

1585 3/8

9050 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Monday 26th June 1843 — John Dent Esqre Senior Member
A. Robertson Esqre Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract.

(1)

From the Chief Secy to Govt — Transmitting Copy of a letter from the Govt of Bombay and requesting the Bd to obtain under what restrictions and subject to what duty Salt is prepared and sold on the Eastern Coast.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th June 1843.

Read the following letter.

To J. F. Thomas Esqre
Chief Secretary to the Government of
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed to transmit to you to be laid before the Most Honorable the Governor of Fort St. George in Council, an Extract paras 5 and 6 of a report from the Collector of Continental Customs and Excise dated the 30th November last, on the Salt Revenue of this Presidency, and another para 7, from my letter of this date to the Revenue Commissioner on the subject, and to submit the wish of the Honorable the

Governor

Governor in Council to be furnished with information as to under what restrictions, and subject to what duty Salt is prepared and sold on the Eastern Coast.

Bombay Castle
31st May 1843. } /Signed/ L. R. Read
Chief Secretary.

A-1/

Extract paras 5 and 6 of a report from the Collector of Continental Customs and Excise dated the 30th. November 1842, to the address of the Revenue Commissioner.

Para 5. The bulk of the Salt formerly sold in the Surat Zillah was exported through Candeish to the Hyderabad Territories for the consumption of His Highness the Nizam's country, the chief Traders were the Hem dakurries, who sent grain and other produce to the Coast, kept running accounts with the Brinjaries, and made arrangements for them regarding all money transactions at the Salt pans. Since the new Salt act has been introduced these persons have in a great measure given up this Trade in consequence of the heavy

duty

duty and their being obliged to make ready money payments for their Salt, this I fear has been one of the chief causes of the decline of our Surat works.

6. I have made particular enquiry on this subject from the Brinjarries who still frequent these Pans, but who chiefly sell their Salt in Candeish. From them, and other sources I have been informed, that many who formerly frequented these works now resort to Ganjam and Muchelee Bunder in the Madras Territories from the distance being less, and the road to Hyderabad better, added to this, it is stated, that since our excise act has been introduced there has been a much less consumption of Sea Salt in the Nizam's Territories, than there previously was, from nearly all the poorer classes using wurud Salt; this I am informed is made in a saline Tract of land in His Highness' districts in large quantities, and altho' very inferior and of a bitter quality, it is from its cheap price in considerable demand.

Extract

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Extract Para 7 2/ of a letter to the Revenue Commissioner N. D. dated the 31st May 1843 No. 1836.

Para 7. It would be well to enquire whether the suspicion of the desertion of the Western Coast, by the Brinjaries, who supply the Nizam's dominions with Salt, is correct. That the Country to the Eastward, that is in the neighbourhood of Hyderabad itself, should look for its supply to the Eastern Coast, to Mucklee Bunder, and perhaps, Ganjam, seems not improbable; but the Northern and Western portion of His Highness's territory, could scarcely receive its supplies from that Quarter: we ought to know under what restrictions and subject to what duty, Salt is prepared and sold on the Eastern Coast. For this purpose, a reference will be made to the Madras Government for information, and the Resident at Hyderabad will also be requested to furnish it, as to the use of the Wurud Salt, its cost, place and mode of preparation, extent of supply &c. The extended traffic that has

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

has arisen of late years in Cotton from Berar to Bombay, necessarily brings large numbers of Bullocks to the Western Coast, and their return loads being principally Salt, it will be much to be regretted if they should be deprived by the State of the supply of the Nizam's territory of the means of employing their Cattle.

True Extracts

Signed L. R. Read

Chief Secretary.

The Board of Revenue will be pleased to furnish the information required in the foregoing letter.

Signed G. D. Drury

Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

1-2

To the Chief Secy to Government

In Cons. 26 June 1843

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the order of Government dated 20th Instant No. 879 and to furnish for transmission to the Government of Bombay the information required by that Government as to the restrictions &c under which Salt is prepared and

and sold on the Eastern Coast of this Presidency.

2. Salt is Manufactured on the
portion of the Eastern Coast referred to Viz.t
Nellore, Guntoor, Masulipatam, Rajahmun-
dry, Vizagapatam, and Ganjam Districts
under the directions of the Collector, who re-
gulates the quantity to be prepared in
each year according to the probable De-
mand, the manufacture can only be con-
ducted on account of Government, who
take all the Salt produced, besides these there
are no restrictions. Salt is subject to no duty
whatever on leaving the Cotaurs, or on
exportation and transit inland; but ac-
companied by a Rowannah passes
free throughout the Company's Territories.
The Monopoly or sale price is 105 Rupees
per Madras Garce and is sold at
the Cotaurs in quantities of not
less than 40 Mercals.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th June 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following Extract

Extract

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

3

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 20th June 1843.

Read the following letter
from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Stating with reference
to the Extract from the Mi-
nutes of Consultation dated
29th Ultimo, the reasons which
induce the Board to believe
that they were Acting in strict
accordance with the wishes
of Government, in giving their
Sanction for the Continuance
of the payment of certain al-
lowance for the festival of the
Madras Tutelar Goddess Vagattal.

/Here enter 18th May 1843 No. 2464/

The Most Noble the
Governor in Council observes from the fore-
going explanation of the Board of Revenue,
that the Board would appear to have mis-
understood the intentions of Government.
the allowance referred to, was considered by
the Government objectionable in principle,
and has been therefore ordered to be discontinued,
and the Government see no ground for
not adhering to their resolution.

/A true Extract
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

3-4

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation &c. under date the 20.th Instant / No. 655 / relative to the orders of Government for the withdrawal of the interference of the Officers of Government with the affairs of the Native religious institutions in Madras which shall be strictly attended to.

2. Adverting to para 5 of my letter of the 18.th ultimo the Board desire me to request that you will bring to the notice of the Most Noble the Governor in Council that the nomination of one or more Dhurmacurtas to the Mylapore Pagoda on the occurrence of a vacancy in the manner authorized in the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29.th April last, would not be applicable to the circumstances of that Pagoda, but is applicable to the future election of one or more Trustees to the Pagoda at Triplicane only.

Sn Cons.t 26.th June 1843

para 1. In Cons.t 18.th May 1843

Revenue Board Office
Fort St.e George 26.th June 1843.

/ Signed / C. C. Lovell
Acting Secy.e

Read

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.e George.

5

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Affording the information called for in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of 27.th March last regarding the Charity Choultry built at Rajahmundry by late Mr. Bhagaventrow.

No 1

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of 27.th March last, calling for information respecting a charity Choultry built by the late Mullegah Bhagaventa Row at Rajahmundry.

2. In reply I beg leave to submit copy and translation of a Power of Attorney executed by the late Mullegah Bhagaventrow to one Jummy Venkatacharloo, who now has charge of the Choultry in question, and who it appears has advanced upon it Rupees 504-12-3, its actual value being about Rupees one thousand. The Utensils of the Choultry valued at Rupees 165 have also been mortgaged for Rupees 125-7-6.

3. According to a Statement submitted by the said Jummy Venkatacharloo, the expenses of the Choultry are Rupees 29-12-2 per Mensem to start the.

Vide Enclosed Statt.e No. 2.

the Choultry clean now therefore would re-
quire Rupees 630-3-9 to clear off the
incumbrances, and a Monthly allowance
of Rupees 30—a—a—

4. With respect to the land &
left, alluded to in the said Power of At-
torney, it appears to have been claimed
by the heirs at law who were in existence,
and in whose favor a Decree has been passed in Court.

5. None of the family of the
late Mullegah Bhagaventarow ap-
pear to take the slightest interest in his
affairs, if therefore this Choultry should be
aided by Government, it is probable
that it will require a little occasion-
al attention to see that the assistance
is correctly applied to the destined pur-
pose. The Building is in good order
and the Establishment an useful one.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry.
Nursapoor 19th May 1843.

/ Signed / G. A. Smith
Collector.

A. Permanent.

No. 1.

A Permanent Power of Attorney executed
to Jummy Venkatachaloo by Mullegah Bha-
gaventarow, regarding the maintenance
of the charity Choultry established at
Rajahmundry in the name of my father
Turmalrow. Dated the 14th September
1839 corresponding with the 9th day of Bhadra pada
Soodha Saturday of the year Vecary.

I hereby have made over to you
the said Choultry together with the brass
utensils &c.a household things, and 3½ Pootties
of Land, contributed for the charity of this
institution by a female named Bheema-
varapoo Janakummah (who had no heirs)
situated in the four Villages of Covoor,
Nundamoor, Pasevadala and Gowrospully.
I also agree that I and my heirs shall in
addition to the said property and Manni-
am land contribute any real and personal
property which may be granted by any per-
sons for the performance of the Charity, but
we shall never be desirous of resuming the
said property under our enjoyment. As
you are my particular friend and bear
reverence towards the God and Brahmins

with

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

with full desire of making charity &
I bestowed on you the power for
the maintenance of the institution
and delivered over to you
the whole property of this
institution: consequently &
you are to give every day the
Victuals to the Bramins &
who may come as travellers.
As you are likewise vested with
the whole right of the institution
you will either in person or by
Vakeels do the needful if called
by the authorities for any &
religious or other purposes con-
cerning the institution, &
but you need not require
my

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

my order to that effect. You will therefore
continue the charity of the institution under the
foregoing conditions.

/Signed/ M. Bhagaventarow.

Witnesses.

/Signed/ Tunkasalah Lutchumnah of Peddapoor.
" " Boroogapully Soobacharloo of Vighar-
eswarum.
" Mylavarapoo Narsinhacharloo.
" Dooreky Timmanacharloo.

The writer of these presents is Balantrapoo
Soobanah of Ponungepully.

/Signed/ Colee Mooraharyrow
Vakeel to Mullegah
Bhagaventarow.

The true copy was delivered to the Daro-
gah of Rajahmundry Division on the 24th Apl 1843.

/Signed/ Summy Vencatachurloo.

It was also written in English that this deed
was registered in the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry.
Despatched on the 25th April 1843.

/Signed/ Beerakayalah Ramaswamy
Samayajoolu Darogah of
Rajahmundry.

/True translation/
/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

Statement

9063 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Statement shewing the average of the expenses of the Establishment employed in the Collectorate of Rajahmundry.

Abstract of Establishments employed in the Collectorate of Rajahmundry.

No.	Designation of Officers	Monthly Salary	Total Salary for 12 Months
1	Collector	1,000	12,000
1	Assistant Collector	400	4,800
1	Sheristadar	150	1,800
1	Cutcherry Shroff	100	1,200
1	Treasury Shroff	100	1,200
1	Cutcherry Cashier	100	1,200
1	Treasury Cashier	100	1,200
1	Cutcherry Accountant	100	1,200
1	Treasury Accountant	100	1,200
1	Cutcherry Sirkar	100	1,200
1	Treasury Sirkar	100	1,200
1	Cutcherry Jemadar	50	600
1	Treasury Jemadar	50	600
1	Cutcherry Duffadar	50	600
1	Treasury Duff

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(9)

From the Pal. Collr of Canara

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 29th Ultimo, I have the honor to return the several parts of the skeleton & Glossary, which I have been receiving in my office upto this time, with such additions and suggestions as I have to make to them.—

†A, AY	received with letter	19th December 1842
AY-BI		
BI-CH	Do.	30th January 1843
CH-DE	Do.	23rd February "
DE-FO	Do.	13th April "
FO-HA	Do.	29th Ditto "
HA-JE	Do.	25th Ditto "

Principal Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore 17th June 1843

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collr

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(10)

From the Collr of Malabar

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 19th December last, I have the honor to transmit herewith the first part of the Skeleton & Glossary, with such additions as I have been able to make.— The continuation parts will follow as soon as completed.—

Calicut
9th June 1843

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(11)

From the Collr of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to retransmit, with a few additions, the 2d part of the Skeleton Glossary received with Mr. Bourdillon's letter of the 30th January last, and in order that I may be able to retain a copy for the use of my office before forwarding the remaining parts, I shall be obliged, by your furnishing me with two additional Copies of the 3rd & other parts in continuation.—

Calicut
16th June 1843

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Ordered that the Glossaries received with the foregoing letter be transmitted with the following letter.-

7. 12

To the College Board.-

To the College Board.-

Gentlemen,

With reference to the instructions contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department dated 1st December 1842, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying parts of the Skeleton Glossary of Indian Terms received from the Collectors named in the margin with their suggestions and additions

From Canara 1st to 7th part A. AY & HA JE.
17th in Con.n 26th June 1843

From Rajahmundry 1st part A. AY.
15th in Con.n 26th June "

From Malabar 1st and 2d Dc. A-AY-AY-BI.
9 & 16 in Consultation 26th June.

From Chingleput 3d Dc. BI-CH
17th in Consultation 16th June.

Ex Con.n 19th Dec.r 1842

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
26th June 1843

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Read the following letter-

13

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

To E. C. Lovell Esq.r
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

In submitting the accompanying Extract from the Retrenchment of the Civil Auditor on the accounts of the Masulipatam Collectorate for fusly 1251, I have the honor to state that the items from No.s 2 to 7 were, agreeably to the orders of Government, included in the Moyen Jabitah forwarded with Mr. Grant's letter under date 19th October 1840, but as it appears by Mr. Smol-=lett's letter under date 17th December following that separate reference is necessary, it will be forwarded as soon as the Moyen Jabitah with the necessary explanation can be prepared.- The item No.8 is the charge incurred on account of Pay of the servants employed in the Abkarry Department for fusly 1251.- In the meantime, I request you will obtain the sanction of Government for the charges retrenched to enable the Civil Auditor to pass them.-

Masulipatam Zillah
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry at
Gollapollem 3d June 1843.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.

Extract

9069 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Extract from the Retrenchment on the accounts of the Collectorate of Masulipatam for fusly 1251 requiring the special sanction of Government.

No.	Charges objected to	Remarks of the Civil Auditor	Explanation of the Collector	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Order of Government
	Rs. As.				
2	Pay of the Peishcar employed in the Sheristadar's Department from 1st July 1841 to 30th June 1842 at Rupees per mensem 900 admitted here as from 1st to 6th at Rupees 50 per mensem } 600		No. 2 On reference to the revised Propriety Establishment with the Collector's letter of the 5th January 1836, it will be perceived that the pay of this Peishcar is chargeable on and appropriated to the Revenue Department	No. 2 The alteration referred to by the Civil Auditor was brought to the notice of the Board by the Collector in a revised Propriety Establishment dated 5th January 1836 which the Board approved but omitted to submit for special sanction as on the whole a decrease of Rs. 58-8-0 had been effected on the amounts previously sanctioned by Government on the 10th November 1835 - under this explanation the Charge is recommended for sanction.	Nos. 2 to 5. 7 & 8. Sanctioned. (1353-2-5) One thousand five hundred and fifty three, Annas two and Pice five. Fort St. George 15th July 1843. By order (Signed) G.D. Drury Chief Secretary.
	Difference — 360 " "				
3	Pay of 2 Water Pundal Braminy and a Coly employed in the Sheristadar's Department from 1st July 1841 to 30th June 1842, at 3½ Rupees each per mensem, to the former; and at 2 Rupees per mensem to the latter, after deducting Rupees 3-11-7	104	4 5		
4	Pay of a Sweeper employed in Junoogodoo Salt Cotaur from 1st July 1841 to 30th June 1842 at Rupees 1½ per mensem	18	" "		
5	Pay of a Moochy &				

No. 3 Recommended for sanction - This charge was formerly brought to account in the Statement of Extra Charges and annually sanctioned.

requisite

keeper employed
in the Sea Cus=
-tom Department
from Do to Do .. 18 "

Pay of 2 Water Ben=
=dal Branins
and a Lasty em=
=ployed in the as
Magistrates Depart=
=ment from Do
to Do after deduct=
=ing Annas 14 Price 11 32 11

Sanction of Govern=
=ment for fusly
1257, it is requested
that the same may
be obtained. —

Nos. 3.4.5 & 7. With
reference to the
special sanction
of Government
under date 12th
January 1843, for
these items for
fusly 1250 the Col=
-lector solicits
sanction for fusly
1257 also, with re=
=ference to the re=
=marks of the Civil
Auditor. —

Nos 4 & 5. These
charges being
usual are recom=
=mended for
Sanction. —

No 7 Vide remark
to No 3.

9070 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.—

No.	Charge objected to	Remarks of the Civil Auditor	Explanation of the Collector.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
8	Pay of the Servants employed in the Abkarry Department in fusly 1237	1020-2-11	No. 8 This Charge was incurred for the Establishment employed in the Abkarry Department retained under Ammanie for fusly 1237, but as the Civil Auditor requires the special sanction of Government the Collector solicits that the same may be obtained.—	No. 8 - Recommended for special sanction with reference to the accompanying correspondence with the Collector. From the Coll.r of Masulipatam No. in Con.n 25th Ult.o Proceedings 25th Ditto 1841.— Revn. Bd. Office Fort St. George 26th June 1843 By order (Signed) F.C. Lowell Actg. Secretary	

No. 8 This Charge requires the special sanction of Government

Masulipatam Zillah Collector's Circuit Cutcherry at Gollapollem 3rd June 1843

(Signed) R.J. Porter
Collector.

E. E. J.r

Ordered

(13-14)

Order thereon. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statements accompanying the foregoing letter and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(15)

From the Coll. of Guntoor — Giving explanations regarding the Items Nos 2 & 3 in the Estimate of Extra charges for Fusly 1252 in the Revenue Department objected to by the Board of Revenue also forwarding an account for Items No 2 for Covering Salt heaps with Grass — Dated 20th Jun 1843

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the Board's Remarks in the Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1252 in the Revenue Department which accompanied your letter. I have the honor to transmit an account particulars for the support of the item No 2 for covering Salt heaps with Grass Rupees 1562—15—11—

2. With respect to the Item No 3 for renewing and repairing Salt Mercals Rupees 288—0—0 I have the honor to state the following information—

For making 23 New Salt Mercals.
For repairing 12 Old Do
Each new Mercal will require 24 Seers of Grass and for the 23—552 Seers, the price of which including Cooly for making is 8 annas per Seer or 12 Rupees per Mercal } 276—0—0
For repairing 12 Old Mercals at 1 Rupee each } 12—0—0
288—0—0

3. In submitting an Estimate for this

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

this unusually large expenditure for making and repairing Mercals, it is necessary to observe that most of the present Mercals have been in use for about 17 years and are completely worn out as will appear by the following particulars. The number in present use is 45 of which 23 have become unserviceable from long use 12 may be made serviceable by repairing and 10 more are in good order and serviceable

In fusly 1236, 28 New Mercals were made at 16-0-6 each ——— 448-14-6

In fusly 1244/5 new Mercals were made amounting to ——— 42-13-5

As the old brass was used the price was 8-9-1

In fusly 1247, 2 New Mercals were supplied from the Board — 34-0-0

In fusly 1250, 2 new ones were made at a cost of 13 Rupees each 26-0-0

Since Fusly 1236, it thus appears only 9 new Marcals were supplied. From that period the expense of repairing the Marcals then supplied and those in use before, is as follows-

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

follows-

1237	Fusly	14-13-2
1238	"	15-15-9
1239	"	8-7-6
1240	"	3-3-11
1241	"	2-15-0
1242	"	1-8-4
1243	"	2-10-3
1245	"	7-10-2
1246	"	12-4-5
1247	"	18-1-4
1249	"	2-10-6
1250	"	3-2-7
1251	"	6-5-1
		99-8-0

4. By sale of brass of the 23 Old Mercals some amount will be realized which will decrease the estimated price of 12 Rupees each for the new ones supplied in their stead —

5. Under these reasons I request the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanction of Government for the above 2 Items amounting to Company's Rupees 1850-15-11.

Guntoor Zillah,
Coll[r]s Circuit Cutcherry,
Dindy. 13th June 1843

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Statement

9	2	11	Man for thatching ——— " — 8 —						
			Same Trade ——— " — 2 —						
			———			"	10	"	6 8 8
									The intermediate Sheds will be extended towards the Line = Junction of Chapel intended for Temporary Sheds.
30	"	30	Plans for Cutting 1000 Lines =						
			= Idea of Arboreto Sheds ——— " — 10 —						
			Commencement Line of the above — 2 — 13 —						
			3 — 7 —						
			20 Shrubbed for Inclosures ——— " — 8 —						
			Contracted Line for marking						
			Ferns and Vases ——— " — 1 —						
			Plans for Thatching ——— " — 8 —						
148	"	148	Plans for Cutting 1000 Lines " — 10 —						
			of Arboretos Sheds						
			Commencement Line of the above — 2 — 13 —						
			3 — 7 —						
			20 Shrubbed for Inclosures ——— " — 8 —						
			Plans for marking Vases " — 8 —						
			Ferns and Vases ——— " — 1 —						
			Contracted Line for marking Vases Harold Gate						
			———			"	4	10	684 8
			Plans to be ordered for Thatching Vases under Gate ———			"	"	30	"
			Of for retaining Vases between Walls Vases under Gate ———			"	"	15	3 2
			Trees of Common Thatch preserving Walls when Vases removed into Store for inserting with Shrubs ———			"	"	1	4 "
267	3	240	of Farm						
447	19	484	Total Commencement Farm						1214 14 5

Thatch Vases Trees Shrubs Vases Trees Shrubs Vases Trees Shrubs

907

Fort St George 26th June 1813.

								Lbs	a.	Lbs	a.
Pedda Gunjam Manufactured Store	New heaps of Salt manufactured freshly 1251 received into Store this year.	20	.	20	Hire for thatching					8	10
		10	.	10	1 Seer of Iron Nails		2				
					Carpenters hire for preparing wooden pins		1 1/2				
					Hire for thatching		8				
									11 1/2	6	14 5
		10	1	11	20 Bamboos		8				
					1 Seer of Iron Nails		2				
					Hire for preparing wooden pins for keepers & boring holes		1 6				
					Hire for thatching		8	1			
		52	.	52	Hire for Cutting 1000 bundles of Avooroo Grass		10				
					Conveyance hire of the above		2 13				
							3 7				
					Hire for thatching		8				
					20 Bamboos		8				
					1 Seer of Iron Nails		2				
					Carpenter's hire for preparing wooden pins and boring holes		1 1/2				
								4	10 1/2	24	10 2
					Hire for thatching the heaps that may be in a ruinous state by occasion of rain					5	1
		92	1	93	Total Pedda Gunjam					275	1 6
Nezamapatam Store		1250		44	Value of Grass		8				
					Hire for thatching		4				
									12	33	
Pairbar Store	New heaps of Salt manufactured in freshly 1251 received into Store this year	26	1	27	Value of Grass		1				
					Hire for thatching		8				
								1	8	39	6
					Value of Palmera mats for covering Salt when received into Store for preventing its being injured by sudden falls of rain					10	
		609	19	628						40	
					Grand Total Company's Rupees One thousand five hundred & sixty two Annas fifteen & Pice eleven					1562	15 11

Grass & Co.a Articles purchased in last year are remaining for these heaps

Grass & bamboos purchased in last year are remaining for these heaps

The Grass required for these heaps was purchased in last year. The incomplete heap was charged according to the proportion of Charges incurred for complete heaps.

These heaps were received into Store in last year and the charge was for rethatching them as being destroyed by rains &c.a this year.

Guntoor Zillah Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry 13th June 1813

E. E. Off.r / Signed / H. Stokes Collector

Ordered

9077. Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter—

15-16.

To the Chief Sect.y to Govt.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Submitted to Govt. under date 19th Jan.y 1843— 13th in Cons: 26th June 1843.

With reference to the remarks of the Board of Revenue on Items Nos. 2 and 3 in the estimate of Extra charges of the Guntoor district for Fusly 1252— I am directed to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of that district and to recommend under the explanation now furnished by him that the sanction may be granted for the estimated charge of Rupees 1850-15-11— for covering Salt heaps with graps and for renewing and repairing Salt Mercals—

For covering Salt heaps with Graps 1562-15-11
For renewing & repairing Salt Mercals 288-0-0
C.R.t 1850-15-11.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 21st June 1843.

/ Signed / C.C: Lovell
A.t Secretary

Read the following letter

To C.C: Lovell Esq.re
A.t Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

17

From the Pr. Coll.r of Nellore.

Sir,

In transmitting the Salt exportation Statements for the first week in the current Month, I have the honor to explain with advertence to your letter of the 8th Instant that having received no authority to export 230000 Marcals additional to Calcutta, till it was Notified in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 30th May, I considered myself precluded from including that quantity in the weekly Statement up to the 24th of May which was that furnished to the Board on the 6th Instant—

Nellore Pr. Coll.rs Cutcherry 19th June 1843.

/ Signed / T. V. Stonehouse
Pr. Coll.r

Order thereon—

17-18

Ordered to be recorded—
Read the following Statement

Statement

9078

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Nos and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Contract for 1843 at the undermentioned Ports in the Nellore Collectorate up.to 15th June 1843.

19

Names of Ports	Quantity Salt remaining to be exported	Quantity already Embarked and Contracted for	Quantity Salt in Nos and ready for exportation	Remarks.
Nellore	1	3	4	
Sattinda	2	0	2	
Kistnapatam	10	90155½	63575½	
Tatal	38000	84260	31540	

Nellore, Pr. Coll.rs Cutcherry, 23 June 1843. / Signed / T. V. Stonehouse Pr. Coll.r

DELIVERED

9080 Fort St. George 26th June 1843

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

22

From W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre Acting Pr. Coll. of Caddapah } Sir

Para 1. With reference to the Rules circulated by the Board of Revenue on the 6th March 1828, I have the honor to submit for their Consideration the case of Appa Row the Head Sheristadar of this District, and to annex a Statement of his services.

Recommending that the higher salary of 125 Pagodas may be bestowed on Appa Row as he has completed his 10 years service as Head Sheristadar on the 15th June 1843.

2. Appa Row was first employed in the public Service by Colonel Munro on the 15th February 1807 and has now completed an actual Service of 36 years without a Day's interruption. He has held the situation of

	years	m	Ds
Tahsildar for	3	4	20
That of Naib Sheristadar in the District for	9	1	4
That of Naib Sheristadar in the Board of Revenue for	9		28
	13	3	22

3. I conclude that the above service partly as Tahsildar and partly as Naib Sheristadar may at any rate be Calculated as if the whole period had been passed in the inferior situation of Tahsildar in which Case he is entitled to Calculate 1/3 of it or 4 years 5 m 7 as forming part of the 10 years service as Head sheristadar which

which by the Rules above referred to give him a claim to an increase of salary.-

4- Appa Row was appointed Head Sherishtadar on the 23d November 1837. He has therfore completed his 10 years service as Head sherishtadar on the 15th Instant as follows

	Year	M	D
1st of his service as Tahasildar from	4	5	7
From 23d November 1837 to 15th June 1843 as Head Sherishtadar	5	6	23
Total	10	"	"

5 It is I am confident unnecessary for me to say any thing in regard to Appa Row's general character, as it is already well known to the Board of Revenue, not only from his having served for a short period in their own office but from the testimonials which have been recorded by my Predecessors. I shall therefore merely submit my recommendation that the Higher Salary of 125 Pagodas may be bestowed on Appa Row from the 1st July 1843.

Cuddapah District.
Print Collr Office.
Royachoty 17th June 1843.

/Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot
Ag. Pl. Collector.

List-

List of the Service of Appa Row the Head Sherishtadar in the Cuddapah District.

		Year	M	D
1	Goomashtah in the Chinta Coonta Taluk from 15th February 1807 to the 30th November 1808	1	9	16
2	Goomashtah in the Doovoor Taluk from the 1st December 1808 to 14th November 1816	7	11	14
3	Hoozoor Magistrate Goomashtah from 15th November 1816 to the 31st of October 1819	2	11	16
4	Hoozoor Magistrate Savobnevis from 1st November 1819 to the 31st August 1823	3	10	"
5	Sherishtadar in the Goorramkonda Taluk from the 1st of September 1823 to the 31st July 1824	"	11	"
6	Tahasildar of Doovoor taluk from 1st August 1824 to 20th December 1827	3	4	20
7	Naib Sherishtadar from 21st December 1827 to 25th September 1836.	8	9	5
8	Deputy Sherishtadar in the Board of Revenue from 26th September 1836 to 23d July 1837	"	9	28
9	Agaen Naib Sherishtadar of this District from 24th July 1837 to the 22d November of the same year	"	3	29
10	Head Sherishtadar from 23d November 1837 to 15th June 1843	5	6	23
	Total	36	4	1

/Signed/ W. W Arbuthnot
Ag. Pl. Collector.

9083 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

22-23

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secretary to Government. } Sir

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah recommending the usual increase of allowance to the Head Sheristadar under the rules sanctioned by Government

17th in Cons. 26th June 1843.

2. The Head Sheristadar of the Cuddapah District appears Row is a public servant of distinguished merit and including 3 of the period of his service as Head Sheristadar and Tahsildar, having completed the period of service Viz. 10 years required to entitle him to the increased Salary of 125 Pagodas per month, under the orders of Government dated 12th October 1827 and 4th March 1828, the Board concur in the Acting Principal Collectors recommendation that the same may be granted to him from the 1st Proximo.

in Cons. 18th Oct. 1827 and 8th March 1828.

Revenue Board Office. } (Signed) E. C. Lovell
Fort St. George 26th June 1843. } A. J. Lee.

Read

9084 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

24.

From W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre } I have the honor
Acting Principal Collr. } to acknowledge the receipt of your
of Cuddapah. } letter of the 1st Instant and to
inform you in reply that there are
ms ready Money allowances
granted to the families of Poligars
and others in lieu of resumed lands
Vea adjusted by a deduction from
the Kistbundy Beriz of the annual
settlement, in this District

Cuddapah } (Signed) W. W. Arbuthnot
Principal Collrs Office } Acting Principal Collector
21st June 1843.

To,

9085 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

To,

E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25

From H. M. Blair Esqre
Principal Collector
of Canara

I have the honor to
acknowledge the receipt of your Circular
letter of the 1st Instant, enquiring if
there are any money allowances granted
to the families of Poligars and others
in lieu of resumed lands &c. by a de-
duction from the Fishbundy Beriz
without being exhibited in the accounts
forwarded to the Accountant General
and Civil Auditor and if so that they
should in future be entered in my
account with those Officers.-

2. In reply I have the
honor to forward for the information
of the Board, an account showing the
deductions now made from the Summ
of Land Revenue of this District, all
of which appear to be permanent
remission upon the Beriz of Land
with the exception of Rupees 2.64,

which

which forms part of the Palankeen
allowance granted to the family of
Caetano Coelho late Manager of
Sea Customs at Mangalore, at the
rate of Rupees 42 per Month. Out
of this sum, Rupees 20 are
disbursed monthly to the family, and
the remainder Rupees 22 or 264 per
annum is deducted from the rent
of lands enjoyed by them under the
special sanction dated 14th November
1823. This latter not being a money
transaction has not hitherto been
entered in the accounts sent to the Civil
Auditor. I therefore request instruc-
tions of the Board whether the
amount should hereafter be entered
in my accounts sent to that Office.

Principal Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore
17th June 1843.

Signed H. M. Blair
Pl. Collector.

Ans

9087 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

No. 1.

An Account shewing the total amount of Summahbundy in the Zillah of Canara and the Amount of Sums allowed therefrom under the several heads of Enams for Fasly 1251.

	Rupees	a.	p.	a.	p.
The total amount of Summahbundy of Land Revenue Moturpha and Sundry Small Farms in Canara is Rupees				1902785	10
Deduct Sums allowed from the Summahbundy as follows —					
Cut Ootur or allowance for building embankments for the use of Cultivation				10448	2 5
Beriz of Lands belonging to the Christian Churches in Canara remitted by Government as communicated in Board's letter of the 16th August 1821				375	12 7
" Beriz on lands belonging to the family of Coctans. Coctha remitted in lieu of payment in ready cash of Palankeen allowance allowed to the family sanctioned by Government in 1823.				264	" "
Beriz of two lands belonging to Meer Mohomed Ally as per Government letter dated 2d May 1823				38	14 10
Beriz on a spot of land on which a Pagoda is built by Mahraz Mahll Row Holkar at Gocurn in the Ankola Talook.				8	
Beriz remitted on a land belonging to Rangaya Balligah as per sanction of Government dated 9th June 1837.				48	" "
Beriz on Salt pans remitted				11852	7 "
Jari Enam or Remissions of Revenue granted by the former Governments and continued to the present day					
On Lands belonging to Brahmins					
Pagodas	1414	11	2		
Carried Over	1414	11	2	23035	4 10
				1902785	10 7

9088 Fort St. George 26th June 1843

		a/ aa	a/ aa
Brought over	1414 11 2	23035 4 10	190278 5 10
On Lands belonging to the Oodipy Mutt	635 2 15 2		
Ditto Ditto to other Brahminy Do.	340 4 "		
Do. Do. to Jain Mutt	154 3 7		
Do. Do. to Jungum Do.	285 2 10		
Do. Do. to Brahmins under the head of Agrahar Enams	3063 13 7		
Do. Do. to Brahmins under the heady of Bhut Inamya	1031 14 10		
Do. Do. to Joyys	399 7 7		
Do. Do. to Kauzees Vedas Peer Jadas	1403 14 10		
Do. Do. to certain heads of Villages under the head of Bullul Enam	211 6 10		
Do. Do. to the family of Golla Bhatra Timmaya	80 " "		
Do. Do. to Joghee Mutto	205 11 2		
Do. Do. to a Christain Padree of Coondapore	15 2 10		
Do. Do. on account of supplying water to Travellers	119 15 2		
Do. Do. belonging to a Ferryman	4 12 10		
Do. on account of building Embankments	3 " 10		
On Lands belonging to a washerman	2 6 6		
Do. belonging to a Barber	1 " "		
Do. Do. to a Blacksmith	" 9 2		
Do. Do. to Poligars or Petty Rajahs	1326 8 "	19482 " 10	
			42517 5 8
		Balance	1860268 4 11

/Signed/ H. M. Blair

Principal Collector

9089 Fort St. George 26th June 1843

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

26

From H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar

Sir,

Fort St. George

With reference to your letter of the 1st Instant I have the honor to inform you that no ready money allowances are paid and de-=ducted from the Kistbundy Berij of the Annual Settlement without being exhibited in the Accounts of Pensions forwarded to the Accountant General and Civil Auditor from this District.

Calicut
17th June 1843

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order on the three foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the table.

24-27

Read.

9090 Fort St. George 26th June 1843

Read the following letters

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

28

From A. Freese Esqre
Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of Mootahs attached for arrears of current Peishcush due against them for Fusly 1252, and to request the Board will sanction the Esta-=blishment proposed to be entertained for their management while under attachment.

Chingleput District,
Collr's Cutcherry.
Pallicearney 21st June 1843

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

9091

Fort St. George

A.

Statement of Estates attached in the Zillah of Chinglepute for Fusly 1252.

26th June 1843.

Names of the Zemindars or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the Entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to April 1843	Amount Collected	Balance to be attached	Number of the Villages proposed	Actual or Estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the proportion attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1 Annicut	Nabob Rajah Joseph Parithe parcoil Gheany Chedum = bara Mortea Pillay	5	1840 3 10	1610 --	842 --	767 156	5	1840 3 10	1 Ameenah 8 12 -- 2 Peons at 3 Rupees each 6 -- Sunderwared 1 -- 15 12 --	-- 13 -- 8	8th June 1843	
2 Varumbankum	Moulavee Mufter Syed Mahomed Abdool Vahoodata = kavee Saib and Abdul Ayash Goolam Yaga = ya Rakavee Saib	1	2988 53	2614 --	965 142	1648 110	1	2988 53	1 Ameenah 12 -- 1 Moosharuff 9 -- 1 Tarnfdar 5 4 --			
3 Thencaraney	Khaders Hoossain Khan Juddee Mahomed Hoossain khan Juddee abashee Hoossain khan Juddee	1	567 13 1	497 --	120 91	376 11	1	567 13 1	3 Peons at 3 Rupees each 9 -- Sunderwared 1 --			
4 Muspaidor	Thedakamulla Vencatakistna Row	2	3180 102	2784 --	-- --	2784 --	2	3180 102				
		4	673612 6	5895 --	1086 73	4808 89	4	673612 6		364 -- --	8 -- 7	19th June 1843

9092

Fort St. George

26th June 1843.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindary or Proprietors	Number of Villages of the Entire Zemindary or Estate included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jummah Amount of Kist due up to April 1843	Amount Collected	Balance	Number of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment	Date of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the Estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
5 Avoor	Ramadawmy Raz	2	3066 1 10	2,683 " "	1025 4 2	1,657 1 10	2 3066 1 10	1 Ameenah — 10 8 " 1 Bon — 3 " Sanderwared — " 8 " 14 " "			7-4	19th June 1843	
6 Etchoor	Embauken Kistnyengar	3	2557 12 2	2239 " "	" " "	2239 " "	3 2557 12 2	1 Ameenah 7 " 2 Boms at 3 Rs each 6 " Sanderwared " 8 " 13 8 "			8-5	19th Do	
7 Vactoor	Giddaloor Sectaramia	1	320 5 9	280 " "	" " "	280 " "	1 320 5 9	1 Ameenah — 7 " 1 Bon — 3 " Sanderwared — " 8 "					
8 Sirovembaken	Perumal Reddy	1	228 11 8	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	1 228 11 8						
9 Keelcaudy	Heirs of the late Maurepoottoor Vencata Row	2	177 11 3	155 " "	11 " "	144 " "	2 177 11 3						
		4	726 12 8	635 " "	11 " "	624 " "	4 726 12 8	10 8 "			1-7-2	19th Do	
10 Sittoocaud	Covoor Jacambra Moodeliar	4	1088 11 4	952 " "	323 7 "	628 11 5	4 1088 11 4	1 Ameenah — 7 " 1 Bon — 3 " Sanderwared — " 8 " 10 8 "			15-7	19th Do	
11 Kadoovarum-kum	Terovullecany Sabaputty Moodely	6	1428 6 6	1250 " "	89 " "	1161 " "	6 1428 6 6	1 Ameenah — 8 12 " 1 Tarufdar — 5 " " 2 Boms at 3 Rs each 6 " " Sanderwared — " 8 "					
12 Dialeputt	Apparow Moodely	1	287 4 11	252 " "	18 " "	234 " "	1 287 4 11						
		7	1715 11 5	1502 " "	107 " "	1395 " "	7 1715 11 5	20 4 "			1-2-9	19th Do	

9093

Fort St. George

26th June 1843.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Number of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jumma of the Entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due on account thereof to April 1843	Amount collected	Balance	Number of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated annual Value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment	Per centage of the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13
13 Mambaukum	Soobba Reddy and Sawmy Reddy	1	205 10 10	180		180	1	205 10 10	1 Ameenah ——— 7 ~ ~ 1 Peon ——— 3 ~ ~ Sanderwared ——— ~ 8 ~	10 8 ~	1-4-9	19th June 1843	
14 Nogoombeul	Mootoosawmy Naick & Sevaraya Gavoondum	1	245 15 8	216	75 8	140 8	1	245 15 8					
15 Ria Reddy	Kavara Naik and Narnapa Naik	1	357 7 10	318	202 14 10	110	12	357 7 10					
		3	809 24	709	278 6 10	430	92	3 809 24					
16 Agaram	Coonnatoor Tandavaroy Moodely	1	80 4	70	5	65	1	80 4	1 Ameenah ——— 8 12 ~ 1 Moosharuff ——— 7 ~ ~ 2 Peons at 3 ~ ~ Rupees each ——— 6 ~ ~ Sanderwared ——— 1 ~ ~	22 12 ~	1-1-8	19th Dº	
17 Paundoor	Ditto	1	1024 7 7	896	386	570	1	1024 7 7					
18 Tapanamput	Ditto	1	88 3 10	77	30	47	1	88 3 10					
19 Eloombalycherry	Ditto	1	110 6 7	97		97	1	110 6 7					
20 Perombaddoo	Wallajahbad Kamaroodeen Saib	1	492 1 10	431	181	250	1	492 1 10					
21 Attavuttum	Connatoor Tondavaroy Moodely	1	108 12 3	95		95	1	108 12 3					
22 Eachuncaurney	Poolachoor Ramalinga Moodely	1	157 10 2	137	10	127	1	157 10 2					
		7	2061 10 7	1803	612	1191	7	2061 10 7					
23 Vullum	Tadacamulla Vencatakistna Row	16	5551 6 8	4857		4857	16	5551 6 8	1 Ameenah ——— 10 8 ~ 1 Moosharuff ——— 8 12 ~ 1 Tarufdar ——— 5 4 ~ 3 Peons at 3 Rs. each 9 ~ ~ Sanderwared ——— 1 ~ ~ 34 8 ~	188 8 ~	9-10	20th Dº	
Total		55	26154 5 4	22885	4285 14 4	18599	18	55 26154 5 4					

Chingleput District Collr's Circuit Cutcherry Pallecarra 21st June 1843 E. E. Jr. (signed) A. Freese
Collector

The

9094 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

28. 29.

Resolution thereon — The Board of Revenue sanction the proposed in the fore-going letter for the Management of certain Mottahs attached for arrears of Peishcush the total cost being Rupees 188 — 8 — 4 per Mensem

To

J. G. B.

9095 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

To

E. b. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

30

From A. Pringle Esqre. Coll.r of Chingleput. } Para 1.

Reporting on Petition No 980. of 1843 presented to Government by Chedumbara Mootka Pillay Abkarry Renter for fasly 1251.

* Petition dated 15th June 1843 received with the enclosure

To Board 18th Sept. 1841

From Dr 3d Jany 1842

To Dr 18th Do —

From Dr 22d Do —

To Do 27th Do —

From Do 2d Febr —

To Do 3d Do —

From Do 7th Do —

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th Instant transmitting Copy of a letter from the Chief Secretary to Government on a requesting me to report the truth or otherwise of the facts alleged in the Petition* of Chedumbara Mootkah Pillay Abkarry Renter for fasly 1251. and to state in reply, that on reference to the Correspondence noted in the margin it will be found that it was in consequence of the Petitioner's rent falling in arrears — that the farm was attached, and at the time he was then desired by me to depute a person to assist in the details of the management as he wants he held responsible for any losses that might be sustained during the period the farm was under Sirkar management there was nothing in that circumstance to prevent the Farm being resumed and given to others, the Petitioner not only being in arrears to a larger amount than he had placed in deposit, but being also unable to find security for any eventual

eventual loss during the period the Farm might be held under summary Management a result that was considered more than probable, the Peiss being so much higher than the average Abkarry revenue realized during former occasions when the Farm had been so held.-

2. Shortly after the attachment on the suggestion of the Board it was considered advisable on these grounds to permit the Farm for the second half year, and under their authority the offer of Mr. Turner and Peraswamy Moodely for 30000 Rupees was accepted, which though less than the Peiss previously Contracted for by the Petitioner by Rupees 7500 exceeded the average of preceding years as shewn in the margin.-

Fasly		
1241	63715	6 P.
1242	15500	" "
1243	78950	" "
1244	59983	1 5
1245	53702	13 4
1246	82000	" "
1247	39000	" "
1248	57350	" "
1249	56000	" "
1250	61900	" "
	68400	" "
Total	598185	14 9
Average for a whole year	59818	9 6

3. The second half of the year is not more profitable, as stated by the Petitioner than the first, for during the season of Palmira Toddy, there is a Corresponding falling off in the sale of arrack, besides which the settlements with the under renters are generally made for the whole year and the Rents paid by uniform Monthly instalments.-

4. The Condition specified in the

Renters

Renters Cowle of Collecting the arrears of Rents with interest at 6 per Cent per Annum Could only be Considered as applicable to arrears not exceeding the amount of Security furnished by him, for when they exceed that sum and no additional security is forth coming there is no other alternative but that of preserving the Farm. The Petitioners mismanagement during the period he held the Farm was such that neither his own revenue was fully realized, nor were the Government Rents paid with punctuality, and there was also reason to believe that he had not paid as much as he Collected. His statement too of having incurred a loss of 11,900 Rupees was to all appearance exaggerated, but being of opinion that his actual loss must have exceeded the amount of Balance which was latterly due by him Viz. Rupees 3492. 5-5. I recommended its being written off.-

5 It is true, as stated by the Petitioner that the Company Bond of the 4 Per Cent. loan deposited by the Petitioner was sold at a discount of 22 per Cent, but the sale was effected in Communication with the Accountant General at the current price of the time, and not before giving the Petitioner the option of paying the Amount of the Bond, and redeeming it, but of

which

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

which he could not avail himself. His Conduct on the whole was not such as to render him deserving of any great indulgence and the having been relieved from the Rent for the second half year was to him actually an advantage instead of a loss for, 3 or 4 June, that had the Rent been held under attachment on his account till the termination of the Fasly, the result would have been a larger balance against him than what is due at present.

6. With regard to the attachment of the Mostah of annient, I beg to refer the Board to the Correspondence noted in the margin, from which it will be seen that incessary mence of no other property being forthcoming that Estate was attached for the recovery of the balance due by the Petitioner, but subsequently released on incessary mence of a Claim of Mortgage brought forward by Mr. Stephen at Madras. This Individual has however since informed me that his accounts with the Petitioner were settled, and that he had no longer any Claim on the Mostah, and it is now under attachment for a balance of its own Peishcush, and which there seems little prospect

To Board 14th Inst. from do 2d Feby — to do 18th April — from do 24th do —

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

prospect of realizing without bringing the Estate to sale.—

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Publication 21st June 1843.

(Signed) A. Grose
Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

30.31

To the Chief Secy to Government. Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th Instant transmitting a Petition from Chadumbra Koontiga Pillay the Abkary Renter of Chingleput for Fasly 1253, and requiring the Board to report the truth or otherwise of the facts alledged in the Petition, and the reasons that induced the Board to approve of the Collectors arrangement of transferring the rent of the last six months to another individual at a loss of 7,000 Rupees.—

Para 1. In Con. 8 Feby 1842.
Mysore &c. Con. 18th June 1842.

2. On the 18th December 1841 the Collector of Chingleput reported to the Board that the Abkary Renter for the current Fasly had been from the Commencement very irregular

in

To the Coll.r of Chingleput 3d July 1842

From Coll.r 18th January 1842 In Dy Cons 24th Do Para 1.

on his prompments and was up to that date in arrears to the extent of 12,792-5 on account of the Kists for September, October and November, which sum exceeding the amount in deposit and the period for the payment of another Kist being at hand, the Board Considered it necessary for the security of the Public Revenue to authorize the rent to be taken under Aumanie suggesting at the same time whether it would be advisable and practicable, with a view to save the expence of aumanie management to resale the Farm for the remaining term of the Faslee Mr. Freese in reply informed the Board that "he thought it would be expedient to relieve the "Abkarry Renter from the responsibility of the "Farm as he had neither the ability to manage it, "or the means of paying the future Kists;" and for the above reasons the Board gave their sanction for the transfer of the rent for the last six months of the Fasly to another party (although at so great a sacrifice to the original renter) as the only means of averting a still greater loss to Government.—

3. Having stated the reasons &c which induced them to sanction the above arrangement the Board proceed to submit the further

further information required by your letter under acknowledgment to enable them to do this more satisfactorily they called upon the Collector of Chingleput to report upon the truth or otherwise of the facts alleged in the Petition, and have the honor to forward that officer's reply. A perusal of this will show

From Coll.r 21st June 1843 in Cons 26th Do Para 3.

that the second half year of the Rent is not more profitable, as stated by the Petitioner than the first. That the condition specified

Para 4.

in the Renter's Cowle of Charging Interest on arrears at 6 per Cent applies to arrears within the amount of security deposited by him and does not bar the resumption of the rent

Para 4.

when the arrears exceed the deposit. That the Statement of the Petitioner of his losses amounting to Rupees 11902 is exaggerated, and that in the Collector's opinion they may be fairly taken at 3492-5-5 which he recommended in a separate Communication might be remitted.

Para 5.

That the loss on the sale of the Company Bonds deposited by the Petitioner is correctly stated, but this was unavoidable from the state of the Market, and it is seen that an opportunity was given him

of

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

of redeeming them. The circumstances under which Pethimaia Mootah was attached are sufficiently explained in the Collectors letter not to need further remark. The Mootah is now under attachment for arrears of Peishcush due on its own account. The Board have no doubt that the Petitioner has suffered greatly from undertaking the rent at the high rate he did, and are prepared under the explanation contained in Mr. Greive's letter of the 14th January last, and the further explanation afforded in the concluding para of his letter of the 21st Instant as to the embarrassed state of the Mootah of Annicut, to support his recommendation that the balance still due by Chedumbra Moottea Pillay Rupees. 3492-5-5 may be written off to profit and loss.

In Con. 2 Feby 1843. Para 4.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

(signed) E. C. Lovell.
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

32

From A. Greive Esqr. Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

for the information of the Board and Government that I have received a report from the Duffadar of the Delay etc. whom I deputed to the District of Salem, with a warrant for the apprehension of certain parties disapproved to have been concerned in the Gang Robbery committed at the Salt Depot of Semmanjery stating that he had apprehended 7 of the number who have

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

have not only acknowledged that they belonged to the Gang by whom that and several other Robberies had been committed but who also have given up 570 Rupees in Cash of the Money so obtained with a Considerable quantity of the Jewels plundered at the same time from Vencataramma Chetty, whose house adjoins the Dommery Salt Cutcherry.—

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Pullicatt 24th June 1843.

(Signed) A. Truse
Collector.—

Order thereon.—

(32-33)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Instant.—

Read.

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(34)

From the Actg. Principal Collr. of Salem—

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 1st Ultimo, I have the honor to submit for the information of the Board the accompanying two Statements shewing the offers made for the rent of the Abkarry and Betel and Tobacco for the ensuing Fusly 1253 the sale of which took place at Darampoory on the 10th Instant. The offer made for the rent of these two branches of Revenue shews a net Increase in the Abkarry of Rupees 6,225.- and in the Betel and Tobacco a net Increase of Rupees 400 amounting in all to Rupees 6625.- above that for which they were rented in the current Fusly.—

Received 21 JUN 1843 BOARD OF REVENUE

The Tahsildars have been directed to report as soon as practicable upon the competency of the Securities given by the parties who have made the usual deposit of 15 per cent but as I do not expect to receive the required information from the Talooks earlier than the 30th of this month and as I shall be unable to put the Ezardars in possession of the rents by the 12th Proximo were I to wait until the competency of the Securities is ascertained and reported, and the sanction of the Board obtained thereon, I therefore request the early orders of the Board confirming the sale. The necessary arrangements will be made should any one of the Securities be reported hereafter as incompetent.—

Salem Villah.....
Thally.....
Principal Collectors Cutcherry
19th June 1843.....

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

Statement

9106

Fort St. George

26th June 1843

No. 1. Statement of Proposals received for the Rent of the Betel and Tobacco Revenue of the Zillah of Salem for Fusly 1253.—

Huzzoor Cutcherry.
Talooks for Fusly 1253.

Talooks.	Proposals for one year sent in by the Talliaries.— For Chowkies. No. of Chowkies	Amount	For Talooks. No. of Chowkies for Betel and Tobacco	Amount	1st Proposal. Name.	Amount.	Proposals at the For the whole of the 2d Proposal Name.—	3d Proposal. Amount	Name.	Last Proposal. Amount	Name.	Particular of Security.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1 Ahtoor	3													
2 Nameut	4													
3 Paramaty	1													
4 Salem	2													
5 Shenkerry	2													
6 Raizepoor	2													
7 Womalore	2													
8 Trichengode	3													
Total	19													
9 Darampoory	4													
10 Tangaracottay	2													
11 Rustnagherry	2													
12 Tripatore	3													
Total	11													
13 Denkincottah	2													
14 Ossoor	3													
Total	5													
Grand Total	35					23000								

Gokula Chetty 1
Muddipa Chetty 2.
Pottoor Venkatrow 3
and Rolamadoo
Ultima Goundah 4.—

Gokul Chetty 1
Muddipa Chetty 2.
Pottoor Venkatrow 3
and Rolamadoo
Ultima Goundah 4.—

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

Proposal for 3 years. | Accepted

Fusly. 16	Fusly. 17	Fusly. 18	Total. 19	Particulars of Security. 20	Name 21	Amount 22
				Salem Sophia Chetty 1.		1397 " "
				Do. Lakshmi Chetty 2.		1604 " "
				Do. Lakshmi Ammal Chetty 2.		1138 8 "
				Pookoor Samiah 3 and		4166 " "
				Do. Venkatasa 3 and		672 8 "
				Putcumsa Villiam Jamadah 4.		1190 " "
						13454 "
						5694 "
						12082 8 "
						1604 " "
						3156 " "
						1655 12 "
						1449 " "
						7864 12 "
						1707 8 "
						13454 "
						3052 12 "
						23000 " "

Proposals

Savary deposited at 15 per cent 23	Per Centage of the rent Deposited 24	Securities 25	Average Collections from fusly 1249 to 1251. 26
			2100 " "
			2383 5 4
			1256 10 8
			3300 " "
			793 5 4
			1396 10 8
			1430 " "
			646 10 8
			13306 10 8
			1466 10 8
			3140 " "
			1196 10 8
			1926 10 8
			7530 " "
			1610 " "
			14535 4
			3063 5 4
15 " "	3450 " "	Moothanjetty Moodaliar Kallakah Reddy 1 and Ahmed Kerry Talook Burgoo- rdeen Saheb Jamadah 2.	23000 " "

9108

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Statement Shewing the Average of 3 Years Collections of Betel and Tobacco.

Fusly	Under Ammanie. Bariz.	Sibbendy	Net Balance	Under Rent. Bariz.	Collections	Net Balance	Total as per Columns 4 and 6.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1249	" "	" "	" "	24,000 "	24,000 "	" "	24,000 "
1250	" "	" "	" "	22,200 "	22,200 "	" "	22,200 "
1251	" "	" "	" "	25,500 "	25,500 "	" "	25,500 "
Total	" "	" "	" "	71,700 "	71,700 "	" "	71,700 "
Average							23900 " "

19th June 1843. Errors. Excepted.

7ths (Signed) H. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

Statement

9109

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

No. 2 Statement of Proposals received for the Rent of Abkarry of the Zillah of Salem for Fusly 1253.

Hoozzoor Cutcherry.

Talooks for Fusly 1253.

Talooks.	Proposals for one year sent in by the Tahsildars. For Chowkies. No. of Chowkies.	Amount.	For Talooks. No. of Chowkies.	Amount.	No. of Chowkies.	1st Proposal. Name.	Amount.	2d Proposal for the whole of the Talooks. Name.	Amount.	3d Proposal	Name.	Amount.	Last Proposal. Name.	Amount.	Particulars of Security.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 Rs	9	10 Rs	11	12 Rs	13	14 Rs	15
1 Attoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	Nutter Saib	2,100	Ummeyeppah Moodely	2,150	Nutter Saib	2,200	Ummeyeppa Moodely	2225	
2 Namcul	"	"	"	"	"	"	Nullappa Reddy	4,200	Narainpillay & Moottoo Reddy	4,250	Nullappa Reddy	4,275	Narainpillay & Moottoo Reddy	4,300	
3 Paramutty	"	"	"	"	"	"	Utteyenna Gowdah	1,780	Venkatramaniah	1790	utteyenna Gowdah	1800	Venkatramaniah	1810	
4 Salem	"	"	"	"	"	"	Nutter Saib	18850	Gopaula chetty	18900	Nutter Saib	18950	Gopaula chetty	19000	
5 Raizepoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	Vembiah	1,700	Cooppaniengar	1750	Nunche goudah	1775	Cooppaniengar	1800	
6 Shenkerry	"	"	"	"	"	"	Veere chetty	2,725	Ummeyeppa Moodely	2750	Veere chetty	2775	Ummeyeppa Moodely	2800	
7 Homaloor	"	"	"	"	"	"	Nauchegoudah	1850	Vembiah	1900	Nauche goudah	1920	Vembiah	1940	
8 Trichengode	"	"	"	"	"	"									
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
9 Darumpoory	"	"	"	"	"	"	Audeppa chetty	6400	Mooneyeppah	6450	Audeppa chetty	6500	Mooneyeppah	6550	
10 Tengercottah	"	"	"	"	"	"	Vencataudry Naick	3200	Cooppiah	3250	Vencataudry Naick	3275	Cooppiah	3300	
11 Kistnagherry	"	"	"	"	"	"	Soobbe chetty	6625	Mooneyeppah	6650	Soobbe chetty	6675	Mooneyeppah	6700	
12 Tripatoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	Chennah Gondah	6500	Vencatauchellay Moodely	6600	Chinnah goudah	6625	Vencatauchella Moodely	6650	
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
13 Denkencottah	"	"	"	"	"	"	Cannum Soobbe chetty and Poongah Venkata chetty	27,000	"	"	"	"	Cunnum Soobbe chetty and Poongah Venkata chetty	27,000	
14 Osoor	"	"	"	"	"	"									
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
15 Mullapaudy	"	"	"	"	"	"	Vencatauchella Moodely and Chinna gondah	500	"	"	"	"	Vencatauchellay Moodely and Chinna goudah	500	
Grand Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Fort St. George

26th June 1843

Proposals for 3 years.

Fusly.	Fusly.	Fusly.	Total	Particulars of Security	Name	Accepted Amount	Proposals Tavany Deposited At 15 and 25 per Cent	Total	Securities	Average Collections from Fusly 1249 to 1251
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
"	"	"	"	"	Wernalore Ummeyeppa Moodely and Savalpet Maudavaraya chetty	2,225 " "	15 " "	333 12 "	Salem Gopaula Chetty	2,186 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	Namacul Narain pillay and Mullapolliem Mootloo Reddy	4,300 " "	15 " "	645 " "	Paupe Reddy of Nullipolliem Manager of Namacul Mootlah and Nullapah Reddy Mootahdar of Moottanjetty	4573 5 4
"	"	"	"	"	Pootloor Vencataramaniah	1,810 " "	15 " "	241 8 "	Venkut Row of Pootoor Mootadar of Cacabay	1946 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	Salem Gopaulachetty	11,000 " "	25 " "	3500 " "	" "	12970 " "
"	"	"	"	"	Ditto Ditto	5,000 " "		1250 " "		4318 5 4
"	"	"	"	"	Shengherry Cooppaniengar	1,800 " "	15 " "	270 " "	Nauche goudah of Rungapolliem in Sen Kerry Talook	2258 5 4
"	"	"	"	"	Wornaloor Ummeyeppah Moodely	2,800 " "	25 " "	700 " "	" "	2833 5 4
"	"	"	"	"	Vembiah of Conaramungalum	1,940 " "	15 " "	291 " "	Nauchegoudah of Rungapolliem in Sen Kerry Talook	1762 " "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	32848 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	Urrasemputty Mooneyeppah	6,550 " "	25 " "	1637 8 "	"	5926 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	Cummanellore Cooppiah	3,300 " "	15 " "	495 " "	Audeppah Chetty of Doullahbud	4203 5 4
"	"	"	"	"	Soolagherry Tegalaraputty Mooneyeppah	6,700 " "	25 " "	1675 " "	"	5116 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	Tripatore Vencatauchella moodely and Nautrembully Chinniah goudah	6,650 " "	25 " "	1662 8 "	"	7457 " "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	22696 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	Denkencottah Cunnum Soobbechetty	14,000 " "	15 " "	2100 " "	Eraulum Soobbe Chetty of Ossoor	11866 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	and Ossoor Toongah Vencata Chetty	13,000 " "	15 " "	1950 " "		10550 " "
						27000 " "		4050 " "		22416 10 8
"	"	"	"	"	"	84,075 " "	" "	16781 4 "	"	77962 10 "
"	"	"	"	"	Tripatore Vencatauchella Moodely and Nautrembully Chinnah goudah	500 " "	25 " "	125 " "	"	400 " "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	16906 4 "	"	78362 " "
						84,575 " "				

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart Sety Pt. Coll.rs Statement.

19th June 1843. Errors. Excepted. JHn.

9111

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Statement Shewing the average of 3 years Collections of the Abkarry Revenue.

Fusly.	Under Amanie. Bariz.	Sibbendy.	Net Balance.	Under Rent. Bariz.	Collections.	Net Balance.	Total as per Columns 4 and 6.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8.
1249	"	"	"	"	"	"	71595 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"	77375 "
1250	"	"	"	"	"	"	77375 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"	86116 "
1251	"	"	"	"	"	"	86116 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"	71595 "
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	235086 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"	235086 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"	78362 "
Average Pys.						Pys.	year 78362 "

19th June 1843. Errors. Excepted

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector.

The

9112. Fort St. George 26th. June 1843.

Resolution thereon.

(34-35)

The Board sanction the acceptance of the offers for the rent of the Abkarry and Betle and Tobacco Revenues of the Salem District for the ensuing Fusly 1253 reported in the foregoing letter, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 107575. ——— and exceeding the rent of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 6625. ———

Fusly 1253.		
Abkarry	84575	
Betel and Tobacco	23000	107575
Fusly 1252		
Abkarry	78350	
Betel and Tobacco	22600	
Total		100950
Increase	6625	

Read the following letter.

(36)

From the Actg. Pr. Collr. of Madura

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an appeal Petition addressed to the Board by Caroopana pillay Sadamoodya pillay and Mootoocamatchee pillay, Nattamgars, Ramanoojiem pillay Curnum and Rungasawmy pillay Curnum's Gomasta of Thainoor Village, joint defendants in the case of annajee Row late Tasildar of Madura column already forwarded. ———

Madura 7th. June 1843

(Signed) W. Morehead Actg. Pr. Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be sent again in circulation. —

(36-37)

To

9113 Fort St. George 26th June 1843. —

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(38)

From the Actg. Pr. Collector of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to forward 3 Statements of biddings for the Tobacco, Betel, and Abkary farms of the approaching fusly 1253. the Comparative result of which with the expiring fusly is as follows. —

		Fusly 1252 Rent	Fusly 1250 Biddings	Increase	Decrease	
Under the Principal Collector —	Tobacco	45200	42770	"	2430	
	Betel	72050	72020	"	30	
	Abkary	40140	43840	3700	"	
		157390	158630	3700	2460	
	Deduct Decrease				2460	
	Net Increase				1240	
Under the Sub Collector —	Tobacco	18460	17270	"	590	
	Betel	24200	23310	"	890	
	Abkary	8650	10240	1590	"	
		51310	51420	1590	1480	
	Deduct Decrease				1480	
	Net Increase				110	
Total —	Tobacco	63660	60640	"	3020	
	Betel	96258	95330	"	920	
	Abkary	48790	54020	5290	"	
		208700	210050	5290	3940	
	Deduct Decrease				3940	
	Net Increase				1350	

2. It will be seen from the total amount of the Collectorate that a net increase of Rupees 1350 is the result. —

3. I beg to State, that out of the required deposit of Rupees 17503-8-. the sum of Rupees 6963-8-. has been already paid, the balance Rupees 10540 will be realized, and the usual Security of one fourth of the Rents be fully arranged before the expiration of the Current fusly. —

Madura 20th June 1843

(Signed) W. Morehead Actg. Pr. Collector

Statement

9114.

For Ft. St. Georg

(34) No. 1. Statement of Tobacco bidding for Fusly 1253. in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul.—

Talooks	No. of Shops	Highest Bidders.	Amount	Amount of Deposit.	Average of 3 last years Nett Collection.
			C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P
Madura.					
Madacolum	2	Chokalingumpilly	10,000 " "	833 " "	10,483 34
Thiroomungalum	1	Syed Rowten Audumsam	5,400 " "	450 " "	5,350 " "
Meylore	2	Calcanasoondermpillay and Rungasawmy Pillay	3,700 " "	308 " "	3,033 34
Ramnad Zemindary	10	Shaik Abdul Cauder	12,050 " "	1,004 " "	14,000 " "
Shevagunga Do.	3	Chokalingumpillay	9,000 " "	750 " "	10,933 34
	18		40,150 " "	3,345 " "	43,800 " "
Dindigul					
Taudirumboo	3	Vellia Rowten & Mohadeen Batcha	6,910 " "	576 " "	6,367 108
Syempully	1	Saudoo Rowten & Papanaiken	4320 " "	360 " "	3,726 108
Thenkurry	3	Soobroya Moodely & Sevapurungeriapillay	3040 " "	253 " "	3,344 108
Nellacottah	1	Caroopanapilly & Soobramaniapilly	3,600 " "	300 " "	3,606 108
Maroongapoory	3	Meera Hoossain Rowten	2,620 " "	218 " "	3,882 " "
	11		20,490 " "	1,707 " "	20,927 108
	29		60,640 " "	5,052 " "	64,727 108

Madura 20th June 1843 E. E. [illegible] (Signed) H. Morehead

Acting Collector

9115.

No 2. Statement shewing the Amount
Fusly 1253 in the Districts of

Talooks	No of Mettors	Names of Bidders
Madura		
Madacolum	2	Narrainsawmy Nattan & Poo
Thiroomungalum	1	Syed Rowten and Audum S
Meylote	2	Appusawmy Reddy
Ramnad Zemindary	10	Auroomoogum Pillay
Sheragunga Zemindary	3	Chokalingum Pillay
	18	
Dindigul		
Taudicomboo	3	Veerabadra Pillay & Hoosa
Iyempully	1	Fakeer Rowten & Varadapy
Thenkurray	3	Syed Rowten
Nellacottay	1	Kunnia Nattan
Marongapoory	3	Aynah Mahomed and four
	11	
	29	

Madura 20th June 1843.

Tobacco Collection of former Fusilies.-

26th June 1843.-

Fusilies	Amanee Collection	As per Cowle or Puttah.-	Rent Collection up to 31st May 1843.- Collection	Remission	Total.	Balance.	Total Collection of Columns 2 and 5.-
	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p
1231	" " "	59,812 15 9	59,812 15 9	" " "	59,812 15 9	" " "	59,812 15 9
1232	" " "	64704 13 4	64704 13 4	" " "	64704 13 4	" " "	64704 13 4
1233	" " "	64,014 12 .	64,014 12 .	" " "	64,014 12 .	" " "	64,014 12 .
1234	" " "	83,392 7 .	78,277 1 8	5,115 5 4	83,392 7 .	" " "	83,392 7 .
1235	" " "	80,579 2 9	79,857 12 2	721 6 7	80,579 2 9	" " "	80,579 2 9
1236	" " "	86,885 " "	86,288 8 "	596 8 .	86,885 " "	" " "	86,885 " "
1237	" " "	90,280 " "	87,032 4 .	3,247 12 .	90,280 " "	" " "	90,280 " "
1238	" " "	93,933 " "	88,687 4 2	5,245 11 10	93,933 " "	" " "	93,933 " "
1239	" " "	96,698 " "	88,177 4 6	2,520 11 6	90,698 " "	" " "	90,698 " "
1240	" " "	80,307 " "	80,307 " "	" " "	80,307 " "	" " "	80,307 " "
1241	" " "	84,457 " "	84,375 6 "	81 10 .	84,457 " "	" " "	84,457 " "
1242	" " "	71,790 5 8	67,791 6 9	3,998 14 11	71,790 5 8	" " "	71,790 5 8
1243	" " "	69,235 " "	69,235 " "	" " "	69,235 " "	" " "	69,235 " "
1244	" " "	66,889 " "	66,889 " "	" " "	66,889 " "	" " "	66,889 " "
1245	" " "	70,798 " "	70,798 " "	" " "	70,798 " "	" " "	70,798 " "
1246	" " "	70,410 " "	70,410 " "	" " "	70,410 " "	" " "	70,410 " "
1247	41,462 10 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	41,462 10 11
1248	9,805 10 8	46,400 " "	46,400 " "	" " "	46,400 " "	" " "	56,205 10 8
1249	" " "	63,469 " "	63,469 " "	" " "	63,469 " "	" " "	63,469 " "
1250	" " "	62,460 " "	62,460 " "	" " "	62,460 " "	" " "	62,460 " "
1251	" " "	68,254 " "	68,254 " "	" " "	68,254 " "	" " "	68,254 " "

Statement

26° Jun E 1843.-

Abstract Statement shewing the Amount Collections Under Annanee and the Amount of Rent Collections, Remissions and Balance of Betel farm from Fusly 1231 to 1251 in the District of Madura and Dindigul.-

Fuslies	Amount Collection in Annanee	Total Amount of Rent.-	Rent.- Total Collections.- Collection	Remission	Total Balance	Total Columns. 2 and 6.-
	CRs As Ps	CRs As Ps	CRs As Ps	CRs As Ps	CRs As Ps	CRs As Ps
1231	" " "	1,12,207 10 10	1,12,207 10 10	" "	1,12,207 10 10	" " " 1,12,207 10 10
1232	" " "	1,15,584 8 5	1,15,584 8 5	" "	1,15,584 8 5	" " 1,15,584 8 5
1233	" " "	1,03,780 13 4	1,03,780 13 4	" "	1,03,780 13 4	" " 1,03,780 13 4
1234	" " "	1,23,879 2 5	1,22,570 9 3	1,308 9 2	1,23,879 2 5	" " 1,23,879 2 5
1235	" " "	1,26,907 6 8	1,25,603 3 7	1,304 3 1	1,26,907 6 8	" " 1,26,907 6 8
1236	" " "	1,34,580 " "	1,34,509 5 "	70 11 "	1,34,580 " "	" " 1,34,580 " "
1237	" " "	1,41,532 " "	1,38,242 5 4	3,309 10 8	1,41,532 " "	" " " 1,41,532 " "
1238	" " "	1,42,559 12 4	1,42,180 14 6	378 13 10	1,42,559 12 4	" " 1,42,559 12 4
1239	" " "	1,36,214 7 6	1,34,872 11 3	1,341 12 8	1,36,214 7 6	" " 1,36,214 7 6
1240	" " "	1,04,248 2 8	1,04,176 15 4	71 3 4	1,04,248 2 8	" " 1,04,248 2 8
1241	" " "	1,13,432 " "	1,13,358 " "	74 " "	1,13,432 " "	" " 1,13,432 " "
1242	" " "	99,197 15 11	96,359 12 11	2,838 3 "	99,197 15 11	" " " 99,197 15 11
1243	" " "	93,138 " "	92,817 8 6	320 7 6	93,138 " "	" " " 93,138 " "
1244	" " "	91,298 " "	91,067 " 7	230 13 5	91,298 " "	" " 91,298 " "
1245	" " "	94,856 8 "	94,856 8 "	" " "	94,856 8 "	" " " 94,856 8 "
1246	" " "	90,595 " "	90,595 " "	" " "	90,595 " "	" " " 90,595 " "
1247	54,864 8 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " 54,864 8 10
1248	" " "	74,830 " "	74,830 " "	" " "	74,830 " "	" " " 74,830 " "
1249	" " "	83,011 " "	83,011 " "	" " "	83,011 " "	" " " 83,011 " "
1250	" " "	85,150 " "	85,150 " "	" " "	85,150 " "	" " " 85,150 " "
1251	" " "	91,636 " "	91,636 " "	" " "	91,636 " "	" " " 91,636 " "

Abstract Collection of former Fusslies.-

2d Issue 1843.-

Fusslies.-	Nett Collection in Amanee	As per Coule or Puttah.-	Collection	Remission	Total.-	Balance	Total Collection in Columns 2 and 6.-
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1215	" " "	7,704 9 6	7,704 9 6	" " "	7,704 9 6	" " "	7,704 9 6
1216	" " "	7079 1 "	6667 " "	412 1 "	7,079 1 "	" " "	7,079 1 "
1217	" " "	9583 2 11	9,129 10 6	453 8 5	9583 2 11	" " "	9583 2 11
1218	" " "	27,022 4 5	22,683 11 8	4,338 8 9	27,022 4 5	" " "	27,022 4 5
1219	" " "	22852 3 10	22,664 9 7	187 10 3	22,852 3 10	" " "	22852 3 10
1220	8968 " 10	18051 9 7	18,051 9 7	" " "	18,051 9 7	" " "	27,019 10 5
1221	" " "	27,548 1 "	27,548 1 "	" " "	27,548 1 "	" " "	27,548 1 "
1222	" " "	29,123 10 1	27,879 8 4	1244 1 9	29,123 10 1	" " "	29,123 10 1
1223	11789 " 10	13,556 " 6	13,556 " 6	" " "	13,556 " 6	" " "	25,345 1 4
1224	" " "	24,103 11 6	23,694 6 3	409 5 3	24,103 11 6	" " "	24,103 11 6
1225	" " "	26,107 11 3	26,107 11 3	" " "	26,107 11 3	" " "	26,107 11 3
1226	" " "	31,725 4 11	31,725 4 11	" " "	31,725 4 11	" " "	31,725 4 11
1227	" " "	34,669 15 6	34,669 15 6	" " "	34669 15 6	" " "	34,669 15 6
1228	" " "	35,698 15 8	35,698 15 8	" " "	35,698 15 8	" " "	35,698 15 8
1229	" " "	47,812 " "	43361 " "	4451 " "	47,812 " "	" " "	47,812 " "
1230	" " "	54,105 13 4	51,418 15 11	2,686 13 5	54,105 13 4	" " "	54,105 13 4
1231	" " "	60,206 5 9	60,206 5 9	" " "	60,206 5 9	" " "	60,206 5 9
1232	" " "	60,217 " "	59,236 " 8	980 15 4	60,217 " "	" " "	60,217 " "
1233	" " "	65,246 2 3	63,959 9 4	1,286 8 11	65,246 2 3	" " "	65,246 2 3
1234	7742 10 10	60,731 12 "	59,998 7 1	733 4 11	60,731 12 "	" " "	68,474 6 10
1235	8488 3 "	58,083 " "	55,224 1 "	2858 15 "	58,083 " "	" " "	66,571 3 "
1236	9633 8 7	60,280 " "	59,533 6 3	746 9 9	60,280 " "	" " "	69,913 8 7
1237	9848 12 7	62,199 " "	60,643 15 9	1,555 " 3	62,199 " "	" " "	72,047 12 7
1238	10,103 8 6	58,949 6 "	57,224 5 10	1725 " 3	58,949 6 "	" " "	69,052 14 7
1239	" " "	65,148 " "	62,095 4 9	3,052 11 3	65,148 " "	" " "	65,148 " "
1240	" " "	60,707 14 1	60,707 14 1	" " "	60,707 14 1	" " "	60,707 14 1
1241	" " "	63,204 " "	63,204 " "	" " "	63,204 " "	" " "	63,204 " "
1242	" " "	59,410 8 11	52,375 15 10	7034 9 1	59,410 8 11	" " "	59,410 8 11
1243	" " "	52,746 12 3	49,923 14 11	2,822 13 4	52,746 12 3	" " "	52,746 12 3
1244	" " "	47,291 " "	46,740 4 11	550 11 1	47,291 " "	" " "	47,291 " "
1245	" " "	45,705 " "	45,705 " "	" " "	45,705 " "	" " "	45,705 " "
1246	" " "	48,805 " "	48,710 14 "	94 2 "	48,805 " "	" " "	48,805 " "
1247	" " "	42,241 " "	42,241 " "	" " "	42,241 " "	" " "	42,241 " "
1248	" " "	42,461 " "	42,461 " "	" " "	42,461 " "	" " "	42,461 " "
1249	" " "	42,120 " "	42,120 " "	" " "	42,120 " "	" " "	42,120 " "
1250	" " "	42,590 " "	42,590 " "	" " "	42,590 " "	" " "	42,590 " "
1251	" " "	48,420 " "	48,420 " "	" " "	48,420 " "	" " "	48,420 " "

The

26th

Collrs Letters Received

9118 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. Georges

41

From E.B. Thomas Esqre.
Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor to report the death of yerrachinnamah naick the Zemindar of yaloo-malay in this District. - The event took place on the 8th March 1843 - all necessary measures have been taken, tho' the Jummabundy and a heap of other business has prevented my reporting earlier. - The amount of annual Peishcush is Rupees 3675 and there is no arrear on against the estate. - The Tahsildar of Veerevellypoo-Toor Taluk, in which yaloomalay is situated, was deputed to make the necessary enquiries, to take an inventary of the property and to arrange the affairs of the deceased Zemindar which he has accordingly done, yerrachinnamah naick has left two sons of whom the eldest is called after his father yerrachinnamah naick, he is 10 years old, was born on the 19th November 1832, and his minority will expire on attaining his 18th year or on the 18th November 1850. The Youngest son is Veerovangadaswamy naick, whose age is 9 years. - Besides the 2 sons, the deceased has left a widow Chackummah, and his mother Bomanal, and some other relations owing to the minority of the eldest son, the Estate was taken under

Reporting the death of yerrachinnamah naick the zemindar of yaloomalay in his district & requesting sanction for the establishment entertained for the management of the Estate during the minority of his son who is now 10 years old and also for the maintenance of the minor and family amounting in the aggregate to Rs. 1,486-10-4 per Annum as per 2 statements as enclosed remarking upon the present state of the family and future management regarding the education of the minor. -

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

under management on the 19th April 1843. and I request sanction to be given for an Ameen Establishment, according to the accompanying list No. 1 amounting annually to Rupees 408 and also for the maintenance of the Minor and family which is estimated at Rupees 1077-10-11 per annum, as will be seen on reference to the Statement No. 2 herewith submitted. Property of lesser value as such as Brass utensils &c. has been carefully secured in the Zemindar's house, whilst his jewels amounting to Rupees 2168-14-11 the Sunnud, Istimerar, and an Accountant's General's receipt for 3 Government Promissory Notes amounting to 10,000 Sicca Rupees have been sent for and lodged at the Huzoor Treasury. - It will be necessary when the Estate is taken under the Court of Wards to renew the 3 Promissory Notes in the name of the Collector for the time being, so as to enable him to draw the interest money due upon the same, and this will be done on receipt of the Board's orders. -

2. The relations of the minor are nearly all females, being neither inclined nor able to give him an education tending to fit him for his future station, but desirous rather to keep him in the seclusion and ignorance in which I find he has hitherto been living I shall use my endeavours to persuade the lad and his Brother to come willingly to

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

to Tinnevelly and place himself under instruction in Coolochenum Moodeliar's School, where I have already placed the young Zemindar of Attenkaray. - The slight additional expense Rupees 20 per month, from the proceedings of the Estate, entailed by such arrangement will I have no doubt be willingly approved of and sanctioned by the Board. - Both the boys, but especially the eldest, appear particularly sharp and intelligent, and capable of benefitting greatly if they can but be brought under proper instruction, they have visited me twice, and have now returned to perform some funeral rites for their late Father, but I shall endeavour to get them again if possible to Tinnevelly and to the school. -

Tinnevelly 17th June 1843 }

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

No. 1 Proposed list of servants of the Estate belonging to the Minor Zemindar of Yaloomalay in the Province of Tinnevelly for Fasly 1252.

No.	Office or Employment.	Amount of estimated charges or pay per Mensem	Amount of estimated charges or pay per Annum
		Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Aumeen	15 " "	180 " "
1	Sumperdy	7 " "	84 " "
1	Shroff	4 " "	48 " "
2	Peons at 3½ Rupees each	7 " "	84 " "
	Total	33 " "	396 " "
	Amount of Underward charges	1 " "	12 " "
	Total Aumeen Establishment	34 " "	408 " "

Tinnevelly 17th June 1843 } E. E. J. (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Statement

	Jun and Throff per mensem	"	"	"	3	8	"	42 " "
1	Caravan for the Farm Do	"	"	"	2	2	"	25 8 "
2	Man Servants to attend Cultivation affairs	"	"	"	2	8	"	30 " "
2	Bandymen	"	"	"	3	"	"	36 " "
1	Cowilgar to watch the Sankes	"	"	"	1	8	"	18 " "
1	Sweeper	"	"	"	1	"	"	12 " "
	Amount value of cotton seed to feed the cattle	"	"	"	64	"	"	75 " "
	Amount value of Horse gram and Medicine for the Horses	"	"	"	2	"	"	24 " "
2	Maid Servants to dress the food	"	"	"	2	"	8	24 8 "
2	Man Servants	"	"	"	2	4	"	24 " "
3	Cow herds	"	"	"	3	4	"	39 " "
3	Shepherds	"	"	"	3	2	"	37 8 "
2	Horse Keepers	"	"	"	1	13	4	22 " "
1	Cowherd in the Village of Attapedarum	"	"	"	"	12	"	9 " "
1	Porter	"	"	"	"	12	"	9 " "
	Total Sibbendy Household	"	"	"	62	10	"	751 8 "
	Grand Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	1077 10 "

Tinnevelly
17th June 1843

E. E. Jr. (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address:-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(41-42)

To the Chief Secry to Government.

Submitting a letter from the Coll. of Tinnevelly reporting the death of the Zemindar of Yallamalay and soliciting sanctions for placing the Estate under the charge of the Court of Wards maintaining an Ameen establishment at an annual charge of Rs. 408 & for granting the yearly allowance of Rs. 1097-10-11 for the support of the minor & family of the deceased Zemindar.- recommending also that the 3 Government promissory Notes of Rs. 1000 each may as suggested by the Collr. be renewed in his name in order that he may be enabled to draw the interest due upon the same during the minority & that he may be authorized to incur a monthly charge of Rs. 20 for the education of the minor Zemindar and his brother.-

In Con. 20th June 1843

Sir,

Para 1.- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly reporting the death on the 8th ult. of March last of Yerrachinnanah naick Zemindar of Yallamalay in his district.

2 The Collector appears to have adopted every precaution for securing the personal property of the late Zemindar and in consequence of the minority of his sons has at once taken the estate under management.- The Board have now to solicit the sanction of Government for placing the Zemindar under the charge of the Court of Wards maintaining an Ameen establishment according to the list (No. 1) at an annual charge of Rupees 408 and for granting the yearly allowance of Rupees 1077-10 (as per

per list No. 3) for the support of the minor and family of the deceased Zemindar.-

3 The Board beg further to recommend that the three Government promissory Notes for Star Rupees 1000 each may as suggested by the Collector be renewed in his name, in order that he may be enabled to draw the interest due upon the same during the minority.-

4 The Board view with much satisfaction the measure proposed by Mr. Thomas for ensuring the benefits of a fitting education to the young Zemindar and his brother and have much pleasure in recommending that the Collector be authorized to incur the small additional charge (20 Rupees monthly) which would be required for that purpose.-

(Signed) E.B. Lovell
Ag. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 20th June 1843

Read the following letters.-

(43)

To E.B. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll. of Tinnevelly.-

Sir,

I have the honor to inclose the receipt in duplicate for the amount of Cash in the Treasury given by C. Whittingham Esqre Sub Collector on my delivering over charge of the Treasury to him this day.-

(Signed) E.B. Thomas
Collector

Tinnevelly
19th June 1843

Received

9124 Fort St. George 26th June 1843

Received from E.B. Thomas Esqre Collector of Tinnevelly in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 6,86,496-4-7 being the balance of Cash up to this date according to the accounts of the Treasury.—

Species	Coins.	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per 100 Pieces of Coins	Amount.	Total
			Chs a P C.	Chs a P	Chs a P
Gold	Cully fanams	166	17 5 1 "	18 5 8	18 5 8
Silver	Company Rupees of 1835/40	432435	100 " " "	432435 " "	
	Do. ½ Pieces	27998	50 " " "	13999 " "	
	Do. ¼ Do.	20017	25 " " "	5004 4 "	
	Company's Rupees	121341	100 " " "	121341 " "	
	Do. ½ Pieces	112889	50 " " "	56444 8 "	
	Do. ¼ Do.	56080	25 " " "	14020 " "	
	Double Annas	35540	12 8 " "	4442 8 "	
	Single Do.	11123	6 4 " "	695 3 "	
	Bombay Rupees	24010	100 " " "	24010 " "	
	Do. ½ Pieces	2623	50 " " "	1311 8 "	
	Do. ¼ Do.	762	25 " " "	190 8 "	
	Half Pagoda Pieces	207	175 " " "	362 4 "	
	Quarter Do.	1801	87 8 " "	1575 4 "	
	Old Rupees	79	100 " " "	79 " "	
	Spanish Dollars	1004	219 14 2 "	2207 10 5	
	German Crowns	3410	212 12 7 "	7256 " 3	
	Madras fanams	345	7 12 5 33	26 13 4	
	Pootlens	2646	8 4 5 "	86 8 9	686087 9 9
Copper	Europe Copper Vice Pieces	37025	0 8 4 "	192 13 5	
	New Copper Half Anna Vice Pieces	1638	3 2 " "	51 3 "	
	Do. ¼ Do.	9363	1 9 " "	146 4 9	390 5 2
			Company's Rupees		686496 4 7

Tinnevelly
19th June 1843 } E.E. Jr. (Signed) C. Whittingham
Sub Collector

J.A.

9123 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

To E.C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

From the Sub Collr of Tinnevelly } Sir,

I have the honor to report my having taken charge of the balance of cash in this Treasury amounting to Company's or Rupees 6,86,496-4-7 for which I have passed my receipt to E.B. Thomas Esqre Collector.—

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Sub Collector

Tinnevelly
19th June 1843 }

Order on the two foregoing letters — Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government

(43-45)

To E.C. Lovell Esqre
Actg. Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Sub Collr of Tinnevelly } Sir,

(46)

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board my having this day received charge of the office of Collector and Magistrate of this District from E.B. Thomas Esqre Collector.— (Signed) C. Whittingham
Sub Collector.

Tinnevelly
19th June 1843 }

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.—
Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Canara dated 30th March and entered in Consultation 20th April last.—
Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

(46-47)

To

9126 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

(48)

To The Principal Collector of Canara.

To the Pl. Coll.r of Canara

Sir,

In Cons.n 20th July 1843

Para 1.- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th March last submitting observations in reference to the Board's letter dated 28th April 1842 connected with the case of the Buntwail Cr. Goonnastah Coobarow, and stating your Cr. conviction of his guilt and of the Sheriff's Cr. innocence.-

2.- The Formal decision of the Board in appeal, acquitting the Goonnastah and Cr. directing the fine and the amount alleged to have been embezzled by him to be returned to him, was communicated to you on the 9th December 1841 and cannot now be disturbed.

From Pl. Coll.r of Canara March 30th 1842 In Cons.n 21st April 1842 Para 1

3.- The Fine No. 15, it appears, has been already returned to the Goonnastah and the Board do not feel justified at this distance of time to recommend that Government should bear the loss of the alleged embezzlement. Rupees 78 you will be pleased therefore to collect this amount from the party whom you consider responsible for its safe Custody.-

Revenue Board's Office Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell Acting Secretary.

Read

9127- Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Read the following letter-

To E. C. Lovell Esqr. Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(49)

From H. M. Blair Esqr. Pl. Coll.r of Canara

Sir,

Para 1. In reference to the sanction of Government dated the 24th of December 1841 and communicated by the Board under date the 6th of the following month, I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board copy of a Decree passed by the Assistant Judge of the Adalut dismissing with costs the suit No. 277 of 1836.-

2. This Decree has now been appealed from, in the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Western Division in No. 30 of 1842, and the Court have called upon me to answer to the Appeal Petition of which I beg now to enclose a translation, accompanied by a draft of an answer which I propose to file to it, for the consideration of the Board.-

3. The necessity for defending the right of Government to the property in dispute was fully explained in my letter of the 1st October 1841. I therefore request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the defence of this Appeal suit at the public expense.-

Pl. Coll.r's Cutcherry Mangalore, 20th June 1843.

(Signed) H. M. Blair Pl. Coll.r

Gillah

Fort St George 20th June 1843.

No 1.

Zillah Court of Canara.

Original Suit No 277 of 1836.

1 Shunnpah, Nephew of Ganega Mudda.
2. Moonda Nephew of 1st Plaintiff residing in the Kirthaishwer Village, Munjaishwer Mogany Bekul Talook on the demise of the 1st Supplemental Plaintiff the 2d by Vakeel Anuntiah ---

Versus

Manjoo son of Ganega Teroomalay residing in the said Village Supplemental Defendant & A. Blair Esqr Principal Collector.

For recovery of a land of 4 Hoors 4 b-a Beej registered in the name of Ganega Madane producing annually Rupees 30½ and situated in the Village of Kirteshwer in the Munjeshwer Mogany of the Bekul Talook, of garden attached, valued at Rupees 25, and of Rupees 8, being the price of certain Cocoanut and Punnay trees cut down and removed from the same—

This suit was originally decided by the Sudr ameen Pundit and was appealed to the Register against whose decision the original Defendant Munjoo preferred an application for a special appeal, which was rejected by the Acting Judge on the 20th June 1840.—

A

Fort St George 20th June 1843.

A supplemental Plaint against the Collector was subsequently admitted and the suit replaced on the file under the orders of the Sudr Adalut dated the 5th January 1841.—

The Plaint states that one Ganaga Muddanah enjoyed as Moolgaur, a land situate in the Keerteshwer Village paying a Thasow Berij of 4 Hs 4-6-a and registered as No 4 in Muddanah's name. That Maddanah mased the land over through the Patail and Shanbogue to Baba Naik and Narana Naik who cultivated it till the year Parthiva, when Deva Naik the son of Narana Naik let it on Chalgueny rent to Defendant. That in 1833 the Defendant petitioned the Surkar to register the Wurg in his name, when Deva Naik represented that he was only a tenant, and the request was not acceeded to. That Muddana demised and was succeeded by Plaintiffs (being his Nephew and Grand Nephew) who remained for some time in ignorance about the land. That in 1836 Deva Naik executed to Plaintiffs a deed resigning all claim to the land and wrote to Defendant directing him to make over possession to the Plaintiffs. That Defendant refused to do so and therefore Plaintiffs have

Fort St George 26th June 1843.

have brought this suit against him.—

The original Defendant answered denying 1st that Plaintiffs were the Nephews of Muddanah. 2d That Muddanah possessed any legal claim to the land. and 3d that Dewa Naik had any power over the land, or over the Defendant, and stated further that when the present Government took possession of the Country the land at issue was and had been "Sirkar Gueny". That under the former Government Muddanah Cultivated the land for one or two years, during which time it is to be supposed that he had it registered in his name. That Muddanah threw up the land, which was then taken by others, who also had the registry altered that at length it was taken by Defendant's mother who held it and greatly improved it during twenty five years. That in 1823 Dawappah Naik asserting that the registry of the land stood in his father's name, seized the ground and gave it on rent to one Appiah on which Defendant Complained and the land with the crops was adjudged to him. That Dawappah Naik then attached his property for alleged arrears of rent. but on enquiry the

Fort St George 26th June 1843.

attached property was released. That Dawappah Naik subsequently petitioned that the Hurg might be registered in his name. which was not complied with that 1st Plaintiff subsequently petitioned for a Moolputtah, which was not granted. and that this suit has been instituted at the instigation of Dawappah Naik.

The Plaintiffs replied offering to prove their Statements.—

The Defendant rejoined to same effect.

The Plaintiffs cited 17 witnesses of whom 12 were examined, Viz. 1 Jose alias Capry. 2 Narro. 3 Appaya. 4 Derga Naik. 5 Soobroya. 6 Moonda. 7 Annapa Cumty. 8 Bastian. 9 Timmiah. 10 Naraina. 11 Lutchmunnah and 12 Bhikary Bhatta.—

The Defendants cited 5 witnesses of whom 4 were examined Viz. 1 Bhikary. 2 Coonyunna. 3 Timup Shetty and 4 Timma Naik a deposition was also taken from the Defendant.—

The Plaintiff filed 14 documents, Viz.

A. Letter written by Soobiah Shanbogue to Betul Tahsildar on the 20th June fasly $\frac{1241}{1832}$

B. Urzee addressed by Munjoo to the Assistant Collector 4th July 1832.

C. Explanation given by the Headmen to Sirkar 21st June 1241 1832.

D. Document written by Dewappah Naik to 1st Plaintiff 27th July 1246—1836.

E F G H I. Deeds Pottahs for Peramattee. Shanna Chittrathanna

Fort St George 26th June 1843-

Chittrabhanoo Parthiva and Surrajitto all granted to Muddanah—

J Gueny Chit by Moondah to Dawappah Naik 2nd Jaishta Shood of Khurra— 1831-

K Ditto to Ditto by Maurroo 1st Jaishta Bhod of Vijeya 1833-

L Ditto to Ditto by Defendant 5th Jaishta Shood of Khurra—

M Khurra to ditto by ditto 7th October fuely 1243 1833-

N Letter from Dawappah Naik to Deft. 27th July 1246-1836-

O The Defendant filed 5 Documents Viz. The Sub Collectors Order to the Bekul Tahsildar 30th March 1245-1835-

P Ditto - Ditto 28th July 1244-1834-

Q Order to Ditto by Mr. Maltby Head Assistant 24th October 1246-1836-

R Baraz Pottah for Munmatha in which Muddanah is styled "Sirkar tenant"—

S Receipt granted to Defendant by the Mogany Shanbogue—

On the 28th June 1841 the Plaintiffs Vakeel filed a Supplemental Plaint stating that 1st Plaintiff had demised and been succeeded by his sister Deray and her daughters Moondee and Doogay his nephew the 2d Plaintiff Moonda and the latters brother Soma but that the 2nd Plaintiff was the manager. That the Assistant Collector Mr. Maltby had issued an order No. 262 to the Bekul

Fort St George 26th June 1843-

Bekul Tahsildar affecting Plaintiffs right- that Mr. Maltby had left the district and Plaintiff therefore filed a supplement against the Principal Collector, and prayed that the Assistant Collectors order might be set aside, and the original Defendant ordered to give up the land to 2nd Plaintiff-

The Principal Collector through the Government Vakeel filed an answer stating that the land at issue was a Sirkar Gueny Wurg that the original proprietors having long since demised without heirs, the Estate lapsed to Government, during the former Government, and was rented out to secure the Sirkar revenue thereon, and since the British Government have assumed the Country the Estate has been similarly rented - That in Doormootty the Estate was rented to Muddanah from whom Plaintiffs claim who being the Potail of the Village had the Wurg registered in his own name but no Moolpattah was granted to him nor any proprietary right, he being considered a Sirkar tenant - The Estate was subsequently rented to one Narain Naik, and lastly to Muniyo the present tenant and Defendant in this Suit and that Plaintiffs claim to the ownership is inadmissible—

The Plaintiffs Vakeel replied that

Fort St George 20th June 1843.

that the Principal Collectors answer admitted the Principal point Vizt. that the land was registered in Muddanahs name and the other Exhibits filed prove the Plaintiffs right—

The Supplemental Defendant did not rejoin. On the 19th February 1842 the Plaintiffs Vakeel was examined and wished to file Copy of the Aymash account from fusly 1229 to 1240—

On the same date, the Supplemental Defendants Vakeel was examined and stated that he had three documents to file, Vizt.

1. The Chittah for Doormuttee, 2. The Serasary Chittah from fusly 1229, and 3 an order issued by the Sub Collector Mr. Lawie to the Bekul Tahsildar, to the effect that no Moolputtah could be granted to 1st Plaintiff but that the 2nd had not yet been sent to him by the Collector—

The Plaintiffs Vakeel filed—

I Authenticated Copy of the Aymash Serasary Chittah from fusly 1249 to 1246—

The Supplemental Defendants Vakeel filed

V Authenticated Copy of the Chittah for Doormuttee 1801

O Order by the Sub Collector to the Bekul Tahsildar on the 12th June 1842—

The suit was subsequently referred for trial to this Court—

On the 25th June 1842 the Vakeel for the Supplemental

Fort St George 20th June 1843.

Supplemental Defendant was examined and stated that exhibit I, filed by Plaintiff was the Serasary Chittah Mentioned by him, and that it was unnecessary for him to file another copy thereof—

On the 30th June the Plaintiffs Vakeel was examined and stated that the disputed Wurg came to Muddanah by inheritance from his ancestors but that Plaintiff has no document to prove this, beyond the Serkar records filed which shew the land to have been registered in Muddanahs name. nor is he able to state the name of the ancestor from whom Muddanah inherated.—

It appears from the answer of the Supplemental Defendant and Exhibit V filed on his behalf, that the Wurg now claimed was rented to Muddanah in the year Doormoottee in which year Chittahs of the Wurgs were first drawn up. And the Court is of opinion that unless Plaintiff can prove to the contrary, his whole case must fall to the ground.—

The Berij Pottahs and Exhibit I, and the other documents filed by Plaintiff are all of subsequent date to Exhibit V.—

In this, the earliest Serkar record

9136. Fort St George 20th June 1843.

record of the mungs they were entered — according to their circumstances either as "Moollee" i. e. private property, or as "Sangoo" or Gueny" i. e. Sirkar — property. and the mung now claimed is entered as "Sangoo cultivated by Potail Muddanah."—

Under these circumstances the Court considers it unnecessary to enter upon the documents and evidence — filed in this case, since no subsequent document can set aside the Chittah for Doormoottee, and it is not pretended that any Moolputtah was ever subsequently granted to Muddanah but on the contrary the 1st Plaintiff himself applied for a Moolputtah, without obtaining one.—

The

9137. Fort St Georgie 20th June 1843.

The Plaintiffs' claim is dismissed with Costs.—

	Plaintiffs Costs.	1st Defendants Costs.	Supplemental defendants Costs	Total.
Stamp duty on institution under section XIIII Regulation XIII of 1816	4 . .	. . .	. . .	4 . .
Fees of the Plaintiffs Vakeel Anuntia under Section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816	3 . 60	. . .	. . .	3 . 60
Ditto of the 1st defendants ditto Ramapah ditto ditto	. . .	3 . 60	. . .	3 . 60
Ditto of the 1st defendants 2nd ditto Lingapah ditto	. . .	1 2 30	. . .	1 2 30
Half ditto of the Supplemental defendants ditto Lingapah ditto	. . .	. . .	1 2 30	1 2 30
Value of Stamp papers by Plaintiff	12 2 .	. . .	. . .	12 2 .
Do Do by defendants	. . .	4 1 .	5 . .	9 1 .
Stamp duly on 15 Exhibits filed by Plaintiff	5 1 .	. . .	. . .	5 1 .
Ditto on 5 ditto ditto by 1st Defts	. . .	1 1 .	. . .	1 1 .
Ditto on 2 ditto ditto by Supplemental defendant	. . .	. . .	2 . .	2 . .
Expenses for serving process	. 1 36	. 1 92	. . .	. 3 28
Total Rupees	25 . 96	10 2 82	8 2 30	44 . 8
Amount not to be included in the Costs which was deposited by 1st Defts for his 2nd Vakeel Lingapah under Section XXX Regulation XIV of 1816	. . .	1 2 30	. . .	1 2 30
Balance Rupees	25 . 96	9 . 52	8 2 30	42 3 78

Exhibits

9138 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Exhibits Vea filed in Court.

Plaint 1, answer 1, Reply 1, Rejoinder 1, supplemental petition and answer 2, translation of the answer 1, Reply 1, Urzee 22, Deposition of the witnesses 16, Points to be proved 1, Sudr Ameen's decree 1, Notices 3, Asser to the Nabi 1.- Vakalutnamahs 5, Documents 22, Examinations of the Vakeels 5, Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th December 1841 1, Order to the Sudr Ameen Pundit 1, Summons 2, Urzee of the Plaintiffs' Vakeel 1; Total 89.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, at Mangalore, this 30th June A.D. 1842.

(Signed) G. S. Greenway.
Assistant Judge of the Udalut of Canara.

The period for appealing against this decree expired on the 30th September 1842.

(Signed) G. S. Greenway
Assistant Judge.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.

9139 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

No. 2.

Translation of an Appeal No. 30 of 1842.

Appellant Moondah.

Versus.

Respondent 1st Manju.

2nd H. M. Blair Esq/re

Princ/l Coll/r of Canara.

Being dissatisfied with the decision passed on the 30th June last by the Assistant Judge on review of case No. 277 of 1836 instituted by me and my Uncle Shivappa, the 1st Plaintiff against Munjoo, Son of Termalay, and the Principal Collector, made defendant under the orders of the Sudr Udawlut Court for recovery of a land producing Rupees 30-8-0 a garden valued at Rupees 25 and yielding Rupees 1-8-0 Annually, and Rupees 8- being value of trees, dismissing our claim with costs of Suit, and having Succeeded to the 1st Plaintiff Since demised, I beg to present the following Appeal for the recovery of the land and garden with the value of trees.-

1. The Assistant Judge observes that the Chittah for the year of Doormooty filed by the Supplemental defendant Shews that the land in question was rented to Mudunabut

but as he admits in his decision that the Beriz Pottahs filed by us to prove the right of our ancestor Maduna and the other documents obtained from the Collector's records are posterior to the abovementioned Chittah for Doormooty, there was no reason for dismissing my suit.

2. It is clear from the Beriz, Pottahs and other Sirkar vouchers filed by me during the former investigation of the suit that my ancestor Maduna was the Werydar and Proprietor of the land in question. Moreover the three queny Chits Nos. 11-12-13. executed by defendant in favor of 1st Plaintiff having been proved by the evidence adduced by me, the Sudr Ameen Pundit passed a just award in my favor. The defendant a tenant atwill preferred an appeal No. 6 of 1838. It appearing from the Chittahs granted for the land in question from Fusly 1229 to 1245 procured from the Supplemental defendants Office and filed during the Appeal inquiry, that my ancestor Maduna was the rightful owner of it and on his death in 1835, his Nephew the 1st Plaintiff Shenuppa who had Succeeded to

to him, had been entered "Huzur" or present, the Register confirmed the Original Decree. The Defendant presented a Special Appeal to the Zillah Court, which was rejected as contrary to the Clause V of the Regulation XV of 1816, and the Original and Appeal decesions in my favor confirmed.

3. The Assistant Judge before whom the case at the present time came for review in opening his decision States that my claim is groundless unless I adduce proof in refutation of what appears from the Chittah for the year Doormooty. That the land in question was leased to Maduna, and in Spite of this fact having been disproved by the Subsequent documents obtained from the Collector's record, he has unjustly passed a decree in favor of the Defendant as will be seen from a perusal of the decrees in Original and Appeal Suits.

4th The fact of Maduna having been mentioned as tenant in the Chitta for the year Doormooty cannot at all be depended on; inasmuch as the Subordinate Officers

Fort St.a George 26th.a June 1843.-

Officers of the Collector's department ordered at a time much posterior to the year Doo:=mooty, that a Durkast Puttah might be given to Mudunah for the land in question, and there was no reason for mentioning my ancestor Madana as its Wurgdar in the ac:=counts of the land in question from Fusly 1229 to 1245, among the records of the Principal Collector and his Nephew the 1st.a Plaintiff as "Huzar" after the death of his Uncle Mudana in 1835. This was the main point for consi:=deration and although the Assistant Judge did not consider it, a perusal of the decision in Appeal Suit No.a 6 and the accounts es filed in it, will satisfy the Court of the injustice of the decree passed on the ground of the Chitta filed by the Supplemental Defendant dated 22.d.a September 1842.-

(Signed) Moondah

(A true Translation)

(Signed) H.M. Blair.

Princ.l.a Collector.

No.3.

Draft of an answer to the Appeal Suit es No.a 30 of 1842 on the File of the Provincial Court of Appeal & Western Division.-

Appellant Moondah in his Appeal, Disputes the propriety of the decree

Fort St.a George 26th.a June 1843.-

decree passed in the Original Suit, on the ground of the proof adduced by him in the Sarafsary Chitta, Beriz Pottas and Queny-chits of his claim to the Wurg in dispute, notwithstanding the discredit thrown ones these Documents by the evidence adduced from the Doormootty Chitta, which he declares to be untrue.-

As the Decision of the case must be mainly determined by the degree of weight attached to the Documents- on which Appellant rests his claim, and to the Doormooty Chitta which is opposed to them, the 2.nd.a Respondent will confine his answer to an explanation of the relative merits of these Documents, omitting all discussion on minor points of the case.-

Appellant states that the entry of "Vurgdar" in the Sarafsary Chittah, is sufficient proof of his proprietary right to the Estate in dispute- Had this entry been in a Mooly or proprietary Vurg, it would undoubtedly have es been a Strong Support to the claim advanced, but as there is evidence

to

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.-

to Show that the Chittah belongs to a Sircar Gueny Vurg the mention in it of "Vurgdar" can only be taken as giving the holder the right of a Gueny Vurgdar, i.e. renter of land belonging to the Sircar. With regard to appellants Statement, as that the expressions "Shivapa, Nephew of Madana being present," recorded in the Chittah during the Settlement of 1835, is a further support to his claim, the 2nd Respondent contends, that so far from this being the case, a perusal of the whole of the circumstances recorded on the occasion goes entirely to disprove the Appellants claim. It is there stated that Madana having left the estate waste, it was rented by Sircar to one Narraina Naick for Cultivation, and by him Sub rented to Shivapa the Plaintiff in the original Suit, and Uncle to appellant, which arrangement could only have taken place in the case of a Sircar Gueny Vurg.-

With respect to the importance attached by Appellant to the Berij Pottas

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.-

Pottas, it must be evidence that they can only be of avail when considered in connexion with the evidence contained in the Chittas, which are of primary importance as showing the nature of the tenure of estates. As the Chitta of the Vurg in question is shewn to contain no evidence of the proprietary right appellant pretends to in the Vurg in question, the 2nd Respondent contends, that the Pottas, which are founded on the said Chitta, can be of no advantage to the Appellants arguments. It may here be further observed that appellant does not appear to have produced the Pottah for any Series of years, but only for years at Several intervals. From this it may fairly be inferred that Some of them contained evidence unfavorable to his views.-

As regards Appellants argument that Gueny Chits have been passed by the first Respondent the 2nd Respondent observes, that they appear to have been executed by

that

that Respondent in favor of one Dava Naik to whom the verg had been rented by the Sircar; but as the verg was not rented to the 1st Respondent in consider= =ation of his posseſsing any Mool right to it, the Query Chits produced by Appellant can be of no avail to him.-

The Appellant has endeavoured to invalidate the authority of the Doomooty Chitta being well aware that it is fatal to his claim. These Documents have always been held by the Court of Adawlut as the best Record we posses in all matters re= =lating to the Tenures of land in this Dis= =trict, and it is chiefly upon the evidence they afford that decisions in cases like the present are paſsed. The Aſsistant Judge has therefore justly attached much importance to the evidence adduced from the Doormooty Chitta in rejecting the Appellant's claim. But even admitting as Appellant aſserts, (though without any grounds) that the Doormooty Chitta is an invalid Document, this would only go to prove that the Sarafsary Chitta and Potta

Potta are also untrue, as they were framed exclusively in reference to the Doormooly chitta.

Had the Verg in diſpute belonged to Appellant's ancestors as their Mool pro= =perty, there would have been no reason for the 1st Plaintiff Shivapa applying for a Moolputta conferring on him the proprietary right to the Verg - the circumstance of his having thus applied for a Moolputta, was urged against appellant's claim by the 1st Respondent in his answer and rejoinder in the Original Suit and as the Plaintiffs have not attempted to refute the fact, they must be considered as having ad= =mitted the truth of it.

The Aſsistant Judge having diſmiſsed the claim of appellant after a full consideration of all the foregoing circumstances, the 2nd Respondent prays that the Decree in the Original Suit may be affirmed and the claim of Appellant be diſmiſsed all Costs.

(Signed) H. M. Blair.
Princ. Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following addreſs.

To

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.-

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(49-50)

To the Chief Secy. of Government.

Sir,

Para 1.- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara, submitting a Copy of a decree passed in the Suit instituted against himself and one Munjoo by Shinapah and Moondah.-

20th Inst. 26th June 1843

2. The decision of the Zillah Court being in favor of Government the Plaintiffs have appealed to the Provincial Court Western Division, and the Board therefore recommend that the Collector may be authorized to proceed officially with the further defence of the Suit in the Higher Court.-

Revenue Board Office, - - - }
Fort St. George 26th June 1843. - }

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary.

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secy. to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(51)

From the Collr. of Madras. -

Sir,

With reference to the orders of

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.-

of Government under date the 18th January communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th February 1842, I have the honor to submit herewith, for the necessary Sanction, a Memo of certain arrears of Yeomiah due to Khatoon Beebee and Bee Sahiba Bee respectively.-

Madras, - - - }
20th June 1843. - }

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Memorandum

9150.

Fort St. George

26th June 1843.

Memo. Shewing the arrears of yeomiah No 1-
payable to Khatoon Beebee and Bee Sahiba Bee respectively.

No	Names of the yeomiah-dar's	amount of yeomiah per annum.	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of arrears due.	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
		Rs. A. P.			Rs. a. P.			
1	Khatoon Beebee	30 " "	Orders of Govt. dated 13th aug. communicated in a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 23d September 1822	From 12th Jan. 1842 to 11th april 1843 being 1 year and 3 months.	37 8 "	No payment was made to Khatoon Beebee for some time past in consequence of the non production of a Certificate of her existence and identity, attested by two male Yeomiahdars such as is required by the rules for the Yeomiah Department, until a few days ago. There can be no objection to the necessary disbursement being made now.	No. 19151. Under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears of Yeomiah due to Khatoon Beebee & Bee Sahib Bee amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 82-4-6	No. 19151. Sanctioned Rupees 182-4-6 Eighty two, annas four and Rice five. Fort St. George 15th July 1843. By order (Sig.) G. D. Drury Chief. Secy.
151	Bee Sahiba Bee	23 " "	Transferred by the Print. Coll. of Nellore under the orders of Govt. dated 19th Commu-nicated in a letter from the Sub Secy. to the Board of Revenue dated 22d January 1843.	From 1st May 1841 to 11th april 1843 being 1 year 11 Months and 11 days.	44 12 6	It has been ascertained that no payment was made by the Print. Coll. of Nellore subsequently to the 30th April 1841, and that the non application for it by Bee Sahiba Bee was owing to her Petition for the transfer of payment from that Dist. to Madras having been under consideration since the year 1841. There does not, therefore, appear to be any objection to the arrears specified in this case being now discharged. (Signed) J. A. Hadleston Esqr.	Rec. Bd. office Fort St. George, 26th June 1843. By order (Sig.) C. B. Lovell Asst. Secy.	

Madras, 20th June 1843.-

Order thereon,

(51-52)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement accompanying the foregoing letter & submitted for the orders of Govt.

Read the following Statement

Statement

9152 Fort St. George 26th June 1843.—

Order thereon

53. 54

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre
Actg. Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

55

From the Collr. of Madras stating that, owing to the recent falls of rain, there is but little hope of his obtaining any fresh supply of salt in the present year, and recommending in consequence that authority may be granted for the sale for exportation to Calcutta being discontinued, and the quantity now remaining at the Ennore Depot G 312-351-1½ being removed to the Madras Cotaurs to meet the demand for home Consumption

Enclosure A.

Sir

1 I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue, a Memo shewing the quantity of salt manufactured and sold subsequently to the dispatch of the statement of proposed Succany advances under date the 23d December last, from which it will be observed that, whilst the quantity in store at that date was . . . . . G. 1,696 — 351 — 2½

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

the additional quantity manufactured, and received, up to the 24th instant was . . . . . 726 — 107 — "

And that the excess in the outturn of some of the heaps opened for sale was — 6 — 5 — 4

Making a total of —— 2,429 — 63 — 6½

2. The quantity sold for home consumption including wastage

wastage, up to the 24 instant was 509—288—"

The quantity sold for exportation to Calcutta ..... 1171—126—3/2

Do. now in the course of shipment in the Brig Rambler ... 61—180—2/2

1742—194—6

Leaving in store, on the 24th Instant, at the Madras Cotaurs ..... 373—317—7

and at Ennore ..... 312—351—1/2

686—269—1/2

3. The quantity required for home consuption between the 24th instant, and the 30th April 1844, when the newly manufactured salt of that year may be expected to come in is G. 820—"—" exceeding the quantity now in store, both at the Madras Cotaurs and at Ennore, by G. 133—130—7/2.

820—"—"
686—269—1/2
133—130—7/2

4. During the heavy falls of rain in May last, a large quantity of salt already scraped and heaped preparatory to its removal to the places of store, became the Crystals remaining still at the Pans was washed away. I was led to expect, however, that the weather, afterwards would

* G. 310—330—"

would have been such as to facilitate the further manufacture of a supply fully sufficient for all purposes, from the extent of the repairs made at the commencement of the season; but the rain which fell on the night of Friday the 23d Instant has interfered so materially with the progress of the work that there is now but little hope of my obtaining any fresh supply of salt in the present year, although the manufacturers have been required to make all practicable exertions, even hereafter, in case the weather should continue dry until the end of July next.—

5. Under existing circumstances, I beg leave to recommend that authority may be granted for the sale of salt for exportation to Calcutta, being discontinued, and the quantity now remaining at the Ennore Depot G. 312=351=1/2 being removed to the Madras Cotaurs to meet the demand for Home Consumption. I shall, of course, take the necessary measures, in the early part of the ensuing season, to get the deficiency of G. 133=130=7/2 made in time, to guard against any disappointment to the inhabitants of Madras.—

6. It is proper to bring to the notice

of

of the Board that the expense already incurred for depositing the ℊ 312=351=1½ at the present place of store amounts to Rupees 363–4–5 to which the estimated expense for the conveyance of this salt to the Madras Cotaurs, including the Canal toll, being added Rupees 1,287–15–5 the total is raised to Rupees 1,651–3–10 exceeding the charge which would be incurred by its removal direct from the pans by Rupees 436–4–7 as will be seen from the particulars in the enclosed statement B.

1,651–3–10
1,214–15–3
436–4–7

Madras 26th June 1843

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Coll.r

Memorandum

A

Memorandum

	Madras Cotaur			Ennore Beach			Total		
	ℊ	Mds.	M	ℊ	Mds.	M	ℊ.	Mds.	M
Quantity of Salt remaining in store on the 23d December 1842. when a Statement for Paccavy advances was submitted to the Board of Revenue	829	176	"	867	175	2½	1,696	351	2½
Dr. of Newly Manufactured Salt received into store in 1843.	52	331	"	673	176	"	726	107	"
Add Excess.	1	98	7	4	306	5	6	5	4
Total	883	205	7	1,545	257	7½	2,429	63	6½
Sales from 23d December 1842 to 23d June 1843	502	22	"	1,161	207	5½	1,663	229	5½
Wastage	7	266	"	9	318	6	17	184	6
Total	509	288	"	1,171	126	3½	1,681	14	3½
Remaining on the 24th June 1843	373	317	7	374	131	4	748	49	3
Deduct quantity applied for Brig Rambler Bengal Maunds 7,375.	"	"	"	61	180	2½	61	180	2½
Net quantity remaining on the 24th June 1843.	373	317	7	312	351	1½	686	269	½
Quantity required from 24th June 1843 to 30th April 1844 at 80 Garces per Mensem	820	"	"	"	"	"	820	"	"
Deficiency	446	82	1	"	"	"	133	130	7½

Madras 26th June 1843. E. E. J.s /Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Coll.r

Statement

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

B

Statement shewing the expense already incurred in the Conveyance of Gs. 312 — Mds. 351 — Mn. 1½ of Salt from the Pans to the Ennore Depôt, and the estimated expense for its removal from thence to the Madras Cotaur as well as that for conveying the same direct from the Pans, together with the difference between those charges.

	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
Expense already incurred for conveying the above quantity to the Ennore Depot Viz.t			
Boat hire paid at 8 as per Garce	156 7 "		
For unloading the above from Boats @ 6 fanams per Do.	146 " 2		
Measuring hire @ 2½ Ds. per Do.	60 13 3		
		363 4 5	
Estimate of the expense for conveying the above quantity from the Ennore Depôt to the Madras Cotaur, Viz.t			
For loading on the Boats @ 6 fanams per Garce	146 " 2		
Boat hire @ 11 Ds. per Do.	267 11 "		
For unloading at Madras Cotaur from Boats @ 4½ Ds. per Do.	109 8 "		
Measuring hire @ 2½ Ds. per Do.	60 13 3		
	584 " 5		
Canal Toll @ 2¼ Rs. per Do.	703 15 "		
		1287 15 5	
			1651 3 10
Estimate of the expense for conveying the above quantity from the Pans direct to the Madras Cotaur, Viz.t			
Boat hire @ 14 fanams per Garce	340 11 "		
For unloading @ 4½ Ds. per Do.	109 8 "		
Measuring hire @ 2½ Ds. per Do.	60 13 3		
Canal Toll	703 15 "		
		511 " 3	
		1214 15 3	
Difference		436 4 7	

Madras 26th June 1843. E. E. Jr. (Signed) J. A. Hudleston. Coll.r

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.

56

To the Coll.r of Chinglepute.

To The Collector of Chinglepute.

Sir,

Para.u 1. With reference to my letter dated 20th April I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that in consequence of a partial failure in the manufacture of Salt at Madras a further quantity of 2,02,000 Bengal Maunds of salt will be required for exportation from your district to Calcutta in the current year.

2. The Board are anxious to be informed at the earliest date possible whether you will be able to supply the whole or any part of this additional quantity.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th June 1843. (Signed) E. C. Lovell Asst. Secty.

55 - 57

To the Chief Secty. to Government submitting a letter from the Coll.r of Madras reporting the circumstances under

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

Para.u 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor

Fort St. George 26th June 1843

under which he apprehends that he will be unable to provide the full quantity of salt required for exportation to Calcutta stating that the Board have called on the Collr of Chingleput to supply so far as it may be in his power the dificiency and that in the meantime it appears necessary that the exportation of salt to Calcutta from Madras should be discontinued, also recommending for sanction Rs. 1651-3-10 being the estimated cost of conveyance of the salt stored at the Ennore Depot to the Madras Cotaur for home consumption.—

20th Jany 1843 in Cons. 20th Do

Rs. 4 per Ga. 35000

Rs. 4 per Ga. 1651-3-10

15th May 1843 in Cons. 20th Do

Rs. 4 per Ga. 202008 1/4 32

Gd. Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras reporting the circumstances under which he apprehends that he will be unable to provide the full quantity of Salt 2,916 3/2 Garces required for exportation to Calcutta.

2. The quantity already shipped and in course of shipment is stated to be Garces 1,232—306—6 leaving still a dificient Garces 1,683—359—7.—

	G.	M.	M
Quantity in store on the 23d December last	1,696	351	2½
Do Since Manufactured upto 23d instant	732	112	4
Total	2,429	63	6½
Deduct			
Sold for home consumption	509	288	—
Do for exportation to Calcutta	1,232	306	6
	1742	194	6
Remaining at Madras 373—317—7	686	269	½
at Ennore 312—351—1½			

3. The quantity in store to the 23d December last with the Salt Manufactured and Secured during the present season amounted to Garces 2,429—63—6½ deducting from this is Garces 509—288— sold for home consumption including wastage with the above stated quantity supplied for exportation; and the quantity remaining in store

Fort St. George 26th June 1843.—

store is Garces 686—269—½ the whole of which will be required / being less than the usual demand / for home consumption between the present time and the 30th April next when the newly manufactured Salt of the ensuing year may be expected to be available.—

The Collector states that a

Garces 310—330

4 large quantity of salt which had been heaped ready for removal was washed away by the heavy rains in May last and the rain which fell on the 23d Instant again interfered so materially with the progress of the Manufacture that there is now little hope of any further supply being made during the present year unless the whether should continue favorable during the Month of July.—

5, Under these circumstances the Board have called on the Collector of Chingleput to supply so far as it may be in his power the deficiency and in the meantime it appears necessary that the exportation of Salt to Calcutta from Madras should be discontinued and that the Salt stored at the Ennore

Ennore Depot Garces 312 351 1½ should be removed to the Madras Cotaurs for home consumption.-

6. The Collector of Madras states that a charge of Rupees 363-4-6 has been incurred in depositing it in the present place of store and that a further expense of Rupees 1287-15-5 will be required to remove it to Madras the total Estimated cost of conveyance being Rupees 1651-3-10 which the Board recommend may be sanctioned

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th June 1843. } (Signed) E. C. Lovell Ag. Sect.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the statement noted below and to record their remarks therein.-

58 From the Pt. Collr. of Canara. Read Estimate of advances for the purchase of salt & Tobacco proposed to be made for fusly 1253.-

58. 59 Order thereon, Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statement

60. Statement and submitted for the sanction of Government.-

From the Supt. & Treasurer of the Govt. Bank -- } Read Statement of

60. 61 Extra charges incurred during the Month of May last.-

Order thereon- Resolved that the necessary

62 orders be passed.-

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Read Register of

62-63 Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of May last.-

Order thereon Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

64 To The Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor

To the Collector of Guntoor -- } Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying 2 Petitions Nos. 1033 and 1099 of 1843, for such notice as they may be found to require.-

Revenue Board office Fort St. George -- 26th June 1843 -- } (Signed) E. C. Lovell Acting Secretary.-

To

65

To The Acting Prin.l Collector of Madura.

To the Aj. Pl. Coll.r of Madura - - - -

Sir,

Referring to the concluding Para of the Boards' Proceedings dated 23rd January last, and to their Endorsement under date 16th May last upon Petitions presented by certain Inhabitants of the Madura District the Board have resolved, in consequence of the late period at which their Orders reached the Commissioner in Madura, that the return to the original assessment directed in 52 Villages upon which it had been reduced shall not take effect until the commencement of the coming Fusly and that the reduced assessment only shall be collected from the Ryots in the current Fusly. You will be pleased to give due notice of this.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George -
26th June 1843 - - -

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Circular

66

Circular to all - - Collectors except - - Sea Customs Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit Two Copies of the 3d 4th and 5th parts of the Skeleton Glossary to be retained for the use of your Office.

BI.CH.
CH.DE.
DE.FO.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Aj. Secretary.

Received the Undermentioned -

Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.-

67 From the Aj. Coll.r in the N.rn Div.n of Arcot.- Abstract of Demand
68 Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-
From the Aj. Pl. Coll.r of Cuddapah.- Abstract of Demand,
69 Collection, and Balance, for the Month of May last.-
From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Abstract of Demand
70 Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-
From the Aj. Pl. Coll.r of Madura.- Abstract of Demand,
71 Collection, and Balance, for the Month of May last.-
From the Coll.r of Madras.- Abstract of Demand
72 Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-
From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.- Abstract of Demand
73 Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-
From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain -

and

74 and Salt for the Month of May last.

From the Asst. Coll.r in the Nrn. Dv. of Arcot.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

75

From the Asst. Coll.r of Cuddapah.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

76

From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

77

From the Asst. Pol. Coll.r of Madura.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

78

From the Coll.r of Madras.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

79

From the Sub Coll.r in charge of Srn. Dn. of Arcot.- Return of Fines imposed and Stoppages made from the Pay of the Public Servants from 1st January to 31st March last.-

80

From the Pol. Coll.r of Canara.- Transmitting Statements

Statements of Tobacco Seizures and increased duties as fines for the Month of April last and informing that no Goods were confiscated during the same period.-

81

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.- Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last.-

82

From the Asst. Coll.r of Vizagapatam.- Statement of Smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last.-

80-83

Order on the three abov.e Statements.- Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled articles as well as the levy of Extra duty Enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized.-

84

From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.-

85

From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Return of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.-

From

(86) From the Collector of Guntoor. Reporting that as there were no Goods to be recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last the usual Statement is not forwarded.

(87) From the Pro. Collr. of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1842/43 up to 15th June 1843.

Read

Published

Copy Recorded

Diary

28th June. Sent the following letter.

To the Collector in the Zillah of Ganjam.

(1) To the Collector in the Zillah of Ganjam

Sir,

The same to Masulipatam, Guntoor, Salem, S. Arcot, Cuddapah, Bellary, Canara & Malabar.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remind you that the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last which ought to have reached this Office on or before the 20th Instant has not yet been received and to request that you will transmit the same with as little delay as possible.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
28th June 1843.

Signed/ E. C. Lovell
A. Secretary

At

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

At a Meeting Present

Thursday — 29th June 1843 — John Dent Esqre Senior Member.

A. Robertson Esqre Second Member.

and

Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read

2

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Read the following Extract.

From the Chief Secy to Govt — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th June 1843.

Transmitting copy of a correspondence with the Government of India and requesting the Board if they are of opinion that with certain modifications act 25 of 1840 would protect the trade in Ganjah and Bang in Madras to propose and submit a draft act in conformity with the suggestions of that Government.

Read the following letter.

To W. Elliot Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1411 dated the 3d Ultimo with its Enclosures, shewing that the object contemplated by the enactment of act 14 of 1838 for protecting the Trade in Ganjah and Bang in Madras has not been fully answered, and suggesting the advisability of repealing Section 9 Regulation 3 of 1812 and substituting a more moderate duty, provided the recommendation for the entire abolition of the duties on those Articles be not acquiesced in by the Supreme Government.

2. In reply I am directed to request that You will draw the attention of the Most Noble the Governor in Council to Act 25 1840. It occurs to the President in Council that an act drawn nearly according to the form of that Law with Modifications to suit the Presidency of Fort St. George would sufficiently

sufficiently provide for the case in question-

3 The Original Enclosures of your letter are returned herewith, Copies having been retained for record-

Fort William
30th December 1842.

/Signed/ Fre.k Jas.s Halliday
Offg. Sec.y to the Govt. of India

A.

To F. J. Halliday Esq.re
Officiating Secretary to the Government of India.

Sir,

Para 1- Referring to the correspondence which led to the Enactment of Act No. XIV of 1838 for preventing Smuggling and protecting the Trade in the Articles of Gunjah and Bang, I am directed by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit to you for the purpose of being submitted for the consideration of the Honorable the President in Council the further correspondence which has taken place

Original from Bd. of Rev: with 5 Enclosures d/ 5th July 1838- No. 296-
Copy Extract Mins: Cons: 31st July 1838 No. 1045-
Original Petition from Tsobarazoo and Ietee row- d/ 8th February 1839 No. 240-
Copy Extract Mins: Cons: 25th February 1839- No. 259
Original from Bd. of Rev.e with Encls: 6 to 15- August 1839 No. 359 with Order thereon-
Copy Board's Proceedings 8th June 1840. No. 219- Para 17 with the Para of the Collectors letter to which reference is made-
Do. Ors. Bd. of Rev.e 31st Jany 1842 No. 40 para 6. with the para of the Colls. letter to which reference is made-
Do. Extract Minutes Cons: 1st March 1842 No. 259 para 4-
Original from Bd. of Rev.e 29th Sept.r 1842- No. 427 with Encls:

place on the same subject with the Board of Revenue and Collector of Madras-

2 It will be observed from the papers transmitted, that the object contemplated by the Enactment of Act XIV has not been fully answered, and that shortly after its promulgation in August 1839, the Collector of Madras stated his opinion that the arrangement made under its provisions were not likely to have any material effect on the revenue from Gunjah and Bang, unless certain restrictions were imposed by a legislative enactment on the storing and sale of these Articles within the Sayer limits of Madras-

3 The Board in commenting upon this proposition, observed that no enactments would effectually stop the practice of smuggling whilst the amount of duty was so much higher than the value of the Article on which it was imposed, and rather than recommend such a measure, they repeated in reference to the small amount of revenue derived from these resources, their previous suggestion that the tax on Gunjah and Bang as well as Godauk, should be abandoned

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

abandoned altogether, and this opinion they have since maintained and reiterated in their letter of the 29th September last, which more immediately forms the subject of the present communication.—

Amount of Duty on-

	Ganjah			Bang			Total.		
	Rs.	as.	P.	Rs.	as.	P.	Rs.	as.	P.
Fusly 1242	3167	13	2	714	"	9	3881	13	11
" 1243	2736	13	10	583	3	8	3320	1	6
" 1244	2693	3	10	708	5	7	3401	9	5
" 1245	1545	11	10	531	11	9	2077	7	7
" 1246	1082	3	3	268	2	9	1350	6	"
" 1247	497	15	10	89	10	6	587	10	4
" 1248	447	11	1	121	11	4	569	6	5
" 1249	142	"	1	20	4	11	162	5	"
" 1250	1497	"	8	293	15	6	*2791	"	2
" 1251	311	9	11	59	12	2	371	6	1
" Total	15122	3	6	3390	14	11	18513	2	5
Average	1512	3	6	339	1	6	1851	5	"

* The excess is owing to the temporary arrangement referred to in para 6 of the Board's Proceedings dated 31st Jan.y 1842.

4. From the subjoined Statement of duties collected on the Articles of Ganjah and Bang for the ten years ending with Fusly 1251 it will be observed that the Collections amounted in Fusly 1242 to Rupees 3,881 but gradually fell since that period to Rupees 371. It has been ascertained that the average Seizures in attempting to evade duties Amounted to the value of 1,023 Rupees per Annum an Average of the last four years, on which the duty would have been about Rupees 996, which added to the Revenue of Fusly 1251 would make the collections Amount to Rupees 1367-

5 So large an Amount of Seizures and the facility with which such portable Articles can be smuggled or the Revenue Officers bribed to let them pass coupled with

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

with the rapid decline of the Collections since the right of search exercised by the Collector was declared illegal, shew that the present Law is totally inefficient, and His Lordship in Council will leave it to the Government of India to decide, whether under these circumstances, the hands of the Collector shall be strengthened by means of a Legislative enactment, or whether the Articles shall be entirely absolved from duty as recommended by the Board of Revenue—

6. His Lordship in Council will only observe that these Articles are not necessaries of life, but on the contrary Stimulants of a pernicious nature, the luxuries of the most evil disposed Classes of the community, and are therefore proper objects for the imposition of a tax. But the present rates of Duty fixed by Regulation are so excessive as to hold out a premium to Smuggling and to defeat the best precautions taken to enforce them. By a calculation on the Average Seizures of the last 4 years, the duty on Ganjah calculated at 50 fanams the Viss Amounted to Rupees 847—14—4 or 87 per Cent, while the duty on Bang calculated on the same principle at 20 fanams gives a rate

of

9175

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

of duty of 86 per Cent -

If His Honor the President in Council be not disposed to acquiesce in the recommendation of the Board of Revenue for an entire abolition of the Duties on the above Articles, and should prefer an alteration of the Law, His Lordship in Council thinks that it would be advisable to repeal Section IX of Regulation III of 1812 and substitute a more moderate duty.

Fort St. George
3d December 1843.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Actg Sec.y to Govt.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Resolved that the foregoing letter and the one to which it is a reply be transmitted to the Board of Revenue with instructions to prepare and submit a draft of an Act in conformity with the suggestion of the Government of India, for the protection of the trade in Gunjah and Bang in Madras, if they are of opinion that with modifi-cations similar provisions would answer the purpose -

/True Extract/
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

9176
2-3

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Proceedings thereon - Para 1. Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th Instant No. 634 desiring the Board to prepare and submit a draft of an Act for the protection of the duty on Gunjah and Bang in Madras drawn nearly according to the form of Act 25 - 1840, and to report whether they are of opinion that with modi-fications similar provisions would answer the purpose -

Submitting a draft of Act for the protec-tion of the duty on Gunjah Bang and Tobacco in Madras prepared in most respects according to the provisions of Act 25 of 1840.

Letter 29th June 1843

2. The Board have in accordance with these instructions again taken into consideration the draft Act prepared by the Collector of Madras and submitted to Government with that Officers letter under date the 15th September last, that draft Act having been prepared in most respects according to the provisions of Act 25, 1840, and they have made a few additions in order to render it more complete - They have also made the provisions of the draft Act excepting in one Section applicable as well to Tobacco as to Gunjah and Bang. Should Government approve of this it will supersede the necessity of farther considering the provisions of Section XII to XVI of the draft

To Govt 29th September 1842.

Letters 29th Sept. 1842.

draft Act relating to various articles of Madras Town duty submitted by the Board to Government under date the 26th October 1835, and again noticed in the Minutes of Consultation 20th June 1843-

In Cons. 29 June 1843 para 4.

3.

The Board have been induced to include Tobacco in the Articles enumerated in the draft Act now submitted in antici-pation of the abolition of the inland Sayer duties as in case of this measure now being conceded the provisions formerly contemplated for the protection of the Madras special duty from Tobacco could not be carried out-

Mins: of Cons: 10th June 1843.

4-

The Board observe that the attention of the Government of India was drawn by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to the high rates of duty at present chargeable at Madras on Ganjah and Bang which must prove a great inducement to smug-gling- In making a reduction the difficulty would be to fix a rate of duty which would not hold out sufficient temptation to the illicit trader to smuggle and yet secure the collection of what Government may reasonably expect- for with an open boundary like that about the Land Custom limits

limits of Madras the facilities for removing Articles so light as Ganjah and Bang are very great The best protection against illicit traffic in those Articles appears to be a rate of duty not excessive and proper restrictions on the store and sale of them in with the Madras Land Custom limits-

The Board are of opinion that the provisions of the draft Act now submitted will tend materially to protect the revenues to which they apply, and they resolve to submit this opinion to Government with reference to the instructions conveyed in the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th Instant No. 634-

A.

Act No. of 1843 Passed by the Right Honorable the Governor General of India in Council on the 1843

An Act for regulating the sale of Tobacco, Ganjah and Bang within the Sayer limits of the Collectorate of Madras-

Whereas it is necessary to regulate the sale of Tobacco, Ganjah and Bang within the limits of the Collectorate of Madras, and to make further provision for the protection of the duty upon those Articles leviable

leviable under Clause Second Section IX Regulation III of 1812 of the Madras Code.

I It is hereby enacted that, from the next, all persons dealing in Tobacco, Ganjah or Bang, within the range of the Madras Customs Chowkies as established under Regulation III of 1812, shall take out a licence for dealing in those Articles from the Collector of Madras and that any person who shall sell any of the said Articles without having obtained such a licence shall be punishable by fine not exceeding 200 Rupees or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 Months, except for a second offence under Section XIII of this act—

II. And it is hereby enacted that the Collector of Madras shall grant at his discretion to any person applying for it, a license to sell by wholesale or retail in the form of appendix A to be in force until the end of the fusly year for, or within, which it is granted, but subject to withdrawal for any breach of the provisions of this act—

III And it is hereby Enacted that no license shall be issued until the person applying

applying for it shall have executed an agreement in such a form as the Governor of Fort St. George in Council shall from time to time determine.

IV And it is hereby enacted that Ganjah and Bang imported within the limits specified in Section I shall be stored at the Cutcherry of the Collector of Madras, and that no wholesale Dealer shall have at one time in his possession more than 1 Maund and no retail dealer more than 20 Pallams, and no person shall have more than 1 Pallam in his possession without a regular Pass from the Collector of Madras or some Officer on his Establishment duly authorized to issue such a pass.

V And it is hereby Enacted that when the Collector of Madras shall receive information that any person without a license is engaged in the sale of Tobacco Ganjah or Bang, or has in his premises such quantity as may render such person liable to the suspicion of secretly and illicitly trafficking in such articles he shall and is hereby authorized and required to take the deposition of the informer in writing and if the information so given

given shall appear credible so as to justify such proceeding it shall further be competent to the said Collector of Madras to issue a search warrant, addressed to an Officer or Officers or the Establishment of the said Collector to enter and search any such premises as may be declared by the informer to contain the illicit Tobacco Ganjah or Bang specified in his deposition and to seize and with the sanction of the Board of Revenue, to confiscate the same and to arrest the owner or occupant of the premises together with all parties concerned in the transaction who may be found on the premises and the Collector is hereby required to forward all parties so seized with all convenient dispatch to the Superintendant of Police should the circumstances of the case seem to him to require, that it should be brought to judgment in the manner provided for by section XIV of the Act, otherwise he shall immediately release them.

VII. And it is hereby enacted that if any person or persons shall by threats or

or violence prevent lawful arrest of any person by an Officer duly authorized to seize illicit stores of Tobacco Ganjah or Bang or shall procure by unlawful means, his release after arrest or shall obstruct any Officer duly authorized in making search for, or seizure of any of the abovementioned articles illicitly stored or shall rescue such Articles after seizure or if the party found with any such illicit articles in possession or any other person or persons shall resist such Officer in the execution of a legal process such person or persons shall severally and respectively be liable on conviction to pay a fine not exceeding 500 Rupees commutable, if not paid to imprisonment for a term not exceeding six Months provided that such person or persons shall be further liable in the event of an affray or breach of the peace occurring in consequence of his or their resistance on conviction of the same before a competent tribunal to such punishment as is prescribed by law applicable to cases of affray and breach of the peace in addition to the penalties above prescribed for the resistance of process

And it is hereby enacted that

that if any person shall wilfully and maliciously give false information in respect to their being an illicit store of Tobacco Ganjah or Bang in any premises and so procure that such premises shall be searched to the injury and vexation of the owners thereof or of any other person or persons whatsoever such false informer shall, besides being subject to any other penalties or Damages to which he would be subject under the general law, be liable to imprisonment with or without Labour for a term not exceeding two years, and to fine not exceeding 500 Rupees commutable to a further term of imprisonment for six Months if not paid

VIII And it is hereby enacted that it shall be lawful for the Collector of Madras to give authority in writing under his hand and seal to any Officer on his Establishment to enter and inspect at any time between six O'clock in the Morning and six O'clock in the evening the shop or premises in which any licensed Vender of Tobacco Ganjah or Bang shall carry on the sale in order to

to ascertain that nothing is going on in contravention of the provisions of this Act or of the conditions of the Agreement executed by such Vender.

IX And it is hereby enacted that the powers which are vested by the Act in the Collector of Madras for the time being shall be equally vested in his Deputy and that whenever in this Act the term "Officer" is used it shall be used and construed to apply to such Officers as receive salary from, and are appointed by the Collector of Madras.

X And it is hereby enacted that every person holding a license for the sale of Tobacco Ganjah or Bang shall preserve such license at the shop or premises specified in the body of license and shall exhibit the license on the demand of any Officer on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras, who shall desire to inspect the same and any such licensed Vender who shall refuse, or be unable to produce his license on the demand of such Officer shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding 200 Rupees or to imprisonment for

for a term not exceeding three Months and in case of non payment of the fine to further imprisonment not exceeding a like term.

XI And it is hereby enacted that in cases in which there is an informer one moiety of all fines realized from persons convicted of the illicit possession or sale of Tobacco Ganjah and Bang and one quarter of the proceeds from sale of Articles confiscated shall upon adjudication of the case be awarded to the Officer or Officers who may have apprehended the offender or seized the illicit articles and the other moiety of the fines and a quarter of the proceeds from sale of articles confiscated shall be given to the informer, and if no fine be realized the Collector may recommend through the Board of Revenue such reasonable reward in each case as may to him seem fit.

XII And it is hereby enacted that any Officer on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras who shall be convicted of conniving at the storing or sale of Tobacco Ganjah or Bang without

without license, or by any licensed Vendor contrary to the terms of such license shall be liable to the same penalties that would be incurred by the offender at whose Act in contravention of the law he so connived.

XIII. And it is hereby enacted that, whenever any person shall be convicted of an infraction of the laws for protection of the revenue on Tobacco Ganjah and Bang after having been previously convicted of a like offence he shall be liable in addition to the penalty attached to such offence to imprisonment for a period of six months and a like punishment of Six Months imprisonment shall be incurred in addition to the punishment which may be inflicted for first offence upon every subsequent conviction after the second.

XIV And it is hereby enacted that the Superintendant of Police of the Town of Madras and every one of the Deputies of the said Superintendent in all cases of offences against this Act referred to them by the Collector of Madras shall have full jurisdiction in

in respect to such offences and that in the exercise of this jurisdiction the said Superintendent and the said Deputies shall be subject to, and be guided by the provisions of Sections III. IV and V of Act No. XXII of 1837.

B

Appendix A

Form of License to sell Tobacco or Ganjah and Bang / by / wholesale or retail /

I A.B. Collector of Madras, hereby licence C.D. inhabitant of to sell by / wholesale or retail / Tobacco or Ganjah and Bang / in a House No. in Street at within the Layer limits of the Madras Collectorate.

This License to be held by the said C.D. to the 11th day of July next subject to the provisions of Act No. of 1843, in this behalf made.

Given under my hand and seal this 1843.

/ Signed / A.B.
Collector of Madras

Extract

4

From the Chief Sect.y to Govt.

Transmitting for communication to the Collector of Rajahmundry an Extract from a letter from the Hon.ble Court of Directors explaining the views they entertain of his conduct in the matter of the Suit instituted against him on account of the removal of the embankment across the Tondava river and requesting the Board to report specially on the present state of the question and the result of the Suit if it has been persevered in.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 16th June 1843.

The Most Noble the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 22d March 1843. No. 8.

Para 1. Ordered that Copies of the Paras 1 to 8 and 13 to 21 of this Despatch be furnished to the Board of Revenue with instructions to communicate to Mr. Smith the Collector of Rajahmundry such parts of it as more directly explain the views entertained by the Honorable the Court of Directors of his conduct in the matter of the Suit instituted against him on account of the removal of the embankment across the Tondavah river.

2. With reference to para 20 the Board will report specially on the present state of the question and whether the suit against Mr. Smith has been persevered in, and if so at whose risk, and what has been the result.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / G.D. Drury
Chief Sec.y

A

Extract from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 22d March 1843. No. 8.

Para 1. With your letters dated the 15th & 19th November / No. 52 and 53 / 1842 you have transmitted for our consideration and orders at the urgent request of Mr. G.A. Smith Collector of Rajahmundry

a

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

a Voluminous correspondence on the subject of that Gentleman's conduct in relation to a suit brought against him by the late Goday Sooria Racasa Row Proprietor of the Goodecherlah and Steeramporam Estates in the District of Vizagapatam.

2. The suit was instituted in consequence of the removal under the Collector's orders of an Embankement constructed by the Zemindar across the Tundavah River for the purpose of turning the water into an irrigating channel connected with the Goodecherlah Estate. The Collector was of opinion that the construction of this Embankement was not warranted by Ancient Custom, and that it tended to the injury of the Cotton Estate then under his management, and accordingly directed its removal.

3. On the proceedings being submitted by the Board of Revenue to your Government you directed (11th July 1841) that the Collectors of Rajahmundry and Vizagapatam should meet at the place of the disputed Embankement and endeavour to effect a compromise, adding that if the suit was persevered in by the party complainant, the defence might be conducted at the public expence in the first instance.

4. In obedience to these instructions Mr. Smith met Mr. Arbuthnot the Collector of Vizagapatam at the spot in question and the result of their investigation tended to confirm Mr. Smith in the opinion which he had before expressed adverse to the right of the Zemindar of Godecherlah to construct the

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

the embankment, while Mr. Arbuthnot was convinced that the dam was of Ancient Origin, and consequently that the Raniffs claim to maintain it for the purpose of irrigating his Estate could not be successfully resisted. Both the Collectors reported that the Widow of Gooday Sooriah Racasa Row on whom the conduct of the Suit had devolved by the death of her husband, as well as the actual proprietors of the Goodecherlah Estate, had consented to stay all further proceedings, and to file a Razeenamah in the suit on condition that their right to erect a mud embankement of a limited size across the Tundavah River should be recognized, and that the Costs incurred should be paid in equal shares by the two parties. The Board of Revenue who appear to have adopted the view of the case taken by Mr. Arbuthnot, recommended that this arrangement should be acquiesced in, and this course was accordingly ordered to be pursued by your Government.

Resolution 18th Nov. 1842

5. On the 8th April 1842.

Mr.

Mr. Smith addressed a letter to the Board of Revenue in which he repeats the objections which he had before advanced to the arrangement which had received your sanction, and protests against being required to become a party to the Razeenamah as "unnecessary, illegal, or "morally degrading to his character, and at "variance with the interests of Government, "as regards the preservation of the peace of "the district" and he concludes by requesting that the whole case might be submitted for the reconsideration of Government. This was accordingly done and on the 7th June 1843. you recorded a Resolution stating that "after giving your attentive consideration "to the whole case you "were constrained "to observe that you saw no valid reason "for Mr. Smith's opposing the measure "now that all the parties had agreed "to it" and that you considered "his hesita: "tion to comply with the instructions of "his superiors as very reprehensible" Mr. Smith was further informed that, should he persist in his objections to be a party to

to the Razeenamah, Government would leave him to defend the suit at his own risk.

6. On the 23d June 1842 Mr. Smith addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government a letter in which he reiterates the arguments which he had before urged in his letters to the Board of Revenue, and was informed in reply that Government saw no reason to alter the opinion already expressed.

Resolution 12th July 1842

7. On the 25th July Mr. Smith again addressed the Chief Secretary, requesting that all the proceedings in the case might be submitted to us with any comments which the Government might have to make on his conduct. In your reply of the 30th August you pointed out to him the erroneous view which he had taken of his duty as a public Servant, and informed him that the correspondence which had taken place would be transmitted to us in regular course, but if he was desirous of having it brought to our special notice an early opportunity would be taken of forwarding it.

On

8. On the 12th September Mr. Smith again addressed a long letter to the Chief Secretary containing replies to the observations made by Government on his conduct, and requesting that the whole proceedings in the case might be specially laid before us, which you accordingly ordered to be done by the first opportunity.

13. In the preceding summary of the proceedings we have not thought it necessary to advert particularly to the merits of the case which formed the groundwork of the correspondence, firstly, because we see no reason to object to the decision of the Board of Revenue as confirmed by your Government, and, secondly, because, even granting the decision to have been erroneous it would form no justification whatever for Mr. Smiths' reiterated refusals to obey the positive instructions of the Board of Revenue and of the Government.

14. The objections raised by Mr. Smith are of two classes, first to the construction of the embankment at-

all.

all and secondly to his being required to become a party to a deed admitting the right of the Zemindar to construct it.

15. Mr. Smiths' objections to the construction of the embankment have been repeatedly overruled by the Board of Revenue and by your Government. It was doubtless Mr. Smiths' duty to place before the Board fully and distinctly, the objections which he entertained, and the arguments and facts on which they were founded, but after their deliberate decision had been communicated to him, it was equally his duty, however his own personal opinions might remain unchanged, to carry out in his Official Character, the views and wishes of his Official superiors. It is obvious that there must be an end of all good Government if subordinate Officers consider themselves at liberty to act on their own individual responsibility without the check of any controlling authority, to which an appeal may be made if differences of opinion arise between them. In the very case which forms the subject

of

of the correspondence now before us, if it was the duty of the Collector of Rajahmundry, who believed that the construction of the embankment was not warranted by law, to cause it to be forcibly removed with the view of protecting the interests of the Cotton Estate which he considered to be injured by its existence, it was equally the duty of the Collector of Vizagapatam who entertained a directly contrary opinion, to interfere for the protection of the Goodecherlah Zemindar, whose interests would be endangered by its removal, and thus the unseemly spectacle might be presented to the people of an open conflict between the two Authorities especially charged with the maintenance and preservation of the peace of the district.

16. Mr. Smith's objection to his being required to become a party to the proposed Razeenamah appear to be, first, that he considers the instruments to be illegal as affecting the interests of parties not before the Court, and secondly, that his so doing would be an admission of error on his part in causing the embankment to be removed in the first instance.

instance.

17. Of the legality of the Razeenamah the Court before which the Suit was instituted and on whose file it was sought to be placed, would be the proper judge, and it is not to be supposed that such a document would be received, if any objection existed to its admissibility in point of law.

18. Mr. Smith's objection to attach his signature to the Razeenamah on the ground that his doing so would involve a "tacit acknowledgment that he had acted "contrary to the Regulations in the first instance," is altogether untenable. We have already stated that it was his duty to obey the lawful orders of his Official Superiors, and it is evident that he would be subjected to no personal responsibility for any act which he might do in obedience to such orders, however much it might be at variance with his own individual view of the right course of proceeding.

19. Although we consider Mr. Smith

to

to have been most lamentably mistaken in his view of his duty as a public Servant, we are willing to believe that his conduct has been throughout dictated by upright and honorable motives; but we must mark with our severe displeasure the pertinacity with which he persisted in wasting his own time and that of your Government, in pressing on your notice Appeal upon Appeal after your final and deliberate decision had been communicated to him.

20. We entirely approve your having withdrawn your Sanction for the defence of the Suit commenced by Goday Sooria Racasa Row against Mr. Smith but should he determine to defend it at his own risk and cost, a proceeding which it appears from your Secretarys letter dated 30th August 1842. he is "still competent to do" we desire to be made acquainted with the result.

21. We do not consider Mr. Smiths conduct to have been of such a nature as to demand his removal from his Office as a punishment, but if you should find in future that

that his disobedience to established authority tends to throw obstructions in the way of the effecent discharge of his Official duties, it will rest with you to take whatever steps you may consider requisite to secure the public interests from injury even to the extent of removing him from his present Office for which no special authority from us is required.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ G. D. Drury

Chief Secretary.

Ordered

9199 Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Order thereon.

4-5

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government and of the Extract from the letter of the Honorable Court of Directors which accompanied it be furnished for the information and future guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry, with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Decemr. last.-

The Collector will please to furnish the further information required in the concluding para of the foregoing Extract.

Read.

9200 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Read the following letter.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

6

From G. D. Drury Esqr. -- Chief Secretary to Government

From the Board of Revenue dated 26th September 1842 No 418
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 17th Octr. =ber 1842 No 1227.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to request you will ascertain and report whether the success which has attended the experiments made in the Salem District for the manufac=ture of Sugar from the Cane, and which was notified in the Official Gazette for general information, has induced any speculators to come forward and encourage the improved manufacture of the article, and if so, what has been the effect on the cultivation of the Cane Compared with that of preceding years.

2. His Lordship in Council would also desire to be informed, with reference to the indulgence proposed in the

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

the Minutes of Consultation noted in the Margin, to be granted to persons engaging in Coffee Cultivation - whether any individuals have availed themselves of the additional inducement therein offered for the investment of Capital in this speculation and to what extent land has been taken up for the purpose..

From the Board of Revenue dated 11th April 1842 No. 1116.
Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 19th May 1842. No. 636.

Fort St. George, 20th June 1843.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence..

(b. 7.)

To The Acting Principal Collector of Salem..

To the Actg. Pal. Coll. of Salem - - - -

Sir:

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will ascertain and report whether the success which has attended the experiments made in your District for the manufacture of Sugar from the Cane and which was notified in the Official Gazette for general information has induced any Speculators to come forward and encourage the improved manufacture of the article, and if so

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

so what has been the effect on the cultivation of the Cane, compared with that of preceding years..

2 - The Board also wish to be informed with reference to the indulgence proposed in the Minutes of Consultation noted in the Margin, to be granted to persons engaging in Coffee Cultivation, whether any individuals have availed themselves of the additional inducement therein offered for the investment of Capital in this speculation, and to what extent land has been taken up for the purpose..

To Govt. 11th April 1842. Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 19th in Cons. 23d May 1842.

No. 636.

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George, 29th June 1843 - - - -

(Signed) C. L. Lovell
Sec. Secretary

(8)

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Madras Collectorate for Fasly 1251..

Read the following Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 20th June 1843..

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Relative to the settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Collectorate of Madras for Fasly 1251.. 1841/42

(Here enter 22d December 1842 / No. 571.)

Para 1.

The Sales of Salt for Home consumption shew a decrease of 2209 Rupees last

+ 38823 Rupees.

but a considerable exportation having taken place to Bengal of which the preceeding year had not the benefit, the comparison of the gross together with Extra Collections under this head, is in favor of Fusly 1251 by Rupees 38138.-

+ 7957 Rupees - - -
Fusly 1250 Rupees 100436 -
" — 1251 — " — 138574 -
" — 38138

2

The Charges were however considerably increased, owing to the larger quantity of Salt manufactured & increase in the manufactured share advances and other incidental expenses thus causing a net increase of Rupees 16512. over the net Revenue of Fusly 1250. - 40/41

Charges Fusly 1251. Rupees 54,225.
" — 1250 — " — 32599
Rupees 21626.

Sayer. 3.

Under the head of Sayer the Collection amounted to Rupees 574,555, or Rupees 42208 above those of the preceeding year.

Taking the grown up population excluding children at 4 lacs, the consumption paying duty, would be about 2½ leaves, and 2½ Nuts per day to each person, whereas 6 Nuts and 12 leaves is supposed a fair average.-

An increase of 2704 Rupees is observed on Betel, though the total Amount is still far below the just due of Government upon the well known extensive consumption of this article

St

Betel.

4. It is trusted that the proposed act will remedy many of the evils inherent in the present system, and which Enactment will be again be brought to the notice of the Supreme Government with a view to its being passed as soon as possible into Law.-

Ganjah and Bang.

5 The Consumption of these articles must be in Madras considerable, yet the Revenue derived from it experienced this year a decrease of 2419 Rupees. With reference to the

Fusly 1250 - Rupees - 2791.
" — 1251 - " — 371 -
Rupees - 2419 -

Correspondence noted in the Margin, His Lordship in Council observes that the Board were, under date the 10th Instant No 634, desired, in Conformity with the suggestion of the Government of India, to submit a draft of an act, nearly according to the form of Act XXIV of 1840 for the protection of the trade of Ganja and Bang

From the Board of Revenue dated 29th September 1842 No 427.
To the Government of India dated 3d December 1842 No 1411.
From — Do. - - - - Do
dated 30th December 1842 No 104.

S

if they considered similar provisions likely to afford an effectual check to the smuggling of these articles.-

Piece Goods. Coll.r's Report Para 19

6 In consequence of decreased Demand and export there is a great falling off in this item amounting to 19,625 Rupees below the previous year, which also showed a decrease of 6402 below Fusly 1219.-

Betel Nut. 7- The Revenues from this source would also argue much contraband traffic. The Collector in conjunction with the authority on the border, will be pleased to adopt the measures best calculated to destroy the profits of the clandestine trader.-

8- The charges for Sayer have greatly exceeded those of Fusly 1250

+ Fusly 1251 - Rupees	- 3,35,373	caused chiefly by the greater amount of drawback, which item exceeded a like refund in Fusly 1250 by 87,+341 Rupees.
1250 — " —	2,48,032	
Rupees	87,316 -	
+ Fusly 1251 - Rupees	2,86,875	
" — 1250 — " —	1,99,533	
Rupees	87,342	

Abkary 9- A decrease in the Current Collections, and an increase in the charges caused the Net receipts to be 6,843 Rupees below Fusly 1250.

10. At the close of the Fusly there remained a balance of 10,926 Rupees, of which 43-2-1 were subsequently collected, the residue or Rupees 10,882-14-9, Consists of a nominal item of Rupees 258-11-4 and Rupees 10,624-3-5- a loss occasioned by the closing of the Arrack and toddy shops in September 1841, under circumstances already dispensed and disposed of by the Government, who now sanction the above arrear of 10882-14-9- to be written off in the accounts.-

11- The other items require no Remarks.-

The

Sotal
+426.
°6603.

12 The gross collections in =
=cluding +Extra and °arrears were 1273139-12
Rupees 79574 above those of Fusly 1250,
but inconsequence of the increased Amount
of +Charges augmented on
chiefly by the drawbacks
mentioned above, the
Net Revenue exhibits
a decrease of Rupees 32101-

+Fusly 1251 - Rupees 468639 ----
—u— 1250 —u— 356964 ----
Rupees 111675-or 36%

Fusly 1250 - Rupees 836601 ----
—u— 1251 —u— 804500 ---
Rupees - 32101 - - -

below that of Fusly 1250/40/41.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary).

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon.-

(8-9)

Ordered that the
foregoing Extract be communicated
to the Collector of Madras for his
information and guidance. Mr Middleton's
particular attention is called to Paras
2 and 7 and to the necessity of checking as
far as possible the illicit traffic in
Betel leaves and Areca Nuts.-

Extract

(10)

From the Chief Secy. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
to Government. } under date the 24th June 1843.

Read the following letter.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow:
=ledge the receipt of your letter No. 565 under
date the 27th ultimo, and to acquaint you that
His Highness the Nizam's Minister having
approved of the arrangement recently deter:
=mined by Arbitrators with a view to
prevent the recurrence of disputes on the
Eastern Frontier, he has issued the requisite
orders to the Local authorities of the Nizam's
Government to give due effect thereto.

Hyderabad, -
Residency, -
6th June 1843 }

(Signed) J. S. Fraser
Resident.-

Ordered to be communi:
=cated to the Board of Revenue with re:
=ference to the order of Government dated
the 27th ultimo. No. 554.-

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.-

Resolved

Resolution thereon.—

10-11

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Order of Government and of the letter to which it relates be furnished for the information of the Collector of Masulipatam, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 1st Instant.—

12

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th June 1843.

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

Concurring with the Board that the Collector of South Arcot has exercised a sound discretion in placing the Pagoda of Tremonallie in charge of the trustees named by him, and requesting the Board to report the nature and circumstances of the endowment referred to by the Collector as yearly made to it by the Mysore Rajah, Chundoolaul of Hydrabad, and by Government.—

Submitting with reference to the concluding Para of the Board's letter under date 25th Ultimo, a communication from the Collector of South Arcot explaining the considerations which have actuated him in the selection of Trustees to the principal Temple at Tremonallee and stating that the arrangements appear to the Board to have been concerted with judgment and carried out with much firmness and moderation.

(Here enter 5th June 1843 No 268)

Para 1

The Most Noble the Governor in Council concurs with the Board of Revenue in

in opinion, that under all the circumstances, the Collector of South Arcot has exercised a sound discretion in placing the Pagoda of Tremonalle in charge of the Trustees named by him.

2 His Lordship in Council is desirous of receiving from the Board an explanation of the Statement in Para 17 of the Collector's letter, in which he observes, that the Pagoda "is yearly endowed by the Rajah of Mysore, Chundoo Lall of Hydrabad and by our Government," although it appears from the sentence following "that poor wretches" are not infrequently instigated "to cut out their tongues in the Pagoda." His Lordship in Council would consider it highly objectionable, and at variance with the orders of the Honorable Court, to give special support to an institution where practices are encouraged opposed to the rules of Common humanity

Court

9211 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

(Court of Directors Dispatch 20th Febru-
-ary 1833 para. 9) and he requests, that the
Board will report the nature and
Circumstances of the endowment re-
-ferred to.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

No. 13.

Order thereon. Ordered that the follow-
-ing Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos.
479-480-489-490-491-496-497-
569-670-717-750-769-785 and 817.-

Endorsement. "Petitioners are informed
that the nomination of the Parties ap-
-pointed to be Trustees of the Treno-
-malee Pagoda has been reported to
Government and received their sanction.

Ordered also that the following letter
be sent in Consequence.-

To

9212 Fort St. George 29th June 1843

12-14.

To the Sub Collr. in charge of Sn. Dn. of Arcot

To The Sub Collector in Charge of
Southern Division of
Arcot.-

Sir,

In Con. 29 June 1843

With reference to the accompany-
-ing extract from the Minutes of Consultation under
date the 24th instant - I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to request that you will report the nature
and circumstances of the endowments yearly made
to the Temple at Trimamallee by the Rajah of
Mysore, Chundoo Lall of Hydrabad and by
our Government.-

2 Adverting to that part of the Minutes of
Consultation now forwarded in which it is stated that
poor wretches are not unfrequently instigated to cut out their
tongues in the Pagoda the Board wish for some
further information as to the circumstances alluded
to in the conclusion of Para 17 of Mr. Hallett's letter

In Con. 5 June 1843

of 29th May last.- It should be explained whether such
atrocities as instegating parties to cut out their tongues
are, as would seem to be understood by Government,
of no unfrequent occurrence, or whether the attempt
to which Mr. Hallett refers was a mere solitary
effort for the purpose of throwing odium upon the
Collector and his arrangements regarding the Pagoda
and whether in fact any parties did mutilate
themselves in the manner described.-

(Signed) E. C. Lowell
ag. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 29th June, 1843.-

Read

15

From the Chief Secy. to Govt. &c.

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 27th June 1843.

Read the following Extract.

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th May last a letter from the Coll. of Chingleput on the subject of a Robbery lately committed in the Sunmenjary Salt Cutcherry and Treasury in Chingleput and recommending that 100 Rupees &c. be allowed to purchase a sword &c. with silver handle to be presented to the Moonsiff. —

(Here enter 1st June 1843 No. 270)

Before sanctioning the &c. reward to the Moonsiff recommended in the foregoing Extract the Most Noble the Governor in Council desires to have before him a particular report of the proceedings of the Moonsiff on the occasion of the recent attack on the Salt Cutcherry at Gunmunjary - and of the circumstances under which he made use of the sword whether while opposed or otherwise. —

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue. —

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Order thereon.

15-16

Ordered that the Collector of Chingleput be requested to furnish the further information required by the Most Noble the Governor in Council. —

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th June 1843. —

(From Bd. 29th May)

17

From the Chief Secretary to Government. — The Most Noble the Governor in Council concurs with the &c. Board of Revenue that it would be inexpedient to maintain at the expense of Government a Plantation and Establishment for rearing silk worms and winding silk in Kurnool. —

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

17-18

Resolution thereon. — Resolved that a copy of the &c. foregoing order of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it refers be furnished &c. for the information of the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Kurnool with reference to his letter of the 11th May last. —

Read the following letters

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19

From the Coll. of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

that I have been obliged to remove from their situations the following servants.—

1 Collooty Goohan:=nah Acting Ameen of the Co canada &c Talook.—

For gross neglect in the &c realization of the revenue entrusted to his charge and disobedience of orders in unwarrantably reverting to a mode of settlement &c which had been most positively forbidden.—

2 Wooppala Nursim:=loo Ameen of the Penogondah Talook.

Do. Do. and for disgraceful conduct in the Police &c Department in forcing certain parties into the house of Chintapullah &c Ramaniah after the death of her husband Chintapully=lah Venkatarow at Penagondah, in consequence of which serious consequences might have ensued had not the general arrangements of the Magistrate counteracted the evil tendency of his conduct.—

a Zillah Rajahmundry, Collectors Office, Co canada, 8th June 1843.

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

19-20

Order thereon:— Ordered to be brought forward at Readvgaum in the 14th Sept.— a future Meeting.—

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

21

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

Sir,

Recd 8th Sept.

With reference to the standing orders of the Board of Revenue, I have the &c honor to submit for their sanction in the prescribed form two Abstracts of my Establishment as sanctioned for fusly 1252/1842/43 and proposed for fusly 1253/1843/44.

Vide A

2.— The proposed Government charges &c per Mensem for fusly 1253/1843/44 involves an increase of 16 Rupees per Mensem or Rupees 192 per annum, for the Employment of an Assistant Sheroff in the cash Department at the Huzzoor Cutcherry &c with reference to the explanation afforded by me in the statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 3d Quarter &c of

	Cash. a/c
Amount already sanctioned	115-79-12-11
Proposed and required sanction	115795-12-11
Increase	16-11-11

Collector's 4 Extra Sheroffs Employed for Sheroffing money — Cash. a/c 12-12-11

Explanation

There were 3 Sheroffs &c on the Collectors fixed Establishment up to 1st December 1835 of fusly 1245 when one was reduced from that Establishment as per revised moyen

moyen Zabitah sanctioned by Government under date 11th Sept. 1835 and one Kept up on the Establishment of the Pittapoor Zemindary then under the management of this office - The Pittapoor Establishment has since been reduced, this charge therefore has been necessary to meet the duties of shroffing the heavy Collections which drrive at the Treasury at the end of the month. - Thus the persons employed from 28th December 1842 to 1st January 1843, from 27th Jany. to 4th February and from 28th Feby. to 6th March 1843 being 5 in number were paid at 4 Annas each. -

Orders of the Board of Revenue.

No. 4 -- Sanctioned - The Board are of opinion that on the occasions referred to, an Extra shroff should have been employed in lieu of as the shroff discharged on the reduction of the Establishment of the Pittapoar Zemindary. The Collector's attention is requested to this point. -

* Vide B - Pr. Memdm Rs. - a - p 1,076 - " - "

proposed change to be sanctioned on 4th October 1842. -

Dillah Rajahmundry Collector's Office Cocanada 17th June 1843.

(Signed) G. A. Smith Collector

Memorandum

Memorandum.

	Per Mensem Rs.	a	p	Per Annum Rs.	a	p
Total Establishment as submitted in my letter of the 30th June 1842 and sanctioned by Government under date 16th August 1842	11,579	12	"	138,954	"	"
Add the following new situation proposed from fusly 1253. -						
1 Assistant Shroff at the Huzzoor Cutcherry	16	"	"	192	"	"
Total Amount of Establishment already sanctioned with proposed additions	11,595	12	"	139,149	"	"
Proposed Deductions	"	"	"	"	"	"
Remains the Establishment now proposed from fusly 1253	11,595	12	"	139,149	"	"

Dillah Rajahmundry Collector's Office, Cocanada, 17th June 1843.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) G. A. Smith Collector

Memorandum

Memorandum.

	Per Mensem.	Per Annum.
	C.R. a.p.	C.R. a.p.
Total Establishment of the Management and Minor Estates as submitted in my letter of the 20th June 1842 and Sanctioned by Government under date 4th October 1842	1676 " "	20,112 " "
Proposed Additions —	" " "	" " "
	1676 " "	20,112 " "
" Deductions —	" " "	" " "
Remains the Establishment now proposed from fasly 1253	1676 " "	20,112 " "

Dillah Rajahmundry Collector's Office, &c
Cocanada, 17th June, 1843.

E.E. (Signed) G.A. Smith
Collector.

In

Resolution thereon — In their remarks on the charge incurred in the 3d Quarter of the Current Fasly 1252 on account of Extra Shroffs in the Hoozoor Cutcherry, the Board did not intend that a Shroff should be permanently added to the Collector's Establishment as proposed in the foregoing letter, but that one Extra Shroff only should be employed whenever required in lieu of the discharged Shroff instead of several, as appears to have been done in the case to which the Board's remarks refer — The Board therefore cannot recommend the permanent employment of the additional Shroff proposed by the Collector. —

21.22.

† 51 Shroffs in 21 days. —

23.

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry — Read letter submitting for Board's consideration copy of an application received from Mr. Bowden a European Missionary for a spot of land in the Government Village of Policole for building a house on and also proposing for carrying on the Cultivation of Sugar cane &c.a. —

Ordered

9221 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

To The Collector of Rajahmundry

(23-24)

To the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that you should submit Mr. Bowden's application through the Registrar of Government Grants to which is the usual channel in cases in which Ground is required for the site of a house.

Inclosed 29th June 1843. Your letter of the 6th June is returned to you in order that you may now do so.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th June, 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

9222 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(25)

From J. T. Monhouse Esqre Pr. Coll. of Nellore.

Sir,

+ Recd. 29th June 1843.

With reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the honor to inform you that I have received a communication from the Government Vakeel of the Court of Sudr Udalut, enclosing Copy of Extract from the Proceedings of that Court under date the 12th Instant, a copy of which I herein beg to enclose, from which it appears that the sum with proportionate costs 8ca. payable to Ravoor Kristniah is Rupees 281-9-10. together with interest at 5 Pr Cent per annum for the principal sum of Rupees 189-2-6 from the 20th November 1823 up to the date on which the principal sum may be collected. The interest at that rate will amount for the principal sum of Rupees 189-2-6 to Rupees 185-3-5 from 20th November 1823 up to the current date, being a period of 19 years and 7 months. I therefore request the Board will obtain the sanction of Government for the payment of Rupees 281-9-10 the amount

amount of decree and Rupees 185-3-10 being the amount of Interest, making a total of Rupees 466-13-8 as also any trifling amount which may become due on account of Interest up to the date of payment.-

Nellore, - - -
Pl. Coll.r Cutcherry,
20th June 1843.

/ Signed / T. V. Stonhouse
Pl. Coll.r

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 12th June 1843.-

In appeal No. 4 of 1840.-

Ravoory Kristniah - appellant -

Versus

The Principal Collector of Nellore - Respondent -

Read again Petition presented by the Vakeel T. Ramchendriah on behalf of Ravoory kristniah Appellant aforesaid praying execution of the Court's Decree in the above appeal.-

(Here enter M. P. No. 390 of 1842)

Read also Miscellaneous Petition presented by the Vakeel Goolam Moortoojah on behalf of the Respondent in the said appeal shewing cause with reference to the notice served upon him by the Provincial Court under clause Eighth, Section XIV, Regulation XV of 1816 why the Decree should not be executed against him.-

Here enter M. P. No. 126 of 1843.

The execution of the Court's judgment

Judgment is objected to by the Respondent on these grounds - First, That Interest has by the Decree of the Sudr Udalut been improperly allowed, although not claimed by the Appellant. Secondly, That it has been allowed on the amount payable to the Appellant under the Decree, from the date of the judgment until the date of the application for execution thereof. Thirdly, that the sums total of the costs proportionately awarded as have been erroneously calculated and render the Respondent liable to the payment of Rupees 4-10 in excess of the amount with which he is properly chargeable.-

The Court of Sudr Udalut do not consider they can now notice the objection to the payment of Interest which the Respondent has urged, and to the receipt of which, under the rules in force, the opponent is entitled up to the date of execution of the Decree passed in his favor.-

As it is observed on reference to the Statement of Costs annexed to the Court's judgment that the Respondent by an error of calculation, has been declared liable to the payment

of

9225 Fort St.n George 29th June 1843.

of four annas ten Pice in excess of the sum properly chargeable to him, it is ordered that the necessary alteration be made in the amount eventually to be collected for payment to the appellant.-

The Court accordingly direct that the Provincial Court in the Northern Division be by precept, commanded to carry the said decree into execution by causing to be re=covered from the Respondent and paid to the Appellant the following sums.-

Amount awarded 189-2-6

Proportionate Costs ---- 78-7-4

Amount collected from the Appellant on account of the Respondent's Vakeel in the Provincial Court --- 14-0-0

92-7-4

Total Rs. 281-9-10

together with Interest at 5 per Cent per annum from the 20th November 1823 up=to the date on which the said prin=cipal sum of Rupees 189-2-6 may be collected.-

Precept returnable in 6 weeks from and after its receipt.-

Ordered that Extract from

9226 Fort St.n George 29th June 1843.

from these Proceedings be furnished respectively to the Vakeels of the Petitioners on their application.-

/True Copy/

/Signed/ F. Stonhouse

Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject.-

25.26

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for sub=mission to the most Noble the Gover=nor in Council the accompanying correspond=ence with the Principal Collector of Nellore on the subject of a decision of the Sudr Udalut on the special appeal of Rawoor Kristnaiah, which he was authorized to defend at the expense of Government.-

Submitting a correspondence with the Principal Collector of Nellore on the subject of a decision of the Sudr Udalut on the special appeal of Rawoor Kristnaiah which was authorized to be defended at the Government expense and recommending that the Principal Collector may be authorized to disburse the sum of Rs. 466. 13-8 to which the appellant is entitled under the decree with further interest on the principal sum from the 20th June to the date of payment.-

6th April 1840.

From Pl. Coll.r of Nellore 10th April in Cons. 5th May	1842
Board's Proceedings 5th May	"
To Pl. Coll.r 26th Jan.y	1843
From Do. 1st February in Cons.y 9th Do.	"
Board's Proceedings 9th Do.	"
From Pl. Coll.r of Nellore 20th in Cons.y 29th June	"

2. It has been before reported to Government that the original suit was instituted to set aside a decision of

of the Assistant Collector under Regulation IX of 1822 by which the Appellant was fined and dismissed from public employment on a charge of embezzling Rupees 189-2-6 of the public money- The Sudr Adalut appear to have considered the Embezzlement of this sum sufficiently proved, but that it had been refunded and that the Assistant Collector was in error in again levying it in addi=tion to a fine of 71 Rupees which he had imposed. The Court have therefore decided that the above sum Rupees 189-2-6 shall be repaid to Rawoor Krist=niah with interest at 5 per Cent per annum, but they otherwise affirm the Decrees of the lower Tribunals against him, and on account of his misappro=priation, deem him to have been rightly dismissed from the public service- The costs in all the suits to be borne pro=portionally by the parties.

Principal	189-2-6	Under this decree the
Interest at 5 per cent from 20th November 1823 to 20th June 1843	185-3-10	Appellant is entitled to the
Costs	92-7-4	sum of Rupees 466-13-8 as
	466-13-8	shewn in the Margin, with further interest

O.S.

interest on the principal sum of Rupees 189-2-6 from the 20 Instant to the date of payment, and the Board therefore recommend that the Principal Collector may be authorized to disburse the amount.-

Revenue Board office
Fort St.n George,
29.th June 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following

Register.-

Register

9229

Fort. St. George

Register of Certificates issued for the exportation
the year 1843 for the month of

27

Year and Month	Date	Number of Permits	Names of the Vessels.
1843			
May	2nd	3	Stree Vencat Rungum
Do	4th	4	Stree Vencataiswarloo
Do	3d	5	Stree Raumoolo
Do	9th	6	Stree Ramanoojoloo
Do	11th	7	Stree Caishava Swamy
Do	12th	8	Stree Lutchme Narsimhoolo
Do	12th	9	Stree Anjanaiyooloo
Do	16th	10	Stree Kristnamoorty
Do	12th	12	Stree Vencataiswerloo
Do	Do	13	Stree Kristnamoorty
Do	15th	14	Hajee Khaudur Bux
Do	17th	15	Stree Juggannaikoolo
Do	24th	24	Stree Vencataswarooloo
Do	25th	25	Stree Neoosimhaswamy
Do	29th	26	Stree Vencataiswarloo

O.S.

9230 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Ordered that the foregoing Register be submitted with the following address.-

27-28

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

To the Chief Secty to Government.-

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 15th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to Bengal the enclosed copy of the Register of Permits issued for the exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Ports of Nellore during the month of May 1843, Viz.t Bengal Maunds 48,120.-

2.- Adding this to the quantity reported in my letter above referred to, the total exportation to the end of May on account of the Bengal Indent for the Year 1843 is Bengal Maunds 156,541-78.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

From Nellore 22d in Cons. 29th June 1843

From Mr. W. C. Cochrane Head Assistant Collector of Nellore.

29

Read letter requesting leave of absence for 18 Months from the 5th Proximo under Section VI and VIII of the absentee rules to visit Bangalore and the Neelgherries on Medical Certificate.-

Under

A.B

9231 Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Order thereon

29-30

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing application the Board will overlook the departure from the usual course in sending it direct instead of through the Office of the Principal Collector, and resolve accordingly that it be forwarded for submission through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.-

Read the following letter

To

C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

31

From the Coll.r & Magistrate of Bellary -

Sir

Para 1 With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 8th March 1843, I have the honor to forward my Sub Collector Mr. Pelly's report on providing Carriage for the March of Troops in this District.-

2 The Board having adopted the resolution of desiring me to call upon my Sub Collector to draw out a Code of rules for the District to the administration of the affairs of which I stand appointed, such a proceeding being altogether unprecedented in this Office, I am at a loss in what way exactly to conform thereto

3.- If it is the Boards wish that I should report

report upon Mr. Pelly's Rules I shall be happy to do so—In the meantime I forward them as directed—

Bellary Coll.r and Magistrate's Office, 12th May, 1843—

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Coll.r & Magistrate

To A. Mellor Esqr.
Coll.r & Magistrate of
Bellary

Sir,

Para 1 In obedience to the Instructions of the Board of †Revenue and Government as conveyed in your letter of 18th Ultimo directing me to draw up a set of Rules for facilitating the supplying of Carriage to troops I have the honor now to submit a Draft of Proposed Rules which I think will answer the end in view—

† Extract Proceedings Bd of Revenue 6th March 1843

& Minutes Consr. 28th February 1843

2 With reference to the remarks contained in the Board of Revenue's letter to Government of 22nd December 1842 para 4 the Board will perceive that I have acted upon their suggestions regarding the mode of payment to the Mastry by a Commission out of the Cart hire and

From Secy. Board of Revs. 22nd Decr. 1842 To the Actg. Chief Secy.

and that I have also adopted the limitation proposed by the Board for defining the liability of Carts to seizure—

3 I have also availed myself of some suggestions kindly made by Major General Wood to whom I sent the proposed Draft.

4 As I have appended in the Margin in Red Ink as more convenient for reference a few Notes to some of the Rules, I need not here offer any further explanations regarding them—Except, to observe that I have devided them into 3 parts, Viz.t, The Rules more especially applying to the duties of the Commanding Officer of a Corps marching—The Rules for the guidance of the Magistrate, and The Rules which will be furnished to the Mastry—

Ananta pour Sub Coll.r & Joint Magistrates Office, 24th April 1843—

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Sub Coll.r & Joint Magistrate of Bellary

No 1

Draft of a set of proposed Rules for procuring and supplying of Carts &c &c for the use of Troops marching from Bellary drawn up by Mr. Pelly Sub Collector of Bellary with

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

with reference to the orders of Government contained in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th Febry 1843 and Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th March 1843.

Duties of Officers in Command of Regiment or Detachment.—

Rule 1

The average allotment of Carriage for a Corps removing from Bellary is as follows

Carts

Bullocks

Bearers.

which in making requisition for carriage to the Magistrate the Officer in Command will be careful not to exceed without cause

Rule 2.

When a Regiment or Detachment has received orders to march, the Officer in Command will ascertain with exactness what Carriage is required, and address a letter to the Magistrate, notifying the day it is required which shall be on the 2d day previous to that on which the Regiment is ordered to march, and specifying the exact quantity and kind of Carriage that will be

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

possible that the Route can be required with a be furnished in sufficient time from the Quarter request that the Master [illegible] same may be sent. [illegible] The Commanding Officer will give the earliest notice possible of the intended march, which shall never, except on great emergencies, be less than 15 days.

Rule 3.

The Officer Commanding on receiving intimation from the Magistrate that any of the Carts required are ready, will send a responsible person, with 3 or 4 Sepoys or Soldiers but not more, to inspect the Carts and to receive such as are capable of carrying the authorized load of 30 Maunds. This person will bring a Memorandum in the annexed form* from the Commanding Officer. He will also be instructed not to reject Carts because all are not equally good or for other insufficient cause.

* The bearer (here name and rank) has my orders to take charge of such Carts as are made over to him.

(Signed)
Date Commanding Regt

Rule

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Rule 4

This responsible person having selected such Carts as are fit will remain at the Cutchery and see the Cartowners receive their advance of pay, and their names entered in a Register, an Extract of which will be delivered to him and he will dispatch the Carts with the Maistry to the Regiment - and return the following day if required.-

Rule 5

The number of Carts allotted to the care of each of the Magistrates Maistries being limited to 25 and under: for facilitating the superintendance of them: and to obviate the necessity of the Maistry having intercourse with several Officers and petty authorities in the Regiment, the Commanding Officer is requested to distribute the Carts in such a manner as that all the Carts under one Maistry may as much as possible be confined to one or two companies - and not scattered in different parts of the Regiment - For the better attainment of this object the Commanding Officer in making requisitions for Carts might also state the number required for each troop or Company

Rule

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Rule 6

It will be the especial duty of the Commanding Officer to attend to and redress any complaint made to them by the Maistry (who is the proper medium of Communication between the Cartmen and Sepoys &c.) of any ill treatment or overloading the Carts &c. The load for a cart is not to exceed 30 Maunds or 750 lbs.

The Commanding Officer will also prevent any interference with the Maistries or Cartmen by the Regimental Cutwall - Maistry, or other person which is strictly prohibited - any misconduct on the part of the Maistries the Commanding Officer will report to the Magistrate, and take measures at the same time to prevent. The duties of the Maistries are subjoined - and the Register of Carts with which the Officer will be supplied by the Magistrate will enable him to trace any Cartowner or Maistry.

Rule 7

The Officer Commanding on any casualties being reported to him by the Maistry which the Maistry cannot replace will issue such orders, either for replacing the

the loss by making a requisition upon the nearest town, or for leaving the Cart-bee-hind as the exigencies of the case seem to require and make a note of the same in the Register

Rule 8

Officers in Command will not be required to advance the Cartmen any part of their hire. This will be done by the Ma-gistrate - and the accounts afterwards ad-justed. But at the end of the march the Officer Commanding will immediately cause the Cartowners to receive the balance of hire which will be due to them. And the amount to be paid will be notified to him by the Ma-gistrate in the Registers of Carts. The Command-ing Officer will cause the Cartowners to be paid off in presence of their respective Maistries from whom a receipt is to be taken for the sum paid.

Rule 9

The Officer Commanding will also give a Certificate to the Maistries accord-ing to the form+ noted in the Margin

+Form

This is to certify that the Maistry (here enter name) in Charge of 20 Carts (Vide No. 16 to 20 of the Register) has accompanied the Regiment on its march to this place and given a receipt for the balance of hire paid to the Cartmen under his charge.

(N.B. If any cause of Com-plaint exists against the Maistry it is to be here stated.)

Date &c. (Signed) Comm.g Reg.t

But the Maistries are to receive no money either from the Com-manding Officer or Cartmen in payment. Their pay will be entirely settled by the Magistrate.

Rule 10

The rate of hire for each Cart will be 17 annas for every 10 Miles of which one anna will be deducted by the Magistrate for the Maistries pay: and 8 annas. if the distance of the march is under 100 Miles, and 12 annas if above 100 Miles will be advanced to the Cart-owners. The remaining 8 annas or 4 annas (as the case may be) the Cartowners will have to receive at the end of the march from the Commanding Officer.

Rule 11

The Officer Commanding will also cause the Cart-owners to be paid batta at the rate of 4 annas per Cart from the day first specified for the march

march till the day of actual march - Should the march of the Regement be delayed - and also batta at the same rate during the march should the Regi= =ment make a halt of more than 2 full days at a time, but not = otherwise, the batta to commence on the 3rd halting day. The batta to be paid by the officer Commanding to each Maistry according to the number of Carts under his charge - and the Maistry is not to apply to the Sepoy for it - This batta to be received from the Sepoy by the Commanding Officer

Rule

Rule 12

It will be the duty of the officer Commanding on receiving in Duplicate from the = Magistrate a complete copy of the Register in English and the Native Language to countersign in them and retain one copy of each and return the other with such remarks as are called for at the end of the March

Duties of the Magistrate

Rule 13

The Magistrate upon whom devolves the duty of supplying Carriage to the troops, will, as regards Carts from time to time = cause a Register of all available Carts to be made and kept in his office -

Rule 14

Carts the property of any person which are ordinarily let out for hire or kept for profit will be liable to be pressed [if occasion requires it] for the use of the troops marching -

Rule 15.

The Magistrate should select a few competent Maistries for employment when required who having this intima= =tion of the Magistrate's intention to engage

engage their services, will make it to their interest to look out for Carts, and engaging amicably with the proprietors possibly procure the greater portion of the demand without annoyance at the time the requisition is made.

Rule 16

On receiving the requisition for carriage from the Officer Commanding the Regiment referred to in Rule 2: it will be the duty of the Magistrate to collect the number of Carts required at his Cutcherry and, as far as practicable in time that the Carts may be made over to the Regiment on the 2nd day preceding marching day.

Rule 17

When the Carts are ready the Magistrate will intimate the same by note * (see form in Margin) and request the Commanding Officer to send a responsible person to receive them on the day following.

* The Magistrate begs to inform the officer Commanding the Regiment that the Carts (or part of them) are ready and requests him to send a responsible person tomorrow morning to receive them

Date (Signed) Magistrate

Rule 18

Carts rejected by the responsible person

person sent, will be at once dismissed - Those that are good will be registered in the Magistrates Office according to the Form A annexed; and Copy of the Register, when all the Carts are despatched, will be sent by the Magistrate to the Officer Commanding the Regiment and that Officer will act regarding it as specified in Rule 12.

Rule 19.

The Magistrate is authorized to pay from his treasury each Cartman in advance at the rate of 8 annas for every 10 Miles, if the distance is under 100 Miles; and 12 annas if above that distance. He will also retain 1 anna for every 10 Miles per Cart to be paid to the Maistry as his full hire and disburse so much in advance as he thinks proper keeping the rest to be paid on the safe return of the Carts.

Rule 20.

The total hire for a Cart will be 1/7 annas for 10 Miles. and the balance due to the Cart owners of 8 annas or 4 annas will be disbursed by the Commanding Officer at the end of the March as mentioned in Rule 10.

The

Rule 21.

The Magistrate is also authorized to pay batta to Carts brought from a distance of 15 Miles or more at the rate of 4 Annas for every 15 Miles and also the same rate of batta for every day they are kept at the Cutcherry previous to making them over to the Regiment which will be 2 days previous to the March. The Magistrate will be careful not to retain the Carts an unnecessary long time, but for so many days as it is found necessary to keep them they will be entitled to receive batta, which batta as well as the batta for coming from a distance the Magistrate will charge in his accounts to Government.

Rule 22.

The cart owners will not then be entitled to further batta except under the provisions of Rule 11 which will be paid by the Officer Commanding

/Note. The object of this and the foregoing Rule is distinctly to divide the responsibility of the Magistrate

Magistrate and the Commanding Officer as regards the payment of the Cartowners. Until the time the Carts are made over to the Commanding Officer which is to be not more than 2 days, previous to the day notified for the March, the Charges are to be paid by the Magistrate, after that by the Officer Commanding.

Rule 23.

The number of Carts over which a single Maistry shall have superintendance shall be limited by the Magistrate to a number not exceeding 25, and in sending the Carts in charge of the responsible person sent by the Commanding Officer, (See Rule 3) the Magistrate will be careful to see that the whole number of Carts allotted to one Maistry are sent at one time in company with the Maistry.

Rule 24.

The abovementioned responsible person will be furnished with an Extract from the Register of such Carts as are taken each day by him. this Extract to be in form B.

Rule 25.

The Magistrate to whose authority the Maistry will be subjected will furnish him with rules for his direction as follows, and take a Moochilka from him in form C

Rules

Fort St. George 29th. June 1813.—

Rules for the Maistry.

1st. The Maistries to be in attendance when the Carts are made over to the Regiment, and accompany those Carts allotted to them: they will keep a correct list of the Carts taken from the Register and note the name of the sepoy or other person by whom each Cart is taken.—

2nd. They are to see that Carts are not overloaded, /30 Maunds is the extent of the load/, and that the Cartmen are not ill treated.—

3d. They are to Collect in the evening the bullocks and the Carts in readiness for the March on the following morning.—

4th. They are to report any complaint to the Commanding Officer and be the Medium of communication between Cartmen and the Regiment.—

5th. They are to report all Casualties on the road— and be the Medium for procuring substitutes and making Repairs when any are required and, when necessary, assist in the purchase of bullocks to supply any loss on the road for which they will receive from the owner compensation - should any Cart be rendered useless, and the Maistries are not able to procure a substitute, they will immediately

report

Fort St. George 29th. June 1813.—

report the circumstance to the Commanding Officer; who making a note of the same will give such orders as the exigency of the Case admits of - which the Maistry will obey - and report on his return to the Magistrate.—

6th. They are to attend at the end of the march on the Officer Commanding and see the Cartmen receive the balance of their hire from the person deputed to pay them; and they will give a receipt for the same, and receive a Certificate from the Officer Commanding.—

7th. The Maistries will receive from the Commanding Officer batta for the whole number of Carts under their charge at the rate of 4 Annas each Cart on the 3d. and each succeeding halting day,— should the Regiment halt more than 2 whole days at a time on the March but not otherwise, and they will also receive batta at the same rate from the Commanding Officer for each day the Regiment is detained at Bellary previous to Commencing its— march - the day from which the last named batta will be due will be notified to them by the Magistrate. This— batta the Maistries will pay to each of the Cartmen under their control as soon as received.—

As

8th. As the Maistries will receive their pay at the rate of 1 Anna for every 10 Miles from the Magistrate which will be deducted from the Cart hire. they are on no pretence to make any further demand for payment from the Cartowners.

9th. The Mastries to be held responsible both for the good conduct of the Cartowners, and for the safe return of the Carts to Bellary, which they will report to the Magistrate when they will receive the balance of their hire.

10th. If they have been negligent or appear to be deserving of punishment in any Case, such part of their hire to be withheld, and /should it appear right/ restored to the Cartowner who may have cause of compluant against them.

11th. They will also be liable to fine not exceeding 20 Rupees for misconduct.

Rule 26.

Carts hired at Bellary will not be requried to go to Stations beyond those noted below —

Secondrabad.	Belgaum
Sholapoor	Darwar
Cannanore	Mysore
	Bangalore

Bangalore Madras

Vellore Trichinopoly

Masulipatam Arcot

Jaulnah

Rule 27

The duties prescribed by the Magistrate will at his pleasure be entrusted to his Assistant, and during the absence of the Magistrate from Bellary and if his Assistant Cannot attend, the Tahsildar assisted by a Writer from the Hoozoor Cutcherry will superintend the supply of Carts to the troops —

Rule 28

The supply of Carriage bullocks and bearers will be regulated on similar principles but as there is no difficulty in procuring Carriage bullocks the Magistrate will send as many as are required with one or more Maistry to whom an advance of one half or 2/3rds of the hire will be made and paid by the Magistrate. And in the same manner as regards Palanqueen Bearers for which the Magistrate will apply to the Superintendant of Police. But as there are but few sets it will not always be possible for the Magistrate to supply them.

Bellary District. Anantapoor
Sub Collr's & Joint Magistr's Office,
24th April, 1843 ----

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Subcollr & Joint Magistr

Form

9250

Fort St. George

Form A

Register of Carts provided by the Magistrate of Bellary for the use of the Regement for it's March from Bellary to.—

29th June 1843.

Number	Number of Carts delivered in each Taluk.	Name and Village of the Cartowners.	Name and Village of the drivers sent by the Cartowners when different from the owner.	Name of the Maistry under whose Care the Carts are placed.	Name of the responsible person sent from the Regt. to receive the Charge of the Carts from the Collectors Cutcherry	Date on which the Carts were made over to Regiment.	Estimated value of the Carts and Bullocks.— Carts	Bullocks	Total	Remarks in which the Commanding Officer is requested to enter any note he may have to make respecting the Carts.—
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 Rupees	9 Rupees	10 Rupees	
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
20										
	20									

N.B Each Cartowner has received including the Maistries the end of the March Rs. A. P. The Maistries have and will have to receive nothing from the

pray an advance of Rs. A. P. and will have to receive at received a portion of their pay from the Magistrate Officer Commanding or the Cartowner

Bellary District,
Anantapoor,
24th April 1843

(Signed) C. Pelly
Sub Coll.r & Joint Magistrate

Form

9251 Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Form 13.

Extract from the Register of Carts for delivering to the respon-sible person sent from the Regiment to receive charge of the Carts from the Collector's Office.—

Number of the Cart as per Register	Name and Village of the driver sent by the Cart owners, or the owner, if the same person	Name of the Mastry under whose care the Carts are placed	Remarks
26			
27			
28			
29			

Bellary District,
Anantapoor ----
24th April 1843

(Signed) C. Pelly
Sub Collr & Joint Magte

Ordered

9

9252 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Order thereon—

(31-32)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation—

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(33)

From A. Mellor Esqre Collector of Bellary } Ser Para 1.

With reference to your letter of the 23d March last, I have the honor to annex for the information of the Board an abstract Statement shewing the amount of Sayer Collection on various sorts of Sugar during the last 3 years, distinguishing the quantity of home produce from that of Imports from the Mysore Country—

Reporting the amount of Sayer Collection made on various sorts of sugar during the last 3 years, and proposing that the rates at which the different sorts are valued in the Tariff at present in force in the District be left untouched.

Fusly years.	Collections of duty			Proportion—				
	Imports from the Mysore Country	Home Produce	Total	Of the imports from the Mysore Country		Of the Home Produce		Total
	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	a	C Rs.	a	C Rs.
1249	5,484	1,922	7,406	74	-	26	-	100
1250	4,238	2,022	6,260	67	11	32	5	100
1251	3,504	1,731	5,235	67	-	33	-	100
Average of years	4,408	1,892	6,300	70	-	-	30	-

From

9253 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

2. From the above it will be observed, that of the average Collection per year — amounting to C Rs. 6,300. the portion realized on the Mysore Imports amounts to C Rs. 4,408 — or 70 Per Cent. whilst the home produce only gives 30 Per Cent. Most part of the Sugar thus paying duty has been — exported to the Nizams Dominions, leaving a small quantity for the Consumption of this District. It does not therefore appear that any quantity of our home produce has ever found its way to the Sea Coasts, or at least ever been intended for exportation — by sea.

3. I beg also to append a brief account for Fasly 1251 giving the names of the sorts which are understood to be the manufacture of Mysore & this District, and the particular qualities paying to any Considerable — amount —

9254 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Sayer Collections of Fasly 1251.

No.	Names of Sugars.	Imports from the Mysore Country.	Manufactured in this District.	Total
		C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees
1	Sugar Candy White	"	"	"
2	Chinee Sugar	"	"	"
3	Sugar Candy Brown	246	74	320
4	Bursa Sugar	231	32	263
5	Roy a Poody Sugar	2010	1569	4179
6	Mugdoom Sugar	417	56	473
	Total	3504	1731	5235

1.- It will be seen from the foregoing account, that the two former kinds though entered in the Tariff are not traded in at all, and that the production of the 5th Roypoody sugar exceeds in quantity all others.--

5.- In Conformity with the instructions* of the Board, I applied to the Collector of land Customs at Madras, and obtained from him Specimens of the four sorts of sugar Clasified in the 2d para of your letter, and having minutely Compared them with the samples of sugar in the District, I have the honor to submit the following results of my examination in the same order as each sort is entered in the account appended to Para 3 of this letter.--

*Vide Para 3d

*No 1 Refined or pure white sugar— Rs 70
2 Partially refined— 55
3 Raw sugar or sugar of Commerce - 40
4 Country sugar ungrained } 25

6.- The particular kind No 2 commonly called China sugar, not being traded in as already stated, I was not able to Compare it with the Madras Specimen No 1 (the Tariff valuation of both being the same) which appears to be well refined and of the best quality.--

7.- The Bora Sugar No 4 being Chiefly

Chiefly the growth of Kodecondah Talook of this District on the borders of the Mysore Country is the next in quality and price, and perhaps equal with the Madras Specimen No 2 (Partially refined). In appearance it is in a trifling decree inferior in Colour, though there is more softness in it than in the Muster with which it has been compared. In my opinion, it only requires a little more refining.--

8.- "Roypoody" (No 5 in the account) manufactured in considerable quantities in the same Codecondah Talook, and to a limited extent in the adjoining Pengondah Talook, is the sugar in ordinary use in the District. In respect of quality, it is the most inferior, being somewhat black, and is any thing but equal to the Madras Specimen No 3 (the Raw sugar or sugar of Commerce), the latter being grained and sweet. This description is neither manufactured nor procurable in this District.--

9.- The Mugdoom sugar (6th in the list) is very nearly the same as the Madras Muster No 4 denominated the Country sugar. Both are ungrained, a little more or less, resembling

resembling Jaggery in Colour - The difference in price between the two (Viz^t 4 Chk. 1 a. 0 p.) is in proportion to the quality of each - - - This sugar is I am told equally if not more in common use than the Roypoody -

10. According to the foregoing - view, I shall proceed to classify in the subjoined Memorandum, the several sorts of sugar of this - District; setting them in a Comparative view against the specimens received from Madras with their fixed valuation per - Candy, and exhibit the difference which may appear in each item.

Sugar from Madras				Sugar in the District			Difference	
№	Description	Fixed Valuation		№	Description	Valuation as per Tariff	below the Standard	
		Chk.	a			Chk. a	Chk.	a
1	Refined or Pure White Sugar	70	"	1	China Sugar	70 "	"	"
2	Partially refined	55	"					
3	Raw Sugar grained (the Sugary Commerce)	40	"	2	Bora Sugar	45 10	9	6
4	Country Sugar ungrained	25	"	3	Roypoody Sugar	28 2	11	14
				4	Mugdoom Sugar	20 15	4	1

The

11. The Board will observe that the prices in my District do not - exceed the present fixed valuation. On the contrary they are below.

12. Under these circumstances, and as the manufacture of sugar is so trifling in this District, I would - propose that the rates in the Tariff at present in force in this District be left - untouched. -

Bellary Collectors Office 7th June 1843. } Signed A. Mellor Collector.

Resolution thereon.

The Board observe

(33-34) that it is stated in the foregoing - letter that the prices of sugars - in the Bellary District do not exceed the Madras valuations, and no alteration therefore - appears to be required - - therein.

Read.

9259 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Read the following letters.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

35

From W. U. Arbuthnot Esqre
Aga. Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the accompanying Petitions Nos. 55 and 806 of 1843 and to state that they have both been already disposed of by the Board of Revenue with reference to my Endorsements on Petitions Nos. 2009 of 1841 & 404 of 1843 respectively.

Cuddapah District

Principal Coll. Office

Gooramconda - - - -
15th June 1843 - - - - - -

Signed/ W. U. Arbuthnot
Aga. Pl. Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

35. 36

To

9260 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

37

From the Asst. Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah - - - - } Sir,

I have the honor to represent for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Emam Shaw No. 76 of Mr. Ross's Register 2, to whom an annual Mushroot allowance of Rupees 23. 2. . has been granted, failed to appear before me during the second half of last year, and his pension has in consequence not been paid to him since the 1st of June 1842.

2. When I last passed through the Village in which he resides, he was carried into my presence, and represented that his non-attendance had arisen from his advanced age and great infirmity, and the truth of his statement was apparent from his appearance; he is 84 years of age and completely bedridden.

3. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that I may receive authority from Government to pay to the above Emaum Shaw the amount of arrears from June 1842 to May 1843, amounting to Rupees 23-2-. and to continue the payment of his allowance in future.

Cuddapah - - -
Pl. Coll. Office -
26th June 1843.

(Signed/ W. U. Arbuthnot
Asst. Pl. Collr

Proceedings

9261 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

(37-38)

Proceedings thereon.- Under the circumstan-
-ces stated in the foregoing letter
the Board recommend that -
Sanction may be granted -
for the payment of the
-arrears due to the Yeomiah
-dar Emam Shaw amount-
-ing to Rupees 23 — 2 — .
and for the continuance of the
Yeomiah.-

Pc

9262 Fort St. George 29th June 1843

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

Sir,

From W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre
Acting Principal Collr of
Cuddapah ------- } Para 1. I have the honor to enclose
2 Statements exhibiting the proposals for the rents of Abkarry, and the 5 articles Viz. Tobacco, Betel leaves, Bhang, Ganjah, and Goodauk in this District for the ensuing Fusly.-
2.- The Talooks have been taken separately.- In last year a Head Renter was dispensed with in the Culoontla Talook and the rent was subdivided amongst the usual Subrenters.- As these persons have performed their engagements with much punctuality and as their offer for the present year exceeds that of any other party I propose to continue the rent in the same footing for the ensuing Fusly.-
3. Several parties who have usually combined for the purpose of renting out some of the Talooks have quarrelled amongst themselves, and this has led to unusual competetion. The consequence is that there is on the whole an increase in the Abkarry proposals for the ensuing as compared with those for the current Fusly in the sum of Rupees 5044, while in the proposals for the 5 articles above named, there is an increase in the sum of Rupees 3180. The present proposals indeed exceed those of any preceding Fusly, and as the Renters are understood to be substantial and their sureties competent, I beg to recommend that these proposals may receive the sanction of the Board of Revenue.-

Cuddapah, Principal Coll's Office, 27th June 1843.-

Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot
Actg Pl Coll'r

Statement

9203 Fort St. George 29th June 1843

No. 1 Statement shewing the proposals for Abkarry Rents with Comparison between Fusly 1252 & 1253 in the District of Cuddapah.—

No.	Talooks	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Names of the Renters
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
1	Cumbum	7915 - -	7815 - -	- - -	100 - -	1 Cuncherla Nagoolo of Nakanombod, a hamlet of Cumbum & 1 Jillah Venkut Narrainah of Goojala in the Polevandalah Talook.
2	Doopaud	1194 - -	1295 - -	101 - -	- - -	1 Calagotlapett Reddy of Rgullapaud
3	Budwail	2905 - -	2800 - -	- - -	105 - -	1 Curnaty Ramacharry of Cuddapah and 1 Bhemagounni Ramannah of Camalapoor.
4	Jummulmadgoo	5550 - -	5978 - -	425 - -	- - -	1 Pundla Soobbunnah of Jummulmadgoo
5	Doovoor	7471 - -	7250 - -	- - -	221 - -	1 Vempully Doobbereddy and 1 Boroodhottum Puntoolo of Cuddapah.
6	Coilcuntlah	6336 - -	5955 - -	- - -	381 - -	Dasayee Easwariah and others of Coilcuntlah Talook
7	Chitwail	6635 - -	6135 - -	- - -	500 - -	1 Curnatee Ramacharry of Cuddapah
8	Sidhout	2900 - -	3140 - -	240 - -	- - -	1 Curnatee Ramacharry of Cuddapah and 1 Pundi Vencatapaty of Davani Cuddapah.
9	Chennoor	17795 - -	21180 - -	3385 - -	- - -	1 Vydialingum Pillay of Bellary
10	Camalapoor	3460 - -	3030 - -	- - -	430 - -	1 Curnatee Ramacharry & 1 Ramaprasad of Cuddapah.
11	Goorumcondah	8405 - -	10000 - -	1595 - -	- - -	1 Appi Reddy of Chinnatippasamoodrum & 1 Gajoolapoory Govindoo of Voilpaud.
12	Muddepully	9855 - -	10950 - -	1095 - -	- - -	1 Raghavareddy & 1 Blapally Ramasawmy of Voilpaud
13	Polevandalah	12430 - -	11200 - -	- - -	1230 - -	1 Appi Reddy of Chinnatippasamoodrum & 1 Gajoolapoory Govindoo of Voilpaud.
14	Roychoty	5240 - -	4890 - -	- - -	350 - -	1 Coodoom Vencannah of Settipully
15	Ponganoor	4880 - -	6400 - -	1520 - -	- - -	1 Appi Reddy of Chinnatippasamoodrum & 1 Gajoolapoory Govindoo of Voilpaud.
	Total	102971 - -	108015 - -	8361 - -	3317 - -	
			Net Increase	5044 - -		

Cuddapah Principal Collrs Office 27th June 1843.

/Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot
Acting Pr. Collector

Statement

9205

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

No. 2. Statement shewing the proposals for the rents of Betel leaves Tobacco Goodauk, Gunjah and Bhang with comparison between Fusly 1252 and 1253 in the District of Cuddapah.

No.	Talooks	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Names of the Renters
1	Cumbum	1,250	1,360	110		Dhoodapallem Chinna Soobbiah of Pillalla-=paud in the Doopaud Talook
2	Doopaud	1090	1,335	245		Koombhagiry Garooda Reddy Markapoor.
3	Budwail	1,010	1265	255		Hayatkabitee alias Badakhan of Cuddapah.
4	Jummulmadgoo	1,280	1,250		30	Corrapaud Reddunnah of Jummulmadgoo.
5	Doovoor	2,680	2,500		180	Raghavareddy of Voilpaud.
6	Coilcontlah	660	820	160		Bunder Ramiah of Coincontlah.
7	Chituail	5500	5400		100	Mootial Sashoo of Cuddapah.
8	Sidhout	1135	1135			Hayathabitnee alias Badakhan of Cuddapah.
9	Chennoor	8910	9,525	615		Mootial Sashoo of Cuddapah
10	Canalapoor	730	550		180	Ragavareddy of Voilpaud.
11	Goorrumcondah	1000	2,205	1205		Ragavareddy and Polapillay Ramasamy of Voilpaud
12	Muddenpully	1,600	2,600	1000		Ditto — Ditto — Ditto
13	Polevandalah	2345	1,850		495	Curnaty Ramachary of Cuddapah
14	Roychooty	2,010	2,260	250		Mootial Sashoo of Cuddapah
15	Poonganoor	1,305	1,630	325		Appe Reddy of Chennatippasamoodrum and Goojalapoory Govindoo of Voilpaud.
	Total	32,505	35,685	4,165	985	
	Net Increase			3180		

Cuddapah Printed Collr's Office 27th June 1843. / Signed / W. W. Arbuthnot
Actg. Pt. Collector

The

Resolution thereon.- The Board sanction the settlement
39-40. of the Abkarry D.ties rents in the Cuddalpah
Abkarry 1,03,015-4-- District for fusly 1253 amounting in the aggregate
Betel Tobacco D.to 35,685-4-- to Rupees 1,43,700-- the particulars of which
1,43,700-- are exhibited in the Statements which accompany the foregoing letter.-

Read the following letter.-

To C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.n George

41.

From A. Freese Esq.re Coll.r of Chingleput } Sir, Para.h 1.- I have the honor
to request the sanction of the Board
for the confiscation and division of
the sale proceeds under section XV of
Regulation I of 1805 of 121½ mercals
Rs. As. P.
of Salt valued at 31-12-2, which was seized
on the 16.th Ultimo near Streepermadoor
from some Brinjaries, who were endeavour-
-ing to smuggle it, by accompanying two other
bodies of Brinjaries who had with
them 255 Mercalls of the same article pur-
-chased at Covelong and protected by Peonahs.
2. Although there can be little doubt
but

but these latter mentioned persons
were cognizant of the attempt to
pass the Salt, no direct proof to that
point being forthcoming and
no smuggled Salt being found
in their possession, they were allowed
to proceed on their journey.-

Chingleput District,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay 17.th June 1843 } /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector of
Chingleput.

41-42.

To the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Sir, Referring to your letter of the 17.th
Instant

9267 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to convey to you their sanction for the confiscation of the Salt, 121½ Mercals valued at Rupees 31-12-2 seized near Mecheri = natoor on the 16th Ultimo, and for the division of the sale proceeds under Section XV Regulation I of 1805.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th June 1843

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Actg Secretary.

Read

9268- Fort St. George 29th June 1843-

Read the following letter-

To.
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue-

43

From the Asst Collr of North Arcot- Sir.

Para 1- Fort St George-

Reports that the Peon Shaik Adam who disappeared with the Sasserum & pay of the Nagnavaram Talook Police Establishment is suspected to have been absconded under the exciting Circumstances-

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st Instant on the subject of the disappearance of the Peon Shaik Adam with the Sasserum and pay of the Nagnavaram Talook Police Establishment-

2. The circumstance of a Peon absconding with Money entrusted to his care is of so very uncommon occurrence that my first impression was that the Peon in question must have been robbed and Murdered- With a view to ascertain this the strictest enquiries were made between Chittoor and Naranavaram but no trace whatever was to be obtained of him on that road- Circular Orders were of course sent to all the Police Officers of the district, and particular enquiries were made of all those persons who it was supposed might know something about his family and connections, but the failure to obtain any information whatever was

was completed. It was impossible even to discover to what country he belonged. A woman was found who had once been in his keeping but had ceased to live with him for some Months prior to his disappearance. Even she was able to give no information.—

3. It appears that when the Peon left the Chittoor Treasury about 5 O'Clock P. M. on the 20th February another Peon of the same Taluck named Venkataraidoo who was also charged with Money wanted to return to the taluck in Company with him but he excused himself on account of having some business to do at the Gillah Court. Rather later on the same evening he was seen in the Chittoor bazar by a Gillah Court Peiget, and I cannot find any body who saw him afterwards.—

4. The disappearance of the Peon was not known to Mr. Goldingham till 18 days after he had received the Money when the Naranavanum Head of Police reported that he had not returned to the Taluck. I received charge of the district a week afterwards.

5. I have not communicated with the Magistrates of the adjoining districts regarding

regarding the Peon because I have not been able to obtain any such description of him as would afford them the least clue for detecting him.—

6- It is the Custom of Peons when entrusted with Public Money to make known the circumstance to the Monegars of the Villages through which they pass, and the Village Talearies of each Village are sent with them as a guard as far as the next Village. If this had been done by the Peon in question same trace of him would certainly have been obtained, and for this reason as well as on account of his having declined the Company of the Peon Venkataraidoo, I suspect that he has absconded.—

North Arcot,
Collr's Cutcherry
Ambore pet. 19th June 1843.

I have &c
Signed W. H. Babington
Acting Collector.

43-44

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter and the correspondence therein referred to.—

To. The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

To the Chief Secy to Government Submitting a Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

9271

Correspondence with the Actg. Collr. of N. Arcot on the subject of the disappearance of a peon named Shaik Adam with the Sadeyr. cured & pay of the Libbendy establishment of the Karnavanum Talook for January last, & recommending for sanction the payment of Rupees 213-2-

Fort St George 29th June 1843.

Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Acting Collector of North Arcot on the subject of the disappearance of a peon named Shaik Adam with Rupees 214-10 the Sadeyr. cured and pay of the Libbendy Establishment of the Karnavanum Talook for the Month of January last, which he had been entrusted to carry to the Talook from the Treasury in Chittoor.—

From the Actg Collr of N. Arcot 19th May 1843 in Cons. 1st June—
To Do Do 1st June—
From Do Do 19th June—
in Cons. 29th June—

2. The Collector states that although he has caused the strictest search and enquiry to be made he has been unable to obtain any information regarding the peon or his family and connexions, or even from what part of the country he came into the District. These and other circumstances connected with his disappearance leave little reason to doubt that he has absconded

9272

Fort St George 29th June 1843.

absconded with the money. He was entertained in 1840 and the Head Peon of the Karnavanum Talook having undertaken, without knowing any thing of him, to be security for his good conduct, has been dismissed.—

3. Deducting from the sum stolen Rupee 1-8-

the

9273. Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

the pay due to the pen-
tioner, the Collector requests to the
sanction of Government
for the payment of
the remaining balance
214-10-1-8
213-2- Rupees 213--2 which
the Board recommend
may be granted.--

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,---
29th June 1843.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read

9274. Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Actg. Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

45

From the Sub Collr. in Charge South Arcot.

Sir.

Referring to your letter to Mr. Hallett under date 26th Ultimo, I have now the honor to forward a statement shewing the particulars of the Enam Lands formerly granted for the support of the Cavilgar of Cuddalore.

It appears, by a report of the late Chief in Council of Fort St. David under date 22d October 1773, as well as by the Survey and Enam Summabundy accounts that the grants were originally conferred for the performance of Police duties in the Villages of the Talook of Cuddalore, and it will be further observed by the late Principal Collr. Mr. Cunliffe's letter to the Board of Revenue under date 9th January 1827, and the Board's reply thereto of 22nd March following, that the pension was reduced from 15 to 5 Pagodas per mensem, and that a great portion of the lands had been alienated.

The money payment of 5 Pagodas has been discontinued since the death of the last incumbent Rungapah Naick in last year.

B. of R. No. 22 of 1843

The Petition and its enclosures are herewith returned.

South Arcot - - - - -
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Virdachellum 16th June. - -

/Signed/ D. White
Sub Collr. in Charge

Statement

9275

Fort St. George

Statement Shewing the particulars of the Enam Lands A
-lore & ca Villages taken from the Annual Enam Summa-
of Arcot corresponding to the Item No. 75 in a State-
date the 19th December 1831.

29th June 1843.

formerly granted for the support of the Cavelgar of Cudda-
-lundy accounts of the District of Southern Division
-ment forwarded to the Board of Revenue under

No.	Village in which the Enam Lands originally granted.	Extent of Lands said to be in the possession of the Cavelgars family						Extent said alienated to others by the family of the Cavelgars by gift &c			Total				Remarks.
		Land		Teerwah			Land		Teerwah		Land		Teerwah		
		Cs.	A	Ps.	a	c	Cs.	A	Ps.	a c	Cs.	A	Ps.	a c	
1	Cochymodoo	"	15	4	1	8	"		"	" "	"	15	4	1 8	No. 1 and 2 These Lands are entirely in the pos-
2	Genganamcoopum	"	9	3	11	6	"		"	" "	"	9	3	11 6	session of the Cavelgars family.
3	Cuddalore	7	8	131	2	"	3	3½	57	" "	10	11½	188	2 "	No. 3 Of this 3 & 3½ of Land are by the family of the Cavelgar alienated partly to a Hindoo Pagoda & partly to a Bramin.
4	Tiroopapaloor	"	"	"	"	"	6	3½	79	1 3	6	3½	79	1 3	
5	Caragaravittacoopum	"	"	"	"	"	2	6½	63	14 "	2	6½	63	14 "	No. 4 to 8 These lands are entirely alienated from the family of the Cavelgar and appear by Enam Summabundy accounts to be in possession of a Pagoda, and 8 individuals.
6	Manjacoopum	"	"	"	"	"	2	14½	10	2 9	2	14½	10	2 9	
7	Copalavaudy	"	"	"	"	"	1	9	6	14 3	1	9	6	14 3	
8	Semmundalum	9		138	15	2	1	10½	8	5 "	1	10½	8	5 "	
	Total	9		138	15	2	17	15½	225	5 3	26	15½	364	4 5	
	Particulars														
	In Nunjee	7	8	131	2	"	15	1	215	2 6	22	9	346	4 6	
	" Poonjee	1	8	7	13	2	2	14½	10	2 9	4	6½	17	15 11	
	Total	9	"	138	15	2	17	15½	225	5 3	26	15½	364	4 5	

South Arcot
Collrs Cutcherry
Virdachellum
16th June 1843

E. E.

/Signed/ D. White
Sub Collr
in Charge

Ordered

9276 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.—

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter and the Correspondence therein referred to.—

To G. D. Drury Esqre
Chief Secretary to
Government.—

45.46

To the Chief Sectt. to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of order No. 1059 of 1843 in which in reference to a Petition from Vencataroyaloo Naick styling himself the direct decendant of the eldest son of the late Poligar of Cuddalore information is requested as to whether the case of the Petitioner falls under Regulation IV of 1831.—

To the Collr. of
May 1843.
From the Sub
Collr. in Charge
15th in Letrs.
29th June 1843.

2. In reply I am directed to forward the accompanying correspondence with the Sub Collector in charge of South Arcot, and to state that the Manniums &c. to which the Petitioner lays claim having been originally granted for the performance of Police duties and subsequently after the resumption of such Police Offices, having been continued as a Pension or subsistence allowance, the case of the Petitioner would appear to come within the scope of the Regulation (IV of 1831) above referred to.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, - - -
29th June 1843 - - -

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Ag. Sect.

Read

9277 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.—

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. Georges.

47

From A. P. Onslow Esqr Collr of Trichinopoly

Regarding the arrangements made about the payment of Cavilgars in Woodiarpolliam &c. Taluk &c.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to my letters of the 28th October and 19th April last, I beg to state that the amount of arrears now due to the Cavilgars of Woodiarpolliam is Rupees 24,61—8 " to meet which, there is on hand from the Collections made from the Cavel lands and fees in Woodiarpolliam only Rupees 183—9—6½ and Rupees 406—2—8½ the surplus of Collections in Arrealore— The latter sum however will be required to meet anticipated fallings off in the Arrealore Collections— so that the former sum alone can be considered appropriable for Woodiarpolliam.

2. It is expected however that about Rupees 700—" " may hereafter be collected in Woodiarpolliam— but the realization of this sum will be very gradual and in the mean time the Cavilgars are without pay.

3. Under these circumstances I have in the absence of instructions from the Board, taken upon myself to restore

the

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

the lands and fees to the Cavilgars as they were at the time of resumption by Mr. Bourdillon's placing the Cavilgars under the control of the Tahsildar instead as formerly of the Zemindar and I beg to propose that the arrears now due to them may be defrayed out of the amount in deposit on account of Collections of Mem Cavilgar's fees &c., Viz.t, Rupees 8,564 - any sums that may be recovered from the outstanding balance on account of Canal fees &c. in Woodiarpolliam to be kept in deposit till the whole question regarding the Cavil System shall be determined.

4. I urgently request that the suggestion may be complied with as it was impossible to provide against the non collection of the entire Dittum of the Cavil fees &c. and if it had been it would have been utterly impossible to have made an arrangement for paying the establishment absolutely necessary to be entertained in Woodiarpolliam but by a direct appropriation of ready money.

Trichinopoly Collector's Office 10th June 1843. } /Signed/ A. P. Anslow Coll.r

Order thereon, Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting. Read

47-48

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

49

From W. Morehead Esqr. Asst. Pl. Coll.r of Madura -

Submitting the claims against the Zemindars of Vadacurrai & Codapanaikmoor and their readiness to pay the arrears with the exception of the proportion of Sibbendy charges entered in the accompanying Statements and to redeem their Estates under attachment.

Sir

Para 1. In reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st March 1842 and to the 3d and 5th paras of the Board's letter to Government under date the 27th January 1842 regarding the proposals made by the Vadacurrai and Codapanaikmoor Zemindars for the restoration of their estates on payment of the arrears due with interest I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Vadacurrai Zemindar has come forward and offered to pay at once the sum of Rupees 11,085-14-11 which he considers to be the amount justly due by him.

2. In the para already alluded to the balance against the Zemindar is set down at Rupees 2,500- but from the Statement now submitted it stands at Rupees 21,332-5-11. Of this sum 11,289-4-9 is charged to the Estate as its proportionate share of the Salook sibbendy. No separate Establishment was ever entertained on account of the Estate. To this item of charge the

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

the Zemindar objects as being excessive and far beyond the usual charge for sibbendy when the estate was not under Zuft. He also objects to the sum of 9748-1-2 granted as remission for perished crops as shewn in the Margin, and claims credit for a further sum of Rupees 498-5-10 being the amount due to him from the surplus Devastanum funds of the Soolnamooneah sawmy Covil in the Tenkurray Talook out of 4744-4-0 charged him for the Repairs of the Tanks with their watercourses &c. from which Tanks the above Covil derives a supply of water for its lands of two Days out of seven. the two sums thus objected to making a total of 10,246-7-0 are correct in their particulars.

Fusles	
1233	4294-12-1
1238	198-4-11
1240	16-15-6
1241	351-14-3
1242	2806-5-5
1246	2079-13-0
	9748-1-2

3. In regard to the Codapanaikanoor Zemindar it will be seen by the Statement submitted that the balance against him including the sibbendy charges amounts to Rupees 2,1774-9 this Zemindar also objects to the Sibbendy charge and states his readiness to pay the sum due after such charge is deducted.

4. From the records of the Office it appears that a sebbendy charge of their proportionate

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

proportionate share of the Talook sibbendy has always been made against all Zemindars whose Estates have been under Zuft in this Collectorate.

5. The accompanying statements shew in detail the Collections &c. for each fusly of both the Zemindaries since their assumption.

6. It remains therefore with the Board to declare whether the wishes of the said Zemindars ought to be acceded to or not from my want of experience as to the general usage observed in regard to such points I do not feel qualified to express an opinion on the Subject and should not have addressed the Board in the matter had it not been that the time allowed to the Zemindars to redeem their Estates will expire at the end of this fusly.

Madura 14th June 1843.

I Signed W. Morehead.
Ag. Pr. Collr.

Statement

9282

For Ft. St. George

No. 1. Statement shewing the Amount of settlement Collections of Kadakurray was assumed and transferred to the Head of Land Re-

1	Amount of settlement of each year 2		Deduct 10 per Cent to the Zemindar 3		Net settlement of each year as entered under the head of land Revenue 4		Collections on account of Coday Cultivation &c. included under the head of Extra Revenue 5		Total 6	
	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p
Fusly 1226	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
" 1227	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
" 1228	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
" 1229	8,134	6 1	813	7 "	7,320	15 1	"	" "	7,320	15 1
" 1230	9,498	2 9	949	13 1	8,548	5 8	83	13 1	8,632	2 9
" 1231	9,599	" 11	959	14 6	8,639	2 5	"	" "	8,639	2 5
" 1232	12,090	2 8	1,209	" 3	10,881	2 5	409	8 "	11,290	10 5
" 1233	6,618	" 2	661	12 10	5,956	3 4	"	" "	5,956	3 4
" 1234	10,712	15 1	1,071	4 8	9,641	10 5	"	" "	9,641	10 5
" 1235	12,670	6 7	1,267	" 8	11,403	5 11	"	" "	11,403	5 11
" 1236	14,787	9 8	1,478	12 2	10,608	13 6	"	" "	10,608	13 6
" 1237	8,984	13 2	898	7 9	8,086	5 5	"	" "	8,086	5 5
" 1238	6,848	4 9	684	13 3	6,163	7 6	"	" "	6,163	7 6
" 1239	8,174	12 2	817	7 7	7,357	4 7	"	" "	7,357	4 7
" 1240	9,146	5 3	914	10 1	8,231	11 2	"	" "	8,231	11 2
" 1241	9,992	8 4	999	4 1	8,993	4 3	"	" "	8,993	4 3
" 1242	7,778	15 6	777	14 4	7,001	1 2	"	" "	7,001	1 2
" 1243	9,763	2 5	976	5 1	8,786	13 4	176	9 8	8,963	7 "
" 1244	12,563	13 7	1,256	6 2	11,307	7 5	"	" "	11,307	7 5
" 1245	12,570	4 9	1,257	" 5	11,313	4 4	"	" "	11,313	4 4
" 1246	8,979	5 10	897	14 11	8,081	6 11	"	" "	8,081	6 11
" 1247	10,492	7 10	1,049	3 11	9,443	3 11	"	" "	9,443	3 11
" 1248	11,635	8 7	1,163	8 10	10,471	15 9	"	" "	10,471	15 9
" 1249	12,003	3 7	1,200	5 2	10,802	14 5	"	" "	10,802	14 5
" 1250	11,738	" 6	1,173	12 11	10,564	3 7	"	" "	10,564	3 7
" 1251	12,072	4 "	1,207	3 8	10,865	" 4	1	2 "	10,866	2 4
Total	233,854	10 2	23,385	7 4	2,10,469	2 10	671	" 9	2,11,140	3 7

Deduct Balance Zemindary "

Add Interest " Amount proportion the Zemindar Establishment

Madura 14th June 1843 E. E. &c.

29th June 1843.

and Balance and the Amount for which the Zemindary Revenue from fusly 1229 to 1251 in the District of Dindigul.

Amount of Rupees 7		Amount for which the Zemindary was first assumed 8		Balance Peshcush of each year 9		Total Balance and Peshcush Columns 8 and 9. 10		Grand Total of Columns 7 and 10 11		Sibbendy charges &c. from fusly 1229 to 1251 12	
C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p	C Rs.	a p
"	" "	76	11 6	"	" "	76	11 6	76	11 6	"	" "
"	" "	4,441	12 9	"	" "	4,441	12 9	4,441	12 9	"	" "
"	" "	2,345	10 6	"	" "	2,345	10 6	2,345	10 6	"	" "
286	10 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,245	6 10	394	14 9
114	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,072	12 10	498	3 7
324	3 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,282	15 10	446	5 4
187	1 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,145	13 10	642	2 10
511	1 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,469	13 10	443	11 8
664	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,622	12 10	568	7 9
708	5 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,667	1 10	623	6 4
361	15 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,320	11 10	509	14 9
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	453	6 7
724	9 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,683	5 10	434	8 3
268	10 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,227	6 10	508	15 8
965	7 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,924	3 10	515	" 7
744	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,702	12 10	685	5 5
393	14 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,352	10 10	476	9 1
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	444	8 "
68	7 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,027	3 10	498	8 11
81	14 "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,040	10 10	474	13 3
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	521	12 "
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	441	15 3
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	422	7 3
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	423	9 7
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	444	7 7
86	4 6	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	9,045	1 4	416	2 4
"	" "	"	" "	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10	8,958	12 10		
6,490	4 6	6,864	2 9	2,06,052	7 2	2,12,916	9 11	2,19,406	14 5	11,289	49

Amount realized as per Column 6 - - - - - - - - - - 2,11,140 3 7

Amount to be realized on the restoration of the Peshcush - - - - - - 1,776 6 4

" Marramut - - - - - - - 6,490 4 6

8,266 10 10

Rs. 2,19,406 14 5

equal to the Balance of the Peshcush - - - - - - - - - 1,776 6 4

of Sibbendy and sundry charges calculated in the Revenue of the Talook from fusly 1229 to 1251 which objects to admit in consequence of no separate Establishment being employed - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 11,289 49

Total Rupees - - - - - - - - - - 2,13,065 11

/ Signed / W. Morehead

Asst. Pr. Collr.

Statement

9284

Fort St George 29th June 1843

Order thereon—
Read again 5th July—
49-50

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

From the Acg Pr Collr of Madura . . .

57

With reference to your letter of the 20th April last, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter and an original certificate received from the Senior Surgeon Southern Division Trichinopoly, shewing the state of health of Appoorow the Tahsildar of Maroongaroory.—

Madura . . . 14th June 1843.

(Signed) W. Morehead
Acg Pr Collr

To

The Acting Principal Collector
Madura

Sir,

I do myself the honor to report that I have examined the Tahsildar Appoorow according to your wishes. I find the man in an extremely bad state of health, worn down to a shadow, and is in his present state, quite unfit for any duty, and it is hard to say when he will be, as he declines the aid of European medical skill, but on the other hand, as he is only 47 years of age, it is just possible

possible, that his constitution may still really
I have therefore granted him a Certificate for
four Months, which I have the pleasure to
enclose.-

Trichinopoly
5th June 1843

(Signed) G. B. Macdonell
Senior Surgeon S.D.

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Morehead
Actg Pl. Coll.

A

I do hereby certify to the best
of my judgment, that Appoorow, Tahsildar
in the Madura District, is in an extreme
bad state of health, and quite unfit for any
duty. I have to recommend, that he may have
four Months leave of absence from his station
to enable him to use such remedies as may
be deemed expedient by his own medical
advisers, as he declines the aid of European
Medical Skill.-

Trichinopoly
5th June 1843

(Signed) G. B. Macdonell
Senior Surgeon S.D.

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in reply.-

51-52

To the Sub Coll.r in charge
of Madura - - - - -

To, The Sub Collector in charge of
Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the
Board

Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt
of Mr. Morehead's letter of the 14th instant enclos-
-ing a letter and certificate from the Senior
Surgeon Southern Division respecting the state
of health of Appoo Row Tahsildar of Maroon-
-gapoory. Adverting to the length of time during
which Appoorow has been incapacitated from
the discharge of his duty, the Board direct
that should he be unable to re-enter upon
his office at the expiration of the four
Months leave now granted him, you will
be pleased to call upon him to give in his
resignation and appoint a successor in his Room.-

From 5th Jany 1843

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George,
29th June 1843. - - - -

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Secy

Read the following letters

To, E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

53

From the Coll.r of
Tinnevelly - - - -

Sir,

In reporting upon the
enclosed Petition No. 556 of 1843 received with
your letter of the 10th April last, I have the
honor to state on referring to the Records
that several complaints of assault, oppression & extortion
on the part of one of the Petitioners, and others
residing

residing in his Village and its neighbourhood, charging them with arson and robbery, have been received in former years from Petitioners Opponents, such as were proved were disposed of, fining the accused to an extent adequate to the offence, but in no case exceeding the sum of Rupees 25.- The General Statement of the Petitioners, "that they have been fined and lost 7,000 Rupees, and that 50 of their temples (meaning the small village peycoils) have been destroyed", is totally without foundation. That some of their neighbours and Villagers have for the last few years gone over to Christianity is true. but at no loss to Petitioners.

The real matter of dispute between the parties is one going on more or less throughout the District, and which must some day be brought before your Board, namely, the right of "Samy Bogum", or proprietor's Rent, claimed by one party and denied by the other. Not a single complaint of the allegations contained in the Petition is to be found on the file of the Head of Police or the Magistrate. None of these Petitioners have paid any fines. In 1841 information having been received that the heathens residing in the Petitioners Village

As in Petitions
Nos 1221 of 1841
Nos 1249 of Do
Nos 1642 of 1842
with others.

Village and its neighbourhood had riotously assembled and were likely to commit a breach of the peace, Deloyets were deputed to the Village to disperse the Mob, and the principal persons therein concerned were sent for, and cautioned. From every enquiry that I can make the Petition appears to be a grofs misstatement of facts arising from ill feeling of long existence, between the parties regarding the "Samy Bogum," and lately heightened probably by religious feud.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Tinnevelly, 15th June 1843.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be paſsed on Rc 556 of 1843.-

"This Petition has been referred to the to the Collector of Tinnevelly from whose report it appears that allegations which it contains are utterly unfounded".-

(53-54)

To E, C, Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(55)

Sir,

From the Coll: of Tinnevelly

In reporting on the enclosed

enclosed Petition No. 153 of 1843 I have the honor to state that the Petitioner on enquiry appears to be an Impostor, the Ex-Zemindar of Chokumputty having had no 2d wife, nor 2d Son by name Peracawmy Vellia Taven, but only his son the present acknowledged Head of the family and 2 daughters, by one wife. It is necessary to observe, that the Ex-Zemindar had a son by name Laul by a concubine kept by him and this son is now reported

reported to be living with the Ex-Zemindar at Trichinopoly — Under these circumstances, it appears that the Petitioner has no claim for support on the present Head of the family.

Tinnevelly 19th June 1843. } (Signed) E. B. Thomas Collector

Order thereon

(55.56)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government in reference to the Endorsement dated the 19th December 1842 on Petition No. 1148 of 1842.

Read

Read the following letter

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

57.

From the Pt. Collr of Coimbatore. } 1. I have the honor to request you will obtain the permission of the Board of Revenue for the transfer of the Talook of Palladum to the charge of the Sub Collector allowing me to substitute for it, the Talook of Checkragherry.-

2 This arrangement is expedient and I have no reason for believing would be disagreeable to Mr Bird. The Talook of Palladum is fifteen miles nearer to his principal Station, than Checkragherry. it formed part of the Sub Division some years previously, and was changed, I find to meet the personal convenience of Mr Sullivan solely.-

Carroor

3 I am desirous of having the South west side of the District in my own Management. first because the Cotton speculation extends in that direction. and 2ndly because

because, I have it in contemplation to make use of the Amravatty for floating down Timber to the Cauvery which will require much personal arrangement, and vigilance to guard the Annicuts from injury and I would rather have that responsibility, than delegate it to another.-

My proposed arrangements to for the ensuing Fusly are as follows, and I hope they will be viewed with the approbation of the Board, and that I may receive instructions in sufficient time for their coming into operation with the Fusly.-

Coimbatore, Pt. Collr office, 16th June 1843. } (Signed) J. C. Wroughton Pt. Collr

Principal Collectors charge.-

Coimbatore
Cheyoor.
Danaikencottah
Sattimangalum
Collegol
Pulachey
Checkragherry.

Sub Collectors charge

Caroor
Kongyam
Palladum
Dharapooram

First Assistant Collectors charge

Erode
Andioor

Second Assistant Collectors charge

Parindoray.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton Pt. Collr

Ordered.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:-

To The Principal Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

57-58

To the Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore. } In Cons.n 29th June 1843

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th instant requesting to be permitted to transfer the Talook of Pulladum to the charge of the Sub Collector and in its place to annex the Talook of Checkragherry to the Principal Division,- and in reply to convey to you the sanction of the Board for the proposed arrangement.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th June 1843.- } (Signed) C C Lovell Actg Secy

Read the following letters.-

To C.C. Lovell Esq.re

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

59

From the Pl. Coll.r of Canara. . } In Cons.n 29th June 1843

As I believe certain orders have been issued by Government, relative to officers in the Revenue Department, in charge of separate Talooks living within their respective Divisions which are not, however to be found in my Office, I have the honor to request you will furnish me with a copy of any orders that may have been passed on the subject.-

Pl. Coll.rs Cutcherry, Mangalore 6th June 1843.- } (Signed) H, M, Blair Pl. Coll.r

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:-

To The Principal Collector of Canara

Sir,

59. 60

To the Pl. Coll.r of Canara. } In Cons.n 29th June 1843

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 6th June last, requesting to be furnished with a copy of any orders that may have been passed relative to Officers in the Revenue Department in charge of separate Talooks living within their respective Divisions.-

The accompanying copy

copy of a letter from the officiating Secretary to Government dated 29th August 1834 which was forwarded to your Predecessor on the 8th Septem-
ber will put you in possession of the orders of Government on the subject referred to, in regard to all Districts, a special exception was made in favor of Canara and Salem, as com-
3rd Sept. 1835. municated to your Predecessor in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th August 1835, copy of which is also herewith forwarded for your information.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th June 1843.

Signed E. C. Lovell
Actg Secy

Read

Read the following letter.—

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(61)

From Wm Blair Esqre
Collr of Canara

Sir,

In reference to the

Submits a report from the Head Assistant Collector on the subject of the Petition No. 606 of 1842 states his opinion that the claim of the Petitioner to the Tank in question is utterly groundless & that he has resorted to nefarious means to establish his pretended Title— approves of the arrangement now made by the Head Assistant regarding the Tank—

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 20th April last, I have the honor to return the Petition No. 606 of 1842, with copy of the report made upon it by the Head Assistant Collector, and the original papers that accompanied the Report.—

2. From the former enquiry instituted into the matter of the complaint and the careful investigation since made by Mr. Reade, it appears clear, that the claim of the Petitioner to the Tank in question, is utterly groundless, and that he has resorted to the most nefarious means to support his pretended Title—

3. Under all the circumstances of the case, the decision come to by the Head Assistant Collector, as to the parties by whom the Tank is in future to be enjoyed, appears

to

to me just and proper, and I have, therefore, approved of the arrangement made.—

Principal Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore
14th June 1843

/ Signed / H. M. Blair
Principal Collector

A

To
The Principal Collector of
Canara.—

1 Sir,

On the charge of the Ankola Talook devolving upon me the additional Sub Collector forwarded to my Cutchery several Papers connected with a Tank, situated in the Village of Cannasgherry in the Sirsi-wer Nagary of the Sedasheegur Division:—

2. Copy of a letter addressed by Mr. Lushington to you also accompanied these Papers, and I have subsequently received your letter of the 28th Instant with its enclosure requesting me to submit a final report on the subject of this Tank at my earliest convenience.—

3. I have now the honor to place before you for the information of the Government the required history of the alleged grievance set forth in the Petition of Pundrung Eshwant Devadkur.—

4 I have carefully perused these Petitions as also all the former Proceedings held on those presented by the Petitioner to the local authorities of this District.—

5 From these I gather as follows that the Petitioner claims the Tank situated as above described, as forming part of his ancestral Estate that several of the Native officers of the Ankola Talook have leagued together to deprive the Petitioner of this Tank, his lawful Property, that his title will be proved on reference to the Public Records and lastly the Petitioner prays that a Puttah may be granted to himself to ensure his enjoyment of this Tank without interruption.—

6. In fusly 1247 a Durkhast for this Tank was made by Gunabha Row a Revenue Moonshee in the Ankola Talook Cutcherry for the purpose of bringing it under cultivation—the Durkhast was opposed by the Petitioner's family but the Peishcar of Sedasheegur reported that in his opinion the Tank was public property and that therefore no—

no objection existed to its being made over to the Durkhastdar.—

7 The question as to whether it was Public or Private Property was considered not to have been sufficently investigated, the Records were therefore ordered to be searched and further inquiry made relative to the points urged on the part of Pettitioner, nothing however was elicited favorable to his title, neither was any Documents discovered on the Public Records supporting the idea that this Tank was other than Public property.—

8 The Pettitioner subsequently alleged that he held a Mokhuttah for his land, lying under this Tank, granted by the then Collector and in which he affirmed the Tank was included.—He was accordingly called upon to produce this Documents, and though for some years from time to time he obtained various periods of leisure to do so, it has never been forthcoming.—

9 A copy attested by the Resident of Sattarah was at length forwarded, and when informed that a copy could not be received as evidence while the original existed, he declared

declared that subsequent to the transmission of the copy its original had been destroyed.—

10 This copy is by Gunaba Row declared to be a fraudulent one which however was not in my opinion as I shall presently show effected exactly by the simple omission of a letter and the addition of a vowel sign although as exhibited in Mr. Lushington's letter it is unquestionably an important part of it. Gunaba Row has accordingly advanced a charge of forgery against Pundrung Eshwunt Devokur and though I believe this copy to be false there is no evidence sufficient for entertaining such a charge.—

Peimaish Account Wargee Pettah.

11 The documents noted in the margin relating to this land and which are referred to by Gunaba Row in disproof of the genuineness of the copy produced by Pundrung Eshwunt Devokur because they contain no mention of the Tank to correspond with that appearing in the said copy only afford presumptive evidence that the latter is not genuine, but except by comparing it with the original, the fact could not

not be established and therefore neither could the charge of forgery be maintain=ed. —

12

In the Piemaish accounts it would have been opposed to local usage had the Tank been entered — The Hoosg the Puttys or Partition Lists, show that "coondal or "coondal stul" is entirely assigned to the share of Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur and 2 others living together and of one family, while the entire spot was thus transferred to one Party. the same necessity for specifically mention=ing the Tank would not exist as would have been the case had this spot of land been assigned in division to more than one as it should then have mentioned the quantity of water to be enjoyed by each, but on examining the Hoosgg Puttys it will be seen that one mentions only "coondal" while in the other it is entered "coondal stull" and there is every reason to suppose that the original Modputtah corresponded, in which case the mere omission of the letter S and the addition of the vowel E. as represented in the margin would have been insuffici=ent as it would have stood "coondal

tulle+

tulle+ purch which signifies nothing but coondal Tank entire while the main purport of the Document was regarding land, to render it complete therefore it appears to me, that the word "Seth" signifying land has also been interpolated into this copy when it will appear thus "coondal" Seth tulle purch which is land and tank entire — Gunba Row has also produced a copy and in order to prove how easily the forgery in the original, could be effected and so render suspicion against the copy of his opponent stronger he has the same word in his Copy, retaining also the word Stull thus "coondul" Seth Stull purch" the 2 words "seth" and "stull" bear=ing much the same signification appear to me superfluous, and I do not believe could have been in the original "coondul stull" was quite sufficient and the word "Seth" is no where else mentioned either in the Modputtah or in any other Docu=ment connected with the "coondul" land I therefore view Gunnaba Row's Copy as no less false than that produced by

Pundrung=

Fort St. George 29th June 1843-

Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur but proof in either case is impracticable in the absence of the original -

13. How Gunaba Row became possessed of a copy of this Moolputtah is by no means clear, though he asserts his Father obtained it on the occasion of some dispute regarding the land but as a piece of waste Paper would serve his purposes equally well I think it unnecessary to comment further on a point which can never be ascertained, except that in his capacity of Revenue Moonshee of the Talook it was quite in his power to abstract the original copy from the Record, but unless this copy agreed with that produced by Pundrung Eshwant Devakur; its production would have been favourable to Gunaba Row's case and therefore it is clear he would not have abstracted it again the idea of his having done so is I think sufficiently refuted by the fact that the original was in Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur's possession and as Gunaba Row had

no

Fort St. George 29th June 1843-

no reason to suppose but that Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur would have produced it, his abstracting the Talook copy could have served no object and would seem a risk without the prospect of any corresponding advantage and in believing that he has abstracted it, it must be believed that it was favorable to the Petitioners' cause which is opposed to all that has been elicited and to the common report amongst the people of Sedashigur; besides as its existence is a matter of doubt proof of the abstraction is out of the question, and the mere suspicion on such slender grounds would not seem to justify punishment to Gunaba Row this copy was not produced till after he had seen Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur's and it is my belief that omitting mention of the Tank he copied this and as shown in the 12th Para retained the word "Seth" in view to strengthen the suspicion of the fraud against Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur- Gunaba Row had previously been changed to another Talook I therefore deemed it sufficient to give him a severe reprimand

for

for the suspicious production of this copy and I also personally warned him of the injury he had done his character as a Public servant, for no matter how acquired there is abundant cause as shown above for believing the Document produced by him as a true transcript of its original to be an incorrect one —

14. It was customary till Fasly 1240 or A.D. 1839/40 on granting Moolputtahs or on drawing out other Instruments connected with land to deposit 2 authenticated copies of such Papers, one on the Records of the Huzoor Cutcherry the other in the Cutcherry of the Talook in which the land was situated, but whether it was done in the case of this Moolputtah it is impossible to determine — The Huzoor Records were burnt in 1837 and the Talook copy has not been found so that beyond the strongest belief of the falsity of that produced by the Petitioner grounded on the facts detailed above there is no means of bringing a charge of fraud home to him —

15. The omission however of

Capt. F.R.

of Pundrung Eshwunt Devaker to produce the Original Document so important to his case coupled with his declaration of its having been destroyed subsequent to his forwarding the Copy in a defence so obviously suspicious and devoid of the appearance of truth that it must be viewed as fatal to his claim, or at all events his copy cannot be received as any proof of it.

16. He admits that the original was in his possession till after he had forwarded the Copy, it is manifest therefore that had the former proved his title he would have been too eager to transmit it while his asserting that it has been subsequently destroyed is a plea obviously suggested to screen himself from the consequences inseparable from the detection of the forgery which would have followed a comparison of the two —

17. I send in the Mahratta language as well as a translation Copy of the Moolputtah, a perusal of which will of itself be almost sufficient to convince the most sceptical that the purport of the original has been altered and that the word "tule" signifying a tank has been substituted for the word "stul" meaning ground or place because

it

it will be observed that in no other part of this Document is mention made of this Tank, and as it forms so important an addition to the purchase of the Estate sold by this Document it is clear that pementions of it would have been made in the places noted by me with red ink.-

18. The course the Petitioner has taken to support a suppositions claim and the evasive nature of his defence, added to the circumstance of his having obtained the signature of the Resident of Suttarah to a false Copy under the lying allegation that it was a true one, sufficiently exhibits the mans Character, and points him out as most unworthy of the interference or considerations of Government, and it is only to be regretted that the law does not provide some punishment for persons who like Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur are proved to have made vexatious and groundless representations of grievances which have no existence, and it is remarkable that he should have been detected in attempting a fraud respecting the very land which had been sold for a some what similar offence committed by his Father

it

it having been put up to sale in satisfaction of sums embezzled while in Government employ, but subsequently redeemed by him, which, as observed by Mr. Lushington, accounts for the land as well as the Mood Puttah being now in the possession of his family.-

19. The Petition and proceedings alluded to by the Pundrung Eshwunt Devakur in the 8th Para of his Petition to the Government of the 28th May 1842 are no where to be found on the Records.-

20. What the Petitioner states in the 9th Para of the same Petition may at first appear strange but it would be absurd to admit such reasoning and equally so, to argue that because no one has hitherto applied for this Tank, that it must necessarily be private property, or that of the Petitioners lands, as well as old Tanks, which prior to the Company's Government were burnt jer or waste are yearly being brought under Cultivation.

21. With regard to his charge of Collusion against the Native Village servants and the relationship existing between

between them and Gunabha Row the Dus-
-khastdar, I would only observe that the
former is a mere form of speech universal-
-ly introduced into Petitions of one or other
of contending Parties, and though the Re-
-lationship may be true, which of course
is a circumstance eagerly seized on by
the Petitioner; in such a case, Collusion
was not required and could not have
effected the object; the Peishcar and Village
officers in making the recommendation they
did, / and which agrees with my own opinion
after an inspection of the Tank, / as much
consulted the interests of Government, as
they may happen to have done those of
the individual who desired to have it.-

22- The delay in deciding on the
Petitioner's claim orginated solely with
himself as already stated. In the year
1838 the Darkhast was made, and it was
not till October of the past year that
the often Demanded Mool Putta was
declared by the Petitioner to have been
Destroyed, subsequently to the trans-
-mission of its Copy.-

23. I have for the above de-
tailed

detailed reasons, and after carefully inspecting it
decided the Tank to be Public property, but at
the same time I conceive very great objections
exist to making it over for Cultivation to
any individual, a trifling expense would
render it serviceable for purposes of
irrigation and the convenience of it for
watering Cattle would be incalculable. It
lies immediately under a Hill, and Ob-
-serves the Courses of several small streams
which run directly into it during the Mon-
-soon, and though the water after reaching
a certain level finds an outlet on the
western bund which has been partly bro-
-ken through, still during the rains more
or less water always remains and as good
water is somewhat scarce in the neigh-
-bourhood of Sedashegur and there is
no other tank over a large extent of
Paddy land it appeared to me so obvious-
-ly beneficial for the ryots and the Pro-
-prietors of land generally, that I determined
to offer it for this purpose, to those who were
likely to derive the contemplated advan-
-tages, the repairs to be made by them, and

the

and the water to be used for their Sooge or 2d. crop; and the Cattle of the surrounding Villages to be watered from it at the pleasure of their owners without any obstruction on the part of the inhabitants of Kannagherry.

24. I submit a separate Paper marked A which exhibits the usual Ajmaish Statement of this Tank with its precise boundaries &c.

25. The Revenue to be realized from its Cultivation is so insignificant as compared with the important advantages which must accrue to the people by its repair for the purposes above stated, that I have felt no hesitation in at once rejecting Gunaba Row Durkhast and making it over to the Community without requiring the payment to Government of any consideration for its use; a measure I considered only just, as they undertake the repairs, and I would observe that among those who before me acquiesced in this arrangement are the members of Rundrung Ichwunt Deva Kurs family, the elder and younger brother of whom have since presented a Durkhast (a translation of which I enclose) agreeing to the terms proposed

proposed by me, and undertaking of themselves to bear the necessary expenses of repairs in the event of the refusal of the inhabitants to take any share therein.

26. The Chief matter of complaint being this Tank I have not thought it necessary to observe on the other indefinite and petty grievances set forth in the Petitions, they have of course only been introduced to attract attention and give a deeper Colouring to the alleged injustice practiced towards the Petitioner in the matter of the Tank, had they any real existence his Brothers and relatives resident in the Village would not have been silent regarding them, and when I was at Sedashigur they had no complaint of any kind to make.

27. The proceedings formerly held were so full, and embraced every point of doubt, that I made no further enquiry beyond inspecting the disputed Tank and personally questioning the necessary Parties all of whom verbally expressed their satisfaction at the arrangement proposed by me.

28. The question is therefore permanently settled. I have rejected Gunaba Row

Rows. Darkhast, dismissed Pundrung & Ishwunt Devakars claim, and have made over the Tank for the use of the whole community.

29. Pundrung Ishwunt is not likely to dissent from this arrangement after his brothers have acquiesced in it: he has failed it is true in establishing his claim, but has succeeded in his strenuous effort to deprive Guncaba Row of the Tank, and which I believe was his chief object in opposing the grant, and by which though unintentionally, he has certainly been indirectly instrumental in conferring a benefit on the whole community.

30 As the Petition has been before Government and a Report ordered from that high authority, I have been more prolix than I should otherwise have felt it necessary, the subject of complaint is one of frequent occurrence, as you are aware, it is seldom a piece of Government ground is applied for that some one or other of the neighbouring inhabitants do not set up a claim which however fictitious, must be enquired into before

before the Darkhast is confirmed, and though in such cases, such disputed spots are if possible personally visited by the Revenue Officers in charge, yet in the extended range of their jurisdiction, this is in most cases quite impracticable, a decision must therefore necessarily become to on the Report and Proceedings of the local officers, and the fact that scarcely any such decisions are appealed from, or have led to civil actions, affords ample proof that the investigations in the Sabrok on which they are based have in no way violated justice and are not open to the charge of Partiality

/Signed/ C. H. Reade
Offg. Asst. Coll.

P.S. The original Petition to the Government and other Papers /a list of which is annexed/ accompany this letter.

/Signed/ C. H. Reade
Head Asst. Coll.

Sent

9315 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

List of Documents.

1. Translation of Piemash Patty
2 & 3 Ditto of Yoogee Puttahs
4. Ditto of Moolputtah
5 Copy of Ditto in Maratta.
6. Translation of Azmaish Chittah
7. Ditto of Muzumamah.
8. Ditto of Durkhast.

/ Signed / C. H. Reade
Head Asst. to Coll.r

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / M. W. Blair
Pr. Coll.r

No. 1.

9316 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

No. 1

Pymaish Chitta 1233

Yumman Pymashee Averja, or the Individual Survey of land Sircar Bagayet Village in the Magany of Shiveshwar, Ankola Talook 1233

In name of Sagoona Shenvee Devakar Stulwar particulars as per Chitta of the 1227 Fusly.

Tillavana Shitta				6 12
Dallavay Bagh			3	8 8
Bagh near the house			1	5 6
Mad bhavanee				3 6
Sahvee				1 9

Surveyed each of the above spots according to the verbal statements of the Rayets.

The Garden called Tillavana Shitta situated on the Chistacule Village 19th February

Second sort

Total Contents of each Spot				
61¼	1 Measurement	92 by 128	=	11776
	1 ditto	136 by 224	=	30464
				42240

Trees contained in the above

		Bearing or Productive	Past bearing
20	Sundry	"	6
15	Saja trees	15	"
3	Mango trees	3	"
2	Honne trees	2	"
20			
26			

Cultivated

Fort St George 29th June 1843.

Cultivated by Ganapaya

Lapani for 1 Rupee or Hydry ——— " 2 8

61¾ 216 Rayabagh, situated in the Kunnagar Village, and enjoyed by Ram Shenvee Devakar, and cultivated by Khena for 200 Cocoanuts

24th January-

1 Measurement ——— 412 by 154 = 63448

Trees contained herein

74 Cocoanut trees

	Bearing	Past bearing	Plants	Little Plants
	27	9	37	"

rent 300 Cocoanuts-

13 Sundry trees " " "

12 Mango 12 " " "

1 Jack 1 " " "

87 13

185¼ 18- "Kondal" situated in the said Village and enjoyed Khas- It is a rice land

24th Ditto-

126¾ 63 Second sort

2	Measurement ———	166	by	72 = 11952
4	D° ———	116	"	80 = 9280
5	D° ———	171	"	81 = 13851
7	D° ———	162	"	175 = 28350
1	D° ———	158	"	45 = 7110
1	D° ———	107	"	62 = 6734
2	D° ———	78	"	67 = 5226
1	D° ———	78	"	64 = 4992
5	D° ———	126	"	113 = 14238
4	D° ———	164	"	127 = 20828
2	D° ———	38	"	113 = 4294
34				129855

The Beejuary or seed extent hereof is ¼ and 2 at the rate of 7.

38¼ - 211 Third sort.

½	Measurement ———	37	by	70 = 2590
½	D° ———	54	"	32 = 1728
1	D° ———	121	"	101 = 12221
2	D° ———	189	"	52 = 9828

Fort St George 29th June 1843

2	Measurement ———	69	by	192	13248
3	D° ———	63	"	87	5481
1	D° ———	59	"	40	2360
3	D° ———	79	"	157	12403
					59849

13

The Bijeuary whereof is ——— " 3 — "

47 at the rate of 3.

185¼ 18 Total seed extent — 189714

½ ——— Paddy-

cultivated by Baba Ram Naik- rent 7p° annum-

2¼ - 3 of Paddy-

52¾ - 80 Ranguen Cannut Garden enjoyed by Ramajee Shenvee, thro renter Eesoh- the rent being 200 Cocoanuts p° annum-

1	Measurement ———	136	by 236	32096
1	D° ———	226	" 100	22000
2				54096

Trees contained in this

71 Cocoanut trees-

	Bearing	Past bearing	Young Plants		Cocoanut produced
	48	5	4	4	1325

45 Sundry

Mango 37

Jack 2

Cajee 3

Waring 1

Tamarind 2

116 45 349498

341¼ - 58.

185¼ - 18 Rice land

126¾ 63 Second sort

34 ¼ 2 ——— 129855

58¼ 211 Third sort-

13 " 3 ——— 59839

185¼ 18 47 ½ " ——— 189714

156-40 Garden 3 spots- ——— 159784

161 Cocoanut trees

Bearing	Part bearing	Young	Plants	produced.
75	20	51	15	1625

78 Sundry trees

Mango	Jack	Cajee
52	3	18

Honne	Tamarind	Naring
2	2	

239

341 1/4 - 58

3491498

Beriz

Cadeem Shist ——— 6 - 5 - 9

Shamil ——— none

Summabundy of 1232 Fusly

5 - 2 - 8

(True Translation)

(Signed) Charles Reade

Head Asst to Collector

Extract

No 2

Hooghy Pitty

11 b Coll Coshya Doondhubee

Extract from the Punchayets decree, which determined the shares of Vencaje Anant Shenvee Devakar's son Lueshman Shenvee and Ramachendra Pandarung Shenvee - On the 14th of the decrease of Moon in the Month of Coshya Doondhubee Year -

Share allotted to Ramchendra Pandurung Shenvee and Sagina Shenvee - Kristna Shenvee -

Rice and garden land -

Shiest	Rice land hootvali	Cocoa nuts No	Cash or Nug = gadi =
Division of the Rangun Canut Garden - Half of the ground "Mulsum Shitta" and one "Kondal stull"	7 - 5 - u	2 - u - u	2,000 - u - u - u
Total hootwally -			
Ankola garden ———	1 - 5 - 4 - u - u - u - u - u		5 - u - u
"Tillavan Shitta" half	u - 5 - 2 - u - o - u - u - u		u - 3 - 4
Shirvad Village Kanacule	4 - 6 - 14 - 2 - u - u u - 5 - o - 2 - o - o 2 - 5 - o - u - 7 - u 7 - 6 - 4 - 4 - 7 - o (half of ditto)	3 - 8 - 7	2 - 1 - 1
	13 - 3 - 15	4 - o - 1	2,000 5 - 3 4

(True Translation)

(Signed) Charles Reade

Head Asst to Collector

Extract

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

No. 3.

Hurighy Putty

11 Bhadd Poshya Doondhubi-

Extract from the Punchayet's decree or partition list of property shared among Venayje Ananta Shenvee Divakur's son, Lucshman Shenvee, and Ramachendra Pandurunga Shenvee Devakar on Saturday the 14th of the decreasing Moon, Pooshya Month Doondhubee year.

The parties having agreed to have the partition of their property made by the Punchayet, the shares are made as follows

	Shist Pagodas	Garden Monetary	Cocoa-nut Produce	Nuggad in Cash
Share allotted to Ramachendra Pandurung Shenvee, Sagoona Shenvee Khristna Shenvee-				
Rice and Garden land-				
1 Portion of the Trivan Canut Garden-				
1 Muspan Shitta				
1 "Coondal"				
3	7-5-0	1 3/4	2000	0
Ankola Bagh or Garden	1-5-4	"	"	5-00
Tillavan Shitta, half of	"-5-2	"	"	"-3-12
Shirvad Village				
Kana cule	4-6-14-2	"	"	"
Guznee	"-5-"-2	"	"	"
Murkut	2-5-"-1/2-2	"	"	"
	7-6-14 4 1/2-2	"	"	"
half of this	3-8-7	2 1/4-1	"	"-"
	13-3-13-4-1	2000	5-32	

(True Translation)
(Signed) Charles Reade
Head Asst to Coll.r
Translation

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

No. 4.

Translation

Moolputta or Iraya Putta

by

Mr. Alexander Read on behalf of Company Sircar- granted to Yanubka Shenvee Dipaye of Cudivad, on the 13th day of the increasing Moon Ashveeja Month of the Irodhana Year or Shalliwanah Sheca Year 1727-

Of the Mool shajan Estate belonging to Divakar Vencajee Ananta Shenvee Saguna Vasdoo Shenvee, and Ramachendra Pandurunga Shenvee, situated in the Villages of Kunsgueree, Shittaculee Moodgueree and Sirevad, the spots belonging to the said Vencajee Ananta Shenvee, as per Tucseem Phisal or decision which decreed his share being deducted; the remaining shares belonging to Saguna Vasdeo Shenvee and Ramchendra Pandurunga Shenvee consisting of the undermentioned spots and Assessments respectively were ordered to be sold through the Tahsildar in satisfaction of the amount embezzled by Essa Shenvee, the grandson of Vasdeo Shenvee, while holding the office of Sea Custom Monegar at Honore and

and which Amount still stands unsatis=
=fied, Vizt:-

Ruppegueere Village

1 Spot being a share of the ground called "Rangne Canty" paying shist (Jeemmah=
=bundy) under the head of Gardens, marked down and boundary stone having placed around it.-

1 Spot out of the Meesna-shiva ground as per boundaries marked down on its division.-

1 Spot consisting of the entre "Condal" rice land and tank-thus making three (3) spots (& Tank) assessed with shist Rakttee Pa. 5-0-

Chittacule Village.

1 Spot called "Tillavunu Shiva" payinger shist under the head of Gardens of which Shist is Rakttee Pa. 1-0-4-

Siruad Village.

1 Entire spot called "Canacule" of which Shist is Rakttee Pa. 5-0-0 and Shamilat 2-8-13 making a total Beriz 7-8-13-

1 Spot i e portion or share of the "Bede=
=guznee" ground as per boundaries marked down in the meddle or centre of it Shist Pa. 0-2-8 Shamilat 0-1-9 total 0-4-1-

Total

Total entire spots 3 and Neem=
=tucksheem stulls or half share spots 3-0-
making altogether six spots the shist of which is Rakttee Pa. 13-7-12 amounting in Hydery Currency to Pa. 10-6-0 and Shamilat R Pa. 3-0-6 amounting to-
Hydery Pa. 2-3-5 making a total of-0-
Hydery Pa. 12-9-5-

For the above lands (and Tank) assessed with Pa. 12-9-5, you have bid at 40 Pa. and your durkast has been taken into consideration, and accepted, the said lands bearing an assessment of 12-9-5 have been conferred on or confirmed to you-Therefore you will enjoy the three entire spots (and Tank) according to the boundaries occupied from former times, and the other three halves of spots according

9325 Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

according to the boundaries maked down and stones planted on the division thereof (total six spots (with Tank) assessed with 12-9-5) you will pay such teerva as may be fixed thereon every year - and enjoy water grass wood, and rock, as standing within the aforesaid grounds (and Tank) by planting the same hereafter with limes, Oranges, Plantains, and other fruit trees, and the same to be enjoyed by yourself and your descendants for ever.—

You shall pay the sum of Pd 40 being the Amount offered by you for the above, into the Sircar on account of the embezzlement made by Essa Shewee Dated 13th October 1215.—

(Signed) Alexander Read
Pd Coll.

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. Evans
Resident Sattarah
(True Translation)
" Charles Reade
Head Assistt Coll.

No 5 Mahratta

No 6

9326 Fort St George 29th June 1843.

No 6.

A

Azmashie Pattah 11th September 1248.

Gunaba Row Moonshee of the Talook Cutcherry of Ankola having made a Durkhast for a waste Tank ground for cultivation situated below the Ghaut in the Kunsagerry Village, Shreeshwar Magany and his durkhast having been transmitted by the Tahsildar Eshwaree with a Takeed No. 174 directing enquiry to be made by the Shunbogue of the division. and also another Takeed having been received from the Peshcar Dafsapah. No. 7 to the address of Shanbogue Santapa, on the same subject, of Shanbogue Santapa, Shanbogue Santapah, Gomasta Moneyar Antapa, Shanbogue Santapah, Gomasta Kristna, Patail Mulla Naik of the Village, Shakeer Saont Village Moonsiff, &c. accordingly went to the spot to which the Durkhast referred, and surveyed the spot - The Villagers have signed a declaration to the effect that there is no hurkut or claim on the ground in question, and that there is no objection to its being let on Durkhast - surveyed this 14th of September 1248.—

In the name of Gumba Rao.

The Tank waste ground situated below the Ghaut, and fit for price Cultivation

Fort St George 29th June 1843.

cultivation is bounded as follows—

On the East by the side of the road running by the Galgay field belonging to Gurtoo Saont—

On the South by the land called Kondal belonging to the Devakar Sagoona Shenvees estate, and the Arthy land belonging to the Devastan and Mhutt—

On the West by Godchin land belonging to the Devastan estate, and the Kondal land belonging to Devakar Sagoona Shenvees estate.

and on the North by the road leading near the hill—

The ground enclosed within the foregoing boundaries measures as follows—

From east to west——Chains 7
From North to south——" 4½

which make 31½ Square Gountahs, and is capable of being sown with—1—1 of seed—

If improvement be effected at a great outlay the spot may prove to be of a Second sort—

The gross produce at 6 Candies per Candy of seed is estimated to be ÷ 4 = 1½ for

Fort St George 29th June 1843.

for which sevaz hostwalli or rent will be—a—3—3 which at 4 Pagodas per Coomb amounts to Ps.—a—6—5.

The Beriz at 2/3 of the hostwally as fixed in the list received from the Poozoor is Ps.—a—4—3¾—

The land around it is by no means like it, therefore the Beriz according to the example received from the Poozoor can be fixed on it—

The conversion of the waste will cost about 50 Rupees—

Mark of Shakeera Saont—
Village Moonsiff—
" Potail Mulla Naik
(Signed) Dafsoo Revenue Peon
Kristuppa
Acting for the Chittarule
Shanbogue—
" Antapa Moneyar of Sedasheguy.
" Dafsapa Peshcar of Sadasheguy

(True Translation)
(Signed) C. H. Reade
Asst to Collector—

To

Mahzurnamah
20th June 1247

No 7.

To
the Company's Cutkar-

Mahzurnamah or declaration made by the Potail and Mokteysurs or heads of the Kunsagir Village Sheneshwar Magany Inkola Talook, given on the 20th June 1247 that whereas Ganaba Rau Moonshee of the aforesaid Talook Cutcherry has made a Durkhast or application in the Talook Cutcherry, for permission to bring under cultivation a Government rekanust or ancient waste called Talawa belonging to the aforesaid village and situated below the Ghaut above the road leading to the Arsnotee village and whereas the said Durkhast has been transmitted with a Takeed to Antapa Moneger of Shanbogue of this Teerka, in order to an inquiry being made into it, the said Moneger and Shanbogue having accordingly came to the spot, sent for us there, and called upon us to state whether there was any objection to the ground in question being given away for cultivation according to the Durkhast,

we

we do hereby state it as our opinion that it is true the ground alluded to is a Reka masht land Bunjer or ancient waste of Government and that we have no objection to it being given away for cultivation-

Mark of / Shakeer Saont Potail of Kunsgar.
Mullah Naik Potail of Kunsgar
Narrain Ghurt Saont of Kunsgar.
Jiwa Ram Naik of Kunsgar-
Sanlobhilaphal
Madhaw Narrain Shetty Sonar
Baba Puyeh Naik of Kunsgar.
Kristna Sina Naik
Baba Bheearo Saont
Bheearee Govind Saont
Purroo Budgeont Saont
Damo Nagrany of Kunsgar
Ram Appa Naik Gaonkar
(Signed) Antapa Moneigar of Sadasheguer
Santapa Shanbogues.
Goomasta Hiristappa
(Signed) Dafsapa Peshcar of the Talook
(True Translation)
(Signed) C. W. Read
Ag. Asstt. Collr.

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

No. 8.

To,

The Honorable Company's Circar.

With the undersigned Ananta & Esabah Devakar, and Sagona Ramajee Devakar, inhabitants of Hunsegur Sheweshwer Magany Ankola Talook, do hereby make our Dhurkhast this 6th day of May 1252. Ane Gamba Rawchaving made an application for permission to cultivate the Tank situate north of the Kondal land forming part of the survey No. 52 registered in the name of Sagoona Shenvee out of the Circar Bagayet of the Hunsegar Village, we had presented an arzee containing objections against the said Dhurkhast on the ground of the rice lands belonging to ourselves and other depending on the said Tank for irrigation, as well as our Cattle. In consequence thereof Mr. Reade the Head Assistant Collector came to the spot, inspected the Tank Vea in person, and was satisfied that the Tank in question was of great assistance to the rice cultivation, there and the cattle of the Villagers and that it ought not to be given away to any to any one for cultivation on

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.

on Durkhast of Bojeajame Baig but that it might be made over to any body as stated in the Takeed No. 578 issued to the Peshcar of Sedasheguer. The contents of the order being communicated to us, we have given our Kyfeto Deposition before the Shanbogue stating that we are willing and prepared to have the Tank as well as the breach in the Embankment repaired at an outlay of 105 Rupees as Estimated by the Punchayeto for those repairs. We accordingly engage to remove all the earth from the bed of Tank and repair the Embankment where there as been a breach, and to effect the repairs of the Tank in such carefull manner, as to ensure a supply of water in it even during the hot season, and let it be used for the lands belonging to us and to the other inhabitants as has hitherto been usual. We thus agree to repair the Tank without an assessment being fixed on it, because we have greater and more immediate need of water than the majority of the people. The repairs will

required will involve a large outlay of money in which the other inhabitants are not likely to take any share- But as we will suffer from want of water for our lands, the cultivation of which will greatly be assisted by the water of this Tank it becomes necessary that we should undertake its repair- We therefore solicit that we may be allowed a respite of three years for completing the repairs, commencing them in the present year.-

(Signed) Amant Eshwant Devakar

" Sagoona Ramajee Devakar.

Witnesses

Pondlica Sadashiva Shenvee Mazalli-

Poroo Buduont of Hunsgar

(True Translation)

(Signed) C. W. Reade

Head Asstt. Collector-

(61-62)

Order thereon- Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.— Proceedings

(63)

In Con. 21 Augt 1837

Proceeding thereon para 1

Stating with reference to report which accompanied the letter of the Collector of Madras dated 7th August 1837 that the Board do not think it desirable that there should be any deviation from the long established freedom from demand of Quit Rent on Ground occupied by the Paria Servants of the Mecrasidars of Chetput and requesting that if any arrangements have been effected with any Proprietors of the Ground at Chuvery Pettah the particulars may be reported.-

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 7th August 1837.

The Board observe that the balances referred to in this communication have already been adjusted, and that the questions of temporary reduction of assessment and encouragement to increased cultivation within Madras have already received the consideration of both themselves and the Government,- It is therefore unnecessary to enter upon them in this place.-

2. The letter now under consideration transmitted copy of a report from Mr. Henry Morris formerly Acting Deputy Collector who appears with much care to have inspected many spots of Ground about Madras with the view of ascertaining how far they could bear the amount of Quit Rent assessed upon them, as well as the extent of indulgence to which the proprietors might with justice lay claim- In an enclosure in that report the question of the right of Ground occupied by the Paria servants of the Mecrasidars of Chetput to exemption was noticed and it was suggested that exemption might be confined to three Grounds for each Mecrasidar, the rent being made

made subject to demand for the usual amount of Quit Rent —.

3. Considering that the Ground in excess of what it was proposed that the Meerasidars should be allowed to hold free from Quit Rent would yield only a very trifling annual amount of revenue, that this excess has never been subject to any demands on this account, and that the collection of the full amount which might be assessed is doubtful, the Board do not think it desireable that on this point there should be any deviation from long established freedom from demand.

About 60 Rs. per annum in Chetput

4 From none of the reports from the Collector of a date subsequent to that under consideration having adverted to any arrangement being entered into with any proprietors of the Ground at Clives Pettah noticed in Para 11 the Board are led to infer that none was made — Should any have been effected the Collector will be pleased to report the particulars.

Order thereon — Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Collector of Madras for

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 12th and entered in Consultation 22nd Instant —

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the abovementioned letter —

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(64) To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras stating that two spots of Ground the property of Government in the Villages of Vepery and Soudiarpet have been applied for by persons who have premises contiguous to them — the two spots have been valued according to the orders of Government under date 14th November 1834 respectively

12th in Con.
22d June 1843

In Con. 17th Nov. 1834

respectively at Rupees 18-1- and Rupees 19-3 and the Collector requests authority to advertise and put them up for sale by public auction at those prices which the Board recommend may be granted.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th June 1843.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 14th and entered in Consultation 22nd Instant.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.-

(65)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government &c

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council &c the

14th in Cons. 22 June 1843

the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras reporting the death on the 18th December 1841 of R. Daseacharloo Braman who held a Salt Compensation Certificate for Rupees 120-6-11 per annum &c under the orders of Government dated 4th July 1840.-

In Cons. 27th July 1840.

He has left a widow a daughter aged 13, and a son aged 2 years, the widow and daughter are desirous that the former who has taken out letters of Administration to the Estate should be permitted to receive the arrears &c remaining undrawn from the 12th July 1841 to the 11th January last, amounting to Rupees 180-9-11 and that from the latter date they should share the annuity equally between them under separate Certificates.

It appears to the Board that the

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

the more proper course will be to issue a single certificate as before for the whole amount, in favor of the son, which will enable the widow to receive both the arrears now due and the future incoime until he shall come of age and claim it.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th June 1843

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read,

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Read the following letter—

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

66

From the Collector of Madras — Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th Ultimo transmitting Copy of an order of Government, and of the Petition therein referred to presented by the Revd Mr Hardey on behalf of the Native Christian Congregation in connection with the Wesleyan Mission Chapel in Popham's Broadway Madras, soliciting the allotment of a piece of Ground for a Grave Yard in the neighbourhood of the Mongar Choultry.—

Mr Hardey having met the

2. Surveyor attached to this Office on the spot, part of the land held by Government under Conveyance from the former Owners bearing Nos 5793/6419 & 6753 and dated respectively the 13th September 1822. 1st September 1823 and 27th February 1824. Cawds 1-12- & which is coloured dark Green in the enclosed Survey plan, was pointed out to him- It is contiguous to the Ground applied for by the Church

Submitting a survey plan of certain Ground on the North Esplanade which the Revd Mr Hardey has pointed out as being suitable for a place of burial for the Native Christian connection with the Wesleyan Mission Chapel in Popham's Broadway Madras—

Enclosure A

Church Missionary Society and referred to in my report under date the 31st Ultimo, No. 40. on but as, in his petition to Government, Mr. Hardy alludes to about 3. Grounds belonging to one Munneyappen, which he observes on would be suitable for the purpose should Government be unable to part with any Ground on the North Esplanade, I requested him to state whether instead of the 1½ Cawnes 36 Grounds, a piece nearly of the same extent with Munneyappens would not be sufficient.—

15th June 1843.

16th June 1843. 3. Mr. Hardy informs me in reply, that when pointing out the Ground in question it was not his intention to refer to the extent of it but only to the locality, and that he thinks that 3 or 4 Grounds would be quite enough for the proposed Grave yard. He further states that a corresponding extent from the spot proposed to be made over to the Church Missionary Society would answer as well. The last mentioned arrangement in the event of the transfer of the Lands applied for being considered unobjectionable on would seem to be preferable, as the spot pointed out on behalf of the Church Mission comprises so much as Ct. 2 – 15 – 160, and the 3 or 4 Grounds requested

requested by Mr. Hardy can easily be se=
=parated, and kept distinct by a hedge—

4. It will otherwise be requisite to keep a passage open through the Ct. 2—15—160 as to facilitate the means of com=
=munication with the adjoining Ground on applied for by Mr. Hardy, as shewn by the plan now submitted. It will be ob=
=served that the passage in question will occupy G 1-1800 of Ct. 2—15—160.—

5. It is proper to add in conclusion, that the Chief Engineers objection referred to in Para 4 of my letter of the 31st May applies equally to the Ground now pointed out by Mr. Hardy.

Madras - - - }
17th June 1843 } /Signed/ J. A. Huddleston
Collector.

66. 67

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be forwarded for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council in reply to a reference dated 20th May last No. 129.

Jud. Cons. 29th May 1843.

It appears that the objection of the Chief Engineer to the grant of the piece of Ground lately applied for by the Reverend Mr. Tucker, namely that it was part of the Northern Esplanade of Black town, is equally applicable to

Board Recd. 19th June 1843.

Fort St.e George 29.th June 1843.

to the spot adjoining it, required by the Reverend Mr. Hardy as a place of burial for the Native Wesleyan Christians-

Read the following Statement-

68

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 19.th to 25.th June 1843-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1843.-	Quantity already exported-	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1843-	Quantity actually in store at the Depot ready for exportation	Remarks-
	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
Madras	350000	41775 5/10	308224 11/10	43072 12/10	Quantity of Salt shipped up to the date of last report Bl. Maunds 41775 5/10 An application has been received to supply the Brig Rambler with Bengal Mds. 7375 and in part thereof shipped Bl. Maunds 900 41775 5/10

Madras ---
26.th June 1843 } E. E. fr. / Signed / J. A. Huddleston Collector ordered

Fort St.e George 29.th June 1843.

Order thereon-

68-69

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St.e George Gazette-

Read the following letter-

To

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue Fort St.e George.

70.

From Mr. Potter at Arcot ---- }

Gentlemen,

I beg to remind you of my letter of date the 25.th May last, wherein I solicit the favor of your Board stating particularly to me that Regulation which enjoins the course already pursued, in cases similar to mine-

Trusting I shall not be refused this satisfaction.---

Arcot ---
21.st June 1843- } / Signed / Sam.l Potter-

Resolution thereon.--- The Board observe in that their final decision in the matter to which Mr. Potter's letter of the 25.th May referred was despatched to him on that day in reply to his former letter of the 16.th May last and they therefore decline all further discussion on the subject-

70-71

Read

Fort St. George 29th June 1843

Read the following letter-

To

The Secretary

Board of Revenue

72.

From Mr H. G. Robinson - - -
Clarendon Hotel -

Sir,

Allow me to request that you will be good enough to submit the enclosed testimonials and Petition at your earliest convenience for the consideration of the President and Members of the Board of Revenue; - and that if this measure will involve delay you may oblige me by retaining authenticated Copies of my papers returning the original to me -

Clarendon Hotel
June 1843 - } Signed H. G. Robinson -

A.

To

The President and Members

Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

As the last resource of a man in ruined circumstances I bring myself to your notice that I may solicit the humblest employ in your gift -

If I were to rest a hope of your patronage solely upon the testimonials I have submitted - it might surely be excused, but experience convinces me that whatever may be due to

unbefriended -

Fort St. George 29th June 1843 -

unbefriended claims - none can expect that they will be realized -

I call upon you, however Gentlemen - by a stronger right than any arising from considerations personally affecting so obscure an individual as myself - The interests of a community of which you have the honor to be the most exalted Members: for in a Country held by such a tenure as your Empire is, in India, perhaps some other reasons than mere sympathy for the misfortunes of an individual, may be allowed to mingle in a policy by which our national character is upheld: Can it conduce to this end - that gentlemen should be allowed to fall through ruin perhaps to infamy, amidst a people of whose respect there are so many reasons for being zealous -

This might be putting the question in too general a sense to an individual, but certainly not to the Body I have now the honor to address -

As regards myself I have not the fortitude to dwell upon the fearful amount

amount of social evil which too often ensprings from a position like that in which I am placed, where in despite of every exertion, a man's morale energies may be at length subdued by the force of circumstances beyond his control- yet I will hope, that in much I have said is superfluous in awakening a humane consideration for me whilst enabled to put forward what may recommend me to the means of retrieving my condition, I am led to believe that there are a variety of duties connected with the subordinate offices of Government which I might be found competent to fulfil. As I understand the Superintendance and construction of roads, land surveying, drafting and condensing official intelligence &c.a and have been inured to habits of activity and enterprize-

In conclusion I am sure it will be unnecessary for me to say how gratefully I shall embrace the humblest provision you may be graciously pleased to accord me; or to express the hope, that if I have seemed to urge my petition with any warmth, or upon unusual grounds, your humanity will find an excuse in

my repeated disappointments, and desperate situation.-

Clarendon Hotel, June 27th/1843.-- } /Signed/ H.G. Robinson-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

72-73

To H.G. Robinson Esqre

To H.G. Robinson Esqre--- } Sir, Your address dated the 27th Instant has been duly laid before the Board of Revenue, the enclosures which accompanied it, are now returned.-

In Cons. 29th June 1843.

The Board regret that there is no situation vacant at present which

which they can offer you—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,—
29th June 1843———

Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Ag. Secretary

Read the Undermentioned Registers—

74 From the Ag. Collr. of Vizagapatam— Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of May last—

75 From the Collr. of Chingleput— Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of May last—

74. 76 Order on the two abovementioned Registers— Ordered to be lodged in the Office—

Resolved—

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.—

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.—

77 To the Chief Secretary to Government—

To the Chief Secretary to Government—3 Paras.—

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the A accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly Accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1842 to 30th April 1843 of Fusly 1252, compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1251.—

2— Abstract B exhibits Net Decrease in the aggregate of Rupees 182378-10-9 resulting from a Net Decrease of Rupees- 244636-8- in the Collections of Land Revenue and a Net Increase of Rupees- 62257—13—3- in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue—

Decrease in the Collections of Current	694409—0—6	
Deduct Increase in the Collections of Arrears	449772—8—6	
	+ 244636—8—0	

3— Abstract C. shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources in the several Districts.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th June 1843.—

Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

General

29th June 1843.-

Madras Presidency from 12th July 1842 to 30th April 1843 of

Arrears and Current.-
Land Revenue.-
Comparison.-

Total.			Arrears:-			Decrease.- Current			Total			Act Increase			Decrease		
10			11			12			13			14			15.-		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
26,462	6	5	"	"	"	1,05,473	7	11	1,05,473	7	11	"	"	"	79011	1	6
"	"	"	89,273	9	8	35,395	13	7	1,24,669	7	3	"	"	"	1,24,669	7	3
1,46,537	2	3	1,39,337	13	6	"	"	"	1,39,337	13	6	7,213	4	9	"	"	"
47,239	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47,239	7	"	"	"	"
10,306	3	6	"	"	"	65,066	"	10	65,066	"	10	"	"	"	54759	13	4
3,32,272	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,32,272	2	10	"	"	"
693	13	"	"	"	"	54,672	6	4	54,672	6	4	"	"	"	53978	9	4
23,000	"	"	"	"	"	46,431	7	11	46,431	7	11	"	"	"	23,431	7	11
13,154	14	8	"	"	"	2,26,927	11	11	2,26,927	11	11	"	"	"	213772	13	3
47,835	11	4	"	"	"	1,39,644	8	8	1,39,644	8	8	"	"	"	91,808	13	4
1,37,633	15	3	"	"	"	22,899	1	6	22,899	1	6	1,14,734	13	9	"	"	"
2,44,347	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,44,337	4	5	"	"	"
9,590	10	3	"	"	"	1,23,492	2	2	1,23,492	2	2	"	"	"	113901	7	11
"	"	"	1,13,440	"	3	37,165	6	2	1,50,605	6	5	"	"	"	150,605	6	5
10,232	10	9	"	"	"	88,420	13	11	88,420	13	11	"	"	"	78,188	3	2
30,043	12	4	"	"	"	57,230	14	2	57,230	14	2	"	"	"	21,217	1	10
24,344	11	9	202	1	6	"	"	"	202	1	6	24,142	10	3	"	"	"
3,674	3	5	"	"	"	236	14	6	232	14	6	3441	4	11	"	"	"
"	"	"	4,804	2	6	8,986	"	"	13,790	2	6	"	"	"	13,790	2	6
838	6	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	838	6	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1,10,8,131	7	11	3,47,057	11	5	1,50,603	13	7	1,35,3096	9	"	7,74,219	6	8	10,19,134	7	9
												13872	4	6	13,593	11	5
												7,88,091	11	2	10,32,723	3	2
												Deduct Increase ---			7,88,091	11	2
												Net Decrease -----			2,44,631	8	"

9352

Fort St. George

Fusly 1252 Compared with the Collections in the same period of

Salt.

Fusly 1251		Fusly 1252		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1251		Fusly 1252	
16		17		18		19		20		21	
Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
1	236972	5 6	238,649	6 11	1,677	1 5	"	" "	20,166	4 :	21049	7 3
2	105922	11 .	69,417	3 7	"	" "	36,505	7 5	63,898	6 11	63366	" 6
3	227382	11 9	194795	13 3	"	" "	32,586	14 6	70,256	2 8	68,693	3 5
4	246276	9 7	293,301	14 3	52025	4 8	"	" "	76650	8 6	76105	8 5
5	233184	12 4	217,228	5 1	"	" "	15956	7 3	43022	6 .	42875	7 4
6	378810	4 "	259,935	8 .	"	" "	118874	12 .	43262	12 .	44062	12 6
7	"	" .	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	119234	10 8	120479	14 5
8	202933	13 6	198473	9 "	"	" "	4460	4 6	206236	3 8	207624	1 4
9	422338	" 3	379,560	6 3	"	" "	43,224	10 .	80664	10 2	82,633	8 "
10	16,744	14 .	28378	14 .	11,634	" .	"	" "	106901	13 10	100323	2 6
11	130,636	8 6	146784	14 10	16148	6 4	"	" "	179505	4 8	154373	1 11
12	305,493	5 7	297,312	13 5	"	" "	8,180	8 2	239572	2 5	241059	13 3
13	"	" .	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	102170	4 11	99259	15 10
14	199965	5 9	198,815	12 1	7350	6 4	"	" "	150445	1 7	152702	13 9
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	255,636	2 10	246940	10 .
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	181767	7 4	162062	14 8
17	"	" .	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	135,715	11 9	149521	3 8
18	226822	6 .	235,358	" 10	8535	10 10	"	" "	232100	4 8	233676	4 .
19	254456	12 7	266,004	3 4	11547	6 9	"	" "	97,339	13 2	95761	14 6
20	93,155	9 7	166,146	5 4	72990	11 9	"	" "	474363	10 8	482332	" 4
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3272596	1 11	3195163	2 2	132409	1 .	259,841	15 10	28794144	5	28449031	3 7
	"	" .	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2062	5 10	226	13 8
	3272596	1 11	3195163	2 2	132409	1 .	259,841	15 10	28814774	3	28457301	13 3
					Dt. Increase		132,409	" 1				
					Net Decrease		77,432	15 9				
	2894496	3 1	2765261	5 10								
	378099	14 10	429,301	2 4					2596172	11 5	2527807	12 8
									285,304	8 10	317,322	14 7

29th June 1843.-

Fusly 1251.-

Sayer

Abkary.-

Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1251		Fusly 1252		Increase		Decrease	
22		23		24		25		26		27	
Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
883	3 3	"	" "	19278	13 11	21254	8 "	1975	10 1	"	" "
"	" "	532	6 8	30753	3 6	28341	9 "	"	" "	2411	12 6
"	" "	1562	15 3	35051	6 1	39771	3 10	4719	13 9	"	" "
"	" "	545	" 1	25055	14 4	26260	4 4	1204	6 .	"	" "
"	" "	146	14 8	9389	9 "	10046	6 2	656	13 2	"	" "
200	" 6	"	" "	40330	15 10	47554	4 2	6723	4 4	"	" "
1245	3 9	"	" "	63654	8 "	57519	8 .	"	" "	6135	" "
1327	13 8	"	" "	36313	" "	36592	8 .	279	8 .	"	" "
1963	13 10	"	" "	15985	8 1	16166	6 .	180	13 11	"	" "
"	" "	6578	11 4	92099	" .	90967	9 10	"	" "	1131	6 2
"	" "	25732	2 9	43807	14 7	43244	12 7	"	" "	563	2 :
1487	10 10	"	" "	49650	7 1	48763	" 3	"	" "	887	6 10
"	" "	2910	5 7	11883	2 "	12534	2 6	651	" 6	"	" "
2257	12 2	"	" "	18365	4 .	17913	10 .	"	" "	451	10 "
"	" "	8695	8 10	201142	7 4	209644	5 .	8501	13 8	"	" "
"	" .	19704	8 8	71731	12 10	72661	9 5	929	12 7	"	" "
13305	7 11	"	" "	34880	" .	36495	12 .	1615	12 .	"	" "
1575	15 4	"	" "	41449	5 11	43702	3 .	2252	13 1	"	" "
"	" "	1377	14 8	57585	3 11	56635	10 9	5050	6 10	"	" "
7463	5 8	"	" "	411911	4 "	466077	3 2	54165	15 2	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
32375	6 11	67386	7 9	1304818	14 5	1382146	8 .	83907	15 1	11580	5 6
74	14 9	1910	6 11	6129	7 11	1778	14 2	1015	10 4	5366	4 1
32950	5 8	69296	14 8	1310942	6 4	1383925	6 2	89923	9 5	16946	9 7
		32950	5 8					16946	9 7	Dt. Decrease	
		36346	9 .					72976	15 10	Net Increase	
				1168957	5 3	1243324	" 10				
				141997	1 1	140601	5 4				

9353 (1).

For St. St. George

29th June 1843.-

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.-

	Fusly 1251.		Fusly 1252		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1251		Fusly 1252	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
1	2,613	" 9	2533	13 11	"	" "	74	2 10	6185	" 1	6887	7 11
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5064	12 6	4,663	" 8
3	4961	5 2	5,583	1 9	621	12 7	"	" "	34,207	2 7	35900	14 2
4	152	" "	62	12 "	"	" "	89	4 "	14,016	8 8	12120	10 5
5	4,289	10 2	3874	15 7	"	" "	414	10 7	25,294	12 8	21433	1 "
6	11,471	14 8	13,587	13 8	2115	15 "	"	" "	5832	3 9	8,080	4 5
7	5,660	15 1	7,415	2 4	1754	3 3	"	" "	70,312	8 3	71936	6 8
8	4420	" "	3932	9 5	"	" "	487	6 7	"	" "		
9	181	12 9	205	3 "	23	6 3	"	" "	18,668	2 8	18360	7 3
10	2,409	2 "	2855	14 4	446	12 4	"	" "	19316	11 11	22659	5 10
11	3,248	2 4	2773	7 6	"	" "	474	10 10	24890	14 7	24079	5 "
12	22,460	12 "	23,741	8 6	1,280	12 6	"	" "	39,344	10 7	39735	14 3
13	1837	13 8	1,980	12 10	122	15 2	"	" "	4362	2 1	4869	4 10
14	18,253	3 8	13,171	6 4	"	" "	81	13 4	83,834	8 5	87109	15 3
15	11,372	10 8	11,226	2 6	"	" "	147	8 2	243,825	2 1	239506	3 10
16	6,286	2 11	8,632	7 6	1,746	4 7	"	" "	121090	3 "	124973	4 11
17	1,203	" "	343	13 2	175	13 2	"	" "	57931	14 11	60214	13 6
18	12,549	1 5	8,669	2 3	"	" "	3879	13 2	"	" "	1562	1 1
19	28,882	9 9	28712	14 9	"	" "	169	11 "	86,051	1 10	84514	3 6
20	10,619	13 7	10513	" 6	"	" "	106	13 1	"	" "	"	" "
	19,265	" "	19328	" 10	"	" "	536	15 2	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	172359	2 7	174184	2 8	8237	14 10	6462	14 9	860948	8 7	863386	12 6
	764	14 4	4919	11 11	4850	6 5	695	8 10	725	4 2	1138	7 6
	173124	" 11	179103	14 7	13138	5 3	7158	7 7	861673	12 9	864520	4 "
					7158	7 7	Dt Decrease.					
					5979	13 8	Net Increase.					
	152092	7 5	154368	11 9								
	21031	9 6	24735	2 10					734684	9 1	733869	9
									76989	3 8	90851	3 3

Moturpha.-

	Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1251		Fusly 1252		Increase		Decrease	
	34		35		36		37		38		39.-	
	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
	702	7 10	"	" "	6469	14 2	8983	2 3	2513	4 1	"	" "
	"	" "	401	11 10	15,472	15 "	10706	9 "	"	" "	4766	6 "
	1693	11 7	"	" "	10514	9 9	12,410	14 6	1896	4 9	"	" "
	"	" "	1895	14 3	6608	6 7	6651	12 10	43	6 3	"	" "
	"	" "	4161	11 8	1453	13 10	1270	14 8	"	" "	182	15 2
	2228	" 8	"	" "	"	" "	1134	9 5	1134	9 5	"	" "
	1623	14 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	10614	" 6	10227	10 11	"	" "	386	5 7
	"	" "	307	11 5	6795	6 3	6663	14 6	"	" "	131	7 9
	3342	9 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	811	9 7	10038	8 7	8506	6 1	"	" "	1532	2 6
	241	3 8	"	" "	76757	2 2	92138	11 7	15381	9 5	"	" "
	7	2 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3245	6 10	"	" "	13576	6 11	25206	5 11	11629	15 "	"	" "
	"	" "	4318	14 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3883	1 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2312	14 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1562	1 1	"	" "	235098	8 3	261052	11 7	25954	3 4	"	" "
	"	" "	1536	14 4	233664	13 1	244974	6 7	11309	9 6	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	342515	9 10	333352	3 9	"	" "	7163	6 1
	20872	11 3	13434	7 4	969580	2 11	1025280	5 7	69362	13 9	14162	11 1
	583	1 5	174	14 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	21455	12 8	13609	5 5	969580	2 11	1025280	5 7	69362	13 9	14162	11 1
	13809	5 5	Dt Increase.						14162	11 1	Dt Decrease	
	7646	7 3	Net Increase						55200	2 8	Net Increase	
					863077	9 1	886377	5 9				
					106502	9 10	139702	15 10				

Sea Customs.-

9354

Fort St. George

29th June 1843.-

Tobacco.-

Stamps.-

Total of the Coctra Branches of Revenue.-

Net.-

	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "					299,188 1 11	305,805 14 3	7,757 10 8	1,133 14 4	6,617 12 4	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,502 11 6	6443 " "	" " "	1,059 11 6	243,860 11 11	199,593 6 9	350 7 "	44,617 12 2	" " "	44,267 5 2
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22,748 9 "	23,999 " "	350 7 "	" " "	400,283 14 "	373,143 12 11	8,931 10 8	36,071 11 9	" " "	27,140 1 1
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,910 8 "	15,988 10 "	" " "	1,921 14 "	389,542 14 8	439,824 4 9	53,273 " 11	2,991 10 10	50,281 6 1	" " "
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20,782 15 "	20,321 6 6	" " "	461 8 6	322,252 " 7	301,471 2 6	65-613 2	21,437 11 3	" " "	20,780 14 1
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,617 " 7	5,042 " 8	" " "	574 15 11	490,323 7 3	383,299 14 2	13,001 13 11	12,025 7 "	" " "	107,023 9 1
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10,095 5 "	8,944 10 "	" " "	1,150 11 "	272,485 1 "	272,111 6 5	5761 5 5	6135 " "	" " "	343 10 7
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13622 7 "	14,760 7 "	1,138 " "	" " "	479,852 13 "	474,114 11 "	1,667 5 8	7,405 7 8	" " "	5738 2 "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19,335 11 4	17,264 4 4	" " "	2,071 7 "	553,373 11 2	514,909 4 "	2,252 6 "	43,716 13 2	" " "	41,464 7 2
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,240 3 "	11,319 7 "	79 4 "	" " "	259,353 2 10	268,041 3 7	16,393 2 3	7,710 1 6	8,683 " 9	" " "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21,886 9 1	22,856 5 7	969 12 6	" " "	405,599 14 3	392,819 10 5	16,148 6 4	28,928 10 2	" " "	12,780 3 10
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,472 9 "	13,057 10 6	" " "	414 14 6	773,943 4 7	786,055 11 10	21,180 6 3	9,067 15 "	12,112 7 3	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40,464 12 9	43,253 14 7	2,789 1 10	" " "	140,605 12 8	137,766 6 6	781 2 5	3,670 8 7	" " "	2,889 6 2
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19,382 6 "	19,122 2 6	" " "	260 3 6	49,307 1 10	519,279 8 4	26,742 13 10	533 7 4	26,209 6 6	" " "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,630 3 6	19,359 9 "	1,729 5 6	" " "	732,960 10 11	727,181 6 4	8,501 13 8	14,281 2 3	" " "	5,779 4 7
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20,983 4 "	19,864 1 "	" " "	1,119 3 "	399,833 1 10	388,264 5 6	8,135 12 4	19,704 8 8	" " "	11,568 12 4
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,357 7 9	14,934 1 "	1,576 9 3	" " "	242,266 8 8	260,186 " 10	17,919 8 2	" " "	17,919 8 2	" " "
18	2,087 8 10 9	2,232 6 6	145 5 4	11 9	13,585 14 "	13,535 6 6	" " "	9 8 6	938,066 9 9	1041,768 9 10	57,581 15 3	3,879 15 2	53,702 " 1	" " "
19	4,049 11 11	4,219 3 3	169 8 4	2 6	31,338 4 9	34,484 12 7	3,146 7 10	" " "	119,703 " 3	124,388 7 8	4,469 15 5	3,284 8 "	44,185 7 5	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	42,772 14 "	45,357 3 10	2,578 5 10	" " "	998,202 3 10	1130,407 6 10	134,620 " 7	2,414 13 7	132,205 3 "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,646 14 "	5,338 13 6	" " "	2,308 " 6	19,865 " "	19,328 " 10	" " "	536 15 2	" " "	536 15 2
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	344,436 1 10	336,743 7 9	" " "	7,692 10 1	" " "	7,692 10 1
	6,136 5 8	6,457 9 7	411 31,538	14 3	378,747 1 3	380,742 1 7	14,366 13 9	12,371 13 5	10,452,123 4 9	10,376,004 3 "	449,121 9 10	385,240 11 8	351,916 3 7	288,035 5 4
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,622 " 3	8,058 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,623 1 "
	6,136 5 8	6,457 9 7	411 31,538	14 3	378,747 1 3	380,742 1 7	14,366 13 9	12,371 13 5	10,461,305 5 "	10,524,063 2 3			351,916 3 7	289,658 6 4
							1,995 " "	Nett Increase					289,658 6 4	Dt Decrease
	5,460,000 12 11	5,767,228 7 5				12,371 13 5	Dt Decrease						62,257 13 3	Nett Increase
	67,657 9 9	68,468 13 6			341,142 11 "	336,831 2 "			9,346,618 5 3	9,269,162 9 "				
					37,604 6 3	43,910 15 7			1,115,186 15 9	1,254,894 9 3				

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.-

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue.-

	Fusly 1251.		Fusly 1252.-		Increase.-		Decrease.-		Net Increase		Net Decrease	
	54		55		56		57.		58		59.-	
	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps
1	1,03,998	3 6	96,4,604	14 4	7,751	10 8	80,144	15 10	"	" "	72,393	5 2
2	13,39,673	7 8	11,70,736	11 3	350	7 "	169,237	3 5	"	" "	168,936	12 5
3	17,51,905	10 8	17,31,978	14 4	16,144	15 5	36,071	11 9	"	" "	19,926	12 4
4	8,21,265	12 6	9,13,786	9 7	100,572	7 11	2,991	10 10	97,520	13 1	"	" "
5	9,96,386	4 1	9,20,845	8 8	656	13 2	76,197	8 7	"	" "	75,540	11 5
6	9,19,973	" 3	11,45,221	10 "	345,474	" 9	120,025	7 "	225,248	9 9	"	" "
7	17,10,191	2 6	16,55,838	14 7	5,761	5 5	60,113	9 4	"	" "	54,352	3 11
8	17,35,490	7 3	17,06,320	13 4	1,667	5 8	30,836	15 7	"	" "	29,169	9 11
9	20,48,103	4 "	17,92,865	15 7	2252	6 "	257,489	10 5	"	" "	235,239	4 5
10	17,86,075	6 4	17,02,949	9 9	16,393	2 3	99,578	14 10	"	" "	83,185	12 7
11	18,01,929	2 10	19,03,883	12 9	130,883	4 1	22,928	10 2	101,954	9 11	"	" "
12	28,28,301	7 2	30,84,757	2 10	26,5317	10 8	9,067	15 "	256,449	11 8	"	" "
13	12,91,986	12 4	11,75,195	14 3	781	2 5	117,572	" 6	"	" "	115,790	14 1
14	19,26,758	5 2	18,02,357	5 3	26,742	13 10	151,138	13 9	"	" "	124,395	15 11
15	28,31,758	11 6	27,47,791	3 9	8,501	13 8	92,469	5 5	"	" "	83,967	7 9
16	21,87,547	12 9	21,54,761	14 7	8,135	12 4	40,921	10 6	"	" "	32,786	6 2
17	19,66,394	7 7	20,08,456	10 "	42,062	2 5	"	" "	42,062	2 5	"	" "
18	22,03,213	7 "	22,60,356	12 "	61,023	4 2	3,879	13 2	57,143	5 "	"	" "
19	24,50,936	9 2	24,81,331	14 1	47,469	15 5	17,074	10 6	30,395	4 11	"	" "
20	10,43,991	5 6	11,77,034	15 3	135,458	7 4	2,414	13 7	133,043	9 9	"	" "
	19,865	" "	19,328	" 10	"	" "	536	15 2	"	" "	536	15 2
	3,44,436	1 10	3,36,743	7 9	"	" "	7,692	10 1	"	" "	7,692	10 1
	350,43,176	13 7	348,62,142	10 9	1,223,341	" 7	1,404,375	3 5	943,818	2 6	1,124,252	5 4
	52,904	2 10	51,559	10 11	"	" "	"	" "	17,264	13 "	18,609	4 11
	350,96,081	" 5	349,13,702	5 8					961,082	15 6	1,143,461	10 3
									Dt Increase		961,082	15 6
									Net Decrease		182,378	10 9
	296,22,430	9 3	290,92,405	3 4								
	54,73,650	7 2	58,21,297	2 4								

Collections of Old Balances.-

Land Revenue.-

	Fusly 1251.-		Fusly 1252.-		Increase.		Decrease.-		Sayer.- Fusly 1251.-		Fusly 1252.		Increase.		Decrease.-	
	60.		61		62		63.-		64		65		66		67.-	
	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps
	16,960	12 5	24,313	1 11	7,352	5 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3,790	15 1	2,719	2 9	"	" "	1,071	12 4	13	" "	4	7 2	"	" "	8	8 10
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	395	3 7	56	" "	"	" "	839	3 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	192	1 6	605	" 10	412	15 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	322	1 9	369	12 "	"	" "	452	5 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1,102	6 10	1,019	14 "	"	" "	83	5 6	146	11 1	"	" "	"	" "	146	11 1
	7,892	11 6	498	7 11	"	" "	7,400	3 7	808	11 "	"	" "	"	" "	808	11 "
	732	15 9	2,804	2 10	2,071	3 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1,406	9 10	1,120	10 5	"	" "	285	14 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3,025	12 6	468	10 2	"	" "	2,557	2 4	1,093	15 9	147	7 9	"	" "	946	8 "
	835	2 2	1,874	13 9	1,039	11 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	295	2 6	128	" "	"	" "	282	10 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	932	7 5	2,535	1 11	1,616	10 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2,312	15 1	2,599	8 5	286	9 4	"	" "	"	" "	74	14 9	74	14 9	"	" "
	711	6 9	1,206	15 7	495	8 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	99	44	99	44	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1,301	6 11	680	5 6	"	" "	621	1 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	43,222	2 7	43,300	11 8	13,372	4 6	13,593	11 5	2,062	3 10	221	13 8	74	14 9	1,910	6 11

9356

Fort St George

24th June 1843.-

	Altray				Sundry Small Farms		& Licenses.-		Motupha			
	Fusly 1251.-	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease.	Fusly 1,251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1251.	Fusly 1252.	Increase	Decrease
	68	69	70	71	72	73.	74	75	76	77	78	79.
	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p	Rs at p
1	297 10 2	1,313 4 6	1,015 10 4	" " "	6 8.	1 10 9		4 13 3	" " "	3 4 "	3 4.	" " "
2	3003 8.	57 13 4	" " "	2956 10 8	" " "	" " "		" " "	18 3 9	" 4 "	" " "	17 15 9
3	385 9 9	354 15 1.	" " "	30 10 8	7 12.	28-13 3		" " "	144 13 2	378 12 10	230 15 8	" " "
4	122 1 6	58 13 3	" " "	63 4 3.	" " "	" " "		" " "	12 " 8	11 8 9	" " "	" 7 11
5	4 " "	" " "	" " "	4 " "	54 15.	2 " "		52 15.	338 2.	266 6 4	" " "	71 11 8
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	71 2 4	4 14 4		66 3 9	" " "	" 4 9	" 4 9	" " "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	5 12.	" " "	" " "	5 12.
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	3 13.	4 14 2	1 1 2	" " "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	57 5 4	12 8 "		44 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	13 13.	" " "	" " "	13 13.	550 " "	40 8 2		509 7 10	22 11 2	220 4 2	197 9 "	78 14 9
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 3 8	" " "		17 3 8	78 14 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	97 13 8	220 4 2	122 14 10	" " "
18	2297 13 6	" " "	" " "	2297 13 6	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4824 13 2		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	27 " "	27 " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	6129 7 11	1778 14 2	1,015 10 4	5,366 4 1	764 14 4	4979 11 11		695 8 10	725 4 2	1,133 7 6	583 1 5	174 14 1
								4850 6 5.				

For the Year ended 29th June 1843.-

Tobacco.-

	July 1251		July 1252		Increase		Decrease		Total.- July 1251		Total.- July 1252	
	30		31		32		33		34		35	
	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	17,264	14 7	26,131	52
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3026	11 9	52	1 4
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4345	2 -	3486	3 1
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	134	2 2	70	6 "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1292	4 7	324	6 4
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	263	3 10	610	4 2
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	827	13 9	369	12 "
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1252	14 11	" 1028	15 6
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	8704	6 6	" 498	7 11
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	732	15 9	" 2208	10 10
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1406	8 10	" 1120	10 5
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4177	1 7	" 623	9 11
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1407	13 4	" 2135	10 1
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	405	1 11	" 128	"
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1036	5 1	" 2775	14 5
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2312	15 1	" 2674	7 2
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3609	4 3	" 6031	12 9
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" 124	4 4
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1301	6 11	" 260	5 6
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
									52,904	2 10	51,339	10 11

Grand Total of Collections.-

	July 1251		July 1252		Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
	86		87		88		89		90		91	
	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps	Rs	ct ps
1	8866	6 7	"	" "	10,54,263	2 1	9,90,736	3 6	"	" "	63,526	14 7
2	"	" "	2974	10 5	13,42,700	3 5	11,70,788	12 7	"	" "	1,71,911	6 10
3	"	" "	858	14 11	17,56,250	12 8	17,35,465	1 5	"	" "	20,785	11 3
4	"	" "	63	12 2	8,21,399	14 8	9,13,856	13 7	97,457	" 11	"	" "
5	"	" "	967	14 3	9,97,673	8 8	9,21,199	15 "	"	" "	76,508	9 8
6	347	" 4	"	" "	9,20,236	4 1	11,45,831	14 2	2,23,395	10 1	"	" "
7	"	" "	458	1 9	17,11,019	" 3	16,56,208	10 7	"	" "	54,810	5 8
8	"	" "	"	" "	17,35,490	7 3	17,06,320	13 4	"	" "	29,169	9 11
9	"	" "	228	15 5	20,49,356	2 11	17,93,889	15 7	"	" "	2,55,466	3 10
10	"	" "	8,208	14 7	17,94,782	12 10	17,03,443	1 8	"	" "	91,334	11 2
11	2075	11 1	"	" "	13,02,662	2 7	19,06,692	7 7	1,04,030	5 "	"	" "
12	"	" "	285	14 5	28,29,708	" "	30,35,841	13 3	2,56,163	13 3	"	" "
13	"	" "	3548	7 8	12,96,163	13 11	11,75,824	8 2	"	" "	1,20,339	5 9
14	727	12 9	"	" "	19,28,161	2 6	13,04,492	15 4	"	" "	1,23,668	3 2
15	"	" "	392	9 11	28,32,163	13 5	27,47,803	11 9	"	" "	84,360	1 8
16	1739	9 4	"	" "	21,88,584	1 10	21,57,537	13 "	"	" "	31,046	4 10
17	361	8 1	"	" "	19,68,707	6 8	20,11,131	1 2	42,423	10 6	"	" "
18	3022	8 6	"	" "	22,06,222	11 3	22,66,388	8 9	60,165	13 6	"	" "
19	"	" "	"	" "	24,59,936	9 2	24,81,456	2 5	30,579	9 3	"	" "
20	124	4 4	"	" "	10,45,292	12 5	11,77,715	4 9	132,422	8 4	"	" "
	"	" "	621	1 5	19,865	" "	19,328	" 10	"	" "	536	15 2
	"	" "	"	" "	344,436	1 10	336,743	7 9	"	" "	7,692	10 1
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	17,264	13 "	18,609	4 11	35,09,6081	" 5	34,91,3702	5 8	9,43,778	6 10	11,31,157	1 7

Dr. Increase - 9,43,778 6 10

Nt Decrease - 1,87,378 10 9

9358

Fort St. George

29th June 1843.-

	Old Balances or Balances more than 15 years standing - 92			Balance under 15 years Standing 93			Total - 94			Particulars of Arrears of Fusly 1251 - and Land Revenue					
										Sairy Mootahs - 95			Minors - 96		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	"	"	"	317798	10	9	317798	10	9	123949	10	2	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	250	6	5	250	6	5	175091	14	8	3744	12	4
3	60389	11	1	226144	2	9	286533	13	10	642382	14	"	60995	1	7
4	1771	9	10	8661	9	8	10433	3	6	99962	"	10	27572	3	10
5	"	"	"	126063	1	3	126063	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	12985	11	4	12985	11	4	2522	6	6	18265	1	7
7	"	"	"	7488	3	8	7488	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9943	7	9	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	248994	13	4	248994	13	4	75101	5	2	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	160618	8	9	160618	8	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	59517	12	3	59577	12	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	5863	9	6	243429	6	11	249353	"	5	12	7	8	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	12069	14	8	12069	14	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	8336	9	4	198353	3	3	206689	12	7	235762	6	3	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	14549	"	10	14549	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	16719	15	8	16719	15	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	3168	8	5	3168	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	3987	7	7	3987	7	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	491	1	10	22294	9	4	22785	11	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	76852	9	7	2283155	2	10	2360007	12	5	2262133	9	"	97874	2	4

Balances:-
Balances of prior date in train of liquidation
Permanently Settled -
Land Revenue not permanently Settled -

	Sequestered - 97			Total - 98			Rented - 99			Summary - 100			Total Land Revenue - 101		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	"	"	"	123949	10	2	"	"	"	44541	14	9	168491	8	11
2	"	"	"	232322	5	7	"	"	"	15213	15	9	247536	4	10
3	51485	10	1	2373780	2	6	"	"	"	50561	"	11	1424346	3	5
4	121402	2	11	1373780	2	6	"	"	"	888	2	"	2416056	12	10
5	1329694	6	2	2415168	10	10	"	"	"	12230	5	1	5549791	6	"
6	537561	"	11	537561	"	11	"	"	"	24927	15	10	45715	7	11
7	"	"	"	20487	8	1	"	"	"	2875	6	2	2875	6	2
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19238	"	7
9	9289	8	10	19238	"	7	"	"	"	178106	6	3	2532071	1	5
10	"	"	"	75101	5	2	"	"	"	12346	"	1	137453	8	1
11	125107	8	"	125107	8	"	"	"	"	20753	10	5	207583	1	
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	8	8	111	10	5	99145	14	1
13	"	"	"	12	7	8	99021	12	"	45213	8	10	452138	10	
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5795	12	3	240958	2	6
15	"	"	"	235762	6	3	"	"	"	3089	7	"	30897	"	
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3373	2	2	33732	2	
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3436	3	5	34363	5	
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3128	5	7	31285	7	
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	118	11	4	1198	"	2	13161	6	
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6018	11	9	60189		
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	717454	4	11	10158191	1	3	99145	"	"	433809	10	10	10691145	12	1

9359

Fort St. George

29th June 1843.-

Balance of Current Demand of Fusly 1212.-

Extra Revenue.-

	Salt.- 102		Sayer 103.		Abkarry 104.-		Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.- 105.		Motursha 106.-		Sea Customs.- 107.		Tobacco.- 108		Stamps.- 109		Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109.- 110		Land Revenue 111		Extra Revenue 112		Total.- 113.-	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	824	8 5	175	13 3	1,215	1 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	170,707	" 6	4,05,743	2 10	3,286	13 "	4,08,429	15 10
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	361	6 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	24,897	11 3	93,814	2 1	6,766	9 4	1,00,580	11 5
3	"	" "	"	" "	2,416	4 "	407	12 10	2,571	3 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1,429,676	7 11	2,10,995	10 2	6,113	11 9	2,17,109	5 11
4	"	" "	"	" "	70	6 "	"	" "	343	14 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	24,16,471	1 5	4,95,831	15 8	730	14 4	4,96,612	14 "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	22	10 10	565	1 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	33,50,379	2 2	4,49,815	5 "	"	" "	4,49,815	5 "
6	"	" "	"	" "	3,487	6 9	694	11 10	523	4 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	50,420	14 8	78,488	14 11	19,287	3 10	97,776	2 9
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	109	8 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2948	14 3	52,574	10 10	2,018	10 3	54,593	5 7
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	19,238	" 7	10,907	6 "	718	" "	11,625	6 "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	254,151	11 7	66,781	" "	801	1 3	67,582	1 4
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	944	" 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	137,490	2 5	17,375	" "	8,053	11 7	25,428	11 7
11	"	" "	257	13 1	229	8 9	268	14 "	36	10 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	21,575	3 3	11,532	8 4	10,120	12 8	21,673	5 "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	200	" "	6	12 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	99,345	14 1	46,602	13 9	6,106	8 3	47,212	12 "
13	"	" "	"	" "	534	10 "	436	14 9	2	5 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	46,207	6 7	"	" "	6,377	11 10	6,377	11 10
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	54	8 11	149	8 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	24,1162	3 6	69,597	6 5	1,598	14 "	71,196	4 5
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	124	13 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3,214	4 10	"	" "	1,203	10 "	1,203	10 "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	145	13 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3518	15 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	160	6 7	"	" "	26	15 4	590	10 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4,214	3 9	1,470	" "	727	3 3	2197	3 3
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	112	12 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3,241	1 11	4,38,626	1 3	24,369	2 3	4,62,995	3 6
19	"	" "	"	" "	266	" 9	6	1 5	92	6 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1,681	3 11	4434	13 5	2,494	9 5	6929	6 10
20	"	" "	"	" "	10,896	13 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	16,915	8 10	18,752	2 6	"	" "	18,752	2 6
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	412	3 7	13,745	9 9	2,294	7 4	7335	4 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	107,20,433	5 2	28,92,231	7 3	1,00,775	3 "	29,93,006	10 3

9360

For St. George 29th June 1843.-

	Total Columns 110 and 113.		Total Balance Columns 94 and 114.		Remissions - Of Recent Arrears.		Of Old Balances.		Total.	
	114.		115.		116.		117.		118.	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
1	579,137	4 4	896935	11 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2	348,473	6 8	348728	13 1	2,666	11 2	"	" "	2,666	11 2
3	1646785	13 10	1933319	11 8	"	" "	211755	11 7	211755	11 7
4	2913083	15 5	2923517	2 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5	600,194	7 2	612,257	8 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
6	148,197	1 5	161182	12 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	57,573	3 4	65066	7 .	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
8	30683	6 7	30863	6 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	321733	12 11	1170723	10 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
10	162918	14 .	323537	6 9	"	" "	125	8 10	125	8 10
11	43188	8 3	102706	4 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	571473	10 1	820826	10 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
13	52,585	2 5	64,655	1 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	312358	7 11	519048	4 6	65530	4 6	"	" "	65530	4 6
15	4417	14 10	4417	14 10	"	" "	14228	7 10	14228	7 10
16	3518	15 9	12068	" 7	"	" "	70	" "	70	" "
17	6411	7 .	23131	6 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	466236	5 5	469404	13 10	"	" "	416	6 11	416	6 11
19	8,610	10 9	12598	2 4	"	" "	503	" 3	503	" 3
20	35667	11 4	52453	6 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	13713439	15 5	16073447	11 10	68196	15 8	227,104	3 5	295301	3 1

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.-

By Compilation on behalf of the Collectors of Land Revenue and Sayer Revenue under the Orders contained in Circular No 1252 dated 12th June 1843 for the Year ending 30th April 1843.-

Act.

Sayer Revenue

Land Revenue

July

July

1252

1251

	Land Revenue		Sayer		Abkary		Sundry Small Farms & Licenses		Notarships		Sea Customs		Tobacco		Stamps		Total Collections Branches of Revenue		Grand Total of Land and Sayer Revenue		Extra branches of Revenue		Particulars.	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p		
	2463475	11 5	2433963	9 3 5	327596	1 11	319576	3 2 2	2722596	1 11	319576	3 2 2	2381477	4 3	2345730	11 3	1310948	6 4	1333925	6 2	173124	" 11	1791033	14 7

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.—

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and Revenue from Extra Sources up to 30th April 1843.—

	Land Revenue.— Increase			Land Revenue.— Decrease			Extra Sources of Revenue.— Increase			Extra Sources of Revenue.— Decrease		
	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps
Ganjam	"	"	"	7,7158	12	"	7,631	13	5	"	"	"
Vizagapatam	"	"	"	1,24,669	7	3	"	"	"	47,241	15	7
Rajahmundry	6141	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	26,927	8	3
Masulipatam	47,239	7	"	"	"	"	50,217	9	11	"	"	"
Guntoor	"	"	"	55,599	"	11	"	"	"	20,909	8	9
Chingleput	3,32,685	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	107,029	8	1
Salem	"	"	"	54,430	15	1	"	"	"	379	6	7
Madura	"	"	"	23,431	7	11	"	"	"	5738	2	"
Nellore	"	"	"	2,13,856	2	9	"	"	"	41,610	1	1
N.n Dn of Arcot	"	"	"	99,209	"	11	7,874	5	9	"	"	"
S.n Dn of Arcot	1,16,806	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,775	11	10
Tanjore	2,44,051	6	"	"	"	"	12,112	7	3	"	"	"
Trichinopoly	"	"	"	1,16,458	10	3	"	"	"	3,330	11	6
Tinnevelly	"	"	"	1,49,565	10	10	25,897	7	8	"	"	"
Bellary	"	"	"	78,470	13	3	"	"	"	5,889	4	"
Cuddapah	"	"	"	19,600	7	4	"	"	"	12,445	13	6
Coimbatore	24,429	3	7	"	"	"	17,994	6	11	"	"	"
Canara	3,936	13	9	"	"	"	56,228	15	9	"	"	"
Malabar	"	"	"	13,692	14	2	44,212	7	5	"	"	"
Madras — Land Customs	217	5	4	"	"	"	1,32,205	3	"	"	"	"
Madras — Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	536	15	2
Madras — Sea Customs	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,692	10	1
Total	7,75,506	15	1	10,20,143	7	1	3,54,374	13	1	2,92,116	15	10
Deduct Increase	7,75,506	15	1				2,92,116	15	10	Dt. Decrease		
Net Decrease	2,44,636	8	"				62,257	13	3	Nt. Increase		

Resolved

Fort St. George 29th June 1843.—

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.—

78

To the Principal Collector of Nellore.—

To The Principal Collector of Nellore.—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the Statement noted in the Margin of arrears due to certain Yemiahdars in your District, with the Remarks of the Board and the orders of Government entered in the proper Columns.—

No. 1262 From Pl. Collector of Nellore dated 27th May inst. 15th June 1843.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th June 1843

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

79 From the Collector of Bellary.- Transmitting Statements of Goods recommended for confiscation and of Goods released on payment of Extra duty during the Month of May last.-

79-80 Order thereon.- Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Goods as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.-

81 From the Pt. Collector of Coimbatore - - - Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-

82 From the Collector of Trichinopoly - Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-

83 From the Aj. Collector of Vizagapatam - Abstract of Demand

Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.-

84 From the Aj. Collector of Vizagapatam - Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and

85 and Salt for the Month of May last.- From the Pt. Collector of Coimbatore - - - Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.-

86 From the Collector of Trichinopoly - - Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.-

87 From the Collector of Ganjam - Informing that certain Returns &c. for the Month of May last are not sent, there being no entry to make.-

88 From the Pt. Collector of Nellore. Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of Salt on account of the Chittagong Indent of the year 1842/43 for the Month of May 1843.-

89 From the Principal Collector of Nellore - Return of Persons charged

9366 - Fort St. George 29th June 1843.-

90 Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Reporting that the Returns of Persons charged with offences and of Persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last have not been sent, there being no entry to make.-

" Grant,

" Nelatoor -

" Hutton Stuart