1838

BOARD OF REVENUE

VOL No 1868

455

16,542.

Statemen[t] Fusl[ies]

[Q]ueens Settlement

Dry.

Number.		Land		Sist.	
				8	
		21.		15,406	12 5
1	Palcong	6	11 4/5	1,218	1 6
		"	"	16,624	13 11
		4	20.	4,167	6 3
2	Golgon	10	8½	5,066	10
		16	2 12	3,988	5 3
		"	"	13,214	12 3
		46	10 6	3,319	2
3	Surva	7	12.	933	9
		54	6 6	4,807	11
		"	"	"	"
4	Bimb	"	"	34,647	5

12th December 1842.

Estates for Fusilies 1250 and 51.—

1250.—

at the season of Cultivation.—

Wet				Gardens				Total.			
Land.		Sist.		Land.		Sist.		Land.		Sist.	
9.		10.		11.		12.		13.		14.	
7,839	2 5½	1,00,790	10 4	94	22 5	1727	1.	9158	15 10½	1,17,924	7 9
811	18 10 1/6	9945	12 1	"	" "	"	" "	1130	25 5½	11163	13 7
"	" "	1,10,736	6 5	"	" "	1727	1.	"	" "	1,29,088	5 4
1,425	24 5	20,359	9 11	"	" "	"	" "	1,960	14 5	24,527	" 2
95	11 14	14,663	4 5	"	" "	"	" "	225	12 6½	19,734	5 3
94	4 8	15,941	15 10	"	" "	"	" "	170	7 4	10,923	5.
"	" "	50,969	14 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	64,184	10 5
133	6 8	19,098	6 2	"	" "	"	" "	180	" 14	22,917	8 8
31	8 13	3,315	15.	"	" "	"	" "	39	4 13	4,304	8.
164	13 5	22,414	5 2	"	" "	"	" "	219	3 11	27,222	" 8
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	184,120	9 9	"	" "	1727	1.	"	" "	220,493	" 5

A 46

16509 Fort St. George — December 1842

Diary

9th Decr. Received the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

dated 6th December 1842.

From the Bd of Rev. to Govt.

/ From Board 24th November /

1

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council approves the registry of the Shrotriem Village of Vilampakkum in the Chingleput District in the name of Hemraya Moodely as the second Life.-

/ Signed / Walter Elliot

Acting Secy to Govt

Order thereon, 10th

Ordered to be communicated

1-2 together with copy of the Board's letter to Govt. to which it refers, for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter of the 13th August.-

Nov. 24th 1842.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

dated 6th December 1842

From the Bd of Rev. to Govt.

/ From Board 10th Nov. /

3

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council entirely concurs in the proposition of the Board of Revenue, and desires

16510. Fort St. George 12th December 18

desires that orders may be issued for the restoration of the ground of the Petitioner ref. to in the above letter.

/ Signed / Walter, Elliot
Acting Secretary to Gt.

Resolution thereon, 10th

3-4

Nov. 10. 1842

Resolved that the above or
of Government be communicated to the Col
of Malabar together with copy of Board's le
to Government to which it relates for his in
ation and guidance with reference to his lett
of the 26th October. Mr. Conolly will be plea
to carry the instructions of Government in
effect without delay.

At a Meeting Present,

John Dent Esqre Senior Member
Monday ... Alexander Maclean Esqre Acting Third Mem
12th December Sir H. G. Montgomery Bart Acting Fourth
1842 G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member

Minutes of the last Meeting
read and approved.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated
From the Acting Chief Secy 7th November 1842
to Government

5

Read the following letter from the
to the Board of Revenue

/ Here enter 10th October 1842 No.

The

16511. Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Council concurs in the observations of the Board of Revenue, and directs the issue of the new Grants in the name of His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic, for the Gardens at St Thomas' Mount, alluded to in the above letter.

Resolved that the seven Grants received with the above letter for property belonging to His Highness the Nabob and his family in the Chingleput District, be forwarded to the Government Agent at Cheapauk with a reference to the order of Government dated 18th July 1837. No. 249. for delivery to His Highness.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / Robert, Clerk,
Acting Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

5-6

Ordered that copy of this Resolution of Government as well as of the Board's letter to Government to which it is a reply be furnished to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 29th August. It is requested that the new Grants may be prepared with as little delay as practicable.

Read

16512 Fort St. George 12th December 1842

From the Sec.y to Government

7

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1842.

Read the following letter

No. 165

From Offg Secretary to the Government of India

To W. Elliot Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1 I am directed by the Honble the President in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1199 dated 3d. Ultimo with its enclosures on the subject of the continuance of a service Jeemiah of Rupees 100 per Mensem to Syed Abdool Lateef Cawdry.

2. Before deciding on this reference the Supreme Government is desirous of being favored with information as to the nature of the service to be performed. You are accordingly requested with the permission of the Most Noble the Governor in Council to furnish the same

Dated Fort William the 4th November 1842

/ Signed / Fred. Jas. Halliday

16513 Fort St. George 12th December 1842

herewith, copies having been kept for record.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's communication dated 21st July 1842, No. 318, and with a request that they will obtain and submit the information required in the concluding paragraph at their earliest convenience.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Offg Secretary to Govt.

Resolution thereon

7-8

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government with copy of the letter from the Government of India to which it refers be transmitted to the Collector of North Arcot who will be pleased to submit the information now called for at his earliest convenience.

From the Offg Sec.y to Government

9

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th December 1842.

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 10th September and recorded in Diary of Consultation 20th September 1842, No. 1038-A.

Read also the following

following Papers.

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

From Dr. Dr. / Here enter 21st Sept. 1842. No. 1011. A /

From Dr. Dr / Here enter 2d Novr. 1842 No. 1280 /

From Dr. Dr / Here enter 31st Octr. 1842 No. 1280 /

From Dr. Dr / Here enter 12th Novr. 1842 No. 1291 /

From Dr. Dr. / Here enter 14th Novr. & No. 1292 /

Para 1 × × × ×

In consequence of the extent of fraud and corruption which pervade the Collectors Establishment as exhibited in the affairs of the Ramnad Zemindary brought to notice in the correspondence which accompanied the papers recorded above, and of Mr. Blackburn pertinaciously refusing to obey the orders of the Board of Revenue against availing himself of the services of Mr. Fischer and his servants in the investigations connected with that Estate the Most Honorable the Governor in Council resolves under the provisions of Section III Regulation VIII of 1822, to appoint a Commissioner for the purpose of investigating generally into the administration of the Madura District and of the Officers by whom it is conducted and particularly so in its connection with the Ra

2. His Lordship in Council purposes accordingly to depute Mr. Drury 2nd Member of the Board of Revenue to the Madura District with the powers of Commissioner and desires that officer will proceed to assume the duty assigned to him as soon as possible after the receipt of this resolution.

3. The following instructions are issued for his guidance.

1st Mr. Drury will take charge of the District from Mr. Blackburne who will be desired to deliver over charge to Mr. Drury.

2ndly Mr. Drury will enquire into the general Revenue administration of Madura and also into the general and particular corruption stated by Mr. Blackburne to prevail among his public servants.

3rdly The Commissioner will enquire into the extent, nature and effect of Mr. Fischer's interference with the Ramnad Zemindary.

4thly The Commissioner will apply to the Collectors of other Districts for such assistance from their Native Establishments as he may require in the progress of the enquiry

enquiry and Copies of all Documents, relative to such enquiry will be furnished to him from the several Offices.

5th In this enquiry Mr. Drury will be guided by the provisions of the Commission Regulations and in the event of experiencing any difficulty, he will immediately communicate with the Government for further instructions.

4 Ordered that the following letters be dispatched and a copy of these Resolutions furnished to the Board of Revenue in view to the necessary instructions being issued to the local Officers in the Provinces.

To Mr. G D Drury / Here enter 6th December 1842 /

To Mr. I Blackburne / Here enter 6th Ditto do /

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / Walter Elliot

Ag Secy to Government

A

From Walter, Elliot, Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Govt. of
Fort St. George

To I. Blackburne Esqre
Prin. Collector and Magistrate of Madura

Sir,

Para 1 In consequence of your several communications relative

of your Native Establishment and your reiterated disobedience to the orders of the Board of Revenue against the interference of Mr. Fischer in the Zemindary of Ramnad. the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has considered it expedient to suspend you from Office pending an enquiry into the general state of the Revenue administration in the Collectorate of Madura.

2 His Lordship in Council has been pleased to select Mr. Drury 2d Member of the Board of Revenue for the performance of this duty and directs that you will deliver over the entire charge of the District to Mr. Drury on the arrival of that Gentleman at Madura.

Fort St. George, 6th December 1842. } / Signed / Walter, Elliot,
Ag Secretary to Government

Order thereon.

10 Ordered that copies of Para 2. and of the fourth of the instructions in Para 3 of the above Extract be furnished to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore, and the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly for their information and guidance.

Ordered also the following letter be sent in consequence.

(9-11)

To G. D. Drury Esqre
Commissioner for the District of Madura

To G. D. Drury Esqre
Commissioner for the District of Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

From the Pl. Collector of Madura 27th August 1842, with Encl. A B

To Dc 8th September

To Govt 10th "

From Dc 14th "

From the Pl. Coll. 15th "

To Dc 21st "

To Govt 21st "

To the Princ. Coll. 10th October "

From Dc 17th "

From Dc 22nd "

To Dc 29th "

To Govt 31st "

To Dc 2nd Novr.

From the Pl. Coll. 30th Octr.

To Dc 3rd Novr.

From Dc 14th " with Encl. 2 Papers

To Dc 12th "

To Govt 12th "

To Dc 14th "

From Dc 6th " Decr. with Encl.

Revenue to transmit for your the enclosed correspondence as noted in the margin having reference to the recent charges and investigations connected with the Ramnad Zemindary.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Resolution.

From the Actg Secy to Government

(12)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th November 1842.

/ From Board 24th Novr. /

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council approves the arrangements reported in the foregoing Proceedings.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot.
Actg Secy to Government

Order thereon

(12-13)

Ordered that the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah with reference to the Board's

From the Actg Secy to Government

(14)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1842.

/ From Board 21st Novr. /

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah to employ a Temporary Establishment to aid in the Settlement at a cost not exceeding Rupees /1160/ One thousand one hundred and Sixty.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Acting Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon

(14-15)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 9th ultimo No. 85.

From the Actg Secy to Government

(16)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1842

/ From Board 24th Novr. /

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the suits No. 41 of 1842, and 421 of 1841 instituted in the Zillah Court of Canara against the Principal Collector but will determine on a copy of the Decree being laid before him whether the costs, if any, be adjudged against the

16520. Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

the Principal Collector shall be borne by that officer, or by the Government.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot.
Acting Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon,

(16-17) Ordered that a copy of the foregoing order of Government with copy of the Board's letter to which it is a reply together with a revised draft to be filed in OS 41 of 1842, be furnished for the information and guidance of the Sub Collector in charge of Canara, with reference to Mr. Blair's letters Date Infos 24th Nov. 1842 respectively the 7th and 8th November Last No. 97 & 98.

From the Ag Sec to Government - D

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Dated 6th December 1842
/ From Bs 28th Nov. /

(18) Ordered that the foregoing Extract be communicated to the Military Department when the necessary orders will be issued for attaching a 2d Dresser to the Establishment of the Sub Collector of Canara in the room of the Deceased.

His Lordship in Council sanctions the temporary arrangement made by Mr Lushington for affording Medical aid to his Department, and authorizes the payment of the Salary of 2d Dresser to Native Doctor Rozario.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Acting Sec to Govt

Order thereon.

(19-19) Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Sub Collector in charge of Canara with reference to Mr. Blair's

16521. Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Read the following report.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From P. B. Smollett Esqr Acting Collr of Vizagapatam forwarding the Annual Report for Fusly 1251.

I do myself the honor to submit the following Accounts prepared to elucidate the settlement of the Government Estates in Vizagapatam for the past year.

(20)

A. Comparative Statement exhibiting the increase and decrease of all sources of Revenue between Fuslies 1250 and 51.

B. Statement Comparative exhibiting the Attachabadies and Unattachabadies of the Government Estates for Fuslies 1250 & 51.

C Statement shewing the details of the increase and decrease in the Attachabady Khanawarie as per Statement B.

D Abstract Comparative Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of the Government Estates for Fuslies 1250 and 51.

E Statement exhibiting the Demand Collections and Balances of the Current Revenue and arrears outstanding against the Government.

Fort St. George 12th December 1862

Government Estates, for Fusly 1251.-

F Statement exhibiting the increase and decrease between the Permanent Beriz and Collection for Fusly 1251 of the Government Estates

G Statement exhibiting the Charge Collection for Fusly 1251.-

H Statement shewing the increase and decrease of the settled dowle of each Village in the Aumany Estates for Fusles 1260 and 61 in Pentaus.-

I Accounts of one Village as per separate lists.-

2 As I personally had no concern in the formation of the settlement, & only received charge of the District at the end of March last, the Board will not expect of me any information on the subject, founded on my own experience or observation. I shall confine myself therefore to a concise enumeration of the various items of increase and decrease exhibited in the Accounts, with such other remarks as the nature of the seasons, may require, & such other points connected with the settlement, as may seem to need or require explanation.-

The

Fort St. George 12th December 1862

3. The season, on the whole, was very favorable, the rains were early & abundant throughout the province in fact it was the only one perfectly good season which the District has experienced for many years. The Government Estates of Salgandah & Surrounding which are situated on the Southern portion of the District, exhibit both in their enhanced Demand and Collection the benefits of an abundant harvest, but the Palmandah Estates which lies contiguous to the hills, and the irrigation of which is fed by River Channels, rarely fails was less favorably affected by the nature of the season. For the Revenues of this Estate, as has been frequently stated, for the most part rise in amounts in an unfavorable season from the high price of produce and fall off again in years when the rains have been abundant in the low country, and when prices are in consequence depressed.-

4.- As may be surmised from these remarks, the price of all sorts of grain was remarkably low in the last year, full 30 per cent below that of the preceding season.-

5 The following Statement shews the Beriz of the land occupied & rented in the Aumanie Estates for the last year in comparison with that immediately preceding it.- There was a decrease in the Palmandah Estates amounting in demand of Rupees 1112-6-9 counterbalanced by an increase in the other Estates of land yielding Rupees 2429-3-7 and on the whole the increased demand of the year was Rupees 316-12-10.-

No.

Ofverskådelse af Stockholms Rikes Diverse Inkomster och Utgifter under Månaden December 1842.

Inkomster af	Allmänna Inkomster	Allmänna Utgifter	Öfverskott
		af Utgifter öfver Inkomster	
		1261	
Tullverket	117921 7 9	11600 1 -	106321 7 9
Accisverket	11063 13 7	11175 13 7	112 - -
Postverket	12908 6 4	12797 15 11 7	110 6 9
Lotteriverket	24527 - 2	26746 4 1	1219 3 11
Tobaksverket	19734 5 3	20294 6 2	559 5 - 11
Lastpassverket	19923 5 -	19944 13 9	20 10 9
Stämpelverket	04184 10 5	03526 10 -	658 15 5
Diamantverket	2917 8 8	2969 14 8	52 6 -
Domänverket	4304 8 -	4350 6 -	46 14 -
Tryckfrihetsverket	2122 - 8	2310 4 8	188 4 -
Summa	1.20498 - 5	2.21811 13 3	1.13313 7 9
		1.20498 - 5	13 : 6 12 10
Öfverskott af Utgifter		1261 13 3	

Stockholm den 31 December 1842.

Carl Fredrik Wilhelm Palmstierna

Fort St. George 12th December 1862.

settlement pair what is called
the settlement in Southern District consists
herein the Talook Officers distributing
all, nearly all, the lands capable of being
cultivated among the Ryots, without much
reference to their ability to undertake them.
The consequence of this is an enormous
deduction when the real settlement
comes to be made.

The second item is far less so
produces and exceeds Rupees 4,000 in amount
the decrease under these two heads being
not less than Rupees 25051-2-5.

It is the system noticed
in the preceding para, that in
great measure, in a favorable season
likewise called for this reconsideration. The
poor Ryots are constrained to take
up more land than they can
manage. Hence at the actual settlement
an enquiry into their means of payment
is indispensable: the crops are estimated
and the settlement as regards them is
regulated with reference to that, the substan-
tial Ryot only paying the assessment on the land
he

Fort St. George 12th December 1862

8. The gross settlement of Cuddalore is assumed
as Rupees 22,811-13-3, as near to that sum as the items
added Rupees 22,3336-5-4. The amount deducted
at the settlement was Rupees 3,1559--3, leaving a
net Ryotwar settlement of Rupees 19,777-6-1.

The nature of these deductions
under their several heads is shewn in the
following Statements, and on the items of
which it is composed, I shall proceed to
comment and explain as far as I am
capable.

No.	Talooks	Waste Lands	Loss of Revenue.	Discretionary remission to Vadars	Majority of Peons tax on land	Lands of deceased Ryots	Lands of deserted Ryots	Payments to Government in kind in lieu of lands	Lands occupied under Ryots	Total
1	Palcondahs	3,13,142	3,009 10 7	460	15 9	2,381	11 5	---	104 49	--- 2,47,28
2	Tolgandahs	2,75,442	5,321 13 6	1,354	5 8	---	40 ---	292 1	90 ---	6,12,085,46
3	Surwasiddy	7,758 85	2,692 15 9	1,738	4 4	---	78 6	21 6	---	--- 1,22,991
	Total	14,026 10 9	11,024 7 8	3,553	10 4	2,381	11 5	58 6	418 59	90 --- 5,74,3,559-3

10 The first item is for land left waste, which
is nearly one half of the whole Rupees
14,026-10-9. One half of this too is found
to be the share of land in the Surwasiddy
Estate. The principal reason of this of

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

be actually occupied, the others are allowed remission with reference to the produce accounts -

13 The third head is Rupees 3555-12-4 for discretionary remission to the extreme poors. this is granted on personal enquiry at the Summabundy - Much of this remission might be cancelled for, but for the extraordinary avidity which all servants here exhibit to enhance the nominal demands, without reference to the ultimate Collections - A system that cannot perhaps be altogether put an end to, but which I have endeavoured in the current Fusly to discontinue as much as possible -

14 It may be asked whence the necessity of this discretionary remission when a large sum had previously been deducted for scantiness of produce, and the settlement had been formed on an estimation of crop on all but the inculting Ryot - In answer I must observe that these Estimates are for the most part much too high - For instance in the Fusly under review the common

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

station price was assumed on paddy at Rupees 20 per Garce, while the arbitrary selling price did not exceed Rupees 16 hence the demand thought priced on estimation cannot be Collected in full on the poorer Ryots, and some discretionary remission is still required -

15 The fourth head of remission is a variety of the produce on same lands formerly held by certain classes of servants and Dependents of the Palcondah and Yenimdars - The enjoyment of the revenues of these Lands has been continued to them for life, subject to half of the assessments and the unentered in Collusion is that amount - The lands so held themselves being cultivated under the superintendence of the Government servants and the payments being entered as a remission in the accounts -

16 There other items do not seem to require extended remark - there is a small remission of Rupees 5-12-4 for land occupied by the houses of Artizans and others at Nursapatam a Station in Calgandah where the Runneer holds his Court and where Mr Arbuthnot found it necessary to establish some enforcement for parties to settle -

17 The demands on the extent of Lands occupied in the year under observation has been already shewn, as well as the amount of individual settlements The annexed figured Statement will now shew at one view the actual settlement from every source, Ryotwary, Rented or Enams, and the Collections to the Close of the Fusly in contradistinction with those of the preceding year -

No

1630

Statement of Mortgages received during the Month of December 1872

No.	Mortgagors	Amount	Date of Instrument	Time when payable	Rate of Interest	Amount of Mortgage
1	Alexander	£ 12,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 12,000
2	Thompson	£ 5,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 5,000
3	Johnson	£ 1,500	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 1,500
4	Williams	£ 2,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 2,000
5	Robertson	£ 1,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 1,000
6	Edwards	£ 3,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 3,000
7	Davies	£ 2,500	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 2,500
8	Brown	£ 1,800	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 1,800
9	Jones	£ 4,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 4,000
10	Smith	£ 2,200	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 2,200
11	Taylor	£ 1,600	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 1,600
12	Wilson	£ 3,500	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 3,500
13	Moore	£ 2,800	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 2,800
14	Clark	£ 1,200	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 1,200
15	Hall	£ 4,500	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 4,500
16	Young	£ 2,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 2,000
17	King	£ 3,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 3,000
18	Queen	£ 5,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 5,000
19	Prince	£ 1,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 1,000
20	Princess	£ 2,000	12th Dec. 1872	12th Dec. 1873	6%	£ 2,000
	Total	£ 72,100				£ 72,100

Fort St. George 12th December 1814.

22. This shews very great excess of Revenue under Aumanee in the Talooks of Palcondah, and Golcondah, in the former of Rupees 58,989-6-3 and in the latter of Rupees 4,552--9- In Survasiddy alone the Collections in the Estates composing that Talook notwithstanding the favorable nature of the season, fell still below the permanent Dowle by Rupees 14,813-15-6 Your Board have adverted to the necessity of adjusting in some measure the disputes which have occasioned this state of things and my attention will be given to this subject, with a view to devise a remedy when a press of important matters which now occupies my whole time permits me to do so.

23. Upon the whole however the Board will, I doubt not, consider the settlement of the year, and the collections realized to be extremely satisfactory. The receipts exceed the amount realized in any one year since these three Talooks became the property of Government, and would have been still higher but for the very low prices of grain This result may be ascribed to the fine season

Total Collections Fusly Rupees A.F.
1247- 1,77,216-3-
1248- 1,94,464-1-9
1249- 2,11,006-12-9
1250- 1,86,085-4-2
1251- 2,15,733-10-6

Fort St. George 12th December 1814.

Statement shewing the Settlement of Palcondah Talook for Fusly 1251

Summary Jumma Deficit

Berry Sowcars

| | | |

seasons with which this District was
at last blessed by Providence after a long
course of bad harvests, of years of drought.

24. The balances outstanding at
the beginning of the year, were Rupees
4,841-4-6 in Palcondah, Rupees 2,257-15-3
in Golgondah and Rupees 4945-13-8 in the
Curriasiddy Talooks in all Rupees 11145-1-5
and if to this be added the arrears of
Current Revenue uncollected up to the 15th
November, the whole arrears will be found to
amount to Rupees 18,377-2-10. I have not
yet sufficiently made myself master of the
subject, to submit any specific recommend-
ation on the amount which should be remit-
But as I am convinced of the impolicy
of allowing Balances to stand over for
more than one season without a reasonable
hope of Collection, I shall hereafter
during the current Fusly forward for
the Board's orders a distinct proposition
on this point.

25. The permanent demand on
Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates in this
District, was the same as in the previous year
Rupees 10,77,760-at the close

was a balance of Current demand outstand-
ing of Rupees 62,740-6-2; the whole of
this, with the exception of Rupees 14,477-6-5 due
on the Dimlay Hoondah which has been
sold, has since been realized, together with
a very considerable amount of old Arrears. On
the question of the arrears and their eventual
realization, a separate Report will be submitted
I trust at an early date.

26. I take this opportunity to reply
to some observations contained in the Board's
proceedings of the 17th October last.

27. Adverting to the Dumany demand
on the three Villages of Jumbadah, Bonakay
and Coottapatta, I beg to observe that these Villages
were formerly rented, being situated on the
hills and inaccessible to the public servants
In consequence of their unhealthiness, they
have been in some measure deserted, and
no fresh proposals having been received in
Fusly 1250, they were transferred to dumany
the rented demand being retained in the Au-
-meen's accounts as the Khan dowle.

28. The real settlement was Rupees
199-13-4 and the Collections Rupees 134-8-4
as shewn in the annexed Statement.

Names

16536- Fort St. George 12th December 1842.-

Amount settled

Names of Villages	Pham Dowle	Deduct loss of produce	Iroyettee	Enam Cattoobady	Total	Collection	Balances
Sumbadah	240 " "	155 8 "	84 8 "	15 1 4	99 9 4	52 11 "	46 14 4
Bounckay	25 " "	21 " "	4 " "	3 8 "	7 8 "	6 4 "	1 4 "
Coltapatta	107 " "	33 " "	74 " "	18 12 "	92 12 "	75 9 4	17 2 8
Total	372 " "	209 8 "	162 8 "	37 5 4	199 13 4	134 8 4	65 5 -

16537- Fort St. George 12th December 1842.-

In Obedience to the Order of the Board in Proceedings of the 30th ultimo, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that the Collection of the Revenue of the undermentioned Villages is completed, and that the Balances remaining unrealized are irrecoverable, for the reasons stated against each.

Sumbadah Balance 46 14 4 Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Bounckay Balance 1 4 " Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Coltapatta Balance 17 2 8 Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

(Signed) J. Goldingham

Accountant General

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

30 Ordered, that the Accountant General be informed that the Board are satisfied with the Proceedings reported in his Letter of the 29th ultimo, and that the Collection of the Revenue of the undermentioned Villages is completed, and that the Balances remaining unrealized are irrecoverable, for the reasons stated against each.

Sumbadah Balance 46 14 4 Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Bounckay Balance 1 4 " Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Coltapatta Balance 17 2 8 Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

31 Read a Letter from the Accountant General dated the 29th instant, forwarding a Statement of the Balances of Revenue remaining unrealized on the Accounts of the undermentioned Villages, which he recommends should be written off as irrecoverable, for the reasons stated against each.

Sumbadah Balance 46 14 4 Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Bounckay Balance 1 4 " Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Coltapatta Balance 17 2 8 Irrecoverable, the Ryots having absconded.

Ordered, that the Accountant General be informed that the Board are satisfied with the Proceedings reported in his Letter of the 29th ultimo, and that the Collection of the Revenue of the undermentioned Villages is completed, and that the Balances remaining unrealized are

by the Meerasidars through animosity against the Enamdar. the latter permitted his Enam in consequence to lie waste, and for some time no Revenue was recovered from the land at all. But on the rent being reduced to the original standards, the arrear was paid by the holder in full.

32 In conclusion I may observe that the Board are not quite correct in stating that the Estate of Golgondah has become the property of Government by forfeiture for — — rebellion. It was purchased at an Auction for Rupees 100.

33 The facts may be stated in a few words. Anuntaboopaty the last Zemindar was notoriously imbecile, and incompetent to manage the Estate, and under these circumstances, with the consent of the Officers of Government, he transferred his right and authority therein to a female Summadevamah, who was supposed to be capable of restoring order, and who stood in the relation to him of an Aunt, his father's brother's wife, this occurred in 1833.

34. Very shortly afterwards Summadevamah was murdered at the suggestion and by the partizans of Anuntaboopaty the

former zemindar - For this Anuntaboobaty was tried convicted in the Special Commissioners — Court, and he is now in confinement at Ghooty a prisoner for life.-

35 The Estate was then assumed by Government for a small arrear of Revenue, and with reference to the fact that Anuntaboopaty was suffering imprisonment as a felon, that there was no heir forthcoming competent to manage, or whose claims at the time were indisputable; above all, as it was considered most desirable that the possession by Government of the Estate should appear permanent, and not temporary in the eyes of the parties in the zemindary likely to raise disturbances, the sale and purchase of the Estate was recommended and sanctioned for the small sum noticed — above.-

36. There are descendants of — — Summadevamah - grand sons, her daughters Children, one of whom has now grown to manhood and who lives under the protection of the zemindar of Modigole.-

Vizagapatam District
Sundachellum Collr's Cutcherry 29th November 1842.

Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement —

16,540 George

Statement Fusli

12th December 1842.-

1230/31 of the District of Vizagapatam.-

Number.		Total Land Revenue	Extra Sources of Revenue.					
			Sayer.-			Abkary.-		
			Rented	Sumaries	Total	Rented	Sumaries	Total
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Fusl	36941 2	2900 " "	80139 12 6	83039 12 6	47019 8 "	" "	47019 8 "
			2900 " "	822438	851438	42486 " "	" "	42486 " "
2	Fusl	63636 10 2	" " "	210311 6	210311 6	" " "	" "	" " "
		31745 7 3						
		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	45338 "	" "	45338 "

Fort St. George

A.

Increase upon all branches of Revenue for Fusli

Land Revenue.—

Annual Settlement.—

Village Rents.	Aumanie or Division of the produce.	Total.	Shotrium Jodgie &c.	Total Columns 7 and 8.	Total Land Revenue.
5	6	7	8	9	10
3844 " "	" " "	163,605 4 5	25585 14 1	189,191 2 6	123,941 2 6
39085 " "	" " "	195,685 10 1	25,251 " 1	220,936 10 2	126,368,610 2
625 " "	" " "	32,080 5 8	" " "	31,745 7 8	31,745 7 8
" " "	" " "	33414 "	" " "	" " "	" " "

12th December 1842.—

1250/51 of the District of Vizagapatam.—

Extra Sources of Revenue.—

Sayer.—			Abkary.—		
Rented.	Aumanie	Total.	Rented	Aumanie.	Total.—
11	12	13	14	15.	16
2900 " "	80139 12 6	83039 12 6	47019 8 "	" " "	47019 8 "
2900 " "	822438.	85143 8.	42486 " "	" " "	42486 " "
" " "	2103 11 6	2103 11 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	4533 8.	" " "	4533 8. "

16541

Fort St. Geo

Sundry Small farms & Licenses.— Extra Sources

Rented	Aumanies	Total.	Salt.	Sea Customs	Motupa
17	18	19	20	21.	22
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"

16,542.

Statemen[t] Fusl[ies]

[Q]ueens Settlement

Dry.

Number.		Land		Sist.	
				8	
		21.		15,406	12 5
1	Palcong	6	11 4/5	1,218	1 6
		"	"	16,624	13 11
		4	20.	4,167	6 3
2	Golgon	10	8½	5,066	10
		16	2 12	3,988	5 3
		"	"	13,214	12 3
		46	10 6	3,319	2
3	Surva	7	12.	933	9
		54	6 6	4,807	11
		"	"	"	"
4	Bimb	"	"	34,647	5

12th December 1842.

Estates for Fusilies 1250 and 51.—

1250.—

at the season of Cultivation.—

Wet				Gardens				Total.			
Land.		Sist.		Land.		Sist.		Land.		Sist.	
9.		10.		11.		12.		13.		14.	
7,839	2 5½	1,00,790	10 4	94	22 5	1727	1.	9158	15 10½	1,17,924	7 9
811	18 10 1/6	9945	12 1	"	" "	"	" "	1130	25 5½	11163	13 7
"	" "	1,10,736	6 5	"	" "	1727	1.	"	" "	1,29,088	5 4
1,425	24 5	20,359	9 11	"	" "	"	" "	1,960	14 5	24,527	" 2
95	11 14	14,663	4 5	"	" "	"	" "	225	12 6½	19,734	5 3
94	4 8	15,941	15 10	"	" "	"	" "	170	7 4	10,923	5.
"	" "	50,969	14 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	64,184	10 5
133	6 8	19,098	6 2	"	" "	"	" "	180	" 14	22,917	8 8
31	8 13	3,315	15.	"	" "	"	" "	39	4 13	4,304	8.
164	13 5	22,414	5 2	"	" "	"	" "	219	3 11	27,222	" 8
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	184,120	9 9	"	" "	1727	1.	"	" "	220,493	" 5

Fort St. George

B.

Statement of the Cultivated Lands and Sumabundies of the Government Villages in the Talooks of Chingleput and Conjeveram

Average of 5 years from Fusly 1245 to Fusly 1249.		Terms of the Cultivated lands used in the different Talooks.	Number of Villages	as per Annual Settlement	
				Dry.	
Demand	Collection including Arrears.			Land	Sist.
3	4	5	6	7	8
1,19,723 2 7	1,19,291 2 .	Garces	147	1224 21 .	15,406 12
11,106 15 5	11,127 . 5	Acres	14	319 6 11/3	1218
1,30,830 3 .	1,30,418 2 5		161	" " "	16621 12
23,477 4 .	23,083 14 .	Garces	61	534 20 .	4,167
17,747 . 5 8	17,677 1 9	Cuttalov	20	130 . 8½	5,066
12,196 6 .	12,121 1 2	Do	16	76 2 12	3,988
					13,214
53,420 15 3	52,882 . 11	"	37	" " "	3,214
10,172 5 10	9,801 13 7	Cuttalov	13	46 10 6	4,000
2,356 1 1	2,242 13 6	Do	3	7 12 .	
12,528 6 11	12,050 11 1	"	16	54 6 6	4,000
30 " .	30 " .	"	"	" " "	
					3,464
1,96,329 9 7	1,95,400 14 5		264	" "	

12th December 1842.

Estates for Fusles 1250 and 51.—

1250-.

at the season of Cultivation—

Wet		Gardens		Total.	
Land.	Sist	Land	Sist.	Land	Sist
9.	10	11	12	13	14.
7,839 2 5½	1,00,790 10 4	94 22 5	1727 1 .	9158 15 10½	1,17,924 7 9
811 18 10½	9,945 12 1	" " "	" " "	1130 25 5½	11,163 13 7
" " "	1,10,736 6 5	" " "	1727 1 .	" " "	1,29,088 3 4
1,425 24 5	20,359 9 11	" " "	" " "	1,960 14 5	24,527 " 2
95 11 14	14,662 4 5	" " "	" " "	225 12 6½	19,734 3 3
94 4 8	15,941 15 10	" " "	" " "	170 7 4	10,923 3 .
" " "	50,969 14 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	64,184 10 3
133 6 8	19,093 6 2	" " "	" " "	180 " 14	22,917 8 8
31 8 13	3,315 15 .	" " "	" " "	39 4 13	4,304 8 .
164 13 5	22,414 3 2	" " "	" " "	219 3 11	27,222 " 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	184,120 9 9	" " "	1727 1 .	" " "	220,493 " 3

16543.

Fort St. George

12th December 1842.

Fasly

Deduct Amount remitted by the Collector

1250 - - - /Contd/ -

at the Summabundy time -

Loss of Crops	Uncultivated land -	Remission to Ryots being the moiety of the Collection of their lands -	Ryots deceased after Settlement -	Deserted Ryots:	Insolvent Ryots -	Amount paid to the Curnums as per Sanction of Government dated 29th May 1839 -	Total Deductions	Remaining Net Bariz	Sundry Fruit Trees -
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
7,318 15 11	874 6 2	2487 12 "	" " "	30 2 "	102 11 11	" " "	10,314 " "	107,110 7 9	47 5 "
532 2 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	532 2 2	10,611 11 5	" " "
7,871 2 1	874 6 2	2487 12 "	" " "	30 2 "	102 11 11	" " "	11,366 2 2	117,722 3 2	47 5 "
9,443 11 11	4143 7 6	" " "	4 " "	11 4 "	2 8 "	67 " "	13,671 15 5	10,855 " 9	82 4 "
4,378 3 11	3,525 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 15 "	" " "	7,910 15 5	11,823 5 10	67 10 "
5,742 10 2	3270 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8 6 "	" " "	9,021 5 2	10,901 15 10	" " "
19,564 10 "	10,939 9 "	" " "	4 " "	11 4 "	17 13 "	67 " "	30,604 4 "	33,580 6 5	149 14 "
5,610 15 4	12,426 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	8 15 "	" " "	18,046 11 10	4,370 12 10	" " "
912 12 10	743 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 4 "	" " "	1,670 13 "	2,633 11 "	" " "
6,523 12 2	13,175 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 3 "	" " "	19,717 8 10	7,504 7 10	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
33,959 8 3	24,939 8 10	2487 12 "	4 " "	41 6 "	138 11 11	67 " "	61,687 15 "	158,807 1 5	197 3 "

16,544 Fort St. George

Ready money Sources.-

Condapolloo	Tax upon Palmyra Gardens trees &c.ms	Sale of pasture lands	Sale of water	Total Sundry Sources.
25	26	27	28	29
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	47 5.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	47 5.
190 9.	" " "	226 6.	38.	302 11.
104 14.	31 " "	" " "	" " "	203 8.
9 " "	15 8.	4 " "	" " "	28 8.
304 7.	46 8.	230 6.	38.	734 11.
40 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40 " "
" " "	130 3.	" " "	" " "	130 3.
40 " "	130 3.	" " "	" " "	170 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
344 7.	176 11.	230 6.	38.	1191 5 3.

12th December 1842.

1250 - - - /Cont.d/ -

Total of Columns 23 and 29.-	Rents Villages.-	Rents Bariz	Total Jeroyettee. Villages	Total Jeroyettee. Bariz.-	Enam Cuttoobadies
30	31	32	33	34	35
107,157 12 9	8	1541 " "	155	103,698 12 9	12575 11 8
10,611 11 5	"	" " "	14	10,611 11 5	183 12.
117,769 8 2	8.	1541 " "	169	119310 8 2	12759 7 8
11,337 11 9	88	2255 " "	139	13,612 11 9	1216 3.
12,026 13 10	"	" " "	20	12,026 13 10	439 3.
10,930 7 10	"	" " "	16	10,930 7 10	" " "
34,315 1 5	88	2255 " "	175	36570 1 5	1655 6.
4,910 12 10	"	" " "	13	4,910 12 10	1379 " "
2,763 14.	"	" " "	3	2,763 14.	287 13.
7,674 10 10	"	" " "	16	7,674 10 10	1666 13.
" " "	1	50 " "	1	50 " "	" " "
159,759 4 5	97	3846 " "	361	163,605 4 5	16,021 10 8

16,545.

Fort St. George.

12th December 1842.

Agrahanums				Mocasahs				Sady Doratanams				Total				Total Land Revenue including Sady				Moturpha				Grand Total Bariz of Fusly 1250.			
Villages		Shotriums		Village		Cultorbadies		Villages		Nazeds		Villages		Bariz		Villages		Bariz									
36		37		38		39		40		41		42		43		44		45		46				47			
55		4,333	13	1	17		1,496	9	4	19		2,042	1	"	"	91		20448	3	1	246	1,29,146	15	10	4,237	"	1	1,33,383	15	11
1		25	"	"	1		205	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	2		413	12	"	16	11,025	7	5	119	9	"	11,145	"	5
56		4,358	13	1	18		1,701	9	4	19		2,042	1	"	"	93		20,861	15	1	262	1,40,172	7	3	4,356	9	1	1,44,529	"	4
18		20	"	"	82		1,316	12	"	"		"	"	"	"	100		2,552	15	"	239	16,165	10	9	901	9	"	17,067	3	9
2		30	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	2		489	3	"	22	12,516	"	10	345	"	"	12,861	"	10
1		15	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	1		15	"	"	17	10,945	7	10	307	4	1	11,252	11	11
21		85	"	"	82		1,316	12	"	"		"	"	"	"	103		3,057	2	"	278	39,627	3	5	1,533	13	1	41,181	"	6
"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"		1,379	"	"	13	6,289	12	10	284	7	"	6,574	3	10
"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"		287	13	"	3	3,057	11	"	10	12	"	3,062	7	"
"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"		166	13	"	16	9,341	7	10	295	3	"	9,636	10	10
"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	1	50	"	"	"	"	"	50	"	"
"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
77		4,443	13	1	100		3,018	5	4	19		2,042	1	"	"	196		25,585	14	1	537	1,89,191	2	6	6,205	9	2	1,95,396	11	8

16547 — Fort St. George — 12th December 1842.

1231 - - - (Contd.)

Sanabundy time after an Enquiry. — Ready money Sources. — Sundry

Insolvent Ryots.	Amount paid to the Circuars as per Sanction of Government dated 29th May 1839.	Lands Rented for houses.	Total	Remaining Net Bariz	Fruit Trees.	Conda = polvo =	Tax upon Palmyra Gardens Trees. Vern.	Sale of pasture land	Sale of Water.	Lands in the beds of Tanks brought under Cultivation.	Total Sundry Sources.	Total Summary Columns 67 / 73.
62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
375 15 9	" " "	" " "	9,021 7 5	1,07,778 9 7	204 8.						204 8.	1,07,983 1 7
85 " "	" " "	" " "	449 11 3.	10,726 2 4	" "						" "	10,726 2 4
460 15 9	" " "	" " "	9,471 2 8	1,18,504 11 11	204 8.						204 8.	1,18,709 3 11
742 10 6	90 " "	" " "	6,046 4 8	19,699 15 5	117 6.						545 13.	20,245 12 5
321 10 5	" "	5 12 4	2,469 6 10	18,359 15 4	62 15 11	425 15.	" "	" "	2 8	" " "	505 12 5	18,865 11 9
290 " 9	" " "	" " "	1,342 9.	18,607 6 9	27 8	338 " 6	31 "	7 3 12	" " "	" " "	91 7 8	18,698 14 5
						69 8 "	15 8.	4 "	" " "	" " "		
1,354 5 8	90 " "	5 12 4	9,858 4 6	56,667 5 6	132 12 4	833 7 6.	46 8.	7 7 12.	2 8.	" " "	1,143 1 1	57,810 6 7
1,380 8 9	" " "	" " "	11,620 3 11	11,339 10 9	" " "	45 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 12 "	46 12.	11,386 6 9
357 12 2	" " "	" " "	609 5 2	3741. 10	" " "	" " "	130 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	130 3.	3871 3 10
1,738 4 11	" " "	" " "	12,229 9 1	15,080 11 7	" " "	45 " "	130 3 "	" " "	" " "	1 12 "	176 15.	15,257 10 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3,553 10 4	90 " "	5 12 4	31,539.	3,90,252 13.	387 5 7	248 7 6	176 11.	7 7 12.	2 8 "	1 12.	1,524 8 1	91,777 5 7

16,546 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Fusly 1251.

As per Asmeen Settlement at the season of Cultivation :-

Villages	Dry Land	Dry Sist	Wet Land	Wet Sist	Garden Land	Garden Sist	Total Land	Total Sist	Loss of Crops	Uncultivated Land	Remission to Ryots being the moiety of the Collector of their lands	Ryots deceased after Settlement	Deserted Ryots :-	Deduct amount remitted by the Collector at 61
48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	
146	1,215 25	1,415,343 3 6	7,762 9	18 99,729	12 6		94 22 5.	1,727 1 .	9,072 27	17 11,680	0 1 .	2769 12 11	3389 " 7	2,381 11 5 " " . 104 14 9
14	322 6	11 1/3 1,230 1 6	811 18	10 1/6 99	45 12 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,133 25 5/6	1 1,175 13 7		239 13 8	124 13 7	" " " " " " " "
160	" " "	16,573 5.	" " "	1,09,675 8 7	" " "	1727 1 .	" " "		12,975 14 7			3009 10 7	3,513 14 2	2,381 11 5 " " " 104 14 9
60	361 1 10	4,291 14 3	1,496 22 10	21,434 3 10	2 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,057 24 .	25 7/6	4 1	3319 13 3	1,615 5 5	" " " 10 " . 268 7 6
20	141 5 1/4	3,535 4 10	100 10 10	15,294 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	241 15 10 1/4	20,829	6 2	1055 2 10	1,033 3 9	" " " 30 " . 23 9 6
16	74 8 1	1,007 15 11	94 4 8	15,941 15 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	170 12 9	19,949	15 9	946 13 3	105 11 .	" " " " " " " "
96	" " "	13,835 3.	" " "	52,690 7 .	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	66,525	10 .	5,321 13 4	2,754 4 2	" " " 40 " . 292 1 4
13	46 1 2	3,830 2 6	133 9 4	19,129 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	180 7 6	22,959	14 3	2539 9 11	1,673 7 3	" " " 18 6 " 8 4 .
3	7 12 .	988 9 .	31 13 9	3,361 13 .	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	39 9 9	4,350	6 .	153 5 10	85 1 2	" " " " " " " 13 2 .
16	34 10 2	4,318 11 6	165 6 13	22,491 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	220 "	15 27,310	4 8	2,692 15 9	1,758 8 5	" " " 18 6 . 21 6 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " " " " " " " " " "
272	" " "	35,227 3 6	" " "	1,81,257 8 9	" " "	1727 1 .	" " "	" " "	" " "	221,811	13 3	11,024 7 8	14,026 10 9	2,381 11 5 586 . 418 3 9

16547 — Fort St. George — 12th December 1842.

1237 - - - Cont.d 1 -

Ready money Sources.-

Firstly

Sundry

Samabundy time after an Enquiry .-

Insolvent Ryots -	Amount paid to the Curnums as per Sanction of Government dated 29th May 1839.-	Lands Rented for houses.	Total	Remaining Net Bariz	Fruit Trees.-	Conda - polvo -	Tax upon Palmyra Gardens Trees. &c.-	Sale of pasture land	Sale of Water-	Lands in the beds of Tanks brought under Cultivation.-	Total Sundry Sources.	Total Summary Columns 67/73.-
62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
375 15 9	" " " " " "		9,021 7 5	1,07,778 9 7	204 8.						204 8.	1,07,983 1 7
85 " .	" " " " " "		449 11 3	10,726 2 4	" "						" "	10,726 2 4
460 15 9	" " " " " .		9,471 2 8	1,18,504 11 11	204 8.						204 8.	1,18,709 3 11
742 10 6	90 " " " " .		6,046 4 8	19,699 15 5	117 6.						545 13.	20,245 12 5
321 10 5	" " "	5 12 4	2,469 6 10	18,359 15 4	62 15 11				2 8	" " "	505 12 5	18,865 11 9
290 " 9	" " " " "		1,342 9.	18,607 6 9	2 7 8						91 7 8	13,698 14 5
1,354 5 8	90 " "	5 12 4	9,858 4 6	56,667 5 6	132 13 4							
1,380 8 9	" " " " "		11,620 3 11	11,339 10 9	" "							
357 12 2	" " " " "		609 5 2	3741 . 10	" "							
1,738 4 11	" " " " "		12,229 9 1	150 80 11 7	" "							
" " " " "	" " " " "		" " "	" " "	" "							
3,553 10 4	90 " .	5 12 4	31,539 .	3,90,252 13 .	384 5 7							

16548 Fort St. George.

12th December 1842.-

Fusly

1251 - - - - (Contd.) -

Rents		Total Jeroyettee.			Enam	Jody -				Doratanums -		Total Jody	
						Agraharams		Mocassahs.					
Number of Villages	Bariz -	Number of Villages.	Bariz -		Cuttorbadies.	Villages	Shotriams	Villages	Cuttorbadies	Villages	Nuzzers -	Villages	Bariz -
75	76	77	78		79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87 -
9	1392 5 .	155	109375	6 7	12575 11 8	55	4333 131	17	14969 4	19	2042 1 .	91	20448 3 1
"	" " "	14	10726	2 4	183 12 .	1	25 . .	1	205 . .	"	" " "	2	413 12 .
9	1392 5 .	169	120101	8 11	12769 7 8	56	4358 131	18	17019 4	19	2042 1 .	93	20861 151
90	2466 " .	150	22711	12 5	1169 7 .	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	90	22231 1 .
"	" " "	20	18365	11 9	433 9 .	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	2	483 9 .
"	" " "	16	18698	14 5	" " .	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	1	15 " .
90	2466 " .	186	60276	6 7	1603 2 .	21	85 " "	72	10344 .	"	" " "	93	27224 .
"	" " "	13	11386	6 9	1379 " .	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	1379 " .
"	" " "	3	3871	3 10	287 13 .	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	287 13 .
"	" " .	16	15257	10 7	1666 13 .	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	1666 13 .
1	50 " .	1	50	" "	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " .
100	3908 5 .	372	195685	10 1	16029 4 3	77	4443 131	90	27351 34	19	2042 1 .	186	25257 " 1

16549 — Fort St. George

12th December 1842.

Total Land Revenue including Soby.- Villages.	Baiz.-	Motunpha	Grand Total Baiz.-	Comparative Settlement Increase Land	Sist	between Columns 13 & 14 and 55 and 56. Decrease.	Comparative Summabundy of Fasles 1250/51. Increase. Jeroyettee Villages.	Baiz.	Rent Villages.	Baiz.
88	89	90	91	92	93.		94.	95.	96	97	98	99.
246	129,823 9 8	3991 4 8	33,814 14 4	" " "	" " "		85 17 13½	1124 6 9	"	825 4 10	1	" " "
16	11139 14 4	116 5 8	11256 4 "	3 " "	12 " "		" " "	" " "	"	114 6 11	"	" " "
							" " "	1124 6 9	"	939 11 9	1	" " "
262	140963 8 "	4107 10 4	145071 2 4	" " "	12 " "		" " "	" " "	9	3888 " 8	2	211 " "
240	24935 7 5	10456 "	25980 13 5	97 9 15	1219 3 11		" " "	" " "	"	6838 13 11	"	" " "
22	19349 4 9	363 8 5	19712 13 2	16 3 4	1095 " 11		" " "	" " "	"	7763 6 7	"	" " "
17	18713 14 5	339 6 4	19053 4 9	" 5 5	26 10 9							
279	62998 10 7	1748 4 9	64746 15 4	" " "	2340 15 7		" " "	" " "	9	23495 5 2	2	211 " "
13	12765 6 9	340 4 1	13105 10 10	" 6 8	42 6 "		" " "	" " "	"	6475 9 11	"	" " "
3	4159 " 10	14 12 10	4173 13 8	" 4 12	45 14 "		" " "	" " "	"	1107 5 10	4	" " "
16	16924 7 7	355 " 11	17279 8 6	" 11 4	33 4 "		" " "	" " "	"	7582 15 9	"	" " "
1	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
							" " "	1124 6 9	9	32018 " 8	3.	211 " "
558	220935 10 2	6211 " "	227147 10 2	" " "	2441 3 7		" " "	" " "	8.	32018 " 8	3.	62 5 "
		Net " "	" " "		1316 12 10							

Fort St. George.

12th December 1842.

Comparative Summary of Fasulee 1250/51 (Continued)

Enam Cuttoobadies.	Agraharums. Villages.	Shotrums.	Mocasahs. Villages.	Cuttoobadies.	Dorabanums. Villages.	Inams.	Total Land Revenue. Villages.	Bariz.	Motur-pha.	Total Increase.	Jerogettee. Villages.	Bariz.	Rents. Villages.	Bariz.
100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114
							1.	825 4 10	" " "	825 4 10	1.	" " "	"	148 11 "
							"	114 6 11	" " "	114 6 11	"	" " "	"	" " "
							1.	939 11 9	" " "	939 11 9	1.	" " "	"	148 11 "
							11.	9099 " 8	113 13 "	9242 13 8	"	" " "	"	" " "
							"	6838 13 11.	18 8 5	6857 6 4.	"	" " "	"	" " "
							"	7768 6 7.	32 2 3	7800 8 10.	"	" " "	"	" " "
							11.	23706 5 2.	194 7 8	23900 12 10.	"	" " "	"	" " "
							"	6475 9 11.	55 13 1.	6531 7 "	"	" " "	"	" " "
							"	1107 5 10.	4 " 10.	1111. 6 8.	"	" " "	"	" " "
							"	7582 15 9.	59 13 11.	7642 13 8.	"	" " "	"	" " "
							12.	32229 " 8.	254 5 7	32483 6 3.	1.	" " "	"	148 11 "
							1.	31745 7 8.	5 6 10.	31750 14 6.	"	" " "	"	" " "

16551.

Fort St. George.

12th December

of Fusleis 1250/51 (Contd.)

Comparative Summary

Decrease.

Enam Cattoo-badies.	Agraharums. Villages.	Shatrums.	Mocasa Patta. Villages.	Cattoo-badies.	Dioratanums. Villages.	Nuzzers.	Total Land Revenue. Villages.	Bariz.	Maturpha	Dec
115	116	117	118	119.	120	121	122	123	124	
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	1.	148 11 u	245 11 5	3
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	u	u u u	3 3 4.	
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	1.	148 11 u	248 4 9	3
46 12 u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	10.	329 4 u	u u u	
5 10 u	u	u u u	10.	282 8 u	u u u	u	5 10 u	u u u	u u u	
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	u	u u u	u u u	
52 6 u	u	u u u	10.	282 8 u	u u u	u	10.	334 14 u	u u u	
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	u	u u u	u u u	
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	u	u u u	u u u	
u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	u u u	u	u	u u u	u u u	
52 6 u	u	u u u	10.	282 8 u	u u u	u	11.	483 9 u	248 14 9	
Net 52 6 u	u	u u u	10.	282 8 u	u u u	u	u	u u u	u u u	

Vizagapatam 29th November 1842. Errors. Excepted.

Sfr. (Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Actor.

Dit. 6 dec
9 u u
u u
0 u u
u u
u u
u u
u u
u u
u u
u u

12th December 1842.

Doule for Tuesby 1251 as per Statement **B**.—

Deduct Lands transferred to Rents- Dry.		Wet		Total	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist
11	12	13	14	15	16
3 " "	9 " "	16 " "	61 " "	19 " "	70 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 " "	9 " "	16 " "	61 " "	19 " "	70 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"

Fort St. George

ing the Details of the Increase and Decrease in the Stookoobady Kam

Settlement of Fusly 1250.

of Koondahs.	Dry Land	Dry Sist.	b.	Wet Sist.	Garden Land	Garden Sist.	Total Land	Total Sist.
2	3	4		6	7	8	9	10
oondah	1224 71 -	15406	12 32	5/2 100790 4	94 2 5	1727 1 -	9158 16 1/2	117924 79
iaram	319 6 11/16	1218	1 9	10/16 9945 12	- - -	- - -	1130 25 1/2	11163 137
Total	- - -	16624	13 11	- 110736 6 5	- - -	1727 1 -	- - -	129088 54
goondah	534 20 -	4167	6 3	5 20359 9 11	- - -	- - -	1960 14 5	24527 - 2
oolapoody	130 - 8/4	5066 -	10 14	14668 4 5	- - -	- - -	225 12 1/4	19734 5 3
lacottah	76 2 12	3931	5 2	8 15941 15 10	- - -	- - -	170 - 14	19923 5 -
Total	- - -	13214	12 3	- 59969 14 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	64184 10 5
uasiddy	46 10 6	3819	2 6	8 19092 6 2	- - -	- - -	180 - 4	22917 3 1
alah	7 12 -	983 9 -	13	3315 15 -	- - -	- - -	39 4 13 4	4304 8 -
Total	54 6 6	4807	11 6	5 22414 5 2	- - -	- - -	219 5 11	27222 - 3
Grand Total	- - -	34647	5 8	- 184120 9 9	- - -	1727 1 -	- - -	220495 - 5

12th December 1842.

Double for Fusly 1251 as per Statement B.

Deduct
Lands transferred to Rents.

Dry Land	Dry Sist.	Wet Land	Wet Sist.	Total Land	Total Sist.
11	12	13	14	15	16
3 - -	9 - -	16 - -	61 - -	19 - -	70 - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
8 - - -	9 - -	16 - -	61 - -	19 - -	70 - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	9 - -	16 - -	61 - -	19 - -	70 - -

16553.

Fort St. George.

12th December 1842.

Lands left uncultivated

Dry Land	Dry Sist	Wet Land	Wet Sist	Total Land	Total Sist	Deduct / Deduct sist of Lands occupied under Houses erroneously included in the sist of Jeroytee Lands.
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
14. 25 6.	143 8 11.	99. 27 17/2	1345 9 9	114. 23 27/2	1494 2 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	143 8 11.	" " "	1345 9 9	" " "	1494 2 8	" " "
1 " "	5 " "	5 " "	50 " "	6 " "	55 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	5 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	55 " "	10 " "
" " "	" " "	" " 14 8.	126 5.	" " 14 8.	126 5.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	126 5.	" " "	126 5.	" " "
" " "	153 8 11.	" " "	1521 14 9.	" " "	1675 7 8.	10 " "

(continued)

Total Deductions.

Dry Land	Dry Sist	Wet Land	Wet Sist	Total Land	Total Sist
24	25	26	27	28	29
17 25 6.	157 8 11.	115 27 17/2	1406 9 9.	133 23 3/2	1564 2 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	157 8 11.	" " "	1406 9 9.	" " "	1564 2 8
1 " "	5 " "	5 " "	50 " "	6 " "	55 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "
" " "	10 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	15 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	65 " "
" " "	" " "	" " 14 8	126 5.	" " 14 8	126 5.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	126 5.	" " "	126 5.
" " "	172 8 11.	" " "	1582 14 9.	" " "	1755 7 8.

Remainder Gardens							Increase in the Current Fasly. Transferred from Rents to Amanie						
Dry		Wet				Total	Dry		Wet		Total		
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
1,206 25 4	15,249 3 6	7,723 4 8	99,384 " 7	94 22 5	1,727 1 "	9,024 22 7	1,163 6 1	9 " "	96 " "	36 " "	306 " "	45 " "	400 " "
319 6 11/16	1,218 1 6	811 18 10/16	9,945 12 1	" " "	" " "	1,130 25 5/4	1,163 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	16,467 5 "	" " "	10,932 9 12 8	" " "	1,727 1 "	" " "	1,275 24 2 8	" " "	94 " "	" " "	306 " "	" " "	400 " "
533 20 "	4,162 6 3	1,420 24 5	20,309 9 11	" " "	" " "	1,932 14 5	24 4 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
130 " "	5,056 " 10	95 11 14	14,668 4 5	" " "	" " "	225 12 6/4	19 7 24 5 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
76 2 12	3,981 5 2	94 4 8	15,941 15 10	" " "	" " "	170 7 4	19 9 23 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	13,199 12 3	" " "	50,919 14 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	64 11 9 10 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
26 10 6	3,819 26	132 8 "	18,972 12	" " "	" " "	179 26	22 7 9 1 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 12 "	988 9 "	31 8 13	3,315 15 "	" " "	" " "	39 4 13	4 3 0 4 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	4,807 11 6	" " "	22,288 " 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	27 0 9 5 11 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	31,474 12 9	" " "	182,537 11 "	" " "	1,727 1 "	" " "	2,18,739 8 9	" " "	94 " "	" " "	306 " "	" " "	400 " "

16555 Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Increase in the current

Transferred from Mocadah to Aumanie

Dry		Wet		Total	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist
44	45	46	47	48	49
13 22 10	30 4 -	12 15 -	66 12 -	26 7 10	97 -
〃 〃 〃	30 4 -	〃 〃	66 12 -	〃 〃	97 -
〃 〃 〃	30 4 -	〃 〃	66 12 -	〃 〃	97 -

Fusly (Contd)

Transferred from Enams to Aumanie

Dry		Wet		Total	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist
50	51	52	53	54	55
4 7 10	13 12 -	17 15 -	86 12 -	21 22 10	100 8 -
〃 〃 〃	13 12 -	〃 〃	86 12 -	〃 〃	100 8 -
〃 〃 〃	13 12 -	〃 〃	86 12 -	〃 〃	100 8 -

1855-6 — Fort St. George — 12th December 1862

Arable Land brought under Cultivation.		Concealed Lands brought under Cultivation.		Increase in the Ready Money Rents.	Waste Land brought under Cultivation.				Total.	
					Dry.		Wet			
Land.	Sist.	Land.	Sist.		Land.	Sist.	Land.	Sist.	Land.	Sist.
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 5 10	39 11 11	3 5 10	39 11 11
3 " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	39 11 11	" " "	39 11 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 3 "	1 " "	3 3 "	12 " "	" " "	6 8 10	5 7 2 8	45 28 5	991 3 11	52 6 15	1063 11 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 14 12	625 12 11	16 3 4	1105 " 11
" " 5	1 12 9	" " "	" " "	3 2 "	4 8 "	47 9 4 "	" " "	" " "	" 5 "	21 12 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 5 "	21 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2 12 9	" " "	12 " "	3 2 "	" " "	57 8 " "	" " "	1617 " 10	" " "	2190 8 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 1 4	157 11 "	1 5 "	168 11 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 3 12	" 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 4 12	45 14 "	" 4 12	45 14 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	203 9 "	" " "	214 9 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "

16537

Fort St. George.

Total Increase.

Dry		Wet		Total	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist
67	68	69	70	71	72
9 " "	94 " "	39 5 10	345 11 11	48 5 10	439 11 11
3 " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	3 " "	12 " "
" " "	106 " "	" " "	345 11 11	" " "	451 11 11
27 11 10	129 8 "	75 28 5	1144 11 11	103 9 15	1274 3 11
11 4 8	479 4 "	4 14 12	625 12 11	16 3 4	1105 " 11
" 5 5	26 10 9	" " "	" " "	" 5 5	26 10 9
" " "	635 6 9	" " "	1770 8 10	" " "	2405 15 7
" 3 12	11 " "	1 1 4	157 11 "	1 5 "	168 11 "
" " "	" " "	" 4 12	45 14 "	" 4 12	45 14 "
" " "	11 " "	" " "	203 9 "	" " "	214 9 "
" " "	752 6 9	" " "	2319 13 9	" " "	3072 4 6

Vizagapatam 29th November 1842.

12th December 1842.

Total Settlement of Fusly 1251

Dry		Wet		Garden		Total	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist
73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
1215 25 14	15348 3 6	7762 9 18	9972 12 6	94 22 5	1727 1 "	9072 27 17	11680 1 "
322 6 43/80	1230 16 8	11 18 10/80	9945 12 1	" " "	" " "	1133 25 5/4	11175 13 7
" " "	16573 5 "	" " "	10967 5 8	" " "	1727 1 "	" " "	12797 5 14 7
561 1 10	4291 14 3	1496 2 2 10	2145 4 5 10	" " "	" " "	2057 24 "	25746 4 1
141 5/4	5535 4 10	100 10 10 10	1529 1 4	" " "	" " "	241 15 10/4	2082 9 6 2
76 8 1	4007 15 11	94 4 8	1594 1 5 10	" " "	" " "	170 12 9	1994 9 5 9
" " "	1335 3/4 3 1	" " "	526 9 0 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	0852 5 10 "
46 1 4 2	3830 2 6	133 9 4	1912 9 12 2	" " "	" " "	180 7 6	22959 14 8
7 12 "	988 9 "	31 13 9	3361 3 "	" " "	" " "	39 9 9	4350 6 "
" " "	4818 11 6	" " "	2249 1 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	27310 4 8
" " "	35227 3 6	" " "	18485 7 8 9	" " "	1727 1 "	" " "	221811 13 3

E. E. Jn / Signed / P. B. Smollett

Acting Collector.

Abstract

16558.

D Abstract Statement Comparative
Estates for Fu

Fort St. Geo
12th December 1842.

Demand Collection and Balance of the Government
1250/51

Sheet No.	Fasles	Demand			Collection			Remission on account of arrears	Balance		
		Current	Arrears	Total	Current	arrears	Total		Current	Arrears	Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Fusly 1250	1,89,912 6	10,510	10 7	2,00,423 1 7	1,83,416 10	10,428 10 2	1,85,065 4 2	551 10 8	5,774 8 6	8,610 5 9	14,384 14 3
2	" 1251	2,20,936 13 2	14,384 14 3		2,35,321 8 5	2,12,855 6 2	868 4 -	2,15,723 10 6	371 8 10	8,081 3 8	11,145 1 5	19,226 5 1
	Increase	31,745 7 8	3,874 3 8		35,619 11 4	29,438 12 6	1,219 9 10	30,658 6 4	- - -	2,306 11 2	2,534 11 8	4,841 6 10
	Decrease	- - -	- - -		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	180 1 10	- - -	- - -	- - -

Vizagapatam 29th November 1842. E. E. W.m/ Signed/ P. B. Smollett

Acting Collector

Statement

16559

E Stat

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Revenue a

Arrears outstanding against the Government Estates for —

Number	Names of Talooks	Demand Arrears Fasly 1246	Fasly 1247	Fasly 1248	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250	Total	Total current rent and arrears
		7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	Palconda	0 14 8	407 1 11	491 5 7	875 13 2	2812 1 11	5738 2 9	135561 2 5
		— — —	— — —	— — —	10 15 2	17 5 1	28 4 3	1168 2 7
				491 5 7	886 12 4	2829 7 —	5766 7 —	146729 15 —
2	Golgondah	0 14 8	407 1 11	187 11 6	638 2 7	1029 15 —	1893 13 1	26829 4 6
		— — —	38 — —	31 4 7	124 6 6	443 10 5	872 11 10	20222 — 7
		0 15 4	— — —	— — —	2 2 —	16 4 —	684 2 1	19398 — 6
		0 12 6	— — 9					
				219 — 1	762 11 1	1489 13 5	3450 11 —	66449 5 7
3	Surwaseddy	11 10	38 — 9	987 4 9	799 7 —	1253 5 9	4378 12 —	17144 2 9
		7 7	302 4 1	216 5 7	251 4 5	201 14 4	789 — 3	4948 1 1
		10 10	51 12 1					
				1203 10 4	1050 11 5	1455 4 1	5167 12 3	22092 3 10
4	Bimlipatam	2 5	352 — 2					
		— —	— —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	50 — —
		12 11	797 2 11	1914 — —	3702 2 10	5774 8 6	14384 14 3	235321 8 5

Fort St. George

12th December 1842

Collection and Balance of current Revenue and Arrears outstanding against the Government Estates for —

| | Demand | | |

16559

E Stat[illegible] Fort St. George

Revenue a[illegible]

12th December 1842

Arrears outstanding against the Government Estates for — —

Number	Names of Talooks	Demand Arrears Fusly 1246		Fusly 1247		Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Total	Total arrears = rent and arrears
1	Palcondah									
		0	14 8	407	1 11	491 5 7	875 13 2	2812 1 11	5738 2 9	13556 12 5
		—	— —	—	— —	— — —	10 15 2	17 5 1	28 4 3	1168 2 7
						491 5 7	886 12 4	2829 7 —	5766 7 —	14672 9 15 —
2	Golgondah	0	14 8	407	1 11	187 11 6	638 2 7	1029 15 —	1893 13 1	26829 4 6
		—	— —	38	— —	31 4 7	124 6 6	443 10 5	872 11 10	20222 — 7
		0	15 4	—	— —	— — —	2 2 —	16 4 —	684 2 1	19398 — 6
		0	12 6	—	— —	219 — 1	762 11 1	1489 13 5	3450 11 —	66449 5 7
3	Surwaseddy	11	10	38	— 1	987 4 9	799 7 —	1253 5 9	4378 12 —	17144 2 9
		7	7	30	4 1	216 5 7	251 4 5	201 14 4	789 — 3	4948 1 1
		10	10	51	12 1	1203 10 4	1050 11 5	1455 4 1	5167 12 3	22092 3 10
		2	5	350	— 2					
4	Bimlipatam	—	—	—	— —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	50 — —
		12	11	797	2 11	1914 — —	2702 2 10	5774 8 6	14384 14 3	235321 8 5

16560

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Fusly 1251 in the District of Vizagapatam.

Land	Current	Fusly 1243	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1245	Fusly 1246	Fusly 1247	Collection Collection	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Total	Total Current and arrear
	14	15	16	17	18	19		20	21	22	23	24
67	124 98 7 14 4	19 8 9	- - -	- - -				6 1 1	35 3 2	598 8 5	691 11 8	125 679 10 -
9	10 699 5 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	31 11 7	- 15 5		- - -	5 6 -	12 7 1	17 13 1	10 717 2 8
3	135 687 3 11	19 8 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		6 1 1	40 9 2	610 15 6	709 8 9	136 396 12 8
"	23 399 8 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	31 11 7	- 10 5						
	19 069 10 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		17 - -	42 7 4	477 - 3	536 7 7	23 935 15 8
	18 551 12 10	- - -	3 - -	- - -	22 8 -	- - -		- - -	7 11 -	360 4 6	393 7 7	19 463 2 6
	6 102 15 10	- - -	3 - -	- - -	93 7 6	- - -		- - -	2 2 -	11 4 -	106 13 6	18 658 10 4
	120 37 1 11	24 4 4	21 - -	6 4 10	115 15 6	- - -		17 - -	52 4 4	848 8 9	1036 12 8	62 057 12 6
	4 960 - 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	15 - -	15 - -					
	16 097 2 9	24 4 4	21 - -	6 4 10	20 13 -	7 12 4		149 8 -	133 9 4	400 15 5	746 10 11	12 783 12 10
					35 13 -	22 12 4		94 2 8	125 13 8	126 10 -	375 3 8	44 354 6
	50 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		24 3 10 8	25 9 7 -	5 27 9 5	1121 14 7	17 219 1 4
								- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	50 - -
	2 12 855 6 6	43 13 1	51 - -	6 4 11	183 8 1	23 7 -		266 11 9	352 4 6	1987 1 8	2868 4 -	215 723 10 6

1856.

Fort. St. Jean

Fuely 1243	Fuely 1244	Fuely 1245	Fuely 1246	Fuely 1247	Fuely 1248	Remission
25	26	27	28	29	30	
" " "	" " "	1 12 -	133 13 8	1 15 -	7 8 1	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	1 12 -	133 13 8	1 15 -	7 8 1	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	38 - -	3 5 1	
10 11 1	" " "	" " "	43 15 6	" " "	5 - 1	
3 14 8	" " "	" " "	50 15 9	" " "	" " "	
1 4 9 9	" " "	" " "	94 15 3	38 - -	8 6 2	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
1 4 9 9	" " "	1 12 -	228 12 11	39 15 -	8 6 7 2	

12th December 1842

Fuely 1249	Total remission	Current	Balance Arrears Fuely 1243	Fuely 1244	Fuely 1245
31	32	33	34	35	36
" " "	215 9 9	4835 11 4	117 10 4	58 12 1	23 2 4
" " "	" " "	440 8 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	215 9 9	5276 4 1	117 10 4	58 12 1	23 2 4
" " "	41 5 6	1535 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	59 11 2	279 9 10	9 4 11	4 10 -	4 12 11
" " "	54 14 5	162 17	101 - 2	" " "	" " "
" " "	155 15 1	1977 10 9	110 5 1	4 10 -	4 12 11
" " "	" " "	7284 10	434 2 2	210 12 7	86 5 11
" " "	" " "	99 - -	7 - 6	" " "	- - 6
" " "	" " "	827 4 10	441 2 8	210 12 7	86 6 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	371 8 10	8081 3 8	609 2 1	274 2 8	114 5 8

16562-

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Balance /Contd/

Arrears /Contd/

Fasly 1246 37	Fasly 1247 38	Fasly 1248 39	Fasly 1249 40	Fasly 1250 41	Total 42	Total Current and arrears 43
765 6 5	404 8 3	407 3 5	840 10 ~	2,213 9 6	4820 13 4	9,086 8 8
~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	5 9 2	4 14 ~	10 7 2	450 15 11
765 6 5	404 8 3	407 3 5	846 3 2	2,218 7 6	4,841 4 6	10,117 8 7
~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	167 6 ~	595 11 3	552 14 9	1316 ~ ~	2,851 15 4
174 7 10	~ ~ ~	26 4 ~	115 11 6	83 6 11	419 9 1	699 2 11
416 5 3	~ ~ 9	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	5 ~ ~	522 6 2	684 7 9
590 13 1	~ ~ 9	193 10 ~	712 6 9	621 4 8	2,257 15 3	4,235 10 ~
259 7 7	285 4 1	837 12 9	665 13 8	852 6 4	3632 1 1	4,360 5 11
39 13 10	43 15 9	122 2 11	125 6 9	75 4 4	413 12 7	612 12 7
299 5 5	329 3 10	959 15 8	791 4 6	927 10 8	4,045 13 8	4,873 2 6
~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
1,635 7 11	733 12 10	1,560 13 1	2,349 14 4	3,787 6 10	11,145 15 5	19,226 5 1

Vizagapatam 29th November 1842

E. E. Jl Sr /Signed/ P. B. Smollett

Acting Collector

Statement

16563.

Fort St. George 12th December 1

F. Statement exhibiting the increase and decrease between the prec

1 Division	2 Number	Names of Talluks	4 Number	Names of Hoondahs	Permanently Settled	Collections in Current	Collections in Arrears
					5	6	7
Northern	1	Palcondah	1	Palcondah	55,000 - -	124987 14 4	- -
			2	Konjaram	11,982 - -	10699 5 7	2 4
				Total	66,982 - -	135687 3 11	2 4
	2	Golgondah	1	Golgondah	10,000 - -	23399 8 1	- -
			2	Vamoolapoory	17,000 - -	19069 10 11	6
			3	Cottacottah	13,500 - -	18551 12 10	-
				Total	40,500 - -	61020 15 10	6
Southern	3	Survasiddy	1	Survasiddy	24,600 - -	12037 1 11	9
			2	Rajalah	4,413 8 -	4,060 - 10	
				Total	29,013 8 -	16097 2 9	
				Total Southern	69,513 8 -	77118 2 1	
	4	Bimlipatam	1	Dutch Lands	50 - -	50 - -	
				Grand Total	136,545 8 -	212855 6	

Vizagapata

16563.

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

F. Statement exhibiting the increase and decrease between the permanent Bariz and the Collections for Fusly

Division	Number	Names of Talooks	Number	Names of Hoondahs	Permanently Settled	Collections in Fusly 1251. Current	Arrears	Total	Charges Collection repairs &c.	Net Revenue
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Northern	1 Palcondah	1	Palcondah	55000 - -		124987 14 4	691 11 8	125679 10 -	8560 7 5	117119 2 7
		2	Henjaram	11982 - -		10699 5 7	17 13 1	10717 2 8	1864 15 -	8852 3 8
				Total	66982 - -	135687 3 11	709 8 9	136396 12 8	10425 6 5	125971 6 3
	2 Golgondah	1	Golgondah	10000 - -		23399 8 1	536 7 7	23935 15 8	1729 12 9	22206 2 11
		2	Vasnolapooy	17000 - -		19069 10 11	393 7 7	19463 2 6	2940 11 -	16522 7 6
		3	Cottacottah	13500 - -		18551 12 10	106 13 6	18658 10 4	2335 4 -	16323 6 4
				Total	40500 - -	61020 15 10	1036 12 8	62057 12 6	7005 11 9	55052 - 9
Southern	3 Survasiddy	1	Survasiddy	24600 - -		12037 1 11	746 10 11	12783 12 10	2560 3 7	10223 9 3
		2	Rajalah	4413 8 -		4060 - 10	375 3 8	4435 4 6	459 5 3	3975 15 3
				Total	29013 8 -	16097 2 9	1121 14 7	17219 1 4	3019 8 10	14199 8 6
			Total Southern		69513 8 -	77118 2 7	2158 11 3	79276 13 10	10025 4 7	69251 9 3
	4 Bimlipatam	1	Dutch Lands		50 - -	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	- - -	50 - -
			Grand Total		136545 8 -	212855 6 6	2868 4 -	215723 10 6	20450 11 -	195272 15 6

Vizagapatam 29th November 1842. E. C. Jr. (signed)

10565. Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Sir

Ordered to the Court again in Rajahmundry

20–21

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 2nd Current and enclosing an Estimate of the Expenses incurred in connection with the Establishment of the Police Guard at the Court House during the Sessions

22

Subject to what the Collector may report, after having personally to the notice of Government the breach of the Rules of Establishment of the Police Force by the Police Guard on duty at the Court House on the 10th Instant

Proceedings dated 15th Dec. 1842 No. 10

Ordered that the Collector be directed to report whether the Guard was furnished from the Detachment stationed at Rajahmundry or from the Detachment at Samulcottah

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 2nd Instant and enclosing Estimate of the Expenses incurred in connection with the Establishment of the Police Guard at the Court House during the Sessions

Ordered that the foregoing Estimate be returned to the Collector with the following Minute

Proceedings dated 15th Dec. 1842 No. 11

Resolved that the Collector be informed that the Charges of the Establishment may be defrayed from the Contingencies of the Collectorate

23

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 5th Instant with Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 5th December 1842.

24

10566 Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 5th December 1842.

1	2 Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	3 Quantity already exported up to 5th December 1842.	4 Quantity remaining to be exported.	5 Quantity actually in Store and ready for exportation	Remarks.
	Bags /	Bags /	Bags /	Bags /	
Coringa	40,000 "	34,240 "	5760 "	5760 "	Sold but a Vessel while receiving a part of this Salt was driven out to Sea and dismasted, this quantity therefore as well as some small portions which other Vessels could not receive on board, will be exported at the end of December.

Rajahmundry Collector's Office, 7th December 1842

E. C. J. (Signed) G. A. Smith

Collector.

Read the following Statements

67 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of white Salt in store and ready for Exportation on account the Calcutta Indent for 1841/42 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 1st November 1842.-

(25)

Names of Ports.	North Latitude.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation.	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under Estimated Heaps.	Remarks.
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Bags.	Bags.	Bags.	Bags.	Bags.	
Estapully	14 44	90,000	89,763	237	237		
Gogoolapully	14 39	40,000	15,104	24,896	24,896		
Vareney	14 37	20,000	16,543	3,457	3,457		
	Total	150,000	121,410	28,590	28,590		

Nellore, Princl. Coll.a Cutcherry 7th December 1842.

C. C. J. (Signed) J. T. Stonhouse. Princl. Coll.r

1568 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Statement of Salt Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Mur Kanum in the Southern Division of Arcot up to 3d December 1842.-

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation.	Remarks.
Bengal Maunds 200000	Bengal Maunds "	Bengal Maunds 200,000	Bengal Maunds 200,000	

(26)

Cuddalore Collr.a Cutcherry 7th December 1842.

(Signed) C. H. Hallett Collector

Mur Kanum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of vessels in making the place, a temporary Flag Staff will be erected on the beach and the union Jack kept flying from Sun rise to Sun set.-

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore superscribed "Calcutta Salt Export" and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of vessels at Mur Kanum may be stated.-

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collector's Cutcherry or to the Tahsildar at Mur Kanum.-

(Signed) C. H. Hallett Collector.

(24-27) Order on the three foregoing Statements.- Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette. Read.

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Read the following letter.

To J. D Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

Fort St. George

From A. Hathaway Esqre
Sy. Ho. asst. in charge of Guntoor
Submitting report on the Extra Sources of revenue for fusly 1251.

28

Para 1 I have the honor to submit the Report for fusly 1251 on the Extra Sources of Revenue together with the following Statements.

1 Comparative Statement between fuslies 1249, 1250 and 1251.

2 Statement of Demands, Collections & Balances for fuslies 1250 and 1251.

3 Statement shewing the arrears.

4 Statement of Extra Collections.

5 Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold in fusly 1251, together with the Number of Rawanahs issued for its transportation to the Inland districts.

6 Statement shewing the quantity of Salt manufactured and the amount of Salt sold for fusly 1251 in Comparison with fusly 1250.

2 The following Statement exhibits a comparative view of the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue for fus-

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

No.	Items	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
1	Salt	291939 12 1	303620 10 10	11680 14 9	.. .. ..
2	Sayer	64385 3 6	56330 9 4	.. .. ..	8054 10 2
3	Sea Customs	24577 8	29787 3	5210 15 7	.. .. ..
4	Abkarry	128897 ..	136811 ..	821 4 ..	.. .. ..
5	Sundry small farms	9712 9 3	9751 15 3	39 6 ..	.. .. ..
6	Moturpha	39134 .. 7	39034 14 6	.. .. ..	99 2 1
7	Stamps	14398 3 11	7023 15 4	.. .. ..	7374 4 7
		434836 12 ..	443242 3 6	13062 8 4	15528 .. 10
	Deduct increase			13062 8 4	
	Net decrease				2465 8 6

Salt. Increase Rs 11680-14-9

3 The Salt Revenue in fusly 1251 exhibits an Increase of Rupees 11680-14-9 which is composed of an Increase of Rupees 6729-9-.. on account of Sales for home consumption, and Rupees 4951-5-9 on account of Salt sold for exportation. The particulars are shewn in the following Comparative Statement.

Fuslies.

16571 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Salt Revenue

Years	Home Consumption	Exportation	Particulars of Cols. 2 and 3. Consumption in this Zillah	Consumption in other places	Total	Revenue	Cesses	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1250	84,762 8 1	20,177 4 "	71,018 8 3	22,921 3 10	291,939 12 1	1658 12 2	293598 8 3	
1251	91,492 1 1	21,128 9 9	77,762 12 4	22,585 14 6	303,620 10 10	595 4 "	304,215 14 10	
Increase	6,729 9 "	951 5 9	6,744 4 1	936 10 8	11,680 14 9	" " "	11,680 14 9	
Decrease	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1063 8 2	1063 8 2	
Net increase	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10617 6 7	

1572.

Statement of Receipts & Disbursements for the Year 1842.

Zillah Cuddapah

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith a statement of the receipts and disbursements of the Cuddapah Collectorate for the year 1842.

Cuddapah District Office 31st December 1842.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) [illegible]
Collector

To
The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Observations by the Collector.

Increase Rupees 6,729-9-0 on the Land Revenue and Rupees 951-5-9 on Sayer during the year under Review, both of which are to be attributed to the favorable Season we have enjoyed, the Rains having been seasonable during the greater part of the year.

The Sales of Salt to Contractors exceed the Issues to Retailers by Rupees 4,951-5-9 which is accounted for by the Retailers having purchased a large Stock in the preceding year, in consequence of the Rates having been reduced.

The Increase of Rupees 936-10-8 on the Abkaree Revenue, is owing to the Rent of the Toddy Farms having been raised.

The Sales of Stamps have increased Rupees 1,680-14-9 owing to the Stamp Duties having been extended to Bonds, Deeds of Sale, Mortgages, Leases, Receipts &c. &c. which were not liable to Stamp Duty previous to the 1st July 1842.

The Decrease of Rupees 1063-8-2 on the Cesses is owing to the Remissions granted on account of the Failure of the Crops in 1839 and 1840.

The Increase of the Cultivated Land is owing to the favorable Season we have enjoyed.

Rupees	
Land Revenue	74,557-5-10.
Sayer	8,276-2-2
Cesses	1063-8-2
Total Receipts	83,896-2-1
Charges	15,557-7-3
Net Proceeds	68,338-14-10

Collector's Office 31st December 1842.

Brought over - - - - - - 98390 2 1

Decrease -

Sundahs which came to the Guntoor Cottars in fusly 1250 but proceeded to those of Nellore in fusly 1251 Rs. 15498 5 6.

D°. D°. D°. to Masulipatam Cottars in fusly 1251 - - - } 13539 3 10

D°. D°. D°. to Nundyal in fusly 1251 - - - - - - - - } 1663 13 7

From Sundahs which came to Guntoor Cottars in fusly 1250 but employed in other trade in fusly 1251 and from the old Sundahs taking less than in fusly 1250 - - - - } 47737 5 5

93438 12 4

Net Increase - - 4951 5 9

6 The last report from this office, having accounted for the de=crease in the sales of Salt in fusly 1250 by the circumstance of the Sundahs which had come to Guntoor in fusly 1249 having proceeded to the Nellore and Masulipatam Cottars,

+ 25th February 1841 - the Minutes of Consultation received thereupon

@ 3d March 1842 - with the Board's Proceedings declare that the sales of Salt in that fusly had exhibited simi=lar decrease in the neighbouring districts named too and thus direct[illegible]

be ascertained - It will be seen from the margin that the sales of Salt in this District had succeesively exhibited

Fusly 1246	238243 - 10 - 10 -	considerable increase the four
" 1247	292487 - " - 3 -	years before fusly 1250 - From
" 1248	338780 - 15 - 4 -	this circumstance, and from
" 1249	353955 - " - 7 -	the information procured a
" 1250	291939 - 12 - 1 -	from some of the Lambadies since,
" 1251	303620 - 10 - 10 -	it appears advisable to observe en

here that the supplies which the stores of the Hydrabad sowcars received during those years had left on the end of fusly 1249 such large quanti=ties in them, as to lessen the demand for supplies in fusly 1250, and that some of the Sundahs were unable=to undertake the journey for the purchase of the article, owing to a decrease which attacked their bullocks.-

7 In the Extra Revenue there is a decrease of Rupees 1063-8-2 arising from the recovery on account of advances for the manufacture of Salt being less, in conse=quence of the storing not having been com=pleted before the close of the fusly.-

8 The following Statement exhibits the Sayer Revenue for fusly 1251 in comparison with fusly 1250.- Articles

Sayer

Decrease 52180 5 4 - 10 2

16575 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Articles.	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease
Exports.				
Salt Petre, Lamp Oil seeds, Iron, Snuff &c. Gruff goods	13003 4 7	10,875 2 10	— — —	2128 1 9
Cloths and Combalies	32044 5 8	27,362 3 9	— — —	4682 1 11
Tobacco	14,563 11 3	13,742 2 3	— — —	821 9 —
Betel	2915 9 6	2770 12 4	— — —	144 13 1
Ganjah	241 13 —	168 4 3	— — —	73 8 9
Bhang	15 11 2	12 3 1	— — —	3 8 1
Grains	62 1 7	46 8 —	— — —	15 9 7
Cotton and thread	589 6 4	405 9 11	— — —	183 12 5
Poll tax	126 2 10	100 5 6	— — —	25 13 4
Total	69562 1 10	55,483 3 11	— — —	8078 13 11
Imports.				
Lamp Oil Seeds, Dying barks, Chay root, &c. Gruff goods	470 — 3	463 — 1	— — —	7 — 2
Cloths and Combalies	220 1 1	269 8 5	49 7 4	— — —
Tobacco	— 4 7	1 4 9	1 — 2	— — —
Ganjah	1 4 —	1 4 —	— — —	— — —
Poll tax	131 7 9	112 4 2	— — —	19 3 7
Total	823 1 8	847 5 5	50 7 6	26 3 9
Grand Total	64,385 3 6	56,330 9 4	50 7 6	8,105 1 8
			Deduct the increase —	50 7 6
			Net decrease —	8,054 10 2

On account of Extra Revenue —

Fasly 1250 —	78 9 —
— 1251 —	18 7 2
Net decrease —	60 1 10

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Exports-

Decrease Rs 8078-13-11

In the exports, there is a decrease of Rupees 8078-13-11, occasioned chiefly by a falling off under the heads of cloths, and Tobacco, and by a decrease under the head of Gruff goods exhibited mostly by the articles of chayroot and castor Oil seed, notwithstanding an increase, arising chiefly from the exports of Snuff, Ghee, Gingely oil seed, Salt Petre, and Tamarind. In accounting for the decrease in the cloths it is to be stated that an European Merchant of Madras accustomed to have dying cloths manufactured at Cuntapollem ceased doing so the year under review, in consequence of some dissatisfactions between his agents and himself while the consumption of the same in this Zillah likewise fell short. The falling off in Tobacco is in its exportation to Hydrabad. The decrease in Chayroot is occasioned by its not being required to the usual extent in the Districts to which it was customarily conveyed; and by a less demand for dying cloths in this Zillah likewise as above shewn. The cause of the falling off in the exportation of castor oil seeds arises from the limited produce of

Decrease in cloths		4682-1-11	
in Tobacco		821-9-4	
Gruff goods-			
Decrease			
Chayroot	2472-13-		
Castor oil Seed	697-8-11		
Sundry items	77-3-3	3246-13-5	
Deduct increase-			
Snuff	449-1-4		
Ghee	236-8-7		
Gingely oil seed	191-9-4		
Salt Petre	131-12-1		
Tamarind	69-15-7		
Sundry items	39-12-9	1118-11-8	
Net decrease		2128-1-9	

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

of the same during the preceding fasly as to the items composing the increase of Rupees 1118-11-8 under the head of gruff goods, it is to be stated that the exportation of Snuff always fluctuates in proportion to the quantity becoming fit for use and the rains of the year under review which proved favorable in certain parts to the crops of Gingely Oil seed and grazing lands have caused the Increase in that Article and in Ghee, while Salt Petre and Tamarind exhibit an increase, the former from the Increased purchases made by the house of Messrs Ouchterlony and Co. and the latter from what has been exported of the produce of the preceding fasly-

Betel.

10 There is a Decrease of Rupees 1114-13-1 in Betel occasioned by some of the old Gardens having been destroyed owing to the leaf becoming useless.

11 Gungah and Bang which had arisen in the Auction of the preceding Year, returned to their former limit and therefore exhibit a Decrease-

12 The other Items under the Head of exports being ordinary fluctuations do not require particular remark.

Imports.
Increase 50-7-6
Decrease 26-3-9
Net Increase 24-3-9

13 In the Imports there is a net Increase of Rupees 24-3-9. These Items do not call for particular remark being no more than ordinary fluctuations.

14. There is a decrease of Rs 60-1-10 in the extra Revenue which always fluctuates.

Sea Customs-
Increase Rs 520-15-7

15. The following comparative Statement shews the Revenue from Sea Customs for fasles 1250 & 1251 exhibiting a Net increase of Rs 520-15-7 in the latter year.

Names

16578

March Sessions 1842

	March 1250								June 1251							
Assessment of Estate																
Estate	31	14	9	40	8	9	8	10	3	20	2	6				
Executors	37	7	10	41	7	7	3	16	2							
Estate	2400	13	3	2786	4	11	1118	9	11	734	6	3				
Undertaker																
Executors &c	32	9	2	184	13	3	152	4	1							
Collector	24	1	3	8	9	1				15	8	2				
State	56	10	6	193	6	4	167	4	1	15	8	2				
General State	2457	7	8	2978	7	3	1270	14	7	749	14	5				
School Masters										749	14	5				
Ms Masters										370	15	7				
Assessment of Estate Various																
										320	8	7				
June 1250										20	2	6				
" 1251										270	5	6				
Balance	918	7	10	1208	14		289	12	6							
Bills	539	6	9	1117	4	4	377	10	7							
Bonds	818	6	5	88	12	7				729	9	10				
Cattle	16	15	3	36	10	2	39	10	11							
Chaises	10		8	5	4	3				4	12	6				
Collection of Debts	88	1	9	126	13	6	198	11	9							

Exports
Increase Rs. 1118-9-11
Decrease 734-6-3
Net Increase 384-3-8

16. In the exports there is a net Increase of Rupees 384-3-8. The Principal items composing the Gross Increase are Lamp Oil Seeds, Pulse, and Coriander Seed exported to Madras, and the cause of the Decrease arises from no grain being exported to the District of Rajahmundry as had been done the preceding year. The other Items under the head of exports are no more than ordinary variations.

Imports
Increase 152-4-1
Decrease 15-8-2
Net Increase 136-11-11

17. The Increase in the Imports is Rupees 152-4-1 arising from an increased import of Cocoanuts &c. from Maldives, while the Decrease of Rupees 15-8-2 is occasioned in the materials Imported.

18. In the Extra Revenue there is a Decrease of Rupees 270-5-6 a quantity of Opium was washed ashore the preceding Fusly, while no accident of this nature occurred the year under review.

Abkary
Increase 821-4-0

19. The Abkary Revenue exhibits an Increase of Rupees 821-4-0 and the cause of it arises chiefly from the cheapness of Grains. The Settlement was made in detail for each station, and the whole was

Dowle for Fusly 1251-3 13680-11-0
Collections within the Fusly 13574-11-1
Balance 105-15-11
Collections Subsequently up to 18th Ring 105-15-11
" " "

was under rent. The Dowle or aggregate of rents for Fusly 1251 was Rupees 13680-11-0 The Collections within the Fusly amounted to Rupees 13574-11-1 leaving a balance of Rupees 105-15-11 at the close of the Fusly. and which have likewise been subsequently collected.

20. The arrears at the commencement of Fusly 1251 amounted to Rupees 161-1-7. Of this, Rupees 145-1-7 were collected in the course of the Fusly, leaving a balance of Rupees 16-0-0 distributed as follows.

	Rs	a	p
Fusly 1248	15	6	0
" 1249	0	10	0
	16	0	0

Fusly 1250. 171-9-5
" 1251. 127-13-5
Decrease 43-12-0

21. The Extra Revenue under this head exhibits a Decrease of Rupees 43-12-0 arising chiefly from a diminished sale of Palmyra Trees.

Sundry small farms and Licenses.
Increase 39-6-0

22. The Revenue from Sundry Small Farms and Licensed exhibits an Increase of Rupees 39-6-0 but this requires no remark being inconsiderable on the whole. The Dowle is

Fort St George 12th December 1842.

is Rupees 9751-15-3 which is as follows:

Licenses for drawing Toddy from Palmira and Date Trees	6455-14-"
Boatparosky	250-1-"
Rent of Young Date trees the twigs being used in making baskets	509-10-"
Rent of Palmira trees yielding fruit	452-4-"
Do Tamarind trees &c	156-4-"
Dursee and Cocherla cottah Rufoomes	1927-14-3
	9751-15-3

23. The collections within the Fusly amounted to Rupees 9342-13- the sum of Rupees 263-14-" has been subsequently collected upto 15th August 1842 leaving a balance of Rupees 145-4-3 which likewise is in a course of realizations.

24. The arrears at the commencement of fusly 1251 amounted to Rupees 737-3-4 of this a Rupees 534-15-7 were realized within the fusly a further sum of Rupees 2-"-" has been collected upto 15th August 1842.

The balance outstanding is composed as follows.

Fusly 1248	179-15-9
" 1249	9-4-"
" 1250	11-"-".
	200-3-9.

The

Fort St George 12th December 1842.

Fusly 1250. 17-9-11
" 1251. 11-12-9
" 13-2

Moturfha Decrease 99-2-1

The extra Revenue shews a decrease of Rupees "-13-2 requiring no remark.

26. The Moturfha Revenue exhibits a Decrease of Rupees 99-2-1 The following Statement shews the revenue from this source for Fusly 1251 in comparison with Fusly 1250.

No	Items	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
1	Shops	8355 1 5	8311 12 10	" "	43 4 7
2	Looms	13289 " 10	13631 2 1	342 1 3	" "
3	Sheep	10841 12 4	10220 10 11	" "	619 1 5
4	Cattle	659 14 "	685 4 "	25 6 "	" "
5	Sundry Castes	5988 4 "	6184 " 8	195 12 8	" "
	Total	39134 " 7	39034 14 6	563 3 11	662 6 "
			Deduct Increase	563 3 11	
			Net Decrease	99 2 1	

The

27. The Decrease of Rupees 619-1-5. under the head of Sheep arises from some of the payers who had discharged the preceding year their entire tax at the usual rate of 6 Rupees per 100 before the reduction of the same to Rupees 3-12-". under the orders of Government conveyed in Minutes of Consultation. The trifling decrease of Rupees 43-4-7. under the head of Shops is occasioned by deaths and emigration. The increase of Rupees 563-3-11. under the other heads arises from a generally improved state of the District.

23rd March 1841.

Collection. 28. The Collections within the fusly amounted to Rupees 34,025-10-4 a further sum of Rupees 2,859-4-7. has been collected upto 15th August 1842. and

and the remainder is in a course of realization.

29. The arrears at the commencement of Fusly 1251. were Rupees 8,017-14-1. of this sum Rupees 7,291-1-1 were collected within the fusly and Rupees 35-6-3 subsequently to the 15th August 1842 leaving a balance of Rupees 691-6-9. distributed as follows.

Fusly	1250	398-9-9.
"	1249	84-13-8.
"	1248.	119-"-9.
"	1247	74-14-5.
"	1246	14-"-2.
		691-6-9.

30. In the extra Revenue there is a Decrease of Rupees 40-3-9. but this requires no remark.

Fusly	1250. Rs	40-3-9.
"	1251	"-"-".
Decrease	"	40-3-9.

Stamps Decrease 7374-4-7.

31. In the Stamp Revenue, a Decrease of Rupees 7374-4-7. there is. The particulars are as follows.

Names.

16585.

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Name of Finlaw.

	Stamp duty on account of Sunder Pymaish Suits	Sale proceeds of Stamps on account of Pymaish Suits	Total.
Canjee	332 8 ½	121 14 8	453 9 ½
Toyer	212 8 ½	114 5 7	326 14 ¼
Per deedo.	150 ½	7 9 1	157 9 1
1250	695 5 ½	243 9 4	939 3 11
Canjee	426	9880	4250
Toyer	106	2987	3705
Per deedo.	2 15 ½	6673	571 15 ½
1257	534 15 ½	19540	20074 15 ½
Increase			19134 12 1
Decrease			939 3 11

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

16586.

32. The cause of the Decrease under Money paper, has arisen chiefly from the circumstances of Rajah Vasoreddy Ramanadababoo Zemindar having purchased the preceding year papers valued at Rupees 5809 on account of suits before the Sudr Udalut while no similar purchase has occurred the year under review. The Decrease of Rupees 541-15 ½ under the head of Rowanmahs arises from the falling off in Sayer Collections and from the discontinuance of the practice of compelling every person purchasing goods for consumption within the Zillah to take a Rowanmah not having been commenced until after a part of the preceding fusly while it has taken its effect through the whole year under review.

33. The particulars of Stamps sold during fusslies 1250 and 1257 with the Increase and Decrease in each description are shewn in the following Statement.

Description

1587

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Description of Stamps	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease.
Of 4 Annas Value	77 8 -	62 - -	- - -	15 8 -
Do 8 Annas Do	907 - -	705 - -	- - -	202 - -
Do 1 Rupee Do	1460 - -	1052 - -	- - -	408 - -
Do 2 Do Do	182 - -	120 - -	- - -	62 - -
Do 4 Do Do	320 - -	164 - -	- - -	156 - -
Do 8 Do Do	224 - -	192 - -	- - -	32 - -
Do 16 Do Do	352 - -	96 - -	- - -	256 - -
Do 32 Do Do	224 - -	192 - -	- - -	32 - -
Do 50 Do Do	200 - -	150 - -	- - -	50 - -
Do 100 Do Do	200 - -	200 - -	- - -	- - -
Do 350 Do Do	700 - -	- - -	- - -	700 - -
Do 750 Do Do	750 - -	- - -	- - -	750 - -
Do 1000 Do Do	4,000 - -	- - -	- - -	4,000 - -
Total	9596 8 -	2933 - -	- - -	6663 8 -
Europe paper for decrees				
Of 8 annas Value	10 8 -	6 - -	- - -	4 8 -
Do 1 Rupee Do	53 - -	48 - -	- - -	5 - -
Total	63 8 -	54 - -	- - -	9 8 -
Europe Stamps paper				
Of 4 Annas Value	1 4 -	1 - -	- - -	- 4 -
Do 8 Do Do	3 - 4	- 8 -	- - -	2 8 -
Fees collected in excess	- 5 6	- 2 6	- - -	- 3 -
Total	4 9 6	1 10 6	- - -	2 15 -
Penalties	352 8 -	202 8 -	- - -	150 - -
On account of Pauper Suit	121 14 8	114 5 7	- - -	7 9 1
Total	474 6 8	316 13 7	- - -	157 9 1
Grand Total of Stamps	10,139 - 2	3,305 8 1	- - -	6833 8 1.
Of Sayer & Sea Custom Rowannahs	4250 5 -	3,708 6 -	- - -	541 15 -
Moffee Do	8 14 9	10 1 3	1 2 6	- - -
Total	4,259 3 9	3,718 7 3	1 2 6	541 15 -
Grand Total including Stamps	14,398 3 11	7,023 15 4	1 2 6	7,375 7 1
			Deduct Increase	1 2 6.
			Net Decrease	7,374 4 7.

The

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

34. The charges on collections in each branch of the Extra Sources of Revenue are shewn in the following Comparative Statement—

Items	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
Salt	43746 1 11	34255 " 11	" " "	9491 1 "
Sayer	13619 5 11	13675 14 2	56 8 2	" " "
Sea Customs	504 " "	523 5 9	19 5 9	" " "
Albarry	341 " 1	348 5 7	7 5 6	" " "
Sundry Small Farms	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Malurpha	3,322 5 7	3,254 7 2	" " "	67 14 5
Stamps	714 5 9	391 15 3	" " "	322 6 6
Total	62,247 3 3	52,449 " 10	83 3 6	9,881 5 11
Deduct Increase				83 3 6
Net Decrease				9798 2 5

The

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Salt charges.
Decrease 10460-7-10
Increase 969-6-10
Net Decrease 9491-1-"

35. The Decrease in the Salt charges is composed of a gross Decrease of Rupees 10,460-7-10. and a gross Increase of Rupees 969-6-10. The principal items composing the Decrease are Rupees 9,748-10-10. Share paid to the Manufacturers, from a less quantity of Salt being received into store during the period in question, Rupees 551-4-9. on account of advances for Manufacturing Salt, no Manufacture having been carried on in the Nizampatam Cottaur in fusly 1251 and Rupees 80-6-"- on account of repairs of the Cutcherry houses.

36. The principal items of Increase are Rupees 478-15-8. on account of repairs of flat forms arising chiefly from the construction of a new flat form with its compound Vc and from an old one being lengthened in the Cottar of Chinna Gayam Rupees 105-4-2 on account of covering Salt heaps arising from the amount of hire for the conveyance of grass during the last year, having been debited during the period under review Rupees 201-6-5 on account of charges of measurement arising from the discontinuance of the practice of selling the Salt on heaps as directed by the Board, and Rupees 127-4-"- on account of the Establishment of Servants arising from

† 8th April 1841.

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

from the Peons entertained for the new plat form.

Sayer Charges

Increase.
Construction of a Cutchery House ——— 128-3-3.
Batta to Servants on Circuit ——— 6-0-0.
Repairs of huts ——— 5-9-0. 139-12-3.

Deduct Decrease.
Establishment of Servants ——— 42-12-9.
Sadarwarch ——— 40-7-3. 83-4-0.

Net Increase ——— 56-8-3.

of Rupees 83-4-0 arising chiefly from vacant situations and from Sadarwarch correspondence on a Decrease in the Collections.

Sea Customs Charges 38.
Increase Rs 19-5-9.

The Increase of Rupees 19-5-9 in the Sea Custom charges arises from the re[open]ing of the Cutchery at Cheerpollium.

Mofussil Charges 39.
Increase Rs 7-5-6.

The little Increase in the Mofussil charges arises from the expense of sowing Palmera Seeds.

Moturpha 40
Decrease in Establishment 71-10-5
Increase in Extra Charges 3-12-0
Net Decrease 67-14-5

The Net Decrease of Rupees 67-14-5 in the Moturpha charges is occasioned chiefly by vacant situations.

Stamp Charges 41.
Decrease — 366-4-9.
Increase — 143-11-3.
Net Decrease 322-6-6

The Decrease of Rupees 322-6-6 in the Commission on Stamps is owing to the Decreased Sales, and the Increase of Rupees 143-11-3 arises from the return of Stamps to the parties in some suits as desired by the Assistant Judge.

42. With reference to the details with Mr Goldingham goes on the concluding

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

the last Report on the Extra Sources of a tax termed Boatpoorastish levied in the District from several Castes as a branch under the general Head of Moturpha and from Toddy drawers under the head of Sundry Small Farms I beg to state that the orders of Government passed on the subject

25th Feby 1842. in Para 7 of the Minutes of Consultation received with the Board's

3rd March 1842. Proceedings have been acted on from the commencement of the present Fusly. The details of this arrangement will be reported hereafter

Guntoor Zillah,
Coll[r] Circuit Cutchery,
Narsarowpettah,
5th December 1842.

Signed A. Hathaway
Actg 3rd Asst Coll
in Charge

Statement.

| | | |

| | | |

16593 Fort St. George December

No. 2. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under the Demand Collection and

	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Collections to 11th June 1842	Extraordinary Collections	Increase Decrease	Total Demand on account old arrears the close Fusly 1250	Demand	Collection	Balance	Balance
			Fusly 1250			Fusly 1246			
1	2	3	4	5	16	1	2	3	4	18
	C Rs a p	C Rs a	C Rs a p		C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
Salt	29,939 12 1	29,939 12	1658 12 2	2,80						
Sayer	6,385 3 6	6,385 3	789	6	8054 10 2					
Sea Customs	2,457 7 8	2,457 7	320 8	20						
Abkarry	12,859 7	12,718 5	171 9 5	156		161 1 7				7 16
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	9,712 9 3	9,223 14	12 9 11	18		737 3 4	18 11 7	18 11 7		7 202 3 9
Stamps	14,398 3 11	14,398 3		1	7,374 4 7					
Mosturpha	39,134 7	31,785 4	40 3 9	340		717 14 1	25 4 8	11 2 6	14 2 2	1 726 13
Total Company's Rupees	4,34,886 12	4,26,908 3	2,282 4 3	417	15,428 14 9	1,616 3	44 3	29 14 1	14 2 2	3 945 9

Guntoor Zillah, Collector Cutcherry, [illegible] charge.

Statement

Collectors Cutcherry Narsarow

Account

16593

Fort St. George December 1842

No. 2. Statement shewing the Demand Collection & Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the Zillah of Gun

	Fasly 1250						Fasly 1251				
	Settlement of Fasly 1250	Collections to 11th July 1841	Extraordinary Extra Collections	Total Collections of Fasly 1250	Balance of Fasly 1250 on the 12th July 1841	Settlement of Fasly 1251	Collections to 11th July 1842.	Extraordinary Extra Collections	Total Collections of Fasly 1251	Balance Current Rent on July
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	C
Salt	29,939 12 1	29,939 12				30,362 10 12	30,362 10 10	595 4	30,42,15 14 10	
Sayer	64,385 3 6	64,385 3	1,658 12 2	29,598 8 3	- - -	56,330 9 4	56,330 9 4	18 7 2	56,349 - 6	
Sea Customs	2,457 7 8	2,457 7	789 -	64,463 12 6	- - -	2,978 7 3	2,978 7 3	50 2 6	3,028 9 9	
Abkarry	12,859 7 -	12,718 5	320 8 -	2,777 15 8	- - -	13,680 11 -	13,574 11 1	127 13 5	13,702 8 6	
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	9,712 9 3	9,223 14	171 9 5	12,889 14 10	141 1 7	9,751 15 3	9,342 13 -	11 12 9	9,354 9 9	
Stamps	14,398 3 11	14,398 3	12 9 11	9,236 8 7	488 10 7	7,023 15 4	7,023 15 4	- - -	7,023 15 4	
Moturpha	39,134 - 7	31,785 4	- - -	14,398 3 11	- - -	34,025 10 4	34,025 10 4	- - -	34,025 10 4	
Total Company's Rupees	4,34,886 12 -	4,26,908 3	40 3 9	31,825 8 5	7,348 11 11	39,034 14 6	34,025 10 4	- - -	34,025 10 4	5,
			2,282 4 3	4,29,190 8 2	7,978 8 1	4,32,421 3 6	4,26,896 13 2	803 7 10	4,27,700 5 -	5,

Guntoor Zillah, Collector Cutcherry, Narsarowpettah, 5th December 1842 1842 EE

tor for Fusslies 1250 and 1251.—

ance of rent— enue the 12th 1842	Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250		Comparison Collection of Fusly 1251 of Fusly	
	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
12	13	14	15	16
CRs a ⊙	CRs a ⊙	CRs a ⊙	CRs	CRs a ⊙
- - -	11,680 14 9	- - -	11,681	- - -
- - -	- - -	8,054 10 2	8,054	10 2
- - -	520 15 7	- - -	520	- - -
35 15 11	821 4 -	- - -	856	- - -
09 2 3	39 6 -	- - -	618	- - -
- - -	- - -	7,374 4 7	7,374	4 7
99 4 2	- - -	99 2 1	2,220	- - -
24 6 4	13,062 8 4	15,528 - 10	15,417	15,428 14 9

for (Signed) A Hathaway
A: H: Asst Collr charge.
Statement

Fort St George

Extra Revenue due on account

	Fusly 1248			
	mand	Collection	Balance	Demand
	9	10	11	
	CRs a ⊙	CRs a ⊙	CRs a ⊙	CRs a ⊙
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

16593 Fort St. Georg[illegible] C[illegible]

N:2. Statement shewing the Demand Collection [illegible]

2	Settlement of Fusly 1250			Collection to 11th Ju[illegible] 18[illegible]			Extra C[illegible]		
	3			4					
	C[illegible]	a	c	C[illegible]	a				
Salt	29,939	12	1	29,939	12				
Sayer	6[illegible],385	3	6	6[illegible],385	3				
Sea Customs	2[illegible]57	7	8	2[illegible]57	7				
Abkarry	12,859	7	-	12,718	5				
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	9712	9	3	9,223	14				
Stamps	14,398	3	11	14,398	3				
Moturpha	39,134	-	7	31,785	4				
Total Company's Rupees	4[illegible],886	12	-	4,26,908	3		2[illegible]		

Guntoor Zillah, Collector Cutcherry.

16594

N:3. Sent shewing the Demand Collection and [illegible]

Fusly 1246 . Col[illegible] and [illegible] at [illegible] Balance in 1251.

Numbers		Total Demand on account of old arrears at the close of Fusly 1250	Demands	Collection	Balances		Balance
		1	2	3	4		18
		C[illegible] a c	C[illegible] a c	C[illegible] a c	C[illegible] a c	- c	C[illegible] a c
1	Salt						
2	Sayer						
3	Sea Cu[illegible]						
4	Abkarry	161 1 7				7	16 - -
5	Sundry Farms and Licenses	737 3 4	18 11 7	18 11 7		7	202 3 9
6	Stamp						
7	Motarpha	8017 14 1	25 4 8	11 2 6	14 2 2 1		726 13 -
Companys Rupees		8916 3 -	44 - 3	29 14 1	14 2 2 3		945 - 9

Guntoor Collectors Cutcherry Narsarow

Account

Fort St George

Balance of Arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of former Fuslies for Fusly 1251.—

12th December 1842

Fusly 1247			Fusly 1248			Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250			Total Collections of old arrears in Fusly 1251	Balance
Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance				
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o
			156.		156.	4 10							
			220 9 2	389 5	181 15 9	94.							
108 27	32 12 2	75 6 5	240 13 6	121 7 6	119 12.	294 7 5							
108 27	32 12 2	75 6 5	477 28	160 - 11	317 1 9	308 5 5							
									14 1 7	14 1 7		14 5 1 7	16 - -
									488 10 7	477 10 7	11 - -	534 15 7	202 3 9
							207 27	87 4 10	7,348 11 11	6,918 8 4	430 3 7	7,291 1 1	726 13 -
							211 27	97 2 10	7,978 8 1	7,537 4 6	441 3 7	7,971 2 3	945 - 9

wpettah 5th December 1842 E E fr (Signed) A. Hathaway.
Asst Collr in Charge

Account

16595 Fort St George 12th December 1842

No 4 Account particulars of Extra Collections under each head of the Extra branches of Revenue in the Zillah of Guntoor and the District of Palnaud for Fusly 1251.—

	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p
Salt Department			
Recoveries of advances for the manufacture of Salt for Fusly 1250			595 4 .
Sayer and Frontier Department			
Extra Revenue			
Excess Collections found in the Cash Box	. . 2 .		
Fines	12 . .		
Difference of Sayer duty on Chayroot and dying bark first entered improperly at a lower value and afterwards discovered and Collected	1 10 5		
Share on the Sale proceeds of Smuggled Goods due to the persons who seized them who are not now to be found	1 6 9		
		15 3 2	
Extra Charges refunded			
Sale proceeds of abolished Sayer Chaukey houses	2 4 .		
Sale proceeds of Doors of abolished Sayer Chaukey huts	1 . .	3 4 .	18 7 2
Sea Custom Department			
Extra Revenues			
Sale proceeds of Drift wood			50 2 6
Abkarry Department			
Extra Revenue			
Sale proceeds of Palmira Trees	74 11 .		
Do Do of Do leaves	43 2 6		
	5 12 7	123 9 6	
Fines		4 3 11	127 13 5
Interest Account			
Small Farms and Licensed			
Extra Revenue			
Tax levied on Palmira trees yielding fruits not included in the Dowle	4 12 .		
	. 14 .	5 10 .	
Excess Collections		6 2 9	11 12 9
Interest Account			
Total Company's Rupees Eight hundred and three annas seven and pice ten			803 7 10

Guntoor, Collector's Catcherry, ...
Narsarowpettah, 5th December 1842.

EE pr (Signed) A Hathaway
A. Sd. Asst. Coll. in Charge

Statement

Fort St. George

12th December 1842

d in Fusly 1251, together with the

Number of Rowanahs issued for its transportation to the Inland Districts

Ganjam Cottaur Sales to Sundry dealers				Total			Pedda Ganjam Cottaur Sales to Brinjarees			
Number of Rowanahs	Salt		Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	
6956	369	161	38787 4 4	6956	369	161 38787 4 4	"	"	"	"
3	1	25	111 9 "	3	1	25 111 9 "	"	"	"	"
240	8	338	928 11 10	240	8	338 928 11 10	"	"	"	"
115	10	157	1091 3 6	115	10	157 1091 3 6	"	"	"	"
7314	389	281	40918 12 8	7314	389	281 40918 12 8	"	"	"	"
41	38	395	4093 10 10	239	1479	1425 155332 12 5	70	541	108 56833 5 8	
7355	428	276	45012 7 6	7553	1869	25 196251 9 1	70	541	108 56833 5 8	

16596

Fort St. George

No. 5 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold in Fusly 1251, together with the

12th December 1842

Number of Rowanahs issued for its transportation to the Inland Districts

	Chicacole Ganjam Cottaur Sales to Brinjaries			Chicacole Ganjam Cottaur Sales to Sundry dealers			Total			Peddapore Ganjam Cottaur Sales to Brinjaries		
	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections
Guntoor Zillah	-	-	-	6956	369	161 38787 4 4	6956	369	161 38787 4 4	-	-	-
Masulipatam Do.	-	-	-	3	1	25 111 9 -	3	1	25 111 9 -	-	-	-
Nellore	-	-	-	240	8	338 928 11 10	240	8	338 928 11 10	-	-	-
Cuddapah	-	-	-	115	10	157 1091 3 6	115	10	157 1091 3 6	-	-	-
Total of Salt conveyed into the Company's Jurisdictions.	-	-	-	7314	389	281 20918 12 8	7314	389	281 20918 12 8	-	-	-
Hyderabad &c. or Nizam's Country	198	1440	149 15239 1 7	41		38 395 2093 10 10	239	1479	187 15632 12 5	70	541	108 56833 5 8
Grand Total	198	1440	149 15239 1 7	7355	428	276 23012 7 6	7553	1869	25 19625 9 1	70	541	108 56833 5 8

16597

Fort St George

exhibiting both the Home and Inland Consumption as well as the

Sales to Sundry dealers			Total			Sales to	
Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt
422	91 259	9622 15 9	422	91 259	9622 15 9	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
755	20 203	2153 4 9	755	20 203	2153 4 9	"	"
150	36 225	3839 1 1	150	36 225	3839 1 1	"	"
1327	148 287	15615 5 7	1327	148 287	15615 5 7	"	"
34	14 159	1511 11 9	124	855 267	58345 1 5	6	16 379
1361	163 46	17127 1 4	1431	704 152	73960 7 .	6	16 379

12th December 1842

Collections as also the route by which the Salt was Conveyed.-

Brinjaries				Nizampatam Cottaur Sales to Sundry dealers				Total			
	Collections			Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections		Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	
"	"	"	"	2725	161 171	16949 14	1	2725	161 171	16949 14	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	2725	161 171	16949 14	1	2725	161 171	16949 14	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	16 379	1779 7	10
1779	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1779	7	10	"	2725	161 171	16949 14	1	2731	178 150	18729 5	11

16598 Fort St George 12th December 1842

Sales to Brinjaries			Sales to Sundry dealers Pairlee			Cottaar Total			Sales to Brinjaries		
Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections	Number of Rowanahs	Salt	Collections
			1,534	117 82	12,306 8 7						
			1,534	117 82	12,306 8 7	1,534	117 82	12,306 8 7			
18	21 273	2,276 10 8				18	21 273	2,276 10 8	292	2,020 109	2,12,128 9 9
18	21 273	2,276 10 8	1,534	117 82	12,306 8 7	1,552	138 355	14,583 3 3	292	2,020 109	2,12,128 9 9

16599 Fort St George 12th December 1842

Grand Total

Sales to Sundry dealers						Total					
Number of Rowanahs	Salt		Collections			Number of Rowanahs	Salt		Collections		
11,637	739	273	77,666	10	9	11,637	739	273	77,666	10	9
3	1	25	111	9	-	3	1	25	111	9	-
995	29	141	3,082	-	7	995	29	141	3,082	-	7
265	46	382	4,930	4	7	265	46	382	4,930	4	7
12,900	817	21	85,790	8	11	12,900	817	21	85,790	8	11
75	53	154	5,605	6	7	367	2,073	263	21,734	-	4
12,975	870	175	91,395	15	6	13,267	2,890	284	3,03,524	9	3
Confiscated Salt		58-11½	15	6	7			58-11½			
Sale of Manufactured Salt sent for pattern		23-4	6	2	1			23-4			
Fines levied from men on Cattle		"	74	8	11			"	74	8	11
		870- 257-2½	91,492	1	1		2,890	366-3½	3,03,620	10	10

Collections

No 1. The Merchants convey Salt to the Guntoor District via Caddacoodooroo Suntaravoor Inkollos, Cowvoloor Ulloor Taddapally Chundavole Boupatla and — generally where it is in demand.-

No 2. The Merchants convey Salt to the Masulipatam Zillah via Cuddavacoodroo, Ventapollem, Paralah, Bruggum Cootoomarca Coocheepoody &c.-

No 3. The Merchants convey Salt to Nellore District via Woopvocondooroo Ammanamola, Chintayoom= =pally Gocanapoody Audepoody &c.-

No 4. The Merchants convey Salt to the Cuddapah District via Edoomoody, Doddoocoor Poojenda= =pally &c.-

No 5. The Merchants convey Salt to Hyderabad — country via Cuddacoodroo, Poheemavaram, Inkollos, Cowvoloor Nacricul Pedigural, Pondigul, Gotteemookhoola, and also by — Edoomoody, Doddoocoor, Poojunlapally, Com= =malpaud by Gandy Ganamalah Dorghy Cumbumpaud, Mullavaram Nakenambad as well as Baupatla, Woopputoor Mawitooroo, Narsarowpettah Atchemmapett, Chinta= =pally Maudepaud &c.-

Guntoor Zillah.
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
Narsarowpettah.
5th December 1842.

Signed A. Hathaway
Act.g Asst. Coll.r
in Charge
Statement

16600 Fort St. George

No. 6 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and the amount of Salt sold in each Cotaur or place of Manufacture on the 12th December 1842 and 18th of the Fusly 1250.

Fusly 1250 — Fusly 1251 — 1842

No.	Names of the Cotaurs or place of Manufacture	Quantity of Salt Manufactured		Quantity Sold		Rate per Garce	Quantity of Salt Manufactured		Quantity Sold		Rate per Garce	Value			
1		2		3		4	5		6		7		8	9	
		G	m	G	M	Cps	Cps	a p	G	m	G	m	Cps	Cps a p	
1	Chenna Ganjam	2,867	103	914	182	105	162264	4	735	376	1,869	25	105	196251 9 1	element
				662	185	100									
2	Pedda Ganjam	775	50	281	115	105	82535	3	1,060	198	704	154	105	73960 7 ,	settlement
				530	—	100									
3	Nuzampatam	-	-	185	145	105	23463	1 1	466	221	178	150	105	18729 5 11	however
				40	—	100									
4	Pairlee	298	39	167	390	105	23637	5 11	293	330	138	355	105	14,583 3 3	formu-
				60	—	100									
		3,949	192	2,841	217	-	291899	10 4	2,556	325	2,890	284	105	303524 9 3	lication
	Confiscated spontaneous Salt.	-	-	-	44-3½	-	11	10 -	-	-	-	58-11½	-	15 6 7	number
	Sale of Manufactured Salt sent for pattern	-	-	-	16-2	-	4	3 11	-	-	-	23-4	-	6 2 1	April
		3,949	192	2,841	277-5½	-	291915	8 3	2,556	325	2,890	366-3½	-	303546 1 11	8 showers
	Fines levied from Men and on Cattle &c.	-	-	-	-	-	24	3 10	-	-	-	-	-	74 8 11	were in-
	Total	3,949	192	2,841	277-5½	-	291939	12 1	2,556	325	2,890	366-3½	-	303620 10 10	the as
															cavily in
															th of
															few showers
															, wet and
															d previously
															villages it
															of the
															fly as
															from

16600

No 6

16601 Fort St George 12th December 1842.

in the Zillah of Guntoor in Fusly 1251 in Comparison with Fusly 1250.

No	Name	Quantity Increase	Quantity Decrease	Remarks
		10	11	
		G M S	G M S	
1	Chen	" " "	2,140 127 -	No 1. The Manufacture was decreased with reference to the Salt remaining in Store at the beginning of the Season.
2	Pedd	285 148 -	" " "	No 2 and 3. An increase was allowed in the Manufacture in consequence of the Small Stock on hand at the beginning of the Season.
3	Noza	466 221 -	" " "	No 4 Vide Remark No 1.
4	Pair	" " "	4 109 -	
		751 369 -	2,144 236 -	
	Conp	" " "	" " "	
	Sale	" " "	" " "	
	sent			
		751 369 -	2,144 236 -	
	Fine	" " "	" " "	
	and			
		751 369 -	2,144 236 -	

Guntoor Zillah.....
Coll^r Circuit Cutcherry
Narsarowpettah. 5th Dec^r 1842

(Signed) A Hathaway
A^t 4th A^st Coll^r
in Charge

28-29

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

16602 Fort St George 12th December 1842

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore dated 18th October 1841 reporting on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of his District for Fusly 1250.
P.D. 1840/41

30

(Here enter No^s)

Proceedings Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the Nellore District for Fusly 1250 P.D. 1840/41 Villages 602.

thereon. 1. The mode of Settlement was the same as in last year the Settlement of the Jagheer of Woodiagherry however is not included in this report and forms the subject of a separate communication. No change has occurred in the number of Villages.

2 No rain fell in April and May, from which period partial showers and scanty freshes in the Rivers were insufficient for the usual course of the Cultivation. The Monsoon set in heavily in October ceasing entirely in the Month of November with the exception of a few showers in January. The produce of such wet and dry lands as could be cultivated previously was short and in several Villages it entirely failed the harvest of the year was gathered chiefly from

from the third series of crops namely the Coda Crop in wet lands, and the Varagoo crop in dry lands. The dry lands are also to have yielded an average produce, and the outturn of the wet lands to have been about half that of an ordinary year. On the whole the Board concur with Mr Stonhouse in regarding the season as more unfavorable than in Fusly 1249 A.D. 1839/40. Notwithstanding the general obstacles to the cultivation of the early crops of the year the prices were lower than in the previous Fusly they varied more or less in different Talooks and they would no doubt have been found to vary still more in different Villages even of the same Talook. The Comparative prices for the whole District in

Fuslies 1249 and 1250 A.D. 1839/40 and 1840/41 do not differ considerably as will be observed in the following Memorandum

	Average prices of Wet Grain			Average prices of Dry Grain		
	Rupees	A	P	Rupees	A	P
Fusly 1249	21	3	3	20	1	7
" 1250	19	9	9	19	5	2
Difference per cent	7	8	3	3	13	9

3

	Wet Garrats	Dry G	Garden G	Jareeb G
Fusly 1249	39,577	74,112	4105	798
" 1250	39410	74,488	4,090	751
Difference	167	376	15	47

The marginal Statement exhibits in Abstract the total extent of land of all descriptions taken up at the commencement of Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 Compared with the previous Fusly.

4 Adverting to the decrease in the occupation of the Jareeb lands in the Principal Division only, comprising Tobacco, Sugar Cane, and Chellies Vegetables, of which seventeen Goertoos were thrown up, it seems necessary to observe that Sugar Cane Culture is no longer subject to Jareeb Assessment, but if cultivated in Jareeb lands, the Assessment should be levied as on land of the same quality and circumstances, under ordinary cultivation. The Board wish therefore to be informed how Sugar Cane Crops are charged and brought to account and request that Mr Stonhouse will submit a Statement shewing the former

To the Pt Coll. of Nellore 13th November 1837 & 2nd July 1838

former and present rates of assessing the
cultivated with this products

5

Para 11 There was a general fall
:ing off in the Wet cultivation throughout
District compared with Fusly 1249 which
Ad. 1839/40
ascribed by Mr Stonhouse to the greater
Para 13 unfavorableness of the season. Whilst in
Poonjah cultivation there was a small increase
both in the Principal and the Sub Collec
divisions. The state of the season, the Board
doubt not, was the primary cause of the
falling off in the wet Cultivation, but on
+ No 3 examination of the Statement in detail of
the Settlement of the Village of Mootaly
in the Suvapilly Talook, the difference in
the extent respectively of wet and dry lands
would seem to be referrible to other causes.

6.

This statement shews the
Poonjah cultivable land, exclusive of
Poorumboke and Enam to be --- Goorties
160
Cultivable land ---------- 11
Remaining Waste - 129.

Goorties

The Punjah Cultivable land exclusive of Poorumboke and Enams - } 137

The Cultivation ---------- 119

and waste only ---------- 18

It is seen from the statement that the
extent of cultivation of both Dry and Wet land in
this Village in Fusly 1250 is less than it was in Fusly
Ad. 1840/41
1249 but if so large a proportion
Ad. 1839/40

Fusly 1249-	Cultivation	Goorties Waste
Poonjah ---	19 ---	121
Punjah ---	127 ---	10.

of the Wet land could in such a
season be brought under cultiva:
:tion it appears to the Board
that a much greater extent of the dry
lands might also have been cultivated-
and it is to be inferred that the relinquish:
:ment of the dry land did not arise from
the causes above quoted and judging from
the detailed account of this Village, it would
seem that in determining the Inuta rates
of assessment they have been reduced in the
wet lands and raised in the dry lands, and that
this is the reason why the Ryots have
cultivated the one and have kept the other
waste

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

waste probably for pasturage. The Board do however resolve to call upon Mr Stenhouse for an explanation of the real causes of the abandonment of the dry lands which they notice and would be glad to receive from him a Statement shewing the details of the Cultivation of this Village for the last 10 years

7 The following is a comparison of the actual Cultivation of the two fuslies throughout the District.

	Fusly 1249 Extent	Fusly 1250 Extent	Increase	Decrease
Wet	32519	26481	"	6038
Dry	66017	66282	265	"
Garden	3824	3850	26	"
Jareet	696	688	"	8

Occupied -- 39410
Cultivated -- 26481
or 67 per cent

8. The cultivation of the wet lands did not exceed 67 per Cent of the Extent of land undertaken to be cultivated at the beginning of the fusly which is sufficiently accounted for by the want of irrigation for the Car and Pashanum crops in the dry grain land and Gardens. there was an Increase

Fort St. George 12th Decem. 1842.

of Cultivation. In the Jareeb culture there was on the whole, a small decrease

	Gross settlement including ready money Collections	
Fusly 1249 --	1601332	1 11
1250 --	1587613	2 5
Decrease ---	13718	15 6

In the Gross Settlement compared with that of last year, there is a decrease of Rupees 13718-15-6 the usual

Para 17 deductions under various heads amount to Rupees 2,94793-9-9 leaving the Net settlement of the land revenue of the year in the sum of Rupees 12,92819-8-8 being less than the Net Settlement of the preceeding fusly by Rupees 1,23907-4-7- The following Abstract Statement prepared from Statement No. 2. exhibits the comparative results of the settlement in both years for the whole District and being in a convenient form the Board suggest that it may be adopted in future-

Description

16609

Fort St. George

12th December 1842

Description	Fusly 1249 Extent of Land	Fusly 1249 Assessment	Fusly 1250 Extent of Land	Fusly 1250 Assessment	Increase Extent of Land	Increase Assessment	Decrease Extent of Land	Decrease Assessment
Total Occupied Lands. Mata or Dry land	G 74,112 7 4½	Rs 4,55,399 13 11	G 74489 3 7½	Rs 4,52,703 2 8	G 376 12 3	Rs a p	G a p	Rs 3196 11 2
Magany or Wet Do	39,577 2 3	8,13,434 9 6	39410 9 9½	8,05,410 7 10			166 8 9¾	8034 1 8
Modoom or Garden	4,106 10 2	91,006 10 3	4090 13 10	90,718 2 ..			15 13 2	288 8 3
Jareeb Land	798 12 ½	53,808 13 1	751 15 2¾	51,689 6 2			46 12 13½	2119 6 11
Total	118595 . 3¾	14,14,119 14 8	118742 9 13½	14,07,511 2 8	376 12 3	.. .. ..	229 2 9¼	13638 12 ..
Deductions at the time of Summabundy. Caboolaty Bunjer	6327 15 3¼	1,22,452 2 11	13212 3 13¼	2,61,624 9 10	6884 4 12½	139172 6 11		
Panny Boodaty	2913 6 8½	49,951 3 6	989 1 9¾	15,757 2 ..			1924 4 14¾	34194 1 6
Shavy	300 10 16	5,954 2 2	466 13 4	11216 7 11	166 2 10	5262 5 9		
Cowle Remission		2720 5 11		2111 6 10		391 .. 11		
Fussel Cummee		32 4 3		168 5 9		136 1 6		
Pollevasee		1,004 4 2		1099 4 3		95 .. 1		
Moonasib Cummee		2,490 18 9		1816 5 2		.. .. ..		674 8 7
Total	9542 . 3¼	1,84,605 4 8	14668 2 11	2,94793 9 9	7050 7 6½	145956 15 2	4924 4 14¾	34868 10 1
Net Settlement	109053 . ½	1229544 10 ..	104074 7 2½	1105717 8 11			4978 8 14	123827 1 ..
Addl ready Money Collections		18782 3 3		137101 15 9				80 3 6
Total land Revenue		1413726 13 9		1292319 8 8				123907 4 7

Para 20

90-

The Remission on account of whithered wet Produce, exceeds that granted in the previous year by Rupees 5282-5-9 on an extent of 166-2-10 Goorties of land, of which the amount of Rupees 4,697-9-1 was granted in Sub Collectors Talooks Mr. Mathison states that "the early rains and small supply of water "induced the Ryots to extend their wet cultivation, "but from the subsequent failure of rain the crops &c. "entirely perished" What ever the adaptation of the wet lands in any Villages may be to the cultivation of particular descriptions of Rice, whether Codaverie

Sub Coll.rs letter para 18.

Pr. Coll.r's post para 12

or Peddavarie, as distinguished by Mr. Stenhouse, the Board see no reason why land failing to yield a crop of either description should be entirely exempted from the demand, if the Ryots cultivate other lands which are productive, and yield them a fair return under all circumstances &c.

of

of produce and price:

10. The extent to which their crops had suffered, and the general outturn of their other Cultivation, do not appear to have been investigated by the Sub Collector, as a guide to fix the proportion of Remission to &c. which the Ryots might make a reasonable claim. The remissions are large compared with the preceeding year, and reference ought to &c. have been made to the outturn of the Ryots &c. entire cultivation throughout the fusly. according to the instructions conveyed in the Boards Proceedings

12 Nov.r 1841 Para 11 to 15.

on the settlement of fusly 1248 A.D. 1838/39 which the Board direct may be followed hereafter, as far as may be practicable, on all occasions when Remission is claimed for withered Wet Produce.

11. The total Remission on lands taken up at the commencement of the fusly and not cultivated exceeds the remission made on that account in fusly 1249 A.D. 1839/40 by Rupees 139172 The chief portion was on account

Para 21

account of the Wet lands amounting to Rupees 1,35,854-11-3 "the Caur cultivation was almost

Para 22 "entirely prevented and that of the 2nd Crop was "very limited." The effects of inundation from the breaching of numerous Tanks and of some Channels of irrigation in November, also put a stop to cultivation.

12 The following abstract comparative Statement exhibits the difference in the amount of the deductions made on account of all lands occupied and Cultivated in both Fusslies.

	Fusly 1249			Fusly 1250			Increase			Decrease		
	Rupees	A	P	Rupees	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Wet	45178	1	3	2,61,032	12	6	1,35,854	11	3	"	"	"
Dry	3108	14	6	6930	7	5	3821	8	11	"	"	"
Garden	2749	8	7	2213	9	10	"	"	"	535	14	9
Sareb.	1415	10	7	1447	12	1	32	1	6	"	"	"
Total	1,22,452	2	11	2,61,624	9	10	1,39,708	5	8	535	14	9
							Decrease			535	14	9
							Net Increase			1,39,172	6	11

Adverting

13. Adverting to the explanation afforded by Mr. Stonhouse in granting remission on Coule waste, the Board are of opinion that no lands should be given on Coule remission to Ryots, whose extreme poverty and want of cattle prevent them from cultivating them. The proper rule is never to grant lands upon favorably progressive rents to any Ryots who have not cultivated to the usual extent of their annual holdings. The practice of allowing the poorest

Para 28. Ryots to take up such lands for the sake of the Remission should henceforward be discontinued. There is an item of Remission under this head amounting to Rupees 67-10-5 "on account of Ryots who had not cultivated "in the previous years, or paid the assessment "and who wished to throw up the lands.

As a general rule a

14 Ryot should not be permitted to throw up land taken on Coule, until the period of the Coule has expired, and it

it would have been satisfactory if the Collector had explained the particular circumstances which induced him to deviate from this rule in the instance noticed - the Board will not object to the remission but they desire in future when lands are given on Cowle, that due security be taken for the fulfilment of its conditions. If a crop is actually destroyed by drought or inundation, the Board see no more ground for withholding remission on land held on favorably progressive Rent, than on any other land, the terms of the Cowle continuing - and upon the same principle when there is no water and the Cowle lands cannot be cultivated, the demand should not be enforced. Leaving the land waste with the means of cultivating it, or neglecting to plough the land from an apprehension of the failure of those means should never be admitted as a claim for

Para 29.

for remission

15.

	Rupees
Land Revenue	1,099-4-3
Discretionary Remission	1,816-5-2
Original Demand	168-5-9

The remainder of the Deduction as noted in the margin, are of ordinary occurrence.

With respect to the discretionary remission allowed in the Village of Pulkiur in the Davagoodoo Taluk amounting to Rupees 825-2-4, the Board would remark that this amount is only nominal being an addition to the assessment of this Village, made without authority, by the Sub Collector in fasly 1249 A.D. 1839/40 to bring it up to what he believed to be the real amount of the assessment according to the survey accounts - At this time no opportunity had been afforded of comparing the Village with the original survey accounts, and the Board in their Proceedings on fasly 1249 A.D. 1839/40 Para 16 disapproved of the addition made by the Sub Collector without the sanction of his superior; and until the survey accounts had been referred to it would have been

Collr's letter dated 14th Augt 1841

been better that no alteration had been made, which would have avoided the necessity for the remission - and the Board cannot but express their displeasure that this should have been done, as would appear in opposition to the Principal Collector's orders (Para 5. 17th November 1841) - The original Survey accounts were sent from the Board's Office in January last, and it is hoped that the Comparison may have been made and the necessary corrections effected in fusly 1251 — A.D. 1841/42

17 in Cons 22 Nov 1841

Paras 36-37.

16 In the Cattle grazing tax and rents of Pasture lands there was a net decrease of Rupees 135-14-5.

Settlement

F 1249-14,16,726-13-3
1250-12,92,819-8-8
12,39,07-4-7.

17 After deducting the usual remissions from the gross settlement, the net settlement of the Land Revenue for fusly 1250 exhibits A.D. 1840/41 a decrease compared with the previous year of Rupees 123907-4-7.

18 The Collections within the fusly of the Net Settlement amount to Rupees 12,21,001-8-— leaving a

Para 38

a balance of Rupees 1,818-8 of which Rupees 53,165-12-5 were uncollected in the principal division, and Rupees 18652-4-3 were due in that of the Sub collector. Mr Stonhouse notices only the Collections within his own Division, leaving the Board to ascertain from his own, and from the Sub Collectors report the general result of this most important branch of their duties, Collections subsequent to the fusly reduced the Amount of arrears throughout the District on the 15th October 1841 to Rupees 44875-9-—-—

Sub Coll^rs letter para 17

On the 15th Sept^r 1841 Rs 27157-13-4

19. The causes of the balances in the Principal Division are stated generally to be a deficiency of produce in some Villages, to inclusion in the settlement of Wet and dry lands which were assessed to the Revenue in consequence of the Ryots failing to Cultivate them, according to the rule of the settlement, and the low prices of Grain. Under such circumstances the Board approve of the forbearance exercised in the realization of

Para 38

of the demand but adverting to the arrear due on account of assessment of wet and dry lands which the Ryots failed to cultivate, they are of opinion that the practice of their taking lands beyond their means of cultivating should as much as possible be checked. The number of their Ploughs and Cattle and their circumstances in life may be generally ascertained, and it would be known if they undertook to plough more lands than their means will admit of. In their Proceedings on the Settlement of fusly 1244 / 1834/35 the Board directed the attention of the Principal Collector to this subject and they trust that in future their instructions may be carried out, with a view to prevent the accumulation of arrears on this account—

4th July 1836 Paras 19-20

Para 18.

20. The Sub Collector in explaining the causes of the arrears in his Division, is of opinion that the Cultivation of Rupees 15,717—11—8 due by the poorer Ryots is "very doubtful" Inclusive of the arrears in Shotriums Villages, the total balances of Land Revenue

In the Sub Division Para 18.

Revenue Amounted up to the Middle of October 1841 to Rupees 59,759—15—6. In the Demand Collection and Balance Statement to the 31st October 1842, these arrears still stand at Rupees 57,394—3—5; thus shewing the Subsequent Collections on the Principal and Sub Collectors's Divisions to amount only to Rupees 1,865—12—1—

21. The Board rely on the exertions of the Principal Collector to expedite the transmission of the separate Report on the outstanding balances of land revenue in the District of Nellore, inclusive of Shotriums, which was called for in their Proceedings on the Settlement of fusly 1249 / 1839-40 without which they are unable to bring the subject to the notice of the Government. From Statement No. 11 it appears that the total outstanding Balances inclusive of Sumany and Shotriums to the end of fusly 1249 / 1839-40 amounted to the large sum of Rupees 8,81,971—1—9 which are classed as follows viz:—

Bd's Proceedings 23d Augt 1841 Para 24

Recoverable

Recoverable ..... 28230 — 1 — 4

Doubtful ..... 1,27,988 — 10 — 10

Irrecoverable .. 7,26,752 — 5 — 7

8 8,1,971 — 1 — 9

Shatiums
Berij — 79373 — 4 — 5
Collection
to 15th Sept.r 64,988 — 13 — 11
14384 — 6 — 6

In the Collections of the Settlement of the Shotriums Villages up to the 15th September 1841 there is still a balance of Rupees 14,384 — 6 — 6. when the report on those Villages is received the Board will enter fully into the consideration of the circumstances of each —

Para 40

22. The Collections on account of the Permanent Settlement amounted within the fusly to Rupees 3,49,787 — 1 — 7 Subsequent Collections to the end of September of Rupees 36,193 — 11 — 7 left the amount of arrears in Rupees 64,817 — 12 — 1 as shewn in the Margin.

Permanent Settlement	450798	9 — 3
Collections within the fusly	3,49,787	1 — 7
Balance	1,01,011	7 — 8
Subsequent Collections to the 30th September	36,193	11 — 7
	64,817	12 — 1

The arrears due to the end of September 1841 by the Vencatagherry Zemindar have since been liquidated in full.

23 The Sydapoor Zemindary was

Extract Minutes Cons.s 8th Nov.r 1841
Indorsed 15th —"—

was purchased on account of Government, the arrears having accrued without interest, to the amount of Rupees 62,141 — 12 — 5, and it is now incorporated with the Government lands of the District — The Choondy Estate has been placed in possession of the Zemindar on the attainment of his Majority —

To Govt 17th Oct.r 1842

24 The total Revenue of the District derived from land and from other sources, without Woodiagherry amounted to Rupees 24,48,886 — 6 — 4, being below that of fusly 1249 / 1839/40 in the sum of Rupees 1,54,792 — " — 7 the Net decrease on the land Revenue alone being Rupees 1,32,276 — 3 — 2 —

25. The Board observe with much pleasure the Zeal and knowledge of his duty displayed in the Report of Mr Mathison; as also the entire satisfaction which the unremitting attention paid by Mr Purvis and Mr Cochrane to their respective duties has given to Mr Stonhouse —

They have also much gratification in noticing the obvious attention of

of Mr Stonhouse himself to his duties and the continued interest he takes in the welfare of the District intrusted to his charge—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Nellore for his information and guidance—

From the commissioner Kurnool— Read Letter

31 Forwarding 3 Statements of Extra Sent allowance drawn by the commissioners and Assistant commissioner in his District to the end of Fusly 1251—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply and Copy of Extract Para 2 be transmitted to the Civil

Civil Auditor—

To

The Commissioner in

Kurnool.

Sir,

To the Commissioner in Kurnool } Para 1 I am directed by the

31-32 Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter

Indorsed 12th Decr 1842 of the 25th Ultimo Submitting for sanction Statements of Extra tent allowance drawn by Mr Stokes, yourself and Captain Newbold— In these Statements are included the Amounts charged for by you and Captain Newbold for periods in the current Fusly

Fusly 1252 which charges ought to be
Submitted for Sanction after the close
of the Fusly You will therefore be
pleased to furnish a revised State
=ment of the Amount drawn by you
to the end of Fusly 1251 or 11th July 1842

2. The Board Sanction the
amount of extra Tent allowance drawn
by Mr Stokes for 80 days in Fusly
1251 as shewn in the Statement Sub
Submitted by you—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
12th December, 1842.

(Signed) J: D: Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

Read the following letter—

From A. Freese Esqre Collr of Chingleput

Reporting on Petition No 124 of 1842 presented by Appacootty Moodely of Arasoor—

33

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George—

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to the
Endorsement on Petition† No 124 of 1842
from Appacootty Moodely of Arasoor, I
have the honor to report for the
information of the Board of Revenue
that the Villages of Arasoor, Valoor
and Arcot therein alluded to were
originally conferred on Thatrium
tenure under a Perwanah bearing
date the 31st August 1803 on Chunam=
=but Moodoo Moodely and his heirs
in lieu of his resumed Office and
privileges as Nattawar of the Carangooly
Perganah subject to an annual Quit
Rent of Rupees 277— 10 as 3 ps

† Here with returned

2. Mootoo Moodely died on
the 13th August 1821 having had four
sons, as named in the Margin, the
two first of whom died during the
life time of their father, the
former without any issue and
the

1 Suttyappa Moodely
2 Aurumutta Moodely
3 Moottia Moodely
4 Soobroy Moodely

the latter leaving 3 sons named Coomarasawmy Moodely, Aroona-chella Moodely and Sabapaty Moodely. Coomarasawmy Moodely having been adopted into other family the two Brothers, and the two surviving sons of the original Grantee were entered as the Shatriumdars in the Registery of this Office on the 7th January 1822—

3.

On the death of Mottiah Moodely 3d son of the original Grantee on the 11th September 1828, the name of his only son Aroomooga Moodely was likewise entered with the others in the Registery on the 11th May 1839—

4th or last son of the Grantee died without heir, and the Villages are now held and enjoyed by the Grandsons of the original Grantee—

4.

Under these circumstances the Petition appears unworthy of notice—

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Pullecarney 30th Novr 1842.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Resolution

Resolution thereon.

33-34

As it appears from the information furnished by the Collector in the foregoing report that the Petitioners Statement that the Villages of Arasoor, Valoor and Arcot (which were granted originally on Shotrium Tenure) are now held by persons who posses no legal claim, is untrue, in as much as the present incumbents are the grand sons of the original grantee— the Board resolve to pass the following Endorsement on Petition 124 of 1842—

"The Board having ascertained that the Petitioners statements relative to the legal claims of the present incumbents to the Shotrium Villages of Arasoor, Valoor, and Arcot are utterly unfounded, reject his Petition as unworthy of farther notice"—

Read the following letter.

From J. Goldingham Esqre
Collr of N. Arcot

35

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George—

Sir,

I have the honor to request
sanction

16628

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Sanction for the Repairs required to the Charity Choultry at Sholinghur amounting to Rupees 145-8-2 under the explanation set forth in the Column of Remarks—

+See accompanying Estimate

North Arcot
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Chittoor 28th Nov.r 1842

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector.

Estimate

16629

Estimate for Division of Arcot—

Talooks	Name of	Remarks
Sholinghur	Choultry at Sholinghur	...nt residue, Viz.t — 8-4 a p between the Trus= Expences of this Charity Fuslys 1250 and 1257 arose ...lease of Articles of Pud= ...daily food &c.a at a ...of which Rupees 74-8 a p ...d to the credit of — ...t in fusly 1251, and ...inder Rupees 104-15-8 ...edited in the Current ...disbursement of the ...t Amount Rupees ...is indispensably ne= ...for the repairs of ...ltry.—
	Hall with Verandah including Sa...	

North Arcot
...ingham
Collector.

2th December 1842.

bah Sholinguer in the Northern Division of Arcot—

er	Rate			Amount			Total			Remarks
	Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘	
ch	1	8	″	4	8	″	″	″	″	An Amount residue, Voz.t—
D.o	1	″	″	1	″	″	″	″	″	Rupees 179—8—4. between the Tus=
D.o	″	12	″	11	4	″				=deek and Expences of this charity
10	1	″	″	16	″	″				Choultry for Fuslys 1250 and 1257 arose
ch	″	2	″	″	12	″				in the purchase of Articles of Pud=
D.o	″	1	″	″	12	″				=dytarem or daily food &c.a at a
D.o	″	2	″	15	8	″				Cheaper rate, of. which Rupees 74—8—8
D.o	″	12	″	4	8	″				was carried to the Credit of—
D.o	″	8	″	1	8	″				Government in fusly 1251, and
D.o	″	8	″	4	8	″				the remainder Rupees 104-15-8
100	″	8	″	7	6	″				will be credited in the Current
6,000	3	8	″	12	13	4				fusly— The disbursement of the
ach	″	3	6	16	10	″				Estimated Amount Rupees
D.o	″	″	9	3	9	″				145—8—2. is indispensably re=
D.o	″	″	2	1	9	4				required for the repairs of.
D.o	″	1	″	15	″	″				this Choultry—
D.o	″	1	″	15	″	″				
D.o	″	1	3	13	4	6				
otal Rupees							145	8	2	

November 1842. E. E. Jr. / Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

31

Fort St George 12th December 1842.

contained in Para 8. of Extract from— the Proceedings of the Board of— Revenue dated 31st October 1842, direct= =ing that I should explain why— the sum of 4,167—b—11 which re= =mained in Deposit from the collec= =tions of Tax on Carts from the year 1836 to 1838 was not sooner brought to account I have the honor to state, that the sum of Rupees 4,295—10—4— had been expended from the dif= =ferent accounts as per Margin— in repairing the roads from 1836 to 1838— and the amount Collection— on Carts during that period amount= =ing to Rupees 3969—8—0 I therefore— considered it necessary to forward a Bill of expenditure for the sanction of Government and which was sent from my Office in October 1839— the sanction was not received by me until the 31st December 1841, and in February I issued Takeed for— bringing the sum of Rupees 3,969— 8—0 to the Credit of the Government an Extra Collection after the— completion

	Rs	a	℘
	807	10	11
Section	2,357	8	7
Account	1130	6	10
	4,295	10	4

F 1251
a.D. 1842-42.

completion of the Summabundy of Fusly 1251 of Rupees 197-14-11 made up the Amount of Rupees 4167-6-11 as entered in the Report—

Salem Zillah Office
Pt Coll.rs Cutcherry
22d November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector.

38-39

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded—

From the Pt Coll.r of Salem—
=metting Statements of Extra Tent allow=
=ance for Fusly 1251—

Read letter trans=

40

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply and Copy of the same be forwarded to the Civil Auditor.—

40-41

To the Pt Coll.rs of Salem

To

The Principal Collector of Salem—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3d Instant Submitting Statement of extra tent allowance for Fusly 1251 and to convey sanction for the amount drawn by yourself and Subordinates respectively for the Number of days noted in the Margin—

	No of days
Mr J. D Gleig Pt Coll.r	142
Mr T. Prendergast Sub Coll.r	6
Mr H. A Brett Actg Do.	100
Mr W. Elliot late Actg Do.	19
Mr H. A Brett Pt Asstt Do.	40
Mr W Oswell Actg Hd Asstt Do.	22
W. J. Hamilton Asstt Do.	105

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
17th Decr 1842

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

From C. H. Hallett Esqre
Coll.r of South Arcot.—

42

Sir,

With reference to the Ex=
=tract from the Minutes of Consultation,
under date the 18th January last, I have
the Honor to request that you will be
so good as to obtain the Sanction of
Government for the payment of the
Cavil Pension in arrears, as specified
in the accompanying Statement amount=
=ing to Company's Rupees 120-11-6.

Cuddalore
Coll.rs Cutcherry
19th Novr 1842.

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Statement

10631

Fort St George

No 1

Statement of Arrear Cavil

Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrear is due	Total Amount of Arrear due	Explanation of the cause of Suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
	6 Rupees a P			6 Rupees a P			
46 Moorthy Naik	26 3 6	11th April 1807	From 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842	26 3 6	It has been proved before the Tahsildar that the Cavilgars were prevented attending to draw their allowances before the expiration of the six Months, by severe illness - They have been warned that in such cases they should draw thro' a Vakeel, and that any future similar neglect may injure their claims; and the payment of arrears is now recommended for sanction -	Under the explanation of the Collector the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the disbursement of these arrears of Cavil Pensions, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 120 - 11 - 6. -	Sanction granted accordingly - Fort St George 31st Dec 1842. (By Order) (Sgd) Walter Elliot a/ Secretary to Govt.
70 Cootty Naik	21 " "	Do	From 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842	31 8 "			
98 Peddoo Naik	21 " "	Do	From 12th July 1841 to 11th Do	21 " "			
99 Vencatasa Naik	21 " "	Do	Do	21 " "			
115 Mootial Naik	21 " "	Do	Do	120 - 11 6			

19th November, 1842 (Signed)

C. H. Hallett

Collector.

19th December 1842.

Pensions in South Arcot requiring Sanction -

Rev. Board Office
Fort St George
12th December 1842.
(By Order)
(Signed) J.S. Bourdillon
Secretary -

Order

16635 Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Order thereon — Ordered that —

(42-43)

the necessary Remarks of the

Board be inserted in the

proper Columns of the State-

=ment accompanying the fore-

=going Letter and Submitted for

the Sanction of Government —

To

16636 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

From C. R. Willett Esqre
Collector of South Arcot

To
J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

Sir,

From the Collr of South Arcot — Submitting Report on the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1251 together with the usual Statements. A.D. 1841/42

Para 1 In Submitting my Report — upon the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1251, with the usual Statements (enumerated in the list of Packet), I do myself the honor to annex a Table exhibiting the amount of Revenue derived from each branch in comparison with that of the preceding Fusly, shewing a Nett Increase of Pr. a 1752 — 3 — 10 in favor of that under review —

Table.

Numbers	Items	Beriz of Fusly 1251 Chs. a. P.	Beriz of Fusly 1250 Chs. a. P.	Increase in Fusly 1251 Chs. a. P.	Decrease in Fusly 1251 Chs. a. P.
1	Salt Revenue	153967 15 9	147476 14 3	6841 1 6	— — —
2	Sayer Dr	219388 15 5	185683 9 5	32272 6 —	— — —
3	Sea Customs	13954 11 5	14500 7 3	— — —	545 8 10
4	Abkarry	60700 — —	62315 — —	— — —	1615 — —
5	Sundry Small Farms	4373 1 5	3583 7 6	789 9 11	— — —
6	Stamp Revenue	17254 13 —	16828 10 —	426 3 —	— — —
7	Moturpha	39374 8 4	39635 15 1	— — —	261 6 9
	Total	506581 4 4	469776 15 6	40029 4 5	21241 5 7
8	Extra Items	7230 2 11	3082 3 11	4147 15 —	— — —
	Grand Total	513811 7 3	472859 3 5	44177 3 5	21241 5 7
			Deduct Decrease	21241 5 7	
			Nett Increase	1752 3 10	Pr. a.

16635

Order th

42-43

16637

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Salt Revenue
Rs. as p
1251 1,52,967 15 9
1250 1,16,26 11 3
Increase 65,41 1 6

No 1

	Rs.
1241 –	87,221
1243 –	65,111 0
1246 –	1,11,079
1247 –	1,51,007
1248 –	90,081
1249 –	1,00,811
1250 –	86,538
1251 –	1,51,800

2

There was increased sales of Salt to the extent of 1,22,61 Rs. 6 a. 3 p. from which necessary to deduct the decrease 57,23 – 4 – 3 in two Southern Zillas leaving the Retrenchment for the whole District 65,41 – 1 – 6. The sale Inland consumption shews an increase 9,200 – 9 – 11 on the whole year, the inland sales to Salem (included under this head) much the same as in the preceding fasly below the average of former years. This accounted for by many causes of a general of a particular nature, grain during the 18 Months has been remarkably cheap, so as to prevent the possibility of any importations from a distance by land carriage thus forcing on the traders the necessity of bringing down to the coast ready money instead of produce, there has been a great of money during the same period trade has been dull in the extreme, a better has been found at Madras for any produce brought and therefore they have loaded to return at the Pass nearest to that place the Western Road lately made has offering facility for the

16638

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

off parties who would otherwise have purchased in South Arcot and travelled back the usual line of road.

3

Certainly reasons for a diminution in the Inland consumption are more evident now than in fasly 1250, but nevertheless looking also to the returns under this head from the adjoining Zillahs of Tanjore and Chingleput for the last 3 years it is obvious that the continued loss even if entirely of a local and temporary character, is very important.

A-D-1840/41

Rs as p
1250 – 1,29,56 5 8
1251 – 37,180 9 8
Decrease 54,76 59

4

The sales for Home consumption yielded less than last year by 54,76 – 59. To the general poverty of the District becoming more apparent every year, but aggravated lately by the remarkably low prices of grain and indigo, I attribute this to the consumption of Earth Salt (a practice very prejudicial to health) and similar causes are of no material bearing on this question. Salt is in some degree a necessary of life, but beyond that degree it is a luxury in fact request, as the people have the means, they will obtain it, and thus its use is regulated.

5th

Since my Report of last year I have given much thought to the subject of the Salt

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Salt Revenue
Rs. as. p.
1251 15,296-7-15-9
1250 11,64,26-11-3
Increase 65,41-1-6

2 There were increased sales of Salt to the extent of Rs. 1,97,64-6-2 from which it is necessary to deduct the decrease 57,23-4-8 in the two Southern Zillas leaving the Net increase for the whole District 65,41-1-6 The sale for Inland consumption shews an increase of 92,00-9-11 on the whole year, the inland export to Salem (included under this head) was much the same as in the preceding fusly, but for below the average of former years. This may be accounted for by many causes of a general and also of a particular nature, grain during the last 18 Months has been remarkably cheap, so much so as to prevent the possibility of any profit on importations from a distance by land carriage thus forcing on the traders the necessity of bringing down to the coast ready money instead of produce, there has been a great scarcity of money during the same period, trade in general has been dull in the extreme, a better market has been found at Madras for any produce brought and therefore they have loaded to return at the Zillas nearest to that place, and the Western Road lately made has, by offering facility for the transport drawn off

No. 1
Rs.
1244 – 82,221
1245 – 68,110
1246 – 41,079
1247 – 151,007
1248 – 90,084
1249 – 100811
1250 – 86,538
1251 – 54,800

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

off parties who would otherwise have purchased in South Arcot, and travelled back the usual line of road.

3 Certainly reasons for a diminution in the Inland consumption are more evident now than in fusly 1250, but nevertheless looking also to the returns under this head from the adjoining Zillahs of Tanjore and Chingleput for the last 3 years it is obvious that the contenued loss even if entirely of a local and temporary character, is very important.

AD-1840/41

Rs. as. p.
1250-12,98,15-5
1251-37,150-9-5
Decrease 54,76-5-9

4 The sales for Home consumption yielded less than last year by 54,76-5-9. To the general poverty of the District becoming more apparent every year, but aggravated lately by the remarkably low prices of grain and indigo, I attribute this to the consumption of Earth Salt (a practice very prejudicial to health) and similar causes are of no material bearing on this question. Salt is in some degree a necessary of life, but beyond that degree it is a luxury in first request as the people have the means, they will obtain it, and thus its use is regulated.

5th Since my Report of last year I have given much thought to the subject of the Salt

Revenues

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Revenues and have taken every opportunity of conversing with the Zumbadies and Traders. They complain generally of the badness of the times. that they have not so many cattle as formerly and that they can make no profit, but it is impossible to extract from them (as they are themselves too ignorant) information which can prove of value.

6 Every day convinces me of the urgent necessity of a revision of our salt regulations, partly to better the Revenues but mainly to benefit the people. In my letter to the Board dated 6th June 1842 I presented some facts which I am inclined to consider worthy of notice. I pointed out the striking difference in the consumption between our people and the inhabitants of the French territory. that whole on an average a French subject used 10 3/8 lbs per annum, a British subject was forced to be content with 1 2/16 only to be accounted for solely by the article being purchaseable all over Pondicherry at the Monopoly price of 10 Rs whole the transport from our Pans to the interior adds so fearfully to the cost as to deprive thousa

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

as a luxury, and many of it as a necessary, the price of the Salt I stated as rising about 1/4 Rs per Garce every mile it was conveyed from the Pans, which is perhaps within the truth. I firmly believe that there is no question relative jointly to the improvement of the Revenues and the comfort of the people, which more imperatively calls for the consideration of Government.

Sayer. Revenue No 2
1251. 217955-15-3
1250. 185083-9-5
Increase 32272-6-

7 The total Sayer Collections for the year under review were 217955 Rs — 15 a — 5 p which exceeds those of the preceding fusly by 32272 Rs — 6 a — 0 p of this amount 29938 Rs — 1 a — 4 p were derived from the Inland & Town Chonkies, 2334 Rs — 4 a — 11 p from those established on the Frontier of the French Settlement of Pondicherry. The variation in each article appears in the enclosed Statement.

No 182 in No 2

No 1 in No 2

8 The Chief increase was Indigo but altho exceeding the Collections of the immediately preceding fusly by so large a sum as 31977 Rs

1249. 54703-11-8
1250. 25820-1-1
1251. 57197-13-2

it will be observed by the Marginal note that there is no very great variation from that of fusly 1249. I have no reason to suppose that the Cultivation of the plant was much extended, or the Manufacture augmented, and the duty certainly was not increased by alteration in the Tariff, for in January 1841 the rates of Valuation were lowered from 1000

AD 1839/40

and

and 900 to 850 per Candy respectively for the 1st and 2nd Sorts, which of course by itself would have produced an opposite result.

9 But I believe I can satisfactorily account for what at first sight appears inconsistent in reference to the produce and valuation of the Indigo, on which the duty for Fusly 1251 was brought to account. In the preceding fusly 1250 it will be observed that the duty was only 25520, in the 6 Months following, that is during the first half of 1251, the duty paid amounted to the very large sum of Rs. 43596 - 9. It was at this period that taking charge of the District and finding an outstanding balance from the expired fusly of nearly 3 Lacs of Rupees, I deemed it expedient, in order to save the collections of arrears from trenching upon the kists about to become due for the succeeding fusly, to take vigorous measures for their liquidation without delay. In consequence of the unsettled state of the district, for 2 or 3 Months the payment of the kists had not been strictly enforced, and the price of Indigo having fallen, the owners very naturally kept it from the market in hopes of a rise in price, but the necessity of clearing up the arrears forced the holders to sell and

AD 1841/42
AD 1840/41
AD 1841/42

AD 1840/41

thus much, which under ordinary circumstances would have been exported in Fusly 1250, in addition to the usual quantity for such period, was sold and yielded the duty in the first 6 Months of the fusly under review. It has since been seen, as the prices became more and more depressed, that the disposal at that time was actually so advantageous.

10 I am also aware that some steps which I have taken for preventing the constant practice of smuggling this article which in a small bulk is of great value have been successful, without at all inconveniencing the growers. This will account partially also for the increased receipt of duty.

11 The trade in piece goods I am very sorry to say is by all pronounced to be unprofitable. I rather expected that the falling off under this head would have been greater, Messrs. Parry & Co., who for many years so were extensively concerned in this description of Merchandize at Porto Novo, have completely given up the business. It is said that to the Eastward Cloths & piece goods from England have driven those from India out of the Market, no doubt many causes quite beyond all local control have tended to effect this, but as the state of trade during the last year has been generally dull, I am not disposed at present to form any conclusive opinion but

	Rs.
1249	73648
1250	70991
1251	86716

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

Stamps No 6
AD 1840/41
1251 — 17754-13-
1250 — 16528-10-
Incr. 1226 3 -

AD 1840/41
Moturpha
No 7
1250 39638-15-1
1251 39374-8-4
Decr 264 7 9

Statement A

but rather to look for amendment.

12 There is an increase from this source in comparison with the preceding fusly but the falling off then having been very considerable I can scarcely say that there is any demonstration of a better condition of things; trade indeed has not perceptibly improved in any respect with the French Settlement. The increase under the article Indigo & decrease under that of Piece goods will be readily understood after the explanations afforded in the two preceding Paras all the other items are of an uncertain and fluctuating character and require no particular notice.

13 Were it not that in 1250 the Collection under this head fell off considerably, I should not have deemed the small decrease for fusly 1251 in comparison with the preceding year as worthy of notice. The export duty from Pollachy has been reduced 700 Rupees by the article Tobacco having been in the new Tariff 3/4 instead of 99 the old rate of valuation, the decline in the trade of Piece goods already alluded to has lessened the exports but dullness in all mercantile business must also be considered to have had a bearing upon commerce generally, and to have prevented the realization of duties equal in amount to those received in former years.

AD 1840/41
Sea Customs
No 3
1250 — 11,500-7-3
1251 — 13951-14-5
Decrease 2448-6-10

AD 1841/42

	Rs
1245	22876
1246	18882
1247	16103
1248	19365
1249	19168
1250	14,500
1251	13954

Abkarry
No 4

14 The total Settlement of this branch of Revenue was 60700 shewing a decrease of 1615 in comparison with the preceding fusly when it was 62315. It was rented by Talaikes under Public auction Sale, there is very little competition for these rents in consequence of there being but few capitalists or I certainly should calculate on making this a more productive source.

Sundry Small Farms &c
No 5

15 The Settlement was 4373-1-8 less than under the preceding year by 789-9-9. There was no extraordinary competition for the Honey, wax and Jungle produce which is all we have to look to for

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

remunerating, and I anticipate difficulty in realizing the rent.

16 This head will shew an increase in comparison with fusly 1250 of 426 3 - respecting which I have no observation to offer.

Balance
Abkarry 561 11 3
Small Farms 317 6 -
Gunjah & Co Rents 251 13 1
Moturpha 103 1 1
Rs 1233 15 5

17 This source yielded in 1250 the sum of Rs 39638 — 15 — 1 while in the Year under review it gives Rs 39374 — 8 — 4 It is satisfactory to me in referring to the item "Looms" to point out that although the trade in Cotton piece goods has declined the number of Looms worked within this District has not diminished.

18 The total Settlement, inclusive of all extra items, was Rupees 5,13,811 — 7 — 1 In the preceding fusly having been 4,72059 — 3 — 5 there is on comparison a clear sum of 4,1752 — 3 — 10 in favor of the Year under review and this moreover has arisen while no additional tax has been imposed, and a relaxation granted under one or two heads.

19 Of the above Amount a sum of Rs 5125 7 7 — 8 has been realized, the small balance is due under 4 heads. The Abkarry renter asserts that during the serious right and left hand caste dispute in the Town of Chellumbrum in april last he sustained great loss, but he certainly exaggerates. The jungle produce rent I have already alluded to. From the Gunjah & Co renter I hardly expect to recover all. The recovery of many small sums of Moturpha from poorer Ryots is doubtful. I shall separately address the Board, if I find cause, regarding any irrecoverable balance.

Statement B.

20 The remark appended to the Statement of Old arrears will be considered ample I believe.

21 In conclusion I have the honor to enclose the usual printed Statement of Collections Charges and Net Revenue in Triplicate with remarks on variations, which I hope will be satisfactory.

Cuddalore
Coll[r]s Cutcherry
6th December 1842.

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Collector
Statement.

1664 5 Georg

Ld o

his

lance

reat

ue

12th

1842

a &

Salt " "

Sayer 5 10

Sea Cus "

Abkar 4 "

Sundry 6

8 5

Stamps "

Molu 1

Sch 4

7 11

15 5

7 4

42

12th December 1842-

Extra Revenue in the District of the Southern

and 1251-

Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250-		Comparison of Total Collections of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250-		Remarks-
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
11	12	13	14	15
Cr.s a/ Cr.s a/		Cr.s a/ Cr.s a/		
65411 6 " " "		78075 3 " " "		A Of this Rupees 6188-89 have since been recovered and the residue is in train of recovery-
32272 6 " " "		31392 11 " " "		B Of this Rupees 2444-89 have since been recovered With regard
" " "	5458 10	" " "	5458 10	to the residue explanation is afforded in the report of
" " "	1615 " "	" " "	1661 5	the extra branches of Revenue.
				C. of this Rupees 452-35 have been since recovered With respect to the residue-
7899 11 " "		497 " 4 " "		Do. Do.
				D The whole of this has been recovered-
426 3 " " "		208 1 " " "		E Of this Rupees 3306-15- have been since recovered & the residue is under recovery-
" " "	26469	671 1 10 " "		
40029 1 5		21,2415	4457 6 5	2211 10 3

Errors Excepted Sd/ Signed / Chs H. Hallett
Collr

Statement

1841

A

Statement Shewing the Demand Collection Southern Division

Fusly 1250.

	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Collection on account of Fusly 1250 to the 11th July 1841	Extra Collections	Total Collections on account of Fusly 1250	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1841.	Settlement of Fusly 1251	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	15
	Crs. a ℘	Crs. a ℘	Crs. a ℘	Crs. a ℘	Crs. a ℘	Crs. a ℘	
Salt	14,626 11 3	14,516 10 6	182 9 15 3	14,699 0 9	126 6 3	15,296 7 15 9	Rupees 6188-89 have been recovered and the rest in train of recovery.
Sayer	18,563 9 5	18,012 2 11 7	69 3 1 1	18,081 5 12 8	556 13 10	21,795 5 15 5	Rupees 2464-89 have been recovered With regard to the residue, explanation is added in the report of the branches of Revenue.
Sea Customs	11,500 7 3	11,500 7 3	" " "	11,500 7 3	" " "	13,954 11 5	
Abkarry	6,315 " "	5,935 9 13 5	16 9 7 4	5,952 9 1 9	29 5 2 7	6,070 0 " "	Rupees 452-35 have been since recovered in respect to the residue. Do.
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	3,583 7 6	3,106 7 8	11 10 4	3,121 2 "	476 15 10	4,373 1 5	The whole of this has been recovered.
Stamps	16,528 10 "	16,539 8 "	37 1 4 "	16,910 12 "	289 2 "	17,254 13 "	Of this Rupees 3306- have been since recovered. the residue is under
Molunghu	39,638 15 1	35,293 6 5	3 13 11	35,297 4 4	4,345 8 8	39,374 8 4	
Total Com.t Rupees	4,89,761 5 6	4,54,083 " 10	3,082 3 11	4,57,165 4 9	11,983 14 8	5,06,581 4 4 9	

Statement

12th December 1842-

Extra Revenue in the District of the Southern

end 1251-

Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250-		Comparison of Total Collections of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250-		Remarks-
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
11	12	13	14	15
Ckrs a ℗	Ckrs a ℗	Ckrs a ℗	Ckrs a ℗	
6541 6	" " "	7807 5 3	" " "	A Of this Rupees 618-8-9 have since been recovered and the residue is in train of recovery-
32272 6	" " "	31392 11	" " "	B Of this Rupees 2444-8-9 have since been recovered With regard
" " "	5458 10	" " "	5458 10	to the residue explanation is afforded in the report of
" " "	1615 "	" " "	1661 5	the extra branches of Revenue.
				C. of this Rupees 452-3-5 have been since recovered With respect to the residue-
7899 11	" " "	497 " 4	" " "	D° D°
				D The whole of this has been recovered-
426 3 "	" " "	208 1 "	" " "	E Of this Rupees 3,306-15- have been since recovered & the residue is under recovery-
" " "	26469	671 1 10	" " "	
40029 1 5	21,241 5 7	40,766 5	2,211 10 3	

Errors Excepted C. A. Hallett
Coll.

Statement

1661.6 Fort St. George 12th December 1812

B. Statement shewing the Demand Collec and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of former Fusles in Fusly 1251 in the South Division of Arcot and Cuddalore—

	Balance on account of Fusly 1248	Collections on account of Fusly 1248	Balance	Balance on account of Fusly 1249	Collection on account of Fusly 1249	Balance	Collections on account of Fusly 1250	Balance	Total Balance	Total Collection	Total Balance	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	Chs. ℔	
Salt	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1266 3 9	1266 3 9	"	
Sayer	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	556 0 13 10	556 0 13 10	"	
Sea Customs	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Abkarry	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2955 2 7	2955 2 7	"	
Sundry Small farms and Licences	"	"	"	"	"	436 6 10	1076 15 10	165 11 10	1677 10	913 6 8	165 11 10	1677 10
Stamps	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	289 2 "	289 2 "	"	
Moturpha	"	66 "	"	"	66 5 32	"	"	"	289 2 "	289 2 "	"	
Total Comp.y Rupees	"	66 "	"	"	66 1 1 10	"	"	"	5 32 1315 8 8	433332 12 5 6	435124 433332	17 182	
									1411 10	1189311 5	1187082	1657 "

The subject of this Balance shall hereafter be separately reported

11th July 1812

Errors Excepted (Signed) C. H. Hallett Collr

Statement

1664

C.

Fort St. George 12th December 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and sold in Fusly 1250 and 1251 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore —

Name of the Colaur or place of Manufacture	Fusly 1250 Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Fusly 1251 Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Increase	Decrease
	G M M	G M M	CRS A P	CRS A P	G M M	G M M	CRS A P	CRS A P	CRS A P	CRS A P
Murkanum	570 " "	728 350 ½	105 " "	76531 11 10		812 18 4½	105 " "	85264 11 10		
		188 224 ½	11 8 9¾	27135 7						
	917 17 4½	" " "		79275 3 8	260 215 "	396 31 "	11 8 9¾	5762 7 6	11752 2 8	" " "
					1208 19 ½	" " "		91027 6 4		
Tagavelly	143 305 "	262 315 "	105 " "	27592 11 "	118 160 "	233 382 5	105 " "	24565 7 1	" " "	3027 3 11
Killay	200 5 "	203 48 5½	105 " "	21327 12 6	258 385 "	177 178 "	105 " "	18631 11 9	" " "	2696 " 9
Cuddalore	172 200 "	165 258 7	105 " "	17392 15 4		172 180 6½	105 " "	18107 7 5		
		101 257 "	8 " 2	8383 9 6	294 170 "	79 156 "	8 " 2	6355 15 2	5123 6 "	" "
	270 115 7	" " "		18231 3 1		251 336 6½	" " "			
Total	1086 110 "	1653 215 ½	" " "	146126 11 3	3579 160 "	1871 147 "	" " "	182967 15 9	12264 6 2	5723 4 8

Deduct Decrease — 5723 4 8

Net Increase — 5611 1 6

Excepted &c. Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collr

Errors

Statement —

16648

D. Ma

1250 B

Numbers		Amount
		Rs. a p
1	Murka	10461 u
2	Seagavu	8075 13 u
3	Killay-	11,658 6 8
		20,780 1 8
1	Cuddalor	889 14 u
	Total Soo	21,670 2 8
1	Murka	2018 10 u
2	Seagavu	10,31,1 3 u
3	Killay-	11,008 7 5
		23,368 4 5
4	Cuddalor	1312 8 u
	Total S	24,680 12 5

July 1842

12th December 1842.

from the Salt Pans in the Southern Division of Arcot in Fuslies 1252.

Mysore Salt	Amount	Salt	Totals Amount
G Mo Mds	Rs a p	G Mo Mds	Rs a p
93 20 u	9,770 4 u	723 135 u	75,950 7 u
u u u	u u u	96 380 u	10,179 12 u
u u u	u u u	113 237 u	11,927 3 6
93 20 u	9770 4 u	933 352 u	98,057 6 6
u u u	u u u	17 175 u	1830 15 u
93 20 u	9,770 4 u	951 127 u	99,888 5 6
112 160 u	11,802 u u	802 325 u	84,295 5 u
u u u	u u u	110 220 u	11,607 12 u
u u u	u u u	107 102 u	11,261 12 5
112 160 u	11,802 u u	1020 247 u	107,164 13 5
u u u	u u u	18 130 u	1924 2 u
112 160 u	11,802 u u	1038 377 u	109,088 15 5

Errors Excepted /s/ (Signed) C. H. Hallett
Coll.r
Statement

1664

C. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt exported to ca

Southern Div

Name of the Colaur or place of Manufacture	Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Quantity Sold	Salem Salt Pans Salt	Amount	Coimbatore Salt	Amount	Chitt Salt
	1250						
G M & G M							
Murkanum	570 "	728 350					
		188 224					
		917 17½					
Teaganelly	143 305 "	262 315 "					
Killay	200 5 "	203 48 5/12					
		165 258					
Cuddalore	172 200 "						
		104 257 "					
		270 115					
Total	1086 110 "	1653 215 3/4					

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt exported to ca

	Salem Salt	Amount	Coimbatore Salt	Amount	Chitt Salt
	G M & C Pans		G M & C Pans		G M
	506 357 "	5322 115	112 348 "	11851 5/7	" 225 "
	20 15 "	210 315 "	" " "	" "	" "
	2 224 "	26 812 10 "	" " "	" "	" "
Total	529 196 "	5559 673	112 348 "	11851 5/7	" 225 "
	8 385 "	94 11 "	" " "	" "	" "
Cobah & Cuddalore	538 181 "	5653 783	112 348 "	11851 5/7	" 225 "
	1251				
	501 340 "	52694 "	166 305 "	17510 1/2	2 230 "
	12 25 "	1266 9 "	" " "	" "	" "
	2 65 "	227 1 "	" " "	" "	" "
Total	516 30 "	5418 7/4 "	166 305 "	17510 1/2	2 230
	5 330 "	611 10 "	" " "	" "	" "
Cobah & Cuddalore	521 360 "	5479 98 "	166 305 "	17510 1/2	2 23

1664 9

Fort St. Geo

No. 1 Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold in the Fusly and Cuddalo

Fusly 1250

Number	Names of Villages in which the pans are Situated	Salt G	Mo	m	Cs	Amou
1	Murkanum	917	174	4½	7	4275
2	Seaganelly	262	315	"	2	7592
3	Pillay	203	48	5½	2	1327
4	Cuddalore	270	115	7	1	8231
	Total	1653	254	¾	14	4264

Particulars.

Inland Consumption	951	127	"	9	9111
Home D°	409	45	4¾	4	2951
Exported by Sea	"	"	"	"	"
To the French Government	293	81	4	3	581
Total	1653	254	¾	14	6424

11th July 184

2th December 1842.

Comparison with Fusly 1250, in the Southern Division of Arcot

Fusly 1251 Salt	Amount	Increase Salt	Amount	Decrease Salt	Amount	Remarks
G m m Cs	a s d	G m m Cs	a s d	G m m Cs	a s d	
1208 49 4½	9 10 2 7	6 4	2 9 0	2 7 5 ¼	1 17 5 2	2 8 " " " " " "
233 38 2 5	2 4 5 6 5	7 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 8 3 3 2 3 0 2 7 3 11
177 17 8 "	1 8 6 3 1	11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 5 2 9 5½ 2 6 9 6 " 9
2 51 33 6½	18 7 4 3	6 7	" " "	5 12 3	6	18 17 9½ " " "
1871 14 7 "	15 2 9 6	7 15 9	2 9 0	2 7 5 ¼	12 2 6	4 6 2 7 2 3 8 2 1 5 7 2 3 4 8
1038 37 7 "	10 9 0	88 15 5	87 2 5 0 "	9 2 0	0 9 11	" " " " " "
356 38 3 "	3 7 4	80 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	52 6 2 4¾ 5 4 7 6 5 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " " " "
475 18 7 "	6 3 9	8 6 8	182 10 5	4 2 8	1 6 13	4 " " " " "
1871 14 7 "	15 2 9	6 7 15 9	269 35 5	4 12 0	17 7 3	52 6 2 4¾ 5 4 7 6 5 9

Deduct Decrease 52 6 2 4¾ 5 4 7 6 5 9

Nett Increase 217 29 2 7¾ 6 5 4 1 1 6

Excepted &c./Signed/ C. H. Callett

Collector.

1651 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

No. 1. in No. 2 Statement Shewing the Inland and Town Sayer Settlement in Fasly 1251 in Comparison with Fasly 1250 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.

No.		Fasly. 1250.			Fasly. 1251.			Increase			Decrease		
	Settlement of 17 Amanee Sayer	130418	13	10	158887	15	9	34603	9	2	6134	7	3
1	Betle	3382	8	1	3438	1	5	55	9	4	"	"	"
2	Tobacco	4911	14	3	5507	11	8	595	13	5	"	"	"
3	Piece Goods	70991	3	8	66715	13	8	"	"	"	4275	6	"
8	Indigo	25520	1	1	57497	13	2	31977	12	1	"	"	"
5	Country Do.	1901	7	8	2224	4	9	322	13	1	"	"	"
6	Jaggery	2467	9	8	2667	6	2	199	12	6	"	"	"
7	Ghee	2611	1	7	3200	12	11	589	11	4	"	"	"
8	Iron											14	5 8
10	Cocoanuts	366	12	7	352	6	11	"				46	8 8
11	Cumbly	388	"	8	291	8	5	"				1177	4 7
12	Gingelly Oil Seeds	12284	15	10	11107	11	3	"					
13	Lamp Oil Seeds	1593	11	6	1696	9	7	102	14	1	"	"	"
14	Eloopa Seeds	802	7	1	569	7	4	"				232	15 9
15	Leathers	264	15	9	445	13	11	180	14	2	"	"	"
16	Woods &c.	539	11	9	252	5	9	"				287	6 "
17	Silk thread	169	13	3	194	4	6	24	7	3	"	"	"
18	Sundries	257	3	"	306	"	1	48	13	1	"	"	"
	Total Amanee	130418	13	10	158887	15	9	34603	9	2	6134	7	3
	Places where the Duty on Tobacco, Betle and Ganjah &c. are rented out												
	Porto Novo	3233	5	11	3682	"	"	448	10	1	"	"	"
	Chelliambrum	1187	7	9	1482	"	"	294	8	3	"	"	"
	Punrootty	651	4	8	754	"	"	102	11	4	"	"	"
	Cuddalore	7666	15	7	8272	"	"	605	"	5	"	"	"
	Trepapore	3246	10	"	3240	"	"	"	"	"	6	10	"
	Total Rent	15985	11	11	17430	"	"	1450	14	1	6	10	"
	Total Amanee & Rent	146404	9	9	176317	15	9	36054	7	3	6141	1	3
	Duty for Stamping Weights and Measures	405	3	5	4291	4	6	24	11	1	"		
	Grand Total	146809	13	2	176747	14	3	36079	2	4	6141	1	3
								Deduct Decrease			6141	1	3
								Nett Increase			29938	1	1

Errors Excepted Ft. St. George (Signed) C. H. Hallett
Coll.

1650. Fort St. George 12th December 1842.-

№ 2. Statement Shewing the Settlement of Sayer Revenue in Fusly 1251, in Comparison with Fusly 1250, in the Southern Devison of Arcot.-

Number	Sayer Chowkies.	Fusly 1251			Fusly 1251.			Increase			Decrease		
		CRs.	a	f	CRs.	a	f	CRs.	a	f	CRs.	a	f
1	Porto Novo	5,706	10	7	5,692	7	5	"	"	"	14	3	2
2	Chedumbrum	8813	1	3	7,044	11	3	"	"	"	1,768	6	"
3	Laulpettah	2,656	15	3	4,188	3	5	1,531	4	2	"	"	"
4	Punrotty	30,656	3	7	33,877	12	"	3,221	8	5	"	"	"
5	Verdachellum	5,678	6	"	6,428	3	2	749	13	2	"	"	"
6	Trenomalie	4,961	4	6	3,727	"	5	"	"	"	1,134	4	1
7	Lindivanum	899	4	7	1,693	15	6	794	10	11	"	"	"
8	Coleanoor	10,672	2	1	13,822	11	8	3,150	9	7	"	"	"
9	Coringepaudy	18,318	4	5	24,653	10	1	6,335	5	8	"	"	"
10	Pittagoody	4,254	4	11	4,284	11	8	30	6	9	"	"	"
11	Oolundoor	7,534	6	10	14,834	9	3	7,300	2	5	"	"	"
12	Trecu Coro	4,424	12	2	5,632	1	6	1,207	13	8	"	"	"
13	Teagadoorgum	9,025	14	5	10,233	"	1	1,207	1	8	"	"	"
14	Cundachepoorum	5,072	7	"	6,395	7	10	1,323	"	10	"	"	"
15	Chaitpute	4,841	11	"	6,716	3	11	1,874	8	11	"	"	"
16	Cuddalore	14,918	6	9	19,018	7	5	4,100	"	8	"	"	"
17	Trepapooloor	8,070	6	5	8,633	6	2	562	15	9	"	"	"
		146,404	9	9	176,317	15	9	32,830	3	3	2,916	13	3
	Collection on account of the Clerk of the Market	405	3	5	429	14	6	24	11	1	"	"	"
	Total	146,809	13	2	176,747	14	3	32,854	14	4	2,916	3	3
18	Madarapakum	2771	8	2	5,248	14	2	2,477	6	"	"	"	"
19	Colacoopum	18,025	11	1	14,032	"	4	"	"	"	3,993	10	9
20	Vindavoor	3665	10	9	5,327	6	8	1,661	11	11	"	"	"
21	Madalaput	6,819	6	11	7,446	5	5	626	14	6	"	"	"
22	Cundanungalum	7,591	7	4	9,153	6	7	1,561	15	3	"	"	"
	Total	38,873	12	3	41,208	1	2	6,327	15	8	3,993	10	9
	Grand Total	185,683	9	5	217,955	15	5	39,182	14	"	6,910	8	"

Nett Increase 32,272-6-"

2,334-4-11

29,908-1-1

July 1842 Errors Excepted. Wm. Signed C. H. Hallett
Collector

16651 Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

No. 1. in No. 2 Statement Shewing the Inland and Town Sayer Settlement in Fasly 1251 in Comparison with Fasly 1250 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.

No.		Fasly. 1250			Fasly. 1251			Increase			Decrease		
	Settlement of 17 Amanee Sayer	130418	13	10	158887	15	9	34600	9	2	6134	7	3
1	Betle	3382	8	1	3438	1	5	55	9	4	"	"	"
2	Tobacco	4911	14	3	5507	11	8	595	13	5	"	"	"
3	Piece Goods	70991	3	8	66715	13	8	"	"	"	4275	6	"
8	Indigo	25520	1	1	57497	13	2	31977	12	1	"	"	"
5	Country Do.	1901	7	8	2224	4	9	322	13	1	"	"	"
6	Jaggherry	2467	9	8	2667	6	2	199	12	6	"	"	"
7	Ghee	2611	1	7	3200	12	11	589	11	4	"	"	"
8	Iron	1734	1	10	1633	8	10	"	"	"	100	9	"
9	Tamarind	281	2	7	786	3	5	505	"	10	"	"	"
10	Cocoanuts	388	"	8	291	8	5	"	"	"	46	8	2
11	Cumbly	12284	15	10	11107	11	3	"	"	"	1177	4	7
12	Gingelly Oil Seeds	1593	11	6	1696	9	7	102	14	1	"	"	"
13	Lamp Oil Seeds	802	7	1	569	7	4	"	"	"	232	15	9
14	Eloopa Seeds	264	15	9	445	13	11	180	14	2	"	"	"
15	Leathers	539	11	9	252	5	9	"	"	"	287	6	"
16	Woods &c.	169	13	3	194	4	6	24	7	3	"	"	"
17	Silk thread	257	3	"	306	"	1	48	13	1	"	"	"
18	Sundries												
	Total Amanee	130418	13	10	158887	15	9	34603	9	2	6134	7	3
	Places where the Duty on Tobacco, Betle and Ganjah &c. are rented out												
	Porto Novo	3233	5	11	3682	"	"	448	10	1	"	"	"
	Chellumbrum	1187	7	9	1482	"	"	294	8	3	"	"	"
	Punrootty	651	4	8	754	"	"	102	11	4	"	"	"
	Cuddalore	7666	15	7	8272	"	"	605	"	5	"	"	"
	Trepapore	3246	10	"	3240	"	"	"	"	"	6	10	"
	Total Rent	15985	11	11	17430	"	"	1450	14	1	6	10	"
	Total Amanee & Rent	146404	9	9	176317	15	9	36054	7	3	6141	1	3
	Duty for Stamping Weights and Measures	405	3	5	429	14	6	24	11	1	"		
	Grand Total	146809	13	2	176747	14	3	36079	2	4	6141	1	3
								Deduct Decrease			6141	1	3
								Nett Increase			29938	1	1

Errors Excepted Ft. St. George / Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collr.

1652.

Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

No. 2 in No. 2 Statement Shewing the Frontier Sayer Settlement in Fusly 1251, in Comparison with Fusly 1250, in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Number	Names of the Sayer Chowkies and the Description of Articles.	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
		CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.
	Frontier Chowkies.				
1	Madarapatnum	2,771 8. 2	5,248 14 2	2,477 6 "	" " " "
2	Cottacoopum	18,025 11 1	14,032 " 4	" " "	3,993 10 9
3	Vurdavoor	3,665 10 9	5,327 6 8	1,661 11 11	" " "
4	Madalaput	6,819 6 11	7,446 5 5	626 14 6	" " "
5	Cundaumungalum	7,591 7 4	9,153 6 7	1,561 15 3	" " "
		38,873 12 3	41,208 1 2	6,327 15 8	3,993 10 9
	Particulars of the above 5 Frontier Chowkies				
1	Rent	363 3 3	355 6 1	" " "	7 13 2
	Peons	Signed	C. H.	Callett	Coll[r]

16,654. Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

No. 1. Statement shewing the Settlement on account of Abkary Revenue in Fusly 1251 in Comparison with Fusly 1250 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

	District	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
		Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	Crs. A P	
1	Tindevanum	3,800 " "	4530 " "	730 " "	" " "	
2	Trevandy	4,010 " "	5,010 " "	1.000 " "	" " "	
3	Villapooram	10,410 " "	9,000 " "	" " "	1410 " "	
4	Bowenghery	5,205 " "	4,280 " "	" " "	925 " "	
5	Munnargoody	2,520 " "	2,000 " "	" " "	520 " "	
6	Chellumbrum	4,020 " "	3,900 " "	" " "	120 " "	
7	Trenamalie	2,280 " "	3,250 " "	970 " "	" " "	
8	Verdachullum	1,920 " "	1,850 " "	" " "	60 " "	
9	Ellavenasore	1,550 " "	1,400 " "	" " "	150 " "	
10	Treenllore	1,610 " "	2,150 " "	540 " "	" " "	
11	Cullacoorchy	2,180 " "	1,920 " "	" " "	260 " "	
12	Chaitput	1,820 " "	2,410 " "	590 " "	" " "	
		41,315 " "	41,700 " "	3,830 " "	3,445 " "	
13	Cuddalore	21,000 " "	19,000 " "	" " "	2,000 " "	
	Grand Total	62,315 " "	60,700 " "	3,830 " "	5445 " "	
			Deduct Increase		3,830 " "	
			Nett Decrease		1,615 " "	

11th July 1842. Errors Expected [illegible] / Signed / C. A. Hallett
Collector.

16,653. Fort St. George 12th December 1842.

27	Benjamin	621 6 5	317 11 2	" " "	303 11 3	
28	Separa Seeds	24 15 8	6 15 9	" " "	17 15 11	
29	Copera	" " "	347 6 8	347 6 8	" " "	
30	Cocoanut	94 8 7	102 12 2	8 3 7	" " "	
31	French Plums &c.	371 14 1	621 9 6	249 11 2	" " "	
32	Turmeric	157 4 9	139 2 1	" " "	18 2 8	
33	China Glasses &c.	592 " "	" " "	" " "	592 " "	
34	Sugar Candy	691 9 4	64 4 10	" " "	627 4 6	
35	Pepper	65 1 3	31 8 11	" " "	33 8 4	
36	Wax	124 6 5	492 5 4	367 14 11	" " "	
37	Coriander Seeds	239 13 5	275 6 4	35 8 11	" " "	
38	Saltpetre	169 2 4	40 5 6	" " "	128 12 10	
39	Cummin Seeds	76 5 8	118 11 5	42 5 9	" " "	
40	Bottams	107 2 8	30 6 8	" " "	76 12 "	
41	Garlic	75 15 8	63 2 5	" " "	12 13 3	
42	Oil &c.	" " "	135 " 4	135 " 4	" " "	
43	Lavender	" " "	119 7 10	119 7 10	" " "	
44	Sealing Wax	" " "	110 4 5	110 4 5	" " "	
45	Sundries	1,121 " 9	2,115 7 9	994 7 "	" " "	
		3,885 3 12	4,208 1 2	2,484 8 2	10,150 3 3	2,334 4 11

11th July 1842. Errors Expected [illegible]

16655.

Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

No. 5 Statement Shewing the Settlement on account of Sundry Small Farms and Licences in Fusly 1250 in Comparison with Fusly 1251 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.-

Number	Discription.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
		CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	
1.	Tindevanum	266 14 5	130 3 "	" " "	135 11 8	
2	Trevandy	49 " "	52 4 "	3 4 "	" " "	
3	Villapooram	199 " "	111 " "	" " 4	88 " "	
4	Bowenghery	341 12 9	284 2 6	" " "	57 10 3	
5	Munnargoody	245 15 11	262 " "	16 " 1	" " "	
6	Chellumbrum	554 12 9	835 3 2	280 6 5	" " "	
7	Trenomallie	411 " "	646 " "	235 " "	" " "	
8	Verdachellum	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
9	Ellavenasore	66 " "	108 " "	42 " "	" " "	
10	Trecullore	411 " "	646 " "	235 " "	" " "	
11	Cullacoorchy	125 2 6	201 2 3	75 15 9	" " "	
12	Chaitput	414 " "	646 " "	232 " "	" " "	
		3,086 10 7	3,921 14 11	1,119 10 3	284 5 11	
13	Cuddalore	496 12 11	451 2 6	" " "	45 10 5	
	Grand Total	3,583 7 6	4,373 1 5	1119 10 3	330 " 4	Increase 789-9-11
	Particulars.					
1	Ferry Rents Rented	69 " "	677 " "	608 " "	" " "	
	Ferry Rents Amanie	985 5 "	770 11 2	" " "	214 9 10	
2	Senbonamputtay Money & Way Fees Rented	1,460 " "	2,300 " "	840 " "	" " "	
3	Fish Rented	487 8 "	296 8 "	" " "	191 " "	
4	Palmerah Joggery Do	36 " "	36 " "	" " "	" " "	
5	Bleaching Earth Do	256 " "	45 " "	" " "	211 " "	
6	Chank Fees Do	133 " "	52 8 "	" " "	80 8 "	
7	Rattan Do	49 " "	52 4 "	3 4 "	" " "	
8	Tamarind Tree Do	54 8 "	67 " "	12 8 "	" " "	
9	Sammandum Amanie	33 2 6	55 2 3	21 15 9	" " "	
10	Rent of Palmerah Toddy for making Jaggery	20 " "	21 " "	1 " "	" " "	
	Total Rented	2,565 " "	3,547 4 "	1,464 12 "	482 8 "	
	Total Amanie	1,018 7 6	825 13 5	21 15 9	214 9 10	Increase 789-9-11
		3,583 7 6	4,373 1 5	1,486 11 9	697 1 10	

11th July 1842.

E. E. Jr. /Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Collector.

16656 Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

No. 6. Statement shewing the Sale of the Stampt Papers and Cadjans in Fusly 1250 in Comparison with Fusly 1251 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

No.		Fusly 1250		Fusly 1251		Increase		Decrease		Remarks
		CRs	A P	CRs	A P	CRs	A P	CRs	A P	
1	Tindevanum	152	2 "	190	1 "	37	15 "	"	" "	
2	Trevandy	1,384	15 "	1,455	10 "	70	11 "	"	" "	
3	Villapooram	1,528	2 "	1,652	12 "	124	10 "	"	" "	
4	Bowanghery	1,461	8 "	1,549	4 "	87	12 "	"	" "	
5	Mannargoody	239	5 "	339	4 "	99	15 "	"	" "	
6	Chedumbrum	322	11 "	252	14 "	"	" "	69	13 "	
7	Trinamallie	473	" "	386	13 "	"	" "	86	3 "	
8	Verdachellum	825	5 "	867	9 "	42	4 "	"	" "	
9	Ellavanasore	465	3 "	662	" "	193	13 "	"	" "	
10	Trecullore	672	14 "	702	7 "	29	9 "	"	" "	
11	Cullacoorchy	691	9 "	773	7 "	81	14 "	"	" "	
12	Chairpet	365	12 "	419	1 "	53	5 "	"	" "	
	Total	8,585	6 "	9,251	2 "	821	12 "	156	" "	
13	Cuddalore	7,967	8 "	7,662	11 "	"	" "	304	13 "	
	Grand Total	16,552	14 "	16,913	13 "	821	12 "	460	13 "	

E. E. Js / Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector

Statement

16657 Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

No. 7. Statement Shewing the Settlement on account of Moturpha Revenue in Fusly 1251 in Comparison with Fusly 1250 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

No.	Description.	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease			Remarks
		CRs	A	P	CRs	A	P	CRs	A	P	CRs	A	P	
1	Tindevanum	1,233	6	8	1,212	9	2	"	"	"	20	13	6	
2	Trevandy	5,259	2	5	5,125	1	10	"	"	"	134	"	7	
3	Villa													

16656 Vellajooram — Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

		3670 2 11	3546 13 7	" " "	123 5 4	
4	Bowangherry	5,781 7 2	5751 14 3	" " "	29 8 11	
5	Mannargoody	834 5 6	832 1 4	" " "	2 4 2	
6	Chellumbrum	4,138 9 11	4,106 11 6	" " "	31 14 5	
7	Trinomalie	1,695 6 10	1,661 2 3	" " "	37 4 7	
8	Verdachellum	5,118 8 5	5,120 8 6	2 " 1	" " "	
9	Ellavenasore	1,774 12 2	1,783 4 5	8 8 3	" " "	
10	Trecullore	2,594 7 7	2,522 10 9	" " "	71 12 10	
11	Cullacorrchey	2,805 4 2	2,959 12 1	154 7 11	" " "	
12	Chairpet	1,340 10 6	1,319 9 11	" " "	21 " 7	
	Total	36,249 4 3	35,942 3 7	165 " 3	472 " 11	Decrease 307 " 8
13	Cuddalore — Amaunie Iyen	3,132 " 7	3,174 10 6	42 9 11	" " "	
	Cuddalore — Moottah	257 10 3	257 10 3	" " "	" " "	
	Total	3,389 10 10	3,432 4 9	42 9 11	" " "	
	Grand Total	39,638 15 1	39,374 8 4	207 10 2	472 " 11	Decrease 264 6 9

Particulars.

Jin	39,327 3 11	39,062 13 2	" " "	264 6 9	
Susdick Davastanums	122 " 6	122 " 6	" " "	" " "	
Cavelly	189 10 8	189 10 8	" " "	" " "	

			6,193	6855		6,052	6,351		524	639	665	1176
1	4 Anna Cutjans	[redacted]	1,602	400	8	1,736	433	12	133	33	4	
	8 Do.		482	241		512	256		30	15		
	1 Rupee		248	248		239	239				9	9
	2 Do.		56	112		42	84				14	28
	4 Do.		11	44		8	32				3	12
	8 Do.		6	48		1	8				5	40
			2,405	1,093	8	2,537	1,052	12	163	48	4	31 89
	Sayer Rowannahs.		8,836	8,186	8	8,832	7,597	4	708	697	12	712 1257
6	4 Anna		6,184	1,546		6,258	1,564	8	74	18	8	
	8 Do.		4,653	2,326	8	4,644	2,322				9	4 8
	1 Rupee		1,048	1,048		1,048	1,048					
	2 Do.		688	1,376		743	1,486		55	110		
	4 Do.		263	1,052		463	1,852		200	800		
	10 Do.		5	50		6	50					
			12,841	7,398	8	13,161	8,322	8	329	928	8	9 4 8
7	1 Anna Paper		15,486	967	14	15,905	994	1	419	26	3	
			28,327	5,366	6	29,066	9,316	9	748	954	11	9 4 8
			37,163	16,552	14	37,898	16,913	13	1,456	1,652	7	721 1391 8
	Sea Custom Rowannahs Cuddalore and Porto Novo.		275	12		341			65	4		
			16,525	10		17,254	13		471	11		1291 8
	Total		36,249	4	3	35,942	3	7	109	2	3	
13	Cuddalore — Amaunie Iyen		3,132		7	3,174	10	6	42	9	11	
	Moottah		257	10	3	257	10	3				
	Total		3,389	10	10	3,432	4	9	42	9	11	
	Grand Total		39,638	15	1	39,374	8	4	207	10	2	472 11

Increase 426—3—

Decrease 264—6—9

Decrease 589—4—

This is to be attributed to the increase derived in the Sayer Branches of Revenue.

Particulars.

Ain		39,327	3	11	39,062	13	2				264	6 9
Susdick Davastanums		122	6		122	6						
Cavelly		189	10	8	189	10	8					

1665.

Particulars of the above.

| | | |

1110

Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

Collector

Southern.

Total Charges																								
Net Revenue	136927	1	1	125044	5	1	83444	8	10	-	-	-	41599	12	3									
Per Centage of the Charges	34	1	8½	10	9	5½	47	7	8½	-	-	-	-	-	-									
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																								
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Charges for Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
LAND CUSTOMS.																								
Gross Collections	235177	15	1	188648	-	5	210831	7	0	33183	7	3	-	-	-									
Charges of Collection	20002	12	3	20040	12	10	21711	-	2	-	-	-	2029	12	0									
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Charges „ Drawback	5710	3	6	4158	2	10	12669	10	3	8401	7	5	-	-	-									
Total	207220	15	9	202220	15	5	244200	14	5	8401	7	5	2329	12	0									
Net Revenue	27957	16	4	15419	-	7	194450	13	3	270	31	12	6	-	-									
Per Centage of the Charges	13	7	3¼	15	8	8½	15	11	4½	-	-	-	-	-	-									
SEA CUSTOMS.																								
Gross Collections	14309	15	7	14580	7	3	139856	14	6	-	-	-	A 545	8	10									
Charges of Collection	8875	2	5	3924	4	-	3087	-	3	4	-	-	37	3	9									
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Total	8875	2	5	3924	4	-	3087	-	3	-	-	-	37	3	9									
Net Revenue	164341	3	2	105756	3	3	100567	14	2	-	-	-	508	5	1									
Per Centage of the Charges	20	1	1	27	1	-	37	13	5	-	-	-	-	-	-									

B. This increase chiefly owing to the payment of arrea of last Monsoo -tair due June 1840 to 30 June 1 in the current ye

C. This increa arising to the p -sent of arrea being larger -

ABKARY.																								
Gross Collections	61825	14	1	59577	2	5	60767	-	9	1089	14	4	-	-	-									
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Net Revenue	61825	14	1	59577	2	5	60767	-	9	1089	14	4	-	-	-									
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																								
Gross Collections	3557	2	9	3540	10	5	4070	5	5	521	11	-	-	-	-									
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Net Revenue	3557	2	9	3540	10	5	4070	5	5	521	11	-	-	-	-									
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																								
Gross Collections Stamps	19521	11	-	17482	10	-	17722	11	-	240	1	-	-	-	-									
Charges	1030	6	11	858	7	6	882	10	9	2	8	8	-	-	-									
Net Revenue	18491	2	1	16632	2	6	16870	-	8	237	13	9	-	-	-									
Per Centage of the Charges	5	4	5½	4	13	10	4	12	11¾	-	-	-	-	-	-									
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																								
Gross Collections	39139	10	10	36880	11	9	40313	11	10	3433	-	1	-	-	-									
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Net Revenue	39139	10	10	36880	11	9	40313	11	10	3433	-	1	-	-	-									
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
GRAND TOTAL.																								
Gross Collections	589135	8	10	471239	11	11	514540	0	1	43854	5	-	545	0	10									
Charges	105502	13	6	61561	7	9	114564	1	7	5536	10	3	2367	-	5									
Net Revenue	483632	11	4	409678	4	2	399984	6	6	-	-	-	9693	13	8									
Per Centage of the Charges	17	14	6	13	1	¼	22	4	23¼	-	-	-	-	-	-									
GRAND TOTAL.																								
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	551083	12	3	467954	12	7	476130	14	5	14384	1	10	-	-	-									
Charges after deductions for Do	67421	1	11	52076	8	5	76154	7	11	2407	15	6	-	-	-									

Note

Amount of Nett Re

Deduc

Amount of Nett

Charg

Deduct Nett

Collect

Nett amount

the Nett Re

Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

No 9. List of the Accounts accompanying the Report of the Extra branches of Revenue for July 1842.

3 Printed Statements in triplicate.

1 Statement marked A of Demand Collection and Balances
1 Do Do B of Do Do Do in Arrears.
1 Do Do C Shewing the Quantity of Salt manufactured & sold
1 Do Do D Shewing the Salt Exported to the neighbouring Zillahs
1 Do Do No 1 Shewing the Quantity of Salt sold
1 Do Do No 2 Shewing the Settlement of Sayer Revs with 2 inclosures

Viz No 1 for 17 Inland Chowkies & No 2 for 5 Frontier Chowkies.

1 Do Do No 3 of Sea Custom Collections.
1 Do Do No 4 of Abkarry
1 Do Do No 5 of Sundry Small Farms &ca
1 Do Do No 6 of Stamp Revenue.
1 Do Do No 7 of Moturpha Revenue.

7 Windowy Statements.

Total

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector

Entered

10,607

Order thereon.

(44-45)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From C. H. Hallett Esqr
Collector of Arcot

Sir,

(46)

Para 1. I observe in the Fort St George Gazette that no Salt is included in the Statement as ready for Exportation at Marcanum.

2. As this last year Vessels did not call for it, it is possible that from Cuddalore or Pondicherry there may be applications.

3. As moreover there is fully sufficient Salt in Store at Marcanum for all Inland consumption, and demand, over and above the 2 Lacs reserved for Calcutta, I have the honor to suggest that the opportunity be still given to Vessels to ship there - and that the advertisement to such effect continue in the Gazette.

Cuddalore Collr's Cutchy
6th December, 1842.

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Resolution thereon.

(46-47)

The Salt at Marcanum was omitted from the advertisement, consequently on the Board's order of the 17th Ultimo, that the Collector should

should endeavour to dispose of it for inland consumption. But agreeably to his recommen=tion it shall again be included in the lists

Read again letter from the Col=tor of Tinchinopoly dated 12th and entered in Consultation 24th ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To.

To the Collector of Tinchinopoly.

The Collector of Tinchinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 12th Ultimo submitting for the=tion a Statement of arrears of pension paya=ble to Sevoboojee and Salee Bayee. This pen=tion appears to have been transferred from Madras to your Treasury under the authority conveyed in the Board's letter of the 7th June 1824. but in that letter the name of Jeoraj only is entered as widow of the late annuit=ant Row Yeamiahdar and no mention is there=in made of the name of Salee Bayee as a sha=rer in the pension in question. You will there=fore be pleased to state who Salee Bayee

and under what circumstance her name is included in the list now submitted.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
12th December, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

From W. M. Blair Esqre
Pro. Collr of Canara.

To
J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

49

Para 1. I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that on my visiting Tenders for the supply of 1800 Candies of Surjoody Tobacco required for the Monopoly of this district, for Fusly 1252, the lowest offer ultimately made (after keeping the contract open for three days) was by Jangbel Krist=apah a Soukar from Mysore, at the rate of 55 Rupees per Candy, on the usual terms. This rate is considerably above the contract price

1247	54	7	6
1248	55	11	5
1249 {22/543}	51	8	—
1250	48	—	—
1251	50	—	—
	259	10	11

of last year, and also higher than the average of the last 5 years; but in consequence of the failure of the Contractor in the past year and the uncertainty of the produce of the crops

crops of this season, it is quite as favorable as could be expected, and I beg therefore to recommend it for acceptance.

2. Junghel Kristapah is a person of great respectability at Mysore, and he has named as his security, one Cupar Venkatarayo Chetty, a Soukar resident at Mysore, regarding whose competence I have addressed the Superintendent of the Ashtagram Division.

Prin.l Coll.rs Cutch.y Mangalore, 25th November, 1842.

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.

Resolution thereon.

(49-50)

The Board of Revenue authorize the Principal Collector of Canara to accept the tender made by Junghel Kristapah for the supply of 1,800 Candies of Tobacco required for the monopoly of that District for Fusly 1252 on the terms stated in the foregoing letter provided that Mr. Blair is satisfied of the competency of the security named.

From the Sub Coll.r in charge of Canara.

(51)

Read Statement of Contingent charges incurred in Fusly 1251 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue compared with the preceding Fusly.

Order thereon.

(51-52)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following Letter &c.

To,
J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Madras.
To Bd 1st Dec.r 1841.
From Do 13th July 1842.

Sir,
Para 1.

(53)

With reference to the correspondence entered in the Margin, I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, Copy of a letter from Messrs Arbuthnot and Co.a under yesterday's date, and of the Bill therein enclosed for the amount payable to the Lessee of Cochrane's Canal for one year from 1st December 1841 to 30th November 1842, Rupees 14,061—11—7. for the payment of which I beg leave to request that the requisite sanction may be obtained.

2. It will be seen from Paras 45 to 49 of my report of date the 26th September last No. 82 that the Gross Collections of toll on the Canals for Fusly 1251* amounted to Rupees 27,539—12—4. The whole of the charges, including the rent payable to the Lessee, amounted to Rupees 16,792—12—10 and the Net revenue to Rupees 10,746—15—6.

* Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42.

Madras, 2nd December 1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Collector &c.

16666 Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

No. 1.

To. The Collector of Madras.

Sir. We have the honor to submit our bill for the stipulated amounts due to the Proprietor of Lord Clive's Canal for one year from 1st December 1841 to 30th November 1842, being Rupees / 14.061 — 11 — 7 / Fourteen thousand and Sixty one, Annas Eleven and Pice Seven; which we request may be forwarded to Government to be passed for payment.

/ Signed / Arbuthnot & Co.

The Honorable Company Dr.

To the stipulated amount payable to the Proprietors of Lord Clive's Canal from 1st December 1841 to 30th November 1842 being one year — C. Rs. 14.061 — 11 — 7.

E. E.

Received the amount Viz. Company's Rupees Fourteen thousand and Sixty one, Annas Eleven and Pice Seven.

Madras, 1st December 1842.

/ True Copies / / Signed / Arbuthnot & Co.

/ Signed / A Robertson Collector.

16667 Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.-

To the Acting Chief Secy to Government

53-54

To. The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras, submitting a Bill from Mssrs. Arbuthnot & Co. for Rupees 14,061 — 11 — 7 being the amount payable to them as Lessee of Cochrane's Canal for one year from the 1st of December 1841 to the 30th of November 1842.-

2. It will be observed from Mr. Robertson's letter that the Gross Collections of toll in the Canal within the above period was Rupees 27,539 — 12 — 4. and the Net Profit to Government, after deducting charges including the Lessee's Rent, amounted to Rupees 10,746 — 13 — 6.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th Decr 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the following letter. From.

1668. Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

From the Supt. of Male Asylum Preps. } Secretary Revenue Board ---------- Dr.
To the Male Asylum Preps.

1842.

55 Novr. 28th To printing 1040 Copies Abstract of Demand A. 3 pages @ 7 Rupees per page per 250 Copies ---------- 87 5 9

" 60 " Dr. B Dr. 21 " "

pasting 9 Reams, 12 Quires and 12 Sheets Medium paper 5 " "

Rupees -- 113 5 9

Company's Rupees one hundred and thirteen, Annas five and Pice Nine.

Madras, Asylum Press Office. 1st December 1842. } (Signed) E. Marsden Superintendent.

Ordered that the foregoing Bill be submitted with the following address.-

To. The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Chief Secy. to Govt. } Sir,

55-56 With reference to the Resolution of Government under date the 20th November 1835, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Bill due to the Male Asylum

Dated 1st Decr. 1842 — In Govt. 13th Novr. 1835.

1669. Fort St. George, 12th December 1842.

Asylum Press for printing Revenue Statements amounting to Rupees 113 5 9. and to solicit the sanction of Government for the same.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th December, 1842. } (Signed) J. D'Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Head Assistant Collector in charge of Guntoor ---------- } Register of

57 Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of October last.-

From the Pun.d of Madura. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him

58 for the Month of November last.-

Order on the two abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

57-59 Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

To. The Collector of Chingleput.

To the Coll.r of Chingleput. In Coun.l 17th Oct. 1842. } Sir,

60 By the Statement submitted by you under date the 14th October last it appears that of the quantity of 3 lacs of Bengal maunds of Salt allotted to your district for exportation to Calcutta in the Current year there

there was at that date unreported 968720 Bengal Maunds, and as no further Returns have been received from you, the Board request you will state whether any and what quantity of Salt has since been exported and why the usual Weekly Returns have not been furnished.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th December, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To the Collector of Chingleput.

61

Sir,

In referring to you the accompanying Petition No. 1836 of 1842 from Veerasawmy Pillay of Mathoor for such notice as the circumstances therein stated may seem to merit, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be good enough to report whether the Petitioner's Ploughs or Implements of husbandry together with his Cattle trained to the Plough, have been attached as asserted in this Petition, and if so, under what circumstances.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th December, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To.

To the Collector of Malabar.

No. 1407 of 1842.

62

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward a Petition noted in the Margin addressed by the Vakeel to the Abkary renters of your District for July 1257 and referring to your order to these renters dated 14th July 1842, which accompanied their Petition, to request you will be good enough to report more fully on the several allegations contained in this Petition and to explain how the Cowlna. -mah was inaccurately drawn up-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th December, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

63

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous Letters and Statements.-

Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 and VI of 1831 for the Month of November last.- Stating that no

From the Principal Collector of Madura.

64

Reporting that no Salt was seized not confiscated during

during the Month of November last.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Return of Persons
convicted and in confinement under
(65) Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of
August last—

From the Collector of Malabar.— Return of Persons
convicted and in confinement under
(66) Regulation IX of 1822 from August to Novem-
ber last.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Return of Persons
suspended and dismissed under the Provisions
(67) of Regulation VII of 1831 for the Months of
August and October last.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Return of Fines
and stoppages made from the pay of
(68) the public Servants from 1st July to
30th September last.

From the Collector of Malabar. Return of alter-
(69) -tions in the list of Fines and stoppages
from 1st July to 30th September last.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Reporting that
(70) no public Servants were suspended or
dismissed from 1st July to 30th Septem-
-ber last.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Reporting that no
(71) revenue

revenue defaulters were in confine-
-ment during the Quarter ending 30th
September last—

From the Collector of Malabar. Reporting that
(72) no cases were either tried or persons con-
fined under Regulation IX of 1822 during
the Months of July, September, October,
and November last—

From the Collector of Malabar.— Reporting that
(73) no Persons were suspended or dismiss-
-ed under the Provisions of Regula-
-tion VII of 1831 during the Months of July
and September last—

From the Principal Collector of Nellore.— Return of persons
(74) charged with Offences under Regulation
IX of 1822 for the Month of October last—

From the Principal Collector of Nellore.— Statement shewing
(75) the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready
for exportation on account of the
Chittagong Indent of 1841/42 to 30th Novem-
-ber 1842.

From the Collector of Tinchinopoly. Return of Fines
(76) imposed and stoppages made from the
pay

pay of the Public Servants from 1st July to 30th September last.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from Employment from 1st July to 30th September last.

74

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Stating that certain Returns for the Quarter ending 30th September last are not sent there being no entry to make.-

78

" Chint.

" N. Madras

" A. G. Masulipatam

" Cuddapah

" Salem

" Coimbatore

" Tanjore

" Trichinopoly

" Madura

" Tinnevelly

" Malabar

" Canara

" Coorg

" Neilgherry

" Vizagapatam

" Ganjam

" Rajahmundry

" Guntoor

" Nellore

" Arcot

" Chingleput

" Bellary

" Cuddapah

" Salem

" Coimbatore

" Tanjore

" Trichinopoly

" Madura

" Tinnevelly

" Malabar

" Canara

" Coorg

" Neilgherry

" Vizagapatam

" Ganjam

" Rajahmundry

" Guntoor

" Nellore

" Arcot

" Chingleput

" Bellary

Copy

Diary.

13th December. Received the following letter.

1

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From W. Haylett Esqre
Madras.

Sir,

Soliciting attention to his former letter pointing out the hardship entailed upon the purchasers of Sandalwood the produce of Mysore by the erroneous date of the valuation on which the duties are levied at Madras.-

Sometime ago I did myself the honor of addressing the Board, endeavouring to point out the hardship entailed upon the purchasers of Sandalwood, the produce of Mysore by the erroneous date of the valuation on which the duties are levied, but as it has not hitherto claimed the Board's consideration, I am appre-hensive that I did not make the matter appear to them in the same light that it does to me, otherwise they probably would have devised means whereby the necessity of my addressing this letter on the same subject would have been rendered unnecessary. Trusting however that on this occasion I may succeed in convincing the Board of the necessity of recommending the present tariff to be altered to the market value of the article at Madras, and that the selection or classi-fication of the wood, as determined by the up country authorities

authorities be not disturbed by the Appraiser of the Land Custom House here. I proceed to shew.

That the wood is assorted by the most competent Judges chosen from the residents of the District producing it, that different qualities are accurately described in the public advertisements of the sale or sales, and that the transit duties are levied on the wood as it had been classed. That it then comes to Madras and is subjected to the inspection of the Appraiser, who probably sees Sandalwood for the first time in his life and who from his ignorance of the article, and his doing so is not to be wondered at, pronounces the 5th Sort which is declared by the Mysore wood cutter to be the worst description to be 1st sort merely because it is the largest sized altho' it is a well known and an indisputable fact that size forms no criterion of quality, and the result is that a fictitious value is liable to be if not actually given at the Land Custom House, which involves such uncertainty at the time of sale as deters. —

Natives

natives from purchasing, as an inspection of the Mysore Records will prove. It will not have escaped the observation of the Board that altho' Sandalwood is not more than half the value in the China Market of what it formerly was, the tariff here remains unaltered, a fact which of itself alone, I should think, would suggest to the Board the propriety of abolishing transit duty on Sandalwood, and this would remove all doubts from the minds of Natives as to future exactions and of course increase the number of bidders for the article at the time of sale, and I hope may in future be adopted —

Madras ——— 13th December 1842 }

(Signed) W. Haylett.
Sent the following letter.

1-2

in reply —

To W. Haylett Esqre

To W. Haylett Esqre Sir, In reply to your letter of this date, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information, a copy of the + order of Government on your former application, addressed to the Chief Secretary, to refund of the duty levied from you on Sandalwood at the Madras Land Customs House. This decision was not communicated to you at the time from this office under the apprehension that you had been made acquainted with it direct by Govt.

+ August 29 - 1840
° July 25 - 1840

Revenue Board office Fort St. George — 15th December 1842. }

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary —

At a Meeting Present

Thursday --- 15 th. December 1842. } John Dent Esqr. Senior Member.
A Maclean Esqr. Third Member.

and

Sir. H. C. Montgomery Bart Acting Temp. Member.

G. D. Drury Esqr. Second Member absent on duty.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

From the Sec. to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 3d. December 1842.

3

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Management of the Government Estates in the District of Masulipatam for Fusly / A.D. 1250 / 1840/41 } (Here enter 17th Nov. 1842 No. 5114)

	Rs. a.	
Fusly 1249 / A.D. 1839-40	13243	Para 1. The Government regret to observe that the increase in the revenue of fusly 1249 have been
Fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840-41	3719	met by a corresponding fall in the Fusly under review-
Decrease	9524	

2. A decrease of more than two thirds demands, in the opinion of the Government, the most searching enquiry - The remarks of the Board on this head are pertinent and appropriate, it remains now only that Mr. Porter be desired to commence the investigation at once; ere a further lapse of time shall have added to its natural difficulties, and that he be moreover enjoined to submit a special report on the result-

3. The price of grain remaining almost

almost the same in both years, cannot be placed as an off set to the startling decrease of the settlement, it may be looked for, the Government are inclined to believe, with more correctness, in the measures adverted to in Para 1 of the Board's proceedings-

4. The Amany, or equal division of produce, system, is, it is well known, replete with fraud, and and when the Board have tested the accuracy and fitness of the Kytapully surveys and assessment, the propriety of extending it should be considered-

5. The ready money collections have also largely fallen off, in addition to which there was the heavy balance on the total demand of Rupees 627. the Kytapully settlement alone having been realized-

	Rs.	
Fusly 1249 / A.D. 1839-40	2,167	
Fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840-41	1,606	

6. Much arable land remains uncultivated. the sudden rise of the revenue in fusly 1249 and fall in Fusly 1250 shew an instability of the system especially to be obviated, and prove that these estates call for the Collector's most serious attention-

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Acting Secretary to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon - Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Masulipatam whose attention is particularly requested to Para 2

3-4

Extract

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

From the Sec. to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 3rd December 1842.

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1251.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42. } Here enter 11th Nov. 1841 No. 573.

Para 1

Fusly 1250 — A.D. 1840/41 — 6,38,120.

1251 — A.D. 1841/42 — 6,42,179.

Increase Rs. 4,059

The total demand on all Extra Sources was Rs. 6,42,179 being 4059 above that of the preceeding year. Of the former sum 1,1244 only remained outstanding at all the close of the Fusly, which subsequent Collections reduced on the 30th August to Rs. 971.

5

2

Fusly 1250 — A.D. 1840/41 — 1,5,495.

1251 — A.D. 1841/42 — 64278

Increase 1,8782

The Charges however having considerably increased for reasons hereafter stated the net revenue for the year amounted 5,90,773 or 5317 below that of Fusly 1250. The principal fluctuations occur in the items of -

Salt	Net Decrease	28032
Sea Customs	Increase	5593
Abkarry	Do.	4544
Sundry Small Farms	Do.	3570
Moturpha	Do.	9308

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

3

The decrease in the net revenue derived from this head was occasioned chiefly by the Increase of charges* arising not only from a large quantity of Salt having been purchased from the Manufacturers, but also from the greater part of this purchase having been effected at the enhanced price of 10 Rupees per Garee - being 3 Rupees above the old Standard, an arrangement sanctioned by the Government in order to equalize the rates in Tinnevelly with those of other Districts.

* 16th July 1840

4-

Adimenished sale at one of the Pans, Amounting, as compared with last year, (including the sales at two pans in the immediate vicinity) to a net decrease of about 4500 Rupees, aided in the deficiency - The cause stated, should in future if possible be avoided, by a sufficient quantity of old Salt being retained to take advantage of the contingency afforded by the arrival of the Cotton for exportation -

The low price of grain may also have

5

diminished the capital usually employed in the purchase of Salt, the sales in which however for the year under consideration will bear a favorable comparison with those

those of former periods, and exhibit no fluctuation save what might reasonably be expected.

Sea Customs and Abkarry.

6 The increase in the former was occasioned by enlarged importation of Betel nut from Ceylon and exports of piece goods, jaggery &c. and in latter resulted from increased biddings in the rent, and from collections of arrears.

Sundry Small Farms.

7 The demand for this year was above Fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840/41 by Rs. 8529 consequent on enhanced biddings in the First rent and from the Chank Fishery having been rented during the entire Fusly which was only partly the case in the previous one. Sundry stated charges however brought the net increase to the sum abovementioned or Rupees 3470.

Motor Sha-

8. It will be sufficient to state that the result shewn above was occasioned by large Collections of arrears, the Current Settlement shewing a decrease of 1089 Rupees: the Government reserve any observations on its principles to their consideration of the report which the Board lead them to hope may be expected at an early period

other

† 13170 Rupees

Fusly 1250 — 10960
A.D. 1840/41

1251 — 10871
A.D. 1841/42

Rupees 1089

* Para 20

no remark. 9 Other items appear to call for the Most Honorable the Governor in Council will therefore conclude with his approbation of the Management of these Sources of Revenue at the hands of the Collector, and of the exertions of Mr. Pringle in the Salt, and Fane in Sayer, Department.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Walter. Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation, be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to the Board's Proceedings on the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1251 dated 16th November 1842.

(5-6)

Extract

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

From the Sec.y to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under dated 6th December 1842.

Read the following letter from Mulliah Counden Hereditary Puttahdar of the Mootoo Naud of the Sherwaroy Hills in the Salem District.

Submitting an appeal to the home Authorities in respect to the Mootoo Naud of the Sherwaroy Hills in the Salem District of which he states he was elected Head Man and renter, but in consequence of large tracts of land having been taken up by several parties for Coffee plantation, and the office of Puttadar having been separated from that of Head of Caste by the Collector, the rent fell into arrears, and he has been dispossessed of the Naud. Praying that his case may be taken into consideration and orders issued for restoring the rent of the Naud to him ----------

Here enter 3d Sept.r 1842

Para 2 Resolved however in reference to the Statement contained in the Memorial of Mr. K.A. Neave being in possession of land from 400 to 500 Acres in extent on the Sherwaroy Hills - that the Board of Revenue be called upon to explain the circumstances and authority under which he obtained so extensive a tract, to what purposes the land is applied and whether it has been assessed to the revenue. An Extract from the Memorial will

For forwarding Extract from the Memorial of the Hereditary Puttadar of the Mootoo Naud of the Sherwaroy Hills in Salem and requesting the Board to explain the circumstances and authority under which Mr. K.A. Neave obtained from 400 to 500 Acres of land on those Hills, to what purposes the land is applied, and whether it has been assessed to the revenue.

7

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

will be transmitted to the Board to enable them to obtain the information.

Extract from the Memorial of Mulliah &c. Counden of the Mullialar Caste and hereditary Puttahdar of the Mootoo Naud of the Sherwaroy Hills in the Salem District. To the Honble the Chairman and Court of Directors of the East India Company London of 3d September 1842.

Mr. M.D. Cockburn when Collector of Salem by force of power and abuse of his authority, and setting at naught his oaths of service and of office, seized upon and appropriated to himself all the best lands of the Chief Village of this Naud, and expelled the proprietors, thereof. and Mr. K.A. Neave got possession of between 4 or 500 Acres more within the last three years when Judge at Salem, under not very dissimular circumstances. The Madras Government cannot but be aware of these oppressive Acts and malpractices of their covenanted Servants, for Mr. Donell reported it in 1829. in Mr. Cockburn's case, and Mr. Neave virtually acknowledged it in his own not long since, in transmitting an Appeal suit of your Petitioner for trial by the Provincial Court, he being precluded trying it as he stated, by being "an interested party;" and yet all these

See the Sudr Court Proceedings of the 12th October 1840.

16686 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

these Acts to the vexation and oppression of your Petitioner and his tribe have suffered to pass unnoticed.

/Signed/
Mulliah Coumdon

/True Extracts/
/Signed/ Walter Elliat
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To
The Principal Collector of
Salem.

To the Pl. Collr. of Salem — Sir.

(7-8)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your very particular attention, and early report, copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government

In Cons. 15th Decr. 1842. bearing date 6th Instant and of the Extract of Memorial which accompanied it. In the Statement of lands, held by Settlers without grants on the Shevaroy Hills, received with your letter of the

In Cons. 6th June 20th May 1842, it is observed that acres 252- gs. 16- as. 13 are put down to the name of Mr. Reave, of which nearly forty acres are under

16687 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

under cultivation. You are requested to ascertain in what manner he became possessed of this land, what is its present condition whether or not enclosed or cleared, how the land under cultivation is employed, and what is done with the produce, by what agency the proprietor manages the land, and whether and in what manner it is assessed to the revenue. You will also enquire whether Mr. Reave has any other land besides that mentioned in the Statement, either in his own name or that of any other person, and if he has, you will make the same enquiries regarding that also.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th December 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following Extract.

From the Asst. Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th December 1842.

Read the following Papers.

(9)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Recommending the grant of 2000 5/16 Cawnies of land to Mr. Groves and 500 Cawnies to Mr. Lasselles Junior on the Neilgherry Hills, free of rent for the first five years, and subject to assessment after that period.

/Here enter 30th June 1842. No. 281./

Extract.

16688 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Malabar with the Plan which accompanies it with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 30th Ultimo regarding an application for land on the Neilgherry Hills in behalf of Mr. Lascelles Junior.. / Here enter 18th July 1842 No. 302 /

\+ + +

Resolved in conformity with the Suggestion of the Honorable Mr. Lushington that the applications of Mr. Groves and Mr. Lascelles for lands on the Neilgherries be referred for the consideration and orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors, and that a recommendation be at the same time made that no land shall be sold without previous advertisement in the News Papers, and that lands shall be sold only by Public Auction under the Superintendence of the Collector or the Officers Subordinate to him..

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Act. Secretary to Govt.

To,
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue..

Ordered

16689 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Order thereon,

10

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letters of the 10th June and 5th July..

Ordered also that the following letters be despatched..

To Mr. Groves

To Mr. Groves
The same to Mr. Lascelles Junior
9-11

Sir,

Adverting to your letter of the 20th March 1841 applying for some land on the Neilgherry Hills, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the Most Honble the Governor in Council has determined to refer your application for the consideration and orders of the Honorable Court of Directors

† Decr. 6th 1842

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
15th December 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

12

From the Sec. to Govt.

Granting a donation of 2,000 Rupees to the Agri Horticultural Society to enable it to aid in extending the Cultivation of the Philippine Mulberry throughout the Madras Presidency..

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th December 1842..

Read the following letter..

To,
The Secretary to Government
Public Department.
Fort St. George

Sir,

I am under instructions from the General Committee

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Committee of the Madras Agri-Horticultural Society, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Minutes of consultation under date 8th November 1842 No. 1335, intimating His Lordship's readiness to aid the Society in the extension of the cultivation of the Phillipine Mulbery, and desiring to be informed of the amount of donation that will be required for the purpose.

In my communication of the 5th Ultimo on the subject, I was directed to state that the Committee thought it advisable to leave the amount of donation to the liberality of His Lordship in Council, but as they are now called upon to mention what sum will be requisite, they have taken into consideration the extent to which the cultivation of the Phillipine Mulberry will be required to ensure its permanent introduction, and are of opinion that probably from 20 to 30 thousand Cuttings would not more than answer the purpose; and to remunerate the Society for its labour and expense in thus establishing so valuable a tree throughout the Presidency of Madras, which may probably require its continued cultivation in

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

in the Society's garden for at least 2 years, the Committee think that a sum of Rupees 2,000 would enable the Society to carry out this important object to every requisite extent.-

Agri. Horticultural Society's Office
Madras 30th November 1842

(Signed) Geo. G Mackenzie Captn
Secretary.

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant a donation of Rupees 2,000 two thousand to the Agri. Horticultural Society to enable it to aid in estending the cultivation of the Phillipine Mulberry throughout the Madras Presidency.-

(Signed) Walter Elliot-
Actg Secy to Govt.

12-13

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

From the Acting Secretary to Government

Read letter transmitting

14

printed Copy and translations of certain acts of the Supreme Government.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be lodged.

14-15

Read the following letter:-

To,

Jn D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

16

From G. A. Smith Esqre
Collr of Rajahmundry

Sir,

Submitting the information called for in

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 13th October calling

a letter of 13th October last regarding the subject of the introduction of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the District.

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

calling on me to report whether the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane has been introduced into this District, and if so, the extent and value of the land occupied, the results of the produce compared with that of the Common Country cane, together with the opinions of the natives as to its superiority, and the mode in which the produce is afterwards applied, and if to the extension of the manufacture of Sugar, its quality and comparative value.

2 In reply I beg leave to state that the Mauritius Sugar cane has been introduced into this District, but it cannot be said yet to have had a fair trial. In my first application for it, I met with some disappointment from Canes not having been available, and it was not until my Assistant Mr. T. L. Knox went on leave to Madras, that a supply of two hundred was brought up by him. These were distributed in four portions to different parties of the District, in the month of May 1841, but the experiment only succeeded in one place, namely, at the Village of Somepilly within the limits of the Nagarum Estate in the Southern part of the District. The Canes at the other

places

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

places are supposed to have been too much exposed to the sun before planting. Nevertheless those which came up were allowed by the natives to be far superior to the Natives Cane, and were preserved for seed for further trial.

3 It is to be observed that there is no good Sugar manufactured in this District at present, though it could be obtained to a very considerable extent by the application of Capital and the introduction of proper Apparatus. The only sugar manufactured by the natives is at Peddapore, but the quality is very coarse, and it is really little better than a superior description of Jaggery. This is sold at one rupee and a quarter the maund of twenty five pounds. The remaining canes of the District are generally employed in the manufacture of Jaggery, which is sold at from eight to twelve Annas per maund.

4 In the case of the experiment at Somepilly Sixty seed Canes were planted in about four hundred Square yards of land assessed at Rupee one in May 1841, and the Canes produced four hundred and forty in number, Cut in April 1842, when three hundred Canes yielded four and a half Maunds of Jaggery, while three hundred native canes only yielded one and a quarter Maund. The Jaggery thus produced was very good, but not so sweet as that of the native

Canes,

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Canes, and was valued at the same rate. This want of sweetness is attributed to the insufficient Manuring of the land. The remaining Canes produced in this land were appropriated for seed and have been planted in five hundred Square yards of ground.

5 Musters of the canes grown in the several parts of the District were sent to me for inspection and were planted in my garden, where they have had every advantage of manure and water, though the soil is a complete sandy one. They have all grown and are in a most healthy and flourishing Condition and it is my intention to get an experiment made with them at Peddapore, in order to see what quantity of Sugar they will yield and of what quality, compared with the native Canes, it being to be observed that the Sugar made at Peddapore is made direct from the juice of the cane in the same way as Jaggery is, merely by a difference in the mode of boiling it and the natives of this District are unacquainted with the process of making Sugar from Jaggery.

6 In conclusion, I have only to add that the slightest prejudice does not exist in the District against the mauritius Sugar Cane, but on the contrary its superiority is fully admitted, though it is probable the native cane will be preferred by the natives themselves for their own purposes from the fact of its being sweeter with less manure and water.

Zillah Rajahmundry, Collr's Office, Cocanada, 10th Decr 1842.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie on the Table.

(16-17 J.G.B.)

Proceedings

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

Read again the following letter from the Head Assistant Collector in charge of Masulipatam, transmitting a report drawn up by the late Collector on the subject of Bejakarch.

(18)

/Here enter 27th May 1842 No 23/

Proceedings thereon. Sanctioning the continuance of the Collection of Bejakarch under certain restrictions in the Masulipatam District.

Mr Ward informs the Board that the report which he forwards was drafted by the late Collector Mr Grant and Copied ready for his signature, but that from that Gentleman's sudden decease, it remained unsigned and he himself therefore signed and dispatched it after assuming charge of the District.

From Mg Coll. 27 June 1836 in Cons 14 July.

To 2d November 21.

From 2d 19th Jany 1837 in Cons 13th July.

To 2d 3d March 1837.

2. The subject of Bejakarch in the Masulipatam Collectorate has been partially before the Board on several occasions of late years; and the Board having called for information on some particular points, Mr. Grant in replying to those questions taken a general review of the subject. Bejakarch is the designation given in this District to all the miscellaneous expenses of a Village, which are here larger than in most districts, including batta to the various officers employed in the realization of a Revenue taken in Kind. There can be little doubt that this system has been made a plea for much exactions from the Ryots as well as fraud on the revenue; it has accordingly attracted the attention of several successive Collectors, some having endeavoured to place it under check, while others advocated its total abolition.

It

From Coll.r Oct.r 30. 1824 in Cons.s 8. Nov.r
Pro April 11. 1825.
Para 37 to 52.

3. It was on a proposal of this latter kind that a former Board took the subject into consideration at length and it is shown in their Proceedings that the extra Collections on this account are not of novel origin as the Collector had supposed but of ancient standing, and in practice in that they were customary at the time when the permanent settlement was made. The Board consequently negatived the Collectors proposition for the abolition of the Bojakurch, as an infringement on the Zemindar's rights. It is now only necessary to repeat that order, with reference to a similar proposal from a late Acting Collector. Where regular fixed assessments have been introduced sundry and miscellaneous Collections have usually been ascertained and commuted for, and incorporated in it; and till such a radical change may be effected in the Revenue system of Masulipatam, the Bojakurch must be permitted to continue.

Janry. 19. 1837.

4. But though it continuance is thus sanctioned, it is highly desirable that it should be subjected to whole some check and restriction, and the Collector's attention may be most beneficially

beneficially directed to this object, especially in the Estates under his own immediate management. The measures noticed by Mr. Grant (though indeed the system of auditing these charges does not seem to have originated with him) appear to the Board well calculated to effect this, if fully carried into practice, and the Board would recommend them to Mr. Porter's consideration.

†May 23d. 1842 P. 11 in Cons.s 16. June
From ag. Coll.r
Janry. 19. 1837. P. 4.

June 27. 1836.

5. Mr. Goldingham has stated that in some Villages the amount of Boja. kurch exceeds that of the Jummabundy, and in a subsequent and fuller report, he gives a most extraordinary example of three Villages, in which the Circar demand was 71-1-6, while that for Bojakurch was 92 Rupees, and as only the latter amount was collected, the whole was devoted to Bojakurch and nothing credited to Government. Mr. Grant also says that "the amount levied and paid under "this head is received with and disbursed from "the General Collections." If the Collections are allowed to be thus tampered with, while in the hands of the Village Officers, there can be no security for the Revenue & it is no wonder if large balances accrue.

†Janry. 19th. 1837. P. 3.

May 23d. 1842 P. 3.

Mr.

Mr. Goldingham goes on to observe that a large portion of the Bojakurch charge in this case was disallowed at the Examination but adds that this audit was in principle a nullity as no part of the amount disallowed was collected - It is hoped that the audit spoken of by Mr. Grant is made more effective or that means will be taken to make it so.

Order thereon.

(18-19)

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance.

Read again letter from the Collector of Masulipatam dated 23d. Ultimo and entered in Consultation 8th. Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

(20)

To the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Making that the termination of the dispute concerning certain Villages of the Charmahal Zemindary to which Venecatachella Naick laid claim is completely satisfactory and

In Cons.n 15th. Dec.r 1842.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 23d. Ultimo, reporting the result of the Application to the Sudder Adawlut regarding the claim of Venecatachella Naick on certain Villages of the Charmahal Zemindary, viz.: the decision of the Court that his lien

and requesting him to renew negotiation with that individual with a view to obtain his consent to certain arrangement for putting Pauhari Row in possession of his Zemindary.

Extract Minutes of Cons. Feby. 3d. 1841 - Para 2 in Cons. 22d. -

lien on these Villages ceased when he received from Government the sum for which they were specially engaged to him -

This termination of the dis-

2 -

pute concerning these Villages is completely satisfactory - But this question was only interlocutory; it still remains to effect some arrangement by which the benevolent designs of Government to relieve the Zemindar from his embarrassments, and put him once more in possession of his property may be realized - with this object Government have already expressed a readiness to give up part of its own dues, but this intention was rendered nugatory because from the large liabilities of the Zemindar to Venecatachella Naick, and the terms of the documents which that individual holds, and from the Estate being actually under attachment on writs issued by the Court at his instance, his consent is necessary to any arrangement for putting Pauhari Row in possession of his Estate, and that consent, the late Collector was unable to obtain -

From Coll.r May 21st. 1841 - Para 4 in Cons.n 10th. June -

Although Mr. Grant

3 -

failed

failed in that negociation, yet the Boards think it likely that if the case were properly and judiciously put before Vencatachella Nand, his concurrence in an arrangement for the object in view might be secured, and they are desirous that you should endeavour to come to an understanding with him. It will be in your power to shew him that his own interest counsels acquiescence. For by the very terms of the Rajenamah, his claim is postponed; and if he continues obstinate, Government will be driven to a sale, when he will certainly get nothing, as the arrear of Peishcush far exceeds the value of the Estate. But if on the other hand he is willing to shew liberality, Government on their part will relinquish a large part of their debt, and the remainder of his will be put in course of liquidation.

4. Besides this argument addressed to his self interest, the Board believe that you may successfully appeal both in the case of this and the other creditors

creditors to that high degree of respect in which Brahmins have ever been held in that part of the Country and they think that by these considerations, Vencatachella Nand may be induced to follow the example of a former heavy creditor of the family Vencata Narsimmah Naidoo and give up the interest which now constitutes a large proportion of his claim and for the gradual liquidation of the Principal and the other creditors following his example, may be willing to relax their demands also.

From Colr. Sept. 7th. 1828 in Cons. 15th.

5. The Board request that

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

that you will give your early attention to this subject, and report the terms on which it may be practicable to settle Pauperish row to the management of his Estate with a reasonable prospect of the regular payment of the Peishcush, and of the gradual discharge of his debts.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th December 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

J. G. B.

To

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

21

Sir,

From R. J. Porter Esqre
Coll. of Masulipatam

Submitting report on the Petitions presented to Government by Sinhadry Apparow and Vencatadry Apparow relative to the allowances due to them by the Zemindars of Nowzeed and Pedadavole vole and other claims.

Para 1. Agreeably to instructions conveyed in an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 7th of February last and in a subsequent communication bearing date the 23d June 1842, I have the honor to report upon the Petitions noted in the margin, which have been addressed to Government by Sinhadry Apparow and his brother Vencatadry Apparow.

No 15198 of 1841
& 1610
In Bd's Proceedings 7th February 1842
No 438 of 1842.
& 607
In Board's letter 23d June 1842.

2. The Petitioners pray 1st that the allowances guaranteed to them by the Zemindars of Nowzeed and Pedadavole may be paid to them, in arrears, and for the future, from the Government Treasury 2ndly that the balance of Peishcush, which, owing to a succession of bad seasons, has accumulated on the Village of Streeramavarum, granted on a supposed favorable tenure

to

to Sinhadry Appaiah, may be remitted
and that he may be allowed to hold
that Village rent free for the future
and 3rdly that he may be placed in
possession of another Village which
is intimated was promised by Sir T.
Adams, late Governor of Madras, as
one of the conditions of the arrangement
proposed by him in 1835, to induce the
Petitioners to withdraw the claims advanced by them to a share in the Noozeed
and Nedadavole Estates, and accept
an allowance in lieu thereof -

Petition No. 607 of 1842 -

3. It will be observed from the late Mr. Grant's letter of the 23d June 1840, that the Noozeed Zemindar agreed to pay his portion 1/3rd of the arrears due to the Petitioners by 6 instalments and the Monthly allowance of Rupees 200 regularly from the Month of January 1840. He has accordingly paid in to the Treasury the Monthly allowance from that period to April 1841, together with the sum of Rupees 2000, being in full of the sum which he agreed to pay on account of marriage expenses &c. for the Petitioners. The last mentioned sum was paid to Sinhadry Appaiah

Appaiah on his application, but the Monthly allowance to April 1841. which amounts to Rupees 3200 is held in deposit, at his disposal, as he has not hitherto applied for it -

4. The arrears of allowance due by the Noozeed Zemindar amount up to the end of last Month to Rupees 15,378-9-1. as shewn in the Margin -

From 4th Feby 1835 to 31st Dec. 1839 — 12 years. 11,778-9-1.
From 1st Jany 1841 to 31st Octr 1842 — 3600 ditto
Total 15378-9-1

5. On the receipt of the Board's letter of the 23d June last, the Zemindar of Noozeed was called upon to pay up the arrear due, and to continue to pay the Monthly allowance regularly for the future - From his reply to the late Collector, copy and translation whereof is herewith forwarded, it will be observed that the Zemindar, tho' evincing every disposition on his part to conform to the terms he had entered into, has failed to act up to his agreements, owing to a succession of bad years, which prevented him even from paying with regularity, the Peishcush due to Government on his own Estates - He expresses his willingness and

and intention, if possible to pay the amount of instalments now due to the Petitioners so soon as he has liquidated his arrears due to Government on his Zemindary of Wayoor.

6.

As regards the portion of the allowance, with the arrears thereof, which are due from the Nedadavole Zemindar, I beg to submit that as this Estate continues under attachment, and as the question of the payment of this allowance has formed the subject of a reference to the Honorable Court of Directors, as appears from Para 7. of the Minutes of Consultation dated the 12th June 1841. it is for Government to decide whether the allowance should, or should not, be paid in the mean time from the Collections on the Estate.

7.

The circumstances under which the allowances under consideration were originally guaranteed by a former Governor to the Petitioners, have been already so fully before the Board and Government, that it is needless now to recapitulate them, and the dilapidated condition of the Nedadavole Zemindary

Zemindary, was also so forcibly pointed out to Government in Mr Mollett's letter of the 22d October 1840, to which reference is made in the Proceedings of the Board now under acknowledgement, that it will be sufficiently apparent from that, and from the information in regard to the Noozeed Zemindar's affairs as shewn in his communication now submitted, that without the benevolent interference of Government the prospect of the Petitioners obtaining payment of an allowance which, be it observed, was proposed for their maintenance and as a compensation for claims upon their patrimonial possessions which they were prevailed upon to forego, will be but small. I have therefore no hesitation in submitting my recommendation that the request of the Petitioners to receive their allowance for the future direct from the treasury both on account of the Noozeed and Nedadavole Zemindaries, may receive the favorable consideration of Government. The question as to the payment of the arrears due must

must it appears to me, remain for the
present in abeyance, being dependant
in a great measure upon the result
of the reference which has been made
to the Home authorities regarding the
Nedadavole debt.
8 With respect to the 2d.
point alluded to in Sinhadry appa=
=rows' Petition it is known to the
Board that the Village of Streeramu=
A.D. 1838/39. =varam was granted to the Petitioners
in fusly 1248, at an annual Peishcush
of Rupees 530-8-9. for the first 5 years
that being one half of the assess=
=ment permanently fixed on the
Village by the order of Government
dated 27th November 1837. The arrear
now outstanding amounts to Rupees
2023-4-1. being equal to nearly 4 years
Peishcush 9.
The Zamindar has been
frequently called upon to pay up this
arrear, but has pleaded his inability
to do so in consequence of a succession
of bad seasons since he assumed
the management, which he re=
=presented to have rendered it
impossible for him to realize
even the reduced amount at
which his Village was assessed. An enquiry
into

into the resources of the Village having
been made in consequence, it appeared
from the account rendered by the Sah=
=sildar that the total revenue derived
from the Village during the last 5
years amounted only to Rupees 326-13-6.
whilst the aggregate amount of Peish=
=cush for the same period is Rupees
2652-11-9. shewing a deficit of Rupees
2325-14-3. The inability of Sinhadry
appears to make good this deficiency
from his private resources is but too
well known to the Board and
Government. Such being the case,
I would venture to recommend that
his prayer for the remission of the
arrears may be granted, and that
he may be allowed to hold the Vil=
=lage for a further period of 5 years,
at the reduced rate of Peishcush
upon which it was originally
granted to him.
10 As regards the allusion
made by the Petitioners to the grant
of a third Village by a former Governor
I beg to state that the records of this
Office do not shew that the grant of any
Village besides those Streeramavaram
above noticed and Rauvecherlah which was
granted on Surva Mocasah tenure was ever
hinted at by a former Government or made matter of
reference

reference to the Collector or the Board-

11. With reference to Para 4 of the Board's Proceedings under acknowledgment, I have the honor to submit herewith a revised Draft of a Cowle for the Village of Rauvecherlah prepared in conformity with the instructions conveyed in the Board's Proceedings dated 8th August 1839 and shall be prepared to furnish a Cowle for the Village of Theeramavaram prepared agreeably to the same instructions, upon being apprized of the terms upon which the Government may be pleased to continue that Village to Sinhadry Appavoo after consideration of the circumstances represented in this letter.

12. The original Petitions with the exception of №1810 of 1841 forwarded with Mr. Mathison's letter of the 5th September 1842, are herewith returned.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 25th November 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.

№1.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to A.D. Mathison Esqr. Acting Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Rajah Lobanadry Appavoo Bahadur Zemindar of Noozeed in the Mustasshanagur Circar Ellore Vizu. Dated 27th July 1842 corresponding with Wednesday the 5th Audada Bahoolum year Soobha Krootoo.

In acknowledging the receipt of your Enqueteamah to me under date the 4th Instant, communicating to me that Sinhadry row and his brother Venkatadry Royalu having addressed two Petitions to the Honorable Government regarding the payment of their allowances, they were pleased to direct you thro' the Board of Revenue to collect the Money in question and stating that it is not known to you what I, who have failed to pay the Monthly allowance, would have thought of paying the arrears thereof, and further that it being indispensable that you should report to the higher authorities my motive for not paying the arrears according to the agreement I had entered into, I should immediately report the same to you, that I should remit to the Huzzoor Treasury the sum of 4600 Rupees as particularized in the Enqueteamah within the 15th July, and if I should fail to do so the circumstance will

reference to the Collector or the Board-

11.

With reference to Para 4 of the Board's Proceedings under acknowledgment, I have the honor to submit herewith a revised Draft of a Cowle for the Village of Rauvecherlah prepared in conformity with the instructions conveyed in the Board's Proceedings dated 8th August 1839 and shall be prepared to furnish a Cowle for the Village of Streeramavaram prepared agreeably to the same instructions, upon being apprized of the terms upon which the Government may be pleased to continue that Village to Sinhadry Appiarow after consideration of the circumstances represented in this letter.

12.

The original Petitions, with the exception of №1610 of 1841 forwarded with Mr. Mathisons' letter of the 5th September 1842, are herewith returned.

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 25th November 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.

№1.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to A.D. Mathison Esqr. Acting Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Rajah Soobanadry Appiarow Bahadur

Bahadur Zemindar of Nuzeed in the Investa-
=shanagur Circar Ellore &c. Dated 27th July
1842 corresponding with Wednesday the 5
Aushada Bahoolum year Soobba Krou two-

In acknowledging the
receipt of your Enqatenamah to me
under date the 4th Instant, communica-
=ting to me that Sinhadry row and
his brother Vencatadry Royaloo having
addressed two Petitions to the Honora-
=ble Government regarding the pay-
=ment of their allowances, they were
pleased to direct you thro' the Board
of Revenue to collect the Money in
question and stating that it is not
known to you what I, who have
failed to pay the Monthly allowance,
would have thought of paying the
arrears thereof, and further that it
being indispensable that you should
report to the higher authorities my
motive for not paying the arrear
according to the agreement I had
entered into, I should immediately
report the same to you, that I should
remit to the Huzzoor Treasury the
sum of 4,600 Rupees as particularized
in the Enqatenamah within the 15th July,
and if I should fail to do so the circumstance
will

will be reported to the Board to enable them to adopt such measures as may be necessary. In reply to which, I beg to state as follows —

2. The sums due by me on account of the Monthly and marriage allowances to the said Linhadry row and Vencatadry Raydoo as detailed by you in the said Enoyetnamah, will, agreeably to the engagements entered into by me, be duly paid to them, and there can be no failure on my part in this respect. The cause of the delay which has hitherto occasioned is that since the period I have agreed to pay the allowance to them, the crops in my Talooks have failed from the badness of seasons and in consequence of the gradual lowness of prices of grain, the revenue and Collections have diminished compared with former years, and I have been obliged to undergo great suffering even for the payment of the circar dues payable on my Estates as well as for my own Bootand charges. From this circumstance, the allowance was not regularly discharged, but on no other ground —

It is always my intention and it is incumbent on me to give implicit

obedience

obedience to all the instructions of the Honorable Government, the Board of Revenue and yourself, and I will never deviate therefrom —

The records of your Office will give you a full account of the history of the nature of the allowance, and the circumstances under which it was granted. It was my intention to pay it to them monthly myself. I am not aware of what Linhadry row had represented afterwards to the former Collectors, but as Enoyetnamahs were issued to me directing that I should continue to remit to the Collectors' Cutcherry the amount of the Monthly allowance, I continued to do so agreeably to the instructions of the Collectors tho' there was no provision to that effect in the Samakeyah entered into by me instead of making the payments thereof myself according to the original agreement —

What I now beg leave to solicit is that the Board and yourself were fully made aware from the Arzees already addressed by me of the causes which have hitherto prevented the full discharge of the circar Peishcush due on the Nayoor Zemindary as well as those which led to the attachment of the

Pedapaud

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

Padapand. Mootah. Therefore, as soon as the balance against the Nigoor Zemindary is fully liquidated, I will remit to your Treasury, in the first instance, the sum of 4600 Rupees on account of their allow=ance by two or three instalments, and the remainder will likewise be gra=dually remitted by me to your Treasury agreeably to my engagements. As the state of my Zemindary is well known to you, I beg you will be pleased to communicate the above facts to the higher authorities with your favorable recommendation, so that they may not think of me in an unfavorable light.

(Signed) Rajah Sobanadry
Apparow Bahadur.

No 2

Sunnud. i. Milkent Istimrar or Deed of Permanent property, granted by the Most Honorable the Marquis of Tweeddale K. T. and C. B. Governor in Council of Fort St. George on the part of the Honora=ble the East India Company to Sinha=dry Apparow and Venkatadry Appa=row Eldest and third sons of the late Rajah Mahah Narsimha Apparow.

Whereas the Village of Ravecherlah in the zillah of Masulipatam formerly held under

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

under sanad Mokâsa tenure by Venkamah mother of the late Rajah Venkata Narsimha Apparow Zemindar of Ellore, was declared in the Minutes of Consultation under date 10th November 1836 to have reverted to Government in conformity with a distinct understanding and repeated explanations entered into at the time of the arrangement concluded with the Zemindars of Nuzeed and Nadadavole on the 4th February 1835, and whereas the Most Honorable the Marquis of Tweeddale K. T. & C. B. Governor in Council of Fort St. George, in consideration of the depressed condition to which you and your family have been reduced from circumstances which are now irremediable, has, with the benevolent intention of affording you as one of the Descendants of the ancient family of Apparow, the means of decent support, been pleased to resolve that the said Village should be granted to you, Sunshadry Apparow and Venkatadry Apparow, for the support of yourselves and the rest of the family of your late father. In conformity to which resolution, this sunnud-i-Milkent Istimrar or Deed of permanent property, has been executed whereby the said Village of Ravecherlah

is

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

is granted to you Simhadry Apparow and Venkatadry Apparow and your heirs for the above purpose, upon the following provisos and Stipulations, Viz.t

1st The right of succession to the Village now conferred on you shall, at your Decease, devolve on such of your heirs and successors as Government may determine.

2d The said Village being held on a Sarva Mokassa tenure, is exempted from the payment of Land Tax only, but Government reserves to itself the entire exercise of its discretion in continuing or abolishing temporarily or permanently, the articles of Revenue included according to the custom and practice of the Country under the several Heads, viz.t Revenue derived from the Manufacture and sale of Salt and Salt petre, the Sayer or duties of every description, whether by sea or Land, the Abkarry or taxes on the sale of Spirituous liquors and intoxicating Drugs, the excise which is or may be levied on commodities or articles of consumption, all taxes personal and professional, as well as those from Markets

Fairs

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

Fairs and Bazars, Sackeraj lands (lands exempt from the payment of public Revenue) and all other alienated lands paying a small quit rent, and all Lands and Revenue heretofore appropriated to the support of Police Establishments.

3d Neither of you, your heirs or successors shall be at liberty to transfer without the previous consent of Government to any person whatever, either by sale, gift or otherwise, the proprietary right conferred on you by this sunnud, in the whole or any part of the said Village, and such transfer, tho' duly registered in the office of the Collector, and though in no way repugnant to the Hindoo law or to the Regulations of the British Government, shall not be valid nor recognized by the Courts and Officers of Government, unless the said Authority shall have been previously obtained for such transfer or alienation on your part or on that of your heirs or successors.

4th You shall support the regular and Established number of Curnums in the said Village. The Curnums shall be appointed from time to time by you, and shall obey all regular orders issued by your Authority but they shall not be liable to be removed from their

Offices

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

officer except by the sentence of a Court of Judicature. In the event of your having or of your under-farmers, tenants or Ryots having cause of Complaint against any curnum or curnums for a breach of duty, you shall be at liberty to institute at your own peril a suit in the Adawlut of the Zillah for the purpose of bringing such curnum or curnums to trial and punishment, but in the event of your dispossessing a Curnum of his Office without such previous regular process, you shall be liable to make such satisfaction for the injury as the Adawlut of the Zillah may decree. where the Curnum may be dismissed from his Office by the sentence of the Court of Judicature, you shall, in the first instance, select a successor from the family of the last incumbent, provided any Member of that family shall be capable of the duty of Curnum, but if no Member of it shall be capable of discharging that duty, you shall exercise your discretion in the Appointment of a proper person. The name of the person appointed to succeed shall be reported to the Collector.

The

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

5th The Government having charged itself with the maintenance of the Police of the Country will defray the entire expence of that Establishment - you shall nevertheless aid and assist its Officers in apprehending and securing Offenders of all discriptions, and you shall enquire and give notice to the Magistrates of all robbers and disturbers of the public peace who may be found or who may seek refuge in the Village.

6. You shall enter into engagements with your Ryots either for a rent in money or in kind, and shall, within a reasonable time, grant to each Ryot a Puttah or Cowle, clearly defining the amount to be paid by him and explaining every condition of the engagement, and you shall grant or cause to be granted regular receipts to the Ryots for all discharges in money or in kind made by them to you or for your account. If after the expiration of a reasonable period of time from the execution of your Cabooleat, you shall neglect, or shall refuse to comply with the demand of your under farmers or Ryots for the Puttahs or receipts above mentioned, you shall be liable to be sued in

the

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

Officer except by the sent

[illegible]

[illegible] deemed by the Tribunal to be [illegible]

7th The foregoing condition contains abstract of the obligation and duties which you shall incur and of the rights which you have acquired under the present constitution as it exists for the security, protection and prosperity of the subjects of the British Government. But for any change of that constitution, and for the improvement of the condition of the people, the Governor in Council will continue from time to time, in addition to the rules and Regulations now existing for the Government of the Country, to enact such further Regulations as experience may suggest or render necessary, and to which you are at all times to give every support and obedience. -

8th Being therefore sensible of the benefits conferred on you by this summad, and confident of enjoying the fruits of your industry, you shall be punctual in your engagements with Government. You shall conduct yourself with good faith towards your Ryots whose prosperity is inseparably

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

inseparably connected with your own. you shall treat them with tenderness, encourage them to improve, and extend the cultivation of the lands, and by every means add to the prosperity of the Village. -

9. - Continuing to perform the above stipulations, and to perform the duties of allegiance to the British Government, its laws and Regulations, you, and such

the Adawlut of the Zillah, and you shall also be liable to such damages as may be decreed by the Adawlut to the complainant.-

7th The foregoing conditions contain an abstract of the obligation and duties which you shall incur and of the rights which you have acquired under the present constitution as it exists for the security, protection and prosperity of the subjects of the British Government. But for any change of that constitution, and for the improvement of the condition of the people, the Governor in Council will continue from time to time, in addition to the rules and Regulations now existing for the Government of the Country, to enact such further Regulations as experience may suggest or render necessary, and to which You are at all times to give every support and obedience.-

8th Being therefore sensible of the benefits conferred on you by this sunnud, and confident of enjoying the fruits of your industry, you shall be punctual in your engagements with Government. You shall conduct yourself with good faith towards your Ryots whose prosperity is inseparably

inseparably connected with your own. you shall treat them with tenderness, encourage them to improve, and extend the cultivation of the lands, and by every means add to the prosperity of the Village.-

9.- Continuing to perform the above stipulations, and to perform the duties of allegiance to the British Government, its laws and Regulations, you, and such

16722 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

such of your heirs and Successors as Government may determine under Clause 1st of this summond, are hereby authorized and empowered to hold in perpetuity, under Surva Mocassa tenure, the Village of Reavecherlah.-

Given at Fort St. George, this day of by in the name of the Most Honble the Governor in Council.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.

21-22

Order thereon- Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.-
(Read again on 6th March 1843)

To

J.B.B

16723 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

23

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From R. T. Porter Esqre Collr in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Sir, Fort St. George

Forwarding copy of a correspondence and the Decisions of the late Head Assistant Magistrate relative to certain charges preferred against the Tahsildar and Head of Police of Bunder Division.

Para 1. I have the honor to request that you will Submit for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue, the accompanying Correspondence with the late Head Assistant Magistrate in this District upon the subject of two charges perferred against Siddoo Pingiah, the Tahsildar and — Head of Police in the Bunder Division, into which I requested the late Head Assistant Magistrate to enquire.-

2. I have not considered it necessary to forward, at present, more than the decisions passed by Head Assistant Magistrate in these cases with translations of each, as it will be observed that the documents connected with each case are many in number, and to Copy and translate the whole of them, unless they should be considered indispensable, in order to enable the Board to come to a decision, would only occupy the time of the establishment, perhaps unnecessarily.-

3. The two charges brought against the Tahsildar are distinct and perfectly unconnected. The first is that he was present, aiding and abetting in an assault

assault made upon two Peons who were deputed to serve a Warrant from the Moonsiff's Court, upon his father Goonnah, & the 2d that he received a bribe of 4 Rupees from some Kometies as an inducement not to increase the rate of their Moturpha tax.

4. The transaction alluded to in the 2d charge appears to have occurred a considerable time ago, and as the Head Assistant Magistrate intimates that some doubt exists as to the degree of credit to be attached to the accusation, the benefit of which he suggests may be fairly extended to the accused, I shall confine my observations to the result of the enquiry into the 1st charge.

5. From the evidence adduced in support of the 1st Charge, and after consideration of the defence set up by the accused, I have no doubt in my own mind, that the Tahsildar was present at, and, if not actively engaged in the affray which is alledged to have taken place on the occasion of the Warrant being served on his father, and subsequently at the Moonsiffs House, that

that he was abetting and encouraging those who were so engaged, instead of exerting his authority, as in virtue of his office he was bound to do, to maintain order. Conduct of this description which in a private individual must be considered as highly reprehensible, and would in all probability, if proved, subject the party to a fine, should in my opinion be more severely dealt with, when exhibited by a person holding, as in the present case, the responsible situation of Head of Police in a populous division.

6. In corroboration of the statement contained in the concluding para of

11th Novr 1842 Mr Wards letter of the 11th Instant, that by written reproofs and fines the Tahsildar has had many warnings, I would beg to refer the Board to the "Return of fines and stoppages" submitted under date the 6th Ultimo, wherein are detailed several cases of gross neglect and irregularity on the part of Siddoo Lingiah, for which he had been severely fined. It is true that the exctent

From the Board of the fines entered in the above Return

17th October 1842. has been animadverted upon by the Board,

but

but the delinquencies, on account of which those fines were imposed, remain the same.

7. As regards the punishment to be inflicted upon Siddoo Lingiah, I perfectly agree with Mr. Ward as to the inexpediency of allowing him to return to his employment as Head of Police in Bundier, but I would suggest in preference of suspending him formally from all public employ for the definite space of 6 months, that he should be removed to a situation of somewhat inferior emolument in the District, instead of being allowed to retain his present situation at the Hoozzoor station. Should the Board be pleased to authorize this step, I have every reason to believe that an opportunity will shortly occur, which will enable me to carry it into effect, and I shall be prepared to report the same upon receiving the Boards' instructions upon the subject of this Communication.--

Masulipatam
Coll.r Cutcherry,
26.th November 1842.

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Collector

No. 1

To R. J. Porter Esq.re
Magistrate in the
Zillah of Masulipatam

Sir,

A Complaint having been made

Royapooredy
Venkatasswamy
Guddegopoolah
Soobarayjdoo

made on oath before me by 2 Peons of the Masulipatam District Moonsiffs Court, to the effect "that they have been opposed in the execution of their duty by the Tahsildar of Bunder, who after tearing the Moonsiff's warrant himself beat and caused others to ill treat them." I have the honor to request that you will issue orders for the Tahsildars' suspension and for his appearance before me for trial on this charge.--

It is asserted that the torn warrant was given up to the Moonsiff, and that part of the violence complained of occurred in his presence. I beg therefore that you will transmit the accompanying summons for the Moonsiffs' appearance with the usual letter to the Joint Criminal Judge.--

Masulipatam
Head Asst. Magte Office
at Jovishpoody.
24th October 1842.

/Signed/ E. E. Ward
Head Asst. Magte

No. 2.

To E. E. Ward Esq.re
Head Assistant Magistrate in
the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th instant and

and to inform you that an order has been issued to the Tasildar of Bunder to appear before you for trial on the Charges therein alluded to, and that he has been suspended from his situation pending the enquiry.-

The warrant which accompanied your letter has been forwarded to the Criminal Judge, to be served upon the ex District Court Moonsiff.-

I find upon the records a Complaint preferred against the Bunder Tahsildar by an inhabitant of Masulipatam into which it would appear that a preliminary enquiry has been made under instructions issued before I joined the District. I have ordered the papers connected with this matter to be forwarded to you, and shall be obliged if you will prosecute the investigation and report the result.-

It is desirable that these enquiries should be brought to a close, as speedily as practicable, in order to avoid the inconvenience to be apprehended from the temporary arrangement which it is necessary to make for the discharge of the duties of Tahsildar and

and Head of Police.-

Masulipatam Magte Court. 25th October 1842.

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Magistrate

No. 3.

To R. J. Porter Esqre
Magistrate in the Zillah of
Masulipatam.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward to you the accompanying papers which have reference to the charges against the Tahsildar of Bunder noted in your letter of the 25th Ultimo.

1. In the Seloogoo decision I have particularly alluded to the conflicting statements and the discrepancies which pervade the case. The fact of the subsequent assault charged against Lunka Venecatachellum / the ex Volunteer Goomastah of the Tahsildar / and that of the threats said to have been then uttered by the Tahsildar himself in the Moonsiffs house, are alone clearly proved. This proof must justly be allowed weight in determining the Credibility to be assigned to the opposite versions given of the former affray; and when the unsatisfactory and varying story of the Defendants is also taken into Consideration, the

Case No. 42.
1 Copy of the warrant.
1 Complaint
1 arzee from the Head of Police
2 Depositions of the complainants
7 Do. on behalf of the Defence.
3 Vakalanamahs of the Defendants.
12 Depositions on behalf of the compt.
3 Do. on behalf of the Defendants
4 Summonses.
1 Decision.
35

Moturfa case No. 43.
1 yadast
1 Complaint
3 Depositions of the Moturfa Ryots
1 arzee from the Complainant.
1 Summons.
1 Deposition of the Complainant.
2 Do. of the Defdts.
6 Do. of the witnesses of the compt.
1 Moturfa account
1 Decision.
18

the general truth of the complainant's charge seems decisively established.-

2. /1/ The utter absence of all reasonable cause which should induce two common individuals to venture to illtreat in the gross manner alleged, the father of the Tahsildar, /2/ the improbability that they alone, as they are proved to have been among his many friends would be allowed to disrobe, disgrace, and cause him to faint by nearly strangling him, /3/ and the almost impossibility that the Tahsildar could see his father in such situation as he and all the Defendants aver, and yet when his official as well as his natural intervention was called for, decline interfering and leave the spot; these are arguments deducible among others from the Defendants' own depositions which invalidate the account given in these very depositions.-

3.- There may have been some exaggeration on the complainants' side to meet the falsehoods of the defendants, but the truth would appear to be as follows.- The Tahsildar on his father

father had avoided 3 former warrants issued by the District Moonsiff, and on a fourth warrant being issued, the peons sought him earlier than usual, hoping to find him unprepared which they did, and to avoid a rescue wished to hasten him away at once. On seeing this, the Tahsildar and his willing dependant released the 1st Defendant not without violence, and in order to anticipate a Complaint for this outrage, went at once to the Moonsiff's house where the second Collision occurred.-

4. The peons should not have led away the 1st Defendant / a Bramin of apparent respectability / when he was but partially dressed if it could have been avoided, but his previous evasions for bad Confidence. The Conduct of the Tahsildar in authorizing and aiding directly, or at the least in sanctioning indirectly, the violent interference of those around, instead of producing the Money, or pointing out to his father the duty of obedience, is a very serious abuse of the authority vested in him.-

5. The second Charge is for

receiving

receiving a bribe of 4 Rupees from some of
"Komattie as an inducement not to increase
"their Moturfa Tax rates." This is said to
have occurred 2 years ago. no formal or
Complaint has been ever voluntarily made
in this Case, which Came to the late Acting
Collectors' knowledge in the Course of another
enquiry; and this fact as it debarr all imputa-
tions of revenge, and ill will on the part of
the witnesses must add to the credit of their
evidence. on a former occasion I rejected
a similar charge against this Tahsildar,
because no circumstantial was added
to the Verbal evidence of the witnesses. &
being aware that, were bare oaths trusted
implicitly, the tenure of a Tahsildars'
Office would be very uncertain.-

In this case the Komatties prove
that they collected the Money by subscrip-
tion, gave it to a peon, and that they were pre-
sent when it was handed over to the Tahsildar,
and that they <u>then</u> made excuses to him
on account of the smallness of the sum.
The peon and Tahsildar both deny
the whole affair. The Moturpa rates of
these people have not been altered during
the

the parties in which this Tahsildar Collected
them and the men are respectable, and
apparently unbiassed. I cannot but attach
Credit to their evidence.-

Had the Circumstances
been enquired into at the time, something
more than the general account now given
of the transaction could have been required,
and by enquiring as far as was necessary
into the minor circumstances the Credibility
of the whole might be more easily tested.
at present that particularity does not exist,
and would be a suspicious circumstance
if it did, but the benefit of the doubt &
arising from this uncertainty perhaps may
be extended to the Tahsildar, whose full
dismissal would have been otherwise
necessary.-

The records will shew that,
by written reproofs and by fines this &
Tahsildar has had many warnings, as
these have been disregarded. I would &
suggest that he be removed permanently
from his present situation, and for the &
space of six Months from any public &
employment, and that he be not again
entrusted

entrusted with any important and respon-
sible charge till he has shewn that
the present lesson has been practi-
cally beneficial.—

Masulipatam
Head Asst. Mag.te Court,
11th November 1842.

[Signed] E.C. Ward
Head Asst. Mag.te

No. 4.

No. 42 of 1842.

Decision in the case against
the Head of Police of Bunder, Jiddoo
Lingiah and others, charged with having,
near the house of Lingiah, assaulted the
Peons, who were entrusted with a warrant
issued from the Moonsiffs Court at Masulipatam.

The Complainants Gudde-
gopoola Soobrayoodoo, and Royapooreddy
Venkatasawmy, declare in their depositions,
given before the Head Assistant Magistrate
on 24th October, that, they both served a
warrant from the Moonsiffs Court at
Masulipatam, against Goomiah father
of the Tahsildar, at half past nine A.
M. on the morning of the 20th October,
while he [Goomiah] sat by the side of
the Compound wall of his House, that
on

on their demanding the Rupees, for which
the warrant had been issued, he stated that
the said rupees were not at present in
his hands, that when he was required to
attend before the Court, he refused to do
so, that they placed their hands across in
order to prevent him from going in, as
he had evaded three warrants issued
against him before, and, as they thought
themselves, he would follow the same
Course, that in the meantime, the Tahsil-
dar Lingiah, Lunka Venkatachellum &c.
came out from the House and told Com-
plainants, that 2 Rupees would be paid
as a bribe, provided they would let the
[Lingiahs] father go, that they having not
consented to the same, Lingiah asked for,
and took out the warrant tore, and
threw it away, that Lingiah and Ven-
katachellum &c. thereupon beat them,
and as they were — — Conveying him
[Goomiah] into the house they [the Complainant]
went across to him, and caught him in their
arms, but resisting them, they forcibly released
him from them, and carried him within
the House, that when they [the
Complainants

1673-6 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

Complainants fell down on the earth from the great violence of blows &c. they received at that time, their Turbands & and Garments were taken away by Lunka Venkatachellum, that on their reporting the circumstance to the District Moonsiff, the said Lingiah and Venkatachellum came to the Moonsiff, and there also Vencatasawmy, one of the Complainants was beaten and kicked by the above Venkatachellum in the presence of the Moonsiff and that Lingiah had violenthy threatened that he would subject them, Plaintiffs, to inpresonment and work in irons.-

On the examination of the Defendants, Goniah states, that when he was sitting by the side of the wall, and washing his face in the morning referred to above, the Complainants, without wearing their Badges, Turbands or Garments, came disguised and caught hold of his person, pinioned his arms, and treated him with severity, and that he neither resisted the warrant, nor did beat the Complainants.-

Lingiah states in his deposition that when he came out of his house, he saw his fathers arms were already pinioned

1673-7 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

pinioned by the Peons, that at that time his father being partially dressed, he asked the Plaintiffs, who are you, and what do your doings mean, that they thereupon answered that they would carry Goniah under the Authority of the Moonsiffs Court, that he informed them, complainants, that he would pay himself the Rupees which his father had to pay, or if they require his personal attendance, he would cause him to appear, that his words not having been attended to by the Plaintiffs, he then went into the house to obey the call of nature, that on his return he saw his father lying down in the house, that he does not know by whom he was brought into and laid down in the house, and that he neither beat nor caused to be beaten the Complainants.-

Lunka Veneatachellum states in his deposition that during the affray the Plaintiff G. Sooboroyeodoo had beaten him and snatched off from his finger a golden ring valued at 20 Rupees, that he did not beat any one any where, and that he has not taken the Cloths of the Plaintiffs.-

Cumbummeto-

Coumbummeloo Sastroolu and Lunka Bramanah Sastroolu depose that they saw Goomiah beaten by the Plaintiffs and that they did not beat the Plaintiffs.-

On examining the Witnesses-

The 1st Witness Vudlamunnanty Seetapatty the district Moonsiff states in his deposition, that, lately two or three times warrants having been issued against Goomiah returns were made that he was absent from the Town, that he, on this occasion, entrusted the Complainants with a Warrant, that they came to him, and reported that they went to Goomiah and that all of them had beaten them / Complainants / resisted the warrant, tore it up, and took away their Turbands and Garments, that afterwards, Lingiah and Venkatachellum &c. Came to him and informed him that the Complainants had pinioned the arms of his father, / which assertion was denied by the Complainants /, that Lingiah, looking at Tota Sosapah a Peon of the Moonsiff's Court who was present during the affray, and who was then with him / Seetapatty /

asked

asked him / Sosapah the Complainant / not to mention that I shall either pay the Rupees or cause the person to attend / that to which Sosapah assented / that thereupon Lingiah asked Moonsiff to institute strict Inquiry into the matter, and then he (Lingiah) looked at the Complainants face and said to them I shall cause you to be subjected to imprisonment with hard labor to work in Spone, if you are to act in this manner, that he was told when he was within his house that the 3d Defendant S. Venkatachellum has beaten and kicked the Plaintiff Vencatasawmy, he came out instantly and enquired into the case, that it was proved by all who were then present, that S. Venkatachellum asserted that during the affray which took place near the house of the said Lingiah, the Plaintiff Sokoyoodoo had pushed him with a sheathed dagger by which a part of his body was bruised and scratched, and that part was seen by many persons but those who saw it say that it

it is impossible to guess by what cause that loss was made.

2nd Witness Cauza Seshiengadoo states in his deposition that he was not present during the affray which took place near the house of the Tahsildar, that he with the idea that the 1st Defendant might have gone to his garden went there telling the Pons (Plaintiffs) to go to the house of Gomiah; that he waited near the Garden until 8 O'Clock, and at his return from the Garden, he was told by the Complainants regarding the affray which took place near the house of Lingiah, that he did not see himself the affray, that the Complainants desired him to give evidence that he was present when the affray took place, with reference to the circumstance which the Witness Cutacumpeddy stated in his deposition that the 2d Witness showed a motion written on two Stamp'd Papers, to be presented to the auxiliary Court regarding the case in question. Witness states that he did not prepare the Motion on two Stamp'd Papers, but that anticipating that the 1st Defendant Gomiah would perhaps be absent from the Village as he has not paid the Rupees being 4 2 Stamp'd Papers from the

the Collectors Cutchery in order to present a Motion to the Auxiliary Court praying for a Warrant, that they may cause the Rupees to be paid, and to that effect prepared a Motion on one and drew out Vakalatnama on the other, but that those Papers become useless as Gomiah has attended before the Moonsiff Court and obtained an instalment that he would pay the Rupees within a weeks time.—

3d Witness Sida Sarapah deposed that he was present during the affray near the house of Lingiah, that he neither saw nor heard that Lingiah tore the Paper of Warrant or that the Complainants or offered to pay bribe, that the Complainants told him to give evidence against Lingiah, that he saw Sunka Venkatachellum Cumbummettoo Sastroolu &c. beat the Complainants and that he saw when Venkatachellum carried away the Turbands and Garments belonging to the Plaintiffs.—

4th Witness Mogarday Sobiah states that he was present when the affray took place that the 1st Defendant Gomiah resisted the Warrant, that the 2d Defendant Lingiah took out the warrant Paper and tore it off, that Lingiah Venkatachellum & Sastroolu &c. beat the Complainants that the 4th Defendants Sunka Ramanah had

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

has beaten nobody, that the 2d Witness Cazaa Saheb
Saheb dec was then present during the affray
near the house of Lingiah, and that after the
Lingiah tore off the Paper of Warrant the
1st Complainant caught hold of the 1st Defendant
in his arms.-

5th Witness Muddoo Lutchmenarasimah
6th Vegerala Narsimloo 7th Govindaraujula Lastcoloo
8th Chintlacherloo Hurred[illegible] 9th Vizianagrum Vaishnavas
10th Vaseparapoo Sahaiah 11th Khalasam paddy dispd
that they saw Lunda Venkatachellum beat the
Complainant Venkatasawmy.-

12th Witness Janakeramaiah deposed
that he was not present during the affray
which took place near the house of the said
Lingiah, that he does not know any thing
regarding this occurrence, and that he heard
Cunchummalloo Sastrelu speaking with some
others about the Complainants having ill treated
by Goviah.-

Of the Witnesses named by the
1st Defendant, Conchummalloo Nagarinz Ramiah
Sevanah and Mullapaka Sanyasiloo, these 3 Wits
deposed that they saw the Complainants Pinion
and ill beat the 1st Defendant Goviah that
the Complainants did not shew the Paper of Warrant
and

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.-

and the Complainants came disguised
covering their body with cloth, without Sarkana
and Garments.-

From a perusal of the whole
record it appears that the 1st Defendant
Goviah had evaded three Warrants issued
against him before, in consequence of which
the Complainants surprised him in this instance
and served the Warrant against him, and there-
fore he (the 1st Defendant) without paying
the Rupees or attending before the Court, endea-
voured to resist and escape into the house
consequently the Complainants opposed him
Lingiah (who is the Son of the 1st Defendant
and who is in authority) and his private
Peon Gomastah L. Venkatachellum &c.
some interested persons, came out of the house
caused the complainants to be beaten and
carried his father into the house.-

It is to be observed here that
when a person is subjected to any severity, ill
treatment or be beaten, his son would naturally
exert himself to receive his father from such
ill treatment but would not go into the house
without ascertaining him from it now, it is astonishing
that

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

that the Tahsildar (the son of the 1st Defendant should say, that he went inside of the house for calls of nature, and that it was necessary for him to go in instead of attempting to relieve his father from the fatal consequence. It would also be improbable that a Pookaraan should touch a Braman in an undue time i.e. when he was cleaning his teeth and washing his face. Further the averment that the two Complainants (minors) the father of the Tahsildar who is vested with high authority, in presence of a great crowd of people composed of the Defendants, relations and neighbours, is too much to obtain belief. Moreover How could it be possible for the complainant Venkatasawmy who is young and weak to bind the arms and get up so stout and strong a man as Goneiah? All the contradictions exist in the evidence, as the witnesses who were present during the affray near Singiahs house were tampered with yet from Singiahs not instituting any enquiry into the matter when Venkatachellum (a Volunteer Coomastah) who was carried by Singiah to the Moonsiffs house and from him upon affirmation before the Moonsiff (stating as the Complainants that

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

that he will subject them to incarceration and to labor in Irons, and from the blows which the Complainants have received during the affray near the house of Singiah, there can be no least doubt of the affray having taken place with the aid and abetment of the said Singiah. The circumstance of Linha Venkatachellum having beaten the Plaintiff Venkatasawmy, was not only proved by the Moonsiff who is an honest and superior officer, but also by seven other witnesses.

It was the duty of the Plaintiff to go in person or by a Vakeel with the Peons who were entrusted with the warrant and to point out to them to Defendant. The 2 Witness Soobrayoodoo, Vakeel on behalf of the Plaintiff stated that he never did go along with the Warrant Peons, but told them to go to Goneiahs house, as he is going himself to the Garden belonging to the said Goneiah. From this it may be considered that his finding Goneiah at a place where the Warrant Peons had not accompanied him, is of no great consequence. The 11th Witness Catacum Reddy and the 6th Witness two also gave depositions that the said individuals were present during the affray near Singiahs house that

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

that he prepared a Motion on Stamp Paper to present it to the Court regarding the affray in question and showed those papers to certain persons and that they [Witnesses] heard him agree with them as to the circumstance above alluded to. There is every reason to believe that the said Soobroyeydoo had drawn out a Motion on the Stamp Paper, as two Papers valued at half Rupee each were sold in the Collector's Cutcherry in the name of Plaintiff & Appanah one for a Motion to be presented to the Court and the other for a Vakalutnamah, on the 2d October, on which this affray took place. This gives strong reason to infer that he did so.—

From the above circumstances it appears that the said Soobroyeydoo bring the relation to the Tahsildar Singiah, has not stated the true fact, which he has Petitioned but stated that he was absent during the affray.—

The Complainants state that Singiah tore up the Warrant and that their Turbans and Garments were taken away by Lutchi Venkatachellum after having beaten them and Venkatachellum asserts that the 1st Defendant Gomiah was pinioned and subjected to hard treatment by

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

by the Complainants, and that a golden ring belonging to him, the said Venkatachellum was snatched away by the Complainants Soobroyeydoo.

These statements on either part appear to have been invented in support of their defence but there is no proof at all of the same having actually occurred as asserted. On enquiry of two particular points one, that the 1st Defendant was pinioned and ill treated, and the other that the Complainant pushed the 3d Defendant L. Venkatachellum with a sheathed dagger and thereby made a wound, no wounds of any kind on the person of either the said individuals are to be found.—

Gomiah states that he did not know who carried him into the house as he was then senseless. Singiah declares that he was told that his father was brought in by the 4th and 5th Defendants and some other persons, but that he did not see who brought him in.— The 3d Defendant states that Gomiah was not carried by him into the house, but by the 4th and 5th Defendants. The 4th and 5th Defendants state that they did not carry Gomiah into the house and do not

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

not know who had relieved him from his duty.
The 4th Witness states that Goviah himself went into the house; if the ill treatment of the Complainants towards Goviah which is said have been so severe as to bring him to the point of death, be true; the Defendants can have no objections to declare freely by whom Goviah was relieved from it and carried into the house while he was entirely out of sense. but they did not declare so, viewing contradictions on the part of the Defendants in this essential point that Goviah was barbarously ill treated by the Plaintiff the inference is that Goviah was not actually exposed to any great inconvenience. as it is completely proved that the Plaintiffs were beaten by S. Venkatachellum near the house of the District Moonsiff, he, S. Venkatachellum, is sentenced to pay a fine of 20 Rupees, and in Default of payment to be imprisonment a period of 5 days.—

As the affray appears to have arisen with the aid of the Tahsildar by his adherents or as he went into the house without exercising his Office duties while this affray took place in

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

in his presence or using any method to pay off the Rupees for which the warrant was issued, or advising his father that he should not resist the warrant, or sending him to the Moonsiffs Court, the entire weight of the censurance is destined upon the Tahsildar himself therefore the Record is submitted with a letter to the Collector for his decision.—

The other Defendants are liberated as the irregularities committed by them are not well defined and as the Tahsildar is only responsible for the same.—

Masulipatam
11th November 1842.

/Signed/ C. D. Ward
Asst. Assistant Magistrate
/True Translation/
/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Collector

No. 5
No. 43 of 1842.

Decision of the Head Assistant Magistrate in the Zillah of Masulipatam.—

Maupaty Chinna Baupanah, Plaintiff
1 Singia
2 Shaikaly
Motuphaten } Defendants

Maupaty Chinna Baupanah, the Plaintiff, declared that out of the sum of 4 and odd Rupees collected for the purpose of giving a

a bribe, 4 Rupees were given by Cowdadi Appanah into the hands of the Peon Saikally that Mr. Chetumbrum Poolevarty Nagalingum and himself saw when this sum was put by Shaik ally into the hands of the Head of Police that he himself for his part paid a contribution of 2 Annas on that account and that the remaining sum of 3/4 Rupee was repaid to the individuals who were expressly taxed.

The 1st Defendant denied the charge.

The 2d Defendant stated in his deposition that 5 years before, Karegala Ramasawmy Naidoo the then Head of Police having by his advice, increased the rate of Tax against the Banians of Jelandpett and Dales Pett, they entertained displeasure towards him and brought this Complaint, but this is not true, and that the Complainant desired him to give his evidence in support of his complaint, but that he knew nothing of the circumstance complained of.

In the Deposition given by Poovada Appanah the 1st Witness on behalf of the complainant, he declared that in the sum of 4 and odd Rupees collected for this purpose, he paid 4 Rupees to Shaik Ally

J.C.B

Ally near the Police Cutcherry in order to pay the same to the Head of Police, as bribe, and then the 2nd 3d 4th 5th, and 6th Witnesses and himself were present and saw, but the above Sum when paid into the hands of the Head of Police by Shaik ally was not seen by him, and that the remaining Sum was repaid by himself to the parties.

The 2d Witness Muddoola Chetumbrum Corroborated what the 1st Witness has related, and deposed that P. Nagalingum, the Complainant and himself were present and saw when Shaik ally paid the 4 Rupees as bribe to the Head of Police, and that he himself paid either 2 or 2 1/2 annas for his share.

Muddala Nagalingam, the 3d witness, deposed that the Sum of 4 Rupees and odd was at first Collected merely for the purpose of meeting the charges which may accrue to present a Petition to the Collector, and for the payment of a Vakeel for that purpose, so that he may prevent the increase of the Tax on them, and afterwards it being found inexpedient to do so, the money was partly repaid to those from whom it was collected, and with regard to the appropriation

appropriation of the remaining Sum, he did not ask the 1st Witness, and that the circumstance of the bribe having been given to the Head of Police, is not known to him.-

Aulapaudy Pydy, the 4th Witness coincided in what the 2nd Witness said, and further deposed, that out of the 4 and odd Rupees collected for the purpose of bribe, 4 Rupees were carried by Shaik ally and paid to the Head of Police as bribe and that he was then present, standing behind the Prosecutar and the 2nd Witness and saw when the money was paid into the hands of the Head of Police, but he does not know why the Prosecutor and the 2nd witness have not declared the circumstance of his being present at that time. He further said, that he paid nothing for his part on that account, as he is exempted from the levy or demand of the Tax in question.-

The 5th Witness Aulapauty Ramasawmy stated in his deposition, that out of the Sum of 4 and odd Rupees collected on account of bribe, he saw the 4 Rupees having been paid into the hands of Shaik ally Peon, near the Cutcherry, for the

J.G.B

the purpose of giving the same to the Head of Police, but he never saw when it was put by him in the hands of the Head of Police, the remainder was, by the 1st Witness repaid to the individuals, and that he himself paid for his part 3 annas on that account.-

Tadapilly Soobbiah the 6th Witness declared that 2 years before (when he was indisposed) he heard that a certain Sum was Collected in the Puttah in which he lives, for the purpose of giving it to the Head of Police, but he neither paid any thing for his part in that Sum, nor he saw whom it was paid to him.-

From the above it has been proved by the 2nd 4th & 5th Witnesses that out of the 4 & odd Rupees collected by contribution, they saw 4 Rupees paid by the 1st Witness into the hands of Shaik ally, in order to the same being paid to the Head of Police, near the Tanah, as a bribe, & by the 2nd & 4th Witnesses that they saw the Sum, when paid by him in the hands of the Head of Police consequently the fact of the Prosecutor, and the witnesses alledging that they have paid 4 Rupees as bribe to the Head of Police is proved- but as it occurred

2 years before, they ought to have brought the com=
=plaint in the Hutzzoor at that time. The Prosecutor
Vea. neither at that time nor at present complained
themselves on the Subject. But in a case of Motarfa
it occurred that these individuals have deposed
it on oath, altho' the occurrence of the case
before a period of 2 years renders incredible,
yet the case not being brought as a com=
=plaint by themselves, the Prosecutor and
the witnesses Vea. not being of vicious habits
but of good conduct, and having deposed the
fact upon oath, and the parties being disinclin=
=ed to bring this as a complaint inconse=
=quence of the case appearing to them to be
of a trivial nature, it is apparent that
these individuals have declared this circum=
=stance, not from discontent or dissatisfaction
towards him, consequently, they did not of
their own accord bring the complaint con=
=ceiving the matter to be of no great consequence
and during trial, the circumstance of their
having deposed that they have paid
4 Rupees into the hand of the Peon thro' whom,
to be paid to the Head of Police as a
bribe, was fully and clearly proved with=
=out least variation. In this case the individuals
declared

declared the fact in mute even in similar
Small cases, if it had been noticed in a distinct
form the Complaint immediately when the
case occured and proofs had been given in detail,
due enquiry into the charge would have been made
and the Head of Police would have met with a
permanent dismissal from his office. Tho' after
a lapse of 2 years the case was brought to notice,
yet the case being supported with certain
proofs without giving any ground of disbelief
or incredibility regarding the state of the
fact, and this as well as the other prosecution
brought against the Head of Police having
been throughly enquired into, the records of the
former case as well as that of the present
case will be Submitted for a final deci=
=sion of the Magistrate together with a letter
on the Subject.-

The Motarpha. Peon Shaik ally
having interfered in this transaction he is also
for this offence, to be out of employ for a
period of 4 Months.-

(Signed) E. E. Ward
Head Assistant Magistrate.

(True Translation)
(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector.

Resolution thereon.- The Board authorize the Col=
=lector of Masulipatam to remove the
Tahsildar of Bunder from Office at
once

23-24

once, on the understanding that he is to be provided with another Situation of inferior emolument, as soon as an opportunity occurs.—

To J. D. Bauadillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From R. T. Porter Esqre
Coll.r in the Zillah of
Masulipatam.

Sir,

25

1. With reference to Para 2 of my letter dated 17th October last, I have the honor to forward a Statement of an offer for the rent of Abkarry revenue in the Moonegal and Lingagerry Purganas Situated on the Frontier of this District for fusly 1252.

2. It will be observed that the amount offered is below that of last year by Rupees 100— At the date of my letter referred to above, there was no prospect of security being tendered for this offer, which, in consequence of the lawless and violent acts committed by the Nizams' people, was with great difficulty obtained tho' at a considerable decrease.

See Para 4 of Mr. Ward's letter dated 6th Oct.r 1842.

3. As one-fourth of the amount of rent has been since deposited, as it appears preferable to rent out the

the farm rather than keep it under demanij, I beg to recommend that the offer now submitted may be accepted.—

Masulipatam Zillah.
Coll.rs Circuit Catcherry
at Chullapully.
2d December 1842.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector.

Statement.—

16758

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

Statement Shewing the proposed amount of rent and the name of the Renter of Abkarry Revenue in the Zillah of Masulipatam for fusly 1252.

Names of the Purganahs	average Collections of 3 years prior to fusly 1233	average Collections of 3 years prior to fusly 1248	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251 List	Deduct S. Abkarry charges	Remaining	Last and highest bidding for fusly 1252.. Names of the Proposers	Date of offers	amount of the proposed rent for fusly 1252.	Comparison between Col.s 8 & 11 Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.			cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	cRs. a. p.	
1 Moonegal Lingagerry	325 " "	536 10 8	550 " "	550 " "	551 " "	" " "	551 " "	Yacherauze appiah	17th September 1842	451 " "	" " "	100 " "	The amount of one fourth of the rent amount being Rupees 112-12-" has been deposited

Masulipatam Zillah.--

Collector's Circuit Cutcherry

at Challapully.--- E. E.

2d December 1842.---

Pd / Signed / R. J. Porter

Collector.

Resolution.-

Fort St.e George 15.th December 1842.-

Resolution thereon.-

(25-26)

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board authorize the acceptance of the offer for the rent of the Abkarry revenue of the Moonegal and Lingagerry Purgannahs for the current Fusly 1252, amounting to Rupees 451-0-0 being Rupees 100 below the rent of last year.-

From the Coll.r of Bellary- Read letter, transmitting statement of Extra tent allowances drawn by himself and his Subordinates in fusly 1251.-

27

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To

The Collector of

Bellary,

To the Coll.r of Bellary.-

(27-28)

Sir

1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28.th November last No.91. Submitting Statements of Extra tental: lowance for Fusly 1251, and to convey Sanction for the amount drawn by you for 161 days, being inclusive of the two days in Fusly 1250 omitted to be charged in the Statement for that Fusly.-

in Cons 15.th Dec.r

16 "& 17" June of Fusly 1250 - 2
for a 1251-159
Total—161

2- The Board also sanction the

Fort St.e George 15.th December 1842.-

No. of days

the amount of Extra tent allowance co= drawn by your Subordinates for the number of days noted in the margin.-

Mr. C. Pelly subscribed the Abs.t
" W. Fisher

Board Office
Fort St.e George
15.th December 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Sec.y

Order thereon.-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be transmitted for the information of the Civil auditor.-

Read the following letter.-

To J. D. Bourdillon Esq.re
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St.e George.-

From Thomas Pycroft Esq.re Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir

29

I have the honor to request you will submit the following circum= stances for the consideration and final orders of the Board.-

2.- Two Peons of the Streepermatoor Sanah, having received information that some bandies laden with Ganjah and Bang had passed by that Station, and were proceeding by the old Coonnatoor Road towards Coonnatoor and Punnicherry, in lieu of continueing on the High Western Road, went in pursuit of them and having come up with the bandies demanded

+ Emamkhan

demanded what they contained one of the owners then produced four Rowanahs Certifying that the Ganjah had paid the Customary duties in the Salem District and were on route to Tadarana pooram in the District of Nellore. The Peons considering that there must be something wrong from the deviation from the road; for, had the Ganjah been destined for the Nellore District, the road did not lay by Madras, determined to take the parties with the goods to the Tahsildar, when the owners offered them a bribe of 15 Rupees to let them pass on, the Peons however took them before the Tahsildar, who reported the matter to me, when I instructed him to release the Ganjah as it was covered by the Rowanahs, but at the same time, as I had strong suspicions from the fact of one of the owners of the Ganjah being a person named Sclar Shaib, who is a notorious Smuggler, and lives at Punnicherry a Village centrically situated to Poonamalee, Madras, the Mount and Palaveram for the purpose of running Ganjah into

into those places without payment of the duties that the Ganjah was destined for Punnicherry I deputed a person to watch and ascertain whether the Ganjah when released was brought there or not.

3. As I suspected would be the case the Ganjah when released at Streeperumatoor was taken away at night by the cross road from Poonamalee and secreted in the Village of Punnicherry and the Bandy man who had come from Salem with their bandies nominally engaged to go to Nellore, were then discharged.

26th Nov.r 1842.

4. The person I had deputed to watch for the Ganjah reported the fact to me, but the Palaveram Sayer Auneen having also heard of the transaction sent notice to the Sydapet Tahsildar who immediately sent peons to Punnicherry with a warrant to search for the Ganjah in which they were unsuccessful in consequence of its having been concealed in the backyard of a moormans house and which they did not then search in consequence of the family stating that the owner of the house was absent at Madras and that only the woman were present.

5. The owners of the Ganjah having

demanded what they contained one of the owners then produced four Rowanahs Certifying that the Ganjah had paid the Customary duties in the Salem District, and were on route to Tadarana poorum in the District of Nellore. The Peons considering that there must be something wrong from the deviation from the road, for had the Ganjah been destined for the Nellore District, the road did not lay by Madras, determined to take the parties with the goods to the Tahsildar, when the owners offered them a bribe of 15 Rupees to let them passon, the Peons however took them before the Tahsildar, who reported the matter to me when I instructed him to release the Ganjah as it was covered by the Rowanahs, but at the same time, as I had Strong suspicions from the fact of one of the owners of the Ganjah being a person named Selar Shaib, who is a notorious smuggler, and lives at Punnicherry a Village centrically Situated to Poonamalee, Madras, the Mount and Palaveram for the purpose of running Ganjah into

into those places without payment of the duties that the Ganjah was destined for Punnicherry I deputed a person to watch and ascertain whether the Ganjah when released was brought there or not;

3. As I suspected would be the case the Ganjah when released at Streeperumatoor was taken away at night by the cross road from Poonamalee and secreted in the Village of Punnicherry and the Bandy man who had come from Salem with their bandies nominally engaged to go to Nellore, were then discharged.

26th Novr 1842.

4. The person I had deputed to watch for the Ganjah reported the fact to me, but the Palaveram Sayer Auneen having also heard of the transaction sent notice to the Sydapet Tahsildar who immediately sent peons to Punnicherry with a warrant to search for the Ganjah in which they were unsuccessful in consequence of its having been concealed in the backyard of a moormans house and which they did not then search in consequence of the family stating that the owner of the house was absent at Madras and that only the woman were present.

5. The owners of the Ganjah having

28th November 1842

having been alarmed by those measures on the night of the second day hired three fresh Bandies and having laden them with the Ganjah in the middle of the night started it off towards Palavoyel on the Nellore road but a Duffadar of my Delayets whom on hearing that the Tahsildar had failed to secure the Ganjah I had ordered out on the duty traced overtook and seized the Ganjah and Bang at Palavoyel.

6th

Such is the general outline of the transaction, but in order more clearly to shew the intent of the parties I shall submit a few additional circumstances that were brought to light during the investigation and I must also notice that one of the owners of the Ganjah now seized is the Selar Sahib alluded to in my letter to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue of the 19th November 1840. when a quantity of Ganjah and Bang were seized under similar circumstances.

7

The Bandy men, who brought the Ganjah from Salem deposed that they were told to drive the bandies from Sreeperumatoor under the guidance of

†Emam Sahib
Selar Sahib

guidance of two of the owners of the Article and that at 4 A.M. they were taken to a spot in the vicinity of Punnicherry where they were stopped and the Ganjah carried off into the Village by the three owners above-mentioned assisted by others, whom one of them had brought from the Village. The Bandy men who were subsequently hired in like manner state that they were brought near the Village at night and kept there whilst a party of men conveyed the bundles from the Village and loaded their Carts and that they were then taken towards Palavoyel.

8

The Talliary of Punnicherry acknowledges that the Ganjah was brought to the Village two days before and concealed in the houses of Selar Sahib and Emami Sahib two of the owners but that in consequence of the intended search becoming known it was removed from the houses in which it had been first placed partly to another moormans backyard, and partly to one of the Village curnums house and that at the former place it was concealed under some

Fort St. George 15th December 18

some Goya trees and that at night
put on fresh Carts and sent off towa
Palavoyel, and that he had been
accompany the Carts by the cummu

Emamkhan one of the owners of
the articles seized acknowledges that it was
brought to Punnicherry and kept there for
two Days when in consequence of the suspicions
which the matter had excited it was removed
at night to Palavoyel. The two other owners
Eman Saheb and Selar Saheb stated that the
Ganjah was brought to Punnicherry merely
to change bandies which was done instantly
and that the article was not detained
at Punnicherry as contradiction which at
once shews that their intentions were not
honest, but that they had attempted to smuggle
it. Palavoyel is also a situation from which
these articles are often smuggled into the
Presidency.

10.

Under these circumstances I
request authority to confiscate the Ganjahs
and Bang which according to the Rowanahs
amount to 52 Maunds valued at Rupees
737-8-0 and to pay a moiety
of

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

moiety of the surplus proceeds amongst
the different parties who brought the case
to light and secured the property.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Chingleput 8th December 1842

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in reply

To The Collector of
Chingleput

Sir,

In answer to your letter of
the 8th Instant, I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to observe that no Act of smuggling
required by the Regulation appears to have been
completed in the case there reported and that
there is no provision of law which authorizes
confiscation for a mere attempt. The circum-
stances which you detail satisfy the Board
that there was such an "attempt" and if you
are of opinion that the evidence there noticed
with any other that you may be able
to furnish will probably be sufficient
for conviction before a criminal Court
they recommend that the parties
concerned should be forwarded for
trial under Section XXI, Regulation
I of 1812.-

To the Collector of Chingleput
Inform 15th Decr 1842

Sec XIX Regn I of 1812

29-30

The

2. The strong grounds of suspicion require that every measure should be taken to secure the Revenue from being eventually defrauded of the "particular duty" on this Ganjah, which you may have in your power and may deem expedient and lawful.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th December 1842.

/ Signed / I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter-

To, I D Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From I. Goldingham Esqre Collector of North Arcot - 31.

Submitting for consideration and orders the proposals made for cultivating certain lands on Poonjah Teerwah

Sir,

The following 2 Proposals to cultivate certain land having been made I have the honor to submit the same for the consideration and orders of the Board.

2

Mahomed Dawood of Chittoor purposes to dig wells and to bring Cawnies 4 — as 2 3/4 of land under cultivation - This land is situated in the Villages of Irravaram and Jangalpully in the vicinity of Chittoor - The particulars of the land are as follows

Name of Village	Description and extent of land	Teerwah		When last cultivated		Nature of Irrigation
		Cs.	as	Ps.	as	
Irravaram	Nunjah	2	7 1/4	10	11 3/4	46 Years ago River channel
Jangalpully in return thereof	Ditto	"	8 1/2	1	1 1/2	46 Ditto Wells
Ditto	Poonjah	1	3	"	"	"
		4	2 3/4			

3

The land referred to was rendered unfit for cultivation and the irrigation destroyed in Fusly 1205 during the storm which occurred in that year - Mahomed Dawood proposes to recover the land provided the assessment of dry land is affixed on the same - The highest assessment of dry land in the Village is Rupees 5 — as 4 — o per Cawnie but adverting to the present condition of the land for which the proposal is made and to the outlay necessary to bring it under cultivation, this rate is considered too high Rupees 2 as 3 - o per Cawnie being the assessment of 3d Sort Poonjah in the

1st Sort	5	4 - o
2d - Do.	3	1 - o
3d - Do.	2	3 - o
4th - Do.	1	12 - o

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

the Village is four and I beg to recommend that the land may be made over to Mahomed Dawood assessed at Rupees 2-3-0 per Cawnie.

4- The other proposal is made by Kylasa Maistry the particulars of the land are as follows.

Name of Village	Description and Extent of land	Seemah	When last cultivated	Nature of irrigation
	Acres Pts as			
Gungamary in Chittoor	Nunjah 14	2 13½	8 years ago	Tank

5- This land is situated on too high a level for Wet cultivation and was cultivated as Dry land during the Decennial lease and in Fusly 1243. It is proposed to assess it with the 1st sort Poonjah rate of the Village or Rupees 4-6 per Cawnie on which terms Kylasa Maistry wishes to take it up I beg to recommend that this application also may be sanctioned.

† 1st sort - Rs 4-6
2d Do - 1-5
3d Do - 0-14

6th The present reference is made as the proposals involve an alteration of the assessment as entered in the Pymash accounts many lands

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

lands with a wet assessment are similarly situated and are not cultivated as the assessment cannot be realized - If the assessment is reduced with reference to the present real condition and advantages of position of such lands, the Ryots would gladly undertake the cultivation of them should the proposals now submitted be sanctioned

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 30th November 1842

| Signed | J. Goldingham,
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

To the Collector of North Arcot

31-32

1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of 30th November requesting instructions as to two offers for waste land which had been presented to you.

2- In the case first detailed you propose to give 4-2¾ cawnies of Nunjah land at the low poonjah rate of 2-3-0 a cawny on the ground that the land has been waste 46 years is without

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

without present means of irrigation and will need the expenditure of capital to bring it under culture I am instructed to inform you that the Board do not consider these sufficient reasons for changing the denomination and assessment fixed on the land at the survey. The ordinary and proper course for encouraging the reclaiming of uncultivable land is to give Cowle on terms proportionate to the outlay of capital necessary. This it is competent to you to do according to the established rules of your District, and if you should think them not sufficiently liberal for the present case the Board will be prepared to consider any still more favorable terms that you may propose with reference to this individual instance.

3

The second proposal is to take 7/8 Cawny of Nunjah land stated to be highly situated for wet cultivation at the highest Poonjah rate of the Village. In this case also the Board cannot authorize the alteration of the survey assessment. It does not appear from your letter whether

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

whether Hylausa Maistry designs to cultivate the land with wet or dry Crops. If the former cowle may be given him as in the first case in consideration of the expense required to provide the means of irrigation. If the latter the Board are unwilling to sanction a measure in this particular case which if permitted at all should be universally extended.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
15th December 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From J. D. Gleig Esqre
Princl. Collector of Salem

Sir,

33

I have the honor to request you will do me the favor to lay before the Board my application for leave of absence from my station for three Months from the 16th January next under the provisions of Section XI of Rules for the grant of leave of absence. The last leave granted to me was for 15 days from the

16773 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

the 15th July 1838.

Salem Zillah ----
Ossoor
Prin.l Collectors Kutcherry
12th December 1842 ---

I Signed I J. D. Gleig
Prin.l Collector

Order thereon.

33-34

Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

35

From C.H. Hallett Esqre
Collector of
South Arcot ---

Submitting further explanation on the subject of Kuiper Sale in South Arcot in reference to certain Para of Mr. Commissioner Dents Report dated 31st August 1841 and Minutes of Consultation and Boards Proceedings thereon and making certain suggestions regarding the Relaxation of the Rules in force.

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor in referring to Para 27 (Sec. 5 and 10) of Mr. Commissioner Dents report of 31st august 1841 to Para 11 of the Minutes of Consultation of 11th November 1841 and to para 2 / Section 23 to 26 / of Boards Proceedings of 28th February 1842, to supply some further explanation on the subject of Kuiper or payment for Circar water used for cultivation of dry land and under the invitation of the Board to make such suggestions as will I trust lead to a relaxation of the Rules in force.

2. Year by year the discontent in the District on account of the pressure of this tax has been increasing and I really do

do not think that another settlement can be comfortably made unless some indulgence be granted. It is moreover an irregular species of assessment, and one not produc=ing any very important Revenue. on which accounts it may judiciously be lessened without interfering with the regular assessment of the District.

Wet cultivation on dry lands by Circar Water.

A.D. 1821/22 to 1833/34.

3. To guard against any abuse or possible injury to the wet land revenues provision was made from Fusly 1231. to 1243 that any Ryot availing himself of Circar water for wet cultivation on his dry land should pay the average assess=ment of the wet lands of the Village.

A.D. 1834/35.

4. In fusly 1244 it appeared to the then Principal Collector that this mode of taxation was unequal in its operation, because, altho' the averages of the wet land assessment of the entire District might be said to be 10½ pc per Cawny in many Villages, the local average was as high as from 14 to 17½ pc while in others it was as low as 5 per Cawney thus causing a variable pay=ment for what was in all cases the same, in as much as in good or bad dry land the same quantity of water was requisite.

5. It occurs to me that in this view, sight was lost of the positive productiveness of

of the soil the true base of the proportionary principle of taxation. The average teerwah was higher in one Village, because the land was good, in another low, because it was inferior. in the former it may fairly be presumed that the return from the dry land, after the use of the water would have been greater than from the latter and therefore that altho in the two cases exactly the same quantity of waters were provided by the circar the one actually derived greater benefit, and could afford to yield higher tax than the other.

A.D. 1834/35. 6.

The change however introduced in fusly 1244 was the collection of an extra tax of Rs 6 per Cawny in every instance, when dry land was cultivated with wet produce and received water. If an increase to the revenue was contemplated the object was fully realized as will be seen by the Margin, and a proportionate benefit it was judged was conferred on the people. It will be remarked that the annual amount has now become nearly stationary.

	Rs
1247 —	13336
1248 —	2371
1249 —	35103
1250 —	34868
1251 —	33268

A.D. 1925/26. Dry Cultivation on dry Lands by Cirkar Water.

7. Up to fusly 1235 if Cirkar water was taken for the benefit of dry cultivation on dry land, no additional tax was imposed, in

in that year it was ordered, that such lands should pay the average wet land assessment of the Village. Subsequently in fusly 1244 this was modified to the payment of Rs 3 per Cawny over and above the fixed assessment.

A.D. 1834/35. Garden cultivation on dry Lands by Cirkar Water.

8. From fusly 1231 to 1243 Garden cultivation on dry land by Cirkar water paid the average Garden land assessment of the Village. In 1244 this was changed to an additional impost tax of 8 per Cawny.

A.D. 1934/35.

Garden Cultivation on wet Lands.

9. In like manner Rs 17½ per Cawny was laid in full on wet land cultivated with garden produce in lieu of the total average of the Village unless the fixed wet land assessment exceeded Rs 17½ in which case that rate remained.

10. These several rates (with one remission, No 5) have remained since and are still in force. They are concisely stated thus.

Rule 1. Wet land cultivation by Cirkar water on dry land to pay an additional tax of Rs 6 per Cawny.

2. Dry land cultivation benefitted by partial or full use of Cirkar water to pay Rs 3 additional per Cawny.

3. Garden cultivation by Cirkar

Cirkar water on dry land to pay 8 pc additional per Cawny -

Rule- 4- Garden cultivation by Cirkar water on wet land to pay 17½ pc on the fixed teerwah, if exceeding that sum -

" — 5- Remission of 25 per Cent given when the water is obtained by the labor of large Picottahs -

11. Fully satisfied by former long acquaintance with the District, as well as by what he witnessed in the performance of his duties last year, Mr Commissioner Dent proposed in his Report of 31st August 1841. the following -

In No 1. to substitute 5 pc for 6 pc

" — 2. — " — 2½ for 3.

" — 3 — left unchanged.

" — 4 — Do — Do.

" — 5 — to remain unchanged, except when water was taken for garden cultivation from old wells not kept up by Cirkary in such instances to be abolished and 4 pc to be substituted for 8 under Rule 3-

which

Minutes of Consultation Para 14th 11th Novr 1841 -

which the Government refused to sanction pending the receipt of further information -

I hope this communication

12. will afford explanation generally, as to the nature and character of the Assessment - The Board alone have it in their power to meet the wishes of Government relative to the remarks of Mr Sullivan, but in the hope that by an exposition of what I have carefully observed and of my opinion as to the extent to which it would be advisable to modify the Assessment rates, I may place before the Board for their information the present effect upon the people, and their feelings respecting the tax, I will proceed to venture some suggestions generally to the Board's desire -

13. Tho' the changes generally

A.D. 1834/35 made in fusly 1244 led to a total increase in the Collection, it must be kept in mind that this arose in the rich Villages where the land was highly assessed - On poorer lands the reverse was the case - I am not disposed to consider the benefit conferred to have been so great as was intended, and as was supposed at first -

14 I am of opinion that both rates of taxation were excessive and as this

this appears to have been admitted, there remains alone the consideration of the 20 rates recommended by Mr Dent. I believe Mr Dent did not consider one Rupee per Cawny to be the full reduction that was advisable, but that it was expedient to go so far only in the first instance. Under such persuasion alone shall I venture to offer, what might appear to be an opinion in opposition to the knowledge and experience of that Gentleman - but which, in reality is not in opposition, but in conformity with his sentiments, which were to relieve, in extent only; is there any difference, which time and circumstances have caused -

15. While Principal Collector for several years, Mr Dent did not bring forward the propriety of lessening the amount fixed in 1264, and therefore it may fairly be presumed that the altered condition of the ryots since he quitted the Collectorate forced upon him during his visit after several years of absence, the necessity of some reduction. It is notorious that the people of South Arcot have gradually year by year become poorer, and consequently more clamorous for indulgence from the Circar. In the middle of 1841, the

A.D. 1834/35.

the substitution of 5 for 6 might have been sufficient for the wants of the people or for their interests in relation to the Government but now at the end of 1842, when the cultivators have experienced 2 years of difficulty, in consequence of the very low price of both grain and indigo - when moreover, all circumstances tend to shew that, altho' amendment may take place, former remunerating prices will not again be realized. (for grain is imported more largely and grown more extensively here, while the demand from foreign countries does not increase and the trade in indigo, from multiplied causes, is dying away) it naturally follows that the heaviness of any tax becomes more severely felt and calls for alleviation -

16. I am induced therefore strongly to recommend that the Rupee tax for wet cultivation on dry land on no account should exceed 4 per Cawny or 2/3 the existing rate and 4/5 of that proposed by Mr Dent -

17. I have already pointed out that the collection of Rupee tax on dry cultivation and dry land was only introduced in

A.D. 1826/27.

in July 1836 scarcely any dry Crops require or can be benefitted by much water at the time of planting and occasionally during the growth, if there be a failure of rain, a supply from some artificial source is of great value and often times saves the crop itself For such moderate use of the Cistern water each Cawny becomes liable for 3 or nearly (the average of the whole district being 3-12) as much again as the full amount due from the land itself. Moreover the want of the water generally arises from the unfavorableness of the season, for which indulgence should be granted rather than advantage taken. It is true that during the Summah bundy discretion can be exercised by the Collector in extreme cases, but unfortunately experience proves that justifiable partiality is unpracticable. a line must be drawn, or no time would be sufficient to ascertain the truth in each case, amidst the animosities of the Villages, the assertions of the claimants, and the general disposition to pay the cistern as little as possible, and thus the smallest quantity taken compels the full penalty. A reduction of ½ a Rupee per Cawny, I conceive, would be productive of no benefit. I propose that in future no Teerwah whatever be collected for water used for the support of dry crop cultivation on dry

dry land.

Garden Cultivation on dry land with Cistern Water.

13 I am not disposed to consider that 8 per Cawny assisted by the 25 per Cent remission for labor of Pecottah, under this head, is too high; because, unlike dry Crops those denominated totacal or Garden, cannot be raised without an abundance of water and it is not desirable that such use should be encouraged for the production of this kind of produce on dry land. But as presently I propose to get rid of this remission, agreeably with Mr. Davis's opinion to compensate for it I will insert 6 for 8

19. The Rupee tax on this description of cultivation is not in fact on the water, but on the nature of the produce on every account. I trust this may be entirely abolished. for I would gladly see garden Crops extensively grown on wet lands, in the hope and belief that by such means the ryots will be enabled to grow what would find a more ready sale, and yield a more certain profit.

Garden Cultivation on wet land.

20. All land cultivated by water, drawn from a Well built by a ryot at his own expence, pays no Rupee, but if the water has been taken from old out-of-repair Wells, or other sources which cost the Circar nothing

nothing to keep up, 8 per Cawny has been
charged with a deduction of 25 per Cent
if the extra labour of working a large
Pecottah has been endured - Mr Dent
proposes to reduce this to 4 and to drop
the allowance of 25 per cent -

21. It is alleged by the
people that these Wells were dug by
their ancestors, and as neither they nor
the natural small reservoirs cost
the Government any thing, a supply
of water from them should not be
taxed - There is much reason for
paying attention to these arguments
and accordingly I recommend that
the Kupur tax be imposed only on water
taken from such Tanks or Channels
of any description as are kept in
order by the Cultivators and as nearly
in every instance where the 25 per
cent is granted it is for Pecottahs
used to draw water from such sour-
-ces, I would wholly abolish the
practice as no longer called for -
This will also by its simplicity have
a very good effect for there is consid-
-erable difficulty always in decid-
-ing upon the claims to the indul-
-gence, and its abolition having been
compensated for under each head
cannot be felt as a hardship -

22. Thus my recommendation is

Rule

Rule - 1 - To substitute 4 pr for 6 pr
" — 2 - Abolish all Kupur
" — 3 - To substitute 6 pr for 8 pr
" — 4 - To substitute payment
of the land Teerwah only -
" — 5 - To Collect Kupur only
on water taken from
Tanks and channels
which are kept in
order by Cultivators and
the 25 per Cent remission
for labor of Pecottahs
to be substituted -

23. I will now proceed to dis-
-cuss the objections which appear at
all likely to be raised. They are not
many, indeed the only important
ones are 1st The possibility of the
advantage given proving so great that
dry lands will be cultivated and
the wet thrown up 2d The possible
expenditure of water to a degree pro-
-ductive of injury to the rightful
claims for that water - 3d The proba-
-ble loss to the Revenues by the actual
reductions -

24 As regards the first, dry
land in general is so situated that
it cannot easily obtain a flow
of water from the Village Tanks
or channels, and where such is the case
the inferiority of the soil so dolled with its fixed
teerwah

terenah and additional 4 p^r^ per Cawny will commonly make it so little more lucrative, that any extensive cultivation to the neglect of the wet lands need not be feared, on the contrary a profit from the one will induce, and enable, the ryat to keep under the plough the other which is not so profitable, but which all his jealous feelings of right and possession stimulate him not to allow to pass into the hands of another, if he can by any means retain it. Any aid given to a ryat is turned to one only purpose the cultivation of his old lands or extra cultivation of new -

25. The experience of the Village officers never fails to ascertain accurately what extent of cultivation the supply contained in their tanks and channels can provide for: they also know well what wet lands are about to be cultivated. With them rests the power, in the first instance, of permitting any diversion of surplus water for use on dry lands, to this they are perfectly competent, and closely supervised, as all Villages, under the ryotwary system, are by Circar officers, there can be no reason whatever to attach any importance to the second objection, or to suppose that there will grow up any abuse further than the occasional irregularities, of common detection during every settlement -

26 There remains the consideration

f

of any probable loss to the Revenue - It must naturally be anticipated that just at first a decrease will be experienced in comparison with the Collections of the 2 or 3 last years - but the amount will not fall nearly to what it was prior to the change introduced in the year 1834/35. Such a loss even if permanent, I trust would not be thought of importance sufficient to outweigh all consideration of the general high assessment, the poverty of the people and the extraordinary nature of the tax - but when all experience declares that in taxation the more moderate the demand the greater the return either directly or indirectly exemplified too in this very subject, Vide Para 6, I am assured beyond all doubt that ultimately the revenues will be bettered while the people will be assisted -

27. Thus finally I can discover no valid argument against my recommendation - The fixed assessment will be untouched, the Revenues uninjured, the inhabitants will be most thankful for the boon. But to obviate all possibility of introducing

a

a change which might turn out to be vicious and difficult of annulment, I propose that it be only sanctioned as a temporary -

28. I am likely to remain some years in this District, and anxious to see any improvement brought about in the condition of the ryots. I am not impatient for instant and unconditional concurrence with my views, which of course are very possibly erroneous, I would as soon in the confidence of the soundness of my calculations, allow them to be tested before final adoption - In conclusion, therefore I earnestly recommend that the Rates suggested by me in para 22 of this letter, for the future collection of the Kistpur tax, be experimentally sanctioned for a period of two years. I have given the subject my best attention and trusting that the Board will give me credit for this, I hope for their support -

29. I shall for the present delay the transmission of a draft Pookoondakwak. The point involved in my letters of 1st September and 12th November 1841 relative to the Potteeal lands is

is not yet decided, and the present discussion regarding the Kistpur leaves many subjects open. If I receive replies early in the ensuing year, I shall be enabled immediately to forward a revised Pookoonamak and to commence the annual Settlement for

A.D. 1842/43. fasly 1252 -

Cuddalore Collectors Cutcherry
22nd November 1842

(Signed) C. B. Ballett
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon.

(35-36)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day—

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 9th inst. entered in Consultation 24th Ultimo—

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence—

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government 7 Dec 1842

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly soliciting orders on certain points connected with the levy of Quit rent and assessment on premises in the Cantonment with the sentiments of the Board on the subject—

July 7th 1840 Mily Depart No 2573 in Cons 27th

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly soliciting orders on certain points connected with the levy of Quit rent and assessment on premises in the cantonment, regarding which the Board beg to be favored with the instructions of Government—

2. The distinction made by the resolution of Government quoted by Mr Onslow between lands within a military cantonment, held with grants and without, is what gave occasion to the application noticed in the second Para of his letter. The Board apprehend that it is not the intention of Government that grants should

should be issued in cases of this kind. The grant is not sought with the object of assuming the property, nor is there any other purpose in view than that of avoiding the liability to assessment. If it is decided that a grant may be given in this case, it may be supposed that Grants will be applied for in all similar cases, and thus all premises within Military cantonments will be relieved from the payment of assessment. If Government are prepared to sanction this it might be a preferable course to declare them free at once, without the formality of procuring grants—

3. The Board however see no occasion for such favor being shewn to land in Military cantonments, and they would submit that the order above noticed might admit of reconsideration. Its operation is invidious, and it is seems from the letter under notice that it has produced discontent. It has been ordered by Government that premises held without grants in Military Cantonments shall pay the survey assessment of

7th July 1840.

Para 3.

19th Octr 1840 in Cons 12th Novr

the

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

the lands. This is commonly lower than the rate of Quit rent stipulated in the grants, but liberally relinquished by Government, and the Board would suggest that the rule of the 7th July 1840 should be modified, as proposed by Mr. Cushon and that lands in Military Cantonments should be made subject to the Survey assessment, except where that may exceed the Quit rent, and that in the latter case the Quit rent should be levied.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
15th December 1842

(Signed) J. D. Boyerdillon
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Madura, Dis. Para 1

Requesting instructions if to recommend as manager and guardian to the Ramnad Estate in conformity to the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 or to make arrangements for its care by the Company's officers as under ordinary cases of sequestration

Clause 2nd Section VIII Regulation V of 1804 requires that I should recommend some person as Manager to the Estate of the minor heiress of Ramnad taken under charge of the Court of Wards on the 22d Ultimo. Not a man of the family, and I believe not one of the caste (Maravas) is to be found fit for the duties of the office.

2 The late guardian (for since she must be renominated in accordance with the words of that Regulation) is represented to me as very anxious the estate should be kept under the direct superintendence of the Company's officers as in ordinary cases of sequestration, but fears to compromise her own authority by a written application to that effect.

3 If it meets the Board's approval I would recommend this mode, leaving her always at liberty to claim reversion to the special one prescribed in the Regulation, on the grounds of the great misdemeanor that has attended its administration by

38

Fort St. George 15th December 18

the Manager charged to receive
12 lacs of Rupees in amount and because of
the suit now pending in the Southern Provin-
cial Court for recovery of the Estate by Mootoo
Veerayee Nachyar, the Widow of the former
Zemindar Annasawmy, and Mother by adop-
tion of the last Zemindar, Ramasawmy,
and consequently grand mother to the
present heiress apparent, to whom
she also stands in relation of Aunt,
being the elder sister to her adopted
son, the late Zemindar. —

4 I take the liberty of
informing you this letter has been
concluded after receipt of your
communication of the Board
to Government of the 12th Instant
and their early orders on the subject
are requested to enable me to
make a fitting remodelling of
this present excessively expensive
and overgrown establishment
for administration of the Estate.

Madura
Ramnad
18th November 1842

[Signed] J. Blackburne
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in consequence. —

To The Commissioner of
Madura

To the Commissioner of Madura

Sir
Para 1 With reference to the letter

Communicating instruction for the selection of a manager for the Ramnad Zemindary under charge of the Court of Wards

from the Principal Collector dated 18th Ultimo
I am directed by the Board of Revenue to
point out to you that it is not required by
the Regulation that the manager of a re-
property under the Court of Wards, shall be
of the same family or even caste, as the
minor. —

(38-39)

Instns 15th Dec. 1842

2 With regard to the proposal
in the 3d Para of that letter, the Board
observe that the nomination of a re
manager for an Estate under their Custody
is not left to the option of the Court
of Wards; and they request that you will re
select a fit person for the Office, and
make a recommendation in his favour
to the Court. —

3 You will be pleased at the
same time to state your sentiments as to
the amount of salary to be allowed him and
adverting to Para 3 of Mr. Blackburnes letter
the Board trust that you will give your
attention to the Settlement of the moyen
Japtah

16795 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Japtah of the Zemindary, on an efficient yet economical scale.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
15th December 1842

/ Signed / J: D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

To
J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From J. Blackburne
Princl Collr of Madura

Sir

With reference to the Board's endorsement on Petition No. 131 of 1842 herewith returned, calling for a special report on the case, I beg to state that Petitioners' claim to exclusive enjoyment of ¼ share of the Poly meradsy of Mangoody was referred by me to the Shirostattar Ameen whose report of its having been enjoyed in equal parts, viz ⅛ at ⅛ each by him and his adversary and that he had in consequence ordered the petitioner to conform to that portion of possession, and had issued an order to the Umbulgar of the village to enforce the order I confirmed by Endorsement— I have now issued the necessary orders to enable me to make a personal investigation into

Dated 17th October received 23d November

40

4th June 1841

8th June 1841

16796 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

into the claim.—

Madura
30th November 1842

/ Signed / J: Blackburne
Pre Collector

Resolution thereon

40-41

The Board will await the result of the further enquiry about to be instituted by the Principal Collector at the date of this letter.—

Read the following letter—

To J: D: Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From C: B: Thomas Esqre
Asst Collr of Tinnevelly

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have after considerable difficulty succeeded in making over the large "Nellaimbalam" Pagoda of Tinnevelly and its dependencies 22 smaller ones, to a highly respectable and wealthy trustee a native of the Province and to whose nomination the great body of the inhabitants absent— Dallavoy moodely's family were formerly of rank and influence in these parts; he is the most extensive landholder in Tinnevelly paying 8,000 Rupees yearly to Government— He would only consent to take it alone that

Reporting of his making over the large Pagoda of Tinnevelly and its dependencies to Dallavoy Sectaraspa moodely offering suggestions as to the application of the surplus Pagoda funds now in deposit in the District.—

42

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

that he might not be annoyed or interfered with, there being a difference of opinion amongst others proposed. The still larger and more important one of Trichendoor alone remains: the Board are aware, as remarked in their Proceedings of 6th October 1842, of the difficulty of disposing of it in the way the Board desire, and I shall not dispose of it in any other, tho' several irresponsible and questionable candidates are willing to undertake the charge. My best endeavours have been used since I joined to effect this object, and I hope shortly to be able to overcome the remaining difficulties and make an arrangement in accordance with the Board's wishes and satisfactory to the people concerned. On this occasion and with reference to a letter from the Court of Directors Bty. 25th August 1841, 4th May 1842, and the Proceedings of the Board 18th July and 26th March last, I deem it my duty, while the subject is still pending to add my earnest hope to that expressed by Sir H. Montgomery under date 10th September, that the surplus Pagoda funds of Tinnevelly so much required for the real and general improvement

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

ment of this District may not be, from mistaken liberality and an erroneous view given up to the rapacity and supposed claims of a few Pagoda Brahmin servants, instead of applying them to the real and lasting benefit of the community at large. I venture respectfully to offer my opinion on this subject as the decision the disposal of these funds is still under consideration, and must soon be decided and Rupees 321,323 in this District, are depending on this decision.

2 That the application of these Pagoda funds under the various names of "Dawestanum Surplus Kasur" "Tirpany Repair Fund maniem" &c. to works of public benefit and general utility as the formation or restoration of the vast public reservoirs Tanks, to embankments Annicuts, turning and restraining the waters of a River from running waste into the Sea, Roads, Bridges, Choultries, wells &c. would tend to the real and substantial good of the people at large, cannot admit of a question, and I am strongly of opinion that it would

meet

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

meet their general approval as well as their good. If it were fairly put to them, I believe the advocates for such expenditure would be the great body of the industrious cultivating and mercantile classes; the only opposers, the few paid Brahmins who live not upon their own industry, but on the priest craft and the Shrines which it is doubtless their interest to keep up and, of which in reality they are nothing more then the temporary or at best the hereditary Servants.

3 The Surplus funds are the result mainly of European vigilance and integrity hitherto applied to the Control and management of the Pagodas; the accumulations would most probably not have accrued under native Rule, and are distinct from the hoards, real or imaginary, supposed to be secreted within the Arcana of the different Shrines of note, and which it is not proposed to touch. The above Surplus deposit has accrued chiefly from the efficient management

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

management of the Manium (or rent free) lands, alienated for the support of these Establishments a portion of lands considered sufficient to the support was originally assigned by the State or by individuals, to the Pagoda under British Skill and Capital applied to works of irrigation, and by vigilant European Control, these lands have been improved and made to yield more then when first allotted they have benefited, as well as other lands, from the resources of Government. It is seldom that a large Maramut work whether Channel, Tank or annicut, is built, that the Pagoda lands in the Neighbourhood do not directly or indirectly partake of the improvement, by additional supply of water. It would therefore seem but fair that Government should have some voice in the distribution of these accumulations.

4 The Current allowances of the Pagodas have never been curtailed, every fraction of them has, under the late orders, been

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

been now relinquished to the Pagodas themselves: but I would with all deference submit that neither justice nor policy requires that the surplus above alluded to, should also be made over as a gift equally unexpected and without due right to the feeble and interested Brahmins of the Pagodas who alone would be the gainers but that after yielding scrupulously every iota, whether land or money allowance, that the Pagodas can fairly lay claim to, the exigencies of Government and the real good of the great mass of the people, the cultivating and mercantile classes, should be considered, more than the supposed and unfounded requisitions of the interested few.—

5

At the orders for the final and complete dissolution of the connection between the Government and Idolatry, I sincerely rejoice; but I conceive the unappropriated surplus funds must be viewed in a different light from the original current expences, as now fully relinquished to each Establishment; and I firmly believe that the general voice of

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

of the great body of the intelligent native community would be for expending the surplus lacs rather on such works as above alluded to, than in the construction of new gilding or ornaments for the Pagodas: the former expenditure would tend to confer plenty, avert famine, and employ thousands, and the latter will be made by the people themselves if they really care for the Pagodas and are zealous for its support.—

It is seldom that a large fund in any country long lies idle for want of claimants and until Government here or at home decide on the disposal of these surplus Pagoda funds, claims and requisitions on them will of course be preferred. such are readily made, but cannot I think be really established; and it is for Government to decide whether it shall relinquish to ill founded or at the best very doubtful claims, a means of vast and real benefit to the people themselves, if applied under liberal and wise control, but sure, if thrown into the hands of the Pagoda Brahmins, to be appropriated or dissipated for selfish purposes, bigotry and

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

and debasing and deplorable superstition.

7 I am not aware that a more legitimate or really useful application of a portion of these funds in Tinnevelly could be made, than to meet the expences of the impending Survey intended to be beneficial to the whole District and which would thus return to the great body of the community who originally contributed them. The remainder as above proposed, might be judiciously expended in Roads, Bridges, Canals Chaultries, and other great Public works of undoubted general benefit undertaken the approval of Government — I venture to add one more suggestion, as worthy the consideration of Government, namely, reserving from the aggregate of these surplus funds any certain Amount which might be deemed proper perhaps 1 lac, as a Deposit in the hands of Government, against those calamitous and distressing periods of drought and famine, which experience has shewn to occur periodically and fatally, at intervals of from 7 to 9 years: this would at least be an

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

application of the funds to which the most intolerant or selfish could hardly object.

8 Though the process would be tedious and an unusual one, I have no doubt whatever, that omitting the few interested Brahmins of the Pagodas themselves, I should obtain, were I to resort to such a test, the Signature and assent of 3/4ths of the property and intelligence of the District for the general and beneficial application of the surplus funds referred to above. It cannot be for a moment doubted that 10 years hence both Government and people will look back with greater satisfaction on the more solid benefits resulting from a judicious and economical application of this large sum now pending, than on any which can possibly follow its being given up to be squandered in superstitious rites, or appropriated by fraud and contention.

I have &c

Tinnevelly } Signed/ C. B. Thomas
29th November 1842 } Acting Collector

The

Proceedings thereon, Para 1. The Nallambalam large Pagoda and the smaller ones dependant on it have been committed to the management of a single individual, of whom the Acting Collector mentions that he is highly respectable and wealthy the largest landholder in the Province and of an old family. This seems to the Board a judicious arrangement and they approve and confirm it.—

Approving & confirming the arrangement made by the Actg. Collector of Tinnevelly for the management of the Nallambalam large Pagoda and the smaller ones dependant on it and leaving it entirely to his judgement for disposing of the Srichendoor Pagoda—

Prog.ts dated 6th 1842 P. 2—

42-43

2 From his remarks on the Srichendoor Pagoda I saw the only one remaining undisposed of, the Acting Collector would seem to have misapprehended the Board's former language they did not indicate any particular way of disposing of this Temple, but left it entirely to Mr. Thomas's judgment, only expressing a desire that he should give his best endeavours to the attainment of the object. They feel assured that he has done and is doing that, and they trust that his endeavours will ere long be successful.—

3 The remaining observations of the Acting Collector have reference to a part of the subject which has been referred for the determination of the Home authorities. These arguments and suggestions however will come under the view of Government when the Board report the completion of the withdrawal of official interference in the concerns of Native religious institutions in the Tinnevelly district.—

Read

H.B.B.

Read the following letter—

From H. M. Blair Esqre
Principal Collector of
Canara—

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George—

From the Pl. Coll. of Canara—

Sir

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board of Revenue, translation of a Plaint, together with a draft of an answer to be filed to it in Suit No. 298 of 1842 brought against myself and another, by one Manjaya Cumpty and Ananta Cumpty.—

The circumstances connected with the Suit are explained in the proposed answer and should the Board approve of the Draft, I request that the necessary sanction may be obtained for its defence at the public expense.—

No. 1
No. 2.

44

Principal Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore.
29th November 1842

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector—

Translation.

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

No. 1.

Translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 298 of 1842 on the File at the Zillah Court of Canara dated 18th August 1842.

Plaintiffs 1st Anunta Cumpty inhabitant of the Sheerur Village Coollur Cottar Ma-gany in the Cundapoor Talook.

2nd Munjay Cumpty of Nuggurmutt in the Hoosal Village Ha-nikully Magany in the Barcoor Talook

1st Defendant the Principal Collector of Canara.

2nd Defendant Timma-pa Shetty Patail of the Pudwary Village. Bynoor Magany in the Cun-dapoor Talook.

Versus

I sue the two abovemen-tioned Defendants on the following grounds.

One of us the 2nd Plaintiff purchased from one Dajee Roy the Proprietor on the 29th December 1828 a portion bearing an assessment of Pagodas 36-6-6. out of an Estate No. 70 of the Pudwary Village assessed

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

assessed with Pagodas 73-2-12. and having been a resident in the Barcoor Talook the 2nd Plaintiff constituted the 1st Plaintiff as his agent for collecting the rent and paying the Sircar assessment thereon, and the management was conducted in this manner till 1236 or 2nd Shood. Shra-vana Month, in the Veya Year, on which day the remaining portion of the estate was sold by the Moolgar, having executed a deed of transfer in my name, and that of my brother Govinda Cumpty. Thus the whole estate was enjoyed by us and the Sircar assessment paid on it, This is well known to the Sircar. The 1st Plaintiff has, from that time, till 1250 Fasly. managed the land, collected the rent, and paid the assessment due to the Sircar on the entire Varg. In Fasly 1237. Sowbhuddray widow of the said Moolgar, preferred a Complaint before the Collector against us, calling in question the validity of the said deeds of sale. In reply to this Complaint Mr. Lavie while in the Collectors Department passed an

16809 Fort St: George 15th December 1842

an Order No: 780 on the 9th October 1838: to the effect that her compilaint was unfounded and directed her if she had any title to bring it before the Court of Edalat, as she could not expect any order from the Re=venue Department in favor of the said complaint. This order was communicated through the Peishcar of Byndoor. The right of prosecuting her claim in the Civil Court she has forfeited under the provisions of Clause IV Section XVIII. Re=gulation II of 1802, and we have since been in an undisputed possession of the property. Under these circumstances she had no lawful ground for advancing any claim regarding the ground, the 2d: Defendant has as usual collected from me the sum of Pagodas 20-7-- during the first Kist and passed an assignment upon me in favor of his Creditor Shumbaya for Pagodas 3-7-4 being part of the 2d: Kist due by me the 1st: Plaintiff thus of the sum of Pagodas 23-8-4. being the total payments made Pagodas 16-5 were only carried to account in the

Kathirada,

16810 Fort St: George 15th December 1842

Kathirda the balance of — Pagodas 7-3-4 was retained by the 2d: Defendant without either entering it in the — Book or remitting it to — the Talook Cutcherry. The 2d: Defendant moreover declined receiving from the 1st: Plaintiff the remaining amount due for the 2d: Kist, and Collected the — same from the said Low= =bhuddray who had already lost all her right to it. Where= upon the 1st: Plaintiff com= =plained to the Byndoor Peishcar against the conduct of the 2d: Defendant who — acknowledged.

acknowledged in his examination having received from the 1st Plaintiff the payments made by him. The Peishcar then sent his Takeed to the 2d Defendant directing him to refrain from receiving the Sircar demand on this estate from either of the contending Claimants until the case shall have been finally disposed of. As this Takeed was a bar to his (2d Defendant's) favoring her he entered (in the Book) as having received from her previously to the receipt of the Takeed

Takeed the amount due on the estate for future Kists. Afterwards the Peshcar directed Manja Shetty, Potail of sheiroor to hold in deposit the produce of the estate in question, which was accordingly done by desiring the tenants not to pay the rent without positive orders. The enquiry was long protracted and the Kists of 125l. on the said estate not realized from any one. On the 25th April last, about the time of the 4th Kist, the 1st Defendant ordered the Peshcar of Byndoor to receive the Kist from the said woman.

The 2d Plaintiff having heard of such an order having been passed in the case, appeared before the 1st Defendant, and presented to him an arzee on the 3d June stating that the order formerly passed by Mr. Lavie was conformable to the provisions of Section XI Regulation V of 1822, that if she were dissatisfied with that order

16813 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

order she ought to have preferred an appeal against that decision in the Zillah Court within the term of 30 days prescribed by that Regulation and that she having failed to prefer such an appeal that order (of Mr. Lavie) was legally confirmed, to which however the order now passed was entirely contrary. In reply to this Petition the 2d. Plaintiff was told to take a Copy of the said order which could not however be altered. The copy of the order was accordingly taken and on its being looked at, the order appeared not to have any reference to the Complaint preferred by the 1st. Plaintiff against the 2d. Defendant and it was a matter of surprise to find it to have mentioned as though the Complaint had been preferred by us against that woman. When the 2d. Plaintiff presented three Vazeas before the 1st. Defendant requesting that final orders might be passed on

his

16814 Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

his complaint against the 2d. Defendant, to which however answer was made that no further order would be passed nor would a Copy of such order be furnished.

Under all the foregoing circumstances I claim from the Defendant the produce of the Estate the collection of which has been embarrassed by the orders issued from the Department of the 1st. Defendant for the two years 1250 & 1251 as follows.

	6th	7th Reas
Value of the Produce	526	25
Deduct.		
Demand for 2d. Fusilies	586	80
Paid as within mentioned	95	1 20
Balance demand deducted	490	3 60
Remaining	35	65

this sum together with damages to the Amount of Rupees 100 to which I have been subjected in consequence of the Garden trees / Cocoanut trees / not having been manured as usual during those 2 years. We moreover pray that the land &c. yielding 324 1/4 Rupees per annum, which we have been dispossessed of, may be restored to us and the Sircar demand

Collected

collected from us; all the opposition to the contrary on the part of the 1st Defendants Department being removed. I further claim from the 2nd Defendant damages to the extent of 200 Rupees in consequence of our having been called out from our other affairs to attend before the several Cutcherries and placed under the necessity of proceeding to Maraga-lore and thereby put to a considerable expense by this having occasioned an unjust dispute against us.

(Signed) Anunta Cumpty

Manjay Cumpty

No 2.

Draft of an Answer proposed to be filed by the 1st Defendant to the Suit No 298 of 1842.

The Plaintiffs state in their plaint that in consequence of an order from the 1st Defendants Department, directing that the assessment due on G. Dass Poy's Durg, should be received from Sowbhuddray instead of from Anunt Cumptee one of the Plaintiffs, they have been deprived of the

the hookwally (produce) of the Estate, and thereby put to loss which they now claim. The Plaintiffs also pray that the Sircar assessment hereafter may be received from themselves, and that the land of which they became dispossessed by the said order, may further be restored to their possession.

The 1st Defendant makes the following answer to this Suit.

The Durgdar, or proprietor of the Estate in question, died 16 years ago, leaving his mother Bhagertee, and wife Sowbhuddray, to inherit his property. The 1st Plaintiff complained against the 2nd Defendant for having received the Sircar Rents from Sowbhuddray, notwithstanding that he had for a long time paid it himself. The complaint was inquired into, when the Plaintiffs stated that the durg was sold one half to himself, and the other half to 2nd Plaintiff; and that the 1st Plaintiff for himself and for the 2nd Plaintiff, according to his desire paid the Circar demand due

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

due on the entire wurg: a reference was therefore made to the Shanbogues accounts from which it appeared, that the Circar beriz had been paid at different times by several persons, sometimes by the wurgdar's mother Bhagertee, sometimes by the 1st Plaintiff, and at other times by several others - although the fact of Bhagertee being mother to the wurgdar, might justify her payment of the kists, yet there appears nothing to warrant the 2d Defendant in receiving the kists from the 1st Plaintiff - If as urged by the Plaintiffs, they were the parties from whom the kists should be received in consequence of their having obtained deeds of sale for the lands in question, it was incumbent on them to get the registry of the wurg altered in their names; or at least to have produced the Razee and Cabooliyanahs of the sale in the usual form - Nothing of the kind, however, was done; and the payment of Kists by the 1st Plaintiff cannot be considered as any proof of his right to

Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

right to the Land - Under these circumstances, no decision could be passed by the Revenue authorities in favor of 1st Plaintiff, whose object there is too much reason to believe, in bringing forward this unsubstantiated claim, is to defraud Sowbuddray the widow of the deceased wurgdar, of her right to the property, through the undue influence he has obtained over Bhagertee who is an infirm old Woman.

There may be a question as to wether the mother of the deceased wurgdar, or his widow, is the rightful heir to the property, on the decision of which will depend from whom the Sircar demand is ultimately to be received; but that is a point to be settled between these two parties themselves, and is quite unconnected with the present Suit.

The 1st Defendant, therefore, denies that the Plaintiffs have any claim upon him in consequence of the order relative to the collection of the Government

Government demand from the land in question; as it was impossible for him under the circumstances of the case to recognize the alleged claim of Plaintiffs to the property. a reference to the order itself will shew that the Plaintiffs could not have suffered any loss by the instructions it contained.

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Pl. Coll.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg. Chief Sect. to Government. } Sir

44-45

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara forwarding copy of a plaint filed in the Zillah Court of Canara in suit No. 298 of 1842 against himself and another by one Marjoya Cumpty and Ananta Cumpty, for the recovery of certain produce and land of which they aver that they

29th Nov. 1842
In Cons. 15th Dec.

have

have been deprived by an order of the 1st Defendant.

The Board consider the answer proposed to be put in by the Principal Collector in this case copy of which also accompanies his letter, to be good and sufficient, and they recommend that he may receive authority for carrying on the defence at the Public expense.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 15th December 1842. } /Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read again Letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 10th November entered in Consultation 6th December 1842.

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue of the district of Malabar for fasly 1250. A.D. 1840-41

46

Para 1. Owing to the lateness of the setting in of the Monsoon, the season was not altogether favorable; The early Rains, tho' not abundant, were sufficient for a good first crop whilst the scanty rains of the supply in August and September proved highly prejudicial to the second Rice crop as well as to all species of Garden produce, Pepper excepted, in proof of which the Collector refers to a falling off in the value of the Exports of these articles amounting to six lacs of Rupees.

Para 3. The

2. The demand however for Rice, as well as all kinds of Garden produce, was good, by which the Ryots were saved much of the loss they would otherwise have sustained consequent on short produce with the Summabundy Accounts Mr Conolly has forwarded the abstract Chittah for one Ashuree, and one Individual Puttah this latter document is singularly defective The Ryot is stated to have cultivated 4 fields in one Village and 6 in another, but neither the extent or assessment of the separate fields is shewn, nor the total extent of the whole remission to the extent of 1 Pr 10 a 0 1/2 is allowed this individual, but upon what fields where situated, or on what account is no where mentioned, all these particulars ought to be shewn, and the Board desire that this may be done in all the Puttahs issued for the Current fusly.

Para 4

3. There was a Net Increase of 13,930 Pr on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of 1250 A.D. 1840/41 over that of 1249 A.D. 1839/40 which accurred mainly in wet and Dry Cultivation

Net Pr 3110-14-6
Dry Pr 7397-1-5
10507-15-11

Cultivation The Heads under which a decrease occurred are Cocoanut, Beetle nut, and Jack Trees, Amounting to Rupees 1476-1-7 and Neilgherry Hills 1092-4-1 which, it is explained in para 4, is merely nominal and owing to an inadvertent omission to add the Amount of Dwit Rent, to the demand as in former years

4. The increase under Rice lands is mainly attributable to remissions of former years reannexed to the Current Demand The Amount of remissions granted in 1250 A.D. 1840-41 was 6749-12-4 of which the only two Para 5. items requiring the Board's notice are the following

1st On account of lands which were found to be over assessed the difference now proposed to be remitted being more than the lands can fairly pay Viz. 25-2-6 This item is of small Amount but Mr Conolly is aware of the disinclination of the Board to allow any alteration of Assessment without their previous consent and without their being made fully acquainted with the circumstances which renders it advisable In the present instance no information is given, and Mr Conolly will therefore report the nature of the enquiry

enquiry made regarding the Capability of the lands in question and the principles on which the revised Assessment has been conducted.—

2ndly Temporary remission on account of failure of Crops occasioned by the failure of the after Rains 4428—13—9 Rs. Sufficient information is not before the Board to enable them to pass this remission without remark. If any of the lands on which this remission was given, were double Crop lands, which in consequence of the scantiness of the after Rains in August and September, failed to yield a 2d. Crop, the propriety of this indulgence in a District like Malabar, where no distinction is made between Single and double Crop Land is questionable. Mr Conolly will therefore be pleased to explain the nature of these failures and the general outturn of the entire produce of the lands here referred to. he will also be pleased to explain in detail the principle upon which this remission was given—if when a second Crop comes to maturity an additional teerwah is Charged for such Crop, it would seem very reasonable in

in Cases of failure that remission of this additional teerwah or a portion of it should be allowed but if no additional teerwah be demanded for a Second Crop, and the Accounts no where exhibit any entry of such, the Board are neither aware of the principle on which the remission is allowed nor of the necessity for any such.—

Para 8 5 The progressive improvement of the Dry Land Revenue, is satisfactory, and the Board will be prepared to give their best attention to any scheme for the better regulation of the Assessment on dry or Hill Cultivation in Malabar, so soon as Mr Conolly's views on the Subject are matured and reported for their consideration.—

Para 9. 6. They will also be prepared to recommend for sanction the employment of such moderate, temporary Establishment annually as will secure a careful survey of the Crops before they are cut, under the impression that such a measure will not only add to the Revenue, but being much quicker in its operation will also prove beneficial and agreeable to the Proprietors of the Hill tracts on which these Crops are grown.—

The

Fort St George 15th December 1842

Para 10

7.

The Remissions under the head of Gardens are sufficiently explained by Mr Conolly and do not call for remark further than to observe that the proceedings in the Case of the Poodianguady Tanguttu are approved and Confirmed.—

Para 11

8.

The revision of the Garden Assessment having been Completed in Fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840/41. Mr Conolly reports that there has been a decrease on the Gardens assessed to the Revenue below the increase anticipated in 1249 / A.D. 1839-40. to the extent of Rs 1476 — 7, although the net Increase on the whole is Rupees 18849 — 5 — 8. It is satisfactory to be informed that at the close of the examinations of these Gardens which have occupied so many Fuslies, and from which so much relief it is believed, has been afforded to the poorer Ryots, the result is one so favorable in a Financial point of view. The 3504 Gardens examined in Fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840-41 were of the worst description, and hence the partial decrease. It is observed however that out of the whole number, no fewer than 4608 are Situated in the Palaghaut Talook and comprise more than one fourth of the Gardens in this Talook, the total number being

Para 12

Statement No 24.

Fort St George 16th December 1842

being 17519. The Board are anxious to have some more specific information regarding the present Condition, and the Cause of the deterioration of these Gardens. Mr Conolly will accordingly be so good as to state what was the amount of Assessment formerly leviable, whether the Gardens are now wholly unproductive, or whether any other description of Cultivation is Carried on in them, and if so, how the Current Government Revenue is Assessed and realized.—

9. A difference of 32 — 14 — 5 is remarked between the Accounts of Collection from the Neilgherries received from the Sub-Collector and those Submitted by the Collector which it is desirable should be rectified.—

	Rs		
According to Coll.r Account	4853	6	11
According to Sub Coll.r Account	4886	5	4
Difference Rupees	32	14	5

10. The total Demand of the year was Rupees 1648619 — 5 — 1 and the Collections made within the fusly Rupees 1633677 — 1 realized with ease and punctuality, leaving a balance of 14941 — 14 — 0 which has been reduced by Subsequent Collections to Rupees 1898.—

Para 18

11. The Gross Collections derived from

from all sources in fasly 1249 exceeded that
F.Y. 1839-40
of any year during the last 10 years. That of
fasly 1200 is second only to fasly 1249
A.D. 1840-41 F.Y. 1839-40
and largely exceeds the average of the ten
preceding years. These results are most
satisfactory and speak equally in favor
of Mr Conolly's zealous attention to his
duties, and of the Prosperity of the District
under his management.

12. A considerable deficiency is observable under the Head of Tobacco Monopoly which Mr Conolly attributes to the more than usually inferior Tobacco supplied for the Monopoly from Coimbatore. This subject has been under the consideration of the Board in a correspondence with the two Collectors of Malabar and Coimbatore the former respecting the inferior quality of the article supplied and the means he proposed for remedying the evil, Viz. the reduction of the Monopoly price of Tobacco from 175 to 157½ per Candy, and the interdiction of the supply of any of the first sort to the Cochin Circar the latter pointing out the inexpediency of adopting the suggestions of Mr Conolly and alleging that

that the supplies are in no way inferior to former supplies, but that the deterioration takes place in Malabar; and proposing, with a view to exonerate his District from the blame of providing inferior Tobacco, for the future, when supplies are forwarded to furnish the Collector of Malabar with a Sample of each sort accompanied by a Certificate that the same corresponds with the total quantity furnished. The Board approved of this suggestion, but under the present uncertainty as regards the measures contemplated by the Supreme Government for the modification of the existing Tobacco Monopoly, they deemed it inexpedient to discuss or recommend to Government the propositions submitted by Mr Conolly.

13. It is not usual in a Report on the Land Revenue of the District to discuss the causes of Increase and Decrease under the head of Extra Revenue, and Mr Conolly will be pleased in future to refrain from doing so.

14. The irrecoverable amount of arrears of outstanding Land Revenue are stated by Mr Conolly to be only 202-6-1 and Para 20 this small Amount the Board recommend may be written off to Profit and Loss.

The

The extent of Waste

15. taken up in fusly 1250 is 183½ Cawnies
A.D. 1840-41
of which the proper Assessment has been fixed on no less than 181 Cawnies leaving 1½ Cawnies in Shernaad, on which owing to certain physical obstacles it was found impossible to induce the Ryots to agree at once to the final Assessment and Mr Conolly did perfectly right in abstaining, as an exception to the more general Rule, from insisting upon their doing so, until as they themselves urge owing to the peculiarity and difficulty of their undertaking "they have had time and means to ascertain whether the new land will bear the proposed Assessment or not."

Para 22. 478 Cawnies

16. In consideration of what is urged by Mr Conolly, the Board will not press for the cancelling of all Cowles granted previously to 1250 A.D. 1840-41 involving an extent of 478 Cawnies, trusting to his own exertions to keep the number of exceptions to the general rule of fixing the proper Assessment on all lands

lands at the time of granting the Cowle within as limited a number as circumstances will admit of, but they desire that in all future Cowles to be granted, the Assessment to be paid on the expiration of the Cowle may be invariably specified.

Para 23.

17. The information given by Mr Conolly is very satisfactory as regards the disposition, and apparent ability of the Ryots of Malabar to extend their Gardens and Rice fields, and the general tone of Mr Conolly's sentiments on the subject are such as to assure the Board of his zealous and judicious Cooperation in aid of the exertions of the inhabitants themselves.

Para 24.

18. On the subject of the issue of Cowles by the Collector for waste lands in the Estates of Proprietors, his remarks are also judicious, and his reasons for a countenance of the practice such as to induce the Board to leave matters as they are for the present, satisfied with having called Mr Conolly's attention to a Subject with which few

few, but those situated as he is, enjoying the means of direct intercourse with Proprietors and Tenants, and of duly appreciating the labour of reclaiming waste wet lands, most of which, unless protected by expensive embankments, is constantly liable to inundation are sufficiently Conversant

Para 27 19. With regard to the 110 Cawnies of waste land made over to Mr Clermond it would only now seem necessary under the peculiar circumstances of the Case to take care that the holder of these lands Conforms to the Conditions of his Cowle, and that his possession of them does not end in disappointment as regards their being reclaimed and permanently occupied. Mr Conolly will therefore be pleased to report from time to time on the progress made by Mr Clermond in his improvements.

Para 29. 20. On the subject of the Escheated lands in Ernaad Talook and those in Tenmulpooram the Board will prepare separate proceedings.—

She

Paras 33 & 35. 21. The Board are well pleased to observe the terms in which Mr Conolly acknowledges the assistance he has derived from the Zeal and attention of Messrs Goodwyn and Silver, as also from the diligence and efficiency of Mr Platel and his two Sheristadars.—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guedance.—

Read the following letter.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir

From H. V. Conolly Esqre Collr of Malabar } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 10th Ultimo, in which the Board disapprove of a proposition I had made, that Ryots on the Neilgherries should not be allowed to hold more land

47

land than was actually comprised in their Pattahs without paying for the excess.—

I fear that I did not make myself sufficiently understood on this point—The Board seem to think that nothing is aimed at but "a greater regularity of revenue management," and that the System which now prevails is not practically inconvenient." But I would observe that inconvenience and loss not only may, but in some cases, actually have been incurred from the power which every Ryot now holds, of taking up fresher land without relinquishing the old, as observed in the 1st para of Jaya Hookummanah One instance was brought to my notice last year, in which a serious disturbance had arisen on this very ground.—A was allowed by the Tahsildar to take up some land, which was unoccupied but on proceeding to plough it B was violently opposed by B. who claimed it as belonging to him from

from having been originally included in his Pattah tho' he had virtually abandoned it for 8 years.— Another instance was brought to my personal notice when on the Hills in May last Mr Groves proposition to take and pay full rent for some fallow land, was resisted by a Burgher on the ground that the land belonged to him, and might be cultivated by him at some future time. The land I may mention, was not near his house or indeed Village, so that no personal inconvenience would have arisen from the intrusion of a Stranger. He thought, as do the Ryots generally, he had a right to the land he had once cultivated, however long it might be left fallow without paying any Assessment.

After this explanation, I beg with all deference to solicit the further consideration of the 1st Rule contained in my Hookoomnamah of the 25th October last. I will carefully make all the other alterations suggested by the Board.

Note. I may be permitted to remark that this very restriction was recommended to the Notice of Government in a report on Malabar written by Mr Sullivan shortly before he left the Country the last time.

Calicut 2nd December 1842.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly Coll.r

Ordered.

Ordered the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Malabar.

Sir

To The Coll.r of Malabars
Letter 15th Dec.r 1842.

Para 1.

In reply to your letter of the 2nd Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the objections of the Board detailed in the Proceedings to which you refer were directed against the complicated formalities which you proposed to prescribe for a Ryot relinquishing old and taking new and not against the abstract proposition of limiting the term during which a Ryot may retain a lien in land lying fallow and that orders would have been more discriminate and particular if the real state of the case have been as clearly set forth in your former letter as in that under reply.

Nov.r 10 - 1842 (P. 1.

47-48

2. In nearly every District the same disposition in the Ryot is prevalent to retain the name of possession and the power of cultivation over land which he may once have cultivated and in the various Collectorates the claim has been subjected to various restrictions. The Board have no objection to the introduction of a rule of the same kind, in the Neilgherries, and they authorize you to pass an order that land left waste by one Ryot shall not be taken by another without his consent within five years at the expiration of that term since its last cultivation, the right of the putta holder to cease entirety. Due

forms should be taken to make this new rule well known as to all the cultivators of cultivation on the Hill.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St.e George
15th December 1842.

(Signed) I. D. Brandon
Secretary

Read the following

Register.

Register.

16837 (49) Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Register of Permits issued for the Exportation of Salt at Calcutta from the Beach at Ennore of the Port of Madras in the Month of October 1842.

Year and Month.	No. of Permits	Names of the Vessels.	Names of Commanders	Names of the Ship Owners	By whom Exported.	To whom Consigned	Quantity of Salt delivered by weight.
1842.							
October	5th	19	Barque Hercules	Hr Nicholas	John Smith Esq.	Messrs Hall Bainbridge & Co.	Lyall Matheson & Co	Ten Thousand Seven hundred and Eighty Bengal Maunds.
	19th	20	Ship Competitor	J. Richard	Captain & others	Messrs Low & Co.	Messrs Livingston Lyon & Co.	Eight Thousand Bengal Maunds.

Madras
7th November 1842.

C. C. Jr. /Signed/ A. Robertson
Coll.r

Received

(49-50)

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Register of the Permits issued by the Collector of Chingleput during the month of October 1842 for the exportation of Salt by the Beach at Ennore to Bengal.

I have the honor to be Sir
Your most obedient humble Servant
/Signed/ A. D. Campbell
Secretary.

To the Hon.ble Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Received the following Enclosure.

Chingleput Collectorate
Office
16th December 1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Collr

Enclosure.

Register of Permits issued for the Exportation of Salt at Calcutta from the Beach at Ennore of the Port of Madras in the Month of October 1842.

Year and Month.	No. of Permits	Names of the Vessels.	Names of Commanders	Names of the Ship Owners	By whom Exported.	To whom Consigned	Quantity of Salt delivered by weight.
1842.							
October	5th	19	Barque Hercules	Hr Nicholas	John Smith Esq.	Messrs Hall Bainbridge & Co.	Lyall Matheson & Co	Ten Thousand Seven hundred and Eighty Bengal Maunds.
	19th	20	Ship Competitor	J. Richard	Captain & others	Messrs Low & Co.	Messrs Livingston Lyon & Co.	Eight Thousand Bengal Maunds.

Madras
7th November 1842.

C. C. Jr. /Signed/ A. Robertson
Collr

Received

Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Register of the Permits issued by the Collector of Chingleput during the month of October 1842 for the exportation of Salt by the Beach at Ennore to Bengal.

I have the honor to be Sir
Your most obedient humble Servant
/Signed/ A. D. Campbell
Secretary.

To the Hon.ble Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Received the following Enclosure.

Chingleput Collectorate
Office
16th December 1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Collr

Enclosure.

16839 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cuddalore from 5th to 11th December 1842.

(51)

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842.	Quantity actually in Store at the Depôt ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bengal Maund	Bengal Maund	Bengal Maund	Bengal Maund	
Madras	300000	207074	92926	92926	No application was received during the week. The Dauntless has reached the Cuddalore.

Madras 12th December 1842. E. E. F. / Signed / A. Robertson Collr.

(51-52)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

From Captn Pope Secy Madras University } To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George.

(53)

Sir

I have the honor by desire of the President and Governors of the Madras University to request you will do them the favor to forward them with a Copy of your letter with its accompanying List to their address under date the 11th Instant 1842. the Original having been accidentally omitted.

Madras 9th December 1842 } / Signed / Peter Pope Secretary.

Ordered

16840 Fort St. George 15th December 1842

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To Captain P. Pope Secretary to the Madras University

(53-54)

To Captn P. Pope Secy to the Madras University } Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 9th Instant and to transmit as therein requested, a Copy of the Board's letter and its enclosure to your address under date 14th February last.

Cuddalore 15th Decr 1842.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 15th December 1842 } / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

(55) From the Actg 4th Collector of Tanjore. Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in fasly 1252.

(56) From the 2d Collector of Coimbatore. Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in fasly 1252.

Order on the 2 above Statements. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Columns of the Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

(55-57)

Read

Read the undermentioned Registers

From the Jr. Pl. Collector of Cuddapah Register of Petitions bearing the Boards' Endorsements presented to him in the Month of November last.

58

From the Collector of Chingleput. Register of Petitions bearing the Boards' endorsements presented to him in the Month of October last.

59

From the Collector of Chingleput. Register of Petitions bearing the Boards' endorsements presented to him in the Month of November last.

60

Order on the 3 abovemt. Registers. Ordered to be lodged.

58-61

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

61

To R. Clerke Esqre.
Acting Chief Secretary
to Government.

62

Sir,

To the Jr. Chief Secy to Govt.

Suggesting for the consideration of Government with reference to the letter from the Supreme Government of Novr 29th 1841. Collectn 1841.

From the general tenor of Mr. Halliday's letter to the Secretary to the Ceylon Government, copy of which accompanied the resolution of Government noted in the Margin, it would appear that there is no immediate prospect of

16842

of the 28th Octr last the expediency of discontinuing the present practice of managing the Sayer Revenue immediately by the Officers of Government and reverting to the renting System.

To Govt. Jr. Ltr. 14th Octr 1842.

Board's Proceedings May 22d 1837 Para 14

the abolition of the Sayer duties, which now exist only in the Madura Provinces. This being the case the Board of Revenue suppose that they may be permitted to suggest again for the consideration of Government a proposition which they have once before brought forward. Viz. the expediency of discontinuing the present practice of managing that branch of Revenue immediately by the Officers of Government, and reverting to the renting System.

2. In their former communication to this purport the Board pointed out what the deficit in receipts had been under Aumany, which equalled what had been expected, Viz. 6 lacks annually Of this loss, according to the calculation made when the mode of management was changed, about 3¼ lacks is ascribable to the exemption of a number of commodities; but 2¾ lacks are due to the defects of an Aumany management, and would be saved under renting. One principal motive for preferring the Aumany System was the apprehension that the

the inherent evils of this branch of the Revenue administration, which have been felt and acknowledged throughout India, could thereby be moderated. The Board feel compelled to express their conviction that this anticipation has not been realized. They are persuaded that the Sayer laws and the means of abuse which they afford are in no degree less vexatious and oppressive, when administered by the low Salaried officials of Government, than when the power is entrusted to renters and their people. One reason for which is no doubt is that there is less backwardness to make complaints against an unofficial person.

3. On the two fold consideration therefore, that the annual plan of management causes a serious loss of Revenue, without relieving the people from any part of the vexation and oppression of which the Sayer laws have been universally productive, the Board beg to repeat their recommendation for a return to the renting system. Save

further instructed to add that it is desirable that the determination of this question should be known some months before the conclusion of the Revenue year, in order that if the plan of management is to be changed, time may be afforded for the necessary arrangements.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
15th December 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Pr. Collector of Nellore

(63)

To the Pr. Coll.
Nellore

Sir,

Referring to my letter of the 3rd October last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the transmission of the information therein called for, regarding the remission of the Government demand under the head of Beydegaroo Village servants and Cattle tax by Enams in your District.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 15th December 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From

16845 Fort St. George 15th December 1845

From the Deputy Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary
64 General for certain articles required for the use of
the Military Department of this Office.

From the Asst Accountant General. Indent on the
65 Commissary General for Articles required for
64-66 the Revenue Department of this Office for
December 1842.

Order on the 2 abov. Indents. Ordered to be passed & relat

From the Pt Coll. of Madura. Reporting that there
67 are no Returns during the Month of November

From the Coll. of Malabar. Transmitting twelve Indents
68 Statements connected with the Summary Rep
for July 1251.

From the Coll. of Madras. Salt Account Current for the 1st
69 Quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Actg Coll. of Tinnevelly. Statement of Smuggled goo
70 recommended for confiscation in the Month of December
last.

Order thereon
70-71 Ordered that the confiscation and sale
of the Smuggled goods enumerated in the Statement
be authorized.

From the Actg Coll. of Tinnevelly. Requesting
72 further supply of printed Copies of the Demand
Collection and Balance Statements.

Order thereon
72-73 Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

" Grant.

" A. Maclean

" H. R. Montgomery

Comd. C. E. Boyle

2587/R.H