18688 Fort St George — December 1843

Diary

30th November. Sent the following — Letters.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir —

1

To the Chief Sect.y to Government —

From 14th in Cont 20th Novr 1843.
From the Collr North Arcot 13th Febr in Cont 4th March 1844 with Encs Nos 1 to 2 & 4 to 6.
From the Asst Collr of Combatore 17th March in Cont 8th April 1844. with Encl A.

With reference to the order of Government dated 14th Instant No 1198 — I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit Duplicates of the Enclosures submitted to Government with the Boards communications of the 11th March and 8th April 1844 on the subject of improving the efficiency of the Village Police of the Districts of North Arcot and Coimbatore

Coimbatore.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
30th November 1843.
2nd December.

Signed E.C. Lovell
Jr. Sect.

2

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

To the Chief Sect. to Government } Sir,

With reference to my letter dated the 23rd ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the accompanying Petition received from the Trustees of the Teroonamalie Pagoda residing in Madras.

No 1960 of 1843

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2nd December 1843.

Signed E.C. Lovell
Jr. Secretary

At

At a Meeting Present

Monday 14th December 1843 — John Dent Esq. Senior Member
A. Robertson Esq. Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esq. Third Member

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

Read the following resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st December 1843.

(From Bd. 16th Novr.)

3

From the Chief Secy to Govt. — The Most Noble the Governor in Council permits the sale of the spot of Ground referred to in the foregoing letter and authorizes the publication in the Official Gazette of the Advertisement for

Fort St George 4th December 1843

for the same ...

(Signed) G. D Drury
Chief Secretary

3 - 4

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter of the 1st November last.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2nd December 1843

(From Bd 16th Novr)

5

From the Sect. to Govt. The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the Indent submitted with the above Extract for 250 Boxes supplied to the General Treasury by the Commissariat Department amounting to Rupees 656-4-...

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Sect. to Govt.

Ordered

Fort St George 4th December 1843

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Sub Treasurer.

5 - 6

To the Sub Treasurer ...

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return to you sanctioned by Government the accompanying Indent received with your letter of the 9th November last.

In Cons. 16th Novr

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
4th December 1843 ...

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Secy

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

7

Sir

From the Asst Collr of Vizagapatam ... Para 1.

Submitting an offer from the Proprietor of Royavarum Koondah to make over four of his Villages to the Government.

Under date the 8th November 1842 Government were pleased to express regret that some compromise had not been entered into with the Proprietor of the Royavarum Estate, regarding a supply of water for Survasiddy Koondah on the principles suggested in Mr Arbuthnots letter of the 22nd September 1841 which did not then obtain

Fort St George 4th December 1843

obtain the approval of the Board of Revenue and the Collector was ordered accordingly to review his negociations with the Zemindar for that purpose.-

2. The subject has never been lost sight of the delay in reporting thereon is mainly ascribable to the difficulty of making any arrangement with the Proprietor Narrain Row that would be acceptable to the Government.-

3 The Proprietor was anxious to exchange Villages but differences of opinion would interfere as regards the relative estimate of their value. he was also willing to sell the whole Estate for a considerable sum of money but the acquisition of any detached Estates for Government at a large outlay did not seem advisable seeing the difficulty of managing efficiently those at present held by the Collector.

4 After much procrastination Narrain Row has offered to assign to Government the four Villages noted in the Margin a reduction being made in the Peishcash of the Hoondah to the extent of the amount at which these Villages were assessed to the Revenue at the date of the permanent Settlement.-

1. Royavarum
2. Redda Oopaulem
3. Chinna Oopaulem
4. Lingarauze-paulem-

The

Fort St George 4th December 1843.

5- The Accounts of this Collectorate shew that the resources of these Villages were estimated by Mr Alexander at Rupees 13,923-13-1 and that the permanent Jumma fixed thereon was Rupees 11900 Narrain Row wishes a deduction of this amount from the full Peishcash of Rupees 18,900---- which he now prays upon the entire Hoondah of Royavarum.-

6 Mr Arbuthnot has had accounts prepared by the Amildar of Suvaseddy from which it would seem that the average collections of the said Villages have only yielded Rupees 9,546 per Annum.- on a term of 20 years, from Fusly 1231 to 1250

7 The Revenues have fallen off from disputes that the Proprietor has had with the holders of Enams on the Estate and from the bad feeling and litigation engendered thereby. Nevertheless the Villages are in very good condition and I think it would be advisable to take them at once from the Proprietor reducing 10,000 Rupees from the Peishcash. I have had the Villages inspected and believe them to be worth the Money.-

8 It is not to be expected that Government are to get them as a bargain from

from which immediate profit is to accrue
The object of acquiring them is in fact to
hold the means by which the Government
Mootah of Surwasiddy can be preserved
and improved.-

That Estate as the
9 Board require not to be told, has greatly
deteriorated from the alteration in River
Channels and the refusal of Narrain Row to
permit cuts to be made from the channels
that run through the Villages he proposed to
assign to the Circar Lands of Surwasiddy.-

10 The Villages of Surwasiddy
mainly dependant upon streams branching off
from the Royavarum Villages proposed to be
obtained are Surwasiddy, Veeraparsilly,
Croostnapooram, and Vathada and in order
to shew the present condition of these in
reference to their former standard, I have
prepared the accompanying Statement mark-
-ed A to which I beg to refer the Board
comment upon the result it displays is quite
unnecessary

11 The Villages sought to be
acquired from Royavarum command ex-
-clusively the means of irrigation of the
lands

lands of these Villages a plan in my office
shews this, but it is so rough a sketch as to
be unintelligible without explanation - It is
not therefore sent.-

The means of irrigation are
12 represented to be ample both for the Royavarum
and Surwasiddy Villages all that is required is
that they should be placed under one owner-
-ship.

I have not made any offer
13 to Narrain Row because it is a waste of time
to negociate until it can be ascertained whether
the higher authorities are inclined to support
the proposition of offering Narrain Row a re-
-mission of 1000 Rupees or thereabout from his
Peishcush for the cession of these four Villages
in his Royavarum Moondah.-

I repeat that their acquisition
14 on these terms is only valuable because it
will terminate disputes and enable Government to
ameliorate the condition of some of their own
Villages now in a state of great decay. Every
additional day's delay only serves still further
to impoverish the Surwasiddy Villages. The im-
-portance of an early disposal of this reference
will not therefore escape the attention of the
Board of Revenue.-

Vizagapatam District,
Vizianagrum Coll[r] Circuit Cutcherry,
16th November 1843.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
4th Collector

Statement

18697

Fort St George 4th December 1843

A. Statement shewing the present condition of the under mentioned Villages o

№	Fusly	Attookoobady Dowle	Survaseddy Demand according to Chella Sirnayom	Collection	Voopparapilly Attookoobody Dowle	Demand	Co	Total Demand	Collection
1	Permanent Estimate	4,678 9 5	4,678 9 5	4,678 9 5	2,503 9 1	2,503 9 1	2,50	11,870 15 11	11,870 15 11
2	Annual Rent under Collectors Management on behalf of the owner from Fusly 1224 to Fusly 1227	5,500 . .	5,500 . .	5,500 . .	2,762 13 .	2,762 13 .	2,76	14,662 13 .	14,662 13 .
	Sumany Settlement								
	Fusly 1248	3,367 11 4	336 4 8	121 8 8	2,199 . .	700 7 .	53	2,432 . 11	1,940 . 11
	Fusly 1249	2,770 4 8	936 15 7	877 6 11	2,199 . .	559 15 8	43	3,493 12 9	3311 9 8
	Fusly 1250	3,480 4 8	249 10 .	84 2 .	2,199 . .	3326 4	151	1,706 13 8	1,251 11 5
	Fusly 1251	3,480 4 8	616 4 2	607 3 8	2,199 . .	739 5 .	706	4,051 10 8	3,997 5 7
	Fusly 1252	3,114 15 4	564 10 .	406 13 1	2,199 . .	4334 .	326	2998 9 .	2,437 23

Vizagapatam
16th November 1843.

C E Jr

Ordered

Fort St George 4th December 1843

7. 8

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To C C Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

9

From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam. } Para 1.

Reporting the great wastage of Salt occasioned by the late hurricane at Vizagapatam.

Under date the 27th Ultimo the Board of Revenue were informed that this District had been afflicted with a hurricane accompanied by loss of life and considerable damage to the standing crops.

2. As intimated in that communication I have caused the Salt in Store to be re-measured and stored in heaps of 20 Garces each, both at Pellimoorloo and at Carafsah under the personal Superviscon of Mr Bury and Mr G N. Taylor my Assistants.

3. The result is that from the

Fort St George 4th December 1843

the quantity of Salt in Store on the 23d of October Amounting to a little more than 1390 Garces, or nearly two years Supply, on examination only Garces 609 were found to remain less than one half of the whole.—

4 The wastage or loss is exhibited in a Return that accompanies this letter as ascertained at each station and authority is requested for writing off the quantity found deficient from the accounts of this District.—

5 The course of the storm ranged from Vizagapatam to the southern termination of the Zillah only, and was little felt north of Bimlipatam. In the portion of the District exposed to its ravages.

18700 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

ravages many tanks have been breached, and much havock made besides both in the Crops already reaped, as well as in that standing in the fields. The splendid Gardens at Aukapilly reared by the late Sooria Proreans Row has been nearly destroyed.—

6 This calamity will again seriously affect the prospects of the Proprietors whose Estates are situated in this tract. Their embarrassed condition was brought to notice frequently so long since as the 15th March last, but no reply has been yet returned. I would again respectfully beg to press this question upon the earnest attention of the Board of Revenue.—

Vizagapatam District
Vizianagarum Collector's
Circuit Cutcherry 27th Nov. 1843. } Signed P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement

1701 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

No. 1.

Statement shewing the Arrivage of Salt at the Circuit of Vizagapatam.—

Names of Vessels	Quantity Loaded	Number of Candies	Whence Arrived	Date of Arrival	Quantity Landed	Remarks
Mokanda	29	83	Currychal	1843	29	
Ellenborough	28	52	Ellenoor	1843	28	
Total	57	135			57	

Vizagapatam — 8 Dec. 1843. P. B. Smollett.
The 4th November 1843 — Acting Collector.
Ordered that the Statement brought forward at a future Meeting.—

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(II)

From the Asst Coll.r
of Vizagapatam - } Sir,

Acknowledging the receipt of an Extract from the Board's Proceedings relative to the employment of an Assistant Apothecary in his Collectorate & stating grounds that the Arrangement now in force be not disturbed.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 23d October, with its accompaniments, having reference to the employment of an Assistant Apothecary on the Establishment of the Collectorate instead of a 1st Dresser as formerly, and requiring the expression of my sentiments thereon.—

2 The Arrangement was adopted at the urgent representations of Mr Cobbe as contained in his letters of date the 8th July 1837 and 9th July 1838. Viz.t the pressing necessity of having a person attached to his Establishment, whose skill and decision in the treatment of severe sickness, incident to exposure in the unhealthy tracts of the Tillyzeemindaries, was superior to that of a Native Dresser. He considered that the class of Apothecaries possessed more experience generally and attainments accordingly per mission for the appointment of an Assistant Apothecary.—

3 The Arrangement was not a

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

a personal one to obtain the services of any particular individual, but solicited on public and permanent grounds from the unhealthiness of the district, and the necessity of securing superior medical assistance to the Collector and his servants during the long Circuits that devolve upon the Officer entrusted with the charge of this District.—

4 The circumstances have not changed, on the contrary they exist in greater force than ever - For in addition to the Fiscal Establishment, the Agents servants for the disposal of Civil and Criminal justice must now accompany the Collector on his Circuits nor has the unhealthiness of the Country diminished - In the last twenty Months three or four Superior Servants of the Tooz or Establishment have died in the prime of life.—

5 Besides there is now no Medical European officer attached to the Collectorate, nor has there been one available for many Months.—

6 On these grounds I think there is no reason for disturbing the Arrangement now in force.—

Vizagapatam District -
Vizianagrum Coll.r Circuit
Cutcherry 21st November 1843.

Signed/ H.B. Smollett.
Acting Collector

Ordered

Order thereon. Ordered that copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the orders of Government dated 13th October last.

Read the following letters.

(11-12)

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(13)

From the Commissr in charge of Rajah &c

Recommending the proposal of Rajah Rao Boochey Tammiah Proprietor of Kilumpoy to rent the Village of Siggapatty Pragunna here mentioned for Rs. 1800 Annually on a lease of 30 years from Fasly 1254 at an Annual rental of 1700 Rupees.

Sir,

Para. 1. The circumstances, under which the Saggapatty Pragunna Villages reverted to Government, have been so fully detailed to the Board, that I need only refer them for full information regarding it, to the report on it, and on the other remaining property of the late Kottapilly Proprietor, submitted to the Board by the Collector Mr. Smith on the 28th March 1842, in obedience to the directions of Government of the 25th September 1841, and to the Proceedings of the Board under date 30th May 1842.

Marked B Dated 28th March 1842 from Fasly 1240 to 1250 1830/31 1840/41

2 Appended to Mr. Smith's report, is a Statement of the Demand and Collections from this Village for 11 years, and exclusive of the famine year of 1242 1832/33 the Average demand on that period is shewn

to have been Rs. 1877 and the Average Collections Rs. 1369. On this latter average, Mr. Smith based a recommendation, that a permanent Assessment of Rs. 1400 should be fixed on the Village, and that it should be sold by Auction, but this recommendation not being approved of, the Village was, according to the orders received, incorporated with the remainder of the Circar lands and managed by the Collector on account of Government.

3 The result of this management is as follows under Vilsabady—

	Demand.	Collections with subsequent ones.
Vilsabady Fasly 1246 / 1836/37	C.Rs. a. P. 1974—8—0	Ckt. a. P. 570—11—7
Vilsabady Fasly 1247 / 1837/38 Rent	2056—11—2	1159—2—0
Fasly 1248 / 1838/39	1625—0—0	1625—0—0
Vilsabady Fasly 1249 / 1839/40 Rent	760—0—0	583—12—0
Fasly 1250 / 1840/41	1625—0—0	581—15—10
	Total 7941—3—2	4520—9—5

an Average of Rupees 771—3—2.

4 Of the five years previous, the Village was rented during two, realizing an average return of Rupees 1103—7—11 and it was under Vilsabady during three, realizing

5 The Vilsabady Amaneem Account of

of fusly 1253 / 1843/1844 showed that land to the value of 821 Rupees was agreed for, but Collection to that full Amount could not be calculated on—

6. The lands of Jaggaputtynagaram are surrounded by the Villages of the Kirlumpoody Estate, and the sources of irrigation are the same. It possesses no Original Ryots and is Cultivated by Ryacarie from the surrounding Villages. The consequence is that there are perpetual disputes between the Ameens under whose charge Jaggaputtynagarum is placed, and the Proprietor of Kirlumpoody.

7. Finding that the Interests of Government were suffering under the present System, I suggested to the Proprietor of Kirlumpoody that it would be beneficial both to the Government and him if he would rent the Village, and I expressed my willingness to accept any equitable offer from him. Under the Authority given me by the Board under date the 14th September last I have rented the Village to him for the present fusly for Rupees 900 and for fusly 1254 / 1844/45 for 1400.

8. Rajah Rau Boochy Summiah has now made a proposition, translation of

x No. 1.

of his letter is enclosed, to rent the Village permanently on an annual Peishcush of Rs. 1800, or if that is deemed inadmissible, to take it on a lease of five or ten years at Rs. 1700 from fusly 1255 / 1845/46 paying also Rs. 1700 instead of Rs. 1400 for fusly 1254 / 1844/45.

9. To the Kirlumpody Proprietor the possession of this Village, from its intermixture with those of his Estate, and the interference with his Ryots, which its being under direct Circar Management occasions, is of more importance than to any other person, but the terms he offers appear to me so fair, and at the same time so advantageous to the Government, that I beg to recommend that the Village be rented to him for Rs. 1800 Annually, so long as he or his heirs hold the Kirlumpoody Estate, or if that be considered objectionable, that a lease of ten years be granted to him commencing from fusly 1254 / 1844/45 at an annual rent of Rs. 1700—

10. I have the honor to add that the Kirlumpoody Proprietor manages his Estate himself, pays his Peishcush with regularity and manifests a desire to improve its resources and the condition of his Ryots deserving of encouragement—

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

encouragement.

Rajahmundry District — }
Cocanada, 8th November 1843 } Signed J. H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in charge.

No. 1.

To Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Commissioner of the Northern
Circar in charge of the
Rajahmundry District.

An Arzee from Sree Rajah Row Boochy
Tummiah Bahadur Zemindar of Kirlum=
=poody Mootah.

The Government Estate of
Jagapatty nagaram being situated among
the Villages of Kirlumpoody Mootah many
disputes occur between the Ameens and
me, who am the Proprietor of the Mootah,
regarding the water courses &c.a, in considera=
=tion of such annoyances, you have rented
the whole revenue (including the Cattoo=
=baby taxes) of the said Village for Rupees
900 for the Current Fusly of 1253, and for
Rupees 1,400 for the next Fusly of 1254, according
to the proposal I made. In order to
prevent further disputes with the
Circar Servants, I beg leave to pro=
=pose that if the Village be granted to
me on Permanent Peishcush, I shall
Annually pay Rupees 1800 or should it be

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

be inexpedient to put me in perpetual posses=
=sion of this Village, I shall Annually pay
Rupees 1700 from next Fusly of 1254, if it be
granted to me in rent either for 10 or 5 years
from Fusly 1255.

I therefore beg you will be pleased
to grant me the said Village on any of the
above terms, which you may deem proper.
Please to peruse — Dated 4th November 1843.

Signed Rajah Boochy Tummiah

13 = 14

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To E. L. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

15

From the Commr. in Charge of Rajah's Pard.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit
the usual Statements of the settlement of the
Ramachendrapooram and Vagayemmapettah
Estates in this District, under the manage=
=ment of the Collector for Fuslies 1251 and 1252
1841/42, 1842/43.

With the Settlements of these Estates
and with the results, as the Board are aware,
I have had no connection, having only assumed
charge of the District subsequently to the
termination of the Fuslies in=
=der report, and as it will be my duty hereafter,
in my general report on the condition of
the Zemindary Estates of this District, to notice
these, I shall confine myself on the present
occasion,

submitting the usual Statements of the settle=ment of the Ramachen=drapooram and Vaga=yemmapettah Estates for Fuslies 1251 and 1252 1841/42 1842/43 under the Collector's management.

occasion, to such remarks only as appear necessary in explanation of the items of increase and decrease which the accounts exhibit—

Ramachendrapooram and Sagayemmahpettah

3 The seasons in both fusslies are reported to have been unfavorable and combined with low prices and the general depressed state of the cultivating population, are assigned as the causes for the decrease in the revenue in comparison with former years.—

Ramachendrapooram. 4.— The following Statement shews the mode of Settlement of the 37 Villages comprizing this Estate for fusslies $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ and $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ in comparison with that for fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$—

Fusslies—	Visabady	Aſsarah	Rent	Total.
1	2	3	4	5
$\frac{1250}{1840/41}$	19		18	37
$\frac{1251}{1841/42}$	22	1	14	37
$\frac{1252}{1842/43}$	17	1	19	37

In fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ the 23 Villages under Aſsarah and Visabady were settled by the Collector at Cocanada, and in fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ by the same Officer, the 18 under those tenures were settled at Dracharum, the

S.R.

the Cuddah of the Ameen of Ramachendrapooram.

5. The Village of Bandanta-rum has been included among the Visabady Villages for fusly 1250 $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$ in consequence of a part of its lands having been settled under Visabady tenure, the rest remaining under Aſsarah in that fusly— In the fusslies succeeding it appears as an Aſsarah Village the whole lands having been so settled.

6. The following Statement exhibits the Amaraccum &c. of the Visabady and Aſsara Villages and their Settlement for these two fusslies.—

Stones

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Statement

Items	Of the 22 Vissabady and one Asarah Villages for Fusly 1251 / 1841/42. Under the Village of			Of the 17 Vissabady and one Asarah Village for Fusly 1252 / 1842/43. Under the Village of		
	Vissaba: dy.	Balan: taram under Asarah.	Total	Vissaba: dy.	Balan: taram under Asarah	Total
	C.Rs. a.P.	C.Rs. a.P.	C.Rs. a.P.	C.Rs. a.P.	C.Rs. a.P.	C.Rs. a.P.
1 Vissabady.						
Amaram bist.	50,63 3 13 2	" "	50,63 3 13 2	24,61 9 13 7	" "	24,61 9 13 7
Deductions.						
Usual remissions	999 6 10	" "	999 6 10	534 11 "	" "	534 11 "
Village Charges	1350 9 "	" "	1350 9 "	785 " "	" "	785 " "
Remission allowed for loss of produce	8010 " "	" "	8010 " "	" "	" "	" "
Total Deductions	10,359 15 10	" "	10,359 15 10	1319 11 "	" "	1319 11 "
Remaining Vissabady Amirtah Kudy Settlement	40,273 13 4	" "	40,273 13 4	23,300 2 7	" "	23,300 2 7
2 Asarah	421 11 11	233 " 7	654 12 6	1189 13 3	19 6 9	1,386 6 11
3 Cuttubadys	46,17 6 4	157 14 6	47,75 4 10	42,89 2 7	157 14 6	44,47 1 1
4 Jaccon Palmira Trees	302 8 "	37 " "	339 8 "	188 5 "	37 " "	225 5 "
5 Pollary	259 7 6	1 " "	260 7 6	40 10 5	20 6 "	661 " 5
6 Taxed as per new rules on the Jeroyt to Ryots who cultivated Manieams	343 8 9	" "	343 8 9	305 9 8	" "	305 9 8
Total	46,118 7 10	428 15 1	46,547 6 11	29,913 11 6	411 14 2	30,325 9 8

Thus

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

C.Rs. a.P. Thus it appears that Rupees 2349. 15. 10 were remitted on account

Usual remissions 999. 6. 10
Village Charges 1350 9 " / 2349 15 10 of Village charges Vca and

Rupees 8,010 on account of short produce in the Amaram of Vissabady Villages for Fusly 1251 / 1841/42 this latter being deemed necessary on the ground of the impoverished condition of the Ryots. For Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 remissions were allowed to a less extent Viz. Rupees 1319- 11-

C.Rs. a.P.
Usual remissions 534 11 "
Village Charges 785 " "
1319 11 " Vca and none for short produce, but the case of certain Villages in which the loss was stated to have been excessive, was reserved for consideration in future,- balances to the extent of Rupees 5,985- 3- 1 being permitted to outstand against them, which remain still uncollected.-

The following Abstract &
7. Statement compares the settlement of the Vissabady and Asarah Villages for Fuslies 1250 / 1840/41. 1251 / 1841/42 and 1252 / 1842/43.-

Fusly

Fort St. George to 4th December 1843.

Number	No. of Villages	Fusslies	Settlement for Fusly 1250 / 1840/41	Settlement for Fusly 1251 / 1841/42	Settlement for Fusly 1252 / 1842/43	Decrease of the Settlement of the Vepoabady and Aparah Villages for Fusly 1251/1841/42 in Comparison with that of Fusly 1250/1840/41 and for Fusly 1252/1842/43 with that of Fusly 1251/1841/42
			1	2	3	4	5	6
			C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.
1.	23	1251 / 1841/42	47,604 7 3	46,547 6 11	" " "	1057 " 4
2	18	1252 / 1842/43	40,824 2 4	39,749 8 2	30,325 9 8	9423 14 4

The decrease thus apparent in the settlement of these Villages for Fusly 1252/1842/43 in comparison with the preceding fusliees is attributed to deteriorations in their state during those fusliees.

8. The following Statement shows the Beriz for Fusslies 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 of the Villages under rent contrasted with that of the preceding fusliees.

Fort St. George to 4th December 1843.

Number	No. of Villages	Fusslies	Beriz for Fusly 1250 / 1840/41	Beriz for Fusly 1251 / 1841/42	Beriz for Fusly 1252 / 1842/43	Increase of the Beriz of the rented Villages for Fusly 1251/1841/42 in Comparison with the Settlement of the same Villages for Fusly 1250/1840/41	Decrease of the Beriz of the rented Villages for Fusly 1252/1842/43 Comparison with the settlement of the same Villages for Fusly 1251/1841/42
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.
1		1251 / 1841/42	14 25,959 " "	26,325 9 "	" " "	366 9 "	" " "
2		1252 / 1842/43	19 32,917 4 11	33,123 7 9	29,601 10 "	" " "	3521 13 9

Thus decreased Beriz of rented Villages for Fusly 1252/1842/43 is attributable to the distressed state in which they were previous to being rented in that Fusly—

9. The present Permanent Assessment of all the Villages of this Estate in comparison with their Jummabundy Settlement for Fusslies 1250/1840/41, 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 is shown in the Margin. The Settlement of Fusly 1252/1842/43 is less than those of the preceding fusliees, and below the Permanent Assessment, from the

	C Rs. a. p.
Amount of Permanent assessment	60,220-9-4
Dr. of Settlement for fusly 1250/1840/41	77663-7-3.
Dr. for fusly 1251/1841/42	77872-15-11.
Dr. for fusly 1252/1842/43	59927-3-8.
Decrease between fusliees 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43	17945-12-3.

the total relinquishment of their Cultivation by some Ryots and the contraction of their Camatums by others; in that fusly, attributed to distress and unfavourableness of the seasons since fusly 1250/1840/41 when the Estate came under management.

10. The following Statement shews the Settlement of the Estate for fusles 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 the Collections to the end of Fusly 1252/1842/43 and the Balance outstanding distinguishing Visvabady Asparah, Rent Cuttooba dy &c.

Number	Fusles	Settlement	Collections to the end of Fusly 1252/1842/43	Visvabady Balance	Asparah Balance	Rent Balance	Cuttooba dy Balance	Total Balance
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Pchs a P	Pchs a P	Pchs a P	Pchs a P	Pchs a P	Pchs a P	Pchs a P
1	1251/1841/42	72872 15 11	50312 8 "	15713 4 "	37 15 7	4816 7 11	1992 12 5	22560 7 11
2	1252/1842/43	59927 3 3	43677 " "	4 59853 1	49 1 11	3952 " "	5 12 6 3 13 11	11250 3 4

11. The Visvabady Demand Collection and Balance for the two years is as follows

Fusles	Demand	Collection	Balance	Centage
	Pchs a P	Pchs a P	Pchs a P	
1251/1841/42	10273 13 4	2456 9 4	15713 4 "	39 - 3 - "
1252/1842/43	23310 2 7	17314 15 6	5986 3 1	25 - 11 - "

So

In the former year, when

12. it will be observed the balances are so large in comparison with the demand, remission amounting to Rupees 8010 a a was also given on account of loss of produce; a similar reason is assigned for the non-realization of the remaining demand, which, on consideration of the unfavorable season and the asserted depressed condition of the Ryots, would appear to have been excessive.

In the latter year when no

13. permission was allowed, the balance in the Visvabady Villages is comparatively small, and the cause of the main portion existing, has already been explained in Para 6.

The Demand and

14. Balance in the Asparah Villages are as follows.

Fusles	Demand	Balance
	Pchs a P	Pchs a P
1251/1841/42	654 - 12 - 6	37 - 15 - 7 -
1252/1842/43	1386 - 6 - 11	49 - 1 - 11 -

these

these trifling balances being against the poorer Ryots.

15. The Demand, collection and Balance Account of the rented Villages shows.

Fuslies	Demand			Collection			Balance			Cattagi
	CRs	a.	P	CRs	a.	P	CRs	a.	P	CRs. a. P
1251 / 1841/42	26,325	9	...	21509	1	1	4,816	7	11	184 9
1252 / 1842/43	29,801	10	...	25649	9	7	3952	8	11	13.5.7

16. These Rents were made with the Ryots of the respective Villages. The property of some defaulters has been attached, and where it has consisted of grain, it has been sold, but it was thought inadvisable to have recourse to the more rigorous measure of selling the cattle as detrimental to the cultivation of future years.

17. The particulars of the Balance outstanding on account of other items are shown in the Margine. The Cuttoobady balance is owing to

the non cultivation of some Cuttoobady land, the holders not having been present - I have now given notice that these Cuttoobady lands will be entered as Jeroyette in the present Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 - if the balances are not liquidated. The balance of the Sayer on Palmira Trees is reported to stand against some individuals still present, and some others who have absconded on account of their inability to pay. The balance of Poolary is due by Ryots from whom it should have been realized with more punctuality. The balance of Sayer on Jeroyette Ryots cultivating Maniums fixed under the new rules, pertaining for Fusly 1251 / 1841/42 Mr. Smith subscribed not to be collected, positively ordered not to be collected these rules having been then but recently established. Endeavours will be made for the realization of that for Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 which would appear to remain uncollected without due cause assigned.

The following

18. Statement

	Fusly 1251 / 1841/42 Demand	Balance	Fusly 1252 / 1842/43. Demand	Balance
	CRs a. P	CRs a. P	CRs a. P	CRs a. P
Cuttoobady	4775 14	4536 4	5447 1 1	913 6 10.
Sayer on Palmira Trees	339 8	116 10	225 5	80 11
Poolary or Sayer on pasturages	2607 6	107 13 3	661	5 52 14 8.
Sayer as per new rules on Jeroyette Ryots cultivating Maniums	214 8 9	232	9305 9	8 116 13 5.
Total	5813 1	1992 12 5	5639	2 176 3 13 11.

18720

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Statement exhibits the balance of arrears of Fusties 1240/1829-40 and 1250/1839-41 outstanding up to the end of Fusly 1252/1842-43 corresponding with Statement No. 2 in the List of Packets.

No.	Fusties	On account of Settlement 1	On account of Succary. 2	Total 3	
		C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	
1	Of Fusly 1249/1839-40 when under Jemindars	57524 8 1	3,318 3 7	60,842 11 11	
2	Of Fusly 1250/1840-41 when came under Collectors Management	23715. 0 7	523. 1 4	24,238. 1. 11.	
	Total	81,239. 8 11	3,841. 4 11	85,080. 13. 10	

The greater part of these is reported to be against individuals absconded or dead. Those outstanding against Ryots, still cultivating lands during the unfavorable seasons of Fusties 1251/1841-42 and 1252/1842-43 are pronounced irrecoverable.

19. The following Statement exhibits the Collections and Charges of this Estate for Fusties 1251/1841-42 and 1252/1842-43 corresponding with Statement No. 3 on the List of Packets.

18721

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Collections.

Items	Fusly 1251 / 1841-42	Fusly 1252 / 1842-43	Total	
	1	2	3	4
	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	
Collections on account of the Settlement & Succary	57814 8 9	52,317 8 4	1,10,132 1 1	
Refunds of Succary	180	849 3 3	1,029 3 3	
Remissions of Moturpha allowed by Government	1979 5 ..	1979 5 ..	3,958 10 ..	
Extra Revenue	11 11 ..	3 10 8	15 5 8	
Advances made by Circar on account of Servants wages &c.	137 11 4	10 8 ..	148 3 4	
Total	60,123 4 1	54,930 3 3	1,15,053 7 4	

Charges.

Items	Fusly 1251 / 1841-42	Fusly 1252 / 1842-43	Total	
	5	6	7	8
	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	
Credited under the Government Peishcush	46,591 15 7	44,718 2 10	91,310 2 5	
Do under Circar Commission	624 8 1	675 6 5	1,302 14 6	
Amount of Servants wages Saumdavard and Extra Charges	2805 8 5	3059 3 6	5864 11 11	
Succary	3,300	3500	6,800	
Repairs	50	225	275	
Value of the Produce of Manigam lands	1624	2,611 11 2	4235 11 10	
Repayment of advances made by Circar	127 3 4	137 11 4	264 14 8	
Total	55,123 4 1	54,930 3 3	1,10,053 7 4	

The

18722 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

The net Collections from this Estate after deducting charges for the two fusles of 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 having been carried to account in liquidation of the Government Peishcush, leaving no surplus:

The following Statement

20.

exhibits the demand of Peishcush, Collections and balance, for the two fusles corresponding with Statement No 4 in the list of Packets.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Fusles	Demand Arrears.	Demand Permanent assessment	Collections.	Balance Arrears.	Total Collections and Arrears.	Balance Permanent assessment.	
1 1251/1841/42	6248 L. - 111279 1 6.	"	"	- 111279 1. 6.	17657 5. 6.	46591 15. 7.	129985 5. 11.
2 1252/1842/43	6220 9 - 129985. 5. 11.	8 3 10.	12 - 121674. 9. 11.	18895 2. 11.	44718 2. 10.	137177 - 8	

Deduct portion of the Permanent assessment less carried, putting the Amount that of Estate as per subdivision account subsequently sanctioned, in fusly 1252 1842/43 in account of past Arrears in 4 years from fusly 1248 1838/39 to 1251 at Rs 2877-11- - - per 1841/42 annum.

Particulars.

Fusly	1247/1837/38	15443	37
"	1248/1838/39	31473	11
"	1249/1839/40	41336	9
"	1250/1840/41	13422	1 6
"	1251/1841/42	18426	9
"	1252/1842/43.	15774	13
Total		137177	-

She

18723 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

The amount of the Peishcush balance, as above shown of Rupees 137177 - - 1 and the amount of debt due to Government on account of the loan of Rupees 47000 - together Rupees 184177 - - 1 are still outstanding and there appears no near prospect of their recovery, the present circumstances of the Estate being far from favorable.

Vegayemmapattah 21. Out of the 10 Villages of this Estate, two have been under Vefsabady and eight under rent on fusly 1251/1841/42 and nine under Vefsabady and one under rent in 1252/1842/43. The Jummabundy of the Vefsabady Villages was made by the Collector at Cocanada on both fusles.

The following shows the amount settlement &c. of the Vefsabady Villages in both fusles.

Of the 2 Vefsabady Villages for fusly 1251/1841/42	Rs. A. P.	Of the 9 Vefsabady Villages for fusly 1252/1842/43	Rs. A. P.
Amaraem List	1812. 15 8.	Amaraem List	7088. 15. 9.
Deduct		Deduct	
Village Charges	11 - -	Usual remissions	40. - -
Remission allowed for loss of produce	382 - 6 / 423 - 6	Village Charges	166 - - / 214. - -
Remaining Jummabundy Settlement	1389. 15. 2	Remaining Jummabundy Settlement	6874. 15. 9
Cost of the Government shares of the produce of certain lands settled under Asarah		Cost of the Government shares of the produce of certain lands settled under Asarah	42. 12. 3.
Cultivating Inams	213. 8. 6	Cultivating Inams	609. 4. -
Tax on Palmira Trees	19. - -	Tax on Palmira Trees	119 - -
Poolany	21. 13. -	Poolany	40. 2. 3.
Tax as per new rules on Sircyettee Ryots Cultivating Manieums	109. 11. 7.	Taxes per new rules on Sircyettee Ryots Cultivating Manieums	361. 13. -
	1754. 4. 3.		8147. 15. 3.

Deductions

Deductions on account of remissions to Villages Monegars and Pettanadars, and for Village charges were made in Vissabady Villages according to custom, and a remission of Rupees 382-6- was also allowed to certain Ryots, in fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ on account of loss of produce. The cast of certain Villages in which there had been diminished produce in fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ was reserved for consideration, after the balances were ascertained, and the full cost was the demand against the Ryots of them.—

23. The Settlement of the Vissabady Villages for fusles 1251 and 1252 were shewn as follows, in comparison with those of fusles $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$ and $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ respectively.—

No.	Name of Village	Fusles.	Settlement for Fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$	Settlement for Fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$	Settlement for Fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$	Decrease of the Settlement of the Vissabady Villages for fusly 1251 with that of fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$	Increase of the Settlement of the Vissabady Villages for fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ with that of fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	—	$\frac{1251}{1841/42}$	2. 2075. 15. 6.	1754. 3. 2.	" " "	321. 15. 2.	" " "
2.	—	$\frac{1252}{1842/43}$	9. 8458. 4. 10.	7478. 2. 2.	8117. 15. 2.	" " "	639. 13. —

The

The small increase and decrease appearing in the settlement of the Vissabady Villages for the two fusles in comparison with the preceding fusles call for no particular remark.—

24. The Berij for fusles $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ and $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ of the Villages under print in comparison with that of the preceding fusly is as follows.—

No.	Name of Village	Fusles.	Berij for Fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$	Berij for Fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$	Berij for Fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$	Decrease of the Berij of the rented Villages for fusly 1251 in comparison with the settlement of those Villages for fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$
			1	2	3	4	5	6
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	—	$\frac{1251}{1841/42}$	8. 7428. 15. 4.	6770. " "	" " "	659. 15. 4.
2.	—	$\frac{1252}{1842/43}$	1. 1040. " "	1046. " "	1040. " "	" " "

The decrease in the Berij of the rented Villages for fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ is caused by their having been lately rented on Ryotty tenure in that fusly owing to their previous depressed state. For fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ the rent Berij is equal to that of the preceding year. The Villages of Merkepandoo was rented by the Zemindar during his management for a term of

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

of five years: from fusly 1249 / 1839/40 to 1253 / 1843/44 at
an annual Peiz of Rupees 1896.—
25 The Permanent Assessment
of all the Villages of this Estate in Compal-
=ricum with their Summalum
Cks. A. P.
Amount of Permanent Assessment ——— } 9701 . . = dy Settlement for fusties
Amount of settlement for fusly 1250 / 1840/41 ——— } 9504 . 14 . 10 1250 / 1840/41. 1251 / 1841/42 and 1252 / 1842/43 is shewn
Do: for fusly 1251 / 1841/42 ——— 9504 . 3 .
Do: for fusly 1252 / 1842/43 ——— 9163 . 15 . 3 . in the margin, the Settle-
Decrease of fusly 1251 / 1841/42 } 225 15 9 . = ment of fusly 1251 / 1841/42 being
Increase of fusly 1252 / 1842/43 } 413 15 3 . less than the Permanent
Assessment that of fusly 1252 / 1842/43 having slightly exceeded it. The Zemin-
=dari List of this Estate was Rupees 13000-
and odd, but in consequence of the distress-
=ed state caused by the past seasons, the
lands have been mostly left uncultivated,
and the amaiacum was not even sufficient
to cover the annual Permanent Assessment
and charges.—
26. The Settlement for fusties 1251 / 1841/42
and 1252 / 1842/43 the collections to the end of fusly
1252 / 1842/43 and the balances outstanding distinguish-
=ing Vesabady &c. items are here shown.—
Justice

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Balance.

Number	Summary Settlement	Fusties	Collections up to the end of fusly 1252 / 1842/43	Vesabady	Assamy	Rent	Cultivation	Tax on Palmira Trees	Polam	Tax on Jeroyetta Ryots Cultivating Manigams	Total
1		1251 / 1841/42	8574 . 3 .	3840 . 6 .	6 .	657 . 6 .	5 . . .		1898 . 15 . 3 .	12 . 6 . 3 .	8 . . 1 . 12 . 3 .	109 . 11 . 7 .	2670 . 9 . 9 .
2		1252 / 1842/43	9163 . 15 . 3 .	5657 / 12 .	2998 . 4 . 6 .	5 . .	5 . .	3 . .	67 . 9 . 5 .	24 . 10 .	10 . 5 . 9 .	157 . 5 .	3260 . 3 . 3 .

The balance for fusly 1251 / 1841/42 is against three
both of the Vesabady and printed Villages who
were unable to pay from short produce— the
newly fixed tax on Jeroyetta Ryots cultivating
Manigams was not expected in fusly 1251 / 1841/42. In fusly
1252 / 1842/43 only one Village was under rent— the Peiz
of which was realized with the exception of only
3 Rupees. The main balance is in the Vesabady
Villages, from short produce and low prices and
the realization of it is deemed now impracticable—
27. The following Statement
shows the balance of arrears outstanding
against the Estate up to the end
of

18728 Fort St. George 4th. December 1843.

of fasly 1252/1842/43 corresponding with State-
ment No. 2 in the list of Packets.

Number	Fasly	On account of Settlement.	On account of Fuccavy.	Total.
	1	2	3.	4
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	1248/1838/39	6,813. 2. 10.	" " "	6,813. 2. 10.
2	1249/1839/40	5711. 3. 10.	" " "	5711. 3. 10.
3.	1250/1840/41.	3980. 7. 11.	120. 2. "	4100. 9. 11.
	Total	15,904. 14. 7.	120. 2. "	16,025. " 7.

The balances outstanding for fasly 1248/1838/39
and 1249/1839-40 accrued during the Jemmabundi
Management those for fasly 1250/1840/41 are due
by Ryots deceased deserted or unable to pay—

28.

The Collections and
Charges of the Estate for fasly 1251/1841/42
and 1252/1842/43 are these—

Items

18729 Fort St. George 4th. December 1843.

Collections.

Items	Fasly 1251/1841/42	Fasly 1252/1842/43	Total.
	1	2	3
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Collections on account of the Settlement and Fuccavy	6,813. 2.	6,621. 14. "	12,935. 13. 2.
Refunds of Service Wages &c.	1. 4. "	" " "	1. 4. "
Remissions & Motherpitchy allowed by Government	157. 1. 9	157. 1. 9	314. 3. 6.
Extra Revenue	" " "	35. 6. 4.	35. 6. 4.
Advances made by Circar	60. " "	" " "	60. " "
Total	6,032. 4. 11.	6,814. 6. 1.	13,346. 11. "

Charges.

Items	Fasly 1251/1841/42	Fasly 1252/1842/43.	Total
	6	7	8
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Credited under the Government Pishcush	5089. 10. 1.	5,245. 11. 11.	10,335. 6. "
Dr. under Remissions Servants wages Sundry and extra charges	65. 11. 1.	74. 9. 1.	140. 4. 2.
Amount of Servants wages Sundry and extra charges	657. 2. 4.	618. 13. 1.	1269. 15. 5.
Fuccavy —	400. " "	442. 8. "	842. 8. "
Repairs —	" " "	80. 8. "	80. " "
Value of the produce of the mineral lands	292. 12. "	292. 4. "	585. " "
Repayment of advances made by Circar	33. 1. 5.	60. " "	93. 1. 5.
Total	6,532. 4. 11.	6,814. 6. 1.	13,346. 11. "

Items

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

The net collections after deducting charges for the two fusslies of $\frac{1257}{1841/42}$ and $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ have been carried to account in liquidation of the Government Peishcush, leaving no surplus.—

(29.) The following Statement exhibits the demand of Peishcush collections and Balance, for the two fusslies, corresponding with Statement No. 4 in the list of Packets.—

Demand

Fusslies.	Permanent assessment.	Amount of Arrears.	Total.	Collection.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1 — $\frac{1257}{1841/42}$ —	9,752. . .	19,897. 3. 3	29,647. 3. 3	5,889. 10. 1.	23,557. 6. 2.
2 — $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ —	8,750. . .	23,557. 6. 2.	32,307. 6. 2.	5,245. 11. 11.	27,061. 10. 3.

Particulars.

Fusly —	1241 / 1831/32	2,930 8. 6.
" —	1242 / 1832/33.	246 12. 7.
" —	1243 / 1833/34	1718. 7 10.
" —	1244 / 1834/35	2,594. 7 1.
" —	1245 / 1835/36	1820 5 8.
" —	1246 / 1836/37	1944 8. .
" —	1247 / 1837/38	4453 11 2.
" —	1248 / 1838/39	23,836. 12 10.
" —	1249 / 1839/40.	4203. 12 6.
" —	1250 / 1840/41.	3,504. 4. 1.
" —	1251 / 1841/42.	27,061. 10 3.

Total

Rec.

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.—

This amount of the Peishcush balances which has been annually accumulating for the past ten years since fusly $\frac{1241}{1831/32}$ is not likely to be recovered from the future resources of the Estate under Management.

Rajahmundry District,—
Cocanada, 24th November 1843

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Comr in Charge

Statement

18732 Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement Estate of Ramachendrapooram Inaudah in the Zillah of 4th December 1843.

Number	Fasles	Demand. Ordinary Land Revenue &c. Arrears. Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management.	Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management.	Arrears which have fallen due Subsequent to the Talook coming under Management	Total Arrears	Current Demand	Total Arrears and Current.	Collection Ordinary Land Revenue &c. Arrears. Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management.	Arrears due Subsequent to the Talook coming under Management	Total Arrears
		1	2	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P	Cps. A P
1	1250	37608 1 4	192 8 3	" "	192 8 3	37596 2 7	138788 10 10	83 3 6	" "	"	83 3 6
2	1251	37524 13 10	24843 1 5	25074 12 5	85917 13 10	76822 15 11	162740 13 9	" 5 6	705 10 "	"	705 15 6
3	1252	37824 8 4	1742 11 11	18783 9 1	109626 5 "	62323 1 8	171949 6 8	" "	1555 2 9	"	1555 2 9

18733 Fort St. George 4th December 1863.

Rajahmundry for Fasly 1252.—

Collections (Cont.)

Ordinary Land Revenue Vide Cont.				Advances for Cultivation —											
Current Collections	Total Collections	Arrears due subsequent to the Taluk Coming under Management	Arrears due subsequent to the Taluk Coming under Management	Total Arrears	Current Collections	Total Collections	Arrears due previous to the Taluk Coming under Management	Arrears due subsequent to the Taluk Coming under Management	Total Arrears	Current Collections	Total Collections				
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31				
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
14947 8 8	14230 12 2	266 3 4	" "	266 3 4	3373 13 6	3640 " 10	349 6 10	" "	349 6 10	52521 6 2	52870 13 "				
18852 9 3	14558 8 9	" "	35 12 6	35 12 6	3220 3 6	3256 " "	" 5 6	711 6 6	711 12 "	52072 12 9	52814 8 9				
18677 " 4	52232 3 1	" "	96 8 "	96 8 "	1988 13 3	2085 5 3	" "	1651 10 9	1651 10 9	50665 13 7	52317 8 4				

1873/4 — Fort St. George — 4th December 1843.

Remission On Account of Arrears	Remission On Account of Current	Remission Total	Ordinary Land Revenue &c. Arrears. Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management	Arrears due Subsequent to the Talook coming under Management	Total Arrears.	Current	Balance. Advances for Cultivation Arrears. Balance.	Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management	Arrears due Subsequent to the Talook coming under Management	Total Arrears	Current	Total Balance
32	33.	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
" " "	" " "	" " "	57,524 13 10	" "	57,524 13 10	24,575 11 4	82,040 12 5	3,318 3 7	" "	3,318 3 7	558 13 10	3,877 1 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	57,524 8 4	23,810 4 7	81,334 12 11	24,020 6 8	105,355 3 7	3,318 3 7	523 1 4	3,841 4 11	129 12 6	3,971 1 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	57,524 8 4	46,275 8 6	103,800 " 10	11,250 3 4	115,050 " 2	3,318 3 7	836 5 10	4,174 9 5	407 " 9	4,581 10 2

1736 Fort St. George 4th December 1813.

No. 2. Statement shewing the Years to which the Balance entered in Col.n 4. of Statement No. 1. belong.

Fuslies	Balance of Ordinary Revenue	Balance on Account of advances for cultivation	Total
	2	3	4
1219	57,524 8 4	3,318 3 7	60,842 11 11
1250	23,715 . 7	523 1 4	24,238 1 11
1257	22,560 7 11	333 4 6	22,893 12 5
Total	103,800 . 10	4,174 9 5	107,974 10 3

Zillah Rajahmundry Cocanada 24th November 1813 E.E. & S. Signed J. H. b. Montgomery
Comm.r in Charge
Account

Zillah Rajahmundry
Cocanada 24th November 1813
E.E. & S. Signed J. H. b. Montgomery
Comm.r in Charge

187

Fort St. George 11th December 1843.

Estate of Ramachendrapoo ram in the Zillah of Rajahmundry —

Receipts during Current Year			Disbursements							
			Charges Management —							
Date	On Account of Remission for the Resumed Inaturpha	Amount advanced from the Public Treasury —	Total	Total inclusive of last Year's balance	Circar Peishcush	Collectors' Commission	Charges Establishment and Sundrywared	Extra Charges		
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
			Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P		
			58,337 8 1	58,337 8 1	44,328 - 11	- - -	1915 1 5	184 3 3		
11 -	1979 5 -	127 3 6								
			58,123 1 1	58,123 1 1	10,591 15 7	624 8 1	2227 12 5	577 12 -		
11 -	1979 5 -	137 11 1								
			54,930 3 3	54,930 3 3	14,718 2 10	678 6 5	2106 - -	953 3 6		
10 8	1979 5 -	10 8 -								

1873 9

For^t St. George

No. 4 Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Rent of the Settlement of the Zillah of Rajahmundry for Fusly 1250 Corresponding with 1840-41 A.D.

Fusly	Demand						On account of Current Fusly	Permanent assessment	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of
	Amount of the Permanent Settlement	Arrears due at the end of last year		Total	Total Demand							
		Principal	Interest									
1	2	3		4	5		6	7				
	Cs Rs	a p	Cs Rs	a p	Cs Rs	a p	Cs Rs	a p				
1250	62,2984	95,708	14 5		95,708	14 5	15,8,007	2 5	43,728	11	4	
1251	62,2984	114,279	1 6		114,279	1 6	1,76,577	5 6	43,521	9	"	
1252	60,220	9 1,21,674	9 11		1,21,674	9 11	1,81,895	2 11	44,445	12	11	

Zillah Rajahmundry.
Cocanada 24th November 1843 } E. E. Rs

4th Dec

and Balan the Zillah

=jahmund

nt of the Settlement of

ers of Villages

Cuttoobady Villages Cuttoobady Agra

Number of Villages 4 | Number of Hamlets 5 | Number of Villages 6 | N

" | " | "

manent assessment late was demand by 1248 to 1251 at

last year or Fusly 1250 Corresponding

Asparah | Rem

ing | No. of Villages | Amount of Cuttoobady | No. of Villages

22 | 23 | 24

Cs Rs a p

979 " 201 47 18

Sign

Summabandy.

For Fort St. George

4th December 1843.-

The management Estate of Ramachendrapooram Dowlesharam Surva Agraharam Total Taluck in the Zillah of Rajahmundry for Fasly 1251.-

of Last year or Fasly 1250.

Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Amount of Amarcum List.	Veesabady Deductions. Usual Remission On account of Maumood Moozarahs	Village Charges	Total	On account of Lands left uncultivated	On account of failure of Crops	Total Colns 17 & 18
1	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
						C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
4	4	"	37	"	19	39,749 2 6	10787 "	1,271 8 "	2,349 15 "	79 " "	9,180 11 9	9,259 11 9

Total			Cuttoobady Dowlesharam Surva Agraharam		Total		Cuttoobady Agraharam		Total	
Amount of List.	No. of Villages	Amount of List	Enams	Palmira Trees	Poollary		No. of Villages	Amount of List	No. of Villages	Amount of List
25	26	27	28	29	30		31	32	33	34
C Rs a p		C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p			C Rs a p		C Rs a p
44325 9	37	69,666 5 4	33721 2 11	3552 "	269 3 "		37	73,663 7 3	" " "	37 73,663 7 3

18741 Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Doubt of the current Vesabady Deductions

Amount of Amaracum leist 37	Usual Remission: On account of Manuel Mozarahs 38	Usual Remission: Village Charges 39	Usual Remission: Total 40	On account of Lands left uncultivated 41	On account of failure of Crops 42
C Fs. a p	C Fs. a p	C Fs. a p	C Fs. a p	C Fs. a p	C Fs. a p
50,633 13 2	999 6 10	1,350 9 -	2,349 15 10	" " "	8,010 " "

Total Deductions Cols. 40 & 42 43

No. of Villages 44	Amount of leist 45	No. of Villages 46	Amount of leist 47	No. of Villages 48	Amount of leist 49
	C Fs. a p		C Fs. a p		C Fs. a p
10,359 15 10	22,402 7 3 4	1	654 12 6	14	26,325 9 "

Remaining Vesabady Assarah

Rented

year or Fusly 1251

Total Cattobady Enams

No. of Villages 50	Amount of leist 51
	C Fs. a p
37	67,254 2 10

Cattobady Palmira Trees 52

Tax on account of Monnieam Cultivation carried on by Ryots of new rules 55
C Fs. a p
4,775 4 10

Double of the Current Pollary 53

Total Pollary 54
C Fs. a p
339 8 -

year or Fusly 1251 (Contd.)

Total Cattobady Agraharams

No. of Villages 56	Amount of leist 57
	C Fs. a p
37	72,872 15 11

No. of Villages 58	Amount of leist 59	No. of Villages 60	Amount of leist 61
	C Fs. a p		C Fs. a p
	" " "		37,72,872 15 11

1874 2

For 1. St. Georg

4th December 1843

Increase

Increase
Upon the Dowle of Fusly 1250.

Jeroytee	Cattoobady	Tax on Palmira Trees	Poollary	Tax on account of Monnieu cultivation carried on by Jeroytee roots as per Rules	Total
62	63	64	65	66	67
Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p
" " 1402 7 11	" " "	" " "	" " 242 8 9	1,645 " 8	

Increase and Decrease /Contd/

Net Increase	Net Decrease
Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p
" " 1907 4	

Zillah Rajahmundry
Cocanada 24th November 1843.

E. E. /Sr

and Decrease

Decrease
Upon the Dowle of fusly 1251.

Jeroyelly	Cattoobady	Tax on Palmira Trees	Poollary	Tax on account of Monnieu Cultivation carried on by Jeroytee roots as per Rules	Total
68	69	70	71	72	73
Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p	Ch.s a p
24 12 2 6	" "	15 10 "	7 11 6	2435 8 "	" " "

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery.
Commissioner in Charge.

In mamabundy

1874-3 | | Fort St. George

No. 6. Jummendrapooram

Dowle of Cash

4th December 1843.

Talook in the Zillah of Rajahmundry for Fusly 1252-

Year or Fusly 1251-

Veesabady

Number	Name of Estate	No. of Villages	Amount of assessment Sicca	Deductions: Usual Remission On Account of Maunsoolmogarahs	Village charges	Total	On Account of landslip Uncultivated	On Account of failure Crops	Total Colr. 178 18
		12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			Ckt. A P	Ckt. A P	Ckt. A P	Ckt. A P	Ckt. A P	Ckt. A P	Ckt. A P
1	Ramachendrapooram	22	80 6 3 5 1 3 2	999 6 10	1350 9 -	2349 15 10	- - -	8010 - -	8010 - -

Dowle of

Each Year or Fusly 1251-

Total Dedn. on account of Mauniem Cultivation Carried on by Ryotwar Villages per new Rules	Number of Villages	Amount of Sicca	Total Jaxon account of Mauniem Cultivation Carried on by Ryotwar Villages per new Rules	Number of Villages	Amount of Sicca	Cultivated Agraharums Number of Villages	Amount of Sicca	Total Number of Villages	Amount of Sicca
31	32	33		34	35	36		37	
Ckt. A P		Ckt. A P			Ckt. A P				Ckt. A P
2039	30		2438 9	37	12872 15 11	-	- - -	37	12872 15 11
Ckt. A P Ckt. A P									
10359 8 -	260 7								

1845.

Fort St. George

4th December 1843.

Increase and Decrease

Upon the Dowle of Fusly 1251-

Jeroytee	Cultoobady	Palmira Trees	Poollay	Tax on account of Mauniem cultivation carried on by Jeroytee ryots as per new rules.	Total
65	64	65	66	67	68
Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O
" " " " " "	" "	400 8 11	62 . 11	462 9 11	

Decrease

Upon the Dowle of Fusly 1251-

Jeroytee	Cultoobady	Palmira Trees	Poollay	Tax on account of Mauniem Cultivation carried on by Jeroytee ryots as per new rules.	Total
69	70	71	72	73	74
Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O	Chz A O
12 96 5 15 4	328 3 9	114 3 "	" " "	" "	13408 6 1

Net Increase

7s

Chz A O

Net Decrease

76.

Chz A O

" " 12 94 5 12 3

Tillah. Rajahmundry & Cocanada 3

24th November

E. E. Fs. /Signed/ H. C. Montgomery

Commissioner in Charge.

Complete.

1746

No 7 Complete Summabundy Statement of the Veesabady Villages of the Manage

For Fort St George

4th December 1813

ment Estate of Ramachendrapooram Taloke in the Zillah

Jeroyetty

Names of the Estates	Names of the Villages	Amount of Ramacumsib	Usual Remissions: On account of Manumoy Mogarahs	Usual Remissions: Village Charges	Usual Remissions: Total	Veesabady Deductions: On account of Lands left Uncultivated	Veesabady Deductions: On Account of Failure of Crops	Total Columns 7 and 8	Total Deductions Columns 8 and 9	Remaining Veesabady	Assarah	Jeroy
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
		Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P	Cks. A P
Ramachendrapooram	1	2210 9 -	39 - -	75 - -	114 - -	- - -	- - -	400 - -	514 - -	1896 9 -	97 12 3	1724
Sevalah	2	455 14 -	15 - -	30 - -	45 - -	- - -	- - -	70 - -	115 - -	340 14 -	- - -	340
Mandevoo	3	1077 14 -	38 8 -	30 - -	68 8 -	- - -	- - -	300 - -	368 8 -	709 6 -	14 15 7	724
Mamadevanpatah	4	745 10 -	15 - -	30 - -	45 - -	- - -	- - -	150 - -	195 - -	550 10 -	18 5 2	568
Canjavaram	5	152 8 -	- - -	18 - -	18 - -	- - -	- - -	30 - -	48 - -	104 8 -	4 8 2 11	15
Cholavaram	6	2956 2 -	76 - -	75 - -	151 - -	- - -	- - -	520 - -	671 - -	2285 2 -	28 8 10	231
Soondarapilly	7	1749 - -	12 - -	45 - -	57 - -	- - -	- - -	300 - -	357 - -	1392 - -	- 15 3	139
Columvoo	8	1422 - 56	23 -	95 9 -	151 11 3	- - -	- - -	70 - -	251 11 3	1320 6 9	- 12 -	132
Saravapooram pattah	9	2520 - 6	82 - -	100 - -	182 - -	- - -	- - -	400 - -	582 - -	1938 - 6	13 2 6	195
Cundapollam	10	1180 - 9	22 - -	30 - -	52 - -	- - -	- - -	250 - -	302 - -	878 - 9	- - -	87
Juggunnapacondapollam	11	1841 12 -	16 - -	50 - -	66 - -	- - -	- - -	300 - -	366 - -	1475 12 -	11 13 10	148
Buchavaram	12	2729 12 -	84 - -	60 - -	144 - -	- - -	- - -	300 - -	444 - -	2285 14 2	17 6 -	230
Addumpilly	13	1054 - -	- - -	23 - -	23 - -	- - -	- - -	150 - -	173 - -	881 - -	- - -	88
Nagoorladah	14	664 8 -	41 1 7	25 - -	69 1 7	- - -	- - -	200 - -	269 1 7	395 6 5	3 12 -	39
Bhuttapudika	15	1313 - -	45 - -	36 - -	81 - -	- - -	- - -	370 - -	451 - -	862 - -	1 3 2	86
Yarrapilly	16	1366 2 6	32 11 -	35 - -	67 11 -	- - -	- - -	250 - -	317 11 -	1048 7 6	- 12 5	104
Bulabadram	17	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	233 - 7	23
Sorapooram	18	1367 8 6	62 - -	33 - -	95 - -	- - -	- - -	300 - -	395 - -	972 8 6	- - -	91
Somasamoodram	19	4531 - -	76 - -	140 - -	216 - -	- - -	- - -	600 - -	816 - -	3715 - -	- - -	371
Canduroo	20	5329 1 9	117 - -	100 - -	217 - -	- - -	- - -	800 - -	1017 - -	4312 1 9	- - -	431
Mandavaram	21	2266 - 10	40 - -	75 - -	115 - -	- - -	- - -	400 - -	515 - -	1781 6 -	51 3 7	183
Gudetta	22	11054 - 10	128 - -	200 - -	328 - -	- - -	- - -	1500 - -	1828 - -	9226 - 10	7 10 10	922
Tentapardy	23	1412 13 -	2 - -	12 - -	14 - -	- - -	- - -	200 - -	214 - -	1198 13 -	161 10 4	136
Soli and Wadi Villages	24	78 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	78 - -	- - -	7
Soliapettah	25	1006 10 10	- - -	30 - -	30 - -	- - -	- - -	150 - -	180 - -	826 10 10	13 11 3	81
Total		50633 12 2	999 6 10	1350 9 -	2349 15 10	- - -	- - -	8010 - -	10359 15 10	40270 12 4	654 12 6	4025

1847. Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Rajahmundry for Fusly 1251.

Cultivable Enams. Amount of Cultivable	Deduction on account of Usual Remissions	Remaining	Tax on Palmira Trees.	Money Rents. Poollay	Tax on account of Manniem Cultivation carried on by Ryotts as per new rules.	Total	On account of Ryotwar Enams	Ditto of Produce of Enam Lands.	Total
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P	Ch^s A P
151 8 -	- - -	151 8 -	- - -	25 - -	21 4 -	207 12 -	1662 5 3	269 12 -	1932 1 3
244 8 -	8 - -	236 8 -	32 12 -	17 7 -	8 9 9	290 5 9	550 7 1	250 - -	630 7 1
105 11 -	- - -	105 11 -	4 - -	3 - -	- - -	112 14 -	825 8 10	11 8 9	837 1 7
218 4 -	- - -	218 4 -	13 8 -	4 8 -	16 7 -	252 11 -	803 10 2	18 - -	821 10 2
77 6 8	- - -	77 6 8	- - -	9 15 -	6 13 7	94 2 8	246 13 7	- - -	246 13 7
496 4 -	- - -	496 4 -	- - -	8 15 -	41 7 6	546 10 6	2734 1 4	126 4 -	2860 5 4
77 6 -	- - -	77 6 -	34 6 -	- - -	1 - -	112 12 -	1496 14 5	8 12 10	1505 11 3
85 8 -	12 13 10	72 10 2	- - -	9 -	3 8 -	76 11 2	1282 5 11	115 8 -	1397 13 11
169 11 -	- - -	169 11 -	- - -	1 8 -	- - -	171 3 -	2083 14 -	38 8 -	2122 6 -
50 4 -	- - -	50 4 -	52 6 -	9 12 -	- - -	112 6 -	990 6 9	- - -	990 6 9
168 12 -	20 - -	148 12 -	- - -	24 - -	5 - -	177 12 -	1157 8 10	507 13 -	1665 5 10
30 - -	- - -	30 - -	- - -	13 8 -	- - -	43 8 -	1874 7 10	472 4 4	2346 12 2
30 9 -	- - -	30 9 -	1 7 -	- - -	- - -	32 - -	913 - -	- - -	913 - -
318 - 4	- - -	318 - 4	- - -	5 - -	27 7 -	350 7 4	7499 9	- - -	7499 9
28 - -	8 - -	20 - -	80 - -	1 8 -	- - -	101 8 -	964 11 2	- - -	964 11 2
60 14 5	- - -	60 14 5	- - -	10 - -	19 9 -	90 7 5	1120 7 4	19 4 -	1139 11 4
164 9 4	6 10 10	157 14 6	37 - -	1 - -	- - -	195 14 6	428 15 1	- - -	428 15 1
23 - -	2 12 6	20 3 6	- - -	1 - -	3 12 -	24 15 6	997 8 -	- - -	997 8 -
289 2 -	- - -	289 2 -	- - -	3 - -	66 10 -	353 12 -	3798 10 -	275 2 -	4073 12 -
413 - -	112 14 9	300 1 3	- - -	6 12 -	- - -	306 13 3	4608 3 -	10 12 -	4618 15 -
585 13 3	82 8 3	503 8 -	- - -	7 11 -	- - -	511 3 -	2277 8 7	66 7 -	2343 12 7
1230 8 -	- - -	1230 8 -	64 - -	81 10 6	10 8 -	1386 10 6	10508 11 9	111 10 8	10620 6 2
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1360 7 4	- - -	1360 7 4
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	78 - -	- - -	78 - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	20 - -	29 12 -	11 9 6	61 8 6	776 11 7	125 - -	901 11 7
5028 14 -	283 9 2	4773 4 10	339 8 -	260 7 6	243 8 9	5618 13 1	44117 12 7	2429 10 4	46547 6 11

Zillah Rajahmundry Cocanada 24th November 1843.

E. E. Jr. Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge.

Complete.

1878

Fort St. George

No. 8 Complete Jummabundy Statement of the Veccabady Villages of the Management Estate of Ramachendrapooram Jag

Name of the Estate	Name of the Village	Jeroytee Veccabady Amount of Amaramam Sub	Deductions Usual Remissions On Account of Manoel Inoozarah	Village Charges	Total	Remaining Veccabady	Money Rents Cultobady Estimate Aparah	Total Jeroytee	Amount of Cultobady	Deduct on Account of Usual Remissions	Remaining	Jaxon Paluiz Jred.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○	Ch^z. A ○
Ramachendra Pooram	1 Ramachendra Pooram	1035 11 "	36 " "	50 " "	86 " "	949 11 "	8 7 9	958 2 9	161 8 " " "		161 8 " " "	
	2 Voondoroo	376 14 "	20 " "	20 " "	40 " "	336 14 "	54 15 "	391 13 "	105 14 " " "		105 14 "	4 "
	3 Mamadoo Pacha	476 " "	15 " "	26 " "	41 " "	435 " "	38 4 9	473 4 9	218 4 " " "		218 4 "	13 8
	4 Cajavaram	448 " "	" " "	18 " "	18 " "	268 "	106 13 8	133 5 8	77 6 8 " "		77 6 8	6 "
	5 Chelavaram	1379 2 "	60 " "	60 " "	120 " "	1259 2 "	95 13 2	1284 15 2	496 4 " " "		496 4 "	" "
	6 Cundelapilly	675 3 7	20 " "	24 " "	44 " "	631 3 7	29 7 2	660 10 9	504 " " "		504 "	52 6
	7 Jugganaikoola Pollam	1111 3 "	16 " "	40 " "	56 " "	1055 3 "	62 5 8	1117 8 8	168 12 "	20 " "	148 12 "	" "
	8 Dachavaram	1720 4 "	84 " "	50 " "	134 " "	1586 4 "	156 8 1	1742 12 1	30 " "		30 " "	" "
	9 Allumpilly	710 " "	" " "	18 " "	18 " "	692 " "	" " "	692 " "	309 " " "		309 "	17 "
	10 Sajanakah	237 8 "	10 " "	25 " "	35 " "	202 8 "	81 13 2	284 5 2	318 " 4	23 1 7	294 14 9	" "
	11 Yarapilly	754 5 6	32 11 "	24 " "	56 11 "	697 10 6	13 7 2	711 1 8	60 14 5	" " "	60 14 5	" "
	12 Bandaram	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	196 9 8	196 9 8	164 9 4	6 10 10	157 14 6	37 "
	13 Cavaram	2725 " "	87 " "	75 " "	162 " "	2563 " "	64 6 11	2627 6 11	413 " "	112 14 9	300 1 3	" "
	14 Macharavaram	1127 7 "	45 " "	55 " "	100 " "	1027 7 "	89 " "	1116 7 "	555 13 3	82 5 3	503 8 "	" "
	15 Yadeda	7429 4 "	68 " "	190 " "	258 " "	7171 4 "	2 33 5 3	7404 9 3	1230 8 " "	" "	1230 8 "	64 "
	16 Tintapudy	1968 13 "	" " "	50 " "	50 " "	1918 13 "	175 3 10	2094 " 10	396 14 3 "	" "	396 14 3	" "
	17 Island under Collar Village	51 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	51 " "	" " "	51 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 "
	18 Tolapalah	613 6 10	" " "	20 " "	20 " "	593 6 10	10 12 10	604 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 "
	19 Tamarallah	605 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	605 4 "	35 11 "	640 15 "	26 " "	" " "	26 " "	28 "
	20 Tadepudy	1578 15 8	41 " "	40 " "	81 " "	1497 15 8	3 5 10	1501 5 6	157 8 3 "	" " "	157 8 3	225 5
	Total	24619 7	534 11 "	785 " "	1319 11 "	23300 2	13 8 6 11	24 6 8 6 9	6 4 6 9 2 1 6	245 " 5	4 4 4 7 1 1	

Zillah Rajahmundry Cocanada 24th November 18

4th December 1843.-

Pollary.-	Tax on Account of Moniem cultivation carried on by Jenrytee Ryots as per New Rules.-	Total.-	On Account of Jenrytee.-	Total of Produce of Enam lands.-	Total.-
14	15	16	17	18.-	19.
Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps
		20510	394 " 9	26912	1,163 12 9
44 2 "	" " "	12485	504128	11 8 9	516 5 5
14 10 5	" " "	2434	698 8 9	18 " "	716 8 9
11 8 "	" " "	112148	246 4 4	" " "	246 4 4
29 8 "	" " "	52912	1,683 7 2	1264 "	1,818 11 2
33 8 "	" " "	12811	739 5 9	" " "	739 5 9
26 1 "	" " "	1795	739 " 8	55713	1,296 13 8
30 9 "	" " "	565	1,326 12 9	47244	1,799 1 1
26 5 "	" " "	391 "	731 1 "	" " "	731 1 "
7 1 "	" " "	310 14 9	595 3 1	" " "	595 3 1
16 " "	" " "	3625	778 " 1	19 4 "	797 4 1
25 4 "	" " "	21546	411 14 2	" " "	411 14 2
20 6 "	" " "	45643	3,072 15 2	10 12 "	3,083 11 2
45 1 "	111 2 "	5438 "	1,593 8 "	667 "	1,659 15 "
40 " "	" " "	1,644 1 1	8,933 15 11	114 10 5	9,048 10 4
188 6 "	161 3 1	41583	2,509 8 10	" " "	2,509 8 10
3 10 "	15 " "	" " "	57 " "	" " "	57 " "
" " "	" " "	791 "	338 4 8	125 " "	683 4 8
64 1 "	" " "	39 " "	679 15 "	" " "	679 15 "
9 " "	" " "	229 12 10	1,654 24	77 " "	1,731 24
26 " "	18 4 7				
661 " 5	305 9 8	9639 " 2	28506131	1818 11 6	30,325 8 7

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery Commissioner in charge Statement

18749

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

No. Statement shewing the Vaguinyemmapettah Mootah in the Zillah of Rajahmundry for Fasly 1252.—

Number	Faslies	Ordinary Arrears.— Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management	Arrears which have fallen due subsequent to the Talook coming under Management	Total Arrears	Current Demand	Total Arrears and Current	Collection Ordinary Land Revenue Vizt.— Arrears.— Arrears due Previous to the Talook coming under Management	Arrears due subsequent to the Talook coming under Management	Total Arrears
		1	2	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
						Total Arrears	Total Current Demand	Total Current			
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1	1250	12,399 5 8	4 .	12,399 5 8	10,293 2 10	22,697 8 6	474 15 .	" " .	474 15 .
2	1251	11,924 6 8	4,0: 6 .	11,924 6 8	4,131 : 7	16,075 7 3	9,022 12 3	23,098 3 6	" " .	48 14 8	48 14 8
3	1252	11,924 6 8	6,7: 10 .	11,924 6 8	6,859 13 8	18,784 4 4	9,306 7 3	28,590 11 7	" " .	83 10 .	83 10 .

12750

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Collection.

Advances for Cultivation.

Current Collections	Arrears. Total	Arrears due previous to the Faloots coming under Management.	Arrears due subsequent to the Faloots coming under Management.	Arrears. Total	Current Collection.	Total Arrears.	Arrears due previous to the Faloots coming under Management.	Arrears due subsequent to the Faloots coming under Management.	Total Current Collections.
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Cr. as Cr. as Cr. as Cr. as Cr. as Cr. as Cr. as											
54583	59073	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	671 10.	474 15.	"	"	"	474 15.	6147 23	6622 13.
576126	581312	"	"	"	18.	"	18.	498 12.	5004.	"	"	"	30 6 8	30 6 8	626386	6313152
58952.	59796.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	642 8.	"	"	"	83 10.	83 10.	65384.	662114.

18751 Total Charges Fort St. George 4th December 1843.-

Remission			Balance													
On Account of Land Revenue.-			Ordinary Land Revenue dues.						Advances for Cultivation							
			Arrears.-						Arrears.-							
On Account of Arrears.	On Account of Current.-	Total Remission	Arrears due previous to the Faloot coming under Management	Arrears due subsequent to the Faloot coming under Management	Total Arrears.-	Current Balance.-		Total Balance.-	Arrears due previous to the Faloot coming under Management.-	Arrears due subsequent to the Faloot coming under Management.-	Total Arrears.	Current Balance.-		Total Current.		
32	33	34	35	36	37	38		39	40	41	42	43		44		
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P		
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11924 6 8		11924 6 8	4029 6 7	" " "	4029 6 7	15953 13 3	" " "	" " "	12110 0	12110 0
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11924 6 8		11924 6 8	2759 3 7	1202 2 0	3961 5 7	18664 2 4	" "	1202 2 0	1202 2 0	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11924 6 8		11924 6 8	3268 3 3	1202 2 0	4470 5 3	21848 11 7	" "	1202 2 0	1202 2 0	

18752 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.-

Statement shewing the Balance entered in Column 47 of Statement No 2. of Fort St. George 4th December 1843.-

Total Arrears	Total Current Balance
47	48
Rs as ps	Rs as ps
11,924 6 8	4,151 . 7 16,075 7 3
11,924 6 8	2,759 3 9 18,784 4 4
11,924 6 8	3,268 3 3 21,968 13 7

Gillah Rajahmundry — Cocanada 24th November 1843 } E. E. & P. (Signed) H. C. Montgomery — Commissioner in Charge.-

Statement

Total.-

Arrears due previous to the Talook coming under Management.-	Arrears due subsequent to the Talook coming under Management.-	Total Arrears	Total Current Balance.-
45	46		
Rs as ps	Rs as ps		
11,924 6 8	" "	11,924 6 8	4,151 . 7 16,075 7 3
11,924 6 8	4,150 9 11	16,025 . 7	2,759 3 9 18,784 4 4
11,924 6 8	6,776 3 8	18,700 10 4	3,268 3 3 21,968 13 7

Statement No 2. shewing the Balance entered in Column 47 of Statement of Fort St. George 4th December 1843.-

Balance of Arrears	1248	6,813 2 10
Ditto Current Demand	1248	6,813 2 10
Total.-		
Ditto Collections for Fasli	1248	6,813 2 10
Balance.-		
Balance of Arrears	1249	5,711 3 10
Ditto Current Demand	1249	3,980 7 11
Total.-		
Ditto Collections for Fasli	1249	4,100 9 11
Balance.-		
Balance of Arrears	1250	3,980 7 11
Ditto Current Demand	1250	2,675 9 9
Total.-		
Ditto Collections for Fasli	1250	2,675 9 9
Balance.-		
Balance of Arrears	1251	2,675 9 9
Ditto Current Demand	1251	15,830 8 4
Total.-		
Ditto Collections for Fasli	1251	18,506 1 13
Balance.-		
Balance of Arrears	1252	18,506 1 13
Ditto Current Demand	1252	1,202 . 18,706 1 13
Total.-		
Ditto Collections for Fasli	1252	1,202 . 18,706 1 13
Balance.-		

Gillah Rajahmundry — Cocanada 24th November 1843 } E. E. & P. (Signed) H. C. Montgomery — Commissioner in Charge.-

Account

18754

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.-

A. 3 - Account Current for the Management Estate of Vagayeminapattah Mootah in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.-

Receipts.-

Fuslies.-	Balance on hand at the end of last Year.	Land Revenue inclusive of Tackavy.	Refunds of Charges.	Extra Revenue.	Receipts during Current Year.- Amount paid by the Security to the Zemindar.	On Account of Remission for the assumed Mootah	Amount advanced from the Public Treasury.	Total.-	Total inclusive of last Years Balance.	Circar Peishcush.	Collector's Commission	Charges Establishment and Sawdawared.-	Extra Charges.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀	Cht ⁄ ₀
1250		" "	6,62213	612.	512.	2079	1210	15719	33158,90493	8,90493	6,64995	" "	"	54272
1251		" "	6,31315	2.	" "	"	" "	15719	60 "	6,53241	6,53241	5,08910	65111	59858	52128
1252		" "	6,62114.	" "	3564	" "	" "	15719	" "	6,81461	6,81461	5,24511	74914	83 8.	13551

18755

For P.S.t Gr. 4th December 1843.-

	Charges Management.-				Disbursement. Charges								
	Allowances for Repairs.-		Amount Paid to Ryotdar on account of produce of their Ryotwar lands.-	Total Charges Manage.- ment.-	Allowances to the Zemindar.-	Allowances to the Relations of the Zemindar.-	Allowance to the Zemindar Pagodas.-	Judicial Charges.-	Total.-	Amount Repaid on account of advance made from the public Treasury.-	Grand Total of Columns 11. 18. 23 & 24.-	Balance.-
	Cultivation											
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23.-	24	25	26.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
	1,300 " "	59 " "	224 12.	2,23415 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,90493 " "	" " "
	400 " "	" " "	292 12.	1,40995	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	33. 1. 5. 6,532. 4. 11.	" " "
	442 8.	80 8.	292 4.	1,50810 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	60 " " 68146 1.	" " "

Gillah Rajahmundry
Cocanada 24th November 1843.-

E. E. J.

/Signed/ H.C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge

Statement.-

1856.

Fort St. George

4th December 1843.

No 4. Statement shewing the Demand, Collection, and Balance, of the Permanent Jillah of Rajahmundry.

Statement of Particulars of Cuttoobah Agraharas

Fusly.	Demand. Amount of Permanent Settlement.	Demand. Arrears due at the end of last Year. Principal	Interest.	Total Demand.	On account of current Demands. Total	Total. Balance	Number of Villages.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	15 16
	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	
1250	8,750 " "	17,796 9 8	" "	17,796 9 8	26,546 9 8	4,56 15,716 12 10	19,897 " 3
1251	8,750 " "	19,897 " 3	" "	19,897 " 3	28,647 " 8	4,74 19,553 9 8	23,557 6 2
1252	8,750 " "	23,557 6 2	" "	23,557 6 2	32,307 6 2	5,2 23,557 6 2	27,061 10 3

Rented

Number of Villages.	Amount
24	2 C P
	1 10 P

Jillah Rajahmundry, Cocanada, 24th November,

mmahundy.-

1757

For Fort St. George

No. 5. Summabundy Statement of the Settlement of the Management Estate of Vagaurenmahpettah in Dowle of

Particulars of Villages.—

Number	Jeroyetty Villages.—		Cuttoobady Villages.—		Cuttoobady Agraharams.—		Sirva Agraharams.—		Total					
	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Number of Villages	Number of Hamlets	Amount of Amaraam Cist	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
1 Vagaurenmma-pettah	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"			9	3296 "

Number	Total Deductions Colms 16 and 19.—	Remaining Veesabady—	Ajsarah Rented				Total		Cuttoobady Cnams.—	Tax on Palmira Trees.—	Poollary.
			Number of Villages.	Amount of Cist	Number of Villages.—	Amount of Cist	Number of Villages	Amount of Cist.—			
	20	21	22	23.	24	25.	26	27	28	29	30
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.		CRs. A. P.		CRs. A. P.		CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.
	721 15.	7574 1 1.	"	"	1	1046 " "	10	8620 1 1.	603 12. 3	199 " "	82 1 6

4th December 1843.-

the Zillah of Rajahmundry for Fusly 1251.-

last Year or Fusly 1250.-

Veesabady.-

			Deduction				
	Usual Remission.-			An Account of Lands left	An Account of failure	Total
	account Inamool Mozarahs.	Village Charges.-	Total.-	Uncultivated	of Crops.-	Colm 14 and 18.-
	14	15	16	17	18	19
	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.
					506 15 -	506 15 -
	24 " "	191 " "	215 " "	" " "		

Total		Cuttoobady Agraharums.-		Total	
Number of Villages	Amount of Cist	Number of Villages.-	Amount of Cist	Number of Villages	Amount of Cist.-
31	32	33	34	35	36
	C Rs. A. P.		C Rs. A. P.		C Rs. A. P.
10.	9,504.14.10	"	" " "	10.	9,504.14.10

18758

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.-

Statement of the Current year or Fasly 1251.-

Doubs of the Veesabady.- Remaining Veesabady.- & Agsarah Rented.-

Amount of Amaraeum Cist. 37 CRs. A. P.	Usual Remission.- On Account of Mamool Mozarahs.- 38 CRs. A. P.	Village Charges 39 CRs. A. P.	Total.- 40 CRs. A. P.	On Account of lands left uncultivated 41 CRs. A. P.	On Account of failure of Crops.- 42. CRs. A. P.	Total Deductions Columns 40 and 42.- 43. CRs. A. P.	Number of Villages. 44	Amount of Cist 45. CRs. A. P.	Number of Villages. 46.	Amount of Cist. 47 CRs. A. P.	Number of Villages. 48.	Amount of Cist. 49.- CRs. A. P.
1,812 15 8	" " "	41. "	41. " "	" "	332. "	423 " 6	2	1,389. 15. 2.	"	" " "	8.	6,770 " "

Total.- Number of Villages 50	Amount of Cist. 51 CRs. A. P.	Cultivabady Enams.- 52. CRs. A. P.	Taxe on Palmeira Trees.- 53 CRs. A. P.	Rollary. 54 CRs. A. P.	Taxe on account of Manium Cultivation carried on by Ryots as per new Rules.- 55. CRs. A. P.
10	8,139 15 2	213 8 6.	19 " "	21 13.	109 11 7

Total Number of Villages.- 56	Amount of Cist. 57. CRs. A. P.	Cultoobady Agraharam. Number of Villages 58	Amount of Cist. 59 CRs. A. P.	Total.- Number of Villages 60	Amount of Cist. 61 CRs. A. P.
10	8,524 " 3	"	" " "	10	8,524 " 3

1875 9

Fort St. George 4th December 1843 -

Increase and Decrease -

Increase

Upon the Doule of Fusly 1250 -

Jeroyetty	Cuttoobady	Tax on Palmira Trees -	Poollary	Tax on account of Mannium Cultivation Carried on by Jerroytee Ryots as per New Rules -	Total
62	63	64	65	66	67
CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	109 11 7
					109 11 7

Decrease

Upon the Doule of Fusly 1250 -

Jeroyetty	Cuttoobady	Tax on Palmira Trees -	Poollary	Tax on account of Mannium Cultivation Carried on by Jerroytee Ryots as per New Rules -	Total -
68	69	70	71	72	73
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
460 1 11	390 3 9	180 " "	60. 4. 6.	" "	1090 10 2

Net- Net-

Increase. Decrease.

74	75
CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.
" "	980 14 7

Zillah Rajahmundry, Cocanada, 24th November, 1843. E. E. 7. / Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge
Rummahundry

18760

Ft St. George — 4th December 1843.-

No 6. Summabrahpettah — Mootah in the Zillah of Rajahmundry for Fusly 1252-

last year or Fusly 1251-

Names of the Estates-	Number of Villages-	Jeroyty Villages- Annual Assessment Moolkuks-	Deduction Vesabady Deductions Remission Village Charges-	On Account of Lands left Uncultivated-	On Account of failure of Crops-	Total-	Total Deductions Columns 16 and 19-	Remaining Vesabady-
1	2		15.	16	17	18	19	20 / 21
		A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.
1 Vaguyemma-pettah-	10	" "	11 " "	41 " "	" " "	382 " 6	382 " 6	423 " 6 1,389.15.2

Afdarak Number of Villages-	Tax on account of Manium Cultivation carried on by Jeroyty Ryots as per New Rules-	Total Number of Villages	Total Amount of Cist-	Cuttoobady agraharums- No of Villages	Amount of Cist-	Total- Number of Villages-	Amount of Cist-
22	31	32	33	34	35	36	37-
	A. P. C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.			C Rs. A. P.		C Rs. A. P.
"	13 " 109.11.7	10	8524 " 3	"	" " "	10	8524 " 3

18,761

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Double of the Current Vesubady

Amount of Amaracum Cist.	Usual Remission On account of Maumool Morzarahs.	Village Charges.	Total.	On account of Lands left Uncultivated	On account of failure of Crops.	Total Deductions Columns 40 and 42	Remaining Vesubady Number of Villages	Amount of Cist	Separate Number of Villages	Amount of Cist	Rented Number of Villages	Amount of Cist
39	40		41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.		CRs. a. p.		CRs. a. p.		CRs. a. p.
7088.15.9	48..	166..	214..			214..	9	6874.15.9	"	42.12.3	1	1046..

Total Number of Villages	Total Amount of Cist	Cultivated by Agriculturists Number of Villages	Amount of Cost	Total Number of Villages	Total Amount of Cist
57	58	59	60	61	62
	CRs. a. p.		CRs. a. p.		CRs. a. p.
10	9163.15.3	"	" " "	10.	9163.15.3

Total:-

Number of Villages	Amount of Cist	Cultivated by Cranes.	Tax on Palmira Trees.	Poollary.	Tax on account of Manieam Cultivation carried on by the Peroytee Ryots as per new Rules.
51	52	53	54	55	56
	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.
10.	7963.12.	609.4.	119..	110.2.3.	361.13.

18762

Fort St. George, the 16th December 1843

Increase and Decrease

Increase.

Upon the Doubs of Fusly 1251

Decrease

Upon the Doubs of Fusly 1251

Serogetty	Cuttobady	Tax on Palmira Trees.	Poollary	Tax on account of Mamoor cultivation carried on by Serogetty Ryots as per usual Rules	Total	Serogetty	Cuttobady	Tax on Palmira Trees.	Poollary	Tax on account of Mamoor cultivation carried on by Serogetty Ryots as per usual Rules	Total
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
" "	39511 6	100 "	88 53	262 15	83622	19632	" "	"	" "	" "	19632

Net Increase

Net Decrease

Rs	a	p
1639	15	"

Lillah Rajahmundry.
Cocanada, 25th November 1843

E. E. Fs

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector in Charge

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Statement of Nuzzaby[d] due to the Zillah of

Villages of the Management Estate of Nagayem-
Rajahmundry for Fasly 1251.

	Jeroyatty	Nuzzaby Deductions.				Total Columns 7 and 8	Total Deductions Summoned	Remaining Nuzzaby	Ajmaish	Total Jeroyatty
		On account of Lands Settlement Investd.		On account of failure of Crops						
	6	7		8		9	10	11	12	13
	Rs. as. ps.	Rs. as. ps.		Rs. as. ps.		Rs. as. ps.	Rs. as. ps.	Rs. as. ps.	Rs. as. ps.	Rs. as. ps.
Tahsildary	382 " 6					382 " 6	417 " 6	1,130 " 2	" " "	1,130 " 2
Shanbogue	" " "					" " "	" " 6	259 15 "	" " "	259 15 "
Total	382 " 6					382 " 6	423 " 6	1,389 15 2	" " "	1,389 15 2

1764 Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Cuttcabady Grains. Amount of Cuttcabady	Deduction on account of annual Remission	Remaining	Money Rents. Tax on Palmeira Trees	Poolhey	Tax on account of Branium Cul tivation exempted on by Royalty Ryots as per new Rules	Total	Ditto On account of Jenjeotty	Ditto of produce of Inam Lands	Total
14	15	16	17	18	19		20	21	22
6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p		6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p	6 Rs a/ p
21386 " "	21386 18 "	19 13.	10911				359 1 1	1445 13	44 " "
									1489 13
" " "	" " "	" " "	3 "	2 "	" " "		5	26415.	" " "
									26415 "
21386 " "	21386 19 "	21 13 "	10911				364 1 1	1710 " 3	44 " "
									1754 " 3

Lellah Rayahmundry
Cocanada 24th November 1843

E. E. Fs/ signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge

1876

No. 8 Complete

Fort St George

Summabundy Statement of the Vesabady Mootah in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

Jerogatta

Vesabuddy

Deductions.—

Names of the Estates	Names of the Villages	Amount Remaining Cost.	Usual Remissions on Account of Manool Inquiries	Village Charges	Total	Remaining Vesabuddy	Assessed
		3	4	5	6	7	8
		Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps
Vagayemmah pettah	1 Vagayemmapettah	1529 8 8	46 " "	" " "	46 " "	1488 8 8	" " "
	2 Jemmahoor	1007 4 9	23 " "	" " "	23 " "	984 4 9	9 12 "
	3 Nalatoora	1002 11 4	33 " "	" " "	33 " "	969 11 4	11 12 "
	4 Vootroomilly	719 2 "	16 " "	" " "	16 " "	703 2 "	13 10 "
	5 Mahoorumilly	336 13 "	8 " "	" " "	8 " "	328 13 "	" " "
	6 Coadapoora	982 12 "	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	952 12 "	7 8 "
	7 Goodapattah	150 " "	2 " "	" " "	2 " "	148 " "	" " "
	8 Nadasanemattah	605 4 "	28 " "	" " "	28 " "	577 4 "	" " "
	9 Coorsorallapully	755 8 "	28 " "	" " "	28 " "	727 8 "	" 2 8
	Total	7088 15 9	214 " "	" " "	214 " "	6874 15 9	42 12 8

4th December 1843

Villages of the Management Estate of Vagayemmapettah for Fusly 1252.

Money Rents

Total Jerogatta	Amount of Cuttookady	Deduction on account of usual Remissions	Remaining	Jagir Palmira Sheet	Poolay
		11	12	13	14
9	10				
Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps	Cks. as ps
			211 8 "	16 " "	48 " "
1483 8 8	211 8 "	" " "	52 8 "	4 " "	10 6 "
994 " 9	52 8 "	" " "	140 4 "	" " "	27 14 3
984 4 "	140 4 "	" " "		8 " "	2 13 "
715 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 " "	1 8 "
328 13 "	" " "	" " "	117 8 "	54 " "	8 14 6
960 4 "	117 8 "	" " "	37 " "	10 " "	" " "
148 " "	37 " "	" " "	12 " "	" " "	2 8 "
577 4 "	12 " "	" " "	38 8 "	24 " "	8 2 6
727 10 3	38 8 "	" " "			
				119 " "	110 2 3
6917 12 "	609 4 "	" " "	609 4 "		

Money Rents & cesses of Inp on account of Manium Collectation carried entry Sangatee Ryots as per new Rules	Total	On account of Sangatee	Debts of Cadence of Enam lands.	Total	
15	16	17	18.	19	
6 Rs a. p.	6 Rs a. p.	6 Rs a. p.	6 Rs a. p.	6 Rs a. p.	
63 " "	338 8 "	1778 " 8	44 " "	1822 " 8	
91 3 "	158 1 "	1104 1 9	48 " "	1152 1 9	
85 6 "	253 8 3	1234 15 7	" " "	1234 15 7	
23 6 "	34 3 "	750 15 "	" " "	750 15 "	
" " "	4 8 "	388 5 "	" " "	333 5 "	
32 1 6	212 8 "	1079 8 "	93 4 "	1172 12 "	
" " "	47 " "	195 " "	" " "	195 " "	
9 12 "	24 4 "	601 8 "	" " "	601 8 "	
57 " 6	127 11 "	741 5 3	84 " "	855 5 3	
361 13 "	1300 3 3	7848 11 3	269 4 "	8117 15 3	

E. E.

Tellicherry Rajahmundry &c.
Cocanada 24th November 1843.

Signed H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Ganjam

No. 1. List of Accounts which accompanied the Report on the Settlement of the Management Estates of Ramachandrapooram and Vagayemmahpettah in the District of Rajahmundry for fusles 1251 1841/42 and 1252 1842/43 sent to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 24th November 1843.—

A. No. 1.— Of Ramachandrapooram Zemindary.— Statement shewing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Current and Arrear in English and Telugu

" — " 2 — Dº shewing the Particulars of fusly 1252 1842/43 in dº — dº —

" — " 3 — Dº shewing the Account Current of Receipts and Disbursements in dº — dº —

" — " 4 — Dº shewing the Permanent Jumma Collection and Balance in dº dº

" — " 5 — Dº shewing the Comparison of the Demand for fusles 1250 1840/41 and 1251 1841/42 in dº dº —

" — " 6 — Dº Dº for fusles 1251 1841/42 and 1252 1842/43 in dº dº —

Statement

18768 Fort St. George 4th December 1843

A No. 1 Statement shewing the Settlement of the Cummaie or Vesabady Villages for fusly 1251/1841/42 in English and Telugu.—

" — " — 2 — Do. shewing the Settlement of the Cummaie or Vesabady Villages for fusly 1252/1842/43 in do. do.—

Of Nagayemmapettah Zemindary.—

B. No. 1.— Statement shewing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Current and Ds. Arrear in English and Telugu.—

" — " 2 — Do. shewing the particulars of former Balances up to the end of fusly 1252/1842/43 in D.o.

" — " 3 — Do. shewing the Account Current of Receipts and Disbursements do. do.

" — " 4 — Do. shewing the Permanent Cummaie Collections and Balance in do. do.

" — " 5 — Do. shewing the Comparison of the Demand for fusslies 1250/1840/41 and 1251/1841/42 in do. do.

" — " 6 — Do. Do. for fusslies 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 in do. do.

" — " 7 — Do. shewing the Settlement of the Cummaie or Vesabady Villages for fusly 1251/1841-42 in do. do.

" — " 8 — Do. Do. for fusly 1252/1842/43 in do. do.

Rajahmundry District.
Ocanada, 21st November 1843.

(Signed) H. E. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge

Ordered that the same be brought forward at a future Meeting.—

(15 - 16)

Read.

1876 Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Read the following Letter

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(17)

From the Collr of Guntoor

The late Mr. J. C. Whish of the Civil Service and several years Collector of Guntoor, having bequeathed the sum of Rupees 5000, in the following terms "to go towards improving the town of Guntoor or approaches to it within two cos in any direction, to be given forthwith to E. Buckle Esqre Engineers Madras by authority to Messrs Binny and Coe to that effect, no deeds or documents Vca necessary, but at once given," and Captain Buckle having decided to lay out a portion of the bequest in the construction of a public Choultry for the accommodation of all classes, at Guntoor, for which he has prepared a plan, and estimate amounting to 3000 Rupees—I have the honor to recommend that the Government will be pleased under the rules circulated under date 9th July 1829 to sanction the assignment of land in the Village of Guntoor yielding an annual assessment of 60 Rupees for the purpose of keeping up the Choultry, and

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

and that they will also allow eight acres of Sere land to be planted a a tope from the saving funds, and in connexion with the Choultry. The Vaipreddy Zemindary having been surrendered to Government, it does not appear that a reference to the Zemindar will be necessary.—

Guntoor.
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
Kootakery 8th November 1843.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

17. 18
Order thereon
Ordered to be brought forward
Redagain in Cons. 11th Dec.r at a future meeting—

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19.
From the Coll.r of Guntoor—

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the decision of the Board the accompanying petition from Koogume Varaya with the report upon it of the ameen of Coorpaud, praying that a tamarind tope planted by his grand father in the Village of Peddapollem in the Vaipreddy Zemindary under the verbal permission of the late Vaipreddy Zemindar Venkatadry Naidoo, and

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

and left free to the public till Fusly 1245 when the present Zemindar obtaining management of the Estate resumed it, and carried the proceeds to the Zemindary Account may again be given up to him for charitable purposes.—

+ Proceeds of Tope
Fusly— Rs as P—
1240— 5—— ——
1241— —— —— ——
1242— 18—9——
1243— 15—— ——
1250— 11—— ——
1251— 18—— ——
1252— 32—1—not Collected—

The amount annually realized from the tope is shewn in the margin+ As the petitioner has no grant to shew for the tope and made no appeal against the Zemindar's appropriation of it in Fusly 1245 or subsequently till he gave the present petition his right may perhaps not appear very clear, but as the amount is small and the revenue from topes planted by private individuals, one of questionable expediency, I recommend that the prayer of the petitioner may be complied with—

Guntoor
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry,
Kootakey 18th November 1843

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Collector of
Guntoor

19. 20
To the Coll.r of Guntoor

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Standing orders dated 13th July 1826 I am directed

directed by the Board of Revenue to return
No 142 to you the original enclosures which
accompanied your letter dated 18th November
last No 120, for the purpose of being translated.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th December 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

From the Pt Coll[r] of Cuddapah — Read Statement
(21) of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20
Rupees each incurred in fusly 1252 and
sanctioned by the Board —
Order thereon — Ordered to lie over
(21-22) Read the following letter —

To
E. C. Lovell Esq[re]
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(23) Sir,

From the Coll[r] of Chingleput — Para 1. Referring to the case of Gang
Requesting Robbery wherein the Salt Treasury at Sem-
Profit and Loss mungary was plundered, as reported in my
the sum of Rs 6737 letter of the 1st May last I have now the
6 being the honor to submit a Statement shewing the
irrecoverable portion total amount plundered from the Treasury,
of the amount the amount then recovered, the sums recovered
plundered from from the parties concerned in the robbery
the Salt Treasury and who were apprehended in the Salem District
at Saumgary the

the portion of that amount delivered by the
Sessions Judge to the Merchant whose house
adjoining the Salt Cutcherry was plundered
at the same time, and the balance which
must now be considered irrecoverable, and
to write off which amounting to Rupees 6737
6 at ℞ to profit and loss sanction is requested —

2. Of the Prisoners apprehended
and sentenced by the
Sessions Court to 14 Years imprisonment with
hard labour in irons on the roads, and
six to the mitigated sentence of 7
years —

3. The Sessions Judge has also
ordered that the Monsiff who cut down the
first Prisoner at the time of the robbery
should receive a reward of 100 Ru-
pees —

Chingleput District —
Coll[rs] Cutcherry Pullecarney
24th November 1843 —

(Signed) J. Freese
Collector

Statement

1877 Fort St George 1st December 1843

Read again Mr Goldingham's report on the Jammabundy Settlement of the Cavetnaggar Zemindary for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42

Read also letter from Rajah Bomma Raz Bahadur requesting that the management of the Estate may be restored to him -

From the Coll.r of Nellore rec.d 10th in Cons.n 18th January 1843.

11th Nov.r 1842 in Cons.n 3

Pd to the Rajah's Vakeel

Pd

Rec.d 3rd Jan.y 1843

Read

Para 42.

Paras 2-3-7.

Endorsements thereon - 1- The Cavetnaggar Zemindary the ancient possession of the Bome Raz Poligar was taken under the Collector's charge for arrears of Peishcush on the 16th May 1842 - Tho' never attached before, it had been administered by the Court of Wards during the minority of the present Poligar from 1828 to 1832 when he came of age -

2. The Estate consists of three Talooks containing 783½ Villages whereof 210½ have been alienated or given on favorable tenures - The assessment is levied in money at a given rate according to the particular Crop cultivated, but in some few instances in the West Lands where the demand was considered too high, the ryot has been permitted to contribute the Sircar's share of

1877 Fort St George 1st December 1843

Statement shewing the amount plundered from the Salt Treasury at Semmunjary the portion recovered and that requiring to be written off to Profit and Loss -

	Rs	a	p
Amount Stolen Viz.t			
Sale proceeds of Salt	8332	14	0
Advance on hand for the repair of the Salt Cutcherry	30	0	0
Bombay Muster Rupee	1	0	0
	8364	14	0
Recovered from the 1st Prisoner	1000	0	0
D.o from the Prisoners apprehended in the Salem District	927	8	0
	1927	8	0
Deduct amount paid by the Sessions Judge to Veneatarama Chitty as Stolen from his house	100	0	0
	1827	8	0
Balance irrecoverable	6737	6	0

Six thousand Seven hundred and thirty seven Rupees Six

E. E. 9th (Signed) A. Freese Collector

Order thereon - Ordered that copy of the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council and that a special sanction be solicited for writing off the above sum of Rs 6737 - 6 - being the amount plundered from the Salt Treasury at Semmungary after deducting the sums recovered from the Prisoners -

23-24

Read

of the produce in kind. The demand gene-
rally is stated to be high. An attempt to
determine the assessment on the land method
reference to the nature of the produce was
made by the Dewan of the late Zemindar
about the year 1814, but it was only re-
carried out in 88 Villages and was discon-
tenued because the rates were said to
be too high. This view is not confirmed
by the accounts of the Settlement concluded
by the Collector. From these it would seem
that the rates are unequal with respect to each other. The
Statement Punjah assessment averages about 3 annas per
No 1 Col 360-369. Cawnah whilst that on Runjah which is ge-
Col 422-423. nerally four or five times higher is only 8-9 annas per
Col 433-434. and Garden only 6-7. To compensate for the
alleged excess of the land tax it is customary
to grant large remissions in all years. These
are called Coorla Ryagee and include not
only land held on favorable tenure but the
difference between the share of produce &
the money assessment where the former
substituted for the latter. Remissions for
short and withered produce are likewise made
These deductions seem to be made systematically
but the principles by which they are regulated

P. 26. is not explained. From the fact of the control
of the Village being left in the hands of the
leading members of the community, who
regulate the cultivation and assist and
support the inferior ryots in such a way
as to render the distribution of Tuccavee
unnecessary, it is not improbable that
the management may be found to depend
on regular and defined rules analogous to the
old system of the Ceded Districts or to
that of the Mahrattas in the Deccan.

P. 4. 3. The season was upon the whole
of a favorable character from which an
1250 Fasly - 3,32,410-12-10. increase to the revenue was derived
1251 Fasly - 3,67,394-8-4. of Rupees 34,983-11-6.
Difference - 34,983-11-6.

4. The different items of the
Settlement enumerated in Para 6 may
be included under

Land Revenue — 310404 — 8 — 11.
and Extra Sources — 56989 — 15 — 5.
Total Rs 367394 — 8 — 4.

The former consists of

Amance Lands — 256414 — 12 — 2.
Rented Villages — 44721 — 15 — 10.
Jodee — 9267 — 12 — 11.

The

Cultivation	
Deduct	352824-14-2
Cowle Ryayate	64017-14-4
Short produce	30163-7-9
Other remissions	1929-4-1
	96110-2
Remain	256714-12-2

5. The full assessment of the amanee Lands was 352824-14-2 from which must be taken the usual remissions and some trifling deductions for Dusswandam and priveleged individuals. The Cowle Ryayate amounts to 18 per Cent on the Berij, the produce remissions to 9 per Cent thus giving a total of 27 per Cent for the established Remissions.

P. 17. 6. The rented [illegible] number are chiefly those mortgaged to the Creditors of the Proprietor in liquidation of his debts. This arrangement must of course give place to the prior claim of Government for arrears of the Permanent Settlement and the Collector anticipates that many of the parties will seek to be relieved from their leases. But if they desire to retain them, he proposes allowing them to retain possession, to which the Board see no objection.

P. 19 7. The Villages from which Fodie or Quit rent or now [illegible] are also exempt by the present Zemindar and his predecessors. The assessment on them [illegible] of

of which they pay 9267-12-11 such of these as have been conferred subsequent to the permanent settlement should be assumed and their proceeds credited to the discharge of the arrears, some allowance being made for the support of the Proprietors relations and for such other claims as may appear to the Collector to demand consideration.

8. The remaining Items of the Settlement consist of the sources of Casual Revenue - Some ready money collections - derived from the Sodee of petty Enams - perhaps come more properly under the head of Land Revenue - The proceeds of the Hittany offerings may with advantage be made over to the direct control of the Proprietor and be included in the allowance set apart for his subsistence as proposed by Mr. Goldingham. The amount of the Devastanam and Chattram Lands do not properly come within the scope of the Settlement being debited immediately to the Charitable objects for which they were conferred and will in future be deducted from the Jumma -

Nagadeyal 1790 v. 1-5- v. 12-

9. Of the total Demand 319676-10- 4 - were collected within the Fasle leaving

P. 15.

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Rupees 51,082-8-5 outstanding which had been reduced to Rupees 43,930-6-- at the end of December last.

10. Although the management of the Estate is described as improvident and his accounts as confused and ill kept, it does not appear that he is chargeable with having brought his present difficulties on himself. The debts left by his Father amounted to £45,649-3-4 in 1829. These were reduced under the management of the Court of Wards to 58,927 13-6 with which burthen the estate was made over to the present Proprietor in 1839. The amount of bonded debt subsequently incurred by him is only Rupees 50,400 exclusive of floating debts amounting to nearly the same sum. On the other hand he has paid off Rupees 196885-3-9 and the account of his present liabilities made up to the end of 1251 Fusly (1841/42 A.D.) stands as follows.

Statement No. 4.

Amount of the late Zemindar's Debts remaining unpaid	489112	3 — 6
Amount incurred by the present Proprietor	50400	— — —
Total Principal	539512	3 — 6
Add Interest	189000	— — —
Current Debts about	728512	3 — 6
Deduct amount paid by the present Proprietor	500000	— — —
Net Liability	228512	3 — 6
	196885	3 — 9
	31626	15 — 9

Vide

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

These payments have been for the most part made by means of improvident Mortgages.

11. In addition to the amount of private debts, the arrears of Peshcush amount to 176171 of which 95107-8-- accrued in the year under review, making the total amount of liabilities 718797-15-9.

P. 24.

12. Mr. Goldingham represents the Estate to be in a fair condition and capable of yielding one year with another a surplus revenue of 70,000 Rupees, assuming the revenue at 3 lakhs and limiting the Zemindar's expenditure to 1500 Rupees a Month. He proposes to appropriate this surplus to the discharge of the debts and of the arrears of Peshcush, retaining the Estate under the Collector's management.

13. It appears to the Board that taking into account the proceeds of the alienated lands, and the result of the necessary repairs to the works of irrigation, the revenue in ordinary years is rather underrated. On the other hand no allowance is made in the Collector's Estimate

* Stated by the Zemindar to be 1070 in Number.

Fort St. George 4th December 1813.

estimate for the interest of the private debts, and the Zemindar himself is dissatisfied with the subsistence proposed to be accorded to him, and demands a sum of 4,000 per Mensem. The Board are of opinion that half that amount might with propriety be accorded to him. The account would then stand thus.

Revenues		350,000
Sibbendy	16,050	
Maramut	8,000	
Zemindar	24,000	
Permanent Settlement	18763	
Interest on private Debts at 12 per Cent on 53,000 Rupees	64,680	
		300,393
		49,607

The Surplus thus would barely amount to 50,000 ℔.

Zemindars address 11th Novr 1813 - P. 5.

The Zemindar is very desirous to have the Estate again placed under his charge, on the ground that the administration of the Government Officers has always been much less successful than his own. But looking to his own account, to the rapacity of his creditors and to the absence of any improvement from the consideration

Fort St. George 4th December 1813.

consideration extended to him by Government in the years 1836 - 1838 and 1840 the Board are clearly of opinion that no benefit would accrue from a compliance with his request. They would rather recommend that the present system of management should continue, the Estate being committed to the special charge of one of the Collectors assistants who should pass a considerable portion of his time within its limits. They are further of opinion that considerable advantage might be obtained from an examination into the Private Debts with a view to effect a composition with the Creditors and to reduce the rate of interest. The surplus revenue might then be devoted in fair proportions to the gradual extinction of the public and private demands. This course the Board are persuaded would be by far the most beneficial that could be adopted both for the Proprietor and for the Government.

In the event of the Estate being retained under Government management the Collector is desirous of introducing certain alterations into the assessment

of

Fort St. George 4th December 1783.

of the lands. The Board do not concur in the opinions expressed by Mr. Goldingham. They have already noticed the want of accurate information regarding the real nature of the present system. To this they would add the futility of altering the existing institutions of a district that must at no distant period revert to the control of the Proprietor who most probably would be little disposed to adopt the innovations. With a view however to a more clear understanding of the Polygars management the Board request that the acting Coll.r will have the goodness to transmit with the next Settlement report a complete set of accounts in the Native language of a Village settled on according to the old System and of one into which the Survey of the late Zemindars &c. was has been introduced.

16. The Board approve of the course indicated by the Collector with reference to the renamed Cattoobaddy Enams noticed in the concluding Para.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the acting Collector of Arcot for his information and guidance.

Read

Fort St. George 14th December 1783.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

26

From W. H. Babington Esqre. Acting Collector of N. Arcot

Returning certain Petitions and Reporting on them as by required by the Board.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to return

No. 606 of 1783.
" 792 of "
" 811 of "

the accompanying Petitions reporting on them as required.

2. The land in question is in the Village of Putteputtoor in the Zemindary of Caraleemuggur now under attachment for Arrears of Peishcush.

3. In 1782 Dodapatte Mooniapah represented to the Shasildar that 10 Years before Chintacayala Vencuteshadoo who rented for 5 Years 300 Goontahs of land in the Village of Putteputtoor from the Zemindar gave him (Dodapatte Mooniapah) 150 of them to cultivate, and he used to pay the rent of the land to the Renter and when the Renters 5 Years rent had expired he (Dodapatte Mooniapah) continued to cultivate the land and pay the Revenue to the Zemindar; but that the Petitioner, mother of the late Renter was now

now trying to get from him 30 Goontahs of the land, in which 30 Goontahs he had dug a new Well.

4. The Petitioner was examined by the Thasildar and asserted her right to the land on the ground of her husband, father of Chintacayla Vencateshadoo, having rented it to Dodapatti Mooniapah as he admitted and the said Dodapatti Mooniapah had dug a Well merely to prevent her turning him out of possession and that there was another Well in it her property.

5. The Monigars deposed to Dodapatti Mooniapah having held the land in question during the previous ten Years and having on the conclusion of the 5 Years rent paid the revenue to the Zemindar.

6. The Thasildar's proceedings having been forwarded to Mr. Goldingham he ordered the Petitioner to be put in possession of the Well and land She claimed.

7. After this order had been passed one Junpoor Vencutramrazoo came forward and stated that 15 Years before the Village had been held by him as an Amaum

Amarum Inam and that he had dug a Well in it which by Mr. Goldingham's order had been given to the Petitioner.—

8. Mr. Goldingham referred this Petition to the Tahsildar On the enquiry held by him it was proved that the Well had been dug by one Sheshamrazoo who had held the Village 15 Years before as Amarum Inam but Vencutram: razoo was unable to prove that he was the heir of Sheshamrazoo.—

9. Mr. Goldingham left this District without passing a final order on Vencutramrazoo's claim and the whole of the Proceedings from the commencement were read over to me immediately after I took charge of the District. In the hurry of business it escaped my notice that Mr. Goldingam had ordered the Petitioner to be put in possession of the Well and land she claimed, and unaware that I was reversing an order of Mr. Goldingham's I ordered that Dodapatti Mooniapah should keep possession of the land claimed by the Petitioner and that Vencutrazoo should if he could make good his right to the Well by Civil Suit.

10. My reversal of Mr. Goldingham's

Fort St. George 4th. December 1843.

order was wrong but on reading over again the record of the Case I am satisfied that my decision was right. The land is not the property of any one of the disputants. It belongs to the Zemindar and Dodapatti Meeniapah possesses a much stronger right of occupancy than the Petitioner.

No. 606 of 1843
" 811 of Do.

11. Mr. Goldingham reported in his letter to the Board dated 31st. March 1842 on the claim of the Petitioner to a grant of Inam land in lieu of 2¼ Cawnies of Inam land taken possession of by the Military Authorities at Vellore for Butting Ground. The claim was admitted and the Petitioner has received other ground in exchange agreeably to Mr. Goldingham's recommendation. The Petetioner now prays for Compensation for loss of produce during the interval of time between his being deprived of his former Inam and receiving possession of his present one.

12. The Petetioner states this interval

Fort St. George 1st. December 1843.

interval to have been 14 Years and 4 Months and the Assessment on his Enam being Rupees 34-13-3 he estimates his total loss at Rupees 1863-—-.

13. The Petitioner in his Petition to Government No. 890 of 1841 dated 19th April of that Year states that he had been kept out of possession since two Years that is since April 1839. The Petitioner was put in possession of other Inam land in May 1842 I therefore am of opinion that he can not justly claim Compensation for more than 4 Years. Four Years Assessment at Rupees 34-13-3 per Annum Amounts to Rupees 139-5-—.

14. I beg to bring to the notice of the Board the very imperfect title on which this Petitioner holds his Inam. It is held on a Purwanah and Enayetnamah the Originals of which with translations accompany this letter. The Purwanah of the Nabob Wallajah dated 7th Shabaun 1193 Fizaree (A.D. 1779) grants the land to Badsha Nanum a female teacher but not to her heirs. The Enayetnamah of Comdut Col Comarah Bahader dated 22d. Rujub 1207 Fizaree confirms the grant and from the concluding words it may be inferred that it was not intended that her heirs should succeed.

15. The Petitioners father Fuzla Ali was a Nephew of the Original grantee. How and when he got possession of the Inam does not appear. A Heridu Register on the records of this Office translation of Extract from which is annexed shews him to have been in possession in fusly 1219.

16. On the death of Fuzla Ali it appears that his Son the Petitioner got possession of the Enam without sanction either granted or applied for. In my Opinion this Inam should have lapsed on the death of the Original Grantee.

North Arcot
Coll.s Citeherry
Chittoor 23d. November 1843.

Signed J.W. Babington
Acting Collector

Extract

1799

Fort St. George

Extract from the Hindoovee Register of Sunnud Enams of the Vellore Talook in North Arcot for Fusly 1219.

Date of Sunnud or other Vouchers	Date when Conversion to the Original Grantee	Name of the Original Grantee	By whom granted	Name of the Inamdar	Nº.	Extent of the Enam as per Purwanah	Description	Land	Assessment	Description	Land	Assessment	Land
								℔	₱ ₣ ₰		Cawnies	₱ ₣ ₰	₰
Hyderee 27th Shaw 1193 Hizree granted by Rahab Wallajah in the Name of Burd-ool-Ka-inaut.	Copy of an Enaytnamah dated 22d Rujeb 1207 Hizree granted in the name of Hazoors in Chittadar with the Seal of the Arcot Kazy and Order thereon and Copy of an Hyle enamy dated 16th Suffer 1210 Hizree granted by Sudder Sudaun Dishomed with his Seal.	106	Vellore	Ponyah Calleer	Ponyah	1	1	30 60	Ponyah Calleer	1/2	8 12 2	2/2

1st December 1843.

Serial No.	Why granted	Name of the person who attended for Enquiry at the Hoozoor	Date of Enquiry	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
942	For having been a teacher of females in the Mahal of Nabob Wallajah —	Fuzla Ale	26th November 1809	The Inam was transferred by Badsha Kanum while she was yet alive, by a Will, to her nephew Fuzla Ale for the purpose of performing the Ceremony of Fatyah 9th after her demise. The Will is still producible, and Fuzla Ale Continued to perform the Ceremony. —

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. Babington

Acting Collector

Translation

18791 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

B

Seal of Nabob Wallajah

Translation of a Persian Purwanah granted by Nabob Wallajah to the Aumeel of Vellore on the 7th Shabaum 1193 Hijree.

As an Enam of 2½ Cawnies of Poonjah land situated under the Soorish Kolum Tank near the Tomb of Wuzzeer Khan in the Cusbah of Vellore, has been conferred on Batcha Kanum a female teacher from the Commencement of fusly 1189. You will prepare a sketch of the land and make it over to the said Woman, who should enjoy its produce and pray to God for the welfare of the Government.

The Signature of Nabob Wallajah in Persian Characters.

/True Translation/
/signed/ W. Babington
Acting Collector

C

Seal of Fuzzle Ally Beg of Vellore

Translation of a Persian Engagement given by Conductoor Comarah Bahadur to Kunnjie Mustadar in the Purgunnah of Veringipoor &c. attached to the Sujjeer of Vellore on the 22d Wyaub 1207 Hijree.

Fuzzle Ally Beg of Vellore the

18792 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

the Nephew and Vakeel of Batcha Kanum a female teacher, having represented to me that in Consequence of the rebellious times Batcha Kanum did not return to obtain possession of the Enam of 2½ Cawnies of Poonjah land situated under Soorish Kolum Tank near Wuzzeer Khan's tomb in the Cusbah of Vellore conferred on her by virtue of a Purwanah of the Nabob that she is now in fusly 1202, returned to Vellore still possessing the true Purwanah and Solicits order for the ratification of the Enam in question; You are hereby peremptorily ordered to take a sketch of the said land and make it over to the said Woman from the Current fusly 1202, if she is actually in existence, and the Purwanah in her possession is a true one.

The Signature of Conduct Cot Comarah Bahader in Persian Characters

(True translation).
/ Signed / W. Babington
A.C. Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

H.

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

(24)

To the Actg Collr in the Nn Dn of Arcot — In Collr Decr

To,

The Acting Collector in the

Northern Division of Arcot

Sir,

On reference to the 13th — Para of your letter dated the 23d Ultimo — reporting on Petitions Nos 606 and 811 of 1843 from Mirjah Aubid Hussain in which he claims compensation for loss of produce during the interval of time between his being deprived of his former Enam and that of his receiving possession of his present one, the Board find that Petitioner was put in possession of his present Enam in May 1842, but it does not appear by your or by Mr. Goldinghams letter of the 31st March 1842, when Petitioner was dispossessed of his former Enam. He states in his Petition to Government No. 890 of 1841 that he had been thus kept out of possession for two Years, that is, since April 1839 but Mr. Goldingham on the other hand under date 31st March 1842 Para 3 mentions the Enam as "land which is to be transferred," and "will be made over to the Military Authorities" as soon as sanction has been received. The Board therefore request that you will have the goodness to

In Con. 4th April 1842

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

to ascertain the precise time from which compensation may be properly claimable by Mirjah Aubid Hussain.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
4th December 1843.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Actg Secretary.

Read the following Letter.

To, E.C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(28)

From the Actg Collr of Salem — Forwarding for the orders of the Board Copy of a letter from the Actg Sub Collr of Salem regarding the present state of the Agraharam of Teeroomalanasamoodrum in the Taluck of Paramutty — In Con. 4th April 1842

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector of Salem, regarding the present state of the Agraharam of Teeroomalanasamoodrum, in the Taluck of Paramutty.

Salem Cutcherry,
Sub Collrs Cutcherry,
13th November 1843.

(Signed) W.C. Lockhart
Actg Sub Collector.

To, W.C. Lockhart Esqre
Acting Principal Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to — your

Dept

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

therefore neither to recover the arrears by this means, nor even to realize the Current amount of Sudigay for the year. There are therefore two Questions to be considered, 1st in what way the Revenue can be preserved from an immediate loss which under present circumstances appears to accumulate, and 2dly by what means the claims of general of the Government are to be satisfied and their rights upheld.—

4. In respect to the 1st point I suggest that the lands comprised in the 55 Pattahs should be rented for Fusly 1253 &c. I have ascertained that the Agrahardars themselves will take each one his share on these terms, and pay at the rate of his Sudigay, the plan therefore offers a certain prospect of realizing the usual Revenue for the year, excepting perhaps such parts as would be due from the absent Coparceners but even for this as their share of the Sudigay amounts only to 114-12-3 and forms but a small portion as compared with the remainder, I would hope to get on the other hand, not only the rate about to above as expressly that no land should be given for less than the former assessment

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

assessment, precludes all hope of making as good an arrangement under direct management, but a further impediment presents itself to granting lands on the Fysul terms, in the circumstance that the 1st of the 2 Crops that the land yields in the year is now on the ground before any Settlement has been made, which will of course make the Cultivators who must have taken the lands on more easy conditions still less willing to accede to them.—

5. As to the 2nd Question how the Arrears are to be recovered, and the rights of Government over the Share holders upheld in future—were the assessment to be lowered, so as to make the Sudigay, or thereabout, the rate of assessment on the land, the lands would sell, and the arrears might be realized, but this is an extreme measure not to be willingly resorted to. more particularly as the Agrahardars have some good ground for their present complaints. Had it been otherwise, I should have certainly been more reluctant to purpose the arrangement which is now contemplated as a temporary measure even, for in fact it is to yield to them pretensions, for in fact it is to yield to them pretensions for all that they desire, at a time when they are seeking their object by improper means, but their

their objections to the present system are well founded - 1st because the advantage originally intended them by the reduction of 40 per Cent on the fixed assessment is almost nominal from the lands having been too highly assessed, so that there is nothing to compensate to them for the burden of having to pay both for their own shares and the Shares of defaulters belonging to their body - 2nly because as the law stands at present, there is no summary remedy by which payment can be enforced on defaulters by the remaining share holders, instead of being able to sift the property of defaulters according to the powers exercised by Mootahdars in cases bearing a near analogy, it appears that their only resource is the tedious process of a regular suit in the Civil Courts - 3dly because the system of viewing the tenure in the nature of a Copareenary is of recent date, and was not established at the Permanent settlement -

6. I submit therefore that the subject of their complaint should receive full consideration before hereditary rights

than can be avoided are adopted. If it is still considered proper to maintain the principle of the joint tenure, some enactment at least is called for, to confer powers of Summary process on the Share holders against the defaulters of their body. There will then perhaps be no injustice in sustaining the system, for it is very probable though the Jodigay does not bear the same proportion as was originally intended to a just assessment on the lands, and is only equivalent indeed to the assessment on lands in the adjacent Villages, that it is still in a small degree below the rate which from the quality of the lands the Government might be entitled to, if nothing in the form of a middle tenure existed - If no such powers are to be given them, a settlement should be made with each separate share holders, when the Ayrahardars will no doubt pay the arrears which have already accrued, and by far the largest proportion of the revenue will be secure in future - or at all events the Collective body should only be held responsible after distraint has been made on the individual defaulters

To

7. To shew that the 1st point on which it is supposed that the Agrahardars have reason to complain is not unsupported it is only necessary to contrast the assessment in the adjoining Villages with that in the Agraharum— In the latter, there is one uniform nunjah rate on the lands Vizt. of Rupees 21–7–10 per Acre, and the rates prevailing in the adjoining Villages after the reductions made in the time of Mr. Hargrave are found to be Rupees 14–9–4 a P Rs & P–9–3–4 Rs & P and 12–4–6 & that the Sodagay which is Rupees 12–14–5 per Acre approximates far nearer to these rates than the full assessment on the land; while united with the Agraharum there are ninety Cawnies of lands assessed at the rate of Rupees 9–3–4— In the whole Agraharum the value of the Poonjah lands amounts only to Rupees 57–2—

8. In proof the last of the 3 Causes of Complaint the Agrahardars produce certain original Pattahs granted by Mr. Macleod, and on reference also to the records, 2 Pattahs of Mr. Cockburn dated 6 and 1st June of Fasly 1231 have been found in which the property of the individual defaulters only was ordered to be sold. It is also on record that the shares of 8 defaulters were sold in Fasly 1232 while nothing has been found to disprove the

the inference resulting from these documents as of an earlier date that the order of Mr. Orr, dated 4th April 1832, from which it would seem that the present practice takes its commencement, requiring the Tahsildar to gaft the entire Village for a certain put=standing balance.—

9. The 2d of the two questions however does not call for an immediate decision. I only beg that immediate orders may be obtained on the other for if there is any delay I fear the Revenue for the year will be much diminished.—

10. That the system of holding the share holders responsible collectively in all cases of arrears has not by mere misapprehension been applied to the Agraharum of Peromalanamasamoodrum is clear from the same principle having been adopted in respect to the Agraharums in the Salem Talook in which the settlement equally appears to have been made originally with each separate share holder— In my letter dated 31st July last, reporting on the settlement of the Salem Talook I adverted to the present state of the Agraharums in the Salem Talook, Vizt. Abiramum and Appasamoodram, and undertook to report further on

on points which had come to my knowledge at the Immakkundy affecting the Revenue in these Agraharums, but now that I have fully entered into the subject as respects the Agraharum of Peromalanamasamoodrum, it is sufficient for me to say that these Agraharums have fallen into arrears in under precisely the same Circumstances. There is no difference in the cases, excepting that time enough has elapsed since the agraharums in the Salem Talooks were attached, to shew how much injury the Revenue suffers from any attempt at direct management, and the Jodigy in the Salem Talook is perhaps not to high in proportion to the value of the land as in the Paramutty Talook.

11. In the Agraharums in the Salem Talook, there is not only a yearly loss accruing on the difference in the Collections as compared with the Jodigy, but since the date of attachment no accounts have been kept of the balance arising on each individual share. The aggregate balance of loss only being placed against the whole Agraharum at the end of the Fusly which is to be right unnecessarily

unnecessarily of the real nature of the original tenure, and may occasion an injury to some of the Share holders, for it is not to be supposed that the lands contained in each share have been all cultivated to the same extent, and justice requires, though the share-holders collectively may still be reckoned responsible as the last resource for whatever loss occurs while the Village is under attachment that the demand should be made in the first instance on the several shareholders in proportion to the deficiency in their

18805 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

their respective shares. But notwithstanding the great amount of arrears, there can be no doubt that the lands are of considerable value, and that the amount may be recovered either by the sale of the lands or from the shareholders themselves, for they have given Muchilkas agreeing to pay, if they can only obtain redress for the grievances which they all in common complain of, and contend against.—

Salem, Sub Coll^{r}s Office,
10th November 1843. } /Signed/ H. A. Brett
Acting Sub Collector

/True Copy/ Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Acting P^{t} Coll^{r}

28 29

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent agreeable to Circulation.— Read.

Vide Proceedings 17th April 1844

18806 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esq^{re}
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Nobility of Smith Sheet—

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that a service Moomeahdar residing at Chaitpet to whom an annual pension of Rupees 88-8-11 was granted by Government, has been reported to have died on the 17th Ultimo leaving a widow, and two infant sons. The widow has petitioned for the continuance of the Moomiah, as guardian on behalf of her sons on condition that the service attached to the Inaujed be performed by her Brother during the minority, or until such time as the Eldest of them shall be perfectly qualified for the duty.

The nature of the Moomiah has already been explained by Mr. Dent in his letter and on taking into consideration the extent of the Cholera devastation at Chaitpet and its neighbourhood, I think the service for which the Moomiah has been granted is

* Nº 78 in the List Nº 3 sent to the Board on 3d March 1838

† 7th January 1836

‡ Mohamed Yahaia 4 years old

§ 21st December 1843

¶ Syed Moyeadeen

Fort St. George 1st December 1843.

is indispensable. I therefore request you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the continuance of the Yearnah in the name of the Eldest Son Mohomed Khadar.

South Accot
Collr's Cutcherry
Cuddalore
20th November 1843

/ Signd W. L. Davis
Acting Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of South Accot reporting the death of Mohomed Khadar on the 18th ultimo and forwarding a Petition from his widow praying for the grant of a Yearnah for herself and children.

2. The Board beg to state that the Yearnah enjoyed by the deceased was granted by Fort St. George Consultation dated 29th January 1836 for the term of his life and that of his widow and children.

30 - 31

To the Chief Secy to Government

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th December 1843

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

The Services is to summon the Mahomedan Population to prayer five times a day.

certain Services in the Mosque at Chairpet.

2. The deceased has left a Widow and two infant Sons, and as the Service for which the Yearnah was granted is stated to be indispensible with reference to the Mahomedan population of the place, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for its continuance in full from the 17th October last in the name of the deceased's Eldest Son Mohomed Khadar during whose minority it is proposed that the Service be performed by Syed Moyndeen his brother's brother.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th December 1843.

/ Signed E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following Letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37.

From the Agent of
South Accot
28th February 1829
3rd October 1843

Sir

With reference to the letter from your Office and to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board Copy of a letter received from the Acting Collector of Tanjore reporting the death

23rd Novr 1843.

18809 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

death of Syed Kullum Oollah Khan late
jaghiredar of Sunkaraporam.

South Arcot ——
Collrs Cuttchery ——
Cuddalore 28th November 1843

} Signed W. D. Davis
Acting Collector

No.

To The Acting Collector of
South Arcot
Cuddalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 16th ultimo No 568 I have the honor to inform you that the Pensioner in question Syed Kullum Oollah Khan is reported by the Surgeon of Tranquebar to have died on the 15th instant and that no payment of his pension has been made from this Treasury.

Tanjore ——
Dated ——
23rd November 1843

} Signed J. F. Bishop
Acting Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

(32 . 33)

To the Chief Secy
to Govt

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Rev to

18810 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

to request that you will submit for the information of the Right Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of South Arcot reporting the death of Syed Kullum Oollah Khan who was in the receipt of a Pension of Rupees 1081-8-0 per Annum besides a monthly allowance of Rupees 709-8-0 for the expence of a Retinue and other Establishments as Head of the family under the Orders of Government dated 30th January 1829.

23 Nov. in Coun
4 Dec. 1843

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ——
4th December 1843 ——

} Signed C. C. Lovett
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letters.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(34)

From J. P. Cruttenden Esqr
Collector of Trichinopoly

} Sir

Submits a statement with reference to the 6th & 11th paragraphs of the Collrs letter of the 8th July last

In the 8th and 11th paragraphs of my letter of the 8th July last submitting a draft of a Cultivation Kuttumawmah, Instructions and the inconvenience arising from the practice observed in this District of obliging the Ryots to await the permission of the Revenue authorities to cut and remove their Crops and from the Rents being fixed at improper periods

out

Fort St. George 4th December 1813

Fort St. George 4th December 1813.

out of the former practice that arisen on the that of compelling the cultivator to take his grain to market before it is fit for sale, or when prices are so low that if he can avoid he would not sell it, while the latter obliges him to have recourse to the money lender to provide himself with the means of satisfying the Govt demand at the proper time. The evil is most felt in the wet Talooks, but is felt also in some degree in the Dry and to obviate it I propose a Rist bundy accompanying Statement A.

2 That the present arrangement does not meet the necessities of the occasion will be apparent to the Board from an inspection of Statement B, which exhibits the particulars of the Collections as they were actually made in comparison with the Rist bundy in July 1803

3 I consider the introduction of the proposed Rist bundy to be of great importance and that I may receive sanction to act upon it as soon as it can with propriety be established, I am truly desirous

Tyachimpetty
8th October 1813

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) A. S. Anstruther
Collector

To
The Board of Revenue

A

Statement shewing the Rist bundy now in force and the proposed one for both the wet and Dry Villages of the Tyachimpetty District

	Percentage of the Rist bundy now in Force		Percentage of the proposed Rist bundy for both the wet and Dry Villages
	In wet Villages	In dry Villages	
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
October	" " "	12 8 "	" " "
November	15 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
December	15 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
January	15 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
February	15 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
March	15 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
April	15 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
May	10 " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
June	" " "	" " "	12 8 "
	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "

Tyachimpetty
13th November 1813

E. E. Excepted
(Signed) A. S. Anstruther
Collector

Statement A

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

B

Statement shewing the average collection of Rents in the District of Trichinopoly

Fasly	Amount of Bariz	Amount of Collection before Rents is per Centage due.						
	Rupees	a	p	Rupees	a	p		
1250	140,871	7	2	115,428	12	7	7	13 9
1251	143,687	8	"	90,884	14	6	6	5 1
1252	134,045	5	11	91,204	9	1	6	12 3
Total	4,25,603	5	1	2,97,518	4	2	"	" "
Average	141,853	1	8	99,172	12	4	6	15 10

Trichinopoly
13th November 1843

E. E. Jr. / Signed A. P. Anstey
Collector.

34 - 35

Order thereon.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

36

From A. P. Anstey Esqr
Collr of Trichinopoly

Sir

With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No 1853 of 1843 forwarding to contain the complaints of Ramly Pedachy and Rama Pandia chy &c. to state that the petitioners

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

petition bears no signature. I have the honor therefore to return it.

Trichinopoly
Collrs Cutcherry
17th November 1843

/ Signed A. P. Anstey
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To
The Collector of
Trichinopoly

36 - 37

To the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

With reference to your letter under date the 17th ultimo returning Petition No 1853 of 1843 as bearing no signature, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to ascertain whether the Petition was sent by the parties by whom it purports to have been forwarded, on which case the Board would regard the omission to sign it as of little importance as the Petitioners names are entered at full length at the commencement of the Petition and would wish you to direct on it such notice as the case may seem to merit as requested in the Endorsement &c.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 2 December 1843

/ Signed E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read

1843

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Read the following letters.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

38

From the Bd. Coll. of Madura — Encl.

Sir,

On a conviction of illegal exaction of Rupees 12-4-2 by the Ambalagar and Sheriff of Pulliamathum in Pallamathum of Ramnad, the Manager recommended recovery of double the amount Viz. 25-12-4 and repayment from it of the exaction to the parties. But in consideration of the Estate being under the Court of Wards and in reference to their letters regarding restoration of 2 Curnums in the same Estate I have hesitation to authorize such a proceeding without the Board's permission.

2. My opinion is that it is desirable and legal to exercise the powers of Regulation IX of 1822 in a Zemindary under Wardship and equally that the dismission of Curnums in such an Estate rests with the Collector and not with the Courts. My opinion rests on my view of the general tenor and object of the Regulations explained by the terms of the Proceedings of the Englosed

Ordered

From Board 7th September 1843
28th September 1843

1814

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Boards Proceedings 31st May 1839 paras 1817

Madurai under date the 18th October 1814 communicated by you.

3. I am very sorry that those proceedings having escaped my recollection when I addressed you on the subject of the restoration of the 2 Curnums in Ramnad, and brought to your notice the many dimensions in Shiva-ganga, could not then be quoted, and hope it may be sufficient excuse for troubling the Board to reconsider the question.

To the Board 16th September 1843
To the Board 25th Septr. 1843
From the Board 12th Octr. 1843.

Madurai
The 20th November 1843

Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Ordered to be brought

Order thereon —

38-39

forward at a future meeting

To
C. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

40

From the Pr. Coll. of Madura — Encl.

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 25th Ultimo requiring to sanction ten days Extra Batta allowance to the late Collector whilst late in charge of the Collectorate during residence at Madura, and to sanction it for other ten days whilst hesitating to leave it for other Station at Madura the Collector in charge unless he could show his presence was necessary there and there was no accommodation

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

accommodation for his servants in the Principal Collectors Cutcherry, I have the honor to forward his original answer. It does not seem to me to meet very distinctly the points mooted, but as he seems to feel aggrieved at having been twice put in charge of the Collectorate without remuneration, perhaps the Most Noble the Governor in Council might be inclined to give his case a special consideration and award him some certain allowance in consideration that during the same time he was not relieved from charge of the Sub Division, and that the Duty of preparing the settlement report has devolved upon him in the the Board's permission.

The Sub to Principal Coll.r 19th Nov.r 1843

1st Term 9th April to 3rd May
2nd Term 28th June to 26th July

4th August 1843

Madura —
Cottampatty —
22nd November 1843

I have &c.
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

No. 1

To
The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your memorandum of the 11th instant on the subject of the Extra Tent allowance drawn by me whilst at Madura and beg to state for the

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

the consideration of the Board of Revenue that I was twice called from my division to take charge of the District without any Extra allowance on that account, that had I remained in my Division Extra tent allowance would have been drawn as it was during the Summary time. That no one was appointed to act for me as Sub Collector that my presence was actually necessary at Madura for the distribution of Cottahs which could not be done at the Public Cutcherry which my tents were pitched about a mile and there the Ryots were assembled. Under the above circumstances I trust the Board of Revenue will be pleased to sanction the charge of Extra Tent allowance for 20 days during which I was at different periods holding my Office at Madura.

Dindigul —
Sub Coll.rs Cutchery
19th November 1843

I have &c.
(Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Order thereon

Read again
In Cons. 11th Jan. 1844

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

40. 41

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 8th and entered in Consultation 20th Ultimo and another from the Collector of Sea Customs dated 20th and entered in Consultation 26th October last.

Ordered that the above mentioned letters be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

42

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract.

In forwarding with the papers noted the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th Sept. last letter from the Collector of Sea Customs & Tinnevelly regarding the present Tariff Value and selling prices of Cotton in that District and at the Presidency expressing concurrence in the proposal of the Collector of Sea Customs that no change should be made in the Tariff at present but that the consideration of the question should be deferred to the 15th June next.

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th September last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letters from the Collectors of Sea Customs and Tinnevelly regarding the present Tariff value and selling prices of Cotton in that District and at the Presidency.

2. The Collector of Tinnevelly states that the Tariff Value of Cotton of that District is 70 Rupees and the Current price about 80 Rupees per Candy.

3. At Madras the Contract prices paid by

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

by the Principal Exporters for Tinnevelly Cotton during the past season is stated to have been from 63 to 63½ Rupees per Candy the Collector of Sea Customs is informed that the present selling price is 80 Rupees per Candy and the season of Export being now closed the Board beg leave to express their concurrence in the proposal that no change should be made in the Tariff at present but that the consideration of the question should be deferred to the 15th June next.

It would be satisfactory to the Most Noble the Governor in Council to be informed that a very marked improvement has taken place and that further improvement may be looked for in the quality of the Cotton. The Tariff value of the Cotton is Rupees 45 and the selling price Rupees 77 per Candy.

Signed E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 4th December 1843
From the Collector of Tinnevelly
dated 8th and entered in Consultation 20th Ultimo.

43

Statement of Extra Establishment allowances drawn by the late Collector himself and his Subordinates during July 1842.

ORDERED.

Ordered

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply and a Copy of the same be transmitted to the Civil Auditor-

(43-44)

To the Collr of Tinnevelly

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of Extra tent allowance submitted by you for July 1252 and to convey sanction for the amount drawn by the late Collector yourself the Sub Collector and Assistants for the number of days noted in the margin. But the Board cannot pass the Sub Collector's Extra tent allowance for 93 days during which he resided at Tinnevelly and cannot allow without explanation as to the necessity of his residence there for so long a period.

	No. of days
Sir H. Montgomery late Collr	29
Mr E.B. Thomas Collr ———	145
Mr C. Nottingham 3d Collr	365
Deduct days passed at Tinnevelly ———	93
Remainder sanctioned	72
Mr J.R. Pringle Asst. ———	118
Deduct days passed at Tinnevelly in excess of 60 days	8
Remainder sanctioned	110
Mr E. J.R. Jane Assistant ———	53
Mr H. Deverge 2d Asst. ———	20

2 The Extra tent allowance of the Head Assistant Mr Pringle for 8 days of the period (60) during which he resided there is also disallowed for the reasons stated in the Board's Proceedings of the 13th October 1842.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 4th December 1843

(Signed) E. Lowell
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Read the following letter

To The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(45)

From W.N. Blair Esqre Principal Collr of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to submit to be laid before the Board of Revenue translation of a Plaint brought against myself and others, by one Bhudraya Bullal, and three others, in the Zillah Court of Mangalore.—

The circumstances detailed in the Plaint, and in the accompanying draft of an answer, will sufficiently explain the nature of the case, and should the Board approve of the answer proposed to be filed to the plaint I consequest that sanction may be obtained for conducting the defence of the suit at the publick expense.—

(Signed) H.N. Blair
Principal Collector

Translation

Forwards draft of an answer proposed to be filed to the suit No. 163 of 1843 with the papers connected therewith, and requests sanction may be obtained for conducting the defence at the publick expense

No. 1

No. 2

Principal Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit Sirsy 14th Novr 1843.

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

No. 1

Translation of Suit No. 163 of 1843 on the File of Zillah Court dated 31st March 1843.

Plaintiff Pauperis 1st Bhudraya Bullal, son of Nemoo 3rd wife of Vordoy &c Bullal his brothers 2 Munjuppa 3 Durmuppa and 4 Bhojuppa, all residents of the Punjimogur Village Koolloor in Mogany in the Mangalore Talook.

Defts. 1 Suntuppa alias Munjuppa &c Son of Chinno 5th wife of Vordoy Bullal formerly resident of the Punjimogur village presently in the Town of Mangalore 2nd his mother Chinnoo also in the Town of Mangalore, 3rd &c Chindaya Hegaday residing in the Mullarmady Village, Pujeerudka Mogany in the Buntwal Talook &c 4th Mr. Blair Principal Collector of this Zillah 5th Sooraya Shanbogue of the Koolloor Mogany &c. the Mangalore Talook. 6th Appaliga Potail of the Punjimogur village &c. the

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

the said Mogany &c. &c. 7th Devuppa Shetty Potail of the Murkud village of the said Mogany 8th Potail Chekinya Shetty of the Koonjutbyle Village of the the said Mogany 9th Ramalwa residing at Cavoor in the above Mogany 10th Sunkappa Naik residing in the Artivadel Village in the above Mogany 11th Naniya Charla inhabitant of the said Village 12th Munjunna Roy residing in the above said Koonjubyle Village; 13th Sudaday Devaroy of the same Village; 14th Bidina Narna Bhutta of the Cavoor Village; 15th Buggaliya residing in the Puddookoddy Village; 16th Poonnagoo Devunna residing in the Puddookoddy village; 16th Poonnagoo Devunna residing in the Murkud Village; 17th Moopunninja Jarao &c. Shetty residing in the Cavoor Village of the aforesaid Mogany Independent of other claims against the defts from 1st to 17th with the exception of the 4th &c. &c. Sue all the defendants as follows.—

Our Father Vordoy Bullal also father to the 1st deft and husband of the 2nd deft inherited considerable property

and

and enjoyed the same according to "Mucla Santan" rule.- The said Vordoy Kulal while alive executed a Mokkearnamah on the 3rd Shood Cheitra of Virody corresponding with 1829: to the effect that the property after him should be enjoyed by ourselves, our Mother and the 1st and 2nd defts: and we accordingly enjoyed the property in copar-cenery till the year Kewulumby when our father demised on the 4th Shood Mar-guesha of the same year.- The 1st Plain-tiff though absent at the time, being rightfully entitled to succeed to our fa-ther in the management of the pro-perty (the remaining sharers living under him) himself performed the funeral ceremonies of the deceased. But the 1st and 2nd defts however combin-ed with the defts, from 5th to 14th and got the 1st defts installed to the Bulabship. On the 1st Plaintiff remonstrating with them and insisting upon complaining the 1st and 2nd and from 5th to 14th defts pa-cified him saying that as the alone instal-lation was illegal they would instal him-self (the 1st Plaintiff) to the Cutkah and the 1st Plaintiff accordingly managed and en-joyed the property.- About 1½ Month subsequent to the demise of our father the 3rd defts under the

the instigation or secret advice from the 5th to 14th defts and others, laid an unfounded Magisterial complaint against those very defts. and got over to his interest the Tahsil-dar Sreenevasrow, who reported in his fa-vour out of partiality and having obtained an order from the Assistant Collector Mr. young dispossessed us of the moveable and immoveable property in our enjoy-ment quite in opposition to the purport of the order itself, and made it over to the 3rd defts on the 17th May 1838.- The 3rd defts having thus usurped the poke[illegible] the 1st Plaintiff addressed Arzees to the Revenue authorities which were referred to the Tahsildar for inquiry, but were never enquired into, thus suffering the 3rd defts to continue in the usurped pos-session of the whole property; ourselves and family having obtained no redress against the unauthorised dispossession.- The 1st Plaintiff again petitioned the 4th defts but obtaining no decisive order, addressed a petition to the Board.- On receiving the order of the Board upon that petition, the 4th defts cancelled the order of the Head Assistant Collector respecting the

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

the property of the Reed, and issued 3 orders to the Tahsildar directing that the property should be recovered from the 3rd defts. and restored to the person who had been dispossessed of it. Though according to these orders the property should have been made over to the 1st Plaintiff. who was dispossessed of it, it was not so restored to him, and the 4th deft. having subsequently ordered it to be made over to the 1st deft. the 1st Plaintiff presented a petition to the Court wishing to appeal against this order, when the proceedings in the Revenue Department were sent for and perused and orders given to file a regular suit. - We the 4th & 5th male Children of our father and the 1st deft are entitled to equal shares out of the property of which we have been dispossessed by orders from the 4th deft. As the 4th deft. has retained under his management the 1st and 2nd lands and some property and has let the 3rd land and some property remain in the possession of the 3rd defts, without causing him to produce all the property in question: and thus the whole

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

whole property produce 0 ca being in the possession of the 3rd and 4th defts, and further as the defts from 5th to 17th with the 1st and 2nd defts. opposed the 1st Plaintiff's right to the Puttah to which he was entitled, we have brought this action against all the defts. - We issue in the grand Reed at Kodoor as strangers, and as the 4th deft not having transferred it to us from the possession of the 3rd deft. we have not obtained our legal right to the same, we sue for it, also, and excluding a fifth share due to the 1st and 2nd defts, we claim from the defts the remaining property consisting of four fifths, the Bulalship of the Reed and the Puttah as undermentioned. -

1st A land producing Rupees 592-3-20 and paying a Berij of Rupees 224-1-40 per annum registered in the name of Kumaraya Hegaday of the Punjimegar Village in the Kodoor Magany. -

2nd A land yielding 65-2-60 annually and assessed at Rupees 35-1-60 registered in the name of Vardoy Bulal of the said Village. 3rd A land of 8 33-4-1 Berij registered in the name of Vardoy Bulal situated

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Situated in the Ruddobhody Village of the said Magany. a portion of which was sold out by Pardoy Bulal himself, the remaining portion producing 152-3-70 and paying an assessment of 82-"-70. Lolat 3 lands of Rupees 341-3-70. Beriz producing Rupees 811-1-50. of which a fifth share being deducted the remainder of lands, gardens, jungles &ca of Rupees 273-2-16 of assessment and producing 649-"-40.-

4th Dodda keedina House, Cow pan, ant houses, Bhoot Chowdy standing on the 1st land, and valued at 2300 Rupees and Summa keedina house, Cow houses, ant houses, Bhootchowdy situated in the 3rd land and valued at Rupees 450 which deducting one on fifth, leaves the remainder of houses, Cowpans - ant houses, Bhootchowdy &ca valued at 2200 Rupees as our share -

5th Capsund standing lamp of six Seers, value Rupees 3-"-"

Small Do. of 4 seers value Rupees 2-"-"

Wooden lamp with Capsund burner of 3 Seers valued at Rupees 1-2-"

Capsund "kuttoo" of 1½ seers for Saidal, value "-3-"

Capsund pot of 3 seers, value Rupees 1-2-"-

Capsund

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Capsund "semigney" of 2 seers value 1 Rupees.

Capsund hand lamp of 1½ seers value "-3-"

Capsund Bell of 1½ seers value "-3-"

Capsund spitting pot of 1½ seer value Rupees "-3-"

4 Capsund plates of 7 Seers value Rupees 3-2-"

Capsund Lime tarty of 1 seer value "-2-"

Capsund Tobacco box of 1 seer value Rupees "-2-"

Brass pot of 2 seers value Rupee 1-"-"

Brass flat plate of 1½ seer value "-3-"

2 Copper pots of 12 seers value Rupees 6-"-"

Copper boal of 3 seers value a Rupees 1-2-"

Copper Mug of 3 seers value Rupees 1-"-"

Capsund Chamber pot of 2 seers value Rupee 1-"-"

Copper pot of 3 seers value Rupees 1-2-"

Copper Caldron of 35 seers Cs value Rupees 17-2-"

Seers 9th Cs

Copper Caldron of 46-2-4 seers value Rupees 23-1-32.-

Glass plate value Rupees "-"-68.-

3 Small and 1 large wooden box value Rupees 4-"-"

Wooden Pestles 16 in Rupees -4-"-" value

Ploughs

11 Ploughs value Rupees 11 - 2 - 7.5

7 Yokes of 1½ Rupees

2 Iron mamotties of 1 - 11 - 25 value -

Iron hatchet of 1 Rupee value -

18 Buffaloes value 108 @ 6 per Buffaloe -

3 She Buffaloes valued at Rupees 24 at 8 per each, and a young Buffaloe valued at Rupees 2 - 11 - 11

7 Cows valued at 28 Rupees @ 4 per each, with a calf of 1 Rupee value

4 Bullocks small valued at Rupees 8 @ 2 per each. -

75 Gira Moodies valued at Rupees 131 - 1 - 11 @ 1 - 3 - 11 per Moodies.

2 Moodies of boiled Rice, value 3 Rupees. -

4 Moodies of Kolke Rice value 10½ Rupees. -

Moodies

52 Moodies of Kar tee seed value 52 Rupees. -

23 Moodies of Coogee seed, value Rupees 23 - 11 - 11

19 Moodies of Pulla seed, value Rupees 19 - 11 - 11 -

2 Moodies of Kolkay seed value Rupees 2 - 11 - 11.

3 Moodies of Coolly seed value Rupees 4 - 11 - 11 -

A Barn filled with Paddy of Muscaty rice containing about 4 Corges of white rice paddy value Rupees 224 @ 14 Hoons per Coarge. -

A small ditto containing 31 Culasies of Coogy paddy value Rupees 15 - 2 - 11 Total value of moveable property is Rupees 741 - 2 - 11 of which deducting a fifth share as above, leaves four fifths which we claim amounting to Rupees 593 - 9 - 11 - 80 reas in Kind to that amount in value together with the produce of the three lands in question amounting

to

1831 Fort St. George 4th December 1843-

to Rupees 1502-1-96 @ 375-2-24 due to
us after deducting the Sirkar demand
for 4 years from 1839 to 1842 and a
fifth share as above, as also the
golden ring valued at Rupees 20
the sword value Rupees 20 and a
swing with iron chains, belonging
to the Puttah, and also the installation
of the 1st plaintiff to the Puttah
of Bulah of Kooloor "Beeda" and the
registry of the wurgs in his (1st
plaintiff's) name.- Unable from
poverty to file the plaint on stamp
paper as usual, we have
brought this suit under Regu-
-lation 7 of 1818, and pray that
the defts may be sent
for and examined
and an award
passed in our favour

Following

1832- Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Following are the boundaries
of the land in question.-

The 1st land registered in the
name of Kurmaitaya Begudary and the
2nd land registered in the name of Vondoy
Bulal are bounded on the East by the Wurg
of Seaman Bhundary apalwa by the land
of Madada Koty by the Wurg of Guniga
Sunkora and by the Wurg of the Barber Dooma
and by the Goodde niroo netty / the fall of water
from the top of hill / in the Wurg of Guddde
Badal on the South by the hill belonging
to the Wurg of Sunkoo Bhundary Soobatta
by the "Goodda Niroo netty" in the Ramaya
Shanbogues Wurg by the Wall of Vollachil
of Surulla Narrainas land and by the
land of the Wurg belonging to Woolar
Hummuday Baba, on the west by the
wall of Vollachil belonging to the Wurg
of Sooba Shetty, by the wall of the Vollachil
belonging to the Wurg of Rayee Narraina
by the Wurg of Moordy Venkatesha Bhatt,
by the Wurg of Vally Hidina Beary by
the Wurg of Hurnbeary by the Wurg of
Moondal and by the Wurg registered in
the name of Anadka Pillyan Vondoy
Bulal and on the North by the rivulet

running

1833- Fort St. George 4th December 1833

running thro middle of Diddos fidle/
The hill attached to this land is bounded
on the East by the kumbla/large field
enjoyed by the tens/Villagars/on the south
by the "Goodde Nerro netty" in the Wurg
Saleh Prubhoo and the hill attached to the
Wurg belonging to "Mahoo Doora" on the
west/ by the mallachil attached to the
land purchased by Kristapoy and the
"Sogikul" Stone, and on the North by the
hill attached to the Wurg of Urmiya
Cumply by the hill of the Vallachil in
Venkupala Wurg. The 3rd land registered
in Vorday Bullals name in No. 3 of the
Chitta is bounded on the East by the
field known by the name of Edumbul
belonging to Narnapa, by the compound
of the said Narnapa, by the houses of
Moger Kettoo Pombada Koonya moger
Timma and Moger Purmeshey by the
garden of the said Narnapa by the
Mogera field of the Wurg belonging to
kuntinna Dooma Soma, and by the
Mogra field enjoyed by Moilar Hamuday
Biba on the South by the banks of
Mogra field of Soma, by the continued wall

1834- Fort St. George 4th December 1843

wall of the Cow-pen in front of his house,
by the bank of Doogun pully field of
the share of Kodsura Cosharer of Arafs
Munjoo, by the Northern wall of Kundnda
Ketoo's house and the wall on the banks
of the Kolskey field belonging to Coelho
Coelho, on the west by the river, and on
the North it extends from the river as
far as the ferry place.

/Signed/ Bidina Bhudraya

" Bullal

" Manyapa

" Dhurmappa J.

" Bheyappa

(True Translation)

/Signed/ H. M. Blair

Princl Collector

No 2

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed
to the suit No. 163 of 1843 on the File
of the Zillah Court of Canara.

The Plaintiffs bring this
action for the recovery of their respective
shares out of the ancestral property
left by Vardaya Bullal their deceased
father, of which the Paducoody land

Bud

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Bud (Mansion house) and the moveable property were as they assert unjustly made over to the 3d defendant, and that the Vurgs Nos. 22 & 23 belonging to the Coolor Bud having been offered to the 1st Defendant Santapa, alias Munjapah, are retained under the Circar manage-ment.

The circumstance under which this suit originated are as follows.-

Varday Bullal who was in actual enjoyment of the real and personal property in question died, while the 1st Plaintiff was absent, and according to the prevailing Custom of the caste which directs the immediate appointment of a successor to the Bullalship before the body of the deceased Bullah is removed, the installation of the 1st defendant who was then on the spot took place. Upon this Chendaya Hegade the 3d defendant advanced his claim to the Estate of the deceased, and after an imperfect inquiry into his claim, the Assistant Collector passed a decision in favor of the said Hegade, which in carrying into execution, the

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

the Tahsildar greatly exceeded his au-thority by making over to the Claimant all the real and personal property which the decision of Assistant Collector did not direct. Against this decision an appeal was perferred before the 4th De-fendant by the 1st Defendant complaining of the injustice to which he was subject-ed by the Assistant Collector's proceedings. The record having been sent for and the proceedings attentively examined the irregularity of the decision, and the still greater impropriety with which it had been carried into execution by the Tahsildar appeared manifest. It was therefore considered necessary to revise the said decision and to restore the estate to the possession of the party who was actually in its enjoyment at the time when the Assistant Collector's order was passed. Santapa the 1st defendant having been with his father at the time of his death was considered entitled to hold possession of the Vurgs Nos. 22, and 23, in the Coolor Village; but he having refused to take charge

of

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

of them they were as a measure of security placed under the charge of the Revenue Authorities. The 3d defendant holds possession as he did before the death of Vurda Pullal of the Padukode Land as well as of the moveable property made over to him in the execution of the aforesaid order, and which the 1st Defendant subsequently declined to receive.

The 4th Defendant is prepared to make over the two Durgas now under the Circar charge to whomsoever the 1st Defendant whenever he expresses his willingness to receive them, or to any other party to whom they may be decreed by the Court, and as to the personal property, the 3d Defendant is responsible for whatever was received by him, and for which he passed a receipt.

The 4th Defendant considers it unnecessary to reply to other points in the Plaint in which he is not concerned.

The final order issued in

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

in this case which gave rise to the present suit was passed under the provision of Regulation V of 1822 and if any of the parties considered themselves aggrieved by the said order, the proper course would have been to have appealed against it to the Civil Court, or to have

1839- Fort St. George 4th December 1843

have instituted a regular Suit against the party wrongly in possession of the property disputed - The 4th Defendant contends therefore that the Plaintiffs have no sufficient grounds for bringing this Suit against him -

[Signed] H. M. Blair

Principal Collector -

(45 -46)

Order thereon, Ordered to be sent again in circulation -

(Read again 18th Dec.)

To

1840 Fort St. George 4th December 1843-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(47)

From H. M. Blair Esqr. Pl. Collr. of Canara - } Sir,

Submitting draft of an answer proposed to the filed to the appeal suit No. 34 of 1842 with the paper connected therewith and requesting sanction may be obtained for Conducting the defence at the public expense -

I have the honor to forward, to be submitted to the Board of Revenue - the accompanying papers, connected with an Appeal Suit No. 34 of 1842 - on the File of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Western Division. -

1 Decree by the Zillah Court -
2. Translation of the Appeal Petition
3 Draft of Answer -

The circumstances under which

2

the suit was instituted in its original form, were brought to the notice of the Board, at the time when Sanction was solicited for defending it in the Zillah Court - The arguments now advanced by Appellant in refutation of the Government right to the Vung in question, as a Sircar Guani, are considered perfectly untenable, and should the Board approve of the draft of the answer herewith transmitted, I request that the necessary sanction may be obtained for conducting the defence of the suit at the public expense -

Principal Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit Sirsy -----
16th November 1843 -----

[Signed] H. M. Blair
Pl. Collector
Zillah

18841 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

No. 1.

Zillah Court of Canara Original Suit No. 337 of 1840.

Dejo the 2d Wife of the Goldsmith Sunna Subbaya residing at Moodbidray Cusba in the Puntwal Taluk by Vakeel Ramapa and on his domiciliated Vakeel Pedro Vas.—	Versus	1 Mr. Blair the Principal Collector of the Zillah 2nd Dodaya son of the Plffs husbands 1st Wife residing at the said Moodbidray 3 Vadiya Achary, son of the late Gowda Gethea Achary, 4 Pannaa 2d Wife of the said Gethea Achary, 5 Seevappa Achary son of the said Gethea Achary's Younger brother Nelaya Achary. 6 His brother Bodra Achary— 7. the said Nelaya Achary's 2d Wife Balama— 8 Rayya Achary son of Nagappa Achary resident of the said Moodbidray Cusba— 9 Oonka Achary son of the Sunna Achary of the said Cusba, and 10 Dasha Seroyar of Kulpaddy Village

18842 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

	Village in the said Moodbidray, The 1st and 10th Defendants By Vakeel Lingappa.—

The Plaintiff stated in her Plaint that her husband Soobayachary enjoyed two patrimonial lands one called Beddrookkray-karikay Sunkra Gothoo registered in the name of Ragayacharry paying a Beriz of Hoons 16-13-12- and the other registered in the name of Hoovunna Nanna of Hoons 3 Beriz and situated at Moodbidray and on the 9th Chaitra Shood of Crodhunna her husband executed and

1805

Illadarwar Mortgage deed for the said lands to Aonjachary Ancestor of the Defendants from 3rd to 7th for Hoons 150 and the said Aonjachary accordingly possessed the lands, and upon his demise, they were enjoyed by the 3d and 4th Defendants Ancestor Juttiacharry- when the 5th 6th and 7th Defendants Ancestor Neelyachary obtained a share from the said Juttia-chary and enjoyed the same- That her husband having been taken ill with a disease, made a Will in her favor in presence of the respectable men of their Caste allotting to her the aforesaid land of 3 Hoons Beriz and also a portion of the other land, the whole amounting to 1/3d of both lands and transferring

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

transferring to her the right to redeem the Mortgage thereof; and declaring that the 2nd Defendant, son of the Plaintiffs' husband by his first wife, should have no right to this share, a few days after doing so her husband died and she went to reside in her parents house. That at that time the Defendants from 2nd to 10th having collected together, the 9th and Juttiachary presented a Petition to the late Principal Collector, stating that the aforesaid land of 3 hoons Beriz was held on a lease from the Circar and praying that a Durkast / Puttah / might be granted to them in their names for the said land; and accordingly they obtained a puttah and nominally rented the land to the 10th Defendant on Moolgueny tenure. By the produce however of her share of land which has been in possession of the Defendants from the 3rd to the 7th the Mortgage money having been liquidated, she had demanded from them her share of the lands and also the surplus of the produce, but they had put her off by promises and now object on the grounds that the land has been given to them by the Circar by a Durkast puttah. That if the land had been held on a lease from the Circar, the 3rd and 4th Defendants Ancestor would not have obtained it on mortgage nor would the Ancestor

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

of the 5th 6th and 7th Defendants have obtained a share of the land on the ground of the said Mortgage. That the Principal Collector had no reason to accept the Durkast without her Concurrence and to consider as Circar Gueny a land which her husband and his ancestors had successively enjoyed without interruption paying the Circar Revenue thereon. As the lands which were Mortgaged by her husband are enjoyed by the Defendants from 2nd to 10th and the 1st Defendants is the successor of the late Principal Collector who confirmed the said durkast and as the Durkast must be cancelled and the land made over to her, and as she is also entitled to 1/3rd of a Garden and house of hoons — — — 5 and Beriz which was enjoyed by her husband at Moodbidray on Moolgueny tenure, she brought this suit against all the 10 Defendants and claimed from them as follows. — "Of the whole landed Estate of "Hoons 19-3-12 Beriz producing in Cash and "rice Rupees 151-1-¼ I am entitled according to "the aforesaid Will to one third share producing "Rupees 50-1-66¾ from which after deducting "Rupees 25-3-33¼ the Circar Revenue thereon "and Rupees 24 interest on Hoons 50 being "my share of the mortgage amount there "remains an excess of Rupees — 2-33¼ per Annum "By the net produce at this rate from the date

18845 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

"date of the mortgage deed to the time present
"and also by its interest my share of the Mortgage
"money having been liquidated, my third share
"Consisting of the whole land registered in
"the name of Hoonumma Karna of Rupees
"12 Beriz and a portion of the whole land ex
"paying a Beriz of Rupees 13-3-33¼ and one ex
"third share producing " 1—33¼ of the aforesaid
"Garden producing one Rupee, and one third
"share of the house, valued at two Rupees,
"are due to me which I pray the Defendants
"may be caused to relinquish to me". —.

The 1st Defendant answered as follows.—

1st The will on which Plaintiff grounds
her claim is dated so far back as the year
of Partiva, 16 years before her present suit
was preferred, and it is to be observed
that she does not appear to have any
where alluded to the said Will on several
occasions on which disputes have occurred
regarding the property in question. —.

2. With respect to the share in the
Estate bearing an assessment of 16-3-12-and
of the Moolgueny garden claimed by Plaintiff,
the Principal Collector thinks it unnecessary
for him to make any Reply as whatever may
be the justness of her claim he has no concern
in it. —.

3. The Plaintiff states that the ving ex
called Hoonumma Karna bearing an assessment of 3
Pagodas,

18846 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.— 1843

Pagodas formed part of her ancestral property
assessed at 16 Pagodas and odd, but the very
circumstances of its being separately assessed
goes to prove that the ving of 3 Pagodas here
was never a portion of the above said ancestral
Estate. The fact is that neither the Plaintiff
her husband nor their ancestors ever had
any title to the land forming the Estate ex
called Hoonima Karna, which became escheat
to the Circar, and the authority of renting it
to any person rests with the Collector. —.

The Original Moolgar or proprietor
of the above Estate was a Pluit called Hoonima
Karna who having died without any heirs the
Moolg right of the Estate lapsed to the
Circar during the former Government, and was rented,
and the assessment collected on it as a Gueny
Ving and as such it has accordingly been ex
entered in the Revenue accounts since the
Company's acquisition of the Country. The ving
has since been rented by the Circar to Dodda
Cristna and several others who have ex
punctually paid the Government assessment
In fasly 1231/1821/22 Onia Achary, the 9th
Defendant in this suit made a request that
the proprietary right of the aforesaid Gueny
Estate should be conferred on him - although a
Moolfuttah was not issued according to
this

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

this application yet the vurg was entered in his / the then Cultivators / name and the ground cultivated and the assessment thereon paid by the said Aovia Achary. In the year 1824 the 9th Defendant borrowed 37 Pagodas from the 10th Defendant Dhacaya in consideration of which the vurg was rented to him on Mool=
=guenyer permanent tenure. Dhacaya ~~on~~ began accordingly to Cultivate the vurg when one Jathy Achary father of the 3d Defendant and the 9th Defendant being both brothers or near relatives, with the view to defraud the said Dhacaya, out of this property, passed a ~~on~~ Razee and Caboolnamahs, and had the registry of the vurg altered in the name of the 3d Defendants father. They then lodged a Complaint against some tenants connected with the land of this as well as another Estate, the result of an Examination into which shewed, that these ~~or~~ disputes were stirred up in order to create a pretended Claim to the Sirkar Gueny / Government Estate / on which account Mr. Dickinson, in order to protect the 10th Defendant, who had made great improvements in the Estate from any fraud or loss, issued an order in October 1239 / 1829 / directing that if he were willing to pay the Sirkar demand direct the Registry of the vurg should be altered in his name, and on his agreeing to pay the assessment ~~on~~ himself the vurg was entered in his name accordingly. The accounts shew that there ~~was~~ an individual / 10th Defendant / since that time has enjoyed the vurg and paid the Peiz fixed on it. The vurg still Continues as a Sirkar Gueny Estate in the Revenue accounts, and its proprietary right which belongs

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

belongs to the Sirkar was never transferred to any individual by granting a regular Moolpattah as is customary on such occasion.

5. Formerly an action was brought (No. 617 of 1835) by Doddaya the 2nd Defendant against Dhacaya the 10th Defendant and 5 others for the recovery of this and other lands. The facts above alluded to with regard to the circumstances of the Gueny Vurg having been represented to the Court by the 10th Defendant in his answer to that Suit, the Court dismissed Plaintiffs claim, as the land being a Sirkar Gueny Vurg, the proprietary right was vested in Government. This Decree was appealed from, but the result was a confirmation of the Original Decree.

6. Doddaya having been cast in his Suit has instigated his Aunt, Plain=
=tiff in this Suit, to bring the present action in another form with the hope of gaining his object. It is requested that a reference may be made by the Court to the Decree and Suit above alluded to, when the false and vexatious nature of the present action will be seen.

7. With regard to other circumstances stated

stated in the plaint, the Principal Collector does not consider himself called upon to answer them:-

8. Under these circumstances the Principal Collecter denies that the Plaintiff has any just claim on the Gueny Vurg which belongs to the Sirkar alone.-

The 10th Defendant answered that Wovinna Narna Vurg belonged to the Sirkar, and therefore Plaintiff's husband had no right to bequeath it to her.- That it had been decreed by Appeal Suit 251 of 1838 that the Vurg belonged to the Sirkar - that till Fusly 1220 many persons had cultivated the Vurg under the Sirkar - in that Fusly, the 9th Defendant obtained it from the Collector, and on 5th Fusly 1824 gave it to him (10th Defendant) on Moolgueny. In consequence of a dispute the Collector Mr. Dickinson on the 17th October Fusly 1239 ordered the Vurg to be entered in his name; which was done.-

The Plaintiff in his reply stated that Defendants from 2nd to 9th by not giving in answers did not contest the Suit and that the 1st and 10th Defendants had urged

urged no objection with regard to her claim on the land registered in the name of Rachay Achary - That the 1st and 10th Defendants answers regarding the Woovunna Narna were not correct because 70 years back the said Woovunna Narna received from Plaintiff's father in law Deva Achary 23 Hoons and made over the said land to him and executed a deed under date the 5th Vaishak Shood of Tharanna under a stipulation that if he failed to redeem the land within 3 years i.e. on or before Survajit his right should be forfeited and Plaintiff's father in law established in perpetuity - That the proprietor having failed to redeem, the Plaintiff's father in law enjoyed till Rakshasa 1795 paying the Sirkar Revenue thereon, and on the 5th Palgoona Shood of that year the land with the said deed was obtained on Mortgage from him by the Mogany Shanbhogue Sunnakrishnaya who possessed it till the year Ruthakska 1804 on the 9th Chaitra shood of Crodhana 1805 the Plaintiff's husband redeemed the Mortgage and mortgaged both lands

to

to Conjachary the elder brother of the 9th Defendant and ancestor of the Defendants from 3d to 7th for 150 Pagoras with the knowledge of the Circar, and made over to him the former documents of the land. that Conjachary and his family enjoyed on the right of the mortgage and never rented the land in question from the Circar that the mortgage was established by the Decree in Appeal No. 146 of 1820, as well as from the admission of Jattagachary in the Pleadings in that Suit as Appears by the Decree, and also from the Document filed in that Original Suit. That the Circar had no therefore no right to rent the land wherein the right of the proprietor Poonuma Nand had been transferred to the Plaintiffs' Ancestor. that if the land had been rented from the Circar, the Tahsildar would not have admitted the said mortgage deed and attested it with his signature, and entered it in his Duftar book, and confirmed the same. that were it true that the land is Circar Gueny. the 1st Defendant could not have admitted the Moolgueny tenure on which the 9th alleged

alleged he had leased the land to the 10th Defendant. The 9th Defendant who possessed the land had no right to lease it on Moolgueny tenure. Since this tenure is admitted by the 1st Defendants Vakeel who says the land was rented from the Circar it is sufficient to prove that the land was not held on a lease from the Circar. that the late Principal Collector had decided without enquiry that though years have elapsed that does not affect the Plaintiffs' claim because the land remained in possession of the Defendants from 2d to 9th in virtue of the Mortgage deed that the Decree in Suit No. 617 preferred by the 2nd Defendant in this Suit is no bar to the Plaintiff getting the share according to the will left by her husband in her favor, for the Plaintiff was not a party in the said Suit and the 2d Defendant is not her son, nor lives with her, consequently the Statement of the 1st Defendants Vakeel that this Suit has been brought at the instigation of the 2d Defendant is groundless. that the 10th Defendant has made no improvement in the land on the

Contrary.

contrary has wasted it- There is a Cirear document in proof that the land was mort= =gaged by the Plaintiffs husband to Oonja= =chary there is no objection on the part of the Defendants with regard to the lands yeilding more than the amount of in= =terest on the Mortgage-

The 1st Defendant in Rejoinder States nothing new-

The Plaintiff filed-

I The Will of Soobayachary in favor of Plaintiff- II the Mortgage bond of the lands by Plaintiffs husband in Krodhun= =na to Oonjachary Ancestor of Defen= =dants from 5th to 7th III Decree in Ap= =peal Suit 146 of 1820- and stated by her Vakeel in his examination that the Mort= =gage bond of Hoovunna Narna to Plain= =tiffs father in law Devachary executed in the former Turna year and the bond executed in favor of Sunna Kristnappa in Ruckshasa year were redeemed from Krishnuppas relation Vasdeva Shan= =bogue and made over to Oonjachary at the time of his mortgage and are not now consequently in Plaintiffs possession-

The

The 1st Defendants filed IV Doormathy Chitta of Hoovunna Narna Estate V Sura Serie Chit of Fusly 1229 in which the Collections and Jummabundy Accounts till 1249 are entered- VI the order of the late Principal Collector to the Tahsildar of Buntwal Talook to collect the Assessment from 10th Defendant dated 17th October 1239- and requested thro' his Vakeel that the Decrees in Original Suit 617 of 1835 and its Appeal Suit 251 of 1838 might be perused by the Court-

The same Vakeel on the part of the 10th Defendant stated that his documents had been filed in the Original Suit 617 of 1835 above referred to-

The Plaintiff named six Witnesses of whom five 1st Govinda Chitta 2d Mahomed Isoof 3d Gungaye 4th Potail Ismail Saib 5th Budrayachary were examined-

The Court upon a consideration of the evidence remarks first regarding the Will Exhibit I- The 1st and 3d Witnesses depose to the execution of the Will, but they differ on a most material point, the first stating that it was two Months before Soobayas death he executed the Will, the second that it was four days

days, and the third that it was two or three years before his death the third states also that he did not see Soobachary sign the Will It is also to be observed that no mention is made of this Will in any of the proceedings that took place regarding this land subsequent to its alleged execution till the institution of this Suit Had the Woovunna Narna Vurg been bequeathed to the Plaintiff it is not probable she would have allowed the possession and right to that Vurg to be contested and finally made over by the Collector to the 10th Defendant in July 1839 - and when the 2d Defendant sued for both lands in Original Suit 617 of 1835 the Plaintiff remained silent and did not then set up her claim - The alleged Will has nothing to stamp it as genuine it is written upon an unstamped Olay and was not executed in the presence of any Circar Servants Under all these circumstances the Court considers that the alleged Will is not deserving of Credit and that the Plaintiff has therefore failed to prove her alleged

alleged Claim

Secondly with regard to the proprietary right of the Woovunna Narna Vurg. The Plaintiff alleges that her husbands Ancestor acquired it on mortgage from Woovunna Narna the Wargdar and two of her Witnesses state that they have heard it was so were this Statement true it is probable that in the Mortgage bond No II it would have been stated that the Woovunna Narna Vurg was held on mortgage but this is not the case - but this is not the case - The Plaintiff states the old Mortgage bonds received from her father in law Baivachary were made over in Khrothumo to Bongachary; but were that the case, it is probable they would have been mentioned in the mortgage bond which is not the case, nor does the Court find any mention of them in the previous different disputes regarding this land till they are mentioned for the first time in the Plaintiffs reply - In the reply the Plaintiff states that the Woovunna Narna Vurg was mortgaged by Soobaya from

1857 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

from 1795 till 1805 to the mogany Shan-bogue Krishnuppa, but his name does not appear in the Mortgage bond exc-ecuted in favor of Ponjachary amongst those to whom Soobaya had been indebted were it true that a Mortgage to him was paid off on that occasion it is pro-bable that the Amount of that Mortgage would have been entered as being paid out of the money received by Soobaya from Ponjachary. The 1st Defendant has failed to shew upon what authority the Statements were made in Exhibit VI/ order of Mr. Dickinson/ regarding the Hoovunna Narna Vurg up to fusly 1237- and as the Court did not consider that Exhibit IV bore out the 1st Defendants Statement as to that Vurg being Circar Gueny, and it differed from the same document referred to, Exhibit VI, it called on the 1st Defendants Vakeel to know whether he could produce any accounts previous to the date of Exhibit V/fusly 1229/ shewing that the Vurg was Circar Gueny. The 1st Defendants Vakeel

1858 Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Vakeel has accordingly filed some other accounts VII. an account of the year 1800 in which simply Hoovunna Dodaya is entered VIII. That of Doormoothy (Fusly 1211 or 1801) in which year it is not entered and the Collector supposes therefore it was waste that year, IX Account for Door-1802 dalie in which "Gueny Kool banama Doddaya" name X. Roodrakosha (1803) in which it is entered among the "Gueny Kools" as Hoovunna Narna from the year 1802 this Vurg was included under the Circar Gueny Vurgs. It has been often mortgaged as such, and to this circum-stance the Court considers it owing that the Mortgage bond Exhibit II was registered in the Cutcherry of the Bantwal Tahsildar in Krodhana (1805) when certainly for three years previous it had been entered as a Circar Gueny Vurg. In fact in those days it was a great benefit to the Circar to get persons to cultivate the Circar Gueny lands as the evidence therefore of the Plaintiff is so vague as to her father in law having acquired the Hoovunna Narna Vurg on

3-
to
Exhibit

on mortgage from the Moolgar, the Court considers it cannot ~~counterbalance~~ the evidence of the Circar Accounts from the year 1802 proving it to be a Circar Gueny and that the proprietary right therefore belonged to Government, and the Court therefore considers that the Collector had the right to transfer the occupation of it.-

Thirdly the Court considers that the Plaintiff's plaint is not tenable as regards the surplus produce having paid the principal of the Mortgage, because the Plaintiff by her Vakeel states that she does not know the produce of the Varg of 16 and odd Hoons beriz/ she states in her plaint that the whole Varg B. Ps. 19-3-12/ and it is not proved by her Witnesses. The Court considers that to establish her claim as to the Satisfaction of the Mortgage, the Plaintiff is bound to prove that the surplus produce of both lands has amounted to the Principal of the whole sum for which they were mortgaged, and that her claim that the surplus produce of 1/3 of it had amounted to 1/3 of the Capital of the Mortgage is not tenable, as losses might have incurred in the rent or produce of the other two shares which might have made the whole surplus produce

produce of the lands mortgaged not amount to the whole amount of the principal.-

The Court therefore considering that the Plaintiff has failed to prove her claim dismisses her suit and orders that she do pay all Costs.-

	Plaintiffs Costs.	1st Defendants Costs.	2d Defendants costs.	Total Costs.
Stamp duty on institution under Sec. VIII Regn. XVII of 1816	4 " "	" " "	" " "	4 " "
Fees of the Plaintiffs Vakeels Ramappa and Pedro Vas, under Sec XXV Regn. XIV of 1816	2 2 40	" " "	" " "	2 2 40
Fees of the 1st and 2d Defendants Vakeel Lingappa under Do. Do.	" " "	1 1 20	1 1 20	2 2 40
Value of Stamp Paper filed on Exhibits by the 1st Plaintiff	3 " "	" " "	" " "	3 " "
Value of Stamp papers filed on Exhibits by the 1st Defendant	" " "	3 " "	" " "	3 " "
Ditto of Ditto by the Plaintiff and Defendants	19 2 "	7 2 "	2 2 "	29 2 "
Expenses for Serving Processes	4 " 40	" " "	1 20	4 1 60
Total Rupees	33 " 80	11 3 20	4 " 20	49 " 40

Exhibits

1861. Fort St. George 4th December 1843

Exhibits &c. filed in Court.
Plaint, Answers, Reply, and Rejoinder 5 &c
Vakalutnamahs 3; Urzees 6, Exhibits 6, Copies
of the Exhibits 2, Examinations of the Vakeels
for both Parties 2, Deposition of the Witness
es 5, Petitions 2, Miscellaneous Petitions 4
Jemabundy Teegtha, produced by the Govern
ment Vakeel Linguppa 4, Memorandum
of the errors 1, Summons, Notices, orders, &c
to the Nazir 14, Translation of the answer
and Copy of the Revenue Board's Letter 2
Urzees of Potail and Shanbogue 4,
Total 60.-

Given under my hand and the
Seal of the Court at Mangalore, this 30th
day of August A. D. 1842.

[Signed] Findlay Anderson
Judge

The period for appealing against
this Decree will escpire on the 30th Novem:
:ber 1842.

[Signed] and Sealed
this 14th October 1842:
[Signed] Findlay Anderson
Judge.

(True Copy)
[Signed] A. M. Blair
Pl. Coll.

Translation

1862 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

No. 2.

Translation of the appeal petition No. 34
of 1842 presented by Dejoo second wife of
Goldsmith Sunna Soobba Achary, residing
at the Cusba Bazar of Moodbidry in the
Bunkwal Talook on the 29th November 1843.

Dissatisfied with the
decision passed by the Zillah Judge dis=
=missing the suit No. 337 of 1840, instituted
by me for recovery of property yielding
annually Rupees 50—3—0 and houses
value Rupees 2 against the defts 1 Mr. Blair
Principal Collector of Canara, 2 Doddayd,
my husband's son by first wife residents
of Moodbidry - 3 Ooshpachary son of
Juttayachary late gowda inhabitant of
the town of Mangalore - 4 Hooveena
second wife of the said Juttay achary
5 Shivrupachary son of Keluyachary
younger brother to Juttay achary 6 his
younger brother Bhudrayachary 7 Kal=
=umma 2nd wife of the above mentioned
Keluyachary - 8 Rajayachary son of
Kugiup achary residing in the Cusba
Bazar of Moodbidry - 9 Unnaja achary,
son Timmachary inhabitant of the above
Village 10 Dukay Shereguer of Volpudy
Village

Fort St George 4th December 1843

Village in the said Nogany I beg to
appeal as follows—

1st The exhibit A executed
by my husband in my favor for one third
of the ancestral property has been proved
by the evidence of 3 witnesses The slight
discrepancies they may have fallen into
in deposing on mere recollection to facts
which took place years ago should not
bring any suspicion on their evidence,
as I am the only person competent to
state how long before my husbands
death the deed in question was executed,
having the same in my possession
From 2nd to 17th Defts who are responsible
to allow me the share provided in the
above deed as well as the 8th and 9th
defts, not answering the Plaint have
tacitly admitted my Claim, and the
deed thus remaining unquestioned
should have been considered by the Court
as fully proved There was no occasion
to make any mention of this deed in
any transactions which took place be-
tween the defts while I was absent in
my father's house as stated in the original
plaint

Fort St George 4th December 1843

plaint and the arguments that the
deed in question is written on plain
paper and that it was not drawn up in
the presence of public servants militate
against the usage of the Country
Under these circumstances and as the
dispute of the 1st and 10th defts has
reference only to property of Hts 3
Beriz and not to the deed, the Judge is
not justified in discrediting it
The point at issue in
the present suit is only whether the
land paying Hts 3 Beriz is Sirkar
Gueny Wurg or our ancestral property
It is stated in the docu-
ment No 3, the decree No 146, that the Court
is satisfied that the above land with the
Estate of Hts 19 3 12 Beriz has been in the
undisturbed occupancy of my ancestors
for 50 years previous to 1819 that the Ajmaisee
of this Wurg in the year Doondooby and
its having been in the possession of my
father in law Doddiya at the time has been
established by the evidence of the Nogany
Shanboque examined in that suit and
the Surkar accounts which prove the
occupancy

occupancy by Doddaya of the same on the Mool right of his elder brother Deva=chary - Were it Sirkar gueny Wurg there is no reason for its not being mentioned as such by the Magany Shanbogue as well as for the non appearance of this particular in the aforesaid accounts. It is admitted by the Judge that the mortgage bond executed in favor of Venyachary in the year Crodhuna has been attested by the Taksildar and entered in his dufter.

The counterplea set up by the 1st deft, that the land of Hl. 3 Beriz had been cultivated by Sirkar during the former Government has not been in any way substantiated by him - The Chittas for the year Doormooty are the most essential account, which were pre=pared after making the ajmaisee of all Wurgs in the beginning of the Company's Government and all the subsequest accounts have been prepared in accordance with them. The Judge allows that the statements of the deft are not supported by the docu=ment No 4 the Chittah for the year Doormooty, and

and that he has not shewn how the statements regarding the Wurg of Hoovina Naina were made in 1237 in his order, the exhibit No 6 and moreover that his order is at variance with the exhibit 4 - The accounts from 7 to 10 are not attested by public Officers. The Collector has stated in another suit=s that such accounts cannot be depended upon and it is unjust that they should not have been dealt with as they would have been had they been produced by other suitors to contradict the 1st deft's statements, in as much as the answer that the land was Sirkar Gueny in the beginning of the Company's Government alias in the year Kalitaksy and that it was rented to Kristna and others as such - It was made over to the mortgage in the year Crodhuna with the knowledge of Sirkar, it was then incumbent on the 1st Deft to prove that it had been leased to Kroosha and others during this interval of 6 years as stated in the answer whereas no mention of Kroosha and others is made in the accounts of the 4 subse=quent years, Viz 7th Rowdry 8th Doormooky 9th

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

9th Doondooby and 10th Roodrodgary It was therefore improper in the Judge to consider the land to be Sirkar geeny Wung on the ground of such accounts—

3rd Agreeably to the terms of the mortgage bond, obtained by my ancestors from Hoovina Karna, his right having been cancelled and my ancestors having improved the land, built the dam and enjoyed the same, on an absolute right it could not be mentioned as obtained on mortgage terms in the mortgage bond executed in favor of Uniya Achary— The sum of ₱ 2 9— "—" is clearly mentioned in the bond as received to be given to Vastheva Shanbogue the heir of the Mortgagee Kristna Shanbogue— and it was unfair in the Judge to record that the payment to Krooshna Shanbogue is not apparent—

4th Were not the land registered in the names of the 4th and 9th defts without any enquiry, the name of the Gueniga (tenant) would have been mentioned in the Statements of the annual settlement since the beginning of Company's Government till Fasly 931 when

Fort St. George 4th December 1843

when the Durkast was given in the Hathkurdas Vea of the Shanbogues and in the Jabook accounts— It is hard upon me the appellant a poor woman that these accounts, unsupported as they are, by any proof, should have been relied upon, and that my claim which is fully substantiated, should have been dismissed on the ground of such accounts—

5th The produce claimed in the plaint has not been disputed by any of the defts— and if it be thought necessary I will prove its correctness by Sirkar records— The observation of the Judge that the whole of the mortgage money has been liquidated by the produce of a like share of property claimed by me, the residue of the mortgage money must have been cleared off by the produce of the remaining property— Under these circumstances I pray that all the original proceedings may be perused, and the requisite investigation being made, the original decree may be reversed and a fresh one passed in my favor—

Mark of Dejoo Appellant

True Translation
(Signed) H. Mc Blair
Print Collector

Draft

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

No. 3.

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed to be Appeal Suit No. 34 of 1842.—

The Appellant in this suit claims the Gueny Wurg of Hoons 3—0—0 Beriz registered in Hoovina Narna's name, as a Mooly" property, in accordance with the decree in appeal Suit No. 146 of 1820—She states that the Shanbogue examined in that suit, did not mention it to be Sircar Gueny,—that the fact of the Wurg not having been described in the Chittah for the year Doormooty, as Gueny, supports her statements; and that the name of the Guenigar or Cultivator not having been inserted in the annual accounts of the Wurg is a further point favorable to her claim—

The principal point at issue in the appeal suit No. 146, above alluded to, was the produce of the Estate, paying a Beriz of Hoons 19—3—12, but the question regarding the Wurg of No. 3 Beriz, was not prominently noticed in that Decree. Moreover as the Collector was not a party to that suit, it cannot be held to affect the right claimed on behalf of

Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

of the Government, by the Respondents in the present Suit—

The omission of the Shanbogue to declare the Wurg in question to be "Gueny," in the former Examination, cannot be taken as any evidence in favor of appellants—claims, in as much as the question as to the "Mool," or "Gueny" right, was not then a point in dispute; and with respect to the Shanbogue having deposed to its being a "Mooly" Wurg, his statements, opposed as they are to the Sirkar accounts, can have no weight—

Appellant has advanced relative to the respondent having failed to prove in the original Suit, that the Wurg in question had been a "Gueny" Wurg under the former Government, the Respondents contends, that its having continued as a Gueny Wurg from the commencement of the Company's Government is a convincing proof of its having been so held under the former Government—In order to satisfy the Court on this point the accounts which prove the truth of it, have been produced—

The Chittas for Doormooty in contain the particulars of the Beejivaree and

Fort St George 4th December 1843

and the Ajimaishy Hoottwully; and as the "Surapoury" Chittas are prepared on reference to the old accounts, the latter are the principal documents by which it can be proved whether a Wurg is a "Mody" or "Gueny" property. The denial by the Appellant, that the Wurg in question is shown to be held on Gueny tenure by the Surapoory Chitta, can only proceed from ignorance of the circumstances of the case.

The order No 72 issued by Mr Dickinson, the late Principal Collector, has been filed to show that efforts have formerly been made to obtain, illegally, posession of the Wurg in question. As Chittas &c have been filed to prove the Estate Hooviyna Narna, to be "Gueny" Wurg, it is considered unnecessary to comment on what the Appellant has urged against the said order.

In regard to the remark that the name of the Cultivator is not mentioned in the Sirkar accounts &c, it is to be observed that it is not usual to sign the Statements &c prepared for the Summabundy, and a reference to these documents will show that they were prepared as usual, and prior to the institution of the suit. The Appellant does not pretend that they have been fabricated, and whether endeavour therefore to discredit their evidence can be of no avail.

As the above circumstances, and the evidence to be adduced at the trial, will, it is hoped satisfy the Court that the Wurg aspected at Hoons 3 [illegible] is a Sirkar "Gueny" it does not appear necessary to comment upon the several other points of minor importance which are noticed in the appeal.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Fort St George 4 December 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

47-48

To the Chief Secy to Government

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated 25th January 1841 No 134 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara submitting a Copy of a decree passed in the suit instituted against himself and others by Dejoo.

2 The decision of the Zillah Court being in favor of Government the Plaintiff has appealed and the Board therefore recommend that the Principal Collector may be authorized to proceed effectually with the further defence of the suit in the Higher Court.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
4th December 1843

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir, With reference to my letter under

49

From the Collr of Canara
and Register of Sir Grants

RECEIVED
APPROVED

1874 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the application for a permission Certificate from Mr Borden forwarded by the Board under date 19th October last may be cancelled.—

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

(49-50) From the Acting Collector of Tanjore Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in July 1253.

(51) From the Collector of Trichinopoly Statement of Extra charges incurred under estimate for July 1252.

(52) From the Collector of Trichinopoly Statement of charges incurred on account of advances for cultivation for July 1252.

(53) From the Collr of Trichinopoly Statement of charges incurred without Estimate for July 1252.

(54) From the Collector of Trichinopoly Statement of Magisterial charges incurred without estimate for July 1252—

(55) Order on the 5 abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the necessary penalties of the Board be inserted

1873 Fort St. George 4th December 1843

From the Collr Trichinopoly 20th Nov. 43.

under date the 20th September last No 71 I have the honor to submit Copy of further communication from the Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry requesting that Mr Borden's application for a Permission Certificate may be cancelled as he has abandoned his intention of occupying the land therein alluded to.—

Madras 27th November 1843. (Signed) J. A. Huddleston Collr & Magt of Godavery

To The Register to Government Grants Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the application for a Government Grant for land situated at Palcode which was forwarded to you with my letter of the 19th September last may be cancelled as Mr Borden has informed me that he has abandoned his intention of occupying it.

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 27th November 1843. (Signed) Stent for me Commanding in Ganjam Cuddapah

inserted in the proper Column of the aforementioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.—

Read the undermentioned Statements.

57 From the Principal Collector of Combatore } Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Revenue Department for the 4th Quarter of Fasly 1253.

58 From the Principal Collector of Combatore } Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Magistrates Department for the 4th Quarter of Fasly 1253.

59 From the Superintendent of Stamps } Statement of Contingent charges incurred during the Month of October last.

60 From the Secretary to the Government Savings Bank } Statement of Contingent charges incurred during the Month of October last.

57. 61 Orders on the aforementioned Statements Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

Resolved

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.—

62 To the Collr. of Masulipatam. The same to Bellary, Tinjore, Trichinopoly, Madura, and Canara.—

To The Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to draw your attention to my Circular letter under date 2d October last and to request you will submit without delay the information therein called for regarding the petty farms comprised among the sources of Extra revenue.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 4th December 1843.— Signed C. G. Lovell Acting Secretary.

To The Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Nundydroog

63 To the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Nundydroog.

Sir,

Referring to the Column of Remarks appended to your Demand Collection and Balance Statements from the 1st to 30th July last wherein it is stated "that the

wage

18877. Fort St. George 4th December 1843

usage of the District particularly in above years has been in many instances to delay the issuing Coss for the liquidation of arrears, a measure evidently destructive to Current Demand" the Board desire to impress upon you the necessity, which the above most unfortunate system shows to exist for the utmost punctuality being observed in realizing the Demand upon the people. This Demand should be fixed moderately, but once determined, it should be collected with a rigid adherence to the regulated instalments, and in order to facilitate this great object, the Board request that you will without delay submit drafts of Khistbundy which you would propose in order that it may be adopted in the Current year—

Revenue Board's Office,
Fort St George
4th December 1843—

} I Signed E. C. Lodk
Acting Secretary

Circular

18878 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

64

Circular to all Collrs except Sea Customs— Madras

} Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the 13th part of the Skeleton Glossary mentioned in a Circular letter from this Office dated 19th December last.

NE. PE

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George 4th December 1843—

} I Signed E. C. Lodk
Acting Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements—

65

From the Asst Collr in the Wn Divn of Arcot

} Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of October last.

66

From the Asst Collr in the Wn Divn of Arcot

} Return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of October last.

67

From the Asst Collr in the Wn Divn of Arcot

} Stating that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of October last.—

In lieu

1887 9 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

68 From the Acting Collector in the S. Dn. of Arcot } Indent on the Superintendent of Stationery for stationery and sundries required for the use of the Officers of the Collector, Sub Collector, and Superintendent of Sea Customs Cuddalore and Porto Novo in his District for the year 1844.

68-69 Order thereon. Ordered that the required Indent be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

70 From the Principal Collector of Canara } Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of October last.

71 From the Principal Collector of Canara } Stating that no persons were in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of October last.

72 From the Collector of Ganjam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

73 From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain & Salt for the Month of October last.

Abstract

1888

1880 Fort St. George 4th December 1843.

74 From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

75 From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain for the Month of October last.

76 From the Collr of Malabar. Forwarding an Indent on the Superintendt for Stationery required for the District for the year 1844 and suggesting that the articles may if practicable be supplied as formerly from Bombay, which will obviate the charge of Coolly or Cart hire from Madras to Calicut.

76-77 Order thereon. Ordered that the above mentioned Indent be returned to the Collector with a request that he will have it revised and submit it in the Form required in the Fort St George Gazette of the 15th November 1842.

78 From the Collr of Madras. Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation in the Month of October last.

79 From the Collr of Madras. Statement of Goods released on proof of Extra duty in the Month of October last.

78-80 Order on the 2 Above mentioned Statements. Ordered that the Confiscation and

1881. Fort St. George 4th December 1843

and sale of the smuggled articles as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the above mentioned Statements be authorized.

81 From the Bd. of Madras. Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

82 From the Bd. of Madras. Stating that no endorsements were made in any of the Petitions passed for investigation during the Month of November last.

83 From the Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry. } Submitting an Indent on the Commissary General at Madras for 3 Sets of Scales required for weighing money in the Treasury Department of his Office and requesting that it may be complied with

83-84 Order thereon. Ordered that the Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter be passed and transmitted to the Commissary General at Madras.

" Bond.

" Petitions.

" Public Sales.

Copd. in Sel. Bk.