Ordered

payment was made to me so long ago as the 4th of the same month.

2 In further proof of the facts stated above it is only requisite to add that Mashruff Shaw in his Petition to me dated 20th Ultimo, which accompanied Mr. Elliot's Certificate explains that the "Certificate under which his father drew the allowance was left with that Gentleman for perusal," and that it has been mislaid

3 Munsuff Shaw died on the 19th January 1842.

Madras
18th April 1846

Signed J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Order thereon.—90

(89-90)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement which accompanied the letter from the Collector of Madras dated 27th March and entered in Consultation 16th April 1846 and submitted for the orders of Government

To

J. Prycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(91)

From the Coll.r of Madras & Regr of Gant Grants

91 Sir

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that Mr. Parry & Co have applied to me for a fresh lease of land

Cumnies

9th April 1846.

Read the following letter



Cumnies 32, at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries on behalf of Captain Alexander Wallace of the 2d E. L. I. in substitution of No. 18 granted to Captain F. C. Cotton of the Engineers under the authority of

From Bd. to Govt. 3d Feb.y 1844

Government dated 3d February 1844.

2 As the lease to Captain Cotton has been duly assigned over by him to Captain Wallace, they leave to Recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for my joining in lieu of it a separate Deed, by way of Consent and Ratification of the transfer in the form submitted to the Board on the 19th March 1832 at the same rates of Assessment and subject to the same conditions as those originally authorized by Government.

Madras
16th April 1846.

Signed J. A. Huddleston
Collector and Register
of Gant Grants.

Proceedings thereon.92

(91-92)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council

Under the circumstances stated the Board recommend that the Register of Government Grants may receive authority for joining the usual deed in Ratification of the transfer by Captain Cotton of his lease of land at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries

× Cumnies 32

Captain

Captain Alexander Wallace subject to the same conditions and the same rates of assessment as those originally authorized by Government.

93 Statements

Read the undermentioned

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

94 From the Sub Treasurer — Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the month of February last.

95 From the Superintendent of Stamps — Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the month of March last.

93-96 Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements — Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

97 Statements

Read the undermentioned

From the Collector of Nellore — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 11th April 1846.

98 From the Collector of Bellary — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th April 1846.

99 From the Collector of Salem — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th April 1846.



100 From the Principal Collector of Madura — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 11th to 20th April 1846.

101 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th April 1846.

102 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 21st to 31st March last.

103 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Statement of the Prices of the Principal kinds of Grain from 1st to 10th April last.

97-104 Order on the 7 abovementioned Statements — Ordered to be recorded

Read the undermentioned

105 From the Collector of Nellore — List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st March last.

106 From the Collector of Nellore — List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st

106a 31st March last.

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly 106 (a) List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st March last.

107

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly 107 List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st March last.

105-108

Ordered that the above mentioned Lists 108 be ordered to be lodged in the Office.—

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

109

To the Chief Secty to Gavernment 109

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying comparative Statements of Contingent Charges incurred in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments

A



Departments from 1st July to 30th September 1845 sanctioned by the Board under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843.

Fort St. George Gazettes of 1843 Page 608.

B

Accompanying is also submitted a Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Offices at the Presidency for the same period.—

Stamp Office
Sub Treasurer
Savings Bank
Civil Auditor
Sea Customs Department
Ditto Grain Weighing Department.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th April, 1846.

Signed—T. Sycroft
Secretary

Statement

Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

# A. Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the Districts under the Madras Presidency from 1st July to 30th September 1845 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 20th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

| | Actual Charges incurred from 12th July to 30th September 1844 | | | Actual Charges incurred from 1st July to 30th September 1845. | | | Comparison Increase | | | Comparison Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. |
| Ganjam | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Vizagapatam | 31 | 3 | 6 | 46 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Rajahmundry | 21 | 8 | 6 | 39 | 14 | " | 18 | 5 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Masulipatam | 16 | 5 | " | 32 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Guntoor | " | 4 | " | 16 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 1 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Chingleput | 12 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 6 | " | " | " | 10 | 3 | 9 |
| Salem | 30 | 3 | " | 39 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Madura | 10 | 13 | 8 | 29 | " | 6 | 18 | 2 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Nellore | 18 | 10 | 9 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 8 | " | 6 | " | " | " |
| Northern Division of Arcot | 2 | 1 | " | 8 | " | 3 | 5 | 15 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Southern Division of Arcot | 13 | " | 4 | 4 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 8 | 4 | " |
| Tanjore | " | 10 | " | 10 | 1 | " | 9 | 7 | 4 | " | " | " |
| Trichinopoly | " | 5 | " | 9 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 4 | " | " | " |
| Tinnevelly | " | " | " | 11 | " | 1 | 11 | " | 1 | " | " | " |
| Bellary | " | " | " | " | 2 | 8 | " | 2 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Cuddapah | 39 | " | 2 | 8 | 5 | 2 | " | " | " | 30 | 11 | 4 |
| Coimbatore | 18 | 10 | 10 | 28 | " | 9 | 9 | 5 | 11 | " | " | " |
| Canara | 8 | 6 | " | 7 | 11 | 4 | " | " | " | " | 11 | 4 |
| Malabar | 30 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 30 | 11 | 4 |
| Madras | 26 | " | 2 | 57 | 2 | 2 | 31 | 2 | " | " | " | " |
| Total | 320 | 9 | 10 | 377 | 6 | 2 | 177 | 5 | 1 | 120 | 8 | 9 |

120 8 9 Deduct Decrease

56 12 4 Net Increase.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

(signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Statement

# Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

**B.** Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the undermentioned Offices from 1st July to 30th September 1845 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government — dated 20th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette

| | Actual Charges incurred from 1st July to 30th September 1844 | | Actual Charges incurred from 1st July to 30th September 1845 | | Increase | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | As | Rs | As | Rs | As | Rs | As | |
| Stamps Office | 69 | 3 | 215 | 2 | 146 | 2 | 4 | | Articles obtained on |
| Sub Treasurer | 180 | 14 | 149 | 15 | | | 30 | 15 | Indent from the Com= |
| Savings Bank | 10 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 9 | | | 13 | =missariat and Ordnance |
| Civil Auditor | 18 | 11 | 9 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 3 | Departments are not |
| Sea Custom Department | 33 | 4 | 101 | 14 | 68 | 10 | | | entered in this statement |
| Gram Weighing Department | 59 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 2 | | 50 | their value not being known. |
| Total | 371 | 12 | 6 | 506 | 4 | 2 | 217 | 3 | 82 8 |

82 8 7 Deduct Decrease

134 7 8 Net Increase

Revenue Board Office

Fort St. George 30th April 1846

(Signed) J. Dyer Jr.
Secretary

To



110

110

To the Sheriff of Chingleput

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to Government to request you will

to submit for the information of Government the accompanying Statement exhibiting the number of Persons charged in each District in the Madras Territories under Regulation IX of 1822 and the number of evidence under Regulation IX of 1822 and the number in which the charges have been dismissed by the Courts of Justice, respectively for the 2nd Quarter of 1845 — the manner in which the charges have been disposed of by the Courts of Circuit and the Sudder Adawlut.

Statement A

Statement B

I have the honor to be Sir

Your most obedient Servant

(Signed) J. Dyer Jr.
Secretary

Fort St. George 30th April 1846

Abstracts

To the Board of Revenue —

Gentlemen

In obedience to the Order of the Board of Revenue dated 30th April 1846 I have the honor to transmit herewith Statements A & B shewing the number of Persons charged with Dacoity, Highway Robbery, Gang Robbery, Gang Theft, Gang Cattle Stealing, Gang Burglary, Gang Robbery by Night, Gang Robbery by Day, Gang Robbery by Night and Day, Gang Robbery by Night and Day and Gang Theft, Gang Theft by Night, Gang Theft by Day, Gang Theft by Night and Day, Gang Cattle Stealing by Night, Gang Cattle Stealing by Day, Gang Cattle Stealing by Night and Day, Gang Cattle Stealing

6207

# A Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons Tryed in each District under the Provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 Fort St. George 30th April 1846

Classes of Offences as defined by Section II of that Enactment.—

Committed, Acquitted and Forwarded to the Criminal Judge for trial during the 2d half of 1845 distinguishing the Classes of Persons and

| Classes | District | Offences Class — 1st Clause — 2nd: Charged | Committed | Acquitted | Forwarded to Criminal Judge | Class — 2d Clause — 2d: Charged | Committed | Acquitted | Forwarded to Criminal Judge | Compriesed in Class — 3d Clause — 2d: Charged | Committed | Acquitted | Forwarded to Criminal Judge | Class — 4th Clause — 2d: Charged | Committed | Acquitted | Forwarded to Criminal Judge | Class — 8th: Charged | Committed | Acquitted | Forwarded to Criminal Judge | Total: Charged | Committed | Acquitted | Forwarded to Criminal Judge | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st Class | Guntoor | 9 | " | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | 6 | 1 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 15 | 1 | 6 | " | Natrial took place in the following Districts |
| | Nellore | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | Ganjam |
| | Salem | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | Vizagapatam |
| | North Arcot | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | Masulipatam |
| | Tanjore | 2 | " | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | 2 | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 | 1 | 3 | " | South Arcot |
| | Madura | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | Malabar |
| | Canara | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2 | 1 | 1 | " | |
| | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | | 14 | 2 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | 8 | 2 | 4 | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 24 | 6 | 11 | " | |
| 2nd Class | Rajahmundry | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | |
| | Guntoor | 7 | " | " | " | 1 | " | 1 | " | 8 | " | 3 | " | 1 | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | 17 | " | 5 | " | |
| | Bellary | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2 | " | 2 | " | |
| | Cuddapah | " | " | " | " | 2 | " | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | Chingleput | " | " | " | " | 9 | 9 | " | " | 10 | 10 | " | " | 82 | 82 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 101 | 101 | " | " | |
| | Salem | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | 1 | |
| | North Arcot | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 3 | " | " | 17 | 17 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 20 | 20 | " | " | |
| | Tanjore | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 7 | 3 | 4 | " | 3 | 2 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | 10 | 5 | 5 | " | |
| | Trichinopoly | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 3 | " | " | |
| | Tinnevelly | " | " | " | " | 3 | 3 | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | 4 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 8 | 8 | " | " | |
| | Madura | " | " | " | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 6 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 7 | 6 | " | " | |
| | Coimbatore | 1 | 1 | " | " | 5 | 2 | 3 | " | 6 | 4 | 2 | " | 15 | 11 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 26 | 17 | 9 | " | |
| | Canara | 1 | " | 2 | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | 5 | 5 | " | " | 16 | 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 23 | 23 | " | " | |
| | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 | 1 | 2 | " | |
| | | 10 | 2 | 2 | " | 22 | 15 | 6 | " | 44 | 29 | 9 | " | 146 | 140 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | 222 | 186 | 23 | 1 | |
| 3d Class — — | None | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | None | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | Grand Total | 24 | 4 | 9 | " | 22 | 15 | 6 | " | 52 | 31 | 13 | " | 147 | 141 | 6 | " | 1 | 1 | " | " | 246 | 192 | 34 | 1 | |

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George — 30th April 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary

A Abstract

6209 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

(111) 111

To the Collector of South Arcot

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return to you the accompanying Statement of arrears of Cavelly Allowances which accompanied your letter of the 21st March last with the remarks of the Board and the orders of Government entered in the proper Columns.

In Cor. 16 April 1846

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George. — /Signed/ T. Pycroft
30th April 1846 — Secretary

Received the undermentioned

(112) Miscellaneous letters and Statements

From the Collector of Canara.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

112

(113) From the Collector of Guntoor.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

113

(114) From the Collector of Malabar. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

114

(115) From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

115

(116) From the Coll.r of Salem.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

116

(117) From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of March last.—

117

From

B.— Abstract Statement shewing the Number of persons charged with offences before the Collectors under the Provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and the Number of Appeals from their decision during those Affairs and those decided in Appeal during the 2nd half year 1845.

| For what Sorts of Cases tried | Number of Cases tried under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 | Number of Appeals from the Collectors decision | Number of their Decisions Confirmed in Appeal | Number of their Decisions reversed | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | |

Appealed to the 2nd Half year 1845 — 24 — 7

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 30th April 1846

6208

118 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

119 From the Collector of Canara.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

120 From the Collector of Guntoor.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

121 From the Collector of Malabar.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

122 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

123 From the Collector of Salem.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of March last.

124 From the Collector of Guntoor.— Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of March last.

125 From the Collector of Ganjam.— Informing that certain Returns for the Month of March last are not sent there being no entry to make.

126 From the Collector of Guntoor.— Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Gaol for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

From



127 From the Collector of Malabar.— Requesting that the Superintendent of Stationary may be authorized to supply 100 Blank forms of Bills of Lading for the use of this District

127-128 Order thereon.— Ordered that the abovementioned letter be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

129 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

130 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

131 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed from Employment for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

132 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Reporting that the Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Gaol for the Quarter ending 31st March last is not sent there being no entry to make.

133 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Statement of Spirituous Liquor recommended for confiscation under Section XIV Regulation I of 1820.—

Ordered

6212 Fort St. George 30th April 1846.

Order thereon.— Ordered that the Collector be requested to release the quantity of confiscated arrack reported in the abovementioned Statement as it is not liable to confiscation under Clause I Section XI Regulation I of 1820.

(133-134)

134

" G.D. Drummond

" N. Macleod

" Hyde Villiers

Compd
J.G. Boyle

BOR

No. 9 Dec 1844

C. C. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

From the Collector

of Coimbatore

(66)

Sir,

Para 1. I have the pleasing duty of laying before the Board the several Statements connected with the Land Revenue Settlement of this District for Fusly 1253 / A. D. 1843/44. I am much gratified in being able to Communicate to the Board that this years Settlement Exceeds that of the last by Rupees 27.869.12.3 and is in advance of the average Revenue of the Ten preceding years in the Sum of Rupees 186.666.5.1.

2. The Season upon the whole may be considered to have been favorable. We had copious Showers in April, and the Talooks of Palachey and Sheckrugherry received the South west Monsoon in June. The North East Monsoon, however was late and partial the freshes in the Rivers were below the average the later crops such as Cotton, grain &c were cultivated Successfully.

3. The garden Revenue appears to have undergone little or no change, and the Tobbacco produce is described to have been good.

Vara 4. The absence of the river freshes in July, August, and September would have been Severely felt, and have been productive of great loss under the head of "Kullah Pasha-num" had it not been for the increased cultivation of the Kumjah lands under tanks which received a full Supply of water and, counteracted the deficiency.

5. The above causes and a deficiency in the importation of grain from the neighbouring Provinces have Some what kept up the Price of grain beyond last year as Exhibited in the following Memorandume.

Description.

| Description of Grains | Average price per Garce in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 | | | Average price per Garce in Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 | | | Increase | | | Percentage | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Paddy | 72 | 2 | 4 | 73 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 10 |
| Cholum | 63 | 8 | 6 | 65 | 8 | 9 | 2 | " | 3 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| Raghee | 55 | " | 11 | 55 | 10 | 5 | " | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Cumboo | 53 | 12 | 10 | 57 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| Horse Grain | 82 | 6 | 8 | 93 | " | 4 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 10 |
| Rape Seeds | 227 | 4 | 3 | 237 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 5 |
| Lamboil Seeds | 88 | 9 | 10 | 104 | 13 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 5 | " |

| Months | Average price of Cumbu in Fusly 1252 | Average price of Cumbu in Fusly 1253 | Decrease | | | Percentage | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| October | 56 " | 53 " | 3 | " | " | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| November | 56 " | 53 " | 3 | " | " | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| December | 56 " | 53 " | 3 | " | " | 5 | 7 | 9 |

6. The cotton crops have been nearly the Same as regards production of late the past Fasly with very little fluctuation in the price, which can only be traced to the want of demand for that article at remunerating prices.

7. I now go on to Shew the increase and decrease under the Various heads of Settlement in the usual form, omitting, however the Several minor items which appear to me unnecessary, and which the Accounts Sufficiently Exhibit

8. The Subjoined Statement will Shew at once the Revenue of the Current year Contrasted with that of the last, And Also the increase in favor of the former by Rupees 27,869-12-3.

Items

for Garden Cultivation which was agreed
to in 1818. And as such Garden Appropriations
was merely lived. but since the promulgation
of the Stockroom Rent Sanctioned by the
Board on the 18th April 1841, the Lands have
been subjected to the payment of the full
Survey Appropriation without reference
to the description of crop which the
Ryot or Garden, provided that water
from the Channel is taken and Appro-
priated for purposes of irrigation since
then the Ryot Complaining thereof in-
ability to pay the crop Rent while
in fact they are Not able to raise
more than we have dequated
that so long as the Lands yields a Rough
Crop or other Rough product the
crop Rent should be levied

after the Striking Ruling is Considered
necessary.

| Original Survey No. | Name of Claimant | Area claimed | Area found by Survey | Area granted | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Ramchandra Vithoba Kulkarni | 1-11-4 | 1-11-4 | 1-11-4 | |
| 2 | Do | 11-4-2 | 11-4-2 | 11-4-2 | |
| 3 | Do | 7-6-6 | 7-6-6 | 7-6-6 | |
| 4 | Do | 6-2-8 | 6-2-8 | 6-2-8 | |
| 5 | Do | 2-4-6 | 2-4-6 | 2-4-6 | |
| 6 | Do | 11-2-4 | 11-2-4 | 11-2-4 | |
| 7 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 8 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 9 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 10 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 11 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 12 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 13 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 14 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 15 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 16 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 17 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 18 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 19 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 20 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 21 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 22 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 23 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 24 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 25 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 26 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 27 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 28 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 29 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 30 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 31 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 32 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 33 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 34 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 35 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 36 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 37 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 38 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 39 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 40 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 41 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 42 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 43 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 44 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 45 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 46 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 47 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 48 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 49 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 50 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 51 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 52 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 53 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 54 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 55 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 56 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 57 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 58 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 59 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 60 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 61 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 62 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 63 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 64 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 65 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 66 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 67 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 68 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 69 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 70 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 71 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 72 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 73 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 74 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 75 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 76 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 77 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 78 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 79 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 80 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 81 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 82 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 83 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 84 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 85 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 86 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 87 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 88 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 89 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 90 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 91 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 92 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 93 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 94 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 95 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 96 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 97 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 98 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 99 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 100 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 101 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 102 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 103 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 104 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 105 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 106 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 107 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 108 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 109 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 110 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 111 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 112 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 113 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 114 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 115 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 116 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 117 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 118 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 119 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 120 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 121 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 122 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 123 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 124 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 125 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 126 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 127 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 128 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 129 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 130 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 131 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 132 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 133 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 134 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 135 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 136 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 137 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 138 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 139 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 140 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 141 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 142 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 143 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 144 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 145 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 146 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 147 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 148 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 149 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 150 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 151 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 152 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 153 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 154 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 155 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 156 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 157 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 158 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 159 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 160 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 161 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 162 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 163 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 164 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 165 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 166 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 167 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 168 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 169 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 170 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 171 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 172 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 173 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 174 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 175 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 176 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 177 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 178 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 179 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 180 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 181 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 182 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 183 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 184 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 185 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 186 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 187 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 188 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 189 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 190 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 191 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 192 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 193 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 194 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 195 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 196 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 197 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 198 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 199 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 200 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 201 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 202 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 203 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 204 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 205 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 206 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 207 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 208 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 209 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 210 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 211 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 212 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 213 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 214 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 215 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 216 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 217 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 218 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 219 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 220 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 221 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 222 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 223 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 224 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 225 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 226 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 227 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 228 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 229 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 230 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 231 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 232 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 233 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 234 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 235 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 236 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 237 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 238 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 239 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 240 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 241 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 242 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 243 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 244 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 245 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 246 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 247 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 248 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 249 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 250 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 251 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 252 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 253 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 254 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 255 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 256 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 257 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 258 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 259 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 260 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 261 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 262 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 263 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 264 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 265 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 266 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 267 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 268 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 269 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 270 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 271 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 272 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 273 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 274 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 275 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 276 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 277 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 278 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 279 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 280 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 281 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 282 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 283 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 284 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 285 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 286 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 287 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 288 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 289 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 290 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 291 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 292 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 293 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 294 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 295 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 296 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 297 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 298 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 299 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 300 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 301 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 302 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 303 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 304 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 305 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 306 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 307 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 308 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 309 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 310 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 311 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 312 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 313 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 314 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 315 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 316 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 317 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 318 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 319 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 320 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 321 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 322 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 323 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 324 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 325 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 326 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 327 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 328 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 329 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 330 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 331 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 332 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 333 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 334 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 335 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 336 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 337 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 338 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 339 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 340 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 341 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 342 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 343 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 344 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 345 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 346 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 347 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 348 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 349 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 350 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 351 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 352 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 353 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 354 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 355 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 356 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 357 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 358 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 359 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 360 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 361 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 362 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 363 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 364 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 365 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 366 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 367 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 368 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 369 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 370 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 371 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 372 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 373 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 374 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 375 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 376 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 377 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 378 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 379 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 380 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 381 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 382 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 383 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 384 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 385 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 386 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 387 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 388 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 389 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 390 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 391 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 392 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 393 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 394 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 395 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 396 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 397 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 398 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 399 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 400 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 401 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 402 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 403 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 404 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 405 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 406 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 407 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 408 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 409 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 410 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 411 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 412 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 413 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 414 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 415 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 416 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 417 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 418 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 419 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 420 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 421 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 422 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 423 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 424 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 425 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 426 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 427 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 428 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 429 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 430 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 431 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 432 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 433 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 434 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 435 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 436 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 437 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 438 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 439 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 440 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 441 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 442 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 443 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 444 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 445 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 446 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 447 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 448 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 449 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 450 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 451 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 452 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 453 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 454 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 455 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 456 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 457 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 458 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 459 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 460 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 461 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 462 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 463 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 464 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 465 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 466 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 467 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | |
| 468 | Do | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 | 4-2-6 |

21. It would appear from Statement No 5 that a Sum of Rupees 110-1-1 has been brought to the Credit of Government from the Common lands allotted for the use of the Village Siladangi in Explanation of which it would also seem that these lands were in some instances improved by conversion from Pungah into Garden and Kumpah, and consequently an enhanced Rate of Assessment was fixed on them in doing which Such Extent as Equalled the Emoluments of the Village officers was Continued in case in which Such Arrangement Seemed impracticable, other lands were granted, and the former Lands resumed. Mr Jenkins Memorandum will Explain the nature and Extent of the increase above adverted to.

22.

On Para 5 of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board on the Settlement of July 1851 / A. D. 1841/42 they Remark that the orders of Government in Regard to the Exchange of Enam lands refer to the "practice of allowing Enamdars to Exchange indifferent land always Constituting part and parcel of their Mauzum for a Superior description lying unoccupied Elsewhere" and also proceed to say "that a translation of the description accompanied by any plea of Necessity is plainly Calculated to "open a door to abuse" In accordance with the opinion of the Board, they practice of Exchanging Enam lands was interdicted, but the Complaints from the Village officers have been numerous. Many of the Enam lands have become unproductive, and all attempts to render them profitable are Stated to have been unsuccessfully. For these Cases, they are obliged to perform their duties without any remuneration whatever. The annexed Statement No 19 has been prepared in my office detailing the nature and Extent of the Enam lands proposed to be Exchanged of which the Margins Statement is an Abstract. I Request the Sanction of the Board to permit these Changes Amounting in the Aggregate to Rupees 244-4-6 which

After

after the Strictest Scrutiny is considered
necessary.

| Original Assessment | Amount of Balance | Total Extent of Land | Amount of Land Assessed | Amount of Land Abandoned | Amount of Land Remaining | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st Class | 14-11-4 | 249-3-0 | 4-6 | | 4-6 | |
| 2d Class | 11-4-2 | 54-0-0 | 133-4-0 | | 133-4-0 | |
| 3d Class | 7-5-6 | 99-5-0 | 49-0-6 | | 49-0-6 | |
| 4th Class | 5-8-1 | | 61-9-6 | | 61-9-6 | |
| | | 403-2-0 | 244-6 | | 244-6 | |
| | | | 11 | | 11 | |
| | | | 11 | 1 | | |
| | | | 246-4 | | 246-4 | |
| | | | 11-2-1 | | 11-2-1 | |
| | | | 21-5-3 | | 21-5-3 | |
| | | | 49-0-0 | | 49-0-0 | |
| | | | 62-5-0 | | 62-5-0 | |

2d As connected with the Rungah lands in the Village of Mandapoor in the Cheyoor Talook, Reg to which the consideration of the Board the following point

Were lands later assessed at the time of the Survey at the several rates exhibited in the margin which were to be paid before they were cultivated with one or two crops but the Ryots complained that these rates were very heavy with reference to the reduced power of the said, and requested that they should be permitted to appropriate the said lands

at Rupees 2318-2-8 and bearing a Settlement of Rupees 580-5-1. have been adjusted under the heads of full Rent Cooley & and the lands on which the Ryots were unable to pay more than the Rap tax, was struck off the accounts but in order to Counteract the loss arising thereby, Some of the Ryots were persuaded to take up Arable land in lieu of the Grazing ground, which others reclaimed Rap lands for the current year only on a Paracapiddy Rey & Grass hence the Reduction effected by such an Arrangement under the head of Pasture lands Amounts to 6,000 Rupees as exhibited in the subjoined Memorandum.

Pudicoe

after the Strictest Scrutiny is considered necessary.

23 As connected with the Rungah lands in the Village of Mandupoor in the Cheyoor Talook, Ding to which prior to consideration of the Board the following point

| Designation of Office | Original Cultivation | Suspended Cultivation | Total Cultivated & Waste | Arrack Lands in process of Cultivation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Police | 249 3 10 | 135 21 11 | 133 4 0 | 4 6 |
| Do | 54 0 0 | 49 5 4 | 49 4 0 | |
| Do | 99 5 0 | 62 3 5 | 61 0 6 | |
| Total | 403 2 4 | 246 11 11 | 244 4 6 | |

| Amount of Casuarina Leaves. | |
|---|---|
| 1st Class | 14-11-4 |
| 2d Do | 11-0-2 |
| 3d Do | 7-5-6 |
| 4th Do | 5-3-1 |

were here to be paid whether they were cultivated with rice or not the Ryots complained that these Lands were very heavy with reference to the Reduced power of the Said And requested that they should be permitted to appropriate the said lands

made, nor Confusion in the accounts. I trust the arrangement will be approved Sandal being of no value but for its Sandalwood. It is at the foot of the Hills, and is a wretched Sickly Spot.

11. The garden lands paying full rent Exhibit a net increase of Rupees 8023-15-11 produced by the same causes which have influenced the Cultivation of the Rungah lands.

12. Under the head of Wet lands liable to the payment of full rent is a net decrease of Rupees 13,561-0-5, this has been occasioned from the failure of freshes in the Rivers as explained in para 4 of this Report.

13. With reference to the Wet lands Annuallé and Colour in which a decrease of Rupees 16284-6-1 has occurred, I can only refer the Board to my letter noted in the Margin. These lands for the present are Charged at the same rates at which they stood in Fusly 1254, and in order to guard the interest of Government, A.D. 1844/45 I have temporarily induced the Ryots to retain occupation of them in Fusly 1254 A.D. 1844/45 also on the same terms. The Experience of every year tends to support the necessity of such abatement and assured Demand upon these peculiar

peculiarly situated lands.

14. The Cowle lands of every description show an increase of Rupees 6,126-11-11 the cause of this among many other Sundry items may be traced Chiefly to the transfer of Penjah lands alluded to in the preceding para to the head of Cowle instead of full Jeub under which head they were adjusted in the preceding year.

15. The lands entered as Bagayet Guru Penjah. " that is garden lands appropriated for Penjah Cultivation, shews a net decrease of Rupees 75-15-6 which is too inconsiderable to need Explanation

16. On the Penjah Guru Penjah" or Cowle lands held on Penjah tenure, from Causes Explained in the preceding para, a net decrease of Rupees 41-11-5 is discernible which, in Consequence of its trivial nature, Seems also to require no particular Comment

17. It would appear from Statement No 14 that a Remission of Rupees 206-9-1 has been granted this year for Shamelat drought on the Penjah lands in the Villages noted in the Margin this exceeds the remission of last year by Rupees 49-6-11 as shewn in the Subjoined abstract Statement.

| Shews | Jamabundy 1252 / 1842/43 | | | | | | Jamabundy 1253 / 1843/44 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Assessment | | | Settlement | | | Assessment | | | Settlement | | |
| Lands paying full Jeub | 465,980 | 8 | 5 | 465980 | 8 | 5 | 452,419 | 8 | 11 | 452,419 | 8 | 11 |
| Do on which Remission was allowed. | 189 | 6 | 3 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 227 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 8 | 7 |
| Total | 466,169 | 14 | 8 | 466,012 | 12 | 6 | 452,646 | 9 | 8 | 452,440 | 11 | 4 |
| Remission | " | " | " | 157 | 2 | 2 | " | " | " | 206 | 9 | 1 |
| Increase above the Remission of last year | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 49 | 6 | 11 |

18. Under the head of Pasture lands of every description there is a decrease of Rupees 6,036-1- arising from the transfer of lands to the heads of full Jeub, and Bagayet Guru Penjah &c.

19. Adverting to para 18 of Extract from the Proceedings of the board dated 2d May 1842 I have the Honor to forward Statement No 18 Shewing the manner in which all Gap lands in Excess of one fifth of the entire Farm of the Ryots here carried to account — It will be observed that 580 3/16 Bullahs appeared

at Rupees 2318-4-8 and bearing a Settlement of Rupees 580-5-1. have been adjusted under the heads of full Rent Cowle & and the Lands for which the Ryots were unable to pay more than two Crop tax, was struck off the account but in order to Counteract the loss arising thereby, Some of the Ryots were persuaded to take up Arable land in lieu of the grazing ground, which altho' reclaimed grop Lands for the Current year only on a Paravaspidavary Grass tenure the reduction effected by such an Arrangement under the head of Pasture Lands amounts to 6,000 Rupees as Exhibited in the Subjoined Memorandum.

Trichinopoly

9 Dec 1844, Back No 66.

| Items | | Settlement of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 | | | | Settlement of Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 | | | | Increase | | | | Decrease | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Bullahs & Cowries | | Amount of Settlement | | Bullahs & Cowries | | Amount of Settlement | | Bullahs & Cowries | | Amount of Settlement | | Bullahs & Cowries | | Amount of Settlement | |
| Fusly Sals | Punjah | 291968 | 3¼ | 101118 | 10 11 | 297595 | 14¼ | 1017624 | 7 8 | 5627 | 11 | 16575 | 12 9 | " | " | " | " " |
| | Garden | 32956 | 5¼ | 467944 | 10 8 | 33526 | 6½ | 475968 | 10 7 | 571 | 1¼ | 8023 | 15 11 | " | " | " | " " |
| | Nunjah | 45582 | 12½ | 465980 | 8 5 | 43513 | 14¼ | 452419 | 8 " | " | " | " | " " | 2068 | 14½ | 13561 | " 5 |
| | Total | | | 1935043 | 14 " | | | 1946012 | 10 3 | | | 10968 | 12 3 | | | | |
| Circars | Punjah | 7650 | 3¼ | 15146 | 7 9 | 4787 | ½ | 10124 | 12 5 | " | " | " | " " | 2862 | 3 | 5021 | 11 4 |
| | Garden | 352 | 4¾ | 2304 | 5 6 | 302 | 1 | 1944 | 7 9 | " | " | " | " " | 53 | 3¾ | 359 | 13 9 |
| | Punjah | 1300 | 3¾ | 7244 | 2 2 | 3399 | 8¾ | 18812 | 7 4 | 2099 | 5 | 11568 | 5 " | " | " | " | " " |
| | Total | | | 24694 | 15 7 | | | 30881 | 11 6 | | | 6186 | 11 11 | " | " | " | " " |
| Bagayet Inam Punjah | | 4665 | 9 | 26953 | 9 4 | 4749 | 7½ | 26877 | 9 10 | 83 | 12½ | " | " " | " | " | 75 | 15 6 |
| Nunjah Inam Punjah | | 1888 | 12½ | 4789 | 2 2 | 1921 | 15¾ | 4830 | 15 9 | 33 | 14 | 41 | 11 5 | " | " | " | " " |
| Permission for failure of Crops | | 21 | 11½ | 32 | 4 1 | 22 | ½ | 20 | 8 7 | " | 11 | " | " " | " | " | 11 | 11 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5774 | 9¾ | 4840 | 15 4 |
| Crop | Punjah | 60722 | 2½ | 47262 | 8 4 | 54947 | 9¾ | 42421 | 14 " | " | " | " | " " | 314 | 9 | 1159 | 6 3 |
| | Garden | 1593 | 9 | 5742 | 15 7 | 1279 | " | 4583 | 9 4 | " | " | " | " " | 16 | 14½ | 36 | " 5 |
| | Nunjah | 16 | 14½ | 36 | " 5 | " | " | " | " " | " | " | " | " " | | | | |
| | Total | | | 53021 | 8 4 | | | 47005 | 7 4 | | | | | | | 6036 | 1 " |
| Sales | Punjah | 360840 | 9 | 1166527 | 11 " | 357320 | 7½ | 1070141 | 2 1 | " | " | 1643 | 7 1 | 3010 | 12½ | " | " " |
| | Garden | 39586 | 12 | 572945 | 9 1 | 39856 | 15 | 529374 | 5 6 | 290 | 3 | 6428 | 12 5 | " | " | " | " " |
| | Nunjah | 48810 | 8¾ | 478082 | 3 7 | 48857 | 13¼ | 476083 | 7 8 | 47 | 4½ | " | " " | " | " | 1998 | 11 11 |
| | Total | | | 2044555 | 7 8 | | | 2053628 | 15 3 | | | 11073 | 7 7 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3925 | 1 0 |
| Deduct Amount included in the demand of Malabs | | | | 3925 | 1 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pay of Village Sibbendy | | | | 11 | 12 " | | | 11 | 12 " | | | | | | | 125 | 11 4 |
| 1/5th and 1/8th Remission | | | | 2575 | 10 4 | | | 2449 | 15 3 | | | | | | | 4050 | 13 2 |
| Total | | | | 6512 | 8 2 | | | 2461 | 11 " | | | | | | | | |
| Remainder | | | | 2038042 | 15 6 | | | 2053167 | 4 3 | | | 13124 | 4 9 | | | | |
| Add fixed Sowrawdayem | | | | 94440 | 10 3 | | | 107186 | 1 9 | | | 12745 | 7 6 | | | | |
| Total | | | | 2132483 | 9 9 | | | 2160353 | 6 " | | | 27869 | 12 3 | | | | |
| Poligars Peishcush | | | | 28019 | 9 10 | | | 28019 | 9 10 | | | | | | | | |
| G. J. and Total | | | | | | 2160353 - 3 - 7. | | | | 2188372-16-10 | | | | 27869. 12 -3 | | | |

9 Dec 1899 BC No 66

9. The entry of Punjah lands on which full Rent is leviable would show net increase of Rupees 16,505-12-9 arising Chiefly from increased cultivation of waste, Peramboke and unsurveyed lands, a Circumstance of yearly occurrence

10. The Villages noted in the Margin having been transferred to the Talooks of Calacamond and Coimbatoor respectively, I beg to remark that Paringanand has always formed a portion of Dwaicken-cottah Since the annexation of Calacamond to Malabar in consequence, however of its Situation the Superintendence of it was a matter of So much difficulty not only to the local authorities, but also to the Sill Residents, as a measure, of Expediency and also to facilitate matters, I determined upon its re-annexation to Calacamond and the same carried into effect accordingly in July 1863 from which time to the present, the Change has proved beneficial and added to the Convenience of the Ryots. For the Same Cause also, the Village of Soondapatty which is Situated nearer to Coimbatoor and more easy of Approach to the Cusbah has been annexed to that Talook no Complaints or opposition having been made

| Taluks | Bullahs | | Assessment | | | Settlement | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1252 | 62,315 | 11½ | 2,12,146 | 2 | 5 | 53,055 | 7 | 11 |
| 1253 | 56,226 | 8¾ | 1,88,022 | 2 | 4 | 47,055 | 7 | 4 |
| Net Decrease | 6,089 | 2¾ | 24,123 | 14 | 1 | 600 | 0 | 7 |

20. The Board will find that Lands of every description have been transferred to Peramboke to the Amount of Rupees 348-7-4. These transfers are as a matter of Necessity, made in every year to meet the Convenience of the Ryots, and for other Causes which are shewn below.

| Lands appropriated for the Site of | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Villages | 68 | 11 | 5 |
| " " for the Stand of Cattle | 23 | 3 | 6 |
| " " for burying Ground | 1 | 15 | 5 |
| " Swept away by floods | 230 | 2 | 6 |
| " Appropriated for the Excavation of Channels | 4 | 8 | 1 |
| " for roads | 12 | 6 | 2 |
| " " for the Construction of Tanks | 3 | 14 | 5 |
| " Rendered unfit for Cultivation from the palmira Trees thereon having become productive which were taxed as usual | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| | 348 | 7 | 4 |