

16581 Fort St. George — November 1843

1st Nov.r Sent the following letters in consequence—

To the Coll.r of Chingleput } To The Collector of

Sir, Chingleput

3 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of this date under the circular order dated 25th March 1825 you are authorized upon your own responsibility in case of extreme emergency to make a requisition on the nearest Commanding Officer for Troops, but in all practical cases it is desirable under Para 2 of the Circular order, when you may consider Military aid necessary to enable you to keep the peace, that you should first obtain the sanction of Government for the same. Your letter under acknowledgement has been, to save time, submitted by the Board to Government — and if you are of opinion that the urgency of the case can await the order of Government which will doubtless be communicated to you direct, you will await those orders — if not, you will be guided implicitly by the instructions laid down in the circular order referred to—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 1st November 1843. } Signed/ E. C. Lovell Ag. Secy. To

16582 Fort St. George — November 1843.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the chief Secy to Government } Sir,

2-4 I have the honor to forward for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter just received from the Collector and Magistrate of Chingleput together with Copy of the reply of the Board thereto—

From the Coll.r 1st Nov.r 1843 To Sr. 1st Dr.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 1st November 1843. } Signed/ E. C. Lovell Ag. Secy.

At a Meeting Present

Thursday — 2d November 1843 } John Dent Esqr. Senior Member

A. Robertson Esqr. Second Member

Walter Elliott and Esqr. Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d and entered in Consultation 16th Ultimo—

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply—

5

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government } Sir,

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 16th Ultimo

I am directed by the Board to acknowledge the receipt of

# Diary.

30th Octr. Sent the following letter—

(1)

To the Pt Collr of Madura.

To The Principal Collector of Madura—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that the Indent for stationery for the ensuing year, submitted with your letter of the 10th Instant is not prepared according to the form published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 15th November 1842 Page 912 in as much as the specifications of the several articles are not consecutively arranged under their proper heads of annual, Biennial and Quinquennial supplies, and no information is given when the last supplies of Quinquennial articles were made— The Board request that you will submit a revised Indent in duplicate according to the above form—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th October 1843—

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secy—

Received

J. G.

The same to + Guntoor—

+ by you under date 13th Instant—

Ind. Dft. sent 19th Octr.

* To Guntoor only—

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

1st Nov.

Received the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqr

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

2

From A. Freese Esqr
Collr of Chingleput

Sir.

Para.

Reporting the resistance again offered by the weavers of Conjeveram and its vicinity to the loom tax imposed & requesting that a Company of Infantry may be forthwith ordered to meet him at Conjeveram to support the Police in apprehending the Ring leaders

In my letter under date the 10th August I reported for the information of the Board the opposition that the weavers of Conjeveram and its vicinity were making to the Collection of the loom tax imposed under their instructions and that I was then about to proceed thither with the view of endeavouring to bring those parties to a due sense of the punishment they would inevitably by such resistance draw down on themselves. Shortly after my arrival at Conjeveram the parties on being sent for came to me, and expressing contrition, requested me to suspend the exaction of the tax, until the orders of Government to whom they had appealed on the subject should be received, and promising in the event of those orders being unfavorable to pay at once the demand against them.

2. On the rejection of the Petition by Government, orders for the realization of the tax were again issued and nearly the full amount due has been realized in each Saloak with the exception of Conjeveram, where the weavers in a body still positively refused to comply with the orders of Government. On this the Sahsildar proceeded to attach the property of some of them; when they assembled to the number about 2000; and notwithstanding the Sahsildar had the provisions of Regulation III of 1831 read over to them, refused to disperse, and carried off from him by force, the property he had distrained. On receiving this report of the Sahsildar, I immediately reinforced him with a 100 armed Peons drawn from the other Saloaks, and desired him to seize 15 of the Ring leaders, and commit them to the Criminal Court for



for trial, under section III of the regulation above quoted, and I also instructed him, that should the mob of weavers, attempt to resist, or rescue his Prisoners, he must make use of the weapons with which his Peons were armed. I have however this moment received an express, from the Sahsildar reporting that on endeavouring to put those orders into force, a body of 6000 weavers had collected armed with sticks and stones, and that he considered it impracticable to do any thing without the aid of Troops, the mere presence of whom he thought would alone be sufficient to put an end to this daring opposition and enable the Magisterial authority to be maintained.

3. I myself am of opinion that it is now absolutely necessary that some Military aid should be afforded to the Police, and beg therefore that steps be taken to have a company of Infantry forthwith ordered to meet me at Conjeveram to enable me to apprehend and bring the Ring leaders in these disturbances to trial before the Criminal Courts.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collr

Chingleput District
Collrs Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 1st Novr 1843

Sent

16581 Fort St. George — November 1843

1st Nov.r Sent the following letters in consequence—

To the Coll.r of Chingleput } To the Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

(3) I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of this date. under the circular order dated 25th March 1825 you are authorized upon your own responsibility in case of extreme emergency to make a requisition on the nearest Commanding Officer for Troops, but in all practical cases it is desirable under Para 2 of the Circular order, when you may consider Military aid necessary to enable you to keep the peace, that you should first obtain the sanction of Government for the same. Your letter under acknowledgement has been, to save time, submitted by the Board to Government — and if you are of opinion that the urgency of the case can await the order of Government which will doubtless be communicated to you direct, you will await those orders — if not, you will be guided implicitly by the instructions laid down in the circular order referred to—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 1st November 1843. } /Signed/ E. C. Lovell Ag. Sec.y

16582 Fort St. George — November 1843.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the chief Sec.y to Government. } Sir,

(2-4) I have the honor to forward for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter just received from the Collector and Magistrate of Chingleput together with Copy of the reply of the Board thereto—

From the Coll.r 1st Nov.r 1843 — To 2 1st Dis.n

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 1st November 1843. } /Signed/ E. C. Lovell Ag. Sec.y

At a Meeting Present

Thursday — 2 } 2nd November 1843 } John Dent Esq.re Senior Member
A. Robertson Esq.re Second Member
Walter Elliott and Esq.re Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd and entered in Consultation 16th Ultimo—

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply—

(5)

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Sec.y to Government } Sir,

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of

16583

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Consultation dated 3rd Ultimo and the letter from the commissioner for the northern Circars, the terms on which the Board would recommend the Rawley Estate in Rajahmundry to be reinstated to the manage=ment of the Proprietor—

In Cons. 16th Octr. 1843

of an extract from Minutes of Consultation under date 3rd Ultimo transmitting for such remarks as the Board may wish to offer, a letter from the Commissio=ner for the northern Circars respecting the Rawley Zemindary in the Rajahmundry District—

2. The views entertained by Sir H. Montgomery on this subject agree so nearly with those expressed by the Board in their letter of 14th August 1842 that they deemed it only necessary in the present place to submit a brief summary of the measures proposed to be adopted for the relief of the estate in question—

3. It has been already shewn in the letter of the Board above quoted that the four Mootahs Auchentah, Panoomuntah, Coopavarum and Moorary belonging to the same proprietor as Rawley were sold for arrears of Revenue in September 1838— The / Principal / Balance then outstanding against these estates was Rupees 7,7,124—6—1. the sum realiz=ed by their sale was Rupees +74,400. Co which together with 2,815—11—2— subse=quently

+ Rs 74,400 sale of 4 Mootahs
Rs 2,815—11—2 Add collection
Rs 77,215—11—2
Rs 77,124—6—1 arrears
Rs 91—5—1

16584

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843

subsequently collected amounted to Rupees— 77,215—11—2. exhibiting a balance on the principal in favor of the Proprietor— of Rupees 91—5—1— Against this however is to be placed Rupees 2,969—5—11. interest and Rupees 2,790—0—4. charges of attachment—giving on the whole a balance Against the Zemindar of— Rupees 5,668—1—2. as stated in Para 5. of the Board's— letter and Para 9. of the Commissioner's Report—

+2969—5—11 Interest
2790—0—4 charges.
5769—6—3
91—5—1 deduct
5,668—1—2 Rem.

4. The Government are already aware that in place of the full sum realized by the sale of the four Mootahs having been carried to the credit of the Estates sold, a considerable portion was at the request of the proprietor applied in satisfaction of the arrear due upon Rawley; the accounts however having been now adjusted and the amount realized carried to the proper heads, these results as before shows a balance of 5668—1—2. on the four Mootahs — and also 538—3—4. as interest and Rupees 24,038—2—6. principal due on the estate of Rawley.

5. Sir H. Montgomery now proposes to remit the arrears due on the

Jagheer Tax Aug. 1842 25546—up to April— but 1568 Rs would seem to have been Collected since—

the Mootahs which have been sold together with the interest due on Rawley. The principal outstanding on that estate may then be considered be reduced without difficulty by the Proprietor to Rupees 12,000. and on her so doing and executing formal engagements to discharge the remaining sum by two instalments during the current and next fusly, her estate of Rawley may be once more placed under her management—

6. On the propriety of remitting the interest due upon the four Mootahs and upon Rawley the Board entirely coincide in opinion with the Commissioner, but they regret that they cannot concur in his proposal for writing off the amount due (2790 Rupees) as charges of attachment. It should be remembered that this sum has been paid in salaries of servants and other expences incurred in consequence of the sequestration and sale of the four estates brought to auction for arrears; that it is an amount actually



actually disbursed by Government from the public Treasury, the Board could not therefore advise that this part of the demand against the Zemindar should be foregone; but with this modification they have to express their entire approval of the Course indicated by the Commissioner. They therefore beg to recommend that Rupees 3,4 16. (as per margin) may be at once

| | |
|---|---|
| 5668-1-2 | Interest charged on the estates |
| 538-3-4 | Interest on Rawley |
| 6206-4-6 | |
| 2790-1-- | deduct charges |
| 3416- | Remainder |

3. The Government desire to be furnished with the information which the Board of Revenue have called for respecting the disposal of the opium from Bombay imported into the Tinnevelly District.

(True Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Resolution thereon.

Resolved, that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th September last. His Honors attention is requested to Para 3.

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From P. B. Smollett Esqre } Para 1.

Actg Collr of Tinnevelly Submitting an advertisement for the sale of the Mootahs of Cottoor and Velechoor Codoor belonging to Sagly Padmanabarauze

In my report on Estates under management of date the 8th December last, I intimated the intention I propose bringing to sale those Estates of Sagly Padmanabarauze which have been under

(6-7)

(8)



Actg by Pad: manabarauze.

under Circar management since July 1249, under precept from the Northern Provincial Court, but which are besides largely in arrear to Government.

2. I have not yet been favored with any reply to that report, nevertheless I now beg to forward an Advertisement for the peremptory sale of the Mootahs of Cottoor and Velechoor Codoor, belonging to this Proprietor.

3. In doing so, I beg to submit an Account Current which shews at one view the condition both of these Estates, and of the Separate Estate of Condakirlah at the period of the sequestration, and at the present time.

| No. | Names of Estates | Balance at the time of Zufti | Current Bishcash and charges of Manage-ment. | Total Demand | Fasleee | Collection in each year. Amount | Balance at the close of Fasly 1252 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Condakerlah | 29045 12 ⅄ | 69408 10 1 | 98454 6 1 | 1250 | 32900 2 4 | 12871 1 6 |
| | | | | | 1251 | 25090 8 3 | |
| | | | | | 1252 | 24592 10 ⅄ | |
| | | | | | | 82583 4 7 | |
| 2 | Cottoor - - - | 14991 4 ⅄ | 31037 9 ⅄ | 46028 13 ⅄ | 1250 | 6197 1 9 | 22510 4 11 |
| | | | | | 1251 | 9920 9 9 | |
| | | | | | 1252 | 7400 12 7 | |
| | | | | | | 23518 8 1 | |
| 3 | Velechoor Codoor | 11669 8 ⅄ | 24697 14 11 | 36367 6 11 | 1250 | 5041 7 2 | 20324 4 ⅄ |
| | | | | | 1251 | 6258 12 ⅄ | |
| | | | | | 1252 | 4702 15 9 | |
| | | | | | | 16003 2 11 | |
| | Total - - | 55706 8 ⅄ | 125144 2 ⅄ | 180850 10 ⅄ | ⅄ | 125104 15 7 | 55745 10 5 |

From

4. From this Statement it will be perceived that in Cottoor the arrears have accumulated from Rupees 14,991-4-0 at the time of sequestration to Rupees 32,510-4-11 at the close of Fusly 1252. And in Velichoor Cadoor from Rupees 11,669-8-0 to Rupees 20,364-4-0. In the Condakerlah Mootah alone is there any surplus in that Estate the balance at the end of Fusly 1249 was Rupees 29,045-12-0 and at the close of Fusly 1252 it was only Rupees 12,871-1-6.

5. This Statement is the Bona fide result of each Mootahs' collection being made applicable to its own demand, and not admitting the surplus of one Estate being applied to liquidate a part of the deficit of another, until the profitable Mootah itself should be free from debt. This method of adjustment is I submit, the only legitimate and equitable mode of Computation. Otherwise the present amount of Government debt will on the whole have increased, and the unfortunate Proprietor will be from Government interference for his benefit in a more helpless state than he originally was.

6. In fact Condakerlah is the only profitable Estate under Circar management



management, the other Estates will never yield the Peishcush even in the most favorable years. The Proprietor who preceded Sudmanabarauze was ruined by these Estates and other Speculations, and no private party could ever manage work them at a profit.

7. Mr. Arbuthnot in his letter of the 16th July 1840 assured the Board of this fact, and plainly shewed that the former system of management, the receipt of revenue in kind, the extension of private cultivation, and other modes of revenue administration followed by Proprietors in these Estates, could not be undertaken by Government Servants. In his subsequent communication of the 26th Octr he stated that he feared when the Estates were ultimately sold, the balance of revenue on the Books of Government, and that must of necessity be relinquished, would be greatly enhanced.

8. His prognostications have been realized to the full and every years further retention of the Estates will only lead to additional embarrassment. I have had the Mootahs specially inspected and their Condition reported upon, and under existing

Circumstances

circumstances, the realization of the Peishcush is, I repeat, hopeless.

9. I therefore submit that these two Estates be sold, and bought in, and that Condakerlah be kept under management for the present, because it will redeem itself in the course of a few years.

10. It is to be regreted that what is now proposed was not sanctioned in 1840, but as the gross collections have been retained, Government has sustained no actual loss.

11. I propose the 20th. January next for the day of sale, to admit the receipt of the Board's orders on this subject before publishing an advertisement in this District. Also because there is no necesity for hastening the sale at this season of the year.

Vizagapatam — Collector's Cutcherry 12th. October 1843. } (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector.

A

## Advertisement.

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue including interest, Charges of Sequestration, and advances for cultivation and repairs which



were outstanding against the undermentioned Estates in the District of Vizagapatam up to fusly 1252 Shall have been liquidated on or before the 20th. January next, the sale of those lands will commence by auction at the Collectors' Cutcherry at 11 Oclock A.M. on that day, and will continue until the whole of the lands shall be sold. The Amount advanced for cultivation and repairs will be made known at the time of sale.

| Numbers. | Name of the Estates. | Number of Villages. | Permanent Assessment | Balance due up to. Current | Arrears | Charges of Sequestration. | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Velchoor. Codoor | 3 | 7,267 .. .. | 3072 12 3 | 17291 7 9 | .. .. .. | 20364 4 .. |
| 2 | Cottoor ——— | 9 | 9067 .. .. | 2581 11 6 | 10928 9 5 | .. .. .. | 22510 4 11 |
| | | 12 | 16334 .. .. | 5654 7 9 | 37220 1 2 | .. .. .. | 42874 8 11 |

2d. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the principals.

Bal

3rd. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time for purchase the names of their constitutions on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4th. The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusions, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money on account of purchase will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the Estate to be resold.

5th. A sunnud Inuilleal Istimerar, or deed of Permanent right, has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector, and the Office of Board of Revenue, describing the obligations to be incurred, and the rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this advertisement. All persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6th. The purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue but in the meantime 15 percent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately, and security given for the



the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction or within one month from the day of sale, in default whereof the Estate will be resold at the risk of the 1st. purchaser.

7th. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Estate.

8th. For further particulars enquire at the office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collector's Cutcherry at Vizagapatam between 10 and 5 Oclock except Sundays.

Vizagapatam — }
12th. October 1843. }

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Jr. Collector.

Order thereon.

8-9

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.
Read the following letter.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

10

From H. Stokes Esqre. Collector of Guntoor

Forwarding Copies of correspondence regarding Cattle tresspass

Sir,

Paras 1 With reference to the correspondence noted

trespass and suggesting the propriety of provisions by an act being enacted to enable the Village Police to dispose of petty cases of trespass by cattle and to have pounds in each Village.

Read 11th Feby 1843

+ From Magistrate of Cuddapa 20th Feby 1843
Do Nellore 14th March
Do Bellary 29th Do.

From B. 30th Sept. 1841
To B. 4th Nov. — D.
From D. 21st March 1842
To D. 18th July 1842
From D. 4th Augt. — " —

noted in the Margin I have the honor to submit copy and translation of a Petition presented to me by certain ryots of Palnad and of a letter ×× addressed by me, in consequence, to the Magistrates of the District of Nellore, Cuddapah and Bellary on the subject of trespasses by cattle, with their replies, × and to suggest for the consideration of the Board and Government the propriety of enacting such provisions as may be requisite to enable the Village Police to dispose of petty cases of trespass by Cattle.

2. I have been under the impression that such cases are punishable by the Heads of Police under Section XXXVII Regulation XI of 1816 but I am not sure that this construction is correct. Even if it be, the necessity which it involves, of the attendance of the complainant before the Head of Police in a great degree neutralises the benefit of the provision.

3. It appears to me that it would be desirable to frame an act rendering it lawful to erect a pound in every Village, and to empower the Head of the Village



Village to levy fines on cattle pounded according to rates to be settled with reference to former usage by the Magistrate of each Zillah, and of the amount so levied to appropriate a certain proportion to the feeding of the cattle while impounded, and the pay of the Village Mohtard or petty people incharge of the pound, and to award the remainder by way of compensation to the complainant.

Guntoor Zillah —
Collector's Cutcherry
4th October 1843. —

Signed H. Stokes
Collector

A

To

A. Mellor Esqre
Magistrate of
Bellary

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to inform me the precise measures which are in force in your jurisdiction for the protection of the ryots from injury by cattle trespassing on their crops. It has been customary in this district for the Villagers themselves to levy a fine called Bunda on cattle found in their crops. This practice has recently been prohibited as unauthorized

by

by the Regulations, and the ryots now complain loudly that the course prescribed for obtaining redress under Section XXXIII Regulation XI of 1816 will only aggravate their loss by subjecting them to attendance before the Head of Police and consequent expense and loss of time. I am therefore desirous of knowing how similar grievances are obviated in other districts. A copy of a petition from the ryots in the Palnaud on this subject is enclosed.

Guntoor Zillah ——
Magistrates Circuit Office
Nursarowpettah ——
11th February 1843 ——

Signed H. Stokes
Magistrate

To
H. Stokes Esqre
Magistrate of
Guntoor

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 11th instant requiring information as to the measures in force in this District for the protection of the Ryots from injury by Cattle trespassing in their Crops.

2. This subject has frequently attracted my attention since I joined this



this District and I regret to say that though complaints have been brought before me I have been compelled to decline to interfere under the impression that there is no Regulation authorizing a Magistrate or a Head of Police to interfere in such a case. I have however recommended the Parties to settle the matter by arbitrators amongst themselves & this they generally do.

3. It appears to me that the provision of Law quoted in your letter under reply (Section XXXIII Regulation XI of 1816) could only apply to cases where the cattle were wilfully driven into the cultivation of another, not to cases where they accidentally had strayed there.

4. I used to think that Village Moonsiffs might investigate such cases under Regulation IV of 1816 but I have been informed that Section VI of that Regulation has been construed to extend to all cases of damages. This however does not seem to be a fair construction and I think that cases such as those now referred to might be disposed of with great advantage by Village Moonsiffs.

otherwise

otherwise some new Provision of Law for the investigation and disposal of such cases seems urgently required.-

Cuddapah District -
Magistrate's Office -
Vempally 20th February 1843

Signed W.U. Arbuthnot
Asst. Magistrate

To
H. Stokes Esqre.
Collector of
Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 11th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that the practice of Ryots collecting Bunda for cattle trespassing on their lands exists in this District, and seems to be authorized by the Common law of the Country though not expressly sanctioned by the Regulations.-

Nellore District -
Krishnapatam -
Princl. Coll.r's Cutchery
14th March 1843.

Signed J. V. Stonhouse
Pl. Collector.

To H. Stokes Esqre.
Magistrate in the Zillah
of Guntoor.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 11th ultimo No. 16. enclosing

c/f



Copy of a petition from the Ryots of Paulvaud, and requesting information as to what Measures are in force within my jurisdiction for the protection of the Ryots from injury by cattle trespassing on their crops, I have the honor to inform you that inconsequence of the extensive plains of waste land, and vast tracts intersected by Jungles and Hills for cattle to graze, complaints of the nature alluded to by you are not often preferred before me, nor am I aware of any specific orders by former Collectors on the Subject.-

2- It however appears to be the practice in this District for the Village Police in cases of trifling injury, and for the Head of Police in more important cases (which seldom occur), to adjust matters by recovering the loss from the owner of the cattle, and paying the same to the injured, and should they not agree to this arrangement by proceeding against the offending party for the trespass.-

Bellary District -
Magistrate's Office
29th March 1843.

Signed A. Mellor.
Magistrate.

Ordered

16603 Fort St. George 2. November 1843.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(10-11)

To The Collector of Guntoor.

To the Coll.r of Guntoor

Incl.s 2 Nos.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 10th ultimo regarding the punishment of petty cases of trespass by cattle, and to transmit for your information the accompanying Extract from a letter addressed to the Collector of Malabar in reply to a reference lately on this subject, by applying the provisions of Regulation IX. of 1816, as suggested by the Board lean to the hope that the difficulties which you have hitherto experienced in disposing of cases of cattle trespass may be overcome.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2. November 1843.

/ Signed / C.C. Lowell
Acting Secretary.

Read

16604 Fort St. George 2nd November 1843

Read the following letter.

(12)

To,
C. C. Lowell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

From the Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter forwarding for my remarks an Appeal Petition with enclosures from Mantry Vencatachellum suspended by me, from the situation of Salt Tannadar of Chinna Ganjam.

Forwarding Mantry Vencatachellums orig.l appeal Petition & 3 enclosures together with the abstracts of the orders issued to him in the Revenue Police and Salt Dept.s regarding the improper manner in which he discharged his duty in each of them.- 14th Sept.r 1843

2 The reasons for which I suspended the Petitioner and recommended his dismissal were stated in my letter of the 28th June last to which I have little to add. The encreasing defects of his management both in revenue and Police matters attracted my attention soon after I assumed charge of the District, and I was under the necessity of removing him from a land revenue charge to one which I considered more simple and easy. How far he there retrieved his character may be judged of from the facts stated in my letter above referred to. He submits in his Appeal that the inferior Salt was made before

DESTROYED ORIGINAL

before he was in office, this tho' it may extenuate his fault will not exculpate him as it was still his duty to have brought it to notice - The alleged former services of his father, and of himself, cannot have much weight in counter-balancing his recent proved mismanagement.

3 In conclusion I beg to forward abstracts of some of the orders addressed in the Revenue Police and Salt Department to Muntry Vencatachellum which will shew the manner in which he discharged his Duty in each of them.

4 The Petition with its three enclosures is returned herewith.

Guntoor
Collrs. Cutcherry
14th October 1843

[Signed] H. Stokes
Collector

A

Abstract of orders in the Salt Department issued to Muntry Vencatachellum a Salt Tanadar of China Ganjam and his replies thereto.

1 Order No. 152, directs him to cause to be heaped &c. the Salt manufactured in Fusly 1251.

The answer to this by arzee 497 was to the effect, that he had adopted steps to procure the grass, required to thatch the Salt heaps; that about 400 Garces were to be put into the heaps; that Mootundies should be sent



sent for the purpose, that orders should be given about the manufacturers share &c.

2 Order No. 122 was to the effect that orders had been given about the money &c. and that the salt should be caused to be measured speedily.

In his Arzee No. 593 he stated that while he was causing the measurement to be done according to Mamool and as was done in the last year, the Salt people raised disputes and that as he was new to such business he requested that either the Gentleman or the Sheristadar should come.

3 He was informed by Hookum No. 181 that regarding the measurement, a Hookum had been issued in the last year to the late Ameen that therefore he should take the same into consideration and cause the measurement to be made accordingly.

No Arzee was received in Answer to this.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843

No. 4

The Wookum Subsequently issued was to the effect that in July 1252, 600 Garces of Salt were ordered to be made in the Pedda Ganjam Cotaur, the ryots were never told of the quantity, and hence about 900 Garces were manufactured, that most part thereof was washed away by the rain and wind that negligence had been made in not inspecting the Salt as soon as it had been collected at the bank of the Salt pans, and a delay occasioned in the Salt being conveyed to the Storing yards — That because the ryots had been abused and beaten at the time the Salt was inspected, he

In the Arzee 703 dated 7th May 1843 he stated that after the first quantity was manufactured at the Cotaur of Pedda Ganjam, he and the Muddutgar and others went and inspected the Salt and that as there was every appearance of rain the ryots, peons of the Yards, Pyeghusties, Goomastahs and Neevesindah requested of him to grant them permission to estimate on an average the quantity of Salt on the bank of the Salt pans and that they would have it conveyed to the heaping yards on the morrow. He answered that if it was mamool for such heaps to be measured by estimation this should be done, and then conveyed to the yards, they answered it was mamool and therefore the Deddee peons Pyeghusties Goomastahs Neevesindah



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Neevesindah and others were ordered to act accordingly that he then came away to the Tanah but the aforesaid people did not act as they were ordered that their non performance of it had not been mentioned to him, that he heard from a different source accidentally of an excess of Salt of inferior quality more than the 600 Garces ordered to be manufactured in the present year, being made and that when he and that Muddutgar Govindoo Poorshottum and others went to the Salt pans on the 6th May and inspected them, the ryots were at work, and that as Salt of an inferior quality was being made close to the Yards Nos. 1 and 3 the duffadar was ordered to stop them, whereupon the ryots would not desist, but resisted, and attacked him; that then he arranged matters between the parties, and, when they were asked why they had not caused the heaps of Salt to be conveyed to the yards as requested by them? and why

he was fined and that instead of having Salt of a proper quality made he had thro' negligence caused a quantity of inferior quality to be manufactured.

it

it was allowed to remain where it was? the ryots pleaded a little while that they had been engaged in measurement and subsequently they insisted for a while that permission should be given for the salt subsequently made to be conveyed to the heaping yards, and that after that, they would convey to the proper place the salt, regarding which they had formerly solicited permission. They were then told that after the quality of the salt in the pans had been ascertained, he would then give orders consistently, but that the previous heaps should be caused to be carried to the heaping yards. They refused to do so, and abused him because their fraudulent intention of placing the subsequently made salt of an inferior quality, first on the heaping floor, and over that the salt of large grains so that there should be a mixture of each sort was frustrated, that therefore they invented a false accusation of their having been abused by him (M. Venkatachellum) and had come to prefer a complaint. That a Kyfeeyet had been taken by him from the pyeghustees Vca about this affair, and



and is submitted and that the Gomastah Vca had neglected to report to him the quantity and quality of the salt manufactured.

Abstract of the Endorsement on the Complaint No. 539 preferred by the Salt manufactures of the Cotaur of Pedda Ganjam.

They plead in their Argee that the Tanadar prevented them from Conveying to the heaping yards the salt made by them, and never inspected the salt at the proper times, and that they were not aware of only 600 Garces having been ordered to be manufactured in their Cotaur.

An excess of 300 Garces has been manufactured than the quantity allowed in the estimate, that besides the salt destroyed by the rain the remaining quantity is not in such a mode as to be inspected, and that with reference to the resistance and abuse which they allege they received from the Tanadar, they should prefer a Complaint in the Magisterial Department.

(signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Abstracts of orders in the Revenue Department issued to Viruntty Venkatachellum while Ameen of Tarally Tannah,

25th April 1842 No. 309 ——— The measurement of grain in Jour Tannah not having been done speedily

Pootragoontah

Poobragoontah Soobia is sent and should be made to see that the grain is measured in some of the Mootahs whilst the is Peishcar is engaged similarly in other Mootahs..

16th May 1842 No 347 ——— The measurement of the sharing produce in the Villages under your Tannah being tardily done, Poottagoonta Soobia was sent, you should therefore leave off your hitherto negligence and cause the measurement of the grain to be finished with all despatch..

16th May Do. 348 ——— The produce of the Village Vannoor has been ready for measurement since 15 days but you did not have it measured. The ryots of that Village preferred a complaint against you, to the effect that as often as they asked you about it, you put them off by saying that you would have it so done. With reference to this complaint you are reminded that you should have the grain measured forthwith and to explain why a delay of 15 days was occasioned by you..

11th June Do. 390 ——— You are reminded hereby that the produce of some of the Ryots is being tardily measured and that this procedure is the more



more reprehensible as several Orders have already been issued on this subject..

6th Augt. Do. No. 48 ——— You reported 2 months ago that you would have the "Jareeb," garden and grass lands measured through the Samitdars, but have not done so..

Do. - Do. .. 52 ——— You are fined one Rupee because you delayed sending the Curnums of the Village Collapureah as directed.

9th Augt. .. 53 ——— You are reproved for not having come to the Tannah station for Summabundy but remained at Collor and about not having settled certain disputes.

6th Septr. .. 101 ——— Having delayed to cause the attendance of the Curnums for the Summabundy up to this time whilst it was imperative in you to have sent for the other Curnums also and direct them to have the accounts ready in 10 days.

14th Septr. 118 ——— Was directed by a Hookum to send an account of the balance to be recovered from Fusly 1248 and although 111 days elapsed yet nothing of the kind has been done, he was therefore reminded that should he fail to send the accounts required within 8 days after the receipt of the order he would be fined..

Informing

23rd Novr. 1842 No. 223 ——— Informing him that owing to the Summabundy accounts for Fusly 1251 not having been received from him the report to the Board had been delayed. that he was very negligent and that therefore he should send a Mootsadee with the particulars of the accounts the same day the Hookum is received.

29th Novr. 1842 " 231 ——— Fining him 1 Rupee because he failed to send the offers made for the waste lands of the Village.

7th Decr. " 246 ——— To the effect that a delay had been caused by him in forwarding the Summabundy accounts and that a Mootsadee should be sent with the accounts speedily or in default that he would be fined

8th Decr. " 249 ——— Fining him 1/2 a Rupee because the "Anchana" accounts had not been submitted by him.

16th Decr. " 265 ——— Fining him 1/2 a Rupee because a Mootsadee was not sent with the annual accounts and directing him to send one forthwith.

23rd Decr. " 273 ——— Informing him that he had been guilty of great negligence in not forwarding kifeyets regarding the negligence of the Samutdars and Madigars about the offers for the waste land and that much of the delay in this was attributed to him.-

For



27th Decr. 1842. No. 284 ——— For not having sent a Mootsadee with the Memo of the collection and balance due although he promised so to do in 8 days, &c and that should he fail any longer to do so, his conduct would be held in a most unfavorable light.

Do. Do. " 285 ——— Reminding him that he would be fined should he fail to send immediately the accounts regarding the offers &c. for cultivation and which he should have done long ago.

Guntoor Zillah Collrs. Cutcherry 14th October 1843 } Signed J. Stokes Collector

Abstract of Orders issued in the Police Department to Muntry Vencatachellum and to his successor in the Office of Head of Police of Tanally relative to his irregularities.-

12th July 1842 ——— With reference to the death of a Vencataroydoo an inhabitant of Mootoor an order was issued to him to enquire and report in 3 days whether Atchanah was the legal heir to his Estate or not and that altho' 18 days lapsed yet no report had been made; he was directed to forward an explanation by return of post.-

23d Do. 1842 ——— The charge preferred by Mookamala Sheshia about the police peon Futta Mahomed

Mohomed having abused him &c. appears to have been examined into 2 years and 4 months and the reasons given appear very unsatisfactory: you are forgiven this time with the hope that you will be careful in future.

30th Decr. 1842 ——— With reference to the charge preferred about Collepurrah Bassamanahs family dispute you are reminded that on looking over the examination held by you you appear to have taken upon yourself some circumstance which should more properly be decided by a Civil Suit and that hence you caused the record to become voluminous. You exceeded your authority in this case without due consideration as to how far the authority vested in you extended: you should be careful in future and pay due attention to the nature of the suit brought before you.

15th augt. 1842 ——— You reported that the Jezaman made a report of a person having fallen into a well at Collour and was drowned and that you would examine about this and send a report speedily. You were at the station at the time but yet neglected even in a particular case to discharge efficiently your police duties. the neighbours &c. of the unfortunate



unfortunate person should be examined and a full report made of the circumstances, you should never write in similar cases that you will make a report by and bye.

No. 39.

14th Septr. 1842 ——— In the report form for August 64 numbers appear to remain undisposed of. and altho' orders were issued to you that you should settle the cases with all despatch yet you still perform your duties in a careless manner. You are reminded to be vigilant.

No. 55.

27th Octr. 1842 ——— Directed by an order dated 28th February to explain for what case he delayed to make a report at the lapse of 3 months regarding stray Cattle received 7 months previously into the Village of Peddacondoor.

No. 65

14th Novr. 1842 ——— you were directed by a Circular Order No. 15 to report within 8 days about the explanation called for with respect to the observances of the right and left hand castes that altho' 10 days elapsed yet you made no return, you were lately reminded by an order of the 5th November that it was not proper for you to remain silent on such a subject and that if you persist further in not writing an answer you will be fined.

No. 97.

2d Decr. 1842 ——— You are fined one Rupee for not having reported

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

reported at the expiration of 3 months about the stray Cattle in the Village Reddacondoor and for delay doing so until after 6 months.-

No. 82

15th Decr. 1842 ——— On having the Police accounts for November inspected. 53 number remain un-disposed of. The remarks given by you appear unsatisfactory much delay appear to have arisen thro' your negligence in the cases, you were repeatedly informed that you should examine cases soon after they come before you and settle them, but you still continue to pay no direct attention to your duty. you are now finally directed that should you fail to dispose of the remaining cases by the end of December you will be con-sidered unfit to do the duty of Head of Police and that another person will be appointed as your succesor.-

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Orders to Muntry Vencatachellum succesor, Sayer Khawn present Head of Police of Tannally Tannah.-

No. 14

26th Jany. 1843 ——— Pro. Condamoody Cooduraamun.

Ver: Amoolah Padmanabdoo. This Case of the prisoner having made use of abusive language to the Prosecutor and taken from him a Poomaul with 9 Rupees



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Rupees therein was presented on the 12th March 1839 and referred to the late Head of Police M. Vencatachellum who never examined the witnesses but made a report that he did not know of what villages they were inhabitants he was directed to ascertain this from the prosecutor, and to act according to the prescribed rules.-

No. 23

23rd Jany. 1843 ——— Pro: Pillalamurry Soobramanium.

Ver: Coopoony Narsimloo and others.-

The arzee presented in this case charged the prisoners with having stolen from the Oil plants, some seed, it was endorsed on the 22nd March 1839 the late Head of Police examined the prisoners in December. but never settled the case. Consequently, the present Head of Police was directed to ascertain from the Peishcar and others about the cause of its not having been disposed of, and to report the result of the enquiry.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED 1842

No. 53

8th May ——— In this case about the dispute of the "Inam" produce of Gunderauze andemmah. the Arzee presented by the Villagers

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Villagers was endorsed to be enquired into by the late Head of Police on the 2nd April 1842, he neglected to dispose of it till he was removed from the Charge-

Guntoor — Magistrates Office — 14th October 1843 — /signed/ H. Stokes Collector

China Ganjam.

No. 5.

4th Febry 1843 — Was ordered that if the prisoners who committed the robbery in the house of Chinna gawarapoo Soobanah a Inhabitant of Chinna Ganjam are not apperehended he would be considered unfit for the duties of Head of Police.

No. 12.

18th March — That in the examination of the case against Ameen Saishia of having stolen Jewels &c. valued at 194 Rupees from the house of Bayah Veer Ragawooloo there were great deficiencies and that he should examine in the case again: that should there still continue any omissions thro his negligence he would merit the Magistrates displeasure.

No. 32

16th June — The Complaint preferred by Allah Parentaloo and others of their having been caused



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

caused to be beaten, by the Duffadar and peons. having been examined adequate proof was adduced and the Head of Police fined 15 Rupees —

No. 17.

6th April 1843 — With reference to the case of Singoutum Venkauchee having stolen some jewels &c. belonging to Cotah adde Lutchmee, the peons Tellakoola Venkatasha and others having asked the Head of Police for a Warrant to make a search, the / the Head of Police / refused to do so, they stated that they searched without any warrant according to the Head of Police orders. a Hurkaun No. 15 was then issued on the 29th March to the Head of Police to report about this by return of Post and to explain why he had evinced such lukewarmness and negligence in this case. —

5th May 1843 No. 3 — Owing to the case / between the Prosecutor Kauree Soobia and Defendants Nettah Venkumah and others, a dispute about a spot of ground / which was endorsed to him on the 4th October 1839, not having been examined into and reported on immediately, the present Head of Police was obliged to report about it on the 12th March 1843. You remained in that Tannah two years and two months

Fort St George 2nd November 1843.

months and neglected to make any report upon that case consequently you are directed to explain the cause of this gross neglect as also why the charge regarding the quarrel was filed contrary to the Regulations after the lapse of three (3) months.

N. B. The explanation given was altogether unsatisfactory.—

Resolution thereon. Under the above circumstances the Board will not interfere with the removal of Muntry Venkatachellum from his situation of Salt Tanadar of Chinna Ganjam.—

(12-13)

Read again letters from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 9th and 25th September and entered in Consultation 9th and 23rd ultimo.—

Ordered that the abovementioned letters be submitted with the following address

(14)

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

To the Chief Secy to Government Submitting letters from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah reporting the result of his enquiry regarding the claims of Habel Khan & several other parties

Para 1. With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government under date 29th April last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the guidance and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the—



Fort St George 2nd November 1843.

parties to certain Enam lands granted by Colonel Munro to Cauder Beebee & to the rents collected thereon since F. 1238 when the land was taken under the charge of the Pl Collector on the death of Ladia Patel Beebee with the Boards sentiments on the subject. 9 Public ings 9 & 25 Sept & 23 Ult 1843

the accompanying letters from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah reporting the result of his enquiry and his opinion regarding the claims of Habel Khan and several other parties to certain Enam lands granted by Colonel Munro in 1801 to Cauder Beebee, and to the rents collected thereon since Fusly 1238 (1828/9) when the land was taken under the charge of the Principal Collector on the death of Ladiakan too beebee, the widow of Cauder Beebee's son who died without issue.—

2. It appears that five claimants have come forward besides Habel Khan in whose favor as the adopted son of Ladia Patel too Beebee a decree was formerly passed by the Zillah Court—the enquiry before the Zillah Court was ex parte and the persons on whose evidence the cause was decided are not now to be found but the Acting Collector has obtained the record of the case and is of opinion that the evidence as far as it goes, fully bears out the decree of the Court.—

3. As the Principal Collector who was the defendant in this suit has not been allowed sufficient time to enable him

him to file his answer a revision of judgment was ordered by the hegher court and the claim of Habil Khan was then resisted on the ground that the property came within the provisions of Regulation IV of 1831 and could not be brought under the cognizance of the Zillah Court without the special sanction of Government; but the court decreed that the lands was hereditary and within the jurisdiction of the Court and placed it in the hands of the Principal Collector in trust for the eventual owner, pleading the issue of a suit which had been filed by another claimant, an appeal was preferred and the Provincial Court set aside this decree under Regulation IV of 1831 and decided that the property was not subject to the Courts jurisdiction—

Date of decree 21st Dec. 1836

4- The other claimants argue the adoption of Habil Khan who was purchased, when a child, in the bazar and brought up in the house of Lalia Katoo heebee as a domestic slave was irregular and improper, it is understood however that such adoption is not without precedent—

On



5 On this point the Acting Principal Collector appears to think that it is deserving consideration whether the transfer of an Enam granted, as this was, for the support of a noble and once powerful family, to a menial slave in no way related to the family should be permitted and whether such transfer should not rather be considered at variance with the objects of Government in conferring such grants, as declared in the Preamble of the above Regulation namely "money and land revenue conferred by the Government "as Jeemeah, or Charitable allowances or as "pensions, should be strictly applied to the "purpose for which they have been granted "and should not be liable to be diverted "from that purpose to the use or benefit of "persons who have no claim on the state—

6. All the claimants who have come forward except Habil Khan are descendants of the Dryanah Nabob of Cuddapah. and found their claims on their relationship with the branch of the family, or which

Aboob Mahomed Khan-
Aboob Syed Khan
Jabbar Khan
Aboob Hajeb Khan
Aboob Syed Mahomed Khan-

which the Enam was conferred by Colonel Munro; this branch became extinct on the death of Ladiakatoo. her husband, from whom she inherited the property, it is not stated that any of them were related in any way to her. The nature of the connexion will be best seen from the Genealogical tree submitted by Mr. Arbuthnot.-

7. Of these claimants Mr. Arbuthnot appears to give the preference to Abdool Syed Khan as the great Grandson of the brother of Haleem Khan the last Nabob, as the present Head of the family; this person receives a pension of Rupees 17-8-per month and Mr. Arbuthnot thinks it would "be consistent both with expediency and justice to bestow the lands" on him. It does not appear to the Board to have been shewn that this person possesses any legal title and it may therefore be doubted how far a decision in his favor founded on these special grounds would be in accordance with the resolution of Government of the 10th March 1829 that the lands should descended to the heirs of the incumbents in making good their titles

In Con. 12 March 1829.



titles in the Zillah Court.-

8. Mr Arbuthnot observes however "the restoration" now "can only be considered as a fresh grant" and suggests that it might be more advisable to give a pension equivalent to the average produce, in addition to the amount already realized from the lands now in deposit. In either case he observes, it is desirable that the terms of the grant "should be distinctly specified". The Board desire to express their concurrence in this suggestion of Mr. Arbuthnot.-

9. A claim was also preferred by Abdool Syed Mohomed Khan first as the grandson of Haleem Khan's daughter Aysha Bee and subsequently as a relation of Cader Bee's husband but the principal object of this person in coming forward appears to have been to obtain an increase of his pension.-

10. The pension originally granted him was 250 Pagodas per Annum, but in proposing it Mr. Ross the Collector had proposed also that on the death of Mord Bee the daughter of Haleem Khan he should succeed to her pension of

17th Nov. 1812.

Fort St George 2nd November 1843-

of 500 Pagodas per annum in lieu of his own pension of 250 Pagodas, which was to cease-—

11- The proposal of Mr Ross was unnoticed by Government at the time when Morad Khatoo died and in 1816 a portion of 120 Pagodas of her pension was conferred on two other Members of the family, the payment of the remaining 380 Pagodas being discontinued until 1828 when it was granted by Government to Abdool Syed Mahomed Khan and his former pension ceased-—

12- The question of augmenting Abdool Syed Mahomed Khan's pension having been thus disposed of in 1828 the Board do not feel called on to press it again on the special consideration of Government.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,—
2nd November 1843-—-.

Signed E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary-

Read.



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843

Read the following letter-

To C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Jg. Pe. Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Submitting a Statement of an old balance of Tuccavy outstanding in the accounts of this District & requesting authority for striking it out of the accounts.

1. I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that there is a very old arrear of Tuccavy outstanding in the accounts of this District which I think had better be disposed of at once-

2. The balance at the commencement of the Fusly amounted to Rupees 205-7-8. Of this amount 18 Rupees have been collected and I anticipate that Rupees 22 more will be realized in the course of a few days- The remainning balance amounting to Rupees 166-7-8 is quite desperate- Of this sum Rupees 8-12-0 on account of Fusly 1242 / 1832/33 was actually collected but by mistake credited to land Revenue- The remainning balance of Rupees 156-11-8 accrued during the decennial lease which terminated in Fusly 1230 / 1820/21. The details of this sum cannot be traced in the accounts but I have been informed by my Cutcherry that the amount was realized by the renters who failed to pay it as well as a portion of

15

1629. Fort St. George 2d November 1843

of their rent. Many of these persons were for a time confined in Jail as Revenue Defaulters but subsequently released.-

3 The amount of Land Revenue due by them was remitted but this balance was by some oversight allowed to remain in the accounts.-

4 I beg to submit a Statement of these balances and to Recommend that I may be permitted to strike out of the accounts, the sum of Rupees 165-7-8 which is perfectly irrecoverable.-

Cuddapah District.
Principal Collrs Office
Kendaloor, - - - -
26th October 1843 - -

(Signed) W. Te. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal
Collector

Statement of

1630- Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

Statement shewing the Huzzoor balance standing under the heads of Balance Collections.-

| | | |

1631. Fort St. George 2d. November 1843

Ordered that the follow-
=ing letter be sent in reply.-

To the Acting Principal Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir,

To the Actg. Pal. Collr. of Cuddapah - - - 1.
Sd. Comn. 2d. Novr.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the
26th. ultimo submitting a State-
=ment of old Balances of Trifling
outstanding in the accounts of the
Cuddapah District and requesting
authority for striking it out
of the Accounts.-

15-16

2 The Board observe that this
Amount is not entered in the Statement
of Demand Collection and Balance or
in any other accounts furnished to this office,
they request therefore before applying to
Government to write off the sums to Profit
and loss that you will explain why it should
have been altogether omitted from the
Accounts and that you will state whether
there are any other sums outstanding simi-
=larly omitted.-

Revenue Board Office, - - -
Fort St. George 2d. November 1843. } (Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read



1632. Fort St. George 2d. November 1843

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

17

Sir,

From the Actg. Pal. Collr. of Cuddapah - - - 1.
Subjoining a Statement of the various petty farms comprised among the Sources of Extra Revenue & the Amount realized from each of them during the last 10 years.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 2d. Instant, re-
=questing information whether
besides the rent on hill or
Jungle produce there are any
other petty farms comprised
among the Sources of Extra
Revenue.-

2 In reply, I beg to
Subjoin a Statement exhibit-
=ing the various Farms
in this district as well as
the amount of Revenue that
has been realized from each
of them during the last
10 Years.-

Statement

Fort Hall Oregon 2d Novembr 1843.

Dear Uncle. 3 Mr Grinder of the Joint Company has entrusted me the Journals of Mary's Letters to convey to you. I feel quite unable to express my gratitude for the kindness I have received at your hands, and the Interest you have taken in my welfare. I hope I may be able at some future period to make you some return. Mr Grinder has also kindly undertaken to convey a Letter from Mary & myself to Mrs Grinder, which I have no doubt will be duly received. Mary & myself are both quite well, and enjoying excellent health. Our journey has been a very pleasant one, with the exception of a few days bad weather, & the Roads have been very good. We have had no Indians near us, & have seen very few since we left the Platte. Mr Grinder has been very successful in procuring Provisions for us, & we have had no difficulty in obtaining Cattle to exchange for our worn out ones. Mr Grinder has also been very kind in assisting us in every way he could. We have had a very pleasant journey, & have enjoyed excellent health. Mary & myself are both quite well, and enjoying excellent health. Our journey has been a very pleasant one, with the exception of a few days bad weather, & the Roads have been very good. We have had no Indians near us, & have seen very few since we left the Platte. Mr Grinder has also been very successful in procuring Provisions for us, & we have had no difficulty in obtaining Cattle to exchange for our worn out ones. Mr Grinder has also kindly undertaken to convey a Letter from Mary & myself to Mrs Grinder, which I have no doubt will be duly received

1635 Fort St. George 2d November 1843

if they rent them out they are only allowed to do so to those who have purchased from the Circar the privilege of renting them. This appears to me to be an objectionable source of Revenue, and I cannot help feeling that it is unworthy of the British Government to place such restrictions on a respectable class of people who ought to be allowed to dispose of the Successings and ashes of their Houses in any manner they think proper.

7 This rent was not introduced into this District till many years after the assumption of the Country by the British Government, and it may therefore be assumed, that it either did not exist under the Native Governments which preceded ours or that it was considered objectionable by Colonel Munro, who first assumed charge of this Province.

Pagoda Offerings.

8 This rent has been entirely discontinued since the Commencement of last Fasly.

Cuddapah District,
Principal Collrs Office,
Vendalore, 26th October, 1843

(Signed) W. Elt. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Collector.

Order thereon.

17-18

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read



1636 Fort St. George 2d November 1843

Read the following letter

To C. B. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Nunnoor

Sir,

19 I have the honor to submit the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance called for in your letter of the 28th Ultimo. It would have been forwarded before, but that my Establishment has been so much occupied in preparing Accounts for the Civil Auditor and Accountant General which are still Sixteen Months in arrears.

Nunnoor
18th October 1843

(Signed) J. Scott
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George

Order thereon.

19-20

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters.

To C. B. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Nunnoor

Sir

21 I have the honor to return Part VII and VIII of the Glossary of Indian terms.

Nunnoor
19th October 1843

(Signed) J. Scott
Agent to the Governor
of Fort St. George

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

For

1637 — Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

To

C. b. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

22

From the Collr of
Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to return
herewith the ninth part of the Skeleton Glossary
of Indian terms with certain additions
proposed —

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Pallecauny 21st October 1843

/ Signed A. Freese
Collector

To

C. b. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

23

From the Asst Collr
Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to return the 4th
part of the Skeleton Glossary filled up as requested
in your letter of the 19th December 1842 —

CH. DE.

Salem Zillah
Asst
Collrs Cutcherry
25 October 1843 —

/ Signed W. C. Lockhart
Asst Collr

24

From the Asst Collr in the
Sn Dept of Arcot

To C. b. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to

1638

4th September 1843.

to my letter I have the honor to return the 5th
6th and 7th parts of the Glossary with the
required suggestions —

The other parts of the Glossary
will be returned with as little delay as
possible —

South Arcot
Collrs Cutcherry
Cuddalore
20th October 1843 —

/ Signed W. D. Davis
Acting Collector

To C. b. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

25

From the Collr of
Tinchinopoly

Sir,

With reference to your two
letters dated respectively 28th August and 25th
September last I have the honor to return the
10th & 11th parts of the Skeleton Glossary mentioned
in a Circular letter from your Office dated 19th
December last —

KU. MA
MA. MO

Tinchinopoly
Collrs Office
24th October 1843

/ Signed A. D. Onslow
Collector

Ordered that the Glossaries accompanying the
foregoing letters be transmitted with the following letter —

To

1639- Fort St. George 2nd November 1843

(21-26)

To the College Board

To the College Board } Gentlemen, With reference to the 2nd para of Mr. Brown's Letter dated 29th Ultimo & my para 19 October In Cons 2 November 1843.

Pickeringii poly 10" & 11" D: 2¼" D: In Cons: 2nd D:"
Salem 4" port 26" D: In Cons 2nd D:"
S. Mack 5" Poly" 26" D: In Cons 2nd D:"
Chingleput 9" " 31" D: In Cons 2nd Nov: 1843.

July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying parts of the Skeleton Glossary of Indian terms received from the Collectors named in the Margin.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2nd November 1843.

Signed C. G. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter

To C. G. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(27)

From the Collr of Chingleput

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th September giving cover to a Petition from Sadhadayengar Shetty

Petitioning on account No 578 of 1843 from Sadhadayengar of Cannambottoor

1639-

1640- Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

styling himself a Meerasdar of Cunnambottoor and to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Statement of the Petitioner that Cunnambottoor is a distinct Village from Salour is altogether incorrect.—

2. The Petitioner sets forth that the lands of Cunnambottoor were formerly granted to his ancestors by one of the Meerasdars of Calattoor, and whilst in their enjoyment were taken possession of by the Meerasdars of Salour, in consequence of which they were entered by Mr. Engineer Bernard in his Paraboly account as attached to Salour distinguishing however the names of Salour and Cunnambottoor but that the limits of each Village are respectively defined by boundary Stones.—

3. The period when the Petitioner's ancestors are stated to have been thus deprived of the lands of Cunnambottoor was long anterior to that of Mr. Bernard's Survey which is now 72 years standing.—

I the

4 At the in the heading of the Parabady accounts for Salor the name of the Village is entered as Salor, Cumnambettoor, there is no distinction shown in the detailed entries of the lands. The original list of Villages in the Saghire, written many years ago, also notes only Salor, that Village with its dependent hamlet having ever been reckoned in all the public accounts as one Village.

5 During the Survey of the Chingleput Talooks in which the Village of Salor is situated, executed in 1821 under the Superintendence of Mr. Smalley, the Petitioner with the assistance of a Meerasdar of Salor who was at enmity with the other Meerasdars, requested that the lands of Cumnambettoor might be measured and registered as a separate Village on the ground of their limits having distinct boundary Stones and this statement having been supported by the report of the Tarumdar the lands were ordered by Mr. Smalley





to be measured separately, but they were not actually divided as lands of separate Villages, and the Meerasdars of Salor having subsequently objected to the separation of the lands the Survey settlement of the Village was postponed by Mr. Smalley. The Village in consequence remained under Rumany for the further period of 17 years or until it was finally settled by Mr. Maclean, in 1838 who finding that the lands claimed by the Petitioner, had always been entered in the public accounts as part of the Village of Salor and had been in the actual enjoyment of the Meerasdars of Salor from time immemorial, and that there was no reason to believe any other distinct Village had existed in any part of those lands ordered them to be included in the Village of Salor and the Petitioner was informed, that a Village and its hamlet registered and held for many years passed as one Village, could not

A.D. 1838

1663 — Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

not be separated, and entered in the accounts as separate Villages.

6. During the Summahbundy of Fasly 1248 the Petitioner again preferred his claim before the Head Assistant Collector Mr. Swinton, but that Officer having ascertained the facts of the case issued the Cottahs for the lands in the names of the Incumbents of Salvor. This was in accordance with the settled accounts and final orders of the Collector, and not contrary to them, as alleged in the 4th Para of his petition, which therefore appears undeserving of further attention.

7. The original Petition with its several accompaniments is returned.—

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pallecarney 30th Oct. 1843

Signed J. Reeve
Collector.

Order thereon.

(27-28)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1578 of 1843.

"It appears from a detailed report of the Collector of Chingleput that the lands claimed by Petitioner have from time immemorial been included in the Village of Salvor

1664 — Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Salvor, they cannot now therefore be separated from that Village and entered as a separate Hamlet as requested by the Petitioner.—

Read again letter from the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot dated 2nd and entered in Consultation 19th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.—

Sir,

1.— I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2nd October 1843 giving cover to a communication from your Acting Sub Collector and requesting instructions on certain points connected with the operation of act XXIII of 1843.—

2.— The first point noted as to the language in which the declaration in Schedule A should be drawn up.—

This declaration is to be verified by the Government Officer in charge of the Purpose and stating that under existing circumstances the Claims of the Village to the Strength of the Enam may be admitted from the description annexed to the declaration.—

To the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.—

(29)

Conveying certain instructions regarding the language in which the declaration in the schedule A of act No XXIII of 1843 should be drawn up and the mode in which it shall be verified by the Government Officer in charge of the Purpose and stating that under existing circumstances the Claims of the Village to the Strength of the Enam may be admitted from the description annexed to the declaration.—

J. Reeve 19.12.43

in charge of the Distillery who in all probability will be acquainted only with the native languages spoken in that part of the County. It is evident therefore that to render the verification of any value the declaration which it is to attest, should be couched in a language which as being current in the District the native Officer may be expected to understand, the Board are not aware what exception could be taken by the Collector of Sea Customs to such a declaration but to obviate all objection it would perhaps be advisable that the document should be prepared both in English and in the vernacular language of the Province in which the Distillery is situated &c.—

3. The second question regards the mode in which the declaration above alluded to shall be verified by the Government Officer in charge of the Distillery. It appears to the Board that after the declaration has been prepared and the



the description to which it relates filled up, the Government Officer should satisfy himself of the correctness of such description when he may at once proceed to verify the declaration, this latter document can then be taken by the owner or manager of the distillery to the Collector's Office there executed and the Certificate / Schedule B/ obtained.—

It may indeed be objected that under this mode of proceeding the "Government Officer" is required to verify a declaration which strictly speaking has not at that time been declared; but as the document will at the time of its verification have been filled up and be ready for execution, the course above indicated would seem to be sufficiently in consonance with the spirit of the Act, while it guards as effectually against fraud as either of the other methods mentioned in your letter, and is far less inconvenient to

1664 | Fort St. George 2nd Novembr 1843.

to the owner of the distillery or to the Government Officer in charge of it. This construction would further seem to be borne out by the note attached to Schedule A which directs that "the declaration be drawn out (not signed) before the rum leaves the distillery in order that the attestation of the Government Officer may be attached" which would seem to imply that the liquor may in that case be taken (if more convenient to the Distillery) to the Office of the Collector or other person authorized under the Act, the declaration previously attested by the Government Officer in charge of the Distillery, there presented Certificate given, and the rum taken at once to the port of Shipment &

With reference to para 7 of your letter the Board agree with you that under existing circumstances the Columns exhibiting

1664 | Fort St. George 2nd Novembr 1843.

exhibiting the strength of the rum may be omitted from the description annexed to the Declaration. It may however be advisable to explain the reason of such omission in a foot note appended to that Document.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.—
2nd Novembr 1843.—

Signed C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.—

Read the following letter.—

30

From the Actg Collr of the Nr Division

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Dated 6th Octr 1843.—

I have the honor to inform you that in obedience to the instructions conveyed to me in your letter of the 4th Ultimo I applied to the Quarter Master General of the army in a letter dated the 25th Ultimo to state from the Records of his Office the proper extent of the present Military Arrack Farm at Vellore. Copy of his answer stating that the Records of his department do

1652 Fort St. George 2nd

1649 Fort St George 2th November 1843.

do not afford any information relative to the extent of the Military Abkary Farm at Vellore, is annexed. The Plan of Vellore referred to in his letter corresponds with the Plan forwarded by me to the Board with my letter dated 9th June 1843.

North Arcot.
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor,
12th October 1843.

(Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

No. 1.

To

W. H. Babington Esqre
Acting Collector of
North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor by desire of his Excellency the Most Noble the Commander in Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of date the 20th ultimo and

1650 Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

and to inform you that the Records of this Department do not afford any information relative to the extent of the Military Abkary Farm at Vellore but I have the pleasure by this days post, to send you the copy of a Plan of Vellore shewing the Military limits of that Cantonment, as confirmed by Government in Minutes of Consultation No. 267 in the Military Department dated 18th September 1829 as being the only

16657 Fort St George 2nd November 1843.

only document in my possession at all likely to be useful to you, on the present occasion.—

Fort St George
6th October 1843 } / Signed / W. Strahan Lieut. Colr
2d Mr General.

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / R. H. Babington
Actg Collector

Resolution thereon.— The Board of

(30-31) Revenue request that Mr Babington will have the goodness to furnish them with a Copy of the Cowle granted to Mr Turnour the Abkary Renter.—

Read

16652 Fort St George 2nd November 1843.

Read the following letters

To C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(32)

Sir,

From the Asg. Pr. Collr of Salem — } I have the honor to submit for the consideration and approval of the Board of Revenue, 3 Drafts of answers proposed to be filed in O. S. Nos 280, 281 and 302 of 1842 instituted against the Principal Collector, in the Zillah Court of Salem—Translations of the Plaints accompany, and I request the necessary sanction may be obtained for defending the above suits at the Government expence—

Salem Zillah Cfsoor — }
Print Collr's Cutcherry } / Signed / W. C. Lockhart
27th September 1843. — } Acting Print Collector

No 1.

O. S. No 280 of 1842.

Translation of a Plaint presented to the Judge of the Zillaut Court of Salem, by Moo=
=tion, Son of Arnachellum residing in Gooroo=
=swamy pellium in the Talook of Raizepoor against J. D. Glieg Esquire Principal
Collector of Salem Venketasian Acting
Tahsildar

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

Tahsildar of Raizepoor, and Ramian Curnum of Gooroosawmy pollium in the said Talook—

I prefer this complaint against the 3 defendants to obtain a decree, awarding that I should not pay an additional teerva of Rupees 26—1—0 fixed by them beyond the Physel teerva on my Puttah lands as below particularized, viz this land is situated in the Amany Gooroosawmy Village bounded on the east by Pauvady Tope, on the south by Chetty Street, on the west by Cheenum Colay Cawdoo, and on the north by Vellavorry, the Physel teerva of this land which consists of 3/4 Vellum including the Gross lands is Rupees 7—12—0 per annum—

I purchased the above mentioned land from one Mootoo Gounden in the year Vejayah for Rupees 27, and being informed by the said Mootoo Gounden that he paid a teerwah of Rupees 23—5—0 annually, I accordingly paid the same without enquiry, if this was the exact amount of teerwah entered in the accounts—In Fusly 1232 an Occumnamah was issued that if a person dug Wells at his own expence and Cultivated Poonjah lands he was to pay poonjah teerwah—on the strength of this order I



Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

expended 100 Rupees in digging Wells on the above lands in Fusly 1248 and from the water therefrom I cultivated my garden, the 3rd defendant and myself having had a dispute, He in Fusly 1251 increased the teerwah 10½ Rupees and wanted to give me a puttah, I said I had no right to pay garden teerwah agreeably to the Occumnama, and having dug Wells at my own escpence, I reported this to the 1st Defendant, who ordered the 2nd Defendant, to enquire into the circumstances from the great friendship that exists between him and the 3rd Defendant, he made out an argee and sent it to the 1st Defendant, and made it appear that I only dug Wells this year without permission from the Circar, and that I should pay garden teerva consequently an order was received from the 1st Defendant on the 15th April 1842 that I should do so whereas I dug the Wells in Fusly 1248—On enquiring about the physel teerva of this land I found that in the Pymash accounts it was fixed a long time back at Rupees 7—12—0 according to Regulation 25 and

and Section 7 Regulation 30 of 1802 ~~m teerva~~ exceed-
=ing the Physel teerva can be charged to
those who sunk Wells at their own expence
and the Circar have no right to claim more
than the Physel teerva of Rupees 7–12–0–

I therefore beg that the
Court will be pleased to award that I
may be exempted from paying the teerva
of Rupees 26–1–0 now demanded in excess
of the Physel teerva Vizt. Rupees 7-12-0

(A true translation)

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

Proposed answer to be filed in O. S. No. 280
of 1842 instituted in the Zillah Court
of Salem.—

The Plaintiff Mootien
son of Aroonachellum Iyen of Gooroosawamy
pollium, in the Raizepoor Talook, sues to
obtain a decree in his favor for not pay-
=ing the sum of Rupees 26–1–0 being
an additional assessment to the fixed
teerva of his putcut land—

In reply to the Complaint,
the Acting Principal Collector for the



Principal Collector G. D. Glieg Esquire
has to state, that the Plaintiffs assertions
are contrary to fact at the time of the
Pymash or settlement, the following teerva
was fixed on the land mentioned in the
Plaint, Vizt.—

Elpamarum poonjah—

On Acres 5—15 ——— Rupees 7–1–0
On Acres 1—3 pilvaryland —0—0 —7–7
making in all acres 6–8 and teerva Ru-
=pees 7–8–7, and a Puttah for the same was
held by one Chengodo Govinden, who by the
water of the Well, that is situated on the
land in question converted a portion of
it consisting of acres 2–6 into Garden in-
Fusly 1212, for which, garden teerva Rupees
8–1–5 was fixed, but for the remaining
portion acres 3–9–0 Poonjah teerva Rupees
4–4–0 was entered, making in all, including
teerva pilvary Rupees 12–13–0 This teerva
was continued to be paid by the said Individual
up to Fusly 1228—

In Fusly 1229, the Mootah-
came under the Circar management, and
the original Puttadar having died in the
same year, his 2 Sons Coopa Gounden and
Rama

1657 Fort St George 2nd November 1843

Rama Gounden converted the whole spot in question into Garden, and consequently Garden teerva Rupees 33–5–6, and pilvary teerva annas 7–7 in all Rupees 33–13–1 was fixed.—

In fusties 1230 and 1231, the land in question was reverted to its former Poonjah teerva Rupees 7–1–0 owing to its being Cultivated with Poonjah grain.—

In fusly 1232 the above Individuals Cultivated acres 1–3 with Poonjah, and acres 4–12–0 with garden, and consequently Rupees 1–6–8 for the former, and Rupees 26–10–10 for the latter, and annas 7–7 for acres 1–3 pilvary land, making in all a teerva of Rupees 28–9–1 was Collected for that year.—

In Fusly 1233 a portion of the land in question consisting of acres 3–9–0 was converted into garden for which a teerva Rupees 20–0–2 was fixed and for the remaining portion of Poonjah acres 2–6–0 and teerva Rupees 2–13–3 was fixed, including annas 7–7 the teerva of the Pilvary portion it amounted to Rupees 23–5–0 In this manner

1658 Fort St George 2nd November 1843

manner fluctuating teervahs were collected on this land up to fusly 1242 In fusly 1243 the land in question was transferred to the Complainant by the original puttadars, on when a Puttah was issued in his name, as follows, Viz.t—

For a portion of poonjah of the land in question, consisting of acres 2–6–0 — Rs as p

Rupees — 2–13–3

A portion converted into garden consisting of acres 3–9–0 teerva — 20–0–2

Pilvary teerva — 4–7–7

Total teerva — 23–5–0

In this manner, Puttahs were issued up to fusly 1250, but in Fusly 1251, the Complainant without a permission from the Circar authorities, dug a Well on the pilvary land, by which means and by that of the old Circar Well, he converted the remaining portion of Poonjah (acres 2–0–6 which paid a teerva Rupees 2–13–3) into a garden, for which fuzel jaste or additional teerva Rupees 10–8–1 together with its Poonjah teerva Rupees 2–13–3, in all a teerva Rupees 13–5–4 was fixed, this added to the teerva of the other portion

of

Fort St George 2nd November 1843.

of the land Rupees 20-0-2 and Seivary teerva annas 7-7 amounted to Rupees 33-5-6 and adding tax on 4 tamarind trees Rupees 1-2-0 make a total teerva Rupees 34-13-3 for which a puttah was given to the Complainant—

On the 15th November of Fusly 1248 orders were sent to the talooks to warn all the ryots against digging Wells on their putcut poonjah lands, without permission from the Circar—In para 7 of the order sent to the Talooks regarding Dittum of Cultivation, on the 19th June of Fusly 1248, it is further stated, that in consequence of three different teervahs being fixed Viz. Nunjah Garden and Poonjah on one field at the time of Pymash settlement, Ryots are not to be allowed to dig Wells at their own expence on the Poonjah portion of such fields as they would thereby and by the water which would be clandestinely carried away from the Circar Wells to be able to convert their poonjah into Garden &c., and that if they acted contrary to this order they will be subject to fuzel Jaste or increased teerva, notwithstanding this order the complainant in



Fort St George 2nd November 1843

in Fusly 1251 dug a Well on his Poonjah portion of land Contiguous to the Circar Well or at the distance of 35 yards from it and without obtaining permission from the authorities converted his Poonjah into Garden by the water from said Well, as well as from that of the Circar Well, and consequently fuzel Jaste teerva was fixed on it, agreeably to para 17 of the Rookummana, although the 19th Para of the Cowlenamah of Fusly 1243 specifies that when a Ryot constructs a Well at his own expence on his Poonjah land, and thereby converts his poonjah into Nunjah or Garden no other than its original Poonjah teerva shall be Collected, yet the subsequent order directs, that any person wishing to dig a Well, should first obtain permission from the authorities for doing so—The Complainant contrary to such orders dug a Well (but incomplete) from the water of which, as well as from the water of the Circar Well, he converted his Poonjah, into Garden whereupon a fuzel

Jaste

1661 Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Jaste teerva was fixed. By the orders in force when a person wishes to dig a Well on his Poonjah land he should select a spot near which there is no other Well, and obtain previous permission from the authorities for the same, and when he converts his poonjah land into Garden by irrigation from the same Well, he is freed from any fuzel Jaste or extra teervah. The Complainant dug a Well quite contrary to orders at the distance of 35 yards from the old Well, the water from which was also made use of by him to convert his poonjah portion into Garden, and therefore Garden Assessment was legally fixed on it. The Acting Principal Collector requests the Court will take all the above circumstances into consideration, and nonsuit the Plaintiff with all costs.

The second Defendant having acted under the orders of the Principal Collector, no separate answer is required from him.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collr

Translation

1662 Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

O. S. No. 281 of 1842.

Translation of a Plaint presented to the Judge of the Adalut Court of Salem by Tyapoory son of Armoogum Modelly, Pgnean[2] Armoogum son of Canbadycarray, Arnachellum[4] son of Cullyton Modelly, Nunjay[5] Modelly son of Murrapen, Tyapermall son of Sungapah, Ummamullay son of Illagapah, and Comarapah[3] son of Caudyanpully Cullitan residing in Cavaty Rungum Pollium near Colagapady in the Womalore Talook, against S. D. Gleig Esqre Principal Collector of Salem, Vencatramen[2] of Cavaty Rungum pollium a weavers son of Audally Modelly, Hannamullay[3] Maudren[4] Maury[5] Modelly son of Dossy Modelly for the recovery of a Spot of land No. 5th called Echamarum poonjah consisting of Acres 3. 13. 14, and bearing Teerva Rupees 2. 11. 7 Annums.

We are Weavers, and continue to pay loom tax to the Circary a small portion on (one Cooly) of the Spot No. 153. called Echamarum poonjah which consists of

of 8/8 Coolies and situated on the North of the abovementioned Village, South to the Curnadoo East to the land No. 152, and West to the Utteemarumpoonjah, was granted to pr in the year Veerody to build on, by the late Principal Collector, after ob= =taining Razeenamah from the Pulladar; this land was entered in the Circar Ac= =counts as Pirumpoke, and affixing No. 154 to it and for the larger portion of No. 153, consisting of Coolies 5 3/8 a puttah was given in the name of Andaly Moodelly in the year Veerody, there being a famine soon after in the District, our circumstances were reduced so as not to be able to build houses and establish looms thereon, consequently the said spot was entered as Porumboke, but when we afterwards intended to build houses thereon, and Establish looms, the Curnum and Monegar deceitfully made out Puttah in the name of the 5th. Defendant for fusly 1250 and in the name of the deceased father of the

2nd.



3rd. and 4th. Defendants for fusly 1251, and caused them to be given through the 1st. Defendant. Thus by the dispute of the Pulladars the land in question was not put in our possession. On the 5th. of April 1842, we submitted an Arzee to the Princi= =pal Collector on this subject but as that Gentleman did not, up to this day, comply= with our request by putting the above land into our possession, we were obliged to include him in our plaint - we therefore request that the Court will award that the land in question may be put in our possession.

(True Translation)

(Signed) W. C. Lockhart

Acting Principal Coll.r

Proposed Answer to be filed to a Plaint in the O. S. No. 281 of 1842, instituted in the Zillah Court of Salem.-

The Plaintiffs Vyapoory =

Moodelly &c.a 8 persons in number, claim a spot of land No. 514 called Echemarumpoon= =jah consisting of Goontahs 33-14, bearing Peerwah Rupees 2-11, and yielding a profit of Rupees 10-

The

The existing Principal Collector for the Principal Collector J. D. Gleig Esqre. who is the first Defendant in the Suit, states that the circumstance mentioned in the Plaint is incorrect; The Plaintiff Veea. had in Fusly 1239, applied to Mr. M. D. Cockburn the late Collector for a spot of land to build upon, in consequence of their having had no ground in their Villages for the purpose— The above spot consisting of Goontahs 33—14. bearing teervah Rupees 2-11. a which was a portion of a field No. 153 consisting of Acres 5—15—13. bearing teervah Rupees 17—3—. Called Echamarumpoor jah held by one Audaly Moodelly in the same Village, was granted to the Plaintiffs Veea. after obtaining a Razeenamah from the Proprietor and giving him a fresh Putta, deducting the above portion.—

In consequence of the above having been left waste by the Plaintiffs without their making any use of the land, or building thereon for about 11 years, Viz. from Fusly 1239 to Fusly 1249 one Maury

Moodelly



Moodelly of the said Village cultivated the said spot in Fusly 1250, without permission from the Circar Authorities, and it was therefore for that year entered in the accounts of Extra Collections, and Teervah collected by giving him an extra Revenue Puttah when the said Individual cultivated it again in Fusly 1251, the Village Curnum entered the land under a false number (No. 154) and issued a Puttah in his name by carrying the same into the Jumabundy Accounts— Upon this the 2nd Plaintiff Venculparamien Son of the above named Audaly Moodelly preferred a Complaint stating that a portion of the land which was deducted from the Puttah held by his Father as Porumboke and given for the purpose of building a house in Fusly 1239, was left unoccupied for a long time, and afterwards taken by one Maury Moodelly for Cultivation, and requesting that the said portion be added to his field No. 153 as formerly— As one field cannot be entered in two Puttahs, and as the former

Cuttadar-

Puttadar had every right to the land in question, the Puttah granted to Mauny Moodelly was recalled, and the land and Puttah for the entire field were made over to the sons of the said Audaly Moodelly, the original Puttadar. Had any houses been built on the land in question by the Plaintiffs, their right to it might be recognized but when was left waste for a period of 11 years by the Plaintiffs, they cannot claim it as theirs. As it is unquestionably the right of the Circar the Acting Principal Collector requests that the Suit may be thrown out of Court with Costs.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart.
Acting Principal Coll.r

O. S. No. 302 of 1843.

Translation of a Plaint presented to the Judge of the Addalut Court of Salem, by Meera Saib Son of Emom Saib residing at Palcode in the Talook of Dhurmpoory against S. D. Gleig Esq.r Principal Collector of Salem.

Arnachella



Arnachella Tahsildar of Woomalore and Bemarow Tahsildar of Dhurmapoory.

I prefer this complaint against the abovementioned three defendants to obtain a decree awarding that I should not pay the additional Teerva of Rs. 17. 4 – 10 which they have fixed on my lands in the Villages of Timmariankully and Baderkully, situated East of Bawerlly Mootah, West of Palcode Village, North of Punnaculloo Mootah, and South of Amany Golapputty Village this immemorial waste Poonjah land No. 28, measuring acres 140 and Gontahs 10, was given to me according to Hookumnama and Kowle Nama to Cultivate, with a toolo chit by the 2nd Defendant in Sooly 1251 for Rupees 78. 3. a per Annum, and which yields a profit of Rupees 170 per Annum. The first Defendant afterwards added Rupees 17. 4 – 10 to the Teerva and granted me a Puttah.

The 3d Defendant wrongly

Sifted my property on the 4th of Arpashee

in

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

in the year Soobakirtoo, consisting of 22 Maunds of Beetel Nut and 3 Maunds of Pepper the value of both is Rupees 111, which I beg may be returned to me.

The Particulars of the total Amount mentioned in the Suit Viz.t Rupees 298-4-10 are as follows. I made a Dircast in November of Fusly 1250 for the abovementioned immemorial waste land to clear the Jungle, and commence Cultivating it in Fusly 1251, and I received a tooloochit to that effect from the 2nd Defendant. After I received the tooloochit the Circar measured the said land No. 28. acres 140 and Goontahs 10. and Divided it into 22 shares, and charged me average teerva at the rate of 8 Annas and 11 piece per Acre, Making a total for the whole 22 divisions of Rupees 78-3-0 on bowle teerva, with an agreement that I should hold these lands free for Fusleis 1251 and 1252, and that I should pay for the first year 7/16 teerva, for Fusly 153 - 4/16, for Fusly 154 - 6/16, for Fusly 155 half, for Fusly 156 - 3/16, for Fusly 157 and full teerva for



Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

for Fusly 158. after the lands arrived at a full teerva and agreeably to General Roscum Rama, he, the 2d Defendant promised to report to the 1st Defendant and get me the Sookavasee remissions for which he received from me a Moocheleka and Security, and granted me a tooloochit. After this I laid out about 1500 Rupees in Making advances to the Ryots building Houses for them, purchasing Cattle, in Sinking 5 Wells and clearing the Ground. I commenced Cultivating in Fusly 1251. At the time of Summabundy in that Fusly, I went to Nuggur, and during my absence some false representations was made to the 1st Defendant by some of the Public Servants. He then ordered that I should pay full assessment for Fusly 1251 Viz.t Rupees 95-7-10 contrary to the tooloochit granted to me by the 2d Defendant, the Hoo Rum Namah and bowle Namah and entered it in the Summahbundy accounts, Consequently the 3d Defendant, the present Tahsildar, demanded the full teerva from me.

I several times represented the injustice done to me, personally and in writing

writing to the 1st. Defendant, but got no satisfaction, on my refusing to pay the full teerva imposed on me, the 3d. Defendant Tufted my property on the 10th. of Utpashie in the year Soobakritoo, consisting of 22 Maunds of Betel Nut Value Rupees 99 and 3 Maunds of Pepper Value 12 Rupees.-

The 1st. Defendant not acting agreeably to the Circar Hookum Namah and Cowl Namah, nor the Sooloochit granted to me by the 2d. Defendant, but having acted quite contrary to them is the reason of my making this Complaint. I therefore beg that the 1st. Defendant may grant me a Puttah according to the 2d. Defendants Sooloochit from Fasly 1261 for Acres 140-10-4 at a teerva of Rupees 78-3-4 yielding a profit of Rupees 170, and to cancel the additional teerva of Rupees 17-4-10, and also to order that the Betel Nut and Pepper may be returned to me which the 3d. Defendant unjustly Tufted.-

Cossoot, 2d. January 1843.

/ Signed / Meera Saib

/ True Translation /

/ Signed / W. C. Lockhart
Sy. Pe. Coll.r



Proposed Answer to be filed to the O. S. No. 302 of 1842, instituted in the Zillah Court of Salem.-

The Plaintiff Meera Saib, Son of Emam Saib residing at Palcode Sues to obtain a Puttah for a spot of Anady Bun, or immemorial waste land No. 28, containing of Acres 140-10-4, bearing teerva Rupees 78-3-4 and yielding a profit of Rupees 170 per Annum, situated in the hamlets of Iminna Royanahully and Byterhully, subject to the Village of Yerranully in the Palcode Mootah in the Darampoory talook, as well as to obtain a Decree in his favor to exonerate him from paying the sum of Rupees 17-4-10, being additional assessment on the land in question, and also to recover the following articles valued Rupees 111, seized by the 3d. Defendant Viz.-

Betel Nuts Maunds — 22-

Pepper, Maunds — 3.

In reply to the Complaint, the acting Principal Collector for the Principal Collector S. D. Gleig Esqre. hais to state, that the Plaintiffs assertion

assertions are contrary to fact. The Plaintiff in the Month of November of fusly 1250 (A.D. 1840/41) made an application to the 2d Defendant Droochella Syah the then Tahsildar of Darampoory, for certain steps of waudy Bunjerland in the above 2 Hamlets consisting of Coolies or Goontahs 56 - 10 - 0 and the Tahsildar thereupon on the 1st December 1840, submitted an Arzee to the Principal Collector stating that agreeably to the Purcaut of the Plaintiff he the Tahsildar gave a Sooloochitto for the land required to be cultivated in fusly 1251 on Cowle tenure, fixeing an average teervah of Annas 8 and piee 11 per Acre, that the Plaintiff further requested that another spot of land bearing teervah Rupees 2 - 12 - 0 should be given to him, free of rent, for the purpose of building houses and digging wells thereon, and after the Cowle arriving at its full teervah that a Sookhaspee Fuzzah or discount in assessment should be allowed to him. A Takeed thereupon was sent to the Tahsildar on the 22d of December 1840, stating that the granting of such an extensive spot of land as abovementioned on a very light Cowle tenure,



tenure, would induce Ryots to give up their putcut land or lay it waste, in order to take up Cowle land to the detriment of the Sircar, that in all cases of granting extensive tracts of land on Cowle, the Principal Collectors permission should be first obtained, that the Cowle granted by the Tahsildar in this case should be cancelled, in consequence of the permission of the Principal Collector not being previously obtained; and that the Tahsildar should report what Misal teervah would be proper to be fixed on the land in question. Subsequently, on the 2d February 1841, a Takeed was sent directing the Tahsildar to acquaint the Plaintiff clearly that the Sooloochitto granted to him was cancelled, and that he should not therefore venture to remove the Jungle &c. from the land in question. The 2 Takeeds abovementioned were explained to the Plaintiff by Timma Piah the then Tahsildar, 5 Months intervened between the time of his having commenced cultivation of the land in question for fusly 1251 and the time of his being informed of the contents of the 2d Takeed. The Takeed was sent in the

the Month of February and the season for cultivation commenced in July. Under these circumstances, if the Plaintiff had incurred any loss or expence in clearing the Jungles from the land in question, contrary to orders which were communicated to him 5 Months previous the fault must be imputed to himself for which the Circar cannot in any way be responsible.

When the Tahsildar on the 17th February 1842, reported that the teervah on the land in question was fixed by the 2nd Defendant on the Average of the Village teer= =vah and that upon a revision the teervah of the spot, Annas 10- 12- per Acre No. 12, con= =tiguous to it, being found proper to be fix= =ed as a Misfal teervah, a takeed was sent on the 2nd March 1842 to fix the latter Misfal teervah Viz. annas 10 12.

The Plaintiff who is a Merchant has a small patent land bearing teervah Rs. 12-4-2, an Individual preferring such small patent holdings cannot be= allowed to take up a large portion of Cowle. The Plaintiff had been dis= =tinctly informed before the period of the Cowle tenure commenced, that Cowle land was not granted to him but= notwithstanding such warning he invested upon cultivating the land in question for



fasly 1251, and therefore the cultivated Poo= =lies 5,610 or acres 1740 with their received= Misfal teervah Rupees 89- 1- 10 and Rupees 6- 6- = the teervah of another spot No. 28, called Pedegal Ponjah consisting of Acres 11- 13 = cultivated also by the Plaintiff, in all Acres 152 and teervah Rupees 95 7- 10, were entered in the Summahbundy Accounts, and a Puttah was accordingly made out in the name of the Plaintiff, but on account of his absence at the time the puttah was left in possession of the Tah= =sildar to be deposited in his Cutcherry.

In consequence of the teervah Ru= =pees 95-7- 10 not being paid according to the Kists by the Plaintiff a report was= made on the 31st July 1842 by the Tahsildar to the Acting Head Assistant and in reply thereto an order was sent on the 2d August 1842 to the 3d Defendant the then Tahsildar directing him to send a Notice to the= Plaintiff, specifying a period for the payment of the above arrear according to the provisions of the Regulation and to attach his property in default of payment within the prescribed period, sending the list of the property so attached to the Huz= =zoor. The Plaintiff having failed to liqui= =date the arrear abovementioned his property Viz. Betel Nuts Maunds 22, and Pepper Maunds

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

was attached and reported to the Moozoon on the 3d October 1842, when an order was sent by the Acting Head Assistant on the 6th October to the 3d Defendant directing him to sell the property by Public Auction and carry the proceeds thereof after deducting the Commission, towards the liquidation of the abovementioned arrear which was accordingly done.

As the Plaintiff cultivated the land in question against the order of the Circar and as the practice of fixing an average teerwah on Cowle lands was discontinued from Fusly 1241 (A.D. 1831/32) upon the orders of the Board of Revenue, the Cowle and the Average teerwah fixed on it were cancelled, and Nifsal teerwah fixed. The Plaintiff not having accordingly received Puttah nor paid the teerwah of the land in dispute, his property was attached and sold by Public Auction, and proceeds thereof carried towards the Circar balance according to Regulation. The Acting Principal Collector therefore requests the Court will take all the above circumstances into consideration and nonsuit the Plaintiff with all costs.

The 2d and 3d Defendants having acted under the orders of the Principal Collector no separate Answer is required from them.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart.
Acting Principal Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again

(32-33) in Circulation.

To



Fort St. George 2 November 1843.

To

E. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(34)

From the Actg. Pl. Collr. of Salem — Affording further information regarding W. Fischer's claim to Quit rent for land occupied by the Salem Court with a deposition from the Salem Curnum and Extracts from the original Pymash accounts.

Sir,

1. With reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings under date the 21st August 1843, forwarding Copy of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th of the same Month, desiring to make further enquiries regarding Mr. Fischer's claim and to forward the Original Village Accounts bearing on the question, I have the honor to acquaint you, that the Curnum of Salem was sent for with the Original Pymash Village accounts, and the following is the result of the examination.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

2. On looking into the said accounts it has been found, that the land called Nuttumpoonjah is situated W. of Pillay pernaul Poonjy and East of Mohodeen Saib's Garden, and that it consists of 4 spots measuring Coolies 2 and Annas 10¼ Of this 1/32 Coolies were deducted on account Stones &c and the remaining 2 - 9¾ Coolies as teerwah Pagodas 3 were given on Puttah in the name of Mun

Munnaud Vuddiam- this corresponds with the accounts of the Huzzoor also- On questioning the Curnum upon what authority he stated that 1 Coolee of the said Nuttumpoonjey was occupied by Mr. Aufrere's Bungalow, he replied that, from the time of the Pymash to the year Siddahrty (a D. 1799) the said Nuttumpoonjy land was entered in the name of Munnaud Vuddiam, that from the year Rowdree (a D 1800) to that of Roodroodeyharry (a D. 1803) it was held by one Mully Saib, that in the year Ructachy (a D. 1804) 1 Coolee of the said land bearing teenah Pagodas Fs C 1- 21- 25, was sold by him to Mr. Aufrere, and the remaining portion was entered in the Same Mully Saib's name. In the year Crodanah (a D. 1805) he sold his portion to Mr. Hargrave and it was continued in that Gentleman's name from that time up to fusly 1250 (a D 1840 41) but it was subsequently given by the Mootahdar to another person-

3. To a further question put to the Curnum whether any Document was granted by Mr. Aufrere to the Mootahdar for the portion given him as above stated



stated, he replied, that he merely heard that the said Gentleman gave a Document to the former Mootadar Chengleroy Chetty, who being dead, his son Arnachellum Chetty was questioned on the Subject, who deposed that he had no document whatever regarding the said land and that he Knows nothing about the matter-

4. The Curnum was again asked how he could say that a Coolee of the Nuttumpoonjey is within the Court Compound occupied by Mr. Aufreres Bungalow when on Examination of the said land according to the Pymash Accounts it is found to be situated without the Court Walls. he replied, that in the Ayacut account of Fusly 1211 or year Doormooty (a D 1801) 3½ Coolies of Anaudy Turrus land are entered as lying S. of the Nuttumpoonjy and N. of the Punjuntangy Yary - that in Surveying the Nuttumpoonjy, the said Anaudy Turrus was included therein- To this he was again questioned why the 3½ Coolees were not defined by parcels in the Pymash account, when he said, that

the

they are included in the Total of the ayacut Consequently as the portion is not particularized in the Pymash Account, it cannot be relied upon -

5- On a personal measurement of the lands occupied by the Court and reported upon to the Board on the 13th March last, it was stated that the Nattumpoonjy in question is out of the Court Compound and that it is situated W. of Pillay Pormaul poonjy agreeably to the Pymash Accounts, and the Plan forwarded with my letter of the 5th July will better exhibit its situation. Under these circumstances, I beg to repeat, that the Nattumpoonjy land is not within the Ground occupied by the Court that it is situated without the walls thereof and that Mr. Dufrene's Bungalow is not built on any portion of that land. -

6- I enclose the following papers Viz.

No. 1. Copy of Deposition from the Curnum-
=mash Olungoo account No. 3 of the year Ratchasah (A D 1795) Cultivation account No. 18 of the year Rowdry (A D. 1800)
Do. No. 5 and 24 of the year Ruetachy (A. D. 1804)
Do. No. 4 of the year Crodana (A D. 1805)

The



7- The above Extracts have been carefully made from the Original Accounts written in Cadjan, which being very old and almost in a rotten State are not sent -

Salem Zillah - - -
Office Pl. Coll. Cutcherry
18th October 1843 -

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Actg. Pl. Collector

No. 1 -

Deposition given before the Acting Principal Collector of Salem by Vencatachella Moodelly Son of Ambalattady Moodelly residing at Salem, Tondamundala Vellalum by Caste sheva by religion Gomastah to the Curnum of Salem by profession, aged 48 years Dated 25th September 1843 -

2- How many years since you continue as Goomastah to hold the duties of Curnum to the said Village of Salem. 2

A- I continue as Gomastah to hold the duties of Palany Appah Moodelly the Cur-
=num of the Village of Salem, since these 25 years. -

2- In your Deposition given some
=time ago before the Tahsildar of Salem you Stated that the Bungalow of Mr. Dufrene Situated within the Gate of the Zillah Court of Salem was built on the land called "Nattum Poonjah" State particularly into ho
made in

many portions the said "Nuttam Punjah" was divided and measured in the Pymash accounts how many Goolies they contain and how they are bounded.2

A- In the Olungo accounts of Pymash introduced on the 8th Chittery of the year Rukthachee now ready with me, it is shewn that the Nuttam Jungle Poonjah held in the name of Munnada Odian is from east to west 5 5/8 South to North 6. containing in Square 33 3/4 or Goolies 2 7/64- another piece of waste on the South is from South to North 5/8- east to west 4- containing in Square 2/2 or Goolies 5/32- Another piece of waste on the west is east to West 1/2- South to North 2 7/8 containing 4 5/16 or Goolies 17/64 Another piece also on the west is 2/4 on the South 7/8 on the East containing 1 15/16 or Goolies 7/64- amounting in all 4 pieces to Goolies 2 41/64 from which Goolg 1/32 being deducted on account of the Stony and Rocky parts of the Ground, the Surplus amounts to Goolies 2 39/64 and this is further stated to be on the west of the Poonjah lands of Pillay Permall Pillay The Garden Poonjah of Mordeen Saib West of the Saib Nuttum Poonjah, but lying on



on the Side of the River is between the East and West bounds Shewn above- This Garden Poon=jah is ascertained by measurement to be 27/64 Goolies..

2. State particularly the years the Amount of Assessment and the names of the parties by whom the said Nuttum Poonjah lands were held upon Puttahs Since the introduction of Pymash-2

A- They were held in the only name of Munnada Odian from the year Ratchasa (1794) to that of Sittadry (1798) on an Assessment of Pagodas 3-23. fs. C 13- but in the year Powdry they were transferred in the name of Mullee Saib with an additional extent of Goolies 2 25/64 Subject to the same Assessment- From that year to that of Roodrothgary (1802) the lands were continued in the name of the Same individual who on the 26th Aurany of the year Rucktachy (1803) made over to Mr. Dufrere on Gooly of the Said land Subject to an Assessment of Pagoda 1-21-25. to whom Puttah was granted and the land of one Gooly entered in the Circar Accounts to the present period in the name of the said Gentleman, while the remainder being Goolies 1 25/64 entered in the name of Mullee Saib Sub=ject to an Assessment of Pagodas 2-2-1

St. in

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.-

This 1 25/64 Goolies and some other lands were entered in the name of Mr. Hargrave from the year Khrodana 1804 to fusly 1250 as his Puttah, but in fusly 1251 the whole lands held in the name of the said Mr. Hargrave were transferred to Arnachella Auchary of Salem to whom Puttahs are since granted.-

2- You Stated that Puttah was granted in the name of Mr. Aufrere in the years Ruktachy 1794 to one Gooly of land If so state particularly whether any Mochelkah &ca documents were given to the Mottadar by that Gentleman in return to his having held the Puttah, and by whom they are Kept.2

A- I am given to understand that the said Gentleman passed a Document to Chen: gelvaroy Chetty the late Mottadar, and that it is in his house, but I had not seen it with my own eyes.-

2- Till what year Mr. Aufrere paid the Teerwah on the one Gooly to which he held Puttah in his name.2

A. No entry is made in my accounts of Teerwah having been collected from that Gentleman in any year from the day the Puttah was granted in his name to the present period.-

2- After the grant of Puttah in the name



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.-

name of that Gentleman how many years he was in this Zillah and why the Mottadar had not collected the Assessment from him.2

A. I was informed that he remained three years from Ruktachey the year in which the Puttah was granted but on what grounds the Assessment had not been collected from him at that time I know not.-

2 During the measurement of the Several portions composing the said Nuttum Poonjah land on the 15th and 16th February 1843- according to Pymash accounts in the presence of myself Sheristadar &ca yourself, the whole of the Nuttum Poonjah lands were found to be bounded on the West by the Poonjah lands belonging to Pillay Permal Pillay, on the South by the Court gate wall on the North by the road leading to Namcul and on the East by the Poonjah Garden of Moideen Saib entered in the Pymash Accounts, but on the contrary how can the land on which Stands the Bungalow of Mr. Aufrere within the Court gate be said to appertain to the Nuttum Poonjah or how can it form part of Nuttum Poonjah.2

A- Boundaries are only Set to the said Nuttum Poonjah from East to West and not from South to North- The lands are stated in

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.—

in the Pymash accounts to be in lengthwise from South to North and not so from East to West. When the lands comprehended within the bounds referred to in the Question were measured in the presence of the Collector and others certain immemorial waste lands lying towards the South was also included in the measurement. If the waste land which was afterwards cultivated and measured but included in the said Nuttum Poonjah be excluded therefrom, the lands comprehended within the bounds referred to in the Question will be found to correspond exactly. but the entire extent measured in the presence of the Collector does not appertain Solely to the Nuttum Poonjah.—

2- You Stated that certain immemorial waste lying South of the said Nuttum Poonjah was included in it since its being brought under cultivation Prove it then by the Pymash Accounts.2

A- In the Pymash Accounts the immemorial waste Porombokе Veau not being distinctly entered by portions or parcels I cannot Show the immemorial waste by the Accounts as required in the Question, but I think it is



Fort St. George 2d November 1843.—

is included in the 3/2 Goolies which were deducted from the Fyseel Ayacut account of the year Durmady 1800 when the lands were measured by the Collector Viz to 3/4 Goolies of waste South of the Said Nuttum Poonjah and North of the Nunjah in the Poonjuntoongby Tank which was considered as unfit for cultivation for ever, and 1/4 Gooly of immemorial waste but fit for cultivation.

2. You Stated that of the lands measured in my presence certain immemorial waste was since cultivated and included with them, if so when and by whom was it cultivated and what is its extent.2

A- In fusly 1230 a Potacal Teerwah of Rupees 3-10-0 had been charged to Nursinga Row on Gooly 12/64 After Mr. Fischer had got the possession of the Mootah, he charged the Poonjah Teerwah of Rupees 5-14-4 on the 3/4 Gooly of land registered in the name of Mr. Hargrave so far back as the year Prabava 1806 and collected it ever Since. From fusly 1250 an extent of 1/4 Gooly was made over to Arnachella Aucharry, for cultivation but the Moottahdar had not fixed thereon the Teerwah which he has remitted..

Here follows the Signature of Venkatachella Moodelly in Tamil Character.

25th Sept. 1843. /Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Actg Pl. Collector

Extract.

1689- Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

No. 2-

Extract from the 3d leaf of the Original Cadjan accounts Shewing the measurement made on the 8th Chitteray of Ratchasa when introducing the Pymash Olungo in the Cushah of Salem-

Particulars of the 2 Goolies as per last year of Nuttum Jungle Poonjah of Manada Odian.-

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Piece of waste west of Pillay Permall Pillay | East to West 5 7/8 / South to North 6 | × | 33 3/4 | - 2 7/64 - | Viz. 2d Goolies 1 / 3d " — | 17/64 |
| 1 - Do. Do. South of Do. | South to North 5 7/8 / East to West - 4 - | × | 2 1/2 | - 5/32 - | | |
| 1 - Do. Do. West of Do. | East to West 1 1/2 / South to North 2 7/8 | × | 4 7/16 | - 7/64 - | | |
| 1 - Do. Do. West of Do. | South to North 2 1/4 / East to West 1 1/8 - 3 | × | 1 15/16 | - 7/64 - | " 2d Goolies | |
| 4 | | | 24 1/64 | | | |

Deduction account of Stony and Rocky parts 1/32

Remaining — 2 39/64 -

Extract from the 18th leaf of the Individual Cutcut Accounts Shewing the increase and decrease of the Current year as compared with the last in the Village of Pottah in the Cushah of Salem.-

Amount of Assessment on Goolies 2 25/64 in the occupation of Mulle Saib in Rowdry year out of the land held by Munnada Odean is Star Pagodas 3 - - - - - - - -

Milay P. f. C. 2-3-43

Extract

1690- Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

Extract from the 5th leaf of the Sagoopady Ayacut Accounts shewing the increase and decrease of the Current year as compared with the last in the Village of Do. in the Cushah of Do.-

Nuttum Poonjah land measured and included by Mr. Thomas Norris Surveyor with his land in the year Ruktachy.

Cultah granted to Do. on the 26th Quaury of Ruktachy after a measurement of the land.

The holding of Mulle Saib is as follows.

| | My | f | C |
|---|---|---|---|
| Amount of Assessment on 2 25/64 Goolies of Nuttum Poonjah is Star Pagodas 3 | 2 | 3 | 43 |
| Do. on one Gooly of the said land converted into Salt Pan is Star Pagoda 1 | 2 | 1 | 25 |

Extract from the 24th leaf of Sagoopady Ayacut Accounts shewing the increase and decrease of the Current year as compared with the last in the Village of Pottah in the Cushah of Salem.-

The extent of the holding of Mullee Saib in the year Ruktachee.

Amount

1691- Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Amount of Assessment on the 2 25/64 Goolies of Poonjah land during the last year is Star Pagodas 3 | M.P. | f. | c. |
| | 2 | 3 | 43 |
| Do on 1 Gooly of Poonjah land measured out to Mr. Anfrere on the 26th Auvany of the Current year Ruklachee is star Pagoda 1 | 2 | 1 | 25 |
| Do on the remaining Goolies 1 25/64 Star Pagodas 2 | " | 2 | 18 |

No increase

Particulars

On this land Mr. Hargrave built a horse Stable in the year Rodrothgary.

Dated 26th September 1843.

Signed Vencatachella Moodelly
Goomastah to the Cutcherry of Salem

Extract from the 4th leaf of the Putcut Accounts of the Village of Pallah in the Cusbah of Salem for the year Krodana.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Paid by Mr. Hargrave during the last year on account of Assessment Star Pagodas 1 | M.P. | f. | c. |
| | 2 | 8 | 5 |
| Paid by Mr. Hargrave on Account of Assessment on the total measurement of his Bungalow Garden — Do by Curlan Vydie and Venent Royer on their flower Garden Nagary land and Garden consisting of Poonjah Goolies 17/64 | 2 | 8 | 8 |

Said

1692- Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Paid by Maury Mootoo Vencatarayer as Do on Do Do consisting of Poonjah Gooly 1/4 | M.P. | f. | c. |
| | 4 | 4 | 77 |

Increase in the Current year.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Amount of Assessment on Garden Poonjah Goolies 1 21/64 is Star Pagodas 5 | 2 | 6 | 51 |
| Do on Poonjah Gooly 7/16 is | 1 | " | 70 |
| Total Amount of Assessment on Poonjah Goolies 2 7/64 inclusive of the Garden | 6 | 2 | 7 41 |

Particulars.

The extent of the land and Assessment of the Bungalow and Garden bounded on the West by the road, on the North by Calingulah, on the East by the River and on the South by the Garden of Veerakara Vydie Gounden are as follows.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Amount of Assessment on Garden Poonjah Goolies 1 21/64 is Star Pagodas 5. | 2 | 6 | 51 |
| Do Do on Nuttum Poonjah Do 7/16 is 1 5 | " | " | 70 |
| Total Amount of Assessment on Garden and Poonjah Goolies 2 7/64 | 6 | 2 | 7 41 |

These are the particulars given in the said leaf. Of the Nuttum Poonjah land 1 Gooly was.

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

was held in the name of Mr. Aufrere while the remaining Goolies 1 25/64 which was held in the name of Mullie Saib was registered in the name of Mr. Hargrave. With the exception of Nuttum Poonjah Gooly 11/16 as entered in the Accounts referred to the remaining Gooly 61/64 is included in the said Garden Goolies 1 31/64. The particulars whereof are not distinctly shewn.

(Signed) Vencatachilla Moodelly
Goomastah to the Curnum
of Salem.

(True Translation)
(Signed) T. Verasawmy.
Translator.

Order thereon (34-35) Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

Read the following letters

To E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(36)

Sir

From the Asst. Coll.r in the S.n D.n of Arcot — Para 1.

+ 21st Octr 1843.

In acknowledging the receipt of your + letter I have the honor to forward a Memorandum shewing the quantity of Salt now

ORIGINAL DESTROYED



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

now on hand together with the probable demand for it from the 15th. instant to the 1st. of May next, from which it will be seen that the stock of this Article at the several pans in this district is insufficient to meet the additional demand of the Ceylon Government.

2. I further beg to observe that the Board are aware no Salt was ordered to be made at Markanum this year for the reasons stated + 7th January 1843. in Mr. Hallets letter, In addition to which I understand there has been a partial failure in the manufacture of it in the adjoining pans of Chingleput, owing to an unexpected fall of rain during the Months of May and June last hence the demand for it at Markanum for some months past, appears to be greater, there having been an increase in the sale at this pan from the commencement of this fasly to the 15th instant of 277 G. 202 M. in excess of what was sold during that period last year. I am of opinion therefore, that there is every probability of the whole stock being sold before the new Salt will be ready for sale in May next.

South Arcot,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Cuddalore 24th October 1843.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Acting Collr.
Memorandum

6695 Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

No. 1.

Memorandum shewing the Stock of Salt now on hand as well as the probable demand thereof till 1st May 1844 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

| | Stock on hand on the 15th Octr. 1843. | | Demand from 15th October 1843 to 1st May 1844 | | Increase in stock on hand | | Decrease in stock on hand | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | G | M | G | M | G | M | G | M | |
| At Markanum Pans | 897 | 291 | 921 | 211 | " | " | 23 | 320 | The Demand for Salt has been estimated with reference to the actual Sale during the corresponding period in Fusly 1252 in which 120 Garce for exportation to Pondicherry and the residue for inland and home consumption are included As no new Salt can be expected to be ready prior to 1st May next the demand has been calculated upto this date. |
| At Cuddalore Pans | 166 | 140 | 108 | 270 | 57 | 270 | " | " | |
| At Tragavelly Pans | 85 | 135 | 149 | 310 | " | " | 64 | 175 | |
| At Killay Pans | 100 | 297 | 64 | 39 | 36 | 258 | " | " | |
| Total | 1250 | 63 | 1244 | 30 | 94 | 128 | 88 | 95 | |
| Nett Stock | | | | | | | 6 | 33 | |

South Arcot Collr. Cutcherry
Cuddalore 24th October
1843.

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Acting Collr.

To

6696 Fort St. George 3d. November 1843.

To E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(37)

Sir,

Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Tinnivelly.

In reply to your letter of the 21st Instant received this day I have the honor to state that on due calculation it appears no Salt could be conveniently spared for the Ceylon Government from this District, the quantity of Salt on hand on the 15th October 1843. being only Madras Garce 2192-5-8 whilst the Home consumption in the last Fusly amounted to 2397. Garce and difficulty was experienced in the making of Salt this year from the unusual falls of rain during the manufacturing season.

Tinnevelly 26th October 1843.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter.

(36-38)

To

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

To E. C. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39)

From the Actg. Collr. of Tanjore — } Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th. September enclosing a petition from certain persons at Negapatam, and requiring information regarding the same. It is true as stated by Petitioners that they were put in charge of the Pagoda and subsequently removed by me on consideration, the nomination appearing to me unadvisable. In Negapatam there are two parties constantly at variance with each other, and more particularly in matters connected with the religious ceremonies of the Pagodas. I have many times attempted to reconcile these factions but without success, and at last despairing of any amicable Settlement being made amongst themselves I nominated

Petitioners

returned



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843

Petitioners to superintend the Pagodas, in hopes that when the question was disposed of matters would gradually subside. This however was not the case and on hearing that my decision in favor of the parties put in was said to have been influenced by their friends in my Cutcherry. I thought it proper to oust them, and seek for a Pundarum unconnected with either faction as the only way of avoiding continual disputes and interruptions in the performance of the Pagoda Ceremonies.

Signed J. F. Bishop
Actg. Collector.

Tanjore
9th October 1843 }

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Acting Collr. of Tanjore — } 1

39-40

To The Acting Collector of
Tanjore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 9th. Ultimo reporting on Petition No. 1547 of 1843.

In

16699 to 701 Fort St. George 2d November 1843

2— In reply I am instructed to inform you that the Board are of opinion that the Petitioners having been formally instituted in the charge of the Pagoda and all connection between Government and that institution having been thereby terminated, it was not competent for you at a subsequent period to displace them and substitute another party in their room— In this view the appointment of the Pundarums, however desirable on general grounds, must be regarded as irregular and the Board therefore beg to request that he may be removed, and the Pagoda again placed under the management of the Petitioners—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2d November 1843— } Signed E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read

16702. Fort St. George 2nd November 1843

Read the following letter—

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)

Sir

From the Collr of Trichinopoly — Replies to the information called for in the Board's letter of the 28th ultimo relative to the suspension of Govind Row Tahsildar of Conaud.—

Para 1. I have the honor to reply to your letter of the 28th ultimo calling for certain information relative to the suspension of Govind Row Tahsildar of Conaud.—

Govind Row was suspended on the 30th August 1843— the outstanding balance on that date on account of Fusly 1252 in Conaud Jaloork was Rupees 19,861-4-3, the Collections since realized amount to Rupees 4,573-6— leaving a balance of Rs 15,287-14-3—

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

It may thus at first sight appear that as the person appointed to remedy Govind Row's deficiencies was not more successful than himself, blame cannot be attached to him— I submit however an inferrence in his favor cannot be drawn from the smallness of the amount of the Collections made since his suspension— for there is always more difficulty in collecting a large amount at once than gradually and

Fort St George 2nd November 1843

and Collections are of course more easily made in season than out of season. it must too, be particularly borne in mind that the season at which Govind Row's duties devolved on his substitute was the cultivating season of another fusly which rendered it impolitic to press the Ryots too strictly - and indeed it was necessary to give instructions to this effect.

But in regard to the cultivation it is impossible to find any excuse for Govind Row's conduct. The accompanying Statement No 1 shews the state of the cultivation up to the 30th August 1843 (the date of Govind Row's suspension) as compared with it on the same date in the preceding season. No 2 exhibits the particulars of the Cultivation of 22 Villages up to the 30th August and subsequently to that date up to the 1st October. If the Cawnies 1851-78-9 of Marey Caro had been cultivated in proper season - and there is no reason but remissness on the part of the Tahsildar why they should not have been - they would have produced a second Crop - as it is they will only produce one - in consequence of the advanced stale of the season. In many of the Villages in Statement No 2 it will be perceived that no cultivation was undertaken - nor had any measures whatever been adopted by Govindoo for getting the Land cultivated. The number of Cawnies entered in the last Column is that in which Paddy has actually been transplanted. but between 700 and 800 Cawnies more are under preparation for the reception of Plants.

Trichinopoly. Coll's Cutcherry, 19th October 1843.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collr

Statement



Fort St George 2nd November 1843

No 1. Statement shewing the extent of Collection of Fusly 1253 at the date of Govindoo Row's Suspension compared with that at the corresponding period of Fusly 1252 in the Talook of Conaud District of Trechinopoly.

| Description of Crops | Extent of wet Land cultivated in Fusly 1252 up to 15th Avany or 30th August 1842. | Extent of Land Cultivated Fusly 1253 up to 15th Avany or 30th August 1843. | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cawne G a | Cawne G a | Cawne G a | Cawne G a |
| Mary Cart | 5,079 11 3 | 3,227 32 10 | . . . | 1,851 78 9 |
| Peishanam | 1,654 3 8 | 1,625 37 1 | . . . | 28 66 7 |
| Poovasy | 78 93 12 | 117 27 9 | 38 33 13 | . . . |
| Panavaresay | 81 25 11 | 30 11 5 | . . . | 51 24 6 |
| Vanpire | 714 68 1 | 726 50 9 | 11 82 8 | . . . |
| Total | 7,608 12 3 | 5,726 59 2 | 50 16 5 | 1,931 69 6 |

Trichinopoly. 19th October 1843.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Statement

16/105

Fort St George 2nd November 1843

No 2. Statement shewing the extent of Cultivation in 22 Villages hereunder named up to 30th August 1843 compared with corresponding period of Fusly 1252 in the Talook of Conaud = Trichinopoly District.—

| | Cultivation to 30th August 1842 of Fusly 1252. | Cultivation to 30th August 1843 of Fusly 1253. | Increase | Decrease | Cultivation from September to 7th October 1843 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | C. G. a. | C. G. a. | C. G. a. | C. G. a. | C. G. a. |
| Keela Moolacoody | 44 63 1 | 18 14 8 | . . . | 26 48 9 | 22 19 5 |
| Narsingapooram | 19 24 12 | . . . | . . . | 19 24 12 | . . . |
| Ottagoody | 66 44 10 | . . . | . . . | 66 44 10 | 7 93 . |
| Kala Malay | 88 88 6 | . . . | . . . | 88 88 6 | 14 82 . |
| Vedavadungum | 124 27 4 | 13 64 . | . . . | 110 63 4 | 46 58 3 |
| Paupacoorchey | 133 57 11 | . . . | . . . | 133 57 11 | 32 47 13 |
| Poolandercoorchey | 24 52 9 | . . . | . . . | 24 52 9 | 24 18 1 |
| Cocharasempettay | 56 40 . | . . . | . . . | 56 40 . | 2 10 . |
| Ellagoody | 55 13 8 | . . . | . . . | 55 13 8 | 29 28 3 |
| Tadew Vil | 5 . . | . . . | . . . | 5 . . | 23 24 . |
| Vaingoor | 60 28 4 | . . . | . . . | 60 28 4 | 36 58 1 |
| Moorookoor | 2 13 1 | . . . | . . . | 2 13 1 | 26 41 1 |
| Amoortaroynellore | 31 41 . | . . . | . . . | 31 41 . | 9 . 6 4 |
| Mullianputhoo | 75 29 8 | 51 60 14 | . . . | 23 68 10 | |
| Sengachoolay | 26 57 15 | 15 72 2 | . . . | 10 85 13 | |
| Paroongoody | 30 10 9 | 22 68 12 | . . . | 7 41 13 | |
| Wyjacondeeswerom | 10 92 9 | 3 42 8 | . . . | 7 50 1 | |
| Moolungoody | 51 34 2 | 38 67 . | . . . | 12 67 2 | |
| Keelaputhoo | 65 2 8 | 12 75 . | . . . | 52 27 8 | |
| Vadagapoothoor | 7 88 7 | 11 4 5 | 3 15 14 | . . . | |
| Somarasempettay | 30 61 14 | 33 39 7 | 2 77 9 | . . . | |
| Avaspattavurty | . . . | . . . | . . . | . . . | 2 . . |
| Total Cawnies. | 1009 71 10 | 221 8 8 | 5 93 7 | 795 56 9 | 276 86 9 |

The return of Cultivation have not yet been received from the Villages.

Trichinopoly. . .
19th October 1843

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Ordered.

16706. Fort St George 2nd November 1843

Ordered that the follow-
-ing letter be sent in reply.-

To,
The Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

To, the Coll[r] of
Trichinopoly - -

(41-42)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 19th October last reporting further regarding the cir-
-cumstances under which you have sus-
-pended Govinda Row Tahsildar of Conaud from his situation.-

2. The Board consider the conduct of the Tahsildar extremely repre-
-hensible but they are unwilling that for an act of neglect only he should be remov-
-ed from Employ without an opportunity of retrieving his character, they accordingly authorize his removal to some situ-
-ation of less responsibility and emolument.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
2nd November 1843.

(Signed) E C Lovell
At Secretary

Read

16707 Fort St George 2nd November 1843

Read the following letter

To, E. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

From the Coll[r] of
Trichinopoly - -

(43)

I have the honor to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying letter from my Head Sheristadar Shashagery Row ask-
-ing me to disburse his arrears of Salary during the time he was under suspension as Head Sheristadar of the District of Madura.-

Trichinopoly
Coll[rs] Office 26th October 1843.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

To, A.P. Onslow Esq[r]
yr yr yr
Collector of Trichinopoly.

Honored Sir,

I beg leave to inform your honor that I have received my Salary from the Treasury at Madura, up to 18th July 1843 the date of my re-
-moval from that District your honor have been pleased to direct me that you will give my pay from 21st Instant the date of my arrival here. I am not dismissed from Government service, but only removed from that District to this. I am entitled to receive my pay from 19th July to 23rd Instant. I therefore request your honor will have the goodness to give order-

Trichinopoly
26th October 1843

(Signed) In Maharatta

Ordered

16708 Fort St. George 2nd November 1843

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address:-

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Chief Secy to Government. } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly forwarding an address to him from his Head Sheristadar - Shashagery Row, requesting him to disburse his arrears of Salary during the time he was under suspension as Head Sheristadar of the Madura District.

26th Oct. in Cons. 2nd Nov. 1843.

(43-44)

2- The Government order of the 29th July 1843 directed that Shashagery Rows full allowances should be paid to him from the date of his suspension to the date on which his removal from the Madura District was directed, Viz. 18th July 1843, and from that date until the 23rd ultimo he has ceased to draw pay and as no one has drawn the allowance of Head Sheristadar of Madura during this period the Board would recommend that this should be granted to him from the 19th July to the 23rd October both inclusive and charged to the Collectorate of Madura from the 24th October he will receive his Salary from the Collector of Trichinopoly.-

In Cons. 3rd Aug. 1843.

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George 2nd Nov. 1843. } (Signed) E.C. Lovell Actg Secretary

Read

16709- Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E.C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

45

From Rt. Hon. Colr of Madura - } Sir,

I have the Tasildar of Nellacotay,

Requesting deduction for Salary to a Tasildar Vencat Rao of Nellacotay relieved from suspension not drawn during suspension.

Order to Inozoondar 8th Febry 43.

Vencat Rao's pay was stopt and kept in deposit by order of the Sub Collector from the 24th January. On the 8th February the temporary charge of the Taloke was transferred to the Inozoondar. His pay continued to be drawn and held in the Taloke deposit till the 15th May. on and from the 15th May / the date of a Conviction under Regulation IX of 1822 / his pay ceased to be drawn. His Conviction of offence is entered in the return to the Board of the 31st May but the Column for dismission or suspension is left blank, probably by oversight. On this date I have reversed the Sub Collector's decision on appeal, remitting the payment of Rupees 335. 14. 4. awarded against him under Clause 6 Section V of the Regulation

Order to Inozoondar 23rd May 43.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

in

in question - have directed payment of his full salary in deposit from 21st. January to 8th. February inclusive, and of three fourths of it from 9th. February to 16th. May both inclusive, and payment of 1/4 to the Mozoomdar who was in charge during that period.-

3. I have the honor to request sanction for payment of Rupees 317- 5- 4 the full salary of the office, from that to the 30th. September, in the proportion of 3/4 to the Sasildar and 1/4 to the Mozoomdar who was employed in this duty.-

4. I have the honor to forward copy of my Proceedings in appeal, and of a letter to the Sub Collector, both of this date, to enable the Board to judge of the propriety of acceding to my suit for sanction of Payment of Salary undrawn.

Madura... 21st. October 1843.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princ. Collector

No. 8.

On the appeal of Vencut Rau, suspended Sasildar of Nellacotay, from a



a decision passed against him, under Regulation IX of 1832. for payment of Rupees 225- 4- 1, being one half of double the amount of a fraudulent charge for work said to be done in 1842. in repair of the tank and Channel of Samarapolum in Iyem-pollum Village, and the tanks and Channel of Poolienpolum of the same Village - and for payment of Rupees 110- 10- 3. being one half of double the amount of a fraudulent entry as for work done, which was not done, in repair of the Shettopatty Channel on the ordinary estimates of 1842.-

1- The order for the 1st. payment is dated the 15th. that for the second the 16th May 1843. but the suspended Sasildar prefers only one appeal for both cases under date the 1st. June 1843.-

2- As no Regular and formal proceedings under the Regulation in question are to be found, it becomes necessary to supply a brief

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.-

brief outline of the case.-

Prices of the offence charged and course of examination

3. In the ordinary estimates for 1842. the four first works for which one order of decision of 15th. May was passed, were entered at Rupees 454- 4- 10. and the taluk measurements of the 15th. September return the work executed to extent of Rupees 409- 1- 2.

The Estimate for the 5th. work, for which also one separate order of decision of the 16th. May was passed was entered at Rupees 199- 2- 0, and the taluk measurements of the 2d. September are given at Rupees 186- 8- 4.

Now it appears from the Civil Engineer's diary for the month of November that he and assistant Surveyor Mason visited the spots of the four first items on the 14th. & 15th. November; And Mr. Surveyor Mason visited the spot where the 5th. item was executed on the 8th. November, and being unable to measure the pits, by reason of the much water, they measured, as well as they could, the banks and



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.-

and, assuming want of integrity and carelessness on the part of the Tasildar and taluk authorities, they set down in the diary in question their belief that work to value of only Rupees 179- 2- 8 had been performed in the four first instances- and of only Rupees 75- 14- 1 in the last- Total Rupees 255- 0- 9- on the five works- and whilst Mr. Surveyor Mason recommended the Tasildar should be reprimanded Mr. Civil Engineer Horsley was of opinion "some thing more than reprimand was necessary".

4- From the 22 documents- of which 7- are depositions of labourers, and the rest orders and addresses having reference to the 5 items in question- accompanying a Tamil Memorandum of the Sub Collector of the 23rd. May 1843, to Mr. acting Collector Morehead, it appears that on a complaint of the 30th. June 1842 of frauds in execution of the four first works by Ratee Chitty of Tyempollium, the Sub Collector forwarded

forwarded it the next day to the Sasildar for enquiry - but it is to be presumed that he did not suspect him, at the time, from sending it to him, and from his making no Enquiry into the matter till he, of his own accord, reported, with documents, on the 23d. September, that all was correct, it may be presumed he had himself little belief in the accusation. From some disbelief of the report, but whence arising does not appear, the Sub Collector on the 7th. October directed the Sasildar's next Subordinate, the Moozoomdar, to measure the work and report, which latter he did on the 15th. idem, stating the fall of rain and increase of water had rendered measurement impossible.-

The matter seems then to have been left in abeyance, till, on receipt of the Civil Engineer's diary for November, I communicated his remarks on the works in question, on the 6th. and 15th. Decr. to the Sub Collector, requiring his early and careful report on so serious charges or suspicions - Accordingly on the 12th. December



December the Sub Collector called upon the Sasildar for Explanation of the differences and discrepancies to which he replied, on the 22d. Idem, with deposi-tions of talook maramnut Servants, asserting the presence of the Sasildar to visit, during Execution of the works, the correctness of the talook measurements and assigning reason in detail for the impossibility of the Civil Engineer's correct judgement, mainly resting on lapse of time between execution and inspection, and because of the heavy rain that had fallen in the interval.-

On the 8th. February 1843.

5-

the Sub Collector issued an order to the Sasildar, reminding him of his non obedience to a prior order of the 24th. January to join him, when on Circuit in his talook, on plea of illness, and directed that in consequence his pay should be stopped from the 24th. January and that the Muramnut Gomastah and Igempollum Villadar should be suspended and their pay held in deposit till further instructions.-

On

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

On that same, and the preceding day, the Sub Collector inspected the places where work had been done in Tamarind-Colum and Poolienbolum tanks and Channels, and afterwards called various tank diggers employed thereon. On the 7th. February Ramaboyen deposed "he had, at 3 different times, received 406 rupees from the talooke" and when questioned the following day as to its disposal he deposed that "he had given out of it Rupees 100 to the Tasildar 20 to the Manaigar; 50 to the Muramnut Gomasta and 15 to the Villadar."

6. On the 13th. February Mr. Commissioner Drury required, by Tamil Memorandum, the attendance of the Nellacotay Tasildar, and order was issued in conformity on the 15th. On the Tasildar's announcing his arrival at Madura, and sickness, to Mr. Drury at Mayloor, he was directed to go back to the Sub Collector, without any questions being asked, and on the 27th. February a Tamil Memorandum, to that effect was issued by the Commissioner to the Sub



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

Sub Collector. The Tasildar reported his attendance at Dindigul on the 5th March, and was directed by the Sub Collector to remain in attendance on the 22d. March, on the enquiry, he defended his conduct as he had done before, with some additional reasons, and denied the receipt of 100 Rupees from Ramaboyen.

On the 10th. April the Sub Collector called on the Mozoomdar of Nellacotay to transmit the daily accounts of measurement and the obligations taken from the persons employed on the works, and they were accordingly forwarded on the 12th. idem. The Sub Collector then recalled the labourers employed, apparently intending to recover the deficiency from them, when, on the 15th. April, Ramaboyen and 3 others repeated the former assertion of the 1st named to having repaid in bribes 105 Rupees to the Tasildar and others. There were discrepancies in

in their Statements of the bribery they say they did work to the full amount but gave the bribe from fear that if they did not, the talook authorities would make false measurements to their loss. And two of them, who at first deposed to being present when the bribes were given, now said they only heard of it afterwards.

7 Meantime on the 20th. February the Sub Collector furnished the Commissioner with his own elaborate remarks on the different works in the Sub Division, on which the Civil Engineer had commented, in ten sheets of foolscap in English, and dated the 16th. February. From them I learn his disapprobation of the Sasildar and talook servants was infinitely greater than the Engineer's. That his measurements were altogether different from all that had been given before him, and in the case of the 1st. four items of work he did not believe any had been done at all by hired labour. But I see nothing in these papers except the



conclusions the Sub Collector has come to, on what I deem entirely insufficient grounds and nothing that can be at all available to a legal decision. On the 22d. February Mr. Commissioner Drury returned all the papers connected with the above Remarks, and directed the Sub Collector to "dispose of each case in which he suspected" "fraud to have been committed according" "to the provisions of Regulation IX of" "1822," + + + + + + + + + and to "give such orders as he might think proper" "for the recovery of all sums of money" "which might have been charged falsely" "in the public accounts."

8 In a letter of the 15th. April from the Sub Collector to the Commissioner in charge, in obedience to his instructions of the 22nd. February, of which 1st. named letter there is no record in my office, and with a Copy of which I have been furnished by the Board of Public Works, in order to afford explanation on another trifle in

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

in the condemnation awarded against me on the 17th July. I find that Mr. Elliot reporting together upon the works of the Tamarablum channel and tank bank in Iyempolliem and on the Poolicmalum Channel and tank bank, says, that the difference between the talook estimates and the work admitted by the Civil Engineer to have been done on the two first works, to amount of Rupees 166-7-1 "should be recovered from the four inferior talook and village officers in equal sums" The officers alluded to appear by the context to be the Gomastah Coopoo Rao and the Zillahdar, Curnum's Gomastah and Natamgar of Iyempollum - but no names are given - and I can find no record of any notice being taken of this, but from the subsequent proceedings of the Sub Collector, I am inclined to think he must have been shewn the informality of his decision, or recommendation of decision, and desired to proceed according to Regulation IX of 1822, as before instructed.

I must now endeavour to shew what occurred in respect to



Fort St. George 2nd. November 1843.

the enquiry regarding deficiency of work below Charges in the 3d. item under consideration, Viz. the Thetthoputty Channel.

On the 21st. December 1842 the Sub Collector, called upon the Tahsildar for his report, in reference to the Engineer's remarks in his diary of the 12th. December, and on the 5th. January 1843 the Commissioner forwarded an address from the Superintendent of Muramnut recommending recovery of the deficit, for his consideration and report. — On the 8th. January the Tahsildar explained work to amount of Rupees 46-1-6 had been measured by Mr. Marrett, Assistant Surveyor, who, at the same time, directed deviation from plan of original estimate and the deepening instead of widening the channel, which was done accordingly, to Amount of Rupees 140-6-10, to which, adding Mr. Marrett's former measurements, the result is, work executed to Amount of Rupees 186-8-6. He added that when Mr. Mason Assistant Surveyor, and a writer, were measuring the work, the justice and propriety

propriety of their mode of measurement was called into question without avail by the attendant taloke Mastry - That they also omitted to give credit for Mr. Garrett's former measurements, and on the 13th inst. in answer to an order of the 12th inst. the Tahsildar reported "though the Superintendent of Muramunt was at hand he was not absolutely present, at this moment being engaged measuring some other work".

In obedience to an order of the 24th April the Moozoomdar forwarded, on the 3d May, the measurement account of the 17th June 1842, for Rupees 46-6-1 and of 2nd September 1842 for Rupees 140-6-10, and the obligation entered into by the labourers.

10 Copies and translates of the Sub Collector's decisions of the 15th and 16th May, from an Appendix to these proceedings.

By the 1st, he rules there is a



deficiency of Rupees 225-4-1 in the 4 Items of work done in the Villages of Syempollum and Poolyencolum to the work fraudulently charged to Government, and in pursuance of his sentence for recovery of double the Amount, he directs that Sum to be levied from the Tahsildar, Vencut Rao, and a similar Sum in equal shares from the Muramunt Gomastah, Loopa Rao, the Syempollum Zillahdar, Kistnien, the Nattamai Managar, Siva Sylem pillay, and from the Curnum's Gomastah Syaloo Naik.

Sub Coll.rs decision of 15th May 1843.-

And by the 2nd order of decision of the 16th May, he rules there has been a fraudulent charge of Rupees 110-10-3 for work pretended to be done to the Thuttapully Channel, and in pursuance of his sentence for recovery of double the Amount he awards payment of that Sum against Vencut Rao, Tahsildar, and of a similar Amount to be defrayed in equal portions by the Muramunt Gomastah,

Sub Coll.r's decision of 16th May 1843.-

Loopa

Coopa Rao, and by the Village Curnum, Arnooga Pillay.—

11 On the 1st June 1843. Vencat Rao, preferred his Appeal from the above two decisions in great detail.—

Appeal—

He objects that the case does not come under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 that there has been no fraud that the decision has been given against evidence and in contradiction of the Sub Collector's personal enquiry conviction (and Statement of 16th February) for if he had abided by the Judgment the Award against himself would have been much greater, whereas it was given on the Engineer's measurements in which he himself (the Engineer) had little faith. He States his 28 years service, of which 8 as Tahsildar remarks on the Execution of masonry work at that period of Amount of 11,000 Rupees to which no objection is raised, (and asks how it is within the bounds of probability he should have taken bribes or falsified the accounts for Earth work in 5 places to Amount of



between 300 and 400 Rupees only— It is more serious objections are in the 8th para that Mr. Commr. Drury had already judged the question of Poolyencolum by directing the difference found by the Engineer to be recovered from the tank diggers Employed.— And in the 7th para he Complains that the Sub Collector tried in vain to make the tank diggers disburse the stated deficiency by frequent Examinations and requisitions of payment and that they were even kept in Custody for that purpose, and at the conclusion of the 11th para he complains that if the Sub Collector's decision was valid against himself and the others, he ought at least not to have allowed the recoveries from the tank diggers as directed by Mr. Drury in the case of Poolyencolum.—

12 From the extreme want of formality in the proceedings and the

decision in appeal.—

the brief nature of the decisions of the 15th and 16th May 1843, I should have been at a loss to guess that those orders were meant as a decision under Regulation IX of 1822, and my only reason for believing them to be so, is inspection of the return under that Regulation made by the Sub Collector in charge, himself to the Board, under date the 30th May.—

His decisions in both cases rest on the deficiencies supposed by the Civil Engineer to exist; and in which I have no faith strong enough to warrant a legal decision, and of use only to raise enquiry. As this seems the sole recorded ground of judgment, it would be travelling out of my way to enumerate other objections to it than my opinion that there is no proof whatever of false or fraudulent Entries in the accounts, and on that alone to enter a reversal of the Sub





Sub Collector's decision, and repayment, of the Board's sanction, of the sums levied in Execution of it— But had I deemed the decision equitable I should still have thought myself bound to return it to the Sub Collector to revise its informalities.—

N. B. Nothing yet collected.—

13

observations on certain points of the Appeal

In reference to the three objections noted as serious in the Appeal there is no record, and no appearance, of any decision of the Sub Collector against the labourers in the work and no money has been re-collected from them:— In respect to the one objection of paras 8 and 11 there exists an order of the Commissioner of the 29th December 1842 to the Vellacotay Tahsildar directing him to recover from whom does not appear, but it is to be inferred from the labourers) a difference between the Estimate of Rupees 98-5-0. and work allowed to have been done by the measurements of the Maramut Superintendent to Amount of Rupees 46-1-6 of the Channel work of Poolicondum- But the order was followed by the obedience

or

or other result.—

14 From the conduct of the enquiry passing through so many hands over so long a period of time, through so many Offices, partly in English partly in Tamil- from my certainty of two documents not being in the records, and my uncertainty that many more may not also be wanting (i) I can only say that I have given much time and attention to the drawing up of the precis but am still far from certain that there may not be errors and inaccuracies- but even allowing there are, and that they are considerable, I cannot doubt the propriety of reversing the decision, orders which are certainly simple, brief and determinate.—

Observations on the record of the case.—

15 The Tahsildar is restored to his situation and arrears of pay will be adjusted on hearing from the Board in answer to the Address meant to give cover to this decision and of this date.—

Restoration of the Tahsildar.—

Madura, 21st October- 1843 } (Signed) A. Blackburne. Principal Collector

No. 2



No. 2.

Translate of an Order No. 187 dated 15th May 1843. to the Moozoomdar of Nellacottah Talook.

It appears from the proceedings of the Engineer that in the ordinary estimate of 1842 Rupees 81-4-0 for the repair of the tank, and Rupees 143-3-1 for the repair of the channel of Tamaracolum Tank, Iyempolliem Village and Rupees 99-10-6 for the tank, and Rupees 84-15-7 for the channel of Poolencolum tank, Total Rupees 409-1-2 for the four Items of repairs were provided, and the works having been performed by Wodders Ramaboyen and others, they were examined in the first instance to a certain amount by the Maraumut Goomasta Cooppoorow, and in the 2d instance to a further amount by the Zilladar Ramakistnaiyen that the Tahsildar admitting correctness of disbursement to the amount abovementioned entered the same in the Government Accounts- but that the Civil Engineer, Mr. Horsley, have found by his measurements a deficiency to amount of Rupees 225-4-1- on personal enquiry and

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 2d November 1843

and examination of the works I find strong reasons to believe that frauds have been wilfully committed by the Government servants, and as the Tahsildar admits that he had paid Rupees 406 for the works to which amount work has not been done, he is responsible for the money in regard to the amount deficit, as per diary of the Civil Engineer, fraudulently entered in the accounts, I intend to recover double the Sum under Regulation IX of 1822 Viz. Rupees 225-4-1 from the Tahsildar and another moiety to the same Amount Viz. Rupees 225-4-1 from the Maramut Goomasta, Zilladar, Curnum and Natanagar in equal proportions from each, making altogether Rupees 450-8-2. You will therefore credit what is in deposit of the pay of the Tahsildar and others to the above account and recover the remainder from them, submitting a list of the same with your report.

/Signed/ W. Elliot
Sub Collector

Translate



Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

Translate of an order No. 196 dated 16th May 1843 to the Moozoomdar of Nellacottah Talook.

It appears from the Diary of the Civil Engineer Mr. Horsley, that in the Sum of Rupees 181-8-4 as per Talook measurements for work done to the Thetlooput ty Channel for which provision had been made in the ordinary Estimate of 1842. he has by measurement found a deficiency of Rupees 110-10-3, work performed amounting only to Rupees 75-14-1, and it further appears that the work had formerly been examined by the Tahsildar, the Maramut Goomasta, Coopporow, and the Village Curnum, Armoogum Pillay, double the amount deficient, and fraudulently entered in the accounts, must be recovered under Regulation IX of 1822, you will therefore recover from the Tahsildar Rupees 110-10-3 and from the Maramut Goomasta and Curnum Rupees 110-10-3, at a moiety from each, crediting if there

Fort St. George 2nd. November 1843.

there be any the pay of the Tahsildar and Goomasta to the above amount and submit a Memorandum of the recoveries with your report.

/Signed/ W. Elliot.
Sub Collector

No. 3.

To W. Elliot Esqre.
Sub Collector of
Madura

Sir,

To Nellacotay Para 4
moozumdar
8th Feby 1843.

In reference to your order for stoppage and deposit of the pay of Venkata Rao, Tahsildar of Nellacotay, from the 24th January, and to your letter intimating his suspension from the 15th May "because of the extensive frauds in the Marumut department, because of his marked "neglect, because of depositions given to "his corruption and because he had once "before been suspended, for 6 months, "on account of a somewhat similar "case in that Taluk," I have the honor to request you will cause his immediate

To Dr. Collr.
29th May.



Fort St. George 2nd. November 1843.

immediate reinstatement in Office, because I do not find proof of the three first charges, the 3rd. of which ought to have been prosecuted to a decision and because under their want of proof the 4th. charge is unavailable for aggravation of punishment and I request he may receive all the pay in deposit from the 24th. January to the 8th. February, and three fourths of that in deposit from the 8th. February to 14th. May, the remaining fourth for this last period being added to the salary of the Officer who has been employed in doing his duty.

2do

As connected with this case and in reference to the return of persons proceeded against under Regulation IX. of 1822 I have the honor to request you will cause the immediate restoration of the Taluk Muramnut Goomasta, Coopa Rao, and of the Zillahdar Kistnier, giving their pay now in deposit up to the dates at which they ceased to do duty, and to inform me

31st May 1843.

nce of the cause why your orders of 15th and 16th May for levy of certain fines from them was not carried into effect: observing that your decisions against them are also cancelled-

3- I have the honor also to enquire the reasons why your orders of the same dates directing levy of fines against Nattamai Manaiger Shiva Sylum pillay; and Iyalo Naick, the Curnum's Goomasta of Iyempollum, and against Arnoogo Pillay Curnum of Shettoopully were not carried into effect, In their cases also the decision is cancelled-

4- The pay of the talook Goomastah from 9th February to 28th March both inclusive now in deposit, and during which time no one was employed is to be carried to account of extra revenue-

In the same way the pay of Zilladar from 9th to 24th February, both inclusive, must be credited to Government-

And



. . . . And in the same

. . .

way, the proceeds of the Maniem

of Shivasylum pillay from the

9th February up to this date are

to be carried to the account of

Government-

5- I take the liberty to

bring to your notice that in the

return of 31st May for offences

tried under Regulation IX of 1822,

in which appear all the above

convictions, you have omitted

to enter in the Columns

provided

. . . .

. . .

. . .

ORIGINAL DELIVERED

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

provided for that purpose —

whether any of the parties —

were dismissed or suspended.

Madura, 21st October 1843 } /Signed/ J. Blackburne

Principal Collr.

Order thereon.

(45-46)

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Ordered to be —

brought forward at a

future meeting.

Read.

J.G.



Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly — } Para 1.

I have the honor with — reference to your letter of 6th July to forward copies and translations of the orders relative to the grant by Mr. Hepburne of certain Cowle — lands to Nagojee Row alluded to in Mr. Eden's report on the settlement of Fusly 1245 in Fusly 1219, the resumption by Mr. Cotton thereof in Fusly 1227, and their restoration by Mr. Mellar to the alleged Cowldar in Fusly. 1241. as called for in Para 35 of the Boards' Proceedings of 11th September 1837 referred to in para 3 of those of 30th March last; and to state that a representation seems to have been made to the Cutcherry by the party shewing his claim for the lands in question, but after a long and careful search of the records it has not been found. an abstract thereof however —

(47)

28th Oct. 1809.

25th July 1832

Continued

contained in a Sakeed issued by Mr. Mellor
11th June 1832 to the Tahsildar of Tencausey on the subject previous to
the restoration of the lands is transmitted.

2. There was no balance of Teerwah
due on the above lands at the time they were
restored, nor is there any at present.

Sinnevelly — —
Tencausey — — — } 29th Septr. 1843

/Signed/ C. B. Thomas
Collector

A

Translation of a Sakeed issued to the Ten:
causey Tahsildar under date 28th October 1809.

Nagojee now appearing at the
Cuzzoor states that in Fusly 1206 Dalavoy
Ramasamy Moodeliar Moorthaser granted
Cowle in the 2 Villages of Vadacaray and
Panbooly in the Tencausey Talook in his
name as follows.—

To cultivate annually 42 S.C. of
Nunjah land and pay a Pottom mailwaram of
G.C. 2.7—0 per S.C. and to pay ½ teerwah if
the same land were cultivated with Nun:
jahmail Poonjah produce. To cultivate 29 15/16
S.C. of Till Poonjah land and pay a Grain
Assessment of G.C. 2.4—4 per S.C. —

To



To cultivate 50 S.C. of Statum Poonjah land —
and pay a teerwah of 16 fanams per S.C. and
also to pay an annual fixed rent of 15 Chuck:
rums for the Tamarind Trees situated in
these Poonjah lands.— that he the said Nagojee
Row enjoyed the Cowle at the abovementioned
rates till Fusly 1210, that in consequence of
his having gone to Madras in Fusly 1210 the
lands cultivated since Fusly 1211 were assumed
by Government and that the lands should be
restored to him agreeably to the original grant:
He also produces the Cowlenamah and the
attachees executed by the Ryots and Cur:
nams of the Villages abovementioned. as the
Petioner is to be put in possession of the
Cowle as abovementioned since Fusly 1219, —
you are now directed to deliver to the —
Petitioner the produce of the Cowle lands
he enjoyed in the abovementioned 2 Villages,
after collecting from him, the Circar dues —
agreeably to the Cowlenamah abovementioned,
that is, Pottom for Nunjah and half teerwah
for Nunjahmail Poonjah cultivation — For Poonjah,
Poonjah teerwah and for the Tamarind —
trees, according to the fixed rent — The
Cowle Nunjah and Poonjah land in the
Village of Vadacarry amounts according

to

to the old measurement, to 42 S. Cottahs and 44 15/16 S. C. respectively - there are Tamarind trees standing on the Poonjah lands. The extent of Poonjah land in the Pumbooly Village is S. C. 35—0—0 with Tamarind trees thereon.

/Signed/ J. Hepburne
Collector

(Seal)

Translation of an order issued to Vadachella Modeliar the acting Tahsildar of the Talook of Tencausey.-

Your Arzee dated 3d instant, stating that certain Pooracoodies who have cultivated Raggy in small proportions on the Poonjah lands held on Cowle by Nagojee Row in the Village of Vadacarray and Pumbooly, request to grant Yerwady warem / or cultivating Ryots share / due to them, was received. - and you are directed to grant the Pooracoodies the Yerwady warem due to them, and to sell the Mailwarem grain and the Coodeywarem grain remaining after paying the cultivating Ryots share and credit the proceeds of the sale of the former to current revenue and those of the latter to Balance outstanding.-

Courtallum Collrs Office
4th October 1817 - - -

/Signed/ J. Cotton
Collector

Translation



Translation of a Sakeed No. 282 issued to Aroonachellum Pillay Tahsildar of Tencausey under date 25th July 1832 Fusly 1242.

In your Urzee No. 357 you state that on investigation as directed of the matter of the Petitioner the son of Nagojee Row a relation of the Original Cowldar in the Villages of Vadugury and Pumbooly in the Tencausey Talook, it appears to you that the Petitioner the son of Nagojee Row is the person entitled to the Cowle in question; that as the Cowldar was not present the Cowle was carried to the account of ayen in Fusly 1240 & 41 and that a tachy to that effect is forwarded - You are now directed to restore the Cowle land &c. in the 2 Villages abovementioned to the Son of Nagojee Row, and enter the same in his name from Fusly 1242, and also to collect Teerwah according to Cowle Dittom.

/Signed/ A. Mellor.

Translation of a Sakeed No. 214 issued to Aroonachelum Pillay Tahsildar of Tencausay under date 11th June 1832 Fusly 1241.

In a Petition presented at the Cutchery by Ramachendra Row Son of Nagojee Row

Row a relative of the Original Courldar and by others, it is stated that 13/8 Chains of Poonjah land and 467 Tamarind Trees in the Village of Punbooly and 52-15-5 3/4 S.C. of Cowle Nunjah land and 128 Chains of Poonjah land together with 146 Tamarind Trees in the Village of Vadacarray were in the enjoyment of their father Nagojee Row and their Uncle from a length of time up to Fusly 1224, that when these proceeded to Virdachellum on some private affairs they put Arnachella Row in charge of the Pottem lands &c., that both the father and Uncle of the Petitioners died at Virdachellum, that they were at that time Minors, that they have now attained a competent age, that the Pottum lands &c. were in Fusly 1225 and 26 in charge of Aroonachella Row and since Fusly 1227 under Circar management, and that these Cowle lands should be restored to them. You are therefore directed to send a Statement shewing the extent of Nunjah and Poonjah land held on Cowlpottem tenure, the number of Trees in the Topes and up to what Fusly Nagojee Row &c. were in the enjoyment



enjoyment of them, and from what Fusly the Cowle lands &c. were under Circar management. You should also shew therein fusly war amount of full assessment, the Cowle Teerwah payable to Government and the amount of Cowle remission up to Fusly 1240. You should also enquire and report whether the Petitioners are the Sons of Nagojee Row. Know this and transmit the necessary documents.

11th June 1832. / Signed / A. Mellor,

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

To the Coll.r of Tinnevelly

47-48

Incms 2d. Nov.r

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29th. September last regarding a grant of certain lands on Cowle, originally conferred on Nagojee Row and afterwards continued to his son in Fusly 1241. This grant appears to be of the nature

nature of those referred to in Para 5 of the Board's Proceedings of the 30th. March last, there is no reason for considering it hereditary and the Board have before observed that it ought not to have been continued without sanction; you will therefore be pleased to bring the lands under the regular assessment for the ensuing Fusly.

Proceedings 11th September/37 Para. 35.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2d. November 1843.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To



To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Actg. Secretary to the Board of Revt.
Fort St. George.

From E. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

(49)

Para 1.

As required in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 2d. Instant, I have the honor to forward a revised Memorandum of the acting allowances for the parties who officiated as Sub Collectors Sheristadar and Tutocorin Police Ameen, amounting to Rs. 325-12-2- which I beg to recommend may be granted, the amount being only 1/3rd. the pay of their respective Officers for the period in question.

2. With reference to the latter part of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, I would beg to explain in the case of the Sheristadar Babajee Row, that tho' not always permanently on the fixed Establishment he has been either acting or permanent in it in various situations from the Head Sheristadarship downwards for upwards of 10 years, never having been out of employment; the other the Police Ameen, is also an old Servant in the District tho' temporarily unemployed.

/Signed/ E. B Thomas
Collr.

Revised

Tinnevelly
19th Octr. 1843

1746 Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

Revised

Memorandum.

Baubajeerow acted for Rama Row the Sub Collector's Sheristadar from 20th. March to 30th. May 1843, being 2 Months and 11 days, at Rupees 116-10-8. per Mensem ——— 274. 11. 8

Ibramkhan Police Ameen of Tutocorin from 26th. January to 14th. May 1843 being 3 months and 20 days at 14 Rupees Do. — 51. 6

Total Rupees — 325. 12. 2

Tinnevelly — } 19th. October 1843. }

/Signed/ C. B. Thomas

Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government }

With reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date

(49-50)

1747 Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

Incons 2d. Octr. dated 22d. September 1843. Same directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying

19th. Octr. Incons 2d. Novr. 1843.

letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly submitting a

To Babajee Row 274-11-8
Ibramkhan 51-6 of
325-12-2

revised Memo. of the allowances amounting to Rupees 325-12-2. which he proposes may be granted to Babajee Row Acting Sub Collectors' Sheristadar and Ibram Khan Acting Police Ameen of

1748 Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

of Tutecorien for the period during which they respectively officiated in those appointments. The amount of remuneration recommended by Mr. Thomas appears to the Board to be equitable and they therefore beg to request that he may receive the sanction of Government for disbursing the above sum to the individuals in question.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
2d. November 1843.

/Signed/ C.C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

1749. Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

Read the following letter.

To C.C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

51

From the Pr. Coll.r of Canara } Sir

I have the honor to forward a statement shewing the quantity & cw.s of Coir transmitted to Calcutta as directed in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 20th February last, and request that sanction may be obtained for the Total cost amounting to Company's Rupees

A P
6749—2—3.

Princ.l Coll.rs Cutchery,
Mangalore, - - -
18th October, 1843.

/Signed/ H.M. Blair
Princ.l Coll.r.

Statement

1675. Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To the Chief Secretary to Government,

To the Chief Sect.y to Government. } Sir

To Govt. 3d. Augt. 1843.
From Do. 3d. Con.s 7th. Sept.r 1843.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara requesting sanction for the cost and charges of Candies 265—6—18 of Coir shipped for Calcutta on board the Ship Peruvian amounting as per enclosed statement to Rupees 6749-2-3.

1st Coll.r inform.s 2d. Nov.r 1843

51-52

The Board beg to recommend that sanction to the above amount may be granted.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2d. November 1843. } /Signed/ E. C. Lovell.
A.s Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 6th. Inst.t entered in Consultation 9th. Ultimo.

Ordered that the aforementioned letter be submitted with

1675 Fort St. George 2d. November 1843

Statement shewing the quantity and value of Coir shipped for Calcutta on board the Ship Peruvian and the charges thereon.

| Date | Name of the Vessel | Name of the Commander | Quantity Produce of | Rate | Amount Charges | Sales | Value | Shipping | Candy | Rs. | As. | Ps. | Rs. | As. | Ps. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1843 October 1st | Ship Peruvian | Capt.n G. Ketchy | Canara Ports | | | | | | 265 | 6 | 18 | 6633 | 4 | 10 | 115 | 13 | 5 | 6749 | 2 | 3 |

Mangalore
18th October 1843

/Signed/ C. Connolly Collector

Examined

with the following address and the papers
therein referred to.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(53.)

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.
Submitting for orders a correspondence on the subject of a claim made by Mr. Silver Special Assistant to the Collector of Tinnevelly to the Salary of that Situation for a period during which he has been detained from joining it under the orders of the Board and also to an extension to the 4th Instant of the period allowed him by the rules to join his appointment.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the margin on the subject of a claim made by Mr. Silver Special Assistant to the Collector of Tinnevelly to the salary of that situation for a period during which he has been detained from joining it under the orders of the Board and also to an extension of the regular time allowed for joining a new appointment.

From the Coll.r of Malabar
4th instant 11th Sept.r

To Do. 11th Do.
To Coimbatoor 11th Do.
To Do. 28 Do.
To Malabar 28th Do.
From Malabar
4 in Do. 6 ons 12th Oct.r
From Mr. Silver 5th in Dy Cont.d
12th Do.
From Coll.r of Malabar
6th instant 19th Do.
To Mr. Silver 10 Do.

2 The object of Mr. Silver's detention in Malabar was that he might take part in an investigation regarding a boundary dispute between the Collingode Kumbidi and certain ryots of Coimbatoor. The Board on the 11th September consented



From the Coll.r of Malabar 4th instant 11th Sept.r 1843 Para 1

to his remaining for this purpose at the request of the Collector of Malabar who was anxious that the enquiry should not be postponed on account of the jungly tract of Country around Collingode becoming unhealthy after about the 15th of last October. The Principal Collector of Coimbatore was therefore at the same time instructed to depute his Head Assistant forthwith to meet Mr. Silver and it was the impression of the Board that the enquiry would not delay him more than a few days at the utmost.

Afterwards having understood that Mr. Silver might be detained longer than was intended, the Board on the 28th September caused a letter to be addressed to him expressing their hope that the enquiry had been by that time concluded - but instructing him at all events not to delay longer joining his appointment in Tinnevelly.

4 It appears that the enquiry had not commenced up to the time of Mr. Silver receiving this communication, the 13th Ultimo being the day which had been fixed for it. He now requests that he may be allowed the salary of Special Assistant to the Collector of Tinnevelly from the date on which he received the above

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

above instruction of the Board to remain in Malabar, the 18th September, and that the period allowed him by the rules to join his appointment may be extended to the 4th Instant. The Board beg leave to submit Mr Silver's application for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, in the meantime they have instructed him not to delay his joining in anticipation of its being complied with.—

To Mr Silver 10th Sept.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2d November 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Sy. Secretary.

Read the following letters.

To E C Lovell Esqre
Acting Secy to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Colr Sir,
of Malabar

(54)

The accounts &c. alluded to in your communication of the 9th Instant received this morning, may be expected in a fortnight hence. There has been a considerable difficulty in tracing out some of them.—

Calicut
18th October 1843.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order thereon.
54-55

Ordered to be recorded.



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.—

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.
Reporting on the subject of the ground proposed to be taken up for a Military Cantonment at Palghaut—
Dated 1st Oct. 1843

(56)

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 20th July last, I have the honor to forward a Copy of a Communication from my late Head Assistant on the subject of the Ground proposed to be taken up for a Military Cantonment at Palghaut. The present Commanding Officer it will be seen requires a larger extent of Ground than was thought necessary by the Officer in Command in 1838 when the subject of the Cantonment first came on the tapis. Presuming this to be purchased by Government the expense to be incurred will be Rupees 1,004—9—2, before it was Rupees 763—14—4.—

2. All the parties interested in the Ground have it will be seen agreed to the fairness of the valuation fixed by my Head Sheristadar acting under the orders of Mr Silver, altho' but few are willing to dispose of their land. This is particularly the case with three sons of the late Bisrane Sing. Their objections to sell (which Mr Silver and

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

and my Head Sheristadar consider as not entitled to much weight) cannot I presume be allowed to stand against the Government requirements.—

Para 8. 3. It will be observed that Mr. Silver has placed a valuation upon three Tanks, in case Government should wish to appunne the proprietory right to them, but as I can see no sufficient advantage to be derived by the Government in the event of their doing so, I do not wish to recommend the purchase.—

Calicut 20th October, 1843. } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector.

No. 1.

To. H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to return the papers which accompanied your letter of 7th August last.—

2. In transmitting to Colonel Yaldwyn the plan of the proposed Cantonment signed by Mr. Goodwyn and Major Eastment, he informed me that he wished added to it, three spots of Ground belonging to three individuals, a Bungalow belonging to Goovoo But Ram Sing situated on one of the spots of Ground, and a tank called



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

called Mundapen Colum, situated within it, together with a piece of Garden Ground, adjoining it measuring in all 4 15/16 Cawnies.—

3. A part of this Ground, is that alluded to in the 12th Para of Mr. Goodwyn's report, held by Gopal Kristna Putter, the Shanars who live there, are not respectable people, and it is desirable that either this Ground should be included in the Cantonment, or if excluded, that compensation should be paid, and these people's huts removed. The Ground being private property, it would be well, that Government purchased it at once.—

4. The rest of the adjoining Ground including the Tank is shewn in the plan as the Ground on which Captain Evan's house stands, and belongs to Goovoo Buy Ram Sing. Colonel Yaldwyn wishes this Ground included, in the Cantonment, in order that an Officer may inhabit the house in question.

5. The other spot of Ground, held by Coopa Moottan, and is situated close to the east of the travellor's bungalow, and Mr. Sayer's bungalow; it is a desirable spot for building on, which is the reason Colonel Yaldwyn wishes it

it to be included, and I think it would be well to do so.-

6. From enquiries instituted by the Head Sheristadar, Mr. Anderson's valuation has been adhered to, the correctness of which, has been admitted; and all the parties concerned, except the 3 Sons of the late Bisram Sing, who have signed the Statement of the valuation of the Ground; these three individuals have refused to do so.-

7. The total valuation for all the lands within the Cantonment, which are private property, is Rupees 762—2—11. (This does not include the Compounds belonging to five bungalows hereafter mentioned,) of which Rupees 338—14—5, is for Ground already occupied by Officers, and lands fit for building on. The remainder is for lands now waste, and which Government may hereafter purchase.-

8. Besides this the value of three tanks included in the Cantonment, has been estimated as follows- the Aulancolum tank Rs. 596 a. 9 p. 2. Rundatam Colum tank Rupees 143—11—5. Mandapan Colum tank 415—4—7. the improvement



on Gooroo Ruy Ram Sings Garden land, which Colonel Galdwyn desires should be included in the Cantonment, are estimated at 91—11—5. For pulling down the Sanar's huts on the Ground adjoining it, and on the Ground adjoining the tra- =dellar's bungalow Compensation Rupees 34—4—0. Value of trees on a Compound, belonging to Lutch- =man Sing, described in Mr. Goodwyn's report, as Dr. Ladd's Compound, Rupees 57—6—10. For pulling down the Weaver's huts adjoining, if deemed necessary, on account of their hereafter proving troublesome and noisy neighbours. Compensation Rupees 59.— Total Rupees 1,397—15—5.-

9. The 3 Tanks abovementioned, may be left with the owners, though included in the Cantonment limits, without hindrance to their taking water for irrigation of their fields as freely as before.—

X I have placed a valuation upon them, in case Government should wish to assume the pro- =prietary right to them- Gooroo Ruy Ram Sing the proprietor of Madapan Colum Tank, objects to sell it, as well as the piece of Garden Ground adjoining the bungalow- and his brother Lutchman Sing, objects to sell the Aulum Colum Tank and the Ground known as Dr. Ladd's Compound— The

the Palghaut Rajah who is the owner of Runda-
-tan Colum Tank, and the person who holds it on
Mortgage, is willing either to sell it, or receive an
Annual Compensation. Mr. Goodwyn recommended
an Annual Compensation to be paid for the two tanks
included in his plan, in event of Government appro-
-priating the water, and in addition to them, 10 Rupees
might be paid for Madapan Colum, if now included.-

10. There are at present five houses standing,
within the limits of the Cantonment, three of them
belonging to Mr. Sayer, and two to Gooroo Buy
Ram Sing. no interference should be permitted,
with the renting of their houses or the Compounds
on which they stand, otherwise compensation
would have to be paid to the owners.—

11. The value of the trees in the Compound
belonging to Letchmun Sing has now been estimat-
-ed at Rupees 57—6—10. This small increase over
the estimate made by Mr. Goodwyn, is chiefly
attributable, to some trees then young, having
now come to maturity.-

12. Two individuals Cuppadut Kristna
menon, and Rammchasing, son of the late Bieram-
-sing advanced claims to the Parade Ground and the
Ground on which the Sepoys lines stand, but which
seem inadmissible, and I agree with Mr. Goodwyn, that



no Compensation need be paid for the Ground.-

13. Most of the holders of land within
the proposed Cantonment limits, have expressed a de-
-sire, that although the land be included in the Canton-
-ment, it should be left in their possession, without their
being called upon to give it up entirely; while several of
the proprietors, are willing to sell the land. The three
sons of late Bisramsing have raised the most decided ob-
-jections, having refused to sign the account of valuation,
prepared by the Head Sheristadar.-

Gooroo Buy Ram Sing's objections, refer to
giving up the Madapan Colum tank, and the garden
ground adjoining the bungalow while all the Compen-
-sation, due to Ramnath Sing, is Rupees 8—2—3. and
the like sum to the Jemmakar Kupudat Kristna Menon,
for a small Paramba, near the Sepoy's lines, but
which he objects to give up.-

14. The additional ground which Colonel
Yaldwyn wishes included in the Cantonment is marked
with a pencil, on the plan made by Mr. Goodwyn
and I also send a book containing the estimate
of valuation of the land and other accounts as
shewn in an accompanying list, transmitted to
me, by the Head Sheristadar along with his re-
-port.—

Head Assistant Collector's Office, Palghaut, 7th October, 1843.

/Signed/ J. Silver
Head Asst. Collector.

An

16762-

Fort St. George
No. 2.

## An Abstract Statement Shewing the Value and Extent of Land proposed to be included in the Cantonment at Paulghaut.

2nd November 1843.-

| No. | 1 | No. of Pagoda holding the Land on Tenure of Co. | No. of Parumbus | Extent of the Land in Cawnies | Sq. Feet | Value of the Land at the rate of Rupees 2-13-9 per Parrah of Pattam. | Proportion payable to the Landlord from the Amount in Col. 5. | Proportion payable to the ex-Mortgagee from Col. 6. | Extent and Value of Land in Col. 4 partly occupied by Officer's Bungalows and partly adapted for building:- Cawnies | Sq. Feet | Value | Extent and Value of Waste Land in Col. 4. Cawnies | Sq. Feet | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | 9 | 10 | | 11 |
| | | | | | | Rupees A P | Rupees A P | Rupees A P | | | Rs A P | | | Rs A P |
| 1 | Lands as proposed by Mr. Goodwyn in 1838 to be included in the Cantonment | 24 | 25 | 36 | 1,167¾ | 671 7 7 | 452 8 10 | 218 14 9 | 16 | 1,276¾ | 307 - 9 | 19 | 1,491 | 364 6 10 |
| 2 | Additional Lands now proposed to be added thereto | | 4 | 4 | 1,536 | 90 11 4 | 56 5 1 | 34 6 3 | 1 | 1,184 | 31 13 8 | 3 | 352 | 58 13 8 |
| 3 | Lands comprised in 5 Compounds which it is proposed should remain in the possession of the owners | | 7 | 10 | 143 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " | " | " " " | 23 | 243 | 423 4 6. |
| | Total | 24 | 36 | 51 | 1,246¾ | 762 2 11 | 508 13 11 | 253 5 " | 18 | 860¾ | 338 14 5 | | | |

To the above Rupees 762—2—11 should be added.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Value of Trees and improvements on certain Garden Land Comprised in No. 2 belonging to Gooroo Ruf Ram Sing (See Plan A) Estimated at Rs. | ----- | 91 11 5 |
| 5 | Expenses for pulling down the Sonar or Chuchlers Huts situated on a portion of the Lands Comprised in No. 2 (See Plan B.) Estimated at Rs. | ----- | 20 4 " |
| 6 | Value of a Well and certain Huts situated on another Land Comprised in Ditto (See Plan D.) Estimated at | ----- | 14 " " |
| 7 | Value of Trees on a Compound belonging to Lutchman Sing described by Mr. Goodwyn as Doctor Ladds, and Comprising part of the Lands in No. 1 (See Plan C.) Estimated at | ----- | 57 6 10 |
| 8 | Expense for removing the Weavers Huts Comprising Ditto Ditto (See Plan E.) Ditto | ----- | 59 " " |
| | Total Value payable by Government Exclusive of the right to 3 Tanks Rupees | ----- | 1,054 9 2 |

Note.

The Amount Rupees 136—10—10 estimated under Items Nos. 5. 7. & 8. exceeds by Rs. 35—1—8. that estimated by Mr. Goodwyn in 1838 and which is partly owing to some Trees, then Young, having now attained Maturity, and to the Number of Huts having increased from 16 to 25.—

E. E. D.r / Signed / A. V. Conolly
Collector.

To.

1763. Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

No. 3

To.

H. V. Conolly Esqre

Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward you a plan of the Palghaut Cantonment. The Survey was made by Assistant Revenue Surveyor Meighan and it will be immediately perceived that he has directed his attention almost entirely to the outline: he reports that though he had no more perfect an instrument than a 3 inch compass the Survey closed within 30 Yards, the boundary may therefore be taken as sufficiently accurate for all practical purposes.—

Calicut, 11th October 1843.

/Signed/ P. M. Frances

1st Asstt. Civil Engineer 7th Div.

Enclosure Plan No. 4.

/True Copies/

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly

Collector.

No. 4.

Cont. 2/R

1764. Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

To.

No. 4.

The Principal Collector of Malabar.

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 23rd and 29th June last and the enclosures, I have the honor to state that I have in conjunction with Major Eastment marked out the limits of the proposed Cantonment as shown in the accompanying rough plans on the scale of about 100 yards to an inch.—

2. The Malialum Plans and accounts drawn out under the directions of Mr. Anderson do not define the boundaries with any exactness and as they are merely of the ground that appeared to him and the Officer commanding the 20th Regiment N.I. most desirable for a Cantonment I have therefore deviated from it slightly where so doing appeared to me and Major Eastment desirable.—

3. By the enclosed Plan and Malialum Accounts you will perceive that Major Eastment proposes to leave out that plot of ground East of the Fort belonging to Chenaad Nehen

Achen or which was before objected to being given up and also a plot of ground North of the lines where some Pencioned sepoys Huts stand.—

4 The Major wishes to include Dr. Ladds Compound several Weavers houses near it (marked BB). The Allangoollum Tank, the bungalow built by Col. Gibson at present belonging to Mrs. Sayer and the Waste Ground. 2 2 2.—

5. The proximity of both these bungalows to the Mess House and other Officers Residencies render it highly advisable that these Compounds should be included. The waste ground 2 2 2 has never been cultivated and is valueless, but were native huts to be erected there it would be productive of great annoyance to the Officers and their families.—

6 Plot BB is occupied by several Weavers huts and adjoins Dr. Ladds Compound. These Weavers are frequently very noisy in the night time—if their Huts were to be pulled down compensation to the amount of Rupees 54-4- would have to be made besides subjecting several families



families to the hardship of ejection against their will—their Landlord, Soucar Bieram Seng would also be entitled to Rupees 12-4-7 as the value of the ground. Hence I should propose that whether this ground is included within the Cantonment or no, these huts should be permitted to remain, but with the understanding that their occupants abate the nuisance of their noisy behaviour and that any of them guilty of such behaviour in future should at once be seized and sent under charge of an orderly sepoy to the Palghaut Tahsildar for examination.—

7. Dr. Ladds Compound pays yearly 24 rupees to Soucar Bieram Seng exclusive of the produce of the Trees. This arrangement need not I imagine be disturbed, but as several of these Trees intercept the free circulation of the air and render the house hot and uncomfortable. I propose that fair compensation Rupees 44-9-2 for the whole of the Trees in this Compound be made to Bieram Seng and their proprietary right transferred to

to Dr. Ladd on his refunding to Government the compensation money in case it should be considered necessary to pull down the Weavers huts marked 88 Dr. Ladd should pay the compensation as his house would thereby become more valuable.

8. There appears to be some doubt whether the Allang collum Tank belongs to Soucar. Diverting or is public property; but as the only right vested if it belongs to Diverting is of taking out water for irrigation I should propose that through both this Tank and the Rundathee collum at the East of the Fort be included within the Cantonment Limits water for irrigation be permitted to be taken out without hindrance, as has hitherto been the Custom. Government however should have the right at any time of appropriating the whole of the water to its own use in paying Rupees 14-4-7 per Annum for Allang collum and Rupees 3-9-2 for Rundathee Tank.

9. In the enclosed Statement account that has been prepared of



of the compensation money to be paid to the owners of the grounds it will be observed that I have adhered to Mr. Anderson's valuation with the exception that I do not consider that any compensation need to be paid for the Land on which the Sepoys Lines stand as when the Fort was first erected this land must have been assumed by Hyder along with the Parade ground and Glacis of the Fort it has remained uncultivated for the last half Century and always been used for Cantonment purposes. Hence I consider compensation is no more required for this ground than for the Glacis of the Fort or the Parade Ground.

10. The tenures of Major Pekes and Mr. Poulson's and Mrs. Sayer's houses being satisfactory, no interference need be made with them.

11. The compensation for grounds at present occupied by Officers as Compounds would of course be immediately refunded by their professors, all of whom are very desirous of purchasing their Gardens at a fair Valuation. The rest of the land situated within the

proposed

proposed limits would remain in the owners possession and cultivated as freely as at present. but the occupiers would be liable at any time to be dispossessed of these grounds on receiving the estimated compensation and the value of the crop or plants in case any were on the ground at the time.

12. On the field near Mrs. Sayers Bungalow several Shicklers have lately erected huts as they are noisy and disreputable neighbours I should propose that Government should pay them Rupees 2-12-0 as Compensation for quitting this spot and that their houses should be pulled down next December after the rains are over. this arrangement they would not object to. huts should be allowed to be erected on this field in future, but any cultivation permitted there. this plot is without the Cantonment.

13. If the above arrangement is sanctioned Government would only be put to the expence of Rupees 2-12-0 as mentioned in the preceding Para. the Officers who have built on ground they imagined to be Government property or would be deemed in the possession of it by the payment



payment of a moderate valuation. Ground sufficient for the erection of four or five Bungalows South of the Fort obtained and the occupiers of the ground within the proposed limits subjected to no greater hardship than being obliged to give up their lands on a fair compensation on the requisition of Government.

14. None of the owners or occupiers of the ground within the proposed boundaries have raised any objection to the above arrangement with the exception of Dowcar Bierum Sing a very wealthy and powerful merchant of this place. his objections I imagine arise solely from his enmity towards Dr. Laddy Lieutenant Outlaw and Captain Smith of the 26th Regiment and hence he is unwilling to yield up his claims to ground they have built upon (imagining it to be Government property or belonging to other individuals) for a fair compensation or have any of his land or Tanks entered within the Cantonment as he would consider this as a Victory gained over him. these feelings however render it the more necessary that Government should by including these grounds within the Cantonment protect

protect these Offices against the vexation and probable loss they might otherwise sustain as shown by Lieutenant Cuthbert's letter of the 6th. October 1837.-

15. In order to prevent any future misunderstandings between the Civil and Military authorities it will be necessary that it should be clearly understood that the limits of the Cantonment being settled Conferes no Police or other authority not possessed at present on the Officer Commanding Palghaut and that no interference in the occupation or renting of the late Major Rehe's, Dr. Sadds Mr. Poulen's and Mrs. Sayer's Bungalows is to be permitted, otherwise some Compensation would have to be made to these house owners.-

Palghaut
25th. August 1838.

(Signed) J. W. Goodwyn
Acting Asst. Sgn.

P.S. It would be very desirable that the proposed Cantonment should be properly surveyed.-

True Copy
(Signed) H.V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered



56-57

Order thereon.

(Read again in. 16th. Novr.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.-

To, E. b. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

From H.V. Conolly Esqre.
Collector of Malabar.

With reference to your letters dated respectively the 21st. and 24th. August last I have the honor to transmit herewith the following Statements.-

58

A. Statement Shewing the articles on which duty was levied on the Frontier of Native States and Foreign European Settlements in 1842/43.-

B. Statement Shewing the Articles on which Sayer or Transit Duties were levied during 1842-/43.-

The Gross Collection Charges and Net Revenue Exhibited in these Statements correspond with the Receipts and Disbursements brought to account during the past Official Year.-

Malabar having been Exempted from the operation of Regulation XII of 1803; I have no Statement to furnish as regards Town Duties

Calicut
25th. October 1843.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector
Statement.

16773.

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

# A. Statement Shewing the Articles on which duty was levied on the Frontier of Native States and Foreign European Settlements in Malabar during the Official Year 1842-43.

| Frontier on which duty is collected. | Description of Goods liable to duty. | Quantity. | Valuation. | Rate of duty levied. | Amount of duty Collected. | Net Revenue. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tellicherry | Betel leaves | 69324 Bundles | 1386 8 4 | @ 5 7½ % | 69 5 8 | |
| | " Nuts | 70500 Nos | 379 3 6 | 30403 8 . | " | 1520 2 10 |
| | Cardamoms | " | " 3 " | 9 " " | " | 7 3 |
| | Cocoanut Moist | 6634 Nos | " " " | 102 2 5 | " | 5 1 9 |
| | Ghee | " | 2 14 9 | 244 11 " | " | 12 3 9 |
| | Gunny Cloth | 14 Pieces | " " " | 6 8 " | " | 5 4 |
| | Hides and Skin | 18 Dozens & 1 No | " " " | 129 3 " | " | 6 7 5 |
| Cochin Rajahs. | Iron | " | " 5 18 | 10 11 " | " | 8 7 |
| Imports. | Jagree | " | 3 17 9 | 155 12 " | " | 7 12 7 |
| | Oil. Castor | " | 228 4 9 | 9699 4 9 | " | 484 15 6 |
| | " Cocoanut | " | 271 9 19 | 13167 4 4 | " | 658 5 11 |
| | " Gingerly | " | 9 10 9 | 499 1 9 | " | 24 15 4 |
| | Piece Goods. Cotton | 52316¾ Pieces | " " " | 46466 9 " | " | 2323 3 3 |
| | " Silk | 17 Pieces | " " " | 68 8 " | " | 3 6 10 |
| | Salt Petre | " | 5 13 1½ | 367 7 3 | " | 18 6 " |
| | Sappanwood | " | 1 9 " | 43 8 " | " | 2 2 10 |
| | Seeds. Castor | 1 Garce & 1638¾ Seers | " " " | 309 4 " | " | 15 7 5 |
| | " Cummin | " | 2 17 12 | 230 " " | " | 11 8 " |
| | " Gingerly | 217½ Seers | " " " | 21 12 " | " | 1 1 6 |
| | Sugar | " | " 4 12 | 25 14 " | " | 1 4 9 |
| | Timber and Planks | C. F. 16. 563-668-6-3/2 | " " " | 2949 15 " | " | 147 8 1 |
| | Total | " | " " " | " | " | 5314 14 7 |

16776. Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

| Frontier on which duty is Collected. | Description of Goods liable to duty. | Quantity | Value. | Rate of duty levied. | Amount of duty Collected. | Net Revenue. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Travancore Exports. | Betel-leaves | 925 Bundles | " " " 18 8 " | @ 5 7/12 % | " 14 10 | |
| | " Nuts | 13,000 Nos & | 2 8 6 206 " | " | 10 4 10 | |
| | Chye Root | " | " 4 21 24 6 " | " | 1 3 6 | |
| | Cocoanut | 9275 Nos | " " " 139 14 11 | " | 7 " " | |
| | Ganjah | " | " " 12 1 5 " | " | " 1 1 | |
| | Grains | 1 Garee & 1347 1/2 Seers | " " " 248 3 8 | @ 3 7/12 % | 7 7 3 | |
| | Gunny Cloth | 25 Pieces | " " " 10 4 " | @ 5 7/12 % | " 8 2 | |
| | Hides and Skins | 107 Dozens & 1 No | " " " 351 10 8 | " | 17 9 7 | |
| | Iron | " | 28 5 " 848 3 " | " | 42 6 8 | |
| | Jagree and Molasses | " | 3 13 12 147 " " | " | 7 5 8 | |
| | Khoh pras | " | 2 12 " 55 12 " | " | 2 12 7 | |
| | Oil. Castor | " | 1 10 " 63 12 " | " | 3 3 " | |
| | " Cocoanut | " | 29 4 23 1/2 1422 13 6 | " | 71 2 5 | |
| | " Gingerly | " | 18 9 19 970 11 3. | " | 48 8 11 | |
| | Paddy | 116 Garee & 2522 1/2 Seers | " " " 8498 " " | @ 3 7/12 % | 254 15 1 | |
| Coorgin Rajah's | Pepper | " | " 1 " 4 4 " | @ 5 7/12 % | " 3 5 | |
| | Piece Goods. Cotton | 4548 5/8 Pieces | " " " 3235 12 " | " | 161 12 7 | |
| | Rice | 9 Garee & 342 1/2 Seers | " " " 1121 12 6 | @ 3 7/12 % | 33 10 7 | |
| | Seeds. Cummin | " | " 1 " 4 " " | @ 5 7/12 % | " 3 2 | |
| | " Gingerly | 2187 Seers | " " " 182 12 " | " | 9 2 3 | |
| | Silk Cotton | " | " " 4 1/2 " 15 " | @ 3 7/12 % | " 1 3 | |
| | Tamarind | " | " 16 12 8 12 " | @ 5 7/12 % | " 7 " | |
| | Thread. Cotton | " | 26 4 16 6146 6 10 | @ 8 7/12 % | 491 12 " | |
| | Timber and Planks | C 3714 - Rs 2479 - Fs 11 - Y 16 | " " " 18095 " 11 | @ 5 7/12 % | 904 12 2 | |
| | Total | " | " " " " " " | " | 2077 8 " | |
| | Total Import and Export | " " " | " " " | " | 4392 6 7 | |
| Travancore Import. | Betel Nut | 98,500 Nos | " " " 98 8 " | @ 5 7/12 % | 4 14 10 | |
| | Jagree | " | 64 18 18 1/2 2597. 8 8 | " | 129 14 4 | |
| | Total | " | " " " " " " | " | 134 13 2 | |
| Export. | Grain | 270 Seers | " " " 15 12 " | @ 3 7/12 % | " 7 8 | |
| | Iron | " | 2 1 " 61 8 " | @ 5 7/12 % | 3 1 2 | |
| | Oil. Castor | " | 5 10 12 234 12 " | " | 11 11 11 | |
| | " Gingerly | " | " 4 3 10 13 3 | " | " 8 10 | |
| | Piece Goods. Cotton | 84 Pieces | " " " 45 12 " | " | 2 4 8 | |
| | Tamarind | " | 3 7 12 33 12 " | " | 1 11 " | |
| | Total | " | " " " " " " | " | 19 13 3 | |
| | Total Imports and Exports | " " " | " " " | " | 154 10 5 | |

1675 Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

| Frontier on which duty is Collected | Description of Goods liable to duty. | Quantity. | Valuation. | Rate of Duty levied. | amount of duty Collected. | Net Revenue. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Import. | | | | | | |
| | Betel. leaves | 7,97,24½ Bundles. | 1,594 10 3 | @5¾% | 79 11 9 | |
| | Carpets | 43. No. | 81 6 6 | " | 4 1 2 | |
| | Cocoanuts | 527. No. | 11 7 9 | " | " 9 3 | |
| | Cumblies | 1,725. No. | 1,281 " " | " | 64 " 11 | |
| | Ganjahs | " | 15 11 17½ | 904 " 11 | " | 45 3 4 |
| | Ghee | " | " 8 6 | 37 2 " | " | 1 13 9 |
| | Goodauk | " | 2 12 16 | 202 7 6 | " | 10 2 " |
| | Gunny Cloth | 115 Pieces | " " " | 19 " 8 | " | " 15 4 |
| | Hides and Skin | 1286 Dozens | " " " | 3239 9 1 | " | 161 15 10 |
| | Iron | " | " 18 6 | 31 " 5 | " | 1 8 11 |
| | Jagree and Molasses | " | 89 10 18 | 3582 12 " | " | 179 2 4 |
| | Oil. Castor | " | 134 4 " | 5704 6 5 | " | 285 3 6 |
| | " Gingerly | " | 36 2 6 | 1898 8 6 | " | 94 14 9 |
| | " Sandalwood | " | " 18 22 | 2270 " " | " | 113 8 " |
| | Opium | " | " " 7½ | 122 8 " | " | 6 2 " |
| | Pieces Goods. Cotton | 8939⅞ Pieces | " " " | 10158 4 1 | " | 507 14 8 |
| | " Silk | 4 Pieces | " " " | 58 " " | " | 2 14 5 |
| | Pooa Einah | 55. Bottles | " " " | 8 " 4 | " | " 6 5 |
| | Sandalwood | " | 560 3 19 | 17803 7 3 | " | 890 3 " |
| | Seeds Castor | 3 Garce & 19 7¼½ Seers | " " " | 731 9 8 | " | 36 9 3 |
| | " Cummin | " | " 10 3 | 40 2 " | " | 2 " 1 |
| | " Gingerly | 8 Garce & 26 4 7½ Seers | " " " | 2644 12 " | " | 133 3 11 |
| | Snuff | " | " " 12 | 18 " " | " | " 14 5 |
| | Tamarind | " | 84 10 6 | 8454 " | " | 422 5 |
| | Total | " | " " " | " " " | " | 2665 7 5 |
| Export. | Betelnuts | " | 9 13 18 | 777 5 " | @5¾% | 38 12 " |
| | Cocoanuts | 390 No. | " " " | 5 13 9 | " | " 4 9 |
| | Ghee | " | " 1 " | 4 8 " | " | " 3 7 |
| | Hides and Skin | 801 No. | " " " | 1201 " " | " | 60 " 11 |
| | Jagree and Molasse | " | 11 18 18 | 165 2 6 | " | 8 4 2 |
| | Oil. Cocoanut | " | 1 1 18 | 52 11 7 | " | 2 10 3 |
| | " Gingerly | " | 3 5 " | 170 10 4 | " | 8 8 6 |
| | Paddy | 44 Garce & 2,610 Seers | " " " | 2,020 3 3 | @3¾% | 60 10 " |
| | Pepper | " | 29 7 4 | 2495 10 4 | @5¾% | 124 12 7 |
| | Rice | 11 Garce & 1704 Seers | " " " | 1043 4 8 | @3¾% | 31 4 10 |
| | Timber and Planks | C. 401. F. 7 V. 13 16ths 6. | " " " | 3224 5 2 | @5¾% | 161 3 10 |
| | Total | " | " " " | " " " | " | 496 11 5 |
| | Total Imports and Export | " | " " " | " " " | " | 3162 2 10 |

16776-

# Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

| Frontier on which duty is Collected. | Description of Goods liable to duty. | Quantity | Valuation. | Rate of duty levied. | Amount of duty Collected. | Net Revenue. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Import. Hides and Skin | 1/2 Dozen @ 2 Nos | " " " | 2 8 - | @ 16 2/3 % | " 6 5 | |
| Jagree | " | " 11 " | 10 7 6 | " | 1 10 9 | |
| Sundries | " | " " " | 535 10 7 | " | 85 11 8 | |
| Wines | 149 3/4 Dozen & 869 Gallons. | | 1,566 13 9 | " | 250 11 7 | |
| Total | " | " " " | " " " | " | 338 8 5 | |
| Export. Arrow Root | " | " 13 27/4 | 41 14 8 | @ 10 2/3 % | 4 3 1 | |
| Bamboos | 600 Nos | " " " | 16 12 9 | " | 1 10 10 | |
| Hemp | " | " 1 21 | 2 3 - | " | " 3 6 | |
| Hides and Skin | 1/2 Corge | " " " | 1 4 " | " | " 2 - | |
| Hufsund | " | " 2 10 | 66 " " | " | 6 9 7 | |
| Mats | 8 Nos | " " " | 6 " " | " | " 9 7 | |
| Oil. Cocoanut | " | " 2 21 | 5 8 - | " | " 8 10 | |
| Paddy | 2700 Seers | " " " | 69 8 - | @ 3 2/3 % | 2 1 5 | |
| Rice | 1 Garce & 2175 Seers | " " " | 244 " " | " | 7 5 1 | |
| Timber and Planks | 127 Pcs. 664 " 12 1/2 | c. Ft. v. 18" | " " " | 387 14 8 | @ 10 2/3 % | 38 12 8 |
| Wine | 9 1/4 Dozens. | " " " | 5 5 8 3 | " | 5 8 10 | |
| Total | " | " " " | " " " | " | 67 11 5 | |
| Total Import and Export | " | " " " | " " " | " | 406 3 10 | |

Settlement of Mahe.

French

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total Import duty Collected | | 3453 11 7 | |
| Total Export Ditto Ditto | | 2661 12 1 | |
| Grand Total of Import and Export | | 11115 - 7 8 | |
| Deduct Cost of Collection ÷ | | 4980 5 9 | 6135 - 1 11 |
| Stamps on Rowannahs — Total Gross Collection | | 756 10 - | |
| + Deduct Charges ÷ | | 37 13 4 | 718 12 8 |
| Pay of Establishment 4674 12 11. | | | |
| Saderwared 59 10 6 | | | Total Net Revenue 6853 14 7. |
| Extra Charges including Marahmut 215 14 4 | | | |
| Total Rupees | | 4980 5 9 | |

÷ Chiefly 5 2/3 % Commission paid to Daroghas and Venders.

Errors. Excepted. 7th. (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement.

16777-

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

# B. Statement Shewing the Articles on which Sayer or Frontier Duties are levied during the Official Year 1842/43 Exclusive of the Frontier Duty Entered in the Statement A.

| District. | Names of Articles. | Quantity of each articles | Valuation. | Rate of duty. | Total Collection of each rate on each total quantity. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 6 mths. | | |
| Mala- | Betel leaves | 1,45325 Bundles | " " " 2,906 9 2 | @ 5 7/12 % | 145 5 8 |
| -bar- | Betel nuts | " | 5925 16 7½ 4,74063 4 | " | 2370 3 5 2 |
| | Cardamoms | " | 41 8 2¼ 11846 11 2 | " | 592 5 6 |
| | Cocoanuts | 23316 No. | " " " 395 5 7 | " | 19 13 2 |
| | Ghye-Root | " | 4 7 3 1435 10 " | " | 21 12 6 |
| | Ganjah | " | 1 19 18 106 6 " | " | 5 5 3 |
| | Ghee | " | 12 3 18 1096 14 " | " | 54 13 9 |
| | Goodawk | " | 1 14 " 131 12 " | " | 6 9 6 |
| | Gunny cloth | 609 Pieces | " " " 272 11 " | " | 13 13 3 |
| | Hides and Skins | 576 Dozen & 1561 No. | " " " 6,850 3 4 | " | 3492 8 11 |
| | Indigo | " | " " 15 6 4 " | " | " 5 " |
| | Iron | " | 26 6 8 1022 6 8 | " | 51 2 6 |
| | Jagree | " | 844 17 18 10561 1 6 | " | 528 " 10 |
| | Oil-Castor | " | 22 18 12 974 5 " | " | 48 11 5 |
| | " Cocoanut | " | 532 3 17¾ 25311 4 8 | " | 1290 9 9 |
| | " Gingerly | " | 31 15 3 1667 3 3 | " | 83 6 1 |
| | " Vepoo | " | 1 7 3 132 14 10 | " | 6 10 6 |
| | Pepper | " | 2355 " 5 200178 11 6 | " | 10009 " " |
| | Piece Goods-Cotton | 887 85½ Pieces | " " " 80296 1 2 | " | 4015 " 1 |
| | Sandalwood | " | 52 8 7 4065 8 5 | " | 203 4 5 |
| | Sappanwood | " | 231 2 3 6933 7 " | " | 346 10 7 |
| | Snuff | 356 Bottles | " " " 1068 " " | " | 53 6 5 |
| | Seeds-Castor | 232½ Seers | " " " 15 8 " | " | " 12 6 |
| | Tamarind | " | 7153 3 7151 9 " | " | 357 9 7 |
| | Timber and Planks | C R. F. 18th 50023.1150314.3¾ | " " " 445524 " 5 | " | 2227 6 11 |
| | Total | " | " " " 1346515 11 8 | @ 5 7/12 % | 67326 15 3 |

16778-

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

| District. | Names of Articles on which difference of duty was levied under Clause 4th Section XIII Regulation I, 1812. | Quantity of each Article | Valuation | Rate of Duty | Amount of Duty. | Deduct duty previously paid as per Rowannahs produced. | Net amount of duty realized. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | C. m tt | | | as per land Custom Rowannahs | |
| Malabar | Cardamoms | " | 7 16 12 4 6214 @ 57½% | | 231 1 " | 125 4 4 | 105 12 8 |
| | Cumblies | 12378. N° | " " " 7 7 84 10 | " | 389 3 10 | 232 2 4 | 157 1 6 |
| | Ghee | " | 67 1 5 4½ 6041 3 5 6 | " | 3020 11 " | 2251 11 " | 769 " " |
| | Gunny Cloth | 1103¾ Pieces | " " " 5 11 4 6 " | " | 25 11 7 | 17 14 3 | 7 13 4 |
| | Iron | " | 7 11 18 4 55 4 " | " | 22 12 4 | 17 13 " | 4 15 4 |
| | Jagree and Molasses | " | 939 8 3 39226 12 " | " | 1961 5 8 | 1103 15 " | 857 6 8 |
| | Oil. Castor | " | 4 10 15 192 7 3 | " | 9 10 2 | 6 " 11 | 3 9 3 |
| | " Gingerly | " | " 17 6 4 5 4 6 | " | 2 4 4 | 2 1 8 | " 2 8 |
| | Opium | " | " " " 2¼ 15 12 " | " | " 12 7 | " 12 " | " " 7 |
| | Piece Goods - Cotton | 86014 9⅜ Pieces | " " " 16665 13 " | " | 2332 8 14 " | 56023 12 2 | 27303 1 10 |
| | Salt Petre | " | 152 6 21 9902 5 6 | " | 495 2 3 | 239 12 4 | 255 5 11 |
| | Sandalwood | " | 5 12 6 4 51 12 " | " | 22 9 7 | 10 14 8 | 11 10 11 |
| | Seeds - Castor | 65 Garce & 9 7 5 Seers | " " " 1306 5 " " | " | 653 4 9 | 422 12 5 | 230 8 4 |
| | " Cummin | " | 59 14 9 4 77 7 3 " | " | 238 14 1 | 195 1 2 | 43 12 11 |
| | " Gingerly | 26 Garce & 1190½ Seers | " " " 79 19 1 " | " | 395 15 8 | 337 3 4 | 58 12 4 |
| | Snuff | 211 Bottles | " " " 633 " " | " | 31 10 5 | 10 5 7 | 21 4 10 |
| | Timber and Planks | Fl. 14516 - Of 16ft. " - 5¾ | " " " 4354 12 11 | " | 217 11 11 | 148 3 3 | 69 8 8 |
| | Total | | " " " " " " " | " | 91047 11 2 | 61145 11 5 | 29901 15 9 |

16780.

Fort St. George 2nd. November 1843.

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secy. to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Collr. of Madras.

I have the honor to transmit herewith, in triplicate, for submission to the Board of Revenue, the printed Statement of the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue of the Extra Sources of revenue in the Collectorate of Madras, together with its accompaniments A, B, C and D, for July 1252 A.D. 1842/43.

60

Salt.

2. The Gross Revenue from the Sales of Salt for Home Consumption in July 1252 A.D. 1842/43 was Rupees 97,469 — 3 — 2, which exceeds the Revenue of the previous Year by Rupees 3,674 11 — 11. This Increase is from the purchasers from the Mount Cantonment and Sydapett having obtained larger supplies from Madras in the year under review than in 1251 A.D. 1841/42

The Sales for exportation to Calcutta amounted to Rupees

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| July 1251 — Rupees | 1,30,617 | 15 | 3 |
| 1252 — | 2,02,715 | 8 | 10 |
| Increase — | 72,097 | 9 | 7 |

Sale in 1252.

925-111-0 at Rupees 105 per C. for Home Consumption — 97,469 3 2

2505-347-3 at Rupees 35 per 100 lb. Maunds for Export to Calcutta — 1,05,246 5 8

2,02,715 8 10

3434-58. 3

Sale in 1251.

1,30,617 15 3

1770-11-6½

Recoveries of Advances — 216 9 10

Add Ordinary Revenue — 2,02,715 8 10

2,02,932 2 8

July 1251 — 138,575 " 9

Increase — 64,357 1 11

16779-

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Duplicate of Abstract of Articles on which differences of duty were levied under Clause 4th Section XIII Regulation I 1812.

Duplicate of each Article.

District. Madras.

| Articles. | | Quantity. | | Rate of duty. | Amount of duty. | Deduct Amount duty remitted as per Road Cowle produced. | Net Amount of duty realized. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Betelnut | " | 213 | 13 9/4 | 855 2 1. | 312 14 6 | | 42 3 7 |
| Piece goods. Cotton | " | 20 | " | 20 2 1 | 18 14 4 | | 1 3 9 |
| " Silk | 335 Pieces | 215 | 4 3 | 203 8 3 | 11 11 7 | | |
| Sandalwood | " | 6 | " 10 | 2 15 5 | 3 1 5 | | |
| Sugar | " | 59 | 15 4 | 53 15 " | 6 " 4 | | |
| Total | " | 1150 | 8 3/4 | 1092 3 11 | 64 4 8 | | |
| Total differences of duty | " | | | | | | |
| Total Gross Collection | " | | | | | | 2908 4 5 |
| Deduct Cost of Collection and Charges of Drawbacks amounting to 2505/1-5.5) | | | | | | | 2362 3 6 |
| Net Revenue | | | | | | | 8931 " 2 |

Total Gross Collection 4,685 6 "

Deduct Charges — 234 4 4 — 4,451 1 8

Total Net Revenue Rupees 93382 1 10

E. C. Signed H. C. Conroy Collector.

Certificate. Ordered to lie on the Table. Read

58-59

E. C.

Clerks. There

* Pay of Establishment ... 1894-14-1.
Guard ... 4.3.
Extra Charges during 3 100 " " 8.
Warrants ... 3.
Total Rupees 2362-3. 6.

* Chiefly for Conveyance of Treasure to Bangalore and Vellore.

† Cost of Collection and Charges of Drawbacks 5 per Cent on Gross Revenue.

Sloops &c on Revenue Service

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

Rupees 105246—5—8, and total of both items is Rupees 202715—8—10.

3. The recoveries of Advances made to the Salt Manufacturers being added to the Gross Collections, the Grand Total is Rupees 202932—2—8, exceeding the Revenue of Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 by Rupees 64357—1—11.

4. The Ordinary receipts, Rupees 202715 8—10, and the Extra Revenue, Rupees 216—9—10. are separately entered in the Statements of Demand Collection and Balance to the 11th July last.

5. The Charges of the two years under review are as follows.—

| | Fasly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42. | Fasly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Pay to the Servants to Rs. | 5045—14—6 | Rs. 5050—14—7. |
| 2. Salt Manufacturers Share. | 25329—4— | 21795—2—1. |
| 3. Compensation, Rupoonns Vda | 2381—15— | 2531—9—6. |
| 4. Advances for the Manufacture of Salt. | 7728—12— | "—"—. |
| 5. Sanderwared | 5—12—5. | 5—6—11. |
| 6. Extra Charges | 13729—7—6 | 20184—10—5. |
| 7. Proportion of General Extra Charges. | 4—7—5. | 12—2—6 |
| | 54225—8—11. | 49579—14— |

6. The Increase in No 1 is trefling and does not call for any particular remark.—



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

7. The Decrease in No 2 is owing to the Cudewarure having been paid in Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 on G. 2606. 237—0, whereas in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 payment was made on G. 2214—201—0 only.—

8. The Increase in No 3 has arisen from certain Arrears of Compensation for the suppression of Salt Manufacture having been paid in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 in addition to the usual charges under this head.—

9. There was no advance for the manufacture of Salt made in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 and there is not, therefore, any entry in No 4.—

10. The trefling Decrease in No 5 needs no remark.—

11. The Increase in No 6 is owing to G. 2505—347—3. having been shipped for exportation to Calcutta in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 whereas in the previous year the Quantity was G. 876—299—6½ only, and the charges for Boat Hire Vda were, in Consequence, less.—

12. The Increase in No 7 does not require any remark.—

13. After deducting the charges the Net Salt Revenue of Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 is Rupees 153352—4—8, exceeding the like Revenue of Fasly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 by Rupees 69002—12—10. The per centage of Charges was for Fasly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 and for 1252 / 1842/43 — 24 21/64.—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fasly 1251 | Rs. 84349 | 7 10 |
| " 1252 | 153352 | 4 8 |
| Increase | 69002 | 12 10. |

The

Sayer 14.

The Sayer Revenue of Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 amounts to Rupees 5,84,963 — 7 — 2, and was derived from the various sources detailed in the Margin. The addition of certain items of Extra Revenue enraises the Gross Collections to Rupees 585,791 — 5 — 3, which exceeds the like Collections of 1251 / 1841/42 by Rupees 10,528 — 0 — 2.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Duty on Betel | 74,222 | 11 | 1 |
| Tobacco | 195895 | - | 7 |
| Ganjah | 1,640 | 2 | 8 |
| Bang | 446 | - | 4 |
| | 272204 | 7 | 8 |
| Piece Goods | 81,307 | 10 | 6 |
| Gruff Goods | 231,451 | 5 | - |
| | 584963 | 7 | 2 |
| Collectors & Deputy Collrs. annas share from the proceeds of Smuggled Goods | 600 | 15 | 6 |
| | 585564 | 6 | 8 |
| Godavon Rent | 7 | 12 | 9 |
| Peons share on confiscated Betel & Tobacco credited to Government | 218 | 1 | 10 |
| Bribes tendered to Peons by Betel & Tobacco Smugglers and credited to Government | 1 | - | - |
| | 585791 | 5 | 3 |
| Fasly 1251 | 57563 | 5 | 1 |
| | 10328 | - | 2 |

15. The Ordinary Gross Sayer Revenue, as entered in the Statements of Demand Collection and Balance, amounts to Rupees 584,963 — 7 — 2. corresponding with the entry in the Margin. The Collectors and Deputy Collectors share from the proceeds of smuggled Goods and the other items of Extra Revenue are included in the sum of Rupees 827 — 14 — 1 in Col.n 13 of Statement No. 1.—

| | 1251. | | | 1252. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total | 76,635 | 14 | 3 | 74,222 | 11 | 1 |
| Tobacco | 189621 | 9 | 6 | 195895 | - | 7 |
| Ganjah | 311 | 9 | 11 | 1,640 | 9 | 4 |
| Bang | 59 | 12 | 2 | 446 | 9 | 4 |
| | 266,648 | 13 | 10 | 272204 | 7 | 8 |
| | | | | 266648 | 13 | 10 |
| Increase | | | | 5,555 | 9 | 10 |

16. The Revenue derived from duty on Betel, Tobacco, Ganjah and Bang in Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 was Rupees 266,648 — 13 — 10, whereas the revenue of 1252 was Rupees 272,204 — 7 — 8, the Increase being Rs. 5,555 — 9 — 10.—

76,635 — 14 — 3.
74,222 — 11 — 1.
2,413 — 3 — 2.

17. There is a Decrease of Rupees 2,413 — 3 — 2. in the revenue on Betel. The Gross Amount of



69,827 — 7 — -
65,502 — 8 — 11
4,324 — 14 — 1

6,828 — 7 — 2.
8,720 — 2 — 2.
1,891 — 11 — -

on Imports from the interior was in 1251 / 1841/42 Rupees 69,827 — 7 — -, and in 1252 / 1841/43 Rupees 65,502 — 8 — 11, the decrease being Rupees 4,324 — 14 — 1, whereas the Revenue on the produce of Madras was in 1251 / 1841/42 Rupees 6,828 — 7 — 2 and in 1252 / 1842/43 Rupees 8,720 — 2 — 2. the Increase being Rs. 1,891 — 11 — -.

18. Adverting to Para 3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th June forwarded by the Board on the 29th of the same month, I beg leave to bring to their notice that in consequence of a diminution in the Revenue from Betel, I considered it proper to make an arrangement by a due disposition of the Peons on my Establishment, which I expect will check smuggling more effectually. The arrangement was brought into operation in June 1843, and the result from the Month following, which will be seen by the Memo: of Imports entered in the Margin, exhibits a gradual Increase. It further shews that whilst the quantity which paid duty in the past year was 2737 Bundles per diem

| Months. | Betel the produce of Chingleput. 1841/42 Bles | 1842/43 Bles | Betel the produce of Madras. 1841/42 Bles | 1842/43 Bles |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12th to 31st July | 590 74¾ | 51,665¾ | 4,625¼ | 6,015⅜ |
| August | 9,283 7½ | 88,726½ | 6,218⅜ | 8,946¼ |
| September | 75,071½ | 74,187¾ | 6,972⅞ | 10,767⅛ |
| October | 72783 | 62,869⅞ | 5,333⅛ | 11,486⅜ |
| November | 76,694½ | 64,798½ | 4,116⅜ | 8,641⅜ |
| December | 87,065 | 73,749½ | 5,119½ | 6,803⅞ |
| January | 89,481½ | 82,181¾ | 4,172½ | 7,388 |
| February | 67,335¼ | 63,519½ | 4,761⅛ | 7,006⅝ |
| March | 72,375⅜ | 67,000⅜ | 8,135¾ | 6,205⅜ |
| April | 59,178⅜ | 62,191½ | 13,222 | 9,342⅜ |
| May | 74,290 | 64,688 | 13,339⅞ | 11,904⅞ |
| June | 94,970 | 88,805½ | 9,715⅜ | 11,201⅜ |
| 1st to 11th July | 36,694¾ | 42,198¼ | 2,198⅜ | 6,665½ |
| | 945,060½ | 886,582¼ | 87,659⅞ | 112,378⅜ |
| Add | | | 945,060½ | 886,582¼ |
| Total | | | 1032720⅞ | 998,960⅛ |
| Average | | | 2,829¾ | 2737 |
| 12th to 31st July | 51,665¾ | 59,572¾ | 6,015⅜ | 6,332¼ |
| August | 88,726½ | 96,669¾ | 8,946¼ | 11,305⅛ |
| September | 74,187¾ | 84,670⅞ | 10,767⅛ | 12,086⅜ |
| | 214,580 | 240,813⅜ | 25,728¾ | 29,724 |
| Add | | | 214,580 | 240,813⅜ |
| Total | | | 240,308 | 270,537¾ |
| Average | | | 2,966½ | 3,340. |

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

dicem the quantity for the period ending on the 30th September was 3,340 Bundles. The former gives 2 3/4 and the latter 3 3/5 leaves to each person, taking the population of Madras, excluding Children, at 4 lacs.-

19. It is proper to add that the difficulty in the discovery and seizure of all quantities of Betel removed clandestinely from the Madras Gardens is greater now that it was some years ago; for the number of productive Gardens, which were watched by the Circar Peons, was in 1832, 59 occupying 61 1/2 Cawnie of land, whereas the present number is 82 occupying 70 1/4 Cs. besides 19 Gardens recently planted on 17 1/4 Cs.-

To Rs. 17,5,7 Feb. 1842 P. 9.

1,95,895 — — 7.
1,82,621 — 9 — 6.
6273 — 7 — 1.

20. The Increase in the revenue on Tobacco is Rupees 6.273-7-1. The report for 1251 1841/42 shewed that the Increase in that Fasly was on Rupees 14,913 — 7 — 6. the further Increase in 1252 1842/43 gives an Increase over the Collections of 1250 of 1840/41 Rupees 21,186 — 14 — 7. The arrangement referred to in Para 18 above on would seem to have improved in some degree

| Months. | Imports in 1841/42. | | | | Imports in 1842/43. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Cs. | M. | V. | P. | Cs. | M. | V. | P. |
| 12th to 31st July | 124 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 141 | 17 | — | 20 |
| August | 154 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 168 | 2 | — | 20 |
| September | 176 | 17 | — | 22 | 175 | 2 | 1 | 16 |
| October | 140 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 206 | 18 | 6 | 22 |
| November | 191 | 18 | — | 31 | 160 | 1 | 7 | 22 |
| December | 200 | 3 | — | — | 241 | 19 | 1 | 8 |
| January | 160 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 185 | 14 | 6 | 5 |
| February | 293 | 4 | 2 | 31 | 152 | 18 | 6 | 13 |
| March | 259 | 15 | 3 | 25 | 196 | 11 | 2 | 35 |
| April | 184 | 10 | 2 | 38 | 315 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| May | 166 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 232 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| June | 191 | 2 | — | 3 | 199 | 9 | 6 | 34 1/2 |
| 1st to 11th July | 128 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 69 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 12th to 31st Ds. | 141 | 17 | — | 20 | 152 | 19 | 1 | 17 |
| August | 168 | 2 | — | 20 | 217 | 12 | 4 | 24 |
| September | 175 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 198 | 16 | 1 | 14 |



Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

the Revenue arising from Tobacco also.-

21. The Increase in the Revenue from Ganjah and Bang is Rupees 1,715 — 5 — 11. which was derived chiefly from the sale of certain qualities under confiscation, from a failure in the Crops at the places of growth during a portion of the past year.-

1,640 — 2 — 8.
446 — 9 — 6.
2086 — 12 — —
871 — 6 — 1.
1715 — 5 — 11.

22. The Gross Collections on Piece and Gruff Goods were in

| | Piece Goods. | Gruff Goods. |
|---|---|---|
| Fasly 1251. | Rs. 82,069 — 10 — 9. | Rs. 2,25,856 — 10 — 6. |
| 1252 | " 81,307 — 10 — 6. | " 2,31,451 — 5 — — |
| Decrease | 742 — — — 3. | Increase 5594 — 10 — 6. |

23. The Decrease on Piece Goods is comparatively trifling and does not call for any particular Remark.-

24. As regards the Increase in the Revenue on Gruff Goods, Rupees 5,594 — 10 — 6. it will be seen from the Comparative Statement in the Margin, that it was derived from Oils, Ghee and Betel Nut.-

| Duty Collected in | Fasly 1251. | Fasly 1252. |
|---|---|---|
| Oils | 11,682 14 2 | 15,936 2 3 |
| Ghee | 12,169 11 7 | 14,981 3 11 |
| Betel Nut | 55,425 14 11 | 57,515 4 5 |
| | 82,278 8 8 | 88,452 10 7 |
| F. 1251 | 82,278 8 8 | |
| Increase | 6,174 1 11. | |

Adverting to Para 9 of the Board's

25. Proceedings dated 22nd December and to Para 7 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th June referred to above, I beg leave to report that I have communicated with the Collector of

of Smuggled goods relating to irregularities within the Area of 20 Miles beyond the Madras Sayer Mattoos detrimental to the Presidency revenue, and, with the concurrence of that Officer, I have deputed a public Servant from my Establishment, accompanied by some Peons, to collect all necessary information, and to make it known to the Village Moonsiffs &c., with the view of correcting abuses. He furnishes me with reports of all his proceedings once or twice a week, and receives from me instructions, from time to time, for his guidance. From this, I anticipate some important advantage, which I may have occasion to enter upon more fully at a future date.—

26. The Charges of the two years under review are as follows.—

| | Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 | | | Fasly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Pay to the Servants | Rs. 46,536 | 1 | 1. | Rs. 46,547 | 7 | 6. |
| 2. Sauderwared | 243 | 3 | 2. | " 265 | 5 | 4. |
| 3. Extra Charges | 1023 | 6 | 7. | 881 | 4 | 8. |
| 4 Refunds of duty | 174 | 9 | 11. | " | " | " |
| 5. Drawback | 28,875 | 10 | 11. | 27,541 | 12 | 6. |
| 6. Collector's & Deputy Coll.rs share of the proceeds of Smuggled Goods | 504 | 12 | 11. | 564 | 10 | 11. |
| 7. Proportion of General Extra Charges | 15 | 10 | 4. | 42 | 8 | 9. |
| | 335373 | 6 | 11. | 323714 | 1 | 8. |



47. The Increase in Nos. 1 & 2 is comparatively trifling, and does not call for any remark other than that the charges of 1252 Fasly / 1842/43 do not exceed the authorized amount.—

28. The Decrease in No. 3 is owing to Mattoo Huts having been constructed in 1251 at an expense of Rupees 273—2—5, whereas in the succeeding year the outlay under this head, merely for certain repairs, was Rupees 43—1—5.—

29. There was no expense incurred in 1252 Fasly / 1842/43 such as is entered for the previous year in No. 4.

30. The Decrease in No. 5. under the head of Drawback does not call for any explanation other than that this Office debits, pursuant to existing rules, the amount of such Certificates as are issued by the Collector of Sea Customs on account not only of the duties levied at the Cutcherry, but also of the duties levied at the Sayer Chowkies in the Provinces on Goods passed through this Office.—

31. The Increase in No. 6 & 7 needs no remark.—

32. After deducting the whole of the foregoing charges, the Net Revenue of Fasly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 is Rupees 2,62,077—3—7 which exceeds the Net Revenue of the previous year by Rupees 22,187—5—5. The per Centage of charges under

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fasly 1251 | Rs. 239889 | 14 | 2. |
| 1252 — " | 262077 | 3 | 7 |
| Increase | 22187 | 5 | 5. |

under this head was, in 1251 (1841/42) 58 7/64, and in the succeeding year 55 6/64.-

33. The demand of the Current Abkarry Revenue for Fusly 1252 (1842/43) was Rupees 569361 13 2, the whole of which was collected within the year together with certain Arrears due for 1251 (1841/42) Rupees 29 3 9. Adding to the actual Collections, Rs. 569390 15 11. the various items of Extra Revenue entered in the Margin, the aggregate amount of the Abkarry revenue of 1252 (1842/43) is raised to Rupees 570096 7 8, exceeds the Collections of 1251 (1841/42) by Rupees 58804 3 ..

## Abkarry.

| Current Demand | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1251 | 519934 15 9 |
| 1252 | 569361 12 2 |
| Increase | 49426 12 5 |

| Collections. | |
|---|---|
| Current Revenue and arrears | 569390 15 11 |
| Saf on European Spirits sold in Taverns | 162 1 11 |
| Sale of Charcoal in the Distillery | 307 .. .. |
| Value of Broken Pots &c. recovered | 1 13 6 |
| Collector's and Peons share of the proceeds of Confiscated Brandy &c. | 51 14 8 |
| Profit beyond the excise duty on sales on certain Shops under Circar Management | 175 7 2 |
| Recoveries of Advances made for the conveyance of smuggled Arrack &c. | 3 .. 1 |
| Sale proceeds of unserviceable Iron Hoops &c. | 4 2 5 |
| | 570096 7 8 |
| Fusly 1251 | 511292 4 8 |
| Increase | 58804 3 .. |

| Particulars of certain Revenue | Fusly 1251. | Fusly 1252. |
|---|---|---|
| Putta Arrack | 1,37,577 2 .. | 1,88,523 1 1. |
| Batavia Do. | 1,46,683 7 4 | 1,70,389 1 6 |
| Toddy | 2,06,862 6 7 | 2,09,607 14 4 |
| Brandy | 885 15 .. | 870 15 .. |
| | 5,09,008 14 11 | 5,69,390 15 11 |
| 7/1251 | 5,09,008 14 11 | |
| Increase | 60,382 1 .. | |

34. The particulars of the outturn of the two Fusles entered in the Margin will shew that there has been a considerable Increase in the Revenue from Putta and Batavia Arrack, and a smaller one in that from Toddy.



The Increase under each of these heads may be ascribed partly to the whole of the Shops within the limits of the Supreme Court having been shut up for several days in 1251 (1841/42) a fact to which allusion is made in Paras 32. 33 and 39. of the report on the Extra Sources of Revenue for that year bearing date the 26th September 1842 and partly to the return of Troops from the Expedition to China, and their continuance at Madras for some time before being ordered off to the different Garrisons in the interior.

35 The entries in respect to the Collections of the Abkarry Revenue for Fusly 1252 (1842/43) correspond with those in the Statements of Demand Collection and Balance, with the exception of the several items of Extra revenue, the whole of which are included in the sum of Rupees 704 15 9. in Col. 13 of Statement No. 1.-

The Charges of the Abkarry Department are as follows.

36.

| | F. 1251 (1841/42). | F. 1252 (1842/43). |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Pay to the Servants | Rs. 15,080 8 10. | 15,055 9 6. |
| 2. Sundercared | 35 1 6. | 36 13 6. |
| 3. Extra Charges | 44,631 2 11. | 51,901 2 6. |
| 4. Coll.rs & Deputy Coll.rs share of the proceeds of smuggled Brandy &c. | 17 3 10. | 35 12 6. |
| 5. Proportion of General Extra Charges. | 2 3 9. | 6 1 3. |
| | 59,766 4 10. | 67,035 7 3. |

The

37. The Decrease in No. 1 and Increase in No. 2 do not call for any particular remark.

38. The Increase in No. 3 is owing to Co. 1,332—15—3. of Jaggery for the distillation of Putta Arrack having been purchased in 1251 (1841/42) at Rupees 12—14—. per Candy, whereas in 1252 (1842/43) the quantity purchased was Co. 1,608—6—13. partly at Rupees 12—14—. and partly at Rs. 11—10—. per Candy.

39. The Increase in No. 4 45 needs no remark.

40. After deducting the Charges the Net Abkarry Revenue is Rupees 5,03,061—.—5 exceeding the like Revenue of 1251 (1841/42) by Rupees 11,535—.—7. The per Centage charges in 1251 (1841/42) was $11\frac{44}{64}$, and in 1252 (1842/43) $11\frac{48}{64}$.—

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jusly 1251 | Rs. | 4,91,525 | 15 | 10 |
| " 1252 | | 5,03,061 | " | 5 |
| Increase | | 11,535 | " | 7 |

41. In the Ordinary receipts under this head there is an Increase of Rupees 68—7—4, from a greater number of Weights and Measures requiring the prescribed Stamp on payment of the regulated amount of duty having been adjusted in 1252 (1842/43) than in the previous year. The addition of certain Revenue raises the Collections of 1252 (1842/43) to Rs. 11,584—10, exceeding

| Sundry Small Sums and Licenses. | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jusly 1251 | Rs. | 11,501 | 8 | 9 |
| " 1252 | | 11,570 | " | 1 |
| Increase | | 68 | 7 | 4 |
| Value of lead received from individuals | | 14 | " | 9 |
| Ordinary Revenue | | 11,570 | " | 1 |
| | | 11,584 | " | 10 |
| Jusly 1251 | | 11,523 | 8 | 7 |
| Increase | | 60 | 8 | 3. |



exceeding those of 1251 (1841/42) by Rupees 60—8—3.—

42. The Ordinary revenue corresponds with the entry in the Statements of Demand Collection and Balance. The item of Rupees 14—.—9 is separately entered in Col: 13 of Statement No. 1.—

43. The Charges are as follows.—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Pay to the Servants | Rs. 1,323—.—. (7 1251 / 1841/42) | Rs. 1323 | —.—. (7 1252 / 1842/43) |
| 2. Extra charges | 18—6—. | 18 | —6—. |
| | 1,341—6—. | 1,341 | —6—. |

44. The above charges do not call for any particular remark.—

45.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jusly 1251 | Rs. | 10,182 | 2 | 7 |
| " 1252 | | 10,242 | 10 | 10 |
| Increase | | 60 | 8 | 3. |

After deducting Charges the Net revenue is Rupees 10,242—10—10, exceeding the like revenue of 1251 by Rs. 60—8—3.—

46. The per Centage of charges in 1251 (1841/42) was $11\frac{41}{64}$, and in 1252 (1842/43) $11\frac{37}{64}$.—

| Cochrane's Canal. Toll on all Imports and Exports | |
|---|---|
| Jusly 1251 | Rs. 27,539—12—4 |
| " 1252 | " 25,337—15—2. |
| Decrease | 2,201—13—2. |

47. The Decrease under this head Rupees 2,201—13—2, is attributable partly to a falling off in the Imports of Firewood and Shells, but chiefly to toll on Salt, the property of Government brought down by the Canal having been in 1251 (1841/42) Rupees 2,768—11—11, whereas in the succeeding year

Fort St. George 2nd November 1843.

year it was only Rupees 843 - 13 -. The revenue noted in the margin is separately entered in the Statements of Demand Collection and Balance:-

48 The Charges are as follows:-

| | Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 | Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Pay to the Servants - - Rs. | 2547 — 8 — 0 | 2550 — 0 — 0 |
| 2 Sundaroaed - - - - - - - - - | 6 — 0 — 0 | 6 — 0 — 0 |
| 3. Extra Charges including payment to the Lessee Rupees 14,061 — 11 — 7½ | 14239 — 4 — 10 | 14789 — 9 — 8. |
| | 16792 — 12 — 10 | 17345 — 9 — 8. |

49. The small difference in No. 1 does not call for any particular remark.-

51. After deducting the Charges the Net revenue is Rupees 7992 — 5 — 6, which falls short of the like revenue of Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 by Rupees 2,754 — 10 —. The per Centage of charges in Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 was 60 62/64 and in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 68 22/64.-

| | Rs | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| F. 1251 - | 10,746 | 15 | 6. |
| " 1252 - | 7,992 | 5 | 6. |
| Decrease | 2,754 | 10 | 0. |

52. In the Revenue under this head there is a Decrease of Rupees 2,622 — 3 — 5 which does not call for any remark.-

Sale of Stampt papers and Cadjans.-

| | |
|---|---|
| Fasly 1251 - Rs. | 8,910 — 6 — 0 |
| " 1252 - - - - | 6,281 — 7 — 6 |
| Decrease | 2,628 — 14 — 6. |
| Refund of Stamps Commission. | 42 — 3 — 0 |
| Ordinary | 6,281 — 7 — 6 |
| | 6,323 — 10 — 6. |
| Fasly 1251 | 8945 — 13 — 11 |
| Decrease | 2,622 — 3 — 5.- |

53. The Ordinary Stamp Revenue Rupees 6,281 — 7 — 6 is separately entered in the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance, and the refund of Commission, Rupees 42 — 3 — 0, is included in the sum of Rupees 133 — 6 — 2 in Col. 13 of Statement No. 1.-

54. The Charges are as follows:-

| | Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 | Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Commission to the Vender - - | 429 — 14 — 4 | 323 — 0 — 9 |
| 2. Extra Charges. - - - - - - - - | 710 — 0 — 0 | 844 — 0 — 0 |
| | 1139 — 14 — 4 | 1167 — 0 — 9 |

55 The Decrease in No. 1 is in proportion to the reduced sale of Stamps in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43.-

56. The Increase in No. 2 is owing to refunds



Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

having been made to a larger amount in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43. than in the previous year, on application from the Lower Court, under the provisions of Clause 2nd Section XXV. Regulation XIII of 1816, in the case of certain special appeals, being the difference between the amount of Stamps duty paid by the appellants and the amount payable for summary appeals.-

57. After deducting the charges, the Net Revenue is Rupees 5,156 — 9 — 9. being less than the like Revenue of Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 by Rupees 2,649 — 5 — 10. The per centage of Charges in Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 was 12 47/64, and in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43. 18 29/64.-

| | |
|---|---|
| Fasly 1251 Rs. | 7,805 - 15 - 7 |
| 1252 - - | 5,156 - 9 - 9 |
| Decrease | 2,649 - 5 - 10 |

58. In the Grand Total of Gross Collections for fasly Fasly 1252 / 1842/43. of the whole of the Revenues adverted to in the foregoing Paras, there is an Increase of Rupees 1,28,925 - 12 - 9. In the amount of Charges there is a Decrease of Rupees 8,455 — 14 — 6 and in the aggregate Net Revenue there is an Increase of Rupees 1,37,381 — 11 — 3.- The per centage of Charges in Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 was 36 57/64 and in Fasly 1252 / 1842/43. 32 52/64.-

| | |
|---|---|
| Grand Total Gross Collections - | |
| Fasly 1251 - Rs. - - | 12,73,139 - 13 - 4 |
| 1252 - " - - - | 14,02,065 - 10 - 1 |
| Increase - - - - - | 1,28,925 - 12 - 9 |
| Charges - | |
| Fasly 1251 - - Rs. - - - | 4,63,639 - 5 - 10 |
| 1252 - - " - - - | 4,55,183 - 7 - 4 |
| Decrease - - - - | 8,455 - 14 - 6 |
| Net Revenue - | |
| Fasly 1251 - Rs. - - - | 8,04,500 - 7 - 6 |
| 1252 - " - - - | 9,41,882 - 2 - 9 |
| Increase - - - | 1,37,381 - 11 - 3. |

Madras
30th October 1843.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

2d November 1843.-

Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Account of Fuslies 1251 and 1252.-

Sources of Revenue in the Collectorate of Madras on

| | Balance | Settlement for Fusly 1252.- | Collections | Extra Collections | Total | Balance | Remarks.- | Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251.- Increase | Decrease | Comparison of Total Collections of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251.- Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Paimissim | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| | R a p | R a p | R a p | R a p | R a p | R a p | | R a p | R a p | R a p | R a p | R a p |
| | " " " | 20,27,15 8 10 | 20,27,15 8 10 | " " " | 20,27,15 8 10 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | " " " | 5,84,963 7 2 | 5,84,963 7 2 | 827 14 1 | 5,85,791 5 3 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | 10926 " | 10,56,936 12 2 | 5,69,361 12 2 | 705 7 9 | 5,70,069 3 11 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | " " " | 11,570 " 1 | 11,570 " 1 | 14 " 9 | 11,584 " 10 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | " " " | 25,337 15 2 | 25,337 15 2 | " " " | 25,337 15 2 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | " " " | 6,281 7 6 | 6,281 7 6 | 42 3 " | 6,323 10 6 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | 10,926 " | 10,14,00,230 2 11 | 14,09,230 2 11 | 1589 9 7 | 14,01,819 12 6 | " " " | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | " " " | 132,000 15 5 | 4828 15 8 | 133,930 2 6 | 4824 " 7 |

Madras 12th July 1843 E.a E.a Jun

/Signed/ J. A. Huddleston Collector Statement

1793. Fort St. George 2nd November 1

year it was only Rupees 843 - 13 - The reason
in the Margin is separately entered in the St

1795

A — Statement Shewing the Demand Collec reason on

Fusly 1251

| | Settlement for Fusly 1251 | Collections | Extra Collections | Total Ba | marks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | |
| Salt | 130,617 15 3 | 130,617 15 3 | 7,530 14 9 | 138,148 14 | |
| Sayer | 574,535 5 1 | 574,535 5 1 | 708 " " | 575,263 5 1 | |
| Abkary | 519,934 15 9 | 509,008 14 11 | 2,283 5 9 | 511,292 4 8 | 10,9 |
| Small Farms & Licences | 11,501 8 9 | 11,501 8 9 | 21 15 10 | 11,523 8 7 | |
| Cochranes Canal | 27,538 " 4 | 27,538 " 4 | 1 12 " | 27,539 12 4 | |
| Stamp Papers | 8,910 6 " | 8,910 6 " | 35 7 11 | 8,945 13 4 | |
| Total Rupees | 1,273,058 3 2 | 1,262,132 2 4 | 10,581 8 3 | 1,272,713 10 7 | 10,9 |

in the reduced sale of s

in 1252. —
1842/43.

56. : The Increase in No 2 is owing to refu

Ya

16796.

Fort St. George

2d November 1843.-

B. Statement Shewing the Demand Collection and Collectivate of Madras due on account of former Fuslies in Fusly 1252.-

| | Demand on account of old Arrears at the close of Fusly 1251.- | Arrears due on account of Fusly 1250.- | Collection on Account of old Arrears.- | Balance | Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1251.- | Collections on account of Fusly 1251.- | Remission | Balance | Total Collections of Arrears in Fusly 1252.- | Total Balance in Columns 4 and 8.- | Remarks.- |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | |
| Salt advances | 504 12 4 | " " | " " | 504 12 4 | 216 9 10 | 216 9 10 | " " | " " | 216 9 10 | 504 12 4 | |
| Sayer | 516 1 5 | " " | " " | 516 1 5 | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | 516 1 5 | |
| Abkarry | " " | " " | " " | " " | 10,926 " 10 | 29 3 9 | | 13 14 4 | 29 3 9 | 13 14 4 | |
| Sundry small Farms | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| Stamps | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| Motherpha | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " | |
| Total | 1,020 13 9 | " " | " " | 1,020 13 9 | 11,142 10 8 | 245 13 7 | | 13 14 4 | 245 13 7 | 1,034 12 1 | |

Madras 12th July 1843. E. E. Pte

Signed J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Statement

16797 - Fort St. George 2d November 1843 -

C. Statement Shewing the Charges in each of the Various Departments in the Collectorate of Madras for Fuslies 1251 and 1252 -

| | Fusly 1251 - | Fusly 1252. | Increase. | Decrease. | Remarks - |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rupees A P | Rupees A P | Rupees A P | Rupees A P | |
| Land | 17,307 8 " | 17,104 2 7 | " " " | 203 5 5 | |
| Sall | 54225 8 11 | 49,579 14 . | " " " | 4,645 10 11 | |
| Abkarry | 59,766 4 10 | 67,035 7 3 | 7269 2 5 | " " " | |
| Sayer | 3,35,373 6 11 | 3,23,714 1 8 | " " " | 11,659 5 3 | |
| Small Farms and Licences | 1,341 6 " | 1341 6 " | " " " | " " " | |
| Cochrane's Canal | 16,792 12 10 | 17,345 9 8 | 552 12 10 | " " " | |
| Stamps Papers and Cadjans | 1,139 14 4 | 1,167 . 9 | 27 2 5 | " " " | |
| Total | 4,85,946 13 10 | 4,77,287 9 11 | 7,849 1 8 | 16,508 5 7 | |

Madras — 12th July 1843 } E. E. P. [signed] J. A. Hudleston Collector Statement —

1798.

Fort St. George

**D.** Statement Exhibiting the Quantity of Salt Manufactured that of the preceding Year with explanation of

2d November 1843.-

in Fusly 1252 in the Collectorate of Madras compared with the cause of Increase or Decrease.-

| Names of the Cotaur or place of Manufacture.- | Fusly 1251.- Quantity of Salt Manufactured.- | Quantity Sold. | Rate per Garce. | Value. | Fusly 1252.- Quantity of Salt Manufactured | Quantity Sold. | Rate per Garce. | Value | Increase | Decrease | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | G M M | G M M | Rs | Rs A P | G M M | G M M | Rs | Rs A P | G M M | G M M | |
| Attipat | 1,282 19 " | 893 112 " at 105 Rs for Home Consumption | | 1,30,617 15 3 | 1,328 41 " | 928 111 " at 105 Rs for Home Consumption | | 20,27,158 10,16,64,46½ | " | " | The Quantity of Salt Sold for exportation to Calcutta in Fusly 1252 is Considerably more than that of the previous Year which is the Cause of the increase noticed here.- |
| Ennore | 956 86 " | | | | 707 8 " | | | | | | |
| | | 376 299 6 at 42 Rs for Export to Calcutta | | | 2505 347 3 at 42 Rs for Export to Calcutta | | | | | | |
| Cuttevauk | 368 152 " | | | | 79 157 " | | | | | | |
| | | | | | — " 33 7 | | | | | | |
| Salt Sold to a Merchant which while conveying into the Zillah of Chingleput was Seized by the Collector of that District and Returned to the Madras Cotaur | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2606 237 " | 1770 11½ " | | 1,30,617 15 3 | 2214 233 7 | 3434 58 3 " | | 202/15 8 10 16 64 46 4½ " | " | " | |

Madras 12th July 1843. E. E. J.A.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston Collector

The

16799-

Fort St. George 2d. November 1843.-

A

The Gross Revenue of Salt for Fusly 1251 Rupees 1,38,575 - " - 9 - and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 2,02,932 - 2 - 8 - are as follows. -

| | | Fusly 1251 - Rupees A P | Fusly 1252 - Rupees A P | Remarks. - |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Salt** | | | | |
| The Sales for Home Consumption & for Exportation to | Garces - Mds - Mds | | | |
| Bengal were In — Fusly 1251 | 1,770 - 11 - 6½ | 1,30,617 15 3 | 2,02,715 8 10 | |
| Fusly 1252 | 3,434 - 58 - 3 - | | | |
| Recoveries of Salt Advances | | 7,938 4 11 | 216 9 10 | |
| Sale of Unserviceable Palmeira leaves | | 18 12 7 | " " " | |
| Total Salt Receipts | | 1,38,575 " 9 | 2,02,932 2 8 | |

The Charges under the above Head for Fusly 1251 Rupees 54,225 - 8 - 11 - and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 49,579 - 14 - " - are as follows. -

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pay to Servants | 5,045 14 6 | 5,050 14 7 | |
| Salt Manufacturers' Share | 25,329 4 - | 21,795 2 1 | |
| Compensation Rupsoons and Mauniums | 2,381 15 - | 2,531 9 6 | |
| Advances for the Manufacture of Salt | 7,728 12 - | " " " | |
| Saudenward Charges | 5 12 5 | 5 6 11 | |
| Extra Charges | 13,729 7 6 | 20,184 10 5 | |
| 2/12ths of Extra Revenue Charges, Rupees 72 - 15 - 7 apportioned to this Head | 476 | 1226 | |
| Total Salt Charges | 54,225 8 11 | 49,579 14 - | |

1800

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

B.

| | Fusly 1251 Rupees A P | Fusly 1252 Rupees A P | Remarks.- |
|---|---|---|---|
| The Gross Collections of Sayer Revenue for Fusly 1251 Rupees 5,75,263-5-1- and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 5,85,791-5-3- are as follows.- | | | |
| Collections of Duty in the Land Custom Department | 5,74,555 5 1 | 5,84,963 7 2 | |
| Godown Rent on Piece Goods remaining uncleared beyond the fixed period | 11 11 3 | 7 12 9 | |
| Fines & Stoppages in the Sayer Department | 1 " " | " " " | |
| Peons Share from the proceeds of confiscated goods credited to Government in consequence of their receiving separate rewards for the seizure of those Articles | 190 4 2 | 218 1 10 | |
| Bribe Offered by smugglers to the Peons | 2 14 7 | 1 " " | |
| Collectors and Deputy Collectors Share from the proceeds of confiscated Sayer Goods | 502 2 " | 600 15 6 | |
| Total Sayer Receipts | 5,75,263 5 1 | 5,85,791 5 3 | |
| The Charges under this Head for Fusly 1251 Rupees 3,35,373-6-11- and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 3,23,714-1-8- are as follows.- | | | |
| Pay to the Servants | 46,536 1 1 | 46,547 7 6 | |
| Sanderward Charges | 243 3 2 | 265 5 4 | |
| Extra Charges | 1,023 6 7 | 881 4 8 | |
| Refunds of duty erroneously collected on certain Sayer Goods | 174 9 11 | " " " | |
| Drawback | 2,86,875 10 11 | 2,75,412 12 6 | |
| Collectors and Deputy Collectors Share from the Sale proceeds of confiscated Goods | 504 12 11 | 564 10 11 | |
| 7/12ths of Extra Revenue Charges apportioned to this head | 15 10 4 | 14 2 8 9 | |
| Total Sayer Charges | 3,35,373 6 11 | 3,23,714 1 8 | |

1801-

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

## C.

| | Fusly 1251 Rupees A P | Fusly 1252 Rupees A P | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|
| The Gross Collections of Abkarry Revenue for Fusly 1251 Rupees 5,11,292-4-8- and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 5,70,096-7-8- are as follows.- | | | |
| Revenue of Putta and Batavia Arrack, Brandy, & Toddy | 5,09,008 14 11 | 5,69,390 15 11 | |
| Tax on the sale of Spirituous Liquors in Taverns &c. | 68 12 6 | 162 1 11 | |
| Sale of Charcoal at the Distillery | 294 10 11 | 307 " " | |
| Amount recovered from Servants the Value of case Bottles & Boilers at the Distillery which they broke & the amount sale of unserviceable Materials | " 6 " | 1 13 6 | |
| Fine imposed on Arrack Shop keepers | 15 2. | " " " | |
| Collectors' and Deputy Collectors' Share of the sale proceeds of confiscated Arrack and Toddy | 7 5 8 | 51 14 8 | |
| Revenue beyond the fixed excise duty on sales effected in certain Shops whilst under Circar Management | 78 4 5 | 175 7 2 | |
| Recovery of Coolyhire advanced for the conveyance of Smuggled Arrack | " 4 6 | 3 " 1 | |
| Sale of Valembarti to the Arrack Contractor of Chingleput | 10 2 " | " " " | |
| Value of Arrack, Empty Casks &c. Supplied to the Collector of Chingleput upon his Application | 1,308 5 9 | " " " | |
| Sale of unserviceable Iron Hoops &c. | " " " | 4 2 5 | |
| Total Abkarry Receipts | 5,11,292 4 8 | 5,70,096 7 8 | |
| The Charges under this head for Fusly 1251 Rupees 59,766-4-10- & for Fusly 1252 Rupees 67,035-7-3- are as follows.- | | | |
| Pay to the Servants | 15,080 8 10 | 15,055 9 6 | |
| Saudermard | 35 1 6 | 36 13 6 | |
| Extra Charges | 44,631 2 11 | 51,901 2 6 | |
| Collectors and Deputy Collectors' Share of the sale proceeds of confiscated Arrack | 17 3 10 | 35 12 6 | |
| 1/12th of Extra Revenue charges apportioned to this head | 2 3 9 | 6 1 3 | |
| Total Abkarry Charges | 59,766 4 10 | 67,035 7 3 | |

16802

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

| | Fusly 1251 | Fusly 1252 | Remarks.- |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Rupees a p | Rupees a p | |

**D.**

The Gross Collections of Sundry Small Farms & Licenses for Fusly 1251 Rupees 11,523 - 8 - 7 and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 11,584 - a. 10 - are as follows. —

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Duty on Stamping Weights and Measures | 11,501 8 9 | 11,570 a 1 |
| Amount recovered from Individuals on account of lead supplied for deficiency in their weights | 21 15 10 | 14 a 9 |
| Total Small Farms - Receipts | 11,523 8 7 | 11,584 a 10 |

The Charges under this head for Fusly 1251 Rupees 1,341-6-a & for Fusly 1252 Rupees 1,341 - 6 - a are as follows. —

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Pay to the Servants | 1,323 a a | 1,323 a a |
| Extra Charges | 18 6 a | 18 6 a |
| Total Small Farms Charges. | 1,341 6 a | 1,341 6 a |

**E.**

Cochrane's Canal.-

The Gross Collections of Toll for Fusly 1251 Rupees 27,539 - 12 - 4 - & for Fusly 1252 Rupees 28337 - 15 - 2 - are as follows. —

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Canal Toll on Imports and Exports at the different places. | 27,538 a 4 | 25,337 15 2 |
| Sale of Unserviceable Parahs | 1 12 a a | a a a |
| Total Toll Receipts | 27,539 12 9 | 25,337 15 2 |

16803.

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.—

| | Fusly 1251 Rupees A P | Fusly 1252 Rupees A P | Remarks.— |
|---|---|---|---|
| The Charges under the above head for Fusly 1251 Rupees 16792—12—10—4 for Fusly 1252 Rupees 17,345—9—8— are as follows.— | | | |
| Pay to the Servants | 2,547 8. | 2,550 " " | |
| Sanderward Charges | 6 " " | 6 " " | |
| Extra Charges | 14,239 4 10 | 14,789 9 8 | |
| Total Toll Charges | 16,792 12 10 | 17,345 9 8 | |

F

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The Gross Sales of Stamp Papers and Cadjans for Fusly 1251 Rupees 8,945—13—11 and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 6,323—10—6 are as follows.— | | | |
| Sales of Stamp Papers and Cadjans | 8,910 6. | 6,281 7 6 | |
| Refunds of Stamp Commission | 35 7 11 | 42 3 " | |
| Total Stamp Revenue | 8,945 13 11 | 6,323 10 6 | |
| The Charges under the above head for Fusly 1251 Rupees 1,139—14—4 and for Fusly 1252 Rupees 1167—"—9— are as follows.— | | | |
| Commission to the Vender at 5 pr Cent on the Actual sale of Stamps | 429 14 4 | 323 " 9 | |
| Refunds of Stamp Revenue | 710 " " | 844 " " | |
| Total Stamp Charges | 1,139 14 4 | 1,167 " 9 | |

Madras
12th July 1843. } E. E. Jr. /Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector—

Statem

1804-

**STATEMENT** *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusli*

*Collectorate of Nassick.*

| | For the last three Years. | | | | | | Comparison of the last two Fuslies. | | | | | | REM |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *Fusly 1250* | | | *Fusly 1251* | | | *Fusly 1252.* | | | *Increase* | | | *Decrease* | | | |
| | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups | A. | P. | Rups | A. | P. | |
| **SALT.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 100436 | 7 | - | 135575 | - | 9 | 200932 | 2 | 8 | 64357 | 1 | 11 | - | - | - | |
| Charges for Purchase or Manufacture | 20367 | 10 | 8 | 31439 | 15 | - | 24326 | 11 | 7 | - | - | - | 11113 | 3 | 5 | |
| Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c | 12231 | 13 | 9 | 18785 | 9 | 11 | 25253 | 2 | 5 | 6467 | 8 | 6 | - | - | - | Vide Appe |
| Total Charges | 32599 | 8 | 5 | 54225 | 8 | 11 | 49579 | 14 | - | 6467 | 8 | 6 | 11113 | 3 | 5 | |
| Net Revenue | 67836 | 14 | 7 | 84349 | 7 | 10 | 151352 | 4 | 8 | 60002 | 12 | 10 | - | - | - | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 32 29/64 | - | | 39 8/64 | - | | 24 27/64 | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| **TOBACCO MONOPOLY.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Charges for Purchase | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Total Charges | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Net Revenue | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| **LAND CUSTOMS.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 533265 | 3 | 3 | 575263 | 5 | 1 | 588791 | 5 | 3 | 10528 | - | 2 | - | - | - | |
| Charges of Collection | 47811 | 11 | 7 | 47992 | 15 | 1 | 47736 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - | 256 | 4 | 10 | |
| Charges „ Commission | 711 | 12 | 7 | 504 | 12 | 11 | 564 | 10 | 11 | 59 | 14 | - | - | - | - | Vide Appendices Marked B |
| Charges „ Drawback | 199533 | 11 | 8 | 286275 | 10 | 11 | 275412 | 12 | 6 | - | - | - | 11462 | 14 | 5 | |
| Total | 248057 | 3 | 10 | 334673 | 6 | 11 | 323714 | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | 11659 | 5 | 3 | |
| Net Revenue | 285207 | 15 | 5 | 240589 | 14 | 2 | 262877 | 3 | 7 | 22187 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 46 33/64 | - | | 58 9/64 | - | | 55 16/64 | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| **SEA CUSTOMS.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Charges of Collection | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Charges „ Commission | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Charges „ Drawback | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Net Revenue | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| **ABKARY.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 511333 | 7 | 4 | 512722 | 4 | 8 | 570096 | 7 | 8 | 58804 | 3 | - | - | - | - | Vide appendix Marked C |
| Charges | 52963 | 15 | - | 59766 | 4 | 10 | 67035 | 7 | 3 | 7269 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | |
| Net Revenue | 458369 | 8 | 4 | 452525 | 15 | 10 | 503061 | - | 5 | 51535 | - | 7 | - | - | - | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 18 22/64 | - | | 11 44/64 | - | | 11 42/64 | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| **SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 11211 | 9 | - | 11523 | 8 | 7 | 11584 | - | 10 | 60 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | Vide appendix Marked D. |
| Charges | 1338 | 12 | 9 | 1341 | 6 | - | 1341 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Net Revenue | 9872 | 12 | 3 | 10182 | 2 | 7 | 10242 | 10 | 10 | 60 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 11 59/64 | - | | 11 44/64 | | | 11 37/64 | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| (Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cochrane's Canal — | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 31623 | - | 6 | 27539 | 13 | 4 | 25337 | 15 | 2 | - | - | - | 2201 | 13 | 2 | |
| Charges | 21423 | 1 | 9 | 16792 | 12 | 10 | 17345 | 9 | 8 | 552 | 12 | 10 | - | - | - | Vide appendix Marked E |
| Net Revenue | 9199 | 14 | 9 | 10746 | 15 | 6 | 7992 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | 2754 | 11 | - | |
| Per centage of the Charges | 69 6/64 | - | | 60 6/64 | - | | 68 29/64 | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Sales of Stamps Paper &c. — | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 6696 | 2 | 3 | 8945 | 13 | 11 | 6323 | 10 | 6 | - | - | - | 2622 | 3 | 5 | Vide appendix Marked F |
| Charges | 591 | 9 | 4 | 1139 | 14 | 4 | 1167 | - | 9 | 27 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | |
| Net Revenue | 6114 | 8 | 11 | 7805 | 15 | 7 | 5156 | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | 2649 | 5 | 10 | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 8 43/64 | - | | 12 47/64 | - | | 18 29/64 | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| **GRAND TOTAL.** | 1103566 | 13 | 4 | 1273130 | 13 | 4 | 1443065 | 10 | 1 | 139025 | 12 | 9 | - | - | - | |

1880

Order of 60-

Form of 62 etary -

Form 63

Order 62 - cand

Notice a ine

Man Bellary Mahl Sch Gunt etary - Survey Tarif and Addl Bell Mess Gaya Raja Collr Cenna Office

Cancelled

Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

ent thereon

61) Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.-

Read the undermentioned Registers:-

The Collector of Tinnevelly - Register of Petition bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of September last.-

The Collector of Madras - Register of petition bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of October last.-

on the 2 foregoing Registers. Ordered to be lodged

64) in the Office.-

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.-

To The Chief Secretary to

Chief Secy ernment. Sir, Government

5) With reference to the orders of Government dated 19th April 1842 No 490 conveying authority for the purchase of Native Almanacs for distribution to such heads of Office in the Revenue Department as might require them, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that 30 Copies have been purchased and supplied as noted in the Margin - The Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the charge incurred on this

| | Tamil Copies | Telugoo Copies |
|---|---|---|
| ulipatam for the year 1842 | " | 1 |
| y for the Year 1843. | " | 2 |
| bur Do | 4 | " |
| r Do | 2 | " |
| ore Do | " | 1 |
| lepet- Do | 1 | " |
| re Do | 3 | " |
| na Do | 1 | 2 |
| re Do | " | 2 |
| lipatam Do | " | 1 |
| n Do | " | 2 |
| hmundry Do | " | 1 |
| Arcot- Do | 3 | " |
| a Do | " | 2 |
| the Revenue Board's Do | 1 | 1 |
| Total Copies | 15 | 15 |

1804-

STATEMENT shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusli

FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS. COMPARISON OF THE LAST TWO FUSLIES. REM

1806. Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

this account amounting to Rupees 30 being at the rate of 1 Rupee per Copy.-

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell Acting Secretary.-

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

To The Principal Collector of Nellore

Sir.

To the Pl. Collector of Nellore.-

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return to you the Statement of arrears of Yeomiahs in your District bearing date 31st August last, with the remarks of the Board and the orders of Government entered in the proper Columns.-

Sub Conr. 25th Sept. 1843.-

66)

2 You will be pleased to take measures for the transfer of the Yeomiah of Nellorebee to the Cutcherry of the Collector of Madras as authorized by Government.-

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 2d November 1843.-

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell Acting Secretary.-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

From the Secretary to the Ceylon Government 2 - - Advertisement regarding

67) Salt to be exported to Ceylon.- Ordered

67-68

Order thereon.— Ordered that the above-mentioned Advertisement be transmitted for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.—

From the Head Asst. Accountant General 3 — Indent on the Commissary

69 General for Sundry Articles required for binding 100 Books of the Revenue Department of his Office.—

Order thereon.— Ordered that the Original

69-70 Indent be passed and returned.—

From the Acting Collector in the Nrn Dn. of Arcot 3 — Reporting that no persons

71 were confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of August and September last.—

From the Collector of Chingleput — 3 — Return of fines imposed

72 and stoppages made from the pay of public Servants from 1st July to 30th September last.—

From the Collector of Chingleput — 3 — Stating that certain Returns

73 for the Quarter ending 30th September last are not sent there being no entry to make.—

From the Collector of Ganjam — Abstract of Demand

74 Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.—

From the Collector of Masulipatam — 3 — Abstract of Demand

75 Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — 3 — Abstract of Demand

76 Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Statement shewing the

77 average



average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

From the Collector of Ganjam — Statement shewing the

78 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.—

From the Collector of Ganjam — Statement shewing the

79 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

From the Collector of Masulipatam — 3 — Statement shewing the average

80 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

From the Agent to the Govr. of Fort St. George Hirnol — 3 — Forwarding an Indent

81 for Stationery &c.a required for his Office and explaining the reason of some Articles having been purchased in shops.—

Order thereon.— Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and transmitted to the Secretary

81-82 to the Military Board.—

From the Collector of Malabar — 3 — Return of fines & Stoppages

83 made from the pay of public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

From the Collector of Malabar — 3 — Return of alterations that

84 have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

From the Collector of Malabar — 3 — Return of Persons suspended

85 or dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Months of July August and September last.— From

1809 Fort St. George 2d November 1843.

From the Collector of Malabar - Reporting that no cases were tried under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Months of August and September last. -
86

From the Collector of Malabar - - Reporting that no persons were confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Months of August and September last. -
87

From the Collector of Malabar - - Reporting that no publick Servants were suspended or dismissed during the Quarter ending 30th September last. -
88

From the Collector of Malabar - - Reporting that no Revenue defaulters were in confinement during the Quarter ending 30th September last. -
89

From the Pnl. Collector of Nellore - Statements of Smuggled goods recommended for confiscation during the Month of September last. -
90

From the Pnl. Collector of Nellore - Statement shewing the goods on which double or treble duty has been levied during the Month of September last. -
91

Order on the two abovementioned Statements - - - - - - - - 3 - - Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled Articles as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized. -
90-92

From the Pnl. Collector of Nellore - - - Reporting that no Village Moonsiffs have been suspended or dismissed for the Month of September last. -
93

From the Collector of Trichinopoly - - - Return of persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of September last. -
94

From the Collector of Trichinopoly - - - Stating that no persons were tried or in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of September last. -
95

" Rumley

" Robertson -

" Walter Elliot

Compd. Thos. Ritchie. -

1810 Fort St. George - November 1843.

# Diary

Sent the following letter

2nd Nov.
1 To The Acting Collector in the Zillah of Vizagapatam

Sir,

To the Actg Collector of Vizagapatam - - - The Stationary Indents for the ensuing year 1844, submitted by you under Dy Nos. 23 Octr. date 13th ultimo not being drawn up according to the form published in the Fort Saint George Gazette of the 15th November 1842, Page 912. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return them with a request that you will have them revised and submitted in the required Form.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 2nd November 1843. } I Signed I E. C. Lovell, Acting Secretary

Received the following letter

3 To E. C. Lovett Esqre Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir

From P. B. Smollett Esqre Actg Collector of Vizagapatam Dated I beg to acquaint you for the information of the Board of Revenue
2 that this District was visited with a severe gale of wind accompanied with a deluge of Rain, on the night of the 23d which continued till

Fort St George — November 1843.

till the evening of the 21st when the wind abated and weather cleared. The Town of Vizagapatam has suffered considerable damage, many Houses having been unroofed, and much property destroyed from the irruption of the River which inundated the streets and Houses to the depth of three feet. Some lives also have been lost both in Vizagapatam and Carafsa, but the number of casualties has not been ascertained.

The Salt in store at Carafsa and Nellimookoo has been much injured and I fear a considerable loss will be sustained thereby, when the waters have somewhat abated I shall cause the whole to be remeasured to prevent the advantage which otherwise might be taken under the circumstances that have occurred of defrauding Government by exaggerating the losses at the time of sale.

3. I fear that the Estates to the Southward must have materially suffered from this hurricane which is the more to be regretted because heretofore the season had promised to be more than usually favorable and productive.

Vizagapatam Collr's Cutcherry 27th October 1843

Signed J. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Order thereon.

2-3

Ordered to be forwarded for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.



Fort St George 6th November 1843.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 6th November 1843

John, Dent, Esqre Senior Member
A Robertson Esqre Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 21st and entered in Consultation 26th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 21st October I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to state that although the services for which the Dehaut Enam Village of Aryoor was conferred are no longer necessary, and the person, for whose subsistence it was granted, is dead, the present incumbents appear to have held the Enam with the sanction of the Collector of the District who viewing the Enam as hereditary

To the Chief Secy to Government 3-1-

In Cons 26th Octr 1843

4

considered

considered himself according to the practice of the
District in such cases authorized to appoint
to the Office as vacancies occurred.

2. It will be observed that
two of the parties chiefly interested are minors
and have been in the enjoyment of the Enam
since the year 1832, and probably have no
other means of subsistence and on this
ground the Board are of opinion that it
would only be consistent with the liberality
of Government on other similar occasions
in resuming Enams to continue a portion
in the shape of compensation.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 6th Nov.r 1843

/ Signed / E.C. Lovell,
Acting Secretary

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 31st October 1843

5

Read the following letter

To G. D. Drury, Esqre
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir

I am directed to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter No. 1011 dated the
18th ultimo submitting correspondence with
the Board of Revenue at Madras relative to
an application for the grant of 50 Acres of land



on Enam tenure to certain Ryots of the
Pyadahee Taluk in the Bellary District in
virtue of an arrangement concluded with them
by the late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson, and
sanctioned by the Government of Fort St.
George in 1827.

2. In reply I am desired to
acquaint you that under the circumstances
therein reported, and at the recommendation
of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council
the Governor General in Council is pleased
to authorize the grant in question to be made
in perpetuity and registered in the names
of Venkataramanah and Patoor Narapah
in trust for the whole of the parties entitled
to participate in its benefit.

Fort William
The 7th October 1843

/ Signed / F. R. Davidson
Offg Secretary to Government
of India

Ordered that the sanction
conveyed in the foregoing letter be commu-
nicated to the Board of Revenue, for their
information and
guidance with reference
to the correspondence noted in the Margin,

From Board of Revenue 15th June 1843 No. 288
Order thereon 4th July " " 722.
From Board of Revenue 14th Augt " " 405.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Sec.y

Resolved

1815 Fort St George 6th November 1843.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the letter from the Government of India to which it refers be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary, with reference to his letter dated 25th July 1843 No. 57.

5-6

From the Chief Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2d November 1843.

7

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

/Here Enter 1st November 1843/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves that the foregoing letter be forwarded to His Excellency the Commander in Chief with a request that measures may be taken to afford Military aid to the Magistrate of Chingleput for the maintenance of the public peace.

Ordered in consequence that the following letter be dispatched.

To The Collector and Magistrate of Chingleput

Sir,

Para 1 I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to acquaint you that a detachment of Troops to aid you

1816 Fort St George 6th November 1843.

in the maintenance of the Public Peace at Conjeveram may be expected to reach that place by 6 O'Clock A.M. on the Morning of Sunday the 5th Instant, when you will be expected to meet them on their arrival.

2. His Lordship in Council directs me to call your attention to the instructions conveyed in the orders of Government dated 25th March 1825 and 16th September 1828.

Fort St George 2d November 1843 } /True Extract and Copy/

/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

7-8

Read the following resolutions.

From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st October 1843.

9

/From Board 23d October/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the refund recommended in the foregoing proceedings amounting to Rupees /14-1-0/ fourteen and anna one.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence. To

1817 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

9-10

To the Principal Collector of Nellore

To the Pl. Coll.r of Nellore

Sir,

In Cons.s 23d Oct.r

With reference to your letter dated 18th October last No. 72, I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the refund of the sum of Rupees 114-1-0 erroneously levied on certain Indigo in excess of the regular duty.

From Govt. 13th October 1843 inform.s 6th Nov.r No. 1159

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 6th November 1843

/ Signed / E. C. Lovell, Acting Secretary

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st October 1843.

/ From Board 23d October /

11

The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the Suit No. 145 of 1843, instituted in the Civil Court against the Acting Sub Collector of Salem and 8 others by Chenga Gounden a Ryot, to recover possession of certain land but will determine on a copy of the decree being laid before him, whether the costs, if any, be adjudged against the Acting Sub Collector shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury, Chief Secretary /

Resolution thereon

11-12

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Salem with reference to his letter of the 5th September last.

Extract

1818 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st October 1843.

(From Board 19th October.)

From the Chief Secretary to Government.

13

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charge incurred by the Collector of Trichinopoly for the purchase and conveyance of Mauritius sugar cane amounting to Rupees (137-8-..) One hundred and thirty seven and Annas eight-.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury. Chief Secretary.

Resolution thereon.

13-14

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to his letters noted in the Margin.

From Coll.r 4th in Cons 19th October 1843.

From Dr. 20th in Cons 23d Dr.

Read the following Extracts.

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th October 1843.

Read the following letter

15

from the Officiating Secretary to Government of India.

To J. F. Thomas Esqre

Secretary to Government

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 266 dated the 2d Ultimo submitting correspondence and orders issued by the

the Government of Fort St. George relating to the Timber Forests under the Madras Presidency.

2. The Governor General in Council concurring in opinion with the Most Honorable the Governor in Council that the Revised Tariff prepared by the Collector of Malabar, is well calculated to meet the object contemplated by the Honorable the Court of Directors, Viz.t of effectually preventing the destructive practice of felling young timber, is pleased to sanction its adoption in the Provinces of Malabar and Canara.

Fort William —
The 7th October 1843.

/ Signed / J. R. Davidson
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of India.

To
The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of India
Fort William.

Sir,

No 37.

Extract Mins. Cons. Revn. Departt.
10th April 1843 No 364.
From Secy. Revn. Bd. 19th June 1843 No 299 —
with order thereon.
From Dr. — Dr. 27th July 1843 No 384.

I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 22d February last, and in reply to transmit to you for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor General of India in Council a copy of the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 10th April last, containing the orders issued by

16818 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

by this Government on it and on a communication from the Government of Bombay relating to the Timber forests under this Presidency, with the view of giving effect to the wishes of the Honorable the Court of Directors together with the further correspondence which has passed with the Revenue Board on the subject —

His Lordship in Council requests the attention of the Government of India particularly to the communication of the Board of Revenue dated the 27th July last, giving cover to a revised Tariff on Teak Timber so adjusted as to fall more heavily on the smaller description of wood, and should that Government think with him that the measure will effectually prevent the destructive practice of felling young timber, His Lordship in Council will be prepared to sanction its adoption in the Provinces of Malabar and Canara.

The further information required in your letter under acknowledgement will be transmitted as soon as received from the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George
2d September 1843.

/ Signed / J. F. Thomas
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the one to which it is a reply be forwarded

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

forwarded for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter dated the 27th July 1843 No. 384.

(Signed) I. F. Thomas
Secretary to Government.

Resolution thereon.

15-16

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the letters to which it refers be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letters noted in the Margin.

From Malabar 15th July 1843.
From Dy. 4th Oct. 1843 Para 2.

Ordered also that a copy of the foregoing Extract with the correspondence and Tariff therein referred to be furnished for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Canara.

Enc. No. 1 in a letter from Malabar 15th July 1843.

Extract.



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1843.

Read the following

17

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department 24th October 1843.

The following Paper is ordered to be recorded.

To
The Adjutant General
of the Army
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 11th Instant, No. 6465. - A suit for 20 Rupees was preferred, before Captain Noble as the Officer in charge of Police, against a Registered Bazarman in November 1842. The suit was supported by a bond jointly executed by the man himself, and his concubine, duly attested by creditable witnesses. The claim was admitted by the Defendant, and judgment recorded accordingly. To evade payment on the Bond, the Defendant absconded from Palaveram about the end of November or beginning of December 1842, when on the application of the Plaintiff, an execution against the Defendant's property was issued. It was then ascertained that at

at the same time the Defendant absconded, his concubine had removed all the property from Defendant's house and placed it in charge of a certain Resident in the bazar - This Resident came forward and stated the same - The property was then seized, sold by Auction, and the amount of the bond liquidated from the sum realized which was 23 - 15 - 0 - The concubine has filed a Civil Suit against Captain Noble, the Cutwall, and a Peon, for illegally distraining their property to the Amount of 101 - 15 - 10 - The assumed ground of action is 1st that the property was her own, and not in any way belonging to the Defendant in the original Suit - 2d that she was no party to the Bond on which judgement was given - This action was not filed in the Zillah Court of Chingle put until April 1843, and Captain Noble was cited to appear and defend the Action on the 4th Instant.

I beg to remark, that from having carefully considered the case which was twice investigated by Captain Noble before I confirmed the same, I am decidedly of opinion - that the action now brought is a most fraudulent and vexatious one, and the Statement filed



filed in the Zillah Court, of which I have perused a translation, is a tissue of gross falsehood -

Palaveram 13th October 1843.

(Signed) H Walpole Brigr
Commg Palaveram

No 972

Head Quarters Centre Division
Madras, 16th October 1843.

(Signed) C. B. Williams Major Genl
Commg Centre Division.

No 198

To
The Adjutant General
of the Army.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will obtain the sanction of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, for the Government Vakeel at Chingleput to be instructed to defend an action brought against Captain H. S. Noble (as Officer in charge of Police at this Station) and also, that the Vakeel may be authorized to draw the necessary Stamped paper from the Collector.

Palaveram 7th October 1843.

(Signed) H. Walpole Brigadier
Commanding.

No 955

Head Quarters Centre Division.
Madras 9th October 1843.

(Signed) C. B. Williams Major General
Commanding Centre Division.

The

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

No. 769.

The Commander in Chief has the honor to submit the accompanying letters from the Officer Commanding Palaveram, and to recommend the request contained therein to the favorable consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

Adjutant General's Office
Fort St. George
18th October 1843

By Order
(Signed) C. A. Browne
A.D. Adjutant General
of the Army.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Judicial Department, with a view to the issue of instructions for the Government Vakeel at Chingleput, to defend, on behalf of the Officer of Police at Palaveram, the Suit therein referred to, and to obtain the necessary Stamped Paper.

The Most Noble the Governor in Council will determine, on the Decree being laid before him, whether the Costs, if any be awarded against the Officer of Police, should be borne by him or the state.

(Signed) S. W. Steel Lieut. Colt.
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract be furnished to the Judge of Chingleput and



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

and to the Board of Revenue for communication to the Collector of Chingleput.

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Secretary to Government.

Resolution thereon.

17-18

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department and the papers to which it refers, be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput.

Read the following letter

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll. of Masulipatam.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to Para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 11th February 1842, directing that the Zemindar of Vinnacottah and Goodewadah should be required to execute a Bond for the true and faithful administration of the funds of Vadaly and Illoor Devastanums in this District, as was done in case of the Pagoda in Rajahmundry

19

I have the honor to state that I have applied to the Collector of Rajahmundry for a form of the

the Bond in question, and from whose reply it appears that the Board have directed under date 10th July last, that the management of the Pagoda in that District should be made over without the execution of the required Deed, I therefore request that I may be furnished with the Board's instructions as to whether I am authorized to make over the management of the Pagoda of Vadaly without the execution of the Bond in question -

2 The Pagoda of Alloor being now the subject of a special appeal Suit pending in the Court of Sudder Udalut, the circumstances connected with the case, will be submitted to the Board when the result of the suit is known and before relinquishing the management of the Pagoda.

Masulipatam Zillah Coll[r] Circuit Cutcherry At Callapilly 25th October 1843 } (Signed) R. S. Porter Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(19-20)

To The Collector of Masulipatam

To the Coll[r] of Masulipatam }

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 25th Ultimo



Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that it will not be necessary to require from the Zemindar of Vinnacottah and Goodevadah a trust deed before making over to his management the Vadaly and Alloor Pagodas - it will be sufficient to take from him a receipt for the Pagodah property delivered into his charge.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. Geore 6th November 1843 } (Signed) C. C. Lovell Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter

To C. C. Lovell Esq[re]
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Madras.

Sir,

From the Coll[r] of Guntoor } Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 2d Instant I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that none of the petty Farms comprized among the Extra Sources of Revenue in this District appear to be objectionable.

(21) 2. There is however an item included under the Frontier duties termed Sana, Prasah of the nature of a toll levied at the Kristna Ferries on certain castes only, which I

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

I concur with two former Collectors in thinking it would be well to discontinue, both from its liability to abuse, and the trifling return yielded by it. The nature of the tax and rates of collection were explained by Mr. Brown and Mr. Blackburne in the correspondence noted in the Margin. The Board at that time viewing this Collection as a toll to cover the expense of the Service declined to recommend its abolition. It might however be found advantageous to frame rules for the Collection of Ferry tolls less partial in their operation and to bring them to account under their proper head.

To Board 29th July 1833.
From 7th Feby "
To Board 2nd October "
From 14th October "

3. Under the Head of Mohturfa there is a tax levied in the bazar of Mangalagherry on those who measure or sell grain called Kollagarum, at the rate of one dub per bullock load and ½ a dub per booly load. This tax though called Mohturfa obviously operates as a duty on grain, and ought therefore to be discontinued.

4. Under the head of land revenue there are some items which should properly be transferred to the Head



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

Head of sundry small Farms, among them are the rents of the jungle in the Kuzampatam Sirkar, the rent of the Condaveed Hills, and a rent of certain fishing creels, called Chappala Manvalie.

5. The rent of the jungles and Hills is realized chiefly from those who cut firewood and graze cattle. It may perhaps be considered that the restriction on cutting firewood, comes within the objectionable usages contemplated by the Board.

6. I send a Statement shewing as far as practicable the sums realized from each of the heads abovementioned, with the exception of the rent of the Condaveed Hills, the particulars of which having been credited in different Villages cannot immediately be ascertained.

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry 28th October 1843.

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Collector.

Statement

1830. Fort St. George 6th. November 1843

Ordered to lie on the table.

Order thereon.

Read the following letters.

(21-22)

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Acting Pr. Coll.r of Cuddapah.

I have the honor to report having this day delivered over charge of this

(23) District to W. Ashton Esquire whose receipt for the balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury amounting to Rupees (20137-11-6) Twenty thousand one hundred and thirty seven Annas eleven and pice six is herein enclosed.

Cuddapah
Principal Collector's Office
1st. November 1843.

(Signed) W. W. Arbuthnot
Acting Princ.l Collector.

Received

1829 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

No. 1. Statement shewing the objectionable or questionable items of the Collection under different Heads of Revenue in the Guntoor District, and the Collectors thereon for the 10 last fasles.

| Numbers | Fasly | Under Sayer | Under Abstract | Under Land Revenue | | | | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | Examination |
| | | | | | | | | | Chapkala |
| | | | | | | | | | Manalli |
| 1 | 1243 | 241 | 8 | 10 | 160 | 6 | 2 | 294 | 2 1 |
| 2 | 1244 | 242 | 9 | 1 | 197 | 1 | 11 | 585 | 8 1 |
| 3 | 1245 | 243 | 15 | 10 | 192 | 5 | 4 | 1235 | 1 2 |
| 4 | 1246 | 293 | 12 | 11 | 178 | 7 | 8 | 1036 | " 5 |
| 5 | 1247 | 250 | 8 | 7 | 158 | 13 | 11 | 1650 | 10 10 |
| 6 | 1248 | 278 | 2 | 4 | 144 | 11 | 2 | 853 | 10 3 |
| 7 | 1249 | 267 | 5 | " | 160 | 10 | 5 | 1154 | 14 5 |
| 8 | 1250 | 257 | 10 | 7 | 130 | 11 | 7 | 890 | 13 9 |
| 9 | 1251 | 212 | 9 | 8 | 128 | 8 | 9 | 819 | 7 8 |
| 10 | 1252 | 231 | 13 | 8 | 162 | 14 | 9 | 801 | 2 " |

Guntoor Collectors Cutcheary
28th October 1843.

H. Stokes
Collector.

(Signed)

Ordered

1831 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Received from W. H. Arbuthnot Esqre. Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah in the Undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 20137-11-6 being the balance of Cash up to this date According to the Accounts of the Treasury.

| | Coins | | Number | Rate of conversion per 100 Pieces of Coins | Amount | | Total | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gold | Gold | Rupees | 11 | 1500 | 165 | | | | |
| | 1/3 Ditto | Ditto | 2 | 500 | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 175 | | |
| Silver | Company's Rupees of 1835 | | 1667 | 100 | 1667 | | | | |
| | Ditto half Dr. | | 171 | 50 | 85 | 8 | | | |
| | Ditto Quarter Dr. | | 88 | 25 | 22 | | | | |
| | Company's Rupees of 1840 | | 1129 | 100 | 1129 | | | | |
| | Dr. Quarter Dr. | | 22 | 25 | 5 | 8 | | | |
| | Double Annas | | 186 | 12 8 | 23 | 4 | | | |
| | Bombay Rupees | | 290 | 100 | 293 | | | | |
| | Dr. half Dr. | | 164 | 50 | 82 | | | | |
| | Ditto Quarter Dr. | | 69 | 25 | 17 | 4 | | | |
| | New Single Dr. | | 11821 | 100 | 11,821 | | | | |
| | Ditto half Dr. | | 2681 | 50 | 1340 | 8 | | | |
| | Ditto Quarter Dr. | | 3762 | 25 | 940 | 8 | | | |
| | Double Annas | | 5688 | 12 8 | 711 | | | | |
| | Single Dr. | | 435 | 6 4 | 27 | 3 | | | |
| | Half Star Pagoda Pieces | | 41 | 175 | 71 | 12 | 18161 | 11 | |
| | Quarter Dr. | Dr. | 372 | 87 8 | 325 | 8 | | | |
| | Allamany Rupees | | 87 | 100 | 87 | | | | |
| | Ditto half Dr. | | 4 | 50 | 2 | | | | |
| | Old Company's Dr. | | 46 | 100 | 46 | | | | |
| | New Silver Soolakee Dr. | | 825 | 94 12 8 | 782 | 6 | | | |
| | Ditto half Dr. | | 15 | 47 6 4 | 7 | 1 9 | | | |
| | Madras Fanams | | 180 | 7 12 6 | 14 | | | | |
| Copper | 6 Pice Coins | | 1085 | 3 2 | 33 | 14 6 | 1335 | 6 | 3 |
| | 4 Dr. Dr. | | 2015 | 2 1 4 | 41 | 15 8 | | | |
| | 3 Dr. Dr. | | 5554 | 1 9 | 86 | 12 6 | | | |
| | 2 Dr. Dr. | | 32 | 1 8 | | 5 4 | | | |
| | 1 Dr. Dr. | | 248 | 8 4 | 1 | 4 8 | | | |
| | Doodies | | 16520 3/4 | 1 13 2 | 301 | 2 7 | 164 | 4 | 8 |
| | 5 Cash Pieces | | 38 | 7 9 | 3 | | 301 | 5 | 7 |
| | Total Rupees | | | | | | 20137 | 11 | 6 |

Cuddapah 7th November 1843. E. C. Fs. (Signed) W. Ashton Pr. Collector.

1832 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Coll. of Cuddapah — Sir, I have the honor to report having this day taken charge of this District, and passed my receipt in duplicate to W. H. Arbuthnot Esqre. for the Balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury amounting to Rupees (20137-11-6) Twenty thousand, one hundred and thirty Seven, Annas Eleven, & pice Six.

24

Cuddapah, Princ. Coll. Office, 1st November 1843.

(Signed) W. Ashton Princ. Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

23-25

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 18th and entered in Con. 26th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Collector of Chingleput.

To the Collector of Chingleput — Sir, Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 18th Ultimo forwarding a Grant prepared in the name of H. H. the Nabob for a Garden situated at the foot of St. Thomas Mount.

26

2. For the purpose of obviating future disputes the Board consider it desirable that Grants of this nature should whenever practicable be accompanied with plans of the Ground for which the Grants are issued. I am therefore directed to return the Grant and request that you will be so good as to cause a plan of the Ground to be appended to it, and to transmit the grant and plan to this Office at your early convenience.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 6th November 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell Acting Sect.

Read the following Statement

Statement

1834 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be sent for publi-
-cation in the Fort St. George Gazette.

(27-28)

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Asst. Collr. of North arcot } Para 1. I have the honor to sub-
-mit for approval an application received from Mr. J. Turner dated 23d Instant, request-

(29)

-ing a License to distill Rum at Vencataghery
-cottah at Ranipet in this District toge-
-ther with Drafts of Licences proposed
to be issued to him.

In submitting this applic-
2- -cation I beg to bring to the notice of the
Board that if the Government Officer in
charge of a Rum distillery has to attend
other duties elsewhere it is impossible
that the declaration given by him under Sec-
-tion II Act No XXIII of 1841 can be other than
a mere form for if he only visits the distillery
occasionally he cannot know that he
Certifies truly in certifying that the Rum
is "wholly free from any admixture of
Spirits Manufactured from Rice Grain or
any.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

1833 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Ceylon in the Ship Le Hale of Chingleput from 31st October 1843.

| Quantity of Salt intended to be exported. | Quantity already in store ready for exportation. | Quantity remaining to be manufactured. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|
| For 1843. Bengal Rounds. (24) | 94,482 | 12,000 | The Salt may be landed for at the Custom House Treasury at Tuticorin at the Master or to the Pilot at Colombo at discretion as it may not be convenient to the authorities. |

(Signed) E. C. Lovell Secretary.
Collector.
Ordered

Chingleput District 5,92,000 26,160 38,3840

Collectors Cutcherry
Collectorate Cutcherry
Allecarney 2nd November 1843

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

1835 Fort St. George 6th. November 1843.

any other substance whatever. The Gov-
ernment Officer employed at Mess.rs
Morison and Co.s distillery at Tennery is a
Talook Surpdar whose other duties require him to
be constantly moving about his Division. It ap-
pears to me that a Government Officer should
be specially appointed to every Rum distillery.

North Arcot. - - -
Collector's Catcherry -
Chittoor 26th. October 1843

(Signed) W. Babington
Acting Collector

No. 1
Draft.

I. William Henry Babington Esquire Acting
Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot being
duly empowered by the Board of Revenue under
the provisions of section IX Regulation I of 1820
hereby authorize Mr. Thomas Turner to erect
a distillery at Vencatagherry Cottah in the Co.
Caddapanuttum Talook of the said District
for Manufacturing Rum by process of distillation
from the Sugar Cane, Date or Palm tree similar
to the European system, it being understood that such
Rum is to be exported by Sea.-

1836 Fort St. George 6th. November 1843.

Should Mr. Thomas Turner or
his agents in breach of the stipulation in this
License either directly or indirectly sell or
permit to be sold any quantity of Rum to any Euro-
pean Sailor or Soldier, or to any Native of India
or other person the said Mr. Thomas Turner or
shall on proof thereof be liable to the forfeiture
of his License.-

(Signed) W. Babington
Acting Collector

No. 2.
Draft.

I. William Henry Babington Esquire
Acting Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot
being duly empowered by the Board of Revenue,
under the Provisions of Section IX Regulation I of
1820 hereby authorize Mr. Thomas Turner to erect
a distillery at Raajpet in the Congoondy Samaram
of the said District for Manufacturing Rum by
process of distillation from the Sugar Cane,
Date or Palmtree similar to the European system,
it being understood that such Rum is to be
exported by Sea.-

Should Mr. Thomas Turner or
his agents in breach of the stipulation in
this License either directly or indirectly sell or permit
to be sold any quantity of Rum to any Eu-
ropean Sailor or Soldier, or to any Native of
India

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

India or other person the said Mr. Thomas Turner shall on proof thereof be liable to the forfeiture of his License -

(Signed) W. Babington
No. 3. Acting Coll.

To W. H. Babington Esquire
Acting Collector of North arcot

Sir

With reference to my letter dated the 22d May last, I beg you will have the goodness to grant me a License to distill Rum at Vencatagherry Cottah and Ranjpet in accordance with Regulation I of 1820 and for which I will feel grateful -

Arcot 23d October 1843

(Signed) T. Turner
late abkary Renter of North arcot

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To The Acting Collector of North arcot -

Sir,

To the Acting Collr of North arcot

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th Ultimo, and in reply to convey to you their sanction for granting to Mr. T. Turner license according to the form which you have submitted for establishing a distillery of Rum at Vencataghery Cottah and another at Ranjpet -

29-30

2. In reference to Para 2 of your letter I am further directed to inform you that the Board apprehend that it will not be practicable to appoint a special Government Officer to every Rum Distillery -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
6th November 1843 -

(Signed) E. C. Lowell
Acting Secretary

Read



Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Read the following letters -

To E. C. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From A. F. Onslow Esqre
Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

31

Adverting to my Para 1. report of the 1st August last on the subject of the Village Police of Trichinopoly, I beg to bring to the notice of the Board that Cavil Fees are collected from the weavers of Arrealore and that I consider the practice to bear very hardly upon that class

1839

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

class of persons who are in a state of great poverty.-

2. The fees collected on this account in fusly 1252 amounting to Rupees 273-7-9 averaging Rupees —5—9 per loom - I beg to propose that the demands may in future be remitted - If it be continued the weavers will in all probability go to a part of the Country in which they will not be liable to it -

Trichinopoly
Collectors Office,
26th October 1843 -

/ Signed / A. P. Cuslow.
Collector

Order thereon -

(31-32)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

To

1840

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

To E. C. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(33)

From A. P. Cuslow Esqre
Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit the usual Statements connected with the Extra Sources of Revenue for fusly 1252. A.D. 1842/43 -

Transmits the usual Statements connected with the Extra Sources of Revenue of the Trichinopoly district for fusly A.D. 1842/43 1252.

+ Nos 1 to 7 Printed Statements in Triplicate. -

Sources of Revenue

2. The Revenues on Betle for fusly 1252 were rented for Rupees 46,570—"—" being Rupees 630 more than the rent of the preceding fusly, and the result of an increase of Rupees 2,070 in the three talooks of Conaud, Arrealore, and Volcondapooram, and a decrease in all the others of Rupees 1440. The rents obtained were the result of fair competition. -

Fusly 1251 - 45,880—"—"
" - 1252 - 46,570—"—"
Increase - 630—"—"

Annual Management. Cloths. -

3. The amount of transit duty collected on cloths in Fusly 1252 was Rupees 30,387-7-5¼, being Rupees 731-13-3¾ less than the Collections of fusly 1251. In three talooks (as noted in the margin) there was an increase of Rupees 480-4-10¾ and in all the others a decrease of Rupees 1,212-2-2½ -

| | |
|---|---|
| Conaud | 256-5-11½ |
| Vitticatty | 85-13-8½ |
| Arrealore | 138-1-2¾ |
| | 480-4-10¾ |

To

It is probable that a more favorable result would have been obtained but for the great impediment to Manufacture and trade occasioned by the prevalence of Cholera during many Months of the year.—

Sundry Goods 4. The Collections of fusly 1251 were Rupees 30923-6-4½ those for the fusly under report Rupees 27530-7-10 exhibiting a net decrease in the latter of Rupees 3392-14-6½ The decrease occurred chiefly as exhibited in the Margin, in the duties on oils, Sandal-wood, and Cocoanuts, and is to be attributed to the generally unfavorable State of the season, both as regards produce and as the operations of trade were affected by it.—

| | |
|---|---|
| Actual Increase | 1229-9-11½ |
| Do. Decrease | 4622-8-6 |
| Net decrease | 3392-14-6½ |

| | |
|---|---|
| + Decrease in Oils of different description | 3575-12-3 |
| Do. in Sandalwood | 323-3-2 |
| Do. in Cocoanuts | 690-2-3¼ |
| | 4529-1-8¼ |

Tobacco. 5. This article is not liable to be affected so much by the nature of the season as by a variety of other causes, the most considerable demand for it being chiefly in large towns and amongst

| | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1251 | 36322-5-9½ |
| Fusly 1252 | 36725-3-10 |
| Increase | 402-14-½ |



amongst Europeans.— In the fusly under report it yielded a revenue of Rupees 402-14-½ above that of the preceding fusly.—

Ganjah 6. The Collections of Transit and Town duties on Ganjah amounted in fusly 1251 to Rupees 645-6-8 in the fusly under report only Rupees 589-14-10 were realized, exhibiting a net decrease of Rupees 55-7-10. In my annual report for fusly 1251, I suggested certain checks with a view to the suppression of illicit traffic in this article and the Commissariat Officer at the station has given me every assistance in his power to this end.— The result however is not favorable.—

7. In my letter of the 7th July last I brought to the notice of the Board a system of introducing Ganjah clandestinely from His Excellency the Zemindar's Country.— I have since obtained a Statement (No 7) of the quantity of Ganjah passed under Rowanahs issued at the Chowkies in the District of North Arcot for His Excellency's Country during three years from which it will be perceived, as exhibited in the subjoined Statement, that in the three years in question no less than &c.

Candies

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Candies 28 and Maunds 6 were imported from that District into Poodoocottah, the Town duty on which would have amounted to Rupees 9229-8-1 whereas the duty at 3 annas and 2 pice per maund payable to the Rajah is only Rupees 117-3-5 on upon the same quantity.-

| Fusly | Total number of Rowanas issued within each Fusly in North Arcot | Total quantity of Ganjah for which Rowanahs were taken. | Amount of Town duty due according to the Tariff list of the Trichinopoly district | | | Amount of duty leviable in the Zemindar's territories | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Candies Maunds | Rupees | a | p | Bundles | Rupees | a p |
| 1250 | 17 | 13 12 1/8 | 4285 | 15 | 6 | 108 3/4 | 54 | 7 " |
| 1251 | 7 | 7 16 1/4 | 2460 | 15 | " | 62 1/2 | 31 | 4 " |
| 1252 | 14 | 7 17 3/8 | 2482 | 9 | 6 | 63 | 31 | 8 5 |
| | 29 | 6 | 9229 | 8 | 1 | | 117 | 3 5 |

8th. It is impossible to suppose that this large quantity is consumed within the limits of the Zemindar's Country - and the transaction detailed in the correspondence above alluded to clearly establishes the fact that the Commissariat's licensed vender has been in the habit of clandestinely introducing and selling the drug.

9. It is obvious that the licensed vender will have no difficulty in evading the operation of any rules binding him to give a statement of his receipts and sales of



Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

of the drug, and so long as the Zemindar's Country affords a secure place of deposite it will be quite impracticable to prevent it from being brought in small quantities at a time into Trichinopoly - Nor indeed is so much advantage to be gained from making seizures of the smuggled article under the present system for so complete is the Monopoly of the licensed Vender that he can afford to decline purchasing the confiscated drug except at his own price.-

10. The only method of placing any check on illicit traffic in this Article seems to me to be that of placing it under the same restrictions as opium, and giving Rowanahs for none, or for a very small quantity to the Zemindar's Country, unless it should be thought fit, a measure which I do not feel myself at liberty to recommend, to enter into some Compact with His Excellency the Zemindar as Rajah. -

11. The Receipts of all the items comprized under the head of Sayer Revenue for Fusly 1252 amounted to Rupees 131743-3-2 being Rupees 3147-4-7 less than that of Fusly 1251 of the above sum as

Total Sayer Revenue Rented and Sumanie

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1251 - | 134890-7-9 | |
| Fusly 1252 - | 131743-3-2 | |
| Decrease | 3147-4-7 | |

1842/43 1841/42

Rupees

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Rupees 129138-15-11 were collected within the fusly and a further sum of Rupees 1951-7-11 has since been realized leaving a balance of Rupees 654-12-3 of which Rupees 296-2-9 are expected to be recovered shortly. the remaining sum of Rupees 358-9-6 is due by the Bette farmers of the Arrealore talook, and I fear the greater part of it is irrecoverable. - These renters deposited a sum equal to the amount of a months Kist in lieu of other security, and in consequence of the adversity of the season have been unable to fulfil their engagements. - In the course of a few weeks I will submit definitive proposals regarding the balance against them. -

Abkarry 12- The abkarry revenue of the whole district have generally been let in one farm. - In the fusly under report the Talooks were let seperately - and the result was an increase in the total amount of the rents of Rupees 855 above the rent of the preceding fusly, and the more punctual realization of the Kists Rupees 15143-15-1 having been collected within the fusly, leaving a balance of Rupees 816-11 of which Rupees 778-8-11 have since been

Fusly 1251 - 15105-0-1
Fusly 1252 - 15960-0-0
Increase 855-0-0



Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

been collected the balance at present outstanding being only Rupees 37-8-11 which will be recovered immediately. -

Sundry small Farms and Licenses. - 13. The settlement of this branch of Revenue for fusly 1252. A.D. 1842/43 amounted to Rupees 4619-3-9 being Rupees 1268-7-10 in excess of that of the preceding fusly. Of this increase Rupees 883-13-2 arise from the several sources of Revenue in the Poodoogay of the four met. Talooks, a result which I trust with reference to my letter of the 18th November 1842, and the sanction of Government for the maintenance of an establishment for the management of the Poodoogay, will be considered satisfactory - Rupees 384-10-8 arise from sundry small farms in the four dry talooks. -

Fusly 1251 - 3350-11-8
" 1252 - 4619-3-6
Increase 1268-7-10

Of the settlement for Fusly Rupees 14- 4619-3-6 Rupees 2,644-14-10 were realized within the fusly and Rupees 911-14-7 have since been collected, leaving an outstanding balance of Rupees 1062-6-1. This large balance is entirely attributable to the negligence of the Tahseildar of Conaud Govindoo Row, now under suspension who omitted to collect the several sums

Fort St George 6th November 1843.

sums as they became due. It is hoped that the greater portion will be realized by the end of December.

Moturpha 15. A small increase of Rupees 44-5-10 is exhibited under this head in favor of the Fusly under report and does not demand particular notice. Of the demand amounting to Rupees 6,042-1-1 Rupees 5,701-14-4 were collected within the fusly and Rupees 327-1-9 subsequently to its close, leaving a trifling balance of Rupees 13-1-4.

| | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1251 | 5,997-11-3 |
| Fusly 1252 | 6042-1-1 |
| Increase | 44-5-10 |

Stamp Revenue 16. Under this head there is a trifling increase of Rupees 13-8-6 in favor of the fusly under report. There are no balances on this account.

| | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1251 | 23040-4-4 |
| Fusly 1252 | 23053-12-6 |
| Increase | 13-8-6 |

17 The subjoined is a comparative Statement of the Sextra Revenue of Fusly 1252 and Fusly 1251.

| | Fusly 1251 | Fusly 1252 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| Sayer | 134890 7 9 | 131543 3 2 | " " " | 3347 4 7 |
| Abkarry | 15105 " " | 15960 " " | 855 " " | " " " |
| Sundry Small Farms & Licences | 3350 11 8 | 4619 3 6 | 1268 7 10 | " " " |
| Moturpha | 5997 11 3 | 6042 1 1 | 44 5 10 | " " " |
| Stamp Revenue | 23040 4 " | 23053 12 6 | 13 8 6 | " " " |
| Total Rupees | 182384 2 8 | 181418 4 3 | 2181 6 2 | 3147 4 7 |
| | | Deduct Increase Rupees | 2181 6 2 | |
| | | Net Decrease | 965 14 5 | |



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

18. Of the demand for Fusly 1252 the sum of Rupees 175,681-7-9 was collected within the Fusly and a further sum of Rupees 3969-1-2 has since been realized making the total Collections Rupees 179,650-8-11. The present balance is Rupees 1767-11-4 of which Rupees 1,409-1-10 is recoverable and Rupees 358-9-6 doubtful according to the details above given.

Old Balance 19. The old balance due at the beginning of Fusly 1252 was Rupees 5168-13-11. The sums realized on that account up to the close of the Fusly amount to Rupees 3985-14-7 a further sum of Rupees 318-9-2 has since been realized, leaving the balance at Rupees 864-5-4. A particular report on this balance will be submitted together with one now under preparation on the old balances of Land Revenue.

(Signed) A.D. Anslow
Collector

Trichinopoly Collrs Cutcherry 28th October 1843

Statement

16849

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

No. 1 Statement shewing the Amount of Sayer and Abkarry Revenue of Fusly 1252 Compared with that of Fusly 1251 and also the Collection and Balance in the District of Trichinopoly.—

| Taluks | Description | Amount Sayer of Fusly 1251 | Amount Sayer of Fusly 1252 | Increase | Decrease | Collection of Fusly 1252 to 11th July 1843 | Balance of Fusly 1252 on the 12th July 1843 | Amount since Collected | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| Conand | Cloth and Sundries | 12849 3 4½ | 12677 10 7½ | " " " | 189 8 9 | 12626 2 6½ | 51 8 1 | 51 8 1 | " " " |
| | Beetle | 23100 " " | 25050 " " | 1950 " " | " " " | 24863 " " | 187 " " | 187 " " | " " " |
| | Tobacco | 20631 11 6½ | 20858 1 3½ | 226 5 9 | " " " | 20858 1 3½ | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Ganjah | 653 14 8 | 585 " " | " " " | 28 14 8 | 565 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 57212 13 7 | 59170 11 " | 2176 5 9 | 218 7 5 | 58932 3 10 | 238 8 1 | 238 8 1 | " " " |
| Vattoocutty | Cloth and Sundries | 4332 8 " | 3705 1 4 | " " " | 627 6 8 | 3705 1 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Bette | 2700 " " | 2570 " " | " " " | 130 " " | 2570 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Tobacco | 1174 6 4 | 1197 13 4 | 23 7 " | " " " | 1197 13 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Ganjah and Bang | " " " | " 132 " | 132 " | " " " | " 132 " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 8206 14 4 | 7473 11 10 | 24 4 2 | 757 6 8 | 7473 11 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Mooseery | Cloth and Sundries | 3084 8 6½ | 2764 8 1½ | " " " | 320 " 4¾ | 2580 6 6½ | 184 1 7 | 184 1 7 | " " " |
| | Bette | 1930 " " | 1830 " " | " " " | 100 " " | 1830 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Tobacco | 7309 10¾ | 6941 7½ | " " " | 36 8 3¼ | 6667 3½ | 27 10 4 | 27 10 4 | " " " |
| | | 5745 2 5 | 5788 9 9 | " " " | 456 8 8 | 5076 13 10 | 211 11 11 | 211 11 11 | " " " |
| Coulgoody | Cloth and Sundries | 8491 3 " | 8641 14 1 | 150 11 1 | " " " | 8464 14 11 | 175 15 2 | 175 15 2 | " " " |
| | Bette | 7650 " " | 7010 " " | " " " | 640 " " | 6426 " " | 584 " " | 345 " " | 239 " " |
| | Tobacco | 1574 4 4 | 1566 5 2 | " " " | 107 15 2 | 1545 9 8 | 20 11 6 | 20 11 6 | " " " |
| | Ganjah | 31 8 " | 3 5 5 | " " " | 28 2 7 | 3 5 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 17846 15 4 | 17221 8 8 | 150 11 1 | 776 1 9 | 16440 14 " | 785 10 8 | 541 10 8 | 239 " " |
| Terriore | Cloth and Sundries | 9019 12 6 | 7054 2 3 | " " " | 1965 10 3 | 6815 2 " | 239 " 3 | 239 " 3 | " " " |
| | Bette | 4860 " " | 4510 " " | " " " | 350 " " | 4332 4 " | 177 12 " | 177 12 " | " " " |
| | Tobacco | 420 10 5 | 417 11 5 | " " " | 2 15 " | 407 9 7 | 10 1 10 | 10 1 10 | " " " |
| | | 14300 6 11 | 11981 13 8 | " " " | 2318 9 3 | 11554 15 7 | 426 14 1 | 426 14 1 | " " " |

10850

# Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

| Talooks | Description | Amount Barez of Fusly 1257 | Amount Barez of Fusly 1252 | Increase | Decrease | Collection of Fusly 1257 to 11th July 1843 | Balance of Fusly 1252 on 12th July 1843 | Amount Collected | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | W a ∘ | W a ∘ | W a ∘ | W a ∘ | W a ∘ | W a ∘ | W a ∘ | W a ∘ |
| Rodiarpolliem | Cloth and Sundries | 15541 4 13/4 | 15201 13 1 | " " " | 339 7 10 3/4 | 15059 10 11 | 142 2 2 | 142 2 2 | " " " |
| | Bette | 1950 " " | 1730 " " | " " " | 220 " " | 1471 5 3 | 258 10 9 | 201 8 " | 57 2 9 |
| | Tobacco | 767 4 1/4 | 947 9 9 | 180 5 7 3/4 | " " " | 939 5 5 | 8 4 4 | 8 4 4 | " " " |
| | | 18258 9 1 | 17879 6 10 | 180 5 7 3/4 | 559 7 10 3/4 | 17470 5 7 | 409 1 3 | 351 14 6 | 57 2 9 |
| Arealore | Cloth and Sundries | 4458 9 " | 4236 11 6 | " " " | 221 13 6 | 4213 " 7 | 23 10 11 | 23 10 11 | " " " |
| | Bette | 2340 " " | 2420 " " | 80 " " | " " " | 1981 9 7 | 438 6 5 | 79 12 11 | 358 9 6 |
| | Tobacco | 774 9 4 | 894 12 3 | 120 2 11 | " " " | 893 8 1 | 1 4 2 | 1 4 2 | " " " |
| | Gunjah and Bang | " " " | 123 " | 123 " " | " " " | 123 " " | | | |
| | | 7573 2 4 | 7552 4 " | 200 15 2 | 221 13 6 | 7088 14 6 | 463 5 6 | 104 12 " | 358 9 6 |
| Tolicondapooram | Cloth and Sundries | 4247 9 11 | 3636 2 4 | " " " | 611 7 7 | 3563 4 8 | 72 13 8 | 72 13 8 | " " " |
| | Bette | 1350 " " | 1390 " " | 40 " " | " " " | 1390 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Tobacco | 148 13 10 | 148 13 " | " " " | " " " | 10 14 5 10 | " 2 3 | " 3 3 | " " " |
| | Goodauk | " " " | 12 " | 12 " " | " " " | 12 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 5746 7 9 | 5775 6 40 | 1 2 | 611 8 5 | 5098 15 10 | 76 " 8 | 76 " 8 | " " " |
| Total | Cloth and Sundries | 62042 11 3/2 | 57917 15 4 | " " " | 4124 11 11/2 | 57028 11 6 | 889 3 10 | 889 3 10 | " " " |
| | Bette | 45880 " " | 46510 " " | 630 " " | " " " | 44864 2 10 | 1645 13 2 | 991 " 11 | 654 12 3 |
| | Tobacco | 26322 5 9 1/2 | 26725 3 10 | 402 14 1/2 | " " " | 26654 " 8 | 71 3 2 | 71 3 2 | " " " |
| | Gunjah | 645 6 8 | 589 14 10 | " " " | 55 7 10 | 589 14 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Goodauk | " " " | 12 " | 12 " " | " " " | 12 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 134690 7 9 | 131743 3 2 | 1032 15 2 1/2 | 4180 3 9 1/2 | 129136 15 " | 2606 4 2 | 1951 7 11 | 654 12 3 |
| Abkarry | | 15105 " " | 15960 " " | 855 " " | " " " | 15143 15 1 | 816 " 11 | 778 11 11 | 37 8 " |

Trichinopoly
28th October 1843.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) A. V. Onslow
Collector

Statement

1851

## Fort St. George

No. 2 Statement shewing the Demand of Sundry with that of Fusly 1251 and also the Collection and

6th November 1843.

Small Farms and Licenses for Fusly 1252 Compared Balance in the District of Trichinopoly.-

| Talooks | Settlement of Fusly 1251 | Settlement of Fusly 1252 | Increase | Decrease | Collection of Fusly 1252 to 11th July 1843 | Balance on the 12th July 1843 | Amount Since Collected | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rad | Rad | Rad | Rad | Rad | Rad | Rad | Rad |
| Conaud | 1003 15 11 | 1548 7 8 | 544 7 9 | | 258 11 2 | 1289 12 6 | 430 8 10 | 859 3 8 |
| Vitticutty | 102 11 6 | 303 13 9 | 201 2 3 | | 242 15 5 | 60 14 4 | 43 9 6 | 17 4 16 |
| Moosery | 295 6 9 | 554 7 11 | 259 1 2 | | 396 2 9 | 158 5 2 | 92 15 1 | 65 6 2 |
| Caulgoody | 403 10 " | 182 12 " | " " " | 120 14 " | 116 9 7 | 166 2 5 | 51 13 1 | 114 5 4 |
| Torriore | 841 9 6 | 1252 1 6 | 410 8 " | | 968 5 11 | 282 11 7 | 283 11 7 | " " |
| Codiorpollium | 40 8 " | 17 10 8 | " " | 22 13 4 | 2 4 " | 15 6 8 | 9 4 7 | 6 2 1 |
| Arrealore | 79 6 " | 87 6 " | 8 " | | 87 6 " | " " " | " " " | " " |
| Volicondaporum | 583 8 " | 572 8 " | " " | 11 " " | 572 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " |
| Total | 3350 11 8 | 4619 3 6 | 1423 3 2 | 154 11 4 | 2644 14 10 | 1974 4 8 | 911 14 7 | 1062 6 1 |
| Deduct decrease | 154 11 4 | | | | | | | |
| Net Increase | 1268 7 10 | | | | | | | |

Trichinopoly
28th October 1843

E. E. Jno.

(Signed) A. F. Onslow
Collector

Statement

16852

Fort St George 6th November 1843

No 3 Statement shewing the Demand of Mota-
Fusly 1251 also the Collection and Balance

pha for Fusly 1252 Compared with that of
in the District of Trichinopoly

| Talooks | Settlement of Fusly 1251 | Settlement of Fusly 1252 | Increase | Decrease | Collections of Fusly 1252 to 11th July 1843 | Balance on the 12th July 1843 | Amount since Balance Collected | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| Conaud | 547 3 " | 547 4 4 | 30 11 4 | | | | | |
| Vittecutty | 26 2 5 | 32 10 5 | 6 8 " | | 435 12 1 | 112 2 3 | 112 2 3 | " " " |
| Mooseery | 24 9 2 | 24 9 2 | " " " | | 32 10 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Laulgoody | 43 5 4 | 43 5 4 | " " " | | 24 9 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Tooriore | 1498 8 " | 1514 10 " | 16 2 " | | 43 5 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Codiarpolliam | 2387 " " | 2374 12 " | " " " | | 1373 4 " | 141 6 " | 138 12 " | 2 10 " |
| Arrealoore | 897 12 " | 905 10 " | 7 14 " | | 2301 14 " | 72 14 " | 69 5 " | 5 9 " |
| Volicundapoorum | 603 3 4 | 598 9 10 | " " " | | 903 14 " | 1 12 " | 1 12 " | " " " |
| | | | | | 586 9 4 | 12 " 6 | 7 2 6 | 4 14 " |
| Total | 5997 13 | 6042 1 1 | 61 3 4 | | 5716 13 6 | 5701 14 4 | 340 2 9 | 327 1 9 |
| Deduct | | | | 16 13 6 | | | | 13 1 " |
| Net Increase | | | 44 5 10 | | | | | |

Trichinopoly
28th October 1843

E E Fox

(Signed) A. F. Onslow
Collector

Statement

1853

Fort St. Geo.

No. 4 Statement Shewing the Demand and Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for

| | Fusly 1251 Settlement | Collection to 11th July 1842 | Balance of fusly 1251 on the 12th July 1842 | Fusly Settlement of fusly 1252 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | C R a p | C R a p | C R a p | C R a p |
| Salt | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Sayer | 134890 4 9 | 133420 4 3 | 1470 3 6 | 131543 3 2 |
| Sea Custom | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Abkarry | 15705 " " | 13333 2 " | 1771 14 " | 15960 " " |
| Sundry Small farms and Licenses | 3350 11 8 | 2326 9 4 | 1024 2 4 | 4619 3 6 |
| Stamp | 23040 4 " | 23040 4 " | " " " | 23053 12 6 |
| Moturpha | 5997 11 3 | 5779 14 4 | 217 12 11 | 6042 1 1 |
| | 182384 2 8 | 177900 1 11 | 4484 " 9 | 181418 4 3 |

Trichinopoly
28th October 1843.

E. E Jr.

6th November 1843

Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Fusly 1252. Compared with those of Fusly 1251

| 1252 Collection on account of Fusly 1252 to 11th July 1843 | 1252 Balance of Current revenue on the 12th July 1843 | Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251 Increase | Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251 Decrease | Comparison of Total Collection of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251 Increase | Comparison of Total Collection of Fusly 1252 with that of Fusly 1251 Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| C R a p | C R a p | C R a p | C R a p | C R a p | C R a p |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 129136 15 " | 2606 4 2 | " " " | 3147 4 7 | " " " | 4283 5 3 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15143 15 1 | 816 " 11 | 855 " " | " " " | 1810 13 1 | " " " |
| 2644 14 10 | 1974 4 8 | 1268 7 10 | " " " | 3185 6 " | " " " |
| 23053 12 6 | " " " | 1386 " " | " " " | 1386 " " | " " " |
| 5701 14 4 | 340 2 9 | 44 5 10 | " " " | " " " | 78 " " |
| 175681 7 9 | 5736 12 6 | 2181 6 2 | 3147 4 7 | 2142 11 1 | 4361 5 3 |

Deduct Increase 2181 6 2 ------ 2142 11 1

Net Decrease 965 14 5 ------ 2218 10 2

Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Statement

# Fort St. George

## Statement showing the Demand Collection and Balance of Arrears in Fusly 1252 in the District of Trichinopoly

6th November 1843.

of Extra Revenue due on account of Former Fusles

| Collection on account of Fusly 1249 | Collection on account of Fusly 1249 | Balance | Arrears on account of Fusly 1250 | Collection on account of Fusly 1250 | Balance | Arrears on account of Fusly 1251 | Balance | Collection on account of Fusly 1251 | Total arrears in the commencement of Fusly 1252 | Collection up to 11th July 1843 | Balance | Amount since Collected | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 305 8 1 | 47 7 9 | 258 - 4 | | | | 1,470 3 6 | | | 2,015 11 7 | 1,657 11 3 | 358 - 4 | 1 8 - | 356 8 4 |
| | | | | | | 1,771 14 - | | | 1,472 4 - | 299 10 - | 1,771 14 - | 1,472 4 - | 299 10 - | 299 10 - |
| 3 421 11 | | 421 11 | 130 9 1 | 12 8 - | 118 1 1 | 1,024 2 4 | | | 627 15 5 | 396 2 11 | 1,163 6 7 | 640 7 5 | 522 15 2 | 17 7 2 | 505 8 - |
| | | | | | | 217 12 11 | | | 215 7 11 | 2 5 - | 217 12 11 | 215 7 11 | 2 5 - | | 2 5 - |
| 3 309 11 - | 47 7 9 | 262 3 3 | 130 9 1 | 12 8 - | 118 1 1 | 4,484 - 9 | | | 3,785 14 10 | 698 1 11 | 5,168 13 1 | 3,985 14 7 | 1,182 14 6 | 318 9 2 | 864 5 4 |

Trichinopoly 28th October 1843. E. E. L. K.

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Statement

1853

Fort St. Geo

No. 4 Statement

Revenue

Salt

Sayer

Sea Cus

Abkarry

Sundry

and Li

Stamp

Moturp

Trichinopoly
28th October 1843.

E. E. Fo.

1854

No. 5 Statement shewing the Demand

| | Total demands on account of old arrears at the close of Fasly 1251 | arrears on account of Fasly 1243 | Collection on account of Fasly 1243. | Balance | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| Tobacco | | | | | |
| Land Customs | 2,015 11 7 | 240 - - | 140 - - | 100 - - | 3,684 |
| Sea Customs | | | | | |
| Abkarry | 1,771 14 - | | | | |
| Sundry Small farms and Licenses | 1,163 6 7 | 4 8 3 | - - - | 4 8 3 | 658 - |
| Moturpha | 217 12 11 | | | | 25 - |
| Total | 5,168 13 1 | 244 8 3 | 140 - - | 104 8 3 | 3 764 54 |

Trichino

16855

# Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

No. 6. Statement shewing the Collections of Inland Duty on sundry Goods in Fasly 1252 Compared with that of Fasly 1251 in the Trichinopoly District.

| Description of Goods | Perz of Fasly 1251 | Perz of Fasly 1252 | Comparison between Faslies 1251 and 1252. Increase | Decrease | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | |
| Oil | 11,606 11 3¾ | 8090 15 2¾ | - - - | 3515 12 3 | |
| Ghee | 2,020 2 3¾ | 2,074 2 3 | 50 15 11¾ | - - - | |
| Nuts | 2 12 7½ | 1 11 3½ | - - - | 1 1 4 | |
| Tamarind | 963 9 8½ | 640 6 6½ | - - - | 323 3 2 | |
| Sugar | 2,019 3 2 | 2,258 1 4¾ | 238 14 2¾ | - - - | |
| Jaggery | 5,899 13 4¾ | 5,895 6 9¼ | - - - | 4 6 7 | |
| Cocoanut | 2647 15 7 | 1,957 13 3¾ | - - - | 690 2 3¾ | |
| Dried Cocoanuts | 3 15 5 | 6 7 3 | 2 7 10 | - - - | |
| Iron | 9 6½ | 1 - 9½ | - - - | 8 5 9 | |
| Dying roots | 1,488 9 5¾ | 1,505 7 10¼ | 16 14 4½ | - - - | |
| Indigo | 778 6 1¼ | 999 13 11½ | 221 7 10¼ | - - - | |
| Salt Petre | 1048 5 9½ | 1,345 3 3¼ | 296 13 5¾ | - - - | |
| Gunnies | 3 12 1 | 2 4 8 | - - - | 1 7 5 | |
| Cumbly | 632 15 3 | 560 13 9¾ | - - - | 72 1 5¼ | |
| Jungle wood & Ca | 756 8 5¾ | 1,040 15 3¼ | 284 6 10 | - - - | |
| Silk thread & Ca | 4 4 2 | - - - | - - - | 4 4 2 | |
| Pepper | - 14 8 | - 7 2 | - - - | - 7 6 | |
| Cardamum | - 2 10 | - - - | - - - | - 2 10 | |
| Cummin | 1 2 9½ | - 1 - | - - - | 1 1 9½ | |
| Sandal wood | 20 9 8½ | 28 - 5 | 7 6 8½ | - - - | |
| Vathangey Wood | - 3 8 | 2 9 5 | 2 5 9 | - - - | |
| Coosoombah flowers | 76 13 3¾ | 111 3 1¼ | 34 5 8½ | - - - | |
| Leather | 937 - 1¼ | 1,007 7 3¾ | 70 7 2½ | - - - | |
| | 30,923 6 4½ | 27,530 7 10 | 1,229 9 11½ | 4,622 8 6 | Net Decrease 3392-14-6½ |

Trichinopoly 28th October, 1843. E.E. J.N. Signed A. P. Onslow

Collector

Statement

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Duty on Sundry

Chinsapoly District.

Remarks.

16856 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

No. 7. Statement shewing the Number of Rowannahs issued for Gunjah and Bang from North Arcot to Tondiman's Poodoocottah during the last 3 years.-

| Pavilion | Name of Chowkie | Rowannahs. Date | No. | Value | Name of Merchants | From whence imported | On what Convey=ance | Name of Goods | Quantity of Goods | Value | Duty | Period allowed for reaching the destina=tion. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | | | | Rs a p | | | Bullocks Carts | | Maunds Seers | Rs a p | Rs a p | |
| | | 1840 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | August 2d | 1769 | 8 | Pareatomby Chetty | Tangel | 1 | Gunjah | 9 15 | 146 1 6 | 7 4 11 | 40 Days |
| | | Sept 8th 11th | 1846 | 4 | Talayada Pandaram | Coopum | 1 | Do | 2 20 | 38 15 4 | 1 15 2 | 30 Do |
| | | Oct 18th | 251 | 2 | Sklaur Saib | Tangel | 3 | Gunjah | 37 20 | 584 6 | 29 3 6 | 40 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Bang | 5 | 23 5 4 | 1 2 8 | |
| | | | 835 | 1 | Chinnappa Chetty | Tangel | 1 | Gunjah | 15 | 233 12 | 11 11 | 40 Do |
| | | Nov 1st 15th | 836 | 1 | Ramasamy Chetty | Do | 2 | Do | 10 | 155 13 4 | 7 12 8 | 30 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Gunjah | 42 20 | 662 4 8 | 33 1 10 | 40 Do |
| Vellore | | July 26th | 224 | 1 | Mooneyaperah | Vapamputtoo | 1 | Gunjah | 12 20 | 194 12 8 | 9 11 10 | 35 Days |

1857 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1842 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| August | 31st | 1197 | 1 | 1 | Carpoorsan Cantcanon | 1 | 1 | Ganjah | | 19 | 296 | 14 | 14 | 12 4 35 days |
| Sept. | 1st | 2298 | 8 | 1 | Mummoosan Do | 4 | | Do | | 6 | 93 | 8 | 6 | 10 10 35 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Bang | | 5 | 23 | 5 | 8 | 1 2 8 |
| Oct. | 10th | 1199 | 1 | 1 | Chennapyn Chetty | Tangel | 1 | Ganjah | | 17 | 20 | 272 | 11 | 4 13 10 2 35 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Bang | | 2 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 8 9 4 |
| | 23rd | 3388 | 8 | 1 | Caraya Chetty Padavadoo | | 1 | Ganjah | | 9 | 20 | 148 | 8 | 7 6 5 35 Do |
| Nov. | 13th | 3395 | 8 | 1 | Payreyana Chetty Corpam | | 1 | Do | | 6 | 35 | 107 | 2 | 2 5 5 9 35 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Bang | | 3 | 30 | 17 | 8 | 1 4 |
| Dec. | 5th | 3400 | 8 | 1 | Canaem Saib | Do | 1 | Ganjah | | 5 | 77 | 148 | | 3 14 4 30 Do |
| 1843 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feby. | 16th | 521 | 2 | 1 | Veerasamy Chetty | Tangel | 2 | Do | | 35 | 545 | 68 | | 27 4 4 30 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Bang | | 5 | 23 | 54 | | 1 2 8 |
| | 25th | 4852 | 8 | 1 | Lundapa Chetty | Corpam | 1 | Ganjah | | 3 | 30 | 58 | 7 | 2 14 9 25 Do |
| | | | | | | | | Bang | | 2 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 8 9 4 |
| March | 8th | 7157 | 4 | 1 | Beberam Saib | Tangel | 1 | Ganjah | | 2 | 20 | 38 | 15 | 4 1 15 2 25 Do |
| | 19th | 4862 | 8 | 1 | Coollapa Chetty | Corpam | 2 | Ganjah | | 9 | 15 | 146 | 1 | 6 7 4 11 25 Do |
| April | 9th | 7194 | 4 | 1 | Ponnen | Tangel | 2 | Do | | 2 | 20 | 38 | 15 | 4 1 15 2 25 Do |
| May | 16th | 644 | 1 | 1 | Shunmooga pillay | Corpam | 1 | Do | | 12 | 20 | 194 | 12 | 8 9 11 10 25 Do |
| | 15th | 817 | 4 | 1 | Coollapa Chetty | Do | 1 | Do | | 9 | 15 | 146 | 1 | 6 7 4 11 20 Do |
| June | 25th | 647 | 1 | 1 | Mahomed Saib | Do | 1 | Do | | 18 | 30 | 292 | 3 | 14 9 9 25 Do |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 254 3 13 6 12 7 3 3 |

Vera Jean 252

Cont'd 21

1860 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

To 5 Years Rental Release — Rs. as delivered herewith.

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor 29th September 1843

E. E. Jr.
Signed/ H. H. Babington
Acting Collr.

A true Copy.
Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

16858

**STATEMENT** shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusles 1257. 1258. and 1259.

| | For the last three Years. | | | | | | | | | | Comparison of the last two Fesliis. | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1257 | | Fusly 1258 | | Fusly 1259 | | | | | | Increase | Decrease | |
| | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | |
| **SALT.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 13372 | 11 | " | 15010 | 4 | " | 16616 | 3 | 1 | 1605 | 15 | 1 | |
| Charges for Purchase or Manufacture | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Total Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Net Revenue | 13372 | 11 | " | 15010 | 4 | " | 16616 | 3 | 1 | 1605 | 15 | 1 | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| **SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 3330 | 6 | 11 | 3148 | 6 | 5 | 3985 | 6 | 3 | 136 | 15 | 10 | |
| Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Net Revenue | 3330 | 6 | 11 | 3148 | 6 | 5 | 3985 | 6 | 3 | 136 | 15 | 10 | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| (Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abmls. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sayr Collections | 9336 | 11 | 6 | 9304 | 4 | " | 23053 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 6 | |
| Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Net Revenue | 1586 | 6 | 11 | 768 | 2 | 9 | 1017 | 9 | 8 | 249 | 6 | 11 | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Gross Collections | 21760 | 4 | 7 | 22272 | 1 | 3 | 22036 | 2 | 10 | " | " | " | 235 | 14 | 5 |
| Charges | 6 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| **VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 6084 | 12 | 2 | 5898 | 11 | 8 | 3917 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 7 | | | |
| Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Net Revenue | 6084 | 12 | 2 | 5898 | 11 | 8 | 3917 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 7 | | | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| **GRAND TOTAL.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 17978 | 3 | 3 | 18310 | 8 | 7 | 17966 | 6 | 4 | " | " | " | 374 | 1 | 4 |
| Charges | 1037 | 5 | 8 | 920 | 3 | 4 | 981 | 11 | 1 | 341 | 7 | 9 | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 16941 | 13 | 7 | 17389 | 4 | 4 | 16985 | 11 | 3 | " | " | " | 1082 | 9 | 1 |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 5 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Charges after deductions for Do. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

| | For the last three Years. | | | | | | | | | | Comparison of the last two Fesliis. | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1257 | | Fusly 1258 | | Fusly 1259 | | | | | | Increase | Decrease | |
| | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | Rups. | A. | P. | |
| **LAND CUSTOMS.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | 13366 | 6 | 8 | 13834 | 13 | 7 | 13079 | 10 | 3 | " | " | " | 2754 | 3 | 4 |
| Charges | 8805 | 14 | 9 | 8702 | " | 7 | 8794 | 1 | 5 | 92 | " | 10 | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 4561 | 7 | 11 | 5131 | 13 | " | 4285 | 8 | 10 | " | " | " | 5608 | 4 | 2 |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| **SEA CUSTOMS.** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Collections | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Charges of Collection | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| " Commission | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| " Drawback | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Per Centage of the Charges | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

7. Regl. for March 1844 - No. 6.

1859 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Order thereon.

(33-34)

Ordered to be sent again to Circulation.

Read again letters from the Princi-
=pal Collector of Madura dated 26th and 30th
September and entered in Consultation 19th
ultimo -

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in reply -

To The Principal Collector of
Madura.

To the Pal Collr of Madura -

Directing him to furnish a correct statement of the balance due by the Cunnivady Zemindary at the close of Fasly 1252 and requesting him to submit the usual notice for the sale of the 6 Estates which failed to take advantage of certain terms offered to them -

(35)

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 26th and 30th September last regarding the release of the Cunnivady Zemindary. -

2. The Proprietor having complied with the conditions laid down by Government and tendered payment of the amount of arrears due by the Estate before the close of Fasly 1252, the Accts. 1842-43 Board see no reason, as far as the Zemindar is concerned, for withhold=
=ing its restoration. They desire therefore to be furnished with a correct statement of the balance due by the property at the close of the Fasly to enable them to solicit the confirmation of Government

1860 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

to its formal release - It is observed from your
13 June 1842 letter of the 13th December 1841 that the de=
=mand then amounted to 23,579-15-8 inclu=
=sive of Interest which Government declined
to forego, but in the Sub Collector's letter of the 27th
September last forming enclosure of yours of
30th September it is stated not to exceed 8000.

With reference to your letters
Pal Collr 3.
of 1843
noted in the margin the Board have solicited
31st Octr - 1 in Cons
9 Octr - 2 in Cons
of 1843. the orders of Government regarding the final
disposal of the Estates of Vadacurra, Codepit, Naicken=
=oor and Valayapatty. Mean time it seems
Govt Sd March 1842 Cons 21 Pr proper that you should take steps
for the immediate sale of the six
remaining Estates which failed
to take advantage of the terms
offered to them and the Board de=
request that you will submit
the usual notices without delay.

4. In your letter of the
19th Sur 1841 19th November 1841 you made men=
=tion of a charge against the Codepe=
=naickmoor Estate for certain Ghats Cowlgars since
1235 Fasly 1825/26 Accts amounting annually
to

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Rs. to 333-3-7 for which Government directed that the Zemindar should receive credit in the final adjustment of the demands against him, and that on the restoration of the property an increase should be made to the regular Police force to provide for the duties hitherto performed by these peons.—

You will be pleased to state whether this item has been included in the Charges of Statement No. 3 of your letter of 23d August last and also whether you consider any addition to the regular Police to be necessary in the event of the release of the Zemindary.

Rev. Board Office,
Fort St. George,
6th November 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read again letters from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 25th September and 1st October and entered in Consultation 19 Ultimo.

Ordered that the abovementioned letters and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

To



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

(36)

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

To the Chief Secy to Govt

Submitting with reference to the orders of Govt on a correspondence relative to the claim of the Poligar of Cunnewaddy for the restoration of his Estate letters from the Pl. Coll. of Madura stating that of the 17 mootahs assumed for arrears of revenue 4 included in the Govt. Land without the sanction of the usual legal forms the proprietors of 7 resigned their claims at once on condition of receiving a subsistence of 10 per Cent of the net revenue, 4 have claimed the restoration of their properties within the stipulated time & that the Board have directed that the remaining six be immediately brought to sale.

Para 1. Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before Government the accompanying letters from the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin.

From as Pl. Coll. 14th in Cons 29th June 1843.
From Pl. Coll. 25th Sept. in 1st Oct.
" 22d augt in Con 31st
" 1st Oct. in Cons 19th
+
To Govt 27th January 1842.
Ext. Mins. of Cons 8th in Cons 21st March.
To Govt 28th april.
Ext. Mins of Cons 18th in 23d May.

2. It will be in the recollection of the most noble the Governor in Council that on the advancement of a claim for the restitution of his Estate by the Poligar of Cunnewaddy in the Madura District which had been assumed for arrears of revenue with many others in 1226 / 1816-17 Fusly & Subsequent / AD years and included in the Government lands without the sanction of the usual legal forms, Government were pleased to direct that the proprietors should be allowed time till the end of 1252 / 1842/43 AD Fusly to pay up their arrears and

State A in letter from Pl. Coll. 1st Jany 1842 in Con 20th D

and receive back their Estates failing which they were to be put up to sale.

3. Of the 17 Mootahs in this predicament the Proprietors of 7 resigned their claims at once on condition of receiving a subsistence of 10 per cent of the net revenue; four have claimed the restoration of their properties within the stipulated time and the Board have directed the Principal Collector to take immediate steps for bringing the remaining six to Sale.

4. The 4 Estates for which payment of arrears has been tendered are Cunnevaddy, Vadacurrai, Oodepenaikanoor and Valayapatty. Mr. Blackburne has been requested to submit a statement of the exact sum due by the first at the close of the past Fusly year on receipt of which the release will be submitted for the final confirmation of Government. The circumstances connected with the two next Mootahs, Vadacurrai and Oodepanaikanoor) will





will be found in the correspondence noted in the margin. From this it will be seen that the balance with interest against the former, as finally adjusted is 20.734 - 11. 5. of this the proprietor objects to the item of charges of management which he alledges to be both unnecessary and excessive and to an item of 498 - 5. 10. on account of repairs to the irrigation works connected with certain Devastanum lands. The former charge it is seen is calculated on the average of the charges incurred for the Talook of Seroomangalum in which the Mootah was included and falls short of 5 per cent - It does not appear to the Board that the Proprietor could have carried on the affairs of this Estate at a less cost, and as the Collectors Commission has been excluded from the calculation and the charge itself has always been usual, they see no cause to recommend that he should be relieved from it - That on account of Maramut to Devastanum lands is not stated with

From Pr. Coll. 14th Jun. 29th June 1843 - To Sub Collector in charge 6th July 1843.
From Pr. Collector 23d. Augt 1843 & 25 Sept. 1843.
From Pr. Coll. 25th Sept. 1843. Enclos. 1.
From A. S. Coll. 14th June 1843 No. 4
charges 11294-9 amount of 12 years settlement 23,385-10-2 Per cent 4.8.27.

with sufficient clearness to enable them to give an opinion, but it may be observed that if the endowments in question are held of the Zemindar, it is competent for him to recover from them the portion expended for their benefit, but if otherwise the recovery should be made by the Principal Collector on account of Government.

From Pl. Coll.r 23d Augt. 1843 & rel 3.

5. The Demand against Oodeepanaikanoor at the close of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ Fusly was 1611. 11. 10 – The Proprietor in like manner objects to the charge of Sibbendy which exceeds the sum now outstanding; and he therefore claims exemption from any further demand –

To Govt 27th Jany 1842 para 5. Extts Mr Lows 8th March in Cons 21st para 5.

The Board entertain the same opinion as in the case of Vadacurrai – The annual police charge for certain Ghatee Peons retained at the Expence of the Estate since 1235 Fusly and for which the Proprietor is entitled to credit at the rate of Rupees 333. 3. 7 per annum does not appear to be included in the preceding



proceeding adjustment and the attention of the Principal Collector has been directed to this point –

From Pl. Coll.r 1st in Cons 19th Oct.r 1843.

6. The case of Valayapatty differs from that of the others. It was assumed for an arrear of Rs 15,819. 14. 4 which has since augmented to 93,263. 12. 6 principal and interest but exclusive of Sibbendy charges. The proprietor being quite unable to meet so large a demand has preferred a request to have the Estate restored to him at a reduced Peishcush on the grounds of its undue increase by Mr. Hurdis and to be excused the whole amount of arrears. Mr. Blackburne does not consider the permanent assessment to be exorbitant and attributes the decline of the Estate to the Epidemic fever which greatly reduced the population in $\frac{\text{Fusly}}{\text{AD}}$ $\frac{1219-}{1809/10}$ $\frac{121-}{1811/12}$ It is seen from the marginal Statement given at para 3 of the Principal Collector's letter that both the revenue and the population have

Petn.r 1157 of 1843 dated 28th June 1843. in triplicate.

have been gradually improving for series of years that the property is now quite able to discharge the public demands against it and that to lower these to such a sum as would satisfy the Petitioner, would reduce it far below what Mr. Blackburne considers its real value.

Under these circumstances the Board do not feel justified in recommending an exception in favor of the Petitioner from the general terms granted to the other Proprietors.

7. It is presumed that those Proprietors which rejected the office of Malikhanah on surrender of the Estates under the orders of Government of 8th March 1842 will not be entitled to any consideration after their Estates are brought to sale.

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
6th November 1843

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

J.S.



Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 5th and entered in Consultation 23d Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Pc. Collr. of Madura — In Let. 23d Oct. 1843

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th Ultimo reporting on Petition No. 125 of 1843.

2 From this it appears that the Petitioner Varadappah Naick in the year 1836 gave a proposal for 250 Cullies waste land binding himself to pay the Assessment on the full quantity whether cultivated or not rather than permit it to be taken by other parties who would have held it on the same terms. He has therefore hitherto been properly charged with the land tax on the whole extent so taken, but as he has now held the land for more than six years, and it appears that although the whole were thrown up, no persons would take more than 130 Cullies, the Board authorize the Petitioner to give up the remaining 120 Cullies, which have proved to be unfit for cultivation, from the commencement

37

=ment of the present fusly.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
6th November 1843

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
A.g. Secretary

Ordered that the following in=
=dorsement be made on Petition No. 125 of 1843
"Petitioner is referred to the Principal
Collector of Madura to whom orders have been
sent upon his case".

Read the following letters

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pl. Coll.r of Madura

Sir,

(38)

In answer to your letter of the 16th Instant, received this day, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the Sum of Rupees 375/ not 400/ levied as a fine from Palaneyapah Maadelier late Choukeydar of Madura was credited in the public accounts for February last.

In begging sanction for repay=
=ment of Rupees 400 instead of Rupees 375, I am sorry to say I inadvertently entered the sum adjudged in the same Statement under Column 8 against Sinnayee Rao

Returned under Regulation IX of 1824 Dated 23d Feby 1843.

for



for that entered in Column 7 against Palaney=
=apah Moodaliar; and I am sorry to find the
same error has crept into my decision of the
26th September.

Madura
25th October 1843

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Pl.r Collector.

Order thereon.

(38-39)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pl. Coll.r of Madura

Sir,

(40)

I have the honor to request you will furnish me with copies of the two State=
=ments Nos 1 & 2, dated the 15th February 1842, and alluded to in the 5th para of my letter of that date which they accompanied. It would be easy to retranslate them from the Mara=
=tah but for the correctness of the record I am under the necessity to beg for the Copies.

2 The Nair Sheristadar is of opinion they have been mislaid by me but I do not think such to be the case, yet it is possible from the number of papers I had to turn my attention to on resuming charge of the Collectorate. Be that as it may it was ascertained they were necessary on the 13th September.

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

September and no one has since been able to discover them.—

Madura — 30th October 1843. } / Signed / J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Pc. Collr. of Madura — } Sir,

In Cons. 6th Novr. (40-41) I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th October last No. 131 and to forward, as therein requested; copies of the two Statements No. 142 referred to in the 5th Para of your letter dated 15th February 1842.—

In Cons. 10th March 1842

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
6th November 1843. } / Signed / E. C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore; dated 26th September and entered in Consultation 19th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Principal Collector of Coimbatore.

(42)

To the Pc. Collr. of Coimbatore — } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

In Cons. 19th October

Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th September with its enclosures, and in reply to communicate to you their confirmation of the sale by Auction of the land therein referred to, Viz.t 2 Cawnies 14 Grounds 2058 square feet to Captain Gledstones, on whom you will be pleased to call, for the payment of purchase Money still due his application for a free grant being considered inadmissable.—

2

In reference to the concluding para of your letter I am further directed to apprize you that a manual of the instructions recently received from the Honorable the Court of Directors on all points connected with the occupation of land on the Neilgherries is in course of preparation and will be transmitted at an early period for your guidance. In the meantime the Board desire that no parties be permitted to take up land on the Hills without previous application to your Office, and that on such application being made, the land so applied for, if its occupation be not open to objection, be put up to public competition after due notice and disposed of to the highest bidder.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
6th November 1843 } / Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter to

7. Regt. N.I. for March 1844 - No 6.



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pn. Collector of Coimbatore, Sir,

Adverting to your Circular letter of the 20th July 1843, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, the detention, by the Tahsildar of the Nedyherrie of

43

(15) Fifteen Maunds of Sugar imported from the Mysore Country for sale at Cotacamond, and to request that Sanction may be granted for the confiscation and sale of the same in accordance with Section I of Act No. XV of 1839.

2 The Owner of the article having been unable to attend before the Thasildar, his Vakeel

was



Fort St. George 6th November 1843

was examined, who pleads utter ignorance of the place of its manufacture - a translated Copy of his deposition is submitted; - but there is no doubt whatever that Mysore is the place of its Origin.

Coimbatore
Pn. Coll.rs Office
25th October, 1843

/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Prin.t Collector.

Translated Copy of deposition given before the Tahsildar of Cotacamond by Alagherry son of Vencatasa Chetty, a resident at Cotacamond, authorized Vakeel of Esoof Sait bearing date 17th October 1843.—

2 Where was the Sugar forwarded to Moosa Sait from Mysore and referred to in your previous

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

previous deposition manufactured?

A I do not know where it was manufactured nor from whom it was purchased at Mysore - Moova sait, who is since dead, sent it for sale at this place.

Q What is the quantity of the Sugar as now weighed and ascertained?

A Two Packages weigh at the rate of 4¾ Maunds each, and one five — Maunds and a half in all 15 Maunds.

Q Are you in possession of a Pass or Rawannah for the article?

A No. It is not usual in the Mysore Province to give a Rawannah or Pass - The Packages are only chopped and the duty is levied - Thus do I deliver my deposition.

Attesting Witnesses
Mootteah Nauck -
Ramasawmy -

Signed / Alagherry Nacken
G - Heerojee Row
Tahsildar.

(True Translation)
Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Pr. Collector.



Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

To

E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Collr. of Coimbatore.

(44)

Sir,

Para 1. Adverting to your Circular letter of the 20th July 1843, I have the honor to report the detention, by the Tahsildar of the Nuelgherries, (12) Twelve Maunds of Sugar imported from the Mysore Country, together with Copy of correspondence between myself and Mr. M. D. Cockburn bearing upon this subject.

2. With reference to Section I of Act No. XX of 1839, I request you will be good enough to inform me whether Sugar imported for domestic purposes, is to be considered as falling within the scope of that enactment, and if so that I may be favored with instructions for the confiscation and sale of the article.

3. As a doubt exists on this poi
I trust, however, that Mr. Cockburn may be

Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

the benefit of it, if possible.

Coimbatore
Principal Coll.r Office,
25th October, 1843.

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pt. Collector.

To,

M. D. Cockburn Esqre.

Sir,

The Tahsildar of Potacammand reports to me the detention of two Bullock loads of Sugar said to contain 12 Maunds and forwarded to your address from Mysore without being covered by a Ravanmah. These loads are sealed, and as it is desirable previous to proceeding any further in the matter, that I should be informed of the place of its manufacture, I request you will be good enough to furnish me with information on this point at your earliest convenience.

Coimbatore
Princ.l Coll.r Office
18th October 1843.

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

To

The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 18th Instant



Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Instant, I have the honor to communicate the following Extract of a letter received from Dr. Magrath dated the 5th Instant. Immediately on the receipt of your letter, I sent to Seringapatam for two Bullock loads of Sugar for you and the account is as follows.-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 12 Maunds of Sugar at 3½ Rs. per M. | 42 | — |
| Bags Vea | 1 | — |
| Advanced hire of two Bullocks and to provide for paying duty on the Road | 7 | — |
| Total | 50 | — |

"The Bullock Drivers will deliver you receipts for any duty they may pay on the Road."

The above is all the information I have on the subject and as from this it seems clear that there was no wish to evade the payment of any duty, that might have been demanded, I therefore request that you will be so good as to release the sugar that has been seized at Catacammund.

It may be as well to mention that I have had frequently sugar despatched from Mysore in the same manner as the preser

present, and that none of it has been
covered by a Rowannah which I believe are
not in use in Mysore, and the Sayer Renters
merely give a receipt for the amount of duty
paid. Sometimes these receipts differ in
number - thus I have received at one time
two at another five.

Signed / M. D. Cockburn

Kotagherry
23d October 1843. } / True Copies /

/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter
be submitted with the following address -

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government -

(43-45)

To the chief Secy to Government.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to transmit for the orders of the Most
Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying
25th October in Board
6th November 1843.
25th October in Cons.
6th November 1843. letters from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore
reporting the seizure of two consignments of
Mysore Sugar - one on its way to Ootacamund
for



Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

for sale, the other imported by Mr.
M. D. Cockburn for domestic purposes -

In both cases the article
2.
would appear to have been intro-
duced into the Coimbatore -
District by its importers in igno-
rance of the recent opinion
of the advocate General under
which Mysore Sugar is to be treated
as Foreign produce - and under
these circumstances I am instructed to
submit

1881 Fort St. George 6th November 1843.

Submit for the consideration of the Government whether the extreme penalties of Section I Act XV of 1839 are to be enforced, or whether the sugar may be delivered to its owners, on such security as shall insure its being taken back into the Mysore Country.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
6th November 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

The

1882 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.-

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore - - - - - - - - -
(46) Statement of Law charges incurred for fasly 1252.-

From the 2nd Collector of Coimbatore - - - - - -
(47) Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for fasly 1252.-

Order on the two above-mentioned Statements
(46-48) Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.-

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

From the 1st Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry
(49) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of fasly 1253.-

From the Superintendent and Treasurer of the Government Bank - - - - -
(50) Statement of Contingent and Extra charges incurred during the month of August last.-

Resolution on the two above-mentioned Statements - -
(49-51) Resolved that the necessary orders be passed thereon.

From

1883 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

From the Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry

Read Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the month of September last:-

(52)

Order thereon -

Ordered to be lodged in the Office -

(52-53)

Resolved that the following letter be despatched -

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th July last No. 729, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the accompanying 25 Copies of the 2d Edition of the work Entitled "the Law relating to India and the East India Company" -

To the Chief Secretary to Government

(54)

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 6th Nov. 1843

(Signed) E. C. Smith
Acting Secretary.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched -

To the Collector of Madras

Sir

With reference to the accompanying Petition from Narrana Moodelly praying

To the Collr of Madras

(55)

1884 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

giving cover to me with your Endorsement thereon dated the 29th ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe, that some of the Cloths therein adverted to appear to have been of an inferior quality to the muster that the appraisers appear to have been culpably careless of their duty either in not observing that the Cloths were of different qualities, or if they did observe this, in not having examined the whole before sending them to be chopped

16885 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

suspected and that if the minister was equal to the average valuation of the cloths the single piece alluded to should not be considered liable to confiscation and under these circumstances the Board are not prepared to sanction the confiscation of the cloth, without further and more detailed information from you on the subject.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
6th November 1843

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
acting Secretary.

Received

16886 Fort St. George 6th November 1843

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

From the Principal Collector of Nellore. — Reporting that no petitions have been referred for report-

(56)

either by letter or by Endorsement during the month of September last.-

From the Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry. — Reporting that the

(57)

Return of Village officers suspended or dismissed for the Month of September last is not sent, there being no entry to make.-

From the acting Coll. of Tanjore. — Reporting that there

(58)

were

1688?

168

Fort St. George 6th November 1843

were no seizures of smuggled goods for
the month of September last.

From the Collector
of Tinnevelly ---

Informing that no person

59

was suspended or disinfected under the pro-
visions of Section VII. Regulation VII of
1831 during the month of September last.

From the Coll.r of
Tinnevelly ———

Informing that no Revenue

60

defaulters were confined in Jail during the
Quarter ending 30th September last.

" Form 3.

" Returns.

" Watch Chest

Compd. J. Gibson