Block 7
Rack No. 47
Shelf No. 1275
Serial No. 1833
General No. 16039

2541/RH
75

-85/94/82

B.L. & R.W.

1823

14988	Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

Read the following letters.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Malabar

Forwards for the consideration and orders of the Board an investigation lately made on the conduct of the Fiscal of Cochin as connected with certain circumstances relative to the Government arrack farm at that place.

I have the honor to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue an investigation lately made on the conduct of the Fiscal of Cochin as connected with certain circumstances relative to the Government arrack farm at that place.

The preliminary biddings for this farm for the present fusly were considerably below what had been offered for the previous year, and as it was hinted to me that some indirect dealings were in agitation, in order to prevent the farm bringing its true value, I called on the Fiscal to take care and counteract them. In reply I was informed, that notwithstanding every exertion on his part he had been unable to raise the final biddings within 895 Rupees of what had been realized last year, but he assured me that the large amount then obtained was more than the farm was worth and that it had only been raised so high thro' a blind rivalry

14988 Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

Read the following letters.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

Sir,

From the Coll. of Malabar

Forwards for the consideration and orders of the Board an investigation lately made on the conduct of the Fiscal of Cochin as connected with certain circumstances relative to the Government arrack farm at that place.

I have the honor to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue an investigation lately made on the conduct of the Fiscal of Cochin as connected with certain circumstances relative to the Government arrack farm at that place.

The preliminary biddings for this farm for the present fusly were considerably below what had been offered for the previous year, and as it was hinted to me that some indirect dealings were in agitation in order to prevent the farm bringing its true value, I called on the Fiscal to take care and counteract them. In reply I was informed, that notwithstanding every exertion on his part he had been unable to raise the final biddings within 895 Rupees of what had been realized last year, but he assured me that the large amount then obtained, was more than the farm was worth and that it had only been raised so high thro' a blinds

rivalry

rivalry which did not now exist. Relying on this explanation and believing that there was no prospect of the Government obtaining any larger profit by keeping the farm in their own hands, I made no objection to its being disposed of to the party who had made the highest offer.

A short time after this I received information both by letter and from persons in Calicut connected with Cochin, that the Fiscal's Statement on which the farm had been granted was not a true one, and that the reason of his writing it might be explained from the fact that the real but disguised Renter one Parenjoony was his intimate acquaintance, in fact a man whose interests in getting the farm at a low rate were identical with his own. I was unwilling to listen to a report so derogatory to a man of whose character I had hitherto entertained a high opinion and after calling on him for some information regarding the real renter, which was answered in such a

Witness No 16.

a manner as to lull at all events suspicion, felt inclined to hope that the report had originated in mistake or malice. It was however repeated in so direct and formal a manner (an accuser having come forward in the open Court at Cochin) that I felt compelled to take up the matter, and accordingly deputed my Head Assistant Mr. Silver to Cochin to make the necessary enquiry.

Mr. Silver's report will be found No 1 in the packet. It gives a clear abstract of the case and evidence but does not contain any decided opinion of the Fiscal's innocence or guilt tho' it is evident that the impression left on Mr. Silver's mind is any thing but favorable to him.

I have gone over the case with care, and feel necessitated to state my opinion, that tho' much inconsistency and contradiction is to be found in the Native evidence, the enquiry on the whole, tends to throw the highest suspicion on the Fiscal, if not to absolutely convict him of

the

the charges brought against him.

The charges it will be seen are two. the first for having prevented parties from coming forward to bid for the arrack farm for the present fusly and thus entailed a loss on the Government. the second (which arose incidentally on the trial of the first) for having received a present from the Renters of Fusly 1249.

To prove the first and most important charge there is evidence of some weight even among the Native witnesses, but the evidence which seems to me entitled to most reliance is that of the East Indian Ship Builder Mr Poney Guezelar which as I learn on enquiry from Mr Silver was only given in consequence of his being called and put on his oath.

wide appendix No 4

The evidence such as it is in proof of the second charge is also chiefly entitled to credit as given by Mr Boomgardt. Both these individuals (Mrs Poney Guezelar and Boomgardt) are persons of

of wealth and respectability and can hardly be supposed capable of giving false testimony without some far greater object than is assumed by the Fiscal a religious difference.

I have made such hand notes on the evidence and especially on the Fiscals defence as seem to me material and as it would merely draw out this letter needlessly to insert them here, I will refer the Board to those papers and Mr Silvers abstract, to form their conclusions as to the justice of my opinion of the Fiscals guilt. Presuming them to have come to the same result as myself it remains to be considered what punishment should be inflicted on the Fiscal. a fraudulent deception such as he has (so far as I can form an opinion) been guilty of would justify a total dismissal from Office. but looking at his long servitude and the high character he has hitherto borne, I would propose

That

that he be only changed to an inferior and less lucrative place should this step be deemed advisable he might be sent to the Sea Custom House at Tellicherry the present Manager of which is a respectable man and sufficiently skilled for the duties which would devolve on him at Cochin.

The Fiscal was formerly manager of Tellicherry

Cochin Fiscal's Pay as Rev. officer 52-8-
Police Do 67-8-
Tobacco & Stamp commission (average) 23-12-
Total Rs 148-12-
Tellicherry manager's Pay Rs 80-

The pay of the two several situations is noted in the margin

Malabar Collector's Office, Calicut 29th October 1842. Signed W. H. Conolly Collector

To

To

The Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit to you the depositions and other papers connected with the enquiry held by me regarding the conduct of the Cochin Revenue Sheristadar in the arrack sale for Fusly 1252-

2. Kristna Mallen Chorroden the person who presented the first Petition in the Cochin Court, and subsequently addressed you on the subject appears from his deposition, to have known very little about the matter, and it would seem as if he had been put forward to make the first statement on the subject which might draw down an enquiry; his deposition is confused and contradictory. The evidence of the other persons examined 15 in number, is to be considered-

3 The charges against the Defendant are three- with having by bribery and other undue means

aved

and for the sake of obtaining some private advantage to himself, prevented certain individuals from bidding at the Arrack sale for Fusly 1252, and thereby defrauding the Government, inasmuch as the rent was not sold to the best advantage; with having compelled one Lonah, to sign a deposition, purporting that he had the chief share in the Arrack contract, and which deposition he sent to the Collector; and with having on account of the Arrack sale, for Fusly 1249, received through the hands of Mr Boomgardt Shop Keeper Cochin a bribe of 225 Rupees.

4. On the first charge the evidence goes to shew that 4 individuals, Francis Fernandez, Shamoogom Pillay, Kadavil Baboo and Ramah Panickar, who intended taking the Arrack contract for the year, were withheld from biddings by a sum of 400 Rupees being privately promised to them. From their

their depositions it would appear, it had been previously arranged, that a Goomastah in the Cochin Court, by name Parenjoony, should have the Arrack rent for this year, and he was the party, by whom the compensation was to be paid.

5. Mr Poney the Ship Builder of Cochin, deposes that he was privately sent for by the Cochin Revenue Sheristadar, and informed, that as two Men in his employ, Francis, and Shamoogom, intended taking the Arrack rent, he should desire them not do so; and they would be privately remunerated; Witness repeated this to them, and they agreed to take compensation, and not to bid at the sale; and Parenjoony who called witness to Defendants' house, and returned with him, went away with Shamoogom, to arrange about the compensation.

6. With regard to the compensation bond, it is stated in the evidence for the Prosecution, to

have been given by Govinden Nair, and to have been indorsed by Parengoony Govinden Nair is the person in whose name, the arrack rent was sold this year. That the bond was subsequently taken back by Govinden Nair, and in its place Ninety Rupees each was paid by him, and for the balance, one Madavan (Govinden Nair's Shroff) gave a bond for 44 Rupees to Shamoogom, and Mr Boomgardt the Shop keeper gave him a bond for 266 Rupees. For the Orginal bond being taken back, two different reasons are assigned by the witnesses, one, that Parengoony's name should not appear in the matter, the other, that the period of payment, one month, set out in the bond, had expired.

7. A Witness Mhoowar Pillay produces a Cadjan writing said to be a copy of the Original bond. This is to the effect that the money was to be paid by monthly instalments. This witness says, Shamoogom shewed him the bond, and told him to take a copy of it.

The renter Govinden Nair admits the payment of the 400 Rupees, but gives different colouring to the transaction. He says that as Frances, Shamoogom and two others with him, were purposing to take the rent this year, he gave the 400 Rupees to prevent their so doing.

He explains this by saying, that this money was the probable share of profits that would accrue to them, from the rent, as well, that as he had engaged to gather some debts due to last year's renter, and Frances being an enemy of last year's renter, he did not wish to have him, as a partner this year.

8. Govinden Nair admits he paid the 90 Rupees. Madavan that he drew the bond for 44 Rupees at Govinden Nair's request, but does not know of the Cochin Revenue Sheristadar and Parengoony being concerned in the matter; and Mr Boomgardt states that as he owed some money to Govinden Nair, he gave a Promissory note, which at Govinden Nair's request

request, was made payable to Shanoogom. He says no reason was assigned for this, at the time, but he heard afterwards that the renter had promised 400 Rupees to Francis and others, to prevent them from bidding at the sale. and that the note for the Money was in part payment.

9 This Witness says he does not know for certain that the Cochin Revenue Sheristadar has a share in the Arrack farm, but he fancies he must derive some benefit from it, or he would not have sent for Mr Poney. He states Mr Poney mentioned the circumstance to him.

10 Govinden Nair does not admit the Cadjan writing produced by Allooar Pillay; but Govinden Nair's explanation of the reasons why he paid the 400 Rupees does not appear to me, a very likely one.

11 The charge of defendant compelling Lonah to sign a deposition rests on the Statement of the witness himself. He says he was made to sign the

Sunday 21st August.

On referring to the records of Defendant's office, the copy of the deposition and of Defendants' arzee to the Collector, both bear date the 21st August, while the Original deposition bears date the 20th. In the deposition taken by the defendant, Lonah is made to say, that he was in reality the renter, and Govinden Nair his Servant; while Govinden Nair now states before me that he has no partner in the rent. It appears, an order was sent from the Collector, enquiring about Govinden Nair's circumstances, when this deposition from Lonah, was forwarded by Defendant.

12. On the charge against Defendant, for bribery on account of the arrack sale for fusly 1249, it is stated that five persons, Mr Boomgardy Francis, Kadavil Baboo, Kadwil Lonah, and Parengoony's father (dead), had taken the rent for that year. That it was settled among them, that a present of 45 Rupees each man, should be given

given to Defendant, and this sum amounting to 225 Rupees, was paid through Mr Boomgardt.

13. Francis, Baboo, and Lonah, state they each paid their share of 45 Rupees to Mr Boomgardt, and this Witness states that Defendant having an account in his shop; 225 Rupees were discounted from his bill; and in proof of what he says, he produces one of his Shop accounts, shewing in a Column headed "Receipts omitted" the sum of 225 Rupees opposite the name of "Mr Spranger" This account appears to be for 1840, and I do not clearly understand it, it is filed along with the proceedings, as Witness says it contains the memorandum of the transaction.

14. I have now gone over the evidence on the three charges. It appears the arrack rent for this year Fusly 1252, was sold for a sum 895 Rupees less, than what it was sold for, last year. The Defendant in his report to the Collector

says, he asked Mr Ward, the Acting Assistant Judge and Joint Majistrate to witness the sale. This appears to me, to be an unusual proceeding. Defendant says it was to see if the people would bid higher, before Mr Ward, but if credit is to be given to the Statements now made, it would look as if he had attempted to screen them himself in a bad cause.

15. The charges of unfair dealing in the arrack sale for this year Fusly 1252, and of bribery for Fusly 1249, rest mainly, the first, on the evidence of Mr Poney, the second, on the evidence of Mr Boomgardt. I do not hold Mr Poney's conduct to be correct, in conveying, as he admits he did, a Message from Defendant, that two Men should not bid for a Government rent, and for which they would be privately remunerated. The Money said to have been given to Defendant in Fusly 1249, is stated by Mr Boomgardt to have been paid after the rent was sold, to

prevent

Fort St. George 17th November 1842

present annoyances on the part of Defendant

10- The Defendant denies the charges made, and asserts that as the Witnesses belong to a separate church, from himself, they are his enemies. At the same time he declines to put any question to them. That disputes have for a long time existed among the Roman Catholic Churches round Cochin I am well aware, but I do not think that on account of any religious difference, Messrs Poney and Boomgardt, who bear the character of men of respectability and property, would commit the crime of wilful and deliberate perjury, for the sake of ruining the Defendant, and their statements he has not attempted to disprove-

17- I have the honor to transmit to you, all the papers connected with the case, accompanied by a list-

Cochin 11th October 1842 }

(Signed) R. Silver
Head Asst Collector-

Extract

Fort St. George 17th November 1842-

No 2.

Extract from a letter from the Head Assistant Collector to H. V. Conolly Esqre dated 22d October 1842

I have received your letter, and in answer to your queries. Messrs Poney and Boomgardt did not appear voluntarily to give evidence against the Fiscal, but were called on by me, their names having been mentioned by the parties first examined- They were each summoned by letter, simply saying their evidence was required in a case pending before me, and appointing the hour for attendance- These letters were entered in my official letter book, and Copies ought to have been filed with the proceedings, to account for the appearance of the Witnesses; but this, from some oversight was omitted- If you wish the Copies of the letters to be placed along with the proceedings, I will send them to you- As regards the animus in which they apparently gave their evidence, they appeared to me, to give it very fairly and candidly, and in no way to evince a hostile or revengeful spirit against the Fiscal-

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collr

Deposition

Deposition of Chercodan son of Krishna Mallen of Hindoo Kongance caste - aged 64 years Merchant of Imarapally in Cochin before the Head Assistant Collector on the 27th September 1842

1 You have presented Arzees to the Cochin Joint Magistrate and to the Collector setting forth that certain fraudulent means were used in the disposal of the Arrack Farm of Cochin for the current year, will you state the particulars thereof?

After the advertisement that the Arrack Farm for Fusly 1252 will be put up to Auction, the Blacksmith Calvelly by name Francis Maistry presented an Arzee to the Huzoor offering to take the Farm for this Fusly - subsequently Francis Maistry sent for me and told me that the Fiscal had received orders on the subject, but as he has been getting people who are on

on his side to take up and manage the Farm in past Fushes, if he (Francis Maistry) would attend to bid for the Farm of this Fusly he feared the Fiscal may prevent him from doing so, and therefore he wished that I should bid for the Farm in the name of his son. whereupon I enquired of Francis Maistry respecting depositing the kist and giving security when he told me that he would deposit the kist and desired me to go and ascertain from Rungah Chetty Yeogiah who resides at Imarapally, whether he would stand security on condition of being allowed on that account 1/4th of the profit that may be derived from the Farm - I went and delivered this Message to Rungah Chetty Yeogiah whose answer to the effect, that he would stand security provided he is ensured the share of profits and protected against any loss I communicated to Francis Maistry who not depending entirely upon what I stated sent his Own Shroff whose

whose name I do not know with Jenatchetty's son Amendan who resides at Amarapathy to make the same enquiry of Rungah chetty yesterday when he told them that he was willing to stand security but as it was necessary that he should see Francis Maistry to speak on the subject, he wished that Francis Maistry should call at his house. They delivered this Message to the Maistry, but he did not go. Subsequently one day in Parkedagom last, I forget the date when the Farm was put up to auction in the Fiscal's Cutcherry, Francis Maistry sent for me and desired me to go immediately to the Cutcherry and ascertain the amount bid for the Farm, authorizing me at the same time, to overbid the others, as it was his wish that we should take the Farm this year for any sum. When I called at the Cutcherry where the sale was being conducted, the Fiscal asked me why I came there and upon my replying that I came

to bid for the Farm, he enquired if I had brought money; for, if not, he said, the Farm could not be given. I answered that I brought no money but that I thought that the money was required only after the conclusion of the sale. The fiscal then angrily desired me not to remain there and I left the Cutcherry forthwith without bidding for the Farm after I left the place the sale of the Farm for Fusly 1252 had been concluded in the name of a Nair 800 Rupees below the amount of Fusly 1251. I was informed by Francis Maistry and a Jew who resides in the Pundarah Pandishallah at Mattencherry and whose name I do not know, that on the day of the sale they with two other persons had proceeded with the full intention of taking the Farm but the Fiscal dissuaded them from bidding by offering each of them 100 Rupees, and causing the Individual who took the Farm to execute a bond for 400 Rupees

payable

payable to them on that account, besides, which I was informed by the said Jean that a few days after Mr Boomgardt had given him 140 Rupees, and had granted a receipt for 260 Rupees to Francis Maistry and the other two persons- I do not know the name of the Jean but I can point him out.

2. Do you know the reason why the Fiscal caused the bond for 400 Rupees to be given?

I was informed by the said Jean that the Fiscal holds a share in the Farm which has been the case for the last 6 or 7 years and appropriates to himself the profit, and with the view of preventing others from bidding for the Farm, he caused the renter to execute the bond referred to-

3 Do you know the reason why Mr Boomgardt gave the 140 Rupees in cash and executed the bond for 260 Rupees?

I do not know.

I saw

4 What induced the said Jean to inform you about the bond for 400 Rupees as also to give the other information respecting the 140 Rupees given him and the bond for 260 Rupees executed by Mr Boomgardt; state also when and where he gave you the said information and whether any other person knows it?

I saw in terms of friendship with the said Jean, in consequence of our having dealings with each other, hence he was induced to give me the said information. It was one day in Chingom last Aug. 4. 1842 I forget the date while at Rangah Chetty Yeogiah's ware-house that he related to me the above transaction which is known to Rungah Chetty, Yeogiah and two other persons of this place whose names I do not know, but I can point them out- It was also in the same month of Chingom that Francis Maistry informed me while in his house about the bond for

400 Rupees. I forget the day of the month. Being in terms of friendship with each other he was induced to give me the news.

5 You have stated that when you called at the Francis Maistry and Cutcherry to bid subsequently heard for the Farm, the Fiscal angrily told you not to remain there in consequence of your not having brought money, and you left the place without bidding for the Farm. Did you communicate this circumstance to Francis maistry who deputed you upon that business?

I communicated this circumstances to Francis Maistry and that Francis Maistry himself had called at the place of Sale.

6. Did any body hear the Fiscal ask if you have had brought money?

I had seen some people there at that time whom I do not know, and cannot say whether they heard what the Fiscal told me.

7. Do you know whether any bond for 400 Rupees was caused to be executed in favor of any person by the Gomastah Parenjoony?

I was informed by the Jean that by the Fiscal's desire the Gomastah Parenjoony had executed a bond for 400 Rupees in favor of Mr. Boomgardt.

8. It appears by your arzee that the Fiscal caused a bond for 400 Rupees to be executed by the Gomastah Parenjoony in favor of Francis maistry, Shamoogom Pillay and

The Jean merely told me that the Fiscal caused a Bond for 400 Rupees to be executed by the Gomastah Parenjoony in favor of Francis, Canakapillah Shamoogum and others, and these circumstances as related

and others to dis-
-suade them from
bidding high for
the Farm will
you state now
on what account
it was executed?

Q Was it before
or after you pre-
-sented the
Arzee that the
Jeau inform-
-ed you of the
Fiscal having
caused the Goomas-
tah Parenjoony &c
to execute a bond
for 400 Rupees in
favor of Mr Boomgardt
In either case state
the date.

Ans It appears by your Memo
Arzee that the Goomastah
Parenjoony executed a
bond for 400 Rupees
in favor of Francis

related by him,
Stated in my
Arzee.

I do not recollect
the date or whether
it was before or
after I presented
the Arzee that
the Jeau gave me
the information.

The deponent having repeatedly
been desired to give
an answer to this
question he evaded

others, whereas you
have now stated
1st that you had
heard the renter
executed a bond
for that amount
to these persons,
and subsequently
that you had heard
that the Goomastah
had passed a bond
for that amount
in favor of Mr
Boomgardt - will you
state which of
these Statements
is correct?

11. Do you know
any thing about
a bond having
been destroyed?

12. In whose hand
writing is the
Arzee which
you present
-ed to the

want of recollection,
and entered into
irrelevant matters by
stating the Fiscal
had received bribes
in the sum of 200,
300 and 500 Rupees,
and that if the
Farm be rented for
400 Rupees, he
would take it up.

I do not know
nor did I hear any
thing about it.

The Arzee
was written by
a Nair whose
name I do not
know, but I can point
him

Joint

Joint Majistrate at Cochin under date the 30th Chingom 13th Sept. 1842 who dictated it to be written down- did you when affixing your signature thereto make yourself acquainted with its contents?

him out- It was Gueree The son of yeogiah chetty, who dictated it to be written down, and who afterwards read it over to me- I then willingly affixed my signature to it-

Mem: This deposition having been read over to the Deponent, he stated in answer to the 3rd Question that the money given by Mr Boomgardt was to dissuade others from bidding high for the Farm; in reply to the 7th Question that were he to see the person referred to, he will be able to recognize him, and in reply to the 12th Question, that he has been informed by yeogiah Chetty's son Gueree and the Jean of the bond having been destroyed, but they did not tell him who destroyed it, nor does he

he know what bond it was-

Further Mem: When the Deponent attended according to summons, he brought a Vakeelnamah in the name of Koren chatin Tasha Menon and requested that he may be examined by a Vakeel which was not allowed- During the examination the Deponent instead of giving direct answers to questions put to him, as was to be expected from a person well acquainted with the transactions, he appeared not only absent in mind, but varied much in his Statements-

Signature:

Solemnly affirmed before me 27th September 1842-

(Signed) J Silver

Asst to Collector

No 4.

Deposition of Gheery, the son of Rungah chetty yeogiah Hindoo Vyshian, merchant, 25 years of age inhabitant of Amara pathy in Cochin, taken on the 14th Kany 1018 corresponding with the 28th September 1842, before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar-

Question. Answer.

Do you know After Francis Maisbry.

any thing relative to the representation made by Krishna Mallen Chorooden in a Petition, to the effect that the Fiscal of Cochin had practised on the disposal of the arrack Farm for Fusly 1252. State all that you know about it.2

had made up his mind to purchase the Farm for Fusly 1252 [1842/43] he presented a petition to the Buzzoor representing that he would keep in deposit the amount of one kist and prayed for a favorable order regarding the tendered subsequent to this, Krishna Mallen Chorooden, a Shroff in the employ of Francis Maistry whose name I do not know and yanah chetty Ananden came to my house saying that they were sent by Francis maistry to communicate to my father Rungah Chetty that orders on his petition had arrived from the Buzzoor, and to ascertain whether he (my father) would like to stand of his security

security, and that if he would, a share of the profits would be allowed him. On this being communicated by them to my father, he replied that he would stand provided a security was also given him. they then went away with this Message. Further Krisna Mallen Chorooden told me that when Francis Maistry sent him to the Fiscal's Cutcherry for the purpose of bidding for the Farm, the Fiscal got angry with him regarding the money to be placed in deposit, and told him not to come to the Cutcherry, and that therefore he did not bid for the Farm at the sale, and went away. Besides this, I think it is about 20 days ago one day, the aforestated Chorooden and a Choyan known by the appellation of Cochin Pankien, both came to my Ware-house, to the place where I usually sit, and in the course of conversation

about

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

about the Farm, told me, that for the purpose of dissuading Francis Maistry from purchasing the Farm at the sale, the Fiscal had sent Ponay Maistry to him (Francis Maistry) and that Ponay Maistry told Francis Maistry that he or the other intended share-holders should not take up the Farm, for doing which 400 Rupees would be given them - that after this they had agreed to this proposal - and did not bid for the Farm - that it was knocked down at a reduced price to a Nair - that the purchaser was got to execute a bond for Rupees 400 in favor of Francis Maistry and the others, and that the Gomastah Purenjoony had written and signed the same bond to the effect that if the Money was not paid by the Farmer he would pay it - I saw at the time several people there who heard it, but whose names I do not know - I would recognize them if seen - about seven or eight days ago,

Fort St. George 17th November 1842

name is Goonooshetty Jagotha, while in my warehouse, told me that the bond for 400 Rupees which had been executed by the former and the Gomastah Parenjoony to Francis Maistry and the others, was taken back by the said Parenjoony, who paid them 100 and odd Rupees in cash, and gave them a Bond executed by Boomgardt, for the remainder - I only heard the 200 and odd Rupees - I only heard the above information from the persons mentioned, but I was not a witness to it -

2 Do you know how this circumstance was represented to the Puzzoor by Krishnah Mallen Chorodeen?

Chorooden told me that he had made a petition to be presented to the Joint Majistrate at Cochin setting forth the manner now as above stated, the Fiscal had conducted himself during the sale of the arrack farm for this fusly and requested me to look over it

it- and see if it was correct- He -> shewed me the Petition- I read and returned it to Chorooden, and told him that if he was to present such a -> Petition he would have to prove to the Sirkar all that was therein -> stated, that if he proved them he would have much praise, and -> that the petition was correct after this Chorooden presented the -> petition-

3. Do you know -> who wrote the Petition? Chorooden told me that he got it written by a Nair- but I do not know in whose hand -> writing it is-

4 Did any body -> hear Chorooden speak to you when he shewed you the petition and -pen- and they know tell you that it was -> written by a Nair?

There were Appaya Chetty Mayake and Ananda Chetty Venga.

5 Chorooden has -> stated that -> a petition which

I did not make a petition for Chorooden- I do not know why

he has so stated-

was framed (by you) for him, setting forth all the above particulars was -> presented- How is this?

6. Do you know any thing further?

When the Farm for July 1749 1830/40 was taken up by Boomgardt, Francis Maistry and three others, each of them at the rate of 45 -> Rupees, gave the Cochin Fiscal 225 Rupees thro' Boomgardt. -> whether as a bribe or otherwise I do not know, with the view of securing his assistance regarding the arrack Farm- So Cochin Paniker told me- I know nothing further-

Mr. Mno.

Having read this -> examination, the Deponent stated that Cochin Paniker told him that Boomgardt had given the Fiscal 225 Rupees- The Deponent further states that he does not know why the words "as a bribe or otherwise" was written, for he had not stated so-

Signature-

Solemnly affirmed before me 28th September 1842- (Signed) J. Silver. Deposition

15023 Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

No. 5.

Deposition of Francis Fernandes son of Anthony Fernandes, Christian, residing at Calvetty in Cochin, Blacksmith by profession, aged 48 years before the Head Assistant Collector on the 28th September 1842.

Question. Answer.

1. Do you know. After I knew that any thing regarding an advertisement had the Arzee presented been issued respecting ed by Krishnah the disposal of the Mallesi son Cheroodon Arrack Farm of Cochin representing that for this Fusly 1257 and the Fiscal of Cochin finding on reference to had been practising the advertisement that fraud in the dispo the person taking the sal of the Arrack Farm should deposit Farm of Cochin for Fusly the amount of two lists 1252?

and give adequate

security for the amount

of the Farm, Addressed an

arzee to the Collector of

Malabar Eight days before

the sale took place,

Stating that I would take

up the Farm for any amount and requested that I might be allowed to deposit the amount of one list and give security for half of the amount for which the Farm might be sold. I believe it was about ten days after that, that the Fiscal sent for me to his Cutcherry and told me, that he had with reference to my Arzee, received orders from the Huzzoor, to act according to the advertisement respecting the Farm, and caused the order to be read over to me. I then stated that I could not undertake the Farm according to that order, and went to my house. Two days after the Fiscal sent for me again to the Cutcherry and told me that he had received another order respecting the Farm, to the effect that it would be sufficient if one list was deposited and security given according to the advertisement that it was not possible to make any alteration as regards the security required, but that will not be

necessary

necessary to deposit two lists, when I stated to the Fiscal my inability to take the Farm on those terms, and came away. Subsequently Kadavil Baboo Shunmoogum Pillay and a Jean whose name I do not know but whom the people at Cochin call Cochin Pamber came to my house and told me, that we should buy the Farm whatever may be the amount it may sell for, and that we should not give it up. that we may enquire and endeavour to get other Country people to stand security and may deposit the list to this proposal I consented and they went to the Cutcherry for the purpose of taking the Farm, but the sale had then been concluded after the 2d days beddings a short while before the sale for the 3d and last day commenced, the Ship Builder Pooney Guegelar came to my house and told me, that the Farm should remain at the disposal of the Fiscal, who does not wish that any other person should take it

† 2d Instalment by bidding.

it up. that the Fiscal told him that if he were to speak to me, I would hesten and desist from taking it up. and that therefore I should not interfere with the Farm. being a dependant of Pooney Maistry. Iaccording to his desire, relinquished my intention and told him that I will not take the Farm. when Pooney Maistry left me. The next day Kadavil Baboo, one of the persons who had agreed with me to take the Farm, came to my house and told me, that he had heard that I had mentioned to Pooney Maistry that I will not take the Farm. He at the same time told me that Shanmoogum Pillay informed him, that Parenjoony had offered to give 400 Rupees to dissuade us from bidding for the Farm, and that as he (Parenjoony) had already received the amount on that account, we should not bid for the Farm. Kadavil Baboo then observed to me that we should either take the Farm or obtain the 400 Rupees suggested by Parenjoony.

and

and desired to know how I was disposed respecting the matter. I then said that I had also heard of the offer of 400 Rupees made by Parenjoony, and went up to Toney Maistry in the Ship building yard, and asked to get that amount paid. at that time Parenjoony came to the Ship in which we were, and Toney Maistry sent for Parenjoony and asked him if he had offered to give 400 Rupees. He admitted having made the offer and was told that if so, he should pay the money or execute a bond for the amount as requested by the intended share holders. I also told Parenjoony that he should at least pass a bond. He having agreed to do so, and promised to pay the amount agreeably to the Bond that may be passed; I conceived it necessary that it should be done when Shamoogom also was present, and consequently, desired Parenjoony to remain near the Ship and went to the place where Shamoogom was and

and related all that had transpired and brought him with me. Having then sent for Parenjoony, I sent him with Shamoogom and desired he would execute and give the Bond into Shamoogom's hands. The next day Shamoogom and Kadavil Baboo came and told me that the Bond for 400 Rupees had been obtained from Parenjoony, but I did not ask them, nor did they tell me, in whose name the bond was passed. I could ascertain from Baboo and state it, or if necessary, the Sirkar could obtain that information from him. I have not seen the bond and merely heard that the bond was executed on plain paper, and Baboo told me on that day, that it is secured with him. I believe it is now 15 days since when Baboo one day met and told me that the Bond for 400 Rupees, which was executed by Parenjoony, was taken back by him, and that in lieu of it, Parenjoony had caused a receipt for 266 Rupees to be executed in

in the name of Shamoogom by Mr. Boomgardt, and gave the rest of the Money in ready cash into Baboo's hands - and he Baboo further told me that the bond for 266 Rupees is still in Shamoogom's posession - This is all I know.

2. When did Ponery Maistry tell you not to take the Farm and is there any one who knows the circumstance?

I do not now recollect the month and date when Ponery Maistry told me, he did so a day before the final sale of the Farm and no other person knows the circumstance It was a day before the 3d and final bidding took place, that Pasenjoony told me that he would execute the bond, and there were several workmen then on the Ship, but as he spoke to me privately no one else knew the particulars.

3 On which day was it that Pasenjoony came to the Ship and said that he would execute the bond for 400 Rupees. Is there any one who knows the circumstances?

Did

Not

4. Did Ponery Maistry tell you not to take the Farm on the same day that Pasenjoony promised to execute the bond for 400 Rupees?

Not on the same day - For want of recollection I mentioned in reply to the 2d Question that Ponery Maistry told me not to take the Farm the day before the final sale, which is not correct - I should have stated two days before the final sale.

5 Do you know why the Goomastah Pasenjoony offered to give 400 Rupees and executed a bond for that Amount?

The Farm for 1252 1842/43 has been taken by a Nair who is the Goomastah Pasenjoony's man - so the Farm could not be taken in Pasenjoony's name without involving himself into difficulties, the Nair was employed to bid for it at the sale - The Fiscal and Pasenjoony who are in the same Office, are on terms of great intimacy with each other, and with the view of their appropriating to themselves the profits, the Goomastah Pasenjoony assisted by

by the Fiscal was in the habit of getting his people to take the Farm. Parenjoony was actuated by the same interested motives in exerting himself on this occasion in offering us 400 Rupees and executing a Bond for that amount with a view of securing the profit and preventing others from coming forward to purchase the Farm.

6 Do you know the reason why the Bond for 400 Rupees executed by Parenjoony was taken back and another executed by Boomgardt, given?

I do not know why that was done. The share holders have promised to give 90 Rupees as my share, but they have not yet paid me the amount, nor have I taken any document from them for the same.

7 Did you get any thing as your share after the Bond for 400 Rupees was taken back?

Did

8. Did you attend the Cutcherry at any time during the preliminary or final biddings and bid for the Farm?

I did attend the Cutcherry and was present at the sale, but did not bid for the Farm.

9. What amount was it agreed between yourself and the other share holders should be bidden for the Farm of 1252. 1242/43

The agreement was that we should bid above any other offer.

10. Have you any thing else to state?

The farm for Trudy having been taken 1249 1839/40 by myself, Boomgardt, Kadavil Baboo, Kadavil Lonah, and the Goomastah Parenjoony's Father whose name I do not know, we jointly managed it. at the end of the year on settling our account, 225 Rupees was deducted from my share of the profit without my consent by Kadavil Baboo and given to the Fiscal who said it was customary to give him that amount. This sum is therefore due to me.

Signature.

Given on Oath before me 28th September 1842.

Signed J. Silver. Deposition

No 6

Deposition of Thamvogom Pillay Son of Mootoo Pillay of Vellal Chetty Caste aged 28 years, a Writer and Merchant, residing at Podoonagaram in Arwarapathy within Cochin before the Head Assistant Collector on the 29th September 1842.

Questions	Answer
1. Kistna Mallen Chowsden has presented an Arzee setting forth that the Fiscal had used certain fraudulent means in the disposal of the Attack Farm for Fusly 1252 1842/43 state all the particulars you know respecting it?	After I knew that the Attack Farm within the Company's limits of Cochen for Fusly 1252 1842/43 was advertised for sale by public Auction, myself, Francis Mastry, Kadaviel Baboo, and a Jean by name Cochin Pannicker agreed to take up the Farm. The Fiscal having heard of it, sent for my master Mr. Poney to his house, and told him that his people Thamvogom Pillay [the deponent] and others, intend taking the Farm for this Fusly, that

that they should not do so. that it was resolved to get the Goomastah Patenjoony to take and conduct the Farm, and that some money for the benefit of Thamvogom Pillay [the deponent] and others who wished to take the Farm would be given. Mr. Poney accordingly one day in last Karkedagom, July-August. I forgot the day, while in the Ship building yard, told me and Francis Mastry that we should not come forward to take the Farm and that as the Goomastah Patenjoony has agreed to give 400 Rupees to the four persons who proposed to take up the Farm, we should receive that amount from him. myself and Francis Mastry consulted together and accepted the proposal and told Poney Mastry that we shall be satisfied with the four hundred Rupees that has been offered. The Goomastah Patenjoony was near Poney Mastry at that time and he was dressed by

Poney

Poney Maistry to give the 400 Rupees to Shanvogom / the deponent / and otherupon which Parenjoony having promised Poney Maistry that he would cause bonds to be given them for the amount we were desired by Poney Maistry to go and settle the matter accordingly. I was then desired by Francis Maistry to go with Parenjoony for the purpose of getting from him the Bond for 400 Rupees. We went direct to the place where the Arrack Shop is held and Parenjoony having sent for an Individual named Govinden Nair, caused him to execute a bond for 400 Rupees on China paper in my name, to which the Individuals who came with Govinden Nair Viz.t. one George who resides within the Company's limits of Cochin and a Mopillah of Kellapady whose name I do not know, and whom were I to see, I can recognize, affixed their signatures as Witnesses. The Bond thus executed being delivered to me,

J.

J.G.

I told the Coomastah Parenjony that I was not acquainted with Govinden Nair and the execution of the Bond by him was not sufficient. whereupon he replied that he would be responsible for the amount and accordingly wrote to that effect in the Bond, and after affixing his signature thereto gave it to me. I then left the place taking the Bond with me. The sale of the Farm had already been concluded at the time. On the day of the final sale myself Francis Maistry, and another of the proposed share holders by name Paniken Jean of Cochin. proceeded to the Cutcherry and were present at the sale. but none of us bid for the Farm.

2. Who is Govinden Nair the person who executed and gave you the Bond for 400 Rupees.

He is Parenjoony's man. It is Govinden Nair that has taken the Farm for this year.

3 Has the amount of the Bond, Viz.t Rs. 400 been paid.

According to the Bond the amount of 400 Rupees has not

not to this day been entirely paid. In the early part of Chingom last I forget the day of the Month Govinden Nair called at my house and told me to return the Bond for 400 Rupees, as there was not much dependence to be placed on the Goomastah Parenjoony who signed it as a security that some part of the amount would be paid in ready Cash and he would cause Boomgardt to execute a Bond in my name for the balance. I accepted his proposal, and the next day having sent for me at Madavil Baboo's House he paid me 90 Rs in ready Money, and gave me a Bond for 266 Rs executed in my favor on plain paper by Mr Boomgardt, and for the balance Rupees 10 he caused Hongarree Madaven who resides at Cherrolayet to pass a receipt in my name. Govinden Nair having thus ensured to me the payment of the Money I returned to him the Bond for 400 Rupees which himself and Parenjoony had executed in my name.

Dobt

4. Does any one know of the circumstance of Govinden Nair having asked you to return the Bond for 400 Rupees.	No one knows it
5. Does any one know of the circumstance of Govinden Nair having paid you 90 Rs in ready Cash. Given you a Bond executed by Mr Boomgardt for 266 Rupees, and caused Madaven to pass a Receipt for 10 Rupees.	Madavil Baboo Sookren Narsingeen of Amarapathy and Gyapen Pillah alwar were present at the time and know the circumstances of the payment by Govinden Nair of the 90 Rupees in ready Cash of the delivery of the Bond executed by Mr Boomgardt in my favor - and of Madavens receipt.
6. What was the reason that induced Parenjoony to undertake the responsibility of a security and to affix	I was informed that it was arranged that the Arrack Farm of Cochin should be taken up

affix his signature to the Bond for 400 Rs.

up conducted and the profits appropriated by the Gosmalee Parenjoony

7 Do you know what was the reason for the Fiscal speaking to Poncy Maistry to dissuade you from taking the Farm.

I do not know

8. Where is the Bond for 266 Rupees which Mr Boomgardt passed in your name as also the other for 400 Rupees which Madaven executed in your favor.

The Bond for 266 Rupees executed by Mr Boomgardt has been given into the hands of Kadaven Babo. he is in possession of it now. The other bond for 400 Rs is in my possession it is written on Cadjan

The Bond was taken with an understanding of dividing the amount between my self, Francis Maistry Kadaven

9. Who are the persons that got the first Bond for 400 Rupees executed and what was to be the share of each. Did you or any other person

person came to any settlement with the other three Individuals respecting that amount.

Kadavil Babo and the Jean in the sum of 100 Rupees each we think of effecting a settlement of the shares when the whole amount is realized up to this time no one has obtained his share.

10. Did the Cochin Fiscal send for you and speak to you any thing respecting the Farm, or did you of your own accord call on the Fiscal for that purpose.

No such thing has taken place.

11. Do you know any thing else.

When the Farm for 1249 was taken up and managed by five persons Viz. Kadavil Babo, Kadavil Lonah Boomgardt, Francis Maistry and the Father of Parenjoony whose name I do not

Fort St George 17th November 1842

not know, each of them it appears from what Boomgardt Kadavil Baboo and Francis Maistry told me had given the Fiscal 45 Rs amounting in the aggregate to 225 Rupees.- This is what I heard from others and I knew nothing else..

Mems. Parenjoony appears to have told Poney Maistry that he would give Shamoogum and others 400 Rupees but it does not appear that Poney Maistry had desired Parenjoony to give the amount.-

Signature

Solemnly affirmed before me 29th September 1842.-

(Signed) J. Silver

12 Where and by whom was the Bond for 226 Rupees which Boomgardt executed in your name written.- Did Boomgardt call at Kadavil Baboo's house on that day.-

The Bond was written in Boomgardt's house by his writer. I went to Boomgardt's house at that time and saw it done. Has did not come to Kadavil Baboo's house

Signature

Solemnly affirmed before me 29th September 1842

(Signed) J. Silver

(True translation)

(Signed) H.V. Conolly

Collector

Depositions

Fort St George 17th November 1842

No 7

Deposition of Kadavil Baboo, Nafsaram Christian son of Andofa Merchant, 42 years of age inhabitant of Valiapotal thodu-pa-=thatil within the Company's limits in Cochin taken on the 15th Kanny 1018 corresponding with the 29th September 1842 before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.-

Do you know any thing with regard to the Petitioner presented by Krishna Mullen Charooden, represent:=ing that the Fiscal of Cochin had been practising fraud in the disposal of the Arrack Farm of Cochin for Fasly 1252.-

We, that is Francis Maistry, Shamoogum Pillay, Joven Cochin Paniken and myself, had all of us agreed to take up the Arrack Farm for Fasly 52.- Two days before the final disposal of the Arrack Farm, Francis Maistry told me, that Poney Maistry de:=sired that one of us should purchase the Farm and that he (Poney) would get the person who pur:=chased it to pay us 400 Rupees. Frances Maistry added. "We should not

not bid" for the farm, for it would be quite sufficient if we could get the Money" upon this I observed to Francis Maistry that if it is so it would be sufficient and that security for the Money ought to be obtained and my share of it given me: The Maistry having agreed to this I went away. These particulars were communicated to me by Francis Maistry, in his house where he had sent for me for this purpose. There is no body else who knows this. On the day before the final disposal of the Farm. Shanmoogum Pillay came to my house. he brought with him a written paper, and on my enquiring what it was he told me that Govinden Nair was giving to purchase the Arrack Farm and that the paper he brought was the Bond for £00 Rupees which he promised to give us, I then took the Bond from him and read it when in consequence of the words "I will give Shanmoogum Pillay £00 Rupees in the event of my getting the Farm" I proceeded with Shanmoogum Pillay to

to Francis Maistry's house, with the intention of communicating to him this subject - In doing so I shewed the Bond to the Maistry who observed that the wording of the Bond did not appear to carry a sufficient security (for the Money) and that some person should stand as his security for the fulfilment of the Bond - upon this Shanmoogum Pillay went to Poney Maistry taking with him the above document subsequently Shanmoogum Pillay and Francis Maistry informed me that the Gomastah Parenjoom and Poney Maistry having consulted together, the former added something at the end of the Bond executed by Govinden Nair and affixed his signature thereto - I have not however as yet received my share of the Money from the other shareholders. Francis Maistry told me that Poney Maistry told him that the Fiscal had sent for him and requested him to do his best to dissuade Shanmoogum Pillay and the others from purchasing the Farm at the sale, and that Poney Maistry consequently

consequently desired Francis Maistry not to go and purchase the Farm - this is all I know.-

Do you know the reason why Govinden Nair passed the bond for 400 Rupees to Shanmoogum Pillay and why the Goomastah Parenjoony affixed his signature thereto.-

Govinden Nair is a dependant of the Goomastah Parenjoony. With the view of taking up the Farm in his name and to prevent any body from bidding high at the sale and purchasing it Govinden Nair was got to pass a Bond for 400 Rupees for the purpose of satisfying as Viz.t Shanmoogum Pillay and the others and prevent us from taking up the Farm. it was in this manner that the Bond for 400 Rupees was executed and passed by Govinden Nair, to which the Goomastah Parenjoony had put his signature. This is what I heard.-

What business had the Fiscal to send for Poney Maistry and tell him

During the time Francis Maistry Boomgardt Lonah Baboo, the Goomastah Parenjoony's

him to dissuade others from purchasing the Farm do you know?

Parenjoonys father and myself were in the Management of the Arrack Farm for Fusly 1249.- Boomgardt told us that we should give the Fiscal of Cochin Rupees 225 at the rate of 45 Rupees each and in consequence I gave 45 Rupees, as did also the others. The Money was collected by Boomgardt who was to have given it to the Fiscal but I do not know whether it was given to him or not considering this which had once before happened together with the circumstance of the Fiscal having now prevented others who had a wish of purchasing the Farm for Fusly 52 from accomplishing their intentions it seems to me that the Fiscals motives for disposing of the Farm at a low rate was entirely with an understanding of deriving some pecuniry advantage from the purchaser.

Where is the bond for 400 Rupees now which was executed by Govinden Nair and Parenjoony?

One day in last Chingom I forgot the day, Shamoogom Pillay and Govinden Nair came to my house on which occasion the bond for 400 Rupees executed by Govinden Nair and Parenjoony was returned

returned to the former who in the mean time paid to Shamoogom Pillay &c. 90 Rupees in ready Cash and made over a bond for Rupees 266 passed by Boomgardt and another for &c. Rupees 44 which was then executed by a Coukny Chitty, whose name I do &c. not Know consequently the bond for 400 Rupees must be in the pos=session of Govinden Nair or otherwise I think, it must have been destroyed.-

Who were present on the occasion when Govinden Nair paid 90 Rupees and made over the bonds to Shamoogom Pillay and where did it take place?

There were present at the time Alloowar Pillay and one whose name I do not Know the son of a dancing Girl residing at &c. Cochin myself Sha=moogom Pillay and Govinden Nair - there were nobody else.

Where are the bonds one which was passed by Boom=gardt for 266 Rupees and the other by a Chitty for 44 Rupees?

The bond for 266 Rupees executed on plain Paper is in my possession I took it from Shamoogom Pillay as a security for my share which is

is due - but the bond for 44 Rupees is with Shamoogom Pillay.

Where and by whom was the bond for 266 Rupees passed by Boomgardt written?

I do not know where or by whom the bond was written - Boomgardt did not come to my house.

Did Boomgardt then come to your house?

When Govinden Nair came to my house he brought with him the bond executed in Boomgardts name and I saw it.-

Memo: In answer to the first question that Shamoogom Pillay went to Coney Maisthy carrying with him the Docu=ment, is erroneously written Shamoo=gom Pillay went to Govinden Nair &c. with the Document. all the other Statements are correct.-

Sworn before me 29th. September 1842

(Signed) J. Silver

Wd. Jr. Sett. Clk.

Continued Examination on the 1st. October 1842.-

You have stated that a Chetty had passed a bond for

One of the Witnesses to that bond is myself and the other is the

for 44 Rupees state now who are the witnesses to it.?

Look at this bond which is shewn to you is the signature therein your own.?

the son of the dancing girl, whose name I do not Know.-

I have seen the bond it is the same executed by the Cs Chetty to Shamoogom Pillay - The signature therein as Witness is my own.-

When the Chetty was got to execute & and pass the bond for 44 Rupees, Did you see any body deliver into his & hands 44 Rupees or any thing.?

No.

Sworn before me 1st October 1842.

/Signed/ J. Silver

Wr. Apstt. Collr.

No 8.

Deposition of Cornelius Celledonia Doney Guezelar Son of Cornelius Guezelar christian Ship builder 54 years of age residing within the Fort of Cochin taken on the 18 Kany 1018 corresponding with the 29th September 1842 before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.-

Question.

Answer

1 Did the Fiscal

Two days previous

to

tell

tell you that the Cochin Arrack Farm should be at his disposal that he did not wish others or Francis Maistry should take it up that if you speak, & Francis Maistry will listen. and did you convey this message to Francis Maistry.?

to the final sale of the Cochin Arrack Farm for Fasly 1252 1842/43

the Goomastah Parenjoony came and told me that the Fiscal had requested him to take me to him and that I should accompany him. Accordingly went along with the said Parenjoony to the Fiscals dwelling House and on my arrival there the Fiscal told me that he had heard that my people Shamoogom Pillay and the Blacksmith Francis Maistry were both resolved to bid against one another at the sale of the Farms of the Cochin District and the Company that they ought not to take up any of those Farms, that is as they were my people, if I was to speak to them they will listen that the purchaser of the arrack Farm

Farm within the Company's limits will give Shamoogom Pillay, Francis Maistry and the others Rupees 400 and that the paying of this amount has been proposed and agreed. I promised the Fiscal that I would try to persuade them not to bid for the Farm at the sale, and to take the money. Having so said this I proceeded to the Ship building Yard. I saw Shamoogom Pillay and Francis Maistry and having mentioned to them the above particulars they agreed to it when I left the Fiscal and repaired to the Ship building Yard I was accompanied by the Goomastah Parenjoony. I observed to him that Shamoogom Pillay and Francis Maistry had consented to desist from bidding for the Farm provided the 400 Rupees were given them and that therefore the Money should be paid to them. I then presented Shamoogom Pillay and Francis Maistry to the Goomastah Parenjoony who did not however then give them the Money. Parenjoony offered

offered to pass a bond for the money which was agreed to by them. I then left the place. Shamoogom Pillay told me that Parenjoony had taken him and Francis Maistry away and that Parenjoony had passed the bond. I did not however ask the Pillay in whose favor the bond was executed nor did he tell me. I do not as yet know in whose favor Parenjoony executed the bond. If Shamoogom Pillay is ordered to attend and asked he will tell. This is all I know.

2. Did you hear whether the money was paid after the bond was executed by Parenjoony?

I did not enquire nor do I know whether the money of the bond was paid by Parenjoony.

3 What could have induced the Fiscal to send for you and to ask you to dissuade a Francis Maistry and the others from taking up the Farm?

I think the Fiscal derives profit from the Farm and therefore being aware that if others take the Farm up he will not be able to make any gain by it, he applied to me in the

What

the manner above stated - but neither the Fiscal nor any body ever told me this..

4 What business had the Goomastah Parenjoony to pass the bond for 400 Rupees.?

The Goomastah Parenjoony told me that he was going to take up the Farm and that for the purpose of preventing others from coming forward to purchase it he thus bound himself to pay the Money and Parenjoony executed the bond for the Money to enable him to purchase the Farm..

5 At which place did (you) tell Francis Maistry not to purchase the Farm.?

I told him this in the Ship building yard ..

6 Did Francis Maistry tell you particularly that Parenjoony said he would give him 400 Rupees provided he would desist from purchasing the Farm.?

Neither Francis Maistry nor any body else ever mentioned it to me - They knew it only after I had mentioned it to Francis Maistry and others.

Does

Parenjoony

Parenjoony heard all the conversation between the Fiscal and myself Consequently he knows.. There was nobody else there at the time.

7 Does any body else know about the Fiscal having sent for you to his house and requested you to persuade Francis Maistry and others not to bid in competition for the Farm.?

Memo. The Deponent states that he did not tell Francis Maistry not to go to the sale for the purpose of purchasing the Farm. but that he the Goomastah Parenjoony had requested Francis Maistry not to go to the sale to bid for the Farm..

Signature

Sworn before me 29th. September 1842.
/Signed/ J. Silver.

No. 9

The Deposition of Constantine D. Ilsa Boomgardt Merchant of Cochin 36 years of age of the Roman Catholic Religion taken on oath before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar..

Look at this Promissory note, was it drawn by you.?

Yes..

On

The

on what account.? The man who has taken the Cochin Arrack farm for this year by name Govinden Nair called on me for the purpose of adjusting the accounts between him and myself regarding the fees payable by me for the Brandy and Gin I sell, a balance was due by me for which I gave this note at the arrack renters request, the note was given in name of Shamoogom, Mr. Coneys writer.-

Did the Arrack renter assign any reason for having the note given in the name of Shamoogom.?

He assigned no reason at the time but I heard a report afterwards that the present Arrack renter had promised Four Hundred Rupees to be divided between four persons Francis Fernandes a black Smith Kadavil Baboo the names of the others I do not know one is this Shamoogom Pillay prevent their bidding against him and

and being unable to make up the whole sum he requested that the promissory note given by me should be drawn in name of Shamoogom- This I heard afterwards from Francis Fernandes and others.-

Did you hear any thing of the renter Govinden Nair and one Parenjoony having given a bond for Four hundred Rupees to Shamoogom on account of the Sale of the Arrack farm for the present year.?

I heard of it as I have already said from Francis Fernandes I heard that Parenjoony otherwise called Francis Gomasten was also concerned in the matter of giving this bond.-

Signed/ C.D.J. Boomgardt.

Signed/ J. Silver.

Have you ever heard that the Cochin Revenue Sherestadar (the Fiscal) tried to prevent people from

Francis Fernandes told me that he had been called by Mr. Poney and informed that the Fiscal had requested Mr. Poney to

from bidding at the Arrack farm sale for the present year.2

to persuade them not to bid at the sale and that Four Hundred Rupees would be given as compensation I think Thamoogum told me the same:-

Do yo Know whether the Arrack farm is rented by Govinden Nair alone, or whether the said person has a Share in it.2

Parenjoony other wise called Francis Gomasten is concerned in it, he is the Head man. He is a Gomastan in the Cochin Court.-

Do you know whether the Fiscal is concerned in it whether he has any share in the farm or derives any advantage or benefit from it.2

I do not know for certain that the Fiscal has a share in the farm. but I fancy he must derive some advantage from it or he would not have sent for Mr.s Doney. Mr.s Doney also mentioned the circumstance to me lately.-

Had you a share in the Arrack farm for Fasly 1249.2 1839/40

Yes I will detail the circumstances. In Fasly

15058 Fort St. George 17th November 1842

Fasly 1248 1838/39 the then Arrack renter prevented me from selling brandy and gin. I had then large stores, and on account of the objection raised by the Arrack renter, I formed a resolution to take a share in the Farm accordingly, Francis Fernandez, Kadaval Babao, Kadaval Lanah, Parenjoony's father, (name I do not know,) and myself took the Arrack farm for Fasly 1249. We found very dear for it soon after we had taken the farm, and every thing was settled, the other share-holders said that a present must be given to the Fiscal to prevent annoyance on his part. It was arranged we should each give Forty five Rupees, making up the sum of Two Hundred and twenty five Rupees. As the other share-holders were unprovided with ready money at the time, and as the Fiscal had an account in my Shop, I allowed 220 Rupees in that account to the Fiscal and the other share-holders afterwards repaid me, their several Shares

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.-

Shares.- Being that money had thus to be paid away to the Fiscal, I determined to have nothing more to do with the farm in future.-

/Signed/ C. D. J. Boomgardt

Sworn before me 1st October 1842.-

/Signed/ J. Silver.-

State the par- = ticulars of the franchise bill, that account in which I perfectly recalled the 225 Rupees was I am not certain, if delivered to the Fiscal?-

It was discounted the circumstance was noted in my accounts but I will examine them.-

/Signed/ C. D. J. Boomgardt

1st October 1842.-

/Signed/ J. Silver

Memorandum.-

An Account produced by the witness, shewing in a Column of "receipts omitted," being sums not entered in the cash book, 225 Rupees deposite the name of "Mr Arauji"

1st October

/Signed/ J. Silver

Deposition

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.-

No 10.-

Deposition of Mathavara Sow of Cankney Vengadary Sharavy aged 33 years Merchant Inhabitant of Thekek Chalai within the Cochin Rajahs Territory, taken on the 14th Kany 1018 corresponding with the 1st of October 1842, before the Head Assistant Collector at Cochin.-

Questions- Answers-

1 Did your passa bond for 46 Rupees to Shanmogum Pillay?- One day, I forget whether it was on the 22nd or 23rd of last Chingom I separated and passed a bond for Rupees 46 to Shanmogum Pillay from whom I received in ready Cash 46 Rupees and it was on this account that I passed him the bond on a plain cadjan. There were present on this occasion Shokellen Basanow and Kadaveil Babay- who are witnesses to the said bond.-

2- For what pur- = pose did you get this Money and pass the bond to Shanmogum Pillay?- Saunden Nair of the Arrack Farmer owed some money to Shanmogum Pillay for this Saunden Nair had with him a

a bond for 200 and odd Rupees and some Money in Cash, and on his telling Shanavagam Pillay that after making over the said bond and Money to him, there would be still due to him 44 Rupees and that as he Govinden Nair, had no more money then with him to pay this balance; he would pass a bond for that amount to Shanavagam Pillay. Shanavagam Pillay observed to this effect "you are now about to settling your accounts- there is no necessity my again troubling myself with you in such transactions- you must therefore get somebody to pass me a bond". It was in consequence of this that Govinden Nair requested me to pass a bond for 44 Rupees in Shanavagam Pillay's favor- From the Money brought by Govinden Nair for the purpose of paying Shanavagam Pillay 44 Rupees was taken and delivered in the hands of the said Shanavagam Pillay who was desired to make over the money to me and I gave it to Govinden Nair- The 44 Rupees was delivered as

as I have stated to Shanavagam Pillay, who gave it to me, and Govinden Nair received it from me but Shanavagam Pillay did not do this from his own Money.-

3 It is apparent that you had passed a bond for 44 Rs. for Govinden Nair, state whether you took any security from him for this Amount?

The Arrack Farmer Govinden Nair and his Gomastah Parenjaony asked me to be the Shroff of the Farm- I am engaged as Shroff in the Farm- All the daily collections remain under my care and are made over to Govinden Nair on the next morning after looking at the accounts It was promised by Govinden Nair at the time when I passed the bond for 44 Rupees for him in favor of Shanavagam Pillay, that I was to take 3 Rupees every day from the collections and enter it in the accounts but I did not take a bond from Govinden Nair for the Amount according to this promise, I used to take 3 Rupees every day and have thus realized the whole amount Viz. Rupees 44 from Govinden Nair

he

he now owes me nothing - I have paid Shanavgam Pillay altogether 37 Rupees on account of the land, and took a receipt from him - I now owe him only 7 Rupees.

4.- You have stated that Govinden Nair owed Shanavgam Pillay some money now state what that Amount was and whether the debt is liquidated - Govinden Nair told me that he owed Shanavgam Pillay 400 Rupees - In Chingam last, on the day that I paid the land for Shanavgam Pillay - in the house of Kadavil Baboo Govinden Nair delivered to Shanavgam Pillay the land for 66 Rupees, paid by Baungarol and in cash 90 Rupees - and it was for the balance Rupees 144 that I paid him a land Kadavil Baboo Thekaveer Nadinga Shanavgam Pillay and myself were then present and nobody else. -

5 You have stated that Govinden Nair told me that Francis Nair owed 400 Rupees to Shanavgam Pillay - Do you know whether the Cochin Fiscal or his Somastaks or Parengiavoney did ever say any thing to any body for the purpose of dissuading Francis Maistry and the others from purchasing the Arrack Farm. -

6.- Do you know whether the Cochin Fiscal or his Somastaks Parengiavoney did ever say any thing to any body for the purpose of dissuading Francis Maistry and the others from purchasing the Arrack Farm. -

7.- Did you see the Somastak Parengiavoney in company there. - the house of Kadavil Baboo when the money and land were given to Shanavgam Pillay - If he was there

this debt was contracted ? -

Cochin Arrack Farm of Fasly 1252 that is he (Govinden Nair) himself wished very much to purchase it, the land 1842/43
he bid 600 Rupees, which he said he proposed to give to the said Francis and the others so as to dissuade them from taking up the farm. -

I have not heard any thing about it until this Moment. -

I did not see the Somastak Parengiavoney in company there. -

J.

there did you hear him say any thing?

8 Look at this band shewn to you and state what it is? I have taken the band it is the same I passed on the 23 of Chingam to Shanmoogam Pillay for Rupees 4½.-

9. Did Govinden Nair say that the band was passed to Shanmoogam Pillay for 400 Rupees. Govinden Nair said that a band for 400 Rupees was passed to Shanmoogam Pillay.-

10 Did you know who passed that band? I heard Govinden Nair say that he had passed the band to Shanmoogam Pillay.-

11 Where is that band for 400 Rupees now? When 90 Rupees in ready money and two bonds one for Rupees 288 and the other for Rupees 4½ were given to Shanmoogam Pillay, the bond for Rupees 400 was received back by Govinden Nair- but I do not know what he did with it after it was returned to him by Shanmoogam Pillay.-

12 Do you know the reason why some Money in Cash and two

two bonds were given to Shanmoogam Pillay, and the bond for 400 Rupees taken back? stipulated time for discharging the amount mentioned in the bond was over he would have to renew it.-

13- If the stipulated period for payment was over according to Govinden Nairs bond what prevented him from renewing the bond to Shanmoogam Pillay and why did he get you to pass to another person as Shanmoogam Pillay a Bond in your name and make settling over Boomgardts Bond also? I am not aware whether Govinden Nair and Shanmoogam Pillay had ever spoken privately together about returning the bond for 400 Rupees and of getting a fresh bond passed by another person because there was a deficiency of 4½ Rupees for this account between Govinden Nair and Shanmoogam Pillay according to the bond for 400 Rupees I in compliance with Govinden Nairs request and confiding in his promise readily executed the bond for the 4½ Rupees in favour of the said Shanmoogam Pillay.-

Signature

Solemnly affirmed before me this 1st of October 1842.-

(Signed) J. Scheer

Deposition

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

No 11

Deposition of Narsingam, Son of Dawo-dasby Shokatam, aged 27 years, Merchant inhabitant of Amaravadi in Cochin, taken on the 14th Many 1918 corresponding with the 1st of October 1842 before the Board Assistant Collector of Malabar.-

Do you know any thing relative to a bond passed by Venkata Shara-say Madaven to any body?

One day I think it was on the 22d of Chingam, I went in com-pany with Shanavogam Pillay to Cadavil Baba's house- Vengada Shara say Madaven and Govinden Nair also came there together- on that day Govinden Nair got Madaven to pass a bond in his name to the Amount of 44 Rupees in favar of Shanavogam Pillay- myself and Cadavil Baba were made witnesses to that bond and it was in the hand writing of Madaven himself.-

2 Do you know the reason why Shanavogam Pillay told me that the Co. Govinden Nair got Comastah Parenjoany Madaven to execute such bond as Francis Master, him-self (Shanavogam Pillay) had of 44 Rupees in favar

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.-

favar of Shanavogam Cadavil Baboo, and Pillay?- Cochin Panikens, were trying to purchase the Arrack farm of this year, by the Comastahs with the view of dissuading them from bidding high as the sale would give 500 Rupees that the Comastah Parenjoany had therefore passed a bond in his (Shanavogam Pillay's) favar for that amount that several petitions have been presented relative to the Farm, and that the Comastah Parenjoany fear-ing that if the said bond for 500 Rupees was left in his (Shanavogam's) possession some disaster or other would happen requested the bond may be returned, promising to pay the amount Besides this, on the 22d of Chingam when the bond for 44 Rupees was executed by Madaven, Govinden Nair gave Sha-navogam Pillay a bond said to have been passed by Bavany and to for 200 Ru-pees, and 90 Rupees in Money, and having done this, the bond of 500 Rupees was returned by Shanavogam Pillay to Govinda Nair- For the purpose of receiving back

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.-

back this bond for 400 Rupees 90 Rupees in ready cash, and a bond for 266 Rupees were given, and it was for the balance Viz. 44 that Madaven was induced to pass a bond- This transaction I know, for I was present at the time-

Since Madaven Madaven is Shroff had in his own name to the Gomastah Paid the bond for Joany-Govinden Nair 444 Rupees- Can you state whether he took the Gomastah any security on this account from Govinden Nair?

Joany told Madaven that he Gomastah to pass a bond for 444 Rupees to Shamoogam Pillay- in consequence Madaven did so- but it Madaven did not take a receipt for any thing from Govinden Nair for it- nor did Madaven speak to him any thing on the subjects-

Who succeeded the Arrack Farm for this year?

Govinden Nair who is in the employ of the Gomastah Renjoony bid for the Farm at the sale and purchased it for him- I heard this related-

Fort St. George 17th November 1842

Whereabouts was it that the bond for 400 Rupees was returned and the sum of 90 Rupees and other documents were obtained?

It was on the same day the bond for 44 Rupees was executed in the house of Cadavil Baboo, that Shamoogom Pillay returned the bond for 400 Rupees to Govinden Nair, and obtained Rupees 90 in cash and two bonds, one for Rupees 266 and the other for 44 Rupees.

Were there any one that witnessed the transaction?

There were then present Boykuppen Pillay Eswar Madaven, Myself Cadavil Baboo, Shamoogom Pillay and Govinden Nair there were nobody else.

Did you then see the Gomastah Puranjoony there?

No.

Where did Boomgardt execute the bond for 266 Rupees stated to have been passed by him?

Shamoogom Pillay said that Boomgardt had executed the bond in his own house.

Did Boomgardt come to Cadavil Baboo?

Boomgardt did not come to Cadavil Baboo

Baboo's House?

Look at this bond and state whether the signature of the Witness is your own, and whether the bond is the same?

Baboo's House.—

I have seen the bond It is the same executed by Madaven on the 23d of Chingom for 44 Rupees in favor of Shamoogom Pillay The signature of the Witness in it is my own.—

Signature

Solemnly affirmed before me 1st October 1842

(Signed) J. Silver.

No. 12

Deposition of Ramah Poikar, Jean, Anandiraven of Iravilliparambil Pugla, Merchant 34 years of age inhabitant of Mattanjary in Cochin taken on the 17th Kany 1018 corresponding with the 1st October 1842 before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.—

Do you know whether any deceit was practiced during the sale of this years Arrack Farm?

Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay told me, that the Fiscal of Cochin having heard that they myself and Kadavil Baboo contemplated

contemplated purchasing the Arrack farm for Fusly 1252 (1842/43) sent for Coney Maistry and requested him to dissuade Shamoogom Pillay and the others from taking the farm, and that the Fiscal had said that he would get the Gomastah Purenjoony to give 400 Rupees to those who have resolved to purchase it, a month after the Sale of the Farm, Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay told me that the 400 Rupees was ready When I told them that I would be satisfied if I would get my share of it but until I may I have not received it I also heard Francis Maistry say that himself Shamoogom Pillay Baboo and two others, whose names I do not know, who had taken the Arrack Farm for Fusly 1249 (1839/40) had each of them paid 45 Rupees to the Fiscal I know nothing further.—

When Francis Maistry said that 400 Rupees was ready did he say any particulars relating to it?

He said that a Bond for 266 Rupees passed by Boomgardt and another for 44 Rupees

Rupees passed by a Shroff whose name I do not know together with 90 Rupees in Cash from Govinden Nair, were made over to Shamoogom Pillay but he (Francis Maistry) did not tell me on what account Boomgardt and the Shroff whose name I do not know passed those bonds.

Do you know any thing about a bond having been passed by Govinden Nair to Shamoogom Pillay for 600 Rupees? —

I heard Francis and the others say that with the view of getting us not to bid high and take the Arrack farm, Govinden Nair passed a bond in favor of Shamoogom Pillay for 600 Rupees, to be given to us, that the bond was signed by Brenjoorg and that afterwards, because the bond was taken back, Boomgardt and the Shroff were got to execute the bonds and 90 Rupees was paid in cash. —

(To what you have heard read the same as you stated? — Yes. —

Solemnly affirmed before me 1st October 1842. — Signature —

(Signed) A Silver

No. 13.

Deposition of Alloowar Pillay son of Ayapen Pillay of Hindoo Vellalen caste aged 31 years, merchant residing at Umaz-:pathy in Cochin before the Head Assistant Collector on the 3d October 1842.

Question	Answer
1 Do you know any thing as regards what is stated in the Ar:zee presented by Krishna mallen Cherov: den that the Fiscal had used certain fraudulent means in the disposal of the Arrack Farm for Fasly 1252? — 1842/43	I will state what I know one day in the month of Mithoonom I forget the date, at 10 or 12 O'clock A.M. I called at Sadavil Baboo's House when he was alone — 1/2 an hour or so afterwards Shamoogom Pillay came there with a writing I enquired of him what it was when he told me that the Fiscal had sent for Poney maistry and told him that Shamoo-:gom and three other persons intended bidding high for the Farm and that if the (Poney Maistry will prevent it, the Fiscal will cause the Gomastah Parenjoorg

Fort St. George 17th November 1842

Parenjoony to give 400 Rupees to Shamoo:
:gom Pillay and that the writing referred
to is the bond passed by Govinden nair, for
that amount in favor of Shamoogom Pillay,
to which the Gomastah Parenjoony has affixed
his signature as a security Kadavil Baboo
then proposed that this Bond should re:
:main in his hands to which Shamoogom
Pillay having consented, the Bond was delivered
to Kadavil Baboo In making over the Bond
Shamoogom Pillay desired to have a copy
which Accordingly made and which having
be left in my hands saying it may remain
in my possession and I may have it here
with me subsequently both myself and
Shamoogom Pillay left Kadavil Baboo's place

We again after the final sale of the Farm
called at his house one day in Chingom
I forget the date when we found with him
Narsinguen Govinden nair and Madaven
on which occasion in lieu of the Bond
for 400 Rupees, Govinden nair gave Shamoogom
Pillay a Bond passed by Mr. Boomgardt

for

Fort St. George 17th November 1842

for 266 Rupees, 90 Rupees in ready Cash in
Dollars, and another Bond for 44 Rupees
which Govinden caused to be executed by
Madaven and took back the Bond for
400 Rupees, which had before been
kept in Baboo's hands with this Docu:
:ment Govinden nair and Madaven left:
Kadavil Baboo giving into his hands the
bond for 266 Rupees executed by Mr. Boom:
:gardt. I also left the place at the same
time along with Shamoogom Pillay and
Narsinguen taking with us the 90 Rupees
paid in Dollars and the bond for 44
Rupees and when we parted Shamoogom
took with him the 90 Rupees in Dollars
and myself and Narsinguen went to our
Shop with the receipt for 44 Rupees.——

2 That was the reason for taking back the Bond for 400 Rupees] Shamoogom informed me that the Gomas:
:tah Parenjoony sent word to him that as he had signed in the Bond as a security it would prove prejudicial to him and therefore

therefore to return the Bond to be substi-
stituted by others of equal validity for that
amount and which information he Shamoo-
gom gave me some days before the Bond
for 100 Rupees was returned. I think the
reason for returning the Bond was to
prevent its proving prejudicial to ex
Parengoony.

3 When Madaven It was with a co
passed the Bond previous understanding
for 64 Rupees did that 3 Rupees should
he take any security be paid from every
from Govinden Nair days collection of the
Farm that Govinden Nair
got Madaven to pass for
him the Bond for 64 Rupees
in favor of Shamoogom.

4 Does what you It does.
have heard read over
to you agree with
what you deposed?

Signature

Solemnly affirmed before me 3rd October 1842
/Signed/ J. Silver

Deposition

No 14

Deposition of Ayoopoo Nassarane Christian,
Son of Cd Lacalathoor Mathoo 38 years of
age a Kolkar of the Arrack Farm, inhabit-
tant of the Cotapadiangadi, Chotto Deshom,
Goraworu Unahom in Chaughaut Talook,
taken on the 19th Kany 1018 corresponding with
the 3rd of October 1842 before the Head Assistant
Collector of Malabar.

Do you know any thing relative to a bond being executed by the arrack farmer for Fusly 1252 (1842/43) and passed to any body.

I am in the employ of Coonhatto Govinden Nair the person who has taken up the ar-
rack farm for the Fusly 1252 (1842/43) I am allowed 60 Poctums (Rs 3-2-6-) I forget whether it was on the 26th or 27th of Mithonum (July) after the Farm was knocked down I went to the place where arrack is kept where I saw sitting Govinden Nair the Farmer Juginho the son of the Christian Baried o who resides at Valavil in Cochin and Shamoo-
gom Pillay A little while afterwards Shoomoogom Pillay Govinden Nair and Juginho went towards Cadavil

Cadavil Payilothus House which is to the North side of the arrack Farm and about the distance of the length of a spear I followed them there, in the yard, the ex Former Coonhatto Govinden Nair passed in his own hand writing a bond on plain paper for the Amount of Rupees 400 and gave it to Shamoogom Pillay the individual Juzinko and myself were made witnesses to it and we both affixed our signatures thereto.

On what account did Govinden Nair execute this bond for 400 Rupees to Shanmoogom Pillay?—

I heard Govinden Nair say when the bond was being executed, that in consequence of Shamoogom Pillay having refused to accept half a share of the arrack Farm of this year, he has been allowed the benefit of 400 Rupees offered him, for which the bond in allusion, for 400 Rupees was executed to be given to him.

Where is this bond for 400 Rupees ex now?—

Govinden nair told me that two ex Bonds, one for ex Rupees

Rupees 200 from Boomgardt, and the ex other for Rupees 44 from a Shroff, together with 90 Rupees in ready Cash were given to Shamoogom Pillay and this bond for 400 Rupees taken back from him Govinden Nair likewise showed me the bond but I do not know where it is now.

For what reason was the bond for ex 400 Rupees taken ex back?— The stipulated ex time mentioned in that bond for its ex settlement was only one Month and as the limited time was over, the bond was taken back.—

Was Cadavil ex Payilothu present on the occasion when the bond for 400 Rupees was executed close to his Gate? Does he know any thing relative to the ex transaction? and where did you all go to after the bond was executed and passed?—

Cadavil Payilothu was not then present, he does not know any thing relative to this transaction after the bond was passed to Shamoogom Pillay, he took it and went away and we returned to the Arrack farm house I know nothing further.—

Yes

Is

Is what you have heard read the same as you stated?— Yes

Signature

Sworn before me 3rd October 1842
/Signed/ J. Silver

No. 15

Deposition of Govinden Nair, Inendera Nair of Coonhath Ramen Nair, Caste Nair, 40 years of age, a writer, inhabitant of Nanamoka Doshom, Chemmo on Ummathoor Naad Talook, taken on the 21st Kany 1018 corresponding with the 5th October 1842 before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.

1. Did you take up the arrack farm for fusly 1252? 1842/43 — I have taken up the arrack farm within the Cochin Sirkars' territory for fusly 1252 1842/43 for Rupees 3101-

2 Did you take up the farm on your own account or in Conjunction with others? — I took it up alone without any share holders.

3 Did any body propose to give any money to those in Colin circumstances to purchase the — I do not know this

arrack Farm, so as to dissuade them from their intentions? — No.

4 Has Parengoony the Gomastah of the Auxiliary Court of Cochin a share in the arrack Farm for fusly 1252 1842/43 or is he interested in it— and has he received any thing from it or joined in it? — I have neither agreed

5 Has the revenue Sheristadar of Cochin received any thing for the farm for the current fusly, or has it been agreed upon to give him any thing? — not given him any thing and I do not know whether any body has either agreed or given any thing.

6 In fusly 1251 1841/42 the arrack farm was knocked down for Rupees 3990. How is it that there is a deficiency of Rupees 895 in this fusly on the same account? — Parengoony the arrack farmer in last fusly sustained a loss of about 1,000 Rupees, and about 500 Rupees remained uncollected besides this the arrack farmers

farmers of the Cochin Sirkar's Country are in the habit of under selling smuggled arrack in Shops erected at the limits of the Company's Farm- Considering all this, I purchased the farm for the present fusly after calculating its worth-

7 Do you ever go to Boomgardt's house to settle with him for the fees payable to you as arrack farmer for the brandy, and gin sold by him? Since you say you did go to Boomgardt's for the purpose of settling accounts- state what was the amount and what the balance found due to you by Boomgardt after adjusting accounts?

Yes I went to Boomgardt's house for this purpose-

After adjusting accounts there was a balance of 300 & odd Rupees in my favor, from which some money due by me being deducted, I obtained from Boomgardt a bond in Shamoogom Pillay's favor for the Balance of Rupees 266-

9 Why did you get the bond executed in Shamoogom Pillay's favor?

I owed Shamoogom Pillay 400 Rupees on this account- I paid

him in part some money in Cash, and for the remainder Rupees 266 due to him, I got Boomgardt to execute the Bond in Shamoogom Pillay's favor- Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay having told me that they would join as share-holders in the arrack farm, I agreed, and consequently took it up- after this Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay asked me for 20½ Rupees for the purpose of laying it out on arrack to be sold at Vapoo- The instalment of each month is 20½ Rupees which they accordingly asked for, but thinking- that by allowing them particular limits, it would in many ways subject me to loss, I refused complying with their request- The arrack is brought to my farm from the Cochin and Travancore Country- The arrack when brought from those Countries, must passed thro Vapoo- If I was to allow Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay the management of the Farm at Vapoo all the arrack which will have to pass that place will be secured by them, which would necessarily tend to diminish the stock

in

farmers of the Cochin Sirkar's Country are in the habit of under selling smuggled arrack in Shops erected at the limits of the Company's Farm- Considering all this, I purchased the farm for the present fusly after calculating its worth-

7 Do you ever go to Boomgardt's house to settle with him for the fees payable to you as arrack farmer for the brandy and gin sold by him?

Yes I went to Boomgardt's house for this purpose-

8 Since you say you did go to Boomgardt's for the purpose of settling accounts- state what was the amount and what the balance found due to you by Boomgardt after adjusting accounts?

After adjusting accounts there was a balance of 300 & odd Rupees in my favor, from which some money due by me being deducted, I obtained from Boomgardt a bond in Shamoogom Pillay's favor for the Balance of Rupees 262

9 Why did you get the bond executed in Shamoogom Pillay's favor?

I owed Shamoogom Pillay 400 Rupees on this

him in part some money in Cash, and for the remainder Rupees 266 due to him, I got Boomgardt to execute the Bond in Shamoogom Pillay's favor- Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay having told me that they would join as share-holders in the arrack farm, I agreed, and consequently took it up- after this Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay asked me for 20½ Rupees for the purpose of laying it out on arrack to be sold at Vapoo- The instalment of each month is 20½ Rupees which they accordingly asked for, but thinking that by allowing them particular limits, it would in many ways subject me to loss, I refused complying with their request- The arrack is brought to my farm from the Cochin and Travancore Country- The arrack when brought from those Countries must passed thro Vapoo- If I was to allow Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay the management of the Farm at Vapoo all the arrack which will have to pass that place will be secured by them, which would necessarily tend to diminish the stock

in

in my other Farm houses and I would
therefore be obliged to bear the losses and
purchase the liquor at whatever price they may
ask- Upon a consideration of all this I told them
that I would not give them the farm at Vapoo
when they (Francis Maistry and Shamoogom Pillay)
said that I should give up the Farm to them
for which they would give me 400 Rupees- The
Farmer of Fusly 1251 / 1841/42 having about 1000 Rupees
to collect from several houses, made over the
Farm to me for the purpose of collecting this money
and told me that after recovering the money
he would give me a third of it. I conse-
quently took the management of the Farm-
Thinking that by my taking up the
Farm myself and collecting the Balance
it would prove well.- I told them that I would
give them 400 Rupees and conduct the
Farm myself- By my taking up the
Farm and managing it myself. I knew
I should derive therefrom a great
profit- I therefore agreed to take the
management of it and to pay 400 Rupees

to Appa-
rao that he is
to pay less
more than
400 Rupees
Vide answer
to No 9.

which they consented to receive and it
was on the 27th or 28th of Methonam / 10th or 11th of May I
passed a bond to Shanmoogom Pillay
for the 400 Rupees on plain paper-
10. You have heard I have heard read
read the Copy of the the contents of the
agreement state - Copy of an agreement
whether it is the those are not the
same you executed particulars of the
to Shanmoogom Pillay agreement I executed-
11 How is it that After verbally agree
after having refused ing between myself, Sha-
Shanmoogom Pillay and moogom Pillay and Francis
Francis Maistry their offer Maistry that we should take
of 400 Rupees and the up the Farm for 1252 / 1841/42 jointly,
restoral of the Farm, it was I took it myself and because
agreed that you should I had also taken upon
pay them that amount, myself to collect the
outstandings of the last
Fusly, and Francis Maistry and
the last years Farmer were not
on good terms, a circumstance
that would render the collection
of the outstanding difficult,
in case Francis Maistry held
a share, and as Francis Maistry
and-

and Shamoogom Pillay had asked me to resign to them the Vapoo Farm I gave them both 400 Rupees

12. Was the 400 Rupees which you consented to give to Francis maistry and Shamoogom Pillay bestowed as a present or how?

I did not give it as a present - The 400 Rupees was given to them in order that they might resign their shares which was collected on the average collections of one year.

13. Did you at any time in August last according to the Fiscal's order go and call Kadavil Lonah?

I did not at any time in August last according to the Fiscals' order call Kadavil Lonah, I do not know any thing about his having been forced to put his signature, as stated, to a Kyfeut. I am not aware what induced Lonah to state that I had gone and called him away &c.

14. You have stated that you have alone taken up the Arrack farm for fusly.

I do not know under what circumstances Lonah made that statement in

fusly 52 and that there are no share holders with you now on looking over the Copy of the Kyfeut taken by the Fiscal from Kadavil Lonah and forwarded to Buzzoor with an Urzee, it appears that he had stated in his examination that he got you to purchase the Farm at the sale, and that he himself deposited the Kist money and gave Security, and that the Farm is conducted by Lonah himself - How do you reconcile this?

his examination, one or two years before which Lonah had taken up the Farm, I was with him and kept the accounts - In consideration of this friendship between us, I asked Lonah to lend me his assistance in the Management of this years Farm but he has not hitherto rendered me any assistance.

15. In what manner was the 400 Rupees paid to Francis Maistry and

The Bond from Boomgardt for 226 Rupees and 90 Rupees in Cash was given and

and Shamoogom Pillay?

and for the remainder 44 Rupees I got my Shroff Madaven to pass a Chit

16 For what reason did you get the Shroff to pass the chit for 44 Rupees, Why did you not do so yourself?

The Shroff being always in the Farm house-and as Shamoogom Pillay said that he would be satisfied if a writing is passed by the Shroff from whom he would receive the money, it was accordingly so done- Shamoogom Pillay also said that it was enough if he had a bond from Boomgardt, hence the reason why the bond for 966 Rupees in favor of Shamoogom Pillay was obtained from Boomgardt-

17 Where did this transaction take place?

It took place in Kadavil Babo's house- There were then present myself, Shamoogom Pillay, Babo, the Shroff Madaven, another whose name I do not know in the employ of Shamoogom Pillay but whom I could recognize

if seen-

17 Are there any witnesses to the bond for 44 Rupees, if there are who are they?

There were two witnesses named Vizt I think, Kadavil Baboo and another whose name I do not know who was with Shamoogom Pillay- both of whom affixed their signatures to the bond-

Signatures.

Given before me 5th October 1842-

(Signed) J. Silver-

No. 16.

Deposition of Mootathoo Parenyoney the son of Vadavoo, christian, 28 years of age, record Keeper of the Auxiliary Court at Cochin residing now at Cochin, inhabitant of Choolporum Deshom Gooravoor Unshom in chowghaut Taleeki, taken on the 29th Kany 1018 corresponding with the 5th October 1842- before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar-

Question	Answer
1. By whom was the arrack Farm of Cochin for fasly 1252 purchased? Have you a	Govinden Nair is the person who has taken up the Farm- I have no share in it, I

a Share in it?

2 Was the Farm taken up by Govinden Nair alone, or in conjunction with others?

I have heard that Kadavil Lonah has a share in the Farm with Govinden Nair but I do not know whether there is any body besides.

3 Do you know whether any body proposed giving a sum of money to the individuals who were desirous of purchasing the Farm, with the view of preventing them from bidding high at the sale?

I do not know.

4 Have you a share in the Farm for Fusly 1252 or 1842/43 or have you ever received any thing from the Farmer or joined in it?

No.

5. Did the Revenue Sheristadar of Cochin receive in this Fusly any thing

I do not know.

thing from the farm or has any settlement been made for this purpose?

6 What could have caused a decrease of Rupees 895 on the farm for Fusly 1257? 1847/48

I have not heard.

7. Did Govinden Nair the farmer pass a bond for Rupees 400 so as to dissuade any body from purchasing the farm for Fusly 1252? 1842/43 and Did you affix your signature to any such bond as security?

I do not know whether Govinden has executed and passed a bond for Rupees 400 to any body- nor have I signed any such bond, as security- I did not tell any body that 400 Rupees would be given in case the bidding for the farm for Fusly 1252 1842/43 would not be high-

Memo

The Copy of a document produced by the Witness, Allowar Pellay is read and shewn-

8. Did you pass a bond similar to the one you have heard read?

I have heard the bond read but I never wrote or passed a bond like it to any body-

Did I

9. Did you at any time during August last conduct Kadavil Lonah to the Fiscal's house?

I did not.

10 Was Lonah forced to sign a Kyfat at the Fiscals house?

Neither saw nor heard of it.

11. Did your Father join in purchasing the arrack farm for fusly 1249? 1839/40

Yes. Kadavil Lonah his elder brother Dao. Fernandes and Mr. Boomgardt together with my Father took up the Farm.

12. Did your Father or any of the other share holders of the arrack farm for fusly 1249 1839/40 give any money to the Fiscal?

My Father being now dead I have not the means of ascertaining whether he had given any Money to the Fiscal: my Father did not tell me that he had done so nor did I before hear that any of the other share holders had given any money to the Fiscal. I now hear that all the five share holders of the arrack farm for Fusly 1249 1839/40 had each of them given 45 Rupees and that the amount thus collected Rupees 225,- had given given by Boomgardt to the Fiscal. I however did not hear why this money was so given.

J.G.

J

13. Do you know whether Govindew Nair the arrack Farmer for Fusly 1252 1842/43 gave any body 400 Rupees?

I have heard that Govindew Nair, Shamoogom Pillay and others had agreed to take up the Farm for Fusly 1252 1842/43 and that after it was purchased, in consequence of Shamoogom Pillay and the others having stated that they would be satisfied if they got the Money, and did not care for a share in the Farm, Govindew Nair gave them 400 Rupees and bought up their shares.

14. Is what you have heard read the same as you stated?

Yes.

Signature.

Given before me this 5th October 1842.

(Signed) J. Silver.

No. 17.

Deposition of Kadavil Lonah, Nasarani Christian, Son of Kadavil Kodofa, about 41 years of age, Cultivator, inhabitant of Valiapathayo Thodapolathel in Cochin taken on the 9th Kany 1018 corresponding with the 3d of October 1842, before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.

Did you take up the Arrack Farm for the Fusly 1249?

The Arrack Farm of Fusly 1249 was taken up by Boomgardt, Francis Fernandes, Mottathoo Bavoo Kadavil Baboo and

and myself.

Did you in that Boomgaardt having year give any thing to the said that one share of Fiscal?

the Farm for Fusly 1252 was intended to be given to the Fiscal, and that consequently each of the five share holders of the said Farm had to give 45 Rupees to make up 225 Rupees destined for the Fiscal me, each of us, gave to Boomgardt for this purpose 45 Rupees. I heard from Boomgardt afterwards that the 225 Rupees was paid to the Fiscal.—

Do you know whether the Fiscal had practiced any artifice during the disposal of the Farm for Fusly 1252?

I do not know whether the Fiscal had practised any artifice during the disposal of the Farm, for Fusly 1252. I have heard several people say that 400 Rupees were given to Coonhollo Govinden Nair Shanoogam Pillay and 2 others who has taken up the Farm of Fusly 1252 but I did not hear for what reason this Money was so given. I know nothing further.

Is what you have heard read the same as you stated?

Yes.

You have stated that you had something to respresent. state what it is?

I think it was on the 21st of August last, on a Sunday about 10 O'Clock in the Morning Coonhollo Govinden Nair came to me and told me, that the Fiscal wanted me; I replied that I would go when the Fiscal's Man came, Govinden Nair then went away. A little while afterwards Govinden Nair again came to my house and told me that the Gomastah Parenjoony was standing at the Gate and that I should go there, upon hearing this, I went to the place where Parenjoony was standing who told me that the Fiscal called me, consequently accompanied by Parenjoony and Govinden Nair I proceeded to the Fiscals house Govinden Nair stood outside. but Parenjoony and myself both went to the Fiscal, inside the house. the Fiscal

Fiscal was seated, and the Gomastah
Baken Senn was then near and engaged
in writing something - When we approached
the Kyfiut which the said Baken
Senn was engaged in writing, was read
over by him - which I heard was to this
purport "the individual named
Gouriden Nair who has taken up the
Farm for Fusly 1252 1843 is my man, and
it is through him that I have got
the Farm taken up and conducted" -
The Fiscal then requested me to sign
the Kyfiut - I observed that it was
very hard for me to sign a Kyfiut
stating that I was connected with
the Farm of this Fusly, when I had
nothing to do with it - After this the
Fiscal desired the Gomastah
Sarenjoony to take and appease
me, who took me to another

room and told me that if I would
sign the Kyfiut, nothing would
befall me. During this - The Fiscal
having called Sarenjoony and
myself went to him - when he again
requested me to sign the Kyfiut,
and I accordingly complied with
his wishes, and signed it, Considering
that I have nothing to do with
the Farm for this year, I could
not have willingly signed the Kyfiut
which stated that I was connected
with it. I was forced to do it
from fear of the Fiscal - I have
nothing further to state -

There

Besides the Fiscal Parenjoony and Baker Jenn were there any body else when you signed the Kyfuit?

There was no body else. Govinden Nair the present Farmer was outside the house.

Why did you not, then and there, represent that you were forced to sign the Kyfuit?

Fearing that the Fiscal would, if I did so bring me into some trouble or Mer. Profanes for laing it immediately Now as your words is come to Cochin, I have brought all that has occurred to your notice.

Is what you have heard read the same as you stated?

Yes.

Signature.

Sworn before me 3d October 1842.

(Signed) J. Silver.

No. 18.

Deposition of Baker Jenn Son of Joseph Jenn Christian about 24 years of age a Gomastah of the Fiscals Cutcherry at Cochin taken on the 14 Kany 1018 Cor: responding with the 3rd of October 1842 before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.

Do you know who Kadavil Lonah is? are you acquainted with him?

I know Kadavil Lonah. Govinden Nair the person who has taken up the arrack farm for Fasly 1252 (1842/43) in the aforesaid Lonahs' Man. Do I have heard Lonah in his kyfuit before the Fiscal Cutcherry stated that he had got Govenden Nair to take up the Farm of this Fasly and conduct it.

Kadavil Lonah being this day examined before me stated that a kyfuit of the above nature was written and read to him and that he was forced to

Lonah was summoned before the Cutcherry and was examined his answer being written down and read over to him he of his own free will put his signature to

15099 Fit Abe

Fort St. George 17th November

to sign it. How is this.? to it. Seemat say what has induced Lonah now to state that he was forced to sign the Kyfuit. In Consequence of order from the Huzzoor requir to be informed who the farm Govinden Nair is and how he able to conduct the Farm from the circumstance of having that it was Lonah who had Govinden Nair to take up and cond the arrack Farm, the said Lonahs was accordingly taken and forwarded the Huzzoor I think Lonahs Kyfuit taken on a Saturday about or in the evening. Lonah stated that he was sent for on a Sunday and examined in the house. How Lonah being questioned in your presence states that previous to his arrival at the Fiscals house you had finished the Kyfuit and had it ready and on re= =ferring to a copy to Lonah's I remember the Kyfuit was taken on a Saturday evening. Probably a mistake happened in the dating of the original Kyfuit or it might have been a mistake in copying it in the book for the writing is very

15102. Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

Lonahs Kyfuit it ap= =pears to have been taken on the 21st August which fell on a Sunday what have you to state to this.?

Is what you have heard read the same as you stated.? Yes.

/Signed/ P. Fenn.

Sworn before me 3d October 1842.

/Signed/ S. Silver

No 19.

Deposition of Kadavil Aodafa Lonah, inhabitant of Chorolahi Kadavil in Cochin taken on the 6th of Chingom 1017 corresponding with the 20th of August 1842, before the Revenue Sheristadar of Cochin.

Question.	Answer.
1 What Country Man is Conathu Govinden Nair the individual who has taken the contract of the Cochin Arrack farm for Fasly 1252.? 1842/43	Govinden Nair is an inhabitant of Manam= =mookoo Dishom Chey= =noor Amshom in Cot= =nad Talook. He took the Farm from me —

Govinden

and

and for whom did he take the Contract of the said Farm.?

2. What property does the aforestated Govinden Nair profess? What is his profession where is his fixed place of residence.? and how does he maintain himself.?

Govinden Nair has property valued at about three or four hundred rupees.- when I had the Contract of the arrack farm for fusly 1248 he came to Cochin, and as he was well practised in accounts and writing I employed him at the Farm to keep accounts at 5 Rupees per Month.- Ever since which his fixed place of residence has been Cochin He maintains himself with the pa Salary giving him.-

Why was the Contract of the Farm taken in Govinden Nairs Name instead of having it done in your Name.?

The Contracts of the Farms for fusslies 48 & 49 were taken in my name.- The Contract of the Farms for fusslies 48 & 49 were taken

at that time attend to any requisition of business at the Cutcherry.- and see also to the business of my Farm.- I was obliged to depute my Men one Chase Pars Lonah to bid and purchase the Farm for fusly 1250 one Poathoor Perinjakoo that for fusly 1251 and Govenden Nair that for the present fusly.-

Who deposited the Kist Money and gave security for the aforestated Farm.?

The Kist was deposited and security tendered by me.- and the Farm managed under me.-

Is what you have heard read over the same as you stated.?

Yes. there is no difference.-

Signature

Signed J. C. D. Aranjo
Revenue Sheristadar

No. 20.

Examination of Ignacio Carlos D. Aranjo Christian Revenue Sheristadar of Cochin and son of Lourenco D. aranjo aged 53 years now residing at Cochin taken on the 7th Kany 1018, corresponding with the 6th. of October 1842 before the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar.-

You have heard read all the proceedings held

I have heard read all the proceedings.

A

held before me relative to the complaint against you on the part of Government, to the effect that previous to the disposal of the Arrack farm of Fasly 1252 1842-43 you had with the view of preventing certain persons from bidding induced them upon the promise of some Money thro other people to desist from bidding for the farm that you had this year caused a loss to Government to the extent of Rupees 900 and beside that you had received a bribe of Rupees 225 from one Boongarlt and four others who had purchased

I never proposed or said that I would give Money to, or thro any person with the view of preventing any body from purchasing the Arrack Farm for Fasly 1252 1842-43 at an enhanced rate. Doney Guezelar bears a great grudge against me in consequence of a dispute and therefore for the purpose of annoying me has made the present Statement against me. Mr. Doney in his examination states that no body else knows any thing of what I had spoken to him of the statements of the other Witnesses that they had heard of it Mr. Doney is consider

Farm for the Fasly 1249 1839-40 What have you to state in your defence.?

they could not have been present on the occasion. and that it evidently shews the falsity of Mr. Doney's Statement. considering that Mr. Doney is an upright man and were I to have proposed to him any thing that would be injurious to the interests of Government surely it is expected that he would not have agreed to it nor would have mentioned it to the Witnesses. consequently it is evident that what he has stated is utterly false. Kristna Mallen Charooden in his Kyfiet states that when he came to the Cutcherry I got angry with him and told him to go out. on that day the Cutcherry was crowded with people, and had this Charoodeen come there, there was reason for him to have been seen by some of the people then present. but nobody seems to have seen him in the Cutcherry. nor did he on that day come there his Statement that he had come to the Cutcherry and

Fort St. George 17th November 1842

and that I got angry with him is altogether false. The arrack Farm for Fusly 1249 was disposed of for a larger Jumma than that of Fusly 1248 (1838/39) which is a circumstance of itself sufficient to make it evident that there was no reason for either Boomgardt or the others, to have given me any Money, and it appears from Boomgardts examination which I have heard read that he did not pay me in ready Cash. but gave me credit for the amount in his accounts. I never requested Boomgardt to credit me with this sum in his accounts nor was I ever before aware that he had done so I know it only now after hearing Boomgardts Kyfiet read I never requested Boomgardt to realize and place to my credit any Money from the other share holders of the contract for Fusly 1249 (1839/40) I have not as yet settled my accounts with Boomgardt for the things I had purchased from him - I owe him money. Boomgardt states in his Kyfiet that he gave me the Money to prevent any annoyance from me - If I am of that character

He does not necessarily follow that a Civil or Criminal Suit is so many ways of annoying parties in his jurisdiction that it may be considered by them good policy to decline Court his countenance at a considerable cost.

Fort St. George 17th November 1842.

a proper order - it is therefore plain, that this Statement of having given me Money in consequence of my annoyance is not the truth and that he has merely said so in order to have something or other to state against me - Kadavil Lonah Baboo and Francis Maistry and others belonging to the Church at Vypen having taken forcible posession of the Church at Amravadi to which I and some others go the circumstance was brought to the notice of Mr. White and Mr. Silver when they presided as Judges of the Cochin Auxiliary Court and two Proclamations were issued and stuck up. since this, the abovementioned individuals together with Boomgardt, Poney, and others who are of the Church at Vypin have not been able to take posession of the Church at Amravadi - as I was aware of the existence of these Proclamations and being also myself an attendant of the Church at Amravadi these individuals were totally unable to come there. With a view therefore of injuring my Character and of getting me

me out of this place so as to be enabled
to get posession of the aforesaid Church
at Amravadi, the aforesaid Cadaniel Lewis
Baboo, Francis and all the others of the
Church at Vypin have in this manner
endeavoured to raise something or other
against me - Of a reference is made to the
letter from the Magistrate dated the
28th. March 1842 to the joint Magistrate
and to my English letter to the joint
Magistrate relative to the demise of
Major Plowden the feeling of animosity
existing between us will be apparent.
The letter which I had written regarding the
death of Major Plowden is dated the
21st. March 1842. The Farm for Fusly
1252, was conducted agreeably to the Report
forwarded to the Huzzoor under dates the
11th. and 21st. June and 4th. July 1842.
In those reports a full particular is
given of the cause of the deficiency
and of the Contractors of this place
and the Cochin Cirkar having acted
in concert with each other. above 8
persons were present when the Contract of
the Farm for Fusly 1252 was put up and
Knocked down for the third time

It is not certain as will be seen who the renter of the Pattoy Farm is.

Different opinions may exist on this Course of proceeding I am alive to that suggested by Mr. Thomas that abstract of this is not material to the Point.

After the bidding was continued before
such a large concourse of people for
sometime, and perceiving a deficiency
in comparison to the previous year,
and with the view of preventing any
disagreement in future I went to
Mr. Ward the Acting joint Magis-
trate and related all the particulars
and begged of him to witness the sale,
Mr. Ward accordingly proceeded to the
spot and altho after this the sale
had continued for about three quarters
of an hour no body ventured to bid
higher - The Kolkars were also directed
to cry aloud, but without effect, nobody
would bid higher, and thus it was
in the presence of the said Gen-
tleman that the farm was knocked
down after three times, to the
highest bidder - the particulars
of which were reported to the
Huzzoor on the 4th. July - If I had
within my ownself or by means of
others, contemplated causing a
deficiency there was every reason
that

The Fiscal states in this Report that he had heard privately that the Natives intending bids for the Cochin Government Farms and Public Gentlemen met to further a mutually agreed not to interfere with each other - You may or may not be correct. It

that such should have been brought to the notice of that Gentleman. The Crier was my Cutcherry Kolkar named Babos. On averaging the collection of the Arrack Farm for 6 years from Fasly 1242/1832-33 to 1247/1837-38 it will appear that it gives 2707 1/2 Rupees per Annum and from Fasly 1248/1838-39 to 51 for four years averages Rupees 3,564 per year. These increases have taken place entirely thro' my exertions and I am at a loss what to think of the assertion made that I have appropriated to myself the profits of the Farm for 6 years. Boomgardt himself admits in his Appeal that the Farm for Fasly 49 was sold for a larger Amount which of itself is enough to shew the falsity of the Statement to the effect that Money was paid me for that Fasly. Further It is now about 9 years since I have been at Cochin should a reference to the Collections of these years be made, it will appear that there has been an increase every year. A robbery to a large Amount, took [illegible]

House of Kaikaw a Shavi Gri residing at Vypin in the Cochin Circar's Territory. The Dewan of Cochin having learnt that some part of the stolen property were concealed by some Vaniyars at Amaravadi in the Company's Territory wrote to me requesting that I would institute a search and forward to him the property and the men concerned. Mention was also made in the letter of four Men concerned in the robbery being here whose persons it was requested might be seized. On proceeding to the Houses of the aforesaid individuals two of them who were inhabitants of the Cochin Country made their escape to Allepey. two Shavidichees (Dancing Girls) were alone apprehended and sent to the Dewan. A good deal of stolen property from the Cochin Circars Country is brought and concealed by the Vaniyars residing at Amaravadi for the discovery and seizure of which I have always used my best endeavours. The Vaniyars inhabiting Amaravadi are therefore unable to act as they would and are continually presenting petitions

petitions to draw me into some trouble and they have got up the present charge with a view of procuring my dismissal.

Shanoogom Pillay is a dependant of Doney Maistry and Allooman Pillay is Shanoogom Pillay's Brother in law.

Memo The four individuals mentioned in the third Sheet (original) who committed the robbery are inhabitants of the Cochin Circars' Country and come to reside at Amraude after the perpetration of this robbery within the Cochin Circars Territory.

Taken before me this 6th day of October 1842

(Signed) J Silver
Fr. Asstt. Collector.

Continued Examination on the 7th October 1842

You have heard read the deposition of Kadavil Lonah to the effect that while he had nothing to do with the Arrack Farm of this year you had taken from him a written statement to

I have heard read the kyfiut given by Lonah. I did not by means of compulsion as therein stated get him to sign. As an order from the Buzzar had come to inform us to the manner how Gouriden Nair got

to which by means of compulsion you got him to sign purporting that he had got one Gouriden Nair to take up and conduct the Farm. now what have you to state in your defence?

got the farm and whether he was capable to conduct the same and as Lonah had told me that he had got Gouriden Nair to take up and conduct the Arrack farm of this year I caused the attendance of the said Lonah at my Cutcherry I think on the 20th August last on a Saturday and according to what he stated the Gomastah by name Baker Penn took down in writing and Lonah of his own accord put his signature to it. This had circumstances occurred. If I had by force got Lonah to sign the statement he did not as he could have done immediately report it either to the joint Magistrate or the Magistrate at Calicut. he has now done it from malicious and false motives entirely.

You have stated that you think it

The kyfiut was taken on Saturday the

was

was one Saturday the 20th. August last Lonah was examined at the Cutcherry and affixed his signature of his own accord to the Statement he made. Now on referring to the Book in which is entered Lonah's Statement which you had sent with your report to the Huzzoor it appears to be dated the 21st. August which was on a Sunday What have you to state.?

The Petition which accompanied Lonah's Kyfeit and copied in the book being looked over it is also found to be dated the 21st. which fell on a Sunday. How is this.?

You

the 20th. and if a reference is made to the Original Kyfeit sent to the Huzzoor this will be known I should think the date in the Book is a Mistake in copying.

This likewise I would say was a mistake in copying the original petition if referred to, will I think remove this difference.

I

You have stated that Lonah told you that he had got Govinden Nair to take up the farm for this fusly to which effect he had given a Kyfeit. Now Govinden Nair being examined he states that he knows nothing about it and that Lonah had hitherto never assisted him. what have you to state to this.?

Memo Witness Alloowar Pillay

Do you know any thing with regard to the Document the contents of which you have heard read.?

Memo The Document is read.

Do you know any thing with regard to the Document you have just heard read to you.?

Memo The English Document written by Boomgadt to Shamoogom Pillay is shewn.

Do you know any thing about this Document.?

Memo The account is shewn.

I have heard that Lonah and Govinden Nair are now on bad terms. I think it is the fear that he will be obliged to share with Lonah if he admits that Lonah is connected with the farm that has induced Govinden Nair to state in this manner I have heard that there is a Putter whose name I do not now remember who assists Govinden Nair.

Copy of a Document produced by the Pillay is read.

I have heard the Document read. I know nothing relative to the subject it contains nor did I hear any thing about it.

I have heard the Document relative to 44 Rupees

I heard the Document read. I know nothing about it nor did I hear any thing about it.?

Document written by Boomgadt to Shamoogom Pillay is shewn.

I have looked over this English Document. It is written as I perceive by Boomgadt to Shamoogom Pillay for Rupees 266 I never saw it before I do not know on what account it was written. nor did I hear. English produced by

I have looked over the

was one Saturday the 20th. August last Lonah was examined at the Cutcherry and affixed his Signature of his own accord to the Statement he made. Now on referring to the Book in which is entered Lonah's Statement which you had sent with your report to the Huzzoor it appears to be dated the 21st. August which was on a Sunday. What have you to state.?

The Petition which accompanied Lonah's Kyfeit and copied in the book being looked over it is also found to be dated the 21st. which fell on a Sunday. How is this.?

You

the 20th. and if a reference is made to the Original Kyfeit sent to the Huzzoor this will be known I should think the date in the Book is a Mistake in copying.

This likewise I would say was a mistake in copying the original petition if referred to, will I think remove this difference.

I

You have stated that Lonah told you that he had got Govinden Nair to take up the farm for this fusly to which effect he had given a Kyfeit. Now Govinden Nair being examined he states that he knows nothing about it and that Lonah had hitherto never assisted him. what have you to state to this.?

Memo Copy of a Document Alloowar Pillay is read.

Do you know any thing with regard to the Document the contents of which you have heard read.?

Memo The Document is read.

Do you know any thing with regard to the Document you have just heard read to you.?

Memo The English Document Boomgardt to Shamoogom Pillay is shewn.

Do you know any thing about this Document.?

I have heard that Lonah and Govinden Nair are now on bad terms. I think it is the fear that he will be obliged to share with Lonah if he admits that Lonah is connected with the farm that has induced Govinden Nair to state in this manner. I have heard that there is a Putter whose name I do not now remember who assists Govinden Nair.

Document produced by the

I have heard the Document read. I know nothing relative to the subject it contains. nor did I hear any thing about it.

t relative to 44 Rupees

I heard the Document read. I know nothing about it nor did I hear any thing about it.?

Document written by Booma Pillay is shewn.

I have looked over this English Document. It is written as I perceive by Boomgardt to Shamoogom Pillay for Rupees 266 I never saw it before I do not know on what account &c. it was written. nor did I hear. it mentioned in English produced by

Memo The accounts Boomgardt is shewn. Look at these accounts.

I have looked over the

accounts what have you to state thereto?

the accounts shewn me. there is 225 Rupees put down by Boomgardt to my credit. but on what account or why it is so placed I do not know. I have in my examination of yesterday with regard to the allegation made relative to the Money given me for the Arrack farm of Fusly 49 stated every thing.. 1839/40.

Have you any thing further to state besides what you have stated yesterday and today in your defence to the Complaints preferred against you?

I have nothing more to state..

Have you any question to put to the Witnesses?

I have no question to put to any of the Witnesses..

Memo Representation was made in English by the Defendant to the effect that in Consequence of his mind being disarranged he does not feel inclined to put any questions to the Witnesses..

Taken before me this 7th day of October 1842

/Signed/ S. Silver.
Wm. Hyde Coll.

/True Translation/
/signed/ H.V. Conolly
Collector

S.S.B.

(44-45)

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

/Vide Proceedings 28th Nov./

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(46)

From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

At the request of Mr. Brice I beg the favor of your submitting for the further orders of the Board of Revenue, the accompanying Copy of a correspondence, the subject thereof having reference to their Proceedings under date the 14th April last, as well as to their circular instructions dated the 21st August 1834.

From Mr. Brice Date 11th Octr 1842
To Dr 15th Do
From Dr 26th Do

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut the 1st Novr 1842

/Signed/ H.V. Conolly
Collector.

No 1

H.V. Conolly Esqre
Principal Collector of
Malabar

Sir.

We have the honor to represent that in the Custom House Certificates granted on the Export of Coir manufactured within the Company's limits in this place this Article is considered as the produce or Manufacture of a Native state, as which we shall have to pay in England a

15119 Fort St. George 17th November 1842

a very considerable Differential Duty, according to the new Tariff whereas the Goods are of British manufacture we beg you will give this matter your earnest consideration as one of vast importance

Cochin 11th October 1842 Pr. Pro. Foster Lancaster & Co.
/ Signed / A. C. Brice

To
A. C. Brice Esqre
for Foster Lancaster & Co.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 11th Instant I beg to inform you, that the subject therein adverted to having attracted my notice some time ago, I made a reference to the Board of Revenue who have decided that "Articles, the produce and "manufacture of the Cochin and Travancore "Rajah's Dominions cannot be considered "as the produce and Manufacture of the "British possessions in India" Unless therefore the Coir you alluded to / tho' the Rope may have been made at Cochin / be a bonafide the produce of a British Possession it cannot be certified as such.

Collector's Office
Calicut the 15th October 1842 } / Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector &

15120 Fort St. George 17th November 1842

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Principal Collector of
Malabar

Sir,

We have had the honor to receive your favor of the 15th Instant, informing us that Goods manufactured in British territory by British subjects and with British Capital cannot be shipped with a certificate of its being British Colonial Manufacture if the raw material shall have been produced in a Foreign Country - As the decision of the Board of Revenue upon which you act must be detrimental to the interests of the Company's possessions we have to beg the favor of your submitting our application to it, and seconding our appeal by your recommendation.

Per Pro: Foster Lancaster & Co
/ Signed / A. C. Brice

(46-464)

Resolution thereon. Under the existing Regulations of English commerce, Articles produced in a Foreign territory cannot by any process of Manufacture carried on within the British possessions become entitled to a certificate of British origin, and the Board have therefore no alternative, but to affirm their former order of the 14th April.

Read the following letter -

To

47

From the Collector of Madras -- Stating that the plan of Chintadrepett referred to in a letter under date the 9th October 1803 is not to be found and that the Shratriemdar is unable to produce any attested plan prepared in that year.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

1. I have the honor to Acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 27th Ultimo and to report that the plan referred to in the then Collector's letter under date the 9th October 1803 is not to be found among the records of this Office neither can I obtain any information regarding it.

2. The Shratriemdar of Chintradrepett is unable to produce any attested plan prepared in 1803. There is a plan here, but it does not shew when or by whom it was prepared; or whether it was prepared after actual survey or is only a copy of some other plan I was on this account, "desirous of having an authentic plan which would shew the limits of the Shratriem according to the "boundary" which it would seem from the Cowle dated 10th August 1787 was fixed in the year 1734" and I requested if such a plan were not in your Office, that an "application might be made to Government with the view of ascertaining whether a plan was prepared when the Shratriem

Cowle was issued."-

3. The correspondence with the Board at different periods will shew that the limits of the Village have not been considered as limited to "the Island on which the Petta stands."

From Board 20th Octr. 1803. Para 3

Madras 11th November 1842

Order thereon (47-48)

/Signed/ A. Robertson

Collector

From the Collr. 15th inst. 27th Octr. 1842

Board's Proceedings 27th Octr. 1842

Ordered that the foregoing letter with the preceding correspondence be submitted for the orders of the most Honorable the Governor in Council.

With reference to a report to Government dated June 26th 1787 copy of which was sent down to this Office with a letter from Government dated 4th July of the same year, the Board beg to recommend that the Records of the Government Office may be searched to ascertain whether they contain any plan of the Village of Chintadrepett or any specification of its boundaries.

Read the following letter

From, A. Robertson Esqre

Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants

To I. D. Bourdillon Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

49

From the Collr. of Madras & Register of Govt. Grants Requesting to

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the

to be informed with reference to the recent orders of Govt. on the subject for what portion of lands on the Neilgherries leases such as have of late been granted should continue to be issued from his office.

the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th Ultimo transmitting copy of the orders of Government therein referred to relating to the issue of leases for lands on the Neilgherries and to observe that I do not fully understand for what portion of the lands on the Neilgherries leases such as have of late been granted should continue to be issued from this office for instance whether the issue is to be confined to the limits of the Cantonment at Ootacamund or to extend to Kotagherry and Connoor and if to the latter places, within what limits at each place, Ground is to be considered subject to be held under such leases.-

2. Leases in the forms sanctioned before have hitherto been issued for all lands whether within or beyond the Cantonment of Ootacamund, but it having been now decided that all lands on the Hills must be held on the same terms as those in other parts of the country I am led to think the intention of Government to be that leases in the prescribed form should continue to be issued by this office only for those lands which are within the Cantonment from their being considered

considered to be public property, and that for those beyond the limits of the Cantonment excepting in the case of such as may be occupied by Europeans on the same terms and conditions as they are allowed to be held by Native Ryots Permission Certificates should in pursuance of the rules laid down in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 13th November 1837 be issued by the Collector of the District in the form approved of by the Board on the 27th October 1834.

From Bd. 16th Novr. 137

† Vide Encl. A in a letter to the Board dated 17th Octr. 1834.

3. I am induced to make this reference and to solicit instructions in consequence of an application from Mr. F. Lascelles for a lease of land situated at the distance of "nearly 2 Miles from Ootacamund on the road to Raty."

Madras 12th November 1842

/ Signed / A. Robertson, Collector and Register of Government Grants

Order thereon.

49-50

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's request to be informed more precisely as to the wishes of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council on the points noticed by

by Mr. Robertson.

51
From the Pt. Collector of North Arcot — Read the undermentioned Registers

Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of September last.

52
From the Pt. Collector of Madura — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of October last.

51-53
Order on the two abovementioned Registers — Ordered to be lodged in the office.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

54
To the Collector of Ganjam

To The Collector of Ganjam

Sir,

By my letter of the 12th September last your attention was particularly directed to the order of Government regarding the mode of docketting correspondence. Your report on the Devastanems of your district, and its enclosures have been recently received, but without the endorsements prescribed. the Board therefore again request that due attention may be paid to the order.

+ October 24th 1842

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George 17th Novr. 1842 — (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon, Secretary

To

55
To the Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary

To The Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to a letter from this office dated 9th May last and to request that the information therein required relative to the renewal of the Yeomiah allowances employed by the late Syed Shaw Azaratoolah Cawdry and Sasheacastrooloo may be furnished with the least practicable delay.

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George 17th Novr. 1842 — (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon, Secretary

To

56
To the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot

To The Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of salt wastage, submitted by you for fusly 1251. and to observe that this document is not endorsed according to the instructions conveyed in the circular letter from this office dated 12th September last which the Board request may be strictly attended to in future.

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George 17th November 1842 — (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon, Secretary

To

To The Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot

57
To the Pd. Collector of South Arcot

The periodical Returns noted in the Margin not having been received from your District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be pleased to submit them with as little delay as possible.

2 You are further requested to cause these Returns to be regularly submitted in future as required in the Board's standing orders of the 13th July 1826.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 17th November 1842

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Returns of Persons charged with offences and of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822. from July to October 1842
Do. of persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831. from Do. Do. Do. of Revenue Defaulters confined and released for the qr. ending 30th September 1842
Do. of fines imposed and of alterations effected for Do. Do. Do. of Public Servants suspended or dismissed for Do. Do.
Statt account current for Do.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements

From the Deputy Accountant General
58 Indent for 10 yards of Green Cloth for covering eight new Desks of the Military Office.

From the Deputy Accountant General
59 Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of the Military Department of this Office.

Order on the two above-mentioned Indents
58-60 Ordered that the original Indents be passed and returned.

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot
61 Reporting that no public servants were suspended or dismissed from employment during the last Quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot
62 Return of Village officers suspended or dismissed from Employment under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of September last.

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah
63 Statement of goods seized which are recommended for trial in the Month of October last.

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah
64 Statement shewing the Levy of Additional Duty on certain for the Month of October last being value of 10 Rupees.

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore
65 Statement shewing the of smuggled Tobacco for the Month of September

To The Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot

57
To the Pl. Collector of South Arcot

The periodical Returns noted in the Margin not having been received from your Distruct, I am Directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be pleased to submit them with as little Delay as possible.

2 You are further requested to cause these Returns to be regularly submitted in future as required in the Board's standing orders of the 13th July 1826.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 17th November 1842

Signed J. D. Dourdillon
Secretary

Return of Persons charged with Offences and of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation LX of 1822. from July to October 1842
Do. of persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831. from Do. Do. Do. of Revenue Defaulters confined and released for the qr. ending 30th September 1842
Do. of fines imposed and of alterations effected for Do. Do. Do. of Public servants suspended or dismissed for Do. Do.
Statt account current for Do.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements

From the Deputy Accountant General — Indent for 10 yards of Green Cloth for covering eight new Desks of the Military Department

58

59
From the Deputy Accountant General — Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of the Military Department of this Office.

58-60
Order on the two above-mentioned Indents — Ordered that the original Indents be passed and returned.

61
From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Reporting that no public servants were suspended or dismissed from employment during the last Quarter ending 30th September last.

62
From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Return of Village officers suspended or dismissed from Employment under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of September last.

63
From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Statement of goods seized which are recommended for treble duty in the Month of October last.

64
From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Statement shewing the levy of Additional Duty on certain Articles for the Month of October last being under value of 10 Rupees.

65
From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Statement shewing the seizure of smuggled Tobacco for the Month of September.

(63-66) September last.

Order on the three above-mentioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods as well as the levy of additional Duty enumerated in the Statements be authorized.

(67) From the Collector of Chingleput — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public servants from 1st July to 30th September last.

(68) From the Collector of Chingleput — Quarterly Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail from 1st July to 30th September last.

(69) From the Collector of Chingleput — Stating that certain Returns for the quarter ending 30th September last are not transmitted there being no entries to be made in them.

(70) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore, forwarding the Indents for stationery in Duplicate.

(71) From the Principal Collector of Madura — Forwarding a Duplicate Indent of stationery sent to the Military Board.

(72) From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indent of stationery required

required for the use of the Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate for the year 1843.

(70. 73) Order on the three above-mentioned Indents — Ordered that the Indents be passed and returned to the Military Board.

(74) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for the Month of September last.

(75) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Persons in confinement in pursuance of the sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of September last.

(76) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Stating that there is no Return of Sayer smuggled goods for the Month of September last.

(77) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Persons holding offices described in Section VIII Regulation VII of 1831. suspended or dismissed for the Month of September last.

(78) From the Collector of Masulipatam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

(79) From the Collector of Masulipatam — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the

(63-66) September last.

Order on the three above-mentioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods as well as the levy of additional duty enumerated in the statements be authorized.

(67) From the Collector of Chingleput — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public servants from 1st July to 30th September last.

(68) From the Collector of Chingleput — Quarterly Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail from 1st July to 30th September last.

(69) From the Collector of Chingleput — Stating that certain Returns for the quarter ending 30th September last are not transmitted there being no entries to be made in them.

(70) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore, Forwarding the Indents for stationery in Duplicate.

(71) From the Principal Collector of Madura — Forwarding a Duplicate Indent of stationery sent to the Military Board.

(72) From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indent of stationery required

required for the use of the Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate for the year 1843-

(70-73) Order on the three above-mentioned Indents — Ordered that the Indents be passed and returned to the Military Board.

(74) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for the Month of September last.

(75) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore. — Return of Persons in confinement in pursuance of the sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of September last.

(76) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Stating that there is no Return of Sayer smuggled goods for the Month of September last.

(77) From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Persons holding offices described in Section VII Regulation VI of 1831. suspended or dismissed for the Month of September last.

(78) From the Collector of Masulipatam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

(79) From the Collector of Masulipatam — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the

(80) From the Principal Collector of Madura } the month of September last. Reporting that no Goods or Salt were seized nor confiscated during the Month of October last.

(81) From the Collector of Madras. Statement shewing the current prices of salt in the Bazar per Measure, per Mercal and per Garce for the Month of October last.

(82) From the Pt. Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the Quantity of salt ready for Exportation to Chittagong on account of the Indent of 1841/1842. from the Ports from 1st to 8th November 1842.

(83) From the Principal Collector of Nellore } Reporting that no suspension or dismissals have taken place amongst the Village Moonsiffs during the Months of August, September and October last.

" Trnr

" J.D. Dmg

" A. Masters

" H.A. Montgomery

Compd. J.C. Boyle

Diary.

19th November. Sent the following letters.-

To. The Principal Collector of Madura.-

(1) To the Pt. Coll.r of Madura } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Register of Petitions submitted by you for the Month of October last and to observe that this document is not endorsed according to the instructions conveyed in the Circular letter from this Office dated 12th September last which the Board request may be strictly attended to in future.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 19th November 1842. } /Signed/ J.D. Bourdillon Secretary.

To The Principal Collector of Canara.

To the Pt. Coll.r of Canara } Sir,

(2) I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Indent for Stationery submitted by you for the year 1843 and to observe that this document is not endorsed according to the instructions conveyed

conveyed in the Circular letter from this Office dated 12th September last which the Board request may be strictly attended to in future.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
19th November 1842.

Signed J: D: Bourdillon
Secretary-

Monday 21st Nov.r 1842

Mat Meeting Present.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member
G: B: Drury Esqre Second Member
Alexander Maclean Esqre Actg Third Member
and
Sir H: C: Montgomery Bart Acting Temporary Member

Minutes of the last

Meeting read and approved.-

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated October 11th 1842, transmitting an Extract from a General letter from the Honorable Court of Directors, with the orders of Government thereupon.-

In Cons.s 3d Nov.r 1842
June 21st 1842
Para 1 to 11-

(Here enter No 1218 Revr Dept.)

3

Proceedings thereon.

Ordered that Extract of Para 1 to 6 of the foregoing Minutes of Consultation and of Para 1 to 10 of the General letter to which they have reference be

be transmitted to the Collector of Guntoor for his information and guidance. His attention is requested to the remarks of the Court in Para 2 of their Despatch with regard to the small collections of Fusly 1248 as compared with those of Fusly 1247, and especially to the large arrears on Tenams and Aggraurums.-

Para 2 to 10.

2. But the most important part of the despatch is that which relates to the involved condition of the Zemindaries of Guntoor, and the measures to be taken to retrieve their circumstances and give the proprietors relief; and to this subject the Collector's immediate and especial attention is requested. Mr Stokes should in the first instance ascertain from the Zemindary whether they are willing to surrender their estates on the terms proposed by the Honorable Court, which he should take especial care to explain to them; and, considering the alternative, the application is not likely to be refused. Supposing their assent to be obtained, he will consider what would be a proper allowance for the maintenance of each; and though it is desirable that

Para 9.

they

they should receive enough to support them respectably, yet with reference to their liabilities and the just rights of their creditors public and private, it is imperative that the means for magnificence and luxury should not be provided them. Mr. Stokes should also obtain from the Zemindars as accurate a Statement as they can furnish of their private debts; and it would be well if he could form an approximate estimate of the amount which will be accepted in liquidation. But if he resorts to the Creditors themselves for information on this point, he will be careful not to use language which may commit Government as engaging for the payment of the debts. The Vausareddy estate is under litigation; but in the foregoing negotiations Ramanandha Baboo should be dealt with as the proprietor.

3. The Zemindaries being surrendered to Government, it will be necessary to determine as to the best plan for their management. The Honorable Court appear to favour the system introduced into Palnaud,

Should

should the Collector advocate the introduction of a Survey and field assessment, he will proceed to draw out a plan for the work and form an estimate of its probable expense. The Board hope to be shortly able to furnish Mr. Stokes with some papers illustrative of the Surveys lately executed in parts of the territories of Bombay and Agra, and with their views as to the introduction of the system in his District.

4. The completion and introduction of a survey assessment will necessarily be a work of time. But it is the opinion of the Board that the present vexatious and inquisitorial systems of Revenue in the Zemindaries should at once be put an end to; and that village rents should be introduced pending the completion of the survey. By this means a great deal of that annoying interference of the public servants to which Mr. Stokes adverts in a recent letter will at once be dispensed with, and a considerable expense will be saved. The rents should be formed

Oct. 25th 1842.

in

in general for one year only, and except where the Villagers may obstinately refuse reasonable terms, which will very rarely happen, the rents should be concluded with them only, and not with strangers and they should be not individual rents, where each Ryot is liable for the amount of his own holding only; but general and aggregate, all the Ryots being jointly and severally responsible for the whole amount.

5- On the several points adverted to in the foregoing Paragraphs Mr. Stokes is requested to report as soon as he finds himself in possession of sufficient information to do so; and he will be pleased to do this on each particular, without waiting to make a general report on the whole. He will observe that the Honorable Court especially point to the improvement of the works of irrigation in the Zemindaries as an important object; and his attention should be continually directed to the giving effect to their wishes on this point.

Proceedings Recording remarks on the draft Act prepared by the Sudr Adalut for amending the existing Law in regard to Mosques Hindoo temples and other places of Religious Worship in the territories of Fort St. George.

(4)

In accordance with the order of Government dated 18th October 1842, No. 1240, the Board proceed to record the remarks that occur to them regarding the draft Act prepared by the Sudr Adawlut for amending the existing Law in regard to Mosques Hindoo temples, and other places of Religious worship in the territories of Fort St. George" which accompanied that order:-

1- The first observation is that the Provisions of this draft perpetuate the direct interference of Government and its Officers in the control and management of native Religious Institutions. The preamble indeed declares it to be proper that the native superintendents to be appointed under the Act shall be "under a full responsibility to the established Courts of Justice;" but the enacting clauses make no corresponding provision, but give authority to the Collector (an executive Officer of Government) "to decide on the title of parties claiming to superintend a Religious Institution under the Act" to appoint a Commission of enquiry into the conduct of Superintendants

Sec: 12.

Sec: 14.

Sec: 15.

Sec: 16.

Superintendants and to receive the report of such Commissioners 3d. to investigate personally and 4th. to punish acts of fraud or embezzlement committed by Superintendants or others on the property of Pagodas. In the 1st. of the foregoing cases the Government and in the 1st. 3d. and 4th. the Board of Revenue, are also directly to take part. All these provisions appear to the Board to be not only in opposition to the explicit and repeated instructions of the Court of Directors, but also to be totally inconsistent with the preamble of the draft itself because they contemplate that Government should "exercise authority both direct and through their Officers in the interior economy, the conduct and management of Native religious Institutions."

Preamble Clause first.

+ Section IV.

They are also at variance with Act X. of 1840 (from which the general provisions of the draft are chiefly taken) which places the Superintendent of the Temple of Juggunauth in common with all its Priests and Officers under exclusive responsibility to the constituted civil and Criminal Courts.

The

2.

The provision that in all cases where hereditary claims to the management of a particular Institution may exist, such claims shall be recognized, and that in such cases succession to vacancies shall be hereditary, is in accordance with the customs of the country and is proper. But where from the absence of valid hereditary claims, trustees are elected, it appears objectionable that the right of election should be restricted to the lineal heirs of the electors first appointed. The principle of election, and of the appointment of Electors possessing certain qualifications, having once been introduced, the same principle should be continued, the Board think, in perpetuity. In the case too of a heritable right of election, the electors are liable to be diminished in number by the extinction of a family, or by its removal from a particular locality, as well as to become so reduced in life as no longer to represent the same rank as the body did originally

Section 9.

originally while at the same time all other individuals and families rising to wealth and respectable rank in the place or neighbourhood, would be excluded from a share in the privilege On these considerations the Board think it preferable that the right of suffrage should be made to depend not on descent from the electors originally nominated, but on some qualification of persons or property, of place of residence, or connection with the Institution concerned.

3- The foregoing are the two particulars in which what may be called the principles of the Draft Act appear to the Board to be objectionable, on some minor points of insufficiency and indefiniteness of provision, and want of precision in the language, some further remarks present themselves, which it appears most convenient to state in the order in which the things noticed occur in the draft.

The Title 4- The Board think the title capable of

of improvement in as much as the Act is to affect only those Religious Institutions and Endowments which have heretofore been under charge of the Officers of Government, and has no reference to any places of worship other than Hindoo and Mahomedan. As it now stands the title does not accord either with the preamble or with the enacting part of the draft.-

Preamble Clause first. 5- The question as to the relinquishment or retention of such of the landed endowments of Native Religious Institutions as are now under charges of the Officers of Government being under reference to the Authorities in England it seems premature to pass an enactment at present for their surrender; there should therefore be some modification of the expression "endowments in land or money"† near the conclusion of this clause. The limiting term "Religious" should be inserted immediately before the "Institutions."

† which is taken from Sec. II Reg. VIII of 1817.

Preamble — Clause Second. 6- The concluding part of this clause is ambiguous and without meaning

meaning it is not stated, though probably intended, that the Superintendents of Hindoo Institutions shall be Hindoos, and of Mahomedan Institutions, Mahomedans, and the expression "as the Institution itself may suggest" conveys no definite idea.-

Preamble clause third. 7- As observed above there is no provision in the act, empowering the established Courts of Justice to exact and enforce that responsibility under which it is here declared that the Superintendents ought to be placed. It may also be remarked that the latter part of this clause - tho' taken from Act X of 1840, is indefenite and imperfect. According to the received rules of construction, the meaning of the general term "wrong" must be limitted to acts of the same nature as are comprehended by the particular term "violence" which is joined with it, and so, neglect of duty, fraud and embezzlement would be excluded. The phrase "party interested" is too vague to have proper place in an Act of Slater-

Sec: II 8- Many of the Provisions of this act "are not to be fulfilled by" the Government

Government of Fort St. George," but its servants and others most of these to be fulfilled by the latter two classes, and Section XII among those to be fulfilled by Government are perpetual in their very nature and not capable of a final fulfilment, the time for the cessation of the Government connection with the institutions referred to, should therefore be more precisely indicated. In accordance with the second clause of the Preamble, the Draft should contain a partial repeal of Regulation VII of 1817 but such a provision is not found in it.-

Section III. 9- The power has bestowed on Government to nominate Superintendents should be precisely limitted to the case of those institutions only which have heretofore been under the management of the Officers of Government, for it is those alone in which any change is to be made. It is to be supposed that in framing this Section the nomination of a separate Superintendent or Superintendents to each Institutions was intended, although its terms would imply that there are to be one or more, a corporate body, for the management of all jointly. The terms in which the proposed Superintendents are invested with authority are

less-

X of 1840 Sec: III.

less comprehensive than the corresponding Provi: sions of the Jaganauth Act - In addition to Su: perintendence over "its interior economy, the conduct and management of its affairs," which terms are adopted in the present draft, that Act likewise confers on the Su: perintendent "the control over the Priests, Officers and servants attached to the Pago: da." This power should not be withheld from the Superintendents to be constituted under the proposed Act. The additional provision introduced in the draft giving the Superintendants authority over the custody "and disbursement of their funds and of all their en: cumbrances" appears also necessary, with the same limitations however, of the latter phrase, as is sug: gested above in Para 5.

Sec IV & VI. 10. The purport of these two sections seems to be nearly coincident, the latter being a slight enlargement of the form: er. It appears to the Board that both are not necessary and that Section IV might be omitted, some trifling change being made in the wording of Section VI

Sec: V. 11. This is taken almost literally from the Jug: gannath Act, for the sake of precision it might be as

See: II. "well if the words, "in the discharge of their functions in con: nection with the institution under his or their control," or some other of similar meaning were inserted immediately after the word "guided

5

From the Sec: to Govt: Commun: icating obser: vations and orders on the Boards Pro: ceedings re: lative to the settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1250.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 8th November 1842

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue — Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of Vizaga: patam for Fusly 1250. (Here enter 17th October 1842 No. 154)

Para 1. The South west monsoon was not unfavorable to the greater portion of the District but the North East monsoon failing the season was on the whole dis: astrous. Large importation of Arracan: paddy to the value of Rupees 2,20,000 in a great measure relieved the distress: but in the absence of this external aid it is to be feared that a famine would have taken the place of the existing Scarcity —

2 The demand on all sources of Revenue in Fusly 1250 shews in a similar comparison for the previous year a decrease of Rs. 4,689-5-11 From

Fusly 1249 - 15,79,325-10-2
1250 - 15,74,636-5-2
4689-5-11

a

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

a land Revenue comprising Rupees Rs 12,36,941. only 1,89,191 were received from lands under the immediate control of the Government Officers, and this settlement shews a decrease of Rs 23,306 on in the Southern talooks which was not by an increase in the Northern ones of 1320 Rupees thus leaving a net decrease of 21,990 Rupees. Though the season was felt equally throughout the districts at its effects were considerably lessened in the Palcondah or Northern part of it in consequence of this territory having the advantage of a perennial stream flowing through it, and being settled on the average price of grain throughout the year which in those of scarcity is necessarily high.

Fusly 1249-2,11181-8-9-
1250-1,89,191-2-6-
21,990-6-3

3 The decrease in the two Villages of Patoopadoo and Cordapillay has deservedly called forth the remarks of the Board in Para 4 it is justly described as startling, and as the

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

the proof adduced by the amount of rent for the Fusly under report goes far to lay a suspicion of Collusion, the talook authorities should in the opinion of the Most Honorable the Governor be called upon strictly for explanation.

4 Of the demand stated above Rupees 183,416-10- were collected within the Fusly leaving a balance of Rupees 5,774-8-6, of this the Collector hoped to recover 3842 in Fusly 1251. but a reference to the returns for that year has shewn that this hope was not realized, as only Rupees 1987-1-8 were collected within that period.

5 The Surwasiddy Estate as detailed in Paras 19 and 20 of the Collector's Report appears in a ruinous state. For the reasons put forward in Mr. Arbuthnot's letter of the 11th November 1840 and with reference to the constant changing of the river mentioned in Para 20 of his Report under review, thereby rendering the control of the stream difficult especially in the case of adjacent and conflicting interests the Government would

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

See Ca. R.: Bd of Revs. 7th October 1841. From the Collr. 22nd Sept. — 11 —

would have wished that the Board had acceded to one or other of the Collectors's propositions an increased prosperity in both Estates might have been reasonably expected by the management of one and the same Proprietor.—

6 The arrears in the Government Estates deducting sums written off under authority were 8238-12-11 of this sum 5572-1-9 is considered recoverable, the remainder or Rupees 2.666-11-2 being due from dead deserted or insolvent ryots

+2,200 Ca.: M.: cons.: July 29th 1840 Dt. Augt. 30th 1841

is requested by the Collector and urged by the Board be written off. and to this arrangement His Lordship in Council grants his sanction.—

Para 8.

7 The Board approve of the means adopted by Collector to ensure the ryat benefiting from any remission that may be granted. and suggest a further safeguard against fraud and oppression by the personal interrogation of the ryat by the Collector as to the actual arrears due by the farmer. This appears very desirable. but His Lordship in Council would

faint

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

point out another, and in his opinion the only effectual mode of securing to the ryat the liberality of the Government that of endorsing on each individual putta (or on the receipt paper "with which Mr. Arbuthnot in Para 45 of his report of Fusly 1249 states every ryat to be furnished) the amount of remission extended - The personal interrogation would it is feared, in the cases of two thirds of the community, merge into an appeal to the ex- cumum for the sum still outstanding, and a desire on the part of the ryat into a tacit acknowledgement of the amounts set forth by the Village accountant. The additional check above mentioned would comprise all that the Government can effect in acquainting a ryat with his own rights and would enable him to resist at all times the demand for the sum remitted, the Government desire therefore that this course be followed.—

8 The net Collections from the Government Estates exceeded their Permanent settlement by Rupees 32.646 which sum was increased by Collections up to the end of Fusly 1251 to 34.634 This increase arose

arose from the revenues of Paleondah and Golcondah, the other estates not near realizing the amount of Peshcush formerly placed upon them.—

1247- 22,836-
1249- 25,550-
1250- 16,165-

9 Golcondah however has fallen off considerably from the former settlements since its lapse to Government in 1247. The Board state that Mr. Arbuthnot then confidently asserted that with proper care and management this estate might be raised as high as 30,000 Rupees the Government trust that its capabilities will be fully developed under the care of the present Acting Collector.

Para 10 Pro: 10th April 1839.

10 The Board have remarked, and the Government go with them in the observation, that many most necessary details in a Ryotwarry Settlement have been omitted in the present report: In addition to the defects pointed out by the Board, there is no statement of the extent of Cultivation, no division into wet and dry Crops or any data given, by which the superior Board's might approximate to a correct judgment on

these items.—

11 The Permanent demands on Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates is 10,47,750 Rupees of which Rupees 883,142 were collected within the Fusly, and which from the nature of the season was about 9000 Rupees less than was realized within the same period, the previous year. Subsequent to the conclusion of the Fusly the payments were large, being 32,374 Rupees of the Fusly under review was still Rupees 1594.—

12 The Dimlay proprietor entered into an engagement to discharge his arrears within 1841, but having in the interval died, his agreement remained unexecuted, and as a large balance remained against him for Fusly 1251 the Board directed the sale of the Estate under orders dated 5th September 1842.—

13 The Madigale proprietor has again fallen into considerable arrears

in

in consequence of his own extravagance—
The Government direct that this Pro-
=prietor be informed that failing in
the arrangement into which he has
at present entered with Mr. Smollett
they will be prepared to authorize the
immediate sale of his Estate.—

14 The Estates of Pudmanaba
Ranze are progressing as favorably as
could be expected in consideration of
the disastrous nature of the season.—

15 The directions of the Co-
Board to the effect that the demand
should be regulated by the net settlement
and not by the "Atoorkabady" or engage-
=ment to cultivate before the Talook officers
must of Course be observed. The magnitude
of the Sum entered in the latter form
of account in Comparison with the settled
demand leads to the inference that the
estimate is merely nominal and as
such there appears no advantage in
submitting any account of its Collection
and Balance.—

The

16 The old arrears at the close
of Fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 2,24,573 and
having accrued chiefly on Estates in the
Southern portion of the district which
had been subjected to drought and
other misfortunes, Government allowed
the amount to be liquidated in 3 instal-
=ments in as many years, and the Board
are of opinion that Mr. Arbuthnot exer-
=cised a judicious forbearance in suspending
the collection of the 1/3rd leviable in Fusly 1250
but on looking at the statement in Para 13
of the Collectors Report, Same proprietors,
it is seen discharged the balance in full
up to 1250, and others added a small sum on
each to its liquidation.—

17 Sooria Peraava Row the
Proprietor of the Estates from No. 12 to
17 inclusive though paying his current
peishcash did not satisfy any portion
of his arrears, though this in the previ-
=ous Fusly he had offered 27,000 Rupees
for the Estate of Bonderkalah.—The Co-

Board

Ord. Pro: Bd. of Revs.
15th October 1840

Board have animadverted and with justice on the conduct and irregular payments of the Madigale Proprietor, who though he paid but little more than half his current Peishcush yet discharged his old arrears in full to the amount of almost 17000 Rupees. Had Soorida Racasa Rawi's payment &c therefore of the Current Peishcush been in like manner carried to the account of the old arrears his position would have been equally unfavorable and equally worthy &c of censure as that of the Madigale Proprietor.

18 The report of the good effects both upon person and property arising &c from the Government Agency established in the District is extremely gratifying.—

19 In conclusion the Govern: ment approve highly of the management of the district by Mr. Arbuthnot for the Fasly under review and receive with much &c satisfaction his report of the conduct and exertions

exertions of his Assistants Messrs. Conway, Bury and Wilson.—

/True Extract/

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Acting Secretary to Govt.

To The President and
Members of the
Board of Revenue

Order thereon. Ordered that copy of the foregoing paper be furnished for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 17th October 1842.—

(5-6)

Pro: October 17th 1842. Para -8-

Mr. Smollett's attention is particularly requested to Para 3; He will be careful that the means suggested in para 7 for protecting the Ryots from fraud is duly observed in addition to those suggested by the Board and he is requested to attend to the remarks in Para 10 in preparing his future Summahbundy Reports, as well as to those in Para 15 in drawing up the Summahbundy &c accounts.—

With reference to para &c 5 the Board observe that they are not &c yet

15157 — Fort St. George 21st November 1842

(4)

From the Sec.y to Govt. communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the settlement of the Land revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1251.

yet informed what steps have been taken in pursuance of their orders of the 7th October 1841. they hope that Mr. Smalletts attention directed to the subject and they desire to if he meets with difficulties in settling the channel dispute he will bear in mind the sentiments of Government as here expressed.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th November

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Reporting on the Settlement of the Land Revenues of the Collectorate of Madras for Fusly 1251. (Here enter 13th October 1842 No. 1183)

Para 1

The Land Revenues of the Madras Collectorate being comparatively trifling and not calling for particular remarks as to the items of increase and decrease

Gross amount including Extra collection	67,737-15-10	in comparison with
Charges	17,307-8-0	the former
	50,430-7-10	settlement
Fusly 1250	42,280-13-3	under review may
Increase	8,149-10-7	as regards detail

15158 — Fort St. George 21st November 1842

be disposed of by a reference to the State-
ment in the margin shewing the demand under each head the collections within the Fusly and the Balances at the close of the year.

	Demand			Collection			Balance		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Shrotriem	210	0	0	82	14	1	127	1	8
Houses and Gardens occupied	39836	14	9	37420	13	9	2416	1	0
Ditto unoccupied	2254	9	8	78	13	6	2175	12	2
Gardens and Paddy fields cultivated	11734	9	1	9413	1	3	2321	7	10
Do. Do. uncultivated	877	5	0	0	0	0	877	5	0
Quit Rent on waste lands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ground rent on Boutiques	7007	7	0	4561	13	0	2445	10	0
Total	61920	13	6	51557	7	10	10363	5	8

2 The Most Honorable the Governor in Council will proceed to offer some remedy upon the principles which have apparently until now guided the Officers of Revenue in completing the Summaboondy of their charge, and which principles His Lordship in Council is of opinion exhibit room for improvement.

3 The Demand is stated to have been 61920-13-6 and falling below that of Fusly 1250 by Rupees 334-3-2 and "this decrease attributable to the

Para 2 Coll.r's Report

"the assessment on certain lands formerly expected
"to be cultivated but which remain uncultivated
"having been discontinued in Fusly 1251."

4 The Board of Revenue re-
iterate this explanation but His Lord-
-ship in Council does not understand the
meaning intended to be conveyed. If it
signifies a simple decrease in the cultivation
and consequent falling off in the demand, it
were better that it had been so stated.—

5 The amount of proceeds in
the sale of land is swelled by the payment
of Rupees 5005 by Mr. Grant for the ground referred
to in the Board's Proceedings of the 23rd. December
last. This demand the Government observe was
promptly satisfied notwithstanding the re-
recommendation of the Board in the
abovementioned Proceedings of certain
favorable terms being granted to the purchaser
in the discharge of his debt with which the
Government declined to comply.—

6 The large Balance in com-
-parison with the Demand and Collections
calls for remark.—

Para 6 Collectors report

7 On uncultivated gardens and
Houses only 78 Rupees out of 2254 the just as
demand was collected, and it is stated as
"regards property of this description if no
"direct application to the owners for re-
"payment is not complied with, it is
"the rule of this Office to issue a Warrant
"of distraint where distraint is likely to be
"of any use" The small Collection however,
proves the alternative either from disusage
or other cause to be almost a dead letter.—

8 The Balance on Houses
and Gardens occupied might it is thought
have been avoided under precautions, and
it is assumed that if the Quit Rent had
been required at regular and stated periods
and non Compliance visited without exception
by attachment of all property on the re-
premises whether belonging to the owner
of the House or Land or the Tenant the demand
would have been fully met.—

9 There is a demand of re
Rupees 877-5 on uncultivated fields no
portion

portion of which was satisfied, and which circumstance has called forth the remark of the Board and explanation has been required upon two heads which the Collector will be pleased to supply. The Government desire to be informed upon what rule this rent was demanded. If the land remained fallow without engagement to cultivate it would seem proper to exclude it from the accounts, if taken up on application, and uncultivated for want of rain, it should become matter of special remission under authority. —

10 Adverting to the subject of Quit Rent on waste Lands considered in these Paras, His Lordship in Council would wish to learn the result of the arrangements if any consequent upon the sanction of Government to reduce the rent conveyed in Extract Minutes Consultation under date 4th September 1840. —

11 This reduction is termed above in Para 11 of the Board's Proceedings dated

✓ in Collector's Report and Board's Proceedings. —

dated 22nd July 1841 yet Mr Robertson reports the non attendance of the parties seeking it and his inability to compel their appearance. —

12 Above would appear incompatible with compulsion, and what is considered a favor would it is supposed form matter of voluntary solicitation. —

13 In instances of parties not appearing on requisition the Board recommend the enforcement of the full Quit Rent but to this measure, exist in the opinion of His Lordship in Council not only difficulties but objections. The land is waste and not it would be argued liable to taxation unless engagements had been previously entered into for the realization of the Government dues. —

14 His Lordship in Council is not aware that such formed the security to the Collector in the present case, and would be glad to learn the situation and circumstances of the individuals who made the applications for reduced quit rent, and

of

of those whose attendance could not be com:
=pelled.—

15 From former reports it would appear that there are so called Proprietors to these lands but considering that they remain waste and untaxed and productive of no advantage to the State, information is requir:
=ed upon what foundation such individuals possess or lay claim to that title. In portioning out the land perference might fairly be given to these proprietors, but in the event of their refusal to hold it on lease it should be explained why it may not be made over to any other applicant.—

16 Mr. Cotton reporting on Fusly 1241 says that "the proprietors are deterred "from making any improvement from the "knowledge that the produce would be liable to attachment for the recovery of the old arrears" Mr. Robertson in Fusly 1246 undertook to assure the Cultivators that the crop should only be made answerable for the Current Demand without reference to former dues and yet no advantage appears to have been taken of this indulgence.

indulgence.—

17 A Reduction of Quit rent to the rates of assessment on similar lands in Chingleput was sanctioned by Government in 1840 and the Collector of that district applied to by the Office at Madras to furnish the necessary information.—

Extract Minutes Consl. 4th Sept. 1840. P. 5.

18 This important question except in affording subject for occasional remark in the annual report, appears to have remained undisturbed in the interval; and with a view to its being now brought to an issue and set finally at rest the Most Honorable the Governor in Council desires again to press it upon Mr. Robertson's attention and directs that a special report upon this and other subjects here remarked upon may be submitted to Government at that Gentleman's earliest convenience.—

19 It was stated above that the Current balance was 10,363-5-8, add to which the arrear of past years of 22,974-14-10 making an aggregate of Current and arrears of 33,338-4-6- of which 17,624-4-2- are reported to be on certain waste and uncultivated

"uncultivated lands" which sum the Collector will soon make application may be so written off. This would naturally lead to the questioning of the propriety of ever carrying this latter sum to account at all which argument would be strengthened by the entry of lands so uncultivated, which also add their sum to the total balance. This has been from the nature of the settlement yearly considerable, and for the purpose of relieving the accounts the sum of Rs. 62,875 was written off in November last under special Report by the Board of Revenue.

Para 9

20 It would be preferable, His Lordship in Council is convinced that the Collector should annually form an Individual settlement founded on well defined previous engagements recommending if requisite remissions on waste and partially cultivated lands and carrying nothing to account, which was not considered a bona fide demand and as such recoverable except under peculiar circumstances. This would tend to the simplification of accounts, cause the Balance to be comprised of real, and not

not as at present in some cases of merely nominal items, and would obviate the necessity of a reference to Government, to strike off large sums which should not have appeared on the books in the 1st instance His Lordship in Council desires that the next Settlement should be conducted on this principle, in the absence of good and sufficient reason shewn of its unexpediency.

For many years it is ob-
21 served that the Settlement of the Madras Collectorate has been concluded after the same form without deviation or improvement, and with the exception of the reduction of the Quit Rent, still in suspension, no important question appears to have been mooted for a considerable period.

His Lordship in Council
22 is aware that this portion of territory is peculiarly situated, and would not deny the existence of causes which may render

render a change in the system impracticable.

23 Its apparent defects may be occasioned or upheld by the fact of its revenues being controlled by one authority and the law the security for their realization being enforced by another.

24 If such exist they should not the less be pointed out that the Government may provide as far as possible for their remedy.

25 On the statement of extra sources afforded by the Collector His Lordship in Council will pass no his sentiments until submitted as usual in a separate report.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

/Name Extract/
/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Actg Secy to Govt

Order thereon.

(7-8)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance.

guidance with reference to the Board's Proceedings upon the Settlement of that Collectorate for Fasly 1251 dated 13th October 1842.

Mr. Robertson will be pleased to furnish at his early convenience the information and reports called for by Government on the several points noticed in the above Extract Minutes of Consultation.

Read the following letter

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(9)

From the Collr of Madras. Submitting the explanation called for by the Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fasly 1251.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 13th Instant on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fasly 1251 and to report for their information with reference to Para 6 that there is not in this Office an account shewing in what proportion to their extent the Gardens and Paddy fields adverted to in my report were productive and whether

† To B. 26th August 1842 Pa 6-

whether the parties holding them were possessed of other lands liable to be assessed. Such an account in detail has not hitherto been here considered necessary for any practical purpose, but if considered necessary by the Board one can hereafter be kept to be submitted with the annual reports.

To B/3 — 5th February 1834 P. 12 & 13.

It is known to the Board that, under the terms of the Certificates issued for lands at Madras, one spot cannot be held answerable for the demand outstanding against another.

2 The course indicated in the concluding part of Para 4 of the Board's Proceedings in the case of the land on which a reduction in the rates of Quit rent has been applied for, but has not been actually admitted, in the course invariably followed when the land is cultivated or there are other means available for the recovery of the dues of Government.

3 The sum of Rupees

4

4-2- referred to in Para 11 was an outstanding balance for Fasly 1250, or from 12th July 1839 to 11th July 1840 on the land alluded to in my letter under date the 5th June 1841, which was remitted under the sanction of Government dated 11th December 1841 it having decided that the ground in question should be exempted from the payment of Quit rent from the date of (its) appropriation "for a "church and Cemetery" and the spot having been so appropriated from the 1st November 1838

From B. 3rd January 1842

Vide P. 2 of Letter to the B. 5th June 1841

Madras, 21st October 1842 } Signed / A. Robertson Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded

9-10

Read the following Resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th November 1842.—

(From Board 3rd Nov.)

11

From the Sec. to Govt. } The Most Honorable &c the Governor in Council confirms &c the purchase on account of Government of

of the two Moodahs of Mamendoor and Ponnencovil in the District of Chinglepat for the sum of Rupees one thousand and fifty.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy. to Govt.

(11-12)

Order thereon,

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated to the Collector of Chinglepat for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 29th October last.

Read

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(13)

From the Coll. of Ganjam — Reporting on the affairs of the Pagodas and Mosques in his District.

Para 1. I have now the honor to submit the information connected with the affairs of the Pagodas and Mosques in this District, required by the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under dates the 10th and 24th June 1841. and the communications from the Government therein referred to.—

2 By the Proceedings of the former date a Statement is required to be furnished exhibiting the annual revenues of the Pagodas and other places of native worship in this District at the time of the assumption of the country by the Company's Government, and the money allowances that have since been assigned for their support, with all sums expended in the repair of the buildings or for any other object connected with them, and in the letter from the Chief

Chief Secretary to the Government, which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of the 24th June 1841. it is directed that all interference, either with the internal arrangements of the religious institutions in question, or with the administration of their revenues, and funds of every description, shall be altogether withdrawn, and be vested in those individuals who, professing the same faith, may be thought best enabled to conduct that administration with fidelity, and regularity, and it is further ordered that this withdrawal may be final and complete.-

3 From the defective condition of the old records of the Collectors' Office, I regret to state that I find it to be impracticable to prepare any satisfactory account of the revenues of the religious institutions in this District prior to the period when it came under the Company's Government, for many of the old revenue accounts have been lost or destroyed, or

exist only in a most incomplete state, which circumstance has been often brought to the Board's knowledge, by my predecessors. Moreover in the times of the Chiefs in Council, the District was divided out into separate Collectorates, under charge of different Officers, and much difficulty has consequently always existed in obtaining from the defective and dilapidated records of these Offices, any authentic information connected with early periods I have caused diligent search to be made throughout the whole of the English and Native records that are forthcoming and have occupied much of my time in endeavouring to trace out the desired information relative to the institutions in question, but without success.-

In many instances, it would appear, that the lands belonging to, or by sufferance in the

the enjoyment of Pagodas, were seques-
=tered by the Mahomedan Govern-
=ment, by whom money allowances
were in some cases assigned in their
lieu, which have been continued either
in whole or in part by the British
Government. The measure of resump-
=tion of all lands belonging to the
Pagodas in the Havilly Talooks and
the abolition of the privilege of levying
Customs or other duties on roofsooms,
on salt, and various other articles,
heretofore enjoyed by the Pagodas,
was fully carried into effect soon
after the Country came under
the Company's Management,
and the money allowance now made
to them, were then fixed, but no
statement of the Value of the lands
and privileges which were resumed
in these instances is now extant, and I am
not enabled to explain the
principle on which the comme-
=tation was made. The only
information on the subject of
an early date which I have
found,

found, is contained in a report addressed
in the year 1769 to the Governor in Council,
by Mr. Edward Botsford bearing the
Official designation of Naib of the
Company, an Extract of which I beg to
annex for the Boards' perusal. The
information it will be observed,
extends only to the Itchapoor Division
of the District, and from the absence
of details, it has not been
practicable to identify the Villages
which are referred to therein.

Subsequently in the year
1834, a set of Statements containing all
the information that could then be
obtained relative to the endowments
of the Hindoo and Mahomedan
religious institutions, their income,
and expenditure, was prepared and
submitted to the Board by Mr.
Ogilvie. according to these Returns,
it appears that the total number of
Hindoo temples, in this District
is 12480. of Mosques 138. of Muttums
91. and of schools 91 and the aggre-
=gate amount of their annual revenue
was

was estimated at Rupees 75,000 inclu-
=sive of the fixed money allowances,
made to the institutions by the
Government as well as the donations
of the Zemindars. In this Estimate
is also included the valuable
Villages belonging to the Pagoda
at Juggernath, which are managed
by agents appointed by the
Superintendents of that insti-
=tution, who remit the revenues
direct to Pooree. Over the
Pagodas situated in the
Hill Zemindaries of this District,
the Zemindars and their principal
Officers, have been in the habit
of exercising a very arbitrary control
and interference in their concerns.
By some of those Chiefs large
sums are constantly lavished on
religious ceremonies, while others
do not scruple, when in pecuniary
difficulties to exact fines and forced
loans from the Priests. Some of the
Principal Mutts which

are

are of considerable antiquity are chiefly
devoted to purposes of Charity, and
especially to affording assistance
to the multitudes of Pilgrims who
are constantly traversing the roads
of this District on their way
to the celebrated shrines in Hindoo
=stan, and in the Southern part
of India. Some of these have
also attached to them schools
for elementary instruction, and are
on the whole of a beneficial character.

There never has been
at any time any interference or control
exercised by the Collectors of this
District in respect to the Hindoo
institutions situated in the Hill
Zemindaries, the right of Superintend
=ing their concerns being considered
to be the privilege of those
Chiefs and the extremely
limited scale of the money al-
=lowances, made to the religious
institutions in the Havully
talooks seems to have led to
their management being left
entirely

entirely to the Officiating Priests and their ecclesiastical superiors, without any interference of any kind on the part of the Collector. In fact, in this District the Officers of Government have practically never interfered in any manner in the management of Pagodas, the only act of the Collector in connection with these institutions being, that of issuing from the treasury the amount of the allowances authorized to be made to them, and the relations of the Government in respect to them, I conceive, are already precisely upon the footing which it is desired they should be placed.

7 A detailed Statement of the sanctioned allowances, which are now payable to the several Hindoo and Mahomedan religious institutions in this District is herewith submitted for the information of the Board, the Total Amount of

of the annual payment to them being Rupees 3,809-8-. These institutions are 176 in number, of which 163 are Hindoo and 13 Mahomedan. the average amount of the allowance to each Pagoda is only Rupees 21 Ans-10- With one exception the maximum payment is Rupees 200. and the smallest one Rupee per annum.

8 Besides the fixed annual allowances above specified no other sums have been disbursed by the Government, in the repair of buildings or for any other object connected with these institutions and no money belonging to them remains in deposit in this treasury the allowances being paid punctually as they fall due.

9 The entire management of these Hindoo institutions is almost exclusively in the hands of the Officiating Priests, being either Brahamins, Byrageers, or Satans, according to the sect of the Worshippers by whom they are

are supported. The succession to those Offices is regulated by the rules and Customs of the Order to which they belong, being either by hereditary descent, by the adoption of a Chilah, or by nomination by the Mahunt or ecclesiastical superior In some of the larger Pagodas, the ministration and service of the shrine is hereditarily vested in certain families, who perform the duties in rotation. The rules of succession, and the rights and privileges of the parties interested, and for the most part well defined, and recognized, particularly in the Havally talooks and no new rules appear to me to be required for determining the mode in which Vacancies occurring among them should be supplied.

10 The Only instance in which any question has been raised as to the right to the superintendence of the affairs of a Pagoda

Pagoda, occurred in Goomsur since that Country came under the direct management of the Officers of Government. The late Zemindars of Goomsur, were in the habit of expending large sums upon the principal Pagoda at Cooladah, and naturally exercised an almost absolute Controul over its affairs, assuming the right of dismissing at their pleasure, the Priests and other Servants attached to the institution. This Pagoda receives no money allowance from the Government, but its landed endowments are of considerable value. All Grants previous to the Permanent settlement have of course been continued, while the lands which had been subsequently obtained from the Zemindars, have reverted to the State, and, to the Pooreecha, the party who appeared to have the best title to the Office, has been assigned the general

Superintendence

Superintendence of the affairs of the institution. The other Pagodas in Goomsur are upon a very small scale and the right to their administration is not likely to be disputed.—

Ganjam Collector's Office, Ganjam, 24th October 1842.

District Cutcherry. /Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

No. 1.

Extract of a letter from Mr. Edward Cotsford to the Honorable Charles Bourchier Esqre. President and Governor &c. Council at Fort St. George dated 1st March 1769.

+ + + +

I herewith enclose the Total Amount of the Inaums, or Charity Grounds, in this District, not estimated according to the extent of Ground, but the actual produce of the present year when the first Grants of these Grounds were made, which was when the Empire of Hindoostan flourished under the Kings of

of Dilly, there is no doubt but that they were applied to the uses of Charity, but since the Divisions of the Empire, the Servants of the Subah of the Decan in these parts have added greatly to these donations, but more through the vanity of preserving their names than to promote Charity, because when giving away a part of the Governments Lands, they have frequently taken to themselves the Charities given by their predecessors, and hence it arises that so great a part of the Revenues of this District has gone in this Channel, the Villages given to the Zemindars together with the Inaums exceeding the Revenue of the Government Lands. The Revenue arising from the Villages given to the Pagoda of Jugger-naut has always been applied to the purposes for which they were originally intended, no one yet having thought proper to

to encroach on that Charity. There are also several other Jaguires and Inamms given for the keeping in repair the Mosques and also Tombs of the great men who are buried at Itchapour, for the maintenance of the priests Officiating at them, and for the disbursements of sundry charges incurred by the persons holding authority here under the Subahs. These Grants were Chiefly made by Allum Gur, or during Saib the Soubahs of the Decan and Anaverdi Cawn Father to the present Nabob of the Carnatic, with these I have judged it prudent not to interfere further than the getting a particular account of the Amount of them: and observing that they are properly applied. The Revenues arising from the remaining Inamms I have thought it necessary to divide, as the inclosed paper mentions, not only as they have been given for the most part

by such persons as had no authority to dispose of the Government Lands, but as a very small part thereof has been put to the intended use, being made a prey of by the Renters of the Purgannahs, when the Deputies of the Soubahs did not take them themselves, which was but seldom. At present the Charities are preserved, the Phermaunds from the Kings and Subahs have a due regard paid to them, the poor have a larger share than they have been accustomed to receive, and a considerable sum will fall to the Company. I have thought it necessary to be more particular than in the annexed paper, as the giving a minute account would take up much time, however if your Honor &c. shall in future think it necessary to make any further alteration in this matter I have all particulars so distinct that I shall be able to

to execute any orders you may give on this head

\+ + + + + +

The Amount of the produce of the Sayuires and Inaums or Charety Grounds in the Itchapour District of the Circar cole Circar in the year 1768.

Sundry Villages and pieces of Ground given by way of Inaam and Sayuire of which the Government has taken no part the share of the husbandmen only being deducted Viz.t

To the Pagoda of Jaggernaut given by the Kings of Delly, Soubahs of the Deccan Viz.a Viz.a

6 Villages of Ponbacunda — Rupees 1,860
1 D.o of Seristaien or Ganjam — 400
1 D.o of Courlah — 140
1 D.o of Itchapour — 75

Rupees — 2,475

Sundry Villages and pieces of Ground principally given for the repair of Mosques and Tombs for the maintenance of priests officiating therein, and for the disbursement of

Brought forward — 2,475 " "

of Sundry charges incurred by the persons holding authority here under the subahs of the Decan Viz.t

To Seid Letufe given by annaverdin cawn Port of 3 Villages of Itchapour — 72 " "

To Wazan Hufsein given by Shaw allum Guir One Village of Ponbacunda — 350 " "

Ports of 2 D.o of D.o — 116 " "

— 466 " "

To Seid Abdul Cawder given by shaw allum Guir — parts of 5 Villages of Itchapour 116 " "

parts of 1 D.o of Aska — 34 " "

— 150 " "

To Mahomed Sheffer given by shaw allum Guir Viz.a — Parts of 2 Villages of Itchapour " " " 40 " "

To Shauker Shaw given by Moubariz Cawn formerly Soubah of the Decan One full Village of Ponbacunda — 154 " "

part of 1 D.o of 1 D.o — 3 " "

— 157 " "

To Goolam Ambiah the Cauzee of Itchapour given originally by Shaw allum Guir and confirmed by aunaverdien Cawn and Saffeir ally

Carried forward — 3,360 " "

to execute any orders you may give on this head

\+ + + + + +

The Amount of the produce of the Sayeires and Inaums or Charety Grounds in the Itchapour District of the Cicacole Circar in the year 1768.

Sundry Villages and pieces of Ground given by way of Inaum and Sayeire of which the Government has taken no part the share of the husbandmen only being deducted Vizt.

To the Pagoda of Jaggernaut given by the Kings of Delly, Soubahs of the Deccan Vizt. Vizt.

6 Villages of Ponbacunda — Rupees 1,860
1 Do. of Seristaun or Ganjam — 400
1 Do. of Courlah — 140
1 Do. of Itchapour — 75

Rupees — 2,475

Sundry Villages and pieces of Ground principally given for the repair of Mosques and Tombs for the maintenance of priests officiating therein, and for the disbursement of

Brought forward — 2,475 " "

of Sundry Charges incurred by the persons holding authority here under the Soubahs of the Decan Vizt.

To Said Letafe given by annaverdien cawn Port of 3 Villages of Itchapour — 72 " "

To Wazan Hussein given by Shaw allum Guir One Village of Ponbacunda — 350 " "

Ports of 2 Do. of Do. — 116 " "

— 466 " "

To Said Abdul Cawder given by Shaw allum Guir — parts of 5 Villages of Itchapour 116 " "

Do. of Aska — 34 " "

— 150 " "

To Mahomed Sheffer given by Shaw allum Guir Vizt. Parts of 2 Villages of Itchapour " " " 40 " "

To Shanker Shaw given by Moubariz Cawn formerly Soubah of the Decan One full Village of Ponbacunda 15th " "

part of 1 Do. of 1 Do. — 3 " "

— 157 " "

To Goolam Ambiah the Cauzee of Itchapour given originally by Shaw allum confirmed by annaverdien Cawn and Saffier Guir ally

Carried forward — 3,366 " "

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842

Brought forward ——— 336 " "

ally Khawn the original Shermaunds now in the hands of Narraindas

One full Village of Poubacunda 145 " "

parts of 4 Do. — of — Do. ——— 148 " "

part of 4 Do. of Itchapoor — 126 " " ——— 419 " "

To Shaik Ferrede Durgah at Itchapoor given time out of mind, the Shermaunds last.

One full Village of Itchapoor 73 " "

parts of 5 Do. — of — Do. ——— 171 " "

part of 1 Do. of Muntrady — 7 " " ——— 251 " "

To Seid Ferrede Durgah at Monsurcotta given by Seid Abdullah Vazeir of Sham alum Guir

One full Village of Poubacunda — 330 " "

part of 1 Do. — of — Do. ——— 50 " "

part of 1 Do. Monsurcotta — 30 " " ——— 410 " "

To Budder allah Khuttub of Itchapoor given by Nowbaurey Khan formerly Sunbah of the Decan.

Parts of 3 Villages of Itchapoor 63 " "

Sundry Villages and pieces of Ground given by way of Inaum of which the Government have taken one third part the Inamdars

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Brought forward ——— 4,503 " "

Inamdars one third and the husbandmen One third of the following Purganahs. Viz.t

Barona	3,000	" "
Itchapoor	16,500	" "
Montride	4,800	" "
Monsurcotta	3,300	" "
Trustanna or Gunjam	20,70	" "
Poubacunda	14,700	" "
Muzmedar	18,30	" "
Restored Villages	4,800	" "
	54,000	" "

Total Amount Rupees ——— 58,503 " "

Due to the Government 1/3 of 54,000

or Rupees 18,000.

Itchapoor,
March 1st. 1769

Signed Edward Golsford.

Memorandum

Christiaanstaadt Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Middelburgsche Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Vlissingen Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Goes Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Zierikzee Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Tholen Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 " "

Reimerswaal Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 " "

Bergen op Zoom Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Breda Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Gertruydenberg Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 " "

Willemstad Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Klundert Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Zevenbergen Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Steenbergen Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Willemstad Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Dordrechtsche Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Papendrechtsche Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Alblasserwaard Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Schoonhoven Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Gouda Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Oudewater Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Montfoort Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Woerden Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Leeden Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Amersfoort Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Utrecht Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Vianen Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Gorcum Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Leerdam Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Arkel Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Heusden Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Geertruydenberg Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Willemstad Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Klundert Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Breda Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Bergen op Zoom Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Tholen Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Reimerswaal Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Goes Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Vlissingen Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Middelburgsche Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Christiaanstaadt Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Zierikzee Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Tholen Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Reimerswaal Journy — 2 " " 24 " " " " " " " " " " 24 "

Bergen op Zoom Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Breda Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48 "

Gertruydenberg Journy — 4 " " 48 " " " " " " " " " " 48

15192

Fort St. George 21st November 1812.

	Brought forward	56	"	"	672	"	"	1161	"	"	226	9	11	1042	9	11
	Vanoagopaulaswamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	8	11	18	8	11
	Gopaulaswamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	"
Chekaliendy	Mullaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
	Davalaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
	Karunaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
	Linaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
	Lingarajoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	"	"	7	"	"
	Gopalaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Chittirpore	Sou Suggasadaswamy															
	P. Venkatuswerloo	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"
Nougam	Suggasaikoolee	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	7	8	3	7	8
	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"

	Easwarroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"
Poonary	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Rajaseeram	Ramachendy	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"
	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Allabundah	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	8	"	"
	Ramachendy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8	"	2	8	"
Carapaudoo	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"
Ganganaseeram	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Sasanum	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	2	"	"
Bahoolagum	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Sunnadah	Easwaroodoo two	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	10	"	"
Bilpalinger	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
	Carried forward	56	"	"	672	"	"	243	"	"	371	1	11	1286	1	11

15193

Fort St. George 21st November 1812.

| | | |

15194

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

	Brought forward	57	"	"	684	"	"	256	"	"	789	15	3	1,729	15	3
	Mooctaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	"	"	7	"	"
Palasarah Cundy	Yacambaraswarah Swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	60	"	"	60	"	"
Koondittoo	Sree Suggamaikooloo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	12	"	2	12	"
Jamalie	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	7	"	7	7	"
	Gopenadadah Swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"	16	"	"
Vidalanadapooram	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	8	"	"
Numoogam	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	4	"	3	4	"
Balagam	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	"	"	23	"	"
	Rundacauntaswamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5	"	5	5	"
Sokery	Capalaswarah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"
	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20	"	"	20	"	"
	Gopenada swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20	"	"	20	"	"
Boroopadah	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	10	"	6	10	"
	Suggamadaswamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	15	"	"
Sasnah Amboogam	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Allepoorum	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	2	"	"
Tchogaram	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	"
Conchoor	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	8	"	3	8	"
Sollaghunah	Doorgaswarah swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Gungadah	Suggaranda swamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	8	"	7	8	"
Hoollah	Gopenadaswamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	8	"	"
Dabody	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	4	"	3	4	"
Sauroovah	Suggamadaswamy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	8	"	5	8	"
	Mahadavoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"	3	"	"
Coomary	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"	3	"	"
Challoomandaly	Easwaroodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24	"	"	24	"	"
Mundapully Vcy	Sancoolaswaroo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	8	"	21	8	"
	carried forward	57	"	"	684	"	"	256	"	"	1058	9	3	1998	9	3

15195

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842

| | | |

15196 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

| | | |

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Brought forward	40	4	8143	308	8	2035
Mudapally — Mahomedan Swamy						
Kaveripatnam Pandaramtas Swamy						
Coorlah — Coorlah						
Coorlah — Dutchar Swamy						
Sparrowtabah Swamy						
Sugavasdas Swamy						
Baradabenkatchaswamy						
Banerapinde Sahadasmurty						
Dawood Khan Brogues						
Cottacollah — Baulin Kaswarah Swamy						
Dengandy — Sugavasdas Swamy						
Mahanty — Sankapoorum Sugavasdas Swamy						
Gopaulaswamy						
Coulevivanadaswamy						
Baulin Kaswarah Swamy						

	12	12	1143	12	3	1894
	14	5	14	14	5	54
	5	5	14	5	5	54
	12		12	12		
	12		12	12		
	29		29	29		
	10	5	14	10	5	54
	9		9	9		
	24		24	24		
	2		2	2		
	10	8	10	10	8	
	12		12	12		
	12		12	12		
	9		9	9		
	9		9	9		

	12	12	1	12	12	9
	14	5	14	14	5	48
	5	5	14	5	5	60
	16	108	200	16	108	200
	9	5	111	9	5	111

George 21st November 1842.

Ordered that the following

reply.

Collector in the Zillah of Ganjam.

The Statement which ac-
your letter of the 26th
rises only those Pagodas and
ch receive money allowances
ment, and gives only that part
ome which is derived from
ces - From the Statements
your predecessor on the 31st
it appears that there are also
ments in your District
Pagodas, Mosques and Muttums
value of above 44,000 Rupees a
hough the Villages belonging to the
Jagunnauth are included in this amount
large proportion of it must belong to
tions in Ganjam - They possess also other
nts to the amount of 13,262-9-5 annually,
oney allowances from the Jemindar

to

15198 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector in the Zillah of Ganjam.

13-14

To the Collr. the Zillah Ganjam

Sir

Para 1 Calling ... further ... tion on ... report ... to the ... of the ... Religion ... stitution ... District ...

The Statement which accompanied your letter of the 24th Ultimo comprises only those Pagodas and Mosques which receive money allowances from Government, and gives only that part of their income which is derived from such allowances. From the Statements forwarded by your predecessor on the 31st October 1834, it appears that there are also landed endowments in your District belonging to Pagodas, Mosques and Muttums to the average value of above 44,000 Rupees a year; and though the Villages belonging to the Temple of Jagannauth are included in this amount yet a very large proportion of it must belong to Institutions in Ganjam. They possess also other emoluments to the amount of 13262-9-5 annually, and money allowances from the Zemindar

Pagodas 1-1
Mosques 3-1
Muttums 1-1
5-3

Col. 140

to

15199 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

| | | |

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Col. 18

to the amount of 16,539-2-9 a year.

2. Your report should have included these branches of income as &c. well as the sums actually paid out &c. of your treasury: and your are now requested to &c. supply the omission at your earliest convenience. You will be pleased to explain the origin of the land Enams, and state, as far as your &c. records and enquiries may enable you, the &c. reason why these were left untouched when the others noticed in your letter under reply &c. were commuted into money. The Board request also that you will explain the particular &c. sources of the income set down in Column 14 of Mr. Ogilvie's statements, and, with reference to a remark in Para 4 of that Gentleman's

Oct. 31st 1834

letter, they wish you to ascertain and report whether the payments by buyers and sellers at Markets are considered as matters of course and compulsory, or as voluntary and optional; and also whether there are any other items of the nature of exaction included in Col 14.

It

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

3. It is observed that the amount of fixed money allowances inserted in Col. 17 of the Statements, varies from year to year, and that in all it is given as a much smaller sum than in your Statement received with the letter under reply. These variations you are requested to explain. It is not required that these Statements and explanations should be in detail, but &c. only in general, if the system of management should be different in the institutions &c. omitted in your report from that of those included in it, or if there should be &c. Government interference in their affairs you will of course notice it.

4. Your report now under reply having been delayed beyond those of all the other Districts, I am directed to add in conclusion that the Board hope to receive the information now called for at an early period.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ---
21st November 1842 ----

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following
Statement

15

15201

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for Exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 14th November 1842.

Name of Port	Quantity of Salt remitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity already exported up to 14th inst.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4
Cuddalore	Bdls	Bdls	Bdls	Quantity already in store and ready for Exportation 5
Pondicherry	5000 "			Sold, but a Vessel while receiving a part of this Sale, was driven out to Sea and dismasted, this quantity therefore, as well as some small portions which after Vessels could not receive on board will be exported at the end of December
Carringa	40000 "	30420 "	5760 "	

Fillah Rajahmundry ---- } 6 6 Pkgs
Collector's Office ---- }
Cocanada 18th November 1842 ---

Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

Ordered

15202

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

(15-16)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(17)

From the Collector of Masulipatam. } Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Revenue Board dated the 25th August last, communicating the sanction of the Supreme Government for the continuance of 2/3rds of the pension of 30 Pagodas enjoyed by the Late Fa Begum, in the name of her Grand son Syed Abdool Rahim Khan.

2. I have accordingly directed payment of the abovementioned allowance to be made from the 22nd July last the date on which the sanction of the Supreme Government for its continuance was granted, but as the late Collector's letter of the 5th April last / Para 2 / contains a specific recommendation that the allowance should be paid in arrears from the date of Late Fa Begum's death (14th February 1841) of which no notice appears to have been taken

taken, I do not feel myself justified in allowing the arrears to be paid without further instructions on the subject, with which I therefore request to be furnished -

Masulipatam ---
Collector's Cutcherry --
10th November 1842.

/ Signed / R. T. Porter
Collector.

Resolution thereon (17-18) Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin -

From Coll. 6th in Cons. 28th April 1842.
To Govt. 9th May
From Govt. 22d Aug. in Cons. 25th Do.

The Board beg to be informed whether it was the intention of Government that the continuance of the reduced pension therein alluded to should be paid to Syed Abdool Rahim Khan in arrears from the death of Latefa Begum the late incumbent, as suggested in Para 2 of Mr. Grant's letter noted above, or merely from the date of of Sanction of the Supreme Government Viz. 22d July last -

11th Feb. 1841

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

(19)

From the Collector of Guntoor --

Sir

With reference to the

the Boards' Proceedings of the 17th Ultimo and the Minutes of Consultation &c. dated 11th of the same month sanctioning the expence of Rupees 22-9-10. incurred for conveying a party of Young Officers &c. &c. across the Kristna, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a letter from the Accountant General dated 5th Instant, and to request that the Board will be pleased to return the voucher therein required, to that Officer direct, to avoid delay -

Guntoor Zillah ----
Collector's Cutcherry ...
Tandapilly ---
10th October 1842 ...

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Collector.

To

The Collector of
Guntoor -

Sir

I have the honor under instructions from the Accountant General to request that the voucher returned to you with my letter of the 10th September last, and which you omitted to endorse with your's of the 27th Ultimo

may

may be transmitted to this Department with as little delay as possible.

Fort St. George —
Acct. Genl. Office —
5th November 1842

/Signed/ G. M. Swinton
Jr. Asstt. Acct. General.

Ordered that the follow=ing letter be sent in consequence.

To
The Accountant General.

(19-20)

To the Accountant General —

Sir

With reference to your Head Assistant's letter to the Collector of Guntoor dated 5th Instant No. 670 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the Voucher noted in the Margin.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George —
21st November 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

A Account particulars of expence in=curred for conveying a party of Officers &c. across the Kristna River.

Read again the following letter from the Sub Collector in charge of of Bellary containing his report upon the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for fusly 1251 A.D. 1841-42.

(21)

Proceedings — Para 1
Relative to

(Here enter No. 71)

The Demand under the Head.

to the Manage=ment of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Bellary for fusly 1251 A.D. 1841-42

Sayer Demand

Fusly 1251 — A.D. 1841-42	3,43,799-3-"
Fusly 1250 — A.D. 1840-41	3,43,367-2-5
Increase —	432-0-7

Head of Sayer for fusly 1251 exhibits an increase of Rupees 432-0-7 above the preceeding year, the result of an increase of &c. Rupees 3,3 13. in the rent of the &c. Betel and Tobacco duties and a decrease of Rupees 2880-15-5 in the Collection under Aumany from articles liable to Sayer duty. The decrease in the Sayer under Aumany although below the amount col=lected in Fusly 1250 is shewn in the &c. Statement appended to para 4 to have exceeded the revenue derived from this source since the Aumani System of management was reverted to in fusly 1247 — A.D. 1837-38 — The Chief portion of the Net decrease in the year under review Viz. Rupees 1993. was occasioned by the Article "dried Chillies" being excluded from the Tariff as noticed in para 1 of the Board's &c. Proceedings entered in the Margin. Of the other items

Betel & Tobacco rented	71,903-"-"	
Aumani —		2,71,896-3-"
		3,43,799-3-"

Board's Proceedings dated 18th Octr. 1841 para 1.

items of decrease as shewn in the Statement just alluded to, the falling off under the Head of Cotton and Cotton thread was &c Rupees 8990 or 31-2 per Cent below fusly 1250- This decrease it is explained by the Sub &c Collector in charge, is not to be attributed to the falling off in the exports of Cotton &c but to the circumstance of the produce being carried to Canara instead of Mysore as heretofore, and the consequent issue of Moffee Rowanacks and loss of Frontier duty, which it would have been subject to if exported to the latter Station. The Board &c would have been glad to know the cause of this sudden alteration in the destination of the Cotton alluded to, and what has led to the diminution of the demand in the one country and its increase &c in the other- In the absence of any &c specific explanation it is presumed &c that the greater facility now offered for the transport of Cotton to the Sea

Sea Coast by the general improvement of the roads in that part of the country has mainly contributed to produce the change in question-

2. The gross collections on &c account of Sayer including Exctra Collec= tions Rupees 188-7-7 and arrears due at the termination of fusly 1250 Rupees &c 8853-11-3, amounted to Rupees 344664-8-2 from which being deducted the charges &c Rupees 26,108-6-10 which exceeded those of the fusly previous by Rupees 47-4-8 leaves a Net revenue of Rupees 318,556-1-4 which was beyond the revenue of fusly 1250. by Rupees 1115-4-3-

Charges-
1251- 26,108 6-10
1250- 26,061-2-2-
47-4-8-

Net Reve
1251- 318,556-1-4-
1250- 317,440-13-1-
1115-4-3-

Abkarry- 3 The Abkarry Revenue of fusly 1251 exceeded that of the preceding year by Rupees 9,728-1- and was higher than the average of the last 10 years by Rupees 12,492-

4- The Board observed a discrepancy in the amount for the Abkarry Rents between the sum named in the Collector's letter dated 5th July 1841 and the Statement

In Cons: 19th July 1841-

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Statement marked A. transmitted with the present report; in the former the offers for the Rent in Fusly 1251 was stated to be Rupees 2,44,445-0-0 whereas in the Statement alluded to the settlement of this branch of Extra Revenue for the same year is as entered in Column 7 Rupees 2,43,808-9-0 The attention of the Collector is drawn to the circumstance with a view to the correct adjustment of the accounts-

Small Farms & Licences- para 7-

5. The demand from this source exhibits an increase over that of Fusly 1251 of

Fusly 1251- 1866-6-
1250- 1849-13-
186-9-

Rupees 186-9-0 arising partly, the Collector remarks, from the improved productiveness of the new Topes of Fruit Trees- The Current Collections were Rupees 17,584-9-7. and the

Gross Coln
1251- 18,714-10-10.
1250- 17869-6-3
845-4-7-

Gross Collections Rupees 18,714-10-10 which sum exceeded the like Collections for the preceding year by Rupees 845-4-7 which comprised the Net Increase on the year, there being no deductions to be made on account of Charges- The above increase is chiefly owing to the larger amount of arrears which were

arrears Collected-
1251- 1124-12-10-
1250- 509-14-4-
614-14-6-

brought

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

Statt. No. 2- Veesabady & Motuppha-

brought to account in the Fusly under review than in the previous years.

6- The increase of Rupees 3271-3- in the Settlement under this head was caused by a gross increase of Rupees 15,892-12-0 and a gross decrease of Rupees 12,621-9-0 as particularized in para 8 of the report the result shewing a total increase under Veesabady of Rupees 819-10- and under Motuppha or Bagbyt Veesabuddy of Rupees 2451-9-0

Statt. No. 3- Column 31

7- Of the current Demand which amounted to Rupees 259760-11- the sum collected within the Fusly was Rupees 257099-14-10- leaving a balance due at its close of Rupees 2760-12-2-

8- The gross collections which also comprised the nat Revenue there being no charges, were Rupees 259449-5-10. and exceeded the Gross collections of Fusly 1250- by Rupees 3174-8-5-

Stamps para 9
1251- 26699-10-
1250- 26205-1-0
494-9-0

9- The Revenue derived from the sale of Stamps was above that obtained from this item in the previous year by Rupees 494-9-0 the result of a decrease of Rupees 109-7- in the sales of Rawannahs and an increase of Rupees 604-

in

Printed Statt. No. 2 Charges
1251- 1,284-15-10
1250- 1,265-15-11
18-15-11

in the value of money paper for Law purposes- The charges were Rupees 1,284-15-10- and slightly exceed the charges of fusly 1250- subject to this deduction the net Revenue was Rupees 25,414-10-2- or Rupees 475-9-1- above the net Proceeds of the previous year-

Total para 10
1251- 892,774-7-"
1250- 878,662-4-5
14,112-6-7

10- In the demand on account of all the sources of extra revenue for fusly 1251- there was an increase over the demand of fusly 1250 of Rupees 14,112-6-7-

Charges
1251- 27,393-6-8
1250- 27,327-2-1
Excess in 1251- 66-4-7

11- The gross collections amounted to Rupees 892,374-4-6- and the charges which slightly exceeded those of the previous year were Rupees 27,393-6-8- subject to this deduction the net Revenue was Rupees 864,930-13-10- which exhibits an increase of Rupees 14,061-5-0 in favour of the fusly under review compared with the like revenue of the last year

para 10

at the close of the fusly under review the Balance of current demand was Rupees 32,336-8-11- and subsequent collections until the end of august reduced this sum

sum to Rupees 956-7-2-

12- From the foregoing remarks it will be observed that there has been an increase in every Branch composing the extra sources of Revenue in the Bellary District during fusly 1251- which the Board consider highly satisfactory-

13- The arrears on account of former fusles due at the commencement of the fusly under consideration amounted to Rupees 42,586-2-5- of which Rupees 31,755-6-7- were brought to account within that period and Rupees 101-9-1- were written off, leaving a sum of 10,396-6-0 still outstanding, the remission of which has since been sanctioned by order of Government noted in the margin,- This latter sum composed the portion of Balance appertaining to Bellary which was due by the renters who in fusly 1242- rented the Land

Sanction of Govt. 30th Novr. 1841.

Exc Mins of Cons 19th Septr 1842 infos 22d.

A.D. 1832-33.

customs on the whole of the Mysore Frontier in the Districts of Bellary, Cuddapah

Cuddapah, Salem, and North Arcot
The remaining balance is stated
to be the irrecoverable portion of
Maturpha arrears outstanding for
fusles 1249 and 1250 and under the
A.D. 1839-40. 1840-41.
circumstances set forth in the an
statement annexed to para 11. of the
report the Board resolve to re
recommend that sanction may
be granted for striking out of the
accounts-

11. The Board entirely co
concur in the opinion expressed
by the Sub Collector in charge in the
concluding para of his report re=
lative to the tax denominated "Goode=
-vanum" and they accordingly direct
that the realization of this rent which
is explained to be the amount of
proceeds of the offerings made
by Pilgrims at certain Pagodas
in

in the western Talooks of the
District, be discontinued in future
by the public officers of Government-

Ordered that a
copy of the foregoing Extract
from the Board's Proceedings
with the annexed comparative
statement of collections charges
and net Revenue, be submitted
to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Sub Collector of Bellary for his information and guidance-

Bellary

15215

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Bellary Fusly 1251.

		Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease		
		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
Sayer	Demand	3,43,367	2	5	3,43,799	3	-	432	0	7	"	"	"
	Balance on the 11th July	8,653	11	3	7,906	13	8	"	"	"	746	13	7
	Current Collection	3,34,713	7	2	3,35,892	5	4	1,178	14	2	"	"	"
	Extra Dº	1	8	1	118	7	7	116	15	6	"	"	"
	Arrears	8,787	"	"	8,653	11	3	"	"	"	133	4	9
	Total	3,43,501	15	3	3,44,664	8	2	1,162	8	11	"	"	"
	Charges	26,061	2	2	26,108	6	10	47	4	8	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	3,17,440	13	1	3,18,556	1	4	1,115	4	3	"	"	"
Al Karry	Demand	2,34,080	8	0	2,43,808	9	0	9,728	1	0	"	"	"
	Balance on the 11th July	19,720	14	4	20,697	2	8	976	4	4	"	"	"
	Current Collections	2,14,359	9	8	2,23,111	6	4	8,751	12	8	"	"	"
	Extra Dº	"	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	4
	Arrears	20,035	4	0	19,734	11	4	"	"	"	300	8	8
	Total	2,34,395	7	0	2,42,846	1	8	8,450	10	8	"	"	"
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	2,34,395	7	0	2,42,846	1	8	8,450	10	8	"	"	"
Sundry small Farms and Licenses	Demand	18,419	13	0	18,606	6	0	186	9	0	"	"	"
	Balance on the 11th July	1,107	9	2	1,041	12	5	"	"	"	85	12	9
	Current Collections	17,312	3	10	17,584	9	7	272	5	9	"	"	"
	Extra Dº	47	4	1	5	4	5	"	"	"	41	15	8
	Arrears	509	14	4	1,124	12	10	614	14	6	"	"	"
	Total	17,869	6	3	18,714	10	10	845	4	7	"	"	"
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	17,869	6	3	18,714	10	10	845	4	7	"	"	"
Mdurpha	Demand	2,56,589	8	0	2,59,860	11	0	3,271	3	0	"	"	"
	Balance on the 11th July	2,373	13	9	2,760	12	2	386	14	5	"	"	"
	Current Collection	2,54,215	10	3	2,57,099	14	10	2,884	4	7	"	"	"
	Extra Dº	104	15	6	107	3	10	2	4	5	"	"	"
	Arrears	1954	3	9	2,242	3	2	287	15	5	"	"	"
	Total	2,56,274	13	5	2,59,449	5	10	3,174	8	5	"	"	"
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	2,56,274	13	5	2,59,449	5	10	3,174	8	5	"	"	"

15216 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Read

	Fasly	Fasly	Increase	Decrease
	1250	1251		
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Demand	26,205 1 0	26,699 10 0	494 9 0	
Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Current Collection	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Extra Do.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	26,205 1 0	26,699 10 0	494 9 0	" " "
Charges	12,65 15 11	12,34 15 10	18 15 11	" " "
Net Revenue	24,939 1 1	25,444 10 2	475 9 1	" " "
Demand	87,862 5 3	89,277 4 0	1414 10 9	" " "
Balance on the 11th July	31,856 4 6	32,386 8 11	530 8 5	" " "
Current Collection	54,905 15 11	56,837 14 1	1358 14 2	" " "
Extra Do.	154 4 11	230 15 10	76 10 11	" " "
Arrears	31,286 6 1	31,355 6 7	69 " 6	" " "
Total	87,846 10 11	89,423 4 6	1412 9 7	" " "
Charges	27,324 2 1	27,393 6 8	66 4 7	" " "
Net Revenue	60,522 8 10	61,949 13 10	1406 5 "	" " "

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

15216

Read

Demand
Balance on the 11th July
Current Collection
Extra Do.
Arrears
Total
Charges
Net Revenue

Demand
Balance on the 11th July
Current Collection
Extra Do.
Arrears
Total
Charges
Net Revenue

Remarks

E.E.B.

15217 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Read the following letters

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

(22)

From the Sub Collr. in Charge of Bellary

Acknowledging the receipt of two letters of the 22nd August and 7th Instant and reporting full information on the subject of the Cultivation of Mauritius Sugar Cane in the Bellary District.

Paraı I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 22nd August and 7th Instant on the subject of the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in this District.

2 A few cuttings of this Cane were introduced into this District in Fasly 1246 and planted at Canalapoor. From this beginning in Fasly 1247 about 5000 cuttings were distributed in various parts of this District and 780 sent to Bangalore and 220 to Tanjore.

3 A great deal of pains was taken to have the Cane generally introduced but there are now only 11 Coontahs of land planted with 1150 cuttings of the Cane under Cultivation in this District.

4 The Cultivation of the Cane is attended with greater expense and labour to ensure its coming to perfection

perfection than the Common Country Cane. This is probably the cause of the failure. For it has been ascertained that the price of the Jaggery produced from the Mauritius is considerably above the price of the common Country Cane Jaggery. Amaund of Country Jaggery sold for 3/4 of a Rupee. The Maund of Mauritius Jaggery fetched 1 Rupee. But the produce of 1/2 an acre sown with lb. 2000 Cuttings of the Mauritius produced only 60 Maunds or 60 Rupees, while 1/2 an acre sown with lb. 3000 Cuttings of the Common Cane produced 100 Maunds or 75 Rupees. The Mauritius Cane requires to be watered every 3 or 4 days till ready for Cutting while the Common Country Cane need not be watered more than once in 7 or 8 days, and should water run short it would not perish so quickly as the Mauritius: notwithstanding this if less apathy were manifested on the part of the Ryots, the success of the cultivation would I believe

have been greater.-

5 In May last 200 Canes were sent up from Madras by the agricultural Society but they reached in such a state as to leave no hope of their coming up. They all perished as I reported to the Secretary of the Society on the 19th July last.-

Bellary District-
Coll.rs Office ord Circuit
Amuntapoor 15th Nov.r 1842.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Sub Coll.r in charge

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(23)

From the Sub Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Reporting on the introduction of the Cultivation of Mauritius Sugar Cane in the District.-

Para 1 Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 22d August calling for a report on the introduction of the Cultivation of Mauritius Sugar Cane into this District.

2 Nearly 1000 Canes were procured from Madras at different times since april 1840 which were distributed to ryots in the Muddenpilly talook.-

3 The following Statement exhibits the mode in which they were disposed

disposed of :-

No.	Name of Ryot.-	Description of Land.	Extent of Land	Assessment	No. of Canes.
			a. g. a.	ch. f. as	
1	Celloogale	Dry	2	1/2	125
2	Vencatreddy	Wet	8	12	125
3	Asperreddy	Wet	1	12	120
4	Maly Cundaspah	Garden	1 5	9	400
5	Aspahnnah	Wet	4	4	25
6	Ryots of Pedda Jeppasannoodrum				195

4 It must however be observed that many of the Canes never germinated. The ryots were thoroughly informed of the proper mode of cultivating them, but I need hardly add that the instructions given them were entirely disregarded; and that the Mauritius Canes were treated in the same manner as the Country ones usually are.-

5 The ryot No. 1 made one pot of Jaggery from his Crop but being dissatisfied with the result he has discontinued the cultivation of the

the Canes.-

6 The ryot No. 2 obtained 4 pots of Jaggery of a superior quality and he has again planted 2 Coontas of wet land assessed at 2/12 fanams with Mauritius Cane.-

7 The ryot No. 3 has been more successful. His canes all grew to the height of from 7 to 8 feet and from the Jaggery obtained from them a small quantity of Sugar and Sugar Candy was manufactured, which was generally allowed to be superior both in Color and Sweetness to the ordinary Sugar and Sugar Candy though the process followed in the manufacture was the same.-

8 The quantity manufactured was too small to enable me to place a market value on it, it would have probably fetched one rupee a seer more than the best Sugar manufactured from the Country Canes.-

9 A large proportion of the Canes gave to No. 4 perished and as the remainder has not been long planted

planted the Crop will not be fit for cutting till February.-

10 Of the Canes distributed to the Ryots Nos. 5 and 6, only 8 germinated.-

11 It would be unfair to as draw any conclusions from an experiment of so recent a date and on so small a scale as the above. I feel however satisfied in my own mind, not indeed from past results, but from the nature of the Country, the extensive Cultivation of the Common Cane, and the excellent Sugar and Sugar Candy now manufactured according to the native process, that in the talooks of Guddapah, Goorumconda and Cudrie the Cultivation of Mauritius Sugar Cane might easily be introduced to any extent if it were in the first instance superintended by a person practically conversant with the subject.-

12 The only question is whether that is the description of Cane best suited to this Country. The China Cane has been introduced into the Ganjam, and

and lately into the Vizagapatam, districts and it is thought to be admirably adapted for this Country. The cane is so hard that it resists the attacks of wild animals and of white ants from which the country canes suffer so severely.-

13 The Board will however receive valuable information on this subject from both of those Districts. I regret that so little is to be obtained from this.-

Cuddapah District, -
Prin.l Coll.rs Office - - -
3rd Circuit Summulmudgoo -
15th November 1842 - -

} Signed W. W. Arbuthnot
Ag. Prin.l Coll.r

Order on the two foregoing letters.- Ordered to lie on the table.

22-24

Read the following letters-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25 From the Ag. Coll.r of Cuddapah. Sir, As I have now commenced on the Summabundy of the present Fasly I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the usual temporary addition

Requesting that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the usual temporary

planted the Crop will not be fit for cutting till February.-

10 Of the Canes distributed to the Ryots Nos. 5 and 6, only 8 germinated.-

11 It would be unfair to draw any conclusions from an experiment of so recent a date and on so small a Scale as the above. I feel however satisfied in my own mind, not indeed from past results, but from the nature of the Country, the extensive Cultivation of the Common Cane, and the excellent Sugar and Sugar Candy now manufactured according to the native process, that in the talooks of Gudidenpilly, Goorumconda and Cudrie the Cultivation of Mauritius Sugar Cane might easily be introduced to any extent if it were in the first instance superintended by a person practically conversant with the subject.-

12 The only question is whether that is the description of Cane best suited to this Country. The China Cane has been introduced into the Ganjam, and

and lately into the Vizagapatam, districts and it is thought to be admirably adapted for this Country. The cane is so hard that it resists the attacks of wild animals and of white ants from which the country canes suffer so severely.-

13 The Board will however receive valuable information on this subject from both of those Districts. I regret that so little is to be obtained from this.-

Cuddapah District.-
Print. Coll.rs Office.- - -
On circuit Summulmudgoo.-
15th November 1842.- -

(Signed) W. W. Arbuthnot
Ag. Print. Coll.r

Order on the two foregoing letters.- Ordered to lie on the table.

22-24

Read the following letters.-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25 From the Ag. Coll.r of Cuddapah. Sir, As I have now commenced on the Summabundy of the present Fasly I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the usual temporary addition

Requesting that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the usual temporary

temporary addition to the Establishment to exist in the settlement now under progress

addition to the Establishment as follows.

10 Goomastas to be attached to the Principal Division at 10 Rupees per mensem for the period of 8 Months	800 — —
2 Goomastas to be attached to the Sub Division for 4 Months	80 — —
7 Carkoons for 4 Months at 10 Rupees per Mensem	280 — —
	1160 — —

2 I am aware that the Board of Revenue have on several occasions expressed a desire that the temporary Establishment should if possible be dispensed with, or at any rate be as much reduced as possible. My attention has in consequence been directed to this subject since I joined the District but I feel constrained to concur in the opinion that has been expressed by all my Predecessors who have recorded an opinion on the subject, that a considerable increase must be made in the permanent Establishment if the reduction of this temporary one is insisted upon.

3 It has been explained on former occasions that the permanent Sheristah

Sheristah Establishment of this District is on a much smaller scale with reference to the extent of country and the amount of Revenue than that entertained in the Bellary division of the ceded Districts, where the settlement is conducted in the same manner as in this District - so much detail is gone into at the annual Settlement that it generally lasts for 7 or 8 Months. Even with the assistance of the additional Establishment annually allowed and without it the business could hardly be got through at all.

4 If however it be considered preferable that an increase should be made in the permanent Establishment of this District. I beg to subjoin a list of servants whom it will be absolutely necessary to employ.

	annually Rs. a. p.
1 Goomastah at 15 Rupees per Mensem	180 — —
1 ——— Do. ——— at 14 ——— Do. ——— ——— Do. ———	168 — —
1 ——— Do. ——— at 12 ——— Do. ——— ——— Do. ——— each 288 — —	
2 ——— Do. ——— at 10 ——— Do. ——— ——— Do. ——— Do.	240 — —
2 ——— Do. ——— at 10 ——— Do. ——— ——— Do. ——— Do.	
Total	876 — —

5 From the above it will be seen that there will be but little saving to Government by the above arrangements. The lowest rate of pay any servant in the Sheristah Establishment now receives is Rupees

Rupees 17 per mensem.—

In regard to the additional Carkoons, I do not think that they can by any means be dispensed with. The Southern Talooks are interspersed with immense tracts of Hill and jungle. The ryots do not generally reside in Villages but in the immediate vicinity of their own fields, and they cultivate scattered patches of ground in different directions with reference to the circumstances of the season. The more such talooks are examined the greater is the profit to the Circar. The revenue brought to account by the additional Carkoons in last season amounted to no less than Rupees 11728-4-1. and it was with the utmost difficulty even with this assistance that I could get all the Villages examined before the close of the fusly.—

Under these circumstances I beg to recommend that the practice of entertaining an additional Establishment for the Summahbundy may be continued, and that the one which I have proposed in the 1st Para. may be recommended for sanction.—

Cuddapah District, }
Prin. Coll. Office, }
Summulmudgoo, }
9th November 1842. }

(Signed) W. H. C. Arbuthnot
Asst. Prin. Collector.

Order thereon.—

(25-26)

Ordered to be submitted for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council.—

March 10th 1843.

With reference to their Secretary's letter dated August 15th 1842 and to Mr. C. Arbuthnot's letter which formed one of the enclosures, the Board beg to recommend that authority may be given to entertain the temporary establishment for which that Gentleman now applies for sanction at the aggregate cost of 1460 Rupees.—

To

(27)

From the Asst. Prin. Collr. of Cuddapah

Stating his own opinion on the subject of Petition 577 of 1842 presented by one Yamah reddy complaining that his new well land has been taxed with Seerwajastee and that the amount exacted from him may be returned. The Petition is herewith forwarded.

Noted 17th Nov. 1842.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

Fort St. George

I have the honor to Acknowledge the receipt of your Predecessors letter dated the 19th May requiring me to state my own opinion on the subject of the accompanying Petition in which Yamah Reddy complains that he has been subjected to the payment of Seerwajastee on land irrigated by a well which he dug on his own land and prays that the amount exacted from him may be returned.

The circumstances of the case are as follows.

2. In Jusly 1246. the Petitioner newly undertook the cultivation of a field No. 21 consisting of a. c. a. b. 35-3/4 assessed with C Rs. 1-6-14 being at the rate of 1 Janam per acre. In this field a piece of land to the extent of Coonds 19-2/16 had been excempted from assessment and entered as porumpoke from a portion of it having been the site of an old well and the rest being considered unfit for cultivation.

Previous

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

3 Previous to the occupation of the above field in fusties 1240-& 1241- some of the neighbouring ryots had cultivated two fields with Garden crops by means of the water of the old well and Seerwajastee was in consequence levied from them at the rate of 1½ Pagoda per Acre-

4. After the Petitioner obtained professeon of the field No. 21- instead of repairing the old well which he might have done at a moderate expense, he constructed a new one evidently with the view of escaping the payment of Seerwajastee and allowed the old well to go to decay.

5 From Fusly 1246- to 1248- the dry Assessment only was collected on the Petitioner's field and in Fusly 1249. the same amount was entered in the Accounts prepared at the time of Summabundy, but subsequently a Carcoon of the talook reported that the new well was situated in the Porumpoke land and agreeably to the custom of the District in such cases Seerwajastee amounting to C. Pags. 8-7-1½ was imposed which with the customary Dry land Assessment made a total of C. Pags. 9-1-10¾ the amount collected from

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

from the Petitioner-

6- In Fusly 1250 the same amount was collected from him- In Fusly 1251- in addition to this amount the 19 2/16 Coontas of porumpoke land occupied by the Petitioner were assessed with C. Pagodas 8-0 being at the rate of C. Pagodas 2½ per Acre.

7- On receiving the letter now under reply I ordered the Tahsildar to proceed to the spot to measure the land and to forward a plan to me It now appears that a very small portion about 4th of the new well occupying 13 Yards of ground, is situated in the porumpoke land the remainder is in the Petitioner's puttah land- He however has brought under cultivation the whole of the porumpoke land and therefore the assessment on that is quite unobjectionable-

8- It is always customary in this District to levy Seerwajastee on lands watered by wells situated in the porumpoke land whether they are new or old- The petitioner I have no doubt in digging his well unintentionally encroached on the porumpoke land and under ordinary circumstances I would have recommended the remission of

of the teervajastee but when the utter disregard which he has shewn to the interest of Government is considered I think we are entitled to take advantage of his mistake and to enforce the payment of the demand which agreeably to the custom of the District we are fairly entitled to make-

9- If however the Board are disposed to look more favorably on the Petitioner's case I would suggest the following arrangement.

10- The well is stated to have cost about Rupees 120. The Petitioner has enjoyed the benefit of it for 3 years without the payment of the teervajastee- This at the rate subsequently imposed amounts to Rupees 77-4-0 If a cowle were granted to him remitting the teervajastee for 3 Years more he would then recover Rupees 154-8-0 which would completely reimburse him for the expenditure he has incurred with ample interest- Teervajastee might afterwards be imposed with the greatest justice and the Petitioner could have no reasonable ground of complaint-

Cuddapah District
Principal Collector's office
Jummulmadugoo
11th November 1842

I Signed I W. H. Sibbald
Acting Principal Collector

Order thereon-

27-28

It appears from this explanation that under the Hookoommamah of the District Petitioner is justly chargeable with teervajastee on the land which he cultivated with the water of his new well, and the Board see nothing in his case to justify its being made a special exception-

Ordered that the following endorsement be made on Petition 577 of 1842-

"Having had under consideration the Acting Principal Collectors explanation of Petitioner's case, the Board do not consider him entitled to the remission he seeks, as the well from which the land in question was watered was partly dug in Porumpoke land and under the Hookoommamah of the District Teervajastee was consequently leviable-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah-

Sir,

With reference to the circular letter under date the 21st October 1839 I have the honor to forward herewith a statement shewing the Beriz of every Village in each Talook in the District for Fusly 1251 compared

compared with that of the preceding Fusly and copies of all the different accounts prepared for the Settlement of Nullalingapillay in the Camalapoor talook as well as copy of a Ryot's Puttah as therein called for.

Cuddapah District ----
Princ.l Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit Gummulmudgoo
14th November 1842 ----

/ Signed / W. H. Arbuthnot
Jr Princ.l Collector

Order thereon,

(29.30)

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter,

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(31)

From the Collr of Salem -

Regarding the claims of the Mootahdar of Salem on account of the site of the Salem Court House at that Station -

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22d September last conveying the Board's censure because I had refused to pay the claims made by the Salem Mootahdar on account of the site of the Salem Court House and because I had called on the Mootahdar to point out the lands on which his present claim rests, as the Board were of opinion

opinion that I had not taken sufficient measures to test the truth of the "reports" that part of the land for which rent is claimed is not in the occupation of Government.

2- My letter to the Sudder Court was intended as a caution against their issuing sanction to the Judge for payment, application having been made by the Mootahdar to that Officer, instead of to the revenue authorities as usual - it was of no use sending accounts and statements to the Judges of the Fouzdaree from whom no instructions could issue - and I waited the time when the Mootahdar would depute some one to inspect the Ground before bringing the circumstances relative to his claim to the Board's notice In order however to convince the Board that I have not been so careless as to resist a payment on "reports" without further examination I proceed to lay before them the obtaining circumstances

of

of the case and trust that after hearing my explanation they may be induced to revoke their censure

Copy Sent

3- In May 1841. I received a letter from the Judge of Salem, forwarding copy of Statements received by him from the Mootahdar of Salem, claiming the quit rent on the Ground on which the buildings of the Court Houses and other offices, as well as the Jail &ca were built and also the amount of the arrears of rent thereof for 37 years, and requesting to know whether the demand was correct- My reply copy of which accompanies Dated 4th December 1841- informed him that I had reason to think that part of the Ground for which claim was made was not in Government's possession and that I had addressed the claimant requesting him to point out the Ground for which the claim was made- This has not yet been done-

4- In order to bring before the Board the information I was in

possession of before addressing the Judge, I send herewith all the reports received. The accounts forwarded to the Board by Mr. Orr were made on the report of a late Tahsildar Kistna Row who had evidently never given himself the trouble to inspect the Ground, for the Tahsildar who succeeded him made a report to Mr. Orr that the Ground Puttum poonje was not a part of that on which the Court buildings were erected- the fault of not bringing this to my notice before praying the amount claimed for this land, rests with my late Sheristadar, whose duty it was to have made Known all circumstances which had taken place prior to my joining the district- The receipt however of another 37 years claim, received with Mr. Neave's letter, induced me to issue orders to the present Salem Tahsildar Sheenavasa Row to remeasure the lands and report thereon, Copy of his report is sent from which the Board will be made aware, that the

Dated 13th Dec. 1837-

Puttum

Nullum poonje is not a parcel of the Ground on which the Court buildings are built, but is altogether without the gate. In order to see that this report was correct, I sent my Head and Nair Sheristadar to inspect and measure the Ground, and they coincided with the Tahsildar that the Ground Nullum Poonje was not in the Government's possession, so that the report on which I rested my recommendation to the Sudder Court, were reports twice received from two Tahsildars and having the Ground measured and remeasured. I have applied to the Engineer for a plan of the Ground, but at present forward the plan received from the Salem Tahsildar, which will be more likely to convey to the Board the information they require.

Salem Zillah, Opsoor, - - - - -
Print. Coll.rs Cutcherry
14th November, 1842.

Signed J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector

A

To The Principal Collector

Sir, in the District of Salem.

I have the honor to forward to you Copies of the Statements sent by Mr. Fischer, the Mootahdar of Salem, claiming the quit rent on the Ground on which the buildings of the Court Houses and other Offices as well as the Jail &c. were built and also the amount of the arrears of rent thereto for 37 years. I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to cause the same to be compared with the original survey accounts, and inform the Court whether the measurement and the amount of the assessment therein specified correspond with that entered in abovementioned Accounts and also whether the Ground on which the present Mootahdar demands rent is included in the permanent Bariz or not.

Salem - - - -
Zillah Court
19th May 1841.

Signed W. A. Neave
Judge

15238 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

To The Judge of

Salem

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 3rd Instant I have the honor to inform you that from enquiries made by the Salem Tahsildar relative to the Ground for which the Salem Moolahdar claims rent, I am inclined to think that the Ground on account of which the demand is made, is not in the Government's possession and have addressed that party requesting him to point out the Ground on which his claim rests with its boundaries when done further information will be furnished

Salem, - - - - - - -
Prin. Coll. Cutcherry,
4th December 1841.

/ Signed / J. D. Gleig
Prin. Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To The Principal Collector of

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter

(31-32)

To the Prin. Coll. of Salem - - - - -

Calling for further information on his -

15239 Fort St. George 21st November 1842

his letter of the 4th Instant explaining the Circumstances under which he declined to pay the demand of the Salem Moolahdar for the rent of the Ground occupied by the Judicial buildings at Salem

In Con. 21st Nov. 1842

In Con. 8th Oct. 1839

of the 4th Instant, explaining the circumstances under which you declined to pay the demand of the Salem Moolahdars for the rent of the Ground occupied by the Judicial buildings at Salem.

2. In your letter of the 24th September 1839 your report having issued Orders to your treasurer to pay the Moolahdar the arrears of quit rent due up to Fusly 1248 inclusive, on the land occupied by the Zillah Court and Jail respectively, being for 35 years from Fusly 1214 for the former, and for 33 years from Fusly 1216 for the latter, and the disbursement received sanction on the 2nd May 1842 and this payment included the whole of the compound of Mr. Anfrere's house, agreeably to the order of Government of February 9th 1838. This being the case the Board cannot understand how Mr. Fischer should again apply for the arrears of 37 years, or how you should have no other ground for refusing

See Col. Cullen's letter to Mr. Fischer Augt. 27th 1839 No. 5 in the letter of 22nd Octr. 1839

Min. of Hon. Court of Govt. in Cons. 8th Augt. 1842.

See also letter from Col. Cullen Dec. 22nd 1837 - Para 2 in No. 4 Jany. 1838. - in 15th Feby. 1838.

refusing such application except a doubt whether the land in question was actually in the possession of Government. On this point you are requested to afford explanation

3. With regard to your suspicions that the Ground for which Mr. Fischer claimed rent was not occupied by Government, the Board regret that they still cannot concur in the propriety of your proceedings. It appears that your doubts concerned only the Ground on which the Court House is situated, and they could not, therefore, be a good reason for declining payment of the rent of the land occupied by the Jail. And as to the former piece of Ground, whether the Court House and appurtenances stood on the particular land Pullums Poonjah or not, was a matter of trivial importance, the point for decision was whether the

the Ground they actually occupied formed part of the Salem Moottah. and after the lengthy and vexatious discussion and correspondence which have passed regarding this claim, the Board consider that your proper course was to take prompt and efficient measures to determine that point, without waiting to require the Moortahdar to point out the precise spot for which he claimed rent. a proceeding which the Board cannot but regard as unnecessary, since you were well aware that the demand was made for the Ground occupied by the Court House and other buildings, or in other words for Mr. Aufrere's Compound.

4. You are now requested to take measures to determine the point above indicated. so as at once and finally to set at rest this protracted dispute. Though the face of that and the adjoining Ground may be much changed since the Survey by the erection of new buildings &c. yet the Board think it cannot be a matter of insuperable difficulty to determine what Pullums are comprehended within the Compound of Mr. Aufrere's house. To do this it would seem only necessary that an Officer acquainted with Survey and measurement operations

operations, should take the survey Stullaway & Chittah and with that in his hand examine and measure the land in question and others surrounding it. The Reports received with your letter having been forwarded not with the view of satisfying the Board as to the merits of the case, but only of shewing

Para 4 the grounds on which you acted, in addressing the Court of Sudr Adalut, it is not necessary to comment upon them here, and I am only directed to remark that the report of Seenavasa Row appears to have been founded not on a personal comparison of the land by himself with the Survey accounts. but only on a measurement of the different parcels of Ground as shewn by the present Curnum, who avowed that he was unable to identify the Survey measurements. In the Map also, the boundary now assumed by you to be that of the Nullum Poonjah is only noted as that now said to be so by the Curnum.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, — } Signed J. D. Bourdillon
21st November 1842 — } Secretary

J.G.B.

Read the following letter.-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

33

From the Principal Collr of Salem.

Regarding certain lands held under grant in Salem in the names of Mr. Heath, Mrs. Carpenter & Mr. Fischer.

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th April last accompanied by a Statement, and requesting an Entry in Column 5 of the Amount of Assessment to which the lands entered in Column 2 would be liable at the usual rates at which land is newly taken up for cultivation in this District.-

2. The lands held under grant in the names of Mr. Heath and Mrs. Carpenter being attached to each other, it is difficult to define them. they are according to Grant, Cawnies 13—18—238. or Acres 18—7—8 paying Quit rent Rupees 72—3—4. but according to the Settlement account, it is Acres 27—31—8 with an Assessment of Rupees 72—5—5 there is therefore an excess of land above the Grant, 9 Acres and 24 Goontahs, with a less teerwah of 2 Annas and 1 Pie the Collection now made on these lands is Rupees 72—3—4

at

15244 Fort St. George 21st. November 1842

At the time of the Settlement, a portion of the lands only was assessed, and when they were given on grant, an average teerwah was fixed and entered in account.-

3. The lands entered in the grants in the name of Mr. Fischer, contain Cawnies 106-34-17 or Acres 142-3-2. paying Quit rent 40-20-40 or Rupees 141-9-6. when these lands were measured in 1833. they contained 136-27-4 which, at the rate 1 Rupee per Acre, makes a Total of Rupees 136-11-4 There is therefore an extent of 5-16-2 less in the grant and the assessment thereof, Rupees 4-14-6. These lands are entered in the 2 grants in the name of Mr. Fischer, but they have been transferred by that gentleman to Mr. M. D. Cockburn, and the assessment due thereon from Fusly 1236 to Fusly 1251, has been paid up by Mr. Cockburn. The rate now paid on these lands is not according to the settlement but that sanctioned by Government for settlers on the Sherwaroy Hills.-

4 The Amount realized annually on the lands in question, is Rupees 208-14-4.

5 A Statement is herewith forwarded, by which the Board will perceive the difference that exists between the extent of Land mentioned in the grant and that entered, in the Settlement account.-

Salem Zillah, Cossoor.
Print. Coll.r Cutcherry,
29th October 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Print. Collector.

Statement

15245

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Statement of Lands in the District of Salem,

Name of Grantee	Extent of Land.	Rate of Quit rent per Cawny	Total Amount of Quit rent.	Amount levied on the Lands in Col.5 according to the assessment on the Land taken up for Cultivation.	Remarks.
	Cawnies & Hundths	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
	2	3	4	5	6
Mrs. Heath	4 18 1363	1 22 40	7 4 18	4 2 55	These Lands are situated at Salem.-
Mrs. J. Carpenter	8 23 1275	1 22 40	13 2 155		
G. F. Fischer Esqr.	85 22 1857	16 70	32 16 45	141 9 6	Do. Do. on the Shewaroy Hills.
Ditto	21 11 2901	16 70	8 4 5		
Total	121 4 1996		61 3 73	213 14 11	

Cossoor 29th October 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Print. Collector

Statement

15244 | Fort St. George 21st. November 1842

At the time of the Settlement, a portion of the lands only was assessed, and when they were given on grant, an average Teerwah was fixed and entered in account.-

3. The lands entered in the Grants in the name of Mr. Fischer, contain Cawnies 106-34-17 or Acres 142-3-2. paying Quit rent 40-20-40 or Rupees 141-9-6. when these lands were measured in 1833. they contained 136-27-4 which, at the rate 1 Rupee per Acre, makes a Total of Rupees 136-11-4 There is therefore an extent of 5-16-2 less in the grant and the assessment thereof, Rupees 4-14-6. These lands are entered in the 2 Grants in the name of Mr. Fischer, but they have been transferred by that gentleman to Mr. M. D. Cockburn, and the assessment due thereon from Fusly 1236 to Fusly 1251, has been paid up by Mr. Cockburn. The rate now paid on these lands is not according to the settlement but that sanctioned by Government for settlers on the Sherwaroy Hills.-

4 The Amount realized annually on the lands in question is Rupees 208-14-4.

5 A Statement is herewith forwarded by which the Board will perceive the difference that exists between the extent of Land mentioned in the grant and that entered, in the settlement account.-

Salem Tillah Cutcherry
Print. Coll.r Cutcherry
29th October 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Print. Collector

Statement

15245 | Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Statement of Lands in the District of Salem.

Name of Grantee	Extent of Land.	Rate of Quit rent per Cawny	Total Amount of Quit rent.	Amount levied. Ble on the Lands in Col.5 according to the amnt rate of Land taxation for Fasly	Remarks.
	2	3	4	5	6
	Cawnies	Pags. F. C	Pags. F. C	Rs. A. P.	
Mr. Heath	14	18,363	1 22 40	7 4 18	These Lands are situated at Salem.-
Mr. J. Carpenter	8	23,1275	1 22 40	13 2 15	
G. F. Fischer Esqr.	35	22,1857	16 40	32 10 40	Do. Do. on the Shewaroy Hills.
Ditto	21	11,2301	16 40	8 4 3	
Total	121	4,4996	" "	61 3 23	213 14 11

Salem 29th October 1842

E. Gleig
Print. Collector

Statement

15246 St. George

Statement mentioned

the Quit rent now

Agreeable t Comparison
Board o Col: 9 & 10.

Note Increase

11

Rs a ps

1 Mr=

" " "

2 Mr=

3 Mr=

" " "

Dy. Ex.

21st November 1842.

Gentlemen and that entered in the Settlement account of the Salem District.

payable. between the	Comparison Between Col: 5 & 7.		Between Col: 6 & 9		Between Col: 6 & 10	
Decrease	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
" 21	9 24	" " "	" 21	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	5 162	" " "	4 146	" " "	4 146
" 21	4 7 14	" " "	" " "	4 125	" " "	4 146

JP.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector.

15247

Fort St. George 21th November 1842

(33-34)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(35)

From the Coll.r of South Arcot. — Reporting on the damage done by the Storm. —

Para 1 I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that a severe storm was experienced over part of this District on the afternoon and evening of the 24th ultimo, It lasted from 1 P. M. to 10 P. M.

2 Twelve persons lost their lives. In the Talooks of Villapooram, Trivady, Tin-devanum, Cuddalore, Chellambrum, Bowen-gherry, and Calacoorchy, its violence was mostly felt. The dry grain in places has suffered and many tanks having burst — without the possibility, owing to the continued heavy rain since, of making any repairs. I fear that loss in the wet will be experienced in some Villages. The inhabitants have generally suffered injury to their houses. —

3 The gardens and avenues about Cuddalore have been much hurt. —

4 Two Vessels from Porto Novo, and three from Pondicherry have not been heard

of

15248

Fort St. George 21th November 1842.

of since — and there is little hope now-more especially as masts, spars, boats, planks & ca. ca. have been washed on shore all along the Coast from Pondicherry to Mar-=caunum. — I have had careful lists of all these articles made out, which have been forwarded to the Governor of Pondicherry with the view of restoring every thing which may be identified to the owners. —

5 The heaps at Marcanum and Cuddalore were uncovered by the wind which of Course exposed the Salt to the rain. Garce The estimated loss at Marcanum is 92 — Mds 365. and at Cuddalore Garce 25 — Mds 100. It is likely that the rain will have the effect of caus-=ing subsequent wastage, in addition to what was at first apparent. —

6 The Causeway received some little damage. The bridge none. —

7 The Talook Cutcherry at — Tricalore and the Sayer Chowkies at — Tricalore, Trinomolie Cundamungalum, Verdoor, and Madarapakum have all — either fallen in or been much injured.

The talook Cutcherry at Tricalore I examined last February

and

† Vide letter to Civil Engineer 4th Division 10th May 1842.

and observing then that it could not stand a heavy fall of rain even, recommended† its immediate repair, which unfortunately, I did not receive sanction for — I have made the best temporary arrangements in my power for furnishing buildings.

8 The Guddelum came down very full and carried away the causeway here. I took the opportunity of noting very accurately the flow and the height of the water, with regard to the building of the new bridge.

9 The out Offices of the Government House, which I rent received some injury, which will cause a trifling outlay for repairs.

10 With the exception of Cawn Sails and the Ponary surplus Channels having been breached, I am happy to say, that in the two Southern Talooks the irigation works from the Coleroon are almost uninjured, as the storm was not much felt so far South.—

11 I regret that the ryots should after so depressing a year as the last in any degree have suffered misfortune, but I do not think it will prove of great extent.—

12 It is useless now to lay out money in attempting permanent repairs to tanks. I have taken measures in all cases for the execution of such temporary works, as well, I hope, prevent further mischief till the weather becomes so settled, probably towards the middle of December.

Cuddalore, Coll.r Cutchery, 11th November 1842. } (Signed) C. H. Hallett Collector.

Resolution thereon, Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

(35-36)

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir.

(37) From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Para 1. With reference to the Extract from the Boards' proceedings of the 24th ultimo relative to the Zemindary of Codiar pollium, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have made arrangements for the payment of allowances to the dependant relations of the Zemindar according to the annexed list.

2. The sums entered in the list are the sume as those enjoyed by the respective parties during the attachment of the estate, with the exception of 70 Rupees to Oppaye Janickay Ammal the Mother of the deceased Zemindar who received no separate allowance before having the management of the sum allowed for the support of her late son in her own hands. and that of 30 Rupees to be paid to the son of

15251 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

of Soobammah alias Rajammah when he arrives at the age of 18 years, instead of his present allowance Rupees 20. The Zemindar denies that he is under any obligation to make the latter mentioned person any allowance, he being illegitimate &c and not of the same caste. but as he nevertheless expresses his willingness to abide by my decision I think it proper that as a matter of charity the allowance in question should be made.

I trust that these arrangements will be approved by the Board.

Trichinopoly - - -
Coll.r Cutcherry - -
12th November 1842.

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

List

15252 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

List of allowances proposed to be paid to the dependant relations of the Zemindar of Podiarpolliam.

Names of relations	Amount payable per Month	Remarks
	Rs. a P	
Ponasamooga Podiar the brother of the present Zemindar	100 " "	
Oppaye Janikay Ammal the Mother of the deceased & Minor Zemindar	70 " "	
Oppayee Ammal the 1st wife of the late Cacherengapa Kala Kathola Podiar	30 " "	
Renganal widow of Abbenava Yavoo rengapa Podiar	30 " "	
Soobamal alias Rajamal widow of Cacherengapa Kala Kathola Podiar	30 " "	
Her son Vzia Rengapoodiar	20 " "	To be increased to 30 Rupees on his attaining the age of 18 years
Total Company's Rs	280 " "	

Trichinopoly - - - -
12th November 1842.

E. E. /Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

The

(37-38)

Resolution thereon. The arrangement effected by the Collector with the Zemindar, for allowing maintenance to his relatives, appears to the Board a fit and just one, and Mr. Onslow will impress on him the propriety of paying these pensions regularly without demur or delay.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(39)

From the Coll. of Madura.

Sir,

In my Return for the month of May, dispatched on the 3d June, I recommended confiscation of certain Jaggery. In your letter of the 20th, received on the 30th October, you communicate the Board's instructions to levy double duty and let it pass. Their orders have been directed to be enforced, but I have the honor to request you will inform them they have entirely misunderstood the grounds of my recommendation. A rowanah was taken in a Choky of Tinnevelly for Jaggery to be sold

sold in Trichinopoly: a great portion of it was disposed of in Arapacotay, ten miles, or half a day's journey, from the place in which the original Rowanah was issued; yet no communication of this transaction is made to the Chokey dar of Arapacotay, where it took place. The Board forgetting, or not being aware, that Arapacotay was a Chokey Station, seem to think it would have been an unnecessary hardship for the man to have gone back to report the circumstance in the Tinnevelly Chokey, and in that I should fully agree with them, and do not believe I ever recommended Confiscation of goods on so light grounds in my life.

For my future guidance I have the honor to beg to be informed if under this explanation they would approve of the Confiscation, if there was now room for it.

As I have no doubt the goods have been sold in anticipation of sanction I shall probably have to trouble you in a few days for authority to repay the sale

15255 Fort St. George 21st November 1842

sale proceeds minus the double duty. and, if the Board think proper, also, the difference between prime Cost and sale proceeds and loss of profit.-

Madura - }
Ramnad - } 3d November 1842

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Pr. Collector.

Resolution thereon. It appears from the foregoing letter that there was no fraud in the case in question, either Committed or attempted, and the Board think the levy of double duty sufficient penalty for a mere irregularity.-

(39-40)

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(41)

From the Pr. Coll. of Coimbatore - } I have the honor to forward an Estimate of Charges required for making 5 Shelves for securing records in the Talook Cutcherry at Coimbatore amounting to Rupees 19-6-- and request that sanction may be obtained for the disbursement of the same.-

Coimbatore, Pr. Coll. Office, 28th October 1842 - } /Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pr. Collector.

Estimate

15255 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Estimate of Charges for preparing Shelves for securing Records of the Coimbatore Talook in the District of Coimbatore.-

Charges for preparing a Shelf.

Value of 3 Planks each 8 by 1¼ feet and 2 inches thick at 6 Annas each ... 3 14 "
Do. of 2 Do. each 10 by 1½ feet and 4 Inches thick at 12 Annas each ... 1 8 "
Wire of Sarpanters ... 8 "
Charges for preparing 4 Shelves at 3-14- " each ... 15 8 "
Total ... 19 6 "

Errors Excepted. Pr. Coll. Office Coimbatore 28th October 1842.

Company's Rupees Seventeen and Six Annas.

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pr. Collector.

15257 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

41-42

Proceedings thereon. The Board sanction the Estimate submitted with the foregoing letter for making 5 shelves for the records of the Coimbatore & Palook Cutcherry at the cost of Rupees 19 - 6 - ". The actual disbursement should be debited in the Statement of contingent charges submitted quarterly for sanction.

Read

15258 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Read the following letter. -

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

43

From the Collr. of Canara - Report on the Extra Revenues of the Canara District for Fasly 1251 - & Sr. No 12 A and B Certificate.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the usual Statements of the extra revenues of this district for the year fasly 1251.

2- The Collections exclusive of Amcendere, during the fasly under report, exceed the revenue of the preceding year by Rupees 7783 - 6 - 4, and the average of the last five years by Rupees 1,03711 - 5 - 9. - The particulars are exhibited in the subjoined Statement -

Items

15259

Fort St. George

21st November 1842.

Items.	Average of 5 years. 1250.	Collections of Fasly 1250.	Collections of Fasly 1251.	Difference between the 1250 and 1251. Increase.	Difference between the 1250 and 1251. Decrease.	Difference between the average & Fasly 1251. Increase.	Difference between the average & Fasly 1251. Decrease.
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
Salt	2,96,507 4 8	3,13,875 12 6	3,19,036 3 6	5,160 7 "	" " "	22,528 14 10	" " "
Land Customs	283,056 4 1	282,579 14 7	295,241 15 6	12,662 " 11	" " "	12,185 11 5	" " "
Sea Customs	213,421 9 9	286,726 8 10	269,604 13 6	" " "	17,121 11 4	56,183 3 9	" " "
Abkarry	52,470 3 "	52,926 12 "	60,154 12 "	7,228 " "	" " "	7,684 9 "	" " "
Ferry Farms	4,922 14 5	4,755 12 "	5,782 4 "	1026 8 "	" " "	859 5 7	" " "
Small Farms & License	4,317 4 5	4,231 12 9	4,623 14 5	392 1 8	" " "	306 10 "	" " "
Moturpha	10,405 7 7	10,698 5 2	13,782 3 4	3,083 14 2	" " "	3,376 11 9	" " "
Tobacco	284,581 1 7	289,335 5 11	280,685 12 10	" " "	8,649 11 1	" " "	3895 6 9
Stamps	35,402 4 7	36,382 1 9	39,883 14 9	3,501 13 "	" " "	4,481 10 2	" " "
	11,85,081 6 1	12,81,512 5 6	12,88,795 11 10	33,054 12 9	25,771 6 5	10,7606 12 6	3895 6 9
Sundries	17,148 2 5	17,925 13 1	10,425 14 1	" " "	7,499 15 "	" " "	6,722 4 4
Total	12,02,232 8 6	12,99,438 2 7	12,99,721 9 11	33,054 12 9	33,271 5 5	10,7606 12 6	10,617 11 1
				Net decrease 216 8 8	96,989 1 5	Net Increase	

The

1526

Salt -

1250 -	313875 - 12 - 6
1251 -	319036 - 3 - 6
Increase	5160 - 7 - 0
5 years average	290657 - 4 - 8
Increase	22528 - 14 - 10

1249 -	324462 - 6 - 3
1251 -	319036 - 3 - 6
Decrease	7426 - 2 - 9

Rs. - a. p.

1249 -	67665 - 6 - 7
1250 -	74214 - 4 - 3
1251 -	80381 - 13 - 10

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842

3. The receipts under this head, exceed those of the preceding year as well as the average of the past five years, the former in the sum of Rupees 5160 - 7 - 0 and the latter by Rupees 22528 - 14 - 10 - But compared with the collections of 1249, the highest of the 5 years of average, there appears a decrease of Rupees 7426 - 2 - 9 - This decrease is attributable to the very low price of grain during the past year, which operated injuriously on the inland trade, otherwise, owing to the late improvement in the Ghauts and roads in the District, much larger sales of Salt might have been looked for. -

4. The increase in the past year over the preceding and the average of 5 years is mainly to be attributed to the Completion of the Mercara Road and Aquimba Pass - The traffic on the former line is rapidly increasing as its advantages become more generally known and confidently expect that the sales of Salt at the Cuttack Depot will yet be increased very considerably. The Statement in the Margin shows the sales at this depot for the last 3 years. -

5. The sales of Salt for Home and Inland consumption during the past and preceding Fasliees are shown in the subjoined Comparative Statement. -

Average

1526

To Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Collectors Collections of 1250 Fasly 1251. Sicca Rupees

Of 1250.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,051	9	4
Of 1251.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1252.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1253.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1254.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1255.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1256.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1257.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1258.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1259.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0

Sicca Rupees 15,50,851 - 4 - 0

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Collectors Collections of 1250 Fasly 1251.

Of 1250.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,051	9	4
Of 1251.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1252.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1253.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1254.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1255.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1256.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1257.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1258.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0
Of 1259.	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	12½	2	0	1,55,085	8	0

Sicca Rupees 15,50,851 - 4 - 0

Sicca Rupees 15,50,851 - 4 - 0

Sicca Rupees 15,50,851 - 4 - 0

15262

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

	Quantity.	Value.
	Md. Mds.	Rs. a. p.
Home consumption --	90.378	9549-3-9
Inland Do. ----	84.286	8895 1-8
	175 286	18444-5-5

Charges.

Purchase Money & Rent of Salt pans 54 a 8 per man average of 11-12-13	3243 " 11
Sibbendy ----	228 " "
Sanderwared ----	18 " "
Freight ----	936-3-11.
Monsoon repairs ----	12-2-10.
Shipping charges --	458-14-6.
Sundry ----	14 15 8
	4711-5-10.
Net Profit ----	13732-15-7.
1250 March to July ----	7,795-8-5
1251 -- Do. ----	10,928-2-5
Increase - 2,960-10 --	

b. The Collections and Charges of the new Koley at Mahbokul in Baroor during the last Fasly 1251 are exhibited in the Margin. The Koley having only been established at the close of the preceding year, a comparison for the whole year cannot be given - The sales during the past and preceding years, from March to July are shown in the Margin exhibiting an increase of Rupees 2,968-10- over July 1850.

7. In order to exhibit fully the result of the establishment of the above Depôt, I subjoin a Statement showing the sales at the other Lannahs in its vicinity to which the Traders who now obtain their supply of Salt from Mahbokul used formerly to resort, this comparison gives an increase of Rupees 3728-5- -

Revenue

Sheet

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Revenue Department. Inclosure 2 in 15262

15263

Statement of Salt sold at Mahbokul in Fusly 1251 —

Sundries —	Rupees	As.	Ps.
Sugatty	1,954	8	0
Madras Coolly	2,331	2	0
Carried over — Mahbokul	4,285	10	0

Sheet

15264

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

8.

There is every reason to expect that the sales of Salt at this Depot will still further increase, should the road from the foot of the Agumbal pass to the Coast, be opened and completed, as has been recommended and partially sanctioned.

To Bd. 22d. March 1842.

From Govt. 4th. May.

Land Customs.

	£.	s.	d.
1250 -	282579	14	7
1251 -	295241	15	6
Increase	12662	1	11
average	283056	4	1
Decrease	12185	11	5

There is an increase in this branch of revenue both above the preceding Fasly and the average of the last five years, as shown in the Margin.

10.

The increase above Fasly 1250, is owing to the favorable crops of Pepper and Betelnut, and consequent large exports of these two products during the past year; these particulars are given in the subjoined table.

Collector.

15265

Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Collector.

Accountant General.

Dated 25th. March 1851.

Deputy Secretary to Government.

Land Customs.

	1250	1249	1248	1247	1246
	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.	£. s. d.
Betelnut	10,948 5 10	12,927 9 7	15,558 3 6	16,541 6 7	19,027 12 6
Pepper	2,46,130 5 6	3,25,511 11 "	4,32,512 6 "	4,27,272 6 "	4,27,231 19 6
Cardamums	1,533 3 2	1,507 3 11	1,301 6 "	1,249 6 "	1,442 6 8
Sandalwood	2,622 15 "	2,946 6 5	2,897 6 5	1,884 1 5	1,982 7 5
Coco Nuts & Coco Kernel	2,33 18 2	2,22 6 "	2,37 5 "	2,37 5 "	2,37 5 "
Gingelly Seed	2,622 14 "	2,946 6 5	2,897 6 5	1,884 1 5	1,982 7 5
Ginger and Turmeric	1,340 " "	1,507 3 11	1,533 3 2	1,249 6 "	1,442 6 8
Sundries	2,7,6,6 10	2,5,130 6 10	2,5,130 6 10	2,7,6,6 10	2,7,6,6 10
Total	25,3,0,5,6 3	25,2,8,5,8 11	25,2,8,5,8 11	25,2,8,5,8 11	25,2,8,5,8 11

15266 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

11- In this branch of Revenue

Sea Customs	
1250	286726-8-6
1251	269604-13-6
Decrease	17121-11-4
Average	213421-9-9
Increase	56183-3-9

there is a falling off of Rupees 17121-11-4 - Compared with the previous year, but an excess of Rupees 56138-3-9 above the average of the 5 former years

12- The decrease below 1250 is owing to the diminished export of the following articles, viz^t. Sugary, Cardamums, Sandalwood, and Cotton, and to the low value of Rice - Of the first of these articles, a large quantity was exported by land, the crops of the second were unfavorable, the export of the third, depends on the public sales of the articles in Mysore, which were less extensive than usual; and the demand for the fourth articles, was very slack owing to the great losses sustained by the holders of Cotton from the unfavorable state of the Markets in England and China during the past year - Though the export of Rice exceeded that of the preceding year, yet owing to the fall in price (the duty being levied ad valorem) there appears a decrease in the Collections - The particulars are shewn in the subjoined Statement

Articles

15266 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

St. Custom.

Average of 5 Last Years 1246 to 1250.

	1250	Increase	Decrease
Rice	51259 9		
Betelnut	52454 3		
Betelnut	81604 3		
Cardamums	3839 4		
Sandalwood	3392 "		
Cotton	57032 15		
Sundries	28961 2		
Total	213421 9		

Differences between 1250 and 1251.

2	28,672	8	10
2	26,960	4	13
32	417	14	6
2	23,691	10	1
5	31,678	11	9
1	7,354	"	3
2	53,954	15	6
11	56,510	13	9
1	7,354	"	3
2	9,180	13	8
10	15,467	13	6
9	12,372	4	5
5	31,678	11	9
1	7,354	"	3
2	53,954	15	6
11	56,510	13	9
1	7,354	"	3
2	9,180	13	8
10	15,467	13	6
9	12,372	4	5
5	31,678	11	9
2	28,672	8	10
2	26,960	4	13
32	417	14	6
2	23,691	10	1

Diff. between November 1842.

1250 and 1251.

Increase Decrease

Sic

15266 Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

11. In this branch of Revenue there is a falling off of Rupees 17121-11-4. Compared with the previous year, but an excess of Rupees 56138-3-9. above the average of the 5 former years.

Sea Customs.	
1250	286726-8-6.
1251	269604-13-6.
Decrease	17121-11-4.
Average	213421-9-9.
Increase	56183-3-9.

12. The decrease below 1250, is owing to the diminished export of the following articles, Viz.t Sugary, Cardamums, Sandalwood, and Cotton, and to the low value of Rice. Of the first of these Articles a large quantity was exported by land, the exports of the second were unfavorable, the export of the third, depends on the public sales of the articles in Mysore, which were less extensive than usual; and the demand for the fourth articles, was very slack owing to the great losses sustained by the holders of Cotton from the unfavorable state of the Markets in England and China during the past year. Though the export of Rice exceeded that of the preceding year, yet owing to the fall in price (the duty being levied ad valorem) there appears a decrease in the Collections. The particulars are shewn in the subjoined Statement's

Articles

15266 Fort St. George 21st. November 1842.

Statement exhibiting the Quantities of Articles exported from the Ports of Madras, Cuddalore, Porto Novo, Sadras, Pulicat, Ennore, Covelong, Tranquebar, Negapatam, Karical, Tondy, Manapar, Tuticorin, Kilakarai, Rammad, Calimere, Point de Galle, Colombo, Manaar, Jaffnapatam, Trincomalee, Batticaloe, Kalpentyn, Mangalore, Cochin, Tellicherry, Cannanore, Calicut, Beypore, Cochin, Anjengo, Quilon, Alleppy, Porca, Colachel, Manapad

15266 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Sea Customs.

11- In this branch of Revenue there is a falling off of Rupees 17,121-11-4 Compared with the previous year, but an excess of Rupees 56138-3-9 above the average of the 5 former years.

1250	2,86,726-8-6
1251	2,69,604-13-6
Decrease	17,121-11-4
Average	2,13,421-9-9
Increase	56,183-3-9

12- The decrease below 1250 is owing to the diminished export of the following articles, viz.t Sapary, Cardamums, Sandalwood, and Cotton, and to the low value of Rice. Of the first of these articles a large quantity was exported by land; the Crops of the second were unfavorable; the export of the third, depends on the public sales of the articles in Mysore, which were less extensive than usual; and the demand for the fourth articles, was very slack owing to the great losses sustained by the holders of Cotton from the unfavorable state of the Markets in England and China during the past year. Though the export of Rice exceeded that of the preceding year, yet owing to the fall in price (the duty being levied ad valorem) there appears a decrease in the Collections. The particulars are shewn in the subjoined Statement.

Articles

15269 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Statement of Sea Customs Collections in 1250 and 1251.

Average of 5 Last Years.

Articles	1250	1251	Increase	Decrease
Rice	6,12,80	4,22,19,5		1,90,60,7,3
Piecegoods	53,09,4	49,25,4		3,83,13,8
Betelnut	50,76,7	49,52,3		1,24,4
Pepper	8,1,0	5,4,52,4,7	1,46,3,4,4	
Cardamums	3,83,20	1,80,13,8		2,02,6,4
Sandalwood	3,3,92	1,54,7,13,4		1,49,5,1
Cotton	5,1,0,32,15	12,37,24,4,5	7,36,24,5,5	
Sundries	28,9,91	3,34,33,6,2	5,05,41,11	2,39,69,13,6
Total	2,13,421,9,9	2,69,604,13,6	56,183,3,9	17,121,11,4

5/15

Abkary -
Rs. a. p
1250 - 52926 - 12 - "
1251 - 60154 - 12 - "
Increase 7228 - " - "
Average 52170 - 3 - "
Increase 7684 - 9 - "

13. The Collections under this branch of Revenue, exhibit an increase over the preceding year, as well as over the average of the previous five years, as shewn in the Margin. The increase is owing to a brisk competition for the Farm, which is to be attributed to the System introduced in July 1250 having been found to work advantageously.

Ferry Farms -
Rs. a. p
1250 - - 4755 - 12 - "
1251 - - 5782 - 4 - "
Increase 1026 - 8 - "
Average - 4922 - 14 - 5
Increase 859 - 5 - 7

14. The increase under this head over the preceding year, is Rupees 1026 - 8 - " and Rupees 856 - 5 - 7 - over the average of the five preceding years.

Small Farms and Licenses.
1250 - - 4231 - 12 - 9
1251 - - 4623 - 14 - 5
Increase 392 - 1 - 8
Average - 4317 - 4 - 5
Increase 306 - 10 - "

15. The Collection from this Source of Revenue in the first year, exceed those of the preceding and the average in the proportions noted in the Margin. The increase is chiefly owing to the tax on the Manufacturers of Palmeira Jaggery having been more generally levied at the same time with the general extension of the e Koturpha tax during

during the year under report.

16. The revenue derived from the Manufacture of Jaggry, though hitherto included under the head of small farms and licenses, does not arise from the farming the right of making Jaggry from any Government Palmeira trees, of which there are none in the District. It is properly speaking a tax on the profession of making Jaggry, and should, therefore, be classed under the head of Moturpha - I would accordingly propose with the sanction of the Board to transfer it to that head in the future settlements.

e Koturpha.
1250 - - 10698 - 5 - 2
1251 - - 13782 - 3 - 4
Increase 3083 - 14 - 2
Average 10625 - 7 - 7
Increase 3376 - 11 - 9

17. The increase in this branch of Revenue, compared with the preceding year, and the average of the 5 former years, is shewn in the Margin - The increase arises from the Collection of certain taxes being generally enforced under the orders of Government dated 24th. December 1841.

The

Settlement of 1250 ——— 10698-8-2

Ordinary-

Reductions in 1251 -- 492-1-6-

Additions -- do. ---- 429-9-7-

62-7-11

10635-13-3-

Permanent reduction under Govt. orders of 24th. Dec. 1841 ------ 853-8-5

9782-4-10

Add under the above orders-

Amount proposed to be added as per Report in the 24th. Sept. 1841 4310-9-11-

Deduct unassessed for causes explained in this Report ---- 310-11-5-

3999-14-6-

Settlement of 1251 --- 13782-3-4-

The settlement of Motarpha for 1252 was Rupees 10698-8-2 in which the following alterations were made from ordinary causes in 1251 viz. additions to the amount of Rupees 429-9-7- & reductions to Rupees 492-1-6- leaving the settlement for the year 1251 at Rupees 10635-13-3-

The additions made under the orders of Government dated 24th. December 1841, amounted to Rupees 3999-14-6, and the reductions permanently allowed to Rupees 853-8-5- In this settlement there is a decrease of Rupees 310-11-5- below the expected additions, as stated in my report to the Board under date the 24th. September 1841. This deficiency is chiefly to be accounted for by casualties which have occurred among some of the parties to be assessed, since the estimate was framed in fasly

fasly 1248.-

Tobacco.

1250 - 28933-5-11

1251 -- 28685-13-4

Decrease - 8649-11-1

Average - 28458-1-7-

Decrease - 3895-2-9-

19- The revenue under this head has fallen below the receipts of the preceding year as well as of the average of the 5 previous years, as shewn in the Margin- The decrease is attributable to the low prices obtainable for the grain of the district, which diminished the means of the Ryots for the purchase of Tobacco.-

The increase observable between the amount entered in this report, and that in the printed form is owing to larger recoveries of advances in the past than in the preceding year.-

Stamps.

1250 - 36382-1-9

1251 - 39883-4-9

Increase - 3501-13-

Average - 35402-4-7-

Increase - 4481-10-2-

21- The Collections from this branch of revenue during the past year, exceed those of the preceding as also the average of the former five years, the former in Rupees 3501-13-, and the latter in the sum of Rupees 4481-10-2.- The sales of Stamps in the several Departments are shewn in the following Statement.-

Sales.

15272

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

	Sales in 1250.		Sales in 1251	
Lastly to which the produce belongs.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.
		Rs. a p		Rs. a p
1248	39	12 7 98 7 4	"	" " "
1249	632	3 2 15,728 10 5	"	" " "
1250	52	16 1 1,209 14 8	418	5 17 10,425 14 1
	724	11 10 17,925 13 1	418	5 17 10,425 14 1

Abstract of Sales of Pepper in 1250 & 1251 Fasly.

Sea Customs — Rs. 5,420 11 " Rs. 542 " "

Total — Rs. 3,93,552 12 9 Rs. 39,355 4 " "

Add proceeds of 1249 Fasly 3,93,552 12 9

Add proceeds of 1248 Fasly 12,987 4 " "

Grand Total Rs. 4,06,539 12 9

Abstract.

1248 - 1250 Fasly

1251 - 1252 Fasly

Deduct Pepper sold in 1250 Fasly

Balance Pepper to be carried to 1252 Fasly

Deduct Pepper sold in 1251 Fasly

Balance Pepper to be carried to 1253 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1250 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1251 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1252 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1253 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1254 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1255 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1256 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1257 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1258 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1259 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1260 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1261 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1262 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1263 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1264 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1265 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1266 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1267 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1268 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1269 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1270 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1271 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1272 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1273 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1274 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1275 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1276 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1277 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1278 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1279 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1280 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1281 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1282 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1283 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1284 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1285 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1286 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1287 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1288 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1289 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1290 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1291 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1292 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1293 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1294 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1295 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1296 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1297 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1298 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1299 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1300 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1301 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1302 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1303 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1304 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1305 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1306 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1307 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1308 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1309 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1310 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1311 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1312 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1313 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1314 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1315 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1316 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1317 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1318 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1319 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1320 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1321 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1322 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1323 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1324 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1325 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1326 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1327 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1328 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1329 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1330 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1331 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1332 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1333 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1334 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1335 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1336 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1337 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1338 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1339 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1340 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1341 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1342 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1343 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1344 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1345 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1346 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1347 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1348 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1349 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1350 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1351 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1352 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1353 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1354 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1355 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1356 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1357 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1358 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1359 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1360 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1361 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1362 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1363 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1364 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1365 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1366 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1367 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1368 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1369 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1370 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1371 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1372 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1373 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1374 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1375 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1376 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1377 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1378 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1379 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1380 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1381 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1382 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1383 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1384 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1385 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1386 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1387 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1388 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1389 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1390 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1391 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1392 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1393 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1394 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1395 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1396 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1397 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1398 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1399 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1400 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1401 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1402 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1403 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1404 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1405 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1406 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1407 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1408 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1409 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1410 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1411 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1412 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1413 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1414 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1415 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1416 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1417 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1418 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1419 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1420 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1421 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1422 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1423 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1424 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1425 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1426 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1427 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1428 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1429 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1430 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1431 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1432 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1433 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1434 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1435 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1436 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1437 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1438 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1439 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1440 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1441 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1442 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1443 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1444 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1445 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1446 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1447 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1448 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1449 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1450 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1451 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1452 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1453 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1454 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1455 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1456 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1457 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1458 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1459 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1460 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1461 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1462 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1463 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1464 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1465 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1466 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1467 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1468 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1469 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1470 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1471 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1472 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1473 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1474 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1475 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1476 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1477 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1478 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1479 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1480 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1481 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1482 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1483 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1484 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1485 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1486 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1487 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1488 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1489 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1490 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1491 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1492 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1493 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1494 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1495 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1496 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1497 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1498 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1499 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1500 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1501 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1502 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1503 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1504 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1505 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1506 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1507 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1508 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1509 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1510 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1511 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1512 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1513 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1514 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1515 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1516 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1517 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1518 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1519 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1520 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1521 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1522 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1523 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1524 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1525 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1526 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1527 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1528 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1529 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1530 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1531 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1532 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1533 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1534 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1535 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1536 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1537 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1538 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1539 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1540 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1541 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1542 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1543 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1544 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1545 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1546 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1547 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1548 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1549 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1550 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1551 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1552 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1553 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1554 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1555 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1556 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1557 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1558 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1559 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1560 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1561 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1562 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1563 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1564 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1565 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1566 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1567 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1568 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1569 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1570 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1571 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1572 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1573 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1574 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1575 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1576 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1577 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1578 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1579 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1580 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1581 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1582 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1583 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1584 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1585 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1586 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1587 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1588 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1589 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1590 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1591 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1592 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1593 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1594 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1595 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1596 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1597 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1598 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1599 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1600 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1601 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1602 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1603 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1604 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1605 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1606 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1607 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1608 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1609 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1610 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1611 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1612 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1613 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1614 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1615 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1616 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1617 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1618 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1619 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1620 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1621 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1622 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1623 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1624 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1625 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1626 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1627 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1628 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1629 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1630 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1631 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1632 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1633 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1634 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1635 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1636 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1637 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1638 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1639 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1640 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1641 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1642 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1643 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1644 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1645 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1646 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1647 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1648 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1649 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1650 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1651 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1652 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1653 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1654 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1655 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1656 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1657 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1658 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1659 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1660 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1661 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1662 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1663 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1664 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1665 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1666 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1667 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1668 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1669 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1670 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1671 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1672 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1673 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1674 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1675 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1676 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1677 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1678 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1679 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1680 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1681 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1682 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1683 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1684 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1685 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1686 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1687 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1688 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1689 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1690 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1691 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1692 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1693 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1694 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1695 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1696 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1697 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1698 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1699 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1700 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1701 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1702 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1703 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1704 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1705 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1706 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1707 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1708 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1709 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1710 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1711 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1712 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1713 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1714 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1715 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1716 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1717 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1718 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1719 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1720 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1721 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1722 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1723 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1724 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1725 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1726 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1727 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1728 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1729 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1730 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1731 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1732 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1733 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1734 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1735 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1736 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1737 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1738 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1739 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1740 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1741 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1742 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1743 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1744 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1745 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1746 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1747 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1748 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1749 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1750 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1751 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1752 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1753 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1754 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1755 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1756 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1757 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1758 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1759 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1760 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1761 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1762 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1763 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1764 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1765 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1766 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1767 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1768 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1769 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1770 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1771 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1772 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1773 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1774 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1775 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1776 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1777 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1778 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1779 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1780 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1781 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1782 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1783 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1784 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1785 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1786 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1787 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1788 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1789 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1790 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1791 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1792 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1793 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1794 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1795 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1796 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1797 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1798 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1799 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1800 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1801 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1802 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1803 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1804 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1805 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1806 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1807 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1808 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1809 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1810 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1811 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1812 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1813 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1814 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1815 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1816 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1817 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1818 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1819 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1820 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1821 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1822 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1823 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1824 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1825 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1826 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1827 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1828 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1829 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1830 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1831 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1832 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1833 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1834 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1835 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1836 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1837 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1838 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1839 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1840 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1841 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1842 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1843 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1844 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1845 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1846 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1847 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1848 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1849 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1850 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1851 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1852 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1853 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1854 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1855 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1856 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1857 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1858 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1859 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1860 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1861 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1862 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1863 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1864 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1865 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1866 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1867 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1868 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1869 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1870 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1871 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1872 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1873 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1874 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1875 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1876 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1877 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1878 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1879 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1880 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1881 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1882 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1883 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1884 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1885 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1886 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1887 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1888 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1889 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1890 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1891 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1892 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1893 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1894 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1895 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1896 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1897 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1898 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1899 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1900 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1901 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1902 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1903 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1904 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1905 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1906 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1907 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1908 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1909 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1910 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1911 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1912 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1913 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1914 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1915 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1916 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1917 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1918 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1919 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1920 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1921 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1922 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1923 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1924 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1925 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1926 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1927 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1928 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1929 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1930 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1931 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1932 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1933 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1934 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1935 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1936 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1937 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1938 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1939 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1940 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1941 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1942 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1943 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1944 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1945 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1946 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1947 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1948 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1949 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1950 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1951 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1952 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1953 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1954 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1955 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1956 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1957 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1958 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1959 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1960 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1961 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1962 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1963 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1964 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1965 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1966 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1967 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1968 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1969 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1970 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1971 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1972 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1973 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1974 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1975 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1976 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1977 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1978 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1979 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1980 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1981 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1982 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1983 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1984 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1985 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1986 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1987 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1988 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1989 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1990 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1991 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1992 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1993 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1994 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1995 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1996 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1997 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1998 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 1999 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2000 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2001 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2002 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2003 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2004 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2005 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2006 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2007 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2008 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2009 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2010 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2011 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2012 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2013 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2014 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2015 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2016 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2017 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2018 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2019 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2020 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2021 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2022 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2023 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2024 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2025 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2026 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2027 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2028 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2029 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2030 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2031 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2032 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2033 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2034 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2035 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2036 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2037 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2038 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2039 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2040 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2041 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2042 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2043 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2044 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2045 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2046 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2047 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2048 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2049 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2050 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2051 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2052 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2053 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2054 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2055 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2056 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2057 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2058 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2059 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2060 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2061 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2062 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2063 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2064 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2065 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2066 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2067 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2068 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2069 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2070 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2071 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2072 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2073 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2074 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2075 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2076 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2077 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2078 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2079 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2080 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2081 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2082 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2083 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2084 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2085 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2086 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2087 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2088 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2089 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2090 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2091 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2092 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2093 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2094 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2095 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2096 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2097 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2098 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2099 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2100 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2101 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2102 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2103 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2104 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2105 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2106 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2107 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2108 Fasly

Sale of Pepper in 2109

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

23- An abstract Statement of the arrears of Revenue from Exciseable sources outstanding at the close of the year under report, compared with Fusly 1250, together with the Balance at this date are given below.-

Arrears on the 11th July 1250		2,969-9-5
Ditto — Ditto — 1251		5,930-7-2
Increase		2,960-13-9
Demand of 1251		128875-11-1
Collections within the Fusly		122868-4-5
Balance on the 11th July		5930-7-2
Since collected		5707-4-5
Balance on the 31st October		223-2-9

24- Of the arrears outstanding at the close of 1250, the following sums have been collected.-

Balance on the 12th July 1251		2969-9-5
Collections		2902-4-8
Balance on the 31st October		67-5-5

25- Of the arrears on account of Fusly 1250, Rupees 22 are irrecoverable for the following reasons.- The Collection of

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

of the Excisable Arrack Tobacco Tannah to the amount of 522 Rupees which was entrusted to a Peon to be remitted to the Principal or District Treasury was carried off by Robbers, who attacked and murdered the Peon on the road. The Police Officers proceeded to the spot and succeeded in apprehending the Murderers, and recovered the Collection to the extent of 300 Rupees. The Case was tried before the Circuit Court, and the Prisoners were convicted one of whom has undergone the sentence of death. The deficient sum of Rupees 22 was disposed of by the robbers before they were apprehended, and could not be recovered. I therefore beg that the necessary sanction may be obtained for striking off this sum from the account.-

26- This Report has been delayed beyond the prescribed period owing to a press of other business.-

Rent & Collectors Cutcherry,
On Circuit, Tooliar,
4th November 1842.

[Signed] H. M. Blair
Rent Collector

Detailed

Fort St. George

Disbursements of

21st November 1842.

the Salt Revenue in the Zillah of

Stock.

Foreign Salt purchased from the Contractors within the Limits									Total								
Quantity.			Rate per Garce.			Value.			Quantity			Value.					
5			6			7			8			9					
Mds.	Srs.	Lbs.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Mds.	Srs.	Lbs.	Rs.	a.	p.			
4	207	"	"	17	"	"	76	12	9	4	207	"	"	76	12	9	
26	356	"	"	14	"	"	376	7	4	26	356	"	"	376	7	4	
31	163	"	"	"	"	"	453	4	1	31	163	"	"	453	4	1	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
205	270	"	"	19	"	"	3,926	12	11	206	270	"	"	3,926	12	11	
145	148	"	"	18	"	"	2,616	10	6	145	148	"	"	2,616	10	6	
352	18	"	"	"	"	"	6543	7	5	352	18	"	"	6543	7	5	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	571	158	"	2	6,856	11	10	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	70	242	7	6	635	7	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	205	150	"	"	1,436	12	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	847	101	"	"	8,925	15	2	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	588	74	4	4	7057	12	7	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	383	3	4	98	6	11	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	44	131	4	6	310	4	10	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	643	189	4	6	7466	8	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	581	346	"	"	6,982	5	7	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	283	"	"	267	5	8	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22	16	"	"	154	4	6	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	633	245	"	"	7403	15	9	
353	181	"	"	"	"	"	2,996	11	6	2507	316	4	6	30796	2	9	

the Manufacturers with the Faujdary

Rate per Garce.			Value.			
3			4			
Rupees	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
12	"	"	6,856	11	10	
9	"	"	635	7	4	
7	"	"	1436	12	"	
"	"	"	8,925	15	2	
12	"	"	7,057	12	7	
9	"	"	98	6	11	
7	"	"	310	4	10	
"	"	"	7466	8	4	
12	"	"	6,982	5	7	
9	"	"	267	5	8	
7	"	"	154	4	6	
"	"	"	7,403	15	9	
"	"	"	23,799	7	3	

15277 Fort St. George

Canara for Fusly 1251 ..

Stock (Contd)

Gain by Measurement. 10	Confiscated Quantity. 11	Quantity imported from other Talooks. 12	Total 13	Grand Total of Columns 1 and 12. 14
Mds. Ms. Ps. Cs.	Mds. Ms. Ps. Cs.	Mds. Ms. Ps. Cs.	Mds. Ms. Ps. Cs.	Mds. Ms. Ps. Cs.
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" 119 " "	" 4 7 "	332 190 " "	336 7 7 "	655 96 3 "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" 3 " "	239 11 " "	239 11 3 "	366 119 1 "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	369 157 " "	721 155 " "	1078 213 7 5
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	20 7 4 133 311 " "	133 331 7 4	253 2 7 4
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	1 2 " 217 143 " "	217 144 2 "	225 302 4 2
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
7 73 6 3	" 15 4 1	" " " "	554 190 2 4	2539 128 2 6
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" 15 7 " "	" 4 6 4	248 170 " "	891 383 2 2	2459 347 6 "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " "

Fort St. George

21st November 1842.

Disposal (Cont.)

Total Sales.			Quantity exported to other Islands.	Wastage	Total of Columns 21 - 23 and 24 -	Quantity in hand.	Remaining		Receipts.		
							Wastage requiring sanction, to write off in the accounts.	Total.	Gross Revenue from Sales.		
Quantity	Value								Home Consumption.	Inland Consumption.	Total.
21	22		23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
M. Md.	Rs. a.	P.	M. Md.	M. P.	M. Md.	M. P.	M. Md.	M. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.
391 326	41140 10	1	37 389	3 354	433 269	198 191	23 34	221 225	39548 8 9	1592 1 4	41140 10 1
217 17	22789 7	7	8 3556	225 372	122 313		17 233	140 146	22789 7 7		22789 7 7
765 216	80381 13	10	7 341	6 773 157	6 286 80		18 376	1 5 305 56	1 5 29547 13 9	50834 1	80381 13 10
150 177	4 15796	11 4	6 243	157 407	4 82 59		13 303	95 362	13788 1	2008 10 4	15796 11 4
175 264	18444 5	5		175 264	45 397		4 41	4 2 50 38	4 2 9549 3 9	8895 1 8	18444 5 5
565 283	39399 4 9	754 41	99 340	4 1419 264	4 951 257	1 6	167 287	1419 244	1 6 20794 3 4	38605 1 5	59399 4 9
414 229	1 4 44055 2	4 608 22	99 199	7 4 1127 514	4 406 140		226 206	5 1332 326	5 12926 2 9	31058 14 7	44055 2 1
352 262	37028 12 2	455 124	56 280	5½ 894 265	5½ 1045 172	2	172 120	6 1217 292	2 6 7072 8 6	29956 3 8	37028 12 2
2938 175	1 31403 6	3 6 1855 176	313 135	5½ 5027 86	5½ 3339 110	1 6	644 1	3 34483 111	5 3 158056 2 6	152980 1 1	314036 3 6

Fort St. George

21st November 1842.

Receipts. /Cont.d/ Extra Revenue.			Refunds of Charges.			Total receipts of Columns 31. 32. and 33.			Purchase of Salt.			Charges Servants Wages.		
	32			33			34			35			36.		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	163	2	8	"	"	"	41,303	12	9	453	4	1	2091	7	9
	"	6	5	1	"	"	22790	14	"	"	"	"	1,044	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	1	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8	8	1	1	4	7	80391	10	2	6543	7	5	737	"	"
	"	"	5	"	"	"	15796	11	9	"	"	"	598	8	"
	"	5	4	3	15	1	18448	9	10	"	"	"	228	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	14	5	11	4	3	2	59417	13	10	8928	15	2	3371	3	6
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	50	4	9	"	"	"	44405	7	1	7466	8	4	2805	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	26	15	"	"	"	"	37055	11	2	7403	15	9	1911	8	"
	264	7	10	6	10	3	19310	10	11	39795	2	9	12756	11	3

Disbursements.

Superintendence and Sales &c.a.

Saudercan: Pilot Charges.			Charges Shipping.			Extra Sibbendy.			Charges extraordinary.			Total.		
	37			38			39			40			41		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	74.	2	6	655	9	6	135	"	"	137	11	10	3,094	2	7
	24	6	5	667	14	7	239	9	"	67	11	7	2,043	7	7
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	29	11	1	140	11	2	173	7	3	224	15	11	1305	13	5
	29	7	4	180	"	"	32	"	"	65	5	3	905	4	7
	16	12	10	510	11	3	50	"	"	61	7	6	866	15	7
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	76	6	4	4300	4	11	382	4	10	763	8	1	8892	11	8
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	83	3	8	3674	15	7	295	15	8	1826	10	6	8685	13	2
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	69	7	5	1759	14	9	307	12	10	594	5	4	4643	4	4
	403	7	4	11890	1	9	1816	1	7	3741	15	2	30438	4	11

15280 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Disbursements (Contd.)

Total Charges of Columns 35 and 41- 42 Rs a P	Value of Stamps Paper used for the security bonds from Public Servants. 43 Rs a P	Amount transferred to the Government Treasury. 44 Rs a P	Total Disbursements of Columns 42-43- and 44. 45 Rs a P
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3547 6 8	1 " "	37755 6 1	" " "
2043 7 7	" " "	20747 6 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7849 4 10	1 " "	72541 5 8	" " "
905 4 7	" " "	14891 7 2	" " "
866 15 7	" " "	17581 10 5	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17822 10 10	2 " "	41593 3 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16152 5 6	" " "	27955 1 7	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12047 " 1	" " "	25508 11 1	" " "
61234 7 8	4 " "	258072 3 3	319510 10 11

Principal Collector's Cutcherry on Fort St. George 4th

Net Revenue after deduction of charges and the value of Salt actually sold within the Fasly- 46 Rs a P
" " "
" " "
" " "

15281

No 2 Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and Sold in the Southern Districts for the Year 1251 Fasly 12

Talooks	Name of Tannah or place of Manufacture	Quantity of Salt Manufactured				Quantity Sold				Remarks	Corrections
1	2	3				4				12	
		Gs	Mds	Ps	Os	Mds	Ps	Os			
Mangalore	Cudbah	"	"	"	"	274	48	"	"		
	Moolky	"	"	"	"	145	281	"	"		
		"	"	"	"	369	329	"	"		
Bekul	Nellaisher	"	"	"	"	40	183	"	"	Was unusually heavy	
	Cajaragode	"	"	"	"	59	166	"	"	fall of rain in the	
	Comblla	"	"	"	"	80	105	"	"	Month of April	
	Manjeisher	"	"	"	"	82	314	"	"	this Year, proved very	
		"	"	"	"	206	327	"	"	injurious to the Ma	
Pandwal	Cudbah	"	"	"	"	113	37	"	"	nufacture of Salt	
Oodiky	Oodeawer	"	"	"	"	53	388	"	"	which fell short of	
	Caup	"	"	"	"	167	5	"	"	the Manufacture of	
		"	"	"	"	75	322	"	"	1250.	
Barcoor	Bremawer	"	"	"	"	246	60	"	"		
Cundapoor	Hungally	436	15	"	"	193	172	"	"		
	Wadderhobly	412	5	"	"	102	284	"	"		
	Harnand	306	149	"	"	72	97	"	"		
	Byndoor	189	30	"	"						
		1393	207	"	"	614	216	"	"		
Honore	Coynta	387	240	7	"	192	226	"	"		
	Sheraly Butkul	613	307	4	2	47	290	"	"		
	Bylore	91	242	"	"	12	129	"	"		
	Guersapah	"	"	"	"	201	340	"	"		
	Honore	"	"	"	"	33	48	2	"		
		1091	240	3	2	487	232	2	"		
Inkola	Nuggerbyle	877	53	"	"	39	59	"	"		
	Andolah	"	"	"	"	16	224	"	"		
	Sedasheingar	"	"	"	"	6	236	"	"		
	Ooppinpatna	"	"	"	"	281	198	"	"		
	Mullapoor	"	"	"	"	"	326	"	"		
		877	53	"	"	344	342	"	"		
Total		3361	30	3	2	2989	47	2	"		

Bolltrs Cutcherry

Statement

Cub Collrs Cutcherry											
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
5	"	"	81	161	"	"	7			1	4
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				15
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			5	0
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				2
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				9
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				82
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				11
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				31
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				6
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			1	
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				2
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
1	"	"	81	161	"	"	7				

Cub Collrs Cutcherry

Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Cand the quantity of Salt which is supplied

Mahratta Country.

Balaghaut in upper Canara.

Total quantity of Salt exported to Inland Districts according to Road.

Mysore.

Coorg.

Firstly

Firstly 1250.

	5			6			7			8			9		
	M	R	P	M	R	P	M	R	P	M	R	P	M	R	P
Ma	"	"	"	"	"	"	120	"	"	72	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	248	"	"	393	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	368	"	"	65	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	383	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ba	"	"	"	"	"	"	159	"	"	249	"	"	204	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	328	"	"	178	"	"	"	"	"
Ca	"	"	"	"	"	"	274	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	64	"	"	52	"	"	"	"	"
Co	"	"	"	"	"	"	154	"	"	286	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	233	"	"	361	"	"	"	"	"
Ba	"	"	"	"	"	"	315	"	"	132	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	75	"	"	281	"	"	"	"	"
Cun	"	"	"	"	"	"	376	"	"	293	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	221	"	"	267	"	"	"	"	"
	58	387	"	35	262	"	94	"	"	157	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	215	"	"	245	"	"	"	"	"
	"	206	"	"	62	"	317	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
Ha	"	173	"	"	60	107	189	209	"	128	301	"	"	"	"
	1	350	"	"	"	208	"	2	227	"	"	"	88	"	"
	61	316	"	96	239	"	366	262	"	179	396	"	"	"	"
	1	151	"	6	341	"	8	317	"	1	317	"	"	"	"
Ja	"	258	"	"	186	"	1	75	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	121	134	"	79	259	"	269	117	"	104	39	"	"	"	"
	123	167	"	36	366	"	279	109	"	105	356	"	"	"	"
	85	83	"	183	205	"	1511	120	"	1105	71	"	151	294	"

Cherry on Circuit, Soolia 4th November 1842.

from the

1251-

Mahratta Country.

	10					
	M	G	M	P	G	M
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	6	115	"	"	27
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	57
	4	80	"	"	"	"
	30	95	"	"	85	
	"	123	"	"	4	
	"	62	"	"	"	
	85	322	"	"	88	
	86	317	"	"	93	
	116	312	"	"	178	

Sabenala and Somasher Passes.

Signed D. Detailed

et of the Receipts a

Frontier Duty

	1			2			3				
sports.	Exports.	Total.	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	tions
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
9	15	"	627	15	10	4327	14	10	1	4	
6	3	109	5	2	121	11	5				
5	4	1	144	2	4	5360	6	5			5
7	14	10	187	5	3	1267	4	1			2
11	5	68	"	5	345	11	10				9
6	2	30	11	5	135	1	7				52
2	2	1	25404	13	7	62573	15	8			11
15	11	12	4364	14	11	13625	14	10			3
2	3	17	640	8	7	22561	10	10			1
11	"	16	3577	15	6	232992	11	6			2

Fort St. George

21st November 1842

nd Charges of the Sayer Revenue in the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1251.—

Demands.							Collections						
Town duty.			Total				Extra Revenue	Refunds of Charges	Total Demand Columns 9 to 11	Sayer Collection	Extra Revenue	Refunds of Charges	Total Collections
Imports.	Exports.	Total.	Imports.	Exports.	Total.								
4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,679 11 "	3,121 5 2	4,801 - 2	5,379 10 "	3749 5 "	9128 15 "		17 " 11	2 1 5	9,148 1 4	9,128 15 "	17 " 11	2 1 5	9,148 1 4
" " "	181 2 "	181 2 "	12 6 3	290 7 2	302 13 5		" 12 5	1 " 7	304 10 5	302 13 5	" 12 5	1 " 7	304 10 5
296 8 10	174 12 3	471 5 1	5,512 12 11	318 14 7	5,831 11 6		2 3 6	" " "	5,833 15 "	5,831 11 6	2 3 6	" " "	5,833 15 "
437 1 11	6,389 14 8	6,827 - 7	1,517 - 9	6,577 3 11	8,094 4 8		7 " 6	" 3 "	8,101 8 2	8,094 4 8	7 " 6	" 3 "	8,101 8 2
543 11 10	137 9 5	681 5 3	861 7 3	205 9 10	1,067 1 1		14 5 8	" " "	1,081 6 9	1,067 1 1	14 5 8	" " "	1,081 6 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	104 6 2	30 11 5	135 1 7		7 13 7	" " "	142 15 2	135 1 7	7 13 7	" " "	142 15 2
386 11 7	1,376 - 10	1,762 12 5	37,555 13 8	26,780 14 5	64,336 12 1		164 14 6	8 10 4	64,510 4 11	64,336 12 1	164 14 6	8 10 4	64,510 4 11
1,175 8 6	11,015 12 4	12,191 4 10	1,306 8 5	136,380 11 3	148,450 3 8		261 10 3	" " "	148,711 13 11	148,450 3 8	261 10 3	" " "	148,711 13 11
481 1 7	34,852 4 1	35,333 5 8	5,402 3 10	52,492 12 8	57,895 - 6		7 8 "	" " "	57,902 8 6	57,895 " 6	7 8 "	" " "	57,902 8 6
5,000 7 3	57,248 12 9	62,249 4 "	69,415 5 3	225,826 10 3	295,241 15 6		483 3 4	11 15 4	295,737 4 2	295,241 15 6	483 3 4	11 15 4	295,737 4 2

15284

Sheet No. 2 of [illegible]

21st November 1862

Balance	Servants Wages	Saunderwarid Charges	Charges Extraordinary	Value of Stamps &c Returned for Security Bonds from the Public Servants	Total Charges	Drawback	Total Charges	Net amount of Collections after deducting charges
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b	Rs a b
" " "	2,682 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,682 " "			
" " "	3342 10 6	18 2 10	16 11 4	" " "	3408 88	9,133 7 2	11,782 7 2	
" " "	418 8 "	8 "	2 8 "	" " "	429 2 11	" " "	3408 88	
" " "	1471 " "	40 3 1	6 15 3	" " "	1518 2 4	" " "	429 2 11	
" " "	2872 2 11	26 3 11	22 14 8	1 " "	2922 5 6	" " "	1518 2 4	
" " "	1402 8 "	42 6 8	11 12 "	" " "	1456 10 8	" " "	2922 5 6	
" " "	708 " "	15 4 8	50 " 3	" " "	773 4 11	" " "	1456 10 8	
" " "	8659 1 11	149 13 8	315 3 10	" " "	9124 3 5	" " "	773 4 11	
" " "	5568 3 7	158 13 3	44 12 1	" " "	5771 12 11	" " "	9124 3 5	
" " "	2878 " "	57 7 10	48 7 "	" " "	2983 14 10	" " "	5771 12 11	
" " "	39,002 2 11	546 10 10	519 4 5	2 " "	32,070 2 2	" " "	2983 14 10	
						9,100 7 2	40,709 4 2	55,366 10 "

Principal Collectors Cutcherry on Circuit Sooria &c. Islands

1862 / Signed / H M. Blair

Print & Coll.r

Detailed

Remarks

The Items composing the Extra Collections in Col.n 14 are as follows.—

	Rs a b
Sales of Smuggled Goods and double duty Collected	189 12 7
Fractions Collected — —	27 15 1
Amount of Collector's Shares on Confiscated Goods which are not payable under the new rule of allowances — — —	246 4 7
Ditto of Shares on d.o due to the parties Concerned in the Seizure not paid in Consequence of their absence — — —	19 5 1
Total	483 5 4

The Refunds of Charges in Col: 15 are as follows.—

	Rs a b
Amount of Pay of servants drawn but not disbursed	11 11 11
Ditto of Saunderwarid Charges	" " 5
Ditto of Expenses for repairing Scales — — — — — — —	" 3 "
Total	11 15 4

15285

For the Year

No 5 Detailed Statement of the Collections a

21st November

tement of the Settlem

	Import Duty	Export Duty	Total	Extra Revenue	Remarks		Collections	Discount allowed on Collections in Col 4	Total	1st Instl August 1841	2d Instl September 1841
	1	2	3					250	2	3	4	5
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	a p				a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Kuzoor Cutcherry				4					21 3218 15 7	3326 6 3	1195 13
Ports	" "	" "	" "		passing the				1 12 214 1 2	613 3 8	367 15
Mangalore			6,265 12 5		" "		Rs a p	8 4	2 8 4	450 29	270 1
Bekul			55,897 10 "		" English	1215 8 "			" " 275 " 4	541 108	325 "
Codiay			12,319 3 2		Losses	235 4 "					
Barcoor			5,224 11 8		Collectors				4 4 170 4 4	340 18	204 4 "
Coondapoor			13,978 4 1		fiscated						
Buttcul			43,663 " 8		are not	49 3 10			" " " "	667 59	400 68
Comta			3,470 8 6		ver the am						
Inkola			150,451 7 2		vances				" 10 191 " 10	1059 139	635 148
			2,744 11 4		ns collected	4 2 3					
					led Goods				8 " 223 8 "	439 7 2	263 108
					e duty	13 4 3					
									8 4 215 8 "	508 5 4	305 "
					d from the						
					Pattamar				" " " "	337 9 1	202 8 8
					ithaut	8 " 4					
					led Brtz				" " " "	67 5 9	40 6 8
Total			12,322 4 4			Total	1525 6 4				
				1525 6 4	unt. of bolis is used pay				" 1 4,510 13 7	8,351 7 10	5,010 4 4

Principal Collectors' Cutcherys

an Abstr

Detailed

Fort St George

Current Collections and Balance of Abkary

3rd Kist October 1841	4th Kist November 1841	5th Kist December 1841	6th Kist January 1842	7th Kist February 1842	8th Kist March 1842	9th Kist April 1842
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ
1995 13 4	1995 13 4	1995 13 4	1995 13 4	1995 13 4	1995 13 4	1995 13 4
367 15 "	367 15 "	367 15 "	367 15 "	367 15 "	367 15 "	367 15 "
270 1 8	270 1 8	294 1 8	270 1 8	270 1 8	270 1 8	270 1 8
325 " "	325 " "	325 " "	325 " "	325 " "	325 " "	325 " "
204 1 "	204 1 "	204 1 "	204 1 "	204 1 "	204 1 "	204 1 "
400 6 8	400 6 8	400 6 8	400 6 8	400 6 8	400 6 8	400 6 8
635 14 8	635 14 8	635 14 8	635 14 8	635 14 8	635 14 8	635 14 8
263 10 8	263 10 8	263 10 8	263 10 8	263 10 8	263 10 8	263 10 8
305 " "	305 " "	305 " "	305 " "	305 " "	305 " "	305 " "
202 8 8	202 8 8	202 8 8	202 8 8	202 8 8	202 8 8	202 8 8
40 6 8	40 6 8	40 6 8	40 6 8	40 6 8	40 6 8	40 6 8
5,010 14 4	5,010 14 4	5,034 14 4	5,010 14 4	5,010 14 4	5,010 14 4	5,010 14 4

21st November 1842

Revenue in the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1251

10th Kist May 1842	11th Kist June 1842	12th Kist July 1842	Total Settlement of Fusly 1251	Extra Revenue	Total	Demand Col. 3 & 18
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ	Rs a ƒ
1995 13 4	1995 13 4	665 4 5	23950 " "	167 3 3	24117 3 3	27336 2 10
367 15 "	367 15 "	122 10 4	4415 4 "	2 7 8	4417 11 8	4631 12 10
270 1 8	270 1 8	90 " 7	3265 4 "	7 10 "	3272 14 "	3275 6 "
325 " "	325 " "	108 5 4	3900 " "	12 9 7	3912 9 7	4187 9 7
204 1 "	204 1 "	68 " 4	2448 12 "	1 10 6	2450 6 6	2620 10 6
400 6 8	400 6 8	133 7 7	4805 " "	24 5 "	4829 5 "	4829 5 "
635 14 8	635 14 8	211 15 7	7631 " "	17 11 1	7648 11 1	7839 11 11
263 10 8	263 10 8	87 14 2	3164 " "	23 8 2	3187 8 2	3411 " 2
305 " "	305 " "	101 10 8	3660 " "	" " "	3660 " "	3875 8 "
202 8 8	202 8 8	67 8 3	2430 8 "	" 8 "	2431 " "	2431 " "
40 6 8	40 6 8	13 7 7	485 " "	" " 1	485 " 1	485 " 1
5,010 14 4	5,010 14 4	1670 4 10	60154 12 "	257 11 9	60412 7 9	64923 5 4

15287

Fort St George 21st November 1862

Collections					Balance		
On account of Fusly 1249	On account of Fusly 1250	On account of Current Fusly	Total Collections	Extra Revenue	Total	On account of Current Fusly	Remarks
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	
2,297 13 6	921 2 1	20,646 8 10	23,865 8 5	107 3 3	24,032 11 8	3,303 7 2	The Items Composing the Extra Revenue in Col 24 are as follows:- Rs a ps
" " "	214 1 2	4,294 12 4	4,508 13 6	2 7 8	4,511 8 2	120 7 8	Amount of interest Collected from the Farmers on account of arrears of their lists ... 257 " 5
" " "	28 "	3,265 4 "	3,267 12 "	7 10 "	3,275 6 "	" " "	
" " "	275 " "	3,900 " "	4,175 " "	12 9 7	4,187 9 7	" " "	Ditto Sale proceeds of Arrack and Toddy found at the places where the Sale thereof is prohibited by the Regulation " 11 2
" " "	170 4 "	2,319 6 4	2,489 10 4	1 10 8	2,491 4 10	129 5 8	
" " "	" " "	4,805 " "	4,805 " "	24 7 5	4,829 7 5	" " "	
" " "	191 " 10	7,531 " "	7,722 " 10	17 11 1	7,739 11 11	100 " "	Fractions Collected ... " " 2
" " "	223 8 "	3,164 " "	3,387 8 "	23 8 2	3,411 " 2	" " "	
" " "	215 8 "	3,660 " "	3875 8 "	" " "	3,875 8 "	" " "	Total ... 257 11 9
" " "	" " "	2,430 8 "	2,430 8 "	" 8 "	2,431 " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	485 " "	485 " "	" " 1	485 " 1	" " "	
2,297 13 6	2,213 " 1	56,501 7 6	61,012 5 1	257 11 9	61,240 " 10	3,653 4 6	

Prin.l Coll.r Cuttcherry Circuit Sealed 4th November 1862 / Signed / H. H. Blair
Prin.l Coll.r
Detailed

15288 George

O N. 1

21st November 1812

Canara for Fasly 1251

ent to Fasly								
ans of Payment In Rice	To the Islanders		Quantity of Coir received being the Established wage of Rs 4 a bundle of 40 to every hoon's Rice given to the Islanders for their pay: ment	Quantity of Coir received being the produce of the Sircar Garden	Quantity of Coir received on account of fines imposed on the Islanders for assault &c.	Total Quantity Cal.s 8-14 15 and 16	Grand Total Coll.s 1- and 17	
Quantity	Fixed price Rupees 2 - per Morah	of Cal.s						
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
x.s Morahs	Rx.s	Rx.s	C.s m.ds	C.s m.ds	C.s m.ds	C.s m.ds	C.s m.ds	
Manga 71 449 6/10			8394 12	1191 31	92 16 2/10	3 410 20/20	3 1 1/40	649 727 1321 10 20

15289

Fort St George 21st November 1842

Disposal On account of Study 1250			Quantity Remaining			Receipts	Charges Actual Charges of Government for Cin purchased							
							In Cash	In Piece						
Quantity ℔	Rate per Candy	Value	On account of Study 1250	On account of Study 1251	Total	On account of Study 1252	In Cash	Quantity ℔	Average at Rupees 1-4-1/2 each Maund	Total	Lubendy Charges	Charges Extraordinary	Total Charges	
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	
Cs mds	Rs as	Rs as	Cs mds	Cs mds	Cs mds	Rs as								
1 64	20 "	268 "												
12 5 "	23 " "	281 12 "												
404 43	25 " "	10117 10 1												
418 57	" "	10425 14 1	53 7 4	619 727	703 53 3	10425 14 1		2796 7 1	4197 6	5274 "	891 2 1	5379 10	35 2 8	8635 4 7

Principal Collectors Cutcherry on Circuit Trichinopoly
4th November 1842

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector
Detailed

15289

Fort St George 21st November 1842

Disposal On account of Fusly 1250			Quantity Remaining On			Receipts
Quantity	Rate per Candy	Value	On account of Fusly 1250	On account of Fusly 1251	Total	On account of Fusly 1250
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Cwts	Rds	Rds	Cwts	Cwts	Cwts	Rds
1 64	20 " "	2 68 "				
125 "	23 " "	281 12 "				
40443	25 " "	10117 10 1				
41857	" " "	10425 41 1	53 7 4	64 9 27	703 53 3	10425 41 1

Charges Actual Charges of Government for Ext purchased							
	Net Price				Subsidy Charges	Charges Extraordinary	Total Charges
In Cash	Quantity	Average at Rupees 1-4- 1/4 each Maund	Total				
26	27	28	29		30	31	32
	2796 1	4 19 7 10	5974 "		8071 21	5397 10	35 2 8
							86384 7

Principal Collectors Cutcherry on Circuit Salem
4th November 1842

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector
Detailed

15290 Fort St. George

21st November

No. 8 Detailed Statement of the Demand for Fusly 1251 of the Demand

Talooks	Arrears Demand Current								Balance on Account of Total						2d Kist February 1842		3d Kist March 1842	
	On account of 1250		1st Kist August 1841		2d Kist September 1841		3d Kist October 1841		4th Kist November 1841		5th Kist December 1841		6th Kist January 1842		7th Kist Febry 1842		8th Kist March 1842		of 1251.	
	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		20		21	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
Mangalore	377	45	447	43	261	34	261	34	261	34	261	34	261	34	261	34	151	37	196	37
Bekul	42	138	88	43	53	54	53	54	53	54	53	54	53	54	53	54				
Buntwah	"	"	112	11	56	47	56	"	56	"	56	"	56	"	56	"	35	"	35	"
Bodicky	"	"	191	"	43	56	11	56	11	56	11	56	11	56	11	56				
Barcoor	"	"	27	48	16	6	16	6	16	6	16	6	16	6	16	6				
Coondapoor	"	"	9	122	5	138	5	138	5	138	5	138	5	138	5	138				
Honore	"	"	62	48	37	6	37	6	37	6	37	6	37	6	37	6				
Onkola	11	"	127	52	76	64	76	64	76	64	76	64	76	64	76	64	24	"	24	"
Total	1349	489	3	517	149	517	149	517	149	517	149	517	149	517	149	461	210	37	255	37

	Rupees	a	p	Rs	a p
	6	9	4	6	7 6
	1	19	4	6	15 6
		2	13	"	2 4
		3	11	7	2 15
		1	8	"	1 3
	4	21	9	11	17 4
		21	2	"	16 14
		36	"	4	28 13
	5	42	2	5	433 11
		454	11	4	363 12
		43	12	"	35 "
		1155	15	7	924 12

Principal Collectors Cutcherry An Circuit Sanlee

4th " November

Principal Collecto

Detailed

1843

Fort St. George 21st November 1842

Collection and Balance of Jungle Money Fasl Farms in the Taluk of Canara for Fasly 1251.

L.	Demand. Current. 4th Kist April 1842			5th Kist May 1842.			Total Settlement of Fasly 1251.			Extra Revenue			Total including Extra Revenue			Collections On Account of Current			Extra Collections			Total including Extra Collections			Balance			Remarks.
	4			5			6			7			8			9			10			11			12			1
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
Q	10		7	6	10		3	11	4		37	2	u		1	8	u		38	10	u	u	u	u				
	10		15	6	10		7	11	4		77	2	u	u	u	u	u		77	2	u	u	u	u				
	u		2	4	u		1	2	u		11	4	u	u	u	3	10		11	7	10	u	u	u				She Extra
	8		2	15	8		1	7	11		14	14	5	u	u	u			14	14	5	u	u	u				Collections
	2		1	3	2		u	9	8		6	u	u	u	u	u			6	u	u	u	u	u				in Col: 10
	10		17	4	10		8	10	5		86	7	11	u	u	u			86	7	11	u	u	u				is the Amount
	5		16	14	5		8	7	2		84	8	u	u	u	u			84	8	u	u	u	u				of Mangoe
	u		28	13	u		14	6	7		98	7	7	u	u	u			98	7	7	45	9	8				tree Farms
	6		433	11	6		216	13	9		1822	1	7	u	u	u			1822	1	7	346	8	u				
	3		363	12	3		181	14	1		1818	13	3	u	u	u			1818	13	3	u	u	u				
	u		35	u	u		17	8	u		175	u	u		2	u	u		177	u	u	u	u	u				
	6		924	12	6		462	6	3		4623	11	9		3	11	10		4235	8	7	392	1	8				
																			14	14	u	u	u	u				
	2		1	3	2		u	9	8		6	u	u	u	u	u			6	u	u	u	u	u				in Col: 10
	10		17	4	10		8	10	5		86	7	11	u	u	u			86	7	11	u	u	u				is the Amount
	5		16	14	5		8	7	2		84	8	u	u	u	u			84	8	u	u	u	u				of Mangoe
	u		28	13	u		14	6	7		98	7	7	u	u	u			98	7	7	45	9	8				tree Farms
	6		433	11	6		216	13	9		1822	1	7	u	u	u			1822	1	7	346	8	u				
	3		363	12	3		181	14	1		1818	13	3	u	u	u			1818	13	3	u	u	u				
	u		35	u	u		17	8	u		175	u	u		2	u	u		177	u	u	u	u	u				

Fort St. George

Collection and Balance of Jungle Money

21st November 1842

For Farms in the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1251.

	Demand. Current. 4th Kist April 1842			5th Kist May — 1842.			Total Settlement of Fusly — 1251.			Extra Revenue			Total including Extra Revenue			Collections On Account of Current			Extra Collections			Total including Extra Collections			Balance			Remarks
	4			5			6			7			8			9			10			11			12			1
P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	
10	7	6	10	3	11	4	37	2	0	1	8	0	38	10	0	37	2	0	1	8	0	38	10	0	0	0	0	
10	15	6	10	7	11	4	77	2	0	0	0	0	77	2	0	77	2	0	0	0	0	77	2	0	0	0	0	
0	2	4	0	1	2	0	11	4	0	0	3	10	11	7	10	11	4	0	0	3	10	11	7	10	0	0	0	
8	2	15	8	1	7	11	14	14	5	0	0	0	14	14	5	14	14	5	0	0	0	14	14	5	0	0	0	
2	1	3	2	0	9	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	
10	17	4	10	8	10	5	86	7	4	0	0	0	86	7	11	86	7	11	0	0	0	86	7	11	0	0	0	
5	16	14	5	8	7	2	84	8	0	0	0	0	84	8	0	84	8	0	0	0	0	84	8	0	0	0	0	
0	28	13	0	14	6	7	144	1	3	0	0	0	144	1	3	98	7	7	0	0	0	98	7	7	45	9	8	
6	433	11	6	216	13	9	2168	9	7	0	0	0	2168	9	7	1822	1	7	0	0	0	1822	1	7	346	8	0	
3	363	12	3	181	14	1	1818	13	3	0	0	0	1818	13	3	1818	13	3	0	0	0	1818	13	3	0	0	0	
0	35	0	0	17	8	0	175	0	0	2	0	0	177	0	0	175	0	0	2	0	0	177	0	0	0	0	0	
6	924	12	6	462	6	3	4623	14	5	3	11	10	4627	10	3	4231	12	9	3	11	10	4235	8	7	392	1	8	

The Extra Collections in Col. 10 is the Amount of Mangoe tree Farms

Cutcherry on Circuit, Soolia 4th November 1842 / Signed / H. W. Blair

Print & Bolt 4th Detailed

15292 . George

No. 10. Balance of

21st November 1842

Motarpha Revenue in the Zillah of

Taluks	Demand Current Particulars of	Sheps	Sheriffs	Revenue Taxes- On Fishing Boats and Fishermen	On Pot Makers	On Gold & Smiths	On Brass Smiths	On Copper Smiths	On rice beating mills	On Oil Mongers
		10		11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Mangalore				288 7 7	40 2 "	98 8 "	6 10 "	4 12 "	36 4 5	63 8 "
Bekul		192	95 8 5	90 8 9	46 15 7	40 15 7	2 12 "	" " "	13 4 "	17 12 5
Buntwal		237	" "	85 " "	46 3 3	57 4 5	8 9 7	" 8 "	5 15 7	58 14 5
Oodipy		213	94 5 3	273 4 2	23 8 10	41 5 5	2 1 "	1 " "	5 8 "	29 13 10
Barcoor		168	44 " 7	178 13 2	50 1 7	37 4 "	5 8 "	1 " "	3 " 10	33 14 "
Coondapoor		273	6 1 7	179 9 "	18 7 3	32 4 10	2 1 7	" " "	1 3 2	35 4 "
Honore		2 "	9 8 "	213 2 "	18 10 "	16 7 15 7	5 " "	10 " "	29 9 7	94 13 7
Ankola		277	" " "	191 " "	24 4 9	8 6 5 8	" 8 "	" " "	102 4 9	10 14 7
Soopah		120	1 4 "	44 6 5	94 10 "	23 9 15 7	" " "	4 12 5	56 4 "	6 5 " "
Sonda		" "	18 6 5	6 4 "	9 14 "	4 3 8 "	1 2 "	" " "	1 2 "	3 " "
Bilghi		57	1 12 "	3 " "	15 4 "	4 1 8 "	" " "	" " "	1 " "	" 17 "
		132	" " "							
		151	270 4 3	1492 7 1	337 13 8	86 15 1	37 22 2	2 " 5	238 8 4	624 " 10

15293

Jno. Ls. St. George

21st November 1842

Canard for Tusky 1251.

Demand /Continued/
Current /Continued/
Particulars of Tax /Continued/

On Lodjans &c. Umbrella Makers.	On Toddy Drawers	On Barbers	On Washermen	On Chuck=lers	On Musicians	Total
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°
14 12 "	" " "	49 10 "	50 10 "	18 4 "	60 " "	321 2 125
48 " 10	77 8 "	34 10 "	35 8 "	9 10 5	28 12 "	919 12 10
65 3 7	28 6 15 7	32 4 "	39 11 11	13 4 5	25 7 2	1407 15 7
7 1 "	" " "	18 12 "	23 14 "	3 3 7	28 2 "	1077 1 6
10 10 "	" " "	23 14 9	25 8 "	10 14 "	20 1 "	745 15 2
4 85	" " "	13 8 "	11 " "	10 10 "	21 8 "	810 6 3
13 "	" " "	30 14 "	31 14 "	10 " "	25 10 "	1664 4 4
10 45	" " "	16 " "	28 5 2	7 11 3	33 7 2	863 10 7
1858 "	" " "	71 14 "	105 2 9	32 " "	34 8 "	1909 5 7
3 8 "	" " "	21 6 "	22 " "	12 8 "	3 6 "	855 6 9
1 " "	" " "	10 6 5	15 13 7	9 " "	5 12 "	285 8 4
363 83	364 7 7	323 3 2	389 7 5	137 1 8	289 12 4	13782 3 4

Collection

Extra Revenue issued by and 25.	Total columns	on account of Current Jusly.	Extra Revenue	Total Collections	Balance
25	26	27	28	29	30
R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°
" " "	321 2 12 5	2573 9 4	" " "	2573 9 4	669 3 1
" " "	919 12 10	876 14 10	" " "	876 14 10	42 14 "
" 10 "	1408 9 7	1247 9 7	" 10 "	1248 3 7	160 6 "
" " "	1077 1 6	1031 8 7	" " "	1031 8 7	45 8 11
" " "	745 15 2	628 8 6	" " "	628 8 6	117 6 8
" " "	810 6 3	730 14 5	" " "	730 14 5	79 7 10
" 8 "	1664 12 4	1431 4 4	" 8 "	1431 4 4	230 4 "
" " "	863 10 7	730 11 2	" " "	730 11 2	132 15 5
" " "	1909 5 7	1729 6 1	" " "	1729 6 1	179 15 6
" " "	855 6 9	838 10 9	" " "	838 10 9	16 12 "
" " "	285 8 4	4 12 "	290 4 4	" " "	
5 14 "	13782 3 4	12107 5 11	5 14 "	12113 3 11	1674 13 5

Remarks

The Extra Collection in Col. 28 is the Amount of Tax on Shops.

Principal Coll'r's Cutcherry Onslow } 1st November 1842

/Signed/ H. W. Blair
P. Coll'r

Detailed

Fort St George 21st November 1842.

A Disbursements of the Tobacco Revenue in the Zillah of

Stock within the Zilla by Date per Indy.		Value			Quantity imported confis.	Quantity Grain by calculated Weight	Total		Grand Total Columns 1 and 8	
1		2			3	4	5		6	
Rs	as	Rs	as	ps						
48	"	31,826	8	10						
50	"	40,944	6	6						
"	"	72,770	15	4						
50	"	303	12	"						
45	"	628	2	5						
40	"	1216	4	7						
"	"	2148	3	"						
50	"	5	7	4						
45	"	80	1	6						
40	"	213	1	1						
"	"	298	9	11						
"	"	2446	12	11						
"	"	75,217	12	3						

Gn.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.
35	10	13	32	"	"	"	64	13	24	"	1582	3 6 17 1566 16 6 3¼
298	2	"	"	"	"	"	2	3	14	24	300	5 14 24 303 18 6 6
272	13	13	"	"	4	20	23½	5	12	23	20	325 19 24 3½ 428 10 20 1½

15296. Fort St. George

Canara for Fusly 1251.—

21st November 1842.

Sales from the Quantity purchased and remaining on hand. Quantity	Rate per Candy.	Value	Disposal Sales of confiscated quantity. Quantity	Rate per Candy	Value	Total Sales Quantity	Value	Loss by exported	Loss by weight	Total Columns 16-18 and 19.	Remaining Quantity of Tobacco on hand
6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Cs.	Ms.	Rs. A. P.	Cs.	Ms.	Rs. A. P.	Cs.	Ms.	Rs. A. P.	Cs.	Ms.	Rs. A. P.	Cs. Ms.
						1667	4 2620	3 15	1667	8 13 20	199	7 21 11½
299	4 21	175	52366	9 1					299	4 21	4 13	13 5
268	17 27	20 175	47,057	5 6	4	175	35					
214	11 16	38½ 175	37,551	8 10	10	175	87 8					
164	16 16	13 175	28845	1 8								
128	1 8	38 175	22411	8 9								
165	5 7	36 175	28921	3 6	1	14 175	13 2					
184	4 19	10 175	32241	3								
97	6 14	20 175	17032	6 1	13	175	288 12					
34	3 23	34 175	5983	11 4	14	14 175	126 14					
20	14 25	35 175	3630	9 5	1	5 175	218 12					
22	24	175	3857	8	2	175	17 8					
1599	8	105½	279,898	2 10	4	10	787 8					
1599	8	105½	279,898	2 10	4	10	787 8					

269	1	27	20	47,092	5	6	25	1		1	13	1	24	295	16	1	4	132	14 18 3½
215	1	16	37½	37639		10	8	19	1	32				224		18	30½	35	8 17 37½
164	16	16	13	28845	1	8								164	17	10	13	74	15 8 25
128	1	8	38	22411	8	9								128	1	8	38	100	17 20 20
165	6	21	36	28934	5	6								165	6	21	36	77	11 18 13
184	4	19	10	32241		3	31	4	25		9			215	18	16	10	133	1 14
98	19	14	20	17320	12	6	1	16	1		12	17	30	101	2	5	10	86	2 9 12
34	18	9	34	6110	9	4					11	2	30¾	35	9	12	22¾	40	12 25 27
21	19	28	35	3849	5	5					7	6	15	22	7	4	10	21	12 21 22
22	2	24		3875										2320	22	3	19	20	21 6 21 30
1603	18	10	5½	280685	10	10	66	15	10	32	3	14	6	39¾ 1674	7	27	8¾	7 28	18 21 29
1603	18	10	5½	280685	10	10	1734		9	12	3	17	21	39¾ 3341	16	13	17¾	928	6 16 3¾

15296 Fort St George

21st November 1842.

Demand 1841

Arrears Balance of last year	Recoveries of advances for the purchase of Tobacco for the Monopoly	Gross Revenue from Sales From the Quantity Purchased	From the Quantity confiscated &c.	Total	Extra Revenues	Refunds of charges	Total	Collections Current On account of Recoveries from the advances	From the Gross Sales	Extra Revenue	Refunds	Total of Current	Arrears On account of Tusty 1250	Total Collections Columns 31 and 36	Grand Total Columns 22 and 29	
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	32	33	34	35	36	31	37	30	
Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	

15297

Fort St George

Charges Superintendence and Sales &c.

Balance of Tasly 1250	Purchase of Tobacco	Servants wages.	Sadarwans Charge	Charges for proceeding the Inland Depot with Tobacco	Charges for packing and stowing.	Boat freight
38 Rs A P	39 Rs A P	40 Rs A P	41 Rs A P	42 Rs A P	43 Rs A P	44 Rs A P
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	72770 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1760 13 9	34 9 6	220 3 10	1350 11 8	15 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20 " "	2483 "	2015 8 "	68 5 5	224 6 "	68 1 6	183 12 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2989 11	2176 11 3	23 12 "	368 1 2	5 15 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	276 " "	26 14 3	235 7 1	" " "	65 8 "
" " "	" " "	120	12 6 "	124 5 9	" 11 11	61 8 1
" " "	" " "	288	11 15 1	204 5 1	1 12 4	70 6 9
" " "	" " "	292	" 2 4	270 12 11	" " "	201 8 9
" " "	" " "	210	31 6 11	389 2 9	2 2 1	98 12 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	9 2 8	57 11 7	3 1 "	30 12 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	2 14 9	11 8 6	" 15 "	15 " 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	1 8 1	3 7 4	" 6 4	1 10 10
22 " "	2446 11 11	7139 1 "	227 13 8	2129 6 3	1433 13 3	752 13 9
22 " "	75217 12 3	7139 1 "	227 13 8	2129 6 3	1433 13 3	752 13 9

Principal Collector

21st Nove

ment of Stamp Re

Extra Sibbendy	Remarks		Total Sales	Penalty being ten times the value	Additional fee levied on stamped Europe Paper for deeds sanctioned by Govt. on the 31 March 1835
45 Rs	Extra Revenue in Col. 34 -		3 Rs A P	4 Rs A P	5 Rs A P
"	follows--				
"	nt of fines collected	Rs A P			
"	ached of Tobacco	2 " "			
"	es on Confiscated				
63	due to the parties		17456 1 "	1857 8 "	48 5 6
"	d in the - -				
"	not part in	25 4 8	705 5 "	" " "	1 5 "
"	ence of their				
"			1261 11 "	" " "	12 2 "
48	Collected	159	368 4 "	" " "	12 11 "
	Total	284 5			
"			660 9 "	" " "	7 9 "
"	refunds of charges				
"	s are as follows -	25 " "	518 " "	" " "	3 13 "
101	s pay of Servants	15 7 5			
	not Disbursed		7826 15 "	322 8 "	7 11 "
"	in Lebbeny	2 4 3			
"	arges for proceeding		515 9 "	" " "	2 15 6
"	ots with Tobacco	13 "			
"			1859 2 "	" " "	" " "
"	ges of 70 atts to Peons	25 9 4			
"	ore to bring Tobacco		3042 13 "	115 " "	2 5 "
"	Total	44 2 "	874 13 "	" " "	11 6
"			37889 2 "	1790 " "	99 8 6
213	7				
213	7				

Detailed

Collectors Cutcherry

Cutcherry

15297

Fort St George

21st Nove

ment of Stamp

Balance of Tusty 1830	Purchase of Tobacco	Charges Superintendence and Sales viz. Servants wages.	Sadarwans charge	Charges for proceeding the Inland Dept. with Tobacco	Charges for packing and Stowing	Boat freight	Extra Sibbendy.	Remarks	Total Sales	Penalty being ten times the value	Additional fee levied on stamped Europe Paper for deeds sanctioned by Govt. on the 31 March 1835
38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45		3	4	5
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
								Extra Revenue in Cl. 34 - as follows--			
								Amt of fines Collected for packed of Tobacco	17456 1 "	1357 8 "	48 5 6
							69	Cases on Confiscated due to the parties d in the - not part in ience of their	705 5 "		1 5 "
									1261 11 "		12 2 "
							48	Collected	368 4 "		12 11 "
								Total 284 5	860 4 "		7 9 "
								refunds of Charges	518 " "		3 13 "
								5 are as follows - 23 4 0	7826 15 "	372 8 "	7 11 "
							101	5 pay of servants 15 7 5	875 9 "		2 15 6
								not Delivered 5	1859 2 "		
								in Libbendy 2 4 3	5042 13 "	115 " "	2 5 "
								arges for proceeding 5	874 13 "		11 6
								epots with Tobacco 5 13 "			
								ges of Pattas to Peons 5	37889 2 "	1790 " "	99 8 6
								ent to bring Tobacco 5 25 9 4			
								Total 44 2 "			
							213 7				
							213 7				

Cutcherry

Detailed

Collectors Cutcherry

| | | | | | | | | |

No. 15 Fort St George 21st November 1842.

Revenue in the Zillah of Canara for Fasly 1251.

Date of Fasly	Pauper Suits.	Extra Revenue	Refunds of Charges.	Receipts. Value of stamps used for the execution of security Bond obtained from the Public Servants.	Amount Collected in Civil causes to make up the value of Stamps on which the plaints ought to have been written	Total Columns 4 to 10.	Total Receipts Columns 3 and 11.	Charges. Darogas and Venders Commission at 5 per Cent.	Value of stamp paper returned to the purchaser as per orders from the Board of Revenue.	Total Charges.	Extra Charges.	Net Receipts.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
1	94 3 3	- - -	7 1 7	1 - -	1 - -	1504 2 4	13960 3 4	872 12 9	121 8 -	- - -	1013 12 9	17946 6 7	The difference between the amount entered in Col. 16 of this Statement and that shewn in the Printed Statement arises from the Charges of Commission on the total receipts of the year, being entered in the former, while in the latter, the actual amount drawn within the Fasly only is inserted.
2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5 - -	700 10 -	35 4 3	- - -	- - -	36 4 3	671 5 9	
3	- - 1 10	- - 7	4 - 6	- - -	- - -	16 4 11	1777 15 11	63 14 -	- - -	7 3 -	63 8 7	1214 7 4	
4	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 - 6	- - -	13 11 6	381 15 6	18 6 8	- - -	- - -	18 6 8	363 8 10	
5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7 9 -	368 2 -	28 - 5	- - -	- - 9	28 1 2	720 - 1	
6	- - -	- - -	- - -	3 - -	- - -	6 13 -	524 13 -	25 14 8	- - -	- - 1 -	25 15 5	498 13 7	The Extra Revenue in Col. 7 is the amount of Fractions Collected.
7	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 - -	- - -	331 3 -	9068 2 -	436 5 6	- - -	- - -	430 15 6	8621 12 6	
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2 15 6	578 8 6	25 12 6	- - -	2 1 1	27 13 7	490 10 11	The Refunds of charges in Col. 8 is the Commission drawn by Darogas and Venders on the value of Stamp paper repaid to the Purchaser.
9	- - 66	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6 1859 2 6		92 15 3	- - -	- - -	92 15 3	1766 3 3	
10	- - -	- - 511	- - -	- - -	- - -	117 10 11	5160 7 11	252 2 2	- - -	- - -	252 2 2	4908 5 9	
11	- - -	- - 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	12 6 875 9 6		43 11 10	- - -	- - -	43 11 10	831 13 8	
12	94 3 3	- 9 8	7 2 10 1	1 - -		2002 8 2	39891 10 2	1894 1 11	- - -	2 10 1	2038 1 2	37853 9 -	

Dec Circuit Sorlia 4th November 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pubk Collector.

Statement

1529 rge

A Extra

21st November 1842.

Revenue in the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1251.—

Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250.

Comparison of the Settlement of Total Collections of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250.

	Balance Current Revenue in the 11th July 1842.	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	10 Rs A P	11 Rs A P	12 Rs A P	13 Rs A P	14 Rs A P
Salt		5160 7 "		5727 13 7	
Sayer		12662 11 "		12578 15 9	
Sea Custo				17306 4 11	
Abkarry	3653 4 6	7228 " "		5875 9 7	
Sundry Sr and Amcendins			1209 14 8		1209 14 8
Ferry Farms	210 3 7				
Small Farms	392 1 8	1020 8 "		1221 11 9	
Jungle Mrs		392 1 8			8 16 "
	603 5 3	1418 9 8	1209 14 8	1221 11 9	1218 13 8
Moturpha	1674 13 6	3083 14 2		13964 9 "	
Tobacco			3649 11 1	10375 6 3	
Stamps		3501 13 "		3508 5 "	
	5930 7 2	33052 12 9	26981 5 1	40019 2 2	1352 5 2 7

Remarks

Of the Balance on account of Fusly 1250 the following sums have been subsequently Collected.

	Rs A P
Abkarry	2213 1
Ferry Farms	389 9 4
Tobacco	300 " "
	2902 9 5

Of the Balance on account of Fusly 1251 the following sums have been subsequently Collected

	Rs A P
Abkarry	3653 4 6
Ferry Farms	175 3 7
Small Farms	392 1 8
Moturpha	1486 10 8
	5707 4 5

In the amount of Tobacco Extra Collections Col 8 Rupees 3334 6 6 on account of Reconcries of advances for the Purchase of Tobacco made in fusties 1250 & 1251 are included.

Signed H M. Blair
Principal Collector.

Statement.

15,300

Fort St George

B. Statement shewing the Demand. Collection

account of former Duties

	Total Demand on account of Ld Arrears at the Close of Jusly 1250	Arrears due on account of Jusly 1249.	Collections on Account of Jusly 1249.	Balance
	1	2	3	4
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Abkarry	4570 13 7	2297 13 6	2297 13 6	" " "
Sundry Small Farms and Licences				
Amcendeve Revenue	10425 14 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ferry Farms	434 9 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
	10860 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
Tobacco	322 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	15693 5 "	2297 13 6	2297 13 6	" " "

Principal Collectors Cutchery on Circuit
Salsette 4th November 1842

21st November 1842.

and Balance of Arrears of Excise Revenue due o
for Jusly 1257.

Arrears due on account of Jusly 1250.	Collections on account of Jusly 1250.	Balance	Total Collections of Arrears in Jusly 1257.	Total Balance Columns 4 and 7.	Remarks
5	6	7	8	9	
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
2213 " 1	2213 " 1	" " "	2570 13 7	" " "	
10425 14 1	10425 14 1	" " "	10425 14 1	" " "	
434 9 4	389 9 4	45 " "	389 9 4	45 " "	
10860 7 5	10815 7 5	45 " "	10815 7 5	45 " "	
322 " "	300 " "	22 " "	300 " "	22 " "	
13395 7 6	13328 7 6	67 " "	15626 5 "	67 " "	

Signed H. Mc. Blair
Princ. Collector.

Statement

Total Charges	77209	15.	6.	91116.	15.	7.	61238.	7.	8.	4512.	11.	7.	34421.	3.	6.				
Net Revenue	249498.	12.	1.	223065.	13.	9.	258072.	3.	3.	35506.	5.	6.		"	"	"			
Per Centage of the Charges	23 3/16.			20.			19 3/16.												
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																			
Gross Collections	328243.	12.	10.	303727.	1.	6.	314402.	7.	9.	10675.	6.	3.		"	"	"			
Charges for Purchase	62133.	1.	6.	81635.	3.	2.	75217.	12.	3.	"	"	"	6417.	11.	11.				
Advances for ditto	30480.	"	"	26408.	"	"	28666.	"	"	266.	"	"	"	"	"				
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	12392.	4.	"	12322.	"	7.	12264.	12.	1.	"	"	"	57.	4.	6.				
Total Charges	113925.	5.	6.	120357.	8.	9.	114148.	3.	4.	266.	"	"	6475.	"	5.				
Net Revenue	214318.	7.	4.	183369.	8.	9.	200253.	15.	5.	16884.	6.	8.		"	"	"			
Per Centage of the Charges	34 7/16.			30 7/16.			36 5/16.												
LAND CUSTOMS.																			
Gross Collections	308052.	8.	7.	283223.	4.	5.	295737.	4.	2.	12513.	15.	9.		"	"	"			
Charges of Collection	30982.	9.	11.	30992.	4.	11.	31070.	2.	2.	77.	13.	3.		"	"	"			
Charges „ Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"			
Charges „ Drawback	9748.	10.	3.	14350.	9.	4.	9105.	7.	2.	"	"	"	5251.	2.	2.				
Total	40726.	4.	2.	45342.	14.	3.	40170.	9.	4.	77.	13.	3.	5251.	2.	2.				
Net Revenue	267326.	4.	5.	237880.	6.	2.	255566.	10.	10.	17436.	4.	8.		"	"	"			
Per Centage of the Charges	13 3/16.			16.			13 3/16.												
SEA CUSTOMS.																			
Gross Collections	175438.	11.	"	288437.	2.	8.	271130.	13.	9.	"	"	"	17306.	4.	11.				
Charges of Collection	19291.	13.	10.	10773.	"	8.	19615.	10.	8.	"	"	"	157.	6.	"				
Charges „ Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
Charges „ Drawback	56915.	15.	11.	49069.	10.	4.	162585.	11.	5.	113516.	4.	1.	"	"	"				
Total	76199.	13.	9.	68843.	11.	"	182201.	9.	1.	113516.	4.	1.	157.	6.	"				
Net Revenue	99238.	12.	3.	219594.	7.	8.	88929.	4.	8.	"	"	"	130665.	3.	"				
Per Centage of the Charges	43 7/16			23 11/16			67 4/16												
ABKARY.																			
Gross Collections	59420.	15.	2.	52341.	5.	9.	61270.	"	10.	8928.	11.	1.	"	"	"				
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
Net Revenue	59420.	15.	2.	52341.	5.	9.	61270.	"	10.	8928.	11.	1.	"	"	"				
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																			
Gross Collections	22940.	13.	2.	27202.	15.	4.	20623.	"	5.	"	"	"	6579.	14.	11.				
Charges	10088.	5.	"	7882.	3.	5.	8638.	4.	7.	756.	11.	2.	"	"	"				
Net Revenue	12852.	8.	2.	19320.	11.	11.	11984.	1.	10.	"	"	"	7336.	10.	1.				
Per Centage of the Charges	43 5/16			20.			41 4/16												
(Here enter all other items of Excise Revenue pertaining to the Division)																			
Stamps.																			
Gross Collections	40863.	9.	10.	36383.	5.	2.	39891.	10.	2.	3508.	5.	"	"	"	"				
Charges	1990.	8.	5.	1733.	10.	"	2013.	2.	8.	257.	8.	8.	"	"	"				
Net Revenue	38873.	1.	5.	34627.	11.	2.	37878.	7.	6.	3250.	12.	4.	"	"	"				
Per Centage of the Charges	4 14/16.			4 12/16.			5.	1											
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																			
Gross Collections	10863.	7.	4.	10716.	15.	2.	12113.	3.	11.	1396.	4.	9.	"	"	"				
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
Net Revenue	10863.	7.	4.	10716.	15.	2.	12113.	3.	11.	1396.	4.	9.	"	"	"				
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
GRAND TOTAL.																			
Gross Collections	1272622.	8.	6.	1316214.	15.	4.	1334479.	3.	11.	18264.	4.	7.	"	"	"				
Charges	320230.	4.	4.	335297.	15.	"	408411.	3.	8.	73113.	4.	8.	"	"	"				
Net Revenue	952392.	4.	2.	980917.	"	4.	926068.	"	3.	"	"	"	54840.	"	1.				
Per Centage of the Charges	25 3/16			25 1/16			31 15/16												

1300

Liste de Classe de Décembre 1842.

| | | |

15307 Fort St. George 21st November 1842

47-48 Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.
Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

From the Collr. of Malabar

Sir,

49

I have the honor to inform you that in compliance with the instructions contained in Para 10 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th September last received with an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 7th Ultimo I requested General King, late Commandant at Cotacamund, to furnish me with any information in his power as to the fact that the Tahsildar on the Hills lives free of Cost at the expense of the inhabitants.

dated 15 Nov 42

From General King's letter which accompanies it will be seen that he is unable to afford any proof as to the existence of the peculations he alludes to tho' he has grounds for suspicion that they existed during the administration of the late Tahsildar who was removed the Board will remember, in June last on the ground of having raised forced contributions from the Ryots of his Taluk.

In my letter of the 26th May

15308 Fort St. George 21st November 1842

and 1st June last I sentenced at large into the reasons which made me fear that irregularities of the nature were too much to be apprehended as long as the Tahsildar's salary was so inadequate as I showed it to be.

Malabar Collr's Office
Calicut 8th November 1842.

I have &c
Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 1.

To
H. V. Conolly Esqre.
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 1st Instant I regret that I am unable to afford any satisfactory information on the subject therein alluded to.

During the former Tahsildar's administration, there was a suspicion that various articles of consumption, were supplied gratuitously to the Cutchery of Cotacamund by the Burghers and Ryots, such as Wood Charcoal, Grain, Chatties &c &c all of which were charged in the Cutchery accounts. which I think must have been brought to the notice of the Sub Collector, but having had little intercourse myself with the Tahsildar or with the Ryots, I can pronounce nothing amounting to Proof that such peculations really existed.

Cotacamund, 4th November 1842.

I have &c
Signed J. King
late Commandant Neilgherries
Cedar

15309 Fort St. George 21st November 1842.

Order thereon (49-50) Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government with reference to Para 8 of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated September 17th 1842 No 1175.

From the Collector of Malabar (51) Read letter submitting an application from his assistant Mr Manckton requesting leave of absence for 3 Months to proceed to Bengal in order to meet his brother who is proceeding to England under Section XII of the absentee rules.—

Order thereon (51-52) Ordered to be submitted thro' the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched—

(53)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 20th November 1838 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the enclosed memorandum, and to request that the Documents therein specified may be printed at the Fort St George Gazette Press according to the accompanying forms. The quantity of paper required for the purposes is hereby transmitted, viz:—

Nil to J.

36 Reams 9 Quires and 4 Sheets of Country paper
10 Quires and 10 Sheets of European Demy Do
8 Quires and 8 Sheets of Medium Do
and 4 Quires and 4 Sheets of Foolscap Do

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 21 November 1842

I have &c
Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Memorandum

15310

Fort St George Gazette Press

Demy

Medium

Foolscap

Country Paper

Quires Sheets

| | | |

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Petition noted in Margin received from certain Moorasidars of Perrecondalum Village in Pareyapallam Talook of your District with the view that it may receive such attention as the circumstances therein set forth may seem to you to merit.

54 To the Collr of Chingleput — No 1726 of 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 21st November 1842.

I have &c.
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

55 From the Genl Auditor. Indent for Gum Arabic and Lamp Black.

55-56 Order thereon. Ordered to be passed and returned.

57 From the Collr of Canara. Indent for Stationery required for the use of this Office for the year 1843.

58 From the Collr of Malabar. Indent for Stationery required for the use of this Office for the year 1843.

57-59 Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents. Ordered to be passed and forwarded to the Military Board.

From

60 From the Pr. Collr of Canara. Acknowledging the receipt of the printed forms alluded to in his letter under date the 29th Ultimo.

61 From the Collr of Guntoor. Requesting a further supply of printed forms of Monthly Statements of Demands & Collection and Balance.

61-62 Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

63 From the Collr of Guntoor. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1812 for the Month of October last.

64 From the Collr of Masulipatam. Forwarding Statement of goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of August last and stating that no cases in which goods are liable to the levy of double duty have occurred.

65 From the Pr. Collr of Malabar. Statement of goods recommended for confiscation for September last.

66 From the Pr. Collr of Malabar. Statement shewing the goods on which double or treble duty has been levied for September last.

64-67 Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Articles and the levy of double & treble duty enumerated in the Statements be sanctioned.

From

Fort St. George

Collection and Balance of Jungle Money

21st November 1842

For Farms in the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1251.

	Demand. Current. 4th Kist April 1842			5th Kist May — 1842.			Total Settlement of Fusly — 1251.			Extra Revenue			Total including Extra Revenue			Collections On Account of Current			Extra Collections			Total including Extra Collections			Balance			Remarks
	4			5			6			7			8			9			10			11			12			1
P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	
10	7	6	10	3	11	4	37	2	0	1	8	0	38	10	0	37	2	0	1	8	0	38	10	0	0	0	0	
10	15	6	10	7	11	4	77	2	0	0	0	0	77	2	0	77	2	0	0	0	0	77	2	0	0	0	0	
0	2	4	0	1	2	0	11	4	0	0	3	10	11	7	10	11	4	0	0	3	10	11	7	10	0	0	0	
8	2	15	8	1	7	11	14	14	5	0	0	0	14	14	5	14	14	5	0	0	0	14	14	5	0	0	0	
2	1	3	2	0	9	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	
10	17	4	10	8	10	5	86	7	4	0	0	0	86	7	11	86	7	11	0	0	0	86	7	11	0	0	0	
5	16	14	5	8	7	2	84	8	0	0	0	0	84	8	0	84	8	0	0	0	0	84	8	0	0	0	0	
0	28	13	0	14	6	7	144	1	3	0	0	0	144	1	3	98	7	7	0	0	0	98	7	7	45	9	8	
6	433	11	6	216	13	9	2168	9	7	0	0	0	2168	9	7	1822	1	7	0	0	0	1822	1	7	346	8	0	
3	363	12	3	181	14	1	1818	13	3	0	0	0	1818	13	3	1818	13	3	0	0	0	1818	13	3	0	0	0	
0	35	0	0	17	8	0	175	0	0	2	0	0	177	0	0	175	0	0	2	0	0	177	0	0	0	0	0	
6	924	12	6	462	6	3	4623	14	5	3	11	10	4627	10	3	4231	12	9	3	11	10	4235	8	7	392	1	8	

The Extra Collections in Col. 10 is the Amount of Mangoe tree Farms

Cutcherry on Circuit, Soolia 4th November 1842 / Signed / H. W. Blair

Print & Bolt 4th Detailed

15292 . George

No. 10. Balance of

21st November 1842

Motarpha Revenue in the Zillah of

Taluks	Demand Current Particulars of	Sheps	Sheriffs	Revenue Taxes- On Fishing Boats and Fishermen	On Pot Makers	On Gold & Smiths	On Brass Smiths	On Copper Smiths	On rice beating mills	On Oil Mongers
		10		11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Mangalore				288 7 7	40 2 "	98 8 "	6 10 "	4 12 "	36 4 5	63 8 "
Bekul		192	95 8 5	90 8 9	46 15 7	40 15 7	2 12 "	" " "	13 4 "	17 12 5
Buntwal		237	" "	85 " "	46 3 3	57 4 5	8 9 7	" 8 "	5 15 7	58 14 5
Oodipy		213	94 5 3	273 4 2	23 8 10	41 5 5	2 1 "	1 " "	5 8 "	29 13 10
Barcoor		168	44 " 7	178 13 2	50 1 7	37 4 "	5 8 "	1 " "	3 " 10	33 14 "
Coondapoor		273	6 1 7	179 9 "	18 7 3	32 4 10	2 1 7	" " "	1 3 2	35 4 "
Honore		2 "	9 8 "	213 2 "	18 10 "	16 7 15 7	5 " "	10 " "	29 9 7	94 13 7
Ankola		277	" " "	191 " "	24 4 9	8 6 5 8	" 8 "	" " "	102 4 9	10 14 7
Soopah		120	1 4 "	44 6 5	94 10 "	23 9 15 7	" " "	4 12 5	56 4 "	6 5 " "
Sonda		" "	18 6 5	6 4 "	9 14 "	4 3 8 "	1 2 "	" " "	1 2 "	3 " "
Bilghi		57	1 12 "	3 " "	15 4 "	4 1 8 "	" " "	" " "	1 " "	" 17 "
		132	" " "							
		151	270 4 3	1492 7 1	337 13 8	86 15 1	37 22 2	2 " 5	238 8 4	624 " 10

15293

Jno. Ls. St. George

21st November 1842

Canard for Tusky 1251.

Demand /Continued/
Current /Continued/
Particulars of Tax /Continued/

On Lodjans &c. Umbrella Makers.	On Toddy Drawers	On Barbers	On Washermen	On Chuck=lers	On Musicians	Total
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°
14 12 "	" " "	49 10 "	50 10 "	18 4 "	60 " "	321 2 125
48 " 10	77 8 "	34 10 "	35 8 "	9 10 5	28 12 "	919 12 10
65 3 7	28 6 15 7	32 4 "	39 11 11	13 4 5	25 7 2	1407 15 7
7 1 "	" " "	18 12 "	23 14 "	3 3 7	28 2 "	1077 1 6
10 10 "	" " "	23 14 9	25 8 "	10 14 "	20 1 "	745 15 2
4 85	" " "	13 8 "	11 " "	10 10 "	21 8 "	810 6 3
13 "	" " "	30 14 "	31 14 "	10 " "	25 10 "	1664 4 4
10 45	" " "	16 " "	28 5 2	7 11 3	33 7 2	863 10 7
1858 "	" " "	71 14 "	105 2 9	32 " "	34 8 "	1909 5 7
3 8 "	" " "	21 6 "	22 " "	12 8 "	3 6 "	855 6 9
1 " "	" " "	10 6 5	15 13 7	9 " "	5 12 "	285 8 4
363 83	364 7 7	323 3 2	389 7 5	137 1 8	289 12 4	13782 3 4

Collection

Extra Revenue issued by and 25.	Total columns	on account of Current Jusly.	Extra Revenue	Total Collections	Balance
25	26	27	28	29	30
R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°	R° a° p°
" " "	321 2 12 5	2573 9 4	" " "	2573 9 4	669 3 1
" " "	919 12 10	876 14 10	" " "	876 14 10	42 14 "
" 10 "	1408 9 7	1247 9 7	" 10 "	1248 3 7	160 6 "
" " "	1077 1 6	1031 8 7	" " "	1031 8 7	45 8 11
" " "	745 15 2	628 8 6	" " "	628 8 6	117 6 8
" " "	810 6 3	730 14 5	" " "	730 14 5	79 7 10
" 8 "	1664 12 4	1431 4 4	" 8 "	1431 4 4	230 4 "
" " "	863 10 7	730 11 2	" " "	730 11 2	132 15 5
" " "	1909 5 7	1729 6 1	" " "	1729 6 1	179 15 6
" " "	855 6 9	838 10 9	" " "	838 10 9	16 12 "
" " "	285 8 4	4 12 "	290 4 4	" " "	
5 14 "	13782 3 4	12107 5 11	5 14 "	12113 3 11	1674 13 5

Remarks

The Extra Collection in Col. 28 is the Amount of Tax on Shops.

Principal Coll'r's Cutcherry Onslow } 1st November 1842

/Signed/ H. W. Blair
P. Coll'r

Detailed

Fort St George 21st November 1842.

A Disbursements of the Tobacco Revenue in the Zillah of

Stock within the Zilla by Date per Indy.		Value			Quantity imported confis.	Quantity Grain by calculated Weight	Total		Grand Total Columns 1 and 8	
1		2			3	4	5		6	
Rs	as	Rs	as	ps						
48	"	31,826	8	10						
50	"	40,944	6	6						
"	"	72,770	15	4						
50	"	303	12	"						
45	"	628	2	5						
40	"	1216	4	7						
"	"	2148	3	"						
50	"	5	7	4						
45	"	80	1	6						
40	"	213	1	1						
"	"	298	9	11						
"	"	2446	12	11						
"	"	75,217	12	3						

Gn.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.	Mds.	Ths.	Rds.
35	10	13	32	"	"	"	64	13	24	"	1582	3 6 17 1566 16 6 3¼
298	2	"	"	"	"	"	2	3	14	24	300	5 14 24 303 18 6 6
272	13	13	"	"	4	20	23½	5	12	23	20	325 19 24 3½ 428 10 20 1½

15313 Fort St. George 21st November 1832.

68 From the Joint Collector of Madura } Return of persons suspended or dismissed in conformity to Section VII Regulation VI of 1831 for October last.

69 From the Joint Collector of Madura } Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of October last.

70 From the Collector of Malabar. Transmitting an Indent in Duplicate for forty Cats of nine tails.

Order thereon.

70-71 Ordered that the Indent be passed and forwarded to the Military Board.

" Grant

" G.D. Drury

" A. Macbean

" R. Montgomery

Compd
J.G. Boyle