هذا الإسلام

17125 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 22d. December 1842. } John. Dent, Esqre. Senior Member
Alexander, Maclean, Esqr. Acting third Member
and
Sir. H. C. Montgomery Bart. Jr. Temporary Member
G. D. Drury, Esqre. Second Member absent on duty.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

(1) Read the following Extract

From the Secretary to Government &c. Transmitting a letter from the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary and requesting the sentiments of the Board on certain points and suggestions offered by that officer relative to the provision of carriage on the March of Troops.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated 3d. December 1842.

Read the following letter

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir, Fort St. George

1- I have the honor to submit for sanction of Government the accompanying Bill amounting to Rupees 4-12-1 Forty two and Annas twelve paid as Batta to 791 Bandies ordered and procured for the use of the 5th Light Cavalry on March from Bellary to Shalapoor and subsequently

prob.

Fort St. George 22d December 1842,

not required.

2. The cause of this Batta being incurred is as follows.

See Copy of this letter dated 10th October 1842 Encl. No 2.

The Officer Commanding the 5th Light Cavalry on the 10th October made a requisition to me for 300 Bandies with Bullocks and Drivers complete, and 15 Sets of Bearers for the use of the Regiment under orders to march on the 18th of the same Month and referred me to G. O. G. dated 9th August 1842.

3. The time allowed me for procuring the carriage was very limited. But I directed what bandies could be procured at Bellary and from the neighbouring Talook of Cumply to be sent to my Cutcherry.

4. But it was and is impossible to collect within so limited a time or indeed at all the exact number of bandies such as could be got must be sent and of this a great many will always be found unserviceable for a March and though brought from a distance of 30 Miles or more would have to be rejected, and unless these received Batta, the owners would be unjustly dealt with, as they do not come of their own will.

5. The total number of bandies collected

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

collected / but very many of which could not be got until the day the Regiment marched and the day before / was 365, which came in at different times. This was only 65, above the number for which requisition was made. But after this number had been collected the Regiment only took 194 Bandies leaving 171 Bandies in my hands. For 70 of these there was no necessity to give Batta as they had just come loaded with firewood into Bellary and their services not being required were immediately let go. But to 101 Bandies / of which 24 had been returned as unserviceable after they had been sent to the Regiment / it was necessary and just to pay Batta, which amounted to Rs. a. p. 42-12-0, which under the explanations offered I request may be sanctioned.

Total Bandies sent Viz.	
on 15th October	95
17th Do.	108
18th Do.	15
	218
Returned	24
Taken by the Regt	194
Ordered	300
Excess of Order	106

6. The duty of procuring carriage for the use of the Troops has been by G. O. G. dated 9th August 1842, above alluded to transferred from the Commissariat to the Civil authorities who are by this order and the Minutes of Consultation under date 12th April 1841. expected to procure

procures by contract or pressing or otherwise all demands made on them by the Officer Commanding the Regiment for all sorts of Carriages.

7. In the Bellary District common Bullock Bandies can be had in any number and procured by contract But bandies which are now always so much in demand are scarce and cannot be so procured, and the owners are always unwilling to go with the Troops.

8. The objectionable mode of pressing in a greater or less degree becomes necessary and that duty now devolves on the Collector The evils attending this were represented by me to Government in the year 1839 and orders of Government under date the 3d February 1840, were passed on this representation which prohibited the Collector except on great emergency from interfering in the duties of the Commissariat officer, as regarded the procuring of carriage Vizt

9. I request therefore to know how far that order of Government is

See letter from Sub Collector in charge to Government dated 15th August 1839.

is now applicable. For it must be clearly understood that to procure Bandies for the March of a Regiment the measure of pressing must in some degree be adopted unless by any means it is found practicable to induce the Bandy owners to come forward and hire their Carts. This I have hopes, may in a great measure be done, but it will require much care and attention on the part of the Collector himself to obtain so desirable an end. But the rules I shall propose for adoption will if carried out help to this end.

10. In the present instance, in procuring bandies for the use of the 5th Light Cavalry on March to Sholapoor I endeavoured by every means to make this inconvenience be felt as little as possible by the owners. For I had them all brought to the Huzzoor Cutcherry and the Bandies with the name of the owner and the Driver registered and every man received either in my presence or before my Head Sheristadar his half hire in advance, and they were then despatched with

with a copy of the Register and in charge
of Peons to the Officer commanding the ca
Regiment. This care though attended with
considerable inconvenience to the Collector
proper duties was I have reason to believe
an improvement on the mode formerly adopte
and was satisfactory to the Ryots who suppli
the bandies. A Maistry also to accompany the
Bandies to Sholapoor was sent by me that
he might look after them represent any
complaints to the Officer Commanding on
the line of March and see that the Bandy
men received the balance of hire Vca Vca
To this Maistry each bandy man paid as was
the custom under the Commissariat Managemen
at the rate of 2½ annas for every star Pagoda
of hire he received.

11 The Civil authorities by para
3. of the Minutes of Consultation under date
12th. april 1841 were required to keep a
Register of Carts available within their
districts that they might be taken in rotation
This I found had been done but the Regist
when the first attempt was made to bring the
rules into operation was found useless
almost - For I find that of 227 bandies re

the Bellary Talack Register, only 42 were
procurable - To make a Register of practical use
I would propose a small tax being levied on
the Bandies.

12 In the 2d. Para of the Minutes
of Consultation of 12th. april 1841 it is proposed
that the Civil authorities should provide carriage
by contract - This in respect of bandies under c
existing circumstances I have observed cannot
be done at Bellary or if it could there would
be no more trouble on this point -

13 In the 3d. Para of the same
Minute it was directed that a regular allot-
ment of carriage for a Regiment should be
made whether this has been done I know
not but in the present instance of a demand
being made for 300 bandies of which only
194 were taken, it would seem that this
rule had not been carried into effect - The
Collector might with advantage be supplied
with a copy of the statement of allotment
allowed to a Regiment which would be
of use to him.

14 A few rules I beg leave
to propose will I think be of much
service

service in enabling the Collector with as little inconvenience as possible to the Bandy owners to procure this sort of carriage for the use of the Troops. These rules are founded on the supposition, and it is a fact, that if Bandy carriage is to be procured for the use of Troops moving out of the Bellary District such carriage must be pressed.

4th

I propose that every Bandy (except bandies belonging to Government servants not used in trade but kept solely for their own use) shall be liable to be seized for the use of the Troops Marching To do this by Rotation as suggested in the Minutes of Consultation above quoted is I fear impracticable.

2ndly

That every large bandy shall be taxed. The tax to be (4) four Rupees per annum on all bandies used for trading and (3) three Rupees. The tax so levied to be used exclusively for repairing Roads in the Bellary District

3rdly

That any bandy when

† N.B. This excludes the common Mudder and Dung Carts.

required for the use of the Troops shall be exempted from this Tax for the whole of the year during any portion of which the services of such bandy may have been put in requisition by the Collector.

5. The object of instituting a tax is to ensure a correct account of bandies available to allow to those who are forced to go with Troops some exemption not enjoyed by the other bandies, and to make roads about Bellary which will be particularly serviceable for bandies. This Tax with the knowledge of every bandy being liable to be seized for the public service will give the Collector so effectual a control over the bandies as may perhaps with due care render the hardships of pressing very little felt, if it does not induce many owners to come forward of themselves to hire their bandies.

6. But I feel that the surest method to procure bandies without compulsion is to ensure to the owners their full hire. The system of nominally employing Maistries to procure

procure bandies while the whole work is done by the Circar Peons and office under servants has been a chief means of preventing a willing attendance of the owners. And it is to be feared that the owners seldom ever get their full hire, and were subjected to illtreatment and loss without any redress.

17. The Pay of B. a. p. 1-1-3 for every 10 Miles allowed in this District as the Circar rate is more than the bandy man get from the Merchants or others who employ them and if the owners proper receive the Pay and care is taken that they are not illtreated on the March there is reason to think that by and bye they may of their free will offer their services

18. To ensure this therefore as a general rule I would propose.

1st That the Officer Commanding the Regiment in making requisition for bandies should be directed to give at least 15 days notice to the Collector and accurately state the number of bandies

bandies he requires

2nd That as soon as any number of these bandies are prepared information should be sent to the Commanding Officer who should be required to send a responsible Person with three or four Sepoys or soldiers to inspect the bandies at the Collector's Cutcherry and immediately take such as are good and sign a receipt for the same.

3rd That the Bandy men shall then each be paid in presence of the person sent by the Commanding Officer half hire, if the distance is less than 100 Miles and 2/3ds if it exceeds 100 Miles and his name entered in a Register, Copy of which to be given to the person sent to take charge. This process to be observed every day as the bandies are procured until the number required is completed.

4th From the Day after each bandy is made over to the Regiment the bandy man shall be entitled to batta

batta until the Day the Regiment marches. The batta to be at the rate of 4 annas per Day 5 4thly

When all the bandies are dispatched a complete Register in English and the Native language to be sent to the officer Commanding the Regiment stating the name of the owner and the Driver of the bandy the name of the person into whose charge delivered by the Collector and date of delivery and the estimated value of the Cart and bullocks and the name of the Maistry to be appointed under whose care the bandy is placed. 6thly

That this Register be returned countersigned by the Officer Commanding after the Regiment has reached the station when the bandy will receive their balance of hire, and any remarks that it may be necessary to make such as the death of any Driver or bullock or other accident or whether it has been necessary to make a further advance to a bandy man on the road yea yea to be noted in the Register when returned.

7th

The Maistry above mentioned I propose should be a person appointed

Note. Since this was written I have received the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th October 1842, and the Proceedings referred to in that Minute amply shew the necessity of keeping such a Register as I have proposed.

by the Collector to accompany the bandies to be the Medium of any Complaint to the Officer Commanding of bandies over loaded or other illtreatment that any bandy man may have to make. to be in a great degree responsible for the conduct of the bandy man and to be made answerable for bringing back the bandies and reporting any casualties that may occur on the March, and to be the Medium for procuring substitutes for such casualties. Maistries who have not perhaps performed duties equal to those above enumerated have been allowed at the rate of 8 p Piece per Rupee on every bandy mans hire. This rate may still be allowed them, but in lieu of it to being paid out of the Ryots hire, I would rather it should be paid by and charged to Government, so that the ryot shall not be subjected to any deduction whatever by the Maistries, but his hire in that case might be reduced, the Extra 3 p and made Rs 2 8 0 per 10 Miles. and this will prevent one source of quarrelling and trouble to the ryots. Neither shall the ryot be subjected to any deduction from the Regiment Maistry Cutmal Serjeant,

or

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

or other person - which though unauthorized has been another cause of vexation - any neglect of duty on the part of the Bandsty would of course render him liable to be punished by the collector -

8 thly

That whenever practicable the European Assistant of the Collector should personally superintend the giveing over the bandies to the Regiment and paying the advance -

9 thly

That the sum requisite for paying the advance be drawn from the Collectors' Cutcherry and afterwards adjusted with the Officer Commanding the Regiment -

10th

That the load for a bandy shall not exceed 30 Maunds -

11th

That bandies brought from a distance exceeding 15 Miles shall receive batta at the rate of 4 annas for every 15 Miles they are brought - This batta to be charged to Government -

19th

The effect of these rules fairly carried out two or three times would I apprehend give such encouragement to the bandy owners as

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

to give very little trouble to the Public Authorities in future in procuring bandies and to render the measure of Prefixing to be scarcely necessary - But for two or three times it will be necessary - for the Collector himself or his Assistant personally to superintend the business and thereby shew the Ryot that he takes a real interest in preventing as much as possible every inconvenience to which he has hitherto been subjected, and although the Government will be put to some little additional expense it will be but small and I trust will not be objected to -

20

Another Regiment (the Queens 4th) is expected to be ordered shortly from Bellary and I fear that some difficulty will be experienced in providing carriage for them after the March of the Cavalry and I would beg leave to suggest that if any Public Stores are about to be sent to Bellary that they may if practicable be sent by bandies at a time so as to reach Bellary when the Regiment is about to leave, so that the bandies may be available

For

17140. Fort St. George 22nd. December 1842

for the Regiment.

Bellary District.
Collectors Office on
Circuit Anantapoor
7th November 1842.

Signed C. Pelly
Sub Collr. in Charge.

No. 1.

Memorandum of charges of Batta incurred for procuring the Bandies for the use of the 5th. Regiment Light Cavalry on march from Bellary to Sholapoor in the Month of Octr. 1842

Total of Bandies supplied at each time.	Amount of Batta paid for each Bandy per day at the rate of 4 annas	Remarks.
Number	Rupees as	
31	7 12	This was incurred on the 18th. October 1842.
70	35 "	Ditto — Do. 17th. & 18th. Ditto.
101	42 12	Total Company's Rupees Forty two and annas twelve.

Bellary District.
Collectors Office on
Circuit Anantapoor
7th November 1842.

Signed C. Pelly
Sub Collector in
Charge

No. 2

17141. Fort St. George 22nd. December 1842

No. 2.

To, The Collector
Ceded Districts
Bellary

Sir,

Agreeably to G. O. G dated 9th August 1842, I have the honor to acquaint you that Carriage as per Margin will be required for the use of the Regiment under my command at present under orders to march from hence to Sholapoor on the 18th Instant.

Bandies with Bullocks and Drivers complete 300.
Bearers Dolis 15

Bellary
10th October 1842

Signed D. A. Fleming
Major
Commanding 5th Regiment Light Cavalry.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be transmitted for the consideration of the Board of Revenue and for their sentiments on the points and suggestions offered by the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary relative to the provision of Carriage on the March of Troops.

Signed Walter Elliot
Offg Secy to Government

Ordered that following address be submitted in consequence.

(1-2)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

with reference to the order of Govt. of the 3rd Instant the sentiments of the Board on a letter from the Sub Collr. in charge of Bellary containing remarks on the subject of supplying carriage to troops with some rules proposed by that Officer for facilitating that object.

Rd. 22nd Dec. 1842

of Revenue to acknowledge an order of Govern=
=ment dated the 3d Instant transmitting for
the consideration of the Board a letter from
the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary &
containing remarks on the subject of &
supplying carriage to troops with some
rules which he proposes for facilitating
that object. The Board have given con=
=sideration to the paper and under their
instructions I have now the honor to submit
their sentiments for the consideration of
Government.

2 Mr. Pelly's first proposal is
that carriage bandies should be subjected
to a tax of which the object is to ensure a
correct return of the number of bandies &
available, and to offer an inducement to
owners to take service with troops, in the
remission of the tax. The Board cannot
concur in this part of the plan, they are
persuaded that it would not be viewed
by the People in the light which Mr.
Pelly designs but would be regarded
only as a new and additional Govern=
=ment demand and in those districts
in which few troops are stationed, it
would actually be so: if it be considered
necessary to offer a premium to bandies

to volunteer for the Government Service, the
Board are of opinion that the best way of
doing so would be by a direct disbursement
The rules proposed for paying
3 the Bandymen appear to the Board both
judicious and practicable - It is of special
importance that the Magistrate should have
due notice of the day of marching and exact
information of the number of bandies wanted.
If any Scale of Carriage has been fixed
for the different descriptions of troops, it
is desirable that it should be communicated
to all Magistrates and be strictly acted on.
Rule 4 does not specify by whom the batta
for detention is to be paid the Board &
think that like the batta for distance
Rule 11 it should be paid by Government.
The aggregate will be a mere trifle, and
the demand of it from the Depoys would
certainly occasion discontent.

+ Ext. from Min. of C. April 12th 1841 No. 491 Para. 29.

The appointment of a &
4 responsible Maistry to go with the Bandies
supplied appears a promising measure; &
but as to the mode of paying him the Board
differ from Mr. Pelly. They think it would
keep their relative position more vividly
in the remembrance of both parties if
the

the ministry were paid by a commission out of the hire, than if he received pay from Government. In the latter case he would regard himself only as a servant of Government and forget to care for the interests of the Bandymen which he was solely appointed to protect. The rate of hire proposed is also high, it may be fair for Bellary, but it is much more than is usual in most Districts. There appears no reason however why one uniform or permanent rate should be established, it should be left, the Board think, to every Magistrate to determine it from time to time for his own District. It should be fixed with reference to the ordinary local rate, but a little above it, both because of the disagreeableness of the employment, and because the bandymen are entitled to some part of the large rise which, if the dealing were free, would certainly attend so material an extension of the usual demand for bandies. The Board disapprove of the exemption of Bandies belonging to Public Servants in particular from liability to be pressed. The true limitation should be

be that the liability should extend to all bandies ordinarily let out for hire or kept for profit, but not to hackeries and other bandies kept merely for private accommodation and whether belonging to public servants or others.

Rule 1 in P. 14.

5. The other rules appear to the Board to be very good, particularly the provision for an advance of hire from the Collectors' Treasury and those concerning the selection of bandies and their delivery to the Sepoys, and the register of them to be

Rule 3.

Rule 2.

Rules 5 & 6.

furnished to the Commanding Officer. The duties to be performed by the Ministry being miscellaneous and novel he will need some instructions for his guidance; and the Board suggest that Mr. Pelly who has taken so much interest in the subject and made himself so well acquainted with it should be requested to draw up a brief and concise set of rules for that purpose. Mr. Pelly's proposals regard Bandies only; but in parts of the Country where carriage bullocks too are used and are not so easily procurable as in Bellary, it is desirable that payment for them also should be regulated

regulated in the same way:-

6 Lastly the Board observe that the efficacy of the provisions now under consideration, or of any others for the same object depends on their being cordially and fully acted on both by the Collector and by the Commanding officer of the Regiment receiving carriage. It is essential therefore, if they are adopted, that both authorities should be strictly enjoined to adhere to them, and to give not a mere tacit acquiescence, but an active cooperation to ensure a full conformity to them. Allusion is made in the latter part of rule 7 to the exactions of the Subordinate officials of the camp, to check this & other abuses, a ready ear should be given by the military authorities to information and complaints from the Bandy Maistry.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 22nd Dect. 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

JBB

3

Read the following Extracts

From the Actg Sec.y to Govt.

Transmitting Extract of a General letter from the Honble the Court of Directors sanctioning the remission of certain arrears on the Shevagherry Zemindary in Tinnevelly

Receiving the reports on the subject of devising means for preventing accidents occurring from the practice of drawing Pagoda Cars and on the projected Railway from Madras to Wallajahnugger and communicating instructions for relieving the Zemindary of Pottadavole in Ramnad from embarrassment.-

Extract from a Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors

Dated 24th August 1842 No. 27.

Dated 19th January 1841 Para 2nd

Soliciting the Court's sanction to the remission of an arrear of Peishcush standing against the Shevagherry Zemindary in Tinnevelly amounting to Rupees 66,013-2-4-

Para 2nd of this Estate was first taken under Circar management in 1827. at which time the balance amounted to Rupees 48,650- Under the management of the Collector the arrears increased to the sum of Rupees 129,335 at which amount they stood in 1835, when it was resolved that the Estate should be again committed to the management of the Zemindar on his agreeing to pay down in ready Money the sum of Rupees 1,107 and to discharge the remaining balance by twelve annual instalments of Rupees 9,431 each in addition to the Current Peishcush of the year amounting

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th December 1842.

Para 2. Under the authority conveyed in these paragraphs the Most Honble the Governor in Council has sanctions the remission of the sum of Rupees (66,013. 2. 4.) Sixty six thousand and thirteen, Annas two and pice four, outstanding at the close of Fasly 1249 against the Shevagherry Zemindary in the Tinnevelly District.

Amounting to Rupees 56,000, the Zemindar has faithfully fulfilled these conditions and has paid into the Collectors Treasury the sum of Rupees 63,322 on account of the before mentioned balance; which is now reduced to the sum of Rupees 66013-2-4: from which he seeks to be relieved.

3. The request of the Zemindar is strongly supported by the Collector Mr. Thompson, by the Board of Revenue, and by your Government for the following reasons, Viz.th that the Original cause of the Estate falling into arrear was the occurence of a severe storm, which deluged the Country, burst the tanks and otherwise caused

caused considerable damage; that the greater portion of the balance accrued while the estate was under management, and that it is partly to be attributed to insufficient Superintendence on the part of the Officers employed; that the Zemindar has strictly adhered to his engagements, and has already paid more than the amount of the original balance; that the heavy additional demand prevents any improvement of the resources of the Estate; that both the Zemindar and his son bear most excellent characters, and are fully deserving of the consideration of Government and, lastly that similar remissions have been granted in the case of other Zemindaries, the circumstances of which did not so strongly call for indulgence as those in which

which have been already enumerated as pertaining to the one under notice.

4. On a full consider-=ation of all the circumstances to which you have drawn our attention, we have re-=solved to accede to your recommendation and we accordingly authorize you to remit the sum of Rupees 66,013-2-4 being the amount of arrear out-=standing against the Shevagunga Zemindary at the close of Fusly 1249.-

Dated 5th April 22
No 17 1841
Transmitting correspondence with the Collectors in the Provinces on the subject of devising means for preventing accidents occurring from the practice of drawing Cars at Native religious Festivals.

It does not appear to us to be neces-=sary that any further precaution should be taken by the Local Officers on these occasions beyond that of Sta-=tioning a sufficient body of police to maintain order among the Crowds.

6 This para will be com-=municated to the Board of Revenue.

The

Dated 8th June 30
No 30-1841
Forwarding further papers on the subject of the project-ed Rail-way from Madras to Vallajah-nuggur.

The papers now submitted comprise a re-port on the proposed line of railway by Lieutenant Forster who was employed to survey it, a view of that Report by the Board of Revenue and Minutes by your President and the Civil Members of Council.

31. The Cost of the railway has been very variously estimated. In the original proposal noticed in paras 12 and 13 of our Dispatch dated the 5th September No 14 1838, the cost of a railway Seventy Miles in length to be worked by animal power alone, was assumed at Rupees 4,20,000 or Rupees 6,000 per Mile. The line surveyed by Lieutenant Forster

8. These paragraphs will be com-=municated to the Board of Revenue in reference to their Secretary's letter 13th August 1840 No 304.

Worster, 64 Miles in length the estimates, would cost, with a single line of rail, and fitted for the employment of locomotive Engines, Rupees 12,46,067 or Rupees 19469 per Mile, while your President is of opinion that it could not be executed at less than Rupees 30,000 per Mile which estimate is raised by the Board of Revenue to Rupees 40,000.

64 × 30,000 Rs 19,20,000

64 × 40,000 Rs 25,60,000

32. The Members of your Government are equally divided in opinion with respect to the project, Lord Elphinstone, and Mr Sullivan being in favor of it, and Mr Lushington and Mr Bird opposed to it.

33. You have very justly observed that the scheme is referred to us "at a moment when financial "considerations will probably oppose "an insuperable obstacle to it's "adoption". Were this however not the case, we should require to be furnished with fuller and more trustworthy information

information with respect to the probable cost of a project involving at the lowest estimate the expenditure of so large an Amount of the public Money before we could give it our Sanction.

Dated 12th June No 32-1841
Dº 28th Nov. No 65. 1841
Dº 14th Jany No 6. 1842.
Transmitting Papers relative to the Affairs of the Nedavole Zemindary in Masulipatam

35. The Affairs of this Zemindary have fallen into such a state of embarrassment, that it has become absolutely necessary to take some decided measures for their settlement, and you have accordingly referred the case to us for instructions as to the course to be pursued with that object.

36. The claims against the Estate do not fall short of nine lacs of Rupees a sum which can never,

10 A copy of these paragraphs will be furnished to the Board of Revenue with directions to adopt early measures for giving effect to the instructions of the Honorable Court and to make the necessary communications to the parties concerned.

Annual Peshcush
Rupees 2,10,000

Arrears of
Peshcush to Septr
1841 Rupees 3,04,020-14-11

Debt due to
the Zemindar
of Nozeed with
Interest to 1st
august 1841 " 4,51,964-"-"

never be realized out of the surplus proceeds of the Zemindary. The Arrears of Peshcush and the claim of the Zemindar of Nozeed Amount together to Rupees 7,55,984-15-6. besides about a lac and a half of " Rupees due to private Creditors and to Members of the family " entitled to support from the Zemindar.

37 The debt due to the Zemindar of Nozeed consists of the unliquidated portion (with accumulated interest) of a sum of Rupees 3,00,000 which was lent in the year 1825 out of the surplus funds of the Nozeed Zemindary) then under the charge of the Court of Wards) to the Nedadavole Zemindar; under the

the authority of the Court and with the sanction of the Government on the Mortgage of the permanent Estate of the Nedadavole Estate. Under these circumstances we concur in the opinion expressed by you that it is but just to allow the demand in question to be satisfied, even in preference to the claim of Government for arrears of Revenue. The Zemindar of Nozeed is willing to accept a portion (about one third) of the Nedadavole Estate in full satisfaction of his claim, an arrangement which all the public authorities agree in representing as desirable one.

38. The recovery of the large Amount of arrears due to the Government would appear to be quite hopeless. and the Board of Revenue recommend that they should be wholly relinquished, having been chiefly incurred while the Estate was under the management of the Officers of Government, and in consequence of adverse seasons.

39. Under these circumstances the most advisable course would appear to be to bring the Estate to sale for

17150 Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

for the arrears outstanding against it and to purchase it on account of Government when the portion which the Zemindar of Nozeed is willing to receive in satisfaction of the demands could be made over to him the peishcush chargeable upon it being so previously determined.

4o. You have referred it to us to decide whether the remaining portion shall be reconferred upon the Nidadavole Zemindar on a new settlement and with a new Sunnud, upon his satisfying all demands against him, whether by private creditors or by relations or whether the family shall be allowed a certain portion of the revenue for their maintenance the Estate itself being retained in the hands of Government.

4-6 As we are desirous of maintaining as far as possible this ancient family in a respectable position, we should prefer the former course, and we accordingly authorize you to reconfer the portion of the Estate remaining at your disposal on the Zemindar, the local authorities taking care to ascertain that the private claims are put on a satisfactory train of adjustment, and that the public demand to be fixed on the Estate is not greater than can be discharged from the proceeds without again leading the Zemindars into embarrassments.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Ag. Secy to Govt

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(3-4)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

To

17157 Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

From the Sec. to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th December 1842.

(5)

From Walter Elliot Esqr
Acting Sec. to Govt of Fort St. George

To
A. Hathaway Esqr

Sir,

I am directed to acquaint you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to appoint you to be Head Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate of Masulipatam.

2 His Lordship in Council however desires that you will not quit the charge of the Guntoor Collectorate until relieved by Mr. Stokes.

Fort St. George
10th Dec. 1842. } /Signed/ Walter Elliot
Ag. Sec. to Govt

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Ag. Sec. to Govt

(5-6)

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

From the Ag. Sec. to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th December 1842

(7)

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Bellary for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841.1842.

1 Here enter 21st Dec. 1842 No. 521

Para 1. This Settlement is a most

most satisfactory there being an Increase in all the Sources of Revenue.

2. The Sayer gives an Increase of 3313 Rs. in the Betel and Tobacco rent and a decrease of 2880 Rs. in the Land Customs making a total Increase of 432 Rupees. The decrease in the latter was caused by the Article of "dried Chillies" having been this year exempted from duty, and also by the exportation of Cotton and Cotton thread to the Western Coast, instead of to Mysore, thus causing a relinquishment of the frontier duty (levied of late years) on the latter mode of transit.

* 1993 Rs.

* 8990 Rs.

3. The cause of the trade taking this direction should be ascertained.

4. On the other items there is an Increase making the total Collections in excess of those of Fusly 1250. 1840.41 by Rupees 14,112. and of these Collections there remained at the end of August only 956 outstanding.

Fusly 1250 / 1840.41 } 878.612

1251 / 1841.42 } 892.774

Increase 14112

5. The Charges were slightly increased the Net Revenue however exceeded that of 1250 by Rupees 14061 a result which the Government conceive

to

to the highly creditable to the authorities in the district.

6. Of the arrears Rs. 317 55 were collected within the Fusly 1249 Rs. 15-1 were remitted on the dates noted in the margin, the remainder or Rupees 332-12-1 being the arrears of Moturpha for Fusly 1249 1839-40 and 1251 / 1840.41 is hereby ordered for the reasons stated, to be written off the accounts.

* Rs. 12586

30th Nov.r - 1841
19 Sept.r - 1842

* Three hundred and thirty two annas twelve and Pie Nine.

7. The Board of Revenue are reminded that the Report on the decline of the Sugar Cane in Bellary called for in Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation under date 30th November 1841 has not as yet been received.

8. The tax referred to in the concluding Para of the Boards Remarks must of course be discontinued.

9. In looking at the result of this settlement, satisfactory as it is, when compared with those of late years, the fact must not be lost sight of, that it does not arrive at the Gross Collections of former periods

Fusly	Amount Rs.	a.	p.
1236	9,20,982	6	1
1237	39,31,30	.	8
1238	9,54,113	3	-
1239	9,83,326	11	4
1240	9,54,756	10	7
1241	9,79,911	6	9
1242	9,22,825	11	5
1243	8,61,766	8	10
1244	8,54,370	2	11
1245	9,98,198	11	1
1246	8,98,115	11	4
1247	9,32,262	11	-
1248	7,75,981	-	-
1249	8,55,956	9	-
1257	8,78,248	11	11

periods, as exhibited in the subjoined Memorandum-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

A true Extract
(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Sect. to Government-

Resolution thereon- 1. The Board observe that the submission to Government of the Collector's report on the decline of Sugar Cane Cultivation has been delayed for want of some further information, which it was necessary to call for regarding it. It is hoped that Mr. Mellor will shortly furnish that information as required in the Board's Proceedings under date March 3d 1842.

7-8

2. Adverting to the remark in the concluding Para of the foregoing extract, the Board observe that at the beginning of Fusly 1247 A.D. 1837-38 a variety of articles were exempted from duty which were estimated to produce in the Bellary District Rupees 5,068 annually if this sum be added to the Revenues of Fusly 1251 A.D. 1840-41 it will be found to exceed that of any preceding year.

Ordered that Extract of these

Proceedings be submitted for the information of Government, and that copy of them with transcript of Extract of Minutes of Consultation to which they refer be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary-

From the Actg. Sect. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 20th December 1842.

9

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 8th and entered in Consultation 22nd November 1842

Read also the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Submitting with reference to the Minutes of Consultation of the 22nd instant the Minute recorded by the 2nd Member of the Board, on the subject of the advancement of Chellapah Mooleliar to the office of Head Sherestadar in Trichinopoly.

(Here under 25th November 1842 No. 506)

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that it is quite impossible for Mr. Onslow to have

have that confidence in Chellapah Moodelliar which the nature of the situation for which he has been recommended requires, and after what has occurred he does not think that it would be advisable to sanction his appointment.

(Signed) Walter Elliot.

Actg Sect. to Govt.

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing Resolution of Government together with copy of the Board's letter to which it refers be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to his letter of the 18th August and 6th October.

(9-10)

Read the following Resolution.

From the Actg Sect. to Govt. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th December 1842.

(11) (Bd. Proc. 28th Novr.)

His Lordship in Council sanctions the charges incurred in the conservancy management of the Cardamum Farm in Fuslies 1249 and 1250 amounting to Rupees (19272-3-3) nineteen thousand two

two hundred and seventy two, annas three, and Pice three.

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Actg Sect. to Government.

Resolution thereon. Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 4th November No. 69.

(11-12)

From the Actg Sect. to Govt. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th December 1842.

(From Board's 9th Dec.)

(13) The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence of the suit No. 233 of 1842, instituted in the Zillah Court of Rajahmundry against the Collector and others, by Canasoolah Soobiah, but will determine on a Copy of the Decree being laid before him, whether the Costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer, or by the Pittapoor Estate.

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Actg Sect. to Govt.

Resolution thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government and

(13-14)

for

1716 Fort St. George 22d December 1842

of the Board's letter of the 8th December last with the revised draft of answer prepared by them, be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry, who will be pleased to file the revised answer and prosecute the defence.

From the Sr. Sect. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th December 1842.

(From Bd. 10th Nov.)

15

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council is not prepared to enter upon a consideration of the general questions which have arisen from the Law allowing Europeans to hold lands in India, but with reference to the observations of the Honorable the Court of Directors, and of the Supreme Government in the correspondence noted in the Margin, is of opinion, that the particular application of Captain Vallancey for a grant of land for purposes of Agriculture is objectionable, and should not be complied with.

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Sr. Sect to Govt

Proceedings

1716 Fort St. George 22d December 1842

Proceedings thereon,

16

From the Sr. Collr. in Cons. 24 Octr. 1842

From Dr. 17th Octr. in Cons. 3 Novr.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter noted in the margin -

Ordered also that the following letter be sent in consequence -

To Captain G. Vallancey.

To Captain G. Vallancey in Cons. 3 Octr & 3 Novr 1842

Sir, With reference to your letters of the 22d September and 23d October, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that your application for a tract of land in the Coimbatore District at the foot of the Neelgherry Hills, has been submitted for the orders of Government, and that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council considers your application objectionable, and declines to comply with it -

15-17

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 22d December 1842 - (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read again the following letters from the Collector of Ganjam on the subject of the native religious institutions of his District and the Government connection with them -

18

(Here enter Octr. 24th 1842 No 47 - and December 6. 1842 No 53 -)

Proceedings thereon, -

The total No of these institutions in Ganjam having public endowments is very large being no fewer than 12709 -

Recording observations and orders on two letters from the Coll. of Ganjam on the subject of

Pagodas	12480	
Mosques	138	
Mathums	91	
	12709	

Fort St George 22d December 1842

of the Native religious Institutions of that district, and the Government connection with them -

Ab.t 24. Paras

12709 - and the aggregate of their public emoluments in land and money allowances amounts to 64852-10-. shewn in the subjoined Statement, which is prepared from the Returns received in 1834 - This amount includes however the Revenue of the Villages in this district belonging to the Temple at Poonee, the Value of which is not separately stated -

	Land Enams	Money allowances granted by Government	Money allowances granted by Zemindaries	Total	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Pagodas	32,734	11. 3387	13 -	14,882	4	1. 57,043	6 -	The Miscellaneous Revenue in Col 14 of the Return of 1834 is not included in this Statement being not of a public nature but the produce of private benevolence
Mosques	2570	11 -	401	11 -	57	3	5. 3023	9	5.	
Muttums	9640	4	3. 20	- -	1124	12	4 10785	10	7.	
Total	44,944	2	3809	3 -	16058	3	10 64852	10	-	

It cannot be learnt from either of the letters above recorded, or from the Statement to prepared in 1834, what proportion of the Enams are situated in the Mawely Talooks, and what in the Zemindaries but it would seem that the portion in the former is very small and that the species of income noted in Column 4 is confined to the Zemindaries only

Fort St George 22d December 1842

The Government connection

2.

with Native Religious Institutions in Ganjam is every limited it is confined to the payment of money allowances to 176 institutions in the Mawely Talooks, the aggregate amount of which is 3809-8. annually. Many of these allowances are very trifling even as low as 1. Rupee a year and only one exceeds 200 Rupees a year - These payments appear to be partly in lieu of lands and Rooftaxes which were in the possession of the various institutions, at the time when the country was transferred to the British, and which were shortly after converted into money allowances, and partly the continuation, wholly or in part, of similar commutations made by the Mahomedan Government - It does not appear that the Institutions enjoying these allowances possess any other public endowment, but it is not quite clear whether or not they have any share of the few enams stated to have been left uncommuted in the Mawely Talooks -

† Pondoo - 163 - Mahomedan 13 - dan 176.

† Bowanamuck Pagoda rupees 2255-5-6.

†† Letter Dated 24th Para 4

† Letter Dated 6 Para 5 A.D. 1766

3.

Government have never interfered in any way in the Management

of

of the Native Religious institutions in Ganjam those in the Hill Zemindaries being left to the superintendence of those Chiefs, and those in the low country to the officiating Priests and their ecclesiastical superiors In all of them the rule and order of the succession of the controlling authorities and Priests is well defined, being either hereditary in various modes, or by nomination of a superior. The fixed allowances have been regularly paid, no extraordinary expenses have been incurred for Repairs of edifices &c and there is no deposit in hand-

Oct 24 - Oct Para 9-

Para 8-

4 Thus the Relations of the Government with the Institutions possessed of public endowments in the Ganjam Collectorate are already on the same footing wherever it has been the object to place them elsewhere; and no change appears necessary. The continued payment of so many small sums periodically to the various temples has an objectionable appearance but the Board are not now prepared to propose any measure- tion. Perhaps if there were individuals or bodies who could be trusted to receive and dispense the whole

sum or the aggregate allowances of particular Talooks or location, so as to reduce the number of direct payments from the Treasury, their Agency might be beneficially made use of, and the Collector is requested to consider whether this or any other expedient may be safely adopted-

5. The course pursued by Mr Bannerman in reference to the Pagoda at Colodah was judicious and proper-

From Coll of Ganjam 24 Oct 1842 Para 10-

6. The Board observe with regret that Mr Bannerman has understood their request for further information as implying dissatisfaction, and a failure to appreciate the diligence which he displayed in completing his first report- They beg therefore that he will receive their assurance that nothing like censure was intended- On the contrary they perceived that he had taken great pains to furnish all the information which he understood to be wanted, and attributed the deficiency on some points to what appears from his second letter to have been the real cause, viz a too strict and literal interpretation of their order of the 24th June 1841-

Ordered

1770 Fort St George 22d December 1842

Ordered that Extract

of the foregoing Proceedings be sub-

=mitted for the information of

Government, together with the

correspondence to which they

relate, and that a Copy of it

be likewise furnished to

the Collector of Ganjam

for his information &

guidance.

Read

1771. Fort St George 22d December 1842.

Read the following Letter

From the Collr of Ganjam Submitting the accounts of the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Ganjam District for Fusly 1250.-

(19)

To.

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir

I have now the honor to submit to the Board of Revenue, the Annual Accounts of the Settlement of the Land Revenue and Moturpha of the Mavely Talooks of this District for Fusly 1250.-

The season of Fusly 1250 was
2.
again unfavorable. A severe storm, attended with very heavy rain occurred in the begin-ning of the Month of May, which brought down the freshes and filled the Tanks. This early supply of water enabled the Ryots to sow extensively, but owing to the failure of any further showers until the Month of July, much of the Paddy plant withered and perished - In the two following months the supply of rain was copious, but after the end of August, little rain fell, and the rice Crops, in Villages not irrigated from the Rivers, were deprived of the moisture required to bring them fully to maturity. The rice Crops in the Wadadah Talook, dependent

dependent on the River Channels were however good, and the dry Crop throughout the District was considered to yield an average outturn.

The prices of Grain, were on the whole unusually low.

3. The following Memorandum shews the result of the changes that took place in the mode of the settlement of the several Villages in Fusly 1250, contrasted with the previous Fusly.

	Number of Villages. Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250.	Increase	Decrease
Settled with the Ryots	292	304	12	"
Rented to the Monotazers	699	696	"	3.
Annamie	8	1	"	7.
Total	999	1,001	12	10.

being an increase of two in the number of Villages, of which one consists of a Khond hamlet in Goomsur, that has recently been cleared; and the other is the small Mokasa Village of Shampoor, which has lapsed to the Government consequent on the death of Vissuntty Pradan the late Mokasadar without heirs.

4. The following abstract exhibits by Talooks the increase and decrease in the Settlement of Fusly 1250. compared with that of the previous Fusly. the result being a Net increase in the whole District of Rupees 25,948 — 1 — 8.

Names of the Talooks.	Settlement for Fusly 1249	Settlement for Fusly 1250.	Increase	Decrease
	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P
Itchapoor	47,882 11 6	47,136 - 3	129 11 3	876 6 6
Poobacondah	115,085 8 8	1,10,594 1 7	195 3 3	4,686 10 4
Wadadah	1,39,818 14 2	1,42,914 9 "	3,165 4 9	69 9 "
Pattalagum	6,671 4 "	6,796 4 "	254 - "	129 - "
Sonadah	9,325 - 6	11,121 1 "	1,895 8 6	99 8 "
Goomsur	120,352 8 11	146,522 1 7	26,369 4 10	199 12 2
	4,39,135 15 9	4,65,084 1 5	32,009 - 7	6,060 14 11
Deduct Decrease				6,060 14 11
Net Increase				25,948 1 8

She.

Fort St. George, 22d December 1842.

5. The principal Items of increase and decrease compared with the previous year are particularized below.

Increase.

Itchapore Talook.

Increase in 6 Villages being the reassessment of former remissions	55 7 2	
Increase in 2 Villages from extended cultivation	35 4 1	
Increase in the proposals for the rent of Chayroot	36 " "	
		129 11 3

Poobacondah Talook.

Increase in the rent of two Villages which were under Amany in the previous year	168 " "	
Increase by reassessment of former remissions	2 1 "	
Increase in 5 Villages from extended cultivation	25 2 3	
		195 3 3

Wadadah Talook.

Increase in Villages which have been surveyed, with reference to the excess of land under cultivation, discovered on measurement, and in the Settlement of certain Villages which were under Amany in the previous year	3,031 8 6	

Increase

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

Increase in 7 Villages in consequence of extended cultivation	105 3 7	
Increase in 3 Villages in consequence of dry land having been converted into wet Cultivation	25 8 9	
		3,165 4 9

Pattalagum Estate.

Increase in the proposals for 4 Villages in consequence of the improved state of their resources	" " " 254 " "	

Sooradah Talook.

Progressive increase in the amount of the triennial leases of the Villages of this Talook	" " " 1,895 8 6	

Goomsur Talook.

Increase in the rent of 157 Khond hamlets	83 8 "	
Increase in the proposals for 428 Villages	26,275 8 10	
	26,359 " 10	
Increase in 2 Villages, newly brought into cultivation	10 4 "	
		26,369 4 10
Total Increase		33,009 " 7

Decrease.

Itchapore Talook.

Decrease in consequence of the desertion of Ryots, in Coonany Villages owing to the effects of the previous unfavorable seasons	" " " 876 6 6	
Carried Over		876 6 6

Poobacondah

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

Brought Over " " " 876 6 6

Poobacondah Talook.

Decrease in 40 Villages owing to the desertion and death of Ryots, and the consequent abandonment of land previously under Cultivation — 4,513 14 4

Decrease in the Village of Carapa doo under Aumany — 61 2 "

Decrease in the Rents of Cocoanut Topes in consequence of the destruction of those trees — 111 10 "

4,686 10 4

Wadadah Talook.

Decrease in consequence of the adjustment of the boundaries of the Village of Singhelpore — 6 9 "

Decrease being the remission granted for the land taken for the site of the Tahsildars new Cutchery — 1 8 11

Decrease on account of failure of Crop — 62 8 "

69 9 11

Pattalagam Estate.

Decrease being the remission allowed in two Villages in consequence of the impoverished state of the Ryots — " " " 129 " "

Carried Over — 5761 10 9

Soradah

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Brought over " " " 5761 10 9

Soradah Talook.

Decrease in Bendooragala hamlet in consequence of deaths among the Ryots — 10 " "

Decrease in the rents of Orange Gardens owing to their unproductiveness consequent on the want of Rain — 89 8 "

99 8 "

Goomsur Talook.

Decrease in the rent of 4 Villages owing to the deteriorated state of their Resources — 178 1 "

Decrease in Cuttoobady in consequence of certain Enam lands having been abandoned by the owners, and now transferred to Jeropette — 21 11 2

199 12 2

Total Decrease — 6,060 14 11

Goomsur.

Fusly 1246 —
" 1247 — 86,798
" 1248 — 92,822
" 1249 — 120,352
" 1250 — 146,522

The most material increase it will be observed occurred in the Goomsur Zemindarry the Settlement of which has progressively been gradually raised as the extension of Cultivation and the improvement

Sort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

improvement of the resources of the Ryots admitted of. The Board are aware that in Goomsur and Sooradah the heretofore prevailing system of renting out the Villages has been continued, which is more acceptable to the Ryots than a more detailed Settlement; and as we possess no accurate information in respect to the actual extent of land annually under Cultivation, or the amount of the produce, it seems, at the same time, to be well calculated by competition to develope the true value of the several Villages, I believe, that of most of the large and valuable Villages, the full rent, which they are capable of yielding, has been already attained, and in some instances I apprehend, that the amount may even have perhaps been carried higher than they can produce in all seasons; but in the small detached hamlets scattered along the sides of the Hills, where the population is very scanty, a considerable increase of revenue may eventually be looked for. The resources of Sooradah are also progressively improving.

Sooradah
July 1246
" — 1247 — 4,610.
" — 1248 — 4,611.
" — 1249 — 9,326.
" — 1250 — 11,121.

7. The chief item of decrease to the extent of Rupees 1,173 — 14 — 11. occurred in the Poobacondah Salook, and is attributable to the death

Sort St. George 22d. December 1842.

death or emigration of many Ryots, and the reduced condition of the Cultivators generally owing to the disastrous effects of the previous two seasons.

8. The following abstract exhibits the Moturpha Revenue, inclusive of small farms and licences, for Fusly 1250, compared with that of the previous Fusly shewing a Net decrease of Rupees 818 — 10 — 1.

Saloots.	Moturpha Settlement for Fusly 1249	Moturpha Settlement for Fusly 1250.	Increase	Decrease
	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.
Itchapore	3,478 12 6	2,879 8 —	12 10 —	611 14 6
Poobacondah	2,779 13 5	2,654 10 8	33 — —	158 2 9
Wadadah	2,438 3 —	2,693 12 2	278 7 2	22 14 —
Pallalagum	507 12 —	561 12 —	54 — —	— — —
Sooradah	149 2 —	154 2 —	11 10 —	6 10 —
Goomsur	3,418 14 8	3,010 2 8	132 4 —	541 — —
	12,772 9 7	11,953 15 6	521 15 2	1,340 9 3
			Deduct Increase	521 15 2
			Net Decrease	818 10 1

The

Fort St. George, 22d. December 1842.

9. The increase that appears in this branch, arose chiefly from the reassessment of sums remitted in the previous two years. The Decreases in the Itchapoor, Poobacondah and Goomsur Talooks, is ascribable to the death or temporary absence of individual contributors to this branch of Revenue.

10. The aggregate amount of the rents of the Cocoanut Topes in the Seevistanum Purgunnah, for the year under review exhibits a decrease of Rupees 111-10-0 as per Margin, which is ascribable to the extensive destruction of Trees from the excessive drought in the previous year.

Fusles	Rents.
1249	1,752-2-6.
1250	1,640-5-6
Decrease.	111-10-0

Land Revenue.

11. Of the total Demand on account of the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Aumany Talooks for Fusly 1250, including the Rents of Cocoanut Topes as shown on above, amounting to Rupees 4,65,084-1-5. the

Demand	4,65,084	1	5
Collections	371,587	3	7
Balance	93,496	13	10
Subsequent Collections	20,016	13	10
Present Balance	73,480	0	0

Fort St. George, 22nd. December 1842.

the Collections within the Fusly were Rupees 371,587-3-7, in addition to which a further sum of Rupees 20,016-13-10, has since been realized, leaving a Balance of Rupees 73,480-0-0, outstanding up to the present date, of which a large proportion is due by Ryots of the Poobacondah Talook, which has suffered most extensively during the previous years. An examination has been made into the details of the balance still outstanding in the Aumany Talooks, by which it appears that owing to the reduced condition of most of the defaulters by whom they are due, from the failure of their Crops during successive years, almost the whole amount cannot be recovered. The detailed Statements of the balances are under preparation, and shall be submitted with a separate report

to

17182.

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

to the Board.

Moturpha Vca 12.

	Rs.	a	p
Demand	11,953	15	6
Collections	8,983	8	4
Balance	2,970	7	2
Subsequent Collections	413	8	8
Present Balance	2,556	14	6

Of the Demand for Moturpha Revenue including Small Farms Vca Rupees 8,983—8—4 were collected within the Fusly, and Rupees 413—8—8 have since been realized leaving a balance now outstanding of Rupees 2,556—14—6.

13. The subjoined Abstract Statement exhibits at one view the result of the settlement and Collections of all branches of Revenue in the Ganjam District during Fusly 1250. The total aggregate demand was Rupees 12,91,367—8—a— of which Rupees 8,97,833—3—8. were realized up to the end of the Fusly and adding the further collections, which have since been received, the aggregate amount realized is Rupees 12,02,201—14—a—

Sd

17183

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

Collections

No.	Items	Within Fusly 1250	Up to the 31st October 1842.	Total	Balance
		Cds a o	Cds a o	Cds a o	Cds a o
1	Permanent Settlement	2,23,847 3 11	2,80,208 8 1	5,04,049 12 -	10,826 11 3
2	Suit Rents	121 1 1	444 5 5	165 6 6	- - -
3	Amany	3,71,587 3 7	20,016 13 10	3,91,604 1 5	73,480 - -
4	Sair	2,35,074 3 4	- - -	2,35,074 3 4	- - -
5	Sayer	23,732 8 4	- - -	23,732 8 4	- - -
6	Sea Customs	2,075 11 8	- - -	2,075 11 8	- - -
7	Abkarry	20,808 1 5	3,686 6 4	24,494 7 9	2,302 - 3
8	Sundry Small Farms	189 12 -	2,514 2 8	644 14 4	
9	Moturpha	2,324 6 8	189 12 -	2,514 2 8	644 14 4
10	Stamps	6,659 1 8	223 12 8	6,882 14 4	19 12 - 2
		8,608 10 -	- - -	8,608 10 -	- - -
	Total	12,91,367	8 - 89,832 3 8	2,04,369 10 4	12,02,201 14 - 89,166 10 -

Ganjam District
Collectory Cuttack
Ganjam 1st November 1842.

(Signed) R. H. Bannerman
Coll.
General

184

No. 1. General District of Fort St George 22nd December 1842

Ganjam for Fusly 1250.—

Fusly Years	Balance of Current Jamma	Balance of advances and Extra Revenue	Total Balance	Remarks
	12	13	14	15
	C.Rs a o	C.Rs a o	C.Rs a o	
Fusly 1245 —	12,786 4 6	3,347 5 2	139,107 11 9	Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fusly 1250.
" — 1246 —	62,306 2 8	44,496 9 7	139,997 2 2	
" — 1247 —	72,592 13 5	3,862 2 4	152,356 5 2	
" — 1248 —	31,842 - 2	7,195 5 10	87,703 14 -	
" — 1249 —	92,321 4 6	44,848 10 1	165,444 3 1 -	
" — 1250 —	34,496 13 10	6,338 1 3	257,113 15 3	

Fusly	Land Revenue	Motorpha	Fishery Rents	Tuccavy	Total
	C.Rs a o	C.Rs a o	C.Rs a o	C.Rs a o	C.Rs a o
1242 —	159 13 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	159 13 9
1244 —	352 1 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	352 1 11
1245 —	640 3 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	640 3 7
1246 —	6,144 5 11	105 6 -	- - -	84 9 -	6,335 13 11
1247 —	37,527 7 7	732 4 10	- - -	86 11 7	38,346 8 -
1248 —	18,337 5 7	2,578 - 5	452 8 8	52 - -	21,419 14 8
1249 —	85,838 15 11	2,276 9 3	964 4 2	944 11 -	90,024 8 4
1250 —	93,496 13 10	2,135 12 10	834 10 4	3,367 10 1	99,834 15 1
Total	2,42,498 13 1	7825 1 4	2,251 7 2	4,535 9 8	2,57,113 15 3

Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fusly 1250.

n account of

erman
llector.

General

17185

Fort St George 228 December 1842

No 2. General Statement of Collections Charges and Surplus Net Revenue of the Aumanie Talooks in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Collections

Fusly Years	Balance of Cash	Collections of arrears and Current	Advances recovered and Extra Revenue	Total Collections including Balance of Cash	Charges Collection	Advances for Cultivation
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c
Fusly 1245	1,844 11 4	31,035 10 2	36589 3 2	34,869 8 8	26,323 9 7	28,008 - -
—" — 1246	- - -	36,488 5 2	43,090 3 7	40,578 8 9	34,215 - 7	28,680 - -
— " — 1247	" - "	39,677 12 10	55,529 10 1	41,520 6 11	43,667 2 10	33,566 - -
— " — 1248	- - -	42,569 12 10	52,177 6 6	47,247 3 4	42,112 8 9	33,800 - -
— " — 1249	- - -	36,934 10 8	36,461 14 2	39,396 8 10	34,484 - 7	23,581 - -
— " — 1250	- - -	37,856 12 -	29,688 12 -	34,180 5 8	33,326 1 7	31,015 - -

Particulars of Charges Collections as follows:-

Servants Wages	25,322 5 1	
Sauderwared	747 - -	
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	6,967 3 2	
For remitting Treasure	125 1 4	
Pagoda Fees	164 8 -	
Total	33,326 1 7	

Ganjam 1st November 1842. E E Fm

Charges

Payments on account of Summundy	Purchase of Bonds or transfers to London	Total Payments	Balance of Cash	Surplus Charges
8	9	10	11	12
CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c	CRs a c
29,592 5 5	- -	34,823 - -	- -	454 - -
34,268 3 2	- -	40,578 8 9	- -	- -
33,797 4 1	- -	41,520 6 11	- -	- -
39,683 4 10	- -	47,247 3 4	- -	- -
34,033 8 3	- -	39,396 8 10	- -	- -
35,370 6 8	- -	41,805 8 3	- -	- -

The Total Permanent Settlement for Fusly 1250	35,967-6-9
Deduct the actual payments of the above Fusly	35,704-6-8
Difference or loss between the Permanent Settlement and actual Collections	4,263-6--

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman Coll: Demand

22d December 1842.

1750.

Total Balance	Remarks
11	15
Ckr a ℔	Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fusly 1750 as follows
7.676 12 4	
15,181 13 11	Fusties Land Revenue / Mathus Fishery Rents / Inshavy / Total
28879 6 11	
	Ckr a ℔ Ckr a ℔ Ckr a ℔ Ckr a ℔ Ckr a ℔
12.919 4 4	
17.644 7 4	——1212—— 64 8 8 - - - - - - - - - - 64 8 8
25.639 5 3	——1214—— 212 2 4 - - - - - - - - - - 212 2 4
	——1216—— 22308 10 69 . 6 - - - - - - - 22999 4
	——1217—— 635784 3627 3 - - - - - - - 671915 7
	——1218—— 311013 3 10655 11 30 8 9 - - - 32147 11 11
	——1219—— 45491 8 2513 11 1 - - - - - - 1576 10 7
	——1250—— 833913 2 8698 10 - - 82 4 - 85181 10 10
	Total 2148 5 3 650 5 3 41 5 9 82 4 - 25639 5 3

Carried

Fusly

Of Revenue and Customs Revenue

13

Ckr a ℔

54 12 6

38 18 6

84 7 8

167 8 4

58 14 7

178 13 8

17187.

Fort St. George 22d. December 1812.

No. 4 Statement of Collections Charges and Surplus or Nett Revenue of the Maddadah Talook under Aumanie in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Fusly Years	Collections: Balance of Cash	Collection of arrears and Current	Advances Recovered and Extra Revenue	Total Collections including Balance of Cash	Charges Collections	Advances for Cultivation	Payments on account of Peishcush bundy	Purchase of Bonds or transfers to Loan	Total Payments	Balance of Cash	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P
Fusly 1215	" " "	14,916 6 6	13,937 13 8	16,854 4 2	64 53 11 6	12172 " "	14,322 8 8	" " "	16,854 4 2	" " "	The Permanent Settlement for Fusly 1250 } 15309 " "
" " 1216	" " "	13,207 7 9 11	13,575 4 1	11,560 2 11 "	94 36 12 6	10000 " "	12,616 1 6	" " "	11,560 2 11 "	" " "	Deduct the actual payments for the above Fusly } 13114 5 7
" " 1217	" " "	12,611 12 8	12,531 11 10	13,867 3 11 6	83 23 12 4	10000 " "	12,031 4 15 2	" " "	13,867 3 11 6	" " "	
" " 1218	" " "	11,810 7 5 7	12,708 11 6	16,111 6 4 1	95 85 6 10	8500 " "	14,303 0 13 3	" " "	16,111 6 4 1	" " "	Difference or Loss between Permanent Settlement and actual Collections } 22509 10 5
" " 1219	" " "	13,513 2 8 3	10,600 12 3	11,573 3 4 6	67 48 5 9	8000 " "	13,095 4 11 9	" " "	11,573 3 4 6	" " "	
" " 1250	" " "	13,501 4 15 4	12,033 8 10	11,707 5 8 2	7666 2 7	8000 " "	13,114 5 7	" " "	11,707 5 8 2	" " "	

Particulars of Charges Collections as follows.

Servants Wages	5157 7 11
Sanderward	180 " "
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	2315 " "
For remitting Treasure	13 10 8
Total	7666 2 7

Ganjam 1st. November 1812.

E. E. (Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Demand.

171 St. George 29th December 1862.

in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Balance of Current Summah 12	Total of advances and Extra Revenue 13	Total Balance 14	Remarks
Ch.2748	Ch.2.8.0	Ch.2.8.0	Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fusly 1250 as follows-
11363.4.9	1086.10.10	15071.3.2	
1916.3.11	1248.7.7	9132.9.1	
271.36.11	1511.3.5	11186.6.11	
646.9.1	531.13.8	7147.1.1	
775.15.8	1661.11.3	26433.8.5	
356.11.7	1339.7.4	42164.12.11	

Fusly	Land Revenue	Mothun	Fishery	Tuc: kaney	Total
	Ch.2.8.0	Ch.2.8.0	Ch.2.8.0	Ch.2.8.0	Ch.2.8.0
1244	136.13.9	" " "	" " "	" " "	136.13.9
1245	69.8.9	" " "	" " "	" " "	69.8.9
1246	3241.12.11	2066 " "	" " "	20.11.3	32831.8
1247	5236.3	2911.11	3846.11	" " "	9371.2.1
1248	18990.10.3	14751	767.10.5	133.12 "	20341.5.9
1249	16356.11.7	2478.9	14776	674.10.1	17696.2.11
1250					
Total	39318.15.6	7145.3.15	698.8.11	831.4.4	42164.12.11

E. E. Jr. [Signed] H. A. Rannerman
Collector
Statement

17189.

Fort St. George.

No. 6 Statement of Collections, Charges and Charges under Aumanie in the District of Ganjam for

Fusly Years	Balance of Cash	Collections of arrears and Current	advances recovered and Extra Revenue	Total Collections including Balance of Cash	Charges Collection	Charges for Calculation	Payments on account of Jumma	Purchase of Bonds or Transfers to Loan	Total Payments	Balance of Cash	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°	Cr. A°
Fusly 1215	"	"	35654 11	"	84194 7	44074 2 7	5222 10 8	5000 " "	33831 7 11	" " "	44074 2 7	" " "
—" 1216	"	"	50875 6 6	85511 6	59427 2	5135 1 4	820 " "		49472 1 11	" " "	59427 2 "	" " "
—" 1247	"	"	41769 1 1	93048	105107	5 15 11	8322 1 8	4826 " "	37975 14 3	" " "	51073 15 11	
—" 1248	"	"	50129 1	93288	145971	1 5	9092 10 11	4900 " "	45748 6 6	" " "	59741 1 5	
—" 1249	"	"	30269 3 1	52699	35565	12 10	5731 15 4	3000 " "	26833 13 6	" " "	35565 12 10	
—" 1250	"	"	31569 1 10	79941	39563	3 7	4895 12 11	6040 " "	28627 6 8	" " "	39563 3 7	

Particulars of Charges Collection as follows

Servants Wages	11018 11
Sanderward	114 " "
Repairs of Tanks and water courses	300 " "
For remitting Treasure	204 "
Pagoda Fees	30 " "
Total	1895 12 11

Ganjam 1st November 1842 E. E. [illegible]

Vc December 1842

Surplus or Net Revenue of the Ittahapoor Taulook Fusly 1250.—

The Permanent Settlement for Fusly 1250.	6723 4 "
Deduct the actual Payments for the above Fusly	2827 6 8
Difference or Loss between the Permanent Settlement and the actual Collections	1895 13 4

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Dernand

17190

George

No. 7 Di in the

22nd December 1842.

District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Balance

of Fusly years

Cur. Jumm.

11	12	13	14
Rs a p	Rs a p	Of advances and Extra Revenue Rs a p	Total Balance Rs a p
	63 8 1	21674 13 9	
36 8 1	18674 11		
Fusly 1243 —		212 6 1	24446 15 11
81 10 4	15252 1		
1246 —		515 9 4	43027 6 11
519 9 3	29992		
1247 —		642 11 6	41810 1 6
554 7 0	18612		
1248 —		1177 3 10	80351 9 10
467 3 8	45307		
1249 —		1529 1 10	115495 11 11
460 14 3	34505		
1250 —			

Particulars

On Acc

"

"

"

"

15

Remarks

Particulars of the Balance at the end of Fusly 1250 as follows—

Fuslies	Land Revenue	Moturpha	Fishery Rent	Tuckavy	Total
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1242	95 5 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	95 5 1
1244	3 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 1 10
1245	486 1 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	486 1 2
1246	25831 0 11	36 5 6	" " "	84 9 "	27049 5
1247	18586 14 1	143 8 4	" " "	36 " "	18766 6 5
1248	11319 11 2	39 15 7	" " "	52 " "	11411 10 9
1249	14581 11 9	494 1 10	428 "	575 6 "	15993 11 7
1250	34505 11 10	412 " 4	200 " "	52 9 16	12 35034 13 8
Total	112462 3 10	1125 15 7	242 13 2	1664 11 4	115495 11 11

(Signed) R. A Bannerman

Collector

Statement

17191

Fort St. George

No. 8. Statement of Collections Charges and under Sumanie in the Distric

22d December 1842

Surplus or Net Revenue of the Poobacondah Talook of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Fusly Years	Balance of Cash	Collections: Collections of Arrears and Current	Collections: Advances recovered and Extra Revenue	Collections: Total Collections including Balance of Cash	Charges: Charges for Collection	Charges: Advances for Collection	Charges: Payments on Account of Sumanie	Purchase of Bonds or transfer to Loan	Total Payments	Balance of Cash	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	
Fusly 1245	18,441	4,106	546	12,987	8,122	231	510	10,736		10,526	
1246	"	"	"	9,979	811	8	16,322	5	9,107	121	1 5
1247	"	"	"	9,897	8	5	6	18,858	6	9	11,783
1248	"	"	"	10,857	4	11	5	15,710	9	12,422	5 4 5
1249	"	"	"	7,714	9	6	3	8,469	7	6	8,501
1250	"	"	"	7,691	3	4	11,949		7	8,886	213 11

rt. St. George 22 December 1842

Demand in the District for Fasly 1250.

Years	Balance of Current Sumn Revenue	Balance of Advances and Extra	Total Balance	Remarks. Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fasly 1250 as follows
	12	13	14	15
	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	
	" " a p C Rs	1664 11 "	9215 86	
Fasly	123 " " 8955 50	323 511	86004 137	Fasly's Land Mothur = Fishery Tuckavy Total
"	1229 6 8 2744 57	778 1111	86611 88	Revenue = pha Rent
"	1225 124 19015	5778 141	18279 " 9	C Rs a p C Rs a p C Rs a p C Rs a p C Rs a p
"	1228 410 5234	1771 44	28414 145	1246 —— 969124 " " " " " " " " " 969124
"	1249 55 15482	3999 13 2	54481 98	1247 —— 5134 1011 197 29 " " " 29 134 5361 11 "
"	1250 —— 9977 2573			1248 —— 2385 21 2400 12 " " " " " " " 4785 141
				1249 —— 13047 34 12517 4 80 " 6233 9 " 14 612 42
				1250 —— 25752 211 2801 24 98 158 1620 12 28752 " 1
				Total — 47288 157 5130 25 179 " 2 1888 7 6 54481 98

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector

A. L. B.

17193

Fort St. George 2o December 1842

No. 10

Statement of Collections Charges and Surplus Revenue of the Goomsoor Taulook under Aumanie in the District Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Fusly Years	Balance of Cash	Collections of arrears and Current	Advances recovered and Extra-Revenue	Total Collections including Balance of Cash	Charges of Collection	Advances for Cultivation
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	CRs as	CRs as	CRs as	CRs as	CRs as	CRs as
Fusly 1245	" " "	14,241 15 7	" " "	14,241 15 7	7,480 14 9	"
" 1246	" " "	79,612 15 3	3,713 14 1	83,326 13 4	10,051 5 10	132
" 1247	" " "	85,048 7 8	13,812 13 4	98,861 5 -	11,455 13 2	214
" 1248	" " "	100,259 10	13,400 2 10	113,659 12 10	13,778 12 7	800
" 1249	" " "	108,597 11 10	11,071 3 8	119,668 15 6	13,239 12 2	600
" 1250	" " "	123,249 "	6,231 9 "	129,480 9 "	11,557 12 "	600

Particulars of Charges Collections as follows

Servants Wages	9,536 6 6
Sunderwark	198
For remitting Treasure	1,751 14 10
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	71 6 8
Total	11,557 12 "

Ganjam
1st November 1842

Errors Excepted

Charges. Payments in account of Kumnah-bundy.	Purchase of Bonds or Transfers to Loan	Total Payments	Balance of Cash	Remarks.
8	9	10	11	12
CRs as	CRs as	CRs as	CRs as	
1,761 " 10	" " "	14,241 15 7	" " "	The actual Payments for Fusly 1250 111,922-13-"
73,143 7 6	" " "	83,326 13 4	" " "	
1,189 3 9	" " "	98,861 5 -	" " "	Deduct the Permanent Settlement for the above Fusly 75,000-"-"
91,881 " 3	" " "	113,659 12 10	" " "	
10,429 3 4	" " "	119,668 15 6	" " "	Profit or Gain between the Actual Payments and Permanent Settlement 36,922-13-"
1,922 13 "	" " "	129,480 9 "	" " "	

Excepted per /signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

2: December 1862

the District of Gayjam for Fusly 1250.

Balance of Advances and Extra Revenue	Total Balance	Remarks.
13	14	15
Rs. as	Rs. as	Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fusly 1250 as follows.
" "	1,518 9 3	
14 " 6	1,366 3 "	Fusly Land Revenue / Matherphe / Fishery Rents / Total
18 13 "	1,723 10 3	Rs. as Rs. as Rs. as Rs. as
26 15 8	1,940 6 8	1246 — 84 14 8 " " " " " " 84 14 8
71 10 10	3,813 12 2	1247 — 29537 " " " " " " 29537
94 6 9	7,309 4 "	1248 — 25656 " " " " " " 25656
		1249 — 1,368 1 5 57131 1 9 " 1,427 7 6
		1250 — 5,150 14 " 8949 5 2 " 5,245 4 9
		Total 7,155 7 2 147 10 6 11 " 7,309 4 "

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

17195

Statement of Collections Charges and Surplus in the District of Fort St. Geo[illegible] 2d December 1842

No. 12.

Statement of Revenue of the Soordah Talook under Aumanie Ganjam for Fusly 1256.

Fusly Years	Collections: Balance of Cash	Collections of Arrears and Current	Advances recovered and Extra Revenue	Total Collections including Balance of Cash	Charges	Charges: Advances for	Charges: Collection	Charges: Collection Calls	Payments on account of Jummah	Purchase of Bonds or Transfers to Loan	Total Payments	Balance surplus of Cash	Balance surplus of Charges	Remarks.	
1	2	3	4	5	6				8	9	10	11	12	13	
	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p				C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p		
Fusly 1245	" " "	24 " "	" " "	24 " "	478 64	"			" " "	" " "	478 64	" " "	454 64	Total actual Payments for Fusly 1250	6628-5-11
1246	" " "	3227 4 5	328 156	3,556 3 11	1341 11 5	270 "			1944 8 6	" " "	3,556 3 11	" " "	" " "	Deduct the Permanent Settlement for the above Fusly	2500
1247	" " "	4257 11 9	85 7 "	4,343 2 9	899 82	"			3443 10 7	" " "	4,343 2 9	" " "	" " "		
1248	" " "	5885 11 9	99 9 10	5,985 5 7	900 " "	"			5085 5 7	" " "	5,935 5 7	" " "	" " "	Profit or Gain between the Actual Payment and Permanent Settlement	4148-5-11
1249	" " "	7496 6 2	104 6 10	7,600 13 "	398 11	"			6702 11 11	" " "	7,600 13 "	" " "	" " "		
1250	" " "	7707 11 2	85 6 1	7,793 1 3	1144 11 4	"			6648 5 11	" " "	7,793 1 3	" " "	" " "		

Particulars of Charges Collections as follows viz

Servant Wages	864	" "
Sauderwarat	36	" "
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	248	15 4
For remitting Treasure	"	12 "
Total	1144	11 4

Ganjam
1st November 1842

Errors Excepted per / Signed R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

2nd December 1842.

Ganjam for Fusly 1250..

Balance of Advances and Extra Revenue		Total Balance		Remarks..
13		14		15
C^rs	a^s	C^rs	a^s	Particulars of the Balance to the end of Fusly 1250 as follows-

Fasles	Land Revenue	Moturpha	Fishery Rents	Tuckavey	Total
	C^rs a^s	C^rs a^s	C^rs a^s	C^rs a^s	C^rs a^s
1245	34 9 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	84 9 8
1246	277 - 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	277 - 2
1247	3911 5 9	6 12 -	- - -	- - -	3920 1 9
1248	741 15 4	1 - -	37 9 -	- - -	780 8 4
1249	3001 8 6	- - -	71 8 3	- - -	3073 - 9
1250	3391 8 4	19 12 -	102 12 -	73 4 6	3587 14 10
Total	11407 5 9	29 8 -	211 13 3	73 14 6	11723 3 6

1842 | 196 6 6 | 11723 3 6

/Signed/ R: A: Bannerman
Collector.

Statement

17197.

Fort St. Geo[rge] 2d December 1842

No 14 Statement of Collections, Charges and Su[rplus] of Estate under Ausmane in the Distr[ict] of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Fusly years.	Collections: Balance of Cash.	Collections of arrears and current	Advances recovered and Extra Revenue	Total collections including Balance of Cash.	Charges: Collections:	Advances for cultivation	Payments on account of Sunnah	Purchase of Bonds or transfer to loan.	Total Payment	Balance of Cash.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Fusly 1245	" "	4831 10	291 93	5123 3 3	4444	" "	4679 9	" "	5123 33	" "	The total Permanent Settlement for Fusly 1250.	4983-8-9.
" 1246	" "	5153 75	524 6	5677 81	288	" "	5389 81	" "	5677 81	" "	Deduct the actual Payment of the above Fusly	3732-84
" 1247	" "	2635 42	866 14	3501 56	405	500 "	2595 66	" "	3501 56	" "		
" 1248	" "	6269 13	929 10	7199 7	454	400 "	6345 6	" "	7199 7	" "		
" 1249	" "	3289 71	919 62	4208 133	612	400 "	3196 123	" "	4208 133	" "	Difference between the Permanent settlement and actual collection	1257-a-5.
" 1250	" "	3875 24	1395 2	5270 44	562	975 "	3732 84	" "	5270 44	" "		

Particulars of Charges Collection as follows.

Servants Wages	360
Sanderwared	9
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	190
For remitting Treasure	3
Total	562

Ganjam 1st November 1842.

E. E. J[ohn] /Signed/ P. A. Bannerman
Collector
General

17198

No. 15. General Abstract from the 6th George 22d December 1862

Extra Sources in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

Fusly 1250.

Sayer

abkarry

Extra Branches of Revenue
Sundry small Farms and Licen:
ses.

Number	Number of Talloohs.		Tauloo:	Rented	Aumanee	Total	Rented	Aumanee	Total	Rented	Aumanee	Total
				10	11		12	13		14	15	16	17	18
				Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
1	13	Zemindaries and Perpetual Settlement	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	4	Individuals on Spots of Ground Granted paying Talloohs under Settlement	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	6	Ditto including Cocoanut & Bacondah Trees	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	18	Sayer & Abkarry	" " 23,732	84	23732	84	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	26	Abkarry	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " 26796	8 "	" " 26796	8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	6	Sundry small Farms	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " 3159	1 "	" " "	" " 3159	1 "
7	5	Salt Farms	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	8	Sea Ports	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	6	Moturpha	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	27	Stamp Vend Land and Tonn	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
			" " 23,732	84	23732	84	26796	8 "	" " 26796	8 "	3159	1 "	" " 3159	1 "
		Extra Revenue Forfeiture inst from the Court for the Manuf	" " 23,732	84	23732	84	16 15 6	" " "	" " 158	67	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		Gr	" " 23,732	84	23749	7 10	26796	8 "	" " 26954	14 7	3159	1 "	" " 3159	1 "

17199.

Fort St. George

2nd December 1842.

Salt	Sea Customs	Moturpha	Tripetty Offerings	Tobacco Monopoly	Stamps	Total Extra Branches of Revenue	Total including Land Revenue & Columns 9 and 25	Comparison of the Settlements of Fasles 1249 and 1250 Increase	Comparison of the Settlements of Fasles 1249 and 1250 Decrease	Remarks
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,14,376 7 3	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	165 6 6	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,65,084 1 5	32,009 " 7	6,060 14 11	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23,732 8 4	23,732 8 4	560 2 "	2,928 12 6	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	26,796 8 "	26,796 8 "	330 " "	4,589 8 "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,159 1 "	3,159 1 "	104 15 "	409 4 "	
2,38,074 3 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,38,074 3 4	2,38,074 3 4	5,134 2 4	35,972 12 9	
" " "	2,075 11 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,075 11 8	2,075 11 8	451 14 2	1,220 13 3	
" " "	" " "	8,794 14 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,794 14 6	8,794 14 6	230 15 2	745 4 3	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,608 10 "	8,608 10 "	8,608 10 "	1,540 " "	348 5 "	
2,38,074 3 4	2,075 11 8	8,794 14 6	" " "	" " "	8,608 10 "	3,11,241 8 10	1,291,067 8 "	40,361 1 3	72,275 10 8	
1,265 2 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	383 4 6	1,803 13 8	2,302 2 10	109 14 2	274 5 7	
2,39,339 6 3	2,075 11 8	8,794 14 6	" " "	" " "	8,991 14 6	3,13,045 6 6	1,293,669 10 10	40,470 15 5	72,550 " 3	

Ganjam 1st November 1842.

E.E. J.P.s / Signed / R. A. Bannerman
Collector

17200

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

No. 16- Abstract Statement Shewing the Ordinary and Extraordinary Charges of the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250 Compared with those of Fusly 1249-

Items	Actual Charges compared For Fusly 1249	For Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
Columns	1	2	3	4	5
Land Revenue	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs	CRs a P	
Collectors Salary and Commission	52,845 8 9	57,716 7 10	4,870 14 1		With regard to the Increase and Decrease that appear in this Abstract Statement the Collector begs to state that explanation has been afforded in the statements of Ordinary and Extraordinary Collections and Charges submitted to the Board of Revenue on the 3d September 1841.
Hoozoor and District Establishment	49,650 2 1	53,773 6 10	4,228 4 9		
Sauderwared	1,712 4 6	1,679 14 3	" "	32 6 3	
Extra Charges	11,436 4 1	10,130 10 7	" "	1,305 9 6	
	1,15,694 3 5	1,23,455 7 6	9,099 3 11	1,337 15 9	The per Centage of charges on each Branch of Revenue is calculated exclusive of the following Items which are not properly Charges of Management.
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	8,762 4 3	5,107 14 10	" "	3,654 5 5	
Extra Charges connected with Revenue but not Chargeable to any particular source of Revenue	2,314 3 7	830 3 10	" "	1,933 15 9	Land Revenue.
Sent Allowances	5,565 " 5	4,883 13 8	" "	681 2 9	Total Ordinary and Extraordinary Charges 1,81,123-9-6 1,87,624-9-4
Compensation in lieu of resumed Lands Offices and Privileges	20,367 11 9	17,819 13 6	" "	2,547 14 3	Deduct the following Charges
Pensions and Charitable Allowances of a General Nature	469 14 "	456 6 "	" "	13 8 "	Compensation in lieu of resumed Lands, Offices, and Privileges 20,367 11 9 17,819 13 6
Pagoda and Mosque Allowances in lieu of resumed Lands and privileges &ca	2,177 4	2,170 1 6	" "	7 5 10	Pensions and Charitable allowances of a General nature 469 14 " 456 6 "
Pagoda and Mosque Allowances	1,636 4 "	1,631 4 "	" "	5 " "	Pagoda and Mosque Allowances in lieu of resumed Lands and Privileges &ca 2,177 4 2,170 1 6
Total Ordinary	1,57,487 " 9	1,56,405 " 10	9,099 3 11	10,181 3 9	Pagoda and Mosque Allowances 1,636 4 " 1,631 4 "
					Advances for Cultivation 23,581 " " 31,015 " "
					Dr for Charges Collection of sequestered Zemindaries 51 3 9 " " " 4,823-13-10 5,309-2-9 "
					Remaining Charges 1,37,839-11-8 1,31,532 " 4
					Per Centage on the above 18-14 1/4 15 6 6

17201

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

	Actual Charges For July 1249	Actual Charges For July 1250	Comparative Charges Increase	Comparative Charges Decrease	Remarks
Columns	1	2	3	4	5
	CRs. a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	
Extraordinary					
Advances for Cultivation	23,581 " "	31,015 " "	7,434 " "	" " "	
Do for Charges Collection of Sequestered Zemindaries	57 8 9	" " "	" " "	57 8 9	
Law Charges of the Revenue Department	4 " "	4 8 6	" 8 6	" " "	
Purchase of Land	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Total Extraordinary	23,636 8 9	31,019 8 6	7,434 8 6	57 8 9	
Total Land Revenue Charges	18,11,23 9 6	18,74,24 9 4	16,533 12 4	10,232 12 6	
Pr Centage of Charges	18 14 10¼	15 6 6	" " "	" " "	
Abkarry Revenue					
Commission to the Collector Vca					
Charges of Management Vca					
Extra Revenue prepaid					
Pr Centage of Charges					
Sundry small farms and Licenses					
Commission to the Collector Vca					
Charges of Management Vca					
Pr Centage of Charges					

Salt Revenue

Total Charges	22,694-10-9	16,754-11-6
Deduct the following Charges		
Advances for the Manufacture of Salt	1,200 " "	1,079 " "
Remaining Charges	21,494-10-9	15,675-11-6
Pr Centage on the above	7-6-11½	6 9 2¾
Total ordinary and Extraordinary Revenue	7,26,129-1-8	9,02,678-8 "
Collections		
Deduct the following Items		
Recoveries of Advances	23,060-4-10	27,958-5-5
Do of Do on account of Charges Collection	637-" 1 "	" "
Refunds of Salary and Commission	" " "	286-8 2
Undrawn amount from the Advances for the Repairs of Tanks Vca	646 2 6	2,362 4 1
Refunds of Revenue Charges	" " "	96 12 4
	24,343 7 5	30,703 14 "
	7,01,785-10-3	8,71,974-10-"

17202

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

Columns	Actual Charges For Fusly 1249	Actual Charges For Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Compart Charges	Remarks
	1	2	3	4		5
Malwpha Revenue						
Commission to the Collector Vca	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
Charges of Management Vca	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
Pr Centage of Charges	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
Sayer or Land Custom						
Commissions to the Collector Vca	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
Hoozoor and District Establishment	6184 3 6	6151 3 1	"	"	" " "	
Saunderward	336 " "	336 " "	"	"	" " "	
Extra Charges	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
Drawback	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
	6520 3 6	6487 3 1	"	"	" " "	
Pr Centage of Charges	24 14 11¾	27 5 ½	"	"	" " "	
Sea Customs						
Commission to the Collector Vca	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	
Hoozoor and District Establishment	1560 5 6	1575 8 9	15 3 3			
Saunderward	26 6 "	27 " "	" 10 "			
Extra Charges	2 " "	" " "	" " "			
Drawback	" " "	" " "	" " "			
	1588 11 6	1602 8 9	15 13 3			
Pr Centage of Charges	55 12½	77 3 3	" " "			
Total ordinary and Extraordinary Sall Revenue						290298 8 11 2,39,319-6 3
Collections						
Deduct the following Items						
Recoveries of Advances Vca				1,200 " "	971 " "	289098 8 11 2,38,348-6 3
Sayer Revenue				33 " 5		26,147-11-2-23749-7-10
Sea Customs						2,346-10-9-2076-11-8
Abkarry Revenue						30,646-7-6-22,852-4-7
Sundry small farms and Licences						2,441-15-9-2651-3-11
Malwpha Revenue				33 " 5		10313 7 1 6716 14 10
Stamp Revenue						7855 15-10-8991-14 6
Total						107,136-7-3 117,360-9-9

17203

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Columns	Actual Charges For Fusly 1249	Actual Charges For Fusly 1250	Comparison of Charges Increase	Comparison of Charges Decrease	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5
Salt Monopoly	CRs. a. P	CRs. a. P	CRs. a. P	CRs. a. P	
Commission to the Collector &ca	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Hoozoor and District Establishment	4,614 14 5	4,409 10 6	" " "	206 3 11	
Sauderward	48 " "	48 " "	" " "	" " "	
Extra Charges	1,804 4 10	973 6 9	" " "	830 14 1	
Manufacturers share of hire	14,829 7 6	10,176 10 3	" " "	4,712 13 3	
Compensation	138 " "	69 " "	" " "	69 " "	
Advances to the Manufacturers	1200 " "	1079 " "	" " "	121 " "	
Extra Revenue or Fines prepaid	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	22,694 10 9	16,754 11 6	" " "	5,939 15 3	
Per Centage of Charges					
Tobacco Monopoly	7 6 1/2	6 9 2 3/4	" " "	" " "	
Commission Charges &ca none in this District	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Stamp Revenue					
Charges	490 6 "	463 4 2	" " "	27 1 10	
Per Centage of Charges	6 3 10/2	5 2 5	" " "	" " "	
Total Charges	2,12,417 9 3	2,12,732 4 10	16,549 97	16,234 16 "	
Deduct the Charges upon which no per centage is Calculated	49,483 13 10	54,171 9 "	" " "	" " "	
Remaining Charges	162,933 11 5	158,560 11 10	" " "	" " "	
Per Centage of Charges	15 3 4/2	13 7 5 3/4	" " "	" " "	

Ganjam 1st November 1842. Errors Excepted /Signed/ R. A. Bannerman

Collector.

J.G.B

Statement

| | | |

17205

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

| | | |

17206.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Poobacondah Tallook.

| | | |

17207

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

| | | |

17208.

Fort St.e George 22.d December 1842.

| | | |

1720.

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.
4 Munsoor cottah Kundum.	1 Sendegaum	750 11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	750 11 10	830 15 10	" " "	80 4 "
	2 Chemmakundee	5435 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5435 " "	6153 " "	" " "	71 14 "
	3 Parrainpoor	180 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	180 " "	180 " "	" " "	" " "
	4 Bodaspottee	85 " 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	85 " 5	103 " "	" " "	17 15 7
	5 Paikpadah	110 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	110 " "	344 " "	" " "	234 " "
	6 Putchmeepoorum	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	80 " "	80 " "	" " "
	7 Conamanah	212 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	212 12 "	212 12 "	" " "	" " "
	8 Mutteka lah	145 14 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	145 14 3	180 " "	" " "	34 1 9
	9 Bandanaspottee	157 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	157 8 "	215 " "	" " "	57 8 "
	10 Bandoopoorum	337 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	337 12 "	337 12 "	" " "	" " "
	11 Gopalpoor	104 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	104 " "	104 " "	" " "	" " "
	12 Juggana dpoor	309 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	309 12 6	309 12 6	" " "	" " "
	13 Venkatroypoorum	219 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	219 " "	219 " "	" " "	" " "
	14 Voopalapottee	310 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	310 1 8	310 1 8	" " "	" " "
	15 Munsoor cottah	} 508 10 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	508 10 2	508 10 2	" " "	" " "
	16 Autrabutta								
	17 Alleepoorum	350 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	350 " "	350 " "	" " "	" " "
	18 Perhampoor	287 3 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	287 3 "	295 13 "	" " "	8 10 "
	19 Godagadapillee	85 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	85 10 "	85 10 "	" " "	" " "
	20 Caulapillee	81 1 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	81 1 6	139 " "	" " "	57 14 6
	21 Lundabavoonda	432 5 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	432 5 8	432 5 8	" " "	" " "
	22 Bamaneyapillee	116 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	116 " "	116 " "	" " "	" " "
	23 Jarapolcere	212 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	212 " "	212 " "	" " "	" " "
	24 Cathoor	1,195 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,195 8 "	1,195 8 "	" " "	" " "
	25 Coratholee	1,318 2 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,318 2 1	1,318 2 1	" " "	" " "
	26 Balabadrapooram	109 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	109 6 "	109 6 "	" " "	" " "
	27 Gungapoorum	1,452 13 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,452 13 5	1,452 13 5	" " "	" " "
	28 Pooleadrah	548 3 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	548 3 3	548 3 3	" " "	" " "
	29 Rajahpoorum	1,743 13 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,743 13 3	1,743 13 3	" " "	" " "
	30 Bheempoorum	1,345 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,345 10 "	1,345 10 "	" " "	" " "
	31 Juggana dapoorum	461 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	461 10 "	461 10 "	" " "	" " "
	32 Vispalinghee	2,338 8 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,338 8 10	2,338 8 10	" " "	" " "
	33 Bullebagadah	143 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	143 11 "	143 11 "	" " "	" " "
	34 Cunchee poorum Punkalem	123 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	123 " "	123 " "	" " "	" " "
	35 Tindoorapillee	120 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	120 " "	120 " "	" " "	" " "
	Total Munsoor Cottah Kundum	16,439 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	16,439 1 10	17,081 5 8	" " "	642 3 10

17210

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

			Ckrs	Cdt	Ds	Ckrs	Acs	Ds	Ckrs	Cdt	Ds	Ckrs	Acs	Ds	Ckrs	Cdt	Ds	Ckrs	Acs	Ds
5 Leetarampoor Kundum	1	Ganjam Ellama Punkalum	1181	13	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	1181	13	9	1238	15	8	"	"	"
	2	Damodara poor	245	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	245	1	7	243	1	7	2	"	"
	3	Paulebandah	490	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	490	10	2	544	3	7	"	"	"
	4	Cuinchepoorum	224	5	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	224	5	10	224	5	10	"	"	"
	5	Warehouse ground	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	"	"	21	"	"	"	"	"
	6	Neeladrepoor	191	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	191	8	"	191	8	"	"	"	"
	7	Carapandoo	"	"	"	4	7	6	18	10	6	23	2	"	84	4	"	"	"	"
	8	Puttoo thotah	"	"	"	145	"	"	"	"	"	145	"	"	145	"	"	"	"	"
	9	Sahebjan Pulla	156	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	156	"	"	156	"	"	"	"	"
	10	Noormohomed Pulla	236	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	236	"	"	236	"	"	"	"	"
	11	Summee	537	12	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	537	12	2	607	10	1	"	"	"
	12	Leetarampoor	110	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	110	8	5	107	14	1	2	10	4
	13	Alleepoorum	235	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	235	"	"	235	"	"	"	"	"
	14	Padapadra	222	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	222	8	"	222	8	"	"	"	"
	15	Balakistnapoorum	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	21	"	"	"	3	"
	16	Gadalanaidee Paullem	140	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	140	6	"	140	6	"	"	"	"
	17	Chetterpoor	243	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	243	3	3	259	1	9	"	"	"
	18	Allahabadah	1,372	12	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,372	12	6	1,372	12	6	"	"	"
	19	Beetle Garden	105	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	105	"	"	105	"	"	"	"	"
	20	Koomeree	1,088	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,088	10	9	1078	14	"	9	12	9
	21	Augustee Naroogaum	538	11	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	538	11	8	1043	8	"	"	"	"
	22	Dost Mohomed Pulla	205	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	205	"	"	205	"	"	"	"	"
	23	Gopaulpoorum	91	4	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	91	4	6	91	4	6	"	"	"
	24	Chekelakunda	1425	5	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	1425	5	10	1424	4	6	1	1	4
	25	Damedara poor Punkalum	76	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	76	"	"	106	"	"	"	"	"
	26	Nongolee	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	151	"	"	"	"	"	151	"	"
	27	Comarulleebaig Pulla	293	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	293	"	"	293	"	"	"	"	"
	28	Tumcharah	"	"	"	505	7	1	"	"	"	505	7	1	372	7	1	133	"	"
		Total Leetarampoor Kundum	9449	10	5	654	14	7	18	10	6	1012	3	6	1098	1	2	148	8	5
			1,000	6	1															
6 Shotrium of Aggraharums Jeer	1	Shotrium of Aggraharums	"	"	"	2,605	"	"	"	"	"	2,605	"	"	2,605	"	"	"	"	"
	2	Cuttooka of Surplus Enam Land occupied by Individuals	"	"	"	1,073	15	11	"	"	"	1,073	15	11	1,073	15	11	"	"	"
	3	Rent of Cocoanut Trees	"	"	"	1,640	8	6	"	"	"	1,640	8	6	1,752	2	6	"	"	"
			111	10	"															
		Total	"	"	"	5,319	8	5	"	"	"	5,319	8	5	5,431	2	5	"	"	"
			111	10	4															
		Total Pobacondah Jaloo	104268	1	8	6,307	5	5	18	10	6	110	5	9	4	1	7	11	5	0
			85	8	6	195	3	3	4,686	10	4									

17211

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Waddadah Talook.

| | | |

17212

Fort St George 22nd December 1842.

| | | |

17213

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

| | | |

17214

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

| | | |

1/9/15

Statement of the Property of Deceased late 1842.

Schedule of the Property of Deceased late 1842.

| | | |

17216

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.
1 Paulatalagaum Estate	1	Goonjah Lovah				55						55			50			5					
	2	Cauvarapillee	300									300			350						50		
	3	Wooddunda Paulow	85									85			61			24					
	4	Godalaum	222									222			251						29		
	5	Cusbah Paulatalagaum	1135									1135			1080			75					
	6	Luckevalasah	476									476			476								
	7	Seereepoorum	266									266			266								
	8	Colleepaudoo	501									501			501								
	9	Murroovade	305									305			305								
	10	Magavarum				1305						1305			1305								
	11	Rayemaunpoorum	570									570			620						50		
	12	Berepadra	540									540			540								
	13	Cotta Bommalee	560									560			610			150					
		Total	4980			1360						6340			6215			254			129		
2 Shotream of Aggraharums &c.	1	Shotrium of Aggraharums				188	12					188	12		188	12							
	2	Rent of Chayroot				7	8					7	8		7	8							
	3	Cuttoobadies of Enams				260						260			260								
		Total				456	4					456	4		456	4							
		Total Paulatalagaum Estate	4980			1816	4					6796	4		6671	4		254			129		

Sooradah Taluk.

1 Novagadah Mootah	1	Bramanah Chayee				120						120			65			55					
	2	Vazagoomah				205						205			91	6		113	10				
	3	Baurovdungoodee				102						102			101			1					
	4	Novagadah				601						601			516			85					
	5	Rasarah				308						308			267	82		40	7	10			
	6	Sooramany				601						601			561			40					
	7	Balleah Gocha				421						421			420			1					
	8	Tottah Ballee				165						165			153	8		11	8				
	9	Rongah Ballee				280						280			240			40					
	10	Sorosah Ballee				360						360			333			27					
	11	Coradabandee				20						20			7			13					
	12	Paulacotta				270						270			250			20					
	13	Coparah Gondah				180						180			120			60					
	14	Coonjabeharee poorum				25						25			20			5					
	15	Coontepullee				6						6			5			1					
	16	Saundrapollee				6						6			5			1					
		Total Novagadah Mootah				3670						3670			3155	6	2	514	9	10			

17217 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			Cash	a/c	Cash	a/c	Cash	a/c	Cash	a/c	Cash	a/c	Cash	a/c	Cash	a/c
2	Goondebadah Mootah	1	Cusbah Cottah				403				403		271	5	131	11		
		2	Kellah baudee				330				330		282	8	47	8		
		3	Cauregoodah				302				302		301		1			
		4	Boogadah				155				155		150		5			
		5	Goondrebaudee				188				188		148		40			
		6	Amootatooloo				400				400		320		80			
		7	Borasinghee				381				381		379	10	1	55		
		8	Baugalinghee				150				150		119	47	30	11	5	
		9	Soronowty				405				405		350		55			
		10	Rate				205				205		200		121			
		11	Goondecharah				321				321		200		121			
		12	Bookoomah				1120				1120		806	99	253	6	3	
		13	Bulleapadah				300				300		103	8	10	196	7	2
		14	Borodee				400				400		344	15	11	55	1	
		15	Bramana Cotilandee				340				340		314	10	25	50		
		16	Nongolajolee				35				35		34		1			
		17	Boddanghee				261				261		220		41			
		18	Moyenghee				83				83		81		2			
		19	Borapradanee				25				25		16		9			
		20	Noovapollee				20				20		10		10			
		21	Savvapollee				11				11		6		5			
		22	Pendoovahjollah										10				10	
		23	Soogreevabondo				11				11		6		5			
		24	Loodoochoogoodoo				10				10		6		4			
		25	Rullah Pennoogoo				10				10		8		2			
		26	Modovapollee				8				8		6		2			
		27	Bramanadanee Pollee				3				3		2		1			
		28	Gaussepadah				4				4		2		2			
	Total Goondebadah Mootah						5,881				5,881		4758	94	1132	6	8	10

17218

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			Cash	as	Cash	as	Cash	as	Cash	as	Cash	as	Cash	as	Cash	as
3 Mauk Mootah	1	Gocka			22				22		12		10			
	2	Doonladah			80				80		80					
	3	Bhagavanpoor			430				430		337	54	92	108		
	4	Aumuntha Boudoo			120				120		45	44	8	74	1	4
	5	Doomapullee			25				25		25					
	6	Mooroogahpullee			50				50		26	85	23	77		
	7	Patharayee Soone			145				145		140		5			
	8	Groondebaudee			74				74		73	127		35		
	9	Sondeyapullee			20				20		8		12			
	10	Moneyacullee			240				240		240					
	11	Govindapoorum			60				60		60					
	12	Coyerapullee			12				12				12			
	13	Pettah Baudee			6				6		4		2			
		Total Maulo Mootah			1284				1284		1064	9	219	7		
4 Allayedah Rents or Taxes	1	Rent of Tamarind Trees			103				103		100		3			
	2	Do of Mangoe Do			95	9			95	9	80	8	15	1		
	3	Do of Orange Do			11	8			11	8	10			8	9	8
	4	Cuttoobady of Peons			76				76		65		11			
		Total			286	1			286	1	346	8	29	1	8	8
		Total Soordah Talook			1121	1			1121	1	932	5	189	586	99	8

17219

Grand Jurors — January Term 1842.

Jurors drawn — Called — Challenged for cause — Challenged peremptorily — Sworn — Fined — Remitted — Amount

1 Abraham Newkirk, Esq. — 12 — 1 — 1 — 9 — 1 — 1 — 1

17219

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

			Gross Rent		Deduct Public Charges		Net Rent		Deduct Inam		Deduct Sayer		Deduct Peishcush		Remainder	
	Goomsoor Talook		Cash	A.P.	Cash	A.P.	Cash	A.P.	Cash	A.P.	Cash	A.P.	Cash	A.P.	Cash	A.P.
1	Vistnoo-chacrum Mootah	1 Cusbah Vistnoo-chacrum			1,275		1,275		1,051		224					
		2 Nahadah			950		950		801		149					
		3 Bonahputta			655		655		650		5					
		4 Cuddoopadah			490		490		470		20					
		5 Gavoodea or Bodoboredah			615	6	615	6	580		35	6				
		6 Buckshee pullee			191		191		190		1					
		7 Coredahandee			875		875		793		82					
		8 Chatodah			256		256		255		1					
		9 Bougaradah			550		550		435		115					
		10 Cookoondah			1,250		1,250		1,002		248					
		11 Doyemundalee			520		520		391		129					
		12 Novagadah			2,000	6	2,000	6	1,504	55	496	7				
		13 Sooradah			725		725		620		105					
		14 Cauvoogoodah			130		130		101		29					
		15 Mungalapoorum			315		315		210		105					
		16 Gotoolee			780		780		421	12	358	4				
		17 Soonnacarah			162		162		160		2					
		18 Godapadah			680		680		635		45					
		19 Dengapadah			865	3	865	3	861		4	3				
		20 Ramagodo			976		976		975		1					
		21 Bonopullee			560		560		410		150					
		22 Rendoopadra			671		671		650		21					
		23 Maunekapoorum			500		500		440		60					
		24 Maunee Bondoo			500		500		455		45					
		25 Anthirapadah			620		620		570		50					
		26 Jullee Cauyee			210		210		190		20					
		27 Coodoo Chendanapadee			460		460		448		12					
		28 Pochaloondee			580		580		550		30					
		29 Doosseepoorum			220		220		210		10					
		30 Belloopadah			275		275		270		5					
		31 Gongoo			1,301		1,301		1,120		181					
		32 Gongoo Puttanah			207		207		153		54					
		33 Amboopoovah			640		640		450		190					
		34 Casepoorum			396		396		395		1					
		35 Rent of 2 Rhond Hamlets			16		16		6		10					
	Total Vistnoo chacrum Mootah				21,416	15	21,416	15	18,423	1	2,993	13	7			

17220

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

| | | |

17221

Fort St George 22 December 1842.

Abstract of Cadets 1 Lieut. & Quartermaster

| | | |

1721

Book of Accounts for December 1842.

Ebenezer Cleveland, 1 Quarter of Barrels Common Strength

| | | |

17222

Statt Georgetown 22ª December 1842.

| | | |

17222

Court de George 22d December 1842.

| | | |

17223

Fort Adjoining 22d December 1842.

	Clio	Ann	Eliza	Ann Eliza	Charles	Mary	Total
5 Mitchell Jeffers — Cleared	258	238	250	8	8	11	
1 Nathaniel Jeffers	200	190	10	11			
8 Cornelius Edwards	623	619	10				
4 Frederick Cobb	420	370	50				
8 Thomas Edwards	181	171	9	12			
6 Joseph Leonard	260	230	30				
7 Edward Jeffers	180	180	126	38			
8 Charles Manning	902	895	6	304	16	6	
9 Randal Edwards	150	150	110	40			
10 Matthew Jeffers	510	462	3	47	13		
11 Frederick Cobb	115	106	9				
12 Charles Edwards	524	366	2	158	6		
13 Ambrose Jeffers	22	21	1				
Total Cleared	1955	1554	374	66	807	15	6

1724

Stock Lijsvoge 22e December 1842.

| | | |

1923-

Sheet of Survey 22 December 1842.

| | | |

1720

Court of Appeals 22d December 1842.

The following cases were this day decided.

Reversed & Affirmed

8 Reversed before Allen J.

1 Hatfield agt. Gallup — 190 3 131 5 38 4

2 Fowler agt. Hyer — 215 185 4 6 29 11 6

3 Hennessy agt. Conner — 410 350 12 59 4

4 Gilbert agt. Hatfield — 485 183 8 1 8

5 Hammond agt. Gilbert — 371 360 6 10 10

6 Hammond agt. Hammond — 285 3 270 3 15

7 Conklin agt. Conklin — 505 485 20

8 Gallup agt. Gallup — 800 770 30

9 Conklin agt. Conklin — 391 390 10 6 3 6

10 Hammond agt. Hammond — 570 550 481 1 68 15

11 Hammond agt. Hammond — 350 311 6 35 10

15 Hatfield agt. Hatfield — 9 7 1 1

14 Conover agt. Gallup — 100 87 13

12 Gilbert agt. Gallup — 211 210 1

13 Gilbert agt. Gallup — 3 3

10 Hammond agt. Hammond — 550 481 68 15

9 Conklin agt. Conklin — 391 390 10 6 3 6

8 Gallup agt. Gallup — 800 770 30

7 Conklin agt. Conklin — 505 485 20

6 Hammond agt. Hammond — 285 3 270 3 15

5 Hammond agt. Gilbert — 371 360 6 10 10

4 Gilbert agt. Hatfield — 485 183 8 1 8

3 Hennessy agt. Conner — 410 350 12 59 4

2 Fowler agt. Hyer — 215 185 4 6 29 11 6

1 Hatfield agt. Gallup — 190 3 131 5 38 4

Reversed before Allen J.

8 Hatfield agt. Gilbert — 110 93 17

2 Gilbert agt. Hyer — 70 65 5

3 Hennessy — 40 28 12

4 Conner agt. Gilbert — 115 80 35

5 Gilbert agt. Gilbert — 120 110 10

6 Gilbert agt. Hyer — 200 140 60

7 Conklin agt. Gilbert — 72 70 2

8 Conklin agt. Gallup — 51 25 26

9 Conklin agt. Conklin — 12 10 2

10 Conover agt. Gilbert — 38 35 3

11 Conklin agt. Conklin — 7 4 3

12 Gilbert agt. Hyer — 211 210 1

13 Gilbert agt. Gallup — 3 3

14 Conover agt. Gallup — 100 87 13

15 Hatfield agt. Gilbert — 9 7 1 1

11 Conklin agt. Conklin — 350 311 6 35 10

17227

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

		Ckl.	a	b	Ckl.	a	b	Ckl.	a	b	Ckl.	a	b	Ckl.	a	b	Ckl.	a	b	Ckl.	a	b
12	Cheracoomah	1	"	"	520	"	"	"	"	"	520	"	"	475	"	"	45	"	"	"	"	"
13	Cudoopadah	"	"	"	185	"	"	"	"	"	185	"	"	157	2	"	33	14	"	"	"	"
14	Coovalmolo	"	"	"	330	"	"	"	"	"	330	"	"	275	6	"	54	10	"	"	"	"
15	Chadeyapullee	"	"	"	125	"	"	"	"	"	125	"	"	105	"	"	20	"	"	"	"	"
16	Bettarsinghee	"	"	"	605	"	"	"	"	"	605	"	"	565	9	"	39	7	"	"	"	"
17	Saulooroo or Authary	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	11	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
18	Cusbah Boogoodah	"	"	"	601	"	"	"	"	"	601	"	"	551	"	"	50	"	"	"	"	"
19	Sotrasallo	"	"	"	265	"	"	"	"	"	265	"	"	255	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"
20	Kallepullee	"	"	"	400	"	"	"	"	"	400	"	"	275	"	"	125	"	"	"	"	"
21	Gollamoondalah	"	"	"	520	6	"	"	"	"	520	6	"	441	6	"	79	"	"	"	"	"
22	Nogoodoo	"	"	"	800	"	"	"	"	"	800	"	"	790	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"
23	Bottarah Kallee	"	"	"	150	"	"	"	"	"	150	"	"	141	"	"	9	"	"	"	"	"
24	Sabahpullee	"	"	"	100	"	"	"	"	"	100	"	"	90	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"
25	Coradahbandee	"	"	"	285	"	"	"	"	"	285	"	"	275	6	"	9	10	"	"	"	"
26	Sollooredee	"	"	"	160	"	"	"	"	"	160	"	"	155	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
27	Deccarabandee																					
28	Bandoovah	"	"	"	310	"	"	"	"	"	310	"	"	290	"	"	20	"	"	"	"	"
29	Mookoondapoorum	"	"	"	195	3	"	"	"	"	195	3	"	177	7	3	17	11	9	"	"	"
30	Coyemo	"	"	"	70	"	"	"	"	"	70	"	"	65	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
31	Golabondo	"	"	"	400	"	"	"	"	"	400	"	"	335	"	"	65	"	"	"	"	"
32	Nagary	"	"	"	28	"	"	"	"	"	28	"	"	24	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"
33	Seetarampillee	"	"	"	30	"	"	"	"	"	30	"	"	25	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
34	Tonahpillee	"	"	"	22	"	"	"	"	"	22	"	"	20	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
35	Coosoopullee	"	"	"	320	"	"	"	"	"	320	"	"	275	"	"	45	"	"	"	"	"
36	Andeepoorum	"	"	"	610	"	"	"	"	"	610	"	"	550	"	"	60	"	"	"	"	"
37	Cotha Codoopullee	"	"	"	25	"	"	"	"	"	25	"	"	20	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
38	Rent of a Khond Hamlet	"	"	"	1	4	"	"	"	"	1	4	"	1	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"
	Total Boogoodah	"	"	"	11,899	2	"	"	"	"	11,899	3	"	10,741	12	3	1,157	6	9	"	"	"
	Mootah																					

17228.

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

| | | |

17229

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

| | | |

17231

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

| | | |

17232

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

| | | |

17233.

Fort St. George 22. December 1842

| | | |

17234

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

My Voothorogo thole Mootah

		CW	a	P	CW	a	P	CW	a	P	CW	a	P	CW	a	P	CW	a	P
1	Vandepadrah				51						51			35			16		
2	Loonecullee				60						60			24			36		
3	Somarea Bondo				60						60			53			7		
4	Baroovabaudee				90						90			30			60		
5	Somprongo				41						41			33			8		
6	Cottee Baurondoo				121						121			105			16		
7	Gottoopadah				131						131			101			30		
8	Capatee				125						125			65			60		
9	Goorgaradee				210						210			164	6		45	10	
10	Vadungeepauru				120						120			54			66		
11	Teliveghee				873						873			575			298		
12	Tongoonee				350						350			265			85		
13	Soorelayee				70						70			39	8		30	8	
14	Volundeevalah				221						221			182			39		
15	Pocheeloondee				85						85			70			15		
16	Cuddooloondee				301						301			155			146		
17	Bullesayee				300						300			150			150		
18	Volladono				325						325			270			55		
19	Boosooboosah				15						15			11			4		
20	Lembayee				450						450			350			100		
21	Bahoskapillee				68						68			65			3		
22	Saumaradah				220						220			58			162		
23	Baurvodah				1271						1271			765			506		
24	Gunjoolovah				51						51			35			16		
25	Soonnapullee				30						30			9			21		
26	Garadah				371						371			315			56		
27	Lottapullee				41						41			35			6		
28	Chodeyapullee				25						25			24			1		
29	Tooroonroo				450						450			200			250		
30	Colladah				35						35			30			5		
31	Taulapillee				4						4			2			2		
32	Maunapoorum				10						10						10		
33	Jerayettee Land situated in the Aggraharms of Donojayapoor				8						8			6			2		
34	Rent of 22 Kihond Hamlets				33						33			22			11		
	Total Voothorogodatolo Mootah				6616						6616			4297	14		2318	2	

1735

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

15 Cookoolooah Mootah

		Cr. a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.	a/c Cr.
1	Gorogodapodoora	183		183	165	18			
2	Baroothoondah	121		121	112	9			
3	Sharasinghee	148		148	140	8			
4	Conthoseromy	75		75	65	10			
5	Nougodee Colah	41		41	37	4			
6	Cushah Cookoolooah	250		250	241	9			
7	Mosaubandee	65		65	58	7			
8	Colengapodoroo	86		86	76	10			
9	Brofullee	62		62	50	12			
10	Cottunga	27		27	22	5			
11	Boutha	33		33	30	3			
12	Doolcondah	22		22	20	2			
13	Bellamoondaly	37		37	35	2			
14	Seesoondah	31		31	25	6			
15	Delungee Podoro	18		18	15	3			
16	Codelepodoro	6		6	5	1			
17	Goondrebaudee	40		40	34	6			
18	Goltooree	6		6	5	1			
19	Gogodah	10		10	8	2			
20	Goyagondah	13		13	10	3			
21	Sooramany	43		43	38	5			
22	Pundrepiadah	64		64	60	4			
23	Dolloko	115		115	100	15			
24	Gundeyapadah	88		88	80	8			
25	Teregochee	12		12	11	1			
26	Saudoodurroo	95		95	90	5			
27	Rent of 36 Khond Hamlets	454		454	36	94			
	Total Cookoolooah Mootah	1736 4		1736 4	1568	164 4			

1736

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

			CH	a	D	CH	a	D	CH	a	D	CH	a	D	CH	a	D	CH	a	D	CH	a	D
16	Gullerew Mootah	1 Bramana Codoro	..	..	..	74	..	..	..	..	74	..	..	70	..	..	4	..	..	..	..	..	..
		2 Rauneekarew	..	..	..	14	..	..	..	..	14	..	..	13	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		3 Payegocha	..	..	..	51	..	..	..	..	51	..	..	50	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		4 Gollah Bondo	..	..	..	34	..	..	..	..	34	..	..	33	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		5 Boodah Gantoomew	..	..	..	11	..	..	..	..	11	..	..	10	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		6 Bellowapadah	..	..	..	32	..	..	..	..	32	..	..	31	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		7 Naringo Gocha	..	..	..	14	..	..	..	..	14	..	..	13	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		8 Daumahano	..	..	..	53	..	..	..	..	53	..	..	48	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	..	..
		9 Cullahcherah	..	..	..	7	..	..	..	..	7	..	..	6	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		10 Bulleyagochew	..	..	..	49	..	..	..	..	49	..	..	45	..	..	4	..	..	..	..	..	..
		11 Soondaramapullew	..	..	..	11	..	..	..	..	11	..	..	10	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		12 Dollahpetah	..	..	..	25	..	..	..	..	25	..	..	20	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	..	..
		13 Cuolah Gullerew	..	..	..	155	..	..	..	..	155	..	..	145	..	..	10	..	..	..	..	..	..
		14 Horegode	..	..	..	22	..	..	..	..	22	..	..	20	..	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
		15 Booroodoopullew	..	..	..	11	..	..	..	..	11	..	..	10	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
		16 Goorooduo	..	..	..	10	..	..	..	..	10	..	..	8	..	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
		17 Soresomoolo	..	..	..	11	..	..	..	..	11	..	..	9	..	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
		18 Bodduughew	..	..	..	55	..	..	..	..	55	..	..	52	..	..	3	..	..	..	..	..	..
		19 Coopatew	..	..	..	40	..	..	..	..	40	..	..	38	..	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
		20 Rent of 13 Khond Hamlets	..	..	..	19	8	..	..	..	19	8	..	13	..	..	6	8	..	..	..	..	..
		Total Gullerew Mootah	..	..	..	698	8	..	..	..	698	8	..	644	..	..	54	8	..	..	..	..	..

17237.

Fort S.t George 22.d December 1842.

| | | |

17238

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Abstract

| | | |

1239

Fort S.t George 22.d December 1842.

		cH a P	cH a P	cH a P	cH a P	cH a P	cH a P	cH a P	cH a P	cH a P
	Brought forward	270569 2 7	194496 4 4	13 10 6	4650 4 1	5439 15 5	320 0 7	606 14 11		
1	Mistnoochaeram Mootah	21416 15	21416 15	1842 1 6	2993 13 7					
2	Bullajadra — Do. —	17040 2	17040 2	1056 3	647	169 11				
3	Pauvanajaarum — Do. —	7712	7712	7340	372					
4	Payelpadah — Do. —	20569 3	20569 3	7197	3472 3					
5	Bhoolapullee — Do. —	4554 6	4554 6	3746 6 6	807 15 6					
6	Peechanagadatholo — Do. —	12110 2	12110 2	3966	3644 8					
7	Podeyah — Do. —	7590 5	7590 5	676 10	1413 11					
8	Pauvagadatholo — Do. —	1167	1167	975	192					
9	Poogadah — Do. —	11399 3	11399 3	10741 12 3	1157 6 7					
10	Corajellee — Do. —	1204 1	1204 1	1132 6	71 11					
11	Vodagary — Do. —	13971 5	13971 5	9302	4668 5					
12	Juchasabandy Do. —	1999 4	1999 4	1153 1 6	846 2 6					
13	Jugganaudprasad Do. —	12990 11	12990 11	9469 3 6	3529 10 6	8 3				
14	Voottharogodatholo Do. —	6616	6616	4297 14	2318 2					
15	Cootvoolooliah — Do. —	1736 4	1736 4	1568	168 4					
16	Gulleree — Do. —	698 8	698 8	644	54 8					
17	Beercote — Do. —	57	57	45	12					
18	Shotrium of Aggraharums Vizr 3	3189 6 7	3189 6 7	3211 1 9	21 11 2					
	Total Goomsoor Talook	146522 1 7	146522 1 7	120352-3-11	26369 4 10	199 12 2				
	Grand Total	270569 2 7	194496-4-4	13 10 6	46504 1	5439 15 5	320 0 7	606 14 11		

6 Goomsoor Talook

Ganjam 1st November 1842

Errors Excepted Jr (Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Statement

17240

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

No. 18.

Statement shewing the Settlement of Moturpha of the several Villages of the Aumanies Talooks in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250 Compared with that of Fusly 1249.

| | | |

17241

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

		Cash	Cowries	Cash	Cowries	Cash	Cowries
3. Mantreddy Hundum	1 Bodocallee	" "	6 6.	6 6.	5 10.	" 12	" "
	2 Hurrepoor	" "	6 "	6 "	9 10.	" "	3 10.
	3 Colegaum	" "	30 6.	30 6.	99 14.	" "	19 3.
	4 Boddapaudoo	" "	1 8.	1 8.	1 8.	" "	" "
	5 Ulladapooreum	" "	3 8.	3 8.	8 4.	" "	4 12.
	6 Cottoor	" "	3 4.	3 4.	5 12	" "	2 8.
	7 Talocoocha	" "	8 8.	8 8.	8 8.	" "	" "
	8 Sasanabadra	" "	2 10.	2 10.	10 14.	" "	8 4.
	9 Boyalee	" "	5 2.	5 2.	5 10.	" "	" 8.
	10 Muntreddy	" "	58 4.	58 4.	90 16	" "	31 13 6
	11 Colauresinghee	" "	11 1.	11 1.	15 1.	" "	4 "
	12 Yatasingee	" "	20 8.	20 8.	23 "	" "	2 8.
	13 Hurdungah	" "	4 4.	4 4.	8 8.	" "	1 4.
	14 Chenaanabandah	" "	1 "	1 "	2 8.	" "	1 8.
	15 Govindoopoorum	" "	1 1.	1 1.	1 "	" "	" "
	16 Jutteepadra	" "	1 4 "	1 4.	2 1 8.	" "	7 8.
	17 Jangoopoorum	" "	" 10.	" 10.	" 10 "	" "	" "
	18 Soorlah	" "	9 8 "	9 8.	12 8.	" "	3 "
	19 Sonnapoorum	" "	31 "	31 "	37 8.	" "	6 8.
	20 Poorshottapooram	" "	5 4 "	5 4.	5 4.	" "	" "
	21 Cadaurseepoor	" "	27 4.	27 4.	43 14.	" "	16 10.
	22 Paurasonnapoor	" "	3 "	3 "	3 "	" "	" "
	23 Carooloo Sonnapoor	455 "	" "	455 "	455 "	" "	" "
	24 Fishery Rent of Soorlah Village	450 "	" "	450 "	600 "	" "	150 "
	25 Do. Do. of the Sea Port	300 "	" "	300 "	365 "	" "	65 "
	Total Muntreddy Hundum	1205 "	304 "	1509 "	1340 16	" 12.	331 13 6
	Total Itchapoor Taloote	1730 "	1149 8.	2879 8.	3478 12 6	12 10 "	611 14. 6

17242. Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			CRs.	A.	D.	CRs.	A.	D.	CRs.	A.	D.	CRs.	A.	D.	CRs.	A.	D.
		Poobbacondah Talook.															
1	Nursingapoorum Hundeum	1 Sasanapillee	16	0	0	1	0	0	17	0	0						
		2 Achslee	0	4	0	4	6	0	4	6	0	4	6	0	0	4	0
		3 Gottcallee	0	0	0	1	6	6	1	6	6	1	6	6	0	0	0
		4 Pratapoor	0	0	0	144	10	6	144	10	6	134	7	0	10	3	6
		5 Solagarah	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		6 Hoonlah	0	0	0	12	10	0	12	10	0	12	10	0	0	0	0
		7 Coosompoorum	0	0	0	5	6	6	5	6	6	5	6	6	0	0	0
		8 Bogadah	0	0	0	20	2	0	20	2	0	20	2	0	0	0	0
		9 Paulem	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		10 Coomaree	0	0	0	57	5	11	57	5	11	57	5	11	0	0	0
		11 Poorshottapoor	0	0	0	221	1	3	221	1	3	221	1	3	0	0	0
		12 Canakah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		13 Pundracullee	0	0	0	9	8	0	9	8	0	9	8	0	0	0	0
		14 Beempoorum	0	0	0	2	8	0	2	8	0	2	8	0	0	0	0
		15 Colausinghee	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		16 Chatarnandalee	0	0	0	1	8	0	1	8	0	1	8	0	0	0	0
		17 Pundeeyah	0	0	0	95	10	9	95	10	9	96	15	9	0	0	15
		18 Bautoocoomaradah	0	0	0	12	13	0	12	13	0	12	13	0	0	0	0
		19 Hundevootoo	0	0	0	6	12	0	6	12	0	6	12	0	0	0	0
		20 Jummelee	0	0	0	124	0	0	124	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	0
		21 Bholagaum	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		22 Soonnadarah	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
		23 Nundoopundapillee	0	0	0	14	0	0	14	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0
		24 Hindalapillee	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1
		Total Nursingapoor Hundum	19	0	0	672	3	11	691	3	11	683	0	11	10	8	0

17243.

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			CRs	ct	ø	CRs	ct	ø	CRs	ct	ø	CRs	ct	ø	CRs	ct	ø	CRs	ct	ø
2 Conchoor Hundum	1	Conchoor	"	"	"	137	54	137	54	142	123	"	"	"	5	6	11
	2	Saurovah	"	"	"	13	"	13	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	Contanyeeslee	"	"	"	48	1	48	1	54	1	"	"	"	6	"	"
	4	Makarajodoo	"	"	"	18	12	18	12	19	8	"	"	"	"	12	
	5	Pochelimmah	"	"	"	4	"	4	"	1	"	3	"	"	"	"	
	6	Sasana Amboogaum	"	"	"	10	4	10	4	10	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	7	Bauda Amboogaum	"	"	"	2	8	2	8	"	2	8	"	"	"	"	"
	8	Nimgaum	"	"	"	1	8	1	8	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	9	Doorroobundah	"	"	"	63	6	63	6	63	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
	10	Gondalah	"	"	"	11	"	11	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	11	Balagaum	"	"	"	9	8	9	8	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	12	Dobaudee	"	"	"	23	24	23	24	23	24	"	"	"	"	"	"
	13	Sahapooram	"	"	"	17	"	17	"	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	14	Godarapillee	"	"	"	4	"	4	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Total Conchoor Hundum	"	"	"	363	6	8	363	6	8	372	9	7	3	"	"	12	2	11
3 Seeteree Hundum	1	Togaram	"	"	"	7	8	7	8	9	"	"	"	"	1	8	
	2	Baulatristnapoor	"	"	"	24		24		24		"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	Coredah	"	"	"	15	8	15	8	16	"	"	"	"	"	8	
	4	Seeteree	"	"	"	15	12	15	12	15	12	"	"	"	"	"	"
	5	Chendelee	"	"	"	3	"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	6	Condra	15	"	"	9	"	24	"	23	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
	7	Cunjam	"	"	"	21	4	21	4	21	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8	Podungee	"	"	"	60	9	3	60	9	3	67	9	3	"	"	"
	9	Boorroopadah	"	"	"	50	"	50	"	53	11	10	"	"	"	3	11	10
		Seeteree Hundum Continued Carried forward	15	"	"	184	13	3	199	13	3	211	9	1	1	"	"	12	11	10

17244

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

		CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.	CRs.	A.	P.
	See Tiree Hundum Continued Brought forward	15	0	0	184	13	3	199	13	3	211	9	1	1	0	0	12	11	10
10	Untivoradah	0	0	0	17	2	0	17	2	0	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Hirjeelee	0	0	0	55	8	0	55	8	0	70	8	0	0	0	0	15	0	0
12	Narainpoorum	4	0	0	4	8	0	8	8	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
13	Anbregaum	0	0	0	11	15	0	11	15	0	11	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Balabah	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Allespoorum	0	0	0	2	8	0	2	8	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Nundetta	0	0	0	9	14	0	9	14	0	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Pootapadrah	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Coledoss poorum	10	0	0	5	0	0	15	0	0	13	14	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
19	Gungadanah	0	0	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total See Tiree Hundum	29	0	0	305	12	3	334	12	3	359	14	1	2	10	0	27	11	10
4	Munsoorcottah Hundum 1 Sindegaum	0	0	0	18	4	0	18	4	0	21	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	0
	2 Chemmatundee	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3 Narainpoorum	0	0	0	7	2	0	7	2	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	4 Conamanah	0	0	0	1	8	0	1	8	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5 Gopaulpoor	95	0	0	4	0	0	99	0	0	94	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	6 Voopalapoottee	0	0	0	9	14	0	9	14	0	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7 Cusba Munsoorcottah	0	0	0	37	2	0	37	2	0	33	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	8 Ullepoorum	0	0	0	20	8	0	20	8	0	24	12	0	0	0	0	4	4	0
	9 Berhampoor	0	0	0	6	4	0	6	4	0	7	12	0	0	0	0	1	8	0
	10 Sundalsamso	0	0	0	17	4	0	17	4	0	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	11 Cottoorroo	0	0	0	4	42		4	42		4	42		0	0	0	0	0	0
	12 Coratolee	68	0	0	6	0	0	74	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	13 Gunganapoorum	40	0	0	115	8	0	155	8	0	176	0	0	0	0	0	20	8	0
	14 Poolsarah	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	15 Rajapoorum	0	0	0	5	4	0	5	4	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	16 Beempoorum	22	0	0	5	8	0	27	8	0	26	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	17 Vippalingee	0	0	0	5	9	0	5	9	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	18 Cundrapalem	45	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	19 Urgoopaulem	70	0	0	0	0	0	70	0	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	20 Fishery Rent of Meelah Nowgaum	55	0	0	0	0	0	55	0	0	52	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	21 Bausanapoottee	3	4	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Munsoorcottah Hundum	398	4	0	267	32		665	72		689	32		13	0	0	36	12	0

17,245

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
5 Sectarampoorum 3 Hundum	1 Ganjam	"	"	"	116	"	116	8	"	36	"	"	"			
	2 Cunchepoorum	"	"	"	1	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"			
	3 Carapaudoo	14	"	"	15	12	29	12	31	12	"	"	"	2	"	"
	4 Jummee	"	"	"	1	"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"			
	5 Sectarampillee	"	"	"	8	"	8	"	"	8	"	"	"			
	6 Chutterpoor	"	"	"	14	"	14	"	"	15	"	"	"	1	"	"
	7 Allcabadah	"	"	"	123	"	123	"	"	123	"	"	"			
	8 Hoomree	23	"	"	7	2	30	2	"	30	2	"	"			
	9 Augustee Nowgaum	"	"	"	18	8	18	8	"	18	8	"	"			
	10 Gopaulpoorum	"	"	"	48	"	48	"	"	48	"	"	"			
	11 Chekalakundee	"	"	"	6	"	6	"	"	8	"	"	"	2	"	"
	12 Pottapoor and Moonshepettah	"	"	"	27	6	"	27	6	"	26	14	"	1	8	"
	13 Cuncharah	"	"	"	6	12	"	6	12	"	6	12	"	"	"	"
	Total Sectarampoor Hundums	37	"	"	133	9	"	170	9	"	171	11	"	3	14	"
	1 Saheb Pettah	"	"	"	167	15	"	167	15	"	205	8	"	"	"	"
	2 Lingum Do	"	"	"	113	9	6	113	9	6	138	9	6	"	"	"
	3 Rangoonee Do	"	"	"	43	1	6	43	1	6	46	2	6	"	"	"
	4 Majoondar Do	"	"	"	104	9	8	104	9	8	113	2	8	"	"	"
	Total Pettahs	"	"	"	429	3	8	429	3	8	503	6	8	"	"	"
	Total Poobacondahs Paloor	4834	"	"	2,171	6	8	2,654	10	8	2,779	13	5	33	"	"

		Rs	a	p
		1582	9	

17246 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.-

Waddadah Taluk.

			6Rs. A P	6Rs. A P	6Rs. A P	6Rs. A P	6Rs. A P	6Rs. A P
1	Toorpoo Hundam	1 Ramachendrapoor	" " "	1 10 "	1 10 "	1 8 "	" 2 "	" " "
		2 Cullapullee	2 " "	" 8 "	2 8 "	1 8 "	1 " "	" " "
		3 Gonta	" " "	6 8 "	6 8 "	6 8 "	" " "	" " "
		4 Balevadah	3 8 "	2 " "	5 8 "	2 8 "	3 " "	" " "
		5 Waddadah	" " "	6 4 "	6 4 "	6 4 "	" " "	" " "
		6 Ispelee	74 8 "	6 " "	80 8 "	74 " "	6 8 "	" " "
		7 Mophusbunder	36 " "	4 " "	40 " "	27 " "	13 " "	" " "
		8 Ampolee	" " "	23 15 "	23 15 "	21 2 "	2 13 "	" " "
		9 Booravillee	" " "	3 4 "	3 4 "	3 4 "	" " "	" " "
		10 Ambalavalasah	" " "	2 4 "	2 4 "	2 4 "	" " "	" " "
		11 Salahoondam	" " "	6 " "	6 " "	6 " "	" " "	" " "
		12 Cathoor Sidegaum	" " "	2 11 6	2 11 6	2 11 6	" " "	" " "
			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		13 Corlaum	6 8 "	3 " "	9 8 "	8 " "	1 8 "	" " "
		14 Cornee	" " "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	" " "	" " "
		15 Calingapatam	98 " "	115 " "	213 " "	200 " "	13 " "	" " "
		16 Gaurah	" " "	11 13 6	11 13 6	6 8 6	5 5 "	" " "
		17 Toologah	" " "	1 " "	1 " "	1 " "	" " "	" " "
		18 Woomaravilly	65 " "	7 " "	72 " "	66 8 "	5 8 "	" " "
		19 Tonunghee	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	8 " "	2 " "	" " "
		20 Cusbah Chicacole	" " "	1426 15 3	1426 15 3	1223 9 11	203 5 4	" " "
		Total Toorpoor Hundums	295 8 "	1,633 5 3	1,928 13 3	1,671 11 11	257 1 4	" " "

17247

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

| | | |

17247

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

| | | |

17248

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.-

| | | |

1724 9

Fort St. George 22nd December 1724.

Abst. of Cash Accts. & Bond &c. Pagodas Fanams &c.

Accounts of Cash Received & Paid by Edmund Chesterfield Esqr. Governor & Agent &c. Council &c.

		Cash Received			Cash Paid		
1	Edmund Chesterfield Esqr. Governor &c. Council &c.	15 lb.	19 3	3 5			
2	Mr. Clark	6 6	9 15	3 9			
3	Samuel Lee	1 2	1 2	1 2			
4	Godfrey Godard	23 12	23 12	24 3			7
5	Sadasiva	5 13	5 13	6 15			1 2
6	Buddhu Lee	4	8	3 1			2 9
7	Sonda Lundee	10 1	10 1	9 4			13
8	Thomas Clark	8	7 12	8 4	7 12	8	
9	Benjamin Clark	3 1	4 14	7 15	7 14	1	
10	Ormond Clark	1	15 11	16 11	15 11	1	
11	Raymond Lee		14 4	14 4	14 12		8
12	Thomas Clark		35 14	35 14	41 7		5 9
13	Sarsadi		9 4	9 4	12 1		2 13
14	Thomas Lawrence						
15	Hooper		9	9	1 10		1 1
16	Hodgson Clark		3	3	8 6		3 6
17	Bonnell Clark		3 10	3 10	9 8		5 14
18	Ramsay Clark		11 10	11 10	10 8	12	
19	Hodges Lee		2 4	2 4	2	4	
20	Saunders Clark	4	13 15	17 15	16 4	1 11	
21	Thomas Lyon	12	8 9	9 5	8 19	8	
22	Mr. Lewis	13	3 12	4 9	6		1 7
23	Godfrey Clark		15 9	15 9	19 8		3 15
24	Isaac Reyne		4 12	4 12	4 12		8
25	Hodges Chesterfield		10	10	1 2		10
26	Peter Lewis		9 12	9 12	10 6		10
27	Godfrey		4 10	4 10	4 8	2	
28	Bellasis		4	4	8		
29	Hyde		44 2	44 2	37 4	6	14
30	Hodgson Clark		29	29	30 12		1 12
31	Cumberland		1 5	1 5	1 5		2 8
32	Godfrey		3	3	3 1	5 9	
	Total Disbursements		311 15	322 9	352 9	12 15	42 15

17250

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.-

			6 Rs. a. ℘	6 Rs. a. ℘	6 Rs. a. ℘	6 Rs. a. ℘	6 Rs. a. ℘	6 Rs. a. ℘
2 Bullabudra Mootah	1	Taulasakra	1 u u	12 4 u	13 4 u	16 12 u	u u u	3 8 u
	2	Danoojah	4 u u	39 4 u	43 4 u	40 10 u	2 10 u	u u u
	3	Bettronoyee	8 u u	54 15 8	62 15 8	75 13 8	u u u	12 14 u
	4	Bezoopoottee	u u u	4 u u	4 u u	5 15 u	u u u	1 15 u
	5	Bodocollee	u u u	9 15 u	9 15 u	11 14 u	u u u	1 15 u
	6	Tekarapadah	u 2 u	1 11 u	1 13 u	4 10 u	u u u	2 13 u
	7	Pydapadrah	u u u	38 3 u	38 3 u	41 4 u	u u u	3 1 u
	8	Poncalabandee	1 u u	4 14 u	5 14 u	10 9 u	u u u	4 11 u
	9	Davoorkah	u u u	7 14 u	7 14 u	9 u u	u u u	1 2 u
	10	Columboo	u u u	9 3 u	9 3 u	10 3 u	u u u	1 u u
	11	Boorajola	u u u	3 14 u	3 14 u	4 14 u	u u u	1 u u
	12	Novapillee	2 8 u	3 7 u	5 15 u	8 14 u	u u u	2 15 u
	13	Jullaree	u 8 u	1 14 u	2 6 u	1 13 u	u 9 u	u u u
	14	Conchooroo	u 12 u	7 5 u	8 1 u	7 1 u	1 u u	u u u
	15	Sunnakolee	u u u	1 3 u	1 3 u	3 13 u	u u u	2 10 u
	16	Yakatalo	u u u	1 2 u	1 2 u	1 2 u	u u u	u u u
	17	Perpelcapparum	12 2 u	11 3 u	13 5 u	14 12 u	u u u	1 7 u
	18	Karedah	1 1 u	6 10 u	7 11 u	9 2 u	u u u	1 7 u
	19	Cannoosookah	u u u	8 4 u	8 4 u	11 u u	u u u	2 12 u
	20	Mahalapillee	u 2 u	u 10 u	u 12 u	u 10 u	u 2 u	u u u
	21	Arakapoorum	u u u	6 8 u	6 8 u	7 2 u	u u u	u 10 u
	22	Santarapoorum	4 u u	1 u u	5 u u	5 u u	u u u	u u u
	23	Bateaboradah	u 8 u	3 12 u	4 4 u	4 6 u	u u u	u 2 u
	24	Pungeedee	1 4 u	19 4 u	20 8 u	20 4 u	u 4 u	u u u
	25	Bellaspoorum	u 4 u	1 4 u	1 8 u	2 u u	u u u	u 8 u
	26	Calacaulee	u 9 u	15 8 u	16 1 u	16 10 u	u u u	u 9 u
	27	Cuoha Bulleepadrah	1 9 u	69 3 u	70 12 u	73 9 u	u u u	2 13 u
	28	Juggunnadapillee	u u u	1 8 u	1 8 u	1 8 u	u u u	u u u
	29	Conchoorpatanah	u u u	11 8 u	11 8 u	12 4 u	u u u	u 12 u
	30	Chendanapadee	u u u	11 4 u	11 4 u	11 4 u	u u u	u u u
	31	Soonnapullee	u u u	2 8 u	2 8 u	2 8 u	u u u	u u u
Total Bullabudrah Mootah			29 5 u	370 14 8	400 3 8	446 2 8	4 9 u	50 8 u

17251.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

			CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.
3. Bauvanapoorum Mootah.	1.	Cusba Bauvanapoorum	6 8.	7 1 13.	7 8 5.	8 4 12.	" " "	6 7.
	2	Nooragaum	" " "	1 2.	1 2.	1 2.	" " "	" " "
	3	Mookoondapoorum	" " "	5 5.	5 5.	5 5.	" " "	" " "
	4	Booragaum	16 8.	26 3.	42 11.	43 14.	" " "	1 3.
	5	Dobashpillee	" " "	" 8.	" 8.	" 8.	" " "	" " "
	6	Gottukoodee	" " "	7 14.	7 14.	15 5.	" " "	7 7.
	7	Coreah	" " "	3 14.	3 14.	9 14.	" " "	6 "
	8	Koomeekee	" " "	22 7.	22 7.	24 13.	" " "	2 6.
	9	Cottoobandee	" " "	5 6.	5 6.	9 3.	" " "	3 13.
	10	Sahapoorum	" " "	4 7.	4 7.	5 4.	" " "	" 13.
	11	Caraotolee	6 8.	5 1.	11 9.	10 3.	1 6.	" " "
	12	Gopenauthapoorum	" " "	1 2.	1 2.	1 2.	" " "	" " "
		Total Bauvanapoorum Mootah	29 8.	155 2.	184 10.	211 5.	1 6.	28 1.
4. Pauyelpadah Mootah	1	Maudo Boredu	" 12.	6 2.	6 14.	6 12.	" 2.	" " "
	2	Bododadungah	" " "	7 2.	7 2.	9 1.	" " "	1 15.
	3	Gungoopoorum	" " "	2 4.	2 4.	4 8.	" " "	2 4.
	4	Mooneegaudee	4 4.	3 13.	8 1.	11 12.	" " "	3 11.
	5	Daneah Poongah	" " "	5 6.	5 6.	7 1.	" " "	1 11.
		Pauyelpadah Mootah Continued Carried forward	5 " "	24 11.	29 11.	39 2.	" 2.	9 9.

17,252

Fort St. George 22d December 1812.

	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
Pauyel Upadah Mootah Continued Brought forward	5 . .	24 11 .	29 11 .	39 2 .	. 2 .	9 9 .
6 Cogera poottee	. . .	2 . .	2 . .	7 2 .	. . .	5 2 .
7 Baudoo pullee	. . .	6 . .	6 . .	9 12 .	. . .	3 12 .
8 Singee Murdano	. . .	13 . .	13 . .	14 5 .	. . .	1 5 .
9 Natunga	3 8 .	30 7 6	33 15 6	29 7 6	4 8 .	. . .
10 Baurootah	. . .	5 15 .	5 15 .	8 7 .	. . .	2 8 .
11 Nursimma prasadoo	. . .	11 14 .	11 14 .	16 . .	. . .	4 2 .
12 Bodopadah	. . .	16 4 .	16 4 .	16 4 .	. . .	. . .
13 Singe poorum	. . .	3 6 .	3 6 .	7 9 .	. . .	4 3 .
14 Runkoodah	. . .	32 12 .	32 12 .	35 5 .	. . .	2 9 .
15 Beeree poorum	. . .	15 12 .	15 12 .	24 2 .	. . .	8 6 .
16 Condaravoolee	. . .	1 2 .	1 2 .	2 14 .	. . .	1 12 .
17 Vinchanah	. . .	2 15 .	2 15 .	5 . .	. . .	2 1 .
18 Indana poorum	. . .	1 3 .	1 3 .	1 3 .	. . .	. . .
19 Boyeree murdano	. . .	3 10 .	3 10 .	12 2 .	. . .	8 8 .
20 Bemkah	. . .	12 4 .	12 4 .	13 10 .	. . .	1 6 .
21 Coragadeah	. . .	2 6 .	2 6 .	1 4 .	1 2 .	. . .
22 Bontapillee	. . .	5 8 .	5 8 .	9 14 .	. . .	4 6 .
23 Jautrasonee	. . .	1 10 .	1 10 .	1 10 .	. . .	. . .
24 Gobarah	. . .	11 9 .	11 9 .	10 10 .	. 15 .	. . .
25 Vossastapillee	. . .	8 15 .	8 15 .	11 8 .	. . .	2 9 .
26 Pauyel Upadah	. . .	53 5 .	53 5 .	69 5 .	. . .	16 . .
27 Soorannee	. . .	1 2 .	1 2 .	1 2 .	. . .	. . .
28 Curnavolee	. . .	27 10 .	27 10 .	24 6 .	3 4 .	. . .
29 Pauta Goondara Soro	17 8 .	. . .	17 8 .	23 7 .	. . .	5 15 .
30 Rutna poorum Pettah	. . .	7 . .	7 . .	. . .	7 . .	. . .
31 Calapunkala	. . .	. 10 .	. 10 .	2 6 .	. . .	1 12 .
32 Chettreyavara poorum	. . .	11 6 .	11 6 .	11 6 .	. . .	. . .
33 Pratanpa Lutchmana poorum	. . .	6 10 .	6 10 .	6 10 .	. . .	. . .
34 Vausoodava poorum	. . .	8 . .	8 . .	8 . .	. . .	. . .
35 Veera Lutchmina poorum	. . .	1 5 .	1 5 .	1 5 .	. . .	. . .
36 Sere Koyee	. . .	9 6 .	9 6 .	9 6 .	. . .	. . .
Total Pauyel Upadah Mootah	26 . .	340 3 6	366 3 6	435 1 6	16 15 .	85 13 .

17253.

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

			CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.	CRs. a. p.
5. Bhostapillee Mootah.	1	Seerreepaugodoo	" " "	4 3 "	4 3 "	7 " "	" " "	2 13 "
	2	Makarapillee	" " "	1 5 "	1 5 "	3 1 "	" " "	1 12 "
	3	Codalejolo	" " "	2 12 "	2 12 "	7 6 "	" " "	4 10 "
	4	Dooboloondah	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 5 "	" " "	1 5 "
	5	Palausapillee	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	1 11 "	" " "	1 2 "
	6	Bodabursingee	" " "	10 15 "	10 15 "	18 10 "	" " "	7 11 "
	7	Royapadah	" " "	" 8 "	" 8 "	1 10 "	" " "	1 2 "
	8	Soonaborasingee	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	5 12 "	" " "	5 3 "
	9	Caulayagoodah	" " "	11 6 "	11 6 "	14 8 "	" " "	3 2 "
	10	Goontoorpalavah	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" " "	" " "
	11	Coorlunghee	" " "	6 7 "	6 7 "	9 6 "	" " "	2 15 "
	12	Sauremeelo	" " "	2 1 "	2 1 "	3 1 "	" " "	1 " "
		Total Bhostapilly Mootah	" " "	41 4 "	41 4 "	73 15 "	" " "	32 11 "
6. Dachanago-dotolo Mootah.	1	Saureyapullee	" " "	5 10 "	5 10 "	1 2 "	4 8 "	" " "
	2	Gowdeapadalo	6 " "	14 " "	20 " "	23 2 "	" " "	3 2 "
	3	Mundarah	" " "	22 15 "	22 15 "	19 12 "	3 3 "	" " "
	4	Kabaree	" " "	7 10 "	7 10 "	4 2 "	3 8 "	" " "
	5	Voosteah	" " "	4 8 "	4 8 "	5 8 "	" " "	1 " "
	6	Belloogoontah	3 " "	131 6 6	134 6 6	132 1 6	2 5 "	" " "
	7	Bulleedeyo	1 " "	12 14 "	13 14 "	15 14 "	" " "	2 " "
		Dachanagodotolo Mootah Continued Carried forward	10 " "	198 15 6	208 15 6	201 9 6	13 8 "	6 2 "

17.253

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
7 Padeyah Mootah	1	Geresolah	" " "	12 4 "	12 4 "	9 1 "	3 3 "	" " "
	2	Barepodoro	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	2 5 "	" " "	1 11 "
	3	Voodooraly	" " "	2 12 "	2 12 "	3 12 "	" " "	1 " "
	4	Voodauyagaum	" 12 "	2 5 "	3 1 "	2 9 "	" 8 "	" " "
	5	Jellebah	" " "	12 " "	12 " "	24 12 "	" " "	12 12 "
	6	Loonejolah	" " "	1 11 "	1 11 "	2 3 "	" " "	" 8 "
	7	Commarapullee	" " "	9 " "	9 " "	11 2 "	" " "	2 2 "
	8	Tickarapadah	" " "	4 11 "	4 11 "	7 10 "	" " "	2 15 "
	9	Nimmapadra	2 8 "	28 7 "	30 15 "	37 14 "	" " "	6 15 "
	10	Tintootah	" " "	8 12 "	8 12 "	6 14 "	1 14 "	" " "
	11	Pootoorapadah	" 8 "	3 10 "	4 2 "	4 2 "	" " "	" " "
	12	Yakadalo	" " "	2 4 "	2 4 "	2 14 "	" " "	" 10 "
	13	Naikpadah	1 8 "	3 6 "	4 14 "	5 6 "	" " "	" 8 "
	14	Amboopoorah	" " "	17 3 "	17 3 "	22 8 "	" " "	5 5 "
	15	Chodeyapullee	" " "	3 2 "	3 2 "	2 12 "	" 6 "	" " "
	16	Goodesaupullee	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 8 "	" " "	" 8 "
	17	Torapoo	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 " "	" " "	1 " "
	18	Mettoopoorum	" " "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	" " "
	19	Boragaum	" " "	7 4 "	7 4 "	8 14 "	" " "	1 " "
	20	Kerapullee	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 8 "	" 2 "	" " "
	21	Soro-sanoolo	" 8 "	" " "	" 8 "	5 2 "	" " "	4 10 "
	22	Lutchmesaranapoorum	" " "	11 8 "	11 8 "	11 8 "	" " "	" " "
	23	Vizjadanoonjayapoorum	" " "	6 12 "	6 12 "	6 12 "	" " "	" " "
		Total Padeyah Mootah	5 12 "	139 5 "	145 1 "	180 8 "	6 1 "	40 8 "
8 Poorvagodetholy	1	Pothurapillee	" " "	1 3 "	1 3 "	1 12 "	" " "	" 9 "
Mootah	2	Coodoothauyee	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	1 8 "	" " "	" 10 "
	3	Bengoosoro	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 1 "	" " "	1 1 "
	4	Booroopullee	" " "	1 7 "	1 7 "	" " "	1 7 "	" " "
	5	Prataupoorum	" " "	5 5 "	5 5 "	12 2 "	" " "	6 13 "
	6	Saranoopullee	" " "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	" " "
	7	Bun Kathorah	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 2 "	" " "	1 2 "
	8	Pothecaree	" " "	6 1 "	6 1 "	8 5 "	" " "	2 4 "
	9	Gopenad poor	" " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	" " "	" " "
	10	Croostna Charanapoor	" " "	14 " "	14 " "	14 " "	" " "	" " "
	11	Mutteecunaree	" " "	7 12 "	7 12 "	7 12 "	" " "	" " "
		Total Poorvagodetholo Mootah	" " "	49 14 "	49 14 "	61 2 "	1 7 "	12 11 "

17256

Stato di Peso per 22 Novembre 1841.

Carro con Carro con Carro con Carro con Carro con Carro

9 Bovedelli — 1 Bestiame
Mucche — 2 Buoi Lattanzi
3 Vitelli Lattoni
4 Bovi Lattanzi
5 Tori Lattanzi
6 Giumente Cavalline
7 Puledri
8 Cavalle
9 Castroni
10 Asinelli
11 Vitelli Lattanti
12 Vitelli Comuni
13 Buoi Lattanzi
14 Bovine Lattanzi
15 Cavalli
16 Muli
17 Bovi

| | | |

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

1725.

			CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
10. Corachellee Mootah.	1	Conagee poorum	" " "	1 14 "	1 14 "	1 14 "	" " "	" " "
	2	Bagavan poorum	" " "	2 8 "	2 8 "	2 4 "	" 4 "	" " "
	3	Tackaradah	" " "	1 15 "	1 15 "	2 7 "	" " "	" 8 "
	4	Cuda Corachellee	" " "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	" " "
		Total Corachellee Mootah	" " "	6 13 "	6 13 "	7 1 "	" 4 "	" 8 "
Vanageree Mootah	1	Vellalee	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" " "	" 9 "	" " "
	2	Noovapullee	" " "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "
	3	Jogemauree	1 8 "	5 6 "	6 14 "	3 8 "	3 6 "	" " "
	4	Dengapadrah	" 8 "	3 2 "	3 10 "	3 10 "	" " "	" " "
	5	Jaunoopulla	" " "	3 8 "	3 8 "	4 " "	" " "	" 8 "
	6	Cayerapulla	" " "	3 5 "	3 5 "	3 5 "	" " "	" " "
	7	Bamsaloondee	" " "	9 13 "	9 13 "	11 8 "	" " "	1 11 "
	8	Cabedah	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" " "	" " "
	9	Chinnacodundah	1 " "	11 5 "	12 5 "	15 7 "	" " "	3 2 "
		Vanagerea Mootah continued	3 " "	37 14 "	40 14 "	42 4 "	3 15 "	5 5 "
		Carried forward						

17258.

Fort St. George 22d. December 1812.

		CRs. a◎	CRs. a◎	CRs. a◎	CRs. a◎	CRs. a◎	CRs. a◎
	Vonageree Mootah Continued. Brought forward.	3 " "	37 14 "	40 14 "	42 4 "	3 15 "	5 5 "
10	Laulasingee	" " "	1 11 "	1 11 "	1 2 "	" 9 "	" " "
11	Bahadapadra	" " "	2 9 "	2 9 "	1 2 "	1 7 "	" " "
12	Bodapotharah	" 10 "	2 4 "	2 8 "	1 2 "	1 6 "	" " "
13	Rumpoodee	" 10 "	3 " "	3 10 "	2 14 "	" 12 "	" " "
14	Gaunoondee	" 12 "	10 9 "	11 5 "	11 5 "	" " "	" " "
15	Bodacodundah	" " "	7 5 3 "	7 5 3 "	7 6 7 "	" " "	1 4 "
16	Rayacoondoo	" 8 "	5 8 "	6 " "	6 " "	" " "	" " "
17	Koosoopullee	2 4 "	26 11 "	28 15 "	26 13 "	2 2 "	" " "
18	Coyendee	" " "	2 13 "	2 13 "	2 13 "	" " "	" " "
19	Bullegaugee	" " "	2 8 "	2 8 "	2 8 "	" " "	" " "
20	Berzoopoottee	" 8 "	17 15 "	13 7 "	14 15 "	3 8 "	" " "
21	Jeloondee	" 8 "	32 4 "	32 12 "	42 15 "	" " "	10 3 "
22	Doomacoompah	" " "	4 3 "	4 3 "	3 10 "	" 9 "	" " "
23	Saurdoolum	" " "	5 3 "	5 3 "	2 4 "	2 5 "	" " "
24	Comma Reddee	2 " "	7 1 "	9 1 "	8 11 "	" 6 "	" " "
25	A Koopodoro	" " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	" " "	" " "
26	Molausapodoro	" " "	1 11 "	1 11 "	1 11 "	" " "	" " "
27	Vinjagery	" 8 "	18 15 "	19 7 "	24 11 "	" " "	5 4 "
28	Gombareegocha	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" " "	" " "
29	Hiattegoda	" " "	3 9 "	3 9 "	2 10 "	" 15 "	" " "
30	Doomachayee	" 6 "	6 15 "	7 5 "	4 13 "	2 8 "	" " "
31	Mahagoodah	" " "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	" " "	" " "
32	Indagaudoo	" " "	3 " "	3 " "	4 " "	" " "	1 " "
33	Godebadra	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" " "	" " "
	Total Vonageree Mootah	11 4 "	279 5 "	290 9 "	293 3 "	20 6 "	23 " "

17259

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

			CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o
12 Jucksabaudee Mootah.	1	Mochahdo	" " "	1 1 "	1 1 "	1 1 "	" " "	" " "
	2	Chencharaupullee	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" " "	" " "
	3	Bulleapatah	" " "	1 2 "	1 2 "	1 2 "	" " "	" " "
	4	Dauroocootah	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" 9 "	" " "	" " "
	5	Coonjéradah	" " "	7 11 "	7 11 "	6 9 "	1 2 "	" " "
	6	Pottapajaree	" " "	" 9 "	" 9 "	1 1 "	" " "	" 8 "
	7	Chathaboomee	" " "	13 6 "	13 6 "	12 6 "	1 " "	" " "
		Total Jucksabaudee Mootah	" " "	25 " "	25 " "	23 6 "	2 2 "	" 8 "
13 Juggunnatha prasad Mootah.	1	Jeerabaudee	" " "	7 2 "	7 2 "	8 8 "	" " "	1 6 "
	2	Leppah	" " "	1 7 "	1 7 "	1 2 "	" 5 "	" " "
	3	Pellampadah	" " "	1 2 "	1 2 "	1 3 "	" " "	" 1 "
	4	Nodah	" " "	3 10 "	3 10 "	5 1 "	" " "	1 7 "
	5	Sesoondah	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" " "	" " "
	6	Jako	" " "	3 1 "	3 1 "	3 1 "	" " "	" " "
	7	Maneetarah	" " "	15 15 "	15 15 "	23 3 "	" " "	7 4 "
	8	Soobalechpullee	" " "	8 6 "	8 6 "	12 " "	" " "	3 10 "
	9	Koyerapoollee	" " "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "
	10	Pauja Karapullee	" " "	10 13 "	10 13 "	8 4 "	2 9 "	" " "
	11	Chaunioondah	2 4 "	20 3 "	22 7 "	23 5 "	" " "	" 14 "
	12	Coonnoondah	" " "	20 1 "	20 1 "	14 1 "	6 " "	" " "
	13	Jaunnogoredah	" " "	8 " "	8 " "	5 4 "	2 12 "	" " "
	14	Boodooroongoo	" " "	4 13 "	4 13 "	4 13 "	" " "	" " "
Juggunnatha prasad Mootah — Continued Carried forward.			2 4 "	105 7 "	107 11 "	110 11 "	11 10 "	14 10 "

17260

Fort St. George 22d. December 1812.

		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
	Juggunnaathaprasad Mootah Contd. Brought forward	2 4 -	105 7 -	107 11 -	110 11 -	11 10 -	14 10 -
15	Sonkooroo	- - -	1 12 -	1 12 -	1 12 -	- - -	- - -
16	Punchabootee	- - -	4 14 -	4 14 -	7 2 -	- - -	2 4 -
17	Soroocoampah	- - -	1 2 -	1 2 -	4 - -	- - -	2 14 -
18	Harapoor	- - -	2 12 -	2 12 -	4 10 -	- - -	1 14 -
19	Cherekeree	- - -	3 1 -	3 1 -	4 12 -	- - -	1 11 -
20	Chapauah	- - -	- 9 -	- 9 -	- 9 -	- - -	- - -
21	Poltheamah	- - -	2 - -	2 - -	3 14 -	- - -	1 14 -
22	Rauneeboomee	- - -	1 11 -	1 11 -	1 11 -	- - -	- - -
23	Soogoomo	- - -	- 2 -	- 2 -	- 2 -	- - -	- - -
24	Thoraupullee	- - -	1 12 -	1 12 -	1 12 -	- - -	- - -
25	Thambaree	- - -	- 10 -	- 10 -	- 10 -	- - -	- - -
26	Thummanadah	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 - -	- - -	1 - -
27	Gochabandee	- - -	1 2 -	1 2 -	2 4 -	- - -	1 2 -
28	Mokarapullee	- - -	- 6 -	- 6 -	1 9 -	- - -	1 3 -
29	Vailoosoogoomah	- - -	- - -	- - -	- 9 -	- - -	- 9 -
30	Molungee	- - -	- 10 -	- 10 -	- 10 -	- - -	- - -
31	Coompapadah	- - -	3 14 -	3 14 -	4 1 -	- - -	3 - -
32	Juggunnadaprasad Cottah	- - -	6 14 -	6 14 -	6 13 -	- 1 -	- - -
33	Coosapullee	- - -	1 14 -	1 14 -	1 4 -	- 10 -	- - -
34	Coodoovah	- - -	- - -	- - -	3 6 -	- - -	3 6 -
35	Condarasinghee	- - -	- - -	- - -	- 8 -	- - -	- 8 -
36	Corausingee	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 2 -	- - -	1 2 -
37	Ravootee	- - -	5 5 -	5 5 -	4 4 -	1 1 -	- - -
38	Chauree coneyah	- - -	12 4 -	12 4 -	1 - -	11 4 -	- - -
39	Pattahdaroo	3 - -	3 2 -	6 2 -	19 1 -	- - -	12 15 -
40	Pollandee	- - -	6 12 -	6 12 -	6 1 -	- 11 -	- - -
41	Doloondah	- - -	- - -	- - -	10 2 -	- - -	10 2 -
42	Cuddoopadah	- - -	8 2 -	8 2 -	12 10 -	- - -	4 8 -
43	Booktaboomee	- - -	7 4 -	7 4 -	9 3 -	- - -	1 15 -
44	Juggunnadprasadputtanah	- - -	10 12 -	10 12 -	11 13 -	- - -	1 1 -
45	Punchabooteputtanah	- - -	5 10 -	5 10 -	4 6 -	1 4 -	- - -
46	Mungarajapoor	- - -	4 - -	4 - -	4 - -	- - -	- - -
47	Juggunnadapoorum	- - -	5 10 -	5 10 -	5 10 -	- - -	- - -
48	Davoolapalla	- - -	3 8 -	3 8 -	3 8 -	- - -	- - -
49	Gondadauro	- - -	10 15 -	10 15 -	10 15 -	- - -	- - -
	Total Juggunnadprasad Mootah -	5 4 -	223 12 -	229 - -	267 4 -	26 9 -	64 13 -

17261

Fort St. George 22d. December 1812.

			CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o	CRs. a/o
14	Voalthara godo. thole Mootah	1 Karedapadrah	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 9 "	" " "	" 9 "
		2 Loonebullee	" " "	" 6 "	" 6 "	" 6 "	" " "	" " "
		3 Baroomabaudee	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 4 "	" " "	1 4 "
		4 Cotoo boraudee	" " "	1 10 "	1 10 "	2 12 "	" " "	1 2 "
		5 Cotoo padah	" " "	1 11 "	1 11 "	2 8 "	" " "	" 13 "
		6 Garadah	" " "	5 15 "	5 15 "	8 12 "	" " "	2 13 "
		7 Maunee poorum	" " "	6 8 "	6 8 "	6 8 "	" " "	" " "
		8 Goojaraulee	" " "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 12 "	" " "	" 8 "
		9 Telesingee	" " "	9 14 "	9 14 "	15 7 "	" " "	5 9 "
		10 Tongeno	" " "	5 13 "	5 13 "	5 7 "	" 6 "	" " "
		11 Jaumoolayee	" " "	1 4 "	1 4 "	2 4 "	" " "	1 " "
		12 Volladano	" " "	2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	" " "	" " "
		13 Volundee Kholla	" " "	7 12 "	7 12 "	10 7 "	" " "	2 11 "
		14 Coodoolaundee	" " "	4 1 "	4 1 "	2 4 "	1 3 "	" " "
		15 Bullecsauyee	" " "	5 6 "	5 6 "	4 12 "	" 10 "	" " "
		16 Lembauyee	" " "	3 12 "	3 12 "	3 13 "	" " "	" 1 "
		17 Saumaradah	" " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 8 "	" " "	" 8 "
		18 Bauradah	" " "	38 " "	38 " "	44 5 "	" " "	11 5 "
		19 Capeta	" " "	2 14 "	2 14 "	4 4 "	" " "	1 6 "
		20 Lottapullee	" " "	1 10 "	1 10 "	2 14 "	" " "	1 4 "
		21 Chorleyapullee	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 2 "	" " "	1 2 "
		22 Thoroomoo	" " "	13 2 "	13 2 "	10 12 "	2 0 "	" " "
		23 Collaudah	" " "	76 13 "	75 13 "	76 1 "	" " "	" 4 "
		24 Danonyagapoorum	" " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	" " "	" " "
		Total Voalthara godo thole Mootah	" " "	208 11 "	208 11 "	236 5 "	4 6 "	32 3 "

L.S.B.

17262.

Amt St. Georgen 22ten December 1822.

		Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.	Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.	Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.	Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.
13	Emmelsdorf — Mark												
1	Georgendorf	"	"	"	22	"	"	64	"	"	28	"	"
2	Sumsdorf	"	"	"	12	"	"	6	"	"	18	"	"
3	Hasenmoor	"	"	"	8	"	"	5	"	"	13	"	"
4	Lindenmark	"	"	"	10	"	"	11	"	"	2	"	"
5	Lucke Luxenhof	"	"	"	34	"	"	24	"	"	37	"	"
6	Meadow	"	"	"	13	"	"	24	"	"	11	"	"
7	Schmidtsdorf	"	"	"	22	"	"	44	"	"	22	"	"
8	Strudelhof	"	"	"	12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"
9	Tetmar	"	"	"	14	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"
10	Waldemarsdorf	"	"	"	8	"	"	9	4	"	2	12	"
11	Adamsdorf	"	"	"	8	"	"	12	"	"	10	"	"
12	Altmarkedorf	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	"	12	"	"
13	Forsthof	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"	8	"	"
14	Gerstenbude	"	"	"	36	"	"	7	12	"	3	12	"
15	Hundertmark	"	"	"	8	"	"	8	12	"	8	"	"
16	Hundestrom	"	"	"	12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"
17	Neudeckstadt	"	"	"	9	"	"	9	3	9	"	"	3
	Total Einnahme	"	"	"	24	8	"	24	8	"	30	4	"

17263.

Fort St. George 22nd. December 1842.

1764.

Ledger Page 21. — December 1822.

	Class A	Class B	Class C	Class D	Class E	Class F
1 Household Furniture — 1 Secretary & Book Cabinet combined						
2 Wardrobes, Single & Double						
3 Washstands						
4 Bookcases & Shelving						
5 Chests of Drawers						
6 Tables, Dining & Occasional						
7 Sofas & Settees						
8 Chairs, Single & Matched Sets						
Brought over						
2 Kitchenware — 1 Pots & Pans, Iron & Copper						
2 Crockery & Glassware						
3 Cutlery & Silverware						
4 Linens & Bedding						
5 Lamps & Candlesticks						
6 Sundries						
Total Household Furniture						
Brought over						
3 Tools & Implements — 1 Garden Tools & Equipment						
2 Carpenter's & Joiner's Tools						
3 Mason's & Bricklayer's Tools						
4 Blacksmith's Tools & Anvil						
5 Spare Parts & Consumables						
6 Ladders & Scaffolding						
7 Wheelbarrows & Handcarts						
8 Miscellaneous Tools						
Total Tools & Implements						
Brought over						
4 Livestock — 1 Poultry & Waterfowl						
2 Pigs & Sties						
3 Goats & Pens						
4 Beehives & Apiary Equipment						
5 Feed & Forage						
6 Veterinary Supplies						
Total Livestock						
Brought over						
5 Miscellaneous — 1 Unclassified Items						
2 Gifts & Donations Received						
3 Charitable Disbursements						
4 Lost & Damaged Items						
5 Insurance Claims						
6 Petty Cash						
Total Miscellaneous						
Brought over						
6 Clothing — 1 Footwear, Boots & Shoes						
2 Outerwear, Coats & Cloaks						
3 Hats, Caps & Headwear						
4 Gloves, Mittens & Scarves						
5 Underclothing & Hosiery						
6 Workwear & Uniforms						
7 Sundry Clothing & Accessories						
8 Clothing Repairs & Alterations						
Total Clothing						
Brought over						
7 Medical & Sickness — 1 Doctor's Fees & Consultations						
2 Medicines & Prescriptions						
3 Dentist & Orthodontics						
4 Optician & Eyewear						
5 Hospital & Clinic Charges						
6 Nursing & Home Care						
7 Sundry Medical Expenses						
8 Medical Insurance Premiums						
Total Medical & Sickness						
Brought over						
8 Transport — 1 Vehicle Purchase & Finance						
2 Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance						
3 Fuel & Charging						
4 Road Tax & Licences						
5 Insurance						
6 Parking & Tolls						
7 Public Transport & Fares						
8 Sundry Transport Costs						
Total Transport						
Brought over						
9 Entertainment — 1 Books, Newspapers & Magazines						
2 Music, Records & Instruments						
3 Sports & Leisure Equipment						
4 Hobbies & Crafts						
5 Cinema, Theatre & Concerts						
6 Holidays & Travel						
7 Sundry Entertainment						
8 Subscriptions & Memberships						
Total Entertainment						
Brought over						
10 Miscellaneous — 1 Unclassified Items						
2 Gifts & Donations Received						
3 Charitable Disbursements						
4 Lost & Damaged Items						
5 Insurance Claims						
6 Petty Cash						
Total Miscellaneous						
Brought over						
11 Clothing — 1 Footwear, Boots & Shoes						
2 Outerwear, Coats & Cloaks						
3 Hats, Caps & Headwear						
4 Gloves, Mittens & Scarves						
5 Underclothing & Hosiery						
6 Workwear & Uniforms						
7 Sundry Clothing & Accessories						
8 Clothing Repairs & Alterations						
Total Clothing						
Brought over						
12 Medical & Sickness — 1 Doctor's Fees & Consultations						
2 Medicines & Prescriptions						
3 Dentist & Orthodontics						
4 Optician & Eyewear						
5 Hospital & Clinic Charges						
6 Nursing & Home Care						
7 Sundry Medical Expenses						
8 Medical Insurance Premiums						
Total Medical & Sickness						
Brought over						
13 Transport — 1 Vehicle Purchase & Finance						
2 Vehicle Repairs & Maintenance						
3 Fuel & Charging						
4 Road Tax & Licences						
5 Insurance						
6 Parking & Tolls						
7 Public Transport & Fares						
8 Sundry Transport Costs						
Total Transport						
Brought over						
14 Entertainment — 1 Books, Newspapers & Magazines						
2 Music, Records & Instruments						
3 Sports & Leisure Equipment						
4 Hobbies & Crafts						
5 Cinema, Theatre & Concerts						
6 Holidays & Travel						
7 Sundry Entertainment						
8 Subscriptions & Memberships						
Total Entertainment						
Brought over						

17265.

Gest. d. 23. Januar 1843. Begr. d. 26. Januar

		Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.	Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.	Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.	Thlr.	Sgr.	Pf.
	Baarvorrath	2213	4	—	3320	16	5334	2	8	6258	9	11	179	1	3
1	Forsten Thundam	298	8	—	1633	5	3	1928	18	3	1671	11	11	237	1	4	—	—	—
	" " "	254	11	3	254	11	3	258	18	3	2	5	—	4	4	—
2	Ochsand	"	"	"	128	5	6	123	5	6	146	8	6	6	14	—	10	1	—
3	Barkamm	"	"	"	228	11	—	208	11	—	263	5	—	4	9	—	32	3	—
4	Hoffmann	2	8	—	384	6	2	386	14	2	583	4	4	12	2	10	8	9	—
	Thl. Waldstück Zusch.	298	—	—	2996	12	2	2693	12	2	2438	9	—	278	7	2	22	14	—
1	Altenfeld Altenfeldgamm	451	—	—	74	11	—	561	12	—	297	12	—	56	—	—	—	—	—
1	Altenfeldgamm	11	11	—	311	15	—	322	9	—	352	9	—	12	15	—	42	15	—
1	Altenfeldgamm	79	5	—	370	11	8	400	3	8	446	2	8	4	4	—	50	8	—
2	Altenfeldgamm	29	8	—	135	2	—	184	10	—	211	5	—	1	6	—	28	1	—
3	Bumm Jasmin	26	—	—	340	3	6	366	3	6	433	1	6	16	15	—	85	15	—
4	Bumfleckdeck	"	"	"	41	4	—	73	15	—	"	"	"	32	11	—
5	Bastfeller	"	"	"	369	11	6	398	2	6	389	2	6	25	14	—	16	14	—
6	Buchmannpeckfeld	28	6	—															

Gesamt Thlr. 3162 1 — 6196 11 6 11935 15 6 12772 9 7 321 15 2 1340 9 3

Diese Geschäfte übernahm Ob. Hammermann Klotz

Ehrenhain, d. 1. Januar 1843

Thielmann

17266

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

No 19 Statement, shewing the amount of Rent of the Coccanut Trees of the different Villages of the Poobacondah Taulook in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250 compared with that of Fusly 1249.

Number	Names of the Villages	Amount of Rent for Fusly 1250	Amount of Rent for Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
		Pdx rs	Pdx rs	Pdx rs	Pdx rs
	Nursingapooram Rundum				
1	Bokeelagaum	2 " "	2 " "	" " "	" " "
	Seetarampooram Rundum				
1	Cockalatoundee	160 " "	165 " "	" " "	5 " "
2	Seetarampooram	71 " "	71 " "	" " "	" " "
3	Sahuilyanapullee	50 " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "
4	Sadalamoydepanlem	380 " "	380 " "	" " "	" " "
5	Comarullytaiapully	40 " "	40 " "	" " "	" " "
6	Nougaum	112 " "	112 " "	" " "	" " "
7	Jemmy	67 " "	92 8 "	" " "	25 " "
8	Nangoolee	24 " "	24 " "	" " "	" " "
9	Pettanpooram	1 " "	1 " "	" " "	" " "
10	Moonshepattah	3 " "	3 " "	" " "	" " "
11	Boomere	241 " "	294 10 "	" " "	53 10 "
12	Comcharah	" 10 "	" 10 "	" " "	" " "
13	Haleyabad	3 " "	3 " "	" " "	" " "
14	Puttentolah	2 12 "	2 12 "	" " "	" " "
15	Chatterpore	100 " "	113 " "	" " "	13 " "
	Total	1255 14 "	1352 8 "	" " "	96 10 "
	Munsoorcottah Rundum				
1	Juggunnadapore	" 3 6	" 3 6	" " "	" " "
2	Balabadrapore	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "
3	Podosarah	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "
4	Rajapooram	3 " "	3 " "	" " "	" " "
5	Bapalingee	1 " "	1 " "	" " "	" " "
6	Bhampooram	1 12 "	1 12 "	" " "	" " "
7	Gungarapooram	" 6 "	" 6 "	" " "	" " "
8	Muttekullah	150 " "	160 " "	" " "	" " "
9	Bansanapotty	50 " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "
10	Baddapotty	45 " "	45 " "	" " "	" " "
11	Mappedapotty	10 " "	10 " "	" " "	15 " "
12	Conamanah	110 " "	125 " "	" " "	" " "
13	Capanpore	" 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	" " "
14	Munsoorcottah	" 5 "	" 5 "	" " "	15 " "
	Total	382 10 6	397 10 6	" " "	111 11 "
	Grand Total	1640 8 6	1752 2 0	" " "	111 11 "

Ganjam 1st November 1842. Errors Excepted

(Signed) R. A Bonnerman
Collector

Sist

1726 to 1736

Fort St. George 23d December 18[illegible]

No 20 List of the annual Accounts of the Taulooks under Aumanee in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

No 1 General Demand Collection and Balance of the Aumanee Taulooks of the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250.

2 General Statement of Collections Charges and Net Revenue of do for do

3 Demand Collection and Balance of Naddadah Taulook of do for do

4 Statement of Collections Charges and Net Revenue of ——— do for do

5 Demand Collection and Balance of Itchapoor Taulook of do for do

6 Statement of Collection and Charges and Net Revenue of ——— do for do

7 Demand Collection and Balance of Kothacondah Taulook of do for do

8 Statement of Collections and Charges and Net Revenue of do for do

9 Demand Collection and Balance of Goomsoor Taulook of do for do

10 Statement of Collections Charges and Net Revenue of ——— do for do

11 Demand Collection and Balance of Sundah Taulook of do for do

12 Statement of Collections Charges and Net Revenue of do for do

13 Demand Collection and Balance of Calahagaum Estate of do for do

14 Statement of Collections Charges and Net Revenue of do for do

15 General Abstract Statement of the whole Revenue from the Land and Extra Sources of do for do

16 Abstract Statement shewing the ordinary and Extraordinary Charges of do for do

Ganjam
1st November 1842

I have &c
(Signed) R. S. Bannerman
Collector

1710
19-20
Order thereon
(Vide Proceedings 2d Meeting
2d Jan.y)

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Ordered to be brought forward at future

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Ganjam

Submitting the usual Statements connected with the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Ganjam District for fusly 1251 with explanatory Remarks.

Para 1.

Sir,

In conformity with the Standing orders of the Board of Revenue and their Circular instructions under date the 27th October 1838. I have the honor to submit the following Accounts connected with the Settlement of the Extra Revenue of this District for fusly 1251.

21

2. A Printed Statement both in English and Telugu the former in Triplicate shewing the different Items composing the Collections Charges and net Revenue on account of Extra Revenues for fusly 1251. compared with those of fusly 1250.

Four Statements both in English and Telugu shewing in detail the Gross Collections Charges and net Revenue on account of Salt

Sea

Fort St. George 22nd December 1802.

Sea Customs and Sayer and Stamp Revenue for Fusly 1251. compared with those of Fusly 1250.

Three Statements both in English and Telugu shewing in detail the Demand Collection and Balance on account of Abkarry and Moturpha Revenue and sundry small Farms and Licenses for Fusly 1251 compared with the Settlement and Receipts of Fusly 1250.

A Statement marked A both in English and Telugu shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each Head of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1251 compared with the Settlement and Collections of Fusly 1250.

A Statement marked B both in English and Telugu shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of arrears under each Head of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1251 compared with the collections of Fusly 1250.

A Statement both in English and Telugu shewing the Quantity of Salt

Fort St. George 22nd December 1802

remaining manufactured and Sold at the different Cotaurs for Fusly 1251 contrasted with that manufactured in Fusly 1250.

A Statement both in English and Telugu shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption at each Cotaur together with the different Places to which Rowannahs were issued during Fusly 1251.

2nd. The subjoined Abstract exhibits at one view the result of the management of the Extra Branches of Revenue in Fusly 1251. compared with that of Fusly 1250.

Items.

17313

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

Statement	Items	Fasly 1250. Comptt Rs	a	p	Fasly 1251. C Rs	a	p	Increase Rs	a	p	Decrease Rs	a	p
Salt	Demand	2,38,074	3	4	2,62,358	6	3	24,284	2	11	-	-	-
	Balance on the 12th July	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Current Collections	2,38,074	3	4	2,62,358	6	3	24,284	2	11	-	-	-
	Extra — Do	1,245	2	11	1,293	14	2	48	11	3	-	-	-
	Arrears — Do	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	2,39,319	6	3	2,63,652	4	5	24,332	14	2	-	-	-
	Charges	16,767	1	10	12,444	2	9	-	-	-	4,322	15	1
	Net Revenue	2,22,552	4	5	2,51,208	1	8	28,656	12	3	-	-	-
2 Sayer	Demand	23,732	8	4	25,758	10	6	2,026	2	2	-	-	-
	Balance on the 12th July	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Current Collections	23,732	8	4	25,758	10	6	2,026	2	2	-	-	-
	Extra — Do	16,156	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	15	0
	Arrears — Do	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	23,749	7	10	25,771	10	6	2,022	2	8	-	-	-
	Charges	6,516	3	4	6,526	6	8	10	3	4	-	-	-
	Net Revenue	17,233	4	6	19,245	3	10	2,011	15	4	-	-	-
3 Sea Customs	Demand	2,075	11	8	6,690	1	4	4,614	5	8	-	-	-
	Balance on the 12th July	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Current Collections	2,075	11	8	6,690	1	4	4,614	5	8	-	-	-
	Extra — Do	-	-	-	30	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-
	Arrears — Do	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	2,075	11	8	6,720	1	4	4,644	5	8	-	-	-
	Charges	1,605	1	11	1,598	13	4	-	-	-	6	4	7
	Net Revenue	470	9	9	5,121	4	-	4,650	10	3	-	-	-

17314

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Items	Finally 1250	Finally 1251	Increase	Decrease
	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p
Abkary — Demand	26,796 8 -	26,307 - -	- - -	489 8 -
Balance on the 12th July	5988 6 7	3035 12 11	- -	2952 9 8
Current Collections	20808 1 5	23,271 3 1	2463 1 8	- - -
Extra — Do	158 6 7	96 11 3	- -	61 11 4
Arrears — Do	1,885 12 7	3,338 - -	1,452 3 5	- - -
Total	22852 4 7	26,705 14 4	3853 9 9	- - -
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	22,852 4 7	26,705 14 4	0,853 9 9	- - -
5 Sundry Small Farms & Licences. — Demand	3159 4 -	3,203 11 -	44 10 -	- - -
Balance on the 12th July	834 10 4	257 - 5	- - -	577 9 11
Current Collections	2,324 6 8	2,946 10 7	622 3 11	- - -
Extra — Do	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Arrears — Do	326 13 3	194 9 3	- - -	132 4 -
Total	2,651 3 11	3,141 3 10	489 15 11	- - -
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	2,651 3 11	3,141 3 10	489 15 11	- - -
6. Stamps — Demand	8,608 10 -	8,702 7 -	93 13 -	- - -
Balance on the 12th July	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Current Collections	8,608 10 -	8,702 7 -	93 13 -	- - -
Extra — Do	383 4 8	524 8 6	141 3 10	- - -
Arrears — Do	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Total	8,991 14 8	9,226 15 6	235 - 10	- - -
Charges	464 10 2	695 - 9	230 6 7	- - -
Net Revenue	8,527 4 5	8,531 14 9	4 10 4	- - -

17315 ||

Fort St.te George 22.nd December 1842.

Nature of the	Items	Fusly 1250.		Fusly 1251.		Increase		Decrease	
		C.R.	a.c.	C.R.	a.c.	C.R.	a.c.	C.R.	a.c.
	Demand	8,794	11.6	8,131	11 6	"	"	663	3 4
	Balance on the 12.th July	2,135	12 10	1,905	11 6	"	"	230	1 4
	Current Collections	6659	1 8	6,226	" "	"	"	433	1 8
	Extra Do.	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "
	Arrears Do.	37	13 2	223	12 8	185	15 6	"	" "
	Total	6,176	14 10	6,149	12 8	"	"	267	2 2
	Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "
	Net Revenue	6,176	14 10	6,149	12 8	"	"	267	2 2

8									
	Demand	311241	8 10	341157	15 7	29,910	6 9	"	" "
	Balance on the 12.th July	8,958	13 9	5,198	8 10	"	"	3,760	4 11
	Current Collections	302,282	11 1	335,953	6 9	33,670	11 8	"	" "
	Extra Do.	1,803	13 8	1,958	1 11	154	4 3	"	" "
	Arrears Do.	2,270	7 "	3,756	5 11	1,485	14 11	"	" "
	Total	306,356	15 9	341,667	14 7	35,310	14 10	"	" "
	Charges	25,353	1 4	21,264	7 6	"	" "	4088	9 10
	Net Revenue	281,003	14 5	320,403	7 1	39,399	8 8	"	" "

17315

Fort St.e George 22.nd December 1842.

Items		Fusly 1250.		Fusly 1257.		Increase		Decrease	
		C.R.	a.p.	C.R.	a.p.	C.R.	a.p.	C.R.	a.p.
Naturpetta	Demand	8,794 11 6		8,131 11 6		- - -		663 3 4	
	Balance on the 12.th July	2,135 17 10		1,905 11 6		- - -		230 1 4	
	Current Collections	6659 1 8		6,226 - -		- - -		433 1 8	
	Extra Do	- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -	
	Arrears Do	37 13 2		223 12 8		185 15 6		- - -	
	Total	6,176 11 10		6,149 12 8		- - -		267 2 2	
	Charges	- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -	
	Net Revenue	6,176 11 10		6,149 12 8		- - -		267 2 2	
8	Demand	31,241 8 10		34,157 15 7		29,910 6 9		- - -	
	Balance on the 12.th July	8,958 13 9		5,198 8 10		- - -		3760 4 11	
	Current Collections	302282 11 1		335,953 6 9		33,670 11 8		- - -	
	Extra Do	1,803 13 8		1,958 1 11		154 4 3		- - -	
	Arrears Do	2,270 7 -		3,756 5 11		1,485 14 11		- - -	
	Total	306,356 15 9		341,667 14 7		35,310 14 10		- - -	
	Charges	25,353 1 4		21,264 7 6		- - -		4088 9 10	
	Net Revenue	281,003 14 5		320,403 7 1		39,399 8 8		- - -	

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Salt.

3 The Proceeds of the actual sales of Salt in Fusly 1751 amounted in the aggregate &c. &c. &c to Rupees 262358-6-3 exceeding those of the preceding Fusly in the sum of Rupees 24,284-2-11 as follows.

The actual sales in fusly 1750.

For Home consumption	112190-2-2	
Do. Inland — Do.	125884-1-2	
	238074-3-4	

The Actual sales in fusly 1751.

For Home consumption	112612-5-0	
Do. Inland Do.	149746-1-3	
	262358-6-3	
Increase	24284-2-11	

4 Resulting from an Increase in the sales for Home consumption to the extent of Rupees 422-2-10; and in the Inland sales of Rupees 23862-0-1.

5 There was an Increase in the sales for Home and Inland consumption at the Garyam Pans, as noted in the Margin while at those of Munsoorcottah, there

Garyam Colaurs

	Home Sales.	Inland Sales.
Fusly 1250	27610-11-5	8098-8-11
Do. 1751	43436-11-10	16482-0-9
Increase	5826-3-5	8383-7-10

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Munsoorcottah Colaurs.

	Home Sales.	Inland Sales.
Fusly 1250	291-6-0	15198-11-0
Fusly 1251	1942-8-0	7127-13-9
Increase	1651-2-0	Decrease 8070-13-3

6

Itchapoor Colaurs.

Fusly 1250	2,653-12-3	2792-3-6
Fusly 1751	3589-5-10	65-10-0
Increase	935-9-7	Decrease 2726-9-6

Nowpadah Colaurs.

Fusly 1250	26397-5-3	96483-8-3
Fusly 1251	24246-8-7	123309-11-3
Decrease	2150-12-8	Increase 26826-3-3

Woomaravilly Colaurs.

Fusly 1250	45236-15-3	2311-1-9
Fusly 1751	39396-15-9	2760-13-6
Decrease	5839-15-6	550-4-3

was a small increase in the Home Sales and a decrease to the extent of Rupees 8070-13-3. in the Inland Sales.

A trifling Increase occurred at the Itchapoor Pans in the Sales for Home consumption, more than counterbalanced by a decrease in those for the Inland Market at Nowpadah there was a small decrease in the Home sales, while at the same time there was a very large Increase in the quantity of salt sold to Brinjaree Merchants a Decrease appears both under the heads of Home and Inland sales at the Woomaravilly Pans as per Margin.

The

Decrease in 1250 ——— 25,943-8-1.
Increase in 1251 ——— 26,826-3-3.

7 The chief item of increase amounting to Rupees 26,826-3-3. in the Inland sales at the Nowpadah Pans counterbalanced a deficit to nearly the same extent in the previous year, which was attributable to a temporary cause the Increase in the sale proceeds at the Ganjam and Metapoor Pans seems ascribable to the more favorable nature of the past season and the consequent improvement in the General trade of the Country. The decrease in inland sales at Munsoorcottah arises from the Stock of Salt at those pans being insufficient to meet the demand having been sold off at an early period. The Decrease at the Hurmarully Pans is attributed to the Merchants having in preference resorted to the nearest Pans in the Vizagapatam District.

Average amount of the Sales proceeds of Salt of the last 10 Fushes Fsly from 1241 to 1250 } 27,745-1-0.
Sale proceeds of Do for Fusly 1251 } 26,358-6-3.

8 Referring to the outturn of this branch of Revenue in the Ganjam District for a series of years I trust that the result of the past year's management will be deemed satisfactory.

Fines and Forfeitures
Fusly 1250. 274-2-11.
Do 1251. 285-14-2
Increase 11-11-3.

9 The Net Increase in Fines and forfeitures as Noted in the Margin comprises the amount forfeited by certain Manufacturers convicted of Smuggling Salt during fusly 1251. The net Increase under the Head of Recoveries of advances from the manufacturers of Salt is attributable to arrears of advances in Fusly 1250 having been realized during the present Fusly.

Recoveries of advances.
Fusly 1250. 971-0-0
Do 1251. 1008-0-0
Increase 37-0-0

Sayer.

10 The Collections of Sayer duty realized at the several Chowkies during fusly 1251. amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 25,758-10-6, exceeding the amount realized in the previous year by Rupees 2,026-2-2, which increase is attributable to the more favorable nature of the past season and the consequent improvement in the general trade of the District. The trifling Decrease in fines and Forfeitures as noted in the Margin does not call for particular explanation.

Collections
Fusly 1250. 23,732-8-4
Do 1251. 25,758-10-6
Increase 2,026-2-2.

Fines and Forfeitures
Fusly 1250. 16-15-6
Do 1251. 13-0-0
Decrease 3-15-6

Sea

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Collections of Duty
Fusly 1250 - 2075-11-8.
Do. 1251 - 6690-1-4
Increase. 4614-5-8.

Sea Customs.

11 The Sea Customs Collections for Fusly 1251 amounted to Rupees 6690-1-4 Shewing a Net Increase of Rupees 4614-5-8 above those of the preceeding Fusly. This Increase arises chiefly from the revival, owing to the favorable outturn of the late harvest, of the export trade in Paddy and Raw Rice, which had been completely suspended during the previous two years.

Rents
Fusly 1250 - 26796-8-0
Do. 1251 - 26307-4-0
Decrease 489-8-0

Abkarry.

12 The Settlement of the Rents of the Abkarry Farms for Fusly 1251 amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 26307-4-0 being a small falling off of Rupees 489-8-0 below that of the previous Fusly, but the actual collections realized within the year exceeded the amount collected on the previous Fusly in the sum of Rupees 2463-1-8 The Decrease in the Settlement of the Rents is attributable to the losses sustained by some of the Renters in previous years, which discouraged competition for the Farms. The Decrease under the head of Fines and forfeitures as noted in the margin is

Collections
Fs. 1250 - 29508-1-6
Do. 1251 - 27371-3-1
Increase 2463-1-8

Fines and Forfeitures
Fusly 1250 - 138-6-7.
Do. 1251 - 76-11-3.
Decrease 61-11-4

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

is owing to the smaller amount of Interest received during the present Fusly.

13 The Balance of Fusly 1247 is Rupees 92-14-8 as per Column 9 of the accompanying Statement marked B. of this sum Rupees 9-13-2. have been subsequently collected, and the remainder, Viz.t Rupees 83-1-6, due by certain Renters of the Goomsur Farm is expected will be recovered hereafter. The Balance due by the Renter of the Turlah Abkarry Farm for Fusly 1248 a Viz.t, Rupees 141-8-0 is considered altogether desparate, from the impoverished condition of the defaulter and there being no property whatever available in liquidation of the amount still due by him, I beg therefore to recommend that authority may be granted for writing it off from the accounts. Of the Balance of Fusly 1249 Viz.t, Rupees 423-7-4, Rupees 40-15-3. See Col. 13 of the Statt: marked B. have been subsequently collected, and Rupees 307-13-4, will be realized hereafter but the remainder a Viz.t, 74-10-9 due by the Renters of Muntredy and Vizianagaram

See Col. 17 of the Statt: marked B.

Muntredy 48-4-0
Vizianagaram 26-6-9
Total 74-10-9

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

Vizeanagaram Farms is altogether irrecoverable, the whole of the property of the defaulters having already been disposed of and no further means being available for the liquidation of the amount still due. I beg accordingly to recommend that sanction may be granted for writing off the sum in question also from the accounts.

See Col. 21 of the Statement marked D

14. The Balance outstanding for Fusly 1250 amounts to Rupees 2049-1-4 of which Rupees 697-11-6 have subsequently been realized. It is expected that Rupees 180-11-6 will eventually be collected, and the remainder Viz.t 871-5-4 due by the Renter of Gangavaram, being altogether desperate in consequence of the whole of the property of the Defaulter and his surety having already been sold and no further property being available in satisfaction of the amount still due. I further beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for striking it out of the Accounts.

The

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Demand	
Fusly 1250.	3159-1-4
Do 1251.	3203-11-4
Increase.	44-10-0
Collections	
Fusly 1250.	2324-6-8
Do 1251.	2946-10-7
Increase.	622-3-11
Sales	
Fusly 1250.	8608-10-4
Do 1251.	8702-7-4
Increase.	93-13-0
Penalties	
Fusly 1250.	383-4-8
Do 1251.	524-8-6
Increase.	141-3-10

The Balance outstanding

15. for Fusly 1251 is Rupees 3035-12-11 as per Statement No 4. Of this sum Rupees 1891-1-4 have since been collected, and the remainder Viz.t Rupees 1144-11-7 is in train of recovery.

Sundry Small Farms and Leences.

The Decrease under this Heads for

16 Fusly 1251 amounts to Rupees 3203-11-4, exceeding that of the previous Fusly by Rupees 44-10-0. The actual Collections made within the year also exceeded those of the previous fusly in the sum of Rupees 622-3-11 as noted in the Margin. The Increase being referrible to the more favorable nature of the past season.

Stamp Revenue.

The Sale proceeds of Stamps

17 during Fusly 1251 amount to Rupees 8702-7-4 exhibiting a net Increase of Rupees 93-13-4 above those of the previous Fusly. This Increase is attributable chiefly to the improvement in trade, both by Sea and Land during the Fusly under review. The Net Increase in Penalties as noted in the Margin is as creditable to

to the larger amount of Penalties levied under Regulation II of 1825 during Fusly 1251.

Malurpha.

Rents
Fusly 1250. 8794-14-6.
Do 1251. 8131-11-6.
Decrease. 663-3-0.

Collections
Fusly 1250. 6659-1-8.
Do 1251. 6226-0-0.
Decrease. 433-1-8.

18 The demand on account of Malurpha for Fusly 1251 amounted to Rupees 8131-11-6 being a Decrease of Rupees 663-3-0 below that of the previous Fusly and there was a falling off in the Collections to the extent of Rupees 433-1-8 compared with Fusly 1250 which decrease is ascribable to emigration and deaths among contributors to this branch of Revenue.

Charges.

Items	Amount of Fusly 1250	Amount of Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	
Purchase of Salt	10176 10 3	6320 8 11	1541 3 5	5397 4 9	The Increase under the head of Purchase of Salt at the Gangaram and Mensoorcottah Pans, is attributable to the

Items	amount of Fusly 1250	amount of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	
					the manufacture having been more extensively carried on during Fusly 1251. The Decrease at the Womaravilly and Nowpadalo Pans, is ascribable partly to the manufacture having been suspended at the former Pans owing to the large Stock remaining in store, at the commencement of the Fusly, and partly to the directed manufacture of salt at the latter, consequent on the early setting in of the Monsoon during the current Fusly.
Servants Wages	4421 10	4082 5 9	7 2 11	345 14 2	The trifling Increase in

Items	amount of Fusly 1250	Amount of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	
					in the Servants Wages of Ganjam and Wondaravilly Pans requires no Explanation; The Decrease in Servants Wages arises from the discontinuation of the Establishment at the Ittahapore Cotaars, and to certain Situations having been vacant for some time, during the current Fusly.
additional Peons	38994	35389	1919	5524	The trifling Increase in the additional Peons of Ganjam Pans requires no particular Remark. The Increase in the additional Peons Wages of Nowpadah Pans is owing to arrears also having been included in the

Items	amount of Fusly 1250	Amount of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	
					the Disbursements of Fusly 1251. The Decrease in Munsoor Cottah and Wondaravilly Pans additional Peons Wages is attributable to the small number of Peons entertained in the former combined with the Suspension of the manufacture of Salt in the latter during the current Fusly.
Saderwared	48 "	44 8 "	"	" 3 8 "	The Decrease in the Saderwared Charges of Ittahapore Pans is in consequence of the Establishment of those Cotaars having been abolished as above Stated

Items	amount of Fusly 1250	Amount of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	CRs. As. Ps.	CRs. As. Ps.	CRs. As. Ps.	CRs. As. Ps.	
					Stated.
Repairs of Pans	147 11 3	128 " "	128 " "	147 11 3	The total Increase in the repairs of Pans is attributable to arrears also having been disbursed during the present year. The total Decrease in the Repairs of Pans at Ganjam and Womaravilly Pans is ascribable to the charge on this account of the former Pans not having been disbursed within the present Fusly and also to the Suspension of the

Items	amount of Fusly 1250	Amount of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.-
	CRs. As. Ps.	CRs. As. Ps.	CRs. As. Ps.	CRs. As. Ps.	
					manufacture of salt in the latter as above stated.-
Extra charges	436 2 2	546 3 4	293 7 5	183 6 3	The Increase in the Extra Charges of Nowpadah and Womaravilly Pans is owing to arrears also having been charged during the present year. The Decrease under the Head of Extra Charges at Ganjam, Munsoorcottah, and Itchapoor Pans is chiefly in consequence of a part-

17330 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

Items	amount of Fusly 1250	amount of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	
Advances to the Manufacture of Salt	1079 " "	900 " "	121 " "	300 " "	part of the charge not having been disbursed within the Fusly 1251. The Increase in the advances for the manufacture of Salt at Ganjam and Munsoorcottah Pans arises from the full amount of the Estimate framed on this account having been issued to the Ryots of these Pans during the Fusly under

17331 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Items	amount of Fusly 1250.	amount of Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	
					under review - The total Decrease in those of the Womaravilly Pans is owing to the manufacture having been suspended in those Pans during the current Fusly for reasons above adduced.

Ganjam District
Collector's Cutcherry
Ganjam, 8th Dec. 1842

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

Statement.

17332

Fort St. George

22nd Decem

A Statement shewing the Demand Collection a Balance and 1250 and 1251.

Collection and

Number	Items of Revenue	Settlement of Fusly 1250.	Collections to 11th July 1841	Extra Collections of Fusly 1250	Total Collection of Fusly 1250	Balance of Fusly 1250 on the 11th July 1841	Set	Remarks.	Collections on account of Fusly 1246	Remissions for Fusly 1246
		1	2	3	4	5		15.	3	4
		Compurs as ℞ ℔ Rs	as ℞ ℔ Rs	as ℞ ℔ Rs	as ℞ ℔ Rs	℔ Rs as ℞ ℔			as ℞ ℔ Rs	as ℞ ℔ Rs as ℞
1	Sall	238074 3 4	238074 3 4	1245 2 11	239319 6	" " "	26	Increase in this Item vide Para 9 of the accompanying	" " " " " " "	"
2	Sayer	23732 8 4	23732 8 4	" " "	23749 1	" " "	2	Do vide Para 10 of Do Do	" " " " " " "	"
3	Sea Customs	2075 11 8	2075 11 8	" " "	2075 11 8	" " "		Do Vide Para 11 of Do Do	" " " " " " "	"
4	Abkary	26796 8 "	20808 1 5	158 6 7	20966 8	5988 6 7	2	Decrease & Increase in Do vide Para 12 of Do Do	" " " " " " "	4
5	Sundry small Farms &c Licenses	3159 1 "	2324 6 8	" " "	2324 6 8	834 10 4		Increase in Do Vide Para 16 of Ditto Ditto.	" " " " " " "	"
6	Stamps	8608 10 "	8608 10 "	383 4 8	8991 14 8	" " "		Do Vide Para 17 of Ditto Ditto.	" " " " " " "	"
7	Moturpha	8794 14 6	6659 1 8	" " "	6659 1 8	2135 12 10		Decrease in Do Vide Para 18 of Do Do.	6 " " " " 105 6	"

Ganj

Statement

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Balance of Extra Revenue of former Fuslies on the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1251.—

on	Balance of Fusly 1246.	arrears due on account of Fusly 1247	Collections on account of Fusly 1247.	Remission for Fusly 1247.	Balance of Fusly 1247.	arrears due on account of Fusly 1248.	Collections on account of Fusly 1248.	Remission for Fusly 1248.	Balance of Fusly 1248.	Arrears due on account of Fusly 1249	Collections on account of Fusly 1249	Remission for Fusly 1249	Balance of Fusly 1249
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
R	C: Rups as o	C: Rups as o	C: Rups as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rups as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rs as o	C: Rups as o
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

17334

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Arrears due on account of Fusly 1250.		Collections on account of Fusly 1250.		Remissions for Fusly 1250.		Balance of Fusly 1250.		Total Collections of old arrears in Fusly 1251		Total Remission for Fusly 1251.		Total Balance remaining to the end of Fusly 1251		Comparison of the Collections of arrears of Fuslies 1250 & 1251 as Pr Column 22.		Remarks.
														Increase	Decrease.	
18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25	26	27.
C. Rups	as o	C. Rs	as o	C. Rs	as o	C. Rs	as o	C. Rs	as o	C. Rupt	as o	C. Rups	as o	C. Rs	as o	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
5,988	6 7	3,040	5 10	898	15 9	2,049	1 "	3,338	" "	3,395	7 2					
834	10 4	188	1 3	"	" "	646	9 1	194	9 3	1,410	4 10					
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
2,135	12 10	223	12 8	"	" "	1,912	" 2	223	12 8	5,692	4 6					
												2,706	15 "	1,452	3 5	" "
												646	9 1	"	" "	132 4 "
												"	"	"	"	" "
												1,912	" 2	165	15 6	" "

Vide Paras 13 & 14 of the accompanying Report.

Errors Excepted J/c. /Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Statement

Ganjam 8th December 1842

17336

Fort St. George

22d December 1842.

No. 1. Statement shewing in detail the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of Salt in the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1250 and 1251.

Items of Revenue	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Comparison of the two Fuslies Increase			Comparison of the two Fuslies Decrease			Remarks
1	2			3			4			5			
	C. Rs	as	p	C. Rs	as	p	C. Rs	as	p	C. Rs	as	p	C.
Gross Collections Ganjam Pans													
Home Consumption	37,610	11	5	43436	14	1							
Inland Ditto	2,098	8	11	1,648	11	9				5,826	3	5	
Fines and Forfeitures	8	"	"	58	6	1				8,383	7	10	
Recoveries of advances from Manufacturers	171	"	"	250	"	"				50	6	1	
										79	"	"	
Total	45,888	4	4	60,227	5	8				14,339	1	4	
Munscorcottah Pans													
Home Consumption	291	6	"	1,942	8	"							
Inland Ditto	15,198	11	"	7,127	9	"				1,651	2	"	
Fines and Forfeitures	31	9	"	1	10	"				"	"	"	8,070 13 3
Recoveries of advances from Manufacturers	"	"	"	258	"	"				"	"	"	29 15 "
Total	15,521	10	"	9,329	15	9				258	"	"	
										1,909	2	"	8,100 12 3

The actual sales of Salt in Fusly 1250 — 23807 : 3 : 4
Recoveries of advances — 971 : " : "
Fines and Forfeitures — 274 : 2 : 11 — 1245 : 2 : 11
23,919 : 6 : 3

The actual sales of Salt in Fusly 1251 — 26238 : 6 : 3
Recoveries of advances — 1008 : " : "
Fines and Forfeitures — 285 : 14 : 2 — 1293 : 14 : 2
26,352 : 4 : 5

Increase 2433 : 14 : 2

For the above Increase Vide Paras 7 & 9 of the accompanying Report

17337

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Comparison of the two Fuslies-

Items of Revenue	Fusly years		Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
	Comps. Rs. as. p.	Comps. Rs. as. p.	Co. Rs. as. p.	Co. Rs. as. p.	
Itchapore Pans					
Home Consumption	2,653 12 3	3,589 5 10	935 9 7	" " "	
Inland Ditto	2792 3 6	65 10 "	" " "	2726 9 6	
Fines and Forfeitures	" " "	3 12 "	3 12 "	" " "	
Total	5,445 15 9	3,658 11 10	939 5 7	2726 9 6	
Nowpadah Pans					
Home Consumption	26,397 5 3	24,246 8 7	" " "	2,150 12 8	
Inland Ditto	96,483 8 "	123,309 11 3	26,826 3 3	" " "	
Fines and Forfeitures	158 15 6	208 13 2	49 13 8	" " "	
Recoveries of advances from Manufacturers	500 " "	500 " "	" " "	" " "	
Total	123539 12 9	148,265 1 "	26,876 " 11	2,150 12 8	

17338

Fort St. George

22d. December 1842

Comparison of the two Years

Heads of Revenue	July 1250.	July 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Womaravelly Pans					
Home Consumption					
Inland Ditto	43,236 15 3	39,296 15 6	" " "	3939 15 6	
Fines and Forfeitures	3311 1 9	2760 10 3	" " "	550 4 3	
Recoveries of advances from Manufacturers	75 10 5	13 4 11	" " "	62 5 6	
	300 " "	" " "	" " "	300 " "	
Total	48,923 11 5	42171 2 2	" " "	6757 9 3	
Total Home Consumption	112,190 2 2	112,612 5 "	8412 15 "	7,990 12 2	
Ditto Inland Ditto	175,884 1 2	149,746 1 3	35209 11 1	11047 11 "	
Total	288074 3 4	262358 6 5	43622 10 1	19338 7 2	
Ditto Fines & Forfeitures	274 2 11	285 14 2	103 15 9	92 4 6	
Ditto Recoveries of advances from Manufacturers	971 " "	1008 " "	337 " "	300 " "	
Total Gross Collections	289319 6 3	263652 4 5	44063 9 10	19720 11 8	
The actual Increase and Decrease excluding Extra Collections			43622 10 1	19338 7 2	
Deduct the Decrease in Sales excluding Ditto				19338 7 2	
Net Increase as per Statement				24284 " 11	

17339

Fort St. George

22d. December 1842.

Items of Revenue

	Fasly. 1250			Fasly. 1251		
1	2			3		
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.
Charges as follows.						
Purchase of Salt Viz.t						
at Ganjam	2235	9	4	2676	2	1
at Munsoor cottah	687	10	1	768	4	1
at Nowpadah	3741	9	7	1876	1	1
at Womaravilly	3531	13	3	"	"	"
Total	10176	10	3	6320	8	3
Servants Wages Y.r Viz.t						
at Hoozoor Cutchery	240	"	"	240	"	"
at Ganjam	1125	12	1	1140	"	"
at Munsoor cottah	493	8	9	480	"	"
at Itchapore	381	4	9	84	7	"
at Nowpadah	1142	8	4	1106	13	11
at Womaravilly	1077	14	11	1030	13	11
Total	4181	"	10	3942	5	9
Total Servants Wages	4421	"	10	4082	5	9
Additional Peons Wages Y.r Viz.t						
at Ganjam	206	9		228	8	"
at Munsoor cottah	27	8	4	10	9	4
at Nowpadah	303	6	11	320	7	5
at Womaravilly	38	2	4	"	"	"

Comparison of the two Years

Increase			Decrease		
4			5		
Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.
1440	8	3	"	"	"
100	10	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	1865	7	6
"	"	"	3531	13	3
1541	3	5	5397	4	9
"	"	"	"	"	"
4	3	11	"	"	"
"	"	"	13	5	10
"	"	"	296	13	9
"	"	"	35	10	5
2	15	"	"	"	"
7	2	11	345	14	"
7	2	11	345	14	"
2	1	3	"	"	"
"	"	"	16	15	"
17	"	6	"	"	"
"	"	"	38	3	4
19	1	9	55	2	4

Remarks.

6

17340

Fort St. George

22d December 1842

Comparison of the two Fasties

Items of Revenue	July years		Increase		Decrease		Remarks
	July 1250	July 1251					
	2	3	4		5		6
	6 Rupees as	6 Rupees as	6 Rs	as	6 Rupees	as	
Saudeward Vizo							
at Ganjam							
at Munsoorcottah	6 " "	6 " "	"	"	"	"	
at Itchapore	6 " "	6 " "	"	"	"	"	
at Nowpadah	6 " "	2 8 "	"	"	3	8 "	
at Womaravelly	18 " "	18 " "	"	"	"	"	
	12 " "	12 " "	"	"	"	"	For the Increase and Decrease in the different Items of charges Vide remarks to the Statement exhibited in the Report after Para 13
Total	48 " "	44 8 "	"	"	3	8 "	
Repairs of Pans Vizo							
at Ganjam	3 15 "	" " "	"	"	3	15 "	
at Nowpadah	" " "	128 " "	128	" "	"	"	
at Womaravelly	143 12 3	" " "	"	"	143	12 3	
Total	147 11 3	128 " "	128	" "	147	11 3	
Extra Charges Vizo							
at Ganjam	249 14 2	130 6 5	"	"	119	7 9	
at Munsoorcottah	56 15 1	4 15 7	"	"	51	15 6	
at Itchapore	11 15 "	" " "	"	"	11	15 "	
at Nowpadah	10 12 "	285 15 3	275	3 3	"	"	
at Womaravilly	108 9 11	174 13 8	18	3 9	"	"	
Total	436 7 7	546 3 4	293	7 6	193	6 3	

1734

Fort St. George

22d December 1842.

Comparison of the two Fasles

July Years

Items of Revenue	July 1250	July 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Comps. Rupees	& Ps.	Rupees	& Ps.	
Advances to the Manufacturers Viz.t					
at Ganjam	171 " "	250 "	79 " "	" " "	
at Munsoorcottah	103 " "	150 "	42 " "	" " "	
at Nowpadah	500 " "	500 "	" " "	" " "	
at Womaravilly	300 " "	" "	" " "	300 " "	
Total	1079 " "	900 "	121 " "	300 " "	
Compensation Viz.t					
at Nowpadah	69 " "	69 "	" " "	" " "	
Total Superintendence and Sales &c.a	6590 7 7	6123 7	568 12 1	1035 9 10	
Total Charges	1767 1 10	1744 2 9	2109 15 6	6432 14 7	
Net Revenue	2,22,552 4 5	2,61,208 1	44053 10 4	12297 13 1	
			44063 9 10	19730 11 8	
			19730 11 8		
			24332 14 2		

N.B.

The Increase and Decrease on the Collections including the Extra Revenue or Farms and Sayers

Deduct the Decrease including &c.a

Net Increase as per Statement No.1

Errors Excepted (per Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Grand Total—	23749	7	10	25771	10	6	3144	"	5	1121	13	9	
Charges													
Servants Wages—	6180	3	4	6190	6	8	10	3	4	"	"	"	For this trifling Increase View remarks to the printed Statement
Sundry ared—	336	"	"	336	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total—	6516	3	4	6526	6	8	10	3	4	"	"	"	
Net Revenue—	17233	4	6	19245	3	10	3133	13	1	1121	13	9	

No 13

The Increase and Decrease on the Collections inclusive of Extra Revenue or Fines & Forfeitures — 3144 " 5 — 1121 13 9

Deduct Decrease — 1121 13 9

Net Increase as per Statement A — 2022 2 8

Ganjam, 8th December 1842 — Errors Excepted (Signed) R. A. Bannerman — Collector.

Statement

17343

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Statement shewing in detail the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue of Sea Customs under Ganjam in the District of Ganjam for Fusles 1250 and 1251

Items of Revenue	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Comparison of the two Fusles. Increase	Comparison of the two Fusles. Decrease	Remarks
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5
Gross Collections as follows—	6 Rupees 0 6 Rs as 0		6 Rs as 0	6 Rs as 0	
Ganjam Sea Port { Import Duty	9 10 5 " "		" " "	9 10 5	Sea Custom Duty for Fusly 1250 2,075 11 8
Export Ditto	183 2 1 " "	253 2 1	92 " "	" " "	Ditto Ditto for Ditto 1251 6690 1 4
Total	175 12 6	253 2 1	92 " "	9 10 5	Fines and Forfeitures for Ditto 30 " "
Manoor cottah Sea Port { Import Duty	42 13 9			42 13 9	6720 1 4
Export Ditto	18 13 "	181 0 1	138 " 1	" " "	Increase 1644 5 8
Total	61 10 9	134 13 1			For the above Increase Vide Para 11 of the accompanying Report
Sonnapoorcha Port { Import Duty	9 9 "	19 8 "	9 15 "	" " "	For the Net Decrease in Servants Wages Vide remarks to the Printed Statement
Export Ditto	619 4 "	748 12 "	123 1 11	" " "	
Total	628 13 "	767 13 "	138 " 11	" " "	
Bairavahsha Port { Export Duty	37 " 5	771 14 2	734 14 2	" " "	
Fines and Forfeitures	" " "	3 " "	3 " "	" " "	
Total			737 14 2	" " "	

17344

Port St. George

22d December 1842.

Comparison of the two Fasliee

Items of Revenue.	Fasly 1250.			Fasly 1251			Increase			Decrease			Remarks.
	1			2			3			4			
	Compt Rs	as	P	Co Rupees	as	P	Compt Rs	as	P	Co Rupees	as	P	
Poondy and Pampana Coudee Sea Ports — Import Duty	30	10	7	83	13	3	53	2	8	"	"	"	
Ditto Export Ditto	762	7	1	244	7	"	1381	15	11	"	"	"	
Ditto Fines and Forfeitures	"	"	"	11	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	793	1	8	2,339	4	3	1446	2	7	"	"	"	
Calingapatam and Geddalapauloo Sea Ports — Import Duty	65	7	"	2,135	11	5	2070	4	6	"	"	"	
Ditto Export Ditto	352	8	9	2,422	14	2	16	"	"	"	"	"	
Ditto Fines and Forfeitures	"	"	"	10	"	"	2,151	11	5	"	"	"	
Total	379	5	4	2,521	9	7	128	8	8	52	8	2	
Total Import Duty	113	7	4	194	7	11	4538	5	2	"	"	"	
Ditto Export Ditto	1457	4	4	6495	9	7	4666	13	10	52	8	2	
Ditto Fines and Forfeitures	2073	11	8	6690	1	6	30	"	"	"	"	"	
	"	"	"	30	"	"							
Total Gross Collections	2073	11	8	6720	1	6	4696	13	10	52	8	2	
Total Increase and Decrease on the Collections excluding Extra Revenue or Fines and Forfeitures							4666	13	10	52	8	2	
Deduct the Decrease excluding Ditto Ditto							52	8	2	"	"	"	
Net Increase as per Statement							4614	5	8	"	"	"	

5

17345

Fort St. George

22d December 1842

Comparison of the two Tables

Sixty years

Items of Revenue	July 1250	July 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5
Charges as follows Servants Wages &c. District	6 Rupees as D.	6 Rs as D.	6 Rs as D.	6 Rs as D.	
at Ganjam Sea Port	216 - -	216 - -	- - -	- - -	
at Munsoorcottah Ditto	240 - -	236 - -	- - -	4 - -	
at Sunnapoor Ditto	216 - -	216 - -	- - -	- - -	
at Baurah Ditto	212 10 8	213 9 8	15 2	- - -	
at Poondy Ditto	210 7 3	210 - -	- - -	2 8 9	
at Bauranapandoo Ditto	108 - -	108 - -	- - -	- - -	
at Calingapatam Ditto	264 - -	258 3 -	- - -	5 12 6	
at Giddalapandoo Ditto	108 - -	108 - -	- - -	- - -	
Total	1378 1 11	1371 13 2	3 7 11	9 12 6	
Saudrywared Charges Viz.					
at Ganjam Sea Port	4 8 -	4 8 -	- - -	- - -	
at Munsoorcottah Ditto	4 8 -	4 8 -	- - -	- - -	
at Sunnapoor Ditto	4 8 -	4 8 -	- - -	- - -	
at Baurah Ditto	4 8 -	4 8 -	- - -	- - -	
at Poondy Ditto	4 8 -	4 8 -	- - -	- - -	
at Calingapatam Ditto	4 8 -	4 8 -	- - -	- - -	
Total	27 - -	27 - -	- - -	- - -	
Total Charges	1405 1 11	1398 13 4	3 7 11	9 12 6	
N.B. Net Revenue	470 9 9	472 14 7	4693 5 11	42 11 8	
The Increase & Decrease in the Collections exclusive of Extraordinary Charges			4696 13 10	52 8 7	
Deduct the Decrease including Ditto and Sayer			52 8 7		
Net Increase as per Statement			4644 5 3		

R. A. Bannerman

12th December 1842.

Revenue in the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1250 & 1251.

Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1250 and 1251.								Remarks.
Increase				Decrease				
9				10				11
C. Rs	as	p		C. Rs	as	p		
							Rents for Fusly 1250	26796 8 "
"	"	"		673	15	2		
438	15	6		"	"	"	Ditto for Ditto 1251	26307 " "
132	6	"		"	"	"	Decrease	489 8 "
"	"	"		148	13	5		
"	"	"		"	9	6		
20	"	"		"	"	"	For the above Decrease Vide Para 12	
3	8	4		"	"	"	of the accompanying Report. —	
567	13	"		"	"	"	Collections in Fusly 1250 as follows	
43	1	4		"	"	"	Rents	20303 1 5
"	"	"		78	3	2	Fines and Forfeitures	158 6 7
"	"	"		71	4	"		20986 8 "
"	"	"		2	"	"	Collections of Fusly 1251 as follows	
"	"	"		47	12	8	Rents	22713 3 1
"	"	"		166	7	8	Fines and Forfeitures	96 11 3
								23367 14 4
147	7	"		"	"	"	Increase	2401 6 4
95	14	9		"	"	"		
175	8	"		"	"	"		
67	5	9		"	"	"		
126	2	"		"	"	"		
811	7	"		"	"	"		
3	15	9		"	"	"	For this Increase Vide Para 12 of the	
"	"	"		111	9	6	accompanying Report —	
"	"	"		302	7	"		
191	10	6		"	"	"		
1032	13	6		"	"	"		
198	3	4		"	"	"		
4066	3	9		1603	7	1		
"	"	"		61	11	4		
4066	3	9		1664	13	5		

17347

Sort St. George 22d December

Fusly 1250.

Names of the Farms	Settlement of the abkarry Rents for Fusly 1250.	Collections within the Fusly.	Balance on account of Fusly 1250 on the 12th July 1841.	Settlement of the abkarry Rents for Fusly 1251.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Arrears as follows.					
of Fusly 1247	" " "	68 15 4	" " "	" " "	
of Ditto 1248	" " "	11 5 10			
of Ditto 1249	" " "	1805 7 5	" " "	" " "	
of Ditto 1250	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Total	" " "	1885 12 7	" " "	" " "	
Grand Total	26796 8 "	22852 4 7	5988 6 7	26307 " "	
Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Net Revenue	26796 8 "	22852 4 7	5988 6 7	26307 " "	

Particulars of the Balance outstanding at the commencement of Fusly 1251. as follows.—

Fusly 1247	148 14 8	
Ditto 1248	191 14 "	
Ditto 1249	3111 2 11	
Ditto 1250	5988 6 7	
	9440 6 2	
Collections as detailed in Column 22 of the Statement B	3338 " "	
Remission as Ditto in Ditto 23 of Ditto	3395 7 2	
	6733 7 2	
Balance as Ditto in Column 24 of Ditto	2706 15 "	

N.B.
The arrears
in the Settlem
-cluding the
Deduct the
Net Decree
Deduc

of the Demand, collect

Fusly 1250.

ments Rents	Collections within the Fusly 1250	Fusly.	Balance account Fusly 1250 the 12th Jul 1841.
	2		3
a. p.	b Rs.	a. p.	b Rs. a.
" "	1312	8 6	417 7
3 4 "	282	14 10	200 5
18 " "	288	" "	10 "
5 11 "	56	11 4	98 15
5 2 "	"	" "	5 2
87 " "	384	4 "	102 12
59 1 "	2324	6 8	834 10
" "	326	13 3	" "
" " "	"	" "	" "
" " "	326	13 3	" "
159 1 "	2651	3 11	834 10
" " "	"	" "	" "
159 1 "	2651	3 11	834 10

om Fusly 1247 to 1251
nd 15—

452 8 "
964 4 2
834 10 4
251 7 2

Bannerman
Collector.

604 14 1
Statement 646 9 1

N 7

6

Fort St. George

22d December 1842.

tion, and Balance, on account of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses in the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1250 & 1251.

on of	Settlement of the Rents for Fusly 1251.		Collections within the Fusly.		Balance on account of Fusly 1251 on the 12th July 1842.		Comparison of the Settlements for Fuslies 1250 and 1251.		Comparison of the Collections for Fuslies 1250 & 1251.		Remarks.
	Fusly 1251.						Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
	4		5		6		7	8	9	10	11
	₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	₹ Rs. a. ₰	
	6	1730 " "		1730 " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	The Demand for Fusly 1250 ... 3159 1 "
	2	503 4 "		479 " "		24 4 "	" " "	" " "	417 7 6	" " "	Ditto ... for Ditto ... 1251 ... 3203 11 "
	"	277 2 "		232 " 4		45 1 8	20 " "	" " "	196 1 2	" " "	Increase ... 44 10 "
	8	153 15 "		58 3 2		95 11 10	" " "	20 14 "	" " "	55 15 8	
	"	11 14 "		4 15 1		6 14 11	" " "	1 12 "	1 7 10	" " "	For the above Increase Vide Para 16 of the accompanying Report
	"	527 8 "		442 8 "		85 " "	6 12 "	" " "	4 15 1	" " "	
	4	3203 11 "		2946 10 7		257 " 5	40 8 "	" " "	58 4 "	" " "	The Collections for Fusly 1250 ... 2324 6 8
							67 4 "	22 10 "	678 3 7	55 15 8	Ditto ... for Ditto ... 1251 ... 2946 10 7
	"	" " "		6 8 "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	320 5 3	Increase 622 3 11
	"	" " "		188 1 3		" " "	" " "	" " "	188 1 3	" " "	
	"	" " "		194 9 3		" " "	" " "	" " "	188 1 3	320 5 3	For this Increase Vide Para 16 of the accompanying Report.
	4	3203 11 "		3141 3 10		257 " 5	67 4 "	22 10 "	866 4 10	376 4 11	
	"	" " "		" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	4	3203 11 "		3141 3 10		257 " 5	67 4 "	22 10 "	866 4 10	376 4 11	
C/3											
in	The Actual Increase and Decrease in the Settlement and Collections exclusive of the Collections of Arrears						67 4 "	22 10 "	678 3 7	55 15 8	
St.	Deduct the Decrease in the Settlement							22 10 "			
R.	Net Increase as per Statement A							44 10 "			
	Deduct the Decrease in the Collections								55 15 8		
B.	Net Increase as per Statement A								622 3 11		

Ganjam, 8th December 1842.

E. E. Jr. / (Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

Statement

1734g

Fort St George 22d December 1842.

No. 6.

Statement shewing in detail the Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Revenue on account of Stamp Paper sold in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250 and 1251.

Collections

Years	Gross Collections of Fusly 1250 and 1251.	Charges of Fusly 1250 and 1251.	Net Revenue Fusly 1250 and 1251.
1	2	3	4
	Rupees as. p.	Rupees as. p.	Rupees as. p.
Fusly 1250	8794 14 6	2135 1 8	6659 12 10
1251	1912 0 2		
	10706 14 8		

Deduct Refunds as follows.

Refunds on Stamps	1500 4 0	1211 8 0	
Additional Fee Stamps	2016 12 0	2136 8 0	
Stamps Exchanged	80 10	354 7 0	
Gross Collection Remainder	7109 13 8	8702 7 0	

Increase 233 0 10

" " 22 1 6

" " 14 8 0

Additional Fee Stamps on the above } 3 6 10

Europe Papers for Deeds as per Margin }

Ganjam 8th December 1842. Signed C. H. Manners

Collector.

Statement

Shewing in detail the Demand,

Fusly 1250.

Books	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Collections to 11th July 1841	Balance Fusly 1. on the July 18
	1	2	3
	Rupees as. p.	Rupees as. p.	Rupees as. p.
	1149 8 0	902 2 3	247 6
	2171 6 8	1759 6 4	412 6
	2395 12 2	2309 2 6	86 0
	74 12 0	55 0 0	19
	2854 7 8	1573 11 4	1280 8
	149 0 0	59 11 3	89 0
Total	8794 14 6	6659 1 8	2135 1 8
	0 0 0	14 8 9	0
	0 0 0	43 4 5	0
	" " "	" " "	"
Total	0 0 0	57 13 2	0
Total	8794 14 6	6716 14 10	2135
Charges None	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0
Revenue	8794 14 6	6716 14 10	2135

Outstanding at the commencement of Fusly 1251 as follows. No 13

	105 6 0
	732 4 10
	2578 0 5
	2276 9 3
	2135 12 10
	7828 1 4
Collected in A — 223-12-8	
Ditto B — 3692-4-6	
Total of Ditto	3916 1 2
Add in do 24 of do	1912 0 2

rent.

1842

Fort St. George

22d December 1842.

collection, and Balance, of Moturpha Revenue in the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1250 and 1251.

Fusly 1251.

Year of 1250 12th 41.	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Collections to 11th July 1842.	Balance of Fusly 1251 on the 11th July 1842	Comparison of the Settlements of Fuslies 1250 and 1251		Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1250 & 1251.		Remarks
				Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1842	Rupees a/ ✠	Rupees a/ ✠	Rupees a/ ✠	Rupees a/ ✠	Rupees a/ ✠	Rupees a/ ✠	Rupees a/ ✠	
5 9	1,075 15 4	1,017 3 4	58 12 "	" " "	73 8 8	115 1 1	" " "	Demand for Fusly 1250 ..... 8794 14 6
4 4	1,981 11 3	1,690 2 10	291 8 5	" " "	189 11 5	" " "	69 3 6	Ditto for Ditto 1251 ..... 8131 11 6
9 8	2,225 7 11	1,889 15 4	335 8 7	" " "	170 4 3	" " "	419 3 2	Decrease ..... 663 3 "
12 "	73 12 "	47 8 "	26 4 "	" " "	1 " "	" " "	7 8 "	For the above Decrease Vide Para 18 of the accompanying Report
12 4	2,619 5 "	1,548 9 3	1,070 11 9	" " "	235 2 8	" " "	25 2 1	Collections for Fusly 1250 ..... 1,659 1 8
4 9	155 8 "	32 9 3	122 14 9	6 8 "	" " "	" " "	27 3 "	Ditto for Ditto 1251 ..... 6226 " "
2 10	8131 11 6	6226 " "	1905 11 6	6 8 "	669 11 "	115 1 1	548 2 9	Decrease 433 1 8
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14 8 9	For this Decrease Vide Para 18 of the accompanying Report
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	43 4 5	
" "	" " 4	223 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	223 12 8	" 4 "	
" "	" " "	223 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	223 12 8	57 13 2	
12 10	8131 11 6	6449 12 8	1905 11 6	6 8 "	669 11 "	338 13 9	605 15 11	
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
12 10	8131 11 6	6449 12 8	1905 11 6	6 8 "	669 11 "	338 13 9	605 15 11	
						115 1 1	548 2 9	
							115 1 1	
							433 1 8	

The actual Increase and Decrease in the Settlement and Collections exclusive of the Collections of arrears	6 8 "	669 11 "
Deduct the Increase in the Settlement		6 8 "
Net Decrease as per Statement A		663 3 "
Deduct the Increase in the Collections		
Net Decrease as per Statement A		

Ganjam 8th December 1842.

E. E. ✠ (Signed) R. A. Bannerman

Collector.

Statement.

17351

Fort St. George 22d Decem

No 8 Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured compared with the Quantity of Salt Sold at each of the Manufactories in that Ma

Fasly 1250.

No.	Names of the Cotaurs or places of Manufacture.	Quantity of Salt remaining at the beginning of the Fasly.	Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Total	Quantity of Salt Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Remarks.
		1	2	3	4	5	15	
		Mfgs Mds ℔ a	Mfgs Mds ℔ a	Mfgs Mds ℔ a	Mfgs Mds ℔ a	6 Rupees	6 Rupees	
1	Ganjam	605 212 4 4	372 239 " "	978 51 4 4	435 130 4 4	105	4570	
2	Munsoorcottah	138 325 5 "	95 150 " "	234 75 5 "	147 209 6 "	105	1549	
3	Itchapore	91 310 2 4	" " " "	91 310 2 4	31 340 4 4	105	544	
4	Nowpadah	1466 118 3 5	1247 80 " "	2703 198 3 5	1170 117 3 6	105	12285	
5	Wommervellee	1050 246 3 "	706 146 " "	1756 392 3 "	462 145 " "	105	4852	
	Total	3343 13 2 5	2421 215 " "	5764 228 2 5	2267 149 2 6	"	23807	

Ganjam Pan

No.	Remarks if any	Quantity of Salt			
		2	3		
			Mfgs	Mds ℔ a	a℔ a
1		538	345	320 2 "	5 7
2		57	221	165 " "	12 9
	Increase and Decrease	1	3	137 4 "	10 3
	to head of the Manu=	"	"	" " "	9 9
	of Salt Vide	"	"	" " "	13 6
	to the Statement	"	"	" " "	" "
	as annexed after "	"	"	" " "	" "
	of the accompanying	"	"	" " "	" "
		"	"	" " "	" "
		1	"	40 " "	" "
		597	570	262 6 "	3 10

Ganjam
Collector.

Statement

17

Fort St. George

Acct of the Cotaurs in the Ganjam Distract

22d December 1842.

together with the different places of destination to which

No. of	2 Munsoorcottah Pans. Value	Number of Romanahs effaced	Quantity of Salt	Value	Number of Romanahs effaced	3 Itchapore Pans Quantity of Salt	Value	Number of Romanahs effaced	4 Nowpadah Pans Quantity of Salt	Value
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Rs. a p		Mds	Mds p a	Rs a p	Mds p a	Rs a p		Mds	Mds p a Rs a p
1	3,309 1 1	57	18	200 " "	1,942 8 "	165 34	323 6 "	3,654 15 10	50 24	12 6 " 2,523 5 7
2	23,248 5 "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	48 6 "	432 12 9
3	351 1 6	11 67		353 6 "	7,127 13 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	192 1219	62 " 12,799 6 10 3
4	" " "	" "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	121 123	93 6 " 12,939 9 9
5	" " "	" "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	77 34	357 4 " 3,663 13 6
	" " "	" "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " " " " "
	" " "	" "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " " " " "
	10 8 "	" "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " " " " "
	59,918 15 7	68 86		153 6 "	9,070 5 9	165 34	323 6 "	3654 15 10	449 1405	119 " " 14,755 3 10

17353

Fort St. George

22d December 1842.

Rowanahs for conveying the same have been issued from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 or for Fusly 1251.

	5. Wommeryilly Cans												Total											
Number of Rowanahs issue	Quantity of Salt			Value									Number of Rowanahs issued	Quantity of Salt			Value							
14	15			16									17	18			19							
	Mds	Mds	℔	℔	Rupees	at	℔							Mds	Mds	℔	℔	Rupees	at	℔				
0	"	0	"	"	"	"	"						816	423	36	6	0	44429	14	6				
3	1	381	2	0	205	1	3						63	227	195	"	"	2388	6	3				
3	27	130	"	"	2869	2	0						207	1317	227	4	0	138344	11	6				
190	256	157	4	0	26921	5	6						311	379	251	2	0	39860	15	3				
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"						77	34	337	4	0	3663	13	6				
391	113	202	4	0	11918	2	6						391	113	202	4	0	11918	2	6				
3	2	130	"	"	244	2	0						3	2	130	"	"	244	2	0				
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"						1	"	40	"	"	10	8	0				
590	401	201	2	0	42157	13	3						1869	2498	260	4	0	262358	6	3				

Ganjam, 8th December 1842.

Remarks.

20.

From Ganjam to Kautghur via Loorshottapore—From Munsoorcottah to Daracote viâ Aska Via Moherry and Pittalah—From Itchapore to Daracote viâ Chegutty, Vizianagrum and Seerghur, and From Nowpadah to Pittalah Via Ragoonadpore, Palasah, Cottapully, Itchapore Berhampore & Conchoor—From Ganjam to Dasoopoollah via Kautghur, and Boogoodah From Nowpadah to Dasoopoollah via Bauroovah, Itchapoor Berhampore, Conchoor Pittalah, Aska and Belloogoontah—From Womaravilly to Dasoopollah Via Bhyree, Nursunnapettah, Bommally, Ragoonadpore, Bauroovah, Itchapore, Berhampore Conchoor, Pittalah, Aske and Belloogoontah—From Ganjam to Rayyagadah Via Berhampore, Itchapore, Hurrepore, Cotabommally, Paulacondah and Paurvateepore—From Munsoorcottah to Rayyagadah Via Muntredy Itchapore, Hurrepore, Cottabommally, Palacondah and Paurvateepore—From Nowpadah to Rayyagadah, Via Cottabommally, Paulacondah and Paurvateepore and From Womaravilly to Rayyagadah Via Bhyree and Paulacondah—

From Nowpadah to Goonoopore Via Cottapully, Boodetah, Paulacondah, Veeragottum and Paurvateepore and From Womaravilly to Nagoor Via Bhyree Mundathee, Lutchmeenursoopettah Paulacondah and Goodevadah—From Nowpadah to Goonoopor via Cottapullee Boodetah, Paulacondah, Veeragottum & Paurvateepore—From Womaravilly to Cauyagoodem Via Chicacole, Moolagavulsah, Purlakemedy & Vauranasee—From Womaravilly to Rayyagadah via Chicacole, Moolagavulsah, Purlakennedy, Vauranasee and Cauyagoodem—

From Ganjam Salt Cans to Ganjam—

E. E. for

Signed H. A. Bannerman
Collector.

17354

21-22

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

Order thereon— Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r & Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George in Ganjam
From the Coll.r of Ganjam to the Board of Revenue dated 31st Jany. 1835
" 2d Feby. "

Para. 1. Referring to the letters noted in the Margin, and to the annexed Extract from a letter from the Secretary with the Right Honorable the Governor dated the 10th March 1835, on the subject of the allowance paid by the Zemindar of Pedda Kennedy through the Collector's Office to Jogee Deo the eldest Son of the late Pursaram Deo, I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue, that I have received a representation from the Zemmindar, in respect to which I shall be glad to receive their instructions—

23

2. A list of the several Members of the Family of the late Pursaram Deo, for whose support the allowance was intended was inserted in Mr Stevenson's letter dated the 2d February 1835, of these, one of the Sons and the Mother of the late Pursaram

17355

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

Pursaram Deo, are since dead, as well as several of the other connections of the Family who are dependent on the Pension, and from consideration of the diminished expence to be borne by Jogee Deo, the Zemindar requests that the amount of the Pension may be proportionally reduced. Adverting to the considerations which appear to have conduced to the grant of so very liberal an allowance to Jogee Deo, I think that a moderate reduction might with propriety be made from the present amount of the Stipend, and would suggest that 50 Rupees be accordingly deducted, leaving an allowance of 250 Rupees to be received by Jogee Deo, which I consider to be fully sufficient for the comfortable maintenance of himself and the Members of the Family dependent on him.—

Office of the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George, Ganjam 9th December 1842.

Signed R. A. Bannerman
Coll.r and Agent to the Governor

Extract from a letter from the Secretary to the with

17358 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Udalut and Provincial Court directing me to stop the Registry until further orders, and with reference to the circumstances of the Case, I have this day registered the Estate of Mulka= =pully in the sole name of Codoory Surramah, which, I trust, will be approved of by the Board. —

2. It is to be regretted that the Court of Sudr Udalut should have refused to take into consideration my represent= =tion in this case, as though the Mulka= =pillay Estate is a small one, in the case of a large Estate, the consequences of a person not possessing a real legal right or having an interest in it getting temporary posses= =sion might be most serious, as his first natural aim would be to realize as much of the revenue as possible for his own advan= =tage, the result of which would be the non realization of the Government revenue, and the serious injury if not ruin of the original Proprietor. —

Pillah Rajahmundry ... }
Collectors Office, ... } Signed G. A. Smith
Cocanada 17th December 1842 } Collector

17359 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

No. 1

To

G. A. Smith Esqre.

Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir

With reference to my letter of the 9th August last, I have the honor to request you will be pleased to stay execution of the Rajamamah in Suit No. 676 of 1841 this Court's file, pending the orders of the Provincial Court Copies of the proceedings of the Provincial Court and Sudr Udalut are herewith sent. —

Rajahmundry ... }
Pillah Court, ... } Signed J. A. Anstruther
12th December 1842 } Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provin= =cial Court of Appeal for the Northern = Division. —

Dated 30th November 1842

Present.

J. Horsley Esqre.
Acting 1st Judge

C. B. Glass Esqre.
Acting 2d Judge

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 21st Instant (No. 224) held upon the Petition of Codoory Surramah, calling for certain Explanation Vs. in her case from the Judge of Rajahmundry and directing the

17360 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

the suspension of this Court's orders of the 1st. August last upon Petition No. 159 of 1842 No. 564.—

2 Ordered that a Copy of the above Proceedings be sent to the Judge of Rajahmundry with directions to give effect to the orders therein contained.—

3 Ordered that an Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the above officer by Precept returnable within 3 weeks after its receipt.—

/True Extract/
/Signed/ C. B. Glass
Actg 2d. Judge for Register

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 21st. November 1842

Read Miscellaneous Petition presented on the 15th. October 1842 by Vakeel on behalf of Codoory Survanmah of Zillah Rajahmundry.

1 Petitioner sets forth that she has the sole proprietary right in the Village of Mulcapilly and that in order to deprive her of the same a person named Caumoory Pantiah with his son in law Goondoo Vencatarayadoo presented a Petition

17361 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

No. 1572 of 1841 to Mr. Glass the then Judge of Masulipatam laying claim to (thro the means of a false Kararnamah) and praying to be placed in possession of the aforesaid Village.

2 When Mr. Glass was promoted into the Provincial Court Petitioner proceeded to Rajahmundry and through ignorance & timidity was persuaded to make over to her abovementioned Enemies the Permanent Putta and other Documents appertaining to the Village of Mulcapilly.—

Mr. Anstruther who succeeded

3 Mr. Glass rejected the Petition of Caumoory Pantiah and directed that a regular Suit should be instituted; accordingly Suit 676 of 1841 was filed against Petitioner who, however from being unacquainted with Judicial forms and Proceedings neglected to file her pleadings & circumstance of which her Enemies took advantage and presented in her name a false Vacalutnamah and shortly afterwards a Rajeenamah vesting the Plaintiffs with a right to 3/4 ths of the Village and Petitioner with the remaining 1/4 th. The Zillah Court issued

17362 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

issued a Precept to the Collector to execute the said Rajeinamah.—

4 The Collector doubted the Rajeinamah and referred his doubts to the Zillah Judge who having more closely examined it and the attesting Witnesses became Convinced of its being a forgery. Consequently the Zillah Judge determined to proceed in the trial and the Raintiffs petitioned the Provincial Court to confirm the Rajeinamah, and they (the Provincial Court) by a precept signed 1st August directed the Zillah Judge to execute the Rajeinamah.—

5. Petitioner after proving at Considerable length that the disputed Village was purchased by her husband and at his death inherited by her, prays the Court of Sudr Udalut to issue an order to the lower Court to set aside the Rajeinamah and proceed with the suit: and at the same time to instruct the Provincial Court to stay execution of their Precept until final orders have been passed on this Petition.—

6. Before passing final Judgment in this case, the Court of Sudr Udalut

determine

17363 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

determine to call upon the Zillah Judge of Rajahmundry through the Provincial Court to state in detail the grounds and evidence upon which he came to the conclusion that it would be improper to hold good the Rajeinamah filed in the Suit. The Petitioner is a female and the Rajeinamah appears to have been filed on both sides through public pleaders. If the Rajeinamah were not the result of any fraud and the Petitioner being Competent assented to it, it has the force of a Decree, and cannot now be disturbed, nor can the Provincial Courts order also be disturbed, but the order of the Zillah Judge declares the female incompetent to the Management of her affairs.—In her Petition to this Court, the female Petitioner asserts that the proceeding before the Zillah Court affecting her interests was the result of fraud and forgery, that the Vacalutnamah on which the Rajeinamah was filed was never executed by her &c. In a case of the nature exhibited in the papers before the Court it may be regretted that the Rajeinamah was filed, in the

way

way it was without the female being present and without the Zillah Court having first acquired a personal knowledge of the vacalutnamah being genuine, as disputes were almost sure to arise out of the proceeding. The Zillah Judge concludes that the "Plaintiffs claim will not be prejudiced as far "as it is just and proper by presenting his suit "as before": as the matter now stands, it is very desirable that he should adopt that measure, but before passing Judgment, the Sudr Udalut require information as above. In the interim the order of the Provincial Court to execute the Rajeenamah should be suspended.—

Ordered that Extract of above proceedings be forwarded to the Provincial Court in the Northern

Division by them to be furnished to the Zillah Judge.—

Ordered further that Copy of above be granted to Petitioner on application.—

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. H. Chatfield

Acting Senior

Deputy Register

Resolution thereon The Collector was instructed

(26-27) to include the name of Cannoomooty Pantiah in the Register of Mokhapillay only in pursuance of the decision of the Civil Courts, and his removal of that registration under the injunctions of the Superior Court was proper. He will be pleased to inform the Board of the result of the Sudr Udaluts' deliberations.—

Read the following Statements.—

Kistbundy

17366 || (29) Fort St. George 22d

Kistbundy Statement of the Permanent Assessm of ec

Number of Zemindaries or Estates on the Coast	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	Summad on each Zemindary or Estate for Fusly 1251	First kist due on the 15th October 1841
				Chs a p	Chs a p
1	Peddapore Zemindary	101	Sree Rajah Vulchavoy Saarea Narayana Jagga Terauze Zemindar	169135 " "	10588 8 "
2	Cottam Estate	36	D° D°	27183 8 "	1697 8 "
3	Pittapore Zemindary	174	Rajah Rao Sooreyarao Zemindar	238979 " "	16187 8 "
4	Cowoor Estate	15		27154 11 3	1715 " "
5	Gollaprolo D°	13		26026 " "	1627 8 "
6	Attely D°	10		22169 3 1	1384 8 "
7	Mooncodamaly D°	3		2105 2 "	131 8 "
8	Bheemanapully D°	3		5392 8 "	337 " "
9	Podooroo D°	10		14994 " "	938 " "
10	Panoogondah D°	12		22159 3 3	1385 " "

Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	Summad on each Zemindary or Estate for Fusly 1251	First kist due on the 15th October 1841	
	Chs a p	Chs a p	
Caucurlapoody Juggunna and Cundemullah ed tiah Joca Joint co dars	62,298 4 "	3893 12 "	
Caucurlapoody Ra udraraze Zemindar	62,298 4 "	3893 12 "	
Lully Chena Brenma ed Caukurlapoody Chen Joint Proprietors	962 8 "	59 8 "	
urlapoody Chendriah rietor	962 8 "	59 8 "	
Camad Mundanje Abdool Zemindar	1445 8 "	91 " "	
ah Saudah ally D°	1946 " "	122 8 "	
na Brenmajee Sestraw Ramaraw Joint Zemindars	12,632 3 "	789 " "	
Cravoo Woomahaty eis D°	8750 " "	546 " "	
	287 " "	17 8 "	
ah Ramaze Bhano Zemindar	1790 14 3	111 " "	
	218 6 8	13 8 "	
	2276 4 11	147 " "	

ears of
n Governm
el 1842.

ar expired
Estate is
pending
Revenue

the

1736

Ke

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

Kistbundy

Number of Persons Names on the Lists	Second Kist due on the 15th November 1841	Third Kist due on the 15th December 1841	Fourth kist due on the 15th January 1842	Fifth kist due on the 15th February 1842	Sixth kist due on the 15th March 1842	Seventh kist due on the 15th April 1842	Eighth kist due on the 15th May 1842	Ninth Kist due on the 15th June 1842	Remarks
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
1	3893 12 "	7787 8 "	7787 8 "	11681 4 "	11681 4 "	7787 8 "	3893 12 "	3892 " "	Under attachment for arrears of Revenue
2	3893 12 "	7787 8 "	7787 8 "	11681 4 "	11681 4 "	7787 8 "	3893 12 "	3892 " "	Under the management of the Collector Do.
3	59 8 "	119 " "	119 " "	178 8 "	178 8 "	119 " "	59 8 "	70 " "	
4	59 8 "	119 " "	119 " "	178 8 "	178 8 "	119 " "	59 8 "	70 " "	
5									
6	91 " "	182 " "	182 " "	273 " "	273 " "	182 " "	91 " "	80 8 "	
7	122 8 "	245 " "	245 " "	367 8 "	367 8 "	245 " "	122 8 "	108 8 "	
8	789 " "	1579 " "	1579 " "	2368 " "	2368 " "	1579 " "	789 " "	792 3 "	
9									
10	546 " "	1092 " "	1092 " "	1638 " "	1638 " "	1092 " "	546 " "	560 " "	
	17 8 "	35 " "	35 " "	52 8 "	52 8 "	35 " "	17 8 "	24 8 "	
	224 " "	224 " "	336 " "	224 " "	224 " "	224 " "	112 " "	111 14 3	
	27 " "	27 " "	40 8 "	27 " "	27 " "	27 " "	13 8 "	15 14 8	
	268 " "	286 " "	411 8 "	403 8 "	403 8 "	280 " "	1138 " "	1152 11	

17368

Fort St. George

22 December

Kistbun

Number of Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Proprietors	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	Summa on each Zemindary or Estate for Fasly 1251	First kist due on the 15th October 1841	Second kist due on the 15th November 1841	Third kist on the Decem	Balance to be collected by First kist due on the 15th October 1841
				C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs	a p C Rs a p
22	Pamangepully Estate	1	Subreniss Venkiah Sojeah Nagavah and Gopalrao Zemindar	854 " "	87 8 "	52 8 "	105	8 " 2075 8 "
23	Koondaswarapooram Dt.	1	Gaursojee Venkatramanah	637 " "	38 8 "	38 8 "	77	" " 203 " "
24	Bantoomilly Dt.	1	——— Do. ———	200 " "	12 8 "	15 " "	25	8 " 126 " "
25	Mookamalah agraharam	1	Doovoory Venkoosomedavar: mah Zemindar	133 " "	14 " "	14 " "	14	" " 2104 8 "
26	Rauley Estate	18	Cocherlacotah Lutchmedas: mah Proprietor	43767 8 "	2737 " "	2,737 " "	5474	26 1377 " "
27	Bommoroo Dt.	1	———	726 6 "	57 8 "	57 8 "	115	" " 763 " "
28	Munchely Dt.	1	Cawloory Paedasaraugo Dt.	3,672 11 11	731 " "	158 8 "	458	" " 1575 " "
29	Gopallapooram Dt.	11	Candemullah Croodaiah Ramiah Rungiah and Seetaramiah Joint Dt.	17,388 8 "	1711 " "	1711 " "	2,622	" 253 " "
30	Rajahmundry Estate	1	Rajah Chentapullee	5774 5 "	360 8 "	360 8 "	771	" 2460 8 "
31	Davelaswaram Dt.	1	Veneatrau Proprietor	1765 7 "	110 " "	110 " "	228	" 34 " "
32	Nadampully Dt.	5	Agnegoondala Suttanah	3190 " "	200 8 "	200 8 "	401	" 115 8 "
33	Gottandaroy Dt.	1	——— Do. ———	776 12 "	49 " "	49 " "	98	" 176 " "

ent for arrears of

——— Do. ———

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842

Number of Entries or Lots		Second Instalment due on the 15th November 1841	Third Instalment due on the 15th December 1841	Fourth Instalment due on the 15th January 1842	Fifth Instalment due on the 15th February 1842	Sixth Instalment due on the 15th March 1842	Seventh Instalment due on the 15th April 1842	Eighth Instalment due on the 15th May 1842	Ninth Instalment due on the 15th June 1842	Remarks
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
22		2075 8 0	4,151 0 0	4,151 0 0	6,226 8 0	6,226 8 0	4,151 0 0	2075 8 0	2079 0 0	
23		203 0 0	406 0 0	406 0 0	609 0 0	609 0 0	406 0 0	203 0 0	203 0 0	
24		126 0 0	252 0 0	252 0 0	378 0 0	378 0 0	252 0 0	126 0 0	108 8 0	
25		2,404 8 0	4,809 0 0	4,809 0 0	7,213 8 0	7,213 8 0	4,809 0 0	2,404 8 0	2,426 8 0	
26		1377 0 0	2,754 0 0	2,754 0 0	4,131 0 0	4,131 0 0	2,754 0 0	1377 0 0	1351 8 6	Under attachment for arrears of Revenue
27		763 0 0	1,526 0 0	1,526 0 0	2,289 0 0	2,289 0 0	1,526 0 0	763 0 0	798 0 0	
28		1505 0 0	3,010 0 0	3,010 0 0	4,515 0 0	4,515 0 0	3,010 0 0	1505 0 0	1498 0 0	Ditto . . Ditto
29		253 0 0	506 0 0	506 0 0	759 0 0	759 0 0	506 0 0	253 0 0	262 0 0	
30		2460 8 0	4921 0 0	4921 0 0	7.381 8 0	7381 8 0	4,921 0 0	2460 8 0	2450 0 0	
31		34 0 0	68 0 0	68 0 0	102 0 0	102 0 0	68 0 0	34 0 0	35 8 0	
32		115 8 0	231 0 0	346 8 0	346 8 0	231 0 0	115 8 0	115 8 0	233 2 0	
33		176 0 0	352 0 0	352 0 0	528 0 0	528 0 0	352 0 0	176 0 0	174 0 0	

1737o

Fort St. Georg

22 December

Kistnah

Number of Zemindaries on Estates	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages	Names of the Zemindars or and Proprietors.	Summa on each Zemindary or Estate for Fasly 1251	First kist due on the 15th October 1841	Second kist due on the 15th November 1841	Third kist due on the 1 December 184	Summa on each Zemindary or Estate for Fasly 1251	First kist due on the 15 October 184
				Rs a p	Rs a	Rs a p	Rs a	Rs a p	Rs a
46	Pilavaram Estate	7	Cocherlacotah Rama:	6,727 13 "	421 " "	421 " "	842 "	3,989 3 "	249 8
47	Puttevem Do	9	chendra Venkatacroostna	5,249 3 "	328 4 "	328 4 "	656 8		
			raw Proprietor						
45	Pullacondaher Boochempettah	1	Crootewenty Veerabut:	738 10 "	46 " "	46 " "	92 "	4,877 3 "	305 " "
49	Schoorollaludor Hoocampettah	1	chmedavamah Proprietor	1401 15 "	87 8 "	87 8 "	175 "	499 10 "	31 4 "
50	Bheemaloo Estate	3	Atru Rajah Sobunadry Ajjaraw Bahadaur Do	3031 " "	189 " "	189 " "	378 "	21,968 12 9	1,373 " "
51	Moogoollah Do	1	Chillapully Ramakist: namah & Hanoomanto Do	2018 5 "	126 8 "	126 8 "	253 "	2,402 15 11	150 " "
52	Vungalapoody Do	3	Vaudravoo Croostniah	3817 10 "	238 " "	238 " "	476 "	3800 4 "	238 " "
53	Chagulloo Do	1	Do	3710 12 10	231 8 "	463 " "	463 "	913 " "	57 " "
								9463 9 6	591 8 "
54	Mullavaram Do	1	Dowmara Soorenmah	948 " "	59 " "	59 " "	118 "	5083 " "	318 " "
55	Palavalaud or Gopyanapully	2	Do Do	1471 8 "	92 " "	92 " "	184 "	3895 8 "	245 " "
56	Palevalah Do	8	Rajah Raw Bhavah	19807 " "	1739 " "	1239 " "	2478	of the Reddapoor Zemmindary	
57	Veeravaram	11	Do	26876 8 "	1680 " "	1680 " "	3360	by Rajah Rao in her name under date 27th Nov. 1841	3645 8 "	220 8

Fort St. George 2nd December 1842

Histbundy

Number of Farm	Second kist due on the 15th November 1841	Third kist due on the 15th December 1841	Fourth kist due on the 15th January 1842	Fifth kist due on the 15th February 1842	Sixth kist due on the 15th March 1842	Seventh kist due on the 15th April 1842	Eighth kist due on the 15th May 1842	Ninth kist due on the 15th June 1842	Remarks
	℔ ℞ a ℔	℔ ℞ a ℔	℔ ℞ a ℔	℔ ℞ a ℔	℔ ℞ a ℔	℔ ℞ a	℔ ℞ a ℔	℔ ℞ a ℔	
46									
47	249 8 4	499 " 4	499 " 4	748 8 4	748 8 4	499 " "	249 8 4	246 11 4	The original Proprietor of this Estate Cocherlas Cotah Ramaraw having died his son Seetaramachendraraw succeeded to the Estate which was accordingly registered in his name as directed by the Board of Revenue under date 18th ult. April 1842.
48	305 " "	610 " 4	610 " 4	915 " 4	915 " 4	610 " "	305 " "	302 3 4	
49	31 4 4	62 8 4	62 8 4	93 12 4	93 12 4	62 8 4	31 4 4	30 14 4	
	2,746 " "	2,746 " 4	4,119 " "	2,746 " "	2,746 " "	2,746 " "	1,373 " 4	1,373 12 9	Under attachment for arrears of Revenue.
50									
	300 " 4	300 " 4	300 " 4	450 " 4	450 " 4	150 " "	150 " 4	152 15 11	
51	238 " 4	476 " 4	714 " 4	714 " 4	476 " 4	476 " "	238 " 4	230 4 4	Ditto.
52	57 " 4	114 " 4	114 " 4	171 " 4	171 " 4	114 " "	57 " 4	58 " 4	
53									
	591 8 4	1183 " 4	1183 " 4	1774 8 4	1774 8 4	1183 " "	591 8 4	590 15 6	
54									
55	636 " 4	636 " 4	636 " 4	636 " 4	636 " 4	318 " "	318 " 4	949 " 4	
	245 " 4	490 " 4	490 " 4	735 " 4	735 " 4	490 " "	245 " 4	220 8 4	
56	204 " 4	408 " 4	408 " 4	612 " 4	612 " 4	408 " "	204 " 4	206 8 4	
57									
	220 8 4	441 " 4	441 " 4	661 8 4	661 8 4	441 " "	220 8 4	238 " 4	

1872

Fort St. George 22d December

Kistbund

Number of Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of the Villages	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	Jamma on each Zemindary or Estate for Fasly 1251	First kist due on the 15th October 1841	Second kist due on the 15th November 1841	3d kist on the Dec	
				£ K° a p	£ K° a p	£ K° a p	£	
70	Malacapully Estate	1	Codoony Saramah Proprietor	2,282 " "	143 8 "	143 8 "	2	the original Proprietor
71	Tolairoo D°	1	Summeta Lutchmenarsinhanaidoo D°	3223 8 "	199 8 "	199 8 "	3	ted Son Lutchmenur= reformed to the Estate
72	Canzal D°	1	Streepaty Pracaram D°	2,362 8 "	147 " "	147 " "	2	bond dated 24th February 1842
73	Neelapully D°	1	Moolavesala Iggya: nadum D°	481 4 "	30 " "	30 " "	2	
74	Conetevanda D°	1	Saudoory Steeramma Narsimharaw D°	2,058 " "	129 8 "	129 8 "	2	
75	Cautavarum D°	1	Rajah Sinhadry Appa: raw D°	2,135 " "	133 " "	133 " "	2	
76	Pachalumkal D°	3	Enoogunty Pedda Chinna: naw Pochina chinnaraw D°	1,811 " "	112 " "	112 " "	2	
77	Panapullah	1	Cancunlapoody Soareya: noyana Iggunnada Soo: barauze Miniar D°	1829 " "	114 " "	114 " "	2	ment of the Collector
78	Kirlumpady D°	14	Cotagery Boochiah D°	23366 8 "	1456 " "	1456 " "	2	remindary of Pettapoor=
79	Kundavelly D°	5	Rao Bhamiah D°	13,212 7 1	825 3 "	1650 6 "	16	for arrears of Revenue
80	Shavelly D°	5	Rajah Dantoloony Venkatacroostamaraze D°	12,373 8 "	772 8 "	772 8 5	12	
81	Cauvacoonoo D°	6	Booche Croosta: maraze D°	11,873 " "	741 8 "	741 8 "	1	
82	Cranpak D°	4	Cunah Appanah and Mutoocoony Appalaraze Joint Proprietor	6,509 " "	407 " "	407 " "		
			Total	127,421 12 "	7,963 3 "	8383 9 6 "	157	

Office Cacc

Ordered

1343

28-29

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

Order thereon

Ordered & be recorded

Read the following Statement

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent of 1841/42 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to the 8th December 1842.

30

1	2	3	4	5
Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Remarks
Bm M	Bm M	Bm M	Bm M	
Bandaga 4,00,00 "	3,96,240 "	3,760 "	Salt laid out & Pondred receiving a fair & favorable wind & weather and the same will be exported as soon as possible	

Nellore
Prin.l Collectors Cutcherry
10th December 1842

E. E. per /Signed/ T. V. Monkhouse
Asst. Collr.

Zillah Revenue Collectors Office
Cuddapah 14th December 1842

/Signed/ T. V. Monkhouse
Asst. Collr.

Statement

1344

1734

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent of 1841/42 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 8th December 1842.

31

No	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt Manufactured and remaining under Estimated Heaps	Remarks
		° '	Bm M	Bm M	Bm M	Bm M		
1	Eskapally	14 44	90,000	89,763	237	237	"	
2	Gogoolapully	14 39	40,000	15,104	24,896	24,896	"	
3	Vareny	14 37	20,000	16,513	3,457	3,457	"	
			1,50,000	1,21,410	28,590	28,590	"	

Fort St. George 22d December 1812.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cuddore from 12th to 18th December 1812.

(32)

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta 1812	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1812	Quantity actually in store at the Depot ready for exportation	Remarks
	Bs Mds.	Bs Mds.	Bs Mds.	Bs Mds.	
Madras	30,000	20,707½	9,292½	9,292½	No application was received during this week.

The Dauntless has not yet reached the Port of Cuddore

Madras 19th December 1812. } E. E. per / Signed / A. Robertson Collector

Order on the 3 foregoing Statements (30-33) } Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read

Fort St. George 22d December 1812.

Read the following letter

From R. J. Porter Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collr of Masulipatam } In forwarding the accompanying Statement of Contingent charges incurred in fusly 1251. I have the honor to explain with reference to the Boards' remark on item No. 10 contained in the Extract from the Statement of Contingent Charges for the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1251. that the sum of Rupees 2 6 10 on account of Batta paid to the Sweeper employed by the Head Assistant Collector, was in lieu of pay which was not charged for the period the batta was drawn & I request that the Sanction of the Board may be obtained for the disbursement.

(34)

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 17th November 1812. }

/ Signed / R. J. Porter Collector.

Statement

17378 Fort St. George 22d December 1842

Resolution thereon Under the explanation con-
tained in the foregoing letter the Board sanction
(34-35) the charge of Rupees 2 6 10 on account of
Batta in lieu of pay, paid to a sweeper employed
by the Head Assistant Collector while on
Circuit -

Read the following letter -

From A Hathaway Esqre
Acting Head Asst Collector
in Charge of Guntoor -

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
(36) Board of Revenue -

From the Head Asst Coll.
in Charge of Guntoor } Sir, Fort St. George -

Submits translate of a petition from M. Sulchmiah Zemindar with Extract for a Genealogical Chart thereof that family for the favorable consideration of the Board in relation to the Minor Vencata Condulrow heir

I have the honor to lay before the Board a translate of a petition received from M. Sulchmiah, requesting that all future Enoyetnamahs relative to the Mutrauze property may be addressed to the present Vencata Condulrow as Minor under the guardianship of her and his mother Vencata Soobiah -

The ancestors of the late Zemindar M. Vencata Goondarow and the ancestor of Peda Goondarow 3 generations back were brothers Bootchial the daughter of Peda Goondarow is the Mother of Vencata Soobiah

2 Vencata Condulrow is the son of Vencata Soobiah (the grand daughter of Peda Goondarow) by her husband Vencata Narsimmarow the adopted son of M Sulchmiah -

3 The Board in their Proceedings acknowledge that the Children of

dated 14th March 1839 Para 24 -

of Venkata Soobiah are the parties apparent
next in succession, and that M. Lutchm
has full power to dispose of the Estate
subject always in perpetuity to the Gover
=ment demand, but do not think it ne
=cary to take any further notice of the
point at present.

4 M. Lutchmiah from
her advanced age is daily expecting
to be relieved from the cares of thi
life, and, as the representative of an
ancient family, is naturally very
anxious to see the young heir Venkata
Condulrow acknowledged as a Minor
With a view to remove this anxiety
and render her decline of life peacable
I am induced to submit for the
favorable consideration of the
Board and Government the prayer of
the petitioner.

5 The Genealogical tree men
-tioned in the petition of M. Lutchmiah
being very large and containing many
branches of the family, I enclose for
the information of the Board

Extract containing the most important matter-

Guntoor Zillah
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Narsarow pettah
9th December 1842.

/Signed/ A. Hathaway
Acting Head Asst.
Collector in Charge-

No 1

To A. Hathaway Esqre.
Acting Head Assistant Collector
in Charge of the Zillah of Guntoor
Sirkar Moortuyannagur and
the District of Palnaud &c.

Petition from Rajah Mul-
=rauze Lutchmiah Zemindar,
Sur Deshmook, Munnawar of
the Purganahs of Bellumcondah
and Innacondah and Rau-
=chor Palook and Cadaly
and Sydapore Sirkar Moor-
=tuyannagur and Moostafan-
=nagur in the district of
Rajahmundry and Nellore &c.

Under the power I posses under
Para 7 of the Permanent Deed granted by
the Honorable Government to my husband
in 1802, as well as under Section
VIII, Regulation XXV A.D 1802,
I have for the improvement of our race
and of our Samasthanam bequeathed
to

to Vencata Condulrow (son of my adopted
Son Vencata Narsimmarow by Vencata Soobie
the daughter of Bootchiah, who was daughter
of our Pedda Goondarow and brought up
by my husband and myself) to be enjoyed
by himself and his offspring the whole
of my Estate both moveable "and" immoveable
together with the Zemindary composing
Bellumcondah, Innacondah and Ranchoor
Talooks which I am entitled to under
the Decision of the Court of Sudr Udalut
passed in Suit No. 3 of 1824 and have
thus vested in him the entire power
attached to our Samustanum.

I now most humbly beg your
honor to submit this my petition to the
Board of Revenue with your favorable
recommendation to recognise Vencata Condul
now abovementioned, the heir of our
Samustanum, as the lawful successor
to all my Zemindary Estates and other
rights, in the same manner as I have
been recognized by Government, and
appoint me and his mother Vencata
Soobiah after my death, as his
Guardians in consequence of his

being now a minor, placing him at the
same time together with the whole Estate
under the Authority of the Court of Wards.

I have already presented petitions
to the late Collector, as also to Mr. Stokes
the present Collector containing the above
prayer. As the feebleness of my body is
daily increasing, and in consequence,
it is probable that my departure is
too nearly approaching; and as I am,
therefore very anxious to meet in this world
itself the joy arising from the circumstance
of the Board and Government having
thro their lenity acceded to my above
prayer, I hasten to submit again this petition
together with a Genealogical tree of ours
family, and humbly entreat your honor
to lay my said prayer before the Board
without any loss of time. Please to peruse

Tuesday the 5th increasing Moon of the
Month Maurgaserum year Soobacroot
corresponding with 6th December 1842.

(Seal) /Signed/ Rajah Malrauze
Lutchmiah.

/A true Translate/
/Signed/ J. Walthaway
Acting 4th Asst. Collector
in Charge.
Extract

17383

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

Extract from the Genealogical tree presented by M. Lutchmiah with her petition dated 6th December 1842

Mulrauze Vullarow

His brother — Venceta Narsima Row

Second Son Condusfa

Pannaal

Fourth Son China Mekennal

Krishna Row

Condulow

Mohunrow

Venceatrow

3d Son China Ramadow

1st Son Venceta Narsima Row

Second Son Neeladre Row

Bootchiah

Adopted by Neeladrerow — Venceta Narsima Row

Pedda Goonda Row

His portion of Zemindary joined that of Venceta Goondarow

Ramarow

Daughter Brought up and her marriage &c. performed Boolchiah by Venceta Goondarow as his own daughter — Venceta Goonda Row

Daughter Venceta Vurdiah

Second daughter Soobiah

Brought up by Venceta Goondarow and after him by his widow and married by her to her adopted Son — Venceta Narsimma Row

Second Son Venceta Narsimma Row

/True Extract/
/Signed/ A Walthaway
Ag. 4th Asst Collector
in Charge.

Presumptive heir Venceta Condulow

Previously-

17384 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Resolution thereon - Previously to passing any
(36-37) decision on the question proposed in the
foregoing letter, the Board desire to have the
advantage of Mr. Stokes's opinion upon it -

From the Pr. Collector of Nellore - Read Statement of
38 Extra tent allowance for Fusly 1251 -

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in reply and a copy of the
same be transmitted for the information
of the Civil Auditor -

(38-39) To The Principal Collector of
To the Pr. Collr. } Sir,
of Nellore }

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the
Statement of Extra tent
allowance submitted by
you for Fusly 1251, and
to convey sanction for
the Amount drawn by
yourself and subordinates for the number of
days noted in the Margin -

	No. of days
Mr. J. V. Stonhouse Pr. Collr.	38
Mr. A. S. Mathison Sub Collr.	97
Mr. A. Purvis Acting Dr.	83
Mr. A. Purvis Head Asst.	73
Mr. W. E. Cochrane Acting Dr.	94

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d. December 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary -

Read the following letters

17385 Fort St. George 22nd. December 1842

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr. of Bellary - - } Referring to the Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation under
date the 9th. August 1842, prefixed to the
40 Board's Proceedings of the 15th. of that
Month, calling for information as to if a
Moiety of the allowance enjoyed by the
late Boochapah Naid Poligar of
Nowgampally in this District would not
suffice for the maintenance of his sur-
viving Widow Naranamah and the family
I have the honor to acquaint you for
submission to Government, that it appears
from further enquiries, that there are 35
Rupees (besides the Widow) being her
attendants dependants and Relations with
their Families, who having no other
means of subsistence entirely depend
upon the above allowance for their sup-
port. Of these, 20 from 3 distinct
Families of relatives &c. living separately
by

Fort St George 22nd December 184[illegible]

by the aid of the above income. M[illegible]
several persons have been hitherto s[illegible]
=ported by the late Boochappah Na[illegible]
and after his death by the Widows an[illegible]
that up to the receipt of my order to[illegible]
had already with the late Principa[illegible]
Collector's sanction received the f[illegible]
allowance, I did not think that th[illegible]
was the proper opportunity for a[illegible]
Reduction, and I am of opinion t[illegible]
some hardship would result f[illegible]
any considerable reduction whil[illegible]
the Family consists of so many[illegible]
Persons.-

Bellary, ... }
Coll[r] Office, ... } (Signed) A Mellor
7th December 1842. } Coll[r]

Order thereon

(40-41) Ordered to be
brought forward at a future
Meeting.-

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

Read the following letters.-

To,
J. D. Bourdillon Esq[re]
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(42)

Sir

From A Mellor Esq[re] } Para. 1
Coll[r] of Bellary. - }

Acknowledging the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th ultimo regarding the culture of the Phillipine Mulberry in the different districts and applying for a supply required to try an experiment.-

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 17th ultimo, on a correspondence of the Agricultural and Horticultural Society with Government regarding the culture of the Phillipine Mulberry in the different Districts

2. In reply I beg to state, that the varied nature of the soil and climate of the Bellary District generally will no doubt offer localities adapted to the introduction of the culture of this particular description of tree, wherever there is set Soil which is understood to answer the purpose, the Mulberry might be tried, the only difficulty appears to be the distance the cuttings have to come from Madras- if the necessary precautions however are taken to save them from being dried before they reach this place, I have little doubt of their succeeding.-

3. I am not aware what the size

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

size of the Cuttings may be. Should they be such as to admit of conveyance by Banghy, I should like to be furnished with a supply as soon as possible by the mode of conveyance accompanied by instructions (if there are any laid down treating of the mode of Culture and preservation) as I propose to try the experement in the Government Plantations of the District which being enclosed furnished with wells or other sources of supply and with appointed Gardeners afford every prospect of success if the Cuttings arrive in good order any quantity would be acceptable.

(Signed) A. Mellon
Collector

Bellary
Coll[r] Office
13th December 1842.

To
J. D. Bourdillon Esq[r]
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

43

Sir

From J. Goldingham Esq[r]
Collector
Extract from
the Board's Proceedings dated
17th Nov[r] 1842.

North Arcot.

I have the honor to forward that cuttings of the Philippine Mulberry required in this District. Transcript of a letter received from Mr Groves at Chittoor in the subject of the Philippine Mulberry is herewith submitted.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Coll[r]

North Arcot
Coll[r] Circuit Cutcherry
Naranavarum 15th Dec[r] 1842.

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

No 1

To The Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant enclosing a Circular from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th of November relative to the Phillipine Mulberry trees which I now return. On our farms here and on the Neelgherries, we have planted Phillipines for more than three years at least about 3 here, and 2 at the Hills but we have only a few thousand plants as they yield so much fewer leaves in proportion to their age than the St Helena or Canton, and require so much more care as to soil. The soil in which my experience would lead me to say the Phillipines grow best is a rich garden mould. They flourish better on the Neelgherries than here but in no situation have I seen them bearing one fourth the amount of leaves that the St Helena bear at the same age and

the

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

the St Helena will grow luxuriantly, whe
they will not grow at all. All Mulberry
trees that I have any acquaintance wit
require a loose friable soil and perviou
to moisture. I made an experiment in th
Clay soils by digging holes of a cubic f
in size and filled the holes with light
but I have had to remove all my trees
of 12 acres of ground thus planted that
the soil answers admirably for other C
-tivation.

If the Phillipines are
cultivated to any extent it should be
hedges in rich loamy soil, and in trenches
dugo foot and half both in depth and
width, the trees should be planted a foot
and a half a part in the rows and the
a yard apart. The leaves of the Phillips
are equal or superior to any, but the
from the comparative smallness of the
quantity yielded in our judgment far
weighs this advantage. I am now planti
40000 standard mulberry trees but I shal
not plant more than 1000 Phillipines and
for which I have a deep trench prepared
as above. I am induced to say thus muc
lest by the expectations from the Phillip

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

being disappointed, the Natives should be
discouraged from pursuing this interest=
-ing cultivation. The soil that I now
almost exclusively plant with St Helena
and Canton Mulberry trees and in which
they grow luxuriantly is that red soil
which usually is found lying on a kind
of disintegrated granite which the Na=
-tives call Morum. The Phillipines
require manure the others are the better for it,
but not so dependant, all require regular
watering to flourish and almost to live
in the Carnatic. I am much obliged
by the offer of Cuttings but I have as
many as I want and was enabled to send
a Cooly load the other day to Mr Anslow
at Trichinopoly.

(Signed) A. N. Groves

December
12th 1842.

To. The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

(44)

From the Commissioner
in Harrock. -
Requesting any
further information
the

With reference to
Extract from Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue under date the 17th
November

17392

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

The Board may possess on the subject of the introduction of the Phillipine Mulberries and rearing of Silk-worms.-

November 1842. received this day, I have the honor to request the favor of any information the Board may pos-sess relative to the introduction of the Mulberry and rearing of Silk worms in Mysore.-

2 I have often thought that it would be an excellent and suitable employment for the large number of Mussulmans near Kurnool, now thrown out of employ who cannot dig and are ashamed to beg, yet suffer greatly from want

3 Before I can state how many Cuttings are required I would wish to obtain more inform-ation on the subject, which it occurs that will I believe be of importance to Kurnool.-

Kurnool
Commissioners Office
6th December 1842.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Order on the three foregoing letters.-
Vide Letter to the Commr. of Cable.-
& Kurnool 30th Jan.

Ordered to lie on the

(42-45)

17393

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 3rd and entered in Consultation 19th Instant.-

Ordered that the follow-ing address be submitted in conse-quence.-

To, The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

(46)

To the Actg Chief Sect. to Government.

Submitting with reference to the 2nd Para of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 8th February last a letter from the Actg Pl. Collector of Cuddapah having reference to certain balances outstand-ing in the Poolevendlah Talook for Fasly 1242. and A.D. 1832/33 recommending that the Actg Pl. Collector should be instructed to bring to account the sum Collected and the remainder written off to profit and Loss.-

In Cons. 21st Feb. 1843.

Para 1

Adverting to Para 1 of the Extract Minutes of Consult-ation dated February 8th 1842, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah having reference to the balance of 855-4-5. outstanding in the Poolivendlah Talook for Fasly 1242. A.D. 1832/33 which has so often come under the notice of Government.-

Mr Arbuthnot &c.

2 details the circumstances under which the

17394

Fort St George 22d December 1842

the arrear accrued and it does not appear necessary to add any thing to his statement except to observe that the Proceedings of the Board on the case of the Thasildar Seenevasa Pa were laid before Government who concurred with the Board in his acquittal. It will be seen that the whole of the balance on this account amounting to 905-7-11. has been realized, with the exception of 70 Rupees which is stated to be irrecoverable and the Board would recommend that the Acting Principal Collector should be instructed to bring to account the sum collected, and be authorized to write off the remainder to profit and loss.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George.
22nd December 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Proceedings

17395

Fort St George 22nd December 1842

20th inforce
27th Oct. 1842

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput containing his report on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42

Proceedings thereon Para 1.

Relative to the management of the Extra sources of Revenue in the District of Chingleput for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42

Salt Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	471.500 - 10 - "	The Collection from the sales of salt during Fusly 1251 exhibits an increase of Rupees 73.805 - 9 - 2
1250 A.D. 1840/41	397.695 - " - 10	
	73.805 - 9 - 2	

the result of a total Increase of Rupees 79.872 y. 2 arising from a larger exportation of Salt to Calcutta and a total decrease in the Inland sales of Rupees 6.066-14-" This falling off in the sales on account of Home and Inland Consumption is explained by the Collector to be owing to the quantity of Salt destroyed by the Gale which occurred in the Month of May 1841 and as the manufacture could not be immediately recommenced the supply on hand was not equal to the Demand for a few months added to this, the depression in the price of Grain in

47

join the Madras Market and scarcity of forage deterred the Brinjarries from resorting to the Coast.—

2 The Gross Collections (including Extra 228 Rupees) amounted to Rupees 4,71,729-5-7 and the charges were Rupees 1,05,830-12-4 or Rupees 34,820-10-3 higher than the charges of fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 which appears on reference to Statement No. 1 to be chiefly owing to the larger sums disbursed on account of Coodewarum of Manufacturer's share and for Cart hire for conveying Salt from the Pans to the Sea Beach at Covelong and other incidental expenses consequent on the excess exported to Calcutta.—

Coodewarum fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 77,033-12-3

1250 A.D. 1840/41 49,433-8-3

27,600-4-4

3 The net revenue after deducting the above charges amounted to Rupees 3,65,898-9-7 being Rupees 39,023-15-9 above the net revenue of fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41.

1250 A.D. 1840/41 32,874-9-10

Sayer

4 In the Sayer Demand there was an

Demand fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 — 56,681-7-6

1250 A.D. 1840/41 — 55,019-7-9

1661-15-9

increase of Rupees 1661-15-9 which was partly owing to the rent on Betel leaves having exceeded that of the preceding fusly by Rupees 730 and to the greater amount of Duty levied on Indigo Rape Seeds and Tamarinds.—

Charges 8,111-15-6

5 The Gross Collections were Rupees 5,5907-11-7 and the net revenue after deducting charges amounted to Rupees 47,795-12-1 which exceeded the Net Revenue of fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/42 by Rupees 1424-13-1.—

6 At the close of the fusly there was a balance outstanding on account of Current Demand of Rupees 1921-7-2 the whole of which however was realized by the 20th of October following.—

Salt Pt. A

Abkarry para 4

7 The Abkarry revenue at the commencement of fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 was rented to Cheddumbra Mooliah Pillay for the sum of Rupees 75000 on the 18th of December however the Collector reported to the Board that in consequence of this person having repeatedly

From Collr. 18th Decr. 1841 inwards 3rd January 1842

failed

Fort St. George 22nd December 1862

failed to discharge his kists he considered it expedient to sequester the Farm which the renter had neither the ability nor the means to conduct - In approving of this case the Board suggested the practicability of reselling the Farm for the remaining term of the year and the offer of Rupees 30,000 was ultimately accepted from Mr. Turn and Parasawmy Moodeliar - The Gross amount of Rent was therefore by the arrangement reduced from the original sum of Rupees 75,000 to Rupees 67,500 which was less than the rent of the preceding year by Rupees 900 -

Extract Bd. Proceedings dated 3d. Jany. 1862

Rent of Fasly 1250 — 68,400
Fasly 1251 — 67,500
900

8

The Current Collections within the fasly were Rupees 61,959 - 14 - 8 or Rupees 5540 - 1 - 4 less than the demand, the subsequent collections up to the 20th of October reduced the Balance to Rupees 3,527 - 2 - 4 of which about Rupees 3,400 are stated to be due by Chedumbra Mootiah Pillay the first renter and regarding which Mr. Freese proposed shortly to address the Board

para 4 —

Fort St. George 22nd December 1862

in a separate communication —

The Gross receipts of the year

9

including a recovery of old arrears to the extent of Rupees 2,363 - 6 - 1 amounting to Rupees 64,313 - 4 - 9 - In the charges there was an increase of Rupees 167 - 13 - 7 which appears on reference to Statement No. 1 to be owing to the disbursement of compensation on account of Toddy to the Manaly Shatriem: dar which had been allowed to remain in deposit in Consequence of disputes between the parties — After deducting the charges entered in the margin there remained a net revenue of Rupees 64,137 - 13 - 7 shewing a deficit of Rupees 6,179 - 10 - 11 as compared with the net revenue of fasly 1250 SPD: 1840/41

Charges 190 - 7 - 2 -

Sundry Small Farms & Licenses. — para 5

Demand
1250 — 16,904 - 14 - 1 SPD: 1840/41
1251 — 15,663 - 12 - 7 SPD: 1841/42
1244 - 1 - 6

The decrease of Rupees 1,244 - 1 - 6 -

10

in the demand under this head is stated to have been occasioned by a diminution in the exportation of Firewood from Streeharee: cottah to the Presidency the cause of which ex-

decrease

decrease is not however explained by the Collr

11 The Current Demand was Rupees 15,663-12-7 of which Rupees 7,336-8-4 only were collected within the fusly leaving a balance of Rupees 8,327-4-3 of this Rupees 3805-7-1 were subsequently brought to account at the date of the Collector's report—including Rupees 6175-13- realized within the fusly.r account of arrears the total receipts amounted to Rupees 13,502-7-3 and there being no charges this sum also constituted the Net Revenue and was less than the Net Revenue under this head of fusly 1250 F.D. 1840/41 by Rupees 3,033-1-1

Net Revenues
1250 F.D. 1840/41 — 16,535-8-4
1251 F.D. 1841/42 — 13,502-7-3
3,033-1-1

12 The ordinary and Extra receipts on account of the sales of Stamp Paper during the fusly under review amounted to Rupees 17,552-2-6 being less than the like receipts for the previous year by Rupees 2,226-8-0 owing to a reduction of the number of Suits on the Civil Courts

Stamps para 7
Gross Receipts
1250 F.D. 1840/41 — 14,778-10-6
1251 F.D. 1841/42 — 12,552-2-6
2226-8-0

charges-597-6-4- The net Revenue after deducting charges in which there was a small decrease of Rupees 98-14-6 amounted to Rupees 11,954-12-2 shewing a falling off of Rupees 2147-9-6 below the Net Revenue of

fusly 1250 F.D. 1840/41

Notwithstanding para 6 Demand
1251 F.D. 1841/42 — 13,730-3-7
1250 F.D. 1840/41 — 13,484-13-1
245-6-0

13 In the demand for notwithstanding there was a small increase of Rupees 245-6-0 owing to the extension of the operation of this tax in the fusly under consideration—

Stat.A At the Balance of Current Demand, Viz.t, Rupees 6,204-5-3 remaining at the end of the fusly Rupees 4,217-7-2 were realized up to the date of the Collectors report leaving Rupees 1,986-14-1 still due.

14 The Gross receipts including Current settlement Extra revenue and arrears amounted to Rupees 10,836-1-8 (which also constituted the net Revenue, there being no charges) and was less than the net revenue of fusly 1250 F.D. 1840/41 by Rupees

1-7525-14-4
2-9-10-"
3-3,300-9-4
10836-1-8

+13,981-9-7

3,145-7-11

Total 15 The Total Current Demand of all the Branches of Extra revenue in fusly 1251 F.D. 1841/42 amounted to

Rupees

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

Rupees 6,36,927-4-2 exceeding the aggregate demand for the previous year by Rupees 92,109-1-11. In the Current Collections there was an increase of Rupees 63280-10-11 but a falling off in the Extra Collections and arrears of Rupees 695-4-9- and 1401-9-5 respectively. The Gross receipts in all accounts were Rupees 6,28,851-1-4 and the charges amounted to Rupees 1,14,730-9-4 being Rupees 35,240-13-4 more than those of fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41. Deducting the above charges the nett Revenue was reduced to Rupees 5,14,120-8-4 and exceeded the Net Revenue of fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 by Rupees 25,942-15-5 a result which the Board consider to be satisfactory and creditable to Mr. Freese's management of the Extra sources of Revenue.

Net Revn. 1250 A.D. 1840/41. 488177-8-11-

16

The Board observe that in Statement marked B which accompanies the report the amount of Collection of arrears and remission for each year

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

is included under one Head only whereas they should be entered separately. In the total Balance moreover the balance of old arrears is altogether omitted and which it is desirable should be included at the end of every fusly year. Mr. Freese will therefore be so pleased to cause these modifications to be made in future.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed comparative Statement of Collection charges and Net revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance.—


Chingleput

17404

Fort St. George 27th December 1862

Chingleput Fusly 1251

		Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41	Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a fs	Rs a fs	Rs a fs	Rs a fs
Salt	Demand	397.695 " 10	471.500 10 "	73.805 9 2	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	397.695 " 10	471.500 10 "	73.805 9 2	" " "
	Extra Do	189 10 9	228 11 7	39 " 10	" " "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	397.884 11 7	471.729 5 7	73.844 10 "	" " "
	Charges	71.010 1 9	105.830 12 "	34.820 10 3	" " "
	Net Revenue	326.874 9 10	365.898 9 7	39.023 15 9	" " "
Sayer	Demand	55.019 7 9	56.681 7 6	1.661 15 9	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	979 14 1	1.921 7 2	941 9 1	" " "
	Current Collection	54.039 9 8	54.760 " 4	720 6 8	" " "
	Extra Do	87 " 10	167 13 2	80 12 4	" " "
	Arrears	" " "	979 14 1	979 14 1	" " "
	Total	54.126 " 6	55.907 11 7	1781 11 1	" " "
	Charges	7.755 11 6	8111 156	356 4 "	" " "
	Net Revenue	46.370 15 "	47.795 12 1	1.424 13 1	" " "
Abkarry	Demand	68.400 " "	67.500 " "	" " "	900 " "
	Balance on the 11th July	2.363 6 1	5.540 1 4	3.176 11 3	" " "
	Current Collection	66.036 9 11	61.959 14 8	" " "	4.076 11 3
	Extra Do	" 5 10	" " "	" " "	" 5 10
	Arrears	4.323 2 4	2.363 6 1	" " "	1.959 12 3
	Total	70.360 2 1	64.323 4 9	" " "	6.036 13 4
	Charges	479 7	190 7 2	142 13 7	" " "
	Net Revenue	70.312 8 6	64.132 13 7	" " "	6.179 10 11
Sundry small farms & Licences	Demand	16.907 14 1	15.663 12 7	" " "	1244 1 6
	Balance on the 11th July	6.384 15 9	8.337 4 3	1.952 4 6	" " "
	Current Collection	10.522 14 4	7.326 8 4	" " "	3196 6 "
	Extra Do	45 " 11	" 1 11	" " "	44 15 "
	Arrears	5.967 9 1	6.175 13 "	208 3 11	" " "
	Total	16.535 8 4	13.502 7 3	" " "	3033 1 1
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	16.535 8 4	13.502 7 3	" " "	3033 1 1
Stamps	Demand	13.310 14 6	11.851 2 6	" " "	1459 12 "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	13.310 14 6	11851 2 6	" " "	1459 12 "
	Extra Do	1467 12 "	701 " "	" " "	766 12 "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	14.778 10 6	12.552 2 6	" " "	2226 8 "
	Charges	676 4 10	597 6 4	" " "	78 14 6
	Net Revenue	14.102 5 8	11.954 14 2	" " "	2.147 9 6



Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

	Fasly 12.51	Decrease	Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Demand	13430 3 7	24556	Do do
Balance on the 11th July,	0304 3 3	24554	" "
Current Collection			" "
Extra Do.			" "
Nett Revenue	4.525 14 4	" "	2512 4 8
Charges	910 "	" "	31 1
Nett Revenue	3300 9 4	" "	629 15 2
Demand	10830 1 8	" "	3145 4 11
Balance on the 11th July,		" "	" "
Current Collection		" "	" "
Extra Do.		" "	" "
Nett Revenue	10830 1 8	" "	3145 4 11
Demand	36927 12	12169 11	" "
Balance on the 11th July,	2003 2 "	8828 4 "	" "
Current Collection	14024 2 2	63 280 11 11	" "
Extra Do.	140 4 8	" "	695 4 9
Revenue	5190 10 6	" "	1401 9 5
Total	24851 14	61 183 12 9	" "
Charges	730 9 "	35 240 13 6	" "
Nett Revenue	24121 5 2	25 942 15 5	" "

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

	Fasly 12.52	Decrease	Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Demand	13484 13 1	24556	Do do
Balance on the 11th July,	3446 4 1	24554	" "
Current Collection			" "
Extra Do.			" "
Nett Revenue	10038 6 "	" "	2512 4 8
Charges	12 11 1	" "	31 1
Nett Revenue	3930 8 6	" "	629 15 2
Demand	13981 9 4	" "	3145 4 11
Balance on the 11th July,		" "	" "
Current Collection		" "	" "
Extra Do.		" "	" "
Nett Revenue	13981 9 4	10830 1 8	3145 4 11
Demand	5064 8 15	2 3 6364 12	" "
Balance on the 11th July,	13194 14 "	22003 2 "	8828 4 "
Current Collection		3560 13 4	63 280 11 11
Extra Do.		1802 9 5	140 4 8
Revenue	14 22 1 3 4	12 8 14 6	1401 9 5
Total	5064 8 15 4	6 24 5 3 12 9	" "
Charges	19 489 11 5	114 13 6	" "
Nett Revenue	4881 7 7 8 11	5 14 17 2 5	" "

Demand
Balance on the 11th July,
Current Collection
Extra Do.
Revenue
Total
Charges
Nett Revenue
Demand
Balance on the 11th July,
Current Collection
Extra Do.
Revenue
Total
Charges
Nett Revenue

Mutasuddy Rs

1st Class

2nd Class

Total

1st Class

Total

1405

418

17406.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Read the following Letter

To

J D Bourdillon Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

48

From A Freese Esqre
Collr of Chingleput } Para 1

Submitting a Statement of Costs incurred in the defence of the Suits filed by Ellapah Reddy distinguishing the items which have received and which still require Sanction.

With reference to the Extract of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 5th instant I have the honor to annex a Statement shewing the total amount of Costs incurred in the defence of the Suits filed by Ellapah Reddy against Mr Maclean and others, distinguishing the items which have received, and which still require sanction, and from which it will be observed that the sum of Rupees 419-14-4 sanctioned by Government on the 28th June 1841, was for fees alone, and paid to the Government Vakeels in the Zillah and Provincial Courts and that the Balance Rupees 277-2-11 consists the Value of Stamps and sundry charges actually disbursed in the three Courts, as well as the amount of fees due to the Government Vakeel in the Sudder Udalut

Value of Stamps and sundry Charges } 67-3-9

Amount of fee due to the Govt Vakeel in the Sudr Udalut } 209-15-2

277 2-11

2. Ellapah Reddy having filed a special appeal against the Decree

17407 Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Decree of the Provincial Court the execution of the awards of that and the zillah Court was suspended which prevented hitherto the recovery of a portion of costs in the appeal and original Suits as anticipated in my letter of the 26th May 1841. I have however since the disposal of the special appeal suit desired the Government Vakeel of the Sudder Udalut to move the Judges to issue a Precept to the lower Court for the recovery of Costs and such sums as may be realized either from the Property of the Plaintiff or his security in the appeal Court will be carried to the Credit of Government.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 15th December 1842.

Signed/ A Freese
Collector

Statement

17408 Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Statement Shewing the total amount of Costs incurred in the defence of the Suits filed by Ellapah Reddy, distinguishing the items which have received, and which still require sanction

In what Court incurred	Particular of the costs	Total Amount			Portion of the costs sanctioned on the 28th June 1841			Balances requiring sanction		
		Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Zillah Court	Fees to Government Vakeel	209	15	2	209	15	2	"	"	"
	Value of Stamps for Documents filed 21-"-"									
	Ds for answer filed 12-8-"	33	8	"	"	"	"	33	8	"
	Batta to Witnesses and Summons Peons	11	11	9	"	"	"	11	11	9
		255	2	11	209	15	2	45	3	9
Provincial Court	Fees to Government Vakeel	209	15	2	209	15	2	"	"	"
	Value of Stamps for answer filed	8	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"
		217	15	2	209	15	2	8	"	"
Sudder Udalut	Fees due to Government Vakeel	209	15	2	"	"	"	209	15	2
	Value of Stamps for answer filed 12-"-"									
	Ds of Ds for a Motion for the recovery of Costs from appellant &ca 2-"-"									
		14	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"
		223	15	2	"	"	"	223	15	2
Total		697	1	3	419	14	4	277	2	11

E. E. Jr

Signed/ A Freese
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt

Adverting to the correspondence that has passed regarding the action in which the Collector of Chingleput was sued in his official capacity by Ellapah Reddy, Samdirected by the Board of Revenue to submit a further correspondence with that Gentleman concerning the expenses therein incurred still requiring sanction.

2.

It will be seen from the Statement accompanying Mr. Freese's last letter, that the total amount of Costs incurred by Government is 697-1-3, out of which sanction was given for 419-14-4 on the 28th June 1841. The Board beg to recommend that the remainder 277-2-11 may now receive the sanction of Government.

3

The whole of these Costs are adjudged to be defrayed by the Plaintiff, and it appears probable that a part at least of those in appeal may be recovered from his Security. All that is eventually recovered will be brought separately to account. If the original decree were executed the whole might be realized but the 3d Defendant against whom the award is given, is understood to be as destitute as the Plaintiff himself.

(48-49)

To Govt 22d July 1840
From Ds 3d Cons 9th August "
To Ds 7th June 1841
From Ds 28th June
In Cons 12th July "
From Coll Nov 28th 1842
in Cons 5th Dec "
Ey. Proc Bd of Rev Dec 5 "
From Coll Dec 15th
In Cons 22d

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d December 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Read the following letters.

From A. Freese Esqre
Collector of Chingleput.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

50

From the Coll of Chingleput

Reporting the death of the Shotriemdar of Serovaderoy pooram, and requesting authority to Register the Village in the names of his sons.

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to report for the information of the Board the demise on the 16th August of Annah Rungah charry Shotriumdar of Serovaderoy pooram in the Conjeveram Talook leaving a widow and five sons as named in the margin.

x Canakummah widow	
1 Annungerah Charry	
2 Streerungah Charry	
3 Kistnamah Charry	
4 Vencata Charry	
5 Virdah Charry	

2 The Bhuttavery Shotriem assessed with an annual Quit Rent amounting to Rupees 90-11-11 appears according to the old records to have been originally conferred in Fusly 1132 / A.D. 1722 by the Mahomedan Government upon one of the ancestors of the deceased, but the original summed is

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

is not at present forthcoming. The Shotrium was successively held by the Grantee and his son Annengerah Chetty, on whose demise the Shotrium was entered in the Registry Book of this Office 1815, in the name of his adopted son Annah Rungah Chetty, the late incumbent who died when on his way to Hyderabad.

3. The Purwanah originally issued by the Nabob is stated to have been lost during the War with Hyderaly &c., but the Sunneds of the authorities of the District by whom the Shotrium was confirmed and continued to the son of the original Grantee, have been produced. &c.

1	Mr. Dighton's Sunned	Dated	1784
1	Mr. Balfour's	Do	1790
1	Do	Do	1792
1	Do	Do	1794
4			

This Village also appears in the List of Shotriums furnished by the Board in 1796.

4. The Shotrium being a Bhattanerty Grant, I request the authority of the Board to register it in the names of the sons of the deceased Shotriumdar.

Chingleput District—
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 15th December 1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Order thereon— Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

(50-51)

From A. Freese Esqre
Collector of Chingleput.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Chingleput } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 12th Instant and to state for the information of the Board that no salt has been exported from Covelong since the 14th October, the date of the weekly report last submitted, nor was any additional quantity received into store, inconsequence of which the transmission of the weekly and Monthly Returns has been suspended. They are however now forwarded.

(52)

Vide enclosures A and B

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 17th December 1842. } /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

17413.

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

Statement of Salt for exportation to calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 13th December 1842.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach.	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs	Remarks.
For 1841/42. Bengal Maunds. 5,00,000	2,31,280	268720	1,90,200	182938½	

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutchery
Pullecarnay 17th December 1842

E. E. Jr. /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Registe

17414. Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842

B

Register of Permits issued for Salt exported from Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput during the Month of November, 1842.

No. of Certificate	Date of exportation	Name of the Vessel	Name of the Commander.	Quantity of Salt exported.	Remarks.
"	"	"	"	None.	

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutchery.
Pullecarnay 17th December 1842

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 22nd December 1843

(52-53)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Salem dated 7th and entered in Consultation 19th Instant.

Order thereon

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1230 of 1842 returned in the foregoing letter.

(54)

From the explanation afforded by the Principal Collector of Salem in his report on this Petition the Board learn that neither the Petitioner or his Father ever held the Office of Curnam to which he lays claim but that it has been filled since fusly 1207 by the present incumbent and his Father. Under these circumstances the Petitioner's claim is inadmissable.

From

Read the following letter.

J. D. Gleig Esqre
Principal Collector of Salem

To

J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(55)

From the Collr of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to request

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

you will obtain Sanction for me to entertain as in last year, 18 Gomastahs at 12 Rupees each per Month, for the period of four Months, to aid my own and the Sub Collectors Establishments in the preparation of the accounts for the annual Settlement.

Salem Zillah,
Ossoor,
Princl Collr Cutcherry,
12th December 1842.

Signed J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Actg Chief Secry to Govt

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Salem and to recommend that Sanction may be granted for the entertainment

(55-56)

12th inst.
22nd Dec. 1842.

entertainment of a temporary Establishment of eighteen Coonastahs at 12 Rupees each per Month, for four months to assist in the preparation of the accounts of the Settlement for the current Fasly 1252 & 1842/43

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 22nd Dec. 1842. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letters.

From,
J. D. Gleig Esqre.
Principal Collector of Salem.

To,
J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pl. Collr. of Salem, Sir,

57

I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter received from an Attorney at Law at Madras requesting me to prevent the sale of Mr. Cockburn's property on the Shevaroy Hills as his client at Madras had a Mortgage thereon and that he was about to institute a Suit in the Supreme Court for the purpose of foreclosing the Mortgage. Having this to the notice of the Board in consequence of a debt being still due to the Salem Treasury by Mr. Cockburn Amounting to Rupees 2189.6.3 which I have

not been able to collect. I was prevented making sale of the property abovementioned by the knowledge of the heavy mortgages thereon, which would no doubt have been brought to a foreclosure on the first intimation of my distraint; and as I had reason to believe that the debts were much in excess of its value, I should have lost not only the demand now referred to, but also the sum of Rupees 2050 which I last year collected as balance of rent, as the case however is now about to be brought to issue, I request the Boards' instructions how to proceed, whether to sue in the first instance, or to wait until the proceedings of the Supreme Court are carried out, and then put distraint on the property to secure the surplus, if any, on account of the Government demand. If the report is correct that 63000 Rupees are due on this property, I can see no hope of realizing the debt due to the Salem Treasury but by application to

to Government to realize it from Mr. Cockburn's pay.

Salem Zillah, - - -
Poor, - - - - -
Print.d Collectors Cutcherry,
12th December 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector

To, The Principal Coll.r and Magistrate
of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to address you on behalf of Chola Balakistna Dass a Sircar at Madras. He has been informed that Mr. Montague Dundas Cockburn of the Madras Civil Service, or some person under his authority is about to sell a House and Ground situated on the Shervaroy Hills the Property of Mr. Cockburn, but which have been Mortgaged by him to my client, to secure the payment of a large sum of Money still unpaid. My Client has not consented to this sale, nor has his consent been requested by Mr. Cockburn.

I beg therefore on behalf of my client to request that you will

be good enough to prohibit the sale of the property in question, a suit to foreclose the Mortgage is about to be instituted in the Supreme Court by my client against Mr. Cockburn.

The Instrument of Mortgage is now in my hands and I will forward a Copy of it for your perusal as soon as it is prepared.

Madras, - - - - -
28th November 1842.

/ Signed / J. F. Crampton
Attorney at Law

Proceedings thereon, Para 1

(57-58)

The Board are not aware of any authority under which the Principal Collector could interfere to prevent the sale of Mr. Cockburn's property on the Shervaroys in the way desired by Mr. Crampton.

Adverting to the second part 2 of the foregoing letter the Board observe that the demand of Government for rent necessarily takes precedence of all other claims on the land which the demand concerns, inasmuch as the payment of that rent is the very condition on which alone property in land is held. In the present case however they

17421. Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

they remember that the arrear of Revenue on Mr. Cockburn's Shervaroy property was stated last year to amount to 1913-10 up to Fusly 1249, and to the end of 1250 it would nearly coincide with 2050 Rupees, the sum which Mr. Gleig states that he has realized. No arrear of rent therefore seems to be due, and the sum of £ 2189-6-3 noticed by Mr. Gleig as being "a debt due to the Salem Treasury" would appear to have reference to some other demand. The Principal Collector is requested to state more explicitly what it is. The Board observe that the Extract of their Proceedings of the 28th October 1841 has hitherto remained unanswered, they request a speedy reply to the present.

From Pr. Coll. May 24th 1841. in Cons 23d Sept.

arrears up to Fusly 1249 1913-10
Rent for Fusly 1250 136-11-
2050-5

J.B.

17422 Fort St. George 22 December 1842

From J. D. Gleig Esqre
Principal Collector of
Salem

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Principal Coll. of Salem.

59

I have the honor to forward a Descriptive Roll of Ryotwar Rent to enable the Board to apply to Government for a Pension Certificate with reference to the sanction conveyed in the Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation of the 30th August 1842, forwarded with the Board's Proceedings of the 6th September last.

Salem Villages
Ryotwar
Princ. Coll's Cuchy.
13th December 1842

(Signed) J. D. Gleig
Pr. Coll.

Descriptive

17423

Fort St. George 22 December 1842.-

Descriptive Roll

Name	Country	Caste and Religion	Colour	Age	Height	Personal appearance and other Marks or natural defects.-	Amount of Pension	By what Authority Sanctioned	Commencement of Pension	By whom payable	Where Payable	Terms of the Pension.-	Embodyment when Pensioned	Period of Service	Remarks
				Y.	M. Feet	Inches	Rs. As. P.							Yrs. Mo.	
Apsoo Row	Tripatore	Brahmin Hindoo	Brown	57	4	5	4,500 - - Per Annum	Minutes of Council 30th August 1842.-	5th January 1842	Principal Collector of Salem.-	Salem	The Pension to continue to Apsoo Row during his life and that of his two next heirs but on the death of Apsoo Row the grant to be reduced to two thirds of the Original Amount on the Accession of the next to heir and to be reduced to one third during the life time of the last	Head Shorista-dar of the Collectorate	39 -	

Dark Eyes long nose tall Self- has the mark of a small Linga on the Head over the right side of the forehead.-

Apsoor. 13th December 1842.-

Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Pt. Coll.

Ordered

17424 — Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in consequence.—

(59-60)

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated 30th August 1842 No. 1050. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Salem transmitting a Descriptive Roll of Assessed Revenue and to recommend that the usual certificate for the pension granted to him may be furnished for transmission to Mr. Gleig.—

No. to the Actg Chief Secy to Govt In Cons 6th Septr 1842.—

13th in Cons 22 Decr 1842

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22 December 1842
Comp. S.R.

} Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Letters.

From J. D. Gleig Esqre
Principal Collector of
Salem.—

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(61)

From the Pl. Collr of Salem.—

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 6th December I have the honor to report, that I applied both the Villages for arrears

17425 — Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

A. D. 1840/41.— Abinnum in Fasly 1250, and Assessed Samodrum, also in the same year, this was reported to the Board in my letter of the 5th September last.—

Salem Head Assessor's Cutcherry 14th December 1842 } Signed J. D. Gleig Pl. Collr

Order thereon.— Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—

(61-62)

From J. D. Gleig, Esqre
Principal Collector of
Salem

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pl. Collr of Salem

Sir,

(63)

The Kudavoie duffers of my Cutcherry being unsafe, from their being as heretofore, deposited in Bamboo Baskets and from some of them being destroyed by white Ants and other vermin, I have the honor to forward an Estimate amounting to 164 Rupees, for making up 16 Boxes of common Jungle-wood, and request you will submit it for the sanction of the Board.—

Salem Head Assessor's Cutcherry 14th December 1842 } Signed J. D. Gleig Pl. Collr

Estimate

17/426 | Fort St. George 27th December 1842

No. 1.-

Estimate for making 16 Wooden Boxes for depositing the Hindoovie Duftors of the Huzzoor Cutcherry of the Principal Collector of Salem District.-

Particulars	Rupees	Fanams
Particulars for making 1 Box 4½ feet long 2 feet broad & 3½ feet deep.- 8 Planks 7 feet long 1½ feet broad and 1 Inch thick at 12 Annas each	5	-
Iron work including hire	2	8
Carpenter's hire	1	12
	10	4
16 Boxes at 10 Rupees 10 Fanams each	164	-

17th December 1842. (Signed) S. D. Sibley Pr Co/r

Ordered

17/427 | Fort St. George 27th December 1842

63-64

Order thereon. (Vide Letter to Govt 5th Instt.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

Read again letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 11th July and entered in Consultation 4th April 1842.-

65

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that the foregoing Statement be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the charges incurred on account of Palan-keen bearers employed in the District of South Arcot for the Months of July 1840 amounting to

1/1428 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

to Rupees 470—4—11— It will be observed that the amount recovered and credited to Government on this account is Rupees 294—11— making the net charges to Government Rupees 175—6—11.

Vide notice dated 15th July 1840 in Fort St. George Gazette Page 495.—

The Establishment of bearers has been discontinued from the 1st August 1840.

Read the following letters.

From

1/1429 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

From,

C. H. Hallett Esqre.

Collector of South Arcot

To,

J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

66

From the Collr. of South Arcot Reporting upon the Collection of certain tax in the Villages of Cheekady talook comprizing the Assumed Jagheer of Suncarapooram, and forwarding a Statement of Collections made from fusly 1248 to 1250, as also a Petition No. 249 of 1842.—

To Bd. 15th Oct. 1838.
From Dt. 15th July 1839.
To Dt. 8th Aug. 1839.
From Dt. 2d March 1840 Minutes of Consultations
From Dt. 2d March 1840 Boards Proceedings
To Dt. 20th Aug. 1840
From dt. 19th Sept. 1841.

A.D. 1837/38.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to address the Board relative to the Cheekady Villages comprised in the Assumed Jagheer of Suncarapooram, and as your senior Member, in the recollection of his duties last year as Commissioner in this District, may have expected my notice of the subject at an earlier period. I take the opportunity of stating that the delay arose solely from my wish first to examine the Country, which having lately done I am the better able to write without doubt.

2. There has been much correspondence on the question. It is noted in the margin, but I will concisely abstract it, and shew the subject in its present condition.

3. In Paras 9 to 13 of his Summahbundy Report of fusly 1247, Mr. Ashton explained

explained that having been convinced of the propriety of substituting the regular land assessment for the Plough and Axe Assessment hitherto prevailing in the Checkady Villages, he had effected such a change. The Board, under date 15th July 1839, disapproved of this measure generally because the it might be advisable that "one uniform system of assessment should be introduced" no sufficient reasons had been adduced for proving that "circumstances have so far altered as to justify such a change" and more particularly on the ground that its adoption would render the ryots liable for a demand of 3 1/2 Rs when previously they had paid only 1 Rs per Cawny.-

4. Mr. Ashton in reply, under date 8th August of the same year, explained the unequal and inconsistent imposition of the tax the arbitrary exaction of it on no defined principle from the poor Cultivators - that he never intended to state that such

Cawney was subjected to a payment of 1 Rs or indeed any fixed rate, but that such amount only was brought to account - that it was natural that the powerful should endeavour to uphold such a system, and that he did not suppose the cultivating ryots objected to the change that the rates established by the Jagheerdar on the extent of cultivation had been easily collected during the fusly - that it would be difficult to revert to the old system that if the rates ordered by him were thought to be excessive they could be reduced in any degree, provided that the assessment were put on the extent of cultivation which he accordingly earnestly advised.-

5. The Board on 2nd March 1840 answered generally that they were of there former opinion, and that they could approve of no change prior to the introduction of a regular Survey.-

6. In his report on the settlement for Fusly 1249 Mr. Ashton mentions that he had found it impracticable

AD. 1839/40

to revert to the old Plough and Axe System
and again strongly called for sanction to
the change ordered by him dwelling "
particularly on the fact that, altho' a small
amount only was brought to account, a "
much larger sum was collected by fraud
and retained by the Headmen, who in conse-
quence petitioned for the old practice and
pointing out that the rates introduced
were those established by the Jaghcerdar
on adjacent lands and were not too high,
as the soil was excellent, under their imposition the Cultivation had
exctended.

7.

The Board, reviewing this
report in reference to the subject, repeated
their objections and desired that their former
instructions for the commencement of a "
field Survey and a return to the old "
mode of taxation be attended to. This "
order was received just at the period
of the disturbance at Chaitpet, and "
Mr. Ashton leaving the district a few
days after issued no order on the subject.

8.

Mr. Commissioner Denton his

18th June 1841. arrival on 1st June 1841 issued notice that
from the commencement of the ensuing Fusly
the old system of Plough and Axe tax should
be re-established. He also directed a Compa-
rative Statement to be drawn out, demonstrat-
ing what excess above the Plough and Axe
rates had in last 3 years been paid by the
ryots under the change made by Mr. Ashton.
This Statement I have now the honor to enclose
which, with this para entire will furnish a
reply to the letter of your predecessor of 14th
returned. February 1842, enclosing Petition 249 of
1842.

It was Mr. Ashton's desire
9.
in his share of this correspondence to explain
that, altho under his orders the average "
brought to account was about 3½ per Cawney
while under the former system it had only
been about 1/8 as much was previously proced
from the cultivating ryot. because from the
nature of the demand and many other causes
the influential heads of Villages were incon-
trolled and safe from detection in the "
frauds practiced on the poorer ryots and that thus
the difference which found its way to

the

the Government was by no means the conse-
quence of an increased taxation, but the
just transfer of its dues to the Cirkar from
those who had no mercy in their exactions for
their own purposes and who naturally would
strive to resist an innovation so injurious to
themselves. This view never appears to have
been received by the Board or Government,
but I am bound to declare that I believe
Mr. Ashton to have been in a great degree
right and this opinion is supported by the
fact of the ready collection of rates such as
proposed by him in the adjoining Villages, ac-
cording to established usage, without any
clamor about their hardship of being worse
off than their neighbours.
10.

Out of the 44 Villages
comprised in Checkady 34 are under the Plough
and Axe and 10* under the Jeerwa dittum
assessment. Doubtless the Plough and Axe tax
was originally instituted in relation to the
nature of the soil. I conceive it to have
been a species of favorable cowle, but
that instead of having been defined

*5 entirely
5 partly.

both as to time and amount (as is here
common with jungle and in the Ceded Districts
with lands overgrown with heath grass) it was
understood to have an existence so long as
additional exertions in the use of the Plough
or Axe were necessary beyond what are
ordinarily required of such implements to
keep arable land in order, and that the
indulgence should expire when improve-
ment had rendered the soil capable
of bearing the usual assessment.
Checkady till lately was
a terra incognita. It was assumed in 1829,
but Mr. Ashton was the first Collector who
ever visited the Country, neither was it
customary for the Tahseldars in whose
range it was, personally to make himself
acquainted with its condition. I know that
Mr. Ashton was struck with the richness
of its soil and its evident capacity. During
last September I took means to judge for
myself, I was surprized at the fertility, the
superiority of the Cattle and openness of the
Country compared with what I had expected. In

the

Fort St. George 22d December 1812

the latter respect the talookes of Chittpa
Trinamallie, Trieullore and Callacoorchy
are not superior to it. I therefore do not
hesitate to affirm that the time has
arrived when Checkady, together with the
wholes the Jaghier of which it is a part
should be assessed like the remainder
of South Arcot the necessity indeed of
which the Government and Board have
acknowledged, provided a Survey be
first concluded.—

12.

The single subject of this com-
munication might have been the future
assessment of the Villages of Checkady;
but I find it very advisable, as the Country
if for ever assumed by our Government, to
propose to introduce into the whole Jaghier
of Suncarapooram (of which Checkady
forms a talook) the system of taxation
prevailing in the remainder of the Talook
and for reasons previously expressed
I do not intend to recommend any favor
to be shewn towards Checkady.—

13.

The late Principal
Collector undertook the Survey desired by the
Board; he conducted it

Fort St. George 22d December 1812

additional expense to Government, entirely
by Talook and Puzzoor Servants, and
I am able to state that owing to
his exertions and unceasing attention
it was finished in a manner superior
to the ordinary execution of such un-
dertakings by extra temporary Officers.
I shall of course test several villages
hereafter for my own satisfaction;
but this does not prevent my
informing the Board that the
Country is prepared for the
Change, as far as it can be by a
Survey; and that I can find no
objection to its commencement with
Fusly 1253.—

A.D. 1843/44.

The result of the Survey
is annexed.—

Number—

Fort St. George 22d December 1812.

Deduct 8 Parcels N.A. Cable Lds fixed and Enam Lands with the assessments

Total Jaghire

| | Number | | |

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

people, therefore, who are the worst off, the desired change (which I candidly allow will also prove beneficial to the Government as well as most convenient by saving the extra trouble entailed by the Abady) we may safely couple the abolition of the Plough and Axe on the dry lands - as if they have any sound right to its continuance, (which I cannot myself admit for reasons stated before) the advantage they will receive in the wet cultivation will afford ample compensation.-

17.- I proposed in person to many Villagers these rates for wet land.

2. Pagodas for land where there is a Chamel only for irrigating.

2½ - Where there is a Tank sup- -plied only by rains -

3. Where there is a Tank supplied by rain and River Channels

I am quite sure that these, not much exceeding their own offer, will prove fair and moderate, their simplicity will make them intelligible, and the three general heads will be found with a little care to embrace all description

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

of soil -

The Syen Villages adjacent to

18

Checkady I observe pay almost entirely

dry land rates noted in the margin - I pro-

- pose to fix three rates also on this kind of Soil. -

2 - Rupees for inferior quality and immemorial waste -

2½ - For middling and waste within 10 Years.

3. For the best, and on Sheikal lands. -

The Totacab I would leave

19

subject to the instructions expected in reply to my

letters of 1st September and 12th November 1841 -

It will immediately be remarked

20.

that the average of these rates will be easy in comparison with the remainder of the District - but the situation of the Country, or a great part of it, forbids the advantage of a ready market, and the crops require watching to prevent damage from game and wild animals; I have accordingly to the best of my judgment marked the scale with reference to what the soil should return and at the same time with a favorable bearing towards the inhabitants. -

8 4	- 3 - 2	½	Canary
9 3	- 8 -	2	Do.
10 2	12 - 9	2	Do.
11 2	- 1 - 7	2	Do.
12 1	- 6 - 4	2	Do.

The

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

21.— The quantity of land cultivated on a 3 years' average for a whole Jaghire was,—

Poonjee ..... 12664 — 4½
Sunjee ..... 5,226 — ½

and the actual average receipts were 4,274-2-9 on the former and 4,137-8-4 on the latter.— The average difference received by the abolition of the Plough and Ase-tase was 2,665-14-8 per Annum— or 7,997-1 for three years.— This should be deducted, leaving 40,078 for the Poonjey lands, which added to the Sunjee Rs. receipts 41,137, gives as the collections in the whole Jaghier on a 3 years' average.—

22.— It may fairly be estimated, considering that there are 77,805 Cawnies of Poonjee to be assessed, that out of the 12664 actually cultivated 9000 will pay the first rate of Rs. 3— and 3,664—, 2½ Rs. for the 2 will be placed mostly on lands which are not now tilled from incapacity to bear the Teerwah rates— Under such a calculation, Should my suggestions meet with sanction, the same extent of dry land would yield Rs. 36,160— The 5,226 of wet lands would at the

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

Page 1

medium rate of 2½ yield 45,727, exhibiting a slight increase in the one and decrease in the other, but in the total very nearly the same.—

Average of 3 years

	Cawnies	Former proper Collections	Proposed Collections
Poonjee	12664	40,078	36,160
Sunjee	5,226	41137	45,727
		81,215	81,887
		81,887	
Difference		672	

Take the average of 13 years actual receipts

81,958
81,887
71.

and the difference will be found to be almost nothing.—

23.— Considering the position of this Jaghier, situated in 4 different talooks, the varieties of assessment, Viz; payment in kind, Jaghierdar's Teerwah dittum rates, Collector's temporary land teerwah rates, Ploughs and Ase-tase, and Villages on rent, as well as the

the improvement which may be expected from the adoption of such a measure both to the people and the Revenues, there can be no doubt of the propriety of introducing an assessment on some intelligible principle - I have proposed strict conformity with the practice in the District generally - I request of the Board permission to put it in force from the beginning of next July in so much of the Country as I can find time and opportunity to settle and arrange - A discretionary authority at once to do this as a temporary measure (reporting progress to the Board from time to time) will enable me speedily to accomplish the object - whereas a previous making out of Accounts for the whole lands, to be submitted for consideration and approval, will certainly not afford a particle more information and may possibly lead to tedious delay and no result - Keeping

the entire receipts the same - not lowering to the injury of the Government or raising to the injury of the people, but at the same time assisting them, and relieving the Ryot by substituting regularity and uniformity for fluctuation and uncertainty, I cannot with the Money limits quoted make the assessment either excessive or the reverse; and if any mistake occur, the understanding that it is only temporary will prevent inconvenience -

In conclusion I must revert to
24.
the Statement alluded to in para 8; it was made out conformably to Mr. Commissioner Dent's wish - and I have been given to understand that he contemplated a refund to the cultivators; The Amount has of course been carried long since to account, and I am not disposed to ask the special sanction of Government for disbursing such a sum in that manner - It is true that Mr. Ashton did act without authority at first and afterwards delayed conforming to instructions pending the consideration of his further explanation - But it has never been deemed expedient in cases, where a

a Collector in the responsible performance
of his duties has on good grounds granted remiss
or raised a tax, in the one case to refund
or in the other re-demand. Moreover there
seasons when the collections were made were
most particularly favorable, and the culti-
vating ryots, as has already been explained
did not in point of fact suffer by payment
of the whole of the increase tho' the Government
actually received it.—

25. It would be a bad precedent
to refund it; if the Checkady people are thought
to have a claim, I recommend that it
be reserved as a surplus fund to be
expended in the repairs of their Annicut
and irrigation works, which will be
requisite when they take up wet lands
on a land tierwah. I was much struck
with the appearance of the numerous
Annicuts in their Country, almost all out
of repair, evidently very ancient and faulty
in their construction, but nevertheless capa-
ble of restoration at a moderate outlay, in
consequence of the favorable nature of the
River banks and the abundance of
stone. I found them very earnest for
their repair, and I believe the employment

of

of the money for such a purpose in Com-
bination with the introduction of land
tenvah at the rates suggested will give
satisfaction. The demand for refunds
would not be heard alone from Checkady
In fasly 1243, at the time of Summahbundy
in the five Villages of Tanepaundy, the Plough
and Axe system was abolished, and the
Jagheerdar rates substituted by the
Talook servants, without any authority
from the Collector, it is believed, solely
in consequence of the discovery by inspec-
tion that the lands were clear and fertile.
in 3 other Villages also Mr. Ashton did
the same, the difference paid was never
asked for by the inhabitants till they
heard a report that their neighbours were about
to receive, in equal justice it should be refunded to
them, in one case for a period of 10 years.

A.D. 1833/34
In Checkady Talook.

In Suncarapooram Lucknaikenpettah.

Cuddalore - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry.
28th November 1842. -

Signed C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Statement.

17448.

Fort St. George

A Statement of Collections made in the Villages in the South Arcot for Fuslies 1248. 1249. and 1250. shewing which it was formerly collected and the

Number	Villages.	Number	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teerwah assessed on quantities of Poonjah lands of Poonjah Cultivation at the rates determined according to the description of their Crops Vizt. Kawega and Kadi for Fuslies 1248. 1249. and 1250			
				Cs.	as.	As.	ds.
1	Malamoottavoor	1	Yellapah Gounden	5	13/4	17	12
		2	Poracoody Venkata rama Gounden	7	9/4	19	12
2	Sembomputty	1	Theroomalay Gounden	12	11	37	12
		2	Chinna Theeroomala Gounden	9	2 3/4	35	"
		3	Aude Gounden	"	13/4	3	3
		4	Poracoody Vellayada Gounden	1	2	3	15
		5	Thilamput Chinnien	1	4	3	4
				"	3	2	1
3	Malapacaur	1	Thatha Gounden	12	9	47	1
		2	Coola Gounden	14	1 3/4	29	12
				2	2 1/2	5	1
				16	3 3/4	55	1

22nd December 1842.

of Checkedy Talook in the Talook of Trinamallie the amount of Teerwah according to the rates at Excess due to the Ryots.

Amount of Teerwah due for the Fuslies 1248. 1249. and 1250, at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Jummahbundy accounts.								Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
Ploughs.			Axes				Total of the Teerwah of Ploughs and axes.	
New	Old	Total	Teerwah	New	Old	Total	Teerwah		
Nº	Nº	Nº	As. as.	Nº	Nº	Nº	As. as.		
"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	" "		10 8
1	"	1	8 12	"	"	"	" "		8 12
1	1	2	19 4	"	"	"	" "		19 4
"	2	2	21 "	"	"	"	" "		21 "
"	"	"	" "	1	1	1	1 12	1 12	1 12
"	"	"	" "	1	1	1	1 12	1 12	1 12
"	"	"	" "	1	"	1	1 5	1 5	1 5
"	"	"	" "	1	"	1	1 5	1 5	1 5
"	2	2	21 "	2	2	4	6 2	6 2	27 2
"	2	2	21 "	"	"	"	" "	" "	21 "
"	"	"	" "	1	1	1	1 12	1 12	1 12
"	2	2	21 "	1	1	1	1 12	1 12	22 12

17449

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Amount of Seervah due for the Fusslies 1248. 1249. and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Jummabundy accounts.

Number of Villages.	Number of Ryots.	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Seervah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay lands of Poonjay Cultivation, at the rates determined according to the distinction of their first Viz.t Kaveya and 1st Kaudy For Fusslies 1248. 1249 and 1250.					Ploughs. New	Ploughs. Old	Ploughs. Total	Seervah. New	Seervah. Old	Seervah. Total	Axes.	Total of the Seervah of Ploughs and Axes.	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
			Cs	a	Rs	a	c									
4 Mothacul	1	Vellaya Gounden	27	10½	98	14	10							52 8	46 6 10	
	2	Rama Naiken	5	12¼	20	10	9	No.	No.	No.	Rs a c				10 8	10 2 9
	3	Coopa Naiken	20	3¾	68	4	11	"	5	5	52 8				31 8	36 12 11
	4	Cotal Lutchmana Naiken	1	3¼	4	3	4	"	1	1	10 8				4 3 4	" " "
	5	Poracoody Narrainen	3	12	9	2	2	"	3	3	31 8				9 2 2	" " "
	6	Cotty Gounden	3	13	13	5	6	"	13/32	13/32	4 3 4				10 8	2 13 6
	7	Thonthen	2	1½	7	5	3	13/64	"	13/64	9 2 2				7 5 3	" " "
	8	Malachery Naik	4	7¾	13	12	6	"	1	1	10 8				10 8	3 4 6
	9	Lumbady Narrain Naik	6	8½	15	13	9	"	23/32	23/32	7 5 3				8 12	7 1 9
	10	Dr. Sunkara Naik	1	3¼	4	3	4	1	"	1	8 12				4 3 4	" " "
	11	Coracoody Coopa Goundens	1	7½	3	13	3	"	17/32	17/32	4 3 4				3 13 3	" " "
			78	3¼	259	9	7	7/16	"	7/16	3 13 3				152 15 4	106 10 3
5 Accarapully	1	Chinna Gounden	18	9¾	61	9	3	2 31/64	127/32	15/64	152 15 4				31 8	30 13
	2	Valakpitchen	5	7¼	18	14	9	"	3	3	31 8				5 4	13 10 9
	3	Coolla Gounden	2	10½	9	4	9	"	"	"	"	3	3	5 4	5 4	4 " 9
	4	Vauree Andy	"	14	3	1	"	"	"	"	"	3	3	5 4	5 4	1 5 "
	5	Valakaput Ramen	"	14¼	3	1	11	"	"	"	"	1	1	1 12	1 12	1 5 11
	6	Goundan Chinnia	"	15¾	3	7	1	"	"	"	"	1	1	1 12	1 12	1 11 1
	7	Cantoocara Vellian	3	4¼	11	8	8	"	"	"	"	1	1	1 12	1 12	6 11 8
	8	Poracoody Vellaik Gounden	15	9	40	13	7	"	"	"	"	2	3	4 13	4 13	20 11 7
			48	4¾	151	13	"	"	"	"	"	2	2	2 10	20 2	
								"	2	2	17 8	1	"	2	72 3	79 10
								"	3	5	49	1	11	14	233	

17450.

Fort St. George

22d December 1842.

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teeruh assessed on the quantities of Punjah lands of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops, Viz.t Kaveys and Kadie for Fusslies 1248. 1249 & 1250				
				Cs.	cks	Rs	a	p
6	Ayembady	1	Chinnien	1	14 3/4	7	1	9
	"	2	Vellien	5	13 3/4	21	"	11
		3	Vangan	4	12	16	13	6
		4	Cunjee Gounden	2	9/4	9	"	4
		5	Anna Gounden	1	6 3/4	4	15	8
		6	Audee Gounden	4	2/2	14	8	9
				20	11	73	8	11
7	Aureathatha Poor	1	Coopa Gounden	8	13	30	13	6
		2	Yellapa — Do.	8	5 3/4	29	4	1
		3	Mya — Do.	2	7 3/4	8	11	1
		4	Unnara Mootoo	"	13 3/4	3	"	1
		5	Chinna Coottien	3	13/4	14	3	7
		6	Baramal Chicaloo Govinda Gounden	3	9/2	9	7	4
		7	Rama Naiken	1	12	6	2	"
		8	Poodoocody Yellaya Gounden	"	15 3/4	2	10	"
		9	Do. Cootty — Do.	1	10 3/4	4	6	"
		10	Do. Mootoo — Do.	1	15/2	5	2	3
		11	Navetha Naiken	"	7/2	1	3	3
				34	12/2	114	15	2

Ploughs				Axes			Total of the Teeruh of Ploughs and axes	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
New	Old	Total	Teeruh	New	Old	Total	Teeruh	
No.	No.	No.	Rs a p					
					1	1	1 12 "	1 12 " 5 5 9
"	"	"	" " "		1	1	5 4 "	5 4 " 15 12 11
"	"	"	" " "		3	3	3 8 "	3 8 " 13 5 6
"	"	"	" " "		2	2	1 12 "	1 12 " 7 4 4
"	"	"	" " "		1	1	1 12 "	1 12 " 3 3 8
"	"	"	" " "		1	1	3 8 "	3 8 " 11 " 9
"	"	"	" " "		2	2	17 8 "	17 8 " 56 " 11
"	"	"	" " "		10	10	21 " "	21 " " 9 13 6
"	"	"	" " "		"	"	8 11 1	8 4 1
"	"	"	" " "		2	2	21 " "	8 11 1 " " 4
"	"	"	" " "		2	2	21 " "	3 " 1 " " 4
"	53/64	53/64	" " "		8 11 1			13 11 8 " 7 11
"	9/32	9/32	" " "		3 " 1			
"	15/16	15/16	" " "		13 11 8			9 7 4 " " "
15/64	"	15/64	" " "		9 7 4			
"	37/64	37/64	" " "		6 2 "			6 2 " " " "
5/64	"	5/16	" " "		2 10 "			2 10 " " " "
1/2	"	1/2	" " "		4 6 "			4 6 " " " "
19/32	"	19/32	" " "		5 2 3			5 2 3 " " "
9/64	"	9/64	" " "		1 3 3			1 3 3 " " "
2 5/8	7	9 5/8	" " "		96 5 8			96 5 8 18 9 6

17451.

First Sr. George

22d December 1842.

Number of Villages.	Number of Ryots.	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Seervah assessed on the quantities of Poonjy lands of Poonjy Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Rope viz. Kaveyra and Kadie for Fusles 1248. 1249 and 1250.					Amount of Seervah due for the Fusles 1248. 1249 and 1250. at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Ploughs and Acres. enumerated in the Jummabundy accounts.									Excess collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.		
								Ploughs				Acres.				Total of the Seervah of Ploughs and Acres.		
								New	Old	Total	Seervah	New	Old	Total	Seervah			
			Cs.	As.	Ps.	A	R	No.	No.	No.	Ps. A R							
8 Cauttoo Reemarauze patty.	1	Chennia Gounden	7	12¼	27	2	11									10 8 "	16	10 11
9 Jathrapandy	1	Chennia Gounden	67	4¾	235	11	4	"	1	1	10 8 "	"	"	"	"	"		
	2	Chinnandy Vellien	39	10¾	142	10	11	"	9	9	94 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	94 " 8	141 3 4
	3	Veneatramen	37	12½	130	6	"	"	6	6	63 " "	"	"	"	"	"	63 " "	79 10 11
	4	Cona Gounden	26	13¼	93	13	6	"	6	6	63 " "	"	"	"	"	"	63 " "	67 6 "
	5	Theeroomala Dr.	22	3¾	78	12	10	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	31 8 "	62 5 6
	6	Coopa Gounden	13	8¾	47	"	7	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	31 8 "	47 4 10
	7	Chinnan	22	9¾	74	8	8	4	2 37/64	2 37/64	27 1 2	"	"	"	"	"	27 1 2	19 15 5
	8	Patchacara Gounden	39	2½	136	1	10	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	31 8 "	43 " 8
	9	Vellaya Gounden	15	14¼	56	1	9	"	5	5	52 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	52 8 "	83 9 10
	10	Chinnien	2	15	10	12	4	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	31 8 "	24 9 9
	11	Chinnatha Anamaley	1	9	5	11	"	"	"	"	"	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	3 8 "	7 4 4
	12	Chinnatha Anamaley	6	7½	22	13	9	"	"	"	"	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	1 12 "	3 15 "
	13	Lutchmona Gounden	10	4¼	36	7	7	"	"	"	"	3	3	5 4 "		5 4 "	5 4 "	17 9 9
	14	Puttabee Chetty	5	15½	20	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	21 " "	15 7 7
			312	3½	1091	14	4	"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	"	"	10 8 "	10 6 3
								"	1	1	10 8 "	"	"	"	"	"		
								"	43 37/64	43 37/64	457 9 2	"	6	6	10 8 "		468 1 2	623 13 2

17452.

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842

Amount of Teeruh due for the Fuslies 1248. 1249 and 1250. at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Jummabundy accounts.

Number of Villages.	Number of Ryots.	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teeruh expected on the quantities of Poonjy Poonjy lands of Poonjy Cultivation at the rates determined according to the discrimination of their Crops. Dry. Kaveyi and Kadir for Fuslies 1248. 1249 and 1250.			Ploughs New	Ploughs Old	Ploughs Total	Ploughs Teeruh	Axes New	Axes Old	Axes Total	Axes Teeruh	Total of the Teeruh of Ploughs and axes	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
			Cs.	a	Rs a p	N.	N.	N.	Rs a p.	N.	N.	N.	Rs a p.		
10 Nocheypandy	1	Yeroola Coutien	1	"	3 8 "					1	1	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	" " "
						"	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "		
11 Beemarapatty	1	Coracody Venga Gounden	1	5	4 2 6	15/32	"	15/32	4 2 6	"	"	" " "	" " "	4 2 6	" " "
12 Malayanoor	1	Theeroomalay Gounden	2	4 3/4	6 " 3	11/16	"	11/16	6 " 3	"	"	" " "	" " "	6 " 3	" " "
2 Appoo Pillay			"	"	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 Thistna Pillay	1	11/4	5	15 5	"	9/16	9/16	5 15 5	"	"	"	" " "	" " "	5 15 5	" " "
4 Runga pillay			"	"	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 Auttan Lail			"	"	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6 Thavady Parea Pillay			"	"	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 Kona Gounden	1	10/2	4	6 "	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	4 6 "	" " "
			5	10/2	16 5 8	1 3/16	9/16	1 3/4	16 5 8	"	"	" " "	" " "	16 5 8	" " "

17453.

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Num.	Villages.	Num.	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teeruah after deducting the quantities of Poonjy Lands of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinctions of their Crops Viz. Kaveyl and Kadir for Fusles 1248. 1849. and 1250.				Amount of Teeruah due for the Fusles 1248. 1249. and 1250. at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Ploughs. and Axe enumerated in the Jummabundy Accounts.								Excess. Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
								Ploughs.				Axes.				Total of the Teeruah of Ploughs and axes.
				Cs.	a	Rs.	as.	New	Old	Total	Teeruah	New	Old	Total	Teeruah	
								Ns.	Ns.	Ns.	Rs. as.					
13	Reddy polleen	1	Appoo Pillay	15	13 3/4	54	11 11									31 8 "
		2	Kistna Pillay	24	1	86	1 2	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	42 " "
		3	Domaya Gounden	10	6	36	5 "	"	4	4	42 " "	"	"	"	"	21 " "
		4	Authum Lail	20	11	72	6 7	"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	"	37 12 8
		5	Latha Coon	13	1 3/4	45	14 1	"	3 19/32	3 19/32	37 12 8	"	"	"	"	31 8 "
		6	Runga Pillay	18	6 1/4	64	5 11	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	31 8 "
		7	Parea Villien	12	1	41	9 10	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	31 8 "
		8	Thanapady Sopa Gounden	12	3 1/2	37	6 6	"	2 13/32	2 13/32	25 5 1	"	"	"	"	25 5 1
		9	Thopaya Pillay	9	6	28	9 8	"	2 11/32	2 11/32	24 8 11	"	"	"	"	24 8 11
		10	Poracoody Chinnien-petta Parooso rama Gounden	12	6	32	7 9	2	"	2	17 8 "	"	"	"	"	17 8 "
		11	Do. Suncarapooram Rama pillay	3	15	10	5 4	1	"	1	8 12 "	"	"	"	"	8 12 "
		12	Do. Colacara Gounden	1	10 1/4	4	5 1	1/2	"	1/2	4 5 1	"	"	"	"	4 5 1
		13	Mootho Gounden	1	13 3/4	4	15 7	"	15/32	15/32	4 15 7	"	"	"	"	4 15 7
				158	15 1/4	519	8 5	3 1/2	26 13/16	30 7/16	312 34	"	"	"	"	312 34

17454.

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Amount of Teeruah due for the Fasties 1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Ploughs and Axes enumerated in the Summah bundy Accounts.

Number	Villagers.	Number	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teeruah assessed on the quantities of Poruy lands of Poruy Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of the Crops Viz. Kaveya and Kadie for Fasties 1248 1249 and 1250			Ploughs			Teeruah	Axes			Teeruah	Total of the Teeruah of Ploughs and axes	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
				Cl.	a	Rs a p	New	Old	Total		New	Old	Total			
		1	Mooka Gounden	69	11/4	242 15 7									63 " "	179 15 7
		2	Lutchmana Do	48	2/4	165 15 7		6	6	63 " "					63 " "	102 15 7
		3	Petcha Do	49	15/4	168 " "		6	6	63 " "					63 " "	105 " "
		4	Goorumba Aromaudree	37	9	129 4 1		6	6	63 " "					63 " "	66 4 1
		5	Coopa Gounden	38	5/4	131 15 5		6	6	63 " "					52 8 "	79 7 5
		6	Aroovengooda Chinnien	51	11 3/4	179 4 3		5	5	52 8 "					63 " "	116 4 3
14	Poothoor	7	Valiriga Moottoo Gounden	51	2	192 4 6		6	6	63 " "					63 " "	129 4 6
		8	Nariya Gounden	69	8	251 9 "		6	6	63 " "					94 8 "	157 1 "
		9	Warputty Chinnein	32	10	115 10 7		9	9	94 8 "					63 " "	52 10 7
		10	Rama Gounden Comange Coollen	37	3/4	131 4 2		6	6	63 " "					63 " "	68 4 2
		11	Sadaya Gounden	82	8	228 12 1		6	6	63 " "					94 8 "	134 4 1
		12	Pooleyamputty Chinnien	30	5 3/4	104 3 10		9	9	94 8 "					31 8 "	72 11 10
		13	Shadien	36	14	128 14 5		3	3	31 8 "					42 " "	86 14 5
		14	Caroovanttovaly Chinnein	23	6 1/4	80 14 1		4	4	42 " "					31 8 "	49 6 1
		15	Cookoothethan	28	3/4	98 " 11		3	3	31 8 "					31 8 "	66 8 11
		16	Narumba Gounden	24	13 1/2	85 13 9		3	3	31 8 "					31 8 "	54 5 9
		17	Theeroovengodum	32	13	116 7 9		3	3	31 8 "					31 8 "	84 15 9
		18	Cunne Gounden	16	1 3/4	53 5 1		3	3	31 8 "					21 " "	32 5 1
								2	2	21 " "						

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Amount of Teerwah due for the Fusslies 1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Ploughs and Axes enumerated in the Summahlundy Accounts.

Number of Villages	Number of Ryots	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Teerwah assessed on the quantities of Ponjay Lands of Ponjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops Viz. Paveye and Kadir for Fusslies 1248, 1249 and 1250				Ploughs				Axes				Total of the Teerwah of Ploughs and Axes	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
			Cs.	as.	Fs.	as	New	Old	Total	Teerwah	New	Old	Total	Teerwah		
							No.	No.	No.	Fs. as						
	19	Coorumba Anawa=lay	20	1¼	71	7									31 8	39 8 7
	20	Authepody Shetten	22	11¾	81	9	3	3	31	8	"	"	"	"	31 8	50 1 6
	21	Cauttoo Besmaraputty Chinnien	23	7¼	83	9	3	3	31	8	"	"	"	"	36 14 7	46 10 5
	22	Colepootha Anama=lay	1	6	4	14	3 30/64	3 23/64	30	14 7	"	"	"	"	1 12	3 2 9
	23	Shollan	3	10¼	13	8	"	"	"	"	1	1	1	12	3 8	10 2
	24	Kullnaut Cauly	1	2¼	3	15	"	"	"	"	2	2	3	8	1 12	2 3 10
	25	Sinnan	1	1¼	3	13	"	"	"	"	1	1	1	12	1 12	2 4
	26	Sinna Shetten	2	4¾	8	4	"	"	"	"	1	1	1	12	1 12	4 12 1
	27	Rama Gounden	6	9¼	23	5	"	"	"	"	2	2	3	8	3 8	12 8 5
	28	Jambadoo Rama — do.	13	9¼	41	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10 8	22 7 8
	29	Caroongalathoor Muthoora Ravatha Gounden	5	10¼	15	7	"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	"	10 8	21 8 9
	30	Valenjacoopa — do.	9	1	32	9	"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	"	3 10 7	" " "
	31	Vunnecoollee Vencata Gounden	1	6¼	3	10	1 11/32	1 1/32	11	10 9	"	"	"	"	3 10 7	" " "
	32	Keerpoolly Rama do.	4	8	15	"	"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	"	1 5	" " "
	33	Authoor Theroomlay Gounden	1	6¾	3	11	"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	"	3 11 6	" " "
	34	Paramaiyary Rama do.	"	9	1	7	"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	"	1 7 8	" " "
	35	Rully	"	8¾	1	6	"	1	1	10 8	"	"	"	"	1 6 9	" " "
							3 43/64	104 35/64	108 3/16	1129 6 10	"	7	7	12 4	1141 10 10	1857 7 11

17456

Fort St. George

22d December 1842

Amount of Teervah due for the Fusslies 1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Summah bundy Accounts.

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teervah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay land of Poonjay Cultivation the rates determined according to the distinction of their Gross Virpt. Kawys and Nadir for Fusslies 1248, 1249, and 1250				Ploughs				Axes				Total of the Teervah of Ploughs and Axes			Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.		
				Ct	as	Fs	as	New	Old	Totah	Teervah	New	Old	Totah	Teervah						
								No.	No.	No.	Fs as										
		1	Thatha Gounden													94	8	"	173	7	11
		2	Cootta Gounden	79	3¾	267	15 11	"	9	9	94 8 "	"	"	"	"	73	8	"	106	6	"
		3	D° his Brother Mavootha D°	52	3¼	179	14 "	"	7	7	" " "	"	"	"	"	21	"	"	44	11	10
		4	Parearama D°	19	8¼	65	11 10	"	2	2	11 " "	"	"	"	"	25	10	5	17	8	10
		5	Chinn Rama D°	12	12½	43	3 3	"	2 7/16	2 7/16	25 10 9	"	"	"	"	42	"	"	76	9	"
		6	Karacul Thotha D°	31	2½	118	9 "	"	4	4	42 " "	"	"	"	"	27	14	3	24	7	2
		7	Ponnacoothee Chinna D°	41	"	142	3 11	"	2 21/32	2 21/32	27 14 3	"	"	"	"	63	"	"	79	3	11
		8	Sudien					"	6	6	63 " "	"	"	"	"	31	8	"	86	11	9
		9	Cunjanee audee	33	4	118	3 9	"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	1	22	12	"	14	15	10
		10	Pitchmuda annamalie	10	8¼	37	11 10	"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	3	26	4	"	63	13	1
		11	Conttoo-cara Valien	25	12¼	90	1 1	"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	1	17	14	1	10	1	11
		12	Poracoodee arounga doo Chinna Gounden	8	2	28	" "	"	1 17/32	1 17/32	16 2 1	"	"	"	"	3	1	"	"	"	"
		13	D° Vontha D°	1	2¾	3	1 "	11/32	"	11/32	3 1 "	"	"	"	"	8	12	"	11	11	3
		14	Vasunthan	7	12¾	20	7 3	"	1	1	8 12 "	"	"	"	4	7	"	"	7	"	"	14	"	"
		15	Poochee	5	11¼	21	" "	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	11/16	1 1/16		2	15	3	2	15	3	"	3	6
		16	Chinnien	"	10¾	3	2 9	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	3	3	5	4	"	5	4	"	8	5	11
		17	Nerenjee Saudy Ramen	3	10½	13	9 11	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	2	2	3	8	"	3	8	"	4	1	8
15	Sembadoo	18	Poolliancotta Ramen	2	2¼	7	9 8	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	2	2	3	8	"	3	8	"	6	"	3
		19	Vellaya Gounden's son	2	11½	9	8 3	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	3	3	5	4	"	5	4	"	7	3	7

For Ft. St. George

22d December 1842

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Peervah assessed on the quantities of Ponjay lands of Ponjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops Viz. Kaveyn and Kadir for Fasslies 1248, 1249, and 1250				Amount of Peervah due for the Fasslies 1248, 1249, and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Sumnahbundy Accounts.							Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
								Ploughs				Axes			
				Cx	as	Rs	a p	New	Old	Total	Peervah	New	Old	Total	Peervah	Total of the Peervah of Ploughs & axes	
		20	Coopen	3	3	11	2 6	Nº	Nº	Nº	Rs a p						
		21	Poochy	1	13¼	6	6 4	"	"	"	" " "	3	3	5 4 "		5 4 "	5 14 6
		22	Pitchakaran	4	9¼	16	" 4	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	2 14 4
		23	Coothady Chinnien	2	2¾	7	9 8	"	"	"	" " "	3	3	5 4 "		5 4 "	10 12 4
		24	Yaremaan	1	1¾	3	15 11	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	4 1 8
		25	Ammaavasa Chinnien	3	1	11	" 9	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	2 3 11
		26	Nulla Vagan	"	12½	2	15 3	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	7 8 9
		27	Lutchmanen	1	12	6	5 6	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	1 3 3
		28	Coradepitchen	1	7	5	8 4	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	4 9 6
		29	Pitchandy Vellien	2	2¾	8	1 6	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	3 12 4
		30	Cooracootty thathen	1	2	4	2 6	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	4 9 6
		31	Corady Pitchen audee	2	2¾	7	9 8	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	2 6 6
		32	Cullado Seroonamala	1	12½	6	3 9	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	5 13 8
		33	Aroonachellum	1	6	4	13 "	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	2 11 9
		34	Semmatta thathen	1	7½	5	2 3	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	3 1 "
		35	Chinnienpetta Ramen	2	¾	7	2 8	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	3 6 3
		36	Chetteah thathen	3	8½	12	5 9	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	5 6 8
		37	Codalacolla chinnien	1	5¼	4	10 4	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	8 13 9
		38	Valechemput Coopen	2	13¾	10	" 2	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	2 14 4
		39	Yoocumput anamalay	"	14¾	3	3 7	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	6 8 2
		40	Vasoonthen's Brother Annamalay	1	1¼	4	3 5	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	1 7 7
		41	Chootady chinnien	2	1¼	7	4 4	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	2 7 5
		42	Noudee	"	13½	2	15 3	"	"	"	" " "	2	2	3 8 "		3 8 "	3 12 4
		43	Nocoal Coottien	1	6¼	4	13 10	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	1 3 3
								"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "		1 12 "	3 1 10
				1"	41⅝	42¾	448 15 9	"	56"	56"/16		99 3 3			548 3 "		850 8 "

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842

Amount of Seervah due for the Fusslies 1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and Axes enumerated in the Summahlundy Accounts.

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Seervah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay lands of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops last Fuzzy and Rabee for Fusslies 1248, 1249, and 1250.				Ploughs			Axes			Total of the Seervah of Ploughs and Axes	Excess collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
				Cs	as	Rs	as	New	Old	Total Seervah	New	Old	Total Seervah		
		1	Annamalay Gounden	47	"	164	5 5	№	№	№	Rs as			63 " "	101 5 5
		2	Peerthamala D°	25	1/4	87	2 11	"	6	6	63 " "			31 8 "	55 10 11
		3	Moorah D°	18	10/32	64	11 1	"	3	3	31 8 "			31 8 "	33 3 1
		4	Chelleapa Valia D°	16	6	57	4 11	"	3	3	31 8 "			27 12 10	27 8 1
		5	Solipadatchey	15	7/32	55	5 6	"	2 27/32	2 27/32	29 12 10			21 " "	34 5 6
		6	Vutta Gounden	16	15 3/4	56	5 3	"	2	2	21 " "			31 6 3	24 15 "
		7	Mohneh D°	1	14 1/4	7	12 3	"	2 63/64	2 63/64	31 6 3	"	"	1 12 "	6 " 3
		8	Jeroomala D°	1	4 1/2	4	13 10	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "	3 1 10
		9	Annamalay D° Chinnien	2	2 3/4	7	9 7	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "	1 12 "	5 11 10
16	Paroncolathoor Madoora Pellor Naiken Poliam	10	Yaroona Cowren	2	1/2	7	7 10	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "	1 12 "	5 6 7
		11	Poochee	2	3/4	7	2 7	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 5 10
		12	Coopen	"	14 1/4	3	1 10	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "	1 12 "	4 14 9
		13	Sellyeppa theethen	1	14 1/2	6	10 9	"	"	"	" " "	1	1	1 12 "	1 12 "	5 9 3
		14	Noma Gouinden	2	1 1/2	7	5 3	"	"	"	" " "					
		15	Poracoody Codala-cotta chinna D° Rama D°	1	3/4	2	14 5	"	"	"	" " "			2 14 5	" " "
		16	Sarungum	2	12 1/4	9	10 11	"	21/64	21/64	2 14 5	"	"	9 10 11	" " "
				157	15	549	12 4	"	59/64	59/64	9 10 11	"	"	8 8 14 " "	234 12 5	314 15 11
								"	21/64	20 3/4	21 5/64	2 20 12 5	"			

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842.

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Seervah assessed on the quantities of Poonjah Lands of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops Viz.t Kaneye and Kadir for Fuslies 1248, 1249. and 1250.—			
				Cs	as	Rs	as
17	Socapermahnoor	1	Curtha Gounden	16	3/4	58	3 11
		2	Sanga Do	59	6 3/4	199	12 11
		3	Colunda Do	8	12 1/2	30	11 9
		4	Vatarsya Do	28	7 3/4	94	9 9
		5	Lutchmana Do	23	8	82	4 "
		6	Mooka Do	5	6 1/2	18	14 9
		7	Permal Do	19	14	53	14 9
		8	Oria Do	4	8 1/2	8	14 8
		9	Karia Do	8	12	22	15 6
		10	Poracoody Narraina Do	4	5 3/4	11	7 9
				179	2 1/2	581	13 9
18	Keeranoor	1	Vellien Thothen and Mootien Gounden	72	12	248	15 11
		2	Vanga Do	15	7 1/2	55	1 7
		3	Veerabadra Do	11	1	39	1 7
		4	Poracoody Mootoosamy	11	"	30	10 "
		5	Do Parasooramun	5	"	13	2 "
		6	Yeroolapatchay Coottee	1	9 3/4	4	10 5
		7	Mooka Gounden	4	6 1/4	15	7 8
		8	Poracoody Thavara Gounden	19	15	56	6 3
				141	3 1/2	464	1 5

Amount of Seervah due for the Fuslies 1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of the Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Summahbundy Accounts.—

Ploughs					Axes				Total of the Seervah of Ploughs and axes		Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.	
New	Old	Total	Seervah		New	Old	Total	Seervah				
No.	No.	No.	Rs	as								
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	" "	37	3 11
"	2	2	21	" "	"	"	"	" "	89	4 "	110	8 11
3	6	9	89	4 "	"	"	"	" "	10	8 "	20	3 9
4	1	1	10	8 "	"	"	"	" "	42	" "	52	9 9
"	4	4	42	" "	"	"	"	" "	31	8 "	50	12 "
"	3	3	31	8 "	"	"	"	" "	10	8 "	8	6 9
"	1	1	10	8 "	"	"	"	" "	26	4 "	27	10 9
3	"	3	26	4 "	"	"	"	" "	8	12 "	"	2 8
1	"	1	8	12 "	"	"	"	" "	8	12 "	14	3 6
1	"	1	8	12 "	"	"	"	" "	8	12 "	2	11 9
1	"	1	8	12 "	"	"	"	" "	8	12 "	2	11 9
9	17	26	257	4 "	"	"	"	" "	257	4 "	324	9 9
"	13	13	136	8 "	"	"	"	" "	136	8 "	112	7 11
"	5 7/32	5 7/32	55	1 11	"	"	"	" "	55	1 11	"	9 8
"	2	2	21	" "	"	"	"	" "	21	" "	18	1 7
1	1	2	19	4 "	"	"	"	" "	19	4 "	11	6 "
1	"	1	8	12 "	"	"	"	" "	8	12 "	4	6 "
"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	1	12 "	2	14 5
"	"	"	"	" "	1	1	1	12 "	10	8 "	4	15 8
"	1	1	10	8 "	"	"	"	" "				
"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	17	8 "	34	14 3
2	"	2	17	8 "	"	"	"	" "				
4	22 7/32	26 7/32	268	9 11	"	1	1	12 "	270	5 11	189	11 6

17460 ||

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Teerwah assessed on the grain Cities of Poonjay lands of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their crops Viz. Nayeve and Kadir. for Fusslies 1248, 1249, and 1250				
				Cs	as	Fs	a	p
19	Narenjeepaudie	1	Mook Gounden	38	7	142	10	10
		2	Sepsane D°	32	1	114	3	11
		3	Coopaa D°	1	14	7	2	8
		4	Mootteo D°	11	12	44	14	4
				84	12	308	15	9
20	Appoo Naicken Polliem	1	Coopoo Reddy	41	12	140	6	2
		2	Annamoorthy D°	21	15¼	74	6	"
				63	11¼	214	12	2
21	Vapoore	1	Mootteo Gounden	31	13¼	112	4	5
		2	Sinna D°	11	15	42	7	1
		3	Thatha D°	16	7¼	58	6	5
		4	Arnachella D°	5	6	18	13	"
		5	Ponacoody Thouthen	1	14½	5	4	"
		6	D° Anamalay Gounden	7	12½	20	7	3
		7	D° Putcha cootty	2	13½	7	7	"
		8	Rama Gounden	23	2¾	83	12	5
		9	Salum poojary	"	6	1	5	"
		10	Parienna D°	"	8¼	1	12	11
		11	Totty Cautem	2	1½	7	5	3
		12	Cona Gounden	4	2¼	14	15	7
				109	3/4	374	4	6

Amount of Teerwah due for the Fusslies 1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Jummabundy Accounts.—

Ploughs				Axes				Total of the Teerwah of Ploughs and axes.	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
New	Old	Total	Teerwah	New	Old	Total	Teerwah		
N°	N°	N°	Rs a p						
"	6	6	63 - -	"	"	"	"	63 - -	79 10 10
"	6	6	63 - -	"	"	"	"	63 - -	51 3 11
"	11/16	11/16	7 2 8	"	"	"	"	7 2 8	" - -
"	2	2	21 - -	"	"	"	"	21 - -	23 14 4
"	14 11/16	14 11/16	154 2 8	"	"	"	"	154 2 8	154 13 1
"	9	9	94 8 -	"	"	"	"	94 8 -	45 14 2
"	4 15/16	4 15/16	52 3 7	"	"	"	"	52 3 7	22 2 5
"	13 13/16	13 15/16	146 11 7	"	"	"	"	146 11 7	68 - 7
"	3	3	31 8 -	"	"	"	"	31 8 -	80 12 5
"	2 21/32	2 21/32	28 - 11	"	"	"	"	28 - 11	14 6 2
"	2 31/32	2 31/32	31 1 10	"	"	"	"	31 1 10	27 4 7
"	1	1	10 8 -	"	"	"	"	10 8 -	8 5 -
39/64	"	39/64	5 4 -	"	"	"	"	5 4 -	" - -
1	"	1	8 12 -	"	"	"	"	8 12 -	11 11 3
27/32	"	27/32	7 7 -	"	"	"	"	7 7 -	" - -
"	3	3	31 8 -	"	"	"	"	31 8 -	52 4 5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 5 -
"	"	"	"	3/4	3/4	1 5 -		1 12 11	" - -
"	"	"	"	1/32	1/32	1 12 11		7 - -	5 3
"	1/2	1/2	5 4 -	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	1	1	1 12 -		10 8 -	4 7 9
"	1	1	10 8 -	"	"	"	"	"	"
2 27/64	14 1/8	16 37/64	169 13 9	2 25/32	2 25/32	4 13 11		174 11 8	199 8 10

17481 ||

Fort St George

22d December 1842

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Teerwah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay Lands of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops Viz. Kavey and Kadir for Fuslies 1248. 1249 and 1250.				
				Cs	as	Rs	a	p
		1	Curtha Gounden	38	14½	132	6	6
		2	Lutchmana Dº	24	5½	82	3	11
		3	Kistnama Naick	8	13½	30	5	7
		4	Thondava Gounden	14	½	47	4	.
22	Colamanjavoor	5	Rama Gounden	18	14	63	13	1
		6	Koosaince	3	3	11	1	7
		7	Ramasawmy Gounden	13	9½	47	15	5
		8	Konen	1	9	5	7	6
		9	Pitcha Gounden	8	5	26	7	6
				131	12	447	1	1
		1	Sabapathee Pundarum	39	12¼	142	1	3
		2	Thennary Ramen	12	7	39	12	1
23	Tharrepaudy	3	Pescantoo Colunday	7	6¼	25	13	10
		4	Vadamala Chary	13	11½	48	7	3
		5	Soobaroya Pundarum	23	15¾	62	4	7
				97	4½	318	7	.

Amount of Teerwah due for the Fuslies 1248 - 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Aloughs and axes enumerated in the Summahbundy Accounts.-

Aloughs				Axes				Total of the Teerwah of Aloughs and axes.			Excess collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.		
New	old	Total	Teerwah	New	old	Total	Teerwah						
Nº	Nº	Nº	Rs a p										
"	8 2/32	8 2/32	86 14 5	"	"	"	"	86	14	5	44	8	1
"	44	44	42 " "	"	"	"	"	42	"	"	40	3	11
"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	"	21	"	"	9	5	7
"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	"	21	"	"	26	4	"
"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	31	8	"	32	5	1
"	1	1	10 8 "	"	"	"	"	10	8	"	"	9	7
"	"	"	" " "	"	1	1	1 12 "	1	12	"	3	11	6
"	1	1	10 8 "	"	"	"	"	10	8	"	15	15	6
"	22 9/32	22 9/32	233 14 5	"	1	1	1 12 "	235	10	5	211	6	8
"	6	6	63 " "	"	"	"	"	63	"	"	79	1	3
"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	"	21	"	"	18	12	1
"	1 27/32	1 27/32	19 4 10	"	"	"	"	19	4	10	6	9	"
"	2	2	21 " "	"	"	"	"	21	"	"	27	7	3
"	3	3	31 8 "	"	"	"	"	31	8	"	30	12	7
"	14 27/32	14 27/32	155 12 10	"	"	"	"	155	12	10	162	10	2

1762

Fort St George

22nd December 1842

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection in Teerwah assessed on the quantities of Coonjay & Poonjay Cultivation according to the distinct of their Crops Viz. Kaar and Kadir for Fusles 1248 - 1249 - and 1250				Amount of Teerwah due for the Fusles 1248 - 1249 - and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Summahbundy Accounts. — Ploughs: New	Ploughs: Old	Ploughs: Total	Ploughs: Teerwah	Axes: New	Axes: Old	Axes: Total	Axes: Teerwah	Total of the Teerwah of Ploughs and Axes	Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
				Cl	as	Rs	a p	Nos	Nos	Nos	Rs a p					Rs a p	Rs a p
		1	Mortookistnama Naick	78	12 3/4	281	1 5		12	12	126 - -					126 - -	155 1 5
		2	Lingapah ——— D: ———	45	9 1/2	165	10 8		6	6	63 - -					63 - -	102 10 5
		3	Kistnamah ——— D: ———	15	11 3/4	57	15 -		2 64/64	2 61/64	30 15 3					30 15 3	28 15 9
		4	Ramasawmy ——— D: ———	43	3/4	151	9 5		6	6	63 - -					63 - -	88 9 8
		5	Valayooda Pillay ———	48	14 1/4	183	8 5		9	9	94 8 -					94 8 -	89 - 5
		6	Andee Gounden ———	13	1/4	46	2 6		3	3	31 8 -					31 8 -	14 10 6
		7	Mottoo ——— D: ———	26	11 3/4	99	10 4		4	4	42 - -					42 - -	57 10 4
		8	Ramachendra Naick ———	7	9 1/4	21	10 8		2	2	21 - -					21 - -	3 10 8
		9	Yacale Narrain ———	-	15 3/4	3	7 1		-	-	- - -	1 29/32	1 29/32	3 5 4		3 5 4	- 1 9
		10	Croola Thalavirchan ———	-	10 1/2	2	4 9		-	-	- - -	1 5/16	1 5/16	2 4 9		2 4 9	- - -
		11	Sennate Gounden ———	1	1 3/4	3	3 9		-	-	- - -	1 15/32	1 15/32	2 9 2		2 9 2	- 10 6
24	Naraina Coopum	12	Moottiah Naick ———	5	14 3/4	21	7 -		1	1	10 8 -					10 8 -	10 15 -
		13	Kistnamah Naick ———	-	11	2	13 6		17/64	17/64	2 13 6					2 13 6	- - -
		14	Annamala Gounden Croola Tolpoolan	2	6 3/4	8	- 7		-	-	- - -	1	1	1 12 -		1 12 -	6 4 7
		15	Lumbadee Dasapah naicken	2	10	9	3 -		7/8	7/8	9 3 -					9 3 -	- - -
		16	Rama naicken ———	-	11 3/4	2	9 1		-	-	- - -	1	1	1 12 -		1 12 -	- 13 1
		17	Thaanepandy Kistnama naicken	1	13	6	4 7		19/32	19/32	6 4 7					6 4 7	- - -
		18	Mooddoo Naick ———	9	8 3/4	35	13 1		2	2	21 - -					21 - -	14 13 1
		19	Aroonadry Gounden ———	8	12 1/2	31	1 -		2	2	21 - -					21 - -	10 1 -
		20	Poracody Thathee naicken ———	25	6 3/4	66	11 6		2	2	21 - -					21 - -	10 1 -
		21	Sawmy Reddy ———	4	15 1/4	13	- 3		3	-	3 26 4 -					26 4 -	40 7 6
		22	Coottiya Pillay ———	6	-	15	12 -		1	-	1 8 12 -					8 12 -	4 4 3
		23	Nagapa Gounden ———	2	10 3/4	9	5 7		1	-	1 8 12 -					8 12 -	7 - -
									4	57/64	57/64 9 5 7					9 5 7	- - -
				353	11 1/2	1243	5 1		52 37/64		595 13 11	-	6 11/16	6 11/16	11 11 3	607 9 2	635 11 11
25	Audapattoo	1							-	-	- - -	-	3	3	5 4 -	5 4 -	19 8 5
				8	12 1/4	24	12 8										

1462

Fort St George

22nd December 1842

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Teerwah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay Land of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the Distinction of their Crops Viz.m Kaver and Kadeer for Fasliel 1248 - 1249 - and 1250.					Amount of Teerwah due for the Fasliels 1248 - 1249. and 1256 at the rates formerly fixed on the Number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Summahbundy Accounts.—										Excess Collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
									Ploughs				Axes				Total of the Teerwah of Ploughs and Axes		
				Cl	as	Rs	a	p	New	Old	Total	Teerwah	New	Old	Total	Teerwah			
									No	No	No	Rs a p							
		1	Mortookistnama Naick	78	12 3/4	281	1	5		12	12	126 . .					126 . .	155 1 5	
		2	Lingapah ——— D: ———	45	9 1/2	165	10	5		6	6	63 . .					63 . .	102 10 5	
		3	Kistnamah ——— D: ———	15	11 3/4	57	15	.		2 61/64	2 61/64	30 15 3					30 15 3	28 15 9	
		4	Ramasawmy ——— D: ———	43	3/4	151	9	5		6	6	63 . .					63 . .	88 9 8	
		5	Valayooda Pillay ———	48	14 1/4	183	8	5		9	9	94 8 .					94 8 .	89 . 5	
		6	Andee Gounden ———	13	1/4	46	2	6		3	3	31 8 .					31 8 .	14 10 6	
		7	Mottoo ——— D: ———	26	11 3/4	99	10	4		4	4	42 . .					42 . .	57 10 4	
		8	Ramachendra Naick ———	7	9 1/4	21	10	8		2	2	21 . .					21 . .	3 10 8	
		9	Yacale Narrain ———	.	15 3/4	3	7	1					29/32	1 29/32	3 5 4		3 5 4	. 1 9	
		10	Croola Thalavirchan ———	.	10 1/2	2	4	9					5/16	1 5/16	2 4 9		2 4 9	. . .	
		11	Sennate Gounden ———	1	1 3/4	3	3	8					15/32	1 15/32	2 9 2		2 9 2	. 10 6	
24	Naraina Coopan	12	Moottiah Naick ———	5	14 3/4	21	7	.		1	1	10 8 .					10 8 .	10 15 .	
		13	Kistnamah Naick ———	.	11	2	13	6		17/64	17/64	2 13 6					2 13 6	. . .	
		14	Annamala Gounden Croola Tsopoolan	2	6 3/4	8	.	7					1	1	1 12 .		1 12 .	6 4 7	
		15	Lumbadee Dasaprah Naicken	2	10	9	3	.		7/8	7/8	9 3 .					9 3 .	. . .	
		16	Rama Naicken ———	.	11 3/4	2	9	1					1	1	1 12 .		1 12 .	. 13 1	
		17	Thaunsepandy Kistnama Naicken	1	13	6	4	7		19/32	19/32	6 4 7					6 4 7	. . .	
		18	Mooddoo Naick ———	9	8 3/4	35	13	1		2	2	21 . .					21 . .	14 13 1	
		19	Arroonadry Gounden ———	8	12 1/2	31	1	.		2	2	21 . .					21 . .	10 1 .	
		20	Poracoody Thathee Naicken ———	25	6 3/4	66	11	6	3	.	3	26 4 .					26 4 .	40 7 6	
		21	Sawmy Reddy ———	4	15 1/4	13	.	3	1	.	1	8 12 .					8 12 .	4 4 3	
		22	Coottiya Pillay ———	6	.	15	12	.	1	.	1	8 12 .					8 12 .	7 . .	
		23	Nagapa Gounden ———	2	10 3/4	9	5	7	.	57/64	57/64	9 5 7					9 5 7	. . .	
				353	11 1/2	1243	5	1	5	52 37/64	57 37/64	595 13 11	.	6 11/16	6 11/16	11 11 3	607 9 2	635 11 11	
25	Audapattoo	1												3	3	5 4 .	5 4 .	19 8 5	
				8	12 1/4	24	12	8											

17463

Fort St. George

22nd December 1842

Amount of Teerwah due for the Fuslies 1248 - 1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes enumerated in the Summahbundy Accounts.—

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots.	Amount Collection of Teerwah adjusted on the quantities of Poonjy Land of Poonjy Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Viz.ts Nanjey and Kaul for Fuslies 1248 - 1249 and 1250.			Ploughs New	Ploughs old	Ploughs Total	Ploughs Teerwah	Axes New	Axes old	Axes Total	Axes Teerwah	Total of the Teerwah of Ploughs and Axes	Excess collected and to be repaid to the Ryots.
				Cs	a	Rs a p	Nos	Nos	Nos	Rs a p						
		1	Soobba Gounden	46	2	163 3		7 31/32	7 31/32	82 4 11					82 4 11	80 14 9
		2	Thatha Gounden	64	5 1/4	228 5 1		6	6	63 - -					63 - -	165 5 1
		3	Venga Gounden	27	4	96 6 9		3	3	31 8 -					31 8 -	64 14 9
		4	Parea Rama D:o	9	15	35 3 4		1	1	10 8 -					10 8 -	24 11 6
		5	Vatta Gounden	30	6	96 2 3		3	3	31 8 -					31 8 -	64 10 3
		6	Maurea Gounden	38	15 1/2	124 4 -		5 7/32	5 7/32	54 13 8					54 13 8	69 6 4
		7	Maurea Gounden	34	14 3/4	108 - 1		3	3	31 8 -					31 8 -	76 8 1
26	Bonthay	8	Teeroovengada Achary	21	1/4	73 2 5		2	2	21 - -					21 - -	52 2 8
		9	Moolien	9	9 1/2	33 1 5		1 31/32	1 31/32	20 10 9					20 10 9	12 6 8
		10	Mootheyala Chetty	22	11 3/4	71 14 8		3	3	31 8 -					31 8 -	40 6 8
		11	Moothoo Gounden	5	3	14 13 1		1	1	10 8 -					10 8 -	4 5 1
		12	Pachamoothoo D:o	9	10 1/4	29 12 -		1	1	10 8 -					10 8 -	19 4 -
		13	Colagoody Catha Padachy	19	15 3/4	52 15 10		3	3	26 4 -					26 4 -	26 11 10
		14	Mootheya Gounden	10	4 3/4	36 12 11		1	1	10 8 -					10 8 -	26 4 11
		15	Matoocara Valien	3	9	10 13 -		1	1	10 8 -					10 8 -	- 7 -
		16	Veerabadra Pillay	2	5 3/4	6 9 10		3/4	3/4	6 9 10					6 9 10	- - -
				356	14 1/2	1187 10 9		3 3/4	40 5/32	43 29/32	453 3 2				453 3 2	728 7 7
27	Keelainbothamoor	1	Authum Saib	8	1/4	21 - 11		2	2	17 8 -					17 8 -	3 8 11

17464

Fort St George

December 1842

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Seer-wah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay land of Poonjay Cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops Viz. Plough and Hader for Fasslies 1248-1249 and 1250.					Amount of Seerwah due for the Fasslies 1248-1249 and 1250 at the rates formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs and axes rated in the Gunnahbundy Accounts.								Excess collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
									Ploughs			axes				Total of the Seerwah of Ploughs and axes	
									old	Total	Seerwah	New	old	Total	Seerwah		
				Ps	a	c			Ns	Ns	Ps a c						
		1	Monda Gounden	57	2 3/4	181	5	6	9	9	94 8 -					94 8 -	86 13 6
		2	Colacara Gounden	50	10	173	15	10	6	6	63 - -					63 - -	110 15 10
		3	Coopa Gounden	38	1 1/4	133	15	10	6	6	63 - -					63 - -	70 15 4
		4	Nakapaudy Gounden	43	14 3/4	156	14	9	6	6	63 - -					63 - -	93 14 9
		5	Sala Gounden	26	15	96	6	8	6	6	63 - -					63 - -	33 6 8
		6	Yellapa Gounden	27	15	104	2	6	5	5	52 8 -					52 8 -	51 10 6
		7	Aseya Gounden	19	1	68	13	7	2	2	21 - -					21 - -	47 13 7
		8	Bonda Rama D°	14	13 3/4	53	6	11	3	3	31 8 -					31 8 -	21 14 11
		9	Parea Rama D°	35	5 3/4	124	-	5	6	6	63 - -					63 - -	61 - 5
		10	Vencatachella Pillay	46	9 1/2	159	11	5	6	6	63 - -					63 - -	96 11 5
28	Chinnienpettah	11	Parasooramen	21	6	72	14	4	2	2	21 - -					21 - -	51 14 4
		12	Sadaya & Colacara Gounden	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- - -					- - -	- - -
		13	Sadaya D°	17	7 1/4	56	10	9	2	2	21 - -					21 - -	35 10 5

17465

Fort St George

Number	Villages	Number	Names of the Ryots	Amount Collection of Teerwah assessed on the quantities of Poonjay lands of Poonjay cultivation at the rates determined according to the distinction of their Crops Viz^t Kaveye and Fd abstract for Fusslies 1248. 1849. and 1250				
				C	as	Rs	a	p
		14	Authum Saib		1/2	"	5	3
		15	Kartha Gounden	"	"	"	"	"
		16	Sauga Do	"	"	"	"	"
		17	Chinna Rama Do	7	13 1/4	27	9	"
		18	Soobbaroya Pundaram	48	13 1/2	172	15	8
		19	Vunnara Savathan	"	"	"	"	"
		20	Sopa Gounden	"	9 3/4	2	2	2
		21	Poojary Do	13	5 1/2	40	4	11
		22	Andee Do	34	5 1/4	122	9	9
		23	Vattaraja Do	36	9	122	6	3
		24	Poracoody Mootto Do	1	13 1/4	6	11	7
		25	Do Rama Do	2	2 1/2	6	9	"
				1	3	3	6	3
				540	1 1/2	1887	5	6
			Grand Total	1,204	8 1/2	1,414,414	8	4

Persons 292

Cuddalore,
Coll^r Cutcherry,
28th November 1842.

22nd December 1842

Amount of Teerwah due for the Fusslies formerly fixed on the number of Ploughs Jummabundy Accounts.

Ploughs New	Ploughs Old	Ploughs Total	Teerwah		
No	No	No	Rs	a	p
"	1/32	1/32	"	5	3
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	1 27/32	1 27/32	19	5	9
"	6	6	63	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	13/64	13/64	2	2	2
"	1	1	10	8	"
"	2	2	21	"	"
"	4	4	42	"	"
"	5/16	5/16	3	3	7
2/4	"	3/4	6	9	"
3/8	"	3/8	3	6	3
1 1/8	74 25/64	75 33/64	791	"	"
45 15/16	552 19/32	598 17/32	6203	6	11

22nd December 1842.

ught forward ab'a future Meeting
tary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Referring to paras 12, 13
the Board's Proceedings
ist I have the honor
required explanation

whole amount of
would have been brought
to Mr Dent's order for
Rayards would have
Rupees 11716-4-4 but out
(14,545-15-8.) granted
5-3-1 were waste, and
became 9571-1-3 as stated.
waste was remitted.—
Taloor Nuar Statements
atory of the details of
repayment under the
missioner Dent just
closed. It will be
also that the actual
1576-21/8 or 11423-14-7-
ste mentioned in
preceding

Number	Villages	Number	Names	1248, 1249 and 1250 at the rates and axes enumerated in the Axes: New	Axes: old	Axes: Total	Jeerwak	Total of the Jeerwak of Oloughs and axes	Excess collected and to be repaid to the Ryots
14			Authum					53	
15			Kurtha						
16			Sauga						
17			Chinna					19 59	8 3 3
18			Soobbaroy					63	109 15 8
19			Vunnara						
20			Sopa Gra					2 22	
21			Poojary					10 8	29 12 11
22			Andee					21	101 9 9
23			Vattaraja					42	80 6 3
24			Poracody		1	1	12	4 15 7	1 12
25			Do Ra					6 9	
								3 6 3	
				1	1	12		792 12	1094 9 6
				5	118 5/32	123 5/32	243 5 5	6416 12 4	* 7997 12

Persons 2

Of Fusly 1248 2,564 7 11

1249 3,156 14 4

1250 2,276 5 9

Total 7997 12

Average 2666 14 8

Cuddalore,
Coll.rs Cutcherry,
28th November 1842.

E E & Co.s Agent C.H. Hallett
Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting

(66-67)

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From C.H. Hallett Esq.re Collr of South Arcot — Fiscal

Referring to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue 28th April 1842 and forwarding two Statements with explanations regarding back-yards &c.a —

(68)

1 Referring to paras 12, 13-14 and 15 of the Board's Proceedings of 28th April last, I have the honor to forward the required explanations.—

2 The whole amount of waste which would have been brought to account, but for Mr. Dent's order for remission on Back-yards would have amounted to Rupees 11,716-4-4 but out of the remission (14,545-15-8.) granted by that order, 2,145-3-1 were waste, and thus the entry became 9,571-1-3 as stated. No other item of waste was remitted.—

Original Waste 11,716-4-4
waste included in Mr. Dent's remission 2,145-3-1
Brought to account 9,571-1-3.

3 The Taluq Wuar Statements required, explanatory of the details of remission and repayment under the order of Mr. Commissioner Dent just alluded to, are enclosed. It will be seen from them also that the actual remission granted was 1,576-2½ or 11,423-4-7½ the 2,145-3-1 for waste mentioned in preceding

preceding para, together with 974-14-0 Kuppser, making the total 1145 4/12 5-15-0 8-0 The sum actually repaid was 1069-7-1.

4 The small sum of 7 Rupees was specially remitted by Mr. Dent, on proof being given by a ryot, named Chinnady Pillay of Adanoor Village in Tinnevanum taluk, that his crops had been destroyed by inundation, the Teeroah was 14 Rupees, and the order was that 1/2 should be written off. There is nothing on the records to shew that it was an extraordinary case. though it doubtless must have been, and there are always a few such during the Settlement.

5 The entry of the No. of Shrotriem Villages was a clerical error. It should have been 62. The difference of 34-10-5 arose from the fluctuation in salary items, and wages to village servants, these not being fixed in Madras Rents, and the Cuddalore Shrotriems, but varying with the harvest.

Cuddalore, - - -
Coll.r's Cutcherry, -
7th December 1842. -

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector.

No. 7 Statement shewing the amount of Teeroah collected on Land occupied as Houses and backyards without authority and repaid under Mr. Commissioner Dent's order for fusly 1250 in Southern Division of Arcot.

No.	Talook.	No. of Individuals.	Amount refunded. Rs.	a.	p.	Remarks.
1	Tindevanum	169	32	3	10	These are the individuals who have paid the whole or a part of the Teeroah on Land, which they occupied for House and backyard &c. without authority, and which amount was refunded to them thro' the Talookdars.
2	Villeporum	397	211	5	11	
3	Bawangherry	1401	586	11	2	
4	Manargoody	100	67	5	6	
5	Chellumbrum	220	110	11	6	
6	Trenamallie	11	16	8	9	
7	Triedlore	60	21	15	4	
8	Chaitput	42	27	10	2	
9	Cullacoorchie	31	6	5	10	
	Total	2431	1069	7	1	

Cuddalore, - - -
Coll.r's Cutcherry, -
7th December 1842.

E. E. per (Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Statement

17470 [illegible].

[illegible] deducted from the same in Tuesly 1250..

Numbers.		Garden.		Nunjah.. Total		Teerwah.			Totaeah Houses		Garden		Total		Teerwah		
1		10		11		12			13		14		15		16		
		Cs.	As.	Cs	As	N2s	a	b	Cs	As	Cs	As	Cs	As	Rs	a	b
		1	1	1	15	18	4	1	10	6 1/4	19	2 1/4	29	8 1/2	517	11	8
1	Jer	"	1 1/2	"	6	4	8	10	12	12 3/4	13	12	26	8 3/4	517	9	"
2	Jre	"	2 1/2	"	6 3/4	3	7	6	12	5 1/8	14	6 1/8	26	6 3/4	509	9	7
3	Vic	1	9	3	2 1/4	21	9	1	6	5 3/4	10	15	17	4 3/4	296	4	7
4	Bor	2	4 1/4	3	7 3/4	39	15	"	4	10	10	4 3/4	14	14 3/4	163	4	7
5	Mc	"	12 1/4	1	5	10	9	11	17	13 3/4	13	11 1/4	31	9	346	"	6
6	Che	"	5 1/4	"	13 3/4	8	8	6	3	1 7/4	1	4 3/4	4	6	89	4	11
7	Jre	"	13 1/2	1	8 3/4	12	"	10	8	11 1/4	7	3 3/4	15	15	304	6	8
8	Ver	"	15 1/4	1	4 1/4	13	1	5	12	7 7/8	13	1 3/4	25	9 5/8	490	10	6
9	Cla	"	3 1/4	"	14	10	2	"	4	6 1/4	4	1 1/2	8	7 3/4	169	4	1
10	Jre	"	3 1/2	"	8 1/2	5	13	9	7	8	3	8	11	"	219	6	10
11	Cu	"	9	"	14 3/4	6	11	4	5	11 1/4	9	15 3/4	15	11	289	6	9
12	Cl	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 1/2	"	1 1/2	"	10	6
13	Cu	8	14	16	10 3/4	154	12	3	105	15	121	8 3/8	227	7 3/8	39	13	10 2

1747

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Total Houses		Total Garden		Total		Teerwah			Gramanuttums Houses		Garden	
17		18		19		20			21		22	
Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.	C. Rs.	a.	b.	Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.
75	13½	64	11½	140	9	975	5	2	9	13	37	1¼
87	7¾	61	2½	148	10¼	1198	3	11	4	13¾	95	5¼
67	11⅛	61	4⅛	128	15¼	1032	14	10	10	15½	34	11¾
62	12¼	69	1½	131	13¾	862	"	8	2	10	83	5
23	10¾	39	¼	62	11	366	3	3	"	11	17	3
34	11½	30	9½	65	5	476	1	"	3	7¾	12	7¾
30	11½	16	6¾	47	2¼	219	"	1	"	3	5	6¾
53	10	47	15¾	101	9¾	754	7	8	7	8¼	81	10¼
55	9⅝	42	13¾	98	7⅜	801	8	6	"	7	13	10½
26	9½	15	4¼	41	13¾	312	14	8	2	7¾	13	12½
22	11½	11	1¼	33	15¾	326	3	3	2	6	17	13¾
51	14¼	40	14¾	92	13	591	8	11	1	5¼	17	5¼
1	"	1	4¾	2	4¾	9	11	10	"	5	2	1
594	8¼	501	10⅝	1096	2⅞	7926	3	9	47	1¼	431	14

Cuddalore Collrs Office

Total		Teerwah			Grand Total of Colms. No. 17 & 21.			Grand Total of Colms. No. 18 & 22.			Grand Total of Colms. 19 & 23.			Grand Total Teerwah Colms. 20 & 24.	
					25.			26			27			28	
23		24													
Cs.	as.	C. Rs.	a.	b.	Cs.	as.		Cs.	as.		Cs.	as.		C. Rs.	a. b.
46	14¼	363	7	"	85	10½		101	12¾		187	7¼		1338	12 2
100	3	836	1	"	92	5½		156	7¾		248	13¼		2034	4 11
45	11¼	324	13	1	78	10⅝		95	15⅞		174	10½		1357	11 11
85	15	626	2	7	65	6¼		152	6½		217	12¾		1488	3 3
17	14	78	10	1	24	5¾		56	3¼		80	9		444	13 4
15	15½	138	12	5	38	3¼		43	1¼		81	4½		614	13 5
5	9¾	21	2	"	30	14½		21	13½		52	12		240	2 1
89	2½	672	13	2	61	2¼		129	10		190	12¼		1427	4 10
14	1½	100	13	2	56	5⅛		56	8¼		112	8⅞		902	5 8
16	4¼	91	14	11	29	1¼		29	¾		58	2		404	13 7
20	3¾	138	4	10	25	4½		28	15		54	3½		464	8 1
18	10½	96	8	4	53	3½		58	4		111	7½		688	13
2	6	10	4	3	1	5		3	5¾		4	10¾		20	" 1
478	15¼	3499	10	10	641	9½		933	8⅝		1575	2⅛		11425	14 7

C. C. Dy. Signed C. H. Hallett
Collector

17472
68-89

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

Proceedings thereon.- In this letter the Collector
April 28th 1842. supplies the Statements and explanations
called for by the Board in their Proceedings
on the settlement of his District for Fasly 1251
A.D. 1841-42
P. 2- they require no lengthened remarks. It would seem that
the whole of the Cahoolitah waste was brought to
Total waste — 11716-4-4. account with the exception of the
Backyard waste remitted. } 2145-3-1.
Nett brought to Summah } 9571-1-3. which formed parts of backyards

The Tahsildar's
Statements show that the total
assessment of cultivated backyard
land remitted by the late Commissioner
Commissioners Report Para 10 order of Govt 11th Nov 1841 Para 4 in Cons 2d Dec
was 11,426-14-7 of which 1069-7-1 having been actually realized by the late Principal
Collector was repaid to the
Riots.-

3 The other particulars
need no remark.-

Ordered that the
letter above recorded, and its
accompanying Statements, be
submitted for the information
of Government with Extract of
these Proceedings with reference to Para 8 of
the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
In Cons 18th July 1842. dated July 5th 1842.-

17473

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir.

From C. H. Ballett Esqre
Collector of South Arcot

With reference to the

70

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 18th January last, I have the
honor to request that you will be so good
as to obtain the sanction of Government
for the payment of the Cavel Pension
in arrears as specified in the annexed
Statement amounting to Company's
Rupees 98- 11- 7.
a. p.

Cuddalore, - - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry
7th December 1842.

[Signed] C. H. Ballett
Collector.

Statement

17474

Fort S.t George

22.d December 1842.

Statement of arrear Cavil Pension in the South Arcot requiring Sanction

No.	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per annum.	Date of Authority	Period for which arrear is due.	Total amount of arrears due	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government.
3	Peddoo Naick	78 14 7	11.th April 1807	12.th July 1841 to 11.th July 1842	78 14 7	Prevented attending to draw their allowance before the expiration of the Six Months by severe illness; as appears by the reports from the Tahsildars.	Under the explanation furnished by the Collector, the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for the disbursement of the arrears of Pension due to Peddoo Naick and Veerapah Servagar, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 99-14-7.	Sanction granted accordingly
116	Veerapah Servagar	21 " "	7.th Dº	Dº	21 " "			Fort S.t George, 19.th January 1843.
			Total Cl. Rupees		99 14 7			By Order,
								/ Sgd. Walter Elliot a.g Sec.y to Govt.

7.th December 1842

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S.t George, - - -
22.d December, 1842.

By Order,
/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Order thereon.

70-71

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Columns of the Statements and submitted for the orders of Government

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to report in reference to the papers noted in the Margin that I have at length made an arrangement relative to the disposal of the grant of Rupees 175 per Mensem to Fazul Ali Shah, the Head of the Fakeers, for the benefit of that sect.

From C. H. Hallett Esqre. Collector of South Arcot. Para 1.

Informing about the arrangement made relative to the disposal of the grant of Rupees 175 per Month to Fuzel ali Shah the Head of the Fakeers for the benefit of that Sect.

From Board 28th March 1839.
From Do. 27th September 1841.
From Do. 3d March 1842.
To Do. 17th March 1842.
From Do. 5th May 1842

72

2.

I would observe that the object of Government was at first by no means accepted in the sense intended. Viz. for the support of the poor Members of that class of persons, but Fazl Ali Shah is himself a quiet sensible man and after repeated conversations with him, I think that he now clearly understands the nature of the grant.

3.

The first proposition was to apportion a much larger share to himself and relations (incl

and to the Keeping up of festivals, but after hearing my reasons he made out a list which I am quite willing to agree to and which I enclose for confirmation.

No. 1

4. It is true that a large share goes to Fazul Ali Shaw and his family in comparison with the other Members of the sect but this is according to the Custom and has not been objected to; indeed the only opposition raised has sprung from the brother and other near relations of Fazul Ali Shah, which I conceive to be quite unreasonable, since as Head of the Caste he certainly has not, in comparison with the Amount fixed for those relations, given an excessive sum to himself.

5 I also enclose translation of

No. 2. a Memorandum, which I have deposited with Fazul Ali Shah, having first verbally explained its contents to him.

6. I will arrange with the Collector of Madras, and the Sub Collector of Chittoor Zillah respecting the payments at Madras and Arcot.

Cuddalore
Collectors Cutcherry.
8th December 1842.

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector

Fort St. George 27th December 1842.

17477

No 1 Memorandum of the sums proposed for the expenses of Fazul ali Shah as well as to his relations and other Fakeers residing in South and North arcot and at Madras.—

Number	Names	Age	Rate per Mensem.	Amount in a year	Total
			Cht. a p	Cht. a p	Cht. a p
	Amount of pension or income granted by Government.		175 . .	2100 . .	
Deduct	the amount Kept in Deposit out of the above sum to meet any other claimants that may appear within a year and be found good		. . .	200 . .	
	Balance				1900 . .
Detailed	account of the above Expenditures of Fazulali Shah.				
	On account of supplying every day to the travelling Fakeers that fall to at the death of Fazulali Shah or other head of the family		9 6 .	112 8 .	
1	To Fazulali Shah for his own subsistence	52 yrs	19 7 10	233 14 .	
2	To Fakeer Shah	46	11 1 .	135 . .	
3	Tippoo Shah	21	7 14 .	94 8 .	
4	Saha ali Shah	34	6 9 .	78 12 .	
5	Ebrahamale Shah	35	6 9 .	78 12 .	
6	Saltpher Shah	12	2 4 .	27 . .	
7	Emaun Shah	50	1 15 6	23 10 .	
8	Meeran Shah	32	1 . .	12 . .	
9	Boofsain Shah	80	2 10 8	32 . .	
10	Cawderishah	66	2 . .	24 . .	
11	Mahomed Shah	28	3 . .	36 . .	
12	Ebrame Shah Mangatly	35	1 . .	12 . .	
13	Brestah	80	1 . .	12 . .	
14	Mottheen Shah	40	1 . .	12 . .	
15	Moosacarale Shah	30	1 . .	12 . .	
16	Noorali Shah	28	1 . .	12 . .	
17	Ebrame Shah	70	1 . .	12 . .	
18	Mosafarali Shah	35	1 . .	12 . .	
19	Ashcali Shah	40	1 . .	12 . .	
20	Asheali Shah Veringeveram	30	1 . .	12 . .	
21	Shaban Shah	35	1 . .	12 . .	
22	Nazarali Shah	21	1 . .	12 . .	
23	Mathurali Shah	40	1 . .	12 . .	

North Arcot

17478 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

Station	Number	Names	Age	Rate per Mensem	Amount in a year	Total
					C^{rs} a p	C^{rs} a p
	24	Coonjarah Shah	40	1	12	
	25	Madar Shah	60	1	12	
	26	Mecon Shah	40	1	12	
	27	Bopsaim Shah	45	1	12	
	28	Peer Peerah Shah	60	1	12	
	29	Ayah Shah	50	1	12	
	30	Meerthah Shah	15	1	12	
	31	Cooroy pauk Catherah Shah	45	1	12	
	32	Hug. Madar Shah	48	1	12	
	33	Shawarah Shah	30	1	12	
	34	Eerathulla Shah	41	1	12	
	35	Isathabully Shah	70	1	12	
	36	Carmo Shah	30	1	12	
	37	Emanaree Shah	28	1	12	
	38	Eestin Shah	90	1	12	
	39	Mayvatheere Shah	45	1	12	
	40	Mareperthah Natherally Shah	50	1	12	
	41	Allahbad Sip Shah	40	1	12	
	42	Cuddapah Cawder Shah	40	1	12	
	43	Shaby Thullah Shah	30	1	12	
	44	Shah Shah	15	1	12	
	45	Lashcullee Shah	30	1	12	
	46	Mungoorthe Shah	26	1 2 8	12	
	47	Bengalore Ismal Shah	40	1	12	
	48	Yathoocauthoo Shah	70	1	12	
	49	Causeemah Shah	30	1	12	
	50	Modeen Shah	66	1	12	
	51	Ameed Shah	65	1	12	
	52	Ammathoollah Shah	25	1	12	
	53	Soobaw Shah	70	1	12	
	54	Hyderali Shah	45	1	12	
	55	Cawvary pauk Echen Shaw	40	1	12	
	56	Jasuck thally Shah	42	1	12	
	57	Eestin Shah	35	1	12	

17479 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Pattah	Number	Names	Age	Rate per Annum Rs.	Amount in a year Rs.	Total Rs.
	58	Ashen Shah	20	1	12	
	59	Azeezullee Shah	30	1	12	
	60	Abdulrahman Shah	65	1	12	
	61	Bukka Shah	45	1	12	
	62	Baboo Shah	28	1	12	
	63	Bahawully Shah	60	1	12	
	64	Bukhtawar Shah	65	1	12	
	65	Bawa Hussain Shah	23	1	12	
	66	Bungee Shah	25	1	12	
	67	Chullully Shah	58	1 10 8	20	
	68	Callacherry Peer Shah	65	1 10 8	20	
	69	Dr Bawa Shah	63	1 10 8	20	
	70	Daudee Shah	40	1 2 8	14	
	71	Peeda Rawuthen Pungee Shah	50	1	12	
	72	Mandull Shah	40	1	12	
	73	Jan Mahomed Shah	40	1	12	
	74	Daudee Shah	28	1	12	
	75	Jamaee Shah	21	1	12	
	76	Kamerally Shah	55	1	12	
	77	Kareeb Shah	70	1	12	
	78	Emaithoollah Shah	30	1	12	
	79	Madar Shah	28	1	12	
	80	Coroopanullie Shah	30	1	12	
	81	Yathem Shah	60	1	12	
	82	Bavah Shah	50	1	12	
	83	Kyamthullee Shah	27	1	12	
	84	Apakthully Shah	30	1	12	
	85	Seya Modeen Shah	60	1	12	
	86	Shur Modeen Shah	27	1	12	
	87	Kayully Shah	28	1	12	
	88	Karoomoollie Shah	35	1	12	
	89	Peadar Shah	30	1	12	
	90	Pyman Shah	25	1	12	
	91	Puckarully Shah	55	1	12	
	92	Koolooshurab Shah	30	1	12	
		Total Company's Rupees		158 5 4 16 10 7		1900

Cuddalore, Collectors' Cutcherry,
8th December, 1842 } Errors Excepted Fr. (Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector
Translation

Resolution thereon.

(72-73)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

From Govt. Jr. in Cons. 27th Septr. 1841
To Do. 7th April 1842
From Do. 4th in Cons. 5th May "

The arrangement formed by Mr. Hallett appears to the Board to be judicious, and in accordance with the intentions of the Honorable Court, and they recommend that it should be confirmed. The proportion of the grant assigned to Fazul Shah and his relatives does not appear more than is their due and in lieu of it as to what they have heretofore enjoyed. The contented parties whose cases the Collectors have addressed the Board to, but their objections do not appear to merit attention. The allowances to the individuals on the list of recipients will terminate on their demises respectively.

Their Petitions Nos. 1965-1967-1968-1970-1978-1979-and 1980-Accompany this paper.

To,
J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From C. H. Hallett Esqre. Collector of South Arcot.

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

(74)

under date the 18th January last,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to obtain the Sanction of Government for the payment of the Yeomiah Pension in arrears as specified in the accompanying Statement amounting to Company's Rupees 180-6-10.

Cuddalore,
Collector's Cutcherry,
10th December 1842.

Signed C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Statement

17484

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

No. 5. Statement of arrear Yeomiah Pen... in South Arcot requiring Sanction.

No.	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrear is due	Total amount of arrears due.	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
		C. V. A. P.			C. V. A. P.			
97	Manoosoorully	80 12 10	17th September 1829	From 12th October 18.. to 11th October 18..	80 12 10	Prevented attending to draw their allowance before the expiration of the Six Months by Severe Illness as appears by the Reports from the Tahsildar.	Under the circumstances stated, the Board recommend that the payment of the arrears of Yeomiah due to the applicants, Amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 160-6-10 may receive the Sanction of Government.	Sanction granted accordingly. Fort St. George 19th January 1843. By Order, (Sigd) Walter Elliot Asst Secy to Govt
255	Beebee Sahabah / Rabeyah beebee	79 10 ..	2d October 1806	Do.	79 10 ..			
	Total C. Rupees				160 6 10			

10th December 1842.

(Signed) C. H. Ballett
Collector

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George ---
22d December 1842.

By Order,
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Ordered

Fort St. George 22d. December 184[illegible]

Order thereon.

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 7th. and entered in Consultation 19th. Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:

To the acting Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that you have given an extension and comprehensiveness to the Extract from a dispatch of the Honorable Court to which you refer, which it was never intended to bear. A closer examination of it will show you that the scope of that passage is simply to express the Court's desire that the established Quit rent for land held on grants by Europeans shall continue as regards land so held as the sites of Houses &c. but shall be reduced in the case of lands held on the same authority that is on grants for Cultivation purposes, to the ordinary assessment

To the Actg. Coll.r of Tinnevelly — Inc. Cons. 19th. Dec.r 1842

27th. April 1842.

76

+5/4 Rupees a Cawney

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

is made to lands held without grants; nor is it implied that Europeans shall not hold lands except on grants or Certificates.—

2. Such a provision as the latter would indeed be totally at variance with act IV of 1837; to which enactment; and the rules proposed subsequently by the Board and sanctioned by Government on the 13th. November 1837, I am directed to refer you for the proper mode of proceeding as to the holding of lands by Europeans, being British subjects and not being in the public Service. As to the subject of lands being held by Europeans in the public Service, you will probably be shortly furnished with instructions, it being now under the consideration of Government.

Revenue Board Office — — } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Fort St. George 22d. December 1842 } Secretary.

Read the following letter

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From C. B. Thomas Esqre. } I have the honor to enclose for the
acting Coll.r of Tinnevelly } consideration of the Board an application
forwarded to me for — transmission by C. Jane Esqre.

77

Tinnevelly — } (Signed) C. B. Thomas
1st. December 1842 } Acting Collector

To

7 See a letter from Bd. to Govt. dated Oct.r 30th. 1837. Para. 7 transmitted to the Register of Govt. Grants Nov.r 15th. 1837; for Communication to all Collectors.
Inc. Cons. 16th. Nov.r 1837.

17487 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

No. 1

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 13th of October last ordering a retrenchment of 76 days extra tent allowance drawn by me in July 1251 whilst residing at Curtallum, I have the honor to state that the whole of that time with the exception of 28 days I was in attendance upon the Collector and not having a separate Cutcherry Establishment could not have left that place even if I had wished to do so. I also have the honor to observe that the proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 2nd of December last, upon which the present retrenchment must have been made, refer to the future, and not to the past under these circumstances I therefore hope the Board will sanction the extra amount drawn by me.

Madura, 29th November 1842. } I have &c.
(Signed) E. Kane
Asst. to the Collr. and Magistrate

Resolution thereon—

(77-78) The Board have considered the claim advanced by the Assistant Collector Mr. Kane, but regret that they are obliged to disallow it and inform the Commr.

J. B.

17488 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From E. B. Thomas Esqre. Ag Collector of Tinnevelly—

Referring for the consideration of the Board a Statement of waste in Nunjah maid Ponjah and requesting orders thereon—

(79)

Para 1— With reference to the orders of the Board in Para 19 of their Proceedings, dated 7th June 1841, forbidding the practice of Nunjah maid Ponjah, and the fear expressed by — Sir H. C. Montgomery that much land would consequently be thrown out of cultivation, I have the honor respectfully to request the consideration of the Board of the accompanying Statement, and their orders thereon.—

2. From the Statement of Nunjah maid Ponjah now waste in Carr (or the early Crop) and the probable result in the Peshanam (or late wet) at a dead loss to Government of Rupees 72122, it will be perceived that the fears expressed were not without foundation and that a considerable loss of Revenue is likely to ensue; while I fully appreciate and am anxious to act on the Government and Board's view, that the assessment should be, in all cases, on the soil and its capabilities, not on the Crop, I would with all deference request

	Rs
Carr	20912
Peshanum	52110
Total	72122

request a suspension only of the order for the present, till the survey shall have carefully examined, and reduced these lands to their proper class and assessment. I see no other way of obviating a considerable sacrifice of Revenue, these lands being I fear likely to continue to lie waste, to the needless loss both of Government and the Ryot, and with any such temporary permission to cultivate for the present on the terms hitherto usual in Nunjah Mail Poonjah, a distinct understanding might be joined, that it was merely a temporary arrangement, pending the further enquiry of the survey, and orders of the Board and since these lands are very few of them capable of Nunjah rates, and probably must in many cases be eventually reduced at the survey to Poonjy it would perhaps be better to adhere to the usual rate for this short time, rather than impose even the gradual increase suggested by the Minutes of Consultation 3d. November Para. 10 and Board's Proceedings of 10th. which would still, I fear, keep many of lands out of [illegible]

pressing on them more heavily than they can bear: my predecessor remarks, in his letter of 23d. February, that the exaction of 3/4 Nunjey Peerwah would in general press heavily: and the other consideration urged in the same letter still exists in full force, the unusually low price of grain, and difficulty the Ryots find in disposing of it.-

3- The causes of this Nunjah mail Poonjah, or Poonjah and Garden crops grown on land entered in the original accounts as Nunjah, are, as the Board will be aware, chiefly three - Some such lands were entered as Nunjah (wet) though unfit for it, by an error of the original Survey in Fusly 1212 / A. D. 1802, other portions have come temporarily under a Nunjah crop in some very abundant year of Rain, when constant showers almost superseded the need of irrigation; and having been once under Nunjah in the Village Accounts, were not suffered to fall back again to a lower class as Poonjah: or thirdly, it consists of portions of land farthest from the tank, higher than the

the rest, and only capable of partial irrigation, and when the Tank is in efficient repair, and full of water; or by the aid of wells dug at the Ryots' own expence - when it is laid down "that all the lands supplied by "Government Sources of irrigation should pay "full Nunjah Teerwah" it must not be forgotten that two main conditions of that supply as necessary for Nunjy are, that it must be adequate and continual - Nunjah requiring several inches depth of water to lie on the Land, while an occasional and partial flooding would be sufficient to produce a Poonjah Crop. -

4 I make this reference now for the consideration and orders of the Board, should they see fit to allow me to revert, as a temporary measure to the usual "Nunjah Mail Poonjah" terms in order to save, if possible, the entire loss of all this cultivation for the current and following Fusly: on the old terms there may still be one Poshamun (or late) crop raised, and a Revenue collected on much of the Nunjah Mail Poonjah, which will otherwise undoubtedly be entirely

waste and as the careful examination and reassessment of all such lands, in their usual course in the Survey can hardly be completed under 2 or perhaps 3 years, there will be a loss of nearly all Nunjah Mail Poonjah Revenue for that whole period; - which I have no doubt the Board would desire, if possible, to be obviated. -

5. When these lands come under the Survey I should propose to reduce them at once to Poonjah wherever that appeared, on full and careful enquiry, their proper class, and that Nunjah was impracticable; and in other cases to reduce them, if too highly assessed, to such lower class (turum) of Nunjah as their situation and capabilities with reference to irrigation &c. would seem fairly to demand; the Nunjah Teerwah now differs in almost every Village, and even in the same Village under different Tanks. -

6. The three Rules laid down in the Board's Proceedings of 15th. September 1842 Para 13, are calculated to meet every difficulty, and change required. The first Rule involving no change of class is at once coming gradually into operation, and will prove an encouraging

and

and most salutary bear to the cultivator
The 2d involving an examination and the
of class from Aumy to Ponjy, cannot
be acted on before the Looney Fasly
these lands. It is only with reference to
3d that I would beg respectfully to urge the
qualification above noticed, that for the
to apply justly, the supply of water from
Government sources must be sufficient
and unfailing.—

I

I have the honor respectfully to request
the orders of the Board as soon as convenient
to enable me to issue such sanction as may
I hope indeed our late crops for this Fasly
the Nunjah Mail Poonjah lands, before it
be too late, and for this purpose there is
much time to lose. The above is the amount
of the Actual Cash waste in Nunjah mail
Poonjah, and Span the Pishanum will
show nearly the estimated result, the enquiry
fair means are being used to ensure entire
If the Board deem it desirable rather to
let this Fasly pass, and see the full and
of the late orders, before

take due care to prepare an accurate account
of the Pishanum "Nunjah Mail Poonjah" and
report to the Board in March or April,
when all hopes of cultivation for the Current
Fasly will be over, and there will then be
time to consider what is best for the next:
but it is for the Boards' consideration whether
any change for this short period, involving
loss to Government, would not best be —
obviated by a temporary adherence to the long
standing practice of the District however
objectionable in principle. My sole object is
to save loss to Government, not to question for
a moment the propriety of the Rules, but to
bring facts to their notice as my duty requires,
while both conviction and duty would lead
me to follow out the principle so justly
laid down by the Board.—

Tinnevelly — 7
7th December 1842 }

/Signed/ C. B. Thomas
Acting Collector.

Statement—

1493

Sheriff's Office, 72a Lawnsdale 1842.

J.S.

Statement showing the Total Catch of Trawler and Drifter Gunboats for Month ended 28th April 1852.

	Cran Herring	Cran Haddock	Cran Whiting	Total Cran
Gunboat "Dauntless"	805	6235	11379	20172
Gunboat "Fearless"	1515	14917	11245	25460
Gunboat "Intrepid"	6615	28012	42925	57110

Catch landed at Granton on the 28th inst.

Statement of Fish purchased for Cash at the Granton Harbour Sales on the 28th inst. Fish not disposed of at the Sales will be sent to the Curing Establishment, Granton Pier.

Name of Buyer	No. of Lots	Cran	Price per Cran	Amount
Alex. Thomson	34	1202	205	1235
Jas. Gray	116	1015	62	341
Wm. Fraser	85	2059	309	2952

Total Cash £ 4993 7s 6d

Signed / A. B. Thomson
per Assistant
Clerking Officer.

Granton

Fort St George 22d December 1842.

Ordered that the following be sent in reply.—

To The Acting Collector of Tinnevelly

To the Actg Collr of Tinnevelly— Declining to sanction a reference to the old mode of assessing Nunjah land Punjah cultivation.— Wynaad Letter 22d 1842.

Sir

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 7th Instant and to acquaint you in reply that they cannot sanction a recurrence to the old mode of Assessing Nunjah land Punjah Cultivation even for this single year.—

79-80

2 It is probable that a considerable proportion of the land heretofore cultivated will not bear the full Assessment this year; but it appears to the Board quite certain that a good deal of it would be cultivated; and is left waste this year in the hope that a persistance in this course will procure a return to the former system. The Board regret that any encouragement has been afforded to this expectation by your misconception of the question; and that you did not at once publicly announce the tenor of the orders of Government communicated to you on the 10th ultimo for bringing the new system into operation gradually; as measures which you appear to admit, would cause the cultivation of a good deal of the waste

Letter Para 2

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

3 The Board request that you will proceed now to act on those orders pending the survey operations in pursuance of the liberal intentions of Government. They suggest that one fourth of the difference between the old assessment and the new on the lands cultivated, should be brought to account this year; 2/4 next year; 3/4 in the third; and the whole in the fourth; and this whether the lands are intermediately left waste or not and they think that the promulgation of this order, coupled with the distinct declaration that the old practice will not under any circumstances be restored, will have the effect of materially lessening the loss of Revenue which at present you anticipate.—

Revenue Board Office — Fort St George 22d December 1842

(Signed) J. D. Boundillon Secretary

Read the following letter—

To J. D. Boundillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

From C B Thomas Esqre Acting Collector of Tinnevelly—

I request the favor of your informing me as early as in convenient the result of my letter of the

17498 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

20th September last as to whether there is any probability of Sreelochana Mordeliar's return to this District, as appointment of Nair Shereistadar remaining yet Permanently filled up; should he not be returning, I am prepared to recommend the necessary arrangement for the Board's sanction, and which will reference to the approaching Curnoy require early Settlement.

Tinnevelly — 13th December 1842. } (Signed) C. B. Thomas
Acting Collector.

Order thereon.

(81-82)

Ordered to be brought
at future meeting.

17499 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Dt. Collr. of Coimbatore. Sir,

Returns the Famil Proceedings connected with the removal of Bheema Row from the situation of Agt. Hr. of Police of Erode with translated copies of such as are considered important Signature of the charges and the grounds upon which he was considered unworthy to hold that duty.

In conformity with the instructions of the Board conveyed in your letter of the 12th September 1842, I have the honor to return the Famil Proceedings connected with the removal of Beema Row from the situation of acting Tahsildar of Erode together with translated Copies of such documents as are considered important and directly bear on the case.

2. The charge of Gross and abuse of authority against Bheema Row was based upon the accusation of his having recalled a criminal case which he had disposed of, and actually committed to the Zillah Court of Salem, and forwarded to the Zillah Court of Salem, and which he subsequently permitted to be compromised. This charge, the Board will perceive on the face of the Proceedings is clearly established against him.

3. Bheema Row was directed to act as Tahsildar of Erode in consider-

(83)

* Vide accompanying Translation No. 1.

+ Vide Para 3 of letter from the Board dated 7th August 1837.

and the permission given for his re-employment by the Board, but this second Offence in his Police Capacity renders impossible that reliance can be placed on him; and in consequence, I do not consider him qualified to hold the situation of Head of Police.

Coimbatore -
Camp Metapolliem
26th November 1842.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

No. 2. Translation of a Deposition Solemnly given before the Magistrate of Coimbatore by Perindarg Thistnama Naick, Son of Channa Roya Naick, of Errode, in the Errode Taluk by caste Gollar, by profession attorney Peon of the said Taluk aged 50 years - Dated 9th July 1842.

Q. How came you professed of the Memorandum dated 21st April 1842 now produced before me - State what has passed in the case?

A. Sadasivayen the Manigar of Elavamalay village in the Errode Taluk preferred a complaint to the Police Officer charging Vellagoundan and others with having

causing a wound on his Head - two inches in width. I was then present in the Taluk Cutcherry - Subsequently I went to the villages and returned after 4 or 5 days when the Tahsildar put the Offenders who assaulted Sadasivan into handcuffs and sent them at 4 O'Clock on the date mentioned in the Memorandum in charge of the Peons in the Criminal Court - I was in the Taluk Cutcherry at that time, but I do not know whether the Packet containing the report and other papers to be sent to the Criminal Court was given to the said peons - I did not also see the peons escorting the Prisoners and the other parties connected with the case - They were not however in the cutcherry till 6 O'Clock P.M. after which they again came to the cutcherry I was informed by the Duffadar Tiroomaly Naick that they were called back under instructions from the Tahsildar. They were placed in confinement in the Taluk Cutcherry that night - myself Mootoonaick, Rungapah Naick, Narrain Naick Tiroomaly Naick, the Duffadar and certain other [illegible] I cannot recollect their names were

+ 21st April 1842.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

of our conversation; the discourse turned upon the recall of the Prisoners - when I remarked that this occurrence has been very rare and that such has never taken place at any time previously. This the Duffadar Timoomal Naick reported to the Tahsildar next morning who sent for us and with an angry look stated that he will throw earth into our mouths because we had the audacity to object to his Proceedings at the same time he sent me over the villages for the collection of the Public revenue. I had not therefore the opportunity of knowing what became of the parties concerned in the case. Eight or ten days afterwards, the Tahsildar said that myself, Narrain Naick and others were transferred to the Dharmaherrah Talook. Narrain Naick then shewed the Memo: connected with the case which he stated to have received from the Peon Govinda Naick - we informed the Tahsildar that we will produce this memo: to the Gentleman (Principal Collector) upon which he requested us to postpone our journey to Dharmaherrah [illegible] arrival of the

literal translation. meaning to turn us out of our duties.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

cottah - The memo - in question was written by Dasapah the Cotasay of the Talook, & did not see Govinda Naick giving the memo to Narrain Naick -

/Signed/ Perindoray Krishnama Naick

Solemnly affirmed before me this 9th of July 1842 -

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Magistrate -

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

113

Translation of a deposition solemnly given before the Magistrate by Narrain Naick Son of Runga Naick of Errade by caste Gentoo of Vishnoo Sect by profession a peon of the said Talook and aged 30 years dated 9th July 1842 -

How came you professed

2. of the Memorandum dated 21st April 1842, and produced before me bearing the signature of the Police Officer of Errode Talook. What has passed in the case to which it relates -

2

One day about three months ago Velliagounden and others of Kavamalay Village maltreated Sadasevien, the Manigar of the said village and flogged him with

4.

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

issued and completely stained a cloth. Sat
=veen complained of this to the Police Offi
Bheema Row. who investigated the matter
obtained depositions and packed them
up enclosing also the usual Report, for
the purpose of sending the Parties to the crim
=nal Court. He likewise drew out the list
now produced by me shewing the names of the
Parties, and gave it to Rungum and Govinda
Naick, the Attavanay Peons of the Talook.
the Stick with which the complainant was
beaten, and the cloth stained with blood
were also given to the Peons, and thus the case
was disposed of at 4 O'Clock P.M. on the
+ 21st April 1842. date mentioned in the Police Officer's Me
=morandum. The above Peons and the Parties
went out of the Village as far as the Stra
of the chucklers on the road to Salem
at the distance of half a mile from
the Town. in the meanwhile the Duffadar
of the Talook, under orders from the Police
Officer, sent a peon who took back the
Parties to the Talook Cutcherry at 5 O'Clock
in the evening accompanied by Govinda
Naick and Rungum who escorted them
I do not know who that Peon was. The Pros
=ecutor, Defendants &

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

case were kept in confinement in the
Talook Cutcherry during the night. I was
also present at the time in the Cutcherry,
and on the next morning I went, under
orders of the Police Officer to Poondoray for
the purpose of bringing Chinna Coottaya=
=gounden, a Ryot, for the recovery of Pub=
=lic Revenue. I returned to the Talook
Cutcherry 3 day afterwards but did not
find the Parties concerned in the case.
I then learnt from Duffadar Seroomala
Naick that they were released. On that
night, when myself, Parindoray Kistna=
=manaick, Salem Rungiah Naick, and
Mootoo Naick were in the Cutcherry,
we in the course of our conversation turn=
=ed up the discourse on this matter and
remarked that the Tahsildar called a
back a case which he, in the first
instance, committed to the Court and
that such has never taken place in the
time of his Predecessors. The Duffadar,
Seroomala Naick who was then with
us informed this circumstance to the Tahsil=
=dar on the next morning. He sent for us
and said that he will turn us out of

our

our Service, as we had the audacity to object to his proceedings. At the end of one month he informed that we were transferred to Danaikencottah Taluk. as the Tahsildar removed us without any cause whatever. I determined to complain of it to you, and informed of this, my resolution to the Tahsildar holding in my hand the Memorandum connected with the said Criminal case, which I had received from Govinda Naick, when the Tahsildar said that I need not go to Danaikencottah whither I was removed, and sent me over the villages addressing at the same time an Urzee to the Hoozoor about me. I do not know what answer was received. Ten days ago, the Tahsildar sent for and ordered me again to proceed to Danaikencottah. at the time when the Tahsildar postponed my journey he required the Memorandum to be returned to him, but I did not give it.

Q. What were the reasons which induced the Tahsildar to detain the case which he sent to the Criminal Court.

A. I do not Know.

Q. Is there

the transmission and recall of the case in question.

A. The Persons alluded to in the above Memorandum and also the Dufadar Seeroomulnaick are aware of this circumstance. At the time I took the Memorandum from Govinda Naick the following three individuals were present Viz. Salem Rungkia Naick, Perinderay Kistnamanaick and Moottoo Naick to whom the circumstance is also known.

/Signed/ Narrain Naick.

Solemnly affirmed before me this 9th. July 1842.

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Magistrate.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton.
Principal Collector.

No. 4 Translation of a Deposition Solemnly given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbetore by Moottoo Naick Son of Soobba Naick of Colovelly in the Erode Taluk by caste Gentoo of Vishnoo religion by profession a Taluk Peon and aged 26 years.

Dated 12th. August 1842.

Look at the memo. now exhibited produced

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

produced dated 21st. April 1842 what is the
origin of this.2
A.
I have seen it. It relates to
a case wherein the parties concerned were
delivered into the charge of Govinda Naick
and Rungappa Naick for the purpose of their
escorted to the Criminal Court at Salem
Two Peons named Narrain Naick and
Kistnamanaik of Perindorai
informed me that they obtained this
=morandum from Govinda Naik one of
the two Peons into whose charge the parties
were delivered. This was afterwards prese
=ed to the Magistrate by myself, salem
Rungia Naik, Narrain Naik and Kistna
Naick when at Collegal.

/Signed/ Mottoo Naik.

Solemnly affirmed before me 12th. August 184

/Signed/ C. T. Arbuthnot

A. a Mo:

/True Translation/

/Signed/ S. C. Wraughton

Principal Collector

No 5.

Translation of a Deposition Solemnly given
before the Magistrate in the Zillah of Coim
:batore by Rungama naick son of Condama
naick of Salem now residing at Errode by

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

caste Gentoo of Vistnoo religion by profes=
=sion attavany Peon of Errode Talook
aged 30 years Dated 9th July 1842.-
Q. How came you to be posses=
=sed of the Memo: now before me dated 21st
April 1842 bearing the Signature of the
Tahsildar of Errode Talookt. Stated what
has passed in the case to which it relates.2
A. I was informed by Tiroo
=malay Naick the Duffadar of the said
Talook that a complaint was brought
before the Tahsildar charging the Ryots of
Elavamalay in the above Talook, with
having assaulted and wounded Sada=
=sevien, the Monigar; that after an en=
=quiry in the Talook cutcherry, the case
was first sent to the Criminal Court and
then recalled. I was not present either at
the time of enquiry or transmission of the
case to the Criminal Court; having been
absent at the villages on Maramut busi=
=ness. One night when myself, Mottoo Naick
Kistnama Naick, and Narrain Naick were lying
down in the Talook cutcherry we in the
course of conversation, turned up the dis
=course on the recall of the case to the
cutcherry by the Police Officer, and
remarked

remarked that Such has never taken place at any time previously.- Next morning the Deuffadar informed the Tahsildar of this circumstance, who sent for us and with angry look Said that he will not fail to throw + earth into our mouths.- He also Subsequently informed us that he has received orders to remove us to Danaikenecottah Talook, and that we should proceed thither.- Whereupon Narrain Naick took the Memo formerly intended for transmission to the Criminal Court from Govinda Naick who was in possession of it, with a view to produce the same to the Gentleman Principal Collector, but I did not See Govinda Naick giving the memo. in question to Narrain Naick.- When we Shewed the Memo. to the Tahsildar he requested it to be returned to him and Said, that he will endeavour to get order cancelling our removal to the other Talook; but as his Urzee to that end proved ineffectual, he ordered me 9 days ago to proceed to Danaickan Cottah Talook.-

+ literal translation meaning to turn us out of our situation.

2. Do you know in whose hand writing the memo: was drawn out.?

A. I think it

by Dasappah the Cottasay of the Talook-

The mark of Rungannaick-

Solemnly affirmed before me this 9th. of July 1842.-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Magistrate-

(True Translation)

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Principal Coll.r

Translation of a Report Submitted by Ramachendrien, acting Curnum of Elavana-=lay Village, to the Talook cutcherry at Erode dated 7th Chittray of the year Plava.-

I am in the diligent discharge of my duties.-

The dispute regarding a path-=way which existed between Palany Valen and Vellagounden in the hamlet of Veroo-=mundampolliem dependant on the above village, was finally disposed of in the Settlement of Dittum in last year, and a deduction of $\frac{2}{10}$ of a Bullah was also made from the accounts for this particular purpose.- Palany Valun having again com-=plained that Vellagovenden objects to his using the pathway, I went to the above village in

Fort St.e George 22.d December 1842.

in company with the Monigar and a Poty, and desired Vellagounden not to disturb a decision which has already been passed. He immediately called his two Sons, his Brother Pandaram, Colundan Vellien, Palanun, Paracoundan &tte, all of whom formed themselves into a gang and with cudgels in their hands abused the Monigar Sadasevien most shamefully. Vellagounden struck him on the head with the Cudgel which inflicted a severe wound and from which blood issued, and he therefore fainted on the ground. Palany Valun and Pongagoundan inhabitants of that village, myself, and the Paties brought home Sadasevien. I have reported this circumstance for your information, and expect instructions for my guidance in this case.-

/Signed/ Ramachendrien.

/Signed/ Bheema Row.

Sg.d Head of Police

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector.

No.7 Translation of an Urzee addressed to J.C. Wroughton Esq.re &c.a Magistrate &c.a &c.a

Fort St.e George 22.d December 1842.

by Bheema Row Head Police Officer of the Talook of Errode. Dated 21.st April 1842.-

I immediately on the receipt of a report Styled Vinnaputtala dated 7.th Chittry current, Submitted by Ramachendrien curnum of the village of Elavamadien in the said Talook explanatory of the assault and wounding committed on Sadasevien, monigar of the same village by Vella Gounden and other Inhabitants thereof; I deputed the Sub Police Officer /Peshcar/ to institute a regular investigation into the case on a personal inspection of the Proceedings. He /the Peshcar/ accordingly inspected him, and made an examination, the Proceedings connected with which are as follows. Viz.t /Prosecution from the complainant Sadasevien the Monigar; 8 Declarations from the Defendants. Viz.t Vellay[1] Gounden, Pandaram[2] Colundan[3] Parayan[4] Caroppun[5] Palany[6] &tte and Vellyen[7] and 5 Depositions from the Witnesses on the part of the Complainant Viz.t Ramachendrien, Palany[2] Valagounden, Pongagoundan[3], and Palanyvalun[4] and Rungun[5], a Doctor who inspected the wound on the Prosecutor's Head. These Documents with the Vinnapataly making

making in all 15 in number are herein enclosed.-

On a deliberate consideration of the above Documents, it would appear that the 1st Defendant Vellaygounden confesses to having given a stroke on the head of the Prosecutor with a Cudgel, through Jealously which existed between them inconsequence of the Prosecutor's having directed the Defendants to abide by a decision which has been already passed in a case of road dispute between them and Palany Valun of the said Village. It is also proved by the evidence of the Prosecutor's witnesses that from the Stroke in question the Prosecutor received a wound on his head, and altho' the charge is not confessed by all the other 7 Defendants, yet there is evidence to convict 5 of them. Viz.t Pandan,[1] Colundun,[2] Pareyan[3] Caroopun[4] and Palanan, of having indiscriminately scolded and illtreated the Prosecutor. On my inspection of the wound on the Prosecutor's Head, it seems to be of Such nature as may be cured in the course of 10 days. I have enclosed in this Urzee a Petition presented by the Prosecutor after

after the examination of the case was brought to a close, requesting permission for the withdrawal of his complaint as he is willing to make a compromise with the Defendants who are his subjects, and who fell prostrate on his feet and implored his pardon. I have kept the Defendants in attendance in charge of Peons, and I request to be favored with instructions for my guidance in this case.

/Signed/ Bheema Row
Head Police Officer.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

No. 8. Translation of a Deposition solemnly given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbatore by the witness Palanum, Son of Palany Valagounden, of Veroomandampal: =lem in the Talook of Errode, by caste Vellalen, by profession a cultivator, of the sect of Siva and aged 28 years. Dated 12th. August 1842.

Did Bheema Row the Head Police Officer of the said Talook send you and 6 others to the Zillah Court at Salem in consequence of a complaint preferred by one Sadasivien

Sadaseviem in april last.

A. One day in the month of Chittry of the current year, the date being unknown, myself and Vellay Gounden, Sandalun, Pareyan, Caroopen, Colundun, Nullien, and Attapagounden, in all 8 persons, were apprehended in consequence of the above complaint, and taken to the Taloor Cutcherry, where Vellay Gounden was placed in confinement with stocks, while we, the others were merely kept under restraint for 6 days. On the 7th. day we were examined, when these 2 persons Viz. Attapagounden and Nullien were released inconsequence of their having paid 5 Rupees each to the Monegar and Curnum. On the same Evening at about 4 O'C. P. M. the Police Officer delivered the remaining 6 persons including myself to the peons Rungon and Govinda Naicken with directions to take us to the Zillah Court at Salem who accordingly Conducted us out of the Taloor Cutcherry, when a compromise was made by the Curnum and Monegar that we will be released provided a sum of 5 Rupees is given by each of us. We were also taken back into the Cutcherry. For my part, I informed that I am unable

to pay even a cash. It was said that the remaining persons gave 30 Rupees at the rate of 5 Rupees each to the Curnum and Monegar so that with the exception of Vellay Gounden all the others were set at liberty. The said Vellay Gounden's wife named Chinnamal is the person who collected the amount and paid it to those persons. Vellay Gounden was released 8 days afterwards. I was told by the Curnum and Monegar that they have received the sum in question.-

Marked / Palanun

Solemnly affirmed before me 12th. August 1842.

/ Signed / C. J. Arbuthnot
Head Asst. Magte.

/ True Translation /
/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

No. 6

Translation of a deposition given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbatore by witness Colundan son of Palaney Gounden of Veeroomandumpollien in the Taloork of Errode by caste Vellalen by profession cultivator, of the sect of Siva, and aged 20 years, dated 12th. August 1842.

Did the Head Police Officer

2.

17518 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Officer of Errode investigate the complaint preferred to him by the Prosecutor Sadas=seevien against you and other defendants 6 in number, charging you with having in the month of April of the current year, assaulted him, and inflicted a wound on his head and then put you to hand cuffs and send you in charge of Peons for the purpose of proceedings to the Zillah Court at Salem.

A.

One morning in the month of Pungoonay of the current year the first defendant Vellagounden having assaulted the Prosecutor in consequence of a dispute regard=ing certain land he, together with myself, One Nullien, Attuppen, Sandalen, Caroopen, Pariah and Palany in all 8 persons, were seized and taken to the Salook Cutcherry where the first Defendant was confined in stocks while Myself and 6 others were merely confined. The Head Police Officer of the said Salook investigated the case on the 7th day, took down depositions in writing, and then said that the case is fully proved, and that he will send it to the Zillah Court at Salem after 2 Ch. P. M. The Prosecutor and the Eurnum

17519 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Ramchendrien told Chinnamahl, the wife of Vellagounden / 1st defendant / that if a bribe of 30 Rupees is given by the 8 Defendants, they would speak to the Police Officer and detain the case, so that my Fatherinlaw Caroomagounden who was in the village of Errode gave my elder Brother Coundien who was outside × a loan of 10 Rupees being the amount which fell to the share of myself and my younger Brother Nullien. My Brother gave this sum into the hands of the said Chinnamahl who collected from all 30 Rupees and gave the same to the Prosecutor and the Eurnum Ramchendrien. My Elder Brother Coundien came to me while I was in confinement and told me that this amount was handed over by them to the Police Officer Bheema Row and that he will set us at liberty. As I was then under restraint I did not see when the Police Officer received the amount from the Monigar and Eurnum. After the payment of this sum we 7 persons in number were set at liberty on the next morning while Vellagounden was detained for 2

or.

× meaning that he was without Custody.

or 3 days more and then released-

2. It is stated by Tandava-
=gounden who was in confinement with
yourself in the Talook Cutcherry that
inconsequence of the complaint preferred
by the Prosecutor Sadaseevien, the Police Offic
Bheema Row delivered him and the Defend-
=ants. 6 in number including yourself and the
4 Witnesses into the charge of the Peons Govinda
=pa Naik and Rungum with directions to
take you all to the Zillah Court at
Salem and that when you left the
Cutcherry and proceeded on your
way to Salem, a comprimise was made
What answer do you give thereto-

A. The Police Officer,
Prosecutor and the Curnum, Rama-
=chendrien, told us that they would im-
=mediately send us to the Criminal
Court, and also ordered every one of
us to take half a measure of Cumbu
and a piece- we did this accordingly
and marched from the gate of the
Cutcherry. In the interim a compri-
=mise was made for payment of money
We, Six persons, and the Prosecutor and

also the 4 witnesses in all 13 Persons-
were delivered into the charge of the
Peons, Govinda Naick & Rungum, and
taken by them as far as the gate of the
Cutcherry- I did not see when the bundle
of Paper was given to the Peon-

/Marked/ Colundan

Solemnly affirmed before me 12th August 1842-

/Signed/ C. J. Arbuthnot

Hd. Asst. Magte.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector-

No. 10.

Translation of a deposition solemnly
given by the witness Tandagounden, son
of Caroopagounden of Veeroomandum-
=pollien in the Errode Talook by caste
Vellalen of seva sect, by profession a Cul-
=tivator and aged 35 years- dated 12th
August 1842-

3. It appears that Plaintiff
Sadaseevien preferred a complaint to the
Police Officer of Errode charging you and
4 others with having in the month of
April assaulted him and inflicted a
severe wound on his head- Did the

Police

Police Officer investigate this matter. put you and the other Defendants into hand cuffs and deliver you into the charge of Peons to be escorted to the Zillah Court of Salem.

A. Ramchendrien, the burnum apprehended me, my Brother Vellagoundan his sons Varoopen and Parian; Coolondum and Nullien sons, and Palaney Grand son of my uncle, Attappagoundun another nephew of mine, charging us all with having assaulted and wounded the Prosecutor in the month of April / Chittry / and delivered us to the Police Officer. He examined the case and placed us in confinement for 4 days intimating that he would send us to the Zillah Court at Salem. He likewise ordered the Peons not to allow us to take our meals more than once a day and thus put us into great trouble. My Eldest Brother was also put into hand cuffs. On the evening of the 9th day of our confinement the burnum Ramchendriah received from two of us namely Nullien and Attappagoundan 10 Rupees and the rate of 5 Rupees each

in the presence of ourselves the witnesses and the complainants upon which the said Nullien and Attuppagoundan were released and we / 6 in number / were still confined and threatened to be sent off to the Zillah Court at Salem. The Police Officer prepared depositions in our respective names and forcibly obtained our signatures thereto and then packed up the record which he gave to Govinda Naick at the same time delivering us the complainant, burnum, and the witnesses / all 13 in number / to the said Govinda Naick and Rungasa in the presence of two Defendants released. We set out of the kutcherry about two Indian hours before sunset and went to a distance of half a mile towards Salem. The complainant and the burnum, Ramchendriah informed us that we will also be set at liberty if we consented to pay them the sum of 30 Rupees at 5 Rupees each in common with two others already released and stopped our journey. We afterwards determined to pay them the sum of 30 Rupees for us all / 8 persons inclusive

inclusive of the two already released/ and my Elder Brothers wife Chinnumminah & and Nunjiah another Elder Brother of mine who is unconnected with the case/ paid to the said Ranchendriah Rupees 15 on account of me, my elder Brother and his two Sons- in all 4. This together with Rupees 10 paid by the Defendant first released amounted to 25 Rupees and there remained a balance of 5 Rupees to be paid by Nullien and Coloondan which the latter alone paid as both of them were Brothers. afterwards the Curnum Ranchendriah went to Cutcherry and I do not know what he spoke to the Police officer there. Seeroomalnaick the Duffadar came half of the distance where we were standing and called us back- we accordingly went to the Cutcherry with the two Peons who accompanied us and stood before the Police Officer. I do not know whether Govinda Naik who was in charge of the Packet to be delivered to the Criminal Court gave it to the Ottasay or dropped it on the ground. The Curnum Ranchendriah and the complainant/ we are

informed by them/ took for their own use a part in the sum of 30 Rupees received from us and paid the remainder to the Police Officer who is the head of the Talook Cutcherry. They also told us that an Urzee will be sent to the Gentleman/ meaning the Magistrate/ recommending our release. We were afterwards delivered to a Peon /I do not know his name/ who allowed us for five days only to dress and take our meals in the Village and compelled us to sleep in the Cutcherry at night- and were at last released on the 6th. day and proceeded to our Villages. I do not know what portion in the sum of Rupees 30 received from us was taken by the Complainant and the Curnum and what portion was given to the Police Officer.

The Complainant on his Oath deposed that the Police Officer only stated that he would send the case to the Court but did actually forward the Parties- and neither did you leave the Talook Cutcherry- what say you to this?

My

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

A. My Elder Brother Vellia-
-goundun did not pay the amount, but
his wife paid it. I do not know whether
on this account or by some other feeling he
stated that we were not sent to the Court.
It is true beyond all doubt that we set out
of the Cutcherry with the Peons for the
purpose of proceeding to the Court.

/ Marked / Sandan

Solemnly affirmed before me 12th August 1842

/ Signed / C. J. Arbuthnot

A. M.

/ True Translation /

/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector

No. 11.

Translation of a Deposition solemnly &
given before the Head assistant Magistrate
of Coimbatore by the witness Palany valan
son of Nalla Gounden, of Elavanalay in
the Talook of Errode, by caste Vellalan, by
profession cultivator of the sect of Seva
and aged 28 years Dated 12th August 1842.

2. Did the Police Officer of
Errode investigate the complaint of assault
preferred by the Prosecutor Sadasevien &
in april last and forward him to &

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

the Criminal Court at Salem together with the
6 Defendants and 4 witnesses including yourself.2

A. One evening in the month of
chittry of the current year, the date being
unknown, we were delivered into the charge
of the Peons Rungum and Govinda &
Kaicken to be escorted to the Criminal
Court at Salem, but the peons deferred
our journey that day. On the next morning
the Police Officer gave permission to all
the parties concerned to go to their several
homes, with the exception of only Vella-
gounden who was also set at liberty 2or
three days afterwards. I do not know &
what induced the Police Officer to postpone
the transmission of the case to the Court;
but I was told a compromise was made
between the parties concerned.

Look at the list of
2. Persons committed to the Criminal
Court now Shewn to you. Was it given
to the Peon by the Police Officer.?

A. yes. It was given
to him I do not know what is
written in it.

/ Marked / Palany Valan-

Solemnly

Solemnly affirmed before me 12th August 1842

/Signed/ C. J. Arbuthnot

Head Asst. Magte.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector

No 12.

Translation of a Deposition solemnly given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbatore by the witness Karoppen son of Vellay Gounden of Vercomandum-pollien in the Talook of Errode, by caste Vellalen, by profession a cultivator of the sect of Siva and aged 15 years Daded 12th August 1842.

2.

Did Bheemarow the Head Police Officer of the said Talook send you and 5 others to the Zillah Court at Salem in consequence of the complaint preferred by one Sadasevien in april last?

A.

One day in the month of chittry of the current year, the date being unknown, I and my Father Vellaygoun-den, my elder Brother Pareyan, my uncle Sundalon, my cousin Colundan, Palany, Attapagovenden and Nullien,

in

in all 8 persons, were seized in conse-quence of the above Prosecutors complaint and taken to the Talook Cutcherry where my father was confined with hand cuffs while the others were merely im-prisoned for 6 days. On the 7th day we were examined, and declarations were taken in writing to which our signa-ture was obtained. These 2 persons Vezt. Nullyen and Attapagounden paid 10 Rupees to the curnum Ramachendrien, and Monigar Sadasevyen, so that the Police Officer re-released them both and delivered the remain-ing 6 persons including myself into the charge of the Peons Rungon and Govinda Naicken with directions to take us to the crimi-nal Court at Salem, but the said cur-num and Monigar having assured us that we will also be set at liberty, should we pay a sum of 30 Rupees, got the sum accordingly from my uncle Nunjapen and another uncle Coundy covenden and my mother Chinnamal and immediately obtained our release with the exception of my Father who was liberated seven days afterwards. I did

not-

not see when the money was paid as I was then in custody, but I was informed of the same by my mother. The curnum told us that the Police Officer ordered that half a measure of cholum should be given to each of us as Batta to proceed to the Court, but we declined receiving, and stated that we will proceed if a sum of 2 annas was paid to each of us.

/Marked/ Carroppen

Solemnly affirmed before me this 12th August 1842.

/Signed/ C. J. Arbuthnot

Head Asst. Magte

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector

No. 13.

Translation of a Deposition solemnly given before the Head Assistant Magistrate by the Witness Pereyan son of Vellya-gounden of Viroomondampollaim in Erode Talook by caste Vellalen of Siva religion by profession cultivator and aged 15 years Dated 12th August 1842.

2.

A complaint was brought before the Police Officer of the

said Talook that you and others assaulted and wounded Sadasseven the Plaintiff in the month of April this year. Did the Police Officer send you and your Father &c. in all 6, to proceed to the Zillah Court at Salem in this case?

A. The said Sadasseven, having complained to the Police Officer that my Father assaulted him on the 27th Chittry (April) this year in consequence of a quarrel between them in regard to a land, my Father, Carroppen my elder brother, Pandaveen and Columden my uncles, Palany my uncle's son, Sullanum, and attapagoundens/ all 8 in number/ were carried to the Talook Cutcherry, where my Father was put into hand cuffs and confined with ourselves. On the 7th day of our imprisonment, the Police Officer made an enquiry and obtained our Signature on a paper. Sullan and atty paid the sum of Rupees 10 to Ramchendria, the Curnum, and as the Police Officer was in league with the curnum, he released the said two persons, and delivered me and 5 others as also the witnesses on behalf of the Plaintiff into the charge of the Peons Rungappa

Naick

Naik and Govinda Naik at about 4 P.M. for the purpose of escorting us to the Zillah Court at Salem. The Police Officer directed us to receive our Batta at 2 pice each the value of half a measure of Cholum; But we refused to take it, and informed him that we were not in need of Batta. We also went out of the gate of the Cutcherry. In the mean while it was sunn set. Previously Ramachendrien the curnum had said to me that if we (the defendants) would pay 5 Rupees each we will be released and not sent to Court. We were placed in confinement in the Cutcherry on that night Rama-chendria, the Curnum, and Sadasevien the Complainant in league with the Police Officer, received from my Mother Chinnamal the sum of Rupees 10 for our release as also Rupees 35 for the liberation of 7 others at Rupees 5 each. On the next morning the Police Officers released us all with the exception of my Father who was also set at liberty 8 days afterwards.

Solemnly affirmed before me 12th August 1842.

(Signed) C. J. Arbuthnot

A. M.

(True Translation)

(Signed) J. C. Stoughton

Principal Collector.

No. 14. Translation of a Deposition solemnly given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbatore by the Witness Pongagounden son of Allaly Gounden of Elavamaly in the Talook of Errode by the caste Vellalen by profession Cultivator of the sect of Sivach and aged 35 years.

Dated 12th August 1842

Did the Head Police Officer of Errode Taulook investigate the complaint of assault preferred to him by the Prosecutor Sadasevien in April last and forward him to the Criminal Court at Salem together with the defendants and Witnesses?

One day in the month of Pungony of the Current year the Police Officer enquired into the complaint in question and delivered to the Peons Runga Naiken, and Govinda Naiken, the Prosecutor, Defendants, and the witnesses

witnesses including myself to be escorted to the Criminal Court at Salem. As it was then too late in the evening, the journey was deferred till next morning, on which when the parties were released. The reason assigned for this was that a compromise was made between the parties concerned in the case. I was informed that the Prosecutor received 30 Rupees from Chinnammal the wife of one Villay Gounden and consented to enter into an amicable adjustment; but I did not see when the sum was paid.-

Marked / Pongagoundan

Solemnly affirmed before me 12 August 1842.

/ Signed / C.F. Arbuthnot

Acting Asst. Mag.te

/ True Translation /

/ Signed / J.C. Stoughton

Principal Collector

No. 15.

Translation of a Deposition solemnly given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbatore by the witness Ramachendrien son of Ramyen of Bhowany in the Taluk of Auduisor by caste Brammin, by profession a cultivator, of the sect of Siva and aged 30th years Dated 12th August 1842.-

Q. Did the Head Police Officer of Erode Taluk investigate the complaint of assault preferred by the Prosecutor Sadasevayen in April last, and forward to the Criminal Court at Salem, the Prosecutor and the 6 Defendants and the 4 witnesses together with yourself?

A. One day in the month of Chitty of the current year, the date being unknown, the Police Officer examined the case and committed it to the Criminal Court and required me and others to proceed thither. It is true. He likewise delivered us together with the parties into the charge of the Peons Runga Nayken and Gounda Nayken. I have read over the list of the parties committed to the Criminal Court now given to me in this Office. The statements which it contain are correct and the signature it bears is that of the Police Officer. He ordered us to proceed on the next morning as it was too late on that evening, so that we did not then go out of the Cutcherry. On the next morning the Prosecutor Sadasevayen told that a compromise was

was made in his case - Afterwards I called upon the Police Officer, and re= =quested his permission to return to my village. which I accordingly ob= =tained. and went away - all the De= =fendants were released with the excep= =tion of Vellay gounden who was kept in confinement.-

2. Depositions have been (illegible) given in writing by Vellay Gounden and other Defendants, in all 6 persons, connected in the complaint of the Prosecutor Sadaseeyen that when they were on their way to the Criminal Court agreeably to the orders of the Head Police Officer, you compromised the matter and after collecting 30 Rupees from them, informed the same to the Police officer and procured their release - What answer do you give thereto?

A. I never did so; but the Police Officer has himself released the Defendants, and without my interferance.

/Signed/ Ponnchamdier
/Comml

Solemnly affirmed before me 12 August 1842

/Signed/ C.J. Arbuthnot -
/True Translation/
/Signed/ J.C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

No. 16. Translation of a Deposition solemnly affirm= =ed to by Velliagoumden, son of Karapagoundon of Veeromandapolliam, by caste Vellalen, of Seva Sect and by profession a Cultivator aged 40 years -
Dated 11th August 1842.-

1. It appears that in
2. the month of April Sadaseevien pre= =ferred a complaint to the Tahsildar of Erode charging you and 5 others with having maltreated him and in= =flicted a severe wound on his head - Did the Police officer investigate this complaint, put you and other offend= =ers into handcuffs, and deliver you all into the charge of Peons to be= escorted to the Criminal Court at Salem?-

A. One day in the latter
part of the month of Pungoony / c March /
the Police Officer apprehended me, my sons Karoopen and Narayan, my Brother Vandon, Colundan and Kullien sons, and Palany

17538 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

Palany Valum grand son of my elder Brother and also my Cousin named Attee in all 8 persons on the plea of our having maltreated the complainant and took us to the Poulook Cutcherry where I was to put into hand cuffs and placed in confinement - the others were merely imprisoned - three or four days afterwards the Police Officer stated that he would send us to the Zillah Court - To postpone our transmission and to obtain the release of myself and my sons - my younger Brother Nunjun and my wife Chinnummal offered the sum of 15 Rupees to the Brother of the complainant and to Ramchendrian the Curnum of the Village of which they paid 7 Rupees immediately promising to adjust the balance on their arrival at the Village - Nullier Colundon and Atty / three defendants also paid 15 Rupees at the rate of 5 Rupees each to the above two individuals, through the Medium of their relations. I was informed of this circumstance by my Wife. Next day the Police Officer released the several

17539 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

defendants and detained me alone in confinement without hand cuffs for the period of 8 days pending as he said, the receipt of the Gentlemans order (meaning the Magistrate) on the morning of the 9th day I was also released - Four or 5 days after my arrival at the Village, in adjustment of the balance due to the complainant, I gave to his Brother Vencatramanien two Rupees worth of Tobacco and my younger Brother gave a heifer of the value of 4 Rupees - the remainder that is Rupees 2. is still due, but I have executed no bond for it. The Police Officer did not deliver us into the charge of the Peons to be escorted to the Criminal Court at Salem - Neither did we go out of the Cutcherry for this purpose.

2 When was the wound inflicted on the head of the complainant cured?

A Neither maltreated the complainant nor inspected the wound on his head - When he came to the Poulook Cutcherry a wound dressed

dressed was apparent on his head.-

/Marked/ Velliagoundon

Solemnly affirmed before me.

/Signed/ C. J. Arbuthnot

A. M.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ T. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector.

No. 17. Translation of a Deposition given before the Asst. Magistrate of Coimbatore by Sevian a witness, son of Loobbian of Elan namely Village in the Erode Taulook by caste Brammi of Seva sect, by profession Monigar of the said Village aged 30 years.

Dated 11th. August 1842.

2. It appears that a Complaint was preferred by you in the month of April 1842 to the effect that Velliagoundon and others of Veeromandapolliam assaulted you, and inflicted a severe wound on your head, and that this case was examined by the Head of Police and transmitted to the Zillah Court. State what has passed in this matter.-

A. The Six defendants against whom I complained and also the witnesses

in my behalf were examined and the charge was proved - the Police Officer then intimated to me / about four-months previously and I do not recollect the date/ his intention of sending the case to the Criminal Court and directed that I should also proceed thither.-

Were not the Six defendants put into hand cuffs and delivered over to the Peons Rungum and Govindon to be escorted to the Criminal Court at 4 O'Clock P. M. on the 21st April 1842 together with yourself and the Witnesses and also the Packet of the Proceeding in this case and did not you all accompany these Peons to proceed on your Journey to Salem?

A. We were neither delivered over to the Peons above named nor did we leave Erode on our way to proceed to the Court.-

2. You have stated that the Jahsildar, on the date above specified, expressed his intention of sending the case to the Court, what was done by him on the following day in pursuance thereof?

On

17542 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

A

On the next morning the defendants, alluded to in my com= =plaint, Viz.t, Velliagounden, Pandon, Pareyan Carospen, Palany and Co= =lundan and their relations having promised to pay me 10 Rupees as a compensation for the injury I sust= =tained. that is to cover the expenses required for healing the wound in= =flicted on my head. I presented a Rajeenamah to the Police Officer on the same evening. He immediately liberated 5 of the defendants and detained Velliagounden alone in con= =finement. On the same evening I went to my Village on Horseback being unable to go a foot. when my Brother Vencataramien informed me that he received the sum of 7 Rupees from Sunjien younger Bro= =ther of Velliagounden, the defendant seven or eight days afterwards the said Sunjien gave me a heifer in satisfaction of the Balance due to me Viz.t 3 Rupees and at that time Velli= =gounden was also released. The wound on my head was cured in two Months.

17543 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

The wife of Velliagounden and his Brother Sunjien requested me to en= =ter into an amicable adjustment of the case and I do not know why the Police Officer detained Velliagounde= =en alone in confinement after the release of the 5 other defendants.

Signed/ Sadasevien

Solemnly affirmed before me, 11th August 1842.

Signed/ C.J. Arbuthnot.
A. M.

/True Translation/
Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

No. 18. Translation of a deposition given before the Head Assistant Magistrate of Coimbatore by Bheema Row son of Streenevasa Row of Coimbatore, by caste Bramin of Vish= =noo Religion by profession Public Ser= =vant and aged 40 years dated 25th August 1842.

Here the Complaint read before me. What are your answers thereto?

2. I heard it. I have never acted in the manner therein set forth. It is a false complaint.

What are the particulars of

2.

Fort St.e George 22.d December 1842.

of your examination in the complaint preferred to you to the effect that Velli-
-agoundan and others assaulted Sadasiven
-am and inflicted a wound on his head?-

Having on the 18.th or 19.th
of April received a report from Ram-
-chendrien the Curnam of the Village
stating that Sadasevien, the Monigar
was maltreated by Velliagoundan
and others, I deputed my Peshkar
named Dausuppah to enquire into
the same. He examined it and obtain-
-ed depositions from some of the Parties
and returned to the Cutcherry the next
day (I do not recollect whether in the
day or night) bringing the Parties con-
-cerned. It is out of my memory whether
I was or was not in the Cutcherry at the
time. On the 20.th of that month. the
Peshkar examined such of the Parties
concerned in the case as were not previ-
-ously tried, and at 4 O/c. on the 21.st he
delivered the documents connected with the
enquiry to Dausuppa the Attaway and
requested me to dispose of them. I was
then engaged in making preparations
for the despatch of the remittance

Fort St.e George 22.d December 1842.

However, I ordered the Papers to be read
over to me and after consulting Veneat-
-ramiah the Sristadar and the Peshcar
I determined to release two of the Parties-
and to commit the others (6 persons) -
to the Criminal Court and with a
view to enforce their attendance before
me without the least delay, I ordered
Dausuppah to make out a list shewing
their names and also the names of the
Prosecution and witnesses which he did
accordingly and which I signed without
examining it at all. I gave the list to
Dausuppah with instructions to have the
examinations copied. In the mean-
while the complainant himself spoke
to the 8 defendants / I do not recollect
the particular person with whom he spoke /
before me and stated that he and the defend-
-ants would settle the matter amicably -
and also requested me to admit the
Razeenamah. Altho' a Razeenamah
in this case was admissable, I informed
the complainant that I will not
receive it without previous permission
from the Magistrate. but as he himself
presented to me a Petition I enclosed it
in

in my Urzee to the Magistrate together with all the documents relating to the enquiry - some days afterwards I do not know how many I received an order directing the dismissal of the case upon Reazmanah which I did accordingly -

2. Look at the list intended by you for transmission to the Criminal Court - Is the signature affixed thereto yours?

A. Yes, I have seen it - the signature is my own - The contents of thereof would lead to the conclusion that it is of the same description as those which are given to Peons when cases are transmitted to the Criminal Court - Had I committed this case to the Criminal Court and delivered to the Parties to the Peons, the Packet of the Proceedings connected therewith would have also been mentioned in the list which would likewise bear my seal, As these particulars are wanting in this list it may be clear that I did not deliver the Parties to the Peons Rungun and Govinda Naiko to be taken to the

Criminal Court - neither did they escort them to any distance -

2. You state that you ordered Ottaray Dausuppah to draw out a list of the Parties and that you signed it - Is the list now in the office the same?

A. As I signed it - without a perusal it is impracticable for me to identify the list but as it bears my signature, I think that I should say it is the same list -

2. At the time you signed the list what did you think it contained?

A. The defendants and the complainant in this case were within and some of the witnesses were without the Cutcherry - To collect them in one places I thought the list was intended and signed it accordingly -

2. You have heard the deposition given by Peon Narrain Naick - what explanation have you to offer in the matter of it?

A. Ever since, I was appointed Tahsildar of this Taluk to the following four Persons, Viz.t, Narrain Naick, Kistnama Nack

17548 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Naick, Salem Rungia Naick and Moottoo Naick were inattentive in every instance in which I deputed them on different Public affairs. To prove which documents are ready by me. Three of the said Peons, Moottoo Naick excepted when deputed for the collecton of the revenue of Washey List to the Village of Moodavandry Sattimengalum, received a bribe from the Monigars, 4 in number, the names of two of them are Co= =maragounden and Sengoda Gounden. the names of two others I do not know. This fact will be proved by the Account furnished by the Curnums and Monigars which is lodged in the Cutcherry. Chinna Moottogounden Monigar of Kathumboor sent in a report charging Salem= Rungia Naick with having received a bribe of three Rupees from him= when he went for the Collection of Public Revenue. These Peons being afraid= that I shall report their misconduct to the Huzoor, latched up this false complaint against me. Had the case been committed to the Criminal Court in the

17549 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

the first instance and the Parties ac= =tually delivered to the Peons to be escort= =ed, and then called back on had the usual report to the Court been prepar= =ed it would have, of course, been= known to the persons who were then present at the Cutchery; viz. Vizt. Seris= =tadar, and other Servants. Videe= Igyen and Ramasamy Naick, Moni= =gars of Caroongulpolliem, Sengoda= =gounden, Monigar of Shevagherry= Armoogagounden Monigar of Coon= =dalum Village, Anganadien of Coodoomoodry, and Seeromala Naick and Sultak Khan the Duffadars.

Signed/ Bheema Row
Police Officer of
Errode Taaluk.

Taken before me 25th August 1842
Signed/ C. J. Arbuthnot.
A. M.

/True Translation/
Signed/ J. C. Stroughton.
Magistrate.

No. List of parties concerned in a case of Assault in which a severe wound was inflicted on the Head

17550 Fort St.e George 22d. December 1842.

Head of the Prosecution Sadasevien of Elavamsa-
-lay and who are sent to the Court from the
Taluk of Errode on the 21st April 1842.

Sadasevien the prosecutor.

Defendants.

Vellia Goundan ---------- 1
Pandon ---------- 1
Colundow ---------- 1
Pareyan ---------- 1
Palanun ---------- 1
Carosspun ---------- 1

Witnesses.

Ramachendriah the Cunnum ---------- 1
Palany Palagoundan ---------- 1
Pongagoundan ---------- 1
Palany Palun ---------- 1
Witnesses who attested of Deposition
-one of Defendants.
Govinda Naick ---------- 1
Rungun ---------- 1
13

Please persons and also the stick, with which the complainant was beaten, and his cloth stained with blood are sent to the Court in charge of the said Peons Rungun and Govinda Naick.

(Signed) Bheema Row
Head of Police of Errode Taluk
(True Translation)
(signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

(83-84)

Order thereon
(Read again in Cons.
9th Jany. 1843)

17551 Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

Read the following letter—

From H. M. Blair Esqre
Principal Collector of
Canara.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(85)

Sir,

From H. M. Blair Esqre. Principal Collector of Canara. Submits further information regarding the relinquishment of all interference of Government Servants in the affairs of the Religious Institutions in Canara—

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract of the proceedings of the Board, dated 11th Ultimo, containing their remarks on my letter, reporting the measures proposed for carrying into effect the orders of the Court of Directors, relative to the relinquishment of all interference on the part of Government, with the Religious Institutions of the Natives, and calling for further information on certain points not sufficiently explained in my letter above mentioned

2 With respect to the distinction between Maktobar and Chillar, the cause of which the Board desire to have explained, I beg to observe, that the distinction was made in the year

year 1802, when the arrangements for the allowances &c. of the Pagodas were effected owing to the destruction of all the old Records of the Office, it is not however in my power to explain the exact grounds on which the difference was originally made. It did not depend solely on the amount of allowances enjoyed by the Pagodas, but appears to have been owing to some peculiar importance or sanctity attaching to certain places of Worship, and also, it is said, in some instances to the solicitation of the Heads of Institutions. The distinction is merely honorary, and does not appear to be of any importance as affects the present arrangement.

3 The list of Institutions forwarded with my former letter, includes those belonging to Lower Coorg recently annexed to Canara.

4 The Statement + in English showing the particulars of the grants, and other details alluded to in the 2d para of the Board's proceedings under acknowledgement, is herewith forwarded.

5 With respect to the Grant of 50,000 Rupees by Major Munro on which further explanation is required, I beg to state that

+ No. 1

to the correspondence which took place on the occasion of Sanction being obtained for the appropriation of that sum to the Pagodas. It would appear, however, from the information of the old Servants in the Department, that in consequence of the large assumptions of the Pagoda revenues by the Mysore Government under Hyder & Tippoo, and the insufficiency of the remaining emoluments for the expenses of certain Institutions, Major Munro was induced to recommend the Grant of 50,000 Rupees as an addition to the Devastanum Revenues a portion only of that sum was disbursed by Major Munro during the short time he afterwards remained in the Province.

6 In fixing the Pagoda Jusdeck in July $\frac{1212}{1802}$ Messrs. Ravenshaw and Read, the then Collectors of the Province referring to the average payments made during the three preceding years, permanently added the sum of Rupees 30,221–11–8 out of the grant of 50,000 above mentioned, to the Devastanum allowances making the total Jusdeck of the District, Rupees 135,152–13–7 as shown in the Margin +. The remaining sum of Rupees 19,778–4–4 out of the grant of 50000, was carried to account. The correspondence that took place on the occasion of this settlement

+ Jusdeck fixed on Lim of Peishcush, Revenues 30,221–11–8
Portion added to Devast
of the grant of 50,000 Rs 19,778–4–4
135,152–13–7

of

of the Pagoda allowances will no doubt be found in the Office of the Board of Revenue to which I must beg to refer the Board for any further particulars of the transaction.

The lapsed allowances regarding which the Board require further information were the savings or surplus that accrued from various causes, out of the sum fixed for the expenditure of certain Pagodas. This surplus was it appears kept in deposit as a fund for the purpose of making such additions as might afterwards appear necessary to the allowances of any of the Pagodas, and also to be applied to the repair of such of the buildings as might stand in need of it. In this manner sums continued to be disbursed out of this fund, until Finally 12/5 A 1835, when the surplus remaining in deposit amounting to Rupees 15,672-4-7 was carried to the credit of Government, since that time there has been no disbursement on any account out of the savings, or lapses to Government of the Pagoda allowances.

8 There are several places of Worship which have fallen to decay, and as the means of

and as the services in these dilapidated Temples, have ceased, the allowances have consequently been suspended. A list of these decayed Institutions is herewith forwarded. The amount of allowances suspended, as shown in the Statement, amounts to Rupees 107-4-11, which must be considered as being payable to the Institutions on their restoration. Besides the above sum there is remaining in deposit, the pay of certain Pagoda Servants, who have either been absent, or the situations not filled up, as shewn in the Statement No. 3.

9 In accordance with the recommendation of the Board in their proceedings under reply, for extending the limit of the Tuzdeck within which the Poojaries of Institutions should be constituted sole Trustees, I would now propose, that all those whose allowance does not exceed 50 Rupees, should be so disposed of. I am not inclined to think it would be advisable to apply this arrangement to any still greater extent as the Poojaries of these Petty Pagodas, are generally needy men, without much reputation for honesty, complaints against them for plundering, or misappropriating the

the Pagoda property, being by no means uncommon.

No. 4. 10 An abstract Statement is herewith submitted, which exhibits the total number of Races of Native Worship whose Tusdeek does not exceed 50. Rupees, and those on receipt of higher allowances, as also the estimated value of the property belonging to the several Institutions in each Taluk in the Districts.

11 In the 6th para of these proceedings the Board call for more precise information as to the principle on which the nomination of the Trustees of the larger Pagodas has been recommended by me on this point I beg to explain that the proposed appointment of Co-trustees, alluded to in the 8th para of my letter dated 19th October 1842, was intended to apply only to those Institutions, over which no prescriptive, hereditary, or other right of control could be established by any parties. Over several of the places of Worship, recognized heads or Managers have always exercised with other authority in the Management affairs of the

no interference on the part of Government. With these of course, it is only necessary to recognize and confirm the form of management hitherto prevailing. There are others, to the Superintendence of which claimants, in the persons of descendants of petty Rajahs, and Chiefs have sprung up, since the determination of the Court of Directors to abandon all interference on the part of their Servants with the affairs of the Pagodas, has become known. Wherever such claim can be established and the exercise of it will not interfere with any subsequently acquired right it is proposed to make over the Management of the Institutions to the respective claimants.

12 With respect to the Mutts and Mosques, they have always been under the sole Management of the presiding Priests, or hereditary Superintendents. The Tusdeek is paid to them, and no account of the administration of the affairs of their respective Institutions, has ever been rendered to the Government Officers. No change is, therefore, necessary in the existing management of those

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

those Institutions.

13 I the arrangements prepared by me for the future Management of those Institutions, over which Government has hitherto exercised any control will be found, I believe, to correspond in all the leading points with the plan laid down for my guidance in the 6th para of the Board's proceedings dated 14th November 1842.

14 It is not in my power to submit with this report the detailed Statements called for in the 9th para of the Board's proceedings, a document for the whole of the Institutions in the District, as the Pastelars of several of the Pagodas are not

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

15 I beg now to submit a

No. 5. Statement of the proposed arrangement for the Cundapoor Taloke, which if approved of by the Board, a similar Statement shall be prepared for the remaining Talookes.

Mircara
10th December,
1842.

I signed I H. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Memorandum -

1780 Fort St. George 22d. December 1812

No. 1

Memorandum Shewing the endowed Revenues assumed by Government belonging to the Institutions of Native Worship, the Amount of Money allowance granted in lieu thereof, & the disbursements made on that account in the District of Canara.

Rs. A. P.

Cadeem Enam or ancient endowed Revenues } 610 15 11

Deduct.

Zaftee, or confiscated during the former dynasties of the Bednore Rance Hyder and Tippoo } 1,91223 11 3

Ditto Estates of the Nandanum Bangar forfeited to Government for taking part in the insurrection in 1837 ... 240 8 ... 1,91463 3

Balance

Jary Enam, or the Revenues of Lands, the assessment of which has been remitted in perpetuity i.e. Revenues of rent free lands 19,283 10 5

Carried forward

1781 Fort St. George 22d. December 1812

Rs. A. P. A. P.

Brought forward 19,283 10 5

Revenue of Lands assumed by the Company's Government in lieu whereof, Inadeek or Money allowance is payable Viz.

1 Milwasi or portion of Endowed Revenues continued to be enjoyed by the Institutions after the confiscation by the former Governments above mentioned ... 72591 5 6

2 Watta Dharma, or Revenues of portions of lands assigned by Individual Ryots to certain Institutions 18132 7 8

3 Kay dharma or allowances paid by individuals out of their funds without assigning the produce of any portion of their lands ... 7239 ... 5

4 Grama dharma or charitable Contributions paid by Individuals for the support of Institutions which received no emolument from endowed Revenues ... 450 10 5

Carried forward ... 98,413 8 ... 19,283 10 5

Rs. As.

Brought forward — 95415 8 — 19253 10 5

5. Ball Colar, or Revenues of lands enjoyed by the Institutions free from assessment, but for which no written Grants were forthcoming — 1001 1 2

99417 9 2 — 11870 3

Add

Amount paid to the Institutions (independently of their endowed Revenues) out of the Grant of 50,000 Rupees, sanctioned by Government on Fasly 1209 under the Collectorate of Major Munro —

Total

Rs. As.

Total Brought over — — — — — — 11,892 15 3

Add

Endowed Revenues of the Institutions in the Lower Coorg annexed to Canara in Fasly 1214

Jaree Enam — — — 198 6 5

Revenue of Lands assumed by Company's Government in lieu whereof Tusdeek Money, allowance is payable Viz.

Melwasie — 5134 9 11

Walla-Dhurma — 244 15 3

Kay-Dhurma — 1009 - 5

Honna-buddy or annual payments made by Debtors to the funds of the Institutions, being interest on loans received by them — 117 8 -

6506 1 7

6704 8 -

Total -

Total

	Canara	Lower Coorg	Total
Jary-Enam	19283 10 5	198 6 5	19481 - 11
Melwasi	72591 5 6	5134 9 11	
Walla-Dhurma	18132 7 5	244 15 3	
Kay Dhurma	7259 - 5	1009 - 5	
Grama Dhurma	1501 5	- - -	
Ball-Ootar	1004 12	- - -	
Hounna-buddy	- - -	117 5	
	99447 92 6 5 6 1 7		10397 3 11 9

Money allowance out of the Grant of 50,000 Rupees, as explained above - - - - - - - 39221 11 5

Total - - - 155619 3

Institutions to which Jusdeck or Money allowance was fixed in lieu of the endowed Revenues assumed &c. in the Manner above shown -

	Canara	Lower Coorg	
To Malhobar Pagodas or ? munemb Hindoo Institution	67052 - 5	2370 - -	
" Chilara Do or Petty do do.	57849 8	2562 11 5	
" Mulls or places of Nature Worship of Lingayets	3615 5 2	831 9 2	
" Mosques	6122 5 7	49 3 2	
	194659 4 10	5813 3 9	135152 13 7

Carried Over

No. 10

Brought Over - - - - - 151652 11 5

Deduct

Amount of Jusdeck lapsed to Government, or the surplus accrued out of the sum fixed for the expenditure of the Institutions -

Malhobar Pagodas	372 1 3	
Chilara — Ditto	2173 10 1	
Mulls	114 6 2	
Mosques	104 - -	2764 1 6
Balance payable		148701 11 11

Mercara,
10th December,
1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.

Statement

1756

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Becharak or Tax

Malabar Deestanums — Mallauls

Talooks	No.	Amount	No.	Amount
		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
Mangalore				
Bekul	"	"	"	"
Buntwal	"	"	"	"
Barcoor	"	"	"	"
Honore	"	"	7	9 3
Ankola	"	"	"	"
Soopah	2	"	"	"
Sondah	"	"	"	"
Total	"	"	"	"
	2	"	1	6 9
			2	"
				13 11 8

Mercara, 10th December 1842

22nd December 1842.

to Government from the religious Institutions

Canara:-

	Mosques		Petty Pagodas		Total	
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount
		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
			1	2 6 5	1	2 6 5
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	2	6 3 2	2	6 3 2
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	4	44 10 10	4	52 4 6
	"	"	3	11 3 3	3	11 3 3
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	1	4 " "	1	4 2 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	" "	"	" "	"	2 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	2	20 1 7	2	20 1 7
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	2	4 12 "	3	10 4 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	"	15	93 5 3	16	107 4 11

(Signed) A. M. Blair

Principal Collector

Statements

1567

Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

No. 3.

Statements of Deposits on account of the Pagoda allowances in Canara accrued between 1245 and October 1252.

Rupees

Deposits in the Salooks.—

On account of Mathobar Pagodas.—

being the unpaid Salaries of the Servants under the institutions

Ditto Petty Pagodas—Do.—Do.—Do. 266 56

Ditto Muttums—Do.—Do.—Do.— 1 4

Ditto Mosques—Do.—Do.—Do.— 6 4 5

7109 14 5

Deposits in the Collectors Treasury from the Mathobar & Petty Pagodas 6402 14 11

Total Rupees 13512 13 4

6837-4-5

The sum of 7109-14-5 is the Amount of deposits in the Salooks which is still required for disbursement.

The sum not required to be disbursed was ordered to be remitted to the Huzoor Treasury and amounts to Rupees 6402-14-11.

The deposits consist of unpaid salaries of certain Offices the holders of which have been absent, and the pay of vacant situations which were not filled up immediately and also of the savings effected in the purchase of articles below the estimated value.—

The deposits have been till now appropriated to the repair of the respective institutions to which the Money may have belonged.—

Mercara 10th December 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair

Asst. to Coll.r

Statement

2d December 1842.

nd those in receipt of higher allowance in the
talem.—

Mutts.			Mosques.			Total		
Jus-deeks.	Estimated Value of Property.	No.	Jus-deeks.	Estimated Value of Property	No.	Jus-deeks.	Estimated Value of Property	
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
1076 " "	2022 " "	18	1944 15 2	227 " "	209	11893 9 11	79337 13 11	
6 " "	" " "	67	1045 14 5	" " "	460	12836 1 3	167288 12 5	
899 3 6	940 8 "	10	315 8 9	28 " "	548	19735 7 6	82926 12 11	
248 14 10	242 12 10	4	276 8 5	13 " "	286	21164 12 10	262138 8 8	
404 9 7	5 5 " "				548	16261 9 11	28389 4 2	
252 1 "	" " "	7	770 6 10	" " "	500	22016 4 8	111638 22	
273 " 9	121 14 "	10	1167 8 "	16 " "	446	10130 13 7	20080 14 10	
" " "	" " "	4	228 2 "	59 4 "	224	3881 1 2	63563 11 8	
" " "	" " "	9	184 4 5	" " "	116	2323 14 "	14287 " 3	
105 4 5	" " "				205	9394 11 1	224568 6 5	
481 8 "	" " "	6	144 9 7	" " "	106	2636 1 3	9168 15 4	
272 2 5	" " "	1	60 " "	" " "				
4018 12 6	3382 2 10	136	6137 13 7	343 4 "	3649	132274 7 2	861278 6 9	

to be placed under the sole Superintendence of their respective Priests
amount of allowances made value of property no distinction is made
and those which receive less than 50 Rupees each.—

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector
proposed.

1569

No. 2. Proposed Arrangements for the management

Fort St. George

2nd December 1842.

of the Places of Native Worship in Cundapoor Taloog.

No. of Pagodas	Managers or Trustees — Pojaries	Heads of Villages	Total	Amount of Trustees	No. of Pagodas to which the proprietor belongs	Property Amount	
				Rupees A P		Rupees A P	
		33	494 " 10	6	80 10 10		
	"						
		387	4097 3 8	187	3052 14 4		
387	"	3	32 " "	1	509 10 "		
2	1	423	4623 4 6	194	3643 3 2		
389	1	19	252 1 "	"	" " "		
19	"	442	4875 5 6	194	3643 3 2		
408							
		5	910 2 10	1	349 5 3		
3	2						
		28	9519 9 2	3	89404 9 5		
24	4	33	10430 2 "	4	89753 14 8		
27	6	135	4956 2 4	41	7675 11 11½		
101	34	15	984 4 "	3	10565 4 5		
9	6	150	5940 6 4	44	18241 " 4½		
110	40						
		1	72 " "	"	" " "		
	"		390 6 10	"	" " "		
"	"	5					
5	"	3	308 " "	"	" " "		
3	"	9	770 6 10	"	" " "		
8	1	192	17140 15 2	48	107994 15 ½		
145	46	634	22016 4 8	242	111638 2 2½		
				+ 1	Property to be ascertained.		
553	47			257	Without Property.		
				500			

Pagodas not exceeding 30 Rs allowance

To be placed under the Management of

individuals professing hereditary right

Ditto under superintendence

Ditto under management of Pojaries

Ditto under Pojaries & Co. trustees

Mutts under Manage

Exceeding 30 Rs allowance

Mutt or Devastanm under Management of Pojaries alone.

Mutt or Devastanm under Management of

5 Co. trustees being the Pojaries & Heads of villages

Ditto — Ditto — of 7 Co. trustees being Do. Do.

Petty Devastanms under Management of 3 Co. trustees

being the Pojaries and Heads of Villages

Do. — Do. — of 5 Co. trustees being Do. — Do.

Mosques under Management of individuals professing

hereditary right to the superintendance

Do. under Management of Officiating Priests

Do. — Do. — of 3 Officiating Priests

Total of allowance exceeding 30 Rupees under-

-ling Petty Devastanms and Pagodas

Blair

Proceedings thereon-

Conveying instructions on a letter from the Principal Collector of Canara regarding his plans for the future Management of the native Religious institutions in that District-

(85-86)

1 In this letter the Board
=pal Collector replies to the Boards
and Enquiries in their Proceedings of
14th Instant regarding his plans for
future Management of the native
=gious institutions of his Distric
It is not however a final one
=sive report, as the arrangements
have not yet been completed, and do
appear to have been in any instance
carried into effect-

2 The division of the Pagod
of Canara into Mootkurs and Sthanig
no reference, it appears to their incum
is generally the case elsewhere, but th
former term is merely an honorary distinc
=tion accorded to 83 of the most venerated
Temples in 1802, when the Provisions allo
=ances were settled-

3 In reply to the Boards question
as to the grant of 30,000 Rupees on Bengal Estab
application, Mr. Blair states that on that
Officers representation of the insufficiency
of the Religious Establishments and Cessation from
the existing Resumptions of
Conjeevram Government

addition to them of half a Lacke annually
But that in 1802 the permanent allowance
out of that grant was fixed at 30221-11-8 the
remainder being considered unnecessary by
Messrs Ravenshaw and Read then the Collectors
respectively of the North & South Divisions of the Province=

4 It appears from the information
now furnished that the sanctioned allowances to

To Mathas Pagodas or eminent Hindoo Institutions	64,422-11-5.	the Devastanums amount to 154,634-11-5.
" Choultry Dues Petty Do. Do.	64,212-6-1-	But the amount actu=ally disbursed is only 15,1870-12-11. the
" Mutts or places of Native Worship of Sangeyets	4,114-14-4-	
" Mosques	6,171-8-9-	
Jain Evans	19,487-11-10-	
	154,634-11-5.	

difference 2764-1-6. being reserved as not required-
The accumulations of this saving for seven years
are stated to be in deposit, but their amount
is not specified- In addition to this the allow=
ances to certain Pagodas which have fallen
to decay are suspended, the annual amount of
these is 107-2-11, but the aggregate is not
mentioned- There is also a sum of 6,402-14-11-
being accumulations of the pay of certain offices
withheld in consequence of their being vacant,
the incumbent absent- In a future com=
munication Mr. Blair is requested to
specify the amount actually in deposit in
Treasury to enable the Board to report it

17572

Fort St George 22d December

To Government

Para 5

The Board approve of
substitution of 80 Rupees for 30 a
lamb with three which Pagodas
to be entrusted to the Pegunis
The plans explained in Bk of
the larger Pagodas are also cor
in accordance with the sanc
as formerly expressed. It only rem
that the arrangements should be
pleted, and a detailed Statement prepar
such as is specified in the Board's former
ceedings shewing individually the disp
of the Pagoda's receiving above 30 Rupe
and of the smaller in the aggregate.
ment No 5 now received does not give
particulars of the larger Pagodas wh
fore defective. As soon as the arrange
are completed for each Pagoda they shou
be carried into effect without delay. The
ends thereof will continue on the same fo
as heretofore.

Proceedings
Nos 14 Para 6.

Para 4

These results seem to be somew
uniformity in the Statements from the Sub
the Salary Deductions, which appear
to observed by the Principal Collectors from

17573

Fort St George 22d December 1842

Read the following letter.

From H: V Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar

To J. D. Boundillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

87

Sir,

From the Coll of Malabar
Reporting the suspension of the Caway Tahsildar and recommending his dismissal from the Service.

With reference to Para 6 of the orders of Government published in Page 318 of the Official Gazette for 1835, I have the honor to inform you that in concurrence with a recommendation from my Acting Sub Collector, I have suspended the Tahsildar of the Caway Talook, and now beg to explain the reasons which have induced me to take this step.

The primary charge on which the Tahsildar has been suspended, of gross and very suspicious negligence in not taking charge of a deceased Rajah's effects, is given in detail by Mr Crozier in the report marked A. I have gone carefully thro the volumi nous evidence on which this report is founded, and have made hand notes in red ink in

the

Fort St. George 22d. December 184

the Margin of Mr. Crozier's report wher
= ever they seemed to me to be necessary
with reference to any particular po
adduced. With this paper, and a full
version of the defence set up by the
Talsildar, the Board will I hope
be able to arrive at a satisfac
= tory judgement as to his guilt
innocence.-

3- The charge I have just
mentioned is, as I have said above,
primary one on which the Talsil
= dar has been suspended, but there
is a second matter detailed at leng
by Mr. Crozier in his report
Marked B, in which I consider the
Talsildar's conduct to have been hig
= by suspicious and unsatisfactory
indeed I fully believe that he was
the virtual cause of a collision
between the Hindoos and Mahomed
which might have led
the inflammable

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

No 2.

C.

sect particular to very serious consequences.-

4- I beg to recommend that I be allowed to dismiss the Talsildar for his behaviour in the two instances now brought to notice. I have the less hesitation in making this recommendation, that the Talsildar's character has for a long time been very doubtful especially with regard to receiving bribes. He was once called from his Talook by Mr. Clementson on suspicion of having taken a sum of Money to screen a man of substance who had committed a Murder, and tho' it was not found practicable to bring the charge home to him, he was not considered to be clearly innocent, and was not permitted to return to the same post.- Rumours were afloat at the commencement
5- of the year of his being in the habit of receiving improper considerations while Talsildar of Cootnaad and his conduct in that important Talook was generally so far from being satisfactory, that I found it necessary to re= move him to Caway one of the least important Talooks, in order (as will be seen by the enclosed translate of a takeed issued on the occasion) to give him as = little opportunity as was in my power of doing harm.
6- I have no hesitation in expressing my full conviction that he is worthless character, and deserving no further indulgence.-

Signed H. V. Conolly
Coll.r

Calicut
8th Dec.r 1842

Memorandum

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

A.

Memorandum.

The Odiamangalata Kowilagat
Rama Wurma Rajah of Cavay died during the
Night of the 13th of August 1842. all his
heirs being absent at Travancore. One
of the Rajah's Servants Vanakayel Chando
reported the event, under the existing cir
cumstances to the Cavay Tahsildar, on
the morning of the 14th and solicited from
that Officer, the usual and proper mea
sures for the due Security of the deceased
property. Instead of complying, an order
was returned to Chandoo himself, asking for
advice. In the absence of the rightful heir
of the Rajah, it was the imperative duty of
the Tahsildar than at Tallisarumbah and
within Six Miles, to have immediately re
proceeded to the spot, and, under the Sig
=nature Seal have placed the property in Secu
=rity.

2.

In reply to the Tahsildar's order,
Chandoo, under date the 15th of August,
submitted a request to the effect "that the
Karnastam or Agents of the deceased
Rajah were present, that his son
Govindan had taken away the Keys
of the rooms wherein the property
secured, and being

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

afternoon of the 14th, had thrown them down
on the Southern Veranda of the Palace."—
He reported also that "a servant of the
Rajah's by name Rama Pillay refused to
take charge of the Keys in question unless
Govindan himself opened the rooms and
delivered over personally the property,
adding that the Keys appeared much
decreased in number—that the Rajah's
son Govindan making no reply to this
walked away, leaving on the spot the
Keys." Notwithstanding such a detailed
report evidently conveying great suspici=
=on on the minds of the domestics the Tah=
=sildar still neglected to seal the pro=
=perty or institute any official enquiry whatever.

On the 3d of September three of the
Rajah's servants reported to the
Acting Joint Magistrate, that with
the exception of a few articles spe=
=cified, the whole of the Rajah's pro=
=perty has been clandestinely and
illegally carried away on the night
of the demise by his wife and son,
assisted by the former's brother Vari=
=karah chandoo and others. On this
an order was issued by the Acting

Joint

Joint Magistrate to the Tahsildar, con-
=taining a severe reprimand for the
past, and strict and particular d
=rections for the future immediate
to be fulfilled. No proceedings appe
to have been held until the 16th and
there under very suspicious circumst
4.
Even from the very imperfect
and unsatisfactory Proceedings held
before the Tahsildar, (proceedings de
=rectly opposed in many material
points to those taken before the Acting
Joint Magistrate) it would appear,
that certain property was removed
the night of the Rajah's demise, and
further, that Govinden himself admit
to having on the next day carried away
some brass Vessels &c. said by him
to be his own. Statements which de
=manded an immediate search. A List
also was produced during the
investigation before the Tah:
=sildar by Chando and other
servants, represented to
particularize the whole missing
property, of which no adv

was taken.-
Two witnesses are represented
5.
to have stated before the Tahsildar, (
(which statement however they deny
on oath before the Acting Joint Ma-
=gistrate ever to have made) that the
removal of the property took place
before the Rajah's death- the remain-
=ing two subsequently, thereto. all four
agree in deposing that a Civet cat,
forming part of the Rajah's property,
was removed and deposited in a
neighbour's house, four days after
his death, this is proved before the
Acting Joint Magistrate. The Tah-
=sildar reports all property to have been
removed before the Rajah's death in defiance
of the direct evidence to the contrary before himself
and leading thereby others to the supposition
that such was done with the Rajah's knowledge
and consent, and further indicating
a bias in favor of the parties accused.-
6.
During the night of the Rajah's death,
three other Rajah's connections of the deceased
and other persons of respectability were pre-
=sent in the Palace- they all before the Acting
Joint

Joint Magistrate gave important evidence
and it was obviously the duty of the Tahsildar
have sought it and examined them. He omitted to
7. It also appears in the Proceedings before the Tah-
=sildar that one of the deceased Rajah's servants
Eroomian cannot strongly remonstrated at the time
against the removal of the property. It was incumb-
=bent upon the Tahsildar to have immediately ex-
=amined him, and no satisfactory reasons are
assigned for the omission.
8th On the 16th or 17th of September the Proceedings
before the Tahsildar were brought to a close, they only
reached the acting Joint Magistrate on the 10th of
November. On the 22d of September an
Urzee was received from the Tahsildar, stating
that he was waiting the heirs' arrival to make
a full report. The heir arrived on the 25th September
but hearing that great irregularities and grossabuse
of power and position had been going on, He await-
=ed the arrival of the acting Joint Magistrate towards
the end of October to prosecute his complaint, but
such delay on the part of the Rajah was no excuse
to the Tahsildar for the neglect of his duty in no
further ing the investigation.
9. The Tahsildar on the 17th September ad-
=pared to Varikarah's (Defendant's)
=bly for the purpose of

made. The reason he knows best. On the 16th however
Varikarah Govinden &c. were formally apprized
of what was to take place on the morrow and of
course sufficient opportunity to conceal any
property, if removed, was afforded and for
this cause some of the deceased's servants
when invited by the Tahsildar to be pre-
=sent refused publicly to attend.
No heir being present when the
10.
Rajah died, and more particularly having
been requested so to do, it was the impe-
=rative duty of the Tahsildar to have re-
=sorted to the spot and to have placed
his official seal upon the property.
It was further his duty to have imme-
=diately reported the circumstance to
the acting Joint Magistrate, in all
he failed. And it was only after receiving
orders from the acting Joint Magis-
=trate and then, after several days
delay, that any enquiry was held at all.
In the Proceedings taken before
11.
the acting Joint Magistrate in con-
=sequence of a complaint from the pre-
=sent Rajah to that effect, it would appear
that of the property sworn to have been
present

† Palmyra Palm and old Rupees

present at the time of the deceased's death
and belonging to him, and his heirs
little or none is forthcoming, that on
on the night of his death much
property was seen to have been re-
moved and that immediately after
his death representations to this
effect were made to the Authorities
on the spot, and further that for
reasons not directly shewn or prov-
ed in the present instance great neg-
lect and indifference to the ends of
justice, have been displayed by the
the executive Officer.

12 In addition to the case immediately
under review, a second, relating to the
same parties was brought by appeal
to the Acting Joint Magistrates notice,
in which, he at once found it necessary
to reverse a decision given in favour of the
present Defendants by the Tahsildar after
a careful review of his proceedings. It
has subsequently been proved by evidence
herewith transmitted that the Tahsil-
dar was bribed on the occa-

+ preferring that Elephant the property of the Rajah and made away with by the Defendants.

+ To be returned. This case of bribery & corruption is but a part of the country Rumours mentioned by the Acting Joint Magistrate that Cases of a like nature and against the Rajah and others of the highest respectability in the Calury District.

to, and therefore a proper partiality and favo-
straight forward proper proceeding in
case immediately under review may in
without reason be inferred, the Complain-
and Defendants being the same parties
The Elephant in question
13. ascertained to have been the property of the
Rajah, and to have been let on rent by the
to another individual and was entered in
the List of property furnished by the per-
Rajah a few days subsequent to the
of the Rajah and prior to the full period
time for which it was originally rented
was forcibly taken possession of by the
Defendants, under the plea that no
before the Rajahs death, it was made
to them by a bond or Cadjan Voucher,
on the contrary, it is proved before the
ing Joint Magistrate that the Raja
himself was in treaty to sell the sa
five days before his death, and only for
do so because he could not realize so much
besides which, there is every reason
the document to be false - the signatu
firm and strong whilst the Rajah was
in pencil and confined to his Couch, whilst
is said to be unlike the Rajah's usua
hand, and its falsity is in a fair
of being satisfactorily proved

Signed J. H. Crozier
Acting Joint Mook the

Settlisherry


1754 b2

A drawing of
ound
the
ot a
rants
reo-
o as
the late
y him
ed in
resent
death
of the
d it
e a
days
over
whilst
he act,

referring to a tusk of
Elephant the
property of th
Royal and mine
away with b
the Defendant led to

To by return
This case of
being a civil
ful for that
of the country
Kwas mentioned in
other Reten
Sessibilagi
a tusk at Cwaak
manaare an
again by the
Rajah and
others of the
highest rank
Pestabelis
in the Cabi
Districts
ved

ex
dage



B

C

A

Boundary of
Wards

D

Pagoda

1754 a

a,
to
eat
e
a
of
ion
ally,
ains
dy,
into
ait
ack,
g of
wn,
ad,
ne
tchery
ther
had
arter
took the

1758 85.

Fort St. George 22d December

B

Memorandum.

At half past 10 o'

the following [illegible]

Moplas came to me at Pyngad

in a state of great excitement o

[illegible] that the Heads of the

Pagoda at Talaparamba were

destroying by removing the Stone

Plan. from a road round the Pagod

in order to render the footh

[illegible] for a bullock Cart by which

[illegible] have been [illegible]

been on the habit of passing

[illegible] that a new road

under the direction of the Tahsildar

and Pagoda People, distant from

their Bazaar and inconvenient and that

1758 6

Fort St. George 22d December 1812.

obliged, as it was attempted to force them,
to go by it; would be a serious offence to
their feelings and rights as well as great
detriment to their interests. Whilst the
complainants were still before me, a
Vakeel accompanied by a few Nairs
sent by the Chief people of the Pagoda
appeared with a counter charge of
destructions by the Moplas of a por-
tion of their Pagoda Wall, a forci-
ble entrance into their place of
sanctity and its consequent pollution.
It was explained to each party severally,
that a business of importance detain-
ed me for a day or two at Pyengaddy,
when I would personally enquire into
the case; they returned, willing to wait
my arrival and decision. On my reach-
ing Talaparamba on the morning of
the 5th and on approaching the town,
a broad and new well made Road,
was pointed out to me, as the one
nearer and more direct to the Cutcherry
and my tents. Perceiving however another
and asking by which my Predecessor had
been accustomed to go to the same quarter
saying one thing and some another I took the
old

17587 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

old one, and found by going the new, I shou
unknowingly have encouraged and in the
opinion of the ignorant sanctioned, an
illegal Act. The Tahsildar's Peons a
-companied me, and my impression
is, design was in the circumstanc
It would appear, that Mr. Plas alone
besides those above the caste of Pari
have ever been permitted to go by the
old Road, and that a very excited
feeling existed on the part of both par
-ticularly on the minds of the Mopla
against the present unjust infring
-ment of their rights of way. It would
farther appear that the opposition to
their going by this usual route com
-menced on the 20th of August, when
a Complaint was forwarded on the sub
-ject to me. The Serishtedar of this C
Office being at the time in this Taluk
was directed to enquire into the
facts of the case. The report is ad
-mitted and worthy of particular
attention, and from which, it will
be observed, that the Pagoda people, at
an exclamation of their closing the road

17588 Fort St. George 22d December 1842.

stated in the public Cutcherry, that if they
chose to remove the stones from the road
and thus effectually stop a passage by
destroying it they had a right and power
to do so such, for some cause, was in
reality attempted and commenced on the
morning of the disturbance - and hence,
it originated - By the one party, necessary
repairs was represented as the cause
by the other, a preconcerted and prede
-termined plan - One of the two Witnesses
enjoyed upon the removal of the stones
and cited by the Pagoda people, states
on oath before me that for 8 or 10 years
the road had continued in its present
state and as my presence at Tallepa
-ramba solely on the subject of the dispute
was publicly known to be fixed for three
days from the said morning, to commence
repairs, not at that time and by no means
requisite must be considered, to say
the least of it, an unwise, unnecessary
and a suspicious step and the Mopla
part of the population were, I firmly
believe, under the impression that an
opposition to their right of way was being
attempted, and, under the connivance of the
Government servants. the plea of the unsafe
state of road is wholly without foundation

I

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

+ See Plan marked C.

I found, on a first slight enquiry, that the Wall destroyed by the Mob was unconnected with the Pagoda said to be injured by recent construction, and placed, to prevent Moplas passing that way, after the small Pagoda alluded to had been put in a state of repair - though happily without objection or opposition of the Moplas at the time - an objection which them might justly have been raised. Under these extenuating circumstances, in favor of the offending party, the Moplas - and the aggravating circumstance of the Pagoda People having directed my Serishtadar to see if no Pagoda could be effected. His first report was that the Pagoda People were most anxious and willing, but the Moplas averse - the Pagoda People at their own expense would make a new road more convenient and much shorter and avoiding the slight point. After some little hesitation the Moplas consented - and they formally agreed to relinquish altogether, their right of way round the Pagoda - and provided a road direct from their Pagoda (marked in the Plan C. F.) was pointed out and fixed, and formally set aside for their use in my

8 or 11 Months since only

morning

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

of the Tahsildar, the Pagoda People and the Moplas. I directed my Maistry at the time in the course of the Morning to mark it out, and I believe, all was happily and satisfactorily arranged - For reasons feigned or real, (I have reason to believe the former) after this road and arrangement of their own nomination and proposing had been agreed upon, the Pagoda People themselves, now raised objections to its being executed - and pointed out to my Serishtadar and Maistry a longer and more inconvenient line (marked F. G. H. D.) Finding it, however, on measurement, to be only 23 + Poles longer than that round the tank, and desirous, if practicable, by amicable arrangement to settle the dispute, I sought an interview with the heads of the Mopla Party when an agreement marked No. 1 was, on their part made before me - The Moplas therefore having for a second time, fallen into the arrangement proposed by the Pagoda People I could not believe great difficulties could possibly arise and directed my Serishtadar to go to the Cutcherry, and, in the presence of the Pagoda People and, then

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842

the Moplas, prepare the official Pay.
=ramah, when, I would attend to witness
the signature officially. After waiting
two hours I was informed that the Pa.
=gada People again raised difficulties.
I therefore at once issued an order to the
Tahsildar that the old road should
be kept open as heretofore, and at once
proceeded to try the merits of the im.
=mediate charge of illegal assembly
destruction &c. &c. &c. It would appear, from
the evidence that a report was spread
on the morning of the 3d. through the
Moplah Bazaar, that the Pagada People
were in the act of removing the stones &c.
the old way (marked B in the Plan)
an assemblage of Moplas quickly
ensued and remonstrances, abuse and
destruction of a wall - but with regard
to the entrances into the Pagadas, prop.
was wholly insufficient. Nine of the
Defendants identified were therefore
punished with eight fine of 5 Rupees
each, with a security for
6 months taken

Fort St. George 22d. December 1842.

amount was also required from the Complain=
=ant I cannot however, but consider that
great blame is attached, from the first
to the last to the Tahsildar, more particu=
=larly, and also to the Acting Adigharee,
the Shroff and other Officers who more
or less have taken an active part in the
whole Proceedings - In the first place it was
the Tahsildar who forcibly prevented by the
means of the Government Peons, the Moplas
from pursuing their usual Road since
there has been continued, though not
direct opposition to their going by it - Prior
to the 20th of August, I cannot ascertain that
obstruction was ever offered - Mr. James Vaughan
in 1825, is reported to have gone to Pali=
=paramba on a serious disturbance of the
same nature, and then, by public order, to
have prohibited Moplas from going that
way - such order, if ever in existence is not
now forthcoming, is denied by the Moplah
Population and has never been acted upon.
The new Road as exhibited in the Plan has
been formed under the direct the manage=
=ment and therefore authority of the local Circar
Authorities Mookiestan Peons &ca. were
employed upon it and I firmly believe that

such

such authority was represented and believed to have emanated from my self - though the expenses of the road were in reality defrayed by the Pagoda - I deem it inexpedient that even on account of the present charge alone the Tahsildar should longer remain in a position and in a place wherein he has so involved himself, by taking so active and prominent a part in a dispute which might unhappily have led to very serious consequences. His residence is at the side of the tank, at the turn of the road frequented by the people and which he only quitted two or three hours before the out break and he has made himself undoubtedly through highly improper interference obnoxious to one, and that a large, portion of the population - but other circumstances hereafter to be reported upon render in my opinion his removal absolutely necessary. The Acting Peishcar is also implicated connected with the whole affair he was lately raised from post

reduced to his former and permanent situation. The Acting Adigharry was nominated a Month since by the Tahsildar - He is a very young man and wholly unfit - and I have deemed it necessary at once to remove him and appoint a successor.

(Signed) F. H. Crozier
Acting sub Collector

P.S. During the period which intervened between the first slight inquiry and the time when I found it necessary to take the Case officially, I ascertained that a series of correspondence passed between the Pagoda People, the Moondiff of Cavoy and those of consequence in the neighbourhood - and a letter was addressed to me on the subject by the Rajah and hence, I apprehend, arose the failure to an amicable arrangement.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector & Magistrate

Order issued to the Cottaad Tahsildar

Having looked over the proceedings and opinion passed in your Case by Mr. Silver the Head Assistant Magistrate

it appears that you have conducted Police Cases with very great irregularity since you have been appointed to your present Talook. Your behaviour has been generally displeasing and unsatisfactory and great fault has been found in your conduct at the time of the Summabundy. I am now convinced that if you are still allowed to remain in Cotnaad which is one of the principal Talooks the Cirkar interests will suffer damage. You are consequently appointed to the Talook of Cavye, where the business is less than elsewhere and you are required to leave off your past habits and behave yourself well, if you still persist in your bad conduct, I shall be obliged to write to the Higher Authorities.

(True Translation)

(Signed) H. V. Conolly

No. 2.

Examination of Vengiddiswarah Putter, Taksildar of the Cavye Talook taken on the 13th Vrischigom 1018 corresponding with the 26th November 1842 before the Acting Joint Magistrate of Malabar.

1 It appears Chando I think it was a day said on the 14th August after the death of the last reported the Rajah that a report demise of Ramawar= came praying that =mah Rajah of Cha= the property might =yamangalom Me = be taken care of= =thaleh Palace. Having consequently which occurred on made enquiries, I the 13th and prayed heard that when the that in consequence Rajah died, there were of the said deceas= three Rajahs near= =ed having left no relations of the deceased heirs, the Cirkar and the Ministerial would take care servants of the Palace of the property present, and that they the Rajah having left had taken care of the no heirs, the property property. This was the should have immedi= reason why I thought =ately been taken there was no occasion care of in the same

for

property which seems not to have been done and in consequence of the delay in so doing, property of the Value of Rupees 13000 and odd has been stolen, to trace and recover which will be attended with difficulty what have you to answer to this?

for attaching the property- and I therefore refrained from doing so- after this an order was sent to the Ministerial servants of the Palace to describe what Rajahs were present on that occasion and what property there was in the Palace &c. To this answer was sent and afterwards another report in none of which mention was made of property having been stolen away- afterwards three of the Ministerial servants of the Palace prayed that the property might be attached- and accordingly an order was issued- I think it was on the following day after the order reached me, and without the least delay that I proceeded to

attached the property and submitted to the Joint Magistrate the account particularizing the same- The Ministerial on being examined relative to the robbery stated that on the night of the Rajah's death some property was conveyed to the house called Varikaraka, but what and of what description was not stated. Finding by the examination that some property was taken away, I told the Ministerial servants "I will go tomorrow morning to Varikaraka for the purpose of instituting a search for the property taken away and will have a search- You must point out to me the property belonging to the Palace" The Hayoon Umshoor Adighary was directed to see that the Ministerial servants attended in the morning but as they did not proceed to Varikaraka on the next morning Rons were sent to bring them- but they refused to come and made several objections such as that the Rajah was coming from Travancore and that they would not go to the place where the search was being instituted without the Rajah's orders- I then went to the Varikaraka house and examined the deceased

Rajah's

Govinda stating that unless the property was seen the Keys would not be accepted and that all the Keys were not there - and that after this it appears that the said Govinda had left the Keys in the Thikine (a Room) Now when this was the case and the property thus left without an Owner should not the Circar protect it. 2

and son Govinda but it did not state that any property was taken away. Having heard that after the delivery of the Keys a list of the property belonging to the Palace, was pre=pared by the Thapana Cotto Palace Rajah and the Ministerial Ser=vants of the Palace toge=ther with Chandoo, the Uncle of Govinda, son of the deceased Rajah, and that after the demise, the property was se=cured in the same Palace, and (subsequent to the arrival of the first report) that the near relations of the Rajah were at the

custody the property was made over, I con=ceived it impossible to consider the pro=perty as being left without an owner as I knew that the deceased's near rela=tions also Rajahs were there, this is the sole reason why the property was not attached. -

5. Did you then enquire of those Rajahs and others as to the truth or otherwise of the information you had about the property having been made over to their Custody? 2

I had no reason to make this enquiry immediately after the report reached me but afterwards when I went to the Othamandapa=tha Palace, I wrote for the attendance of those principal Rajahs who appear=ed to have been present but as they sent back a message stating that they were unable to come to a place like the Talook Cutcherry I could not order their attendance or make the enquiry. the Palace Ministerial Ser=vants having said that a Rajah and Heir to the property was coming from Travancore

Travancore and would arrive shortly. I did not again write to the Rajahs for their attendance, thinking of doing so after the Rajahs arrival when I would obtain the necessary information on a complaint in due form being preferred.-

6.- Did you after the arrival of the Rajah make enquiries of the other Rajahs?

After the arrival of the Rajah, we proceeded to the Palace for the purpose of delivering over the property, which having been done and a receipt obtained, I asked the Rajah if he had any complaint to prefer. when he told me that he would enquire and after learning exactly whether any property had been taken away he would in eight days, send his petition either to the Sub Collector or the Talook Cutchery. this is the reason I did not think it necessary to make any enquiry of the other Rajahs.-

7.- It appears that Govinda stated in his examination before you and which statement was corroborated by two others belonging to the Palace that part of the property was taken away by Govinda the day after the Rajahs death how comes it that you in your report state as a certain fact that the property was taken away previous to his demise?

The Rebort made to the Rajahs demise some property was during the night kept aside and taken away when the Catil-amah (the deceased Rajahs wife) and Children left the place and that the two reports from the Ministerial servants were only sent after all this had occurred. The words that this had been done previous to his demise are therefore apparently a mistake of the person who wrote the report.-

8.- By the proceedings held before you it appears that a quantity of paddy together with a Civet

I knew that some paddy and a Civet Cat and Cage were

Civet Cat and Cage were conveyed from the Pa-
=lace after the expira-
=tion of four days. How comes this?

9 It appears that the list which was for-
=warded by you with the proceedings given by Chandoo (the deceased Rajah's servant (and some others as containing a description of the property stolen but it seems that no enquiry was instituted for ob-
=taining any informa-
=tion about the Gold and Silver Orna-
=ments mentioned therein How is this?

were taken away from the Wode-
=kar Palace and was told these things belonged Shiltheen.-

Nothing was dis-
=covered because they did not tell what were the marks of the pro-
=perty described in the list. they said that only the Rajah can they proceed to any place to make the search and that they could not identify the property if seen

and by some during conve-
=sation. The property said to be stolen way being asserted to be in one place only no other places were searched.-

10. Was the Defendants Varikara House or any other place searched either during the in-
=vestigation or at any time afterwards?

No search was made thinking that when the Rajah arrived and made his complaint after learning the particu-
=lars of the property, it could then be done. I have heard that there is more pro-
=perty in the possession of the V.a-
=rikara family than that particularized in the list which was merely given at a guess-

11. Is it proper that a delay should have been allowed to occur in instituting a search when a report was made of the Robbery? and by thus delaying

I did not then consider it as a Robbery nor did the first report state it to be Robbery not

delaying do you think the discovery of the property would by any means be practicable

nor did it state that property was taken away. Govinda himself said that only some part of the property which he claimed as his own was taken away and he showed me the property. No search was instituted thinking that when the Rajah arrived according to circumstances it could be done. But I did not consider it in the light of a robbery. Hence my reason for not making the search.

12 By the Talook proceedings it appears that when a box and some property were being taken away in the night time, Eroomal Cumman stopped it. Why was he not examined and why was your reason for not

When Eroomal Cumman was enquired after for the purpose of being examined he was not found. The proceedings as much as were

examining him not reported?

I had no time to accomplish all that was necessary in this investigation.

13. After the Rajah had arrived from Travancore did he prefer a complaint if not was his examination taken?

The Rajah after his arrival from Travancore wrote to the Talook stating as soon as all the property was delivered over, and he found what had been abstracted he would prefer his complaint. at the time when the property was being delivered over being asked the Rajah said that he would communicate with me after he had looked over the accounts of the property. I therefore saw no reason for receiving a Complaint.

14 How many days after the arrival of the Rajah from Travancore was the property delivered over?

I do not recollect on what day of the month the Rajah arrived. I have submitted my letter in which I have mentioned about the delivery of the property and the Rajah's arrival.

In

15 In the Urzee submitted under date the 22d: September it is stated that the property was sealed, and that when the Rajah arrived enquiries would be made and final statement submitted. but it appears that the Rajah arrived on the 21st: of the same month, and the proceedings were only sent on the 10th: November now state your reason for this delay in forwarding the proceedings, and whyno further enquiry was instituted from the 22d: September to the 10th: November?

The R

After the Rajah had on his first arrival from Travancore written to the Talook, requesting that the property might be delivered in and that if he found any missing after comparing with the accounts, he would give information of it. I submitted an Urzee to the Joint Magistrate reporting that the Rajah had arrived and asked for instructions for my guidance in regard to the delay

and an order was thereon issued that if the Rajah is the Heir the property should be delivered over and a receipt for the same taken from him. For the purpose of assuring myself that the Rajah was the true Heir I wrote to the Cherikul Rajah, who however delayed sending his answer, but when it came the property was made over. Having heard after this that he had sent a petition to the Joint Magistrate, I forwarded all the proceedings held by me.

16 Varakal Chandoo has stated that the signature affixed to the first sheet of his two depositions containing in all 3 signatures, is not his own nor the signatures also seem to disagree How comes this?

He was examined and affixed his signature I do not know what has now induced him to state that the signature is not his.

17 Where and when are the proceedings

When I am indisposed and on Sundays.

held on the Complaint preferred by Narainda Numbey against — Chandoo and certain others for having forcibly taken away a female Elephant named Lutchmy belonging to the deceased Rajah and rented to him?

Sundays I do not generally go to the Cutcherry on these occasions I investigate cases at my dwelling house. I am not well aware whether one or two of the witnesses were examined at my house, but I know the investigation alluded to was also held at the Cut Cherry.

18 Were Witnesses summoned on the 20/29 Chungom to appear septembr at your house for examination?

I do not recollect. If I did examine them at the house there is reason that such would be stated at the heading of the examination.

19 You have heard the depositions given by Ramapora the Peshcoos Numby

I have heard the depositions

Chandoo and Adiody Darmum stating that in the case of the female Elephant the said Defendants Chandoo and Govinda had given you a gold waist thread Amulets and Rupees, being part of the stolen property: What have you to state in answer to this?

receive any Money Gold thread or Amulets. they have been tutored by some principal people and Ministerial servants to state so. I have a few questions to put to these witnesses and besides have witnesses on my part to prove who they are, and for what reasons they have thus made up this Charge against me, and that I did not as above stated ask it. I have to state a particulars relative to this which I will do afterwards.

20 Will you now give the names of those principal people and Servants and those your Witnesses?

I will not give their names now I will do so by and by. I have answered this question before.

21 Is what you have read the same as you stated or is there any difference?

There is no difference.

Signature

Taken before me this 20th November 1842.

(signed) F. H. Crozier
Acting Joint Magr.

True translation
(signed) H. V. Connolly
Coll. & Magistrate

(87-88)

Order thereon,

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

26th September in Cons. 3 October 1842

Read again the following letter from the Collector of Madras containing his report on the management of the Extra sources of Revenue in that Collectorate for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42.

(89)

(Here enter No 92.)

Proceedings thereon. Para 1

Relative to the Management of the Extra sources of Revenue in the Collectorate of Madras for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42

Home Consumption		
1250 Fusly / A.D. 1840/41	96,004	4 — 8
1251 Fusly / A.D. 1841/42	93,794	7 — 3
	2,209	13 — 5

The Collections from the Sales of Salt for Home Consumption in Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 shew a decrease of Rupees 2,209-13-5 compared with the like sales for the previous year. This falling off in the Home consumption is explained by the Collector

the resort of persons who formerly drew their supplies from the Madras Gollahs to the Pans in the Chingleput District, by which the sales for the supply of the Mount Cantonment and Sydapettah from Madras was reduced. In the ex-port sales for Bengal (of which there were none in Fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840/41) the Collections amounted to Rupees 36,823-8-4 Consequently the ordinary Collections from the sales of Salt in the year under review amounted to Rupees 130617-15-3, exceeding those of Fusly 1250 by Rupees 34,613-10-7. To the above be added the items of Extra Revenue noted in the Margin being the amount of advances recovered from the manufacturers, and the proceeds of certain Palmira leases, the gross Collections under the head of Salt will be Rupees 138575-11-9. or Rupees 38138-9-9 above the gross Collections of the Fusly preceding.

Statement D

Ordinary Collections A.D. 1840/41 / 1250 Fusly	96,004	4 — 8
1251 Fusly / A.D. 1841/42	130,617	15 — 3
Increase	34,613	10 — 7

Extra Revenue	7,938	4 — 11
	18	12 — 7
	138575	11 — 9

Para. 5

In the Charges there was a Considerable Increase amounting to Rupees 21626-a-6. which is chiefly owing to the

2

Charges 1251 Fusly	54,225	8 — 11
1250 Fusly	32,599	8 — 5
	21,626	a — 6

Para 7 12,378-14-9
Para 9 4,567-15-0
Para 11 8,616-15-11

the larger quantity of Salt manufactured in the year under review, which involved an increased outlay of Conteawarum or Manufacturer's share, advances and incidental Extra expences, after deducting Charges the Net revenue remaining was Rupees 84,349-7-10 and exceeded the Net Revenue of Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 by Rupees 16,512-9-3.

3.

Para 14 Sayer

Betel	76,655	14	3
Tobacco	189,621	9	6
Gayah	311	9	11
Bang	59	12	2
	2,66,643	13	10
Piece Goods	82,049	12	9
Gruff &c.	2,25,856	10	6
Total	5,74,555	5	1
Fusly 1250	5,32,347	1	1
Excess	42,208	4	0

The Current Collection under this head (derived from the Items entered in the Margin) were Rupees 5,74,555-5-1 being Rupees 42,208-4-0 above the Current Collections in Fusly 1250 A.D. 1842/41

4

In the Collection of Duty on Betel there was an Increase of Rupees 2704-1-0 and in that derivable from Tobacco the Increase in the Revenue was Rupees 14,913-7-6 over Fusly 1250 and Rupees 18,037-1-11 over the Collections of Fusly 1249 A.D. 1839/40 under the head of Ganjah and

was a decrease of Rupees 2419-10-1 in the former of Rupees 2185-6-9 and in the latter of Rupees 234-3-4—

5.

With regard to the Draft of an Act for regulating the sale of Ganjah and Bang within the Sayer limits of the Madras Collectorate to which the Collector alludes in Para 19 of his Report, the Board beg to refer to the sentiments expressed by them upon this subject when submitting Mr. Robertson's letter with the above Draft Act for the consideration of Government under date the 29th of September last, on which occasion they also adverted to the proposition submitted in their letter dated December 13th 1838 which had in view the total abolition throughout this Presidency of the duty at present levied on these Articles pending the receipt of the wishes of Government on this point, the Board are not prepared to give their support to the enactment proposed by Mr. Robertson—

In the Collections on

6.

account of Piece Goods there was

a

a decrease of Rupees 19,625–3–7 owing to the falling off in the demand and exportation of Cambays Handkerchieves, Blue cloths &c

7.

The Duties on Grist Goods shew an increase of Rupees 46,635–7–2 obtained entirely from Indigo and Betel Nuts—

Fusly 1251			
Indigo	1,00,085	9	8
Betel Nuts	55,371	0	0
	1,55,457	9	8
Fusly 1250	1,07,946	15	6
	47,510	10	2

8.

It is satisfactory to observe that Duties on the Fusly under review the Collections of Duty from Indigo and Betel Nuts exhibit so considerable an increase over Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 in which year there was a falling off of Rupees 23,820 15–8– and Rupees 6,807–12–7 in these 2 articles respectively; a result, as regarded the latter item of Revenue, sufficient to induce the Collector to suspect the existence of illicit practice, and to the detection of which he stated in his report noted in the Margin that his attention would be directed from the result of Mr Robertsons inquiry into this subject

Coll[r] report dated 25th November 1841 in cons[n] 2d Dec[r] para 23.

a contraband traffic is carried on by means of the shops placed near the range of the Madras Mettoo, affording facilities to Parties to smuggle the article within the limits of the Collectorate and which he states it must be difficult to suppress wholly "as long as shops can be placed, and are thus "placed"

The Board consider that much of the evil complained of may be obviated in future by adopting measures in communication with the Collector of Chingleput to check as far as possible the combination, which is stated to exist among the holders of the shops in question, and the portion engaged in this illicit traffic, and hopes are entertained that since the sources from which it proceeds are discovered, that increased activity and vigilance on the part of the Collectors Establishment may, in a great degree, prevent its continuance The Board accordingly direct Mr Robertson to consult the Collector of Chingleput on this subject—

9.

The Gross Collections under the

10.

the Head of Sayer in Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 were Rupees 575263—5—1 which exceeded those of the preceding year by Rupees 41998—1—10 but owing to the charges having been greater by Rupees 87316—3—1 the Net Revenue exhibits a decrease of Rupees 45318—1—3

11

This increase in the Charges just noticed was occasioned chiefly by a larger Amount having been disbursed on account of Drawback. Under which head alone the movement in Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 amounted to Rupees 87341—15—3. This Charge though disbursed from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras is not all properly chargeable to that Office The Drawback refunds include import duties paid at the Sea Customs, and transit duty paid in different Districts in the Interior, as well as duty paid at the Land Custom House, but it has been found impracticable to exhibit in the accounts the portion of the Amount paid as Drawback properly ch

Charges			
1251	335373	6	11
1250	248057	3	10
	87316	3	1

Drawback			
1251	28,875	10	11
1250	199,533	11	8
	87,341	15	3

District or Department

The Abkarry Revenue

Abkarry Fasly 52

demand was fixed at Rupees 319934—15—9 in advance of that of Fasly 1250 by A.D. 1840/41

Demand			
1251	519934	15	9
1250	511035	5	0
	8899	10	9

Rupees 8899—10—9

The Current Collections

13

Amounted to Rupees 509008—14—11 and the Extra Collections were Rupees 2283—5—9 making the gross Collections of the Fasly under review Rupees 511292—4—8 or Rupees 2—8 below the gross receipts of the previous year The Charges were Rupees 6802—6—10 in excess of those of the former Fasly owing chiefly to a larger outlay having been incurred for the purchase of Jaggery for the distillation of Puttabarrack; the consequence was that the Net revenue derived from Abkarry was reduced to Rupees 4,67,525—15—10 in Fasly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 the Net Revenue from this branch amounted to Rupees 4,58,369—5—4 or Rupees 6843—5—6 above the year under review

Charges	
59766—6—10	11 44/64 per Cent on Collection

Of the Current Demand

14

there

there was an outstanding Balance at the close of the Fasly of Rupees 10926 - - 10 there Collections since that period amounted to Rupees 43 - 2 - 1 leaving Rupees 10882-14-9 for future adjustment This sum includes two items of Rupees 10,624 - 3 - 3 and Rupees 258 - 11 - 6. The former consists of the amount of arrears due by the Renters of the Arrack and Toddy within the limits of the Supreme Court for the period that their Shops remained closed in the month of September 1841, under circumstances which are sufficiently known to Government to render a recapitulation in this place unnecessary It may suffice to state that the Board called upon the then Acting Collector of Madras by the letter noted in the Margin to state his opinion regarding the claims to remission advanced by the Shopkeepers in question for the period during which their Shops were closed, and the Amount if any which should be granted, and the grounds on which the remission should be made In reply Mr Hudleston submitted the

To Actg Collector 15th October 1841

From Actg Coll.r 18th October in Cons.n 18th " 1841

Report advocating a general remission of the whole Amount of the Arrears Viz.t 10,624 - 3 - 5, that which had accumulated against these parties for the number of days their Shops were actually closed, basing his recommendation on a review of the circumstances which had led to the interruption in the trade Mr Robertson in para 39 of his report concurs in this view and observes that under the peculiar circumstances of the case already brought to the notice of the Board, "I would beg to recommend that sanction may be procured for remitting the arrears en question"

15 The Board desire to recommend the above recommendation that the whole of the outstanding arrears due by the renters of the Arrack and Toddy Shops which had accumulated during the actual number of days that their respective Shops were closed, should be remitted by Government on the ground that the original cause which led to the interruption in the trade, arose out of certain discrepancies which existed in the

the leases and permissions granted to
these parties, and the standing Police
Regulations.—

16. The second item of Ba
-lance Viz. Rupees 258—11—4 above men
-tioned is a mere nominal demand
against the Venders of Toddy at St.
Thomas's Mount, whose shops were closed
by order of the Police Authorities but with
-out communication with the Department
of the Collector, the consequence was that
the daily Dowle due by these persons was
credited against them in the Public
accounts until the circumstances became
known, and which soon the Collector
requests permission to strike out. The
whole amount therefore for which
the sanction of Government to
remit is now requested is Rupees
10,882—14—9—

Sundry Small Farms & Licences 17.

Para 40. The Current Collections
under this head exceeds the like Collection
of Fasly 1250 by Rupees 30—
14—3. The Gross Collections
Amounted to Rupees 9
and the

Fasly 1250	1114	10	6
" 1251	1150	8	9
Excess in 1251	30	14	3

deducting which the Net Revenue was
Rupees 10182—2—7 in the preceding year
the Net Revenue was 9872—12—3 con-
sequently there was a Net increase
from this branch of Revenue in
favor of Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 of Rupees 309—6—4

The Current Collec-
Stamps— 18. tions on account of the sale
of Stamps Paper and Cadjans for
Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 amounts
to Rupees 8910—6—0 and

Fasly 1251	8910	6	0
" 1230	6686	5	6
	2224	0	6.

exceeded those of the
antecedent Year by Ru-
pees 2224—0—6. The Extra Collections
were Rupees 35—7—11. or Rupees 25—11—2
more than in Fasly 1250
A.D. 1840/41.

19 The Charges exceed
those of the previous
Fasly by Rupees 558—5—0
owing chiefly to a larger
amount of refunds having
been by order of the Sudr Court
under

Charges 1251	1139	14	4
1230	581	9	4
	558	5	0

Fort St George 22d December 1842.

under the explanation stated in Para 5th of the report. The Net Revenue after deducting the charges was Rupees 7,805–15–7 or Rupees 1,691–6–8 in excess of the Net Revenue of Fasly 1250. A.D. 1840/41

Net Revenue 1250–6114–8–11

Cochrane's Canal No.

The Current receipts of Toll on this Canal were less in Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841 than the preceding year by Rupees 3085–0–2, the decrease is attributed by the Collector to the diminution in the Imports of Sirewood, and shells, and to no revenue being credited under this head for conveying Gravel &c the property of Government, by the Canal, owing to the closing of the red Hill Coal Boundary which in Fasly 1250 amounted to Rupees 1815–1–11 A.D. 1840/41

Current Collections		
1250	30623	0–6
1251	27538	0–4
	3085	0–2

The total Collections during the year under

Fort St George 22d December 1842.

Rupees 27539–12–4 and the charges were Rupees 18792–12–10 being less than the charges of 1250 Fasly 1840/41 by Rupees 4630–4–11 which was owing to there having been no expense incurred for repairs of the Canal as was the case in the past year when the outlay on this account amounted to Rupees 4638–7– After deducting Charges the Net Revenue exhibits an excess of Rupees 1547–0–9 the amount in Fasly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 Rupees 9199–14–9 and in Fasly 1251 A.D. 1840/42 being Rupees 10746–15–6

Para 48

The total Demand on account of all branches of Revenue in Fasly 1251 exhibits an increase of Rupees 85167–7–11 compared with that of the year preceding. Of the demand, the whole was collected within the Fasly with the exception of the balance of Rupees 10926–0–10 referred to in Para 14 of these Proceedings, a result which the Board consider highly satisfactory.

Total 22

Para 36

Demand.		
1251	1273058–3–2	
1250	1187890–0–3	
	85167–7–11	

The

17627 Fort St George 22d December 1842

23.

The Gross Collections including Extra and Arrears were Rupees 79574 — above those of Fasly 1250 as shewn in the entry in the Margin Under the head of Charges which were 3 51/64 per Cent on the Gross Collections, there was an increase of Rupees 111675 2 owing principally to the excess of Drawback on the Sayer Department noticed above in para 11 Exclusive of the Charges, the Net Revenue was Rupees 204500 7 6 being Rupees 32101 2 9 below the Net Revenue of Fasly 1252 which amounted to

Rupees

Gross Collections

1251 —— 1273139 13 4

1250 —— 1193565 13 4

79574 — 4 — 0

Charges

168639-5-10

17628 Fort St George 22d December 1842

Rupees 836601 10 3

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings together with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections, Charges and Net Revenue, be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance

Madras

1629.

Port of St George 29th December 1842.

Madras. 17th May 1951

| | | |

17631

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

Read the following Letters.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secy to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From A. Robertson Esqre
Collector of Madras.

(90)

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 10th Ultimo, as well as of the previous one from Mr Smollett of the 23rd August 1841, relative to the application of Beesha Beebee for the payment of her Yeomiah being transferred from Nellore to Madras and to report that it was only on the 13th August 1842 that information respecting the place of residence of a female of that name was communicated to me by one Raja Meeja, when with reference to the list submitted by him to the Board on the 12th August 1841, I applied to the Principal Collector of the District for a descriptive roll of the Yeomiahdar. On receiving this it was discovered that it differed materially from the one obtained through my own Establishment. It was, afterwards, ascertained that this Beesha Beebee was not the person referred

to

To the Pr. Coll.r
Nellore
Dt. 6th Sept. 1842.
To Dt. 14th Nov.r
From Dt. 19th Dec.r

17632 Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

to in Mr. Sinnolletts letter of the 23rd August 1841, but another person of the same name who also had made a similar application which has not been referred to me.

2. The Vakeel of the Beesaha Beebee indicated in the letter from the Board having now pointed out her place of residence, she has been examined, and her description corresponds with that furnished by Mr. Stonhouse. I am not therefore aware of any objection to the payment of her Jeemiah being transferred to this office subject to her producing her Purwannah or Certificate as well as the securities required by the rules now in force.

Madras, 16th December 1842. } / Signed / A. Robertson Collector.

Resolution thereon.-

From Nellore 19th Augt. in Cons. 23d Dr. 1841.
To Madras 23d Dr.
To Dr. 16th Nov. 1842.

(90-91)

Resolved that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence relating to it be submitted for the orders of the most Honble the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that authority may be granted for transferring the payment of the Jeemiah of Beesaha Beebee from Nellore to the Treasury of the Collector of Madras.

17633 Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

To. J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From A. Robertson Esqre Collector of Madras.

(92)

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to transmit herewith the original Sunnud called for by Government, which was yesterday delivered to me by the Vakeel of Syed Ammed Shotary on my furnishing him with a receipt for the same.

Madras, 16th December 1842. } / Signed / A. Robertson Collector.

Translation

Seal

Enam Sunnud granted to Mhoullah Shaha.

Orders having been issued for the continuance to you, according to a long standing custom, of the following five Enam Villages, Viz. Ammenpollium, Bommanaikenputty, Soorammungala, Radiegaunty and Cammanaikenputty in the Hobaly of Caroappoor in the Salook of Salem; you will, until further orders, continue to enjoy the revenues thereof exclusive of the sum of Pagodas / 29 — 4 — / Twenty nine and Fanams.

17634

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842

Jamams Fair, being the loom tax remitted to the weavers in those Villages, according to the practice observed in the Circar territories. Dated 11th October 1795, corresponding with 28th Pendlasy in the year Rautchasa.

[Signed] W. Macleod

(A true Translation)

[Signed] P. Bamboo Pillay

Appeal Translator

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the original Summud received with your letter of the 16th Instant, which is not the one wanted by Government. The document required by the grant by which the Summunpottium Jaghire was originally bestowed; that now returned is only an order from the British Collector in continuation of the Jaghire according to custom. If the former grant is now forthcoming you are requested to procure and submit it.

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon

Read the

To the Collr. of Madras—

(92-93)

Judl. Cons. 22d Dec. 1842

Revr. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
22nd December, 1842

17635

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secy. to the Bd. of Revr.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From A. Roberton Esqre Collector of Madras.

(94)

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 8th Instant, transmitting Copy of a communication from the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot relative to the Tariff valuation of Indigo, and to state that I consider that much advantage would attend an alteration in the present practice of fixing the rates of Indigo in the provinces agreeably to the Madras Tariff rates.

2. When the existing arrangement was introduced, the fact seems to have been overlooked that the sorts at Madras were little likely to correspond as to quality with the sorts in some of the Districts. The first sort here is the best quality produced any where in the interior, whereas the first sort of some places may be inferior to the second sort at Madras. The rates at Madras are determined by the

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

the selling prices here after all the expen-
of transit and the enhancement of
price from the profits to be derived by
the various parties through whose hands
respectively the article may pass.

3.

The present rate having been
introduced under the authority of Govern-
ment on the recommendation of the
Board of Revenue, I am of opinion that
it can be altered only under sanction of
the same authority.

Madras, }
19th December, 1842. }

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Ordered that the following dr
-afts be submitted, together with the foregoing
letter and the correspondence with the Collector
of South Arcot on the subject.

(94-95)

To the Actg Chief Secy to }
Government. }
Submitting a
correspondence
with the Collectors
of South Arcot
& Madras having
reference to a
proposed alteration
in

To the Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to request
that you will submit for the orders
of the Most Honourable

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842.

in the method
of valuing Indigo
in the inland duties
Tariff recommend-
-ing that the orders
of Government
of the 5th January
1836 should be re-
-scinded and the
valuation of Indigo
as of other articles
feged in each
district at the local
current market
price.

Jan. 5th 1836.
in Cons: 11th

Governor in Council the accompanying
From South Arcot Nov. 29th correspondence having
1842. reference to a proposed
In Cons: 8th Dec. —
To Coll. Madras Dec. 8th —
From Do Dec. 19th —
In Cons: 22nd — alteration in the method
of valuing Indigo in the
inland duties Tariffs.

2.

At present in accordance
with the order of Government shown
in the Margin, the market price at
Madras is made the official value
throughout the Provinces. The ob-
-jection to this system is stated in
my letter to the Collector of Madras,
and its operation is practically
instanced in that from the Collector
of South Arcot. Mr. Robertson,
whose long experience in the office
he holds, entitles his opinion to
much weight, fully concurs in
the expediency of the change
and shews some further cause
for it. And the Board beg to
recommend it for the favoura-
-ble consideration of Government.

Vide

17638

Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842

Visc., that the order above noticed should be rescinded, and the valuation of indigo, as of other articles, fixed in each District at the local current market price.

3.

Adverting to my letter of 19th Instant regarding the official valuation of Sugar; I am desired to add that if the Government concur in the Board's view as to the extension of the term of currency of the tariff valuation of that article, they propose, with the approval of Government to take the opinion of Mr. Robertson and the Chamber of Commerce, as to the application of it to indigo likewise.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd December, 1842.

/ Signed / J. D'Bourdillon
Secretary's

17639

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Finance Committee dated 20th October last and entered in Consultation 3rd Ultimo

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:

To The Secretary to the Finance Committee.

(96)

To the Secy. to the Finance Committee forwarding explanation of the changes that have taken place in the establishment of the Board's Office between the 1st May 1830 and 1st May 1841 and stating that as there is an augmentation of labour in the several departments the Board are decidedly of opinion that in all the Scale of the Establishment is as low as it can be and that any reduction would be highly objectionable and injurious.

In Cons. 3rd Ult.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 20th October and to forward for the information of the Committee of Finance the following explanation of the changes that have taken place in the Establishment of their Office between the two dates specified in your letter, Viz. May 1st 1830 and May 1st 1841.

In 1828 the Committee for the revision of Office establishments revised that of the Board and fixed it at the lowest scale compatible with efficiency. In the Memorandum laid before that Committee, the Board examined at length the state of their establishment, the quantity of

of business and its gradual increase, and declared that for the due performance of the work of the Office according to the existing system a net increase of 274-8- was necessary. They proposed however that the preparation and transmission of the "whole set of Proceedings" should be discontinued as unnecessary and supposing that to be done, suggested that

Monthly charge at that time - Rs a P. 3,726-4-0
Proposed charge 3531-12-0
194-8-0

194-8 per mensem might be deducted from the sanctioned charge of the Establishment.

Both parts of this proposition were supported by the Committee and received the Sanction of Government† and this is the footing on which the establishment stood on the 1st May 1830.

†July 29th 1828.

Increase	Rs.
Appeal Translator	140
Copyists Department	663
1st Uncovenanted Assistant	300
2nd Do. Do.	200
Peon for record room	7
Total Increase	1310
Deduct Decrease	
Copyists readers & Examiners Department	200
Managers pay	40
Abstracter of letters	5
Total Decrease	245
Net Increase per Mensem	1065

3. The subsequent changes up to May 1841 are noted in the margin and the following is an explanation of them. At the former period there was no Office for the Board

for the translation of the proceedings in appeals preferred under Regulation VII of 1828, and their transmission by the Collectors was consequently delayed till translations could be prepared in their Offices. Appellants were thus vexatiously kept in suspense. This mode of proceeding was inconvenient, dilatory, and objectionable on several accounts. The ordinary business of the Collectors' Office was interrupted; the translations being often executed by mere writers were faulty, and delay was occasioned both by the press of other business preventing the work being put in hand immediately, and by the incompetence of the people employed on it. By placing also the appeal Department specially under the Translator as Register of Appeals a greater degree of regularity has been introduced and with great advantage. For these reasons the Board recommended to Government in 1835 that an Officer should be attached to their Office for this particular duty on a salary of 140 Rupees

†Govt. 18 May 1835.

a

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

a month, being the same as that of the Deputy Translator of the Sudder Adawlut. The appointment received the sanction of Government on the 21st. of August 1835 it has been found of signal utility, and the Board consider its retention quite necessary.

4 The next item is an increase of 163 a month in the Copyists' & Co. Department. Previously to 1828 the work in this Department was as follows, 1st. The Current business, 2. The Broken Set of the Board's Proceedings sent home every month, 3. the whole at present home at the end of the year 4th the Office Set, and 5th. The Annual general report. The cost of the Department stated by the Board and admitted by the Committee to be necessary, of the new system was continued, was 2,219 a month as particularized in the margin. On the alteration of the whole set as noticed above it was fixed at 1,750 and so stood on the 7th May 1830. The Court of Directors having desired that the Correspondence between the Board and the Subordinate

Copyists 1435
Examiners 585
Readers 157
Vouchers 42
2219.

To Govt. 21st July 1828
From Do. 29th Do.
Copyists 1085
Examiners 497
Readers 136
Vouchers 31-8.
1750-0-0

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

subjects included in the General letters of Government to the Court, should accompany those letters. Government directed

Letter 9th Oct. 1835

the Board to furnish two separate copies of all their communications to Government, and of all the correspondences therein referred to; but supposing that the Honorable Court would not require the Broken set of the Board's Proceedings in addition to these "Collections" they ordered the discontinuance of that, as well as of the Board's annual General report. The Board then revised their establishment, and after calculating the probable difference of labour between the preparation of the broken set of Proceedings and of the "Collections" and the growing increase of business in particular branches, reported to Government that 200 Rupees monthly might be deducted from the cost of their establishment. This was done accordingly reducing the sum sanctioned for the Copying Department to 1524-8 in lieu of 1724-8 at which it

To Govt. 28th Dec. 1835
From Govt. 7th Jan. 1836.

† In both these sums the Vouchers pay 31-8-0 omitted.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842

a month, being the same as that of the Deputy Translator of the Sudder Adawlut. The appointment received the sanction of Government on the 21st of August 1835. It has been found of signal utility, and the Board consider its retention quite necessary.

4th The next item is an increase of 663 a month in the Copyists' & Co's Department. Previously to 1828 the work in this Department was as follows. 1st The Current business, 2d The Broken Set of the Board's Proceedings sent home every month, 3d the whole set sent home at the end of the year 4th the Office List and 5th The Annual general report. The cost of the Department stated by the Board and admitted by the Committee to be necessary of the new system was continued, was 2,219 a month as particularized in the margin. On the abolition of the whole set as mentioned above it was fixed at 1,750 and it stood on the 7th May 1838. The Court of Directors having desired that the Correspondence between the

Copyists 14.35
Examiners 588
Readers 157
Inoculators 42
2219.

To Govt. 21st July 1828
From D. 29th Ps.
Copyists 1085
Examiners 497
Readers 136
Inoculators 31-8.
1750-8

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

Subjects included in the General Letters of Government to the Court, should accompany those Letters. Government directed the Board to furnish two separate Copies of all their communications to Government, and of all the correspondences therein referred to; but supposing that the Honorable Court would not require the Broken set of the Board's Proceedings in addition to these "Collections," they ordered the discontinuance of that, as well as of the Board's annual general report. The Board then revised their establishment, and after calculating the probable difference of labour between the preparation of the broken set of Proceedings and of the "Collections" and the growing increase of business in particular branches, reported to Government that 200 Rupees monthly might be deducted from the cost of their establishment. This was done accordingly reducing the sum sanctioned for the Copying Department to 1524-8 in lieu of 1724-8 at which it

Letter 9th Oct. 1835

To Govt. 29th Oct. 1835
From Govt. 7th Nov. 11th July 1836.

† In both these items the Inoculators pay 31-8 is omitted.

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

it previously stood a small addition of 6 Rupees a month having been made in the Reader's Department subsequently to 1830.

Letter from Govt. Octr. 18th 1836.

5. The Court of Directors concurred in the discontinuance of the annual report, but disapproved of the stoppage of the broken set, and ordered that its preparation and transmission should be resumed. The Board then addressed Government as to the increase of establishment which would be rendered necessary by the Court's order. They observe that the estimate of work on which they based their recommendation in December 1835 for a reduction of 200 Rupees a month had been found by experience to be far too low, the actual increased quantity of work in the Collections being 12,198 Pages or two thirds beyond the estimate, and the total increase additional work as well as for the broken set newly required the Board recur to the time when their ment was rigidly re

To Govt. May 29th 1837.

No. of Pages estimated 18,302
actual 31,000
Excess 12,198.

Copyists &c. Examiner 2.2.19 per Annum. Readers Increase

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

in concert with the Revision Committee since that time they remark the whole set and the annual report had been discontinued, but in their view are the Collections, and a large accession of business in general which fully made up for the diminution. They proposed therefore that the Establishment of 1828 should be restored being an increase of 663 Rupees a month, and this recommendation was approved and confirmed by Government.

Establishment of 1828. 2.2.19 per Annum
Do. at the time of granting 1536
663.

From Govt. 13th Augt. 1837.

6 The next two items of increase are 300 and 200 Rupees a month respectively for two Uncovenanted Assistants. The first of these Officers had previously been in the Office for a number of years on the Salary of 245 Rupees under the denomination of "Abstractor of Correspondence" and since the abolition of the appointment of Assistant Secretary in 1831 had performed a large part of the duties formerly falling to the share of that Officer. The Board therefore recommended to

ded also the Government of India and the Court of Directors it was carried into effect. It will thus be observed that this 200 Rupees is not, properly speaking, an addition of expense.

8 The increase of 7 Rupees for the pay of a Peon to help in the preservation of the Records is also only nominal up to the end of 1832 this person had been in the receipt of the same pay but it was included in the Contingent charges. In 1833 it was regularly entered in the indent.

Abstracts of Correspondence 9. Of the three
merged in the Senior Un- items of decrease
covenanted Assistant - 245 two have been
Copyists &c. - - - - 200. already accounted
for. The remaining one that of
forty Rupees from the pay
of the Manager was effected on the
demise of a servant of long standing
in the situation, his successor re-
ceived only 210 Rupees a Month instead
of

to Government that his pay should be
increased to what was then that of
the highest class of uncovenanted Assis-
tants in the Government Offices by the
addition of 55 Rupees a Month out of
the 200 Rupees reduced in other De-
partments as mentioned above in
Para 5. The arrangement received the
sanction of Government on the 7th
January 1836.

7. The other appointment origi-
nated as follows. Prior to 1835 there were
two Deputy Secretaries each of whom re-
ceived 850 Rupees a Month. Finding
this constitution of the Secretariat
inconvenient, as they were unable to
procure Officers of any standing and
experience in the situation of Deputy
the Board proposed to Government
to abolish both Deputyships, and
constitute one 1st Secretary on a
Salary of 1500 Rupees, giving the
remaining 200 to an Uncovenanted
Assistant to have charge of the
Petition Department. Government
concurred in this

From Govt. March 20th 1838
D. D. Octob. 1840

1848 Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

of 250.

Increase
Translators' Pay 140
Net increase in Copyists Department 518
= ment ——— 658.

Decrease
Reduction in Manager's pay ——— 40
Net Increase ——— 618
Apparent Increase
Transferred from Deputy Secty 2
2d Unconvenanted Assistant 200
Pay of a Peon ——— 7
207

Total Difference in Amounting shewn in the Foregoing Tabitah 825

10. Thus it appears that the real increase in the cost of the English Department of the Office is only 618 Rupees a month as detailed in the Margin.

11 In regard to any possible reduction in the establishment I am instructed to offer the following observations for the information of the Finance Committee. In 1828 as already mentioned the establishment was fixed in concert with the Revision Committee at the lowest scale consistent with efficiency according to the quantity of business at that time. From the standard then settled a reduction was made on account of the discontinuance of one set of the Proceedings but another change being made by order of the Honorable Court which caused a large accession of work the former standard was reverted to and at the establishment was

12 The following Statement shews the quantity of work that has been done in each year since the establishment was first fixed on

1849 Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

	Current	Bengal	Bombay	Office Set	Collections	Old Set	Total	
						28655	106460	
1827	18495	30655	28655	"		7468	75240	† From Janry. to March the whole set was established in April.
1828	16455	26115	25202	"		"	78899	
1829	19821	30334	28744	"		"	87086	
1830	24089	32247	30750	"		"	78403	
1831	29497	23908	24998	"		"	83891	
1832	30757	25428	27706	"		"	81927	
1833	27229	27872	27026	"		"	85438	
1834	33107	25771	26560	"		"	80993	
1835	29403	26428	25162	"		"	122266	
1836	24506	34760	32000	31000		"	120538	
1837	28291	33792	33853	27602		"	122064	
1838	23413	33137	35026	30483		"	117411	
1839	24259	30958	33399	28795		"	104920	In this year the business was at a stand for several weeks for want of Paper.
1840	23534	26236	28127	27023		"	118253	
1841	26648	33560	33773	24272		"	97500	
1842 from January to October	20836	28489	30418	17757		"	19500	
Average of 12 months						"	117000	

From

Fort St. George 22d December 18

13

From this it is seen that the business at present on the average of the Seven years since the Collectorate required is more by 10 per. % than it was when the establishment was fixed, and this even including one year in which the business was hindered by an extraordinary cause. A great deal has been done to diminish business by discontinuing unnecessary and merely formal letters &c but still the large increase has remained. The Chief causes of it are 1st. That the subordinate Officers are now more restricted in many particulars especially as to the expenditure of Money, than formerly. 2nd. The reference to the Government of Madras and through them of India have become more numerous, all which add to the work of copying, and 3. The general correspondence with Writers has much increased.

The Committee will see from the foregoing that any reduction in this part of the establishment is unadvisable, the business of the department

Fort St. George 22nd December 1812.

in the other departments, Managers, Record-keepers Accountants Register of Writers, Auditor &c. &c. In all there is a correspondence of labour and the Board are desirous of reducing that in all the establishment as far as may be consistent with the efficiency of the service. The Native Accountant is a Native of Bellary received one moiety of his salary at the treasury of that Collectorate only, the other half therefore appears in the Indent of the 400 Rupees

Native Accountants Department.

The Native Accountants Department of the Board's Office is now on exactly the same footing as it was on the 1st May 1830, no change having been made since the office was remodelled in 1828. when it was reduced from 2,642 to 1,650 a Month. The reasons for the apparent increase in the Indent of May 1st 1841. are the following. In 1830. the Head Sheristadar being

the Board's Office. The pay of the Head Sheristadar is graduated increasing with length of Service to a Maximum of 800 Rupees. The Holder in 1830 received the highest rate, the present number receives only 700 Rupees.

16

On the 1st May 1830 one of Goomastah's situation at 35 Rupees a Month was vacant, and two other Goomastahs having been appointed only in the premises Month received less than the whole Month's salary and a Sweeper who had previously been paid one Pagoda a Month charged in the Contingent bill, was included in the indent from 1833. At the same rate Rises charged are shewn in the Margin. The work

Apparent increase
Part of Head Sheristadars Pay
Dismissed at Bellary ... 3 —— 400 ... only in the premises
One Situation of Goomastah Vacant —— 35 ... Month received less
Two Goomastahs Salaries than the whole Month's
not paid in full, Viz.t salary and a Sweeper
1 Goomastah's pay ..... 45 ... who had previously
Received ..... 25 ... been paid one Pagoda
20 ... a Month charged
1 Do. Pay ..... 30 ... in the Contingent
Received ..... 20 ... 10 ... bill, was included
30 ... in the indent from
Sweepers pay charged in the indent instead of the contingent Bill ..... 7 ... 1833. At the same
Deduct 37 ... rate Rises charged
Difference in amount of 462 8 are shewn in the
Sheristadars Pay ..... Margin. The work
a Month ..... 100 ...
a Year ..... 1200 ...
12
4472 ...

is fully sufficient to employ the whole of the present establishment and any diminution of the number must impair its efficiency. The scale of pay too is moderate and the Board would be much averse to any reduction.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d December 1842

(Signed) J. D. Dourdillon
Secretary.

Read again two letters from the Superintendent of Stamps dated 19th Instant and entered in Consultation 21st Instant together with one from the Sub Treasurer dated 5th and entered in the same Consultation

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Superintendent of Stamps enclosing a Statement shewing the amount of Revenue realized

97

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Reporting on the Remittances received from the Collectors of Kistna Nellore and Cuddapah

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

realized from the sale of stamped paper and Cadjans in Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42

2

It will be observed that the gross revenue amounts to Rupees 47,137-2-8 deducting therefrom the charges and refunds amounting to Rupees 5,095-10-8½ the net revenue is Rupees 42,027-7-11½ being a decrease below the net revenue of the preceding Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 of Rupees 21,281-2-8¾ which is stated to have arisen partly from a decrease in the sale of Judicial and Revenue papers and partly from a decrease in the Penalties levied by Judicial Officers under Clause 1st Section III Regulation II of 1825

Charges	47,546	10	8½
Refunds	451	—	—
	5,095	10	8½
Fusly 1250 / 1840/41	44,156	10	8¼
1251 / 1841/42	42,027	7	11½
	2,128	2	8¾

3

The Board recommend that sanction may be granted to the Superintendent to take credit for 57 Rolls of the value of Rupees 7-13- reported deficient of Collectors and for writing off to profit and loss the value of damaged and unsaleable papers and Cadjans noted in the margin

	Rolls	Rupees
Damaged papers & Cadjans found in consignment	109	2066
Damaged and unsaleable papers returned by Collectors	432	17799-5
Total	1980	

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

authority may be given to the Superintendent to have these papers and Cadjans destroyed in his presence.

The letters from the Superintendent of Stamps and the Sub Treasurer forwarding Statement prepared in their respective Offices of the quantity and value of paper and Cadjans stamped in Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 are also transmitted. The Statements have been compared in this office and found to correspond and the total amount being Rupees 44,483-3-

From the Superintendt of Stamps 1st Instns 19th Dect 1842 No 2771

From the Sub Treasurer 2d Instns 19th Dect 1842 No 2761

	Rolls	Value
Paper	9,502	42,537-8-
Cadjans	240	1,610-5-
Total	9,750	44,483-3-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22nd December 1842

Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

The Board proceed to take into consideration the statements noted in the margin and to record their remarks thereon

From the Collector of Ganjam (98) — Estimates of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252-

From the Collector of Madras (99) — Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252.

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements (98-99) — Ordered that the

the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Sub Treasurer. (101) Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of October last.

From the Secy to the Govern=ment Savings Bank (102) Bill of contingent charges incurred for the Month of November last

Resolution on the 2 abovementioned Statements (101-103) Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Chief Secy. to Government (104)

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836. I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Brochure set of the

for the Month of October last.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. December 1842. / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

To the Collector of Rajahmundry (105)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will transmit to me by return of Post a copy of your letter dated 28th September 1842, submitting a further Estimate of Succary for Fusly 1252.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. December 1842. / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

To The Principal Collector of Nellore (106)

To the Principal Collector of Nellore. The same to Cuddapah, South Arcot, Salem, Tanjore, Trinomally, Madura & Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to request that you will submit as early as practicable the Statement of Contingent charges for the expired Fusly 1251. as required in the Circular letter from this Office dated 11th October 1841. / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary Resolved

the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the ens Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Sub Treasurer. (101) Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of October last.

From the Secty to the Govern=ment Savings Bank (102) Bill of contingent charges incurred for the Month of November last

Resolution on the 2 abovementioned (101-103) Statements } Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Chief Secy to Government (104)

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836 I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Brochen set of

for the Month of October last.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. December 1842. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will transmit to me by return of Post a copy of your letter dated 28th September 1842, submitting a further Estimate of Succary for Fusly 1252.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. December 1842. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

To The Principal Collector of Nellore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to request that you will submit as early as practicable the Statement of Contingent charges for the expired Fusly 1251. as required in the Circular letter from this Office dated 11th October 1841. Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary Resolved

To the Collector of Rajahmundry (105)

To the Principal Collector of Nellore. The same to Cuddapah, South Arcot, Salem, Tanjore, Trinomally, Madura & Tinnevelly (106)

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched together with the petition therein referred to.

To The Collector of

Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Petition No. 1599 of 1842, from certain Ryots of Paroonagur in your District for such notice as the circumstances therein stated may seem to you to merit and to request you will be good enough to report whether any Ploughing Cattle of the Petitioners were attached as asserted in the Petition and if so, under what circumstances.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
22nd December 1842.

I Signed I J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To the Collector of Chingleput

107

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector of North Arcot. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for October last.

108

From the Collector of North Arcot. Reporting that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for October last.

109

Fort St. George 22d December 1842

From the Collector of North Arcot. Return of Village officers suspended or dismissed from Employment under the provision of Regulation VII of 1831 for October last.

110

From the Collector of South Arcot. Salt and Money Account current from 1st July to 30th September last in Fusly 1252.

111

From the Collector of South Arcot. Statement of Sayer articles recommended for confiscation for October last

112

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Canara. Statement of Smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the month of August last.

113

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Canara. Statement of Tobacco seized for August last.

114

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Canara. Statement of double duty levied on smuggled goods seized for august.

115

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of September last.

116

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement of goods recommended for levy of treble duty for the Month of September last.

117

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of goods recommended

recommended for confiscation for the month of November last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry (119) Submitting a Statement of Spirituous liquor recommended to be confiscated for November last.

Order on the 8 abovementioned Statements (112-120) Ordered that the confiscation and Sale of the smuggled goods and liquor and the levy of the additional duty enumerated in the Statements be authorized.

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah (121) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for November last.

From the Collector of Chingleput (122) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for November last

From the Actg Principal Collector of Cuddapah (123) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of November last.

From the Collector of Chingleput (124) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of November last.

From the Principal Collector of Madura (125) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Mo

November last acknowledging the receipt of the copies of the Printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance and Extra Revenue Statements despatched for the use of his Office.

From the Collector of Chingleput (126) Stating that the Returns of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, and of persons convicted and in confinement under the same Regulation for November last are not sent there being no entry to make.

From the Collector of Chingleput (127) Stating that the Return of persons suspended and dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1831 for November last is not sent there being no entry to be made.

From the Principal Collector of Canara (128) Reporting that the monthly Statements of Tobacco smuggled goods and double duty for the month of July last are not sent no seizure having been made during that period.

From the Principal Collector of Nellore (129) Statement shewing the quantity of Salt ready for exportation to the Indent of 1842/43 from 1st to 8th December

From (130) Return

17682

Fort St. George 22nd December 1842.

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — 131 — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for November last.

From the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore — 132 — Acknowledging the receipt of 10,000 Sall Rowanahs.

From the Judicial Department — 133 — Requesting that certain correction may be made in the printed copy of Act X of 1841, furnished for the use of the Office.

" Grant,

" H. Maclean

" H. Montgomery

Com. Pub. Boys

2585/R II

9285/1842