

11362. Fort St. George 2nd August 1843.

Diary

Sent the following letter

2nd August-

To

The Collector of
Chingleput-

Sir,

To the Collector of Chingleput----

Referring to the Board's Endorsement given on Petition No. 7283 of 1843 from certain Moturpha Ryots in the Villages of Sydaput and Karny of your District I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you for your information and guidance transcript of a further Endorsement given to another Petition from the same parties- as the demand for Moturpha has been made for the first time from the Petitioners in the past fusly and then not until half the Fusly had expired, it seems reasonable to the Board that some relaxation should be made and accordingly authorize you to enforce the Collections of half the tax only for 1252, it being distinctly understood that Petitioners will be liable to the full tax from 1253, except in

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843

in case of absolute necessity to be determined by yourself, the Board are averse to resort to the sale of any property for the recovery of arrears of Revenue —

Endorsement on No. 1319 of 1843 —

"Petitioners are directed to wait upon the Collector of Chingleput to whom instructions have been sent on the subject of this Petition"

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2nd August 1843 ——

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

At a Meeting — Present —

Thursday, 3d August, 1843.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member;
A. Robertson Esqre Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved —

Read the following Extracts

Extract

Fort St. George 3d August 1843

(2)

From the Chief Secy to Government — Sanctioning the continuance of certain Poligar Pensions in the District of Cuddapah to Trimul Naidoo and Timmapah Naidoo.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th of July 1843 —

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue —

Submitting a letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah reporting the death of the Poligar Pensioners Condama Naidoo and Atchamah, and recommending that the Pension of Condama Naidoo may be renewed in the name of his nephew Timmapah Naidoo, and the pension of Atchamah in the Name of Trimal Naidoo the nephew and nearest heir of the original grantee —

[Here enter 18th May 1843 No. 243]

As the allowances in the †cases submitted for the orders of Government appear from Mr. Ross's Register ex X dated the 21st of February 1814 to have been granted in lieu of resumed Offices and privileges originally enjoyed by the Poligars of Kedyem Ramapully of Chitwail and Jellalahmunda in the Cuddapah District and are therein described to be hereditary "during good behaviour to lineal descendants only" the Most Noble the Governor in

† Nos 58 and 107 of Mr. Ross's Register furnished with this letter to the Board of Revenue dated 21 Feby 1814

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

In Council resolves, with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin and under the circumstances stated by the acting Principal Collector, to sanction their continuance to the under mentioned individuals—

From the Board of Revenue
Dd 26th April 183 No. 208.
Do. Dd 14th May — " — " 459.
From Dd 29th Nov. — " — 600.
To Dd 7th Dec. — " — 1077.

No. 38 Rupees (70)— Seventy per annum to Tirmal Naidoo from the 30th of November 1842, the Date of decease of Atchamah, the widow of his Uncle the original Grantee—

No. 107 Rupees (210) Two hundred and forty per annum to Timmapah Naidoo from the 16th of September 1841, the Date of decease of Condama Naidoo, his Uncle—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy.

Resolution thereon.

(2-3)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 29th April last— No. 37.

Extract—

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 29th July 1843.—

From the Chief Secy. to Government— (4)

Read the following letter from the acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated 17th May 1843 a letter from the acting Collector of North Arcot (Here enter 17th July 1843 No. 352) furnishing further information on the subject of the Ponjee Nattum in that District and stating that the Board would submit that it is not desirable to disturb the existing arrangement for its management—

Resolved that the following Endorsement be made on the Petition of Kistna Dofs No. 456 of 1843—

"Under the explanation afforded by the acting Collector of North Arcot the Government will not disturb the existing arrangement"—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy.

Order thereon.

(4-5)

Order that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated for the information of the acting Collector of

of North Arcot with reference to his letter of the 10th July 1843 No. 133—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th July 1843—

From the Chief Secy. to Government—

Read the following

(6)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department.—

Under date the 4th July 1843—

The following Paper is ordered to be recorded—

From the Collector of South Arcot thro the Superintendent of Pensions—

Forwarding Copies of Correspondence with the Paymaster and requesting to obtain sanction for the employment of a Writer and for the payment of the retrenchment—

(Here enter 31st May 1843 No. 248.

With Military Auditor Generals Report 2/20th June 1843—D.—

Under the explanation afforded by C. H. Hallett Esqr. Collector of South Arcot, The Most Noble the Governor in Council is pleased to relieve him from the Retrenchment of Established allowance drawn by him, agreeably with the existing published Regulations, for the payment of Military Pensioners residing in his District, but with reference to the light in which

of this duty connected with the payment of a few Pensioners, it is resolved, that a reference be made thro the Revenue Department, to the Collector of South Arcot, as well as of North Arcot, where it appears a similar charge is incurred, in order to ascertain whether an arrangement cannot be made for the performance of the duty in question, by means of their general Establishments so as to admit of the discontinuance of the separate allowance for paying the Pensions.—

(Signed) S. W. Steel Lt. Col.

Sec. to Government

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue for their information and report.—

(Signed) G. D. Drury—

Chief Secy.—

Resolution thereon,

(6-7)

Resolved that the foregoing order be communicated to the acting Collectors of South and North Arcot, and that they be requested to reported whether an arrangement cannot be made for the payment of the Military Pensioners by means of their general Establishments so as to admit of the discontinuance of the separate allowance on that account

11369 Fort St. George 3d August 1843

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 29th July 1843.

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

8

Submitting certain communications from the Acting Principal Collector of Madura reporting the acquittal of Shashagerry Row the Head Sheristadar of that District on the 3d Charge of bribery and corruption and requesting to be informed whether any objection exists to his allowances from the date of his suspension being now disbursed to him—

(Here enter 2d June 1843 No 279)

Shashagherry Row the Head Sheristadar of the District of Madura having been acquitted of the charges of bribery and corruption preferred against him the Most Noble the Governor in Council sees no objection to the payment of that individuals full allowances from the date of his suspension to the date on which his removal from the Madura District was directed—

(A true Extract)

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue—

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary—

Resolution thereon—

8-9

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultations be furnished for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to the letter noted in the Margen—

From Actg Pl Collr dated 1st June 1843—

Mr Blackburne will be pleased to pay Shashagherry Row his salary as Head Sheristadar to the 18th Ultimo.—

Read

11370 Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Read the following Resolution—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th July 1843—

(From Board 13th July)

From the Chief Secretary to Government—

10

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charge reported in the foregoing proceedings Amounting to Rupees 27—9—7 (twenty Seven, Annas Nine and Pice Seven—

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To the Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir,

To the Collr of Rajahmundry—

10-11

From Govt 29th July 1843 In Cons 3d Augt No 814—

(In Cons. 13th July 1843.)

With reference to your letter dated 7th July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the charge of Rupees 27-9-7 being the amount of the pay of 3 Samuttars who were retained on duty from 1st to 23d June 1842 Surplus to the revised Moyen or Jabitah of your District—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 3d August 1843—

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Secy

Read the following

Resolutions—

Extract

11371 Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 20th July)

From the Chief Secretary to Government - The Most Noble the Governor in Council approves and sanctions the arrangements made by the Principal Collector of Bellary in consequence of the cholera having broken out in that District-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy.

(12)

Resolution thereon- Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government be communicated for the information of the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 11th July 1843-

(12-13)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843
(From Board 13th July.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government - The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement of Rupees (147) One hundred and forty seven reported in the foregoing Proceedings-

(14)

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

(14-15)

To the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah

To the Ag. Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated

11372 Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

in Cons. 13th July 1843-

dated 8th July 1843 No. 51 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant sanction for the sum of Rupees 147 disbursed for the carriage of Cuttings of the Mauritius Sayer Cane and Philippine Mulberry from the Horticultural society's Gardens at Madras to your District-

From Govt. 29th July 1843 in Cons. 3 Augt. No. 819-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 3d Augt. 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg. Secy.

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 26th June)

From the Chief Secretary to Government - Under the circumstances stated in Para 4 of the Collectors letter to the Board dated the 14th of January last in consideration of the loss sustained by Chedambara Moorteya Pillay in the Management of the Abkarry Farm of the Chingleput District during the first 6 Months of Fusly 1251 the Most Noble the Governor in Council is pleased to remit the balance still due by him on that account, amounting to Rupees (3492-5-5-) Three thousand four hundred and Ninety two, annas five and Pice five-

(16)

Ordered

1137/3 Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing Resolution be communicated to the late Renter, through the Board of Revenue with reference to his Petition to Government dated the 5th of April last-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

No. 988 of 1843.

Resolution thereon,

(16-17)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 21st June last- Mr. Freese will be pleased to make the communication directed in Para 2, to Chedumbara Moortegy Pillay-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 6th July)

From the Chief Secretary to Government- As the conduct of the Moonsiff of Semmungary will come under the cognizance of the Judicial authorities in the investigation of the charges which may be preferred against the persons who have been apprehended as being concerned in the recent robbery committed at the salt Cutcherry at that station, the Most Noble the Governor in Council will leave the consideration of the Meritorious service of this Moonsiff to their

(18)

disposal

disposal-

(Signed) G. D. Drury-
Chief Secretary

Resolution thereon,

(18-19)

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 3d July 1843 No. 44-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 17th July 1843)

(20)

From the Chief Secy to Government- The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants sanction for writing off the sum of Rupees 23-8- being the Value of Stamp Papers expended by the Collector of North arcot in the institution of the suit referred to in the foregoing Proceedings-

Rupees Twenty three as. Eight-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

(23-21)

To the Actg Collr in the Nth Dn of arcot- in lieu 17th July 1843

To The Actg Collector of
North Arcot-

Sir

With reference to your letter dated 5th July last No. 128 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing Resolution be communicated to the late Renter, through the Board of Revenue
No. 988 of 1843. with reference to his Petition to Government dated the 5th of April last-

(Signed) G.D. Drury-
Chief Secretary

Resolution thereon,

Ordered that a copy of the
(16-17) foregoing Resolution of Government be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter Dated 21st June last- Mr. Freese will be pleased to make the communication directed in Para 2, to Chedumbara Mootteya Pillay-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 6th July)

From the Chief Secretary to Government- As the conduct of
(18) the Moonsiff of Semmungary will come under the cognizance of the Judicial authorities in the investigation of the charges which may be preferred against the persons who have been apprehended as being concerned in the recent Highway robbery committed at the Salt Cutcherry at that station, the Most Noble the Governor in Council will leave the consideration of the Meritorious Services of this Moonsiff to their disposal

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

disposal-

(Signed) G.D. Drury-
Chief Secretary

Resolution thereon,

Resolved that a Copy
(18-19) of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 3d July 1843 No. 44-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 17th July 1843)

(20) From the Chief Secy. to Government- The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants sanction for
Rupees Twenty three and annas Eight- writing off the sum of Rupees 23-8- being the Value of Stampt Papers expended by the Collector of North Arcot in the institution of the suit referred to in the foregoing Proceedings-

(Signed) G.D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in consequence-

(23-21) To the Acting Collector of
To the Actg. Collector of North Arcot-
North Arcot-

Sir

With reference to your letter
dated 8th July last No. 128 I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble
the Governor in Council has been pleased
to

11375

From Govt 29th July 1843 in Cons. 3d Augt No 822

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

to grant sanction for writing off the sum of Rupees 23-8-- being the Value of stampt papers expended in the institution of the original suit No. 42 of 1810 on the file of the Centre Provincial Court

Revenue Board Office --

Fort St. George 3d Augt 1843- } (Signed) E. B. Lovell
Actg. Secretary

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843-
(From Board 13th July)

From the Chief Secretary to Government- The Most Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the Principal Collector of Canara to defend in the first instance at the public expence, the suit No. 350 of 1842 instituted against him and others in the Zillah Court by one Kristdasa Bhutt to obtain possession of an island in the Mahbokul river but will detore before him, whether the Costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

22

Resolution thereon.

22-23

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Principal Collector of Canara, for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter dated 3rd July 1843—.

Extract

11376

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th July 1843—
(From Board 3rd July)

From the Chief Secy. to Government- The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charge of Rupees (315--) Three hundred and fifteen on account of rewards paid for the apprehension of convicts in the Canara District in fasly 1251-

24

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing orders of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 19th June last No. 60-

Resolution thereon.

24-25

Read the following letter-

To E. B. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From P. B. Smollett Esqre Acting Governor &c. &c. Agent at Vizagapatam } Para 1

The Zemendar of Marungly Juggernauth Raze will attain his majority on the 20th August, I propose therefore, with the concurrence of the Board of Revenue, to put him in the direct management of his Estate on that date-

26

I

11377

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

2. I have only to add that this Estate exhibited a surplus of about ₹ 10,000 Rupees at the close of the year after payment of all expenses, the whole of which sum remains in deposit - the expenses for the Zemindar's marriage, applied for and sanctioned under date the 3d. April last, not having been incurred. This amount will of course be paid to the Zemindar upon his application after coming of age -

3. The details of the past years settlement will be laid before the Board more at length upon a future occasion -

Vizagapatam Coll.s
Cutcherry 25th July 1843 } / Signed / P. B. Smollett
Ag. Governor's Agent -

Resolution thereon. The Court of Wards authorize the acting Collector of Vizagapatam to make over the Mahrungby Zemindary to the Proprietor Jugganauth Rauze on the expiration of his minority on the 20th Instant -

(26-27)

Read the following Statement -

Account -

113/8

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Dr. Salt account Current in the District of Rajahmundry from 1st April to 30th June 1843 of Fasly 1252 & 53

28

	Mds	Mds
Quantity of Salt remaining on hand on the 1st July 1842	778 188 "	Total sales from 1st July 1842 to 31st March 1843 — For Home Consumption — 912 131 8 " Inland Consumption — 17 30 " " Export by sea for Calcutta — 1620 233 4 " To the French Chief of Yanam — 13 " " — 2563 265 "
Quantity of Salt delivered by the Manufacturers to the end of last quarter	5120 19 "	Total sales in this quarter — For Home Consumption — 347 80 " " Inland Consumption — 1 350 " " To the French Chief of Yanam — 2 " " — 351 30 " — 2914 245 "
Ditto Ditto in this quarter	60 " " — 5180 19 "	Less by measurment vide to the end of last quarter — 90 264 Ditto Ditto in this quarter — 30 248 "
Surplus gained by Measurement vide to the end of last quarter	36 283 "	Ditto occurred by the freshes of the Godavery in the Month of September 1842 and written off to the end of last quarter as per Sanction of Government under date the 2d January 1843 — 4 163 4 — 131 274 8 3246 169 8
Ditto Ditto in this quarter	" 245 8 — 37 78 8	Balance of Salt on hand this day viz. Pettahpoor Pans stored — 2839 100 8 Mogultoor Pans stored — 110 166 4 2949 267 "
Total	5996 86 8	Total — 5996 86 8
or Bengal Maunds	7195 37 "	or Bengal Maunds — 7195 37 "

No 79 — Fort St. George — 3d August 1843 —

Dr. Money Account Current of Salt from 1st April to 30th June 1843 — of Fasly 1252 — Cr.

		C Rs	a	p
To Balance on the 1st July 1842				
Total sales from 1st July 1842 to 31st March 1843 —	For Home Consumption — 98794 9 "			
	" Inland Consumption — 1863 12 "			
	" Export by sea for Calcutta — 93382 3 "	194570	8	3
Total sales in this quarter —	For Home Consumption — 36455 " "			
	" Inland Consumption — 196 14 "	36657	14	"
Amount received on account of charges Manufacture for Salt sent to the French Chief of Yanam to the end of last quarter		228	163	6 3
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter		78	"	"
		12	"	"
Amount received from the sale of stolen salt on account of fasties 1250 & 1251 and brought to account to the end of last quarter		90	"	"
Dr. — Dr. — Do on account of fasties 1251 & 1252 and brought to account in this quarter		302	10	7
		63	13	7
Amount recovered in salt from the Manufacturers (on account of the advances made to them) to the end of last quarter		366	8	2
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter		9350	"	"
		390	"	"
Amount received back on account of the sales of old Monatties under the Pattapore Pans to the end of last quarter		1740	"	"
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter		1	1	"
		1	26	
Amount recovered on account of Prime Cost and proportion of Charges (on the wastage of 8 — 11 — 8 mds found in the quantity of salt purchased by Copparty Palliah for exportation to Calcutta) and Credited in this quarter as per sanction of Government under date 11th April 1843		2	3	6
Amount credited in this quarter on account of shares of Peons due to certain persons who seized the salt (unclaimed)		53	3	"
	Total	2	1	2
		238417	6	1

Zillah Rajahmundry
Cocanada 30th June 1843 — C. E. Flt

Sign'd G. A. Smith
Collector

28.22
Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded. —

J.S.B.

	C Rs	a	p
By Servants Wages to the end of last quarter	5401	8	8
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter	1833	"	"
By Charges Extraordinary including Sundrywares to the end of last quarter	8213	9	7
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter	2034	9	7
By Amount of Prime Cost for the quantity of Salt delivered by the Manufacturers to the end of last quarter	28162	9	11
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter	390	"	"
By Advances made for the Manufacture of Salt in this quarter	28552	9	11
	212	8	"
Net Revenue transferred to the Revenue Treasury to the end of last quarter	46247	13	9
Ditto — Ditto in this quarter	45464	7	8
	32105	"	8
	191569	8	4
Total	238417	6	1

NB.
Remarks issued — 694 " "
Do Remaining — 869 " "
Certificates issued — 252 " "
Do Remaining — 252 " "
Permits issued — " " "
Do Remaining — " " "

Read

11380- Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Read the following letter-

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

30

Sir,

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Reporting on the balances outstanding against the fourteen Estates which were brought to sale in fusly 1251 / 1841/42 & submitting a Statement shewing the several adjustments which were necessary subsequent to the transmission of the Sale Statements as directed in the Board's letter of 11th May last.

Para 1. I have the honor with reference to the Orders contained in your letter of the 11th May last, to submit my report on the balances outstanding against the fourteen Estates entered in the Margin, which were brought to sale in fusly 1251 / 1841/42.

Peddapoor Zemindary
1. Peddapoor Estate-
2. Veeravaram Do.
3. Linguimpurro Do.
4. Bickavole- Do.
5. Valoogoolunda Do.
6. Colunkah Do.
7. Lundecoppah Do.
8. Ingeram —— Do.
9. Cauzah - Do.
10. Cottapilly - Do.
11. Moomnedevaram Do.
12. Degoomurro —— Do.
13. Tunalunkah - Do.
14. Goommaloor —— Do.

2. The accompanying Statement shews the several adjustments which were necessary subsequent to the submission of the Sale Statements which accompanied my letters of 23d September and 20th November 1841—

3. The Sale of the Peddapoor Zemindary with the exception of the Veeravaram Estate having been subsequently reversed by Government and the Zemindary ordered to be kept under the management of the Collector, it appears to me that that portion of

Vide Bd's Proceedings of 18th April 1842.

of the balance amounting to Rupees 303,805-9-5-
must still be retained in the Public
accounts—

	Cks	A.	P.
Principal	255997	10	3
Interest	47807	15	2
	303805	9	5

4. The Estates of Ingeram and Cauzah realized more than the Public Demand, the Surplus, therefore, has been made over to the respective Original Proprietors—

5. Before the Estate of Cottapilly was sold, several parties had appeared as claimants and several Proceedings had been held in the Courts, by which Authorities it was ruled, that nothing could be done without the filing of a regular suit, which was not done up to the time of the sale. Since Vutchavoy Sempaty Rauze has applied to the Provincial Court to be allowed to sue as a Pauper, which claim has not been finally disposed of, it appears to me therefore that this balance ought still to be retained in the Public accounts—

	Cks	A.	P.
Principal	26038	10	5
Interest	383	4	4
Total	26421	14	9

6- The balances outstanding against the following four Estates purchased by Government—

1. Moommedevaram
2. Degoormurroo
3. Tanalunkah
4. Goommaloor

amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 16724-9-4- I beg leave to

	Cks	A.	P.
Moommedevaram Interest	1703	15	4
Degoormurroo-			
Principal	6726	14	7
Interest	1492	15	8
Tanalunkah-			
Interest	2719	14	3
Goommaloor-			
Interest	77	5	2
	16724	9	4

to recommend should be written off in my accounts;

The Proprietor of Moommedevaram was a Woman Cotaghery Boochiah, and could not at all manage that Estate- The Proprietor of Degoormurroo was Nabob Noorunnissah Begum, wife of the Nabob of Masulipatam, and she tried a long time to bear up against the continued losses she sustained, but she was obliged at last to abandon all hopes of saving it- The Tanalunkah Estate owed its original embarrassments, a good deal to the improvidence of the Proprietor Duntoolory Juggannadharauze, and after it became the joint property of himself and Paulapoo Venkapah, the losses sustained from the continued adversity of the seasons, were so great, that they could not meet them- The loss sustained by the Goommaloor Village, was equally attributable to the badness of the Seasons, the Proprietor Seekunty Atchootarogdoo, having been a person who to himself attended to, and was fully equal to the management of the Village—

Gillah Rajahmundry.
Collectors Office
Encanded 20th July 1843-

Signed G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement

11383-

Fort St George

No. 1.

Statement shewing the Balances outstanding against the 14 Sale, the amount levied both by the Sale of those Estates

No.	Names of the Estates.	No. of Estates.	Balances outstanding as for Sale September and 26th November 1841 Board. Principal to the end of fusly 1250.	Interest	Charges attachment.
			1	2	3 4 5
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1	Peddapoor Zemindary containing				
	1 Peddapoor Estate				
	2 Veeravaram Do				
	3 Lingumpurroo Do				
	4 Blakavole Do				
	5 Colunkah Do				
	6 Tundeecoopah Do				
	7 Velgoobundah Do	7	316857 13 3	47807 15 2	" " "
2.	Goomaloor	1	40148 6 7	661 10 3	20 8 "
3.	Caujah	1	3796 14 6	651 6 11	201 1 "
4.	Talalunkah	1	17557 14 5	3410 8 11	100 " "
5.	Moormedevaram	1	12449 10 "	2758 5 8	73 2 1
6.	Degoomurroo	1	7259 " 8	1492 15 8	313 2 "
7.	Ogeram	1	41457 7 11	693 1 6	131 12 8
	As for Sale Statement of 23d September 1841	13	366471 3 4	57475 15 6	779 99
8.	Cottapilly as for Do of 20th/15th November 1841	1	31964 13 10	383 1 15	" " "
	Total	14	398436 1 2	57859 3 10	779 99

Collah Rajahmundry, Collr's

3rd August 1843-

Estates sold in the Public auction in July 1251 for arears of revenue, the charges of attachment brought to account subsequent to the and by the collection during their attachment, and the balance outstanding against them in the Zillah of Rajahmundry-

statements of 23rd submitted to the		Add charges attachment not included in the said Sale Statements		Deduct Collection			Present Balance outstanding at the end of July 1250-			Surplus amount of sale belonging to the Original Proprietors	Remarks-
Total-			Total Balances	Amount of Sale-	Amount of Collection during the attachment of the Estates not included in the sale State-ments-	Total Collection-	Principal	Interest	Total		
6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs	A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
364665	12 5		364665 12 5	54120 - -	6740 3 -	60860 3 -	25599 10 3	47807 15 2	363805 9 5		The amount of sale of Veeravaram Estate only is entered in this Statement as the sale of other 6 Estates of Peddapoor Zemindary was annulled by Govern-ment, and the 6 Estates kept under management-
4730	8 10	29 9 7	4760 2 5	4600 - -	82 13 3	4682 13 3	- - -	77 5 2	77 5 2		The surplus amounts of Sale of Cuyah and Ingeram Estates have been
4649	6 10	31 6 6	4680 12 4	4650 - -	160 9 6	4810 9 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	129 13 2	refunded to their original Proprietors
21068	7 4	275 6 3	21343 13 7	19800 - -	1120 7 -	20120 7 -	- - -	1223 6 7	1223 6 7	- - -	The Balances outstanding against
15271	1 9	29 9 7	15300 11 4	13000 - -	596 12 -	13596 12 -	- - -	1703 15 4	1703 15 4	- - -	Gommalore, Tanalunkah, Moorredevaram and Dygoormurroo are recommend-
9005	2 4	27 10 11	9092 13 3	1000 - -	372 15 -	137215 -	6226 14 7	1492 15 8	7719 14 3	- - -	ed to be written off in the accounts-
5276	6 1	14 10 3	5291 - 4	16510 - -	141 15 3	10651 15 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	5360 14 11	
424776	12 7	408 5 1	425135 1 8	106880 - -	9215 11 -	116095 11 -	26222 4 8 10	52305 9 11	314530 2 9	54901 2 1	The Balances against this Estate may be retained in the accounts until the final deci-sion of the Court regarding the Pauper Suit filed by Vutchavoy Serapatasauge-
32348	2 2	294 7 5	32642 9 7	5000 - -	1220 10 10	6220 10 10	2603 8 10 5	383 4 4	26421 14 9	- - -	
457674	14 9	702 12 6	457777 11 3	111880 - -	10436 6 10	122316 5 10	28826 3 3	52688 14 3	346952 1 6	54901 2 1	

Office, Cacanada 20th July, 1840- E. E. Fox (Signed) G. A. Smith Collr.-

11384 Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.—

To

(36-31) The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Govt. } Para 1.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 11th May last and the order of Government thereon, I am directed to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting on the balances outstanding against the fourteen Estates which were sold for arrears of revenue, in fasly 1257 / 1841/42.—

The

Submitting a letter from the Collr. of Rajahmundry reporting on the balances outstanding against certain Estates sold for arrears of revenue in fasly 1257, and recommending that the balance against Mooramedevaram, Digoomurro, Gunalurkah & Gommalour amounting in the aggregate to Pr. 10724-9-4. may be ordered to be written off

+ 20th July in Con. 3d Augt. 1843.

11385 Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Estates comprising the Zemindary of Pedapoor

1. Pissapoor Estate.
2. Veeravaram Do.
3. Lingumpoor Do.
4. Bickavole Do.
5. Valiyoburrah Do.
6. Colunkah Do.
7. Cundecoopah Do.

Coll. in Con. 4th Jany. 1842 in Cons 17th Do.
11th April Do. in Cons 18th Do.

* Pr. 303805-9-5.

and it would appear necessary that the balance against it should, for the present, be retained in the accounts.—

The Collector states that it is also necessary to retain the balance against the Colaupilly Estate, in consequence of Proceedings still going on, in the Civil Courts.—

† Pr. 26421-14-9.

The Estates of Ingeram and Cavgah realized more than the demand against them, and the surplus has been made over to its original Proprietors.—

The Balance against the remaining four Estates which were purchased by Government amounts as shewn in the Margin to Pr. 10724-9-4. which the Board recommend under the circumstances stated in the concluding Paragraph

2. The sale of the Peddapoor Zemindary with the exception of the Veeravaram Estate, was reversed by Government and it is now under the Collector's Management,

1. Moorameddevaram	1703-15-4.
2. Degoomurro	7719-14-3.
3. Gunalurkah	1223-6-7.
4. Gommalour	77-5-2
	10724-9-4.

11386- Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Paragraph of the Collector's letter may be ordered to be written of -

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 3d August 1843. } (Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Received the undermentioned returns -

32

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge, and number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VIII of 1828 during the first half year 1843 -

33

From the Princl. Collr. of Nellore - } Abstract statement shewing the number of Persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, from 1st. January to 30th June last --

Order on the two abovementioned returns - } Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government. -

32-34

Read

11387- Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Read the following letter -

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Actg. Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

35

From the Collr. of Masulipatam } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 20th Instant, requesting to be informed whether any arrangement has yet offered, or is likely to present itself under which provision may be made in the Public service for Sotah Bramiah Nayroo -

2. In reply I beg to state as that the services of Bramiah Nayroo as Superintendent to assist in the settlement of Boundary disputes were dispensed with, in May 1841 when they were no longer required - An opportunity having since offered itself for his employment in the Public service, he was appointed on the 14th February 1842 as Tahsildar of Palunghy, and is now Tahsildar of the Bunder Division on a salary of 100 Rupees per Month -

Masulipatam Collr. Cutcherry - 25th July 1843 -- } (Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector -

11388. Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing letter to submitted together with the following address.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government.—

To the chief Secretary to Government—

In Cons 8th April 1844 — 35-36

Sir,

25th July in Cons 8th August 1843.

with reference to Para 2d of the order of Government dated 22d March 1841. No. 378. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Masulipatam, from which it will be seen that provision has been made for the employment of T. Bramah Nayroo in the public service since February 1842 and that he now holds the situation of Tahsildar of the Bunder Division on a Salary of 100 Rupees per Month.—

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
3d August 1843.—

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read.

11389. Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Read the following letter—

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

From the Collr of Guntoor—

Sir

Transmitting Statements called for in the Circular of the 27th April last of Disbursements on account of Establishment employed in preparing Jamabundy accounts for Fuslies 1252 & 1253. stating whether similar accounts are required for the surrendered Zemindaries—

I have the honor to transmit two Statements in the form furnished with your Circular dated 27th April last of Disbursements on account of additional Establishment employed in preparing the Jamabundy accounts of the Palnad District for fuslies 1252 and 1253—

2. I request the instructions of the Board as to the necessity of sending similar accounts for the surrendered Zemindaries for the current fusly—

Guntoor—
Collectors Cutcherry—
25th July 1843.—

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector—

11388 Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted together with the following address.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government.—

To the chief Secretary to Government — Sir, with reference to Para 2d
In Cons 8th April 1841. of the order of Government dated 22d March
35-36 1841. No. 398. I am directed by the Board
25th July subm 2d August 1843. of Revenue to request that you will lay
before the Most Noble the Governor
in Council the accompanying letter
from the Collector of Masulipatam,
from which it will be seen that provision
has been made for the employment
of T. Bramah Rayen in the public
service since February 1842 and that he
now holds the situation of Tahsildar of
the Bunder Division on a Salary
of 100 Rupees per Month.—

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
3d August 1843.— } (Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

11389 Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

From the Coll. } Sir
of Guntoor — }

I have the honor to trans-
Transmitting Statements called for in the Circular of the 27th April last of Disbursements of additional Establishments employed in preparing Janabundy accounts for Fasles 1252 & 1253 stating whether similar accounts are required for the surrendered Zemindaris — mit two Statements in the form furnished with your Circular dated 27th April last of Disbursements on account of additional Establishment employed in preparing the Janabundy accounts of the Palnad District for fasles 1252 and 1253—

2. I request the instructions of the Board as to the necessity of sending similar accounts for the surrendered Zemindaries for the Current Fasly—

Guntoor — — — — —
Collectors Cutcherry — } (Signed) H. Stokes
25th July 1843— — Collector—

11390

Fort St. George 3d August 1843

No 1

Statement exhibiting the actual Charges incurred on account of the additional Establishment employed for preparing the Jummabundy accounts in the District of Palnaud for Fusly 1252

Description of Servants	Estimated for fusly 1252 — Number of Servants	Rates of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated Charges	Actual Charges for fusly 1252	Comparison between Cols 6 & 7 — Increase	Decrease	Remarks explanatory of the Causes of increase or decrease
Extra Goomastahs	15	10	96 12 5	For 20 days	103 3 8	1304 9	27 1 1	" "	This increase was caused by the preparation of an account of the Demand and Collections for the last 20 years which occupied the Goomastahs longer than was expected
Mootchee	1	10	6 7 3						
			103 3 8						

Guntoor
Collectors Cutcherry
25th July 1843

E E per (Signed) H Stokes
Collector

11391

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

No 2.

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of the additional Establishment for preparing the Jummabundy accounts in the District of Palnaud for fusly 1253

Description of Servants	Estimated for fusly 1253 — Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Proposed period of Employment	Estimated Charges	Actual Charges in the preceding fusly 1252	Comparison between Cols 6 & 7 — Increase	Decrease	Remarks explanatory of the causes of increase & decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			cRs AP			cRs AP	cRs AP	cRs AP	cRs AP
Extra Goomastahs	15	10	96 12 5	For 20 days	103 3 8	130 4 9	" "	27 1 1	Extra establishment were employed last fusly in preparing account of the Demand and Collections for the last 20 years this not being necessary in the present fusly the decrease is Estimated
Mootchee	1	10	6 7 3						
			103 3 8						

Guntoor
Collector's Cutcherry
25th July 1843

E. E. per (Signed) H Stokes
Collector

Ordered

11392- Fort St. George 3d. August 1843

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply. —

To The Collector of Guntoor.

To the Collector of Guntoor. — } Sir,

In Cons. 3d August 1843 — No. 37-38

With reference to your Letter dated 25th July last No. 69 — I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that under the instructions conveyed in the 2d Para. of my Circular letter dated 17th July 1843 the transmission of separate statements shewing the disbursements on account of additional Establishment for preparing the Summah kundy accounts is dispensed with, and you were requested to substitute for them General statements of all Estimated and actual Charges of this nature requiring sanction. —

If Extra Establishments are required for the Surrendered Zemmindaries they should also be entered in Statement No. 1. —

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George — 3d August 1843 — } /Signed/ C. C. Lovell

Ag. Secretary —

Read

11393- Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Read the following Letter —

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From H. Stokes Esqre Collr in the Zillah of Guntoor — — — } Sir,

39

With reference to my letter of the 12th Instant I have the honor to enclose Copies of two Extracts from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division for the 16th June 1843 which has been produced by the Vakeel of Mahanga Latchmiah —

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector —

Guntoor Coll.r Office 28th July 1843 } No. 1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division —

Present
J. Hartley Esqr
acting 1st Judge —

Dated 16th June 1843 —
Extra Petition No. 227 of 1843 —
Rajah Mahanga Latchmiah
Petitioner —

Read Extra Petition No. 227 of 1843 —

1. presented by the Pleader S. Vegeanah on behalf of J. Rajah Mahanga Latchmiah Defendant in dast No. 22 of 1834. on the File of this Court. —

With

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

2. With reference to Extra Petition No. 31 of 1843 presented by the Plaintiff in the above suit for execution of the Decree in it the Petitioner represents that she made over the right of her zemindary Estate to Venkat Condulow son of her deceased adopted son Venkata Narsimhaw, and so addressed Argees to the Collector of Guntoor requesting him to address all Enoytnamahs in his name, that the said Argees are now under consideration of the Board of Revenue, and that the permanent Cowle of the Zemindary belonging to the Petitioner as well as the accounts and other documents connected with the debts due to, and by the Petitioner were sent for by the Collector of Guntoor, and the Collector issued an Enoytnamah to the Plaintiff in the above suit on the subject.—

3. She therefore prays that as the Collector has taken charge of the zemindary in question as well as the documents referred to, and is speaking

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.—

speaking to the creditor of the Petitioner about the debts due by her, as is evident from the 6 enclosures of this Petition, being Enoytnamahs from the Collector to the Petitioner, the Court will postpone execution of the warrant issued against her until orders of the Board of Revenue shall have been received on the report addressed by the Collector in this case.—

4. The Court cannot stay execution on the grounds mentioned in this Petition.—

5. Ordered therefore that copy of the Postpone Petition referred to in Petition No. 31 of 1843 as well as of that Petition be forwarded to the Acting Assistant Judge of Guntoor with directions to have the Razeenamah executed in conformity with those Petitions.—

6. Ordered that an extract from these Proceedings be sent to the above officer by precept, requiring conformity thereto and returnable in 6 weeks after its receipt.—

True Extract

/Signed/ E. B. Glass

3d. Judge for Register

Extract.—

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843

2. With reference to Extra Petition No. 31 of 1843 presented by the Plaintiff in the above suit for execution of the Decree in it the Petitioner represents that she made over the right of her zemindary Estate to Vencat Condulow son of her deceased adopted son Venkata Narsimhalow, and so addressed Arzees to the Collector of Guntoor requesting him to address all Enoyetnamahs in his name, that the said Arzees are now under consideration of the Board of Revenue, and that the Permanent Cowle of the Zemindary belonging to the Petitioner as well as the accounts and other documents connected with the debts due to, and by the Petitioner were sent for by the Collector of Guntoor, and the Collector issued an Enoyetnamah to the Plaintiff in the above suit on the subject.—

3. She therefore prays that as the Collector has taken charge of the zemindary in question as well as the Documents referred to, and is speaking

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.—

Speaking to the creditor of the Petitioner about the debts due by her, as is evident from the 6 enclosures of this Petition being Enoyetnamahs from the Collector to the Petitioner, the Court will postpone execution of the warrant issued against her until orders of the Board of Revenue shall have been received on the report addressed by the Collector in this case.—

4. The Court cannot stay execution on the grounds mentioned in this Petition.

5. Ordered therefore that copy of the Postpone Petition referred to in Petition No. 31 of 1843 as well as of that Petition be forwarded to the Acting Assistant Judge of Guntoor with directions to have the Razeenamah executed in conformity with those Petitions.—

6. Ordered that an extract from these Proceedings be sent to the above officer by precept, requiring conformity thereto and returnable in 6 weeks after its receipt.—

True Extract

/Signed/ E. B. Glass

3d. Judge for Register

(L.S.)

Extract.—

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.-

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the North=ern Division-

Present.

J. Horsely Esq/re. Acting 1st. Judge.

Dated 16th. June 1843.

Extra Petition No. 229 of 1843

Rajah Mulrauze Lutch=miah Petitioner...

1. Read Extra Petition No. 229 of 1843 presented by the Pleader S. Vegennah, on behalf of Rajah Mulrauze Lutchmiah Defendant in Suit No. 20 of 1837 of this Court's file.-

2. The Petitioner refers to the Extra Petition No. 155 of 1843 presented by the Plaintiffs in the above Suit for execution of the Decree in it, and repre=sents the same circumstances which She has stated in her Extra Petition No. 227 preceding, and prays that as She is a Zemindar and woman of rank and respectability, and cannot therefore attend in person before the Court, the Court will pleased to reject the prayer of the Petitioner No. 155 referred to.-

3. The Court cannot stay execution on

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.-

on the grounds mentioned in this Petition the warrant for apprehension must issue.-

4. Ordered therefore that a Copy of Extra Petition No. 155 of 1843 and copy of the Rauzeenamah referred to, be trans=mitted to the Assistant Judge of Guntoor to enforce execution thereof.-

5. Ordered that an Extract from these Proceedings together with a Precept requiring execution and returnable in 6 weeks after receipt be issued to the Judge of Guntoor.-

(True Extract)

(Signed) E. B. Glass.

Third Judge for Register.

(L.S.)

(39-40)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.-

Read the following letter.-

To,

11398 Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.-

To.

C. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Pl. Coll. Ser. of Nellore.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 19th Ultimo and to transmit herewith the Copy of the Provincial Court's Decree therein required made out from a copy very lately received on unstamp paper from the Government Vakeel of that Court.-

(41)

Nellore

Pl. Coll.rs Cutcherry

24th July 1843.-

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse

Princ. Collector

Decree.

11399 Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.-

Decree of the Provincial Court of Appeal-

Northern Division.-

Nellore Zillah Court File. . . O.S. No. 86 of 1829

Provincial Court File. . . . A.S. No. 45 of 1841

Appellant-Plaintiff	Respondent Defendants
Rajah Damaracoomma Venkatappanaidoo Pleader.	Versus. / Moogacharloo alias Abby the adopted Son of late Nalatoory Boochiah.
B. Balacroostnasastry	2. Venkatacrishtnama
(Signed) E. B. Glass	=charloo Son of late
Aff. 2d. Judge	Nulatoory Raughava=
(L-J)	=charloo-
	3. Nalatoory Sashacharloo
	4. Do. Rungacharloo-
	5. Raugavacharloo, Son
	of late Nalatoory Nur=
	=Simaha charloo-
	6. Nalatoory Venkatacharloo
	7. D. Streenevasacharloo
	8 Chinna Nursimhacharloo
	9 Do. Nursimha charloo
	10 Do. Anuntacharloo
	11. Nalatoory Ramacrishna charloo
	12 Do. Streenevasa charloo
	13 Anuntacharloo Son of late
	Nalatoory Pantacharloo. Do.

14 Nalatory Varadacharlos.-
15 Comaundoory Appialacharlos
16. Do. Nursimha charlos.-
17. F. V. Stonhouse Esq.re
Principal Collector of Nellore
who was made Defendant in
lieu of Syed Abdaully Khan
Bahader by order of Provincial
Court.-
18. Surourbeebe the Widow of
Mohuddeen Saheb Jahagerdar

Pleader

G. Seatiah.-

1. This Suit was originally tried by Mr. Bushby 20th May 1833 and again on being returned to be reinvestigated, tried and Decreed by Mr. Dowdeswell in 1841 on 29th June. The Suit was returned for reinvestigation on the grounds that an Ameen should be deputed to examine and report regarding the extent and limits of land and its situation with regard to the lands described in Ameens report in Original Suit Number 330 of 1827 in order to enable the Judge to pass a correct decree in this Suit.-

2. The lower Court did send an Ameen

Ameen who examined the lands and reported as follows.-

"That the report of the Ameen and Tahsildar in the first instance and their measurement was correct and that there was no reason to believe that the Plaintiff had any right to the land in dispute, any more than to the lands settled by Suit 330 of 1827, which had not been appealed against, and in which Mr. Bushby as appears to have formed by his Decree and that of Mr. Dowdeswell's, the grounds for his Decree".-

3. This Suit was brought to recover 200 Goortoos of Pasture Land Situated in the Village Beemavarum forming part of the Plaintiff's Zemindary and for 50 Rupees on account of Pullary Tax on the land for the year 1827. The first 16 Defendants deny that the land claimed ever belonged to the Village Beemavarum nor did the inhabitants of that Village ever make any objection to the measurement of the Tahsildar, who took an agreement from them, and measured the land in their presence. The 17th & 18th Defendants claim their right to 20 Goortoos included in their Village under the Decree of the Court, from which no reversal had taken place by the

Higher

Higher Courts and state that the Same has been held by themselves for Several years without any interruption.-

The Plaintiff filed 6 Documents and 8 Witnesses.-

Documents.

A- Copy of an arzee addressed by the Doolgoor-ta Shrotriumdars to the Collector of Nellore and Ongole under date the 5th March 1826.-

B- Do. by Do. to Do. on the 25th October 1826-

C- Do. by Calipoos and Curnums of Chouta Beemavaram in the Paumoor Seemah to the Collector on the 5th April 1827.-

D- Copy of an arzee addressed by the Doo-leagoonta Shrotriumdars to the Collector of Nellore dated 6th April 1827.-

E- Copy of report written by Poolla Cotanda-ramareddy Ameen in No. 330 of 1827 to the Court on the 2d June 1828-

F. Cudjan account called Huddoo Pottee or deed of limits regarding the Village of Chouta Beemavarum dated the 10th Mougha Soodha year Nundanah-

The 1st Witness for the Plaintiff stated that 180 Goortoos of land out of 200 Goortoos in dispute is under the Village of Doobagoonta and the other 20 Goortoos under Razzavolo, that the Tahsildar caused the land in

dispute to be measured under the Village of Doobagoonta and Razzavaloo in the year Surwajittoo, but it has been under litigation from that time- that one Chendra Nursapah Naidoo rented the said land from Damara Gooruppah Naidoo for 150 Rupees to Graze his Cattle, and that the Cattle of the Village of Beemavarum Grazed in that field a until 5 years before this dispute arose.-

The 2d Witness states that the land in dispute was under the enjoyment of the Calahastry Zemindar who used to let it out to different individuals for Grazing Cattle. He also details at length the limits of the disputed land.-

The 3d Witness states that he rented the land in dispute for some years from Narasuppah Naidoo at Beemavarum on behalf of the Zemindar of Calahastry and Mentions the boundaries of the land in question.-

The 4th Witness states that the land in dispute was under Doobagoontah from the time it was measured by the Tahsildar and that the Cattle of Beemavarum Grazed in it from a length of time- He also describes the boundaries-

The 5th Witness says that 150 Goortoos of the disputed land has been under

Doobagoonta

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.-

Doobagoonta from the time it has been measured by the Aumeen and 20 Goortoos under Razavoloo. The land in dispute was enjoyed by Goorappah Naidoo and his Father Pauparnaidoo Chendra Nursuppanaidoo &c. rented the lands to graze their Cattle from Goorappah Naidoo. The Cattle belonging to the inhabitants of Doobagoontah, have been grazing from 5 years or since the dispute arose.-

The 6th Witness says that the land in dispute was given in rent by Damara Goorappah Naidoo of Beemavarum. He also describes the boundaries.-

The 7th Witness states that he knew the land in dispute. It was under the enjoyment of the Plaintiff until it was measured by the Tahsildar under the Villages of Doobagoontah and Rauzavoloo. He himself used to let out the land to Narapanaidoo and others, and to collect the rent thereof and to send the same to the Zemindar.

The evidence of the 8th Witness corresponds with that of the 7th.-

The first 16 Defendants file 4 documents and name 4 Witnesses.-

Copy

Fort. St. George 3d August 1843.-

A Copy of the Copy (filed in No. 330 of 1827) of an account of measurement of Doobagonta (the Defendant's Village) made by Mr. Travers in the year 1803.-

B. Copy of an Order No. 273 issued by the Collector to C Singiah Tahsildar of Ravoor and Vareigontapaud Talooks, dated the 20th April 1827.-

C. Copy of a Yareeb Yabeeta, an account of measurement made by Camarauze Singiah Tahsildar of the said Talooks contrary to the measurement of Mr. Travers on the 4th June 1827 or fusly 1836.-

D Copy of a Cararnamah executed in presence of the above Tahsildar by Daunnera Timmanaidoo the renter of a Village Chenta Beemavarum attached to Daumerlapar and Moodreddy Vannoor reddy and Talloory Boochennah &c. who are Caupoos and also Chellara Venkata Narsoo &c. Curnums of the said Village on the 4th June 1827.-

The Defendants Witness knows the boundaries of Doobagoontah it has been under the enjoyment of Shrotriemdars. The field between Auvoolagoontah

Casavoolagoontah

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.-

Casavoolayoontah, Erradyyoontah, and Vonoo=
=rooganegoonta has been grazed by the
Cattle of Doobagoontavar and the Villa=
=gers. The Witness grazed cattle in that
field. Mr. Strauers fixed Yerragoonta
as a Moogala or Boundary, and measured
Casavoolooyoontah under Doopagoontah
Witness knows that Singiah and Codunda=
=ramareddy measured the field of Dooba=
=goontah under Doobagoontah. Witnesses heard that
130 Gorloos were usurped by Ragavooloovoor.-

The 16th Defendant's 2d Witness states he knows
the boundaries of Doobagoonta in dispute and about 150
Gorloos were usurped by the 17th Defendant about 4 or 5 years
ago. The Cattle of the Defendants never grazed in the field
of the Plaintiff under Beemavarum. The Defendants
did usurp the land of Beemavarum
belonging to the Plaintiff.-

The 16th Defendant's 3d Witness
States the same as the last.-

The 16th Defendant's 4th Witness also
States the same as the last.-

The 18th Defendant names 2 Witnesses.-

The 18th Defendant's 5th Witness states
that the land of Conta Beemavaram belong=
=ing to the Plaintiff is not adjoining to that of
Razavoloo which belongs to the Defendants
That

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.-

That the Tahsildar fixed boundaries
between Doobagoonta and Razavolo, that the
Cattle of Razavoloo never grazed in the
field of Conta Beemavarum.-

The 18th Defendant's 6th Witness
States that Razavoloovar did not usurp
the land of Beemavarum; that although
the Cattle of Razavoloo graze at anytime in
the field of Beemavarum and those of Beema=
=varum in the field of Razavoloo, yet no tax
usually levied on them.-

The acting Judge of Nellore upon
reinvestigation of the suit with all the
evidence and the report of the Ameen
dismissed the Plaintiffs' claim, on the grounds
that the Plaintiff altogether failed to establish
his claim, and his Witnesses having admit=
=ted there were no boundary stones at the place
which they point out as the limits of the Village
and the Marks which they name as showing
the Boundary lines are only such as exist
in all the Jungles. Plaintiff produces a Do=
=cument purporting to be a list of the Boun=
=dary Marks drawn out many years ago but
did not bring any evidence to support this
besides

besides all the Witnesses are interested in the case and their Statements differ very widely from one another respecting the rent=ing out of the disputed lands in former years by the renter of the Village, and are very doubtful and not much to be believed_ The other Documents of the Plaintiff do not appear of any consequence to the Case. The Document D it appears was presented to the Collector previous to the measurement being finally made, and therefore cannot be now considered as objecting to what now took place. The evidence of the Witnesses regarding the enjoyment of the land is so contradictory that no reliance can be put on their evidence. The Aumeen deputed by the Court instituted a regular enquiry and measured the lands in the presence of the inhabitants of the Village of both parties, and there appeared no doubt but the lands in dispute belonged to the De=fendants Villages. The Plaintiff claimed 180 Gortoos of land from the Shrotriumdars of Doobagonta but on examining the limits named by the Plaintiff the measure=ment

=ment amounted only to 129 Gortoos. The Plain=tiff claimed 20 Gortoos from Razool, but on mea=suring the Boundaries it only amounted to 7 and odd Gortoos part of which was in dis=pute in No. 330 of 1827. The Judge then passed exactly the same decree as the former Judge, and decreed all Costs to be paid by Plain=tiff.

5. The Plaintiff, now appellant, brings an appeal and states that it is proved by 8 respectable Witnesses, and his Documents from A to F, that the land in dispute is situated within the Boundaries of Beenava=rum that there existed Marks of boundary lines, the Witnesses could point them out. The Document shewing the Boundaries was executed a Number of years ago, and there is no one now alive who were then present to prove it. all the Documents for the ap=pellant are public papers which are Materiall. There are no contradictions in the evidence on any point. The Aumeen did not a=cause a just measurement and he is interested with the Respondent and made a partial report. all the respondents except the

17th

17th. put in no Answer.-

6- The 17th. Respondent states that the Renter of Beemavarum the 8th. Witness for appellant deposes that he did not see a stone near Moradadinna- all the other Witnesses for appellant are interested in this case: the document of Boundaries was never previously mentioned to any authority on several occasions when disputes had taken place on the very Subject, and therefore prays to reject the Appellant's claim as to the land in which he has concern Viz. Ratzavole.

7- The acting 2d. Judge having gone through all the Pleadings as well as the documents, and evidence which are fully again detailed in the decree of the acting Judge of Nellore, as well as in Mr. Bushby's Decree- the Decree of the acting Judge after a full enquiry into former evidence as well as the report of the Aumeen who remeasured the land, is clear and distinct and quite correct. The appeal reasons are nothing more than that a disappointed Claimnant's opinion of the Judge's decision and denying the justness of his remarks, but upon examination of all that is before the Court, the

the remarks appear fully borne out by the evidence:- as to the Statement that the Ameen was interested it is too absurd to have a moments consideration, and if the Judge had sent for an inhabitant of Calcutta instead of the one he did, there is not a doubt the party Cast would say he was the friend and in the interest of the one gaining- There was not the Slightest grounds for appeal, and the 1st first Defendants did perfectly right in giveing no answer- The answer of the 17th. is of no consequence as his right was as fully cleared up at first, as it could be by taking notice of the trash the appellant has written in appeal- The acting 2d. Judge therefore Decrees that the lower Court's Decree be confirmed and all Costs of appeal paid by the Appellant over and above.-

8- It is resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein; and made a record of the Court with their unanimous consent.-

Stamps

11612

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.-

	Rs.	A.	P.
Stamp duty on institution - - - - - - - - - -	8	-	-
Fees due to appellant's Pleader B. Bala Kroostnaasatry - - - - - - - - - -	5	-	-
Fees due to 17th Respondent's Pleader G. Seetiah -	5	-	-
Value of Stamp paper filed by Appellant - - -	21	8	0
Do Do by 17th Respondent - - - - - - -	12	-	-
	51	8	0
Deduct amount already paid by appellant	34	8	0
	17	-	-
Amount to be paid by appellant to 17th Respondent - - - - - -	12	-	-
Do to his pleader Govt. Vakeel - -	5	-	-
	17	-	-
Costs incurred in the lower Court - - - - - -	113	2	8
	130	2	8

This Sum of Company's Rupees one hundred and thirty, Annas two and Pice eight, is to be paid by appellant.-

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 15th day of March A. D. 1843.-

Delivered in Court this 15th day of March A.D. 1843

By order of the Court

/Signed/ E. B. Glass.-

Acting 2d Judge for Register.

The fair Copy of this Decree having been sealed and Signed on the 3d July 1843 the time of Special Appeal will expire on the 2d October 1843.

/Signed/ E. B. Glass.-

Actg 2d Judge for Register.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ C. V. Stonhouse

Princ. Collector.-

Ordered

11613.

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Order thereon.

41-42

To Govt. 10th Nov. 1842.
From Govt. 29 Nov.
in Cons. 5th Dec. 1842.

In Cons. 21st March 1842.

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin and in conformity with the instructions conveyed in a Resolution of Government dated 4th March 1842.-

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 18th and entered in Consultation 27th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the above mentioned letter.-

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

To the Chief Sect. to Government

43

18th July 1843.-
in Cons. 27th July -

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Bellary recommending that his Head Sheristadar may be allowed the increased Salary of 700 Rupees per Month.-

2. Neelkunta Row completed the period of 20 years Service required by the Rules for regulating the Salaries of Head Sheristadars in unsettled Districts, to entitle him to the increased

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

increased salary on the 31st Ultimo. And the Board concur with Mr. Mellor in considering him well deserving the liberality of Government and in recommending accordingly that his pay may be raised to Rupees 700 per Month from the 1st Instant.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3rd August, 1843.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

From A. Mellor Esqre
Collr. of Bellary.

Sir

With reference to my letter dated 11th Instant, I have further to inform the Board that in consequence of the Cholera having extended South I have authorized the Sub Collector to employ /on the Pay before mentioned/ men in those Talooks also to administer medicine. The Drafts sent out by Dr. Davidson have returned - the Cholera having abated in these Villages. The Sandpatry Tahsildar reports 143 deaths in last Month which is more favorable than

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

than I could have hoped from the reports, but the Board will be able to form some idea of the violence of the disease when I mention that of these deaths 40 have occurred in one poor Village. I regret also to inform the Board, that the Cholera has broke out severely at Adoni, I have sent Medicine and orders to that Tahsildar also.-

Bellary District,
Collr. Office on Circuit at
Burpunhully, 21st July 1843.

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector.

Ordered to be submitted to Government in reference to the Collector's letter of 11th Ultimo.

Order thereon,

(44-45)

Read the following letter.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From W. C. Arbuthnot Esqre
Acting Asst. Collector
Cuddapah.

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the accompanying Petition* addressed to the Board of Revenue by one Soobrayadoo in the Doovoor Taluk, on which I am required to report.-

A small garden was planted by

* No. 1889 of 1842.

11646

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

To Boards the Father of the Petitioner about
20 years ago on a Mot. of Allotted Param
Turke Land of 1/2 101 in the Village of Chinnadurai
Cor of the Division of Sholoor.

3. Then the Rev reports to Rear Guard
this Garden was seen in Rent like all o
ther Lotes for 5 Annas per Annum
Fuslies 1245 and 1244
1335/36 1336/37
from Fusly 1245 to 1252
1337/42.

4. The Petitioner subsequently
cited that the Land ought to be exempted
placed on the Register.

5. Of the Garden was Claimed by the
Petitioners Father, the Request has been
filed with, and this the Petitioner
Commencement of this Case, to exempt the
from the Annual Rent.

Cuddalore Principal Collector's Office
24th Oct. 1842.

Order thereon,

Ordered that the following
Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1889 of 1842.
Cuddalore
24th Oct. 1842.

The Petitioner from the Petition
Principal Collector's report on this Petition
that the Petitioner has now been placed on
Registry of the Garden Claimed by the Petitioner
Read the following Statement
Statement

(46-47)

11647

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 25th July 1843.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach.	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs.	Remarks.
For 1843. Bengal Maunds.					
3,00,000.	82,620	2,17,380	1,16,665 1/2	1,25,559	The Salt may be paid for at the Talook Treasury at Sydapet near Madras or to the Peishcar at Covelong as it may best suit the convenience of the exporters.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 31st July 1843.

E. E. Jr. /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Ordered

11418

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Order thereon.
(48-49)

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read the following letter.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From W. H. Babington Esqre
Actg Collr of North Arcot.
Para 1.

In reply to your letter of the 27th Instant I have the honor to state that the privilege of collecting Honey and Wax in the Jungles of this District is not subject to the payment of any fee. From my experience in other Districts I am of opinion that the practice of farming out this branch of revenue is not productive of any further annoyance to the people than what necessarily arises from their being debarred from appropriating to themselves the articles in question.

(50)

North Arcot,
Collrs Cutcherry
Narnavanum,
31st July 1843.

Signed W. H. Babington
Acting Collector.

Order thereon,
(50-51)

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter

To

JG.

11419

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Acting
Collr of South Arcot.

(52)

The reason assigned by Mahomed Yacoob Yemmadar reported to in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 1st July 1842 that he has been prevented by sickness at Soutghur during his Yemmah due time having been fully substantiated on an enquiry made by the Acting Collector of South Arcot, I have therefore the honor to submit the claim on account of arrears for the sanction of Government, more especially as the service attached to the Yemmah has been performed by a relation of the Claimant during his absence and since his return by himself.

A fresh Statement is enclosed including the arrear since accumulated to the 1st Instant.

South Arcot
Collrs Cutcherry
Cuddalore 28th July 1843.

Signd W. D. Davis
Acting Collector.

Statement

11621

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

Order thereon.

(52-53)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement accompanying the foregoing letter and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

Read the following letter.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqr

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

From the Collr of Tanjore

Requesting further instructions on the subject of granting Lands for one year under Boards order.

(54)

Sir,

The Board of Revenue have directed in their Proceedings on the Summary report of Fasly 1251 (A.D. 1841-42) that proposals to rent many Lands for one year under Boards order should be invited at the commencement of the Season, and not as hitherto when the Crop was ready for harvesting. The adoption of this plan would on many accounts be the more advisable, but a strong and I think reasonable objection exists preventing the Ryot having recourse to the one year rent except at the time when the Crop is ripe or nearly so, and that objection is, that no provision has been made in case the Crop should fail after the acceptance of this offer, and so long as the Sharpsidor pr

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

is not assured of permission or a return to Aumany in case of that produce, so long will he refrain from renting his lands till all chances of a failure are past. I would therefore submit for the consideration of the Board the propriety of allowing a return to Aumany where remission on account of small produce is claimed in consequence of drought or inundation, and the ente[r]tain- ascertained under Circar management of the quantity on which the land was rented. the terms of the rent being adhered to, when the loss came within 30 P. Cent as on Olungoo Villages. I request the pleasure of the Board on this subject may be communicated to me at an early period, that no time may be lost in commencing the rents of Aumany lands.-

Tanjore—
Kbdicherry
25th July 1843

I Signed J. F. Bishop
Acting Collr

Ordered

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

To The Acting Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th Ultimo requesting further instructions from the Board on the subject of renting Aumanie lands for one year.-

The Board have already given 2 instructions that proposals for the rent of Aumanie lands for one year should be received at the commencement of the Fusly, and not as heretofore, when the Crops were ready for harvesting and further that these proposals should be given unconditionally for the lands as they may turn out, without any stipulation for remission to be allowed for parching Crops, short produce &c.

3. The object of the Board in sanctioning a Money rent for one year of those Villages which cannot yet be brought to accept the Ryotwary standard grain Rent is

54-53

To the Acting Collr of Tanjore

Stating that the Board do not ground whether fore modification of their orders on the subject of renting Aumanie lands for one year requesting that they may be speedily

Rd Cons 3rd Augt 1843.

Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

is not to raise a high Revenue but to obtain a fair return from these lands without the evils attendant upon the Aumanie system and as the proposals are invited with reference to the average actual outturn of former years and will no doubt generally fall short of this; any Stipulation for remission on account of failure of Produce would seem altogether inconsistent with such a proposal — whilst the proper period for making the Pudut is manifestly at the commencement of the season in order that the party may have the whole year before him. The Board therefore see no ground whatever for a modification of the order already communicated to you and request that they may be strictly conformed to.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George —
3rd August 1843 —

(Signed) C. B. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Madura dated 24th June and entered in Consultation 6th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To the Principal Collector of Madura

To the Pnl. Collr. of Madura

(56)

Sir,

I am directed by the Court of Wards to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Morehead's letter of the 24th June last submitting a Petition from Moottoo Chetty Sevaiyan the late Manager of the Ramnad Estate complaining of your having dispossessed

In Cons. 6 July 1843

11626- Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

dispossessed him of the little Palace at Ramnad which he claims as his private property.

2 If the Petitioner was only allowed to occupy the palace as Manager of the Estate, when he ceased to hold that situation it would seem a matter of course that he should quit the Buildings, but if he resided therein as a Member of the family the Court are of opinion that he should be reinstated in possession of it.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d August 1843.

(Signed) C. G. Lovell
Actg Secy

HB

11627- Fort St. George 3d August 1843

Read the following letter

To
C. G. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From
J. Blackburne Esqre
Actg Collr of
Madura

Sir,

57

I have the honor to forward a Statement of wast-age of stock for fasly 1252 & and request sanction for Cs G. M 40-190- to be written off the Accounts.

Madura
28th July 1843

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Actg Collector

Wastage

11626- Fort St. George 3rd August 1843.

dispossessed him of the little Palace at Ramnad which he claims as his private property.

2nd If the Petitioner was only allowed to occupy the palace as Manager of the Estate, when he ceased to hold that situation it would seem a matter of course that he should quit the Buildings, but if he resided therein as a Member of the family the Court are of opinion that he should be reinstated in possession of it.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d August 1843

(Signed) C. G. Lovell
Actg Secy

J.B.

11627- Fort St. George 3d August 1843

Read the following letter.

To
C. G. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From
J. Blackburne Esqre
Pl. Collr of
Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement of wastage of Salt for Fasly 1252 and request sanction for Cs 6 M 40-190- to be written of the accounts.

Madura
28th July 1843

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pl. Collector

Wastage

11629.

Fort St. George 3d August 1843

393

Proceedings

Recording observations in the Motur-pha Tax in the district of Tinnevelly and proposing certain Modifications in the present rates.—

59

From Collector Tinnevelly 2d Nov.r 1818 in Con.n 12th Dec.r

Para 1. The Board proceed to take into consideration the Report of the Collector of Tinnevelly under date 25th August 1842 upon the Motur-pha Tax of his District together with a Report upon the same subject from the late Sub Collector Mr. Charles Bird under date 11th October 1838 for the Taboor within his charge, and now for the first time submitted.—

The modification of this tax

2

in the District of Tinnevelly has been the subject of discussion since the year 1818 when Mr. John Cotton the Collector of that day submitted a detailed report upon it and recommended its general abolition founded mainly on the practice maintained in the adjoining District of Madura where no such tax was collected. The exigencies of the state did not admit of Mr. Cotton's propositions being carried out to the full extent, nor with reference to the practice in other Districts did it seem desirable, but the abolition of several Items was sanctioned.—

3. The Collections at the period of Mr. Cottons writing were made from no

11625

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Wastage in salt sales in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul for Fasly 1252

Names of the Depots	Year in which Stored	Quantity Stored	Quantity Sold	Wastage Quantity	Wastage Centage	Percentage of Wastage fixed for each Talook	Remarks or Explanation of the Cause of wastage
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
		Garce	Garce Mds M	Mds M			
Moodooragoonadapatam	1841	210	203	95 " 6	305 " 3 5/16	1 12/16	
Valenokam	1841	50	49	105 " "	295 " 1 83/16	"	
Morecolam	1841	60	59	225 " "	175 " 11/16	"	
Attenkurray	1841	40	39	225 " "	145 " 1 13/16	"	
	1842	10	9	366 " "	34 " 13/16	"	
Veeravanathapatam	1841	30	29	185 " "	215 " 1 13/16	"	
Cosproor	1841	80	78	" " 2	" " 2 9/16	1/2	
	1842	30	29	317 " "	83 " 1/16	"	
Agundangoody	1841	220	208	289 " 11	111 " 5 6/16	"	
Manacoody	1841	40	38	365 " 1	35 " 2 13/16	1 7/8	
Cadookay	1841	40	38	255 " 1	145 " 38/16	2 1/2	
Sholacoody	1841	10	9	370 " "	30 " 3/16	1	
	1842	20	19	300 " "	100 " 1 4/16	"	
Vuttanom	1839	60	54	130 " 5	270 " 10 7/16	1 13/16	
Narumbel	1840	50	46	305 " 3	95 " 6 14/16	"	
Cabanagherry	1841	40	38	170 " 1	230 " 4 1/16	2	
	1842	20	19	318 " "	82 " 1/16	"	
Sheethandathanom	1841	20	18	130 " 1	250 " 8 13/16	1 13/16	
Edia Madom	1841	40	37	97 " 2	303 " 7 6/16	1 13/16	
	1842	10	9	343 " "	57 " 1 7/16	"	
Total	"	1080	1039	210 " 40	190 " 3 33/16		

The greatest deficiency is in the Moodooragoonadapatam Agundangoody, Vuttanom Narumbel Sheethandathanom and Edia Madom Pans where the heaps lay uncold for a longer period also in the pans of Sheethandathanom and Edia Madom where the open heaps were left for 5 or 6 Months, no merchants resorting there after their opening.

Madura 28th July 1843 E.E. for (Signed) J. Blackburne
Pt. Collector

57-58

Order thereon

Ordered to lie on the table.

11629.

Proceedings

Recording observations in the Protuspha Tax in the district of Tinnevelly and proposing certain modifications in the present rates.—

59

From Collector Tinnevelly 2d Nov.r 1818 in Con.n 12th Do.

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843

358

Para 1. The Board proceed to take into consideration the Report of the Collector of Tinnevelly under date 25th August 1842 upon the Protuspha Tax of his District together with a Report upon the same subject from the late Sub Collector Mr. Charles Bird under date 11th October 1838 for the Talooks within his charge, and now for the first time submitted.—

The modification of this tax

2 in the District of Tinnevelly has been the subject of discussion since the year 1818 when Mr. John Cotton the Collector of that day submitted a detailed report upon it and recommended its general abolition founded mainly on the practice maintained in the adjoining District of Madura where no such tax was collected. The exigencies of the state did not admit of Mr. Cotton's propositions being carried out to the full extent, nor with reference to the practice in other Districts did it seem desirable, but to the abolition of several Items was sanctioned.—

3.— The Collections at the period of Mr. Cotton writing were made from no

Fort St. George 3d. August 1813

no less than 100 different Heads, and to
evils and abuses of a system which en
threw so much power into the hands of
the lowest of the public servants cannot
be better described than in Sir Henry
Montgomery's own words. "It is dif-
-ficult if not impossible to define the
Motorpha tax of Tinnevelly. - It varies
without apparent cause in almost every
Village both in objects and rates of
Taxation and is regulated (if that term
can be applied to any thing so irregular)
nominally by local customs, but in rea-
-lity mainly by the arbitrary decree of
the Village accountant and the acknow-
-ledged expounder and Interpreter of
what that custom is - Besides being a
Tax on the Loom of the Weaver, the
Shop of the Petty Dealer, on the artizan,
and on the laborer gaining his livelihood
by unskilled Labor, it is a Poll tax, a
House Tax, a Cattle Stall Tax and a Caste
Tax. - The Beggar is taxed because he
is a Beggar, the widow because she is
destitute" &c. In many instances &c

the

Fort St. George 3d. August 1813.

the bribes & illicit fees and presents exacted
by the Public servants under the Native
rule are perpetuated as a tax to the State
and the Deshacavil or Black mail levied
by the Poligars on the Inhabitants under
the plea of protecting their property, but
really extracted as a safe guard against
their own plunder, remains one of the
Items of Motorpha payable to Government &c.

The iniquity of the original
Tax appears to have been encreased by
the abuses which have crept in since
its institution. - It was doubtless ori-
-ginally the resource of a needy Government
whose object was to make all classes, be-
-sides those possessed of Land contribute
directly to the necessities of the State, not
on any defined principle but limited only
by their ability to pay. - Those classes that were
able to purchase from its agents exemp-
-tion from the Tax or to evade it, main-
-tain the claim to Manool in favor of
their exemption, the consequence is that
the rich are exempted from this Impost,
and the burden of it falls upon the poorer
portion of the trading, manufacturing
and labouring Community. - Nume-
-rous examples are given of this, in

the

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

detailed and excellent Report of Mr. Charles Bird. In the appendix No. 1 and the sheets of Map which accompany Mr. Bird's report it is seen that none of the higher orders pay Moturpha tax at all, that in four Villages adjoining, one is assessed with Ayen and Dashacail Moturpha, a second with Ayen only a third with Dashacail only and a fourth with neither Ayen or Dashacail, that two Villages situate within a quarter of a Mile of each other and Inhabited by the same class of Weavers the one is assessed at 4 rs per Loom the other at nearly double, with a hundred other similar and still more extravagant anomalies for which no reason whatever can be assigned "out of 1298 Villages composing the entire District, Sir Henry Montgomery observes 168 are charged both Ayen and Dasha: =covil 743 Ayen only 17 Dasha covil only and 370 are entirely exempt from Moturpha under any denomination either from some of the classes liable to the tax being residents or because custom sanctions their exemption" —

Sub Coll. Report para 23

In the settlement of this source

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Stat. No 1 Coll.rs Letter

Nos. 19-20-21-22-27-36-43 48-52-56-57 68-Ute —

† Nos. 24-34-38 41-57-Ute

Source of Revenue for Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 the Coll.r =lector has reduced the number of Heads on under which the Tax was collected to 68 but a reference to the statement will suffice to show the injustice alike and impolicy of collecting many of them, whilst the trifling amount derived from others and the annoyance and vexation which their levy occasions without any correxponding advantage to the state, point them out as an fit =ting Items to be abolished. —

It would seem xpential therefore, if the Moturpha tax is to continue to be levied in the Tennevelly District, that it should be placed upon some more equitable and simple footing, and the object of the communications now under discussion is to effect this desirable end, without any material sacri=fice of Revenue —

In the statement submitted

11434

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Submitted by Mr. Cotton in 1818 a Review of that the Collections were made from 100 different Items which realized a revenue of Star Pagodas 16234 or Rupees 56819. Sir Henry Montgomery in the settlement of Fasly 1251 A.D. 1840/41 has condensed the number of Items to 68, from which a Revenue of Rupees 112241 was derived the Collection of the last 7 years shews an average of Rupees 104,850 the Collections of the 7 years preceding Rupees 95,685 and the average collections of the last 14 years Rupees 100268.—

8 Sir Henry Montgomery proposes the relinquishment of all the items in the Statement Nos from No. 6 downwards to No. 68 both inclusive, and the substitution of a regulated and moderate Loom, Shop, and House Tax, under which 3 Heads the first 5 Items may be Classified.—

9 The annexed memorandum taken from the Collector's Statement will shew more clearly the details of the proposed scheme and the probable amount which may be realized from its adoption.—

Estimated

11435

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Estimated amount of Tax proposed to be levied on Looms, Shops and Houses.

		Number	Proposed rate of Tax	Estimated revenue	Total
			CW a	CW	CW.
Looms	Producing cloths of above 5 Rupees	2270	3 8	7945	
	Do. from 2½ to 5 Rupees Do.	5000	2 8	12,500	
	Do. under 2½ Rupees Do.	7333	1 8	11,000	
		14603	" "	"	31,445
Shops	1st rate	900	5 "	4,500	
	2nd rate	1200	3 "	3600	
	3d rate	3943	1 8	4565	
		5,143	" "		12,665
Houses	Upstair Houses	1000	1 4	1250	
	Tiled stone or Bricked roof Do.	1500	" 10	937	
	Thatched Do.	75380	" 5	23556	
		77,880	" "	"	25743
Additional tax on houses in which Traffic is carried on or owned by persons following the following Trades			" "	"	8600
				Total	78453
				Deduct Enams	5753
				Remaining	73600

&c

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

10 The probable Net receipts under this Plan are estimated at 73,000 or with reference to the Net Collections of the past

Collections	Rs.
1257 - Rs.	105,871
Estimate	73,000
	32,871

7 years Average 104,850
Estimate 73,000
31,850

July 1257 Rs. 1841/42 involving a loss of 32,871, and with reference to the average of the last 7 years a loss of Rs. 31,850.-

11 The principle upon which Sir Henry Montgomery proposes to carry out the Modification the Board entirely approve although they do not agree with him in all the details.-

12 The present gross collections from the Loom Tax, Shop Tax, and House Tax are Rupees 76,648 and from other items Rs. 35,594 making a total of Rupees 112,242.-

13 The Estimated collections on according to the proposed plan are Rupees 69,853 from other items 8,600 as more clearly shewn in the two following Memoranda.-

Present

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

Present Collection

	No.	Highest rate	Lowest rate	Average	Amount
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
		6 8 "	2 13 2	41,94 " "	
		9 " 5 "	1 12 1	9401 " "	
Loom Tax	14,602	14 13 4 "	5 " "	10 10 "	26057 " "
Shop Tax	5,143	36 1 "	1 8 "		
House Tax	38,659				76648 " "
Total	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	35594 " "
Add other Items	"			Total Collections	112,242 " "

Estimates by Sir H. Montgomery

	No.	Highest rate	Lowest rate	Average	Amount
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
		3 8 "	1 8 "	2 4 "	31445 " "
Loom Tax	14,603	5 " "	1 8 "	2 4 "	12603 " "
Shop Tax	5,143	1 4 "	" 5 "	" 5 "	25743 " "
House Tax	27,880				
					69853 " "
Total	"				8600 " "
Add other Items	"			Total Collections Rs.	78453 " "

It

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

14 It is seen that under the present system the highest rate of Loom Tax is 2-0-5 the lowest 0-6-8 the average 2-13-2. The highest rate under the proposed plan is 3-8-0 the lowest 1-0-8 average 2-4-0. The highest rate of shop Tax under the present system is 14-13-4 the lowest 5 annas average 1-12-1. The highest rate under the proposed plan is 5-0-0 the lowest 1-8-0 average 2-4-0 and so the highest rate of House Tax under the present system is 36-1-0 the lowest 1-8 average 10-10-0. The highest rate under the proposed plan is 1-4-0 the lowest 5 annas average.

15 The Board are of opinion therefore that the present highest rates of Loom, Shop and House Tax are respectively 9-0-5 - 14-13-4 and 36-1-0 and the lowest 0-6-8 - 5 annas and 1-8 that the rates fixed by Sir Henry Montgomery at 3-8-0 and 1-4 for the highest and 1-8 and 5 annas for the lowest, are lower than necessary and taking into consideration that a party paying any one of these three Taxes is to be altogether exempted from any other of the present and Vacations charges that they will bear a slight increase, and assuming the number of Looms, shops and Houses to be the same as in Sir Henry Montgomery's statement the Board propose a modification in the rates as shewn in the accompanying Memorandum. -

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843. -

	No.	highest	lowest	average	Amount
Loom Tax - 14603 -	5-4 -	1-8 -	2-4 -		42000
Shop &c. 5143 -	9-0-5 -	1-0-8 -	2-14 -		15,000
House &c. 17880 -	6-0-0 -	0-4-0 -	7-10 -		38,000
Tax on Professional Carpenters Goldsmiths &c. paying no other Tax. - -					10,000
				Total Rupees	105,000

It appears to the Board that when parties have paid 9-0-5 - 14-13-4 and 36-1-0 respectively as the highest rates of Loom Shop and House Tax that they would readily accede to pay the rates specified above - The probable amount estimated

16 to be realized from the adoption of the above rates is shewn in the accompanying statement. -

Loom

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Minute

17 The Estimated collections it is seen will considerably exceed the estimate under Sir Henry Montgomery's Plan, and nearly equal the amount of the present Collections, and it is not apparent why they should fall short. – The Board are of opinion that the tax as now proposed to be modified will be readily paid by the people and the modification be received as a desirable change. – The Collector will be placed to state any suggestions or modifications in carrying out this tax which he may think will also be good enough to report the mode in which he may see fit to regulate the Tax on personal profession not paying other-
-wise. – The Board have been thus minute
18 in entering into the details of their plan in order that the data upon which their calculations are based may be clearly understood and if the number of Looms Shops and Houses are correctly estimated by Sir H. Montgomery they doubt not be-
-lieve that the amount of Collections esti-
-mated by them will be realized but it will be competent for

and

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

Minute-

Loom Tax

No.	Rate	Amount of Tax
12	5 4 "	1200
5000	3 12 "	15000
30000	3 "	90000
43333	1 8 "	64999

Shop Tax

No.	Rate	Amount of Tax
1	8 "	400
800	3 "	2400
4000	2 "	8000
1443	1 "	1443
14,605		43,317

House Tax

No.	Rate	Amount of Tax
1	8 "	400
1000	6 "	6000
1500	3 "	4500
25000	1 "	25000
25000	6 "	150000
16000	4 "	64000
24000	2 "	48000
2000	1 "	2000
1443	1 "	1443
38000		38000

35320 27626 99880 1443 77880

" " "

" " "

42,000

15,000

11662

Fort St. George 3d. August 1843.

and it is most desirable that the Col-
-lector should determine the par-
-ticular rate to which each Loom
and shop and House is to be subject
Should the Most Noble the Gr
Governor in Council be pleased
to approve of the Plan the Board
would suggest that it should
have effect from the commence-
-ment of the Current Fasly
1253 "—
AD 1843/44

Order thereon - Ordered that a Gr
Copy of the foregoing Proceed-
-ings be submitted to Government
and a transcript of the same
be transmitted to the Collr Gr
Collector of Tinnevelly. -

Read the following Statement

Salt

11643

Fort St. George 3d August 1843.

A

Dr. Salt Account Current in the District of Sinnevelly from 1st April to 30th June 1843.

60

		Gn Mds	Mds	Gn	Mds	m
1843 April 1st	To Balance of salt on hand up to 31st March 1843	"	"	1,300	329	"
June 30th	Quantity of salt Manufactured during the Current Quarter	214	152	"	"	"
	Gain by Measurement	6	268	"	"	"
				221	20	"
	Total	"	"	1,521	349	"

		Gn Mds	Mds	m
1843 June 30th	Sales during the Current Quater for Home Consumption	608	362	"
	Balance of Salt on hand this day	912	387	"
	Total	1,521	349	"

Money account of Salt from 1st April to 30th June 1843

		Cks	a	p	Cks	a	p
1843 June 30th	Sales during the Current Quarter for Home Consumption	6,935	"	5			
	Fines and forfeitures	1	"	"			
	Company Rupees	"	"	"	6,936	"	5
	Number of Rowannahs remaining up to 31st March 1843	10,167	"	"			
	Do. of Do. Received during the Current Quarter	"	"	"			
		10,167	"	"			
	Do. of Do. Expended in the Current Quarter	1,967	"	"			
		8,200	"	"			

		Cks	a	p	Cks	a	p
1843 June 30th	By Servants Wages during the Current Quarter				2,580	12	"
	By Saunderwared Charges				61	8	"
	By Extra Charges				578	9	11
	By Salt Manufacturers share				3,143	12	10
	By Salt Rajcoomar				388	2	"
					5,232	12	9
	By Balance				58,703	8	8
	Company's Rupees				63,936	"	5

Sinnevelly 30th June 1843 E. E. & Co. Signed C. Whittingham Sub Coll. in Charge

Order thereon Ordered to lie recorded.

(60-61)

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Canara dated 14th and entered in Consultation 27th Ultimo.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address:—

(62)

To The Chief Secretary to Government.—

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

Sir,

Submitting a letter from the Pl. Coll.r of Canara and recommending for sanction Rs.3031-4-8 being the cost and charges on 117-15-19 of Coir shipped for Calcutta and requesting to know whether the 3,000 Maunds given as the indent for 1843/44 is to be considered inclusive or exclusive of the quantity shipped by the Stalkart.—

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara requesting sanction for the Cost and charges of Candies 117-15-19 of Coir, shipped for Calcutta under Indent from the Marine Board on board the Ship Hydrabad, amounting as per enclosed Statement to Rupees 3,031-4-8. The Board beg to recommend that sanction to the above amount may be granted.—

2 On referring to the previous correspondence on this subject it will be perceived that the Marine Board of Calcutta in their letter of 21st January in reporting to the Government of Bengal the inferior quality of the Coir imported on

on the stalkart as part of the indent for the past year, suggest that no time be lost in directing the Collector of Canara to ship with as little delay as possible the same quantity of the usual good quality and that he may be enjoined to be particular in the selection of that (3000 Maunds) required to fulfil the demand contained in our letter No.2350 dated 29th December last. Viz.t the indent for the present year.

i.e. as that brought by the Stalkart Candies 157 + con.d demand.

3. From the above it would appear that the whole Quantity required by the Marine Board was 157 Candies in addition to the 3000 Maunds forming the indent for the current year. In the letter from the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bengal of the 1st February 1843. transmitting the letter from which the foregoing extract has been made, it is however requested that the same quantity (Viz.t as that imported by the Stalkart) be shipped of the usual good quality to enable the Board to fulfil the

demand

demand contained in their communica-
tion of the 29th December last. Viz.
forwarding the Indent for the Cur-
rent year already mentioned. From
Mr. Bayley's letter it would seem
that the quantity applied for in
lieu of that imported by the Statkat
was to be included in the indent for
the present year.

2. The discrepancy between
the communication from the Deputy
Secretary to the Bengal Government
and its enclosure, not adverted
to on the Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation 2d March last, and
it having only recently attracted the no-
tice of the Board, but under the cir-
cumstances I am instructed to refer
for the orders of the Most Noble
the Governor in Council, whether the
3000 Maunds given as the indent for
29th Dec. 1842. 1843-44 is to be considered, inclu-
sive or exclusive of the quantity ship-
ped by the Statkart.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d August 1843.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Ag. Secy.

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

63

From A. C. Conolly Esqre
Collr of Malabar.

Sir

Submitting for the Bd's orders copy of a correspondence lately passed with the Principal Collector of Coimbatore on the subject of the boundary dispute between Malabar and that Collectorate.

From Bd's Dr 11th May 1843.
To Dr " 1st June "
From Dr " 19th Dr "

To the Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 26th June 1843.
From Dr 19th July "

With reference to the papers noted in the Margin on the subject of a boundary dispute between Malabar and Coimbatore, I have the honor to forward copy of two letters which have lately passed between myself and the Principal Collector of the latter District.

Mr. Stroughton's sentiments not only on the merits of the question but also on the intentions of your Board regarding it, as expressed in your letter of the 19th Ultimo, are so utterly different from those I hold, that it seems better to abstain for the present from further correspondence with that Gentleman and request your Board to issue such direct orders as may seem necessary.

J.

11648 Fort St. George 3d August 1843

I hold, as the Board will perceive by my letter to them of the 1st Ultimo that Atticampuddy, referred to in Mr. Wroughton's last letter, para 2, is so far as the forest tract is concerned not only the property but in the actual possession of the Colungode Mumbedi. The facts to prove that this tract belongs to the Mumbidi and to Malabar that it is so entered in the survey Map of the Malabar Forests, made in 1807 by the conservator Major Watson, that Teak cut in this forest flat. Puddy has always hitherto paid duty on exportation to Coimbatore (a fact as it seems to me all but unanswerable) that the boundary stones were clearly ascertained on a personal inspection by my Head Assistant, these and other similar proofs I do not wish to argue in detail in this place, and merely mention with reference to Mr Wroughton's observation, that the mumbidi "has not the slightest shadow of right." I confine myself as appears to be the desire of the Board, to the question of actual occupation

11649 Fort St. George 3d August 1843

occupation and leave it for the Board to determine, whether or no my propositions to Mr Wroughton on this head, as contained in my letter of 26th Ultimo, are so utterly undeserving of attention, as Mr Wroughton would seem to consider.

Mr Wroughton having stationed peons in the disputed tract to prevent the mumbidis people from taking away the Teak trees they have felled, it is clearly desirable that this matter should be brought to a conclusion so soon as possible.

Calicut, 24th July 1843. } I Signed I H. V. Conolly Collector.

No. 1.

To P. C. Wroughton Esqre Principal Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir, On the receipt of the Board's proceedings of the 11th Ultimo having reference to the tract of land in dispute between Malabar and Coimbatore in the vicinity of Colungode I made the application which I now enclose marked A, and received, today the reply marked B,

To 13 of Revr dated 7th June 1843.

From Bd of Revs dated 19th June 1843.

marked B. Under the view of the case now taken by the Board, I trust you will give your people instructions to leave the Collungode Mumbidi in possession of such parts of the disputed tract as it appears evident, from the facts mentioned in my letter to the Board, have hitherto been held by him.

2. Should you have any doubt as to the exact limits of these parts I would suggest the propriety of your deputing your Head Asst. and to settle the point in conjunction with my Head Assistant.

Calicut, 26th June, 1843.

Signed H. V. Conolly Coll.

To H. V. Conolly Esq.re Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch of the 26th June 1843 on the subject of the Collungode Mumbidys claim to certain lands in this District.

2. I do not clearly understand the extent of your proposition to "leave the Collungode Mumbidi in possession of such parts of the disputed tract which you state "have hitherto been held by him" but looking carefully to the correspondence which has taken place, I infer that

that the lands which are referred to are those adjacent to William Puddey and here the Collungode Mumbidy has not the slightest shadow of right, which I am prepared to prove whenever the case may be tried in a Civil Court.

3. Considering the orders of the Board in their recommendation that that Individual should make good his claim by Civil Process, I conceive that the matter, as far as we are mutually situated, is no longer with us and would therefore suggest the expediency of your directing the Mumbidy to pursue the course pointed out for his adoption by the Board of Revenue.

Coimbatore, 2d Coll.re Office, 19th July 1843.

Signed J. C. Wroughton 2d Coll.r

(63-64) Proceedings thereon. Read. The view taken of this case by the Board has been fully explained in their proceedings of the 11th May and letter to the Collector of Malabar of 19th June 1843 in which they held that the proprietary

proprietary right to the tract in dispute could be decided only by a Court of Law, but directed that until such decision were obtained, the several Claimants should retain undisturbed possession of those portions of the land which they at present occupy. The only point therefore which remains to be determined is, the extent to which the respective parties are in the actual occupation of the land in question and considering the nature of the country and the consequences likely to ensue unless the limits within which, pending the issue of an appeal to the Civil Courts, each of the contending parties are to restrict themselves, be clearly defined, the Board approve the suggestion offered by Mr Conolly, and request that the Principal Collector of Coimbatore will depute one of his European Assistants to meet the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar, on the spot, in conjunction with him to

to ascertain the extent to which each party may be said to be in possession of the tract under dispute at the same time fixing the boundaries and warning both the Numbidi and the Coimbatore Ryots that any encroachment on either side will be regarded as an act of trespass, and punished accordingly.

2. It would appear from the correspondence that the greater part of

of the land is Forest, and has never been under cultivation. In this case the custom of felling Timber must be regarded as the principal criterion of occupancy, and the party who has hitherto enjoyed that privilege be allowed to retain it. A similar reference to past practice will also serve to regulate the levy of duty on the timber cut within the disputed territory.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar and the Principal Collector of Coimbatore for their information and guidance.

Read

Read the following letter

To. E.C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(65)

From J. A. Huddleston Esqre
Collector of Madras

Madras
31st. July 1843.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Mr. M. P. Daniel has this day assumed charge of his duties as Acting Deputy Collector of Madras.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Order thereon

(65-66)

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From Mr. Franklin in charge
of the Manaar Survey at Jaffna

(67)

I have the honor forwarding you to be presented to the Board of Revenue, two charts of the Pearl Banks off the Coast of Tennevelly which I trust will be found serviceable in directing the labors of future examiners. A few banks lie to the

Fort St George 3d August 1843

the Northward of these; yet as they have never been known to yield any return, it has not been thought worth while to make a separate chart of them.-

I also take this opportunity of forwarding a set of shells fished during the last examination, and numbered according to their age, Viz.t

No. 1 _____ Spawn
" 2 _____ 6 Months
" 3 _____ 1 Year
" 4 _____ 1½ "
" 5 _____ 2 "
" 6 _____ 3 "
" 7 _____ 4 "

(Signed) John Franklin
in charge of the Manaar Survey

Jaffna, 11th July 1843.

(67-68)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded

(69)

From the Asst Collector of Vizagapatam.- Estimate of advances for cultivation proposed to be made for Fusly 1253.-

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statement noted below and to record their remarks therein.-

Order thereon.

(69-70)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement and submitted for the sanction of Government.-

From

Fort St George 3d August 1843

(71)

From the Superintendent and Treasurer of the Government Bank } Read Statement of contingent charges incurred during the Month of June last.-

(71-72)

Order thereon

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

Read the undermentioned Registers.-

(73)

From the Collector of Guntoor. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of June last.-

(74)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of June last.-

(73-75)

Order on the two abovementioned Registers.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.-

To. The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

(76)

To the Chief Secy to Government.-

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 20th November 1835, I am directed by the Board of Revenue

11458 Fort St George 3rd August 1843

No 1 Copies 100 } Fools-cap paper
" 2 Do: 100 }
" 3 Do: 100 }
" 4 Do: 100 }
" 5 Do: 4000 Country paper

Fools-cap paper } Reams 2 1. 8 2. 8
Country Paper } 4 - 3 - 8

Revenue to request that the number of copies noted in the Margin may be printed at the Fort St George Gazette Press, according to the accompanying forms. The quantity of paper required for the purpose is herewith transmitted.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
3rd August 1843.

(Signed) E C Lovell
A.S Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To, The Acting Principal Collector of Salem

To the A.P. Coll.
of Salem

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition No 1232 of 1843 from Soobbiah late Tahsildar of Woomaloor Talook in your District, and to request that you will be so good as to state by letter the particular cause which led to his dismissal by Mr Orr in 1830, as no reason whatever appears to have been assigned at the time and in the event of his dismissal having been for neglect of duty or other cause unconnected with fraud there would seem no obstacle to his future Employment if he could obtain a situation.

77

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
3rd August 1843.

(Signed) E C Lovell
A.S Secy

To

11459 Fort St George 3rd August 1843.

To, The Acting Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

To the A.S Coll.r of Tanjore

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit your report on Petition No 220 of 1843 transmitted with my letter of the 19th June last.

78

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
3rd August 1843.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
A.S Secretary

To, The Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

Extract from Mss of Con.n Dated 23d March 1843.
In Cons 3. Apl "
B.d Pro: 3. Apl.
To the Coll.r of Trich.y 22d May " "
From 2d of 2. 29th May "
In Cons 6th June "
To 2. of 2. 5th Do.

Adverting to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the transmission of the further information required therein, regarding the quit Rent on premises in the Military Cantonment of Trichinopoly.

79

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
3rd August 1843.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
A.S Secretary

To

1160 Fort St George 3rd August 1843

To The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir

To the Pr Coll: of Madura -

80

The special Report on the Management of the Pullamunthar Estate called for in the Boards Proceedings of the 23rd February, and my letter of the 29th May last, not having yet been furnished, I am directed to request that you will furnish the same with the least practicable delay.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George 3rd August 1843. -

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Asst Secretary

To The Principal Collector of
Canara.

81

Sir

To the Pr Coll: of Canara -

+ 2nd Jan: 1843
19th June - " -

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to draw your attention to the letters noted in the Margin and to request that you will submit at your earliest convenience your Report on the Petitions therein referred to.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 3d August 1843 - -

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Asst Secretary

To

1161 Fort St George 3rd August 1843

To The Collector of
Madras

Sir

To the Coll: of Madras.

82

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit a Statement exhibiting the value of all articles exported by Sea from the Port of Madras in the years 1838/39 1839/40. and 1840/41 for which certificates of payment of Customs duty were issued from your Office and showing what the amount of duty thereon would be at the rate of three per Cent. The Board wish that in this Statement Indigo should be distinguished from other articles.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 3d August 1843. - -

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Asst Sect.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.-

From the Asst Coll: in the Nr Dr of Arcot - - - - - -

83

Return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed from Employment under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of May last.-

From

From the Actg Coll. in the Nr Dn. of Arcot. Return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed from Employment under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.

84

From the Actg Coll. in the Nr Dn. of Arcot. Stating that no Public Servants were suspended or dismissed from Employment for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

85

From the Pr. Coll. of Canara. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of June last

86

From the Pr. Coll. of Canara. Stating that the Return of Persons in confinement in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 is not sent there being no entry to make.

87

From the Coll. of Guntoor. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants from 1st April to 30th June last.

88

From the Coll. of Guntoor. Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines from 1st April to 30th June last.

89

From the Coll. of Guntoor. Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from Employment from 1st April to 30th June last.

90

From the Collector of Madras. Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of June last.

91

From

From the Collector of Madras. Statement of Smuggled Goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of June last.

92

Order on the two abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled goods as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized.

91-93

From the Collector of Madras. Reporting that no Endorsement was made on any of the petitions referred for investigation during the Month of June last.

94

From the Pr. Collector of Nellore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

95

From the Pr. Coll. of Nellore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

96

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

97

From the Sub Coll. in charge of Tinnevelly. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

98

From the Coll. of Rajahmundry. Reporting that the Returns of persons charged with offences and of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of

99

11464 Fort St George 3rd August 1843

of 1822 for the Month of June last are not sent there being no entry to make.-

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed from Office under the provisions of Section VII, Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of June last.-

100

" Monte

" Mahatoo

" Walter Elliot

Compd
J.C.G. Boyle

11465 Fort St George 7th August 1843.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 7th August 1843 } John Dent Esqre Senior Member
A. Robertson, Esqre Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting

Read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22d and entered in Consultation 31st Ultimo.-

Order thereon. Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinapoly with reference to the Board's Proceedings under date 6th April last.-

1

The

11466 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

The Collector will be pleased to supply the Board with the information requested in Para 12 and 13 of these Proceedings which is required to enable them to submit the report called for by Government

Para 8 regarding the propriety of making a new survey and assessment on fixed principles.

The Collector will not lose sight of the orders of Govern:

Para 9 ment directing the practice of taking the lands of defaulting ryots under

circar Management to be discontinued and calling for further information

Para 10 regarding the alienation of the land revenue the privileges enjoyed

Para 11 by Brahmins in certain Villages and the subjects / the Ambavanitty

Channel and the emigration of the ryot to

 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

to Salem / referred to in Para 7 and 8 of the Minutes of Consultation

In Cons. 5 Oct. 1842 of Government of the 17th. September last.

Mr. Onslow will be pleased to explain fully the particulars of such of the sums referred to by Government in Para 3. as cannot be

Para 12. realized and report also as soon as possible on the old outstanding balances in order that the authority of Govern: ment may be obtained for writing off such of them as are irrecoverable.

Read

11468 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

B.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under Date the 29th of July 1843.

From the Chief Sec. to Govt.

2

Read the following letter

To G. D. Drury Esqre

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Home Departt Revenue

Sir,

Para 1

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 609. Dated the 8th Instant furnishing the information required by Mr. Secretary Halliday's letter of the 24th March last, respecting a claim advanced by certain parties to the proceeds of the Enam Villages of Naulpauktum and Mumbundy in the District of South Arcot and in reply to state that the Honorable the President in Council cannot consider the grant hereditary nor does he think that Syed Hoosain Mohdeen would have been entitled to receive the Net collections from the Villages even had his hereditary claim been good for the Documents transmitted with your letter of the 2d March last No. 224 merely contemplate the grant of 150 Pagodas per Mensem to the original grantee.

Under

11469 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

2 Under all the circumstances of the case His Honor in Council sanctions the resumption of the Villages in question and their incorporation with the Government lands and authorizes a money payment to Syed Hoosain Mohdeen of Rupees 525 per annum to be continued during the pleasure of the Government.

3. The original papers which accompanied your letter No. 224 of the 2d March last are returned herewith.

Fort William---
The 28th June 1843

(Signed) J. R. Davidson
Offg Secretary to Government of India

Paras

Resolved that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin. The Board will issue the necessary orders to the Collector of South Arcot for the resumption of the Villages of Naulpauktum and Mumbundy and their incorporation

From the Board of Revenue Dated 10th December 1837 No. 531.
Order of Government thereon 31st Decr 1838 No. 1867.
From Board of Revenue d/19th August 1839 No. 371.
Order of Govt. --- " 10th Septr " " 1140.
From Board of Revs. --- 3d August 1840 " 290
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of 4th Septr 1840 --- No. 980.
From the Board of Revs. d/22d Augt 1842 " 356.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation d/11th October 1842, No. 1201
Extract from the Proc. of the Board of Revs. d/19th January 1843. No. 35
Order of Govt. 2d May " " 453
From Bd of Revs. 29th " " " 255

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

incorporation with the Government lands and for the payment to Syed Hussain Mohdeen the son of the last proprietor Bauker Hussain of an allowance of Rupees Five hundred and twenty five per annum, to commence from # Fusly 1248 the period from which payment of the proceeds of the Villages was stopped under the instructions contained in Para 5 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated the 4th of September 1840 No. 980-

Statement furnished by the Collector of South Arcot received with the Board's letter of the 29th May 1843 - No. 253

2- His Lordship in Council directs that it may be distinctly explained to the party that the allowance now authorized will be continued only during the pleasure of Government-

3 Ordered that the letter above recorded and the resolutions thereon be communicated to the Offices of Account and Audit for their information and guidance-

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue

Resolution thereon,

2-3 Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of South Arcot with reference to Mr. Hallett's letter of the 17th May last- The Acting Collector will be pleased to make the communication directed in Para 2 to Syed Hoossain Mohdeen-

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

From the Chief Secy. to Government communicating observations and order on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1251-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th July 1843.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Relative to the Settlement of the Land revenue in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1251- corresponding with A. D. 1841/42.

/ Here enter 1st June 1843 No. 272 /

4 Para 1 The foregoing Proceedings exhibit a very satisfactory result of the Management of the Land Revenue, of the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1251- The season though somewhat too early for the Dry crops is represented to have proved beneficial to the wet and Garden Lands which the Principal Collector states "produced most abundantly of their kind and of excellent quality throughout the District the only exception being the Pulladum talook which arose from local causes-

2- The wet Settlement including Poligar's Peishcush amounted to Rupees 21,18,939 - 12 - 2 and exhibited an a comparison with that of

Fusly 1251 Rupees 21,18,939-12-2
1250 — " 20,26,327-4-10
Rupees 92,612-7-4

Fusly 1250 an increase of Rupees 92,612 - 7 - 4 and is

is stated to have exceeded the average
of the previous ten years by upwards
P. Coll.rs report of a lac of Rupees - Of the current demand
Rupees 2107902 - 0 - 11 - were collected within
the fusly leaving a balance at its close
of Rupees 11037 - 11 - 3. which has since
been reduced to Rupees 3476 - 1 - 2.

p. 2-3 3. Notwithstanding the fall
in prices regarding which the Board of
Revenue have noticed a discrepancy in
the Principal Collectors Statements it is
satisfactory to learn that the collections
were made with facility and that so
small a portion of the demand remained
p. 4 in arrears a result highly creditable
to the Management of Mr. Haughton.

4 Considering the length of
time the indulgence of reduced as
assessment was enjoyed by the parties
who reclaimed land from the forests
of the Palachy talook the levy of
the full rate during the present
season was a proper measure. It
does not however appear that
the engagement which the cultivators
stipulated any specific period
for the operation of the Cowle and
to

to guard against the indulgence being
construed into a Grant in perpetuity His
Lordship in Council is of opinion that
in all engagements of this nature the
term of years for which the cowle is
allowed should be invariably specified.
The Board have recently been put in
possession of the sentiments of the Hon-
able the Court of Directors respecting
the Cowles of the Coimbatore District
and His Lordship in Council thinks
it will be sufficient on the present
occasion to quote their orders - "All cases
'requiring relief for which the ordinary
Rev. Desp. 1st March 1843. "rules do not provide should be referred
No. 5 para 38. "for the orders of Government, as neither
"the Collectors nor the Board of Revenue
"are at liberty to authorize any depar-
"ture from the fixed rules laid down
"for their guidance without the sanction
"of superior authority."

para 5 5. The observations recorded
by Government in the Minutes of Con-
sultation of the 17th July 1843 do
not apply to the cases referred to by
the Principal Collector which relate
to adjustments of the remuneration
of

of Village servants and not to exchange
of lands comprising their Maniums.
6- The remarks of the Board
para 6 @ 18 of 21. communicated in these paragraphs
for the guidance of the local officers
are appropriate but in regard to
the subject of Complaints of in:or
-correct measurement adverted to
in Para 17. His Lordship in Council
desires to be informed if the survey
accounts of the District
-tracted by the Collector at the time of the
Survey and whether measures are taken
at the period of the Settlement to test them
in order to secure them from improper
alterations.

para 20 7 Sanction is granted for
writing off in the accounts of the Coim:
-batore Collectorate the balance of Land
revenue for years antecedent to Fusly
1251. reported to be "desperate" as
amounting to Rupees Eleven
thousand Seven hundred and Sixty and
Pice one Viz:-

Fusly

Fusly	1245 Rupees	805-15-4
	1246 — "	3,812-7-3
	1247 — "	1746-2-4
	1248 — "	418-0-10
	1249 — "	1,295-15-4
	1250 — "	3,681-7-"
	Rupees	11,760-0-1

para 22 8- The testimony borne by
the Principal Collector to the conduct of
Mr. I. Bird the Sub Collector, and Mr.
Goldie his 2d Assistant is creditable to
those Gentlemen.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Order thereon. Ordered that copy of the
4-5 foregoing Extract be furnished to the
Principal Collector of Coimbatore with
reference to the Boards Proceedings under
date 1st June last.

The Principal Collector
will not overlook the orders of Govern-
ment in Para 4 regarding the grant
of Cowles and will be pleased to report
as required in para 6 whether the
survey accounts of his District were
duly

duly authenticated at the time of the survey and whether proper measures are taken at the Settlement to test and secure them from improper alterations

From the Chief Sec. to Government

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in North Arcot for Fusly 1251.

(6)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 31st July 1843.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenues in the Northern Division of Arcot for Fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$.

Here Enter 13th April 1843 No. 1891

Para 1

The season may be considered an average one, the Land Settlements

Fusly 1249	Rs.	17,38,703
1250	"	16,25,099
Fusly 1251		
Aumanie	"	16,70,226-10-4
Permanently Settled	"	4,50,953-7-3
Total Rupees	"	21,21,180-1-7

shewing an increase of 45,126 Rupees over that of the preceding Fusly, but a decrease of Rupees 68,477 below that of Fusly 1249 which however was a better year than had been experienced for the previous Sixteen.

2- The Collections within the Fusly inclusive

inclusive of Permanent Peishcush amounted to 18,03,2,39 Rs the remainder or Rupees 3,17,940 being so far realized as to leave a balance at the end of February in the large sum of Rupees 14,009 6 which is explained to have resulted from the low price of grain being 16 per Cent less than the commutation price in the Principal Collectors Division and 28 per Cent less than the survey rate of assessment in the Subordinate Division in which it is stated the Ryots were unwilling to part with their grain from the hope of a rise in the Market. It is still satisfactory to observe that the balance of Rupees 66,186 outstanding in the sub Division in the Month of August 1842, was reduced to Rupees 13,208. in November as following.

Para 3

3- The Board consider the Difference in the prices of Grain in the two Divisions of the District to be remarkable in their relative position with respect to Markets and it is concluded they will call upon the Principal Collector for an explanation which upon local enquiry may enable him to satisfy the doubts the Board have expressed

Para 17 Mr. Bourdillon's letter dated 11th August 1842

expressed upon the subject.

Para 36 Collectors report

4 Regarding the heavy outstanding balance 1,25,707 Rupees pr against the Vaetnugger Zemindary in November, the Government observe that the Estate was attached in May preceding, and a temporary European administration of its affairs sanctioned on the 28th of July 1842, and they would wish to be informed of the results of this arrangement.

para 3

5. It is observed that the commutation price which has hitherto been entered in previous reports at Rupees 85-6-5 per garce is quoted in Mr. Goldingham's letter at Rupees 84-5-2 while the Sub Collector states it to be in his Division 25 Pagodas or Rupees 87-8- His Lordship in Council is not satisfied which of these three rates is correct he therefore desires to be furnished with an explanation of the grounds upon which Mr. Goldingham has now pronounced the correct price of the commutation to be Rupees 84-5-2. and of the principle on which the commutation

rate has been calculated.

6. In para 23 the Collector states that the indulgence of Jeazgary has been confined to the cultivation raised by the privileged classes "whether with their own ploughs or let on warum" or division of the crop. The Government understand that the indulgence is granted to certain privileged classes only when their own stock is employed. The Board will therefore be pleased to explain why the indulgence has been granted when the land was let on warum.

para 8

7. The plan of Settlement adopted by the Sub Collector and recommended by the Board is doubtless desirable, as being more in accordance with the principles of a Ryotwar Settlement and His Lordship in Council would be glad to see it extended. The Cummums of a few Villages at a time being required to assemble and the preliminary measures of examining their accounts and preparing the individual Chitta for each Village in

Fort St George 7th August 1843.

in communication with the Ryots being completed there can be no difficulty in explaining to them their respective holdings and the demands on them, ascertaining and overruling their objections and obtaining their signatures to their respective Cittas when the Collector being on the spot the puttahs may be distributed and the Ryots dismissed

8.

The seed beds when not subsequently cultivated have been properly excluded from the Summah.

9.

The Government are not aware that any report has been submitted to them on the tendency remarked upon by the Sub Collector in Fusly 1247- of occupying the lower assessed lands to the abandonment of the richer and higher taxed soils.

para 13 Mr. Bourdillon's letter 9/11th Augt 1842.

Para 14 Board's Proceedings Fusly 1248- 10

The Board are in possession of the sentiments of the late Principal Collector on this point and promised to take up the question at an early opportunity.-

11.

The Government would also wish to be made acquainted with the results of

Fort St George 7th August 1843.

Vide above para of the revision of the survey and assessment of the Talooks in which the above mentioned transfer of labour is more particularly observed.

They would be glad if

12.

a Report from the Board on these subjects at their earliest convenience and should the expediency of altering the assessment be determined upon perhaps the undefined and unequal tax of the hoe and plough might also be canvassed with a view to the introduction of a more regular system-

13.

The Management of the District demands the approbation of the Government and is creditable to the authorities engaged.

A true Extract
Signed G. D Drury
Chief Secretary

Order thereon- Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract be furnished for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to the Board's Proceedings under date 13th April last.

(6-7)

Mr. Babington will

2.

be pleased to inform the Board on the principle on which Mr. Goldingham calculated

Para 3 & 5

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

calculated the commutation price of Grain at Rupees 84-5-2. per Garce and explain the remarkable difference between the prices in the Sub Collectors Division and the principal part of the District.-

3.

He will also be pleased to explain why the indulgence of Teagary has been allowed on lands let on a warum

4.

The matters referred to in Para 3 to the conclusion of the above Extract will be the subject of a future communication.

From the Chief Sec. to Government

8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th of August 1843

Read again Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23d March 1843, No. 141 recorded in Diary to Consultation 11th April last.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Submitting with reference to the order of Government dated 10th April last a letter from the Principal Collector of Canara and stating that the Petitioner in No. 606. of 1842, having failed to produce the original document on which he founds his claim to the exclusive possession of a certain Tank it appears to the Board that any interference in his favor is unnecessary.

Para 1.-

/ Here enter 6th July 1843 No. 337 /

The Most Noble the Governor in

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

in Council entirely agrees with the Board of Revenue, in their view of the Petitioners claim to the possession of the Tank referred to by him in his address to Government of the 25th May 1842, and he accordingly directs that the following Endorsement be communicated through the Board, in reply to

No. 606 of 1842

Pandooreng Eswunt Devekur-

"The Petitioner is informed that under the circumstances detailed in a report submitted by the Principal Collector of Canara on the subject of his claim the Government do not consider it necessary to interfere in the case the Petitioner can however sue in the Civil Court to recover possession of the property referred to, if he thinks proper to do so."

His Lordship in Council

2.

cannot however refrain from remarking that Mr. Reade ought not to have disposed of disputed property in the manner he did, without the orders of Superior authority.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

A true Extract

/ Signed / G. D. Drury

Chief Secretary

Resolved

11484 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Resolution thereon.

8-9

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information of the Principal Collector of Canara with reference to his letter of the 14th June last & the Hon. Blair will be pleased to communicate the observations contained in Para 2 to his assistant Mr. Reade and cause to be delivered to Pandooreng Eswunt Deseker the accompanying order of Government on his Petition No. 606 of 1842.

10.

From the Collector of Ganjam

Abstract statement of Persons in confinement in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822.

Ganjam District Collector's Cutcherry Ganjam 25th July 1843

10-11

Order thereon-

Read the following letter

To, The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

The abstract statement noted in the Margin for the Half year from 1st January to 30th June 1843, is not forwarded no cases intended to be embraced by it having occurred during that period.

/ Signed / R. A. Bannerman Collector.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To C. C. Lovell Esqre Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

12

From the Asst. Collector of Vizagapatam

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge

11485 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 7th June and 13th instant, requiring information in reference to the practice that obtains in some Districts of adjusting payment of ready Money allowances to the families of Poligars and others by deduction from the Peiz of the annual settlement without exhibiting the same in the accounts forwarded to the Civil auditor and Accountant General.

2. In reply I beg to state that no such payments are made in this district. In Palcondah certain Military retainers of the Exc family called Serustoodars receive a remission on the lands they cultivate, an amount which is deducted from the Kistbundy Peiz in each year, under an arrangement sanctioned by the Board and Government of Late the 10th November 1835. But these it is supposed do not come within the meaning of the Poligar payments to which reference is made by the Board of Revenue in the communication under reply.

/ Signed / P. B. Smallatt Acting Collector

Vizagapatam Collector's Cutcherry 28th July 1843.

12-13

Order thereon-

Ordered to lie on the table

Read

11486 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

Read the following letters.

14

From the Collector of Rajahmundry 3 Paras

Reporting on Petition No. 327 presented by the Inhabitants of the Palacoodarao Village in the Woondy Talook received with the Board's letter of the 23d March 1843.

Vide my Summakbundy report of 3rd April 1843 Para 3

* Original No. 327 of 1843.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of 23d March last, and with reference to the accompanying Petition presented to the Board of Revenue by certain of the Inhabitants of the Paulacoodarao Village in the Woondy Talook of this District, I beg leave to state that as the nature of this Village will not admit of its being settled on a Pyarah tenure, I have had no course open to me, but to allow the Inhabitants to pay only Rupees two thousand two hundred and fifty 7250 1840/41. the rent amount of Fusly 1249 1839/40. until I receive your further orders,

at the same time I cannot help recording my regret that the Board should have allowed the authority of Government to be weakened in this District, which it can ill bear, by sacrificing me to as complete an instance of intrigue as ever existed.

2. In my letter of 28th December 1838. and 24th January 1839. I expressed my intention of making use of the survey to form a realizable temporary Settlement until the final completion of the survey, and my intentions were most fully supported by the Board's orders of 22d August 1839

Before

Para 5 + Para 1

Board's Proceedings Paras 24 to 29

11487 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Before I adopted the sum of Rupees 2,464-3-4 not as a survey assessment, but as a more moderate temporary assessment than the original Permanent Settlement I had as fully as it was practicable satisfied myself of its correctness though of course I was prepared for some correction of its principles which might affect the amount slightly.

Permanent Settlement 2,247-2-4

3. The steps taken by me to satisfy myself to the correctness of the assessment were as follows. First, I saw the Inhabitants before me at Cocanada and they said that it was correct after which I saw them in the month of April 1841. on the spot, and they repeated the same opinion, subsequently after I had left the Talook, some discussion arose and I instantly sent my servants and had another examination made, on which the Inhabitants again appeared before me at Sevacodoo in the Ragarum Talook and stated that all was right and subsequently the amaraeum or cultivation Settlement of Fusly 1251 1841/42. was made on the amount thus ascertained without the slightest objection, and it was not until some time afterwards when the Inhabitants of the large Villages

11488 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

Garagapurroo Permanent Settlement	1536	Villages of Garagapurroo
Average Collections of 10 years from fasly 1242 / 1832/33 to 1251 / 1841/42	2568-9-6	and Yendagundy observed the fraudulent misappropriation
Yendagundy Permanent Settlement	6902-11-8	of the Inayettee land which the survey was
Average Collections of 10 years from fasly 1242 / 1832/33 to fasly 1251 / 1841/42	4499-7-2	bringing to light, that recourse was

had to sending to Madras Cattapilly Venkatapatty rauze who is known as a regular idle and intriguing character and who has never actually taken the slightest part in the cultivation of the Palacodaroo Village.

4. In conclusion I beg leave to state that no property of any of the Inhabitants of this Village has been sold under my orders nor has any Petition of Appeal been preferred against any attachment under Regulation V. of 1822. Section IV Clause II

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Office Cocanada
31st May 1843

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Ordered

11489 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Order thereon. (14-15)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.—

To C. B. Lowell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(16)

Sir.

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry — Para. 1 Submitting copy of the Decree of the Provincial Court of appeal N. D. in the Suit of late Goday Soorya Rao against the Collector of this District and the Draft of proposed Appeal Petition regarding the same to the Sudr Court and requesting to be informed if the Government will be pleased to order still its prosecution by the Collector.

Vide his Petition to Board No. 1825 of 1842 with Board's Endorsement of 23rd May 1843.

I have the honor to forward copy of a Decree passed against me in the Provincial Court Northern Division in No. 28 of 1840 Provincial Courts file Vide letter from the Actg. Secy. to Govt. 30th August 1842. the Suit noted in the Margin the defence of which was left to be conducted at my own risk, as well as Draft of an Appeal; which I request

No. 2.

authority to make at my own risk to the Court of Sudder Udalut.

2. Having been opposed to Government in my objections to signing a Razeenamah in this case on conscientious motives alone from the principles entertained by me both privately and publickly, that neither I could dispose of nor any Court decree away the property of Vutchavoy Sooria Narain Jagaputtyrauze Proprietor of Cottam Mootah

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Mootah without his being a party to the Suit in Court, I am bound now to offer the only atonement in my power to Government, Viz.t an apology for my conduct and to submit to their consideration whether they will be willing to relieve me from the case and mediate for its final settlement as its further continuance by myself may partake of a construction of personality which Government may be desirous to avoid.

3. As so much has passed regarding this case and as the Appeal contains every point necessary, I shall not embarrass Government by any further observations, but merely explain that I was not aware of the order of the Court of Sudder Udalut of 7th November 1809, under which this case ought to have been dismissed after the death of Goday Sooria Pracassarow, until after the Decree was passed. If Government are willing that I should make the Appeal myself, I request that intimation may be given to me, so that I may be enabled to make it within the prescribed time, the 13th September 1843.

Zillah Rajahmundry.
Coll.r Office
Cocanada, 13th July 1843 } (Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector.

Decree

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

No. 1

14 Stamps

Decree of the Northern Provincial Court of Appeal
Original Suit No. 28 of 1840. Provincial Court file.

Plaintiff		Defendant
Goday Sooria Pracassarow deceased carried on by his widow Sanakiah	Versus	G. A. Smith Esq.re Collector of Rajahmundry.
G. Seetiah P. Boocheesastry	Vakeels.	T. Soobaroydoo Vakeel

(Signed) J. Horsley
Offg 1st Judge.

(L.S.)

1. The Plaintiff in his plaint states that the river Tandavanuddy divides the Rajahmundry and Vizagapatam Districts (Plan A) in this river are four banks marked B.C.D and E. the banks B and C. are in the Golgoondah Talook in the Vizagapatam District the bank D is in the Cotton Mootah in the Rajahmundry District, the bank E is in the Zemindary of Plaintiff in the Vizagapatam District the bank E causes the water in the river to follow to six Villages in the

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

the Plaintiff's Zemindary. there is a channel from the river marked E which conveys water to Streeramapooram Hoondah belonging to the Plaintiff's Zemindary, yielding annually Rupees 15,000. on the opposite side of the river to F are four channels G H I and J. these also convey water to Plaintiff's Zemindary Villages The Villagers of Cavalapadoo in the Cottam Mootah of the Rajahmundry District receive water from the channel F. land in that Village, yielding Rupees 760, is watered by the channel.

2d, Chittrapee Chellamiah, who was appointed Head of Police and Ameen of Cottam Mootah by Mr. Smith, the defendant, reported to the Collector, that contrary to Maunrod Plaintiff's Manager had raised the bank marked E two years ago, and consequently the water was stopped from the fields of Cavalapadoo, which yields annually Rupees 2050. Mr. Smith sent a Copy of this report to Mr. Arbuthnot, acting Collector of Vizagapatam, on the 26th August 1837, which Mr. Arbuthnot sent to Plaintiff who replied on the 14th September 1837.

stating

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

stating that the bank had long been in the river and it was the custom to repair it. The Defendant, without waiting for the answer from the Collector of Vizagapatam, gave orders to the Cottam Mootah Ameen to cut away the bank E. this order the ameen carried into execution on the 20th September 1837, by partly cutting away the bank E. afterwards the whole of the bank was cut away on the 18th November 1837. Plaintiff applied by letter to the Collector of Vizagapatam to have the bank remade or Plaintiff would suffer great loss. The Collector of Vizagapatam in his reply to Plaintiff dated 21st November stated that, the Defendant had informed him that in 1825 the then Zemindar had attempted to make the bank contrary to custom, that Mr. Hanbury the Collector of Rajahmundry, ordered it not to be made and Mr. Bayard, the Collector of Vizagapatam, made no objection to Mr. Hanbury's Orders; that the Bhoomekalva, the channel into which the water is thrown by the bank E. was cut 100 years ago, and this channel would have been of no use, had it not been for the bank E, the channels F G H I and J which are below E, are filled by the

water

water, which flows over E. and also from the water, which drains through the sand into the bed of the river E. The permanent afsefsment for the six villages of Payekrowpettah and Goodechenkah Boondahs was made on the advantage derived from the Bhoome-calvah and the Villagers whose lands receive water through the channels F G H and I never made any objections to the bank E which they would have done had the bank E. been contrary to custom.

3. That after the Cottam Mootah was put in poffeffion of Doorvianarain Gajaputty nauze, Plaintiff wrote to him about the bank E. he consented to have it remade as it was formerly when Plaintiff attempted to do this, the Defendant prevented him. Plaintiff then applied to the Board of Revenue, they ordered an investigation to be made, which was done by Mr. Mason, the Head Afsistant, who reported the bank was customary - the proceedings were sent to the Board of Revenue, who ordered the bank to be remade - this was done in July 1839.

4. The Plaintiff therefore sues for the loss sustained in 1837, being Rupees 136-12-4-6 he does not sue for loss in 1838, as in that year there was no rain.

The

5. The Defendant in his answer states that Cottam Mootah was under the management of the Collector from 1819 to 1838. that in 1825. Mr. Hanbury prevented the bank (E.) from being made, and wrote to the Collector of Vizagapatam on the subject on the 22nd June 1825. No appeal was made against this order of Mr. Hanbury. In 1837 28 and 29. Mr. Snatey, in 1833 Mr. Crawley refused to have the bank made. in 1837 the Plaintiff raised the bank and Defendant enforced the order of his predecessors on the 2nd September 1838. Soorrianarainrow Gajeputty Rauze that the orders of the Board were that the Plaintiff should make a temporary embankment near the mouth of the Bhoomekala channel.

6. The Plaintiffs widow in her reply states that the Collectors have no right to decide about the erection of the bank E. that Mr. Hanbury on a false report of the Cottam Mootah Tahsildar, ordered the bank to be cut away - that Mr. Hanbury being in charge of the Cottam Mootah could not make any enquiry, respecting the bank and therefore no appeal was made against his orders by the Plaintiffs husband, who was not then the Proprietor of Godecherlah Mootah that Defendant has made no objection

to

to the amount of loss claimed by Plaintiffs husband.

7. The Defendant in his rejoinder states that when the attempt was made to make the bank E in 1825 Mr Hanbury sent a letter to the Collector of Vizagapatam regarding it, that if Mr Hanbury's decision was wrong, the then Proprietor would have complained against it, or Plaintiff might have complained when he purchased the Estate. the Plaintiff should have brought a suit for the right to make the bank, that the Plaintiff's widow has no right to conduct the suit, as the Godecherlah Hoondah was transferred to Vadarmoody Veeriah Puntoolu and Soonka Audenarsidoo neither is the Streerampooram Hoondah in possession of Plaintiff's widow.

Plaintiff's witnesses 13 Documents — 21

Defendants Dr — 2 Dr — 28

8. The Plaintiff nominated 17 witnesses, of whom the Plaintiff's Vakeel stated he did not require four.

The Plaintiff's 1st witness is the Curnom of Payakerawpettah and Lutchmeepooram, is aged 60 years, has known the bank across the river Pondavah all his life, about 18 years ago the Amuldar of Poony Simmiah Puntoolu cut away about a fathom of the bank. this was reported to the Proprietor of

of Godecherlah Hoondah Veerasalingum. his people came and repaired the bank. no one touched the bank afterwards for four or five years after this, the Poone Amuldar, on the representation of the Poone and Cavalapaud Villagers, again cut the bank. it was again repaired by Veerasalingum's people in 1837 by Chelmiah. Defendants 2nd Witness, came and cut the bank, it had a short time before been repaired by Plaintiff. but in these repairs, the bank had been in no respect altered from what it was before.

10. In every 15 days Cavalapaudoo Village receives by the Moottah Calvah marked E in the Plan No 22 Plaintiff's document 2½ days water, and the Villages belonging to Plaintiff in the Streerampooram Moottah receive from the same channel 11½ days water.

11. In consequence of the bank being cut, there was loss in the Villages of Payakerawpettah and Lutchmeepooram. Both Villages were rented in Fasly 1837. The Kist of Payakerawpettah for wet land is Rupees 400. the quantity of produce was only 1½ Garce paddy. the price 30 or 31 Rupees a Garce. the amount collected that year was 44 or 45 Rupees. Lutchmeepooram was also rented. The Kist is about Rupees

Rupees 500 for the wet land this Village
yielded in that year only 4½ Garce at
30 or 31 Rupees a Garce - the Amount
collected was about Rupees 100.

12. The 7th Witness is Village
Moonsiff of Payekrowpettah and Lutch
=meepooram. The Kist of Payekrow
=pettah is Rupees 300 for the wet land
it produced in 1837 only 1½ the amount
collected was Rupees 50. The Kist of Lutch
=meepooram for wet Land is Rupees 400-
only Rupees 130 was collected in 1837.

13. The 10th Witness is an
inhabitant of Lutchmeepooram the Kist
for the wet land is Rupees 400 the Village
in 1837 yielded only 4½ Garce. The
Zemindar (Plaintiff) took this produce
at 30 Rupees the Garce (Rupees 135).

14. The 2nd Witness was the
Sumtdar of Namavaram Chinna
Ramabedrapooram and Davaram
from 1834 to 1838 has known the dam
to exist the last 40 years speaks
of Chellamiah having cut the dam in
1837 - that when the water comes down
the

the river in the raines, the top of the dam
is carried away, which is afterwards repaired
states the Kist of Namavaram to be
Rupees 3000 for wet land - that it yielded
60 Garce - price of paddy 30 Rupees a Garce
the Kist of Chinaramabhadrapooram Rupees
800 - yielded 19 Garce Kist of Davarom Rupees
1200 yielded 30 Garce.

15. The 8th Witness is a Cultivator
of Namavaram - the 9th Witness is the Moons
=siff of Namavaram they both give the
same account of the Kist &c in this Village
as the 2nd Witness.

16. The 11th Witness states that
during the years 1834 to 1838, his uncle,
the 2nd Witness was Sumtdar - Witness
wrote for him the accounts of Chinna
Ramabadrapooram - the Kist of the
Village is Rupees 800 - the produce was
about 20 Garce, amount collected about
Rupees 600.

17. The 13th Witness states the
Kist and Collection of Davarum to be the
same as 2nd Witness.

18. The 6th Witness is the Curnum
of Crattacottah the lands of this Village
are

are watered from the Nadeetank. which filled by the Bhoomee Channel. Arattacottah was rented in 1837- the Kist is Rupees 3,000 for the wet land it yielded 25 Garce only in 1837- the price of Paddy Rupees 30.

19. The 15th Witness is an inhabitant of Arattacottah- he gives the same account as 6th Witness regarding Kist and produce.

20 The 3d and 4th Witnesses are inhabitants of Gontepully in the Godecherlah Hoondah- they are both old men- say they have known the dam to be in existence for 50 years.

21. The 17th Witness states, he knows the signature to the letter No 1 dated 24th September 1838 is that of the Cottam Mootah Zemindar.

22. The Defendant's 1st Witness was Sahsildar of Soony in May 1837, and remained in that situation until June 1838- he speaks of the Villagers of Soony and Cavalapaud complaining to him that the proprietor of Godecherlah Hoondah Veerasalingum, was about to erect a dam which in 1825 when Mr Hanbury was Collector had by his order been destroyed, that he, witness referred

referred to the records and also enquired of the inhabitants of Cottam Mootah, who told him the dam was not customary, he therefore ordered it to be destroyed; that Vurdimloo, the Curnum of Ramabadrapooram, and V. Chellamiah told him it was unjust to remove the dam Veerasalingum was going to build the dam with stones.

23. The 2d Witness C. Chellamiah was Sahsildar of Soonee he states that the Villagers of Soonee and Cavalapaud complained to him of the dam, that on looking at the records, he found that in 1825 Mr Hanbury had ordered the dam to be destroyed; that in 1829 Mr Anstey had given the same order, in 1829 there was another order from Mr Anstey and in 1833 there was an order from Mr Crawley; from the above orders and from the reports of the Cottam Mootah Villagers, he considered that the dam was contrary to custom; that if the dam was to be allowed to remain, nor water could run into the Mootah Channel, that the dam had been in existence for two years, during which the Crops in Soonee and Cavalapaud were destroyed; these Villages were rented to the inhabitants. they instituted no suit for the recovery of the loss they had sustained

Sustained.-

24. The Witnesses on both sides say that the water in the Mootah Channel is divided into 18 parts, for 2½ days, the Villagers of Poony and Cavalapaud are supplied and the remaining 11½ days, the water supplies the Sreer umpooram Koondah.-

25 From the evidence for the Plaintiff, it is clearly proved that the dam is customary. It is proved by the Defendants witnesses, that all the reports made by the Tahsildar were founded on the Ex parte statements of the Villagers of Poonay Cavalapaud, and other Villages in the Bottom Mootah and the orders issued by the Collector were founded on these reports.-

26. By the Defendants document No 26, it is shewn that the dam, which Veeravalingum wished to erect, was not a temporary one, but was composed of Stones. the dam, which the Plaintiff erected was one composed of mud and bushes.-

27 The Defendant founds the justice of his act in destroying the dam on the orders of Mr. Hanbury and the Collectors who succeeded him, but it is shewn by

by the order of Mr. Hanbury dated 22nd November 1827, that the portion of Stones, which Veeravalingum had put down, Mr. Hanbury directed, should not be moved, unless they obstructed the passage of the water, and it is shewn by Mr. Mawood, the head assistant of Vizagapatam, report dated 30th March 1839, that the work which Veeravalingum performed was totally different from the dam now in litigation; he says the bunds removed by Mr. Hanbury and Mr. Smith are situated in different places, and were constructed for different purposes - about 300 Yards below the Mouth of the Channel, the water, in passing over the dam caused the ground on the other side to sink and thereby occasioned a breach in the dam, this hole was filled up by Veeravalingum with baskets, filled with Stones, with a "Stake fence round them, in order that on the freshes coming down, the sand might collect and form a bank, this work was not carried across the rivers, and was the one which was removed by Mr. Hanbury; previous to that being done, the object having been effected, the Proprietor made no further

effort

effort to have it replaced but the earthern
dam was repaired as usual, and has remained
so until removed last year - Mr. Hanbury's
acts therefore were no rule nor precedent
for Mr Smith, neither were the acts of the
other Collectors, for, as has been shewn,
they were founded on the reports of Tahsildar,
who had been guided merely by ex parte and
interested Statements.-

28. The Moattah Channel was
cut and is kept in repair by the Plaintiff,
from this Channel he receives 11½ days
water in every fifteen days if the dam
prevents the water going to Taonee and
Cavalapaud, it must also prevent it
going to the Plaintiff's Villages in the
Streerampooram Hamlah it is impossible
to believe that he would commit an act
which would deprive him of so large a
quantity of water. this Circumstance therefore
is a sufficient guarantee that the Plaintiff
will not erect such a dam as will totally
prevent a sufficient portion of the
water coming down below the dam.-

29. The Plaintiff has calculated
his loss on wrong principles - he had
deducted

deducted the actual produce of the year
Hevalumbee 1839 from the average produce
and the difference is the loss he claims,
but the only portion to which the Plaintiff
is entitled is the price of the actual
produce deducted from the sum the
Villagers would have paid had not the
dam been cut.-

	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Paye kirumpettah kist	400 collected	45	Loss 355
Lutchmeepooram	400	135	265
Kamaram	3000	180	1200
China Ramabadrapooram	800	570	230
Arathe cottah	1200	900	300
	3000 / 8800	450 / 4200	2250 / 4600

30 The loss sustained by Plaintiff
is Rupees 4,600.-

31. That the Dam is customary and that
the defendant had no authority to destroy
it is satisfactorily proved It is therefore
decreed that the Plaintiff has a right to
construct a mud dam with bushes across
the river. It is also proved that the Defendant
in destroying this Dam caused a loss to
Plaintiff of Rupees 4,600, which sum
he is decreed to pay to Plaintiff. the
remainder amount of Loss is disallowed
the

the parties will pay costs on the amount allowed and disallowed -

32. And it is further resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested therein and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent -

Stamp duty on institution under section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816	Rs. 350	a. a. ——	
Fees due to Plaintiffs pleaders			
Gadecherla Seetah	105	9	—
Boochea Sastry	316	11	—
		422	4 —
Fee due to Defendants Pleader J. Scobbary do		422	4 —
Value of Stamp paper filed by Plaintiff		164	8 —
Value of Stamp paper filed by Defendant		214	8 —
Expences for 13 Witnesses of Plaintiff		321	— —
Expences for 2 Witnesses of Defendant		70	8 —
Total		1,968	— —
Deduct amount already paid by Defendant		288	— —
		1,680	— —
Amount decreed to Plaintiff		4,600	— —
		6,280	— —
Deduct, amount to be borne by Plaintiff Proofs of Stamp duty		209	— —
Difference of Defendants Pleaders fees		192	4 —
		392	4 —
Nat balance due by Defendant to Plaintiff		5887	12 —

Company's Rupees five thousand eight hundred and Eighty Seven and annas twelve -

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 28th March A. D. 1843 -

Delivered in Court this 28th March A. D. 1843.

The fair Copy of this Decree having been by order of the Court sealed and signed on the 14th June 1843. (Signed) E. B. Glass
Asst 2d Judge
For Regr

the time of appeal will expire on the 13th September 1843

(Signed) E. B. Glass
Asst 2d Judge
For Regr

True Copy

(Signed) E. B. Glass
Asst 2d Judge
For Regr

B

Draft.

To

The Court of Sudder Udalut

An appeal Petition from G. A. Smith Esqr Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry Defendant in Original Suit No. 28 of 1840 on the file of Provincial Court of appeal Northern Division.

With regard to the complaint filed by the late Goday Soorie Pracasa row, the Husband of the present Plaintiff in the said original Suit stating, that in consequence of one Chitrapoo Chelmiah the then ameen of the Cottam Talook which had been attached under orders of the Court in this District, having removed under my orders of 20th September 1837 a cross bank marked F, which was raised across the Tandam Nady river, carrying water to Paikarowpettah &c Six Villages attached to his Proprietary Estate Goodecherlah Hondah in the Vizagapatam District, and dividing the Rajahmundry and Vizagapatam Districts he sustained a loss for that Fusly 1247 on the year Havalumby of 1361 R. S. for want of water and that the said loss should be made good by me; the

acting

acting 1st Judge of the said Provincial Court passed a Decree under date the 28th March 1843 (the fair Copy of which was signed and sealed on 14th June 1843 and a Publication was made that the time of appeal will expire on 13th September 1843) adjudging that the Plaintiff has right to raise a Mud Bank with bushes across the river, notwithstanding the Plaint itself does not contain a prayer to that effect, and that I should pay to the Plaintiff Rupees 4,600 under the loss said to have been sust- ained by him together with the charges of suit amount- ing to Rupees 1287. 12.- though he the acting 1st Judge, states that the Plain- tiff has calculated his loss on wrong principles.-

Being dissatisfied with the decision in question, I beg leave to submit the present appeal with reference to the following reasons.-

1. The cross bank marked E. having been recently raised to the injury of certain Villages of the Cottam Estate, I was obliged to issue orders for its removal not as an act

act of my own, but in the necessary support of the acts of my three Predecessors Majr. Hawbury Ansley and Crawley who had resisted the like former Attempts to raise it under the authority vested in them by Regulation V of 1822. for the prevention of losses in this way and in order that under Regulation XI of 1816 Section IIth Clause IIIth the right of the Estate might be maintained as received from the Court, such support of a Predecessors acts being declared imperative by the Sudder Courts Circular order of 28th January 1830. When these orders were passed the Cottam Estate was the property of a Zemindar and placed under the Management of the Collector by order of the Court, but it was not at any time the property of Government- at the time however that the Plaintiff brought this Complaint the Cottam Estate had been already put in possession of Vutchavoy Sooria Narain Jagaputty rauze, the Zemin- dar of that Estate, who had also received the surplus amount rather exceeding Rupees 22,000. that was derived from it during its attachment. Consequently that the Plaintiff any right to raise the

bank

bank and had even sustained loss in reality he should of course have prosecuted the Zemindar of the Cottam Estate who had enjoyed the advantages of it, but not me. It is further to be remarked that as the right to the water had been denied by the former Collectors from the beginning it is not just for the Plaintiff to bring a suit for loss against me without first obtaining right to the water by a regular suit against the Zemindar the owner of the water. But if the Plaintiff considers that he had right to the water by virtue of a letter said to have been granted to him by the Zemindar of the Cottam Estate in the year 1838 (Mr. Mawon and the complainant mention the date 7th October 1838. but the Decree specifies that the 17th Witness knows the signature to the letter No 1 Dated 24th September 1838 to be that of the Cottam Mootah Zemindar) such right could only commence after the exception of the document and not before that document came into existence consequently it is trusted your Court will perceive that the claim brought by

by the Plaintiff against me (who acted merely with reference to the Regulations the Proceedings of my Predecessors and your Courts Circular order of 28th January 1830) for damages done in 1837 could not be declared proved by a document which only came into existence in 1838.

2. It is moreover to be remarked that the Complaint in question was made merely for damages, which damages are not for loss of any thing which ever existed but for what might have been produced under different circumstances. This suit was entered in the Column of damage cases in the long statement of the 2d half yearly accounts of the year 1840 submitted to you by the Provincial Court, and as it appears by your Courts Circular Order of 7th November 1809 that such damage Suits should be struck off the file in case of the death of either party, your Court will perceive that this case was decided in favor of the supplemental Plaintiff contrary to the said order, whereas it should have been dropped from the death of the Original Plaintiff.

3. The following are the replies to the

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

the reasons enumerated in the Decree rega
the cross banks.-

First It is stated in the Decree t
the Witnesses on both sides say that the
in the Mootah Channel is divided into 15
parts Viz. for 2½ days to the Villages
Soony and Cavalapaud and for the re
-maining 11½ days to the Streeramporam
Boondah". The Witnesses have not spoke
in this manner, but the 1st Witness of the
Plaintiff in his answer to the question 25
states that the Villagers of Soony and Caval
-paud usually receive the supply of water
from the Bhoomy Caulvah branching off
from Tandavah Nady river..

Second. The Decree states that "from the
Evidence of the Plaintiff it is clearly proved
that the Dam is Customary. Beg to remark
on the following points given by the Court to
the Plaintiff to prove regarding the disputed bank.

1st To prove in what state the bank
E was in 1825.

2nd To prove in what state the bank was
when Plaintiff got possession of the
Godecherlah and Streeramporam
Boondahs.

E.

To,

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

3 To prove when he commenced to repair
the banks
4 and the nature of those repairs

The 1st Witness of the Plaintiff has
spoken to the state of the Bank but he is a
Godecherla Estate Curnum and consequently
a party interested - Besides no evidence is
good for any thing until it has born the test
of a Cross examination by the opposite party -
In this Case this Course was forbidden by
the Acting 1st Judge, and when my question
were proposed by my Pleader, he was threa-
-tend to be fined by the Acting 1st Judge
Proceedings of 21st March 1843 It has been
stated in the Decree that the Villages of
Soony and Cavalapaud had been proved to
enjoy 2½ days water by the Mootah Caulvah
and the Streeramporam Estate 11½ days
water. On reference to the Plaintiffs Plan
it will be seen that this E Mootah Caulvah
is some distance below the E Bhoomy
Caulvah consequently if the Bank claimed
by the Plaintiff to be put across the
Tandavah nady river just below the
Bhoomy Caulvah E was allowed the admit-
-ted rights of the Soony and Cavalapaud
Villages and of the Streeramporam
Estate,

Estate would be of use to them - Although the Acting 1st Judge in his Decree has stated that only 17 Witnesses were named by the Plaintiff that is not the Case Twenty three Witnesses as follows -

1 - Soonkaramum Sunkarapah Curnum of Paikarowpettah attached to Godechetlah Estate

1 - Rattapully Ramanah a Cultivating Servant of Plaintiff of Namavaram attached to Do

1 Cunchy Ringanah a Ryot of Goontapilly attached to Do

1 Soonkarah Woopanah Do Do to Do

1 Soonkaramum Venkatarauze Curnum of arattacotah inhabitant of Mungaivaram attached to Godechetlah Estate

1 - Copodah Venkanah a Ryot of Paikarowpettah Do to Do

1 Aumoo Chetramah Do of Namavaram Do to Do

1 Reddy Surrapoy Do Do to Do

1 Sangum appiah Do of Latchmeepooram Do to Do

Cherovo

1 Cherovo Seetanah Curnum of Goontapilly and inhabitant of Namavaram Do to Do.

1 - Panoomutcha Ramabadraruze a Ryot of Namavaram Do to Do.

1 Vanoolah Suttapah Do of arattacotah Do to Do.

1 Soondaramum Cannaruze Curnum of Mungavaram Do to Do.

1 - Battoolah Gungoodoo a Ryot of Namavaram Do to Do.

1 Ranjapuntoola Anpoodoo a Servant of Plaintiff and inhabitant of Paikarowpettah Do to Do.

1 Mullavarapoo Venkanah Curnum of Davaram Do to Do.

1 Soonkaramum Sunneruze Curnum of arattacotah (holding Marium below Boony Caulah) and inhabitant of Mungavaram Do to Do.

1 - Penapantroony Venkiah a Ryot of Mungavaram attached to Do.

1 - Soonkarah antchiah Do of Goontapilly Do to Do.

1 Woganah Parpoodoo Do of Chena Ramachandrapooram Do to Do.

Gotamookalah

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

1 Gotamvookalah Boocherauze Do. of Na=
=mavaram Do. to Do.

1 Soonkaramma Sarachemmagary Venka=
=tarauze Comum of Edeteum of Nuvaur
=poram Poondah and inhabitant of
Mungavaram attached to Godicherlah
Estate=

1 Mannemmy Bemkadingum a Sorum
of Cottam zemindar and inhabitant of
Vamvolapoody=

23

were originally named
of whom only thirteen Inhabitants of the
Godecherlah Estate the bona fide gamier
by the water were examined, and the evi=
=dence of the rest was dispensed with because
it was known that the questions proposed
by myself and furnished to my Pleader
would have elicited the whole circumstan=
of the Case=

The remaining of the above pounds
are in no way proved= the 1st Witness of
Plaintiff in his answer to question 22d has
stated that the bank was newly repaired and
it was removed by Chetrapoo Chelmiah whereas
the 2nd Witness in answer to question 8 states that
it was not so repaired= There is another point

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

of considerable consequence proved by Plain=
=tiffs 2d 3d & 7th Witnesses namely that the said
bank &c which was raised across the Sandavah
Nady river is situated on the land appertaining to the
Cottam Estate, so that it can only be approached
by the Godecherlah Proprietor by trespassing
on the Cottam Estate=

Third= The Decree further=
states "that it is proved by the evidence of the
Defendant (meaning myself) Witnesses that all
the reports made by the Tahsildar were founded on the
ex parte statements of the Villagers in the Cottam
Estate and the orders issued by the Collector were
founded on these reports" admitting that the acts of the
Collectors and their respective Tahsildars in succession
since the 1st Order of Mr Hanbury in 1825 were
ex parte and wrong, there is not the slightest doubt
but that it was incumbent on Mr Hanbury, by the
Authority vested in him by Regulation XI of 1816
and V of 1822 to maintain the peace of the Country
by deciding whether there should be a bank or not=
He decided there should be no bank which de=
=cision he publicly maintained in his letter to the
Collector of Vizag a pattam under date 22d June
1825 and which decision could only be
superceded by appeal to superior autho=
=rity as decided by the Sudr Courts
Circular Orders of 28th January 1830, a Collector
being declared by Regulation II of 1802

Section

11518- Fort St. George 7th August 1843

Section X one of the Judicial authori=

=ties whose decisions are to be respected

by the Courts=

Fourth - The Decree further states

that by the Defendants (meaning myself)

"Document No 26 it is shewn that the Dam

"which Veerasalingum wished to erect was not

"a temporary one, but was composed of stones whereas

"the Dam which the Plaintiff erected was one

"composed of mud and bushes". It is to be inferr=

=ed from this part of the Decree that it is intend=

=ed to be supposed that the bank

removed by the former Collectors was

different from the one removed

by myself, but the pleadings

J.G.

11519- Fort St. George 7th August 1843

of both parties in this case have never referred

to distinct banks in different places nor does

the Plaintiffs plan shew two Banks. Moreover

the Plaintiffs 1st 2nd and 4th Witnesses declare

that the bank which Chetrapoo Chelmiah removed

by my order, is the same as was removed by the

then Ameens under Orders of the former Collectors

it is not just therefore to state in the Decree

that the bank removed by me is a different one.

Fifth. The Decree further states

that "it is shewn by the order of Mr. Hanbury

dated 22d November 1824 that the portion of stones

which Veerasalingum had put down Mr. Hanbury

directed should not be moved unless they

obstructed the passage of the water." Mr.

Hanbury was not in this District on 22d No=

=vember 1827 having left it on 20th March 1826.

but the order of Mr. Hanbury dated 22d June

1825 directed that the bank should be

entirely removed.

Sixth. The decree further states that

"it is shewn by Mr. Mason the Head Assistant

of Vizagapatam's report dated 30th March

1839 that the work which Veerasalingum per=

=formed was totally different from the

Dam now in litigation, he says the bunds

removed by Mr. Hanbury and Mr. Smith

are

are situated in different places and were constructed for different purposes about 300 Yards below the mouth of the Channel, the water in passing over the Dam caused the ground on the other side to sink, and thereby occasioned a breach in the Dam. this hole was filled by Veerasalingum with baskets filled with stones with a stake fence round them, in order that on the freshes coming down, the sand might collect and form a Bank. this work was not carried across the river, and was the one which was removed by Mr. Hanbury previous to that being done the object having been effected. the Proprietor made no further effort to have it replaced, but the earthern Dam was replaced as usual and has remained so until removed last year. In this very report of Mr. Mason it is also stated that disputes have driven from time to time regarding this bank, and he thinks that the Plaintiff should have an opportunity allowed him of proving his right to construct the Dam in question. This will satisfy your Court that there never has been but one bank in dispute, and the same view of Mr. Mason's report will be found supported

by

by Mr. Arbuthnot, who in his letter to the Board of 9th. April 1839 in sending up Mr. Mason's report, states that the Cottam Proprietor having consented to allow Godoy Sooria Pracas=sarow to erect a temporary bank, the Govern=ment need not interfere to prevent it, as it would be removed, if the Zemindary should become the property of Government. On which the Board of Revenue in their letter to me of 25th. April 1839 authorized the arrange=ment conditionally on its being distinctly understood "that the right of the Godecherlah Proprietor, the Plaintiff, to construct the Dam in question is not recognized, but that the interference of Government is suspended from the consent of the Cottam Proprietor to the construction of this work and that the arrange=ment shall in no way be held to be binding hereafter if it be found that the arrangement entered into by the two Proprietors (that is the Plaintiff and the Zemindar of Cottam) is injurious to the interest of the Ryots or subversive of the rights of Government."

Seventh. The Decree further states that "Mr. Hanbury's Act was no rule nor precedent for Mr. Smith, neither were the acts of the other Collectors, for they were founded

on

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

on the reports of Tahsildars, who had been guided merely by Ex parte and interested statements. The act of any passing Order in this case, duly founded upon those of my Predecessors, is Consistent with the circular Orders of your Court dated 28th January 1830, and viewing the case in the same light Mr. M. Lewin the present Acting 1st Judge of your Court, while Collector of Rajahmundry District issued an order dated the 29th July 1835 No. 35 in the case of the Cummum of Nannum Village attached to Cocanadah Talook, that he had no authority to reverse the decisions of his Predecessors It is therefore trusted that the objection laid down in the Decree, that the acts of my Predecessors were no rule nor precedent for me is not justified.

Eighth-

The Decree further states that "the Mootah Channel was cut and is kept in repair by the Plaintiff and from this Channel he receives 11/2 days water, in every 15 days to his Streerampooram Hoondah, it is impossible to believe therefore that he would Commit an act, which would deprive him of so large a quantity of water." The two Estates of Godacherlah and Streerampooram being

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

then in possession of the Plaintiff, and he being able to derive great advantage from the former, though he should sustain loss from the latter, by the erection of the bank, raised that bank newly. Such an act is neither impossible nor foolish. Having thus made one Estate very profitable, if the Owner was inclined to get rid of the unprofitable one he would have nothing to do, but to realize the revenues of any one year as far as practicable, and allow it to fall in arrears to Government and be sold for such arrears to the Extent of which Government are generally willing to bid, to have the water of two Estates to pay the cost of one with.-

Ninth-

The Decree further states that "it is decreed that the Plaintiff has a right to construct a Mud Dam with bushes across the river." A right of water like an Estate itself is real property, and this suit has been ruled by the Provincial Court to be a damage suit. Besides, the Cottam Estate is not mine and the right now decreed was never sued for. Under this circumstance alone, it is hoped your Court will see that the Decree passed by the Acting 1st Judge is an irregular one. Moreover on reference to the

the Extract from the Register of the Godecherlah estate filed on the record, it will be seen that that estate has passed in the hands of two strangers Vadaroomody Veeriah Puntulu and Soonkarah Audenaraiah. Consequently that the Decree has been passed in favor of Goday Janickiah (the widow of late Goday Sooriah Pracassarow) the present Plaintiff a person who has not succeeded to the property in question. If the Provincial Court under circumstances however had considered it right to try the right of Water, it was necessary that they should receive from the Plaintiff (during the trial of this Case) a supplemental Petition on the stampt paper of adequate value, on which the Acting 1st Judge should have published a notification under Regulation II of 1802, section XII for the enquiry into the right of all the parties (concerned) to the water of the Channel such water being merely the temporary property of the Cottam Proprietor, conditionally on his paying the revenue of it but permanently the property of the Ryots and Government. In connection with this, I further beg to bring to the notice of your Court that the same Acting 1st Judge of the Provincial Court who decided the case in his proceedings of 24th August 1842 directed by Precept to the lower Courts that this was the proper mode of proceeding in such cases.

The following are the replies to the reasons enumerated in the Decree regarding the loss

First

First It is stated in the Decree that "It is also proved that the Defendant, in destroying this Dam, caused a loss to Plaintiff of Rupees 4,600 which sum he is decreed to pay to Plaintiff." From the 22nd June 1825, the date of Mr. Hanburys' order first removing this bank, to the Board of Revenues' letter of 25th April 1839 for allowing the Plaintiff to raise a temporary bank, I had no power to admit of the valuation of any heretofore established right of the Cottam Estate, and there cannot be the slightest doubt, but that with Mr. Hanburys', Mr. Anstey's and Mr. Crawley's orders on record, I should have been liable to prosecution by the Cottam Proprietor, if I had disobeyed your Courts' order of 28th January 1830. If however, after the receipt of the Board of Revenues' order of 25th of April 1839 I had disobeyed it, I should have been liable to prosecution for damage, but not until then.

Second The following is the result of loss stated in the Decree.

Names

1527 | Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Number	Names of the Villages.	Amount of Cost	Collection	Loss
		2	3	4
		Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p
1	Paikarumpettah	400 " "	45 " "	355 " "
2	Lutchmeeporam	400 " "	135 " "	265 " "
3	Namavaram	3,000 " "	1,800 " "	1,200 " "
4	Chinarambadrapoorum	800 " "	570 " "	230 " "
5	Davanaram	1,200 " "	900 " "	300 " "
6	Arattacotah	3,000 " "	4,200 " "	2,250 " "
	Total	8,800 " "	4,200 " "	4,600 " "

and

1527 | Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

and the following is the result of the evidence.—

Name of the Village	Number of the Plaintiff's Witnesses	Amount of Cost	Produce of the Village	Produce of the year Mawa-Lumby	Price in the year Mawa-Lumby	Collection	alleged Loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 9
		Rs.	Gs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Gs. Rs.
1 Paikarumpettah	1st Witness	"	25	1/2	32 or 31	"	" 400
	7th Do.	300	22 or 23	1/2	30	50	20 "

None—

1/5-28

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

None of these Witnesses state the cost of this Village to be 400 Rupees as specified in the Decree - If this Village was to produce 25 Garces of Paddy as stated by the 1st Witness the loss would be 23½ Garces, after deducting ½ Garce said to have been produced, the value of which at the last rate, alleged by the same Witness, would amount to Rupees 728 - 8 - but not Rupees 400 as he stated - The amount of loss, on reference to the Statement of the 7th Witness, in point of the cost the actual produce and the Collection would be Rupees 255 or 250 + On the other hand, the produce of the Village or the loss of Paddy far exceeds the cost - at variance therefore with these two Statements is the Decree, which specifies the loss of this Village to be Rupees 355 but it does not shew how this result was come to

Names -

1/5-29.

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Name of the Village	Number of the Witness	Amount of the Plaintiffs' Cost	Produce of the year Maund = Lumby	Collection Maund = Lumby	alleged Loss -
			8	1	8
1	2	3	5	6	82
		03	Gs.	Rs.	Gs. 82
		Gs	4 or 4½	31 or 32	350
		30	"	"	"
2 Sultanpuram	1	35	4½	30	30
	7th Do.	4 00		130	"
	10th Do.	4 00	4½	30	40
					"

Here

11530 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

There would be a loss of 25½ Garces of Paddy
(after deducting what was actually produc
=ed from the quantity said to be produced
by the Village) as stated by the 1st Witness,
which would amount according to the rate
alleged by him to Rupees 816 instead of
Rupees 350 - The Statement of the 7th Witness,
that the Cost of the Village is Rupees 4000 and
the loss 30 Garces of Paddy or Rupees 900,
shows that the loss would exceed the amount
of Cost, after deducting even the Collection,
and the quantity, which he said the Village
would produce, also shows excess to the Cost
The loss of 40 Garces of Paddy, alleged by
the 10th Witness amounts to Rupees 1200
which far exceeds the Cost alleged by him -
It is therefore impossible to tell, how
the Decree has been made, to declare the
loss of Rupees 265 for this Village, from
such contradictory evidence as that of these
Witnesses. -

Name

11531 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

Name of the Village	No. of the Witness	Amount to produce of the Village	Quantity of Cost	Produce the year = Collection = Lumbly	Alleged Loss
				Price of Paddy per Garce	
1	2	3	4	5	6
3 Samavaram	2nd Witness	3,000 or less	250	30	60
	8th Do	3,000	"	30	60
	9th Do	3,000	"	30	60

Yes

11532

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

The Statement of the 2d Witness shows a loss of 190 Garces of Paddy, amounting to Rupees 5,700, and that of the 8th and 9th Witnesses shows a loss of 180 Garces amounting to 5,400 Rupees, thus the amount of Cost is far below the loss stated by them, it is therefore impossible to ascertain, how the Decree has been made to specify the loss to be 1,200 Rupees for this Village notwithstanding the discrepancies in question.—

Name

11533.

Fort St. George 7th August 1843

No. of the Witness	Amount Rupees Produce of the year	Price in the year	allowed Collectors Cost	
Name of the Village	Plaintiffs	of the Year	Amount Cost	
Village	Witness	Cost	Village = Lumbly =	
1	2	3	4	5
		Rs.	Gs.	Rs.
2d Witness	800	18 or 19	80	30
Examination Course	11th Do.	800	20	30
				600
				60

1534

Fort St. George 4th August 1843

The 2nd Witness set forth a difference between the Cist and the amount of the quantity of Paddy which he said the Village would produce, there are also discrepancies in the Statements of the two Witnesses in point of the quantity of Paddy actually produced, and it is impossible to tell how the Decree specifies a loss of Rupees 230 for this Village.—

Name

1535

Fort St. George 4th August 1843.

No. of the Witness	Amount Produce	Rate of the Cist	Amount of the Cist	Deduction allowed
1	2	3	4	5
2nd Witness	1,300	110	8 Pgs. 8 Fs.	
5 Do Appuram	1200	"	30 "	
		"	30 "	
		"	30 "	
13 Do.				

&c.

1536 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

The 2nd Witness deposes to a great dif-
=ference between the List and the amount
of the quantity of Paddy which he says
the Village would produce. The state-
=ment of these two Witnesses in point
of Cost shows discrepancies, and
there is no ground from which
to learn how the Decree should
specify 300 Rupees as the Cost of this
Village. —

Same

1537 Fort St. George 7th August 1843

Nos of the Witnesses	Name of the Plaintiffs Village	Nos of the Amount of the Cost	Regular Price per Mensem	Nos of the Days allowed for Elections Loss of Time	Remarks
1	Village	6	7 Pcs.	8	Pd.
2	Do.	3	4 Fcs.	5	Fcs.
6 Witnesses		3000	250	28	220 "
6 Witnesses		3000	250	25	200 "
15 Do.					

Same

Though the 6th Witness declares that the Paddy produced is 28 Garces the Decree specifies 25 only. This Witness also deposes to a great difference between the list and the amount of the quantity of Paddy, which he said the Village would produce; he says the Collection was Rupees 750 while the value of the 28 Garces of Paddy produced amounts to Rupees 840 according to the price he stated. The loss of Paddy is deposed to by both Witnesses in a different manner, and far exceeds the list set forth by the 6th Witness. It is therefore impossible to ascertain, how the Decree can specify a loss of Rupees 2,250, for the Village. Besides, the Paddy, however, there were other things alluded to in a list appended to the Complaint, as Bengalore and Gengily Oil seeds, which the Villages were capable of producing to which no allusion is made in the Decree or the evidence.—

Having thus entered into

into the detailed consideration of all the facts of this case, with reference to the evidence and the Decree, particularly the following prominent points

1st That without the order of the Board of Revenue of 25th April 1839, I could not reverse the order of Mr. Ambury of 22nd June 1825 under your Court's Circular order of 28th January 1830.—

2nd That this being a Damage Suit ought to have been dismissed on the death of the original Plaintiff, under your Court's Circular Order of 7th November 1809.—

3d. That when the acting 1st Judge found that he could not Decree damages without first decreeing the real property, he should have dismissed the Suit, and not decreed away the real property not belonging to me and which was not sued for

4th That the Decree of the Acting 1st Judge for Rupees 4,600 is not supported in any way by the evidence.—

I trust your Court will see that there was neither ground for bringing a prosecution against me nor for the decision of the Acting 1st Judge.—

11540 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Judge, and reverse his decision and order all the costs to be paid by the Plaintiff.-

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To.

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

(16-17)

To the Chief Secy to Government
In Cons 29th June 1843

Para 1

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government under date 16th June last with the Extract from the letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors which accompanied it regarding the suit instituted by Goorey Soorie Razaraw against Mr. Smith the Collector of Rajahmundry for causing the embankment across the Tandavah river to be removed, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council a letter from Mr. Smith dated the 13th ultimo, enclosing a copy of a Decree which has been passed against him in the above suit by the Provincial Court for the sum, including costs, of Rupees 5,887-12-.-

In Cons 7th Augt 1843.

2.

Mr. Smith requests authority to continue the defence at his own risk and submits a Draft of an appeal which he proposes to file in the Sudr Adalut, but he at the same time offers an apology for the course which he has taken in this matter and submits for consideration whether the Government will now be pleased to relieve him from the liability to which he has subjected himself and undertake the final settlement of the dispute.-

The Board have not thought it necessary to proceed to the consideration of the grounds on which Mr. Smith proposes to continue the defence of the suit.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 7th August 1843

/Signed/ R. C. Lovell
Actg Secretary.

A.G.R.

Read.

11541 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.-

Read the following letter.-

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(18)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry 3d

Affording the explanation on several points regarding Pauley Estate, as called for in his letter of 22d Ultimo, with reference to the Extract of the Minutes of consultation of Government of 22d of the same month.-

Vide my letter to Board 28th Dec 1842.-

Sir,

Para 1

With reference to the Proceedings of Government of 2d June 1843, and your letter of 22d of that Month, I now have the honor to forward the following further explanation regarding the Pauly Proprietor.-

2.

The sum of Rupees two thousand was paid into my Treasury in the Month of December 1842, and since, the full Government demand of fasly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ has been realized, and the total balance against this Estate has further been reduced from C. Rupees 28,245-4-10- to C. Rupees 26,981-5-9 from the Collections of the Estate under Attachment.-

By Regulation XXVII of 1802

3.

Section VI Clause 1st, it is provided that the landed Estate is first answerable for the public Revenue, but by the subsequent section of the same Regulation, the personal property and person even, are declared equally answerable.- These latter are penalties, which Government are never willing to resort to, but the whole landed Estates have been in practice invariably held

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.-

held answerable for the whole balances due by their Proprietors in this District.- In July 1838, it was proposed to sell the whole five Estates of Caparvaram, Morrary, Auchentah, Panoomuntrah and Bauley, but after the sale of the four first; the Proprietor came forward and asked to be allowed to preserve the Bauley Estate, + which was subsequently sanctioned by Government, and on 31st October 1839, she executed a Bond on stamp paper for the actual principal balance then outstanding, * copy and translation of which are herewith submitted.-

4

The Balances, as entered in the Statement of 25th September 1838, were correct at the time; but there were subsequently some receipts and disbursements to be adjusted, the particulars of which are shewn in the accompanying # statement, which shews the balances as they stood on 25th September 1838, and the subsequent adjustments and the manner the amount realized was carried to the Public Account.-

5.

The accompanying Statements shew the manner in which the sums of C. Rupees 12,917-1-0 and C Rupees 67,646-2-2. were respectively appropriated by the Proprietor-

6.

From the commencement, I have never been able to say a word in favor of Cocherlakottah Lutcheedavanah, whose conduct

Vide My Report of 19th July 1838.-

+ Vide Bd's Proceedings of 5th Nov. 1838.-

* No 1.

No 2.

S No 3 & 4.-

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.-

conduct I have had every Reason to disapprove of- as the Collector of this District, however I have considered her entitled to that impartial review of her loses from the calamitous nature of the past seasons, which has been extended, with the full approbation of Government and your Board, to almost every zemindar and Proprietor in this District, and I think, if the indulgence, Recommended to her in my letter of 22d December 1842, to pay her balance in five years, were accorded to her, she would not only fulfil it, but if the seasons are at all favorable, within a much shorter period than that proposed.-

7.-

If the amount realized from the Estates of Caupavaram, Morrary Auchuntah and Panoomuntrah had been carried to the account of those Estates alone, the balance unrealized would have been C Rupees 5,668-1-2, as shewn in the accompanying Statement.-

Principal- 2698-11-3
Interest upto day of Sale- 2969-5-11
5668-1-2

No 5.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Coll's Office, Cocanada,
17th July, 1843.-

Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

No 6.
Stamp of 32 Rs Value.-

To G. A. Smith Esqre
Collector in the Zillah of-
Rajahmundry

An agreement of instalments entered into by three

1544 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Stree Cocherlakcotah Lutchimidasamah zemindar of the Mootah of Rauley &c.—

I promise to pay by the following instalments the balance of Peishcush due to the Circar up to the fusly 1247 against the Mootah of my Zemindary.—

In Fusly 1249.

On 15th October 1839	394	. .
15th November "	394	. .
15th December "	394	. .
15th January 1840	394	. .
15th February "	394	. .
15th March "	394	. .
15th April "	394	. .
15th May "	394	. .
15th June "	391	4 9
	3543	4 9

In Fusly 1250

15th October 1840	394	. .
15th November "	394	. .
15th December "	394	. .
15th January 1841	394	. .
15th February "	394	. .
15th March "	394	. .
15th April "	394	. .
15th May "	394	. .
15th June "	391	4 10
	3543	4 10

In Fusly 1251.—

As above 3543 4 10

In Fusly 1252.

As above 3543 4 10

Total Fourteen thousand one hundred and Seventy three Rupees three annas and three Pice 14173 3 9

I also agree to pay such interest as may accrue thereon—As I mortgaged the Mootah of my zemindary to the Circar in security of the said

1545 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.—

said Balance—I engage to pay the amount according to the instalments and take receipts for the same—Should I fail to discharge the same by the instalments alluded to, I agree to submit to the acts of the Circar towards myself and my property under the Regulations—I also agree by these presents that in the event of my failure to liquidate the balance under the foregoing Instalments, the Circar are at liberty to recover the same either by attachment or sale of the equivalent part of my Mootah.—

It is further agreed that the conditions of this agreement for the liquidation of the Circar's balance shall be binding on myself my heirs, Executors, and Administrators, without defalcation.—

This agreement of Instalments is entered into with my consent this 31st day of October 1839.—

(Sealed) (Signed) Stree Rajah Lutchimidasamah

Witnesses
(Signed) Auchuntah Venkatasem Curnum and Godaverty Ramapah Village Monsiff of Auchuntah.—

In the knowledge of the above witnesses this was written by Goodepaculty Croostnamah.—

(Signed) C. Seetapulty Row
a Amildar of Tanoor.—

Statement

11546 — St. George — 7th August 1843.-

No. end of Tusty 1247 in explanation of the Board's Remark 3.-

No.	Capital	Interest	Charges Attachment	Total.	rendered to the Board.- Total.-	Deduct Credited subsequent realizations not then ascertained	Add subsequent Charges not then ascertained	Net Balance.	Amount realized in Public Sale.-
	11	12	13.	14		15	16	17	18.-
	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d	℔ s d
1 Auc	1828 14 3	1057 29	1531 12.	26,174 13 2	1498 13 2	561 12 1	25,237 12 1	30,000 " "	
2 Pa	5414 4	321 13 10	17,976 12 2		1284 " "	" " "	17,847 14 2	20,500 " "	
3 Caup	1412 8	781 11 11	696 8 3	19,393 " 10	1188 " "	" " "	18,205 " 10	12,500 " "	
4 Mo	6812 8	608 9 5	" " "	18,777 6 1	" " "	" " "	18,777 6 1	5,400 " "	
	21 6 1	2969 5 11	2228 4 3	82,322 " 3	2815 11 2	561 12 1	80,068 1 2	74,400 " "	
5 Paul	36 8 "	538 3 4	" " "	11,224 11 4	" " "	" " "	11,224 11 4	" " "	
	10141	3507 9 3	2228 4 3	93,546 11 7	2815 11 2	561 12 1	91,292 12 6	74,400 " "	

11546

Fort St. George

A. D. ——— Statement Shewing the balance of Rauley Peer. Estates up to the end of Fusly

Balance at the time of the sale in September 1838, as per Statement of 25th September 1838 Shewn

A.	Names of the Estates.	Fusly 1244 Interest.	Fusly 1245. Principal	Interest	Total	Fusly 1246 Principal	Interest	Charges attachment.	Total.	Fusly 1247. Principal	Interest
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°	CR A°
1	Auchuntah ———		201 12 8	6,095 . 8	206 7 3	6,301 7 11							
2	Paramuntrah ———		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,490 13 9	643 14 10	1,331 12 .	19,671 8 7	23,585 14 5	1,057 2 9
3	Caupparam ———		104 5 4	6,829 12 8	231 6 7	7,061 3 3				47,281 2 7	1,726 1 9	5,211 3 10	" " "	13,247 15 7	17,454 14 4	521 3 10
4	Mosrany ———		63 . 6	3,466 8 3	140 5 6	3,606 13 9				" " "	11,035 " "	446 " "	696 8 3	12,227 8 3	1,791 4 12 8	781 11 11
	Totals ———		369 2 6	16,391 5 7	578 3 4	16,969 8 11				99,801 4 .	50,752 2 6	2,022 . 1	2,223 4 3	53,902 6 10	7,121 6 1	2,969 5 11
	Add															
5	Rauley ———		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10,686 .	538 3 4	" " "	11,224 .	1,410 6 3	6 8 .	538 3 4
	Totals ———		369 2 6	16,391 5 7	578 3 4	16,969 8 11				99,801 4 .	61,438 10 6	2,560 3 5	2,223 4 3	66,222 .	7,128 . 10	3,507 9 3

11547-

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.-

Under what balances it was carried to Account.-

Fasly 1245 Principal	Fasly 1246 Principal	Fasly 1247 Principal	Total	Charges attachment in Account of Fasly 1247	Total	Fasly 1244 Interest	Present Balance Fasly 1245 Principal	Interest	Total	Fasly 1247 Interest	Total Principal	Interest	Total	Remarks
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	Cr A P	
2,218 2 4	" "	16,553 12 3	18,771 15	1,531 12	20,303 11	201 12 8	3876 14 4	206 7 3	4,083 5 7	648 14 10	3,876 14 4	1,057 2 9	4,934 1 1	
" "	472 8 12	4,125 9 4	4,597 13 4	" "	4,597 13 4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	17,326 4 "	17,326 4 "	" "	17,326 4 "	" "	6041 12 8	231 6 7	6,273 3 3	1446 " "	6,041 12 8	781 11 11	6,823 8 7	
" "	" "	10,685 " "	10,685 " "	696 8 3	11,381 8 3	104 5 4	2,466 8 3	140 5 6	3,606 13 9	405 3 5	3,466 8 3	608 9 5	4,075 1 8	
" "	" "	5,252 15 9	5,503 " "	14,702 4 5	" "	14,702 4 5	63 " 6	13385 3 3	578 3 4	13,963 6 7	2022 " 1	13,385 3 3	2,969 5 11	16,354 9 2	
2,218 2 4	99 8 14 "	49,286 3 5	61,435 3 9	2,228 4 3	63,713 8 "	369 2 6								
" "	" "	10,686 8 "	10,686 8 "	" "	10,686 8 "	" "	13,385 3 3	578 3 4	13,963 6 7	2,560 3 5	13,385 3 3	3,507 9 3	16,892 12 6	
2,218 2 4	99 8 14 "	59,972 11 5	72,171 11 9	2,228 4 3	74,400 " "	369 2 6								

Zillah Rajahmundry, Collector's Office, Cocanada, 17th July 1843. E. E. Jr. (signed) G. A. Smith, Collector

Statement—

11548 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.-

№3.-

Statement Shewing the liquidation of Private loans by the Proprietor of Bauley (as per her accounts) in explanation of the Board's Remark 1.-

		Rs	a	p
Fasly 1248.-				
To the Ryots of Bauley Estate		750	-	-
Fasly 1250.-				
" Goday Narainia Row of Vizagapatam	1000	-	-	
" Certain Ryots of Bauley Estate	1763	12	-	
" Padalah Moolapah of Gopalapoorum	329	8	-	
" Mydavole Gopalacrootamah of Rajahmundry	213	-	-	
" Cotta Rungiah of Do.	6970	-	-	
	10331	4	-	
Fasly 1251				
" A Sowcar of the Coly at Masulipatam	9351	3	-	
" Mydavole Gopalacrootamah of Rajahmundry	350	-	-	
	12851	3	-	
Total	12917	1	-	

N. B. There appears to be a slight error in the Sub statement by the Board and Government of Rupees 12167-4- instead of Rupees 12917-1- as above, as per Statement №1 Col. 11. sent with my letter of 21st April 1843.-

Zillah Rajahmundry Collr's Office
Cocanada 17th July 1843 — E. E. Fs. (signed) Geo. A. Smith Collector

Statement

11549- Fort St. George 7th August 1843.-

№4.-

Statement Shewing the purposes to which the sums entered in Col. 10 Statement 2 sent with my letter of 21st April last, as remaining Collections during the last 5 Years have been applied by the Proprietor (as per her Accounts) in explanation of the Board's Remark 2.-

			Rs	a	p
Profits to the Renters of certain Villages			1184	8	-
Under Peishcush of her other Estates Viz.-					
Auchantah	6000	- -			
Boommoor Village	623	8 1			
Vasantawadah Estate in the zillah of Masulipatam	7037	11 -			
	13660	3 1	+ 13716	3	1
Under Peishcush of Bauley Estate, which appears as an over charge, as stated at the foot of my Statement №1 of 21st April last			1112	4	2
Allowance to the Pagoda at Vandapilly			3562	8	-
Salaries to the Establishment &c.			15456	-	-
Charges for the disputes of Islands			1096	10	-
Proprietors Maintenance			28336	12	-
Amount of the following difference, which seems to have been appropriated by the Proprietor Viz.-					
Liquidation of loans per Statement No3. now submitted	12917	1 -			
Deduct the amount of loans Credited by the Proprietor	10500	- -	2417	1	-
			66821	14	3
Surplus alleged by the Proprietor			764	3	11
Total			67646	2	2

N. B.
+ The Item Rupees 13716-3-1 agrees with the Board's Remark 6.-

Zillah Rajahmundry Collector's Office
Cocanada 17th July 1843 — E. E. Fs. (signed) G. A. Smith Collector

Statement

11550 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

No. 5.

Statement shewing the balance which would remain due if the amount realized from the Estates of Canpacavanum Moorary, Auchuntah, and Pavvoomurtah had been carried to the account of those Estates alone in explanation to Boards' Remark 5.

C.R. A.C.

Amount of Balance against these Estates as per Statement of 25th September 1838		82,322 . 3
Deduct the amount realized on the sale of these Estates		74,400 —
	Balance	7,922 — 3
Amount of subsequent Realizations	2,815 11-2	
Deduct subsequent charges	561 12 1	
		2,253-15 1
Remaining Balance		5,668. 12
Principal		2,698-11 3
Interest		2969. 5 11
Total		5,662 12

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office,
Cocanada, 17th July, 1843.

C. C. [illegible] (signed) G. A Smith
Collector

(18-19)

Order Herein
(Read Against)
14th July 1843

Ordered to be brought forward at future
meeting.

Read

11551 Fort St George 7th August 1843.

Read the following Letter-

To E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St George.

Sir,

From the Collr of Masulipatam.

(20)

With reference to the provisions of Clause 1st Section IV Regulation III of 1820 made applicable to the Town of Masulipatam by an order of Government dated 4th March 1834 published in the Fort St George Gazette dated 19th of that Month, I have the honor to forward for the approval of the Board a form of License to be issued to Persons wishing to store and vend Tobacco within 20 miles of Masulipatam.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector

Masulipatam
Collr's Cutcherry
24th July 1843.

No. 1
Form

License issued by R. T. Porter Esqr Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam under Regulation III of 1820 to _____ Inhabitant of _____ Village appertaining to the Talook of _____ in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Masulipatam authorizing the storing and sale of Tobacco in the said Village on the conditions detailed below, Viz:-

1st You are hereby authorized to store in the said Village, the Tobacco which has been produced by you from your own Cultivation in the said Village every year, as well as the quantity you may have purchased for the use of traffic amounting together to —— Candies, and to dispose of the same to the inhabitants of the said Village, as well to those of the neighbouring ones as to other Merchants: you will on the occasion of disposing of the said article as above stated, or exporting the same to any other place report to the Sayer Goomasta on the spot, and obtain from him a Rowanah for the same. you are strictly prohibited from storing any quantity of Tobacco exceeding 5 Viss unless you shall obtain a regular permit for so doing. — neither you, your heirs or any other person belonging to you shall move, or attempt to move any part of the article (Tobacco) stored by

by you in the said Village to Masulipatam for illicit sale nor render any assistance to any person or persons who may attempt to convey it to Masulipatam for any fraudulent purpose, nor to their accomplices. on the contrary, if any part of the said Tobacco be clandestinely carried by you either to the Town of Masulipatam, or to any place where consumption duty is leviable the quantity so smuggled together with the whole quantity in your possession shall not only be confiscated, but also you will be liable to pay a penalty of 10 times the value of the quantity so smuggled according to the Tariff prices, and the license granted to you shall be forfeited, and you will be dealt with according to the Regulation enacted in respect of persons who have smuggled Tobacco without paying duty.

2ds You are required to tender sufficient money security for the sum of Rupees ——, and the surety will bind himself to prevent any illicit sale from the stock

Fort St George 7th August 1843.

Stock of Tobacco in your possession, and in case of any such fraud taking place, to pay such penalty or fine as may be imposed upon you by the Circar. In the event of a competent security being not tendered the quantity of Tobacco which may be found in your possession shall be placed under Circars attachment and of yourself until it can be removed the proper custody of the

under a Collr Coverage to one of the Chowkeys in the Masulipatam Collectorate limits

3d

You will continue to pay Motorpha tax with which you may be assessed. If you shall refuse or make any objection to pay the tax in question, the license granted to you shall be forfeited.

4th

In the event of the license having to be cancelled on any ground whatever you shall abide by such orders as may be issued to you by the Circar.

5th

The license granted to you shall on no account whatever be considered applicable to any other than the person in whose name it may have been granted.

6th

You are hereby prohibited from transferring this license to any other by sale, mortgage, or in any other way whatever. If it shall

Fort St George 7th August 1843.

shall be transferred by you to any other both yourself, as well as the person to whom it may be transferred, shall be dealt with according to the rules which are in force in respect to persons who may have stored Tobacco without obtaining license. Moreover, If it shall be brought to notice that any other irregularity has been committed by you, you will be liable to pay such fine as may be imposed upon you by the Circar or failure to pay the fine, the whole of your real and personal property as well as that of your Surety shall be attached and sold by Public auction and the proceeds carried to account.-

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Collr

Masulipatam Collrs Cutcherry
2d July 1843.

The Board approve of the form of license received with the foregoing letter with the modifications and omissions made in red ink.

Resolution thereon.

(20-21)

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

22

From the Collr of Guntoor - - -

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the

1155

Fort St George 7th August 1843

From C. Gregory Esq. Collr

To the Honble the Board of Revenue

Sir

I have the honor to state that the Invoice of collecting Agency and warehousing charges on the Salt sold to the Salt Merchants during the month of July 1843 amounts to Rupees Two Hundred and Seventy Eight 278 which I have credited to the Salt Revenue Account.

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

C. Gregory
Collr

Nellore Collr Cutcherry
2nd August 1843

Ordered that the Collector be informed in reply that the Statement is Satisfactory.

Ordered also that the following Statement be transmitted to the Collector for his information.

Signed W. Oakes
Collector

Order thereon.

Table.

Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the following Statement.

Statement.

1155-7

Fort St George 7th August 1843

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1843 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 31st July 1843.

24

No.	Names of Ports.	North Latitude		Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1843	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be Exported	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under Estimated Heaps.	Remarks
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9
				B M	B M	B M	B M	B M	
1	Eskapully	14	44	2,11,284½	34,560	1,76,724½	1,76,724½	"	
2	Gogoolapully	14	39	18,000	"	18,000	18,000	"	
3	Vareny	14	37	22,560	7,560	15,000	15,000	"	
4	Toommalpentah	14	56	38,000	"	38,000	38,000	"	
5	Kristnapatam	14	10	90,155½	26,580	63,575½	63,575½	"	
				3,80,000	68,700	3,11,300	3,11,300	"	

Nellore Collr Cutcherry
3rd August 1843

E E ffm (Signed) J. V. Stonhouse
Collr

Ordered.

11558 Fort St George 7th August 1843

(24-25)

Order thereon

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St George Gazette.

Read the following letter.

To, E. C. Lowell Esq.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(26)

Sir,

From the Asst Coll.
of North Arcot

I have the ho.
=nor to transmit for sanction

the

11559 Fort St George 7th August 1843

the accompanying State=
=ment of Casualties Reductions
and transfers in the Yes=
=miah Department of this
District for Fusly 1251.-

North Arcot.
Coll.s Circuit.
Cutcherry.
Narnavaram.
27th July 1843.

(Sgnd) H. Babington
Asst Collector

Statement

11560

Fort St George

Statement shewing the Number of Yeomiahdars reduced by death Revenue on the 25th Jan

7th August 1843

C.

No on the Register	Names of Yeomiahdars	Date of Decease and Dismissal.	Annual Amount as per Register Z.	Add the amount as per letter from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the amount lessened to the end of Fusly 1250	Remaining	Deduct reduction by deceased Yeomiahdars in fusly 1251	Amount to be continued for Fusly 1252	Amount continued to be paid	Amount to be sanctioned for payment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Hereditary Pensions Chittoor											
16	Ishak kanam in the family of Shah Goo. Hoosain.	Deceased on the 28th Dec. 1841.	153 5 8	- - -	153 5 8	40 - -	113 5 3	40 - -	73 5 8	73 5 8	- - -	The deceased and two others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Column of this Statement as per Register Z, of the latter - Rajmuttoonjsah died in Fusly 1231 and the only surviving individual, Tippoo Beebee is now entitled to the amount in Column 10 which includes a sum of Rs 33-5-8 as conditional Yeomiah.
17	Ameer Beebee in the Do on the Do of Sied Ebram.	24th Do	132 12 -	- - -	132 12 -	- - -	132 12 -	132 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	This Yeomiah has lapsed to Government in consequence of the demise of the incumbent.
29	Sied Hyder in the Do Do on the of Sied Abdool - Ryman.	22nd July 1841.	88 8 -	- - -	88 8 -	- - -	88 8 -	29 8 -	59 - -	59 - -	- - -	The deceased and two others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Column of this Statement as per Register Z; - The latter Maryam Saiba and Huzrut Mah Saiba are the only incumbents now entitled to the amount in column 10.
	Total Chittoor - - -		374 9 8	- - -	374 9 8	40 - -	334 9 8	203 4 -	132 5 8	132 5 8	- - -	

Fort St. George

7th August 1843

No. of the Register	Names of Yearly Pensioners	Date of Decease and Dismissal	Annual amount as per Register Z.	Add the amount as per letters from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the amount lessened to the end of Fusly 1251	Remaining	Deduct reduction by deceased Yearly Pensioners in Fusly 1251	Amount to be continued for Fusly 1252	Amount continued to be paid	Amount to be Sanctioned for payment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Hereditary Pensions (Contd)											
	Arcot											
69	Shah Goolam Razzah in the family of Meer Mahdy Fyajee Meer Hoossain ally in the family of Do	Deceased on the 27th Jany 1842. Do on the 25th June 1841.	1200 - -	- - -	1200 - -	545 7 5	654 8 7	218 2 10	436 5 9	436 5 9	- - -	The individuals and nine others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Column of this Statement as per Register Z; Of the latter Meer Vully Fyajee died in Fusly 1226, Fatma Saiba died in 1227, Fatmah Begum died in 1831, Ratoonnissah in 1233 and Meer Saduck ally in Fusly 1236, the remainder four individuals, Viz^t Meer Mohomed Bauker Seckee-nah begum, Shaar Banoo, and Freezah Begum are now entitled to the amount inserted in the 10th Column.
70	Fatmah Saibah in the family of Sied Abdool Rufsool	Do on the 29th November 1841	888 - - -	- - -	888 - - -	- - -	888 -	888 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	This Yearmiah has lapsed to Government in consequence of the demise of the incumbent.
80	Maryam Saibah in the family of Hafiz Hoossain Cawdry	Do on the 29th April 1842	354 - - -	- - -	354 - -	78 10 8	275 5 4	39 5 4	236 - -	236 - -	- - -	The deceased and eight others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Column of this Statement as per Register Z; Of the latter Dustagheer Saib died in Fusly 1227, and Fatmah Begum died in 1250. The remaining Six individuals Viz^t Mohomed Hoodbuddeen, Abdool Ahmeed Mahomed Essoof, Abdool Kader Buddeer Beebee and Joran Beebee, are now entitled to the Amount in Column 10.
	Total Arcot		1642 8 -	- - -	1642 8 -	624 2 1	1018 5 11	346 2 6	672 5 9	672 5 9	- - -	

11562

Fort St George 7th August 1843.

No. on the Register	Names of Pensioners	Date of Decease and Dismissal	Annual amount as per Register Z	Add the amount as per letters from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the amount lessened to the end of July 1250	Deduct Amount reduction to be by deceased Continued Yes or Remainder Fasly 1254	Amount continued to be paid for Fasly 1252	Amount to be sanctioned for payment	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Hereditary Pension (Contd) Vellore		R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	
86	Mohomed Saduck in the family of Hajee Shaik Ally Peelay Harum Saiba in — D° of D°	Transferred to the Zillah of Masulipatam on the 12th Jany 1842. Deceased on the 13th Augt 1841.	708 - -		708 - -	185 7 -	522 9 -	71 10 3	450 14 9	450 14 9	- - -	These 2 Individuals & sixteen others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Column of this Statement as per Register Z, of the latter, Laul Cadasha died in July 1227 Buddee bebee died in July 1229, Lally Begum died in July 1230, Goolam Ally died in July 1233 & Goolam Mahomed in July 1244, the remaining Eleven, Vizt Kyroon-nissah, Aleem Munkoowar Begum Maryem Saiba Mahomed Abdoola, Hoosainah Bagum Mahomed Sheriff Mahomed Tippoo, Noorjah Begum Appanah Saiba and Kyroonissah are now entitled to the amount in Column 10. -
89	Meer Mohomed — Tuckey in the family of Seid Bauker (Blind) Ruffoolly Beebee in the family of D° —	D° on the 30th July 1841. D° on the 9th September 1841.	55 1 -	9 3 -	64 4 -	27 8 7	36 11 5	22 15 1	13 12 4	13 12 4	- - -	These two Individuals & four others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 6th Col[n] of this Statement of the latter Suckenah bebee died in July 1232, Sharfoonissah died in July 1239, and Meer Hyssan ally died in July 1241; the only surviving individual, Meer Goolam ally, is now entitled to the Amount in Column 10. -

A G B

113-63

Fort St. George

Statement of Hereditary Pensions Paid at the Presidency for the Month of August 1843

1 Names of Yeomiahdars	2	3 Date of Decease and Dismissal	4 Annual Add Amount the amount as per Register Z.	5 as per letters from the Board of Revenue	6 Total	7 Deduct the amount to be struck off	8 Deduct Amount Reduction by deceased Yeomiah-dars in Fusly 1251	9 Amount to be continued for Fusly 1252	10 Amount to be continued for paid	11 sanctioned for pay-ment	12	13 Remarks
	Hereditary Pensions											
	Cont[d]											
	Vellore (Cont[d])											
91	Budroonnisah in the family of Moorut-shaha	Deceased on the 2[d] October 1841.	177		177		29 8	147 8	147 8			The deceased and five others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4[th] Column of this Statement as per Register Z, The latter Viz[t] Hoosnain shah Dostan Begum Futtey Saiba, Hoosnain bebee and Shurfoo-nissa are now entitled to the amount in Column 10.
93	Fautmah kanum D[o]	on the 1[st] in the D[o] of Hoo-sain ally Beg.	708		708	354	59	295	295			The D[o] and Eleven others were en-titled to the amount inserted in the 4[th] Column of this Statement as per Register Z; of the latter Nooroonnissah died in Fusly 1227, Katejah Begum died in Fusly 1229, Ahmed ally Beg in Fusly 1235, Fyder ally Beg died in Fusly 1230, Hyfsed ally died in Fusly 1240, and Meer Mahdee dieh in Fusly 1249, the sur-viving five individuals Viz[t] Fatmah Begum, Heejoottoonnissah, Mahomed Sad Saduck Beg and Fajoonnissah are entitled to the amount in Column 10.
102	Meer Hoosain ally D[o]	on the 25[th] in the D[o] of Meer Hajiun Fyjee	354		354	118	59	177	177			The D[o] & five others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4[th] Column of this Statement as per Register Z; of the latter Mahasaiba died in Fusly 1229 and Meer Abass ally in Fusly 1249, the surviving three individuals Viz[t] Feejah Begum Kateejah Begum, & Hyronbebee are now inserted to the amount in Column 10.
	Total Vellore		2,002 1		9 3	2,011 4	682 15	1,326 4	524 2	1	1,084 3	1,984 3 1
	Total Hereditary Pensions		4,019 2		9 3	4,028 5	8 1,349 1	2,679 4	790 3	6	1,888 14	1,888 14 6

11564

Fort St. George

7th August 1843.

Name of Yeomiah &c dars	Date of Decease & Dismissal	Annual Amount as per Register	Add the Amount as per letters from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the Amount as per letters to the Accts of Jeody 1250	Deduct Amount Reduction by Deceased Yeomiah &c dars in Jeody 1251.	Amount to be continued Jeody 1252	Amount to be sanctioned for paid payments	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Life Annuity Chittoor.												
115 Ishak Bebee Daughter of Sied Ahmed Bagdady.	Deceased on the 5th March 1842.	228 2 4	- - -	228 2 4	88 8 -		139 10 4	88 8 -	51 2 4	51 2 4	- - -	The deceased and five others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Column of this Statement as per Register 2; Of the latter Sied Mahomed, died in Jeody 1228 and the remaining four individuals Viz^t Ahmeen Saiba, Lally Shah, Fatimah Shah and Kader Bebee are now entitled to the amount in Column 10.
182 Bebee Saibah Daughter of Sied Budroodeen	Do on the 15th July 1841	88 8 -	- - -	88 8 -	- - -		88 8 -	88 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	This Yeomiah has lapsed in consequence of the demise of the incumbent.
198 Ghersy Annah Daughter of Ananta Krishna chary.	Do on the 17th Do	298 -	- - -	298 -	222 -		76 -	76 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	The Yeomiah has lapsed in consequence of the demise of the incumbent & three others Viz^t Rayah Charry who died in Jeody 1227. Coodoomnah in Jeody 1233 and Vencannah Charry who died in Jeody 1234.

11565

Fort St. George 7 August 1843

No. from the Registers	Name of Yeomiahdars	Date of Decease & Dismissal	Annual amount as per Register	Add the amount as per letters from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the amount lessened to the end of Fusly 1250	Remaining	Deduct amount reduction by deceased Yeomiah=dars in fusly 1251	Amount to be Continued for fusly 1252.	Amount to be paid	Amount to be sanctioned for payment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	
	Life Russun (Continued) Chilton (Bute)											
203	Khaiba Saiba — Daughter of Khajee Hoyain Conah.	Deceased on the 14th July 1841.	180 - -	- - -	180 - -	- - -		180 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	This Yeomiah has lapsed to Government in consequence of the demise of the incumbent.
	Total Chilton		526 24	- - -	526 24	110 10		415 84	364 6	5124	5124	
	Arcot.											
221	Fatmah Bebee Sister of Goolam Ahmed.	Deceased on the 18th October 1841.	177 - -	- - -	177 - -	88 8		88 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	On the death of this individual and another Goolam Ahmed who died in fusly 1223 the Yeomiah had lapsed to Government.
325	Sharfoonnissa wife of Meer Kayum ally.	Do on the 16th January 1841.	12 - -	- - -	12 - -	- - -		12 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	This Yeomiah has lapsed to Government in consequence of the demise of the incumbent.
343	Saiba Bebee wife of Ameen ally	Do 27th Do Do	9 - -	- - -	9 - -	- - -		9 - -	- - -	Do	Do	Do

J G B

11566

Fort St. George

7th August 1843.

No. on the Roll	Name of Yeomiahdars.	Date of Decease & Dismissal	Annual amount as per Register	Add the Amount as per letter from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the Amount to be Continued to the end of fasly 1251	Deduct reduction by Deceased Yeomiah=dars in fasly 1251	Amount to be Continued for fasly 1252	Amount to be paid	Amount to be Sanctioned for payment	Remarks
1	2	3	Z	4	5	6	Remaining 7	8	9	10	11	12	13
								Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Life Pensions / Contd. / Arcot / Contd. /												
39	Ahmeen Shah Daughter of Shurfoon nissah	Discontinued on the 10th March 1842	238 13 8	" "	238 13 8	" "		238 13 8	238 13 8	" "	" "	" "	This Yeomiah has lapsed to Government as per proceedings of the Board of Revenue bearing date the 10th March 1842.
	Katoo Beebee Do. of Do.												
	Kateejah Beebee Do. of Do.												
	Aishah Beebee Do. of Do.												
	Koorsha Beebee Do. of Do.												
141	Pyroonnissah Grand Daughter of Beebee Saiba	Transferred to the Collectorate of Madras on the 12th January 1841.	177 " "	" "	177 " "	" "		177 " "	177 " "	" "	" "	" "	Transferred for payment of Yeomiah in the Collector's Cutcherry at Madras from 12th January 1841.
	Total Arcot		613 13 8	" "	613 13 8	88 8		525 5 8	525 5 8	" "	" "	" "	
	Total of Life Pensions		1140 " "	" "	1140 " "	199 2		940 14 "	889 11 8	51 2 4	51 2 4	" "	

1567

Fort St. George

7th August 1843.

No. on the Regr	Name of Yeomiahdars.	Date of Decease & dismissal	Annual amount as per Register	Add the Amount as per letters from the Board of Revenue	Total	Deduct the Amount lapsed to the end of fasly 1251	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	13
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Conditional Pensions Chittoor.						
570	Ameen Shah Sied Anny Kadim of the Mokaberay of Peersha Sied anny at Guffoorbad.	Deceased on the 2d April 1842.	72 . .	. .	72 . .	. .	The deceased's Son Sied abdool Guffoor has produced the usual Totee & wariscamah from the Cazy of Satghur, stating that he & his Sisterinlaw Hoosain Beebee conducted the duties of the Mockberay from the date of the incumbents death, It is therefore recommended for Registry in their names and for the Continuation of the allowance from the date of the incumbents decease - The amount of Pensions as per Column 12 of this Statement is appropriated for defraying the expences of Lamp Oil, Fatiyah repairs of the Mockberay and Subsistence of the incumbents —
574	Sied Razah brother of Sied Ally Nouzen of the Musjeed at Gooriattum —	Resigned on the 27th December 1840	16 . .	. .	16 . .	. .	The individual being a Sepoy in the 38th Regement N.I. he resigned his Office of nouzen to his Father died — Ameed, the Razeenamah is Countersigned by the Officer Commandingeer his Company - The Satghur Tahsildar has reported that the duties of the Musjeed were invariably Conducted as usual by the said Sied Ahmed since the absence of his son, He is therefore recommended for Registry - The amount Pension as per 12th Colr of this Statement is appropriated in defraying the expences of Lamp Oil, repairs of the Musjeed & Subsistence of the incumbent —
	Total Chittoor		88 . .	. .	88 . .	. .	
	Total Hereditary Life & Conditional Pensions		3,524 2 8	9 3 . 5 2 6 5 8	1,5 4 8 3 8		

Remains Deceased Yeomiahdars in fasly 1251.	Deduct reduction by Deceased for fasly 1252.	Amount to be continued to be paid	Amount continued for payment	Amount to be Sanctioned
8	9	10	11	12
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
72 . .	. .	72 . .	. .	72
16 . .	. .	16 . .	. .	16
88 . .	. .	88 . .	. .	88
3,708 2	1,680 12	2,028 . 10	1,940 . 10	88

11568

From the Regr

Fort St George

7th August 1843.

Name of Yeomiahdars	Date of Decease & Dismissal	Annual Amount as per Register Z. 4	Add the Amount as per letters from the Board of Revenue 5	Total 6	Deduct the Amount lessened to the end of fusly 1251 7	Remaining Yeomiah=dars in fusly 1251 8	Deduct Amount reduction to be by Deceased Yeomiah=dars Continued for fusly 1252. 9	Amount to be Continued paid 10	Amount to be Sanctioned for payment 11	Remarks 12
		Rs a/p	Rs a/p	Rs a/p	Rs a/p	Rs a/p	Rs a/p	Rs a/p	Rs a/p	13
Sutvaid Life Pensions										
639 Beebee Saiba	Deceased on the 21st April 1841	354 "	66 6			287 10	73 12	213 4	213 4	The deceased and four others were entitled to the amount inserted in the 4th Col.n of this Statement as per Register Z, of the latter Sooltaun shah died in fusly 1241 and the remainder three individuals, Viz.t Rajem Beebee Hoossain Beebee and Causee Beebee are now entitled to the amount in Column 10—
Total Pensions as per Regr Z.		5,601 28	93 = 5,610 5 8	1,614 9 8		399 5 12	175 3 12	224 14 10	215 3 4 10	88 "
Subsequent Pension Life										
665 Ishak kanum	Deceased on the 28th December 1841	56 "	56 "	" "		56 "	28 "	28 "	28 "	The Dr and another Jeppoo Beebee were entitled to the amount inserted in the 5th Column of this Statement the latter alone is now entitled to the Amount in Column 10.
Grand Total Rupees		5,601 28	65 3 = 5,666 5 8	1,614 9 8		4,051 12	1,781 3 12	2,269 14 10	2,181 14 10	88 "

North Arcot, Collr's Circuit Cutcherry, Karnavanum, 27th July 1843 E. E. Fs. (Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

11569- Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

(26-27)

Order thereon — Ordered to be brought forward

(Read again on 11th Augt.) at a future Meeting

Read the following letters—

To C. G. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George—

Sir,

From A. P. Onslow Esqre Collr of Trichinopoly— } With reference to the re-

(28) mark made by the Board in the Extract from their Proceedings transmitted to me under date the 28th November last regarding a discrepancy in the amount stated to be in deposit on account of Syed Ameed's Enam I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the difference of Rupees 7—12—0 was the balance of fasly 1251. Collected after my letter of the 27th October last was despatched, and which was added to the amount in the Statement submitted with my letter on the 21st November 1842—

Trichinopoly Collr's Office, 12th July 1843. } (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded—

(28-29) Read the following Statement

GB

11570- (30)

Statement of Geomiadars in the

St. George

No. 1

of the late Establishment of the

Name and Nature of the Certificate and Year

Orders of Government

Geomiadary and Peishcush of Revenue.

Amount of the Geomiadary and Peishcush of the said Certificate

Date of the Orders of Government for the Abolition of the said Geomiadary and Peishcush

Name of the Collector to whom the Orders were addressed

Name of the Zillah

Remarks

2799 Fasly Tanjore Peishcush

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board recommend that the notion may be granted for the Payment of the Pensions as to amount the aggregate of which is Rupees 182—0—0.

No. 2799 Sanctioned — b — Rupees 182/ One hundred and eighty two Fort St. George 21st August 1843.

By order (Signed) G. H. Drury Chief Secty

Board's Office St. George 21st August 1843.

Syed Ameed Enam

Geomiadary and Peishcush of Tricalore Talook

Tanjore

By order C. G. Lovell Acting Secy

Certificate

11570 — 30

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Pensioners and Gramadars in the Trichinopoly District

No. of Certificate	Names of Gramadars and Pensioners.	Amount of Gramidah fee Annum Rs. as. p.	Date of Authority.	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of arrears due. Rs. as. p.	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payments.	Remarks.
2799	Vengoo Bogee Pensioner.	42 — —	Under the orders of Government dated 30th June 1812.	For 43 Months 0 days From 1st December 1839 to 30th June 1843.	150 8 —	This man was obtained leave to proceed on a pilgrimage to Benares for two years under by the Board's date the 3d September 1839. See copy of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation & letter accompanying. She states that illness obliged her to overstay her leave under these circumstances her case is recommended to the favorable consideration of Government to pay arrears. Copy of her pension certificate is transmitted.	No. 2799 of 1839 Board's Proceedings 7th August 1843 No. 8 Pension Paper Transmitted. Reference to Engineer. Pick. Fort.
6	Syed Ghouse Gramidar of Veloonda pooram.	63 — —	Under the authority of the Board of Revenue dated 18th October 1824.	For Six Months 0 days from 1st July to 31st December 1842.	31 8 —	Syed Ghouse states that having proceeded to Madras to attend his Brother's Marriage he was induced to remain among his friends and so was absent at the examination of Gramidars. The allowance is granted for performing service in the Pagoda Veloondapooram and it is recommended that payment of arrears be sanctioned.	7th Aug. (Signed)

Trichinopoly District Vayalagoodaloor Collector's Circuit Cutcherry 24th July, 1843.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector

August 1843.

following letter

dary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

knowledge the of the 10th instant mpanying petition mhoony Walliyah

on this Case

the 4th May Cikanah of the e to Coonhy

to the Govern his favor well to pay a Security no were available to me was

Certificate

11571-

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Certificate of a Pension connected with the late No. 1
Bramin Gomastah deceased, on the Establishment of the Native Servants Fund granted to the Family of Sooba Row Collector of Trichinopoly-

Particulars of the persons pensioned-

Widow. Daughter-

Number of Certificate.	2799	
Date of Certificate	Octbr 5th	June 30th
Date of Government Authority	1839	1812
Office	Collector of Trichinopoly	
Name and residence and employ of the deceased	Sooba Row Maratta Bramin Gomastah	
Number	1	
Name	Veshna Seetamy	
Individual Marks and other Signs or Natural Defects	Boyee	a small mark above the left Shoulder near Neck
Size	5 ft 4 in 2	
Complexion	Bamboo	
Name	Veshna Seetamy	
Individual Marks and other Signs or Natural Defects	Three Rupees and eight Annas.	
Age at the date of this Certificate	1 year	8 years
Total Number of Persons	One	
Amount of Monthly Pension	Rs. 3 as 12	
Term of the Pension	During the life of the widow	Sept. 1st 1839
Commencement of Pension		
By whom Signed &c.	Collector	This Certificate is granted in lieu of No. 2838
General Remarks		

(True Copy) (Signed) A. S. Anslow
Collector

(Signed) J. Pickard Clerk
Secy. to Govt.

Extract

11572 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Extra

of Consu

geoboye, pe

Gomasta

Collector

the Gover

rize the

paid to

proposed

she may

that p

for two

the ann

and pro

11572

Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

No 2.

Extract from the Extract of the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d September 1839.

Read Petition from Veer-
-gobeyre, widow of Soobaroo the late
Gomastah in the Cutchery of the
Collector of Trichinopoly.-

The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council cannot auth-
-rize the pension of Vengoobeyre to be
paid to her Vakeel during her
proposed absence at Benares, but
she may be permitted to proceed to
that place with leave of absence
for two years her pension being in
the mean time held in abeyance
and paid to her on her return.-

(a true Extract from an Extract.)

(Signed) A. P. Anslow

Collector

Ordered

11575 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Name of the Purchaser.	Talooks	Moga: =ny	Vil: =lage	Rent	Sir: Kan Beriz	Net rents after paying Sirkar Beriz	Price	years Purchase with reference to the net rents
				Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	
Trimmbaka Row Sayer Sheristadar	Ankola	Busba	Hale =query	264 " "	30 14 10	13 1 2	275 " "	21 years

To Coll.r Cutcherry
Mangalore, - - -
24th July, 1843. -

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pt. Coll.r

Resolution thereon. The Board request that the application for permission to purchase the land referred to in the foregoing letter may be prepared according to the form Circulated under date 27th Ultimo.

Read.

(34-35)

11576 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

Read the following letter

To,
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(36)

From the Coll.r of Malabar
Requesting on the Petition from Kariat Paloly Eddathil Koonhoony Numbeyar received with Mr. Pycrofts letter of the 10th Instant.

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Pycrofts letter of the 10th instant enclosing, among others, the accompanying petition from Kariat Pololy Eddathil Koonhoony Wallijah Numbeyar dated 28th May 1843.

My opinion on this Case was given at great length on the 4th May 1840, when I decided that the Malikanah of the Kariat Paloly Tarwad was payable to Coonhy Shungarow, and not to the Petitioner.

The petitioner appealed to the Government who "declined interference" in his favor. For my own safety I had thought it well to pay the Malikana to Coonhy Shungara, on a security for its repayment in Case my decisions were reversed. The Petitioner whose only available appeal, as it always appeared to me,

7th Dec.r 1840.

was

115-77- Fort St. George 7th August 1813.

was the Sudder Adawlut, proceeded to Madras some time ago in order to prosecute his Cause, and returned only a Month or two ago. — About this time, Coonhy Shungara who had been receiving the Malikana in the way just — mentioned, complained of the difficulty and expence to which he was subjected by having to furnish continual security, and prayed that his dues might be paid him without that formality. On this I informed the Petitioner, who had given it out generally that he was secure of a favorable Answer from Madras, that should he not produce some order within 6 Weeks I — should comply with Shungarow's request. This compliance it will be seen in no way places him in a worse position than he was before. It merely increases my responsibility. —

As it is not quite clear that the Board have seen my decision of the 4th May above referred to, I beg to enclose a

115-78 Fort St. George 7th August 1813

a Copy of it.

Calicut, 22d July 1813.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

The matter in question is a — dispute between the Complainant Hariab Paloly Edatil Oommoo Ummu, and Defendant — Koongoony Numbiar, as to who is the rightful heir to the Paloly Edom property. —

Oomma Ummah states that — inconsequence of all the younger branches of the Paloly Edom being extinct, the head of that Tarwaad adopted her from her own family, the Puttaree Edom, and that — consequently she and her descendants — are the sole heirs of the Paloly Edom. This — fact she states was decided by Suit No. 16 of 1808 before the Provincial Court, whose decision was confirmed on appeal by the Sudr Udalut. Her son Coonjoo Pydul, she goes on to observe, as the eldest male of the family, was the Complainant in this suit before the — Provincial Court, as also in another of a similar description before the — same

same jurisdiction / Suit No. 31 of 1812 / and as both these Suits were decided in his favor he, during his life time managed all the affairs of the Paloly Edom. On his death in 1006, AD 1831 as there was no male of her / Oomoomah Ummah / own family of sufficient age to manage the property, she employed her younger Sisters / Oopputty / Son / the late Defendant, who died a few days ago / to perform that Office for her but inconsequence of his not performing it to her satisfaction she now desires / as she has repeatedly done before / — — that the Malikana allowed to the Paloly Edom, which as the Karnastan of the family he has been receiving for the last 10 Years / and which the present Defendant as his brother and heir now — wishes to receive / may be paid to her grand-son who has arrived to sufficient age to look after her affairs and who as the eldest male heir is entitled to look after them.

The Defendant / as his

brother

brother did before him / states that the Head of the Karnat Paloly Edom adopted not only — the Complainant / Oomoomah Ummah / but also his Mother / Oopputty / that she is now the — eldest male Member of the Paloly Edom, and therefore, by right the proper manager of its affairs.

In this case the real point — at issue is, was the Defendants mother adopted into the Paloly Edom or no? If she was, the Defendant, as her Son and eldest male of the family into which his mother was adopted has the undoubted right to the Management of its affairs. If the Defendant, on the contrary was not adopted, the Defendant has no such right, however it may have been accorded him / or rather his brother / by favor.

It does not appear to me that it is necessary or even allowable now to enter into this question. It has already been entered into — already decided by the two highest — Courts of Judicature in the Country —

In

Fort St. George 7th August 1813

In the decision above alluded to / Suit No. 16 of 1808 / it is determined "that the family of "Cooyjy Pydub / the deceased Son of the present "Complainant Oomonoo Ummah / was "adopted into the Paloly Edom, and that such "adoption was legally performed" No one on reading attentively this decree can doubt that by family the usual and strict meaning of the Word is intended. that it referred to Cooyjoo Pydubs Mother and other direct blood relations, but was never meant to include Cousins. A reference to the paragraph which immediately precedes, and is closely connected with the quotation, sufficiently illustrates, in my opinion, this point. Doubts are mentioned as having existed in the minds of the Judges with regard to Cooyjoo Pydubs claims in consequence of his Mothers separation, through disagreement from Hariah Anna / the other surviving Original branch of the Paloly Edom family / which doubts were removed by the Hindoo Law Officer. The validity of

Fort St. George 7th August 1813

of the Mothers adoption, and the Mothers alone, in the opinion of the Court, is, I think, clearly inferrible from the fact of her name being alone mentioned. She and her direct descendants are then the family alluded to by the Court, though from son being the Acting Complainant, and as a son the natural Manager of her affairs, the family is called his. But this meaning is still further illustrated, and indeed conclusively proved, by the express words of the Suit No. 31 of 1813 passed in confirmation of No. 8 of 1808. It is there stated "that Cooyjoo Pydub was a necessary consequence of the Confirmation of his "adoption by the final decree passed by "the Sudr Udalut, entitled in his own "right, being the eldest and sole male heir "of the family into which he had been "adopted to the Management of the family "property."

This decree was passed on the 15th December 1813 now 26 Years ago.

Fort St. George 7th. August 1813.

ago, and certainly after the birth of Ooputty's elder son / the Defendant lately deceased / — Had Ooputty been adopted into the Paloly Edom as well as Coonjoo Pythuls' Mother, / Oommoo — Ummah / her Son would of course have been one of the heirs of the family, and it could not have been said that Coonjoo Pydul was the Sole male Member — Considering these — circumstances I decline entering into the — to which the Defendant invites me. Had I the trial of the question as to who were the parties adopted, I should of course deem it my duty to weigh attentively the facts brought forward, of the Complainant having at one time agreed to an allowance being made to the Defendants' family out of the Malikannah - at another time after the Malikanah had fallen into the hands of the Defendant / having appealed to the Court to recover a part, and not the whole of it, from him —

Sufficient

Fort St. George 7th. August 1813.

sufficient reasons might perhaps be assigned — by the Complainant for this partial surrender of her rights - she might, as indeed she does / especially in the latter instance / state her ignorance, as an old retired Lady, of what she should and could do — that She was unfairly influenced by interested individuals under the guise of — friends / ca, but however this May be, how- ever She may have voluntarily given up her Rights, Rights / which Still are, and coming — before me in the way they now do. I feel bound to uphold them. The Defendant Among other arguments lays great stress on the fact of the Malikannah having for the last 10 Years been paid into his / or his lately deceased brothers / hands but looking on this in the light I do, — it appears that it is merely from the Case not having been duly enquired into, — that he so long held what he had no right to — The Defendant can of course appeal against my decision, but in

so

so doing he must I think Appeal against the decisions both of the Provincial Court and Sudr Udalut, on which my judgement is founded.

My decision then is this, that the Malikanah belonging to the Paloly Edom family be for the future paid to Conjee Sungara Nambear, as the grand son and eldest male Member of the family of Oommah Ummah, who, with her direct descendants, I consider the sole heirs of the Paloly Edom family. The grandson, as stated by the grand Mother is quite competent from age to take charge of the family affairs. With regard to the rest of the property of the Paloly Edom which is not under my immediate Control, I have only to leave it to the parties interested to seek its restitution; if they deem fit by means of a Court of Law.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
A. Pr. Collector.

Pr. Collr's Office
on circuit
Mondeb 4th May 1840.

Order thereon.

(36-37)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon Petition No. 951 of 1843 which accompanied the foregoing letter.

Endorsement.

"The Board see no reason to interfere in favor of the Petitioners."

Read

Read the following letter.

To,
E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

From C. P. Brown Esqre.
Senior Member of the
College Board.....

Sir,

(38)

With reference to a

Despatch received from the Home Authorities and circulated by Government to the Judicial and Revenue Officers in December last, forwarding printed Glossaries to be filled up with expressions that are in use, I have the honor by direction of the

11587 Fort St. George 7th. August 1843

the Members of the College Board to — point out that this Dispatch calls for such portions as may be ready in Six Months — a period which has already elapsed.

I am desired to request that such portions as may have been received, may now be forwarded to the College Board with a view to transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors, and that the future portions — may also be from time to time thus forwarded on their arrival from the Nilgiris.

*Including Nos. 1 Received from Trichinopoly.

College Board
29th July 1843

/ Signed / C. P. Brown
Senior Member
for the Secretary

Ordered

11588 Fort St. George 7th. August 1843.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the College Board. (38-39)

To The College Board
Gentlemen,

In Cons. 4th Aug. 1843.

With reference to the 2nd Para of Mr. Brown's letter dated 29th. Ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying 1st. part of the Skeleton Glossary received from the Collector of Trichinopoly.

2. Those Collectors who are in arrears have been requested to return the several parts of the Glossary transmitted to them, without delay.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 7th August, 1843.

/ Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following letter.—

40

From C. P. Brown Esqre. Actg. Secy. to the College Board.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Conveying the Sentiments of the College Board on certain suggestions made by the Collector of Guntoor regarding the preparation of the Glossary

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 6th. Ultimo, conveying a letter from Mr. H. Stokes, the Collector of Guntoor dated

11589 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

of Indian terms.

dated 30th June, forwarded for the consideration of the College Board.

In reply I am directed to state that the College Board agree with Mr Stokes in his opinion as to the expediency of adhering to one uniform system of spelling native official expressions in English characters: and admit that were it practicable the adoption of the mode of spelling used in Wilkins's Sanscrit Grammar appears the best hitherto invented.

But on a view of the instructions received regarding these Glosses, the Board are of opinion that the Government merely require an explanation of the Native words which are now in use in English documents regarding Revenue or Judicial business.

That the words be added in the Native character forms part of the instructions and this will remove all the difficulty of orthography as far as it may be felt by those who understand the character. To others a greater degree of precision would be of little avail.

The

11590 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

The range of subjects such as names of animals &c. contained in Mr. Stokes's suggestions appears to the Board to be much beyond what the Government require and therefore these are at present inadmissible.

College
1st August 1843.

(Signed) C. P. Brown
Acting Secretary

Resolution thereon, (40-41)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing letter be furnished to Mr. Stokes for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 30th June last.

(42) From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

Read register of petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the months of June last.

(42-43) Order thereon.

Ordered to be lodged in the office.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To the Collr of Masulipatam, The same to Salem, South Arcot & Coimbatore

To The Collector of Masulipatam,

(44)

Sir.

19th Dec. 1842
19th June 1842.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to the letters noted in the margin and to request that you will return without delay

The

the 1st. part of the Glossary therein referred to.

2. You will also be good enough to return the other parts of the Glossary at your earliest convenience.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

To the Collr. of Bellary the same to Cuddapah, N. Arcot, S. Arcot, Salem, Madura, Canara and Malabar

45

To

The Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

The abstract of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the first half year of 1843 not having yet been received, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that, you will submit the same with the least practicable delay.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 7th Augt. 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

To

The Principal Collector of Canara

To the Pl. Collr. of Canara

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to Return all the accounts (Ten 16 in number, connected with the case of

46

In Lr. dd. 20th Apl.

of Soorba Row Gomasta of the Buntwal Talook received with your letter of the 30th March last.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 7th August, 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the acting Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot.

47 Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Months of May last.

From Do. of Do. Return of persons

48 suspended or dismissed under Section VII Regulation VII of 1831 for the Months of May last.

From the Collector of Bellary.

49 Return of Fines imposed and Mortgages made from the pay of Public Servants from 1st April to 30th June 1843.

From Do. of Do.

50 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of June last.

From Do. of Do.

51 Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain

Grain and Salt for the Months of June last.-

From the Collector of Malabar. Abstract of Demand
52 Collection and Balance for the Months of June last.-

From Do. of Do. Statement shewing
53 the average bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Months of June last.-

From the Collector of Ganjam. Forwarding a Certificate
54 of examination of the Treasury at Chicacole for the Months of June last.-

From Do. of Do. Informing that certain
55 quarterly returns ending 30th June last are not forwarded.-

From the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura. Return of persons
56 charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of June last.-

From the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura. Return of persons
57 suspended and dismissed in conformity to Section VIII Regulation VII of 1831 for June last.-

From Do. of Do. Reporting that certain
58 periodical returns are not sent there being no entry to make.-

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of smuggled
59 tobacco for the Months of June last.-

From the Princ.l Coll.r of Nellore. Statement of goods
60 recommended

recommended for confiscation for the Months of June last.-

From the Princ.l Coll.r of Nellore. Statement shew-
61 -ing the goods on which double or treble duty has been levied for the Months of June last.-

Order on the three abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smug-
59-62 -gled articles as well as the levy of double or treble duty enumerated in the above-mentioned Statements be authorized.

From the Principal Collector of Nellore.- Statement
63 shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1842/43. up to the 31st July last.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Reporting
64 that the return of Village Servants for the Months of June last is not sent there being no entry to make.-

From the acting Collector of Vizagapatam. Return
65 of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants from 1st April to 30th June last.-

From

11595 Fort St. George 7th August 1843.

From the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam. Return of

(66) alterations in the list of fines and stoppages from 1st April to 30th June last.

From Do. of Do.

Return of Public Ser-

(67) vants suspended or dismissed from employment from 1st April to 30th June last.

" Do

" Do

" Walter Elliot

Comp. Examined

11596. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.—

Thursday 10th August 1843.— John Dent Esqre Senior Member

A. Robertson Esqre Second Member

and

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member.—

Minutes of the last Meeting

read and approved.—

Read the following Resolution—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Dated 8th August 1843.—

(From Bd 31st July)

From the Chief Secretary to Government. The Most

(1) Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the Acting Collector of South Arcot to defend in the first instance, at the public expense, the suit No 296 of 1842 instituted against the late Principal Collector Mr Dent in the Auxiliary Court of Chingleput by

one

one Calleetheea Pillay, that will determine on a copy of the Decree being laid before him, whether or not the costs, if any be adjudged against the public Officers, shall be borne by the Government.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Chief Secretary

Resolution Hereon.-

1-2

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of South Arcot with reference to Mr. White's letter of the 6th July last.-

The Acting Collector will be pleased to file in Court the accompanying modified draft of the answer submitted by Mr. White with his letter above referred to.-

Read the following letter-

To

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

3

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.- 3

Sir,

Submitting report on the Zemindary and Proprietary Estates in the District which are in arrears due to Government up to 13th July 1843 together with an Advertisement for the sale of such as may not have fulfilled their engagements by 31st of Augt next, for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue.-

Para 1. I have the honor to submit my report on the Zemindaries and Estates in this District, which were in the possession of their Proprietors at the commencement of fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ along with an Advertisement for the sale of such as may not have fulfilled their engagements by the 31st of August next.-

2. The total demand on this account is Rupees 10,15,377-11-3. of which Rupees 6,13,160-15-3 have been realized, and Rupees 4,02,216-12-0 Remain to be collected hereafter.-

Sumdry A.-
Current 5,96,695-4
Arrears 4,18,682-10-11
Total 10,15,377-11-3

3.- The season of fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ was unfavorable first, in consequence of the failure of the early rains, but subsequently it was favorable in the low country, but most unfavorable near the Hills, where the rains were totally insufficient. The prices of Grain and all sorts of agricultural produce were also so low as to render the fulfilment of engagements most difficult.-

There

Vide enclosed.-

4.- There are fifty three Estates as entered in the Statement Marked B. which I have divided into six clases.-

5.- The first clas contains six Estates, which have paid all demands against them in full.-

6.- The second class contains twelve Estates, against which the whole demand was Rupees 129001-6-3; against which there is a Current balance of Rupees 8688-5-11 - which however is principally confined to the Estate of Gopaulpooram the demand against which is Rupees 5,989-2-11- This Estate was attached under date 31st August 1842, but was subsequently released on payment of the whole balance then outstanding - The Permanent Settlement of this Estate is Rupees 19,368-8-- more than which having been paid, and the Members of the Cundemullah Family its Principal Proprietors, being most respectable, and having been most Wealthy; until they were ruined by their Agricultural engagements during the late calamitous seasons, I have considered it

Cr. A. P.
Current-106334-1-0
Arrears-22167-5-3
Total-129001-6-3

† Vide correspondence
2. Dt. 31st Aug. 1842.
From Dt. 12 Sept. Dt.-

1. Cundemullah Hestuiah.-
2. Do Ramiah
3 Do Rungiah
4 Do late Seeta ramiah.-
5- Cowlvory Purdasaharge-

it most advantageous for Government not to attach it, though I have included it in the sale Advertisement, and I have no doubt this balance will be paid very soon.-

7.- The 3d class contains also twelve Estates, the total demand against which was Rupees 91654-10-2- and the balance Rupees 17,509-6-0 on account of arrears, with the exception of Rupees 9-9-0.- All these Estates have paid equal to their Permanent Settlement, and the Majority a great deal, more, I therefore beg leave to recommend that they should be allowed to pay their remaining balances, within the termination of the current fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ and that they should be informed, that if they do not do this their Estates will certainly be brought to sale.-

Cr. A. P.
Current-64686-8-11
Arrears-26968-1-3
Total-91654-10-2

8.- The 4th Clas contains seven Estates the Demand Against which was Rupees 162317-13-2- and the present balance

Current-110780-12-1
Arrears-51537-1-2
Total-162317-13-2

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Cps - A P
Current - 3078 - 7 - 4
Arrear - 27069 - 15 - 4
Total - 32148 - 6 - 4

Balance Rupees 32148 - 6 - 4. All these Estates have paid more than their Permanent Settlement - The Cootatah Proprietor has certainly exerted himself in this fusly to redeem his Character, and has sold one of his Estates Moorooumundah, and had the Season been favorable in this Estate, I have no doubt he would have paid more. I therefore beg leave to recommend that the same indulgence should be accorded to this class as has been recommended for the former one.-

Demand Cps A P
Current - 43631 - 9 - 11
Arrear - 11019 - 7 - 4
54651 - 1 - 3
Collection
Current - 32014 - 4 - 2
Arrear - 6207 - 3 - 9
38221 - 7 - 11
Balance -
Current - 11617 - 5 - 9
Arrear 4812 - 3 - 7
Total - 16429 - 9 - 4

9. The nine Estates in the 5th class have been recently attached - their payments generally having fallen below their respective permanent Settlements - Of these Estates those of Cauzah and Munchely will alone, I think, require to be sold -

†Vide Correspondence
To Bd 4th Feby 1842
From Dr 24th Do

The Village of Tolairo is a very bad one and belongs to a † Minor - The Manager is absent at Hydrabad, but I hope, on his return, a satisfactory arrangement will be made for the discharge of this balance.-

Cps A P
Demand 533438 - 8 - 10
Collection 225997 - 8 - 5
Balance - 327441 - 0 - 5

10. Of the seven Estates included in the sixth class that of Rauly

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.-

*Vide correspondence
To Bd 28th Decr 1842.
From Dr 16th Feby 1843
To Dr 21st April Dr.
From Dr 22nd June Dr
To Dr 17th July Dr

Rauley is already under the *consideration of the Board, and those of Inavilly, Panoomunkah, Tatkey Tuntecondah and Moongondah appear to admit of no course, but bringing them to sale, which course is now most desirable, as the condition of the Ryots is getting worse and worse from the heavings of the Proprietors' Assessments - The Cotah Estate has just had its subdivision confirmed and I beg leave to recommend that it should be allowed another year, to see whether the several Proprietors can make any arrangement - I have employed now one Damarah Ventratarow, a connection of three of the Proprietors in the management of this Estate, and he has furnished security for his conduct in the sum of Rupees ten thousand - If this Management does not succeed there will be no course left, but to bring the estate to sale at the end of the fesly.-

†Vide Boards Proceedings 19th January 1843.-
1 Rajah Cauterla = Poody Juggun = natharauze -
2 Cundamullah Kristniah -
3 Do Ramiah -
and
4 Do Rungiah

11. In the case of the Estates included in the sale advertisement, but not attached I have issued the necessary notices to prevent anticipations of Revenue, and shall appoint Establishments when necessary.-

12. Should any Estate be brought to sale, I beg leave to recommend, that I may be authorized to bid, on account of Government, to the extent of the Government balance.-

Tillah Rajahmundry -
Collector's Office
Cocanada 20th July 1843.

[Signed] G. A. Smith
Collector.

Advertisement

1604

1603- Sergt

1 ...tration,
1252, lands will
the d sale, together
...ce of Fusly

Jo... Interest-

12

... CR d.p

1 Meer... 1 2.
Kham...

2 Angad...
Kistnaw... 96 1 10
Joint ...

3 Gaun...
...man...

4 Sulem...
Nagan... 2 10 7
Joint-...

5 Cau...
Lugg...
Cund...
Van...

6 Rajah...
Mulle...
Kham...

10th August 1843.-

and advances for cultivation and Repairs which
be commenced by auction in the Collector's
with the amount of Interest will be made known at the time of sale.-

1247- | Balance of Fusly 1248.- | Balance of Fusly 1249.-

Total.	Principal	Interest.	Total.	Principal	Interest.	Total.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
CR d.p	CR d.p	CR d.p	CR d.p	CR d.p	CR d.p	CR d.p
1 2.. 8 8 8.	41 12.	9 3 0 4.	191	1310	37 7 5	229 5 3
1,495 4 4	5,394 7 4	413. 9 9	5,808	11	" " .	340 1 5	340 1 5
" " 8 6 2 1	11 11 11	9 7 14.	" " 4		6 10 8	6 10 8
" " " "	5 7 1	5 7 1	" " .		1 5 7	1 5 7
" " 8 6 2 1	1 7 3.	10 3 5 7	" " .		7 1 5 9	7 1 5 9
" 2 10 7	1 5 5 3 8	" 13 8 3	166 11 11	" " "	6 7 2	6 7 2
" " " 21,435 13 9		908 14 6	22,344	123	30,129 6.	1,199 15 7	31,329 5 7
" " " " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

1603.

For Fasly

A. Advertisement.

1 Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Peshcush Reverue including interest, charges, sequestration
1252, shall have been liquidated on or before the day the 31st of August next, the sale of these lands will
the amount of charges, sequestration, and advances for Cultivation and repairs, due up to the day of sale, together

No	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates.	Peshcush Fasly	Permanent Assessment	Balance of Fasly 1244. Principal	Interest	Total	Balance of Fasly 1245. Principal	Interest	Total	Balance of Fasly Principal	Interest
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Ancient Zemindary Estates.				CR as CR	CR as CR	CR as CR	CR as CR	CR as CR	CR as CR	CR as CR	CR as CR
1	Meerjah Saduck ally Khan Sahib zemindar	Venkata-yapollem	1	1946	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 2
2	Angara Brammajee Kistnas and Row Ramarow Joint zemindars	Vellumpollem	8	12,632 3	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1399 2 6	96 1/2
3	Gaumojee Venkataira = -manah zemindar	Vomdassud = -rapporam Buntamilly	1	637 " 235 15	" " 235.15	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
			1	200 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
			2	837 " 235 15	" " 236 15	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
4	Sulemajee Venkiah, Jogiah, Nagaiah & Gopaulrow Joint zemindars	Paumungepilly	1	854 " "	" "	" "	" "	9144	" "	9144	" "	210
5	Canterlapoody Juggannadarauze Lundemullah Kistniah & Joint Do	Cotah	29	64375 15	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
6	Rajah Candrocoory Mullearjoona Phoo = -Shanrow zemindar	Yalamunchily	11	12,000 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

10th August 1843.-

and advances for cultivation and Repairs which
be commenced by auction in the Collector's
with the amount of Interest will be made known at the time of sale.—

1247.-	Balance of Fasly 1248.-			Balance of Fasly 1249.-			
	Total.-	Principal	Interest.-	Total.-	Principal	Interest.	Total.-
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c
	1 2 "	888.	41 12 "	930 4 "	191 1310	37 7 5	229 5 3
	1495 4 4	5394 7 4	413 9 9	5,808 1 1	" " "	340 1 5	340 1 5
	" " "	86 2 1	11 11 11	97 14 "	" " "	6 10 8	6 10 8
	" " "	" " "	5 7 1	5 7 1	" " "	1 5 7	1 5 7
	" " "	86 2 1	1 7 3 "	103 5 1	" " "	7 15 9	7 15 9
	" " "	2107	155 3 8	2 13 8 3	166 11 11	" " "	6 7 2	6 7 2
	" " "	21,435 13 9		908 14 6	22,344 12 3	30,129 6 "	1,199 15 7	31,329 5 7
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St George

10th August 1843.-

are outstanding against the undermentioned Estates in the Zillah of Rajahmundry up to the end of Fasly Cutcherry at Cocanada on that day, and will be continued until the whole of the lands shall be sold

	Balance of Fasly 1250.-			Balance of Fasly 1251.-			Balance of Fasly 1252.-			Totals.-		
	Principal	Interest.-	Total.	Principal	Interest.	Total.-	Principal	Interest.-	Charges Sequestration	Total.-	Remarks.-
	20	21	22	23	24	25.	26	27	28	29	30	31.	32	33.-
	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P
	743	" 2	"	" "	743	" 2	75	" 5	445	79 1110	"	"	1898	6 5 85	" 10	"	"	19837 3		
	"	" "	260	123	260	123	626	1111	196	411	823	1010	"	"	"	"	"	7420 5	1307	82	"	"	8727	1311	
	"	" "	3	69	3	69	"	" "	5	10	"	570	"	"	"	"	"	32211	2232	"	"	34443			
	"	" "	6	49	6	49	35	"	4	126	39	126	"	"	"	"	"	35	"	1735	"	"	52135		
	"	" "	9	116	9	116	35	"	5	24	40	24	"	"	"	"	"	35711	4014	"	"	39718			
	"	" "	7	911	7	911	156	9	7	138	164	68	120	34	"	"	"	12034	52144	3817	"	"	539	511	
	3	535	1	"	616	1570	4172	" 10	33	811	55	1245	76	3505	612	11	13	44616	102377	11	83	971	55	"	"	1063491	1	attach
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20908	"	"	"	"	"	20908	"	Do.-
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	20908	"	"	"	"	"	20908	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

11605

Fort St. George

No.	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates.	No. of Villages	Permanent Assessment	Balance of Fasly 1244. Principal	Interest	Total.	Balance of Fasly 1245. Principal	Interest	Total.	Balance of Fasly Principal	Interest
1		2	3	4	5		6	7		8	9	10
					C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.	C.R. a. p.
	Proprietary Estates.											
7	Coiloory Parcadasseranze Cundeimallah Kistniah Dº Joint Proprietors	Gopaulpooram	11	19368 8								8 5 6
		Munchily	1	3672 12 11								2 9
			12	23041 4 11								10 6 1
8	Currah Appanah and Atevary appalrauze Dº	Craupah	4	6509								17 9 5
9	Row Ramarow Proprietor	Veeravelly-pollem	1	3545 8								16 13 8
10	Rajah Row Bhamiah Dº	Palevalah	8	19807								58 3 7
		Veeravaram	11	26376 8								58 3 7
			19	46633 8								16 5 9
11	Cotcherlacotah Seetaramah Dº	Tandoorusy	15	3939 3								52 13 10
12	Cotcherlacotah Ramachendra Venkatakistarow Dº	Polavaram	7	6727 13								
		Puttesam	9	5749 3								52 13 10
13	Munnem Venkataratnum Proprietor	Gootalah	16	11977								
		Mongondepollem	80	39357 8								
		Pullepollem	1	545 8								
			1	2814								
14	Damarah Sooramah	Mullavaram	82	42717								
		Patavalah & Goorjunapilly	1	948								
			2	1471 8								
			3	2419 8								

10th August 1843.-

1247.- Balance of Fusly 1248.-				Balance of Fusly 1249.-		
Total.-	Principal	Interest..	Total.-	Principal	Interest	Total.-
13.-	14	15	16.	17	18	19
Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.
8 5 6	"	"	1366.	1366.	"	158 8 5 158 8 5
2. 7	"	"	17157	17157	"	68. 5 68. 5
10 6 1	"	"	154 5 7	154 5 7	"	226 8 10 226 8 10
17 9 5	2393	"	117 7 11	3,010 7 11	107	" 3112. 13812.
16 13 8	341 2.		50 11 4	391 13 4	"	" 30 7 6 30 7 6
58 8 7	"	"	82 6 8			
"	"	"	"	82 6 8	"	" 215 12 9 215 12 9
58 8 7	"	"	82 6 8	82 6 8	"	" 54 3. 54 3.
16 5 9	423 8.		5 6 7	479 14 7	"	" 130 9 2 130 9 2
52 13 10	1,243 8.		369 11	1,642 9 1	"	" " " "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" " " "
52 13 10	1,243 8.		369 11	1,642 9 1	"	" 130 9 2 130 9 2
1	"	"	5798 4 6	532 13 10	6,332 2 4	3,962 11 6 627 7 5 4,590 2 11
4	20.	"	6 9 11	6 9 11	"	" 5 3 5 5 3 5
"	"	"	"	"	"	" 1000. 94 12 10 1094 12 10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	5798 4 6	540 7 9	6,338 12 3	4,962 11 6 727 7 8 5690 3 2
"	"	"	1 12 10		1 12 10	266 2. 33 6 9 289 9 9
"	"	"	"	7 13 4		823 6. 52 10 7 876. 7
"	"	"	9 10 2		9 10 2	1079 8. 86 14 1,165 9 4

1606 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.-

Balance of Fusly 1250.-			Balance of Fusly 1251.-			Balance							
Principal	Interest.-	Total.	Principal	Interest	Total.	Principal			Charges Sequestration	Total	Princi=pal	Interest	Charges sequestra=tion	Total.	Remarks.
20	21	22	23	24	25	26			27	28	29	30	31	32	33.
CR.	a o	CR.	a o	CR.	a o	CR.	a o		CR.	a o	CR.	a o	CR.	a o	CR.	a o	
"	"	88	4.	88	4.	"	"	258	7 1	258	7 1	5989	2 11	"	"	6639	1 11	
"	"	49	1 5	49	1 5	"	"	85	10.	85	10.	2142	14 3	"	"	2365	10 3	Attached.
"	"	137	5 5	137	5 5	"	"	344	1 1	344	1 1	8132	1 2	"	"	8721	"	
"	"	123	9 8	123	9 8	"	"	19	15 7	19	15 7	"	"	"	"	9004	12 2	
"	"	38	14 11	38	14 11	641	"	36	6 4	677	6 4	"	"	"	"	3310	6 1	
"	"	53	2 9	53	2 9	3158	10	422	4 5	3580	14 5	1725	"	"	"	9822	17 3 5 9	
"	"	"	"	"	"	17	"	293	7 10	310	7 10	"	"	"	"	1155	7 9	
"	"	53	2 9	53	2 9	3175	10	715	12 3	3891	6 3	1725	"	"	"	5715	13 2	
"	"	18	12 8	18	12 8	931	4.	48	14 11	980	2 11	645	3 "	"	"	2194	9 11	
"	"	40	9 5	40	9 5	1459	8 "	92	13 5	1552	5 5	588	" "	"	"	4006	14 11	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	40	9 5	40	9 5	1459	8 "	92	13 5	1552	5 5	588	" "	"	"	4006	14 11	
"	"	380	3 10	380	3 10	7525	"	516	5 4	2041	8 4	874	8 "	"	"	20218	6 5	
"	"	8	13 7	8	13 7	"	"	13	9 9	13	9 9	137	8.	"	"	171	12 8	
"	"	42	" 5	42	" 5	"	"	74	9 9	74	9 9	702	" "	"	"	1913	7 "	
"	"	431	1 10	431	1 10	7525	"	604	8 10	8129	8 10	1714	" "	"	"	22303	10 1	
"	"	9	5 11	9	5 11	"	"	17	7 7	17	7 7	63	" "	"	"	381	3 1	
"	"	13	3 4	13	3 4	"	"	29	" 10	29	" 10	91	8.	"	"	1017	10 1	
"	"	22	9 3	22	9 3	"	"	46	8 5	46	8 5	154	8.	"	"	1398	13 2	

10th August 1843

of Fusly 1252.

Total

11607-

Fort St. George

Number.	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates.	Number of Months.	Permanent assessment.	Balance of Fusly 1244 - Principal	Balance of Fusly 1244 - Interest	Balance of Fusly 1244 - Total	Balance of Fusly 1245 - Principal	Balance of Fusly 1245 - Interest	Balance of Fusly 1245 - Total	Balance of Fusly - Principal	Balance of Fusly - Interest
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
				C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p
15	Challapilly Ramakistamah and Hammanloo Joint Proprietors	Moggollah	1	20,185	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
16	Duntooloory Boochee Kistamarauze Proprietor	Casanakoroo	6	11,873	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
17	Cuttoory Venkatayamah	Dº Tautepakah	2	5083	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	10	10 7
18	Streeputty Pracasarow	Dº Cauzah	1	2362 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
19	Govindarauze Venkataroydo	Dº Panoomuntra	12	21968 12 9	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
20	Duntooloory Venkata Kistamarauze	Dº Gnavilly	5	12373 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	633 12 8	151 4 6	
21	Canoomoory Jogiah	Dº Ingeram	3	3265 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
22	Cotcherlacotah Lutchmeedavamah	Dº Rauly	18	43767 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	533 3 4	
	Add Arrears		"	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
			18	43767 8 "	369 2 6	369 2 6	13385 3 3	578 3 4	13963 6 7	" "	2022 1	
23	Dauttah Pedda Soondariah and China Soondariah Joint Proprietors	Takey Vaukalipparu	3	12243 " "	369 2 6	369 2 6	13385 3 3	578 3 4	13963 6 7	" "	2560 3 5	
		Vadesalairoo	7	22006 8 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
			31	38493 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
			41	72742 8 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
24	Cavelegah Venkanah Proprietor	Tuntecondah	2	3,800 4 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	39 11 3	
25	Cotey Puttaberamarow	Moongoundah	20	24073 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3706 4 9	238 13 6	
	Dº	Yanoogapilly	2	4057 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	48 10 2	
			22	28130 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3706 4 9	287 7 8	
	Total		317	4,40,912 " 2	235 13 4	369 2 6	605 1 6	13,476 7 7	578 3 4	14,054 10 11	5,739 3 11	3321 13 7

10th August 1843.

1257.	Balance of Fusly 1248.			Balance of Fusly 1249.		
Total.	Princi: pal.	Interest.	Total.	Princi: pal.	Interest.	Total.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.
" " "	511 9 2	55 13 8	56 6 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	122 13 3	122 13 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
10 10 7	270 2 10	34 2 3	304 5 1	" " "	34 1 3	34 1 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1546 3 7	894 15 2	1,641 2 9	2,405 12 5	241 8 5	2,647 4 10
785 1 2	4,661 9 2	106 13 6	4,768 6 8	" " "	197 7 10	197 7 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
538 3 4	" " "	608 12 "	608 12 "	10,905 6 "	704 9 1	11,609 15 1
2,022 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,560 3 5	" " "	608 12 "	608 12 "	10,905 6 "	704 9 1	11,609 15 1
" " "	1103 12 10	346 7 8	1,450 4 6	1,772 12 6	193 7 10	1,966 4 4
" " "	12,321 12 6	482 13 "	12,804 9 6	5573 2 6	385 7 2	5958 9 8
" " "	18,904 10 11	842 14 6	19,747 9 5	4,767 12 9	666 15 11	5,434 12 8
" " "	32,330 4 3	1,672 3 2	34,002 7 5	12,113 11 9	1,245 4 11	13,359 1 8
" 39 11 8	1,794 10 "	102 2 4	1,896 12 4	271 11 4	72 14 2	344 9 6
3,945 2 5	6,248 "	296 3 "	6,544 11 "	7,657 14 "	360 10 3	8,036 8 3
48 10 2	" " "	53 3 8	53 3 8	1,769 5 3	81 10 6	1,850 15 9
3,993 12 5	6,248 "	349 6 8	6,597 14 8	9,445 3 3	442 9 9	9,887 "
9,961 6	10,025 8 4	6,725 10 7	10,699 6 11	7,612 4 1	603 6 10	7,715 10 11

11608

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

Balance of Fusly 1250			Balance of Fusly 1251			Balance of Fusly 1252		Total					
Princi: pal.	Interest.	Total.	Princi: pal	Interest.	Total.	Princi: pal.	Interest.	Charges Sequestra: tion:	Total	Charges Sequestra: tion:	Total	Remarks.
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33.
CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	CRs. a ∞	
" " "	2 11 9	2 11 9	463 6 10	26 5 5	491 12 3	573 " "	" " "	573 " "	1550 " "	84 14 10	" " "	1634 11 10	
" " "	" " "	" " "	575 " "	70 13 9	645 13 9	1950 10 "	" " "	1950 10 "	2525 10 "	193 11 "	" " "	2719 5 "	
" " "	3 3 8	3 3 8	445 2 "	32 7 3	477 9 3	1637 8 "	" " "	1637 8 "	2352 12 10	120 9 "	" " "	2473 5 10	
" " "	" " "	" " "	419 11 5	69 9 8	489 5 1	1132 7 "	169 " "	1149 " "	1552 2 5	69 9 8	16 9 "	1638 5 1	
" " "	253 14 3	253 14 3	9820 14 9	354 3 7	10175 24	4549 11 8	" " "	4549 11 8	32522 10 5	1744 9 5	" " "	34267 3 10	
" " "	247 10 "	247 10 "	8381 4 5	370 8 7	8751 13 "	3034 2 9	" " "	3034 2 9	16710 13 "	1073 12 5	" " "	17784 9 5	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	49 8 4	49 8 4	1040 1 2	" " "	1040 1 2	1040 1 2	49 8 4	" " "	1089 9 6	
2106 5 "	314 11 5	2421 " 5	584 7 6	426 4 11	1010 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	13596 2 6	2592 8 9	" " "	16188 11 3	Attached.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
2106 5 "	314 11 5	2421 " 5	584 7 6	426 4 11	1010 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	13385 3 3	2969 5 11	" " "	16354 9 2	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
4728 8 6	212 15 3	4941 " 9	1875 5 6	31 14 5	1907 3 11	3661 8 "	" " "	" " "	26981 3 9	5561 14 8	" " "	32543 " 5	
10984 1 3	393 5 10	11377 7 1	6786 10 6	180 6 5	6967 " 11	6467 2 "	18 " "	3679 8 "	13141 15 4	784 13 2	18 " "	13944 12 6	
12551 5 9	484 2 "	13035 7 9	5704 15 5	127 6 2	5832 5 7	2398 13 9	" " "	6467 2 "	42132 12 9	1442 " 5	" " "	43574 13 2	
28263 15 6	1090 7 1	29354 6 7	14366 15 5	339 11 "	14706 10 5	12527 7 9	" " "	2398 13 9	44327 10 7	2121 6 7	" " "	46449 1 2	
" " "	32 5 2	32 5 2	1805 7 4	67 8 5	1872 15 9	1590 " 6	18 " "	12545 7 9	99602 6 8	4348 4 2	18 " "	103968 10 10	
8851 7 9	524 15 5	9376 7 2	7346 3 3	177 6 7	7523 6 9	3566 13 "	21 " "	1611 " 6	51461 13 2	3149 9	21 " "	57976 11	
2467 4 9	133 7 3	2601 6 "	1636 13 "	59 2 8	1695 15 8	515 " "	" " "	3566 13 "	37395 2 9	1597 13 8	" " "	38993 " 5	
11319 6 6	658 6 8	11977 13 2	8983 " 3	236 6 2	9219 6 5	4081 13 "	" " "	515 " "	6389 1 "	376 2 3	" " "	6765 3 3	
45987 12 2	4364 9 3	50352 5 7	94284 6 8	5412 9 3	99696 11	60732 6 10	" " 4	4081 13 "	43784 3 9	1973 15 11	" " "	45758 3 8	
							55 9 "	60732 6 10	392319 " 7	26808 10 8	55 9 "	419183 4 3	

Persans.

2. Persons intending to become purchasers of the ancient Zemindary Estates under this advertisement are all hereby informed that under orders of Government no remission for Moturpha shall be granted to any Purchaser nor any abatement made in the present Permanent Assessment of the Estate on that account.—

3. The Kists of Permanent Jummah falling due to the date of sale together with interest charges attachment &c. are to be paid by the late Proprietor of the Estate and those subsequent to the date of sale together with interest charges attachment &c. are to be discharged by the Purchasers.—

4. Persons desirous of becoming Purchasers may depute authorized agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all Purchases made by such authorized agents or Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the Principals.—

5. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their Constituents on behalf of whom such Purchases may be made.—

6. The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion Notice is further given that in the event of any purchases being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchases will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the Estate to be resold.—

A

7. A summary of the Milkcut Istemrar or Deed of Permanent right has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligations to be incurred and rights to be obtained by Purchasers of land under this advertisement all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular information.—

8. The Purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale had been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but in the meantime 15 per Cent of the purchase Money will be required to be deposited at the time of sale and Security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction or within one month from the day of the sale. In default thereof the whole or part of the Estate will be resold at the risk of the first Purchaser.—

9. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit Money will be returned and the person depositing it relieved from responsibility with regard to the Estate or Estates.—

10. Payment of the Purchase Money will be received in Company's Rupees star Pagodas and Madras Pagodas at the company's rate of Exchange.—

11. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue between Eleven and four O'clock and at the Collector's Cutcherry at Cocanada between ten and five O'clock except on Sunday.—

12. If the abovementioned arrears are not paid by the day of sale the Estates will be sold for the recovery thereof with the legal Interest.—

Vizagapatam Rajahmundry Collector's Office
Cocanada 20th. July 1843.

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement.

11611.

Fort St. George

B. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance Current and arrear of the Proprietary and Zemindary Estates in the Zillah of Rajahmundry, which were in the possession of their respective Proprietors at the Commencement of fusly 1252, up to 18th July 1843.

10th August 1843.

Number.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	No. of Mootahs.	Demand			Collection	Balance.					
			arrears at the beginning of fusly 1252.	Permanent Jummah for fusly 1252.	Total Demand.	on account of arrears.	upto 18th July 1843.					
							on account of Current	Total collection	account of arrears.	on account of Current	Total.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	
	First Class.											
1	Mooroomundah	1	" " "	1,850 2 "	1,850 2 "	" " "	1,850 2 "	1,850 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
2	Vunyalapoody	2	" " "	7,528 6 10	7,528 6 10	" " "	7,528 6 10	7,528 6 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	
3	Durmavaram	1	" " "	2,402 15 11	2,402 15 11	" " "	2,402 15 11	2,402 15 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	
4	Capelaswarapooram	1	" " "	9,463 7 6	9,463 7 6	" " "	9,463 7 6	9,463 7 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	
5	Potoscoorroo	1	" " "	913 " "	913 " "	" " "	913 " "	913 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
6	Vellah	1	710 11 4	1,445 8 "	2,156 3 4	710 11 4	1,445 8 "	2,156 3 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	
		7	710 11 4	23,603 8 3	24,314 3 7	710 11 4	23,603 8 3	24,314 3 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Second Class.											
7	Goondapilly	1	70 " "	962 8 "	1,032 8 "	70 " "	914 " "	984 " "	" " "	248 8 "	248 8 "	Not attached.
8	Talekecherlah	1	70 " "	962 8 "	1,032 8 "	70 " "	892 8 "	962 8 "	" " "	70 " "	70 " "	Do.
9	Mulkapilly	1	402 5 11	2,282 " "	2,684 5 11	402 5 11	1,904 4 1	2,306 10 "	" " "	377 11 11	377 11 11	Do.
10	Bheemolee	1	263 " "	3,031 " "	3,294 " "	263 " "	2,920 10 "	3,183 10 "	" " "	110 6 "	110 6 "	Do.
11	Juggumpettah &c.	3	6,007 " "	38,458 " "	44,465 " "	6,007 " "	37,455 8 "	43,462 8 "	" " "	1,002 8 "	1,002 8 "	Do.
12	Cautavaram	1	" " "	2,135 " "	2,135 " "	" " "	1,975 8 "	1,975 8 "	" " "	159 8 "	159 8 "	Do.
13	Kirlumpoody	1	2,843 4 4	23,306 8 "	26,149 12 4	2,843 4 4	23,033 12 "	25,877 " 4	" " "	272 12 "	272 12 "	Do.
14	Annadavarapaudo	1	3,216 2 4	3,895 8 "	7,111 10 4	3,216 2 4	3,675 " "	6,891 2 4	" " "	220 8 "	220 8 "	Do.
15	Gopaulapooram	1	7,052 9 8	19,368 8 "	26,421 1 8	7,052 9 8	13,379 5 1	20,431 14 9	" " "	5,989 2 11	5,989 2 11	Not attached but included in Sale Advertisement
16	Rajahmundry &c.	2	2,079 3 "	7,539 7 "	9,618 10 "	2,079 3 "	7,487 11 11	9,566 14 11	" " "	51 11 1	51 11 1	Not attached.
17	Nadavapilly &c.	2	163 12 "	3,966 12 "	4,130 8 "	163 12 "	3,812 8 "	3,976 14 11	" " "	154 4 "	154 4 "	Do.
18	Bommoor	1	" " "	926 "	926 "	" " "	895 " "	895 " "	" " "	31 6 "	31 6 "	Do.
		16	22,167 5 3	106,834 1 "	129,001 6 3	22,167 5 3	98,145 11 1	120,313 " 4	" " "	8,688 5 11	8,688 5 11	

11612

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

upto 18th July 1843.

Number	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Number of Mootahs.	Demand. Arrears at the beginning of fusly 1252.	Permanent Summah for fusly 1252.	Total Demand.	Collection. On account of arrears.	On account of current.	Total.	Balance. On account of current	On account of arrears	Total Collection.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	
	Third Class.											
19	Cottam	1	2,714 4 -	27,163 8 -	29,877 12 -	1,000 - -			27,163 8 -	1,714 4 -	28,163 8 -	Not Attached
20	Jungareddy goodem	1	189 - -	499 10 -	688 10 -	170 - -			499 10 -	19 - -	669 10 -	Do.
21	Neelapilly	1	132 8 -	481 4 -	613 12 -	- - -			481 4 -	132 8 -	481 4 -	Do.
22	Bruckalunkah	1	1,499 - 5	1,841 - -	3,340 - 5	1,195 4 5			1,841 - -	303 12 -	3,036 4 5	Do.
23	Venkatayapollem	1	2,111 10 2	1,946 - -	4,057 10 2	213 3 9			1,946 - -	1,898 6 5	2,150 3 9	Do. but included in the sale advertisement
24	Vellumpollem	1	10,563 11 9	12,632 3 -	23,195 14 9	3,143 6 -			12,632 3 -	7,420 5 9	15,775 9 -	Do. Do. Do.
25	Jaulemoody Vra	3	576 8 8	2,296 4 11	2,872 13 7	153 15 11			2,296 4 11	422 8 9	2,450 4 10	Not attached Vide my letter of 10th instant
26	Hoondaswarapoo. Vram Do	2	543 5 3	837 - -	1,380 5 3	186 4 2			837 - -	357 1 1	1,023 4 2	Do. but included in the sale advertisement
27	Gunapavaram	1	1,639 11 -	4,877 3 -	6,516 14 -	1,207 8 -			4,877 - -	432 3 -	6,084 8 -	Not Attached
28	Serawpah	1	4,561 12 -	6,509 - -	11,070 12 -	1,561 12 -			6,509 - -	3,000 - -	8,070 12 -	Do. but included in the sale advertisement
29	Veeravillapollem	1	1,211 10 -	3,545 8 -	4,757 2 -	229 8 -			3,545 8 -	982 2 -	3,775 - -	Do. Do.
30	Conetavadah	1	1,225 - -	2,058 - -	3,283 - -	407 6 -			2,048 10 -	817 10 -	2,456 - -	Under Mr Insruty not attached Do. excluded from the Sale advertisement.
		15	26,968 1 3	64,686 8 11	91,654 10 2	9,468 4 3			64,676 15 11	17,499 13 -	74,145 4 2	9 9 - 17,509 6 -

11613-

Fort St. George

10th August 1843.

up to 18th July 1843.

Number	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Number of Months.	Demand. arrears at the beginning of fusly 1252.	Demand. Permanent Jummah for fusly 1252.	Total Demand.	Collection on account of Arrears.	Collection on account of Current.	Total Collection	Balance. on account of arrears	Balance. on account of current.	Total.	Remarks.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	
	Fourth class.												
31	Boochemmapettah Do.	2	663 9 "	2,140 9 "	2,804 2 "	623 9 "		200 9 "	2,632 9 "	40 " "	131 9 "	171 9 "	Not attached.
32	Palevalah Do.	2	16,175 10 "	46,683 8 "	62,859 2 "	13,000 " "		14,958 8 "	57,958 8 "	3,175 10 "	1,725 " "	4,900 10 "	Do. but included in the sale advertisement.
33	Paunungepilly	1	532 4 10	854 " "	1,386 4 10	131 3 10		733 12 8	865 " 6	401 1 "	120 3 4	521 4 4	Do. Do.
34	Toudoovoy	1	2,254 15 "	3989 3 "	6,244 2 "	900 3 "		3,344 " "	4,244 3 "	1,354 12 "	645 3 "	1,999 15 "	Do. Do.
35	Polavaram Do.	2	5,333 " "	11,977 " "	17,310 " "	2,600 " "		11,389 " "	13,989 " "	2,733 " "	588 " "	3,321 " "	Do. Do.
36	Gootalah Do.	3	24,691 14 4	42,717 " "	67,208 14 4	6,205 14 4		41,003 " "	47,208 14 4	18,286 " "	1714 " "	20,000 " "	The current Rs. 1714 since paid not attached but included in Do. Do.
37	Mullanarum Do.	2	2085 12 "	2419 8 "	4,505 4 "	1026 4 "		2265 " "	3,271 4 "	1079 8 "	154 8 "	1,234 " "	Not attached but included in the sale advertisement.
		13	51,537 1 2	1,10,730 12 "	1,62,317 13 2	24,467 2 2		1,05,702 4 8	1,30,169 6 10	27,069 15 "	5078 7 4	32,148 6 4	
	Fifth class.												
38	Mooggollah	1	1,379 2 2	2018 5 "	3,397 7 2	402 2 2		1,445 5 "	1,847 7 2	977 " "	573 " "	1,550 " "	attached and included in the sale advertisement
39	Mookamalah	1	16 4 4	133 " "	149 4 4	16 4 4					23 13 4	23 13 4	Do. but not included in the sale advertisement.
40	Ingeram	1	1,104 5 5	3265 8 "	4,369 13 5	1,104 5 5		109 2 8	125 7 "	" " "	1,040 1 2	1,040 1 2	attached and included in Do.
41	Yalamanchily	1	" " "	12,000 " "	12,000 " "	" " "		2,225 6 10	3,329 12 3	" " "	2,090 8 "	2,090 8 "	Do. Do.
42	Caiana Kooroo	1	1,726 10 "	11,873 " "	13,599 10 "	1,151 10 "		9,209 8 "	9,909 8 "	" " "	1,950 10 "	2,525 10 "	Do. Do.
43	Pantepaukah	1	1,573 4 10	5083 " "	6,661 4 10	863 " "		9,922 6 "	11,074 " "	575 " "	1,637 8 "	2,352 12 10	Do. Do.
44	Canjah	1	696 11 5	2,362 8 "	3,059 3 5	277 " "		3,445 8 "	4,308 8 "	1,154 10	1,132 7 "	1,552 25	Do. Do.
45	Manchily	1	1,892 13 10	3,672 12 11	5,565 10 9	1,397 13 10		1,230 1 "	1,507 1 "	419 11 5	2,142 14 3	2,142 14 3	Do. Do.
46	Tolairoo	1	2,625 3 4	3,223 8 "	5,848 11 4	500 " "		1,529 14 8	3,422 12 6	" " "	1,026 8 "	3,151 11 4	Do. included from the sale advertisement as the Proprietor is a Subnor.
		9	11,019 7 4	43,631 9 11	54,651 1 3	6,207 3 9		2,197 " "	2,697 " "	2,125 3 4			
								32,014 4 2	38,221 7 11	4,812 3 7	1,617 5 9	1,629 9 4	

11614

Fort St. George

10th August 1843.

Number	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Number of Moo Estates.	Demand. arrears at the beginning of fusly 1252	Demand. Permanent Jummah for fusly 1252.	Demand. Total Demand.	Collection an account of arrears.	Collection up to 18th July 1843 an account of current.	Collection up to 18th July 1843 Total Collection.	Balance. an account of arrears.	Balance. an account of current.	Balance. Total.	Remarks.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Sixth class.		CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	
47	Inavelly	1	14,030 11 9	12,373 8 "	26,404 3 9	354 1 6	9,339 5 3	9,693 6 9	13,676 10 3	3,034 2 9	16,710 13 "	
48	Panoomuntrah	1	27,972 14 9	21,968 12 9	49,941 11 6	" " "	17,419 1 1	17,419 1 1	27,972 14 9	4,549 11 8	32,522 10 5	under attachment and included in the sale advertisement.
49	Rauly	1	41,072 13 3	43,767 8 "	84,840 5 3	14,091 7 6	43,767 8 "	57,858 15 6	26,981 5 9	" " "	26,981 5 9	
50	Taikry &c.	3	9,069 11 3	72,742 8 6	1,63,440 3 9	3,622 12 4	60,215 " 9	63,837 13 1	87,074 14 11	12,527 7 9	99,602 6 8	
51	Tuntecondah	1	3,871 12 8	3,800 4 "	7,672 " 8	" " "	2,210 3 6	2,210 3 6	3,871 12 8	1,590 " 6	5,461 13 2	
52	Moongondah &c.	2	39,702 6 9	23,130 " "	62,832 6 9	" " "	24,048 3 "	24,048 3 "	39,702 6 9	4,081 12 "	43,784 3 9	
53	Cotah	1	88,931 10 2	64,375 15 "	1,53,307 9 2	" " "	50,929 13 6	50,929 13 6	88,931 10 2	13,446 1 6	1,02,377 11 8	
		10	3,06,280 " 7	2,47,158 8 3	5,53,438 8 10	18,068 5 4	2,07,929 3 1	2,25,997 4 5	2,88,211 11 3	39,229 5 2	3,27,441 " 5	
	Total	70	4,18,682 10 11	5,96,695 " 4	10,15,377 11 3	81,089 " 1	5,32,971 15 2	6,13,160 15 3	3,37,593 10 10	64,623 1 2	4,02,216 12 "	

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Office
Cocanada 20th July 1843

} Errors. Excepted. &c.

(Signed) G. A. Smith Collector

Ordered

11615 — Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

3-4

Order thereon
Vide Proceedings
10th Augt.

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From G. A. Smith Esqre. Collr. of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the 3d Para of Mr. Bourdillon's letter of the 24th November last, I have the honor to inform you that the Pensioner Maurella Pariah therein alluded to, has now appeared before me, and has represented that in consequence of the loss of his son he went to the Vizagapatam District to visit his relations, where he was detained by severe sickness, from which his advanced age made his recovery very slow. That in consequence he had been unable to attend to receive his pension since 1840.—

2. The Pension of this Individual is now due from the 12th July 1839 to the 11th July 1843 and the amount for the four years to the 11th July 1843 is Rupees

11616 — Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

P.M.P. at 6-7-5-½ Annum.

Rupees 25-13-8- (twenty five annas thirteen and price eight for the payment of which Snow beg leave to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained, as the man is very old indeed, and the reason assigned by him for his non attendance appears admissible.-

Collrs. Office — Rajahmundry
Cocanada 28th July 1843.

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing

5-6

letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to Para 2 of the Boards' Proceedings dated 31st October 1842.-

Under the Circumstances stated by Mr. Smith, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears due to the Pensioner Maurell Pariah from 12th July 1839 to 11th July 1843 Amounting to Rupees 25-13-8-

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

7

From G. A. Smith Esqre.
Collr. of Rajahmundry

Sir,

On the 28th April last

Fort St. George 10th August 1843

Irepented the Melancholy circumstance under which I had left my Sheristadar. This event could not have happened at a more unfortunate period of the year, when I was engaged in the Settlements of this District, and it was necessary for me to take immediate steps for continuing the duties of my Office. To effect this I sent for Canavara Venkatachellum, the Amuldar of Nagarum, a Servant of about twenty one years standing of which period he has been employed eighteen years and a half in this District, two years in Masulipatam and half a year in Vizagapatam, and has had experience in almost every description of situation in the Revenue and other Departments. Before recommending him however I considered it desirable to try his abilities in the Collectorate, and as this has confirmed my opinion that he will be able to conduct the various duties required from a Sheristadar in this District, in which there are so many conflicting interests to be constantly attended to, that a Knowledge of the District is

Fort St. George 10th August 1843

is indispensably necessary, I beg leave to recommend that his appointment may receive the Board's sanction.-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office - - -
Cocanada 4th August 1843.

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector.

878
Order thereon.
(Read again in Con. 28th aug.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.-

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqr.
" "

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
in their Capacity of Court of Wards,
Fort St. George.

(9)

Sir,

From the Collr of Masulipatam.

Submitting his opinion relative to the resources of the Deevy Estate, as well as to the period within which the advances proposed to be made from the public treasury to redeem the debts of the late Zemindar of Deevy may be expected to be recovered.

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward the accompanying Statements relative to the resources of the Deevy Estate, shewing the grounds upon which I have formed my opinion as to the period within which the advance proposed to be made from the public treasury to redeem the debts

of

11820. Fort St. George 10th. August 1843.

	No. of Ploughs.	Extent of Land Cultivated	Quantity of produce	Government Share of produce	Amount of Sisti	Average Sisti per Cutty	Average price per Candy.
		C Ds	C Ds	C Ds	Ps	A Ds	Ps A Ds.
Dry	"	308 8 8/16	131 7 4	26/16	607 11 32/16	15153 " 7	49 1 10	24 2 6
Wet	"	372 10 3/2	1,994 5	37/2	2226 13 44/16	46179 11 2	123 14 7	20 9 6
	"	681 2 11 9/16	634 1 10	23 9/16	2834 11 11	61332 11 9	" " "	" " "
Money rented and Garden lands	"	132 6 11 11/16	" " "	" " "	" " "	10744 6 "	80 12 11	" " "
		2650 813 9 10/4	634 1 10	23 9/16	2834 11 11	72034 1 9	" " "	" " "
Ready Money Collections	4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9963 6 7	" " "	" " "
Total		2650 813 9 10/4	634 1 10	23 9/16	2834 11 11	81997 8 4	" " "	" " "

Statement A

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

3 Statement No. 1 shews the classification of the Goodecut or total extent of lands of all kinds comprised in the Estate which amounts to Cuttes. V. P. 3865-5-1 of which the extent available for cultivation is Cuttes V. P. 1660-9-9 Viz. Dry land 768-14-2 and Wet 891-11-7-

4 From a report furnished by the Tahsildar an abstract of whose Estimate is contained in Statement No. 2, it would appear that the total revenue derivable from the Estate might be calculated at Rupees 159000- but it will be observed that this Estimate is founded on the assumption that the whole extent of cultivable lands, wet and dry, are brought under the plough; that the season is favorable, which it can hardly be expected ever to prove, in an equal degree to both species of cultivation, and that the Government share of the produce is disposed of at the average rates of the last 10 years, the Amount of which is far beyond what can reasonably be expected for some years to come. For the above reasons, the Estimate submitted by the Tahsildar must

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

must be considered as excessive. Moreover, in the remarks which accompany his State- ment he observes that the actual number of Ploughs is far less than sufficient to bring the whole extent of arable land under cultivation, and that a portion of the lands entered in the accounts as cultivable to the extent of Cuttes 33-0-10 has become marshy and incapable of being turned to account.-

5. If the estimated revenue from the last mentioned lands, and the difference between the average prices for the last 10 years upon which the Tahsildar has framed his Estimate and those for the last three years, be deducted from the said Estimate, it will be reduced to Pa. 1934.08-13-10 as shewn in the Statement No. 2 alluded to in the foregoing Para-

Dry- V. P.
average from Fusly 1242 to 1251 23-9-7-
Ditto from 1249 to 1251 - - - 15-7-2-
Difference 7-2-5
Wet
10 years average 21-1-11.
3 years Ditto. 13-7-11.
Difference 7-10-0

6 Statement No. 3 shews the average revenue from Fusly 1249 to 1251 A.D. 1839-40 to 1841-42. to have amounted to Rupees 67886- per annum, but not only were the prices during this periods low but a considerable tract of arable lands was

was from various causes thrown out of cultivation.
Assuming that these lands are again brought under
cultivation, which there is no reason to doubt
that they may be, with care and attention
on the part of the controuling authorities,
and reckoning the sist derived from them,
at 1/2 or two thirds, of the average of the three
years from fusly 1249 A.D. 1839-40 to 1251 A.D. 1841-42. the
lowest return that may be expected,
as shewn in Statements Nos 4 and 5.
would be in the former case Rupees
78977- and in the latter Rupees 81872-

7. The cultivation carried on in
the Deevy Purgunah depends principally
on timely freshes from the Pristna and
seasonable falls of rain. Should these fail,
or should the Ryots, as I regret to find was the
case at the Commencement of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 neglect their cultivation, in con-
=sequence of quarrels amongst themselves, or in the vain hope of obtaining
remissions from the Circar, on the plea
of unfounded grievances a decrease of
revenue will be the consequence, but the
Court may rely upon my utmost exertions
being

being made to avert such a result, and
it is my intention as soon as practicable after the
present rains are over to proceed to the Deevy Talooks
with the view of making arrangements for a more
full development of its resources. At present,
adverting to the data above submitted to the
Court, I consider that an annual
average revenue of Rupees 80,000 may
be safely calculated upon. This may
possibly be considered an under-valu-
=ation, after the opinion expressed by the
Court that the Estate may be con-
=sidered capable of yielding in ordinary
seasons a lac of rupees per annum, but
the instances which I have found
on record, of the very great over-esti-
=mation of the future resources of
Estates in this District has made me
cautious in holding out expectations,
the chances of realizing which are
not easy of demonstration.

Vide Board's Proceedings 29th September 1843

8. Taking then the esti-
=mated revenue to be expected from
the Estate at Rupees 80000 and
the charges, the particulars of which
are shewn in Statement B at Rs 57,000
there

11625- Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

there will remain a clear surplus of Rupees 23000 to be appropriated to the liquidation of the advance proposed to be made by Government and the existing arrears of Peishcush the latter amount to Rupees 81911-11-7 of which about 18000 will be realized from the resources of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43. so that the amount then due, in addition to the proposed advance will be Rupees 164765-15-9 which at the rate abovementioned may with ease be entirely paid off before the Estate passes from the management of the Court of Wards by the expiration of the Zemindar's Minority, which will take place in fusly 1260 A.D. 1850-51.

9 Considering the importance of the subject, as regards the interests of their Wards I trust that the Court will excuse

11626- Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

excuse my again reminding them that the period for which the agreements taken from the Creditors are to remain in force will expire on the 31st December next and that I have promised them as stated in my report of the 16th May last, to use my best endeavours to obtain sanction for the adjustment of their claims as much within that period as possible.

Hanumantapatam, ---
Collector's Cutcherry,
27th July 1843.

} Signed R. T. Porter.
Collector

Statement-

11627

A Statement of the Extent of the Crops

No.	Sort of	Extent of Land Cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Circar share of the Produce	amount of Sist on the Circar share of the Produce	Sist as per Summabundy Settlement	Sist per Cutty	Sist per Candy
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		a P	C J s	C J s	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P
1	Tusty	R 10	595	14 12	10650 14 23	4844 5 35	14432 15 7	14,432 15 7	242 1 1	29 12 8
2	"	12 12	586	6 8	9554 5 27	4325 18 29	9,252 13 9	9,252 13 9	155 9 10	21 1 6
3	"	14 5	585	1 8	9143 6 1	4171 16 2¼	7,867 8 5	7,867 8 5	140 45	18 10 8
4	"	1 6	285	8 "	3575 5 25	1674 15 29	4,159 3 8	4,159 3 8	168 159	25 9 11
5	"	10 7	152	6 "	1237 14 36	569 " 13½	1,459 10 9	1,459 10 9	96 129	25 15 "
		1 11	2,165	4 12	34161 6 32	15595 177¾	37,362 42	37,362 42	173 1 8	23 13 4
	Average for	11	429	" 15⅞	6,8326 14½	3119 31½	7,272 7 3	7,272 7 3	173 1 8	23 13 4
6	"	10 7	187	6 4	1703 6 20	817 11 38½	1,780 6 "	1,780 6 "	84 3 4	19 4 10
7	"	1 5 6	58	15 "	309 21 1½	141 " 14½	682 3 3	682 3 3	79 7 3	33 3 3
8	"	1 3 7	509	" "	7273 3 1½	3303 " 11½	6,291 8 10 "	6,291 8 10 "	120 10 "	19 " 10
9	"	1 2 5	71	8 "	412 " 14	188 7 8	146 11 "	146 11 "	44 " 1	16 11 4
10	"	1 5 3	473	10 "	60271 8 20	2875 9 35½	9,748 10 6	9,748 10 6	83 14 10	13 13 2
11	"	1 2	453	11 "	5328 " "	2407 13 30¾	7,776 13 3	7,776 13 3	127 5 8	24 " "
12	"	1 2 6	234	3 "	2058 11 19	929 12 18	1,310 8 3	1,310 8 3	91 " "	22 14 9
13	"	1 4 "	35	10 "	159 6 35	69 12 8½	514 " 9	514 " 9	70 9 3	34 " 9
14	"	7 4	385	" "	4687 18 18	2121 2 11½	0,531 5 6	0,531 5 6	131 4 "	23 13 2
15	"	4 10	581	9 "	7628 15 14	3440 10 29	2,393 13 9	2,393 13 9	149 7 6	19 12 9
16	"	1 9 6	562	51	9057 9 34	4012 16 14½	8,098 13 6	8,098 13 6	121 1 8	16 5 6
17	"	1 3 4	435	4 "	5954 15 24	2629 2 15½	4,995 10 6	4,995 10 6	149 5 "	24 11 7
18	"	1 4 2	464	14 "	6133 3 2	2730 6 22	2,013 2 3	2,013 2 3	154 146	26 6 "
19	"	1 7 5	511	6 "	6374 7 3½	2831 1 23	3,353 " 3	3,353 " 3	143 7 1	25 14 6
20	"	1 3 3	639	" "	9369 3 11	4137 14 8½	6,584 10 "	6,584 10 "	135 8 "	20 14 "
21	"	1 1 "	606	14 "	8975 16 3	3974 19 22	8,137 3 "	8,137 3 "	145 3 8	22 2 10
22	"	1 3 7	421	3 "	4548 7 7½	2133 6 36	1,791 12 9	1,791 12 9	122 15 5	24 4 5
23	"	1 1 3	725	3 "	11072 5 9	4904 13 3½	0,367 2 3	0,367 2 3	139 12 5	20 10 8
24	"	1 9 2	467	" "	6115 10 13	2725 15 2½	7,104 11 "	7,044 "	186 8 4	31 14 9
25	"	1 10 2	54	12 "	109 4 13	48 14 34½	1,739 " "	1,739 " "	31 12 3	35 10 2
26	"	1 6 2	571	15 "	8831 2 13	3940 " 31	2,037 1 9	2,037 1 9	143 6 11	20 13 1
27	"	1 4 5	803	" "	13144 19 8½	5805 2 35	9,508 7 "	9,661 10 3	111 10 6	15 15 "
		12 "	9,223	4 4	125517 14 14½	56167 152¼	12,105 3 12 6	12,076 8 6 15 9	130 15 "	21 8 10
	Average for 27 "		419	3 11	5705 7 11/16	2553 1 21 9/16	5,024 4 2	5,894 13 9	130 15 "	21 8 10

10th August 1843

Deeny Pungamiah in the Tillah of Masulipatam

Wet Land

1162

Fort St. George

A Statement shewing the extent of Land Cultivated the Quantity of Produce and the Amount of Settlement of the C

No.	Dues	Number of Ploughs and Ryots: Ploughs	Ryots	Extent of Land cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Dry Land: Circars share of the Produce	Amount of Sist of the Circars share of the Produce	Sist per Sunnum per bundy Settlement	Sist per Cutty	Sist per Candy	Extent of Land Cultivated
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		No.	No.	M V P	M S d	M S d	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	C V P
1	Fusly	2,800	2,200	103 1 4	143	17 38½	65 1 24½	2,834 3 6	27 8 4	43 8 10	595 14 12
2	" 1210	2,496	1,810	211 9 4	693	5 29¼	312 13 15¾	9,301 4 3	43 15 5	29 12 12	586 6 8
3	" 1211	2,300	1,740	231 10 4	1,743	1 28¾	644 6 4	13,062 2 3	56 6 5	20 4 5	555 1 8
4	" 1212	2525	1,730	312 15 12	1,637	1 18½	936 4 37¾	23,430 10 4	74 15 9	25 - 6	255 8 4
5	" 1213	2,500	1,702	377 4 4	2,154	4 18½	1017 4 1	26,095 15 4	69 3 1	25 10 7	152 6
		12,621	9,182	1236 4 4	6427	8 13½	2975 2 3	74,725 3 4	74,725 3 4	60 7 1	25 1 11	2,145 4 12
	Average of 5 Years	2,524	1,836	247 4 13/16	1,285	9 26¾	595 4 16½	14,945 4 7	14,945 4 7	60 7 1	25 1 11	429 - 16½
6	" 1214	2614	2,285	324 13 2	1667	5 21	816 18 34½	18,576 11 6	18,576 11 6	57 4 -	22 10 7	187 6 4
7	" 1215	2611	2,140	562 8 4	4,657	5 18½	2030 3 19½	56,781 14 4	57,416 3 4	102 1 2	27 15 6	58 15 4
8	" 1216	2,624	2,149	333 7 6	1911	4 9½	882 18 32½	16,755 3 3	17,100 15 6	57 4 6	18 15 7	509 - 4
9	" 1217	2615	2,133	323 5 4	1,246	12 7½	590 15 21	14,051 4 9	15,342 4 9	47 7 3	23 12 5	71 8 4
10	" 1218	2573	2,187	365 2 4	1686	10 29	754 11 3	16,573 3 9	16,964 4 4	46 7 4	21 15 3	473 10 4
11	" 1219	2553	2,169	291 1 11	1328	15 24½	613 1 7	15,372 12 6	15,305 11 9	54 4 8	25 1 2	453 11 4
12	" 1220	2,524	2093	252 15 5	1093	19 7	506 19 4	11,549 14 6	12,470 9 4	49 4 2	22 12 6	234 3 4
13	" 1221	2,483	2,074	330 2 4	2084	4 15	956 11 35	29,537 7 6	30,302 13 6	91 12 10	30 14 4	35 10 4
14	" 1222	2524	2091	262 11 15	1202	19 17	348 8 9½	17,799 8 9	18,506 1 9	70 6 11	32 7 4	385 - 4
15	" 1223	2619	2,223	230 7 10	727	17 1	333 18 16	10,118 - 9	10,778 8 9	46 12 3	30 4 10	581 9 4
16	" 1224	2630	2226	214 5 9	849	14 28½	333 17 39½	7,520 13 4	8395 15 9	39 3 3	19 9 6	562 5 1
17	" 1225	2616	2218	359 3 4	1808	12 2½	819 7 21½	19,513 3 4	20308 2 3	56 8 8	23 13 4	435 4 4
18	" 1226	2535	2090	176 3 12	434	17 21½	196 15 30½	6,274 3 9	6788 10 4	38 8 3	31 14 2	464 14 4
19	" 1227	2,154	2,197	234 14 4	923	16 14½	434 10 28¾	12,802 7 9	13,537 2 6	57 10 1	29 7 5	511 6 4
20	" 1228	2504	2,136	249 11 4	885	12 18½	408 19 25½	10,748 3 3	11,244 13 6	45 - 6	26 3 3	639 - 4
21	" 1229	3066	2211	228 9 4	922	1 6	418 14 9	10,654 11 4	11,351 14 6	49 6 9	25 7 -	606 14 4
22	" 1230	2994	2,135	251 10 4	887	7 23¾	386 12 4	13,233 12 9	14058 2 4	55 13 11	34 3 7	421 3 4
23	" 1231	3078	2,142	124 1 4	241	16 9½	105 11 28	2,943 3 6	3303 12 9	30 10 7	28 1 3	725 3 4
24	" 1232	3221	2028	250 4 4	1163	6 35½	537 3 18	18,571 3 3	18,571 3 3	74 - 4	34 9 2	467 - 4
25	" 1234	3049	2,116	343 13 4	1660	6 16	743 7 32½	28,719 6 3	28,719 6 3	83 8 6	38 10 2	54 12 4
26	" 1235	3228	2211	280 8 4	879	17 33	399 19 27	10,561 1 4	10,561 1 4	37 9 10	26 6 2	571 15 4
27.	" 1236	3,483	2351	322 1 8	1106	5 38½	196 17 38¾	9,078 10 3	9,351 5 9	29 - 6	18 4 5	803 - 4
		60328	47785	6311 15 3	29,364	15¾	13,369 5 5	357,682 - 4	369,845 13 4	58 9 6	26 12 4	9,223 4 8
	Average of 22 Years	2742	2172	286 14 8	1334	14 14/16	607 12 22½	16,258 4 4	16,811 2 9	58 9 6	26 12 4	419 3 14

10th August 1843

Deevy Pergunnah in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Wet Land

Quantity of Produce			Circar share of the Produce		amount of Sist on the Circar share of the Produce		Sist as per Immemorial Settlement		Sist per Cutty		Sist per Candy	
12			13		14		15		16		17	
C	ℐ	ℳ	C	ℐ	C℞	a℘	C℞	a℘	C℞	a℘	C℞	a℘
10650	14	23	4844	5 35	144322	157	144322	157	242	1 1	29	12 8
9554	5	27	4325	1529	91252	139	91252	139	155	9 10	21	1 6
9143	6	1	4171	16 2¼	77867	85	77867	85	140	45	18	10 8
3575	5	25	1674	1529	43159	38	43159	38	168	159	25	9 11
1237	14	36	569	" 13½	14759	109	14759	109	96	129	25	15 "
34161	6	32	15595	177¾	371382	42	371362	42	173	1 8	23	13 4
6832	6	14½	3119	31½	74272	7 3	74272	7 3	173	1 8	23	13 4
1703	6	20	817	11 38½	15780	6 "	15780	6 "	84	3 4	19	4 10
309	21	1½	141	" 14½	4682	3 3	4682	3 3	79	7 3	33	3 3
7273	3	1½	3303	" 11½	62918	10 "	62918	10 "	123	10 "	19	" 10
412	"	14	188	7 8	3146	11 "	3146	11 "	44	" 1	16	11 4
60271	8	20	2875	9 35½	39748	106	39748	106	83	14 10	13	13 2
5328	"	"	2407	13 30¾	57776	133	57776	133	127	5 8	24	" "
2058	11	19	929	12 18	21310	83	21310	83	91	" "	22	14 9
159	6	35	69	12 8½	2514	" 9	2514	" 9	70	9 3	34	" 9
4687	18	18	2121	2 14½	50531	56	50531	56	131	4 "	23	13 2
7628	15	14	3440	1029	82393	139	82393	139	149	7 6	19	12 9
9057	9	34	4012	16 14½	68098	136	68098	136	121	1 8	16	5 6
5954	15	24	2629	2 15½	64995	106	64995	106	149	5 "	24	11 7
6133	3	2	2730	6 22	72013	23	72013	23	154	14 6	26	6 "
6974	7	3½	2831	1 23	73353	" 3	73353	" 3	143	7 1	25	14 6
9369	3	11	4137	14 8½	86584	10 "	86584	10 "	135	8 "	20	14 "
8975	16	3	3974	19 22	88137	3 "	88137	3 "	145	3 8	22	2 10
4548	7	7½	2133	6 36	51791	129	51791	129	122	15 5	24	4 5
11072	3	9	4904	13 8½	101367	23	101367	23	139	12 5	20	10 8
6115	10	13	2725	15 2½	87104	11 "	87104	"	186	8 4	31	14 9
109	4	13	48	14 34½	1739	" "	1739	" "	31	12 3	35	10 2
8831	2	13	3940	" 31	82037	19	82037	19	143	6 11	20	13 1
13144	19	8½	5805	2 35	92308	7 "	89661	103	111	10 6	15	15 "
125517	14	14½	56167	152¼	1210533	126	1207686	159	130	15 "	21	8 10
5705	7	11/16	2553	1 21 15/16	55024	42	54894	139	130	15 "	21	8 10

11628

Fort St. George

10th. August 1843

from Fasly 1210 – to Fasly 1251.

Sacrawaput Extent of Land Cultivated	Sacrawaput Sist	Sacrawaput Sist as per Summabundy Settlement	Sacrawaput Sist per Cutty	Bagayat Extent of Land Cultivated	Bagayat Sist	Total Sist as per Summabundy Settlement	Total Sist per Cutty	Total Extent of Land Cultivated	Total Sist	Total Sist as per Summabundy Settlement
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
C R P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C R P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C R P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P
40 " 10	4138 8 1	4138 8 1	133 " 5			200 4 "	55 3 6	742 10 8½	151495 15 2	151495 15 2
80 11 8½	8687 15 3	8687 15 3	107 9 11	3 9 15½	200 4 "	384 5 3	90 6 9	882 15 5	109626 6 6	109626 6 6
91 8 15½	8852 13 3	8852 13 3	96 11 "	4 4 ½	384 5 3	272 2 "	67 4 "	882 5 7½	100055 9 11	100055 9 11
98 10 "	9231 15 2	9231 15 2	93 9 2	4 " 12	272 2 "	290 " 3	115 6 "	669 10 "	76111 13 11	76111 13 1
128 8 5	13172 " 6	13172 " 6	102 11 1	2 8 4	290 " 3	381 2 9	102 8 "	661 9 13	54408 13 "	54408 13 "
439 7 "	44083 4 3	44083 4 3	100 5 "	3 11 8	381 2 9	1527 14 3	84 2 5	3839 2 15	491698 9 8	491698 9 8
87 144 16/16	8816 10 5	8816 10 5	100 5 "	18 2 8	1527 14 3	305 9 3	84 2 5	767 13 6¼	98339 11 6	98339 11 6
154 41 11/16	14948 3 6	14948 3 6	96 14 1	3 10 1 10/16	305 9 3	564 10 9	125 11 "	671 " 12 18/16	49809 15 9	49809 15 9
146 7 11	12660 8 6	12660 8 6	86 6 11	4 7 11 6/16	564 10 9	469 12 7	93 6 "	772 15 3 10/16	74594 6 4	75228 11 4
111 62 6/16	8442 10 "	8442 10 "	75 8 4	5 " 3 10/16	469 12 7	391 " "	79 3 "	958 12 9½	88477 7 3	88823 3 6
162 12 15¼	10698 9 3	10698 9 3	61 11 5	4 15 " 2/16	391 " "	342 2 3	40 2 10	566 2 3 10/16	28238 11 3	29529 11 3
76 10 14½	5860 12 "	5860 12 "	76 6 9	8 8 4 6/16	342 2 3	431 8 6	126 2 "	918 13 10 5/16	62614 2 9	63005 3 "
126 14 8½	10374 11 9	10374 11 9	81 12 "	3 6 11 13/16	431 8 6	351 4 9	77 " "	876 4 4	83875 10 3	84308 9 6
108 10 6¼	9489 5 "	9489 5 "	87 5 5	4 9 9 8/16	351 4 9	455 14 3	93 13 1	600 10 10½	42805 10 "	43726 4 6
103 9 6¾	9240 15 9	9240 15 9	89 3 4	4 13 12 5/16	455 14 3	385 15 "	136 15 "	472 2 8 12/16	41678 7 "	42443 13 "
118 9 12 15/16	10764 2 3	10764 2 3	90 12 "	2 13 1 6/16	385 15 "	419 12 "	99 2 3	770 9 7 5/16	79514 12 6	80221 5 6
108 8 12¼	8536 5 9	8536 5 9	78 11 "	4 3 12	419 12 "	233 5 "	76 3 "	893 10 5 14/16	101281 9 3	101942 1 3
119 2 10¼	9411 14 3	9411 14 3	78 15 9	3 " 15 10/16	233 5 "	209 9 "	98 7 11	897 15 14½	85241 1 9	86116 4 6
122 5 10¾	9047 7 6	9047 7 6	73 15 9	2 2 11¼	209 9 "	291 10 3	128 6 3	919 1 1½	93847 15 3	94642 14 6
85 8 10	6311 1 3	6311 1 3	73 12 6	2 4 6 12/16	291 10 3	311 10 3	101 1 11	729 11 11 5/16	84910 1 6	85424 7 9
96 6 2	7495 14 "	7495 14 "	77 12 4	3 1 5 9/16	311 10 3	145 15 "	72 2 "	344 10 11½	93797 5 "	94531 15 9
112 4 2½	8826 15 6	8826 15 6	78 10 2	2 " 5 10/16	145 15 "	198 15 "	72 4 "	1003 11 9 6/16	106358 11 9	106855 6 "
94 1 13 14/16	7136 " 9	7136 " 9	75 13 "	5 12 2 14/16	198 15 "	248 8 3	83 9 "	932 7 8¼	106171 7 "	106818 10 6
120 2 12	9597 10 3	9597 10 3	79 13 11	2 14 10 6/16	248 8 3	226 15 6	67 " 5	796 4 6¾	74850 3 3	75674 8 6
104 5 3½	8398 15 9	8398 15 9	80 8 1	3 4 10 3/4	226 15 6	129 11 6	60 2 3	955 11 8 6/16	112844 1 "	113699 10 3
104 " 2/16	8654 2 "	8654 2 "	83 3 5	2 2 4 14/16	129 11 6	269 3 "	87 " 8	824 5 7	114599 3 3	114599 3 3
178 12 11	15637 1 3	15637 1 3	87 7 4	3 1 6 14/16	269 3 "	186 1 3	78 14 "	579 11 7½	46281 8 9	46281 8 9
193 7 10¾	15957 6 3	15957 6 3	82 7 9	2 5 11 2/4	186 1 3	217 1 9	68 7 2	1049 1 7	108772 10 9	108772 10 9
142 4 13¾	10388 3 9	10388 3 9	73 " "	3 2 12¼	217 1 9	245 15 "	69 4 3	1270 15 2 6/16	112221 4 "	109647 2 9
2690 13 13 6/16	217849 " 3	217849 " 3	80 15 9	3 8 13 2/16	245 15 "	6721 8 10	85 5 2	18304 13 11 6/16	1792785 5 7	1802103 5 10
122 4 15¾	9902 3 8	9902 3 8	80 15 9	78 12 7	6721 8 10	305 8 5	85 5 2	832 " 9½	81490 4 7	81913 12 7
				3 9 4½	305 8 5					

11629

Fort St. George

10th August 1843.

Ready Money Collections		Total Settlement			Remaining Balance	Remarks
Amount	Amount as per Sumnabundy Settlement	Sist	Sist as per Sumnabundy Settlement adverting to the accounts delivered in by the Circumms	Sist according to the accounts lodged in the Huzzoor Cutcherry Records and those during the Zemindar's management		
29	30	31	32	33	35	36
Cᴿˢ a ᴾ	Cᴿˢ a ᴾ	Cᴿˢ a ᴾ	Cᴿˢ a ᴾ	Cᴿˢ a ᴾ	Cᴿˢ a ᴾ	
3766 6 4	3766 6 4	155,262 5 6	155,262 5 6	155,262 5 6		As this Estate was under Circar Management from Fasly 1210 to 1214 as well as in Fasly 1237 and has been so again from 1246 up to the present period the amount of Sist is entered according to the Samabundy Settlement. In the Samabundy accounts from Fasly 1210 to 1214 lodged at the Huzzoor the Sist is entered in Madras Pagodas which if converted into Rupees at 385 per 100 Pagodas would give a Sum of Rupees 493,291–4–0 for the 5 Fasleis but as in the accounts given in by the Circumms it is converted at 400 Rupees Per 100 Pagodas the sum of Rupees 5,12,570 12–5– is entered in Column 33.
3471 8 "	3471 8 "	113097 14 6	113097 14 6	113097 14 6		
2750 8 7	2750 8 7	102806 2 6	102806 2 6	102806 2 6		
5542 5 1	5542 5 1	81654 2 2	81654 2 2	81654 2 2		
5281 6 9	5281 6 9	59690 3 9	59690 3 9	59690 3 9		
20812 2 9	20812 2 9	512510 12 5	512570 12 5	512510 12 5		
4162 7 "	4162 7 "	102502 2 6	102520 2 6	102502 2 6		
4560 4 3	4560 4 3	54370 4 "	54370 4 "	54370 4 "		
10545 10 5	10545 10 5	85140 " 9	85774 5 9	85774 5 9		
15063 5 9	15063 5 9	103540 13 "	103886 9 3	103886 9 3		
5991 8 "	5991 8 "	34230 3 3	35521 3 3	35521 3 3		
11267 13 6	11267 13 6	73882 " 3	74273 " 6	74273 " 6		
9321 2 3	9321 2 3	93196 12 6	93629 11 9	93629 11 9		
10178 11 "	10178 11 "	52984 5 "	53904 15 6	53904 15 6		
5449 5 9	5449 5 9	47127 12 9	47893 2 9	47893 2 9		
9523 4 3	9523 4 3	89038 " 9	89744 9 9	89744 9 9		
12677 4 7	12677 4 7	113958 13 10	114619 5 10	114619 5 10		
14917 3 6	14917 3 6	100158 5 3	101033 8 "	101033 8 "		
11911 11 6	11911 11 6	105759 10 9	106554 10 "	106554 10 "		
11887 8 9	11887 8 9	96797 10 3	97312 " 6	97312 " 6		
12265 2 3	12265 2 3	106062 7 3	106797 2 "	106797 2 "		
14730 10 9	14730 10 9	121039 6 6	121586 " 9	121586 " 9		
13899 13 "	13899 13 "	120071 4 "	120718 7 6	120718 7 6		
10372 13 "	10372 13 "	85223 " 3	86048 " 3	85738 5 "		
15672 6 3	15672 6 3	128516 7 3	129372 " 6	128676 1 "		
13002 5 "	13002 5 "	127601 8 3	127601 8 3	127890 9 6		
8451 10 "	8451 10 "	54733 2 9	54733 2 9	54724 9 9		
14265 12 6	14265 12 6	123033 7 3	122040 14 6	96829 11 "		
16182 1 9	16182 1 9	128403 5 9	126046 14 6	121662 4 3		
252137 8 "	252137 8 "	2044923 13 7	2054240 13 10	2053461 9 10	20228409 7	
11460 12 9	11460 12 9	92957 1 6	93374 9 4	93329 2 8	91947 4 10	
					309 " 6	This Estate was under the Zemindar's Management from Fasly 1218 to Fasly 1243, with the exception of 1237 and the Sist as entered in the accounts given by the Zemindar and the Circumms for that period shew a Difference for some Fasleis.
					695 15 6	
					10 14 9	
					8 9 "	
					26708 12 3	
					4167 " 3	
					31400 4 3	
					" " 4	

Fort St. George

No.	Fusles	Number of Ploughs	Number of Ryots	Extent of Land Cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Dry Land: Grain's share of the Produce	amount of the Circar's share of the Produce	Sist as per Jummabundy Settlement	Sist per Cawdy	Sist per Candy	Extent of Land Cultivated
	1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11
		No.	No.	Ch. Qrs. Ps.	C. Qrs. Ps.	Ch. Qrs. Ps.		Cw. Qrs. Ps.	Cw. Qrs. Ps.	Cw. Qrs. Ps.	Cw. Qrs. Ps.	C. Qrs. Ps.
28	Fusly 1237	3,205	2,106	427 9 2	2,125 17 23½	872	14 31¾	12,528 2 6	15,939 8 6	37 5 2	14 " 9	386 15 14
29	" 1238	2,906	2,112	234 15 4	779 8 14	335	13 8½	6,710 5 "	10,707 9 9	45 9 3	21 10 11	371 14 "
30	" 1239	2,506	1,992	326 15 "	1,980 9 12½	891	19 31½	17,936 4 3	18,203 8 9	55 11 "	20 1 9	114 " "
31	" 1240	2,933	2,206	252 13 8	796 5 12	315	1 22½	7,233 " "	7,233 " "	28 9 9	19 13 "	62 7 14 "
32	" 1241	2,573	1,963	268 4 "	917 14 25½	415	13 36½	6,586 14 3	6,586 14 3	48 8 11	15 13 5	360 3 "
33	" 1242	2,158	1,669	263 11 4	1,058 16 35½	915	10 26¼	20,704 12 6	20,704 12 6	78 8 4	41 12 7	142 7 "
34	1243	1,562	1,341	208 3 "	533 13 2½	250	3 8	9,216 11 "	9,216 11 "	44 4 4	36 13 9	14 12 "
		14,638	11,283	1,554 13 8	6,066 7 22	2,774	2 13¼	68,387 15 "	72,652 8 3	46 11 5	24 10 6	1,631 2 "
Averages for 7 years		2,440	1,880	259 2 4	1,011 1 10½	442	7 2¼	11,397 15 10	12,108 12 "	46 11 5	24 10 6	271 13 10 10/16
35	" 1244	2,035	1,530	268 17 6¼	650 8 43¼	248	7 1	8,105 8 9	8,105 8 9	30 2 6	28 2 2	201 4 12
36	" 1245	2,140	1,704	353 6 "	1,716 19 38¾	1,123	7 24 11/16	10,683 1 3	10,683 1 3	30 3 8	14 4 6	212 14 9
37	" 1246	2,188	1,701	447 10 12¾	2,852 3 3 11/16	1,833	10 35 6/16	17,035 9 6	17,035 9 6	38 " 10	16 10 5	174 5 12
38	" 1247	2,625	2,119	508 6 4	992 14 5/16	369	6 35	8,761 12 7	8,761 12 7	17 3 9	20 3 4	289 6 7
39	" 1248	2,651	2,101	514 9 6 6/16	3,194 1 10	1,286	2 13 10/16	31,517 " 11	31,517 " 11	61 3 11	23 3 9	24 5 "
40	" 1249	2,867	2,427	482 2 15	1,511 " 14¾	589	2 9½	10,847 2 3	10,847 2 3	22 7 11	15 13 "	377 4 4 11/16
41	" 1250	2,956	2,335	448 8 6	1,567 7 24 11/16	974	13 19 11/16	12,312 7 7	12,312 7 7	27 7 2	17 14 "	422 9 11¼
42	" 1251	3,061	2,018	404 2 15¾/16	614 14 3	252	6 3 11/16	3,981 15 3	3,981 15 3	9 13 8	14 8 "	561 5 14¾
		20,523	15,935	3,427 11 1½	12,599 12 19 3/16	5,512	6 3 11/16	103,244 10 1	103,244 10 1	31 " 9	18 11 8	2,264 2 6 11/16
Average for 8 years		2,565	1,992	428 7 6 3/16	1,574 19 2 6/16	689	" 34 11/16	12,905 9 3	12,905 9 3	31 " 9	18 11 8	283 " 4 3/16
Total for 42 years		111,315	86,291	12,958 5 2 8/16	66,583 15 13 5/16	29,223	11 10 11/16	616,567 14 7	636,427 10 10	49 " 10	24 2 6	15,650 13 4 11/16
Average per year		2,650	2,054	308 8 8 1/16	1,347 4 26 11/16	695	14 3 2/16	14,680 3 "	15,153 " 7	49 " 10	24 2 6	372 10 3½

10th January 1843

Wheat

Names of Producers	Names of Estates	Number of Acres under the Plough	Gross Produce	Deduct Seed	Net Produce	Average Produce per Acre
			Bushels	Bushels	Bushels	Bushels
Thomas Hall	Grove	744	7 3/4	10839	14 "	14 9 "
Richard Hall	Grove	744	7 3/4	10839	14 "	14 9 "

11631-

Fort St. George.

Teerwaput

Extent of Land Cultivated	Sist	Sist as per Summabundy Settlement	Sist per Cutty	Extent of Land cultivated	Sist
18	19	20	21	22	23
C V P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C V P	C Rs a P
184 15 14	13044 9 "	13044 9 "	70 8 2	4 6 14 6/16	341 4 6
175 10 10 1/2	10922 12 "	10922 12 "	62 2 11	4 10 7 10/16	330 11 6
192 12 5 6/16	13989 15 6	13989 15 6	72 9 1	3 3 2 3/4	299 7 3
180 11 5	12789 12 9	12789 12 9	70 12 5	3 10 " 3/16	294 10 3
180 7 2 3/4	13097 5 6	13097 5 6	72 9 6	3 2 15 3/4	239 8 6
134 8 11 3/4	10604 13 9	10604 13 9	78 13 1	1 11 5 3/4	187 4 6
183 13 11 1/2	17676 5 6	17676 5 6	96 8 11	2 11 7	350 4 6
1047 15 14 14/16	79081 1 "	79081 1 "	75 7 4	19 1 7	1701 14 6
174 10 10 1/2	13180 2 10	13180 2 10	75 7 4	3 2 14 1/2	283 10 5
163 7 6 14/16	15718 12 9	15718 12 9	96 2 6	1 9 8 3/4	159 8 9
126 9 14 11/16	10362 1 9	10362 1 9	83 11 9	2 6 12 1/16	183 14 9
88 13 9/16	6739 4 1	6739 4 1	75 13 8	4 " 13 1/2	253 12 8
105 12 4 10/16	8215 14 7	8215 14 7	77 10 10	4 1 8 6/16	216 4 5
134 3 12 12/16	10389 1 7	10389 1 7	77 6 4	2 1 4 9/16	240 7 "
163 7 1 6/16	12638 6 10	12638 6 10	77 14 8	4 4 4 5/16	257 1 "
152 " 1 11/16	11313 12 8	11313 12 8	74 6 7	3 15 9 10/16	235 " 9
118 14 8 11/16	8014 9 4	8014 9 4	67 6 5	2 8 7 14/16	169 2 7
1053 5 13 3/16	83391 15 7	83391 15 7	79 2 8	25 " 4 6/16	1715 3 11
131 10 11 14/16	10423 15 11	10423 15 11	79 2 8	3 2 " 9/16	214 6 6
5416 10 15 1/16	437449 14 1	437449 14 1	80 12 2	145 7 8 3/4	12007 14 "
128 15 8	10415 7 7	10415 7 7	80 12 2	3 7 6 11/16	285 14 5

10th August 1843

Bagayet

Sist as per Summabundy Settlement	Sist per Cutty	Extent of Land Cultivated	Sist	Sist as per Summabundy Settlement
24	25	26	27	28
C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C V P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P
341 4 6	77 " 9	1003 15 12 6/16	49521 1 3	62709 13 6
330 11 6	71 " 6	787 2 2 2/16	52759 8 6	63105 8 3
299 7 "	94 3 11	636 14 8 2/16	34660 13 9	37588 4 6
294 10 3	81 4 6	1065 " 13 2/16	89309 14 "	86522 9 6
239 8 6	75 2 4	812 1 2 1/2	43723 5 3	43044 1 9
187 4 6	109 12 "	542 6 1/2	47608 7 "	47608 7 "
350 4 6	129 " 6	409 8 2 1/2	27913 2 3	27913 2 3
1701 14 6	89 2 6	4253 " 13 14/16	295975 2 9	305782 1 2
283 10 5	89 2 6	708 13 7 10/16	49329 3 1	50963 10 10
159 8 9	99 14 "	635 2 1 14/16	42747 9 9	42747 9 9
183 14 9	75 15 "	695 5 3 3/4	32068 15 9	32068 15 9
253 12 8	62 10 "	714 14 15 5/16	37766 " 7	37766 " 7
216 4 5	52 13 4	907 10 8	41745 10 6	41745 10 6
240 7 "	115 9 2	675 3 7 12 1/16	43476 11 "	43476 11 "
257 1 "	60 3 1	1027 13 3 15/16	58334 2 8	58334 2 8
235 " 9	59 2 1	1027 2 4 9 1/2	54035 6 7	54035 6 7
169 2 7	66 14 9	1036 15 13 4/16	52964 4 8	52964 4 8
1715 3 11	67 14 10	6770 3 10 6/16	363138 13 6	363138 13 6
214 6 6	67 14 10	846 4 7 6 3/4	45392 5 8	45392 5 8
12007 14 "	82 8 9	34171 4 15	2992120 " 9	3025432 11 9
285 14 5	82 8 9	813 9 10 1/4	71240 15 3	72034 1 9

Total

11632-

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

Ready Money Collections		Total Settlement					
Amount	Amount as per Jamabundy Settlement	List	List as per Jamabundy Settlement according to the accounts delivered in by the Curnums	List according to the accounts lodged in the Vizzoor Records and those during the Zemindar's management	Collection up to Sunday 1252 or 25th April 1843 as per Col 1st 32. on account of Jamabundy Settlement of former Fasles	Remaining Balance 35	Remarks 36
29	30	31	32	33	34		
C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	
8931 11 9	8931 11 9	57452 13 "	71641 9 3	71641 9 3	70378 12 3	762 13 "	
8263 2 6	8263 2 6	61022 11 "	71388 10 9	71501 13 6	70018 11 6	1349 15 3	The Servants of the Zemindar state that the accounts in their profession were not prepared with reference to those given by Curnums which exactly correspond with the Jamabundy Accounts.
6788 4 9	6788 4 9	41449 2 6	44376 9 3	45518 7 9	42129 5 10	2247 3 5	
14634 11 9	14634 11 9	103944 9 9	101157 5 3	101453 14 "	99168 8 4	1988 12 11	
8302 8 "	8302 8 "	52025 13 3	57346 9 9	52124 " 3	47256 47	4090 5 2	
12539 1 9	12539 1 9	60167 8 9	60167 8 9	54739 6 "	55086 8 "	5081 " 9	
4537 12 6	4537 12 6	32450 14 9	32450 14 9	32489 7 9	30602 9 9	1848 5 "	
55085 9 3	55085 9 3	357060 12 "	360867 10 6	357877 1 3	344262 " "	16605 10 6	
9180 14 10	9180 14 10	53510 2 "	60144 9 9	59646 2 10	57377 " "	" " "	
7369 5 9	7369 5 9	50116 15 6	50116 15 6	50116 15 6	48893 10 2	1223 5 4	
6440 8 8	6440 8 8	38509 8 5	38509 8 5	38509 8 5	37914 6 10	595 1 7	
9137 6 10	9137 6 10	46903 7 5	46903 7 5	46903 7 5	46376 11 11	526 11 6	
10219 10 4	10219 10 4	51965 4 10	91965 4 10	51965 4 10	51941 10 "	23 10 10	
10007 1 7	10007 1 7	53483 12 7	53483 12 7	53483 12 7	53268 15 5	214 13 2	
11730 1 11	11730 1 11	70064 4 7	70064 4 7	70064 4 7	69485 5 11	578 14 8	
12483 7 6	12483 7 6	66518 14 1	66518 14 1	66518 14 1	64885 7 1	1633 7 "	
14108 10 1	14108 10 1	67072 14 9	67072 14 9	67072 14 9	64224 3 10	2848 10 11	
81496 4 8	81496 4 8	4446352 2	4446352 2	4446352 2	4369907 2	7644 11 "	
10187 " 7	10187 " 7	555579 6 3	555579 6 3	555579 6 3	546231 2 11	" " "	
4184634 5	4184634 5	3410583 5 2	3443896 " 2	3449126 2 11	3337432 9 5	56413 6 9	
9963 6 7	9963 6 7	81204 5 10	81997 8 4	81907 12 3	80654 5 7	" " "	

Masulipatam
Coll'rs Cutcherry
27th July 1843

E. E. J. Pr. / Signed / R. J. Porter,
Collector

11633- Fort St. George 10th August 1843-

No. 1 in A Statement Shewing the total Extent or Goodecut of the lands of the Peevy Zemindary.-

1	Dry land 2 £ s p	Wet land 3 C s p	Total 4 £ s p
Total Extent or Goodecut	" " "	" " "	3865 5 "
Deduct Parumbokes.	" " "	" " "	1692 2 12 14/16
Remainder	1026 " 9 3/4	1147 1 5/16	2173 2 3 12/16
Deduct Enams	152 15 6/4	132 9 13 5/16	285 9 3 21/16
Remainder	873 1 3/4	1014 7 12	1887 8 15/2
Deduct Land allowed for the Pasturage of cattle &c.	93 14 2/2	122 12 5	216 10 1/2
Land allowed as usual to the ryots for cultivating common Vegetables.	10 4 5	" " "	10 4 5
Total	104 3 1/2	122 12 5	226 15 6/2
Remainder Sary or Circar land fit for Cultivation	768 14 2	891 11 7	1660 9 9

Masulipatam Coll.r's Cutcherry 27th July 1843. E.E. P.r/ Signed/ R. I. Porter Coll.r

11634 - Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No. 2 in A Abstract of Estimate of the resources of the Deevy Taloork — Submitted by the Tahsildar.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	No. of Ploughs	Land Cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Circar Share of the Produce	Sist	Sist per Catty	Average price per Candy from fusly 1242 to 1251
		C. Y. P.	C. T. S.	C. T. S.	Pd. A. P.	Pd. A. P.	Pd. A. P.
Dry	"	616 10 5	3159 7 10	1314 1 10¼	31,013 7 1	50 4 9	23 9 7
Wet	"	891 11 7	14359 17 20	4709 3 28	99,472 4 10	111 8 10	21 1 11
	"	1508 5 12	14519 4 30	6023 5 7¼	130,486 5 11		
Peerwaput and Bagayet	"	152 3 13	" " "	" " "	11134 15 "	73 2 3	
	"	1660 9 9	14519 4 30	6023 5 7¼	141,621 4 11	85 4 7	
Ready Money Collections		" " "	" " "	" " "	17,767 1 4		
Total	3004	1660 9 9	14519 4 30	6023 5 7¼	159,388 6 3		

Deduct

Difference between the prices entered in the accounts submitted by Tahsildar and the average prices of 3 Years from Fusly 1249 to 1251

				Pd. A. P.	
Dry at	7 2 5	per Candy	1,314 1 19¼	9,397 " "	
Wet at	7 10 "	per Do.	4,709 3 28	35,907 8 6	
			C. T. P.	45,304 8 6	

Sist of the land unfit for cultivation viz. Cutties 33 - " - 10

At	85 4 7	per Cutty	33 - 10	2,817 12 7

The Waste land entered in the account Submitted by the Tahsildar is 437 - 15 - 8 of which 33 - " - 10 of land which is unfit for cultivation as abovementioned being deducted the remainder is 404 - 14 - 11 The proportion of land for 194 Ploughs which are expected from the Villages of other Purganahs Amounting to Cutties 107 - " - " at 3004 Ploughs per Cutties 1000 - 9 - 9 of land being deducted from the said 404 - 14 - 11 there remain Cutties 297 - 14 - 11 the proportionate sist of which Amounts to.

			C. T. P.	Pd. A. P.
at Pd.	85 4 7	per Cutty	297 14 11	25,408 6 1

Ready Money Collections on account of the Pasture Lands as above shewn

" " "	2,414 " "
Total Deductions	75,944 11 2
Net Estimated Sist	83,408 13 10

Deduct viz.
Remissions 34,133

Remainder 159,353 9 "

Masulipatam Collr.s Cutcherry, 27th July 1843. E. E. / Signed P. I. Porter Collr. Statement.

11635 — Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No. 3 in A Statement Shewing the Cultivation of Dry and Wet lands the produce & the Sist and Average for 3 years from 1249 to 1251 of Deevy Purgunah.

	Roughs	Extent of land Cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Circar share of Produce	Amount of Sist	Average Sist	Average price
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		£ ∀ ⊙	£ ☿ ☿	£ ☿ ☿	£ ♃ ⊙	£ ♃ ⊙	£ ♃ ⊙
Dry	"	444 156 11/16	1,231 " 24	549 19 11/4	90 4 7 3 "	20 5 4	16 7 2
Wet	"	453 154 14/16	6,110 163 11/16	2,607 84 3/4	35 8 8 1 3	77 8 3	13 7 11
	"	898 11 15/16	7,341 17 21 11/16	3,157 7 19	44 2 35 4 3		
Seerwapust and Bagayet	"	148 6 1/16	" " "	" " "	10 8 7 6 " 5	73 4 7	
		2,961 1,047 5 1/16	7,341 17 21 11/16	3,157 7 19	55,111 4 8		
Ready Money Collections		" " "	" " "	" " "	12,774 12		
		2,961 1,047 5 1/16	7,341 17 21 11/16	3,157 7 19	67,885 5 10		

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry
27th July 1843 — { E. E. ff. } Signed J. R. J. Porter
Collr. Statement

11636

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No. 4 or A Statement Shewing the Amount Sist derived from the usually cultivated Lands on an Average of 3 Years from Fusly 1249 to 1251 as well as the Amount of probable Sist per Cutty which may be derived by the Cultivation of Lands left uncultivated.

Items	No. of Roughs	Extent of land Cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Government share of the Produce	Amount of Sist	Average Sist per Cutty	Average price per Candy	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Ꝑ ꝑ	Ꝑ S S	Ꝑ S S	C Rs x S Ps	C Rs a S	C Rs a S	
Dry	"	444 15 6 12/16	12 31 " 2	5 4 9	19 4 1/4	9,047 3 "	20 5 4	16 7 2
Wet	"	453 15 4 14/16	6 10	16 37/16	2,607	8 4 3/4	35,188 1 3	77 8 3	13 7 11
		898 14 11 4/16	7,341	17 21/16	3157	7 19	44,235 4 3	" " "	" " "
Seervaput and Bagayet	"	148 6 6 4/16	"	"	"	"	10,876 " 5	73 4 7	" " "
		2,961	1,047	5 1 14/16	7,341	17 21/16	3,157	7 19	55,111	4 8
Ready Money Collections		"	"	"	"	"	12,774 1 2		
							67,885 5 10		
Dry	"	171 10 14 7/16	"	"	"	"	1,745 6 9	10 2 8	
Wet	"	437 12 2 9/16	"	"	"	"	16,965 6 6	38 12 1	
	"	609 7 6 4/16	"	"	"	"	18,710 13 3		
Seervaput and Bagayet	"	3 13 6 11 9/16	"	"	"	"	140 10 9	36 10 4	
		613 4 7 2/16	"	"	"	"	18,851 8 "	30 11 10	
Deduct amount of Sist as above from the Lands reported by the Tahsildar to have been left uncultivated.	"	330 15 5	"	"	"	"	10,173 7 9	" " "	" " "
Remainder	"	282 5 2 2/16	"	"	"	"	8,678 " 3		
Ready Money Collections		"	"	"	"	"	2,414 " "		
Total	"	282 5 2 2/16	"	"	"	"	11,092 " 3		
Grand Total		2,961 1,329 10 4	"	"	"	"	78,977 6 1		

Sist from the usually Cultivated Lands.

Probable sist derivable from uncultivated Lands if brought under Cultivation.

This is only one half of the Sist per Cutty of the cultivated Lands as above.
Dr. ___ Dr.

Dr. ___ Dr.

Masulipatam Collrs Cutcherry
27th July 1843.

E. E. J.P.s / Signed R. S. Porter
Collr

Statement

11637-

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No. 3 in A Statement Shewing the Amount of Sist derived from the usually cultivated Lands on an average of 3 years from Fusly 1249 to 1251 as well as the Amount of 2/3 ds of probable Sist per Cutty which may be derived by the Cultivation of lands left uncultivated after deducting 1/3 d therefrom.—

Items	No. of Hoogals	Extent of land Cultivated	Quantity of Produce	Government share of the Produce	Amount of Sist	Average Sist per Cutty	Average price per Candy.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		No 6 7/8	6 S S	6 S S	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
Sist from the usually Cultivated lands. Dry	"	444 15 6 10/16	1,231 " 24	549 19 14/4	9,047 3 "	20 54	16 7 2
Wet	"	453 15 4 12/16	6,110 16 3 11/11	2,607 8 4 3/4	35,188 1 3	77 8 3	13 7 11
		898 14 11 13/16	7,341 17 2 11/16	3,157 7 19	44,235 4 3		
Seervaput and Bagayet	"	148 6 6 2/16	" " "	" " "	10,876 " 5	73 4 7	
		2961 1047 5 1 14/16	7,341 17 2 11/16	3,157 7 19	55,111 4 8		
Ready Money Collections	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,774 1 2		
		2961 1047 5 1 14/16	7,341 17 2 11/16	3,157 7 19	67,885 5 10		
Dry	"	171 10 14 3/16	" " "	" " "	2,327 85	13 8 11	
Wet	"	437 12 2 14/16	" " "	" " "	22,622 " 9	51 10 16	
		609 7 8 4/16	" " "	" " "	24,949 9 2	" " "	
Seervaput and Bagayet		3 13 6 11/16	" " "	" " "	187 9 "	48 13 9	
	"	613 4 7 2/16	" " "	" " "	25137 2 2	40 15 9	
Probable Sist derivable from uncultivated lands if brought under Cultivation. Deduct amount of Sist at 2/3 ds as above from the lands reported by the Tahsildar to have been left uncultivated	"	330 15 5	" " "	" " "	13564 1 "		
	"						
Remainder	"	282 5 2 1/16	" " "	" " "	11573 1 2		
Ready Money Collections	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	2414 " "		
Total	"	282 5 2 1/16	" " "	" " "	13987 1 2		
Grand Total		2961 1329 10 4	" " "	" " "	81872 7 "		

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 27th July 1843.

C. E. Jr.

Signed R. T. Porter Coll. Statement

11638-

Fort St George

B Statement shewing the Receipts and Disburse

Fusles	Balance as per last account Current	Collections Current	arrears	Total	Collection on account of Enam lands situated at Groodevar -oah & Rooa	Collection on account of Enam lands situated in the Rayahundy of Salem	Fines and forfeitures
					Costs	Gillah	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Fasly 1244	" "	33888 2 6	26 15 3	33915 1 9	" "	" "	" "
"	1245	58919 7	19093 6 9	11614 3 9	307071 0 6	" "	" " 38
"	1246	36088 10	35746 3 6	19922 15	55669 2 6	" "	" " 228
"	1247	38060 11	462660 14 9	12686 9 7	589478 4	488	" " 1
"	1248	99610 7	426825 8	6741 11 8	494241 4	343	716 23
"	1249	547	251969 12 4	7124 1 1	590931 5 5	536	" " 10 2
"	1250	2108 4 7	50757 11 3	18467 2 8	692181 3 11	78	161 57 " 83
"	1251	45671 4 1	266571 5 3	11494 4 1	377671 8	602	" " 388 1 8
Total		21026 9	3070501 0	880771 5 1	3947437 5	2041	1177 10 1251 11

10th August 1843 -

ments of Deevy Purganah in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Demand Collection and Balance of Peishcush

Fusly	Balance outstanding at the commencement of the attachment 13th September 1834	Peishcush	Total	Collection up to 23d June 1843	Remaining Balance
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1243	24936 4 11		24936 4 11	2826 11 8	22109 9 3
1244		47887	47887	35500	12387
1245		47887	47887	36655 13 8	11231 2 4
1246		47887	47887	47887	
1247		47887	47887	47887	
1248		47887	47887	47887	
1249		47887	47887	47887	
1250		47887	47887	47887	
1251		47887	47887	11700	36187
1252		47887	47887		
Total	24936 4 11	430983	455919 4 11	374004 9 4	81914 11 7

Revenues	Collection on account of charges written back	Total	An account of the rent of a House situated at Chilkalapoody	Sale proceeds of Elephants Glass Ware &c	advances for Cultivation	Total Receipts	Grand Total
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
						33915 1 9	33915 1 9
	3 8	40			288 12	33638 15 6	39030 9 1
	22 8	417 33	6982 15 8	4000	67091 13 5	70711 6 3	
154 2	203 10	360			4905	64416 2 4	68322 3 3
	750 10 3				34728	53647 3 7	54643 14 2
	63 8				3378 8	62535 15 5	63082 15 7
	469 5 10				3012 8	72701 11 9	74809 4
	448 3 8				2894	41109 5 4	45677 3 5
154 2	1961 5 9	817 33	6982 15 8	24550 5	429055 5 1	450815 10	

1639.

Fort St George

Disbursements

Fusslies		Ordinary: Servants wages		Ordinary: Sederwared		Ordinary: Total		Collectors Comission	Extraordinary: Total		Extraordinary: Wages of Gomas taken Vts in proportion to the Amt of Collections of each Estate		Extraordinary: Wages of Anchanadors &c		Extraordinary: Batta to Servants &c for Sederwared Charges.		Extraordinary: amount due to the Company's account of House rent	
1		2		3		4		5	6		7		8		9		10	
		Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P		Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
Fusly	1244	1493	4 10	49	12 4	1543	1 2		1543	1 2	253	5 1	1198	14 3				
———	1245	1932	5 8	60		1992	5 8		2114	5 9	527	2 6	1899					
———	1246	1960	3 4	60		2020	3 4		2786	6 3	669	6 5	1910	12 8	12	6 3		
———	1247	2128	0 6	63	4 8	2191	11 2		2999	5 10	829	2 1	2265	10	8	4 9		
———	1248	2280		36		2316			2994	3 3	648	5 9	1259	6	21	3 8	800	
———	1249	2278		36		2314			3138	12 3	480	3 8	1332	11 11	45	15 7		
———	1250	2270	11 10	36		2306	11 10		3279	10 9	646	7 5	1350	3 2	55	4		
———	1251	2278		36		2314			2807	14 9	414	4 7	2090	10 8	83	1 10		
Total		16641	2	357	1	16998	1 2		21663	15	4488	5 6	13312	12 6	226	4 1	800	
Average		2086	2	44	10 2						561	0 8	1664	1 7	37	11 4		

10th August 1843.

amount paid for making a dead for the use of the Tanah Cutcherry	Total	Subsistence g.a to Germinators	Repairs to Tanks and water Courses	Law Charges	Ground Total
11	12	13	14	15	16
CRs AS	CRs AS	CRs AS	CRs AS	CRs AS	CRs AS
					299 12 6
1 8	145 3 11 4				4860 9 3
	244 6 3 6		300		
	259 2 9 4	21 4 8 5 9	703 15 8		8231 5
	310 7 7 8	431 8 14	153 5 8	4	1196 6 6
	272 8 15 5	438 12	141 9 5 8	3	1153 4 6 4
	185 8 15 2	453 9 13 6	94 11 1	10	964 2 4
	205 7 14 7	440 8 14	127 9 10 11	2 8	1102 8 10 3
	258 8 11	442 8	76 4 14 10	4	1059 2 14 8
1 8	1882 8 14 1	2423 2 13 3	609 8 2 2	23 8	7084 7 4 0
		403 8 12 10	87 1 27	4 11 2	

11640

Fort St George

10th August 1843.

Advance for Cultivation	Charges incurred for repairing Houses	Carried to the credit of Government on account of Peishcush — Current	Arrear	Total	Total Charges
17	18	19	20	21	22
CRs A S	CRs AS	CRs A S	CRs AS	CRs AS	CRs AS
" " "	" "	22700 " "	2826 11 8	25526 11 8	28523 8 2
3668 8 "	" "	14092 15 "	12800 " "	26892 15 "	35422 " 3
4000 " "	" "	36763 " 4	17900 " "	54663 " 4	66894 5 4
1906 " "	1536 7 6	37200 " "	11123 15 8	48323 15 8	67725 8 8
3472 8 "	" "	33089 15 8	6000 " "	39089 15 8	52096 14 "
3378 8 "	" "	39733 15 "	8770 " "	47953 15 "	60974 11 "
3012 8 "	" "	36620 " "	19267 " "	55200 " "	70221 23
2894 " "	" "	7500 " "	89717 11 4	18767 " "	37253 14 8
15331 " "	1536 2 6	228199 14 "	89717 11 4	317917 9 4	415632 " 4
" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Maculipatam Collectors Cutcherry, 27th July 1843.

Balance

23.

CRs A S

Abstract of Ordinary Charges

			CRs A S
5391 9 7		As per Cb. No. 2	2080 2 "
3608 8 10		" " " 3	44 10 2
		" " " 5	666 8 10
		" " " 7	561 " 8
3806 " 11		" " " 8	1664 1 7
		" " " 9	37 11 4
996 10 7		" " " 13	4038 12 10
		" " " 14	871 2 7
547 " 2	Deduct on account of Maramut risk or a moiety of outlay for repairs of Tanks &c to be recovered from Ryots	435 9 3	435 9 4
2108 4 7			
4567 14 1	" Cb. No 15		4 11 2
		Total	9533 3 11
13423 4 9	Amount of annual Peishcush		47887 " "
		Grand Total	57420 3 11
34449 5 6			

E. E. for (Signed) R. T. Porter Collector.

Order

11641- Fort St George 10th August 1843.

Order thereon-

(9-10)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore dated 17th and entered in Consultation 27th July last.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To

The Principal Collector of Nellore.

To the Pl Collr of Nellore - - -

Sir,

(11)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th ultimo bringing to notice that in certain Villages where the lands are held under a system of partition, the same being periodically exchanged at stated terms, varying from 3 to 10 years, one or two instances had occurred of Ryots refusing to abide by their agreements to surrender the lands in their occupation when the time for the periodical exchange came round, and requesting to know whether you possess authority to enforce a compliance—

In all cases of this description, where the practice is regulated solely by the Custom of the District, it is desirable if possible to effect a settlement by amicable means, and in the instances referred to, the Board hope that this may be done thro a Punchayet composed of the most influential persons holding lands under like circumstances, and they would at all events suggest a recourse to this mode of settlement in the first instance—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 10th August 1843.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell Actg Secy.

Read

11642- Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Read the following letters.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(12)

From J. V. Stonhouse Esqre Sir:

Princl Collr of Nellore

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 27th Ultimo and to inform you that no tax has ever been levied in this District for the privilege of collecting honey and wax.

Nellore Princl Collrs Cutcherry 3rd August 1843.

I have &c.

(Signed) J. V. Stonhouse

Princl Collector.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

(13)

From J. C. Naughton Esqre Sir.

Princl Collr of Coimbatore

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th Ultimo requesting to be informed whether the privilege of collecting honey and wax in the Jungles of this District is subjected to any tax or rent, whether any

any difficulty is experienced in the realization of this branch of Revenue and whether the practice of farming it out is productive of annoyance to the people &c.

2. In reply I have the honor to state that the privilege of collecting honey and wax is not exclusively rented, but is included generally in the Hill rents and a Statement shewing the Revenue derived from this Source in the last 10 years is herewith submitted.-

3. I have heard no complaints against the operation of this tax, neither is any difficulty experienced in its realization, it existed previous to the assumption of this Province by our Government, and the remission of it will be to the benefit of the land holders, and not to the poorer classes of the people. This is one of a class of imposts which have the effect of marking the Sovereignty and defining the boundary limits, more effectually than could be arrived at under any other System, and with reference to the proximity

proximity of this District to the States of Travancore and Cochin, as also to Malabar, and its being bounded by dense and impenetrable Jungles, it appears to me that the continuance of this Tax is beneficial also in a political point of view, and that its interruption would be an inexpedient measure.

Coimbatore,
Print. Coll.rs Office,
3d August, 1843.

Signed J. C. Wroughton
Print. Collector.

Statement

any difficulty is experienced in the realization of this branch of Revenue and whether the practice of farming it out is productive of annoyance to the people &c.

2. In reply I have the honor to state that the privilege of collecting honey and wax is not exclusively rented but is included generally in the Hill rent and a Statement shewing the Revenue derived from this Source in the last 10 years is herewith submitted.-

3. I have heard no complaints against the operation of this tax, neither is any difficulty experienced in its realization, it existed previous to the assumption of this Province by our Government, and the remission of it will be to the benefit of the landholders, and not to the poorer classes of the people. This is one of a class of imposts which have the effect of marking the Sovereignty and defining the boundary limits, more effectually than could be arrived at under any other System, and with reference to the proximity

proximity of this District to the States of Travancore and Cochin, as also to Malabar, and its being bounded by dense and impenetrable Jungles, it appears to me that the continuance of this Tax is beneficial - al also in a political point of view, and that its interruption would be an inexpedient measure-

Coimbatore,
Print. Coll.r Office,
3d August, 1843.

Signed J. C. Nroughton
Print. Collector.

Statement

11645

Fort St. George

Statement exhibiting the Revenue derived from Hill and

Names of Talooks	Names of Villages	Fusly 1243	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1245	Fusly 1246
Coimbatore	Ceara Balamambutty	97	98	72	86 .. ..
	Panoor Mavoollumpudhey	124	105	50	56 .. ..
	Curdewaday Molupolliem	20	15	13	13 .. ..
	Jacroomalien polliem	102	82	55	60 .. ..
	Tondawoollum Coopee polliem	63	59	51	31 .. ..
	Villaragum polliem	55	60	42	40 .. ..
	Mootteepolliem	11	11	10	10 .. ..
	Ettavaday Poodoor	134 3 2	124 15 11	68	100 .. ..
	Mud Kerry	160	130	63	75 .. ..
	Total	766 3 2	674 15 11	421	485 .. ..
Pulachey	Animalli	2030	2030	1900	1350 .. ..
	Coloor	700	651 8 ..	575	360 .. ..
	Marchenaiken polliem	600	490	390	356 .. ..
	Canateadoo	100	127	142	310 .. ..
	Total	3430	3298 8 ..	3007	2576 .. ..
Cheetraghurry	Talinjee Hills	753	753	753	753 .. ..
	Grand Total	4949 3 2	4726 7 11	4181	3814 .. ..

10th August 1843

No 1
in the District of Coimbatore from Fusly 1243 to 1252 inclusive —
A.D. 1833-43/1842/43.

Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Total	Average for one year.
89	62	45	81	64	35	728	72 12 10
27	45	10	34	50	71	571	57 1 7
8	7	6	7	7	12	103	10 12 9
90	15	4	10	17 8 ..	65	496 8 ..	49 10 5
30	32	20	32 4 ..	34 8 ..	50	422 12 ..	42 4 5
25	19	10	17	17	25	300	30 .. ..
6	8	5	12 8 ..	8 8 ..	12	94	9 6 6
75	45	10	20	25	39	668 3 1	65 13 2
35	68	15	71	47	83	747	74 11 2
385	301	125	284 12 ..	270 8 ..	412	4125 7 1	412 8 9
1982	2200	1660	1620	1625	1655	18252	1825 3 2
360	300	300	115	121	141	3623 8 ..	362 5 7
367	383	383	271	172	200	3612	361 3 3
310	201	70	100	120	145	1625	162 8 ..
3019	3084	2413	2106	2038	2141	27112 8 ..	2711 4 ..
2260	2260	605	2360	2360	2360	15217	1521 11 1
5664	5645	3143	4750	4668 8 ..	4913	46454 15 1	4645 7 ..

E. E. J. / Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collecton

Ordered

11646 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

12-14

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

15

Sir,

From the Collector of Bellary ——— Paral.

Requesting specific instructions to prohibit the importation of Sugar from Mysore, as an encouragement to Ryots to enable them to manufacture Sugar with any prospect of a fair profit.

With reference to the Proceedings under date the 6th July 1840; in reply to my letter bearing date the 19th June of that year and a Communication from the Collector of Sea Customs in the Department of the Reporter of External Commerce, together with Copy of a Resolution of Government therein alluded to, also of Minutes of Consultation received with your letter of the 10th Instant I have the honor to request early and explicit instructions on the subject for my guidance.

(Endorsed On)

11646 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

2. On receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated 6th July 1840, I concluded that the question was settled, the Board declaring that the Sugar Act bore no reference to the introduction by Land Frontiers of Sugar or Jaggery. The Opinion of the Advocate General that the States of Mysore and Travancore must be considered as Foreign, having been adopted. Sugar the growth of Mysore Country now imported into this District from that Quarter, in large quantities, both for our home Consumption, and for exportation from hence to his Highness the Nizam's Dominions should be confiscated. We have no Commercial relation with Travancore. The act No. 15 of 1839 is I had hitherto supposed applicable to a Collectorate where there is Sea Coast for Shipment.

3. The amount of duty collected in last Fusly 1251. on Sugar imported into this District from Mysore is Rs. 3504 and that on Jaggery

F.D. 1841/42.

11646 Fort St. George 10th August 1843

Jaggery Rs. 2600. If the prohibition, under the abovementioned act, is declared applicable to the Land Frontier, which would seem to be intended, this sum must be given up. at the same time, I trust that the prohibition may have a beneficial effect in inducing the Manufacturers to improve the article.

4. I am however uncertain whether in transmitting to me the abovementioned Papers any alteration of the previous determination of the Board has been contemplated. Some such assistance is certainly required to enable the Ryots of this District to manufacture Sugar with any prospect of a fair profit.

Bellary District,
Collr's Office, 1st Circuit,
at Hurpanhully
17th July, 1843.

Signed A. Mellor
Collector.

Ordered

11647 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Order Thereon
Read again 1st Inst.
Con. Aug. 1
15-16

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.
Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From L. Scott Esq.
Acting Commissioner in Kurnool

Sir,

Several letters have been received in this Office from the Accountant General urging the speedy preparation of certain accounts which have fallen in arrears, and in one of them, Extract from which is entered in the margin, he suggests that an application should be made to Government to sanction any additional assistance which may be required.

Submitting for sanction an extra Establishment of two persons required to bring up the arrears of accounts from October 1839 to the present time.

17

Mr. Bayley was unable to procure experienced Accountants accustomed to

11648 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

to a Revenue Office in the Mofussil, and was obliged to procure the present Accountant and his Deputy from Madras, who however, efficient they may be in a general way, have still much to learn - Sanction for the Mofussil establishment was received on the 11th of September and the Accountants arrived at Kurnool on the 20th of November 1842, and they are now required to prepare a state of arrears from October 1839, the Department being only just equal to the current business -

The Head Accountant represents to me that even with the help of your additional hands he will not be able to complete all the arrears before the 30th of April, but I think that with the aid of two, and what occasional assistance can be spared from the rest of my Establishment, he can finish all that is required in six months -

I have therefore the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to apply to Government for sanction to enable me to entertain two additional English Writers on 20 Rupees a Month each for Six Months for the abovementioned purpose -

Kurnool Commissioner's Office 28th July 1843.

Signed J. Scott
Acting Commissioner

Ordered

11649 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter -

To The Chief Secretary to Government -

To the Chief Sec.y to Government
(17-18)

28th July 1843.
Inform 10th Aug.t

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Commissioner of Kurnool requesting permission to entertain two additional accountants at 20 Rupees per Month for Six Months, to bring up the arrears of accounts in his Office from October 1839 -

2. The Commissioner's Accountant and Deputy Accountant, it appears, arrived from Madras only in November last, they had not been before accustomed to Mofussil Revenue accounts, and are only equal to the performance of the current business of the Office, but the accountant General is anxious that the abovementioned arrears

11650. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

arrears of amount should be prepared and sent in without delay, and the Board therefore recommend that the Employment of the two extra Writers may be authorized. With such additional assistance Mr. Scott thinks he will be enabled by the 30th April next to furnish all the accounts required by the Accountant General.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Signed C. C. Lovell
Dy. Secretary.

Read the following Statement.—

Statement

11651. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Ceylon in the Zillah of Tinnevelly from the 1st of August 1843 to 1st August 1844.

Quantity actually ready for exportation on the 1st August 1843.	Quantity to remain in store ready for exportation on the 1st August 1844.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported	Remarks.
21,7300	11,66,65½	130882½		The Salt may be shipped from the Salt Farms at Tuticorin & Colachel Sea ports.
59,2000			82,620	Tuticorin at Ceylon; with may load with convenience the mines of the opportunities. —

Collectors Office
Tinnevelly 3d August 1843.

G. C. & S. Signed J. A. Ireland
Collector.

Ordered

11652. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Order thereon.

(19-20)

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read the following letters—

To C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From A. Freese Esqre
Coll.r of Chingleput

Sir,

Cavil. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's orders of the 2nd Instant.

(21)

With an equal desire to avoid having recourse to the realization of revenue by distraint, I have found it unavoidable in the case of the Weavers, in consequence of their having combined to resist the imposition of the tax; but in the orders issued for attachment, a few of the most influential and wealthy individuals were selected, and without my distinct authority in each individual's case, no property has been sold.

2. I have now communicated to the Weavers the further orders of the Board on their Second Petition, and desired that only half the amount of the demand should be realized for the present fusly, but the Petitioners stated to me, that it was not the rates at which they had been taxed at individually, that they objected to, but the imposition of any tax at all.

Chingleput District Collr's Cutcherry
Pullecarney, 5th August 1843.

Signed A. Freese
Collector

Order thereon,

(21-22)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To.

11653. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(23)

From A. Freese Esqre
Collector of ---
Chingleput ---

Sir,

Para 1. Reporting on the resistance made by the weavers to the payment of the Loom Tax—

The Tahsildar of Conjeverum having reported that the Weavers generally refused to pay the Loom Tax assessed upon them in accordance with the instructions received from the Board, an order was issued to him to attach sufficient property of five or six of the most influential persons, reporting their names with a list of the property for further orders.

2. On the Tahsildar proceeding to execute these orders, a large body of the Weavers having assembled, not only prevented the property from being removed, but finally carried it away in despite of his orders. A report of this circumstance having been made to me together with the names of the ring leaders, a Duffadar of Deloyets with 12 additional Peons were despatched to the Tahsildar with a Warrant for their apprehension and sec

of

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

of them were seized, when a body of about 4,000 Weavers having collected attacked the Peons and rescued the Prisoners declaring at the same time to the Tahsildar that they would neither pay the tax or allow any property to be destrained, and would resist to the utmost any efforts to seize and punish their leaders--

3. I have ordered my Cutcherry to proceed towards Conjeveram, and am immediately about to join it with the view of endeavouring to bring these misguided individuals to a sense of the penalties they are drawing upon themselves and shall keep the Board informed of my proceedings and their effect--

Madras---
10th August 1843. } (Signed) A. Freese.
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply--

(23-24)

To the Collr. of Chingleput--

To The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of

Stating that the Board learn.

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

learn with satisfaction that he is on the point of proceeding in person to Conjeveram to endeavour to convince the weavers of the justice of the tax recently imposed on certain looms in his district and suggesting that if any of the parties express themselves desirous of preferring an appeal to Government further proceedings in respect to the distraint or sale of their property be stayed--

of your letter of this date reporting the difficulties which had been experienced by your Native revenue Officers in the realization of the tax recently imposed on certain looms in your District--

2. The Board learn with satisfaction that you are on the point of proceeding in person to Conjeveram where the feeling has been most strongly expressed against the new impost and feel assured that your judgement and moderation will not be exerted without effect, and that you will be enabled to convince the Weavers of the justice of the present demand upon them and of the impropriety of their past proceedings--

3. The Board will await your further communication on the subject. They would however suggest that should any of the parties express themselves desirous of preferring an appeal to Government further proceedings in respect to the distraint or sale of their property should be stayed until the result of their appeal shall be ascertained--

Revenue Board Office--
Fort St. George 10th August 1843. } (Signed) C. C. Lovell.
Acting Secretary

Read

11656. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

Read the following letter-

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George-

(25)

From W. H. Babington Esqre
Acting Collr of North Arcot-

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have in detail the great inconvenience that will attend if the order of Government directing the attendance of Civil Pensioners before Collrs to receive their Pensions monthly is followed-

In the Fort St George Gazette of the 21st July 1843 Page 585 the Most Noble the Governor in Council has published an order directing that no Collector, Paymaster, or other Officer in charge of Public Treasuries or entrusted with the payment of Civil Pensions, shall draw from the Government Treasuries the amount of any such Pension payable by him until the appearance* of the Pensioner at his Office &c."

* In cases falling within Para 5 of the General "Rules as to Civil Pensions "of every description" "Published in the Fort St George Gazette of the 3d February 1836 until "after the application of "the Pensioner"-

2. There are many Civil Pensioners in this District drawing trifling Pensions viz. from Rupee 1 to Rupees 3 whose attendance Monthly

11657. Fort St George 10th August 1843

Monthly at my Office will be rendered necessary by the foregoing order, most of them are old women residing in all parts of the district, the widows of deceased Public Servants- Towards persons of this Class the order will be tantamount to a withdrawal of their pensions- In order to obtain the payment of them, they will have to leave their homes and attend the Collectors Cutcherry once a Month wherever that may happen to be possibly 50 or 60 Miles from their Villages- They will then have to proceed to the Chittoor Treasury which may be 20 or 30 Miles distant and then to return home which may be 20 or 30 Miles more, and by the time they have reached home it will be necessary for them to set out again to-

11658. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

to the Collectors Cutcherry. It would be worth no ones while to make such journeies every Month for the sake of one or two Rupees and for decrepid old women to travel about in this manner is physically impossible. I beg to bring these circumstances to the notice of the Board that they may act in the case as they see fit.

North Arcot.

Coll.r's Circuit Cutchery
Narnavanum 31st July 1843.

Signed W. H. Babington
Acting Collector.

Order thereon,

(25-26)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read.

11659. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

From W. E. Lockhart Esq.re
Off.g Coll.r of Salem.

(27)

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board that a Ryot named Calaul Chinnaturnboo holding a Putcut containing acres 8-15-1 and yield ing a Teerwah of 24 Rupees in the Village of Oorlechully attached to the Aumany of Mootah of Kahramungalum in the Talooke of Dauumpoory, has been dispossessed of his land by a process from the Zillah Court, on account of debt. The land in question was purchased by one Natakeara Chundroy Chitty, but not receiving any intel= =mation of the circumstance from the Court, Chinnaturnboo's name is still continued in the Jummah accounts as the holder of the said land with the Teerwah due on it, for Fusly 1252 (A.D. 1842/43). It has been ascertained, that Chennaturnboo is not in

Circumstances

11660. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

circumstances to pay the demands, being reduced to poverty, and I therefore solicit the sanction of the Board for disposing of the land by public sale as the only means of recovering the Circar due.

Salem Tillah.
Oopcom.
Pmk. Coll.
29th July 1843.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

(27-28)

To
The Acting Principal Collector of Salem.

Sir,

To the Ag. Pmk Coll.
of Salem.

In Cons. 10th Augt. 1843.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29th July 1843 reporting that certain puttah land the property of one Chinnatumbro has been sold under a process of the Tillah Court without the Circar Demand, which was due thereon, having been realized, and requesting authority to dispose of the land by sale as the only means of recovering the amount.—

2. Unless it could be shewn that the purchaser was duly informed of the balance outstanding on the land, the right of attaching it on behalf of

11661. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

of Government and selling it by public auction appears questionable, and as it could not have been brought to sale and transferred to the present occupant without the knowledge of the Village Officers, whose duty it was to see that the Circar demand was first collected, the Board are of opinion that they should be held answerable for the due recovery thereof.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
10th August 1843.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read

11662. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. L. Lowell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

Sir,

From W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Ag. Pl. Coll. of Salem.

(29)

With reference to your letter of the 20th ult.
I have the honor to acquaint you
that the sum of Rupees 63-8-8,
was, upon the orders of the Board,
communicated in the Extract
from their Proceedings dated 25th
October 1841, received from 2d apothecary
Hope and remitted to the Officer
Commanding Bangalore on the 16th November
1841, and with regard to the requisition of
the

11663. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

the Brigade Major at Bangalore not
having been attended to, I have to acquaint
you, that it appears by the correspondence
which passed on the subject of the Apothe-
cary's pay, that in consequence of his
abstract for September 1840, which was
submitted to the Paymaster in Mysore,
not having been returned by that Officer
the delay arose in refunding to the Brigade
Major the sum advanced by him to
the said Apothecary.

Salem Gillah,
Coffee - - -
Pal. Coll.s Cutcherry,
1st August 1843.

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the foregoing
letter be communicated to Government in reference
to Extract of Minutes of Consultations under date
18th October 1841.

(29-30)

Read the following letter.

To E. L. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(31)

From J. F. Bishop Esqre
Ag. Pl. Coll. of Tanjore.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter

1664. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

letter of the 3d Instant calling for the proceedings held in Appoo Row's case before the Circuit and Criminal Courts, I have the honor to submit copy of a letter from the Acting Criminal Judge in answer to my application for copies of the documents required by the Board. This exposition of the case, coupled with the Extracts of a letter from the Acting Criminal Judge enclosed in my letter of the 23d Ultimo to your address, tends to throw strong presumptive evidence that Appoo Row deviated from the strict path of duty in the examination of the case of horse-stealing sent by him to the Court.—

Tanjore,
Kodikaray, 28th July 1843. } I Signed I. F. Bishop
Acting Collector

No 1.

To
I. F Bishop Esqre
Acting Magistrate of
Tanjore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 15th Instant requesting that the record of the enquiry into the proceedings of Appana Row

1665. Fort St George 10th August 1843.

Row late Tahsildar of Pauparaseem may be forwarded to you for the purpose of being laid before the Board of Revenue. I have the honor to suggest, with much deference, that the Board should apply to the Court of Fouzdaree Adalut for the translations of the cases which have recently been transmitted by the Circuit Court. I make this proposition on two grounds 1st Because it is informal and inconvenient that the Proceeding of the Criminal Court, should be submitted for the inspection of any authority not possessing appellate jurisdiction and 2dly That the record of the case is so large, composed as it is of a long enquiry in the Criminal Court and no less than Glasses in the Circuit Calendar, and is moreover so complicated that when laid before the Board it would be necessary either to over translations to be made or else to give the records two readings.— For the satisfaction of the Board, I beg to annex a short Statement explanatory of the case.—

A B and C were sent to the Criminal

11666. Fort St George 10th August 1843-

Criminal Court by the Tahsildar of Pudupanas-
sery charged with stealing a horse. The
Tahsildars report stated that B and C
were instigated by D to commit the
crime - D is the Prosecutors brother and
has a suit against him for one third
of his estate - B has also petitioned for
a small portion as a distant relation -

On the trial of the case the
Witnesses to A's confession (in which he
criminated B and C) prevaricated
contradicted each other and barely escaped
committal for perjury - A denied his confession,
B and C denied the theft, and each proved
an alibi; the evidence to which being unexcep-
tionable, three of the Witnesses for the prose-
cution were tried for perjury - They confessed
and were committed - They were sentenced
to four years imprisonment each, by the
Circuit Court - The Prosecutor was committed
for subornation but escaped conviction before
the Circuit Court - The futwa declared that
it was necessary that there should be two
credible Witnesses to prove his collusion with the
perjurors

11667. Fort St George 10th August 1843-

perjurors - Not knowing that Witnesses
were necessary I committed him on the
grounds of his having admitted before me
that he had a conversation with the three
perjurors in his own house on the morning of
the robbery and on that subject - of the
falsity of the evidence of those three men,
I cannot imagine the possibility of a
doubt - B and C were unable to assign any
reason for their giving false evidence against
them, but in their confessions one said he
was instigated by the Prosecutor - the
two that they were threatened by the
Tahsildar -

The Prosecutor, Tahsildar
and 6 others were committed for conspiracy
against A B and C but released by the
Circuit Court, on the ground that the
confession of A was not proved to have been
unfairly taken - If it was voluntarily given
A was a party to the conspiracy against
B C and D - That there was a conspiracy
against B and C is manifest from the
conviction

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

of the 3 perjurors whose evidence bore reference to them. That the Prosecutor was a party to it, has been shewn above but sufficient evidence was wanting to convict him of subornation. That the Tahsildar abetted him appears to me also undeniable, when I consider 1. that he was at Prosecutors house at the time of the alleged theft, 2. that he falsely reported that he did not hear of it till two days later (during which time the plot was arranged) 3. that the Prisoner A was taken from his Cutcherry to a Village 3 miles distant, and on his return gave a second deposition confessing and criminating B and C. 4. that he falsely reported B and C to be old offenders and 5th that he stated that they were instegated by D when there was no evidence before him to support that statement. Several other irregularities proved against him are enumerated in my sentence in Criminal cases 10 and 26 of 1843. none of which was he able to explain away. —

The

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

The wealth and influence of the Prosecutor who is one of the richest men in the Tanjore District, are sufficient to account for the Tahsildar's deviating from his duty to forward Prosecutors designs against B and D. The reason for plotting against B and D appears in my first paragraph. The means employed by the charge of horse stealing would seem at first sight unsuitable and inadequate for any important purpose; but the Prosecutors object was chiefly to prevent B and D from going to Madras in time to prefer an appeal against a decree recently passed in his favor by the Provincial Court. The charge of horse stealing was so glaringly false that every one of the Witnesses for the prosecution

Fort St George 10th August 1843

(8 in number) were tried for perjury and six of them were committed — Uppana Row is known to be an experienced and able Police Officer. The very sending up of such a case shewed either great remissness of duty or connivance with the Prosecutor — Whether the other irregularities are conclusive in proving connivance or not, will undoubtedly be a subject of deliberate consideration with the Board of Revenue to whom I request that a copy of this letter may be forwarded —

I have &c
Signed J. Prendergast
Acting Criminal Judge

31-32

Order thereon —

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation —

Read

Fort St. George 10th August 1843

Read the following letter

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

33

Sir

From A. P. Onslow Esqre Collector of Trichinopoly

Relative to the objection of appointing Shashagerry Row to the Head Sheristadar of this District —

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your communication of the 24th Instant, requesting me to state if I have any objection to the appointment of Sashagerry Row to be Head Sheristadar of my District —

2. There appears to me to be an insuperable objection to the appointment in question in limine — I have already informed Government through the Board that I have a sufficient degree of confidence in Chellapah Moodelliar my Deputy Sheristadar, in consequence of his full acquittal by the Board and Government and the manner in which he has responded to the pledge I made him that I would not allow my former proceedings to operate to his prejudice provided he performed his duties

duties faithfully to select him as my Dubash: but Government was pleased to think that it was quite impossible for me to repose the necessary degree of confidence in him, and declined confirming my nomination. I have now only to say that further experience of Chellapah Moodeliar who has been my only Sheristadar for upwards of 11 months enables me to state that I know no one to whom I could entrust the duties in question with greater confidence and it certainly cannot be expected that I should place so much in Shageeny Row, with whom my acquaintance is very slight, and who has subjected himself to the suspicions of a Public Officer who has had much longer experience of him than I had of Chellapah Moodeliar when I proposed his dismissal from Office, and whose acquittal of the charges brought against him is not, as far as I have any intimation on the subject, more full than that of Chellapah Moodeliar.

Under

3. Under these circumstances it seems obvious to me that to make the proposal of appointing Sashageery Row my Sheristadar would be to ask Government to act inconsistently.—

Tinnevelly District.
Coyatalagoodaloor,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry,
31st July 1843.

Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Order thereon 33-34 Ordered to be brought forward
Read again in cons.
17 August at a future meeting.

To.
C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

35

From A. P. Onslow Esq.re
Collector of Tinnevelly
Forwarding report on the Puttah Mooneyagar allowances &c.a of the Tinnevelly District.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to reply to the Board's Letters of the 22d June and 24th Ultimo calling for information relative to the allowances collected for the Puttah Maneagars in the several Talooks of this District under the head of Puttah Khurch, and also regarding the emolument of such Cavilgars.—

2. From the time of the assumption of the Country up to Fusly 1229 the Salary enjoyed by the persons who performed the duties now

now devolving on the Puttah Managars in the four dry Talooks, Viz.t that of assisting in the collections of the Revenue was fixed at a fixed amount demanded from the Ryots for that purpose. It was probably the partial distribution of this demand & which induced Mr. Dickinson in Fusly 1230 to regulate it by a percentage on the revenue of each Village according to the annual fluctu=ations and in these Talooks it was fixed as follows. Viz.t in Ondiapollam at 1 per cent, in Arealore at 1½ per cent, and in Volcondapooram at 1 per Cent. In Jarrion the Original system was not altered, and the demand con=tinues as it stood at the time of the assump=tion of the Country Viz.t Rupees 2990-12-9. which was in Fusly 1251. 1½ per cent on the gross revenue of the Talook. the amount is occa=sionally temporarily reduced, whenever a great falling off in the Revenue of any particular Village may render such a measure necessary.

3.

Up to Fusly 1239 the duties now performed by the Puttah Managars were entrusted to a class of Servants called "Turf Maniems" who were

were specially appointed under the orders of the Collector and had not, as a matter of course, any hereditary interests in the Village the reasons which suggested the change are not recorded. but it will not be questioned that the appointment of such Officers as the Puttah Managars, is more in accordance with general usage and more likely to be satisfactory to the Ryots than that of the "Turf" managars, who in all probability would look on their pay as but a small part of their perquisites. Nevertheless on Fusly 1239 the "Turf" maniems" were again established in Office the Pottadars having been it was thought negligent in the performance of their duties, but upon the latter remonstrating they were re-appointed, and have continued in Office ever since.—

4. It will thus be seen that the Salary of the Puttah Managars has ever been paid for the performance of the particu=lar duty of assisting in the collection of the Revenues, and as it frequently happens that there are as many as two, three, four and five Puttah Managars in one Village, it seems clear that the amount appropriated to this purpose cannot properly be diverted to any

J

any other One Pullada; I need hardly assure the
Board, will not always suffice to make the
Collections of a Village, for it not unfrequent-
-ly happens that in a Village, there are
several parties separated by jealousies fac-
-tions but long grounded and inveterate feuds
or a real or supposed variety of interests to
which the appointment of a joint Monegar
would not have any tendency to allay.-

5. Statement No 1. which accompanies
my letter of the 18th August 1840 exhibited the
total amount of the Pollah Monegars allowances
in the 4 Dry Talooks as being Rupees 1968-2-11½
the accompanying Statement No 1 shows it as it
is at present, the difference arises from the
difference in the number of Pollah Monegars
appointed to do the duty of Moonsiffs. It will be
seen that out of 1570 Monegars whose salary
amounts to Rupees 5960-2-10-5/6. receiving
salary to the amount of Rupees 2496-7-8. are
Moonsiffs also, while there are 80 Moonsiffs
who are not Pollah Monegars.-

I venture to suggest to the
Board that in making arrangements for
the salaries of the Moonsiffs the allowances
of

of the Pollah Monegars be entirely left out of the
Calculation but that it should be the duty of
the Collector to appoint Moonsiffs from among
the Pollahdars whenever it may be practicable
to do so the salary of one Office of course only to
be given to the individual. A periodical return of
these appointments would enable the Board
to control the discretion which would thus be
allowed.-

7. I am not aware of any further
information which I can afford the Board
on this subject. It will be seen that the amount
of the Pollah Monegars allowances varies with the
Revenue but the Statements submitted with
my report of the 18th August 1840 exhibit
I believe a fair average.-

Statement No 8. It should however be mentioned
that to assist in the collection of the revenues of
the 131 dry Villages in the 4 Wet Talooks there
are 56 "Turf Maniems" whose allowances amount
to Rupees 2366 this is collected from the several
Villages at a fixed rate and amounts to about
Rupees 1 13/16 per cent on their gross revenue these
persons may be discontinued when a Moonsiff is
appropriated to each Village.-

9. I hope to be able to send a State-
-ment about the new Cavilgars in a day or two it is
delayed for want of information from other Districts.-

Tuchinopoly District -
Voytalagoodaloor,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
1st August 1843.

Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector

Statement

11678

Fort St. George

10th August 1843.

No 1 Statement shewing the amount of allowances enjoyed by the Puttah Monegars in the 4 Day Talooks in the District of Trichinopoly—

Talooks	Per Centage of the Puttah Monegars Pay on the gross Revenue	Amount of Puttah Monegars allowance shewn in Column of the Statement No 4 sent to the Board on the 18th August 1840	Amount of Puttah Monegars allowance in Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252 Number of Puttah Monigars	Amount of their allowances	Amount of allowances becomes due to those who are also Incumbents for Fusly 1252 Number of Individuals	Amount of allowances	Number of Incumbents who are not Puttah Monigars	
1	2	3 Rs a P	4 Rs a P	5.	6 Rs a P	7	8 Rs a P.	9	10
1 Trichinopoly	"	2990 12 9	2990 12 9	351	2978 12 9	146.	1379 13 6	4	
2 Woriarpolliam	1	1272 7 "	1273 7 6	533	1130 14 6.	186.	458 11 8	30	
3 Arialoor	1/2	650 7 4½	675 1 9	385	588 8 7	139.	185 2 9.	31	
4 Volcondaporum	1	1215 3 1½	1379 6 10	301	1261 15 "	105.	472 11 9.	15	
	"	6128 14 3	6321 12 10	1570	5960 2 10	576.	2496 7 8	80	

Trichinopoly, 1st August, 1843.

E. E.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Statement

1683.

Fort St George 10th August 1843.

Order thereon,

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.
Read the following

(35-36)

letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

From the Sub Collector
In charge of
Madura
(37)

I have the honor to forward Copy and Translate of a Petition filed in the Zillah Court against the Commissioner late in charge of Madura and others for recovery of certain Coude land in the Jemindary of Shevagungah and request you will be good enough to obtain me the instructions of the Board as to the manner of conducting the suit.—

Madura
24th July 1843

(Signed) W. Elliot
Sub-Collector in charge

A

Translate of a Plaint presented to W. Douglas Esqre Judge of the Zillah Adaulut of Madura

Plaintiffs

1 Soobramanion of Venkat-
=aswaren residing at
Shivacottah

versus

Defendants

1 G. D. Drury Esqre Com-
=missioner in charge
of

1649 to 1782

No 2 Statement showing the Number and Classes of Inhabitants in the Village of Pillaiyarpatty in the District of Tinnevelly

Fort St George, 10th August 1843

Classes	Number of Inhabitants	Remarks
Comaty	47	Number of Inhabitants in the Village of Pillaiyarpatty
Vellaly	28	
Mahratty	14	
Maravy	1	
Sauliagaly	13	
Mahomedan	12	
Total	115	

Tinnevelly 2 C. C. Office
1st August 1843

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Thavacottay in Goothaga=
=naud Talook attached
to the Ramnad District
and at Ottadiruttum in
Amroatty Talook of the
Shevagungah District
a cultivator aged 40
years, and 2 Vencatasoo=
=bien alias Theradamien
son of Sheshien aged
35 years.

of Madura, 2 Savari=
=mootoodien son of
Regaipoodian residing
at Vachungoolon of
Orovatty Talook and at
Maroothacaumy in the
Amroatty Talook attached
to the Shevagun Dis=
=trict a cultivator. 3
Kauchuppah Umbalagar
son of Paryavarumbalam,
Caroopan Umbalagar of
Vettoor in the said Amroatty Talook, 4 Ragoo=
=nathiengar son of Moottoocoatty Iyengar alias
Naraniengar of Yelliangoody in Yeminiswaram
Talook, 5 Samaviengar son of Narainengar
formerly at Cadoogoosauday in Seckul Talook
attached to the Ramnad District, but at present
residing at Yelloovengottay in Amroatty Talook,
a public Servant, 6 Nullathumby Umbalagar
son of Yellundary Umbalagar, residing at
Vettyoor in the said Amroatty Talook a
cultivator and 7 Veerapien Umbalagar son of
Vutty Chitty.

We

Fort St. George 10th August 1843

We Plaintiffs have filed this Suit
praying that a Decree may be passed to cause
the 1st Defendant to restore to us One hundred
and thirteen Cullums of Poonjah land yielding
an annual produce in various species of grain
of 113 Rupees; 600 Cullums of Poonjah land
realizing annually in different kinds of grain
Rupees 600, and 160 Cullums of Poonjah land
convertible into 40 Cheys of Nunjah land
capable of paying annually in paddy and
Straw 612 Rupees Annas 13 attached to the
2 Malgoozary perpetual Coodie Dhurmasanum
Villages Mauttoo Cummoy, Ottoocunmoy and
Nettainthul in Amroatty Talook in the
Shevagungah District under our enjoyment
according to the perpetual Coodie Dhurmasa=
=num puttahs, and which said lands are
now under attachment and situated within
the subordinate boundaries below mentioned,
and also to provide against the Defendants
interfering with us the Plaintiffs, respecting
the repair of the Tanks and Channels
which irrigate the lands in question, and
from Cultivating and enjoying them

according

Fort St. George 10th August 1813

according to the said Puttahs, and also to cause the 1st Defendant to pay us from the Government 205 Rupees 3 annas being the Rist for lands cultivated from among the abovementioned according to the Puttadsh or Agreement, 1st Maharajah Mootoovijaya Ragoonada Boathagooroosawmy Periá Oodia Taver Ex Zemindar of Shevagungah with the view of making profit by Cultivating the Villages left Waste in the said District for a long time and over grown with large jungle trees, and tanks of which were all broken granted mainy Villages to many persons in perpetual Coole Dhurmasanum accordingly he bestowed upon both of us the Village Called Mantoo bunmoy, Oottoo bunmoy situated within the Village boundaries hereunder mentioned, the Kattananithul Village upon Paurthumbanoor Ramasawmy Iyen, and another Village called Achanacolum upon one Thawacottay Alagariengar in perpetual Coole Dhurmasanum on the 12th September 1831. or 27th Auvany of Kara under perpetual Coole Dhurmasanum puttahs and sent orders to

Fort St. George 10th August 1813

to Dhurmasanum Cutcherry and also to the ambalagars of the said Villages and their watchers of Jungles &c. The said Coole Dhurmasanum Puttahs provide that as the Villages in question without being included in the Ayacut or being brought under Cultivation, in consequence of the Tanks being broken, lays waste for a long time overgrown with large jungle trees, the Mahajanums of the said Coole Dhurmasanums should excavate and repair the Tank and cut the large jungle trees at their own expense and convert the lands into Nunjah, Poonjah and Thedagal Poonjah &c. and enjoy the same rent free for 3 years from the Year of boole and pay quit rent in the Dhurmasanum Cutcherry from the 4th up to the 10th Year at the rate of 1/8, 1/4, 3/8, 1/2, 5/8, 3/4, 7/8 of the field Dhurmasanum poroopoo of those Villages, and from 11th Year forward the fixed quit rent and obtain receipts, and that the Mahajanums should possess and enjoy the said Nunjah and Poonjah lands, small and large Tanks, low and elevated lands, Nuttum chaithada (or building

building ground brought under Cultiva-
tion) fish Padooga &c rivers side lands, &c
trees, wells, treasure &ca, as long as the moon
should endure i.e from generation to
generation, with the full and perfect privi-
lege of bestowing them either in gift or
by sale—

3. According to the said Puttahs
we cut the jungle on the lands of Manttoo
Cunnoy Oottoo Cunnoy and the other
persons cleared the jungle from the lands of
the other two Villages, and repaired the
broken Tanks from our own money and
were Cultivating them—Mean while the
said Ramasawmy Iyen and Alagarienga
being pressed for Coin sold the Villages
Nettainthal and Achanacolum to us on
the 1050 Rupees and 1 fanam Cowcaunthum or
hire for writing, under a deed of sale
executed according to the usual manner, and
giving us at the same time the perpetual Cowle
Dhurmasanum puttahs made out in
their names, received the said sum in ready
money from us—

The

The said Zemendar granted to
4 us the Village Mootalay Cunnoy adjoining the
Nettainthul Village on the North in the said
Talook and over grown with jungle and tank
broken on the 10th November 1833 or 27th Arpisy
of Vijaya in perpetual Cowle Dhurmasanum,
and in the Puttah it was provided for among
other things shewn in the Puttahs in question,
that the Village should be enjoyed rent free
in only the year of the Cowle—

We repaired the Tanks and
5. Channels and cleared out the Jungles in our
said Villages at a considerable expense and
held the Nunjah and Poonjah lands rent-
free under our own Cultivation and by
giving Cowle to Ryots up to 1833, according
to the Dhurmasanum Puttahs, and subsequently
paid the quit rent in the Dhurmasanum
Cutcherry, and obtained receipts—After the
sequestration of the Zemindary by Govern-
ment for arrears of Peishcush, with the
exception of Achanacolum which was
taken under attachment in consequence
of a slight dispute, we paid into the said Talook
the Quit rent of the other three Villages in our
posession

possession according to orders and obtained receipts and also have brought under Cultiva= =tion as many of the lands within the Village boundaries as possible—

6. On our strictly demanding payment of the balance due by the 6th and 7th Defendants on account of dealings between us they conceived enmity towards us and= raised vain disputes among the people, in order to make money thereby, and even over the 2d 3d 4th and 5th Defendants to their side and instigated the 3d Defendant who is one of the many Ryots in the Mauttoo Cunnoy Cowle Dhurmasanum Village South of the said Kattainthal Village to prefer a false complaint on a certain day / month and date unknown / in the year 1839 to the effect that 113 Coorookums of Poonjay land situated in the Mauttoo Cunnoy Oottoo Cunnoy and Kettainthal Villages within the subordinate boundaries below mentioned, appertained to the Mauttoo Cunnoy Village in question. This complaint was investigated by the= ameen of Amraotty Talook as per Order of Mr Blackburne late Principal Collector of

of this Zillah. This ameen Thistnarow of= Palavanacoody yielding to the influence of the 5th Defendant who is the Brother in law of= the 4th Defendant a share holder in the= said Manoothongoody Village and likewise Royasum in the said Talook Coutcherry and without fully enquiring into the Oral and Documentary evedences in support= of our right of enjoyment, addressed a false arzee to incorporate the said lands with the Village of the 4th Defendant and others. The= said Collector also without examining the arzee and other documents sent an Order to the ameen on the 9th December 1839 directing him to place the disputed lands under= Government management and collect the rents and keep the same in deposit in the Talook Cutcherry. This Order was carried= into effect by a Tateed issued on the 13th idem to the Veeramaganoor Mauniem Comara= =sawmy Pillay. In the same month the 1st Plaintiff wrote an arzee representing= our right and enjoyment to which the late Collector gave an Endorsement on the 2d January 1840 stating that the contested lands were

were not given to the adverse party, that they would not be restored without there is sufficient documentary proof, but that Officer did not institute any enquiry into the several addresses presented by me producing strong documentary evidence. In course of our enjoying the rest of the lands, the 6th and 7th Defendants falsely stated that there is a hamlet of the name of Rettaromba and got up a Complaint by means of the 2d Defendant on the 10th September 1841 to the effect that the 7/20 Coorookums of lands situated on the West within the boundaries mentioned below in the Village Nettanthul under our enjoyment appertained to the pretended hamlet and that we had forcibly cut the Jungle and intended to cultivate certain portions of the lands (whereas there was no such hamlet as Rettaremba appertaining to the Vetteyoor Village attached to the Calian Covil Davastanum) according to the order of the Magistrate Kursinga Row the Ameen of Thervagonpett Talook inspected the disputed lands and took down depositions from the

the 2d, 3d, 6th and 7th Defendants and Umbalagars and others and examined the Complaint in question together with the deposition given by our witnesses and by us submitted an Arzee and other Proceedings on the 25th January 1842 stating that the contested lands were included within the Village boundaries mentioned in the perpetual Coule Dhurmasanum Puttahs referred to above and held under our enjoyment and that the opposite party had committed frauds, but the late Collector without fully inspecting them and passing a right order with reference to the enjoyment sent instruction to the Ameen in the Month of February directing him to collect and keep in deposit in the Talook Cutcherry the taxes on the Cultivated lands and further stating that he would on his Circuit come and on a personal inspection pass final Orders.

Accordingly when that Officer came to that part of the District an address was presented to him on the 5th September 1842 requesting him to inspect the lands in question agreeably to his Orders and put them in our possession according to Mamool

8

with

with reference to the aforesaid Urzee and other Proceedings, but he gave an Endorse=ment on the 6th of that Month without in=specting the lands in litigation, or the pro=ceedings, stating that the lands of Cattiar Covil Jungle do not belong to any Village but are the property of Government, and that we have no right whatever to the lands cultivated thereon or to the Assessment thereof.

9. We presented an address on the 16th January 1843 to the 1st Defendant who succeeded that Gentleman as Commissioner in Charge, representing to him the injustice done towards us by his predecessor as well as the justness of our claims and pray=ing to be put in possession of the lands taken under Circar management, but that Authority also granted us no redress and gave a Verbal order that if we were dissatisfied with the late Principal Collector's Order we should move the Civil Court. On the 10th Instant we again gave an address to the said Officer requesting that an Endorse=ment to that effect might be given, and as that we would accordingly move the Civil

Side

Side of the Zillah Adalut, but no answer was given. The disputed lands are included in the Village boundaries mentioned in the perpetual Cowle Dhurmasanum Puttahs granted by the late Zemindar for the Villages Mauttoo Cummoy, Ostoo Cummoy and Kettainthul, and we pay the fixed quit=rent thereof — as we are bound how much land so ever we cultivate, and whether it yields fair produce or not to pay the Toroopoo rent every year, the taking under Circar attachment of the land in dispute from our enjoyment is contrary to justice and is calculated to entail great loss upon us—

11. Although the defendants from 2 to 7 got up a false complaint to subject us to losses, yet as the late Collector without enquiring into the rights of both sides and putting the land in dispute in our possession with reference to the puttahs in question for our enjoyment has taken them under Circar Manage=ment, and as the Commissioner in charge who succeeded that Officer on his suspen=sion did not grant us redress we have filed this suit against him also for the Government

Government to recover the lands in dispute and the profits thereof-

12. We have also to file a suit against the Government for recovery of the Village Schanacolum under Soreear attachment, another suit against the 2d 3d 6th and 7th Defendants and others for recovery of loss sustained in consequence of their having cut across the said Moottan bunney & a third against the 6th and 7th Defendants for recovery of 300 Rupees together with interest thereon on a bond executed by them consequent upon the adjustment of certain accounts between them.

13. Particulars of boundaries large and small of the lands sued for by us are hereunder mentioned-

In Amroatty Talook west of Thottycul main road north of the Cunny & Vully manay Poonjah road, East of the bodal anjay Tank and South of the water spread of Ossalam Colum Tank is situated the Cowle Dhurmasanum Village called Manttoo Cunnoy Pottoo Cunnoy- In this Village North of Cunnyvully Poonjah road, East of the Western boundary of the Village, South of the Poonjah lands Cultivated by the Shepherd

Shepherd Peria Curpen and Reyapooda gan and west of the Poonjah lands held by the Sauvooree Moottoo Odian and Potchemoottoo Shewy are 87 Coorcoums of Penjal Poonjah land west of Sandoorpooly and Manaipoonjah road running South, North of waterspread of Morooda Cummy and Poodoopullum and the waterspread of Theerayeecolum Tank, East of the Waterspread of the said Nettainthul Cunnay, and South of Parcoody river of Oochapooly Shawel and the Waterspread of Moottan Cunnoy is situated the said Nettainthul Cowle Dhurmasanum Village. In this Village north of the road leading in the East from Oottroputty to Poodoopullum, South of the Poodoopalottoo Ceelacaray and the Poonjah lands cultivated by Maurroodoo Survey garen and Paupadien in the said Nettainthul Village and west of Senthoorpooly and Mana Poonjay road running South are situated 27 Koorkums of arable Pongal Poonjah land. These 27 Coorkums together with the aforesaid 87 Coorkums make 113 Coorkums If we held these lands under our own Cultivation both shares of produce in various kinds

of

of grain for one Coorkum ps. annum are 3 Cullums of which one Cullum being deducted for seed and other Cultivation common charges there remain 2 Cullums of which the average price at the rate of 8 annas ps. Cullum amounts to 1 Rupee. at this rate the 113 Coorkums pay 113 Rupees. Both shares belong to us because the Villages are Dhurmasanum but we have leased out the lands in question for Cultivation at the rate of 8 annas and 6 piece ps. Coorkum according to this data the late Principal Collector collected Rs. As. P. 180-1-6 being the taxes for the years 1840 1841 and 1842 on fusleies 1250, 1251 and 1252 at the rate of 60 Rupees and 6 piece and kept the same in deposit. On the West side in the said Nettaunthul Village East of the Western boundary of the Village, South of the Baracoolie river and Oochapoly Seval land and the West side waterspread of Mottur Cummey tank, West of our own Poonjah land and the Poonjah lands cultivated by Aundi tchee Doray Suwmy moodeliar and Venkatachellum and North of the West side waterspread of Maroothay Cummey tank

tank and the waterspread of Theroye colum Tank are situated 760 Cullums of Poonjah land and there is a broken tank. If this Tank and the Channels are repaired there are 40 Cheys of arable Nunjah land behind the Tank. The annual produce of a Chey both shares inclusive is 20 Cullums measured by the Ramaswamy Mercal of the said District. 5 Cullums being deducted for seed and other Cultivation common Charges there remain 15 Cullums of which the average selling price at the rate of 1 Rupee per Cullum is 15 Rupees according to this data the price of paddy for the whole 40 Chey is 600 Rupees. The straw bundles for these 40 Cheys at the rate of 10 bundles per Chey pay 12 Rupees and 8 annas each bundle being priced at 6 piece. With exception of 160 Poonjah Cullums on account of the said 160 Cheys if the remaining 600 Cullums be held under our own cultivation, a Chey will yield an average produce of 3 Cullums in various grains 1 Cullum being deducted on account of seed and other Cultivation common charges there remain 2 Cullums of which the selling

selling price at 8 annas per Cullum is 1 Rupee according to this data the 600 Cullums of land will pay 600 Rupees but we have a leased out to certain Ryots land newly brought under cultivation at 3 annas and some land at 8 annas and 6 pice. The Government have levied in 1842 tax on 113 Cullums of Pingal land and 12 Cullums of Podoovul land which had been cultivated among the said 760 Cullums of Poonjah land according to the above data of 8 annas and 6 pice per Pingal Cullum at which we have given the lease, the rent on the 113 Cullums of Pingal land is Rs. 22. as. 13. P. 6 and that on the 12 Cullums of Podoovul land at the rate of 3 annas per Cullum is 2 Rupees and 4 annas making a total of Rs. 25. as. 1. P. 6. The price of Straw. produced in the Poonjah lands in question is not included because it is damaged without paying any thing. The rent could not be deducted from the Profit in question because we pay according to the aforesaid Cowle Puttahs the sum of 35 Silver Pons and 3 Jannums or Rs. 35. as. 7. P. 1 the Assessment fixed on

on the Cowle Dhurmasanum Village Mandoor Cunney, Potter Cunney and the sum of 21 Rs. as. P. Silver Pons and 6 Jannums or 35. 7. 1 assessment of the said Nettam that Village as the Current year 1843 or Fusly 1253 has not ended the Rist of this Fusly is not included. We therefore beg that a Decree may be passed as requested in the 1st Paragraph causing the 1st Defendant to restore to us 113 Cullums of Poonjah land paying an annual produce in various kinds of grain to the value of Rupees 113 600 Cullums of Poonjah land realizing annually in various grains of Rupees 600 and 160 Cullums of Poonjah land convertible into 40 Kheys of Punjah land capable of paying annually in Paddy and Straw 612 Rupees and Annas 13 attached to the aforesaid 2 Cowle Dhurmasanum Villages in our possession and now under attachment and providing against the interference of the other Defendants in our preparing the Tanks and channels and in our cultivating

and

and enjoying the lands in question at our pleasure; and further directing the 1st Defendant to pay to us the sum of 205 Rupees and 3 annas being the Kist levied on the lands in question, and Kept to this time in deposit by the Circar.

The whole Amount of Suit is 1530 Rupees and 11 Annas.

18th February 1843.

Received on the 18th Idem.

/Signed/ Sivoomala.
Mootoopillay.
Native Register.

/Signed/ Soobaramanen
" / Venkatasooben alias Dhorasawmy Ivengr.

Filed 22d February 1843.

/Signed/ W. Douglas
Judge.

/True Translate/
/Signed/ W. Elliot
Sub Collector in Charge.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(37-38)

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of

of Mr. William Elliot's letter of the 27th Ultimo, and request that you will state your view of the Suit therein mentioned; and if you are of opinion that it should be defended on behalf of Government that you will submit a Draft of the Answer which you would propose to file.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th August 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secy.

Read the following Letters.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39)

From A. W. Blair Esqre. Principal Collector of Canara.

Sir,

Replying to the Board's letter of the 22d Sept. last & submitting his opinion of the impracticability of making any modification of the existing Official Establishments of the Mangalore Taluk.

I have now the honor to reply to the letter from your Office dated 22d September last, suggesting, (with reference to my letter of the 3d idem, recommending an increase to the Police force at Mangalore) that some modification of the existing Official Establishment of

1704- Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

in order to supply the Police wants of the Town without impairing the efficiency of the Department to be reduced.-

of the Talook, should be effected, in order that provision might be made for the Police wants of the Town, without any augmentation of charge.-

2. With reference to the observations in para 2 of the Board's letter on the number of Peons under the Moolky Peishcar, I beg leave to observe that the duties performed by the Peishcars in this District are precisely the same as those of the Tahsildars. The jurisdiction of the Moolky Peishcar extends over 12 out of 29 Meganies or subdivisions of the Talook, and the number of Peons attached to his Division are barely sufficient for executing the processes in the numerous Revenue and Police Cases disposed of by him. The number of Servants allowed to assist the Peishcar in the discharge of his Revenue and Police business are two moorshies and considering the work done by this Officer for the last 3 years, as shewn in the Margin it can scarcely be thought too large an Establishment.

	1249	1250	1251
	1839/40	1840/41	1841/42
Revenue Arzees	413	487	667
Police ditto	257	260	254
Sunday Revenue Correspondence	3399	3515	4130
Do Police Do	1231	1209	1368
Cases investigated & disposed Vizt	226	255	271
Police and Revenue Returns	67	67	67

The

1705- Fort St. George 11th August 1843.-

3. The Tahsildar's Cutchery in which the Police Ameen also holds his Office is about a Mile distant from the Cutwalls Choultry. To place the various parties who are daily brought up for examination before the former Officers under Custody at the Cutwalls Choultry, besides being liable to other objections, would be attended with considerable inconvenience and delay in the despatch of business, and the escorts which would be continually required to accompany the prisoners and Witnesses to and from Enquiry, would take as many Peons as are now employed as a guard at the Talook Cutchery.-

4. Out of the 145 Peons in the Mangalore Talook, as noticed by the Board, 48 are exclusively employed in the three Departments of Salt Tobacco, and Customs. There remains 97 Peons in the Revenue and Police Departments to which 6 out of the Honore Remittance Silladdy occasionally Stationed at Mangalore being added makes a total of 103 available

Revenue Peons	47	
Police Do	50	
Salt Do	14	
Tobacco Do	9	
& Customs Do	25	
	145	
Add out of Honore Remittance Silladdy stationed in this Talook when not otherwise employed.	6	
	151	

for

Fort St. George 10th August 1813.

for the Revenue and Police Duties of the Taloks

5. The Statement in the Margin exhibits the manner in which the above number of Peons are distributed. The Taloks of Mangalore consists of 29 Magannies in each of which one Peon is necessary both during the collection of the Rents, and in the season of cultivation it being part of his duty to see that the several pieces Ground Rent are duly cultivated. There are five Police Stations in the Taloks; the number of Peons employed at each of which is shewn in the Margin. With the nature of the employment of these Peons the Board must be well aware, and it is scarcely necessary to observe that the number at each Station is not more than sufficient for the

	Revenue Peons	Police Peons	Total Peons
29 Magannies Peon each Magany	29	"	29
Treasury Guard	6	"	6
Police Guard	"	6	6
5 Cutchburdies	8	6	14
Police Omeen	1	6	7
Peishcar of Mookky	"	10	10
Cutwal	"	20	20
Tahsildars Cutchery	9	2	11
	53	50	103.

Mookky — 3
Swathul — 3
Mangalore - 3
Perril — 3
Goofsoor — 2
14

Fort St. George 10th August 1813.

the various duties required of them especially in a difficult County like Canara, intersected in every direction by Hills, and Rivers and where the principal peoples of property are located each on his own Estate often at a distance from any other habitation. A separate guard for the Treasury I consider absolutely necessary as it would not be possible for one and the same guard to watch over it as well as the records, and the various property lodged in the Cutchery and at the same time to give due attention to the Prisoners who are necessarily confined in a different part of the Cutchery from that in which the Treasury is situated.

6. Of the necessity of the Police guard, and of the Peons at the Mookky Cutchery, I have already stated my opinion.

7. The Peons attached to the Office of the Police Omeen of the Town are employed in executing the various processes issued by him, and are certainly not more than are required for the duty they also assist in the nights duty of the Town.

P

8 Of the 11 peons attached to the Tahsildar Cutchery, 6 are occasionally required to be sent to Honore to escort Treasure from the Northern Division Treasury and there is at all times abundant employment for the whole number on the various duties devolved upon this Officer. The extent of the ordinary Revenue and Police duties discharged by the Tahsildar and Police Ameen of the Mangalore Taluk is shewn in the Memorandum in the margin; but at a large Station like this where there is a considerable Military force without any Commissariat Officer or Military Bazar besides the ordinary business, there must always be numerous incidental duties in which the services of the Peons are required.

	Tahsildar			Police		
	Revenue and Police			Ameen		Police
	1249	1257	1251	1249	1259	1251
Revenue Wujeed	1487	1883	1753	"	"	"
Police Do	792	745	688	505	500	335
Sundry Police correspondence with Subordinates	18285	18816	24838	1906	1934	1019
Cases investigated and disposed of &c	490	611	521	715	639	521
Returns	269	273	274	27	72	72

9 Of the nine Duffadars adverted to by the Board, three are employed in the Departments of Salt, Tobacco and Sea Customs where their services are indispensable for the prevention of depredation and smuggling. Three others are employed at the Cutcheries of Moolky, Arcool and Gonpoor, one is stationed at the Cutwals Choultry and the remaining two are attached to the Taluk Cutchery, one of whom is required to accompany the remittances from the Honore Treasury and the presence of the other is always necessary in procuring the attendance of parties and furnishing supplies &c.

10 With respect to the other Departments of the Mangalore Taluk Establishment alluded to in para 3 of the Board's letter I beg to observe that of the 6 Moonshies, two are employed under the Moolky Cutchery, as before mentioned, one is attached to the Police Peons Office, and the remaining three, and the two Duffer bundy one of whom has charge of the Revenue and Police Records of the Cutchery

are

Fort St George 11th August 1843

are employed under the Talsildar.

11. The quantity of work performed by the Talsildar, has already been shown, and I do not hesitate to say that it would be impossible for this Officer to get through the Multifarious duties of his Office with a less establishment than that now allowed. The Board have also remarked on there being two Sheriffs in the Revenue Department: one of whom I beg to state, is exclusively employed in the Land Revenue, and Salt Collections brought to the Talook Treasury. The assistant Sheriff on a Salary of 6 Rupees, receives and examines the Tobacco collections, which exceed 40000 Rupees per Annum, he also assists the Head Sheriff when from any press of business, he requires aid.—

12. Having lately in making a report to the Finance Committee had occasion to review minutely the different establishments in the other Talooks of the Province I am not of opinion that any reduction can with propriety be made at present in any of them.

Fort St George 11th August 1843.

fully anticipate, however, that when the Road now in progress through the Sopah Talook, to the southern Mahratta frontier is completed a considerable reduction may safely be made in the Police Sibbendy now entertained in the Balaghaut Division.—

13. I have already stated in my letter to the Board of the 3d September last, that after the restrictive orders of Government relative to any increase of expenditure not absolutely necessary in the finances of the state was removed my intention to have proposed for any addition to the Police Establishment of Mangalore, until the present pressure and it was only in consequence of Government having adverted to the subject, in reply to the report of the Provincial Court of the Western Division that I was induced to submit the application contained in my letter above mentioned.—

Minutes of Consn dated 6 July 1844.

14. As the inefficiency of the present Police force in this large and populous Town has been fully admitted

Fort St George 10th August 1813-

admitted it is unnecessary to add any thing further to what has been already stated on that point, I must beg leave to observe, however, that if it should not at present be deemed expedient to make any addition to the Police establishment which would involve the necessity of an increased expenditure, it would in my opinion be very unwise to reduce any of the other Departments for the sake of strengthening the Police, as from what I have stated above, it will I think be evident, that no such reduction can be made without impairing the efficiency of the Department reduced.-

15- Crime I am happily to say has not increased in the town of Mangalore and I feel it but justice to mention, that this is to be attributed, in a great measure, to the able and zealous exertions of the joint Magistrate Mr Forsyth, who has for the last nine Months had the superintendence of this portion of the Police, in addition to his other duties. I think it right, however at the same time to state, that owing to the excessive hard duty

Fort St George 10th August 1813-

duty the Town Police Peons are required to perform, none but men of the lowest description can be procured for this service and that faults which under other circumstances would be passed are necessarily obliged to be overlooked-

16- I will only further observe that in recommending an additional Peshcar for the Mangalore Police, I was with the view of establishing a separate Tannah on the opposite quarter of the Town to that in which the Cutcherry is now stationed, a measure which I consider would be

11716. Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

be advisable in the event of any increase to the Police force being allowed, which would admit of such an arrangement. The appointment of a Peishcar, however might be dispensed with for the present by the employment of one of the oldest and steadiest of the Talook Peons as the Head of the party at the new Tannah.

Post Office Cutcherry, Mangalore, 27th July 1843.

Signed H. M. Blair, Pt. Coll.

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again on Circulation

39-40

To

11715 Fort St. George 10th August 1843

To, C. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Bord of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)

Sir,

From H. M. Blair Esqr. Pt. Coll. of Canara — Para 1.

In reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 3d ultimo I have now the honor to submit the arrangements that have been made in the Talook of Bekul, for completing the withdrawal of Government interference in the affairs of the Religious Institutions of this District.

†Statement No. 1.

‡No. 2 An Abstract Statement also accompanies this letter exhibiting at one view the arrangements effected for the entire District.

2 With respect to Para 5 of the letter from the Chief Secretary of the 12th and the Proceedings of the Board thereon, of the 24th of June 1841. I beg leave to observe that as the fitness and competency of the Trustees nominated to the charge of each Institution has been duly ascertained, the amount of the deposits in my Treasury, as reported in my letter of the 18th March 1843, may, in my opinion, be safely made over to the administrators of the Institutions to which it respectively belongs. In no instance does the deposit amount to any large sum; and there appears to be no objection to its being transferred at once to the charge of the several Trusts, which will render complete the disseverance of the Government connection with the native Religious Institutions. I therefore request the Instructions of the Board on this point.

Para 3

3 With respect to para 2 of the Boards proceedings under acknowledgement, the information regarding the Seven Pagodas in the Talooks of Udapy, Barcoor and Cundapoor, is now furnished in the accompanying paper No. 3.

†No. 11 & 12
‡No. 1 & 15
*No. 1-345

Pt. Coll. Cutcherry, Mangalore, 2nd August 1843.

Signed H. M. Blair, Pt. Coll.

Statement.

11716-

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement of Native Religious Institutions in the Talo
of the property of each and the number of Trustees f

No.	Mogannies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native worship	Amount of annual Jusdick
			Mauthbur Devastanums	Pl a p
1	Mograul	Mohdur	Vinaik Deo	600 - -
2	D:	Cannoor	Ananta Padmanaba	408 - -
3	Coombla	Tulka	Gopala Kistna	201 - -
4	Manjaseer	Aylah	Doorga Parmeshery	280 - -

10th August 1843

of Bekul shewing the annual allowance and Estimated value
their respective Management.-

Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda.	Names of the proposed Trustees for each institution.	Value of Perin of each property individual
Pl a p		Pl a p Pl a p
4,24,0 8 7	Trustee - 1 Ramunt urs-00 Rajah of Coombla Founder of the institution, the affairs of which have long been under the Management of the Rajah - - -

1717-

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

No.	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institutions of Native worship	Amount of annual Jusdeck Rs a p	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda Rs a p	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution		Value of property	Bevy of each Individual
								Rs A-P	Rs A-P
5	Nettimyay	Cusbah	Mahalingashera	140--		" " Ex officio Trustees	1. Adigah Keekoonayah Narainah 2. Oopady Kanakatoddy Narrainah 3. Do Yeddamogur Shunkara 4. Do Koloorayah Vajsoodavah 5. Stainka Shunkaranarrainah		
6	Vittel	Do	Panchalingashera	400--	4,783 15 1	Trustee	1. Narswam Hegodeh the Pagoda belonging to his family, the affairs of which have long been managed by him	35,000--	1,245--
7	Addoor	Do	Mahalingasherd	800--	9,000--	Trustee	1. Ramunt Ursoo Rajah the affairs of this institution have been long under the Manage-ment of the Rajah. The Pagoda moreover belongs to his Caste	1,50,000--	2000--
8	Mogarnaud	Kadesivaliah	Narsimva	1,140 12 11	3,063 7 3	Ex Officio Trustee Co trustees	1 Adiga Melsanty Padmanabha Bhutta 2 Do Hilsanty Kristna Boypaddy tayah 3 Do Garrad Kristnayah 4 Do Rayat-Dejah Bunga 5 Do Nurrycomb Kristappa 6 Do Moguroy Ramapah 7 Potail Timapoo Packela		
9	Ambebola	Koornaud	Somanatha	285--	2,679 6	Ex Officio Trustees. Co trustees	1 Adiga Melsanty Sunkra Karanta 2 Patally Hallabatta 3 Stanika Venaatasha 4 Pl Rayet Tekunyah Mahdew Batta 5 do Koornaud Ayt Charry		
		Total		2149 12 11	25,371 14 8				

11718

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

No.	Mogaries	Villages	Designation of the Institutions of Native worship	Amount of annual Jusdeen	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution.
				Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Petty Pagodas or Devastanums receiving above 5 Rupees					
1	Netinagay	Eswera Mangal. Puncha Linguesswera		120 -	334 9 .	Trustees 1 St. Rayet Coonjethaya Sankar Narrainah 2 do Seevratoddy Timmapiey 3 do Goetniah Venkatta 4 do Menal Deranah 5 do do Nursinga
2	Netinagay	Adoor	Vistnoo Mooty	60 -	. . .	Ex officio Trustees 1 Ospady Vistnoo Bhatta 2 Do Budakilaya Kristna 3 Adiga Nittakattala Vistnoo Batta
3	Do	Madnoor	Cumarmangal Soobraya	64 -	31 8 -	Ex officio Trustees 1 Ospady Vasdevah 2 Stainka Narrainah 3 Adiga Ramayah
4	Do	Peraddal	Podeadswera	72 -	92 - -	Ex officio 1 Adiga Vistnoo Kolatayah 2 Stainka Vistnoo Co trustees 3 Pt. Rayet Moo-chapa arsoo
5	Do	Aobrangala	Maha Deva	60 -	4 8 -	Ex officio 1 Adiga Ramayah 2 Stainka Venkatesh Eswera Co trustees 3 Pt. Rayet Coonacoolaya Vistnoo
6	Do	Korladage	Gopsadd Doorga Devi	88 -	64 - -	Ex officio 1 Adiga Koonjitayah Vistnoo 2 Stainka Eswara Co trustees 3 Pt. Rayet Vasdeva Amma-nagah

11/19

Fort St George

10th August 1803

No	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institutions of native worship	Amount of annual Jus deah	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda		Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution	Value of Property	Berij of each Individual	Berij of a Potails division
				Rs a p	Rs a p			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
7	Mogarnaud	Nurrecomb	Luximy Narsimram	196 . 5	70 . 7	Ex officio Trustees	1 Adiga Kristna Batta			
8	D:	Nettila	Sadasiva	142 12 9	200 . .	Ex officio Trustees	1 Adiga Kristnayah 2 Stainka Narsivam 3 Ospady Shunkapah 4 D: Padmanabatta			
						Co trustees	5 Potail Neltila Narraina			
9	D:	Moodroot	Doorga Parmashiveri	56 . .	250 . .	Ex officio Trustees	1 Ospady Narsimva Butta 2 D: Dufs Butta 3 Stanika Narsimva			
10	D:	Punemangalore	Anuntashwera Bhusty	52 . .	105 . .	Ex officio Trustees Co trustees	1 Poojaree Padmacindra 2 Pt Rayet Parshetty 3 Potail Apoo Bunga			
11	Arkaddy	Koonpatoor	Mahadevoroo	80 . .	64 . .	Ex officio Trustees	1 Adiga Apanah Allah 2 Stainka Vistnoo 3 D: Appayah			
12	D:	Kollicoor	Sankra Narrainah	200 . .	5,107 . .	Ex officio Trustees Co Trustees	1 Ospady Soobra Kurranta 2 Potail Sankanna Batta 3 d: Harry Batta	6,155 . 10 2,600 . .	264 3 5 154 3 4	1725 2 5 1701 . .
13	D:	Patoor	Sooreshever	72 . .	109 . .	Ex officio trustees	1 Adigah Krista Batta 2 Ospady Heshwa 3 Potail Mungannah alvah.			

11720-

Fort St George

10th August 1803

No	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institutions of native worship	Amount of annual Jusdeek	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs a o	Rs a o	
14	Arkady	Cusbah	Soobraya	120 . .	1336 12 10	Ex officeis trustees 1. Adigah Soobray Butta 2 Aopady Dofsa Botta 3 Dº Anuntha Bhutta
15	Dº	Dº	Koolalajey Amahna: Varoo	60 . .	331 8 .	Trustees 1 Pl Rayet Timmap Mellanta 2 dº Soonkoo Mellanta 3 Potail Sunkar Naika
16	Vittle	Bajar	Punchalinga	72 . .	678 3 3	Ex officeis Trustees 1 Aopady Keshwa Bhutta 2 dº Ayalathala Vafsdeva Co trustees 3 Pl Rayet Seryankil Narna Bhutta 4 dº Perwaddy Munyunnah 5 Potail Krist Butta
17	Holanaad	Cusbah	Gopal Krista	160 . .	. . .	Ex Officeis Trustees 1 Oodipy Astta Muta Swamy
18	Sujapah	Kundawur	Vinaika	72 . .	174 . .	Dº Dº 1 Aopady Surkara Nayah 2 dº Venketnarrainnah Co trustees 3 Pl Rayet Mahabalagah
19	Pudvanaad	Pullikereh	Triambakeshwara	96 . .	338 . .	Ex officis trustees 1 Adeya Kristna 2 Stanika Soobanah Kristna Co trustees 3 Pl Rayet Jayenoor Coomiah

11720-

Fort St George

10th August 1803

№	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institutions of Native worship	Amount of annual Jusdeek	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs a p	Rs a p	
14	Orkady	Cusbah	Soobraya	120 . .	1336 12 10	Ex officio trustees 1. Adigah Soobray Butta 2 Aopady Dofsa Butta 3 Dº Anuntha Bhutta
15	Dº	Dº	Hoctalajey Amahna: Veero	60 . .	331 8 .	Trustees 1 Pl Rayet Timmap Mellanta 2 dº Soonkoo Mellanta 3 Potail Sunkar Naika
16	Vittle	Bajar	Punchalinga	72 . .	678 3 3	Ex officio Trustees 1 Aopady Heshwa Bhutta 2 dº Ayalathala Vafsdeva Co trustees 3 Pl Rayet Seyankil Narna Bhutta 4 dº Perwaddy Munjunnah 5 Potail Krist Butta
17	Kolanaad	Cusbah	Gopal Krista	160 . .		Ex Officio Trustees 1 Oodipy Astta Muta Swamy
18	Sujapah	Kundawur	Vinaika	72 . .	174 . .	Dº Dº 1 Opady Surkara Mayah 2 dº Venketnarrainnah Co trustees 3 Pl Rayet Mahabalagah
19	Pudvanaad	Pullikerch	Triambakeshwer	96 . .	338 . .	Ex officio trustees 1 Adega Kristna 2 Stanika Soobanah Kristna Co trustees 3 Pl Rayet Jayenoor Coomiah

11721.

Fort St George

№	Mogaries	Villages	Designation of the Institutions of native worship	Amount of annual Jussuk	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs a p	Rs A P	
20	Pudvanaad	Chenderguery	Shastam	96 - -	1628 8 -	Ese Officio Trustees — 1. Adiga Nursimiva Butta. 2 Stanika Sunkaya Kistna - Co. Trustee 3. Principal Rayet Tagenoor Coomiah
21	Cavenaad	Bundadka	Soobraya	60 - -	1000 - -	Ese Officio Trustees — 1- Adiga Krista Butta- 2- Stanikah Murianah Luxmayah. Co. Trustee 3. Potail Nundapah.
22	Augady Moger	Yemakajee	Sadashiva	56 - -	760 9 7	Ese Officio Trustees — 1 Adiga Poompitayah Vistnoo 2. Stanika Kuniah Mahii Co. Trustee 3 Potail Sooboonie.
23	D:	Kattkoorkeh	Soobraya	96 - -	1460 - -	Ese Officio Trustees — 1. Adigah Moodooraya Butta 2. Oopady Vapoo Butta 3. Stanika Eshwara
24	Paddy	Nekrajeh	Kinimani	80 - -	858 8 -	Ese Officio Trustees — 1. Oopady Poorjitayah Narainah 2. D: Kunnummah 3 D: Ladang Narrainah
25	D:	Cusbah	Gopal Kistna	96 - -	48 8 -	Trustee 1. Yeddaneer Mutta Swamy
26	D:	D:	Yeddneer Matta Shastam.	59 2 2	538 - -	Ditto 1 Ditto — Ditto
27	Talepady	D:	Doorga Parmeshwaree	103 1 7	4201 - -	Ese Officio Trustees — 1. Adigah Soobrayah Butta. 2. Oopady Kelsanty Sunkapah - Kurrantha 3. Stanika Soobayah.

15

10th August 1843

11722

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

№	Meganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of annual Jrs.dich	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs a P	Rs a P	
28	Cafsergode	Koodoloor	Venkutaramana	72 . .	208 . .	Trustee - 1 Principal Rayet Colytayah
29	Munjaseer	Sirgah	Sunkranarrayna	72 . .	442 . .	Exc. Officio Trustees - 1. Adiga Kil Santy Sunkaranarrainah 2. Stanika Eswara 3. Oopady Narrainah 4 Do Narrainah Kurranta
						Co-Trustee 5 Principal Ryet Jagapah
30	Ditto	Baddajeh	Mahalingashwera	144 . .	477 4 .	Exc. Officio Trustees - 1. Adiga Kilsanty Appanah 2 Oopady Soobrayah 3 Do Vapsadeva Tundry
31	Ditto	Moolingah	Sadashiva	80 . .	588 10 5	Exc. Officio Trustees - 1. Adiga Soobraya 2. Stanika Sunkra Narrainah 3 Do Sunkapoo
32	Ditto	Pievalekeh	Dawagalee	400 . .	3272 10 .	Trustee - 1 Principal Rayet Trimalrey Bullal
33	Mogiant	Pootoor	Bedraadaka Kiriny. Manick	80 . .	625 10 .	Exc. Officio Trustees 1. Adiga Ramapah 2. Oopady Suntah
						Co-Trustee 3. Principal Rayet Kristnaya
34	Bungar Munjaseer	Custah	Chendronata Basty	56 . .	53 8 .	Exc. Officio Trustees 1. Oopady Punjup Indriah 2 Do Pachoo Indriah
						Co-Trustee 3. Potail Kantoo Shetty

11723-

Fort St George

10th August 1843

No	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of Annual Pusdeck	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs A P	Rs A P	
35	Tycat	Oodeanoor	Chetrapaul	72 - -	8158	Exc Officio Trustees 1. Adiga Pudmangattayah 2. Stanika Ramah Co Trustee 3. Principal Rayet Pullatta Potta.
						Value of Property / Berij of each Individual
						Rs A P / Rs A P
36	Nalvatnaad	Adjanoor	Maddiah hota Chetra paul	120 - -	20700	Trustee 1 Do Maddianayer 20000 - - 244 - -
						2 Do Moolcherry Nair 5000 - - 50 - -
						3 Do Canakapillay 2500 - - 18 7 7
37	Neelaishwer	Punataddy	Perandattah Shastaum	64 - -	20 - -	Exc Officio Trustee 1 Adiga Kristna 2 Stanika Gopala Co Trustee 3. Principal Ryat Poolecherry Ramah
38	Ditto	Cusbah	Tally Shastaum	54 12 10	21 - -	Trustee 1. Ramah Wurmah Moonancoola. Founder of the Institution and the idol is especially worshipped by the Rajah's family.
				3707 14 9	53065 15 1	

11724

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

No.	Mogans	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of Annual Sussled	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda		Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs a p	Rs a p		
	Petty Pagodas whose allowances do not exceed 50 Rupees and for the management of which more than one Trustee is appointed.						
1	Vittel	Kopoo	Doorga Parmeshweri	12 " "	1353 7 2	Exe Officio Trustee	1 Adiga Soobroya
						Co Trustees	2 Principal Rayet Annup Shetty
							3 Do Gooly Butta
2	Ditto	Poonche	Doorga Parmeshweri	20 " "	205 " "	Trustees	1 Principal Rayet Krista Shetty
							2 Do Soobaya Sevagar
							3 Potail Munjanah
3	Ditto	Voketoor	Mullaray Boota	8 " "	59a 9 7	Exe Officio Trustee	1 Adiga Ammanah
						Co Trustees	2 Principal Gootinah Manjanah
							3 Potail Balajeerow
4	Ditto	Saletoor	Mullaray Boota	12 8 "	1275 " "	Exe Officio Trustee	1 Poojary Cochoory
						Co Trustees	2 Principal Rayet Munjanalwah
							3 Potail Soobay Shanbogue
5	Ditto	Pudivanoor	Mullaray Boota	30 " "	1278 1 8	Exe Officio Trustees	1 Poojary Nurna Butta
							2 Oopady Keshwa Butta
						Co Trustees	3 Potail Dassana Probo
							4 Principal Rayet murry Butta
							5 Do anta Shetty
6	Ditto	Bayar	Mullaray Boota	18 8 "	325 4 10	Exe Officio Trustees	1 Adiga Vassoodeo Butta
							2 Oopady Keshwa Butta
						Co Trustee	3 Potail Krista Butta

11725

Fort St. George

10th August 1843

No.	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of Annual Jusdock	Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs a p	Rs a p	
7	Vittel	Canneana	Mullaray Boota	10 0 0	1557 12 0	Ex Officio Trustee: 1 Adiga Goobee Co-Trustees: 2 Principal Rayet Cumpoor Gunapa Bhut 3 Do Pullagooly shem Butta
8	Ditto	Carapaddy	Mullaray Boota	40 0 0	3132 0 0	Trustees: 1 Principal Rayet Eswara Butta 2 Do Sayeda Sunkana 3 Do Kessow Butta
9	Mogumaad	Godita Mazel Guilagunjiltayah		4 0 0	181 0 0	Ex Officio Trustee: 1 Poojary Appayah Co Trustees: 2 Principal Rayet Vasoo Koodvah 3 Do Vycoont Proboo
10	Ditto	Harebett	Goodechanundy Boota	8 0 0	150 14 0	Ex Officio Trustee: 1 Poojaree Deoo Co Trustees: 2 Potail Perjeh Vencapa 3 Do Pudma Poorney
11	Ditto	Kedil	Daivagaloo	8 0 0	343 14 5	Ex Officio Trustee: 1 Poojary Narsinga Co Trustees: 2 Principal Rayet Vestnoo Butta 3 Potail Sinnanah

1726.

Fort St. George

No.	Hoganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of Annual Sudeck
				Rs A P
12	Hogurnaad	Kalkerah	Istta Deoty	4 " "
13	Ditto	Boreinar	Kuntayah	4 " "
14	Ditto	Baltila	Ajoor Daivagalo	40 " "
15	Ditto	Killanji	Ullally	9 " "
16	Netinagay	Perdal	Vistnoo Moortee	21 " "
17	Arkaddy	Munjanadee	Vistnoo Moortee Deo	21 " "

10th August 1813.

Estimated Value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
Pn. A. P	
118 4 "	Ex officio Trustee 1 Poojary Billa Timmah Co Trustees 2 Potail 3 Pn. Royel Venkoo (female)
630 13 7	Ex Officio Trustee 1 Poojary Goodda Co Trustees 2 Potail Timmana Butta 3 Pn. Rayel Padma Doorvany
847 12 10	Ex Officio Trustee 1 Poojary Vajsoo Deva Co Trustees 2 Potail Venkupah 3 Pn. Rayel Dejoo Bunga
530 " "	Ex Officio Trustee 1 Poojary Timmapa Shetty Co Trustees 2 Potail Esswara Butta 3 Pn. Rayel Ooparge Narrana
32.5 " "	Ex Officio Trustees 1 Adiga Venketeshwara Butta 2 Oopady Vistnoo Co Trustees 3 Potail Vistnoo Buttee
190 "	Ex officio Trustees 1 Oopady Sivoo Butta 2 Stanika Kishwa Khristna Co Trustee 3 Pn. Rayel Perjeh Govinda Butta

11/727-

Fort St. George

10th August 1813

No.	Meganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of Annual Jusdeih	Estimated Value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
18	Padoomaleh	Padaganoor	Vistnoo Moortee	36 " "	92 " "	Ex officio Trustees — 1 Stanika Lutchimayah 2 Do. Soobrayah Co. Trustee — 3 Pl. Rayet Roonjitaya Kristnaya
19	Paddy	Moolliar	Doorga Parmeshwaree	16 " "	4390 12 "	Ex Officio Trustee — 1 Adiga Piloo Butta Co Trustees — 2 Pl. Rayet Mullaida Tumayah 3 Potail Bulloollayah
20	Ditto	Kurradaka	Doorga Parmeshwaree	22 " "	1679 8 "	Ex Officio Trustee — 1 Adiga Venkutramanah Co Trustees — 2 Pl. Rayet Murrandattee Amboo 3 Do. Nundapah Bullab
21	Ditto	Ditto	Mahdeo	12 " "	303 " "	Ex Officio Trustees — 1 Oopady Sunkapah 2 Do. Hedalayah Sunkapah 3 Stanika Soobayah
22	Ditto	Ditto	Mahdeo	24 " "	922 " "	Ex officio Trustees — 1 Adiga Vassoodevah 2 Stanika Heswah 3 Do. Sunkapah

11/28

Fort St. George

No.	Meganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of annual Tusdeek
				Rx. A. P.
23	Coomblah	Kedoor	Mahdeo	48 " "
24	Cafsergode	Koodal	Mahdeo	40 " "
25	Balepony	Cusbah	Caddkookey nair Beeta	10 " "
26	Padvonaad	Calliotta	Buggawaty	1 9 7
27	Munjaseer	Oodiawer	Dairagaloo	24 " "

10th August 1813

Estimated Value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution		Value of Property	Share of each Individual	Share of a Patil division
Rx. A. P.			Rx. A. P.	A. P.	A. P.
44 62 4	Ex Officio Trustees	1 Adiga Sham Butta			
		2 Manika Ramayah			
	Co Trustees	3 Pt. Rayet Narnatuntree	600 " "	8 " "	" " "
		4 Dt. Nurna Hebra	390 " "	79 13 7	" " "
		5 Potail Timmanah	2,390 " "	137 2 "	1510 3 3
20 " "	Ex Officio Trustees	1 Adiga Ramanah			
		2 Manika Kittapoo			
	Co Trustee	3 Pt. Rayet Colly Koonitayah			
2760 4 "	Trustees	1 Dt. Sham Butta			
		2 Dt. Timmay Gumbirah			
		3 Potail Munjoobandary			
350 " "	Trustees	1 Pt. Rayet Calliot Ramd			
		2 Dt. Collar yemboo			
		3 Potail Abaddka Chendoo			
927 12 7	Trustees	1 Pt. Rayet Munjoo Bundaree			
		2 Dt. Vafsoodeva Aytalah			
		3 Dt. Vistnoo Butta			

No. 6.

11729

Fort St. George

10th August 1813.

No.	Mogannies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Natives of Worship.	Amount of Annual Endowment.	Estimated Value of the property in each Pagoda.	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution.
				Rs. As. P.		
28	Bungar Munjaseer	Cusbah	Caroleh Goota Umah	1 8	764 8 "	Trustees — 1 Pl. Rayet Munjapa Karnava 2 Dº Packery Yedda Balchumpadda 3 Potail Kantoo Shetty
29	Cavenaad	Bunddadka	Bugavati	12 12	1025 " "	Ex Officio Trustee — 1 Adiga Reshwa Butta Co-Trustees — 2 Potail Canal Chendoo 3 Dº " Nundapah
30	Talapady	Cusbah	Mallaray Boota	8 0	2719 " 5	Trustees — 1 Pl. Rayet Timma Maddo 2 Dº Appay Shetty 3 Dº Anta Bydeah 4 Dº Doomah 5 Potail Munjah addantayah
31	Addoor	Kanakamajel	Shastaun	11 3	" " "	Trustees — 1 Pl. Rayet Shembayah 2 " Dº Mogareddy Sivayah 3 Dº Ejapaddy Mootayah
32	Alvatnaad	Ajanoor	Vistnoo Moorte	6 "	3030 " "	Ex officio Trustees — 1 Adiga Narrainah 2 Stanka Vistnoo Kistna Co Trustees — 3 Pl. Rayet Cratta Vistnoo
33	Ditto	Poloor	Vistnoo Moorte	10 10	1534 " "	Ex officio Trustee — 1 Adiga Vasoodeva Butta Co Trustees — 2 Pl. Rayet Govinda Narrainah 3 Dº Barikoddatayah

11730-

Fort St. George

10th August 1843.

No.	Moganies	Villages	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship	Amount of Annual Fus Leik	Estimated Value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution	Value of Property	Berij't paid Adiga Adhikaloja	Berij't paid Adiga Adhikaloja	Berij't to Potails division
				R. A P.	R. A P.		R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.
34	Tycab	Cheravaloor	Veerabudrah	38 " "	526 " "	Ex Officio Trustees 1 Adiga Adhikaloja 2 Oopady Podatty Mahar 3 Pleud Pallatla Hootta Co: Trustee	10,000 " "	700	10	1212 " 6
35	Ditto	Kilayskote	Veerabudrah	12 " "	315 " "	Ex Officio Trustee 1 Pattady Punnuru Kimah Co Trustees 2 P.e Rayet Kokaib Jayah 3 D.o Peticodie Pallatla Hootta				
36	Nelaishwer	Punaladdy	Bugavoti	11 3 2	1235 " "	Ex Officio Trustee 1 Adiga Krista Butla Co Trustees 2 P.e Rayet Toolcherry Ramah 3 D.o Hattoor Hunmah				
37	Ditto	Ditto	Mahadeo	20 " "	1126 " "	Ex Officio Trustee 1 Adiga Seralaya Kristna Co Trustees 2 P.e Rayet Neelaishwer Mootasoo 3 D.o Toolcherry Ramah				
38	Ditto	Kinanoor	Kiraleshwer	6 " "	4,150 " "	Trustees 1 P.e Rayet Conamkoospoo 2 D.o Mattlat Cocamkoospoo 3 D.o chiga Goonamkelso	1178 " " 516 " " 162 8 "	5 " "	10 " "	5 11 7 11 2

11731-

Fort St. George

No.	Moganies	Villages.	Designation of the Institution of Native Worship.	Amount of Annual Sudeek.
				Rs. A. P.
39	Nelaishwer	Podookay	Sadashiva	16 " "
40	Ditto	Maddaky	Vistnoo Moortee	10 " "
				673 3 2
303	Petty Pagodas entrusted to the Management of Single Trustees being the Poojaries of the Institutions			3,150 13 8
			Total Petty Pagodas	7,531 15 7
381				
1			Mutts	6 " "
66			Mosques	101 3 14 5
457				12,831 10 10
5	Bechirac or Lapses to Government			10 97
462			Grand Total	12,842 1 5

10th August 1843.

Estimated value of the property in each Pagoda	Names of the proposed Trustees for each Institution.	Value of Property	Annual Sudeek.
			Rs. A. P.
4150 " "	Trustees 1 Pr. Ryot Eyekode Coniamkoorpoo.	625 " "	9 7 2
	2 Dr. Kinnaver Coniamkoorpoo	1178 " "	5 10 5
	3 Dr. Cherakerchamboo	5000 " "	240 " "
100 " "	Ex Officio Trustees-5 1 Adiga Hakatta Pattery		
	2 Oopady Keshow Pattery		
	3 Dr. Narain Pattery		
59,607 15 10			
2,564 4 1			
14,29,183 " "			
" " "	Under the management of the Presiding priests or Hereditary Superintendents.		
3,146 3 3			
17,14,436 4 11			
" " "			
17,14,436 4 11			

Signed W. Mc. Blair
Print. Coll.

Abstract.

11732-

Fort St. George 10th August 1843

Abstract of the Arrangements effected in the withdrawal of Government interference in the affairs of the Native Religious Institutions in the Zillah of Canara.

	Description	Number for each Pagoda	Number Total	Trustees Amount of Inusdeek	Amount of Property	Remarks
				Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Institutions in receipt of Tusdeek above 50 Rupees each.					
7	Mathobar	1	7	32 66 -	25679 -	1
72	Ditto	3	72	7083 8 10	23661 10 5	
96	Ditto	5	180	2050 5 12 3	94417 8 1	
14	Ditto	7	98	237 63 10 -	29459 8 4	
1	Ditto	8	8	8400 - -	20000 - -	
1	Ditto	9	9	915 11 10	7161 3 -	
83			374	6393 7 13 11	643278 13 11	
15	Chillar	1	15	1836 - -	58563 3 7	
188	Ditto	3	564	19170 4 3 9	0239 1 8½	
23	Ditto	5	115	4091 7 11	52638 11 -	
2	Ditto	7	14	530 12 5	1563 - -	
228			708	25538 9 -	230094 - 3½	
311			1082	89476 6 11	873372 14 2½	
	Institutions in receipt of Tusdeek not exceeding 50 Rupees each.					
2871	Chillar	1	2871	29756	1 9104600 9 4	
156	Ditto	3	468	2739 - -	511767 10 -	
7	Ditto	5	35	151 7 2	13031 14 10	
3034			3374	32646 9 4	234900 2 2	
158	Mullums		164	4018 12	63858 2 10	
135	Mosques		160	6135 13 7	35902 7 3	
3638			4780	132247 10 1	4115723 10 5½	
21	Lapses to Government			111 19		
3659				132388 12 1		

/Signed/ H. W. Blair
Print. Coll.r

Statement

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Statement shewing the circumstances of the principal Rayels selected as Co. trustees of certain Pagodas in the Talook of Udapy Barcoor, and Coondapoor.

Udapy Talook
No. 11 Visheveshwar Theo.

Three Trustees have been appointed to this Pagoda, the property of which is estimated at Rupees 5523-7-8 and the Sudick Amounts to Rupees 1200—a—u. Two of the trustees the first and third, have been selected from among the Servants of the Institution, and the second as being an influential head man of the Village. He pays revenue to Government amounting to Rupees 379-14-10, and is possessed of property estimated at Rupees 4200. He was during the former Governments a petty Chief of the entire Mogany of Ellore, in which this Pagoda is situated. the annual Assessment of which amounted to Rupees 16687-1-3. The Ryots of the Pagoda Servants, have unanimously solicited that he might be appointed by Government Co-trustees, as being capable of checking all frauds on the parts of the rest. He has moreover always hitherto exercised a superintendence over the affairs of this and some other mo: nor Institutions, which are supposed to have been originally founded by his Ancestors.

1 Adiga Moorty Bhutta
2 Coonda Hegade
3 Kilshanti Venkutrau mana.

The

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No. 12 Vizr. Chudra Theo.

The allowance received by this Institution is Rupees 240-10-5 per Annum, and the value of the property estimated at Rupees 23721—a—u—

1 Huckdar Alla Hegade
2 Ditto Conte Hegade
3 Shanbogue Seeta Rama
4 Adiga Rungaya
5 Ditto Venkutra ramana.

Five persons have been named as trustees for the management of its affairs. Three of whom are Officers of the Pagoda, and the other two have been selected from among the influential rayels. The two latter are considered Huckdars or heirs of the Idol, as their Ancestors are supposed to have built and endowed the Pagoda. They have been independent Managers of the affairs of the Pagoda until the present transfer, when three of the Pagoda Servants have been united with them in the trust.

One of them Alla Hegade pays a revenue of 100 Rupees, and his property amounts to Rupees 500, while the other pays to Government Rupees 4212 and his property is valued at Rupees 4000.

Barcoor No. 1: Soomnath.

The annual Sudeek granted to this Pagoda amounts to Rupees 720. The property belonging to it is equal in value to Rupees 5556-14-u Two of the Trustees appointed are head Villagers. the others belonging to the Pagoda Establishments. Both of the former are Rayels. one possess property to the amount of Rupees 10000 and pays a

1 Adiga Vittha Shastry.
2 Upadi Manjoo: Bhutt
3 Shanbogue Gairyana
4 Potail Seeta Ra: mia
5 Sunapshetty

rent

* Sonap Shetty

rent to Government of Rupees 1140-11-7 the property of the other is valued at Rupees 2280 and he pays to the Circar Rupees 317-a-a. As the Pagoda Servants now named trustees, did not possess sufficient influence among the Villagers, it was thought necessary for the better management of the Pagoda affairs, that these two Patails should be united with them in the trust.-

No. 2 Amount Rudmanal Dhes.

The annual Sundeek of this Institution is Rupees 1,004-15-7 and the property

+
1 Adiga Ananta
2 Upadi Subroya Bhutt
3 Hania Moshya, Pranda
4 Hunter Ra
5 Nelli Narayapa

is estimated at about 5000 Rupees. Seven Trustees have been appointed to the management of its affairs three of them are Pagoda Servants, and the two others head men of the place. Ramapah pays a revenue of Rupees 74-9-2 a P. and possesses property to the amount of Rupees 800. Narayapa pays to the Circar Rs 108-10-10 a P. and possesses property Amounting to Rs 920. The same reasons which induced the nomination of co-trustees in the case of the preceding Pagoda, have also rendered advisable the appointment of co-trustees to this Institution.

Cundapoor
No. 1 Hebbing Dhes.

This institution receives an annual allowance of Rupees 2,680-a-a and possesses property

+
1 Adiga Ramapa
2 Upadi Venkatrawana aitalla also Bhatt
3 Adiga Kotestwar aitalla
4 Santhie Shivaya aitalla
5 Upadi Rama aitalla
6 Dr Poolia Elapa
7 Nemmaya.

to the value of Rupees 3580-11-10 Of the seven trustees named for its management six are Pagoda Servants, the seventh being a principal payet of the Village in which

it is situated. The property possessed by him amounts in value to Rupees 400 and the amount of assessment paid by him to Rupees 41. 8. 2. While the affairs of the Institution were managed by the Circar, a Monegar was appointed for its superintendence, and this appointment having long continued in Sam: a mayas family he has been fully acquainted with its internal management, and as he possesses greater influence than other persons in the Village, his nomination was considered necessary for the due management and care of the Pagoda.-

No. 3 Mallinguer Dhes.

The Sundeek granted to this Pagoda is Rupees 2,246-12-9 a. P. the property belonging to it is estimated at Rupees 25,500-

+
1 Adiga Runga Bolla
2 Dr Rama aitalla
3 Dr Sinapa Bhutt
4 Dr Narsina
5 Upadi Rama aitalla
6 Sinna Mallinga shetty.
7 M. Nundyapa shetty.

Seven Trustees have been appointed to the Superintendence of the affairs of this Pagoda. The first five are officers of the Institution, and the 6th and 7th have been selected from among the principal inhabitants. The 6th possesses property to the amount of Rupees 1479 and pays an assessment of Rupees 218-14-5 a P. and the 7th possesses property valued at Rupees 1,201-4-a-a and the revenue paid by them amounts to Rupees 338-6-5. These two individuals are the most influential payets in the neighbourhood

neighbourhood and their Ancestors granted lands for the endowment of the Pagoda.

The Pagoda receives a Sunduk of Rupees 2145-2-5 and possesses property estimated at Rupees 60303-13-7. All the several Trustees appointed to this Pagoda belong to the Institution. The 1st is also the Potail of the Village which is assessed at Rupees 3937-14-11 He possesses property valued at Rupees 7000 and pays to Government Rupees 383-4-6 as the Assessment on his Estate. The 4th is an influential rayet in the Village possessing property to the extent of 20000 Rupees and paying Rupees 1160 as Circar revenue.

No 5 Shankra Narain

* 1 Adiga Subba astally
2 Do Ananta Adapa
3 Upadi Shankra Narain Shastry
4 Do Shankranaraja
5 Do Shankra attala
6 Do Annapa Adiga
7 Do Subraya Adiga

(41-42)

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward

/Read again in Cons 17th Augt/ at a future Meeting.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revt
Fort St. George

From the Pl Collr of Canara

Sir,

(43) I have the honor to lay before the Board an abstract Statement of the Revenues of this District for

for the fusly. just expired (1252/1842/43) in comparison with those of the preceding year. The increase on the late fusly amount to C. Rs. 64891-4-7

Pt Collr Canara
Mangalore
3d August 1843

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Pt Collr

Comparative

11739. Fort St. George

No. 1.

Comparative Statement of the Land and Extra

Items of Revenue	Revenue of Fusly 1251.	Revenue of Fusly 1252.	Increase	
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Land Revenue	17,35,489 13 -	17,38,940 11 3	3450 11 3	
Deostanum ditto	1,05,923 10 9	1,05,923 10 9	" "	
Mutorpha	13782 3 4	14,381 12 7	599 9 3	
Small Farms	4623 14 5	5874 14 9	1251 " 4	
Abkarry	60,154 12 -	57,920 " "	" " "	
Ferry Farms	5,782 4 -	5,884 8 -	102 4 -	
Land Customs	2,95,241 15 6	2,97,065 13 10	1823 14 4	
Salt	3,19,036 3 6	3,28,305 14 10	9269 11 4	
Tobacco	2,80,685 10 10	2,90,569 1 10	9883 7 "	
Sea Customs	2,99,604 13 6	3,01,506 - 2	34,901 2 8	
Stamp	39,788 11 6	41,010 13 -	1222 1 6	
Amcendevi	10,425 14 1	15,050 14 -	4624 15 11	
Total	31,40,539 14 5	32,05,434 3 -	67129 - 7	
	Deduct Decrease		2234 12 -	
	Net Increase		64,894 4 7	

(43-44)

Order thereon.-

10th August 1863.

Revenues of Canara in Fusles 1251- and 1252-

Decrease	Balance at the end of Fusly 1251-	Balance at the end of Fusly 1252-	Increase	Decrease
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
" " "	23150 10 8	41622 11 6	18472 - 10 -	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	1674 13 5	1268 12 1	" " "	406 1 4
" " "	392 1 8	436 14 -	44 12 4	" "
2234 12 -	3653 4 6	1689 4 6	" " "	1964 " "
" " "	210 3 7	228 4 9	18 1 2	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
2234 12 -	28981 1 10	45245 14 11	18634 14 4	2370 1 4

Deduct Decrease - 2370 1 4

Net Increase - 16264 13 -

(Signed) H. M. Blair Pr. Collector

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Register of Permits

Register

11761.

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing Register of Permits be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

To the Chief Secy to Government. (45-46)

With reference to my letter of the 17th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to Bengal the enclosed copy of the Register of Permits issued for the exportation of Salt from Madras to Calcutta from the Port of Madras during the Month of July 1843- Viz.t Bengal Maunds 14,000.

From Madras to Calcutta 2nd Aug.t 1843.

2- Adding this to the quantity reported in my letter above referred to, the total exportation to the end of July on account of the Bengal Indent for the year 1843 is

Bengal Maunds 2,13,569-18.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George; 10th August 1843.

/ Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

From the Bd. Coll.r of Coimbatore- Read Register of petitions bearing the Boards Endorsements presented to him for the Month of June last-

(47)

(47-48) Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office Resolved-

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

(45) Register of Permits issued for the Exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Port of Madras during the Month of July 1843.

Name of the Vessel. Commander. Destination. Quantity. Name of the Proprietor. By whom Licensed. Date of Permit.

Sept. 2nd 6 Brig Jem- Dan.l Clapham Bengal 1,000 Mahomed Hussain Collector 1st August 1843

Madras 1st August 1843.

/ Signed / S. G. Huddleston
Collector
Ordered

Resolved that the following Circular be despatched.

(49)

Circular to all Collectors Except Land and Sea Customs Madras and Kurnool.

Transmitting certain forms and requesting to be furnished with Corresponding Statements framed upon the results of Fushes 1248 1249 & 1250 with the view to ascertain what would be the effect of relinquishing the general inland Sayer duty of 5 per Cent and retaining the Collection of the Town Frontier and Hawlet duties.

Circular.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying forms, and request that you will with all practicable despatch transmit Corresponding Statements exhibiting the information required by the several headings framed upon the result of Fushes 1248, 1249 and 1250.

2. The object which the Board have in view is to ascertain what would be the effect of relinquishing the general inland Sayer duty of 5 per Cent and retaining the Collection of the Town and Frontier and Hawlet (Canara & Malabar only) or special duties in the several Districts in which such collections are made. They therefore desire to know how far the Horzoor and other Sayer Establishments in your District could be reduced in the event of the general inland Sayer duty being foregone, what would be the estimated gross and net revenue from other sources under the head of Sayer in your District and what the estimated

saving in the Saderwarred and building charges on this department. In submitting the Statements now called for you will be pleased to afford information on any points which may seem to you to require more full information than is provided for by the accompanying forms.

To Maritime Districts only.

The Board request farther that you will at the same time report what would be the estimated gross receipts from Exports and imports by sea distinguishing each in the event of the abolition of the general Inland Sayer duties and the adoption of the Bengal Schedules of Sea Custom duties taking for this statement calculations founded on the average value of the imports and Exports by sea in your District in Fushes 1248 1249 and 1250.

Malabar & Canara.

A The Application of the Bengal Schedules of Sea Customs duties to all articles not subject to the special or Hawlet duties fixed under Regulation II of 1812 B.

Madras and Canara only.

It is requested that the revenue levied under the existing rules upon articles subject to Hawlet or special duty may be seperately exhibited.

A Copy of the Bengal Schedules is herewith transmitted for your guidance.

N. B. 4

Revenue Bd. Office Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Actg Secretary

True Copy

11/46

No I

Fort St. George

10th August 1843.

Gross Collections

Fishes	Transit Duty	Town Duty	Frontier Duty	Total	Charges on account of the Collection of Transit Duty				Charges on account of the Collection of Town Duty				Charges of Collection on account of the Collection of Frontier Duty				Total
					Establishment	Salaam and	Maraimat	Total	Establishment	Salaam and	Maraimat	Total	Establishment	Salaam and	Maraimat	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1248																				
1249																				
1250																				
Total																				
Average																				

If there are other items of charges besides those enter'd above they should be specified under separate heads.

In cases where the same Establishment is available for the collection of Town and 5 per Cent transit Duty the amount of charges which must still be increased for the realization of the Town Duties should be exhibited in Columns 10 11 12 13 and the remainder which on abolition of the Transit Duty will be saved should be specified under the proper heads in Col.s 6. 7. 8. 9.

In Canara & Malabar only } The Rawlut duty is included in the gross and if any portion of the Rawlut duty is included in the Frontier duty this should be further distinguished.

No 2.

11745

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

No. 2.

Town Duties.

Names of the Towns	Articles on which Town Duty is Collected	Collection on account of each article.				
		for Fusly 1248.	for Fusly 1249.	for Fusly 1250.	Total.	Average.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

No. 3.

11746.

Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

Frontier Duty.

Collection at each Chowkey

Names of the Frontier Chowkies.	for Fusly 1248.	for Fusly 1249.	for Fusly 1250.	Total.	Average.
1	2	3	4	5	6

Received.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Vice Adjt. Accountant General.

50 — Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of the Revenue Department for the month of August 1843.

From the Civil Auditor.

51 — Indent on the Commissary General for Gum Arabic required for the use of his office from 1st August to 30th December 1843.

Order on the two abovementioned Indents.

50-52 — Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and returned.

From the Asst Coll.r of North Arcot.

53 — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public Servants from 1st April to 30th June 1843.

From the Asst Coll.r of North Arcot.

54 — Returns of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages from 1st April to 30th June 1843.

From the Asst Coll.r of North Arcot.

55 — Statement of smuggled goods recommended for Confiscation for the Month of June last.

From the Asst Coll.r of North Arcot.

56 — Statement of Goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Months of June last.

From

From the Asst Coll.r of Tanjore. Statement of

57 — smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of June last.

Order on the three abovementioned Statements. Ordered

55-58 — that the Confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the above mentioned Statements be authorized.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput. Return of

59 — fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants from 1st April to 30th June 1843.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput. Stating that certain Returns for the quarter ending 30th June last are not forwarded there being no entry to make.

60

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. Reporting that

61 — no cases have occurred under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1831 during the Month of July last.

From the Coll.r of Nellore. Stating that certain Returns

62 — were not sent for the Month of June last there being no entry to make.

From the Asst Coll.r of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand Collections and Balance for the Month of June last.

63

From

1749 Fort St. George 10th August 1843.

From the Asst Coll.r of Tanjore.

66 Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

From the Asst Coll.r of Tanjore.

65 Register of the state of the river Cawvery at the Annicut for the Month of June last.

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly.

66 Return of fines imposts & stoppages made from the pay of public Servants from 1st April to 30th June 1843.

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly.

67 Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages from 1st April to 30th June last.

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly.

18 Stating that certain Returns are not forwarded for the quarter ending 30th June last there being no entry to make.

" Dindigul

" Madura

" Palliacotta

Cont.d