Block 2 Shelf 7
No. 47
Shelf No. 1276
Special No. 1852
General No. 16058

Fort St. George 17th March 1813.

Recd. Diary.

17th March Received the following letter

To C. L. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From T. H. Forbes Esqr.
Pr. Coll. &c. &c.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an application from my Head Assistant Mr. Cochrane requesting that the leave of absence granted on the 13th Ultimo may be cancelled.—

Collectorate,
Custom,
Chingleput
March 1813.

Signed T. H. Stonehouse
Principal Collector.

To
The Principal Collector &c.
Sullore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be pleased to convey to the Most Honorable the Governor in Council through the usual Channel my wish that the leave granted to me, to visit the Pic: sidency under Section XI of the absentee Rules on the 13th Ultimo, may be cancelled at my own request.—

Signed W. E. Cochrane.

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government

4501.

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

At a Meeting Present

Monday 20th March 1843

John Dent Esqre Senior Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member
and
Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member
A. W. Kindersley Esqre Second Member

Minutes of the Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Letter

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

From J. F. Thomas Esqre
Actg Chief Secretary to Govt

Gentlemen

3

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council having observed that the subject of the Enam tenures in the Districts of Bellary and Cuddapah referred to in your Secretary's letter dated the 9th Ultimo, which was addressed to you so far back as the Month of August 1836, and on which the opinion of the Judges of the Sudr Dewanee Adawlut was required, as to the power of the Collectors to institute any Inquiry into the validity of these tenures, was received by you so early as the 20th September 1837. I am directed to express the astonishment that a question of so much importance to the good Government of the Collectorates should

4502.

Fort St. George 21st March 1843.

to remain before the Board apparently unnoticed for six and one half years, and that it should have been submitted unaccompanied by any explanation of this delay. His Lordship in Council desires that you will report for his information whether there are any more questions in arrears of so old a date under the consideration of the Board.

Fort St. George
21st March 1843.

Signed J. F. Thomas
Acting Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Pt Chief Sec
to Government

4

3-4

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 10th Instant, noticing in reference to their Proceedings of the 9th Ultimo submitting their sentiments on the subject of the Enam tenures in the Districts of Bellary and Cuddapah the delay which has occurred in disposing of this question, and requesting to know whether there are any more questions in arrear of so old a date as the year 1836.

2 In reply I am directed to express the regret of the Board that in submitting the Report above referred to they had omitted to

4504 Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

passed in the 3d and 6 year of the reign of Her Majesty Queen Victoria, received from the Honorable the Court of Directors, be transmitted to the Revenue and Judicial Departments in order that such parts of the Laws therein contained as it may be necessary to publish for the guidance of Public Officers in this Country, may be selected for promulgation in the Official Gazette, and that the Acts relating to India may be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 1st April 1840 No 31.

Signed J. F. Thomas.
Acting Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract, and the Volume of the Acts of Parliament received therewith, be communicated to the Court of Sudr and Fouzdaree Adalut and to the Board of Revenue with a request that they will respectively point out such parts of the Laws contained therein relating to their Departments, as it may be necessary to publish in the Fort St. George Gazette for the information and guidance of the Public officers.—

Signed J. F. Thomas
Acting Chief Secretary

Ordered

4501 Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

to explain that the subject on questions had arisen in the multiplicity of their business had escaped their recollection.

3. The Board further directed to express to Mr. their apology for the oversight.

It is to be understood that with the exception of the letter mentioned in the Register of letters received and disposed of in this Office transmitted to the Board with their Secretary's letter of the 10th February last they are not aware that there are any other questions of importance of so old a date as the year 1835 requiring notice, but with a view to taking further steps fully on this point they have directed their records to be searched and the result will be reported for the information of Government.

Signed S. B. Smith
Acting Secretary

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th March 1843.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th February 1843.

Resolved that the Volume of Acts of Parliament forwarded

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th March 1843

From the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

5

4505

5-6

Fort St. George 21st March 1823.

Order thereon
Read again 28th Sept 23

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

From the Acting Secretary to Government

Requesting to know why the circumstance of Mr Neave's holding lands in the Shevaroy Hills was not reported to Government when brought to the Board's notice by the Principal Collector of Salem in the Statement forwarded by him under date the 22nd May 1822.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th of March 1823.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Submitting with reference to the orders of Government of the 5th of December last a correspondence with the Principal Collector of Salem relative to certain lands held by Mr Neave on the Shevaroy Hills.

(Here enter 13th February 1823)

7

Read.

From the correspondence submitted with the foregoing letter the Most Honorable the Governor in Council learns that Mr Neave is in possession of lands in the Shevaroy Hills to the extent of Acres 327-38-56 which he obtained partly by purchase and partly on rent for the purpose of Coffee Cultivation, and that one third of that extent has been already planted with Coffee, and Mr Neave declares it to be his intention to store up the produce for eventual sale.

2

His Lordship in Council considers Mr Neave's engaging in a speculation like

4506

Fort St. George 21st March 1823.

like that alone noticed while in the service and holding a Judicial Office, to be highly objectionable and contrary to the letter and the spirit of the declaration subscribed by Mr Neave in assuming his present Office. It is also in contravention of the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors which prohibit their Servants undertaking any agricultural or commercial speculation and he accordingly resolves to transmit by the earliest opportunity a report of the circumstance for their orders.

3

It is not mentioned in the papers now before his Lordship in Council, when Mr Neave first entered on this speculation and he desires that the Board of Revenue in calling upon the Principal Collector to supply this information will require that Officer to explain under what circumstances he permitted Mr Neave to occupy Land as a Coffee Plantation which he ought to have been aware was contrary to the Rules of the Service.

4

The Board it is observed have not furnished all the information required by the Resolution of Government dated 5th December last, His Lordship in Council requests they will

Fort St. George 21st March 1843.

will now state why the circumstance of Mr. Steaves holding lands in the Shewaroy Hills was not reported to Government when brought to their notice by the Principal Collector of Salem in the Statement forwarded by him under date the 28th of May 1842.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / J. F. Thomas
Acting Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply.

7-8

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th instant.

2.

In the concluding Para it is observed that the Board of Revenue have not furnished all the information required by the resolution of Government dated 6th December last, and I am directed by the Board to request that you will be pleased to state why the circumstance of Mr. Steaves holding lands in the Shewaroy Hills was not reported to Government when brought to their notice by the

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government — explaining with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Board of Revenue dated 8th instant why the Board did not consider it to come within their Province in submitting the report of the Principal Collector of Salem relative to the Extent of Lands held by Europeans in the Shewaroy Hills to accompany it with any particular observations as to the Extent of Mr. Steaves holding

In Cons. 6th June 1843

Fort St. George 21st March 1843.

the Principal Collector in the Statement forwarded by him under date the 20th May 1842.

3

In reply the Board instruct me to explain that the Statement of Lands held by Europeans on the Shewaroy Hills without Government grants received with Mr. Gleig's letter of 21st May last and in which Mr. Steaves holding was included, was submitted by the Board to Government with their Proceedings of the 30th June last the land having been acquired by Mr. Steave from parties in whose names it was registered in the Circar accounts, and the Government demand on the same having been duly paid by him, and Mr. Steave more now not being under their orders and not having obtained the land thro' the Channel of the Board, they did not consider it to come within their province, in submitting the above correspondence to Government to accompany it with my particular observations as to the extent of Mr. Steaves holding.—

They desire now to state that although 252 Acres standing in Mr. Steaves name

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

names only 39 of this Peshcar is entered as cultivated, and that in a general way, so that the Board were not made aware of Mr. Stead's object in holding the land until the receipt of the explanation in February last.—

The Board may also remark that Cannis lands to a considerable extent 154. were held on the Neilgherries by Mr. J. Sullivan while he was in the service.—

Revenue Board's Office,
Fort St. George,
20th March 1843.

/ Signed / C. B. Lovell
Acting Secretary.—

Read the following letters.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From R. A. Bannerman Esqr.
Coll.r of Ganjam.—

Sir,

9

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter of the 20th Ultimo and in reply to state that in this District, in late years no additional Police Establishment has been employed in consequence of the withdrawal of Regular Troops

Ganjam District.—
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
Maranary 4th March 1843.

/ Signed / R. A. Bannerman
Collector.—

To C. B. Lovell Esqr.
Actg. Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From R. J. Porter Esqr.
Coll.r of Masulipatam.

Sir,

10

I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

receipt of Mr. Acting 2nd Secretary Cyerafts letter dated 21st Ultimo received on the 4th Instant, and to inform you that no additional Police Establishment has been permanently or temporarily employed in this District in consequence of the withdrawal of regular troops from Civil duties.—

Masulipatam Pillah,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry,
at Ralunghy 8 March 1843.

/ Signed / R. J. Porter
Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters.— Ordered to lie on the Table.—

9-11

Read the following letters.—

To C. B. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From T. V. Stonhouse Esqr.
Pt. Coll.r of Nellore.—
2 March 1843.

Sir,

In reply to your letter I have the honor to state that my usual residence is at Nellore and the Principal Cutcherry and Treasury are at the same place.—

12

Nellore District,
Kistnapatam
Pt. Coll.rs Cutcherry,
6th March 1843.—

/ Signed / T. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector.

To C. B. Lovell
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From W. M. Whitehill Esqr.
Asst. Coll.r of Cuddapah.—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

13

4314

Fort St. George 21st March 1813.

acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 2d instant desiring information whether my usual place of residence is at the same station with my Principal Cutchery and Treasury—

2. In reply I beg to observe that the Principal Cutchery and Treasury of this District is situated at Cuddapah, and that also is the Principal, though it can hardly be called the usual place of Residence of the Collector as 7 or 8 Months of each year are generally passed on Circuit and the remaining 5 Months at Cuddapah.—

3. The Summabunny of last year was not finished till the 11th of July, and I remained at Cuddapah from that date till the 22d October when I again proceeded on Circuit for the Current Summabunny. It has been included in 6 Taloocks of the Principal Division, and 3 including this in which I am now engaged still remain. I do not therefore anticipate again reaching Cuddapah before June.—

Cuddapah District,
Princl Collrs Office,
Royachoty 15th March 1813

/ Signed W. H. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Collector

To

S. C. R.

4312

Fort St. George 20th March 1813.

To
C. C. Lovell Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From J. Goldingham Esqr
Coll. of North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to

14

acknowledge the receipt of your letter and to state that my usual place of residence is at the same station with my principal Cutchery and Treasury— Annexed is a Memorandum shewing the period passed at Chittoor, Palmanair and other places during the last year.—

North Arcot.—
Coll.rs Cutchery.
Chittoor 13 March 1813.

/ Signed J. Goldingham
Collector.—

Memorandum.

Chittoor		Palmanair		Other Places		Total	
Months.	Days	M.	D	M.	D	M.	D.
4	— 8	3	— 21	4	— 21	12	— 20

/ Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

To
C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

15

From J. F. Bishop Esqr
Actg Coll.r of Tanjore—

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 2nd instant, I have the honor to inform you that the Head

15

4313

Fort St George 20th March 1843.

Assistant Collector resides at Negapatam, where the Treasury is, and the Collector when not on circuit resides at Negapatam, makes Vellem his residence, its locality being much more centrical than Negapatam, and more particularly advantageous since the duties of Resident have been added to those of Collector.

Tanjore — Vellem — 15th March 1843.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Acting Collector

To
C. b. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

From J Bird Esqr
Sub Collr in charge
of Combatore

16

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 2nd instant, I have the honor to state that the usual place of residence of the Principal Collector is at Combatore where the Principal Cutcherry and Treasury are stationed.

2 The Sub Collector resides at Chavadi, a distance of eighty one miles from the Sub Station.

Combatore — Pr. Collrs Office 14 March 1843.

(Signed) J. Bird
Sub Collr in charge

Ordered

4314. Fort St George 20th March 1843.

Order on the five foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the table.

(12-17)

Read the following letter —

To
C. b. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

From W. H. Hallett Esqr
Actg Principal Collector
of Coimbatore

Para 1

Affording explanation as to the large increase of Sayer charges for July 1842.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 23rd February 1843 requiring explanation as to the large increase of Sayer charges in July 1842 which had attracted the notice of the Honorable the Court of Directors.

16

2. This explanation has been already supplied by Mr Hallett Acting Collector in his letter dated the 23rd March 1843 with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 23rd February 1843 but as a little more detail may perhaps be considered desirable I took the liberty of repeating it —

3 The sum of Rupees 4677-12 paid to the Sayheer dar of Bungumpolly in July 1842 was the amount of Collections within

4315

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Abstract from the following Sources of Revenue

Abkary	23514	8	5
Mohturpha	22360	13	5
Sundry Small Items	201	11	6
Total	46077	1	2

In Fusly 1249 Rupees 12000 were transferred to the Sayer Cutcherry from the amount of Sayer Collections within the Sayer being for 6 years at the rate of Rupees 7000 per annum conformable to the order of Government under date the 20 August 1839. If the above sum be deducted from the Sayer charges in Fusly 1249, it will reduce them to Rupees 17570-15-6 which sum exceeds by one more than the charges of the preceding Fusly.

Fusly 1248 17570-15-5
Fusly 1249 17570-15-6

As neither of the above payments properly speaking belong to the year in which they were made, the following is a more correct comparison of the results of the two Fuslies.

	Gross Collections	Ordinary Charges	Net Revenue
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Fusly 1248	463330-11-1	19311-10-9	444018-1-4
1249	454760-13-3	19076-1-10	435684-11-5

Cuddapah District
Asst Collrs Office
Royachoty 8th March 1843.

(Signed) W. R. Arbuthnot
Asst Collr

Order thereon

18-19

Ordered to be recorded
Read

SSB

4316

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From A. Freese Esqr
Collector of
Chingleput.

Sir,

Enclosure A.

Para 1. In returning the Statement marked A transmitted with Mr Secretary Porter's letter of the 28th April last, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that in conformity to their instructions, I have not only inserted in Column 5 the amount of assessment to which the land in Column 2 would be liable at the usual rates at which land is taken up for cultivation, but also added another Column to shew the amount of current demand on those lands as realized in Fusly 1251. A.D. 1841/42.

20

2. Of the 186 Gardens entered in the Statement received from the Board, on only 109 has Quit Rent been annually collected, fifty two being

Gardens.
At Pulaveram 38
At St Thomé Mount 12
At Ponamalle 2
52

Quit Rent, now exempted under the G.O.G. dated 4th December 1829 as included in Military Cantonments, and twenty five held upon free Grants, or exempted from Quit Rent, for other causes detailed under the Column of Remarks.

3. Among those Gardens which now pay a Quit Rent, that in the name of Major Macalister in the Village of Orasumbeit being situated on the Mount Road in the Vicinity of Royapettah, I would suggest that it may be transferred with the Quit Rent on it being Rupees 22-12-10 to the Collector of Madras.

† No 20 in the accompanying Statement A. Vide Grant dated 2d Sept 1796 No 196.

Chingleput District
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Tergoputtoor
6th March 1843.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George

A — Statement of Lands in the District of Chingleput —

20th March 1843 —

Name of Grantee	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney	Total amount of Quit-Rent	Amount liviable in the land in Col. 2 according to the usual rate of land taken up for Cultivation —	Amount of Quit-Rent levied for Fasly 1237 A.D. 1841/42 —	Remarks —
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Cs Gs Sq ft	Pags ft	Pags ft	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	
1 Mr Henry Mitchell	3 16 1883	1 22 40	13 21	33 5 9	47 2	
2 Barrow Bichell	12 16 266	22 40	6 10 40	22 2 10	21 13 1	
3 Lieut Colonel W. Sydenham	1 12 165½	1 22 40	1 31 40	3 13 4	3 15 2	
4 Mr A Desouza	19 9 379	22 40	9 28 70	47 14 8	33 12	
5 Messrs J. D Poucher and G. Hall Executor of Colonel Morehouse deceased	6 1 21	1 22 40	9 "	13 4 9	31 8	Exempted from Quit-Rent in fasly 1250 being included in the Cantonment at St Thomas Mount. Vide order of Government 7th July 1840 —
6 Captain Robert Scoular	10 2236	1 22 40	15 1 64	52 10 3		This Garden being unoccupied had been included in the Shotrium Grant of Iraveram, and the Shotriumdar has since received the Rent. —
7 Captain C. A. Tanner	5 17 1344	1 22 40	8 21	29 10 2		
8 Savvy Naik	8 8 2186	1 33 60	14 15 60	50 3 7		
9 Lieut Hleck Burke	23 2398	1 22 40	1 22 40	5 4		This Garden was not entered in the accounts for many years, nor is the Site known, the land has no doubt been incorporated with Government lands. —
10 Mr Roger Darvall	2 11 1175½	" 28 10	1 29 65	5 13 2		
11 Sykes Ninsapah Moodely	13 13 1450	1 22 40	21 6 60	74 5		This Garden has for a series of years been unoccupied, but is attached to the Trevallore Pagoda. —
12 Sykes Ninsapah Moodely	3 2 2387	1 22 40	4 21 29	15 10 7		
13 Lieut B. Frend	3 17 1726	1 22 40	5 21 69	19 3 3		
14 Lutchmia Beebee	19 12 809	1 22 40	28 9 60	98 11 11		
				49 12 6	98 11 11	

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.-

Names of Grantee.-	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit Rent per Cawney.-	Total amount of Quit Rent	Amount leviable on the land in Col 2 according to the usual rate of land taken up for Cultivation	Amount of Quit Rent levied for Fuzly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Remarks.-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Cs Gs Sq ft	Pags fs cs	Pags fs cs	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	
15 Captain Thomas Hays	4 7 1281	33 80	3 16 74	9 7	18 13	
16 Captain Thomas Barrett	75 7 898	22 40	37 23 57	131 12 7	" " "	Exempted from Quit-Rent being in the possession of the relatives of His Highness the Nabob.-
17 Lieutenant J. Sinclair	1 7 1765½	133 60	135 32	2 14 3	6 4 1	
18 Coly Calapoody Venkatasawmy Naiku	8 21 1839	122 40	13 23 1	37 18 6	47 4 9	
19 Lieutenant Col. David Smith	6 9 382½	122 40	9 20 48	13 5 4	33 1 7	
20 Major Macclester	4 9 1069	122 40	6 23 10	23 1 "	22 13 9	
21 Major Alexander Anderson	2 14 1330	422 40	11 26 68	" " "	" " "	Nothing whatever is known in regard to the Gardens.-
22 T. Pounapillay	16 28 1425	122 40	16 17 51	23 3 11	57 5 11	
23 Mr Thomas Cockburne	11 5 69	122 40	16 29 24	24 8 4	" " "	Free of Quit-Rent Vide Boards' order dated 21st July 1803. The land is stated to belong to the Rajah of Tanjore.-
24 Messrs John Shamier and Naza Jacob Shamier Executors of Mr Shamier Sultan deceased	230 6 1764	" 11 18	57 20 70	202 3 11	" " "	This land is included in the Guindy Park.-
25 Do Do Do	74 9 1497	" 11 11	18 21 63	64 11 2		
26 Annachella Moodely	2 15 1920	122 40	3 35 44	193 " 3	35 19	This Garden is not in existence - a portion of it Viz 3 1/2 - 6 1/2 has been appropriated for Military purposes in the Cantonment at St Thomas Mount - on the remaining land, a Teerwa has been fixed and annually collected according to the extent cultivated.-
27 T. Coosppiah Brahmin	4 11 1458	122 40	6 26 9½	13 4 3		
28 Tolasinga Pillay	5 2 1850	122 40	7 24 18½	22 " 6		
29 Mr Robert Henderson	3 3 725	122 40	7 25 34	26 6 3		
30 Simanaer Seruvengada Pillay	4 7 600	122 40	6 16 25	26 7 7		
31 Venkatachella Moodely	4 13 784	122 40	6 29 70	22 4 3		
				23 5 2		

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.

	Name of Grantee.	Extent of Land.	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney.	Total amount of Quit-Rent.	Amount leviable on the land in Col: 2. according to the usual rate of land taken up for cultivation	Amount of Quit-Rent levied for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Remarks.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
		Gs Gs Sq Ft	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	
32	M. Govrova Pillay	2 13 276	1 22 40	4 4 13½	14 5 2	5 1 11	
33	V. Conday Pillay	6 4 1920	1 22 40	9 10 36	32 5 .	14 1 11	
34	Ingaroo Chitty	4 9 1095	1 22 40	6 21 21¼	22 10 6	11 5 8	
35	Mr. J. Jordon	1 3 380	1 22 40	1 25 9	5 7 3	2 7 7	Exempted from Quit-Rent in Fusly 1249 being appropriated for the use of the Christian Church at Audumbaukum.-
36	Mr. M. Fitzgerald	1 12 405	1 22 40	2 9 30	9 11 8	3 4 9	
37	Captain J. Hammd	1 9 1407	1 22 40	1 8 5	4 2 1	1 12 6	
38	Major Mandvells	3 2 1462½	1 22 40	4 23 6 9	15 13 8	6 12 10	Do Do being occupied as burial ground.-
39	Mullecarjoona Dava	5 19 100	1 22 40	8 24 64	29 14 11	16 12 8	
40	Mr. John Cachart	11 5 2265	1 22 40	16 31 31	58 9 1	27 5 5	
41	Calilly Pillay	3 1 1663/4	1 22 40	4 21 64	15 11 2	9 1 9	
42	Sawmy Pillay	5 6 2261	1 22 40	9 33 44	29 1 9	13 8 2	This Garden was sold for arrears and reverted to Government in Fusly 1244, since which period a Teerwa has been collected on account of Government, tho nothing was realized for Fusly 1251 in consequence of the land being uncultivated.-
43	Chedumbiah Pillay and Videnada Pillay	5 6 925	1 21 .	9 22 22	26 3 9	9 3 4	
44	Do and Do	20 11 1138	1 22 40	30 25 65	107 . 2	66 8 6	
45	Do and Do	5 8 1200	1 22 40	8 1 10	28 1 5	18 11 10	
46	Do and Do	5 2 1982	1 22 40	9 24 28	26 6 3	17 14 9	
47	Balacristnama Chitty	5 14 400	1 22 40	8 13 70	29 1 3	19 9 .	
48	Sawmy Moodeliar	9 14 1600	1 22 40	14 17 40	50 5 9	13 9 4	
49	Vencatachella Pillay	1 22 4163/4	1 22 40	2 16 16	8 4 3	6 8 1	
50	Do	16 1920	1 22 40	1 2 26	3 10 7	2 9 2	
51	Do	13 5 945	1 22 40	19 35 12	69 3 9	28 14 11	

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.

Name of Grantee.	Extent of Land.	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney.	Total amount of Quit-Rent.	Amount leviable on the land in Col 2 according to the usual rate of land taken up for Cultivation.	Amount of Quit-Rent levied for Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.
	C. Gs. Sq.ft.	Pags. ft. C.	Pags. ft. C.	Rupees A.P.	Rupees A.P.	
52 Inganuppa Chitty	3 14 1,060	1 22 40	5 16 73	12 9 8	18 13 1	
53 Alexander Falconer Esqr.	22 19 1,641	1 22 40	34 9 50	49 14 11	119 12 1	
54 Iyah Pillay	8 14 2,100	1 22 40	12 41 66	23 10 "	45 4 1	
55 S. Moodoocrishna Naig	2 " 502	1 22 40	3 " 46	5 4 4	10 8 8	
56 Messrs. Robuck and Abbott	320 6 240	1 19 16	450 " "	2241 12 3	1575 " "	
57 Tanappa Moodely	2 2 400	1 22 40	3 6 5	6 6 4	10 15 7	
58 Mooneapa Chitty	2 2 400	1 22 40	3 6 5	7 11 8	10 15 8	
59 Mr Robert Woolf	10 20 426	" 4 65	2 10 3537	54 14 6	54 14 6	This is called Panavadoo Garden in the accounts - it was purchased by Nullatumby Moodely, after whose death it was sold for arrears - a new Grant No 1368 having been issued in 1811, the old Grant was cancelled.
60 Mooneapillay	31 9 "	" 22 40				
61 S. Canduppa Moodely	7 4 831¼	1 22 40	15 30 75	41 12 6	37 11 4	
62 Mr Thomas Coethburne	16 4 2138	" " "	10 34 57	47 12 4	" " "	This Garden is shewn in the list received from the Board on the 10th October 1803 as exempted from Quit-Rent.
63 Arunasawmy Moodely	6 16 459¼	1 22 40	10 " 70	23 6 1	35 1 1	
64 Ponna pillay	8 21 1115	1 22 40	4 20 10	2 " 9	15 9 "	
65 Meer Jusser	18 10 688¾	1 22 40	27 29 40	96 12 8	" " "	Two Grants were issued for this Garden, one No 1360 for 6 C. 10 G. 688¾ sq.ft in the name of Caudar Hoossain Khan dated 19th April 1811 and the other No 1362 for 12 Cawnies in the name of Moobaruck Nissa begum bearing the same date, the old Grant must therefore be considered cancelled.

4321

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.-

Name of Grantee-	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney-	Total amount of Quit-Rent-	Amount liviable on the land in Col 2 according to the usual rate of land taken up for Cultivation-	Amount of Quit-Rent levied for Fasly 1251. A.D. 1841/42	Remarks-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Co Gs Lpes fs	Pags fs 6	Pags fs 6	Rupees as P.	Rupees as P.	
66 Ponnapillay	6 9 2180	" " "	" " "	22 7 1	" " "	Exempted from Quit-Rent in 1801.-
67 Dainodara Pillay	8 17 1500	1 22 40	13 4 36	24 11 1	45 13 6	
68 Yetchoo Moodely	8 . 2004	" " "	" " "	17 9 3	" " "	Exempted from Quit-Rent.-
69 Alagattuminah	4 . 390	1 22 40	6 " 33	26 5 7	26 5 7	This Garden was sold for arrears and rivested to Government in Fasly 1240.-
70 Tolasinga Chettiar	6 5 504	1 22 40	9 14 49	27 " 6	32 10 2	
71 Connarippa Moodely	5 " 400	1 22 40	7 22 45	17 8 10	17 8 10	Do Do in Fasly 1244.-
72 Singana Chettiar	7 12 "	1 22 40	11 11 20	29 15 6	39 6 .	
73 G. Sashachella Chitty	321 625	1 22 40	5 37 19	13 9 7	20 6 4	
74 Venkataramanjoloo Naiku	10 " "	1 22 40	15 " "	" " "	" " "	In part cancelled by Grant No 1400 for 3 Cawnies - Rupees - 37 - 15 - 8 - of this sum is the Quit-Rent collected as per Certificates issued by the Madras Collector on houses erected on this land and the remaining Rupees - 28 - 11 - 2. has been paid by the owner.-
Deduct-	3 " "	1 22 40	4 22 40	" " "	" " "	
Remaining	7 " "	1 22 40	10 22 40	39 15 10	66 10 10	
75 Sashier	4 6 105	1 22 40	6 16 79	15 " 7	15 " 7	Vide Remark to No 71.-
76 Mr A. Falconer	7 21 1125	1 22 40	11 37 63	17 4 4	41 7 1	
77 Mr B. Roebuck	4 20 300	1 22 40	7 11 47	12 11 2	25 6 5	
78 A. Annasawmy Moodely	2 " 2156	1 22 40	3 2 37	10 11 1	10 11 1	
79 Venkatarajah	4 . 792	1 22 40	6 " 69	8 15 11	21 1 1	
80 Moncasspah Moodely	4 23 2315	1 22 40	7 22 33	18 4 10	26 3 10	
81 Venkatachella Pillay	8 1 815	1 22 40	16 4 45	56 6 3	56 5 8	

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.

Name of Grantee.	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney.	Total amount of Quit-Rent.	Amount leviable on the land in Col 2. according to the usual rate of land taken up for Cultivation.	Amount of Quit-Rent levied for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	C. G. L. P.	Pags. Fs. Ls.	Pags. Fs. Ls.	Rupees A. P.	Rupees A. P.	
82 Vencatarajah	12 . 360	1 2240	18 . 31	41 14 8	63 . 6	This land is situated at Cuttevauk.-
83 Mooneapillay	3 21 791	1 2240	5 37 45	6 12 11	20 6 9	
84 Mr H. Compton	2 . .	1 2240	3 . .	3 8 .	10 8 .	Exempted from Quit-Rent in Fusly 1249 on account of burial Ground.-
85 Mr Ablespenn	. 8 1353⅛	1 2240	. 25 44	" 12 6	" " "	Vide Remark to No 71 a portion of the land only was under Cultivation in fusly 1231.-
86 V. Mottiah Moodely	17 15 1400	1 2240	26 21 30	56 7 11	37 13 6	
87 P. Tcroovenga Moodely	7 1 186	1 2240	10 25 41	26 2 6	36 15 9	
88 Messrs Colt Baker Hart & Co Agents to Lieutenant Colonel R. Bell	4 7 92¾	1 2240	6 19 63			Exempted from Quit-Rent in fusly 1230 being included in the Cantonment at St Thomas' Mount.-
89 Captain Webbstone	1 2 1094	1 2240	1 29 51	9 6 3	" " "	Do. Do. Do.
90 Frojose Despiedate, Vr acting Bishop	24 20 466½	" " "	None.	2 6 7	" " "	Exempted from Quit Rent being alienated for the use of the Christian Church at Little Mount.-
91 Messrs Tullah Demella and Thomas Desoza Executors to the late Mr Desoza	1 23 168	1 2240	2 42 29	51 15 1	" " "	Exempted from Quit Rent in Fusly 1245 being included in the Mount Road.-
92 S. Paupah Moodely	11 21 2247½	1 2240	17 39 17	62 8 9		
93 Messrs Tullah Demello and Thomas Desoza Executors to the late Mr Desoza	20 14 172	1 2240	30 39 45	108 1 4		
94 Mr George Johnston	2 17 1040	1 2240	4 3 76	14 4 11		This Garden having been granted to His Highness the Nabob about 35 years ago was incorporated with the Roshun Bagh.-
95 Comroe Moottiah Moodely	5 . 1465	1 2240	7 24 23	26 6 3		Vide Remarks to No 88.-
96 Ditto	3 . 1130½	1 2240	4 23 59	15 13 6		

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.

Name of Grantee.	Extent of Land.	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney.	Total Amount of Quit-Rent.	Amount leviable on the land in Col. 2. according to the usual rate of Land taken up for Cultivation.	Amount of Quit-Rent levied for Fusly 1251. A.D. 1841/42	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	C. G. S. ft.	Pag. f. c.	Pag. f. c. 6	Rupees & P.	Rupees & P.	
97 Lieutenant G. I. Graham	1 21 682	1 22 40	2 40 13	10 2 .	4 2 .	Vide Remarks to No. 18.-
98 Captain Webbestone	1 11 1692	1 22 40	2 10 30	7 12 11	2 9 11	Do. Do.
99 Messrs. Coll. Baker Hart & Co.	2 19 252	1 22 40	4 8 5 7	14 10 10		
100 Do. Do.	57 16 739½	1 22 40	77 23 24	271 5.	293. 8 10. { 96 15 10 / 189 " "	The lands of Meenjoor and Vullore being undistinguished in the Grant, the amount of Quit-Rent levied on the land of each Village is shewn separately in Col. 6.-
101 P. Chengleroy Moodely and M. Casava Chitty	4 9 1087	1 22 40	6 26 46	23 1 1	15 6 1	23 1 1
102 Cuviche Narasoo Naik	1 20 "	1 22 40	2 33 60	9 10 .	6 6 8	9 10 "
103 Thomas Rutter	2 12 "	1 22 40	3 33 60	13 2 .	8 12 .	13 2 "
104 Mr. James Taylor	17 23 600	1 " "	17 34 70	62 3 5	39 4 11	62 3 5
105 Mr. W. Lautwar	1 3 1415	1 22 40	1 32 40	6 " 6	3 15 10	This Garden is unoccupied and is in a ruinous state - The proprietor is unknown.-
106 Cauder Hoossain	6 10 688¾	1 22 40	9 29 40	33 12 9	22 8 .	33 12 9
107 Mobaricknissa Begum	12 . "	1 22 40	18 " "	63 " "	42 " "	This land is included in the Sire Bough belonging to H.H. his Highness the Nabob.-
108 Nullatomby Moodely	99 13 1997	1 " 44	100 35 37	352 12 2	723 1 8	603. " 7 This Garden was sold for arrears and bought on account of Government in 1024 it has been ever since incorporated with Government lands and Teerwa collected.-
109 Captain John Noble	7 12 "	1 22 40	11 11 20	39 6 .	16 6 6	" " " Vide Remarks to No. 88.-
110 Mr. William Grant	18 1599	1 22 40	1 7 37	4 1 4	3 1 11	3 1 11 Quit-Rent was levied on this ground from Fusly 1241. Previous to which for several Years no Quit-Rent was collected.-
111 Gentlemen composing Ennore Society	21 11 2282	1 " "	21 22 32	75 3 10	46 11 8	46 11 8 This land is situated at Cuttevault and the Quit-Rent was collected for the first time in Fusly 1251.-
112 Gunsamdoss	3 " "	1 22 40	4 22 40	15 12 .	15 12 .	

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

	Name of Grantee	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit-Rent per Cawney	Total Amount of Quit-Rent	Amount leviable on the land in Col 2 according to the usual rate of Land taken up for Cultivation	Amount of Quit Rent levied for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Gs. Sqs.	Rupees & Ps.	Rupees & Ps.	Rupees & Ps.		
113	Doctor H. Harries	3 6 1400	1 22 40	4 41 ½	8 9 ~	1 7 3	
114	Vencatarajoo	4 2 920	1 22 40	6 6 56¼	12 3 6	2 1 14 11	The difference between the original Quit Rent and that levied in Fusly 1251 is owing to a small excess of land discovered upon remeasurement.
115	Colonel Thomas Hays	" 18 237	1 22 40	1 5 7½	1 10 5	3 15 6	
116	Jauth Cawn Subidar	" 14 1032	" " "	40 46	1 5 1	3 2 6	
117	Frances X Pereira, Antz Pereira, Anthony Munis Executors to the last Will and testament of the late Mr Pereira	3 " "	1 22 40	4 22 40	2 10 ~	~ ~ ~	This Garden has been unoccupied and omitted in the accounts since Fusly 1244.
118	Mr W. Lane	6 13 2131	1 22 40	9 39 5	14 6 3	34 8 8	
119	Moses arathoon	2 2 2 1351	1 22 40	4 19 3	6 7 3	15 7 8	
120	Mr Harakoos Arathoon Executor to the late Sergies Satar Agavelly	7 14 15 52½	1 22 40	11 18 55½	16 10 4	39 15 3	
121	Captain Rodyard	4 22 1008	1 22 40	7 18 5	10 12 8	25 14 6	
122	Lieut I.R. Sandford 11th Regt N.I.	" 12 1575	" " "	35 48	1 2 6	2 12 3	
123	Ensign G.C. Whitlock 11th Do Do	" 12 1575	1 22 40	35 48	1 2 6	2 12 3	
124	Ensign D. Buchanan 11th Do Do	" 12 1575	1 22 40	35 48	1 2 6	2 12 3	
125	Lieut N.C. Turner 11th Do Do	" 12 1575	1 22 40	35 48	1 2 6	2 12 3	
126	Captain H. Cooper 11th Do Do	" 18 2362	1 22 40	1 8 32	1 11 8	4 2 6	
127	Apth Surgeon A. Higginson 11th Do	" 18 2362	1 22 40	1 8 32	1 11 8	4 2 6	
128	Lieut H. Drake 11th Do Do	1 " 150	1 22 40	12 25 74	2 3 1	3 4 2	
129	Dr Wm A Mumbee 11th Do Do	1 4 1200	1 22 40	1 35 12	2 9 7	6 3 9	
130	Capt W. Williamson 3d Regt LI	" 18 2362½	1 22 40	1 8 32	1 11 8	4 2 6	
131	Lieut W.L. Williams 9 Regt N.I.	" 12 1575	1 22 40	35 48	1 2 6	2 12 3	

Vide Remark to No 88.

The Grants which were prepared for these 38 Gardens were not issued as per order from Government. No Quit Rent is therefore collected on them.

Fort St. George

20th March 1843

Name of Grantee	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit rent per Caurney	Total amount of Quit Rent	Amount leviable on the Land in Col. 2 according to the usual rate of Land taken up for cultivation	Amount of Quit Rent levied for Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Cs. Gs. Sq.ts	Rup.s a. p.	Rup.s a. p.	Rup.s a. p.	Rup.s a. p.	
132 The Proprietors of the Mess Houses of the 3d Regt N. I.	18 2362	1 22 40	1 8 32		4 2 6	
133 Major J Walker 3d Regt L. I.	2 8 2100	1 22 40	3 24 77		12 7 1	
134 Dr Mrs J Leggett 3d Ditto Ditto	1 150	1 22 40	1 22 54		5 4 3	
135 Lieut J. M. Rowreth 9th Do N. I.	12 1575	1 22 40	1 22 54		5 4 3	
136 Lieut Wadmaid 9th Ditto Ditto	12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
137 Lieut J. H. Yaldwyn 11th Do N. I.	12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
138 The Proprietors of the Mess House 3d Regt L. I.	18 2362	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
139 Lieut Col. C. Hodgson 11th Regt N. I.	2 8 2100	1 22 40	1 8 32		4 2 6	
140 Lieut Kenny 3d Regt L. I.	12 1575	1 22 40	3 24 77		12 7 1	
141 Lieut T. S. Stedman 3d Do Do	12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
142 Lieut S. Dods adj 3d Do Do	1 150	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
143 Captain J. H. Cameron 11th Do N. I.	18 2362	1 22 40	1 22 54		5 4 3	
144 Lieut T. Lingworth 9th Do Do	1 150	1 22 40	1 8 32		4 2 6	
145 Captain H. Rowly 9th Do Do	18 2362	1 22 40	1 22 54		5 4 1	
146 Ensign T. F. Baber 22d Do Do	12 1575	1 22 40	1 8 32		4 2 6	
147 Ensign F. Heitzal 22d Do Do	12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
148 Lieut F. Shiel 3d Light Do	1 12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
149 Lieut J. Tucker 9th Regt N. I.	12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
150 Asst Surgeon J. Hyne 9th Do Do	18 2362½	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
151 Lieut A Cameron 9th Regt N. I.	12 1575	1 22 40	1 8 32		4 2 6	
152 Ensign H. Carrie 9th Do Do Do	12 1575	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
153 Lieut F. Clemons 9th Do Do Do	1 150	1 22 40	1 35 48		2 12 3	
154 Capt 9th J. Morritt 9th Regt N. I.	18 2362	1 22 40	1 22 54		5 4 3	
155 Lieut Cooper P.B. adjutant	5 18 495	1 22 40	1 8 32		4 2 6	
156 Lieut P. Harvey 3d Regt L. I.	21 2205	1 22 40	8 28 57		30 3 9	
157 Asst Surgeon R. Davidson 3d Do	21 2205	1 22 40	1 14 26		4 9 9	
158 Lieut Col. R. Rolmore	1 6	1 22 40	1 14 26		4 9 9	
159 Captain C. D. Robinson 3d Regt	113 1200	1 22 40	1 39 30		6 9	
			2 15 37½		8 3 3	
					1 11 8	
					5 2 11	
					2 3 1	
					1 2 6	
					1 2 5	
					1 2 6	
					1 11 8	
					5 2 11	
					1 2 6	
					1 2 6	
					2 3 1	
					1 11 8	
					2 3 1	
					1 11 8	
					1 2 5	
					1 2 6	
					1 2 5	
					1 2 5	
					1 11 8	
					1 2 6	
					1 2 5	
					2 3 1	
					1 11 8	
					12 9 7	
					1 14 9	
					1 14 9	
					2 11 9	
					3 6 8	

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

No.	Name of Grantee	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit rent per Corney	Total amount of Quit Rent	Amount leviable on the land in Col. 2 according to the usual rate of Land taken up for cultivation	Amount of Quit Rent levied for July 1251 a. D. 1841/42	Remarks
1	2	3		4	5	6	7
		C.s F.s A.s	Rup.s a. p.	Rup.s a. p.	Rup.s a. p.	Rup.s a. p.	
160	Fr.a ave Marie acting Bishop	18 9 135	1 2 2 4 0	27 26 3	40 3 11	9 6 9 6	Vide Remark to No. 88.
161	Edward Smalley Esqre	5	1 2 2 4 0	7 22 40	5	2 6 4	This land is situated in a zemindary Village and no Teerwa is therefore collected on account of Government.
162	M.s H. Chapman	25 10 720					
	Deduct	8 20 918	1 2 2 4 0	12 25 55	29 3 28 11		Cancelled in part by grant No. 1675 for 8-20 22½ C.s A.s 9/8, the Teerwah entered in Col: 6 is upon the remaining portion being 16-13-22½.
	Remaining	16 13 2202					
163	Colonel C. Farrin	8 20 918	1 2 2 4 0	8 13 4	29 3		
164	Resident Fr.e Eastagno & Co.s Puchem	5 16 1200	1 2 2 4 0	13 12 26	15 7 9	4 6 7 4	This land is occupied as a compound to the Christian Church at Redda pollium, and no Quit rent had been collected since the Grant was issued for it.- Exempted from Quit Rent- Vide sanction of Government dated 20th July 1824.
165	Lieut.t W. L. Carew of the Artillery	1 14 675	1 2 2 4 0	8 9 6 7½	17 6 10		
166	William Blair Executor to the estate of the late Major Bishop	18 6 187½	1 2 2 4 0	2 17 30	3 7 10		
167	Ditto — Ditto — Ditto	2 14 687½	1 2 2 4 0	9 5 55	31 15 1		These are included in the limits of Cattewauk.-
168	R. T. Sims Esqre	4 10 1820	1 2 2 4 0	1 13 33	4 8 9		
169	Captain C. F. Smith	4	1 2 2 4 0	2 10 10	7 12 7		
170	Doctor J. Shuter	1 2 168½	1 2 2 4 0	6	15 4 8		
171	Ditto — Ditto	2 3 549	1 2 2 4 0	1 28 25	1 14 5		
172	Honorable L.t K. Murray	83 22 1875	1	3 9 6	6 6 4		The Teerwah on this land is collected by the Palaveram Zemindar. Vide Remark to No. 165.-
173	Captain J. H. Edwards	22 1191	1 2 2 4 0	83 42 55	338 5 2		
174	Captain W. B. Bernard	2 2 1687	1 2 2 4 0	1 18 25	5 4		
175	Lieut.t J. Punchard of the Horse Artillery	1 3 1703¼	1 2 2 4 0	3 7 46	16 14 5		Ditto — Ditto
				1 32 75	2 8 5		Ditto — Ditto

Fort St. George 1st March 1843.

Name of Grantee	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit rent per Cawsiey	Total amount of Quit Rent.	Amount leviable on the land in Col. 2 according to the usual rate of Land taken up for Cultivation	Amount of Quit Rent levied for Fasly 1251 a. D. 1841/42	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Cs. Gs. Sq.ft.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
A New form Certificate introduced in 1828.						
176 Captain R. Murcott of the 36th Regt N. I.	3 18 1930½					
177 A. M. Magrath Esqre	14 2 2238	Rs. a. p. 5 4 -	Rs. a. p. 3 4 2	13 7 3	13 7 3	In consequence of the abolition of the Military Cantonment at Wallajahbad a Peerwa has been collected from Fasly 1224.
178 Lieut.t Colonel John Monckton Combs	1 12 -	1 - -	1 8 -	9 10 11	3 4 2	
179 A. M. Magrath Esqre	4 - -	2 3 -	8 12 -	1 8 -	1 8 -	
180 Reverend Frederick Spring or Secretary for the time being of corresponding Committee of the Church Missionary Society	1 1200	2 - -	2 - -	8 12 -	8 12 -	
				- - 19 - - -	Exempted from Quit Rent in Fasly 1247.	
181 William Marjoribanks Esqre	30 4 137	1 8 6	46 3 2	46 3 2	46 3 2	
182 Do. Do.	9 23 2008	1 8 6	15 4 4	15 4 4	15 4 4	
A New form Certificate introduced in 1835.						
183 Doctor Daniel O'Connor Vicar Apostalic or Roman Catholic Bishop of Madras	7 23 957			13 15 4 - - -	Exempted from Quit rent Vide Government sanction dated 11th December 1835.	
184 Mr. Peter Cator administrator of the late Major General Thomas Hay	3 - 1899	- - -	15 8 -	6 10 2	15 8 -	
185 Ditto Ditto	1 6 1831	- - -	6 8 10	2 13 1	6 8 6	
186 John Alves Arbuthnot Esqre	16 4 609½	5 4 -	56 9 11	31 11 11	31 11 11	

Chingleput District Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Toraipoor

6th March 1843 E. E. T. /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Adverting

Resolution thereon.

20-21

From the Collector of Chingleput to the Collector of Madras 6th March 1838
From the Coll.r of Madras to the Board of Rev.e 24th March 1838
Board's Proceedings 30th March 1838

Adverting to the suggestion contained in Para 3 of the foregoing letter the Board observe on reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, that the transfer to the Collector of Madras of the Collection of Quit rent on the Garden Registered in the name of Major Macalister was authorized by the Board on the recommendation of the Collector of Madras in communication with the Collector of Chingleput so far back as the year 1838 and if the measure has not yet been carried into effect, they request that the may now be done.-

22

Read the following Statement

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 14th March 1843.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach	Quantity ready in the Colaurs	Remarks
For 1841/42					
Bengal Maunds 5,00,000	2,77,720	2,22,280	1,15,275	1,29,159	The Salt in the Colaurs is being removed to the Beach

Chingleput District, Coll.rs Circuit Cutchery, Sadras 17th March 1843.

E. E. Fl.n
/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Order thereon-

22-23

Ordered to be recorded.-

J. B. Read

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Acting Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

24

Sir,

From the Collector in the N.th Div.n of Arcot

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to submit the accompanying Statement No.1 of certain Lands held under Government Grants, together with a Statement No.2 of certain Lands occupied by Europeans and Natives without Government Grants and to state that the Quit-Rent and Turum Peerwah of the Land included in these Statements respectively are either for the most part collected or are under Collection. Further enquiry is necessary in some cases which is duly noticed in the Statements.-

From Board to the Collector 26th Sept.r 1839
From Board to Government 18th April 1840
Minutes of Consultation Extracts 7th 9th July 1840
Board's Proceedings 6th Aug.t 1840
From Board to the Collector 3d Oct.r 1842
From Board to the Collector 16th July 1843

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry Chittoor
9th March 1843

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

No. 1 Statement shewing the amount of Turm Teerwah Lands in the Northern and amount levied as per Government Grants for certain Division of Arcot.

Page	Date of the Grant	No. of the Grant	Name of the original Grantee	Name of the present holder	Poonjah Land	Poonjah Turm Teerwah	Nunjah Land	Nunjah Turm Teerwah	Total Turm Teerwah	Amount as per Grant	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9	10	11	12	13.
							b. g. s. ft.	Rupees a p	b. g. s. ft.	Rupees a p	Rupees a p	
	1812. November	6th	1386	Mr. C. Harris	Tandroya Moodely				9 4 1200	19 4 .	32 2 5	
	Ditto	6th	1388	Mr. L. Skinner	Mary widow of Mr. Dasre	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Ditto	6th	1389	Mr. W. E. Wright	Mr. G. Goldingham	18 12 1800	73 10 8	24 9 1800	264 11 11	264 11 11		
	Ditto	6th	1390	Mr. S. Money	Mahomed Bauvoodeen	11 11 1500	42 5 3	2 1 300	21 3 6	20 13 2100	94 14 2	155 4 7
	Ditto	6th	1391	Mr. H. S. Grame	Mr. Groves			" " "	" " "	11 11 1500	42 5 3	60 4 9
	1814. January	7th	1428	Ditto	Namaseroya Chitty	12 2 1500	38 11 6	4 3 "	13 2 .	13 5 1500	51 13 6	51 13 6
	Ditto	7th	1429	Mr. C. Roberts	Mr. G. Horsley	5 8 825	4 12 1	" " "	" " "	5 8 825	4 12 1	28 1 2
	Ditto	7th	1430	Mr. W. E. Wright	Mr. F. Lascelles	6 14 1500	14 7 .	4 2100	2 12 7	6 9 2200	17 3 7	35 12 3
	Ditto	7th	1431	Ditto	Cautoonabeebee	3 8 600	17 8 .	3 22 1200	44 12 7	7 6 1800	62 4 7	38 3 7
	1818. March	10th	1507	Mr. H. Lincoln	Mr. L. A. Pereira	15 " "	25 14 9	" " "	" " "	15 " "	25 14 9	26 4 .
	1826. March	18th	1724	Mr. W. Brown	Reverend J. Bilderbeck	" 21 205	" 12 3	" " "	" " "	" 21 205	" 12 3	3 1 2
	1831. September	8th	19	Mr. C. Roberts	Mr. J. Goldingham	12 20 2096	11 6 .	" " "	" " "	12 20 2096	11 6 .	11 6 .
						11 20 1728	22 13 9	" " "	" " "	11 20 1728	22 13 9	

Cuddalore Exemption

The assessment over this land has been discontinued as per order of Government dated 25 January 1835

4531

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Talook	Date of the Grant	No. of the Grant	Name of the original Grantee	Name of the present holder	Poonjah Land	Poonjah Turnm Teerwah	Nunjah Land	Nunjah Turnm Teerwah	Total Land	Total Turnm Teerwah	Total Amount as per Grant	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
						C. G. S. ft.	R. a. p.	C. G. S. ft.	R. a. p.	C. G. S. ft.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	
Conjeveram	1835 August 4th	6	Mr. H. Lewis	Mr. H. Lewis	1 14 1680	3 1 10	" " " "			1 14 1680	3 1 10	8 7 5	
	1815 May 1st	1459	Lieut. A. Scott	Rungasawmy Moodely			2 19 2100	18 9 "		2 19 2100	18 9 "	14 13 7	The existence of a Government Grant for this land was hitherto unknown. The Thasildar has now been directed to collect the amount in Column 12.
	1823 April 8th	61	Lieut. Colonel Andrews & Narasimmah Naidoo		14 12 735	57 3 3	7 10 1200	55 9 "	21 22 1935	112 12 3	112 12 3		
	1830 May 12th	6	Lieut. Col. F. P. Stewart	Do	35 " 1800	120 5 9	" " " "		35 " 1800	120 5 9	218 10 4		
	March 5th	1611	Captain J. Nixon	Soobliah	9 13 300	25 1 7	4 19 2100	66 15 11	14 9 "	92 1 6	85 15 6		This assessment is under discussion, the holder alleging that the extent of land is incorrect in the sum of R. a. p.
	1827 May 31st	1754	Captain C. Hosmer	Guffar Saib	11 10 183½	40 7 6	1 10 1200	13 14 3	12 21 6,383½	54 5 9	54 5 9		
	1820 February 14th	1515	Captain F. L. Watson	Govinda Moodely	5 21 1650	26 5 9	2 1 2034	17 2 9	7 23 1284	43 8 6	41 14 4		
Vellore	1806 March 18th	1156	Mr. John Jones	Venkatasa Chitty	13 1172	2 13 6	" 10 1227	10 3 6	1 " "	13 1 .	5 ½ .		
	" " "				12 2 1256	59 10 8	2 19 300	10 8 10	14 22 1556	70 3 6	78 5 2		It is stated that a Grant for this land was in the possession of Mr. Jollie. The case is under enquiry.

Fort St. George

20th March 1843.

Taluk	Date and No. of Grant	Name of Grantee	Name of the present holder	Extent of Land as per Grant	annual amount to be paid as per Grant	Extent of land as per subsequent measurement	Turn Teerwah per annum	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				b. G. S.ft.	R.s a. P.	b. G. S.ft.	R.s a. P.	
Sulwaid	18th Janr. 1815 No. 1446 Dr. Die No. 1445	Mr. Intyre (Died) Hogendorp	Richard Webb obtained possession in 1838	" 15 1440	" 4 53			No Quit rent appears to have been collected up to this time - The case is under enquiry -
				" 15 288	" 4 40			Mr. Hogendorp lately died no Quit rent appears to have been collected up to this time - under enquiry -
Vellore	1st January 1816 No. 1470	A. Penn		" 14 600	" 40 6	" 18 "	18 4 3	These are two Bungalows and were constructed 20 years ago by a person of the Name of Penn after his death his daughter lived in one of the Bungalows and rented out the other - The Bungalow Comprising 18 Grounds was afterwards purchased by a Mr. D'Carlos. He died and his wife is now at Madras the other Bungalow was sold to Arnagherry Moodely Ma. nager in the Clothing Board at Madras - This Bungalow is now held as the Commissary's office on a rent of 25 Rupees, paid thro one Lenoyaca Moodely. The other Bungalow is rented by a Minister the rent paid thro' Lord master Wealley is now gone to Ra. neeput. This also is under enquiry. -
	1st July 1814 No. 1437	Defries & Co.		3 " "	4 22 40	1 8 1878	20 2 "	
Cuddapanuttum	16th Decr. 1816 No. 1486	A. Stewart	Coolluppiah	4 " "	6 " "	4 2 2112	21 10 6	This is composed as follows - c. as. 9¾ Indigo works. c. as. 12. trees, - " - 15¼ cultivated land 1. c. as. 13 arable but waste land in all 4 - 2 - 2 Pagodas are realized for that portion only occupied by trees - Teagariah Moodely the manager of the Indigo Manufactory states that the name of D'Fries & Co. was first entered in all the Bonds of the factory and that of Jollie Parry Dare & Co. afterwards - This is also under enquiry. -
						" " "	" " "	This was formerly a Garden with Apple Trees Chiefly. It was given to Coolapah by Mr. Grame on a rent of 15 Rs. which is collected up to this day and Coolapah cultivates and enjoys the land. -

E. E. Pts.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry, Chittoor 9th March 1842.

4533

Fort St. George 20th Mar

No. 2. Statement Shewing the amount of Turm Teerwah on certain Ground occupied

Taloks.	Name of the original Land holders.	Name of the present holders.	Extent Poonjah Turm Teerwah Land					Land	Remarks.
1	2	3	4				5	6	
			Ca.	Gr.	Sq. ft.	Rupees	x o	Ca. Gr. Sq. ft.	
	Mr. Bushby	Mr. A. Angelo	10	7	1774	54	" 5		Collected since the receipt of Board's Circular Orders dated 26th September 1839 but not previously.
	Mr. Newbolt	Mr. M. Lewin	10	19	1200	25	3 6		
	Mr. Dacre	Mary	"	"	"	"	4 "		
		Mr. J. E. Franky	"	4	750	"	2 6		
		Mr. L. Madden	1	"	450	"	14 "		
		Sanden	13		2325	"	8 "		
		Isake	13		1200	"	7 11		
		Badurky	3		1575	"	2 2		
		Mr. Alexander	2	7	2100	2	6		
		Mr. L. A. Pereira	13		3577/12	"	10 6		Belonging to public servants and no demand has ever been made. nor is any demand made at present.
	Total		26	16	2137/12	84	1 5	1 17	

Poonjah Land

Remarks.	Ca.	Gr.	Sq. ft.	Rs.
present	8	10	2100	
aves	14	12	1800	
s	1	23	1500	
Rabington	5	2	600	
gham	15	7	2100	
rchdeacon	3	12	"	"
	2	15	900	
	1	14	600	
Total	56	3	"	
	2	23	600	
	2	16	1200	
	2	3	1800	
r Subidar	2	21	"	
	2	21	1800	
odely	5	3	1800	
odely	2	4	300	
	"	14	1500	
odely	1	4	1200	
	"	9	1800	
Moodely	"	13	1200	
	2	4	1200	
	2	7	1200	
r Subidar	1	12	1800	
	8	"	4	
eg Subidar	2	19	1200	
	8	18	1800	
Total	49	7	1200	

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Statement Shewing the amount of Purm Teerwah on certain Lands occupied by Europeans and Natives without Government Grants in the Northern Division of

Name of the present Land holders	Name of the present holders	Extent Poonjah Land	Poonjah Purm Teerwah	Land occupied Nunjah Land	Nunjah Purm Teerwah	Total cul Land	Total cul Purm Teerwah	Total Land	Total Purm Teerwah	Deduct the amount of Remission granted by Government	Remaining Teerwah	Particulars of Column 13 — Amount collected	Particulars of Column 13 — Amount not collected
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		C. G. Sft.	Rupees a c	C. G. Sft.	Rupees a c	C. G. Sft.	Rupees a c	C. G. Sft.	Rupees a c	Rupees a c	Rupees a c	Rupees a c	Rupees a c
Bushby	Mr. M. C. Angelo	10 7 1794	54 . 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 7 1794	54 . 3	6 12 "	47 4 5	47 4 5	" " "
Newbolt	Mr. M. Lewin	10 19 1200	25 3 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 19 1200	25 3 5	3 2 2	22 1 3	22 1 3	" " "
Dacre	Mary	" " "	" " "	1 17 1500	15 11 2	" " "	" " "	1 17 1500	15 11 2	1 15 5	13 11 9	13 11 9	" " "
	Mr. J. E. Franzy	" 4 750	" 2 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 4 750	" 2 6	" " 3	" 2 3	" 2 3	" " "
	Mr. L. Madden	1 " 450	" 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 " 450	" 14 "	" " 1 9	" 12 3	" 12 3	" " "
	Sanden	" 13 2325	" 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 13 2325	" 8 "	" " 1 "	" 7 "	" 7 "	" " "
	Isake	" 13 1200	" 7 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 13 1200	" 7 11	" " 1 "	" 6 11	" 6 11	" " "
	Baduer Ky	" 3 1575	" 2 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 3 1575	" 2 2	" " 2 "	" 2 "	" 2 "	" " "
	Mr. Alexander	2 7 2100	2 . 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 7 2100	2 " 6	" " 1 6	1 12 6	1 12 6	" " "
	Mr. L. A. Pereira	" 18 33½	" 10 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 18 33½	" 10 6	" " 1 3	" 9 3	" 9 3	" " "
Total		26 16 213½	86 1 5	1 17 1500	15 11 2	" " "	" " "	28 10 123½	99 12 7	12 7 .	87 5 7	83 1 5	4 4 2

Fort St. G.

7th March 1843

Circuit	present Poonjah Land	Extent of Land occupied Poonjah Turrai Tarrawah	Land	Turrai Tarrawah	Total Land	Turrai Tarrawah	Land	Deduct the amount of remissions granted by Government	Remaining Tarrawah	Particulars of Column 13 amount collected	amount not collected	Remarks
12		13								14	15	16
Remarks		Rupees a p	C. fs. Sft.	Rupees a p	C. fs. Sft.	Rupees a p	C. fs. Sft.	Rupees a p		Rupees a p	Rupees a p	
	Cavel —	22 4 3	— 4 300	1 1 6	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 9 6	26 12 6	26 12 6	— — —	
	— — — —	60 13 10	1 — —	8 8 5	— — —	— — —	— — —	8 10 9	60 11 6	— — —	60 11 6	The Bill for this has been presented —
	Cabinglam	9 3 9	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 3 1	8 5 8	8 5 8	— — —	
	Pham —	13 10 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	4 23 1500	— — —	1 11 3	11 14 9	— — —	11 14 9	Used as a Cutcherry and the demand not made for that reason —
	Archdeacon	26 12 9	— — —	— — —	— — —	5 2 600	— — —	3 5 9	23 7 —	23 7 —	— — —	
	— — — —	6 2 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	15 7 2100	— — —	— — 12 3	5 5 9	5 5 9	— — —	
	— — — —	6 13 3	— — —	— — —	— — —	3 12 —	— — —	— — 13 8	5 15 7	— — —	5 15 7	Bill for this has been presented
	Peira —	8 5 11	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 15 900	— — —	1 — 9	7 5 2	— — —	7 5 2	A public servant and no demand hitherto made
	Total	160 6 9	1 4 300	9 9 11	— — —	1 14 600	— — —	— — 20 2 9	149 13 11	63 14 11	85 15 —	
	— — — —	7 12 3	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 23 600	— — —	— — 15 6	6 12 9	— — —	6 12 9	
	— — — —	7 — 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 16 1200	— — —	— — 11 1	6 2 9	— — —	6 2 9	
	— — — —	5 11 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 3 1800	— — —	— — 11 4	4 15 8	— — —	4 15 8	
	— — — —	7 9 8	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 21 —	— — —	— — 15 3	6 10 5	— — —	6 10 5	
	— — — —	7 10 6	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 21 1800	— — —	— — 15 4	6 11 2	— — —	6 11 2	Orders has been given to collect these sums —
	— — — —	13 9 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 21 1800	— — —	1 11 1	11 13 11	— — —	11 13 11	
	— — — —	5 11 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	5 3 1800	— — —	— — 11 6	5 — 4	— — —	5 — 4	
	— — — —	1 9 5	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 4 300	— — —	— — 3 2	1 6 3	— — —	1 6 3	
	— — — —	3 1 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	— 14 1500	— — —	— — 6 3	2 11 7	— — —	2 11 7	
	— — — —	1 1 6	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 4 1200	— — —	— — 2 2	— 15 4	— — —	— 15 4	
	— — — —	1 9 8	— — —	— — —	— — —	— 9 1200	— — —	— — 3 —	1 4 8	— — —	1 4 8	
	— — — —	5 11 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 9 1200	— — —	— — 11 6	5 — 4	— — —	5 — 4	
	— — — —	6 1 2	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 4 1200	— — —	— — 12 2	5 5 —	— — —	5 5 —	
	— — — —	4 9 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 7 1200	— — —	— — 3 1	3 8 8	— — —	3 8 8	
	— — — —	21 — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 19 1200	— — —	— 2 10 —	18 6 —	— — —	18 6 —	
	— — — —	7 6 2	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 12 1800	— — —	— — 14 9	6 7 5	— — —	6 7 5	
	Total	23 1 3	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 — —	— — —	— 2 11 2	20 3 1	— — —	20 3 1	
	— — — —	129 10 8	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 19 1200	— — —	16 3 4	113 7 4	— — —	113 7 4	
	— — — —		— — —	— — —	— — —	3 18 1800	— — —					

Belonging to public servants and no demand has ever been made — nor is any demand made at present. —

Circuit	present Poonjah Land	Extent of Land occupied Poonjah Turrai Tarrawah	Land	Turrai Tarrawah	Total Land	Turrai Tarrawah	Land
		Rupees a p	C. fs. Sft.	Rupees a p	C. fs. Sft.	Rupees a p	C. fs. Sft.
	Cavel —	22 4 3	— 4 300	1 1 6	— — —	— — —	— — —
	— — — —	60 13 10	1 — —	8 8 5	— — —	— — —	— — —
	Cabinglam	9 3 9	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —
	Pham —	13 10 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	4 23 1500	— — —
	Archdeacon	26 12 9	— — —	— — —	— — —	5 2 600	— — —
	— — — —	6 2 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	15 7 2100	— — —
	— — — —	6 13 3	— — —	— — —	— — —	3 12 —	— — —
	Peira —	8 5 11	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 15 900	— — —
	Total	160 6 9	1 4 300	9 9 11	— — —	1 14 600	— — —
	— — — —	7 12 3	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 23 600	— — —
	— — — —	7 — 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 16 1200	— — —
	— — — —	5 11 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 3 1800	— — —
	— — — —	7 9 8	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 21 —	— — —
	— — — —	7 10 6	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 21 1800	— — —
	— — — —	13 9 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 21 1800	— — —
	— — — —	5 11 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	5 3 1800	— — —
	— — — —	1 9 5	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 4 300	— — —
	— — — —	3 1 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	— 14 1500	— — —
	— — — —	1 1 6	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 4 1200	— — —
	— — — —	1 9 8	— — —	— — —	— — —	— 9 1200	— — —
	— — — —	5 11 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 9 1200	— — —
	— — — —	6 1 2	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 4 1200	— — —
	— — — —	4 9 —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 7 1200	— — —
	— — — —	21 — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 19 1200	— — —
	— — — —	7 6 2	— — —	— — —	— — —	1 12 1800	— — —
	Total	23 1 3	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 — —	— — —
	— — — —	129 10 8	— — —	— — —	— — —	2 19 1200	— — —
	— — — —		— — —	— — —	— — —	3 18 1800	— — —

4635.

Fort St. George 20th March

Names of the original Talooks.	Names of the original Land holders.	Names of the present holders.	Extent of Land occupied Poonjah. Land	Extent of Land occupied Poonjah. Turnum Teeruah	Extent of Land occupied Nunjah. Land	Extent of Land occupied Nunjah. T	Remarks.	Present Poonjah. Land	Present Poonjah. Turnum Teeruah
1	2	3	4	5	6		16	4	5
			C. G. S. ft.	Rs. a. p.	C. G. S. ft.	R. a. p.		C. G. S. ft.	Rupees
Vellore	Formerly owned by Gentlemen Annavarullee Khan Roostoomullee Saib	Causim Saib Tacroodeen	4 15 1,132	16 14 1	" " "		Demand has been made for this.	Ceded	" " " "
		Videyalinga Moodely	2 - 252	10 5 5	" " "				
		Dauwse Ramany Moodely	- 20 1572	1 6 -	" " "				
	Formerly owned by Gentlemen Seconder Khan Shaik Ahomed Syasaumy Moodely Nowrojee	Mahomed Hoonmer	- 3 756	" 11 5	" " "		The land has been claimed as Enam but proof has not been given. The Teeruah is high and is under enquiry.	Ceded	14 20 150 148
		Auroonooga Moodely Seconder Khan	3 17 384	14 " "	" " "				
			" " "	" " "	" " "				
		Causim Saib Govindoo Moodely Bommunjee &c.	1 3 1500	4 8 6	" 13 300				
			5 5 1500	19 11 "	" " "				
			2 9 -	10 12 5	" " "				
			" " "	" " "	- 23 2,143				
		Total	20 7 1596	81 5 3	1 13 48			Total	14 20 150 148
		Total 4 Talooks	152 11 1277 1/2	1,458 1	4 10 348				
		Portion of Ground encroached with the Land obtained on Government Grant.						Books	53 6 1125 123
	Mr C. Roberts Mr J. Horsely Mr W.E. Wright Mr F. Lascelles Mr H.S. Graeme Nanasevoya Chetty Mr H.S. Graeme Mr Groves		10 3 900	22 1 6	- 7 1200				
			4 12 900	20 - 3	1 2 1500				
			14 7 75	12 7 6					
			9 5 1500	70 6 5	12 16 300	2	Collection is made to the Extent cultivated. The remaining land if cultivated will be liable to the demand.		
		Total	38 10 975	74 15 2	14 2 600	2			

Amount at 12½
Amount of
Mr Groves by
of the Board
Amount of

North Arcot

Fort St. George

20th March 1843

Land occupied

Name of the present holders	Extent of Poonjah Land	Poonjah Turm Teerwah	Nunjah Land	Nunjah Turm Teerwah	Total Land	Total Turm Teerwah	Deduct the amount of remission granted by Government	Remaining Teerwah	Particulars of Column 13 Amount collected	Particulars of Column 13 Amount not collected	Remarks
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Cauvery Sahib	6	9	1	32										
Sacrooddeen					4	15	432	16	14	6				
Vijayalinga Moodely	4	15	432	16	14	6								
Dauras Ramany Moodely	2	252	10	5	8									
Mahomed Koonnee Chuvarnoya Moodely	20	1572	4	6	-									
Seconder Khan Cauvery Sahib	3	756		11	5									
Govindoo Moodely Bommunjee &c.	3	17	384	14										
Total	1	2	1500	4	8	6								
	5	5	1500	19	11									
	2	9		10	12	5								
	20	7	1576	81	5	3								
Total 4 Talookies	152	11	17½	145	3	1								
Quantity of Ground encroached with out Government Grant														
Roberts Mrs J. Horsely	10	3	900	22	1	6								
Wright Mr F. Lascelles	4	13	900	20	3									
Name Narrasenoya Chetty	14	7	75	12	7	6								
One Mr Groves	9	5	1350	70	6	6								
Total	38	10	975	74	15	2								

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Name of the original holders	Names of the present holders	Punjah Land	Punjah Turm Feeruah	Extent of Land occupied Punjah Land	Punjah Turm Feeruah	Total Land	Total Turm Feeruah	Total Land	Total Turm Feeruah	Deduct the amount of remission granted by Government	Remaining Feeruah	Particulars of Column 13. Amount Collected	Amount not Collected	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
				C. G. S. ft.	Rupees a c	C. G. S. ft.	Rupees a c	C. G. S. ft.	Rupees a c	C. G. S. ft.	Rs a c	Rs a c	Rs a c	Rs a c	
Lt. A. Scott	Rungasawmy Moodely	" " " "	" " " "	6 22 2 100	45 10 3	" " " "	" " " "	6 22 2 100	45 10 3	5 11 3	39 15	" " "	39 15		Orders have been issued to collect this
Lt. F. D. Stewart	Nursimma Naidoo & Co.	14 20 150	48 1 1	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	14 20 150	48 1 1	" " "	48 1 1	" " "	48 1 1		Under enquiry
Lt. Jones	Venkatasa Chitty	" " " "	" " " "	6 117	5 13 9	" " " "	" " " "	6 117	5 13 9	" " "	5 13 9	" " "	5 13 9		Orders have been issued to collect this
Total		14 20 150	48 1 1	6 117	5 13 9	" " "	" " "	6 117	5 13 9	" " "	53 14 10	" " "	53 14 10		
Total of 3 Talooks		53 6 1125	123 9	21 7 417	173 8	" " "	" " "	74 13 1542	296 3 9						
											#66 77	230 1 2	106 13 2	123 4	

Collections made to the Extent cultivated the remaining land if cultivated will be liable to the demand.

Amount at 12½ per cent

Amount of Mr. Groves by the Board

Amount of

Remission granted for Mr. Groves Report for certain cultivation made on Nunjah Land held by the irrigation of his own Wells as per Extract from the Proceedings of Revenue dated 14th December 1840

Remission granted to other individuals at 12½ per cent

Rupees a c

10 8 5

41 5 6

51 13 11

14 9 8

#66 77

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor 9th M

C. F. Mr. Signed J. Goldingham

4635

Talooks

Ordered that the follo

letter be sent in reply.

24-25

To

The Secretg to Collector in the

Northern Division of

Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board

Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of

Mr. Goldingham's letter dated 9th March 18

Submitting Statements Nos. 1 and 2 of lands

occupied by buildings.

2.

In Column 16 Statements Nos.

regarding several tenements Mr. Goldingham

remarks "Belonging to public servants and no

demand has ever been made nor is any demand

made at present" and in another place "Public

servant and no demand hitherto made". In re-

ference to these lands, the Board request

your attention to Mr. Agitus letter of the

29th June 1841 and their Proceedings thereon

dated 15th July 1841 from which it will be

seen that public servants are not exempted

from the payment of Quit rent or Assessment on

the lands in their occupation.

3.

An certain lands [illegible]

Mr. Graves, Mr. Goldingham remarks "Col.

lection is made to the extent cultivated

538

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

the remaining land if cultivated will

be liable to the demand," but under the

In Cons 26th August 1839. orders of Government (Minutes of

Consultation 13th August 1839 No. 1003

Para 4.) you will see that the land in

the occupation of an individual is liable

to the payment of the Assessment whe-

ther cultivated or not.

4. Further enquiry is stated

to be necessary in some cases and I am

directed to request that as soon as it

shall have been completed revised

Statements may be forwarded.

Revenue Board Office, (Signed) E. C. Lovell

Fort St. George 20th March 1843 Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre

Acting Sub Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

26

From J. Goldingham Esqr

Collr in the Nth Divn of Arcot

Sir

I have the honor to

request

1539 Fort St. George 20th March 184

request that you will be so good as t
return to me the stampt papers a
=tached to the Petition. N.o 47 of 184
from Thopa Moodely Meera Sidar of
Balocolum Village which were re
turned to the Board with my Predece
10th July 1841 + letter to enable me to give the co-pies
=quired by the Petitioner.

North Arcot Coll.rs Cutcherry (Signed) J. Goldingham
Chittoor 10th March 1843. Collector.

Resolution thereon.

26-27 Resolved that the
Stamp papers attached to the Petition
N.o 47 of 1841 from Thopa Moodely,
which accompanied a letter from the
Principal Collector of North Arcot
dated 10th July 1841, be forwarded to Mr. Babington
for the purpose stated in the foregoing letter.

Read the following letter

To

1540 Fort St. George 20th March 1843

To

J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From G. D. Drury Esqre
Commissioner at Madura

+ Transmitting two appeal Petitions from Shasha=chagherry Row with the original Proceedings in the Cases Nos 1 and 3 and translates. + 31st December 1835

With reference to Clause first, Section VI, Regulation VII of 1828 I have the honor to submit two Appeal Petitions* presented to me by Sashagerry Rao now suspended from his Office of Head Sheristadar in this District, which are addressed to the Board of Revenue.

28 The two Cases Nos 1 and 3, against which these Appeals are made, were decided by the Principal Collector previous to my receiving charge of the District. The ori=ginal proceedings were delivered to me on the day of my receiving charge by Mr. Blackburne, together with the accompanying original Me=morandum which I beg leave to forward to the Board with a view to explain that the translations of the Original proceedings in these Cases are now transmitted although not required by the Board's Circular + because they had been prepared by Mr. Blackburne. The description of offences is not noted in the charges in each case, but they would seem to be of

of the 1st and 2d class specified in clause
Second Section II of Regulation IX of 1822.-

2 With regard to the allegation
contained in the Appeal Petitions now forwarded
it may be necessary for me to remark that the
evidence taken without the knowledge of
the Appellant in proof of the Charges preferred
against him, is in accordance with the in-
=structions circulated by the Board for the
guidance of Collectors and that the
opportunity of rebutting the charges and of
cross examining the witnesses against him
was given to the accused.-

3 Adverting to the interference
of Mr. Fischer in the investigation of the
cases now appealed from, and to the remarks
of the Principal Collector at the end of
the deposition of Venkatasawmy Naik, Raya-
=com in the Camoogum (or personal) Cutcherry,
I beg leave to forward an original Sooke-
=arnamah granted to Mr. Fischer by the
Ranee Parvadda Vurdanee Nachear, and
bearing date 21st August 1842 in order that
the Board of Revenue may judge of the pro-
=priety of Mr. Fischer's proceedings. This
document was sent to me by Mr. Blackburne,
together

* 1st June 1826

† No. 1

‡ with translate

together with other papers on the 22nd Instant.-
The Ramnad Estate was committed to the care
of the Court of Wards on the 22nd October 1842,
and after that date Parvadda Vurdanee Nachear
could I presume have no authority whatever
to employ Mr. Fischer to interfere in any af-
=fairs connected with the property of the
present minor.-

4 The accused against whom
the judgments of the Principal Collector have
been passed is kept under restraint in his own
dwelling house - and a warrant of execution
for the recovery of the amount adjudged against
him has been issued, but no property that may
be attached will be sold pending the ap-
=peals now submitted.-

Madura - - - - } (signed) G. D. Drury
29th December 1842 } Commissioner

No. 1

To The Presence of the President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

The Appeal Petition most res-
=pectfully addressed by Sashagerry Row the Head
Sheristadar of the Madura Collectorate who served
under Fifteen Respectable Gentlemen for the
period of 36 years.-
Most humbly sheweth:-

That Annasawmy Iyen, the
Government

Fort St. George 20th March 184

a Government Vakeel of the Zillah Court of
Madura was Vakeel in that Court for the
Manager of the Ramnad Zemindary. Not
content with the emolument he derived fro
the said Manager he connected himself c
with the Guardian Parooarthacarthaney o
Natchyar whose brother he called to Ma
=dura with the view of appointing him as
Manager and commenced to cause disturb=
=ances and came one day to me and informed
me of the same. I positively told him that
it was not just for circar Vekuant to
interfere in such acts. Afterwards the
Principal Collector removed his Varandah,
which protruded in the Public Street, and
he imagining that it was through my
persuasion abused me and vowed to ruin me

Mr. Fischer sent word
by his man Lambyen one morning to me who
informed me that the case of the old Mo=
=thurpha of Ramnad which we had rejected,
had been promised by the said Gentleman
to be granted by using his influence with
higher authority for which the Manager had
given him an agreement to pay him Rupees 5,000

if

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

if successful, and that after his exertions and
receiving the Money the Manager had disap=
=pointed him by refusing payment and re=
=questing me to use my endeavours in getting
payment made to him; I told him that
I could not act in such a case without the
Principal Collectors order to whom I immed=
=diately reported it and he ordered me, not
to interfere in it which I informed to the
said Lambeyen the next day owing to which
Mr. Fischer was displeased with me. The
Principal Collector is fully aware of this.

The Vakeel Annadawmy Iyen
being on the part of the Guardian and
against the Manager and having no sup=
=port at Madura to gain his aim and con=
=sidering that the Manager and Mr. Fischer
were at variance, for the former refusing
the payment of Rupees 50,000 to the latter
for which he had instituted a suit in the
Provincial Court of Appeal and that they
were both in consequence at enmity with each
other went to Salem to the Gentleman
I am unacquainted with what he told him
and that Gentleman went immediately to
Madras, and I do not know where and what complaint

he

Fort St. George 2d March 1843

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

called as agent of the said Natchyar

Immediately on my going to the Cutcherry on the 17th October 1842 the Principal Collector informed me that he had suspended me by order of the Board of Revenue and that I must remain at home that he was going to Ramnad to examine the accounts and that if any thing was proved against me he would never trust a Native in future and delivered the Yakeed of suspension to me.

The Principal Collector remained 35 days at Ramnad and examined the accounts and Yakeeds of the Treasury together with Mr. Fischer's Servant but nothing was discovered against me.

The Principal Collector called for me in the Cutcherry at Madura on the 30th November 1842 and produced three charges against me.

1st Charge for 35,000 Rupees as having been paid to me in July 1838 to prove which a letter dated 17th May 1838 stated to have been addressed by the Manager to the Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen, who has been suspended for fraudulent letters by the Court and some other witnesses inserted in the charge.

2d. 10,000 Rupees paid in October 1840 for the purpose of getting the Moturpha Money in which also some fraudulent letters are included as proof.

3d. Charge is of my having received some stones from a ruined choultry and receiving 14 Rupees per month from the Charitable fund for the support of the Chatrum.

I not only forwarded my answers to the above three charges to the Principal Collector but also was personally examined and gave my depositions.

The Depositions of the Witnesses for the 1st Charge were taken at Ramnad on the 29th October 1842, while I was at Madura contrary to the Board's Circular order dated 13th April 1826 which were read before me on the 30th November and I was directed to cross question the witnesses after that was done, I presented my list of witnesses.

The 1st Witness is the Manager who is stated to have given me the bribe who was ordered to attend the next day but by the persuasion of Mr. Fischer was declined to be examined as he was concerned in criminal Complaints which refusal of the Principal Collector.

I

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

I have forwarded to the Board on the 12th Instan
with the copy of the application which I addres
to the Principal Collector on that day, specifyi
the reasons that urgently required the examina
of the Manager.

According to the orders of the
Principal Collector I forwarded a letter to him
mentioning the objections to the Witnesses on
the part of the Prosecution which he receiving
without examining the manager gave me a
Copy of the decision passed against me for th
payment of Rupees 70,000 on the 12th Instant,
and ordered me to appeal against it and
placed 2 Deloyets in my house.

I reported to the Collector,
the fraud of the Vakeels in procuring blank
letters with the Post Office Stamps and in
closed a blank letter in my above addres
which the Principal Collector said that as hi
decision was passed he could include it
in the appeal.

On perusing the above decision
I perceive no just grounds for deciding Rupee
70,000 against me but only a recapitulation
of the evidence and documents.

The papers in support of the charge
of bribery of Rupees 35000 is one fraudulent letter produced
by Annusamy Iyen who is suspended for writing false letters
to the Manager asking a bribe of Pagodas 500 for the Judges

The

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

The reasons for enabling him to procure
such false letters I have stated in my letter to the
Principal Collector on the 12th Instant, by which
the frauds of the Vakeel in procuring blank
letters, with the Post Office stamps, to be used
as occasions offer will be apparent which
cannot be depended upon, but which is liable
to be credited by those who are not aware of the
frauds practiced.

The writer of the letter is stated
to be Vencatasawmy Naick whose pay is only 7
Rupees a Month on my questioning him he stated
that the meaning of the letter was that Rupees
35,000 was sent with that letter on the 17th April
1838." this witness prevaricated upon the leading
questions of the opposite party. Sodiyer whose
name is mentioned principally in this letter
for this transaction denied all knowledge
of it.

A Young boy named Soondrayyen,
confirmed in the new list of servants to prove
the Managers signature in that fraudulent
letter. It is stated in the Decree that this
boy is a very old servant, his age will be
apparent by his deposition. this boy is
the witness for all the cases both in
the criminal Court and in the Cutcherry.

The

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

The Hircarra Sandawar
varyar, and the Beatle server Mootiwar
are the principal witnesses for putting the
Gold coins into my Palanqueen and the
other witness for counting the Money in the
upstair, is Mapillay Sawmy Sauen, there
are no other witnesses, the Hircarra Sandawar
varyar and Mapillay Sawmy Sauen
are persons who became Enemies to the
Manager, and were dismissed by him they
have been re-entered in the new list merely
to bear false evidence, as will appear by
their and the said Shereistadars Depositions

Has it ever heard under the Company's Government, that a servant of the Collector ever received such a large sum in any Zillah for a trifling quarrel. If it was stated that it was paid in Silver Coin, it would be cart loads and impossible to be proved owing to which they have mentioned that it was paid in Gold coin. It will appear that Mr. Fischer's man Sambyer, and the Court Vakeel Annadiamy Iyen, have done this merely to ruin me, the balance in the Treasury and the Gold coins in it has been proved by the witnesses Mootiroolapillay the Curnum of the Treasury, and Sreedingum Chetty the shroff of the same. there were no more than 4 or 5,000 Rupees in

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Treasury of Madura in that Month, it must therefore be taken into consideration how much a large sum in Gold coin could have been in the poor Treasury at Ramnad.

The Shroff Chockalingum Chetty deposed that there was a balance in the Ramnad Treasury at that period of Rupees 9,638-6-1- of which there were 12 full Gold Mohurs and 18. Five Rupees Mohurs.

My witnesses are respectable old servants and not like the dismissed Hircarra and Beatle server my witnesses the Vakeels Sodyen and Ambalavanum pillay, the Savabanavis Soobyen, the Davastanum Tasildar Sawmyen the private Treasury Accountant Mutiroolapillay and the Mercairs Goornastahs Arnogum pillay to induce them to bear false evidence against me were kept in durance as will appear by their Depositions- after the above respectable servants refusal to give false evidence against me they procured the abovementioned men dismissed 2. Servants and they were privately and suddenly examined at Ramnad, on the 29th October 1842, while I was not present- If they were credible witnesses they could have been examined in my presence.

It is mentioned in the Decree that the Treasury curnum was sent to the Criminal Court. for

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

for forgery but his case is still not examined

Can the payment of the Money to me be known to the shroff and Curnum of the Head or to the Karecarar and Seetla server - whose duty is it to Likewise the Money and to enter it in the Account and to give money into my hands are they not more credible persons than the dismissed Karecarar &c.a. I intreat this point might be taken into consideration.

The Karcara and Seetla server have not stated that I was in the Palanquin when the Money was put into it, whom could they trust to in putting such a large sums into my Palanquin - a Bribery is a very secret affair they have mentioned that the Money was put into my Palanquin as they were unacquainted where I lived in July 1838, as I had no fixed place to lodge in every year that I went to Ramnad.

In order to prove the falsehood of the latter, the complaint I pointed out a competent letter to the Principal Collector which he did not take into his consideration Dept.

The 2d case was framed out against me as having paid Rupees 10000 on my Account at Ramnad in October 1840 to Parasaiar Chetty and produced the letters and notes stated to have been sent to the said suspended Vakeel

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Annasawmy Iyen in which it is mentioned that the Chetty's letter was enclosed in the Vakeel Ambalavana pillay's letter who denied it.

The sanction of the Board dated 19th February 1841. received at Madura on the 24th or 25th of the said Month immediately 2 lacs of Rupees was placed in the Bengal Loan, and the remainder credited for the 1st of April, according to the Principal Collectors order, which is proved by the Treasury Account and by the Treasury Goomastah Vencata Row and by the said Board's letters dated 19th February 1841. what more proof is required than the said Board's letter to prove that the letters produced by Annasawmy Iyen purporting that Rupees 10,000 was paid at Ramnad for that purpose 5 Months previously in October 1840. is a forgery when these letters are proved false what other proof is necessary to disprove the letters produced in the 1st case by Annasawmy Iyen for Rupees 35000 the letter of the Board dated 19th February 1841. is sufficient and stands as a Dicty on my part to prove the 2 cases of bribery to be false.

After my pointing out these discrepancies a decision has still not been passed yet in the 2d case, as the charge of the 2d Case and documents and the Board's letter dated 19th February 1841. is material to this case

case that case must be inspected and taken into consideration. Copies of the Charges as still not given to me but only the Abstract has been inserted in the Takeed forwarded to me which I herewith enclose for your inspection.

On the perusal of Seeneva Joyengar Head Sarabanavist's Deposition it will appear that the late Ramasawmy Saitoopatty proposed to give Rupees 20000 to give the Gentleman, without considering the strict character of European Gentleman on the occasion of his being installed in the Pattum, whilst so can it be believed that such a large sum could have been given to me who am only a servant under a Gentleman for a trifling quarrel.

Annasawmy Iyen the Court Vakeel has produced 2 false witnesses in this case against me according to his habit to produce 2 false witnesses in the Court to prove debts having been paid but, Providence, in return, has brought him into a serious trial for his fraudulent letters in his own hand writing which will be proved on reference to the Judge of this Court.

There are scarce 2 or 3 Head Sheristadars of my rank under this Government. If a Court Vakeel of a bad character joining with a Gentleman who is a Merchant thought fit to produce 2 false witnesses and [illegible] this parties injust

injustice and if the Principal Collector who is on the spot does not distinguish the fact, what trust can be placed in the service of Government as a Head Native Officer.

Ramnad is a state consisting of 17 Palooks and not the house of a common Inhabitant and has 3 different offices with a competent set of servants and 3 sets of different Accounts how difficult must it be to frame out new Accounts. But my charge does not rest upon the Accounts but upon two simple witnesses. I however beg to refer to the Treasury Account of the 26th July 1838. it is written at length in the Decree that it appears by the Gentry and Battah Accounts that I was at Ramnad on the 26th July 1838. it is customary on going to a Principal station and proceeding to the adjacent Villages to insert the Principal station in the Batta Accounts whenever I went to Ramnad I was accustomed to go to the Saithochetrum for one day and it is not customary to insert that place in the Batta Account which has been proved by the insertion of Ramnad in the Batta Account which cannot prove the payment of the money to me at Ramnad.

This is proved by the evidence of Ananda Row Veerabangoopilly and Sammadapilly the last 2 witnesses depose that the Gentry Book

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Book was taken from them at Rammad, and is still not returned, by which it will appear that it was taken solely for the purpose of fixing the proper date of my staying at Rammad. I made no verbal motion for the batta Account.

The Collector has admitted in his decree that the Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen is an unprincipled and fraudulent man, how then did he admit his fraudulent letters and his false witnesses and prevented the Manager from being examined in my behalf.

The Principal Collector applauds the witness Napillay Sawmy Savier, / who said, he counted the Mokers, but he is not a c shroff or Gollah / being of good Character it does not appear so by the Proceedings it is evident by his own Deposition that he is the Manager's Enemy when he was Dismissed by the Manager his salary was only Rupees 31. now he gets Rupees 70. in the new list through the assistance of Mr Fischer and his man Sambyen, as will appear by the new list in the Salt Cutcherry at Rammad under the Principal Collector's signature.

In short there are only two witnesses to prove that the Money as put into my Palainguein

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Palanqueen before the gate of the place, to disprove which my 5 regular Bearers who were near the Palanqueen positively swear to the Contrary they are the proper witnesses for the act done in the Palanqueen, they and my Iyen Peon, have further proved that I never went separately by myself to the Palace alone, without the Collector at any time. I beg you will take into consideration this, and the other 14 Depositions of my witnesses who state the facts for the sake of God and beg that the Manager may be examined upon strict Oath.

The Board will be aware by the Accounts and Proceedings and the Characters I bear within these 36 years, that there is not a Cash of outstanding balance in this District. I request that my Character and that of the 2 witnesses to the money being put into my Palanqueen might be taken into consideration and as the whole of the Ten Taes of the Population of this District will bear evidence of my good conduct, if examined by the Commissioner.

I therefore humbly entreat that this appeal Petition and the original

Documents

1557 Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Documents might be taken into your serious and humane consideration and the Decree of the Principal Collector be cancelled and to grant me retributive justice and to save and protect me -

For which act of justice and equity your Petitioner shall as in duty bound.

Madura - - - - - }
19th December 1842 }
Received 21st Do. Do.
/Signed/ G. D.

Ever pray
/Signed/ [illegible]
[illegible]

P.S.

I hear that the witnesses as named Moottoosawmy the beetle server was this day suddenly entered in the additional list on a salary of 3 Rupees who stated falsely that the money was put into my Palanqueen I beg you will refer to the order sent to the Salt Amildar

/Signed/ [illegible]
[illegible]

J.G.B.

Extract

1558 Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 24th October 1836 -

Para 28:

The Board also notice with great pleasure and express their highest approbation of the uniformly excellent conduct of the Head Sheristadar Sheshagherry Row "who whose knowledge and unwearied application to business, well directed and ready acquiescence in measures for improving the prosperity and moral Character of the people without losing sight of the interests of Government, the Principal Collector considers has in a great measure resulted this very successful management of the Madura District. In his letter dated 3d August he recommends that the valuable services of Sheshagherry Row may be rewarded by an increase of Salary from rupees 280 to 350 a month. this will be anticipating the period of service which would entitle the Sheristadar to the enhanced salary by a few months, but the Board would beg to recommend that the Government be pleased to accede to the Principal Collectors request in this respect as a mark of their approbation of the superior services of the Sheristadar. -

True Copy
/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Pl. Collector

Extract

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 19.th October 1840.

Para 6. It has afforded His Lordship much gratification to perus the account given of the continued and increasing prosperity of the Rammad Estate as well as to observe the favorable testimony borne by the Principal Collector to the services of his Sub Collector Mr. Davidson in the management of the subdivision entrusted to his charge, and to those of his Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H.th Stainier
Chief Secretary

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Madura
30.th November 1840.

To. Sashagherry Row.
Head Sheristadar.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue 20.th May 1841.-

Collector's report 31.st July 1840-

23. It is gratifying to the Board also to learn that the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row continues to afford Mr. Blackburne entire satisfaction.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of 8.th July 1841.-

10. It affords His Lordship in Council much satisfaction to observe the terms of commendation in which the Principal Collector bears testimony to the services of his Sub Collector Mr. Davidson and of the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row.-

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843

(True Copies)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 20.th May 1841.

23. It is gratifying to the Board also to learn that the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row continues to afford Mr. Blackburne entire satisfaction.-

S. 920.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8.th July 1841.-

10. It affords His Lordship in Council much satisfaction to observe the terms of commendation in which the Principal Collector bears testimony to the services of his Sub Collector Mr. Davidson and of the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 26.th May 1842.-

Para 15. The Board notices with much satisfaction

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843

satisfaction, and have much pleasure in bringing the same prominently to the notice of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the very favorable terms in which Mr. Blackburne writes of the Sub Collector Mr. Davidson, and the Head Sheristadar Shashaghenny Row.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Madura
25.th June 1842.

To R. Shashaghenny Row.
Head Sheristadar,
Madura.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23.d July 1842.

Para 10. His Lordship in Council has much pleasure in recording his approbation of the management by Mr. Blackburne of the District of Madura during the period under review and notes with satisfaction the terms of praise in which that Gentleman speaks of the services of his Sub Collector the late Mr. Davidson and his Head Sheristadar Shashaghenny Row.

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Madura
August 1842.

To Shashaghenny Row,
Head Sheristadar.

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843.

Shashaghenny Row was employed as a Gomastah in the Zillah Court of Trichinopoly in the year 1806, was advanced to the situation of a Native Register on the 10.th April 1810 and promoted to that of Sheristadar on the 1.st February 1812.

I have much pleasure in giving him this testimonial of my high opinion of his merits as I consider him to be a man of uncommon talent and integrity and a most useful and valuable public Servant.

(Signed) C. H. Higginson
late 1.st and 6.th Judge Trichinopoly

Trichinopoly
20.th December 1842.

To Shashaghenny Row.
Sheristadar of the late Zillah Court of
Trichinopoly.

It affords me much pleasure in adding my testimonial to the many others you already possess of several Gentlemen with respect to your merits as a Public Servant, and I can say without flattery that during the many years I have served in this Country in various offices I never met with so intelligent, zealous and faithful a servant as yourself. I regret much that you are now out of Employ in consequence of the abolition of the Court: but have no doubt that you will shortly be provided with a situation suitable to your abilities, and commensurate with

with your long services. Wishing you every succ
believe me yours friend &c

Trichinopoly 1st May 1822 — (Signed) W. R. Taylor

I have much pleasure in confir
-ing my former Character of Shashagherry Row
Sheristadar of the Zillah Court of Trichinopoly
He has displayed great industry ability and
attention on all occasions —

Trichinopoly
3d May 1822 } (Signed) G. W. Saundres.
late Acting Judge & Crim. Judge

I have much pleasure in giving
this testimony to the Character of Shashagherry
Row, who during the few months of my acquain
-ance with him, conducted himself very much
to my satisfaction —

He has an extensive and
minute Knowledge of the Revenue affairs
of the Ramnad Zemindary, and has paid
unremitting attention to his duties, while his
ability and fidility are in my opinion of
no common order —

Dindigul
18th June 1826 } (Signed) R. Nelson.
late Acting Sub Collector in Madura

Shashagherry Row —

I have much pleasure in
sending you this to express to you my entire appro
-bation of your conduct in the discharge of your
Duties

Duties as Sheristadar in my Cutcherry
during the period I held the situation of Sub
Collector in the Madura District — I have no
doubt that your Zeal and talents will in
time promote you to more important duties —
I shall always be glad to hear of your success —

(Signed) D. Greig.
Sub Collector in Madura.

Madura,
December 18th 1828 }

Shashagherry Row has served
under me in the situation of Sheristadar to the
Sub Collector of Madura, and his conduct has
proved highly satisfactory during that period, I
consider him a Valuable Revenue Servant and
of first rate abilities. He resigns the situation in
consequence of promotion to the Nailship of Trichinopoly —

(Signed) H. Vincash.
Principal Collector.

Madura,
24th May 1829 }

I have much pleasure in adding
my testimony to the high Character of Shashagherry
Row, the deputy Sheristadar of this District,
I consider him a very valuable Public Servant,
and deserving of any confidence which may be
placed on him —

(Signed) N. S. Cameron
Collector

Trichinopoly,
1st March 1831 }

I have much pleasure in adding
my praise to the deservedly high Character borne
by Shashagherry Row, whom I consider a most
Zealous

zealous and valuable Servant to Government, and worthy of any trust that may be put on him.—

(Signed) J. F. Bishop.

Shashagherry Row.—

On your approaching departure to fill the Situation of Head Sheristadar of Madura, I have much satisfaction in recording the high opinion I entertain of your merit as a public Servant.—

The testimonials you have already received from the several Gentlemen you have served under, as to your qualifications as a Revenue officer render it unnecessary for me to say any thing on that head. I shall therefore only add that since you have been under me, I have always found you active and unremitting in attention to the duty Committed to your charge, and that you have evinced besides a zeal for the general Interests of the Government, which cannot be too highly commended.—

Trichinopoly
13th July 1833.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
A.D. Collector.

Sheristadar,

I have perused with much satisfaction the numerous high testimonials you have obtained from the Gentlemen under whom you have served.—

I take this opportunity to express my that my estimation of your efficiency and public excellence is not second to any one of my Predecessors.

Madura
16th February 1834.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Acting Principal Collector.

No. 4.

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I beg to bring to your notice the discrepancies in the evidence taken in two bribery cases against me.—

For the one for Rupees 10000 paid in October 1840 for receiving Protur pha money in the hand of Peryanayakkitty at Ramnad for which sum letters & Pothais or Notes were produced in the name of Sivasawmy Ayen the now suspended Government pleader for trial for fraud, stating that they were sent to him by the Manager.—

The claim for Protur pha by the Manager was dismissed in our Cutcherry the Manager sent his petition himself to Madras and the sanction was received here on the 24th or 25th of that Month. The moment the order was received a Tukeed was issued to the Cash Keeper to place Rupees 200000 in the Bengal loan, and the remainder to be credited for the last of April 1841.— a being a year of scarcity. Could it be probable that in a case which had been rejected by you such a sum could have been paid to me 5 months previous in October 1840 in order to receive the Money in ready cash by which

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

which it will appear clearly that the notes produced by the Pleader Annasawmy Iyen, were fraudulently fabricated by him.

This example is sufficient to prove that the other letter produced dated 17th May 1838 respecting the sum of Rupees 35000. having been paid to me is also a fraudulent fabrication, if I had consented to receive that amount in April, why it was delayed up to the 26th July? Venkatasawmy Naick the writer of the letter first deposed that the purport of the letter was that the Money was sent to Madura with the letter, and by the leading question of the other party prevaricated.—

Annasawmy Iyen was a Pleader of the Court, but not Vakeel to the Collectors Cutcherry, the Vakeel attached to the Collectors Cutcherry named Ambalavanumpillay and another Soukyen disprove those notes, and state that the signatures are suspicious, and that the Money was never paid, and further that they were compelled to give evidence against me and kept one Month under restraint but they refused to do so.—

Two

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Two persons named Soondra-
-myen who are very young men and Boys join
joined with the Annasawmy Iyen, are the
only witnesses in all the Criminal cases
against the Manager & in the bribery cases
against me for those fraudulent signatures-

As a proof of the fabricated
letters I beg to enclose one addressed to the
Vakeel Ambalavanum pillay now at
Madura, which bears the direction and the
stamps of the post Offices, but is blank written.
Annasawmy Iyen I understand, is accus-
-tomed to get 5 or 6 of such blank letters
every year forwarded from his friend at
Ramnad to be used as occasions occur, &
forge the signature of the Manager which
can be easily be done it being an unsettled
hand, and most of them containing one-
letter called (ங)

The dates of the letters and the
stated dates of the Bribery vary 3 or 4 Months
because if the dates of the bribery is mens-
-tioned correctly, it will not agree with the
stamp dates of the Post Office.—

If the dates of the letters
agree with the Post Office stamp dates, it

it wont agree with the time of our Rammad Circuit dates.-

These blank letters serve to deceive and raise suspicion to any Gentlemen.-

I named the Manager as my first and Principal witness, to disprove the signature attached to the letters produced in evidence against me, but I was unjustly deprived of the benefit of that principal witness, as if you was to make him sign his name in your presence the forgery would be evident.-

In such a large case base? a single line of my writing between the Manager and me been produced in evidence against me? but only all the Pleader. Annasawmy Iyen's fraudulent letters, whose other fraudulent letters are now before trial in the Court.-

There were not so much as money in the Treasury at Rammad on the date that amount has been stated to have been paid to me.-

The Sheriff commanded of the Public and Private Treasury of Ramnad

I came

have deposed that there were not more than 2 or 3 Maloors at that period in those Treasuries.-

I beg you will refer to the Cash return forwarded to the Accountant General in July 1838 of our Treasury and ascertain how much gold coin was even in our large Treasury.-

I have proved my defence by 21 respectable witnesses and the witnesses in this important business on the part of the Prosecutor, are mean dismissed persons by the Manager, who have been re-entered in the new list to bear false evidence against me which is proved by the Nail Sheristadar's evidence, it appears by two mean persons who bore witness for the Cash having put into my Palanquin that I was not in it at that time. Bearers who are the proper witnesses for the act done in the Palanquin before the Palace gate positively swear the contrary.-

If the witnesses for the Money were true persons they ought to have been examined in my presence, instead of which their depositions were taken one month before

at

at Rammad during my absence for fear of their relations and friends preventing & them from bearing false evidence, owing to which it was taken suddenly.-

I beg you will be pleased to take all the above circumstances into your consideration and grant me justice.-

Madura - - -
12th December 1842. } (Signed) Shasagery Row
Sheristadar.

P.S.

Weigh my character borne by 15 respectable Gentlemen during the last 30 years, and the depositions of 2 Brean dismissed servants, who depose that the gold Mohurs were put into my Palanquin and the prob: ability of the case, and do me justice.-

(Signed) शेषगिरिराव
No 3. शेरिस्तादार

Memorandum.-

In the 1st case of Corruption against the Collectorate Sheristadar a Decision was passed on the 12th Instant, as he professed his intent to appeal the whole proceedings have been carefully copied and translates prepared and ready for verification

20th December 1842. Madura. (Signed) J. Blackburn
Pt Collector

Memorandum.-

In the 3rd Case of Corruption against the Collectorate Sheristadar a decision was passed on the 17th Instant Copies of the proceedings & translates ready for verification were prepared against his anticipated appeal.-

Madura - -
20th December 1842 } (Signed) J. Blackburn
Pt Collector

No. 5.

The Deposition of Venkatasawmy Naik of Rammad the son of Allagherry Naik, of the Yeatoo Caste and Vishnoo persuasion - Aged 41. a Royasum in the Samoocum Cutchery, given before the Magistrate of the Zillah of Madura this the 29th day of October 1842.

D. Look at this letter marked No. 1. to whom was it written, and by whom, and on what date?-

A. I have looked at the said letter. It is one addressed by the late Manager to the Government Vakeel in the Madura Zillah Court under date the 25th April 1842. I wrote it at the dictation of the late Head Jevabnavis the signature to it is that of the late Manager - but I did not see him put it - the said Head Jevabnavis went and got it signed.-

D. Look at the letter marked No. 2. to whom is it addressed, and by whom?-

A. The letter itself is in my hand writing, but the signature is that of the late Manager, as is also the seal.

(Signed) Venkatasawmy Naik.
Royasum in the Seemai Cutcherry but doing duty in the Samoocum Cutchery.
Venkatasawmy Naik.

Enquiry on the 30th November 1842.-

Q. By the Sheristadar. Look at the letter marked No. 2. what

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

what is the meaning of what is written therein about giving Money?-

A. It is stated the 35,000 Rupees will have been delivered by this time.-

2. By the Agent for Parovathavirdany Nachyar. Look at the aforesaid Letter again- Is it stated a Roka about the 35000 Rupees or the 35000 Rupees itself will have been delivered?-

A. The Rupees itself is stated the amount mentioned in the letter and the writing of the letter itself is entirely in my own hand.-

/ Signed / Vencatasawmy Naik.

2. Again by the Rance Parovathavurthamy Nachyar's Agent- What is it that is stated in the letter as "having been sent enclosed in Soobbiah's letter" the Roka or the Rupees?-

A. It must mean the Roka, for it says "about the 35000 Rupees it comes enclosed in Soobbiah's letter."

2. When does it mean that the Roka about the 35,000 Rupees was delivered, or the 35000 Rupees itself?-

A. The meaning is the Roka.-

2. By the Head Sheristadar. who told you to write this letter?-

A. I wrote it at the dictation and desire of Jevabnavis Ramasawmy Naik. It is stated in it that money will be sent.-

2. Is it written that money is sent or that money will be sent?-

A. It is written that money will be sent.-

Fort St. George, 20th March 1843.

Show the line stating that money will be sent?-

2.

A. In the 5th and 6th lines it is written that "about the 35,000 is sent enclosed in Soobiah's letter."-

/ Signed Vencatawwamy Naik

Remark by the Collector.

That Shasagerry has objected to the Rance Parovathavurthamy Nachyar's Agent, putting his questions thro' Sambiah in Tamil- he has also taken objection to the Jevabnavis taking the Depositions / the person usually employed on such occasions / being of the same Caste as the said Sambiah- on neither of which objections does the Collector allow as good or sufficient-

/ Signed G. D. Drury.

No. 6.

The Deposition of Annasawmy of Madura, the Son of Munthareswerien, of the Braming Caste and Shiva persuasion, Government Vakeel in the Madura Court, aged 39, given before the Magistrate of the Zillah of Madura, this the 29th day of October 1842.-

Look at this letter marked No. 1,

2. what do you know relating to it?-

A. It is a letter to me by the late Manager under date the 25th April 1838. it did not come by Sappal. nor do I remember whether it came to me by the hand of any person, or thro' Soorayally Soobien- this letter and some others, which she had been informed I

Fort St. George, 20th March 1843.

I professed by the late Javabnavis Ramaswamy Naik I sent the Ranee Parvathaverthamy Nacheyar at her earnest solicitation and solemn demand, made me by letter dated either December 1841 or January of the present year.-

The Brahmin friend mentioned in it means Shashagherry Row the Head Shenstadar of the Madura Zillah, the "inside" means the late Guardian Moottoovarayee Nachiar and "Moothaluly" the Principal Collector Mr. Blackburne.

As required in this letter Soobbier and myself went to Shashagerry Row's house and Soobbier went inside and told me he spoke to him on the subject of it. I did not go inside. I was in the Verandah where there were 10 the people. On the Shenstadar's returning I alluded to the subject of the letter, and he said very good and told me to write to the Manager that he will know every thing by the letter which he the Shenstadar will make Sooravully Soobbier write him in full.

The writing of this letter is Venkatasawmy Naiks, the signature that of the late Manager.-

2. Look at this letter marked No 2. who wrote it, and to whom and when?-

A. This is a letter written to me

Fort St. George, 20th March 1843.

by Post by the late Manager underdate the 17th May 1838. the hand writing is the Rayasim Venkatasawmy Naiks, the signature the Manager's and the seal on the outside the usual one he puts.-

The Roka alluded to in this letter came in a letter from the late Manager to Sooravully Soobbier, and he shewed it to me and I saw it. It bore the signature of the late Manager, but I dont remember the date of it- it was shewn me a very few days before I got this letter- the Contents of that Roka was that the Manager would give the 35,000 Rupees in ready money, demanded by the "friend through Soobbier if he gets the marriage to succeed through the Gentleman, and an end put to Moottoovarayee Nachiar's opposition", and some other matters, and it stated that it was written by the Manager's own hand that the friend might see and trust- The Gentleman and Principal spoken of in this letter meant the Principal Collector- Sooravully Soobbier told me and I knew it thereby that "the friend" on seeing the Roka agreed to the business- and both the late Manager and Soobbier told me that the said 35,000 Rupees was paid to Shashagerry Row before the marriage of Mungaleeswara Nachiar.

Fort St. George. 20th March 1843.

Nachiar, and the Manager told me that the money was paid before the marriage when the Principal Collector and the Head Sheristadar Shassegery Row came to Ramnad for the very purpose and made the late Guardian Vicottooreagee Nachiar to agree to it.

/Signed/ Annasawmy Syen.

Re-examination on the 31st October 1842.

2. In the letter No 2 it is stated that "such a Rokka is sent as the Apthal might himself see with "his own eyes" who is it that is meant by Apthal?-

A. She Apthal meant the Hoozoor Sheristadar Shashagerry Row. Apthal, Ishter, and Ishtaneperer are feigned names agreed upon for him.

/Signed/ Annasawmy Syen.

Questions by the Hoozoor Head Sheristadar Shashagerry row on the 30th November 1842 to the Vakeel Annasawmy Syen.

2. Are the names Ishter and Ishtaneperer those which I gave myself or that you gave me?-

A. They are names which the late Manager of Ramnad and others have given the said Sheristadar Shashagerry Row, and they are names which he himself has admitted and by which he is designated and known in all our private Correspondence. The Principal Collector is meant by Moothaldy I did not hold communication with

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

with the Gentleman to get his consent to his being so called; but that he is so called and is the person meant by the name the Sheristadar himself knows and has recognized. It was when I went to the marriage / Mungaleeswara Nachiar's/ at Ramnad that the late Manager told me about having given the 35,000 Rupees.

2. Are you under suspension from your situation or not for having falsely written to the late Manager to send the sum of 5,000 Pagodas for the purpose of being given to a Gentleman in the Ettiapooram Law Suit?-

A. As the answer to the above question might compromise the witness, the Principal Collector has objected to it- whereon the following question is put by the Sheristadar.-

2. Are you at present under suspension from the Office of Government Vakeel?-

A. As the misdeeds of the Sheristadar and the aforesaid late Manager had been brought to light by letters which had been in my possession; and out of revenge for their having been suspended thereon from their situations by the Collector, the said late Manager and said Sheristadar have since the arrival of the 1st at Madura, at a proposal interview and by communication by their Agents taken

the

4579 Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

the resolution to get me dismissed, and to that end have conspired with Sabapathy pillay, Mootteramen and Settaramien of Caliar Coril, to get up Documents criminating me, and have presented a complaint against me in the name of said Manager who is a prisoner in Jail and another in the name of Catheralum Chetty; and on the allegations in those complaints I have been removed from my Office since the 19th November last, and called upon to afford the needful explanation.

/ Signed / Annasawmy Iyen.
/ Signed / G. D. Drury

No. 7

The Deposition of Soondaramien of Ramnad the Son of Samavien, of the Brahmin Caste and Shevaperswasion, aged 22, a Royasum in the Samoocum Cutcherry, given before the Magistrate of the Zillah of Madura this the 29th day of October 1842.

Q. Look at this letter marked No. 1, in whose writing is it, and whose signature does it bear?

A. I have looked at it, it is dated the 25th April 1838 and is written by Venkatasawmy Naik, Royasum in the Seemai Cutcherry, but Acting Royasum in his Samoocum Cutcherry, and is signed by the late Manager.

Q. Look at this letter marked No. 2 who wrote that?

A. This letter is dated the 17th May 1835 and is in the hand writing of the aforesaid Venkatasawmy Naik but it is signed by the late Manager and bear the usual Police Seal.

/ Signed Royasum Soondramien.

Re-examination on the 20th November 1842.

Q. By the Sheristadar. How many days is it since your deposition was taken?

A. I gave my deposition on the 29th October.

Q. Was I then at Madura or at Ramnad?

A. You were at Madura.

/ Signed / Soondrum Iyen.
/ Signed / G. D. Drury.

Bribery

4580 Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

No. 9.

1st Bribery Case.

The Deposition of Mootoosawmy of Ramnad the son of Sharvaycauren Naga: lingum of the Achamadien Caste, betelnuter &c. to the late Manager, Aged 39 given before the Magistrate of the Madura Zillah this the 29th day of October 1842.

Q. You state that your Office is that of Adapsum Calangee &c. explain what the duties are?

A. I always attended immediately on the Manager himself. I folded and gave him his betle and held the Spittoon to him; and I used to fan him and give him water whenever he wanted it.

Q. Do you know of any bribe having been given the Buzzoor Head Sheristadar Shasagerry Row by the late Manager?

A. I do. In the Month of Audy of Vellumbee year the Collector and Head Sheristadar Shasagerry Row came to this place and went once or twice to the Palace and compromised the dispute between Mootoveerayer Nachiar and the late Manager about his son marrying Mungalesvera Natchiar

Natchear the Daughter of Parvoathavurthany Nachia
opposed and Settled for the Marriage to take plan
in the Month of Avany and the Collector went
back to Madura - The next night about 7 o'Clock
when the Manager and himself and Mr. Pellay
Setheery Narraina Thaver and the Samiagunna
Kirkara Thandaveesvera Syen were in the mid-
dle room of the upstair house on the Palace,
Periana Chetty came then and asked the Ma-
nager "must not we send off the Sheristadar
"Shasagerry Row to Madura"? the Manager
then said to Setheray Narraina Thaver, the
said Sheristadar has done me good service, I have
promised him 35000 Rupees and must give it him,
to which Setheray Narraina Thaver replied,
if you have promised it, you must perform it
thereon we four and Periana Chetty went into
the Bikshum Room to the South, and the Manager
opened a Box and took from thence and put
out 5 bags - on 4 of these was marked 500
Mohurs of 15 Rupees each, and on the fifth
bag 1000 Mohurs of 5 Rupees each, I examined
and read that - These bags Periana Chetty
and Thandaveesvera Syen opened and
Counted, and tied up again, and put by the

side

side of the box and we all came out. the
Manager then sent Periana Chetty to bring
Shasagerry Row, which he did about 9 O'Clock
to the said room in the said upstair house
The Manager on seeing the Raiar said,
by your favor you have got this marriage
to succeed - this good service I shall never
forget, according to our agreement I have
got ready for you the gold I promised to the
value of 35000 Rupees - I beg you will re-
ceive it, and he took the Raiar to the
Bokshum room and pointed to the bags and
the said Chetty opened and shewed him
the contents of them and the Raiar or-
dered the Chetty to take the bags to his
Palanquin, which was at the Police
Office, and accordingly I carried two of
the bags, and Thandaveesvera Syen two
bags, and Periana Chitty one and put
them in the said Palanquin - there
was only one bearer at the time
near the Palanquin, the rest were a
short distance off - the said Shasagerry Row
followed us immediately and got into his Pa-
lanquin and went away - the said Chitty

prefsed

prefsed the said Ruiar to come to his house.
after he had gone a little distance, he called
to us and desired us to present his com-
pliments to the Manager and to say
that he "will go and come." and we returned
and delivered the Message and went
about our business.

Q. How see Theeray Varrawa
Shaver that you speak of, any other name?

A. Yes. Mapellaysawmy Shaver
by his marriage with a Daughter of the
late Zemindary Annavawmy Settoopully Mu-
father and Mother call him See Theer
rayawmy Shaver.

Q. Being the late Manager's
personal attendant, why did you not
go with him to Madura?

A. I did not go because my
child has the small pox.

/Signed/ Mootoosawmy Calangy

Given upon Solemn affeveration be-
fore me this 29th. October 1842.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Examination on the 30th. November 1842.

Q. By the Sheristadar. What pay do you get a Month?

A. My pay is 27 Silver fanams a
Month. Although it is not my business to count
or tie the bags, yet I carried two of the said
bags to the Palanquin, as I was ordered by the
late Manager to do so. One bearer was
about 10 feet distant from the Palanquin,
the rest about 20 feet, when we put the
bags in the Palanquin the said Shasagerry
Row was not there: but he came immediately
after. I don't remember the English year,
Month or date, I delivered the bags I
carried to Perriamma Chetty to put in the
Palanquin. The said Chetty is dead. the
exact time of his death I don't remember.

/Signed/ Mootoosawmy

/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Commissioner.

No. 10.

The Deposition of Thandeeswera Iyen of
Ramnad the son of Seebberamanien of the
Braming Caste, an Agriculturist and a
Hirkara by profession, aged 26 Years,
given before the Magistrate of the Zillah of
Madura this the 29th. day of October 1842.

Q. What are your duties as Hirkara?

A. My duty is to attend upon the
late Manager to carry any Messages he may

give

give, and to bring back answers and to do what he bids. My pay was 4 Rupees a Month. I have held the appointment for 13 years, ever since the release of the Zemindary from Government sequestration. I was dismissed about a Month ago because I was reported to have spoken to Secretary Sawmy Thaver, also called Mapilla Sawmy Thaver. Before I got this appointment I was one of the securities for Ramasawmy Sarthoopathee for the allowance received by him during the former sequestration, the extent of 4,000 and odd Rupees, and my brother Javanthaserien as security to the extent of 6,000 and odd Rupees for the expenses of the appeal to England, I remained in this small appointment out of regard and friendship for the late Manager, and he used to give me presents of all kinds for Marriages and other occasions, besides the pay of my Office.

Q. Do you know of any bribes that have been given to the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar by the late Manager.

A. I do. The Principal Collector and said Huzzoor Head Sheristadar Shiragam Row

Row came to Ramnad sometime in the Month of Audy of Velumbee, the date I don't remember and visited the Palace once or twice, and by conciliation prevailed on the late Guardian Mootoo Veerayee Natchiar to allow Mungaleswara Natchiar, the Daughter of Puravatha Vurthanee Natchiar, to be married to Ramasawmy Thaver the son of the late Manager Mootoo Chella Thaver, which the said Mootoo Veerayee Natchiar had hitherto opposed; and the Collector went back to Madura. The next day in the evening about 7 O'Clock when the manager and I and Mapilay Seetheera Narrana Thaver and Calangee Muttoosawmy were in the middle room of his Upstairs house, Periana Chetty of Ramnad came and asked the late Manager "Must not we send off the Sheristadar Sahageery Row to Madura" and saying we must send him off, the Manager turned to Mapilay Seetheera Narraina Thaver and said that for the good service that he had done him at this juncture he had promised and agreed to give the said Sheristadar 35000 Rupees and that he required it all

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

all in Gold, thereon we all, including Periana Chetty proceeds to the Bokeeshum room, and five bags were taken out of a box and put out, in 4 of these bags was 500 Mohurs of 15 Rupees each, and in the fifth bag 1000 Mohurs of 5 Rupees each and Periana Chitty and I opened the bags and counted the Money and put it back, and we all came out again into the middle room - then the late Manager sent the said chetty to go and bring the said Sashagerry Row which he did accordingly at about 9 O'Clock to the said Upstair house when the said Raiar arrived and the usual compliments had passed, the Manager said I have got ready the Gold for the 35000 Rupees which I have agreed to give you, and beg you to receive it, and took him to the Bokeeshum room, and the Chitty opened the bags and shewed the gold, and the said Sashagerry Row ordered the said Chitty to take and put them into his Palanquin which was at the Pilot Office, which he accordingly did, he carrying

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

one bag myself two, and the Calangee Mootoosawmy two, immediately after Sashagerry Row came and got into the Palanquin, and the said Periana Chetty begging him to come to his house went off with him, Calangee Mootoosawmy and myself went short distance with him, when Sashagerry Row sent us back to report that he had started to the late Manager, he went by the road of the East Gate of the Fort which we did, and went about our business.

/ Signed / Thandaveeswera Iyen.

Re. examination on the 30th November 1842.

Q. By the Sheristadar. How long is it since you gave the Deposition which has just been read to you?

A. I gave this Deposition on the 29th October last, I did report to the Hoozoor that the late Manager had dismissed me and was persecuting me - I have not been restored since

since the sequestration, nor do I know whether
son has been, as I am here: The late manager took
out the 5 bags of Mohurs with his own hands and
he first was told myself and Periana Chitty to
count them, and we did so in 4 bags, there were
15 Rupees Mohurs 500 in each, and in 1 bag 1
5 Rupees Mohurs. The said Bags of gold Mo
Mohurs had been counted and sealed by the Shro
Periana Chitty, and I counted them over again by
order of the late Manager, as the said Periana
Chetty was the Sheristadar Sashagerry Row's
Man. When we put the said bags in the Sher
-tadar's Palanquin, there was one bearer within
10 feet of it, the rest of the Bearers were about
20 feet off - It was about 10 O'Clock when we
put the said bags in the Palanquin - I gave De
-position before the Principal Collector,
himself. Periana Chitty died last year.

/Signed/ Shandaveesvera Iyen.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury

Commissioner

The

No. 11.

The Deposition of Seetheeray Vareana Ta-
-ver alias Massillaysawmy Taver of Ramand,
the son of Moottoosawmy Taver of the Mara-
-var Caste, living at the charge of the Palace,
Aged 34 Years, given before the Magistrate of
the Zillah of Madura this the 29th day of
October 1842.

2 Do you know any thing of the
late Manager having given and bribe to
Shashagherry Row the Huzzoor Head Sheresta-
-dar of the Madura Zillah —

A I do, It was in the Month of
Audy of the year Velumbee, the date I dont re-
-member, that the Collector and Head Sheris-
-tadar Shashagherry Row came to Ramnad
and visited the Palace one day or two days, and
Settled and removed the opposition which the
late Guardian Moottooverayee Nachiar was
making to Mungeleesvera Nachiar, the
daughter of the present Guardian Parooveth-
-vurthany Nachiar, being married to Rama-
-sawmy Taver alias Derasawmy Taver, the
Son of the late Manager, and arranged for
the Marriage to take place in the Month
of Avany, and the Collector went back to
Madura

Madura, but the Sheristadar remained
behind and it was the day after about 9 O'
at night that while the Manager and myse
and the Sammnoocum Hirkara Thandeeve
Iyen and the confidential personal attenda
of the Manager Mootoosawmy were in the
Middle room of his upstair house that
Pereanna Chetty of Ramnad came there
and said, that the Sheristadar Shashgherry
had desired him to inform that Manager th
he must be off to Madura at 10 O'clock i
mediately the late Manager looked at me a
said that the said Shashgherry Row has done
him great and good service for which he ha
promised him 35,000 Rupees and must giv
it him - and on my saying, if you have
promised it him, you must give it him
he and Pereanna Chetty and the rest of us
went with him to the Bookasheem Room
the South of where we were, and he took
out of a box there, containing his own mon
5 bags and put them out on bits of paper
on the out side of each of four of these bags
was marked 500 fifteen Rupees Mahurs, on
the other one bag was marked 1000 five Rupe
Mohurs, these 5 bags the said Chetty and
Thandeveesveria

Thandeveesverian opened and examined, and
said they were correct, and put them aside, and
we all came out the Manager then ordered the said
Chetty to go and bring Shashgherry Row, which he
accordingly did about 9 O'clock to the upstair
house - the said Manager on seeing the said
Shashgherry Row said, I shall never forget the
good Service you have done me, the 35,000 Rupees
which I promised you I have set apart for you
all in good Coins, and Pereanna Chetty has
seen it - and begging him in Complementary
terms to receive it, he took the Sheristadar to the
Bookasheem Room and made Perianna
Chetty open and Shew him the Contents of
the bags. and on the said Chetty Acknowledging
it to be all right, the Said Sheristadar ordered
him to take and put them in his palanqueen
which he said he had left before the Gate of
the Police Cutcherry accordingly the said Chetty
and Thandaveesvera Iyen and Mootoosawmy,
the first taking one bag, and the other two, two
each, carried them to the said Sheristadar's
Palankeen, and he followed them and got
into his Palankeen and went Off - on starting
he sent his Compliments to the late Manager
by Thandaveesera Iyen and Mootoosawmy I was
present

present with the late Manager when these people came back and reported such mesag

I am called Mapellaysawmy Thaver from my having married the Daught of Mootoo Vejia Ragoonadha Saitthoopathy a Annasamy Saitthoopathy and the late Ma=nager's Wife and my Mother being Sisters I am regarded as his Son

Q Was any one else present when all this took place?

A There were no one else present at that pla

/ Signed / Seetheeray Narianna Taver, al Mapillaysawmy Taver

Re-examination on the 30th November 184

Q. By the Sheristadar. Did you see the aforesaid bags of Gold Mohurs put into my Palanker

A. I did not, but I heard so from ev Thandaveesvera Iyen and Mootoosawmy Pare =vatha Vurdhonee Natchyar may have written to the Hoozoor that the late Manager was giving me trouble whether she called me Mapillaysawmy Thaver, or Settera Narasing Thaver in said letter I know not but I am called by both names The late Manager and I have not lately been on good terms, because I take the part of Parvatha Vurdhonee Natchyar

Natchyar, but he is my Uncle whether I have any Pay in the new Mohim Zabitah I know not nor have I heard The Manager had lately stopped my allowance

/ Signed / Settera Narena Taver. Called also Mapillasamy Taver.

/ Signed / G. Di Drury Commissioner

No 12.

Deposition on Solemn Asseveration of Samynadha pillay, Son of Canthemadynadha pillay, residing in Ramnad of the Vellane caste, and Seva Sect, a Gomasta in the Semay Cutcherry aged 34 Years, given before the Magis=trate of Madura this the 24th day of November 1842.

Q Look at the Diary now shewn you, whose is it and in whose hand writing?

A It is a Diary kept by the Seemay Cut=cherry Goomasta Veerabagoo Pillay and is in his hand writing. It has been kept from January 1831 to February 1841 all extraor=dinary events and the arrival and Departure of Gentlemen and other great men used to be entered in it, so the arrival at Ramnad of Shashagherry Row the Head Sheristadar of the Madura Zillah at 8 Oblock on the night

of

Fort St George 20th March 1843.

of the 23d July 1838, and of Mr Blackburne
Principal Collector of Madura at 8 Oblock on
the Morning following the 24th and the Depar=
=ture for Madura of the said Gentleman at 4 Oblo
on the night of 25th and of the said Sheristadar a
10 Oblock on the night of the 26th was entered
the time these things occurred. I well know
is Veerabagoo Pillay's hand writing as I saw
Goomasta with him in the Seemay Cutcherry
and I have been in the habits of constantly
seeing the said Diary Book.

/ Signed / Samynadha Pillay Seem
Cutcherry Goomasta

Re examination on the 10th December 1842.

2 By the Sheristadar. When the Sheristadar She
=gerry Row came to Ramnad, did he used to go
Chuttrum at Teroopoolany on the coast.

A He did whenever he came, either coming or go

2 When he went to the Chuttrum, as being at wha
place was he entered?

A If he went in the Morning and came bac
in the evening he was entered as being at Raman
if he remained there a whole day, as being at that pl

2 Is the day he passed on the coast entered in the D

A It is not.

2 When the Cutcherry came to Ramnad who carri
away the Diary kept by Veerabagoo Pillay?

A The Ryasum Veerabadra Pillay asked it and carr
it away.

2 Did he bring back and deliver it?

A I dont know what he did.

/ Signed / Samanadha Pillay.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Commissioner
Deposition

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

No 13.

Deposition on solemn aseveration of Veerabagoo
pillay son of Vyrgoondeum Pillay residing at
Ramnad of the Vellala Caste and Seva Sect, ac=
Goomastah in the Seemai Cutcherry aged 48
years given before the Magistrate of Madura
this the 24th day of November 1842.

2 Look at the Diary now shewn you
whom it is and who wrote it.

A I have looked at it. It is a Diary kept
by me and in my own hand writing. It is from
January 1831 to February 1841. When any Gentle=
=man or high Public Servant comes to and
leaves Ramnad it is customary to enter the
circumstance in this book, and according
to that custom the arrival and departure of
the Principal Collector of the Madura Zillah
and of the Head Sheristadar Shashagerry Row
are entered in it. The Head Sheristadar
Shasagerry Row arrived at Ramnad at 8 Oblock
in the night of the 23d July 1838. and the Princi=
=pal Collector the next morning at 8 Oblock,
and the said Gentleman left Ramnad for
Madura at 4 Oblock in the night of the 25th
and the said Sheristadar at 10 Oblock in
the night of the 26th of the same Month.

/ Signed / Veerabagoo Pillay
Re

Re examination on the 10th December 1842

2 By the Sheristadar— When the Hoozoor Sheristadar Shasageery row came to Rammad Did he used to go to the Chettrum on the coast or not?

A He did used to go—

2 Used it to be written in that diary?

A. He used to go and return immediately, as such is not written—

2 At what Oclock did he go and at what Oclock return and how far is it?

A. It is 10 Miles from Rammad to the Chettrum on the Coast at what Oclock he went and at what Oclock returned I dont know for certain—

2 When the Cutcherry came to Rammad, How many days did Kotay Thaver take away and keep the said Diary— and afterwards send it back

A. Kotay Thaver did not take it away Rogasum Veerabadra Pillay asked for it and I gave it him, and he never returned it—

(Signed) Veerabagoo Pillay.

No 14.

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 3d December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Ananda row Son of Apjoo row residing at Madura of

of the Brahmin Caste, Vishnoo sect, Head Gomasta aged 47 years—

2 Look at this Memo marked No 6 is it your hand writing?

A. I have— It is my hand writing— It appears by said Memo that the Hoozzoor Sheristadar Shasageery row was at Rammad for four days in the Month of July 1838 the 23d 24th 25th and 26th July 1838— This Memo Account bears the signatures of both the Collector and the Sheristadar Shasageery row— This account is called the Circuit batta account and it is a true Account

2 Look at the Memo marked No 7 is that also in your hand writing?

A. I have looked at it, and it is in my hand writing— by this Memo it appears that the Principal Collector was at Rammad for two days the 24th and 25th July 1838, and it also bears the signature of the Collector and the Sheristadar Shasageery row— The said Memo is called the Extra Tent allowance Account and it is a true Account—

2 By the Agent of Parcovathavurthanjy Nachiar— Have the Tent Allowance and Circuit batta stated in those Memos been paid and received?

They

A They have

2 By whose orders are those Memo Accounts written?

A They are written by orders and at the dictation of the Sheristadar Shasagerry Row the stations and dates set down in those Accounts were on the Sheristadar's information?

2 By the Sheristadar. Does not the Sheristadar whenever he goes to Ramnad always get leave and go for a day to his Chuttrum or the Coast. That day's batta does he draw as having been at the Chuttrum?

A The Sheristadar always gets leave and goes to the Chuttrum for a day whenever he goes on Circuit to Ramnad when he goes he enquires after the Moorcollum Salt pans. If he goes from Ramnad to the Terroopoolany Chattrum Teroopoolany is not put down as a station. If he went by Sikkle to Tirroopoolany it is always put down as a station in the batta account but if he goes from Ramnad Ramnad is put down as the station

2 By Parovatthavurdany Nachiar's agent. You have stated that whenever the Sheristadar goes on Circuit to Ramnad, he always get a days leave and goes to Tirroopoolany how

how do you know that?

A As I did not go in July 1838 to Ramnad whether the Sheristadar went to Tiroopoolany Chuttrum or not I know not, on other occasions that I have been there, as I have accompanied him I know it. I dont know when the Collector gives the Sheristadar orders I only know it from his telling

Signed J. Anundarow Hoozoor Head Goomastah

No 15.

Translate of a Deposition given upon Solemn affirmation on the 3d December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Ragoonada row Son of Narrainacharry, of the Bramany Caste. Account Head Goomastah aged 56 years.

2 Look at this Memo. No 6 whose hand writing is it?

A I have, it is in the Hand writing of the Head Goomastah Anundarow. By this Memo it appears that the Hoozoor Sheristadar Shasagerry Row was at Ramnad for four days in the month of July 1838, Viz. the 23d 24th 25th and 26th of that Month and the Memo: account bears the signatures of the Collector and said Sheristadar the said account is called the Circuit Memo. It is a true Account.

2 Look at this Memo. No 7 whose hand writing

writing is it—

A. It is the Head Goomastah Arunda Row hand writing by this Memo: it appears that the Principal Collector was two days at Ramnad in the Month of July 1838 - the 24th and 25th the account also bears the Collectors and Head Sheristadars Signatures - The said account is called Extra Tent allowance and it is a true Account, and the amount of said account has been paid as usual—

2. By the Sheristadar - If the Public Servants go on the Morning to one place and in the evening to another which of the two places is entered in the Batta Account?—

A. I know not, as I have never been on Circuit

/ Signed / Ragoonada Row Hoozoor Head Goomastah

1st Bribery Case— No. 16.

Deposition on Solemn affirmation of Mr. T G. Graham Son of Robert Graham writer in the Sub Collector's Office at Dindigul 23d years of age protestant religion—

2. When were you appointed Post Office writer at Madura?—

A. I have left the order of appointment at Dindigul I think it was on the 1st November 1838 - I mean that I think I took charge of the Post Office on that date—

Was

2. Was it customary to put a Stamp on the letters in the receiving Office previous to your taking Charge—

A. It was not—

2. Was the practice introduced after you took Charge?

A. Yes, I think it was the very day, or very shortly after on examining the rules and seeing the omission—

2. What is there to help your recollection that the practice was first introduced by you?

A. The inferior servants brought to my notice that it had not previously been done and I imagine it was from ignorance or neglect—

2. Did you bring such oversight to the Post Masters notice by writing?

A. I did not, my time was much taken up there were several other omissions to be corrected—

/ Signed / T. G. Graham

Given on solemn affirmation before me this 10th December 1842—

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Pt. Collector—

No 17—

Bribery Case No 7.
(Abstract) Para 1. The Manager acknowledges the receipt of Annasawmy Iyen's letter informing him his safe arrival and that he had been

and

Fort St George 20th March 1813

and seen "our friend" who had very particularly and in very flattering manner enquired after the Manager that in making "our friend" acquainted with all that had happened at Ram that he had said that the wishes of those insid would never have effect that he had sounded "o Principal" on that head and that he had expre the same opinion that he requested to be made acquainted with what had happened since the the Manager goes on to say that he had seen an spoken to Moottoovera Nachiar, then he mention the circumstance of taking off the Thaly, and the intrigues going, or for tying it on again on beh of another that it may be well known to "our Brahmny friend" and all people by enquiring th he had always acted in conformity with the wish of Moottoovera Nachear, that he meditates no wicked deceit or mischief against her, that if she attempts to injure him, he fully and faith fully trusts to "our friend" as his mediator to guard him from harm that altho' Annasamy should have informed him of these things and Soobier were again to inform him, and ascertaining from him the proper course, w immediately and relieve the Managers anxiet of mind further to ask "our friend" and to write him

Fort St George 20th March 1813

him fully by return of Tappal how the Manager was to act, should it happen that the Thaly is required to be tied for Soonderabandy Tavers. son that in writing the Urzee to the Hoozoor regarding these matters, should Moottoovera Nachiar write in opposition to it, that then an Enaiythnama, should be sent telling her that it is an illadvised and improper proceeding to marry a Royal Lady, who had now attained her 13th Year, to a Child of 5 Years old that having with mutual con= sent in such a year Month and date reported to the Hoozoor the performance of the Ceremony of tying the Thaly or bethrothment to a youth and injurious to their best interests for a trifling quarrel or difference to wish to set= aside that first Marriage and to make another and a new one that an Enaythanamah to this effect, and containing other threatening matters should be sent and should be addressed to both, that by such alone would she be deterred from listening to the persuation of others, and to such alone would she submit= and such an Enaythnama they two should go, each separately, and see "our friend" and persuade him to get it sign= and

and ascertain and inform the Manager of his sentiments thereon.—

(Signed) G. D. Drury

No. 18.

Bribery Case No. 2

(Literal) Para 1. Compliments to the Court Vakeel Annasawmy—I have received your letter of the 12th. Instant and understand all the contents thereof—I have written and sent enclosed in my letter to Soobbier such a note about the 35,000 Rupees as he suggested that "our friend" might himself privately see with his own eyes—and it will have arrived by this time—whatever arguments it may be necessary to urge to induce him to agree to it, that Soobbier and you must consult about, and communicate with him through Soobbier, and by any means get him to admit it, and to forward my views without any let or hinderance on all other matters I have written fully in the letter to Soobbier and in the Roka enclosed therein to which I refer you—

2. You must particularly enquire about the subjects mentioned at the side of said letter, and the Roka marked A enclosed in it.

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Translation

19

Translation of a Deposition given upon Solemn affirmation on the 30th. November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Sheene vasenigar son of Alvar Iyengar residing at Madura of the Braminy caste Vishnoo Sect, Head Savabnavis aged 46 Years.

2. By the Sheristadar.— For the addresses sent to the Hoozoor by the late Managar of Ramnad, were orders from the Dupstrum sent according to his wishes or were they written strictly. Besides were not orders sent that if the Rest was not received on the 25th. the Zemindary would be sequestered on the 26th.?

A. In some instances orders were sent according to his wishes and in others in opposition to them, but I do not recollect the particular matters in which the orders respectively were so written. I have no recollection of the order about the Rest.—

2. You mentioned that when Mr. Wreash installed Ramasawmy Saithoopathee as Zemindar, the latter wrote a Roka and shewed it to that Gentleman who become very angry thereat. State the particulars?

A. After installing him as Zemindar the Gentleman went to Mootoopettah for the Sea breeze and I and the Roysums of the

Roysum

Royasum Cutcherry went with him. On a certain day a peon brought an envelope and gave it to the Gentleman who opened it and called me and gave it me to be read; I read it; It was a roka, no name was mentioned in it. It simply stated "our Mother has desired 20,000 Rupees to be given you" and I remember the Gentleman said that he had been much disgraced by the Zemindar, and that he would therefore send him to the Criminal Court, but subsequently he considered the matter and told me to write on the back of the Chit to the effect "pleased. if you protect the Ryots and act according to the Regulations I shall feel satisfied and not otherwise" I recollect the Gentleman to write to this effect and I wrote so; and it was again put under cover and given by the Gentleman to the peon who brought it, and sent it off. I have no recollection as to whether this happened in 1828 or 1829.

2 By the Principal Collector. Did you come with me to Ramnad when I went there in 1838 about the Marriage to take place there?

J

A. I did not go, nor did any one else to my recollection, but the Gentleman and the Sheristadar.

2 By the Sheristadar. They say that I went to Ramnad and they gave me 35,000 Rupees. Do you think this is true, what do the people say.

A. How should I know it nor have I heard that people say so.

(Signed) Sreenevasiengar.

(Signed) G. D. Drury.

No. 20

Translation of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 30th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Soondera Rajamien son of Narranapen residing at Madura of the Brahminy Caste Siva sect a Royasum in the Hoozoor Cutcherry aged 37 years.

2 By the Sheristadar. On occasions that the late Managar of Ramnad addressed Arzees to the Collector were orders in reply as entered in the Records written according to his wish or were they written very strictly. Has any order been sent that if the kist be not received on the 25th the Zemindary will be assumed on the 26th.

A. Orders were not sent according his

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843

his wishes. The orders used to be strict on the subject and requiring strictness. I must refer to the Records to know about the order concerning the Rent. The Rent never fell in arrears, but yet I must refer to the Records.

(Signed) Soondera Rayanien

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Commissr.

No. 21.

Translation of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 30th. November 1842, before the Principal Collector of Madura by Soobien Son of Ramien residing at Madura, of the Brahmin Caste Siva sect, a servant of the Ramnad Zemindary, aged 46 Years.

2 By the Sherishtadar. Look at this Letter. Is it one sent to me last Month by Post from Ramnad, and what are its contents.

A. I have looked at the letter. It is the letter I wrote you on the 31st. October 1842, when I was placed under Guard at Ramnad. Vakub Annasawmy Iyen sent me word that if I would tell about the Bribe given to the Head Sherishtadar Sashagherry Row, I should be set at liberty and at comfort, and why did I subject myself to vain trouble, and I sent him word in return, how was I to state what I know nothing about, and

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843.

and then it was that I wrote the letter informing you of his bad conduct.

2 By the Principal Collector. By whom did Annasawmy Iyen send you the Message.

A. He sent me the message by Parecharagen Pudmanabien who used to bring me my rice to the Neelagundee Ummen Coil. The said Pudmanabien is my man, but he is of a different Caste.

2. By the Sherishtadar. Look at the letter marked No. 2 and dated 17th. May 1838. A Document in support of the Prosecution: Did that letter bring you at Madura a Roka for Rupees 35,000 or that sum in Money.

A. Neither Roka nor ready money came to me as stated in the letter. I know not, who wrote the letter and whether the Signature to it is the Signature of the late Managar is suspicious.

2 When the Principal Collector and I went to Ramnad in July 1838, did you go with us?

A. I did. Whether the late Manager then gave you 35,000. Rupees I know not. My appointment was Police Jawabnavis; but I remained at Madura and acted as Vakeel. The

Sherishtadar

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843

Sheristadar never came and visited the late manager alone he used to come with the Gentleman.

2 Did I use to remain there after the Gentleman had left the Palace

A You used to come with the Gentleman, and when he left, to leave with him.

2 By Parovvurtha Vurdanee Natchyar's agent Whose is the seal on the letter No. 2.

A. I do not know whose seal it is.

2. You stated before that the seal was usually used by Ramasawmy Naiker, why do you not say so now.

A To the question. Whether the seal was the one usually used: I answered that it was the one usually kept with Ramasawmy Naiker.

2. Does the letter in question bear the Post stamp.

A It does bear the Post Stamp.

2. Did you write letters to any one by orders of the late Manager and send for Articles or Money and gave them to the Hoozoor Servants.

Remark. This question on being put was objected to by the Sheristadar and accordingly the Principal Collector rejected it.

(Signed) Soobbien.

(Signed) G: D: Drury
Commissioner.

Translated

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843.

No. 22.

Translate of a Deposition given upon Solemn affirmation on the 30th. November 1842, before the Principal Collector of Madura, by Ambalavanapilly son of Nagalingumpillay residing at Madura, of the Vellala caste Seva seck, a Vakeel of the Ramnad Zemindary aged 30 Years.

2. By the Sheristadar. Are you the person who resides at Madura, as the Vakeel of the Zemindar of Ramnad.

A Yes I am the Vakeel.

2. Did you write this letter marked No. 4 from Ramnad on the 7th. November to me the Sheristadar, what intelligence does said letter convey.

A It is the letter that I wrote according to the Summons sent me to be present at Ramnad on the 22nd. October. I was present on a certain night, a man whose name I know not, came to me there, saying he came from the Court Vakeels Annasawmy Iyen and Sambier, and told me that he had been desired to inform me that they were going to bring charges of Bribery against the Head Sheristadar, and that I must give evidence. I answered that I would state nothing that was false that this matter did not appertain

to

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843

to my Vakeels duties, and that I would never say so. On the next day or the day after it, a Peloyet came and took me saying that the Principal Collector wants me, and as soon as I appeared before the Gentleman I and Kareeyester Royasum Soobbier were taken to the Salt Cutcherry, and we were there kept in charge of the Salt Amildar, with prohibition not to go to our houses. One night four or five days after I had been released a Man came to me and said to me "We have established the charge of 35000 Rupees having been given to the Sheristadar through Tandavasevara Iyen and Mootoosawmy, and if you also depose to it you will get a high situation and be comfortable." I answered I would not state what was false.

2. By the Principal Collector. When did the man you mention came to you?

A. The man abovementioned came to me one night at 8 or 9 o'clock, and the other night at 10 or ½ past 10. On the first occasion that he came he sent me the Message by Man Mootoosawmy pillay, and when he came the Second time I was standing alone outside.

(Signed) Ambalavana pillay

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Commissioner.

Translate

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843.

No. 23.

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 30th. November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura, by Soobbeien son of Soobbier residing at Ramnad, of the Bramin caste Vishnoo sect, a public servant aged 40 Years.

2. By the Sheristadar. Are you Dupstrum Roysum to the Head Sheristadar?

A. Yes, I am.

2. For the addresses from the late Manager of the Ramnad sent to the Dupstrum were orders sent according to his wishes, or were they written strictly

A. The orders were written strictly.

2. When the late manager took forcible possession of lands belonging to Lubbays in the Cusbah of Sickkila Talook, and the Gentleman sent the complaints received on that subject to the Dupstrum, and the complaints were enquired into, were they decided against the Managers father or in favor of the Managers?

A. They were decided against the late Managers father.

2. Were not orders written from the Dupstrum that if the Kist be not received on the 25th. the Zemindary will be assumed on the 26th.

A. Orders regarding Balance of Peshcush

Peshcush were written to that effect to the Manager

2. On the day that the Verandah of Annawsamy Iyen's house was knocked down, what did he tell you when you were coming to the Cutcherry.

A. He said "not only has the Sherishtadar Sashagherry Row done this out of enmity to me but has also attempted to deprive me of the passage, and is taking steps to get me dismissed. But tell him should he do so I will do the same by him" I accordingly informed the Sherishtadar that Annasawmy Iyen had complained to me in this manner.

(Signed) Soobbien

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Commissioner.

No. 24.

Translate of a Deposition given upon Solemn affirmation on the 30th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Mootoosawmy Naiken, son of Venkatasawmy Naiken residing at Madura of the Gentoo Caste, Vishnoo sect, a Dresser aged 50 Years.

2. By the Sherishtadar On the day that the Collector had caused the Verandah of the House of Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen to be knocked down, what did he tell you when he saw you?

A. He said that the Hoozoor Sherishtadar Shashagherry had not only caused the Verandah

Verandah of his house to be Knocked down but had also in view to add to the Cutcherry a Lane that had been purchased by his father, and which was in his (Annasawmy Iyens) possession; thus he said to me and took and shewed me the Lane and added "injustice such is his to me, but for this you shall see in a few days what I will do." I reported this circumstance to the Collector.—

2. By Provatha Vurdhonee Natchyars Agent. "How long ago is it that Annasawmy Iyens Veranda was Knocked down?

A. About 2½ Months ago that the Verandah was knocked down.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Commissioner.

No. 25.

Translate of a Deposition given on the 30th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Venculasawmy Naiken Son of Lelchmana Naiken residing at Madura of the Gentoo Caste, Vishnoo sect a Deloyet aged 24 years.

2. By the Sherishtadar, For how many years have you been attached to the Hoozoor Head Sherishtadar.

J

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843.

A. I have been attached to him for 3 Years.

2. Did you go with the Sheristadar every time that he went on Circuit to Ramnad.

A. I did.

2. Whenever the Collector went to the Manager's Palace, did the Sheristadar use to go with him or did he use to go alone and visit the Manager?

A. The Sheristadar used to go into the Palace at the same time that the Collector went and used to come out with the Gentleman.

2. Did the Sheristadar ever go alone and visit the Manager?

A. I did not see him do so.

2. Did the Sheristadar use to leave the place (Ramnad) the same day that the Gentleman left it or did he use to remain there a day behind.

A. The Gentleman used to leave the place at 4 O'Clock, and the Sheristadar to follow him immediately.

2. By Parvovatha Veerdhonee Natchyar's agent. Whenever the Sheristadar went on

Fort St. George 20th. March 1843

Circuit did you accompany the Palankeen, or did you go with his things before?

A. As there were 2 Deloyets we used to go with the Palankeen by turns.

The Mark of Venkatasawmy Naick.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Commissioner.

No. 26.

Translate of a Deposition given upon Solemn affirmation on the 30th. November 1842. before the Principal Collector of Madura by Ramasawmy Son of Mootooveeren, residing at Madura, of the Agumbadea caste, Siva Sect, a Palankeen bearer aged 35 Years.

2. How many Years have you been in the Hoozoor Head Sheristadar's service as Palankeen bearer.

A. I have served him for 9 years.

2. Did you go with him every Year that he went to Ramnad, and did you carry the Palankeen whenever he went with the Collector to the Ramnad Palace.

A. Yes I always did. Whenever

2 Whenever he went to the Palace where did you keep the Palankeen, and where did you remain.

A We kept the Palankeen in the Afsara Vafsel and we also remained there.

2. Did any person on any occasion bring and put bags of — Gold Mohurs in the Sheristadar's Palankeen.

A No.

2 Did the Sheristadar go without the Gentleman in the Palankeen to the Palace of a night.

A He did not.

2. By Purvatha Vurdhenue Natchyar's agent. Did you or did you not, on any one occasion during the 9 years that you have been employed, miss going with the Sheristadar when he went on Circuit.

A. I did not miss going any of the circuits to Ramnad, but as I was sick when he went on his to Pootoocotay, I kept a Substitute and remained at

2. Did the Sheristadar use to leave the place Ramnad, when the Collector left it or did he use to be found and then leave the place.

A He used to leave the place sometimes before and sometimes after the Gentleman left it.

[Signed] Ramasawmy

[Signed] G. D. Drury
Commissioner

No 17

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 30th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Mootoosawmy son of Vunnyconan residing at Madura of the Eddaya caste, Vishnoo sect, a Palankeen bearer aged 40 Years.

2. by the Sheristadar. How many years have you been in the Sheristadar's service as a Palankeen Bearer?

A. I have served him for 9 years as a Bearer.

2. Did you go with him every year that he went on circuit to Ramnad?

A. I did.

2. Whenever the Collector went to the Ramnad Palace did the Sheristadar use to go with him, or did he use to go alone? where he went to the Palace where was the Palankeen kept?

A He used to go with the Gentleman. the Palankeen used to be kept in the Afsara vafsel he never went alone.

2 Where did you use to remain while the Sheristadar was absent to the Palace?

A. We remained near the Palankeen.

2. Did the late Managers people on any occasion

occasion bring and put bags of Gold Mohurs in the Sheristadars palankeen?

A. I do not Know, It was never done.

2. Did the Sheristadar ever go alone in the Palankeen to the Palace of a night?

A. He never went alone.

2 By Paroovurtha Vurdhonee Natchyars Agent. It is 9 years since you have been employed, who were the Bearers employed at the same time with you?

A Ramasawmy Cothundy, Para Curpen and Chinna Curpen were employed at the same time as myself.

/ Signed / Mootoosawmy.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury

No. 28.

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 30.th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Peria Curpen son of Veerapa then residing at Madura of the Marawa Caste siva sect a Palankeen Bearer aged 25 Years.

2. By the Sheristadar. How many years have you been in the Sheristadars service as a Palankeen Bearer?

A. I have served him for 9 years as a Bearer.

2. Did you go with him every year that he

he went on circuit to Ramnad?

A. Yes I did.

2. Whenever the Collector went to the Ramnad Palace, did the Sheristadar use to go with him or did he use to go alone? When he went to the Palace, where was the Palankeen kept?

A. He used to go with the Gentleman, he never went alone. The Palankeen used to be kept at the Gate where the Elephants are.

2. Where did you use to remain while the Sheristadar was absent to the Palace?

A. We remained near the Palankeen.

2. Did the late Manager's people on any occasion bring and put bags of Gold Mohurs in the Sheristadars palankeen?

A. No.

2. Did the Sheristadar ever go alone in the Palankeen to the Palace of a night?

A. He never went without the Gentleman.

The mark of Peria Curpen.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury.

Translate

No. 29.

Translate of a Deposition given on solemn affirmation on the 30th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Chenna Curpen Son of Sunkerlinga Thaver residing at Madura of the Agumbadea Caste, siva Sect, a Palankeen Bearer aged 30 years.

2. By the Sheristadar. How many years have you been in the Sheristadars service as a Palankeen Bearer?

A. I have served him for 9 years as a Bearer.

2. Did you go with him every year to Ramnad?

A. Yes I did.

2. Whenever the Collector went to the Ramnad Palace did the Sheristadar use to go with him, or did he use to go alone when he went to the Palace where was the Palankeen kept?

A. He used to go with the Gentleman he never went alone. The Palankeen used to be kept in the afsara or [illegible]

2. Where did you use to remain while the Sheristadar was absent in the Palace?

A. We remained near the Palankeen.

Did

2. Did the late Managers people on any occasion of Circuit bring and put bags of Gold Mohurs in the Sheristadars Palankeen?

A. They did not.

2. Did the Sheristadar ever go alone in the Palankeen to the Palace of a night?

A. He did not.

2. By Purvatha Vurdhonee Natchyars Agent. when you went on a journey with the Sheristadar did only the Bearers in his Service go, or were there any additional Cooly Bearers.

A. Three Cooly Bearers used to be added to the 5 in his service on such occasions.

The mark of Chinna curpen

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

No. 30.

Translate of a Deposition given on solemn affirmation on the 30th November 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Oothandy Son of Mootien residing at Madura of the Agumbadea caste, siva Sect, a Palankeen bearer aged 37 years.

2 By the Sheristadar. How many years have you been in the Sheristadars service as a Palankeen Bearer?

A. I have served him for 9 years as a Bearer.

Did

2. Did you go with him every year that he went on circuit to Ramnad.

A. I did.

2. Whenever the Collector went to the Ramnad Palace did the Sheristadar use to go with him or did he use to go alone, where he went to the Palace where was the Palankeen kept.

A. We used to go with the Gentleman he never went alone. The Palankeen used to be kept in the Apara vajsel.

2. Where did you remain while the Sheristadar was absent to the Palace.

A. We remained near the Palankeen.

2. Did the late Managers people or any one bring and put bags of Gold Mohurs in the Sheristadars Palankeen.

A. I never saw it. it was never done.

2. Did the Sheristadar ever go alone in the Palankeen to the Palace of a night.

A. He never went alone.

2. By Purvatha Vurdhonee Naikeyar agent. When you went on a journey with the Sheristadar did only the Bearers in his service go, or were there any additional Cooly Bearers.

A. Three Cooly Bearers used to be added

the five in his service on such occasions.

The Mark of Oothundy.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

No. 31.

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 1st December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Armoogum pillay Son of Mootoo Coomaroo pillay residing at Ramnad of the Villala Caste, Seva seet, a Vakeel in the employ of Versay Ebroyee Mercoy aged 45 years.

2. By the Sheristadar Sashagherry Row. What did the Court Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen say to you at Ramnad.

A. When the Collector came to Ramnad and was examining Versay Ebroyee Mercoyer I had come with him. when Sambiah told me that I must not remain in the room and to go out. accordingly I went and remained out on the west side of the Bungalow, and annasawmy Iyen came there and said to me that Versay Ebroyee Mercoy has been unwillingly caught. I asked him how he had been unwillingly caught; and he said he ought to seek to escape as Cally Mootoo pillay has done. I asked how that was. and he said he might escape by putting his two

receipts

receipts for 18800 Rupees, one for 9800 and the other for 9000 upon the Sheristadar. I replied my master would not state what is wrong.

(Signed) Armoogum pillay
[Signed] G. D. Drury.

No. 32.

Translate of a Deposition given on solemn affirmation on the 1st December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Mooteroolandia pillay son of Mooteroolandia pillay residing at Ramnad of the Vellala caste, and Siva Sect, late Bokkoshum Accountant aged 40 years.

2. By the Sheristadar. when I came on a visit to Ramnad in July 1838 were Gold Mohurs to the value of 35000 Rupees taken up and given me from the Bokkoshum

A. I dont know if such had been given it would be in the accounts Kept. Now such was given.

2. Was there Gold to that extent in the Bokkoshum in that Month?

A. There might have been 1 or 2 - 15 Rupees gold Mohurs, not more - No accounts of the different Kinds of Coins were kept.

2. If you have any thing else to tell relating to this subject you may speak it.

A. One day I dont remember the date, the two Soondrumiers, Seenay Cutcherry and Sanoogum

Sanoogum Cutcherry Royasums, called me and said to me, why do you suffer this trouble, we have got up some charges of bribes having been given the Madura Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row, if you will also say some sums have been given, and that they are in the accounts, we will take your part and get you off - on my saying that our Rajah was involved, they said they would speak to Sambiah and get him off. also, if he would say he also had accounts and letters to prove certain sums having been given - I answered that I did not know the said Sashagherry Row, nor would I state what was wrong; whereupon they sending me to the Criminal Court. In the same manner one Saloo Row and one Colay Saver spoke to me and I returned them the same answer.

2. By Purvatha Vurdhanee Natchyars' agent. when the Seenay Cutcherry Royasums Soondrummiem and Sanoogum Cutcherry Royasums Soondrummiem spoke to you as you have stated, who were present?

A. Nobody - I was alone - I was alone also at each time when Colay Saver and Saloo Row spoke to me. they took me aside and spoke to me.

[Signed] Bokkoshum Nanakio
Mooteroolapillay.
[Signed] G. D. Drury.

Translate

No. 33

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 1st December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Kistnacharry Son of Sunkanacharriar residing at Madura in the Braming Caste, Vishnoo Sect, Brimanoor Cutlay Monigar Aged 38 years.

2. By the Sheristadar. what did the Court Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen tell you when he met you on your way from Madura to Combaconum?

A. When the abovesaid annasawmy Iyer left Covilady on his way to Madura and was a quarter of a mile from that place he met me and asked if the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row was at Madura. I said he was. He then said, the said Sheristadar intends to knock down my Verandah, in return for this injustice I will lay a heavy amount upon his head, and take means to get him dismissed.

2. Who were with him when he said so?

A. One cotay Shaver was behind in a Palankeen, until I asked I did not

know who he was.

2. By Purvatha Vardhanee Natchyars' agent. To what Country do you belong?

A. I belong to Tanjore and Combaconum.

2. How many years since have you been to Madura?

A. It is 6 years now.

2. How did you get the appointment of the Breamanoor Cutlay Monium?

A. The Thevastanum Tasildar Sreeneevasa. Row appointed me.

2. What relation is the said Sreeneevasa. Row to the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row

A. I did not know before, but I have heard since I came to this place that he is his son in law.

Signed in Balaybund / Kistnacharry

Signed / G. D. Drury.

No. 34.

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 1st December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Sambamoorthien Son of Sambooven of the Braming Caste, Seva sect, Post Office Writer aged 24 years.

2. By the Sheristadar. what is the date of the Yakeed ordering the Stamps of the Madura Post Office to be put upon letters coming through the said Office, and the dates of

receipt

№ 33

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 1st December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Kistnacharrier son of Sunkanacharriar residing at Madura of the Braming caste, Vishnoo Sect, Brimanoor Cutlay Monigar Aged 38 years.

2. By the Sheristadar. what did the Court Vakeel Annasawmy Iyer tell you when he met you on your way from Madura to Combaconum?

A. When the abovesaid annasawmy Iyer left Covilady on his way to Madura and was a quarter of a mile from that place he met me and asked if the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row was at Madura. I said he was. He then said, the said Sheristadar intends to screw down my Verandah, in return for this injustice I will lay a heavy amount upon his head, and take means to get him dismissed.

2. Who were with him when he said so?

A. One colay Shaver was behind in a Palankeen, until I asked I did not know

know who he was.

2. By Purvatha Vardhanee Natchyars' agent. To what Country do you belong?

A. I belong to Tanjore and Combaconum.

2. How many years since have you been to Madura?

A. It is 6 years now.

2. How did you get the appointment of the Breamanoor Cutlay Monium?

A. The Thevastanum Tapsildar Sreeneevapa Row appointed me.

2. What relation is the said Sreeneevapa Row to the Head Sheristadar Sashagherry Row

A. I did not know before, but I have heard since I came to this place that he is his son in law.

Signed in Balaybund / Kistnacharry
Signed / G. D. Drury.

№ 34.

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 1st December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Sambamurtien son of Jumboovien of the Braming Caste, Seva sect, Post Office Writer aged 24 years.

2. By the Sheristadar - what is the date of the Yakeed ordering the Stamp of the Madura Post Office to be put upon letters coming through the said Office, and the dates of receipt

Fort S.t George 20.th March 18

receipt to be published.

A. The order to put the Stamps of the Office and the date of receipt on all letters received from all other offices is the 2.d of April 1838 and the said order reached on the 3.d

2. From what date after the receipt of such order were two stamps put upon all letters

A. When that order came I was not in the Post Office. It was done in the former Post Office writer Chockalingum pillays time, I have done according to said ever since I have been in this Office. I have only seen the order to day for the first time. I went according to practice.

2. Were you Post Office writer at Ramnad at the date of the abovementioned Order.

A. I will try to recollect and tell.

2. Look at the abovementioned letter of the 17.th May. why has it not the second stamp, and whose hand writing is it.

A. I dont know, nor do I know whose hand writing it is.

/ Signed / C. Sambiah

Madura Tappal writer.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury

Translate

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843.

N.o 35

Translate of a Deposition given upon solemn affirmation on the 5.th December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Seroomul Row Son of Sreebaryar of the Bramany Caste Vishnoo Sect, Nair. Sheristadar aged 47 Years.

By the sheristadar Sashagherry

2 Ras - Since the Ramnad Zemindary had been sequestered you have examined the receipts and disbursements for the 12 years that the late Manager Mootoo Chella Thaver administered the affairs of the said Zemindary, state if you found in the Seemay Treasury or in the Bokoosham Treasury under dates the 26.th July 1838 or October 1840 the sums of 35,000 Rupees at one time and the sums of 10,000 Rupees at another time set down as given to the Hoozoor sheristadar Sashagherry Ras.?

The Sheristadar Sashagherry

A Row's name is not mentioned in those accounts as having received any thing.

2 What was the balance in the Bokoosham up to the 25.th July 1838 and what the particulars of disbursement on the 26.th Look at the accounts and State.?

The

A The balance of said Accounts upto the 25th July 1838 was not examened and written. the receipts and disbursements from 1831 up to October 1842 only Shave been examined and written.—

2 Look at the Bokeeshuna accounts and state what the particulars of the Bokeeshuna espenditure on the 26th July 1838 were?—

A The daily account is at Ramnad. The totals taken and written from it only Shave brought with me. From the particulars of that date are not ascertainable.—

2 In the Mohim Zabtah now formed for the Ramnad Zemindary what pay is allotted to the two Soonderamiens the Witnesses for the Prosecution, and what Pay had they before?—

A The Seemay Cutcherry Royasum Soonderamien had formerly 17½ Rupees pay and now he has the same. the Samoogum Cutcherry Royasum Soonderamien had formerly 9 Rupees and odd Annas, the odd Annas were ordered to be struck off and his Pay made 10 Rupees.—

How

2 How many Royasums were there formerly in the Samoogum Cutcherry and how many are there now?—

A There were about 4 or 5 Royasums in the Samoogum Cutcherry formerly. of them one Soonderamien has been retained and the rest discharged.—

2 Is the discharged Kirkara Shandavessnera Iyen, a Witness for the Prosecution, entered on the present Mohim Zabtah, and is his son's name entered in the Circar pay list?—

A The said Shandavessnera Iyen having been removed by the late Manager about 2 Months before out of spite and without any just cause, and he having petitioned for his situation, and no fault being found against him in the Enquiry, he was ordered to be restored — and in doing so, he requested his son's name may be entered instead of his own, and it was done.—

2 How much pay is given to Nagilay Sawmy Shaver, the Witness for the prosecution in the new Mohim Zabtah.?—

He formerly had 200 Rupees

a

a Month and he prayed that it might not be reduced to less than 100 Rupees, but as the Collector fixed upon, after a proper consideration of his case, his pay is now fixed at 70 Rupees a Month.

2 Had the late Manager stopped his Pay?

A He had

2 By Caroovatha Vurdhonee Nutchyar Agent — when you examined the bundles of Bokooshum accounts as also the Bundles of Treasury accounts, were all the old leaves there, and were they proper and regular, or how were they.?

A In the said accounts in so many places were introduced new leaves — and the hand writing of them was different from the hand writing of the rest, the Bokooshums accounts, 4 or 5 years accounts were old and regular, the subsequentones were all newly written and irregular —

2 Did you immediately report to the Collector the improper state of things that you discovered.?

A I did personally from time to time and shewed the Accounts. —

2 When

2 When you were making out the new Mohin Zabtah, did you keep by you and examine the old Mohin Zabtahs of Ramasawmy Sathoosputhys time and of the late Manager Mootoo Chella Thauer's time, and did you weigh well and consider who should be reduced and who retained, and enquire into the circumstances of those who had been unjustly removed, what their former condition had been, and what their future condition ought to be, and did you acquaint the Collector with the results of such your labours and did you form the Mohin Zabtah upon the orders that he gave thereon, or did the Collector of his own accord name the Servants.?

A In examining the Mohin Zabtah in the times of Ramasawmy Sathoopathy and the Manager, I found that the Manager had removed a great many of the former people and had put in others of his own in their place and increased their numbers — Accordingly had the said Mohin Zabtah translated into English and submitted to the Collector what I thought proper for —

the

the future conduct of the affairs of the Zemindary, and received his orders and formed the new Mohim Zabtah.

2 Did you inform the Collector about the former Pay and circumstances of Mapily Sawmy Thaver and Thandeeswera Iyer, and what you thought ought to be done for the future, and did the Principal Collector thereon give orders or did they solicit him when the Mohim Zabtah was forming and he appoint them?

A The said two individuals informed both the Collector and myself of the causes of the stoppage of their pay, and upon my examining the accounts and reporting the same to the Gentleman and according to his orders thereon to restore them though on a reduced scale, they were restored.

2 Did the late Manager appoint Mapily Sawmy Thaver to the Mohim Zabtah or was he appointed before.

A From the accounts it appears he was appointed in Ramasawmy Saithoopathy's time — the exact amount of his allowance will be seen by the accounts.

accounts. The manager had lately stopped said allowance — I don't know exactly how long — about 5 or 6 months before the Zufth, I have heard his present allowance is much less than what he received in Ramasawmy Saithoopathy's time — Thandavaswera Iyen's pay was stopped — 2 or 3 Months before the Zufth, I have heard.

When the Collector gave orders

2 to appoint Rayavacarers, did you enquire into their merits and appoint them, or did the Gentleman appoint them?

A I enquired about the Rayavacarers as I did about all the other servants and appointed them; and submitted it for the Collectors sanction. He did not appoint them by name. I wrote the names and submitted them — all the reduced Rayavacarers were ordered to be provided for as vacancies occurred.

When you examined the Book

2 shaw accounts, were they balanced daily or Monthly?

A For 4 or 5 years they were closed regularly, daily and Monthly, and balances brought forward — in after years

Some

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

some new leaves were introduced for receipts from the first to the 30th of the month; and some for disbursements and the accounts entered in the corners, and the whole was in an irregular and improper state.

2 You have stated for 4 or 5 years, from what years.

A The new leaves I remember are from 1834.

[Signed] Hoozzoor Naib Sheristadar.

Fermut Row.

[Signed] G. D. Drury
Commissioner

No. 36

Translate of a Deposition given upon Solemn affirmation on the 5th December 1842 before the Principal Collector of Madura by Sunny Ayen the son of Soonderayien residing at Madura, of the Braminy Caste and Seva sect, a Hoozoor Royasum aged 30 years.

2 By the Sheristadar. State what order was issued on the late Ramanad Zemindary Manager's Urzee about writing a letter to Madras about the Moturpha business from the Depostrum to which it was referred.

A I remember Answer having been returned from the Depostrum that Mr

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Mr. Vincent having already represented the matter and decisive orders having been received from Madras that the Moturpha claim could not be admitted, Mr. Blackburne would not recommend it, but that if the Manager chose, he might address the Board himself direct.

2 Look at the letter Book and state the No. and date of such order.

A The No. of the order is 105 and the date 4th May 1836.

2 State the particulars of such order in abstract.

A The order states. I cannot write to the Board on the subject of the said Moturpha; but if you wish to do it, you may address the Board direct yourself.

2 When the Complaints of the Sikkle Labbays about their lands was referred to the Depostrum, was it decided in favor of the late Manager's Father or against him.

A In two or three Complaints that came against the late Manager's Father, Moorawady Tauer, the decisions made were in favour of the Mussulmen who made

made the Complaints.—

2 Was not a Yakeel sent from the Dupstram that if the kists were not paid on the 25th. that the Zemindary would be sequestered on the 26th.?—

A The Ramanad Zemindary kists generally came in time, but when it did not, strict orders used to be issued about it.—

2 What did the Vakeel annasawmy Iyen say to you when you were coming to the Cutcherry the day that his Verandah was knocked down?

A As I was coming to the cutchery he called me and said, the Sheristadar is knocking down my Verandah, and is trying to deprive me of the passage to my house to injure and annoy me— I shall not let him off easily for it— if that's all I'll leave this place and go to another— I reported the above immediately to the Sheristadar Shashagerry Row.—

(Signed) Samy Iyen Rayasum.

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Commissioner

Interrogatories —

No. 37.

Interrogatories sent to the late Shavas-thanum Aumal Ramapien.—

By the Sheristadar.—

2 Did the Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen come to you at Ramanad last Month and ask you to give false evidence of bribes having been given to the Sheristadaur Shasagerry Row— how often did he so come and so solicit you— and did you write a letter to the said Raiar on the subject by Pappal— Look at this letter, is it the one you so sent.?—

(Signed) Hoozzoor Hr. Sheristadar

Shasagerry Row.—

A On the night of the 21st October at about the 18th. Malabar hour the Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen and his friend Seetaramien came to my house and roused me, and having enquired after my welfare proceeded to tell me that the Ramnad country was going to be sequestered and endeavoured to persuade me

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

me by all manner of means, that
preserve my appointment it was
necessary I should state that th
Head Sheristadar Shashagurry Ra
received the sum of 2000 Rupees
from the Manager Mooloo Chell
Shaver and built the Chuttrum
at Terroopoolany on the Coast
on my saying that I could not
give such false evidence they
threatened to get me dismissed
and sent to the Criminal Cour
on this even I did not agree
and they went away: and I wrote the
matter to the Raiar - This letter is
the one I wrote -

/Signed/ Ko Narnapier late Tahsildar

/Signed/ V. Narsingarow 27th Ameen of th
Ramanad Country

/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Commissioner

Interrogatories

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

No. 38.

Interrogatories sent to Ramnad to be
put to Chokalingum Shroff in the Sheeroy
Cutcherry -

Q. By the Sheristadar - Look at the Treas-
sury accounts for the month of July 1838,
and state how much Gold Mohurs there
were in the Treasury in that month. More-
over what was the amount of the Ready
Cash in the Treasury in said Month
and how many Gold Mohurs there were
on the 26th July of said year -

/Signed/ Sheristadar Sashagurry Rao

A. As the bundle of Chitta accounts
of said Month has been taken away with
the Collectors Cutcherry I cannot recollect
how much Gold Mohurs there was in
deposit in said Month, there was Cash
on hand at the end of that month Rupees

9638 8 1

15 Rupees Mohurs 17

5 " " 11

Full Rupees 9169 - 6 "

Miley Fanams 2749

Pice 539

Cash 6 1/2

In

Fort St George 20th March 1843

In the absence of the said Chittay Jam unable to say how much Gold Mohurs up to the 28th what I have stated above is according to the balance list in the box at the end of the Month.

/Signed/ Shroff Chokalingum Chetty

Taken before me.

/Signed/ V. Narasinga Rao

Fujdth Ameen.

No. 39.

Translate

To M. R. R. Sashagherry Rao

Hoozoor Head Sheristadar.

Sobien tenders many Namuskarums / Obeisances. I am well up to this day and beg you will be pleased to write to me of the welfare / of the people / there. I and Vakeel Annasawmy Ayen were friends, but on knowing his fraudulent acts and bad conduct, I broke off and informed the particulars to you, but you continued to tell me that he was a respectable person and that I tried to insinuate things against him merely through animosity, I

and

Fort St George 20th March 1843.

and you recommended him for the situation of Government Vakeel. not only the Rannad Zemindary has been sequestered through his deceitful and bad conduct, but he is still insinuating to Mr Blackburne through the channel of Mr Fischer many things that are improper and bad. The Salt auditor sent me a summons on the 18th Instant to the effect that he had received an order from the Principal Collector under date the 17th to cause my attendance there on the 22d. and I was accordingly present, when, on the 20th instant Mr Blackburne without any cause for so doing placed me in custody of the guard of the Salt Cutcherry, and did not allow me even to go and take my meal. I thought this to that Gentleman's notice on the 21st and obtained leave and went to take my meal, when Annasawmy Ayen sent me word naming certain instances, that if I did not admit that Order had been given you, it will be known what my impending fate is and certain things have happened just as he had predicted. These,

Considered

considered with my being still kept in confinement makes me think that I am in custody that I may depose as Annasawmy Iyen has tutored me to do — The injustice with which I am treated, and that which has been committed in Ramnad this time are flagrant. I do not know whether, or no, by Gods mercy I will be rid of these troubles The fruits of my friendship with Annasawmy Iyen, and of your estimation of him as a respectable man, I have now communicated for your information

Ramnad, 31st Octr 1842. } Samuel Sargunam
/Signed/ Soobbien

Produced 7th November 1842.
/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

No 210.

To M. R. R. the Head Sheristadar
From Ambalavana Pillay Vakeel
of Ramnad.

When I was at Madura, the Principal Collector sent me a summons requiring my attendance at Ramnad on the 22d Instant and I came here accordingly on the date requisite. The Court Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen

and Mr Fischer's man Sambiah sent me word to give evidence that our Manager had given a bribe to you (Master) I sent word in reply expressing my surprize at the falsity of what I had been requested to state, and adding that in case I stated so, question will arise regarding the performance of the act for which the bribe had been given, and dangers will happen unto me, and that it will not become me to state a thing which had not occurred, and that I would commit not therefore depose any thing that is false. Enraged at this, the above two individuals insinuated something to Mr Fischer and the Principal Collector, and I was taken there and without any enquiry whatever I was placed in guard in the Sale Cutcherry, and I was afterwards set at liberty and permitted to go home, but with two men to attend me that I may not go any where again. Annasawmy Iyen and Sambiah sent me word that they had proved the Bribery by the means of Tandaiswera Iyen who had been dismissed from his situation by our Rajah, and who has joined his opponent the guardians' party, and by Muttu Sawmy son of Sadeesa who is a servant of the said Sacheir, and by 2 or 3 persons of her younger Brother

Brother, estay Savor and Setteraymoraim Savor who are in her interests, that if I would take State according to their wishes they will examine me, and establishing the Bribery give me a high situation; and that if I did not comply with the request they would invent wirongs against me and bring me to punishment and ruin. Replied that I would never in that manner tell an untruth and Iam consequently suffering great hardship from being placed under surveillance of Peons, they have moreover caused an Rajahs enemies Soondraniem and others, who have joined the guardians party, to give false evidence. The troubles which Sunnasawmy Iyen and others occasion me being insufferable. I have communicated the same to the Board of Revenue, and I have also now informed Iyen of them.

[Signed] Ambalavana Pillay,
7th November 1842.
Rammad,

Rammad Vakeel.

Produce 28th November 1842.

[Signed] J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Translate.

No. 41.

Compliments. The two arrived this morning, and after effecting the sequestration, they sent orders, and they both came and sealed the Treasuries of the Seemai & Davastanum Cutcherries, and afterwards an interview took place

place between the said two and the great man here in the Upstair house of the Palace, but there was no particular conversation between them. They sealed the Bakeasham Treasury and came away. The Hoozoor Cutcherry is held in the Seemai Cutcherry, and the accounts are accordingly being taken there. Orders and Proclamations have been issued to the Davastanum Talooks to act under the orders of the Hoozoor. Last night, at 1 o'Clock, Sunnasawmy Iyen accompanied by his brother in-law Seetaraniem, who was dismissed from the situation of Royastum in the Davastanum Cutcherry came to my house, and he told me that I was required as a Witness to certain particulars, and that I should give my evidence as he would dictate to me, which if I do, he would cause my pay to be raised and favor to be shewn me, but that if I did not give the evidence, he will put me out of employ and subject me to considerable troubles. In this manner he made use of threats and fair words which I cannot adequately convey to you on paper, however I told him decidedly, that whatever he might

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

might do to me. I would not perjure myself and give false evidence; and he went away. I have seen many persons, but not one capable of such injustice as he is. He is going about subborning Witnesses, but has not succeeded. The business he has undertaken being false, who is there that will come forward on the account. Examination has not commenced.

Compliments.

Rannad, 22d Octr 1842. } /Signed/ V. Narasinga Row
Left Ameen of the Rannad Country

Produced 28th November 1842.
/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princl Coll.

See No. 19

/Signed/ G. D. Drury

Translate of Extract No. 47 from Mr. Blackburne's Memorandum of Amount drawn from the Company's Treasury

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

from the Company's Treasury by Shanagherry Row Head Sheristadar of the Hoozoor in the Zillah of Madura in July 1838 on Fusly 1248.

1. 21st Nanamadura
1. 22d Cholymadoor
1. From 23d to 26th Rannad.
1. 27th Nanamadura
1. 28th Thiroopavanum

Total No. of days 8 at 2/4

Milage Fanams per diem Fanams 192 or Rupees 14

14 — 11 — 30th July 1838.

/Signed/ Shanagherry Row /Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princl Coll.

Translate of Extract of a Memorandum of Amount drawn from the Company's Treasury by Head Sheristadar of the Hoozoor in the Zillah of Madura in July 1838 on Fusly 1248.

3 days from the 19th to 21st Instt. Instt.

22d Coorchy 1 day
23d Pulley Cholly 1 Dy.
24th to 25th Rannad 2 days
26th Pulley Cholly 1 Dy.
27th Coorchy 1 Dy.
28th Mootooraindel 1 Dy.
29th Thiroopavanum
30th From Dy. to Madura.

12 days at 5/4 Rupees per diem Rs. 63 0 0

30th July 1838.

/Signed/ Shanagherry Row
/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princl Coll.

Fanams in Tamil not in English
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Comm. Lord

Fort St.e George 20.th March 1843

A

Charge in 1.st Bribery Case.

Memorandum to the Principal Collector of Madura.

With reference to the malversation and malpractices and bribery and corruption generally charged against Mootoo Chella Thaver the late Manager of the Ramanad Zemindary and Shasagerry now the Sheristadar of the Madura Zillah in the Documents contained in the packet I had the honor to submit to you with my letter to you of the 23.d August last the following are the Documents and evidences in support of the particular charge of a bribe of 35.000 Rupees having been given to the said Head Sheristadar Shasagerry now by the said late Manager Mootoo Chella Thaver for the purpose of securing the said Shasagerry Row's official interest and influence on the side of the said Manager in his quarrel with the then Guardian Ranee Mootoo Veerayee Nachiar.

Documents.

A letter written by the late Manager Mootoo chella Thaver to Annasawmy Iyen Government Vakeel in the Zillah Court of Madura under date the 25.th April 1838.

Ditto Ditto Ditto under date the 17.th May 1838.

Witnesses

4633

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

the charge and defence -

Madura -- 27th November 1842 } /Signed/ Shasagerry Row
G. D. Drury -

C

Translation of a reply to the 1st charge - respecting receiving Rupees 35,000 from the Manager of Ramnad in July 1838, for assisting him - against his sister Mootooviroy Natchyar - I gave the Manager no assistance whatever in that case, the Principal Collector recommended to the Board, and the late Guardian was discon- -tinued in July 1840, and I have no influence either with the Board or Government to - interfere in such an important case, nor did I mention about it to the Principal - Collector on the subject, How can 2 years previous, in 1838, to the affair being settled the Manager gave me a bribe of such a large amount, the hearing of it is of itself sufficient to prove its improbability. Annasawmy Iyen the Government Vakeel wrote to the Manager several letters for Star Pagodas 5,000 to be paid for the Ettya- -pooram important Case in Court - did the Manager sent a single cash on that account to those letters are now before the Court - The Manager passed an agreement Bond to Mr. Fischer to pay him

B

To
The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your 3 Takeeds dated 24th Instant in reply I beg to state that I am entitled to see the complaint of that part of it concern- -ing me which I request may be furnished to me - I however forward my brief of replies, and I request that in conformity to the instructions contained in the 22nd of the Board's order dated the 13th April 1826, Extract from which I beg to forward I may be allowed to be present at the examination of the witnesses both for the

Confidential
Personal
Assistant

The Samoorum Rajahs Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen
The Madura Court Government Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen
The Samoorum Rajahs Sirandramien
Telapacarem Mottoosawmy
Ramlaswera Iyen Kircarrah
and Mapullasawmy Shaver

Ramnad 29th October 1842 } /Signed/ G. F. Fischer

Agent for the Ra
Parvatha Vardan
Sachien -
: J. Blackburn
Princ. Coll.
G. D. Drury

the Manager has believed the fraudulent words of the said Annasawmy Syen, I beg to forward 3 letters received from Ramnad by post from beginning of the enquiry- respecting Anna- =sawmy Syen and Sambyen, instigating them to depose falsely that I had been bribed which they refused to do. Annasawmy Syen is very capable of Suborning false evidence which is easy to be got, as the place is divided into two parties-

This is my brief reply, but when I am furnished with copies of the charges, I shall enlarge upon it, in a detailed manner. I beg to enclose a list of my witnesses in Tamil who are very necessary to be examined in such important case-

I have served under the Honorable for the long period of 31 years, they are attending to make me lose my character and all my property, and to reduce me to extreme poverty but Providence and yourself must take my case into consideration and save me from malice-

Madura-- 27th November 1842 } (Signed) Shasagerry Row
" — G. D. Drury-

List of Witnesses to be examined on the part of the Head Sheristadar Shasagerry Row-

The late Manager Moottoo Chella Thavr
Naib Sheristadar Siroomala Row.

Ramnad

him Rs 50,000 for the recovery of the Rupees 2,5[illegible]
for the long standing Moturpha case, and al[illegible]
that sum was received yet he refused to p[illegible]
it, and has been prosecuted in the [illegible]
Provincial Court for that amount- H[illegible]
can such a person give me such a large
amount for nothing- When the Manag[illegible]
applied for the claim of the Moturp[illegible]
which was referred to me, I pass on orde[illegible]
that we could not interfere in it, or did
I ever pass any favorable order in any a[illegible]
=plication made by the Manager or in h[illegible]
own land dispute as will appear by the[illegible]
observations I forwarded to you on the [illegible]
Instant- If such a large sum had be[illegible]
paid to me, it would have been mention[illegible]
in the Treasury Accounts. the Cashkeeper
the Shroffs and Vakeels &c would have
been aware of it- I beg to state that this
Charge has been fraudulently brought
forward by Annasawmy Syen for knocking
down his Varandah, and the said Annasaw[illegible]
Syen is suspended by the Court for his gr[illegible]
fraud in demanding money in Gentl[illegible]
name, and his proceedings have been refe[illegible]
to high authority- Mr Fischer being di[illegible]
with me for not preserving his money fo[illegible]

Fort St. George 20th March 184

Ramnad Davastanum Amil Naum
Dº Police Serab Navish Sooramly
Vakeel Ambalavana Pillay
Keelacurray Arnvegum Pillay
Bokshum Canakoo Mooterula Pillay
Accounts of July 1838 must be produced by
the above individual.
Shraff Saishaya Naiken.
Seemay Cutcherry Treasury Shroff
Chokalingum Chetty.
Accounts of July 1838 must be produced by
the above individual.
Appavoo Chetty son of Pareyapen Chetty
of Ramnad.
Hoozoor Deloyet Vencatasawmy Naiken
Dº Chobadar abdul Cawder.
Head Bearer Ramasawmy of Madura
Bearer Cothundy of Madura.
Dº Moottoosawmy Dº.
Dº Pereya Curpen Dº.
Dº Chinna Curpen Dº.
Head Javal Navish Sreenevasa Iyengar
Dº —— Dº Gomasta Rajamiem
Dustrum Royasum Samiem.
Dº — Soobien.
Dresser Moottoosawmy Naiken.
Madura Kristnachariar.
Dº — Rengachariar.
Dº — Soobien

[Signed] Shasagerry Row
G. D. Drury. List

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

A.

List of Documents produced on the part of the Head Sheristadar Shasagerry Row

Case No. 1.
1. Letter received from Naranapien on the 22d October 1842.
1. Dº from Soobien on the 21st Dº
1. Dº from Ambalavanapillay on the 7th November 1842.

Case No. 3.
1. Original order or Takeed of the late Ramasawmy Saidoobaty dated 28th August 1839 for Nagamay fund.
1. Baraverthy account respecting Stone Choultry at Saithookurray Dated 29th November 1835.

5

[Signed] G. D. Drury.

D.

Translation of a Declaration given on the 30th November 1842, before the Principal Collector of Madura by Shasagerry Row son of Sreenevasa row residing at Madura of the Braminy caste, Vishnoo Sect Head Sheristadar aged 65 years.

2. Have you any thing further to state in your defence in addition to what you stated on the 27th Instant to the Complaint that has been now read to you?

A. I have stated every thing in my abovementioned

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

abovementioned answer- Besides the date of my suspension is the 17th October, the Complaint is dated 29th October, wherefore it is evident that this complaint has subsequently been got up, and is false. That a Native has in any one instance received a bribe of 35,000 Rupees has never been heard of in any Zillah- I also have witnesses a list of them I have given- enquiry will shew this to be a false complaint.

2. [Signed] Shasagerry Row

Which of the witnesses mentioned in your list do you require to the Complaint?

A. As this is an important matter I require every one of the witnesses to be examined, who are named in the list.

[Signed] Shasagerry Row

Subsequently the Sheristadar declined examining the Chobdar Abdul Cawder, Rungachary, Soobbien and Shashama Naiken- that they were not required to this charge, consequently they were not examined.

[Signed] Shasagerry Row

"— G. D. Drury.

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

E

A written Motion made by the Head Sheristadar Shashagerry Row to the Principal Collector of Madura on the 1st December 1842 (during the Proceedings.)-

The 2nd Document that has been put in in support of the charges made against me of having received a bribe of 35,000 Rupees dated 17th May 1838, only bears one post Office Stamp- as I have recollection that the order to put two stamps to all letters was issued from the 1st April 1838, and the practice of doing so commenced from that time- I request that the Madura Post Office Writer may be called up and examined to the fact as regards this letter and the ascertained-

[Signed] Shasagerry Row.

"— G. D. Drury.

F

Notice to Shashagerry Rao accused of Corruption under date 29th October 1842-

You claim the right to examine

Inoottoo

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Mootto chella Thaver as a witness, under para. 2 of the Board's circular of 13th April 1826 or to have his rejection signified to you in writing)

I beg to inform you I do entirely reject him, on the ground that he is charged with having given the bribe, and would have stood on his trial for the same offence, but that he is now before the Criminal Court in one charge of forgery and on another charge, of forgery and falsification of accounts, and it is not therefore my intention to pursue him in this charge, at least for the present.

Madura ---- } (Signed) J. Blackburne
5th December 1842 } Principal Collector

G Original letter from Shasagerry Row Dated 6th December 1842 to the Collector of Madura.

H A Note transmitted document

I. from Do. dated 3d November 1842 These are in the packet of the accompanying Tamil proceedings.

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

53

To The Principal Collector of Madura

Sir. I beg to bring to your notice the discrepancies in the evidence taken in two bribery cases against me —

For the one for Rupees 10,000 paid in October 1840 for receiving Moturpha money in the hand of Pereyanum Chitty at Ramnad for which some letters and Rokas or Notes were produced in the name of Annasawmy Iyen (the now suspended Government pleader for trial for fraud) stating that they were sent to him by the Manager —

The claim for Moturpha by the Manager was dismissed in our Cutcherry the manager sent his petition himself to Madras, and the Sanction was received from the Board dated 19th and 25th of that Month. the moment the order was received a Takid was issued to the Cashkeeper to place Rupees 20,000 in the Bengal loan. the remainder was credited for the Kist of April 1841 being a year of scarcity. Could it be probable that in a case which had been rejected by you, such

such a sum could have been paid to me 5 Months previous in October 1840 in order to receive the money in ready cash by which it will appear clearly that the notes produced by the pleader Annasawmy Iyen were fraudulently fabricated by him—

This example is sufficient to prove that the other letter produced dated 17th May 1838 respecting the sum of Rupees 35,000 having been paid to me, is also a fraudulent fabrication—if I had consented to receive that amount in May why it was delayed up to the 20th July—

Venkatasawmy Naick, the writer of the letter first deposed that the purport of the letter was, that the money was sent to Madura with the letter and by the leading question of the other party prevaricated—

Annasawmy Iyen was a pleader of the Court, but not Vakeel to the Collectors Cutcherry—The Vakeel attached to the Collectors Cutcherry, named Ambalavanom pillay and another Soobien disprove those notes and state that the Money was never paid and the signatures are suspicious and further, that they were compelled to

to give evidence against me and kept them one month under restraint but they refused to do so—

Two persons named Soondramien who are very young men and Royasom joined with the Annasawmy Iyen are the only witnesses in all the Criminal cases against this Manager and in the bribery case against me for those fraudulent signatures—

As a proof of the fabricated letters, I beg to enclose one addressed to the Vakeel Ambalavanom pillay now at Madura which bears the direction and the Stamps of the Post Offices, but is blank within—Annasawmy Iyen I understand, is accustomed to get 5 or 6 of such blank letters every year forwarded from his friend at Ramnad to be used as occasions occur and forge the signature of the Manager which can easily be done, it being an unsettled hand and most of them containing one letter called U N T

The dates of the letters and the stated dates of the bribery, vary 3 or 4 Months, because if the false dates of the bribery is mentioned correctly, it will not agree with the

the stamp dates of the Post Office —

If the dates of the letter agree with the Post office stamp dates it will wont agree with the time of our Ramnad Circuit dates -

These blank letters serve to deceive and raise suspicion to any gentlemen,

I named the Manager as one my first and principal witness to disprove the signatures attached to the letters produced in evidence against me but I was unjustly deprived of the benefit of that principal witness, as if you was to make him sign his name in your presence the forgery would be evident —

In such a large Case has a single line of my writing between the Manager and me, been produced in evidence against me, but only all the pleader Annasawmy Iyens' fraudulent letters whose other fraudulent letters are now before trial in the Court

There were not so much money in the Treasury at Ramnad on the date that amount has been stated to have been paid to me -

The Shroff and Cummis of the public and private Treasury of Ramnad have deposed that there were

not more than 4 - or 5 Mohurs at that was period in those Treasuries -

I beg you will refer to the Cash return forwarded to the Accountant General in July 1838 of our Treasury and ascertain how much gold Coin was even in our large Treasury —

I have proved my defence by 21 respectable witnesses and the witnesses in this important business on the part of the prosecution are mean disinclined reentered in the new list to bear false evidence against me which is proved by the Naib Sheristadars' evidence - it appears by two mean persons who bare witness for the Cash being put into my Palankeen that I was not in it at that time - my bearers who are the proper witnesses for the act done in the Palankeen before the Place gate positively swear to the Contrary -

If the witnesses for the money were true persons they ought to have been examined in my persence instead of which their

4669 Fort St. George 20th March 1843

their depositions were taken one month before at Ramnad during my absence for fear of their relations or friends preventing them from bearing false evidence, owing to which it was taken suddenly --

I beg you will be pleased to take all the above circumstances into your consideration and grant me Justice

Madura ---
12th December 1842 } /Signed/ Sheshagery row
Sheristadar

P.S. Weigh my character borne by 15 respectable Gentlemen during the last 36 years and the depositions of two mean dismissed servants who depose that the Gold Mohurs were put into my Palankeen and the probability of the case, and do me justice.

/Signed/ Shashagerry Row
Summary

4670 Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Summary decision passed by the Principal Collector of Madura after investigation into a charge of corruption against his head Sheristadar Sasha-
=gerry Row, under para 1 Clause 2 --
Section II Regulation IX of 1822 and para 1 Clause 3 of the same Section, brought at the Instance of Paravatha Vurdhanee Nachyar, Guardian to the heiress of the Ramand Zemendary.

Witnesses for the prosecution.

1. Venkatasawmy Naick, Summoguru Mogasum of Ramnad.
2. Government Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen.
3. Sudrom Iyen Summaguru Archary Mogasum.
4. Moothoosawmy, a Palankeen or personal attendant and betel holder.
5. Shandaviswaran Peracarpo.
6. Mapillay Sawmy Thaver, alias Sethurama Thaver, a nearest relative of the Sattoopully family of Ramnad.
7. Sawmy Nada Pillay, Gomashta in the Jemaun Archery of Ramnad.
8. Veera Bhagoo Pillay, Jemai Gomashta.
9. Arunelha Row, Head Gomastah in the Office of the Collector of Madura.
10. Ragonatha Row, head Accountt Gomasht-
=a in the same Office.
11. Mr. Graham late Post Office writer of Madura,

Exhibits for the prosecution.

Letter

1 Letter of the 25th April 1838 from Mootto chilla Shaver, then Manager of Ramnad to Anna: saumy Syen, a Vakeel of the Zillah Court of Madura.

2 From the same to the same dated the 17th May 1838, Instructing him to urge the Sheristadars furtherance of his views, communicated in another letter to Soobien, and acceptance of Rupees 3,500 in compensation of his services.

Witnesses for the Defence.

1 Seenavasa Syengar. Head Juwab navis to the Collector of Madura.

2. Soondra Raja meyan. Royasum in the Collectors' Office.

3. Sooranully Soobien, late Royasum in the Senai Ducherry of Ramnad, acting as the Madura Vakeel of the late Manager, and the person alluded to, in the two exhibits for the prosecution.

4. Ambavana Pillay. the Madura Vakeel of the late Manager.

5. Soobien duffer. Royasum in the Collectors Office.

6. Mothasawmy Naicke Dresser, attached to the Office of the Collector.

Vencatasawmy

7. Vencatasawmy Naick a Shelyet of the Collector in general attendance on the Sheristadar.

8-9-10-11-12. Five bearers in the Service of the Sheristadar, by name Ramasawmy, Moothoosawmy, Peria Cuapen, Chinna Cuapen, and Oothendy.

13. Armoogum Pillay, Vakeel of Verasay Ibra: = sam Mureayer of Keelacarray.

14. Mootteroloupillay late Bokshaw / private treasury / accountant at Ramnad; and now in Jail, preparatory to trial for forgery and falsification of accounts.

15. Kistna achary. a Managar in one of the = Pagodas in the town of Madura.

16. Samba Moorties. Post Office writer of Madura.

17. Srimul Row Naib Sheristadar of Madura.

18. Samy Iyen Duffer. Royasum in the = Collectors' Office.

19. Narrapien, Devastanum Sahseldar of = Ramnad.

20. Chokalingam Chetty, Shroff in the Ducherry of Ramnad.

21. Mootteochella Shaver, late Manager of Ramnad, now in Jail preparatory to in: vestigation of a charge of fraud, and another of forgery and Falsefication of accounts, and himself the giver of the Money.

exhibits

Exhibits for the Defence.

3. Letter from Soobbien 3d witness for defence at Rannad to Head Sheristadar dated 31st October 1842.

4. Letter from Anillavana Pillay 4 witness for defence at Rannad to Head Sheristadar dated 7th November 1842.

5. Letter from Nara (9 Witness for the defence) at Rannad to the Head Sheristadar, dated 22d October 1842.

6. Hurdwry Memo: of Batta drawn by the Head Sheristadar in July 1838 and dated 30th of that Month.

7. Hindwry Memo: tent allowance drawn by the Principal Collector in July 1838, and dated the 30th of that Month.

8. A Memo: book kept by Samy Nadha Pillay 7th witness for the prosecution of arrivals and departures of the Principal Collector, Sheristadar, and others from the Month of January 1831 to February 1841.

9. A Memo: list of 20 letters exhibited in proof that they were all received at Madura subsequent to the 3d April 1838, and yet had not the Seal of the receiving Office.

The documents 6. 7. 8 & 9. were filed in behalf of the prosecution, to

nullify the line of defence taken by the accused.

The other documents are.

A. The Charge dated 29th October 1842.

B. Letter giving cover to Sashagherry Row's answer, and dated the 27th November 1842.

C. Answer, dated 27th November 1842.

D. Deposition of Do. 30th November 1842.

E. Motion of 1st December for examination of Post Office writer.

F. Rejection by the Collector of Mootloochella Shaven as a witness, dated 5th December 1842.

G. Letter from Sashagherry Row dated 6th December, objecting to the rejection of his witness Mootloo Chella Shaver — objecting to the ignorance of the Nama Paravathen Vardhanee Nuchyar as a female: to Mr. Fischer as interested in insinuating the facility of forging the Post Office stamps to forged letters substituted for others. Urging that the retention in Service of those who gave evidence to the actual carrying away of the Money, is sufficient to invalidate their testimony — Urging consideration of the dates of the Board's orders for payment of the Motturpha arrears on the 24th

or

or 25th February 1841 as absolutely incompatible with the payment of 10000 Rupees in bribe in October 1840. The comparison of this date and the fabricated notes (1st and 2nd documents for the prosecution) is sufficient, he contends to prove the fallacy of the charge. He also draws inferences in his own favor from the circumstance of the remission and payment of arrears of Motarpha not having been recommended by the Collector.

H A note from Sashagherry Row to the Collector dated the 3rd November 1842 —

I Forwarding a series of observations 27 in number, self shewing his ability and care of the interests of Government — during the period he has been Head Sheristadar of Madura — for 9 years.

In consequence of the serious misunderstanding that had arisen between — Mootheverayer Nacheyar, guardian of the Minor — of Ramnad, and her half brothers, Moothichella Thaver the Manager as appointed by the late Sailhoosully and acquiesced in by Government, the Principal Collector proceeded to Ramnad accompanied by his head Sheristadar, Sashagherry Row alone; and remaining there one

on the 24th and 25th July 1838, he held a colloquy with the Nachyar and obtained her consent to the espousals of the heiress (since deceased) and the Son of the Manager to whom she had long before been betrothed with due formality, and it was for using his influence with the Collector to bring about the accomodation that Sashagherry Row is accused of having received the 35000 Rupees in gold.

The Principal Collector records the fact and object and date of visit to Ramnad on his own knowledge and perfect recollection, aided as to dates by a Memd made at the time in his own hand writing.

The intention and readiness to give a consideration, and the express Amount fixed is clearly inferrible from the exhibits 1 and 2, Viz. letters from Moothoochella Thaver to Annasawmy Iyen of the 25th April and 17th May 1838. The letters themselves are too obscure to carry legal conviction with them, but their authenticity is placed beyond doubt by the evidence of Annasawmy himself, who details at length the meaning of the apparent obscurities, identifying the parties and illustrating the object.

The

The documents bear intrinsic marks of authenticity in the seal and signature of the manager Moottoochella Shaver, and the impress of the Post Office Seal of Ramnad. The seal and signature of Moottoochella Shaver and the application of the terms "our friend" "principal" "you" "your" are proved by the testimony of the 1st witness, the person who wrote them both, under the dictation of a Juvob navisto as also by that of the 2nd and 3d witnesses the 1st & 3d being Office Servants of long standing and high rank, and intimately acquainted with the various seals and signatures used by Moottoochella Shaver. The 4th 5th & 6th witnesses from the manufact of the charge, the delivery of 35000 Rupees in Gold by Moottoo Chella Shaver to Sashagherry Row on the occasion of his and the Collectors visit to Ramnad in Aady of Vilumbee (July and August 1838) and the date is precisely fixed between the nights of the 23d and 26th July by the exhibit No 8, supported by the testimony of the 7th and 8th witnesses. The 4th and 5th witnesses were personal and confidential attendants of Moottoochella Shaver, whilst the 6th was their friend, and his near relation very closely connected by blood and marriage with him, and

and with the Saitthoopully family, and is himself a man of that respectable character that if any evidence can be relied upon, it ought to be his. The 4th 5th and 6th witnesses also speak distinctly to the cause for which the money was paid.

The accused, Sashagherry Row commences with an unqualified denial of the charge, and at different times during the course of procedure takes a variety of objections, and makes a stand on various points. He wishes to make it appear 1st a conspiracy got up by Annasawmy

6 Sparsim by his question. Iyen, 2nd, that the witnesses against him are unworthy of credit and have besides been tempered with and brought by the Collector, his Judge in the case, by confirmation in employment, under the undue influence of Mr.

C. Fischer, Paravatha Vurdhanee Nadryars agent,

C and D. and his servant Sambiah, 3d he pleads long and good Service to the Company 4th He urges the improbability that he should have received a bribe in July 1838 to obtain Mootooveroyen Nachyars removal from the guardianship, which did not take place till 1840. 5th the

D. improbability that a Native should ever receive so large a bribe as 35000 Rupees. 6th That the

D. charge being dated subsequent to the suspension

is

is proof of the falsehood of it; 7th that in the rejection of the evidence of Mottachellah Shaver, he is deprived of his most powerful defence. 8th denies the authenticity of the document 9th and 9th sets up an alibi.

The last objection being the one of most vital import, the Collector proceeds to notice it first. He supported it by verbal assertion that the dates fixed as the time he was at Rammad were disprovable by the batta accounts of the Month in the Office, and by the account of tent allowance for the justy forwarded to the Board of Revenue; his 7th witness, a personal Official attendant, Dhelayet, states in general terms, that he never visited the place at Rammad, without the Collector, and that he always left the place at the same time, but that he, (deponent) sometimes went before with his baggages, sometimes accompained his palanquins according to his turn. his 8th 9th 10th 11th and 12th witnesses, his five habitual service palanquin bearers, depose that they always took him to Rammad, that he never went to the palace without the Collector, and no bags of money had ever been put into the palanquin; and that he always went to and left a place at the same time with the Collector, or a minute

a little before, or a little after.

The exhibits 6 and 7 on the Maratta Memoir of Batta drawn by Sashagherry Row of extra tent allowance drawn daily by the Collector in July 1838. dated the 30th of that Month, and bearing the Official signature of the Sheristadar and of the Collector from which it appears the Sheristadar was at Rammad from the 23rd to the 26th both inclusive; and the Collector on the 24th and 25th. The correctness of their accounts is proved by the 9th and 10th witnesses, the two head Gomashtahs of the Collectors Office, the first of whom drew them out. It is further confirmed by the exhibit No. 8. a diary kept by one of the Servants of the Senai Auchery of Rammad, which amongst other things professed to mention the arrival and departure of the Collector and principal Native Servants at Rammad, and which has been kept January 1831 to February 1844, and which details very precisely the arrival of the Sheristadar on this occasion at 8 P.M. of the 23rd and his departure at 10 P.M. of the 26th whilst the Collectors arrival is entered at 8 A.M. of the 24th and his departure at 4. A.M. of the 26th. The authenticity of this document is deposed to by the 7th 8th witnesses, and I think in connection with the two exhibits 6 and 7 entirely invalidates the

as alluded to by Sashagherry Row.

evidence

evidence of the Dhelayet and 5 Bearers, the witnesses Nos. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11, and 12, reducing it to evidence to general practice, but void of any particularisation or particular recollection. Failing on this point of his defence, the Sheristadar elicited from the 7th Witness for the prosecution that, where at Ramanad he was in the general habit of getting a days leave to visit a Chitty Drum he was engaged in building at Sheroopalum (about 10 Miles distant) and from the 8th and 9th witnesses that had he so gone in the morning and returned in the evening to Ramnad, such an occurrence would not have been entered in the diary, Exhibit No. 8.

In respect to the 4th objection, the Collector is of opinion that however treacherous and unworthy a man the 2d witness Annasawmy Iyen may be, the exhibits 1 & 2 were given up without any intention to injure the Sheristadar, and to serve an entirely different purpose, but he did not attempt to substantiate this objection.

2nd She 1st and 3d witnesses for the prosecution were men of the highest rank of Rajarums in the two Cutcherries of Ramnad. They are men of good character, long service and in daily habit of seeing the Seal and signature of Mottoo Chella Shaver. To prove these points alone they were called and

and the only discredit the accused attempted to throw on their testimony was that they are still retained in Office. With exception of reductions of establishment no man has been removed, i.e. no one in Office has been removed to make way for a Stranger, and this observation will suffice for all similar insinuations.

3. Exhibits C and I are the accused's own valuation of his public services, but no attempt is made to prove them nor would they bear upon the case. The Collectors high opinion of the accused previous to this enquiry should be well known to the Board of Revenue.

4. There is no reason to believe the bribe given in July 1838 was to effect the removal of the guardian Ranee which took place in 1840. but this admission cannot be made of any use to the accused as it is charged and proved to have been given for overruling her opposition to the marriage of the heiress to the son of Mottoochella Shaver.

She 5th objection requires no answer.

She 6th is equally frivolous. If on the preliminary enquiry, grounds had not appeared no charge would ever have been admitted against so old a servant by the Collector.

She 7th objection is still more frivolous than the other two. Mottoochella Shaver is shewn as the giver of the bribe for

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

evidence of the Dhobyet and 5 Bearers, the witnesses Nos. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11, and 12, reducing it to evidence to general practice, but void of any particularisation or particular recollection. Failing on this point of his defence, the Sheristadar elicited from the 7th Witness for the prosecution that, where at Ramnad he was in the general habit of getting a days leave to visit a Chitty Arum he was engaged in building at Sheruppalur (about 10 Miles distant) and from the 8th and 9th witnesses that had he so gone in the morning and returned in the evening to Ramnad, such an occurrence would not have been entered in the diary, Exhibit No. 8.

In respect to the 1st objection, the Collector is of opinion that however treacherous and immoral a man the 2nd witness, Annasawmy Iyen may be, the exhibits 1 to 2 were given up without any intention to injure the Sheristadar, and to serve an entirely different purpose, but he did not attempt to substantiate this objection.

2nd The 1st and 3rd witnesses for the prosecution were men of the highest rank of Rajarums in the two Cucherries of Ramnad. They are men of good character, long standing and in daily habit of seeing the seal and signature of Mootto Chella Shaver. To prove these points alone they were called and

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

and the only discredit the accused attempted to throw on their testimony was that they are ex still retained in office. With exception of reductions of establishment no man has been removed, i.e., no one in office has been removed to make way for a stranger, and this observation will suffice for all similar insinuations.

3. Exhibits C and I are the accused's own valuation of his public services, but no attempt is made to prove them nor would they bear upon the case. The Collector's high opinion of the accused previous to this enquiry should be well known to the Board of Revenue.

4. There is no reason to believe the bribe given in July 1838, was to effect the removal of the guardian Ranee which took place in 1840. But this admission cannot be made of any use to the accused as it is charged and proved to have been given for overruling her opposition to the marriage of the heiress to the son of Mootto chella Shaver.

The 5th objection requires no answer.

The 6th is equally frivolous. Upon the preliminary enquiry, grounds had not appeared no charge would ever have been admitted against so old a servant by the Collector.

The 7th objection is still more frivolous than the other two. Mootto chella Shaver is shewn as the giver of the bribe for

for which very act he is liable to a criminal prosecution, and which would doubtless have been commenced upon, but that he is already a prisoner in jail awaiting one trial for an aggrawated case of fraud, and another for forgery and falsification of accounts. His evidence was inadmissable.

8th Except as corroborative, the exhibits 1 and 2 seem of little aid to the prosecution, but their authenticity seems established beyond doubt by the way in which they were produced, by the evidence of the 1st 2nd & 3d witnesses for the prosecution, unshaken by any for the defence, and by the intrinsic marks of contents, dates, seal, and signature of the late Manager of Ramnad, and by the public post-mark. These letters bear only the post Office stamps of the dispatch Office. whereas Section 2nd of the general and printed rules of the 30th August 1837 provides that thenceforth a stamp should also be affixed in the receiving Office; and to prove this omission and thereby destroy their character for authenticity the accused cited his 16th witness. The man can only prove that on the 3d of April 1838 the order was received to give effect to that Section but he cannot say when it did take effect. The exhibit

exhibit No 9 is a list of 20 letters despatched from various post Offices from the 4th April to 1st December 1838 none of which bear the stamp of the receiving offices, and the 11th Witness for the prosecution deposes that when he took charge of the Madura post Office about the 1st November 1838 the practice of affixing a Stamp received letter did not prevail, but was introduced by himself soon after.

Of the accused's others witnesses the first is cited to prove that on the installation of Ramasawmy Taikhooputty the Collector himself was only offered a bribe of 20000 Rupees which was rejected with an admonition; hence the inference — so large a sume would never be offered to a native.

She 1st 2nd 5th and 18th Witnesses prove that even if a bribe had been given it never had effect in the Sheristadar to turn him from justice or severity in exacting Government dues where Mootoo Chilla Shaver was concerned.

She 5th 6th 15th & 18th Witnesses depose to threats of vengeance on the part of Arunasawmy Iyen against the Sheristadar for having caused the destruction of his Verandah which had encroached

on

on the Street; and for his intention to deprive him of the right to a very narrow passage between his house and the Collectors' Office.

The 13th Witness deposes to Annasawmy Iyen's tampering with him to induce his Master Varasy Ibrahim Manager to give evidence against the late Manager of Ramnad and thereby escape committal to the Auxiliary Court for perjury before the Collector N. B. he is now awaiting his trial in jail. I. Bs And the 19th Witness deposes to Annasawmy Iyen's tampering with him to give evidence against the Sheristadar.

The 20th Witness Shroff in the Sumbu Cutcherry at Ramnad deposes there were but Rupees 9638-6-1. in the Bookshuna treasury at the end of July 1838. and amongst the Coins only 12 Gold Mohurs and 14 five rupee pieces.

The 17th Witness, Deputy Sheristadar of Madura, deposes that the Sheristadars name does not appear as having received 35,000 Rupees in July 1838, in the Bookshuna accounts. that the 3d Witness for the prosecution had been retained in employ where others of his fellows had been dispensed with. that the 5th Witness was to have been reinstated in employ because the Collector deemed, he had been unjustly removed only 2 Months prior to the investigation, but that

that at his own desire it was given to his son instead of to himself: that the 6th witness subsistence money as relative of the Sastho[illegible] family had been discontinued by the late Manager, that it was formerly 200 Rupees, and had now been fixed by the Collector at 70 Rupees a Month, and that he understood the discontinuance to have been about 4 or 5 Months ago.

The third Witness, Soobia, the person referred to in Exhibit No. 2 denies having ever received such a letter or Rokia enclosure as there stated: that being employed as Vakeel at Madura, he accompanied the Sheristadar and Collector to Ramnad in July 1838; he is ignorant of Sheristadar never was at the palace except in Company with the Collector; and that he had been tampered with by Annasawmy Iyen, who sent the message through his (Soobiens) own servant at the time. that he was under detention by the Collectors Order under the Head of Police in Salt Department.

The 4th Witness, another Vakeel of Ramnad at Madura. Deposes that he was tampered with by some one he knew not, on the part of Annasawmy Iyen and Sambiah.

The 14th Witness the Bookshuna accountant now in jail awaiting his trial for forgery and falsification of accounts, denied that any money had been given to the Sheristadar in July

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

July 1838, and that there have been only one or 2 Gold Mohurs in the Boyeharam's Treasury at that time. He deposes he was tampered with by the 3d Witness another Soondrum Iyen Pathoo Row, and Colay Saver.

The 3d 4th and 19th Witnesses acknowledge having written the Notes Marked Nos. 3. 4. & 5 respecting from Ramnad to the Sheristadar at Madura respecting the tampering with them as shewn in their depositions.

The Principal Collector decerning the charges of corruption proved awards the payment to Government of P. 70000 Rupees, being double the Amount received on the occasion in question, under the provisions of Clause Section V. Regulation IX of 1822 by Shanagherry Row.

Madura, 12th November, 1842. } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Princl Collector.

Copy delivered to Shanagherry Row
this 12th December 1842.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Princl Collector

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

List of Documents connected with the Bribery Case No 1 against Sashagherry Row Sheristadar of Madura.—

No 1 Deposition of Samiyan Catchery Poysom Vencatasawmy Naik 29th October 1842.—

2 Do of Vathiel Annasawmy Iyen 29th October and 30th November 1842

3 Do of Samiyan Catchery Poysom Soondrum Iyen Do Do

4 Do of Adapacaram Mootoosawmy 29th October 1842 & 30th November

5 Do of Vincarrah Thandaveseeva Iyen Do and 30th November

6 Do of Mapillay Sawmy Thaver alias Settra Narrama Thaver Do Do

7 Do of Sawmynadapillay Seemai Gomasta 24th November & 10th December 1842.

8 Do of Veerabaugoopillay Seemai Gomasta Do Do

9 Do of Head Gomasta Arunda Row 3d December 1842.

10 Do of Head Accountt Gomasta Rajoonada Row Do

11 Do of Mr Graham's Post Office Writer 10th December 1842.

No 1 Letter from Manager of Ramnad to Annasawmy Iyen 25th April 1838

2 Do ——— Do 17th May ——

Sashagherry Row's Witness

No 1 Deposition of Sambooroy Seeneevasa Iyengar 30th November 1842.

Deposition

2 Deposition of Soondra Rajaman Catchery Registm Dr
3 Dr of Sowamilly Soobbien late Sheristadar Registm and Vakeel — Dr
4 Dr of Ambalavanapillay late Gomindar Vakeel — Dr
No 5 Deposition of Soobien Catchery Registm 30th Novr 1842
6 Dr of Mootoosawmy Naick Catchery Peishcar — Dr
7 Dr of Velayet Veneatswamy Naick — Dr
8 Dr of Palaniaen Beaven Ramaswamy — Dr
9 Dr of Dr Mootoosawmy — Dr
10 Dr of Dr Poria Carpen — Dr
11 Dr of Dr Chinna Carpen — Dr
12 Dr of Dr Cathandy — Dr
13 Dr of Armogam pillay the Nanjeyan Vakeel 1st December 1842.
14 Dr of Bohsham Accountant Montesola pillay Dr
15 Dr of Kistna achary Devastanum Manager Dr
16 Dr of Post Office Writer Sauta Moorthie Dr
17 Dr of Naib Sheristadar Jeromanah Row 5 Decr
18 Dr of Sarvay Lyon Sheriff Registm — Dr
19 Dr of Devastanum Tahsildar Tannaseim — Dr
20 Dr of Sheriff Chockalingum Chetty — Dr
No 3 Letter from Soobien dated 31st October 1842
4 Dr from Ambalavana pillay 4th November 1842
Letter

5 Letter from Tannaseim anonymously 22nd October 1842
6 Document Memorandum Batta Drawn by the Head Sheristadar in 1838 dated 10th July 1838.
7 Memorandum of Post allowance drawn by the Principal Collector of Madura in July 1838 dated 30th July 1838.
8 Gentoo in Tamil
9 List of 20 letters exhibited to disprove the assertion of the Sheristadar that all letters received at this place bore the Post marks after the 5th April 1838.
A First bribery Case Charge produced 29th October 1842
B Letter of Shashagherry Row forwarding his answers to the 3 Charges of Corruption 27th November 1842
C Answer of Sashagherry Row 27th November 1842
D Deposition of Sashagherry Row Petitioner 30th Dr
E Motion of Dr for examination of Post Office Writer respecting date from which seals were affixed to receive letters 1st December 1842
F Rejection of Mootoo Chella Thaver as a Witness on behalf of Sashagherry Row 5 December 1842
G Original letter from Sashagherry Row dated 6th December 1842 to the Collector of Madura
H Note transmitted document I from Dr dated 3 November 1842
Letter from Sashagherry Row to the Collector 12th December 1842
Decision

4691 Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Decision of the Principal Collector 12 December 1842

Madura —
29th December
1842

Signed G. D. Drury

Translate of

Mookkiannana granted to George Frederick Fischer Esqr. by Rama Paramasiva Vudiar Kachear Guardian of the Zemindar of Ramnad

Mootoo Chella Taver having been appointed Manager to the Zemindary entailed by my Husband Ramaswamy Sathupathy the late Zemindar of Ramnad on my Child on information that in all affairs connected with the Estate in question he would conduct himself justly and properly, and not be capable of any ingratitude for I was relied on him as being a man of upright conduct, but since he assumed management of the Estate, he has not only admitted his friends who assisted him in his hands to share in his plunder of the finances of the Estate deducting large sums for Government Peishcush and proper stipendy employ but does very thing respecting the Zemindary himself in a manner as if he is the legal heir to it, and making in consequence illegal expenditure of the money and

4692 Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

all the kinds of property belonging to the Estate according to his pleasure he has shewn too much kind belonging to the Estate has committed the payment of considerable arrears which were due by every post and has entirely wasted every property of the Zemindary pertaining to my Child, and in support of all this he has fabricated accounts and Documents and perpetrated various frauds and seeing that the time is fit for my daughter to assume the administration of all affairs connected with the Zemindary herself by marrying the husband I have determined for her said Manager resolved to prevent all that he has done from being brought to light and to appropriate to himself the remaining property and profits of the Zemindary and since the day I came to the knowledge of his intentions he has determined on injuries of the utmost extent. Consequently I having confidence in you do appoint you my Agent in order that prior to any such injuries happening to the Honble and myself, you may represent the above facts to the respective authorities, and procuring the same redress as from the Manager and the frauds he has committed and the loss he has entailed on us and claim for its prompt redress without suffering our Zemindary to be endangered. and therefore I shall do not as done by myself in person all that you may in pleasing or signing on my behalf, do or cause to be done by yourself granting

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

granting on virtue of the Mokhtiarnama a Vakalut
namah to any person in whom you can confide and
calling upon us then and there for such
Documents and Witness as may be necessary
towards establishing the above facts before the
authorities. Moreover should you appoint any
person in your behalf for what may be required
to be done, and send to me for signature
at Mokhtiarnama in my name to such person
or should you prepare and send me any Arzas
which I myself ought to send direct to the
authorities and request me to affix my
signature to them I shall without any delay sign and
and send them then and there. I have granted this Mokh
tiarnamah of my own free will 21st August 1842.

Witnesses
The mark of Curtoyee
D. Curpoyee

/Signed/ Ramee Parsunda
/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector
/True Translation/
/Signed/ G. D. Drury.
Commissioner

To
The Commissioner in charge
of the Madura District

Sir

I beg respectfully to add
the following 3 reasons in my appeal which
was omitted to be inserted in the former
Petition. Had I been accused of having

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

received a small sum, such as Rupees 1400
on the false evidence, it would perhaps
have raised some suspecion, but Mr Fischer
and his servant Sambyen unfortunately
composed or invented a large sum of
Rupees 35,000 in the charge with an
intention to ruin me entirely with
my property and my person which
Amount alone fortunately stands
good in favor to consider that the
charge is entirely a false one.

The very old servants of
Ramnad who refused to bear false
evidence were all unjustly dismissed
without any crime in the new list
and all the dismissed servants
who gave false evidence are all em-
ployed, and the persons who assisted
the Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen and
Sambyen in this nefarious transaction were
also

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Also newly entered in the list and one Sato Row in the Hooguor Cutcherry on the 20th Instan in return for their bad services as a reward which proves the Government servants to encourage bad people to bear false evidence upon good reward instead of checking this practice by punishing the God never attends personally to do justice to his creatures but, through the Government Officers who are his Agents or Nails. The Carnatic Government was ruined through injustice but the British Government is continuing to increase its power for acting equitably, the injustice to me will be apparent by the papers with my Appeal which I have made in confidence of meeting with the justice

Madura
23rd December 1842

(Signed) Sashagherry Row

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Cases.

To The Presence of the President and Members of the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.—

The appeal Petition most respectfully addressed by the Shagerry Row Head Sheristadar of the Madura Collectorate who served under Fifteen respectable Gentlemen for the period of 34 years

Most humbly sheweth.

That there was no place of shelter for the many pilgrims who went to Ramasseram & Tirpolany, I erected a Terraced Choultry at an expence of Rupees 800 from the Lottery prize in 1835. as the place was insufficient. two years afterwards I erected a small stone Choultry, most of which were purchased; and my man by order of the Manager got some stones from a ruined Choultry near it, but were not stolen, I did not receive a Cash from the Manager for its support and this being the case the Principal Collector sent me a Decree on the 17th Instant, that I should pay

pay Rupees 1372 stating that I had received that sum from the Manager at Rupees 4 a Month from the Charity fund of Ramnad.-

The above Monthly allowance was not granted to me by the Manager, or for the new Chuttrum, after my employment on this District a Chuttrum was built in 1813 by subscription at Covilidey, between Trichinopoly and Combaconum, in which the late Purdany of Ramnad Shengily Saravagar was one of the Subscribers, he promised to grant something for the support of the Chuttrum after the Estate was put into the hands of the Sathoputty. accordingly in 1829, when I was at Trichinopoly, an order was sent from Ramnad for the payment of a Monthly fund of Rupees 4 which was continued and the Charity was performed by that means hitherto, which original order was produced and proved, as also the continuance of the charity, by the evidence of Rama Iyngar and Copaul chary, residing at Tanjore, and Trichinopoly. The Collector has made no mention of these evidences in this Decree, that justice is there for me to pray that sum granted for Charity.-

It is fully proved by the evidence on the part of

of the prosecution, that the above sum was granted by the late Ramasammy Sathoputty, while I was at Trichinopoly, but not by the Present Manager but only the fault resting upon me is, that the Money is paid at Ramnad to my Servant, and not remitted to the Chuttrum by a Peon, every Month, to save which trouble, it was received at Ramnad and I directed to my man, to pay it at Trichinopoly but not a Cash of it is appropriated for my private use.- This being the case is not the Decree passed against me, under this Head, unjust, I beg your Board will take this into consideration and reverse the unjust Decree of the Principal Collector upon the consideration of the original order produced by me dated 6th August 1829. I came to this District in July 1833. You will perceive by the order that I made no request to the late Ramasammy Sathoputty for this purpose.-

For which act of Justice and Equity your Petitioner shall as in duty bound

Ever pray

Madura — 19th December 1842

(Signed) Maraketta Characters

Case 4.-

Third Case of Corruption against Shashagherry Row Head Sheristadar of the Madura Zillah Charges

Having received from the late Manager Mootoo Chella Tever

a

a large substantial and expensively built Cut granite Mundapoom, situate at Nagamadha Summadrum, in the Zemindary of Ramnad, erected by private Chitty in former times, and having pulled down the same and removed and appropriated the valuable Materials to himself and thereupt. built a Mundapoom in his own name at Tiruppalany on the Coast, further having at various times received money unduly and illegally levied from the Cunnums of the Zemindary and unjustly and improperly taken from Zemindary funds towards the building & support of the Pagoda

Documents and Witnesses in proof of the above charge

No 1. A Taheet acknowledging and ordering the levy of contribution towards the Head Sheristadar's Chuttrum dated 26th December 1835 to December 1838

No 2 A Taheet to the Zemai Peshgar Communicating the gift of the Mundapoom at Nagamadha Summadrum to the Head Sheristadar &c. dated 3d April 1836 No 63.

No 3 A Taheet to Dittos shewing the Donation of 4 Pagodas a Month from Zemindary funds to the Head Sheristadar's Chuttrum at Tiruppalany for the Establishment &c dated 17th June 1835 No 157.

No

No 4 Ditto Ditto shewing a further donation of 4 Rupees a Month from Zemindary funds to the said Chuttrum for Food, dated 7th January 1835 No 19.

No 5 Diary of a Taheet to Periannia Chetty &c. &c. &c. touching the pulling down and removal of the Mundapoom at Nagamadha Summadrum dated 3d April 1836 No 64.

No 6 Ditto to the Taluke Ameena Samaayen requesting the Completion and return of the Subscription list sent him on the 20 December 1835 Diary dated 12 February 1836 No 158.

All the Taheets bear the late Managers Signature and Seal.

Witnesses

1 Zemai Head Sumpurthy Sunkooralee Pillay.
2 Zemindary Cash Keeper Meenachy Sonderum Pillay.
3 Venkatasawmy Naick Zemai Cutcherry Rayasum but doing duty at the Sammeecum Cutcherry.
4. Sonderamien Zemai Cutcherry Rayasum.
5 Sompringar Zemai Cutcherry Rayasum but doing duty at the Sammeecum Cutcherry.
6. Ambalavana Mootteeverapien of Veeranan Condoo of the Casbah Kullacadoo Taluk
7. Coelaaren Caroopen of said Village.
8 Meerajy Cumum Parechenna Pillay & Ditto.
9. Narrainna Pillay Ryot of the said Village.

Mutturaken

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

To Mootooraken Pillay Ryot of the said Village

7 November 1842. I Signed C. H. Fischer. Agent for the Rama
Parawutha Verthamy Sashiar
I Signed J. Blackburne
Print. Collector.

Case 5.

Translation of Reply to the 3d charge of receiving old Stones of a ruined Choultry for erecting a Chultrum and receiving Rupee 1 Monthly for the charges of the same from the Charity fund Shurmamagama. The Chultrum was erected by money received by Lottery prize in the 1st Instance afterwards a small Choultry was erected by Stones contracted for and purchased from the Tirno Cutter. I understand that my man Perinnum Chetty made application himself to the Manager and got orders for some old Stones of a ruined Choultry it was in the Jungle and even of no use to any body I did not apply myself nor use the Stones for my private purpose, nor I know any thing about it, tho I heard from my man one year after. but the Stones were made useful for old Choultries

3d. The allowance from the Charity fund is not for the Chultrum. when the Ramnad people were at Trichinopoly in 1814 Sungily Sewagar one of the Subscribers the prime Minister

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

of Ramnad subscribed for the erection of a Chultrum which I purposed to build at Cuttalay between Trichinopoly and Combaconum after the erection of the Chultrum Sungily Sewagar asked me how I intended to conduct the charges of the Chultrum. I told him that being a poor man I only give one Rupee for keeping a light he said that if our Sethoopatie should be put in possession of the Zemindary he would support the Chultrum from the Charity fund at Ramnad. The Decree being passed in his favor in Europe in 1829. that an order sent by Ramasawmy Sorttaputty to keep the promise of his Minister, while I was at Trichinopoly as a Nail Sheristadar 6 years prior to my arrival at this place. which allowance is drawn at Ramnad and my man at Trichinopoly pays it Monthly to the Chultrum which originally

4703 Fort St George 20th March 1843.

original order under the signature of the late Ramasawmy Setupaty Sheranth forwarded dated 8 August 1839 charity is continued to the Chuttrum as will appear on reference to the Collector of Tanjore but it was not given by this Manager after my employment in this District as Head Sheristadar in July 1838.

Madura — 27th November 1842

/Signed/ Shashagherry Row in Mahratta Characters.

Further answer on the 2d December 1842 I got up no subscriptions and did not receive a Cash from any one towards said Chuttrum I built it entirely at my own expence.

/Signed/ Shashagherry Row.

4704 Fort St George 20th March 1843

Document No 1

3d Case of Corruption

No 227.

Order to Samyjeven Ameen of the Cuddah Talloak. Enclosed I send you a Book, which has been made for contributions from the Meerasy Curnums of said Talloaks in Charity to the Chuttrum at Tirroopoolanyon the beach of the primeval sea all the Curnums who came here Summabundy have put their names to it, the rest, who did not come and are in the Villages, you must send for and get them to put down their names to such sums as each is willing to give, and return the Book within 8 days to the Presence.—

Ramnad 26th December 1835 } /Signed/ Sree Ramanadhasamy Sagayium.—

3d case of Corruption

No 63.

Document No 2.

To the Senai Peshgar Samyjeven Vignapanum - When the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar Shasherry row came here, he went and looked at the ruined stone Mundappum to the South of the palace where the Naganadha Sammoodrum Chuttrum was and he now writes and says that he proposes to apply the stones of it to the stone Mundappum that he is going to build at Tirroopoolany, accordingly I have given orders to Perumal Chetty, who superintends the Sheristadars work

to,

to pull down the said Mundaippum and to carry away the stones therein you must in no way interfere the needful stone, that will be required for the Zemindary Chuttrum, which is proposed to be built at the said primeval Coast can be brought by and bye from Vallenachium and other places.

Ramnad - - } 3d: April 1836. } /signed/ Sheramanad hasawmy Sagayem.

3d: Case of Corruption
No: 152.

Document No: 3

To the Semai Peshgar Samyrien Vignapanum I have received your Urzee of the 13th Instant No: 21 informing me that the Head Thavasthanum Peshgar had sent you word that according to the standing order to give him 4 Pagodas a month for the Chuttrum which the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar Shanbogherry row had built at Tirroopoolany on the Coast of the primeval sea, he had been paid up to November 1834 from the income of Fusly 43. that from December to may last 6 months pay is due, amounting to Rupees 84, and that he requests orders to the Rajahsingamangalum Tallook for paying him the same, either in grain at the price of the day or in money of the Current Fusly. and requesting orders for the same - you must

accordingly give paddy of the said Tallook and of the Current Fusly to the value of 84 Rupees at the selling price of the day. -

Ramnad. } 17th: June 1835. } /signed/ Sree Ramanadhasawmy Sagayem. -

3d: Case of Corruption
No: 19

Document No: 4

To the Semai Peshgar Samyrien Vignaparum. The Devasthanum Peshgar has reported by Urzee under date 4th: Instant that the sum of 56 Rupees is due from the Charity fund on account of the Eleemosynary grant to the Chuttrum built by the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar Shanbogherry row at Tiroopoolany on the primeval Coast, being from July to November 1834 five Months, that the paddy of the Salagramum Tallook for Fusly 43 had been carried to account at the rate of 1 Pon and 8 fanams for Cullum. and that the current price is Pon 1 and fanams 4. that therefore if received at that rate that a loss of 4 fanams per Cullum will be incurred. Moreover that the Paddy is injured & full of dirt and Chaff. Therefore you must issue a String Takeet to the Ameen of the aforesaid. Tallook to give good grain to the Value of 56 Rupees at the selling price at the day from the Funds of Fusly 43 and settle the matter in this let there be no delay

Ramnad - - } 7th: January 1835 } /signed/ Sree Ramanadhasawmy Sagayem. -

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Document No. 5

3. Case of Corruption.
No. 64

Copy of Diary, dated 3d April 1836.

To Perianna Chetty,

The Huzzoor Head Sheristadar

R. R. Shashgherry row having informed me that he wishes to make arrangements for pulling down the old ruined stone Mundapum to the South of the place where the Naganadha Sammoodrum Chuttrum was and for carrying away the stones for the new stone Mundapum he proposes to build at Tirroopoolany on the beach of the primeval Sea. accordingly you, who are appointed to superintend said work, are hereby authorised to pull down the said ruined Mundapum, and remove the stones and appropriate them; and order has been sent to the Semia Peshear to allow no prevention.

/signed/ G. D. Drury.

Document No. 6

3. Case of Corruption
Paket No. 158.

Diary 12th February 1836.

To the Tallook Ameena Samyvien-

Its now a long time since I sent you the order the 26th December 1835. to get the signatures of all the Meerassy Curnums of each Village of said Tallook to the agreement I had got up with the Curnums for such sums as each may be willing to

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

to contribute for charity to the Chuttrum at Tirroopoolany on the Sea Coast. and you have not yet done it. If any more sig= =natures are wanting, you must quick= =ly obtain them. and return the Book to the Presence.

Paketts to this effect have been issued to all other Tallooks.

/signed/ G. D. Drury.

Document No. 7.

3. Case of Corruption.

Translate of an order from M. R. R. Yeraneya Gerbayajee Raveecoola Vijaya Ragoonada Ramaswamy Suithoopathee Rajah, to the Seemia Peshkar Ramiengar.

For feeding Bramin Travellers every day in the Chuttrum at Coviladdy on the road from Trichinopoly to Combaconum which has been built by Sashagerry Row late subordinate Hoozoor Sheristadar in the Tillah of Madura 3 double fanams per diem or 9 Poons per Mensem being entered in the Tusdick (standard charges) to be given from the funds of the Dhurma Nagamai (Charity) of our Zemindary you will not only pay the above Amount monthly from the said funds but entering this order in the records deliver it to the above individual.-

Ramnad 6th August 1842

/signed/ Sree Ramanadaswamy Sagayum

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Document N°. 8.

3d Case of Corruption.

Extract of a Letter

From the Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Dated Madura 7th November 1839.

6

The insinuation that my Sheristadar has received in present a costly chaultry I look upon as contemptible as wilfully false and malicious. The Sheristadar denies the fact and I have no hesitation in affording him implicit credence.

He was a share holder of a Ticket in the Government Lottery for 1835 that turned up a Prize of a lac of Rupees. He says it was from part of the proceeds of this sum that he built the Chaultry; and I know that it was that time commenced and I also know that the interest from the remainder of the money vested in the public securities is periodically assigned for its support.

(signed) J. Blackburne

Principal Collector

3d Case of Corruption

N°. 1.

The Deposition as on oath of Sunthiwaloo Pillay of Ramnad the son of Sooberamaniah Pillay of the Vellala caste and

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Sheva persuasion, Sumpradhy in the Seriai Cutcherry aged 47 given before the Principal Collector of Madura this the 7th day of November 1842.

Question Information has been received that an eleemosynary grant from Zemindary funds has been made to the Chuttrum built by the Head Sheristadar at Tirrospoolany on the Coast, state if you know how long this grant has been going on and how much it is a month.

A. The sum paid to said Chuttrum is four Pagodas a month it is paid to the person in charge of it and has been so paid from November 1834 up to August 1842. The accounts of it are in the Cutcherry and I can shew them. I don't know the name of the person in charge of the Chuttrum orders are given for the grant on the Tallooks, the Ameens of which pay it and of such there are accounts never more or less. This grant was made by order of the late Manager Moottoo Chella Tavel.

I have seen the four Takeets marked from N°. 1 to 4. The first is a takeet to the Ameen of the Cusbah Tallook, the 2d 3d and 4th are takeets to Samyvien, who was the then Semoi Peshgar they were from the late Manager and he or

bear his signature and seal.

The 1st: N: takeet states that all the Meerassy Curnums who had come to the Summabundy had agreed and signed a Book which the late Manager had made for subscrip=tions for charity to the Chuttrum at Tirroopoo=lany on the primeval sea coast, and that Samyvien was to get the signatures of the rest and return the Book.

The 2: N: takeet informs the Semai Pashgar that permission had been given to pull down remove the stone Mun=dappum to the South of the Naganadha=Sammodrum Chuttrum to Tirroopoolany for the Chuttrum which the Head Sheristadar Shashgherry row was building there.

The 3: N: takeet orders the Semai Peshgar to pay the amount due to the Chuttrum built at Tirroopoolany by the aforesaid Shashagerry row, amounting to 84 Rupees from November 1834 to May 1835 being 6 months.

The 4 N: takeet orders the Semai Peshgar to pay from the Salagramum=Talook the amount due to the Chuttrum built at Tirroopoolany by the said Shashgherry Row the sum of 56 being the pay due from July to November.

Whether the money subscribed for

by the Curnums was paid or not I know not.

(Signed) Semai Sumprathy Sunthavalo Pillay.

Re. examination of Sendivalo Pillay on the 2: December 1842.

Q. By the Sheristadar Shashagerry row. Look at this Takeet N: 59 under date 6th: August 1829 By this Ramasawmy Saithoopathy gave an endow=ment of 4 Pagodas per mensem to the Covilady Chuttrum. Is it the same that is now given or other?

A. The late Manager stopped what was formerly given to the Covilady Chuttrum and ordered it to be transferred to the Chuttrum at Tirroopoolany on the Coast.

Q. Does the abovementioned order bear Rama=sawmy Saithoopathy's signature? and who wrote it?

A. Who wrote it I know not. The signature to it is Ramasawmy Saithoopathy's. The word "examined" at the bottom is in the hand writing of Samoogum Royasum Samavier.

Q. Is any thing more than the 4 Pagodas mentioned in said Takeet given. where was the Sheristadar Shashagerry row at the date the said Takeet was issued?

A. Nothing more was given than the 4 Pagodas mentioned in the said Takeet, nor was the Sheristadar in this at the time of its issue, he was

Sheristadar

sheristadar either at Combaconum or Trichinopoly I have heard.-

2 Did the said Shavagerry Row circulate subscription lists for the said Chuttrum, and did he levy and take any money for it.?

A. He neither maide subscription lists nor collected any money.-

(signed) Sendrasailoo Pillay
Seemay Sumpriety.

3d Case of Corruption
No. 2

The Deposition of on oath of Meenatchy Soonderum Pillay of Ramnad, the son of Samynadha Pillay of the Villalen Caste and Shiva persuasion Cash Keeper of the Ramnad Zemindary, aged 27 given before the Principal Collector of Madura this the 7th day of November 1842.

2 Information has been received that an eleemossynary grant has been made to the Chuttrum built by the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar Shashgerry row at Tirroopoolany on the Coast, from Zemindary funds, state what the amount of that grant is and how long it has been in existence.-

A. The grant was made from the time the Chuttrum was built - what the amount is and from what date it has been given I can tell by referring to the accounts - whether paid in money or grain it was done by order of the Manager. I debit it in the Treasury accounts according to

to the Remittance statements from the Tallooks when they come -

I have looked at the four Takeets from No. 1 to No. 4. The 1st No. is a takeet to the Cusbah Tallook ameen, the 2d 3d and 4th No. are Takeets to Samyiven formerly Semai Pashgar from the late Manager - they all bear his signature and Seal.-

The 1st No. Takeet states that all the Curnums who had come for the Jummabundy had made a Subscription Book, and signed their names to contribute to the Chuttrum built at Tirroopoolany in the Sea Coast, and orders the Ameen to get rest of the Curnums to sign it and to return it.-

The 2d No. Takeet informs the Semai Pashgar that permission had been given to pull down the stone Mundapum South of the Naganadha Sammoodrum Chuttrum and to remove the same to Tirroopoolany on the Coast, when the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar Shashgherry Row was building a Chuttrum.-

The 3d No. takeet orders the Semai Pashgar to pay to the said Sheristadar's Chuttrum at Tirroopoolany the sum of 94 Rupees, due to it from November 1834 to May 1835 being 6 months pay.-

The 4th No. Takeet orders grain to the value of 56 Rupees to be given to the said Chuttram from the Salagramum Tallook, for feeding people

people, being the amount due to it from July to November 1834.

/Signed/ Cash Keeper Soonderum Pillay.

Re. examination of Meenatchy — Soondrum Pillay 2d. December 1842.

Q By the Sheristadar Shashgerry row. Look at the Sakeet No. 59 under date 6th August 1829. By this Ramasawmy Saithoopathy gave an endowment of 4 Pagodas a month to the Covilady Chuttrum. Is it the same that is now given or other.

A. What was given to the Covilady Chuttrum from the Charity fund the same is now given to the Chuttrum at Tiroopoolany on the Coast.

Q Who wrote the said Sakeet and does it bear the signature of Ramasawmy Saithoopathy?

A. Who wrote the Sakeet I know not. Ramasawmy Saithoopathy's signature is to it and Samaviet has signed it as "examined."

Q Besides the 9 Poons stated in that Sakeet did the Manager give any thing else?

A. There is no other. It is the same.

Q Did the Sheristadar Shashgherry row make any subscription lists for the said Chuttrum and did he collect any money from any body for it?

A. I dont know. No.

Q Did the Sheristadar Shashgherry row either personally or by urzee, ask the late Manager to get up a subscription for it?

A. No.

/Signed/ Cash Keeper Meenatchy Soondrum Pillay.

3d. case of Corruption.

No. 3

The Deposition as an oath of Venctasawmy Naick the son of Allagherry Naick, of the Gentoo Caste a Rayasum in the Semai Cutcherry aged 48. given before the Principal Collector of Madura this the 7th day of November 1842.

Q Look at this Sakeed Copy marked No. 5 and state what you know of it?

A. This is Copy of a Sakeet which I have just made from the Copy in the Diary kept by me. that Copy is a true Copy of the Sakeet written by order of the Manager in his Cutcherry, from which I entered it, it is a Sakeet to the address of Periasma Chetty.

Q Look at this Sakeet Copy marked No. 6 and say what you know of it?

A. This is a Sakeet Copy which I just now made from the Copy in the Diary. that Copy was made from the original Sakeet written by the late Manager to the Cusbah Tallook Ameen. a circular Sakeet similar to this one was sent to all the 14 Tallooks belonging to the Ramnad Zemindary.

/Signed/ Rayasum Venctasawmy Naick.

Q Look at these Sakeets marked No. 7-2-3 and

and 4, respectively whose is the signature and seal to them.

A. I have looked at them - both the signature and seal to them all is the Manager's.

/Signed/ Rayasum Vencatasawmy Naick

/Signed/ G. D Drury.

3d Case No. 3.

Re-examination on the 2d December 1842.

2 By the Sheristadar Shasagherry Row. What was the particulars of the Sakeets that you copied, as you have stated above. What was the subject of said Sakeets?

A. I will look at the Sakeets and tell.

2 Look at the Sakeets marked No. 5 and 6 and state their particulars?

A. In the Saket No. 5. The late Manager authorises Perianna Setty of Rammad to knock down the ruined stone Chuttrum at Naganadha Samoodrum, and to carry off the stones thereof for the Mundappum that was about to be built at Sirroopoolany on the coast. The other Saket is to the Ameen of the Cusbah Talook, and states that it is many days since the Saket of the 26th December 1836. requiring him to get the signatures of all the Meerasy Curnums of said Talook for as much as each was willing to give to the list for the subscription to the Chuttrum at Sirroopoolany on the Coast,

and

and orders him quickly to get the lists filled up and sent in.

2 Is the Saket for the stones for said Chuttrum in the Sheristadar's name, or in Perianna Chetty's name? and is there any Urzee of the Sheristadar's in the Dupatrum, making the request as stated in the Saket.

No 5

A. The Saket is in the name of Perianna Chetty. whether there is or is not any Urzee from the Sheristadar. I know not.

2 Did the Sheristadar collect and take any money for the subscription lists, or do you know of the subscription and what is become of it?

A. I know of Sakeets having gone for it to the Talookes; but I know nothing about the Collection of the Money or other matters. The subscription lists were sent to the Talookes. it is 5 years and 9 months ago.

2. By Paroovathavurthany Nachiar's agent. you have said that it was 5 years and 9 months since the subscription lists were sent out. Do you mean it is so long since the Sakeets about it were issued or that the subscription lists are in circulation ever since that time?

A. I mean it is so long since the Sakeets were issued. I am Rayasum and don't know

know about the sending of the subscription lists.

/Signed/ Vencatasawmy Naick.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

3d Case of Corruption.
No 4

The Deposition as on oath of Soontheramien of Ramnad the son of Seethara=mien of the Braminy Caste and Shiva persua=sion, a Rayasum in the Semai Cutcherry aged 32, given before the Principal Collector of Madura this the 7th day of November 1842.

2 Look at this Copy of a Saheet marked No 5 who wrote it, and where was it copied from?

A. It is written by Rayasum Vencata=sawmy Naick from the Copy of a Saheet written by order of the late Manager and kept by him as Diary Keeper. It is to the address of Brianna Chetty.

2 Look at this Copy of a Saheet marked No 6 who wrote it and from whence?

A. It is written by said Rayasum Vencatasawmy Naick from the Copy of a Saheet written by order of Manager kept by him in virtue of his Office. This Saheet is to the address of the Cusbah Salook Ameen.

2 Look at the Saheets marked Nos 1 2 3 and 4 respectively and say whose are the signature and seal to them.

A. I have looked at them the signature to all 4 are the late Managers.

/Signed/ Semai Cutcherry Rayasum Soonderamien.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

Re-examination on the 2d December 1842.

2 By the Sheristadar. State whether the Saheet which was issued for the removal of the stones of the ruined Naganadha Samoodram Chuttrum was in the Sheristadar's name or in Brianna Chetty's name?

A. There is one Saheet for it in Brianna Chetty's name and another in Sawmyniers name who was the then Semai Peshkar. The Saheet in Brianna Chetty's name bears date the 3d April 1838 No 64 and authorises him to make arrangements and pull down the ruined stones Mundapsum near the Naganadha Samoodram Chuttrum and to carry off and apply the Stones thereof to the Chuttrum which the Sheristadar Shasagherry Row was newly building at Sirroopoolany on the Coast as re=quested by the said Sheristadar and concludes by mentioning that orders about it had been sent

sent to the Semai Pishkar that he may not interfere to prevent it. In the Paket to the Semai Pishkar it is stated, that the Sheristadar having inspected the said stone Mundapam and written and asked to pull it down and apply the stones of it to the Mundapam; which he was building at Sirroopoolany on the Coast, that orders had accordingly gone to Periana Chetty to pull it down, and carrying it away.

2. Does the said Paket mention the Sheristadars letter of such a date?

A. The date of the Sheristadar's letter is not so stated - nor have I seen such his letter. as I am a Rayasum in the Semai Cutcherry, I am not likely to know about the letters received in the Samooeum Cutcherry.

/Signed/ Semai Cutcherry Head Somdeeamier

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

3d Case of Corruption
No 5

The Deposition as on oath of Samavengar of Ramnad the son of Rengiengar of the Brammy Cast and Veshnoo persuasion - Rayasum in the Semai Cutcherry but doing duty in the Sammooeum Cutcherry. aged 45, given before the Principal Collector of Madura, this the 7th day of November 1842.

Look.

Look at these four Pakeets marked from 1 to 4, and state whose are the signatures and seal to the four; whose the hand writing and by whose order were they written.

A. I have looked all these four Pakeets bear the late Manager's signature and seal, and were written by his order, the 1st and 2nd Pakeets are in my hand writing. I dont know the hand writing of the 3d Pakeed the 4th is in the hand writing of Rayasum Venkatasawmy Naick.

/Signed/ Rayasum Samaviengar.

Re-examination on the 2d December 1842.

2. By the Sheristadar - The Pakeets which you have stated to have written, did you write by order of the late Manager, or by whose order?

A. I wrote them by desire of the then Head Sevabnavis Ramasawmy Naick who stated that the late Manager had ordered it.

2. Did the Sheristadar write to the Manager that it was wanted for the Sirroopoolany Chuttrum? Did you see such letter.

A. I did not see any such letter, nor would such come to me.

2. Was any subscription List made and circulated for the said Chuttrum and the money collected and taken by the Sheristadar? where was it collected

collected, and how much money &c.

A. Books and Sakeeds were sent to the Sakoks for a contribution and for obtaining the signatures of the Curiums to it. but I do not now remember whether this object was carried out nor did I hear of the collection of the Money.

2. By Parawuthavurthany Nachiar's agent. Look at the Sakeet marked No. 2. It is stated in it that the Sheristadar Shavagherry Row wrote to the late Manager that he wished to pull down the stone Mundapsum at Naganadha Sawoodrum and to carry it away to Sirroopoolangy where is that letter.

A. It is not with me.

2 By the Sheristadar. Did the Sheristadar write to the late Manager about getting up a subscription. and did you see such letter.

A. I saw no such letter nor would such be given to me.

/Signed/ Rayasum Samaviengar.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

3d Case of corruption.

No 6. The Deposition as an oath of Moottoowrapin son of Ambalum Lutchman Moocunthen of Vennancoody in the Ramnad Zemindary given this the 7th day of November 1842.

2. In whose time was the stone Mundapsum

Mundapsum to the west of the Naganatha Shattrum built. In what year and by whose order and by whom was it knocked down, and for what purpose were the materials taken.

A. There was a stone Mundapsum to the south west of the aforesaid Shattrum, close to it. It had a space in the centre of 10 cubits square on the four sides of which were a double raw of pillars supporting a stone roof, and there was a door way to the East of double rows of pillars also [illegible] who built this Mundapsum? I dont know I am 30 years of age. from the time I can remember it, it was always in good condition. It was about 6 years ago that the Davasthanum Sakoildar came there and said that the stones were required for a Shattrum, that the Sheristadar intended to built at Sirroopoolangy on the coast, and employed Bricklayers to pull it down and remove the stones to Sirroopoolangy. the stones were carried away in buffalow bandies. the removal of them took up two Months.

It is about two miles from Naganadha Shattrum

Chuttrum and Sirroopoolany Chuttrum - It was on the high road from Ramnad to Mootoopet and Ramiseram - It was not on the Coast.

There was no opposition made to the pulling down of the said Mundappum nor did any Proprietor appear. It was carried away entirely, foundation and all to Sirroopoolany for the Chuttrum building by the Dewangy Raiar. He is the man who exercise authority in the Madura Zillah - I dont Know his name.

/Signed/ Mootoovera pen

There were some Shrubs 4 or 5 growing on the North and west walls of said Chuttrum and topping over it.

Re-examination on the 3rd December 1842.

2 By the Sheristadar Shashagherry Row. Did the sheristadar ever at any time come and see the said Mundappum?

A I dont Know.

/Signed/ Mootoovera pen.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.

3rd Case of corruption.

No 7 The Deposition as on oath of Caroopen the son of Eroolapen Covilcaren of the Naganatha Chattrum, an Inhabitant of the Village of Vannancoody in the Zemindary of Ramnad given on the 7th November 1842.

2. In whose time was the Mundappum

Mundappum Chuttrum to the west of the Naganatha Chuttrum built - who pulled it down, & by whose order - and in what year - and why was it done.

A. There was a square built stone Mundappum, with double pillars on the four sides and a double pillared Doorway - who built it I know not - I am 30 years of age, as far as I can remember it, was always open and in good condition. It was about 6 years ago that the Davasthanum Taksildar Narnapien came there with Bricklayers from Sirroopoolany and said that the stones of said Mundappum were required for the Chuttrum going to be built by Shashagerry Row at Sirroopoolany on the Coast, and knocked it down and carried off the stones on buffaloe bandies. The knocking down and carrying away of the stones occupied about two months.

When the Mundappum was being taken away no one opposed it. It was contrary to our will and wishes because it was a charity Chuttrum - It was on the great road from Ramnad to Mootoopet and to Ramiseram - a part of the Naganatha Samoodrum Chuttrum is still in existence & it

it is about a Mile from the Sea to the North
The Naganatha Samoodrum Chuttrum is
3 Miles from the Sirroopoolasy Chuttrum.
It belongs to my Village and is in my
charge.

As the said Chuttrum was pull
down by the late Manager's orders, and we
lived under his authority we did not oppos
its destruction - The late Manager paid me
taking care of the Naganatha Samoodrum C
-trum the charge of the Chuttrum descended
me from my Forefathers. I know it was pull
down by the Manager's orders because the
Bricklayers told me so. I have heard from
old men of my Village that one Sambiah
authority in these parts in former times,
said Chuttrum.

/ Signed / Covil Caroopen.

Some plants grew on the walls to the
North and East and went over the Chuttrum
may have been ten.

/ Signed / Covil Caroopen

Re-examination on the 3d December

2 By the Sheristadar Shashagherry Row. Did Sa
frequent and sleep in said Chuttrum.

the Sheristadar ever come and see it.-

A. People did not sleep in the
said Chuttrum, whether the Sheristadar
came to see it or not I dont know, People
did not freiquent said Chuttrum.-

/signed/ Covil Caroopen.
/signed/ G. D. Drury.

3d Case of Corruption.

No 8. The Deposition as on oath of Perechean
-na Pillay the Meerasy Carnam of Kennan coondy
in the Cushah Kellacadoo Talook of the Ram
-nad Zemindary given this the 7th November 1843.-

2. In whose time was the stone
Mundapam, to the South west of the
Naganadha Chuttrum close to it, built- In
what year, by whose order and for what
purpose was it pulled down and where was
it taken to?

A. That Chuttrum was a square
stone building with double range of pillars,
and the door way to the East had a double
range of pillars also- It was built by Sam
-biah the Dowangee in the Navob's time, I
have heard- Sam 40 years old- ever since I can
remember, that Chuttrum has been open to
the Public- and six years ago it was in
sound good condition- about that time the

Tharmathanum

Thavusthanum Thasildar Narnapien came to our Village and said, that the Chuttrum was wanted for the Chuttrum, which the Head Heristadar Shashagherry Row was going to build at Tirroopoolany and he brought about 25 Bricklayers from Tirroopoolany, and was engaged 20 days in knocking it down, and altogether about 50 days in carrying it away to the Coast, which they did on Buffaloe Carts. Arrosoo and Seki and other plants &c grew on the said Chuttrum. It was close on the road leading to Mootoopet and Ramis=seram from Ramnad. The roots of the plants on the Chuttrum came through it.-

[Signed] Perecheanna Pillay.

Re-examination on the 5th December 1842.

2. By the Heristadar Shashagherry Row. Did people come and take up their quarters in said Chuttrum or was it deserted. Did the Heristadar come and see it.-

A. The said Chuttrum was deserted and no body occupied it. The Heristadar did not come and see it.-

[Signed] Perecheanna Pillay.

[Signed] G. D. Drury.-

The

3rd case of Corruption.

No. 9. The Deposition of Nariana Pillay a Pyot the son of Cooppana Pillay of the Village of Vannancoondy of the Keellacadoo Tallook in the Ramnad Zemindary given this the 7th day of November 1842.-

2. In whose time was the stone Mundappum close to the Naganadha Samoo=drum Chuttrum to the South of it built? In what year, by whose order, and who pulled it down, and for what purpose, and to what place was its materials removed.-

A. There was such a stone Mundappum Chuttrum. it was a square building with a space of 10 cubits square of this Country in the Centre. it had a double range of pillars also. I have heard that this Chuttrum was built in the Nabobs' time by the then Dewangee Lambeah. I am 57 years of age and from the time I can re=member the said Mundappum was open and till 6 years ago it was standing and in a good sound condition. It was about that time that the Dawusthanum Thasildar Narnapien came to said Village and brought Bricklayers with him and ordered them to pull it down, saying that it was wanted

for

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

for the Chuttrum which the Madura Huzzoor Sheristadar Shashgherry Row was going to build at Tirroopoolany on the Coast. There were 25 or 26 Bricklayers, and they were engaged 20 days in the work of destruction; and they were 50 or 55 days carrying away the stones to the said coast.

The people did not frequent this Chuttrum because the Tank in Front of it had become saltish. When it was being removed no one made any opposition to it. as it was in the high road from Tirroopoolany to Ramaderum, and as it was a Charity building its removal was not agreeable to the people. 8 or 10 Sheesham and Banian trees grew on said Chuttrum. It was looked after by the man in charge of the Chuttrum near it. Two thirds of the last mentioned Chuttrum too has been pulled down and removed.-

[signed] Narina Pillay.

[signed] G. D. Drury.

3rd case of Corruption.

No. 10. The Deposition of Mootooraken a considerable Ryot the son of Thoodevera Mookien of Vennan Coondy in the Keellacadoo Tallook of the Ramnad Zemindary given this the 9th day of November 1842.-

2. In whose time was the Stone Mundapum

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

Mundapum close to the Naganadha Chuttrum South of it, built, and in what year, by whose order, and who knocked it down? and where was it removed to?

A. Said Mundapum was a stone one, and square built all of stone the open square in the centre was about 10 Cubits of this Country. on all 4 sides it had double ranges of pillars. who built it I dont know. I am 30 years of age and as long as I can remember, it was in sound and good condition. It was about 6 years ago that the Davusthanum Shasildar came with Bricklayers from Tirroopoolany and pulled it down, saying that it was required for the Chuttrum which Shashgherry Row was going to build at Tirroopoolany on the Coast. They carried away the stones on Buffaloe bandies. They were about 2 Months in carrying it all to the Coast. Thats all I know. There were 8 or 10 Areeca plants on the top of said Mundapum. The said Chuttrum was on the high road to the South of it from Tirroopoolany to Ramiseerum and from Ramnad to Mootoopet.-

[signed] Mootooraken.

[signed] G. D. Drury.

Re-examination

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Re-examination on the 3rd December 1842

2. By the Sheristadar Shashagherry row. Who broke down and carried away the Naganadha Chattrum?-

A. The Rajah's people for the au-
-thung kurray Chuttrum.-

2. How long had the Stone Mum-
-dapum there been deserted, and did the Huzzoor Sheristadar ever come and see it.-

A. It has been deserted from the time I can remember. The Sheristadar never came and saw it, but the Thawathanum Thasildar once came and looked at it.-

/signed/ Moodooraken.

/signed/ G. D. Drury.-

3rd Case of Corruption.

No. 1. The examination by interrogatory of Ramaiengar the Goomastah of Nunde-
-cavern Dass Soucar residing at Seringum on the 9th December 1842.-

2. By the Sheristadar Shashgherry Row. How many Rupees do you pay monthly to Coopacharry the person in charge of the Covilady Chuttrum on account of said Chuttrum.-

/signed/ Shashgherry Row.-

I

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

A I pay the said Coopacharry 13 3/4 Rupees monthly on account of the Covilady Chuttrum.-

/signed/ Ramiengar Agent of Soucar Nunthiny Chora Doss.

/signed/ G. D. Drury.-

3rd case of Corruption.

No. 2. Examination by interrogatory of Coopachary the agent for the Chut-
-trum at Covilady in the Tir-
-roonathy Taluck of the Tanjore Zillah, belonging to Sashgherry Row.-

2. How many years have you been in charge of the said Chuttrum, and who had charge of it before you?

2. How many Rupees do you receive monthly from Ramiengar the

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

Re-examination on the 3rd December 1842

2. By the Sheristadar Shashagherry Row. Who broke down and carried away the Naganadha Chuttrum?-

A. The Rajah's people for the Au-=thung Kurray Chuttrum.-

2. How long had the Stone Mum-=dapum there been deserted, and did the Huzzoor Sheristadar ever come and see it.-

A. It has been deserted from the time I can remember. The Sheristadar never came and saw it, but the Thawathanum Thasildar once came and looked at it.-

/signed/ Moodooraken.

/signed/ G. D. Drury.-

3rd Case of Corruption.

No. 1. The examination by interrogatory of Ramaiengar the Goomastah of Nunde-=caseern Dofs Soucar residing at Seringam on the 9th December 1842.-

2. By the Sheristadar Shashgherry Row. How many Rupees do you pay monthly to Coopacharry the person in charge of the Covilady Chuttrum on account of said Chuttrum.-

/signed/ Shashgherry Row.-

I

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

A I pay the said Coopacharry 13 3/4 Rupees monthly on account of the Covilady Chuttrum.-

/signed/ Rameengar Agent of Soucar

Nunthiny chora Dofs.

/signed/ G. D. Drury.-

3rd case of Corruption.

No. 2. Examination by interrogatory of Coopachary the Agent for the Chut-=trum at Covilady in the Tir-=roonathy Talook of the Tanjore Zillah, belonging to Sashgherry Row.-

2. How many years have you been in charge of the said Chuttrum, and who had charge of it before you?

2. How many Rupees do you receive monthly from Rameengar the

the agent of the Soucar Sundy cashers
Dost at Seringum for the support of
said Chuttrum..

/Signed/ Sashagherry Row.

Madura
3rd December 1842
Returned 14th
/signed/ J. B.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.-

A.1 I have been in charge 8 years
since Chitteray of Munnatha before that
one Coopachary my Uncle had charge
of it for 18 years..

A.2 I go monthly and receive
the sum of 13 3/4 Rupees from the said
Ramiengar and give effect to the Charity.-

/Signed/ Coopachary.

Signature taken before me..

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop.

Copy furnished to Sashagherry Row on
the 15th December 1842.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne

/Signed/ G. D. Drury..

Summary-

No 82

Summary Suit before the Prin=
cipal Collector of Madura under the provisions
of Regulation IX of 1822. at the instance of
Paravathee Vurdhanee Nachyar guardian of
the Minor heirs of Ramnad against Sashagherry
Row Shirestadar in the Collectors Office.

The accused is charged with having
corruptly received from the late Manager
of Ramnad a Cut Stone Muntapum at
Nagoonadha Samoodrum and having appropriated
the stones of it to erection of a Chuttrum
raised in his own name at Thiroopalanee and
with having received at various times in aid
of its erection and towards its support money illega=
=ly levied from Curnums or taken from the Zemindary funds.

The Exhibits filed in behalf
of the Prosecution are

No 1. An order from the Manager
Moothoochella Thaver, to the Cusbah Amen
directing levy of Subscriptions to the Chuttrum
in question from such Curnums as had not
yet entered their names not having been present
at

at the annual Settlement. Dated 20th December 1835.

No. 2. Order from the Manager to the Senai Peishcar prohibiting any opposition to the removal of Stones from the Nagoonadha Samoodrum Mundhapum towards erection of the inn in question at Theroopalance dated 3d April 1836.

No. 3. Order from the same to the same to pay grain to the value of 8½ Rupees in liquidation of the 6 Months arrears from December 1834 to May 1835, agreeably to a standing order for disbursement of 4 Pagodas a Month on account of the said Chuttrum dated 17th June 1835.

No. 4. From the same to the same to pay grain to the Value of 56 Rupees in liquidation of 5 Months arrears of the eleemosynary grant to the Chuttrum in question Viz. from July to November 1834 dated 7th January 1835.

No. 5. Copy of diary order of the 3d April 1836, authorizing Perianá Chitty to make use of the Stones of a Munthapum at Nagoonadha

Nagoonadha Samoodrum to erection of the one in question at Theroopalance.

No. 6. Copy of diary order of the 12th February 1836 to the Cusbah Curnum reminding him of, and requiring prompt attention to the order of the 26th December (Exhibit No. 1).

No. 7. Is with exception of the account No. 9, the only Exhibit filed by the accused and is an order of the 6th August 1829 from the late Sailhoopully Ramasawmy to the Peishcar for payment of 9 Chuckrums or 11½ Rupees Monthly in support of a Chuttrum built by late Subheristadar of Madura, Sashagerry Row at Combaconum between Trichinopoly and Combaconum.

No. 8. Copy Extract of a letter filed by the Collector and written by him to the Board of Revenue on the 7th November 1839 utterly discrediting a charge made in a referred petition No. 1335 of 1839, that Sashagherry Row had ever received the Muntapum (of Nagoonada Samoodrum) and appropriated the Stones of it to erection of one built by himself at Theroopalance.

No.

No. 9 An account of expenditure of Rupees 795-15-8 dated 19th November 1835.

Witnesses for the Prosecution.

No. 1. Sendyaloo Pillay, Sumpretty in the Samai Cutcherry at Ramanad.

No. 2. Meenatchy Soondra Pillay Cashkeeper in ___ Dr.

No. 3. Vencatasawmy Naick Rayasum in Do.

No. 4. Soondrum Iyen ___ Do. ___ Do.

No. 5. Sawmy Vyengar ___ Do. ___ Do.

No. 6. Mootoo Veerapen, an amblyar of Vuniam = coondoo

No. 7. Caroopanen Canikas in Do.

No. 8. Porichinna Pillay, Curnum of Do.

No. 9. Narrain Pillay, Ryot of ___ Do.

No. 10. Mootoo Raken of ___ Do.

The accused cited only two witnesses No. 1 and 2 in his behalf, and to a fact not before the Collector, and contrasted himself with cross questioning those cited for the prosecutor.

The accused contends that the Chultrum as first raised was built with part of money he received as his share in a prize in

in the lottery, and that a small Choultry was subsequently built the stones being contracted for and purchased - but he admits that a year afterwards it came to his knowledge that his man, Parcana Chitty, had of himself made applica = tion to, and obtained from the Manager an order to appropriate stones from some ruined Chultrum in the jungle of no use to any one.

2ndly That the Monthly allowance has no con = nexion with the Chultrum at Throopalance but was freely given without solicitation by him by Ramasawmy Saithooputty for maintenance of another Chultrum he had built at Coviladdy - between Trichinopoly and Combaconum, in sup = port of which assertion he filed the Exhibit No. 5.

In a deposition he gave on the 2d December he renewed his denial of the charge, and that - he had never received any assistance towards the building of the Chultrum in question but had raised it solely at his own expense and produced the Exhibit No. 9 an account without signature dated the 19th November 1835 for Rupees 795-15-8 expended upon it.

The authenticity of the Exhibits 1, 2, 3. and 4, is established by all the five

first

first witnesses that of documents 5 and 6 by the 3d and 4th witnesses whilst the document No 7 taken for the defence is not disputed. It must be remembered, the 1st and 2d witnesses are now in Jail awaiting their trial in a charge of Forgery and falsification of accounts, yet there is no reason to doubt the correctness of their evidence in this matter - and the Collector is convinced from what now appears and what has already appeared in another Suit under this Regulation which was disposed on the 12th Instant, that their bias would be decidedly in favor of the accused.

It is found then that a gift of 9 Chuckrums (4 Rupees) a month was originally granted by Ramasawmy Sathoopathy for maintenance of the accuseds' Chuttrum at Coveladdy on the high road from Combaconum to Trichinopoly on the 6th August 1829; and that from November 1834 to August 1842 that same payment has been made for the accuseds' newly raised Chuttrum at Thirupalaney.

It is shewn that subscriptions were sought for by the late Manager from the Carnums of one Talook of the Zemindary, but it is not in evidence that any money was so collected, and therefore on this point no blame can attach to the accused.

It is shewn that the Stones of the Nagoonadha Samoodrum Mundhapum were removed under the orders and permission of the late Manager and appropriated by the Servant of the accused to the erection of his masters' Chuttrum at Theroopalanee and Exhibit No 1 shews this was done at the express desire of the Accused. The evidence of the 6th 7th 8th and 9th witnesses prove that tho' still in use it was in a certain degree of dilapidation. and the accused admits he learned a year after the appropriation that his Servant Paeana Chitty had, tho' without his knowledge at the time, appropriated the stones as shewn in the Charge. The Collector is of opinion from the Exhibit No 1, and from the annual visits of the accused to the spot that the stones were appropriated at his express desire - but the only Culpability he attaches

to

to the fact is its concealment, and denied knowledge - for on evidence of its dilapidated state he would himself have gladly furthered the wish for appropriation of the Stones to a new and more useful building. The duplicity of the accused's conduct in this matter will be apparent from the Exhibit No 8, Extract of a letter from the Collector to the Board of Revenue, written after receiving his verbal explanation and denial of an insinuation against him, and read and considered by him before the draft was copied, but this fact rests only on the Collector's assertion -

The Collector then is of opinion that the accused did make use of his official interest to obtain the Mundhapum of Ragoonadha Samoodrum at the time in a certain degree of dilapidation - but taking into consideration the object which would have obtained it for any other Individual on proper application, he attaches no culpability, further than duplicity to the act -

Tho'

Tho' it must be clearly inferred he was privy at least to the seeking of subscriptions towards erection of the Chattrum at Thiroopalanae, yet as there is not direct proof of such privity, and as the Collection of such subscriptions has not been attempted to be proved, the Collector acquits him of that part of the charge, deeming the receipt of 11 Rupees a month from July 1834 to August 1842 both inclusive, was acquired solely by his official rank and influence, and would not have been granted by the late Manager to another individual, a judgment is recorded against him to repay the sum of Rupees 1,372, being the exact amount received. The double is not awarded against him -

him because had the gift only

been continued to the Coniladdy

Chuttrum, it would not

have formed a fair ground

for charge.

Madura, ——— } /Signed/ J. Blackburne
17th December 1812 } Principal Collector

Tamil Copy furnished to accused
this same.

/Signed/ J. B.

A List of Documents since obtain-
-ed relating to the assistance rendered by his
people according to the orders of the late
Manager Mootto Chella Taver to the
Chuttrum built at Terroopoolany on the
Coast by the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar
Shashagherry Row.-

A letter dated 20th July (but
no year) from Connien, the Younger Bro-
-ther of the Thaveisthanum Peshkear &
Samajeen, at present a Gomastah in
the Salt Cutchery to Ramasawmy
Naick formerly Head Royasum of the
Zemindary asking for certain orders requir-
-ed to be sent to Terroopoolany regarding
the Chuttrum, which the Head Sheristadar
intended to build there.-

A Memorandum to
which the above has reference of the
orders required for forced labour
and peons, for tools and Materials
and all needful assistance of every
Kind towards the said Chuttrum
at Terroopoolany.-

2. Copy out of the Diary of
the order No. 138 which the late Manager
consequently

consequently sent to the Shavesthanum Peshkar Narnapien under date 25th July 1834.—

3. Copy out of the Diary of another order No. 139 on the same subject, and in the same date, from said late Manager to the Royasum Soobbien & Superintendent of the Ramiswerum Dawes-thanum.—

4. Copy out of the Diary of a third order No. 140 on the same subject and on the same date from said Manager to Deeraview the Dawesthanum Peshkar at Terroopoolany.—

5. An O Urzee under date the 27th July 1834 from the Shavesthanum Peshkar Narnapien to the late Manager from Terroopoolany. reporting his having arrived there and performed the initiative Ceremonies for the Chuttrum about to be built by the Head Sheris-tadar and sending the Manager a portion of the offerings.—

6. An O Urzee from Ditto to Ditto from Terroopoolany under date 27th July 1834 reporting the extent and boundaries

boundaries of the ground chosen for the Chuttrum by the Terroopoolany Shavesthanum Peshkar, and requesting orders for a puttah for the same.—

7. An O Urzee No. 3 from the Terroopoolany Shavesthanum Peshkar Veeraragava Iyengar to the said Manager from Terroopoolany under date 5th August 1834. reporting certain opposition which he had met with in obtaining materials for the said Chuttrum and asking for orders.—

8. An O Urzee No. 43 from the Shavesthanum Peshkar Narnapien to the said Manager written from Ninar Coiel under date 10th August 1834 on the same subject and upon the former's representation.—

9. Copy out of the Diary of an order from the Manager to the Shavesthanum Peshkar Narnapien No. 150 under date 13th August 1834. giving the lands required for topes for the Chuttrum free of rent and sending the Sawanum for the Chuttrum Vca. accompanied by Copy of said Shavanum.—

10. An O Urzee No. 6 from the Terroopoolany

Tenoopoolany Shanusthanum Peshkar Canar
ragavien to the said Manager dated 3.d
September 1834. reporting his having,
according to the samoocum orders of the 25.th
July, 1 giuen a peon and built a large Shed
and giuen 1000 Hands of forced Labour, and
400 Bandies loads of firewood for burning
Chunamb &.ca.., that he continued to
giuen 30 and 40 forced Hands a day. but
that the Superintendent Coonnien required
100 a day, or threatened to report to Head
Quarters: that to force Labour to such
an extent at this season of Agriculture
was creating great dissatisfaction and
disturbance.-

11. Copy out of the Diary
of Talook from the Semai Peshkar
to the Ameen of the Cusbah and other
Talleoks dated 10.th September 1834, order-
-ing him to give Shashaglerry Cauls peoples
permission freely to cut what wood they
wanted for the Chultrums and the jungles
of Keellacadoo.-

12. An O Urzee No. 41 from
the Shanusthanum Peshkar Namasien
to the Manager from Tenoopoolany

dated 21.st September 1834. reporting
his having giuen him pots from the
Niner Coil and elsewhere for the
Sheristadar's Chultrum &.ca.-

Signed/ G. F. Fischer. Agent for
Ramnad the Rance Parvavathoorunthamy Tachyar
25.th November 1842. Signed/ G. D.r Drury.

No.

List of Documents connected
with the 3.d Case of Corruption against
Row Head Sheristadar
Shashaglerry
of Madura.-

Charge of corruption preferred by
Mr. Fischer Agent for the Rance Purvatha
Coorthinee Tachyar 7.th November 1842.-

Answer of the Sheristadar Sha=
shaglerry Row 27.th November 1842.-

No.1 Order of the Manager to the Cusbah
Ameen 26.th December 1835.-

2 D.o D.o to the Semai Peshkar 3.d April 1836.

3 D.o D.o D.o D.o D.o 17.th June 1835

4. D.o D.o D.o D.o D.o 7.th January 1835

5. Copy of Diary Order of the 3.d April 1836.

6. D.o D.o D.o 12.th February 1836.

7. Order of the late Ramasawmy Saithoo=
-pathee 6.th August 1829.

Document

Fort S. George 20th March 1843.

No. 8. Document filed by the Collector 7th November 1839.

9 Unsigned Estimate in Tamil for Rupees 795. 15. 8. dated 19th November 1835, not translated.-

Witnesses for the Prosecution.

No 1. Deposition of Seemai Sumpreethy Sundywaloo pillay 7th November and 2d December 1842.-

2 Deposition of Cash Keeper Meenatchy Soondrum pillay 7th November and 2d December 1842.-

3 Deposition of Seemai Royasum Veneatasawmy Naik Do Do.-

4. Deposition of Seemai Royasum Soondrum Iyen.. Do Do.-

5. Deposition of Seemai Royasum Sawmyvyengar 7th November 1842.-

6. Deposition of Moottoo Veerapen Amblagar 7th Do.-

7 Deposition of Cavil Karen Carroopar new. Do

8. Deposition of Penchecanna pillay Curnum Do

9. Deposition of Narraina pillay a Ryot- Do.

10. Deposition of Moottoo Ratan a Ryot- Do

Witnesses.

Fort S. George 20th March 1843.

Witnesses on the part of the Accused.-

No 1. Deposition of Ramiengar Nundhi Caishvoora Doss Gomastee 9th December 1842.-

2. Coojaechary Agent in charge of the Coviladdy Chultrum 14th December 1842.-

Decision of the Principal Collector of Madura dated 17th December 1842.

List of appendix for Documents 12 in Tamil.

Madura 29th December 1842. } Signed G. D. Drury Commissioner in Charge.

Read letter from the Commissioner at Madura dated 29th December 1842 and entered in Consultation 20th March 1843.

1. Case of Bribery against Shashagherry Row Head Sheristadar of Madura.-

28-29

Proceedings- Reversing the decision passed by the Principal Collector of Madura Mr. Blackburne under Regulation IX of 1822 against Shashagherry Row late Head Sheristadar of that District and directing that

1st Shashagherry Row the Head Sheristadar of the Madura Collectorate is charged with receiving in July 1838 a bribe of 35,000 Rupees from Moottachella Tauon the late Manager of the Ramnad Zemindary for the assistance and influence exerted by him in bringing about a reconciliation between the late Manager and the late Guardian of the Minor Zemindar, and thus preventing

Fort St. George 20th March 1843.

that the fine of Rs. 70000 awarded by him be remitted. —

preventing the marriage being broken off between the Minor Zemindar the Grand daughter of the late Guardian and the son of the late Manager.—

2. From the Proceedings submitted with the case it appears that the differences existing between the late Guardian and the late Manager had formed the subject of a correspondence between the latter person and his confidential Vakeel Annasawmy Iyen, the Government Vakeel in the Madura Zillah Court, whom was employed to negociate with the Defendant Shashagherry Row, with a view to his bringing about a reconciliation between the parties. Two letters from the late Manager to Annasawmy Iyen, one dated 25th April the other 17th May 1838, being a part of the correspondence alluded, with the evidence of Annasawmy Iyen explanatory of other contents of Venkatasawmy Naick the amanuensis who wrote them of Soondaren Iyen who speaks to the Manager's signature and of three Witnesses to the delivery of the money form the grounds upon which the Principal Collector Mr. Blackburne has convicted the accused and sentenced him to pay a fine to Government of 70000 Rupees being double the amount of the bribe received.

Exhibits Nos. 1 & 2.

No. 1

No. 3

Nos. 4 & 5.

3. The Defendant appeals from the decision mainly on the ground that his Principal Witness Mootoochella Tavew was not allowed to be examined, that the two letters exhibits Nos. 1 and 2. are forgeries,

Fort St. George 20th March 1843

that Venkatasawmy Naick the principal Witness for the prosecution had prevaricated in his Evidence first, stating that the letter of the 17th May 1838, intimated that the 35000 Rupees were sent with the said letter, and afterwards that they would be sent, that the 3d Witness was a young boy in 1838, being now only 22, and incapable of attesting the late Manager's signature, and that the 3d Witnesses who have Certified to the payment of the bribes are persons of inferior rank and consideration; and that if so large a sum as 35000 Rupees was disbursed from the Rammad Treasury, there should be some Account forthcoming to shew it, which there is not.—

4. The Board of Revenue are clearly of opinion, that the Evidence of Mootachella Tavew the Defendants first Witness ought to have been received: he had been convicted of no offence, and was no way disqualified as a Witness.—

5. Had the objections made by the Defendant to the Documents 1 and 2 rested upon this bare assertion, the Board would not have thought it necessary to notice

Note. The Documents were obtained from the 2d Witness Anna Sawmy Syen by Poranatha Nair Thamy Natchyar Guardian and mother of the minor Zemindar and by her grieve Tolver agent Mr Fischer. + Ord. Collr. Letter 15th Decr 1842.

notice them, but coming as these Documents do from a polluted source, from a man who is now suspended from his situation of Government Vakeel, and whose conduct is designated by the Principal Collector as +abominably disgraceful and traitorous, it is very necessary to receive any thing which he may allege, or any documents which he may produce, with very great caution, and it is the more incumbent upon the Board to do so, because the whole value attaching to these Documents is derived from the explanation which begines of them, without which they are unintelligible and worthless. As explained and elucidated by Annasawmy Syen, they no doubt give a strong Coloring to the consistency of the whole charge, but it is in Evidence that this Witness is in violent hostility to the accused, on account of acts done by the Principal Collector in pulling down the Verandah of his house, on the supposed recommendation of the Head Sheristadar. It is further worthy of remark that in a correspondence of this nature, when the names are generally fictitious, and the object secrecy, the Manager should have adopted his own Seal and Signature.

These

6. These letters are said to have been written by direction and in the name of the late Manager by Venkata- =samy Naick. the Seal and signature of the Manager is certified by Soondara Syen a Lad of 22 Years of age. the Second Letter is stated to bear the Ramnad Post mark upon it, and it would be difficult to get over this, if the accused had not shown the facility with which Post Office stamps were obtained to blank covers, a fact that is not con- =tradicted, nor animadverted upon. But what is most remarkable in this trans- =action is, that there should be no record, no allusion in any of the ac- =counts of the Ramnad Cutcherry to the Payment of so large a sum as Rs. 35,000. None of the Accounts produced exhibit any entry of the Kind, and all the witnesses are silent upon this point, tho' three are brought to testify to the actual payment of the bribe. If the amount were really paid, it would, it is presumed, have been disbursed from the public funds of the Ramnad Treasury.

+1st Witness for the Prosecution No 5.

+2d Witness for Prosecution No 7.

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843

Treasury - and assuming that the amount was so paid from the Public Treasury it is scarcely possible to suppose, but that some entry of the transaction would have been made in the public Accounts not as a bribe, but some entry would have been necessary to account for the abstraction of so much public money. Had the public Accounts been produced on the part of the prosecution, and shewn an unauthorized payment of 35,000 Rupees, there would have been no longer room for doubt, but no such accounts have been brought forward, no such entry is to be found, and the inference therefore is, that no such payment was in truth made, and that the parties were apprehensive of making any alteration in the public Cash Accounts, for fear of deranging the balance Sheet, and thus leading to a discovery.

9. But supposing for a moment that the amount had been paid from the late Manager's private Funds; in Money transactions of the most secret and confidential nature, it is well known, that Natives keep an accurate and detailed account.

Fort S.t George 20.th March 1843

account - and as the Accounts and Papers of the late Manager were suddenly seized, it might be expected that his private Cash Book would have been forthcoming, but no mention of an entry of the Kind is alluded to, and the Board are driven to the conclusion that, from the non-production of public or private Accounts, No accounts having any entry of the Kind were to be found, and that hence no payment of the Kind ever took place. they are supported in this opinion by the evidence of the 17.th and 18.th Witnesses for the prosecution, Nail Sheristadar Tremel Row, and Mooteroola Pillay, who state that there was no entry of the Kind in the accounts - so large a sum, and especially all said to have been paid in Gold, could not have escaped from the Treasury without notice, and the absence of all notice must be taken as evidence that no payment of the Kind was made.-

8. Whilst on this part of the subject, the Board would advert particularly to the evidence of the 4.th, 5.th and 6.th Witnesses who detail almost in the same words the particulars under which the 35000 Rs. were

4.th Adapacaren Gunlacsawmy.
5.th Kuccanah Pillay Sawmy
6.th Mahpillay Sawmy Thauer.

were paid. It will not be credited by any one professed of any Knowledge of Native Character, that a display of the kind here alleged to have been made could have taken place. Transactions of this nature are usually conducted in the most secret and confidential manner, and the unreserved acknowledgment of the Managers obligations to the Head Sheristadar, and the way in which he intended to recompense him are as gratuitous as they are improbable. if the Manager had no apprehension for his own situation, he must have well known the risk to which he exposed his friend by such a disclosure: the thing passes all credit. Again it is remarkable that a lad now only 22, and who therefore in 1838 could only have been 17, should have been the only person brought forward to identify the Signature of the late Manager; it is to be regretted that some more responsible person was not produced, especially as the Accused denies the signature. —

9. Of the three Witnesses called to the payment of the Money the 1.st is a menial, a Bottle bearer and Spittoon holder

No.4 Mootoo Vauvoy

holder, upon a salary of 2/ Silver Fanams a Month. The second is a Hircarah upon a salary of 4 Rupees a month, who had been discharged by the late Manager, & and subsequently restored; the third a person, a relation of the late Manager, whose respectability the Board would not question, but who was in direct opposition to the late Manager, in consequence of some reduction in his allowance, & and whose evidence therefore need to be received with caution. The evidence of these three Witnesses goes to prove the fact, not only that the late Manager expressed before them the extent of his obligation to the Head Sheristadar, but his intention to reward him, of his immediately proceeding in Company with them to ascertain, that the amount of reward was all prepared; of the appearance of the Head Sheristadar before them acknowledging in their presence his readiness to receive and accept the offered reward of the counting out the Money in presence of the accused, and of its subsequent conveyance and actual deposit in the Sheristadar's Palanqueen. This is the amount of the testimony

4 to 6.

of

of the three Witnesses, and proves so much more than is either probable or required, that the Board, coupling it with the other circumstances of the case, cannot give credit to it.—

10. The Board may here remark that the Head Sheristadar's 5 bearers affirm positively that no bags of money were put into his Palanqueen, and the testimony of these five individuals, would seem on this occasion, altho' it only goes to prove a Negative, to be as worthy of credit as that of the three for the prosecution, since it may be assumed that nothing could have been put into the Palanqueen without their knowledge.—

N.B. Ramoosawmy
9. Mootoosawmy
10 Peria Carpen.
11. Chinna Carpen.
12 Cothundy.

11. The evidence of the 20th Witness for the defence shows that, the balance of Cash in the Rannad Treasury to the end of July was only 96385 that there were only a few Rupees in Gold, and that had any amount been paid away, he must have known it, but it is not necessary to follow the Witness thro' the details of his deposition, it is sufficient here to observe, what indeed has already been stated, that the Rannad accounts public and private, are silent upon the transaction.—

N.B. Proceedings

Aw

12. On a review of all the circumstances of the case, the absence of any adequate motive for paying so large a bribe, the polluted source from which the Documents Nos. 1 and 2 (the main evidence against the accused) were received, the personal animosity of Annasawmy Iyer to the Head Sheristadar, the improbability of the way in which the money was said to have been paid, the absence of all entry in the accounts of the payment, the previous high Character for integrity borne by the Sheristadar, the acknowledged inferiority in this respect of his accuser, lead the Board to the conclusion that the evidence against Shashagherry Row is not such as to warrant his conviction of the offence laid to his charge, and they do therefore reverse the decision passed by the Principal Collector Mr. Blackburne, and direct that the fine of Rupees 50000 awarded by him be remitted, and that as far as this case is concerned, Shashagherry Row be released from restraint.—

2d Witness.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.—

To.

To

The Acting Collector in the
Southern Division of
Arcot.

To the Acct. Genl. in the
Civil Dept. of Arcot.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to forward to you for enquiry and report
the accompanying Petition from Mons.r Jame
complaining of excessive duty levied on 2 Casks of
Claret passing from Pondicherry to Madras.—

(30)

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th March, 1843.

Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

To

The Accountant General
Civil Auditor
and
Sub Treasurer.
Committee for passing Contingent Charges.

To the Accountant
General
Civil Auditor
and
Sub Treasurer.
Committee for
passing Contin-
gent Charges.

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders
of Government of the 1st June 1841 published
in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of
that month, I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of
Contingent Charges incurred in this Office for the
Month of February last, amounting to Rupees 27.
1 - - and to request that they may be passed
and returned.—

(31)

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th March, 1843.

Signed/ C. C. Lovell.
Acting Secretary.

Received

Received the Undermen-
-tioned Miscellaneous Letters and State-
-ments.—

From the Accountant General. Indent on the
Commissary General for the Articles requir-
-ed for the use of the General Department
for the Month of March 1843.—

(32)

Ordered that the
Order thereon.
Indent be passed and returned.—

(32-33)

From the Secretary to the
Government Savings' Banks. Indent on the
Secretary to the Clothing Board for half
yard of Superfine Blue Cloth for the
use of the Peons belts.—

(34)

Ordered that the
Order thereon.
Indent be passed and returned.—

(34-35)

From the Acting Principal Collector
of Cuddapah. Return of Persons
charged with Offences under Regulation
IX of 1822, for the Month of February
last.—

(36)

From the Acting Principal Collector
of Cuddapah. Return of Persons
convicted and in confinement under
Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of
February

(37)

February last.-

From the Collector of Guntoor. Return of Persons
38 charged with offences under Regulation IX of
1822 for the Month of February last.-

From the Principal Collector of Nellore. Statement
39 shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and
ready for exportation on account of the Chitta-
-gong Indent of 1842/43, at the Ports up to
8th March 1843.-

" Ward

" Wallace Asst.

" A. Macleod

Compd. [illegible]

Diary

From A. Mc Sutherland Esqr. } Received letter requesting
Junior Assistant to the Coll.r of Ganjam.
1 an extension for the period of one Month under
Section V and VI of the absentee Rules of the
leave on Medical Certificate granted to him
on the 3d of January last.-

Ordered to be submitted
Order thereon.-
1-2 thro' the channel of the Civil Auditor for the
favorable consideration of Government.

At a Meeting Present.

John Dent Esqr. Senior Member
and
Thurday ---- } W. Elliot Esqr. Third Member
23d March 1843 } N. W. Kindersley Esqr. Second Member
absent on Special duty

Minutes of the last Meeting
read and approved.

Read again Extract Minutes
of Consultation under date 22d July 1842 commu-
-nicating observations and orders on Board's
Proceedings relative to the Settlement of Salem
for Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41.

3 In Cons.n 18th Augt 1842

Proceedings thereon Para.1. In pursuance of the inten
-tion expressed in para 6 of the previous pro-
-ceedings of this day's date the Board will
now proceed to record the following observa-
-tions suggested by the perusal of the Extract
Minutes

Recording observations with reference to Extract from the Minutes of Cons.n dated 22d July 1842 on certain points connected with the Salt in Salem.-

Minutes Consultation above recorded.

2 The points to which the Board's attention are particularly called by Government are

Para 6 & 11 1st. the general State of the Land Tax in Salem and particularly of that portion of it distinguished as Mr. Leod's Division.

2. the alleged tendency of the present Cowle Namah to withdraw labor and capital from the best and higher assessed lands to those of an inferior description and.

Para 11 & 14. 3d. The effect of unrestricted freedom of Cultivation, in connection with the preceding to promote the same result.

3.I The first topic has before formed the subject of a lengthened correspondence partly alluded to in para 8 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation, the whole of which is noted in the Margin, and the leading features of which it is necessary briefly to recapitulate.

To Coll. 28 Oct. 1812.
From Coll. 14th December 1813 Para 6 in Cons. 3d January 1814.
From Coll. 3d March 1814 in Cons. 29th March.
Bd's Pro. 28th July "
From Coll. 22d Nov. in Cons. 20th March 1815 and 10th Feby 1816.
in Cons. 15th Nov. "
To Coll. 25th Jany 1816.
From Coll. 20th May and 12th Sept. 1817 in Cons. 29th May and in Cons. 22d Sept. 1817.
Bd's Pro. 29 Dec. 1817.
Bd's " 5th June 1818.
Accts 153 to 157.
To Coll. 16th April 1818.
Paras 2 & 13.
From Coll. 25th Nov. 1819 Paras 3 and 13 & 23 in Cons. 29th July
Bd's Pro. 1st April 1820.
Paras 3 & 13.
From Coll. 2d Sept. 1820-42 & 45 in Cons. 12th Dec. "
Bd's Pro. 26 Jany 1821-14 & 16.
From Coll. 10th March in Cons. 15th " "
To Coll. 15th Sept. "
From Coll. 22d May "
Para 54 in Cons. 4th June "
From Coll. 11th

4 The attention of the Board having been attracted in the year 1842, by the greater facility with which the permanent assessment was collected from the Mootadars of Baramahl compared with those of Salem, and by a report that the latter had been obliged to reduce the assessment to their ryots. information

Bd's Pro. 1st Feby 1826 para 29-30.
From Govt 24th Feby 1826.
Para 6 in Cons 2d March "

information was required from the Collector. Mr. Hargrave reported in explanation that Lieutenant Mr. Leod the Officer who surveyed the Salem Division had assumed a higher scale of assessment than those taken by Lts. Munro and Graham in the Baramahl, that the proportion of waste and the density of the population were greater in the latter than in the former, and consequently more favorable to improvements. and that reductions had been made by the Proprietors of Salem, and also tho in a less degree by those of Baramahl. But he added that extraordinary as it might appear the Salem Estates had sold for higher prices than those of the other divisions. It seems to have been concluded however, that the terms of the Salem Villages had been fixed from 10 to 15 per cent too high, and after some farther discussion the Collector received authority to effect a reduction to that extent, and in particular cases, even to that of 25 or 30 per cent. On the 15th November 1819 Mr. Hargrave reported that he had carried these orders into effect, and that the largest

Bd's Pro. 29th Dec. 1817. (giving a revenue up to that time) see Para 7
To Coll. 16th April 1818. Para 2 and 5.

largest concessions had been made to the lands in the Nanceul and Parmutty Talooks situated on the Cavery Channel; these proceedings on examination by the Board were not found free from objection, and shortly afterwards Mr. Hargrave having been removed from his office his successor reported as follows. "The principles on which the reductions were made, I am unable to discover, that they were at all necessary, I am quite unprepared to state; that many of the reductions which have taken place are unnecessary, I am able to prove; that in many instances the amount remitted was paid to the public servants is beyond a doubt, that in some cases reduction was pressed upon the inhabitants is likewise true that no increase of cultivation has taken place owing to the reductions is also susceptible of proof and in fact the whole appear to have been the work of the late Collectors Head Shirastadar Narsaih who was dismissed for gross malversation Mr. Lockburn subsequently observes from the concurrent testimony of all the public servants I am

Rec.d 17th April 1820.

I am satisfied that 2/3 of the reductions are not only unnecessary but an actual imposition" and again "the Cultivators themselves confess that the cheapest or rather the most productive lands in the district have been reduced and many ryots have agreed to cultivate their lands at the rate fixed by Colonel Mc. Leod" In his next annual report he states that the sum total of the remissions granted by his predecessor was Rupees 1,28,208-13-8. that he had re-imposed Rupees 41,215-5-6 leaving 86,993-8-2 that of the latter 77,244-12-6 being on lands subsequently left waste had not been considered to require immediate investigation, but that the remainder 89,748-11-4 on lands watered by the Cavery Channel in Nanceul and Paramutty talooks appeared to be more needed and after farther investigation would be submitted for confirmation. The result of more minute enquiry however showed that the defect was not in the original amount of the assessment but in the

Annual Report for Fasly 1229 of 3d. August 1820.

For 1230 Fasly dated 22d. May 1821.

Note
It does not seem necessary to notice the measures of reduction proposed in the subsequent part of the Report which refer to a settlement that shall make all the land saleable and do away with the necessity of remissions and prevent the recurrence of balances.

the subsequent neglect of the sources of ir-
-rigation and that on putting these into
a state of repair "the lands had been
again cultivated" and not a single com-
-plaint was made respecting high
assessments" and with regard to occasion-
-al inequalities in the other southern
Talooks he adds that it would be very
objectionable to attempt their correction
by partial reductions "particularly as
the survey assessment throughout the
whole district is so easy as to make
any general decrease quite unnecessary"+
The discussion closed with the
following observations of the Board
"The facility with which the
land rent is realized is also
particularly noticed by Mr. Cockburn
and the Board are glad to find
that farther inquiry and examination
has satisfied that Gentleman that the
existing rates of assessment in his
District do not require the alterations
he at one time supposed. In the
lands of the Namcul and Parnatty
Talooks attention to the repairs of the
channels for irrigation seems alone
to have been wanting to prove the

+ Report on Fusly 1234 of 6th July 1825 Para 65 & 67.

fairness of the original assessment. The lands
waste elsewhere are described as being generally
the inferior sorts hardly susceptible of
culture and where this is not the case—
as having been assessed highly in conse-
-quence of their great productive powers,
but at the same time sufficiently low to
induce their occupation under the terms
of Cowle sanctioned by the Board."—
5 Such is the history of the
previous discussions on this subject and
other arguments are not wanting in support
of the conclusion there recorded. If the
over assessment of MacLeod's Division
was so remarkable as assumed in Para 8
of the Extract Minutes of Consultation under
consideration the extent of Cowle land ought
as argued in para 10 to exceed that
of the other divisions in proportion such
however is not the case.—

see Bd Pro- 28th Oct. 1841.

	Fully assessed Cowle land acres.	Cowle land acres	Per centage acres
MacLeod's Division	121,546	17,856	14·69
Munro's Do.	266072	38214	14·36
Graham's Do.	43,438	7,611	17·50
Read's Do.	33,143	1,909	5·75

6 Again it must be borne in
mind that in Salem the Survey rates were
imposed only on such lands as happened at
the time to be under Cultivation leaving the
waste to be assessed afterwards at the average
rate of the Village. It may be fairly
assumed that such a rate would be gene-
-rally light the effect of which would
be to throw the higher assessed lands out of
Cultivation. But the subjoined state-
-ment shows that this has not hap-
-pened.—

Total

4773

Fort St. George

23d March 1843

	Total Extent of Ayent land	Deduct Anandy Buniar	Remain Saigul Land	Particulars of Saigul lands. Cultivation of fusly 1248	average per acre	waste for fusly 1248	Average per acre	Percentage Between Saigul and waste
	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Rs a o	Acres	Rs a o	
Maccadoo. Assessed at the time of survey			194998	105943	1 4 11	89054	1 15 645-6718	
Not assessed — Do — Do —			101067	27146	1 7 6	73920	1 9 10 73-1406	
	374688	78623	296065	133089	1 13 5	162974	1 12 11 55-0468	
Munro. Assessed at the time of survey			353991	217327	1 6 6	136664	1 7 4 38-6093	
Not assessed — Do — Do —			150327	57474	1 1 6	92852	1 5 1 61-7656	
	803365	299047	504318	274801	1 5 5	229516	1 6 3 45-5156	
Gradunu. Assessed at the time of survey			61603	35489	" 15 6	26114	1 " 5 4 2-3906	
Not assessed Do Do			14142	9920	" 12 6	4222	" 14 1 29-8593	
	100284	24539	75745	45409	" 14 10	30336	1 " 1 40-0468	
W.m Read. Assessed at the time of survey			37364	26944	1 4 5	10419	1 8 11 27-8906	
Not assessed Do Do			10812	5486	1 2 11	5325	1 5 7 49-25	
	51857	3681	48176	32411	1 4 3	15745	1 7 10 32-6718	

on

On the contrary looking at the whole extent of waste in the Settlement account of Fusly $\frac{1248}{A.D.\ 1838/9}$ the largest proportion will be found to consist of lands taken up after the Survey—and it is very reasonable that this argument is most favorable to Mr. Lords Division, a larger proportion of the originally surveyed lands having been retained under cultivation in it than in the rest of the District. It may be noted as a peculiarity in Salem leading to the same conclusion that dry land left unsown is not entitled to remission and it would appear from an allusion in the Board's Proceedings of the 31st January 1831 para 16. that a similar rule had been at one time adopted with regard to Nunjahs also.

Hookumnamah Rule 3. From Princ. Coll. 4th June 1833 Para 10 in Coms 17th " " "

7

Another test is the ease with which the Revenue is collected. This is particularly noticed in the Extract Minutes Consultation 24th February 1826, Para 9 and the Board have only to refer to their Proceedings of this days date on the settlement of Fusly 1251, to show that the same facility of recovery continues

unabated.

8. With regard to inferences drawn from the average assessment of different localities the Board would observe that when considered apart from the physical properties of the soil they are apt to lead to erroneous conclusions. Thus the Baramahal consists chiefly of dry Cultivation on the table land above the Ghats—the Salem Villages on the other hand are situated in the rich alluvial Valley of the Cavery "the lands of which" says Mr. Hargrave "are the best watered in the Collectorate capable of giving two and sometimes three Crops in the year" So also as regards the differences between Salem and South Arcot the two districts have nothing in Common being separated for the most part by the range of Ghats—and a large tract of the wildest jungle. This alone opposes an obstacle to emigration besides which the dry lands of South Arcot are much more valuable and possess greater facilities for obtaining water—

From Coll.r of Salem 15th Nov.r 1819 Para 17 Extract Minutes Coms 22d July 1842 Para 7.

9. It is not however by any

Pros: 11th. Augt. 1828. Paras 13 and 45-46.

any means clear that a considerable influx of new settlers has not been drawn into Salem by the existing system. When the favorable Cowles for merely granted in Coimbatore attracted thither many ryots from the neighbouring districts, it was deemed necessary to require the Collectors of the latter to furnish returns of emigration. This information has always been afforded in the Salem settlement Rept. But in that for $\frac{1245}{1335\text{-}6}$ Mr. Orr states that he "found the returns could not be relied on" and on enquiry that the number, instead of being in favor of those who had emigrated from the district was decidedly in favor of those who had entered it" The numbers were not recorded by the Curnums because the new comers were generally poor, and were placed in occupation of lands taken up in the names of the Village officers and Head Inhabitants. But by careful enquiry Mr. Orr ascertained the emigration of upwards of 500 new ryots into the Talook of Denkenacottah and of 200 into that

From Pt. Coll. 10th Novr. 1836 Para 15th in Cons. 5th Decr.

of Ossoor in one year and in a subsequent place he adds "I have reason to believe that the greater portion of the above mentioned extent of land" (Acres 34,996—2—13) "had been newly taken up on Cowle by the principal resident Cultivators for the new Settlers"

Para 19.

The Board may here incidentally remark that the above facts help to account for the larger number of puttahs granted in Salem as noticed in para 12 of Extract Minutes of Consultation. But it does not follow that small holdings always indicate poverty. In Fusly $\frac{1246}{1836/37}$ when the number was reduced to 124648. the Principal Collector (Mr. Orr) explained "that there was a strong desire among the poorer classes to hold lands in their own names, partly arising from a natural wish of independence, partly with the object of escaping the Moturpha Tax. It ought not to be supposed that the small holdings of the ryots alluded to are sufficient for

Number of Puttahs in Fusly 1251.
In Salem 126620.
Coimbatore 124284.
South Arcot 115008.
Cuddapah 123293.
Bellary 91554.

From Pt. Coll. 7th Novr. 1837 Para 9. in Cons. 18 Decr.

10

for their subsistence, they have all some em-
=ployment or profession besides to trust to" &c
and he adds that many take up small
portions of land in good years, and leave
them in bad, reverting to the condition of
labourers.

11. II. With regard to the second
subject of injury, it might be sufficient
to observe that the Principal Collector
in his report on the settlement of Fusly
1251 / 1841/2 admits that the provisions of the
existing Hookumnamah are too favorable,
and proposes a remedy of a far more
arbitrary and stringent nature than any
that were contemplated by the Board
in their former observations on the
subject.-

12. But the injurious working
of the Cowle cultivation in Salem
is no new discovery. The subject will
be found to have been particularly
adverted to in the correspondence
noted in the margin as requiring
the constant and vigilant attention
of the Board.-

13. The late Principal Col-
=lector Mr. Orr, tho' at first disposed
to believe that the unusually large

From Pt. Coll. 25th Oct. 1842 in Cons 5th Jany 1843 Para 93. also From Pt. Coll. 18th Nov. in Cons 2d Dec. 1839. Paras 17-18-26-27.

Board's Proc. 6th Feby 1838 Para 14
15th Feby 1827 Paras 8 and 10 Ryan
10th April 1828 Para 11.
17th March 1831 Para 33.
11th May 1837 Para 30.

11th May 1837 Para 30.

From Coll. 10th Nov. 1836 Settlement of Fusly 1245 Para 19th in Cons 5th Dec. But see from Coll. 25th Aug. 1832 P 29 in Cons 13th Sept.

From Coll. 15th Nov. 1837 in Cons 19th Dec. On Settlement of Fusly 1246 Para 20.

extent of waste taken up (Acres
34,496-2-13) in one season was
strictly in accordance with rule, and
"would not be prejudicial to the re-
=gularly assessed land." Saw reason
to change his opinion most materi-
=ally the following year. "I have long
"been doubtful" he observes "of the ex-
=pediency of granting Cowles at all
except for land actually covered
with Jungle, the removal of which
must necessarily entail much labor.
Cowles however are at present granted
indiscriminately whether for ground
covered with Jungle or not" and again
in framing the settlement instances
were discovered of lands held on
Cowle in one Village by Ryots who
had relinquished their Puttah lands
in another as well as in the same
Village" "The extent of land so taken was
Acres 5,235-23-8 the Cowle assessment on
which was Rs 2,278-1-11 and the addition
imposed as fine, to make up the full
assessment agreeably to the rules of
the Cowle Hamah was Rupees 4,853-6-5."

At this period therefore
there can be no doubt, that much mischief

had been done, and it may be inferred that the evil had reached its greatest extent. In Fusly 1245 the Amount of Cowle cultivation was 136184, and but for the reiterated animadversions of the Board it would doubtless have gone on increasing. In Fusly 1250 it was reduced to 97009 which though, as observed in Para 14 Extract Minutes of Consultation, only about 12 per Cent of the whole cultivation - far exceeds that of any other district, being three times greater than the Amount in Coimbatore, where the extensive grant of unauthorized Cowles by Mr. Sullivan occasioned an undue excess of this favorable tenure, in like manner as in Salem. It would seem that the magnitude of the abuse had formerly given rise to peculiar local rules, of which nature may have been that one "obliging ryots who have occupied land on Cowle to retain it for 2 years after the expiration of the Cowle paying the full assessment for it whether cultivated or not" on the practice being brought to the notice of the Board, they observed "it is possible there may have

P. Con. 11th May 1837.
" - 29th March 1838.
4th April 1839 Para 16.
" - 1st Feb 1841 Para 4 & 20
" - 8th Feb 1841
" - 5th May 1842.

Amount of Cowle Land in Fusly 1250.
In Salem 97009
Coimbatore 32672
S. Arcot - 15
N. Arcot - 85
Madura - 3
Chingleput 7786
Nellore 7490
Bellary 6719.
Cuddapah 127.

Regn 17th March 1831 Para 35

have been some special local reason for the deviation (from the general rule) brought to "notice" and before they can sanction its discontinuance they direct the Collector to make careful inquiry regarding its origin. 15.

From Coll. 15th March 1837. Para 20 vide supra

opinions underwent the change above mentioned, it does not appear that he was aware of the full extent of the mischief. He believed that the best and highest assessed lands were permanently occupied and that it was only the inferior soils that were largely taken on Cowle, after having lain fallow, just long enough to make their reoccupation more valuable. but the very reverse is the case - and Mr. Lyleig has now fully admitted it. "In fact" he says in his last Jumnabundy Report, "I believe that the demand for Cowle is generally in the higher assessed land" and further on, he gives the true explanation of the cause, this evil then, if it be an evil, is occasioned by the unrestricted freedom of

From P. Coll. 25th October 1842 Para 94.

choice

choice in the Lands for cultivation — which has been enjoyed by the Salem ryots up to this time"

16. The Board have already noticed this particular statement of the Principal Collector in another place, and without further adverting to it at present, they are naturally brought to the general question — which forms the third principal subject to which their attention is called by Government —

Pro. on Settlement of F. 1251 Paras 9- & 10.

17. III. The expression "Freedom of cultivation" is apt to mislead, re- =ferring as it does not to a general practice, but to a particular rule, — by which a Farmer in taking or — giving up land is not allowed to pick out particular spots as in- =terest or fancy may dictate, but is required to include such an extent of Contiguous land as he may be able and willing to manage, or as may be conveniently occupied by another — The fields in a — Ryotwar district being generally small and each one bearing a distinct fixed assessment, a strong tempta- =tion is offered to the Cultivators — to

to select those possessing peculiar ad- =vantages, such as must in various — degrees have arisen from the details of a measure like a revenue Survey or other general scheme of assessment however carefully conducted. Thus there will be shades of difference in the bearing of the assessment on — fields of the same class and — still more so in those of other class- =es some fields are valuable from their situation, as their proximity to the Village, to the Source of irriga- =tion, to the public road — In a Village Community it is evident that no in- =dividual or section of the inhabitants would be allowed to monopolize — the most favored localities, and therefore custom in every place — has determined that particular — sets or plots of fields should go together —

18. The same Community of interests has prescribed that — inequalities in the assessment should be compensated to individuals by causing light and heavy taxed fields to be shared in due pro- =portion, and that all who desire

it

Fort St. George 23. March 1843

it should obtain their fair share of the different descriptions of land whether Garden, Wet, or dry- In like manner Cowles can only be held in proportion to the extent of full taxed land- for if more was given the holder would be enabled to undersell his neigh=bours, and thereby obtain an unfair advantage over them- In native states the rule is far more stringent and certain; ryots only who consent to take the most heavily assessed lands are permitted to hold Cowles, and to cultivate the land of Enam=dars-

19. Some of these restrictions (of which a few are cited as example) it will be observed, depend on the social relations of the people with each other, others on their connection with the Government- The latter are the most frequent=ly evaded, and it is to maintain these and nothing more, that the Board have invariably inculc=ated the observance of the ancient usage which prescribes that "Good and Bad" Land shall be taken and relinquished together.

The

Fort St. George 23. March 1843-

20. The terms good and bad therefore are relative only and do not affect to the intrinsic qualities of the land. In this relative sense alone have they been employed by the Board and their records abundantly prove that they have uniformly prescribed the abstinence of all interference with individual transactions and as constantly upheld the right of perfect freedom of choice in contracting and extending cultivation, consistently with the above check.

21. Before the rule was first relaxed in Fasly $\frac{1239}{1829\text{-}30}$ the Cowle Cultivation of that year was 15 per Cent of the whole cultivated land- In 1245 the year after it was increased to 25 per Cent It has now been brought down to 12 per Cent The large proportion that it still bears to the same description of land in other districts has been shown above.

22. The observations of Government regarded in para 13 of Extract Minutes of Consultation were drawn forth under the following circumstances. In 1838 Mr Ace then acting Collector of Tinnevelly

Gantoor having stated that the Cumarres in Palnaud were in the habit of constraining the Ryots to cultivate beyond their means, the Board approved of his directions and "trusted he would be careful to see that freedom from all restriction was practically enjoyed by the inhabitants. The only condition it was added "to which they should be required to conform xxx is that they throw up good and bad land together".

Proceedings 22d December 1838 Para 9

25. It was on this passage that the quotation above mentioned was founded, which drew from the Board the following explanation in their Proceedings on the settlement of the following years "Referring to the custom in the Ceded Districts and other parts of the Country where certain lands distinguished by terms in the native languages, which are very imperfectly rendered by the English words "Good and Bad" have by immemorial usage been held together from a regard to circumstances which render it easy to cultivate the bad jointly with "the Good" but from which it would be difficult or inconvenient to alienate the

From Govt 18th June 1829 Para 57 in Consultation 2d February Bd Proceedings 18th December 1834 Para 27 also Bd Proceedings in Cuddapah for Fasly 1238 19th Sept 1830 Paras 29 and 30 "Apan" "Their apan" Proceedings Salem 18th April 1833 Para 24 From Pres & Sd 4th June 1833 Para 5.

the "bad" without the "good" following which custom, wherever it obtains, it has under the sanction of Government, been made a rule, that Ryots in contracting their farms shall not be permitted to throw up the "bad" land alone, which cannot easily be cultivated by others, "but shall relinquish portions of good and bad together" This seems to have been considered satisfactory for the subject was not again adverted to.

From Govt 15th June 1824 In Cons. 7th

24 It is remarkable from other portions of Mr Ors reports on Palnad that the same license in granting bowles seems to have prevailed in that District as is now found in Salem.

From Colr 29th Sept 1828 Pls 15-16 in Cons 1st Oct 18th Pro 21st Jan 1828 Para 7. -22d Dec 1828 P. 10-12.

25 In conclusion the Board trust that they have shown sufficient reason for the belief expressed by them that the Assessment in Salem is in general light and moderate. They hope also that they have satisfied the Most Honorable the Governor in Council that the extension of bowle cultivation has been carried too far in Salem and that in consequence much

much of the best lands have been designedly left
fallow to favor their being so held while inferior
lands have been occupied permanently in
their stead, that thus the great object of
granting Cowles viz. the extension of culti-
vation, not its transfer from one spot to
another, has been lost sight of, that the
same result has been greatly promoted
by the suspension of the check implied in
the rule requiring "good and bad" land
to be held together. and that the remedy for
all these defects will be found to consist
in adhering to old and recognized usage
rather than in the imposition of new and more
severe restrictions, such as that by which the
Principal Collector proposes to hold the Ryot
who may at any stage of his lease relinquish
Cowle land, responsible for the full Teerwah
of the whole term during which he had been
in possession. — The best way to cure an evil
is to deal with the cause of it. In this instance
it has been traced to the over issue of Cowles. Restrict these

From Collr 25th Oct 1842 Paras.

these within proper bounds and no security will
be needed. "Indeed" to quote the directions of
the Board issued many years ago "on the
"Salem District no land should be newly given on
"Cowle except to substantial Ryots who
"possessing stock to improve it,
"and who, on occupying it, can
"furnish security that its cultivation
"if continued by them shall not interfere with that of the lands
"already occupied by them, and that they will not draw away
"any ryots from Government Land for the
"occupation of that thus newly
"granted on Cowle."

Proceedings 8th February 1826 Para 13.

28. The attention of the
Principal Collector will be
called to the information
regarding Tuccavie in paras 18
and

4790 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

and 16.

Para 18 27. A separate Report on the Trees and Topes of the district has been received from the Principal Collector and his attention called to the state of the Roads and avenues in the Department of Public Works.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Proceedings be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Salem for his information and guidance.

Read

J. G. B.

4791 Fort St. George 23d March 1843

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th and entered in Consultation 23d Ultimo.

Read again also letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 15th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 2d Instant.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

4

To, The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Acting Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

Para 1.

Soliciting that the Salary attached to the Office of Colls in the Districts of Tanjore, Malabar, Bellary, Cuddapah and Canara be fixed at Rupees 34,200 per Annum the three first immediately the 2 last upon vacated by the present incumbents and requesting the support of Government on recommending to the Honble the Court of Directors that a similar increase be awarded to the Colls

In Cons. 23d December 1839

The Honorable the Court of Directors in their despatch of 30th November 1842 having authorized the equalization of the Salary of the Principal Collector of Cuddapah with that of a Commissioner under Bengal Presidency or Rupees 34,200 per Annum for the purpose of securing the continuance of the same officer in the District and in their letter of 21st June 1842 an increase of the allowances of the Collector of Guntoor for a similar reason, the Board of Revenue deem this a proper opportunity most respectfully to solicit the attention of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to the letter from England of July 10th 1839 transmitted to the Board in Consultation of November 5th 1839 and the Minutes of Consultation commented on by them in their proceedings of 4th May 1840.

From

Colrs of North Arcot, South Arcot, Nellore, and Coimbatore and that a discretionary power be vested in the local Government to secure by a temporary Augmentation of allowances the Continued Services of efficient Revenue Officers in other Districts when as in the Case of Guntoor these shall become of difficult and delicate management

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

2.

From these it will be perceived that when the Salaries of all Collectors to be in future appointed were reduced by the Court to Rupees 28,000 per Annum, they were at the same time pleased to declare that "should there be any cases in which Officers tho' styled Collectors perform duties equal in responsibility to those of Revenue Commissioners under the Presidency of Bengal these will form proper exceptions to the present Rule, but of this class there cannot be a necessity for the continuance of more than four or five, and that in the few Cases in which it will be justifiable to retain Officers of this description they shall not object to an addition of Salary not to exceed Rupees 34,200 per Annum to be annexed to the Station and not bestowed as a personal allowance on the Officer." On receipt of this communication reference was made by Government Minutes of Consultation 5th November 1839 to the Board of Revenue requesting them to

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843

to name the five Collectorates to which from the Magnitude of the duties annexed to them it would be proper to attach the higher rate of salary sanctioned by the Honorable Court In reply the Board being strongly impressed with the Claims of all those then termed Principal Collectorates were induced to recommend them all, being 10 in Number, as deserving the higher rate of remuneration. It has been understood that this application was strongly supported by the local Government as deserving the favorable consideration of the Court of Directors and pending this reference, to which the Board are not aware that any reply has been received, the five most important Collectorates which will be subsequently noticed, in place of being "continued" on the highest scale of remuneration which the Courts' Orders had permitted them to "retain" have on vacancies occurring been reduced to the same rate of Salary which is annexed to the smallest and least arduous Districts under this Presidency

The

Collrs of North Arcot, South Arcot, Nellore, and Coimbatore and that a discretionary power be vested in the local Government to secure by a temporary Augmentation of allowances, the Continued Services of efficient Revenue Officers in other Districts when as in the Case of Guntoor these shall become of difficult and delicate management

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

2.

From these it will be perceived that when the Salaries of all Collectors to be in future appointed were reduced by the Court to Rupees 28,000 per Annum, they were at the same time pleased to declare that "should there be any cases in which officers tho' styled Collectors perform duties equal in responsibility to those of Revenue Commissioners under the Presidency of Bengal these will form proper exceptions to the present rule, but of this class there cannot be a necessity for the continuance of more than four or five, and that in the few Cases in which it will be justifiable to retain officers of this description they shall not object to an addition of Salary not to exceed Rupees 34,200 per Annum to be annexed to the Station and not bestowed as a personal allowance on the officer." On receipt of this communication reference was made by Government Minutes of Consultation 5th November 1839 to the Board of Revenue requesting them to

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

to name the five Collectorates to which from the Magnitude of the duties annexed to them it would be proper to attach the higher rate of salary sanctioned by the Honorable Court In reply the Board being strongly impressed with the Claims of all those then termed Principal Collectorates were induced to recommend them all, being 10 in Number, as deserving the higher rate of remuneration. It has been understood that this application was strongly supported by the local Government as deserving the favorable consideration of the Court of Directors and pending this reference, to which the Board are not aware that any reply has been received, the five most important Collectorates which will be subsequently noticed, in place of being "continued" on the higher scale of remuneration which the Court's orders had permitted them to "retain" have on vacancies occurring been reduced to the same rate of Salary which is annexed to the smallest and least arduous Districts under this Presidency

The

Collrs. of North Arcot, South Arcot, Nellore, and Coimbatore and that a discretionary power be vested in the local Government to secure by a temporary Augmentation of allowances, the Continued Services of efficient Revenue Officers in other Districts when as in the Case of Guntoor these shall become of difficult and delicate management.

2.

From these it will be perceived that when the Salaries of all Collectors to be in future appointed were reduced by the Court to Rupees 28,000 per Annum, they were at the same time pleased to declare that "should there be any cases in which officers tho' styled Collectors perform duties equal in responsibility to those of Revenue Commissioners under the Presidency of Bengal these will form proper exceptions to the present rule, but of this class there cannot be a necessity for the continuance of more than four or five, and that in the few Cases in which it will be justifiable to retain officers of this description they shall not object to an addition of Salary not to exceed Rupees 34,200 per Annum to be annexed to the Station and not bestowed as a personal allowance on the officer." On receipt of this communication reference was made by Government Minutes of Consultation 5th November 1839 to the Board of Revenue requesting them to

to name the five Collectorates to which from the Magnitude of the duties annexed to them it would be proper to attach the higher rate of salary sanctioned by the Honorable Court. In reply the Board being strongly impressed with the Claims of all those then termed Principal Collectorates were induced to recommend them all, being 10 in Number, as deserving the higher rate of remuneration. It has been understood that this application was strongly supported by the local Government as deserving the favorable consideration of the Court of Directors and pending this reference, to which the Board are not aware that any reply has been received, the five most important Collectorates which will be subsequently noticed, in place of being "continued" on the highest scale of remuneration which the Courts' orders had permitted them to "retain" have on vacancies occurring been reduced to the same rate of Salary which is annexed to the smallest and least arduous Districts under this Presidency

The

Coll.rs of North Arcot, South Arcot, Nellore, and Coimbatore and that a discretionary power be vested in the local Government to secure by a temporary Augmentation of allowances the Continued Services of efficient Revenue Officers in other Districts when as in the Case of Guntoor, these shall become of difficult and delicate management

2. From these it will be perceived that when the Salaries of all Collectors to be in future appointed were reduced by the Court to Rupees 28,000 per Annum, they were at the same time pleased to declare that "should there be any cases in which officers tho' styled Collectors perform duties equal in responsibility to those of Revenue Commissioners under the Presidency of Bengal these will form proper exceptions to the present rule, but of this class there cannot be a necessity for the continuance of more than four or five, and that in the few Cases in which it will be justifiable to retain officers of this description they shall not object to an addition of Salary not to exceed Rupees 34,200 - per Annum to be annexed to the Station and not bestowed as a personal allowance on the officer." On receipt of this communication reference was made by Government Minutes of Consultation 5th November 1839 to the Board of Revenue requesting them to

to name the five Collectorates to which from the Magnitude of the duties annexed to them it would be proper to attach the higher rate of salary sanctioned by the Honorable Court In reply the Board being strongly impressed with the Claims of all those then termed Principal Collectorates were induced to recommend them all (being 10 in Number) as deserving the higher rate of remuneration. It has been understood that this application was strongly supported by the local Government as deserving the favorable consideration of the Court of Directors and pending this reference (to which the Board are not aware that any reply has been received) the five most important Collectorates which will be subsequently noticed, in place of being "continued" on the higher scale of remuneration which the Courts' orders had permitted them to "retain" have on vacancies occurring been reduced to the same rate of Salary which is annexed to the smallest and least arduous Districts under this Presidency

The

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

Coll.rs of North Arcot, South Arcot, Nellore, and Coimbatore and that a discretionary power be vested in the local Government to secure by a temporary Augmentation of allowances the Continued Services of efficient Revenue Officers in other Districts when as in the Case of Guntoor, these shall become of difficult and delicate management

2.

From these it will be perceived that when the Salaries of all Collectors to be in future appointed were reduced by the Court to Rupees 28000 per Annum, they were at the same time pleased to declare that "should there be any cases in which officers tho' styled Collectors perform duties equal in responsibility to those of Revenue Commissioners under the Presidency of Bengal these will form proper exceptions to the present rule, but of this class there cannot be a necessity for the continuance of more than four or five, and that in the few Cases in which it will be justifiable to retain officers of this description they shall not object to an addition of Salary not to exceed Rupees 34200 - per Annum to be annexed to the Station and not bestowed as a personal allowance on the officer." On receipt of this communication reference was made by Government Minutes of Consultation 5th November 1839 to the Board of Revenue requesting them to

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843

to name the five Collectorates to which from the Magnitude of the duties annexed to them it would be proper to attach the higher rate of Salary sanctioned by the Honorable Court. In reply the Board being strongly impressed with the Claims of all those then termed Principal Collectorates were induced to recommend them all, being 10 in Number, as deserving the higher rate of remuneration. It has been understood that this application was strongly supported by the local Government as deserving the favorable consideration of the Court of Directors and pending this reference, to which the Board are not aware that any reply has been received, the five most important Collectorates which will be subsequently noticed, in place of being "continued" on the higher scale of remuneration which the Courts' orders had permitted them to "retain" have on vacancies occurring been reduced to the same rate of Salary which is annexed to the smallest and least arduous Districts under this Presidency

The

3. The dispatch from England of 30th November 1842 has again forcibly attracted the attention of the Board to this subject. They may here remark that if doubt could be before entertained as to the parity of one of the large Districts under this Presidency with a Commissionership in Bengal that question in the instance of Cuddapah has been set at rest by the Home Authorities themselves who have treated the one situation as commensurate in responsibility and deserving of like remuneration with the other. But the Districts noted in the margin are certainly not inferior to Cuddapah in extent, population, the Magnitude of the interests which their Management involves or the weight of labor which it imposes. The Case of Bellary has been argued in a letter lately received from the Collector and herewith forwarded in which the labours and duties of his office tho' forcibly described

Bellary
Canara
Malabar
Tanjore

From the Coll.r of Bellary 15th Feb.y in Cons.n 2d March 1843

described are not in the opinion of the Board overstated. Not less Cogent are the claims of Canara and Malabar, with their extensive range of Sea Coast, varied products, valuable trade, and large population, differing in character, languages, habits, and feelings from the inhabitants of any other part of India — not inferior those of Tanjore, the largest, wealthiest, and most densely peopled, of all the Madras Provinces, indebted for its fertility to works of irrigation constructed at a vast expense, and demanding the most vigilant and and constant Superintendence on the part of the Local authorities. The importance of these four Districts will be best estimated when it is remembered that of the total Revenues of the 20 Districts composing the Madras Presidency, for Fusly 1250, these Provinces contributed 1,42,15,767 Rupees or nearly one third of the whole an amount which in Bengal would

1250.

Canara	31,55,798
Malabar	32,06,220
Bellary	31,79,130
Tanjore	46,74,619
	1,42,15,767 being

only 12 Lacs less than ⅓d. of the revenue of the whole Presidency which in 1250 amounted to 4,62,79,120.—

would require the supervision of at least ten Collectors and 3 Commissioners.

4. The Board would further beg to bring to the attention of Government that for the purpose of augmenting the Salaries attached to the five Collectorates abovementioned no further reference is required either to Bengal or to the Authorities in England. In the despatch of the 10th July 1839 already alluded to the Court while ordering the Salaries of their Collectors to be reduced as vacancies might occur to Rupees 28,000 a year, expressly sanction to Districts such as those before given not exceeding five; the "continuance" of the higher rate of remuneration which within that limit they will be permitted to "retain" the words marked are those used on the occasion, and in the same spirit the Board were called upon by Government to particularize the five Districts to which the higher salaries should attached. It is perhaps to be regretted that the Board did not confine themselves more

more strictly to the terms of this requisition by specifying the five Districts abovementioned, of the paramount importance of which no question could have been entertained, and to which without doubt the higher scale of Salary would thenceforth have been "continued" and reserved the claims of other Collectorates to form the subject of a separate communication. In this point of view therefore and in direct reply to para 2. Minutes of Consultation November 5th/39 the Board beg to recommend the five Districts Viz. Tanjore, Malabar, Bellary, Cuddapah, and Canara, as those to which the higher allowance of Rupees 34.200 which is still below the former pay of those appointments / should originally have been continued, and on which it should now be conferred the 3 first immediately, the 2 last when vacated by the present incumbents.

5. Having thus conformed to the letter both of the Dispatch from the Court of Directors 10th July 1839 and of the requisition

requisition conveyed in the Minutes of Consub=
:tation 5th. November 1839, the Board would in
reference to Para 19 of their Proceedings of 4th.
May 1840, once more solicit the favorable
advocacy of the Government in behalf of
the four Districts
noted in Margin, which
if not altogether of equal
importance with those
already mentioned, have
each strong claims for
for consideration. In each
the revenue and population are considerable,
in each the Settlement is conducted on the
Ryotwarry system with its Minutes and Mul=
:tifarious details: two of these Districts (North
Arcot and Nellore) contain Zemindary estates which
from minority or arrears are liable to fall
under the Superintendence of the Collector, and
demand careful and assiduous management, while
in South Arcot independently of the important
works of irrigation connected with
its Southern Talooks the Collector has

North Arcot 2,603,711 4 1
South Arcot 2,665,350 8 4
Nellore 2,467,836 4 7
Coimbatore 2,562,567 " 10

Revenue for 1,250,-

has to perform the duty of special agent with
Foreign Settlements, an office requiring much
tact and judgment, and at one time forming
the Sole charge of one of the most able officers
on the Madras Establishment (Major General
Fraser) and held by him on allowances
greater than those now enjoyed by the Gentle=
:man who unites those duties with the care
of an extensive and populous District. The
Board still venture to cherish the hope that
the Court of Directors may see reason to
relax their restriction as to number in
favor of these Districts, and admit them to the
same rate of allowances sanctioned for the Pro=
:vinces recommended in the preceding Para.

6. The period which has
elapsed since the orders of the Court
of Directors for the reduction of the
salaries of Collectors have been brought
into operation has been scarcely suffici=
:ent to test the justice of the appre=
:hensions expressed by the Board of
Revenue in their Proceedings of 4th.
May

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843

May 1840 as to the results to be feared from annexing equal emoluments to places of unequal trust and labor. Of the four Districts mentioned in Para 2, two (Canara and Cuddapah) are still held upon the old allowances. another (Tanjore) held on similar terms, has been vacated within the last few days. But the Board would press on the most serious consideration of Govern: ment the fact mentioned in the letter from the Collector of Bellary, Viz.t that on the last occasion of that appointment becoming vacant it was declined by several Gentlemen in the service, who can indeed hardly be censured for their unwillingness to undertake the labors and fatigues of so arduous an office, in a climate so exhausting, for emoluments which they could obtain on easier terms elsewhere. The Board would carefully abstain from drawing constrasts which might be deemed invidious between the labor exacted by the Revenue in comparison of that of the Judicial branch

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

branch of the service. each department has its respective duties, the Zealous discharge of which is alike indispensable to the pros: perity of the Government and people of India. It must however be conceded that in point of expense, personal fatigue and exposure this advantage is greatly on the side of the Revenue Servant. It should be remembered that independently of the purchase and repair of tents to which his Tent allowance is barely adequate the Collector has at all times to maintain an Extra, if he have a family it may be said a double establishment. that for a considerable part and that the hottest portion of the year he is engaged under canvas in the laborious details of a Revenue settlement during which time he is in a great measure debarred from intercourse with Society, and from the pleasures of domestic life. If, the labors of both branches of the Service being equal, addition: al expence, fatigue and Seclusion with: out an equivalent are to be the

tob

lot of the Collector, the result cannot be doubtful, nor can it be questioned that in a short time those important situations to which Revenue Servants were once accustomed to look forward as objects of honorable Ambition, will be accepted only by the least competent and experienced members of the service.—

7. The Board learn with pleasure that the Court of Directors have sanctioned an increase of allowances to Mr. Stokes for the purpose of retaining his services in Guntoor during the present critical condition of that District. When indeed, as will occasionally happen, Districts of which the administration under ordinary circumstances present no difficulties fall, from whatever causes, into a state of confusion and embarrassment, or when important reforms are be effected it is most desirable that the Local Officer originally charged with the introduction of the required arrangements

arrangements should be to remain in the District until these have been fully and effectually carried out. For this purpose it would in the opinion of the Board, be productive of great advantage to the Public service if the Local Government were invested with discretionary power by an adequate increase of allowances to insure the continuance of the administrative Officer in the District until its affairs were finally arranged.—

8. In recapitulation, the Board beg most respectfully to solicit that the Salary attached to the Districts of Tanjore, Malabar, Bellary, Cuddapah and Canara be fixed at the standard of Rupees 34,200 per Annum, the three first immediately the two last when vacated by the present incumbents, they would further hope for the favorable support of Government in recommending to the Court of Directors that a similar

similar increase be awarded to the Col.
: lectorates of North Arcot, South Arcot,
Nellore, and Coimbatore, and that discre-
: tionary power be vested in the Local Govern-
: ment to secure by a temporary augmenta-
: tion of allowances, the continued services
of efficient Revenue Officers in other Dis-
: tricts, when as in the Case of Guntoor these
shall become of difficult and delicate manage-
: ment.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23d. March 1843. . . .

[Signed] E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 10th. March 1843.

From the Acting
Chief Sec.y to Gov.t

Read the following letter from the Acting Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

5

Reporting the result of the experiment made for introducing the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the District under the Madras Presidency.—

(Here enter 16th. February 1843 No. 80.)

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council considers the result of

of the experiment for introducing the culti-
: vation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in
the Provinces of the Madras Presidency
to be on the whole satisfactory, and is of
opinion that the further distribution of Canes
should be encouraged. The Board of Revenue
will be pleased to make the necessary com-
: munication for this purpose to the Agri
Horticultural Society and to the local
officers.—

His Lordship in Council
considers it highly desirable that in sub-
: mitting Reports of this nature, the
Board should condence in a separate
Statement, the information furnished by
those Officers by whom the experiment
has been most successfully prosecuted,
thereby placing on record in a connected view
the results of their experience. Any point of
interest noticed in other reports should be
added; and they should submit their opinion,
whether they consider the information of sufficient

importance

importance to be communicated for the guidance of the local Officers generally.

Under any circumstances His Lordship in Council is of opinion that those Officers who have paid the greatest attention to the subject, should be furnished with a Copy of the reports of most interest and value forwarded to the Board.

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Acting Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To the Secretary to the Committee of Agri. Horticultural Society at Madras.

5-6

To the Secretary to the Committee of Agri. Horticultural Society at Madras...

Sir,

The partial success which has attended the attempts made during the past season for introducing the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane into the Provinces subject to the Madras Presidency having induced the most Honorable the Governor in Council to consider that the

From Govt. to 10th inst. dated 23d March 1843.

the further distribution of Canes should be encouraged. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will favor them with information as to the quantity of cuttings which the Society will be able to supply, for transmission to the interior. On receipt of your reply the Local Officers in the Provinces in which the new cultivation promises to be most successful will be instructed to place themselves in communication with

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

with you for the purpose of concerting in the time and manner in which the pa-toons should be furnished, so as to arrive in their respective Districts in the most healthy state, and at the season best adapted for the culture of Sugar Cane.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23d. March 1843.

Signed/ E.B. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

Read the following Extract.

(7.)

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Gentlemen/ Fort St. George.

From J. F. Thomas Esqre.
Acting Chief Secy. to Govt.

In forwarding with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th April 1842, and the Acting Secretary Orrs' letter of the 30th idem the accompanying Petition from P. Venecatachellem Naidoo of Masulipatam, I am desired by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to bring to your notice the great delay that has occurred in transmitting the report called for in the latter communication, and to request that the same be now submitted at an early period.

*No. 186 of 1843.

Fort St. George,
7th March 1843.

Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Acting Chief Secretary

A.

To
The Right Honorable the Governor
in Council, &c. &c. &c.
Fort St. George.

Heumbly Sheweth,

The humble representation of Pillai Chetty Venecatachellem Naidoo by profession Sowcar an inhabitant of Chemmonogery Pallah in the Town of Masulipatam.

Respectfully beg leave to lay my case before your Lordship trusting that my prayer

prayer will be granted- that the Board of Revenue had on the 10th June 1831 held Proceedings to make over the Estate of Vinnacotah &c. resolving that the said Pauliah Row who is eldest among 10 Sons of the late Rajah Sobanadryrow Zemindar of the Chaurmauk, would conduct his Management of the Estate in an obliging manner and that thereby there is no doubt to have peace and comfort to the Estate and the large family of the late Zemindar- On which your Government bestowed on him several kindness, and Sanctioned it. accordingly Mr. Stonhouse the late Collector had on the 8th March 1832, issued an Enayetnamah to the said Pauliahrow, stating regularly the contents of their sanction together with the kindness he received from them and gave it together with the permanent Cowle No. 505 of 1806 which was prepared in the name of the late Zemindar, and which was secured in the Office of the Board of Revenue and put the Estate in possession of the said Zemindar. The above contents having been said by him to me, he shewed me the said Enayetnamah and asked me to lend him Money- I had with an intention that if I should lend Money on the mortgage of the Estates it will be discharged lawfully, received the said permanent

Cowle together with its Enayetnamah as a mortgage and lent him a large sum of Money on account of Circar Kists from the month of October 1833, which money was received in the Treasury of Circar: I prayed that favorable instructions should be issued for the recovery of the said Money due on account of public Rauzeenamahs and Decrees passed by the Judicial Authorities. The said Rajah Pauliahrow published that by reason of his having obtained a great favor of the Board of Revenue, they recommended the Government for not being executed the said Rauzeenamahs and the Decrees- In consequence of the said Pauliahrow during his Management, having appropriated some collection of Estate for his own cash- Chest, and conducted irregularities, the Estate has been brought under the Circar's Management- he contracted a friendship of the Officers on the part of the Company, caused the produce to be carried away, acquired money in the District for the purpose of getting the Peishcush to be reduced again, and became incredibility, and he caused his brother Rajah Venkatanarasimha Jagadadarow to institute a Pauper Suit against him for the recovery of his share of the Estate

in

in Cause No. 1 of 1841 in the Northern Rommiah Court, and he caused a Supplimental compliant also to be preferred against me in that Suit, and he gave me much trouble. I gradually stated all the foregoing circumstances as well as the other contents in my Petitions under dates 30th June, 25th December 1840, 30th June, 13th August and 6th October 1841, 5th January, 11th April 1842, together with some enclosures, and submitted them to your Government by Post, praying that your Government should bring the differences of the recommendations made by the Board of Revenue and that orders should be issued not to expose me (who borrowed the said Money on the mortgage of the permanent Cowle with a hope of an interest being procured under the flag of the Honorable Company) to a ruin. I am afraid that if I repeat all the abovementioned circumstances in this, your Lordship will consider it to be a large one and I beg leave to state briefly as follows.

In consequence of the Board of Revenue and your Lordship not having granted any answers to my Petitions. Although

myself, it is resolved that the Rules of the Judicial Courts should be observed respecting my debt. The Present Collector of the Zillah of Masulipatam has on the 9th and 10th Ultimo sent for to his Cutcherry, and ordered me some contents regarding my debt. To which I answered him lawfully. which as well as my hopes which are to be bestowed on me are addressed to to the Board of Revenue by my Petition of the 4th Instant. I therefore humbly request that your Lordship will be kindly pleased to peruse the accompanying Copies of the 10 enclosures which are stated in that Petition for your information; because the examples therein mentioned have been continued by documents, and to resolve the contents represented by me to the Collector, as if I requested your Lordship, and to bring my abovementioned Petitions with its enclosures, as well as the Gentoo Petition of this together with its enclosures, into your benevolent consideration and to endorse this Petition, as your Lordship who are Sovereign, may deem proper, and return it to me as soon as possible. If your Lordship will be pleased to do so. I shall reckon it to be final orders.

For which act of benevolence.

I shall as in duty Bound
Ever Pray.

Masulipatam, 6th February, 1843.

(Signed) C. Venkatachelum Naidoo

To

No 1

To

The Provincial Court of Appeal

For the Northern Division

Masulipatam.

A Razeenamah willingly pre-
sented by both Sashunnah the
Mother and Guardian of Rajah
Bommadavera Vencatanaras-
imhanaidoo the Zemindar of
Gundoor Purganah and Vellore
Samut
is the owner of
this suit as appears from an
Extra Petition No 93 of 1814
submitted to your Court by
Sashum Soobaroydoo the
Plaintiff in Cause No 173 of
1811 and Rajah Comadara
Sobanadryrow Zemmindar of Venna-
cota & Co Charmaul the Defendant-
Rajah Sobanadry Row the Defen-
dant states that the Plaintiff S. Soobaroydoo
having made over this suit by executing a
Document to B. Sashemmah on Tuesday
the 3d decreasing Moon of Aushadhum in
the year Bhanah on 5th July 1814 for the
discharge of the debt due on account of
certain

certain Money appropriated by his younger
brother Tautiah Naidoo at the time of his
(Tautiah Naidoos) management on behalf
of the said Sashummah while he Naidoo was
alive. I now satisfied her as follows. The
amount of the complaint, and the interest up
to this date, as well as costs of the institution
fees &c. of the Suit are Rupees 113480-12- being
the total amount up to this date. a Sum of 1000
Pagodas assigned over me to Roty Freyembakarow
was on account of interest and of Pagodas
7175 which I assigned over the Plaintiff for the
former debt due by me to Sashummah was
for the interest and costs of the Court out of
the said Total amount, after deducting the
sum of Rupees 19180-12 which is now remit-
-ted by her and the remaining is Arcot Rupees
94000 which I determined to pay her without
interest within 6 years from this date on
the following conditions.

1- I agree that the 2 Purganahs
namely Vennacottah and Goodenadah
being my Zemindary right should be kept under
the management of the Collector for 6 years
from

from Fusly 1224 to 1229. that out of the collection made from my District, after deducting the amount of Annual Kists due to the Circar, and the charges of the Zufty Sibbendy &c.a as well as Pagodas 3000 for the purpose of my own expence annually, and the other profit should be paid to the said Sashummah through the Collector for the discharge of the said debt, that her receipts with her seal and signature, should be obtained for it. and that as I have no other means to satisfy Razenamah, though I enter-ed into them within 6 years after the Court passed decrees in the Suits already instituted against me, and though I engaged to make the Plaintiffs satisfy prior to passing decrees the remaining balance after paying profit derived from my District to the said Sashemmah up to the date on which I would enter-into Razeenamahs with other debtors, as well as the debts of my other creditors whom I made Razzee should be paid to them according to the distribution on the amount from the profit of our District, and that Sashemmah should receive it accordingly.

J.

2. I fixed that if the whole amount will not be discharged from the profit up to the end of the said 6 years from this date, and if a part of it is stood as balance a sufficient part of the Estate should be sold for it, and the proceeds thereof is to be paid to Sashemmah to meet the balance, and that if any part of my District will by any cause be ordered by Government for sale within 6 years, the Court should immediately give orders such part of my District, as to meet the balance due to Sashemmah to be sold, and the proceeds thereof is to be paid to her through the Collector without any occasion for the term abovementioned.

3. I further stipulated that an Officer whom she will employ to prepare account of a Sist and Collection with the Ameen appointed by the Collector until the said debt shall have been discharged, should receive Monthly 10 S. Pagodas from the Collector to-gether with the salaries of the Zufty establish-ment out of the Collection of my Estate.

4 I admit that I should fix an Annual bariz of 200 S. Pagodas on the Village Toommanapully in the Purganah

of

of Goodewadah including Dufsara Nazir or present and Pootie Doolums &c as well as all items because Sashemmah made me remission of Rupees 19480 3/4, that she should send the amount of the said bariz to the Collectors Cutcherry according to Kists and obtain receipts for it and that I should give the said Village for rent to chendo Ukkiah Naidoo the brother of the said Sashemmah for 15 Years from Fusly 1224 to 1238.

5. With respect to the affairs conducted by the late Rajah Nagenna Naidoo the husband of Sashemmah at the time of his having rented my Districts and by Sashemmah during her management afterwards, I agreed that if any of your Document on account of pecuniary dealing took place between me and them is kept back in my possession, and if my documents be with you, they are considered to be null and void.

6. Rajah Nagennah Naidoo rented 6 Purganahs being my Zemindary from Fusly 1216 to 1219. managed it, and paid the whole revenue annually according to a

Rauth executed by him to me. If the private renters and Ryots of the said Purganah fell arrears to him during the said 4 years, those arrears should be collected by Sashemmah from them. I have no least occasion for it.

7. A term is fixed that Sashemmah has no occasion to demand me for any debt except the sum of Rupees 94,000 due by me to her to this date.

8. The Plaintiff Sashemmah &c. should pay her pleaders' fees and I should pay it to my pleader.

9. If I fail to fulfil any of those terms, Sashemmah should apply to the Court by a Motion for it which the Court should admit and consider this Razeenamah to be a Decree of the Court, and issue a warrant against me for it, and I admitted them and satisfied the said Sashemmah therefore. I pray the Court to do accordingly.

Bommadavara Sashemmah

States that as Rajah Sobanadry now Defendant consented to the terms abovementioned and satisfied me, I also agree to it.

The Defendant states that the

the above terms should be brought into effect with the exception of the instructions given by the Court of Judicature and of those which may be given in future regarding the suits already decided and those which may hereafter be decreed in the Cases in which my interference attaches.

20th September 1814.

Persian Seal /Signed/ Rajah Sobanadry Row. Gentoo and Persian Seal

Witnessed
Toolapaty Venkatachellum
Karadala Venkiah.

Mark of Sashemmah

/Signed/ Plaintiffs Reader
Vitch Gopala Cristummah.
/Signed/ Exd P. Venkatar
=roylo Serastadar
Defendants Reader Paulpurtty
Ramiah

Filed in Court this 21st day of September A.D. 1814.

By Order of the Court
/Signed/ Charles Ellis
Register.

The few remarks in red ink were affixed in my presence in Court and with the consent of both the principals and the Readers.

/Signed/ Charles Ellis
Register
21st September 1814

Examined
S. Sobandreah Gentoo Writer

No 173 of 1811

Delivered to V. Venkiah Reader this 31st day of August A.D. 1816.

No 2

To The Provincial Court of Appeal.
For the Northern Division
Masulipatam

An Extra Petition presented by Rajah Bommadavara Venkatanarasimhanaidoo Zemindar of Gundoor Purganah and Vullur Samab.

That the suit instituted by Sashem Soobaroydoo Plaintiff against the Defendant Rajah Cammadana Sobanadry row Zemindar in Cause No 173 of 1811 of your Courts file was transferred to me by his Extra Petition No 3 of 1814 on the 5th July 1814, on account of a debt due to me by Sashum Soobaroydoo, I was then minor, Sashummah my mother and Guardian, and the Defendant Rajah Sobanadry Row filed a Razeenamah on the 20th September 1814.

In the said Razeenamah it is stated that out of Rupees 113480 3/4 being the amount claimed, subsequent interest and costs of the Suit &c. After deducting Rupees 19480 3/4 remitted by my Mother to Defendant, and the remaining Rupees 94000 which is due to me by Sobanadry Row for which Defendant is Zemindary Estate Viz. Vinnacota and Goodevadah purganahs.

Fort St. George 23d March 1813.

purganahs should be kept under the manage
of the Collector for 6 years, from Fusly 1224 t
end of Fusly 1229 out of the Collection of the
purganahs after deducting the Annual Kists
Charges of the Fusly, and 3000 Pagodas annu
fixed for the expense of the Defendant, and
remaining whole profit should be paid by
Collector to my Mother Annually. Here
should be taken for it. If the whole amo
of the said debt should not be liquidated
from the date of the Razeenamah to the
end of 6 years, or if part of it had not be
paid, my whole balance should be paid
to me by sale of a sufficient District
for that amount. If the Government will
within 6 years order to put Defendants
District to sale by any means, the balan
stood already should be paid to me by
selling sufficient District, without occasi
for the term of 6 years.

Agreable to the term of
a Razeenamah, the Defendant's Zemindary
Vennacottah and Gordevada Purganahs
was kept under the management of the
Collector. It appears from the Account No
herein

Fort St. George 23d March 1813.

herein enclosed, which I obtained from the
Collector's Cutcherry that the profit derived
from the said purgunnahs in Fusly 1224 being
Rupees 28783-12-3 was paid to me for the
satisfaction of the Razeenamah - But -
the Defendant had borrowed from us
a sum of Rupees 11,000 on account of his
son's marriage ceremony, and addressed an
argee to the Collector agreeing to deduct
it from the said profit, on which the Collector
had issued an Enayetnamah to me to
that effect - which I inclose herein No. 2 -
If the Court should peruse the said 2
documents, it would appear to you that on
the payment made to us by Defendant -
is only Rupees 11,783-12-3 - for the satisfaction
of the Razeenamah, and the Defendant
took receipts also from my mother -
for the said amount according to the
term of the Razeenamah. No payment
has already been made out of the balance
due to me on Razeenamah - Inconse-
quence of the Defendant having died
in the Month of Iravanum of the -

Year

4826 Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

money in future as above - The sum of Rupees 14,000. already given by or you is due from him to you which sum you may take from the Amount paid for the discharge of your debt I therefore hope you will let me know your opinion of it - 6th October 1816.

Masulipatam
6th October 1816 } I signed I P. E. Russell

Collector.

Recd 6.

4827 Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

No. 4

Receipt and Disbursement belonging to Rajah Bommadavaka Vencata Narsimha Naidoo Zemindar regarding the Purganahs of Vinnacottah and Goodevaudah from Fusly 1224 to 1226.

Principal and interest on the amount advanced to the Ryots of the Villages for Taccavie and for Meenhummut or repairs as follows.

Fusly 1224.

Principal Amount paid as advances for Taccavie of the Villages

30th September 1814 ——— 4,000 " "
1st December 1814 ——— 2,000 " " 6,000 " "

Interest thereon according to the following dates

From 30th September 1814 to 30th September 1815 being 12 Months and 1 day at 6,000 Rupees being principal per Month ——— 681 33 6 "

Do 1st December 1814 to 30th Do. Do. being 10 Months at 2000 Rupees a Month --- 20,000 " "

681 33 6 "

at 1 Rupee per Cent is ——— 681 5 " 6,681 5 "

Money advanced on the 3d October 1815 to Maudh booky Sreenevaudacharloo the Tanadar of Vinnacottah Vga Purganahs as appears from his receipt for Taccavie and repairs in Fusly 1225.

Ready Cash paid by Caradala Venkiah in charge of a sheriff named Maurmedy Sarabhiah for Taccavie --- 6000 " "

A Baraunum or Note wrote by Chandoo Ekkiah Naidoo in Fusly 1224 agreeable to the instruction of the Collector for Marahmmut as follows.

Money Advances ——— 6000 — " —
Do in part of the District Collection is 4100 — " — 10100 " " 16100 " "

Carried forward — 6681 5 "

Fort St. George 23d March 1813.

Year Veeramah and of a year more than 6 years as stated in the Razeenamah being elapsed already, and owing to the said Durga=nahs being kept under the management of the Collector for the arrears due by the Defendant on account of kists, I demand=ed Panpiah Row and Narsimharow the Defendants' sons and heirs to pay me the sum of Rupees 99216-3-9 due to me, but they did not pay it to me. I have sustained much loss, because it is not stated in the said Razeenamah to pay interest on the said amount.

I therefore humbly pray Your Court will be pleased to order the remaining Estate (after deducting 3 Villages Viz. Paunnurroo, Palapurroo, and Parooroo appertaining to the Durganahs Vinnacota and Goode=vada which is Zemindary right of Rajah Sobanadry Row the Defendant, and which were mortgaged prior to this Razeenamah for the satisfaction of a debt due by the Defendant to Koly Soubaseparow, belonging to — Rungarazoo Cristnamah) to be put to sale through the Collector of this Zillah, according

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

according to Regulations and in pursuance to the terms of the Razeenamah No. 173 of 1811. and to issue a precept to the Collector of Masulipatam directing him to pay me the sum of Rupees 99216-3-9 due to me out of the remaining proceeds after deducting Circars balance from the proceeds thereof.

I further pray your Court to order the said 2 Enclosures to be returned to me and to furnish me with an attested copy of the Court's proceedings that may be held thereon upon stamp papers produced by me.

12th October 1821.

(Signed) Vetcha Gopala
Cristnamah pleader

No. 3

To, Sashemmah the Mother and Guardian of Rajah Bommadavara Venkatarazaimma Aaidoo

Copy of an Arzee addressed to me by Commadanah Sobanadry Row Zemindar on the 29th Ultimo accompanies this I therefore do hereby acquaint you that I think it is not good for you to lend him a sum of Rupees 11,000 in the last Fasly. You will observe that you must not lend him money

	Rs a p	Rs a p
Brought Over	16100 " "	6681-5 "
Interest thereon from 3d October 1815 to 30th April 1817 being 18 Months and 24 days at Rupees 16100 per Month being Docara Rupees 303250-5-3 for which at 1 Rupee per Cent the amount is Rupees 3032½ of which deduct interest on the Money repaid as follows.		
A Baraatum or Note Dated 12th June 1816 to be paid to Rajah Sobhanadry Row Zemindar for 4000 Rupees to the end of April 1817 being 10 Months and 9 days being Docaras	42533-4 "	
Money paid to him on the 12th October 1816 being Rupees 5000 according to the instructions of the Collector to the end of April 1817 for 6 Months and 20 Days being Docaras	33333-5 3	
The Money remitted to the Hoozoor as per Receipt dated 20th November 1816 is Rupees 1600, on which interest to the end of April 1817 being 9 Months and 11 Days is Docaras	8533 5 3	
Do Do to Do as Do Do dated 7th January 1817 is Rupees 1000 on which to the end of April 1817 being 3 Months and 25 Days being Docaras	3833 5 3	
	88233 3 9	
for which at 1 Rupee per Cent after deducting Rupees 882-5 " and the remaining interest is Rupees	2150-3 "	18250-3 "
Carried Over		24931-8 "

	Rs a p
Brought Over	24931 8 "
Principal is Rupees 22100	
Interest is Rupees 2831/2	
Total Rupees 24931/2	
Profit derived from the Estate in Fusly 1224 according to the Account submitted to the Board by the Collector for the satisfaction of a former debt due by Rajah Canadanah per Rayenanah is Rupees 28785-1-3 of which after deducting Rupee 1/4 on account of Difference of the share of produce yielded in the Village of Woonekely appertaining to the purgunah of Goodawadah belonging to the Zemindars Cumtums and the remaining is Rupees	23783-12-3
	53715-4-3

Money received (Deduct repayment)—
according to the Account Current of Fusly 1224 as follows.
Principal and interest on account of Succavie
is as follows.

	Rs a p
Baraatum or Note written by Chendoo Ukkiah Naidoo in obedience to the instructions of the Collector for the repairs for Fusly 1225 is	6000 " "
Interest paid by the Collector from the money deposited is	681 5 "
	6681-5 "
Profit paid by the Collector to me from the deposit Money is	14813-11-0
According to the orders of the Collector under Date the 3d October 1815	4100-0-0
A Bill drawn by Chendoo Ukkiah Naidoo on Caradlu Venkah Naidoo a/per order of the Collector Dated 23d October 1815	6000-0-0
	24918-11-0
Carried Over	31600-0-0

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

	Rs a p
Brought Over	31,600 - -
In Fusly 1225.	
A Barautum or Note wrote by Chundoo Ukkiah Naidoo to be paid to Rajah Camadana Sobanadry Row by order of the Collector Dated 12th June 1816. is	4,000 " "
Money deposited with the Collector is on the 12th October 1816.	5287-12-6
Do. Subsequently remitted to the Hoozoor is as follows	
As per receipt Dated 20th November 1816.	1,600 " "
Do. Do. 7th January 1817	1,000 " "
	2600 " "
Money paid to the Collector to me from the Collections of the Estate of Fusly 1226. is	10,071-0-0
Total Rupees	53,558-12-6
Balance is Rupees	156 76

/ True Copy /

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
13th September 1821.

/ Signed / M. Streenevausa Charlu
/ Signed / G. E. Russell, Collector,
/ Signed / C. Chenniah Native Register

Seal

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / J. F. Lane, Collector

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

No. 5

To George Edward Russell Esqre
Collector &c &c &c
in the Zillah of Masulipatam

An Arzee addressed by Rajah Camadana Sabanadry Row Zemindar of the Purganahs of Timmacota &c

With an intention that I would receive Rupees 12,000 on account of my allowance after deducting Circar Kists &c from the Collection made from my District for Fusly 1225. agreeably to a Razeenamah filed by both parties, Viz. the Rajah Sashemmah the Mother and Guardian of Rajah Bommadavara Vencatanarasimha naidoo Zemindar of the Purganah of Guntoor and Vellore Samut and myself in Cause No. 173. of 1811. of the Provincial Courts file, I received a sum of Rupees 11,000 as an advance from the said Sashemmah for the purpose of my sons Marriage, and of my own expense. On my having now perused Accounts of Fusly 1225. I think that I can get nothing from my district, for my allowance after directing Circar Kists and Charges &c As the said Sashemmah has given me much

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

much trouble for the Money advanced as above I have now to request is that if profit may arise from my District after deducting Kists and charges &c. out of the collections that may be made from my Estate for Fusly 1226. I ceased to receive the sum of Rupees 12,000 due to me on account of my allowance I therefore pray you to pay her /Sashemmah/ the said advance with interest at 1 Rupee per Cent Pr Month from this date out of excess sum after discharging Company's Kists and charges of the Sibbendy accordingly, and to cause the remaining profit to be paid to the said Sashemmah for the satisfaction of the said Razeenamah. If perhaps the collections that may be made from my &c. District for Fusly 1226 will be insufficient to meet the Company's Kists only, I trust you will peruse the same course for the said Rupees 14,000 and Interest as what you may go to consider regarding the balance of Kists and pay that money /Sashemmah. If you doubt it I beg you to deduct the said Rupees 14,000 with interest out of the profit after deducting Company's Kists and &c. the charges of the Sibbendy as well as my allowance

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

allowance from the sources of my Zemindary District for Fusly 1225. because the said profit as per account was paid by you to Sashemmah for the satisfaction of the Razeenamah and to pay the remaining sum only to Sashemmah for the liquidation of the said Razeenamah and obtain her receipt for it and grant it to me I further pray that you will issue an Engetnamah to the said Sashemmah directing that you would adopt proper mode out of the said 2 prayers and save from the demands of the said Sashemmah for the Money advanced.

Sunday the 7th increasing moon Aswuvejum year Dehanta or 29th September 1816.

/Signed/ Rajah Lalanadrypow

Persian Seal

/A true Copy/

/Signed/ G. E. Russell,
Collector

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
23d. October 1821

/Signed/ C. Chelmiah
Native Register

/A true Copy/

Seal

/Signed/ J. T. Lane
Collector &c

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

No 5

To

J. M. T. Lonhouse Esqre.

Collector &c &c &c

in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Receipt tendered by Rajah Lutchum-
mah the Mother and Guardian of Rajah
Bommadavera Nagemmah Naidoo the Minor
Zemindar of the Purgannahs of Vullore and
Guntoor.

A Razeenamah was filed
in 1814 by both parties Viz. Rajah Bommada-
vara Lashemmah the Mother and Guardian
of Venkatanarasimha Naidoo who is my late
husband and Plaintiff and the late Rajah
Camadavra Sobanadry row Zemindar of
Chaurmaul the defendant in a Suit No. 1750 of
1811 on the file of the Northern Provincial Court.
The defendant satisfied the Plaintiff to pay
a sum of Rupees 94000 out of the amount
of the said Razeenamah after deducting a
sum of Rupees 29783-12-3. liquidated by the
Defendant to Plaintiff in the year 1814 and the
remaining sum of Rupees 65216-3-9. is still
due from him for it. Subsequently the said
Rajah Sobanadry row had borrowed from
Rajah Lashemmah the Mother and

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

Guardian of my husband a sum of Rupees
14,000 And the said Sobanadry row was
indebted to the said Lashemmah a sum
of Rupees 4956 according to the Decree passed
by the Zillah Court in the year 1818 the
said Zemindar avers the total sum of
Rupees 84172-3-9- to Rajah Lashemmah
the Mother of my husband. When my hus-
band Rajah Venkatanarasimha row was
alive, he had on the 7th September 1828 given
up his claim to the whole interest due on
the total amount of the said principal, for
he was anxious to merit the favor of the
Government and for his having been
recommended by the late Collector for it
My husband satisfied to receive the above
mentioned sum of Rupees 84172-3-9- for the
discharge of the whole debt due to him.
On behalf of the heirs of the late Rajah
Bommadavara Narasimhanaidoo Zemindar
of Vullore and Guntoor Purgannahs I admit
that I received from you for Rajah Rangiah
row the heir of the late Rajah Sobanadry
row the sum of Rupees 84172-3-9- for
the discharge of the whole debt due by
the heirs of the said Sobanadry row from
the

4836 Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

the Treasury of Masulipatam.—

When the late Rajah Bomma-
davara Nageminaidoo the father of my late
husband rented 4 Purgannahs of Chaurmaul
Zemindary belonging to the late Rajah of
Sobanadry pow, the private renters and Ryots
were indebted to him a certain sum of Money
on account of rent and List which debts
I will recover from the said Debtors as a
stipulated in the Razeenamah filed on
the 21st September 1814, by Rajah Sobanadry
pow and Rajah Bommadavara Sarkemmah
in the Northern Provincial Court, but I will
clearly inform you that the heirs of the late
Sobanadry pow are not responsible to pay
the same by any means.

I do hereby declare that if
any documents whatever concerning the pecuniary
dealing took place between the late Rajah
Sobanadry pow and the late Rajah Bommada
vara Nagemmah Naidoo and Vencatanarasimha
Naidoo are in my profession they are considered
to be null and avoid. This is willingly given
under my hand and the seal on the 8th Day
of March 1832. in the Village of Vellore.

Witnessed
Calepully Pullaiah
Govendarajoola Vencatasein

Signed Rajah Rajah Sobanadry Lakaneya Vencatanarsoo

In presence of whom this is written
by the hand writing of Mallory Bauperajoo

True Copy
Signed J. V. Monhouse
Collector

4837 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

No 7.

To Charles Roberts Esqre
Collector. yea yea yea
of the Zillah of —
Masulipatam.

An Urzee addressed by Rajah
Canadanah Panpiahroo Zamin-
dar of the Purganas Vinnacotta yea

I most submissively beg leave to ac-
quaint your Honor that I understood the contents
of your Enayetnamah to me dated 12th August
the debts of the Razeenamahs and the decrees
due to individuals by my father prior to his death
and the loans made by me as well as the debts
due by late Venkatah Narsimharoo the son of
my father's 1st Wife and Venkata Narsimha
Jaganatha pow the Eldest son of his 4th Wife
are amounting to Rupees 121,350 being the principal
and Interest up to the end of July 1835 or the 11th
July 1826. A List thereof with my signature and
Copies of the Razeenamahs are accompanying this
for your perusal. In consequence of my Father
and myself having been made frequent demands
by some respectable persons mentioned in the said
list regarding their debts, we stipulated interest
on the amount of interest at their option for
stopping their demands at that time as
satisfied

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

satisfied them by Ranjeenamahs and saved our dignity. As certain debtors are intending to give me trouble by instituting Suits in the Court in future, I caused their debts to be written in the present list. Besides this I and the sons of my father's 3d wife who are jointly with me had borrowed a large sum of money from our relations, but as they are my well wishers, who agree to receive their money from me after I possessed my Estate by your favor, I did not state their debts in the said list. It being necessary to inform you of the detail of some items mentioned in that list, I beg to state as follows.-

1. Rungaraze Kristnamah who held high situation with Mr. Read lent money to some Ryots of my Districts, procured my father's bond to him for those debts by pressing him at a time and preferred a complaint against him for it after the Courts were established. On which my father mortgaged some Villages to him for the discharge of the said debt and satisfied him by Ranjeenamah, subsequently the said Kristnumnah having transferred that Ranjeenamah to Coty Lambaseearow

the

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

the mortgaged Villages were ready for sale. at which time my father added a sum of Pagodas 1267 7/32 on account of the decrees dismissed by the Courts according to Section VIII Regulation II of 1802 regarding a Suit formerly instituted by Coty Saumbaseearow's father against him to the amount of the said Ranjeenamah for saving those Villages from being sold, and he and Saumbaseearow filed a Ranjeenamah for it. By which the said debt was increased and the said Account shews the particulars thereof.-

2. Nageena Naidoo the father of Boomadavara Venkata Nursinha Naidoo rented the Charnaul Zemindary and departed this life after some years had elapsed. His son Venkata Nursinha Naidoo having given up the rents, my District was at a loss for baiz in Fusly 1219 and it was ready for sale. on which my father agreed to transfer the Purganah of Venacottah to Sashum Soobaroydoo, and stipulated to pay him part of the value thereof prior to its transfer and partly after it, and tendered his document to him for it and received a sum of Rupees 48,000 from him at present and paid to Mr. Hawkins Collector on our account

account of Kists- whereupon the said Gentle-
man did not consent to tranfer it.- From the
spite of which the said Soobaroydoo preferred
a complaint against him for the recovery
of the whole amount on the said document a-
and transferred it to the said Venkatah Nursim-
-ha Naidoo- Sashummah the Mother and Guardian
of Venkatanursimhanaidoo Minor Zemindar then
promised my father that out of the amount
claimed, he would make up the profit of my
Estate to the exact amount he received, and
that she would reimburse him the amount
which he did not receive out of the sum,
and she made him trust and filed the said
Ranjeenamah for it but failed it to do ac-
-cordingly- Said addressed to you by a Petition
dated 28th May 1826 stating that out of
Rupees 48,000- exactly received by my father
in part of the amount of the said Ranjee-
-namah, after deducting the sum of Rupees
31,742—8—9- which she received from the
profit of my Estate from Fusly 1224 to
1226 and the remaining sum of Rupees
6,257—7—3- is due from her because you
have now been pleased to issue an Enquiry
-namah to me directing to inform you the
terms

terms of the Ranjeenamahs- It is clearly
stipulated in the said Ranjeenamah
that the said Nagunnah Naidoo should
receive the said debt from my father
without any interest infuture because
a spite took place between my father
and Sashummah for the Ranjeenamah
abovementioned. Therefore it will be
understood to you that Nursimhanaidoo's
Petition to pay the amount with interest
is not true and valid.-

3. My father became security for a
bond executed by Pannoogunty Chunniah
and Pinnamanenany Autchennah to suit
Berjee Ramonadass Gope Rathadoss
Sowcar, by which the said suit having
been instituted against them both, a
Ranjeenamah filed for it- When war-
-rants were issued against my father on
owing to his not having satisfied the
Ranjeenamah, he included the interest
already accrued from time to time in
the principal at the option of the Sowcar
for saving from that trouble and need to
satisfy him. Inconsequence of which the
interest exceed the principal according to
Regulation

Regulation, and both the principal and interest are amounting to Rupees 4,894 and odd for 1,980 on bond debt- therefore the particulars of which will be shewn from the said account..

4. With respect to the debt due to Calpantapoo Ramasastroolo, I beg leave to state that while his father Soobaroydoo had rented some Villages in my District, my father borrowed a certain sum of money from him and reimbursed it to him under the list of rent- Sobanadry departed this life saying that he would give back the bond. On which the said Ramasastroolo instituted a suit in the Court for the recovery on bond debt and obtained a decree in his favor, but the time of Appeal had expired because I was then on urgent business..

5. I saw that the matter of a sum of Rupees 3,312 and odd said to have been indebted by Venkatanursimharow the son of my father's 1st Wife to Hasurt Nawab Cutbul mulk cutbul Omarah Roostumjah Bahadar is quite false- As my father was alive to the date on which Rupees 300 was lent, he has no occasion to borrow money and

and is minor. If the matter of Rupees 500 is true, the Nabob Roostumjah had with an intention to recover it however for a Month merely after the origination of the bond which he could not repay it, preferred a complaint against on Venkatanursimhanaidoo. the circumstance of the Nawab having at once lent a sum of Rupees 2,500 to him without recovering the said debt is much doubtful. I do not know what ill persuasions were made to him but a Rayeenamah was filed for Rupees 3,000. The Court having issued a Warrant against the property mentioned in the Rayeenamah for the Amount of the instalment, a property worth Rupees 6 was found- The circumstance of the Nawab having lent the large sum of money to the person who has no property is not credible. When the warrant was issued Venkatanursimharow was by the ill persuasions of some persons enmity to us- In consequence of which it was then inconvenient for me to inform that circumstance to the authorities..

6. The amount of other items inserted in the

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

the list is really taken by my father and the proper interest was fixed thereon. I therefore have nothing to explain you more for it. By the unjust debts as above stated, my District has been exposed to a ruin. If I engage to make a large loan I will run hazard. Out of the amount necessarily required for my own expense, I diminished it to a large Extent, I am defraying my expense moderately by making loans and am suffering much trouble. I have nothing spent for unnecessary charges. I therefore humbly request your honor will be graciously pleased to keep your full favor on me and address a letter to the Board of Revenue with your recommendation for the means of discharging the debts and continuing my expense and to have me entire comfort.

15th September 1826 or Friday the 14th increasing Moon, Badrapadum, in the year Viah.-

Signed Rajah Banjiah Naw.

List.

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

No. 8.

List showing the particulars of the debts due to individuals on the Zemindary of Vinnacotta and Goodevadah Purganahs upto 11th July 1826 or Tuesday the 7th increasing Moon of Aushadhum in the year Viah.-

Particulars of debts due on Rayeenamahs Vea when Rajah Camadana Soobanadry Naw Zamindar was alive.

Due on Rayeenamahs as follows.

To Coty Saumbasevarow Pagt... Dogs Mr

Belonging to Rungaraze Kristniah.- Pagt Dogs Mr

Principal on bond	1764-7-	
Interest including costs of the Court to the date of the Rayeenamahs	1929-2-	
	3693-9-	
Deduct Repayment	960-10½	
and the balance is	2732-14½	

which sum having been transferred to this person by the said Kristna. mah he agreed to pay it.-

To Coty Sreyembakarow

Principal on bond	500-.-.	
Interest on costs of the Court	767-1½	1267-1½

This person having preferred a Complaint for the recovery of the said sum, the Zillah and the Provincial Courts non suited it according to Section 8 Regulation II of 1802, afterwards this sum was assigned to Coty Saumbasevarow during the transfer. 4,000-.-.-

Carried Over.

4846 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Brought over 4,000-- --

For which a Rauzeenamah was filed in the Month of May 1820.

Principal	4,000 --	
Interest on 1,000 Pagodas from 1st May 1820 on which the Rauzeenamah was filed to 11th July 1826 being 74 Months and 10 days	743- 5 6/16	
	4,743- 5 6/16	
Interest on 3,000 Pagodas from 22d July 1819 up to 11th July 1826 being 83 Months and 20 days in-	2,510 --	
	7,263 5 6/16	

Particulars of which is as follows-

Principal in	2,982 14 1/2	
Interest Vca	4,270 6 7/16	
	7,253 5 6/16	

at Rupees 385 per Cent is -- -- 27,925 -- --

To Sait Birjee Ramanadoss Gopenaddoss Sowcar---- Rajah Soobanadryrow being the security person to the bond executed by Pamoo-guntty Chenniah & Pinnum-nayy Attchennah.-

	Rupees	
Principal received	1,369 11 --	
Interest and rewards	610- 5 --	
		1,980 -- --
Carried over		27,925 -- --

4847 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Brought over 1980--- 27925---

Subsequent Interest Vca

Costs of the Court	179- 8--	
Interest	1217- 9- 9	
	1397- 1 9	
	3377 1 9	

For which a Rauzeenamah dated 12th October 1822 was filed by the said Chennah Paupiahrow and Attchennah, of whom each responsible for it--

Subsequent Interest from 13th October 1822 to 11th July 1826. 44 Months and 28 days	1,517- 7 --	
Bond	1,980--- --	
Interest Vca	2,914- 8 3/4	
		4,894- 8- 9

To Rajah Bommadavara Sashummah the Mother and Guardian to Venkatanarsinhanaidoo, by my father Soobanadryrow as per Rauzeenamah No. 173 of 1811 in which no interest was stipulated--

The Amount thereof is 9,400---

Deduct.

After Payment made to the then Collector to be paid to the said

Carried Over 32819-8- 9.

4848 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

Rs. A. P.

Brought Over — 32,819-8-9

Sashummah on Account of the profit de-
-rived from my Estate Fusly 1224 to 1226 as
stipulated in the Rawjeenamah is Ru-
-pees 31,742-8-9 and remaining is — 1,257 7 3

Total Rawjeenamahs — 95,077-- --

Rupees Viz.

Principal — 75,723 " "
Interest — 19,354 " "

95,077 " "

Decrees passed by the Court to
Culpatapoo Ramasastrooloo
Bond dated 10th increasing
Moon Caurtikum in the year
Projotputty is — 525 " "
Interest thereon from 21st January
to the date of the decree is — 455 " "

980 " "

Cost of the Court — 104 14 9

1,084-14-9

Viz.

Principal — 525--"--
Interest and Costs — 559-14-9

To Rajah Bommadavara Venkata
Nursimha Naidoo.
Bond dated 8th June 1816 — 4,000 " "
Interest thereon and the Costs of the
Suit as per decree dated 27th July 1821. — 956 " "

4,956 " "

Carried Over — 6,040-14-9

4849 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

Rs. A. P.

Brought over — 95,077--"--

For which no stipulation was made in it
to pay the Interest — 6040 14 9

Viz.

Principal — 4,525--"--
Interest and Costs of the Court — 1,515-10-9

Money on Bonds — 101,117-14-9

To Sait Veerkeeshnum dos.
Gopenathadoss Sowcar.
Bond dated thursday the 12th increasing Moon
Aswoojem in the Year Danta — 4,000 " "
Interest thereon from the said date to 2nd Badrapada
Soodhum in the Year Chitrabanoo for 71 months
at one Rupee per Cent per Month — 2845 " "

6,845 " "

Deduct.

The Interest remitted by the
Sowcar who promised that he
would remit part of the Interest is
Rupees 2,345 and remaining is — 4,500--"--
which is due to Ranoo Singiah from
whom Paupiah now borrowed and
paid to the said Sowcar.
Interest from 3d Badrapada Soodum
in the Year Chitrabanoo to the date
of this list for 46 Months 24 days — 2,075-9-6 — 6,575-9-6

Viz.

Principal — 84,747-15-9
Interest — 22,945-8-6

107,693-8-3

Carried Over — 107,693-8-3

Brought Over ——— 107.693-5-3.

Particulars of the loans made by Rayah Canadanah Panjiah Row:-

To Goondega Suttanah by Bond dated 10th Tuesday in the Month Awhadum of the Year Paredevah ——— 300 " "

To Leota Appayah by Bond dated Saturday the 3rd increasing Moon Badrapadum or 24th September 1825 ——— 2000 " "

Interest thereon from that date to 11th July 1826 for 9 Months & 16 days ——— 190 11 "

2.190 11 "

To Umboola Reddy by bond dated 15th Answeja Soodhum in the Year Paredahera on account of Cloths purchased from him ——— 400 " "

To Ummeraze Appiah through Vullooru Sanake Ramiah as per Bond dated 6th " Decreasing Moon Makhum in the Year Paurdheva ——— 2,000 " "

Interest from that date up to 11th July 1826 for 4 Months and 15 days ——— 90 " "

2,090 " "

Carried over ——— 4,980 11 "

107,693-5-3.

Brought over ——— 4,980-11 " 107,693-5-3.

To Maunbanum Venkatasawmy Naidoo by bond dated Tuesday the 13th decreasing Moon Mankum in the Year Paurdhevah.-

Principal ——— 1,000 " "

Interest from the said date to 11th July 1826 for 4 Months and 9 days ——— 43 " "

1,043 " "

6,023-11 "

Viz.t

Principal ——— 5,699 " "

Interest ——— 324 11 "

6,023 11 "

Debts due by Rajah Canadanah Venkatasurmha Row.-

To Huzrut Nabob Cutbul Mulk Cutbul Omarah Roostoomjah Bahader by bond dated Friday the 13th decreasing Moon Adheka geastum in the Year Veeramah the principal is ——— 500 " "

For which the Nabob Saib preferred a Complaint against him when they both satisfied for it, the Nabob as if he lent him the opposite sum which he did not, included it in the sum claimed with Costs and filed a Ranjeenamah dated 21st June 1821 in Cause No. 174 of 1821 for it. ——— 2,500 " "

3,000 " "

For which the said Ranjeenamah was filed including Costs to 3rd April 1822.

Rs. A P.

Interest ——— 312 " "

Value of Stamp paper for motion " 8 " ——— 312 8 "

According to the Courts proceedings on a motion No. 1765 of 1822 a Warrant was issued against him (Deft.) whose property was sold for 6 Rupees ———

Carried ——— 113,717-3-3.

Brought Over ——— 3,000 " " 11,717 3 5

To Udoomilly Bootchia by Bond dated 10th increasing Moon Vysaghum in the Year Vroosha..

Principal ——— 625 " "

Interest thereon from the said date to 11th July 1826 ——— 386 14 "

1,011 14 " 4,011 14

Debts due by Seroovalummah the Mother and Guardian of Rajah Camadanah Venkata Nursinha Jagunnatharow on account of Bonds..

To Saundra Punjiah as per Bond dated 8th increasing Moon Bandrapadum in the Year Vroosha..

Principal ——— 625 " "

Interest from that date to 11th July 1826 for 57 Months and 22 days ——— 360 13 "

985 13 "

To Cotagery Soobiah as per Bond dated Saturday the 2d increasing Moon Stravanum in the Year Chitrabhanoo..

Principal ——— 1,220 " "

Interest thereon from that date to 11th July 1826 for 46 Months and 25 days ——— 571 5 6

1791 5 6

To Cotagery Sashiah by Bond dated Tuesday the 10th increasing Moon Bhaudrapada Soodhum in the year Paurdheeah..

Principal ——— 325 " "

Interest thereon from the said date to 11th July 1826 for 9 Months and 27 days ——— 32 4 6

357 4 6

To Naurchamilly Soobeyetty as per Bond dated 1st increasing Moon Chytrasoodhum in the Year Swabhanoo..

Principal ——— 350 " "

Interest thereon from the said date to 11th July 1826 for 39 Months and 6 days ——— 137 4 "

487 4 " 2,621 11 "

Total Rupees 1,21,350 12 5

Principal ——— 2,520 " "

Interest ——— 1,101 11 "

3,621 11 "

Principal Rupees 96,591-15-9 Interest Ree Rupees 24,758-12-6 15th Septem-
ber 1826 or Friday the 14th increasing Moon, Bandrapadum in the year Vyayanam

/Signed/ Rajah Punjiah Row

No. 9

To Rajah Comadana Punjiah Row

As the following Money on account of debts due by your father the late Rajah Sabadary now to Coty Saumbasawarow and Culpatapoo Ramasastroolo was paid to them and the documents which were with them have been received, this is written for your information.

To Coty Sambasawarow

The amount for Pr. 4,000 according to an Extract Petition Razeenamah files in the Northern Provincial Court on the 20th March 1820 at Rupees 385 for 100 Pagodas is ——— 15,400 " "

Subsequent Interest up to the end of January 1827 is Rupees 13,560-v-2 ——— 6780 . 1

of which after deducting the sum of Pr. 6780 v 1 and the remaining is ———

22180 . 1

To Culpatapoo Ramasastroolo

Principal, as per Decree of the Zillah Court dated 21st January 1819 ——— 575 " "

Interest to the date of the Decree ——— 155 " "

Charges of the Court ——— 104 11 9

1084 11 9

Subsequent Interest upto the end of January 1827 ——— 1004 " 10

2,088 15 7

of which after deducting the sum of Rupees 1104-9-7 remitted by Ramasastroolo and the remaining is ——— 984 6 "

Total 23164 6 "

Twenty three Thousand, one hundred and sixty four Rupees Six Annas & one Piece.

Vasulipatam 19th February 1827

/Signed/ C. Roberts
Collector

To

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

No 10

To

Rajah Comadana Pauspiah Row

The sum of Rupees 3045 8 4

being the arrears due by your Father to said Boy Ramadoss. Gopeamaddass Sowcar according to an Extra. Petition filed in the Zillah Court on the 19th October 1822 has been paid to him and his Endorsement on the back of the said Razeenamah Mother was obtained for that effect. therefore this is written for your information.

Masulipatam. 21st March 1827

[Signed] C. Roberts
Collector

No 11

To

The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Humbly Sheweth,

The humble Petition addressed by Pittaresetty Venkatachalum Pantulu by profession Sowcar, inhabitor of Chemmanagary Pettah in the Town of Masulipatam.

With profound reverence and submission I assume liberty to lay my case before your Board that I submitted several Petitions under dates 4th June 6th August 1839. 30th June 1840, 30th June, 13th August 6th October 1841 and 5th January 1842 accompanying sundry public documents viz. for the space of my Petition

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

to you by the post representing particularly my losses &c. which are to be fulfilled by the Justice of the Honorable Company for the discharge of a debt due on account of pecuniary dealing which took place between me and Rajah Comadana Pauspiah Row Zemindar, the Eldest son of Rajah Lubanady Row the ancient Zemindar of the Purganahs of Vinnacotas and Goodewadah appertaining to the Zillah of Masulipatam from October 1833; 1st Petition among those with its enclosures was returned to me by the Collector, but I am not aware of your having brought the other 6 with enclosures into your candid consideration. On the 9th and 10th of Ultimo Mr. B. L. Porter the present Collector of this Zillah sent for to his Cutcherry and ordered me that all the persons who lent money to the Zemindar of Deamee Purganah, made applications by Mrzees advising to remit at 15 Rupees per Cent out of the principal, and to receive the other money if Collector prays it, that the late Rajah Rammadauvara Venkata Nursimha Naidoo had received, merely the original sum out of both principal and interest due to him on the Zemindary of Vinnacotah and Goodewadah Purganahs

Surganahs according to a Ruyjenamah, that if Still
him (Collector) what money will be remitted by me
out of principal regarding the debt due to my
Rajah Pauliah now the said Remainder of the said
District according to the Ruyjenamahs and Dowa
he (Collector) would express his opinion for the dis
charge of the other money. That if I do not agree to
remit money on the principal, the said two Purgu
nahs will be put to sale for the satisfaction of a
balance of Rupees 3,00,000 and odd due to the Circa
That afterwards a large allowance will be fixed
on Pauliah Row and that thereby I cannot e
my debt entirely. Having thought it necessary
to represent to you my answers &c. which I
requested him (Collector) and which are just
for my debt, they. leave to state briefly as follow
1st.

I represented to the Collector that
in consequence of an interest and presents a
wards having been greatly included in the bonds
executed by the Remainder of Dewan Purgunah to
some individuals and of some bonds having been
executed as if he had borrowed money instead of
paying it to some Officers &c. as fair gifts for
the performance of the Remainder's respective duties
and if no property whatever having been ass
igned on them and owing to the Remainder di
ving divers different sorts of things &c. to the dealers
more than interest without entering them in his
accounts

accounts for the performance of no complaints being
preferred by them in the Court. all the debtors
considered themselves that they being large number,
it is difficult for them to go in and make
complaints at a single time. that if they took
pains to do so and to bring the Estates into sale
and if there may be any profit after discharging the
balances said to have been due to the Circar. according
to the account of the Collection of the District, it
will be allowed to them (debtors) according to their
respective portions. that they which there is no
profit to them, and that if they agreed to remit
money at 15 Rupees per Cent and to receive the
other money from the Circar, by ready Cash it
is more profit for them to procure interest o
thereon in future and they consented to remit money
in the principal for their benefit but not for the
self interest of the Remainder of Deave Purganah.

2ndly I request him that as the
late Rajah Bommadavaru Narasimha Naidu
had consented to remit interest and to receive
principal only for no suits being instituted by
the late Rajah Sobanadry Row against him it
will be understood to the Collector that if he
pursue Ruyjenamahs &c. filed in the Northern
Provincial Court. no term was mentioned in that
Ruyjenamah to pay the interest. that by reason

of

of the interest not having been entered it, the Zemindar did not claim to it but no remission of the interest was made for the benefit of the Zemindar and for the increase of Government's favor.

3rdly Solicited him that the erimi-
stances of the Government who are sovereign, having paid interest by law on bonds granted by them to individuals, and of the Circar receiving interest on the Peishcush Money from the date after the expiration of the limited time for it, and of praying the other money after deducting interest on another days to the owners who wish within time to receive money on account of the public bills which contain fixed period: and of a sanction also of the Right Honorable the Court of Directors having been received to make over one third of the Estate of the Nidsavalee Zemindar to the Zemindar of Nagiaveed for the satisfaction of the interest stipulated in a Rawzeenamah according to the rules the Court filed by the Rajah Sobhanadry Appa Row Bahadar and Narrah Appa Row Bahadar in the Northern Provincial Court regarding the money lent by the Court on the mortgage of a Permanent Cowl of Nidsavalee from the Zemindar of

Nagiaveed Zemindar who was then Minor are known to him (Collector) that if he should peruse the manner in which the debts of the said Bom: madavarawar and those of the late Coty Sam: basawarow and others were borne, and the matter of interest on principal of them having been paid by the Circar, and of the Petitions dated 15th September 1826 with an account to the late Collector Mr. Roberts Collector from Rajah Punpiah Row together with Enquiry etnamahs under dates 19th February and 21st March 1827 issued by that Gentleman to the said Zemindar the particulars thereof will be understood to him; that the Money sent him Punpiah Row was received by the Circar for the discharge of the Peishcush balance mortgaging to me the Permanent Cowl No. 505 of the November 1806 which was prepared and kept in your Board, in the name of the late Rajah Sobhanadry Row, and which was endorsed to have fixed a Peishcush of Rupees 81,333 on the Purgunahs of Vinnacotah and Goodewa: sah on the 8th November 1831 and which was signed by the Governor in Council in the Month of January 1832 and which was given to Punpiah Row together with an Engagetnamah to him issued by Mr. Stonhouse Collector on the 8th March 1832. that Posthone Petitions not having been presented subsequent to the dates of my Rawjeenamahs and Sunnuds

4860 Fort St. George 23d March 1813.

Decrees I did not increase the interest like other creditors who receive interest. That I did not include any rewards &c. in the original Board, that he (Collector) should please to write letters to the Board of Revenue and Government with his recommendation in order to pay my original sum exclusive this with interest that they would not order to remit any sum in the Zemindari debt, several individuals to whom I gave loans, with me to remit interest on their debts shewing it to be an example, that thereby I shall sustain much loss if I obey the Collectors' orders for its remission, and that if the Government (who are just and charitable and who are maintaining all persons equally without partiality) should please to endorse Petition that may be submitted by me to pursue the Collectors orders, I shall regret for it thinking myself that my misfortune stood before them.

4861 Fort St. George 23d March 1813.

has not arisen therefrom during the management of the Circar to this date. If the Government with their intention that I did not pursue the Collectors orders do not order to divide Lists of each Villages of the Estate and to sell it accordingly to sale for the satisfaction of such balance said to have been due to the Circar and if they order with a view to make my debt not to be discharged therefrom to sell the whole Estate at once in the bidding of the Circar to meet the balance so that it may be inconvenient for each individual to purchase it, I can do nothing for it, that there is no custom to pay allowances to the Zemindars of the Districts which were already sold for the arrears of the Circar; that it is very charitable to pay allowances to the family of the late Zemindar of Pennacottah and Goodewadah Pungas maks from which no Peishcush arise for their maintenance at 6000 Rupees annually from 11th January 1838; that the circumstance of the increased allowances also being continued to them after its sale is not creditable that the Government do not consent to it, that consequence of the said Poonjeah's having conducted irregularities during his management and of his having caused a royal to institute a suit

I further beg that the Estate which is in mortgage to me with a permanent Cowl was attached on the 22d April 1831 merely for the arrears of a sum of Rupees 4133-11-8 as appears from the accounts that a balance of Rupees 3,00,000 and odd has been accumulated thereby not only one third of principal but also Peishcush

suit against him as if he mortgaged his houses which were newly built for his dwelling relative to the former debt due by him during his Shemindary management, he has lost his credibility, that he (Pauliah Row) has unreasonably caused a Pauper Plaintiff to prefer a supplemental complaint against me in respect to the suits pending between him and his brothers, that your Board and Government will please to bring the proofs of my Petitions into their consideration, that ever since the Collectorate of the late Collector Mr. John Bayham, it is customary for the Government to order the payment of both principal and interest on account of the loans made by Rajah Sobanadry Row the late Shemindar of Charmahul, for the discharge of the Peishcush to be made to the debtors and to remit the balance at different times, and to reduce the Peiscush, that the Government would please to allow me justice for the proper discharge of my public debt, that God has given me much boldness that they do not hurt me (who am dealing money concern with a hope of interest being procured by me under the flag of the Honorable Company) to a ruin by irregular reasons, that even if the Government who granted justice

regarding

regarding the money concern took place between the Shemindar of Noojiveed and that of Nedivaole should take sixty on Rajah Pauliah Row, he cannot escape the Circular order of the Sudr Uddalut but binds himself to it in respect of my debt, that consequently, in consequence of as long previous having expired and of interest on interest having been accumulated, it is usual and custom in the world for the borrowers to ask some lenders that if such particular sum should be remitted out of both principal and interest, the other money will be paid in ready cash and to get it remitted; that even in the said manner Pauliah Row did not ask me for it in his presence; that although he (Collector) ordered me in pursuance of the Custom of the Country to do so for the benefit of the Shemindar, yet we are five shareers for the whole amount due to me; that the other four shareers besides myself did not consent to remit any thing even in the interest, and that my name only being used in the Circar for it, he (Collector) who is authority having ordered me for the remission I will agree to what particular sum should be remitted on the interest due to my share.

5 Mby

She begs leave to state that instead

of

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

of bringing my solicitations as above into consideration, he (Collector) ordered me strictly such words as I could bear nearly for the remission in the principal. Mr. Roberts Collector issued orders to the Curdors and Pajah Pauliah now direct him to speak with them and make them Rayeu for the satisfaction of the former debts due from the Purganahs of Ummacolah and Goodewadah agreeable to the instructions of your Board and to received Elrizees from them for it and put them into records, but the present Collector has not done even in the same manner. nor did Pauliah now see the said Gentleman to 26th Ultimo.

As I am not aware of the orders from the Government and your Board to the present Collector for the discharge of my debt and of your orders in answer to the report made by the late Collector Mr. P. Grant in the Month of May 1841 for Rajah Rowi having refused to converse with me in a peaceable manner as the Collector did not order me even verbally what particular amount will be paid to me for the satisfaction of my whole debt. I trust your Board will be pleased to pardon me for my giving you again this trouble. The circumstances of the Collectors determination that my application for the sale of the 4 Villages

namely

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

namely Shennoor, Chavoor, Woorevey and Paumurroo which are mortaged in a Rayeenamah No. 16 of 1833 of the Northern Provincial Courts file for the satisfaction of the Decree in suit No. 12 of 1838 and No. 131 of 1838, passed by the Provincial and Auxiliary Courts has been set aside previous to its being decided by the Sudr Court is not true. I am ready to present a miscellaneous Petition to the Court of Sudr Udalut, together with public documents which I obtained for the aforesaid four Villages not being answerable to the Government either as mortgage or transfer, and to obtain their final orders.

I therefore humbly request that your Board will be graciously pleased to consider all the abovementioned circumstances represented to the Collector, as if requested your Board, and to take this Petition together with my Petitions of the dates mentioned in the first part of this into your favourable consideration, and to bring this Petition with a report of May made to you by the late Collector Mr. Grant, at the time of your perusing the answers of the present Collector for your information, with an intention that it is inconvenient for him to satisfy me by the

foregoing

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

of Paddy and to support me accordingly and to peruse the Gentoo Petition of this and copy of the translation of a Petition submitted to the Government on the 11th April 1842 and to bestow patient and pitiful perusal on this my humble Petition as well as those already addressed to you, and to enclose my former Petitions in this, and to furnish me your orders with an Endorsement on this the terms which may be conducted by me for my guidance clearly. For which kindness I shall as in duty bound

Masulipatam
4th February 1843.

Enes Pray
/Signed/ P. Venkatachellum

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply.-

7-8

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the A.g Chief Sec.y to Government Stating in reply to a letter from Government dated 7th Instant transmitting a Petition from P. Venkatachellum Maidon of Masulipatam that the non-liability of the 4 Villages of the Charmahal Zemindary to be sold.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant, transmitting a Petition from P. Venkatachellum Maidon of Masulipatam with enclosures and bringing to the notice of the Board the great delay which has occurred in furnishing the report on this subject called for in the Minutes of Consultation of April 11th 1842.-

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

sold in satisfaction of the claim of that individual having been established by an order of the Sudder Court the Board are in communication with the Collector on the measure necessary to be adopted in respect to the whole Zemindary and the adjustment of the demand of private creditors against the Zemindar.

2 In reply I am desired to state that the instructions of Government conveyed in the above Minutes of Consultation directing an application to the Court of Sudder Audaulut for revision of the order passed by the 1st Judge of the Provincial Court for the Northern Division in regard to the attachment of the four Villages of the Charmahal Zemindary were duly communicated to the late Collector of Masulipatam in an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of 28th April 1842. Mr. P. Grant having died on the 25th of the following month the Head Assistant in charge filed the requisite Motion before the Sudder Court who after reference to the Subordinate Tribunals passed an order under date 30th August 1842 reversing the decision of the 1st Judge of the Provincial Court, and directing of the payment of the Kauzenamah amount by the Collector and transfer to him of the 4 Villages as security were established to the satisfaction of the Provincial Court, that the claim of the Circar to these Villages should be held Paramount to every other. From delays occurring in the Provincial and Auxiliary Court the

foregoing circumstances took place in the presence of the Collector, and to remain not to use for me the examples which are shewn to me that Bommadavara Venkata Narsimha Row and the Creditors who gave loans to the Zemin=dar of Deave Purganah remitted interest with some part in the Original sum, and to make full enquiry into the circumstance of the money lent by me having been received in the Treasury of the Government, and of the remitted as well as interest for interest, not having been in=cluded in my debt, and to peruse over the particu=lars of the interest paid by the Circar, as appears from the accompanying translations Nos. 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9 and 10 of a Rayjeenamah filed on suit No. 173 of 1811 of the Provincial Court's file of a Petition No. 328 of 1821 with its Enclo=sures, presented to the Court by Plaintiff in that suit praying for the execution of the said Rayjeenamah of a Petition dated 26th Sep=tember 1816 to Mr. George Edward Russell Go= Rajah Sobanadry Row, of a receipt tendered by Rajah Bommadavara Rayjaya Lutchmama to Mr. Stonhouse Collector of an Urzee dated 15th September 1826 to Mr. Charles Read Collector from Rajah Pauperiah Ven=

=nah of 19th February and 21st March 1827 issued by that Gentleman to the said Pauperiah row. As I have produced Stamp papers to the Provincial Court for authentica=ted copies of a complaint in the said cause No. 173 of 1811, and of the enclosures Nos. 1 and 2. I will, soon after I receive them, submit them to your Board with my Petition. It will be clearly understood to you from them that the circumstances which I stated above regarding the interest said to have been re=mitted by Bommadavarawar are true. I further pray your Board will be kindly pleased to keep equal compassion on me as you did towards the Zemindar and to bring it to the notice of Government with your re=commendation so that I may receive exactly the debts due on account of the Rayjeenamahs filed in suits Nos. 43-44 and 45 of 1834, and of the Decree in Cause No. 12 of 1838 on the file of the Provincial Court and of that of the Auxiliary Court in suit No. 131 of 1838 as well as the balance after deducting payments made to me out of the principal and interest due on account of the money borrowed from me on the mortgage of

Fort St. George 23th March 1843.

the final order releasing the Villages in question from attachment was not communicated to the Collector of Masulipatam until the middle of November last. and was reported by him to the Board in a letter which was received at this Office on the 26th of that Month.

† 23d November 3n Cons. 8th December 1842.

3. The non liability of these Villages to be sold in satisfaction of the claim of P. Veneatachella Naidoo having been thus satisfactorily established, it then remained for the Board as required in the Minutes of Consultation to take into consideration the measures necessary to be adopted in respect to the whole Zemindary. It being obvious that as Government had remarked, no indulgence on their part could profit the Zemindar unless some arrangement could be effected with his private Creditors, the Board in their Secretary's letter of December 15th to which they would beg more particularly to refer, communicated most fully with the Collector on this subject. It will be seen from Para 5 of Mr. Porter's letter of the 17th Ultimo (as indeed the Petitioner Veneatachella Naidoo admits) that he is using every exertion to carry the order of the Board into effect, & that, it

In Cons. 2d March 1843.

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

is to be regretted, with little success, his efforts having been thwarted mainly by the unreasonable conduct of the Petitioner himself.

4. The Board have been induced to enter into the foregoing detail for the purpose of shewing that the delay which has occurred is in no degree attributable to themselves or the subordinate Revenue authorities. The question is one of difficult adjustment, and some time may yet be required to bring it to a successful issue, but the Board feel assured that it is receiving every attention from the Collector of Masulipatam who will leave no means untried to effect a satisfactory arrangement at as early a period as

4872 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

as circumstances will permit.-

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George,
23d March 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following Extracts.

From the Actg Chief Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations under date the 14th March 1843.-

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue of the Rajahmundry District for Fasly 1251.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue of the District of Rajahmundry for Fasly 1251/41-42

(Here enter 9th February 1843. No 59)

9

Para 1. This year's Receipts including arrears and Extra collections amounted to 4,86,634 Rupees. The charges though 12,897 Rupees above the previous year, are accounted for by the increased manufacture and purchase of salt, and by the general settlement of the

4873 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Fasly 1250/40-41 2,69,461 settlement, whole net Revenue of 4,05,760.
Fasly 1251/41-42 4,05,760 or Rupees 1,35,299 above that of Fasly 1250/40-41
Rupees 1,35,299 is left.-

2. The Government have on former occasions viewed with regret the falling off in the sales of Salt in this District, and are now gratified to notice the result of this year's management shewing an Increase both in the Home and Inland Consumption, the former indicating improvement in the means of the people generally, an advancement particularly to be wished for after the late disastrous seasons. Omitting the export to Calcutta and comparing the sales with those of former years when no such export took place, the result is satisfactory and

+ 15,716-
26580-

+ Nett Revenue
1247 -- 14,1630
1248 -- 12,2102-
1249 -- 13,787-
1250 -- 9,4837-
1251 -- 14,7202. This cannot be calculated exactly as the charges for the Extra Salt are not known but I have set here at 2,000 Rs.

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

and to the increase under this head must be chiefly attributed the excess in the gross receipts abovementioned.

3. The Government would observe with reference to the Collector's Statement that "the spontaneous salt had "interfered with the sales to a con-"siderable extent" that due Vigilance and the exercise of the legal powers vested in the Magistracy, should for the most part, obviate such an interference even had not the contingency mentioned, occurred. It is trusted that no clandestine collection or sale of spontaneous salt will be permitted to affect the Revenue derived from this monopoly.

4. The entire collections under this head amounted to Rupees 2,59,928 exceeding those of the previous Fasly by Rupees 139394 and including Extra collections, the gross receipts were 144923 Rupees above a similar com-

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

parison in Fasly 1250 Fo 41

5. In the other sources there was also an increase, with the exception of those in the Margin, their respective fluctuations need no notice other than the Board have already taken.

6. The Government would reiterate the remarks of the Board on the continuance on the accounts of the old arrears and with reference to Para 15 of the Collectors report would observe that such inquiries should commence so as to terminate before the dispatch of the Collectors report that he might be enabled to advance finally on the subject. It is feared, that generally such questions lie dormant, until the settlement of another year again calls attention to them.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

I have &c.
(Signed) I. F. Thomas
A. Chief Secretary.

A true Extract)

Order thereon

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance with reference to the Board's

9-10

these

4876 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Board's Proceedings of the 9th February last. Mr Smith's particular attention is directed to the observations of Government contained in Para 6—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th March 1843.

From the Actg Chief Secretary to Government—

Read the following—

11

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras and recommending that the sale of certain ground reported to have been made for Rupees 882—"—"— to C. Vencatachellum Chetty may be confirmed—

(Here enter 2d March 1843 No. 95)

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council confirms the sale of the ground referred to in the foregoing proceedings to C. Vencatachellum Chetty for the sum of Rupees (882) Eight hundred and eighty two—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Actg Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To

4877. Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

To The Collector of Madras.

Sir,

To the Collr of Madras—

11-12

From Govt 14th March 1843 inform: 23d—"

in Cons: 2d March 1843.

With reference to your letter of the 2d Instant No. 21— I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to confirm the sale of the ground referred to therein, to Vencatachellum Chetty for the sum of Rupees 882—"—"—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

(Signed) C. G. Lovell
A.J. Secretary

Read the following Extract—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th March 1843—

From the Actg Chief Secy to Government

13

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 19th December 1842 and entered in Consultation 10th January 1843—

Read also the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

Submitting with reference to the order of Government of the 10th Instant, a letter from the Collector of Madras reporting the probable loss of Revenue involved in the change in the mode of valuing Sugar recommended by the Chamber of Commerce—

(Here enter 26th January 1843 No. 39—)

Upon a consideration of these

these papers, his Lordship in Council is of opinion, that it is questionable, whether the proposed alteration in the Tariff rates of Sugar and in the period of their continuance, is fully in accordance with the provisions of the Regulation, but as the measure is recommended by the Board of Revenue, and advocated by the Chamber of Commerce, and the Collector of Madras, and is calculated to be advantageous to the public His Lordship in Council will not interfere with the proposed proceedings and views of the Board.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract
/ Signed / J. F. Thomas
A.C. Chief Secy.

14

Order thereon, 1. Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and the letter from the Secretary to the Board therein mentioned be forwarded to the Collector of Land Customs at Madras for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter dated 21st January 1843, and that he be requested to keep samples of the several sorts of Sugar from 1 to 4 according to the classification

Inwards 26th Jany 1843.

Classification described in his letter of the 12th December 1842, in his Office for transmission to any of the Collectors of Districts in the interior who may require them.—

Inwards 19th Decr 1842.

2 Ordered that the following Circular letter be despatched to all Collectors except the Collectors of Land and Sea Customs at Madras.—

3 Ordered also that the Acting Collector of North Arcot be requested to regulate the Amount of duty to be paid on certain Sugar the property of Mr Gideon Thomson which was the subject of Mr Goldingham's letter dated 21st September last according to the System of Classification and Valuation explained in the foregoing Circular.—

Inwards 6th Octr 1842.

13-15

Circular to all Collectors Except the Collectors of Land & Sea Customs at Madras.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that in order the better to distinguish the several sorts of Sugar and determine the amount of duty payable on each and to prevent the fluctuation and uncertainty thereon, hitherto complained of, The Most Honorable the Governor in Council has

Circular to all Coll.rs Except the Coll.rs of Land & Sea Customs at Madras

Communicating orders in regard to the Classification and valuation of Sugar in their districts.

14 Inwards 23d March 1843.

has been pleased to order that a new classification and fixed valuation shall be established to have effect for two years, that is the prices in your Dis=trict are not to exceed them, altho' it is not neces=sary if now below them that they should be raised to this standard

2. With this view the several sorts have been devided into the following Clapses—

No 1	Refined or pure white Sugar	70
2.	Partially refined	55
3.	Raw Sugar grained (the Sugar of Commerce)	40
4.	Country Sugar ungrained	25

In these clapses Jaggery whether made from Cane Juice, Palmira or Molapses is not included not being considered an article of Export

3. It will be necessary for you to ascertain the several sorts of Sugar as thus defined sufficiently to enable you to Classify any that may be produced in the District in your charge; with this view the Collector of Land Customs at Madras has been requested by the Board to supply you with Specimens of the four sorts on your applying to him for them.

In

4 In fixing the valuation for two years it will be necessary to take care that it is not taken too high, and rather in accordance with the prices that prevail in the producing and export=ing season when the principal sales take place than from a season when the transactions are few or small and the prices much above the average—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ——
23d. March 1843. ——

(Signed) C. B. Lovell
Asst Secretary

Read the following Extracts—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 14th March 1843—

From the Asst Chief Secy to Government

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

(16)

Submitting a letter from the Princi=pal Collector of Salem having reference to a claim advanced by the Mootahdar of Valacoorchy in that District & recom=mending that the Pl Coll.r may be empowered to pay to the Mootahdar the past Collections on the Giddacanval lands made over to him in pursuance of a decree of Court & to give up to him that part of the Cultivated Giddacanval land of his Mootah which is still retained as Government land—

(Here enter 2d Feb.y 1843 No 60)

Under the circumstances stated

stated in the foregoing letter, His Lord=
=ship in Council authorizes the Principal
Collector of Salem to pay to the Mootah=
=dars of Valacoorchey the sum of Rupees
(3197–4–8) Three thousand one hundred
and Ninety seven, Annas four, and pice
eight, being the amount of Collections from
the Giddacaeval lands of that Mootah, re=
retained in deposit in the Treasury and
also to deliver up to them that portion
of Land within the Survey limits of
the Estate, which is stated to be still
in the possession of Government—

To The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue—

/ A true Extract
/ Signed / J. F. Thomas
A. Chief Secretary

Order thereon,

16-17

Ordered that a Copy of
the foregoing Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation and of the Board's
letter to which it is a reply be furnished
for the information and guidance of the
Acting Principal Collector of Salem, in
with reference to Mr. Gleig's letter of
the 5th January last—

To

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Gentlemen,

From J. F. Thomas Esqre
A. Chief Secretary to
Government

†No. 1818/1840 of
1843.

18

In forwarding the accompanying Petitions from Shasha=
=ghierry Row the late Head Sheristdar of
Madura, I am directed to request, you
will be pleased to furnish the Govern=
=ment with a Copy of your Proceedings
thereon—

Fort St. George
16th March 1843

/ Signed / J. F. Thomas
A. Chief Secretary

Ordered that the follow=
=ing address be submitted in reply—

To The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

To the A. Chief Secy
to Government—

18-19

No. 1814/184 of
1843.

In Con. 23rd March

I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your
letter of the 16th instant transmitting two
Petitions from Shashagherry Row the late Head
Sheristadar of Madura and to forward
for the information of His Lordship Extract
from their proceedings upon his Petition of
Appeal in the case of the alleged Bribe of 35000 Rs

20th March
1843.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
23d March 1843.

/ Signed / C. L. Lovell
A. Secretary

Read

Read again letter from the Commissioner at Madura dated 29th December last and entered in Consultation 20th Instant—

Proceedings thereon, 1. The accused is charged with having corruptly received from the late Manager of Ramnad a Coot Stone Muntabhum at Ragoonadasamoodrum and with having appropriated the Stones of it to the erection of a Choultrum raised in his own Name at Thirupalaver; and with having received at various times in aid of its erection and towards its support Money illegally levied from Inamdars or taken from the Zemindary Funds—

20

2. The Principal Collector Mr Blackburne has acquitted the accused of all Criminality in receiving the stones from the old dilapidated Mundabhum to aid in the erection of the one built by him, but passes a severe and most deserved censure upon the accused for his duplicity in concealing and denying all knowledge of the fact, when it is clear that the Stones were appropriated at his express desire—

3. The Principal Collector considers

considers that part of the charges relating to the levy of contributions from the Circumstances in aid of building the Choultrum not to be proved Deeming the receipt of 14 Rupees a Month from July 1834 to August 1842 both inclusive for the support of the Choultrum at Teroopalier to have been acquiesced solely by his Official rank and influence and that such would not have been granted by the late Manager to another individual, Mr Blackburne recorded judgment against the accused to repay the sum of Rupees 1372 being the exact amount received. The Board of Revenue having carefully gone thro' the whole of the Proceedings in this case concur in opinion with the Principal Collector that the accused acquired the Amount in question solely by his Official Rank and influence and that he is highly censurable for the same, but believing that the Amount has been bonâfide disbursed for a Charitable purpose and that no portion thereof has been appropriated to the private use of the accused, they are of opinion

that

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

that the fine should be remitted—

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th March 1843—

21

From the A.t Chief Secy to Government—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

(21)

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 21st May 1841 a Statement shewing the result of the sale of the Porto Novo Ploughs— (Here enter 27th February 1843 No 96.)

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council referring to the Resolution of the 21st May 1841 No 691 grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the several Collectorates named in the Statement which accompanied the above letter, the loss which has occurred in the sale of the Porto Novo Ploughs, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 8,465–6–7 (Eight thousand four hundred & sixty five annas six & pice seven)

2 The Board of Revenue will instruct the Collectors in whose Districts the unsold Ploughs remain, to distribute them gratis to the most influential Ryots and to such others as are likely from their circumstances and occupation to bring

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

bring them into use—

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
A.t Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To The Collector of Ganjam

Sir,

22

To the Coll.rs of Ganjam— Vizagapatam, Nellore, Cuddapah, Chingleput, Salem, Trichinopoly and Madura

21-22

The Same to Vizagapatam	17 inbons 31st Jany 1842
Nellore	8 inbons 22d Novr 1841
Cuddapah	14 inbons 23d Augt "
Chingleput	19th Octr inbons 4th Novr "
Salem	1st Octr inbons 6th Octr 1842
Trichinopoly	28th Septr inbons 6th Octr "
Madura	26th Septr inbons 3d Octr "

17 in. bons 23d March 1843.

With reference to your letter of the 28th September 1842, I am directed by the Board of Revenue under instructions from Government to request that the unsold Iron Ploughs remaining in your District may be distributed gratis to the most influential Ryots and to such others as are likely from their circumstances and occupation to bring them into use—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 23d March 1843—

(Signed) E. B. Lovell
A.t Secretary

Read the following

Extract—

Extract

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th. March 1843.

From the Actg Chief Secy to Government } 23

Read the following letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India—

23

Sanctions the continuance of the allowance of Rupees two hundred per Annum enjoyed by the late Poligar of Nairjumpully to his surviving Widow Naranamah during her life time— (Here enter 17th February 1843 No 22)

Ordered that the sanction granted in the foregoing letter for the continuance of the Pension enjoyed by the late Poligar of Nairjumpully to his Widow be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, and to the Offices of Account and Audit—

From Bd of Rs 12th May in cons 7th June 1842 No 33-34
To Do 9th Augt enclosing 9th Augt No 20-21
From Do 12th Jany in cons 31st Jany 1843 No 10-11

The Board of Revenue will instruct the Collector of Bellary distinctly to explain to Naranamah the particular nature of the Grant, and to disburse to her the difference between the full pension now sanctioned and the sicca she has drawn in part since 1839, reporting the Amount for the Sanction of Government

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

Government—

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Actg Chief Secretary

A

To J. F. Thomas Esqre

Acting Chief Secy to Govt of Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 94 dated the 28th Ultimo with its enclosures, and under the peculiar circumstances of the case to convey the sanction of the Honorable the President in Council for the continuance of the allowance of Rupees 200 per Annum enjoyed by the late Boochapah Naid Poligar of Nairjumpully to his surviving widow Naranamah during her life time—

2 The original enclosures of your letter are returned herewith—

Fort William, the 17th February 1843 (Signed) F. J. Halliday
Offg Secy to the Govt of India—

Order thereon, 24

23-24

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation with Copy of the letter from the Government of India to which it refers, be communicated to the Collector of Bellary for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter dated 7th December 1842. Mr. Mellor's attention is particularly directed to the orders of Government in Para 2 of the above Extract—

Read

From the A.t Chief Secretary to Government — Read letter transmitting copy and translations of certain acts of the Supreme Government —

(25)

Order thereon,

Ordered to be lodged —

(25-26)

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation — dated 18th March 1843.

(From Nellore 15th in Cons 23d Feby)

From the A.t Chief Sec.y to Govt — The Most Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement of Rupees 12-10-0 One hundred and twenty four, and Annas ten for the purpose stated in the foregoing proceedings —

(27)

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
A.t Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence —

To The Principal Collector of
Nellore.

To the Pl. Coll.r of Nellore —

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant sanction for the expenditure of Rupees 12-10-0 for making three Stands for the use of the Sub Collectors Cutcherry applied for in your letter dated 15th February

(27-28)

From Govt 18th March 1843 in Cons 23d Feby No 287 in Cons 23d Feby

February 1843 No. 19.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 23d. March 1843 } (Signed) C. L. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following letters

To The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Ganjam — I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt, at a late hour Yesterday, of your letter dated the 2d. and noted as despatched on the 11th Instant, desiring me to report whether my usual place of residence is at the same Station with the Principal Cutcherry and Treasury, and if not, at what place I usually reside —

(29)

In reply I beg to state, that as the Board will be aware, there are two Revenue Treasuries in this District under my charge, one at Chicacole, the other at Chetterpore — at the former Station, where one of my Assistants usually resides, a part of the former Court House is appropriated for the Treasury and Cutcherry.

The

The Principal Cutcherry and Treasury in the Northern portion of the District is situated near the Village of Chetterpore, where a new Cutcherry was built about five years ago since the middle of the year 1840, I have rented a house at Ganjam, distant about 4 Miles from the Cutcherry, in which I usually reside, during the portion of the year that I am not absent on Circuit in the District.

Ganjam District
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry,
Purlah Kennedy, 20th March
1843.

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From P. B. Smollett Esqre
A.t Coll.r of Vizagapatam

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 2d March received this day, I beg to state that my usual place of residence is at Vizagapatam, where the principal Cutcherry, and Treasury are likewise situated.

Vizagapatam, Coll.rs
Cutcherry 17th March 1843

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
A.t Collector

J.G.B

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

31

From G. A. Smith Esqre
Collector of
Rajahmundry

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 2nd Instant received yesterday evening, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that my usual place of residence is at Cocanada where my Cutcherry and Treasury are.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry
Dracharam 16th March 1843.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith.
Collector

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

32

From R. T. Porter Esqre
Collector of
Masulipatam

Sir,

Received 15th

In reply to your letter of the 2nd Instant, I have the honor to report that my usual place of residence is Masulipatam at which place are situated the principal Cutcherry and the Revenue

Revenue, and General Treasuries.-

Masulipatam Zillah ----
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry
At Bheemdole 16th March 1843

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

33

Sir,

From W. Stokes Esqre.
Collector of Guntoor-

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt this day of your letter dated 2nd Instant and to state in reply that my principal place of residence is at Guntoor with the Cutcherry and Treasury. I remained there with the exception of three short intervals: From the 22nd of April, when I assumed charge of this district till the 4th of November when I accompanied the Civil Engineer to look at the principal works projected for the present season. Since the 6th of January I have been absent from Guntoor making the Summahbundy of the Paul-nad and part of the Auluruzu Estates I have still to visit for the same purpose Mantoor, Mungalagherry and Banjeitah-When my presence is not required at the

above places, I propose to stay during the extreme heat at Dinda, where I arrived yesterday and to return to Guntoor in the begining of June.-

Guntoor Zillah ----
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry,
Nuzumpatam Dinda,
15th March, 1843 ---

/Signed/ W. Stokes
Collector

To,

C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

34

Sir,

From A. Meller Esqre.
Collector of Bellary.

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter bearing date the 2nd March received this day and in reply to acquaint you for the information of Government, that Bellary the Head Quarters of the Ceded Districts is my Sudr Station and usual place of residence, and it is at the same station that my principal Cutcherry and Treasury are kept. The annual settlement of this extensive District renders my travelling into the interior indispensably necessary for not less than Six Months in the year, when however

Fort St. George 23rd March 1843

however I am accompanied by my Principal Cutcherry.-

Bellary Collrs. Office, 15th March 1843.

/Signed/ A. Miller
Collector.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

35

Sir,

From W. R. Bayley Esqre.
Commissioner in Kurnool

With reference to your letter of the 2nd Instant instant, received last night I have the honor to state for the information the Board of Revenue that I have no fixed Cutcherry / as a Building / but that the Treasury is at Kurnool which I consider my Head Quarters, though circumstances have obliged me to be so much out in the District during the 12 Months that I have been here, that I have only visited Kurnool itself occasionally for 10 or 20 days at a time, to see that things are going on properly. It is impossible for me to state what is my "usual place of residence", as I have been constantly on the move over all corners

Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

of the District, with the view of making myself acquainted with the people, the soil, the method and produce of cultivation, and the old Mamools of the country, and with the view of personally investigating and reforming the irregularities found to exist in the Collection of the Revenue, and the incapability of the Talook servants. These objects being now nearly attained, I do not consider that in future it will be necessary to be out in the District so much, but that the duties may be more centralized at Kurnool, especially when a Cutcherry is built.-

During the 12 Months that I have been here, 5 have been engaged in Summahbundy, when of course the principal portion of my Cutcherry accompanied me. During the other 7 Months, I only took out such portion as was necessary, the accountant and Treasurers Department always remaining at Kurnool.-

Kurnool Commissr's Circuit Office, Gazool July 10th March 1843

/Signed/ W. R. Bayley.
Commissioner.

To

4898 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

To,

C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From C. H. Hallett Esqre.
Collector of
South Arcot.

36

In reply to your letter of 2nd. Instant, received last evening, I have the honor to inform you that during ca. about 5 Months, I am moving about my District under Canvas; during the remainder of the year I reside at Cuddalore where are my principal Cutcherry and Treasury.-

Tinomalay, South Arcot,
Collr's. Circuit Cutcherry,
15th March, 1843.

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Collector.

To,

C. C. Lovelly Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From A. P. Onslow Esqre.
Collector of
Trichinopoly.

37

In reply to your letter of the 2nd. Instant received this day, I have the honor to state that my usual place of residence is at Trichinopoly, where the principal Cutcherry

4899 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

Cutcherry and Treasury of the District are.-

Salem District,
Moghanoor,
14th March, 1843.

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Commissioner
in Charge of Madura.

38

In reply to Your letter of the 2nd. Instant, I have the honor to state that the usual place of residence of the Principal Collector of Madura is at the same station with his principal Cutcherry and Treasury.-

Thirooppovanum,
Madura,
16th March, 1843.

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.
Commissioner.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From C. B. Thomas Esqre.
Acting Collector of
Tinnevelly.

39

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt this day, dispatched 11th of your letter of the 2nd. Instant, and to state

Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

state that since my joining this Province in September last, I have been chiefly on Circuit, but that the usual place of residence Tinnevelly, is at the same Station, with the Principal Cutcherry and Treasury of the District.

Tinnevelly, 15th March 1843. } Signed C. B. Thomas.
Collector.

To,
C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

40

From H. M. Blair Esqre. Principal Collector of Canara. } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2nd Instant and in reply to state that my usual place of residence is at Mangalore, which is the Station of my principal Cutcherry and Treasury.

Principal Collr's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 16th March 1843. } Signed H. M. Blair.
Pl. Collector.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

41

From H. V. Conolly Esqre. Collector of Malabar. } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt this day of your letter dated the

Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

the 2nd Instant, and to report that my usual place of residence is at Calicut, the Station where the principal Cutcherry and Treasury are situated.

Calicut, 15th March 1843. } Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector.

29-42
Order on the thirteen foregoing letters
Vide letter to Govt 30th March.

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

43

From R. J. Porter Esqre. Collector of Masulipatam. } Sir,

Para 1. With reference to a letter from the Board's Office under date 20th December 1841, relative to the proper course to be pursued for the education of the Minor zemindars in this District, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board, that there are but two zemindars viz.t those of Deevy and Moonegal, whose Estates are now under the management of the Court of Wards on account of their Minority. There are two others also, viz.t the Proprietors of Davercottah and Bulle-
=parroo, who, tho' under age, have not
been

been placed under the superintendence of the Court.

2. Applications have already been received on behalf of the Minor Zemindar of Deevy, and the proprietor of Bullepurroo for admission into the Collegiate High School which it is in contemplation to establish shortly at Masulipatam, and I am of opinion that the object in view would be better attained by allowing the Minors in this District to avail themselves of the advantages which may be afforded at the High School, than by their removal to the University at Madras.

Masulipatam Zillah,
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry,
at Ralunghy, 9th March, 1843.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Actg. Chief Secretary to Government.

In Cons. 9th Decr 1841.

(43-44)

With reference to the orders of Government under date 19th November 1841 No. 1439, I am directed by the Court of Wards to submit the accompanying letter from

In Cons. 23rd March 1843.

from the Collector of Masulipatam dated the 9th March 1843, stating that applications have been received in behalf of the Minor Zemindar of Deevy, and the proprietor of Bullepurroo, for admission into the Collegiate High School, shortly to be established at Masulipatam, The Court concur in the opinion expressed by Mr. Porter, that it will be better that the Minors of that District should, for the present, be allowed to avail themselves of the advantages which may be afforded by the High School to be established there, than that they should be removed for their education to the University at Madras.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23rd March, 1843.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(45)

From W. Stokes Esqre
Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to the accompanying

Fort St. George 23d. March 184

1. Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal N. D. dated 23d. May 1842.
1. Letter to the Register to the Provincial Court of Do. dated 26th January 1843.
2. Do. Extract from the Proceedings of Do. dated 8th March 1843.

accompanying correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to request the Board may be pleased to obtain Sanction for the sum of Rupees 71-6- according to the enclosed Statement, disbursed to persons employed to act for Servants, who were required to attend as witnesses before the Provincial Court of Appeal and Circuit for the Northern Division, in the Month of June last.

I have &c.

Guntoor, 13th March, 1843.

Collector's Cutcherry.

Signed J. A. Stokes.
Collector.

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Northern Division.

Present.
J. Horsely Esqre. Acting 1st. Judge
C. B. Gluis Esqre. Acting 2nd. Judge
John Walker Esqre. Acting 3rd. Judge

Dated 23d. May 184

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Adalut dated the 9th Instant No. 93, held upon the reference of this Court of the 29th Ultimo on the subject of the attendance of the Revenue Servants, who are witnesses in the case of Cotum Royelnaidoo late District Moonsiff of Chabrole, and as to whether the original

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

ordered to be made by the Provincial Court in Paragraph 25 of the Proceedings of the Higher Court can be carried on during the adjournment.-

2. With reference to the Acting Collectors letter of the 20th Ultimo, the Higher Court fully concur in opinion with this Court that it would be highly objectionable to delay the examination of a considerable number of suspected persons until their companions had been all examined and observe that such mode of examination might altogether defeat the object of the enquiry.-

3. They, therefore, think that the Acting Collector will be able to make temporary provision for the discharge of their duties, and moreover see no objection to the enquiry being conducted during the adjournment.-

4.- Ordered accordingly that the Acting Assistant Judge at Guntoor be directed to cause the attendance of the servants alluded to, and all the other witnesses as early

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

early as possible.-

4. Ordered that an Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the above Officer with Receipt requisition conformity thereto, and returnable in 1 week from and after its receipt.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ John Walker
Acting 3d Judge for the Bench

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. Wood
Acting Assistant Judge

To No. 2.

The Register to the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division
Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Court will be pleased to obtain sanction for the expense encurred as per the accompanying bill amounting to Rupees 71-6-, in providing during their absence for the discharge of the duties of the Public servants [illegible] Court in conformity with their instructions to the acting Assistant Judge dated 2[illegible]

 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

23d May 1842.

Guntoor Zillah -
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry,
Goorjah, 26th January 1843.

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector.

No. 3.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division
Dated 8th March 1843.

Present
J. Hordley Esqre
Acting 1st Judge.
C. D. Glass Esqre
Acting 2nd Judge.

1. Read letter of the 26th January last, No. 72, from the Collector of Guntoor, requesting this Court to obtain sanction for the expence incurred in providing for the discharge of the duties of the Public servants on his Establishment, during their absence as Witnesses before this Court in the case of conspiracy by S. Venkata Kumarow and others against Colum Royal Naidoo.-

2.- The Provincial Court resolve not to interfere with the Expenditure of the Revenue Department.-

3.- Ordered that an Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the above Officer for his information.-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ C. D. Glass
2d Judge for Register

To The Collector
in the Zillah of
Guntoor.

Memorandum

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

No. 4.

Memorandum of expense incurred during the absence of different servants who attended before the Provincial Court of Appeal to give evidence in case of Etum Pydah To Goondemada Venkatasem Salt Head Mootsuddy, who acted for Totah Rivtnamah Ameen and Head of Police of Chabole Tanah.

Pay as Revenue Ameen from 3d to 19th June 1842 being 17 days at 40 Rupees per Mensem	22	11
Do Head of Police from Do to Do Do at 60 Rs per Do	34	5
	56	10
Deduct one-third, he having received his payas Salt Head Mootsuddy	18	14
Remainder two thirds	37	12
To Somanajilah Sastroolo who acted for Kid=amby Ramanja Charloo Peshcar of Coraspands Tanah from 3d to 26th June being 24 days at 20 Rupees per Mensem	16	-
To Cayah Veneatarydoo, who acted for Janderanzer Ramaswamy Samootdar of Enany Mottah from 3d to 27th Do being 25 days at 12 Rs per Do	10	-
To Tandpully Cotaya, who acted for Soona Chenga=nah Samutdar of Goonada Mottah from 3d to 21st Do being 19 days at 12 Rs Do	7	9
Total Company's Rupees Seventy one and Annas Six	71	6

Guntoor Collr Cutchery
13th March 1843

(Signed) W. Moker
Collector

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Order thereon
(Read again in Cons. 3d April)
45-46

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following letter.

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Acting Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From I. Goldingham Esqre Collr of North Arcot — Para 1 — I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the particulars of the lands in the profession of certain public Servants of this Collectorate — It will be seen that Samaviah the Thasildar of Tirruttoor in the Sub Division holds 6-4 Nunjah land in 2 Villages of the Talook under his Charge, and that Seenevajh Row the Assistant Seristadar on the Establishment of the Carateenaggur estate under attachment, and lately Tasildar of Arcot holds 6-12½ of Nunjah land in a Village of the Talook of which he was Thasildar, of which 1-4 were acquired when he held the appointment of Taksildar and the remainder subse=quently — In both cases a portion of the land was given without price to the parties now in profession, a part was acquired

Submitting a Statement shewing the particulars of the lands in the profession of certain public Servants of his Collectorate

Board's Proceedings 15th April 1843

47

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

acquired by purchase and a part is held on mortgage. The transaction was highly irregular in both instances particularly as regards the portion acquired by Gift and Mortgage but both individuals openly made known the transaction for Registry on the promulgation of the recent orders of the Board on the subject. The removal of Samaviah to another Talook appears sufficient on the present occasion, and the Sub Collector will be directed to ascertain whether improper steps were taken in the two cases now reported which will render a further notice of the conduct of the parties necessary.

The late Tahsildar of Arcot having been appointed to the Estate under attachment, further steps in his case are not called for beyond the enquiry to be made by the Sub Collector above indicated.

Sreenevasa Row, the Tahsildar of Sholinghur, has proposed to a large amount as well as transaction in Grain and other articles to the amount of many thousands of Rupees

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

Wallajahpet contiguous to his charge and elsewhere. These transactions would appear to disqualify Sreenevasa Row for Office, rendering it necessary that the situation which he holds should be otherwise filled.

See Return of Tasildars upto 1st May 1842.

Yrs M. S.
Sreenevasa Row is a servant of 29-5-13
Yrs M. S.
standing and has been nearly 6-3-17 in his present Talook. His large fortune places him in a situation of complete independence.

3. Should the removal of Samaviah to another Talook and of Sreenevasa Row Tahsildar of Sholinghur from public employ be approved, the necessary arrangements will be made. Samaviah must be required to place the Summabundy of the year as well as the Collections and Maramut in such a position as shall enable his successor to take charge without loss to the public interests.

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 9th March 1843

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

No. 1.

the Extent of Government land purchased by certain Public Servan

3d March 1843.

Sub Division of North Arcot.-

Names of the Former Puttadars	Names of the Persons in whose name the Bond has been made out.	Relationship to the person in whose name the Bond has been made out.	Description of land	Extent C. a.	Assessment C. Rupees	Amount of sale as per Bond and date C. Rupees	Term of Lease Years	Description of Property	Date	
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
Toopale Seenevasa Iyengar	Mahadavier	Father	Nunjah	4				Gift		
			Do	4				Purchase		
Viaumundoor Jesdah chary	Do		Do	1				Mortgage		
	Do		Do	1	8			Do		
				3						
Iyaviengar	Do		Do							
Nursimmah Chary	Do		Do	Nunjah	4			Gift		
Ragavummal	Do		Do	Do	1			Do		
Davelu chary and Sitchoomunah widow of Chadagapaw chary	Do		Do	Do	10			Purchase		
			Do	Do	3			Do		
Nursimmah chary & Co	Do		Do	Do	2	2		Do		
					3	4				
					6	4				
Coporamiah	Seenevasa Ram Community Serdar of Varatee nuggur Gamen dary	The same person	Nunjah	2		15		Gift Purchase Mortgage		
			Do	2		15				
			Do	6	8½	17	14¼			
				6	12½	19	12½			

									1	1	1st Pattah of Vecauree year corresponding with A. D. 11th October 1839.
									8		2d Do of Do Do with A. D. 17th October 1840
										25	16th Chawee of Do Do with A. D. 26th Feby 1840
									11		22d Chawee of Sarvaree year corresponding with A. D. 13th July 1842
									19	25	
											24th Pattah of Sarvaree year corresponding with A. D. 7th November 1842
											19th Margalee of Do Do with A. D. 1st Decr 1840
										14	29th Audy of Soobakirdoo year corresponding with A. D. 11th August 1842
									3	8	14th Pooralasee of Do Do with A. D. 28th Sept 1842
										45	2d Audy of Sarvaree Year corresponding with A. D. 13th July 1840
										62	8
									19	87	8
											16th Mawee of Pelawah year corresponding with A. D. 25th February 1842
									4		17th Do of Do Do with A. D. 26th Do
										196	14 25th Pooralasee of Soobakeroo year corresponding with A. D. 9th October 1842
									4	196	14

1 Pattah
9 Chawees
5 Audys
3 Pooralasees

Signed J. J. Goldingham
Collector

Ordered

North Arcot Collectorate Cutcherry, Chittoor 9th March 1843. E. E.

4912

Statement

Names of the Persons by whom the Land therein has been purchased	Terms of Sale	Date of Patent	Acres	Remarks
1				
Samuel Hamilton & Co.	Gift			
	Purchase			
	Mortgage			
	Do.			
	Gift			
	Do.			
Timothy	Purchase			
	Do.			
	Do.			
Archd. Hamilton & Co.	Gift			
	Purchase			
	Mortgage			

March 1843.

Sub Division of North Arcot.-

Amount of sale as per Bond and date

Pagodas	C. Rupees	Date	
11	12	13	
Pos. a	Rs. a P		
- - - - -		1st Kulpey of Vecauree year corresponding with A. D. 8th October 1839.	1
8 - - - -		2d Do of Do Do with A. D. 17th October 1839	1
- - 25 - -		16th Maasee of Do Do with A. D. 26th Febry 1840	1
11 - - - -		22d Aunee of Sarvaree year corresponding with A. D. 3d July 1840	1
19 - 25 - -			4
- - - - -		24th Kulpey of Sarvaree year corresponding with A. D. 7th November 1840	1
- - - - -		19th Margalee of Do Do with A. D. 1st Decr 1840	1
- - - 14 - -		29th Audy of Soobakirdoo year corresponding with A. D. 11th August 1842	1
- - 3 8 -		14th Pooratasee of Do Do with A. D. 28th Septr 1842	1
- - 45 - -		2d Audy of Sarvaree Year corresponding with A. D. 13th July 1840	1
- - 62 8 -			5
19 - 87 8 -			9
- - - - -		16th Maasee of Pelava k year corresponding with A. D. 25th February 1842	1
4 - - - -		17th Do of Do Do with A. D. 26th Do	1
- - 196 14 -		25th Pooratasee of Soobakeroo year corresponding with A. D. 9th October 1842	1
4 - 196 14 -			3

Signed J. Goldingham

Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To

The Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

47-48

To the Actg Collr in the Northern Division of Arcot

Authorizing him to remove Sumaniah Talookdar of Trivatoor and Sreenevasa Row Talookdar of Arcot and Assistant Sherestadar on the Establishment of the Carvetnuggur Estate to some other Talooks and to intimate to the latter Officer that his continuing in the service is made conditional on his abstaining from all mercantile pursuits for the future and any disobedience in this respect will be visited by his immediate dismissal from Office

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Goldingham's letter dated the 9th March 1843 submitting a Statement of Lands professed by Samaniah Talookdar of Trivattoor and Sreenevasa Row Talookdar of Arcot and Assistant Sheristadar on the establishment of the Carvetnuggur Estate under attachment; The lands are situated in the Talooks under the charge of the Talookdars and the transactions by which they came into their possession are considered objectionable. Sreenevasa Row is stated moreover to possess property to a large amount and to be deeply engaged in Mercantile transactions in grain and other articles in the large town of Wallajahpet contiguous to his charge. It appears however

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

both parties openly avowed the fact of their being possessed of lands on the recent promulgation of the orders on this subject.

2. Under these circumstances the Board will not object to the removal of Samaniah to another Talook. As regards Sreenevasa Row the Board concur in opinion with Mr. Goldingham that his engaging in trade in a place contiguous to his charge or even elsewhere is entirely incompatible with his employment in the Public Service, but he is an Officer of long standing and has lately been promoted to a situation of high trust, and they are therefore unwilling as suggested by that Gentleman, to sanction his discharge unless it should appear that the measure is absolutely necessary. He may therefore also be removed to some other Talook and should be made distinctly to understand that his continuance in the service is made conditional on his abstaining from all Mercantile pursuits for the future, and any disobedience in this respect being reported to the Board, will be visited by his immediate dismissal from the service.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23d March 1843.

(Signed) C. L. Lovell
Actg Secretary

Read

4915 Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

Read the following letter

To

J. Pycroft Esqr.

Acting Sub Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

From J. Goldingham Esqr. Collector of North Arcot — Para 1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board the accompanying Copy of a letter from Mr. Turner the Abkarry Renter for the Current Fasly to my address together with original Enclosure addressed to the Resident and Members of the Board of Revenue, being an offer for the rent of Abkarry for the ensuing 3 years. It will be seen that Mr. Turner proposes to undertake "the exclusive sale of all "Foreign and Country Manufactured Spirituous "Liquor, Toddy and all foreign fermented "Liquors for a period of three years at Rs. "10,000 per annum. Mr. Turner states, that the Abkarry Farm is susceptible of much improvement, that the present system of an infinite number of under renters each having the privilege of manufacturing the spirits required for consumption within his

Submits a Proposal received from Mr. Turner the Abkarry Renter of this District and suggests that the offer made by him to farm the Rents for 3 Years appears fair under the circumstances stated.

49

J.G.B.

4916 Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

his limits favors smuggling and entails loss on the Renter for the whole Farm, and — that he proposes for the removal of these evils to erect Distilleries, 7 in Number, at certain places enumerated, from which the liquor required for consumption in general will be supplied. Mr. Turner urges that a large outlay is necessary to give effect to the proposed improvement that a rent of 3 years is required to recover this and that the advantage to Government will be great as the Distilleries will become their property at the expiration of the rent and the Farm will be placed in a condition to yield permanently an increased Revenue. Mr. Turner claims a favorable consideration of his Tender as well on the ground of the improvements to be made as on the circumstance that he has been instrumental in the increased amount of Revenue obtained in the District of Chinglepat since the year 1839 and in the District of North Arcot since the year 1840.

2. The Offer of Mr. Turner appears deserving of consideration. From experience and punctual fulfilment hitherto of his engagements

engagements to Government as Renter, Mr. Turner is qualified to carry out the improvements he contemplates and to undertake the rent for the period mentioned. It is true, as he urges, a large outlay necessary to place the Farm in an improved condition, for the reimbursement of which it should continue in his hands for 3 years; the arrangement carries with it the further consideration that security is afforded to the Revenue, and it is to be remembered also that there is less probability of the consumption of liquor being forced under a rent for 3 years than if the contract is given for one year only. The arguments are thus in favor of the Rent for 3 years.

3.

The offer includes the sale of foreign spirits of every description together with spirits of Country manufacture. The Board are aware that foreign spirits now enter largely into the consumption of the District, thus diminishing the quantity of Country liquor, and this preference for foreign spirits is likely to increase rather than to

† Letter from the Collector dated 9th November 1842

decrease. It is impossible then to separate the two, the Renter of the one must also be the Renter of the other, otherwise the Contractor for Country Liquor will be exposed to much loss. There is nothing specific in regard to foreign spirits in the wording of the Cowl hitherto granted in this District and the Cowle may perhaps be construed to refer to Country Spirits alone, but in this Construction it is supposed that the consumption of foreign spirits is confined to the European Residents at the large Towns, whereas this is not the Case. Foreign spirits now find a sale among the Native Consumers of spirits in preference to spirits of Country manufacture, and thus it has been become necessary in the changed circumstances of the ordinary Consumption, that foreign spirits should be specifically included in the Cowl. Mr. Turners offer includes "all foreign" Spirits, but arrangements are to be made for the sale of certain spirits, as Brandy, Gin &c. to the European Residents at Ranneepet and other Towns. The Government Contractor can meet this demand, but as it is not intended that European Residents should be restricted

† Board's Proceedings 17th Nov. 1842

to

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

to the Government Contractor for their supplies of
or spirits, a clause may be inserted in the Cowle
Mr. Turner requiring him to grant licenses
shopkeepers at Ranicepet, Vellore and other Sta
such license specifying the description of Liquor
sold and to what description of individuals. A
Small Fee 1 Rupee may be taken by the Contra
for each license, as the spirits consumed by the
European Residents referred to cannot be consid
as part of the ordinary consumption included
in the offer. It appears impracticable for the
Collector to issue the License. The shop
keeper if he obtains his licenise
direct from the Collector will
feel himself independent of the Contracto
and illicit sale will follow, as has
been the case hitherto, to the injury
of the Contractor. The Contractor
should have the power of withholding
licenses on sufficient grounds to be
determined by the Collector, but a Licens
having been once given it should not b
withdrawn, except with the permissio
of the Collector. This arrangemint wit
the further checks pointed out by the

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Proceedings 17th Novr 1842 Para 3.

the Board on the former discussion of
the subject will prevent illicit sale.

4 In his letter to my address,
Copy of which is herewith transmitted,
Mr. Turner states that the sale of
foreign spirits is included in his rent
for the Current Fusly. No specific mention
to this effect is made in the Cowl granted
to Mr. Turner, but in practice the case
is as Mr. Turner urges. Mr. Turner has
also granted a License to a Shopkeeper
at Arcot to retail foreign spirits, to
which I did not object under the
circumstances in regard to the consumption
of foreign spirits which have been
already explained.

5 It remains to consider the
amount of Mr. Turners Offer. Since
Mr. Turner has undertaken the abkarry
Farm in this Zillah the amount of
Rent has progressively increased. Last
Fusly the amount was Rupees 12,2800,
which was punctually dis
charged and for the Current Fusly

it

Fuslies	Beriz Rs.	A	P	
1232	80496	8	-	The Body of Ryots excepted
1233	104100	"	"	
1234	70000	"	"	
1235	63027	9	3	
1236	71631	"	4	
1237	100500	"	"	
1238	117800	"	"	
1239	125175	"	"	
1240	125175	"	"	
1241	125023	8	"	
1242	125425	"	"	
1243	79027	12	"	
1244	74589	8	"	
1245	85000	"	"	
1246	86000	"	"	
1247	103525	"	"	
1248	91000	"	"	
1249	87011	"	"	
1250	101775	"	"	
1251	122800	"	"	
1252	130050	"	"	

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

it amounts to Rupees 130050. But both these sums are large, greatly exceeding the Revenue of late years, and the rent for the last mentioned Fusly was contracted for, only because Mr. Turner would have lost the large outstanding balance of the preceding Fusly had he not undertaken the Rent for the current adverting to the amount of former Revenue to the peculiar circumstances under which the amount for the Current Fusly was unexpectedly raised, to the great outlay necessary to place the Farm in the improved condition proposed by Mr. Turner and to the improbability that a higher offer or even so high as the amount of the present offer can be obtained for the next Fusly, I am of opinion that Mr. Turners offer of Rupees 110,000 per annum for three years is fair.—

6

It is much to be desired that the consumption of Spirits should be kept down. This may probably be effected without injury to the Rents by attending to the number and location of

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

of Shops.

North Arcot Coll.r Cutcherry
Chittoor 10th March 1843

(Signed) I. Goldingham
Collector

No. 1

To

I. Goldingham Esqr.
Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated the 1st Instant, and its enclosure, my proposal for the Abkarry Farm of your Zillah for the period of 5 years, and in reply I beg to state that I have made the necessary alterations required by you, Viz.t, for 3 years instead of 5 years.—

My reasons for not making mention of Foreign spirits in my first Tender, I thought it was not necessary for me to do so, inconsequence of having the exclusive sale of Foreign and Country manufactured spirituous Liquors for the present year. after making the necessary alterations I beg to send it herein enclosed, and soliciting that you will have the goodness to forward it to the Board of Revenue and at the same time giving it

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843

it your influential and kind support for which I
ever feel grateful, for I feel convinced that you are
aware that my offer is a fair one which I feel
confident the Board of Revenue cannot but
recommend to Government, for they are well aware
that through my unceasing exertions and experience
the revenue in the Abkarry Department has
been increased in the sum not less than Two
Lacks of Rupees in the short space of
4 years, Viz.t, from the 12th of July
1839 up to the 12th July 1843. It is
superfluous for me to state, Sir, that
my claims on the Government are
strong and which I flatter myself
they will not withhold from me, for
the following reasons.— First because
my offer is higher than ever was offered
for the Abkarry Farm of North arcot
by any of my Predecessors.—
Secondly. After my plans are carried
into execution, and my Distilleries are
built, the commonest and inexperienced
person in the Zillah can take the
rent of the Zillah for the sum I
have mentioned in my Tender

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843

Thirdly & lastly. I have sacrificed upwards of 15,000 Rupees in my Abkarry undertakings and all I ask in return is that the Farms be given to me for the period of 3 years and I flatter myself that I will be able to regain all what I have lost— in concluding Sir, I beg to solicit that on the receipt of my tender you will forward it to the Board of Revenue. I make this request inconsequence of your departure to the Hills, and as I am leaving this for Madras tomorrow I can call on the members of the Board and explain my views and Plans in a clearer manner, than I have been able to do on Paper.—

Arcot,
4th March 1843

/Signed/ T. Turner.
Abkarry Renter North arcot.

No. 2.

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

I most respectfully beg leave to address the following lines to your Board, in the humble hope, that your Board will deign to think them deserving consideration and support.—

In consequence of the large outstanding

outstanding balances due to me as the Abkarry Renter of the Zillah of North Arcot, for the last year, by my under renters, and of the difficulty and improbability there appeared to me, of recovering those balances from them, unless I became the Renter of the Zillah for the present year also. I was in a manner obliged to become such Renter, undertaking to pay the Government a Kist of Rupees 130,050- which, I beg to state to your Board, is in excess by Rupees 44,498 of the average Kist paid by the former Renters my Predecessors for the last 8 years; as also, in excess by Rupees 7,250 of the Kist paid by myself for last year; or would have, under any other circumstances than those I labored, been paid by me for any year. The experience I have gained by actual personal observation while in the Zillah of North Arcot, make me however think, and say that notwithstanding the many obstacles several of the resident in the Zillah (whose

are almost all of them, in some way or other, the relatives or friends of the former Abkarry Renters, my Predecessors, or of their, or of my under renters) are on the score of Interest, or relationship, always ready to throw, or do throw in my way either <u>when</u> seeking to recover the rents due to me, by my under renters, or to procure other under-renters than those who were such renters heretofore, or when selling Puttah arrack at the different Talooks. The <u>system</u> by which, I am as such Abkarry Renter obliged at present to conduct the Abkarry affairs, and which system, appears to me to be a ruinous one, on account of the various means it affords the Residents in the Zillah, or the under-renters, or the former Renters themselves, to throw such obstacles in the way is susceptible of <u>great</u> improvements which will bring not only a <u>profit</u> to every future Renter, but a <u>permanent</u> advantage to the Government itself, as its Abkarry Revenue in the Zillah will after the lapse of very few years come to no less a sum than 120000

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

120000 Rupees or 125000 Rupees per annum, and what sum, if not in excess, or the same, is nearly the same, I have from the present state of things been obliged to give, for the present year, in the view I have taken of things. I do not however believe that either these improvements could ever be made, or a profit obtained by any Renter, or a permanent advantage derived by the Government, unless he be allowed to take the Abkarry Rent, Viz^t., the exclusive sale of all Foreign and Country manufactured Spirituous Liquor, Toddy and all Foreign fermented Liquors, for a period of three years; and upon the payment to Government of a List of Rupees One Lac and ten thousand per Annum, making in all a List of Rupees Three Lacs and thirty thousand for the said three years and which List your Board will find also in excess of the List paid by the former renters, my predecessors, for the same period Viz^t. 3 years. The reason why the Abkarry

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

Abkarry should be given for a period of 3 years and upon the payment of a List of Rupees 3,30,000 is first, because it will cost the renter the sum of Rupees Twenty Thousand, and require a period of two years, to make the necessary improvements and next, because he will derive a profit only during the last year. It cannot but be reasonably expected that the profit so to be derived by the Renter, will be a profit that will accrue to him, after the payment and deduction of all costs incurred on account of those improvements, and all having losses necessarily sustained in the collection of rents from his under Renters.

As regards the improvements themselves, and the profit that future Renters, and the permanent advantage that the Government would derive, I beg to state that Distilleries should be first constructed or set up by the Renter, in proper places, or within certain Talooks, hereunder mentioned in the Zillah, so

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

so as to obviate the necessity of keeping so great a number of under Renters, as is now kept, and allowing them to distil the Puttah Arrack each may require for consumption within his range, to be able to supply Puttah Arrack to the Godowns and Shops within the range or vicinity of those Talooks, as also to be able to supply the same at those places, in proper time, and both of which last mentioned things, I am now unable to do as much from the want of my own Distilleries in many of the undermentioned places or Talooks, as from the inclemency of the weather, and the ruggedness of the paths, and the mountains, Lakes, Tanks, Nullahs or Ditches which at almost every turn intercept the roads to those Talooks, and render conveyance carrying Puttah Arrack dilatory, difficult or impassable. These constructions or setting up these distilleries, by means of which Puttah Arrack could be distilled and supplied to

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

to Godowns and Shops in proper time would in a great measure, serve to prevent smuggling which is partly, from the want of distilleries with the Renter, at proper places, and of the seasonable supply of Puttah Arrack to the Godowns and Shops and partly from the under renters having their own Distilleries, in almost every place, and giving encouragement for smuggling to be carried on, at present, with facility and without the fear of detection, to a very considerable extent, to my loss and to the loss of the Government. It is indeed a positive fact that, there is nothing in the Government Regulations to prevent a Renter to construct or set up Distilleries in the Zillah in such places as he pleased, but when he reckons upon the money the construction or setting up of Distilleries will require, for it will certainly cost him, as stated before, Rupees 20,000 and considers the ill feelings he will have to encounter with, from smugglers, and his own under Renters. The many times he may unguardedly or unavoidably subject to those

feelings

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

feelings, the obstacles they will constantly throw in his way to thwait his improvements when such improvements are being made more particularly as there will not be many opportunities then for smuggling to smuggle, or for under renters to have rents— The inconveniences I will be put to, from want of Resident Shopkeepers in the Zillah becoming Shop keepers, and purchasing my Pattah arrack, for they being as I stated also before, either the relatives, or friends of the former Renters, or present under Renters will, on the score of interest, or relationship, espouse the cause of their relatives or Friends. The drawback I will have to experience in the sale of my Pattah arrack, and the very heavy losses I will, by such drawback, sustain; it also becomes a positive fact that no Renter will ever be tempted to construct, or set up Distilleries, however advantageous the doing so may be to him, unless he will be permitted take the abkairy Rent for a period of 3 years, and under the conditions abovementioned, for it will certainly require that time to enable him,

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.—

him, to make the necessary improvements to pay the first, Viz.t, Rupees 3,30,000 to the Government, to recover the expences he will be put to, and the very heavy losses he will necessarily sustain, and to derive the profits he expects to derive, from such improvements. These Distilleries so to be constructed, or set up by the Renter, should after the expiration of three years, be made and delivered over to the Government, as their own Bona fide Property, without the Renter taking or receiving any consideration, whatever, for the same; and I am certain that, they will serve to enhance the value of the Zillah, and bring to the Government for the future, a Rist of Rupees 1,2000 or Rupees 1,25,000 per annum, which, I hope your Board will allow, to be a permanent advantage to the Government, Any one too, will hereafter be disposed to take the rent up, for the above sum of Rupees 1,20,000, or Rupees 1,25,000 per Annum as he will never be a loser by the bargain for he will not only have all the distilleries ready for him, without being obliged to incur any expenses for having the use of the same,

same, save that of keeping them in a state of thorough Repair, when using them, and be rid of the necessity of keeping so great a number of under Renters and prevent smuggling from being practised in the Zillah. if there be no Distilleries how can he the renter supply his godowns and shops with Puttah Arrack as well as to enable him to supply them in proper time, smuggling also could be practised with impunity, and under Renters taken as heretofore, to the loss of the Renter and the Government - In regard to the Materials, or utensils used for the purpose of Destillation, by the ex Renters, such utensils or Materials, must of course be procured by each of the Renters when taking up the Rent, and carried away by him, when giving it up.

Under all the above circumstances I beg to solicit your Board that your Board will be pleased to recommend to the Government that the abkarry Rent be given to me, for a period of 3 years commencing from the 13th of July 1843 for the sum

of Rupees One Lac and Ten thousand per Annum, for the above period of 3 years. And I am the more confident that, your Board will be pleased to attend to my solicitation not only on account of the Permanent advantage that will result to the Government, but in consideration also, that, it was through me that the rent in the Zillah of Chingleput was raised in the year 1839. from Rupees 54,000 to 61,950 Rupees in the year 1840 to Rupees 68,500, and this Zillah in the year 1840 from Rupees 85,211 to Rupees 95,216, in the year 1841 to Rupees 1,22,800 and in the year 1842 to Rupees 1,30,050.

/Signed/ T. Turner
Abkarry Renter North Arcot.

The names of the Places where Distilleries are to be constructed or set up and referred to, in the foregoing address.

1 Distellery in Poysutty Mungalum
1 Do in Pennattoor
1 Do in Chittoor
1 Do in Sholunghur
1 Do in Terovatoor
1 Do in Arnee and
1 Do in Ranypet or Arcot.

Ordered.

4935 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Order thereon.

49-50

Ordered to lie over at present.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secy to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From J. Goldingham Esqre
Collector of N. Arcot

Sir.

I regret to be obliged to notice the remission of Streepaty Row Tahsildar of Sreevellum according to the return from his Cutcherry up to the 31st January 1843. Rupees 50653—2—3 as P ought to have been collected on the crops which had been reaped whereas Rupees 31925—11—5 only had been collected. Last year the Collections generally were not punctually made by the Tahseeldars at the proper time whereby a large balance had to be collected towards the close of Fusly and after it's expiration. No removal has taken place among the Tahsildars for some years and as I observe that an impression exists that removal is not likely to be allowed and that this impression has lead to consequences injurious to the public interests both in Revenue and Police it appears proper to bring the conduct of Streepaty Row to the notice of the Board and to recommend the removal of Streepaty Row from Office. I trust that this notice of his conduct will be a sufficient warning

51

RECEIVED

4936 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

to other public Officers that neglect of duty will be visited with the severe displeasure of the Board.

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 13th March 1843
Proceedings thereon.

[Signed] J. Goldingham
Collr

51-52

The Board authorize the removal of Streepaty Row Tahsildar of Sreivellum from the Office under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr in the Nth Divn of Arcot.

Sir.

I have the honor to request permission to employ 14 temporary Goomastahs on 8 Rupees each for 4 Months, this aggregate Charge being Rupees 448, for the preparation of the Jummabundy accounts for Fusly 1252. I was anxious that the necessity for this establishment should be removed, but as the accounts are very voluminous I am obliged to recommend assistance to the fixed establishment as proposed.

53

+ to the Board dated 5th March 1842.

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 13th March 1843
Resolution thereon.

[Signed] J. Goldingham
Collr

Resolved that the foregoing letter be

53-54

be submitted to Government with reference to the Board's letter of the 15th August 1842 with their recommendation that sanction may be granted for the additional Establishment of 14 Goomastahs to be employed for 4 Months at 8 Rupees each per Mensem for the preparation of the Summalundy Accounts of the Current Fusly.

Proceedings Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Salem for Fusly 1251.

55

The Board proceed to record their observations on the Settlement of Salem for 1251 Fusly 1841/42, as follows.

Para 1

The Season is described as generally favorable, but more for the wet than the dry lands. Notwithstanding which the Increase which occurred in the extent of cultivation was more apparent in the latter than the former, the result being an excess over the Settlement of the preceding year of acres 22,009-3-9 and Rupees 47857-14-11

Dry	19110-2-7	32,577-5-4	
Garden Do	152-5-2	+ + + +	
Wet	2704-4-	15245-4-3	
Garden Do	42-32-	437-15-	
	22,009-3-9-	48260-8-7	
Deduct Loss on Dry Gardens	3	402-9-8	
Net Increase		47,857-14-11	

In one Talook only that of Attoor was there a considerable falling off in the wet and Garden lands amounting to Rupees 3,840-7-2. Mr. Greig states in explanation that these are generally Two Crop Lands assessed at a

Season which consequently gives a high average Demand. Unless therefore the Ryots get a seasonable supply of water to raise the early crop they are unable to take advantage of facilities that may afterwards offer for growing the second, because it will not suffice alone to pay the full Demand. They therefore leave the ground fallow the whole year. This would appear to have a tendency to divert the Ryots attention to inferior soils to guard against which, it would be well to allow a deduction under the head of Fusl cummee, in all such cases as an inducement to the occupant not to relinquish the Superior lands. The Principal Collector will be pleased to give his attention to this subject and to state his opinion as to the remedial measures to be adopted on any future occasion that may offer.

Para 30 2

The result of the Settlement of Land Revenue was as follows.

	1250 1840/41	1251 1841/42	
Under the Collectors management (Cumanies)	3,12,971/28 — 3 — 5	13,36,955 — 8 — 8	
Permanently Assessed Estates (Sairee Mootahs)	3,46,839 — 1 — 3	46,839 — 1 — 3	
	17,65,811 — 4 — 8	18,05,444 — 9 — 11	Rs. 39827-5-3
Net Increase in 1251 Fusly			Notwithstanding

Para 19. Notwithstanding the general decline in the price of Agricultural produce which in Salem averaged from 8 to 28 per Cent the Collections realized were 1,774,068—3—8. within the Fusly and 26,914 Rs. 3—6 up to the Date of the Principal Collector's Report, leaving a balance of 4,462 Rs 2—9— which has since been reduced to 3,073—4—10. a result which the Board consider to reflect great credit on Mr Craig's Management.

Para 62.

Balance per Demand Collection and Balance Sheet of 31st Decr. 1842.

Permanent	93—12—7
Annuity	2,979—4—3
	3,073—4—10.

3. There are but few other points in the Report that call for remarks.

4. In Paras 5 and 7 in enumerating the source of Irrigation it is stated that 82 new wells have been constructed— of which 47 only were admitted to the privilege of exemption from additional Assessment. It is presumed that the proprietors of the Wells maintained their usual extent of cultivation on the full assessed land. Although the Principal Collector has omitted all mention of the fact and no particulars whatever are given in the Hindoovee Accounts— It appears however by a Statement in a subsequent Para that 601 dry Garden Acres

Para 30

have been relinquished. The Principal Collector will be pleased to supply the information above required regarding the 47 wells and in future to give the particulars in the Native Accounts of the Settlement. A similar request

Board's Proceedings. 8th February 1844 Para 5

it is observed was made in reviewing the Settlement of 1250.

5. The subject of the exten-sive annual exchange of Lands in Salem in connection with the unlimited facility to the occupation of waste on bawles has occupied the attention of the Board in commenting on the Settlements of several years past. The opinions expressed by the Board on these questions in their endeavours to restore and maintain a more wholesome system in conformity with received principles

of

of revenue administration have upto late period received the constant confirmation and support of Govern: ment, but a modification of the views previously entertained by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council is apparent in Extract Minutes of Consultation 22d. July 1842, communicating observations on the Board's Proceedings in which they reviewed the Settlement of

Infons 18th Augt 1842.

1250 Tuesly.-
1840 Jul. A.D.
6.

The importance of the subject requiring that it should be examined at some length, the Board have thought it preferable to record their opinion in Separate Proceedings of this days date, and they will now confine their remarks connected with these subjects to the transactions of the year under review.

Paras 28 @ 34

7. It appears that upwards of 50000 acres were relinquished by their late occupants of which 4822 were from the unavoidable causes of Deaths and Desertions—More than 8000 acres were immediately occupied by other parties and upwards of 62,000 were newly taken up besides—The result was a net increase of 20736 acres with an additional assessment of only 9,832 Rupees.

8. The particulars of the transfers are detailed in the subjoined figured Statement prepared chiefly from the Paras 29 @ 33 of Mr. Gleig's report, for the particulars of the lands relinquished and again taken up are omitted in the Native accounts and thus a very important point is kept out of sight which might afford useful information on the working of the System—

Poonjah

4943

23rd March 1843

	Punjab			Punjab Garden			Total			Nunjah			Nunjah Garden			Total		
	Land	Benz	Average per Acre	Land	Benz	Average per Acre	Land	Benz		Land	Benz	Average per Acre	Land	Benz	Average per Acre	Land	Benz	
	A g a R a p	R a p		R a p	R a p		A g a R a p	R a p		A g a R a p	R a p		A g a R a p	R a p		A g a R a p	R a p	
Decrease. Relinquished Lands	42,543 2 7 7	58,932 3 "	1 6 8	593 2 8 4	3,273 8 1	5 11 9				2,489 2 6 2	1,829 6 10	8 4 4	1 24 14	35 10 2	21 14 9	4,529 8 26 11	8,080 12 1	
Reduct subsequent cultivation	7,419 17 3	9,030 13 11	1 3 6	72 3 4 10	349 " 8	4 7 9				585 3 4 "	348 1 6	13 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8078 5 13	13332 6 8	
Remaining Waste Land	35,124 10 4	49,901 5 1	1 7 4	520 3 10	2,924 7 5	5 14 5				1,573 2 2	1,588 6 4 9	8 13 6	1 24 14	35 10 2	21 14 9	3,122 0 20 14	66,748 5 5	
Relinquished by death and emigration	444 3 9	4,607 10 1	1 2 8	80 1 8 4	407 3 10	4 14 6				597 1 9	326 1 8 6	5 8 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,822 35 6	8,276 6 5	
Total	39,289 9 13	54,508 15 2	1 6 10	601 11 14	3,331 11 3	5 13 4				2,171 9 11	1,487 3 7	7 14 11	1 24 14	35 10 2	21 14 9	42,043 16 4	150,24 11 10	
Increase Lands newly taken	58,027 2 2 8	69,383 13 7	1 4 11	623 12 12	2,353 " 8	6 2 3				4,132 2 0 15	2,134 11 6	5 12 11	6 10 13	165 3 "	26 6 "	62,774 27 "	84,836 12 9	
Net Increase	18,758 12 11	5,874 14 5	" " "	22 " 4	" " "	" " "				1,960 11 4	647 " 6	4 25 15	12 9 8 10	" " "	" " "	20,736 10 12	19,810 11 6	
Net Decrease	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	978 10 7	" " "				" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	Net Increase 9,832 " 11		

This

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843.

This Table shews that in the Dry lands those thrown up / 02543 Acres / averaged 1-6-8 per Acre

Those newly taken up Acres 58027 } 1-4-11

Those relinquished and reoccupied } 1-3-6

while in wet lands the rates were -

In lands relinquished 8-4-4

Do. - - Do. Do. and reoccupied 6-12-0

Newly taken - - - - - 5-12-11.

The Board have no hesitation in attributing the general result of these changes to the causes upon which they have been before animadverted, the unrestrained grant of Cowles promoted by the absence of all check on the occupation of land. The tendency of the system being to encourage the permanent occupation of the lowest assessed lands alone and to cause the best and most productive to be occupied on Cowle whilst the favorable terms last, after which they are abandoned, until having remained fallow for the period prescribed in the Cowlnamah they may again be taken on that tenure

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

9. The Board however are glad to perceive that the defects of the Cowle system now in use are at length fully admitted by the Principal Collector, and that the restrictions which they have so earnestly advocated have been adopted by him and are now beginning to produce a good effect / Vide Para 89 /

Para 91.

10. But Mr. Greig continues to adhere to his former views on the subject of unlimited freedom in the choice of land and in a reply to the Board's request for information as to the practice under the former Government and during the administration of Colonel Read and the Mootahdars he confines himself with a quotation from an old report of Major Macleod.

To the Board's Proceedings 13th Oct. 1800 inserted 21st July 1802. Para 22.

11. This it will be observed is merely a general outline of the system then in use. But if Mr. Greig will pursue the subject he will find that in a succeeding Para Major Macleod foresaw and provided for the consequences of an absence of all control. "By such means" he says "the

Ib. para 24

"the farmers could manage to reduce the aggregate assessments, by gradually giving up the higher classes of land and improving into the higher classes, lands that at the survey were registered in the lower class." And taking an instance of dry land converted to Garden by the Ryots own Capital he lays down the remedy of raising the assessments on such improvements to the full survey rate of the higher class. This restriction however has long since been removed. (See para 6, of Report) with all other devised for the same object. The further discussion of the general question is reserved for the subsequent Proceedings already adverted to. Meantime the Board will be glad to receive more information on this point regarding the usage of the District under Colonel Read, and if possible under the preceding Native administration. Also of the practice in such cases of the Mootahdars.

Paras 23-24.

12. The Board will be prepared to recommend that such of the Talaries noticed in the heads

may be cancelled when the Principals Collector shall have satisfied himself that they are irrecoverable.

13. They resolve to ask for sanction to write off the balance due for the ruined tank of the Sirkaley Agrahar amounting to Rupees three hundred and fifty four and annas Nine. The Acting Civil Engineer 6th Division reported in the Department of Public Works that he would visit the work in December and furnish a final report on the practicability of repairing it.

Paras 2 to 7 of 7th Jany 1843. Paras 65 & 71.

14 Similar sanction is requested for writing off one hundred and forty Nine Rupees twelve annas and one piece 149-12-1 outstanding against the Agraharum Village of Appasamoodrum and Abenum resumed and incorporated with the Government Lands under the sanction of Government under date 31st January 1843.

15. The disposal of the arrears in the Coodadooray Village must await the further information required in Extract from the

Fort St. George 23d. March 1843

the Board's Proceedings of the 28th October 1841.

16. Of the balances of former years the Board consider the explanations contained in Paras 66. & 76 satisfactory and they beg to support the Principal Collector's recommendation for writing off the following sums.

Para 74

On the Zufted Agraharums Rupees	1247-9-5
Serkaday Tank	354-9-4
Sherwaroy Renters	121-1-6
	1723-3-10

Paras 7778 Sumary Balance of 1230- Sundy -2443-10-3-

To these must be added the arrears

Para 76. of Appasamoodrum and abienum for the reason above stated. 1560-2-9

Total 3727-2-10

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government: and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Salem for his information and guidance.

(56)

Read the following Letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Asst Collr of Salem proposing the

Sir,

Para 1 In reply to Mr

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

the new Sub Division of the District with reference to the orders of the Court of Directors for making Salem the future residence of the Principal Collector in charge - Letter from Mr Gleig conveying his suggestions as to affording his House at Ooter to Government for Mr.

of the 9th ultimo regarding the residence of the Collector of Salem and consequent charge of the Sub Division I have the honor to inform you, that previous to submitting my sentiments on the subject, I thought it right to forward the communication to Mr Gleig that I might adopt his suggestions in the event of it being his intention to resume his duties in the District and I now enclose his reply for the information of the Board.

2. It appears to me that Mr Gleig takes a view of the subject more with reference to the disposal of his property than the general advantage of the District or the convenience of his successor.

3. I do not suppose that it is the intention of the Honorable the Court of Directors or Government entirely to prohibit the Collector visiting the Talooks above the Ghauts occasionally during the hot season, but only to forbid the abuse of the privilege by making Ootacamund the extremity of the District his chief residence but by adopting the suggestion of Mr Gleig the Board would circumscribe the practice with regard to the Sub Collector.

4. I beg therefore to propose as

as a change will adopted to the general welfare of the District that the Talooks of Dhurumpoory, Tengracottah, Woomalaor, and Ahtoor should comprise the Sub Division at Dhurumpoory there is a house belonging to Government for the principal residence of the Sub Collector, and a large building adjoining the Talook Cutcherry for the records and the accommodation of his Establishment - This arrangement I believe will be found to be convenient as regards the public advantage, the situation being central and as far as I can learn healthy and in every respect unobjectionable, and should it be approved of I would further propose that the Talooks of Paramutty and Sameul be placed under the Head Assistant - Whatever change however the Board may adopt it would be advisable that it should not take effect till the present Fusly Settlement is over.

Salem Zillah,
Woomalaor
Princ.l Collectors Cutcherry,
8th March 1843.

I have &c.
Signed W.E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

No. 1.

To W.E. Lockhart Esqre
Acting Principal Collector of Salem

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 21st Feby regarding the transfer of the Sub Collector I have the honor to inform you that were I now in charge of the Salem District I would place the four Northern Talooks of Dunkencottah, Ossoor, Kistnagherry, and Tripatoor, in charge of the Sub Collector and tender to Government my house at Ossoor for the accomodation of that officer and his Establishment - if the Collector goes South, the Sub must come North and I know of no other accommodation for him, there than what I have suggested - I beg therefore to tender my property to the Government for what it cost me, Viz.t Rupees 12,000. Twelve thousand - As there is accommodation not only for the Sub Collector but also for his Establishment, the return to Government would be per Mensem House rent Rupees 35. and Extra tent allowance Rupees 77 8-- or Rupees 1344 per annum.

As I shall consider it necessary to enter into further correspondence with the Government relative to my being deprived of the use of my Ossoor House - I request you will do me the favor to send me copies of all letters from the Revenue Board since 1832, in which reference is made to Ossoor being the Principal Residence of the Principal Collector particularly that in which the Board refused to allow my predecessor to

4952 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

to draw Extra tent allowance at Ghaut for the abovementioned reason.

Bangalore, 3d March 1843

Signed / J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector,

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Principal Collector of Salem,

Sir,

To the Princl. Collector of Salem

56-57

In communicating his opinion regarding the residence of the Principal Collector of the District at Salem and the consequent change that will be necessary in the Division to be assigned to the Sub Collector, Mr. Lockhart, has submitted your letter to his address on the same subject dated 3d Instant and before laying the same before Government, the Board desire to know with reference to the concluding Para whether it is your wish to submit any further communication in order that the whole may be forwarded to Government together.

Encl. in the Agt. Coll.rs letter 8th inst. 23 March 1843.

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 23d March 1843.

Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

4953 Fort St. George, 23rd March 1843.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

58

From W. E. Lockhart Esqre Acting 1st Collr of Salem

No 1.

Sir

Forwarding further information on Mr Fischer's claim to arrears of Rent on certain lands in the possession of Government at Salem.

In compliance with the Board's instructions conveyed in Mr Boudillon's letter of the 2nd Ultimo on the subject of Mr Fischer's claim for arrears of rent on certain lands at Salem in the possession of Government, I have the honor to inform you that I have, with the assistance of both the Sheristadars of my Office and other Salook Servants, Curnums. &c examined and measured the lands, and compared them with the Survey Records.

Reply to Para 2.

Mr Fischers present claim is for

2. quit rent for ground occupied by the Court Buildings Viz.

	Rs	A.	P.
For Vuddagantolum, for 37 Years or from Fusly 1213 to 1249.	249	2	9.
For Cundacharrum tolum for 26 years or from Fusly 1224 to 1249.	68	4	0.
Total	317	6	9.

I find from the present measurement, that the building within the compound walls of the Court, are upon the above named

named lands, as also a small portion of the Dirah Porumboke Land which at the time of the settlement was excluded from assessment. The sum paid to Mr. Fischer in 1839 for Nuttumpoonjee land said to be occupied by Aspere's Bungalow, from Fusly 1214 to 1248, or 35 Years ——— Rs. A. P. 180 — 4 — 7.

Cotay made Porumboke land occupied by the Jail from Fusly 1215 to 1248 or 34 years ——— 114 — 7 — 6

Rupees 294 — 12 — 6

It is clear from the measurement now made, that the said Nuttumpoonjee land is not within the Court Ground, but is situated without the compound gate thereof, so that the payment made in 1839 is not right. Mr. Aspere's Bungalow is built on Condacharrum totum land, the rent for which the Mootahdar now claims. Mr. Uffeig appears at that time to have been led into a mistake by adopting a report of the then Sahsildar Kistna Row, since discovered to be erroneous; but admits this point, as in his letter of the 9th January last, he deducts the sum

formerly paid. The payment of Rupees 114 — 7 — 6 made at the same period on account of the ground occupied by the Jail, is not now disputed, that being a detached building, and separate from the Court Compound.

Reply to Para 3.

3. The extent and description of land occupied by the Court premises, appear to have been given to the Board in the Principal Collector's letter of the 9th January in reply to their Proceedings of the 21st November 1842, and with respect to the difference shewn by the Officers who measured the lands, I have to remark that a discrepancy exists only in the report above alluded to by the late ex Salem Sahsildar Kistna Row, but those subsequently made by his successors in Office, Viz. Simmapien and Streenavasa Row, as also by the Huzzoor Head and Naib Sheristadars, tally with each other. It is also necessary to remark here that there is a discrepancy between the depositions given by the Curnum before Simmapien and

and Streenavasa Row; but in the present examination, it has been discovered, that what the Cunnum first deposed before us Sinnapien, was correct.-

Reply to Para 4.

4. The extent of land for which the arrears were paid in 1839, is

	Acres.	Gs	Cs
Nuttumpoonjee occupied by Anperes Bungalow	3	32	14
Catamode Perumpoke occupied by the Jail	1	26	14
Total Acres	5	19	18

5. The Nuttumpoonjee land is not, and has never been, in the occupation of Government, but the Catamode Perumpoke land is occupied by the Jail. The additional lands in the occupation of Government are, Vuddagum, totum and Cundacharum totum mentioned in the 2nd Para of this letter, and for which the Mootahdar now claims

6. The names, extent and assessment of the lands in the occupation of the Court for premises, are as follows.-

Names of the Land	Extent			Assessment		
	Acres	Gs	Cs	Rs	As	Ps
Vuddagum totum	1	26	14	5	8	9
Cundacharum totum	1	35	3	3	1	7
Darah Perumbeke	2	25	7	5	7	6
	1	35	1	3	1	7

7. The Extent for which Mr. Fischer received payment in 1839 on account of ground occupied by Mr. Anperes Bungalow is as Acres 3—32—14, but as stated above that it was for Nuttumpoonjee land / not within the Court Compound / it cannot be recognized as "comprehending the arrears on "the whole compound of the Judicial "Buildings."-

Reply to Para 5.

8 The following Statement will at once exhibit to the Board, the extent of land and its assessment claimed by Mr. Fischer, and the difference found on actual measurement now made.-

Mr. Fischer's Statement					Found on the present examination.				
Land	Extent	For what period.	Rate per Annum	Total	Extent	Period admitted.	Rate per Annum	Total	
Vuddagumtotum	2 1 4	37 years	6 11 9	249 2 9	1 26 14	37 years	5 8 9	205 3 9	
Cundacharum totum	" 31 "	26 years	2 10 "	68 4 "	" 35 3	26 years	3 1 7	99 12 2	
Total	2 32 4	"	"	" 317 6 9	2 25 1			284 16 11	

9. From the above, the Board will perceive

4958 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

perceive that Mr. Fischer's claim exceeds by Rupees 32—6—10; which cannot be admitted. The sum therefore, if actually due is Rupees 284—15—11.

Deduct sum erroneously paid in 1839 for Nuttumpoonjee land. 180—4—7.

Remainder to be paid Rs. 104—11—4.

10. I trust the Board will find the present report to comprehend all the points which I have been called upon to explain.

Salem Fillah, Womaloor, Princ. Collr's Cutchery, 13th March 1843.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Acting Princ. Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted in Consequence.

(58-59)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Actg. Chief Secy to Government. } Para 1.

With reference to the order of Government dated the 30th August 1842 No. 1052. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the correspondence noted in the margin regarding a claim of Mr. Fischer

Submitting with reference to the order of Govt. dated 30th Augt. 1842 a correspondence with the Princ. Collr of Salem regarding a claim of Mr. Fischer to Mootahdar of Salem to further arrears of Dust rent on certain ground

To Princ. Collr of Salem 27th Septr. 1842 — Inclosure 15th Septr. 1842.
From Do. of Do. 4th Novr. 1842.
Inclosure 21st " " "
To Do. 21st " Do. "
From Do. 9th Janry. 1843.
Inclosure 2d Febry "
To Actg Do. 9th February 1843.
From Do. 13th March "
Inclosure 23d "

4959 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

ground occupied by Judicial buildings at that station recommending that the Actg Princ. Collr may be authorized to pay to the Mootahdar Rupees 104-11-4- still due to him.

Mootahdar of Salem to further arrears of Dust Rent on the ground occupied by Judicial buildings at that Station.—

2. The sums sanctioned by Government 2nd August 1842 No. 946/ on this account were Dust rent from Fusly 1214 to 1248—35 Years at Rupees 5—2—5 per Annum on land occupied by the Judicial buildings at Salem Rs. 180-4-7.

Inclosure 8th Septr 1842.

Rupees 180-4-7
" 111-7-6
294-12-1

Do. from Fusly 1216 to 1248—33 Years at Rs. 3—7—6 per annum on land occupied by the Sail 111-7-6 total Rupees 294—12—1.

3. Regarding the latter item no question appears to be raised, but in reference to an application of the Judge of Salem submitted in behalf of the Mootahdar the Principal Collector recommended that the demand on account of the land occupied by the Judicial buildings should be resisted on the plea that part of it was not in the possession of Government.—

Enclosure From Govt 30th Augt Inclosure 15th Septr 1842.

4. Several letters have passed between the Board and the Principal Collector on this subject, but the report of Mr. Lockhart the present Acting Principal Collector appears to put the matter in a clear light. He states

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

perceive that Mr. Fischer's claim exceeds by Rupees 32—6—10, which cannot be admitted. The sum therefore, if actually due is Rupees 284—15—11.

Deduct sum erroneously paid in 1839 for Nuttumpoonjee land. 180—4—7.

Remainder to be paid Rs. 104—11—4.

10. I trust the Board will find the present report to comprehend all the points which I have been called upon to explain.

Salem Zillah,
Womaloor,
Prin. Coll. Cutchery,
13th March 1843.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Acting Prin. Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

(58-59)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Ag. Chief Secy to Government. } Para 1.

With reference to the orders of Government dated the 30th August 1842 No. 1052, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the correspondence noted in the margin regarding a claim of Mr Fischer Mootalar

Submitting with reference to the orders of Govt. dated 30th Augt 1842 a correspondence with the Prin. Coll. of Salem regarding a claim of Mr Fischer in Mootalikar of Salem to further Arrears of Quit rent on certain ground

To Prin. Coll. of Salem 22d Sept. 1842
From Do. of Do. 4th Novr. 1842.
Jul Cons: 21st — —
To Do. — 21st — Do. —
From Do. 9th Jany. — 1843.
Jul Cons: 2d Febp. —
To Ag. Do. 2nd February 1843.
From Do. 13th March —
Jul Cons: 23rd —

+ Jul Cons: 15th Sept. 1842.

Fort St. George, 23d March 1843.

ground occupied by Judicial Buildings at that Station recommending that the Ag. Prin. Coll. may be authorized to pay to the Mootahdar Rupees 104—11—4 still due to him.

Mootahdar of Salem to further arrears of Quit Rent on the ground occupied by Judicial buildings at that Station.—

2. The sums sanctioned by Govern-

Jul Cons: 8th Augt 1842. ment (2nd August 1842 No. 946) on this account were Quit rent from Fusly 1214 to 1248—35 Years at Rupees 5—2—5 per Annum on land occupied by the Judicial buildings at Salem Rs. 180-4-7.

Rupees 180-4-7.
" 111-7-6
294-12-1.

Do. from Fusly 1216 to 1248—33 Years at Rs. 3—7—6. per annum on land occupied by the Jail 111—7—6. total Rupees 294—12—1.

3. Regarding the latter item no question appears to be raised, but in reference to an application of the Judge of Salem submitted in behalf of the Mootahdar the Principal Collector recommended that the demand on account of the land occupied by the Judicial buildings should be resisted on the plea that part of it was not in the possession of Government.—

Enclosure From Govt. 30th Augt Jul Cons: 15th Sept. 1842.

4. Several letters have passed between the Board and the Principal Collector on this subject, but the report of Mr Lockhart the present Acting Principal Collector appears to put the matter in a clear light. He states

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

states that the land which is denominated Nuttumpoonjee in the former Statements is not included in the Court ground but is situated without the gate, the Principal Collector having been misled in the first instance by an erroneous report of the late Tahsildar, and the Mootahdar therefore was not entitled to the abovementioned sum of Rupees 180—4—7 on this account. he finds according to his measurements that Mr. Asperes Bungalow and the other Court buildings are upon lands called Vuddagum totum and Cundachurrum totum and on a small quantity of unassessed Darah Poramboke land and he states the Mootahdars claim and the amount which he finds him entitled to as follows:—

Mr. Fischer's Statement					Found on the present Examination				
Land.	Extent	For what period	Rate of Annum	Total	Extent	Pound admitted.	Rate per Annum		Total
Vuddagum totum	2 1 437	years	6 11 9	249 2 9	1 26 14	37	years	6 5 9	205 3 9
Cundachurrum totum	31 26	years	2 10 6	84 —	38 3	26	years	3 1 1	79 12 2
Total	2 32 4			217 6 9	2 25 1				284 15 11

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

From this Statement it appears that the Mootahdars claim amounts to Rupees 317—6—9 of which he is entitled to Rupees 284—15—11, and deducting from this Rupees 180—4—7 erroneously paid in 1839, there remains a balance still due of Rupees 104—11—4. which further sum the Board now recommend that the Acting Principal Collector may be authorized to pay to him.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23d March 1843.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From C. H. Hallett Esqre
Collr of South Arcot.

Para 1.

Sir,

In reply to Board's Proceedings of 27th February last, I have the honor to remark that the case in question is not an ordinary one, as would appear by the Return, which is necessarily not full.—

2. Some years ago the inhabitants of the Village in the Settlement of a dispute with the Manager, made over to him in the Moonsiffs Court by regular Razeenamah all

(60)

4962 Fort St. George 23d March 1843

all the right to the large grass Vca in the Village, consisting at the same time to payment of some costs or penalty. The present inhabitants objected to being deprived of their Village perquisite according to the Custom of the District, and on petition to the late Sub Collector were supported. The Moneygar appealed to me, and I of course not only told him that Circar rights could not be granted away at all, more especially to the injury of future inhabitants, but that as a Publick Officer he ought not to proceed on a document, which, tho unfortunately legal in consequence of the usual ignorance of Moonsiffs, on Revenue matters, was in direct violation of Circar right.

3. Instead of attending to me he persists in his legal right, and has proceeded to get the Villagers property. Gaffed in execution of the Razeenamah. This conduct appears to me to shew such a determined feeling of persecution towards those whom he was appointed to protect as well as disregard of superior authority that the temporary punishment

4963 Fort St. George 23. March 1843.

punishment was absolutely called for.

If the Board after this explanation agree with me, I propose to restore him immediately, he agrees to desist from the course of persecution he has commenced; if he perseveres, I cannot think that even at the expiration of the year, he is fit for the situation of Monegar, whose duty it is to protect and not to harass the ryots, as he is now doing by following up an advantage most improperly obtained.

Trichinopoly, Southercot
Collector's circuit Cutcherry
12th March 1843

(Signed) C. K. Hallett
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(60-61) Under the explanation given in the foregoing letter the Board approve of the Proceedings of the Collector in the case of Arroomachella Iyer Putta Monigar of Navalore.

Return

4984

Fort St. George

Return of Thasildars of the Collectorate of the

23d March 1843

Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore on 30th April 1842.

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Taluk	Average Collections from Fusly 1246 to 1250	Pay	Length of Service as Thasildar in the present Taluk	Total length of Service as Thasildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of service in such Employments	Total length of Service	Remarks
							years months days		years months days	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Seenevasah Pantalo Native of Ellavanasore	Brumin	38	Tindevanum	C.Rs. a p. 17,434 2 5	C.Rs. a p. 100 " "	years months days 1 2 6	1 2 6	Layer Mushroof at Mungalam pettah in South Arcot	2 6 3	
								Ditto Aimeenah in Ditto Ditto	" 1 11	
								Deputy Javabnovis in the Hoozoor Cutcherry in Ditto	3 9 6	
								Goomastah in Ditto Ditto	2 3 14	
								Revenue Javobnovis in Ditto Ditto	3 9 27	
								Magistrate Javobnovis Ditto Ditto Ditto	6 8 2	
									19 2 3	
Bamboo Row Ditto of Trevandy	Ditto	37	Trivandy	20,2,141 5 11	100 " "	3 6 29	3 6 29	Hoozoor Goomastah in South Arcot	3 3 15	
								Ditto Javobnovis	13 10 "	
								Ditto Head Javobnovis	" 9 19	
									17 11 4	
Balajee Row Ditto of Arnee	Ditto	62	Vellapooram	2,76,677 3 7	120 " "	6 2 1	15 " 29	Hoozoor Layer Goomastah in the pettah of Chittoor	" " 14	
								Peishcar in Ditto	" 11 1	
								Thasildar in Ditto	4 3 "	
								Native Commissioner in South Arcot	" 3 "	
								Pymash Peishkadar in Ditto	" 7 3	
									6 " 10	
										21 1 16

4965

Fort St. George

23rd March 1843

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	average Collections from Fasly 1246 to 1250	Pay	Length of service as Thasildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Thasildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of service in such Employments	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Rs. a p	Rs. a p	years. months. days.	years. months. days.	years. months. days.	years. months. days.	
Boorgapah Modely Native of Toodaputty	Vellala	41	Bowengherry	157 4 89	13 2 100	5 6 11	5 6 11	Police Jabobnoveis in Commissariat Zillah	3 2 18	
								Dasadaroum Goomastah in Ditto	1 6 25	
								Hoozoor Goomastah in Ditto	" 4 3	
								Ditto Jabobnoveis in Ditto	5 2 9	
								Ditto Magistrate Ditto in South Arcot	1 8 12	
									12 " 7	17 6 18
Vencat Row Ditto of Poodoopolliam	Bramin	56	Mansergoody	187 9 11 5 8	100 " "	1 2 "	11 6 "	Goomastah in the Talook Cutcherry in South Arcot	3 5 "	
								Jabobnoveis in Ditto Ditto	4 8 "	
								Hoozoor Jabobnoveis in the Zillah of Chingleput	2 5 "	
								Sayer Sumeen &c. in South Arcot	1 2 "	
								Hoozoor Jabobnoveis in Ditto	7 6 "	
								Talook Sheristadar in Ditto	5 1 "	
									24 3 "	35 9
Bala Kistna Row Ditto of Jaggannadabpooram	Ditto	38	Chellumbrum	153 4 53 11 11	100 " " "	5 19 "	" 11 16	Hoozoor Goomastah in South Arcot	2 8 9	
								Talook Sheristadar in Ditto	12 8 26	
									15 5 5	16 4 21

4966

Fort St. George

23d March 1843

Name and Place of Birth	Caste & Age	Talook	Average Collections from Fasly 1246 to 1250	Pay	Length of service as Thasildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Thasildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of Service in such Employments	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	years. months. days.	years. months. days.		years. months. days.	
Vencat Row Native of Woodyar pollium	Brahmin 46	Trinomallie	110256 9 11	100 - -	4 6 10	7 4 19	Pymash Fir Kadar in South Arcot	2 - 18	
							Sheristadar in the District Cutcherry	5 3 5	
								7 3 23	14 8 12
A. Savarayah Moodily Ditto of Pondicherry	Vellala 63	Verdachellum	221339 5 1	100 - -	8 9 16	10 6 12	Police Aumeen in South Arcot	1 3 20	
							District Peishcar in Ditto	1 4 15	
								2 8 5	13 2 17
Vencatrow Ditto of Seringapatam	Brahmin 49	Ellavanasore	163455 10 10	100 - -	6 - -	1 5 -	Marahmut Goomastah in the Killay Cutcherry at Seringapatam	8 - -	
							Bajayet Darogah in Ditto	3 - -	
							Talook Peishcar in South Arcot	10 1 -	
							Ditto Sheristadar in Ditto	7 5 -	
								28 6 -	29 11 -
Venkat Row Ditto of Chintamanny Pettah	Ditto 42	Trecullore	134335 5 4	100 - -	7 4 2	7 4 2	Talook Goomastah in Chittoor	4 4 11	
							Hoozoor Head Ditto in Chingleput	1 4 6	
							Ditto Goomastah in South Arcot	- 5 12	
							Ditto Head Goomastah in Trichinopoly	- - 21	
							Dº Jaundaroes in Ditto	- 2 11	
							Dº Stamp Darogah in Ditto	1 - 22	
							Dawadanum Sheristadar in Dº	- - 17	
							Thasildar in Ditto	2 9 27	
								10 4 7	17 8 9

4967 Fort St. George

23. March 1843

Name and Place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Average Collections from Fusly 1246 to 1250.	Pay.	Length of service as Thasildar in the present Talook.	Total length of service as Thasildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of service in such Employments.	Total length of service.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				CR. a p	CR. a p	years. months. days.	years. months. days.	years. months. days.	years. months. days.	
Vruddoo Row Native Brahmin of Vandawash	Brahmin	39	Cullacoorchy	151431. 1 11	100	11 8		Assistant Talook Gomastah in Chittoor 5 11 19		
								Talook Gomastah in South Arcot 2 4 9		
								Hoozoor Ditto in the Sub Collector's Cutcherry at Ditto 12 4 18		
								Talook Sheristadar in Ditto 4 7 4		
								25 3 20	26 2 28	
Soobah Ditto of Tiagadoorgum	D.	47	Chaitput	157834 12 10	100	1 2 6		Revenue and Magistrate Jamabundy in South Arcot 17 3 20	22 4 2	
Dasegacharryar Ditto of Settypooneyem	D.	43	Cuddalore	52693 8 9	40	4 6 26		Peishcar in Masulipatam 1 8 12		
								Ameenah in Ditto . 9 19		
								Salt Ameenah in Ditto . 7 16		
								Sheristadar of Cuddalore in South Arcot . 1 27		
								Peishcar of Chellumbrum in Ditto 2 7 10		
								5 10 24	10 5 20	

Trinomaly South Arcot Collector's Circuit Cutcherry 18th March 1843. Errors Excepted. (Signed) C. H. Hallett Collector Ordered

4968 Fort S. George 23. March 1843.

(62-63)

Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To,

The Secretary to the

Court of Wards.

Fort S. George

Sir,

From the Commissioner in charge of Madura } In reference to Section VI Regulation V of 1804, I have the honor to request the sanction of the Court of Wards for the sale of the Horses and Cattle described in the accompanying List to the best advantage on account of the Ramnad Estate, the horses &c. are not required for the use of the minor proprietor, and the Expence of keeping them Rs. amounts to Rupees 143-4-3. per mensem.

(64)

Thiroopavanum ... Madura 14th March 1843 }

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Commissioner

N. J.

Statement of the Monthly Expence incurred in Keeping the Horses and Cattle &c. belonging to the Estate of Minor Zemindar of Ramnad.—

			Rs.	a.	P.
2.	Horses including Horse Keepers &c.		18.	12.	10
2	Carriage Horses	Do.	18.	8.	2
5	Ponies	Do.	39.	7.	3
3.	Puckally Bullocks		4.	10.	8.
17	Bandy Bullocks		31.	8.	.
3.	Bullocks for drawing Water		3.	8.	.
23.	Cows and Calves		26.	13.	4
		Total Rupees	143.	4.	3

Thiroopovanum, Madura 14th March 1843 } E. E. Vo. (Signed) G. D. Drury Commissioner

The

4969 Fort S. George 23. March 1843.

Proceedings thereon,

(64-65)

The Court of Wards authorize the sale of the Horses and Cattle mentioned in the list which accompanied the foregoing letter for the benefit of the Estate of the Minor Zemindar of Ramnad.—

Read the following letter.

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort S. George

From J. Bird Esqre. Sub Collr. in Charge of Coimbatore ... Sir,

(66)

With reference to Mr. Broughton's letter of the 17th December 1842, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the Appellants being dissatisfied with the decree of the Zillah Court of Salem, have preferred a Special appeal in the Southern Provincial and I have therefore to request that authority may be obtained for the further defence of the suit at the public Expence.

Coimbatore ... Principal Coll. Office 14th March 1843. } (Signed) John Bird Sub Collector in charge.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.—

To,

4970 Fort St. George 23d March 1843

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Government

66-67

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 2nd January 1843, I am directed to request that you will lay before Government the accompanying letter from the Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore soliciting authority for conducting the defence of a suit in special appeal before the Sudder Provincial Court. The decree of the lower Court having been passed in favor of the Government Officers, the Board recommend that the further proceedings in the Superior Court may be conducted at the Public Expense.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
23d March 1843

(Signed) E. B. Lodell
Acting Secretary

H. B.

To

14 Enclosures
23d March 1843

4971 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Read the following letter.

68

From H. M. Blair Esqre Principal Collector of Canara

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 23d January last, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter from the Additional Sub Collector Mr. Lushington, containing a preliminary report on the subject of Petition No. 606 of 1842 - The Talooke of Ankola in which the tank in question is situated, being now under charge of the Head Assistant Mr. Reade, that Officer will conclude the enquiry into the subject during his tour of settlement through that Talooke, and furnish a final report on the Petition, which shall be submitted to the Board so soon as it is received.

Forwards copy of the additional Sub Collr's letter containing a preliminary report on the subject of the Petition referred to in the Board's letter of 23d January last and states that the enquiry will be concluded by the Head Assistant Mr. Reade under charge the Ankola Talooke in which the tank in dispute is situated

Principal Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore 7th March 1843

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Princl Collector

No. 1.

To The Principal Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I to the Board of Revenue have

4972

23d January 1843.

Fort St George 23d March 1843

have again called for a Report upon the Petition No 606 of 1842 addressed to them by Rund Rung Eshwant Davekar and in a letter written on the 14th November last when the charge of the Ambola Talook in which the land to which it refers is situated had temporarily devolved on me, I expressed my intention of submitting a report upon the subject as soon as I could find leisure, and as although the charge of the Talook now rests with Mr Reade the Head assistant Collector, the Petitioner and the proceedings in the case have remained in my Cutcherry it appears to me necessary to address a few observations to you before I make over the Papers to him more especially as I observe that the Petitioner in support of his case has made a free use of my name among other misstatements has asserted that I had determined to pass an order in his behalf, and that had the Talook remained under my charge an order would have been passed in accordance with his wishes long since.

2

As the case is still

4973

Fort St George 23d March 1843

and as Mr Reade may perhaps deem it advisable to inspect the spot when he proceeds to Sedasheguy for the Inuinmahbundy of that division of the Talook before passing any finial decision, I shall merely submit such an abstract of the case as will explain the delay which has taken place and at the same time convey my view of the merits of the Petitioner's claims.—

3. Gumba Row a Moonshee in the Ambola Talook Cutcherry gave a Durkast in 1838 for a delapidated Tank in the Cunnsgharee Village near Sedasheguy requesting permission to bring it under cultivation, and offering to pay any assessment which might be fixed upon it. His proposal was opposed by the Petitioner's relatives who have land in the immediate vicinity of the Tank, and who stated that they could adduce proof that it belonged to them, and that there would be found documents in the Talook Cutcherry establishing their claim. The Tank is bounded on one side by the high road leading to the Soopah Talook, on another side there is a hill, and on the 2 other sides

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

sides lands belonging to the Petitioner's family and other parties: a Mahazar was taken from the Potail and Ryots living in the vicinity of the Tank, when they stated that had not been used for purposes of irrigation in the memory of man, that it was public property and that there did not exist the slightest objection to its being given to Durkastdar.

4 The question at issue therefore was whether the Tank was public or private property. In support of his claim the Petitioner forwarded from Sattiarah a Copy made in his own handwriting and attested by Colonel Owen the then Resident of a Moolputtah given by Mr Read Principal Collector of Canara A.D. 1805, stating that this would establish his right to the Tank. The Circumstances which led to this Moolputtah having been given are as follows. The Petitioner's father Esh shenevye who held the office of Sea Custom Monegar at Honare, having embezzled some Government Money, it was directed that his lands should be sold in satisfaction of the defalcation. Some

Fort St. George 23d March 1843

of his relatives claimed their share of the ancestral Estates. and as the claim was admitted, Hasgee Puttres or Partition Lists were prepared: and the moiety of the Estates which fell to the share of Esh Shenevye was put up to sale. They were purchased by one Gunapa Shenvye, to whom a Moolputtah confirmatory of the sale was granted by Mr Reade. they were subsequently repurchased from him by Esh Shenvye, and thus the Moolputtah granted to Gunapa Shenvye came into his possession.

5 His son the Petitioner asserts that he has forwarded a true copy of the Moolputtah in which the field and Tank are entered, and in his Petition to the Madras Government he has had the assurance to state that the original Puttah was produced before me. On seeing this Copy, the Durkastdar at once stated that there had been a fraudulent alteration of the word in the Copy upon which the right to the Tank depended, and that the

the Mahratta word "koondul Shet shik
puruch" koondul field the entire
stop" had been altered into "koondul
Shet tule puruch the koondul field Tank
entire" by the omission of a Letter and
the addition of the Vowel sign as shewn
in the margin. This appears to be borne
out by the fact that in the Hajegee
Pattee upon which this Meelputtah
appears to have been prepared there is
no mention of the Tank and also by
the words shet puruch "the entire spot
being used in other places in the same
document in contradistinction to the
term half the spot. there being
3 entire spots and 3 half divisions only
by this document. No authenticated
Copy of the Original Meelputtah
has been discovered in the Talluk records,
and although Gunba Row has produced an
unauthenticated copy in support of his
statement assigning reasons for it having
come into his possession this cannot be
relied on. There are no other public documents
of any importance bearing on the case, and
although the Petitioner after producing the

Copy was called on through his relatives resident
not Sedashigur to produce the original, same
excuse was always assigned for failing to do
this, and he finally stated in October last
that the original document had been
mutted and destroyed after the trans-
-mission of the Copy.

From my own inspection of
the spot, and when I visited it there
was not a drop of water in the Tank,
I am of opinion that it has not been used
for purposes of irrigation for many years,
and from the manner in which the dam
must have been built with masonry many
years since, it is more probable that it was
a public work, than one constructed at
the expense of the individual in posses-
-sion of the small Estate which now
belongs to the Petitioner. In regard
to the Copy produced by the Petitioner
I am strongly impressed with the belief,
arising from the disarepancy when
Compared with the Hajegee Puttah,
and the suspicious point of the Petitioner
having failed to produce the original
document

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

document, which he might have done at once, and his having after he had obtained the Sattarah Resident's attestation of a Copy, declared that this document which had been in his possession for upwards of 30 years had been destroyed, and other circumstances connected with the case, that the Petitioner as advanced by Gunda Row has been guilty of a gross fraud, and has obtained the signature of the Sattarah Resident to a document which that officer believed to be a true Copy, but which in reality is not, and I do not consider that he has adduced any trust worthy proof that the Tank is not public property - The delay in this case has arisen from the failure of the Petitioner to produce the original Mool Puttah, it having been only in October last, as above shewn, that he finally stated that it was not in his possession and had been destroyed through carelessness since the Resident's signature to the Copy was obtained -

7- I shall forward a Copy of this letter to Mr. Reade with a request that after passing such orders on the case as he may think fit he will communicate the result to you -

Sirsi Canara
1st March 1843.

(Signed) J. D. Pushington
Additional Sub Collr

Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter and its enclosure be submitted to Government with reference to the letter from the Acting Secretary to Government dated 13th June 1842 No. 736.

Inclos: 24th June 1842.

(68-69)

The further Report promised by the Principal Collector of Canara will be submitted for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council as soon as received.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From H. M. Blair Esqre
Prin. Collr of Canara.

(70)

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board a Statement of the wastage of Tobacco for Fasly 1251 / 1841/42 Amounting to Cs. Mds. Ds. Rs. 4-7-4-7. and request the Board's sanction to write off the same in my Accounts.

Principal Collr's Cutcherry,
Mangalore, 11th March 1843.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Prin. Collector

Statement.

4981 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

Order thereon, Ordered that the foregoing

(70-71) Letter and Enclosure be submitted to the Most Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation, that the wastage of Tobacco therein mentioned Amounting to Candies 4 Frs. 7 lbs. 4 R.R. 7 may be ordered to be written off in the Principal Collector's Accounts.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From H. M. Blair Esqre
Princl. Collr. of Canara.

Sir,

(72) I have the honor to request the sanction of the Board for the purchase of the land specified in the accompanying Statement by the public Servant mentioned therein.

The purchase is unobjectionable.

Principal Collrs Cutcherry,
Mangalore, 11th March 1843.

/signed/ H. M. Blair
Princl. Collector.

Name.

4980 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Tobacco stored, sold and the Amount of Wastage with it for Candies 4 Frs. 7 lbs. 4 R.R. 7

Taluks	Quantity Stored.	Quantity sold.	Wastage.	Remarks.
	2.	3.	4.	5.
	Candies Frs. lbs. R.R.	Candies Frs. lbs. R.R.	Candies Frs. lbs. R.R.	
Provincial Depot	224 6 6 .	. . . .	3 19 16	
Yekkul	22 . 12 . .	1 19 . . .	2 9 35 20	
Bintwal	15 6 23 35½	. . . .	2 10 12 20	
Coorky	95 12 10 10	. . . .	12 15 35	
Kalsee	10 1 13 20	. . . .	1 2 10 35	
Candapore	1 3 4 10	1 3 . . .	13 3 7	
Honore	53 5 5 20	8 15 . . .	15 15 10	
Ankola	34 19 5 34	10 5 . . .	1 6 15 20	
Soorkal	4 1 23 3½	4	2 4 10 35	
Soondah	56 . 1 26	35	1 16 5 15	
Total	565 6 2 29	50 2 . . .	4 82. 16 . .	

Principal Collr's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 11th March 1843.

/signed/ H. M. Blair
Princl. Collector.

Entered

4983

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Resolution thereon.

The Board authorize the purchase by S.S. Fernandez Rail Serishtadar, of the land mentioned in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter.

Read the following Statement.

(72-73)

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cuncore, from 13th to 19th March 1843.

(74)

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported for the Year 1842.	Quantity actually in Store at the Depôt ready for exportation	Remarks.
	Bengal Maunds.	Bengal Mds.	Bengal Mds.	Bengal Mds.	
Madras	300,000.	243,926.	56,074	56,074	No application has been received since the date of last report.

Madras 20th March 1843.

E. E. W.r (Signed)

A. Robertson Collector

Ordered

4982

Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

Name of the Purchaser.

Telook Village.

Petrent after paying Sirkar Rent.

Sirkar Share with reference to the Settlement.

S.S. Fernandez

Said Serishtadar

Mangalore Pymbore Taadumbore 234. 2.14 84. 1.7 152. 1.7 2535. 11 Years.

P. Robertson Collr. Canara

Mangalore, 20th March 1843.

Signed H. M. Blair

Principal Collector &c.

Order thereon,— Ordered to be recorded.

(74-75) Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Collector of Chingleput.— Register of Petitions
(76) bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of January last.

From the Collector of Chingleput.— Register of Petitions
(77) bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of February last.

Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers.— Ordered to be
(76-78) lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched together with the petitions therein referred to.—

To. The Collector of Rajahmundry.

To the Coll^r in the Zillah of Rajahmundry. Sir, Para 1. Referring to the correspondence noted in the margen, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from certain Jeroyte Ryots of the Village of Palacodarro in the Woondy Talook together with Copies of the separate Endorsements which you have given to them under date 11th January 1843 and 22nd December 1842.

Transmitting a petition from certain Ryots of the Village of Palacodarro in the Woondy Talook communicating instructions as to the mode of adjusting the demand on the above Village.

Jr. 30th May 1842. Rep. 5th June 1842. Jr. 13th Dec. 1842. Rep. 22nd Dec. 1842.

(79)

2. In their letter of 30th May last

distinct

distinct instructions were conveyed to you as to the mode of adjusting the Demand on the above Village pending the sanction of the Survey Accounts, and their instructions were repeated in their Proceedings 7th July last.

3. In the First of the Endorsements just quoted you inform the Ryots that the amount of remission claimed by them having been carried to account in the Immanabandy of 1251, before the Orders of the Board reached you, you are unable to give effect to them without the special sanction of the Government, and that you will submit their claim in reporting upon the Settlement of that Fasly and act according to the orders you may receive.

4. Your not being put in possession of the Board's sentiments on the Petitioner's Case until after the closing of your accounts for Fasly 1251 is sufficient explanation of your not having given effect to their instructions in that Fasly, but there appears to be no good reason why the Settlement of the Village for the Current Fasly 1252 should not be made agreeably to the instructions furnished

Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

furnished to you, and they desire that this may be done.

5. It is unnecessary in this place for the Board to state whether the scale of assessment submitted in the accounts which accompanied your letter of 24th July 1841. is considered moderate or otherwise. the subject is now under consideration, and you will receive instructions immediately, but if the Accounts are found to be perfectly accurate, it would be manifestly injudicious to make any alteration in two Villages only until you are prepared to give effect to the new system throughout the Saloak; until therefore the Survey of the Woondy Saloak is complete and has received the Sanction of the Board, they desire that the New rates may not be introduced into any Village.

In follow: 1st Nov. 1841.

6. In reference to the second Endorsement 22nd December 1842. the Individual to whom it is addressed as /Cottapully Venkatapuntly Raz/ has stated before the Board that tho' he may not have Cultivation in his own name, he has a share in lands held

Fort St. George, 23rd March 1843.

held by his brother, for which he is answerable, and on account of which sixty Rupees worth of his property has been sold. You will be pleased to give this Statement such Consideration as it may on Enquiry merit.

The following Endorsement has been given to the Petitioners.

No. 66 of 1843.

The Petitioners are referred to the Collector of Rajahmundry to whom instructions have been sent regarding the Prayer of their Petition.

Canne Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3rd March 1843.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

To. The Principal Collector of Canara.

To the Pl. Coll. of Canara.—

80

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition No. 66 of 1843. and with reference to the first Para of it to request that you will be so good as to state for the information of the Board whether you confirm the

4988 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

the decision of your Head. Assistant therein adverted to.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23rd March, 1843.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector of North Arcot. (81) Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of North Arcot. (82) Statement of goods released on payment of Extra duty the Month of February last.

Order on the 2 Abovementioned Statements. (81-83) Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods and the levy of Extra duty, enumerated in the Statements, be authorized.

From the Collector of Chingleput. (84) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of Chingleput. (85) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

4989 Fort St. George 23rd March 1843.

From the Collector of Madras. (86) Statement shewing the current prices of Salt in the Bazar, per measure, per mercal, and per Garce, for February last.

From the Principal Collector of Nellore. (87) Requesting 100 Calcutta Salt Certificates.

Order thereon, (87-88) Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

From the Principal Collector of Salem. (89) Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants on from 1st October to 31st December last.

Principal Collector of Salem. (90) Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages from the pay of the public Servants from 1st October to 31st December last.

From the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam. (91) Reporting that no defaulters are confined in the Gillah Jail for the Quarter ending 30th June 1842.

From the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam. (92) Reporting that no defaulters are confined in the Gillah Jail

4970 Fort St. George 23d March 1843

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Government

66-67

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 2nd January 1843, I am directed to request that you will lay before Government the accompanying letter from the Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore soliciting authority for conducting the defence of a suit in special appeal before the Sudder Provincial Court. The decree of the lower Court having been passed in favor of the Government Officers, the Board recommend that the further proceedings in the Superior Court may be conducted at the Public Expense.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
23d March 1843

(Signed) E. B. Lodell
Acting Secretary

H. B.

To

14 Enclosures
23d March 1843

4971 Fort St. George 23d March 1843.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Read the following letter.

68

From H. M. Blair Esqre Principal Collector of Canara

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 23d January last, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter from the Additional Sub Collector Mr. Lushington, containing a preliminary report on the subject of Petition No. 606 of 1842 - The Talooke of Ankola in which the tank in question is situated, being now under charge of the Head Assistant Mr. Reade, that Officer will conclude the enquiry into the subject during his tour of settlement through that Talooke, and furnish a final report on the Petition, which shall be submitted to the Board so soon as it is received.

Forwards copy of the additional Sub Collr's letter containing a preliminary report on the subject of the Petition referred to in the Board's letter of 23d January last and states that the enquiry will be concluded by the Head Assistant Mr. Reade under charge the Ankola Talooke in which the tank in dispute is situated

Principal Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore 7th March 1843

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Princl Collector

No. 1.

To The Principal Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I to the Board of Revenue have

4972

23d January 1843.

Fort St George 23d March 1843

have again called for a Report upon the Petition No 606 of 1842 addressed to them by Rund Rung Eshwant Davekar and in a letter written on the 14th November last when the charge of the Ambola Talook in which the land to which it refers is situated had temporarily devolved on me, I expressed my intention of submitting a report upon the subject as soon as I could find leisure, and as although the charge of the Talook now rests with Mr Reade the Head assistant Collector, the Petitioner and the proceedings in the case have remained in my Cutcherry it appears to me necessary to address a few observations to you before I make over the Papers to him more especially as I observe that the Petitioner in support of his case has made a free use of my name among other misstatements has asserted that I had determined to pass an order in his behalf, and that had the Talook remained under my charge an order would have been passed in accordance with his wishes long since.

2

As the case is still

4973

Fort St George 23d March 1843

and as Mr Reade may perhaps deem it advisable to inspect the spot when he proceeds to Sedasheguy for the Inuinmahbundy of that division of the Talook before passing any finial decision, I shall merely submit such an abstract of the case as will explain the delay which has taken place and at the same time convey my view of the merits of the Petitioner's claims.—

3. Gumba Row a Moonshee in the Ambola Talook Cutcherry gave a Durkast in 1838 for a delapidated Tank in the Cunnsgharee Village near Sedasheguy requesting permission to bring it under cultivation, and offering to pay any assessment which might be fixed upon it. His proposal was opposed by the Petitioner's relatives who have land in the immediate vicinity of the Tank, and who stated that they could adduce proof that it belonged to them, and that there would be found documents in the Talook Cutcherry establishing their claim. The Tank is bounded on one side by the high road leading to the Soopah Talook, on another side there is a hill, and on the 2 other sides

4990:

Fort St. George, 23d March 1843.

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Quarterly Jail Returns for the Quarter ending 31st December 1842 have been received from the Collectors of Vizagapatam, Rajahmundry, Masulipatam, Guntoor, Nellore, Chingleput, Salem, Coimbatore, Madura, Tinnevelly, Malabar, Canara, Bellary, Cuddapah, Kurnool, Combaconum, Tanjore, Trichinopoly, Dindigul, Ganjam