pages 16888 — 17163

16888 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Diary

From the Sub. of Ganjam. Received Letter Enclosing
(1) a Medical Certificate and requesting
leave of absence for two years to proceed
to the Cape of Good Hope under Sections V and
VI of the Rules.

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted
(1-2) through the Channel of the Civil Auditor
for the favourable consideration of Government

At a Meeting Present
Thursday the 9th November 1843 } The Hon.ble John Hunt Esqr. Senior Member
W. Robertson Esqr. Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved

Read the following Extract.

From the Chief Secy. to Govt. (3) (Duplicate.)
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th of March 1842.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants Sanction for the disbursement of the arrears of pensions allowances shown to be due in the Statements submitted by the Board of Revenue in the 7th Instant

Fort St George 9th November 1843

below the probable consumption.

It has therefore become necessary to make immediate arrangements to admit the importation of Salt to supply the deficit.

The advertisement of which, I enclose a Copy, has accordingly been published and I am to request that His Lordship will be good enough, if it is in his power without serious inconvenience, to give directions for Salt being sold for Exportation to Ceylon on the most moderate terms practicable.

Salt has hitherto been issued for Exportation from Ceylon to Calcutta at about 8/10 the Ton of 2240 lbs and His Excellency hopes that it may be obtained from Ports of the Madras Territories on somewhat similar terms. Should His Lordship be pleased to accede to this application I am to request that the utmost publicity may be given to this Advertisement accompanied with a Notification of the Ports at which Salt will be issued and the terms on which it will be sold there. In the usual course of trade about 50,000 baskets annually are brought to Columbo by Vessels from ports in the Viewish of Jaffna and

Fort St George 9th November 1843

as more can be obtained there, it is proposed that the same Vessels would take Salt from any ports South of Madras.

Colonial Secretary's Office
Colombo Oct 16th 1843

(Signed) P. Anstruther
Col: Secretary

Ordered that the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Board of Revenue for immediate report with reference to the Order of Government dated the 9th Instant No 1124.

(Signed) G. D. Ommey
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the order of Government under date 9th Instant and to state that as the price at which Salt is supplied for Exportation to Calcutta and the Eastward is 35 Rupees per 100 Bengal Maunds the Board are of opinion that this price shall be fixed on the Salt to be supplied for Exportation to Ceylon.

(6-7)

To Govt 9th

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 9th November 1843

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

From the Secy. to Government transmitting a Copy of the Extract of a letter from the Hon'ble the Court of Direct. on dated 19th July 1843 No. 1 on the subject of the Jurisdiction exercised by the Village Moonsiffs on the adjustment of petty controversies and requesting the Board to report the opinion of the fiscal Officers regarding it—

8

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1843—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1843—

The Most Noble the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 19th July 1843 No. 1.

Para 2. Ordered also that a Copy of Para 4 be forwarded to the Board of Revenue, who will report the opinion of the fiscal Officers without delay for the information of the Honorable Court. The Board will observe that the Court are desirous of receiving the explanation called for, on an early date

Extract from a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 19th July 1843 No. 1.

Para 4 The Village Moonsiffs Jurisdiction to the Extent of ten Rupees, and their proceeding are free from Institution fee or Stamp duty and not liable to Appeal. The object is to provide for the adjustment

of

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

of petty controversies, by means of the authority or influence of the Head of the Village, without Essence, or interruption to the ordinary pursuits of life. We should be glad to learn whether, in the estimation of the local Officers Collector as well as Judge, this institution has operated for the benefit of the people and has afforded them satisfaction. We have still to remark that in several Districts it has proved entirely a dead letter. In the whole 23 Districts 2249 cases were disposed of in the half year under review, but there were 10 Districts in which the aggregate number disposed of was under 50 and 5 districts in which there was not 1. We have never received from you any explanation of the cause of this irregularity to which we have repeatedly drawn your

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

your attention. Whether it be attributable to difference of habits and circumstances, or to the want of proper assistance and encouragement on the part of the Officers of Government, its cause, we conceive must admit of being ascertained, and we hope removed if the institution has been productive of advantage in those Districts in which it has not been inoperative.—

/ True Extracts /

/ Signed / F. Thomas
Secy to Govt

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(8-9)

Order thereon—
Read again on the
22d January 1844—

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day
Read

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following letters.—

To
The Acting Secretary
to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Ganjam ——— }

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2d Instant, and in reply beg to state for the information of the Board of Revenue, that in the Kavelly portion of the Ganjam District, there exist no petty farms comprised among the sources of Extra Revenue, which in my opinion are of an oppressive or objectionable nature.—

(10)

In the Hill Zemindaries, the Board will be aware, that a variety of taxes, cesses, fines, forfeitures &c.a are exacted on various pleas, and monopolies and exclusive privileges maintained, some of which are extremely oppressive and arbitrary, and all of very doubtful legality, but I presume that the information required by the Board, is confined to these Extra sources of Revenue indicated, which are farmed out on account of the Government.—

Ganjam District,—
Collectors Office, ——— }
Berhampore October 1843.—

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

To

1089?

10897 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(11)

From the Commr. in Charge of Rajamundry — Submitting the information regarding Petty farms other than those of Excy and Tax is now Comprised among the General Misturpha revenue in the District which are considered objectionable together with a statement of their revenue for the last 10 years as called for in a letter of 2d Ultimo.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit, in obedience to the instructions contained in your letter of the 2nd Ultimo, a Statement of the amount realized during the last ten years, under the following heads and brought to account under the more General head of Moturpha :—

Weighing and measuring and Gold dust rents

Fusslies

1089?

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Gold dust Rent

Weighing and Measuring Rent

Demand Collection Balance Dimond Collection Balance

Fuslies	Demand			Collection			Balance			Dimond			Collection			Balance		
	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d
1	1243	1580	"	1040	10	8	493	15	8	1040	10	8	1040	10	8	"	"	"
2	1244	2385	"	1046	10	8	1338	7	8	1046	10	8	1046	10	8	"	"	"
3	1245	2,385	"	1045	10	8	1338	12	"	1045	10	8	1045	10	8	"	"	"
4	1246	2,338	"	1046	10	8	1291	7	8	1046	10	8	1046	10	8	"	"	"
5	1247	2,013	"	199	7	"	1813	9	"	1110	"	"	1110	"	"	"	"	"
6	1248	1,874	13	1110	"	"	764	13	2	1110	"	"	1110	"	"	"	"	"
7	1249	2438	"	1295	"	"	1142	"	"	1295	"	"	1295	"	"	"	"	"
8	1250	2,438	4	60	4	4	2377	11	8	1295	"	"	1295	"	"	"	"	"
9	1251	3199	4	"	"	"	3199	4	"	1220	"	"	1220	"	"	"	"	"
10	1252	3,636	8	131	8	"	3505	"	"	1215	"	"	1215	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	24,887	9	2			24,393	9	6	11431	10	8	11431	10	8	"	"	"
	Average	2,488	12	1.			2,439	5	9	1143	2	8	1143	2	8	"	"	"

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

2. The first of these confers on the renter the exclusive right of weighing and measuring to the Merchant purchasing it, the produce, as named in the margin, of the Ryot selling, the latter paying the privileged weigher and Measurer a fixed fee at the following rates.—

At 6 Pices per Maund for Articles weighed.—

At 10 Annas per Pootty for articles measured.—

Names of articles.—	
Weighed.	Measured
Jaggery	Gingily oil Seeds.
Sugar.	Lamp Oil
Tamerinds.	Do.
Turmerick.	Chillies.
Garlic	
Onions	

3 The tax is limited to 28 ₹ Vil-lages in the Peddapoor and five ₹ in the Pittapoor Taloks.

₹ Of Peddapoor Zemindary—	22
of Jaggum-pettah Estate	6.
	28

The only warrant for its existence in these Taloks appears to be custom, that Custom arising out of abuse. It is scarcely necessary

GB

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

necessary to point out its objectionable nature or the manner in which the operation of such a Tax is productive of oppression and annoyance. It is alike applicable to the produce of Jeroy-etty and Cuam land, and by its infliction, no corresponding exemption is conferred on the Ryot paying it. It is therefore an Extra and partial cess, interfering with the Ryot in the disposal of his produce, when and how he pleases, and forcing him to perform, through others, that which he could, without expence and as easily, do himself.—

4. The right of collecting this Tax has been rented hitherto to the highest bidder by the Collector. The present rent expired with the last fusly and as it has been usual to put up the rents to Auction for the Current fusles within the 15th Instant, I shall be glad of the early instructions of the Board as to the renewal of the tax for fusly 1253 (1843/44) and will only further state that the entire abolition of it will greatly relieve the Ryots of the Villages now affected by it, not only to the amount appearing as Revenue but of large and undue exactions consequent on it.—

5. The Gold dust rent was sold by Mr. Smith to the highest bidders, as explained in

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

	Per Annum Cks a R
Rajahmundry District except Pittapoor Zemindary for 4 years from fusly 1253 / 1843/44 to 1256 / 1846/47.	985 — —
Pattapoor Zemindary for 3 years from fusly 1252 / 1842/43 to 1254 / 1844/45.	130 — —
	1115

in the Maigin- The rent of — on the Rajahmundry Division extends to the end of — fusly 1256 / 1846/47 that of — Pittapoor to the termination of fusly 1251 / 1844/45 —

The renters have hereby the exclusive privilege of grabbing in the heaps of land and ashes thrown aside by Workers in Gold and silver, and by sifting and washing these, they procure any refuse of these Metals that may be there found — This rent, besides being a discreditable means of obtaining revenue, is objectionable on the score of its placing in the hands of a Renter, power to oppress and make exactions, under pretence of his privileges being invaded, and there can be no doubt that taking advantage of such power the rents under this head are kept up to their present amount.

	Cks.	A.	P.
Average of last 10 years	1143	2	8
Present rent	1115	—	—

6. Should my recommendation that this Tax be abolished be approved of, there will be no great difficulty, I imagine, in inducing the present Renters to forego their privileges at the end of the present year, by some remission of their rent, or should that not be deemed advisible, I trust permission will be given to relinquish this Tax on the termination of their present lease.

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada, 2nd/4 November 1843. (Signed) H. E. Montgomery Commissioner in charge.

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the table. Read

10-12.

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

Fort St. George.

From the Asst Coll.r of Vizagapatam Forwarding the annual Report on the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1252. } Para 1.

I have the honor to submit the following accounts explanatory of the Annual Report on the Extra Sources of Revenue for the past year.

A Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1251 and 1252.

B Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenues in the District of Vizagapatam on account of former Fuslies for Fusly 1251.

C Statement shewing the Gross Collection charges, and Net Revenue on all branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted for Fuslies 1250/51/52.

D Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Manufactured, sold and the amount thereby derived together with a comparison of the quantity Manufactured in different Colars in the Zillah of Vizagapatam for Fuslies 1251 and 1252.

E Statement shewing the Inland Districts

13

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Districts or places for the supply of which Rowannahs have been issued for the transport of Salt from the Cotars of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1252.

2. The annexed figured Statement shews the current demand under each head on all items of Extra Revenue for the past year in comparison with that of the previous Fusly. It will be observed from this, that there was a decreased demand amounting in the agregate to Rupees 4,363 — 3 — 8 but the deficit of Revenue arising from diminished sales of Salt alone amounted to not less than Rupees 4,170 — 13 — 5.

№		Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
1	Salt	136354 7 "	95183 9 7	" " "	41170 13 5
2	Sayer	85143 8 "	84791 15 4	" " "	351 8 8
3	Sea Customs	17575 9 7	13834 8 9	" " "	3741 " 10
4	Ab Parry	42486 " "	45114 8 "	2628 8 "	" " "
5	Stamps	28587 3 "	27888 6 "	" " "	698 13 "
6	Moturpha	6211 " "	6095 13 7	" " "	115 2 5
		316357 11 7	272908 13 3	2628 8 "	46077 6 4
	Sundry Small farms & Licences	8 " "	93 10 8	85 10 8	" " "
		316365 11 7	273002 7 11	2714 2 8	46077 6 4
			Deduct Increase		2714 2 8
			Net Decrease		43363 3 8

Here

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

3. There was however a diminution to some extent under every head with the single exception of the Ab Parry Revenue which was rented out, and the demand where of exceeded that of the previous year by Rupees 2,628 — 8 —

4. In explanation of each item of Extra Revenue, I beg to submit the following observations, and first as regards Salt, the decreased demand for which on the year was more than Forty one Thousand Rupees.

Salt. 5. There are four Salt pans in this District. Two in the Northern part of the District. Coopilly and Conadah principally resorted to by Dumbadies or traders, one Corafsah, in the immediate vicinity of the Town of Vizagapatam, and chiefly frequented by Retail dealers for Home consumption, and the last at Nellemoo Roo, towards the South where the demand for Salt is generally small. The following is a comparative Statement of the actual Sales at each Pan during the two last years

№	Mot Pans	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Decrease
1	Corafsah	44604 13 "	30202 8 "	14402 5 "
2	Nellemoo Roo	20022 10 "	18853 8 "	1169 2 "
3	Conadah	35057 9 "	31250 5 "	3801 4 "
4	Coopilly	36669 7 "	14814 14 "	21824 9 "
				41197 4 "
	Confiscated Salt			25 67
				41170 13 5

Fort St. George 9th Novemiber 1843.

6. At Coopilly and Conadah the demand is generally co-extensive with the supply, the salt is of good quality and the Pans are conveniently situated for Merchants. The deficit in these two stations was Rupees 25,625 13 — alone, and the sole reason was the want of supply the manufacture having been interrupted from causes brought to notice in my Report of the 24th October last, and the deliveries from the Ryots having in consequence greatly fallen off. The whole of the Article on hand at these two Pans was sold at an early period of the season. The decrease arose then, simply because the stock on hand in Fusly 1251 exceeded that of the subsequent year.

7. At the Cearasah Pan there was also a falling off of sales to the extent of Rupees 4402 — 6 — Here there was a superfluity of Salt and the diminished Receipts were attributable to other causes, and principally to the incapacity of the Aumeen in charge at the time of manufacture from whose inability to control the Merasedars and to manage the very extensive Pans there is reason to believe that a large amount of Salt was clandestinely removed and concealed in the neighbouring Villages. The supineness

of

Fort St. George 9th Novemiber 184

of the Salt Aumeen early attracted my attention after my arrival and he was speedily removed, but much mischief had been previously effected, which no subsequent vigilance could altogether prevent.

8. The falling off may also be, in part attributed to a shorter rule of measurement having been introduced at the sales, the Mercals been prohibited from being heaped as formerly. This tended perhaps, to check the demand for the time but in the end it will prove an advantageous and beneficial system to Government.

9. The small deficit in the Mellemoo Roo Pan does not seem to call for remarks.

10. The charges brought to account in the year under review amounted to Rupees 16473 — 8 — 8 and exceeded those of the previous season by Rupees 3147 — 5 the reason of this is the large Manufacture of Salt at Cearasah and Mellemoo Roo and the price paid to Ryots for it in Fusly 1252. The quantity in store there is adequate for nearly two years consumption, and the expenditure for next year will in consequence

be

16907 For 1 St. George 9th November 1843.

be much curtailed.

" An enhanced expenditure in purchase of stock for future sales with diminished Revenue from present stock, from the causes I have already explained, of course exhibits a very large deficit of the Net Receipts which it will be seen from the accompanying table shew a Net decrease on the year of Rupees 44,647—13—10.

	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252.	Increase	Decrease
Collection	136,354 7 "	95,183 9 7	" " "	41,170 13 5
Tuccavy recovered	2,880 " "	2,550 " "	" " "	330 " "
Charges	139,234 7 "	97,733 9 7	" " "	41,500 13 5
Net Revenues	13,326 8 3	16,473 8 8	3,147 " 5	" " "
	125,907 14 9	81,260 " 11	" " "	44,647 13 10

Sayer.

12.

Under this head are included the Betel duties which it is known are rented out. The current demand in the year under notice was Rupees 84,791—15—4 falling short of the demand of the previous year by Rupees 351—8—8 The gross collections were Rupees 83,826—15—4 falling short of the previous year's collections by Rupees 1,986—14—" and leaving a Balance outstanding at the close of the Fasly Rupees 965—"—" due by the Betel

16908 For 1 St. George 9th November 1843.

Betel Renter and his sureties. Diminished collection of arrears with the want of punctuality in the payments of the Renter sufficiently account for the falling off in the year.

	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252.	Increase	Decrease
Demand	85,143 8 "	84,791 15 4	" " "	351 8 8
Current collection	85,143 8 "	83,826 15 4	" " "	1,316 8 8
Arrears	670 5 4	" " "	" " "	670 5 4
	85,813 13 4	83,826 15 4	" " "	1,986 14 "
Charges	14,504 7 9	44,054 10 5	29,550 2 8	" " "
Net Revenues	71,309 5 7	39,772 14 11	" " "	31,537 " 8

The charges it will be seen from

13.

the foregoing figured Statement exceeded those of the previous year by Rupees 29,550—2—8 The cause of this apparent great excess was explained in Para 8 of my Report of the 24th October 1842 The nominal decrease of charge in Fasly 1252 was caused by the omission to pay within that year the amount of drawback bills properly belonging to it, consequently the Revenues of that year were unduly enhanced. A sum of Rupees 14,827—12—6 was paid in the Fasly under Report which should have been liquidated in, and charged to the preceding Fasly year. If this had been done the charges in both years would have nearly balanced

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

balanced themselves.

14 As it was this inadvertence which causes an apparent large deficit in the comparison of the Net Revenue of the two years, in the one Rupees 7,1309 5 7 in the other Rupees 39772 4 11 or a decrease in the present year of Rupees 31537 11 8.

15. The outstanding Balance due by the Betel Renter at the close of the Fusly was reduced at the present date to Rupees 213 and measures are in progress for the speedy realization of the latter sum.

16. The very large amount annually paid as drawback in this District is caused in great measure by the trade in Piece Goods, in the hands of a few Natives of Bimlipatam, who export largely to Bengal and Penang. On export thence to Cloth is protected by Rowannahs, shewing payment of inland duty at 5 per Cent on which 2½ per Cent is refunded to the Exporter. My own opinion is that the Sayer transit duties should be continued and Rented, and that no drawbacks should be given at all, except on Export to Europe by Sea on British Bottoms, Cotton, Indigo, Sugar and some other articles being altogether excluded from the Inland

Tariff

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Tariff. But these matters do not fall within the scope of the present Report and any discussion thereon will therefore be deferred to a more fitting occasion.

Sea Customs 17. Under the head of Sea Customs there was a decrease of Collections in the year under review of Rupees 3741 10 and a small increase of charge which swelled the deficit of Net Revenue to Rupees 3,804 9 10. The decrease of Collections was owing to the low price of all articles of produce and Manufacture throughout the Peninsula during the past year, and to the consequent small inducement offered to the Exporter. The increase of charge is only an apparent one, caused by the abolition of the Office of the Master Attendant and of his Marine Establishment, under the orders of Government, and the transfer of its duties to the Sea Custom Office. The change involved on the whole a real saving to a large amount, although nominally it exhibits a small increase in these accounts.

	Fusly	Fusly	Increase	Decrease
Collection	17,575 9 7	13834 8 9	" " "	3741 " 10
Charges	1884 7 "	1948 " "	63 9 "	" " "
Net Revenue	15691 2 7	11886 8 9	" " "	3804 9 10

Ab Parry

18. The current demands on account of

of Ab Parry in Fusly 1252 exceeded that of the previous year by Rupees 2628-8-" but in consequence of a shorter collection of arrears, and by reason of less punctuality by the Renter in the payment of his Kists the actual Receipts and Net Revenue of the year was only Rupees 42,466-13-" and fell short of the preceding year's Net Collection by Rupees 3103-8-4 The Balance due by the Renter at the close of the season was Rupees 3121-12-8 of which Rupees 2911-14-8 has been subsequently realized, and the Balance Rupees 209-14-" will be brought to account at an early date.

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Demand	42,486 " "	45,114 8 "	2628 8 "	" " "
Collection				
Current	42,063 11 8	41,992 11 4	" " "	71 " 4
Arrears	3506 9 8	474 1 8	" " "	3032 8 "
	45570 3 4	42,466 13 "	" " "	3103 8 4
Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Net Revenue	45570 3 4	42,466 13 "	" " "	3103 8 4

Stamps

19 The Net Revenue from Stamps in Fusly 1252, was Rupees 24,231-7-8 in comparison with Rupees 25,417-3-4 in the previous year. The deficit is caused by decrease of litigation under the System of the Government Agency and a practice which obtains to a great extent,

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Collection	28587 3 "	27,888 6 "	" " "	698 13 "
Commission	1506 11-8	1386 11 4	486 14 8	" " "
Refunds	1,663 4 "	2270 " "		
	31 69 15-8	3,656 11 4	" " "	" " "
Net Revenue	25,417 3-4	24,231 7 8	" " "	1185 11 8

by a certain class of litigants, of obtaining the Courts decree on an acknowledged debt, a process which while it enables the Plaintiff to demand a refund of the Stamps purchased at the same time, places him in a much more favorable position for enforcing his demand at a future period against his Creditor- A rule framed for the guidance of the Agent authorises this System, and it will of course be requisite to address Government direct to procure it's abrogation, if, as I think it is of little service to Bonafide litigants, and is principally resorted to by wealthy individuals who benefit themselves thereby at the expence of Government-

Maturpha 20 The last head of Extra Revenue is the Maturpha, the current Demand was Rupees 6095-13-7 shewing a small decrease of demand in the year of Rupees 115-2-5- The Net collections current and arrears however exceeded the Receipts of Fusly 1251 having been Rupees 6044-11-3 in the present and Rupees 5706-1-1 in the past year.

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Demand	6211 " "	6095 13 7	" " "	115 2 5
Collection				
Current	5,817 9 7	5,967 2 9	149 9 2	" " "
Arrears	32 7 6	221 8 6	189 1 "	" " "
	5,850 1 1	6,188 11 3	338 10 2	" " "
Charges	144 " "	144 " "	" " "	" " "
Net Revenue	5,706 1 1	6044 11 3	338 10 2	" " "

of Ab Parry in Fusly 1252 exceeded that of

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Demand	42,486 " "	45,114 8 "	2,628 8 "	" " "
Collection				
Current	42,063 11 8	41,992 11 4	" " "	71 " 4
Arrears	3,506 9 8	474 1 8	" " "	3,032 8 "
	45,570 15 4	42,466 13 "	" " "	3,103 8 4
Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Net Revenue	45,570 5 4	42,466 13 "	" " "	3,103 8 4

the previous year by Rupees 2628-8-" but in consequence of a shorter collection of arrears, and by reason of less punctuality by the Renter in the payment of his Kists the actual Receipts and Net Revenue of the year was only Rupees 42,466-13-" and fell short of the preceding year's Net Collection by Rupees 3103-8-4 The Balance due by the Renter at the close of the season was Rupees 3121-12-8 of which Rupees 2911-14-8 has been subsequently realized, and the Balance Rupees 209-14-" will be brought to account at an early date.

Stamps 19 The Net Revenue from Stamps in Fusly 1252 was Rupees 24,231-7-8 in comparison with Rupees 25,417-3-4 in the previous year. The deficit is caused by decrease of litigation under the System of the Government Agency and a practice which obtains to a great extent,

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Collection	28587 3 "	27,888 6 "	" " "	698 13 "
Commission	1506 11-8	1386 14 4	486 14 8	" " "
Refunds	1,663 4 "	2270 " "		
	3169 15-8	3656 14 4	" " "	" " "
Net Revenue	25,417 3 4	24,231 7 8	" " "	1185 11 8

by a certain class of litigants, of obtaining the Courts decree on an acknowledged debt, a process which while it enables the Plaintiff to demand a refund of the Stamps purchased at the same time, places him in a much more favorable position for enforcing his demand at a future period against his Creditor. A rule framed for the guidance of the Agent authorises this System, and it will of course be requisite to address Government direct to procure its abrogation, if, as I think, it is of little service to Bonafide litigants, and is principally resorted to by wealthy individuals who benefit themselves thereby at the expence of Government.

Maturpha 20 The last head of Extra Revenue is the Maturpha, the current Demand was Rupees 6095-13-7 shewing a small decrease of demand in the year of Rupees 115-2-5. The Net collections current and arrears

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Demand	6211 " "	6,095 13 7	" " "	115 2 5
Collection				
Current	5,817 9 7	5,967 2 9	149 9 2	" " "
Arrears	32 7 6	221 8 6	189 1 "	" " "
	5,850 1 1	6,188 11 3	338 10 2	" " "
Charges	144 " "	144 " "	" " "	" " "
Net Revenue	5,706 1 1	6,044 11 3	338 10 2	" " "

however exceeded the Receipts of Fusly 1251 having been Rupees 6,044-11-3 in the present and Rupees 5,706-1-1 in the past year.

16913- Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

21. There was a sum of Rupees 128-10-10 of the current demand outstanding at the close of the year the greater portion of which I trust will be realized in the present season.

22. The following Statement exhibits at a glance the actual results of the year under every head.

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252.	Increase	Decrease
Salt	125907 14 9	81260 " 11	" "	44647 13 10
Sayer	74309 5 7	39772 4 11	" "	34537 " 8
Sea Customs	15691 2 7	11886 8 9	" "	3804 9 10
Abkarry	45570 5 4	42466 13 "	" "	3103 8 4
Stamps	25457 3 4	24231 7 8	" "	1185 11 8
Moturpha	5706 1 1	6044 11 3	338 10 2	" "
	289610 " 8	205661 14 6	338 10 2	84278 12 4
Sundry small farms and Licences	8 " "	93 10 8	85 10 8	" "
	289610 " 8	205755 9 2	424 4 10	84278 12 4
Deduct increase			424 4 10	
Net Decrease				83854 7 6

16914- Fort St. George 9th November 1843

23. It will be seen that the Net Receipts after deducting charges were Rupees 2,05,755-9-2 and that they fell short of the Revenues of the preceding year by Rupees 83854-7-6. The causes both of the decrease of Revenue and increase of charge has been already so fully explained in detail that I shall not trespass further on the Board's valuable time by recapitulating them.

Vizagapatam District,
Simahachellum,
Coll^rs. Circuit Cutcherry,
24th October 1843

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector.
Statement.

16913-

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

21. There was a sum of Rupees 128-10-10 of the current demand outstanding at the close of the year the greater portion of which I trust will be realized in the present season.

22. The following Statement exhibits at a glance the actual results of the year under every head.

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252.	Increase	Decrease
Salt	125907 14 9	81260 " 11	" "	44647 13 10
Sayer	74309 5 7	39772 4 11	" "	34537 " 8
Sea Customs	15691 2 7	11886 8 9	" "	3804 9 10
Abkarry	45570 5 4	42466 13 "	" "	3103 8 4
Stamps	25447 3 4	24231 7 8	" "	1185 11 8
Moturpha	5706 1 1	6044 11 3	338 10 2	" "
	289610 " 8	205661 14 6	338 10 2	84278 12 4
Sundry small farms and Licences	8 " "	93 10 8	85 10 8	" "
	289610 " 8	205755 9 2	424 4 10	84278 12 4
Deduct increase			424 4 10	
Net Decrease				83854 7 6

1843

16915-

Statement shewing the Demand Collection

	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Collection to the 11th July 1842
	1	2 3
Salt	136.354 7 "	136.354 7 "
Sayer	85.143 8 "	85.143 8 "
Sea Customs	17.575 9 7	17.575 9 7
Abkarry	42.480 " "	42.063 11 8
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	" " "	" " "
Stamps	28.587 3 "	28.587 3 "
Moturpha	6.211 " "	5.847 9 7
	316257 11 7	315542 " 10
Treputty offerings	8 " "	8 " "
Total Rupees	316.305 11 7	315550 " 10

Fort St. George

A

and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in Fusly 1252

1251.

Extra Collection	Total Collection of Fusly 1251.	Balance of Fusly 1251 on the 11th July 1842.	Settlement of Fusly 1252.	Collections to the 11th July 1843.	Extra Collection
4	5	6	7	8	9
2.880 " "	139.254 7 "	" " " "	95183 9 7	95183 9 7	2,550 " "
" " "	85.143 8 "	" " " "	84,791 15 4	83,826 15 4	" " "
" " "	17,575 9 7	" " " "	13,834 8 9	13,834 8 9	" " "
" " "	42,063 11 8	422 4 4	45.114 8 "	41,992 11 4	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	28,587 3 "	" " " "	27.888 6 "	27,888 6 "	" " "
" " "	5,817 9 7	393 6 5	6.695 13 7	5967 2 9	" " "
2.880 " "	318.422 " 10	815 10 9	272.908 13 3	268,935 9	2,550 " "
" " "	8 " "	" " "	93 10 8	93 10 8	" " "
2.880 " "	318,430 " 10	815 10 9	273,002 7 11	269,028 7 5	2,550 " "

Vizagapatam, 24th October 1843 — E. E. Fox

9th November 1843.

The District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1251/52

Total Collection of Fusly 1252.	Balance of Fusly 1252. on the 11th July 1843.	Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251 between Columns 2 and 7.		Comparison of Total Collection of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251 between Columns 5 and 10.	
		Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
10	11	12	13	14	15
97733 9 7	" "	" "	41170 13 5	" "	41,500 13 5
83.826 15 4	965 " "	" "	351 8 8	" "	1316 8 8
13,834 8 9	" "	" "	3741 " 10	" "	3741 " 10
41.992 11 4	3121 12 8	2628 8 "	" "	" "	71 " 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
27.888 6 "	" "	" "	6981 3 "	" "	6981 3 "
3967 2 9	128 10 10	" "	115 2 5	149 9 2	" "
271243 9	4215 7 6	2628 8 "	40.077 6 4	149 9 2	47.328 4 3
93 10 8	" "	" "	85 10 8	" "	85 10 8
271.337 " 5	4215 7 6	2714 2 8	40,077 6 4	235 3 10	47.328 4 3
		Deduct Increase		2714 2 8	" " " 235 3 10
		Net Decrease		43363 3 8	" " " 47093 " 5

(Signed) P. B. Arnollett
Acting Collector.

Statement

Fort St. George.

B

9th November 1843

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue in the District of Vizagapatam on account of former Fus

	Fusly 1249			Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Total		
	Arrears due up to Fusly 1251	Collections in Fusly 1252	Balance	Arrears due up to Fusly 1251	Collections in Fusly 1252	Balance	Arrears due up to Fusly 1251	Collections in Fusly 1252	Balance	Arrears due up to Fusly 1251	Collections in Fusly 1252	Bala
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Salt													
Sayer													
Customs													
Pukkarry													
Small farms & Licenses							51 13 4	51 13 4		422 4 4	422 4 4		15
Stamps													474
Miscellaneous													328
Arrack	12 6	3 12 2	8 9 10	238 4 5	37 14 4	180 6 1	393 6 5	159 14	233 8 5	644 10	221 8 6	422	
Total	12 6	3 12 2	8 9 10	290 1 9	109 11 8	180 6 1	815 10 9	582 2 4	233 8 5	1118 2 6	695 10 2	422	

Vizagapatam
24th October 1843

E. E. H.

(Signed) P. B Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement

16907

STATEMENT shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1250/51 & 52.

	For the last three Years.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Fusly 1252			Increase			Decrease			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections	24923	2	8	39234	7	-	27733	9	-	-	-	-	11509	13	8	The following Items compose the Total Fusly 1252.
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	6391	14	8	5279	1	1	9474	10	3	4095	9	2	-	-	-	Value of Salt
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	3438	12	1	7947	7	2	4998	14	8	-	-	-	948	3	9	Pay of the Establishment
Total Charges	9830	10	9	13226	8	3	6473	8	8	4095	9	2	948	8	9	Sunderwared
Net Revenue	15094	7	11	25907	14	9	21260	-	11	-	-	-	10561	13	10	Advance for the Manufacture of Salt
Per Centage of the Charges	1	11	-	1	9	9	3	4	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	Extra charges as per separate account
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																Total
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Grand Total
Charges for Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	82389	7	2	85813	12	4	83826	13	4	-	-	-	1930	14	-	Sayer Charges in Fusly 1252.
Charges of Collection	9209	4	8	9126	13	8	9030	5	1	-	-	-	40	8	7	Pay of the Establishment
Charges ,, Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Sunderwared
Charges ,, Drawback	13147	5	6	5377	10	1	23908	5	4	29590	11	3	-	-	-	Drawback
Total	22357	4	2	45504	7	9	62904	10	5	29590	11	3	40	8	7	Total
Net Revenue	59842	3	-	40309	5	3	39774	4	11	-	-	-	31537	-	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	2	7	1	1	8	5	1	32	8	11	-	-	-	-	-	
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	20160	3	10	17575	9	3	13854	8	9	-	-	-	3741	-	10	Sea Custom Charges in Fusly 1252.
Charges of Collection	1374	12	4	1334	7	-	1948	-	-	83	9	-	-	-	-	Pay of the Establishment
Charges ,, Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Sunderwared
Charges ,, Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Rent of the Sea Custom Houses at the Tellahs of Vizagapatam
Total	1374	12	4	1334	7	-	1948	-	-	83	9	-	-	-	-	Total
Net Revenue	18285	7	6	16241	2	3	11886	8	9	-	-	-	2304	9	10	
Per Centage of the Charges	9	4	9	10	11	6	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	57300	2	4	45570	5	4	42258	13	-	-	-	-	3103	8	4	
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	57300	2	4	45570	5	4	42258	13	-	-	-	-	3103	8	4	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamp Revenue.																
Gross Collections	30938	12	6	2353	7	2	27893	6	-	-	-	-	698	13	-	Stamp Charges in Fusly 1252
Charges Contingent	3318	10	-	1663	4	-	2270	-	-	606	12	-	-	-	-	Vendors Commission
Charges Commission	1446	2	5	1506	11	3	1386	14	4	-	-	-	119	12	4	
Total	5264	12	5	3169	15	5	3656	14	4	606	12	-	119	13	4	
Net Revenue	25673	15	1	25417	2	4	24231	7	8	-	-	-	1185	11	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	17	-	3	14	1	5	13	1	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	5998	13	4	5810	1	1	6188	11	3	388	10	2	-	-	-	Motturpha Charges in Fusly 1252.
Charges	144	-	-	144	-	-	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	5854	13	4	5706	1	1	6044	11	3	388	10	2	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	2	6	6	2	7	5	2	5	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	353695	9	10	322621	7	1	271938	15	11	-	-	-	50682	7	5	
Charges	54491	8	8	33029	6	8	36277	1	5	33247	10	3	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	299204	1	2	289592	-	8	235661	14	6	-	-	-	33945	2	2	
Per Centage of the Charges	15	6	6	10	3	9	24	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	338303	11	2	317252	6	3	262464	5	8	-	-	-	54788	-	7	
Charges after deductions for Do	39229	10	-	27650	5	7	56802	7	2	29152	1	7	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	11	8	11	8	11	8	21	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Vizagapatam
24th October 1842

C. E. P.

Asstg Collector

tement

II

16918

Fort St. George

D

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Manufactured, Sold & of the quantity manufactured in different Cotars in the

Fusly 1251

Names of Cotars or places of manufacture	Quantity of Salt manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value
	G m m	G m m	Rs a p	G m m
Curraipah &c.a	133 192	121 322 4	105	14,601 13
Nellimochoo	105 60	190 276 5	105	20022 10
Condalah	327 25	333 347 4	105	35057 9
Coopilly	330 328	349 937	105	36699
Total	896 205	1298 244		136354 7
Proceeds from the sale of the stolen quantity of salt reported to the Board on the 12th February 1842				
	896 205	1793 710 4		136354 7

Vizagapatam
24th October 1843.

9th November 1843

the amount thereby derived, together with a comparison Yellah of Vizagapatam for Fusles 1251/52.

Quantity Salt manufactured	Fusly 1252 Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Quantity Increase	Decreased
G m m	G m m	Rs a p	Rs a p	G m m	G m m
963 231	287 254 7	105	30202 8	83039	
173 187	179 276 6	105	18853 8	6 8 127	
303 271	297 265 7	105	31258 5		23 152
138 151	141 134 6	105	14844 14		192 176
1579 43	906 782		95137 3	898 166	215 328
	100 5	105	266 7		
1579 43	906 787		95183 97		

E.E.7s (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement

1691 9.

Fort St. George

E

Statement shewing the Inland Districts or places for the supply of which Rowanahs have been issued for the transport of Salt from the Cotars in the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1252.—

9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported	Names of the Zillahs	Curra/sah. Quantity of Salt Exported	Curra/sah. Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Nellore Quantity of Salt Exported	Conadah Route through which the Salt was conveyed to its destination	Conadah Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Total
1	Sallooor	Vizagapatam	133 198 1	Madavarmadah	4 69 1	Gyntadah 27 185 "	Gyzeputtynagrum " 300 1	Casavarayneppallem	165 330 3
2	Madoogole	D°	12 28 4	Peddagandy	22 145 "	Cooradah " " "	" " " "	"	64 177 4
3	Vizagapatam	D°	16 16 5	Naabpettah	" 61 7	Gyntadah " 7 6	Bimlipatam " " " "	"	15 106 2
4	Golgondah	D°	19 282 7	Agnumpoody	27 306 2	Cooradah " " "	" " " "	"	47 243 1
5	Haltair	D°	3 182 7	Tantechellapallem	" " "	" " "	" " " "	"	3 182 7
6	Gedegallah	D°	" 6 5	Simmachellum	" " "	" " "	" " " "	"	" 6 5
7	Akkiapilly	D°	1 248 1	Agnumpoody	15 327 5	Cooradah " " "	" " " "	"	17 225 6
8	Bimlipatam	D°	" 187 5	Hanomuntwakah	" " "	" " 38 6	Godaprovalsah " " "	"	226 3
9	Rajam	D°	" 77 1	Vasagontah	" 4 6	Cooradah " " "	" " " "	"	81 7
10	Layapoorum	D°	55 190 4	Gopalputnum	17 195 2	D° 162 378 5	Sallooor " 73 253 5	Casavarayneppallem	309 218 "
11	Tullary	D°	" 50 4	D°	" " "	" " "	" " " "	"	" 50 4
12	Madevadah	D°	" 60 "	D°	" " "	" " "	" " " "	"	" 60 "
13	Cassimeottah	D°	" 47 5	D°	" " "	Cooradah " " "	" " " "	"	1 397 1
14	Droongavarapoocottah	D°	" 11 2	D°	1 316 4	" " "	" " " "	"	" 11 2
15	Rangegiddah	D°	5 12 2	D°	" " "	" 15 126 "	Gyzeputtynagrum 14 166 7	Casavarayneppallem	31 305 1
16	Navarungapoorem	D°	" 316 1	D°	" " "	Cooradah " " "	" " " "	"	316 1
17	Cormunnapallem	D°	" 7 5	Hanomuntwakah	" 9 4	" 2 80 5	Tandevadah " " "	"	2 136 6
18	Vizcanagrum	D°	" 56 1	Simmachellum	" " "				

16920.

Fort St. George

9th November 1813.

No.	Places to which transported	Name of the Zillahs	Carrapah Quantity of Salt Exported	Carrapah Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Carrapah Salt Exported	Nellemorkoo Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Nellemorkoo Quantity of Salt Exported	Nellemorkoo Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Coopilly Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Total
19	Nedeguttoo	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 7 "
20	Nuckapilly	D°	" 2 7	Gopalputnum	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	2 10 "
21	Woruttah	D°	" 35 2	Agrumpoody	2 73 0	"	"	"	"	"	4 77 2
22	Tandy	D°	" 31 4	D°	2 25 0	"	"	"	"	"	4 5
23	Polumbuttapollem	D°	" " 7	D°	" 3 0	"	"	"	"	"	" 7
24	Purvadah	D°	" " 7	Gopalputnum	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	16 "
25	Toomapollah	D°	" 5 6	Agrumpoody	" 10 3	"	"	"	"	"	168 1
26	Cotam	D°	" 9 5	D°	" 158 2	"	"	"	"	"	22 7
27	Boocooropollem	D°	" 1 7	Gopalputnum	" 21 "	"	"	"	"	"	3 7
28	Peddagaudy	D°	" 3 7	D°	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	23 "
29	Bobilly	D°	" 11 5	D°	" 11 3	"	1 90 4	Ramateerdaloo	" 4 6	Casavaynepollem	1 131 3
30	Yellammchelly	D°	" 36 1	Simmachellum	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	253 4
31	Nagoolapally	D°	" 13 4	Agrumpoody	" 210 "	"	"	"	"	"	81 "
32	Gavarummapettah	D°	" 3 7	D°	" 77 1	"	"	"	"	"	11 4
33	Agerumpoody	D°	" 11 4	Gopalputnum	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	25 5
34	Maleapooren	D°	" 9 4	Mindy	" 16 1	"	"	"	"	"	32 4
35	Simmachellum	D°	" 32 4	Moolagadah	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	21 6
36	Goodem	D°	" 21 6	Gopalputnum	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	28 4
37	Mautaurum	D°	" 28 4	D°	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	36 1
38	Allamundah	D°	" 36 1	D°	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	89 4
39	Tagarumpoody	D°	" 13 2	Vajagyntah	" 5 8	"	88 4	Cottapollem	"	"	158 1
40	Benasingley	D°	" 1 7	Soobavarum	" 152 2	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
41	Vonpadah	D°	" 1 7	Simmachellum	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
			" 1 7	Hummantavakah	" " 4						

16921

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported	Names of the Zillahs	Curracah: Quantity of Salt Exported	Curracah: Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its Destination	Curracah: Quantity of Salt Exported	Conadah: Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its distination	Conadah: Quantity of Salt Exported	Conadah: Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its Destination	Coopilly: Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly: Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
42	Juggiapettah	Vizagapatam									
43	Moshenevadah	Do	1 7	Agnumpoody	21 6						26 5
44	Goodevadah	Do	2 6	Juggarauzpettah	" "						2 0
45	Gopalputnum	Do	3 7	Kanumuntavuka	" " "						3 7
46	Pinagady	Do	8 2	Boocherauzpollem	" 49 7						49 1
47	Marepollem	Do	2 6	Peddagaudy	" " "						2 0
48	Boocherauzpollem	Do	6 5	Cupporadah	" 3 7						10 4
49	Marootoor	Do	11 2	Curracah	" " "						11 2
50	Naravah	Do	1 6	Agnumpoody	" " "						1 6
51	Susakapilly	Do	14 2	Gopalputnum	" " "						14 2
52	Edoolapakah	Do	9 5	Do	" " "						9 5
53	Curracah	Do	3 7	Agnumpoody	" " "						3 7
54	Woogenepollem	Do	1 7	Cottavaroo	" " "						1 7
55	Copoolapollem	Do	45 6	Agnumpoody	" " "						45 6
56	Palendah	Do	1 7	Cottavooroo	" " "						1 7
57	Lavaroo	Do	42 4	Kunumtavaka	" " "						42 4
58	Vapadah	Do	1 7	Do	" " "						1 7
59	Parvettyporem	Do	1 7	Vapagoontah	" " "						1 7
60	Pemchepentah	Do	101 6	Do	" " "						101 6
61	Tommecapully	Do	110 4	Madooroovadah	" 68 5						178 9
62	Singoporem	Do	1 4 6	Peddagaudy	" 44 6						1 49 2
63	Ankoopollem	Do	300 5	Madooroadah	" " "						300 5
64	Kunundaporem	Do	" "	"	" " 7						" 7
			" "	"	" 11 3	Conadah	376 1	Rajam	32 4	Casavaroynepollem	409 5
						"	1 7	Govindaporem	" "	"	1 7
						"	" "	"	" "	"	"
						Guntadah	22 210	Ramateertalloo	29 307 5	Casavaroynepollem	52 88 "
						Do	3 62	Gazeputtynagrum	" "	"	4 217 2
						"	" "	"	" "	"	" 40
						"	15 2	Parvettyporem	" "	"	315 2
						Coradah	" "	"	" "	"	" 7
						Do	" "	"	" "	"	" 11 3

16922.

Fort St. George

Salt-

9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported.	Names of the Zillahs.	Cuddalore. Quantity of Salt Exported.	Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt	Porto Novo. Route through which the salt was conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported	Cuddalore. Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported	Cuddalore. Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
65	Allypoody	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	Coorodah	"	"	"	"	64 0
66	Cavety	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	20 "
67	Condakurlah	Do	"	"	"	Nadpoor	"	"	"	"	105 0
68	Cankarapully	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	17 1
69	Cokerapully	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	80 7
70	Cottacottah	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	1	133 2
71	Coyaroo	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	38 2
72	Connoroypollem	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	35 2
73	Coonehunghee	Do	"	"	"	Coriadah	"	"	"	"	16 2
74	Cotaly	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	16 2
75	Causeputtrum	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	104 7
76	Copakah	Do	"	"	"	Nadpoor	"	"	"	"	96 1
77	Coondrum	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	7 5
78	Croostnadavepettah	Do	"	"	"	Coorodah	"	"	"	"	157 1
79	Cunnoor	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	1 7
80	Codoor	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	18 1
81	Kintadah	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	3 0
82	Korlam	Do	"	"	"	Gunstadah	"	"	"	"	117 2
83	Curryamunapollem	Do	"	"	"	Coorodah	"	"	"	"	7 5
84	Condapully	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	1 7
85	Gazzepattynagrum	Do	"	"	"	Do	6 290 2	Ramatectalloo	22 7	Latchmeporem	387 3
86	Gavaravarum	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	"	"	76 2
87	Godechurlah	Do	"	"	"	Nadpoor	"	"	"	"	99 1

16923.

Fort St. George

9th November 1843

No.	Places to which transported.	Names of the Zillahs	Curnafah Salt Exported: Quantity of Salt Exported	Curnafah Salt: Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Nelle=mookoo Salt: Quantity of Salt Exported	Nelle=mookoo Salt: Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its Destination	Coradah Salt: Quantity of Salt Exported	Coradah Salt: Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its Destination	Coopilly Salt: Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly Salt: Route through which the salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
88	Gundavarum	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
89	Ganapurty	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
90	Goradah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4 6
91	Gonnpah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 6
92	Govadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 7
93	Gowry putnum	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12 7
94	Guntadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11 3
95	Gorlavanepollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24 3
96	Gedootooroo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7
97	Goonempoody	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7 5
98	Goorojospollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
99	Gomalavadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 7
100	Janarum	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4
101	Jungalapollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5 6
102	Jalumpollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
103	Jadunghy	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4 6
104	Jootadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 4
105	Jagunapollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	382 7
106	Jagunnadapooram	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 6
107	Chadavarum	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
108	Chowdovadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
109	Chintapoolaggraharum	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 158 1
110	Chinaguntadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6 5
											9 4
											4 6

16924

Fort St. George

Currastah Salle

9th November 1843

No.	Places to which transported	Names of the Zillahs	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
					Coradah		Coopilly.		
111	Doucadah	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
112	Madatooroo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 3
113	Madapandoo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 4
114	Modapatah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 42 6
115	Moonagapakah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	31 3
116	Mancarapollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	60 "
117	Mantooroo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	165 6
118	Maurtooroo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	10 4
119	Malopatah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	" 7
120	Modakalapully	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	18 1
121	Pollapollem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 6
122	Pagadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	10 200 4
123	Paracondah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	5 0
124	Punchadarlah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	399 4
125	Pakeroosahebpettah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
126	Paatepully	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	19 1
127	Pentah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	18 1
128	Paadaroo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	41 7
129	Polapurty	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	25 6
130	Pauzedepollah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	9 5
131	Parevakum	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	5 6
132	Pogapooreem	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	11 4
133	Pauvoolavadah	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	82 7
134	Padrachellum	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	6 5
135	Podegalloo	Do	"	"	"	"	"	"	262 3
									9 4
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	3 3
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	8 4
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	2 42 6
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	31 3
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	60 "
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	165 6
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	10 4
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	" 7
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	18 1
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	3 6
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	10 200 4
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	5 0
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	399 4
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	19 1
	Guntadah		"	"	"	"	"	"	18 1
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	41 7
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	25 6
	Coradah		"	"	"	"	"	"	9 5
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	5 6
	Coradah		15	2 Nundegam	"	"	"	"	11 4
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	98 1
	Do		"	"	"	"	"	"	6 5
	Nudpoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	262 3
									9 4

J.G.B.

16925.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported.	Names of the Zillahs	Curradah Quantity of Salt Exported	Curradah Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Curradah Quantity of Salt Exported	Curradah Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Coopilly Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
136	Davarapully	Vizagapatam							
137	Dimlay	Do							40
138	Davadah	Do							2 17 5
139	Debedy	Do							7 5
140	Dosoroo	Do							7 5
141	Nursepatam	Do							10 4
142	Nursingepully	Do							8 134 6
143	Nudjoor	Do							17 1
144	Nallarum	Do							13 6
145	Noovaburty	Do							34 3
146	Naraynaputnum	Do							1 7
147	Nateecopallah	Do							30 4
148	Nayoopollem	Do							10 4
149	Navadah	Do							12 3
150	Nayaparanzoopettah	Do							68 2
151	Nayavarum	Do							10 3
152	Nantepollem	Do							1 104 2
153	Nayoopettah	Do							9 4
									10 8

= modeo.	Curradah Quantity of Salt Exported	Curradah Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Coopilly Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
Cooradah					40
Nudjoor					2 17 5
Do					7 5
Cooradah					7 5
Nudjoor					10 4
Do					8 134 6
Cooradah					17 1
Nudjoor					13 6
Do					34 3
Nudjoor					1 7
Guntadah	16	Seetanagrum			16 4
Nudjoor					10 4
Do					12 3
Do	1 7	Goodapoondah			70 1
Cooradah					10 3
Nudjoor					1 104 2
Do					9 4
Do					10 3

16926

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported.	Names of the Zillahs	Currafsah. Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Currafsah. Quantity of Salt Salt was Conveyed to its destination Exported	Nellore. Quantity of Salt Exported	Coradah. Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Coradah. Quantity of Salt Salt was Conveyed to its destination Exported	Coopilly Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Coopilly Quantity of Salt	Total
154	Pavootsoopoody	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	Cooradah	"	"	28 4	
155	Coel Pavarum	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	11 4	
156	Lumbalapollem	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	10 3	
157	Vellaspoorem	Do	"	"	"	Nudspoor	"	"	1 65 6	
158	Vadoorooppurty	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	7 1	
159	Valrapandoo	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	1 7	
160	Vennpoloo	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	11 3	
161	Varahaspoorem	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	1 7	
162	Vadady	Do	"	"	"	Cooradah	"	"	2 334 6	
163	Vannoolapoody	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	16 1	
164	Vem Roopollem	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	4 6	
165	Valada	Do	"	"	"	Nudspoor	"	"	19 4	
166	Vadravapully	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	191 7	
167	Vengaly	Do	"	"	"	Guntadah	"	"	3 6	
168	Sarabavarum	Do	"	"	"	Do	"	"	6 5	
169	Suncarum	Do	"	"	"	Cooradah	"	"	3 6	
170	Surwasiddy	Do	"	"	"	Nudspoor	"	"	10 "	
171	Seetanagrum	Do	"	"	"	Cooradah	1 88 2	Ramateertallo	" 30 "	Casavarayneppollem 1 182 1
				"	"					637

T.Y.D.

16927.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported.	Names of the Zillahs	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Quantity of Salt Exported
172	Sreerampoorem	Vizagapatam Do.			
173	Conadah	Do.			11 4
174	Cunnecottah	Do.			
175	Gotundy	Do.			
176	Goompaum	Do.			
177	Sondoor	Do.			
178	Mallooa	Do.			
179	Cheeprooplly	Do.			
180	Goonoopoorum	Do.			
181	Nalcondah	Do.			
182	Hintaly	Do.			
183	Veeragattam	Do.			
184	Paulapilly	Do.			
185	Bonnughy	Do.			
186	Rajam	Do.			
187	Vennpadam	Do.			
188	Pedeselah	Do.			
189	Penuvanaly	Do.			

Nellore. Conadah. Coopilly.

Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Total
Coonadah					11 4
	270	Conadah			270
	4 6	Sallooor			4 6
	1 6	Cheeprooplly			1 6
	1 6	Valoodooroo			1 6
	2 6	Bontoopully	10 48	Casavarayenpollem	10 50 6
	207 3	Bobilly			207 3
	28 3	Artanicoor			28 3
	253 3	Parvetty poorem	146 5	Casavarayenpollem	1
	147	Vizeanagrum			147
	1 7	Govindapoorum			1 7
	174 4	Cheeprooplly	12 3	Casavarayenpollem	186 7
	7	Bogapoorem			7
	12 3	Vizagapatam			12 3
	3 6	Ettecoorlapilly	14 3	Casavarayenpollem	18 1
	4 3	Garoocondah			4 3
	19 1	Vizeanagrum			19 1
	417	Do.			417

16928.

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

No.	Places to which transported	Names of the Zillahs	Curraspak. Quantity of Salt Exported	Curraspak. Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Nellore. Quantity of Salt Exported	Nellore. Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Conadah. Quantity of Salt Exported	Conadah. Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Coopilly. Quantity of Salt Exported	Coopilly. Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination.	Total
190	Anikevarum	Vizagapatam					4	Cumbaroo			4
191	Paulapollem	Do.					7	Conadah			7
192	Condagoodem	Do.					1 7	Govindaporem			1 7
193	Coopilly	Do.							115 2	North side to the Gully	115 2
194	Cunchararu	Do.							36 1	Casavarayne pollem	36 1
195	Lauremmapettah	Do.							1 85 6	Do.	1 85 6
196	Lanvaroo	Do.							326 3	Lutchmeporem	326 3
197	Narayna porarum	Do.							170 2	Casavarayne pollem	170 2
198	Lutchmeporem	Do.							5 5	Vadavaroo pettah	5 5
199	Segadaru	Do.							7 5	Casavarayne pollem	7 5
200	Sreecoormum	Do.							13 3	Durmanaiarum	13 3
201	Causeporem	Do.							96 1	Casavarayne pollem	96 1
202	Seetaramporem	Do.							7 5	Anjaram	7 5
203	Durmanarum	Do.							3 7	Ummuntaporem	3 7
204	Coorooparum	Do.							41 7	Casavarayne pollem	41 7
205	Bondapully	Do.							6 6	Lutchmeporem	6 6
206	Curlam	Do.							31 2	Casavarayne pollem	31 2
207	Anjaram	Do.							3 7	Seevumporem	3 7
208	Auntamoor	Do.							7 5	Lutchmeporem	7 5
			282 275 7		139 44 3		250 187 1		132 217 5		804 325

16929.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

No.	Places to which transported.	Names of the Zillahs	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported
209	Kennedy	Ganjam	" 87 5	Hummuntawallah	" " "
210	Chicacole	Do.	" " 7	Do.	" " "
211	Gotalamulsah	Do.	" " "	"	" " "
			" 88 1	"	" " "
212	Nundoor	Rajahmundry	1 350 4	Agumpoody	26 37 6
213	Tooney	Do.	2 336 1	Do.	8 120 "
214	Coringa	Do.	" 3 7	Do.	" " "
215	Colamundoor	Do.	" " "	"	" " "
			4 296 4	"	34 195 7
216	Chaundah	Mahrattah Country	" " "	"	60 "
217	Malacanagery	Do.	" " "	"	310 "
218	Nagapoorem	Do.	" " "	"	4 357 2
219	Soojincottah	Do.	" " "	"	55 2
220	Dantoopoorem	Do.	" " "	"	" " "
221	Chatteegadah	Do.	" " "	"	" " "
			" " "	"	" " "
	Grand Total		287 254 7	"	179 222 6

Vizagapatam 24th October 1843

E. E. Jr.

P. G. B.

Route through which the Salt was conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was conveyed to its destination	Quantity of Salt Exported	Route through which the Salt was Conveyed to its destination	Total
" " "	" " 11 4	Coopilly	5 91 4	Setavarampoorem	5 103 7
" " "	" " "	"	" 1 7	Chicacole	" 1 7
	" " 11 4	"	5 93 3	"	5 193 3
Cooradah				"	27 388 2
Nadpoor				"	11 56 1
"				"	3 9
Nadpoor				"	38 1
"				"	39 86 3
Cooradah				"	60 "
Do.				"	310 "
Do.				"	4 357 2
Guntadah				"	55 2
"	8 252 6	Nagapoorem		"	8 252 6
"	38 214 4	Paravetlypoorem	3 223 6	Casavarapupalliem	42 38 2
"	47 67 2	"	3 223 6	"	56 273 4
"	297 265 7	"	111 134 6	"	906 78 2

Signed P. R. Smollett
Acting Collector

16930. Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again on Circulation.

(13-14)

Read the following letters.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir,

Fort St. George
Para 1. I have the honor to

From the Commissioner in Charge of Rajahmundry

Reporting on Petitions Nos 532. 533 and 679 of 1843 presented by Munniem Venkatarah: -mum Proprietor of Gootalah regarding the remission of certain Interest and the Subdivision of that Estate.

Nos 532 } of 1843.
" 533 }
" 679 }

return three Petitions, enclosures of your letter of the 31st August last No 532 of 1843, the first of these, the 3 being from the same person, Munniem Venkatarahmen Proprietor of Gootalah, praying for the remission of Rs a p 114-9-3 interest on arrears which accrued against the Village of Moorammudah as shewn in the Margin. The total sum was credited in the Public accounts in March last, and is not, as the Petitioner states, held in deposit, and it cannot therefore be refunded to the Petitioner without the sanction of Government. The Village of Moorammudah is not part of the Gootalah Estate, having formerly formed part of that of Rajahmundry. It was sold by the Petitioner to

		Rs	a	p
Fasly	1218 / 1838/39	18	8	10
"	1249 / 1839/40	12	3	6
"	1250 / 1840/41	34	2	1
"	1251 / 1841/42	32	10	10
	Total	114	9	3

15

16931. Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

to Dannara Mursimlia Apparow and registered in the purchaser's name in December 1842.

2. The Petitioner demands this remission of Interest on the plea of losses sustained by bad seasons. But though this may be well founded, it does not seem expedient to recommend the remission of a sum already brought to the credit of Government, legally realized, under the letter of the Regulations, from property which has passed into other hands, and with which the Petitioner has no longer any concern.

Nos 533 & 679 of 1843.

In the two other Petitions, Petitioner complains of Mr. Smith's refusal to permit the Subdivision of his estate of Gootalah, and the Board require me to state whether I am aware that any public inconvenience is likely to result from sanctioning such Subdivision, particularly with reference to establishing a precedent for the dismemberment of Estates falling into arrears.

4. In reply, I have the honor to state that I can perceive no reason for withholding sanction to the Subdivision in the

the

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

the present case. The objects of the Petitioner in making it are, 1st. to enable him to discharge, with the purchase money he is to receive for the portion sold, the balances against his estate, and 2nd. to relieve himself of a larger property than he is able efficiently to manage. It would appear that Mr. Smith's objections subsequently gave way for previous to his delivering over charge of this District to me, he had summoned the Curnums of the Villages of Gootalak to the Kuzzy or Cutcherry and they have been now for several weeks busily engaged in preparing the Subdivision Accounts. Of course care will be taken in arranging the Division so as to protect the interests of Government, and the new registry of the estate will be made after the claims of Government against it have been duly adjusted.

5. With the exercise of similar precautions, Subdivisions of Estates falling into arrears have been hitherto allowed in this District, and therefore the precedent will not have been established by the present instance but, were it otherwise, I am inclined to the opinion that

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

that it is far better to allow Zemindars thus to dismember their Estates, which they can only do when others are willing and able to purchase portions of them, the accounts being duly scrutinized by the Collector's Cutcherry, than to force them to retain more than they can manage and thereby increase rather than diminish their difficulties.

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 26th October 1843.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in charge.

Order thereon.

(15-16)

Ordered that the following endorsements be passed.

Endorsement on Petition No. 532.

"The Petitioner prays that a sum which has been collected from him as interest on certain outstanding balances may be repaid to him. It appears that the Amount has been carried to account and it cannot be refunded."

Endorsement on Petitions No. 533, 1798 & 1403 of 1843.

"The Board will reserve their decision regarding the proposed Subdivision of the petitioners' Estate until the Commissioner shall have reported further on the subject."

To

16934 Fort S.t George 9.th November 1843.

To

C. C. Lovell Esq.re

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort S.t George

(17)

Sir,

From the Commr.r in charge of Rajahmundry

Sending 8 Decrees passed by the late Prov.l Court N.D. in favor of the Coll.r of the Dist. in the different appeal suits.

I have the honor to submit for the information of the Board, Copies of eight Decrees passed by the late Provincial Court of Appeal Northern Division in favor of the Collector of this District, in the Appeal Suits noted in the margin.

No. of Appeal Suits	Date of authority for Defendants in the Original Appeal Suit.	Court of Appeal Northern Division in favor of the Collector of this District, in the Appeal Suits noted in the margin.
1 — 2 of 1833	From 13.th 15.th Sept.r 1828.	
1 — 51 of 1834	D.o — 11.th May 1829	
1 — 45 of 1837	D.o — 2.nd July 1838	
1 — 19 of 1840	D.o — 12.th April 1841	
1 — 27 of D.o	D.o — 13.th Sept.r D.o	
1 — 32 of D.o	D.o — 3.rd Feb.y 1842	
1 — 13 of 1841	D.o — 5.th D.o D.o	
1 — 19 of D.o	D.o — 21.st Apr.l D.o	

8

Rajahmundry District Co-canada, 27.th October 1843

Signed W. C. Montgomery

Commissioner in Charge

Decree

16935 Fort S.t George 9.th November 1843.

No. 7.

Decree of the Provincial Court of Appeal Northern Division Zillah Court of Rajahmundry.

No. 317 of 1828.

Provincial Court of Appeal No. 2 of 1833.

Appellant

Ham shut Bagum Sahuba Versus

V. Rajagopaliah Vakeel.

Respondent

The Collector of Rajahmundry.

V. Gopalakirishnama Govt. Vakeel.

Signed J. B. G. P. Paske 1st Judge (J J)

The appellant in this suit, sued to recover 8 from the Respondent 246-4 collected from her as Cuttoobudy on certain lands, situated in the Villages Narasapoorapoopettah, Yedeeda and Rajahmundry and for an injunction prohibiting Collection of a similar nature hereafter.

In the Plaint the Plaintiff states as follows, On the 11.th chand Shaval Hezeree 1139 Tyzeebeg Khan, the Foujdar of Rajahmundry circar gave a summud for 30 Pootties of land in Narasapoorapoopettah to Mahabagum Saib as surv.a doombala, and caused the inhabitants of the Village, Dasapondias &c.a to execute a chakroonamah on the 20.th chandoo shaval Hezeree 1139. on the 15.th Jamadulavaul of Hezeree 1143, the Foujdar Hoosain Moobayet Khan, also

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

also called Routoonkhan gave 15 Poottas of land in the Village of Yeduda to the said Mahabaygam as Surva Doombala, executed a sunnud, and caused the people of that country to give a chavookramah, on the 17th of the Month, Rajub 1158 Hejeree, the Nabob Hasubja Reganood Moolk the then Governor of the Country gave a Perwana to the said Maha Baygam for the above and some other lands also. The said 30 Poottas of land in Narsapoorapoopettah were continued to the said Maha Bagam, to her daughter Hayet Baygum to her Son Khajay Mohammaud Aumeenkhan and after him to his Son and Plaintiffs husband Khajee. Meerahammad Shuddeen sahib and daughter to Hoosain Baygam and soon, till the year 1810 as their sunnuds and Doombalas, will testify. Noorollakhan the Son of Maha Bagum, having died while she was living Maha Baggum in order that the 30 Poottas of Sarvaram land of Narsapoorapoopettah might be continued to her grand daughter Hayut Baygum, caused a Hibbanama to be executed to her on the 6th of the Month Suffer 1159 Hejeree. From the 20 Poottas which was her (Plaffs) husbands share out of the 30 Poottas of the above said land 15 Poottas

were

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

were given to her (Plaintiff) under her dowery and a sunnud executed to her on the 27th Ramzan of 1204 Hejeree (11th June 1790) of the remaining lands, he gave 1½ Poottas of land as Enam to the Curnums of the said Village, 5 Tooms to Aumunchy Ramasawmy 5 Tooms to Haylapully Narayanappah and 10 Tooms to Buddooneo and left 10 Tooms for the Tank, and kept the remaining 2 Poottas for himself. The 17 Poottas of land belonging to her (Plaintiff) and her husband having been attached on account of the Provincial Courts Decree in No. 620 of 1809 given against her husband, she presented Motions to the late Zillah Court of Rajahmundry regarding her 15 Poottas of land but all the 17 Poottas of the lands were sold by auction for 1381 Rupees and her son in-law Khaja Ally Moollasahib paid that Money into Court and the Court on the 26th June 1810 made over that land to him. This deed received from the Court and the copies of the Purwanahs viz. also received from the Court, were produced to the Collector of Rajahmundry and were Registered agreeably to Regulation XXXI of 1802 on the 1st August 1811. Allee Moolla Sahib sold the said 17

Poottas

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Potties of land to her and executed a Bill of sale to her on the 9th May 1816, and gave it to her with the title deeds of the land, her sister in law Hoosain Baygum gave her authority to recover her share of 10 Potties of land in Narasapoorapettah, and some other lands belonging to her share and executed Hibbanamah to her on the chand Jamadalaval of 1225 Fegeree which document was taken by Collector for Register.

The 15 Potties of land of gededa Sawaram mentioned above have been enjoyed from the dated sunnud, from generation to generation and 2-10 of the said land belonging to her husbands share having been sold by the Zillah Court of Masulipatam on the 11th February 1823 on account of the costs of the suit in Number 588 of 1821 which was instituted against her husband, Hoosain Khan purchased it for 190 Rupees. Her Mother Tyzum Beebee Saheba purchased it from Hoosain Khan for 220 Rs. on the 9th March 1833, and afterward her Mother gave this land to her as a gift.-

One Mohomed Khan purchased a garden at Rajahmundry which was planted by the Vellamavaur called Vanavaur more than 150 years ago; it continued under him, and his heir Sheik Abdool

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Abdool Raheem as a Maneyem. Maha Bayguns son Noorally Khan purchased this garden from Jayand Bee and Seelam Bee the heir of Abdool Raheem for 600 Rupees on the 27th Jamadelaval of Fegeree 1139 and on the 20th Jamadalasanee of Fegeree 1140 and it having come down in succession to her husband's sister Hoosain Baygum as her share, she transferred it to her as a gift and executed a deed of gift on the 28th of Chandoo Jamadelaval of Foozeree 1225 as stated above, the 29 Potties and 10 Toms of land and 2 Potties and 10 Toms of garden land, her right were let out to others, and she enjoyed the produce up to the end of Pourdeva Fusly 1235 (1825).-

The Plaintiff states further that a Cuttoobady tax has never been fixed on these lands, nor did they belong to Joharoolla Khan yet the Collector recovered from her the sum of 197 Rupees as sist for the said lands for 1235 and 49¼ Rupees as the first sist payable on the 15th/4 December 1827, total Rupees 246¼ as for land belonging to Joharoolla Khan, which had been continued to her husband. The Plaintiff therefore sues to recover back from the Defendant the afore said sum of Rupees 264¼ and for an injunction prohibiting the Collection of this

Cuttoobady

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Cuttoobaoy hereafter.

In the answer the defendant states that Noorollakhan the son of Maha Bayguru died before his mother his eldest son Noorollakhan succeeded to the whole of the Savarum and other lands acquired by his grand Mother Mahabayguru, as well as those by his father, and at his pleasure granted portions of the lands to certain of his relations, for their subsistence. On the death of the Jahar alakhan in 1794 the Jagheir Villages and other lands which were held by him were ordered by Government to be resumed, and the family Pensioned according to an account shewing the receipts of the family from the Jagheer and other Inam lands, having been delivered to the Collector of Masulipatam, Mr Branfill, he resumed the Jaghire Villages and the Savarum of Choppillee called Nawabpettah which formed part of the acquisition of Maha Bayguru and recommended the family for life pension to the amount of 850½ Pagodas per annum, of which 30 Pagodas a year was given to the Plaintiffs' husband Meershumsuddeen, 36 Pagodas a year to his grand Mother

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Mother Hazeet Bayguru, and 24 Pagodas a year to his brother Meeram Sahibs family, which they accordingly enjoyed, when the lands of Jahoorallakhan were resumed, the lands in question which he gave to his relations the Plaintiffs husband &c for their subsistence as above mentioned, were concealed from the knowledge of the Collector of Masulipatam Mr Branfill, and the Plaintiffs husband &c enjoyed them, notwithstanding the Pension he and his family received from the Circar as abovementioned. This concealment having come to light in fusly 1230 in consequence of some disputes in this family the late Collector Mr Robertson reported the circumstance to the Board of Revenue, and it was finally determined and ordered by Government that instead of resuming the lands altogether, a light assessment should be fixed upon them liable to future increase, which was accordingly carried into effect. The deed of gift alleged by the Plaintiff to have been granted to her husbands grand Mother Hayat Bayguru for 30 Pottics of land situated at Narasapooram pettah by which it is maintained, these lands become

separated

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

separated from the Enams of Jahoorallakhan will be proved to be a forgery, and no Enam lands are entered in the account on which the permanent settlement was made in the name of the Plaintiff's husband or his family which would not have been the case, had Maha Bayguem granted such a sunnud to Hayet Bayguem.—

The reply maintains the claim as set forth in the plaint, but it does not contain any thing of importance to the case.—

The rejoinder also contains nothing of consequence.

The Zillah Judge dismissed the claim of the Plaintiff being of opinion that it was proved by the Proceedings in the case that the lands belonged to Jahoorolla khan, and that the Plaintiff had failed to make out her title to hold them rent free; the Appellant appealed from this decision.—

After an attentive consideration of all the Proceedings and the numerous documents filed in this case I concur in the view taken of it by the Zillah Judge. It appears to me sufficiently clear that the lands in dispute were actually possessed by Jahoorollakhan; this may be collected from the Documents and the evidence of witnesses. The appellant herself seems to admit this in her separate

Pleading

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Pleading in respect to the land at Yededa and the Garden land at Rajahmundry by her reference from the Documents Exhibits marked U W and Y. the former of these Documents purports to be a letter addressed by Jahoorollakhan to his Nephew or son in law Ahmedoollakhan in which he gives him instructions for the distribution of the produce of these lands here referred to as well as of other lands till his return, this he could hardly have done, if the lands had not been in his possession. The other 2 Documents are orders issued by Mr Branfill, a former Collector of Rajahmundry placing those lands as having belonged to Jahoorollakhan in the charge of his Nephew Rajah Mahamedoollakhan. It is clear from these Documents that these lands were possessed by Jahoorollakhan.

The garden land here referred to is alleged to have been purchased by Moorollakhan the father of Jahoorolla khan, but there is no Document to shew on what tenure this land was held by the former proprietor, of whom it is said to have been bought by Noorollakhan. The Document marked A. which purports to be a Saukeed by Syed khan to Khoja Hoozoorollah, declares that the Sase of 5 Pagodas

which

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

which had been hitherto paid on that land should be remitted from fusly 1237 (1827). It is impossible to determine if this be an authenticated deed or not, but even were the authenticity of it shown beyond doubt, it would not benefit the Appellants case because it has not been registered in the manner prescribed by the Provisions of Regulation XXXI of 1802. It is declared in section 9 of this law that summuds not entered in the Register so authenticated and subscribed (that is in the manner directed in that Regulation) shall not be deemed valid in any case whatever.

The Documents produced by the Appellant also do not afford proof either that this garden land or the lands in Narasapoorapo pettah, and yeded a were originally held free of Assessment or that the grants were hereditary.—

The Appellant in the appeal pleading has referred to exhibit marked B as showing that the land in Narasapoorapoo pettah was acquired by Mahee Baygum. This is a Chakramah written by the Curnums and Capoos of that Village showing the boundaries of the land. References made in it to a Puvanah said to have been granted to Maha Baygum, but it makes no mention of the terms

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

terms on which the land was granted or of the nature and conditions of the Tenure on which it was held. The original grants for this or any of the lands in question have not been produced, and no reason has been assigned for their non production.—

In the accounts on which the Permanent settlement was found, no mention is made of the Plaintiff or of any of her family as holders of Maney and lands. Nine exhibits Marked C E F and G of the Respondents documents. The presumption is therefore that there were not rightful holders of the lands in dispute at the time those Documents were framed. In reference to this point the Appellant has stated in her appeal Pleading that the proper account relative to the Village Narasapoorapoo pettah has not been produced, and if the Boobundoo Zabita of that Village be called for all doubt on that head will be removed. It was the business of the appellant to procure and produce the account or an attested copy of it if not in the original trial, certainly in the appeal, but she has failed to do this, but if this account here referred to be as represented by the appellant, that fact would not alone establish her claim to hold the lands exempt from the payment of Revenue, since as observed above it has not been proved that the original grants were hereditary.

I am of opinion for these reasons that the Decree of the Zillah Judge should be confirmed. Conformably to the foregoing opinion the Decree of the Zillah Judge is confirmed under section III Regulation VIII of 1831.— The Appellant to pay all costs of suit as hereunder stated. And it is further resolved that no private adjustment

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein, and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent.—

Pleaders fees according to section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816 on the amount in appeal being Rupees 44-3-4-

To V. Gopaul Krishnama Gavernment Vakeel on behalf of Respondent - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 22-2-5.

To the Appellants Pleader V. Rajagopaliah - - 22-2-5

44-4-10.

Costs incurred by the Respondent Viz.

No 9 Value of one Roll of Stampt Paper for a Motion - 4-11-11

Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per Zillah Courts Decree - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 28-8-11

Costs incurred in the Provincial Court - By the appellant Viz.

No 1. Value of one Roll of stampt paper for appeal petition - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 32-11-11

5. Ditto of 1 Roll of Ditto for Motion - - - - 4-11-11

6 Ditto of 1 Roll of Ditto for Takalutnamah - 1-11-11

7 Ditto of 1 Roll of Ditto for Separate Pleading 16-11-11

53-11-7

129-12-10

Deduct.

Already paid by the appellant on account of his Pleaders fees - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 22-8-11

On account of Value of stampt paper - - - 53-11-11

75-8-11

52-4-10

The above amount should be made good by Appellant to Respondent - Given under my hand and the seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 20th June 1838.

Delivered in Court this 20th June 1838.

By Order of the Court

(Signed) G. T. Beauchamp.

Register

This Decree having been sealed and signed on the 19th August 1838 the period of appeal will expire on the 9th November 1838.

(Signed) G. T. Beauchamp

Register (True Copy)

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Commr in Charge.

Fort St George 9th November 1843

No. 2

Decree of the Provincial Court of Appeal

N. D.

Zillah Udalut Court of Rajahmundry A.S No. 716 of 1828

Provincial Court of Appeal N. D. A.S. No. 51 of 1834.

Appellants	Respondent
1 Evatoory Woommanah Gyavaroo 2 Ditto Soondaresenoodoo Gyavaroo 3 Ditto Sambunnah Gyavaroo 4 Ditto Veyenmiah mother and guardian to Veerabhadroodoo	J. Austey Esqre Collector of Rajahmundry
Vakeel In Person	Vakeel V. Gopaul Kristunmah

(signed) J. Haig

2nd Judge.

1st The original suit in this Cause was instituted by the Appellants for the recovery of the sum of Rupees 509—5—7 being the exceeds of the tax which they averred to have been illegally fixed upon their Village Cottapully by the Respondent and levied by him for the year Surradharee 1828 or Fusly 1238 over and above the jist rent due by them for that year under their Shotriem tenure and to be relieved from the said increased assessment in future under the

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

the Provisions of Section II Regulation XXXI of 1802.

2nd. It was set forth in the Bill of Plaint that the Village in question was originally granted to Plaintiffs ancestors as surva Enam, that an Assessment of 50 Pagodas was fixed upon it by the Mahommedan rulers, which was subsequently unjustly raised to 56½ Pagodas which it was mortgaged to the Honorable Company from 1787 to 1801, and that this last assessment having been confirmed by Mr. Skinner was continued to be levied from them annually until fusly 1231 when the assessment was raised to the full Government demand of Pagodas 275, which has since been reduced from the 1235 fusly to Rupees 735 — 5 — 7.-

3rd. The answer filed by Mr. Collr. Anstey shows that Plaintiffs have refuted their own assertions regarding their original title to the Village by acknowledging the assessment fixed upon it by the Mooselman Government and subsequently raised and

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

and continued till now under the present Government and declares that in consequence of their having failed to prove their title to hold the Village at the low favorable assessment claimed it has been rated at the full Government tax under the authority of the Board of Revenue and Government.-

4 The same line of argument is continued by the parties respectively in the reply and Rejoinder.-

5th. The Acting Zillah Judge passed a Decree on the 18th January 1834 dismissing the claim with all costs against the Plaintiffs.-

6th. From that decision after great delay beyond the period fixed for Appealing this Appeal has been brought entirely shifting in the Petition of Appeal the grounds of the claim set forth in the original Bill of Plaint and designating the tenure of the Village Cottapully as Maulgoozaree instead of Shrotiem rent free.-

7th. In the appeal answer which was filed after great delay

Owing

owing to the Collector having been engaged in his Revenue tour the grounds upon which the present assessment of the Village Cottapully was fixed are satisfactorily detailed and the Plaintiffs in the original suit are stated to have voluntarily agreed to rent it upon the very terms against which they now protest a fact which is confirmed by their having preferred no claims for the refund of the assessment levied from 1231 to 1238.-

8

The Court having attentively perused and considered the whole of the records of this cause are of opinion that the Respondent was fully justified in raising the assessment upon the appellants Village under the provisions of Section XIV Regulation XXXI of 1802 in consequence of the Plaintiffs in the original suit having failed to prove their title to hold it as a Surwa Cram, meaning or at the favorable assessment of 226 instead of 735 Rupees, and accordingly confirm the Decree of the lower Court, directing all costs

of the appeal Court to be levied from the appellants as hereunder specified.

9. And the Court resolve that no private adjustment of the Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid, unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent.-

		Rs. A. P.
	Fees payable to Vakeels Gopaul Kistamah Government Vakeel Pleader on behalf of the Respondent under Section II Regulation XIV of 1816	36. 12. -
	Costs incurred by the Respondent.	
Nos 7- 3	Stampt papers for answer	12 - -
	Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as 4th Decree	45 4 -
		57. 4 -
	Costs incurred by the appellants.	
Nos 1. 1	Stampt paper for Brief appeal petition	32 - -
2. 5	Do for Separate Pleadings filed by the 2nd appellant	20 - -
	Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as 4th Decree	94 - 4
		146 - -
	Carried Over	240 - -

16952 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Deduct.
Already paid by the Appellants. Rs. A. P. Rs. A. P.
Brought over 240 - -
Value of Stampt Papers &c. collected from them on account of the fees of the Government Vakeel in the Zillah Court, the Pleader of the Respondent 146 " "
36 12 "

Balance Rupees 182 12 4
57 4 "

The said amount should be paid by the appellants.

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court at Masulipatam, this 26th November 1838.-

Delivered in Court this 26th November 1838.-

By order of the Court

The fair copy of this Decree having been sealed and signed on 14th December 1838, the period of Appeal will expire on 14th March 1839.-

/Signed/ W. E. Jellicoe
Sr. Register

/Signed/ W. E. Jellicoe
Sr. Register

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge

Decree

16952. Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No. 3

Decree of the Provincial Court Northern Division - Masulipatam Zillah Court file - Original Suit No. 353 of 1831 Northern Provincial Court file - Appeal Suit No. 45 of 1837.-

Plaintiff/Appellant/
Annapurty Venkanah. Vakeel.
V. Venkapah
/Signed/ H. Montgomerie
2nd Judge.

Vers:

Defendants/Respondents/
Collector of Rajah-mundry and 6 others - Vakeel
C. Boochiah

L.S.

This was an action for recovering 5 Rupees worth of Grain belonging to Plaintiff which the Respondents attached on account of a certain Revenue demand, to which the Plaintiff says he was not at all responsible under the circumstances stated in the Plaint.-

1. The Acting Judge of Masulipatam dismissed the original suit, seeing that there is no cause for interfering with the proceedings of the Collector which were apparently held under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822.-

The

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

The Plaintiff dissatisfied with the above decision appeals to the Provincial Court but states nothing in his Appeal Pleadings to shew that he was justified in preferring the Suit, in the Zillah Court.

The Provincial Court having considered the Proceedings in this case are of opinion that the Acting Judge's Decree dismissing the Suit is perfectly correct. They fully concur with him in his allusions to the several clauses and sections of Regulation IX of 1822 - It also appears to them that under Section XVII of the above Regulation, the Suit should not have been originally admitted by the Zillah Court, as the Plaint does not bear the endorsement prescribed by the latter part of clause 2nd Section VI Regulation VII of 1828.

Under this view of the case, this Appeal Suit is dismissed, and the Appellant is directed to pay all Costs of Suit.

It is also resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid, unless

Fort St. George 9th November 1843 -

unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein, and made a record of the Court with their unanimous consent -

Fees to the Pleaders on the sum of Rupees 63 being the amount Appealed for, according to Section XXV Regn. XIV of 1816 -			Rs. A. P. Rs. A. P.
Fees payable to the heirs of C. Boochiah Acting Government Vakeel for Respt.		3 2 "	
Do. Do. V. Venkapah Pleader for Appellant		3 2 "	6 4 "
Costs incurred by the Respts.			
By the 1st Respt. -			
No. 54. Stampt papers for answer		16 " "	
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court according to its decree	10 10 3		26 10 3
By the 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th & 7th Respts. -			
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court according to its decree	7 6 3		34 " 6
Costs incurred by the Appellant -			
Nos. 1. 2. Stampt papers for an appeal Petition -		8 " "	
7-1 Do. Do. for a Vakalutnamah		1 " "	
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court according to its Decree	24 6 3		33 6 3
Carried Over			73. 10. 9

16956. Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Brought over — 7 3 10 7

Deduct.

Amount already paid by the Appellant.

Amount deposited on account of his Pleader's fees — 3 — 2 —

On account of Stampt papers &c. — 33 — 6 — 3

Remaining Rupees — 36 — 8 — 8

The said amount should be made good by the Appellant.

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 6th day of March A. D. 1840.

Delivered in Court this 6th day of March A. D. 1840. The fair copy of the Decree having been sealed and signed on the 6th April 1840, period of appeal will expire on the 2nd July 1840.

By Order of the Court

[signed] H. Montgomerie.

[signed] G. J. Beauchamp — 1st Judge for Regr.

Regr.

[True Copy]

[signed] H. Montgomerie

Commissr. in charge

16957 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No. 4.

Decree of the Provincial Court in the Northern Division Original Suit No. 1020 of 1837, Rajahmundry Zillah Court file. Appeal suit No. 19 of 1840, Provincial Court file.

Plaintiff (Appellant) — Rancherla Govindapa Pers. — Vakeel — Vodlamunnatee Venkopa Sonayajee.

Defendants (Respondents). 1. The Collector of Rajahmundry. 2 Deddoopoody Venkanah. Vakeel for 1st Respondent Gadescherlah Seetiah.

[signed] H. Montgomerie First Judge (L.S.)

Para 1. The Plaintiff preferred the original Suit in order to recover a right of a Curnum in the Village of Covoor, and Rupees 6, being the value of the emoluments attached to that office for fusly 1246.

2. The Plaintiff stated that he, and during his absence, his son performed the duties of a Curnum for a length of time till 6th July 1837, on which date the Collector issued an order appointing the son of R. Sarabahrauze to his place.

3. The 1st Defendant answers that the plaintiff was Curnum up before

before finally 1211, but he having since that time, relinquished the Office, one by name Sarabharauze was selected and the appointment was duly re= ported to the Collector by the two co Proprietors, who had successively held the Estate, of which the Village of Covoor formed a part, that the said Sarabharauze having died his son was appointed to succeed him, and that the Plaintiff never having dis= charged his duties as Curnum from the end of the above fasly his claim is invalid.

4. The Judge of Rajahmundry after an examination of the oral and documentary evidence adduced by both parties dismissed the Plaintiff's claim observing that the documents for the Plaintiff in no way support the plaint, that his name does not appear in any list, or is it attached to any documents since 1804, and that the order of the Defendant appears perfectly correct

and

and consonant with the vouchers adduced.

5. The plaintiff appeals and states that one of the lists produced by the 1st Defendant is not correct, as will be seen by an Exctract of the Zillah Court's proceedings, that the Collector being the Defendant in this case it was impossible for the Plaintiff to produce any document to show that he discharged the duties of the Curnum during the period stated by him, that the Collector refused giving him copies of certain documents, saying that he would send them up to the Court if he was required to do so, and that there are no proceed= =ings to show that he either resigned the Office or that he was dismissed.

6. The 1st Respondent states that he passed no order dismissing the Plaintiff either now or at any time but that he merely declined to dismiss the present incumbent to make place for the Plaintiff, that the fact of the plaintiff's name not having been entered in any register

and

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

and his not holding the Office since the end of July 1211 (which will be plainly seen from there not being a single paper in the records of the Village or Collectar's Cutcherry signed by the plaintiff) is highly conclusive against the Plaintiff's claim.—

7— The remainder is a repetition of the former Pleadings and remarks upon appellant's statements in detail.—

8. The Provincial Court having maturely considered the proceedings in this case are of opinion that the Decree of the lower Court is correct.—

The plaintiff stated that he had enjoyed the right till disturbed by the Collector, but this is clearly shewn by the documents on the part of the Defendant to be utterly false, and Plaintiff's witnesses themselves do not in any degree support his statement, while the defendant's witnesses fully agree in their evidence, that the Plaintiff was never a Curnum of the Village since the time above referred to.—

10— If the appellant's statements on

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

on this head were true he could not have any difficulty in proving them- he must of course have copies of all the Village accounts during the period he had been officiating and he cannot possibly be altogether unsuccessful in finding one document bearing his signature either in the Village Curnum's Office, Collectors, in the Zemindar's Cutcherries, in the Judicial Courts &c.

The Provincial Court according-

11- -ly confirm the lower Court's Decree with all Costs of appeal to be paid by the appellant

12- It is resolved that no private adjustment of this decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein, and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent.—

The amount of the Vicader's fees payable under section XXV Regulation

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Regulation XIV of 1816, and Rupees 12, the amount claimed in appeal

Fees due to Godecherlah Settiah Government Vakeel on behalf of the 1st Respondent	" 10 "	
Fees to Vodlamunnatee Venkatapah Sornayajee the appellants pleader	" 10 "	
Costs incurred by the Respondents		1 4 "
9 Value of 3 Stampt Papers for the 1st respts answer	12 " "	
Cost incurred by the 1st Respondent in the Zillah Court as per its decree	17-1-6	
Costs incurred by the 2nd Respondent in the Zillah Court as per its Decree	" 4 "	
Costs incurred by the appellant		29-5-6
No. 1 Value of 1 Stampt paper on for brief Petition of appeal	1-"-"	
3 Value of 1 Stampt paper for Vakaltnamah	1-"-"	
7 Do. 1 Do. for 2 Do. for on separate pleading	8-"-"	
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per its Decree	14-2-"	
		24-2-"
		54-11-6

Deduct

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Deduct

Already paid by the appellant on account of pleaders fees	" 10 "	
On account of Value of Stamp duty &ca	24-2-"	
		24.12 "

Balance 29.15.6.

The said sum of twenty nine Rupees, annas fifteen, and pice six, should be made good by the appellant.-

Given under my hand &ca
this 28 day of September 1841.

Delivered in Court this 28th day of September 1841.

By order of the Court
(Signed) H. Wood
Acting Register

The fair Copy of this Decree having been signed and sealed on 13th October 1841. The period of special appeal will expire on the 12th January 1842.-

(Signed) H. Wood
Acting Register

True Copy
(Signed) W.C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge.
Decree

Fort St. George 9th November 1841.

No. 5

Decree of the Provincial Court of Appeal No. Dr. Masulipatam Zillah Court file Original on suit No. 1354 of 1837. -

Provincial Court file -

Appellants.

Londasawabos & Soobana. Vakeel G. Seetarama Deetcha: Sootoo.

Appeal suit No. 27 of 1840

Respondent.

G. A. Smith Esqre Coll. of Rajahmundry

Gouernment Vakeel S. Seetia

(Signed) T. Horsley
Acting 1st Judge

Para 1. The Plaintiffs, now appellants, in their Plaint stated that their father purchased at Public auction a piece of Lakeraze land, situated at Bundaroo Lanka and obtained a Bill of sale dated 28th April 1810. -

2. In December 1824 the acting Collector Mr. Lindersley ordered that no Cuttoobady tax should be paid for the land. In 1825 the Cuttoobady tax was fixed at Rupees 16 Cr. annum, and has been paid. The Plaintiffs applied to the Collector but got no redress. - They therefore sue for the Rupees 193. the amount of the tax paid from 1825 to 1834. and also prays that no tax shall hereafter be collected from the land. —

The

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

3. The Defendant in his answer states that the land is not Lakeraze, but Jerayetta, and subject to the settlement of the tax. - This land is not entered as Lakeraze in Circar's accounts when in possession of the original Proprietor who gave it to one Rundee, that the original Proprietor always paid a tax of Rupees 16-2-8 per annum. - The land was sold by the Zillah Court in 1810. In 1826 the Collector Mr. Hanbury ordered the tax to be collected, and it has been paid ever since. Mr. Lindersley never gave any order on the subject.

4. The acting Judge in his Decree states that the Bill of sale merely mentions the land as Manyum, had it been a Lakeraze it would have been so specified. - Plaintiffs witnesses in no way support their assertion that it is Lakeraze and Plaintiffs themselves withdrew their documents. their 1st witness was ordered to bring with him. -

5. The acting Judge therefore dismissed the Plaintiffs claim with costs.

6. The Plaintiffs being dissatisfied with their Decree appealed therefrom. -

7. In their appeal Petition they state that it was mentioned in the Bill of Sale

sale that it was Lakerauze land and
that was the reason the sum of Rupees
220. was paid for the 1/4 Poolly, and
the evidence of the appellants in
this case proves that no tax was
collected on this land, that Respon-
dents documents are not signed
by any one, and that no tax was col-
lected on this land until 1825. -

8. The land now in dispute
is not Lakeraze that it was not en-
tered in accounts as Enam, nor
is the name of Nundlee's father en-
tered who received the land in gift, en-
tered in the accounts, the land is
entered as forming part of the
Amalapoor Estate, that there are no
accounts in the Collector's Cutchery
which do not bear the Collector's
signature, that this tax was
collected up to fusly 1212 after
which it ceased and was again
collected when it came under
the Government in fusly 1225. -

The

9. The witnesses for appellants
allway that the land was given to the
father of Nundee after the permanent
assessment, that a tax was fixed on land
which had been given after the assess-
ment, that this land was Jeroyatte
land prior to the permanent assess-
ment, and was afterwards entered
as Manneyum. -

10. From the evidence of appellants
witnesses it is satisfactorily proved that there
was no authority for entering the land
as Lakeraze, and if the appellants
thought they had good grounds to re-
sist the payment for the tax they would
not have continued paying the tax
for nearly twelve years before they
brought a suit to try the right of
taxing them. -

11 On the above grounds the Decree
in original suit is confirmed and the
appellants will pay all costs in appeal. -

12. It is resolved that no private
adjustment of this decree shall be
allowed or deemed valid unless the
terms of such adjustment shall
have

have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent.—

The value of Lakeraze land including its costs being Rupees. } "-"-"- 352-"-"

Fees according to Section XXV of Regulation XIV of 1816.—

To T. Seetiah Government Vakeel on behalf of the Respondent— } 17-9-6

To T Seetaramia Deetchatooloo Pleader on behalf of the Appellant— } 17-9-6

Costs incurred by the Respondent — 35-3-"

No.
12-3. Rolls of Stampt papers for the answer— } 12-"-"

Costs incurred in the lower Court as per its decree— } 24-9-6

36 9 6

Rolls

No.
1-2 Rolls of Stampt papers for a brief Petition of Appeal— }

Value of the 1st Paper — 16-"-" }

Do 4 four additional Papers - 16-"-" } 32-"-"

5 / Do for a Vakalutnama - 1-"-"

4-3 Rolls of Stampt Papers for separate Pleadings— } 12-"-"

Costs incurred in the lower Court as per its decree.— } 39-"-"

84-"-"

155-12-6

Deduct.

Amount already paid by the appellant.—

Fees for his own pleader — 17-9-6

Value of the Stampt Papers Vide 84-"-" } 101-9-6

54-3-"

The

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

The above sum of Rupees 54-3-11 should be made good by the appellant.-

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 17th day of October 1842.-

By order of the Court

(Signed) E.B. Glass.

Acting 2nd Judge for

Register.

Delivered in Court this 17th day of October 1842.

The fair Copy of this decree having been signed and sealed on 2nd December 1842, the period of appeal will expire on 2nd March 1843.-

(True Copy)

(Signed) H.C. Montgomery

Commissioner in Charge.

Decree

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No. 6.

Decree of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the N.D.

As. No. 528 of 1834 Rajahmundry Zillah Court file.

As. No. 32 of 1840 Provincial Court file.

Appellant Respondents

Padoosha Sahel - Versus - A. Crawley Esqre.

In person. Collector of Rajahmundry and Meer Shums uddeen (ex parte)

(Signed) John Floreky Government Vakeel -

Agt. 1st Judge G. Seetha for 1st Respt.

L.S.

1. The Plaintiff now appellant, in his Plaint states that his maternal grand father had 16 Pootties of land in Coomara-=davam, and 18 Pootties in Doomvaro Village which had been granted in Enam to his ans-=cestors Abdoolnafal Khan, that his grand-father, on his marriage gave 16 Pootties in Coomaradavam to his wife Rubeya Bayyum grand mother of Plaintiff, and his younger brother. She gave 5 Pootties of her land to Plain-=tiff's younger brother, and these 5 Pootties were declared by the Mooftey of the Court in 1806 to be the property of Plaintiff's younger bro-=ther. the jageers of Raja Soharolla Khan were resumed in 1794. Mr. Robertson assumed the lands. that Mr. Branfill, when he resumed the

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

the Jageer is left the 5 Potties in Plaintiff's pos-
=session; that the Mahaware was paid to Plain-
=tiff upto the year 1825; the 2d Defendant is
grandson of Soharoollah Khan's step Sister, he
claims the lands as precluded in the 7th
Saveram lands the property of Soharoollah Khan's
grand mother; Plaintiff sues to recover from
1st Defendant 23 Rupees, being the Cuttalady
tax collected from him for 1833. and that he
may be exempted from the payment of
this sum in future.-

2 The 1st Defendant, in his answer
states that, the Jageer was resumed and an
assessment was fixed on the land, the pay-
=tion of this payable on the 5 Potties is 23
Rupees per annum; this was collected from
1826. that after paying the Mahaware to
Plaintiff, the land was to be assessed at the
pleasure of the Government. that this land
is not entered in the Sunnud alluded to
in the Plaint, that on the other 10 Potties
the tax has been levied and paid.-

3 The 2d Defendant in his answer
states that the land was not acquired as stated
in the Plaint, that in 1763 Soharoollah Khan
gave 15 Potties of land to his sister, Plaintiff's grand-
=mother

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

mother, and at her death 5 Potties were given
to her daughter, 5 Potties to Plaintiff's younger
brother, and 5 Potties to another of her daughters.

4 The Plaintiff in his reply
states that 1st Defendant had not shewn
that the lands belonging to Soharoollah Khan,
that the Collector before registering sunnud
ascertained that the 30 Potties belonged to
Abdoolnafah Khan, that the French Govt.
in 1770 recognized the 30 Potties of land as belong-
=ing to the father of Soharoollah Khan, and to the hus-
=band of his maternal grand father, that the Savaram
land does not belong to Soharoollah Khan's family.-

5 The 1st Defendant in his rejoinder
states that all the documents shew that the
land belonged to Soharoollah Khan.-

6 The 2d Defendant in his Rejoinder
states that the document dated 1770 does
not bear the signature of the French Gover-
=nor. it only has his seal and this document
does not prove that the lands belong to Ab-
=doolnafah Khan.-

7 The Zillah Judge in his decree
states that the Plaintiff has failed to shew
that he is entitled to the land free of tax,
neither has he shewn that the Government
pledged

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

pledged itself not to resume the lands of Joharoollakhan and that Plaintiff had a legal title and possession of this land; the Plaintiff has failed to prove that the land was the property of Abdoolnafakhan. the registry of the land does not bar the Government from resuming it; no good cause is shewn why the Plaintiff should not pay the Cuttoolady tase and Plaintiffs name not being in the Doombala accounts is strongly against his title to the land; the Plaintiff was therefore nonsuited with Costs.

8 The Plaintiff being dissatisfied with this decree appeals therefrom.-

9 In his appeal Petition he states that he never said that this land belonged to Joharoollakhan; that as this man was the Head of the family some of the Doombalahs were made out in his name; nevertheless these lands do not belong to him; that the Doombalahs of 30 Pottias were registered in the name of Joharoollakhan, on account of the summuds of the lands of Abdoollanafakhan; that the collectors receipts for Peyatag will prove to whom the lands belong; that the Purwanahs filed by Appellant shew the land belong to Abdoolna-

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Abdoolnafakhan; that the document filed by him in original suit prove his claim to the land and that no tase was placed on this land. This point the Respondents witnesses also prove.-

10 The 1st Respondent in his answer states that the Doombalahs prove that the lands belong to Joharoollakhan.- Abdoolnafakhans name is not in the Public accounts; that other persons who held ten Pottias of the 15 Pottias have been tased and paid the Cuttoolady without any objection. the appellant alone has objected for the 5 Pottias he holds.-

11 The appellant asserts that the 5 Pottias were never the property of Joharoollakhan. He states that 30 Pottias of land in the Village of Doonmaroo, and Coomaradavum were given in Enam by Mohamed Caubulkhan to Abdoolnafakhan. This mans grandson Mohamed Shariff married Rubeya Bagam sister of Joharoollakhan and on the marriage he settled 15 Pottias on Coomaradavam his wife; that she gave 5 Pottias to her grandson the appellants younger brother.

The

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

12 The appellants' document No. 2 is an order dated 16th August 1811 made by Mr. Murray the collector on a petition presented by Seddoo Shaib (appellant) Hoossain Alla Saheb, Saheb Jan grandsons of Joharoollakhan. From the order it appears that Petitioner had applied to have lands registered in their names.-

13 Mr. Murray states that as it appears from the decree passed by the Provincial Court of appeal that Raja Soharoollakhan has no right to give lands which were procured by his forefathers without the Consent of his Coheirs, I cannot make a separate register of the land.-

14 Defendants document No. 1 marked I is a letter from Mr. Robertson Collector of Rajahmundry dated 15th March 1823 to the Board of Revenue.-

15 This letter was written in consequence of a Petition presented by Raja Mohomed a grandson of Rubeya Bayyam to the Board of Revenue. In this Petition he represents himself as the grandson of Raja Soharoollakhan.-

16 This relationship Mr. Robertson

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Robertson shews to be false. Mr. Robertson then proceeds to say (Para 6) that on the death of Joharoollakhan in 1794 his nephew Mohomed Akbar applied for the Jaghiere, but as it had been granted for only life to Joharoollakhan the claim in November 1795 was disallowed by the Board of Revenue (Para 7) a list of Jaghieres and Enam lands was made out by the Collector Mr. Bramfill, but in this list a great portion of the land was Concealed.-

17 Of these concealed lands (Para 10) 65 Pottahs had been assigned by Joharoollakhan some time before his death to a Braminy named Groondoomalla Cristmiah who was to pay 3 Pagodas per pottah. This man it is supposed made over these 65 Pottahs to the Zemindar Canakerlapoody Jugganadharauze. he paid the rent for some and about the year 1800 stopped payment.

18 On the Establishment of the Court of Rajahmundry (Para 11) Moorjohan Begum the principal wife of Joharoollakhan and others of the family Viz. (Para 15) Pandoosha Saheb (appellant) Hoossainoolla Saheb, Raja Mohomed Petitioners grand children of Rubeya Bayyam instituted a

a suit against Jaggannadharauze for the
payment of the rent or the restoration of
the land, the decree in original Suit, appeal
Suit and Special appeal, dated 1st September
1807, were in Plaintiffs favor.-

19 In December 1813, Para 13,
Padoosha Saheb, Appellant, applied to the
Zillah Court to restore to him 15 Candies of
land at Conaradavenn.-

20 In Para 18 of Mr. Robertson's
letter is the distribution of the Cuam lands
in which list Padoosha Saheb's name
is entered 6 Pootties.-

21 From this report of Mr. Robert-
-son it is clearly proved that the 6 Pootties
did form part of the lands belonging to
the Jagheere, that the application to the
Collector in 1811 was for the registry of these
lands and that in making that applica-
-tion the grandsons of Rebeya Bayaud
did trace their right from Johaveallah Kham.

22 The Appellant asserts that
Mr. Branfill, when he resumed the
Jagheere, left the 6 Pootties in possession
of the Appellant but this was because
it was then unknown that other lands

except

except those assumed belonged to Joha-
-veallah Kham and on the discovery of the Con-
-cealed lands, the Secretary to the Revenue
Board, in his letter to the Collr. of Rajahmun-
-dry dated 28th April 1823, Para 7, Defend-
-ants document D, states that the Board
are of opinion that the Cuams should still
be left to the parties in whose possession
they have been found and those who de-
-rive benefit from the lands should lose
their proportion of the Pensions.-

23. Appellants document
No. 8 is an order from the Collector Mr.
Bayard to Appellant dated 11th December
1826 informing him that the Pension of
Rs. 92-6-0 has ceased, that on the 6 Pootties of
concealed land there has been taxe of Rupees
162-0-0 that from this there is a remission
of Rupees 69-10-0 leaving a balance of Rupees
92-6-0 and the taxe to be paid by him is
Rupees 23-0-0 liable to increase at the
pleasure of the Government.-

24 Appellants document No. 15 is
a Petition from Appellant to Mr. Bayard
objecting to the imposition of the taxe and
requesting a reference of this Petition to the

Board

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Board of Revenue. Mr. Bayard in his orders on this Petition dated 28th January 1827, refer to the orders of Government on the subject reject the Petition and declines forwarding to the Board of Revenue.-

25 By the appellants own statement he has been paying this tax from 1827 to 1833 and in 1834 filed this suit against the Collector for the tax of 1833.-

26 The appellant has entirely failed to make good his claim of exemption from the tax and therefore the decree of the Zillah Court is confirmed.-

27 The appellant to pay all costs in appeal suit.-

28 It is resolved that no private adjustment of this decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the Parties interested herein, and a made a Record of this Court with their unanimous Consent.-

Fees calculated on the sum of 230-12-- being the amount of appeal according to section XXV Regn.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Regn. XIV of 1816 to Government Vakeel in behalf of the 1st Respt. ------ 11 8 u

Costs incurred by the 1st Respt.

No. 8 Rolls of the stamps papers for the answer } --- 32 " "

Costs incurred in the Zilla Court as per its decree.

1st Respondents Pleaders fees 11 8 u

Costs incurred by the 2d Respt. 24 " "

110 " "

142 " "

Costs incurred by the Appellant.

No. 1 Roll of Stampt Papers for a brief Petition of appeal } 16 " "

No. 3-6 Rls of Do for separate Pleading 24 " "

Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per its decree -- } 70 6 u

110 6 u

263 14 u

Deduct.

Amount already paid by the Appellant } ------ 110 6 u

153 8 u

The

16982 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

The above sum of Company's Rupees 153-8-4 should be made good by the appellant to the Respts.

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court at Masulipatam this 2d day of Septr 1842.

Delivered in the this 2d day of September 1842.

By order of the Court

(Signed) E. B. Glass

Actg 2d Judge for Register

The fair copy of this decree having been signed and sealed on 12th December 1842 the period of special appeal will expire on the 11th March 1843.

(Signed) E. B. Glass

Actg 2d Judge for Register.

(True Copy)

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Commissioner in charge.

Decree.

16983 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No. 7

Decree of the Provincial Court of appeal Northern Division, Rajahmundry Zillah Court file Original Suit No. 449 of 1838 Provincial Court file Appeal Suit No. 13 of 1841.-

Appellant. Plaintiff	Respondents Defendants.
Gungapatroona Bhashaya / Carloo..	Mancheeranze Coo= =baroy doo..
P. Boocheesastry Vakeel	Heir and brother of the late 1st Defendant Parranze.

Present

(Signed) E. B. Glass

Acting 2d Judge.

2. G. A. Smith Esqre Collector of Rajahmundry

2- Defendant.

1st In person

2. By G. Seetiah Vakeel

(L. S.)

1. Plaintiff states this suit was brought for the recovery of Rupees 250 being the value of the damage done to his Paddy and Rs. 280 due for remissions, total 530 Rupees. He states he has a some zeroyatee land in the Village Polakooroo and the suit is fixed at 205 Rupees 14 annas, but as the proprietor

16984 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

of the land. took part of the Plaintiffs land for making Docks for his vessels, he made a remission of 70 Rupees per annum in Fusly $\frac{1244}{1834}$. second Defendant rented the village which was subrented to the de= =ceased, brother of the Defendant, Plaintiff applied for the usual remission, but De= =fendant refused to allow it, the 1st & 2nd Defendants prevented Plaintiff from thresh= =ing his Paddy whereby he sustained a loss of 218 Rupees. They also destroyed 32 Rupees worth of Paddy which was newly planted, the 3d Defendant ordered the Plaintiff to pay the whole sist, and refused afterwards to allow any re= =mission on the grounds that he had confirmed a Punchayets Decree whereby no remission could be granted. as De= =fendant threatened to let the land to another person, Plaintiff was forced to sign the Moochilka; Plaintiff sues for remission for four years at 70 Rupees per Annum, and also for damage done to his grain.

2 The 1st Defendant in answer states that the Proprietor never made any remission

16985 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

remission, and if any had been made, it would have been entered in the Moo= =chilka, when Plaintiffs land was mea= =sured by order of Collector an excess of 8 Candies, 12 8 10 m was found. The Collector however allowed no increase of sist to be made, no paddy or grain was des= =troyed. Plaintiff failed to make any appeal against the decision of the Collector, 3d Defendant in answer states that the land was measured by order of the late Collector, and 8 Candies 12 8 10 m were found in excess; no increase of sist was allowed, as the land was valued and was not worth more than the fixed sist; it appeared that the Plaintiff has always paid the fixed sist upto fusly $\frac{1243}{1833}$ and therefore the same sum was ordered to be continued= When Plaintiff refused to pay his ar= =rears due for 3 years, the Collector di= =rected both Defendants to let the land to some other person on which Plain= =tiff forthwith and of his free will executed the Moochilka for fusly $\frac{1247}{1837}$ If the Plaintiff had been dissatisfied with

with the Collectors decision, he should have appealed and not have brought a regular suit against him; The 2d Defendant filed no answer; The Plaintiff states the remission was never entered, because it was a private arrangement between him and the Proprietor, and the Collector did not draw out a regular decision.- 1st Defendant in rejoinder states, subsequently to the bringing of this suit Plaintiff has executed Moochilkas for Fuslies 1248 and 1249 and paid the full fixed sist. 3d Defendant in rejoinder states, on a complaint having been made he directed that if the ryots did not pay their sist, the land was to be let to others.-

Plaintiff filed 4 Documents and named 5 Witnesses.-

1st Defendant filed 4 Documents and named 5 Witnesses.-

3 Defendant filed 2 Documents but named no Witnesses.-

Plaintiffs Documents 4.	1st Defendants Documents
Witnesses 5.	Witnesses 5.
1 Poopoolee Narsimloo	1 Panoopatee Caumoodoo.
2 Ambaty Chinniah	2 Pootoolee Ramiah.
3 Gungapantroanee Chinna madhavaroydoo	3 Goammalla Kristnamah.
4	

4 Gazavully Nursingaroydoo	4 Woopala Vencataroydoo
5 Gadedosi Rajannah.	5 Goladavara Dhurmiah

3d Defendants Documents 2. Witnesses None

Plaintiffs Documents A.

26 a Petition by Plaintiff to the Collector requesting remission for fusly $\frac{1247}{1837}$ bearing the Collectors endorsement that if the Plaintiff refused to pay the fixed sist or to execute the proper Moochilka, the land was to be let to others.-

Document B. A Summud of the Proprietor dated 14th August 1834 remitting 70 Rupees of the Plaintiffs sist.-

Document C is a Decision of Collector dated 22d August 1837 stating that no increase beyond the fixed sist could be levied on Plaintiffs land.-

Document D. A Decision of the late Collector dated 3d December 1834 stating that the Proprietor could not receive more than the sist fixed in the Putta and Moochilka.-

Plaintiffs 1st Witness heard from the Villagers that the Proprietor used to

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

to give 70 Rupees remission to Plaintiff, saw the renter to seal the heaps of Paddy with Land in 1834. did not see the Paddy destroyed, was present when Collector directed Plaintiff to pay the fixed sist and then to make his complaint regularly.

2d. Witness states he saw Plaintiff willingly executed the Moochilka in the presence of the Collector, was present when Document B was given to Plaintiff by the Proprietors Goomastah, saw the accounts once and a remission of 70 Rupees is inserted both in the accounts of the Curnums and the Collector's Office.

3 Witness is relation of Plaintiff. states there never was any regular remission of 70 Rs. and there is no condition for remission in the Moochilka.

4th Witness same as 3d Witness, and adds the Plaintiff's Paddy was not destroyed. This witness is Curnum of Plaintiff's Village.

5th Witness was formerly Goo: mastah of the Proprietor and in 1833. the Proprietor ordered him to write document B. and the remission was made because the Proprietor had taken some of the land

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

land for Ship Docks. Identifies Document.

1st Defendants document A. 44. A Moochilka tendered by Plaintiff for $\frac{1247}{1837}$ agreeing to pay the fixed sist.

Document B. 44 similar Moochilka for $\frac{1249}{1839}$.

Document C 44. similar Moochilka for $\frac{1248}{1838}$.

Document D. 44. the Collectors Decision. This is a copy of Document C-26.

1st Witness never heard of any remission being granted to Plaintiff, saw Plaintiff voluntarily execute his Moochilka for $\frac{1249}{1839}$ in presence of the Collector.

2d Witness same as 1st Witness. no paddy belonging to Plaintiff was destroyed in $\frac{1245}{1835}$.

3d Witness same as the 1st Witness.

4th Witness same as the 2d Witness.

5th Witness same as the 1st Witness.

Defendants 6th & 7th Witnesses are dispensed with.

3d Defendants 1st Document A 42. A form showing the excess of land held

held by Plaintiff being 8 Candies — 12 Looms. 10 manikas.

Document B. 42. a Deposition given by Plaintiff before the Collector agreeing to pay the usual sist, dated 5th August 1837.-

3 The Acting Judge nonsuited the Plaintiff as he has altogether failed to prove the Plaint or any part thereof and fined him 30 Rupees under Section IX, Regulation II of 1802, as the Charges he has brought against the 1st and 2nd Defendants of having destroyed his Paddy is proved to be false by his own Witnesses and his accusation against the 3d Defendant of having forced him to sign the Moochilka is also a wilful and vexations misrepresentation of the Proceedings.-

Appeal Reasons.-

4 The Plaintiff Appeals from the said Decree saying that one drze addressed by the Proprietors and one report written by the Canpoos and Curnums which the Appellant states establish his claim

claim, as the Collector did not allow the copies, that he did not wilfully sign the Moochilka, but with a view to seek and redress, in future by a Public authority; that he did not nominate the Punchayets nor bind himself to their decision and therefore prays to reverse the lower Court's Decree ordering the remission of the fine imposed on him.-

5 The 1st Defendant in appeal answer as to the contradiction of the Plaintiffs witnesses, enters into a long argument, stating that as the Collector nominated the Punchayets, the Plaintiff had no cause to express either his dissent or consent, that the 1st Defendants defence was fully proved by Documents and witnesses, that the lower Court's decree is founded on Justice and the lower Court is right in fining the Plaintiff under Section IX, Regulation II of 1802, and prays to confirm the same, punishing the Plaintiff at the same time under Section XXXV, Regulation IV of 1802.-

The

6 The 3d Defendant in his answer states that the Proprietor not only wrote an urzee to the Collector admitting the claim but too saying it had been written by mistake and with=drawing it, which will be produced as well as that named by the Appellant. The Curnum and Village Moonsiff clearly deposed before the Collector that the Plaintiffs claim for remission was false and prays to confirm the lower Courts Decree which was founded on Justice.-

7 Having gone carefully and fully into the evidence as well as all Pleadings both original and appeal, as I am perfectly satisfied that no case that ever came in a Court was more clearly proved to be a false claim and that not one word of the statement of the Plaintiff is founded on truth.- in fact I perfectly agree with the Acting Judge. his statements against the 1st and 2d Defendants as to having destroyed his Crops was a vexatious false=hood to give a colouring to a pretext for bringing the suit into any Court.-

His

His accusations of force used by the Collector is equally a barefaced false=hood and the Acting Judge did right if for that alone he had fined him. The appeal reasons are collections of trash and only a convincing proof that he would carry on as far as in his power to insult the authority of Government and annoy the other Defendants by a vexatious, litigious and groundless appeal. I there=fore dismiss the appeal with all Costs and confirm the lower Courts Decree and moreover order the Appellant to pay 100 Rupees fine under section XXXV Regulation IV of 1802 that is 50 Rupees in addition to the original fine by the Acting Judge.-

8 It is resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all parties interested herein and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent.-

Amount

	Rs a p	Rs a p
Amount of fine on the Appellant in the Zillah Court as per the decree of the Court.	50 " "	
In the Provincial Court	50 " "	
		100 " "
Fees for Pleaders calculated on 650 Rupees under Section XXV, Regulation XXV of 1816, to Gleetiah Government Vakeel Pleader of the 3d Respondent	32-8 "	
Do " to D. Boochiah Strooloo Pleader of the Appellant	32-8 "	
		65 " "
Costs incurred by the Respondent.		
Value of 8 Stampt Papers for Answer	32 " "	
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per the Decree of that Court	60-4 "	
		92-4 "
Costs incurred by the 3d Respondent.		
No 10. Value of 5 Stampt Papers for Answer	20 " "	
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per the decree of that Court	40 " "	
		60 " "
Costs incurred by the Appellant.		
No 1. Value of 1 Stampt Paper for a brief Petition of Appeal	32 " "	
No 3 Value of 1 Do for a Vakalutnamah	1 " "	
No 5 Value of 5 Do for Separate Pleadings	20 " "	
		53-8 "
Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per the Decree of that Court	87-8 "	
		140-8 "
Carried Forward		457-12-4

	Rs a p	Rs a p
Brought forward		457 12 "
Deduct.		
Amount already paid by the Appellant.		
Amount of fees deposited for his Pleader	32-8 "	
Amount of Stampt Duty &c.	148-8 "	
		173 " "
Balance		284-12 "

The above sum of Two hundred and eighty four Rupees and annas Twelve should be made good by the Appellant.-

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court at Masulipatam, this 20th Day of September 1842.-

Delivered in Court this 20th Day of September 1842.-

The fair copy of this Decree having been sealed and signed on 26th June 1843, the time of Special Appeal will expire on the 26th September 1842.-

By Order of the Court,
(signed) E. B. Glass
Acting 2d Judge
for Register.

(True Copy)
(signed) W. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge
Decree

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

No. 8.

Decree of the Provincial Court of Appeal Northern Division.

Masulipatam Zillah Court's file - A.S. No. 572 of 1839.

Provincial Court's file - A.S. No. 190 of 1841.

Appellant

Goorojanda Soondana, In person } vers: Respondents.

G. A. Smith Esqre Coll.r

Mr. Carcal Rd of Police of Coringa

G. Seetiah Govt. Vakeel for 1st Respondent.

2d Respondent Ex parte

Signed J Morley
Actg 1st Judge A.P.C.

1. The Plaintiff now appellant in his Plaint states that he was Sea Custom Dasher at Coringa, two Peons seized a weaver named Mullashah with three womens' Cloths in his profession, two of the cloths were stamped and for which the weaver had Rowanahs, the other Cloth was not stamped neither was there a rowanah for it, the amount entered in the Rowanahs was less than the value of the Cloths; the weaver being taken to 2d Defendant stated he had brought to the wrong rowanahs. 2d Defendant told him to bring the proper rowanahs, the weaver

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

weaver brought two other rowanahs for cloths valued at Rupees 10 each which he delivered to 2d Defendant who delivered to Plaintiff, he delivered the rowanahs and cloths to the Peon on guard, and directed him to deliver them to the Sayer Goomastha.

2 The 2d Defendant made a complaint to the Head Assistant Collector against Plaintiff for having allowed the weaver to substitute the two fresh rowanahs. The Head Assistant Collector dismissed the Plaintiff and fined him Rupees 50. this decision was confirmed by the Collector, and the Collector's Orders were confirmed by the Board of Revenue who directed Plaintiff to bring a regular Suit.

3 The Plaintiff therefore sues for the recovery of Rupees 50 and Rupees 3. 13. 8 as interest thereon.

4 The 1st Defendant in his answer states that it was fully proved that Plaintiff did combine with the weaver to defraud the Circar and to prevent the Cloths being confiscated, and

therefore

therefore he was punished.-

5 The Zillah Judge in his decree states that the sentence passed by the Head Assistant Collector was correct and consonant with the provisions of Section XXI Regulation I of 1812, as and that the suit is vexatious and litigious. He therefore fined the Plaintiff Rupees 25. the Plaintiff to pay all costs.-

6 The Plaintiff being dissatisfied with this Decree appealed therefrom.-

7 In his Appeal Petition he states that it is proved that he did not assist the weaver in substituting the false rowanahs, that he brought this suit by order of the Board of Revenue, that the suit is not litigious.-

8 The first Respondent in his Answer states that J. Mallasha the appellant's witness is the very person who attempted to smuggle the cloths. his evidence therefore is good for nothing. that Chendrapah a peon in his Deposition before

before the Head Assistant Collector stated the appellant put the additional rowanahs in the cloths.-

9. The appellant has failed to prove that he, by order of second Respondent took charge of the rowanahs for his first witness, disposes, he does not know to whom the weaver delivered the Rowanahs. The Peon Chendrapah in his deposition given on oath before the Head Assistant Magistrate (Document H) states the rowanahs were given to him by appellant and from the evidence of the 3d witness on behalf of Respondent it is proved that the stamps on the cloths were forgeries, and the rowanahs never were given for those cloths. The Board of Revenue did not order the appellant to file a suit, the Board merely say if the Appellant thinks fit, he may bring his case before the Courts, and it is impossible that appellant should have supposed that Board of Revenue had ordered him to sue for the recovery of the fine, after having recorded the opinion that it was justly

justly levied.

10.

The Zillah Judge, in dismissing the appellant's claim and imposing a fine for having filed a litigious suit, has acted properly.

11.

On the above grounds the Decree of the Zillah Judge is confirmed and the Appellant is decreed to pay all costs in appeal.

12.

It is resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid, unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein and made a record of this Court.

Fees to G. Seetiah Government Vakeel according to section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816 } 6-3-"

Costs incurred by Respondent.

No. 6. Value of 3 stamp papers for answer ---------- } 12-"-"

Costs incurred in the Zillah Court as per its Decree.

By the 1st Defendant — 21-10-6

By the 2d Defendant — 1-4-"

34-14-6

34-14-6

Costs incurred by the Appellant.

No. 1 Value of one Stampt. paper for a brief Petition of Appeal --- } 8-"-"

3 Do. 4 Do. for a separate Pleading --- } 16-"-"

Costs incurred as per Decree of the Zillah Court } 30-8-6.

54-8-6

Total Rupees - 95-10-"

Deduct

Already paid by Appellant 54-8-6.

Balance Rupees 41-1-6.

The above sum to be made good by Appellant to Respondent.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 21st day of October 1842.

Delivered in Court this 21st day of October A.D. 1842.

By order of the Court.

(Signed) E.B. Glass.

Acting 2d Judge for Regr

The fair Copy of this Decree

Sowing

1003 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

having been signed and sealed on the
16th December 1842, the time
specified in appeal will expire on the
16th March 1843.

Signed / C.S. Lloyd.
Secretary to Sudder Fun Regt
True Copy /
Signed / H. C. Montgomery.
Commissioner in Charge

Ordered to be
forwarded as a future day.
Read the 14th Ensuing Date

Cuddapah Collector's Cutcherry 1st October 1843 / Signed / R. T. Porter
Collector

List

(Read 14th Ensuing Date)
14-18

Cuddapah

1003. Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

(19)

No. 1.

List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered to the 30th September 1843

Date		Concise Abstract of their contents.	Remarks
1838 July	3d	Submitting opinion and information relative to the reduction of Peishcush on the Davercottah Zemindary.	
1842 April	11th	Submitting report on the survey and Assessment of the Government Village of Kytapully.	
May	16th	Reporting the result of the settlement effected with the creditors of the late Zemindar of Deeny by which the Bonded Debt which stood at Rupees 371,621 as 3 has been reduced to Rupees 100,851-4-2, and recommending the advance of that sum from the Public Treasury.	

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 1st October 1843. / Signed / R. T. Porter
Collector

List

1699

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

having been signed and sealed on
the 1st December 1843, the time
specified expires on
the 1st March 1844.

Signed E.B. Powley
Secretary to 3rd Judge
Sudder Court

Signed H.T. Prinsep
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon
(Read letter inclg 2 Papers
Recorded)

17-18

Forwarded as a future day.

Read the 5th Morning

Sent.

17004

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

20

No. 2.

List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining Unanswered to the 30th September 1843.

Date	Concise Abstract of their contents.	Remarks.
1843		
January 27th	To State whether any alteration in the Tariff Value of Gingle Oil seed is desirable.	The necessary Accounts have been received and a reply will be submitted.
August 29th	Forwarding for enquiry and report a Petition from the cultivating Ryots of Ellore Complaining of the levy of Extra Collections from them.	The claims of the Petitioners will be reported upon by a Separate letter.
November 3d	Calling for further information relative to the Poollary levied in Kyhaloor and Bhoojabala patam Mootahs.	The information received from the Tahsildar not being considered satisfactory he has been again called upon for

17003.

19

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No. 1.

List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered to the 30th September 1843

Date		Concise Abstract of their contents.	Remarks
1838 July	3d	Submitting opinion and information relative to the reduction of Peishcush on the Davercottah Zemindary.	
1842 April	11th	Submitting report on the Survey and Assessment of the Government Village of Kytapully	
May	16th	Reporting the result of the settlement effected with the Creditors of the late Zemindar of Deeny by which the Bonded Debt which stood at Rupees 371,621 as 3th has been reduced to Rupees 100,851-4-2, and recommending the advance of that sum from the Public Treasury.	

December 19th Forwarding Copy of a despatch from the Court of Directors and 1st part of the Glossary of Terms for interpreting therein all Matters before the District.

1843 March 23d Directing that a Tariff prepared for this Article will be submitted shortly for the Sanction of the Board.

June 19th Calling for the 1st part and succeeding parts of Glossary of Indian Terms.

Mr Secretary Taylor

Collr Customs

1st October 1843

12-21

Order on the two foregoing Letters

Read.

Ordered to be recorded.

J. Lawrence Collector

Signed J. R.

further information.

The Glossary is under preparation.

The rate of Tariff proposed for this Article will be submitted shortly for the Sanction of the Board.

The Glossary is under preparation.

Read.

17005

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following Letter

To C. B. Lowell Esq.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

(22)

From the Collr

Mr Secretary Taylor para 1

Sir,

Submitting Statements showing the Collections of the Transit Town & Frontier duties during the 3 last fasliees and for three official years 1842/43 and reporting on the results of the reduction of charges on the export of the Inland duties being enquired.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letters noted in the margin and to forward Statements A B & C of the transit Town and Frontier duties and the charges of Collection according to the forms received with the first of these communications.

2. These Statements will exhibit the gross Collections under the three heads above enumerated in fasliees 1248 1249 & 1250 as well as the charges of Collection of which an abstract is entered in the margin.

	Transit			Town			Frontier			Total		
Collections	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Fasly 1248	48,288	10	8	28,264	7	8	16,273	6	2	92,831	8	6
" 1249	47,285	11	11	28,938	"	5	17,085	9	2	93,309	5	6
" 1250	37,591	13	9	29,660	3	"	13,890	"	8	81,142	1	5
Total	1,47,166	4	4	86,867	11	1	47,249	"	"	2,67,282	15	5
Average	49,055	6	9	28,955	11	4	15,749	10	8	89,760	15	9
Average charges of the above 3 fasliees	9,762	1	8	6,986	15	"	4,792	8	"	21,541	8	8

3. The accompanying Statements D & E prepared agreeably

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

agreeably to the forms received with your letter dated 21st August last exhibit the Collections on account of the Frontier duty as well as the nature and cost of establishment during the official year 1842/43 as shown in the margin. Schedule F will show the names of the articles liable to Frontier duty and the rates at which it is levied.

Frontier duty Rs. a p. Collections 1307-15-6 Charges 472. 8-0

4 Statements G & H prepared according to the forms received with your letter dated 24th August last, will exhibit the Collections of Town and Transit duties and the charges of Collection during the official year 1842/43. The duty on Betel and Tobacco in Goodewaddy as well as that on Betel in Saggiapettah having been pointed out during the official year 1842/43 the Inland and Town duties on these articles have been separated and included under their respective Heads as also the value of the Remarks Column relating to the Venders at 5 per Cent, the remaining 5 per Cent on account of Commission to the Collector being carried to the Credit of Government

5 In this District the Frontier duty is collected on articles passing into the Nizams Dominions. It consists of two distinct parts the General transit duty at 2½ per Cent

Collected

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

collected on the 36 articles, and the other the Frontier duty strictly so called on the specific articles at the rates specified in Clauses 1st & 2nd Section XIX Regulation I of 1842. The Collections on account of Inland or Transit and Frontier Duties at 5 per Cent in the Frontier Divisions are so much blended with one another that they cannot now be separated without great labour and waste of time as it will be necessary to refer to the Export and Import Registers of Goods passing to and from the Nizams territories and even if that were done would not give the correct amount collected under the head of Inland duty, in consequence of the difficulty of distinguishing the quantity and value of goods which have actually passed the Frontier from that which was consumed in the District, tho' in both instances the Transit duty of 5 per Cent was collected.

Supposed Amount Rs. 3000

6 The total value of trade which passed the frontier during the official year 1842/43 amounted to Rupees 6,51,521-2-1 and the Inland duty at 5 per Cent on 36 articles amounted to Rupees 28,630-11-10 supposing the goods passing the Frontier Still liable to transit duty the Collections

	Value of 36 articles			Duty		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Goods imported from Nizams Dominions	2,17,448	11	11	1,852	4	5
Goods Exported into the Nizams Dominions on which the Inland Duty was paid both on the other Gallahs	2,95,569	2	2	14,778	7	5
Total	5,13,611	11	1	28,630	11	10

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Collections might be expected to amount to the abovementioned sum in the event of the Inland duty being relinquished being an increase of

		Rs.	a.	p.
Amount of duty shown above		25,232	11	10
Deduct Amount of Frontier duty as per Statement C. Para 5	13749-10-8			
Deduct Amount of Duty supposed to be included in the above sum as alluded in Para 8	3000-0-0			
		12749	10	8
		12881	1	2

Rupees 12881-2-2 above the Amount Viz. Rupees 13749-10-8 entered in Statement C. exclusive of the value of Rawanahs, unless it is intended that the duty should be collected on all articles indiscriminately according to the practice which it is understood obtains in the Nizams Dominions when a further increase might be expected.

7. The Nos of Chokies established at the Frontier up to June [1817 / A.D. 1857-58] was 28 when 13 of them were abolished as unnecessary in consequence of Annamy and the Establishment then entertained throughout the District being considered sufficient to check fraud and smuggling in the event of the Inland duty being abolished it will be necessary that the former Nos of Chokies should be kept up for the Collection of the Frontier duty as well as the detection of smuggling taking care that the charges of the Establishment do not exceed the present amount which is Rupees 14792-8-0 per Annum.

The

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

8 The articles upon which Town duty is collected under Regulation VI of 1822 are Tobacco Ganjah, Bang, Gudauk & Betel. The average Collections & the proportionate amount of charges under this head during fasles [1248 / A.D. 1838-39] - [1249 / 1839-40] and [1250 / 1840-41] amounted to Rupees 28,955-14-2 and Rupees 6980-15-0 respectively. No separate Establishment is employed for the Collection of these duties. The articles liable to this duty are subject to be smuggled, particularly the article of Tobacco. Several instances having occurred in which the Merchants have clandestinely dispatched large quantities to evade the payment of Circar duty by which there was a considerable falling off in the Collection of duties compared with former years the subject was taken into consideration by Messrs Stonhouse, Roper and Sleig who recommended to the Board the extensions of the provisions of Regulation III of 1820 to the Town of Masulipatam, which was done accordingly by Government. Arrangements are now in progress for carrying into effect the provisions of the Regulation in the course of which the employment of an additional Establishment for the Security of Revenue becomes expedient consequently no part of the charges above shown can be reduced.

Vide Statement A

9. There are only 19 Towns at which this duty is now collected, but these were established so far

far back as 1815 and require great modification both as to their localities and their number by which it may be hoped that the loss which may occur by the abolition of Inland duty, be made up in some degree. Orders have been issued for the required investigation and a revised list will be submitted hereafter for sanction together with a Statement of charges should it be found necessary.

10 With reference to Para 3 of your letter dated 8th August last I beg to forward a Statement I showing the estimated Net receipts from Exports and Imports by Sea by the adoption of Bengal Schedules of Sea Custom duties calculated on the average value of Exports and Imports by Sea during Fasties 1248 to 1250 and 1840 to 1840 and taking into account the full Sea Duty including the Inland duty of 5 per Cent and the result shows a decrease of Rupees 6,315 7-3 below the average actual receipts of Sea duties during the period specified above, and there appears no probability of this being made up, as no coasting trade is carried on in this District as in Calcutta.

11 Adverting to Para 2 of the letter last referred to, requesting to know how far the Nuzzor and other Sayr establishments in this District could be reduced in the event of the Inland Frontier duties being retained, I beg to state that the amount of charge that could be reduced consistently with real efficiency amounts to Rupees 9762-1-8 but it must be borne in mind that notwithstanding the reduction in the Establishment at the Nuzzor some provision

provision must be made for the performance of the duties connected with the Marine, Abkary and Sea Custom Departments the accounts of which are at present conducted by the General Sayer Establishment and for which no separate Establishment is allotted.

12. The annexed Abstract will show the result of the Inland duties being foregone—

Average Collections of Inland duty published	Cds 49,055	a/c 6 9
Value of the Stamp Rowanahs on account of Do	397	1 1
Supposed amount of Inland duty included in the amount of frontier duty alluded to in Para 8	3000	" "
	53,452	7 10
Deduct		
Charges of Establishment on account of Transit or Inland duties.		
Nuzzor	654	" "
District	8,696	" "
Sadarwanad &c.	630	1 8
Commission on Stamp Rowanahs	2762	1 8
	154	11 "
	9916	15 8
Net	43,535	8 2
Expected increase in the Collections of frontier duty above the present Collections	12,881	1 2
Value of Stamp Rowanahs after deducting Commission	579	5 10
Difference between the amount of full Sea Duty chargeable on Goods Exported by Sea & that now levied on that account in the land Customs	4729	11 5
	18,190	2 5
Expected Net loss in the event of the Inland duties being relinquished	27,015	5 9

Masulipatam Zillah Collector's Circuit Cutchery at Callapelly 20th October 1843.

Signed R. J. Cotton
Collector

Statement

17010

Fort St. George 9th November 1818.

far back as 1815 and require great modification both as to their localities and their number by which it may be hoped that the loss which may occur by the abolition of Inland duty be made up in some degree. Orders have been issued for the required information and a revised list will be submitted hereafter for sanction together with a Statement of charges should it be found necessary.

10 With reference to Para 3d of your letter dated 10th August last I beg to forward a Statement I shewing the estimated Gross receipts from Exports and Imports by Sea by the adoption of Bengal Schedules of Sea Custom duties calculated on the average value of Exports and Imports by Sea during Fusslies 1218 / A.D. 1808/09 to 1249 / A.D. 1839/40 and taking into account the full Sea Duty including the Inland duty of 5 per Cent and the result shows a decrease of Rupees 1,345 7-2 below the average actual receipts of Sea duties during the period specified above, and there appears no probability of this being made up, as no coasting trade is carried on in this District as in Calcutta.

11 Adverting to Para 2 of the letter last referred to, requesting to know how far the Nuzzer and other Sayer establishments in this District could be reduced in the event of the Inland Frontier duties being retained, I beg to state that the amount of charge that could be reduced conveniently with real efficiency amounts to Rupees 2762-1-8 but it must be borne in mind that notwithstanding the reduction in the Establishment at the Nuzzer Cutcherry provision

17012

A Statement shewing the amount of Sea Custom duty collected at the Ports of Tranquebar, Cuddalore, Porto Novo, Sadras, Pulicat, Armagon, Nizampatam, Vizagapatam, Ingeram, Ganjam, Manjeram, Calingapatam, Coringa, Yanaon, Masulipatam, Petapoly, Durgarazpatam, Madras, Sadras, Pondicherry, Carical, Negapatam, Porto Novo, Cuddalore, Tranquebar, Devipatam, Tondy, Mutupet, Adirampatam, Ramnad, Tuticorin, Manapad, Colachel, Anjengo, Tellicherry, Cannanore, Mangalore, Honore, Carwar, Goa, Damaun, Bombay, Surat, Broach, Cambay, Gogo, Bhownagar, Mocha, Jeddah, Muscat, Bussorah, Bushire, Lingah, Gombroon

Fort St George

9th November 1843

Abstract of the amount of Collections and Charges on account of Transit Town and Frontier duties collected during Fasles 1248, 1249, & 1250

Gross Collections						Charges on account of the Collections of Transit duty		Charges on account of the Collections of Town duty			
Transit duty	Town duty	Frontier duty	Total	Establishment	Sadorwards	Maramut	Total	Establishment	Sadorwards	Maramut	Total
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
68288 11 8	28269 7 8	10273 0 2½	92831 8 6½	9132 " "	621 " "	4 " "	9760 " "	6798 " "	183 " "	3 8 "	6984 8 "
17285 11 11	28908 " 5	17285 9 2	93309 5 6	9132 " "	621 " "	10 " "	9760 " "	6798 " "	183 " "	10 " "	6991 " "
3591 13 9	29662 3 "	13890 " 8	90742 1 5	9132 " "	621 " "	4 5 "	9760 5 "	6798 " "	183 " "	4 5 "	6985 5 "
Total 147166 4 4	86367 11 1	47249 " ½	281282 15 5½	27396 " "	1872 " "	18 5 "	29280 5 "	20394 " "	549 " "	17 13 "	29960 13 "
Average 49055 " 9	28955 11 4	15749 " 8	93760 15 9	9132 " "	624 " "	6 " 18	9762 4 8	6798 " "	183 " "	5 15 "	6986 15 "

17013

Fort St. George 9th November 1813.

in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Charges on account of Frontier Chowkies. Establishment &c.

Establishment	Saderwared	Paramut	Total	Establishment	Saderwared	Paramut	Total
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
4,698 — —	94 8 —	— — —	4,792 8 —	20,628 — —	91 8 —	1 8 —	21,537 — —
4,698 — —	94 8 —	— — —	4,792 8 —	20,628 — —	90 8 —	21 — —	21,547 8 —
4,698 — —	94 8 —	— — —	4,792 8 —	20,628 — —	90 8 —	8 10 —	21,538 2 —
14,094 — —	283 8 —	— — —	14,377 8 —	61,884 — —	270 4 —	36 2 —	64,624 10 —
4,698 — —	94 8 —	— — —	4,792 8 —	20,628 — —	90 8 —	12 8 —	21,541 8 8

Masulipatam Zillah Collector's Circuit Cutcherry 26 Octr. 1813

E. E. per/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector

Statement

| | | |

1701[illegible]

[illegible]

Mr. [illegible]

[illegible]

Mr. [illegible]

Mr. [illegible]

Mr. [

| | | |

17015.

Fort St George 9th November 1824.

		CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.
2 Chullapully Punchotra	Tobacco	114	11	"	116	10	8	115	3	4	346	9	"	115	8	4
	Betel	17	12	2	58	11	9	17	12	8	94	4	7	31	6	10
	Ganjah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Bhung	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Goodauk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		132	7	2	175	6	5	133	"	"	440	13	7	146	15	2
Bezeerah Punchotra	Tobacco	182	13	5	164	11	9	190	6	6	537	15	8	179	5	3
	Betel	67	12	5	45	12	2	49	11	3	153	3	10	51	1	3
	Ganjah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	7	"	6	7	"	6	7
	Bhung	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Goodauk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		240	9	10	210	7	11	240	8	4	691	10	1	230	13	1
Condapully	Tobacco	498	13	"	507	5	6	517	5	6	1523	8	"	507	13	4
	Betel	89	"	6	86	14	1	101	3	10	277	2	5	92	6	2
	Ganjah	1	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	8	6
	Bhung	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Goodauk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		588	13	6	594	4	7	618	9	4	1801	11	5	600	12	"
3 Bezeerah Mylasaram	Tobacco	115	11	8	117	2	4	91	10	8	324	8	8	108	2	11
	Betel	20	8	5	21	3	6	19	12	6	61	8	5	20	8	1
	Ganjah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Bhung	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Goodauk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		136	4	1	138	5	10	111	7	2	386	1	1	128	11	4
Goodjoor	Tobacco	21	5	8	15	12	8	22	8	"	59	10	4	19	14	1
	Betel	4	1	6	4	7	4	6	1	10	14	10	8	4	14	3
	Ganjah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Bhung	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Goodauk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		25	7	2	20	4	"	28	9	10	74	5	"	24	12	4
Total	Tobacco	818	11	9	805	"	3	821	14	8	2445	10	8	815	3	7
	Betel	171	6	10	158	5	1	176	13	5	506	9	4	168	13	9
	Ganjah	1	"	"	"	1	"	"	6	7	1	7	7	"	7	10
	Bhung	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Goodauk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		991	2	7	963	6	4	999	2	8	2953	11	7	984	9	2

17016

Fort St George 9th November 1823.

		Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P
Juggiahpett Punchatra.	Tobacco	163 9 11	. . .	163 9 11	. . .
	Betel	47 2 9	. . .	47 2 9	. . .
	Ganjah	. . .	1 6 .	1 6 .	. . .
	Bhung	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	Goodauk	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
		210 12 8	1 6 .	212 2 8	. . .
4 Juggiahpett Ramanahpett	Tobacco	27 . 6	. . .	27 . 6	. . .
	Betel	8 13 7	. . .	8 13 7	. . .
	Ganjah	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	Bhung	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	Goodauk	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
		35 14 1	. . .	35 14 1	. . .
Total	Tobacco	190 10 5	361 . .	1431 . .	982 10 5	327 8 10
	Betel	56 . 4	78 12 .	904 .	225 . 4	75 . 1
	Ganjah	. . .	1 6 .	. . .	1 6 .	1 6 .
	Bhung	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	Goodauk	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
		246 10 9	441 2 .	521 4 .	1209 . 9	403 14 11
5 Tuwore Purchotra	Tobacco	40 6 8	37 14 8	33 8 8	111 12 .	37 4 8
	Betel	2 6 9	4 2 8	3 3 8	9 13 1	3 4 4
	Ganjah	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	Bhung	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	Goodauk	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
		42 13 5	42 1 4	36 12 4	121 11 1	40 9 .

17017

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

			£	R	A	£	R	A	£	R	A	£	R	A	£	R	A
6 Ellore	Ellore Punchatra	Tobacco	3509	5	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	3509	5	9	-	-	-
		Betel	610	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	610	-	-	-	-	-
		Ganjah	123	9	8	61	9	8	98	4	3	283	7	7	94	7	11
		Bhung	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Goodauk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			4242	15	5	61	9	8	98	4	3	4402	13	4	94	7	11
	Sanevarapetah	Tobacco	310	13	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	310	13	4	-	-	-
		Betel	59	14	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	14	10	-	-	-
		Ganjah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Bhung	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Goodauk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			370	11	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	370	11	10	-	-	-
Total		Tobacco	3820	2	9	3536	-	-	3539	-	-	10895	2	9	3631	11	7
		Betel	669	14	10	912	-	-	912	-	-	2493	14	10	831	4	11
		Ganjah	123	9	8	61	9	8	98	4	3	283	7	7	94	7	10
		Bhung	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Goodauk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			4613	4	3	4509	9	8	4549	4	3	13672	9	2	4557	8	4
7 Gollapully	Gollapully	Tobacco	278	4	6	248	2	10	257	14	4	784	5	8	261	7	3
		Betel	72	12	11	98	6	6	121	-	8	292	4	1	97	6	8
		Ganjah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Bhung	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Goodauk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			351	1	5	346	9	4	378	15	-	1076	9	9	358	13	10

17018

Fort St George 9th November 1843.

			Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Ralunghy Punchatra.		Tobacco	53	7	2	47	11	2	46	7	7	147	9	11	49	3	4
		Betel	6	.	8	5	10	.	5	13	8	17	8	4	5	13	5
		Ganjah	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Bhung	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Goodauk	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
			59	7	10	53	5	2	52	5	3	165	2	3	55	.	9
Pentapaud		Tobacco	57	12	6	30	6	6	24	5	11	106	8	11	35	8	4
		Betel	4	4	8	2	3	10	1	11	4	8	3	6	2	11	10
		Ganjah	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Bhung	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Goodauk	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
			56	1	2	32	10	4	26	.	11	114	12	5	38	4	2

8 Ralunghy

Aukeeds		Tobacco	108	.	.	108	.	.	76	5	4	292	5	4	97	7	1
		Betel	2	.	.	1	11	.	1	15	8	5	10	8	1	14	3
		Ganjah	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Bhung	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Goodauk	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
			110	.	.	109	11	.	78	5	.	298	.	.	99	5	4
Total		Tobacco	213	3	8	186	1	8	147	2	10	526	8	2	182	2	9
		Betel	12	5	4	9	8	10	9	8	4	31	6	6	10	7	6
		Ganjah	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Bhung	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		Goodauk	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
			225	9	.	195	10	6	156	11	2	577	14	8	192	10	3

17019 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

		Pdhs.	A	P	Pdhs	A	P	Pdhs	A	P	Pdhs	A	P
9 Goodewadah Goorazah Punchotra.	Tobacco	8	12	3	15	0	0	16	0	0	39	12	3
	Betel	4	3	11	6	8	0	8	0	0	18	11	11
	Ganjah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Bhung	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Goodauk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		13	0	2	21	8	0	24	0	0	58	8	2
Grand Total	Tobacco	20535	5	8	21456	8	7	21461	15	0	63453	13	3
	Betel	7393	9	2	7113	13	0	7834	10	6	22342	0	8
	Ganjah	329	8	8	350	1	5	335	3	7	1014	13	8
	Bhung	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Goodauk	11	0	2	17	9	5	28	5	11	56	15	6
	Total	28269	7	8	28938	0	5	29660	3	0	86867	11	1

28955	14	4			

Masulipatam Zillah,
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry.
Cullapully 26th October 1843.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.
Statement

17020 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

C. Statement shewing the amount of Frontier Duty collected for fusilies 1248-1249 & 1250 in the Zillah of Masulipatam.—

					Collections at each Chowkey										
	Rent on Betel and Tobacco Duties				250	0	0	360	0	0	610	0	0	305	0
	Grand Total	16273	6	2½	17085	9	2	13890	0	8	47249	0	½	15749	10

Masulipatam Zillah.—
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry,—
at Cullapully 26th October 1843.—

E. E. Jr. (Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.
Statement

Chowkies on the Frontier situated on the borer of the Nizams	Cumblies	Nos	1096			2169	12	0	Ds	Ds		108	15	9	
	Cotton		10	7		820	2	0	At 8 Ds	Ds		7	3	2	Vide Original MR
	Cardamoms		3	7	22	2104	5	6	At 5 Ds	Ds		120	3	9	
	Commin Seed		0	4	28¼	9	10	6	Ds	Ds		0	7	8	

17019 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

		CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P
9 Goodewadah Goorazah Punchatra	Tobacco	8	12	3	15	.	.	16	.	.	39	12	3	13	4	1
	Betel	4	3	11	6	8	.	8	.	.	18	11	11	6	3	11
	Ganjah	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Bhung	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Goodauk	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
		13	.	2	21	8	.	24	.	.	58	8	2	19	8	.
Grand Total	Tobacco	20535	5	8	21456	8	7	21461	15	.	63453	13	3	21157	4	5
	Betel	7393	9	2	7113	13	.	7834	10	6	22342	.	8	7447	5	6
	Ganjah	329	8	8	350	1	5	335	3	7	1014	13	8	338	4	7
	Bhung	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Goodauk	11	.	2	17	9	5	28	5	11	56	15	6	18	15	10
	Total	28269	7	8	28938	.	5	29660	3	.	86867	11	1	28955	14	4

Masulipatam Zillah,
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry,
Cullapully 26th October 1843.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) R. J. Parter
Collector.

Statement

17020 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

C. Statement shewing the amount of Frontier Duty collected for fusleis 1248-1249 & 1250 in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Names of the Frontier Chowkies		Collections at each Chowkey Fusly 1248.			Fusly 1249.			Fusly 1250.			Total			Average		
1		2			3			4			5			6		
		CR.	A.	P.	CR.	A.	P.	CR.	A.	P.	CR.	A.	P.	CR.	A.	P.
Juggiahpettah Talook	Juggiahpettah Punchatra	7629	2	6	8008	12	5	3857	11	11	19495	10	10	6498	8	11
	Mulkapooram Chowkey	499	7	1	523	13	.	654	13	10	1698	1	11	566	.	8
	Jayenty Chowkey	301	10	7	193	6	5	293	10	6	988	11	6	329	9	2
	Ramanapettah Chowkey	785	7	1	727	11	11	826	9	3	2289	12	3	763	4	1
	Mookteyala Dr.	153	3	2	101	1	2	85	3	11	339	8	3	113	2	9
	Moonegala Dr.	1978	9	2	2653	.	8	2898	12	7	7530	6	5	2510	2	2
	Lingajerry Dr.	158	4	9	70	9	9	141	7	2	370	5	8	123	7	2
	Total	11655	12	4	12298	7	4	8758	5	2	32712	8	10	10904	2	11
	Rent on Betel & Tobacco Duties	.	.	.	250	.	.	360	.	.	610	.	.	206	.	.
	Total	11655	12	4	12548	7	4	9118	5	2	33322	8	10	11107	8	3
Tirwore Dr.	Tirwore Punchatra	1237	4	5	1179	.	11	1160	14	7	3577	3	11	1192	6	8
	Roypoody Chowkey	390	7	8	273	15	2	372	6	11	1036	13	9	345	9	11
	Wootetoor Dr.	619	15	3	492	3	11	524	11	2	1636	14	4	545	10	1
	Vamoored dypully Dr.	416	9	7	530	1	.	495	.	4	1441	10	11	480	8	11
	Chintalapoody Dr.	1050	11	11	1075	7	7	1234	.	.	3360	3	6	1120	1	2

Rents on Betel and Tobacco Duties				250	360	610	305	
Grand Total				16273	6 2½	17085	9 2	13890

							8 47249	½ 15749 10 8

Masulipatam Zillah. — — —
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, — —
at Cullapully 26th October, 1843. —

E. E. Jr. / Signed R. I. Porter
Collector.

Statement

Chowkies on the frontiers situated on the borders of the Nizams Dominions

Cumblees	No.	1096		2169 12	Do	Do	108 15 9	
Cotton	C 10	M 7	S —	820 2	At 8D	Do	7 3 2	Sic Original MS
Cardamoms	3	7	22	2404 5 6	At 5D	Do	120 3 9	
Commin Seed	—	4	28½	9 10 6	Do	Do	7 8	
Cocoanuts Dry	No.	4	44	6 9	Do	Do	5 11	
Coirsomba Flower	46	17	30	37648	6	Do	Do	1872 8
Ganjah	—	—	5 10/16	3 7 5	Do	Do	2 10	
Ghee	163	10	18¾	13082 14	—	Do	Do	655 14 8
Gingely Oil Seed	C 64	T 9	S 32	2708 15 4	Do	Do	135 13 3	
Gunnies	No.	2356½		1249 9 5	Do	Do	62 7 8	
Grain	C 87	T 5	S 34	2753 7 11	At 3½	Do	83 24	
Hides	No.	256		23 2 8	At 5D	Do	1 3 6	
Iron	C 89	Qu 15	S 5	3591 6	Do	Do	179 10 7	
Indego	—	—	30 10/16	27 6 9	Do	Do	1 6 2	
Saggery	5	10	30	136 15	Do	Do	6 13 7	
Sandal wood	—	—	13	2 9 6	Do	Do	2 3	
Sugar	—	—	20	1 —	Do	Do	— 10	
Salt Petre	—	—	1 5	1 11	Do	Do	— 1 5	
Silk	—	—	23 6/16	123 11 7	Do	Do	6 5 1	
Tobacco	31	—	38	1183 —	Do	Do	59 8 5	
Tamarind	1914	15	18¾	19152 9	Do	Do	957 15 2	
Thread (Cotton)	Twists	1804		282 —	At 8 per Cent		22 9 3	
Total				226126 4 11	—	—	11307 3 2	

1 Juggiahpettah Talook.

17019 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Dominions	Cocoanuts Dry			4	44	6 9	Do	Do		54
	Coiscomba Flower	46	17	30	37648	6	Do	Do	1372	8
	Ganjah			5 10/16		3 7 5	Do	Do		210
	Ghee	163	10	18 3/4	13082	14	Do	Do	653	148
	Gingely Oil Seeds	C 64	Q 9	L 32	2708	15 4	Do	Do	135	10 3
	Gunnies	No.	2356 1/2		1249	9 5	Do	Do	62	7 8
	Grain	C 87	Q 5	L 34	2753	7 11	At 3 p.	Do	83	24
	Hides	No.	256		23	2 8	At 5 8	Do	1	3 6
1 Juggiahpettah Talook.	Iron	C 89	Qr 15	L 5	3591	6	Do	Do	179	10 7
	Indego	Q	Q	L 30 10/16	27	6 9	Do	Do	1	6 2
	Saggery	5	10	30	136	15	Do	Do	6	13 7
	Lamp Oil Seeds	C 125	Q 9	L 20 1/2	3346	1 4	Do	Do	167	7 11
	Materials	No.	2095		1273	6 9	Do	Do	64	2
	Opium	C 23	Qr	L 11 13/16	1012	64 15 6	Do	Do	5063	5 5
	Oil of Sorts	96	6	30 1/2	4217	7 11	Do	Do	212	7 5
	Pepper			4 21 1/2	3	3	Do	Do		29
	Sandal wood			13	2	9 6	Do	Do		23
	Sugar			20	1		Do	Do		10
	Salt Petre		1	5	1	11	Do	Do		15
	Silks			23 6/16	123	11 7	Do	Do	6	51
	Tobacco	31		38	1183		Do	Do	59	85
	Tamarinds	1194	15	18 3/4	19152	9	Do	Do	957	152
	Thread (Cotton)	Suits		1504	282		At 8 per Cent		22	93
	Total				226126	4 11			11307	32

17022

Fort St George 9th November 1843 -

						£	R	A	P	£	R	A	P
		C	M	S									
Betel Nuts	"	"	2½		3	3	At 5 per Cent					2
Betel	Bundles	215			16	12	3	Do	Do			14	3
Cloths	No.	16,405			1926	3	9	Do	Do		98	4	6
Cocoanuts	No.	7,808			145	3		Do	Do		7	5	6
Do Dry	C	M	S										
	"	3	11		4	8		Do	Do			3	11
Chayroot	9	7	32½		389	11	3	Do	Do		19	9	6
Cumblies	No.	4457			2401	12		Do	Do		121	2	3
Cotton	C	M	S										
	"	"	15		1	5		At 8 per Cent				1	9
Cummin Seed	"	"	10		1	4		At 5 Do	Do			1	
Coovoomba flower	C												
	23	3	27		750	13	1	Do	Do		37	11	7
Ghee	179	5	3½		14419	3	11	Do	Do		720	11	
Gingely Oil Seeds	C	Y	S										
	163	8	14		7239	2	7	Do	Do		362	8	5
Grain	"	5	10		5	3	4	At 3 per Cent				2	7
Gunnies	No.	3			1	12		At 5 Do	Do			1	5
Hides	No.	1188			339	7	1	Do	Do		17		6
2 Iron Safes	No.	C	M	S									
Iron	61	"	19	20	76			Do	Do		13	13	4
Indigo	C	M	S										
	"	1	5		40	4	11	Do	Do		2		8
Jaggery	1	17	12½		41	9	3	Do	Do		2	2	3
Lamp Oil Seeds	C	Y	S										
	153	17	8½		4099	1	9	Do	Do		205	7	6
Materials	No.	31890¾			32821	14	7	Do	Do		1747	14	9
Oil of Lotto	C	M	S										
	109	17	39¾		5678	12½		Do	Do		285	13	8
Pepper	C	M	S										
	"	"	7½		1	1		Do	Do				11
Sandal wood	"	"	5			12		Do	Do			1	8
Silks	"	"	31½		21			Do	Do		1	1	11
Tobacco	281	2	10 13/16		11231		11	Do	Do		562	13	3
Tamarinds	349	18	26¼		6567	5	6	Do	Do		329		
Thread (Cotton)	Lumbs	11½			2	12		At 8 per Cent				3	8
Total					105561	10	7½				5412	9	11

17023 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

					Rs A P		Rs A P		
Betel	Bundles	270			21 16	At 5 per Cent		1 . 11	
Cloths	No.	1112½			1600	2 .	Do	Do	31 7 3
Ghee	C	Qr	S						
	10	5	20		822 .	Do	Do	41 3 7	
Gingely Oil Seeds	C	Q	S						
	6	15	.		225 6 1	Do	Do	11 4 8	
Hides	No.	7			3 1 4	Do	Do	. 2 6	
Lamp oil seeds	C	Q	S	S					
	6	15	24½		174 1 2	Do	Do	8 15 10	
Oil of Ints	C	Qr	S						
	.	5	30		15 7 4	Do	Do	. 12 7	
Tobacco		1	4	35	49 12 .	Do	Do	2 9 3	
Tamarind		117	.	.	1228 9 6	Do	Do	61 13 9	
Total					4139 8 11			209 6 4	
Betel	Bundles	485			3713 9	At 5 per Cent		1 15 2	
Betel Nuts	C	Qr	S						
	.	4	36		1499	Do	Do	. 12 1	
Cloths	Total 191½ Qrs 3657				43909 . 9	Do	Do	2486 4 9	
	& No 31606½								
Cocoanuts					145 3 .	Do	Do	7 5 6	

Sorgjort Chowkey

Do Dry	C	Qr	S			
	"	7	14	11 1 "	Do	Do " 9 10
Chayroot	23	5	2½	971 15 4	Do	Do 48 13 2
Cumblies	No.	5553		4571 8 "	Do	Do 230 2 "
					Grand Total	1311 15 "

Masulipatam Zillah,
Collectors' Circuit Cutcherry,
at Nullapully,
26th October, 1843.

E.E. Pr. / Signed / R. J. Porter Collector Statement

Talooks

Names of Punchotra & Chowkies

Employment

Fixed charges on account of the Collection of Frontier duty.

1	2	3	No.	5 Amount of Pay per Month			6 Saderwared			7 Total		
				Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P
	Suggiapettah Punchotra	Peishear	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Muddutgar	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Shroff	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Duffadar	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Pygustics	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	11	38	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Sweeper	1	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			18	72	12	"	3			75	12	"

17023 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

						Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.	
Betel	Bundles	270				21	1	6	5 per Cent	1	11
Cloths	No.	1112½				1600	2	0	Do	Do	81 7 3
Ghee	C	10	Qr. 5	Js. 20		822	0	0	Do	Do	41 3 7
Gingely oil Seeds	C	6	Qr. 15	Js. 0		225	6	1	Do	Do	11 4 8
Hides	No.	7				3	1	4	Do	Do	0 2 6
Lamp oil seeds	C	6	Qr. 15	Js. 24½		174	1	2	Do	Do	8 15 10
Oil of Cocoa	C	0	Qr. 5	Js. 30		15	7	4	Do	Do	0 12 7
Tobacco		1	4	35		49	12	0	Do	Do	2 9 3
Tamarind		117	0	0		1228	9	6	Do	Do	61 10 9
Total						4139	8	11			209 6 4

Sergeant Chowkey.

Betel	Bundles	485				37	13	9	4 1/6 per Cent	1	15 2
Betel Nuts	C	0	M 4	Js. 36		14	9	9	Do	Do	0 12 1
Cloths	Total 1911/2 qrs. 3657					43	9	0	Do	Do	2 4 8 6 4 9
	& No. 3160 6½										
Cocoanuts						145	3	0	Do	Do	7 5 6

Do. Dry C M Js. " 4 11 " " " "

17024 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

E.- Statement shewing the Cost of Establishment employed on Account of the Collection of Frontier duty in the Zillah of Masulipatam for the Official Year 1842/43

Taloks	Names of Punchetra & Chowkies	Employment	No.	Fixed charges on account of the Collection of Frontier duty.— Amount of Pay per Month			Forwarded			Total		
1	2	3	4	5			6			7		
				Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Juggiapettah Punchetra		Peishcar	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Muddutgar	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Shroff	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Duffadar	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Pygustics	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	11	38	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Sweeper	1	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			18	72	12	"	3			75	12	"

14023 Fort St George 9th November 1743.

	Mulkapooram	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
			4	17	8	"	12		18	4
	Jayenty	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
			3	14	"	"	12		14	12
1 Juggiahpettah	Ramanapettah	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	4	14	"	"	"	"	"	"
			5	21	"	"	12		21	12
	Mooketalah	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
			3	14	"	"	8		14	8
	Moonagalah	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
			4	17	8	"	8		18	"
	Linjagetty	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
			3	14	"	"	8		14	8
		Totah	40	170	12	"	6	12	177	8
	Tirwoor Punchotra	Peishcar	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
		Shroff	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"
		Pycusty	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	6	21	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Sweeper	1	"	4	"	"	"	"	"
			10	39	4	"	2	4	41	8
2 Tirwoor	Papoody	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
			4	17	8	"	12		18	4
	Wootcoor	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Peons	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
			3	14	"	"	8		14	8

1792?

Town Of ½ Acre Lots No. November 1843.

Certificate showing the Amount of Town Taxes collected in the Village of Hammondsville during the Official year 1842/43.

Names	Value on which Tax is levied	Amount of Tax	Remarks
Adams	16 3 3½	5.10 11 4	
Bond & Scott Lots		15 1	
Clark	16 3 3½	6.15 11 3	
Deaton	25 3 10¾	1 10 3	812.1 10
Deaton	93.10¾ 25 3 10¾		103.11 7
Hall			
Hall			
Hammond			
Hammond			
Hammond & Goodwin			
Hammond & Goodwin			
Johnson	16 3 3½	1 10 3	6.15 11 4
Johnson	16 3 3½		5.10 11 4
Bond & Scott Lots			15 1
Mann			
Mann			
Mann		

17028

Fort St. George 9th November 1813.

					Cks. Rs.	Cks. Rs. P.	Cks. Rs. P.
6 Tirwoor Talook	Betel	Bdles 180		at 400 leaves per Bundle		14 3 12	
	Bang						
	Ganjah						
	Goodawk						
	Tobacco	C M S 1 8 132½		Per M.		1 10 8 52 7 11	
	Total	Bdles 180 C M S 1 8 32½					56 3 11
7 Ellore Do.	Betel	Bdles 7513¾		at 400 leaves per bundle		10 396 4 7	
	Bang						
	Ganjah	C M S 7 5 3/10		per M.	8	57 5	
	Goodawk						
	Tobacco	C M S 69 1 27¼		Do.	2	276 4 1 2	
	Total	Bdles 7513¾ C M S 69 8 32 5/10					3217 6 2
8 Palunghy Do.	Betel	Bdles 700½		at 400 leaves per bundle		4 14 9 6	
	Bang						
	Ganjah						
	Goodawk						
	Tobacco	C M S 4 9 9 11/10		Per M.	1 10 8	147 14 1	
	Total	Bdles 700½ C M S 4 9 9 11/10					162 7 7
9 Goollapully Do.	Betel	Bdles 1645 3		at 400 leave per bundle		4 95 4 8	
	Bang						
	Ganjah						
	Goodawk						
	Tobacco	C M S 5 7 14 6/10		Per M.	1 10 8	178 14 11	
	Total	Bdles 1645 3 C M S 5 7 14 6/10					274 3 7

17029

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

10 Goodewadah Talooks

| | | |

17030

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

H. Statement Shewing the General Sayer Inland Transit and Town Duties levied for the Official year 1842/43 in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Jaggery	No.	16019	1/2	4142	13	35 15/16	5,163	15 2	at Dº. 255 4 1/2
Lamp oil Seeds	C	1088	T 12	L 1/4		29023	11	8	at Dº. 1,454 9 5
Materials	No.	5304				2643	13	10	at Dº. 132 9 5
Oil of Sorts	C	288	do 15	L 31 3/4		59741	1	10	at Dº. 3,004 1 5
Opium	"	"	"	9 2/16		50	3	"	at Dº. 2 8 6
Pepper	"	"	"	1 1/2		2	12	"	at Dº. " 2 3
Salt Peter	77		8	36 10/16		2373	13	11	at Dº. 119 4 8
Sugar	"		10	8 1/4		36	5	"	at Dº. 1 13 5
Tamarind	219		1	4 3/4		3528	1	9	at Dº. 176 9 8
Tobacco	3112		3	33 3/4		104418	8	9	at 5 per cent Per Maund 2-8-" 2-"-" 1-10-8 = 26157 7 4
Total						96703	10	8	" 71886 11 5

Inland Duty on Betel and Tobacco rented out in Goodemadah Talook — 206 " "

78092 11 5

Deduct Town Duties as shewn in Statement G — 29993 2 4

Remaining — 48099 9 1

Deduct Cost of Collection — 9759 9 8

Net Revenue 38339-15-5

Stamps on Rowanahs — Total Gross Collection 4865-15-"; Deduct Charges on account of Commission to the Venders at 5 per cent 243 4 8 = 4622-10-4

Total Net Revenue 4,2962-9-9

Masulipatam Zillah Collectors Circuit Cutcherry at Cullapally 26th October 1843.

Ex Ex per / signed / R. T. Porter

Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

ities from Exports and Imports for Justices

and Imports for Justices

by Sea, and by the adoption of the Bengal Schedules

1248 – 1249 & 1250.

a	Add Inland duty forming a portion of the Sea Custom duty on Exports.	On Exports			Total On Imports			Total	Estimated Receipts of Duty if levied according to the rates entered in the Bengal Schedules. On Exports			On Imports			Total		
	5	6			7			8	9			10			11		
b		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	9	2,171	11	10	6,956	2	9		2,913	4	10	9,869	7	7	3,941	13	5
3		5,206	6	5	12,590	13	4		912	14	8	13,503	11	8	5,588	15	8
5	10	6,811	"	"	15,523	6	6		994	15	4	16,518	5	10	5,948	13	"
1	10	14,189	2	3	35,070	6	3		4,821	2	10	39,891	9	1	15,479	10	1
7		4,729	11	5	11,690	2	1		1,607	"	11	13,297	3	"	5,159	14	"

Exports			Imports			Total		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1,350	6	9	6,939	6	5			
1,410	3	8	7,359	"	8			
5,471	9	4	20,951	3	5			
1,823	13	9	6,983	11	9			

17032 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Increase between Cols. 8 & 11.			Decrease between Cols. 8 & 11		
On Exports	On Imports	Total	On Exports	On Imports	Total
12	13	14	15	16	17.
Rs a ⅌	Rs a ⅌	Rs a ⅌	Rs a ⅌	Rs a ⅌	Rs a ⅌
" " 4	" " 4	" " "	3,314 5 4	202 5 11	3,216 11 3
" " 4	437 8 1	437 8 1	7,001 13 4	" " 4	7001 13 4
" " 4	415 4 4	415 4 4	9574 9 6	" " 4	9,574 9 0
" " 4	852 12 5	852 12 5	19,590 12 2	202 5 11	19,793 2 1
" " "	284 4 1	284 4 1	6,530 4 ½	67 7 3½	6,597 11 4½

Deduct Increase 284 4 1
Net Decrease 6,313 7 3

Masulipatam Zillah
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, at
Cullapully, 28th October, 1843.

E. E. per Signed R. J. Porter
Collector.

Order thereon,

(22-23)

Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read

17033 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following letter.—

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

From H. Stokes Esqre
Collr of Guntoor.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 9th instant, and to transmit the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Sessions Judge of Guntoor with the remarks therewith furnished, of the late Acting Joint Criminal Judge of Guntoor in the case wherein Royaloo Naidoo was prosecutor, which contain the information desired by the Board.—

(24)

Guntoor,
Collector's Cutcherry
28th October, 1843.

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Collector

No. 1.

To, H. Stokes Esqre
Collector in the zillah of
Guntoor.—

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 23d Instant, I have the honor to transmit herewith a Copy of the Remarks of the late Acting Joint Criminal Judge of Guntoor in the case wherein Cotum Royal Naidoo was a Prosecutor, which will furnish every

* Dated 28th October 1843

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

every information required in your communication.—

Guntoor Zillah—
Sessions Judges Court,
25th October, 1843—

(Signed) J. Horsley
Sessions Judge.

No. 2.

Criminal Case No. 41 of 1842—

The Prosecutor states the charge—

The Prisoners plead not guilty—

The Defences refer chiefly to the bad character of the witnesses, the motives of enmity by which they have been actuated and the contradictions contained in their evidence

The 1st Prisoner produces several authenticated Copies of documents received from the Collectors Cutcherry purporting to prove Alibi &c. on the part of himself and several of the witnesses.—

The charge before the Court against the Prisoners consists of the following points—That 1st Prisoner endeavoured to instigate the 5th witness to give evidence prejudicial to the Prosecutor—that he urged upon the 8th Witness, the dismissal by him from his

(22-23)

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

his service of the 7th Witness who had ever given evidence favorable to prosecutor in the late case before the Assistant Judge Mr. Story— that 1st and 2d Prisoners concocted a complaint against the Prosecutor which was presented to the Collector by the 12th Witness at their instigation that a Consultation was held by 1st 2d and 3d Prisoners, having for its object the dismissal of Prosecutor from his Appointment— That a Complaint against Prosecutor was prepared in the 1st Prisoners house and sent to Government in the name of one Conaroo Venkiah, that a bribe of 1000 Rupees was sent by 1st Prisoner to 3d Prisoner for the part he had taken in procuring the dismissal of the Prosecutor, to which 2d Prisoner was privy— The 5th Witness deposes that the 6th Witness communicated to him the wish of 1st Prisoner, that he should state in his evidence that the Arzee which accompanied the remittance in question was written on the 14th and not on the 13th of November 1840 the date it bore— The 6th Witness

confirms

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

confirms the above but states that he gathered from the manner of 1st Prisoner at the time that he (1st Prisoner) really believed that the true date of the Arzee was the 14th.—

The 5th Witness appears to be a dependant of Prosecutor and as such not a probable object of the 1st Prisoner's confidence or tampering endeavours.—

The 7th Witness deposes that the 8th Witness advised him to be friendly disposed to 1st Prisoner as he had asked him to dismiss him from his service in consequence of his having given evidence in favor of Prosecutor in the case before the late Assistant Judge.—

This agrees with the Statement of the 7th Witness before the Provincial Court, but he, at first, denied having been told the reason of such a request on the part of 1st Prisoner.—

The 8th Witness contradicts the Statement of the 7th with regard to the reason of the request on the part of the 1st Prisoner. The time assigned by him to the transaction is proved by Documents Marked AA/BB and CC/ to have been much anterior to the

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

the date of 7th Witness's deposition in the trial before the late Assistant Judge and long before such a trial could have been contemplated.—

The 12th Witness states that one day, on seeing 1st Prisoner in the Garden attached to his Bungalow, the 1st Prisoner retired inside and shortly afterwards sent him out by a Soodra Man (name unknown) a Petition for his signature of which he does not know the contents, that he signed it and 3 days after presented it to the Collector.—

13th Witness states that he was present when 14th Witness wrote the Petition in 1st Prisoners' bungalow, that 12th Witness was also present, 14th Witness that he wrote the Petition in question in 1st Prisoners house at his request, that 2d Prisoner 12th and 13th Witnesses were present. The above evidence of 12th Witness differs from that given before the Provincial Court where-in he states that the Arzee was brought to him by a Bramin and that it was read over to him previous to his affixing his signature. all 3 Witnesses differ in their Statement as to the location of the different parties at the time of

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

of the alleged transaction and in several other particulars.—

The 15th Witness states that 1st Prisoner asked him to take 1,000 Rupees to 3d Prisoner at Bunder, that being a Circar Servant he refused, that afterwards he accompanied 13th and 14th Witness who having the money, to Poolevarroo, that awaiting their return from Bunder at that place, he went back with them to Guntoor.—

The 13th and 14th Witnesses depose that they conveyed to 3d Prisoner at Bunder a bag of money given to them in presence of the 2d Prisoner by the 1st Prisoner, that on 3d Prisoners Counting the money, they perceived it to consist of Gold Mohurs, they returned and informed 1th Prisoner that the 3d Prisoner had assured them of the Prosecutors' dismissal having taken place.—

The 13th and 14th Witnesses further state that they were present when a Consultation was held within their hearing by the 1st 2d and 3d Prisoners in the house of the former, and that, on another occasion, they conveyed a note from the 1st

(22-20)

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

1th. to the 3d Prisoner and vice versâ—

The Statements of these 2 Witnesses before this Court and Provincial Court, as differ widely as to the time they remained at Bunder, and as to the date of the delivery by them of the money to 3d Prisoner, the 13th Witness deposing that it was given during Dussara, the 14th Witness that it was given 11 days after the Conclusion of the Dussara— The Proceedings held before the Provincial Court shew that Prosecutors' dismissal took place on the 12th October 1841 or 3 days before the Dussara— The Statements of 15th Witness before this Court and Provincial Court differ as to the time the parties remained at Bunder, he at last, allows that his statement before this Court is faulty in consequence of ill health and that given on before the Provincial Court, is correct—

The 1st Witness deposes that when on the point of starting to Bunder on his private affairs 3d Witness asked him to ascertain from the 3d Prisoner the Particulars of the case then pending against the prosecutor, that on talking with

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

with the 3d Prisoner, he (3d Prisoner) said, that if a sum of 500 Rupees were brought justice would be done, which fact he communicated to the 3d Witness in a letter despatched by Tappal.—

The 3d Witness confirms the above Statement of the 1th Witness and speaks to the receipt of the letter from him the contents of which he communicated by letter to Prosecutor then at Madras—

The Post Office Register shews that no letter to the address of the Prosecutor at Madras was sent on the dates de= =posed to by 3d Witness nor subsequently and the contents of the letter filed by the Prosecutor as the one in question do not bear out the deposition given by him before the Provincial Court regarding it.—

2d and 3d Witnesses give hearsay evidence of a Bazaar report, that 1st Prisoner had given 3d Prisoner a bribe of 1,000 Rupees whereby the dismissal of the Prosecutor was obtained.

The 2d Witness is a dependant of Prosecutor

The 9th Witness states that he translated in the bungalow, and at the desire of the 1th Prisoner, a Petition to Government bearing

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

bearing the signature of one Conaroo Venkiah, that it was corrected by the 1st Prisoner and the 10th Witness, and fair copied by the 11th that the 17th and 18th Witnesses were then present.—

The 10th 17th and 18th Witnesses deny the Statement of the 9th Witness.—

The 11th Witness admits that he transcribed 3 Copies in English of the Petition in question by the desire and in the house of the 9th Witness, that compensation for his labour was made by the 15th Witness at the request of the 9th Witness—

On a careful review of the whole of the proceedings, the Acting Joint Criminal Judge is of opinion both from the contradictory and conflicting evidence of the Witnesses, the improbability of 1st Prisoner entrusting matters of a confidental nature with the 13th and 14th Witnesses, parties with whom, according to their own shewing he (1st Prisoner) is but slightly acquainted, the strong party spirit evinced throughout the trial against the 1th Prisoner by the Prosecutor, the leading

leading questions put by him to the Witnesses examined before the Provincial Court - some of whom were made by em himself and not examined in the late trial, as well as the off hand connected delivery of the Statements made by the 13th and 14th Witnesses, relative to a distinct facts, occuring at different periods (which prove the Prosecutor to have been acquainted with their knowledge of matters altho' he has denied such before the Court / the unwillingness of the Prosecutor to answer any questions put to him and the indirect replies em given by him to almost all, as well as the singular circumstance of his having omitted to charge as em Prisoners and having, by putting leading questions, indirectly selected as em Witnesses on his behalf (the 12th and 15th) parties, whom he previously accused in his defence before the late Assistant Judge Mr. Story and in his appeal em Petition to the Sudr Udalut and whose characters he had, on those occasions, impugned as implicated in the conspiracy that the charge in a measure

(22-23)

measure, to be assigned to the spirit of faction existing in the District of which the 1st Prisoner is the Head of one party and the several Witnesses the dependants of the other party, that the Prosecutor would appear acting to his own Statement before the Provincial Court to have been a em party to a charge similar to the one preferred against the Prisoners. Under the above grounds the Prisoners are ordered to be released.—.

23d August 1843 — } (Signed) H. Wood
Acting Joint Crimi. Judge.

(24-25)

Order thereon. (Read again in Consn. 16th Novr.) Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—.

Read the following Statement.

Statement

17045 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Order thereon— Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

(26-27)

Read the following letter.

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Bellary. } Sir, Para 1. With reference to the Circular orders of the Board under date the 8th August 1836.

(28)

I have the honor to acquaint you for their information, that a respectable Native by name C. Ramasawmy Brahmany (the Head Accountant of this Office) has applied for permission to establish at his own expense, on 2 Acres of Circar Waste Land, a Choultry and a Well opposite to each other with the necessary compound; for the use of Native Travellers Vca on the High Road from Bellary to Hurryhur, Dharwar Vca near the Village of Togalagull situated between Coodteny and Gandegannoor.—

2— The site which has been selected is well adapted for the required purpose, and is much required being half way between the Towns of Bellary and Hospett. It is besides unobjectionable in regard to distance prescribed by

(26)

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Statement shewing the quantity of white Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Investment for 1843 at the undermentioned Ports in the Fifth Collectorate up to 31st Octr 1843—

No.	Names of Ports	Quantity of white Salt remaining to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1843	Quantity already shipped for exportation	Remarks
				Quantity manufactured and remaining under attachment Khandies
1	2	3	4	5
1	Cottapatelly	14	DESTROYED	
2	Gogratapatelly	14	2,413 84½	
3	Varney	14	18,000	
4	Pammidiperta	14	2,2500	
5	Kistnapatam	14	3,8000	
			9,9155½	
	Nellore Dt Collectr's Cutcherry 9th Novr 1843		38,000	

E. E. Lovell / Signd /

Entered.

(22-25)

by the standing Rules Viz.t 10 Miles between one Choultry and another, being situated at 12½ Miles from Ambalavana Moodeliar's Choultry which is the only Public place for the reception of Travellers between Bellary and the place now selected; In consequence of this want of accommodation, Native Merchants and Travellers traversing this high Road experince considerable inconvenience in passing the intervening Ghaut.—

3 The proposal is made from Motives of benevolence, and I am of opinion, that the Choultry and Well would prove of Public utility. The latter would be useful also to the Villagers as they are now obliged to fetch water from a Nullah at some distance from the Village.—

I have the honor therefore to request the sanction of the Board.—

Bellary District.——
Collector's Office, on Circuit,
Herahall 27.th October 1843.

/Signed/ A. Moller
Collector.

Order thereon.

(28-29)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the most noble the Governor in Council.—

The Board of Revenue regard with much satisfaction the public spirited design contemplated

contemplated — by C. Ramasawmy Braminy, and beg to recommend that the Collector of Bellary may be authorized to make over to him 2 Acres of Government Waste land free of land Tax with permission to construct upon it a Choultry, Well &.ca for the accommodation of Native Travellers.—

Read the following letters.

To

E. C. Lovell Esq.re

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S.t George.

From the A.g P.e ——
Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5.th Instant, requiring information as to why I have deducted Rupees 15,446-15-10 (not 15,466-15-10 as stated in your letter,) on account of the Bunganpully Jagheer, when the demand on account of arrears appears to be Rupees 50,920-9-3, as entered in the Demand — Collection and Balance for that Jagheer up to 31.st October 1842 furnished to the Board of Revenue.—

(30)

2. In explanation I beg to state — that when the Bunganpilly Jagheer was first

Fort S.t George 9.th November 1843

first taken under management, it appears to have been considered that it was to be permanently resumed and the Demand was amalgamated with that of the District—

3 At the close of Fusly 1248 when it was ascertained that the management of the Jageer was to be conducted by the Collector of this District on behalf of the Jaghirdars it was again excluded from the general Demand and it was inconsequence of the instructions contained in a letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 11.th August 1842 that a separate monthly Statement of the Demand Collection and Balance of this Jagheer was thence forward submitted to the Board.—

4. The sum of Rupees 1544 6-15-10 is the amount of arrears outstanding at the commencement of Fusly 1252 on account of Fuslies previous to 1249.—

5. The Particulars of the Balance are as follows.—

No.	Fuslies	Land Revenue			Motursha			Total		
1	Fusly 1246	1749	7	9	"	"	"	1749	7	9
2	" 1247	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	" 1248	13612	13	2	84	10	"	13697	8	1
							Total	15446	15	10

Fort S.t George 9.th November 1843

6. Of the above sum 263-10-11 were Collected in Fusly 1252 — but this sum was credited in the separate Demand Collection and Balance opened under the orders of the Board of Revenue so that in the English accounts of the district the above sum of Rupees 15,446-15-10 still stands as a — balance outstanding in the Bunganpilly

17050 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Bunganpilly Jagheer and for striking that sum out of the accounts of this District the special sanction of Government is required.—

Cuddapah District.

Principal Collr's Office,

Nundalaor 25th October 1843

/Signed/ W. Arbuthnot

Acting Pr. Collr.

Order thereon—

(30-31)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

To

J.G.

17051 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

To,

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(32)

Sir,

From the Asst. Pr. Collr of Cuddapah ... } 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the accompanying petition addressed to the Board of Revenue by Sooba Butloo complaining that a Powrohitum Enam alienated to his Father by his Sister and her Sister-in-law (or more properly her cousin's widow) has been transferred to V. Lutchmenursoo and Nursaraniah.—

Reporting on the claims set forth in the accompanying Petition No. 1755 of 1842 addressed to the Board by Sooba Butloo regarding a Powrohitum Enam.—

No. 1755 of 1842

2- I beg to state in reply that the Petitioner's family is quite distinct from that of the Meerasdar although his Father's Sister was married to Narahary Josee the person in whose name the Enam in dispute is entered in the Enamty accounts.—

3- On the death of this Narahary Josee the Enam remained in possession of his widow and on her death which occurred about 30 years ago it passed into the possession of Singarummah the widow of the 1st Cousin of the above named Narahary Josee.

4 Singarummah also died about

about 8 years ago and in consequence of disputes amongst the parties the greater part of the Enam was left waste and the remainder was in the possession of the Defendants.-

5 The latter presented a petition claiming the whole Enam as the nearest male heirs of the Enamdar.- On enquiry it appeared that the petitioners claim rested solely on a deed of gift executed to them by the childless widows of the Enamdar and his Cousin. It was true that the Petitioners had been in possession of the land for some time but this was during the life of the widows above referred to and so long as they were alive no one could dispute their right to do as they pleased with their Enam.-

6. Widows have merely a life interest on property acquired from their husbands and cannot gift or will it away but under any circumstances a Powrohitum Enam by Regulation VII of 1831 cannot be transferred in gift and as the persons against whom the Petitioners complain proved that they were the cousins and the nearest heirs of the deceased Enamdar.

Enamdar they were put in possession of the Enam.

Cuddapah District,
Pl. Coll.rs Office,
Neudaloor, 25th October 1843.

/Signed/ W. U. Arbuthnot.
Asst. Pl. Collector.

Order thereon.- Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1,755 of 1842.-

(32-33)

It appears from the Collector's report on this Petition that the Enam in question has passed to the nearest heirs of the last Enamdar and the Board see no reason to interfere.-

To,
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(34)

Sir,

From the Pl. Collr. of Cuddapah ---

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the accompanying Petition addressed to the Board of Revenue by Naregadoo and Casegadoo meerassy Barbers of the Village of Dursavunchah in the Budwail Talook of this District, complaining that a portion of their meraſs has been transferred to others.-

Reporting on Petition No. 913 of 1843 presented to the Board by Naregadoo and Casegadoo meerassy Barbers of the Village of Dursavunchah in the Butwail talook complaining that a portion of their meraſs has been transferred to others.- S/No. 913 of 1843.

2. The circumstances of the case are as follows.-

Two

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

3.

Two Individuals, by name Ankadoo and Condegandoo, preferred a Complaint before Mr. Cochrane, the then Sub Collector, claiming one half of the merafs in the Petitioners' enjoyment. On enquiry it appeared that the Enam was entered in the name of Pernolloo, the father of one of the present Petitioners, in the Turwary account, that on his death, his son Casegadoo, and on that on his death, his Son-in-law Poollegandoo succeeded him that during the decennial lease Ankadoo and his father Wobegandoo came to the Village and claimed half of the above merafs. Their claim was admitted by the Village authorities, and they were put in possession of the above share of the merafs, which they continued in the enjoyment of for 7 or 8 years, when they again left the Village and their share lapsed to the Petitioners, that when the Defendants a second time came to the Village in Fusly 1248 / 1838-39. the Petitioners then being in possession of the whole merafs executed a Document admitting their Claim, and promising restoration of their share of their merafs. that they (the Defendants) had thence forth

continued

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

continued to perform the duties of the Office, but that the Petitioners had broken their promise to restore the ½ share of the merafs.-

4. On consideration of all the circumstances of the case Mr. Skelton, who succeeded Mr. Cochrane, decided in favor of the present Petitioners on the following grounds.-

1st That the names of the opposite Parties (the present Defendants) or of their ancestors were not entered in the Public accounts.-

2ndly That their previous enjoyment of the half of the merafs occurred during the decennial lease, and did not receive the sanction of any competent authority.-

3rdly That the Complainants had not Established the right by birth to a share in the merafs as they were only very distant Cousins of the merafsidars and lastly that the document executed by the Merafsidars could not be considered binding as the land under dispute was a service Enam which the Merafsidars were not competent to give a transfer to others.-

5. It was in consequence of the above decision that the order from the

Tahsildar

Fort St. George 9th. November 1843

Tahsildar of Budwail referred to in the Board's endorsement was issued. But an appeal was made by the losing party to Mr. Lavie the Acting Collector who desired the Sub Collector to refer the case for the consideration of Assessors. This was accordingly done, but the present Petitioners declined to appear before the Assessors or to name any witnesses on their behalf; and the Assessors passed an Exparte decision in favor of their opponents. On this being forwarded to the Sub-Collector, the Tahsildar was directed to send for the Petitioners, and to warn them of the consequence of their failing to appear before the Assessors to support their own case and in the event of their consenting to this, to have the case re-investigated and a fresh decision passed. The Petitioners however declared before the Tahsildar that they would not appear before the Assessors and that they did not wish any evidence to be taken on their behalf.-

6. The decision of the Assessors affirms the right of the Claimants to ½ of the meras on the ground 1st that they

Fort St. George 9th. November 1843.

had enjoyed it for many years with the consent of the Petitioners and 2ndly that they have been for a considerable time transacting the duties of the Office and enjoying half of the Marah and other fees attached to it.

7. This decision was confirmed by Mr. Cotton, and order issued for its being carried into execution.-

8. It appears to me that the decision of the Assessors and of the Sub Collector might possibly have been different if the Petitioners had appeared to maintain their own cause, but that they have been justly punished for their contumacy in refusing to obey the orders of the Sub-Collector.

9. The Subjoined Genealogical table shews the relationship that exists between the Parties.-

Rungadoo

17059

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following report from

N. C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

36

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Nurnool — Reporting on the Revenue Settlement of Nurnool for fusly 1252.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to submit the annual Report of the Revenue Settlement of Nurnool for fusly 1252.

2. The Board are aware that on receiving charge of the District on the 20th July, up to that time the settlement of Seven out of the Eight Talooks was completed, two of them by Mr. Bayley and five by Captain Newbold after Mr. Bayley's departure to Madras Captain Newbold also conducted the quinquennial rent of the greater part of the Banaganpilly Jaghire, as well as the Ashtary rents for Nurnool for fusly 1252.

3. The preparation of the Jumma-bandy Accounts has been delayed by the substitution and adjustment of acres and Goontahs for the old scale of measurement in Candies, Coodoos and Pyalies in the talooks of Dhone and Goodoor, the Survey having been completed and the Accounts prepared in those two talooks. The whole business of the District was also thrown back a good deal by Mr. Bayley's absence and by Captain Newbold's being necessarily employed in the affairs of Banaganpilly during the time of Junnerabundy.

4. The subjoined Comparative Statement Marked A will exhibit the general result of the Settlement for fusly 1252 as compared with that of the preceding year, Statement B will explain the different items of Increase and Decrease (explained also in detail in D) and Statement C will show the demand for each fusly under the various denominations of Land Revenue.

Comparative

17058

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Bootchinnah

Ackuppah

Cummigoodo | Goorishah | Moodalapore

Cummigoodo | Gorindoo | Venkatah

Pedda Cudapah | Pedda Annee Sagar | Peroomalloo

Pedda Cudapah | Pedda Annee Sagar do | Venkata | Papadoo

Cudapah | Cuttee Gudda | Rama Gowra Gudda | Cuttee Gudda | Nagadoo

Pettenee | Ramah adopted Ramah | Ramah adopted Ramah | Dixit | Pettenee

Cuddapah District Collr's Cutcherry
Nundalore 27th October 1843
34-35
(Read again in Cand. 16th Nov.)

Signed W. A. Arbuthnot
Actg. Principal Collector

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting

Read

1706 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

B

Statement of the different items of Increase and Decrease for fusly 1252 in comparison with to Fusly 1251.

Items	Amount	Total.
Gross Decrease on Amildars Vilavary	45409 9 4	
Decrease by increased remission on account of loss of Crops Teerwah Cummy Pangboodly Vea.	1374 15 8	
Dc. by amount by Extra Jodee from Cumdars struck off Vea. explained in the Report	193 2 6	
Dc. by a larger sum remitted to the Shrotrium dar entitled to 1/2 of the annual Revenue of Maisahmaseed	" 12 "	
Dc. by the deduction of Beriz on account of the Syen land Revenue of the 14 Villages as per authority of Govt. dated 7th January 1843 explained and in the Report	5120 5 4	
		52098 12 10
Increase by withholding remission of Village Saderwared	11704 1 1	
Dc. by Decrease in Remission on Bunjer	1376 3 6	
Dc. by increased Cumily Assessment on Enam lands chiefly from Syre dated Enams viz gross increase 4383-12- Deduct Net decrease in Fussul V Teerwah Jodee 252-9-5. on Enam lands remainder	4131 2 7	
		17211 7 2
Net Decrease		3488 7 5 8

Comparative

1706 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

A

Comparative Statement after deducting Remissions

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

1063 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

C

Comparative Statement of Demand for Fasly 1252 & 1251

Land Revenue Department

Chief Asst
Head Asst
Asst
Cutcherry

Land Revenue Head

Jodee assessment on Ryotwar Lands — 1254338 12 11 1253892 5 7 — " " 444169 4 4
Jodee assessment Ryotwar Lands 4 5 54 5 2 4 5 5 11 2 5 — " " " " 1032 2 9
Chunnay — 3142 13 " 3 1803 9 " — " " " " " "
Chunnay Peruah Fasly — 4383 12 " — " " " " " "
Chunnay Peruah Fusly pattee — 292 9 5 — " " " " " "
& Fusly pattee — 292 9 5 — " " " " " "

Deduct one Moiety of Malabar Assessment — 728432 5 8 1/2 — 728432 5 8 1/2 — " " " " " "
and other items specified in Statement D — 4383 12 " — 4383 12 " — " " " " " "

Deduct Decrease — 4766 9 8 — 4766 9 8 — " " " " " "

Net Demand — 723666 12 " — 723666 12 " — " " " " " "

Net Collections — 703533 11 9 — 703533 11 9 — " " " " " "

Net Balance due this Fasly — 20132 5 3 — 20132 5 3 — " " " " " "

Detailed

5. It will be seen that the demand that is to say, the quantity of land agreed for at the cultivating season is less by 45409 Rupees than in the last fasly.

6. I attribute this great falling

Names of Grains	Fasly 1247 per Garee	Fasly 1250 per Garee	Fasly 1251 per Garee	Fasly 1252 per Garee
Jowarry (cholum)	95	60	52	48
Bajrah (Cumboo)	109	73	61	59
Codroo (Varoogoo)	56	41	35	34
Cungony (Tena)	82	56	48	44
Coolthee (Horsegram)	117	85	71	66
Moong (Greengram)	155	118	82	73
Erundy (Oil Seeds)	132	103	80	76
Nooloo (Yelloo)	167	145	125	122
Chenna (Bengalgram)	207	155	139	126
Paddy 1st Sort	121	114	105	90
" 2d Sort	103	73	66	72
" 3d Sort	85	53	53	52½

off in the first place, to the scantiness, and secondly to the general depression and poverty of the agri-cultural population, to which the continuance of low prices, though of the greatest advantage to all other classes, has materially added. In the third place, it is evident that our plan of distributing individual Juttahs tends year by year to destroy the old Village System

system of joint responsibility, and a Ryot who three years ago would have subscribed to any thing he was told, on the strength of being one of a body and consequently in the same position as his neighbours, hesitates now to commit himself by entering into an engagement for which he is to be held solely and personally responsible, and I have very little doubt that Mr. Blane anticipated something of this sort when he recommended that the Villages should be rented for a fixed number of years. I shall have occasion to allude to this subject again when I come to the question of Remissions.

7. Under the Moguhye rule, the holders of Enam lands were made to contribute largely to the deficiences of bad seasons, we have in a great measure — and undoubtedly with justice, put a stop to this practice, but I am inclined — to think that the same act that has so much improved the condition of the Enamdars, has increased the pressure on the resources of the Ryots. I do not however at present speak confidently on this point as my experience in the District is but short,

and

and at any rate we may fairly expect that a permanent and equalized rent on each field will in the course of a few years, have a most beneficial effect in relieving the cultivators of some of the difficulties they now labour under.

8. Under that system also the Reddies and Curnums being held especially responsible for the due realization of a certain sum (often fixed arbitrarily and with little reference to the resources of the Village) were more interested in extending the cultivation of the Village lands by means of Pycarries than they are now, not that I mean to advocate this system in all cases, but I allude to it to show the means that were employed to realize the Revenue. Far from endeavouring to extend the cultivation now they are induced by the irregularity and inequality of the assessment to set their wits to work to get rid of the highly rated lands, and it is by no means an uncommon thing for a Ryot to produce before the Tasildar at the time of Villavary, a

× Occasional cultivators in contradistinction to the Ryots the resident occupiers of the lands.

× Cultivation agreement.

man from some other village who is ready to undertake a part of his land. This man of straw is represented to have purchased a part of his farming stock, he cultivates the land for a year, or perhaps two, and then disappears from the scene, and the Curnum reports him either dead or decamped on account of balances. The original holder having once got rid of the obnoxious land of course maintains his utter inability to resume it. Formerly the Reddies and Curnums took good care that nothing of this sort should happen, but now they are much more likely to be parties to the fraud than to take any trouble to prevent it. In fact under the Mogulye rule no one could benefit by these shifts, for they cared little what the nominal teerwah of a field was, provided the whole Village paid the demand.

9. It is to the prevention of these and similar practices that my own and the attention of the Tasildars will be particularly directed. Success in matters of this nature, must always be difficult, when unaided by the Village servants to whom after all we must look to secure the just rights of the Government and it is with the view of always commanding their assistance that I would recommend

a

a liberal remuneration to the Readies &
and Curnams so as to make the situation
an object of competition and worth preserving
At present they are paid for their services
by rent free lands, and I propose to &
discuss the question of their remuneration
when I receive the Board's instructions to
enter upon the general investigation &
into the tenures of the Enam lands of
the whole District.

10. The season is described as having been on the whole very favourable but the cheapness of grain made the realization of the Sists very difficult on the part of the Circar servants and ruinous to the Ryots. The balances up to the end of the Fusly amounted to the large sum of 130181- a P 7 — 10, and though some instances of inexcusable neglect in allowing the Sists to fall in arrears have come to my notice, there is no doubt that generally speaking the collection of the Revenue was no easy task.

Remissions Cabooliaty Bunjer

11. Remission to the amount of 8944 Rs was granted for land agreed for at the commencement of the season but left uncultivated- with regards to remissions of this description, as long as the present & system continues, a good deal must be left to the good faith and honesty of the Talook and

and Village Servants in determining the amount of remission to be given in each case- It is & true that each field has a teerwah tacked to it, but it is entirely nominal, for No 1 & may be assessed at one Rupee and No 2 next to it, and of the same description, may be rated at 3 Rupees an acre, without any one being able to assign any other reason than that it is so. Moreover the teerwah is at any time liable to be changed.

12. If the holder is only able to cultivate one of those fields, of course it will be the lightly assessed one- It is not in the actual quantity of land said to be left uncultivated that the attempt at imposition is usually made, it is in leaving the highly assessed lands waste and bringing the others only to account- It is therefore very rarely that remission is given to any Ryot on & the whole of his bunjer (uncultivated) land, but the amount allowed is calculated with reference to the rent of his other lands, & whether he is possessed of any Enam lands of his own, or rents at a favourable rate the Enam lands of another person, his connexion with more wealthy cultivators, the state of his stock &c &c all which particulars are easily ascertained from the other Ryots.

It

13. It is doubtless true as remarked by Mr. Bayley and quoted by the Board in Para 15 of their Proceedings on Mr. Bayley's report, that "the fluctuating rent of the cultivated land was of course originally fixed at the time of Villavary with reference to the chances of a part being waste." But it must also be remembered that it was originally so fixed on the joint responsibility of the whole Village, this joint responsibility is gradually disappearing before the individual, and such is the ascendancy of the Reddies and Curnums, and the subjection of the Ryots from long established custom, that nothing is easier than for the former to saddle any one with an undue proportion of highly assessed land, reserving the more lightly rated, or the lands nearest to the Village for themselves and those whom they may wish to favor. If a Ryot wishing to cultivate the same land as last year was to bring his complaint to the Huzzoor, of course he would be confirmed in his last holding; but they hardly ever do complain, the Curnums tell him that the new land he wants here to take is

is not highly rated, and rather than incur the displeasure of an influential man, he believes it, and is not enlightened till the crop is cut and he is called on to pay the rent.

14. The Ryots are beginning to find out the value of their puttahs, but not in the way that was intended, Viz. a protection from the exactions of the Reddies and Curnums, but they are enabled by their means to find out what fields are highly, and what lightly, assessed, and the consequence is they hang back from giving agreements to cultivate the former. I have particularly called the attention of the Tahsildars to this point but until the Field assessment is introduced, it will be impossible to do more than establish a very partial check.

15. In the only taluk that I settled myself I found none of the backwardness to ask for remission, that Mr. Bayley describes in his report. A year's more experience had taught them that nothing would be lost by asking for it, and they had doubtless come up better instructed by the Reddies and Curnums, for I have seldom seen similar demands pressed with more pertinacity, numbers refusing to take their puttahs, because the amount of remission they had made up their minds to expect, was not granted.

The

Remissions Teerwa Cummy

16- The next important item of remission comes under the head of "Teerwa Cummy"- Of this 434 Rupees was allowed by Mr. Bayley in a Village in the Shagulmurry talook, on account of land which had been once cultivated with Sugar cane, but the assessment on that being too high to allow of any reasonable prospect of a fair profit, with the limited supply of water available, it was cultivated with rice instead- It may seem strange that there should have been water enough for rice and not for Sugar cane, but it is easily explained, as the latter requires water all the year round, the former only for four or five Months, and none of the small tanks in Kurnool hold water for more than half the year except in peculiarly wet seasons-

17- Under the same head 329 Rupees was remitted in the Village of Purlay in the talook of Goodoor- The Ryots had complained to Mr. Bayley at the commencement of the season that they were unable to cultivate all their lands on account of the high assessment of some of them- They were desired to cultivate as much as they could, and were told that

it should be assessed, and this was accordingly done- They of course cultivated those lands which were lightly rated and on which the existing teerwah came to 3560 Rupees- The Assessor made it 4910, and the consequence was they all refused to take their puttahs- After much discussion I consented, in consequence of the assessment being professedly a rough one and only a temporary expedient, and in consideration of the losses the Ryots had sustained among their cattle and the extremely low prices, to remit 329 Rupees of the demand, when they at last agreed to receive their puttahs-

Remissions. Panyboodty-

19- 202 Rupees was remitted on account of "Panyboodty" in three Villages in which it is usual to cultivate the beds of tanks if sufficiently dry, but if there is enough water to allow of Nunjah or wet cultivation it is reserved for that purpose, and that was the case in this fusly- It is customary to take the Villavary for both the wet and the dry, and to remit either the one or the other according to the supply of water in the tank, and this may therefore be considered a remission according to Manool-

20- A remission of 101 Rupees was allowed in the Village of Vellumpaud in the

the Seerwul Tatook under the head of Paymaly on account of land inundated by the overflowing of a Single stream and covered with sand to as to render it unfit for cultivation.-

21-

Under the head of Tulmushtum 521 Rupees was remitted in six Villages on account of crops which failed entirely from want of water at the proper season; and under the same head 28-13-a was remitted in one Village on the Eerundy (Oilseed) crop, owing to the devastation of a worm, generally destructive throughout the district, but particularly so in this instance. On a representation made by the Ryots at the time, the plants were inspected by the Tahsildar by an order from the Huzzoor and found to be entirely destroyed.-

22-

The remission of 555 Rupees under the head of Sadermard on account of the Rupeoons to the Nundial and Seerwal Cazees was sanctioned by Government in part payment of their salaries, and in future this item will not be brought to account.-

Sodee- 23-

There is a decrease under the head of Sodee of 298 Rupees in the Village of Yelgode, and Shaee found

a Memorandum in Mr. Bayley's hand writing explanatory of it. It appears that the land on which this deduction was allowed was originally Serva Enam, and it was customary for the Enamdars to pay the Curnums of the Village, as Merah, a certain quantity of grain at the rate of a Coodoo of grain for each Coodoo of land under wet, and ½ of a Coodoo for each Coodoo of land under dry Cultivation. The Nawab subsequently caused the Curnums Merah to be transferred to the Circar, and not only doubled the Amount but converted it, under the denomination of Sodee, into an exorbitant money payment. Thus, the original Merah on the wet land was fifteen Coodoos of grain, the Nawab made it thirty and fixed the money payment at 176 Rupees, whereas thirty Coodoos at the selling prices for fusly 1952 would not fetch much more than 60 Rupees. The amount payable on the dry land was 122 Rupees making a total of 298 Rupees. Great complaints were made by the Enamdars from whom this unjust rate was levied, and the land being properly Serva Enam,

Enam, the demand on account of the Circar was relinquished, and the increased rate of Akrah was fixed to be paid to the Cummums in grain as before—

24.

There is a decrease of 79 Rupees under the head of Sodee in Sundial on land cultivated last year but not this— The demand is against the whole of the lands in the occupation of Sodeedars, but the Sodee is only payable on the land actually cultivated each year, according to the terms of the Grant—

25—

I have thus accounted for, in detail, all the items of Remission or decrease which seemed to require any explanation, and I trust enough has been shown to convince the Board that the Officer making the settlement in Hurnool must of necessity be left much more to his own resources and discretion than in the more settled Districts, and that under existing circumstances it would be impossible to observe the strict Rules observed in them—

Enamity— 26.

The increase of Enamity amounting to 4677 Rupees is on Lands brought to account by the Survey hitherto held free, but for which either no Summid is forthcoming or which are not entered

in the Zameendhada Accounts— Until the general rules for the Enam investigation are made known, it ought not to be supposed that the whole of these Lands are from hence forward liable to a permanent assessment, for there may be instances in which either the proof of a Summud having once existed, or the undoubted possession of a series of generations may be allowed to entitle the present holder to, at any rate, a life interest in the Enam— I propose to leave all cases relating to Enam (except those which from their nature require to be disposed of at once) for special notice in the Report to be hereafter submitted on the general question—

Sadarward Remissions—

It will be seen that under the head of "Remissions on account of Sadarward" 27.
(the nature of which is explained in para 120 of Mr. Bayley's Summabundy Report for last year) there is a decrease of 11,704 Rupees— Mr. Bayley directed the discontinuance of these customary Remissions, and as great part of them were on account of Pagodas, Mosques and individual charities that measure has, as was to be expected, caused much dissatisfaction. I am now preparing a report, in obedience to the orders of

of Government on the necessity of continuing certain money allowances to Mosques and other religious institutions which will also embrace the subject of these remissions-

Sayer 28- The decrease in the Sayer Revenue is owing to the Sayer having been entirely abolished at the commencement of the fusly-

Abkary 29- The Abkary rents for fusly 1252 were 11,450 Rupees in excess of the last. This may be partly owing to the unusual cheapness of grain at the time which would of course enable the toddy drinking classes to spend more of their money in this way than they could do if food was dearer, our system of renting it also being comparatively new, it is possible that the real value of the rent had not been previously well ascertained. The rents for fusly 1253 are higher still, but I am inclined to think that some of the Renters will have great difficulty in fulfilling their engagements. The rents were completed by Captain Stenbold before he joined the District, and I am happy to find that he has entered in the Mauchilkas all the stipulations recommended by the Board-

Moturpha 30- The accompanying Statement

No. 8 will exhibit the different trades and denominations of persons from whom Moturpha has hitherto been collected according to long established monool, the amount of course fluctuating according to the circumstances of the payers. I do not at present contemplate making any alteration in the existing mode of assessment, but if after further experience in the District I should find it advisable to introduce any other less objectionable system, I shall have the honor of proposing it for the Board's consideration. There is properly speaking, no such thing as house tax in Kurnool but, with many other anomalies, a tax under that denomination is levied in some Villages on certain persons whose profits it is difficult to ascertain, or who are merely occasional residents. I imagine that the whole amount is under 100 Rupees and as it has been levied from time immemorial, I see no reason for interfering with it at present, but according to the Form sent by the Board, which includes the tax on persons as well as houses, it amounts to upwards of 4000 Rs.

Para 13 of Proceedings- 31- The Board require to have some further information as to the nature of

of the tax termed "Stullabut" and the way in which the estimation of it, as explained in

Para 40 Mr. Bayley's Report operated in encouraging the Ryots to agree to cultivate more land than previously - The late Nawab laid heavy taxes on all grain coming into the town of Kurnool, and having reason to suspect that his relations and other influential persons clandestinely brought large quantities into the town without paying the duty, he determined to compensate for the loss which he imagined he sustained, by raising the assessment of many of the Villages (supposed to be lightly assessed) in the talooks adjacent to Kurnool - The additional demand, which was in the shape of a tax on the produce, was incorporated with the Sayer and was denominated "Stullabut" - This obnoxious impost was introduced into the more distant talooks at a later period, and the collection of it being in the hands of the Sayer Renter who was quite independent of the regular Revenue and talook authorities, was the cause of great hardship and oppression - I apprehend that Mr. Bayley's meaning in Para 10 of his Report, is simply that the Ryots were induced to agree to cultivate

more land, in order partly to make up to the Circar the loss that would be sustained by the Collection of the Stullbut as a separate item was relinquished, and on this understanding it become merged in the land Revenue, and was in point of fact, as observed by Mr. Bayley, abolished - The existing rates were not affected by this arrangement, but the quantity of land, for the cultivation of which agreements were made was increased by it - If this tax had not been transferred to the land Revenue it would, I conclude, have been abolished simultaneously with the Sayer - It may be considered as having ceased to exist, and its origin being so palpably unjust, it is to be hoped that the Board will not insist on its revival -

32- The sum of five thousand one hundred and twenty Rupees which is entered in statement **B** as having been paid to the Jaghiredars of the Villages is in conformity with the instructions of the Government, who sanctioned the continuance of these Jaghires as

life

Life grants to the present holders. Mr. Bayley was directed that these Villages should be kept under the management of the Circar, and the Revenues only be paid to the Sayheerdars. a most judicious measure, for almost all the Villages granted in Saghire have sadly deteriorated in the hands of the Proprietors, now is it much to be wondered at that persons having only a life interest in the property should not be very delicate as to the means used to extract as much as possible. But as these fourteen Villages will lapse to the Government, on the death of the present possessors, it is right that its interests should be looked after, in so doing the Saghiredars get a fair Revenue from their Villages, which, in the mean time, are protected from extortion.

33. The heavy balances outstanding cannot but be considered as unsatisfactory, they are in a great measure to be accounted for by the causes of distress before alluded to. I intend to make

make a strict scrutiny into the balances of each Village when I proceed on Summabundy to the different talooks, but at the same time I am bound to say that I expect the greater part of them must be considered as irrecoverable.

34. The Statements and forms required by the Board are herewith forwarded.

Kurnool 30th October 1843

I Signed I S. Scott,
Agent to the Governor
of Fort St. George

Statement

…t St Georges

…District of …

…ges and Hamlets

	Hamlets		
	Total	Inhabited	Uninhabited	Total
6	7	8	9
	54	10	8	18
	48	14	5	19
	48	8	13	21
	45	35	19	54
	93	60	18	78
	48	11	6	17
	68	4	5	9
5	81	9	13	22
	"	1	"	1
2	485	152	87	239

9th November 1843.

Kurnook for Fusly 1252.

	Deduct Kayem Joby &c. Villages						
	Villages			Hamlets			
Total Columns 6 & 9.	Inhabited	Uninhabited	Total	Inhabited	Uninhabited	Total	Total Columns 13 and 16.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
72	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
67	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
69	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
99	1	"	1	5	"	5	6
171	1	"	1	"	"	"	1
65	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
77	1	"	1	"	"	"	1
103	4	"	4	"	"	"	4
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
724	7	"	7	5	"	5	12

17085

Fort St George

9th November 1843.

Net Circar								
Villages			Hamlets			Total		
Inhabited	Uninhabited	Total	Inhabited	Uninhabited	Total	Inhabited	Uninhabited	Total
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
50	4	54	10	8	18	60	12	72
48	"	48	14	5	19	62	5	67
47	1	48	8	13	21	55	14	69
44	"	44	30	19	49	74	19	93
90	2	92	60	18	78	150	20	170
48	"	48	11	6	17	59	6	65
67	"	67	4	5	9	71	5	76
62	15	77	9	13	22	71	28	99
"	"	"	1	"	1	1	"	1
456	22	478	147	87	234	643	109	712

Houses				Population		
				Male		
Mud roofed Houses	Tiled Houses	Thatched Houses	Total	Men	Boys	Total
27	28	29	30	31	32	33
1,101	"	2771	3,872	5,875	3,267	9,142
952	"	3,656	4,608	6,132	3,320	9,452
1,596	"	5,790	7,386	8,087	4,009	12,096
3,097	"	2692	5,789	7,874	3,327	11,201
4741	"	2390	7,131	10,084	5,257	15,341
4269	1	663	4,933	7,512	3,834	11,346
3548	2	1483	5,033	6,765	3,232	9,997
1,611	"	4705	6,316	6,385	3,361	9,746
3,567	"	68	3,635	5,824	3,298	9,122
24,482	3	24,218	48,703	64,538	32,905	97,443

17086

Fort St George

9th November 1843.

Population / Continued /

Female						Cattle					
Women	Girls	Total	Total Male and Female Columns 33 & 36.	Bullocks	Cows	She Buffaloes	He Buffaloes	Sheep and Goats	Horses	Asses	Total
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
5,847	2,460	8,307	17,449	3,568	1,507	4,801	770	10,600	84	290	21,620
6,230	2,467	8,697	18,149	3,503	835	3,473	317	3,812	63	218	12,221
8,206	3,135	11,341	23,437	5,574	2,386	7,743	429	8,764	153	366	25,415
7,537	2,864	10,401	21,602	5,909	2,557	6,775	414	15,273	113	242	31,283
9,085	4,540	13,625	28,966	7,814	4,817	7,010	1,025	39,476	182	185	60,509
7,358	3,029	10,387	21,733	4,310	1,536	3,837	679	21,771	216	257	32,606
6,549	2,390	8,939	17,936	4,490	2,102	5,222	603	17,767	153	191	30,528
6,569	2,603	9,172	18,918	4,879	3,313	5,910	707	13,115	133	136	28,193
6,823	2,709	9,532	18,654	391	50	3,411	345	423	325	93	5,038
64,204	26,197	90,401	187,844	40,438	19,103	48,182	5,289	1,31,001	1,422	1,978	2,47,413

17087

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Tanks dependent on Channels			Dependent on Tanjals streams and Rain			Irrigation Tanks Total		Channels Nallas from Rivers			Springs			Total
In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	In Repair	Out of Repair	In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	
46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
6	3	9	4	3	7	10	6	16	"	"	"	6	"	6
15	1	16	1	1	2	16	2	18	1	"	1	"	1	1
17	2	19	9	4	13	26	6	32	"	"	"	6	1	7
7	10	17	2	1	3	9	11	20	5	1	6	6	1	7
20	8	28	13	1	14	33	9	42	"	"	"	11	"	11
8	2	10	4	"	4	12	2	14	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	5	1	3	4	6	3	9	"	"	"	4	"	4
20	25	45	9	10	19	29	35	64	"	"	"	"	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
98	51	149	43	23	66	141	74	215	6	1	7	33	4	37

17088 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

(Continued)

Jungle	Channels		Total		Channels		Irrigation Annicuts or Dams Masonry			Earth	work		Total			Cultivating Wells lined with Stones	Gerses or wells drawn by Baskets	Doroos or excavation on the Banks of Rivers	Total
In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	In Repair	Out of Repair	Total		In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	In Repair	Out of Repair	Total	In Repair	Out of Repair	Total				
61	62	63	64	65	66		67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
"	"	"	6	"	6		"	"	"	1	"	1	1	"	1	243	"	7	250
"	"	"	1	1	2		"	"	"	1	"	1	1	"	1	53	"	12	67
"	"	"	6	1	7		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	"	4	45
7	1	8	18	3	21		6	1	7	"	"	6	1	7	72	"	"	72
20	1	21	31	1	32		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	124	54	37	215
"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	62	8	55	125
"	"	"	4	"	4		4	1	5	"	"	4	1	5	209	"	26	235
3	"	3	3	1	4		"	1	1	2	2	4	2	3	5	186	"	8	194
"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5
30	2	32	69	7	76		10	3	13	4	2	6	14	5	19	992	62	154	1208

17089

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Irrigation / Continued /					Cultivators		Number of Puttahs						Number of Ploughs
Wells dug by Ryots at their own expense Paying additional Sasc	Wells dug by Ryots at their own expense Free of additional Sasc	Total Circar Wells	Enam Wells	Total Circar and Enam wells	Residents	Paykarries or non residents	Goonchee or Partners	Total	Residents	Paykarries or non residents	Goonchee or partners not holding individual Puttahs	Total	
80	81	82	83	84	85	86.	87	88	89	90	91	92	93
1	"	251	37	288	1,286	826	"	2,112	1296	846	"	2,142	13 68
"	2	69	24	93	1165	724	3	1892	1171	734	3	1908	14 72
"	"	45	16	61	1,796	444	"	2,240	1801	444	"	2,245	2,110
"	"	72	142	214	1,301	411	13	2,225	1793	448	2	2243	2218½
1	"	216	221	437	2,389	641	"	3,030	2,389	641	55	3,085	2,886
"	1	126	254	380	1,201	285	35	1521	1233	286	35	1,554	1478
"	"	235	205	440	1,050	282	"	1,332	1069	280	"	1,349	1339
"	"	194	128	322	1,145	606	12	1763	1157	606	12	1775	1608½
"	3	8	7	15	1	"	"	1	1	"	"	1	1
2	6	1,216	1,034	2,250	11,834	4,219	63	16,116	11,910	4,285	107	16,302	14,481

Kurnool 30th October 1843.

/ Signed / T. Scott
Agent to the Governor
of Fort St. George

Statement

17090 [illegible] St. George

№ 2 State amount of Assts

Wet Circar

| Garden | Wet Lands | | |

17091

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Total Circar ayacut		Deduct waste in Fusly 1252		Remaining		Cultivation for Fusly 1252.		Land actually cultivated		
Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment	
16		17		18		19		20		
								C C	C P	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 "	2207	2 14½	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 "	1109	14 1¾	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 "	1406	1 1	
8874	14 10	"	" "	4451	16 83/4	"	" "	4722	18 1/4	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1	15 14	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5	16 4½	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	36	18 8½	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
49 11 9		"	" "	6	" 14	"	" "	43	10 11	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	50	" 8	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	14	12 3/4	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6	18 7/4	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
180 3 1		"	" "	103	" 14½	"	" "	72	2 2½	
9104 9 4		"	" "	4266	18 5¼	"	" "	4238	10 14¼	

Kurnool 30th October 1843.

21		22			23	
C Rs	a P	C	C	P	C Rs	a P
29079	6 8 2	2053	13	6	27.0422	1 4
14577	5 9 6	1025	12	15	135113	1 7
81528	5 1	1282	3	7/4	75368	9 7
518100	6 9	4361	9	12/4	4.80903	12 6
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
1803	7 3	1	12	15¾	1,668	9 3
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
51755	8 1	5	9	8	4981	4 7
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
24425	2 11	33	7	10¼	2,927	8 "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
31404	2 3	40	10	2	29577	5 10
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
42040	2 3	46	"	13	37442	13 2
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
13400	15 9	13	14	2	12958	6 7
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
2935	11 8	5	17	7½	2721	10 6
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "
58376	13 8	15	12	6½	53122	14 3
607881	6 8	446	7	12 43/4	563604	" 7

/Signed/ J. Scott Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George

Statement

Fort St. George

Fusliee 1251 and 1252

Fusly 1251. Season of Cultivation.	Land actually			Fusly 1252 Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of Cultivation								
	Cultivated.											
	Fusell and Teer=vah Jastee &c.	Total	Land	assess=ment	Fusell and Teer=vah Jastee &c.	Total	Land	assessment				
	5	6		7	8	9	10		11		12	13
	C C A	C	C A	C A	C A	C A	C	A	C A			C R A
	308632	1 6	2133	6 15½	294224	1 6	2205	3 10	290031	12 7	" " "	290031 12 7
	19467	1 3 9	1180	3 ½	15393	" 1	1107	3 2	14853	10 10	" " "	14853 10 10
	89679	5 3	1408	12 15½	86353	15 11	1405	9 8¼	81419	11	" " "	81419 11 "
	557779	10 6	4722	21 15½	534571	15	4717	16 4¼	516305	2 5	" " "	516305 2 5
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Lands to be Relied on till the completion											
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	25097 11	1 9	14	1338	10 10	1	15	14	1803	7 3	" " "	1803 7 3
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	47863	5 2	18¼	4655	13	"	5	16½	5175	8 1	" " "	5175 8 1
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	25545 91	35	6 2¼	24605	1 1	35	18 8½	24425	2 11	" " "	24425 2 11	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " 32841 4	41	18 13½	30598	132	43	10	11	31404	2 3	" " "	31404 2 3

9th November 1843.

of the Settlement of Land Revenue in the Distrect of Kurnool.

" "
of the Survey now in progress in the Distrect.
" "

17093

Fort St. George

9th November 1843

Fusly 1252 / Continued

Land actually cultivated.				Comparison of Cultivation as per Caboolaty		or Land engaged		Comparison of the actual Cultivation			
		Fasel & and Peervah Jastee Fee		Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
Land	assess-ment		Total	Land	assess-ment	Land	assess-ment	Land	assess-ment	Land	assess-ment
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
C C O	C R A O		C R A O	C. C. O.	C R A O	C C O	C R A O	C C O	C R A O	C C O	C R A O
2051 14 1/2	26965 7 9	" " "	26965 7 9	" " "	" " "	60 10 2 3/4	1860 0 10 11	" " "	" " "	81 12 14	2456 6 1 9
1023 4 5	13427 11 5	" " "	13427 11 5	" " "	" " "	120 15 10 1/4	1461 4 2 11	" " "	" " "	156 18 11 1/2	1976 5 4 8
1281 11 15	7525 9 15 6	" " "	7525 9 15 6	" " "	" " "	82 18 11 1/2	825 9 10 3	" " "	" " "	127 " 14 1/2	1109 4 " 4
4356 10 5 1/2	47914 5 " 8	" " "	47914 5 " 8	" " "	" " "	264 4 8 1/2	4147 4 8 1	" " "	" " "	365 12 8	5542 6 " 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1215 3/4	1668 9 3	" " "	1668 9 3	" " "	" " "	" 83	706 " 8	" 3 1/4	329 14 5	" " "	" " "
5984	4981 4 7	" " "	4981 4 7	" " "	" " "	" 6	10 3/4 326 3 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3377 10/4	22927 8 "	" " "	22927 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 188	1677 9 1
40 10 2	29577 5 10	" " "	29577 5 10	" " "	118 389 5 1	" " "	1 10 8/4	1120 6 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
						" " "	1 18 11/4	1326 6 10	" 9 1/2	656 1 9	1188 1677 9 1

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Fusly 1251

Description of Cultivation.	Land actually Cultivated			Fusly 1252 Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of Cultivation.			
	Total	Land	Assessment	Fusseli and Teeruah Jastee &c.	Total	Land	Assessment	Fusseli and Teeruah Jastee &c.	Total
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Cs a p	C p	Cs a p	Cs a p	Cs a p	C p	Cs a p	Cs a p	Cs a p
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	44,293 " 5	51 17 7/4	4,351 2 8 6	" " "	43512 8 6	50 " 8	42040 2 3	" " "	42040 2 3
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	13,898 8 6	14 6 12/4	1,326 5 4 2	" " "	13265 4 2	14 12 3/4	13400 15 9	" " "	13400 15 9
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	2847 4 "	5 19 12	274 2 6 5	" " "	2742 5 5	6 18 7/4	2935 11 8	" " "	2935 11 8
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	61638 12 11	72 3 15/2	5,952 0 2 1	" " "	59520 2 1	72 22/2	5-837 6 13 8	" " "	5-837 6 13 8

1795

Fort St. George

Fusly 1252 (continued)

Land actually cultivated

Comparison of Cultivation

Land	assessment	Fusell and Teerwah Jastee Fee's	Total	Increase Land	Increase assessment	9th November 1843. as per Cabooliat or Landen-gaged Land	Decreased assessment	Increase Land	Increase assessment	Decrease Land	Decrease assessment
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
C C D	C Rs A D		C Rs A D	C C D	C Rs A D	C C D	C Rs A D	C C D	C Rs A D	C C D	C Rs A D
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
46 " 13	3/4 42 13 2	" " "	3/4 42 13 2	" " "	" " "	5 8 6 3/4	2,852 14 2	" " "	" " "	5 16 10 1/4	6069 11 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13 11 2	12,958 6 7	" " "	12,958 6 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12 10	306 13 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 17 1/2	2,721 10 6	" " "	2,721 10 6	" " "	3 11/4	88 7 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 4 3/4	20 10 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
65 12 1/2	53122 14 3	" " "	53122 14 3	" " "	3 11/4	88 7 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 11 9	6397 3 10.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
						5 10 "	3350 6 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort Ste. George

51.

Cultivation	Land actually					
Total	Land	assessment				
5	6	7				
Rs	a. p.	L.	C. P.	Rs	a. p.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"

1797.

Fort St. George

Fusly 1252 /Continued/

Land actually Cultivated.

Fusell

Land	Assessment and Jeerwah Justice &c.	Total	Land	Assessment
14	15	16	17	18	19
C. c. ₱	C R. a ₱		C R. a ₱	C c ₱ c/80 a ₱

Comparison of Cultivation as per Cabooliaty or Land engaged.

Decrease

Comparison of the actual cultivation.

Increase

Decrease

9th November 1843.

Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment
20	21	22	23	24	25
C. c. ₱	C R. a ₱	C c ₱	C R. a ₱	C. c. ₱	C R. a ₱

" " " "

Fort St. George

Fusly 1251.

Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of cultivation						Land actually cultivated							Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of cultivation							Land actually cultivated						
Extent of Land		Amount of assessment		Fusell and Seerah Fasly Vea		Total		Land assessment					Fusell and Seerah Fasly Vea		Total											
2.		3.		4.		5.		6.		7.			8.		9.		10.		11.		12.		13.			
Candy	cds 37	CRs	a.	p.		CRs	a.	p.	C.	C.	p.	CRs	a.	p.	CRs	a.	p.	C.	C.	p.	CRs	a.	p.	CRs	a.	p.
4	8	3	9	12	11 3/4	6	2	6	0	11	14.	6.	757.	5.	8.	6	2	6	9	4.	2.	4	8	3	9.	12.	11 3/4	6	2	6	0	11	14.	6.
288.	1.	17 3/4	27.	5	6	9.	10.	9.	"	"	"	2	7	5	6	9.	10.	9.	"	"	"	"	"	"											
5	107.	14.	6 1/2	6	5	3	5	8	1	9	3.	757.	5.	8.	6	5	1	3	3	8.	14.	11.	4	8	3	9.	12.	11 3/4	6	2	6	0	11	14.	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
5	107.	14.	6 1/2	6	5	3	5	8	1	9	3.	757.	5.	8.	6	5	1	3	3	8.	14.	11.	4	8	3	9.	12.	11 3/4	6	2	6	0	11	14.	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
5	107.	14.	6 1/2	6	5	3	5	8	1	9	3.	757.	5.	8.	6	5	1	3	3	8.	14.	11.	4	8	3	9.	12.	11 3/4	6	2	6	0	11	14.	6

6th November 1843.

Fusly 1252.

Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of cultivation						Land actually cultivated							Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of cultivation							Land actually cultivated						
Extent of Land		Amount of assessment		Fusell and Seerah Fasly Vea		Total		Land assessment					Fusell and Seerah Fasly Vea		Total											
2.		3.		4.		5.		6.		7.			8.		9.		10.		11.		12.		13.			
Candy	cds	CRs	a.	p.		CRs	a.	p.	C.	C.	p.	CRs	a.	p.	CRs	a.	p.	C.	C.	p.	CRs	a.	p.	CRs	a.	p.
757	5	8.	6	2	6	9.	4.	2.	4	6	7.	12	4 3/4	5.	6	3	6	0	4	"	7.	1	0	1	2	6	9.	5.	6	4	6	1	6	7.	4.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	7	0.	18.	10.	4	4	2	7	7.	6	1.		35.	8.	2.	4	4	3	1	2.	14.	3.					
757.	5.	8.	6	2	6	9.	4.	2.	4	8	3	8.	10	14 3/4	6	0	7	8	8	1.	6.	8.	1	0	4	7.	14.	11.	6	0	8	9	2	9.	5.	7.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
757.	5.	8.	6	2	6	9.	4.	2.	4	8	3	8.	10	14 3/4	6	0	7	8	8	1.	6.	8.	1	0	4	7.	14.	11.	6	0	8	9	2	9.	5.	7.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"											
757.	5.	8.	6	2	6	9.	4.	2.	4	8	3	8.	10	14 3/4	6	0	7	8	8	1.	6.	8.	1	0	4	7.	14.	11.	6	0	8	9	2	9.	5.	7.

17100

Fort St. George

Fasly 1251.

13th Novemb

Land engaged by the Ryots at the Season of Cultivation.

Land actually Cultivated

Extent of Lands. | Amount of Assessment. | Fuell and Servant Lady Fee. | Total | Land | Assessment | Fuell and Servant Lady Fee. | Total.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9

Land | Assessment | Fuell and Servant Lady Fee. | Assessment | Land | Assessment = increase | Decrease

10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 24 | 25

Assessment | Fuell and Servant Lady Fee.

27 | 28

Cr. | Dr.

	Cr.	Dr.	Cr.	Dr.	Cr.	Dr.	Cr.	Dr.
Shamilat Bunjer	10320. 15. 7.							
Remission for the failure of Second Crops Shavee or Crops dried up	41. " "							
Cowle Remission	" " "							
Nunjah Garden with Paddy and Paddy land with Poonjah Ditto	" " "							
Loss by Inundation	32. " "							
Paymallee	" " "							
Seervah Cummee	281. 10. 6.							
Total	10675. 10. 1.							
Remainder or Net Revenue	6436. 4. 10.							
Extent of Fyen Cultivation	6611. 11. 9. 8.							
Land engaged to be Cultivated but left waste	1724. 11. 2.							
Assessment levied on land not productive	" " "							
Ditto Cultivated	" " "							
Patent Land waste by Ryots engaged in the Cultivation of Enam lands	" " "							
Total	1724. 11. 2.							
Total amount of Land Revenue	6436. 4. 10.							

Particulars of the Net Revenue.

Note. Fees included in the Fyen Boriz.

Deduction at the time of the Samanal Jamabundy.

Fort St. George.

13th November 1843.

Fusly 1252.

Land engaged by the Ryots at the season of Cultivation.					Land actually Cultivated					Comparison of Cultivation as per Cabolaty or land engaged.—				Comparison of the actual Cultivation			
Total	Land	Assessment	Fuellland Servants Party Fee.	Total	Land	Assessment	Fuellland Servants Party Fee.	Total		Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
										Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs a P				Rs a P				Rs a P		Rs a P		Rs a P		C C P	Rs a P	C C P	Rs a P
				4948 1 2									189 15 1				
				4613 1 6									3 3 5				
				31803 9													
				666 12 11									252 9 5				
				78031 8 7						4383 12			445 11 11				
				67286 7 11						22169 15 8			63670 5 1				
				76 6 6						12							
				23 9													
				60													
													1704 1 1				
				555 13													
				5120 5 4						5120 5 4							
				5836 1 10						5121 1 4			1704 1 1				
				67450 6 1									31887 5 8				

(Particulars of the Lands of the Ryots at the time of the Survey)

17102

Fort St. George

Demand, Collection, and Balance of the

9th November 1843.

last 3 Years Land Revinue.

	Fusly	Demand	Collection to the end of Fusly 1252.	Remission	Balance
8	1249	D. 7,17,381-13-11	6,86,789-10-2	"	30,592-3-9
9	1250	7,17,526-13-10	6,70,799-2-10	"	46,727-11-"
10	1251	7,05,337-11-9	6,33,951-1-6	"	71,386-10-3
Total		21,40,246-7-6	19,91,539-14-6	"	1,48,706-9-"
Average of the above:		7,13,415-7-10	6,63,846-10-2	"	49,568-13-8
Fusly 1252.		6,70,450-6-1	5,40,268-14-3	"	1,30,181-7-10
Increase		"	"	"	"
Decrease		42,965-1-9	"	"	"

No. B No correct or intelligible Accounts of Demand Collection and Balance for the years previous to the Assumption of the District are forthcoming for the demand was usually just what could by any means be collected, what could not be collected was remitted, at any rate all balances up to Fusly 1248 seem to have been virtually relinquished after the Assumption for they have never appeared in the Accounts and no attempt has ever been made to collect them. of this Balance Rupees 38154. — — have been since collected between 12th July & 30th Sept. 1843. It is hoped that a further sum of 30,000. Rupees may be collected but it will be with difficulty.

Kurnool, 30th October 1843.

/Signed/ S. Scott.

Agent to the Governor of

Fort St. George

17102.

Demand, 6

Fu

8	12	
9	125	
10	125	

Average'

17103

No. 4 Statement Comparative of the Land Revenue Settlement of Fusleis 1251 & 1252

Fort St. George

	Land		Poonjah		Poonjah Garden		Nunjah		
			Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	
	2	3	4		5		6	7	
	C	C ℔	Cr. A ℔	C	C ℔	Cr. A ℔	C	C ℔	Cr. A ℔
Fusly 1251	4982 -	12¾	55.779 10 6	44	17 11¼	32.841 4 -	77	8 7¼	61.638 12 11
" 1252	1717	16 1¼	51.630 5 2 5	43	10 11	31.404 2 3	72	2 2½	58.376 13 8
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Increase	264	4 8½	4.147 4 8 1	1	7 3¼	1.437 1 9	5	6 4¾	3.261 5 3
Decrease	147	2 8½	4.912 7 11	"	15 11½	2.812 9	1	12 8¾	5.913 9
	43	13 1	1.181 5 9	"	2 4¼	1.914 6	"	3 11½	61 14 "
	4	2 5¾	9.11 " 9	1	18 9¾	1.180 " 9	1	8 8	7.11 12 5
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	194	17 15¼	7.005 8 5	2	16 9½	1.481 12 "	3	4 15¼	1.364 14 2
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	7.999 13 10	"	" "	562 14 9	"	" "	1.539 2 7
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	7.999 13 10	"	" "	562 14 9	"	" "	1.539 2 7
	194	17 15¼	15.005 6 3	2	16 9¼	2.044 10 9	3	4 15¼	2.904 " 9

Total Gross Increase & Decrease

Particulars of Gross Increase

Increase of Land

Increase of Rent

1 From waste cultivated
2 From concealed cultivations developed by Survey
3 From land taken up in lieu of relinquished
4 From Poromboke
5 From Jufted Enam cultivated

1 From increase of Rent as stated in old account &c.
2 Two Crops raised upon one Crop land
3 Nunjah &c. Cultivated on Poonjah
4 Increase on lands allowed on abatement of rent in last year
Increased Remission above last year
(to exhibit the results from Column 2)

9th. November 1843.

last 3 Years Land Revenue.

Balance

30592	3	9	
46727	11	—	
71386	10	3	
148706	9	—	
49568	13	8	
130181	7	10	

N.B. No correct or intelligible Accounts of Demand Collection and Balance for the years previous to the Assumption of the District can forthcoming for the demand was usually just what could by any means be collected, what could not be collected was remitted, at any rate all balances up to Fusly 1248 Seem to have been virtually relinquished after the Assumption for they have never appeared in the Accounts and no attempt has ever been made to Collect them. of this Balance Rupees 38154. — — have been since collected between 12th July & 30th Septr. 1843. It is hoped that a further sum of 30000. Rupees may be collected but it will be with difficulty.

/Signed/ S. Scott.

Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

in the District of Kurnool.

Revenue.

Teerva Jastee Land	Teerva Jastee Assessment	Total Land	Total Assessment	Deductions Assessment	Net Settlement Assessment
8	9	10	11	12	13
C O P	Crs. A P	C O P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P
3 7 4/4	2079 3 6	5107 11 6½	6543 38 11 11	10675 10 1	6436 63 4 10
5 1 13	2843 3 3	4838 10 11¾	6089 29 5 7	10674 6 3	5982 54 15 4
1 4 8 3/4	763 15 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	269 3 7/4	45409 9 4	1 3 10	45408 5 6
" 8 8/4	1068 6	149 19 5	5892 " 11	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	43 19 3/4	1263 12 3	" " "	" " "
4 8 4/2	1588 11 10	11 17 12	4391 9 9	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4 16 12 3/4	1695 4 4	205 16 3/4	11547 6 11	" " "	11547 6 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	670 1 4	" " "	670 1 4	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	10101 15 2	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1374 15 8	" " "
" " "	670 1 4	" " "	10772 6	1374 15 8	9397 " 10
4 16 12 3/4	2365 5 8	205 16 3/4	22319 7 5	1374 15 8	20944 7 9

17104 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Ready Money Collections of Inder.	Ready Money Collections of Exam including Sicca Jasty Fusul Jasty &ca thereon	Total Amaun Settlement	Deductions in Bariz	Nett Settlement	Peskish Bariz	Total	Remarks.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20.	
Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	
45,754 5 2	28,339 3 4	7,17,756 13 4	12,419 1 7	705,337 11 9	" " "	705,337 11 9	
45561 2 8	32,470 5 11	676,286 7 11	5,836 1 10	670,450 6 1	" " "	670,450 6 1	
" " "	4,131 2 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
1932 6	" " "	41,470 5 5	6,582 15 9	34,887 5 8	" " "	34,887 5 8	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	11547 6 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
237 11 1	4690 13 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Land Revenue

	1	Coonjah Land Assessment			Coonjah Garden Land Assessment			Nunjah Land Assessment		
		2			3			4		
		Rs.	As.	Ps.	Rs.	As.	Ps.	Rs.	As.	Ps.
Decrease of Land.	1 Death	22	4	6	2368	1	10	"	4 7¾	127 1 7
	2 Desertion	52	16	14	5673	1	10	"	8 13½	239 2 11
	3 Relinquished by Poylearies	14	7	1¾	1246	4	7	"	" 13¾	9 12 2
	4 Inability entirely	115	2	"	11367	13	7	"	18 ¾	490 8 8
	5 Do partly	219	4	2¾	28197	1	3	1	9 4¾	787 3 8
	6 Change of land	5	19	6	2103	2	8	"	19 13¼	484 6 2
	7 Land occupied by the line of regular Horse	"	16	"	83	3	9	"	"	"
	8 From continuance of Gyne Dakeub Enams till final Settlement	28	12	9¾	4865	6		"	2 1½	50 10 9
		459	2	7¾	51525	3	"	4	3 12¾	2188 13 11
Decrease of Rent.	1 Decrease of Enamly tax owing to short Cultivation	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
	2 One Crop raised on two Crop lands	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
	3 Poonjah cultivated on Nunjah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
	4 Abatement of rent allowed in current Year	"	"	"	4954	11	4	"	"	1292 14 7
	Decrease of Remission below last Year's to exhibit the results from Column 12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
		"	"	"	4954	11	4	"	"	1294 14 7
		459	2	7¾	56479	14	4	4	3 12¾	3481 12 6

	1	Total Land Assessment			Deductions Land Assessment			Net Total Land Assessment		
		8			9			10		
		Rs.	As.	Ps.	Rs.	As.	Ps.	Rs.	As.	Ps.
Decrease of Land.	1 Death	2697	13	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2 Desertion	6454	8	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3 Relinquished by Poylearies	1328	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4 Inability entirely	12700	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	5 Do partly	31501	14	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
	6 Change of land	4391	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	7 Land occupied by the line of regular Horse	83	3	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8 From continuance of Gyne Dakeub Enams till final Settlement	5381	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
		59696	11	10	"	"	"	59696	11	10
Decrease of Rent.	1 Decrease of Enamly tax owing to short Cultivation	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2 One Crop raised on two Crop lands	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3 Poonjah cultivated on Nunjah	3798	1	"	"	"	"	3798	1	"
	4 Abatement of rent allowed in current Year	7652	12	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Decrease of Remission below last Year's to exhibit the results from Column 12	1376	3	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
		13763	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		80324	11	13763	6	66	50			
		67729	"	9	13763	6	66	35		

Fort St. George

Land

Garden Nunjah

9th November 1843.

Revenue

Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment		Assessment		Assessment	
6		7		8		9		10		11	
C	℔	CRs.	A ℔	C	℔	CRs.	A ℔	C	℔	CRs.	A ℔
"	7	5¼	202 10 4	"	"	"	" " "	22 16 3		2697 13 9	
"	17	5¼	542 3 4	"	"	"	" " "	54 3 ¾		6454 8 1	
"	"	15½	72 3 6	"	"	"	" " "	14 8 14½		1328 4 3	
2	3	10¼	842 3 2	"	"	"	" " "	118 4 1		12700 9 5	
3	4	7	2517 9 8	"	"	"	" " "	223 17 14		31501 14 7	
1	16	4¾	582 3 1	3	2	4	1221 13 10	11 17 12		4391 9 9	
"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	" " 16		83 3 9	
"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	28 15 15¼		5381 2 3	
"	1	4	1 12 "	3	2	4	1221 13 10	474 19 12½		59696 11 10	
8	11	4	4760 13 1								
"	"	"	" " "								
"	"	"	" " "								
"	"	"	" " "								
"	"	"	" " "								
"	"	"	1405 2 11								
"	"	"	" " "								
"	"	"	" " "								
"	"	"	1405 2 11								
8	11	4	6166 " "								

Deductions Not Settlement				Total			
Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment	
12		13					
CRs.	A ℔	CRs.	A ℔	C	℔	CRs.	A ℔
"	" " "	"	" " "				
"	" " "	"	" " "				
"	" " "	"	" " "			

17106

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Ready Money Collections of Jodee.	Ready Money Collections of Enam including Teerwa Jastee Fusul Jastee & ca thereon	Total Amanee Settlement	Deductions in Bairz	Net Settlement	Peishcush Bairz	Total	Remarks.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	- " "	- " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " -	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " -	" " -	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	- " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	59.696 11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
430 13 7	559 11 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	11.704 1 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	
430 13 7	559 11 2	7.646 10 2	11.704 1 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	
430 13 7	559 11 2	6.7.436.	11.704 1 1	55.639 4 11	" " "	55.639 4 11	

Kurnool 30th October 1843. [Signed]

S. Scott
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George.

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

Of the Comb. land according to nama in force.				Extent in	Of Comb. land July 1252		Deduct Decrease in July 1252. Transferred to full Assessment.		Land lying waste for certain Causes.		Total.		
3rd.	4th.	5th.	6th.	Land	Assessment	Not Assessed	Land	Assessment	Not Assessed	Land	Land	Assessment	Not Assessed
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Total Punjah													
Total Gardens													
Total Punjah													

1708

Fort St. George

Remainder			Increase in Fusly 1252.					
			Waste land newly cultivated & brought under Cultivation			Quantity of Land which after the enjoyment of Cowle for two Years shall have untilled for certain causes but now again brought under Cultivation		
Land	Assessment	Net Jeermah	Land	Assessment	Net Jeermah	Land	Assessment	Net Jeermah
25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33

Kurnool 30th October 1843.

9th November 1843.

Total for Fusly 1252.							
Total							
Land	Assessment	Net Jeermah	Land	Assessment	Net Jeermah	Deduct Cowle remission	Net Jeermah as per Collection	Remarks
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	

/Signed/ S. Scott,
Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George.

17.10

Fort St. George

No. 7. Statement comparative between Fuslies 1251 and 1252 of all Sources of Revenue in Land

No.	Names of the Taloks	Permanent Settlement Bariz.	Rented Bariz.	Ryotwar. Bariz.	Bariz Shrotriums	Fusly 1251 amanj. Bariz of Enamty.	Total	Grand Total	Permanent Settlement	Rented Bariz
		2	3	4	5	6.	7.	8	9	10
		Rupees A. P.	Rupees	A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	Chagulmurry	" " "	" " "	84,290-2 1	5,500 10 "	3,612 13 1	93,403 9 2	93,403 9 2	" " "	" " "
2	Sherawal	" " "	" " "	86,985 9 11	6,794 . " 8	4,676 " "	98,455 10 7	98,455 10 7	" " "	" " "
3	Nundiab	" " "	" " "	95,376 2 8	8,079 4 8	3,853 8 "	107,308 15 4	107,308 15 4	" " "	" " "
4	Paunieno	" " "	" " "	78,965 2 8	8,134 14 11	4,264 13 10	91,364 15 5	91,364 15 5	" " "	" " "
5	Dhano	" " "	" " "	77,679 1 10	4,203 7 6	2,794 8 3	86,677 1 7	86,677 1 7	" " "	" " "
6	Goodoor	" " "	" " "	62,498 10 10	1,207 6 "	3,316 2 "	67,022 2 10	67,022 2 10	" " "	" " "
7	Aundy Cotkoor	" " "	" " "	88,185 14 9	6,580 6 1	3,785 4 6	98,551 9 4	98,551 9 4	" " "	" " "
8	Autkoor	" " "	" " "	54,893 3 3	5,254 3 4	2,036 1 8	62,183 8 3	62,183 8 3	" " "	" " "
	Totals	" " "	" " "	630,874 " "	45,754 5 2	28,339 3 4	704,967 8 6	704,967 8 6	" " "	" " "
9	Hurnoob Chavady	" " "	" " "	370 3 3	" " "	" " "	370 3 3	370 3 3	" " "	" " "
		" " "	" " "	631,244 3 3	45,754 5 2	28,339 3 4	705,337 11 9	705,337 11 9	" " "	" " "

Stamp Revenue on account of Sales of Stamp Papers in the Banganpilly Sayhire

9th November 1843.-

Kisthundy-

February 20 Pr. Cent.			March 20 Pr. Cent			April			May			June		Remarks.
13			14			15			16			17		
CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P	CRs.	A	P			
18573	1	"	18573	1	"	18573	1	"	9286	8	5	"	"	"
18887	14	7	18887	14	8	18887	14	8	9443	15	4	"	"	"
18968	9	7	18968	9	7	18968	9	6	9484	4	9	"	"	"
17859	3	"	17859	3	"	17859	3	"	8929	9	6	"	"	"
16930	3	11	16930	4	"	16930	4	1	8465	2	"	"	"	"
12500	8	8	12500	8	7	12500	8	7	6250	4	3	"	"	"
18786	14	3	18786	14	3	18786	14	3	9393	7	2	"	"	"
11565	12	3	11565	12	2	11565	12	2	5782	14	1	"	"	"
134072	3	3	134072	3	3	134072	3	3	67036	1	6	"	"	"
17	14	"	17	14	"	17	14	"	8	15	"	"	"	"
134090	1	3	134090	1	3	134090	1	3	67045	"	6	"	"	"

S. Scott-
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George-

9th November 1843.-

the District of Kurnool.-

Revenue.

Fusly 1252.-

Amany-

Ryotwar Bariz-	Bariz of Shrotriem	Bariz of Enamty	Total	Grand Total
11	12	13	14	15
83623 7 5	5590 10 "	3651 3 6	92865 4 11	92865 4 11
82959 7 1	6794 4 8	4685 13 5	94439 9 2	94439 9 2
83039 3 7	7999 6 9	3804 5 4	94842 15 8	94842 15 8
76833 12 10	8134 14 11	4327 3 3	89295 15 "	89295 15 "
75459 15 "	4255 8 "	4935 13 1	84651 4 1	84651 4 1
57250 10 9	1207 6 "	4044 10 4	62502 11 1	62502 11 1
82374 10 2	6580 6 1	4979 7 "	93934 7 3	93934 7 3
50788 4 8	4998 10 3	2041 14 "	57828 12 11	57828 12 11
592329 7 6	45561 2 8	32470 5 11	670361 " 1	670361 " 1
89 6 "	" " "	" " "	89 6 "	89 6 "
592418 13 6	45561 2 8	32470 5 11	670450 6 1	670450 6 1

9th c

17,111

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.-

Febr	Increase							Decrease							
	Permanent Settlement	Rented Bariz	Ryotwary Bariz	Amany- Bariz of Shrotriem	Amany- Bariz of Enamty	Total	Grand Total	Permanent Settlement	Rented Bariz	Ryotwary Bariz	Amany- Bariz of Shrotriem	Amany- Bariz of Enamty	Total	Grand Total
20th	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Co	" " "	" " "	" " "	90 " "	38 6 5	128 6 5	128 6 5	" " "	" " "	666 10 8	" " "	" " "	666 10 8	666 10 8
185	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 " "	9 13 5	12 1 5	10 15 "	" " "	" " "	4,026 2 10	" " "	" " "	4,026 2 10	4,026 2 10
188	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,336 15 1	79 13 11	49 2 8	12,465 15 8	12,465 15 8
189	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	62 5 5	62 5 5	62 5 5	" " "	" " "	2,131 5 10	" " "	" " "	2,131 5 10	2,131 5 10
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	52 " "	2,141 4 10	2,193 5 4	2,193 5 4	" " "	" " "	4,219 2 10	" " "	" " "	4,219 2 10	4,219 2 10
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	728 8 4	728 8 4	728 8 4	" " "	" " "	5,248 " 1	" " "	" " "	5,248 " 1	5,248 " 1
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,194 2 6	1,194 2 6	1,194 2 6	" " "	" " "	5,811 4 7	" " "	" " "	5,811 4 7	5,811 4 7
187	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 12 5	5 12 5	5 12 5	" " "	" " "	4,104 4 7	255 9 1	" " 1	4,360 7 9	4,360 7 9
11,5	" " "	" " "	" " "	142 4 6	4,180 5 4	4,322 9 10	4,322 9 10	" " "	" " "	38,544 8 6	335 7 "	49 2 9	38,929 2 3	38,929 2 3
134	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	280 13 3	" " "	" " "	280 13 3	280 13 3
	" " "	" " "	" " "	142 4 6	4,180 5 4	4,322 9 10	4,322 9 10	" " "	" " "	38,825 9 3	335 7 "	49 2 9	39,209 15 6	39,209 15 6
134														

9th

17,112

Fort St George

Maturpha

	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1251. Rented	Amany	Total	Fusly 1252. Rented	Amany	Total	Increase Rented	Amany	Total
	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42
	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘
Febr	2,530 1 "	2,505 13 6	" " "	24 3 6	1,550 " "	" " "	1,550 " "						
20th													
	2,149 8 8	1,981 11 2	" " "	167 13 6	1450 " "	" " "	1,450 " "						
C													
18.5	2,792 2 9	2,559 14 9	" " "	232 4 "	3,000 " "	" " "	3,000 " "						
18.8													
18.9	3,170 13 10	3,200 6 "	29 8 2										
17.					3,010 " "	" " "	3,010 " "						
	5,242 " 3	5,688 15 "	446 14 9	" " "	3,900 " "	" " "	3,900 " "						
16.													
	6,838 2 10	7,290 10 "	945 27 11	" " "	3,400 " "	" " "	3,400 " "						
12.													
	4,640 11 1	4,196 2 3	" " "	444 8 10	3,500 " "	4,652 1 3	9,652 1 3						
18.													
	3,302 1 7	3,210 15 9	" " "	91 1 10	5,050 " "	" " "	5,050 " "						
11.5													
	30,665 10 "	30,634 9 2	928 14 10	959 15 8	24,860 " "	4,652 1 3	25,325 2 1						
134													
	9,754 6 7	11,306 2 3	1,551 11 8	" " "	536 4 "	12,994 2 11	13,530 6 11						
134													
	40,420 " 7	41,940 11 5	2,480 10 6	959 15 8	25,396 4 "	13,459 5 "	38,855 9 "						

9th November 1843.-

Sayer-

	Fusly 1252. Rented	Amany	Total	Increase Rented	Amany	Total
	37	38	39	40	41	42.
	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘	Rs A ℘
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

9th November 1843.-

17,113.

Fort St. George

Decrease

A.b Kerry

Finsly 1251.

Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany.	Total
43			44		
Rs. A. P.			Rs. A. P.		
1550			1,550		
1,450			1,450		
3000			3,000		
3,010			3,010		
3,900			3,900		
3,400			3,400		
3,500			3,500		
5,050			5,050		
24,860			24,860		
5364			5364		
25,396	4		25,396	4	

Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany.	Total
45			46		
Rs. A. P.			Rs. A. P.		
1,550			1,300		
1,450			1,390		
3,000			2,760		
3,010			6,335		
3,900			13,520		
3,400			10,100		
3,965	2	1	5,390		
5,050			4,500		
46,521			45,295		
12,994	2	11	13,530	6	11
13,459	5		38,955	9	

Finsly 1252-

Increase

Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany.	Total
49			50		
Rs. A. P.			Rs. A. P.		
1,430			1,430		
1,400			1,400		
3,250			3,250		
8,200			8,200		
17,000			17,000		
11,000			11,000		
5,400			5,400		
4,500			4,500		
52,180			52,180		
18,200			18,200		
70,380			70,380		

Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany.	Total
51			52		
Rs. A. P.			Rs. A. P.		
1,430			130		
1,400			10		
3,250			490		
8,200			1,865		
17,000			3,480		
11,000			900		
5,400			10		
4,500					
52,180			6,885		
18,200			4,565		
70,380			11,450		

Rented	amany	Total
53		
Rs. A. P.		

Rented	amany	Total
54		
Rs. A. P.		
130		
10		
490		
1,865		
3,480		
900		
10		
6885		
4,565		
11,450		

9th

17,114

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.-

Decreas

Sundry Small farms

Fusly 1251-

and Licenses.

Fusly 1252-

Increase.

Feb	Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany	Total	Rented	amany	Total
	55	56		57	58		59		60	61	62	63	64	65	66
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
20	" " "	" " "		" " "	320 5 "		" " "		320 5 "	355 " "	" " "	355 " "	34 11 "	" " "	34 11 "
	" " "	" " "		" " "	572 4 "		" " "		572 4 "	543 " "	" " "	543 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	" " "	" " "		" " "	337 15 "		" " "		337 15 "	289 11 "	" " "	289 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	" " "	" " "		" " "	271 12 3		" " "		271 12 3	353 10 3	" " "	353 10 3	81 14 "	" " "	81 14 "
17	" " "	" " "		" " "	807 15 "		" " "		807 15 "	814 6 "	" " "	814 6 "	6 7 "	" " "	6 7 "
16	" " "	" " "		" " "	981 14 "		" " "		981 14 "	981 14 "	" " "	981 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1	" " "	" " "		" " "	198 " 9		" " "		198 " 9	206 " 9	" " "	206 " 9	8 " "	" " "	8 " "
187	" " "	" " "		" " "	180 2 3		" " "		180 2 3	170 4 "	" " "	170 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11,5	" " "	" " "		" " "	3,670 4 3		" " "		3,670 4 3	3,713 14 "	" " "	3,713 14 "	131 " "	" " "	131 " "
134	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
134	" " "	" " "		" " "	3,670 4 3		" " "		3,670 4 3	3,713 14 "	" " "	3,713 14 "	131 " "	" " "	131 " "
S.															

9th … 17115.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.-

Decrease - Stamp Paper -

Feb.	Rented Amany	Total	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase
20th	67	68	69	70	71
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
C…	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				
185	29. 4 " " " "	29 4 "	" " " " " " " " " "		
18..	48 4 " " " "	48 4 "	" " " " " " " " " "		
184	" " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " " "			
17..	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				
16..	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				
1..	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				
18..	9 14 3 " " "	9 14 3 "	" " " " " " " " " "		
11.5	87 6 3 " " "	87 6 3 "	" " " " " " " " " "		
134..	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				
	87 6 3 " " "	87 6 3 "	" " " " " " " " " "		
134..			486 11 "	486 11 "	

Kurnool 30th October 1843.

Total

Decrease	Fusly 1251.	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease.
73	74	75	76	77.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
" " "	99,103 15 2	97,156 2 5	" " "	1,947 12 9
" " "	1,04,017 7 3	98,364 4 4	" " "	5,653 2 11
" " "	1,16,199 1 1	1,00,942 9 5	" " "	15,256 7 8
" " "	1,04,152 9 6	1,01,049 15 3	" " "	3,102 10 3
" " "	1,10,147 " 10	1,08,154 9 1	" " "	1,992 7 9
" " "	83,342 3 8	81,775 3 10	" " "	6,566 15 10
" " "	1,12,745 7 3	1,03,736 10 3	" " "	9,008 13 "
" " "	75,215 12 1	65,710 " 8	" " "	9,505 11 5
" " "	8,09,923 8 10	7,56,889 7 3	" " "	53,034 1 7
" " "	37,290 " 9	29,595 8 3	" " "	7,694 8 6
" " "	8,47,213 9 7	7,86,484 15 6	" " "	60,728 10 1
" " "	486 11 "	486 11 "	" " "	
	7,86,971 10 6	486 11 "		60,728 10 1
			Net Decrease - -	60,241 15 1

E. E. Fm. (Signed) J. Scott.
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George.

17115

Fort St. Georg

Decrease.

Stamp Paper -

Febru	Rented	Amany	Total.	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase
20th	67	68	69	70	71	72
1	Rs As		Rs As			
CR	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1857	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1888	29 4	" "	29 4	" "	" "	" "
1896	48 4	" "	48 4	" "	" "	" "
1785	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1693	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1250	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1878	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1156	9 14 3	" "	9 14 3	" "	" "	" "
	87 6 3	" "	87 6 3	" "	" "	" "
13407	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	87 6 3	" "	87 6 3	" "	" "	" "
13409					486 11 "	486
S.						

Hurnool, 30th October, 1843.

17116

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

No. 8. Statement comparative between the Fusly 1251 and 1252 of the Collections in the District of Kurnool.

Names	Class.	Monthly Rate.	Total Rate.	Basis of the last Year	Basis of the Current Year	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Biennis				Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	
Bamion or Females				29 14 8	37 10 "	7 11 4	" " "	
Burg. Females				9289 6 5	8819 14 9	" " "	469 7 8	
Lingait Baleyah				78 8 "	58 13 "	" " "	19 11 "	
Oil Mongers				1,218 7 2	1123 10 3	" " "	94 12 11	
Mussulmen				122 15 "	93 6 "	" " "	29 9 "	
Tax on Indigo				2958 9 5	2294 4 "	207 " "	" " "	
Manufactory								
Manufds								

| | | |

17.117. Fort St. George 9th November 1843.—

| | | |

17.118.

Fort St.a George 9.th November 1843.-

| | | |

Quadruplicate

17119

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1250. 1251 & 1252. in the District of Tinnevelly.*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the Last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Fusly 1252			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges for Purchase	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	41073	3	10	38384	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	38384	"	8	The Sayer has been abolished from the Commencement of Fusly 1252 as per order from Government.
Charges of Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges „ Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges „ Drawback	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	41073	3	10	38384	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	38384	"	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges of Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges „ Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges „ Drawback	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	55684	15	10	57579	2	10	65891	10	8	8312	7	10	"	"	"	
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	55684	15	10	57579	2	10	65891	10	8	8312	7	10	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS and LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	6282	3	1	3463	6	9	2812	9	9	"	"	"	650	13	"	
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	6282	3	1	3463	6	9	2812	9	9	"	"	"	650	13	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
VISSABUDDEE and MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	34196	3	2	38973	8	5	36604	4	9	"	"	"	2369	3	8	
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	34196	3	2	38973	8	5	36604	4	9	"	"	"	2369	3	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
GRAND TOTAL.																

20 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

10. List of Packet.

Report on the Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for Fusly 1252.

No. 1 Statement Shewing the State of the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1252.-

2 — Do. the Extent of the Several description of Land and the amount of Assessment for Fusly 1252.-

3 — Do. Comparative in detail between Fuslies 1251 & 1252 of Settlement of the Land Revenue for Fusly 1252.-

4 — Do. Comparative of the Land Revenue Settlement of Fuslies 1251 & 1252.-

5 — Do. None.-

6 — Do. of the Kisttundy of the Land Revenue for Fusly 1252.-

7 — Do. Comparative between Fuslies 1251 & 1252 of all Sources of Revenue.-

8 — Do. Comparative between Fuslies 1251 & 1252 of the Miscellaneous Revenue

9 — Do. of Gross Collections, charges and net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue in quadruplicate / Printed /

Report on the Revenue Settlement of Banganpilly for Fusly 1252.-

A — Comparative Statement of the Revenue of Banganpilly Sagheer for Fuslies 1251 & 1252.-

B. — Statement of cultivation &c. in the Banaganpilly Sagheer for Fusly 1252 as Compared with Fusly 1251.

C. — Statement of Demand Collection and Balance in the Sagheer of Banaganpilly for Fusly 1252.

Kurnool, 30th October 1843 } / Signed / S. Scott
Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George.

Order thereon. Ordered to be Sent again in Circulation

(36-37)

17121 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

To C. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(38)

Sir

From the Agent to the Governor at Fort St. George at Kurnool } Penal

Reporting on the Settlement of the Revenue of Banganpilly for Fusly 1252, and the quinquennial rent from 1253.

As it appears to have been customary to submit a separate report on the annual Settlement of Banaganapilly, while that Sagheer was attached to the Cuddapah Collectorate, &c. I have now in conformity with that custom the honor to submit the Jamanabundy report for Fusly 1252.

2. The Settlement was completed by Captain Newbold before I joined this District, and the accompanying Statements will explain the mode in which it was conducted.

+ A B & C

3. The Court of Directors in deciding that this Sagheer should be considered as a grant in perpetuity to the Nawab virtually resigned all demand upon its Revenue; it only remained therefore to make the settlement as much as possible in unison with the Nawab's wishes, due regard being had to the interests of the Ryots, and at the same time not losing sight of the creditors claims on the estate.

The

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

The Jaghire is subdivided between the Nawab and some of his relations, and the Board are already aware that Mr. Blaine in making a settlement of the debts of the various proprietors, assigned to their creditors a certain portion of the Revenues to be paid annually until the whole amount of the debts should be liquidated, until which time it is proposed to keep the Jaghire under the management of the Commissioner of Kurnool.

Report for fusly 1251.

5

Mr. Arbuthnot appeared to think that the unprosperous state of the Jaghire was in a great measure owing to over-assessment & proposed to reduce it wherever it should be found on investigation, to be evidently too high; and the same time recommended that the Villages should be rented out, which measure subsequently received the sanction of the Board.

6

It will be seen by the Comparative Statement for fusslies 1251 and 1252, that additional reduction in the assessment was effected to the amount of 6593 Rupees under the head of Poota Cumming, of this amount 2799 Rupees was remitted in conformity with the instructions issued to the Collector by

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Principal Collector of Cuddapah, before the Jaghire was transferred to Kurnool. Of the remaining 3795 Rupees 1906 was remitted in Villages the holders of which are out of debt; and their willing consent to this reduction reflects great credit upon them, the remaining 1888 Rupees was remitted in 21 other Villages which appeared to require it.

7

The remission of 413 Rupees on account of Pymaly was allowed in 3 Villages on land which had been inundated by a jungle stream, and rendered incapable of being Cultivated; the 135 Rupees remitted on account of Pangboodly, it not being possible to Cultivate the land, which is the bed of the tank, in consequence of the water in it; the land under the tank was cultivated instead and paid the teerwah of wet Cultivation.

8

The Sayer is under the management of the Government servants and in lieu of all revenue from this source the Nawab receives a consolidated allowance of 7000 Rupees; the surplus, if any being carried to account. The decrease of 332 Rupees is attributed in a great degree to the prevalence of Cholera interfering with the usual traffic. When

9

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

I came to Kurnool in July there was still a great deal throughout the District, but for the last two weeks it seems to have disappeared.

9 The quinquennial rent of all the villages except eight has been completed, the greater part by Captain Newbold, some few by myself. The rent offered for these eight villages being smaller than I thought could, with justice to the creditors be accepted, they have been reserved under a many

10 The following Statement

Number of Villages rented	Average extent of land brought to account of the last 5 years.	Average Demand for the last 5 years	Average Collections for the last 5 years	Total amount of Rent
	Candies C.P. C.Ps	a p C.Ps	a p C.Ps	a p
56	805 13 10/4	96804 6 8	86897 10 3	86069 " "

shews the gross amount of the whole of the rented Villages as compared with the average demand Collection for the last five years. In fixing the amount of the rent, there was not much fear of its being too high as the Principal Ryots being themselves the renters in most instances, would take care of that; the only thing to be guarded against

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

was any think in the shape of Collusion between the Proprietors and the Ryots, to lower the rent with a view of making the creditor's dividend smaller and securing a larger revenue to themselves (the Proprietors). I do not however suspect that there was any thing of this sort, for generally speaking the Character of the Jaghiredars would be a sufficient guarantee against underhand dealing, and at any rate the actual amount of the rent is a pretty clear proof that it is a tolerably fair one.

11 As the Government have no concern with the Revenue of this Jaghire, I have not thought it necessary to occupy the time of the Board with any lengthened details, but if any further information is required I shall be happy to furnish it.

Kurnool
30th October 1843

I Signed J. Scott
Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George

Comparative

17126.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

A

Comparative Statement of the Revenue of the Bungana-pilly Jaghire for Fuslies 1251 and 1252.

Items	Fusly 51 Demand as per Jumma-bundy Report	Gain by conversion of Pagodas into Rupees	Total Demand	Fusly 1252 Total Demand	Profit expected by the abolition of the Coilgountlay frontier chaukees and the establishment of similar chaukees in the Bungana-pilly Taluk	Remainder	Comparison between Col: 3 & 4 Increase	Comparison between Col: 3 & 4 Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p
1 Land Revenue (Amany)	111519 15 3	1770 2 6	113290 1 9	109777 13 5	" " "	109777 13 5	" " "	3512 4 4
2 Motunpha (Amany)	6,815 9 3	108 2 11	6,923 12 2	7,022 14 "	" " "	7,022 14 "	99 1 10	" " "
Sayer { Rented	1530 " "	" " "	1,530 " "	1130 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	400 " "
Sayer { Amany	9,009 7 8	143 " 1	9,152 7 9	8,819 9 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	322 13 11
	10539 7 8	143 " 1	10682 7 9	9,949 9 10	2,949. 9 10	7,000 " "	" " "	732 13 11
Abkarry (Rented)	5,952 " "	" " "	5,952 " "	5,385 " "	" " "	5,385 " "	" " "	567 " "
Sundry farms and Licences (Rented)	78 8 "	" " "	78 8 "	95 8 "	" " "	95 8 "	17 " "	" " "
	134905 8 2	2,021 5 6	136926 13 8	1,32,230 13 3	2,949 9 10	1,29,281 3 5	116 1 10	4,812 2 3
							116 1 10	
						Net Decrease	4,696 " 5	

Kurnool 30th October 1843.

(Signed) J. L. Scott
Agent to the Govr of Fort St. George

Statement

17127

Fort St.

Statement of cultivation &c. in the Burigana pilly B Jaghar

George 9th November 1843

for Fusly 1252 as Compared with Fusly 1251.

Items	Fusly 1251. Extent of Land	Amount of Demand	Gain by exchange to be carried to Sunna	Total Col 2 3 4	Fusly 1252 Extent of Land	Demand	Average rate per Coodee	Comparison between 1251 and 1252 Increase Land	Increase amount	Decrease Land	Decrease Amount	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
		C C P R A P	C P R A P	C P R A P	C C P R A P	C C P R A P		C C P R A P	C C P R A P	C C P R A P		
1 Dry land	854 11 4	107041 10	1699 1	2 108740 11 2	855 10 7½	108497 11 1	6 55	" 19½	" " "	" "	243 " 1	
2 Dry Garden	15 16 2¼	6635 4 8	105 5 2	6740 9 10	15 9 6½	6635 " 2	21 72	" "	" " "	" "	6 11¾	105 9 8
3 Wet Land	7 4 12	6853 14 3	108 12 8	6962 10 11	7 6 1½	7203 11 6	49 5	" "	1 5½	241 " 7	" "	" "
4 Wet Garden	2 4 4	541 66	8 9 6	550 " "	2 4 4	550 " "	12 7	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
5 Teerwajasty (or Dry land Cultivated)												
6 with wet & Garden Crops)	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "								
Total	879 16¼	121072 35	1921 12 6	122993 15 11	881 2 7½	123148 9 11	6 15 10	1 12 13	303 39	"	6 11¾	34 8 99

Net increase 154 10

Particulars

7 Land actually Sown	" " "	109876 9 11	1744 1 2	111620 11 1	" " "	111100 " 1	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	520 11 "	
8 Seaboolaty	" " "	11195 9 6	177 11 14	11373 4 10	" " "	12018 9 10	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
Total	" " "	121072 35	1921 12 6	122993 15 11	" " "	123148 9 11	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	520 11 "	Net increase 15 4 10

Remissions

9 Seaboolaty Bunjer	" " "	8956 55	142 2 7	9098 8 "	" " "	6927 85	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	3070 15 7	
10 Cymaly	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	412 10 1	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
11 Pany boodly	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1355 4 "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
12 Loss of Seeds	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	242 11 6	
13 Teerwa Cummee	" " "	238 14 8	3 12 10	242 11 6	" " "	345 " "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	175 9 11	
14 Erroneous Calculation or Dioobarah	" " "	512 7 9	8 2 1	520 9 10	" " "	15 " "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
15 Gyota Cummy	" " "	14 12 3	" 3 9	15 " "	" " "	8088 13 7	"	" "	" "	6593 15 5	" "	" "	
16													
Total	" " "	14494 " 5	157 11 1	14371 11 6	" " "	15024 5 13	"	" "	" "	7141 14 10	" "	3489 4 11	Net increase 3052 9 "

Hungary Remissions

17128

Fort St George 9th November 1843

Items	Fasly 1251 Extent of Land	Fasly 1251 Amount of Demand	Fasly 1251 Gain by exchange to be carried to Sheroy Jumma	Fasly 1251 Total Col 3+4	Fasly 1252 Extent of Land	Fasly 1252 Demand	Fasly 1252 Average rate per Cowdoo	Comparison between 1251 and 1252 Increase Land	Increase Amount	Decrease Land	Decrease Amount
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	C C P C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P	C C P C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P		C C P C Rs. a P		C P C Rs. a P	
Deduct											
17 Enam to Puttell and Cuttudy	" " " 412 6 5	6 8 9	418 15 2		" " " 418 15 2	" "					
18 Devestanum	" " " 1,348 2 5	21 6 4	1,369 8 9		" " " 1,369 8 9	" "					
19 Serémonies on tank banks	" " " 18 8 10	" 4 9	18 13 7		" " " 18 13 7	" "					
20 Saree	" " " 2,417 12 6	38 6 "	2,456 2 6		" " " 2,456 2 6	" "					
21 Total	" " " 4,196 14 2	66 9 10	4,263 8 "		" " " 4,263 8 "	" "					
22 Total Deductions	" " " 15,390 14 7	244 4 11	15,635 3 6		" " " 19,287 13 5	" "		" " " 7141 14 10		" " " 3489 4 11	Nett increase 3652-9-11
23 Remainder	" " " 105,681 4 10	1677 7 7	107,358 12 5		" " " 103,860 12 6	" "				" " " 3497 15 11	
Particulars											
24 Land actually Sown	" " " 103,487 5 1	1642 10 4	105,129 15 5		" " " 98,930 6 "	" "					
25 Cavoolaty lunjer	" " " 2,193 15 9	34 13 3	2228 13 "		" " " 4,930 6 6	" "					
Jew	" " " 105,681 4 10	1677 7 7	107,358 12 5		" " " 103,860 12 6	" "				" " " 3497 15 11	
26 Suppressed Cultivation discovered by examination	" " " 50 12 9	" 13 "	51 9 9		" " " "	" "				" " " 51 9 9	
27 Total	" " " 105,732 1 7	1678 4 7	107,410 6 2		" " " 103,860 12 6	" "				" " " 3549 8	
Add											
28 Jodee on Enam land	" " " 5,785 12 3	91 13 5	5877 9 8		" " " 5,914 15 "	" "					
29 Seeriwajastee or Dry Enam Cultivated with wet Crop	" " " 2 1 5	" " 6	2 1 11		" " " 2 1 11	" "					
30 Total	" " " 5,787 13 8	91 13 11	5,879 11 7		" " " 5917 " 11	" "				" " " 37 5 4	
31 Grand Total	" " " 111,519 15 3	1770 2 6	113,290 1 9		" " " 109,777 13 5	" "				" " " 3,512 4 4	

Land Remissions

Nundial 30th October 1843.

/ Signed / S. Scott

Collector of Fort St. George

17130

(38-39)

Order thereon

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

Ordered to be sent again

in circulation.

Read the following letters.

To

E. C. Lowell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Collr of Chingleput } Para 1

With reference to your letters of the 21st Ultimo to the Collector of Madras,

(40)

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that 150 Garces of Salt were delivered over to that Officer from the Plat forms at Vulloor, and that the expence of manufacture amounting to Rupees 1625-12-6 has

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

No. 129

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

C

Statement of Demand, Collection and Balance in the Jaghire of Bungana pilly for fasly 1252

Items	Demands end of the fasly	Collections from 12 to 30 July	Collections 1st to the end of the fasly	Total Collection	Balance
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Land Revenue	19177 13 5	9237 0 15	1	1740 6 13	50 8 0
Motarpha	1022 " "	636 1 10		386 12 2	45 " "
Sayer	2949 9 10	2270 9 "		679 " 10	679 " 6
Ct. Party	5,385 " "	5,385 " "			
Sundry Small Items and Licences	95 8 "	95 8 "			
Total	32,230 13 3	13,758 2 9	18472	17 5 8 6	17692 2 "

Planrod, 30th October 1843 / Signed / Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George

Ordered

17131 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

has been debited to him in my accounts.

2. The wastage incurred in re-measuring this quantity (forming part of the manufacture of Fusly 1251) amounting to Garces 10 Mercalls 192 will be included in the Statement of wastage to be submitted for sanction at the close of the Current Fusly.

Chingleput District, - - -
Collector's Cutcherry - - - - - - - -
Pullecarney 27th October 1843.

} Signed A. Freese,
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

(40-41)

17132 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(42)

Sir,

From the Collr. of Chingleput } Para 1.

Reporting on Petition No 1331 of 1843 from Valeti Summal widow of the late Shrotriemdar of Sundlum, and recommending her for a small life Pension. No 1331 of 1843

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 24th August transmitting a Petition from Valeti Summall widow of the late Shrotriemdar of Sundlum, and requesting me to state whether, under the Circumstances therein represented, there is any objection to her being recommended for a small life Pension.

2. In reply I have to state for the information of the Board that the property of which the Petitioner's late husband died possessed consisted of some meerasy lands in the Village of Chittatoor and the Shrotriem of Sundlum — the former of these were divided subsequent to the late Shrotriemdar's death between his Nephews and widow, a small portion only of the lands being assigned

Mootoocaroo, pun. Moodelly
2. Moonesawmy Moodelly.

for

*Vide Minutes of Consultation 7th Augt 1838.

for her support, whilst the Shrotrium has been resumed* for arrears of Peisheush. The produce derived from the lands in the Petitioner's enjoyment is inadequate for her maintenance, and she therefore resides with the individual above alluded to. Under these Circumstances no objection exists to recommending the Petitioner who is now 45 years of age to the favorable consideration of Government for a small life Pension, as granted in the case of the widow of the Codapaukam Shrotriumdar.

3. The average surplus revenue of the Village of Tandlum after deducting Kist and Maramut charges for the 7 years it was under Amauny management, or from fusly 1248 to 1252 amounts to Rupees 124-3-9 per annum, and with reference to this sum and to the present distressed circumstances of the Petitioner I would suggest that a Monthly allowance of 6 Rupees or 73 Rupees p.r annum should be assigned to her as a life Pension.

4. The original Petition with its enclosures is returned.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pallecarney 12th November 1843.

Signed A. Freese
Collector

Order thereon.

(42-43)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future (read again in 2 days Cons. 14 Nov.)

To,

E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

Sir,

From the Collr of Chingleput.

With reference to my letter under date the 1st Instant, I have now the honor to transmit for the information of the Board of Revenue the accompanying Copy of a Letter addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government reporting the result of the measures adopted for the maintenance of peace at Conjeveram.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Conjeveram 8th November 1843.

Signed J. Freese
Collector.

To,

A

G. D. Drury Esqre
Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council that the Detachments ordered to Conjeveram to afford me aid in preserving the peace having arrived punctually to the time indicated in your letter of the 2d instant, I immediately proceeded to seize some of the parties concerned in the late resistance to the Police authorities and which was then effected without

difficulty

17135 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

difficulty- The Tahseldar had also seized some of them on the morning of Friday- The result of these measures has, I am happy to say, been attended with the best effect, and I have every reason to believe not only that the whole of the misguided individuals concerned in the combination to resist the collection of the moturpha tax are fully aware of the folly of the coure they had adopted, but that no similar occurrence will take place, and I have therefore communicated to the Senior Officer Commanding the Detachments that the presence of the Troops may be dispensed with from the 10th instant-

2. The heads of the weaving villages have since been to wait upon me and have themselves realized and paid into the Treasury a considerable portion of the amount due, and I hope during the next two or three days that the total demand will be realized-

Chingleput District-
Magistrates Office,
Conjeveram 7th Nov. 1843.
} Signed J. Frere
Magistrate.

Order thereon.

(44-45)

From the Coll. of Chingleput.

(46)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read letter submitting an application from his Acting Head assistant Mr. H. Wood requesting retrospective leave from 17th to 23rd October on which he left the day

17136 Fort St. George 9th November 1843-

Order thereon,

(46-47)

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government-

Read the following letters-

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George-

Sir,

From the Asst Coll. in the N. D. of Arcot-
} Para 1.

Forwards Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector relative to the accompanying Petition from Venaut row brother of the Arnee Jagheerdar and recommends that the Jagheerdar should be required to pay to the Petitioner and to the male heirs of his body Rs 150 per mensem-

From Board dated 8th July and 11th August 1843.
do do 23rd August 1843
From do 31st do.
To do 21st Sept. 1843.
From do 2nd Oct. 1843.

(48)

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin on the subject of the Petition of Venaut Row brother of the Jagheerdar of Arnee, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector under date 13th October 1843. and to return the Petition-

2. The Board require me to state what provision has been made by the Jagheerdar for the Petitioner and the other members of his family and whether I consider it sufficient to meet their wants-

3. The Members of the Jagheerdar's family are his Mother, his brother the Petitioner, three sisters, and a sisterinlaw Ammany Bhye widow of a deceased brother according

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

* Letter from Actg Sub Coll.r dated 13th October 1843 para. 3.

According to the Petitioner's own Statement of the Jagheerdar's expenditure the net Revenue of the Estate after deducting Peishcush, and Rupees 1500 the Beriz of the Villages granted for the support of his sisterinlaw, and other expences, is Rupees 22336-9-6 per annum - Out of this the Jagheerdar has to support his Mother, Brother, the Petitioner and three sisters - His mother receives the Beriz of the Village of Vannamunga assessed at Rupees 2,500, and his two married sisters receive through their Mother the Beriz of the Villages of Muttatory and Vonapooram assessed respectively at Rupees 600- and Rupees 1100- The widowed sister has no separate allowance and as she has no children and lives in the Jagheerdar's family she is not in my opinion entitled to any - The Mother and other two sisters also live in the Jagheerdar's family all the women are in my opinion sufficiently provided for.

4.

With regard to the Petitioner Vencat Row it appears from the Jagheerdar's own Statement* that no specific provision has ever been made for him - The Jagheerdar states that he lived in the family from fasly 1235 till fasly 1246 when a sepa-

* See letter from the Acting Sub Collector under date 19th August 1843 Para 3.

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

and suitable maintenance was allotted to him until fasly 1251, when the Jagheerdar stopt his allowance in consequence of the Petitioner having broken open his Treasury at night - The ready money allowance made to the Petitioner by the Jagheerdar during these five years according to the Jagheerdar's accounts varied from 136 Pagodas to 271 Pagodas. He also appears to have received goods from the Jagheerdar during these five years to the value of not more than Rupees 200-

A specific provision

5.

should certainly be made for the Petitioner. The Acting Sub Collector recommends that he should receive Rupees 800 per mensem. I consider this a great deal too much with reference to the amount of the Jagheerdar's own Net receipts which do not appear to amount to Rupees 2000 per Mensem. I recommend that the Jagheerdar should be required to pay to the Petitioner and to the Male heirs of his body, Rupees 150 -

her

17139 Fort St. George 9th November 1843

per Mensem.

North Arcot ---
Coll.rs Cutcherry ---
Chittoor 18th October 1843

Signed W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

No. 1.

To,

The Acting Collector in the
Northern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1 I do myself the honor of ac-
quainting you that agreeably to the instruct-
ions of the Board of Revenue, conveyed in --
Para 2 of their Acting Secretary's letter of
the 2d Instant, I have informed Vincent --
Row (who is at the Presidency) by letter of
the decision they had come to regarding
the Mahrattah Document he had produ-
ced before me. I have forwarded this Docu-
ment to the Chief Magistrate and Super-
intendent of Police at Madras for deliver-
ing to him as I thought it unadvisable to --
return it by the Post direct or without obtain
ing his acknowledgement for it. I have --
retained one Copy of it and transmitted
another to the Jagheerdar. The necessity of
waiting the Board's instructions regarding
this Document has prevented me making
an earlier Statement of my opinion on the
adequacy of the provision made by the

17140 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Jagheerdar for his Brother and the other
Members of his family and will I hope
be considered sufficient apology for the
delay that has occured --

2. My letter of the 19th August
No. 58 acquainted you of the Jagheerdar's reply
to my communication requesting to know what his
Brother's receipts from the Jagheer had been, and of
the different sums shewn to have been drawn by him
since Fusly 1247. Also that the parties had lived to-
gether as Members of one family up to Fusly 1246 when owing to
misunderstandings between them - The Jagheerdar had placed
his Brother in a separate Establishment - You --
will also no doubt at the same time have
remarked that the Jagheerdar did not al-
lege having made any specific provision --
for the maintenance of his Brother on their
ceasing to live together and that the sums
drawn by the latter by varying in amount shewed
clearly that no stated allowance had been --
fixed on for his support ---

I have no hesitation in
3.
saying that these sums are totally inadequate
to meet the future wants and expences of Ven-
catarow, who it would seem is now desirous of
living altogether separate from his brother,
and with reference to the annual Value of
the Jagheer which by the five years accounts

Fort St. George 9th November 1813

furnished in Fasly 1247 averages (after de-
ducting Circar Peishcush, and Rupees 1500
the Beriz of the 3 Villages since granted for
the support of aumany Bhye the widow of the
deceased Brother Soobayee Row) Rupees —
68,331 - 14. 3. Vasooli. to express my opinion that
he is entitled to considerably more than he
has hitherto received - By a Memorandum
he gave me he makes the expenditure of the
Jagheerdar under all heads exclusive of Peish
cush to amount to Rupees 45,995 - 4 - 9 per
annum; I am inclined to think this is an
overstatement, but as it is his own I see no
objection to assume it as true, and after deduc-
ting the amount he has mentioned from the
Collection, to form an opinion on the sum
which ought to be allowed him out of the ba-
lance remaining, which will then be Rupees
22336 - 9 - 6 —

4. In forming an opinion regarding
the wants of Venkatarow and of the sum which
would be sufficient to cover them, it is
necessary to bear in mind his position in
society, his relationship to the Jagheerdar,
and the way in which he has been brought
up and lived - A small annuity would
probably soon lead him into diffi-

Fort St. George 9th November 1813.

and a large one might be considered more than
he had any separate right to: (the Sunnud
for the Jaghier having been granted in the
sole name of his Elder Brother) - Altoge-
ther I am inclined to think as the only
Brother of the Jagheerdar that he is fairly
entitled to an allowance of Rupees 800 per men-
sem at his hands - No man is better able to
afford it than his brother and the sum ap-
proximates to what the Colatore Jagheerdar
derives, after defraying the expences of his Reve-
nue Establishment, from the Villages granted to
his ancestors by the ancestors of the Jagheerdar of
Irnee on a family Separation taking place between
them - I would not however recommend his having
more than a life interest in the above or any
other sum he may be considered entitled to,
unless he has heirs to succeed him: At pre-
sent he has none - and on his death after making
a proper provision for the support of his widow,
if he leaves one, it should revert to the holder
of the Jaghier -

5. With regard to the Provision made for the
mother and sisters of the Jagheerdar, Para 5 of my Letter
above referred to will have informed you that the former held
a Village assessed at Rupees 2,500 and the latter two Villages
assessed at Rupees 1,700 on account of Pinmoney and that
hitherto they had lived with the Jagheerdar as Members

Fort St. George 9th. November 1813.

of his own family Venecatarow in a letter to me dated the 5th September describes the former Village as assessed at 600 Pagodas and the latter at 450. There is little or no difference in the separate sums mentioned by the two Brothers and the names of the Villages respectively mentioned by them are the same. There is no doubt therefore as to the fact of those Villages having been given to the Mother and sisters for their own use, and also as both Brothers agree on that point that they have hitherto all lived together as Members of an Undivided family; though latterly the younger Brother has lived under a separate roof as the females of a Hindoo family have no personal right to a share of property. I am of opinion that the sums enjoyed by the Mother and sisters are ample and properly apportioned among them and that there is no necessity for increasing them. I moreover understand that the Husbands of the two married sisters draw an allowance the one of 60, and the other of 40 Rupees a month from the Jagheer due.

6. Besides the two abovementioned, there is another sister, a widow, for whom Venecatarow claims land yielding 20 Pagodas a month or for the 3 sisters 720 Pagodas per annum, instead of 450 as above described, but as this Sister has no Children she has no Claims to any separate Maintenance and I therefore see no necessity for granting any increase under this head.

7. The Petition of Venecatarow is herewith returned.

North Arcot District
Sub Collr. Cutcherry
Arcot 13th October 1813.

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Asst. Sub Collector

43-49
Order thereon
Read again 2nd
21st Dec.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Fort St. George 9th November 1813

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

50

Sir,

Fort St. George

From W. H. Babington Esqre
Asst. Coll. of North Arcot } Paras

The Collector Mr. Grame in a letter dated 19th January 1816 represented to the Board of Revenue that under the orders of Government accompanying the Board's letter of the 5th September 1807 one fourth part or Star Pagodas 158 — Fs 15 — C 36 of the monthly pension which had been settled on the ejected and deceased Poligar of Pankaul had been distributed as follows.—

	Pagodas	Fs	C
To the sons of the late Poligars	108	11	16
To Pullapa Naid a distant relation of the Poligars who had behaved well during the Poligar disturbances	50	4	20
Total per Month	158	15	36

and Poollappa Naid having died Mr. Grame applied to the Board to obtain the sanction of Government for continuing a reduced Monthly pension of 20 Pagodas to his family in the name

Recommending that a reduced Monthly Pension of 15 Pagodas be Continued to the family of the late Ramapah Naid in the name of Veeraragonada Naid

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

name of the eldest son Ramappiah Naid, which pension was to cease on Ramappah Naid's death. The Board in their letter of the 7th March 1816 required Mr. Grame to submit a full explanation regarding the authority under which the distribution mentioned above had been made. Mr. Grame submitted an explanation in his letter dated 20th August 1816 and the Board in their letter of the 10th October 1816 conveyed to Mr. Grame the sanction of Government for a reduced pension of 20 Pagodas a Month, to Ramappah Naid the eldest son of Poollappah Naid deceased, for the support of the family. It will be observed that the Board did not specify whether the pension was to be a life pension.

2 Disputes having subsequently arisen in the family about the division of the pension the Collector Mr. Nisbett passed an order on the 28th August 1825

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

to pay Monthly 3 of the 20 Pagodas to Ramappah Naid's two brothers Vencatapatty Naid and Chinana Naid. The remainder was paid to Ramappah Naid up to the time of his death which occurred on the 31st July 1842. His two brothers still continue to receive 3 Pagodas a Month and Ramappah Naid's son Veeraragoonada Naid Petitions that 17 Pagodas a Month received by his father may be Continued to him. The family left by Ramappah Naid consists of a step Mother, four sons, a daughter and five more distant relations. These persons have no land and no ostensible means of livelihood, I recommend that a reduced Monthly pension of 13 Pagodas be Continued to the family in the name of Veeraragoonada Naid and that 3 Pagodas of it be paid as at present to his Uncles Vencatapady Naid and Chinana Naid.

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor 27th October 1843.

Signed W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

Order

14142 Fort St George 9th November 1843

(50-51) Read the following Report from the Collector of Chittoor dated 27th October 1843 with its Enclosure

Ordered to be kept for future Reference

(52) Read again the Report from the Collector of Chittoor dated 27th October 1843 with its Enclosure

Ordered that the Collector of North Arcot be informed that the Board approve the Expenditure of Rupees 1314 7 0 sanctioned by Government under date the 10th of September 1839 for constructing a Ruth or Vehicle &c &c of the Pagoda at Tadvaidal in the Poloor Talook of North Arcot

Ordered that the Collector of North Arcot be informed that the Board approve the Expenditure of Rupees 1314 7 0 sanctioned by Government under date the 10th of September 1839 for constructing a Ruth or Vehicle &c &c of the Pagoda at Tadvaidal in the Poloor Talook of North Arcot

Extract Letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 27th October 1843 with its Enclosure

Enclosed Statement of Charges incurred for constructing a new Ruth or Vehicle to the Kanoocumbah Pagoda at Purvaid in the Poloor Talook of North Arcot

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
&c &c &c

From the Collector of North Arcot
Chittoor 27th October 1843

Sir

I have the honor to submit the enclosed Statement of Charges incurred for constructing a new Ruth or Vehicle to the Kanoocumbah Pagoda at Tadvaidal in the Poloor Talook of this District amounting to Rupees 1314 7 0 and to request that you will be pleased to solicit the sanction of Government for the same.

I have the honor &c &c &c

(Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

Collr's Cutcherry
Chittoor 27th October 1843

17148 Fort St George 9th November 1843.

Statement Shewing the amount of actual Charges incurred for constructing New Ruth &c &c of the Kanoocumbah Pagoda at Purvaid in the Poloor Talook of North Arcot—

Talook	Name of Village &c &c	Particulars of the work	Amount of Estimate	Amount of actual Charges
1	2	3	4	5
			Rs a p	Rs a p
Poloor	Tadvaidoo Panoo=cumbah Pagoda	For a new Ruth or Vehicle constructed to the Kanoocumbah Pagoda the old one being entirely decayed	1267 11 0	1267 11 0
		For a new Dwajah Stumbum or Flag Staff as the D° being entirely D°	26 12 0	26 12 0
		For painting the Idol Panoocumbah of the Pagoda	20 0 0	20 0 0
		Total Rupees	1314 7 0	1314 7 0

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 27th October

(Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

Proceedings

Proceedings thereon,

(52-53)

The Board recommend that special sanction be granted for the disbursement from the Surplus Pagoda funds of North Arcot of Rupees 1316-7-0 being the amount of charges incurred for constructing a Ruth or Vehicle &c. for the Ranoocumbah Pagoda at Pudvaid in the Poloor Taluk in that District, under an Estimate sanctioned by Government under date 10th September 1839.—

In Con 16 Sept. 1839

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(54)

From the Asst. Coll. of Salem.
Regarding the Sub Division of the Salem District with reference to the arrangement proposed by Mr Gleig—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23d Ultimo transmitting a copy of a communication from Mr Gleig regarding the proposed change in the Sub Division—

When I addressed the Board on that subject on the 29th April, I had only been in charge of the Collectorate a few weeks and gave my opinion under an impression that it

was imperative that the Sub Collector's charge should be changed, but I now look upon the matter in quite another light, and am decidedly of opinion, that to comply with the orders of Government and the Honorable Court of Directors, it is not necessary that any change should take place, and for the good of the District, I agree with Mr Gleig that the Sub Collector should retain the charge he now holds.—

2. Undoubtedly, as stated by Mr Gleig Dharampoory is the most central place in the District and on that account a station where the Collector should frequently reside—Salem and the adjacent Talookes are now under the Collector's charge, and I propose that Salem and Dharumpoory should be my principal places of residence, and I shall so arrange that always an Assistant shall reside at Salem in my absence, and have charge of the Police—

3. The Sub Division, which now comprises Namcul, Paramutty, Senkerry and Trichengode, is most convenient for a District charge, as the Talookes are contiguous

to

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

to each other and a reference to the Map of the District will at once shew, that under the arrangement I propose, leaving the Sub-Division as it is at present, will best distribute the European Officers over the District and leave the people no substantial ground of Complaint—

Salem Zillah Opsoor,
Pt. Coll.rs Cutcherry,
21st October 1843.—

(Signed) W. E Lockhart
Ag. Pt. Collector

54-55

Order thereon—

(Read again inbons 16th Nov.r) Ordered to be sent again in Circulation—

Read the following letter.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

56

Sir,

From the Act.g Coll.r of South Arcot— } Para 1

Affording the explanation required about the Yeomiah conferred on the late Meer Abdul Gunny. 19th Oct.r 1843

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue received this day, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rupees 34—5—1 per annum, or Rupees 2—13—9 per Mensem, was the Amount of the Yeomiah conferred on the late incumbent Meer Abdul Gunny but it

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

was reduced, since December 1828 to Rupees 28—10—1 per annum, or Rupees 2—6—2 per Mensem, and is entered No. 19 in the revised list of Yeomiahs submitted to Government, a Copy of which was also forwarded to the Board by Mr. Dent then Principal Collector—

24th Dec.r 1829 * Vide Letter to B.r 29th June 1831

2. I think it proper to mention that the Yeomiah, being of a service nature should be continued, as long as the duties are performed, under the orders of Government conveyed in Mr. Secretary Chamier's letter † 1st March 1831 to the Government Agent at Chapauk I am accordingly induced to recommend the renewal of the Yeomiah in the name of the deceased's next heir—

South Arcot,
Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 27th October 1843 } (Signed) W. D. Davis
Acting Collector

56-57

Order thereon— Ordered to be brought forward

(Read again inbons 16th Nov.r) at a future day—

From the Act.g Coll.r in the Jun.r Div.n of Arcot } Read comparative Statement

58

of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for Fuslies 1251 and 1252.—

Order thereon Ordered to lie over—

58-59

Read the following Statements

Statement

DISTRICT RECORDS

17153 (61)

Fort St George

Statement Exhebiting the actual charges incurred Fusly 1252 in the Southern Division of Arcot —

9th November 1843

on account of additional Establishment employed in

Number	Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1252. Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount	Period of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated charges for Fusly 1252.	Actual charges for Fusly 1252.	Comparison between Columns 6 & 7. Increase	Comparison between Columns 6 & 7. Decrease	Date of previous Correspondence in which the employment of Establishment has been authorized	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11
							Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
	Collectors Cutcherry											
1	Pynash Goomastah	1	28	33 Rs 1	Year		336 0 0	336 0 0			Letter from the Board of Revenue dated 10th July 1843	The Servants No 1 & 2 were employed to detect the concealed Cultivation to examine the accounts of the Villages in which any is found & in writing orders &c connected with the same — No 3 in watching a Government Garden at Chellumbroor & No 4 to keep a Boat for ferrying over the inlet the Merchants who resort to purchase Salt at Teagavelly —
2	Do Do	1	14	75 13 11	From 19th Jany to 30th June 1843		75 13 11	75 13 11				
3	Government Plantation Sibbendy	1	2 5 4	28 1	Year		28 0 0	97 2 9	13 3		Do from Do dated 11th Feby 1843	
4	Boatman at Teagavelly Salt Pans	1	3 8 0	42 1	year		42 0 0	42 0 0				
5	Summahbundy Additional Goomastahs	50	10 Rs	500 1	Month		500 0 0	615 2 9	15 2 9	0 0 0		
6	Do Do	20	5 Rs	100 Rs 1/2	Do		100 0 0					
7	Contract for writing 4410 Puttahs at 5 Rs per 100	"	"	"	"		220 8 0	221 5 0	0 13 6	0 0 0		
	Sub Collr Cutcherry											
8	Summahbundy additional Goomastahs	25	10 Rs	250 Rs 1	Do		250 0 0	245 3 8	0 0 0	4 12 4	Do Do Do	
9	Contract for writing 1900 Puttahs at 5 Rs per 100	"	"	"	"		95 0 0	95 0 0				
	Total Comp'y Rupees						1647 3 11	1057 12 7	16 0 3	5 9 7		

Cuddalore Collr Cutcherry
4th November 1843

E. E. Jr

(Signed) W. D. Davies
Asst Collector

9th November 1843.

Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorized		Remarks
	10	
No 1 at 5 See Para 4 of Mr Clementson's Letter to the Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1835 Since which similar Establishments have been annually sanctioned		No 1 at 5. This Extra Establishment is indispensible in consequence of the Number of Servants that can be spared from the Huzzoor and District Cutcherries being insufficient to carry on the Survey of Dry Grain Cultivation
		No 6. There being no Kulawar Accounts in the Huzzoor and the want of them being greatly felt it is proposed to commence them this year & it is consequently hoped that this expenditure will be allowed
No 7 & 8 Similar Establishments have been annually sanctioned for the last 14 years		No 7 & 8 This additional Expenditure is required in order to Establish a check against the illicit manufacture of & traffic in Salt, the small increase of expenditure is with a view of carrying out the measure more effectually
No 9 at 12 Ditto Ditto for the last 18 years		No 9 at 12 This Annual Extra charge cannot be dispensed with without danger of encouraging smuggling & consequent loss to the Revenue derivable from this branch
		No 13 There being no Shroff or Weigher attached to the fixed Establishment, one is indispensably necessary at the Custom House at Ponany
No 14 & 15 Sanctioned by Government under date the 9th September 1817		No 14 & 15. This expenditure is required to check smuggling in the Mahé River
		No 16 & 17. The amount allowed last year for recopying and rebinding the outward Letters of Mr Warden's time which were drafted on Country Paper & almost unintelligible having proved insufficient, it is hoped that this small additional expenditure which will about suffice to render them complete will be allowed

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Fort St. George

9th November 1843.

...ate of Charges proposed to be incurred and ac... ...nt. of additional Establishments &c.a in Fasly 1253

1 Description of Servants &c.a	2 Number of Servants	Estimate for Fasly 1253			6 Estimated charges	7 Actual charges in the preceding Fasly 1252	8 Comparison between Col.s 6 & 7 Increase	8 Comparison between Col.s 6 & 7 Decrease	9 Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorized	10 Remarks
		3 Rates of Pay	4 Amount of Pay	5 Proposed period of employment						
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Days	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Revenue Department										
Menons										
Ditto	3	10 0 0	45 0 0	45						
Ditto	2	8 0 0	16 0 0	30						
Ditto	2	7 0 0	28 0 0	60						
Peons	32	6 0 0	384 0 0	60						
	4	3 0 0	27 0 0	68						
	43	0 0 0	500	0	500 0 0	408 2 3	91 12 9	0 0 0	No 1 at 5 See Para 4 of Mr Clementson's Letter to the Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1835 since which similar Establishments have been annually sanctioned	No 1 at 5. This Extra Establ... in consequence of the num... can be spared from the ... Cutcherries being inse... on the Survey of Dry Gr...
Tangany Goomastahs	12	7 0 0	262 0 0	90	252 0 0	0 0 0	252 0 0	0 0 0		
Salt Department										
Peons	2	4 0 0	16 0 0	60						
Ditto	10	2 0 0	109 0 0	165					No 7 & 8 Similar Establishments have been annually sanctioned for the last 14 years	No 7 & 8 This additional Exp... in order to establish a ... manufacture of & traffic in ... of expenditure is with a v... measure more effectu...
	12	0 0 0	125 0 0	0	125 0 0	118 4 3	6 11 9	0 0 0	No 9 at 12 Ditto Ditto for the last 18 years	No 9 at 12 This Annual Exp... dispended with without ... smuggling & consequent lo... from this branch
Sea Custom Department										No 13 There being no Shroff o... fixed Establishment, on... necessary at the Custom...
Boats	2									
Ditto	2	3 0 0	30 0 0	150						
New	16	2 8 0	20 0 0	120						
Ditto	5	4 0 0	320 0 0	150						
Shroff & Weigher	1	3 32½	120 0 0	225						
	26	7 0 0	56 0 0	243						
		0 0 0	546 0 0	0	546 0 0	522 9 10	23 6 2	0 0 0	No 4 & 15 Sanctioned by Government under date the 9th September 1814	No 14 & 15. This expenditure ... smuggling in the Make...
Sayer Department										
Boats	1									
New	3	3 0 0	36 0 0	365						
	4	1 0 0	744 0 0	365						
	2	0 0 0	180 0 0	0	180 0 0	180 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		
English Department										
Interpreters	4	at 2000 words per Rupee	72 0 0	120						
Book binder	1	7 0 0	28 0 0	120						
	5	0 0 0	100 0 0	0	100 0 0	93 10 6	6 5 6	0 0 0		
Total	102	0 0 0	1703 0 0	0	1703 0 0	1322 4 10	380 4 2	0 0 0		

Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector

Malabar Coll.rs Office Calicut 31st October 1843. E. E. P.

Fort St. George 9th November 1813

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to lie on the table.

(60-62)

Read the following letters.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Trichinopoly Requesting the Board's attention to a circumstance connected with the assessment on two Crop land in advertence to the 6th para of the Draft of a Hookoomnamah forwarded with the Collector's letter of the 8th July last.

(63)

Para 1. Adverting to the para of the Draft of a Hookoomnamah which accompanied my letter of the 8th July last, I have the honor to request the Board's attention to a circumstance connected with the assessment on two Crop land which requires consideration, and which was lately brought to my notice by the inhabitants.

2. According to the prevailing practice and the orders of the Board, whenever there may be reason to allow two Crop land to be Cultivated with one Crop only the demand is fixed at the highest rate to which lands of the same description in the same Village are liable either for one Crop or for the first Crop whichever may be highest. In Triplatory, a Village in the Conaud Talook, there are two rates of assessment for the two Crop land belonging to the Class "Punsel" the highest rate being for the 1st Crop Rs. 11-2-9 / Cht 12-1-8½ and for the

Fort St. George 9th November 1813.

the 2nd Rs. 10-0-11 / Cht 8-6-3 making for both Rs. 24-3-8 / Cht 20-7-11½ per Cawney: the other being for the 1st Crop Rs. 9-11-11 / Cht 8-3-0 and for the 2d Rs. 6-12-6 / Cht 5-8-2 total for the two Rs. 16-7-6 / Cht 14-1-2 —

3. In fusly 1250 Cawnies 5-14-5- of the land assessed at the lower rate were cultivated with only one Crop, and there being no rate in the Village for one Crop land of that description it became necessary, under the rules in force, to apply the highest rate existing in the Village for the 1st Crop, Viz. Rs. 11-2-9 / Cht 12-1-8½. There is however a likelihood from unavoidable causes, of a considerable portion of this land being cultivated with only one Crop, and the Meerasidars complain and, I think, not without reason, that the rule in question operates hardly upon them — for why they urge should they now be called upon to pay more for their 1st Crop of the 2nd Class than the amount determined at the original settlement —

The origin of there being two rates in the manner above described is to be found in the System on which the original settlement of the wet talooks was made the demand being according to it fixed with

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

with reference to the description of Crop and actual produce ascertained to have been grown on an average during a number of years and the prevailing market price thus in the highest assessed of the lands in question Peshanum was found to have been the usual Crop and in the lowest Marungarr and as no alteration in the soil has taken place there seems no sufficient reason why the high rate now objected to for the 1st Crop in the event of the non cultivation of a second should be demanded. I beg therefore that the Board will instruct me whether in the lands of Triplatory and elsewhere where there may be land similarly circumstanced I am to make the demand according to the existing rule or whether I am to allow a remission of the entire demand when a second Crop may not be cultivated.

Trichinopoly District
Voydalagoodalore
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
13th September 1843.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Ordered

17156

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Order thereon

(63-64)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly herewith returned

(65)

With reference to your endorsement on Petition No. 854 of 1842 I have the honor to refer you to your endorsement on Petition No. 1432 of 1843 on the same subject.

Trichinopoly,
Coll.r's Cutcherry,
28th October, 1843.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Order thereon.

(65-66)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 23d August and entered in Consultation 7th September last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To
The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

To the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura

(67)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23d August last.

Hons.e 7th Sept.r 1843.

As

17157

Fort St. George 9th November 1843

2- As it appears from that communication that Sham Row suspended Tahsildar of Tencurria is still under trial before the Sub Collector on Charges preferred against him under Regulation IX of 1822, the Board are precluded in the present stage from interference in the matter-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
9th November 1843-

/Signed/ E: C: Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter-

To,
E: C: Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Princ. Collr. of Madura

68

In returning Petition No. 2009 of 1842, I have the honor to submit, for the information of the Board copy of an endorsement made on Petition No. 2006, received therewith, the subject of the former, being precisely the same represented in the latter, and as it appears to have been addressed by the Petitioner in support of the statements of the one disposed of by endorsement, it does not in my opinion form a matter for separate explanation-

May I take the liberty of asking if the

17158

Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

in the affairs of H. E. the Rajah Bahadur. It is not that I have the slightest objection to such on my own part- but that I know- H. E's Ministers feel every additional authority put over them as galling, and would much prefer the Ceremonial attentions of a Resident to the minute interference I am exercising under the instructions of Government-

Madura Thiroopullioor
22d September 1843-

/Signed/ J. Blackburne,
Political Agent-

Endorsement on Petition No. 2006 of 1842.

On a reference to the authorities at Poodoocotay, it appears from their report of the 31st August that Petitioners have nothing to do with the Toty Merasee in question, as their opponents being in possession of the same under an award of the Court of H. E. the Rajah Bahader-

Thiroopullioor,
22d September 1843

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Political Agent-

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J. Blackburne-
Political Agent-

Order thereon-

68-69

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 2009 of 1842-

It appears from the Report of the Principal Collector of Madura that the Toty Merasee adverted to in this Petition

17159 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Petition is at the disposal of the Poodoocotay Samustanum the Board decline passing any orders upon it.—

To, C. C. Lovell Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pr. Collr of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that 3 bundles of Cudjan Accounts and some other papers connected with the late investigation into the charges of corruption against the Public Servants of this Collectorate, which appear to have been called for by Mr. Commissioner Drury, from the Palace at Ramnad, in February last, are now deposited in the records of this Office under the seal of that Gentleman; I am not aware of the causes for which they are retained here, and shall feel obliged by your letting me know the Boards opinion if I am at liberty to return them to the present Manager.

70

Madura, 31st October 1843.

/ Signed / J. Blackburne.
Princ. Collector.

70-71

Order thereon—

/ Read again in Cons. 23d Nov.r / Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

17160 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following letter

To, C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Assistant to the Collr of Tinnevelly

I have had the honor to receive your letter of the 10th Instant, and request you will have the goodness to make me acquainted with the orders of Government passed

72

DESTROYED

17161 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

passed on my applica-
=cation, regarding my Salary,
while detained in
Malabar, and extension of
leave, to join Tinnevelly—

Tinnevelly;
27th October, 1843. } Signed J. Silver.
Special Assistant Collector.

Order thereon.
(72-73)

Ordered to
lie over.—

Read

17162 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

Read the following letter —

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue;
Fort St. George.

(74)

Sir,

I have the honor to report that

From the Collr of Madras —

Requesting that the Sanction of Government may be procured for selling by public auction a piece of Ground the property of Government for the purchase of which T. Nine appah Moodelly M. Armooga Moodelly and others have applied.
Fort St. George Military Dept 9th Novr 1843.

T. Nineappah Moodelly, M. Armooga Moodelly, and others, applied to me for the purchase of a certain Ground belonging to Government situated at Peddoo Naiks Pettah in Black Town, the extent being Square feet 1326. The Military Board to whom the usual reference was made on the subject, state that it does not encroach on any public road. The Ground is valued at Rupees 38-10-9 according to the selling price of similar property in the neighbourhood.

From Bd 12th Novr 1843.

2. I request that the Sanction of Government may be procured for putting up the Ground now applied for, at the valuation assigned to it, in pursuance of the orders communicated in Mr Stevenson's letter of the 17th November 1834.

3. The usual notification respecting the sale, which I propose to publish in the Fort St. George Gazette, is enclosed. It will be

be requisite to insert the day on which the Ground is to be put up; a period of not less than one month being allowed to intervene between the date of publication and that fixed for the sale, in accordance with the instructions conveyed in the Extract from the

From B. of R. 7th July 1843. Minutes of Consultation dated 17th July 1843.

Madras, 1st November 1843.

/ Signed / L. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Notice is hereby given that a piece of Ground, Square feet 1326, the property of Government, situated at Peddoo Naiki's Pettah in Tyapah Moodelly Street, will be sold at this Office by public auction, at 12 Oclock on Monday the [illegible] January 1844.

A deposit of 20 per cent will be required at the time the property is knocked down, and will be subject to forfeiture in the event of the remaining portion of the purchase money not being paid within ten days from the date of sale.

The acceptance of any offer at the auction will be subject to the confirmation of Government.

All other particulars will be made known on application at this Office.

Collectors' Cutcherry, Madras 16th Novr 1843.

/ By order of Government
/ Signed [illegible]

74-75 Order thereon.

/ Read again in/s 16th Octr / a future day.

From the Coll.r and Regr of Government Grants.

76 Register of certificates issued to Individuals for the Quarter ending 11th October last.

Ordered to be brought at

Read Extract from the

Ordered to be lodged in

Order thereon.

76-77 the Office.

From the Asst Pr. Coll.r of Cuddapah.

78 bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Months of October last.

Read Register of Petitions

Ordered to be lodged in

Order thereon.

78-79 the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Collector of Ganjam.

80

To the Coll.r of Ganjam

The same to Guntoor 17th July 1843
31st augt
16th Octr

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to draw your attention to the letters noted in the Margin, and to request you will submit without delay the Statements of Estimated and actual disbursements on account of all Extra Establishments therein called for.

Revenue Bd. Office, Fort St. George, 9th November, 1843.

/ Signed / E. C. Lovell
Asst Secy

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Head Asst. Accountant General
(81) Indent on the Commissary General for the Articles required in the Revenue Department for the Month of November 1843.

Order thereon.
(81-82) Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned.

From the Collr. of Bellary
(83) Transmitting the Return of fines imposed, and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th September last, and stating that no alterations have occurred in the list of fines and of Public Servants suspended or dismissed during the same period.

From the Jr. Collr. of Coimbatore
(84) Forwarding Indents for Stationery for the year 1844.

Order thereon.
(84-85) Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

From the Collector of Masulipatam
(86) Reporting, that no cases have occurred under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VI of 1831, during the Month of October last.

From the Collr. of Malabar
(87) Abstract of Demand, Collection and Balance for the Months of September last.

From the Collr. of Malabar
(88) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

From the Jr. Collr. of Tanjore
(89) Abstract of Demand, Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

From the Jr. Collr. of Tanjore
(90) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

From the Collr. of Madras
(91) Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for Confiscation for the Month of September last.

From the Collr. of Madras
(92) Statement of Smuggled Goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of September last.

Order on the two abovementioned Statements
(91-93) Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Goods as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements, be authorized.

From the Jr. Collr. of Tanjore
(94) Register of the state of the River Cauvery at the Anicut for the Month of September 1842 and 1843.

From the Jr. Collr. of Tanjore
(95) Statement shewing the distribution of the Proceeds of articles confiscated from

and at any rate we may fairly expect that a permanent and equalized rent on each field will in the course of a few years, have a most beneficial effect in relieving the cultivators of some of the difficulties they now labour under.

8. Under that system also the Reddies and Curnums being held especially responsible for the due realization of a certain sum (often fixed arbitrarily and with little reference to the resources of the Village) were more interested in extending the cultivation of the Village lands by means of Pycarries than they are now, not that I mean to advocate this system in all cases, but I allude to it to show the means that were employed to realize the Revenue. Far from endeavouring to extend the cultivation now they are induced by the irregularity and inequality of the assessment to set their wits to work to get rid of the highly rated lands, and it is by no means an uncommon thing for a Ryot to produce before the Tasildar at the time of Villavary, a

× Occasional cultivators in contradistinction to the Ryots the resident occupiers of the lands.

× Cultivation agreement.

man from some other village who is ready to undertake a part of his land. This man of straw is represented to have purchased a part of his farming stock, he cultivates the land for a year, or perhaps two, and then disappears from the scene, and the Curnum reports him either dead or decamped on account of balances. The original holder having once got rid of the obnoxious land of course maintains his utter inability to resume it. Formerly the Reddies and Curnums took good care that nothing of this sort should happen, but now they are much more likely to be parties to the fraud than to take any trouble to prevent it. In fact under the Mogulye rule no one could benefit by these shifts, for they cared little what the nominal teerwah of a field was, provided the whole Village paid the demand.

9. It is to the prevention of these and similar practices that my own and the attention of the Tasildars will be particularly directed. Success in matters of this nature, must always be difficult, when unaided by the Village servants to whom after all we must look to secure the just rights of the Government and it is with the view of always commanding their assistance that I would recommend

a

a liberal remuneration to the Readies &
and Curnams so as to make the situation
an object of competition and worth preserving
At present they are paid for their services
by rent free lands, and I propose to &
discuss the question of their remuneration
when I receive the Board's instructions to
enter upon the general investigation &
into the tenures of the Enam lands of
the whole District.

10. The season is described as having been on the whole very favourable but the cheapness of grain made the realization of the Sists very difficult on the part of the Circar servants and ruinous to the Ryots. The balances up to the end of the Fusly amounted to the large sum of 130181- a P 7 — 10, and though some instances of inexcusable neglect in allowing the Sists to fall in arrears have come to my notice, there is no doubt that generally speaking the collection of the Revenue was no easy task.

Remissions Cabooliaty Bunjer

11. Remission to the amount of 8944 Rs was granted for land agreed for at the commencement of the season but left uncultivated- with regards to remissions of this description, as long as the present & system continues, a good deal must be left to the good faith and honesty of the Talook and

and Village Servants in determining the amount of remission to be given in each case- It is & true that each field has a teerwah tacked to it, but it is entirely nominal, for No 1 & may be assessed at one Rupee and No 2 next to it, and of the same description, may be rated at 3 Rupees an acre, without any one being able to assign any other reason than that it is so. Moreover the teerwah is at any time liable to be changed.

12. If the holder is only able to cultivate one of those fields, of course it will be the lightly assessed one- It is not in the actual quantity of land said to be left uncultivated that the attempt at imposition is usually made, it is in leaving the highly assessed lands waste and bringing the others only to account- It is therefore very rarely that remission is given to any Ryot on & the whole of his bunjer (uncultivated) land, but the amount allowed is calculated with reference to the rent of his other lands, & whether he is possessed of any Enam lands of his own, or rents at a favourable rate the Enam lands of another person, his connexion with more wealthy cultivators, the state of his stock &c &c all which particulars are easily ascertained from the other Ryots.

It

13. It is doubtless true as remarked by Mr. Bayley and quoted by the Board in Para 15 of their Proceedings on Mr. Bayley's report, that "the fluctuating rent of the cultivated land was of course originally fixed at the time of Villavary with reference to the chances of a part being waste." But it must also be remembered that it was originally so fixed on the joint responsibility of the whole Village, this joint responsibility is gradually disappearing before the individual, and such is the ascendancy of the Reddies and Curnums, and the subjection of the Ryots from long established custom, that nothing is easier than for the former to saddle any one with an undue proportion of highly assessed land, reserving the more lightly rated, or the lands nearest to the Village for themselves and those whom they may wish to favor. If a Ryot wishing to cultivate the same land as last year was to bring his complaint to the Huzzoor, of course he would be confirmed in his last holding; but they hardly ever do complain, the Curnums tell him that the new land he wants here to take is

is not highly rated, and rather than incur the displeasure of an influential man, he believes it, and is not enlightened till the crop is cut and he is called on to pay the rent.

14. The Ryots are beginning to find out the value of their puttahs, but not in the way that was intended, Viz. a protection from the exactions of the Reddies and Curnums, but they are enabled by their means to find out what fields are highly, and what lightly, assessed, and the consequence is they hang back from giving agreements to cultivate the former. I have particularly called the attention of the Tahsildars to this point but until the Field assessment is introduced, it will be impossible to do more than establish a very partial check.

15. In the only taluk that I settled myself I found none of the backwardness to ask for remission, that Mr. Bayley describes in his report. A year's more experience had taught them that nothing would be lost by asking for it, and they had doubtless come up better instructed by the Reddies and Curnums, for I have seldom seen similar demands pressed with more pertinacity, numbers refusing to take their puttahs, because the amount of remission they had made up their minds to expect, was not granted.

The

Remissions Teerwa Cummy

16- The next important item of remission comes under the head of "Teerwa Cummy"- Of this 434 Rupees was allowed by Mr. Bayley in a Village in the Shagulmurry talook, on account of land which had been once cultivated with Sugar cane, but the assessment on that being too high to allow of any reasonable prospect of a fair profit, with the limited supply of water available, it was cultivated with rice instead- It may seem strange that there should have been water enough for rice and not for Sugar cane, but it is easily explained, as the latter requires water all the year round, the former only for four or five Months, and none of the small tanks in Kurnool hold water for more than half the year except in peculiarly wet seasons-

17- Under the same head 329 Rupees was remitted in the Village of Purlay in the talook of Goodoor- The Ryots had complained to Mr. Bayley at the commencement of the season that they were unable to cultivate all their lands on account of the high assessment of some of them- They were desired to cultivate as much as they could, and were told that

it should be assessed, and this was accordingly done- They of course cultivated those lands which were lightly rated and on which the existing teerwah came to 3560 Rupees- The Assessor made it 4910, and the consequence was they all refused to take their puttahs- After much discussion I consented, in consequence of the assessment being professedly a rough one and only a temporary expedient, and in consideration of the losses the Ryots had sustained among their cattle and the extremely low prices, to remit 329 Rupees of the demand, when they at last agreed to receive their puttahs-

Remissions. Panyboodty-

19- 202 Rupees was remitted on account of "Panyboodty" in three Villages in which it is usual to cultivate the beds of tanks if sufficiently dry, but if there is enough water to allow of Nunjah or wet cultivation it is reserved for that purpose, and that was the case in this fusly- It is customary to take the Villavary for both the wet and the dry, and to remit either the one or the other according to the supply of water in the tank, and this may therefore be considered a remission according to Manool-

20- A remission of 101 Rupees was allowed in the Village of Vellumpaud in the

the Seerwul Tatook under the head of Paymaly on account of land inundated by the overflowing of a Single stream and covered with sand to as to render it unfit for cultivation.-

21-

Under the head of Tulmushtum 521 Rupees was remitted in six Villages on account of crops which failed entirely from want of water at the proper season; and under the same head 28-13-a was remitted in one Village on the Eerundy (Oilseed) crop, owing to the devastation of a worm, generally destructive throughout the district, but particularly so in this instance. On a representation made by the Ryots at the time, the plants were inspected by the Tahsildar by an order from the Huzzoor and found to be entirely destroyed.-

22-

The remission of 555 Rupees under the head of Sadermard on account of the Rupeoons to the Nundial and Seerwal Cazees was sanctioned by Government in part payment of their salaries, and in future this item will not be brought to account.-

Sodee- 23-

There is a decrease under the head of Sodee of 298 Rupees in the Village of Yelgode, and Shaee found

a Memorandum in Mr. Bayley's hand writing explanatory of it. It appears that the land on which this deduction was allowed was originally Serva Enam, and it was customary for the Enamdars to pay the Curnums of the Village, as Merah, a certain quantity of grain at the rate of a Coodoo of grain for each Coodoo of land under wet, and ½ of a Coodoo for each Coodoo of land under dry Cultivation. The Nawab subsequently caused the Curnums Merah to be transferred to the Circar, and not only doubled the Amount but converted it, under the denomination of Sodee, into an exorbitant money payment. Thus, the original Merah on the wet land was fifteen Coodoos of grain, the Nawab made it thirty and fixed the money payment at 176 Rupees, whereas thirty Coodoos at the selling prices for fusly 1952 would not fetch much more than 60 Rupees. The amount payable on the dry land was 122 Rupees making a total of 298 Rupees. Great complaints were made by the Enamdars from whom this unjust rate was levied, and the land being properly Serva Enam,

5. It will be seen that the demand that is to say, the quantity of land agreed for at the cultivating season is less by 45409 Rupees than in the last fasly.

6. I attribute this great falling

Names of Grains	Fasly 1247 per Garee	Fasly 1250 per Garee	Fasly 1251 per Garee	Fasly 1252 per Garee
Jowarry (cholum)	95	60	52	48
Bajrah (Cumboo)	109	73	61	59
Codroo (Varoogoo)	56	41	35	34
Cungony (Tena)	82	56	48	44
Coolthee (Horsegram)	117	85	71	66
Moong (Greengram)	155	118	82	73
Erundy (Oil Seeds)	132	103	80	76
Nooloo (Yelloo)	167	145	125	122
Chenna (Bengalgram)	207	155	139	126
Paddy 1st Sort	121	114	105	90
" 2d Sort	103	73	66	72
" 3d Sort	85	53	53	52½

off in the first place, to the scantiness, and secondly to the general depression and poverty of the agri-cultural population, to which the continuance of low prices, though of the greatest advantage to all other classes, has materially added. In the third place, it is evident that our plan of distributing individual Juttahs tends year by year to destroy the old Village System

system of joint responsibility, and a Ryot who three years ago would have subscribed to any thing he was told, on the strength of being one of a body and consequently in the same position as his neighbours, hesitates now to commit himself by entering into an engagement for which he is to be held solely and personally responsible, and I have very little doubt that Mr. Blane anticipated something of this sort when he recommended that the Villages should be rented for a fixed number of years. I shall have occasion to allude to this subject again when I come to the question of Remissions.

7. Under the Moguhye rule, the holders of Enam lands were made to contribute largely to the deficiences of bad seasons, we have in a great measure — and undoubtedly with justice, put a stop to this practice, but I am inclined — to think that the same act that has so much improved the condition of the Enamdars, has increased the pressure on the resources of the Ryots. I do not however at present speak confidently on this point as my experience in the District is but short,

and

Enam, the demand on account of the Circar was relinquished, and the increased rate of Akrah was fixed to be paid to the Cummums in grain as before—

24.

There is a decrease of 79 Rupees under the head of Sodee in Sundial on land cultivated last year but not this— The demand is against the whole of the lands in the occupation of Sodeedars, but the Sodee is only payable on the land actually cultivated each year, according to the terms of the Grant—

25—

I have thus accounted for, in detail, all the items of Remission or decrease which seemed to require any explanation, and I trust enough has been shown to convince the Board that the Officer making the settlement in Hurnool must of necessity be left much more to his own resources and discretion than in the more settled Districts, and that under existing circumstances it would be impossible to observe the strict Rules observed in them—

Enamity— 26.

The increase of Enamity amounting to 4677 Rupees is on Lands brought to account by the Survey hitherto held free, but for which either no Summid is forthcoming or which are not entered

in the Zameendhada Accounts— Until the general rules for the Enam investigation are made known, it ought not to be supposed that the whole of these Lands are from hence forward liable to a permanent assessment, for there may be instances in which either the proof of a Summud having once existed, or the undoubted possession of a series of generations may be allowed to entitle the present holder to, at any rate, a life interest in the Enam— I propose to leave all cases relating to Enam (except those which from their nature require to be disposed of at once) for special notice in the Report to be hereafter submitted on the general question—

Sadarward Remissions—

It will be seen that under the head of "Remissions on account of Sadarward" 27.
(the nature of which is explained in para 120 of Mr. Bayley's Summabundy Report for last year) there is a decrease of 11,704 Rupees— Mr. Bayley directed the discontinuance of these customary Remissions, and as great part of them were on account of Pagodas, Mosques and individual charities that measure has, as was to be expected, caused much dissatisfaction. I am now preparing a report, in obedience to the orders of

17 1863 Fort St. George 9th November 1843.

from 1st January to 31st December last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of fines imposed
96 and stoppages made from the Pay of the Public
Servants for the quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of alterations that have
97 occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the
Quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of Public Servants
98 suspended or dismissed from Employment for the
Quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Salt account Current for
99 the Quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Indent on the Ordnance
100 Officer at Palamcottah for articles required for the
use of his Cutcherry.

Order thereon.

100-101 Ordered that the original Indent
be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam. Salt account Current for
102 the Quarter ending 30th September last.

" Binnie

" Robertson

" Wallis Clerk