

18-4-55

2002

16901 Fort St. George — December 1815.

Diary.

8th December. Sent the following letter.

To
The Collector of
Salem.

1

To the Colle. of Salem. }

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th June 1814, requesting to be informed whether Government have authorized C. Rungumiccoloo Chetty to address the Public authorities, and in reply to acquaint you that they are not aware of the Government having granted him authority to the effect.

In Cont. 27 Nov. 1815.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 8th December 1815. } Signed J. Paycroft.
Secretary

8th December. Sent the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Military Board.

2

To the Secretary to the Military Board.

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 18th October last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying copy of a letter from the Superintendent of Stamps shewing the value of the paper made over by him to the Stationery

× 17 in Cont. 20 Nov. 1815.

16902 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Stationary Department.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
8th December, 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 11th Dec. 1845 — G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member.
A. Maclean Esqre. Jr. Second Member.
and
J. Goldingham Esqre. Jr. Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract.

3

From the Chief Secretary to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d December 1845.

Read the following letter:

Requesting that His Highness the Nabob will issue instructions to the Jaghiredar of Ismail-Coopum and Shayanpoorum to restore to the Cutcherry the accounts of these Villages of which he is stated to have taken possession & to offer no obstruction in future to the regular preparations

From the Secretary Board of Revenue submitting with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 25th September last a letter from Acting Collector of North Arcot explaining the Cause of his interference with the affairs of the Jaghire Villages of Ismail Coopum and Shayanis-poorum and stating that the proceedings of the Acting Collector do not appear to the Board to

(Here enter 20th November 1845 No 706)

Save

16903 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

preparations of certain returns required by the Acting Collector of North Arcot

have been in any degree of the nature of an unnecessary interference in the affairs of the Villages in question:

Ordered in reference to his communication of the 10th September last No 34, that the foregoing letter and enclosure be communicated to the Agent at Chepauk for the information of His Highness the Nevaub with a request that His Highness will issue instructions to the Jaghiredar of Ismaëlcoopum and Shayanpoorum to restore to the Cutcherry the accounts of these Villages; of which he is stated to have taken possession, and to offer no obstruction in future to the regular preparation of the returns required by the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

By Order
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

3-4

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it relates, be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 25th October 1845.

Extracti

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

From the Chief Secy.t Govt.y - Informing Mr. Elliot that he must be prepared to resume his duties of Commissioner in Guntoor at the end of a few weeks.

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th December 1845.

Read the following Papers.

From Walter Elliot Esqre.

3d Member of the Bd of Revenue

Stating that he has brought with him the whole of the accounts of the Settlement of the divisions of the Vellaseddy Estate and that he purposes to furnish the Board with copy of his Report on the subject and that he shall then be prepared to afford them any further explanation they may require - Explaining the difference of his position as Commissioner in Guntoor in comparison with the duties of Messrs Dent and Drury in the Districts to which they were severally deputed:

Here enter 19th Nov.r 1845 No 1553

x x x

Para 1. To avoid future reference or misunderstanding the Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves again to inform Mr. Elliot that the Government do not consider the duties of the Commission to have been fulfilled until

until a sound system of Survey and Assessment approved by the Government, has been introduced and carried into effect in Guntoor.

The great importance of placing this District in a healthy condition, after all the evils it has suffered, is imperative on the Government under the orders of the Honorable Court, and his Lordship in Council resolves to inform Mr. Elliot, in order that no delay may take place, that he must be prepared to resume his duties in the Guntoor Province, at the end of a few weeks.

2.

His Lordship in Council observes that owing to his misapprehension of the instructions of Government, Mr. Elliot has not understood that it was in his Capacity as Commissioner / the duties of which had not terminated / he was by the recent orders of Government directed to take charge also temporarily of the Collectorate of Guntoor in order that the current duties of the District might be efficiently carried on upon the emergency of Mr. White's absence on sick Certificate, Mr. Newill, the Head Assistant, being the only Officers in the District and the Head Shristadar at the same time

time suspended.

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas

Chief Secretary.

5-6

Order thereon:- Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Extracts.

From the Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th November 1845.

7

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue:-

/Here enter 30th October 1845 No. 669/

Under the representation contained in the foregoing letter and correspondence accompanying of the present circumstances of Ashabee, the Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves to recommend for the favorable consideration of the Government of India the continuance of a life pension of 3 Rupees per mensem from the lapsed Yemmiah enjoyed by her late sister Sydannee Beebee in arrear from the date of the latters death.-

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ C. P. Thompson

Secretary to Govt.

7-8

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin.-

Extract

From Collr. 19th July in Ft. St. 11th August 1845 No. 11 Para 11 Dy.
To Collr. 13th in Ft. St. 30th October 11 Dy.

From the Secy. to Government

Requesting the Board to obtain a detailed explanation of the several items comprised with arrears outstanding in the Madras Collectorate from Fusly 1245 to 1252 on account of Quit rent & Ground rent & to submit a distinct opinion as to the necessity or otherwise of striking them off the Books & also to ascertain & report whether the lands on which the Balances are due are considered to be saleable.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th November 1845.-

9

Read the following Papers.-

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

/Here enter 22d Septr. 1845. No. 584/

Para 1. In the proceedings recorded above the arrears of public revenue outstanding in the Madras Collectorate from Fusly 1245 to 1252 on account of Quit rent and Ground rent, are reported to amount to Rupees 8183-13-3 which the Board of Revenue in para 7 recommend for remission.-

2. Before acceeding to this request the Most Noble the Governor in Council desires the Board will obtain detailed explanation of the several items which are stated to be irrecoverable and submit a distinct opinion with the grounds thereof, as to the necessity or otherwise of striking them off the Books.-

3. Referring also to para 6 of their Proceedings His Lordship in Council requests the Board will explain the cause of these balances having been allowed to run on for a series of years instead of being adjusted at the proper period.-

4. The Board will further ascertain

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

ascertain and report whether the lands on which the balances are due are considered to be saleable and in that case, whether the proper course would not be to expose them for sale on account of the outstanding Government demand.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To
The Collector of
Madras.

Sir.

Government having called for further explanation relative to the irrecoverable arrears of Public Revenue on account of Quit and Ground rent due from fusly 1245 to 1252 in the Madras Collectorate as reported in para 8 of your letter under date the 21st of August last and for the remission of which application was made in the Proceedings of the Board thereon dated 22d of September last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council a detailed Explanation of the several items represented to be irrecoverable - stating your own opinion with the grounds

9. 10

To the Collr of Madras

Requesting him to furnish a detailed explanation of the several items of arrear of Public revenue on account of Quit and ground rent due from Fs 1245 to 1252 represented to be irrecoverable & to state his opinion with the grounds thereof as to the necessity or otherwise of striking them out of the accounts also requesting him to submit any

Sd. 18th Sept.

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

any observations which may occur to him on the Question of the right of Govt. to enforce the payment of Quit rent by the sale of the lands on which the balances are due.

grounds thereof as to the necessity or otherwise of striking them out of the accounts.-

You will also be so good as to explain the grounds of the non adjustment of these items at the proper period and what were the circumstances which induced their continuance on the books of your office for a series of years. You will at the same time report for the information of Government whether the lands on which the balances are due are saleable or otherwise, and if so, whether the proper course would not be to expose them to sale on account of the outstanding demand.-

The question of the right of Government to enforce the payment of Quit rent by the sale of the land has been mooted at intervals for a series of years past and legal opinions would appear to have been taken from the law Officers of Government but no definite decision on the subject seems ever to have been arrived at from the difficulties and obstacles arising out of peculiar local circumstances - Government however having in a recent Extract Minutes of

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

of Consultation called for a report whether the lands on which the balances of Quit rent now due are saleable or otherwise: the Board will be happy to receive from you in your reply any observations upon the whole question which it may occur to you to offer on a review of all the correspondence in your office which has passed upon the subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
11th December, 1845.

Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

11

From the Secy. to Government

Resolving to inform Mr. Smollett that the exigencies of the Public Service require him to remain in charge of the District of Ganjam and requesting the Board to submit as early as practicable their report on the Ag. Collectors letter dated 12th august last respecting the Palaukky Vezu Estates in that District.

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2d December 1845.

Read the following papers

From Mr. P. B. Smollett
Ag. Coll. of Ganjam.

Submits a copy of his letter to the Board of Revenue dated 12th August regarding Palaukky Vezu Estates for the orders of Government and requests that he may be relieved from the duties of Acting Collector and Agent in Ganjam.

Here enter 20th Sept. 1845. No. 80

× × —— *

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

in Council resolves to inform Mr. Smollett in reference to his letter of the 20th September 1845. No. 80, that the exigencies of the Public Service require him to remain in charge of the District of Ganjam, and that whilst the Government see no ground to question his zeal in the administration of the affairs of the District, the provisions of the Regulations which have invested the Board of Revenue with authority to superintend and control all persons employed in the executive administration of the Public Revenue must be properly respected, and the supervision of the Board exercised and enforced over all districts alike.

His Lordship in Council

2.

requests the Board of Revenue to submit as early as practicable their report on the letter of the Acting Collector of Ganjam to their address, dated 12th August 1845, respecting the Palaukky Vezu Estates in that District, and to state at the same time the ground on which they declined to forward Copy of the same for the consideration of Government as requested by Mr. Smollett.

His Lordship in Council

3.

observes

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

observes that Regulation X. of 1831 prohibits the sale of Estates belonging to Minors not under the charge of the Court of Wards, and conse=quently that before the sale of the Pachaikky Estates for arrears of Revenue, "part of which have accrued during his minority" can be confirmed the enquiry previously ordered by Government in Minute of Consultation dated 19th July 1845, in respect to the alleged minority of M. Pancha should be instituted. It is there=fore essential that the fact of the minority or otherwise should be placed beyond question.

I. Sec: II

Para 8 of Board's letter 16th June 1845.

Para 10- The sales were made for the Balances accruing up to the close of the year on which the late owner died only, and no more, and they were not objected to by the owner or his servants at the time on account of his alleged under-age although they were present, neither was the defalcation caused by the young Zemindar from July 1844. when he was registerial proprietor up to December 1844 when the Estates were sold, included in the arrears for which the Lands were exposed to sale I submit then that the sale was perfectly legal under any circumstances.

His Lordship in Council would further desire that the apparent in=congruity in the statement of the Acting Agent in his letter of the 12th August 1845. as compared with that of the Board quoted in the margin may be explained.

Paras 8. Boards letter dated 16th June 1845. "part of which have accrued during his minority."

12th Augt 1845 p. 12

Adverting to the Statement of Mr. Smollett that it is an undoubted fact

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

fact that Rupees 14.000 have been forestalled to the loss of Government in the Polaiky Estate, "His Lordship in Council requests the Board will state what control is exer=cised by the local authorites to secure the just demands of Government when they become due, and what security there is that their interests in similar instances are not sacrificed in the manner described in the above para and also in the 9th and 16th paras of the Acting Agents letter.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson.-
Sec'y to Government.

11. 12

Ordered to be recorded.-

Order thereon.

Vide Letter to Company 13 5th Dec.

From the Sec'y to Government-

Authorizing the annual allowance of 14 Rupees assigned to the Sree Anja=nayasawmy Pago=da at Chicacole to be continued to Cosoorco Vasacharloo- sanctioning the sum of Rs2-6-- disbursed to Punjab Cash in Fusly 1252 and the continuance of the grant of Rs 1-8-- per an=num for his life also sanctioning the actual disburse=ments in Fusly 1252 on acct of Pagoda and Mosque allow=ances amounting to

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 6th December 1845.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 24th November 1845.-
No. 716.

Para 1

Under the explanation furnished in para 1 of the Acting Collector's letter, the Most Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the annual allowances of Fourteen (14) Rupees assigned to the Sree Anjanayasawmy Pagoda at Chicacole, to be continued to Cosoorco Vasacharloo.-

Hes

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

to Rupees 3846-3-1-

1251—14—0
1252—1—8—0
Pd 2—6—0—0

2.— His Lordship in Council also sanctions the sum of Rupees /2—6—0/ Two and annas six disbursed to Punjah Cush in Fusly 1252— and the continuance of the grant of Rupee one, and annas Eight, per annum for his life.—

3.— Sanction is also granted for the actual disbursement in Fusly 1252 on account of Pagoda and Mosque allowances amounting as per item retrenched from the accounts of the Ganjam District to

No 38 Pd 2240—15—5
" 39 " 1605—3—8
Pd 3846—3—1

Rupees /3,846—3—1/ Three thousand, Eight hundred and forty six, annas three, and pice one.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.

13-14

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Ganjam, with reference to Mr. Smolletts letter dated 28th October 1845.

in Cons 20th Nov 1845.
From the Secy to Govt
15

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th December 1845.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 17th November 1845 No 689)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council confirms the purchase on account of Government

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Government of the Mootah of Syacolattoor in the District of Chingleput for the sum of Rupees /5,100/ Five thousand, one hundred &c and grants authority for paying to the late proprietor the balance of purchase money remaining in his favor after deducting the arrears of public revenue amounting to &c

Purchase Money Rs 5100—0—0
Arrears 2151—4—7
Difference 2948—14—5

Rupees /2,948—14—5/ Two thousand nine hundred and forty eight, annas fourteen, and pice five.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.

15-16

Resolution thereon.—

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 20th October last.

In cons 13th Nov 1845.

The Board further confirm the sale of the Mootah of Muppaidoo to Baram Narsinga Row, and authorize payment to the late Proprietor of the balance of purchase money remaining in his favor after deducting the arrears against him amounting to

Purchase money 4,225—0—0
Arrears 2,395—2—8
Difference 1,829—13—4

Rupees 2,395—2—8.—

Read.

Fort St. George 11th. December 1845.

Read the following Memorandum.

17

Memorandum.

From the Secy to Government.

The Revenue Secretary requests the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will inform him whether the whole of the Peishcush of the Monegal Zemindary has been paid up for Fusly 1254 and likewise the instalment of Rupees 2,000 in liquidation of the arrears.

Revenue Department
10th December 1845.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government

Ordered that the following Memorandum be submitted in reply.

17-18

Memorandum.

To the Secy to Government.

With reference to the Memorandum from the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department of yesterday's date, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, has the honor to state that the settlement Report of the Monegal Zemindary in Masulipatam for Fusly 1254 / AD 1844/45 has not been received, but it appears from the

† Sept. 1845.

† Statement of Demand Collection and Balance that the whole of the Peishcush of the Zemindary for Fusly 1254 / AD 1844/45 has been discharged. The instalment of 2,000 Rupees does

Fort St. George 11th. December 1845.

does not appear to have been paid.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
11th December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
2nd December 1845.

(From Board 6th November)

19

From the Secretary to Govt.

Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter the Most Noble the Governor in Council has no hesitation in sanctioning the resumption and incorporation with the Government lands of the Agraharum Villages of Teeroomallasamoodrum and Comalapooram in the Salem District.

The Board of Revenue will be pleased to state whether in the event of the ordinary measures for the recovery of the balance due by the defaulting agraharamdars not being effectual they would recommend their prosecution for the same in the local Courts.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Govt.

Resolution

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Resolution thereon.

19-20

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates, be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 18th August last.

Mr. Lockhart will be pleased to report upon the balances now due by the Agraharumdars of Teroomulasamoodrum and Camalapoarum and to state what portion of them may be considered to be recoverable and whether in failure of ordinary measures for their realization he would recommend a prosecution for the same in the local Courts

Read.

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Read the following letters.

To J. Tycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

21

From the Collector of Rajahmundry, Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 9th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the sale of Bommoor is postponed by injunction of the Civil Court dated 11th Instant, Copy of which is herewith submitted. The further proceedings of the Court shall be duly notified to the Board.

No. 1.

Rajahmundry District
Samulcottah
17th November 1845.

(Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector

No. 1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Rajahmundry.

Dated 11th November 1845.

Read again Petition No. 509 of 1845 presented by Basavaroj Ramachendraroj and Cavooloory Caumappiah as recorded in the Courts Proceedings dated 1st November 1845.

It is resolved that the Sub Judge be requested to cause all Proceedings in the Case of Petitioners to be stayed pending review.

Ordered that an Extract from

from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Sub Judge of Rajahmundry by Precept, requiring conformity thereto and returnable within 4 Days from and after its receipt.-

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. A. Anstruther
Civil Judge.

In conformity to the above Proceedings the Subordinate Judge requests the Collector to stay the sale of the Bomoor Estate.-

Rajahmundry Sub Court
12th November 1845.

(Signed) J. Rohde
Subordinate Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

21 · 22

Resolution thereon.- The Board will await the receipt of the further Report promised by the Collector in the foregoing letter.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

23

From the Collector of Rajahmundry, Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 9th Ultimo, conveying the sanction of Government for the grant of a Pension of Rupees thirty five (35) per mensem to the widow of Gajavilly Narsingarow late Head Shanstadar of this District, I have the honor to transmit a descriptive Roll of Seetamah the widow, and to report that her husband's death occured on the 28th April 1845.

Rajahmundry District,
Samulcottah
21st November 1845.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Descriptive

Descriptive Roll of the widow of Gajavelly Narsingarow late Head Sheristadar of Rajahmundry died on 28th April 1843.

Name	Age Years	Age Months	Height Feet	Height Inches	Description
Gajavelly Seetamah — widow —	17	..	5	3	Bamboo complexion, long face has a spot near the corner of the right eye and a small black mole on the right side of the face near the ear.

Rajahmundry District
Samulcottah —
21st November 1845.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

23 · 24

To the Chief Secretary to Government — Sir,

In Consg October 1845.

With reference to the 2d Para of the order of Government dated 8th October 1845 No. 1180. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry transmitting a descriptive Roll of Seetamah widow of Gajavilly Narsingarow late Head Seristadar of that District.-

21st Nov. 2 in Cons 11th Decr 1845.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George —
11th December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read.

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Read the following letters.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

25

From the Asst Collector of Guntoor — Sir,

States in reply to Board's Proceedings of the 11th ultimo under what circumstances the practice of renting the Abkarry stations appears to have been adopted in Guntoor; how the system has been found to work of late years and showing the benefits arising from renting the Abkarry Talook-war or for the whole District.-

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 14th Ultimo expressing their wish before giving an opinion upon the subject referred to in para 5 of my letter of the 9th Ultimo, to be informed, under what circumstances the practice of renting the Abkarry by stations, appears to have been adopted in Guntoor how the system has been found to work of late years, and whether the revenue might be expected to increase, or to be more certain of realization if the rents were given Talook-war, or for the whole District; or what other advantages I anticipate from the change of the present mode of renting the Abkarry.

2. In reply I beg to state what the present practice of renting the Abkarry by stations appears always to have obtained in this District and that the system of renting Talookwar has never been generally introduced

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

introduced.-

3. The present System has, so far as I am aware, been found to work well, the revenue having been generally collected with ease and punctuality, though the fact of an increase of Revenue in this years' sale of the Abkarry, when 9 Talooks have been rented Talookwar over last years' sale, when 4 Talooks only were so rented, would lead to an expectation of some increase if the rents were given in larger divisions.-

4. My reason for wishing to rent the Abkarry Talookwar, or for the whole District was, that I imagined such was the Custom in other Districts under this Presidency, and that one substantial farmer was more likely to fulfil his engagements and more able to furnish good security, than a number of petty renters; and my reason for not allowing the rents to be given though the medium of the Ameens was, that I considered the renting would be much more fairly made by the European, than by the Native Officers; and that such was the Custom that obtained in other Collectors. Of course it is utterly impossible that the Collector or his Assistant can go the tour of

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

of the Villages in each Tarrah for the above purpose.-

5. If the Board are of opinion that my plan for the future is objectionable, I shall be ready to revert to the old System.-

Guntoor Collectors' } Signed D. White
Cutcherry 18th August 1845. } Actg. Collector.

Resolution thereon.- The Board approve of the course indicated in Para 5 of the Acting Collectors' letter 9th July 1845, that the Tenders for the rent of the Abkarry in future shall be for the whole District or for the Talooks separately and that the biddings for the same shall take place in the presence of the Collector or his Assistants.-

(25-26)

inform. 14th July 1845.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(27)

From the Asst. Collr. in Charge of Guntoor — Sir,

1. In reference to the instructions contained in your letter of 13th ultimo to afford Vetcha Bashcarloo suspended Ameen of Coorapaud Tanah an opportunity of making himself fully acquainted with the instances of misconduct with which he had been charged, and to submit any statement he may think fit to make in justification of his Conduct, with any remarked

Forwarding Statement & with translation of the same given by Bashacarloo suspended Ameen of Coorapaud Tanah in justification on his Conduct in the Charges of the alleged mismanagement & Corruption as

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

as directed by the Board in their letter of 13th Ultimo.-

remarks thereon as may occur to me to make, I have the honor to submit a Statement with translation of the same made by this individual in his defence after making himself acquainted with the whole of the records in the case.-

2. As it has not been the object in affording Vetcha Bashcarloo an opportunity of defending his conduct in the alleged mismanagement and corruption, to place him upon trial under the Regulation on the charges of having corruptly received sums of money from the Talook servants &c., it does not appear necessary to enter into the detail of his defence on these points, further than to observe that he dwells principally upon his absence during the enquiry, and the absence of eye witnesses to the payment of the several sums, and the influence of the Acting Ameen, who, he says, got up these charges, and lays much stress upon the impracticability of the alleged exactions being made in the unequal manner described by the several parties.-

3. From the manner in which the latter point is stated, there is room to conclude that Bashacarloo was conversant at

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

at least with the system of making exact= =and in all its particulars which appears to have been carried on in his Talook, and the Commissioner, by whom the enquiry was made having recorded his sentiments as to the part the Ameen had in the matter any further opinion appear superfluous.-

4. On the subject of Bashacarloo having received a bribe of 37 Rupees for recommend= =ing one Lurmi Nursoo to the situation of peon, regarding which he states in para. 6 of his statement that Mr. Stokes approved of the person at the Jummahbundy before he wrote the arzee to recommend him; it is to be observed that neither the order of Mr. Stokes appointing the peon dated 17th January 1844 nor the arzee recom= =mending the person of 12th January contain any intimation that Mr. Stokes had selected or approved of the individual; but in the arzee recommending the ap= =pointment, the Ameen mentions that Mr. Stokes told him upon his verbally representing the subject, to write an arzee upon which he would then give orders; as but this so far from excluding the sup= =position of his having received a bribe to

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

to obtain the appointment, tends to favor the presumption that the bribe was required for the influence exerted by the Ameen upon the occasion on behalf of the applicant for the situation.-

5. The defence of Bashacarloo re= =garding the depreciated rents given in Coorapad Tanah upon his recommendation contains a denial of any connivance on his part, throwing all the blame upon the Curnums and others for Concealments and false accounts, and the responsibility upon the Collector, but it is obvious that where such a system has obtained exten= =sively it must either exist with the con= =nivance of the Ameen or be practised independently of him through his Culpa= =ble negligence and unfitness for his duties, and the opinion given of Bashacar= =loo by the Commissioner in his letter of 9th May 1845 tends to confirm the former supposition in this case.-

6. It appears proper to notice more particularly the defence given re= =garding the depreciated rent of Roodra= =veram, the circumstances of which the Commissioner has detailed in his letter above

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

above alluded to Copy of which is before the Board, and to which reference is now requested.-

7. On the subject of the rent of this Village it is to be remarked that the reason assigned by Bashacarloo in para 9 of his defence for taking no notice of a Communication from the Samutdar dated 10th November 1843 reporting that concealments to the extent of 125 Rupees would be found in this Village upon measuring the fields, is a mere evasion, as no such order is upon record, that concealments in land should not be noticed till they may be rectified in the general Survey of the District, and the only foundation for the assertion made by Bashacarloo is the instructions of Mr. Stokes, that irregularities in the measurement of fields were of a trifling extent need not be rectified till the general Survey, as these small inaccuracies existing in almost all fields could not be considered as Concealments, - and it is evident that the Concealments mentioned by the Samutdar to the extent of between one third and one half of the Amount of rent of the

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

the whole Village could not have been considered, as falling within the above description and that the Ameen cannot be relieved of the charges of wilful neglect at least on this point.-

8. The Ameen, in para 5 of his defence declares further that he was ignorant of any concealments or frauds whatever in this Village; but from a Communication from the Samutdar to him dated 5th July 1844 as well as that above alluded to, it is clear as the Commissioner intimated, that he could not have been ignorant that there were Concealments in the Village, and that the true resources of the Village were much higher than those represented, and upon which representation he had obtained sanction for the rent.-

9. The reasons further assigned by Bashacarloo in paras 8 and 9 for taking no notice of the Communication from the Samutdar of 5th July stating the resources of the district at Rupees 379 is that the Collector had already approved of the offer for rent, and that as the statement was not made by the Curnum he did not credit it, both of which reasons

† Original & translation forwarded

* N. B. Estimated by the Samutdar at Rs 379, the rent for that fasly being 291.-

reasons appear most unsatisfactory; the first more especially so, as the fusly for which the rent was given had not commenced when he received the above Communication and the latter in as much as the Samutdar as being an officer acting under the immediate orders of the Ameen must be considered a higher and more responsible authority than the Curnum, and the Ameen's setting aside his Samutdars' report without any enquiry, supported as it appears to have been by documentary proofs of its truth, cannot be explained upon any other supposition than that Bashacarloo was influenced by improper or corrupt motives in acting as he did.-

10. With reference to the grounds upon which the rent of this village was ac=cepted viz^t that ryots paying Rupees 71 had fled to the Hydrabad Country and that there was an irrecoverable ba=lance of Rupees 35" of which" the Com=missioner states "not one word was true," the Ameen in para 5 of his statement states with regard to the former, that he must have been guided by the re=presentation of the Curnums or Samutdar or

or by a remark in some account, but this appears equally unsatisfactory, for it was in any case his duty to have obtained Con=clusive information on this point before stating it as a ground for accepting a re=duced rent; and from the absence of the mention of this circumstance from the Samutdar regarding this Village, there arises the Conclusion that the Ameen had no ground to believe this, and the presumption that he was aware of the Contrary.-

11. This instance of the misconduct of Bashacarloo appearing so glaring it does not appear necessary to extend these re=marks to every case of depreciated rents in which Bashacarloo appears to be Cul=pable, as the enquiries of the Commis=sioner will have proved sufficient to Convince the Board that in many in=stances depreciated rents were obtained in the Coorroopaud Tanah by fraudulent means in which Bashacarloo's Conduct must be considered Culpable either in Connivance as shewn in the in=stance of Boodraveram or thro' Culpa=ble negligence and utter unfitness for his Office.- The plea that 14 rents only out

12.

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

out of 50 Villages rented by him have been noticed by the Commissioner as objectionable implying his diligence and honesty in the remainder appears undeserving of any weight, as the Commissioner notices the 14 Villages as a few examples of depreciated rents in his Tanah, in para of his letter above cited, in which he says "the number of Villages "recently rented under the orders of the Board "is 52 - several of these appear to have been granted "on much lower terms than they ought to have "been but the difficulty of procuring trust worthy "accounts renders it impossible to say to what "extent - Enclosure No. 2 contains a list of "few of these."-

13. The Cause assigned by Bashacarloo for leaving the balances uncollected in Cooroopand Tanah to the Amount of Rupees 6,295 pronounced recoverable by the Commissioner, is the very low price of grain; of this Amount 5075 Rupees belong to Fusslies 1252 and 1253, the period of Bashacarloos' Employment in this Tanah - The enquiries of the Commissioner will, no doubt, afford the Board the facility of judging how far the Cause assigned is adequate or otherwise.-

14. Although impressed with the importance of bringing to a speedy conclusion the decision upon such of the Ameens who have had a prominent part in the System of Corruption which has reduced this district to its present deplorable state I regret that the pressure of the Current duties devolving upon me, as well as the absence of Bashacarloo upon leave when the Boards' instructions were received have prevented my affording an earlier reply.-

Guntoor ..... }
Coll.rs Cutcherry ... } /signed/ H. Newill
12th November 1845 }

No. 142 in Teloogoo- W.m Astt. Coll.r in Charge

Defence

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

No. 3

Defence given by Vetcha Bashcarloo Ameen of Coorpaud Tanah and at present under Suspension.-

1. The record of the examination made respecting certain accusations of my having in Fusslies 1253 and 1254 exacted Money from the Samuldars, peons and others of the Tanah of Coorapaud for the purpose of paying to the Huzzoor Servants who had come into the Tanah on Jamabundy affairs, and regarding a collection said to have been made for the Marriage Ceremony of my daughter, having now been read over to me I have heard it through, and beg to state in reply that I never Collected even a single pie from the aforesaid Samuldars and other individuals under any pretence whatever, neither did I give Money alleged to have been thus exacted to the Huzzoor Servants or any body else, The evidence of these Servants is unworthy of credit. They stated in their Kifeyet to that when they gave me Money severally at various times there were no other persons present except each of them individually and myself, it is therefore apparent that there is no evidence, that the subject is totally false, and that these accusations have been invented unjustly against me; Mr. White Acting Coll.r suspended me on the 20th March 1845 according to the request of the Commissioner and ordered me to come to Guntoor where I remained. A few days afterwards the Commissioners Mootsady Boyinga Row was sent to Coorapaud, and Tolavpauze Ramasawmy a servant on small pay at the Huzzoor and who has been at a variance with me since a length of time having been appointed acting Ameen in my stead, intrigued against me with a view of obtaining my situation of a high salary and having sent for the Samuldars, peons and

and, others intimidated them by advising them to say they had given me money for Jamabundy expenses, and that if they would not say so, he would have them dismissed from their situations; thus did he threaten them, and adduce evidence such as it is, tho' in reality none of them ever gave me money nor are the Statements such, as were voluntarily given by those Servants, Great injustice has been done by the intrigue of this acting Ameen in the investigation held, and all are well aware of such being the case.-

2. Having been suspended, and detained at Guntoor why was not allowed to attend during the investigation, and by the omission of such an essential step it must be evident that the examination thus made cannot be Considered legal, had I been present I would have had the opportunity of putting questions according to the Regulations, to many of those Servants and thereby been able to prove at the time the worthlessness of the charges against me, The legal procedure of allowing the accused to be present at the time of the investigation not having been observed this one instance is sufficient to invalidate the case, to prove this circumstance to be false, and to shew that it has been got up from fear of the acting Ameen Ramasawmy's threats of losing their situations,

3. It is apparent this enquiry was first made before the Commissioner's Mootsadee Boojinga Row, and that subsequently when the Commissioner went to Coorapaud, the depositions were just read over to that Gentleman who heard and signed them- Had I been present even before the Commissioner I might have made it evident to that Gentleman by the answers which I would have obtained to questions put by me

me that all those assertions were false, but as that Gentleman also failed to cause my attendance, I must attribute it to my misfortune.-

4. It rests with your Honor alone to consider the propriety of the order now given to me to make a defence regarding an investigation thus made by natives without my knowledge, however I consider your order imperative, and the record having been duly read over to me the defence I venture to make on such parts of the evidence as I recollect of the circumstances I have now heard, is as follows.-

Of the 10 Samuldars in Coorapaud and Canah 2 deposed that I did not ask any thing and they never gave me Money 2 or 3 appear to say they gave 2 Months pay and 2 one Months salary each, whilst Pedoogoo Sheshiah Samuldar of Rawelly Moottah alone stated that he gave 3 Months pay or 37 Rupees Had I ventured to do such an unjust act as that of receiving Money from servants in the mode set forth I would naturally have levied the same from all the servants indiscriminately, for why would I have taken from these men whose pay are equal, a trifle from some, a little more from others, a greater amount from one, and none at all from the rest, or had I dared to take from some and not the rest would they pay or if any one of these men whose pay are the same gave a small amount, would another give more- Thus then it is apparent that this is a false circumstance, and that those who dreaded the threats of the acting ameen Potarauze Ramasawmy to the effect that he would cause them to lose their situations, gave false evidence, whilst such as did not care for him did not accede to depose falsely

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.-

falsely.-

2. Of these Servants some of the principal ones of the Tamah Vizt. the Maul Peishcar Hedamby &c. Ramanoojachariar, Maul Muddutgar alias Karanje Ramkrooshna=mah, Yelpoor Moottah Samutdar, Surbadah Anunta Ramiah Attoor Moottah Samutdar Koka Seetapaty and Maul Duffadar Ally Beig together with the Police Peon Allaharee Kurson deposed they did not give me any thing, if it were true that Money had been collected for Jamabundy expenses while these 6 principal men do not appear to have given any thing how is it possible to credit such assertions as that only some of the rest gave.-

3. Some of these 6 persons Vizt. Videmlah Subarow Summutdar of Paladoorgoo Moottah, & Pudmapaty Venkanah Samutdar of Pimmedee Moottah, Pidadoorgoo Sheshiah Samutdar of Ravellah Moottah, Head Police Duffadar Condrauze Rungarauze, Motaphe Muddutgar Comulatare Latoorow, and Sarungoo & Kristniah Samutdar of Commerey poody Moottah, deposed, they gave one Months pay whilst some said 2 Months pay had been exacted, and in saying that they declared that each of them brought the Money severally on various occasions and gave it to one, and that there were no persons present besides each one of them who gave the Money and myself at each time, it transpired, If these words are true would not one at least of the & number have seen when the Money was placed in my possession, or come to the Knowledge of it at any subsequent period, that is to say how is it possible to conjecture that not one of those Servants or any body else could have witnessed such a thing, even a single instance. It is clear from the statement of these men that the Money had been given for the purpose of being made over to the Rayzor Servants

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.-

Servants as Jamabundy expenses, none of them stated that I had asked any one of them for assistance on account of any ceremony, or that they & had given me a bribe; If the assertion that the Money was given for Jamabundy expenses, & be true, it is to be supposed that the Superior and inferior Servants would have consulted and drawn out a list fixing so much according to their pay, and then give the Money but that they would never, for such a general purpose have given it into my hands respectively in the mode said to have been observed, so that one should not know what the other did. whilst some of the principal Servants do not appear to have paid any thing whatever, it is possible that the rest would never have agreed to pay, and even in giving Money, not one would willingly pay, if the slightest deviation of the Damarha fee" rate had been observed, consequently it is evident by these examples alone that the words "the & Money was collected for Jamabundy charges" are totally false and fabricated.-

4. It appears that when Boojingarow who held the investigation, put a question to the Tamah Shroff Maddon Boorriah as to "on what he usually wrote the accounts of Money received and disbursed by him" he (the Shroff) said the accounts were in his Dufter, that peons were then sent to his house and his private Dufters were seized upon and brought away, and minutely searched through by the acting Ameen but who found no & accounts therein which could go to shew of any Samutdar having given any thing or that I had & received any manner of consideration for Jamabundy expenses, If these things were true he would undoubtedly have kept a separate regular accounts of it in his possession This Shroff stated that Rumboo Kristniah Samutdar

Sammutdar of Bullooralapaud Moottah, Canza Mullaparauze Sammutdar of Coorapaud Moottah and another Sammutdar having desired him to give me one Months pay he brought that sum and gave it to me in the Cutcherry, now, while some of the other Servants who acknowledge having given Money appear to have done so respectively, by bringing it secretly without the Knowledge of a second individual, and given it to me in my house, your Honor will be pleased to consider the impracticability of the positive affirmation of this Shroff a subordinate Servant as to his having brought and paid me Money publicly while I held Cutcherry - By what has been set forth it must be manifest that such things never actually transpired that the assertions are untruths which the acting ameen and others induced them by threats to speak and that owing to the same each of them deposed falsely just as they thought proper for the occasion. Further if it be true that the Money was given to me in the Cutcherry where many persons are usually present would not 1 or 2 at least have been aware of the act of the Shroff in giving it as alleged He does not say that there were any persons present therefore how can such an assertion be relied on. -

The

5. The aforesaid Shroff Boorriah states that he did not give me any thing in Fusly 1254, that in Fusly 1253 his share of 5 Rupees and a similar sum as the share of the second Shroff Kagalingum total 10 Rupees were given to me by him in my house in the presence of the second Shroff Kagalingum, who stated however in his Kyfeyet that the aforesaid Boorriah told him he had given me the Money and had come, therefore this material discrepancy is of essential service to show that the statements of these 2 men are false. -

6 The above examples are of such powerful tendency that I trust they will be considered sufficient to prove this circumstance untrue - If any thing really occurred as averred by these men some of whom say that I had exacted the Money by giving them trouble, had I done so by rendering annoyance, why did they omit to Complain against me at the time or at any subsequent periods, to the Collectors Messrs Stokes, Lockhart and Revill who had come to make Jamabundy. -

7 Shaul Muddutgar Bode Ramakistnamah stated he did not give me any thing in Fusly 1254 but that in Fusly 1253 he gave 10 Rs into Shroff Boorriah's possession for the purpose of being made over to me; There is nothing whatever about this in Boorriah's Kyfeyet and that as this Muddutgar did not give any thing is evident by the Kifeyet given by the Pashcar Kedamby Ramanooja Charlou which is sufficient to invalidate this Muddutgars Statement. -

8. What I have to state respecting the invented assertion uttered by Coorree Janakeramadoo and is second son Nursinloo (of their having paid me 20 Rs for the purpose of getting me to write a recommendatory Arzee that, as Coorree Janakeramdoo Police peon, of Coorapaud Cannah was an aged man his elder son Coorree Lutchmenarsoo, should obtain that situation) is as follows Nursimloo stated in his deposition that Ramachendoo Police Goomastah having lent him 20 Rs he put the Money in Ramachendroodoo's Pandy that Ramachendroodoo gave me the Money in my house Vihe was then present, But Ramachendroodoo declares there were

were no other persons besides him and myself present, when the gave me those Rupees, therefore this discrepancy is of material consequence to falsify the assertion, on this point- When Mr. Stokes Collector was at Cross-on, that Gentleman looked into the subject of giving the aforesaid Janaike co Ramdoss Situation to his Eldest Son Lutchme=-anna-oo, and appointed him accordingly that is to say, the affair was settled long before I wrote the arzee, and it will be apparent that the writing of which arzee was merely that it should be retained as a voucher in the Records, I do not see the necessity of co bringing forward further grounds to prove the utter inutility of accusing me of having received a bribe to get me to write favorably regarding a subject in which a final order had been given personally by Mr. Stokes and that Gentleman is aware of this circumstance.

9 Peddoogoo Sherkiah Samuldar of Ravilly Mottah deposed that at the Jamabundy time in Fusly 1253, I caused the Dufter in his possession to be seized upon and brought and kept in the guard, and sent a Message by the Peishcar's son Kaedamby Appalacharloo, that some thing should be paid for Jamabundy expences, that ultimately he brought himself 37 Rupees and gave them to me in my house and that there were no others besides him and myself present at the time. Had the authorities questioned the aforesaid Appala Charloo about this, the injustice committed by such Natives as are at variance with me would have been brought to light. I do not find, amongst the papers which I have been read over to me, any Kyfeyet given by that Man (Appalacharloo) The Statement that there

there were no persons present at the time 37 Rupees were alleged to have been paid to me, is of itself sufficient to prove the assertion as unworthy of credit. He declared that to make up the aforesaid sum of 37 Rs. he sold one of his Cows for 16 Rupees to a dealer in Cattle from the Southward, If this be true, can any one in the village be ignorant of the same? If they knew of it why did he not make any one depose to the subject at the time- at the Jamabundy time in Fusly 1253, one of the inhabitants of the Village Peddaparemey reported to me, that this Samuldar had committed some irregularity in the Pollary of the said Village Peddaparemey and that the accounts respecting it could be found in the Dufters, it was, on this account that I caused the Dufter to be brought and having sealed it had it deposited in the Cutcherry for the purpose of enquiring into it. Examined the case subsequently and regularly settled the subject about Pollary and wrote an arzee to the Collector about the irregularity committed by this Samuldar, I do not now recollect the particulars further than that the Collector examined into the matter, and punished this man by a fine. Subsequently also when I had occasions to write co arzees about this man regarding certain Tamarind topes of different Villages he used to be fined.- Up to the day I was suspended I was under the the necessity of writing Yadastes in strong terms, about the misconduct evinced by this man in making the Collections &c in Peddaparemey and certain other Villages. If those Yadastes, Kurroms and arzees are caused to be brought & inspected, this man's behaviour will be apparent. It is by enmity proceeding from this that he has falsely stated he gave me 37 Rupees and which is an untruth. If it were true,

and

and while I was writing to him in strong terms why did he omit to Complain of it to the Gentleman, up to the date I was suspended. Had I received any such consideration from him, how is it possible that I would have been so hard with him.—

10 Some of the Servants stated that they neither saw, nor heard of the alleged act of some=thing having been paid for Jamabundy expenses, by which positive denial it must be manifest that such a circumstance never transpired.—

11. Some say they gave in the first Fusly, and not in the second Fusly for Jamabundy expenses, whilst others state that they gave in the second, and not the preceding Fusly. If these asseritions are true, How would it occur that they should have given in one and not in a succeeding Fusly therefore, the assertion of such a charge ever having been made is not true.—

12 When the Commissioner's Mootasuddee put a question to some of the Servants as to why they did not Complain to the Gentleman of money having thus been exacted from them, they appear to have replied, that as they were afraid of losing their situations if they ventured to make any complaint about it, they did not take such a step, now if it were true that I had thus exacted Money and Complaints had been brought forward before Gentleman the alternative would be that harm would befall my situation and not those of the aforesaid Servants.— This is another example whereby it must be apparent that the circumstance alleged by those men never emanated from them voluntarily.—

13 I resumed charge of the Situation of Ameen of Corapaud Tanah, on the 10th October in Fusly 1253, and the Gentleman came

came there on Jamabundy within the short space of 2 Months afterwards.— I never before transacted the duties of an Ameen, therefore what urgency could I have had to collect Money from the Servants of the Tanah in such a short period, on a pretext that it was for Jamabundy charges, and for me to have given any Money to the Mazoor Servants I never did any act whereby I was under the necessity of following such a course, Both my character, and inclination are not of such a nature.—

14.— It was so arranged by the acting Ameen that one or 2 should depose that I received 19 Rupees for my Daughter's Marriage from Maudepaud Ghaute Teons Laul Beig and others, Laul Beig of whom, wrote a public letter to me of his having written to allaharee Catapah to give the sum from their pay, that the Money was accordingly received by Catapah, and paid by him to Lomayajoola Loobbiah who is said to have given it to me. Had the aforesaid Lomayajoola Loobbiah been examined, it would have been proved to the satisfaction of the Commissioner at the time, that this assertion was false, and that I was not a person of other than an upright character, while such an essential Witness as this Loobbiah resided at Guntoor, the omission of the Commissioner, or the acting Collector to send for and examine him is equally attributable to my misfortune. It was only recently that I discovered that dealing existed between the aforesaid Teon Laul Beig and others and the aforesaid Catapah.— While it was a common practise for them to borrow Money of him on Interest, and to purchase grain, and other supplies and then settle between themselves, it is strange that the sum of 19 Rupees which constitutes a charge of that kind, should have been so artfully contrived

like

like a long story, as to ground an accusation against me, Nothing would have been more disgraceful in one than to have taken from such poor poons (who are not able to get even their daily meals regularly) any thing under pretext that it was for my daughter's Marriage, I would never have comte=nanced such a Mean action, when some poons congratulat=ed me on my daughter's Marriage and expected some thing from me, I gave presents to some of the Tamah poons according to my pleasure— The aforesaid sum of 19 Pd. would be nothing compared to what I gave away and if you wish your honor can ascertain this.—

15.— When the Commissioner's Moolatsadee Bogingay row put a question to Pubbaraauze Ramachendrooloo Acting Muddulgar, as to "how much Money he received from the Ghautee Poons" he replied, he did not take any thing for his own use, that as he was directed by me to ask, and bring from the Ghautee Poons one Months pay from each he went to the places where the Ghautees were, and asked the people, and brought — — and gave me 32 Pd. that he told me the people of other Ghautees would not give, and should anything brought the best way I could, that he asked and brought and gave me 20 Rupees for the purpose of giving the Situation of Carree Tanakeramdoo Police Peon to his eldest son Lutchmenarsoo, that in the two Fusleis he gave me at 2 different times 2 Months pay 20 Pd. for Jamabundy expenses, that he brought and gave me 26 Rupees from Bangaroonaik and Boocheenaik and that there were not others present besides him and my self, when he gave me in

in my house the amount of each of the aforesaid item. These assertions are untrue my answer thereto is as follows This man was not on the receipt of any pay at each of the items on the 2 Fusleis in which he says he gave me 20 Pd. in 2 instalments for Ja=mabundy expenses— as the permanent Police— Muddulgar Bubbillapanty Vencatagherriah was sick and unable to attend to writing, he used to make this Ramachendrooloo do the writing part of the work for him, however the said Vencatalgherriah does not appear to have been examined, Had he been questioned on the subject at the time, the falsity of this circumstance would have been made manifest at the time, I stated at length in the above clauses cogent reasons to prove that the assertion of Money having been exacted for Jamabundy expenses was totally untrue, consequently I do not see the necessity of recapitulating at this stage of my defence any thing further on the same point.—

There are in Coorapaud Tamah above 20 such permanent Ghautee poons as those who deposed, that as they were asked, they gave money at the rate of one months pay and left, into the said Ramachendrooloo possession for Jamabundy charges, and my daughters Marriage ceremony; If it were true that I caused Money to be brought in the aforesaid manner through this mans means I would have had it brought indiscriminately from the whole of the 20 Ghautee Poons and not to have been content by getting a trifle from only some of them— unless a general exaction is made, a few — will never pay a fraction, Ramachendrooloo deposed however that he brought Money from

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.—

from some of them alone and gave me 32 Rupees as particularized above and that he told me, I should get by separate means any consideration from the remaining Ghautee Peons, If so, it was imperative for the Commissioners Mootasadee Boojingaroo who investigated the case, to have enquired of the other peons also and take Kifeyet from them, but which the record shews he did not do, though it is well aware that the said Mootasadee sent for the other peons also into the Tanah and questioned them in most remonstrating terms but that as they would not agree to depose falsely, he let them go without taking any Kifeyet from them— While some said they paid, no person who conducts an Examination will ever venture to omit to examine, other peons who are equally connected with such an important affair, consequently the only construction to be placed on the mode on which he made the investigation, is that he must have secretly questioned the other peons also without delay and let them off because they would not accede to depose to untruths and that therefore he took no Kifeyets from them.—

Ramachendroodoo deposed, that there were no others besides himself, present at the different times in which he brought and gave me these items of money respectively Further by the statements of these peons, it is apparent that some said they gave one Months pay 4 Rupees and others half a Months pay 2½ Rupees therefore as if the affair was at all true this disparity of the rates of payment could never

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.—

never have occurred— Under these circumstances it must be evident to your honor, that all the assertions are false, that owing to the hopes held out by the acting ammeen Patarauze Ramasawmy to Ramachendroodoo that he would give him some permanent situation and the threats to the other peons that they would lose their situations some of them were thus induced by no other alternative to give false Statements whilst such as did not care for him, and would not accede to his request had no Kifeyets taken from them.—

I stated above in the 8th clause reasons which shew the utter falsehood of the assertion made by this Ramachendroodoo of 20 Rupees having been given to me in the affair of Coorree Janakerandoo Police Peon's situation being conferred on his son, I shall therefore now be brief on that point.—

16. Condarauze Rungarauze Head Police Duffadar admitted that he took 11 Rupees for his own use from certain peons for his sons "Cooppanayanum" (investiture of the Sacerdotal thread) ceremony and appears to have stated further that he gave me 2 Months pay 30 Rupees in two Fusleies, for Jamabundy expences and that there were no persons besides himself present at those times— Those in authority should therefore consider what reliance could be placed on the assertion that 30 Rupees were given to me for Jamabundy expences, by such a mean-hearted Duffadar who admit, that he was under the necessity of begging 11 Rupees at the rate of one Rupee and 8 annas from different

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

different peons for the "Kappanyanum" ceremony of his son while this man belongs to the Police Department, what interference could he have with Jamalundy affairs which are purely Revenue.—

17.

I find on perusing the Kifeyet given by the Police Peishcar Vanellecolanoo Krishna Row, that he has uttered more strange things, he stated that when the Delegate who had come on Jamalundy in Fusly 1253 surrounded and asked him for presents he mentioned this circumstance to me I, replied that as they were common people they would make use of abusive language if they get no present, that he then brought and gave me 10 Rupees and nobody was present, That when I asked him to give me one months pay for the Jamalundy charges in Fusly 1254 he replied he was a poor man to which I said, I would lend him Money hereafter if required — that under this consideration he brought and gave me one months pay and that no persons were then present, that the next day he asked me to lend him Money according to my promise, and I lent him 14 Rupees which he has not yet paid me. What had I to do with the subject of Dalagate having surrounded him for charity, he never came & told me that they had come around him & the statement that he brought & gave me money for such a purpose is also untrue He has himself admitted that there were no persons present besides himself at the time the Money is said to have been given into my possession — It must be apparently this example and by the reasons set forth above, that no manner of consideration was collected from any person for Jamalundy expenses, I will not therefore dwell at

S.E.B.—

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

at length on the same subject at this place. What really transpired regarding this Police Peishcar is as follows. He is a poor Man and as he pleaded before me very much about his Son's Marriage — I obtained a Bond from him on Stamp paper and lent him from my private funds 50 or a little more than 50 Rupees. I just only received that as Money in small and convenient instalments without interest, in any way he gave it, but at last he begged of me to take notice of his poverty, so I forgave him 10 Rupees of the Principal of the amount and then cancelled the Bond by tearing off the upper part of it. Many individuals are aware of this — afterwards he told me that he was suffering much for food, and I lent him 15 Rupees which he has not up to this time liquidated — While this Man received such compassion and kindness from me, his assertion that he gave me in one fusly 10 Rupees and one Months pay in the second Fusly, and that there were no persons present at the time, is really strange — If it were true that he paid me Money in this manner for Jamabundy expenses, Why would I at first take a Months pay from him and lend him a similar amount the very next day — his Statements are ridiculous, Taking this Mans behavior and what I may have heard of his conduct, into consideration it is evident that this man also must have in common with the other servants been instigated by the acting Ameen to depose falsely against me, or he would have him dismissed, that being unable to hold out with such an opponent he has yielded to the degradation of deposing thus falsely

falsely- I rest assured that this man would never voluntarily have deposed as he has done-

18- Some of the peons stated in their Kifeyets, that the said Acting Police Goomastah Ramachendroodoo asked them to give something for my daughter's Marriage and for Jamabundy expenses and they gave some Money into his possession, None of them deposed that I had personally asked, or that they had given me any thing they do not appear to have deposed that they had at any subsequent period reported to me, the circumstance of their having placed Money in Ramachendroodoo's possession, Further my daughter's Marriage was performed thro my elder brother at Masulipatam, who spent 4000 Rupees we are of a joint family, with relations at the head who conduct the affairs of the household- Many people in the Town of Masulipatam, and certain Gentlemen also are well aware that 4000 Rupees were spent and that my Uncle Gopaul Kristnamah and others of my own family have since a length of time conducted themselves circumspectly and spent several such sums whenever Marriage Ceremonies were wont to be performed, While a Marriage Ceremony cost such a large sum how can it be credited that I would have longed for such insignificant sums as 19 and 32 Rupees from mean people, Even if intriguing Men were to say so, would any respectable person believe it- Men of such respectability as myself usually spend for Marriage Ceremonies, Money which they accumulate by

earning

earning hard for it, and would never add thereto even a single Cash of wealth gotten by illegal means, I trust your Honor will duly consider this and reckon these circumstances as having originated by intrigue and faction-

19- Those who had become afraid of losing their Situations as threatened by certain natives who are my enemies, thought it might go well if they would but venture to say something, and in doing which they have not only uttered trivial things which are void of any sensible conclusion, but are composed of discrepancies, wound out with a positive assertion in a Most material point viz^t that there were no others present besides each of them respectively when the Money is alleged to have been paid to me, and that no others are aware of it- They stated that it was at different times they gave the Money and as not one individual stated that there were any witnesses present even in a single instance, I cannot bring forward stronger reasons to shew that this circumstance is any thing but the real truth, Those who did not care for the threats promulgated by my Enemies declared they did not give me any thing-

20- On observing the mode in which the investigation has been held, there are evident marks of the examination not having been conducted regularly, my attendance was not even once solicited so that I may have had a fair opportunity of cross questioning the parties and of allowing the Witnesses on my behalf to have been examined at the time- Nothing of the kind was thought of I suppose more so as the primary point of causing my attendance

at

at the examination was totally overlooked - all these law= =ful acts should have been observed at the time, however it will be evident to any person who may read the above and the reasons brought forward to refute the accu= =sation of my having received Money from any Servant whatever for any purpose that the asserteons are totally false, and that the conduct of my bad principled and crafty enemies is reprehensible, The reasons given by me are such as I thought necessary to say, on the circumstance I recollect after having the record read over to me, The authorities should now discover other glaring points apparent in the record regarding the whole affair as being false and the invalid imprecations invented against me, and secure to me the redress I need.—

I request the authorities will be pleased to peruse this defence attentively, and that after most deliberate and due consideration, this aspersion against me may be annulled and grant me such redress as may preserve my character - Please to peruse this.—

31st October 1845.

/Signed/ Vetcha Bashacarlo-

5.

Your honor having asked me to explain the cause relative to the circumstance of my having rented at depreciated rate of cist the Village Rajoollah, Roodravaram appertaining to my Tanah- The following are the particulars of the answer to on that point - I am not vested with the power of renting Villages - Many villages had been rented during the Zemindar's Management & after

after it came under attachment before I went to on that Tanah - Mr. Stokes told me personally that as there were only a few villages remaining under Amanny it was thought the cist would not be increased thereby, and that therefore those villages should also be allotted to be rented, and in furtherance of which object that Gentleman issued orders also, therefore from the time I was appointed I made due enquiry to cause offers to be made for renting the villages, in reference to the cist of preceding fuslies to the present state of the District, and to the cist of fusly 1253, so that the cist should progressively increase, and having done this I reported by separate Aryzees and by explanatory remarks, the reasons for the amount offered, leaving the Collector to write orders about the objections he may have on the subject. On the receipt of any such order I used to enquire again and then give further explanation and replies, after which the Collector accepted such offers as he thought best and rejected others, about 20 or 30 villages were rented out in this manner during the Collectors administration - It is ap= =parent by the Commissioner's enquiries, that with the exception of the village of Roodravaram, no other village had been let out at depreciated rent under sinister motives - It is only now that I have become aware by a Yadast received from the Commissioner's Cutcherry and which you have now shewn to me, that by the Commissioner's enquires this affair of the

depressed

depressed rate of rent in this village has been brought to right. This village was in rent up to Fusly 1251 under the Zemindar's, and came under amany of the circar in fusly 1252 before I was appointed Ameen, and it was in the same fusly that there was a falling off to a trifling amount of the Ejarah Dowl. In fusly 1253 the Jamabundy of the village was settled in amany and in fusly 1253 also the Dowl appeared to be the same more or less as the Dowl of fusly 1252.

The Collector made personal enquiry whilst on Jamabundy in those two fuslies and gave Pattahs. so after the Jamabundy of Fusly 1253 was accordingly settled steps were taken a few days afterwards to rent that village also, but nobody made offers. It was after much enquiry that I was induced to send for the Tanah Head Duffadar's father Venkumarayo who has resided in that Village a long time and has Cultivation, and take an offer from him at an increased rate of Cist than that at the time; and having caused the accounts to be inspected in the presence of the Curnum Jukkarayo Chittumbrum, and the Samuttar of that Mootah, I wrote an aryee about it to the Collector forwarding herewith my explanatory remarks. I was not aware that there were any concealments &c. therein at the Jamabundy time in Fuslies 1252 and 1253 the circar's Servant the Samuttar inspected the fields and as he filed the accounts of lands once relinquished and re-taken up together with the accounts of lands ploughed, similar to which the Curnum also having presented

presented accounts corresponding also to the Jamabundy account of 1253, I made sure that there could be no concealments therein and thinking, that if I managed to increase the Cist above than that exhibited in that fusly it would be profitable to the Circar. In fusly 1253 the Dowl was about 260 Rupees, and I received and forwarded a much higher offer of Rupees 350 at a progressive rate of Cist for 3 years from fusly 1254 to 1256. This is all I was not aware that there were concealments in the village beyond the amount of Cist Rupees 260 which has continued since preceding fuslies. I arranged many villages for rent and benefited much the interests of the Circar, therefore it must be apparent to your honor that had I been aware of this small concealment, in such a village as this on which a small amount of Cist is leviable, I would never have allowed it to continue, The Samuttar was present when I reported the circumstances of renting the Village, had he known any thing about any concealment why did he not mention it at the time. I find by the Yadast received from the Commissioner's Cutcherry which has been produced to me that this village Curnum Chittumbrum acted fraudulently and would not at the onset give up the proper Kawn Dowl accounts, but that after much trouble part of the proper accounts shewing the actual resources of the village had been discovered and that this Curnum is a Man who gives trouble. While this man ventured to give up false accounts to such a high tribunal as the Commissioner;

it

it now strikes me that he might have deceived me also- I never heard of this circumstance before and I hope these are sufficient reasons to shew that I was not aware of the concealments- The renting of this village was founded on the accounts furnished, therefore the Curnum and Samuttar who gave these accounts should be accused on this subject-

In reply to your order "that the circumstance reported by me of 2 Ryots having gone into the Hydrabad Country" was false- I beg to state that it was not an invented report of mine and that I must have obtained the information either from the Curnum or Samuttar, or by any remark they may have made in the accounts regarding Cultivation. If your honor would but peruse those Arzees and other documents these may be manifest- I am of opinion by all the reasons adduced that the accusation regarding "the concealments in the village" cannot be imputed or brought home against me- Please to peruse this.—

Said date-

(Signed) Velcha Bashcarloo-

6. I beg to state in reply to the question as to "how it was that in fusly 1253 the sharing part of the produce "of the village Attaloor measured more than the estimated "Anchana amount", that in fusly 1253 the Jonna and cotton produce were appraised by the Samuttar and others, after which the Janeka Anchanah or Checking appraisement was made by the Tanah Peishcar and others- I did not estimate the produce personally the Peishcar's checking appraisement being of the greatest importance, I sent for

for the Ryots and made them agree to it and when I sent the form or Statement to the Hayzoor, the Collector approved of it, and issued a Hookum according to the tenor of which I made over the produce into the possession of the Ryots and collected in whole within the year the money due from each- The grain measured in excess of the estimated amount of the Jonna produce is not of any great importance, and the practice in this Zillah is that wheresoever the measurement exceeds the Anchanah appraisement at 10 Candies more or less in 100 Candies of grain, that should not be considered a fault- However it is apparent that there is a small increase in the measurement of the Cotton produce- It is common in estimating that some are deceived by the appearance whilst others make better hit, whereby more or less produce may be the result- In estimating Cotton produce at favorable times one Candy would become two Candies, and in unfavorable times it would fall so low as from one candy to five toons in each estimated Candy- While there is no great disparity in the greater Jonna produce, how could even those who made the estimate of such a trifling produce as the Cotton in question be accused of any neglect in having a small increase- The Anchanah or appraisement is not founded on a measurement of any kind, but is usually made at random having the interests of the Circar at heart-

the

the Ryots being made to agree to the conditions of the Caboleyet- If this Course were pursued it could be well- but if the measurement be made by the Circars people, the Ryots of those parts who are wicked men will make away with the produce and conceal it in their houses, where if the Peons were to go, they generally tell them that they are respectable Cumma people and use resistance pretending that they are yoska Cummas, and deceive people- As the Cummas also are in league with such people of the Cumma Caste, material loss will naturally arise if this course be continued- In that fusly all the Ryots combined, and as they had no Cooly they Cultivated by the assistance of Strangers and therefore a little excess may have arisen- If contrary thereto the measurement of the produce be continued on behalf and by the Circar's people, they would embezzle the produce under the name of Cooly, and by other secret resources cause loss to the circar thereby- In explanation of this I beg to add that in fusly 1254 after I was suspended, the Jonna produce of this village was measured by the Circar's people and the measurement was just half of the number of Candies estimated- if your honor wish it, you could send for the accounts and inspect them-

If

If by the arrangement made by me there may arise a small excess in the measurement of the produce it would benefit Circar and that this would be the case must be apparent to your honor- I did not personally make Anchanah in Fusly 1253- therefore there is no ground to accuse me on this subject- I have no manner of business with any body in this circumstance therefore I shall be concise on this point.—

7 I beg to state in reply to your question as to "for what cause I had written favorably "with a view of relieving the Security Abboor Bungarow "from the Security of the Village Beemawurrum," that I wrote many arzees to the Collector regarding the Record relating to this Security and as there were hints in the Hoobinns joined on the arzees presented to the Hazoor by this Bungaroo, as if an opinion was asked "whether this man could be relieved from being Security-" I reported that he was a wealthy man and hinted upon the subject of his having in fusly 1253 received Money on pretext of expenses and then troubled the ryots and caused quarrels in Cultivation I merely stated all this and left it Solely to the opinion of the authorities to retain or cancel this man's Security- I did not write in imperative words that "this man's security should be cancelled." you should send for that arzee and look at it as I was in doubt that the Ejaradar of that village would not pass the Cist regularly without giving

giving trouble it was I who took Security from this Bungaroo, and sent the papers to the Huzzoor — While I did all these would any one believe that I would write in a decided strain that this very man's Security should be annulled - He may have conceived enmity because I would not relieve him from that Security, and taking the opportunity of my being suspended, has by sheer revenge written accusation against me, however as I trust I have proved assertions to be void of any stability, I shall be brief in my present remarks - This is all - I did not, as accused by him, intrigue with the Ejaradar, and cause quarrels in the village Cultivation — I did not relieve him from the responsibility of that Security - Had I been in my Situation up to the end of the fusly I would have had the Collections of the village realized without any balance - In fusly 1253. I collected the balance of previous years, and while I thus conducted the affairs correctly, it must be evident that the Ejaradars, is not right and just in mooting such imprecations against me.

8- I am a comety by caste, and many Brahmins cannot bear the thought of allowing me to retain my Situation quietly - The greater number of Servants in the Offices are of the Brahminn caste, and have divisions among themselves, and as either party are of one and the same opinion they try to injure me when-ever they have opportunities of doing so, however I have hitherto

been

been protected by the uprightness of the European authorities and as Mr. Collector Stokes who is well aware of my upright conduct and of the above remarks is not now present I attribute my present position to my misfortune; However I implore you will take the remarks in this paragraph into your favourable and deliberate consideration and grant me redress for unless this is done I find that it will be hard for me to uphold my Character - Please to peruse this.

Said date-

1st November 1845. /Signed/ Vetcha Bashcarloo

7th November 1845. on Friday the 8th Shoodhum of the Month Carteekum year Veswavasoo.

2- Three letters written to your address by the Samutdar of Velpoor on the 10th November 1843. will be read over to you, you stated above that you were not aware of any concealments in the rent of the Village Roodravaram, but it appears by one of the yadasts of the 10th November 1843 written to you by the Samutdar of that village that he informed you 400 Rupees was the fixed amount of rent of the said village in Fusly 1252 that in Fusslies 1252 and 53 there was a falling off of Rs. 126 in the Settlement of the rent and there appears to be concealments in the land that somebody should be sent from the Tanah to assist him in having the lands measured, that you without paying attention to this suggestion reported to the Collector by Arzee No. 282 of 1844 that the village should be rented at 291 Rupees for Fusly 1254, 321 for Fusly 1255 and 357

for

for Fusly 1256. Further by the Samutdar's letter of the 5th July 1844 to you, it appears that when Guddum Vencata Narayanah and Ooutah Seshoo were sent to you relative to the renting of that Village, you were angry with them, and that the Samutdar then told you that unless the affair was settled with those 2 men embarrassments would naturally arise in the rents, therefore what have you to say to this?

9— A. It is stated in the letter No. 39 of the 10th November 1843 addressed by the said Samutdar, that there was excess land in the field of each individual that there was a deficiency of Rupees 125 in the Settlement of the rent of the last and present years, and that the Hazoor authorities expressed an opinion that Settlements should not be made until the lands were properly measured. The date of that letter is previous to the Jamabundy of Fusly 1253 and when it was reported to the Hazoor at the Jamabundy time of that Fusly that there were concealments in the fields of many other Villages besides this the Gentleman replied that every thing would be settled hereafter when a General Survey is made of the whole district, it was for this cause that I did not agree at the time to have the fields measured. Subsequently in January 1844 I wrote an arzee about the rent, after taking an account in the presence of the Samutdar & Curnum, and recollect that in February 1844 I received a Hookoom accepting the rent. The renting

of

of the Village was not founded on any measurement. The said Samutdar stated in his letter of the 5th July 1844 No. 114 that he understood from Guddum Vencata=narayanah and Ooutah Sheshoo that there was excess Cist, and that when these 2 Men came to me, I was angry with them. It was about 4 Months previous to the said 5th July that a Hookoom was received from the Collector accepting the offer of rent, and every thing had been settled, and as I have no authority to have the lands measured, and as I was in doubt whether there would arise more or less land in measuring I considered it useless to do any thing further after the Hookoom accepting the rent had come to hand. These 2 Ryots do not appear to have come before me as alleged by them, had they come to make offers for rent, and had offered higher rates I would have taken notice of it. Had I received this yadast before I got the Hookoom from the Collector, how would I have dared not to make any enquiry into the matter. The rent to the present time is founded on the accounts furnished by the Curnum and Samutdar therefore that Curnum should know the Nature of the fraud and concealments.

2. The Samutdar stated in his yadast No. 114 of the 5th July 1844 that 379 Rupees was the amount of Settlement as per detailed Cist from each individual for the last and preceding Fusties of the Village Rood ravarum. Now had you reported at first any thing about giving the village in rent for a lower rate of Cist why did you not report to the Hazoor the circumstance

of

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

of the Sanatdar having made such a representation by
10— A. The Hoshoom accepting the amount of rent having
been received about 4 or 5 Months previously and as
the Cist 379 Rupees though stated by those 2 ryots
was not stated by the Curnum and as the Collector
in Fuslies 1252 and 1253 gave puttahs for the Sist
I was of opinion that the statement of the sist as
offered by those ryots was not worthy of Credit,
therefore I did not make any report to the Mazoor-

8th November 1845 or Saturday the 9th Shoodum of the Month—
Carteekum year Viswavasoo-

(Signed) Vecha Bashcarloo.

2- Two accounts Vizt. No. 1 explanatory of the
amount recoverable, and No. 2 Statement of Villages
rented at depreciated rates of Cist received with a letter
from the Commissioner of this Zillah will be shewn
to you, look at them, Have you any thing to say about these?
11. A- I have looked over the aforesaid 2 Accounts,
the reply to account No. 2 about my having given
the Villages at low rates of Cist is as follows- oppo-
=site No. 29. Roodravarum, there is a remark that it was
understood I had a share in the rent of that Village which
the renter Condaraujo Venkaraujo took in rent, and that it
was further understood that he had given me bribes
in the 2 previous yeais- The 2 previous years are Fusli
1252 and 1253. I was not in the Office of Ameen or in any
other Situation in that Taluk in Fusly 1252, while I
was not in any employ at that place, your honor
should consider how strange it should appear

that

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

that the Renter should say he had given a bribe to
such a person as myself who had nothing what-
-ever to do with the affairs of the Village. By this
alone there is evident proof, that all the Statements
made by this Man are false, I am a man in this
Office of Ameen on behalf of the Cirkar and am liable
to be removed to other Taluks according to the
pleasure of Gentlemen, while I am liable to be so
removed, what should become of any share I may have
in the rent, I never heard in any Zillah up to this
time, such a subject as that brought forward, of a man
in the Situation of Ameen on behalf of the Cirkar
having a share in the rent of any Village. The circum-
-stance alleged of there being such a share is totally
false. Further, I am not an inhabitant of this Zillah and
have not to this time had any notion of having any
concern in any rent, nor have I any such intention -
To No. 58 Tanjore there is a remark that it is understood
by hearsay that I had a share in the rent of this Village,
This is totally false, the renter Attoomoollah -
Venkiah and myself are not at all acquainted,
I wrote an Arzee to the then Collector Mr. Stokes
after inspecting the former Cist and Accounts, that this
Village should be given in rent, however the said Collector
rejected the same on the grounds that the progressive
rates of Cist offered, were not correct, a few days
afterwards in the month of December when
that Gentleman came on Jumabundy affairs,
he personally enquired of the Curnums, ryots
and others the circumstance of land to the
extent of 350 Rupees in that Village being

left

left as pasture land, and being of opinion that loss would arise in the Village by the lands being thus left as pasture, he sent for the Renter and the Villagers into his presence and ascertained of them certain particulars, I do not Know what that Gentleman thought, but that Gentleman had a Hookum written stating that the Village should be given in rent; you should send for that Hookum and peruse it; it will be apparent thereby that I had nothing whatever to do with it; but that the Gentleman himself caused the order to be written of his own accord. I do not Know under what pretext it has been stated in this account that it was understood by hearing, that, I had a share in the rent of the aforesaid 2 Villages, but as it does not appear that it was stated on any substantial grounds, I do not see the necessity of giving a long answer. If any body accidentally mentioned such a thing from animosity, it is not such a subject as should be believed. It is not to be believed that such a person as myself who am anxious to remain in some situation, and who have obtained a good character would do such a thing as this. such a thing never occurred any where in the world.-

12. The above particulars should be considered as answers applicable to the subject of the Village Seringepallam No. 60 should you think it necessary to find out whether I have a share or not I request that the aforesaid renter Attemullah &c

Venkiah

Venkiah may be sent for and questioned, when it will be proved to you that the Circumstance about this share is false. To No. 54 Seerepoorum there is a remark that it does not appear at the time the Village Seerepoorum was given in rent direct attention could have been paid to the amount of Beriez- in its acceptance the authority to accept offers for rent is not vested in me it lies with the Hazoor authorities, therefore I have no business to say any thing at length on this subject.-

13. To No. 35 Hoofsain Nagarum there is a remark that the Villagers caused a low rate of Beriz and that the Amount is less than the Beriez fixed throughout. The village was under the sharing system up to Fusly 1253 when a price of 7 and 8 Rupees per candy of grain was Established and a trifling sum of 400 Rupees 3 annas 1 pice fixed as the Dowl, as I was not willing that the ryots should enjoy this village as a "soodra agraharum" I took great trouble and got offers above the aforesaid List of 400 Rupees 3. As. 1 Ps. Viz. by Rupees 140 for Fusly 1254, 211 for Fusly 1255 and 301 Rupees for for Fusly 1256, and although it was my utmost intention to benefit the cirkar by raising the cist, yet at last I find it unjustly said that on the whole the cist was low. I think that owing to depressed prices the present rate of shist may not tally with the former rates.-

14. I beg to state regarding the rent of No. 94 Manneya Sultanpollium of No. 99 Mogaloottta and of No. 80 Sandoovoy

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Sandoovoy, that these villages are situated between to Jungles, having the cultivated lands towards the hills where Royal Tigers go about, these Villages were rented out for a sum in excess of the amount of Beriize of Fusly 1253 with a view that the previous Statement of Cultivation at least may be retained and that thereby no more of it should hereafter become pasture land. I am of opinion that the cultivation in these villages, will not be increased hereafter as there are not a sufficient number of ryots in these villages. However in No. 99 Moogoobottla the Beriez for fusly 1253 was Rupees 260 and the Ejara sist for the first fusly 168 Rupees and on making enquiry as to the causes of the decrease, I find that the Bettle rent and Manganey sist have not been included in the rent, but Kept separately under the Circar whereby there appears a falling off in a portion of the Cist exhibited. I did not commit any improper act.

15. There is a remark to No. 19 Velpoor that as it was understood there was profit derivable from this village, I the Ameen did not give "Dimmut" or permission to the renter to take possession of the village no blame can be attached to me in this, therefore I do not see, the necessity of replying to it. It is apparent by the said form that I would not give "Dimmut" as it was known that there were misappropriations or Concealments in the village Velpoor, therefore it must be apparent to your honor, that had I known there were Concealments in the Village Roodravarum No. 29 I would never have countenanced

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

countenanced such a thing, and I rest assured that you will do justice.

16. The answer I have to make on the rents generally, is that as Mr. Stokes Collector used to give me particular orders that I should endeavour properly to rent out the different villages, I rendered myself useful as far as laid in my power, to benefit the Circar. I took into consideration the cist of the previous fuslies and the present condition and other subjects which I thought it necessary to ascertain relative thereto, and having caused a Statement to be prepared from the Khaum or Village accounts in the possession of the Curnums, I represented by separate arzees for each Village respectively, the particulars of each rent the collector there upon looked into the accounts at the Cutcherry also, and such as the Gentleman thought proper he accepted, and rejected such as he did not think so. This business has been done in this manner according to that Gentleman's orders therefore it is impossible to say that I had conceived such a notion as to give the rent at disproposed rates. I caused to be rented many other Villages than the aforesaid 8 Villages, and did beneficial acts to the Circar but no improper things. Therefore, I request you will take these circumstances into thorough consideration and grant me redress.

17. I beg to state in reference to the circumstance exhibited in statement No. 1 of my not having collected such sums as were recoverable, Viz. that in Fusly 1253 the

the sum of 2732-12-5 Rs as ps was recoverable but that I did not have it realized that of this sum the amount of Rupees 1890-10-6 was outstanding owing to different takarars and because final orders had not been received from the Huzzoor the following are the particulars regarding the same. The remaining trifling amount might have become outstanding by poor ryots and ryots having died and emigrated, therefore there is no space to accuse me. The price of Sonnalo in fusly 1253 was stationary at 7 and 8 Rupees per candy and hence quite low. I never from the time of my birth heard such a thing, as the price of grain having fallen so very low as this. If your honor would but have the accounts of the Dowl, Collection and balance of Coorapaud Tannah in this Fusly, and the accounts of the Dowl Collection, and balance of other Tannahs Viz. Reypully, Ranchoor, Chulkaloorpaud, Suttanapully and other Tannahs in the Zillah of Guntoor, brought and compared, and look at the items of balance it will be apparent to you if I conducted myself properly or not in my duties Mr. Stokes noticed in some Circular Hookums to my having Conducted myself circumspectly in having the Collection made, but as the prices of grain fell and the ryots become poor at the time, I was not able to collect any part of the outstanding balance of previous fuslies, it was such as I could do to collect the Demand of the current year, altho the said Statement shews that a definite amount could be collected

collected yet your honor should consider how much has been subsequently realized. I request that all these subjects may be taken into consideration and speedy redress granted to me. The following are the particulars of the aforesaid sum of Rupees 1890-10-6.

399-14-6. On account of land relinquished and in disputes of measurement in coorapaud Village, which has been outstanding every year. The dowl of this village is about 1000 Rupees.

150-"-" On account of the falling off in the Dowl of the Village Pantebundla which though in rent was under the sharing System.

166-12-" On account of loss sustained in the Village Purrasah, altho this is a sharing village, yet as the renter is a wealthy Man, I got a Kararnamah from him promising to pay the amount due according to the conditions. This amount was subsequently collected.

302-"-" On account of no dowl having been fixed to the village Punnedem at the time of rent and the consequent rise of the cist by competition between the renters; The term of rent of this village is still esetant.

176-"-" Ponneculloo. This village is under dispute between the Ejaradar and Ryots

J.

investigated the subject of the dispute and made a report to the Hazoor, but as no final order was received by that time the amount was outstanding.-

394-0-0 Purma- Do.- Do.- The Ryots of this Village have not yet paid this amount on the annoyances rendered by these villagers have been reported to the Collector- The disputes were not settled at the end of the year, Hookooms and Arzees were going on at the time I left Coorapaud.-

302-0-0 Oongaloor- The renter of the Village was a pauper, the village was rented by the Zemindar, and as the renter had some property it was sold by Public auction and the affairs are going on through a security, the balance outstanding is attributable to want of cultivation in the Village, a final order was also issued that the collection of the amount should be realized at least by the time of the termination of the lease.-

1890-10-6: Total.

16. It was on account of the reasons specified above that the different amounts become outstanding, I am not to be blamed for any thing However the Gentlemen should grant me redress. I request you will take all these circumstances into consideration and

and reinstate me in my Situation whereby my Character may be upheld.-

8th November 1845. /Signed/ Vetcha Bashiry Carloo
/Signed/ H. Newill
Hd. At. Collector
in charge.

No. 4.

To Memorandum
Vetcha Bashcarloo.
Ameen of Pedda Coorapaud Tannah-

I wrote letters to your address recently relative to the circumstance of the Village Roodravarum appertaining to Velpoor Moottah, but you sent no replies thereto. It is understood from Gandum Vencatanaroyna and Gunta Sashoo that when they went to you in Reference to the letter which directed that the people of the different Villages should be sent at the time of receiving offers for rent, you were angry with them, you have not made any arrangement with Runga rauzee Chittiumbrum about the subject of causing the aforesaid 2 men to cultivate as ryots hereafter in the Village They said that when they spoke to you 4 days ago you partly complied and had lands made over to them, which they commenced Cultivating When they told me that the disputes in the affairs of Cultivation had not been settled, and that they would therefore go to the Gentleman, I pacified them by saying that I would write to you to have the business settled at the Tannah, and when I

enquired

N. B.
These enclosures appear to have been taken away by the Commissioner and are therefore not forthcoming.

enquired properly into the state of the affairs I find that they wrote that 379 Rupees was the amount of individual sist for the last and preceding years, I sent Enclosed a list, and relative to the proof of which, I forward Copies of a Cowl given to Rungarauze and Guddum Vencatamaroynah by these 2 Ryots for fusly 1251 for the land they now cultivate with the consent of the Renter for that year, and of the 2 Sub Renters Condrauze Venkumrauze and Juggadafty Nursarauz 2 Cowls given up to fusly 1251 for favorable rates of sist on new lands taken up on progressive rates by Chamurty Vencatratnum and Gunta seshoo for the years in which the land was not given to renters, 1 Kerornamah caused to be written and executed through Rungarauze by the Sub Ryots Pallatolle Naroydoo of the aforesaid 2 Ryots regarding the Manniem Endowment to Mandapauty Swamy in the abovementioned village and about which I wrote to you 1 Receipt executed in the name of the aforesaid 2 Men by A. Rungarauze who received the Money; and 1 Sist Yabitta total 6 papers I request that all these may be carefully perused and fully considered so that the inksome affairs between Rungarauze and Chittumbrum and Vencatanaroynah and Seshoo, may be distinctly and clearly settled, should any delay arise in acceding to what has been stated regarding this subject by Rungarauze and Chittumbrum, as they are not to be trusted, there will be great trouble in the affairs of the village by their going to complain to the

Huzoor

Huzoor- Therefore I write about this to you whom I consider my Superior and protector so that I may gain your confidence- Ponnagonty Paupiah an inhabitant of Velepoor has ploughed the channel for wet Cultivation, and a part of the wet fields belonging to Coogoottah Vencateshem; when he was prevented and told not to do so he would not mind but has sown Sudza therein. If no timely impediments be made the Ryots will hereafter exceed the proper limits both in the wet cultivation, and in grazing their cattle on the pasture lands. I therefore trust he may be sent for to the Cannah and a fine levied from him in this instance whereby there will be fear to do any thing improper hereafter- Soorooveen Koo Village Moonsiff of Velpoor who is cultivating as a private Sub. partner with my fatherinlaw Boochiah having cultivated these fields, now says that he intends relinquishing part and cultivating part of his own fields and as he is causing delay by not cultivating his own land, I request that this man also may be called up there and directed that he should by any means cultivate his own lands- Nothing beneficial can be done by the present attendant peon neither do the Motands and Vettees perform their various duties with any fear of the Circar, and as they come forward with complaints if told in strong terms of their remissness. I request that you will urgently send a peon who may be able

able to go about the fields and secure every thing, If you do not send one as requested the pasture lands will be destroyed without doubt, therefore I write to you of these particulars which I trust you will peruse 5th. July 1844 or Friday the 5th. Bahoolum of the month Aushadham.-

/Signed/ Surbada Annuntaramiah
Samut dar of Velpoor Moottah

Despatched to the Huzoor on the 3d. November 1845.

A. True Translation
/Signed/ H. Newill
Head Asst. Collector
in charge

27. 28

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be sent again in

/Pro. 18th. December/ Circulation

Read the following letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

29

From the Collector of Nellore ... }

Sir,

Some Native Merchants having applied for salt for export to Calcutta at the indent price from the quantity remaining on hand on account of the indent for the year 1844 ordered in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st. July 1844, I beg to be informed whether I may comply with their request, the quantity they will require is stated to be about 60,000 Maunds.-

Nellore ... }
Coll. Cutcherry ... }
4th. December 1845 }

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector.

Resolution

Resolution thereon.

Vide Return 4th. Decr. 1845.

29. 30

The Board observe that the quantity of Salt remaining to be exported to Calcutta from the District of Nellore on account of the Indent for the year 1844 amounts to Mds. 83,464 - 6 90, they therefore see no objection to a compliance with the request of the Native Merchants who have applied to him for 60,000 Maunds at the Indent price, Viz. 35 Rupees per 100 Maunds - nor to the supply of a further quantity, provided that the balance of the Indent /83,464- 69 6- be not exceeded.

Read the following

Statement.

Detailed

Mar Kapoor Chennacaswaswamy	525	"	525	"	"	"
Nullapully Gopaulaswamy	474	"	474	"	"	"
Rajumpully Peroomalanadaswamy	1921	5	1921	5	"	"
Vencatadreepollem Chinnacaswaraswamy	70	"	70	"	"	"
Dhernallah Narsimmaswamy	35	"	35	"	"	"
Nundanavanum Mullawarasawmy	"	"	70	"	70	"
	8703	"	9403	"	70	"

This sum is deducted and paid from the Revenue.

Budwail

Cusbah Vencataswaraswamy	297	8	297	8	"	"
Ditto Lingaswaraswamy	35	"	35	"	"	"
Ditto Sunjanayaswamy	35	"	35	"	"	"
Conasamoodrum Mullaswarasawmy	35	"	35	"	"	"
Ditto Lunka Mullaswaraswamy	17	8	17	8	"	"
	420	"	420	"	"	"

Fort St. George 11th. December 1845.

Gummulmudgoo.

Cusba Narrapoorumsawmy	723	5	4	723	5	1	"	"	"	"	"	3		
Yattoor Chennacaswaswamy	81	10	8	81	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Hudrabad Nelacuntaswarasawmy	112	"	"	112	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Doodla Vurdarajaswamy	66	12	8	66	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Boo Kapatam Ramaswamy	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ditto Nursimmaswamy	28	"	"	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Moodum Ramasawmy	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ditto Nursimmaswamy	28	"	"	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
	1123	12	8	1123	12	5	"	"	"	"	"	3		

Doovoor.

Mootialpaud Nursimmaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ditto Iswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Nundialumpett Veerabudraswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ditto Aunjanayaswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ditto Teeroovangalanadaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Vellala Aunjanayaswamy	291	10	8	291	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ramaswara Ramalingaswamy	184	9	4	189	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Hamanoor Gopaulaswamy	116	10	8	116	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Vaneepenta Casawaswamy	168	12	"	168	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Ditto Somaswaraswamy	14	9	4	14	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Voneventa Aunjanayaswamy	14	9	4	14	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Settiepully Casavaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Podootoor Durmasala Aunjanayaswamy	61	4	"	61	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
D: Casavaswamy	116	10	8	116	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
D: Anjanayaswamy	40	13	4	40	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
D: Calva Aunjanayaswamy	8	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	12	"	This sum was not Charged on account of the absence of a servant.	
D: Augusteeswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Doovoor Codunda Ramasawmy	93	5	4	93	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Mydoocoor Madavasawmy	99	2	8	99	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Chinta Coonta Beemaswaraswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
D: Hasawasawmy	49	9	4	49	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Chowdoor Beemaswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
D: Anjenayaswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Cheepaud Casavaswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Urcutavamoola Iswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Koltapully Rajarajaswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Talamapoor Venkataswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Velvala Iswaraswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Cultoor Casawaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Gunjeegoontah Casavaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Tungatoor Hasawaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
	1852	1	4	1843	5	8	"	"	"	8	12	"		

16981 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Koilcontlah

Cusba Rungaswamy	160	15	2	160	15	2		
Dº Anjanayaswamy	18	6	"	18	6	"		
Dº Siddaswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"		
Ouk Chennacaswaraswamy	154	4	"	154	4	"		
Opulpaud Combagherryswamy	144	22		144	22			
Dº Anjeyanayaswamy	14	14	"	14	14	"		
Colemagoondlah Nursimmaswamy	136	8	"	136	8	"		
Peeroosomala Chennacasawaswamy	14	7	"	14	7	"		
Sungapatam Chennacaswaraswamy	116	6	"	116	6	"		
Hanoomutgoondum Chennacaswaraswamy	28	14	"	28	14	"		
Timmanampetta Augusteasswaraswamy	105	"	"	105	"	"		
Coyalawada Codundaramaswamy	21	"	"	21	"	"		
Ahobalum Nursimmaswamy	350	"	"	350	"	"		
Roodravarum Anjanayaswamy	21	"	"	21	"	"		
Nossum Booggah Venkataswamy	446	13	4	446	13	4		
Dº Chennacasavaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"		
Dº Angenayaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"		
Myloor Nagaswaraswamy	42	"	"	42	"	"		
Ingeed Venkataswaraswamy	14	"	"	14	"	"		
Auleyacondah Chennacaswaraswamy	10	8	"	10	8	"		
Roodravarm Baskerumundeeswaraswamy	42	"	"	42	"	"		
	2,060	14	8	2,060	14	8		

Chitwail

Cusba Vurdarajaswamy	496	4	4	496	4	4			
Dº Someswaraswamy	634	10	8	618	2	8	16	8	This sum was not charged on account of the absence of a servant.
Dº Anjenayaswamy	51	"	1	51	"	1			
Dº Veerabudraswamy	107	14	8	107	14	8			
Pondalore Vurdarajaswamy	139	1	5	139	1	5			
Dº Kylasa Nadaswamy	58	6	6	58	6	6			
Pengalore Vanoogopaulaswamy	58	6	6	58	6	6			
Dº Nynaraswaraswamy	58	6	6	58	6	6			
Mylapully Chennacasavaswamy	101	9	9	101	9	9			
Nagavarum Chennacasavaswamy	97	12	3	97	12	3			
Maylamarpoor Kodundaramaswamy	80	10	11	80	10	11			

16982 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

| | | |

16983 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Chennoor.

Shaher Cuddapah Anjanayaswamy	235	15	6	235	15	6	"	"	"	
Poosh[illegible]pagerry Chennacasawaswamy	630	4	7	623	4	7	"	"	7	"
Dº Vithanathaswamy and Suntanamullaseweraswamy	128	10	2	128	10	2	"	"	"	"
Shavara Cuddapah Venketaswaraswamy	489	8	1	489	8	1	"	"	"	"
Dº Anjenayaswamy	88	15	1	88	15	11	"	"	"	"
Dº Somaseweraswamy	70	7	10	70	7	10	"	"	"	"
Chennoor Venketaswaraswamy	145	13	4	145	13	4	"	"	"	"
Anoolala Nursimmaswamy	116	9	11	116	9	11	"	"	"	"
Chintacommadinna Veerabudraswamy	39	12	4	39	12	4	"	"	"	"
	1946	1	4	1939	1	4	"	"	7	"

Dº —— Dº —

Kamalapoor

Cusba Vurdarajaswamy	215	13	4	215	13	4	"	"	"	"
Dº Anjanayaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cusba Kamalapooram Somasweraswamy	99	2	8	99	2	8	"	"	"	"
Chop.pully Chennacasawaswamy	250	13	4	250	13	4	"	"	"	"
Dº Augusteswaraswamy	250	13	4	250	13	4	"	"	"	"
Palagerry Chennacasawaswamy	158	10	8	158	10	8	"	"	"	"
Anoomala Sungamaswaraswamy	70	12	"	70	12	"	"	"	"	"
Dº Anjanayaswamy	3	8	"	3	8	"	"	"	"	"
	1084	9	4	1084	9	4	"	"	"	"

Goorrumcondah.

Cusba Nursimmaswamy	83	14	1	83	14	1	"	"	"	"
Voilpaud Ramasawmy	834	7	4	834	7	4	"	"	"	"
Surregoondah Nursimmaswamy	434	9	4	434	9	4	"	"	"	"
Peelair Caseevaswaraswamy	145	13	4	145	13	4	"	"	"	"
Kalekerry Nursimmaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"
Caderecroya chervoo Nursimmaswamy	84	"	"	84	"	"	"	"	"	"
Calcada Siddaswaraswamy	350	"	"	350	"	"	"	"	"	"
Goondalore Chennacasawaswamy	291	10	8	291	10	8	"	"	"	"
Madecoorty Nursimmaswamy	105	"	"	105	"	"	"	"	"	"
Dº Augusteswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"
	2405	4	1	2405	4	1	"	"	"	"

16984 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Muddenpully.

Pette Vanoogopaulaswamy	699	11	4	699	11	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Paupiapully Nursimmaswamy	172	1	4	172	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Muddenpully Anjanayaswamy	32	13	"	32	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tumbalapully Mullaswerswamy	233	5	4	233	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Sadem Sungamaswaraswamy	145	13	4	145	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Kooroobulcottah Ramaswamy	43	12	"	43	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chinnatippasamoodrum Nursimmaswamy	43	12	"	43	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cundoocoor Ramaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cottapully Somaswaraswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	1464	9	8	1464	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Poolevendlah.

Cudre Nursimmaswamy	2029	14	6	2029	14	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do Hoomaswaraswamy	70	"	"	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Poolevendlah Runganadaswamy	455	"	"	455	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do Anjanayaswamy in the East side	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do Do in the west side	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Woollemillah Chennacasawaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cowor Anjanayaswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Gundee Anjanayaswamy	223	14	2	223	14	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chickaraypett Veerabudraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Pettiapully putnum Chennacasavaswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cocuntee Jagajotee	90	10	5	90	10	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Vempully Veerashabachelarayaswamy	434	9	4	427	9	4	"	"	"	7	"	"	Do Do
Ditto Soobramanyaswamy	57	11	5	57	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ditto Anjanayaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ditto Nlacuntaswaraswamy	3	8		3	8		"	"	"	"	"	"	
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	3575	3	10	3568	3	10	"	"	"	7	"	"	

16985 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Royachoty.

Cuuba Veerabudraswamy	1,458	5	4	1,458	5	4	"	"	"	"	"
Do. Ayanayaswamy	160	6	8	160	6	8	"	"	"	"	"
Ratnayachoty Augusteeswaraswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mashpett Vencatalavanaswamy	350	"	"	350	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Dinnapaud Anuntapudmanabhaswamy	140	"	"	140	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Husnapoor Venkettavanaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Guddecotta Chennacasavaswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Royaverm Sungamaswerswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mutta Ramaswamy	78	12	"	78	12	"	"	"	"	"	"
Brammanayerragoody Narsimmaswamy	116	10	8	116	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
Mundum Narsimmaswamy	26	2	8	26	2	8	"	"	"	"	"
Tumbaverm Augusteeswaraswamy	60	9	7	60	9	7	"	"	"	"	"
	2,767	4	3	2,767	4	3	"	"	"	"	"
Total Rupees	26,808	4	10	26,817	2	6	70	"	"	61	24

Mosque.

Cumbum.

Meer Askarally Makbrak	56	"	"	56	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Bundakhan Ta Shiff Durgah	11	13	1	11	13	1	"	"	"	"	"
Sholly Hoosain Peyadah serving in the Sholly Saibs Durgah	46	10	8	46	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
	114	7	9	114	7	9	"	"	"	"	"

Gunnulsundoogoo.

Dawood Meeah serving abdul Syed Meeahs Durgah	137	12	11	137	12	11	"	"	"	"	"
Goolam Hoosain Mosque	41	15	1	41	15	1	"	"	"	"	"
	179	12	"	179	12	"	"	"	"	"	"

Doovoor.

Ucbur Ulleshaw Mazeed	77	6	11	77	6	11	"	"	"	"	"
Nundalempett Mazeed	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"
Ausaiyr Mobarack Shaik ufsin Durgah	39	6	"	39	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
	175	2	3	175	2	3	"	"	"	"	"

16986 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Kailgoontlah.

Enayet Durvis Mazeed	264	4	264	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Boranpett Moolavadeen Kawally Mohomed Bawker Mazeed	231		231		"	"	"	"	"	"
Fakeer dait kateel Mazeed	262	8	262	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mahomud Bawker Mazeed	52	8	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Fakeer sahib Kateel Mazeed	38	11	38	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
	382	2	8	382	2	8	"	"	"	"

Chitwail.

Syed Junoo Mazeed	709	6		709	6		"	"	"	"

Sidhout.

Chawk Mazeed Shaik Booden	76	2		76	2		"	"	"	"
Falooneah Mazeed Ber Mahomed	76	2		76	2		"	"	"	"
Killah Mazeed Durvish Mahomed	76	2		76	2		"	"	"	"
Ghaus Shariff Abdoolkauder Mazeed	114	2	11	114	2	11	"	"	"	"
Choudoor Ismal Shaik Mazeed	76	2		76	2		"	"	"	"
Sophesha Mazeed	152	4	1	152	4	1	"	"	"	"
Mahomed Ghy sahib Mazeed Servant named Mohodeen Meeah	304	8		304	8		"	"	"	"
Padoocottah Mazeed Syed Mohamud	304	8		304	8		"	"	"	"
Mahomud Sahib Catoo Mazeed	28	8	8	28	8	8	"	"	"	"
Wantemuttah Mohazeed Mohomed Hoosain	76	2		76	2		"	"	"	"
Ganga poor Mazeed Mohomud Eman	38	1	1	38	1	1	"	"	"	"
	1322	10	9	1322	10	9	"	"	"	"

16987 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Chennoor.

Chowk Mazeed Mahomud Emam	76	2	33	"	"	43	2	Do. Do.
Killah Mazeed Abdool Futtah	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Masohebpett Mazeed Shaik Booden	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Saunduck Mohomud Moollah Mazeed	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Bahderkhan Mazeed Syed Durvish	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Ameenshaw Mazeed Hazee Mohomud	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Cadersaib Mazeed Mohomud Hatul	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Sudra Mazeed Mohomud Moosa	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Ashoorah Sister Rebeeshaw	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Saipet Mazed Goolam Modeen	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Maisaipett Mazeed Shaikally	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Mazeed Mackabarah Mahatabally	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Bundecunmah Mazeed Shaik Homers	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
Mahobut Nagarum Mazeed Abdulcander	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"
	1065	12	1222	10	"	"	43	2

Kamalapoor.

Meerkatoo Mazeed	76	2	76	2	"	"	"	"

Goorrumcondah.

Ammudshaw Durgah Cusba	83	14	2	83	14	2	"	"
Turregondah Mazeed Shaik Mohomud Shaw	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"
Hajee Abdul Ryman	7	1	8	7	1	8	"	"
Syed Saib Durgah	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"
Kuteebsa Humer	44	14	4	44	14	4	"	"
	177	13	4	177	13	4	"	"

Poolevendlah.

Kootacool Mazeed Ismal Saib	51	10	0	51	10	0	"	"
Kudree Syed Mohomud Mazeed	38	5	5	38	5	5	"	"
Komaracala Abdul Cauder Mazeed	5	4	0	5	4	0	"	"
Jodeen Saib Durgah Servant Hoosnal Saib	76	10	11	76	10	11	"	"
Tulpool Mohaseed Syed Mohomud	52	8	4	52	8	4	"	"
Sidda Mohomud Mazeed	41	14	1	41	14	1	"	"
Kateeb Shaik Abdool Mazeed	83	13	5	83	13	5	"	"
	350	1	10	350	1	10	"	"

Royachoty.

Husnapoor Mazeed	12	12	9	12	12	9	"	"
	39276			38846			432	0
Grand Total	30735	11	4	30701	7	0	104	4

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Pagoda and Mosque allowances.

Pagoda.

Cumbum.

Cusba Ramaswamy	39	6	u	39	6	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Chinna Cumbum Anjanayaswamy	21	u	u	21	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Veyasa Pooja	17	8	u	17	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
	77	14	u	77	14	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

Doopaud.

Markapoor Byrageemut or Seetuldgh	6	1	1	6	11	u	u	u	u	u	u	2	
Canegeiry Martandaswera Swamy	87	8	u	87	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Ditto Anjenayaswamy	52	8	u	52	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Markapoor Markundaswersamy	35	u	u	35	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Veyasa Pooja	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
	189	13	1	189	12	11	u	u	u	u	u	2	

Budwail.

Veyasa Pooja	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

Jummulmadoogoo.

Cusba Iswaraswamy	70	u	u	70	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Dº Anjanayaswamy	21	u	u	21	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Veyasa Pooja	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
	101	8	u	101	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

Doovoor.

Settypully Iswaraswamy	17	8	u	17	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Turkatavamala Gopaulaswamy	17	8	u	17	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Cottapully Yellamah (Goddess)	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Kanagadoor Iswaraswamy	42	u	u	42	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
Veyasa Pooja	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
	96	4	u	96	4	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

Kailgoontlah.

Veyasa Pooja	21	u	u	21	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

Chitwail.

Veyasa Pooja	21	8	u	21	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

Sidhout.

Veyasa Pooja	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	

16989 Fort St. George 18th December 1845.

Chennoor.												
Shahur Cuddapah Ramaswamy	84	"	84	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Bogga Mallaswereswamy	43	12	43	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vejaya Bojah	10	8	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	138	4	138	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kamalapoor.												
Codunda Ramaswamy at Cundoobuttogoontah in the Village of Cocatuna	91	"	91	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Anjanayaswamy at —Do.— —Do.— —Do.—	42	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ramaswereswamy at —Do.— —Do.— —Do.—	42	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vejaya Bojah	8	12	8	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	183	12	183	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Goorumcondah.												
Voilpaud Veeraraswereswamy	140	"	140	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Rampoor Ramaswamy	93	54	93	54	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vejaya Bojah	13	2	13	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	246	74	246	74	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Muddenpully.												
Vejaya Bojah	46	"	46	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Poolevendlah												
Cudree Anjanayaswamy	17	8	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mopoor Byrawaswamy	58	54	58	54	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vejaya Bojah	26	4	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	102	14	102	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Royachoty.												
Vejaya Bojah	10	8	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	1213	139	1213	137	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mosque.												
Cumbum.												
Rumjan and Bakreed	14	14	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Doopaud.												
Rumjan and Bakreed	5	4	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mohorum	17	11	0	17	11	0	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2215	6	2215	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

16990 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Budwail.			
Runjan and Bakreed	5 4	5 4	" " " " " "
Summulmadoodoo.			
Runjan and Bakreed	10 8	10 8	" " " " " "
Moharum	33 11	33 11	" " " " " "
	44 3	44 3	" " " " " "
Doovoor.			
Runjan and Bakreed	4 6	4 6	" " " " " "
Kailgoontlah.			
Runjan and Bakreed	8 12	8 12	" " " " " "
Moharum	14 "	14 "	" " " " " "
	22 12	22 12	" " " " " "
Chitwail.			
Shabuthashaw Durgah	106 7 6	106 7 6	" " " " " "
Sundalore Hoosain Mahomud Nazeed	5 14 6	5 14 6	" " " " " "
Runjan and Bakreed	8 12	8 12	" " " " " "
Moharum	46 2 5	46 2 5	" " " " " "
	167 4 5	167 4 5	" " " " " "
Sidhout			
Runjan and Bakreed	8 12	8 12	" " " " " "
Chennoor.			
To white wash the different Mosques	1 15 6	1 15 6	" " " " " "
Runjan and Bakreed	14 9 4	14 9 4	" " " " " "
	16 8 10	16 8 10	" " " " " "
Kamalapoor.			
Runjan and Bakreed	4 14 9	4 14 8	" " " " 1
Goorramcondah.			
Runjan and Bakreed	8 12	8 12	" " " " " "
Poolevendlah.			
Runjan and Bakreed	15 2 8	15 2 8	" " " " " "

16992 Fort St. George, 11th December 1845.

Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded-
31-32 Read the following letter-

To. J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

33

From the Asst. Collr. of Chinglepute - - - Sir, Parat. I have the honor to trans-

Transmitting 3 Statements of Revenue Collections charges and surplus profits of the attached Shrotrium Villages under the management of the Collector for Fusly 1254-

mit herewith Statements of Revenue Collection Charges and surplus proceeds of attached Shrotrium Villages placed by the Zillah Court of Chinglepute under the management of the Collector for Fusly 1254-

2- The half share belonging to Mootteappa Saick in the Shrotrium Villages of Attevauttum and Poonavauttum and exhibited in the Statements of Fusly 1253

15th Novr 1844- having been restored to the Proprietor under orders from the Civil Judge of Chinglepute those Villages are omitted in the accompanying Statements-

3- The trifling decrease of Revenue in the Villages Nos 6 and 7 entered in Statement No 1 arises from a slight diminution of cultivation in the former Villages, and decrease of produce in the latter, and does not appear to call for particular explanation-

Chinglepute District. - - - - }
Collectors Cutcherry - - - - - }
Pallecarneey, 18th November 1845 }

/Signed/ E. Maltby
ag Collector
Comparative

16991 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Pycrackotte.

Cauzee of Do. Hemmed	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	$3	14 4
Hoolkaiz Shaw Dugah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	1 10
Mucta Mazeed	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	14 11
Moyetkham Mazeed	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	10 "
Coulthayarkham Mazeed	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	14 11
Cibbla Mazeed	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	7 10
Ex Duttagee Canteyah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	69
Runjan and Co Ereen	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		12 4
								12	2 7
Total								46	3 5
Grand Total								16	4 13

Abstract.

Total of Pagoda and Rooque allowances inclusive	30	30	11 4
of Cazeeship Lands & Privileges			
Pagoda and Rooque allowances			
Grand Total			

30 7 0
4 " "
26 7 0

104 4 4
53 14 5
158 " 9

53 14 10
70 " "
123 14 10

Cuddalore 11th July 1845.

E. O. Excepted
Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collecting Collector.

Published

11th December 1845.

of Chinglepute under the management of the Collector for

Mailwarum including Summah Meerah &c.	Value		Nagadiot or ready Money Collection		Total Beriz including Nagadiot		Settlement Beriz		Rented for one Year and more than one year		Total Beriz of Fasly 1253	
12	13		14		15		16		17		18	
Coll. mm	Rupees	a p.	Rupees	a p.	Rupees	a p.	Rupees	a p.	Rupees	a p.	Rupees	a p.
									750	" "	750	" "
									750	" "	750	" "
									8228	"	8228	"
Settlement	1877	11	215	"	170	6 11	167	15 9		" "	167	15 9
	969½		1388	"	"	11	1397		1397	" "	1397	
	969½		181	" 7	2	15 11	184	" 6	181	9 4	8228	" 1,004 1 4
	969½		181	" 7	2	15 11	184	" 6	181	9 4	1,5728	" 1,754 1 4

16994

Fort St George.

11th December 1845.

Fusslees 1253 and 1254-

1254.

Fusly Summary- Punjay-

Punjay-

Extent of Land-	Gross Produce-	Mailwarum including Summah Meerah &ca	Value-	Extent of Land-	Gross Produce-	Mailwarum including Summah Meerah &ca	Value-
19	20	21	22	23	24-		
Cols	a Rs	Cols m m	Rupees a P	Cs	a s	Cols m m	
18 2¾	as per Ryotwar Settlement	154 1 10	2 4 7/8	as per Ryotwar			
3 9	6 2 2	3 1 4	7 4 9	1 2½			3 7
21 11¾	6 2 2	3 1 4	161 6 7	3 7 3/8			3 7
21 11¾	6 2 2	3 1 4	161 6 7	3 7 3/8			3 7

Total-

Mailwarum including Summah Meerah &ca	Value-	Extent of Land-	Gross Produce-	Mailwarum including Summah Meerah &ca	Value-
25	26	27	28	29	30-
Cols m m	Rupees a P	Cs a s	Cols m m	Cols m m	Rupees a P
Settlement-	4 6 0	20 7/8	as per Ryotwar Settlement		168 7 10
1 10 1	3 4 2	4 11½	9 9 5	4 11 5	10 8 11
1 10 1	7 10 2	25 3/8	9 9 5	4 11 5	169 0 9
1 10 1	7 10 2	25 3/8	9 9 5	4 11 5	169 0 9

16995 Fort St George

11th December 1845.

Fusly 1254 (Cont.)

Summary (Cont.)

Nagadiot or ready Money Collection.	Total Reviz including Nagadiot.	Settlement Reviz.	Rented for one year and more than one year.	Total Reviz of Fusly 1254.	Comparison between Cols 18 and 35. Increase	Decrease
31	32	33	34	35.	36	37.
Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.
" "	" "	" "	750 " "	750 " "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	750 " "	750 " "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	8228 "	8228 "	" "	" "
2 14 11	161 6 9	158 2 7	" "	158 2 7	" "	9 13 2
" "	10 8 11	10 8 11	" "	10 8 11	" "	3 " 8
2 14 11	171 15 8	168 11 6	8228 "	991 3 6	" "	12 13 11
2 14 11	171 15 8	168 11 6	15728 "	1741 3 6	" "	12 13 11

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry Pulloor

Arrears-

Fusly 1254-	Old arrears up to Fusly 1253-	Total-	Remarks
38	39	40	41.
Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	

18th November 1845. E. E. P. S. (Signed) E. Maltby
Acting Collector
Statement.

11th December 1845.

held under the management of the Collector of Chinglepute for fusly 1254.

Total of Col.s 12 and 13.	Net Revenues or Surplus Funds	Particulars of Col. 15. Amount remitted to the Court.	Amount remaining in the Treasury	Remarks.
12	15	16	17	18.
Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	
576 4 8	407 4 6	407 4 6	" " "	
705 6 4	117 1 8	117 1 8	" " "	Eggayem Pamiahs name is entered instead of Narsimmiah &ca/ See Statement for preceding Fusly/ for though both parties are Shotriemdars the balance on account of which the property was attached by order of the Zillah Court is due by Eggayem Pamiah only as adopted Son of C. Audiah.
155 7 4	2 11 3	2 11 3	" " "	
5 13 "	4 15 2	4 15 2	" " "	
1442 15 4	532 " 7	532 " 7	" " "	

C. C. Pr/Signed/ C. Maltby
Acting Collector

Ordered

16997 Fort St. George, 11th December 1845.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut.

(33-34)

To the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut.

From Govt 28th inst. 30th July 1829.
From Do 17th inst. 20 Dec. 1830.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government noted in the margin, requiring that accounts be furnished to the Civil Courts of the surplus funds of the Estates under management of the Collectors by order of such Courts, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to the Register of the Sudr Udalut for the information of the Zillah Court of Chingleput an account furnished by the Collector of that District shewing the state of the revenue for fusly 1254 of the Estates placed under his charge by that Court.

Enclosure No. 3 in a letter from the Coll. of Chingleput dated 18th November 1845 in Cons. 11th Decmber - -

The above account has been compared with the accounts furnished by the Collector to this Office and is certified to be correct.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Endorsement on Statement No. 3.

I hereby certify that this Statement has been compared with the account furnished to this Office by the Collector of Chingleput and has been found to be correct.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
11th December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Proceedings

16998 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Read letter from the Collector of Salem dated 11th October 1845 submitting his Report on the settlement of the Extra sources of Revenue in his District for Fusly 1254 A. D. 1844/45

In Con. 16th Oct. 1845.

(35)

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Extra sources of Revenue in the District of Salem for Fusly 1254.

/ Here enter No. 136 /

thereon:-

The annexed Statement shews in Abstract the settlement of the several sources of Extra Revenue for the year under report as compared with the preceding.-

Items	Settlement of Fusly 1253.	Settlement of Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease
1 Sayer	121129 2 3	16927 11 8	" " "	104201 6 7
2 Abkarry	84575 " "	89230 " "	4655 " "	" " "
3 Sundry small Farms Vea	9570 6 9	8621 15 9	" " "	948 7 "
4 Motturpha	82265 9 2	82758 5 2	492 12 "	" " "
5 Stamps	16741 9 "	12736 1 2	" " "	4005 7 10
Total	314281 11 2	210274 1 9	5147 12 "	109155 5 5
		Deduct Increase		5147 12 "
		Net Decrease		104007 9 5

2- In consequence of a great De=
=crease in the Sayer and stamp Revenue,
caused by the operation of Act VI of 1844, =
and also of a falling off under the head
of small Farms &c. / which deficiency was
but partially counterbalanced by the im=
=provement in the Revenue derived from the
Abkarry and Moturpha / the settlement of
Fusly 1254 exhibits a Net Decrease below that
of Fusly 1253 of Rupees 1,04,007—9—5-

Sayer- 3- The sayer Revenue of Fusly
1254 shews a decrease of Rupees 1,04,201—6—7-
compared with that derived from this source
in the preceding year, and is explained to
have arisen from the provision of the act
above quoted- the Collections in the two years
amounted respectively to Rupees 16,927—11—8
and 12,1129—2—3.-

Charges-	
Fusly 1253—	15,165—2—7
" —1254—	2,032—8—8
Decrease—	13,132—9—11

4- In the charges there was
a decreased disbursement of Rs
13,132—9—11- consequent upon the
abolition of the sayer Establish=
=ments, which being deducted from the
Gross decrease in the collection, reduced =
the net deficiency to Rupees 91,238—13—7 =
below the net Revenue of Fusly 1253.-

Abkarry- 5- The Abkarry Rent for the year

year under report amounted to Rupees 89,230, =
being in excess of that of the preceding Fusly
by Rupees 4,655, arising from the brisker com=
=petition among the bidders- Including a small
amount of Extra Revenue the Gross Revenue
which was also the net Revenue of the year
from this source presents an increase of Rupees
4,644—1—1- above the year preceding.-

Small Farms &c. 6- The sundry sources of Revenue
under this head yielded a less outturn than
in that of the preceding year by Rupees =
P. 11 & 12- 948—7—" as particularized in the Abstract
Statement No. 3 appended to the Collectors' report
and explained in detail in Para 12 of his =
P. 13- report- Of the Total demand of this Fusly
Rupees 8,621—15—9, the Board are gratified
to find that Rupees 8,231—3—9- have been =
collected within the Fusly, and Rupees
297—10—" subsequently in august last, =
leaving only a small balance of Rupees
43—2—" to be collected.-

Moturpha	
Fusly 1253—	82,265—9—2
" — 54—	82,758—5—2
Increase—	492—12—"

7- Under this head there
is an increase in the Current
Demand of Rupees 492—12—" above
that of the preceding Fusly- From
Para 15- the explanations submitted in Para 15 of the =
Collectors' report and the statements appended,
the result of the Fusly under notice shews

an

an aim Moturpha of Rupees 41,836-12-, being Rupees 1,248-13-3 below that of the antecedent Fusly and a Loom Tax of Rupees 43,259-9-9- exceeding by Rupees 1,599-4- the sum realized from that item in Fusly 1253, which latter increase is stated to have arisen from the augmented number of Looms set up during the year under review.-

8.- The particulars of the items composing the Moturpha are detailed in the Statement annexed to Para 15- the only increase in the 6 heads therein mentioned being under that of "Courts" the tax upon which was in excess of the year preceding by Rupees 146- this circumstance is ascribed to the state of repair in which the high roads throughout the District are kept, thereby affording increased facility of transit for the traffic of the District. Deducting this increase from the decreased tax on Trades and Houses, as detailed in the above statement, a net decrease of Rupees 1248-13-3- was the result under this Head.-

Stamps 9.- The Collections from the sales of stamp Paper amounted to Rupees 12,736-1-2- being Rupees 4005-7-10- below those of the preceding year- There has been an increase of Rupees 2,423-9-2- in the sale of Law Papers,

Para 17

Para 18

Papers, from the circumstance of increased litigation in the Civil Courts, and a decrease of Rupees 6,429-1- in that of the Sayer Rowanahs, from the abolition of the Sayer and frontier Chowkies.-

Demand Collection & Balance.- 10- The amount of Current Demand realized within the Fusly was Rupees 2,05,480-5-10- and the subsequent collections in September amounted to Rupees 2,623-9-10, leaving Rupees 2,170-2-1- then outstanding- The whole of this balance with the exception of a small sum of Rupees 34-2-, on account of Sundry small Farms, which will be ultimately collected is stated to be due under the head of Moturpha, for the recovery of this large arrear the Collector observes that measures have been taken, the result of which he will be prepared to report hereafter.-

Extra Collections 11- The trifling fluctuations apparent under the above heads do not appear to require any particular remarks.-

Old Balance 12- At the close of the Fusly under review there was an outstanding balance on account of Moturpha due for Fusties 1252 and 1253 amounting in the Gross to Rupees 463-13-11- Of this the Collector reports/ Para 24/ that the sum of Rupees 193-3-6- is totally irrecoverable from the death, emigration

emigration and poverty of the defaulters, the Board beg therefore to support his recommendation that this sum may be written off in the Public Accounts to profit and loss.-

13- Adverting to the requisition of Government in the 2d Para of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 31st December 1844 the Board would remark with reference to the observations of the Collector that tho' properly speaking articles were liable to duty on passing a Chowkey whether placed on the limits of the District or elsewhere yet that the practice would appear to have obtained of Collecting duty on the Goods subject to tax when exposed to sale at the several weekly fairs held within the limits of the respective Cowkies, which system was acted upon with a view to the security of the Revenue and was also said to be conducive to the convenience of the different traders in the articles upon which the general inland duty was payable but which has now been put a final stop to by the operation of Act VI of 1844.-

in Ft. 13th Jany. 1846

Paras 25 & 26-

14 In the concluding Para of the Extract minutes of Consultation above adverted to the opinion of the Board of Revenue was desired not only with reference to the District of Salem but to all in which similar petty demands were made as to the expediency of continuing the Collection

Collection of certain trifling items under the general head of small Farms the realization of which would seem calculated to subject the people to annoyance without being at the same time adequate to the trouble and expense of Collection.-

15.- The only items of the above description which would appear to exist in the Salem District are the rents for Bamboos, confined to one Talook, for sealing was also limited to one Talook adjoining Mysore and the rent of Vapady Leaves, the whole of which the Collector recommends should be discontinued as producing but an inconsiderable revenue tho' attended with annoyance especially to the poorer classes the Board concur generally in these views but as the question of the discontinuance of trifling items of this description generally is now under their consideration they would defer the expression of their opinion until the subject shall have been laid before Government in all its details.-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative statement of the collections charges and net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Coll.r of Salem for his information and guidance.

Fusly

17005

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Salem - Fusly 1254.

		Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease
		C R. a P	C R. a P	C R. a P	C R. a P
Sayer	Demand	12,112 9 2 3	16,927 11 8	" " "	104,201 6 7
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	12,112 9 2 3	16,927 11 8	" " "	104,201 6 7
	Extra D.	234 7 10	64 6 11	" " "	170 " 11
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	12,136 3 10 1	16,992 2 7	" " "	104,371 7 6
	Charges	15,165 2 7	2,032 8 8	" " "	13,132 9 11
	Net Revenue	10,198 7 6	14,959 " 11	" " "	91,238 13 7
Abkarry	Demand	84575 " "	89,230 " "	4,655 " "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	84,575 " "	89,230 " "	4,655 " "	" " "
	Extra D.	41 9 6	30 10 7	" " "	10 14 11
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	84,616 9 6	89,260 10 7	4,644 1 1	" " "
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	84,616 9 6	89,260 10 7	4,644 1 1	" " "
Sundry small farms & Licenses	Demand	9,570 6 9	8,621 15 9	" " "	948 7 "
	Balance on the 11th July	1,623 2 8	340 12 "	" " "	1,282 6 8
	Current Collection	7,947 4 1	8,281 3 9	333 15 8	" " "
	Extra D.	29 12 4	58 13 "	29 " 8	" " "
	Arrears	93 2 8	1,623 2 8	1,530 " "	" " "
	Total	8070 3 1	9,963 3 5	1,893 " 4	" " "
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	8070 3 1	9,963 3 5	1,893 " 4	" " "

17006 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

		Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease
		C R. a P.	C R. a P.	C R. a P.	C R. a P.
Moturpha	Demand	82265 9 2	82758 5 2	492 12 "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	1601 " 2	4452 15 11	2851 15 9	" " "
	Current Collection	80664 9 "	78305 5 3	" " "	2359 3 9
	Extra D.o	215 " 11	256 8 7	41 7 8	" " "
	Arrears	657 " 10	1196 5 "	539 4 2	" " "
	Totah	81536 10 9	79758 2 10	" " "	1778 7 11
	Charges	10452 4 6	10452 4 6	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	71084 6 3	69305 14 4	" " "	1778 7 11
Stamps	Demand	16741 9 "	12736 1 2	" " "	4005 7 10
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	16741 9 "	12736 1 2	" " "	4005 7 10
	Extra D.o	6954 "	6568 9	" " "	38 11 3
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Totah	17436 13 "	13392 9 11	" " "	4044 3 1
	Charges	8352 "	6265 9	" " "	2087 12 3
	Net Revenue	16601 11 "	12766 4 2	" " "	3835 6 10
Totah	Demand	3142811 2	2102741 9	" " "	104007 9 5
	Balance on the 11th July	32242 10	47931111	15699 1	" " "
	Current Collection	3110578 4	2054805 10	" " "	105577 2 6
	Extra D.o	12162 7	10661510	" " "	1492 9
	Arrears	75036	281978	20694 2	" " "
	Totah	3130231 4 5	2093661 3 4	" " "	103657 1 1
	Charges	264529 1	131112 11	" " "	13341 6 2
	Net Revenue	2865715 1/2	1962551 0 5	" " "	90315 10 11

Read

17007 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Read the following letters.-

To. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

36

From the Pl. Collr of Madura ——— Sir,

I have the honor to inclose an Extract from the audit of my accounts for fusly 1253 and request the Sanction of the Board of Revenue for the amount therein retrenched Viz.t. 758—10—

Madura ———
22d November 1845.

/Signed/ I. Blackburne.
Principal Collector.

Extract from the Retrenchments made from the accounts of the Principal Collector of Madura & Dindigul from the 12th July 1843 to the 11th July 1844 on account of Expences for fusly 1253 Dated 15th November 1845.-

No.	Charges objected to.	Rs	a	p	Remarks of the Civil Auditor
11	Amount of Extra Sent Allowance paid to Mr. D. R. Limond as Extra Assistant for 239 days in fusly 1253 at Rupees 2—10—" per diem.	758	10	"	No. 11- Extra Sent allowance of Mr. D. R. Limond being included in the Statement which received the sanction of the Board of Revenue on the 10th October 1844- The Principal Collector is requested to obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue to enable the Civil Auditor to pass the disbursement.-

/True Extract/

/Signed/ I. Blackburne.
Principal Collector

Resolution-

17008 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Resolution thereon.- The Principal Collector will be pleased to furnish a Statement in the prescribed form of the Extra Sent allowance drawn by Mr. Limond shewing the dates, place of residence &c. He will also explain why the amount was not included in the Statement for Fusly 1253.-

36-37

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

38

From the Principal Collr of Madura
Forwarding Copies of subordinate Courts Proceedings of 28 August & 18th Instant with returns of the 12th Sept. and of this date to the Receipt requiring them to cover, and asking sanction to increase the Nellacadaty Establishment to its former strength to enable him to comply with the orders of Sequestration of the Estate so that it may be dissolved from receipt to obey the precepts.-

Sir,

With reference to my letters of the 8th and 19th September last, I have the honor to forward Copies of Proceedings of the Subordinate Court of the 28th August and 18th Instant with precepts of the 9th Sept.r and 23d Instant and my returns thereto of the 12th September and of this date, directing attachment of the Cunnewaddy Zemindary for Debt, and request the Board's sanction to increase the Talook Establishment to the precise strength from which it was reduced on the dismemberment of the Zemindary on the 3d November 1843. or from

Rupees

17009 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

Rupees 199-8-0 to Rupees 565 — a Mouth as will be found in my letters of the 12th July 1844 and 4th November 1843 and their accompaniments — & that they will relieve me from responsibility of obedience to the precept.

Madura 28th November 1845. } (Signed) S. Blackburne
Principal Coll.r

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Subordinate Court of the Zillah of Madura under date the 28th August 1845.

O.S. No.2 of 1831 on the file of the late Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division. —

Read motion presented by Annasamy Vakeel for the Plaintiff in O.S. No.2 of 1831 on the file of the late Provincial Court of appeal for the Southern Division, stating that in this application a warrant was issued by this Court against the present Zemindar for the Collections of Rupees 12302-13-7 due according to the Razeenamah filed by the late zemindar of Kunnivadee both in the late Provincial and Zillah Courts, that on the motion presented by the present Zemindar 6 months time had been allowed to him for the payment of the said razeenamah amount, that the time given had already expired, that altho' no order

17010 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

order has been received from the Board of Revenue for the instalment of the present zemindar yet he holds enjoyment of the Zemindary, that he therefore should be made liable for the demands against his predecessor: that the Sudr Udalut have ruled under date the 6th May 1839 that the amount of this razeenamah should be realized from the person who enjoys the property and prays that the Court will issue a precept for the attachment of the Nunjah and Poonjah lands, cattle &c. attached to the said Zemmindary and also to place the Zemindary under attachment until the amount in question is collected. —

The Statement of the petitioner being founded on truth the Court resolves to grant his request. —

Ordered that a Copy of these Proceedings and of the motion presented by the said Vakeel be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura with a request to keep the Zemindary in question under attachment until he hears again from this Court. —

Ordered that a Precept be issued to the Principal Collector of Madura returnable as early as practicable.

(True Extract)

Received 9th Sept.r 1845. (Signed) D. R. Limond
Subordinate Judge
Madura Subordinate Court

(Seal)

O.S. No.2 of 1831 on the file of the late Provincial Court

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Court of Appeal Southern Division

In the matter of Soobbaroya Chitty and Coopooswamy Chitty — Plaintiffs

To,

The Principal Collector of Madura

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Subordinate Court under date the 28th August 1845 to the tenor of which you are requested to conform.

Given under my hand and seal of the Subordinate Court in the Zillah of Madura, this 9th day of September A.D. 1845

(Signed) D. R. Limond
Subordinate Judge

Seal

Received 9th Sept.

The Principal Collector has had the honor to receive the foregoing Precept together with Proceedings of the 28th ultimo directing sequestration of the Zemindary of Cummeredy in satisfaction of a Debt contracted by the late proprietor of the Estate and in apprising the Court of his readiness to give full effect to the Precept if repeated he begs to state that the Cumara Narsimma Appia Naik is in temporary possession of

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

of it, he holds it at present only by sufferance and expectation his right will be admitted by Government, and should that admission of right be withheld much injury will have been sustained thro' the Courts Commands. In reference to the allusion in the Proceedings of the Naik having failed to pay the Six Months had been given, the Principal Collector begs to suggest that were he recognized as Zemindar he would probably have no difficulty in raising the amount which he perhaps cannot do in the present uncertainty, and concludes with informing the Court that he recommended the recognition of the party in question on the 8th Instant, and as a couple of days afterwards he received the Board's call for report on the subject there is no reason to anticipate delay in a final determination.

Given under my hand and Seal at Madura this 12th September 1845

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

No. 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the subordinate Court of the Zillah of Madura under date the 18th of November

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

November 1845.-

O.S No. 2 of 1831 on the file of the late Provincial Court of appeal for the Southern Division. | Read again return made by the Principal Collector of Madura under date the 12th Septr 1845 to the Precept of the Subordinate Court of the 9th of the same Month, directing the Principal Collector of Madura to keep the Zemmindary of Kunnevadee under attachment until he heard further from the Subordinate Court on account of the Puzeeannah amount due by the Zemmindar-

The time limited by the Subordinate Court and probably that expected by the Principal Collector having now expired, the Court resolves to order again the attachment of the said Zemmindary.-

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura with a precept that he do keep the Zemmindary under attachement until he hears again from this Court.-

Ordered that a Precept be issued to the Principal Collector of Madura returnable as early as possible

True Extract
(Signed) D. R. Limond
Subordinate Judge
O.S.

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Madura Subordinate Court

(Seal)

O.S No. 2 of 1831 on the file of the late Provincial Court of appeal Southern Division.
In the matter of Soobbarayo Chitty and Coppasawmy Chitty - ——— | Plaintiffs

To

The Principal Collector of Madura

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the proceedings of the Subordinate Court under date the 18th Instant to the tenor of which you are requested to conform.-

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Subordinate Court of the Zillah of Madura this 25th day of November A. D. 1845.

(Signed) D. R. Limond
Subordinate Judge

Received 25th Nov.

(Seal)

The Principal Collector has had the honor to receive the foregoing Precept together with the Courts proceedings of the 18th Instant directing a new segregation of the Zemmindary of Kunnivady in satisfaction of a debt against the Estate, and begs to inform the Court he has

17015 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

has this day submitted for sanction a list of establishment to enable him to obey the exigence of the precept.

Given under my hand and seal at Madura this 28th day of November A.D. 1845

(Signed) J. Blackburne

Principal Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

38. 39

To the Pl. Coll.r of Madura

To The Principal Collector of Madura,

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th ultimo # Rec.d 11th Dec.r 1845

No 248 reporting the attachment of the Kummiwady Zemindary by order of Court for debt and requesting sanction to increase the Talook Establishment from Rupees 199-8-0 to Rupees 565 per mensem, the amount at which it stood prior to the surrender of the Zemmudary to the late Proprietor, in 3d. Nov.r 1843.

In reply I am directed by the Board to convey to you their sanction for the proposed arrangement the difference in the expence of the Talook Establishment Viz.t Rupees 365-8-0 per mensem being charged against the Kummiwady Zemmudary.

	Rs. a. p.
Establishment when the Zemindary formed part of the Talook	565 0 0
Do. retained	199 8 0
Difference now sanctioned	365 8 0

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 11th December

(Signed) J. Tycroft Secy.

To

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Read the following letter

To J. Tycroft Esq.re

Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

To the Pl. Coll.r Madura

Sir,

In answer to your Circular of the 24th Ultimo, received on the 1st Instant appra=ently prohibiting postage receipts being made use of in payment of Extra Runners and directing; as a more prompt means, that they should be paid from revenue Collections unconnected with postage and by revenue servants unconnected with the post Depart=ment; I have the honor to submit that the dif=ficulties of such proceeding in official commu=nications between the Post and revenue depart=ments, and the non presence of the Talook treasuries at many places where payments will have to be made, will greatly defeat the object of Government, besides requiring an inferior revenue servant on all occasions to be employed at disadvantage in a duty that would be performed by the post Department servant as a matter of routine

2 I take the liberty to submit the instructions now in force that have met the approbation of the Post Master General and which I hope I may be permitted to persevere in.

Madura 15th December 1845

(Signed) J. Blackburne

Pl. Coll.r

Proposed

Rides 40.41

at ₹ 6 40 per Mile at a 6 Mile stage
" 5 48½ D° 7 D°
" 4 80 D° 8 D°

D° Money Account Current of Fusly 1254 of the Tobacco Revenue in the District of Malabar for the 4th Quarter ending 11th July 1845. —.

		Cwt qr lb			
1844 July	12 To sales of 11798-3-19/20 of Tobacco as per Account Current above at the rate of R° 30-10-" to last quarter		36,133	7	10
1845 July	11th D° 5606-2-11/20 of D° in this D°		17,702	3	6
			53,836	10	16
	D° 131-3-11/20 of Confiscated Tobacco to Last Quarter		4038	11	5
	D° 88-3-25 of D° D° in this D°		2,724	12	8
			6,763	8	1
	To Amount of Extra Revenue to last Quarter		132	"	7
	" D° — D° in this D°		4,804	11	2
			4,936	11	9
	" D° from the Travancore Sirkar being Rent of Tangacherry farm for Fusly 1254		1,840	"	"
	Total Companys R°		54,579	11	2

1844 July	12 By Purchase price paid for 11,798-3-19/20 as per account particular at the average rate of R° 4-12-57/100 to last Quarter		56,411	4	11
1845 July	11 D° — D° — 5,133-3-8/20 of D° at R° 4-15-45/100 — in this ditto exclusive of Kofsura N° 1 —	25,360	5	11	81,771	10	10
	By Servants Wages to last q/r —	1744	"	"			
	D° — D° in this D° N° 2	872	"	"	2,616	"	"
	By Sundorwared charges to last quarter —		18	"	10		
	D° — D° in this D° N° 3	9	3	6	27	4	4
	By Packing charges to last quarter	863	12	"			
	D° — D° in this D° N° 4	504	4	3	1,368	"	3
	By Boat hire to last Quarter	811	"	2			
	D° — D° in this D° N° 5	76	11	1	887	11	3
	By Bullock hire for transporting Tobacco from Coimbatore to last 2/r —	8,093	7	1			
	— D° — D° in this D° N° 6	5,272	8	1	13,365	15	2
	By Armed Peons to prevent smuggling to last Quarter —	4,375	3	8			
	— D° — D° in this D° N° 7	2,190	13	1	6,566	"	9
	By Share of Confiscated Tobacco to last Quarter —	749	4	10			
	D° — D° — in this D° —	505	8	8	1,254	13	6
	By Extra Charges incurred at Coimbatore to last q/r —	364	11	1			
	D° — D° in this D° —	224	7	9	589	2	10
	By Repairs done to the Tobacco godown to last q/r	41	"	"			
	D° — D° in this D° —	42	15	10	83	15	10
	By Net Collection —				1,085	32	10
	Total Companys Rupees —				54,579	11	2

Calicut 11th July 1845 E. E. J/r / signed / H. V. Conolly Coll/r

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.—

Order on the two foregoing Statements.— Ordered to be recorded

(42-44)

Read the following letters:—.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.—
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.—
Fort St. George

(45)

From the Coll.r of Malabar ——— } Sir,

I have the honor to —

dated 1st Instant forward for the Orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying letter and Bill from my Acting Sub Collector and to re=quest the favor of your reply as early as practicable the Civil Auditor informs me that the subject of the present reference is cognizable only by the Board of Revenue.—.

Calicut ———
11th November 1845 } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1.

To. H. V. Conolly Esqre.
Collector of
Malabar

Sir,

In consideration of my being

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.—

being on special duty at Calicut as one of the Committee for the examination of Candidates for the Office of Moonsiffs I consider myself entitled to draw Extra Tent allowance from the 12th to 19th October, but should a reference to the Civil Auditor appear requisite I will thank you to make it.—.

Sub Collectors' Office—
on Circuit—
Manantoday ———
1st November 1845.— } /Signed/ R. Chatfield
Ag. Sub Collector

No. 2.

The Honorable Company.— Dr.—
To my Extra Tent allowance
whilst Provisional Member
of the Committee for the
examination

17022 Fort St. George 11th December 1845.—

examination of Candidates for the Office of Moonsiffs/ from the 12th to the 19th October 1845 being 8 days at Rupees

5— 4 —per diem ——— Rupees 42 —n—"

Manantoddy 1st November 1845 } E.E.J.r/ Signed/ R. Chatfield Ag. Sub Collector

Received this 1st day of November 1845 from the Honorable Company through the Collector of Malabar the abovementioned sum of Company's Rupees Forty two

Company's Rs. 42 —.— /Signed/ R. Chatfield Ag. Sub Coll.r

(45-46)

Resolution thereon.— Under the circumstances stated by the Acting sub Collector Mr. Chatfield the Board sanction the Extra Tent allowance Charged by him from the 12th to 19th October 1845 while residing at Calicut the Hoozoor station.—

Order thereon Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

To

17023 Fort St. George 11th December 1845

To J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(47)

From the Coll.r of Malabar

Submitting the Statement required by the Boards Proceedings of the 10th April last shewing the Establishment for the remaining Chowkies on the Cochin territory together with one exhibiting the charges incurred on account of the Customs Establishment from the 12th July 1845 to the date on which the Chowkies on the Mysore frontier were abolished & explaining the cause why they were not submitted sooner.

Rd 23d Decr 1845 Recd 30th July

Dated 19th Sept.r 1845.

Sir,

In obedience to the instructions of the Board under date the 10th April last I beg to send a Statement shewing the Establishment in this District for the remaining Chowkies on the Cochin territory together with one exhibiting the charges incurred on account of the Customs Establishment from 12th July 1845 to 30th March 1845 the date on which the Chowkies on the Mysore Frontier were abolished.

2. This report would have been sent sooner had I not, as the Board are aware from the correspondence in the Margin been employed in attempting to ascertain whether an arrangement might not be made to do away with the necessity of keeping up Chowkies on the Frontier of Cochin at all.

But my expectations are at end from the tenor of a communication from General Cullen who explains that the Dewan of Cochin tho' sufficiently sensible of the

the desirableness of removing our chowkies is indisposed to make a correspond-ing removal on his part - The com-munication will be found in the appendix - I have delayed sending it hitherto under the idea that the General might be induced in conse-quence of a private note I wrote him, to have the matter reconsidered - But it would seem there is no probability of this, and I delay therefore no longer.

The alterations in my Establishment as now proposed are based on the experience I have derived from the last 18 Months - there is a reduction it will be seen of Rupees 131 per

per Month - The pay of the Single Gomas-=tah whom I propose for the duty of overlooking Vizt. the accounts in the Huz-=zoor Establishment is reduced from 17½ to 13 Rupees per Month, in consequence of the abolition of the Mysore Chowkies -

Calicut
22d. November 1845

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector -

Statement

17026

Fort St. George

11th December 1845.-

No 1.

Statement shewing the present and proposed Establishment at the Frontier Chowkies in the District of Malabar.-

Names of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	Establishment as it stood before the abolition on the 30th March 1845 of the chowkies on the Mysore Frontier.			Present Establishments			Comparison	
		No	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount of Pay per Mensem	No	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount of Pay per Mensem	Increase	Decrease
1	2		3	4		5	6	7	8
	Huzzoor Cutchery								
	Goomastah	1	17 8 0	17 8 0	1	13 0 0	13 0 0	0 0 0	4 8 0
	Sauderwared	0	1 0 0	1 0 0	0	1 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Total			18 8 0			14 0 0	0 0 0	14 0 0
Pandamanagaram Chowkey	Chowkeydar	1	9 0 0	9 0 0	1	9 0 0	9 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Kolkars	4	2 8 0	10 0 0	4	2 8 0	10 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Sauderwared	0	0 8 0	0 8 0	0	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Total			19 8 0			19 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Codooayoor Chowkey	Chowkedar	1	8 0 0	8 0 0	1	8 0 0	8 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Kolkars	2	2 8 0	5 0 0	2	2 8 0	5 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Sauderwared	0	0 8 0	0 8 0	0	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Total			13 8 0			13 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Wadadakencherry Chowkey	Chokewdar	1	12 0 0	12 0 0	1	12 0 0	12 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Goomastah	1	7 0 0	7 0 0	1	7 0 0	7 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Kolkars	3	2 8 0	7 8 0	3	2 8 0	7 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Sauderwared	0	0 12 0	0 12 0	0	0 12 0	0 12 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Total			27 4 0			27 4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0

Fort St. George

Establishment as it stood before the abolition on the 30th March 1845 of the Chowkies on the Mysore Frontier.

11th December 1845

Names of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	Present establishment: No.	Present establishment: Rate of Pay per Mensem	Present establishment: Amount of Pay per Mensem	Comparison: Increase	Comparison: Decrease	
		Number	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount of Pay per Mensem	Increase	Decrease	
1	2		3	4	5	6	
Pattoor Ditto	Chowkedar	1	5 " "	5 " "	1	" " "	" " "
	Kolkars	2	2 8 "	5 " "	2	" " "	" " "
	Sanderwared	"	" 8 "	" 8 "	"	" " "	" " "
	Total			10 8 "			
Pennanoor Ditto	Chowkedar	1	5 " "	5 " "	1	" " "	" " "
	Kolkars	1	2 8 "	2 8 "	1	" " "	" " "
	Sanderwared	"	" 8 "	" 8 "	"	" " "	" " "
	Total			8 " "			
Parrah Ditto	Chowkedar	1	5 " "	5 " "	1	" " "	" " "
	Kolkars	1	2 8 "	2 8 "	1	" " "	" " "
	Sanderwared	"	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "
	Total			7 8 "			
Moonday Ditto	Chowkedar	1	5 " "	5 " "	1	" " "	" " "
	Kolkars	1	2 8 "	2 8 "	1	" " "	" " "
	Sanderwared	"	" 4 "	" 4 "	"	" " "	" " "
	Total			7 12 "			
Pallaproom Ditto	Chowkedar	1	6 " "	6 " "	1	" " "	" " "
	Kolkars	2	2 8 "	5 " "	2	" " "	" " "
	Sanderwared	"	" 8 "	" 8 "	"	" " "	" " "
	Total			11 8 "			
Kodaparamba Ditto	Chowkedar	1	10 " "	10 " "	"	2 " "	This Chowkey was before at Chow-ghauti-
	Goomastah	"	" " "	" " "	"	7 " "	
	Kolkars	4	3 " "	12 " "	"	" " "	
	Sanderwared	"	1 " "	1 " "	"	" " "	
	Total			23 " "		9 " "	

17028

Fort St. George

Establishment as it stood before the abolition on the 31st March 1845 of the Chowkies in the Mysore Frontier

11th December 1845

Names of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	Present Establishment: Number	Present Establishment: Rate of Pay per Mensem	Present Establishment: Amount of Pay per Mensem	Comparison: Increase	Comparison: Decrease	
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	
Enamavoo Chowkey	Chowkedar	1	7	7			This Chowkey is proposed to be removed to Chitatoor
	Kolkars	2	3	6			
	Sanderwared			6			
	Total			13 6			
Chetney Ditto	Chowkedar	1	7	7			
	Kolkars	2	3	6			
	Sanderwared			6			
	Total			13 6			
Wallapaal Ditto	Chowkedar	1	6	6			
	Kolkars	2	3	6			
	Sanderwared			6			
	Total			12 6			
Koonakolongara Ditto	Chowkedar	1	6	6			
	Kolkars	2	3	6			
	Sanderwared		8	8			
	Total			12 8			
Aapoongal Ditto	Chowkedar	1	6	6		6	
	Kolkars	2	3	6	1	3	
	Sanderwared		8	8		8	
	Total			12 8	3	9 8	
Bawally Ditto	Chowkedar	1	20	20		20	
	Goornastah	1	10	10		10	
	Kolkars	5	4	20		20	
	Sanderwared		18	18		18	
	Total			51 8		51 8	

1709

Fort St. George

11th December 1845

Establishment as it stood before the abolition on the 31st March 1845 of the Chowkies on the Mysore Frontier.

Names of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	No.	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount of Pay per Mensem	Present Establishment: Number	Present Establishment: Rate of Pay per Mensem	Present Establishment: Amount of Pay per Mensem	Comparison: Increase	Comparison: Decrease
1	2		3	4		5	6	7	8
Eddeteracode Chowkey	Chowkedar	1	12	12					12
	Goomastah	1	8	8					8
	Kolkars	4	4	16					16
	Sauderwared		1 8	1 8					1 8
	Total			37 8					37 8
Goodaloor Ditto	Chowkedar	1	10	10					10
	Kolkars	2	4	8					8
	Sauderwared		1	1					1
	Total			19					19
Mondal Ditto	Sheristadar	1	28	28	1	28	28		
	Gomastah	1	14	14	1	14	14		
	Weigher	1	10 8	10 8	1	10 8	10 8		
	Dafadar	1	7	7	1	7	7		
	Peons	12	5	60	12	5	60		
	Kolkars	8	5	40	8	5	40		
	Ditto	6	4	24	6	4	24		
	Crew of the Round Boat	1	4	4	1	4	4		
	Ditto — Ditto	2	3	6	2	3	6		
	Sauderwared		1 2	1 2		1 2	1 2		
	Total			194 10			194 10		
	Grand Total			522 12			391 12		131

E. E. Pr.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement.

17030

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

No 2

Statement of the Actual Charges incurred on account of the Establishment at the Frontier Chowkies in the District of Malabar from 12th July 1844 to 30th March 1845 the date on which the Chowkies on the Mysore frontier were abolished.

	Establishment	Sundry Contingent charges	Mahrattah Charges	Total
July 1844	432 " "	15 " "	" " "	432 12 7
August "	432 " "	19 9 2	" 2 4	454 8 5
September "	432 " "	18 1 6	" " "	450 9 3
October "	432 " "	15 6 8	" " "	454 11 5
November "	431 " "	14 " "	" " "	450 " 1
December "	429 8 "	14 " "	" " "	455 4 "
January 1845	485 4 1	14 " "	" " 1 8 "	510 14 1
February "	504 8 3	14 " "	" 3 2	510 13 "
March " 1st to 30th	459 2 10	17 " "	" " "	510 9 3
Total	4,005 1 2	165 14 1	2 7 2	4302 8 4

E. E. Fr. (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

To

17031

Fort St. George 11th December 1845.

No 3

To

H. V. Conolly Esqr.
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward to you Copy of the report of the Dewan of Cochin on the question referred in your letter No 1125 of the 9th of July last.

I hope you may be of opinion that the Dewan has very clearly proved that the abolition of the Chowkies on the Company's side will not be productive of the inconveniencies or loss so much apprehended by the Board of Revenue.

In your letter to the Board No 37 of 25th April last you observe, that "the net gain derivable from these latter Chowkies is merely 2700 Rupees per Annum, and it seems questionable whether so small an amount of profit should be put in the balance with the annoyance, oppression, and hindrance to trade which inevitably result from Collections of this nature" Nothing can be more just or liberal than the above views, and I trust the Board of Revenue may on the explanations now forwarded be disposed to adopt them without the severe condition of an

an abolition of the Sirkar Chowkies which would occasion a loss of Revenue which they are but ill able to afford.-

Resident's Office, Cochin,
12th September 1815. } (Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.-

No. 250/1815

To
Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
& Cochin.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 918 under date the 12th Instant forwarding further communication No. 1125 of the 9th from the Collector of Malabar on the subject of the proposed abolition of the Company's Chowkies on the Cochin Frontier.-

2. The establishment of those Chowkies after the abolition of the Transit duties in the Madras Territories was unquestionably a source of much annoyance and oppression to the people and a considerable hindrance to trade.- Mr. Conolly's proposal to abolish them is I think both considerate and politic, particularly as the loss resulting from it to the Company's Government is so trifling.-

I

3. I do not think there is much reason to apprehend that in the event of such abolition the produce of Malabar would be taken for Shipment to any Port or Ports in Cochin and Travancore. My grounds for so thinking are these. In the first place there are several Ports within Malabar, much nearer than any Ports in the abovementioned States, such as Ponany, Chowghaut, and Attipooram which the traders under every circumstance would prefer, as otherwise they would have to incur the additional expenses of carriage and the payment of two duties, one to Cochin & the other to Travancore, either as export or frontier duty. Secondly on Exporting their goods from any Port in Cochin or Travancore, Merchants would lose the indulgence accorded in the Several Ports belonging to the Presidencies of Madras, Bombay and Bengal to goods shipped in Malabar or any other part of the Companies territories. 3rdly. Nor do I think for two of the reasons above stated Viz. the expense of Carriage and the payment of two duties to Cochin and Travancore that European or other Goods imported at any Port in the above States would be introduced into Malabar in any quantities affecting injuriously the Sea

Custom

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Custom revenue of the District.

4th Dy.

With regard to the Revenue Board's recommendation to abolish the Chowkies on the side of the Cochin Frontier in the event of the concession sought by Mr. Conolly's being granted I beg to observe that the Cochin Circar, as you are aware, abolished their transit duties nearly nine years ago and that since that time they have no Chowkies excepting those on the Frontiers of Malabar and Travancore and as the abolition of those on the Malabar frontier would be attended with a considerable diminution of the Custom Revenue of this Government the Board on being made aware of this fact, will, I am sure no longer think of making the abolition of the Frontier Chowkies on the Malabar side contingent on the giving up of those on the side of Cochin.

Huzzoor Cutcherry,
Ernacollum, ---
18th July 1845 = ---

/Signed/ Shungra Warrier
Dewan.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident.
/True Copies/
/Signed/ W. V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 11th December 1845

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation 21st June last, requesting the Board to submit their opinion on the suggestion made by the Collector of Malabar in his letter of 25th April 1845, for the abolition of the Custom Houses on the Cochin Frontier, As also of Extract Minutes of Consultation 14th October last, transmitting to the Board with reference to the previous communication, Extract from the Political Diary of the Resident of Cochin upon the same subject.—

In reply I am instructed to state

2. that on receipt of the first of the Extracts above acknowledged, the Board called upon the Collector of Malabar to explain, whether, in the event of the Custom Chowkies on the Cochin Frontier being withdrawn, there was no reason to apprehend, that the produce of Malabar might be taken for shipment to the Cochin and Travancore Ports, and vice versa goods be imported at those Ports and introduced by

47-48

To the Chief Sect. to Government.—

Stating with reference to Extracts Minutes of Consultations dated 21st June & 11th October last that the Board cannot advise the discontinuance of the Company's Chowkies on the Cochin Frontiers and recommending for sanction an Establishment proposed by the Collector amounting to Rs. 391-12- also requesting sanction for the actual Frontier Sayer Charges incurred from 3d July 1844 to 30th March 1845 amounting to Rs. 4302-8-4.

3d July 1845.

1st Cons. 5th Aug. 1845. 2d Cons. 16th October 1845.

by land into Malabar, to the prejudice in both cases of the Sea Custom revenue of that province - and a loss of revenue be thus indirectly Caused to the Circar in addition to the direct loss attendant on the removal of the Chokies, which Mr. Conolly judging from past receipts had estimated at only Rupees 2,700 annually - They also intimated that in the event of these Custom Houses being withdrawn, the removal on the part of the Cochin Rajah of any Chokies which he might have established on his side of the Frontier, would also be expected - They had previous to the above requisition under date 10th April 1845, called upon the Collector to revise his Frontier Establishment in reference to the recent abolition of the Mysore Chokies - and to forward a Statement shewing the actual Charges incurred under the head of Frontier Customs in his District from the 12th July 1844 or beginning of Fusly 1254, to the date on which the Mysore Chonkies had been removed, in order that sanction might be obtained for the whole disbursement -

3- In his letter of 22d Ultimo herewith

In Cons: 11th Decr 1845

herewith forwarded. Mr. Conolly has not furnished explanation in regard to the extent to which the removal of the Cochin Chokies might affect the Malabar Sea Custom Revenue - It would seem that he deemed it unnecessary to discuss that part of the question because the result of his communication with the Cochin authorities had shown that however sensible they might be of the relief to be expected from the withdrawal of the Company's Custom Houses - they were not prepared to make a corresponding removal on their own part - Mr. Conolly has therefore submitted for sanction the establishment which will henceforth be required for the Cochin Frontier Custom Houses -

4- The Board regret that the exertions of the Collector to carry out the liberal design originated in his letter of the 25th April last should have proved unavailing - but they cannot advise the discontinuance of the Company's Chokies on the Cochin Borders, if those of the Cochin state are to remain and they therefore beg to recommend that the Establishment proposed by Mr. Conolly and amounting to Rupees 391-12- may receive sanction - They also beg to request sanction for the actual Frontier

Frontier Sayer Charges incurred from July 12 - 1844 to 30th March 1845 amounting to Rupees 4302 — 8 — 4 -

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 11th December, 1845 — (Signed) J. Sycroft. Secretary.

Read the undermentioned registers.

49 From the Collr of Nellore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of November last.

50 From the Princl Collr of Madura. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of November last.

49 - 51 Order on the two abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To The Principal Collector of Canara.

51 To the Princl Collr of Canara. Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish without further delay the statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption

consumption for the Quarter ending 31st ult. October last.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th December, 1845 — (Signed) J. Sycroft. Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

53 From the Dy. Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of the Military Department of his Office.

53 - 54 Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

55 From the Asst Collr in the Asst Dy. of Arcot. Return of Village Officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of October last.

56 From the Collr of Masulipatam. Indent for stationery and sundries required for the year 1846.

56 - 57 Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

58 From the Princl Collr of Madura. Stating that no salt was confiscated during the Month of November last.

59 From the Collr of Malabar. Statement shewing the Quantity of smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of October last.

59 - 60 Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the Statement be authorized.

61 From the Collr of Nellore. Return of persons charged with

with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of November last.-

62 From the Coll.r of Salem.- Forwarding an application

62-63 from the Sub Collector for Stationery.-

Order thereon- Ordered that the Stationery required in the abovementioned letter be passed with the Collector's Indent.-

64 From the Coll.r of Salem.- Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831.

65 From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.- Indent on the Ordnance Officer at Palamcottah for articles required for the use of his Collectorate.-

65-66 Order thereon- Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.-

67 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.- Informing that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of November last.-

68 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.- Informing that no petitions were referred for disposal during the Month of November last.-

" J. Bruce

" A. Macleod

" Goldingham

Diary.

Received the following letter.-

12th Decr.-

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.-

Sir,

1 From the Collr of Rajahmundry Nos 1 & 2 / Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court Dd. 28th Novr. 1845.- With reference to my letter of 17th ultimo, I have the honor to enclose Copy of a letter (and its enclosure) from the subordinate Judge of Rajahmundry, dated the 2d Instand directing the sale of the Bommoor Village according to his former Proceedings of 9th September last, and to state that the sale has been fixed to take place on Monday the 5th January 1846 next at Rajahmundry.-

Rajahmundry District Circar Canada ----- 5th December 1845 } /Signed/ T. Prendergast Collector.-

No. 1.

To, T. Prendergast Esqre

Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to the accompanying Extract of Proceedings of the Civil Court of the 28th November 1845.- to request that you will proceed with the sale of the Village of Bommoor according to the Proceedings of this Court of

of the 9th September last.

Rajahmundry ----
Subordinate Court
2d December 1845

/Signed/ J. Rohde
Subordinate Judge.-

No. 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Rajahmundry dated 28th November 1845.-

Read again Petition No. 509 of 1845 this Court's file presented by Badavaraze Ramachendrarow and Cawloory Vaumapiah as recorded in this Court's Proceedings of the 1st and November 1845.-

Read also Return from the Subordinate Judge dated the 22d to the Court's Precept of the 1st November 1845. forwarding all the Proceedings and Correspondence connected with the above case.-

Read also Proceedings of the Subordinate Judge on Extra Petition No. 509 of 1845, together with the Return of the Collector dated 2d September 1845, and the Orders of the Subordinate Judge that the Village of Bommoor should be sold with reservation of the rights of the tenant on lease and that the Garden at Rajahmundry should be sold.-

1. The claim of the Cross Claimants who are Cawloory Vaumapiah daughter and

and Badavaraze Ramachendrarow Son-in-law of Catcherlacottah Lutchmedavamah to the Village of Bommoor on the ground of an alleged advance of 2000 Rupees, was rejected by the Subordinate Judge, on the ground that the bonds given to the Plaintiffs in Original Suit Nos. 340, 348, 349, 350 and 351 of 1841 Contained a general Mortgage of all property real and personal, which could not therefore be alienated to others, and because one of the parties is the daughter of the borrower, and was in 1837 (when the loan was made) too young to have or to lend Money.--

2. The 2d Defendant in those 5 Suits Nos. 340 &c. of 1841 executed bonds &c. which bound in the first instance various portions of land, and failing them the Raly and Vadentavadda Estates, and all other real and personal property. The Bonds were affirmed in Court but the 2d Defendant Catcherlacottah Lutchmedavamah was decreed to pay the sum sued for and admitted by her to have been borrowed, but the decree provided that "no portion of the property mentioned "in the said Document (to which Catcher- "lacota Rimanah (1st Defendant) "may be entitled) shall become liable "for the said Amount."

The

3. The Village of Bommoor tho' not expressed in those bonds is included in the general Mortgage of all the property real and personal. It is not property to which Cotcherlacota Ramanah may be entitled, and therefore is not excempted from liability under those bonds. The Claim of Plaintiff to have the Village under his decree was made on 17th July, and on the 22d a Precept was sent by the Subordinate Court to the Collector to attach the village and sell &c garden. the Collector Communicated with the Darogah of Rajahmundry and ascertained the existence of a lease which he made known to the Subordinate Judge on the 2d September, and on the 9th September the Subordinate Judge ordered the attachment and eventual sale of the Village with reservation of the lease on its terms. On the 11th of September this Profs Claim is brought up.—

4. Considering the near relation ship of the parties and the Conclusive fact that in 1837 the daughter was too young to lend Money. and Considering that the Order of the Subordinate Judge of 17th July must have been beyond a doubt made known to 2d Defendant that very day, or the next day and

and as the enquiries made by the Darogah through the Curnum, which elicited the circumstance of the lease, must have been notorious to all the Village, it may be considered certain that had this loan been real, and the bond genuine, it would then have been put forth, and its not being mentioned then is conclusive that it has since been made.—

5. The Vakeel has been asked if there is any allusion any where in public records to the existence of this bond, but he states that none can be produced. The loan of 1,000 Rupees said to have been made in 1837 was not entered in any Bond. The 2d loan of 1,000 Rupees was said to have been made in 1842, and even then no bond is said to have been taken. The bond bears date 15th October 1844, and is given to two persons both closely connected with the giver, but in no way connected with each other, and this is in no way explained. Under these Circumstances each and all of which afford strong presumptive proof of the falseness of the transaction and Bond, and to counteract which, not a single circumstance, argument, or record is attempted to be adduced.—

The Subordinate Judge's Order is

is confirmed

Ordered that all the documents and Correspondence received with the Subordinate Judge's Return and an Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Subordinate Judge of Rajahmundry for his information.-

/True Extract/

/Signed/ T. A. Anstruther

Civil Judge

1-2

Resolution thereon.- The Board authorize the sale of the Bommoor Estate and resolve to re-publish in their Office the Adver=tisement which accompained the Collectors letter of the 22d. September 1845, with the alterations suggested in their Proceedings dated 9th. October following. The sale to take place at Rajahmundry on Monday the 5th. January 1846.-

At a Meeting Present.-

G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member.-

A. Maclean Esqre. Actg. Second Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre. Actg. Third Member.-

Monday 15th. December 1845.-

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.-

3

From the Secy. to Govt.

Read the following Extracts.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th. November 1845.-

Read the following letter.-

To,

J. F. Thomas Esqre.

Chief Secretary to Government.-

Jca Jca Jca

Sir,

I most submissively beg leave to submit herewith a Memorial in Triplicate in address to the Honorable the Court of Directors with respect to my claim on the property of certain Devastanums in the Tanjore District and I humbly trust that you will be pleased to lay the same before his Lordship in Council for orders to be forwarded to the Honorable Court.-

Madras 6th. November 1845

(Signed) [illegible]

To

1048

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

To,

The Honorable the Court of Directors of the East India Company

The humble Memorial of Connedaumy Chetty, Son of the late Jalpannum Candapah Chettyar and Brother of Mootoo Cayarogana Chettyar Inhabitant of Nagapatam.-

Most humbly Sheweth,

That your Memorialist most respectfully begs to be pardoned for his perseverance in the solicitation of an interference in the adjustment of his claims on the Property to certain Pagodas in which the Government had declined interference; as your memorialist labors very hard under the Embarrassments for money to satisfy his creditors in Consequence of the failure which his deceased father Jelpannum Candapah Chetty had encountered in his extensive Commercial transactions throughout the Countries.-

Your Honorable Court cannot but commiserate, on reference to the Petitions No. 525 of 1834, for the loss in one respect of 30,1494 Spanish dollars due by the late King of Acheen as per Decision dated the 23d April 1814 passed in a Court of Judicature of the Prince of Wales Island in favor of your memorialists father.-

Your Memorialist having been actually led to lose the prospect at hand of recovering the good sums of money which had been guaranteed to his father by mortgage by Tumberans of the Property of Certain Devastanums, from the interference originated on the part of the Officers of

1049

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.-

of Government on the Assumption of the management of the Pagodas; your Memorialist humbly presumes himself to solicit the permission of your Honorable Court for the adjustment of the claims in question.-

That in answer to the severals petitions which your memorialists father and himself had presented to the Board of Revenue and to the Government, they were pleased to endorse "that they decline under "the Circumstances represented by the Acting "Principal Collector, to interfere in the "adjustment of the claims set forth in the "Petitions."-

That when your memorialist had attended the Acting Principal Collector with the Boards' Endorsement your Memorialist solicited for a copy of the report to represent thereon to the Board, which he declined granting as specified in the Petition No. 676 of 1842.-

That although your memorialist has not thus means of Commenting on the said report, your memorialist most respectfully begs leave to lay before your Honorable Court the nature and justness of his Claims as

as concisely as possible, that his said deceased father had advanced monies on the Mortgage by Tumberans (then exclusively interested) of certain villages and Properties of three Devastanums of the Tanjore District under the sanction of His late Highness Surfogy Rajah when on religious Tour over the De=vastanums of the District as adverted to in his petition to Government No. 670 of 1842.- and received payments for 9 years from the resources of one of the Pagodas called Vyj=deesooran Covil to the extent of 2,044 9/16 Star Pagodas in discharge of Interest on the Debt, as detailed in the account Current.-

Vydeesooran Covil Teroovalore Teroocavalay.-

That your memorialist beg= leave to represent that in Continuation of those payments a Sum of 1445 7 13/16 Star Nowo Pagodas including Interest has been paid by the Circar, after the Mindoo Pagodas had been taken into the immediate ma=nagement of the Government as specified in the above petition and the Account Current.- and which the Records of the Collectors' Cutcherry would testify.-

That your memorialist begs to add that Mr. Wallace was the first Officer who

who had made requisite arrangements to=wards the liquidation of the Debt.- by invit=ing thro' a public Notice, the Creditors of the Pagodas to enter their Debts in the Records of the Hoozoor Cutcherry in exoneration of the responsibilities of the Tumberans thereto existed; the Authenticities of which may be still manifest by the Records.-

That as in this predicament your memorialist solicited the Board of Revenue by a recent petition No. 989 of 1845 to take into their reconsideration of his Claim but to no effect thro' his misfortune.-

That your memorialist hum=bly begs to submit herewith the Petitions No. 525 of 1834, No. 606 of 1839, No. 670 of 1842. and No. 989 of 1845, accompanied by the Account Current.- and implores that your Honorable Court will be pleased to take the Premises into your benevolent Consideration to redress your poor memorialist.- your memorialist as in Duty Bound.-

Shall ever Pray.-

Madras ------ 6th November 1845. } signed [illegible]

Before forwarding the Me=morial of Ponneedawmy Chetty to its address, the

the Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves to request the Board of Revenue will submit a succinct report on the claim of the Petitioner for the purpose of being laid before the Honorable the Court of Directors.-

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.-

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

3-4

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Reporting on the Memorial from Ponnoosawmy Chetty in regard to his claims against certain Davastanums in the Tanjore District.-

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Order of Government (No. 1368- 28- November 1845) forwarding a Memorial from one Ponnoosawmy Chetty, in regard to his claims against certain Davastanums in the Tanjore District and requesting them to furnish a succinct report on the subject for submission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.-

2. In reply I am directed to state that the case of the Petitioner was referred by the Board to the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore for investigation in the year 1840- From the report then made upon the subject by that Officer herewith

Forward

forwarded it will be seen that the Petitioner advanced claims, amounting at the date of the enquiry to Porto Novo Pagodas 23,842 3/16, on certain Pagodas in the Tanjore District, in virtue of 6 bonds executed in favor of his father by the Tumberans or managers of those institutions, the various dates, between the years 1807 and 1812 inclusive. Of these Bonds three purported to be mortgages of the Pagoda lands- in one the Jewels of the Pagoda and its Offerings were given as security- One was a simple receipt for money to be repaid with interest, and the sixth was an acknowlegement of sums expended in Jewels for the use of the Pagoda- It was not ascertained, whether the mortgaged lands were at any time in the enjoyment of Petitioner's father the Mortgagee, but if so, they were resumed in Common with all other Lands similarly circumstanced in 1812 by the then Collector of Tanjore Mr. Wallace.-

3. In reporting on the circumstances of the present case as shewn briefly in the last Para the Acting Principal Collector at the same time brought to notice, that a Claim of a similar nature preferred by another party

29th May in Cons. 11th June 1840-

party have been referred for enquiry to his Predecessor Mr. Kindersley in 1834 that, on that occasion the Principal Collector had given it as his opinion that "no "person appointed, or tacitly permitted "to manage native religious Institutions, "could at any time, without the distinct "sanction of Government, alienate by sale "or mortgage any portion of those endow= "ments, even for the use of those Several "institutions." that the Board had expressed their concurrence in these views and that the Government, Extract minutes of Con= sultation 14th. February 1834 had "declined interfering in the adjustment of the Claims then reported on.. 4— On consideration of the report of the Acting Principal Collector of which a Summary has been above given. the Board informed the Petitioner Dooneswamy Chetty by endorsement that they were precluded from any interference in the matter to which his application had reference..

Inform. 4th. Jany. 1838.

15th. December 1845. (Signed) T. Pycroft. Secretary

To

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th. December 1845.

To, E. P. Thompson Esqre. Secretary to Government Fort St. George

5

From the Sec.y to Government....

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1215 dated the 18th. Ultimo with enclosures, and in reply to State that, for the reason therein assigned and in compliance with the recommendation of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the Honorable the President in Council is pleased to Authorize the continuance to Fatimah Sahiba, from the 9th. August last, of a Monthly allowance of Rupees 3 out of the Jeomiah which has lapsed to Government by the death of her Husband Ghazi Ibram Shah Ghilzee.

Fort William.... The 7th. November 1845.

(Signed) G. A. Bushby Sec.y to the Government of India

Ordered to be communicated to the Agent in Kurnool through the Board of Revenue, with reference to the recommendation submitted by them under date 22d. of September last, and also to the Offices of Account and Audit. (Signed) E. P. Thompson Sec.y to Govt.

5-6

Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated to the Agent in Kurnool for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 2d. September last.

Extract

Fort St. George 15th. December 1815.

7 From the Sect.y to Government.... Transmitting for report a letter from the Coll.r in charge of the special Agents Deptt. at Cud.dalore forwarding a representation received from the French Govt. regarding the high rate of duty & excess of valuation fixed on Tobacco.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 6th. December 1815.

Read the following letter.

To, J. F. Thomas Esqre.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be pleased to lay before Government the Accompanying Extract from a letter from His Excellency of the French Establishments in India for their information and orders.

Cuddalore, 10th. November 1815.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Coll.r in Charge of the special Agents Deptt.

A

Extract from a letter from His Excellency the Governor of Pondicherry, dated 6th. November 1815.

A partir du 3 September 1814 le droit perçu à l'entrée des tabacs sur le territoire français a été porté de 8% sur une évaluation fixée à 27½ Roupies le candy de 500 livres anglaises, à 20% Ce dernier droit est resté le même, mais il est depuis peu de tems acquitté sur une évaluation double (55 Roupies le Candy) ces variations multipliées et ayant pour résultat d'exagérer évidemment la valeur donnée à une denrée dont le prix est à peu près fixe, constituent en des

Fort St. George 15th. December 1815.

des measures fiscales que portent à l'administration française et a ses fermiers un préjudice contre lequel je crois devoir reclamer auprés de vous.

Sans doute il appartient au Gouvernement de l'Honorable Compagnie d'adopter et de faire éxécuter dans ses possessions les reglemens qu'il juge convenables, mais d'ailleurs il éxiste des considerations d'équité et de bon voisinage à l'égard des Gouvernement étrangers, qu'il ne peut être dans son intention de méconnaitre et que ceux ci ont le droit d'invoquer La 1ere dispositions, celle qui a pour effet de porter de 8% à 20% le droit sur les tabacs, étoit assurement rigoureuse, et si des éxigences financieres l'ont rendue momentanement nécessaire, elle a du être regardée comme provisoire Elle n'avoit du reste, en dehors de son caractere fiscal, rien d'admissible. Il n'en est pas de meme de celle qui sans cause appreciable, a porté tout a Coup le candy de tabac à une valeur double de celle que avoit été donnée d'abord; évidemment cette estimation est imaginaire et il paroit impossible d'admettre que, dans un cas comme celui ci surtout, ce qui n'a valu jus qu'a ce jour que 27½ Roupies se trouve, sans transition, en valuer 55. Il semble devoir que il

il y a en erreur dans la mesure qui a consacré a brusque changement, et je ne doute pas Monsieur Le Collecteur, si nous partagiez l' opinion que j exprime a cet. egard, que vous ne soyez disposé á intervenir auprès du Gouvernement de Madras afin de faire rapporter une disposition qui blesse la justice et rend impossible le maintien des transactions faites par l' administration francaise avec ses fermiers.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) W. D. Davis.

Coll.r in charge of the Special Agents Depart.t.

Resolved that the foregoing letter and its enclosure be referred to the Board of Revenue for their consideration and report.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Sec.y to Gov.t

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

7-8

To the Coll.r of South Arcot...

To, The Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from a letter from His Excellency the Governor of the French Settlements in India dated 6th. November 1815 laid before the Most Noble the Governor in Council in

sir your letter of 10th. Ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to whom your letter has been referred by Government, to request that you will inform them at what date and under what circumstances the valuation of Tobacco exported to the Pondicherry Territory has been raised from 27½ to 55 Rupees the Candy. You will also be pleased to state what has been the selling price of Tobacco per Candy in your District on the average of the last (5) five Fusilies If there are more sorts than one, the average price of each sort should be given and it should be explained what sorts are usually exported into the French Territory.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 15th. December 1815....

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary

From the Sec.y to Govt. Transmitting Copy of a Decree passed by the Subordinate Judge of Calicut.

9

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th. December 1815.

Read the following letter.

To, E. P. Thompson Esq.re Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to Section XXXI Regulation VII of 1809, I have the honor to transmit herewith Copy of a Decree passed by me for the information of

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

Sub Court, ...
Calicut, ... } (Signed) C. D. Baynes
21st November 1845. No. 1. Sub Judge.

Decree of the Subordinate Court Zillah Calicut.

Original Suit No. 329 of 1842.

1 Nilkanden Moosad.

2. Katayet Kristna Menon by Vakeel Tacha Menon Afterwards Soobrayen.

Versus.

1. Poyathiny poora Oony Moideen Cootty.
2. Poolathoo Oony Pokoo.
3. H. V. Conolly Esq. the Collector of Malabar.

3d Defendants Vakeel Amboo Podival

For the restitution of certain landed property yielding Annually 3030 fanams after deducting the Kanam fanams 1001 from fanams 17823. due as recd.

1. Plaint avers lands in question to be the Jennom property of 1st Plaintiff's family, and further 1stly that his elder brother mortgaged them in 974 (1798/99) to one Moothu father of 1st Defend: and 2dly That the said Moothu Submortgaged them to one Chekoo the father of the 2d Defendant 3rdly That while the said chekoo was in possession they were seized and confiscated by the Government, that

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

that is to say, in the year 978 (1802/3) 1st thly That 1st Plaintiff and his brother required of Government to receive from them the value of Chekoo's interest therein and restore to them the said lands. 5th thly That the matter was referred to the Board of Revenue who ordered Plaintiff's request to be complied with 6th thly That they have not hitherto succeeded in obtaining execution of that Order.

2. The suit is therefore brought against the 1st and 2nd Defendants as mortgagee and Sub: mortgagee for the restitution of the lands received by their ancestors in Mortgage, and against 3d Defendant as the Officer of Government who with: holds them.—

3. 1st and 2d Defendants answer admitting Plaint generally, and discussing terms of Settle: ment which ought to take place between them: selves and the Plaintiffs, but states that they are unable to do any thing owing to the possession of the lands by the 3d Defendant.

4 3d Defendant in answer affirms the lands to have been taken possession of by the Govern: ment while in possession of Chekoo in A. D. 1800 and combats Plaintiff's claim thereto by alledging 1stly That on the confiscation taking place in 1800 no claim was then brought forward by Plaintiffs 2dly

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

2dly That none was adduced in 1824 though invited by Proclamation. 3dly That only upon Proclamation made in 1828 did Plaintiffs family first Petition. when they were directed to produce any documents they might have in support of their claim. 4thly That upon this they neither produced any documents or took any further measures till 1831 when they again petitioned and were again ordered to produce documents which they have never done. 5thly That the Plaintiffs have held this very property as tenants of the Government and paid rent for it 6thly That had the transactions stated to have taken place between the Plaintiffs and other Defendants actually occurred, they must have had documents, and would naturally when so often called upon to do so during this long period have produced them.

5. Plaintiffs in reply observe 1stly That 3d Defendant is unable to deny that the Jennom of the land in question may be in Plaintiff's family. 2dly That on receipt of order from Madras to restore their lands they did produce documents (Cooty Caunkoo and Muraputtum Deeds) though not

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

not the Jennom Deed Ordered. 3dly That holding as tenant does not injure their former right.

6. 3d Defendant in rejoinder states 1stly That the assertion that any documents what: ever were ever produced is untrue. Nor was the Jennom Deed specially and exclusively desired. the Plaintiffs were ordered to produce any documents they might have and produced none. 2dly That the deceased Talayoor Moosad in his Petition dated 7th Medom 1006 / 18th April 1831. admitted that he held no documents whatever 3dly That the averments of the plaint are in direct variance with those of the Petition of the deceased Talayoor Moosad in as much as in the former the interest of Chekoo to a certain extent, is freely admitted, while in the said Petition the whole of the transactions by which he is described as acquiring it are not only not mentioned, but it is also distinctly stated that no Assign: ment of the property had ever taken place, and that neither Chekoo or any one else had any claim thereon. and that there is also much difference in the des: cription of the property as laid in the plaint and as given in the said Petition.

7. Plaintiffs file 1 Document No. 1 being an Extract from the Pymash Accounts dated in the year 978 (A.D. 1802/3) shewing the lands then belonging to the Plaintiffs' Family in which the lands now sued for are included.

1st "

Fort St. George 15th December 1815.

8. 1st Defendant files 1 Document No. 3 being a Mortgage Bond dated Nagarum 974 (Dec. 1798/99) granted to 1st Defendants father by Plaintiffs Karaven (Uncle) and pledging the property in dispute.

N.B. This was only presented on the 30th September 1815 or 16 years after the property to which it refers is said to have been improperly seized.

9. 3d Defendant produces 1 Document, Viz. No. 2 The Petition of Talayoor Moosad alluded to in the rejoinder with a list Appended.

10 No Witnesses were called by either party.

11. Plaintiffs Vakeel affirms that his party professes no other documents in proof, but that the 3d Defendant is in professsion of an account shewing the property of the rebel chekoo in which it is mentioned that the land in dispute was held by him on Kanom only and that the Jennom Appertains to Plaintiffs.

12. Whereupon 3d Defendants' Vakeel was directed to state 1st whether such Account was admitted to exist and 2d if so why Extract should not be given to Plaintiffs.

Whereupon

Fort St. George 15th December 1815.

13. Whereupon 3d Defendants' Vakeel brought in and exhibited an account from which the Court extracted such portion as relates to the property in question and which shews the property to have been seized as the Kanom of Chekoo but does not name the Jennees. Plaintiffs Vakeel being further questioned states that this is not the Account he wants but none which does name the Jennies, (ie) he assumes the 3d Defendant to be in professsion of a document fatal to this own case.

14 The point in this case which calls for the decision of the Court seems single and simple, Viz: Have the Plaintiffs Satisfactorily proved the lands in question to be either Jennom property. That it was not the Jennom of chekoo or seized by the Government as such seems admitted and established beyond question by the Account exhibited by 3d Defendant, and of which the Court has taken Extract, indeed it is in no way incumbent on the 3d Defendant to shew the land to the Jennom of chekoo in order to answer the Plaintiffs claim. It rests with them to prove themselves the Jennees. The only thing which gives even a colouring of possibility to their

case

Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

case is the Document No. 1. They and their ancestors have indeed admitted directly and indirectly that they have no other or better proof, and press this upon the Court as the main prop of their cause, in a Petition lately filed by them No. 341 of 1845 and ordered to be placed with the record of this suit. 15.

In this Document an Extract from the Pymash accounts in 978 1802/3 the lands in question are mentioned as the Jenmom of the Plaintiffs Tarwaad. but on weighing the Value of this document it appears to the Court to be but the testimony of Plaintiffs in their own behalf it is matter of notoriety that the lists, accounts &ca. then made out were of the Most loose and inaccurate nature in fact mere claims or assertions, to which the assent of Government, or the power of proof, could alone give Value or authority now the power of proof seems in this case to be entirely wanting. It is asserted now as then, that the lands are the Jenmom of the Plaintiff, but then as now that assertion is unsupported by any proof whatever.

16. The Court is therefore bound to declare that the Plaintiffs have not made

Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

made good their claims and to dismiss their plaint with Costs.

Costs.

	Rs. As. P.
Stamp duty on institution under Sec: XIII Reg: XIII of 1816	32 — —
Fees of Plaintiffs pleader under Sec: XXV Reg: XIV of 1816	37 13 7
Fees of 3d. Deft. pleader under Ditto — Ditto	37 13 7
Value of Stamp paper filed by Plaintiffs	13 8 —
— Ditto — Ditto by 1st. Deft.	1 — —
— Ditto — Ditto by 3d. Do.	7 8 —
Stamp duty on an exhibit filed by Plaintiffs	2 8 —
Expenses for serving process on the part of Plaintiffs	5 13 4
— Ditto — Ditto — Ditto of 1st. Deft.	1 5 4
Total costs of Rupees	139 5 10

List of Exhibits &ca.

Pleadings 7. Vakalutnamahs 4. Notices 6 Proclamation 1 Miscellaneous Petitions 9. Deposition 1, Documents 3, Petitions presented therewith 3, Copy of account of escheated property 1, Copy of Diary 1, and Decree 1.

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court this 18th. October 1845.

(Signed) E. R. Baynes
Sub Judge

Ordered that the Decree received with the foregoing letter be communicated for the information of the Board of Revenue.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Sec.y to Govt.

Ordered

1708 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Order thereon
9-10

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Cons: dated 28th Novr 1845.
(From Bd 13th November)

From the Secretary to Government
11

The Most Noble the Governor in Council is of opinion that until a General rule applicable to the armed Civil Establishment in all Collectorates is issued that there is no occasion to make the alteration suggested in the foregoing letter in the Moyen Zabitah of the District of Masulipatam.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.

Order thereon.
11-12

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to his letter dated 7th November last.

Extract from the Mins: of Cons: dated 6th Decr 1845.
(From Bd 20th Novr)

From the Secretary to Government
13

The Most Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the restoration of the Inaguadapad Mootah in the Masulipatam District to the Proprietors on their entering into an engagement to pay up the Arrears specified in para 3 of the foregoing letter leaving the question of the remission of interest for decision hereafter and defendant on the punctuality with which the instalments of the principal amount are liquidated.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy to Govt

Resolution thereon
13-14

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government and of the Boards letters to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to his letter dated 24th October last.

Extract

1709 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

From the Secy to Government
15

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1845.
From Board 20th November.

The subsistence allowance of Rupees (3-7-11) Three annas seven and Pies Eleven per mensem paid to Malagary Auchary having been sanctioned by Government under date the 29th March 1815 as per Extract from the Register of Charitable grants furnished by the Collector of Cuddapah dated 2nd October 1813 the Civil Auditor will continue to pass the payments made on that account from the Treasury of the Collector of Bellary under the order of Government dated 29th March 1812 No. 104.

x No. 279 Meelugeer auchary
Fanams 14-75 Cash per month for subsistence

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secry to Government

15-16

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 26th August last.

in Cons. 29th Septr 1845.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1845
From Board 24th Novr

17

From the Secy to Government

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the arrangements recommended by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secry to Govt

17-18

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government and of the Boards letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 31st October last.

In Cons 20th Novr 1845.

Extract

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

From the Secy. to Government

19

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1845.

From Board 20th Nov.r

Ordered that the following Notification be published in the official Gazette.

Notification

"It is hereby notified for General information that the Custom Houses hitherto on the Frontier of the Tanjore District on the Territory of Tranquebar have been removed.

(Signed) E. F. Thompson
Secy. to Government

19. 20

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

21

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th December 1845.

From Board 24th Nov.r

The allowance to the family of the late Tondiman Rajah having been granted in perpetuity, Resolved that the Principal Collector of Canara be authorized to continue the monthly allowance of Rupees (15) Fifteen enjoyed by the late Budde. Koola Pummy Tumburutty in the name of her daughter Maha Pruthia from the date of her decease.

(Signed) E. F. Thompson
Secy. to Government

21. 22

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 28th October last.

Extract

in Con.n 20. Nov.r 1845.

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

23

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th December 1845.

From Board 27th Nov.r

The Most Noble the Governor in Council approves of the instructions which the Board of Revenue propose in para 4 of the foregoing letter to issue to the Principal Collector of Madura in respect of the suit No. 45 of 1845 instituted in the Subordinate Court of Madura by Syed Assim Saib and his brother against that officer and others, on account of a Palanquin sold for the recovery of certain arrears of revenue due by the Plaintiffs.

His Lordship in Council grants authority for the defence of the suit in question in the first instance at the Public expense, but will determine when a copy of the Decree is submitted whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Principal Collector, shall be borne by that officer or by the Government.

(Signed) E. F. Thompson
Secy. to Government.

23. 24

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates, be communicated to the Principal Collector of Madura, for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 8th November last.

Mr. Blackburne is requested to make an addition to his proposed answer to the effect stated in para 4 of

of the Board's letter to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation -

dated 11th December 1845

25

From the Secretary to Government

From Board 1st Decr.

Resolved that the Magistrate of Canara be authorized to defend in the first instance at the public expense, the Suit No. 29 of 1845 brought against himself and others by one Chand Khan, on account of the removal of a wall and the addition to the public road of a strip of land alleged to be part of his property, but that as Lordship in Council will determine, when a copy of the Decree is submitted, whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Magistrate, shall be borne by that officer or by the Government.

(Signed) C. A. Thompson

Secy. to Government

25. 26

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 10th November last.

Read the following letters

To T. Pycroft Esq. 1st

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

27

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 8th instant, which accompanied

accompanied the Proceedings of the Board of the 10th Instant, conveying sanction for the remission of Cist a D 681-14-3 on account of Moturpha infusly 1253 to the Proprietor of Bheemanapilly, I have the honor to state that no similar remission has been allowed in fusly 1254 as the Bheemanapilly estate to which the Moturpha Village Pundeporah appertains, forms one of the seven estates ceded to Government by the present Zemindar of Pittapore as explained in the correspondence noted in the Margin -

From Govt. and Bd. 2 and 4th July 1844 - To 13th 4th Sept. 1844 and 11th October 1845

Rajahmundry District, Samulcotah, 26th November 1845.

(Signed) T. Prendergast

Collector.

27. 28

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

To

17074 Fort St. George 15th December 1845

To T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

29

Sir,

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — I have the honor to return a Petition bearing the Board's Endorsement to my address as the prayer of the Petitioner is to issue orders to the Collector of Masulipatam for entering in account his Surva Enam land situated in that District.

× No. 887 of 1845 from Seralinkah Iyaun Inhabitant of Iyatumpoory Agraharumpu this District

Rajahmundry District,

Samulcottah, 29th November 1845. } Signed T. Prendergast Collector

Order thereon,

29. 30

Ordered that the Petition received with the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam.

Read.

17075 Fort St. George 15th December 1845

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

31

Sir,

From the Asst. Collr. in charge of Guntoor — Forwards application of the Mother of the Suttanapilly Zemindar for the separate payment of a portion of the Suttanapilly allowance for her maintenance and recommending sanction for Rs. 50 per mensem to be paid to her out of the allowance sanctioned on the 8th instant.

Para 1. I have the honor to submit a Petition addressed to the Board, with translation of the same, by Bartamah widow of the late Zemindar of Suttanapilly and mother of the present ex Zemindar requesting that out of the allowance of 300 Rupees a month recommended and since sanctioned on the 8th instant for the maintenance of the Zemindar, a portion of Rupees 120 may be paid separately for her maintenance.

2. It does not appear that when Mr Stokes had the subject of the allowances to the Ex Zemindar, under consideration, the petitioners case, was separately brought to his notice, but shortly after Mr Stokes submitted his letter to the Board of the 29th June 1844 in which these allowances are recommended, the petitioner addressed him on the subject and has subsequently repeated her request, the consideration of which appears to have been deferred pending the sanction of Government lately granted for the allowance on

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

on the 8th instant.

3. From an interview with this Zemindar it does not appear to me that the allowance can be applied for the maintenance of the Zemindar and his mother to the satisfaction of both parties by the payment of the whole to the former and I would therefore request that Sanction be granted for the separate payment of Rupees fifty (50) per Mensem out of the same to the petitioner, to have effect from the commencement of the allowance as from her repeated representations she appears to have been suffering distress for maintenance during this time.

10th January 1843.

4. This proportion of 50 Rupees now proposed to be paid to the Mother of the Suttanapilly Zemindar out of the allowance of Rupees 300 it will be observed is the same as in the case of the Royapilly Zemindar and his mother recommended at the same time in Mr. Stokes's letter of 29th June 1844, and sanctioned under the same date as this allowance.

† Royapilly Zemindar — 250
His Mother — 50
300

Minutes of Consultation dated 8th Nov. 1845.

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry
25th November 1845.

(Signed) H. Newill
Asst. Coll. in charge

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

No. 1. Petition Guntoor.
No. 2

To The Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Petition addressed by
Barretamah widow of
the late Rajah Maunoor
Vencataramaniah Rowe
Zemindar of Suttanapilly.

When my son managed the above Estate, the late Collector of Guntoor stated that the Circar would keep the Estate under temporary attachment for some time, during which a suitable maintenance would be granted, the Estate was soon afterwards attached, from which period I have been suffering much for want of expense &c. I wrote and presented a petition to Mr Stokes requesting that 120 Rupees should be allowed for my own expenses and that of my servants out of the allowance which the Company is about to grant to my son. That Gentleman does not appear to have done any thing about it, and I have addressed an arzee to Mr White the present Collector enclosing this petition for the purpose of being submitted to your Honorable Board with that Gentleman's favorable recommendation. I have now to request that the Board will be pleased to grant sanction for a part of the allowance which is about to be

paid

paid to son, to be considered as mine, as requested by me in the arzee to the Collector of Guntoor, and I further pray that the Board will be pleased to order that my part of the allowance may upon my death revert to my son Vencata Gopalrow Zemindar of Suttanapully. Please to peruse this.

15th October 1845.

(Signed) Rajah Mannoor Barretamah

(A True Translation)

(Signed) H. Newill

Ast. Collector in Charge

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir,

31-32

To the Chief Secy to Government

Submitting a letter from the Head Asst. Collr in Charge of Guntoor forwarding a Petition from Rajah Mannoor Barretamah widow of the late and mother of the present Zemindar of Suttanapilly praying that the sum of Rs. 120 per Mensem may be paid separately for her maintenance out of the monthly allowance sanctioned for the subsistence of her son and recommending for the favorable consideration of Govt his proposal that the share of each be fixed and separately paid and that 250 Rupees per Mensem be disbursed to the Zemindar & 50 Rs to his mother

in Cons 15th Dec 1845

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Guntoor dated 25th Ultimo, enclosing a Petition from Rajah Mannoor Barretamah, widow of the late, and Mother of the present Zemindar of Suttanapilly, praying that the sum of Rs 120 per

Zemindar of Suttanapilly praying that the sum of Rs. 120 per Mensem may be paid separately for her maintenance out of the monthly allowance sanctioned for the subsistence of her son and recommending for the favorable consideration of Govt his proposal that the share of each be fixed and separately paid and that 250 Rupees per Mensem be disbursed to the Zemindar & 50 Rs to his mother

in Cons 15th Dec 1845

per Mensem may be paid separately for her Maintenance, out of the Monthly allowance of 300 Rupees sanctioned by Government under date the 8th November last for the subsistence of her son.

2. From Mr Newill's letter it appears that shortly after Mr Stokes submitted his letter of the 29th June 1844 in which the allowance in question was recommended, the Petitioner addressed to him an application similar to the present, she afterwards repeated the request but the consideration of it was deferred until the decision of Government on the Ex Zemindar's case should be known.

3. Mr Newill reports from an interview which he has had with the Zemindar, he does not think that the allowance lately sanctioned can be applied for the maintenance of the Zemindar and his Mother to the satisfaction of both parties, if the whole be paid to the former he therefore suggests that the share of each be fixed and separately paid, and that as in the case of the Peyfully Proprietor 250 Rupees per Mensem be disbursed to the Zemindar and

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

and the remaining 50 Rupees to his Mother

4 Under the circumstances

stated by Mr. Newill the Board beg to

submit the proposed arrangement

for the favorable consideration of

Government and to recommend that

it may have effect from

the commencement of the

allowance

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
15th December 1845.

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

33

From the Acting Collr of North arcot — Stating as his opinion that it will be necessary at least for the present to resist Mr. Turner's claim for remission —

Para 1. With reference to your letter dated 23d Ultimo, I have the honor to state that I did not consider that I should be borne out in making any reference to Mr. Turner the late Akkarry Renter which might be considered as expressing an opinion favorable to his claim without the Board's Sanction, under the instructions conveyed in your letter, I have now called upon him to state whether he would accept the sum of Rupees 6910 in full Compensation for his claim and have the honor to transcribe his reply in which it will be seen he makes a Number of objections of little weight throwing difficulties in the way of the Settlement which I had not expected —

† Letter dated 27th Octr 1845 —

* dated 3d Novr 1845 —

2. I adhere to my opinion, that the sum which I have proposed (Rupees 6910) would be full compensation, There is every reason to think that it exceeds the amount which could

could have been netted if the shops had been in his occupation from the beginning of the Fusly as the Military renter by opening other shops in the neighbourhood, but within his proper limits, would still have secured a large share of the profits—

3. But the Board will observe that Mr. Turner now makes a new claim for the previous Fusly 1251, the admission of which I cannot recommend although I have gone so far in my former letter as to propose that compensation should be granted for Fusly 1252. I do may observe on the other hand that it was Mr. Turner's proper business to make himself acquainted with the limits of his right and also with the law applicable to them, that it was the practice on granting the cowle, to enter in communication with the renter the particular spots on which the several shops were to be established. It was for the renter to name the places in which he wished to open the Shops and for the collector to decide thereon. The arrangements were therefore made with the renter's concurrence. It was not incumbent on the collector to know and point out beforehand where new shops might be established but for Mr. Turner to shew that the shops of the Military renter were beyond the limits. This he never did. He merely sent

sent in, some time after signing his agreement in Fusly 1252, a vague demand but without attempting any proof of its merits. The matter seemed to be between the Civil and Military renters in the first instance. I do not see that there was any thing to prevent Mr. Turner from proceeding to eject the Military renter by civil process if he had been sure of his ground but he could not reasonably expect the Collectors or the Commissariat Officers interference in his favor, until he had shewn that his demand was well founded and if he had ejected the Military renter, I think, he still would not have possessed any absolute right to open shops in the sitas in question because the permission of the Collector had not been given and was necessary to enable him to do so.

4. I consider that Mr. Turner took the farm according to what had been hitherto held to be its limits any unknown right connected therewith, it was for him to prove in order to entitle him thereto. It was necessary in my view that he should have shewn before a proper authority that the additional Villages ought to belong to his farm and until he had done so that he was not entitled to demand them at the hands of Government or its Officers, in as much as they never had been included in the lease of the Civil Abkarry renter. I do not think any other principle can

Fort St George 15th December 1845

can be admitted as applicable to farms of the public revenue, otherwise a renter in any case taking a certain limited farm might afterwards claim compensation for advantages which a neighbouring renter or other individual had been allowed by him purposely to encroach upon. I therefore do not think that Mr Turner has any absolute right to compensation at the hands of Government and it is clear that his claim cannot be granted to the extent now demanded without the great inconvenience of disturbing the settlement of former years. Under all the circumstances I am of opinion that it will be necessary at least for the present, to resist Mr Turner's demands.

North Arcot
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 18th November 1845

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector

No 1.-

To Mr T. Turner

Venkatagherry Cottah

Sir

Refering to your letter dated 20th January last, I have to inform you that the Commissariat Officer at Vellore has stated the abkarry shops and Toddy topes noted in the Margin to have been situated beyond the limits of the Abkarry rent as now laid down and that the average profits of them for the last five years amounted to Rupees 6,910-0-0 per annum. I request

Peramanur.
Beramjoor.
Chavore.
Ammoondee.
Teroomoney.
Sabrapett
Tellaur.
Teroomoney Toddy Tope.
Chan Chavore Toddy Tope.-

Fort St George 15th December 1845

request therefore that you will be good enough to inform me for communication to the Board of Revenue whether you are prepared to accept that sum as compensation in full for your claims

North Arcot
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 27th October 1845

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Collector of North Arcot

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th ultimo, and in reply I beg most respectfully to decline accepting the sum of 6,910 Rupees therein stated for and on account of my claim in full for certain arrack shops and Toddy Topes illegally held by the Military Abkarry renters of Vellore during the period I was the arrack renter of North Arcot Viz. for Fusslies 1251 and 1252 for the following reasons. Firstly, you have not stated whether the sum of 6,910 Rupees is in full for fusly 1251 or fusly 1252, Secondly you have drawn your reference from my letter dated 31st January 1845, I beg here to refer you to J. Goldingham Esqrs letter of the same date which was not complied with although I attended as directed in that letter

now

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

now referred to and remained in Vellore for 8 days until I received a letter from you stating that the measurement had been made, thirdly you have taken the sale of liquor at the average profit for the last 5 years which is nothing to do with my claim, my claim is only for fusly 1251 and 1252. fourthly that I ought to have the privilege of comparing my statement with that furnished by the Commissariat Officer and that I may be allowed a fair chance to establish my claim by seeing the measurement made of the Military limits as directed by the Collector J. Goldingham Esqre I also beg to refer you to J. Goldingham Esqre's letter dated 15th September 1842 and my reply thereto dated 28th October 1842 I also beg to inform you that I have been put to a great deal of unnecessary trouble in going to Vellore three times to see the measurement made and was disappointed every time, the last time I went cost me 60 Rupees going and coming by Post besides House rent for 8 days.

Vencatagherry Cottah
3d November 1845.

/ Signed / T. Turner
/ True copies /
/ Signed / G. Lovell
Actg Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Acting Collector of the North arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

33 - 34

To the Acting Collr of North arcot — Para 1 — Stating that the Board concur

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

In Con. 15th Dec. 1845.

concur with him that Mr Turner late abkarry renter of his District has no claim to compensation for the loss incurred by him in consequence of the shops irregularly maintained within the limits of his farm by the Military abkarry renter of Vellore for any fusly previous to that in which the encroachment was brought by him to the notice of the local authorities and desiring him to ascertain from the Commissariat Officer at Vellore what were the actual net receipts for Fusly 1252 and enquire of Mr Turner whether he is willing to accept that amount in full of his claim.

Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Ultimo transmitting Copy of correspondence with Mr Turner late abkarry renter of your District from which they learn that that individual declines to accept Rupees 60 10. in compensation for the loss incurred by him in consequence of the shops irregularly maintained within the limits of his Farm by the Military abkarry renter of Vellore —

The Board instruct me in reply 2. to state, that they fully concur with you in opinion that Mr Turner has no claim to compensation for any Fusly previous to that in which the encroachment upon his farm was brought by him to the notice of the Local authorities. The other objections urged by him to the proposed mode of Settlement are the Board think with you of no weight, but adverting to the third reason which he has assigned, they will not object to the substitution of the actual net profits of Fusly 1252 for the 5 years average already taken. You will be pleased to ascertain from the Commissariat Officer at

17088

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

at Vellore what were the actual net receipts for that Fusly and will inquire of Mr. Turner whether he is willing to accept that amount in full of his claim intimating to him at the same time, that the Board cannot consider themselves justified in advising the Government to grant him any other terms.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
15th December 1845.

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

17089

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Read the following letter.-

To
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

35

From the Collr. of Salem stating that the Petitioners are entitled to receive the sum of Rs. 126-6-9 as therein stated which is now in deposit in the Salem Treasury.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 3d. Ultimo transmitting the accompanying Petition No. 1749 of 1843. with the endorsement of the Sub Collector thereon and requesting me to state whether the sum due to the Petitioners on their Gidagavel lands for Fusly 1252 has been correctly calculated in the endorsement; whether the amount is still in deposit; and whether I am aware of any objections to its being paid to the Petitioners.- I have the honor to state that the Petitioners are entitled to receive the sum stated viz. Rupees 126-6-9 which is now in deposit in the Salem Treasury as the Board decided in proceedings dated 23d. March 1843 that the Gidagaval lands on account of which the money was collected in Fusly 1252 were included in the Petitioners Mootah and the sum in question was collected in the interim between the

the case being submitted to the Board and the lands being made over to them by the orders of the Board above referred to.-

Salem Zillah
Muddecary
Collector's Office
8th December 1845.

/Signed/ W. C. Lockhart.
Collector

Resolution thereon.- Under the above explana-
=tion the Board authorize the Collector to
disburse to the Petitioners in Petition No. 1749 of
1843 the sum of Rupees 126-6-9 now in de-
posit in the Salem Treasury being the
amount collected on their Gidaganval &
lands for fusly 1252.-

35 - 36

12th in Cr. 27th Feb. 1845.

Read again letter from the
Collector of Tanjore reporting on the Settlement
of the District for fusly 1253 / 1843/44 .-

/Here enter No. 37/

37

Proceedings thereon.- 1. Sir H. Montgomery did not resume
charge of the District until after the end of the
fusly- the settlement of which had been conduct-
=ed by Mr. Bishop who officiated for him and
by Mr. Cotton when Acting Sub Collector in charge
The weather was variable throughout the year the
freshes in the Rivers were scanty in August and
September and in the 2 following Months &
they

Relative to the Settlement of the District of Tanjore for fusly 1253. A.D. 1843/44

they failed and upon the whole the season was un-
=favorable both for Wet and dry cultivation.-

Para 3- 2.- The Collector has drawn the
usual comparison of the results of the upper
Anicut exhibiting the progressive improve-
=ment in the Revenue since its construction
and in the fusly under review he shews the in-
=crease of Wet cultivation to have extended to
Valies 5/23" above the average of the past six years
at a standard beriz of Rupees 182853 and producing
an actual Revenue of Rupees 110921. On comparing the
average of 6 years before the anicut was built with
the average of the last 6 years from fusly 1248 to 1253 / 1838/39 1843/44
inclusive it is observed that with favorable
seasons and with the benefit of the Anicut there
is an increase in the extent of wet cultivation
amounting to 6 per Cent while in the Settlement
there is a decrease below the standard beriz
of 6 per Cent which may be attributed to the
depression of prices as shewn in the following
Statement.-

	Extent of Land	Standard Beriz	Average	Settlement	Decrease
	V M G	Rs a p			
Average of 6 years before the Anicut was built Viz from F. 1240 to 1245	83581 " "	2,86,453 " "	34 4 11	28,31,460 " "	359977 " "
Average of 6 years after the anicut was built Viz from F. 1248 to 1253	88764 " "	3042978 " "	34 4 1	2549470 " "	193528 " "
Increase	5183 " "	175561 " "	33 13 11	15010 " "	157557 " "
Per Centage	6 3/11 " "	6 1/4 " "	1 4 " "	11 " " " "	Looking-

Statement in P.3 Looking however to the extent of land cultivated since fusly 1251 / 1841/42 there has been a decrease in the Wet cultivation - and the falling off in the year under review compared with fusly 1251 / 1841/42 appears to be Valies 506 bearing a standard beriz of Rupees 30848.-

	Extent of Land.	Standard Beriz
Fusly 1251.	89810	3081138
1252.	89304	3050290
Decrease	506	30848

The Board request the Collector will explain the cause of the decrease of cultivation in lands irrigated under the influence of the Anicuts.

Para. 5 3.

In attending to the requisition of the Board to specify the amount of Beriz upon the extent of Wet cultivation under every mode of irrigation the Collector has entered the demand according to the Settlement upon the total extent of Wet lands in each talook - In future the Board are desirous that a separate Statement should be furnished shewing the extent of Cultivation in the year under report under each source of irrigation and each head of Nunjah with the standard beriz and the actual Settlement, particularizing the Wolungoo Rented - Fields assessed & Villages in each Talook, and they request that this Statement may be submitted with the annual Settlement accounts.

On comparing the Statement of Nunjah Cultivation

Cultivation under each source of irrigation as specified in Para 7 of the Report on the Settlement of fusly 1252 / 1842/43 with that given in para 5 of the present Report - there is a net increase in the latter of 760 Valies in the Cultivation of land depending on rivers alone, but in the taloks of Revaloor there is a decrease of 162 Valies of which the Board would be glad to receive some explanations the Net increase of every description of Nunjah is, as stated by the Collector 7 Valies.

7th Nov. 1843 to 4th Jan. 1844

Para 4 In the Cultivation of Ponjah and Gardens the variation has been inconsiderable as shewn in the Margin.-

Increase Valies.	Decrease Valies.
Ponjah --	95
Garden 14	--

4.

Prices Para 8. With respect to the variation in the current prices which are shewn to have risen 32 per Cent above the prices of the last year and 3 11/16 per Cent above the standard price - the Board wish for explanation of the difference apparent in Statement C in which the standard price of the Woolungoo Rented Villages, which was not affected by the increase, is entered at 3 - 2 5/16 - and the standard price in Villages of the same description the jumma of which increased by the increase of the Current prices is specified at 2 - 3 7/16 - The Collector will also state the reason why the Current price of the amany Villages only 3 - 6 3/4 is taken to represent those of the fusly for

Statement Col. 8

Cash

Statement Col. 29.

Statement Col. 30 & C.

Fusly 1253-
Total Villages 4726
Amanee Do. 86
4640-

for all Villages to which the same standard is made to apply without discrimination.

5- In the Villages which were settled under a Money rent for one year there is a decrease of 17 Villages. Referring to Statement No. 2-99 Amanie Villages have been rented for one year but of these only 19 were settled on a money rent according to the Board's instructions. In the remaining 80 Villages the rent of each Village was a fixed grain amount converted into Money at the average selling prices of the year. The Collector explains that it was not understood until the receipt of the Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of fusly $\frac{1252}{1842\text{-}43}$ that their orders were confined to a strictly Money rent by which it was "anticipated all the peculiar evils of Amanie "would be got rid of and an approximation "would probably be made towards the standard "Beriz." he has since represented the difficulty of obtaining strictly money rents and has applied for permission where they were not obtainable to make the settlement according to a grain rent converted into Money payment at the average current prices. In consideration of this difficulty and

Para 12-
Under Amanie and rented under orders in both fusles—88-
Amanie in last fusly and rented under Board's orders in this fusly—11
99

Para 13.

7th Nov. 1844

Board's Proceedings on Fusly 1245 para 34 dated 1st June 1837.

16th April 1845 in force 21st May.

To Tanjore 15th May 1845

and rather than revert to the Amanie system the Board have acceded to this proposal whenever it was found impracticable to rent the Amanie Villages on other times. But they remarked in this reply to the Collector on referring to Statement No. 10 which accompanied the report under review, that whereas the Wolingoo produce of the 99 Villages rented for fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ is 64,3,945 Cullums the quantity at which the Grain rent was concluded for fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ was only 44,9,989, little more than two thirds. and in 1253 the year under review it was still less viz 43,1501. It is not shewn in the accounts of the Settlement under review what proportion the Money rents in 19 Villages above quoted bore to the standard beriz. but looking to the result of the rents in the total number of 99 Villages the decrease of produce below the Wolingoo standard originally fixed upon them is very considerable as shown in the following Abstract Statement prepared from Statement No. 10.

Extent-

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Extent of Land.	Sorts of Produce	Burgs.
Ts M S	C M S	Rs A P
3,409	15 62½ 6,40,945	1 ¼ 1,38,185	14 11
3,110	9 52¾ 4,49,989	3 ½ 1,89,20	13 11
3,110	18 92½ 4,31,501	2 ½ 91,460	9 "
292	16 69½ 2,12,442	1 1¾ 40,448	5 11
9	" " 33	" " 28	" "

Standard

Last Years' Settlement

Present Years' Settlement under Report

Decrease Compared with the Standard

Per Centage

the

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

To Tanjore 15th May 1845.

The Board resolve again to draw the attention of the Collector to the difference exhibited in the actual outturn of the Villages rented according to his proposition below the Standard and they would impress upon him that in avoiding the evils of the Amanie System care should be taken that rents for a fixed grain amount commutable into Money payments should not be allowed on terms which may at the same time involve a loss of the Revenue to be fairly expected from the Villages for which they are offered. In future the Board request that a separate Statement may be supplied to shew the Settlement in these Villages in the form of Statement No. 10.

Para 16

6. In the number of Amanie Villages there is an increase of 30 arising from 13 Amanie Villages being transferred to other heads and from 43 Villages of several denominations being settled under the Amanie system in the present year. This is attributed to the season "which in prospect appeared more in reality proved less propitious" than the preceding year. The Board observe that there is a considerable decrease of...

Vide Statt D.

Produce

Col.s 4 and 29-

Standard Berij 1874 — 8 — 9½

Total Nunjah Cultivation } 1560 — 13 — 21 7/16

313 — 14 — 8 9/16

Standard Produce Rs. 7376-

actual D.o 35838-

Difference — 37838-

Col.s 31 — 35

Col.s 37 — 41-

Produce in these Villages in proportion to the extent of land brought under cultivation when compared with the Standard Berij the Nunjah lands cultivated exhibit a decrease of one sixth in extent while their produce exhibits a decrease of more than half of the standard rate. In Ponjah and Garden lands there is a similar result. They request the Collector to investigate the causes which have led to these results and to communicate them for their information

Paras. 17-18-19-

7. The total amount of Remissions granted only in cases which could not justly be denied amounted to Rs. 111557 exceeding the amount of Remissions allowed in the last fusly by Rupees 19797- These remissions appear to have been allowed after due investigation and with relative regard to the interests of the Ryots and the Government.-

Para 21-

8.- The total demand on account of Land Revenue amounted to Rupees 37,79,766 — 12 — 6. and exhibits a comparative net increase above that of fusly-

Para 8.

fusly 1252 / 1842/43 of Rupees 15,1635 which arises chiefly from the increase of the settlement Price and is the result of a Gross increase of Rupees 175782- 9— 2 and of a Gross decrease of Rupees 24147 — 7 — 2 under every head of settlement as exhibited in the Comparative Statement in para 21 of the Collector's letter

Gross increase 175782-9-2

D.o Decrease 24147- 7-2

151635- 2- "

Rs. 37,79,766-12-6-9.

Para 30-

Of the total demand the Collections within the fusly amounted to Rupees 36,27,598-9-5 and subsequent Collections to the end of August 1845 to the amount of Rupees 49572 — 11 — 1. leave a balance of Rupees 32.595- of this balance the sum of Rupees 22.196 is represented by the Collector to be irrecoverable whose explanation on this subject the Board will expect at an early period. In addition to the Collections on account of fusly 1253 / 1843/44 the Board have the satisfaction of observing the recovery of arrears to the amount of Rupees 118628 — 14 — 9 up to the end of August 1845 making the total collections on account of Current and arrears of Revenue Rupees 38,65,800-3-3 and thus indicating the successful management of Sir Henry Montgomery and the attention of his subordinates to the Public interests.-

On account of fusly 1253- 374171- 4-6.

On account of arrears 118628- 14-9-

38,65,800- 3-3.

Para 32.

10.- The Collector has furnished further information on the subject of the transfers of land reported by Mr. Bishop to have been occasioned by the necessity of discharging the Government Demand which the Board were induced to believe arose

Para 32-

From the Bd Coll.
dated 7th Nov.
1843-
para 34
Bd Proceedings
thereon dated
7th Nov. 1844
para 18-

arose from other causes of a private nature and instead of amounting to Rupees 4,47,000 which is stated to refer only to that part of the transfers of Merasy property which is registered in the public accounts with the Knowledge of the Revenue authorities it is represented that there are other transfers to a large extent of lands not registered in the Taluk accounts but exhibited in the accounts of the sales of stamp Papers and bargains in the transactions of private individuals whether of sale or Mortgage and the Collector gives a statement to shew that the total transfers of lands by sale and Mortgage during the three past fusles

Para 33-

amounted to Rupees 27,66,197- but it is not explained whether this amount includes the sales of lands in Woolungoented and Amany Villages and from a consideration of the variation in

Para 35

Prices and Produce during the last 4 years He has calculated that the loss of the Meerasedars by Price have been 80 per Cent and by produce 25 per Cent

Para 34-
Pl 2373 911-

making the total loss 105 per Cent even more than the standard Beriz of one year, and hence he infers that the necessity to transfer their lands must have been principally felt by Merasidars of those Villages in which the settlement is not influenced by fluctuation of the current selling prices.-

11- An examining the grounds upon which the Collector thinks that the Meerasidars have lost

lost in price and produce 105 per Cent the Board observe that the standard Price as shewn in

Para 35-

Para 35 of his Report is not the standard Price of those Villages in which the Settlement is stationary but of the total number of Villages under every mode of Settlement throughout the District and also produce represented in this Statement are the prices and produce of the Amany Villages only thus in the Settlement of the fusly under review the increase of the selling prices per Cullum in 43 Amanny Villages was 3 11/16 per Cent while the average produce of Dar and Peshanum exhibits a decrease of 21½ per Cent per Valy compared with the previous year and in these Amany Villages the Wet cultivation extended to 508 Valies in fusly 1252/1842/43- and 1253/1843/44 but the average produce in both years varied as follows.-

Fusly 1252 average per Valy — 110
1253 average per Do — 86
Decrease — 24 or

21½ per Cent it is obvious therefore that the cultivation of 508 Valies in these amany Villages cannot apply to the produce of 67,373 Valies of wet land in 3139 Villages coming under the description of Villages the Summabendy of which is not influenced by Current selling prices.-

In Para 37 of his report the

12

Para 37 Collector states that the present value of landed

landed property in Tanjore is at a tolerably accurate estimation five times the Government revenue for one year and calculating at an assumed valuation of 200 Rupees per Valy on the average standard Rate of Rupees 35-1/4 per Valy that 13,831 Valies out of 67,373 Valies of Standard Nunjah lands, upon which the Standard Revenue was chiefly derived or Rupees 23,73,911 were transferred in order to meet the Public Demand he concludes that the Meerasidars of the Villages settled under the invariable standard Price have deprived themselves by these transfers of one fifth of their landed property in the last 3 years. He has since furnished a Statement upon the requisition of the Board showing only the registered sales of land 5 years before and five years after the fixed Money Assessment was established in the Field assessed Villages, and also of the transfers of land in the Woolungoo Rented Villages for the same periods. On referring to this Statement it would seem that in Fusly 1251 / 1841-42 when the current selling price was as low as — 1 — 23 3/4 Cash per Culloom the sales of land were carried to a greater extent in Villages settled under Woolungoo Rented than in the Field assessed Villages uninfluenced by fluctuation of the

To Coll.r Tanjore 15th May 1845
To. d.o 18 Sept.r
in Con.n 9th Oct.r 1845.

the current selling prices. It may be fairly presumed that this result did not arise from the necessity of discharging the Government Demand in that fusly because in the Settlement of that year a remission above 5 per cent was made to the amount of Rupees 2,13037 in the Woolungoo rented Villages.—

13. The Board further see grounds to believe from this Statement that the transfers of land could not have taken place on account of the embarrassments of the Meerasidars in meeting the Government demand but from other causes as they supposed of a private nature. The certain and abundant supply of water which the late improvements in the irrigation of the Province of Tanjore at the expense of Government has now secured to the Meerassidars generally has rendered their lands a valuable property and readily saleable and it is satisfactory to observe that the average amount of the sales of land from fusly 1233 / 1823/24 to 1254 / 1844/45 inclusive has varied.—

varied from Rupees 98 to 166 per Valey in Field assessed villages and from Rupees 73 to 181 in Wodungoo Rented Villages - this increase in the value of land must be viewed as a proof of the willingness to purchase and not of the necessity to sell for it is evident if the sale of land was forced by the difficulty of meeting the Government demand that there could be no advantage in the purchase and the value of the land could not have increased in the proportion apparent in the last 20 years.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Tanjore for his information and guidance.

Read

Read the following letter.

To
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(38)

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly - - - Per 1st

With reference to your letter of the 30th. Ultimo, upon the subject of registering the security bonds taken in behalf of the Public Servants of this Cutcherry I beg to state that the bonds in question must be considered of the nature of Mortgages or real property, as the property impledged by them is of that description. and it is on that account that I suggested, as deeming them to fall within the scope of Act XIX of 1843, whether they should be registered.

2. The bonds are all executed according to the form of which I send a copy and translation and are not supported by any other deeds or collateral security. The dates and numbers of the bonds taken from each individual are entered in the accompanying list.

Trichinopoly Collr. Office, 11th. November 1845.

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector

List.

17100

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

No. 1. List shewing the year, Month and date of the Security bonds taken on account of Public Servants.

No.	Employment	Names	Year Month and date of Security bond	Number of Security bonds
1	Cash Keeper	Appasawmy Moodelliar	1838 May 2nd	1
2	Head Shroff	Vinjoory Pillay	1840 November 6th	1
3	Deputy D:	Gopaul Chetty	1840 August 29th	1
4	Shroff	Ramasawmy Chetty	1839 October 24th	1
5	Stamp Darogah	Veeraswamy Moodelliar	1840 July 27th	1
6	Gollah	Thimma Naick	1840 November 20th	1
7	D:	Ramasawmy Naick	1842 April 28th	1
8	Sweeper Hoozoor Cutchery	Arasen	1840 October 20th	1
9	D:	Donnah Naick	1840 October 8th	1
10	Moozoomdar Conaud Talook	Dhondah rao	1839 November	1
11	Shroff D:	Soobbramania Chetty	1836 September 5th	1
12	D: Gomastah	Murdanayagum Pillay	1841 October 12th	1
13	Tahsildar Vettoocully Talook	Malcaspa Pillay	1845 March 21st	2
14	Moozoomdar	Lalchmon rao	1845 March 20th	1
15	Shroff	Balagooroomoorty Chetty	1844 May 8th	1
16	D: Gomastah	Ramasawmy Pillay	1839 December 9th	1
17	Moozoomdar Moosery Talook	Venkat rao	1845 March 19th	1
18	Shroff	Chockalingum Chetty	1840 September 19th	1
19	D: Gomastah	Soobbramania Chetty	1836 April 26th	1
20	Tahsildar Paulgoody Talook	Boojunga rao	1844 April 14th	2
21	Shroff Gomastah D:	Venkatachellum Chetty	1845 March 27th	1
22	Tahsildar Torriore D:	Chinnasawmy Pillay	1845 August 8th	1
23	Moozoomdar D:	Renga rao	1845 March 24th	1
24	Shroff D:	Christenen Chetty	1845 August 1st	1
25	D: Gomastah	Christenen Chetty	1840 October 1st	1
26	Tahsildar Oodiarpolliam Talook	Aurokiem Pillay	1844 May 22d	1
27	Moozoomdar D:	Seenevasa rao	1842 February 21st	1
28	Shroff D:	Sedumbaram Pillay	1840 August 17th	1
29	D: Goomastah	Moottoosawmy Chetty	1839 December 9th	1
30	Tahsildar Arealoor Talook	Vurda rao	1840 August 3rd	1
31	Moozoomdar D:	Durmajee rao	1842 June 14th	1
32	Shroff	Kistnama Chetty	1845 March 24th	1
33	D: Goomastah	Sashachellom Chetty	1840 November 20th	1
34	Tahsildar Oodiarpolliam Talook	Mohedeen Saib	1844 March 17th and 21st	2
35	Moozoomdar D:	Govindoo rao	1844 May 2d	1
36	Shroff D:	Gopaul Naick	1843 July 3rd	1
37	D: Gomastah	Chockalingom Pillay	1843 June 22d	1
				40

Trichinopoly 14th November 1845.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow. Coll:

To

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

No. 2.

12.th October 1845.

To

The Honorable Company

Security bond executed by Arnachellum Chetty Son of Chuckra Chetty Meerassey inhabitant of Sirmungalum Chundraw-aday and residing at Valoydum Pattay hamlet of Poovalore in the Paulgoody Talook.

Whereas I became Security to Vencat rao Tahsildar of Conaud for Rupees 1,000 and Executed this Security bond. He the said Vencat rao whether continued in this or changed to another Talook as Tahsildar, will Act with great care and fidelity without committing any fraud should he be convicted of any, I and my heirs should be held responsible for the Amount that may be sentenced or decreed against him thus this Security bond was executed with my free will and consent.

In presence of

/Signed/ Arnachellum Chetty

Ondappoo Chetty
Appoolinga Chetty
} Witnesses

Particulars of the Property pledged by the Security.

One Terraced building situated at the Village of Veloydumpettah on the

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845.

East of the House belonging to Anerdoss Chetty on the West of the House of Curtah Chetty on the North of High road and on the South of Vadooterooroo.

True Translate
/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To

The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

(38. 39)

To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11.th Ultimo, transmitting the form of Security bond usually taken in behalf of the public Servants in your District, and giving it as your opinion that such bonds must be considered of the nature of Mortgages of real property as the property impledged by them is of that description.

2. On referring to the Form which accompanied your letter the Board perceive that no property is therein directly tendered as Security, or indeed mentioned in the body of the instrument at all - the property of the surety being merely specified in a foot note and after his signature and those of the attesting Witnesses. It does not appear to the Board that bonds in such a form can be regarded as Mortgage bonds or that any benefit will result from their being registered under Act XIX. 1843. The Board request however that you will prepare and submit to them for approval a form of Security bond for future use in your District in which the property of the surety shall be distinctly specified in the body of the document and be made directly answerable to Government in satisfaction of any liability to them which may be incurred by the party on whose behalf the security may be given.

Revenue Board Office
Fort S.t George.....
15.th December 1845.
}

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

Read the following letter-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S.t George

Sir,

40

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 17.th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that my letter of the 25.th Ultimo was despatched under a mistake. I beg to apologize for the trouble I have occasioned and I request that my letter may be cancelled-

Trichinopoly
Coll.rs Office
25.th November 1845

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded-

40-41

5-in Cons.n 13.th Feby 1845

Read again the following letter from the Principal Collector of Madura being his report on the Management of the Revenues of the Ramnad Zemindary under the Court of Wards for Fusly 1253 / 1843/44

42

Proceedings thereon- Para.1- The season of Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 is represented as having been far from favorable within this Zemindary, from the Month of July until the close of the year but little rain fell and the dry cultivation it is stated greatly suffered from drought and from the Tanks being inadequately supplied the Nunjah cultivation and produce was also considerably below the result of the previous year in addition to

Relative to the Management of the Revenues of the Ramnad Zemindary under the Court of Wards for Fusly 1253 -
A.D. 1843/44

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

to which the Principal Collector adds that the Cholera extensively prevailed within the Estate

2- The Annual Settlement it is remarked in Para 4, was conducted by the Manager himself, regulated by certain general instructions previously communicated for his guidance by the Principal Collector what the particulars of those rules were, has not been stated an omission which the Court of Wards the more regret as they were led to expect a detailed review of the administration of the Estate from Mr. Blackburne's observations in his report on the Settlement of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43-

3 The result of the settlement of the year under consideration is given in the Abstract Statement appendiced to Para 4 of the report which shews a falling off in the revenue as compared with that of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 of Rupees 49098-2-2 and a decrease below the average revenue of the previous three years of Rupees 40,603-7-9- In the quantity of Grain appertaining to the Zemindar there was a falling off of no less than 47036 Cullums of Paddy but owing to the rise in prices which took place from Rs. A. P. 1-1-8 per Cullum to Rs. A. P. 1-7-8 in Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 the loss in money value was reduced

Note
There would appear to be a clerical error in the amount stated in Para 5 by the Principal Collector-

reduced to Rupees 17,067-

4- Adverting to the Abstract Statement referred to above it will be seen that the aggregate result of the Settlement of the Revenue received in Kind and from the money taxed lands amounted to Rupees 349884-5-7 which was less than the product of Fusly 1252 (1842/43) by Rupees 45,047-7-4- The remission granted on account of withered Crops in the money assessed Nunjah and Poonjah Lands in the year under report is also shewn to have been Rupees 2,323-15-7 which exceeded the amount granted on the same account in the previous year by Rupees 1,927-11-8 Considering the peculiarly unfavorable character of the season the extent of remission would not appear to have been large and the circumstance of each case would also seem from the remarks in Paragraph to have been carefully investigated through the Talook Servants and the indulgence to have been ultimately granted by the Manager himself- It would have been more satisfactory had the report contained an exposition of the principles upon which remission of this nature is usually given in this Zemindary With reference to the Principal Collector's remarks on the decrease in

in the average produce per Cullum of seed land in the Nunjah lands paying in Kind it does not appear whether the lands were made over to the Ryots after the Estimate on the produce had been computed or whether it is the prevailing custom of the Country that the produce should be gathered under the Superintendence of the Circar-

5- In the money taxed Poonjah lands the Abstract Statement shews a decrease below Fusly 1252 (1842/43) of Rupees 27,030-1-1 the Principal Collector remarks that the Amount of decrease tho' large in some Talooks was in proportion to the actual extent of land occupied with this description of Cultivation and that they all equally suffered from the adverse nature of the Season- On comparing the accounts of the demand on the Poonjah lands paying in money for Fusties 1252 (1842/43) and 1253 (1843/44) in all the Talooks of the Estate there appears to have been a slight increase in the 5 Talooks named in the Margin of the aggregate sum of Rupees 1041-11-6 the decrease being confined to the remaining 12 Talooks in which it amounted to Rupees 28071-12-7 the greater part of which arose in the Talooks noted aside- In the Camoothe Talook so far from there appearing to be a falling off of Rupees 2,000 as stated in the report, the accounts shew a slight increase of Rupees 178-12-3 in the demand but a decrease in the extent of land brought under cultivation in Fusly 1253 (1843/44)

Sikkul
Cangoody
Abromah
Coroor
Colly patam-

Palle modum
Solagramum
Gottagamadoo
Arroomonthgoody
Paupaneolum-

6- The subjoined Statement exhibits the several items of Revenue appertaining to the Estate with the comparative results in Fusties 1252 (1842/43) and 1253 (1843/44) in Fusly

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

	Fasly. 1252	Fasly. 1253	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.
Produce of the Revenue received in Kind	14,69,915 10 2	12,98,849 8 11		1,70,065 1 3
Revenue of the Money Leased Lands	24,75,881 4 10	24,75,881 13 1		29,908 1 9
Rent of Topes &c.	55,733 9 4	55,642 15 8		1,790 9 8
Mamools Dust Rent	6,46,615 9 6	6,29,103		332 8 6
Sircothairoo or own cultivation	6,42,815 5 3	6,34,144		393 6 11
Maghamay or proportion of Grops pro- duce taken in Kinds	11,880 7 10	11,510 12 9		369 11 1
Total	52,97,39 15 2	49,86,142		

1714

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

The collections on the above account
realized up to the 31.st December 1844 for the
current Fasly 1253 (1843/44) amounted in the aggregate to
Rupees 4,04,285-10-3 of which 398,076-8-10 was realized
from the Ryen Revenue Rupees 2291-7-2 on Serrothairoo
or lands in the private occupancy or cultivation
of the Proprietors, and the remainder or Rupees 3917-
10-3 under the head of Maghamay being a certain
proportion of the produce paid in Kind to the Cir-
car - the balance still due on all these accounts
at the above date was Rupees 75,593-0-9 as stated
in the Margin -

Balance
Ryen - Rs 64256-7-1
Serrothairoo 3743-7-2
Maghamay 7593-2-6
75593-0-9

The Court concur with the Prin-
cipal Collector in considering the state of the
Ryen Collections to be satisfactory when the fact
of the greater part of the Zemindary being under
the share system is taken into consideration -

The net income from all sources
is shewn in the Account Current at 5 to have
amounted during the year under review to Rupees
6,63,124-7-7 and the charges to have been Rupees
54,997-11-2 exclusive of the sum of One lac
of Rupees invested in the Bengal Loan and
the surplus Balance of Rupees 13,150-12-5 deposited
in the Collector's Cutcherry to the credit of the
Estate - The Court of Wards do not clearly
comprehend the cause of entering in this account the

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

the two separate Heads Viz.t for the "adjustment of arrears in Fusly 1253 (1843/44) and for" disbursements made by the present Manager" in the same period it is presumed to have been found necessary so to form the accounts in consequence of the removal of the late Manager but the circumstance should have been explained in the body of the report- Under the former entry the Tanks or orders for grain for disbursement of sibbendy charges appear to have amounted to Rupees 70,522-3-2 under the latter the orders for grain payments were Rupees 17,023-13-1- The practice of issuing these orders would seem to have extensively prevailed under the Zemindar's Administration, but under Government Management the System should as far as possible be discontinued-

10- Irrespective of the balances of Current Revenue to which reference has been already made there is a large outstanding arrear due for former Fusles and extending over a period of 26 years or from Fusly 1227 (1817/18) to 1252 (1842/43) the actual amount of these old balances due at the close of the Month of December 1844 was Rupees 2,71,922-8-5 as shewn in the Statement annexed to Para 11. of the settlement Report dated 6th Jany 1845 In the Proceedings of the Court of Wards in the review

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

review of the Settlement of this Estate for Fusles 1257 (1847/48) and 1252 (1842/43) Para 7 the Principal Collector was placed in possession of the views of the Court with respect to the disposal of these arrears and it would not appear necessary to advert to the subject farther on this occasion as the Estate has now ceased to be under the control of the Board in their capacity of Court of Wards owing to the recent demise of the late female Minor proprietor, but is held under attachment pursuant to an order of the Sessions Court consequent upon that event-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance-

Read the following letter

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

(43)

From the Pl. Coll.r of Madura - - - } 1-

In reply to your letter of the 17th Instant just received, I have the honor to inform you the contracts registered and proposed to be registered are contracts in which real property has been/ subsequent to the 1st May 1843/ or may be tendered as Security to Government by any party Agreably to the Board's Circular instructions of the 7th July-

I do not comprehend what the Board excist in requiring me to draw up some kind of form in this matter but I annex a list of all contracts hitherto registered under their instructions-

Madura - - - - } /Signed/ J. Blackburne
24th November 1845 } Pl. Coll.r

List

18 Fort St. George 15th December 1843

Resolution thereon, The Principal

-44

Collector is requested to for-

-ward to the Board Copies

with translations of the

-cuments entered in the

list which accompanied the

letter above recorded-

Read

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

Read the following letters

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

45

From the Pe Collr of Canara - - - }

I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Board, that no Statement of the arrears of Land Revenue of more than 15 years outstanding at the close of fusly 1254 (1844/45) has been sent, no such arrears being outstanding in this District.

Prent. Collrs Cutcherry Mangalore - - - - - 26th November 1845 } / Signed / H. M. Blair
Pe Collr

Order thereon,
Ordered to be recorded-

45-46

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Pe Collr of Canara - - - - - }

47

I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Board, that no return of the issue of Grants of Waste Land for the support of Choultries built for the accommodation of Travellers in this Zillah for fusly 1254 (1844/45) has been sent, no such Grants having been issued during last year.

Pe Collrs Cutcherry Mangalore - - - - 26th November 1845 } / Signed / H. M. Blair
Pe Collr

Ordered

Fort St. George 15th December 1845

Ordered to be recorded-

Order thereon,

47-48

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

49

From the Pe Collr of Canara - - - }

Reporting the arrangements made for procuring the requisite supply of Tobacco for the ensuing year and submitting tenders for the contract for receptacles

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th instant relative to the measures to be adopted for the supply of Tobacco for the consumption of this District for the ensuing year leaving it to my discretion, either to suspend the contracts should the postponement not be attached with any inconvenience as regards the ensuing year, or to conclude them at once, in case the omission to do so now, should be likely to prejudice the monopoly supply for the next year-

2 In reply I beg to state for the information of the Board, that as the present is the season when arrangements must be made in Mysore for securing the necessary supply of Tobacco for the consumption of the District and as from your letter under acknowledgment, it would appear that there is little probability of the Monopoly being abolished before the expiration of the present year, I have thought it right not to delay the usual public sale of the contract, which accordingly took place on

17121 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

on the 28th Ultimo-

3- The lowest offer made at the sale for the quantity of Tobacco required Viz. 1,500 Candies, was at 65 Rupees per Candy which is 4 Annas per Candy higher than the contract of last year and Rupees 11-4- above the average of the contracts for the last five years-

4- Thinking this offer too high, as the Tobacco Crop of the present Season is considered to be an Average one, I was not disposed to recommend its acceptance but intended to propose that arrangements should be made for purchasing in Mysore the quantity of Tobacco required for the ensuing year through the means of Government Servants deputed for the purpose, as has been done successfully on several former occasions-

5- I have this day however received a Tender from Badrapshetty and Gungul Kristapah the contractors of last year at the rate of 64-8-0 per Candy which is 4 Annas per Candy below the rate of last years contract

6- Although I consider this offer to be still very high yet as the price of Tobacco in Mysore has been considerably raised in the last two years owing to an increased rate of duty on the article as brought to the notice of the Board in Mr. Thompsons letter of the 5th January 1845 and as there is some inconvenience attending the procuring the Tobacco through the means of Government Servants I beg to recommend the acceptance of the present Tender- The Security offered by the Contractors is unexceptionable-

Prin.l Coll.r Cutcherry, Mangalore, 1st December 1845 - - } / Signed / H. M. Blair

Sr. Coll.r

Resolution thereon, Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board authorize the Principal Collector to accept the Tender of Badrapshetty and Gungul Kristapah for the supply of 1,500 Candies of Tobacco for the monopoly of the ensuing year at the rate of Rupees 64-8-0 per Candy on the understanding that the Security is good and sufficient-

Read

(49-50)

17122 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Read the following letters

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(51)

From J. C. Morris Esqr. Gentleman, Superintendent of Stamps- With reference to your Secretary's letter of the 18th March 1819 I have the honor to forward a Statement exhibiting the quantity and Value of Stamped Paper and Cadjans Stamped at this Office and Counterstamped at the General Treasury during Fasly 1254-

Fort St. George Genl. Stamp Office 26th November 1845- } / Signed / J. C. Morris

Superintendent of Stamps

Statement-

15th December 1845.

and badjans Stamped at this Office and

Canarese Rowannahs

No. 6.

Rs 10	Rs 20	As 4	As 8	Rs 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 10	Rs 20
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Sea Custom Rowannahs

No. 4.

Rs 10	Rs 20	As 4	As 8	Rs 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 10	Rs 20
"	"	4,150	4,150	3,900	2,755	3,101	105	75

1124 Fort St. George

Counterstamped at the General Treasury during July

Blank Ravanuals

No. 7	No. 6	No. 5	No. 4	No. 3	No. 2
As. 4	As. 8	Re. 1	Rs. 2	Rs. 4	Rs. 10
200	200	200	80	130	"

Cheroplic Paper

As. 4	As. 8	Re. 1	Re. 2	Re. 4	Re. 8	Re. 16	Re. 32
2,000	1,800	1955	325	335	100	"	15

15th December 1845.

1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.-

Europe Decree Paper.

No. 1		No. 2		
Re. 1	As. 8	Re. 1	Rupees 2	Rupees 4
20				
	4,650	2,550	2350	50

for Deeds.

2.

Re. 50	Re. 100	Re. 150	Re. 250	Re. 350	Re. 500	Re. 750	Re. 1,000	Re. 2,000
10	10	4	2	"	"	"	"	"

1125 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Country Paper.

No. 2.

A.	A.	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32
99,900	32,250	25,900	5,850	8,200	2,270	995	391

Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
50	100	150	250	350	500	750	1,000	2,000
276	110	86	36	5	17	2	7	4

Country Paper

No. 6.

A.	A.	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32
4,300	700	700	350	300	100	50	10

Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
50	100	150	250	350	500	750	1,000	2,000
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1826 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Country

No. 9.

Paper.

As 1	As 8	Rs 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	Rs 32
1/4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32
40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1000	Rs 2000
50	100	150	250	350	500	750	1000	2000
"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"

Cadjans.

No. 1.

Malabar Rowannahs	Telugoo Rowannahs	Canarese Rowannahs	Total Rolls	Total Value.
No. 7	No. 7	No. 7.		
Anna 1	Anna 1	Anna 1	Rolls	Rupees as
3050	300	2200	23473	3017 82

Rupee 1	Rupees 2	Rupees 4	Rupees 8	Rupees 16	Rupees 32	Rupees 50	As 4	As 8
2650	80	15	5	"	"	"	6	13470	3830

1727 Fort St. George 15th December 1845

Cadjans [Cont.]

No. 1- [Cont.]

Rupees 100	Rupees 150	Rupees 250	Rupees 350	Rupees 500	Rupees 750	Rupees 1000	Rupees 2000
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Total Cadjans	Total Value	Grand Total of Paper and Cadjans	Grand Total of Value.
Rolls	Rupees as	Rolls	Rupees as
20050	8192 8	25472	309974 14

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
26th November 1845.

E. E. & O. [Signed] J. H. Morris
Supt. of Stamps

Comp'd. F.R.

1728 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

To

The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen

52

From Supt. of Stamps Reporting on the Sale of Stamp Papers and Cadjans in Fasly 1254-

1- I have the honor to forward for the information of your Board a General Statement of the Stamp Papers and Cadjans received and sold by Collectors from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 or during Fasly 1254 accompanied by eight Explanatory Statements-

2 By Statements Nos. 1 and 2 your Board will observe that the Gross Revenue derived during the Fasly in question amounted to Company's Rupees 356794-13-11 and by Statement No. 3 that the charges amount to Company's Rupees 46569-2-7 which with the sum of Company's Rupees 1866-15- refunded being deducted, leaves the net Revenue Company's Rupees 309358-12-4 This on a comparison with the net amount of the preceding Fasly exhibits a decrease amounting to Company's Rupees 65030-6-9 which has arisen principally from the abolition of the Inland or Custom Duties under the Provisions of Act VI of 1844 and the

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

the order of Government dated 12th March 1845, communicated with Extract from Proceedings of your Board dated 30th June 1846.

3. The mode in which I have disposed of the Paper and Cadjans received by me during the Fusly and the balance remaining on my hands at its termination are shewn in Statement Nos. 4 and 5.

4. Your Board will perceive that the excess of Papers reported to have been received by Collectors from the consignments furnished to them and found in their Stock as particularized in Statement No. 6 amounts to Rolls 17. to the Value of Company's Rupees 4-13-" which has been carried as usual to my debit and I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for my taking Credit for the deficiency of Papers shewn in the same Statement Viz. 6 Rolls to the Value of Annas 9.

5. Statement No. 7 exhibits the Quantity of Damage Papers and Cadjans from consignments Amounting to Rolls 696 to the Value of Company's Rupees 1074-"-6 most-

Fort St. George 15th December 1846.

most part of which was spoilt by rain and other accidents on the Road. The Quantity of Damaged and unserviceable Papers and Cadjans returned by some of the Collectors from their Stock as having been rendered useless from being damaged and in consequence of the abolition of Inland or Custom Duties is shewn in Statement No. 8 Amounting to Rolls 181760 to the Value of Company's Rupees 83343-10-6. I have the honor to request the Board will obtain the Sanction of Government for my carrying the Value of these Papers and Cadjans as usual to Profit and Loss and for permission to burn the Judicial papers and

× Rolls Value Rs. a. p. 2/25 — 14508-3-"

Cadjans as usual.

Rolls Value Rs. a. p. 180331 — 69909-8-"

6. The Rowannahs which are now rendered perfectly useless I would recommend should be torn and afterwards sold as refuse paper. I am informed that the large quantity to be destroyed will fetch about Rupees 150-"-" as refuse paper.

7. Statement No. 9 exhibits the description and Value of Paper and Cadjans disposed of by the several Collectors during Fusly 1254.

8. Statement No. 10 exhibits the Quantity and Value of a portion of the Paper

and

and Cadjans in Store in the Office amount-
ing to Rolls 47,440 to the Value of Company's
Rupees 8,33,595-9- which has become undes-
-cribable for the reasons stated in the Column
of remarks principally arising from the de-
-mand having ceased for the particular kind
of paper or Cadjans and from some having
become damaged from long standing - I have
the honor in consequence to request that the
Board will obtain the Sanction of Government
for my burning this portions of the Judicial
Paper and Cadjans and to deface and sell
the Rowannahs as refuse paper and for-
-my carrying the Value of them to profit and
loss-

+ Rolls Value Rs. a. p.
17,979 — 8,16,480 — —

† Rolls Value Rs. a. p.
29,461 — 17,115-9-11

9- I have the honor also to state -
that there are 97,062 Rolls of Printed Sea
Custom Rowannahs in the Office Stock -
which have been rendered useless in con-
-sequence of the new forms of unstamped
Sea Custom Rowannahs now in use for
the destruction of which also I beg the
Sanction of Government may be obtained
and for disposing of it as refuse Paper
to the best Advantage-

10. I have also thought it right to
forward a Statement (No. 11) particulariz-
-ing the several heads under which there

has

been an Increase and Decrease in the
Stamp Revenue during the past Fasly from
which it will be observed that the nett de-
-crease Company's Rupees 65,030-6-9 is —
solely attributable to the abolition of the
Inland Custom Duties--

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
26th November 1845-

I Signed J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps.

General -

17133

Fort St. George

No. 1.

General Statement of Stampt Papers received and sold from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 and of the quantity re

15th December 1845.

by Revenue and Sea Custom Collectors for Fusly 1254 or remaining unsold on the latter date.

Name of the Zillahs	Quantity and value of Stampt Papers Brought from last Fusly account			Quantity and value of Stampt Papers received by the Collector during the Fusly			Total Quantity and value of Stampt Papers sold during the Fusly			Quantity and value of Stampt Papers remaining unsold and carried to the ensuing Fusly account		
	Rolls	Value Rs	a P	Rolls	Value Rs	a P	Rolls	Value Rs	a P	Rolls	Value Rs	a P
Collector of Ganjam	33526	48891	" 11	7854	34252	11 "	41380	83143	11 11	6263	10184	12 "
Do of Vizagapatam	25983	72520	10 "	22431	28811	10 "	48414	101332	4 "	14035	22668	4 "
Do of Rajahmundry	83288	48868	5 "	8740	7390	" "	92028	56258	5 "	11529	9639	1 "
Do of Masulipatam	61189	45221	5 "	15251	17161	12 "	76440	60383	1 "	12214	11760	13 "
Do of Guntoor	44588	71080	14 "	3406	1729	12 "	47994	72810	10 "	4950	5110	11 "
Do of Nellore	7533	13879	15 "	8877	8530	" "	16410	22409	15 "	8457	8386	2 "
Do of Bellary	112356	103606	7 "	26244	8686	15 "	138600	109298	6 "	20378	11283	13 "
Do of Cuddapah	58139	35533	3 "	2200	900	" "	60339	56433	3 "	16674	15330	" "
Do of Chingleput	6193	12069	5 "	11485	13279	" "	17678	25348	5 "	10427	11217	1 "
Do of Northern Dv of Arcot	61700	43896	15 "	19203	18306	" "	80903	64202	15 "	15990	16861	8 "
Do of Southern Dv of Arcot	39754	26443	1 "	10205	15430	" "	49959	41573	1 "	10329	10746	" "
Do of Salem	58760	26895	9 "	12436	10466	4 "	71196	37361	13 "	11639	12624	" "
Do of Tanjore	164167	210194	12 "	16610	11908	2 "	180777	222102	14 "	24891	22888	14 "
Do of Trichinopoly	59286	63606	4 "	7889	8137	3 "	67175	71743	7 "	7693	9393	17 "
Do of Tinnevelly	122516	71103	15 "	13881	14332	7 "	136397	85436	6 "	15809	16679	" "
Do of Madura	46757	35290	13 "	7283	11248	10 "	54040	46539	7 "	8797	9913	7 "
Do of Coimbatore	129121	110145	" "	200	400	" "	129321	110545	" "	20512	12213	2 "
Do of Malabar	33670	53154	11 "	21215	41138	" "	54885	94792	11 "	21839	28542	" "
Do of Canara	26705	32907	2 "	79678	66298	8 "	106383	99205	10 "	34302	27006	10 "
Do of Madras	2314	12636	1 "	3189	8805	" "	5503	21441	1 "	3143	8093	" "
Commissioner of Nurnool	9744	17698	6 "	30	120	" "	9774	17818	6 "	968	1239	13 "
Total	1188559	1177343	9 11	298307	324331	14 "	1486896	1501674	7 11	279338	281781	11 "

	6263	10184	12 "	35117	72958	15 11						
	14035	22668	4 "	34379	78664	" "						
	11529	9639	1 "	80499	46619	4 "						
	12214	11760	13 "	64226	50622	4 "						
	4950	5110	11 "	43044	67699	15 "						
	8457	8386	2 "	7953	14023	13 "						
	20378	11283	13 "	118222	98009	9 "						
	16674	15330	" "	44665	41103	3 "						
	10427	11217	1 "	7251	14131	4 "						
	15990	16861	8 "	64913	47341	7 "						
	10329	10746	" "	39630	30827	1 "						
	11639	12624	" "	89557	24737	13 4						
	24891	22888	14 "	157186	199714	" "						
	7693	9393	17 "	59483	62349	11 "						
	15809	16679	" "	120588	68757	6 "						
	8797	9913	7 "	45243	36626	" "						
	20512	12213	2 "	108809	98331	14 "						
	21839	28542	" "	33546	65750	11 "						
	34302	27006	10 "	72081	72199	" "						
	3143	8093	" "	2360	13348	1 "						
	968	1239	13 "	8806	16578	9 "						
	279338	281781	11 "	1207558	1219893	12 11						

Remarks.

17134

Fort St. George

15th December 1846.

Remarks &c.

Name of the Zillahs	Quantity and value of Stampt Papers brought from last Fusly account				Quantity and value of Stampt Papers received by the Collectors during the Fusly				Total				Quantity and value of Stampt Papers sold during the Fusly.				Quantity and value of Stampt Papers remaining and carried to the ensuing Fusly account			
	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P
Sea Custom of Ganjam	3,071	4,910	10	"	"	"	"	"	3,071	4,910	10	"	307	694	15	"	2764	4215	11	"
Do of Vizagapatam	4,268	6,182	15	"	1,620	3,400	"	"	5,888	9,582	15	"	895	1765	6	"	4,993	7,817	9	"
Do of Rajahmundry	2,773	586	11	"	860	1655	"	"	3633	7,518	11	"	640	1152	9	"	2993	6366	2	"
Do of Masulipatam	4,268	7,336	10	"	855	956	4	"	5733	8292	14	"	458	834	9	"	4665	7458	5	"
Do of Southern Div. of Arcot	925	2293	12	"	"	"	"	"	925	2293	12	"	89	148	8	"	836	2145	4	"
Do of Tanjore	30821	67441	"	"	3500	5406	4	"	33821	72847	4	"	6341	8587	"	"	27480	64260	4	"
Do of Tinnevelly	3358	1199	2	"	2180	5578	2	"	5808	16777	4	"	1245	2818	"	"	4563	13959	4	"
Do of Madura	11702	24326	8	"	2830	3100	"	"	14532	27426	8	"	1949	2172	8	"	12583	25274	"	"
Do of Malabar	21528	22743	13	"	25227	23914	6	"	46755	46658	3	"	14966	12637	7	"	31789	34020	12	"
Do of Canara	7436	11747	3	"	7400	11450	"	"	14836	23197	3	"	3510	5347	8	"	11326	17849	11	"
Do of Fort St. George	313	896	4	"	3646	5392	8	"	4159	6288	12	"	3486	4799	8	"	673	1489	4	"
Total	90163	164961	8	"	48388	60852	8	"	138661	225814	"	"	39886	40957	14	"	104665	184856	2	"
Grand Total	127852	134230	1	11	34695	38513	6	"	162547	172748	7	11	31322	32739	9	"	131223	140749	14	11
Add.																				
Additional fee leviable on the Stampt Europe Paper for deeds as per sanction of Government dated 31st March 1835 at half Anna per Roll	10944	342	"	"	10456	326	12	"	21400	668	12	"	6268	195	14	"	15132	472	14	"

15th Decem

	Value			Remarks
	Rs	a	P.	
Deduct a				
Collector of				
Do of				
Do of				
Do				
Do				
Do				
Do				
Quantity				
by the un				
unrased ar				
Collect				
Do				
Do				
Do				
Do				
Quantity				
the unlaw				
equired in				
Inland				
order of G				
the Extrac				
Collec				
Do				
Do				
Do				
Do				
Deduct				
deficien				
from the				
and lo				
Augus				
Proceed				
25th				

Remarks.

C

C

C

Fort St. George

15th December 1845

	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P	Rolls	Value Rs	a	P	Remarks
Paper supplied gratis to Public Servants by the undermentioned Collectors									
Collector of Rajahmundry	118	235	4	"					
Do of Masulipatam	44	215	"	"					
Do of Nellore	52	69	8	"					
Do of Bellary	37	37	"	"					
Do of North Arcot	1	1	"	"					
Do of Tanjore	21	19	8	"					
Do of Trichinopoly	9	8	8	"					
Do of Tinnevelly	15	35	"	"					
Do of Coimbatore	5	26	8	"					
Do of Malabar	18	27	"	"					
Do of Kurnool	4	4	"	"					
					324	678	4	"	
Paper supplied for answers and motions &c. for complaints by the undermentioned Collectors									
Collector of Trichinopoly	18	30	"	"					
Do of Coimbatore	2	5	"	"					
Do of Malabar	9	36	"	"					
Do of Canara	32	32	"	"					
					61	103	"	"	
						1,82,648	84,594	10	11
Total Amount carried to next Fisty account						11,29,578	13,20,115	4	"

Stamp Office 26th November 1845

E E P / Signed J. C. Morris
Supt of Stamps
General

17134

Fort St. George

No. 2

General Statement of Stampt Cadjans received and sold by Revenue Collectors in Fusly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 and of the Quantity remaining unsold on the latter date

Names of the Zillahs	Quantity and value of Stampt Cadjans brought from last Fusly account				Received in the course of this Fusly				Total				Quantity and value of Stampt Cadjans sold during this Fusly				Quantity and value of Stampt Cadjans remaining unsold and carried to the ensuing Fusly account				Remarks.
	Cadjans	Value Rs	a	p	Cadjans	Value Rs	a	p	Cadjans	Value Rs	a	p	Cadjans	Value Rs	a	p	Cadjans	Value Rs	a	p	
Collector of Rajahmundry	289	116	"	"	"	"	"	"	289	116	"	"	"	"	"	"	289	116	"	"	
Do of Chingleput	1479	932	8	"	400	100	"	"	1879	1032	8	"	989	435	12	"	890	646	12	"	
Do of Northern Div. of Arcot	104	71	"	"	"	"	"	"	104	71	"	"	"	"	"	"	104	71	"	"	
Do of Southern Div. of Arcot	5715	7122	4	"	3000	750	"	"	8715	7872	4	"	2298	970	12	"	6417	6901	8	"	
Do of Salem	158	258	8	"	100	32	8	"	258	291	"	"	33	17	"	"	225	274	"	"	
Do of Tanjore	11535	14747	4	"	10997	6249	4	"	22532	19996	8	"	8137	5180	"	"	14395	14816	8	"	
Do of Trichinopoly	1360	1078	8	"	2500	1000	"	"	3860	2078	8	"	2478	1109	4	"	1382	969	4	"	
Do of Madura	1381	1059	"	"	3360	1635	"	"	4741	2714	"	"	2535	1302	"	"	2206	1412	"	"	
Do of Coimbatore	11559	22049	4	"	"	"	"	"	11559	22049	4	"	1139	335	12	"	10420	21713	8	"	
Do of Malabar	7465	11210	8	"	9415	9555	"	"	16880	20765	8	"	8006	5987	12	"	8874	14777	12	"	
Do of Canara	699	904	"	"	200	150	"	"	899	1054	"	"	230	190	8	"	669	863	8	"	
Do of Madras	680	1647	12	"	1490	630	"	"	2170	2277	12	"	1144	521	"	"	1026	1756	12	"	
Total	42424	61246	8	"	31462	19121	12	"	73886	80368	4	"	26989	16049	12	"	46897	64313	8	"	
Deduct Cadjans returned by the undermentioned Collectors as damaged in consequence being Statement No. 8																					
Chingleput													9	12	"	"					
Malabar													49	75	"	"					
																	58	87	"	"	
Total amount carried to next Fusly account																	46839	64231	8	"	

Fort St. George General Stamp Office 26th November 1845. E.E.J.P. / Signed / J. C. Morris
Supdt of Stamps
Statement.

17138

Fort St. George

No. 3.

15th December 1845.

Statement exhibiting the charges incurred in the General Stamp Office at the Presidency and out-stations from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 or in Fusly 1254.

	Rs	as	p	Rs	as	p	Statement of Charges in Fusly 1253	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
Fixed Servants wages	"	"	"	5249	5	11	Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p	
Contingent Charges	"	"	"	391	10	"	5514 " "	" " "	67 10 1	
Country paper and Cadjans purchased from the Contractor	"	"	"	1818	13	8	664 9 9	" " "	272 15 9	
Amount of hire paid for conveying a Box containing Stamp Papers from Vizagapatam to Chitterpore in the District of Ganjam Shipped by the Superintendent of Stamps to the care of the Collector of Vizagapatam	4	"	4	5	2	4	2058 9 "	" " "	239 11 4	
Amount paid by the Collector of Tinnevelly for the purchase of wax cloth for securing the Stamp't Papers	"	"	"	"	1	6	34 12 "	" " "	29 9 8	
Amount paid by the Collector of Canara for the repair of a lock of a Stamp Paper Box in the Bekul Talook	"	"	"	"	"	6	1 7 10	" " "	1 10	
Amount paid by Collectors to Darogas and Venders Commission @ 2½ Cent. from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845	"	"	"	16202	6	8	4 2 "	" " "	3 12 "	
Superintendents Commission on the Revenue as per order of Government dated 2d August 1835	"	"	"	21700	"	"	18497 5 11	" " "	2294 15 3	
							21700 " "	" " "	" " "	
	"	"	"	45869	2	7	48477 14 6	" " "	2908 11 11	

Fort St. George Genl. Stamp Office 26th November 1845.

E.E.

E.E. Jr.

(Signed) J.C. Morris
Supt of Stamps

Account

Hospital St. George 15th January 1845.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the Governors of this Hospital at a meeting held this day have directed that the following Sums be paid in June 1254 as pensions to the undermentioned Persons, viz.

To Catherine Campbell	48	2	11
" Jane Allen	152	18	8
" Margaret Young	2992	226	10
" Priscilla Hoskins	233	14	11
To — of Public Stock —	15184	14173	1
To — of Annuities —	6891	2529	"
To — of Arrears —	32	15437	8
		189331	64909	8
Deduct the Sum of Income Tax on the Dividends of the Stock of Annuities from 5th April 1844 to 5th April 1845		558	558	11
		5487	120729	8

Signed J. Hospital Clerk

Examined & Certified Office 28th January 1845 E. E. Cayley J.C. Clerk to the Governors &c.

To Balance brought from last Year			
To Arrears received this Year	58	87	"
To Annuities transferred from the Account of the Governors for the Support of Orphan Children			
To Interest received from Bank of England	9434	17095	8
To Interest received from the Corporation of London	11415	1039	30
To Interest received from the Corporation of the Trinity House	20050	8192	8

December 1845.

d from the General Treasury and shewing the
n from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.

6th

	Rolls	Rs	As	Rolls	Rs	As
Cadjans Received by the Collectors as per their Statements during Fasly 1254				31462	19121	12
Quality and Value of unserviceable Stampt Cadjans returned by Collectors from 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1844 now written off under authority Government dated 1st March 1845	571	742	8			
Returned by Do — from Consignments in consequence being Damaged from — to Do and written off under Do	17	68	"			
Deficiency reported from the Consignments by Do — Do from Do to Do and written off under Do	4	2	8			
				592	813	
				32054	19934	12
By Balance				8963	19370	4
				40957	19305	"

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

17139

Accountant General's Stamp Office 22nd December 1845.

Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

17161

No. 6

Account Particulars of Excess and Deficiency of Stampt Papers and Cadjans found in the Stock and Consignments as reported by Collectors &c. in Fasly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.

	Excess found in the Stock and Consignments			Deficiency found in the Consignments		
	Rolls	Rs	As	Rolls	Rs	As
4 Annas Country Paper	1	"	4	1	"	4
4 Annas Sayer Rowannahs	5	1	4	"	"	"
8 — Do — Do	6	3	"	"	"	"
4 — Do — Do	5	"	5	5	"	5
	17	4	13	6	"	9

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
26th November 1845.

E. E. &c. (Signed) J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps.

Account

1162 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

No. 7.

Account Particulars of Damaged Papers and Cadjans returned from the Consignments by Collectors in Fusly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.

	Rolls	Rupees	As	P
4 Annas Country Paper No. 2	5	1	4	"
8 Annas Do. " 2	532	266	"	"
1 Rupee Do. " 2	5	5	"	"
1 Rupee Europe Paper for Deeds " 2	1	1	"	"
4 Rupees Country Paper " 2	100	400	"	"
8 Rupees Do. " 2	50	400	"	"
Additional fee on 1 Roll Europe Paper for Deeds	"	"	"	6
	693	1073	4	6
Cadjans				
4 Annas Cadjan	3	"	12	"
Total { Paper 693 1073 4 6 / Cadjan 3 " 12 " }	696	1074	"	6

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
26th November 1845

E. E. Gr. (Signed) J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps.

Account

1163 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

No. 8

Account Particulars of Damaged and Unsaleable Stamp Papers, Rowannahs and Cadjans returned by Collectors from their Stock in Fusly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.

	Papers Rowannahs and Cadjans				Total		
	Rolls	Rs	As	P	Rolls	Rs	As P
Bellary	8	32	"	"			
Masulipatam	88	405	4	"			
Chingleput	23	3880	"	"			
Penjahmundry	2	82	"	"			
Madura	32,206	15496	2	"			
Malabar	44	528	"	"			
North Arcot	45228	20283	1	"			
Tanjore	21,414	13115	7	"			
Guntoor	81	104	12	"			
Tanjore	68,591	22529	"	"			
South Arcot	14017	7275	"	"			
Additional fee on 49 Rolls Europe Paper for Deeds	"	1	8	6			
					181,702	83256	10 6
Cadjans							
Malabar	49	75	"	"			
Chingleput	9	12	"	"			
					58	87	" "
Total { Paper and Rows 181702 83256 10 6 / Cadjans 58 87 " " }					181,760	83,343	10 6

Fort St. George Genl. Stamp Office
26th November 1845

E. E. Gr. (Signed) J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps.
Statement

do	do	250	"	31	7750	"	"	"	"	31	7750
do	do	350	"	9	3150	"	"	"	"	9	3150
do	do	500	"	1	500	"	"	"	"	1	500
do	do	750	"	5	3750	"	"	"	"	5	3750
do	do	1000	"	3	3000	"	"	"	"	3	3000
do	do	2000	"	1	2000	"	"	"	"	1	2000
Cumla Seeres Zeiten			"	8	6140	3070	"	"	"	6140	3070
do	do	1	"	3082	3082	"	"	"	"	3082	3082
do	do	2	"	1826	3652	"	"	"	"	1826	3652
do	do	4	"	63	252	"	"	"	"	63	252
Jahres Reserve			"	2	18	2	4	"	"	18	2 4
do	do		"	4	309	77	4	"	"	309	77 4
do	do		"	8	1	"	8	"	"	1	" 8
do	do										
Amtes Remonte			"	4	1908	6	4771	8	"	1908	4771 8
do	do		"	8	1378	6	6893	"	"	1378	6893 "
do	do		1	"	7720	"			"	7720	7720 "
do	do		2	"	8422	16	8444	"	"	8422	16844 "
do	do		4	"	5178	20	712	"	"	5178	20712 "
do	do		10	"	148	14	80	"	"	148	1480 "
do	do		20	"	26	52	0	"	"	26	520 "
do	do		1	"	2817	18	01	1	"	2817	1801 1
do	do										
		Tota			3132	24	3227	39	269	89	160 49 12 3402 13 3387 89 5

Ofpl. Ps. Hospe Gen.: Insp. Office 26. Dezember 1845. C. Hentze Insp. Adjutant

Tabelle

Übersichten der Anzahl der Pferde und Fohlen nach Ställen.

Ställe	Anzahl der Ställe mit	Anzahl der Pferde	Anzahl der Fohlen
1	1	1	
2	1	2	
3	1	3	
4	1	4	
5	1	5	
6	1	6	
7	1	7	
8	1	8	
9	1	9	
10	1	10	
11	1	11	
12	1	12	
13	1	13	
14	1	14	
15	1	15	
16	1	16	
17	1	17	
18	1	18	
19	1	19	
20	1	20	
21	1	21	
22	1	22	
23	1	23	
24	1	24	
25	1	25	
26	1	26	
27	1	27	
28	1	28	
29	1	29	
30	1	30	
31	1	31	
32	1	32	
33	1	33	
34	1	34	
35	1	35	
36	1	36	
37	1	37	
38	1	38	
39	1	39	
40	1	40	
41	1	41	
42	1	42	
43	1	43	
44	1	44	
45	1	45	
46	1	46	
47	1	47	
48	1	48	
49	1	49	
50	1	50	
51	1	51	
52	1	52	
53	1	53	
54	1	54	
55	1	55	
56	1	56	
57	1	57	
58	1	58	
59	1	59	
60	1	60	
61	1	61	
62	1	62	
63	1	63	
64	1	64	
65	1	65	
66	1	66	
67	1	67	
68	1	68	
69	1	69	
70	1	70	
71	1	71	
72	1	72	
73	1	73	
74	1	74	
75	1	75	
76	1	76	
77	1	77	
78	1	78	
79	1	79	
80	1	80	
81	1	81	
82	1	82	
83	1	83	
84	1	84	
85	1	85	
86	1	86	
87	1	87	
88	1	88	
89	1	89	
90	1	90	
91	1	91	
92	1	92	
93	1	93	
94	1	94	
95	1	95	
96	1	96	
97	1	97	
98	1	98	
99	1	99	
100	1	100	
101	1	101	
102	1	102	
103	1	103	
104	1	104	
105	1	105	
106	1	106	
107	1	107	
108	1	108	
109	1	109	
110	1	110	
111	1	111	
112	1	112	
113	1	113	
114	1	114	
115	1	115	
116	1	116	
117	1	117	
118	1	118	
119	1	119	
120	1	120	
121	1	121	
122	1	122	
123	1	123	
124	1	124	
125	1	125	
126	1	126	
127	1	127	
128	1	128	
129	1	129	
130	1	130	
131	1	131	
132	1	132	
133	1	133	
134	1	134	
135	1	135	
136	1	136	
137	1	137	
138	1	138	
139	1	139	
140	1	140	
141	1	141	
142	1	142	
143	1	143	
144	1	144	
145	1	145	
146	1	146	
147	1	147	
148	1	148	
149	1	149	
150	1	150	
Total	150	11325	

Stall-Liste

für

Hengste

in Stadt, am 12. Juli 1844 bis 11. July 1845.

Übersichten der Anzahl der Pferde und Fohlen nach Ställen.

Ställe	Anzahl der Ställe mit	Anzahl der Pferde	Anzahl der Fohlen
1	1	1	
2	1	2	
3	1	3	
4	1	4	
5	1	5	
6	1	6	
7	1	7	
8	1	8	
9	1	9	
10	1	10	
11	1	11	
12	1	12	
13	1	13	
14	1	14	
15	1	15	
16	1	16	
17	1	17	
18	1	18	
19	1	19	
20	1	20	
21	1	21	
22	1	22	
23	1	23	
24	1	24	
25	1	25	
26	1	26	
27	1	27	
28	1	28	
29	1	29	
30	1	30	
31	1	31	
32	1	32	
33	1	33	
34	1	34	
35	1	35	
36	1	36	
37	1	37	
38	1	38	
39	1	39	
40	1	40	
41	1	41	
42	1	42	
43	1	43	
44	1	44	
45	1	45	
46	1	46	
47	1	47	
48	1	48	
49	1	49	
50	1	50	
51	1	51	
52	1	52	
53	1	53	
54	1	54	
55	1	55	
56	1	56	
57	1	57	
58	1	58	
59	1	59	
60	1	60	
61	1	61	
62	1	62	
63	1	63	
64	1	64	
65	1	65	
66	1	66	
67	1	67	
68	1	68	
69	1	69	
70	1	70	
71	1	71	
72	1	72	
73	1	73	
74	1	74	
75	1	75	
76	1	76	
77	1	77	
78	1	78	
79	1	79	
80	1	80	
81	1	81	
82	1	82	
83	1	83	
84	1	84	
85	1	85	
86	1	86	
87	1	87	
88	1	88	
89	1	89	
90	1	90	
91	1	91	
92	1	92	
93	1	93	
94	1	94	
95	1	95	
96	1	96	
97	1	97	
98	1	98	
99	1	99	
100	1	100	
101	1	101	
102	1	102	
103	1	103	
104	1	104	
105	1	105	
106	1	106	
107	1	107	
108	1	108	
109	1	109	
110	1	110	
111	1	111	
112	1	112	
113	1	113	
114	1	114	
115	1	115	
116	1	116	
117	1	117	
118	1	118	
119	1	119	
120	1	120	
121	1	121	
122	1	122	
123	1	123	
124	1	124	
125	1	125	
126	1	126	
127	1	127	
128	1	128	
129	1	129	
130	1	130	
131	1	131	
132	1	132	
133	1	133	
134	1	134	
135	1	135	
136	1	136	
137	1	137	
138	1	138	
139	1	139	
140	1	140	
141	1	141	
142	1	142	
143	1	143	
144	1	144	
145	1	145	
146	1	146	
147	1	147	
148	1	148	
149	1	149	
150	1	150	
Total	150	11325	

1865

Fancy-fl. Jersey 15th December 1865.

Flannels, Petticoats, Shirts, Drawers & other Woollen Articles

Having no connection with any other House in the Trade, we are enabled to offer Goods at the Lowest Possible Prices for Cash.

Orders per Parcels Post executed with Punctuality & Dispatch.

Patterns sent free on application.

Country Orders must state whether Goods are to be sent by Parcels Post or Train, and if by Train, the Name of the Station to which they are to be forwarded.

2	Merino	£	—	—	—
4	"		—	—	—
8	"		—	—	—
16	"		—	—	—
32	"		—	—	—
50	"		—	—	—
100	"		—	—	—
150	"		—	—	—
250	"		—	—	—
350	"		—	—	—
500	"		—	—	—
750	"		—	—	—
1000	"		—	—	—

3	8	Flannel	Lambswool	Assortment	—
4	4	"	Jersey	Assortment	—
8	"		"	"	—
1	"	Merino	"	"	—
2	"	Merino	"	"	—
4	"		"	"	—
1	"	Flannel	Petticoat	Assortment	—
6	10	Merino	Lambswool	Assortment	—
20	"		"	"	—
1	20	"	Lambswool	"	—
2	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
10	"	Merino	"	"	—
2	10	"	Plain	"	—
20	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

9	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	Jersey	"	"	"	—
2	"	"	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
16	"	"	"	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
50	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

3	8	Flannel	Petticoat	Assortment	—
4	4	"	Jersey	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	"	Merino	"	"	—
2	"	Merino	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
1	"	Flannel	Petticoat	Assortment	—
6	10	Merino	Lambswool	Assortment	—
20	"	"	"	"	—
1	20	"	Lambswool	"	—
2	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
10	"	Merino	"	"	—
2	10	"	Plain	"	—
20	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

9	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	Jersey	"	"	"	—
2	"	"	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
16	"	"	"	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
50	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

9	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	Jersey	"	"	"	—
2	"	"	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
16	"	"	"	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
50	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

9	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	Jersey	"	"	"	—
2	"	"	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
16	"	"	"	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
50	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

9	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	Jersey	"	"	"	—
2	"	"	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
16	"	"	"	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
50	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

2	4	Merino	Fancy	Assortment	—
8	16	"	Jersey	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

9	4	Flannel	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
1	Jersey	"	"	"	—
2	"	"	"	"	—
4	"	"	"	"	—
8	"	"	"	"	—
16	"	"	"	"	—
32	"	"	"	"	—
50	"	"	"	"	—
100	"	"	"	"	—
150	"	"	"	"	—
250	"	"	"	"	—
350	"	"	"	"	—
500	"	"	"	"	—
750	"	"	"	"	—
1000	"	"	"	"	—

James Jermyn

Fort St. George 15th November 1845.

Value of Several Sorts of Paper issued to the several Districts for the Year 1254 Fusly

Sorts of Paper	Quantity	Value	Remarks.
	Rms	Rs a p	
Cadjans	1	385	8 6 2313 1
	4		
	27	108	
	39	1248	
	3	150	
	38	380	
	20	300	
	15	330	
	17	510	
	14	700	
	8	600	
	4	400	
	4	800	1510 4 3103 4
	802	200	8	
	201	100	8	
	1423	142	9	
	404	80	8	

Brought forward

1	Rimer	Cadjans
4	Rupees	
32		
50		
10		
150		
250		
350		
500		
1500		
1000		
2000		
4	4 Rimer	
8		
1	Rupee	
2	Rupees	

Brought forward

4		
8		
1		
1000		
2000		

Total

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
26th November 1845.

(Signed) J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps.

Contractor.

Remarks.

1 Damaged from long standing in the Stamp Office

George 15th Decr 1845.

Quantity and Value of Paper and Cadjans sold during Fusly 1254

	Colls	Rs	a	p	
	2,51,862				
	10056				
	195	14			
	19,783	11			
	4095	14			
	80				
	322,935	7			
	15049	12			
	338,985	3			
	14641	14			
	3150	10	6		
		6	2		
	16	12	3		
	17,809	10	11		
	356794	13	11		

Gross decrease

Fusly 1253 4
Do 1254 3

Decrease for Fusly 1254

November 1845 6

Fusly 1254 Revenue

Value of Paper and Cadjans sold during the Fusly

15th December 1845.

Year to which the Treasury Stamps has been affixed in the year 1844/45.

Ranata Rowannahs

6.

Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	As.	As.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4	10	20	4	8	1	2	4	10	20
30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Rowannahs

Sea Customs Rowannahs.

3.

Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	As.	As.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4	10	20	4	8	1	2	4	10	20
"	"	"	100	100	200	"	"	"	"

17150

Fort St. George

15th December 1845

Sea Custom Rowannahs

4

As 4	As 8	Rupee 1	Rupees 2	Rupees 4
47 50	41 50	39 00	27 55	31 01

Europe Decree Papers

2

Rupees 10	Rupees 20	As 8	Rupee 1	Rupee ½	Rupee ¼
105	75	46 50	25 50	23 50	5 0

Europe Papers

2

As 4	As 8	Rupee 1	Rupees 2	Rupees 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	Rs 32
2000	1800	1955	325	335	100		15

Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1,000	Rs 2,000
10	10	4	2	"	"	"	"	"

17151 Fort St. George 15th December 1815

Papers 2

A1	A1	R	R2	R5	R10	R20	R50	R100	R200
4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32	50	100	150	250	350	500	700	1,000	2,000
99,900	32,250	25,900	5,850	8,200	2,270	995	391	276	110	86	36	5	17	2	7	4

Papers 6

A1	A1	R	R2	R5	R10	R20	R50	R100	R150	R250	R350	R500	R750	R1,000	R2,000
4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32	50	100	150	250	350	500	750	1,000	2,000
4,300	700	700	550	500	100	5	10	10								

17152 Fort St. George

15th December 1845.

Passes

9

As.	As.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32

Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
50	100	150	250	350	500	750	1,000	2,000

40 " " " " " " " 5 " " " " " " " "

Malabar Rowannahs	Telegoo Rowannahs.	Kanada Rowannahs.
7	7	7

Cadjans.

1.

As.	As.	As.	As.	As.	Rupee
1	1	1	4	8	1

Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees
2	4	8	16	32	50

3,050 300 2,200 13,470 3,830 2,650

80 15 5 " " "

17153 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Cadjans / Continued /

Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
100	150	250	350	500	750	1,000	2,000
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Bonds &c.	Total Number of Sizes	Total Value	Total Company's Rupees.
			Rs. a.
"	25,723	"	30,997 4 14.

Fort St. George
General Treasury
22nd November 1845.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / S. D. Birch
Asst. Sub Treasurer

Order on the three foregoing letters. Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

(51-54)

17154 Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

Read the following letter.

No. 567/45.

The Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors.

In the Matter of the Petition of Jacob Gibson an Insolvent Debtor.

From,
Charles Blunt Esqre.
Common Assignee

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(55)

From Charles Blunt Esqre. Common Assignee.....

Requesting that the sum of 15 Rupees be deducted Monthly from the Salary of the Insolvent Jacob Gibson an examiner in the Boards Office, and that the same be paid to him for the benefit of his creditors.

Sir,

With reference to the annexed copy of Extract from the Minutes of Consult: under date the 10th November 1845 and in pursuance of the order of Court dated 8th December 1845 a copy whereof is also annexed. I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to deduct Monthly from the salary of the Insolvent above named, an Examiner in the Board of Revenue, the sum of Fifteen (15) Rupees and pay the same to me for the benefit of his creditors.

Office of Common Assignee
Madras 27th November 1845.

(Signed) Charles Blunt
Common Assignee
Judicial Department

No. 823

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under

Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

under date the 10th. November 1845.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India.

(Here enter 20th. September 1845 No. 648.)

Para 1. Ordered that para 8 of the Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors to the Government of India dated 6th. August 1845 No. 12 be communicated to the Common Assignee of the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors in reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in his Department dated 1st. October 1844 No. 1003.

Extract from a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Home Department (Judicial) Under date the 6th. August 1845 No. 12.

8. We see no objection to your following the course in practice in Bengal authorizing the deduction made by the Insolvent court from the Salary of any Civil or Military Servant to be paid directly to the party who may be appointed by such court to receive the same.

Inquiry by the Madras Government relative to the practice at Bengal for enforcing the regular discharge by Civil Officers of the instalments required by the Insolvent court.

(True Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson.
Secy. to Government

To The Common Assignee of the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors.

No.

Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

No. 295 of 43.

In the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors at Madras.

Present.

The Honorable Sir Edward John Gambier Knight Chief Justice and one of the Commissioners of this Court.

Friday the Eighth day of December One Thousand Eight hundred and forty three and in the seventh of the year of the Reign of Her Majesty Queen Victoria

In the Matter of the Petition of Jacob Gibson an Insolvent Debtor.

The Matter of this Petition and schedule coming on this day to be heard before the Honorable Sir Edward John Gambier Knight, Chief Justice, and one of the Commissioners of this Court now upon hearing read the Petition and schedule filed in this Matter by the above named Insolvent and also the Certificate of Charles William Blunt Esqre. Common Assignee whereby it appears that the said Charles William Blunt Esqre. has not been enabled to possess himself of any property of any Kind whatever of the said Insolvent, It is Adjudged that the said Insolvent is entitled to the benefit of the Act passed in

17157 Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

in the 9th. Year of the Reign of Hislate Majesty George the 4th. Chapter 73d. and continued by 3d. and 4th. Victoria Chapter 80, entitled "an act for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors in the East Indies" and to be discharged in pursuance of the Provisions thereof, and It is ordered under and by virtue of the 27th. Section of the Act aforesaid continued as aforesaid that the said Insolvent from and out of the Salary of the Appointment held by him under the united Company of Marchants of England trading to the East Indies do pay Monthly and on or before the Tenth of every Month to Charles William Blunt Esqre. the Assignee of this Court the sum of Rupees Fifteen (15) for the benefit of his creditors and It is hereby further Ordered that the said Insolvent Jacob Gibson do make the first Monthly payment as aforesaid to the Assignee aforesaid on the Tenth January next.

By the Court
(Signed) Thos. Sydney Smyth.
Chief Clerk.

(True Copies)
(Signed) Charles William Blunt
Common Assignee.

Ordered

17158. Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

55-56
To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Common Assignee, requesting, with reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department dated 10th. November 1845, that a Monthly deduction of 15 Rupees be made and remitted to him from the pay of Jacob Gibson an examiner in this Office, who has taken the benefit of the Insolvent Act.

27th. Govt. in Cons. 15th. Dec. 1845

2. The extract from the Despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors transmitted in the Extract Minutes of Consultation above referred to authorizes the deduction made by the Insolvent Court from the Salary of any Civil or Military servants to be paid to the party who may be appointed by such Court to receive the same, the Board beg to be informed whether this order is to be understood to apply to Clerks and other servants on their Office Establishment.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th. December 1845...

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

The

17159 Fort St. George 15th. December 1815.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

57 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of Charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1254.

58 From the Actg. Collr. of Chingleput. Statement of Charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1254.

57-59 Order on the 2 abovd. Statements. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper column of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the abovementioned Statements.

60 From the Collr. of Vizagapatam. Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the quarter ending 30th. September last.

61 From the Princl. Collr. of Coimbatore Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees incurred in the Revenue Department for the Quarter ending 30th. September last.

62 From the Princl. Collr. of Coimbatore. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Magistrates' Department for the Quarter ending 30th. September last.

63 From the Collr. of Madras. Statement of contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the Quarter ending 30th. September last.

60-64 Order on the 4 abovd. Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read

17160. Fort St. George 15th. December 1815.

From the Head Assistt. Collr. in charge of Guntoor ..... 65 } Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by the Board during the Month of Novr. last.

65-66 Order thereon; Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

67 To the Chief Secry. to Govt. } Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the purpose of being cancelled the accompanying Original pension certificate No. 427 held by N. Ra: casawm formerly a Writer in the Establishment of the Board of Revenue who died on the 2d. Instant.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 15th. December 1815. } (Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary.

Resolved that the following

68 letters be dispatched.

To the Acting Collr. of Ganjam ... } Sir, With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request

From Acting Collr.	11th.	Inbons:	23d. September 1815
To Dr.			11th. October "
From Dr.	11th.	Inbons	28th. November "
To Dr.			28th. November "
From Dr.	28th.	Decr.	9th. January 1815
From Dr.	4th.	January	in Cons. 8th. February "
From Dr.			11th. April "
To Dr.			2d. May "
From Dr.	30th.	April	inbons: 2d. "
To Dr.			2d. July "
From Dr.	12th.	August	inbons: 11th. September "
From Dr.	26th.	August	inbons 11th. September "
To Dr.			11th. September "

17161. Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

request that you will enter upon the investigation ordered by Government regarding the alleged minority of Ramiah Zemindar of the Pilaky &ca. Estates in your District and report the result to the Board at your earliest convenience. The immediate object of your inquiry will be to ascertain what is Ramiahs real age, that it may be known whether he had attained his majority. 1st. at the date of his adoptive father's death 20th. Octr. 1843 2dly. at the death of his Aunt Proprietrix of Barwah and Letchmeepooram 6th. July 1844. 3dly. at the date when the four Estates were sold by Public Auction 30th. December 1844. But the Board will be happy to receive from you in your report any observations upon the whole question which it may occur to you to offer, on review of all the correspondence which has passed upon it during your absence from the District.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th. December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To the Acting Collr. of Cuddapah

To,
The Acting Collector of
Cuddapah
Sir,

Returning his report on the settlement of his District of Fasli 1254, & requesting him to

69

Advertising to the recent Circular issued from this Office under date the 1st. Instant and to the orders of Government and the Board therein referred to, I am

17162. Fort St. George 15th. December 1845.

to submit it in a revised form omitting all questions of a general nature & confining his report to subjects immediately connected with the annual settlement of the District.

I am directed to return to you your report on the settlement of your District dated 15th. Ulto. and, with the Board's request that agreeably to the above instructions you will be good enough to submit it in a revised form omitting all questions of a general nature and confining your report to subjects immediately connected with the Annual Settlement of the District.

The Board at the same time

2.

instruct me to intimate to you, that they view with much satisfaction, the care and attention you have bestowed on the several subjects connected with the welfare of the District under your controul, as evinced in the present report, but adverting to the late stringent orders of Government requiring that general questions should not be embodied in the annual reports made by Collectors but should be dealt with separately,

Paras 69 to 100

I am directed to draw your attention more particularly to the paragraphs noted in the margin as the topics therein discussed comprehend the subject of Wells- Mamiems and irregularities of assessment which however important of themselves are of a general nature and have no particular and especial bearing upon the settlement of the year reported on.

In

3. In addition to the above points the Board would suggest with reference to your extended observations on the subject of prices that the remarks upon that topic should be limitted as required in their standing orders to the rise or fall on the price of grain the causes of the fluctuation and its effects on the resources of the District during the Fusly under report and they therefore request that you will as far as possible confine your notice of the subject within those limits.

Paras 57 to 66.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
15th December 1845

(Signed) T Pycroft
Secretary.

70

To the Actg. Collr. of North Arcot.

To, The Acting Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot

Sir,

10th April 1845
9th June "
11th Aug. "
8th Sept. "

Referring to the letters from this Office noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish without further delay the report called for upon the Moturpha of your District.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

71

To the Collr. of Malabar.

To The Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Bajpoor Walia Rajah, complaining of your having withheld payment to him of his Milikanah allowance for reasons therein stated, and to request that you will be pleased to report on the subject of this Petition at your early convenience.

No. 1376 of 1845.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
15th December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

72

To the Accountt. Genl. Civil Auditor and Sub Treasurer, Committee for passing Contingent Charges.

To, The Accountant General
Civil Auditor and
Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing
Contingent Charges.

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1815. published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent charges incurred in this Office for the Month of November last, Amounting to Rupees 16 10 6. and to request that they may be passed and returned.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th December 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received

17165 Fort St. George 15th December 1825.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

73 From the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot. — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of October last.

74 From the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot. — Return of persons convicted and in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of November last.

75 From the Coll.r in charge of the Special Agents Dept.t Cuddalore. — Submitting an Indent for the Stationery and Sundries for the Year 1826.

75-76 Order thereon. Ordered that the Original Indent received with the abovementioned letter be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

77 From the Collector of Bellary. Forwarding a Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of October last and stating that no persons were in confinement under that Regulation during the same period.

78 From the Collector of Bellary. Requesting to be furnished with printed forms of Bills of Exchange and letters of advice.

Ordered

17166 Fort St. George 15th December 1825.

78-79 Order thereon. Ordered that Government be requested that a number of Copies of Bills of Exchange and Letters of advice may be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press.

80 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

81 From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of October last.

82 From the Principal Coll.r of Madura. Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of November last.

83 From the Principal Coll.r of Madura. Return of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of November last.

84 From the Principal Coll.r of Madura. Return of persons suspended and dismissed from Office under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of November last.

From

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

Read the following letter-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S.t George

Sir,

40

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 17.th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that my letter of the 25.th Ultimo was despatched under a mistake. I beg to apologize for the trouble I have occasioned and I request that my letter may be cancelled-

Trichinopoly
Coll.rs Office
25.th November 1845

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded-

40-41

5-in Cons.n 13.th Feby 1845

Read again the following letter from the Principal Collector of Madura being his report on the Management of the Revenues of the Ramnad Zemindary under the Court of Wards for Fusly 1253 / 1843/44

42

Proceedings thereon- Para.1- The season of Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 is represented as having been far from favorable within this Zemindary, from the Month of July until the close of the year but little rain fell and the dry cultivation it is stated greatly suffered from drought and from the Tanks being inadequately supplied the Nunjah cultivation and produce was also considerably below the result of the previous year in addition to

Relative to the Management of the Revenues of the Ramnad Zemindary under the Court of Wards for Fusly 1253 -
A.D. 1843/44

Fort S.t George 15.th December 1845

to which the Principal Collector adds that the Cholera extensively prevailed within the Estate

2- The Annual Settlement it is remarked in Para 4, was conducted by the Manager himself, regulated by certain general instructions previously communicated for his guidance by the Principal Collector what the particulars of those rules were, has not been stated an omission which the Court of Wards the more regret as they were led to expect a detailed review of the administration of the Estate from Mr. Blackburne's observations in his report on the Settlement of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43-

3 The result of the settlement of the year under consideration is given in the Abstract Statement appendiced to Para 4 of the report which shews a falling off in the revenue as compared with that of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 of Rupees 49098-2-2 and a decrease below the average revenue of the previous three years of Rupees 40,603-7-9- In the quantity of Grain appertaining to the Zemindar there was a falling off of no less than 47036 Cullums of Paddy but owing to the rise in prices which took place from Rs. A. P. 1-1-8 per Cullum to Rs. A. P. 1-7-8 in Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 the loss in money value was reduced

Note
There would appear to be a clerical error in the amount stated in Para 5 by the Principal Collector-

1767. Fort St. George 15th December 1845.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Submitting an Indent
85 and requesting sanction for the supply of Cloth
therein specified.

Order thereon.
85-86 Ordered that the Original
Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter
be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to
the Clothing Board.

" J. Dury

" A. Maclean

" Bojadinham