Block 7.
Rack No. 47
Shelf No. 1275
Serial No. 1826
General No. 16832

1826

Diary

20th October — Sent the following letter

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

(1)

Sir.

To the Pl. Coll.r of Madura — I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of smuggled goods submitted by you for the Month of May last, and in reply to state that they cannot sanction the confiscation of the Jaggery therein referred to, on the ground recommended by you. If the owner's statement that he sold part of the Article at Arcopoocolay is founded in truth, he was only guilty of an irregularity in not giving Notice of the Sale at the Tinnevelly Chokey, which you state is distant ten Miles from that place. The Board under this view of the case, authorize you to release the Article in question on payment of double duty.

31st. Regn. Con.r
9th Con. 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 20th October, 1842. — Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Received

(2)

From the Aff. Secy. to Government } 21st Oct.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 18th October 1842

Read the following Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue —

Recommending for sanction an application from the Collector of Trichenopoly, for permission to pay to his Naib Serishtadar Chellapah Moodelly the arrears of his salary — Amounting to Rupees 1719-5-8 which accrued during the time that he was under suspension and which is still available, no part of it having been given to the individual who officiated in the Serishtadars' Department —

(Here enter 22d Septr 1842 No 410)

From the concluding para of Mr. Onslows' report on Settlement of 1250 Fusly it is observed that the duties of a Deputy Serishtadar were performed by another individual of the Collectors Establishment to the entire satisfaction of his superior — Before passing orders on the foregoing application The Most Honorable the Governor in Council desires to be informed whether any claim for remuneration has been advanced

advanced by that person or whether in the Boards opinion his services deserve such acknowledgment —

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot
Aff. Secy. to Government —

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue —

Order thereon —

(2-3)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing order of Government be transmitted to the Collector of Trichenopoly, who will be pleased to state whether any such claim as here suggested has been put forward by Ragavaendra Row, and to inform the Board precisely how long and on what appointment that individual officiated as Naib Serishtadar —

(4)

From the Collector of Guntoor — 25th

Received letter submitting an application from Mr. Copleston his Head Assistant requesting leave of absence for two Months from the 31st Instant, under Section XI of the Absentee Rules.

(4-5)

Order thereon —

Ordered to be submitted thro' the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government —

H

12966 Fort St. George 21th October 1812.

At a Meeting. Present.

Monday 21th October 1812 — John Dent Esqr. Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqr. Second Member.
and
Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart. Jr. Temporary Member.

Alexander Maclean Esqr. Jr. Third Member.

Absent on leave.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th October 1812.

(From Board 3d October.)

(6)

From the Jr. Chief Secretary to Government — His Lordship in Council grants special sanction for the sum of Rupees (8-6-8) Eight Annas Six and Dee Eight disbursed on account of carriage for the State Prisoner Dora Bessoya in fusly 1250 in the Bellary District.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Jr. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered

12967 Fort St. George 21th October 1812.

Order thereon.

(6.7)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Ganjam, with reference to his letter of the 12th September last.

(8)

From the Jr. Secy. to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th October 1812.

(From Board 13th October.)

Under the circumstances stated in the letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore, the Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the disbursement of a further sum of Rupees (3000) Three thousand as Tuccavy for the current Fusly.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Jr. Secy. to Government

Ordered

Fort St. George 24th October 1812.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Principal Collector of Nellore.

8-9

To the Pr. Collr. of Nellore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 7th Instant No. 73, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant sanction for the disbursement of a further sum of Rupees 3,000 as Tuccavy for the Current Fusly.

From Govt. 18th in Cons. 24th Octr. 1812 No. 1235.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 24th October 1812.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th October 1812.

(From Board 29th September.)

10

From the Asst. Chief Secy. to Government.

Referring to the decisions of Government in similar cases noted in the Margin, his Lordship in Council declines to entertain the recommendation submitted in the foregoing

Resolution of the Govt. of India in the case of the Yomiah enjoyed by the late Abdul Hoseun communicated under date 19th June 1811 No. 876.
Resolution of Govt. on case No. 1 dated 4th of February 1812 No. 150 respecting the Yomiah enjoyed by the late Syed Shumshuddeen.

Fort St. George 24th October 1812.

foregoing letter, for the continuance of the Yomiah enjoyed by the late Shareef Cautoom of Nellore.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Principal Collector of Nellore.

10-11

To the Pr. Collr. of Nellore.

In Cons. 29 Sept. 1812

Sir,

With advertence to your letter of the 31st August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has declined to sanction the continuance of the Yomiah enjoyed by the late Shareef Cautoom

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th October 1812.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th October 1812.

(From Board 6th October.)

12

From the Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Under the circumstances reported in the letter from the Sub Collector of

12970 Fort St. George 21th October 1812.

of North Arcot of the 12th of August last, — his Lordship in Council authorises the grant of 1 3/16 Cawny of land from the immemorial Waste, in exchange for an equal portion of the Manium of the Meerasdars of Ottairy.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Sy. Secy. to Govt.

(12. 13)

Resolution thereon — Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter of the 25th September last No. 153.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th October 1812.

(From Board 16th October)

(14)

From the Sy. Chief Secy. to Govt. — The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the Suit No. 22 of 1812 instituted in the Zillah Court of Canara against the Principal Collector, and another by one Saleh Rubhoo for the recovery of a piece of land, but will determine, on a Copy

12971 Fort St. George 21th October 1812.

of the Decree being laid before him, whether the Costs, if any be adjudged against the Principal Collector, shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Sy. Secy. to Govt.

(14 - 15)

Resolution thereon — Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Canara with reference to his letter of the 27th September last.

Read the following Extracts.

(16)

From the Sy. Secy. to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th of October 1812.

Read again Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th of May 1812 No. 207 recorded in Diary to Consultation of the 25th of June 1812.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with reference to the Resolution of Government dated 18th Ultimo a letter from the Collector of North Arcot furnishing further information regarding the service Geomiah held by the late Syed Ally Mahomed Saudry and stating that it is for the Government to decide whether the whole allowance Viz. 110 Rupees per Mensem is to be continued to the Eldest son of the deceased or the reduced amount of 100 Rs. as recommended by the Collector —

(Here inter 31st July 1812 No. 318)

Read also the following Petitions.

Fort St. George 21th October 1812.

Petitions from Syad Shah Abool Hussain Kadery dated 1st and 8th of August 1812. No. 851 and 852.

Praying that the full amount of the Yomiah allowance enjoyed by the late Syed Ally Mahomed Kawdery may be Continued as heretofore.

The Yomiah of 110 Rupees per Mensem above referred to, was originally granted by His Highness the Nawab Omdul-ooloomra to the father of the present incumbent for the presentation of offerings at the Tomb of a Mohummedan Saint at Vellore on the anniversary of that personages death. But the terms of the Sunnud hold out no expectation that the Grant would be continued hereditarily, nor is there any thing in the nature of the service itself that calls for its being observed in perpetuity. In accordance with the view therefore taken of a precisely similar case on a late occasion (noted in the Margin) the Most Honorable the Governor in Council resolves, under the

To the Off. Secretary to the Govt. of India d/ 9th Augt. 1812 No. 965
From Bo. Co. 26th Vol. 131.

Fort St. George 21th October 1812.

the circumstances stated in the letter from the Collector of North Arcot of the 9th July 1812, to recommend for the sanction of the Government of India that a reduced allowance of Rupees 100 per Mensem be continued for life, to Syed Abdool Lateef Cawdry, the eldest son of the deceased, from the 1st of March 1812, the date of his Fathers' death.

Ordered accordingly, that the following letter be despatched.

To Fred. Jas. Halliday Esqre
Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India

(Here inter 8th Oct. 1812 No. 1199)

To the Board of Revenue.

and Copy to the Govt. of India with Original Papers and Petitions

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon. (16-17)

Ordered to lie over.

From the Asst. Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th October 1812.

(18)

Read the following letter.

From Officiating Secretary to the Government of India.

To W. Elliot Esqre
Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Dated Fort William the 19th August 1812.

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Asst. Secretary Ors Letter

12974

Fort St. George 21th October 1842.

Letter No. 772 dated the 21st June last with Enclosures, on the subject of the continuance of an allowance of Rupees 7 per Month for teaching the Koran at Ongole, I am directed by the Honorable the President in Council to transmit to you for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying Copy of a Letter No. 45 dated the 4th Instant from the Junior Secretary to the Government of India with the Governor General, and to request that His Lordship in Council will act as regards the allowance in question agreeably to the tenor of that communication.

/Signed/ Fred. Jas. Halliday
Offg. Secretary to the
Government of India.

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that there can be no legal title for the payment of the Yeomiah in a case of the nature above referred to, but as the immediate discontinuance of it would distress the family hitherto maintained

12975

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

maintained by H. His Lordship in Council resolves under the discretionary authority conveyed to him in the letter from the Government of India, to sanction the continuance of the original allowance of 7 Rupees per mensem for teaching the Koran at Ongole, in the name of Abdul Cawderoorf Cadoneya during his life, to take effect from the 16th of October 1841, the date of his Son's decease.

Ordered, that the foregoing Resolution with the letter recorded above be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the Extract from their Proceedings dated the 20th January 1842, No. 22. The Board will instruct the Principal Collector of Nellore to cause it to be distinctly intimated to Abdul Cawder and to the other Members of the family that the Yeomiah will cease at his death.

Ordered also, that Copy of the above Resolution be furnished to the Offices of Account and Audit for their information

and

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

guidance.

/True Extract & Copy/

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.

Actg. Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Nellore with reference to the Sub Collector Mr. Matheson's letter dated 30th December 1841 No. 94. The Principal Collector will be careful to inform Abdul Cawder and the other Members of the family that the Yeomiah now sanctioned will cease at his death.

(18 - 19)

(20)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th October 1842.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with reference to the Minutes of Consultation of the 4th September 1840 a Petition from Meer Soltan Ali and others together with a Sunnud supporting their claims to the Enam Villages of Naoulpakum and Manulvady.

/Were enter 22nd August 1842 No. 356/

1. Before submitting the claim advanced

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

advanced on the production of the Original Sunnud granting the hereditary possession of the Villages of Naoulpakum and Manulvady, for the confirmation of the Government of India, the Most Honorable the Governor in Council requests the Board of Revenue to ascertain in what relation the parties (Meer Hoossain Ally and others) now coming forward, stand to Banker Hoossain in whose name, as Son of the former incumbent, it is observed, that these Villages were last registered.

From Secy. B. of Revr. 10th Decr. 1838 No. 3531.

2. The Board will also be pleased to state whether they are satisfied that the Sunnud now produced is genuine.

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ Walter Elliot

Actg. Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Member of the
Board of Revenue.

(20 - 21)

Order thereon, Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation together with the Petition from Meer Sultan Alli and others, be transmitted to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to the correspondence therein alluded to, and that he be requested to furnish the information required by Government regarding the relationship of the parties now advancing their claims to Banker Hoossain the present incumbent of the Villages of Naoulpaukum and Manulvady.

x 1232 of 1842.

He will also be pleased to state whether the accompanying Sunnud is in his opinion genuine or otherwise.

x To be returned.

Extract

12978 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

From the Dpt. Secy. to Government

22

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th October 1842.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Management of the Estates of Ramachendrapoorum and Vagoyammapettah in the Rajahmundry District for Fusly 1250.

(Here enter 22d September 1842 No. 404)

Para 1. This estate is a portion of the joint Zemindary of Cotah and Ramachendrapooram and came under the Government management in March 1840, in consequence of the Collector having knowledge that the peshcush had not been liquidated in a fair proportion to the Zemindar's revenue, though the liberality of Government had been exhibited towards him by the loan of 47,000 Rupees in July 1838, for the satisfaction of his share on the mortgage on the Estate, and though no portion of this had been repaid, it was discovered that the Zemindar had squandered away about 27,000 Rupees, having paid the Government only Rs. 245-63-39 out of receipts amounting to 1,80,81 — 4. the Zemindar having thus required the liberality of Government towards him, the Most Honorable

12979 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that his conduct as set forth in Mr. Smith's letter of the 18th July 1840 justifies the resumption of his estate, which was pledged to the Government for the fulfilment of his engagement.

2. The season was unfavorable, and though the total amount realized was only 53,231 Rupees, thus falling far short of even the current Peishcush, the result as compared with the outturn of the rest of the district is considered by the Collector to be favorable. The estate contains 37 Villages, of which 19 were settled under Vijsabuddy or Ryotwar and 18 rented, the estimated Amount of the former, and actual Settlement of both, together with other sources, is shewn in the Margin, thus leaving a decrease of 31,000 Rupees below the settlement of 1249, but this being made fictitious by high by the Zemindar, the loss is merely nominal, and may be favorably compared with the Collections for that year.

* Rs. 62,298-11

Estimated Settlement	39749-2-6
Actual Settlement	28139-7-9
Assarah	201-4-7
Rented	41325-9--
Exetra Sources	3997-1-4
	73,663-8-3

Sire

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

3. Sixe Villages were rented on Rayanee tenure or Individual settlements, an arrangement it is presumed unavoidable or it would not have been resorted to under the repeated disapproval of the Board of Revenue and the Government. Judging from the average of the previous 13 Years, the Settlement for Fusly 1250 shews a decrease of about 12,000 Rupees, and about 16,000 Rupees below the 13 Years average on which the permanent assessment was determined.

4. The Collections within the Fusly, were Rupees 49147-8-8 and 65 6. 10-6 up to the end of December leaving a balance of Rupees 23859-4-1, being nearly twice as heavy in the Ryotwar Villages as in the rented ones in proportion to their respective Amount of settlement. The total demand in arrears at the commencement of the Fusly was 142708-14-5, and at the end of it 158208-10-11, the Year under review having increased the previous arrears by 15500 Rupees.

5. From the many omissions in

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

in Mr. Smith's report adverted to in paras 2-4-6-7-11 of the Board's proceedings, the Most Honorable the Governor in Council cannot do more than remark generally on the outturn of the estate, and is prevented by these defects from entering into a review of its management, he therefore desires that Mr. Smith will give his immediate attention to the remarks contained in the above paras and that he will submit them to the Board in a separate communication instead of supplying them in his next annual report.

6. His Lordship in Council under all circumstances cannot but concur in the remark of the Board as expressed at the latter end of Para 8 of their Proceedings, and which "fear" it is trusted, Mr. Smith will exert his best endeavours to remove.

Vagayammapettah

7. This estate bearing a Peishcush of 8750 Rupees was under the Collector's management from Fusly 1241 to Fusly 1247 and in the ensuing Fusly was made over to the Proprietor, but was attached again for arrears

arrears in Fasly 1250-

8- The season bore unfavorably upon this as upon the neighbouring Estate above reviewed- It contains 10 Villages, of which 9 were settled under ryotwar and 1 - rented- The receipts were Rs. 2,390-9-9 below those of the preceding year and the Collections upto March were 5524-6-11 leaving a balance of Rupees 3,980-7-11-

Fasly 1249 3,118-9-5-8-7
1250-9-5-0-4-14-10
2,390-9-9

9- Mr. Smith's report on this is equally defective as that on the former Estate, the Board have called for information on many points, which it is desired may be supplied without unnecessary delay-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot-
Acting Secretary to Govt.

To
The President and Members
of the
(22 - 23) Board of Revenue-

Order thereon- Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance with reference to the

the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated September 22d 1842- Mr. Smith's attention is particularly requested to Paras 5, 6, and 9, and it is hoped that the information called for by the Board as there referred to, will be put before them at an early date-

(24) From the Agent to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 18th October 1842-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

Submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Trichinopoly relative to the succession to the Vodiarpollium Zemindary in that District under charge of the Court Wards the Minor having deceased and requesting sanction to give over charge of that Estate to Cutchee Caliana-a Rungapah Caluka Tota Vodiar, the Uncle of the late Minor Zemindar whom the Board have recognized-

(Here Enter 15th September 1842 No. 400)

Para 1. The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the Vodiarpollium Zemindary in the Trichinopoly District being made over to the charge of

12984 Fort St.e George 24th October 1842.

of Cutchee Caliamma Rungapiah Calaka Sola Vodian, and desires that the other parties who have petitioned the Court of Wards and the Government may be referred to the Courts if they wish to contest his claim.

Ordered that Canaky Ummah be informed in reply to her petitions Nos. 963, 964, 965, 966, 1033 and 1034, dated respectively 12th 13th and 22d August and 28th September, that the Board of Revenue have received final instructions for the disposal of the Vodiarpolliem zemindary, and that further petitions on the same subject will not be noticed.

(A true Extract)

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Read also the following letter.

To the Register to the Court of Wards Fort St.e George

Sir,

25

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

With reference to the Court's Proceedings

12985 Fort St.e George 24th October 1842.

Proceedings of the 29th Ultimo and my letter of the 5th Instant regarding the succession to the Zemindary of Vodiarpolliam, I have the honor to request that I may be informed whether I am to consider myself at liberty to disburse the usual allowance to the Mother of the late Zemindar and other parties deriving subsistence from the Estate.

Trichinopoly Collector's Cutcherry 30th September 1842.

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it replies be transmitted to the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to his letters of the 23d July and 30th September. Mr. Onslow will be pleased to make an arrangement with the zemindar without loss of time for the allotment of such sums to his dependent connections as may appear equitable, and report the particulars to the Board.

24. 26

Read

12986 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letter

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen

(27)

From the Sec. to Govt. I am directed by the Hon. Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying Copy of the 4th part of Volume III of Circular Orders of the Sudr Dewany Adalut at Calcutta from 4th January 1841 to 17th January 1842.

Fort St. George 18th October 1842. } (Signed) Walter Elliot Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon Ordered that the Volume accompanying the foregoing letter be lodged in the Office.

(27. 28)

From the Coll. of Vizagapatam. (29) Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by the Collector Acting Collector and his Assistants for July 1251.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

(29. 30)

To the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam. Sir I am directed by the Board of

12987 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of Extra Tent allowance submitted by you for July 1251 and to convey sanction for the amount drawn by Mr. Arbuthnot, yourself and Assistants respectively for the number of days noted in the Margin.

Mr. H. V. Arbuthnot Collector	10 days
Mr. P. B. Smollett Asst. Do.	26
Mr. J. B. A. Conway Actg. Asst.	17
Mr. J. F. Bury Asst. Do.	111

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 24th October 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Order thereon Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(31)

From the Collr of Rajahmundry Sir, Para 1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue, Copy of a complaint filed against me in the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry by one Gunnavolah Soobiah, together with its draft

Nos. with its translation. 28th Nov. 1842.

12988

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

*No 2

draft of my defence, which I beg leave to recommend, may be carried on on behalf of the Pittapore Zemindar, to whom the Nadooneor Village belongs.

2. The complaint is strictly one of a revenue nature relating as it does to Complainant having been required to Cultivate land against his inclination, and might have been made to me either before or after the Jummabundy, and the conduct of the Complainant in absconding at that period and going to the Court before he had addressed me, was unjustifiable, there is no course open there- fore but to meet the case in Court.—

Gollah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office
Cocanada 7th October 1842

(Signed) G. B. Smith
Collector.

No 1.
No 233 of 1842.

In the Court of Adalut of the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Complaint filed by Ganoosulah Sobhal a Cultivator of Nadooneor Plaintiff
vs
G. A. Smith Esqr Collector of the Zillah of Rajahmundry 2 Veyanti Sunnoo
Amuldar of Amlapore Talook, 3 Moogooloor Sadah Moosalayah Village Mo-
-ccaddum and 4 Madamoory Seshanah and 5 Do Rayehonnah Cannanis of the
Nadooneor Village, Defendants.

I have been in the habit of to

12989

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

lately 250 of cultivating the Zeyghy lands bearing the Cist of Cks 341. 3-0 (and entering into Muchilkah for the same) under Ryotwary system from Vonnadah Narsimloo the late renter of the said Village (attached to the Zemindary of Pittapore) now under the Annamie of the Company. As I was cultivating those lands for Fasly 1851 according to the same usage, the 2nd Defendant at the instigation of 3. 4th & 5th settled with me by force certain Gayal lands bearing the Cist of Cks 38. 12-0, in the month of Aushadham of the same year, and obtained from me also by force a proposal for the whole Rupees 379. 15-0. I have however Cultivated with great difficulty the said Gayal lands which were made over to me by force. Besides this, the 2nd Defendant, at the instigation of the 3, 4 and 5th sent for me into the Amlapore Village placed me under restraint and compelled me to sign a further proposal as if I agreed to occupy some more Gayal lands, bearing the Cist of Cks 80, after the advancement of the season that is on the 1st day of decreasing Moon of the

Fort St George 24th October 1842.

the Month Bhadrapadam, saying that it was the order of the 1st Defendant, who has not likewise instituted an enquiry, notwithstanding I sent him Arzees once or twice on the subject. I have paid to the Circar the Amount of Cist Cowl obtained receipts upon the lands that I voluntarily occupied as usual, as well as upon the Gayal lands at first settled with me by Force by the 2nd Defendant, but I have never cultivated nor allowed my cattle to go over the Gayal lands which were settled with me by force the second time. these lands are not also situated in the vicinity of those that have been cultivated by me, but at a distance of one Cos. Although the said Gayal lands of 2nd time were not then occupied by me, the 2nd 3rd 4th and 5th Defendants received from me on compulsion Rs 9. 1. 3 about one Month ago, and are now insisting upon my paying the remaining money. I am in consequence obliged to file the present Complaint against all the Defendants for the purpose

Fort St George 24th October 1842.

of Rs 9. 1. 3 received from me by the 2nd 3rd 4th and 5th Defendants as part of the Cist of Rs 80 on account of the Gayal lands not cultivated, and for obtaining a decision that the Defendants may not demand from me the remainder Rs 70. 14. 9.

I therefore pray your Court will be pleased to examine my witnesses and documents and pass a decree directing that the said Rs 9. 1. 3. should be paid back to me, together with the Costs of this Suit, and that the remaining sum of Rs 70. 14. 9. should not be demanded from me any more. Please to perwse. Dated the 9th April 1842.

(Signed) G. Sobiah

No 2. Draft of Proposed answer.

To The Court of Udawlut of the Zillah of Masulipatam.

In answer from G. A. Smith Esqr Collector of the Zillah of Rajahmundry 1st Defendant to the Complaint filed by Ganasoolah Sobiah Plaintiff in Cause No 233 of 1842.—

The Plaintiff States in his Complaint—

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Complaint, 1st that, in addition to the Jeroyty Lands he usually occupied for Rupees 341-3-, the 2nd Defendant the Aumuldar of Amlapore Talook, settled with him certain Gayal Lands for the Cist of Rs. 38-12- and obtained from him by force a proposal for the whole Rupees 379-15- that the 2nd Defendant further settled with him by force some more Gayal Lands for the Cist of Rs 80, saying that it was the order of the Collector, to whom he also sent me or two petitions, but was not afforded redress; 2nd that although he did not occupy, nor cultivate the said Gayal Lands of 2nd time, the 2. 3-d and 5th Defendants received from him by compulsion Rs 9-1-3, a part of the Cist thereof Viz. 80 Rupees, and insist upon his paying the remainder and he therefore prays your Court to pass a decree directing that the said Rs 9-1-3 should be paid back to him together with the Costs of this suit, and that the remaining sum of Rupees 70-11-9 should not be demanded from him any more. —

In

Fort St. George 24th October 1842. —

In reply to the 1st point, the the Collector begs to observe, that the statement of the Plaintiff is not correct. In June 1837 the Plaintiff presented to the 2nd Defendant the Aumuldar of Amlapore Talook, two Mouchil-haks, one for 7 Pr. 13 J. of land bearing the Cist of 387-15- and the other for 4 Parties of Land bearing the Cist of Rupees 80 in all Rs 467-15-; of these two Muchilhaks, one is dated 10th July 1841 and the other 31st August 1841. — and both were sent immediately to the — Collector on Execution, and no complaint, as was ever preferred to the Collector by the — Complainant regarding their injustice. In the Month of August when the second Mulchil-hak was executed, the season was most favorable, and had it continued so, not a word would have been said by Complainant, but the failure of the N. E. Mondoon was one origin of this Complaint, and another a spirit of litigation therein prevailing in the Village, — Allowing that Complainant had sustained injustice at

the

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

the hands of the Collector's servants it was his duty to have preferred his Complaint to the Collector, and obtained his answer, and not having done so, he is precluded from recovering Costs under the Regulation I of 1823. Section 4 Clause 2.- The Collector was on Circuit for the purposes of Jummabundy from January to May, and was in the Vicinity of the Amlapoor Talook the whole of April, and at Amlapoor itself at the end of that Month. It was then in the power of the Complainant to have brought under the notice of the Collector his grievances on the spot, in the presence of the whole Inhabitants of his Village, instead of absconding as he did, and making himself amenable to punishment under Regulation 3 of 1821 Section 5. with reference to which, a Fine of Rupees Fifteen has been received from him and carried to the account of Government.-

With respect to the 2d point, the Collector begs leave to observe that the Complainant held bonâ fide, during the

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.-

the whole of the Cultivation season of Fusly 1251 or from August to April, 11-13-3 of land bearing an Assessment of Rupees 467-15-4, of which he has paid Rupees 432-0-3, and must pay the remainder Rupees 35-14-9 for which produce is under attachment.-

He therefore requests that the Court will examine his witnesses and documents and nonsuit the Plaintiff with Costs.-

[Signed] G. A. Smith
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector of
Rajahmundry.

31 · 32

To the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Sir

In Cons: 24th Octr. 1842.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant. The Board are of opinion that the Plaintiff in the suit to which you refer has no ground for an action in a Civil Court, because

12996 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

because he is bound by his own engagement; but as they think that his case, if it had been brought forward at the Jummabandy would have been considered deserving of some indulgence, they are unwilling to enter on the defence of the suit until the circumstances shall have been considered by you in that view. They request therefore, that you will give your attention to the case and report your opinion as to whether any and what Amount of remission would have been allowed, if it had been applied for at the settlement, on the plea that the land engaged for had been left waste from circumstances of season.-

2 You are also requested to explain the circumstance under which Plaintiff was subjected to the penalty of Section V Regulation III of 1831; that being in the opinion of the Board, a provision of law which, though necessary, should be put in force only in very particular cases.-

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
24th October 1842

Signed J. D. Boudillon
Secretary

Read the following Statement—

Statement

12997 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Statement showing the Quantity of Land in Pulicat Division to be collected at the ensuing Settlement.

Quantity of Land already cultivated & to be cultivated in the year 1842.	Remarks
3 4 6	Quantity actually remaining to be collected from Ryotwar lands
16m. 5 16m. 5 16m. 5	5"00 "
30 42 40 "	5"00 "
16m. 5	5"00 "
2	Unpaid
16m. 5	

Entered
Collector.

Entered
Collector's Office
Coonamedy 15th October 1842.

Received

12998 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Order thereon.

(33 - 34)

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

(35)

Read Statement shewing the Extra Tent allowances drawn by the Collector, Head Assistant, and Assistants for Fusly 1251.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(35 - 36)

To the Collector of Rajahmundry.

To the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extra tent allowances for Fusly 1251 submitted by you under date the 29th September last and to convey sanction for the amount drawn by yourself and subordinate Officers respectively for the number of days noted in the Margin.

In Cons: 24th October 1842.

Mr. G. S. Smith Collector	21 days	149
Mr. W. Knox Head Asst.		107
Mr. T. S. Knox Asst.		38
Mr. S. F. Hunter Asst.		71

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th October 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Civil Auditor.

Read

12999 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(37)

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Recommending for sanction the reduced Amount of 5000 Rupees on account of further advances to be made to the Ryots in Ryhalor and Bogalalapatam, as well as other Purgannahs bordering on the Colair Lake

Sir,

Para. 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant, by which I am apprized that the Board of Revenue cannot entertain, or forward the recommendation for advances of Tuccavie submitted in Mr. Mathisons' letter of the 26th Ultimo, except under an absolute necessity, and for a small sum.

2 With reference to the observation contained in the 2nd Para. that the two Estates in which Mr. Mathison proposed to advance 6,000 Rupees have already obtained advances to the amount of Rupees 800, I beg to explain that the amount of Tuccavie applied for this designed principally for the two Estates of Ryhalor and Bhoojalapatam was nevertheless intended to

to be distributed also to the Ryots in the Villages of the other Purgunahs bordering upon the Colair Lake - of which Baburzully is next in importance, and for which no Succavie had been proposed to be made this year on account of "Ordinary Cultivation" The amount alluded to by the Board as having been already sanctioned for Rykatoor and Bhoojaboolapatam, is required only for ordinary Cultivation, but the additional extent of land which is now capable of being brought under Cultivation from the unusual supply of Water received into the Colair Lake this Year, affords a fair plea for applying for extra advances to enable the Ryots to extend their Cultivation in proportion to the increased means of irrigation thus unexpectedly placed before them -

The

3. The Revenues derived from the Cultivation for the last 8 years, as entered in Mr Mathewson's letter, refer to a period when this important reservoir has been dry, but it is now to be expected / and indeed the Reports received from the Tahsildars seem to leave no room to doubt) that the Ryots will avail themselves to the utmost of the favorable prospects now held out to them, if needful and timely assistance be extended to them - If this be done, it may fairly be hoped that the Estates will reap their full benefit, so as to meet the demands of Government - Whilst, on the other hand, if assistance be withheld, it is vain to expect that it will be supplied by the Zemindar -

4 The Accounts of former years shew that large advances have

Fort St. George 21st October 1842.

have been made to the Ryots in the several Estates under Management at times when the Colair was full, amounting as will be seen from the annexed Statement, to sums far exceeding that for which sanction is now solicited.

5 Under these circumstances, I fully concur with Mr. Mathieson as to the expediency of allowing extra advances to be made upon the present occasion, and should be inclined strongly to urge the propriety of their being made to the full amount originally applied for, but that I am aware how peremptory have been the orders of Government, and the Court of Directors that Tuccavie should be generally reduced

Fort St. George 21st October 1842.

to the smallest amount possible. I trust, however, that under the peculiar circumstances of the present Case, the Board will not object to forward an application for the reduced Amount of 5,000 Rupees, the actual disbursements on account of which, it shall be my endeavour to restrict as far as may be found compatible with the attainment of the object in view.

Masulipatam, Collr's Cutcherry, 20th October 1842.

I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant, Signed R. T. Porter, Collector.

Statement

13004

Fort St. George

Statement.

24th October 1842.

Names of the Purganahs	Total Amount of Inccavie Sanctioned for whole Cultivation in Fuslies 1236-1241 and 1243.	Amount appropriated for Cultivation under Colair in Fuslies 1236-1241 and 1243.	Amount Sanctioned for ordinary Cultivation for fusly 1252.	Further advances proposed by Mr. Mathieson to be made for lands, to be brought under Cultivation under Colair in Fusly 1252.	Reduced Amount now recommended.	Total Amount for fusly 1252 as per Columns 4 and 6.
	C. Rs. a. ℗.	C. Rs. a. ℗.	C. Rs. a. ℗.	5 C. Rs. a. ℗.	6 C. Rs. a. ℗.	7 C. Rs. a. ℗.
1 Boojabalapatam	3000 " "	2500 " "	450 " "	1600 " "	1400 " "	1800 " "
2 Flystaloor	" " "	" " "	400 " "	1600 " "	1400 " "	1800 " "
3 Baharzully	4500 " "	1840 " "	" " "	800 " "	600 " "	600 " "
4 Goondoogolanoo	3000 " "	500 " "	300 " "	600 " "	500 " "	800 " "
5 Amberpettah	3000 " "	360 " "	300 " "	200 " "	100 " "	400 " "
6 Ellore	5000 " "	887 " "	100 " "	400 " "	300 " "	400 " "
7 Covally			100 " "	400 " "	400 " "	500 " "
8 Padapaudoo	1377 " "	500 " "	" " "	200 " "	200 " "	200 " "
9 Vasuntavadah	" " "	" " "	" " "	200 " "	100 " "	100 " "
Total	19877 " "	6587 " "	1600 " "	6000 " "	5000 " "	6600 " "

DELETED

Signed P. T. Porter
Collector.

Ordered

13005 Fort St George 24th October 1842.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter, and the previous correspondence on the subject.

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

37-38

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government — From the Collector Masulipatam. September 26th 1842 in Cons 6th Octr To Collr 3d Octr 6th From Collr 20th Octr in Cons 24th —

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence, having reference to an additional advance of Tuccavy for the district of Masulipatam. It will be seen from Mr Porter's letter that the circumstances of the Village for which this grant is sought, are peculiar; an unusual supply of water affords means of irrigation — for a much larger tract of land than was contemplated earlier in the season, but the Ryots are without stock and seed grain to take advantage of it. It seems that the assistance desired by the Collector will cause a large increase of Revenue not to be expected without it, and the Board recommend that the sum asked for, 5,000 Rupees, should be given.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George — 24th October 1842 —

/ Signed / W. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

13006 Fort St George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letters

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

39

From the Coll[r] of Guntoor —

Sir,

With reference to the — Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22d Ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith a further — Statement No 1 shewing the amount of — Offers received for the Abkarry Rents — and another No 2 for Sundry small farms and Licences for Fusly 1252, and to request the Board's Sanction for — accepting the same —

Guntoor ——— Collector's Cutcherry, 8th October 1842.

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Collector.

Statement

1

13007 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

No. 1 Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Abkarry Stations for Fusly 1252 in the Zillah of Guntoor

No. of Tanahs	Names of Tanahs	No. of Stations	Names of Stations	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1	Chaybrole Tanah	1	Nauvacodoor	1 " "	2 4 "	1 4 "	" " "	
		1	Munchecalapoody	47 " "	56 " "	9 " "	" " "	
		1	Panoornody					
		1	Colacaloor	41 " "	37 " "	" " "	4 " "	
		4		89 " "	95 4 "	10 4 "	4 " "	
2	Bauputlah Ditto	1	Aurapully	8 " "	8 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Conaravole	46 " "	46 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Annurtaloor	6 8 "	6 8 "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Chenamutlapoody	200 " "	200 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Padamutlapoody					
		1	Edoopully	169 " "	174 " "	5 " "	" " "	

13008 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

No. 2 Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Sundry small Farms & Licences for Fusly 1252, in the Zillah of Guntoor.

Names of Tanahs	Names of Stations	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1 Chaybrole Tanah	1 Munchecalapoody	26 " "	26 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	
	1 Panoornooly					
	1 Colacaloor	9 " "	8 " "	" " "	1 " "	
	3	35 " "	34 4 "	" 4 "	1 " "	
2 Bauputla Ditto	1 Aurapully	4 " "	4 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Balaosoolapaulem	30 " "	30 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Conaravole	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Annurtaloor	2 " "	2 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Chenamutlapoody	185 " "	195 " "	10 " "	" " "	
	1 Pedamutlapoody	271 " "	271 " "	" " "	" " "	

13007

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

No. 1 Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Abkarry Stations for Fusly 1252 in the Zillah of Guntoor.

No. of Tanahs	Names of Tanahs	No. of Stations	Names of Stations.	Fusly 1251.	Fusly 1252.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1	Chaybrole Tanah	1	Nauvacodoor	1 " "	2 4 "	1 4 "	" " "	
		1	Munchecalapoody	47 " "	56 " "	9 " "	" " "	
		1	Panoornoody					
		1	Colacaloor	41 " "	37 " "	" " "	4 " "	
		4		89 " "	95 4 "	10 4 "	4 " "	
2	Bauputlah Ditto	1	Aurapully	8 " "	8 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Comaravole	46 " "	46 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Annurtaloor	6 8 "	6 8 "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Chenamutlapoody	200 " "	200 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1	Padamutlapoody					
		1	Edoopully	169 " "	174 " "	5 " "	" " "	

13008

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

No. 2 Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Sundry small Farms & Licences for Fusly 1252, in the Zillah of Guntoor.

Names of Tanahs	Names of Stations	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1 Chaybrole Tanah	1 Munchecalapoody	25 " "	26 4 "	1 4 "	" " "	
	1 Panoornoody					
	1 Colacaloor	9 " "	8 " "	" " "	1 " "	
	3	35 " "	34 4 "	" 4 "	1 " "	
2 Bauputla Ditto	1 Aurapully	4 " "	4 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Baloosoolapaulem	30 " "	30 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Comaravole	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Annurtaloor	2 " "	2 " "	" " "	" " "	
	1 Chenamutlapoody	185 " "	195 " "	10 " "	" " "	
	1 Padamutlapoody	271 " "	271 " "	" " "	" " "	

	1	Valatypóllem	17	0	0	17	8	0	0	8	0	0
	1	Aullapurroo	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
	8		601	0	0	611	8	0	10	8	0	0
3 Tanaly Ditto	1	Tanaly	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	1	Jumpary	1	12	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Moottoor	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
	1	Doppalapoody	16	4	0	15	12	0	0	0	8	0
	1	Chintulapoody	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Collepara	16	0	0	15	6	0	0	0	10	0
	1	Colloor Lunca	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Colloor Lunca										
	1	Pedacondoor	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Yalapurroo	2	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	8	0
	1	Epooroo	2	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	0
	1	Arapa	7	8	0	7	4	0	0	0	4	0
	1	Dauvooloor	27	0	0	24	12	0	0	2	4	0
	1	Yedlapully	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Salapaud										
	1	Vajundla	42	8	0	41	8	0	0	1	0	0
	1	Goddapully										
	1	Innavarum										
	1	Anuntavarum	30	12	0	28	12	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Moonoogatepoorum										
	1	Duntaloor	16	8	0	15	8	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Paravaly										
	1	Mooloopoor	3	4	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Vamoor	2	2	0	3	8	0	1	6	0	0
	1	Nedoobrole										
	1	Ponnoor	4	2	0	3	10	0	0	0	8	0
	1	Boddooloorpaud										
	1	Abbenagoodavilly	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	28		203	12	0	193	8	0	1	6	11	10
4 Rauchoor Ditto	1	Dhoolypoody	335	0	0	335	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Vellatoor	148	0	0	149	0	0	1	0	0	0
	1	Nadimpully										
	1	Goodavully	44	0	0	45	8	0	1	8	0	0
	4		527	0	0	529	8	0	2	8	0	0
43	Total of Stations to be sanctioned		1,366	12	0	1,358	12	0	14	10	12	10
214	Total of Stations already sanctioned		5622	7	0	5583	1	0	121	8	160	14
257			6989	3	0	6951	13	0	136	2	173	8
										Deduct Increase	136	2
										Net Decrease	37	6

Guntoor, Collectors Cutcherry
8th October, 1842

E. E. & Co.

Signed H. Stokes
Collector.

The

Resolution thereon.

(39-40)

The Board of Revenue authorize the acceptance of the further offers for Abkary Rents and for Sundry Small Farms and Licences in Guntoor for the Current Fusly 1252, as shewn in the Statements submitted with the foregoing letter.

The Board presume that the usual deposit and Security have been taken in respect to these Rents and those Reported under date 12th September last, No. 85.

To

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(41)

From the Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 9th May last, directing me to report the result of the Proceedings held in the case of Bandar Rajaswerrow, I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Acting Joint Criminal Judge at Guntoor dated 8th Instant, from which it will be observed, that Rajeshwar Row has been sentenced by the Court of Circuit to fourteen years imprisonment with hard labour in irons on the Public roads.

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry, 15th October, 1842.

(Signed) H Stokes
Collector.

To

Resolution thereon.

(39 - 40)

The Board of Revenue authorize the acceptance of the further offers for Abkary rents and for Sundry small Farms and Licences in Guntoor for the Current Fusly 1252, as shewn in the Statements submitted with the foregoing letter.

The Board presume that the usual deposit and Security have been taken in respect to these rents and those reported under date 12th September last, No. 85.

To

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(41)

From the Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 9th May last, directing me to report the result of the Proceedings held in the case of Bandar Rajaswerrow, I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board, the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Acting Joint Criminal Judge at Guntoor dated 8th Instant, from which it will be observed, that Rajeshwar Rao has been sentenced by the Court of Circuit to fourteen years imprisonment, with hard labour in irons on the Public roads.

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry, 15th October, 1842.

I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant, (Signed) H Stokes, Collector.

To

13011 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

No. 1.

To The Magistrate of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 14th May last, I have the honor to report that the charge against Rajaswerrow late Head of Police of Zimmerecottah, 4th Prisoner in Case No. 6 of the current year, has been fully proved, and he has in consequence been sentenced by the Court of Circuit for the 2d Sessions of 1842. to 1½ years imprisonment, with hard labour in Irons on the public roads—

Guntoor Zillah,
Joint Criminal Court,
8th October, 1842.

(Signed) H. Wood
Acting Joint Criminal Judge.

Order thereon. Ordered to be re-

(41-42)

-corded—

Read

13012 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(43)

From the Asst. Coll. of Cuddapah

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 10th Instant, and to inform you in reply that the Sayer Colears received information of the Indigo thereon referred to having been conveyed from the Poolevendlah talook to a village of Doovoor without a rawanah and of its having been secreted in the house of another party. This circumstance they immediately reported to the Tahsildar / Head of Police / who ordered them to watch the house to prevent the removal of the Indigo during the night and on the following morning he directed the Village Functionaries to proceed to the house and if absolutely necessary to search for the property alledged to have been secreted there.

On their proceeding to the spot, the sister of the owner of the house, (in his absence) at once produced two bags containing the Indigo. The house was not therefore entered either by the Sayer or Police Servants. The Head of Police held a full enquiry on the subject. The

owner

owner of the Indigo acknowledged having conveyed it without the payment of duty and the owner of the house in which it was discovered merely stated that it was placed there in opposition to his wishes.

Cuddapah, Pc. Collrs. Office 18th. October 1842.

(Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Jr. Pc. Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

43 - 44

Under the explanation furnished by Mr. Arbuthnot in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue authorize the confiscation and sale of the Indigo the seizure of which was reported in his former communication dated 26th. Ultimo and the distribution of the proceeds according to the Regulations.

In Cont. 10th. Octr. 1842

15th. June in Cons. 7th. July 1843.

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput, reporting further on the various claims to the Churchwardenship of the large Pagoda at Conjeveram.

45

Proceedings thereon.

Directing the Collector of Chingleput to constitute Coomara Tauttachariar to be sole Dharmacurtha of Devarajah Swamy Pagoda at Conjeveram, and stating that if he has delayed to carry into effect

The Board still retain the unwillingness formerly expressed to the nomination of the mixed Committee proposed by the Collector for this wealthy institution. Composed of such ill assorted materials, as having within it Sengalogs and Vadagalays the High priest and subordinate

Servants

effect the arrangements already approved and sanctioned for the other native religious institutions of the district waiting for certain form of trust deed, he should do so without delay as Government have recently determined that no such deed shall be executed.

Sd. Charles Lushington Secy.

Servants of the Pagoda, the Board could not expect that it would administer the affairs entrusted to it with peace and good order, but would anticipate ceaseless bickerings and heart burnings, and the frequent recurrence of the open and violent quarrels which first brought this Pagoda to the notice of Government.

2. Besides their preference of individual management and responsibility rather than such a Committee, the Board are of opinion that there are in this case hereditary claims which could not justly be set aside. Though the result of Mr. Freese's researches into the ancient privileges of the Tauttachauriar family is not so clear as could be wished, it is fully apparent that, if not the sole controllers of the Pagoda, that family possessed at least the joint right of hereditary supremacy in common with certain others. and the Board cannot consider that the illegal act of power which resulted in their losing that portion can form a good ground for refusing to recognize their title

now

Fort St. George 24th October 1842

now. It is within the Board's Know=
=ledge that this family is very nume=
=rous and much respected, and they
are of opinion that the best arrange=
=ment for the Davarajah Swamy =
Pagoda will be to constitute Coomara
Sauttachauriar the head of the family
to be sole Durmacurtha, the dignity
to be hereditary. and this arrange=
=ment the Collector will be pleased
to carry into effect.-

3

From the tenor of the former
orders regarding the other Native Religious
institutions of the district, it seems possi=
=ble that the Collector may have delayed
to carry into effect the arrangements approved
and sanctioned for them, waiting
for the form of trust deed. The Board
therefore take this opportunity of
informing him that Government
have recently determined that no
such deed shall be executed, and he
is requested to deliver over all the
institutions without delay to the
parties appointed to the care of them
respectively. The withdrawal of =

Government.

To the Coll. April 11-1842
Extract Proceedings Para 3
July 7 "

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Government interference in the Chingle=
=put District is thus completed. The surplus
funds of the Pagodas now in deposit, will be
so retained pending the answer of the Court of
Directors to a reference which has been made
to them on the subject. The Board take
this opportunity to point out to the
Collector that an allowance of 959-15-
annually to the Streepermatoor Pagoda
from the Madras Collectors office, is =
=mitted in Statement A which accom=
=panied his letter of the 8th February 1842.

4

The various Petitions presented
to the Board regarding the management of
the Pagoda of Davarajahswamy shall be
severally endorsed as follows.-

"Petitioner is informed that the
Board cannot recognize any title in him to
the office and dignity to which he =
lays claim."

Petitioner's suggestions and
prayer regarding the nomination
of the Churchwarden, and the appoint=
=ment of a Committee of Superintend =
=ence for the Davarajahswamy Pagoda

cannot

† From Coll. Feby 8th 1842 Para 5.

1059 of 1842
822
A after 957
1397

393 of 1842
1058

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

271 of 1842
902 - - -

cannot be attended to -

Petitioner is informed that his claim to the churchwardenship of Davarajah Swamy Pagoda at Conjeveram is recognized, and the Collector has been furnished with instructions accordingly -

Ordered that Extract of the foregoing Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance with reference to his letter recorded in them, and that copy be likewise submitted for the information of Government together with the correspondence noted in the margin - regarding the withdrawal of Government interference from the Pannatariums of Chingleput District -

From the Coll^r 18th Aug^t in Cons^n 2^d Sept^r 1841.
Proceedings of the Board 8th Nov^r
From the Coll^r 8th Feb^y in Cons^n 3^d March 1842.
To D^o 11th April "
From D^o 17th June in Cons^n 7th July "
Res^n of the B^d 5th July -
From the Coll^r 30th June in Cons^n 14th July -
Res^n of the B^d 15th Aug^t -

Read the following letter -

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

46

From the Coll^r of Chingleput -

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3^d instant, and in reply to acquaint you that the cloth therein alluded to was new, and one usually worn by native females -

Chingleput District -
Collector's Cutcherry -
Pullicarnay, 14th October 1842.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Resolution thereon -

The Board sanction the levy of double duty on the cloth referred to in the foregoing letter, the seizure of which was reported in the Statement of Smuggled goods dated 31st August 1842 -

46 - 47

Read

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letter -

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

48

From the Coll^r in the S^th D^n of Arcot

Sir

I have the honor to enclose for the consideration of the Board copy of a letter from Mons^r De Colons of Pondicherry -

My letters of the 3^d June and 19th July 1842 will fully explain the causes for this sugar not having been admitted under the certificate specified in Act N^o 15 of 1839 - This sugar indeed is affected by both circumstances first, in not being strictly the produce of this District, tho' manufactured from the produce of the Company's Territory as explained in my letter of 19th July 1842 - and secondly (in common with all Sugar made) by the fact of Sugar being admitted, and not prohibited as required by the Act into South Arcot -

* Vide letter from the Sec^y to Gov^t of India dated 12th Oct^r 1840.

The subject is one of vital intent to M^r De Colons and others who are embarked in Sugar works on a

Fort St George 24th October 1842

a large scale in South Arcot. Further delay will not only entail heavy loss on them - but may seriously affect the Revenue of the District, for there is a great eagerness just now to employ Capital in this manufacture to encourage which I of course am most desirous.

I hope therefore that the Board will again address the Government with a view to obtain an early Settlement of the questions contained in my letters of 3rd June and 19th July 1842.

Cuddalore, Coll.r Cutcherry, 18th October 1842. } (Signed) C. Hughes Hallett Coll.r

A

C. H. Hallett Esq.re

Principal Collector and Magistrate

Cuddalore

Sir

More than two months are elapsed since I had the honor to address you on the subject of my Sugar work of Cuddalore I had the hopes that Government would in a short space of time give you the necessary authorisation for

Fort St George 24th October 1842

for granting me a certificate that may entitle my produce to the benefit of low duties on its introduction in England.

I must call your attention to the severe loss I am suffering from the delay, you are aware of it, I am in fact suffering a great deal.

When I saw that foreign Sugar was prohibited by law, and that Sugar made in the Country was admissible in England I did not hesitate to employ my funds in such an enterprise, I would not suspect that Foreign Sugar could be permitted to be introduced from the Foreign Settlements of Pondicherry and prevent you in consequence from granting the necessary certificate.

If foreign Sugar should continue to be admitted from Pondicherry into South Arcot it will have the lamentable result that the trade of the Presidency of Madras will suffer a severe blow as no Collector of any district will be able to give the

13021

Fort St George 24th October 1842

the desired certificate. The Sugar trade for which large sums have already been spent would be entirely lost.

One thing could be said it is that the act authorize the Collector to give the Certificate when the Sugar is the produce of his district it is a fact and I ought to have addressed you before commencing any thing in order to know, if Sugar made of Jagre of other districts could have a right to your certificates.

Being a Foreigner I must be excused not to have understood the act in all its bearings. I thought and I think yet that Jagre brought from a British district turned into Sugar was as well entitled to your Certificate as if made out from a Sugar cane planted in your garden the different Rasaris deposited at the Custom House prove it to be of real British produce I hope that this will be soon revised and brought to a conclusion as I desire it.

Will you be so kind Sir as to represent my case before Government again it is of the greatest interest for

13022.

Fort St George 24th October 1842

for me that a favorable answer should come soon.

(Signed) A. DeColons

(True Copy)

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
Coll.

Pondicherry
12th October 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To, The Acting Chief Secretary
to Government

48. 49

Sir,

To the Actg Chief Secty to Government

Adverting to the order of Government noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying further communication from the Collector of South Arcot. Copy of the letter from the officiating Secretary to the Government of India which accompanied the order above noticed was furnished to the Collector, but it does not appear to have been received by him as a full reply to his reference, and the Board think its terms so far undecisive as to justify them in applying to Government for

* July 29th 1842 18th in Cons 24th Aug. 30th 1842
Acctt 1842.3 Sn Cons. 5th Sept 1842

13

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

for a further explanation of their wishes.-

2 It is stated that the Indian legislature has hitherto considered the words "land or attempt to land" as satisfying the term "import" used in English statutes. The Board believe that the term "import" in English Statutes includes importation both by land and by sea. but with reference to a recent order of Government, they do not think it proper, without special instructions to affix a meaning to the word, which would give the enactment in question a power altogether denied to it by the Advocate General.-

Ex. M. of Cons. 30th June 1840 No. 2
In Cons. 16th July

*To Bd. April 28th 1841.
In Cons. 13th May.

3 Even if the more extensive signification is allowed to the act, another part of the Officiating Secretary's letter seems to need explanation for it is not apparent whether it is the desire of the Government of India that the meaning which they have "hitherto" annexed to the phrase in question should be acted on hereafter the

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

the matter being before the Law Commission. The Board request therefore that they may be furnished with precise orders for their guidance and that of the Collector of South Arcot.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Boardillon
Secy

Read the following letter

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

50

Sir,

From the Coll. of Trichinopoly

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 3d Instant, I have the honor to state that as the only additions which the book which accompanied it, being the third edition of the law relating to India and the East India Company, seems to contain are the "By law substituting a declaration" &c. and "a continuation of the acts of the Government of India." and that as I cannot find the former and the latter I presume need not be added. I beg to return the Book without having made any alterations in that sent me with Mr. Smollett's letter of the 6th September 1841.-

Trichinopoly, Coll. Cutcherry, 17th October 1842.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Coll.

Ordered.

13025.

Fort St George 24th October 1842.

Ordered that the follow-
-ing letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector of
Trichinopoly

(50 - 51)

To the Coll.r of
Trichinopoly -

Sir

I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to return the Copy
of the 2nd Edition of "The Law relating
to India" received with your letter of the
17th Instant and to request your closer attention
to my letter of the 3rd October 1842 in which
it is directed that the copy of the 3rd Edition
then sent and not that of the 2nd Edition pre-
-viously transmitted, should be returned to
this Office.-

In Cons.n 24th Oct.r

2 A more exact comparison
of the two Books will shew many more points
of difference than those observed by you - and
you are requested to cause the requisite correction
to be made in the former edition and to send
back the latter to this Office as early as con-
-venient.-

Revenue Board Office, - - -
Fort St George 24th Oct.r 1842 }

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Sec.t

Read again letter from the
Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 10th August
and entered in Consultation 1st ultimo.

Ordered that the following
addressed be submitted with the above mentioned
letter.-

To

13028

Fort St George 24th October 1842

To the Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

(52)

To the A.g Chief Sec.y to Gov.t

In Cons.n 7th Jan.y 1842

On the 2nd January 1841
sanction was granted by Government
for defending at the public expence
Suit which had been brought against
the Principal Collector of Coimbatore
and some others in the Auxiliary Court at
Coimbatore - That Suit has now been decreed
in favour of the Plaintiff & I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to forward for the in-
-formation of the Most Honorable the
Governor in Council a letter from the
Principal Collector of Coimbatore enclosing
the first decree and the review of Judgment -

No 284 of 1838

10th Aug.t in Cons.n 1st Sept.r 1842.

2 The Board are of opinion
that there are good grounds for an appeal
against the decision of the Auxiliary Court
and that considering that many other
cases of a similar kind are virtually deter-
-mined by it, it is highly desirable that such
a Appeal should be made - The Board have
accordingly

accordingly prepared a draft of an appeal Plaint which is herewith submitted for approval and I am directed to solicit sanction for prosecuting the appeal at the public charge. The Principal Collector has been instructed to apply to the Zillah Court for an extension of the term of appeal, the time granted by Regulation having already expired.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th October 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

A

Appeal from Decree in O.S. 287 of 1838 of Coimbatoor Auxiliary Court.

The history and merits of this case are set forth at length in the Answer of 1st Defendant in original suit, and it is only necessary to recite them briefly here. Original Plaintiff, now Respondent rented the Sayer and Tobacco duties of Darapooram Taluk for Fusly 1243. In that year it was deemed proper by the Principal Collector & 1st Defendant, now Appellant, in

order to assimilate the Sayer Accounts to those of the other Revenue Departments, to let the rents from the 13th to the 12th July, both inclusive, the dates on which in the other Revenue Departments the Fusly year, at that time began and ended in the District of Coimbatore, instead of according to the Tamil Months, from the beginning of Audy to the end of Anny, as was previously usual. In 1833 the 31st of Audy, the last day of the Sayer Rents for Fusly 1242 under the old System, fell on the 13th July, and in order to introduce the new rule, it was necessary, as the terms of the Sayer Rent for Fusly 1242 could not be changed, that the rent for Fusly 1243 should commence for that year on the 14th instead of the 13th July, thus comprising only 365 days. This was made known to all the bidders perviously to the sale; Plaintiff took his rents on that understanding, and carried on the business of Renter till the end of the Fusly, after which he made a claim to the Collections

of

of the 13th July 1833 on the 31st day of Fusly the last of the rents for Fusly 1242 as above said. The same was refused by the Principal Collector but Respondent obtained a decree for the amount with interest in the Coim-batore Auxiliary Court, from which decree this appeal is now made.-

2 The case turns upon the single point whether the change in the term of the rents, Viz. their being granted for 365 days from 14th July 1833 to 12th July 1834, instead of for the twelve Tamil Months, was duly ratified, previous-ly to their being put up to sale. And the Appellant trusts that a consideration of the following facts will convince the Hyllab Court that such was actually the case; that Respondent therefore has no ground of Action; and that he only brought this suit because, suing as a pauper he could not be a loser while he thought there was a chance of gaining a large sum.-

1st It was positively stated on

solemn affirmation before the Court that such a Ratification was publickly made in open Cutcherry at the time of sale in presence of all the bidders, and before any biddings were made, and more evidence of the same kind may be had.-

In addition to this direct proof there are other considerations leading to the same conviction.-

2nd All the bidders including respond-ent were well acquainted with the former mode of renting the duties, & unless they had been fully aware of the special provision excluding the 13th July 1833 they would certainly have claimed delivery of all the Chakies, and permission to commence business on that day according to the tenor of their putitahs. But no such single in-stance of such application at the time occurred either on the part of the Respondent or any one else, a clear proof that all the renters knew that the term of their rents did not include that day.-

3 In fact, all the bidders, who, as already said, were well acquainted with the previous state of things, were perfectly aware that the 13th July (31st Fusly) was included in Fusly

Fort St. George 24th October 1842

Fusly 1242; and neither Respondent nor any other of them would ever have thought of offering for a term to include that day in Fusly 1243.

3 The Appellant contends that the foregoing considerations shew clearly that Respondent himself was aware that the 13th July 1833 was not included in his rents and that they still more fully establish the fact, which alone it is necessary to prove, that the exclusion of that day from the Rents in general was duly notified to all concerned, and was understood as well known as the actual sale of the Rents. The Assistant Judge however sets aside all this evidence, and decrees on the single ground that the Puttah, being a written document is of more validity than a verbal declaration. As a general rule this proposition is true, only because the writing is considered better evidence of the terms of an engagement; but like other kinds of evidence it is open to be rebutted by opposing testimony.

4 The Appellant maintains that the method adopted of giving publicity to the terms of the rents, viz. by a public notification to all

parties

Fort St. George 24th October 1842

parties concerned at the time of sale before the bidding commenced, was the most proper and the most in accordance with the usual practice. The Farms were put up to Public Auction and were sold to the Highest Bidders in the open Cutcherry of the Principal Collector. The Puttahs were drawn up for the whole Fusly as matter of form: it would have been better perhaps if a note had appended, specifying the exception, as observed by the Assistant Judge; but this was only an omission, and an omission can never be held as positive or decisive proof, still less can the dismissal of a suit be founded on the neglect of such a precaution as if observed, would have prevented all litigation whatever.

5 In respect to Respondent's remarks in his reply, as to the injustice of a provision which wanted although only 364 days to his rent, it is only necessary to observe that such were the conditions on which he took the rents, which were publicly stated at the time.

6 With regard to the Principal Collector's

Collectors indorsement &c, on which Respondents founded an argument, it need only be pointed out that the Petition on which it was made contained an application for the rights to the Collections which he had made on the 13th and 14th July 1834 which dates were not included in his Puttah being the first two days of Fusly 1244 - and the Respondents was required to refund the amount he had thus collected to the Sayer Renter of that Fusly, the endorsement therefore to the effect that the Petitioner must abide by the terms of his Puttah, had reference only to his specific application for the Collections of the 13th and 14th July 1834, and cannot be construed into the extended sense which Respondent wanted give it.

7.- On the foregoing grounds the Appellant trusts that the Zillah Judge will reverse the decree of the Lower Court, and award him his costs both in original and appeal.

8.- The foregoing arguments are directed against the decree of the Auxiliary Court as we think, but even if it was admitted

admitted that the decision on this point was good, the sum awarded to the Respondent is far too large. The ground on which the Court decreed the full amount sued for appears to have been a Chittah and copy of Chittah for July 13th 1833 filed by Respondent; and which were not supported before the Court by any proof. It is certain that Respondent could not himself have had any knowledge, or prepared any Accounts of the Collections of the day in question, because the Renter of Fusly 1242 was then in possession, nor is it likely that that Renter, who was 3d Defendant in this Action in original, should have given him (Plaintiff) a Statement of Collections to be perused against himself. If he did do so it could only be collusively to injure 1st Defendant; and the Accounts therefore deserves no credit. On either supposition the Accounts are worthless, and yet the Auxiliary Court received them as true without any proof whatever. The Zillah Court will judge what reliance can

can be placed on them, when it consi-
=ders that the amount of both the
Sayer and Tobacco rents for the year
gives an average sum of only Rs. as
20-2 for a
day; whereas on the faith of the Respond-
ents Accounts the Lower Court awarded
=ed him 83 7-1-8½ principal & besides
interest. Appellant submits that no
evidence has been produced to shew
the real amount of the collections
on the 13th July and that on the sup-
=position above given, Viz. that the Lower
Court's decree on the main point is
affirmed, no more can be awarded as
such Collections than the amount of the
Rents for a day, with a fair deduction
for the Renters profit. Allowing 15
per cent for that, the sum would be
30 Rupees. If it be urged that the 13th July
was the last day of the Fasly
and that therefore the Collec-
=tions on that day would be
larger, which is possible in the
Tobacco Rents, it is replied that
Respondent's claims paramount of that
day as the first of the Fasly, and
could not be entitled to such de-
=

larger Collections.—

Further the Appellant

denies the Respondent's right to the in-
=terest awarded to him. It has been de-
=clared by the Sudder Adalut that a man
is not entitled to let a claim lie over
for a long time and then bring it forward
and ask for interest & wait for a decree
that he allowed it to lie dormant,
Respondent has no title to interest from
the date of the course of Action till the
institution of the suit, but only from
the latter date to the satisfaction
of the decree of the Court.—

In

13037 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

10 In conclusion the Appellant prays the Zillah Court to reverse the decree of the Respondent Court and award him his costs both in original and Appeal. But if this Court should concur with the lower Court on the main question he calls on the Judge to amend the former decree, allowing Respondent only 30 Rupees Principal with interest from the institution of the Suit to the day of final execution and to dismiss the costs payable by Appellant in like proportions.

Signed J. D. Bourchier
Secretary
Board

13038 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

53

From the Bd. Colr. of Coimbatore

Returns the Papers which accompanied the Secretary's letter of the 3d Instant offers certain remarks on the subject of Captain Vallancey's application to hold land and subjoins Extract of letter dated 9th September 1842, states also that the application of Captain Vallancey will be sent in due course.

Sir,
Para 1 I lose no time in returning the enclosures / seven in number / to which your letter of the 3d Instant gave cover.

2. I have the honor to request that you will be the medium of conveying to the Board my extreme regret that I should have inadvertently subjected them to unnecessary trouble in the matter of Captain Vallancey by not entering more fully into details in my letter to your address of the 9th Ultimo.

3. My letter however is most essentially correct and up to this moment I have not received any Public communication or Proposal from Captain Vallancey for the occupation of lands which I should be justified under the existing Rules in submitting as an official application.

4. My letters in reply to that Gentleman's private notes were as the Board will perceive written in all courtesy and with the intention of paving the way to his obtaining his wishes subsequently

subsequently, however, a comparison of Regulation XIX of 1802, with act No. 4 of 1837 produced a doubt in my mind on the point of Europeans in Public situations being allowed to hold lands for purposes of cultivation, which doubt was made known to Captain Vallancey and is now under reference.

5. The information called for in your letter of the 25th August 1842 was submitted in my communication of the 9th September 1842 but in order to save further molestation to the Board I have again to subjoin an Extract in explanation of it.

"From the general tenor of Captain Val-
"lancey's communications it is impossible to make
"out precisely the terms on which he proposes to
"hold the land I have reason to think however that it
"is his intention to get it on Punjah tenure and to
"convert it into Ryotwar by using the waters of the
"Kullar River. If as he now states in his letter to
"your address it is to be appropriated for the cultivation
"of Sugar cane, it would become on the termination
"of the Cowlenamah liable to the payment of

"of two Crop rent or upon the average Rupees 10-7-4
"per Cawney according to the usage of the District &c
"&c. &c.

"The land is a rich alluvial deposit on
"the Banks of the Kullar River, capable of yielding the
"most valuable products" &c. &c.

6. Captain Valancey will be immediately called upon to forward a specific and formal proposal under the existing Rules which will be submitted to the Board in due course with my opinion thereon as required in the Extract from their Proceedings dated 15th September 1842.

Coimbatore Pr. Coll.r Office 11th October 1842. Order thereon. Read again in Con. 10th Nov.r
53-54

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pr. Coll.r

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.
Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Coll.r of Canara
55

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the quantity &c. of Coir transmitted to Calcutta directed in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 4th October 1841, and request that sanction may be obtained for the total Cost. amounting to Company's Rupees 4,008-10-2.

Pr. Coll.r Cutcherry Mangalore 12th October 1842.

/Signed/ H. M.r Blair
Pr. Coll.r

Statement

13041 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

No. 1

Statement Shewing the quantity and Value of Ameendive Coir sent from Canara to Calcutta and the charges of Shipment.

Date of Consignment	Name of the Vessel	Name of the Commander	Quantity of Coir	Produce of what	Rate p. Candy	Amount Value	Charges Shipping	Total
			Candies	Mds.	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
1842 Octr. 4th	Barque Malkart	A. R. Dixon	157	424	1250 25 " "	3931 12	779 - 4	4708 10 2

Mangalore 12th October 1842. (Signed) H. M. Blair Pr. Collr.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted together with the following address.

(55 - 56)

To The Actg Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Secty to Government.

Sir

With reference to the order of Government dated 30th September 1841 No. 1182, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara and to recommend that sanction may be granted for the charges incurred in the Shipment of Coir to Calcutta in the Month of October last Amounting to Rupees 4,708-10-2- as shewn in the Statement which accompanies the Principal Collector's letter.

In Cons: 4th Octr 1841.

12th in Cons: 24th Octr 1842.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George, 24th October 1842. (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secy.

Read.

13042 Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Malabar.

(57)

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the information of the Board of Revenue translations of three Plaints filed in the Zillah Court at this station against myself and other Public Servants therein named by Edamparetil Comasha Nambiar and to request that on the ground set forth in the accompanying drafts of the proposed Answers, the Board will be good enough to grant me authority to defend the Suits at the public expense.

Malabar Collr Office Calicut, 4th October 1842. (Signed) A. V. Conolly Collr.

No. 1.

To The Court of Adawlut Zillah Malabar.

The Petition of Edapamoitil Comasha Nambiar of Edapal Umshom & Deshom, Cholioilly Naad Addy in Cootnaad Talook.

I prefer a complaint against the following

Fort S.t George 24.th October 1842,

following Defendants Viz.t 1.st Raujuraden Cadangoie Coorpoo, Tahsildar of Cootnaad Talook, 2.d Patayat Pangosha Menon Sheristadar of the above Talook, and 3.d H. V. Conolly Esq.r Collector of Malabar against the 1.st & 2.d Defendants for having, in violation of the rules of Government and custom of the Country, broken open the lock and seal of my Godown and forcibly taking therefrom a quantity of paddy belonging to me—and against the 3.d Defendant, for having, relying upon the report made by the 1.st Defendant, refused not only to order the restoration of the paddy, but for not examining and passing a proper order, in consequence of which the 1.st Defendant sold the said quantity of paddy. The particulars of my complaint are as follows.

1. Panangavil Shangoe Nair, who owed me paddy, paid me in part in Kany 1016, 350 parrahs of paddy, with the addition of 62 parrahs and 4 Seers being equivalent to 48 fanams, the revenue for 1016 which I paid, according to Kists for the Eradinellum Paddy fields and three others, the

Fort S.t George 24.th October 1842,

the Jumma of which is registered in the name of Keke Edapanoitil Etcho Nambiar, but which was cultivated by the first named individual. This paddy together with 200 parrahs more, that I had received from my own Lands, forming altogether 612 parrahs and 4 Seers, (at the rate of 30 Seers the parrah) I deposited on the 6.th Kany 1016 after measuring in a room belonging to the Ishnara Manga-latha Colathu or Granary, the door of which was locked and sealed with my seal, keeping with me the account of the paddy, the Key of the door and the Seal. But as the paddy was not quite dry, I had settled on the 7.th to sell it to some Merchants. on the 8.th but before this could be done, the 1.st Defendant had on the 7.th Kany, attached the said paddy, by placing a guard of two peons over the room in which it was lodged (besides attaching other personal property belonging to Etcho Nambiar, as also the Grain on the fields belonging to him, but cultivated by Tekepaat Teya Menon) on the grounds that there was a Balance of Revenue against the said Etcho Nambiar amounting to 273 and odd fanams

on

on account of 1015 in which is included the 48 fanams on account of the four lands above adverted to, for which, by an order dated the 14th Eddovom 1015 Shangoe Nair, who cultivated the lands for 1016, was held answerable, after which the 1st Defendant kept in confinement the said Shangoe Nair and Etcho Nambiar and others, and examined them, when Shungoe Nair declared, on the 7th that the paddy which is the subject of this suit belonged to me and that it was under my lock and Seal while Etcha Nambiar declared the Paddy in question did not belong to him. This circumstance Shongoe Nair represented to the 3d Defendant by his fourth Uezee dated the 11th Kany 1016, but of which no notice appears to have been taken, for on the 7th e. o day ie on the 14th Kany 1016, the 1st & 2d Defendants, taking advantage of their authority as Government Servants, but contrary to Government order, without giving me any intimation, without requesting me, or my people, or those who

measured o

measured and stowed the paddy to attend, for the purpose of inspecting my lock and Seal, did remove my seal, opend the lock with a false key, and took possession of my Paddy, the 1st Defendant then on some pretext or other, reported to the 3d Defendant that there was a deficiency in the quantity of Paddy, so taken possession of, whereupon the 3d Defendant, without making any enquiry of me, sent an order to the 1st Defendant approving of his proceedings, the said paddy was accordingly in the month of Menom 1016 sold, This being the paddy I had reserved to enable me to pay my own revenue for 1016. I did not discharge the revenue payable by me for that year.

2ndly On the 10th Kany I addressed the 2d Defendant in his Official Capacity (that the letter may be kept in record) representing the groundlessness of attaching my paddy on account of a balance due by Etcho Nambiar for the previous year, but he returned no answer, evidently

evidently because he could not give any answer
without exposing the irregularities attached to this
proceeding. In consequence of my not getting any
answer, I on the 11th & 13th presented arzees to the
Talook Cutcherry accompanied with copy of the letter
written to the 2d Defendant. By the endorsement
passed thereon, the 1st Defendant's malicious and un-
authorized proceedings and the fact of his having gone
to another Talook without leave, are so evident, that I,
under dates the 16th & 20th Kany & 13th & 20th Dancc
and 12th Magarom presented six different Arzees
to the 3d Defendant on the subject, but the 3d De-
fendant neither ordered the value of my paddy
to be refunded to me, or gave me any Answer on the
back of my petitions as requested, and as is usual
to be done, evidently because no such endorsement
could be given without making it manifest by the
arzees themselves that the 1st & 2d Defendants had ille-
gally attached my Paddy. However, in reply to three

Arzees,

Arzees, I received an inadequate answer dated the 11th
November 1840, and to two others an answer dated the
5th January 1841. When the Court refer to these Answers
as well as to the answer given on the 12th February to my
sixth Arzee, the Copies of my six Arzees, the answer which the
1st Defendant gave on my Arzee to him, the copy of my addres
to the 2d Defendant, the Note which the 1st Defendant caused
to be written to me by Madelagate Komoo Moroon, total 12
Documents. as well as to the following
six documents which I obtained from
Shungoe Nair, Viz. the unjust orders
passed by the 1st Defendant on the
16th Methomum 1015, and by the

3d

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

3d Defendant on the 23d Karkadagom, on arzees presented to them respectively on the subject of the balance of the 48 fanams above alluded to, the answer separately given, under date the 6th Soolam, to the Arzee dated the 31st Karkadagom, and the Copy of the last mentioned Arzee, and to Copies of the arzees presented under dates the 20th Chingom and 11th Kany, to which no answer whatever was ever returned, thus making a total of 18 documents which, when shewn to the Defendants in

Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

in Court if not admitted by them, they will have to produce the very original and thus the Court will be convinced of the unjustifiable proceedings of the 1st and 2d Defendants and that therefore they are bound to make good the amount sued for, that the orders passed on my arzees were not just and fair that it was not fair for the 3d Defendant to sanction the 1st Defendants acts as regards his demand of one of the 48 fanams on account of a balance of Revenue for 1015 against the four lands already described that there was no sufficient reason to attach so large a quantity of Paddy for the realization of a small balance of 48 fanams—

3d I once presented a petition to Mr. Clementson (to which I received an endorsement dated 12th Chingom 1012) on the subject of a land of mine, in which I also made mention of the 1st Defendants conduct in having taken from the athekarees and Minors pay for one month and appropriated the same to himself, this and some other circumstances inspired in the 1st Defendant an ill feeling

Fort St George 24th October 1842.

feeling towards me, which induced him to take possession of my paddy, and to screen himself from blame, he made false reports of the case to the 3d Defendant, in which he having been supported by the Huzzoor Servants the 3d Defendant, without making a due enquiry into the matter, gave orders for the disposal of the paddy. Of the truth of this as well as of the unfair conduct of the said public Servants the Court will be convinced by a reference to the two orders above alluded to.

4th If Echo Nambiar raised a crop in 1015 the 1st Defendant ought to have recovered from him the assessment thereon if he did not get any crop the 1st Defendant ought to have recommended a remission of revenue on his behalf. such being the proper course, there was no reason whatever to attach the Crop raised this year by Shangoe Nair on account of that balance much less to attach the paddy in my Godown because part of it was received by me from the said Shangoe Nair.--

5th Also no Sirkar Servants have entailed such grievance. I pray the Court to summon the

Fort St George 24th October 1842.

the three Defendants above named, and cause them to make good to me fanams 521 2/10 being value of the aforesaid 612 parras and 4 Seers of Paddy at the present selling price of 11 9/4 Seers per fanam or that they may be made to give a receipt for 484½ fanams, being the full amount of assessment payable by me for the Current year 1016, and the residue 36 7/10 fanams paid in Cash.--

Dated 8th Karkadagom 1016.--

P.S.

Having been hard pressed for the revenue payable by me, I have paid the 484½ fanams, I therefore beg to withdraw my prayer for a receipt for that amount.--

22d Danoo 1017.

To, The Court of Adawlut
Zillah of Malabar.

The Answer of H. V. Conolly
Esqre Collector of Malabar, by
Cooya Narrain a Shasthrigal
Acting Government Vakeel.

The Plaintiff Edapanmittil Kamar-
sha Nambiar having filed a suit under Ct No 74
of

13053. Fort St George 24th October 1842.

of 1842 against 1st Kanjaraden Cadoongore Koorpoo late Tahsildar of Hootnaad Talook 2d Huttiah Paugasha Menon late Sheristadar of that Talook, and 3d H. V. Conolly Esqre Collector of Malabar, for the recovery of 521 3/10 fanams stated to be the value of 612 Paras and 4 Seers of Paddy alleged to have been unjustly taken possession of and sold for the realization of a balance of Revenue due by Seke Edapanwittil Etcho Nambiar. I beg to subjoin the answeer to the said Plaint

1. Seke Edapanwittil Etcho Nambiar

the Ananderaven or Heir at law to the Plaintiff having fallen in arrear to the extent of Fanams 263 9/10 on account of the Revenue payable by him to the Sirkar in the M. Y. 1015, a written demand was served upon him as prescribed by the Regulation, but the Defaulter neither discharged the arrear or gave any Security for its liquidation In consequence of which the 1st Defendant, the Tahsildar of the Talook, according to the prevailing Custom

13054. Fort St George 24th October 1842.

Custom, ordered thro the Adigaree and Menon (Village Officers) that the Defaulter was not to be allowed to remove the Crops of the lands cultivated by him in 1016 until he should have given security for his Kisto for that year, and the arrears due for the antecedent one, but in open defiance of this order Etchoo Nambiar through the evil advice of the Plaintiff assembled a number of people reaped and carried off the grain of the lands assessed in the name, the field called Erady and three others were cultivated by one Sanangauil Shangoe. the Crops of which also were thro the ill advice of the Plaintiff, reaped and carried away by the said Shangoe, in violation of the said order, and the 1st Defendant having obtained information that the grain so carried away was secured in the Kollate Kollom, a Granary belonging to the Plaintiff, he, (the Tahsildar) after making the necessary enquiries, reported

1

13055 Fort St George 24th October 1842

reported his proceedings for the orders of the 3rd Defendant, the Collector of Malabar, who under date the 20th October 1840, ordered the paddy to be attached for eventual sale and realization of the arrears due by the said Etchoo Nambiar, which not having been discharged by the said defaulter the paddy was sold in due form.-

2d When the Documents connected with this case (amongst which there is the deposition of the said Shangoo, who secured the Grain in the Khollom) are laid before the Court, together with other evidence, the Court will be convinced that the Plaintiffs assertion that there was 612 parras and 4 Seers of paddy in the said Khollom is devoid of truth; that the quantity actually stowed was only 364 Parrahs and 4 Seers,- that the quantity actually found after deducting dryage was only 347 parrahs which was attached and that the quantity actually sold after deducting Cooly here was 337 Parras and 7 Seers.-

3d She Plaintiff avers that the lock and seal on his Granary was forcibly removed and the Grain taken out without any intimation whatever having been previously given him

13056 Fort St George 24th October 1842

him. this is false. The Plaintiff induced his Anandaravom to withhold the revenue due by him, in consequence of which an embargo was laid on the Crops but in defiance of it, the Plaintiff caused the grain to be reaped and removed; and anticipating its attachment the Plaintiff, contrary to the common usage, placed his seal on the door of the room in which the Grain raised by Shangoo was stowed. Witnesses will be adduced to prove that the several applications made to the Plaintiff to open the said room were unattended to and that the measure which the Tahsildar was obliged to resort to, i.e. to remove the seal and open the room, in Plaintiffs absence, was done in the presence of more than 30 Persons. A measure which it was the more necessary to adopt, as it was known that the Grain was in a fresh state, and if not immediately dried, would be spoilt, and not yield the amount of arrears intended to be realized by its sale. the documents alluded to in Para 2 will fully prove this point.-

4th The Plaintiff contends that his property is not answerable for any

Balance

13057 Fort St. George 24th October 1842

Balance due by Etchoo Nambiar but the Plaintiff himself admits that the paddy which he received from P. Shangoo in consideration of his (the Plaintiff) having undertaken to pay the 48 fanams payable by the said Shangoo, was stowed in the same room. Moreover Etchoo Nambiar is the Plaintiff's Ananthanawan. He looks after the Tarawaad or family property under the Plaintiff's directions, and is himself the Jemmakar. But the said Etchoo Nambiar having paid no attention whatever to a written demand served upon him according to the Regulations for Arrears of Revenue, there was no other alternative but to attach and sell the produce of the lands which the said Etchoo Nambiar as Jemmakar caused to be cultivated by the aforesaid Shangoo. Thus then it will appear clear that no Paddy bonâ fide belonging to the Plaintiff was attached and sold.

5thly The Plaintiff remarks that there was no necessity for attaching and selling so large a quantity of Paddy for realizing a balance of only 48 fanams; this assertion is altogether unfounded; for

13058. Fort St. George 24th October 1842

for the arrears due by Etchoo Nambiar was not 48 fanams but 263 2/16 fanams. It may be proper to add that the Plaintiff appealed to the Revenue Board against the orders passed by the Collector in his case, but they were not disturbed by that high Revenue Authority. Hence the Court will see that this unfounded suit is attributable to the Plaintiff's well known litigious and turbulent disposition: to which also is owing his including in the Plaint the names of the Public Officers who merely acted under the orders of the Collector. I therefore pray that the suit may be dismissed with Costs.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 2.

To The Court of Adawlut
Zillah Malabar.

The Plaint of Eddapanvittil Comashen Nambiar of Eddapal Ulmshoom & Deshom Kokollynaad Kobly in Coolnaad Talook.

I prefer a Complaint against the following Defendants Viz. 1st Chellakatto Shangoo

13059 Fort St. George 24th October 1842

Shangoo late Adigary of the above Amshom. 2d. Parombalavil Karnagara Menon Village Accountant. 3d. Kanjamden badangane boorpa Tahsildar of the above Taluk. 4th. Kalayatto Pangasha Menon Sheristadar of the said Taluk 5th. Davishigamana Pilla 2d. Muzzoor Sheristadar & 6th. R. V. Conolly Esq.re Collector of Malabar on the following grounds. In the year 1011 I purchased the Jenim right of 8 plots of Rice land forming part of the property belonging to Ittiraraspa Menon in whose name the revenue was assessed. By the old Summabundy Accounts in the possession of the 2d. Defendants at the Amshom, the Pallom of these 8 plots of land as well as of a land called Palakeloddy appears to be 25 Parahs 8 3 Thoony (a measure so called) and the assessment with 10 per Cent, 31 fanams & 12½ Wishums. For the purpose of ascertaining the extent of the Palakel field, I took a copy of the aforementioned Account, having found that the assessment on the said 8 plots was 11 fanams. I got from the Jemmakar a writing bearing date the 21st Torlam 1011 to the purport that the

13060 Fort St. George 24th October 1842

the above was the share of revenue payable by me. According thereto, I paid 11 fanams for the year 1011, but with the view of exacting from me more money than it was my share to pay on account of revenue, the 1st Defendant & Shangara Menon younger Brother to 2d. Defendant who is even now doing his Brothers Business after receiving 6½ fanams from the Jemmakar and appropriating it to themselves, took that sum from the amount sent to pay my own revenue & credited it to the Jemmakar Ittiraraspa Menon's account - which was however, by order of the then Principal Collector to Malabar - Mr. - Clementson, replaced to my account and a receipt granted me. a Balance of 6½ fanams was however allowed to stand in the name of the Jemmakar and at the end of the year - the 1st - 2nd & 3rd - Defendants falsely reported that I should make it good, as the assessment of the said 8 Plots of lands was 17½ fanams. and accordingly they obtained orders to demand it of me. they then attached my Crops for

for 10½ for a Balance due by the Jemmakar Ittirarapa Menon for 1011, on which date the 11th Chingom 1011 I petitioned the Huzzoor remonstrating against that demand made on me and forwarded at the same time with the Petition the 6½ fanams when the Crops was released from attachment. I subsequently presented a petition stating that I would pay the pattom for the year 1012 to the Jemmakar and prayed that he might be held responsible for the assessment and that when the difference existing with regard to the amount of assessment was settled I would cultivate the lands. Altho' I had not cultivated the lands for 1013 & no order was passed on the subject, the 3d Defendant, without the slightest cause for such a measure, surveyed the 8 plots of land, and the 5th Defendant afterwards resurveyed them, on the same principles and made a groundless report to Mr Clementson. subsequently to which, for the recovery of the said 17½ fanams, the 4th Defendant entered my House on the 11th Karkadagom 1012 and

attached

attached and carried away two Moralies weighing 18½ pallums, but feeling sensible that he had done wrong, he soon after, attached the Crops of 1013, of my own private lands and reaped the same. and after deducting the expense and dryage, the quantity was found to be 56 Parrahs (of 30 Measures) 1½ Dungalies of paddy, out of which 24 Parrahs 5 3/8 Dungalies was sold by Auction by

the

1363. Fort St. George 24th October 1842

the 2nd Defendant on

the 8th Kunny 1013

for the realization

of 19 fanams and

10 Wishums being

2 fanams and —

2 Wishums above the

alleged Amount of Arrears and

to

1364 Fort St. George 24th October 1842

the remainder Viz^t 31 Parahs 85 31/48 Dungalies together with the 2 Doralies were withheld from me. This is not all. Instead of settling the difficulty occasioned by the 1st & 2nd Defend=ants so detrimental to the Sirkar revenue, whereby the lands instead of being cultivated became waste, a remission was granted in favor of the Jemmakar in 1013 not for 11 fanams, the amount he transferred to me, but for 17½ fanams to make it appear this was the real amount of assessment I ought to have paid. Under these circum=stances I pray that the abovementioned 6 Defendants may be examined and the above alluded to 56. Parahs & 1/4 Dungalies of paddy, together with the interest thereon for 3 years & 10 months including meethoonum 1016. being 25 Parahs & 8¾ Dungalies, Total Parahs 82 which according to the present price at the rate of 10¾ Seers for one fanam, makes — gold fanams 69 & wishum 9. and the 2 Doralies or their value 38¾ gold — fanams recovered from them —

and

and restored to me.

8th. Karkadagom 1016.

To The Court of Adawlut

Tillah Malabar.

The answer of H. V. Conolly Esqr.
Collector of Malabar by the
Acting Government Vakeel
Shora Haraina Shastriyul.

Hereunder is the answer to the Com=
=plaint preferred under No. 75 of 1842 by
Eddapamvittil Comashon Nambiar against
1st. Chellacotta Changoo late Eddapal=
=umshom Adigary. 2nd. Karoonakara Menon
Village accountant. 3d. Cadangone Coorpoo=
=tate Coolnaud Talook Tahsildar 4th.
Pangasha Menon aforementioned Talook
Sheristadar. 5th. Davishigamana Pillah
the then 2nd. Sheristadar and 6th H. V.
Conolly Esqr. Collector of Malabar. pray=
=ing that 69 fanams 9 wishums being value
of 82 Parrahs of Paddy (principal and Interest)
together with the 2 Ooralies or their value
Viz. 38 3/4 gold fanams unjustly taken from him
may be recovered and restored to him.

The Plaintiff has admitted

in his Plaint that the cause of action
arose in the year 1837. during the time
Mr. Clementson was Principal Collector
of this District. Section XXVIII Regulation
XXVII of 1802 provides that Collectors shall
not be liable for the official acts of
their predecessors hence the Court will see
that the present Collector has nothing to
say in this Case.

2nd. However the falsehood contain=
=ed in the Plaint, to the effect that Mr.
Clementson passed orders without institut=
=ing proper enquiries and ascertaining
the real state of facts, and got the
Plaintiffs paddy attached and sold
for arrears of revenue not due, prompts
me to submit a few observations thereon.

The 8 plots of land mentioned
in the Plaint were formerly assessed in
the name of Poolikcha Itturarapa menon
the Jenum right on which the Plaintiff
purchased from the said Itturarapa
Menon in the year 1011. In consequence
of which the Plaintiff became res=
=ponsible for the discharge of

revenue

revenue assessed thereon. The Plaintiff pleads that the Jumma on the lands in question was only 11 fanams that the former Jemmakar had given him a chit to that effect, that the amount was unreasonably increased. This statement is unfounded, for the assessment of the 8 plots of land as well as of the Pad-=kilodi nellum, was according to the old Jummahbundy accounts 31 fanams 4 10 wishams. Plaintiff bought the Pulla-=kilodi nellum some 4 or 8 years ago, the Jumma of which Viz. 14 fanams 2 wishams, was accordingly transferred in his name and he Continued to pay the same leaving the assessment of the 8 plots of land at 17½ fanams in the year 1011 to the 12 wishom & 6 2½ fanams at the Huzzoor.

The Plaintiff Contends the assessment is not so much that it is only 11 fanams and that he has with him a Chit from the Jemmakar con-=firmatory of this. This assertion is equally

unfounded, for the Jemmakar himself declared under date the 7th Karkadom 1011, that he had never given such a chit to the Plaintiff, that having sold the lands in question to the Plaintiff he is responsible for the revenue Viz. 17½ fanams. But as the accounts merely shewed the total amount of the as-=sessment of the lands, above adverted to, and not the amount of each, and as the Plaintiff had urged the amount of the 8 Plots was only 11 fanams, it was deemed expedient to put an end to this contention by inspecting the Lands. Accordingly when the Jummabundy Cutcherry reached Ponany in March 1837, they were duly surveyed and the survey examined. Other lands assessed in Ittirarapa-=menons name but cultivated by Certain Ryots were likewise inspected. the result of all which was that the 8 Plots in question Could bear an assessment of 19 fanams & 2½ wishoms

wishoms. If the average of the whole of the lands assessed in Ittirarapa menon's name were applied to the said 8 Plots it would yield an assessment of 18 and odd fanams as the portion payable for the said 8 plots. However without ordering any deviation from the original Jumma, Mr. Clementson directed the Tahsildar to demand only 17½ fanams. Hence it is evident the order was passed after due enquiry, but the Plaintiff having nevertheless withheld payment of what was fairly due by him, His personal property was ordered to be attached and sold.-

The Plaintiff's assertion that the 2 Ooralies & the surplus Paddy were never returned to him is also an unfounded one, for, if he has not had them, it was because he contumaciously declined receiving them back, when more than once tendered to him in presence of several witnesses.-

On a consideration of all the Documents & evidence which will be adduced at the proper time, the Court will be convinced that the Plaintiff has brought a vexatious and unfounded suit, and that, as far as it applies to Public servants, that they are not responsible thereto, in as much as they have only acted according to the orders of their immediate superior the Principal Collector. I therefore pray the suit may be dismissed and the Plaintiff adjudged to pay all costs.-

No. 3.

To The Court of Adaulut
Zillah Malabar.

The Plaint of Eddapanvittil Comachen Nambiar of Koho-kollinaud Hobly Eddapaad Umshom in Cotnaad Talook-

I prefer a complaint against the following Defendants Viz. 1st. Chellakotto Shangoo late Adigary of the above Umshom- 2d. Parambolavil Karnagara menon Village Accountant in the same Umshom- 3d. Kanjaraden Cadangone Coorpoo Tahsildar of the above Talook- 4th. Pangashia Menon sheristadar of the same Talook, and 5th. H. V. Conolly Esqr. Collector of Malabar, - against the 1st. 2d. & 3d. Defendants for having falsely reported to F. F. Clementson Esqr. late Principal Collector, that I was to pay certain revenue, which I was not responsible for- and against the 3d. Defendant for obtaining the Principal Collector's order to attach and sell my property without just cause- The particulars are as follows-

I took on Kanom or mortgage right, a moiety of the Kollakaad Paddy field, the revenue of which

was

wishoms. If the average of the whole of the lands assessed in Ittiarapa Menon's name were applied to the said 8 Plots it would yield an assessment of 18 and odd fanams as the portion payable for the said 8 plots. However without ordering any deviation from the original Jumma, Mr. Clementson directed the Tahsildar to demand only 17½ fanams. Hence it is evident the order was passed after due enquiry, but the Plaintiff having nevertheless withheld payment of what was fairly due by him, His personal property was ordered to be attached and sold.-

The Plaintiff's assertion that the 2 Coralies & the surplus Paddy were never returned to him is also an unfounded one, for, if he has not had them, it was because he contumaciously declined receiving them back, when more than once tendered to him in presence of several witnesses.-

On a consideration of all the Documents & evidence which will be adduced at the proper time, the Court will be convinced that the Plaintiff has brought a vexatious and unfounded suit, and that, as far as it applies to Public servants, that they are not responsible thereto, in as much as they have only acted according to the orders of their immediate superior the Principal Collector. I therefore pray the suit may be dismissed and the Plaintiff adjudged to pay all costs.-

To,

No. 3.

To The Court of Adaulut
Zillah Malabar.

The Plaint of Eddapanvittil Comachen Nambiar of Koho-kollinand Hobly Eddapaad Unishom in Cotnaad Talook-

I prefer a complaint against the following Defendants Viz. 1st Chellakotto Shangoo late Adigary of the above Unishom- 2d Parambolavil Karnagara Menon Village Accountant in the same Unishom- 3d Kanjaraden Cadangone Coorpoo Tahasildar of the above Talook - 4th Pangashia Menon Sherestadar of the same Talook, and 5th H. V. Conolly Esqr Collector of Malabar, against the 1st 2d & 3d Defendants for having falsely reported to F. F. Clementson Esqr late Principal Collector, that I was to pay certain revenue, which I was not responsible for - and against the 3d Defendant for obtaining the Principal Collector's order to attach and sell my property without just cause. The particulars are as follows-

I took on Kanom or mortgage right, a moiety of the Kollakaad Paddy field, the revenue of which

was

Dungalies being deducted on account — of the 35 $\frac{14}{15}$ fanams due by me - the — residue is 102 Parrahs 4 $\frac{3}{4}$ Dungalies - — add to it, the Interest of 2 years & 10 months / inclusive of Mithoonam 1018 / @ 10 per Cent per annum - Viz.o 28 Parrahs 8 $\frac{3}{4}$ Dungalies, the total is 131 — Parrahs 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ Dungalies, which at the — present selling Price of 11 $\frac{3}{4}$ seers per fanam is equal to 111 $\frac{13}{15}$ new Gold — fanams - this sum I pray may be re- covered for me from the aforenamed five Defendants.

Dated 8.c Karkadagom 1018.

To

The Court of Adawlut
Zillah Malabar.

The answer of the acting Government Vakeel Sooryan Narayana Shastrigul in behalf of H. V. Conolly Esq.re Collector of Malabar.

Hereunder is my answer to the — suit preferred by the Plaintiff Eddapanm- -nittil Komasha Nambiar under C. C. No. 75 — of 1842 against the following Defendants Viz.o 1.st Chellenhotte Shangoo late Eddapal Unnshom — adigary

Adigary, 2.d Parambilavil Karonagara — menon village accountant, 3.d Kadan- -gore Coorpoo late Tahsildar of Coitnaud, — 4.th Pangasha menon sheristadar of the same Talook & 5.th H. V. Conolly Esq.re — Collector of Malabar, for the recovery — of 111 $\frac{13}{15}$ fanams being value of a certain quantity / Principal and interest / of — Paddy alleged to have been unjustly — taken from the Plaintiff in settlement of some revenue Demand against him -

1.st It is admitted to the Plaint — that the cause of action had taken — place in the year 1838 when Mr. Ble- -nenson was Principal Collector of Malabar - now by Sec.n XXVIII Regula- -tion XXVII of 1802, a Collector is not — liable for the acts of his predecessor, there- -fore the Court will see, that the name of the present Collector is improperly includ- -ed in the Plaint -

2.d To expose however the utter — groundlessness of all that Plaintiff — has advanced, I beg to submit the following facts for the consideration of the Court - The Plaintiff having taken from Mangat Walapil Koonjoo

the

the Paddy field called Kollakaad, gave it on Pattom or simple Rent to another individual, who paid 11 3/4 fanams — only on account of the Revenue of the said land, leaving a Balance of 14 5/10 fanams. The result of the inspection of the Plaintiff's Garden was an increase of 8 14/16 fanams and the Gingerly crop raised by the Plaintiff was assessed — @ 11 7/16 fanams, the last two Items, added to a Balance of 35 9/16 fanams due by the Plaintiff on account his own revenue made a total of 43 14 3/16 fanams, to which the abovementioned 14 5/16 fanams being added, gives a total of 57 15/16 fanams, the payment of which having been contumaciously withheld by the Plaintiff, notwithstanding the written Demand served upon him inconformity with the Regulation, the crop on a land belonging to the said Plaintiff was, in due form, attached and sold, as the only means of realizing the said Balance. The surplus Paddy Viz. 33 3/5 Parrahs tho' repeatedly offerred to the Plaintiff, was refused to be taken charge of — on

inconsequence of which it has been kept in the hands of a third Party at the Plaintiffs disposal — all these facts including the fairness of the inspection of his Gardens will be satisfactorily proved in due course of time, when the Court will be convinced that the Plaintiff had no grounds to bring this suit against the present Collector and the public servants who only acted according to the orders of their superior — I therefore pray this suit may be — dismissed with costs —

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter —

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you to lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Malabar, relating to three suits brought by one individual

57 - 58

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Malabar relating to 3 Suits brought by a certain individual against him and others in the Zillah Court regarding

October 4th 1842, No 59 in consultation 24th with 3 Enclosures

regarding the Sale of Some property for arrears of Revenue and recommending that the Collector be empowered to file the proposed draft of answer prepared by him with certain amendments & to defend the suits at the cost of Government

individual against him and other public Servants and Village officers of the Dis-trict in the Zillah Court. The Plaints and proposed draft of answers are also for-warded. The particular circumstances of these cases vary, but there is this point of common resemblance, that all involve the sale of property for arrears of Revenue. In two of them the ground of complaint arose in the time of the late Principal Collector; and the present Collector is sued as his successor in Office.

No. 74 of 1842.

2. In the first case Plaintiff complains that Defendants seized some Paddy stored in his godown, and belong-ing to him, and sold it for an arrear of Revenue due by another person. From the draft of answer it appears that the Paddy in question was grown on the land of a person nearly related to Plaintiff who failed to pay the assessment and made over the Produce to Plaintiff. The grain was accordingly sequestered and sold as specially authorized in Section 17 Regulation 28 of 1802.

In

3. In the second Case Plaintiff

No. 75 of 1842. states that he purchased some land bearing an assessment of 11 fanams, but for which Defendants demanded from him 17½ fanams; and that on his refusal to pay, some of his personal property was attached and sold. It appears clear from the Collector's draft of answer, that the Assessment of the land nearly was 17½ fanams, and that Plaintiff's assertion to the contrary is false and fraudulent; the pro-perty under these circumstances, was properly put to Sale.

76 of 1842.

4. The Plaint in the third Case sets forth that Plaintiff let a certain land to a Ryot for cultivation, who was able to pay only a part of the Assessment for want of sufficient produce; that the assessment of another piece of land, a Garden, belonging to him Plaintiff was unjustly increased; and that on his refusing to pay this additional demand on the Garden, and the balance unpaid for other land, the Crop on a certain piece of land was attached on the ground, and

and

and stored, and eventually a part of the produce sold for the arrear and that the quantity remaining unsold was retained by the Defendants and not returned to him. Here three grounds of complaint are stated; the exaction of the full assessment on land which yielded only a partial return, the increase of the assessment of the Garden and the retention of the unsold grain. all these are met in the draft of answer; the first claim is inadmissible as being contrary to the custom of the District even if Plaintiffs Statement were admitted to be true; it is asserted that the assessment of the Garden was properly raised, and that this will be proved before the Court; and it is stated that the unsold grain was deposited with a neutral party because Plaintiff refused to receive it.

5. The Board are of opinion that all these Cases are justly defensible, and that the Collectors drafts sufficiently meet all Plaintiff's allegations. They propose to instruct Mr. Conolly to omit the denial of liability from his pleas; 1st. because they think it unsustainable; the Regulation

he quotes (Section 28 Regulation 27 of 1802) being in their opinion inapplicable because enacted for a particular class of Cases, and those different from the present and the analogy of Section 15 Regulation 2. and Section 29. Regulation 27 of 1802, being against the plea and 2d. because they consider it objectionable that Government should thus shield itself from responsibility for the Official acts of its servants. Mr. Conolly will also be instructed to quote the Regulations under which he acted in distraining and selling personal property, Viz. Sections 2. 11. and 17 Regulation 28 of 1802 as explained by the Sudder Udalut on the 12th. March 1829. With these two Amendments the Board think the proposed answer sufficient and appropriate and they recommend that the Collector should be empowered to file them, and defend these suits at the cost of Government.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th. October. 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read the following letters.

59

From the Collector of Madras. . . . . } To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 18th. January communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th. February last, I have the honor to submit herewith for the necessary sanction a Memorandum of certain arrears due to Ally Rajah.

Madras, 14th. October 1842. } (Signed) A. Robertson Collector.

Memorandum

13081

Fort St. George

Memorandum shewing the arrears of Yeomiah payable to Ally Rajah.

No.	Name of the Yeomiahdar	Amount of Yemiah pr. Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due.	Total Amount of arrears due.	Explanation of the cause of suspension of Payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
	Ally Rajah.	30. " "	Authority of Government dated 29th July 1842, communicated in a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 1st of the month following.	From 1st October 1841 to 11th October 1842, being 12 Months and 11 days.	30 10 7	Ally Rajah being a permanent resident of Madras, and his application for the transfer of payment of his Yeomiah from the Treasury of the Principal Collector of Nellore having been under consideration for some time past, the allowance has been withdrawn since the 1st October last, as has been ascertained from that Office. The payment of the Arrears entered in this Memorandum appears under the circumstances to be unobjectionable. Madras 11th October, 1842. } Signed/ A. Robertson Collector.	Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears due to Ally Rajah, amounting to Rupees 30-10-7. Revenue Bd. Office, Fort St. George, 24th October, 1842. By Order Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.	Sanction granted accordingly. Fort St. George, 3d November 1842. By Order Signed/ Walter Elliot A.t Sec.y to Govt.

24th October 1842.

Order

Fort St. George 24th. October 1842.

Order thereon.

59. 60

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement accompanying the fore=going letter, and submitted for the sanction of Government.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

61

From the Collector of Madras....

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow=ledge the receipt of your letter under date the 10th. Instant, and state that the day on which Fouloch Begum died was the 16th. August 1841. corresponding with the entry in Column 5 of the Memorandum submitted on the 30th. Ultimo, and that the "16th. May 1841" was inadvertently entered in Column 7. I request that you will be good enough to cause the error to be rectified, the necessary alteration having been made in the Office copy of the Memorandum.

Madras,
17th. October, 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Order thereon.

61. 62

Ordered that the ne=cessary remarks of the Board be inserted in

Fort St. George 24th. October 1842.

in the Column of the Statement received in a letter from the Collector of Madras dated 30th. Ultimo, and entered in Consultation 10th. Instant, and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

63

From the Coll.r and Reg.r of Government Grants....

Sir,

I have the honor to trans=mit herewith for sub=mission to the Board of Revenue, the papers noted in the Margin, re=lative to a lease applied for by the Honorable C. M. Lushington Esq.re for certain lands at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collecto=rate of Malabar.

1 Explanatory Statement from the Coll.r and Reg.r of Government Grants
1 Application
1 Plan
1 Attested Copy of lease No. 3 to which is attached Mr. Kay's Assignment
1 Letter from the Coll.r of Malabar 26th. August 1842.
1 Copy of Do. to Do. 12th. September 1842.
1 Do. from Do. 5th. October ——"

Madras,
15th. October 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Coll.r and Reg.r of Govt. Grants.

Description

No.	How laid out & whether any building upon it	24th October 1844 Decision of Government
13084	6 St. George the Govern-	ment of Fort St. George
1	How laid out & whether any building upon it—	Decision of Government
	A Dwelling House and a Bungalow—	Duke Ren According to the Fort St. George One causey or a now on the grou Rupees and the It will be proper in similar Case for the land he thereon than the on account of chargeable with per Annum—

The Most Honorable Governor in Council grants authority for the renewal of a lease to the Honorable C. M. Lushington Esq.re at the rate of assessment, and subject to the condition proposed by the Register of Government

Fort St. George 1st November 1842 } By order

Signed / Walter Elliot
Acting Sec.y to Govt.

Order

13085. Fort St. George 24th. October 1842.

Order thereon.

(63-64)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read the following letter

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(65)

From the Dy. Secretary to the Military Board.

Sir,

In transferring the accompanying Indents for Stationary received from the Principal Collector of Madura for check, I have the honor under the orders of the Military Board to observe that the 1st Para of the rules for the issue of Stationary dated 17th May 1841. require Indents to be sent in Duplicate, and to request the Revenue Board will be good enough to call the attention of Collectors generally to this order; and further that it is necessary all their Indents for Stationary should be checked by your Board, previously to their being transmitted to this Office to be passed for supply.

Fort St. George, 10th October 1842.

(Signed) T. Lavie
Deputy Secretary Military Board.

Ordered

13086. Fort St. George 24th. October 1842.

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.

(65-66)

Circular to all Collectors and Kurnoos Rule 1

Circular to all Collectors and Kurnoos.

Sir,

Agreeably to the Rules for the issue of Stationary published at Page 407 of the Official Gazette of 1841. your indents should be submitted to the Military Board through this Board, as the controlling authority, and should be in duplicate. The Military Board having brought to notice that this Rule has been in some instances neglected, I am directed to request your attention to it for the future.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter

To, The Secretary to the Revenue Board.

(67)

From Mr. Langley Constituted Attorney to Parvatummal and Nunjia Canovaroy Counden Madras.

Sir,

With advertence to a letter which I had the honor to receive from your Office on the 29th April last, relative to the case of Parvatummal and Nunjia Canovaroy Counden, I beg to acquaint you for the information of the Revenue Board, with a view to the case being submitted to Government, that

13087- Fort St. George 24th October 1842.

that the orders of Government as communicated to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore have not yet been attended to by that Authority, and notwithstanding that my clients have made repeated applications to him through their Vakeel at Coimbatore, not the slightest notice has been taken of them, nor has the Collector caused the Moneys taken from their House to be returned to them, as is understood to have been the order of Government.

I have therefore on the part of my clients again the honor to solicit through your Board the protection of Government, in order that speedy justice may be rendered to the parties.

Madras, 10th October 1842.

/Signed/ E. A. Sangley
Constituted Attorney to
Purvut Unnual and
Nunjia Vanamoray Coundan.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

67-68

13088 Fort St. George 24th October 1842

The Board proceed to take into consideration the statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon

69 From the Asst. Princ. Collector of Cuddapah. Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1251.

70 From the Asst. Princ. Collector of Cuddapah. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1251.

71 From the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore. Statement of charges not Estimated but actually incurred in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1251.

72 From the Collector of Malabar. Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252.

69-73 Order on the four abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Columns of the abovementioned statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

74 From the Collector in the Nin Dn of Arcot. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of May last.

75 From the Collector in the Nin Dn of Arcot. Register of Petitions bearing

76

bearing the Boards Endorsements presented to him for the Month of July last.

From the Collector in the Nth Divn of Arcot- Register of Petitions

74 - 77

bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of August last.

Order on the three abovementioned Registers- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

78

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

In conformity to the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of July last.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 24th October 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

77

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

To the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

The same to Bellary

The Abstract of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for the 1st half of the present year not having as yet been received from your District

District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that it may be submitted with the least practicable delay.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 24th October 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary

To The Accountant General
Civil Auditor
and
Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing
Contingent charges

83

To the Accountant General, Civil Auditor & Sub Treasurer Committee for passing Contingent Charges

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1841. published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent charges incurred in this Office for the Month of September last. amounting to Rupees 19-4-11. and to request that they may be passed and returned.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 24th Octr 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

84

From the Collector in the Nth Divn of Arcot Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

From

76

From the Collector in the Nth Dn of Arcot- Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of July last.

74-77

Order on the three abovementioned Registers- Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

78

To the Ag Chief Secy to Government

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government-

Sir,

In conformity to the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of July last-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th October 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

77

To the Collector of Trichinopoly-

The same to Bellary- -

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

The Abstract of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for the 1st half of the present year not having as yet been received from your District

District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that it may be submitted with the least practicable delay.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th October 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

80

To the Accountant General
Civil Auditor & Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing
Contingent Charges--

To The Accountant General
Civil Auditor
and
Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing
Contingent Charges

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1841- published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent Charges incurred in this Office for the Month of September last: amounting to Rupees 19-4-11- and to request that they may be passed and returned.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 24th Octr 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

81

From the Collector in the Nth Dn of Arcot- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last-

From

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

82

83

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for the Month of August last

84

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Reporting that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822. for the Month of August last.

85

From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot — Acknowledging the receipt of 10 Copies of the Printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance Statement

86

From the Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Statement shewing the levy of additional Duty on certain

certain Articles for the Month of September last.

86-87

Order thereon. — Ordered that the levy of Extra Duty mentioned in the above Statement be authorized.

88

From the Collector of Guntoor — Reporting that there have been no cases of Village Officers suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831. in the Month of September last.

89

From the Princ. Collector of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for Exportation to Chittagong on account of the Indent of 1841-142 from the Ports from 1st to 8th October last.

From

13093 Fort St.t George 24.th October 1842

From the Principal Collector of Nellore - Register of Certificates
(90) issued for the exportation of Salt to Chittagong on account of the In Lent for the year 1841/42. for the Month of September last.

From the Ag.t Principal Collector of Tanjore - Stating that
(91) no persons have been charged or confined in the Zillah Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of September last.

Mr. John Dent "

Mr. D. Drury "

Mr. C. Lushington "

H.G. Montgomery

Comp.d J.G. Boyle

13094 Fort St.t George 25.th October 1842.

Diary

25.th October Received the following letter -

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(1) Sir, Fort St.t George.

From the Coll.r in the S.t D.t of Arcot - - - } I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue the enclosed copy of a letter received from the Acting Sub Collector dated 20.th Instant, reporting the seizure on the High Road from Madras to Arcot of two casks of Brandy, one containing 69½ and the other 83½ Gallons, in all 153 Gallons, consigned respectively to Messrs.rs Tondroy and Sons and Soobarey Pillay and Co.s Shopkeepers at Arcot under the accompanying two permits granted by the Deputy Collector of Madras under date the 5.th Instant, for 130 Gallons only. Mr. Hall states that the Brandy is of such an inferior quality that he can only come to a conclusion that it has been purchased for re-sale at Pareeput, as the parties are aware that they cannot legally vend Spirits it appears

necessary

necessary that the penalty of confiscation should be enforced. I beg to recommend accordingly that the quantity seized may be confiscated and the proceeds disposed of as directed in Section XIV Regulation I of 1820.-

2.- It appears that the Office of the Collector of Madras has overlooked the provisions of Section XII Regulation I of 1820 by which Permits cannot be granted for a quantity less than 2 Leaguers or 300 Gallons.-

North Arcot, - - - - -
Collectors' Cutcherry - - -
Chittoor 22nd October 1842

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

No. 1.

To The Collector
in the Northern Division
of Arcot,

Sir,

1.- I have the honor to report to you the seizure on the high road from Madras to this, of two Casks of Brandy, consigned respectively to Messrs. Thomdroy and sons, and Soobaroy Pillay & Co, Shop Keepers at Arcot.-

2.- Two permits of the Deputy Collector of Madras accompanied the liquor in question, which I enclose herewith; one of the Casks is marked, as containing 69 G, and the other 83 G Gallons, whereas, you will perceive that they are entered in the permits as containing 65 Gallons each.-

3.- The Brandy is of such an inferior

inferior quality, that I can only come to the conclusion that it has been purchased by the above parties for re-sale in Rayapettah.-

4.- I beg to suggest that the liquor seized, which is clearly liable to confiscation as neither Messrs. Thondroy and Sons or Soobaroy Pillay & Co. possess licences to sell spirituous liquors either by wholesale or retail, may be returned to Madras under charge of my peons, and that its owners be solemnly warned that in the event of their repeating this offence, the liquor will be confiscated on account of Government and they themselves punished by heavy fines.-

5.- I beg to add a recommendation that the Collector of Madras be required not to grant for the future, permits for Spiritious liquors in wood, consigned to any of the Shopkeepers at Arcot or Arnee.-

North Arcot District,
Sub Coll.r Cutcherry - -
Arcot 20th October 1842.

/Signed/ Arthur Hall
Acting Sub Coll.r

Proceedings thereon.- The Board authorize the -

1-2

confiscation and sale of the two Casks of Brandy, referred to in the foregoing letter and

and the distribution of the proceeds according to the provisions of Section XIV Regulation I of 1820.

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 27th October 1842 — John Dent Esqre. Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member
and
Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart. Acting Temporary Member
Alexander Maclean Esqre. Acting Third Member,
Absent on Leave.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

3 — From the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th October 1842.

Read the following Extracts

Read Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th September 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th July 1842 No. 871 forwarding copy of a letter from the

the Joint Magistrate at Bellary, regarding compensation to be paid to the Cart owner therein alluded to, and the Resolution passed thereon, exhibiting the expense to which the Government have been subjected by the Proceedings of the Commissariat Officers at Bangalore and Secunderabad, I have now the honor in the absence and under the instructions of the Commissary General, to forward for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, copy of a letter and its enclosures from Captain Fitzgerald, Sub Assistant Commissary General at Secunderabad, and I trust that the explanation therein offered of the Proceedings of the above mentioned Officers of this Department will prove satisfactory.

Commissary Generals Office, Madras 27th August 1842 — Signed W. Watkins Lieut.t Colo.
Deputy Commissary General.

To The Commissary General of the Army

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant, with accompaniments, and in reply to inform you that on enquiry I find the Bandy and Bullocks alluded to, were, personally inspected by my predecessor Captain Robertson accompanied by the Cutwall, Mutsuddy &ca previous to their being sold, and that the price they sold for Viz.t Hydrabad Rupees 20–8–– as per account sale Memorandum No.1 was their fair average value, the Bandy being quite unserviceable, one wheel in pieces, and axletree broken, and the Bullocks in very bad condition; a country cart and Bullocks in good order may generally be purchased here for, from 55 to 80 Hydrabad Rupees according to circumstances.–

Although not personally concerned not being in charge here where the said Bandy was sold, I take the liberty of explaining all the circumstances attending the hire and sale of the said Bandy, for submission to His Lordship in Council if you should so deem fit, and which I trust will

entirely

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

entirely absolve the Officers of this Department there at Bangalore and this place, from the blame attached to them in the last paragraph of the Minutes of Consultation No. 871 dated 18th July 1842.–

On reference to the statement No. 2, it will be observed that the Bandy the property of the Complainant Chintaloo was at Bangalore in charge of his servant Pedda Tatteergandoo, who as usual in such cases had full authority to hire the said Bandy out, where and where he pleased, and most probably he was glad to take hire to Secunderabad through annuntapoor – at that season of the year quantities of Potatoes are brought from the neighbourhood of Bangalore to this, and it is most likely the said Bandy would have been hired to this with Potatoes, if it had not been hired by the H. Troop, with the intention very probably of not going beyond that place if possible, such frieles are very common, but as I presume

the

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

the owner at Arunta poor found it ~
necessary to adhere to the engagement made
by his servant, and made no kind ~
of objection, otherwise he would certainly
have claimed the protection of the ~
Magistrate / the Bandy came on with the
Trooper Kishensing who had engaged its
services, and who had paid half hire ~
in advance, I am consequently at a loss
to perceive how the owner accommodated
the Troop as mentioned in the last
para of Mr. Pelly's letter, he had cer-
=tain Bandies for hire by which he
gained his livelihood, his servant, duly
authorized, hired them out for a ~
consideration, therefore I would beg to submit
if there was any accommodation in the
case it was mutual. On the road the
driver Pedda Takeergaudoo died of cholera,
in Consequence on the arrival of the
H. Troop at this place the complain-
=ants Bandy along with four others
in a like situation was handed ~
over by Captain Ashton to Captain ~
Robertson Superintendent of Police

there

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

there being no one forth coming to take ~
charge of them, Captain Robertson immedi-
=ately addressed letters to Bangalore in ~
order if possible to find out the owners but
without success, until an answer ~
should be received it appears that to ~
save the owners from the great expense
at this station of the feed of the cattle,
Captain Robertson directed the Bandies
and Bullocks should be employed ~
bringing in Forage for which the Bul-
=locks were to get the company's allowance
of Grain and Forage, but on trial it was
found that they were of no use, in Consequence
the Bandies and Bullocks were kept at
the Karkhanah and the Bullocks were fed
under the superintendence of the Public Cattle
Mudsuddy, without any charge for attendence,
and on Forage brought in for the Company's
Cattle, which will account for the smallness
of the charge, and which was certainly
an indulgence the owners had no right
to expect, Memorandum No. 3 will
shew what the keep of 2 Bullocks ~

would

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

Bullocks being unable to proceed on the march the Bandy man purchased another at Nundey, and on our Troop coming into Aununtapoor, the Bandy man stated to me it was his Town and left the Bandy and took away the Bullocks and did not return until the Troop was about to march, when he returned of his own accord with the Bullocks and another man, I asked him who that person was, he said "my master" on which I directed him to carry the Bandy on, and went away to prepare myself for the March - at this time or at any other time his Master did not in the least make any objections and on our Troop arriving at the Village of Peepaley the Bandy man died of Cholera and we hired another driver to bring on the Bandy and Bullocks to Secunderabad and paid him ourselves, as also the feeding of the Bullocks, on our arrival here, I represented to my Commanding Officer regarding the Bandy and Bullocks in our possession,

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

possession, who forwarded it to Captain Robertson Assistant Commissary General who took it on his charge, afterwards Captain Ashton paid us the expense incurred, Viz.th Company's Rupees 9, for the driver and feeding of the Bullocks, from the hire we were indebted to the Bandy man, and the balance due to him Viz.th Company's Rupees 4-9-0 was deducted from our Pay and paid into the Police Office.

Statement of Manajee Row Raigue

N. Troop Horse artillery.

Statement — A Bandy and 2 Bullocks hired from Patteer Appiah Bandyman for 27 Company's Rupees 9 Annas at Bangalore for me and two other Troopers named Kishen sing and Ramsing was sent by Captain McCally Assistant Commissary General to Captain Ashton commanding N. Troop Horse Artillery to whom an advance of 14 Company's Rupees was made at Bangalore from our Pay by Captain Ashton to the Bandy man, and on our encamping at the Village of Nundey one of the Bullocks being unable to proceed any further, the

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

the Bandy man purchased another at that place, and on our arrival at anuntapoor the Bandy man stated to me and Hishen sing that it was his Country and left the Bandy and took away the Bullocks to his House, and did not return till our Corps was about — marching, when he came with another man, I asked him who he was he replied "my Master" on which I directed him to take the Bandy on and repaired to the lines to get ready for the march, his Master did not make any objection whatever, the Bandy man then died in — the Village of Poopeley of Cholera, and we hired another driver to bring the Bandy and Bullocks on to Secunderabad and engaged to pay him ourselves, as also the feeding of the Bullocks, but on our arrival here we represented to our Commanding Officer about the Bandy and Bullocks being in our possession and he then sent it to Captain Robertson Assistant Commissary General who took it in his charge — afterwards Captain ashton paid us the

expence

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

expense incurred. Viz.t Company's Rupees 9 for dreser and feeding of the Bullocks from the balance of hire we owed to the deceased and the remaining sum due to the Bandy man Viz.t Company's Rupees 4 - 9 - " was deducted from our pay by Captain Ashton -

No. 3.

Probable Estimate of Expense for the feeding of two Bandy Bullocks at the rate procurable in the Bazar from 11th January to 8th March 1842 being one Month and 27 days up to which time the Bullocks were returned -

	H.R.	as	P.
To 114 Seers of Grass at the Average price of 27 Seers per H. Rupee	4	3	6
No. 1596 lbs of Grass at the Average Price of 500 lbs per H. Rupee	3	3	8.
Total Hydrabad Rs.	7	7	2.

No. 4.

One Bandy hired from Bangalore to Secunderabad by Hishen Sing Trooper 1st Troop Horse Artillery from Pakeer Aprpeah Bandy man who

13109 Fort St George 27th October 1842

who died on the road of Cholera-

	Rl.	a	P
Hire agreed on	27	9	"
Deduct			
Amount paid him at Bangalore	14	"	"
Do expended for pay of a driver and for feeding the Bullocks &c	9	"	"
Total company's Rl	23	"	"
Balance due to the Bandymans	4	9	"
Total company's Rupees	27	9	"

/Signed/ J. Fitz Gerald Captain
D. A. to Genl & Supt of Police

Account particular transmitted to Mr Pelly Sub Collector Aumuntapoor with my letter dated 28th May 1842.

A Bandy and 2 Bullocks Sold by Auction	20	8	"
Received from Kishen Sing Trooper 1st Troop Horse Artillery being balance of Hire due by him Rl 4-9 or H. Rupees	5	8	3
Total Hydrabad Rl	26	"	3
Deduct			
Amount expended for the feeding of the Bullocks from 11th Jany to 8th March 1842	2	"	3
Balance remitted H. Rupees	24	"	"
Or company's Rupees	19	13	11

/Signed/ J. Fitz Gerald
AD

The

13110 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the explanation furnished in the foregoing letter is satisfactory, and directs that it be communicated to the Revenue Department in reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in that Department 18th July 1842 No 871.

/Signed/ S. W. Steel Lieut Colo
Secretary to Government.

No 2.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 11th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort St George.

Sir.

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No 871 dated 18th July 1842 and to my letter dated the 27th Ultimo, I have the honor in the absence and under the instructions of the Commissary General to transmit Copy of a letter from the Officer in charge of the Commissariat Department at Bangalore on the subject of the Bandy and Bullocks

No 1.

engaged

engaged for the 1st Troop Horse Artillery therein referred to, and with reference to the concluding paragraph of Captain Robertson's letter, I beg respectfully to be favored with the orders of Government, as to the course to be pursued in future on occasions of Carts being delivered over to the Officers in charge of Military Police in the Provinces.

Commissary General's Office, Madras, 9th September 1842.

[Signed] W. Watkins.
Lieut Colr.
Deputy Comy General.

To The Commissary General

Sir.

1st I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Captain Maclean's letter of the 6th ultimo and Extract from Minutes of Consultation No 871. 18th July 1842, which I would have immediately replied to had I not been obliged to write to Secundrabad for Copies of the Memoranda made, when the Carts were delivered over to me.

2. As Captain Fitz Gerald's letter to your address shews what measures were adopted by me at Secundrabad it is unnecessary to recapitulate

recapitulate them.

3 I much regret that I have incurred the displeasure of Government and that I and my predecessor at this station, Captain McCally have been censured on an esparate Statement, and as I feel it to be unmerited, I beg you will adopt such measures as you may deem expedient to remove from the minds of the Right Honorable the Governor and Council the impression they have adopted of my having neglected my duty to Government.

4- In the 1st para of the Minutes of Consultation it is stated that the Cart and Bullocks were taken for the use of the Troops, seemingly without the Knowledge or consent of the owner; but in the 2nd Para of Mr Pelly's letter it is stated that the owner did consent to the Cart and Bullocks proceeding to Secundrabad, and by the Statements of Kishensing and Manajee Row it is evident that the Driver, as Agent for the owner, willingly accepted Service with the Troops, I therefore cannot conceive how any blame is attributed

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a co-

(3-4) py of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, with Copies of the Papers therein referred to, be transmitted to the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary for his information.

Read the following letter.

To The Presedent and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(5) From the Actg Secy to Govt. } Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to forward a Petition from A. Ramas-

17th Novr 1826. 2d Volume 1827. 7th Octr 1828. samy Moodely No 1022 of 1842 for your early consideration and report with reference to former letters on the same subject remaining unanswered.-

Fort St. George 18th October 1842 } I Signed I Walter Elliot Actg Secy to Govt

Ordered that the following letter

letter be sent in consequence.

(5-6) To The Collector of Chingleput.

To The Collr of Chingleput. } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the ac-

1 Encl. Petition No 1022-1 to Govt 18th instons 27th Octr 1842. -companying Petition addressed to Government by Ramas-

-samy Moodely Son of Nellatomby Moodelly late Zemindar of Connattoor &c. Estates in Chingle-put, and with reference there-to and to the previous correspondence on the subject

3 Mar for Dec 1842

8 Aug for Jan 1843

13117. Fort St George 27th October 1842.

subject and particularly the letter from your Predecessor under date 16th April 1831 to request that you will state at your earliest convenience whether any further information than is contained in this last letter is to be found upon your records.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 27th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

JJ

13118 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

Read the following letter

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George.

Sir,

7

From the Acting Agent to the Governor of Fort St George in Vizagapatam

Annexing translate of a letter from the Rajah of Vizianagarum requesting an advance of 80,000 Rupees to celebrate the marriage of his Son of which is recommended 70,000 Rupees for Sanction.

Para 1. Annexed to this communication will be found translate of a letter received about a Month Ago from the Rajah of Vizeanagarum requesting an advance of Rupees Eighty Thousand to enable him to celebrate with becoming dignity the marriage of his Son at Benares.

2 I have purposely refrained from forwarding this letter up to the present time with a view to ascertain whether the circumstances of the Estate, and the prospects of the season, would justify or warrant my application for so large a sum. The season at first seemed exceedingly unfavorable and much apprehension was at one time entertained of a very scanty harvest in this Estate. Latterly however abundant rains have fallen pretty generally and a season of average abundance may consequently be pretty confidently

confidently anticipated.

3. It is not necessary in this place to advert in detail to the state of the Rajah's finances. I hope to be enabled to report in the Settlement of the last year within a short period, the result of which will shew a surplus on the year after payment of Peishcush, the Zemindar's personal Charges and expenses of management of nearly two lacs of Rupees.

4. The Current Year's demand may be roughly assumed at nine lacs. The Peishcush is five lacs of Rupees, the Zemindar's personal expenditure is one lac, the Charges of management and other incidental expenses one and a half lac, in all 7½ lacs, leaving an Estimated surplus at the close of the year on one lac and a half of Rupees.

5. From this I propose to devote to the expenses of the wedding Rupees Seventy thousand I was anxious myself to restrict them to Sixty thousand, but all those connected with the Rajah to whom I have mentioned this sum have considered it insufficient and have expressed their belief

belief that the ceremony could not be adequately solemnized with so small an expenditure.

6. As the Rajah would most probably have recourse to usurers for Assistance I venture to suggest Rupees 70,000 and to request you will obtain the Sanction of Government for a disbursement to this extent from the surplus funds of the Estate in the present year.

7. Anticipating under this explanation the Sanction of Government for this outlay, I shall make the necessary application direct to the Accountant in the North Western Provinces to make provision to honor my drafts on the Rajahs favor to the extent named.

Vizagapatam. Governor's Agents Office. 7th October 1842.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Acting Agent to the Governor

/Translate/

To P. B. Smollett Esq.re
&c.a &c.a &c.a
Officiating Agent to the Governor
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

Through your kind and friendly interference on my behalf I have been enabled to have my Son invested with

13123 Fort St.e George 27th October 1842.

7th Oct.r Cons.n 27th Octo.r 1842.

the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Agent to the Governor of Fort Saint George in Vizagapatam forwarding a request from the Rajah of Vezeenagaram that the Sum of Rupees 80,000 may be advanced to him out of the proceeds of his Estate for the purpose of celebrating the Marriage of his Son at Benares

2 Adverting to the flourishing condition in which the resources of this Zemindary are represented to be at present, the Board of Revenue see no objection to the advance as suggested by Mr. Smollett of the sum of Rupees 70,000 which would not appear more than sufficient to defray the expenses of the ceremonial in a manner suitable to the rank and dignity of the Zemindar and they therefore beg to recommend that the sanction of Government may be granted for the disbursement of that sum.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St.e George 27th October 1842. } /Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read

13124. Fort St.e George 27th October 1842.

Read the following letter.—

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St.e George

(9)

From the Acting Coll.r of Vizagapatam.. } Sir, Para 1. Mr.e Bury under the orders of Government was appointed on the 20th Ultimo to act as Principal Assistant to the Agent during Mr.e Conway's absence. It is hardly necessary for me to state, that Mr.e Bury derives no increase of emolument immediately from the Nomination not being entitled to such until he shall have acted three Months, and then not in arrears..

2 Mr.e Bury has applied to me to know whether while Acting Principal Assistant he is entitled to the superior rate of Tent allowance enjoyed by that Officer from the date of the appointment or whether these Extra emoluments are subject to the same restrictions that apply to the fixed allowances..

3 As the Audit of Tent allowance is vested in the Board of Revenue I request I may be favored with their orders for guidance on this point.

Vizagapatam Coll.rs Cutcherry, 19th October 1842. } /Signed/ P.r B.e Smollett Act.g Coll.r

Resolution thereon, Mr.e Bury having been appointed by Government to act as Principal Assistant during the absence of Mr.e Conway the Board consider him entitled to Extra tent allowance at the increased rate of Rs.e 5-4- per diem.

(9. 10)

Read the following Registers..

Register

13125.

Fort St. George

Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of Salt to Bengal

for the Month of September 1842.

Year and Month & Date	No. of Certificates	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ship owners.	By whom Exported	To whom Consigned	From whence sort exported	Bengal Pounds	Madras Garces
								B. M.	M. G. M. M.
1842									
Sept.r 1.st	72	Sloop Stree Lutchmenarsim-loo	Mopedavy Tautiah	Pasingoo Audenaraidoo of Tallaravoo	Pasingoo Audenaraidoo	Mopedavey Tautiah	Coringa White	3,000 "	25 " "
3.d	73	" Nagoor Meerah Saheb	Vantapilly Suttapah	Maurella Ramiah of Masulipatam	Maurella Ramiah	Vantepilly Suttapah	Do. Do.	3360 "	28 " "
	74	Brig Venkatareddy	Chintah Reddy	Potabuttoola Nursimloo of Coringa	Potabuttoola Nursimloo	Chinta Reddy	Do. Do.	5,000 "	50 " "
7.th	75	Sloop Meerah Sahib	Colloo Rootaloo	Colloo Suttanah of Bendamoorlanka	Colloo Suttanah	Poolavurty Vydeanadum and Eswara Chendra Ministry	Do. Do.	3,600 "	30 " "
	76	Brig Stree Vencatadwer-loo	Sungandy Nursimloo	Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Do. Do.	4,780 "	39 33 4
	77	Dhoney Stree Venkata-swerloo	Bommedy Sambary	Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Do Do.	1,340 "	11 06 8
	78	Sloop Madeenah Sahib	Colloo Dasanah	Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Do. Do.	4,200 "	35 " "
	79	" Stree Raumaunjem	Anganny Panpoodoo	Anganny Nursoo of Do.	Anganny Nursoo	Do.	Do. Do.	2,880 "	24 " "
8.th	80	Sloop Stree Alualoo-munganah	Nautra Amapah	Cockeregudda Nursimloo of Tallaravoo	Cockeregudda Nursimloo	Bosy Bodunduttoo and Cockeregudda Dasacooloo	Do. Do.	3510 "	29 100 "

DESTROYED

ORIGINAL REGISTER

Fort St.e George

27th. October 1842.

Date and Month	Date of Certificate	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ships Owners	By whom exported	To whom Consigned	From whence exported	Sort	Bengal Maund.s	Bengal Garces	Madras Maund.s	Madras Garces
1842									B.m	a	M.s	G.s M.s
	81	Sloop Stree Doorgaprasad	Burray Ramasawmy	Cockeregudda Nursimloo of Tallaravoo	Cockeregudda Narsimloo	Body Bodundutloo and Cockeregudda Dasacooloo	Coringa	White	3,120	"	26	" "
	82	" Stree Jugganaikooloo	Laroo Veneatasah	Summedy Veneatasah of Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	2,700	"	22	200 "
12th.	83	Brig Moobaruck Munjaley	Fakeer Mahomed	Mustana Sahib of Narsapoor	Fakeer Mahomed	Do	Do	Do	600	"	50	" "
	84	Sloop Stree Venca-tasweriloo	Bommedy Iyapah	Tandy Camalnaboodoo of Coringa	Bommedy Iyapah	Do	Do	Do	3,300	"	27	200 "
	85	Brig Stree Paurd-hasauradhy	Curtala Sahib	Corulla Vencatalingum of Narsapoor	Corulla Vencata-lingum	Carnalah Cauntoo	Do	Do	3240	"	27	" "
14th.	86	Brig Stree Jugga-naikooloo	Volaty Naidoo	Garoodah Naraidoo of Vizagapatam	Garoodah Naraidoo	Eswera Chendramindy and Poolavurty Vydeanau-dum	Do	Do	6,000	"	50	" "
	87	" Pyroo Careem	Pasoopoolaty Veerasah	Abdul Khader of Narsapoor	Abdul Khader	Pasoopoolaty Veera-sah	Do	Do	3,566	"	29	286 8
16th.	88	Sloop Stree Lutchmenursimloo	Colloo Juggapah	Laroo Gazandroodoo of Neelapilly	Laroo Gazandroodoo	Calloo Venecatasah	Do	Do	2,400	"	20	" "
	89	Dhoney Stree Ven-catasuerloo	Caumandy Nursimloo	Penapatoo Vencatareddy of Coringa	Cockeregudra Nur-simloo	Body Bodundutloo and Cockeregudra Dasacooloo	Do	Do	2400	"	20	" "
	90	Sloop Stree Seetaramasawmy	Mopah Suttapah	Jeroocooty Tantiah of Tallaravoo	Jeroocooty Tantiah	Do	Do	Do	3900	"	32	200 "
	91	Sloop Stree Chayandany	Ankoola Ramasawmy	Gutchah Janekeramiah of Yanam	Gutchah Jane-keramiah	Ankoola Rama-sawmy	Do	Do	2940	"	24	200 "

13127.

Fort St. George

27th October 1842.

Year and Month	Date	No of Certificates	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ship owners	By whom exported	To whom consigned	From whence export: ed	Sort	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Garces	Madras Maunds	Madras Garces
1842													
	19th	92	Sloop Stree Soobremhanaswerloo	Tandy Naraidoo	Bondanda China Poolliah of Neelapilly	Bondah China Poolliah	Tandy Naraidoo	Coringa	White	2760	"	23	"
		93	Sloop Stree Venkataswerloo	Vanamandy Reddy	Cockeregudda Nursimloo of Tallaravoo	Cockeregudda Nursimloo	Bosy Bodundutloo and Cockeregudda Dasaeoloo	Do	Do	2732	"	18	250 "
	19th	94	Brig Guffoor Butkh	Colah Vencanah	Abdul Khader of Narsapoor	Abdul Khader	Colah Vencanah	Do	Do	4834	"	40	113 4
		95	Sloop Streeramloo	Tandy Dannoyah	Paulapoo Vencapah of Neelapilly	Paulapo Vencapah	Tandy Dannoyah	Do	Do	2700	"	22	200 "
		96	" Stree Dijanayaloo	Paulapoo Sooboyah	Do of Do	Do	Paulapoo Sooboyah	Do	Do	3240	"	27	" "
		97	Brig Stree Coostamoorty	Collanty Swamy	Autmoory China Surrasah of Narsapoor	Autmoory China Surrasah	Dalaul Camalah Cauntoo	Do	Do	9660	"	80	200 "
		98	Sloop Stree Bau = lakista swamy	Coppandy Reddy	Ponnanda Lutchmoodoo of Yanam	Munnum Vencat = ruthnum	Pedderaze Ramasawmy and Tanoomoory Lutchmiah	Do	Do	230	"	1	368
		99	" Dandy Delahy	Ponnandy Sahib	Booraun Sahib of Narsapoor	Booraun Sahib	Ponnamunda Vencatreddy	Do	Do	3000	"	30	" "
	20th	100	" Stree Vencatasmerloo	Penapoloo Raumdoo	Sungandy Anamah of Coringa	Cockeregudda Nursunloo	Bosy Bodundutloo and Cockeregudda Dasaeoloo	Do	Do	3000	"	25	" "
		101	Brig Stree Croostamoorty	Borriah Reddy	Autmoory Banliah of Narsapoor	Autmoory Banliah	Borriah Reddy	Do	Do	6210	"	51	300 "
		102	" Stree Caumachamah	Pannanda Pauloodoo	Ponnamunda Veneat = reddy of Coringa	Ponnamunda Vencatreddy	Ponnamunda Ven = catreddy	Do	Do	2826	"	23	220 "
		103	Sloop Stree Vencatreddy	Rakandy Appanah	Pullaproloo Venkanah of Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	3000	"	30	" "
		104	Sloop Stree Sooroyanarainasawmy	Pesingoo Bramanah	Tandy Tamalnamloo of Do	Do	Pesingoo Bramanah	Do	Do	3900	"	32	200 "

13128.

Fort St. George

27th October 1842.

Year and Month	Date & No. of Certificate	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ship Owners	By whom exported	To whom consigned	From whence export-ed	Port	Bengal Rounds	Bengal Garces	Madras Rounds	Madras Garces
									B R	S	M G	R M
1842	21st 105	Sloop Stree Sattalingum	Linganamelly Banpanoya	Ponnamunda Venkatreddy of Coringa	Ponnamunda Venkatreddy	Ponnamunda Venkatreddy	Coringa	White	3174	"	26	180 "
	106	" Stree Luchme-narainadawmy	Lunka Sattanah	Dansary Puttaberamdoo of Masulipatam	Dansary Puttaberamdoo	Lunka Sattanah	Do	Do	3180	"	26	200 "
	22nd 107	" Stree Mooroomul-la Veeraswaradawmy	Lunkandy China appoyah	Inoomurty Veeranah of Ingeram	Inoomurty Veeranah	Lunkandy China appoya	Do	Do	3600	"	30	" "
	24th 108	" Khodah Buksh	Beddoo Swamy	Navab Nooroonesanbagum of Masulipatam	Navab Nooroonesanbagum	Beddoo Swamy	Do	Do	1260	"	10	200 "
	109	" Ally Buksh	Jagatandy Banpanoyah	Do Do	Do	Jagatandy Banpanoya	Do	Do	3060	"	25	200 "
	26th 110	" Stree Lutchmenur-simloo	Gandy Veneanah	Autmoory China Survasah of Narasapoor	Autmoory China Survasah	Dulaul Camalah Cauntoo	Do	Do	1140	"	9	200 "
	111	" Stree Ringanaikaloo	Racandy Parantaloo	Immedy Nagiah of Masulipatam	Immedy Ramanah	Vanoo Goorlingum	Do	Do	4984	"	41	213 4
	112	Brig Stree Venkata-chungum	Colloo Naraidoo	Autmoory Banliah of Narsapoor	Autmoory Banliah	Colloo Naraidoo	Do	Do	6360	"	53	" "
	28th 113	Barque Suffinat Rahamam	Ameer Hoodeen Maloom	Ameer Hoodeen Maleen & Ponnamunda Romdoss of Coringa	Ponnamunda Venkatreddy	Ameer Hoodeen Maleem	Do	Do	8400	"	70	" "
	114	Sloop Stree Venka-taswerloo	Coppanauty Vuntaraidoo	Lunkay Ramdoo of Juggannaikapoor	cockeregudda Nur-simloo	Bosy Bodundutloo & Cockeregudda Dasacooloo	Do	Do	4200	"	35	" "
	115	Brig Stree Venatasmelo	Pasingoo Boochanah	Autmoory Banliah of Narasapoor	Autmoory Banliah	Pasingoo Boochanah	Do	Do	4830	"	40	100 "
	29th 116	Sloop Stree Lutchmenur-sooloo	Putcha Ramasawmy	Jerroosooty Jantiah of Tallaravoo	Jerroosooty Jantiah	Bosy Bodundutloo & Cockeregudda Dasacooloo	Do	Do	3300	"	27	200 "
	30 117	Brig Audenarainah	Captain M. H. Brady	Jellah Appasawmy of Do	Jellah Appasawmy	Cockeregudda Dasacooloo	Do	Do	4800	"	40	" "
								Total	17316	"	1444	120 "

Jillah Rajahmundry
Cocanada 1st October
1842

E. E.

Jr. [Signed] G. A. Smith
Collector.

Register

13129.

Fort St. George 29th. October 1842.

Register of Permits issued for the Exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Beach at Ennore of the Port of Madras in the Month of September 1842.

(12)

Year and Month	No. of Permits	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ship owners	By whom Exported	To whom Consigned	Quantity of Salt delivered by weight
1842							
September 20th.	16	Ship Emerald Isle	J Sceals	Messrs. Chambers Ford & Co. Owners of London	Messrs. Smith Clark & Co.	Messrs. Smith Clark & Co.	Fifteen Thousand & fifteen Bengal Maunds
22d	17	Bark Dan. Thomas galore	Smith	Chapman & Willis	Messrs. Hall & Bainbridge & Co.	Bucher Chapman & Co.	Sixteen Thousand three hundred and ninety Bengal Maunds
22d.	18	Barque Acasta Madras	John Balleny	The Thields shipping Company	Messrs. Vinay Cardozo & Co.	J Mackay & Co.	Twelve thousand & six hundred Bengal Maunds.

5th. October 1842.

E. E. Fr. / signed / A. Robertson
Collector
Register

13130.

Fort St. George 29th. October 1842.

Register of Permits issued for Salt exported from Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput during the Month of September 1842.

(13)

No. of Certificate	Date of exportation	Name of the Vessel	Names of the Commander	Quantity of Salt exported	Remarks
				Bengal Maunds	
45	From 18th. to 21st. September 1842	Sloop Active Ramadoo	Syrang Vallapoody Gungadoo	3600	

Chinglepput District ----
Collectors Cutcherry
Pulicat may 18th October 1842

E. E. Fr. / signed / A. Freese
Collector
Register

13131 (14)

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Register of Permits issued for the exportation of Salt to Bengal on Schools in the Nellore and Ongole Districts on account of the Calcutta Indents for the year 1841/42 for the Month of September 1842.

Date of Permits	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ports from whence exported	To whom consigned	Quantity exported or owners of the Salt
15th Sept. to 4th October inclusive	Madras Hyder Estabdula	Kalum Ami: nummah Rowo	Kalum Ami: nummah	Board of Customs Salt and Opium	4800
22nd 35	Do	Do	Do	Do	3240
Nellore 20th October 1842	Principal Collector			Do	5730 / 13770

Signed / J. D. Boudillon
Secretary

Ordered

13132 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the four foregoing Registers of Permits.

(11 - 15)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Father Chief Sec. to Government

Sir

Para 1 With reference to my letter of the 3rd Instant I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to Bengal the enclosed Copies of the Registers of Permits issued for the exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Ports of Rajahmundry Madras Chingleput & Nellore during the month of September 1842.

From Rajahmundry 1st instant 27th October 1842
From Madras 5th instant 27th " "
From Chingleput 18th instant 27th " "
From Nellore 20th instant 27th " "

From Rajahmundry	Bengal Maunds	143,316
From Madras	Do.	44,005
From Chingleput	Do.	3,600
From Nellore	Do.	13,770
		234,691

2. Adding this to the quantity reported in my letter above referred to the total exportation to the end of September on account of the Bengal Indent for the year 1842 is Bengal Maunds 9,35,324.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 27th October 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Boudillon
Secretary.

Read the following Statements

Statement

13131 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store & ready for exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 17th to 23 October 1842

(17)

	Quantity of salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depot ready for exportation	Remarks
	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
Madras	300,000	207,074	92,926	92,926	Quantity of Salt shipped up to the date of last report Bengal Maunds 204,594

The Ship Competitor in Completion of her application Shipped Bengal Mds 2480

204,074

N.B In addition to Bengal Maunds 92,926 ready for exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Maunds 11,166½ in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter

Since the date of last report an application was received to supply the Ship Competitor with additional quantity of Bengal Mds 1000

Madras
25th October 1842

E.E. Hs / Signed / A. Robertson
Collector

Ordered

DESTROYED

13133 Fort St. George 24th October 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Ports on the 17th to 23rd October 1842

(16)

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842	Quantity already exported up to 17th October 1842	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in Store and ready for exportation	Remarks
1	400,000	394,240	4	5	6
2			3		
	Bengal Mds	Bengal Mds	Bengal Mds	Bengal Mds	
Coringa					

Lieut. Rajahmundry
Collector's Office
Coconada 19th October 1842

E.E. Hs / Signed / G.A. Smith
Collector

Statement

DESTROYED

13135 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

Order on the two foregoing statements } Ordered to be recorded.

(16 - 18)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry } Read letter submitting an application from Mr. W. Knox his Head Assistant requesting leave of absence for three months from the date of his quitting the District to visit Calcutta under section XI. Chapter II of the absentee Rules.

(19)

(19 - 20)

Order thereon. — Ordered to be submitted thro' the Channel of the Civil auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read again letter from the Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Masulipatam dated 6th and entered in Consultation 17th Instant. —

Ordered

13136 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

(21)

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Government } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Head Assistant Collector in charge of Masulipatam reporting that in consequence of the appearance of Cholera in that town and its vicinity he deemed it expedient with the concurrence of the Civil Surgeon to appoint five Native Doctors on

6th instant 17th Octr. 1842

a

13137 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

a Salary of Rupees 12 each per mensem to assist in affording Medical aid, and from the emergency of the Case in anticipation of future sanction being granted for the arrangement.

2 The Board accordingly, crave leg to recommend that the sanction of Government may be granted for the temporary Employment of the above Establishment now applied for and on the allowance proposed to be given Viz.t

5 Native Doctors at Rupees 12 per mensem each from the date of their being respectively entertained as long as their services may be necessary.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 27th October 1842

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

S.G.B.

13138. Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Read the following letters.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George.

22

From the Head Asst. Coll.r in charge of Masulipatam — Ser. Para.t

Requesting orders for remitting the demand of Poolley tax against the Ryots in the Estates of Hykalore and Bhoyabalapatam on their entering into certain engagements. No.t

I have the honor to submit, for the consideration and orders of the Board, the question relative to the levy of Poollary, or Tax for pasturage for cattle in the Mootahs of Hykalore and Bhoyabalapatam bordering on the Colair Lacke, now under attachment for arrears of Revenue.

2. The accompanying Statement will shew the amount of Revenue Collected in former years from the owners of Cattle in the Estates situated in the Vicinity of the Lake. This reservoir having of late years been completely dried up the Collections have in consequence fallen short.

3. The claim for the Poollary Tax is advanced by the Mylaveram Zemindar as Proprietor of the Colair Lake and is indeed admitted by the inhabitants of distant Mootahs, but is resisted by the Neighbouring

Fort St.e George 27th October 1842.

Neighbouring Villagers who assert that the cattle on both sides the boundaries of the Lake may stray indifferently without the respective owners being liable to the Pasturage tax.

The claim is supported by former Accounts produced by the Zemindar by the fact that the Poollary tax was recognized in fixing the Peishcush having been entered in the Accounts of the Permanent Settlement, and that it has been since rented out by the Zemindar and by the Collector when the estates were under Circar Manage-

No 2. ment. A Translation of the Coule granted by Mr Stonhouse (which was the form used by me in the last fusly) seems to fully to treat of an acknowledged right, and is enclosed herewith.

5. The Accounts are objected to by the neighbouring Villages as untrue, and as trifling in amount and few in quantity when brought to prove so large a claim. They declare a right to part of the Colair Lake, and while admitting that the flocks taken by Shepherds of their

Fort St.e George 27th October 1842.

their own Village and even by themselves in our a liability for this rent if remaining there they insist that cattle, the property of individual ryots not remaining constantly have never been taxed.

6. The general claim is thus fully proved and admitted, and the neighbouring Villagers who allege that they are exempted, freely admit that they possess no document to prove their allegation. The distinction between the liabilities for a permanent and permitted, and that for a temporary and unlicensed pasturage is unreasonable, and seems a mere evasion.

7. The accounts tending to shew that they as well as others have paid the tax are very few in number and to a small amount so much so, that it can only be accounted for by a fact noticed (in reference to this) by the Zemindar that it has been usual for him to remit the tax to those of the Neighbouring Villagers who cultivated the land of the Colair, but while the custom as regards these people may have been suspended, the right has not lapsed and the same reason, which precludes cultivation in the

the Colar, rendering its pasturage more valua-
-ble from the scarcety elsewhere, these Villagers
who did not then pay Rists to the Zemindar
for cultivation, may with propriety be expecte-
-ed to pay them in another shape for pastur-
-age.

8- I ascertained by personal observa-
-tion that a very large number of Cattle
were feeding at a time when these people
in resisting the claim denied that any of
their herds were there. On immediate en-
-quiry having been made, the accompanye
No 3. -ing translate of the list taken by the De-
-puty Tahsildar shewed that the greater
part of the Cattle did belong to them. This
proves how little reliance could be plac
-ed on their denials were the question left
a doubtful right or open to disputes, when
to meet such denials exaggerated claims would
on the other hand be advanced.

9- The best pasturage is in the
heart of the Colar which fact destroys the
objections made regarding the exact boundary,
but

but the facilty of driving their Cattle to and from
this pasturage against the wishes, and without
the Knowledge of the Proprietor renders it evident
that a mutual accommodation, if possible, can
would be most advantageous to both parties
whose interests would else suffer from con-
-stant jealousies and Aggressions and their
consequences.

10 Under these circumstances and
considering the small sum shewn in the
No 4. Enclosed Extract from the Accounts of the
Circuit Committee under this Head as also
in the Accounts of the Zemindar compared
with the present demand, I would wish to remit
the whole of the claim to such as enter into
satisfactory public engagements recognizing
the Zemindar's right and fixing the rates
at which they consent to pay in future, and
from those who resist all accommodations.
I would demand and enforce the present claim.
The clear settlement of a question of such
importance would I imagine induce the

Zemindar

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Reminder to consent to this especially — as it is his interest to render the Vellagers well affected towards him — and the large sums remitted to the ryots ought to ensure their ready compliance.

11. As the Colair Lake has this year received a large supply of Water from the freshes of Godaveary — and as the question regarding Poolicat ought to be

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

be decided with a view to the Immunahbundy Accounts being closed — and further as satisfactary arrangements — on this point as would assist the endeavours now being made to take full advantage of the present facility of irrigation, I request the early orders of the Board on the subject.

Masulipatam Collrs Cutcherry 1st October 1842.

/ Signed / C. E. Ward
Head Asstt Collector in Charge.

Statement

13145

Fort St George 27th October 1842

No 1 Statement shewing the Amount of Poollary or tax of Bhutterzully prepared with reference to the Accounts pro-duced by the Servants of Sooranany Venkatapaty Row Zemindar for pasturage Collected Annually in the Purgannah

Names of the Purganahs	Names of the Villages	Fusly 1221		Fusly 1226		Fusly 1230		Fusly 1231		Fusly 1234		Fusly 1241		Fusly 1247		Remarks
		C R	a P	C R	a P	C R	a P	C R	a P	C R	a P	C R	a P	C R	a P	
Goondoogoolanoo Purgannah	Goondoogoolanoo							64	10	22		8			16	
	Sooraspagoodem	12														
	Malka Mahomed Pooram	16						29		10		4				
	Bhogapooram			14	2 6			64	2	6	2					
	Bhemadavole							30	8							
		28		14	2 6			188	4	38	8	8			16	
Umberpett Mootah	Umberpett with the Coradlagoodem							35	12	2	4					
	Sanevarapettah									5	6					
	Palagoodem			4	15 7					24		16				
				4	15 7			35	12	31	10	16				
Ellore	Ellore					16		62	8	78	9 6	4	6	7	14	
	Chadapurroo			16	13 9	60				33	3 3	21				
	Paulapoody									12	15					
				16	13 9	76		62	8	124	11 9	25	6	7	14	
Covally Mootah	Covally									18		21	13 3			
	Poloonoor			8						8						
				8						26		21	13 3			
Padapaudoo Mootah	Madapully											8				
	Porungee			5	15 3					6	11 6	8	6	10	10	
				11	7 6					6	11 6	16	6	10	10	
Baherzully	Hodalapurroo			7	6 9									6	8	
	Grand Total	28		61	6 7	76		286	8	227	9 3	87	9 3	25	1 6	

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 6th October 1842

E E Ward / Signed / E E Ward.

Head Assistt to Collr in Charge.

Translation

13146 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No. 2

Translation of a Cowle granted by J. V. Stonehouse Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam to Kunnum Sah Tanadar Inhabitant of Husbah Mylaveram Dated 29th May 1832.

As you have this day tendered a Proposal for the rent of Fishery in the Colair Lake and for the Poolary or tax for Pasturage on all the Cattle Vizt. Cows Bullocks, Buffaloes, Sheep, Goats &c. belonging to the Shepherds and cultivators in the Purgunnah of Betterzully with the exception of Payakary Ryots who came there for cultivation for a term of 2 years commencing from fusly 1241 for a Sum of Rs. 9,300 as detailed below.

For Fusly 1241	4,500
For Fusly 1242	4,800
	9,300

This Cowle is granted to you for the said Sum of Nine thousand and three hundred Rupees you will therefore keep confidence in the Cowle and Settle with the Ryots and

continue

13147 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

continue to pay the annual amount of rent according to Kistbundy as below stated. One moiety of which you are required to pay in the Tanah Cutcherry of Bhoojabalapatam, and the other Moiety at Kykaloor and take receipts from them.

Kistbundy

1832.	Rs.	1833.	Rs.
May	1,000	January	600
June	1,500	February	600
July	1,500	March	600
August	500	April	600
	4,500	May	600
		June	600
		July	600
			4800.

You are further required to manage your affairs in an Amicable manner with the Ryots paying the Circar Kists regularly according to Kistbundy. In default of which you will be dealt with according to the Regulations.

(Signed) J. V. Stonehouse
Collector.

(True Translation)
(Signed) C. E. Ward
Head Mysts Clk
in charge
Statement.

13148.

Fort St. George

No. 3 Statement shewing the distance between the Islands Jaulespoody appertaining to Ellore Taluk are grazing attached to Bhitturzully Pur-

27th October 1842.

in which the Cattle belonging to the Villages of Cavalee and the Villages Situated in the Vicinity of Collaroo Lake

-gannah-

No.	Names of the Villages at the Vicinity of Collaroo Lake	Grazing Cattle: Cow and Bullock	Ho and He Buffaloe	Total	Names of the Islands in which the Cattle are grazing	Distance between these Islands and the Villages Cos.
		No.	No.	No.		
1	Ponungy	370	"	370	Panoomaka	3
					Manoogoonoor	3
2	Ellore #	290	100	390	Covadah	4
					Manoogonoor	3
3	Jaulespoody	400	110	510	Goodewakalunka	3
					Colalayalunka	2½
4	Maudapully	160	46	206	Calacoroo	2½
					Paumolacoor	2½
5	Chautapurroo	750	160	910	Goodewakalunka	3
					Cokerayalunka	3½
6	Covaly	800	"	800	Godewakalunka	3¼
7	Puttoonoor	440	30	470	Augadallunka	2
8	Goondoogoolanoo	580	120	700	Augadallunka	2½
					Bobblelunka	3
9	Bheemadola	650	150	800	Augadallunka	3
10	Maulkemakonedpooram	350	100	450	Bobblelunka	2½
11	Poondlah	480	"	480	Augadallunka	3½
					Bobblelunka	3
12	Soorapahyoodenna	150	"	150	Augadallunka	4
		5,420	816	6,236		

Names of the Villages situated between the Islands and the Villages in the Vicinity of Collaroo Lake.	Distance between the boundary of the Villages at the Vicinity of Collaroo Lake and the No. Island of Bhitturzully Purgannah Cos.	Distance between the first Island of Bhitturzully Purgannah and that of the Island situated at the centre of the Collaroo Lake Cos.
Manoor	½	1.
Iyanacoroo	1	½
Streepurroo Calacoor	1/16	1½
Streepurroo Iyanacoor	"	½
"	2	"
"	1½	"
"	1/16	¾
Streepurroo	1/16	1½
Streepurroo Iyanacoor	1/16	"
"	1½	"
"	2	"
"	2	"
"	½	"
"	½	¾
Vayalatitpad	½	"
Ditto		¾
Ditto	¾	¾
Condagorlunkas	½	½
Ditto	½	1
Ditto	"	½
Vayalatitpad	¾	¾

Remarks.

This Village is not situated in the Vicinity of Colaroo Lake, but there intervenes a Village named Moodapully the distance between this and Streepurroo is ½ Cos.

It is not in the vicinity of Calaroo Lake, but the cattle of this Village pass from the fields of Goondoogoolanoo and Bheemdole and graze at Augadalunka.

/Signed/ P. Randels.
Deputy Tahsildar

/True Translation/
/Signed/ C. C. Ward
Head Asst to Coll.r
in charge.

Extract

13150 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

24

From the Head Asst. Collr. in Charge of Masulipatam.

Reporting on the Petitions presented to the Board by the Ryots & Hd. of Moonegal Purganah relative to the demand of Kolary by the Nizam's People.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 23d. June last forwarding Petitions from the Ryots and Head Inhabitants of Moonegal Purganah I have the honor to state, for the information of the Court of Wards that the grievances therein complained of do exist and call for serious interference on behalf of the interests of the Minor's Estate.-

2 A full enquiry regarding the violent and lawless acts recently committed by the Nizam's people was ordered by Mr. Mathison and a translation of the material accounts are herewith submitted. The reports of the late Tahsildar of Juggiahpettah being of so startling a description, and it being doubtful whether they were made from hearsay or after personal investigation, another and more active Tahsildar Ram Raz Kristniah was specially deputed to enquire into the truth of the previously

No. 142.

13149

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No. 1. Extract from the Accounts taken by the Circuit Committee.

No. 2. Tax on Artificers or Mottufsha in the Purgunnah of Bittergully.

1776	1777	1778	1779	1780	1781	1782	1783
Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L. Mr. H. L.							
2 "	10 2	2 24	3 39	15 24	" "	" "	9 24

Tax on Ground for Looms } 17 12 " 2 " " " " " " "
= ing the Shops and Boats }

True Extract
Signed/ C. C. Hunt.
Head Assistt. in Charge.

Ordered to be brought forward as future - day.

(22. 23)

Order thereon.

(Read again on Octr. 31st Inst.)

Ordered to be brought forward as future - day.

15½ Rupees for Dec. 1842.

previously submitted reports and of the subsequent complaints.

3 In this enquiry the extent of loss noticed by the (deceased Tahsildar Codundakam) is authenticated as true; and is further increased in the list sent up by the present Tahsildar which exhibits a total loss) the result of forcible aggressions) as follows

Note
The extent of loss here shown differs from that stated in the Kyfeyet of the Village people but not materially as connected with this report orders have been issued for more careful enquiry on this point..

		Ryots	Ploughs	Cattle	Value
					Rs A P
Moonegal	Purganah	260	357	13853	10,368 7 - -
Lingagerry	Do.	46	105	479	4610 - - -
	Total	306	462	14,332	10,829 7 - -

In addition to which there are several charges of similar violence yet under enquiry..

4 The effect of this state of things presents itself in lands waste or but slightly cultivated a decreased revenue, ryots few and terrified and ready to quit their homes from a feeling that their rights as are left unsecured. The present demand upon the Purganah is exhibited in the margin of

Fusly	Demand of rent Amount	Collection	Balance
1250	10,632 15 6	6,289 3 -	4,343 12 6
1251	10,632 15 6	5930 - -	4,702 15 6
	Total		9046 12 -

of the renters, several have thrown up their rents and crossed the boundary, and it was with great difficulty, and only at considerable decrease that any offer for the abkary could be obtained..

5 In Captain Greeleys report of the 10th. March 1841 strengthened as it is by a subsequent one (dated 28th. May 1841) and by the fact that the final orders of Government are phrased in the very words found in Para 25 of the former (to which on that account I would beg especially to refer) it seems clearly established. 1st. That a natural claim for Poollary exists as against the Moonegal and Lingagerry Zemindar on account of a natural necessity because the latter have not pasturage sufficient for their own cattle. 2d. That the population and cultivation in these Zemindaries is greater than in the neighbouring Zemindaries in the Nizams Dominions and 3d. That the rate of levying Poollaries had been as low as 2 Rupees per annum and as high as 2 Rupees per Mensem per 100 head of Cattle. I have noticed these with reference to the conclusion arrived at

Vide Para 23. The admissions contained in which were overlooked in the concluding part of Para 26 of this Report.

Nizams Zemindars and could not (were there even grounds for wishing it) be again agitated yet it appears to me that the mode of carrying out this decision may be altered or modified as practical results unfold themselves. It is with this impression that I now venture earnestly to request the recommendation of the Board with Government on behalf of the interests of the people under its protection to the effect that arrangements be entered into with the Nizam's Government for the settlement of the operation of this tax, which threatens constant disturbances so long as it be left an open question while the present dissensions among the Zemin: dars and Chief Men on each side of the frontier continue.

9 There would be many but not serious difficulties in effecting this and the interference of both Govern: ments seem absolutely required (for without it the interests of both must eventually suffer. There is an objection to the establishment of a certain rate or of a fixed sum to com: pound for the general right of pasturage

13155 Fort St. George 27th. October 1842.

pasturage, which doubtless may be to some extent valid. The Nizam's ryots may complain that the land almost ceases to be their own and cannot be re: claimed for cultivation if the Moonegal ryots have the right of driving their cattle for pasturage; but in connection with this, it must be considered, that what was formerly the "neutral ground" is now for the most part that property for which the Nizam's people will receive a rate which certainly never before was theirs by determined right that the land is in fact chiefly forest of such extent that no cultivation would be attempted. It is an objection common to all rents, nor must this fact be forgotten that, as the Moonegal ryots are placed in a far different position than even before, by the recent decision against them, they are entitled to much consideration in bringing into effect a tax which, if excessive cannot be paid and though light will be felt most burdensome. Mutual concessions in such cases as these are called for and as they

Cannot

Copy for Dec 1842 No

13157 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

cannot be expected from the immediate parties, the authority of each Govern= =ment would be here appropriately exerted to enforce them.-

10 Whether a general rate be prefera= =ble or one varying along the frontier ac= =cording to the greater or less necessity of the people assessed, whether a fixed sum be paid from the Zemindar's Kesheuck, or direct, in the first instance, from Govern= =ment or whether each Village be required to pay the determined sum from the Gramakurch are questions which might be easily decided were the princi= =ple "of fixing some limit to this de= =mand" sanctioned and though much allowances be made for exaggeration of the loss and ill usage experienced in taking into consideration the accounts of the parties themselves, yet I must be= =lieve that the Court of Wards will con= =feel their ample protection is needed by the minor.

11 The law of retaliation has in two instances been adopted; the Cattle which had strayed to the Company's Villages

L.G.B.

13158 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Villages having been detained there but im= =mediate restitution was ordered - A complaint however was received, and has been forwarded on the subject by the President and such counter accusation was naturally to be ex= =pected on the part of the aggressors.-

12. A letter embodying the substance of the Documents received from the two Tahsildars, and calling for prompt interfer= =ence for the purpose of obtaining satisfaction on these immediate cases for the loss and injury sustained by the Ryots of this Purgunah will be prepared and despatched with the reply to the President's communication.-

13. The Petitions are here= =with returned.-

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 1st October, 1842.- } (Signed) E. E. Ward. Asst Collector in Charge.

Statement.

13159

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement shewing the Number of Ryots who have consequent on the extortionate Demand on the part of the

27th October 1842.

left the Moonegal Purganah with their Ploughs cattle,

Nizam's People for the payment of Tax for Pasturage.—

Reasons under which the ryots were compelled to quit the Country.	No.	Names of Villages.	The cattle, sheep &c. forcibly taken away by the Nizam's People, as reported by the late Tahsildar of Suggiapett.			Cattle, sheep, forcibly seized, and carried away by the Nizam's people subsequent to the Tahasildar's report, together with the Number of Ryots who with their Ploughs as well as cattle left this for Nizam Country—				Total.			
			No. of Ryots.	No. of Ploughs	No. of Cattle.	Value of Cattle &c. Rs.	No. of Ryots.	No. of Ploughs	No. of Cattle	Value of Cattle &c. Rs.	No. of Ryots.	No. of Ploughs	No. of Cattle	Value of Cattle &c. Rs.
By forcible seizure of cattle and other oppression on the part of the Nizam's people for the payment of Tax for Pasturage.	1	Comarabunda	31	25	232	1,943	4	7	80	800	35	32	312	2,743
	2.	Aukoopaunnoolah & Seven Nursingmapooram	4	12	440	3,900		2	30	300	6	14	470	4200
	3	Rulnaveram	8	17	317	3,200	5	9	120	1,200	13	26	437	4,400
	4	Serepooram	24	39	881	8,090	17	6	1,215	1,376	41	45	2,096	9,466
	5	Sevar Mookondapoorum under Aukoopaunnoolah	8	14	125	1,310	12	20	48	498	20	34	173	1,808
	6	Cusbah Moonegalah	4	4	100	1,000	4	6	150	1,500	8	10	250	2,500
	7	Curveralah	4	2	340	260	5	7	200	2,000	9	9	540	2,260
		Total	83	113	2,435	19,703	49	57	1,843	7,674	132	170	4,278	27,377

13160

Fort St. George

27th October 1842.

Reasons under which the ryots were compelled to quit the County.

№	Names of Villages	№ of Ryots	№ of Ploughs	№ of Cattle	The cattle shall &c. forcibly taken away by the Nizams People as reported by the late Tahasildar of Tuggiahpett.				Cattle Sheep forcibly seized and carried away by the Nizams people subsequent to the Tahasildars report, together with the Number of Ryots who with their Ploughs as well as Cattle left this for Nizams Country.				Total.			
					Value of Cattle &c.	№ of Ryots.	№ of Ploughs	№ of Cattle	Value of Cattle	№ of Ryots	№ of Ploughs	№ of Cattle	Value of Cattle &c.			
Thro' the fear of the Nizams people and from their having posted Peons over them after counting their cattle, and saying that they should quit this County with their family's and take their residence at the Nizams dominions or otherwise their cattle will be carried away and they shall be severely oppressed as in the case of the other Villages.	1	Nadegoodem							500	"	"	"	"	2	5	55	500
	2	Barakhoda goodem	2	5	55					10	16	1,100	11,460	10	16	1100	11,460
	3	Tandoovoy	"	"	"									4	"	600	5,200
	4	Madaveram	4	"	600				5,200	"	"	"	"				
	5	Chankeralah	"	"	"					8	10	410	1,500	8	10	410	1,500
	6	Singaveram	2	2	40				"					2	2	40	400
	7	Arikpaumolah	"	"	"				400	"	"	"	"				
	8	Malamurry	"	"	"					24	39	1,600	15,000	24	39	1,600	15,000
	9	Cokkerany	"	"	"				"								
	10	Ganapaveram	"	"	"					14	15	2,000	12,500	14	15	2,000	12,500
	11	Sevar Ragavapooram	"	"	"				"								
	12	Tellabulla	"	"	"					15	21	2,000	12,500	15	21	2,000	12,500
	13	Ramapooram	1	2	20				"								
	14	Rapalasevar Nursimloogoodem	1	4	40					21	23	1,000	9,500	21	23	1,000	9,500
			"	"	"				"								
	15	Juggunnadapooram	1	4	50					3	6	150	1,500	3	6	150	1500
	16	Carverala Sevar Chennakasavapooram	1	8	100				"								
	17	Sevar Vizia Ragavapooram	1	3	30					1	2	60	600	1	2	60	600
	18	Calacovah	3	7	40				"								
	19	Broondavanepooram	12	8	120					2	8	120	1,200	3	10	140	1,400
									200					1	4	40	400
									400	"	"	"	"				
										2	4	40	400	2	4	40	400
									"								
														1	4	50	500
									500	"	"	"	"				
														1	8	100	1000
									1,000	"	"	"	"				
														1	3	30	300
									300	"	"	"	"				
														3	7	40	400
									400	"	"	"	"				
														12	8	120	1250
									1,250	"	"	"	"				
		Total.	28	43	1,095				10,150	100	144	8,480	66,160	128	187	9,575	76,310

13161

Fort St. George

27th October 1842.

Reasons under which the ryots were compelled to Quit the Country.	No.	Names of Villages	The Cattle, sheep &c. forcibly taken away by the Nizam's People as reported by the late Tahasildar of Poygiapett.			Cattle, sheep, forcibly seized and carried away by the Nizam's people subsequent to the Tahasildar's report, together with the Number of ryots who with their Ploughs as well as Cattle left this for Nizam's Country.				Total.			
			No. of Ryots	No. of Ploughs	No. of Cattle	Value of Cattle &c.	No. of Ryots	No. of Ploughs	No. of Cattle	Value of Cattle &c.	No. of Ryots	No. of Ploughs	No. of Cattle	Value of Cattle &c.
The Nizam's people threaten these ryots, but these Villages being situated on the borders of this District, no cattle have been carried away.	1	Rapalah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	Yakalasakanoompetta	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	Canketa Ramachendrapooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4	Chankerala Sevar Codundarampooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	5	Sevar stree Rungapooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	6	Aukoopaunoola Sevar Kistnapooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	7	Sevar Codundarampooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8	Syed Moogafurpett	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	9	Rapala Sevar Seetarampooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	10	Broondavanapooram Sevar Gopaulpooram	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	11	Narain goodem Sevar Moonegallah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Grand Total	111	156	3530	29853	149	201	10323	7,3834	260	357	13853	10,3087

12th September 1842.

(Signed) R. Kistniah
Tahsildar

(True Translation)

(Signed) E. E. Ward
Asst. Assistant Collector
Incharge

13163 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Ordered That the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To,

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

24-25

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Government

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Masulipatam stating reference to the quarrels between the Frontier Villages of that District and of the Nizam's Country, regarding the Poolery or grass tax demanded by the Zemindars of the latter.

2. The present state of things as detailed in Mr. Ward's able report appears to the Board to demonstrate the necessity of some modification of the orders formerly passed on the subject while deciding the general right of the Zemindar to a rent for their pastures, Government determined that no fixed rate or rates of Poolery should be established, on the ground that the mutual interest of both parties would necessarily lead to an amicable Settlement of the amount. This result does not appear to have followed, or to be likely to follow, the necessities of the Boonigala and Lingagherry Ryots putting

Extract Minutes of Cons. July 12th in Consn. 2nd August 1841. No 933.

Cons. 4th Nov. 1842 27th October 1842

putting them at the mercy of the opposite; who on their part take advantage of their position to make an exorbetant demand which cannot be satisfied and affrays and acts of violence are the consequence.

3. It may perhaps be said that the Zemindars of Anuntagherry and Nagulpoor have a right to get all they can in return for the use of their pasturage both in the way of rent and of their species of advantage; but it should not be forgotton that their property in the land is qualified, according to long custom, by the right of the Moonegala and Lingagherry people to pasture their Cattle in those lands, at rates trifling compared to what is now demanded. It appears then to the Board that the most equitable plan would be to establish rates of payment, varying perhaps both in different places and at different seasons of the year, but definite and certain at any given time and place; an arrangement the Board think, which though it might best secure the actual rights of both. If the Government concur in this view, the Board would suggest a reference to the British Resident at Hydrabad with a view to the requisite steps being taken to adjust a rate of grazing tax.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th October, 1842.

(Signed) I. D. Boudillon
Secretary.

To

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

26

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir.

Para. 1 With reference to Para 2 of Mr. Mathison's letter dated 25th July last I have the honor to forward a Statement of further offers received for the rent of the Abkary Revenue in this District exhibiting an increase of Rupees 272-7-8 as shewn in Col.n 2 and to request the Board's sanction for confirming the Rente.

2 I regret to state that no offers have been obtained for the remaining Divisions Viz.t Ellore, Davercottah, Zummulvoy and Madoor Ghutt, Chintalapoody Timmacottah and Goodewadah and Moonegal and Lingagerry, and it will therefore be necessary to retain them under Amany Management.

3 The sanction of the Board is requested for the Establishment proposed to be entertained for the management of the abovementioned Divisions the expence being the same as that sanctioned for fasly 1251.

Vide accompanying Inoyen Jabitah No. 2.

Masulipatam Collr.s Cutcherry
17th October 1842

(Signed) R. F. Porter
Coll.r

Statement

13166 Fort St. George

27th October 1

No. 1 Statement Shewing the proposed Amount of Rent and Tm for fusly 1252.

Names of the Divisions	average Collections of 3 years prior to Fusly 1233.	Average Collections of 3 years prior to Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250.	Sist	Deduct Sibbandy Charges &c.	Fusly 1251. Sist under Arrangement for fusly 1251.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	C Rs a Ds	C Rs a Ds	C Rs a Ds	C Rs a Ds	C Rs a Ds	C Rs a Ds	C Rs a Ds
1 Bitterzully	268 " -	345 " "	360 " "	360 " -	362 " -	" " "	
2 Mylaveram	435 108	515 " "	530 " -	555 8 "	526 8 "	" " "	
3 Condapully	1287 54	1888 54	705 " -	494 8 "	624 64	257 14 "	
4 Nundegamah Dr	748 54	546 108	605 " -	647 " -	713 " "	" " "	
5 Chanoobundah	22 " -	62 " -	64 " -	724 "	75 " "	" " "	
Total	2761 54	2157 " -	2264 " "	21294 "	2300 144	257 14 "	

Masulipatam Collectors

1251. Land

Remain- Na marks.

-ing- Pr

8	14	Including the	
C Rs a Ds			
362 " "	Wt of one Kist has	Tah	
	ited and Security	ganah	8,641 13 5
	73 Shedah Loodparanze		
	Mt Soobanah.	Dr	
	t Security given	Dr	
526 2 "	-sib and Mahomed		
	-ha-	ganah	
	-nd Security given	Dr	3,693 8 "
366 84	& Dr	Dr	
713 " "	Mt of 180 Company's	nootah	37 12 "
	as been deposited.		12363 1 5
	Dt of One Kist	Purganah	2,602 14 "
	-deposited and	door Ghatt	735 12 "
75 " "	-K-	Dr	566 " "
	as tendered by		1301 12 "
	-sidual Vizt.		
	Moonapah, Midda Ramiah		
	-kah, and Ramiah	rodewadah	1128 " "
	-la Vencaya.	ragery Purganah	551 " "
2,043 " 4		jellah	14 8 "
			565 8 "
		Total	17941 3 5

Cutcherry

Moyen.

Collectors Cutcher

Fort St. George

ed for the Management of the abkary Revenue of

Jillah of Masulipa

27th October 1842

the Purganahs retained under Aumanie in the

tain for fasly 1252.

One tenth of the last.	Employments.	No.	Establishment maintained under aumanie management for fasly 1251. Salary &c. per Month	Salary &c. per year.	Proposed Establishment for fasly 1252 Salary &c. per Month	Salary &c. per year	Comparison between Columns 8 & 12 Increase	Decrease
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Rs a p			Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
	Peishcar	1	10 " "		Peishcar	1	10 " "			
	Goomastah employed at arrack Godown	1	6 " "		Goomastah employed at Arrack Godown	1	6 " "			
864 3 "	Goomastah for Superintending the sale of Toddy	1	6 " "		Goomastah for superintending the sale of Toddy	1	6 " "			
	Measurer	1	3 " "		Measurer	1	3 " "			
2	Peons at 3½ Rupees each	6	21 " "		Peons at 3½ Rs each	6	21 " "			
		10	46 " "			10	46 " "			
	Saderwared	"	2 " "		Saderwared	"	2 " "			
3 368 6 "	Rent of a Godoun for depositing arrack Jars	"	1 " "		Rent of a Godoun for depositing arrack Jars	"	1 " "			
4 3 12 "	Goomastah		49 " "	588 " "			49 " "	588 " "		
1236 6 "		1	7 " "	84 " "		1	7 " "	84 " "		
		11	56 " "	672 " "		11	56 " "	672 " "		
280 5 "	Goomastah	1	7 " "	84 " "	Goomastah	1	7 " "	84 " "		
5 130 3 "	Peons at 3½ Rs each	2	7 " "	84 " "	Peons at 3½ Rs each	2	7 " "	84 " "		
110 13 "	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
56 8 "	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1794 2 "		14	70 " "	840 " "		14	70 " "	840 " "	" " "	" " "

27th October 1842 E. E. Fa / Signed /

R. J. Porter

Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Establish-ment proposed by the Collector of Masulipatam for the collection in the Current Fusly 1252 of the Abkarry Revenue of the Divisions noted in the Margin which it has been found im-practicable to rent, be sanctioned. The cost of the Establishment being Rupees 70-0-0 per Mensem, or Rupees 840 per annum.

27

1 Ellore Division
2 Davercottah
3 Pummulvoy
4 Madvoy Ghaut
4 chintalapoody
5 Vennacottah
5 Goodevadah
6 Monegal and
6 Lingagerry

Ordered also that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To The Collector of Masulipatam.

26. 28

To the Coll.r of Masullipatam

Sir

Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Instant No. 62 and to convey their sanction for the acceptance of the — — further offers received for the rent of the Abkarry farms for the Current Fusly 1252 exhibited in the Statement No. 1 submitted by you. The total amount being Rupees 2321-8-0 and shewing an increase of Rupees 278-7-8 over the same rents for Fusly 1251.

in Cons.s 27th Oct.r 1842

2.

The Board have applied for the sanction of Government for the Establishment

Establishment proposed to be entertained for the management of the Divisions to be retained under summary and their orders will be communicated to you as soon as received.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 27th October 1842. } (signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read the following letter.-

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

29

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir,

Para 1.

I have the honor to forward a Statement of offers received for renting the Inland and Consumption duties on Betel and Tobacco in the Division of Goode-wadah and of the Betel only in the Juggiah-pettah Division for Fusly 1252 and regret to have to report that there appears no prospect at present of obtaining any offers for the remaining Divisions.-

2.

It will be observed that the offers which have been received shew a slight increase of Rupees 2-4-4.-

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 22d October 1842. } (signed) R. T. Porter Collector

Statement

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Establish-ment proposed by the Collector of Masulipatam for the Collection in the Current Fasly 1252 of the Abkarry Revenue of the Divisions noted in the Margin which it has been found im-practicable to rent be sanctioned. The Cost of the Establishment being Rupees 70-0-0 per mensem, or Rupees 840 per annum.-

27

1 Ellore Division
2 Davercottah
3 Tummulvoy
4 Madoor Ghatt
5 Chintalapoody
6 Vennacottah
7 Goodewadah
8 Moonegal and
9 Lingagerry

Ordered also that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To The Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Instant No. 62 and to convey their sanction for the acceptance of the — — further offers received for the rent of the Abkarry farms for the Current Fasly 1252 exhibited in the Statement No. 1 submitted by you. The total amount being Rupees 2321-8-0 and shewing an increase of Rupees 278-7-8 over the same rents for Fasly 1251.

2. The Board have applied for the sanction of Government for the Establishment proposed to be entertained for the management of the Divisions to be retained under aumanny and their orders will be communicated to you as soon as received.-

26. 28

To the Coll.r of Masulipatam } in Cons.y 27th Oct.r 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 27th October 1842. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

29

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam } dated 22d October 1842.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward a Statement of offers received for renting the Inland and Consumption duties on Betel and Tobacco in the Division of Goode-wadah and of the Betel only in the Juggiah-pettah Division for Fasly 1252 and re-gret to have to report that there appears no prospect at present of obtaining any offers for the remaining Divisions.-

2. It will be observed that the offers which have been received shew a slight increase of Rupees 2-4-0.-

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 22d October 1842. } Signed R. T. Porter Collector

Statement

27th October 1842.

Betel & Tobacco for fusly 1252 in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Amount of rent for fusly 1252		Comparison between Columns 8 & 11.				Remarks.
Names of the Purganahs	Amount of Jaffer received.	Increase		Decrease		
10	11	12		13		14.
	Rs a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	
Vennacollah Gordavaddah	8 8 "	"	" "	"	" "	The Amount of one Kist Rs. 19-12-" has been deposited and security given by V. Nur-sanah & P. Banpiah.
Ist Purganah	221 8 "	"	" "	"	" "	
	230 " "	"	" "			
"	99 4 "	1	12 "	"	" "	The amount of one Kist being Rs. 8-4-4 has been deposited and security tendered by V. Venca-nah & G. Juggiah.
Moongal Purganah	8 4 "	"	4 "	"	" "	The amount of one Kist being Rs. 2-1-" has been deposited.
Lingagerry Do.	6 4 "	"	4 "	"	" "	Do. Do. Rs. 1-9-"
	113 12 "	2	4 "	"	" "	
	343 12 "	2	4 "	"	" "	

The

13171 Fort St. George 27th. October 1842

Resolution thereon. The Board of Revenue

29. 30

authorize the acceptance of the offers exhibited in the statement submitted with the foregoing letter for renting the Inland and Consumption duties on Betel and Tobacco in the Division of Goodewadah, and Betel only in the Juggiapettah Division for fasly 1252 the aggregate amount being Rupees 343-12- exceeding the offers for the same rents for the preceding fasly by Rupees 2-4-

The Board observe that the Collector has not proposed any Establishment for the management of the Divisions retained under Attumany; he is therefore requested to state what arrangement he has made for the collection of the Betel and Tobacco Revenue of the Divisions in question.-

Read

13172 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Read the following letters.-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

31

From the Collector of Guntoor.- Reporting the result of his investigation into the several charges against S. Venkata Krishnarow late Ameen of Rachoor Tanah.

Sir.

Para 1. As directed in the Board's Proceedings under date the 5th May and 8th September last, I have the honor to communicate the result of my investigation into the eight Charges under which Subnevis Venkat Krishnarow, some time Deputy Serishtadar and latterly Ameen of Rachoor, in this Zillah was suspended by Mr. Mathison as reported to the Board in his letter dated the 20th April 1842.-

2. The accused has not been convicted on any of the seven charges of bribery which have been tried under Regulation IX of 1822, Six by myself and one by the Acting Head Assistant Collector, though in one of them his conduct is proved to have been open to blame. The defence and a Copy of the decision in each case are now transmitted. These will, I trust, supersede the necessity of detailing in the body of this letter the circumstances of each charge, and the grounds on which my opinion of it has been

Accompaniments Nos. 1 to 13.

been formed. Complete Copies of the Several records shall be sent if desired by the Board.-

3. The two first cases which are also the most important have many features in common. The accusation in both has been brought forward by the present Sheristadar who professes to have known what he has now disclosed soon after it occurred, that is in one instance about July 1839, in the other before December 1840. The bribes are represented to have been paid by the Chilkaloorpaud Zemindar Rajah Manoor Venkannarow through his Dewan Sumbarawze Raniah both now deceased and the principal Witnesses are Members of the Condamoodi family, who were employed by the Dewan or Zemindar as Vakeels. The principal proof in each case is a document attested by an apparently genuine Signature, yet open to a strong suspicion of having been fabricated by clipping off the writing to which the Signature originally belonged and writing matter to support the charge on the reverse of the paper.-

To

4. To enable the Board to understand and appreciate this suspicion which has much influenced me in pronouncing on the charges they are designed to prove, I send in sealed envelopes the documents just as they were first shewn to me. I also send translations as close as the studied obscurity of the language will admit.-

accompaniments Nos. 14 and 16.

5. The Board will easily understand the difficulty of pronouncing on these charges. The witnesses are generally devoid of veracity. The prospect of a very slight gain is sufficient to secure the aid of these unprincipled intrigues either to support a fabrication or to suppress truth.-

6. In explanation of the delay which has occurred in disposing of these cases, I must mention that it appeared desirable to complete the inquiry into all before declaring my decision on any. The two first required the examination of a great mass of evidence and the labour was increased to me as being

a

being a stranger to the District and nearly so to the language while I had also a great press of other perplexing matters to attend to. The difficulty of procuring the attendance of the parties in the 3d 6th and 7th cases caused a further delay. It will be observed that two† persons whose examination was indispensable attended for the first time on the 3d Instant.—

†Gaudapalli Appaya witness in No. 6 - Davuloor Jagannaikulu Complainant in No. 3.

7. The Police case, the last mentioned in Mr. Mathison's enumeration was the first that I disposed of. A Memorandum which I made at the time and a translation of the deposition of Madambi Ramasami the Head of Police of Guntoor before whom the assault which Venkat. Krishnarow was charged with instigating was brought, will, I hope, sufficiently explain the case. Though I did not think that the accused had instigated it, I considered that he had interfered most improperly with the Police Officer in a way decidedly calculated to prevent the course of justice.—

8. It seems proper on this occasion, to bring to the notice of the Board an

an Arzee given to me by the Sirishtadar Shesha-gerry row on the 2d August, endeavouring to shew that S. Venkat Krishnarow, during his employment in this District, must have expended at least 33,000 Rupees, more than his pay, which he could only have acquired by receiving bribes. The translation of this Arzee made by Shashagerry row and Venkat-Krishnarow's English reply to it I now send.— It did not appear to me that I should be warranted in instituting the enquiries into that individual's private affairs desired by Sheshagerry row.—

†Accompaniments No. 21.

#Do. No. 22.

From what I have observed in 9. these investigations and in conducting the other duties of the Collectorate I am convinced that the Standard of Character among the Native Servants is lamentably low. Some of the papers put on record in these Cases put this beyond a doubt, I annex translations of five of them (letters unquestionably genuine addressed by the late Chitkaloorpaud Dewan to his Agents) as a specimen of the way in which matters have been managed. I am far from professing to exculpate Venkat Krishnarow

Venkat Krishnarow from all participation in the general corruption, or from questioning the expediency of the Board's determination that he shall not resume Office in this District. But it is scarcely possible not to perceive that his native accusers have been actuated by vindictiveness, envy and the desire of Office or that the main object of Sheshagerry row has been to exclude a rival faction from power, and establish his own in its place.

10. With respect to Mr. Mathison's concluding observation, that he believed Venkata Krishnarow to have been guilty of the most gross malversation, and to have carried on his very serious malpractices in every Department, the Board may probably desire further information. It was brought to Mr. Mathison's notice, and by him to mine that a System had prevailed of sending from the Huzoor, to the District Servants, Yadasts in covers franked by the Deputy Srishtadar. The Ameens on being called on sent in a considerable number of such covers with

with the papers which they professed to have received in them. Many of these papers contain matter very reprehensible such as negotiations for appointments, requisitions for supplies of Articles for private consumption &c. They are co however in such cases unattested, and Venkat Krishnarow denies that he sent them though he admits his signature on the covers and that he frequently sent orders in this way to the Ameens on business connected with the public works, or the purchase of Supplies. This practice being contrary to the Orders of Government circulated under date the 4th July 1833 was strictly prohibited as soon as I knew of it.

11. Several Arzees had been presented to Mr. Mathison offering to prove frauds in the Salt department in the profits of which they said Venkata Krishnarow had largely shared. Mr. Hathaway was deputed to the Salt pans to examine these charges on the spot. I beg to submit a Copy of the Memorandum drawn up by

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.-

by him Shewing the result of his enquiry.- Some of the persons examined deposed that they had heard of part of the profits &c. being sent to Venkata Krishnarow. Mr. Wathaway was of opinion that extensive frauds had been committed by the Salt Establishment and proposed the dismissal of parties concerned.- I should be glad to be favored with the orders of the Board on this point or whether they ought to be dealt with under Regulation IX of 1822.-

12. A Memorandum has been given me by the Serishtadar stating that some abuses have been committed in regard to Enams. I have not yet enquired into these.-

13 I am not aware that besides what has now been particularised and what was already reported to the &c. Board in my letter dated the 18th August last any other accusations of &c. malversation have been brought against Venkat Krishnarow.-

Guntoor,
Collector's Cutchery,
10th October 1842.-

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

To

Comd. E.R.
Comd. E.R.

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.-

No. 1

To the Acting Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor

Most Honored Sir,

Defence given by Subnevis Venkatakrishnarow.-

Para 1st I beg leave to particularize hereunder, as shortly as possible, the falsity and contrivance of the charge brought against me, as if I had received a sum of Rupees 800 from the late Sumbarayjo Ramiah, Dewan on behalf of the late Rajah Maunoor Venkumma row Zemindar of the Poolavar moiety of the Chilkaloorpaud Talook for an assistance to be made to the Surkeepaud Villagers, in the decision of a dispute, for the bank of a Tank, between the Village Surkeepaud as attached to the above Poolavar moiety and the Village Cummanoor attached to the Rachoor Talook, under the management of the Government Officers.-

2.- Naputty Sastiagerayan Pantulo the Head Sherishtadar of this Office, is perceiving of me since two or three years last and endeavouring in several ways to bring

13179 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.-

by him shewing the result of his enquiry. Some of the persons examined deposed that they had heard of part of the profits &c. being sent to Venkata Krishnarow. Mr. Wathaway was of opinion that extensive frauds had been committed by the Salt Establishment and proposed the dismissal of parties concerned. I should be glad to be favored with the orders of the Board on this point or whether they ought to be dealt with under Regulation IX of 1822.-

12. A Memorandum has been given me by the Serishtadar stating that some abuses have been committed in regard to Enams. I have not yet enquired into these.-

13 I am not aware that besides what has now been particularised and what was already reported to the &c. Board in my letter dated the 18th ult. &c. August last any other accusations of &c. malversation have been brought against Venkat Krishnarow.-

Guntoor,
Collector's Cutchery,
10th October 1842.-

Signed H. Stokes
Collector

To

Comd. E.R.

13180 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.-

No. 1

To the Acting Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor

Most Honored Sir,

Defence given by Subnevis Venkatakrishnarow.-

Para 1st I beg leave to particularize hereunder, as shortly as possible, the falsity and contrivance of the charge brought against me, as if I had received a sum of Rupees 800 thro' the late Sumbarayjo Ramiah, Dewan on behalf of the late Rajah Maunoor Venkanna row Zemindar of the Poolavar moiety of the Chilkaloorpaud Taluk for an assistance to be made to the Surkeepaud Villagers, in the decision of a dispute, for the bank of a Tank, between the Village Surkeepaud so attached to the above Poolavar moiety and the Village Cummanoor attached to the Rachoor Taluk, under the management of the Government Officers.-

2.- Naputty Sashagerrow formerly the Head Shirishtadar of this Office, is perseverance of me since two or three years last and endeavouring in several ways to bring-

Comd. E.R.

bring me to the risk after any manner. A perusal into the deposition taken from him by Mr. Goldingham at the time of his re-parting to the Board of Revenue upon his (Sashageryaw's) conduct in past framing several thousands of Rupees out of the amount of the Demand of the Paulnaud District for fusilies 1248 and 1249 when he went there for the Yannabundy Settlement and upon same other things stated by him, and a proceeding held by Mr. Goldingham during the Months of November 1841 on an enquiry conducted by that Gentleman upon the seizure of a solemn declaration entered into by several of the relations & Cca of Sashageryaw Puntoolu who were in different situations both in the Huzzoor Cutcherry & at the outer stations in order to inquire me; will convince your honor of the certainty of what I have above mentioned.-

3.- Soon after the reinstation of Sashagherryaw Puntoolu to the Head Sheristadar situation Mr. Mathison with whom he has great influence, having been appointed to be Acting Collector, he (Sashageryaw

(Sashageryaw puntoolu) has well effected that opportunity to bring me into a great risk- He made a great arrangement both in the Huzzoor & Sybut offices- He has collected Nuckalapully Soobbarau, Samayajoolu Soobbiah Ambarkhana Vinnubrau, Paaroosha ttum, Surba-da Sanakkeeram puntoolu, Vetcha Bashacaurlu &ca who are very much against me and well attended on him into Deputy Sheristadar, Head Assistant Sheristadar, Serista- Head Mudalutyar, Revenue Javabneeps, Head Moonshi &ca situations, and in con-junction with all the above, and other former and present servants & ca of the Chief-Scalar and Satanapully Zemindars who are nearest relations to him, and procuring some people for evidence with promises of services and getting some of them entertained in different situations, has created this and several other false charges against me.-

4.- The above Sashageryaw puntoolu got lately some false charges the like preferred against me by Pantuay Kristnaraw &ca who were dismissed from services under different offences- Mr. Goldingham enquiring,

enquiring into them decided regularly-
During that trial Sunbarayjus Ramiah,
thro' whom it is said that I have received
Rupees 800) gave his deposition, in which he
has mentioned that he did pay nothing
to me either directly or indirectly- In the
record of the enquiry of the present charge, it
is mentioned that the above sum is received
by me on the 4th or 5th instant of the Month
Rusweyjeni year Sarvary- It will corres-
-pond with the 29th or 30th September 1840.-
The deposition of the late Ramiah is
given on the 31st December 1840 a period
after 3 Months of the above date- I
believe that your honor will consider
that this charge is not admissible
while the deposition of Ramiah of
a subsequent date to that on which
it is said that I have received the
above sum from him, explains
that no such commerce did ever take
place.-

5 In contrary to the provisi-
ons of Regulation 9 of 1822 and the mean-
-ing of a circular letter from the Board
of Revenue given in 1824 or 1825 (explanatory on
the construction of the above Regulation) this
investigation into this charge, is made
without

without summoning me; and keeping me
in presence- moreover repugnantly to Section
7 Regulation 2 of 1803, the investigation is
conducted in the private Bungalow
wherein Mr. Mathison was put up. I also
learnt that some of the depositions have
been obtained at a late hour during
the night.-

6.- The meaning of Section 12, Re-
-gulation 9 of 1822, explains that in the
complaints of bribes, the giver should be
the complainant- It does not appear
from the record who preferred the charge
against me in this case- It is to be ascerta-
-ally considered into how all this inves-
-tigation is made without the complaint
from every one.-

7.- A perusal into the record of
this charge shews that all this trial is ground-
-ed upon the deposition of one Condamoody
Bhaviah a witness in this case- The ques-
-tions put to that individual are
in such a manner as if he was,
on some information received be-
-forehand by Mr. Mathison, sent
for and interrogated- It will
be of importance that a deposition
on

on oath be obtained from such informer.
Among the record already read over before me
such a deposition is not forthcoming.-

8.- In discharging the duties of the
Serista Department, it was in practice
that among the cases referred to that depart-
ment, some be taken by the Head Sherista-
dar and some by me then Deputy Sherista-
dar.- The cases taken by every one among
us both were well examined by him, the
latter, with the whole of the old re-
cords connected thereto, considered properly
and the orders, proposed thereon, which were
with his signature sent to the other, who
seeing its contents without contrary to the
rules, affixed his signature to thereon, and sent
to the Head Moonsheer. The case of the dispute
for the bank of the Bank between
Kurkeepaud and Cammanoor in
which it is said that I have
received Rupees 800, is in the first
instance submitted to the Head
Sheristadar who consulting with
the whole of the records, made
out the decision and sent it to
me with his signature.- I have also per-
used it, affixed my signature and sent
it

it to the Head Moonsheer. If the Head
Sheristadar deny the fact of this circum-
stance upon oath, I shall prove it by the evi-
dence of the people who were at that time
at Hurryoor.-

9 Under the above circumstances
this case is originally unworthy of the trial
against me however the late Acting Collector
has for a great part investigated of it with-
out my presence. The witnesses who gave
their depositions in this case are well
taught to what they should state. Nathwith
standing, which, the uncertainty of the acts
caused several contradictions as hereunder
detailed.-

10 The 4th or 5 increasing
Moon of the Month Ruswey, in year
Sarvary, on which it is said by Bhavisha
(a witness) that I have received the Ru-
pees 800, is the interval of the Dasara
feasts. He says that he has on
that night came once to me alone
at 7 O'clock, spoken to me and
returned back and came again
at 10 O'Clock accompanied by Shanka
Surver with the bag of Rupees
in his hands. During the
Dasara feasts it is in practice
with

with me since my infancy, that I feel daily several braminis, and distribute among them Cloths and ready money- I invite also the Cutcherry servants by reason of friend=ship- In those days I daily take bath at 6 O'clock in the evening, and attend from that time in forming the braminis into regular lines, and taking care of sup=plying viands regularly to every one and so attend until they all take their meals which lasted until 11 O'clock in the night.- So soon as they all take their meals, they were all entering into my Bungalow my=self taking my meals hastily, accompany them in it.- There I attend in distributing betel among them and giving Cloths to such as are intended to on that day.- At that time the Cutcherry ser=vants were used to set in the Bun=galow chewing the beetle nuts and hearing the music- The distribution of the Cloths &c.a usually takes until 1 O'clock in the night- After all the bramins and friends go away, I was attending the holy sang until 2 or 3 O'clock until the drowsiness of the sleep feels irresistible.- Under these circumstances neither the time

of

6/7 or 10 O'clock is an opportunity of my remaining in the Bungalow or speak=ing on any secular purposes- besides this in order to avoid touching the Bramins in their passage and viewing to their meals all of my Soodra Servants are placed with=in the Bungalow until the Bramins take their meals and all retire into the Bun=galow- Only 2 or 3 people were stationed at the outer gate of the Garden- These circumstances can be proved to your honor by several people- While the case is thus- Shaik Sursur states in his deposition that there were none within the Bun=galow but two or three people when he and Bawiah came there.-

" Bhaviah states in his deposition that he was Vakeel on behalf of the late Rajah Mannoor Venkunnah saw on a monthly payment of 10 Rupees.- I do not recollect that the disbursement accounts of that Zemindar did ever contain a charge in his name.- If those accounts are sent for from Duftars at Tuzzoor and perused, the fact will be plain to your honor.-

Bhaviah

12. Bhaviah states the Sumbarayio Ramiah sent the 800 Rupees to him together with a letter, that he has shewn me the letter at the time of paying me the money; and that after 3 or 4 Months elapsed I have sent for him in two nights to my Bungalow viz^t at 9 O'Clock on the first nights and at 12 O'Clock on the 2^d and desired him to give the letter he received from Ramiah on this subject. He has also filed a letter as if it is the same he received from Ramiah. He moreover states that when he came home in those nights One Vedagoundum Appiah Puntoolu was with me on the first and both viz^t Cannavoody Soodursanum and Poatragoonta Soubiah were with me on the 2^nd.

13. Were it true that I have received the money, seen the letter in the first instance, and wanted the same at another subsequent opportunity, why would have I not taken the same at the first opportunity, on which it is said that I had it in hand? and if I considered that the continuance thereof with him would injure me nothing, why would have I wanted

wanted it at another opportunity.

14 Bhaviah states that on the 1^st night when I have sent for him, there were none with my Bungalow but myself and Wedegoundum Appiah Puntoolu. This Appiah Puntoolu states that there were many persons and that after Bhaviah came in, they were all sent out by me.

15 Bhaviah declares that I have wanted him only one letter. Appiah puntoolu states that he heard me desire Bhaviah give me several letters and vouchers.

16. Bhaviah deposes that I have again sent for him on the next night. Appiah Puntoolu declares that I have wanted Bhaviah to return back on the same night and sent a peon along with him and wanted him to conduct also Soodursanum in his return.

17 Bhaviah states that I have spoken to him on the first night in my Bungalow. Appiah Puntoolu states that it was in the room on the west side of the Bungalow.

18. Bhaviah states that I have

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.—

have wanted him to bring the letter to Buttepaud.— Appaiah Puntavlu did not state this at all.—

19.— Bhaviah states that I have offered a service to him if he gives me the letter.— Appaiah Puntavlu did not state as if such conversation took place.—

20. Bhaviah states that I have sent for him at 12 O'Clock in the night Soodursanum states that I have sent for Bhaviah thro' Patra-gounta Soobiah.— Soobiah states that he sent a person under my order to call Bhaviah at ½ O'Clock in the evening.—

21 Bhaviah states that on the 2d night Patragounta Soobbiah and Candamoody Soodursanum were with me before his coming.— Soobbiah states that he alone came beforehand and that Soodursanum and Bhaviah came together.— Soodur-sanum states that he having preferred a complaint against Sumbarayiar Ramiah attended on me at that time on that occasion. If he had attended on that occa-sion, why would it have occurred that he should wait upon me at midnight.—

Patragountah

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

22. Pootragountah Soobbiah states that after the above conversation took place between myself and Bhaviah at 12 O'clock in the night, Bhaviah having on another day appeared to him, he asked him, what the letter I wanted him contains; and that he (Bhaviah) returned him for an answer that it contains the matter — of a sum of 800 Rupees paid to me by Ramiah.— He further states that he cannot recollect where and at what time the conversation took place between himself and Bhaviah.— while he has stated — several other circumstances as if they are correct and strict in his memory, the slipping off this single point is attributable to nothing but — that a reason that he and Bhaviah did not consult with each other on that point excluded him from the liberty of giving a — proper answer.—

23. Bhaviah has on this subject given two different depositions before the late Acting Collector.— He has with the second deposition presented some other letters in order to prove the two Columns — said to be the own hand writing of Ramiah and the signature in the letter he presented to be of the own hand writing of Ramiah.— Examining — into them, the hand writing in which the said letter is drawn out does consist in no one among

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

among the several letters he again presented. The two last Columns of the said letter which are said to be the own hand writing of Ramiah and the signature thereunto affixed, did correspond with none of other letters. — Besides this the signature under the above letter differs altogether with the signature under the deposition — he gave before Mr. Goldingham.

24. Among the letters produced by Bhaviah to correspond the writing and signature of the above letter, there are some addressed in the name of Soodaresanum. If Bhaviah is to deliver the — letters he had in possession, it would not have been convenient for his delivering those addressed to — Soodaresanum. This is sufficient to prove that Bhaviah and Soodursanum have jointly made this — intrigue.

25. The late Acting Collector questioned, Voolegoondum Appiah Puntoolo, — Pattry Ramiah, Pottoor Anandarow and — Purga Ramunnapuntoolo, to declare whether or not the two last Columns and the signa- =ture on the above letter produced by Bha- =viah, are of Sumbarajoo Ramiah. The first

first three who have no occasion of frequent — view to the hand writing of Ramiah, declared strictly that they are of his own hand writ- =ing. The last one Purga Ramunnapuntoo — =loo the Sherista Head Muddutgar who offi- =cially might have the knowledge of Ra- =miah's hand writing by looking into the petitions &c. drawn out by him, could not only declare so, but also stated that the — writing of the two Columns might be either of Ramiah or of Rungum. I believe that it is sufficient to satisfy your honor that — the first three have on Oath given the false evidence without any kind of hesitation.

Further I am told that there is a person by name Sumbarajoo Rungum in the service of the Chilkaloorpaud Zemindars who keeps the same hand with Ramiah. I have since former times been given to understand that one Vulloor Maneykaroydoo the nephew of Soodur- =sanum and the Son-in-law of Bhaviah, — who both are witnesses in this case, writes — in different forms. Vulloory Janakeramiah — the vakeel on behalf of Rajah Vasseredy — Ramanadha bauboo being the Grandfather of the above Maneykaroydoo, he represented —

to

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

to Mr. Goldingham for permission to admit his Grandson into the office and to entertain him in some situation by and by. But as it was generally spoken that he writes the hand writing of every form which happens to be seen by him, and as he is the Grandson of the Vakeel in question, I have represented to Mr. Goldingham that he may not be admitted into the Office. I have also been formerly told that the above Mauneykaroydoo draws the hand writing of Sumbaraujoo Ramiah particularly. This Mauneykaroydoo has been employed in some service by Sashagerypuntooloo a few days ago.

26. Your honor has sent for all the witnesses who gave their evidence lately during my absence, for my cross interrogating them. It appears that they have all been fully educated to what they should answer to every point in this case. because they have given ready answers to some of the questions and answered to some others saying that they have no recollection. This induces me to think that they have answered the points which they have consulted with each other, and

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

and declared for the rest which were not lately befallen to their consultation, saying that they have no recollection. However they could not escape the following contradictions.

27. Condamoody Bhaviah has in a place of his deposition stated that the bag of Rupees and the letter of Ramiah reached him at Gurkeepaud on the evening of the 2nd increasing Moon, month Ausvejum year Sarvary and that he set out from that village on the 2nd or 3rd day after their receipt and arrived at Guntoor by the evening. The 3rd day after the receipt of the letter will in the manner he mentioned be the 4th increasing Moon. In another place he mentioned that until the trial of the above dispute between Gurkeepaud and Commamoor is finished, he remained along with the head of Police. And in another place he mentioned that he recollects the report thereof to have been despatched from Nandoor. These circumstances evince that Bhaviah was with the head of Police until the report is despatched. The report of the Head of Police or rather the Auneen of Pruttypaud Tanah, is dated 29th September 1840 which corresponds

with

with the 4th increasing Moon of the month of Auswejum year Sarvary. Bhaviah says moreover that after taking leave of the dumeen he went either to Gurkeepaud or to his own village. While it is thus appearing by his own speech, that he is at Nundoor with the Head of Police on the 4th increasing Moon, how could he have come on that date to me to Guntoor? Besides this, he having declared in a place of his deposition that he received the letter and the bag of Rupees at Garkeepaud on the evening of the 2nd increasing Moon, and that he came to Guntoor from that place only for 2 or 3 days after, stated in another place, that he was at Nundoor for 10 days, at the end of the trial. Had he been at Nundoor at the end of trial for 10 days, how would he have received the bag of Rupees at Garkeepaud? and how could he have come to Guntoor from Garkeepaud.

28. While Bhaviah declared that he was at Nundoor for 10 days at the end of the trial, Saik Survur who is said to be with Bhaviah at that time, says that Bhaviah and himself were at Garkeepaud for a whole month at the end of the trial, and came from that

that very place to Guntoor.

29. Bhaviah and Saiksurvar stated that the bag of Rupees which they said they brought is of a cubit long and the broad of a span. Saiksurver declares particularly that the bag was fully filled with Rupees. I believe that if a bag of that description is sent for and examined, it would hold about 2 thousands of Rupees.

30. Bhaviah stated before that when he came to my Bungalow alone before hand at 7 O'Clock without bringing the bag, he sent word to me by a peon watching the Gate of the Bungalow and came to me afterwards after receiving my permission. Now he says that he cannot recollect whether or not there were at that time any at the gate of my Bungalow. It is generally known that during those days it was an opportunity of several hundreds of Bramins remaining in my Garden and at the Gate of it.

31. Bhaviah deposes that when he came to my Bungalow at 10 O'Clock in the night with the bag of Rupees, there were only 2 or 3 people out of the Bungalow and none within

within the same but myself. It is generally known that during those days several people were accumulated inward and outward the Bungalow about 2 O'clock in the night.—

32. Bhaviah deposes, that as I was taking the rupees out of the bag, Shaiksurvur went out. Shaiksurvur deposed lately, that he went out while I was seeing the rupees opening the bag; now he deposes that so soon as Bhaviah took the bag from him and gave it to me he went out.—

33. Bhaviah deposed lately that in the month Pooshyum, when I sent for him to ask for the letter in two succesive nights, he came to me on the first night at 9 O'clock now he says that he came then at 7 or 7½ O'clock.—

34. Soodursanum has in his deposition before your honor stated, that it was on the 4th 5th or 6th increasing Moon or within the same in the month of Pooshyum, that I have sent for Bhaviah and wanted him to give letter, while himself and Pootragoonta Soobbiah were with me.—

Voolegoondum Appiah Pantoolu says that it was within 4 or 5 days after the

the lapse of the 30th of the month Mawgasarum, that I have in his presence wanted Bhaviah to give me the letter.—

The time on which it is said that I have wanted the letter of Bhaviah is correspondently with each other in the above two individuals depositions. Condamoodly Soodursanum and Pootragoonta Soobbiah both say that I have after speaking to Bhaviah on the second night proceeded to Pruttee paud for 2 or 3 days.—

Bhaviah says that after speaking to him on the second night I have told him that I will proceed to Prattypaud within 2 or 3 days. In the manner declared by the above witnesses, It appears as if I had spoken to Bhaviah at Guntoor in two succesive nights for the letter, before the 6th increasing Moon of the month Pooshyum, and went afterwards to Prattypaud before the ninth of the same. The following Memorandum shews in what place I was during those nine days.—

Gentoo

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Gentoo date.-	English date.-	Place of Residence
1st increasing Moon of the Month Pooshyum.	24th December 1840.	Pruttypaud.-
2nd D:-	25th D:-	D:-
3rd D:-	26th D:-	D:-
4th D:-	27th D:-	D:-
5th D:-	28th D:-	Guntoor
6th D:-	29th D:-	Pruttypaud
7th D:-	30th D:-	D:-
8th D:-	31st D:-	D:-
9th D:-	1st January 1841	D:-

This Memorandum explains that dur=
=ing the above 9 days I remained at Guntoor only one day, that is on the 5th increasing Moon, corresponding with the 28th December 1840. and the rest remained at Pruttypaud. This statement can be affirmed by the Circuit batta list in the office.- If this particular is beforehand known to my rivals, that list may sometimes be destructed.- Therefore I beg your honor to send for immediately the batta list of me, for the month of December 1840 from the Dufters, and peruse the same. I further beg to represent that on the 28th December on which it appears that I was at Guntoor, I recollect that I left Pruttypaud at evening time, reached Guntoor on the night and returned back to Prattepaud early in

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

in the morning of the 29th In preparing the list for the circuit batta, it was the practice, that if during the travel remained in a Village in the morning, and arrived to another Village by the evening, the date should be inserted under the Village arrived to by the evening- Under the same reason, the 28th December is inserted under Guntoor tho' most of the day was elapsed at Pruttypaud. Had I remained at Guntoor on the night of the 29th December, the date would also have been mentioned under Guntoor. As I had returned back to Pruttypaud on the 29th, it has been inserted under Pruttypaud.

While Bhaviah stated that I have on the two succesive nights, (that it is at 9 O'Clock on the 1st night and at 12 O'Clock on the 2nd night, on the former while Voolegoon=dum Appiah Puntoolo was with me, and on the latter while both Condamoody Soo=dursanum and Pootragoonta Soobbiah were) sent for and spoken to him, and told him that I shall proceed to Pruttypaud within 2 or 3 days, and while the other three persons declare as if I spoke to him on two succesive nights and proceed to

to Pruttepaud for two or three days afterwards, the testimony to be had from the public record — that I remained no more than one night — on the 28th December at Guntoor; During the above 9 days I hope will convince to your honor that the evidence of these — people is totally untruth.—

35- One Maunoory Vencatabha- =ratemmah the sister of Woolegoondum- Appiah a witness in this case, has lately addressed me a letter desiring me to — get her made over with the Zemindary of the Chilkaloorpaud Estate, and promising the she will procure about 20 or 30 thousands of Rupees to be disbursed on the account at the stations of the higher authorities.— I have thereupon reprimanded this Appiah and informed the particulars thereof — by letter to Mr. Goldingham esplaining that in sending such a letter the de- — =sign of Appiah seems something of perniciousness- That Gentleman at the time of his leaving this place, finding the above letter in his box, gave the same to

to me- The original letter addressed by Vencata Bhartemmah to me and the letter I addressed thereon to Mr. Goldingham, which consists the — receive date under that Gentleman's own hand, I have already produced to your honor- The letter addressed to Mr. Goldingham on — the above matter, is dated the 17th December 1840- The receive date of Mr. Goldingham is the 19th of the same month- my letter abovementioned explains that Appiah Puntooloo is in my conjecture deceitful- The date on which it is said that I have sent for Bhaviah thro' Appiah Puntooloo and wanted him to give me the letter of Ramiah in his presence is one posterior to the aforesaid letter by 10 or 12 days- It is worthy of consideration how far the harangue of Appiah Puntooloo is to be confided in that he was at — that time so much confidant to me-

36- The above Bhaviah — has again presented some letters on the 18th instant to your honor for the purpose of

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

of identifying the last two Columns of the above letter and the signature under it to be Ramiah. Among them he pointed out one, and represented that the contents thereof but the signature are not to be considered into. your honor having handed me with those letters for my seeing into them, I have looked the letter which he had distinctly pointed out. It seems to have been written by Sunbarauze Ramiah to the said Bhaviah. It is therein inserted "that you say that the Auneen and Peishkar "of Prattepaud Tanah want Rupees 200 "for deciding the dispute between Gurkeepaud "and Commanoor, that they cannot be paid "more than Rupees 150 lately promised to "them, that suppose the Auneen reports in "any way, Subnevis Venatakrustnarow is a man "of doing as he thinks best, that there is no use by "the writing of the Auneen, and that in case "of redress not to be had here, a complaint "will be preferred to the Civil Court." This

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

This letter also proves my integrity and the incorrectness of this charge. If any money concern was existing between myself and Ramiah why would he have written that I am a man of proceeding as I think best? Why would he suspect that he would not sometimes have decision by means in his favor? and why would he have ventured to have a recourse to the Court of Civil Judicature? your honor can perceive that the letter being explanatory to my correctness he wanted your honor not to consider into its contents.

37. Your honor has on the 17th Instant appointed a Punchayet consisting of Vanaoguntly Ramdoss Puntolue the Manager of the Magisterial Department, Subnevis Sadasiva Row Puntolue, the Cashkeeper Succolanarainmaty Ramasawmy the Deputy Accountant Succolanarainmaty Tervengala Charlue the Magistrate English Writer and Vatcha Bashacarlue the Head Moonshe with directions

Comp. & R.

directions to examine the writing, the last two columns said to have been the own hand of Sambarajoo Ramiah, and the signature of the letter produced by Ramiah (as if it is the same he received from Ramiah with the sum of Rupees 800 he sent in order to be paid to me) with the writing and signature of the other letters presented by Ramiah and the signature under the deposition given by Ramiah before Master Golding dated and to inform their opinions of it in writing as the same time I have represented to your honor that I prefer your honor's own Judgment before that of a Punchayet. On my seeing into the above letter and the others to be corresponded with it, the hand writing on which the letter is drawn out is not consisting in any among the letters about 20 in number, presented by Ramiah. If the letter is a real one Ramiah would have got it drawn out by some one among those persons under him

In

In this case there would have no reason that the hand writing there of does not consist in any other paper among the other letters. Is it to be considered that he might have procured a new hand for drawing out this only letter? All the other letters so far as I have seen consist the conclusion, that is to say the date, Month and Year in the hand writing of Ramiah. Surbada Janatee-rampantoloo the Jarolmeyer has also compressed before your honor that as he was Dowan, he was in the habit of concluding every paper under his own hand. The only letter under discussion is concluded by the hand which has drawn it out. Had this letter been surely sent by Ramiah, why would it have been so different from the system he followed and the Example of the other papers. The two last columns under the above letter, which are said to be the own hand of Ramiah and the signature thereto affixed differ entirely from the hand writing of several

other

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

other letters of different dates which are said to be of Ramiah's own hand writing, and the signature under the several letters and the one under the deposition given by him before Mr. Goldingham. The hand writing of the other letters as said to be Ramiah's own hand, and the signatures under them, as well as the signature under his deposition given before Mr. Goldingham are correspondently with each other. The letters in all of them are generally a little coarse. While they are all so, the two last columns of the letter under discription and the signature affixed thereto, are very slim. The figure of the letters is also different. If the said letter so sent by him, and the two last columns and the signature are of his own hand there would have been no reason for the letter alone to be different from all the rest which are in a form correspondent with each other.

Among the Punchayet appointed

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

appointed by your honor, the circumstances of the four with the exception of Ramdoss Pantoloo the manager are as follows.

Subnevees Sadasevarow

Pantoloo the bash keeper is my Cousin.

Veteha Bashacarloo the Head Moonshee has enmity towards me. This individual his father Lutchmiah, and his uncle Durmapoory, having committed several offences during the management of Mr. Goldingham, Bashacarloo was reduced to a lower situation which he ultimately gave up after a while. His father Lutchmiah was dismissed and his uncle Durmapoory was not only dismissed but also committed to the Criminal Court by Mr. Farris then Acting Head Assistant Magistrate. These three persons have without the least cause accused me very much in several petitions they addressed to the higher authorities. As the Copies thereof are in the Cuzzeer records, a perusal into them will assure the same. The other two viz. Sucoolammunnale Ramasawmy

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Ramasawmy the Deputy Accountant and Swoolamunnatee Trivangala Charlu the Magistrate writer are of the same Mother They are both brotherinlaws of Moodoomkee Ramasawmy the Sumeen and Head of Police of this Town who gave an evidence against me in the false charge lately preferred by one Rangum Chintalu, and whom your honor fined in Rupees 50 in the same case. Therefore I believe that your honor will consider that the above four are not deserving to be Punchayts in this case and I hope that your honor will by yourself peruse it and afford me redress.-

39 Besides this Naputty Pushagerry Row Puntulu who is my principal rival having collected into the Superior situations both at Fazzoor and in the outer Stations such people as are attending my destruction and prosperity (who were lately punished by Mr. Goldingham by dismissals, reductions &c.,) in

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

in order to aid him in hurting me, combined altogether, and procured for witnesses too, such persons are against me.- The circumstances of the witnesses in this case I shall hereinunder particularize.-

40 Condamoody Soodursanum and Bhavirah. These two persons are I am told the sons of two brothers. Among these two individuals Soodursanum was Summuttdar of the Nedoodrole Mootah and Bhavirah was Vakeel in the Moonsiffs Court at Chayroole under the late Rajah Mannoor Vencumma Row. Rajah Bhastemmah the widow of Vencumnarow addressed a petition during the Month of December 1840 that they both have embezzled a great amount, the former in the revenues of the Mootah and the latter in the funds in his hands.- The then Zafty Sumeen also addressed some Arzees stating that Soodursanum has defrauded a great deal of the revenues.- Whereupon Mr. Goldingham has

has sent to the Zuffty Aumeen either the both of them or the only one Soodursanum for trial. While the trial was going on, they got a summons to be issued to them from Coluru Royal Naidoo the District Moonsiff of Chayphole by means of Sashagerry row Puntoolo and escaped the trial in due time. I recollect that some correspondence has also taken place between Mr. Goldingham and the Assistant Judge in regard to these persons since that time these people entering in the conspiracy of Sashagerry row Puntoolo are making several kinds of intrigues against me. They have also received for their Assistance one Vallooru Maunkaraydoo the nephew of one and the son-in-law of the other among these two people who is generally spoken of to be able of drawing out the hand writings and signatures of several people. The circumstance of this Maunkroydoo, I have particularized in

in para No. 25. At the recommendation of the above two individuals, the Head Sheristadar Sashagerry row Puntoolo, has employed this man to be Sarbraroo of the Bauputla Tonah and one Muttapsole Padmarayo the uncle and father-in-law of Soodursanum as well as one Yellapragada Ramachendroodoo the uncle of Bhanah to be Kyledars of Bauputla Tonah. Among the last two, Padmarayoo was formerly the Meturpha Curnum of the Village of Muttapole. Where he having misappropriated certain amount of the Revenue and given false accounts Mr. Glass the late Acting Collector & Mr. Davis the late Acting Head Assistant Collector has tried and punished him under Regulation 9 of 1822 by dismissing him from the service and confining him in the Court Jail for a term of 12 Months. On my questioning both Bhanah and Soodursanum for the reasons that led their employment they both have confessed for Padmarayoo having been confined in the Jail but say that Padmarayoo and Ramachendroodoo

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Ramachendroodoo having made Voluntary
and the deceased father of Maunikaroydoo
being lately a servant, they have got em-
-ployments. It is very surprising, that they
should have employments while Padmarayoo
was a public offender, Ramachendroodoo
is one whose face is unknown in the office
and the father of Maunikaroydoo died so
long ago as about 18 or 20 years; and while
several people of self longer service and
not committed any offence and waiting
long since who were employed by Mr. Golding-
-ham have at once been dismissed without
the least enquiry. Your honor can guess by
this example how reasonable the arrangement
made a few days before your honor's arrival
is. A person by name Condamoody Gopaulroo
the Cousin of these persons is at Madras as
a Vakeel on behalf of Rajah Serranamy Venkata
Narsimmarow. The above Gopaul Pow having
mentioned some things of the tricks played
against me by some people in his letters to
his Master Venkata Narsimmarow, he has
sent

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

sent me out of them some letters as are worthy
of my perusal, Among which I have already
presented to your honor a letter dated the
25th September 1841. An enclosure thereof being
a letter addressed by Cotum Royal Naidoo
to the above Gopaulroo under date the 15th
September 1841, which consists the hand writing
of Sarbada Janacheram puntoloo and the
signature of Royelnaidoo, having shewn to your
honor, your goodness has interrogated Janacheram
-puntoloo if it is his hand writing or not
he having confessed that it is his own hand
writing, your honor endorsed the same upon
it and returned it to me back. As I am to
represent on the contents thereof at another
time, I here beg to represent on the letter
addressed by Gopaul pow to his master —
Venkata Narsimmarow, which I have already
presented to your honor. It is therein insersted
that the above Khoriah and Soodursanum
having joined the conspiracy of my rivals in-
-tended to send a false Complaint against me to the

Board

Board and have written on that account to the Vakeel of Maunoor Vencata Bhartemmah the sister of Woolegoondam appiah Pantoolo another Witness in this case, that he having understood the same, informed them not to undertake such things as it will generally bring a name that the Condamoody people are intriguing; and some other circumstances the like. It is by the above letter, plain, that the above Bhavirah and Soodursanum are long since playing tricks against me shewing the letter to them both and interogating they both have confessed that the hand writing and signature are of Gopaulrow. — After putting several question on the contents thereof, Bhavirah having first denied any correspondence taking place between them both and Gopaulrow as explained in that letter, declared at last that Soodursanum wanted to send a petition to the Board stating that I have prevented enquiring into his complaint — against

against Sambarayoo Ramiah, and that I having given several inconsistent answers declared at last, that there is nothing of it in his recollection. After questioning him again in respect to the petition said that he wanted to send to the Board he replied that he neither received an injury from any one nor intended to complain any thing to the Board. It is sufficient to believe that what Bhavirah mentioned that I have suppressed the complaint against Sambarayoo Ramiah is uncertain. These two people have fabricated a letter in a warrant case No. 252 of 1827 pending in the District Monsiffs Court at Chabole. If the deposition given by one Cayjah Vencatadry in that case is sent for from the Court and perused, the conduct of these persons will be open. As under all these circumstances and documents it will be apparent that these two people are naturally conspirers

13219 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

conspirers and forgers of false documents that they are long since ferocious of me and playing several tricks and that my principal rival Sashagerry row Puntooloo the Head Shenistadar having retained them in his possession employed several of their relations even the public offenders in different situations at their recommendations, I am sure that your honor will be confirmed in the belief of their having fabricated the letter of Sumbarayjoo Ramiah and gave entirely false evidence.

11. Wodegoondum Appiah Puntoolloo he is naturally of a conduct playing tricks against the public servants according to the circumstances of the time. Since the arrival of Mr. Goldingham to this place he accused much Sashagerry row Puntoolloo Bashacarloo &c. before the Gentleman. He repeatedly represented to that Gentleman for entertainment into the public service. But that Gentleman finding him by his

J.G.B.

13220 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

his own speech to be a conspirator, and this individual having been lately dismissed from service on a bribery charge did not employ him in any situation. My opinion regarding him is plain in the letter I addressed to Mr. Goldingham under date the 17th December 1840. accompanying the letter addressed to me by Veneata Bharatemmah the sister of this person. I have already presented to your honor a petition in English addressed by this individual to Mr. Goldingham under date the 14th January 1842, setting forth that Sashagerryrow and Coleum Royel Naidoo are intriguing people, and they are playing some tricks. He has given several petitions the like to that Gentleman which tired him much. Having questioned him what he thinks of the conduct of Sashagerryrow puntoolloo, he deposes that he is acquainted with him since 30 years and that he is very Gentleman. The nature of this Appiah Puntoolloo will be plain to your honor by his

mentioning

mentioning in the above petition that Sashagerryrow Puntoolou is a conspirer and now despising that he is an honest man - The letter mentioned in Para No. 40 addressed by Condamoody Gopaul row to his master Sooranany Venkata Narrasimma row explains also that this man has concern in the intrigue of Bhariah and Soodursanum - Under the above circumstances your honor can understand that this man has given a false evidence against me -

42. Pootragoontah Soobbiah - He was receiving Rupees 30 Monthly at Huzzoor; but he being illiterate Mr. Goldingham dismissed him, and at last employed him as a temporary Headsheristadar on 20 Rupees Monthly payment for collecting the ryots to the Pymaysh of the Rachoor Panah to which I was the Ameen - Soon after Mr. Mathison's arrival, he quitted his post without my knowledge and came to Guntoor; and joined the conspiracy of my rivals - I am therefore obliged to report the same to Huzzoor - Mr. Mathison having in person

person asked me of his conduct, I have represented to him the misconduct of this individual - Thus, he became ferocious of me - His being still retained in service tho' the Pymaysh business is postponed soon after the reinstation of Sashagerryrow Puntoolu to the Head Sheristadar situation, is sufficient to prove that he has joined the conspiracy of my rivals - Besides this, as I am continuing at Purvor and those parts supplying materials to the Bridges &c. Mr. Goldingham kept this man under me - He went to Epoorpollem, Guntaravoor and those parts for supplying sand to the Purchoor bridge while I was ill. In those villages he made a great many disturbances - Mr. Goldingham made an investigation of this arbitrary acts - If the record thereof is sent for and perused his conduct will be plain - Under these reasons his evidence worths not of confidence in my case -

43. Puttry Ramiah he was formerly Head Munduligar in the

Bellumcondah

Bellumcondah Tanah, where he having embezzled a certain sum out of the funds permitted for the repair of the Tank of a village by name Crossoor in the said Tanah Mr. Goldingham has not only dismissed him from service during 1838, but also levied a fine from him - since on which, this mans is against me, He is a nearest, to the Head Sheristadar Sashagerryrow Puntooloo, who has recently employed his brother in a Sheristadar Muddutgar situation at Huzzoor and his brother-in-law in the Samudar situation of the Cuncherla Mootah. I am also told that the Head Sheristadar wanted to employ him too to be Muddutgar of Bauputla Tanah, and prepared an order for it but that Mr. Mathison did not sign upon it - If the order regarding his dismissal is sent for and perused the conduct of this individual will be apparent to your Honor - Under the above circumstances his evidence cannot be worthy of credit -

Pollavy

Pollavy Annundarow - 44.

This individual being Tanahnevesa in the Magisterial department at Huzzoor, received a bribe of Rupees 25 from an individual - This circumstance having come to my knowledge, I have made him questioned by Aumboor Kristnah, then Head Tanahneveer at Huzzoor, Annundarow has in writing confessed that he has receimed the Rupees 25 - I have laid the paper before Mr. Goldingham, who having sent for Annundarow before him, and interrogated him on it - He has confessed that the paper is written by him and that he has really received the Rupees 25 - Whereupon Mr. Goldingham endorsed the paper with certain circumstances and signed it - he has consequently been deprived of the Tanahneveer situation - Subsequently before his reemployment, I have shewn the paper to Mr. Mathison too - I have already produced the said paper to your Honor on the 20th instant - The conduct of this

Fort St. George 27th October 1812

this individuals will be plain to your Honor by a perusal into the above paper - As this individual is enraged of me in consequence of my laying the above paper before Mr. Goldingham, his evidence against me (I hope your Honor can believe) is not admissible -

45. Saith Narnar - I do not know who he is - I did never see him at any time before he was brought to trial before your Honor, I do not know whom he belongs to but think that my rivals have brought him to give this false evidence under some promise of service or by some other means -

46. In the above manner my rivals having all combined together created this false charge which is complained of by none - I am not of a nature to do such things -

Besides the vouchers I have already produced to prove the misconduct of the Witnesses &c. Herewith

accompany

Fort St. George 27th October 1812.

accompany Copies of the Testimonials of several Gentlemen of my conduct together with a list of them - I shall in person produce to your Honor the their originals - All the people of this and the Masulipatam District know that before the fortune left me by my ancestors this kind of income is not of any consequence to me and that I am naturally of a disposition not being fond of such advantages. I did not come into the service of the Government with a hope of any advantage, but under an anxiety of getting the name of an useful servant. It regrets me much that I, who have exerted much during the day and night in the last five years to the most advantage of the Government, and who discovered several instances of fraud having been received by different servants and prevented as far as possible their recurrence, be obliged to answer such a shameful charge created by my rivals owing to their ferocity of

my

13227. Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

my having discovered their Misdemeanours and prevented their illegal perquisites.—

Your Honor can easily understand the frivolousness of this charge by a perusal of the above circumstances, the vouchers I have presented, and those I have wanted to send for from different records.— I have already given a list of the witnesses to be investigated on my behalf.— Copy of which is appended to this.— I most humbly beg your Honor to investigate them too and render me justice.—

Guntoor
24th May
1842

Signed/ Sub. Venkatakristnarow.

/True Copy/
Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector.

13228 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

Nº 2.

Decision passed by the Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX of 1822.—

Sukar ——— Complainant
Subrevjs Venkat Krishna Row —— Defendant

Witnesses.

1st Condamoodi Bhaviah
2d Shaik Sivver
3d Woolegoondah Appiah
4th Condamoodi Sooderamum
5th Poshagoontah Sobiah
6th Poshoori Anundarow
7th Puthi Ramiah
8th Parugah Ramanah

Records.

Nº 1. Arzee Nº 10 from Nagutty Shashagherry Row Head Shenstadar dated 9th and filed on the 10th April 1842.—
2. Memorandum from Dº dated 12 dº
3. Summuds issued to the 1st Witness on dº
4 Deposition of the 1st Witness dated the April 1842
5th dº of the 2nd dº 15th dº
6th dº of the 3d dº 15th dº
7th dº of the 4th dº 15th dº
8th dº of the 5th dº 15th dº
9 dº of the 3d dº 16th dº
10. dº of the 1st dº 16th dº

Documents

Documents filed by the 1st Witness on the 16th May 1842 Viz.t

11 1st Letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Bhaviah and Ramachendradoo dated 18th June 1839.

12 2nd do. from do. to do. and Sodhasamm dated 12th or 13th May.

13 3d Taked from Rayah Manoor Venamaun to the Cummums of Mudpur the last part of this document is said to have been mislaid.

14 4th Yadast from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Cadurtamum dated 28 April 1840

15 5 Letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Bhaviah dated 26th September 1840.

16 Deposition of the 6th Witness dated 16th April 1842.

17 do. of the 7th do. dated do.

18. do. of the 8th do. do. do.

19 do. of the Defendant dated 11th and 12th May 1842.

20. English Arzee from do. dated 12th do.

Arzee

21. Arzee No. 207 from the Ameen of Pulltepaud Tanah dated 12th and filed on the 13th May 1842.

22. Order No. 107 dated 22nd October 1842 which accompanied the above Arzee.

23 Summons issued to the 7th Witness on the 11th May 1842.

24. English Arzee from the Defendant dated 16th do.

25 Arzee No. 173 from the Ameen of Bellumcondah Tanah dated 16th and filed on the 17th do.

26th English Arzee from the Defendant dated 17th May 1842.

27. Paper containing the instructions from the Collector to the Arbitrators dated 17 do.

28. Report from Vamagunti Ramadoss &c. Arbitrators dated do.

29. Deposition of the 1st Witness dated 17th 18th and 24th do. Seventeen documents filed by do. on do.

30. Letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Bhaviah and Soodrastamum dated 22nd January 1839. Letter

31. 2nd Letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Bhoniah and Soodursanum dated 30th January 1839.

32 3rd do. from do. to Condamoodi Ramachendroodoo dated 12th July

33. 4th do. from do. to Condamoodi Soodursanum dated 28th January 1840.

34 5th do. from do. to do. dated 8th February

35. 6th do. from do. dated 9th do.

36. 7th Gadash from do. to do. dated 18th March 1840

37 8th do. from do. to do. do. ——— do.

38. 9th do. do. to do. 31st March

39. 10th do. do. to do. ——— do.

40 11th do. do. to the Samostan of Bodapandoo dated 24th May 1840

41. 12 do. do. to Condamoodi Soodursanum dated 25th do.

42. 13th do. do. to Garekapanti Vencatroydoo dated 2nd July.

43 14th Letter from do. to Condamoodi Ramchendroodoo dated 22nd July.

Entered

44. 15th Gadash from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Soodursanum dated 8th September 1840.

45. 16th do. do. to do. dated 9th do.

46. 17 do. do. to do. dated 14th do.

47. Deposition of the 2nd Witness dated 17th May 1842.

48. English Azzee from the Defendant dated 18 do.

Six Documents filed by the 1st Witness on the 18 do. Viz.

49 1st Letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah by order of the Rajah to Condamoodi Soodursanum dated 19th January 1840.

50 2nd do. from do. to Garekapanti Vencatroydoo on the 26th August 1840.

51. 3rd do. from do. to do. dated 9th September 1840.

52. 4th do. from do. to do. dated 16th do.

53 5th do. from do. to do. dated 12th October do.

54 6th do. from do. to do. dated 24th do.

English

55. English Arzee from the Defendant dated 19th May 1842.
Five documents filed by Dr on the 19th Do Vist.

56. 1st English Note from Do to J Goldingham Esqre dated 17th December 1840.

57. 2nd Letter from Venceata Bharatmmah to Subrevilu Venceatakristnarow.

58. 3rd English Arzee from Woolagondinna Appiah to the late Collector dated 5th January 1841.
Five documents filed by the 3rd Witness on the 19th May 1842 Vist.

59. 1st Letter from Limbaraujee Ramiah to the said 3rd Witness dated Monday the 2nd increasing moon of Bha-
-drapadum year Doormookee.

60 2nd Do from Manoor Vencanarow to Manoor Veneata Bhara-
-tummah dated Saturday the 10th decreasing moon of Carteka Year Do

Letter

61 3rd Letter from Manoor Vencanarow to Manoor Veneata Bharatmmah
dated 18th September.

62. 4th Do from Do to Do dated Monday the 13 increasing moon of Talgunma
Year Doormookee.

63. 5th Do from Limbaraujee Ramiah to the said 3rd Witness dated Tuesday the 10th decreasing moon of Margadera.

64. English Arzee from the Defendant
dated 20th May 1842.

65. Document filed by Do on Do being a paper written by the 6th Witness, and which also contains the Proceedings held by J Goldingham Esqre on the 1st December 1841.

66. English list of 18 Witnesses filed by Do on Do

67. English defence from Do dated 24th May 1842

68. List of 18 Witnesses filed by Do with Do.

69. Do of document filed by Do with Do.

Seven

Seven Copies of Letters filed by Dr. on the 24th May 1842 Vizt.—

70 1st Letter from E. Story Esqre. dated 20th October 1841. to M. Goldingham.

71. 2nd Do. from I. Goldingham Esqre. to E. Story Esqre. dated Do.—

72. 3rd Do. from G. L. Forbes Esqre. to the Defendant dated 2nd Novr. 1841.—

73. 4th Do. from E. Story Esqre. to Do. 29th Do.

74. 5th Do. from I. Goldingham Esqre. to Do. 30th Do.

75. 6th Do. from G. L. Forbes Esqre. to Do. 28th March 1842.—

76. 7th Do. from Captain Richard Prettyman 30th April 1842.

77. English Arzee from the Defendant dated 24th May 1842.—

78. Telugoo Do. from Do. dated Do.

79. Do. Do. from Do. dated Do.—

80. List of 18. Witnesses.—

81. English report from Naputty Sheshagherry now Head Shenstadtor dated 26th Do. with the following 2 persons

English

82. 1st English Memorandum shewing the differences between the dates &ca.—

83. 2nd Extract from the Batta account—

84. English Arzee from the Defendant dated 27th May 1842.

85. Telugu Do. from Do. dated Do.—

86 to 106. Twenty one Reports from the Head of Police of Guntoor filed on the 27th Do. shewing the particulars of the passengers Vizt. from 26th to 31st December 1840. and from 1st to 15th Janu-ary 1841.—

107 to 115. Nine Reports from 23rd to 31st De-cember 1840 from the Head of Police of Pulletpaud filed on the 27th May 1842.—

116. Deposition from Naputty Sheshagherry now Head Serish-tadar dated 30th Do.—

English

117. English Arzee from Defendant dated 28th and filed on the 31st May 1842.

118. Telugu do from do — do — do —

119. English do from do dated 31st do —

120. Telugu do from do dated do

121. English do from do dated 1st June 1842

122. Telugu do from do dated do

123 to 130. Eight reports of passengers from the Head of Police of Guntoor Tanah filed on the 8th June 1842 Viz dated 6th — 13th 15th — 20th — 25th — 27th and 30th April and 22nd May 1842 —

131. Telugu Arzee from Naputty Sheshagherry row Head Sirestadar dated 10th and filed on the 13th June 1842.

132. Enclosure of do being a list of 24 Witnesses.

133. Extract of a letter from Condamoodi Gopaulrow dated 21 Swaranami Veerata Narsimmarow dated 21st September 1841. and filed on the 23rd September 1842 by the Defendant —

Charge

Charge.

For having on or about the 29th — September 1840. at 11 O'Clock at night when Naib Sheristadar of the Guntoor Collectorate received through Condamoodi Bhaviah eight hundred Rupees as a bribe given by order of Rajah Manar Venkannarow Zemindar of Chilkaloorpaud, for obtaining the Collector's order to lower the level of a bund newly raised at the Tank of Commamoor belonging to the Malrauj Estate, whereby some of the — land of Garegepaud in the Chilkaloor paud was liable to be land under water —

The accused pleads not guilty —

The information in this case was given by the present Sheristadar N. Shasha — -ghery row to the late Acting Collector Mr Mathison in an Arzee dated the 9th April 1842. and a Memorandum dated 12th April requesting that in order to —

13239 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

to prove the Charge Condamoodi Bhaviah /the 1st Witness/ might be examined.

The evidence adduced is intended to prove: first. the genuineness of a Memorandum purporting to be addressed by Soobbarauze Ramiah Dewan of Marine Vencanna now to his agent Condamoodi Bhaviah desiring him to deliver eight hundred Rupees therewith sent to S. Venkat Krishna now: Second. the delivery of the money according to the Memorandum by C. Bhaviah, and the man said to have carried it, named Sheik Sumur, and Third. a conversation on the nights of the 27th and 28th December 1840, in which the accused endeavoured to induce Bhaviah to give up to him the Memorandum.

The principal argument in the defence, which is very long and touches upon some irrelevant matters are the personal enmity of the

13240 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

the informant, the bad character, interested motives, and contradictions of the witnesses, that the signature subjoined to the Memorandum differs from S. Ramiahs ascertained autograph and is a forgery, that this same Ramiah, who has since died, solemnly declared before the Collector on the 30th December 1840, that neither with his knowledge nor consent had any bribe been given directly or indirectly to S. Venkat Krishna now by his master Venkanna now during the time he had been employed by him - that he, the accused was at the time the money is alleged to have been given engaged in the rites of the Dassara festival in such a manner that he could not have admitted the witnesses to a private interview as deposed by them, that the order regarding the removal of the new bund of the Commamoor tank was drafted not by himself but by Naputty Sashagherry Row, and

that

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

that he could not have held the conversation alleged on the 27th and 28th of December 1840 as he was at Guntoor on the first of those days only and then returned to Pruttepaud --

To the first of these arguments full weight must be allowed - It seems abundantly evident from other sources as well as from the proofs given in the defence that the principal witnesses are hackneied in falsehood and intrigue - There is no difficulty in believing them capable of fabricating a case as elaborate as this for their own ends - It seems equally evident that the charge has not now been brought forward from a regard for the public service, the love of justice or to obtain the redress of an injury - Under the influence of any such motive, Saichagherry row should have impeached his Deputy long ago, as he says he was aware of the existence of this Document before December 1840 - still a true accusation may be brought

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

brought from malicious motives and it becomes necessary to examine the evidence - The rest of the defence is generally weak - It would be very difficult to prove that the accused could not have found an opportunity to receive the money on a night of the Dassara, a peculiarly appropriate occasion for offering it - The witnesses named by N. Saichagherry row to prove that he could seem as numerous and credible as those named to prove that he could not, for which reason neither were examined - The genuineness of Ramiah's signature seems to be sufficiently proved - Though it differs from some other specimens of his hand writing, the difference is not that of a forgery intended to be a fac simile, but of the writing at different times of a rapid and free writer - The attempt to shew that the accused could not have been at Guntoor on the night of the 28th December is an utter

utter failure - The account of batta favors this plea - but it is contradicted by a daily report, made in the police department, of travellers reaching or leaving Guntoor - which report is attested unquestionably by a former Head of Police Sunjeevarow, who has not been at Guntoor since the beginning of March last and who moreover is a freend of the accused -

The truth or falsehood of the charge appears to rest on the genuineness of the Memorandum - If this be genuine, the charge seems to be established - If it be a forgery, the whole story may be treated as a malevolent fabrication - As already observed, the signature of S. Ramiah and the two lines in the same hand forming a sort of post-script to the memorandum, do not appear to be forged - But the very first inspection of the paper suggested the thought that it bore the appearance of

of having been fabricated by clipping off some writing above the line written by Ramiah and filling up the blank space on the reverse of the sheet with matter suitable to support the charge - This supposition is strengthened by the witnesses being unable to name the writer of the rest of the document - Bhamiah has given in 27 letters or papers for the purpose of comparing Ramiahs hand - In none of them does the same writing appear as in the body of the Yadast - another powerful corraboration of this opinion is derived from the very same appearance of imposture in another case - The accused is acquitted -

Guntoor Collrs Cutcherry 23d September 1842 - - - } Signed H. Stokes Collector -

No 3.

To The Acting Collector in the zillah of Guntoor -

Complainant — Naputty Sashagerrow puntooloo of Guntoor - -

Defendant — Subreniah Venkatakristnarow puntoolloo of Masulipatam at present residing at Guntoor -

Defence given by the Defendant - With respect to the Complaint

13245 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

Complaint preferred against me by the above Complainant as if I received a sum of Rupees 1,000 from the Rajah Maunoor Venkunnarow the Zemindar of the Sooloor Society under the Chilkalourpaud Talook at Rajahpetta, during fusly 1248, thro' his Dewan the late Sumbarayjoo Ramiah. I beg leave to represent as follows on the record already took place.—

Although your honor ordered the same, yet, I avaineeveeds. Subada Janakerampuntooloo would neither give me copy of the charge in this case, nor let me see it. Consequently I am unable to give objections on the construction of it. In the trial of this case the complainant put several questions to the witnesses which he has also mentioned to be the Cavara questions. He put also several leading questions. Notwithstanding which the following contradictions have occured—

Gunnumrayjoo Pooroshottum says, that he saw Somayajoola Soobiah now and then in the street

13246 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

street; but cannot recollect of his having mentioned this circumstance to him. Soobiah deposes, that the above Pooroshottum came to his lodge, when Pooroshottum, his (Soobiahs) brother-in-law, and one Bhavappah the Curnum of Casavarum; spoke among them within his earreach, that Sumbarayjoo Ramiah paid me Rupees 1,000 thro' Bhundaroo Rajaswa Row.—

Pooroshottum states, that at 12 O'clock in one night, he has seen Ramiah pay Rupees 1000 to Rajaswarow and went himself by day-break to some other Village.. Soobiah says that on the next day after the payment took place, Pooroshottum was in Rajahpetta and came to his lodge.—

Pooroshottum states, that he do not know whether or not I was at Rajahpetta on the day on which he says that Rupees 1,000 are paid to Rajaswarow. The construction of Soobiahs deposition shews that I was at the very place on that day.— Shaiunmud states, that

Sumbarayjoo

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

Sumbarayjoo Ramiah asked Rajaswarow how it will understand that the money is paid to me, and that he answered that either by Vakeel or by some other means it may be ascertained - Poorroshottum did not state this -

Poorroshottum states that Ramiah wanted Rajaswarow to tell me to attend the zemindar's interest in any Public affairs - Saivumnud did not state this -

Condamoodi Ramachendroodoo deposes that there was a dispute between Rajah Vencumnarow and Donnalagudda Janakeramoodoo Vea Vencumnarow wanted him to speak to me on the above dispute, and to ascertain of me the receipt of the Rupees 1,000 - He wanted a writing thereto - He said he will send it behind him - after his coming to Guntoor, Vencumnarow's letter reached him - It is received by him at Guntoor for 2 or 3 days after its drawing out - I was not at Guntoor by the time of its arrival to him, but came

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

came on the next or the after day - In that letter a note in regard to the Rupees 1,000 was enclosed - He shew me the note - I acknowledged the receipt of the Rupees 1,000 - and returned the note Vea Voca -

I am told that some dispute took place between Vencumnarow and Donnalagudda Janakeeramdoo Vea in the Magisterial department - It was not in the Revenue Department - I did not ever interfere with such things of the Magisterial Department not connected to my office - The record of the Magisterial Department will itself prove this point to your honor - If wanted Mr Goldingham can also inform the truth of this -

Your honor wanted the above Ramachendroodoo on the 24th instant to produce the above letter and note - He answered that it is at Condamoody in his house and that he will bring it and file - He did not go to his Village but remained here only -

He

13249 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

He filed 2 papers yesterday — Among which there is a letter addressed to him (as he said) by Sumbarayjoo Ramiah dated the 29th June 1839. as he told, that for two or three days after he received it, the receipt must be on the 1st or 2d July. He said that I was not at Guntoor by the time of his receiving the letter —

The Circuit Batta account can shew that I returned from circuit to Guntoor on the 23d June, and did go no where else until the 3d September —

A perusal into them I hope can prove the evidence of this person false. The other paper said, to be the note, consists no name addressed to — At its bottom, there are both the seal and signature of Venkunna row — The reason of not mentioning the name ought to be the secrecy of the matter — If the note is really sent by Venkunnarow, would the secrecy admit of affixing the full signature and seal — The shape of the note, shews, that some letter

13250 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

letter or other paper, given under the seal and signature of Venkunnarow, is torn to the seal and signature, and that the writing thereon having commenced on the other side, is brought to close to the place of seal and signature — On the side where the seal and signature are affixed, there are 5 or 6 letters. The wording on the other side is brought upto have a connection to the letters of this side — The writing on the other side and the letters near the seal and signature — entirely differ in form with each other. — This is also convincing that this note is fabricated as above stated — —

In this case Soodursanum (Brother of this Ramachendroodoo) has also given a deposition. However as his deposition is not material to this case, I venture no thing to argue any more upon his deposition —

It will be the duty of Public Servants that they should, whenever such irregular actions

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

actions fell in their way, bring the same to the notice of their superiors - Somayajoola Soobleiah confesses of his failure for doing so --

The Circumstances of the people who gave their depositions in this case, I shall hereunder particularize --

Gunnumrajoo Pooroshottum - He was Police Peishcar of the Pollore Tanah, where he having committed some malversations, Mr. Goldingham dismissed him and levied from him a sum of Rupees 40 and odd, for a fine - He did not even appeal therefrom - Inconsequence thereof He is malecious towards me - Condamoody Sooduranum - He was Samutdar of Neer-bride Moottah on behalf of the late Vencurnarow - In that situation he appro-priated a large amount of the Collection for his own use -- Some trial is taken place on that subject against him - He is therefore ferocious of me --

Coondamoody

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

Condamoody Ramachendroodoo

He is younger brother of the above Sooduraa num -- Somayajoola Soobleiah - He is uncle of Chittah Sombunnah whom your honor dismissed in a case of a letter he addressed to one Somayajoola Appaiah having come before your honor - This Appaiah is this younger brother -- He was Serust a Head Muddutgar at Huzzoor; During the last fusly - He committed several frauds in preparing the Jamabundy accounts of the Innacondah Tanah - Mr. Forbes the then Acting Head Assistant Collector who went there for the settlement of that Tanah, having reported the same to Mr. Golding-ham he was considered undeserving of any official employment at Huzzoor, and so removed to the Condaveed he having again committed some irregularities, was again removed to the Prattepaud Tanah - This person such as described here, has now been promoted by the complainant from 40 Rupees payment at

+ Soobleiah.

13253 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

at the outer station to be Head Assistant Serestadar at Huzzoor of Rupees 30 payment. His connexions are also provided for to a great extent - Thus he is foe to me and freind to the Complainant --

Under all the above circumstances as the witnesses have altogether gave false testimonies against me, in consequence of their ferocity towards me, and as the note produced by Ramachendroodoo appears by its shape only to be a fabricated one. I trust your honor will be pleased to struck off the charge and treat the acts of preferring the false complaint, and giving false evidence, as they deserve to. - The Complainant has heretofore mentioned the questions he put to be those of the Government. As the record must distinctly shew the questions put by the Complainant, Defendant, and the Judge respectively and as it is not proper that the Head Serestadar should in the case wherein he is the Complainant conduct the part of the Judge, I beg that your honor will order that any questions to be put by him hereafter be mentioned "Complainants question."

Guntoor. 28th May 1842. } I Signed Subo Vencatakrishna Row.

Decision

13254. Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No 4.

Decession passed by the Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX - of 1822 -

Sirkar ..... Complainant

Subnevis Venkatakrishna row ... Defendant.

Witnesses -

1st Gunnumrauze Pooroosholtum -

2d Condamoodi Ramachendroodoo -

3d Sheruumud -

4th Somayajoolah Soobiah -

5th Condamoodi Soodursanum -

Record -

No 1 - Arzee No 13 from Naputty Sashagherry row Head Serestadar dated 16th April 1842 -

2 - Memorandum of 5 Witnesses from Do dated 18th Do -

3 to 5 - Three Summons issued to the 1st 2d 3d, 4th, and 5th witnesses on the 18th April 1842 -

6 - Do to the 2d Do on the 14th May Do -

7 - Deposition of the 1st witness dated 19th Do -

8 - English Arzee of the Defendant dated 20th Do -

Nine

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

No 9. Deposition of the 2no witness dated 24th and 27th May 1842 -

Two documents filed by Do on the 27th Do Vizt -

10- 1st Letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Ramachendroodoo dated 29th June 1839 -

11- 2d Note bearing the Seal and Signature of Rajah Manoor Vencanarow --

12- to 14- Three depositions of the 3d 4th and 5th witnesses dated 27th May 1842 -

15. English Argee from the Defendant dated Do -

16. Telugu Argee from the Defendant dated 27th and filed on the 31st Do --

17. English Do from Do dated 28th and filed Do --

18. Telugu Do - Do - Do -

Two documents filed by the 2no witness on the 6th June 1842 Vizt -

19- 1. Letter from Subarauze Ramiah to Condamoodi Ramachendroodoo

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

dated 22d June corresponding with Saturday the 11th increasing moon of Nija Jesta year Vekare --

20- 2d Do from Rajah Manoor Vencanna row to Garchapati Venkataroydoo dated 23d October 1839 -

Charge.

For having in the latter end of June 1839 when Naib Serestadar of the Guntoor Collectorate received through Bundaru Rajeshewarow from Rajah Manoor Vencannarow zemindar of Chilkaloor paid one thousand rupees as a bribe to secure generally his good offices in the Hazoor Cutcherry --

The accused pleads not guilty --

The informant in this case is Naputy Shashagerry row the present Head Serestadar who addressed to the late Acting Collector Mr Mathison an argee -- dated the 16th April 1842 stating that the

13257 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

the zemindar Venkannarow had caused a thousand Rupees to be given to the accused when he was at Rajahpett for the purpose of settling the Jummahbundy of Porlour and Bellurcondah for Fusly 1248 — On the 18th he filed a Memorandum dated the 16th containing the names of five witnesses to prove the charge —

The evidence in support of the charge consists of two witnesses who depose to having seen the thousand Rupees delivered to Rajeshwar row for the accused by the zemindars Dewan Sumbarayze Ramiah, another, who asserts that he heard the circumstance mentioned next day by one of those witnesses, and communicated it 2 or 3 days after to N. Sashagherry Row, though not to the Collector, — Two documents Nº 1 dated 29th June 1839, purporting to be a letter from the Dewan Ramiah to Endamoodie Ramachendroodoo than a Vakeel in the zemindars employ mentioning that he sent therewith a

13258 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

a "Muktsara" Chitt, which was to be shewn and retained, as the Bunder people were not to be trusted, and Nº 2 the enclosure of the above a note bearing the seal and signature of Manoor Venkannarow, but without the name of the person addressed and saying that 1000 Rupees has been sent through Rajeshwarrow, according to promise and begging to be informed through the bearer of this note, whether the money has been received: and the evidence of the same Ramachendroodoo, who produces these letters, and declares that they were sent to him in order to ascertain from S. Venkatakrishna Row whether the money had reached him, and to obtain his aid in procuring a decision according to the zemindars wish in a police case then pending, and that Venkatakrishna Row then acknowledged the receipt of the money but declined to give the assistance solicited —

The

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

The accused rests his defence on the following consederations —

1 The contradictions and discrepancies of the witnesses 2. That at the time referred to he had no interference with Magisterial business — 3. That from the 23d June till the 3d September 1839 he was at Guntoor and not absent as deposed by C. Ramachendroodoo. 4. That the note bearing the zemindary seal and signature is a fabrication (though he does not dispute that the signature and seal are those of Venkunnadow) as appears from its form and that the writer if induced by caution to omit the name of the person addressed, would not insert that of Rajeshwar Row, and lastly that the charge has been got up by his deadly enemy and reval and supported by witnesses of bad character actuated by personal animosity to him —

It is to be observed that — the only link in the evidence to fasten — the

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

the charge upon S. Venkatakrisnatra row, is that of the witness C. Ramachendroodoo. The preceding evidence even if true reaches no farther than Rajeshwar row, Now Ramachendroodoo on his own shewing has been employed as a mercenary agent in corrupt intrigue. It is therefore very credible that he may be now performing a similar service. Rajeshwar row having been forwarded to the Joint Criminal Court on a serious charge for which he has been committed to the Circuit Sessions has not been examined either as witness or defendant —

The document No 1 seems unquestionably genuine. It proves beyond a doubt an iniquitous intrigue and attempt to tamper with the Magisterial Servants on the part of the zemindar and his Dewan. It shews moreover the value of the same Ramachendroodoo depositions before Mr. Goldingham on the 30th December 1840. There is however nothing to shew that S. Venkat krisnatra Row was

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

was among those to be corrupted - Rama-
chendroodoo even denies that he promised
any assistance -

The very first sight of the
document No 2 suggested that the seal
and signature might originally have belonged
to another writing, which having been clipped
off the blank part of the reverse sheet had
been filled up so as to tally with Ramah's
letter - a difficulty occurred in explaining
why in a fabrication the case should be
complicated by introducing the name Rajeshwar
row - This explanation however is supplied
by reference to the papers forwarded by Mr.
Goldingham to the Board 16th December
1840 from which it appears that Rajeshwar
row took an active part in supporting the
charge of malversation in the Palnaud Jumma
bundy against the informant in the present case.
The supposition that this document is a
forgery is much strengthened by the strong pre-
sumption of a precisely similar imposture
in another charge brought forward by

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

by the same person against the same
defendant -

Under these circumstances and
adverting further to the fact that Naputy
Sheshagerry row is alleged in this case
to have known of the charge nearly three
years before bringing it forward, the accused
is acquitted -

Guntoor
Collr's Cutcherry
23d September 1842.

[Signed] H. Stokes
Collector -

No 5

To The Collector in the zillah of
Guntoor -

The humble Petition
addressed by submissive
Venkatakrishna row
Ameen of the Rackoor
Talook under suspension -

Most Honored Sir,

As your honor has closed
the trial of the charge preferred by Davaloor
Jagunnaickdoo renter of Cascurroo a Village in
the Chilkaloorpaud Talook, complaining as if
he had given me a bribe of Rupees 100 for the
purpose of relieving him from the responsibility
of

of the rent. I beg most submissively to give in my defence as follows-

The whole subject of this charge is totally false and is only a fruit of my rivals tricks -

The petition of the Complainant is as I am given to understand, in the hand writing of Condamoody Soodursanum a principal witness and the author of the two charges preferred by Naputty Sashagherry Row Purtoloo Head Sherestadar himself as if I had received bribes from the late Rajah Maunoor Venkunnarow, into different instances Viz^t. - Rupees 1,000 once, and Rupees 800, another time - The complainant in his deposition before your honor yesterday did however conceal the name of the writer. If your honor compare the hand writing, it will satisfy your honor that the chain of intrigues in all the cases against me is the same -

The complainant in his first petition declared that he paid me a bribe of Rs. 100 thro' the Curnum and Mohatad of the Village -

In his deposition he states that he did

did pay nothing to me either directly or indirectly, but that the Curnum having told him while he was in a severe illness that the expenditure of some money will effect permission for the cutting of the Crop, he told him to try in the Village and effect the same - Your honor is aware of how long since he escaped the service of your honors summonses, how he has been lastly met with and at what hesitation after all the means to escape telling truth he gave the deposition - He however admitted of his having come once to Guntoor during the interval of his affected absence -

Among the two witnesses he named in his petition, the Curnum deposed that he knew nothing of the alleged payment, but that the complainant having told him that he paid to me Rupees 100, he inserted the same in his account -

The second one Mohatad denied any knowledge of the act altogether -

The state of the record is itself

itself sufficient to prove the incorrectness of the charge. It only remains for me to represent that it has been fraudulently contrived by my rivals like the other charges to bring me into a risk.

The particulars thereof I have already detailed in my petition of the yesterdays date. In proof thereto, the complainant himself admitted that he came here once about 8 days ago. The handwriting of the petition, tho' dessembled by the complainant will if compared be proved to be that of Condamoody Soodursanum.—

In the present state of the intrigues of my rivals. I am helpless but depend upon the God and next the Justice of your honor. Consequently, I most strenuously implore your clemency to consider well into the position of my rivals' intrigues and the merits of this and the other charges and afford me Justice.—

Guntoor, 4th October 1842. } [Signed] Subnevis Venkatakrishna row.

Decision

No 6.

Decision passed by the Collector in the zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX of 1822.

Davuloor Jagannaikuloo. Complainant

Subnevis Venkatakrishna row. Defendant.

Witnesses

1st Chintalapady Soobayya.

2d Sheik Mohaddeen.

Record.

No 1. Complaint No 9 preferred by the Complainant dated 8th and filed on the 10th April 1842.—

2. Enclosure of Do being a complaint No 1454 preferred to the late Collector dated 28th December 1841.—

3 & 4. } Two summonses issued to the Complainant and witnesses on the 7th June 1842.—

5. Kyfeyet given by the Curnums of Casucuroo on the 8th Do.—

6. Kyfeyet given by the Curnums of Boda-padu on the 9th June 1842.—

Copy.

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

7. Copy of the Order No. 310. issued to the Ameen of Chilkaloorpaud dated 21st June 1842.—
8. Arzee No 190 from Do. dated 5th July 1842.—
9. Copy of the order No 1 to Do. dated 12th Do.—
10 & 11. Depositions of the 1st and 2nd witnesses dated 18th Do.—
12. Summons issued to the Complainant on the 28th September Do.—
13. Deposition of the Complainant dated 3d October 1842.—
14. Datto of the Defendants dated Do.—
15. English defence of Do. dated Do.—
16. Telugu Do. of Do. dated 4th Do.—

Charge.

For having when Ameen of Chilkaloorpaud about the 20th January last received from the Complainant a bribe of 100 Rupees to relieve him from the responsibility of the rent of the Village of Casucurroo in the Chilkaloorpaud Estate

The

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

The accused pleads not guilty.—

The Complainant presented an arzee to Mr. Mathieu on the 10th April 1842 stating that being desirous of being relieved of the rent of the Village of Casucurroo he applied to yegneshwara Anadanalu thenameen of Chilkaloorpaud, who advised him to give 100 Rupees to Venkatkrestna row, who was succeeding to the charge, and also gave him a letter to Venkatkrestna row which he sent with 100 Rupees of the Village Collections to be delivered by the Curnum Doobaya and Mohtad to the accused at Guntoor.

The accused received the money and promised to get the order issued as desired, but he afterwards neglected to do so.—

On the 7th June 1842 a summons was issued to the Complainant, which was returned on the 13th that he was not found.— an order to procure his attendance

was

was sent to the Ameen on the 21st June, who, on the 5th July reported that he could not be found, but after some delay sent the Curnum and Mohtad, who attended the Cutcherry 18th July, and were examined the same day. The Curnum Soobaya deposed that he had neither given, nor seen any one give a bribe to Venkatkristna row. But that in the month of April 1842, Jagannaikulo told him he had on the 20th January paid 100 Rupees out of the Village Collections to Venkatkristna row. for which he had got no receipt, and that the accounts should be written accordingly. That Soobaya objected, but the renter over-ruled his objection saying he alone was responsible for the Collections and payments. Soobaya then made out an account exhibiting this sum as a defalcation and gave it to the Deputy Ameen.—

The

The Mohtad denied all knowledge of the payment of the bribe.—

On the 4th October, Jagannaiken too for the first time attended, and deposed that he had applied to Devursee Luchmi Narayn Ameen, to get him relieved from the rent, who took a deposition from him, that two months afterwards at the season of cutting the Crops. the Curnum asked him to get permission for cutting the Crops. and said that if some expense were incurred, Yegneshwara Anadanala the ameen lately arrived would give permission. that he agreed and told the Curnum to manage it as he best could, that he does not know what was done accordingly. That he neither paid nor caused to be paid any bribe to the accused.

The accused in his defence points out the descrepancies in the statements of the Complainant and witness Soobaya and the absence of proof against himself,

and

17 Nov. for Dec. 1842 Gnt

13271 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

and expresses his belief that the argee dated 8th April presented by the Complainant is in the writing of Condamoode Sudursanum -

It is found by the records that the following was the succession of Ameens in charge of Chilkalonpaud -

28th January 1841 - Deveresee Luchmie Narayn -

1st December " Colloor Shashagerry rows -

4th Ditto. Yegneshwara Anadanala -

7th January 1842 Subnemiss Venkatakistna rows -

28th February - Deveresee Luchmie Narayn -

15th April - Akharaj Boochaya -

There being thus no evidence against the accused, he is acquitted -

Guntoor, Collectors Cutcherry, 5th October 1842. } Signed H. Stokes Collector -

13272 Fort St George 27th October 1842.

No 7

To

The Acting Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor

Most Honored Sir,

Defence given by Subnemiss Venkata kistnarow -

As your honor is going on with the investigation of the point of forty Pagodas and a pair of bullocks mentioned in the petition of the four Complainants Viz. Cuncherla Cakeera. Raka Jaloy Uddrungoonta Veerusspah, and Dasaree Colusspah presented to the late Acting Collector, under date the 2nd April last, to have paid to me by Raka Nagoy, in effecting his proposal, preferred before that of themselves, who made a high bidding for the Abkary of this town, I beg leave to bring the fact of the matter to your honors consideration as follows -

The Complainants in their petition alluded to, have in praying the resumption of Naugays rent, and the conference thereof upon them, made an allusion out of the inclination of misleading the Gentlemen, under the incitement of my rivals, that I received 10 Pagodas and 2 bullocks of Naugoy, but they did never pray a trial into it - were the point certain, why would they not have mentioned

mentioned that they will prove the same
It was therefore only to be considered upon the
said petition, as to the competency or other=
wise of rectifying the lease. The late
Acting Collector, setting aside the specific point
prayed for, prevailed upon the allusion made by
way of discourse, and denominated it to be a
charge against me as he had inserted in his report
to the Board. This act will serve to put your
honor in the Knowledge of the trick played by
my rivals, N. Aashageryrow the Head Sheristadar
&ca against me.

On your honors interrogating the
Complainants Vizt. the first Complainant Con=
=cherlah Pakeeroo, in writing, and the other three
verbally, they have declared, that they have come
to know this point by the speech of the witnesses
they named and that they have no any other
proofs to adduce to it.

In the deposition your honor obtained
from me, I have declared the reality. That is the in=
=certainty of the bribe, and that I have under an in=
=formation that Naugoy got a pair of bullocks
destined to sell, send for them to the office during
the month of September last, and received
them

them at a price of Rupees 55, paying the
amount to the owner thro' Guntoor Chelliah
and receiving a receipt thereto. The receipt
I have also produced to your honor.

Your honor having sent for Naugay
and questioned him on it, he has also declared
the truth stating that he paid no bribe and
that the bullocks are sold at a proper price
which he has immediately received.

It is an unheard piece of
novelty that charges preferred by others should
prevail upon while the alleged giver
denies it totally.

Your honor has investigated
the 3 Witnesses named by the Complainants
Vizt. Raugum Veerasa, Muckana
Parumtaloo, and Sanackayala Ummiah.
Among whom Raugum Veerasa, is the
father of Chintaloo who lately pre=
ferred a false charge against me in
the Magisterial Department Sanac=
=kayala Ummiah is a principal
instrument of the dispute lately taken
place

place in this town between the right and
left hand castes, and received chastisement,
by fine or security or both. Muckanal Carun-
=taloo is known to be a Gardener under
Cundalla Sashacharloo. I do not know
how the complainants and the above two
Witnesses have procured him for false
evidence, but he is not a person who has
any reason of an access to my Bungalow.

Sanackayala Ummiah did
not, but state that he has seen a bundle
of Pagodas with Naugoy and heard him say
that he has paid them to me As he did not
declare to have seen me receive the money.
I am persuaded that an argument upon
his deposition in respect to this point,
is of no importance.

Veerasa, and Paruntaloo state
that they saw Naugoy pay me the Pagodas
Complainants mention in their plaint
that Veerasa was a security for them
in the proposal they tendered for the
rent of the Abkarry. This Veerasa and
Naugoy are long since enemies to each
other.

The 5th Witness

other. It is worthy of consideration how far
it may be confided in, that Naugoy has paid
a bribe in the presence of Veerasa (who is
enemy and who has caused a high bedding
on his profession and who became himself
the Security) in order to reject the offer
brought up by means of the said Veerasa
and effect his own.

Veerasa deposes that as he and
Paruntaloo were sitting on the stairs of my
Bungalow, Raka Naugoy came thither.

Paruntaloo deposes that him-
=self and Veerasa were standing holding
the gate of the north side of my Bungalow
and that Naugoy came thither after a
quarter of an hour.

Ummah deposes that Naugoy
went into my Bungalow, and both Veerasa
and Paruntaloo were at that time standing
on the stairs out of the same.

Whereas Veerasa deposed that
I was sitting in a room on the weste side
of my Bungalow (which has a door on the
north side thereof) in the north side
therein, Paruntaloo deposes that I was
sitting

sitting in the room of the North side on a chair placed at the South side.

Whereas Veerasa deposed that when himself and Parumtaloo wanted leave to go away. I bade them go, telling that I shall send the order on the matter of betel, Parumtaloo deposes that Veerasa asked me that no order is given to the rent of the betel duty, and that I have told them to go away, and I shall send the order.

No reason is given in those depositions for the attendance of Parumtaloo at my Bungalow.

The above two witnesses state that as Nagoy was returning back after paying the Pagodas to me, and called him back and demanded for the bullocks, and that he said he will send them to me Ummiah deposes that on the next or the after day he has seen the bullocks in my compound. These circumstances shew that I have received the bullocks about the same period on which the Abkarry rent has been passed I recollect that the rent is passed on the 12th July. After two months, or during September, I have purchased

purchased these bullocks. This statement is already corroborated by the receipt I produced and by the deposition of Nagoy Several persons have also acquainted with this.

Besides this, the circumstance of the above Abkarry rent came to my knowledge, after some communication between Mr. Goldingham and the Amuen took place either verbally, or in writing and the matter settled, when the Gentleman sent a note to me for the purpose of preparing order. On the receipt of the note, the order might have been prepared. I have already shewn to your honor the note and trust that the construction of the note has put your honor in the knowledge of Mr. Goldingham's opinion that the recovery of the revenue will be hardsome if these Complainants are to be placed in the possession of the rent

Since 8 or 9 years past the Abkarry of this town is rented out to Raka Nagoy. Between him and the

the betel traders. Rangum Veerasa &co. there having existed an enmity they have undertaken each others' profession during the last fusly by high bidding the same some outwardly and some inwardly to others. Thus Rangum Veerasa &co. rented the Abkarry and Raka Naugoy &co. the betel duty. This rigorous intrusion has occurred a decrease in the betel duty during the Current fusly and the destruction of several Cala trees subject to the Abkarry revenue Mr. Goldingham in consideration of the above circumstances thinking that if the Abkarry is rented out to these complainants the revenue arising therefrom will by degrees be dimenished and that its revenue to the Current fusly cannot without difficulty be realized, has rented out for the Current fusly, the Abkarry to Naugoy and the betel duty to Veerasa &co.

In the Current fusly Naugoy has got the Abkarry rent at a high bidding of 5 or 6 hundreds of Rupees beyond the last fuslies rent. This high bidding evinces that the whole of this amount was in the last year enjoyed by the complainants and the Veerasa &co. as an advantage

While

While these Veerasa &co. who enjoyed such an abundant benefit during the last fusly and who obtained the betel rent for the Current fusly at a reduced rate than that of the last year have paid me nothing, Why Naugoy who bid at so large an amount would pay me any thing.

While it is the case, the complainants who bear ferocity of their not having got the Abkarry rent and Rangum Veerasa who is their security and the father of Chinda Loo who preferred lately a false charge against me in the Magisterial Department as well as Unmiah who received Chastisement for having joined the conspiracy of the Caste dispute having combined together at the encouragement of my rivals, became some of them complainants and the others witnesses. and contrived this false complaint. It is also understood that among the complainants Roka Patoy and Vuddimgoontah Veerusspah having clandestinely brought some arrack lately were committed to the Criminal Court and punished there consequently I beg your honor will understand

the

the betel traders. Rangum Veerasa &co these having existed an inmity they have undertaken each others' profession during the last fusly by high bidding the same some outwardly and some inwardly to others. Thus Rangum Veerasa &co rented the Abkarry and Raka Naugoy &co the betel duty. This rigorous intrusion has occurred a decrease in the betel duty during the Current fusly and the destruction of several Cala trees subject to the Abkarry revenue Mr. Goldingham in consideration of the above circumstances thinking that if the Abkarry is rented out to these complainants the revenue arising therefrom will by degrees be dimenished and that its revenue to the Current fusly cannot without difficulty be realized, has rented out for the Current fusly the Abkarry to Naugoy and the betel duty to Veerasa &co.

In the Current fusly Naugoy has got the Abkarry rent at a high bidding of 5 or 6 hundreds of Rupees beyond the last fuslies rent. This highbidding evinces that the whole of this amount was in the last year enjoyed by the complainants and the Veerasa &co as an advantage

While

While these Veerasa &co who enjoyed such an abundant benefit during the last fusly and who obtained the betel rent for the Current fusly at a reduced rate than that of the last year have paid me nothing, Why Naugoy who bid at so large an amount would pay me any thing.

While it is the case, the complainants who bear ferocity of their not having got the Abkarry rent and Rangum Veerasa who is their security and the father of Chintaloo who preferred lately a false charge against me in the Magisterial Department as well as Unniah who received Chastisement for having joined the conspiracy of the Caste dispute having combined together at the encouragement of my rivals, became some of them complainants and the others witnesses and confirmed this false complaint. It is also understood that among the complainants Roka Tatoy and Vuddungoontah Veerusspah having clandestinely brought some arrack lately were committed to the Criminal Court and punished there consequently I beg your honor will understand

the

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

the uncertainty of the charge and the mis behaviour of the Complainants and witnesses and pass an equitable decision.

Guntoor 6th June 1842.

(Signed) Subv. Venkatakistnarow

No. 8

Decision passed by the Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX of 1822.

1st Cunchelah Faqueera
2d. Rikha Tatiah
3d. Uddemgoontah Veerapah
4th Dasare Kotapah
} Complainants

Subnavis Venkatkrishnarow Defendant.

Witnesses

1st. Raugum Veerasha
2nd Munkana Parundaloo
3d. Sanakayala Ammaya.
4th Rokha Nagaya.

Records.

No. 1 Complaint No. 8 preferred by Cunchelah Faqueera & Co. 4 in number dated 28th March 1842 and filed on the 2nd April 1842.

" 2. Summons issued to the above Complainants on the 1st June 1842

Summons

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

3 Summons issued to the 1st 2d and 3d Witnesses on the 3d June 1842.
4. Do issued to the 4th Do on the 3d Do.
5. Deposition of the 1st complainant Faqueerah dated 3d Do.
6. Do of the 3d Witness dated Do
7. Do of the 4th Do dated Do
8. Do of the Defendant dated Do
9. Receipt filed on Do by Do dated 27th September 1841.
10. Deposition of the 1st Witness dated 4th June 1842.
11. Do of the 2d Do dated Do
12. Defence of the Defendant, English dated 6th and filed on the 7th Do.
13. Do Do Telugu dated Do and Do
14. Copy of the Arzee No. 1 dated 12th July 1841. from the auneen of Guntoor Tanah and received on the 7th June 1842. with the following 3 Enclosures.
15. Copy of a letter from Guntoor Chelumah to Guntoor Ameen dated 11th July 1841.
16. Do of Do from the complainants to Do on the Do
17. Statement of offers for the alkarry rents.
18. Copy of the order No. 1 issued to the Ameen

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

Ameen of Guntoor Tanah dated 12th July 1841

19. Arzee No. 3 from the Ameen of Do. Dated 14th Do. and received on the 16th of the same Month.

Charge

For having received on or about the 13th July 1841 forty Pagodas equivalent to one hundred and sixty Rupees, and some time after a pair of bullocks worth one hundred Rupees from Rekha Nagaya as a bribe for procuring for him the Abkari rent of the Guntoor Tanah for Fusly 1251. at a lower offer then had been received from the complainants he being then Nail Sheristadar of the Huzzoor Cutcherry in Guntoor.

The Defendant pleads not guilty

The charge is brought in this case by the persons who held the Guntoor Tanah Abkari rent for Fusly 1250 who presented an Arzee dated the 28th March 1842. They say that on the rent for Fusly 1251. being put up for sale they bid up to Rupees 2760. when Rekha Nagaya declined bidding more, that they tendered

security

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

security and caused to lie Deposited Rupees 800 that Subnevis Venkatakrishna row promised to secure them the rent if they agreed to give him two hundred and fifty Rupees which they declined that then Nagaya gave the bribe, as stated in the Charge and obtained the rent for two thousand seven hundred and fifty Rupees.

The first and second Witnesses, depose that they saw Nagaya go into Venkatkrishnarow's house, and while they were standing at the door give him a Number of Pagodas which he had tied up in a piece of Cloth and that they heard him say, when asked how many he had brought that there were forty. They also state they heard him at the same time promise to bring the bullocks in a few days.

The third Witness deposes that about 10 or 11 Months ago, he had a conversation with Rekha Nagaya at the door of S. Venkatakrishnarow's house that he saw him enter the house with a small bundle which as the cloth was thin, he saw to contain Pagodas

Pagodas and when he came out he said he had given them for the purpose alleged in the charge and intended to give also the bullocks-

Rekha Nagaya, on being examined denies that he gave any bribe but admits that he sold to the accused for fifty five Rupees, which sum he received by an order on Chelmaya his security a pair of bullocks of which he had purchased one three seasons before for 12 Pagodas or forty eight Rupees and the other a season later for 11 Pagodas or forty four Rupees- He says they were in high condition when he bought and in very bad when he sold them, which was the reason he sold them at a loss of thirty seven Rupees-

The accused in his defence admits that he purchased from Rekha Nagaya a pair of bullocks for fifty five Rupees and produces the receipt of Guntoor Chelmaya through whom he paid the money dated the 27th September 1841- He rests his defence chiefly on the contradictions

contradictions of the witnesses who depose to his taking the Pagodas, their enmity to him for various alleged reasons and the improbability of Nagayas giving a bribe so openly in the presence of the 1st witness his enemy and competitor for the rent- He refers to an English note or Memorandum addressed to him by the Collector Mr. Goldingham on the 12th July 1841- to the effect that it appears from the Head of Police's report that the bidding of Rekha Nagaya is only 10 Rupees below that of the other parties that there is no use in running up the rent to an amount that cannot be collected and that unless they deposit a thousand Rupees before evening the rent may be given to Nagaya-

On referring to the official correspondence with the Guntoor Ameen on the subject of the rent it appears that that officer reported on the 12th July 1841- first in person

and

and then by Arzee to the Collector the offers that had been made respectively by the two parties and that an order was issued the same day to the same effect as the English Memorandum above cited. In another report of the Ameen dated the 14th July 1841 it is stated that Sagueera and the others had failed to make up the required deposit of 1000 Rupees and had said they did not want the rent whereupon Nagaya had been put in possession.

There are certain features in this case which give a considerable degree of probability to the charge It is well known that the giving of a douceur to the principal Huzzoor servants is a common practice among Native renters on their obtaining a rent. Here the probability of such a douceur is strengthened by the circumstance

of

of a lower bidding being accepted to the rejection of the higher one. Mr. Goldinghams English Note to S. Venkatakristnarow might aid him in stipulating for some private consideration from the successful candidate while, supposing the charge true, the manner in which the bullocks are said to have been purchased and paid for, by an order on the renters security might be a convenient mode of covering a corrupt transaction. On the other hand much weight is attached to the want of character of the witnesses the evident share taken in bringing forward this and other similar complaints by Venkatakristnarows powerful enemy and rival, and especially to the incredibility of the supposition

13289 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

supposition that Nagaya would give or S. Venkatakrishnarow would receive a bribe in the manner described, while the disappointed and injured competitor for the rent was looking on. While therefore the veracity of the witnesses in this case is not such as to warrant the conviction of the accused as respects the receipt of the Pagodas yet his conduct in purchasing from a renter not very long after the conclusion of the contract a pair of bullocks on very favorable terms cannot be pronounced free from suspecion or undeserving of censure.

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry 23d September 1842

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Collector

13290 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

No. 9

Decision passed by the Acting Head Assistant Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX of 1822.

Sirkar ..... Complainant.

Subnevis Venkata krishnarow. Defendant

Witnesses

1st Ganyam Pedda Shashiah.
2nd Atla Cotappah.
3d Ganjam china Sashiah.
4th Corutlah Cotapah.
5th Takeerah.

Record.

No. 1 Arzee No. 6 from the 1st and 4th Witnesses dated 28th march and filed on the 2d April 1842.

2 to 5. Four depositions of the 1st and 2nd 3d and 4th Witnesses dated 10th May Do....

6. Deposition of the 5th Do. dated 11th Do. Charges that one Atla Cottayah having brought a Complaint against the Chinna Ganyam Tannahdar D. Sutchmenarainappa to the effect that he had unjustly given away his field to one Yerra Aukayah was fined

Fort St. George 27th. October 1842

fined for the same by the Magistrate, that Kristna Row threatened to send him to Court, but on his giving him a bribe of 3000 Rupees, he has hid up the Matter.—

4. Witnesses depose to having heard Cotayah say that he had given Krishna Row the Money.—

Cotayah denies having given the money, also say even said that he had given it.

The case is dismissed for want of proof.—

11th May 1842.— / Signed / A. Hathaway
a: Head Asst. Coll.r

No. 10

To the Collector in the Gillah of Guntoor.

The humble representation of Subnevisse Venkatakristnarow.

Most Honored Sir,

With respect to the charge preferred by one Autla Vencatareddy of Canagaula, against me as if I received of him a bribe of Rupees 100, your honor having questioned me on the 17th. Instant to state if the case is fact or otherwise, I have declared the utter falsity of the charge, and wanted to give further explanation on the origin thereof

Fort St. George 27th. October 1842

thereof, after perusing the whole of the papers connected thereto. Having accordingly perused the first petition of the above individual of date the 29th. March, his Second one dated the 29th. Instant, and his deposition of the 17th. Instant, beg leave to give the following information of the intrigue and conspiracy of my rivals which conveyed this false and unfounded Charge.—

The Complainant, in his first petition†, states that an apprehension of the commitment to the criminal Court. he was threatened with by the Head of Police of Vellatoor Tanah; owing to my ferocity against him, and a hope of regaining possession of the Village Moonsiff situation of his late father in which he failed, has extorted the Rupees 100. from him.—

† see petition dated 29th March.

Do.

He says that he see no reason of my enragement of him.—

Your honor having questioned him in what matter, on what Charge, and in what kind of Offence you were threatened with the commitment, he says he does not know but

* See his deposition of date the 17th. Instant.—

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

but that the Head of Police having told him that he will be sent to the Court, he paid the Rupees 100 to me

While I was a revenue officer who had no interference at all with the Police affairs, why an apprehension of being committed to the Criminal Court would draw him to me with bribe.—

Besides this why would he while (as he admits) he did come to no knowledge of any charge, he will be committed under, venture to give bribe to any one?—

Further as he admits that he see no reason of my fury against him, why would he for nothing fear of me without the least cause.—

It is sure that the Ameen of Vellatoor addressed a petition declaring something for the incompetency of this individual to the Village Moonsiff situation and recommending some other, but I recollect that it is immediately answered in opposition to the opinion of the Ameen quoting certain regulations or orders of the Government

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

in support thereto.— If they are perused, I hope that they will confirm your honor in the belief of my independency on any part.—

This Village Cangala is one subject to the Bauputla Tanah which was managed by Tota Kristnamah during the Zemindar's management.— As this individual is intimate to the said Kristnama, he, as well as my other rivals (his accomplices) have created this false charge. The above inference is still more positively confirmed by his keeping himself off from appearance for months without being served with your honor's summonses and now deposing that the witnesses are in distant Country as they (my rivals) became sensible that their tricks cannot take effect under your honor and that the witnesses cannot as they promised them be provided for with services, without which for themselves or any of their connexions or even a prospect of its fulfilment, they would not countenance to the false oath.

oath my rivals induce them to.—

From the foregoing circumstances, I most humbly beg that your honor will not only dismiss the charge but also inflict an exemplary punishment on the false accuser.—

Guntoor.....
26th August 1842. } /Signed/ Subr. Vencata Kristna Row.

No. 11.

Decision passed by the Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX of 1822.

Mada Venkat Reddi..... Complainant.
Subraniss Venkat Kristnarow.. Defendant.

Witnesses.

1st. Gandapilli Appaya.—
2d. Yellapragada Brummaya.—

Records.

No. 1. Complaint No. 4 preferred by Alda Venkat reddi dated 29th March and filed on the 2nd April 1842.
2. Summons ispued to the Complainant and others on the 7th April 1842.
3 Do. to do. on the 7th June 1842.
4 Kyfeyet from the Curnums of Canagal dated 10th and filed on the 18th Do.—

Copy

No. 5. Copy of the order No. 402 ispued to the Aumeen of Rachoor Tanah dated 21st June 1842.—
6. Summons ispued to the Complainant on the 27th July. Do.
7. Kyfeyet from the Officiating Village Mansiff of Canagal dated 28th do. and filed on the 5th Augt. do.
8. Do. from the Curnums of do. dated 1st and filed on do.—
9 Do. from the Curnum of do. dated 4th and filed do.—
10 Arzee No. 470 from the Complainant dated 7th and filed 9th do.—
11 Summons ispued to do. on the 11th do.
12. Deposition given by do. on the 17th August and 4th October 1842.—
13 Do. given by the Defendant on the 17th August do.—
14. English defence from the Defendant dated 26th August 1842.—
15. Telugu of do. from do. dated Do.—
16. Summons ispued to the Complainant on the 28th September do.
17. Do. to the 1st and 2nd witnesses on Do.—

Deposition

18 Deposition given by the 1st witness on the 4th October 1842.

19 Copy of the Arzee No. 26 from the Head of Police of Villatoor dated 21st October 1841.

20 Do. of the order No. 22 to do. dated 27th do.

21 Do. of the Arzee No. 43 from do. dated 30th November do.

22 Do. of the Order No. 52 to do. dated 7th December Do.

Charge

For having in October or November 1841, when Deputy Serishtadar, received from the Complainant a bribe of one hundred Rupees under pretence of procuring his nomination to succeed his father as Village Moonsiff of Canagalah and of saving him from being forwarded to the Court on a Criminal Charge.

The accused pleads not guilty.

The Complainant in an arzee dated the 29th March and presented to Mr. Mathison on the 2nd April 1842, stated, that from some grudge towards him from causes unknown,

S. Venkat

S. Venkat Krishnarow who professed the Collector's confidence had told Waddamudi Ramaya Head of Police of Vellatoor and in Venkat Krishnarow's interest to injure him; who in consequence got the Curnums to report groundlessly that Venkat Redde was unfit to hold the office of Village Moonsiff, and afterwards gave him to understand that he was likely to be forwarded to the Court on a Criminal charge, unless he gave a hundred Rupees to Venkat Krishnarow. To this he consented; when the Head of Police gave him a letter to Venkat Krishnarow, which he took to Guntoor, and gave with a hundred Rupees to the accused, and begged hard for his father's situation, but no order was ijued. In this arzee he names no witnesses.

On the 7th April he was summoned and attended on the 14th, but the case not having been taken up at that time, he returned after some days to his Village. He was again

summoned

summoned on the 7th June, and a return was made that he could not be found. another summons was ifsued on the 27th July, when a return was made that he was gone to the Masulipatam district. on the 11th August an arzee was received from him, stating that his witneſſes having gone to Madras and the Southward, he could not prove his charge, unleſs the trial were deferred for two months.—

On the 11th August a summons was again ifsued. He attended the Cutcherry on the 17th and in his deposition stated that Gaudapelli Appaya and Yellapragada Brummaya Brahmans of his Village had accompanied to Guntoor, and that they had given the letter and the money for him, to the accused, that they were still absent, and he could not say when they would return.—

Another summons having been ifsued for the two witneſſes on the 28th September, one of them

in the Village of Canagalah, and attended the Cutcherry on the 4th October, when he denied that he had any share in, or knowledge of the transaction which forms the subject of the charge.—

Venkat Reddi deposed —

that he had no other proof either oral or documentary to bring in support of his charge.—

On referring to the native records, a correspondence is found with the Head of Police of Vellatoor, beginning on the 21st October 1841.— from which it appears, that a report was made by the Curnums of Canagal that Venkat Reddi was suspected of causing posson (Visanabhi) to be given to a pariah man of the Village

named

Fort St. George 27th. October 1842

named Perada, who had been complained of having been severely beaten by his master. After a second reference to the Head of Police containing a reproof for his manner of treating this accusation, it is finally ordered by the Magistrate to be dismissed on the 7th. December.—

The accused in his defence submits that Venkat reddi would not bribe a revenue Officer to escape being sent to the Criminal Court; that the charge has been created by his rivals, and that the witnesses fearing they would not obtain the situations promised to secure their false testimony, had declined to give it.

There being thus no evidence to support the Statement of the Complainant, but a strong presumption that it was false and designed to be affirmed by two false witnesses, it does not appear necessary to wait for the deposition of Brummaya. The accused is acquitted.—

Guntoor
Coll. Cutcherry
5th. October 1842

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Fort St. George 27th. October 1842

No. 12.

To The Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor.

Most Honored Sir,

The humble Petition addressed by Subveniss Vencata Kristnarow.

In regard to the charge preferred by Custoory Lingiah and Veyennah of Coomgaula accusing me to have received of them a bribe of Rupees 100 under promise of giving the Village Moonoor situation to one of them, your honor has already investigated the matter fully and obtained my deposition too; but as I have declared in my deposition that I shall give further explanation of the origin of the Charge after a view of the whole record connected thereto, I now take the liberty of representing the following circumstances.

This Charge is utterly false as may be observed from the Proceedings itself.

They

They both professed the Charge — on the 29th March, one of them gaves his deposition on the 4th April and the other on the 16th. They both as well as the witness they named kept themselves off from appearance for several Months. At last the first complainant and the two Witnesses are apprehended and the 2d Complainant is still out of appearance. The first Complainant presented a petition on the 9th Instant setting forth that he cannot prove the Charge. He gave a deposition too in the same manner on the 11th. The two Witnesses they named have been on the 17th Instant tried. They denied all knowledge of the Charge.

The Misbehaviour of these individuals is admitted by themselves as they have declared that they have fabricated a false blame against one Nulla Vencatareddy of the same Village at the instigation of the then Head of Police of Vellatoor Tanah named Vuddlamoody Ramiah. This is sufficient to prove that they —

have

have now brought this false charge against — me at the instigation of my rivals.

The first complainant Singiah declares in the first deposition that the Village Moonsiff situation was pasticularly promised to himself at the alleged payment of the bribe.

† 16th April 1842

This individual was formerly Cusnum of Ilavarum he having been convicted of falsifying public accounts and appropriating public funds, has been † suspended from the Office as undeserving — of service.

† See Order No. 140 dated 14th June 1839 to the Rau = choor Au = = meen —

Would it be creditable that — he should be promised with the Village Police situation while he had been suspend = ed from such other Office for recorded — misconduct.

I recollect that the Ameen of Vellatoor Tanah addressed a Petition — stating that he made the Cusnums act for the Village Police, and that it — was objected to and his order — revoked.

There is not the least reality in this Charge, but that it has been falsely

falsely made out by the first complainant Singiah, who was originally ferocious of me for his having been suspended, and who has been instigated by my rivals.

Consequently I beg your honor will dismiss the charge and treat the Complainants as they deserve.

Guntoor 26th August 1842 } /Signed/ Subn Venkatakistna Row.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

No. 13

Decision passed by the Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor under Regulation IX of 1822.

1st Custoosi Singaya } Complainants.
2nd Do Veyana } Complainants.

Subvenis Venkatkrishnarow. Defendant.

Witnesses.

1st Custoosi Moottanah
2nd Do Viswanadum

Record.

No. 1 Complaint No. 3 presessed by the Complainants dated 29th March and filed on the 1st April 1842.

Deposition

No. 2. Deposition given by the 2nd Complainant on the 4th April 1842.

" 3 - Copy of the Summons issued to the Complainants &c on the 7th Do

" 4 - Deposition given by the 1st Complainants on the 16th Do

" 5 - Summons issued to the Complainants on the 7th June 1842.

" 6 - Do to the Witness on Do

" 7 & 8. Two Kyfeyets given by the Curnums of Canagal on the 10th Do

" 9 - Copy of the order No. 402 issued to the Ameen of Rachoor Tanah. dated 21st Do

" 10 - Summons issued to the Complainants on the 27th July Do

" 11 - Do to the Witnesses on the Do

" 12 to 14. Three Copies of the Kyfeyets given by the Curnums of Canagal on the 28th Do and 1st and 4th August Do respectively.

" 15 - Arzee No. 469 from the 1st Complainant dated 9th and filed on the 11th August Do

" 16 - Summons issued to the Witnesses on the 11th Do.

Deposition

No. 17. Deposition given by the 1st Complainant on the 17th and 17th August 1842.

" 18. Do. given by the 1st Witness on the 17th Do.

" 19. Do. Do. 2nd Do. on Do.

" 20. Do. of the Defendant dated Do.

" 21. Defence of Do. in Telugu dated 26th Do.

" 22. English of Do.

" 23. Copy of the orders No. 740 issued to the Ameen of Ruchoor Tanah dated 14th June 1839.

Charge.

For having about the Month of November 1841 when Deputy Sheristadar at Guntoor in his own house taken from the Complainants a bribe of one hundred Rupees under pretence of procuring for one of them his nomination to the Office of Village Moonsiff in the Village of Canagalah which he failed to do.

The accused pleads not guilty.

The Complainants in an Arzee dated

dated 29th March and presented the 1st April 1842 to Mr. Mathison, then Acting Collector, stated that Waddamudi Ramayya, then Head of Police of the Vellatoor Tanah, several Months before, sent for them; and told them that Subreyer Venkat Krishnarow had said if they would get up some complaint against Alada Venkat reddi the son of Choudry the Moonsiff of their Village an old man and then disabled by sickness; he would get another person appointed to that Office: that being afraid to disoblige their superiors, they drew up and sent in a Maksur; representing that Alada Venkat reddy was a man of bad character, and unfit to succeed to his father's Office; that some time afterwards, the same Ramayya told them that unless they gave a hundred rupees to S. Venkat Krishnarow, they could not get the nomination: that they accordingly raised the Money and getting a letter from Ramayya to the accused, brought it and the Money to Guntoor; and

presented

presented both. The accused promised to get the order of appointment in a few days, but neglected to do so, and soon after, Alada Venkat reddi visited him, after which the Complainants were refused admittance and went back in despair to their Village. that having seen that no redress was obtained either by Coturn Royalu Naidu or N. Sheshagery now when they complained against S. Venkat krishnasow, they had refrained from complaining till Mr. Mathesons' arrival.

Depositions from these two persons were taken by Mr. Mathison on the 14th and 16th April, when they stated the same circumstances with some additional details, and named two persons, Maottanah and Viswanadum, as eye witnesses to prove the delivery of the letter, and the payment of the bribe. They added that they understood the accused was displeased with Venkat reddi because he had conversed with Coturn Royalu Naidu when that individual passed through the Village of Canagal on his way to Madras. Lengaya further deposed that

that Venkat reddi was a man of good conduct and fit for the Office of Moonsiff in which he had acted for his father for a year.

In consequence of the examination into other heavy charges against Venkat krushnasow previous in order to this case, it was allowed to lie over and the parties returned to their Village. But on the 27th June they were again summoned. The return was that they could not be found with the exception of Lingaya who attended. On the 21st June an order was issued to the Ameen to endeavour to find the two Witnesses and cause their attendance, to which no reply was received, whereupon another summons was issued on the 27th July, which met with like success, when finally another was sent by one of the Huzoor Dobeyets on the 11th August; who found the Witnesses, and produced them in the Cutcherry on the 17th of that Month. Lingaya having previously attended on the 11th. Mean time on the 9th August an Arzee was received from Lingaya, stating that he could have proved his charge, if his Witnesses had been examined when he himself was. but that he should now be unable to do so. On being questioned on the subject of this Arzee, he was unable to show any good reason for his assertion, and admitted that he

he had no other proof oral or documentary to support his charge.

The Witnesses, who were examined as soon as they attended, both denied that they had any knowledge of the bribe, or that they had accompanied the Complainants to Guntoor as alleged by them.

In his defence the accused submits that the charge is without proof, that, as Lingaya on his own shewing, has been guilty of fabricating from interested motives a groundless accusation against Atada Venkatreddi, he is unworthy of credit on the present occasion, that he has been suspended from the Office of Cutmum for malversation, & that it was not to be expected he could have ban appointed Village Moonsiff, & that the Ameen's recommendation had been answered accordingly.

The charge is thus totally unsupported and there is reason to think it false. The introduction of the names of Royala Naidoo and N. Sheshagerry now induce a suspicion that like other complaints this has been brought forward under the influence of the rivals and enemies of the accused. It is obvious, that if the testimoney of the Witnesses had been of any value, it would have been as valuable taken when it was as if it had been taken under different circumstances at the time when the Complainant says he wished it to have been taken.

The accused is fully acquitted.

Guntoor Coll.rs Cutchery
27th September 1842.

/ Signed / H. Stokes.
Collector.

D.S.

No. 15.

Translation of the document filed by the Witness Condamudi Bhavaya in Case No. 1.

Address on the outside.

To Rajasri Condamudi Bhavaya garu
Guru Rijpad &c.
Gadost.

To Rajasri Condamudi Bhavayagaru ---.

After compliments - as mentioned to you lately, when you came here, we have sent eight hundred Rupees by the slave (Khasa) Kolaya - you must therefore have the Rupees presented to Rajasri S. Krishnarow Guntoor. You must also deliver to him the note which I have written to his address on this subject and must earnestly beg him to procure the issue of an Order to remove at least some of the Bund on the Western boundary of Pandrapad - You must look out for a suitable opportunity to deliver both the note and the money - Please to peruse. 21th September 1842 Sharvari Aschwija Shud. 1. Saturday.

(On the other side of the paper)

In case you have no means of sending I have written a Note to the Sastry send by him.

/ Signed / Ramaya.

Translation.

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

No. 17.

Translation of Note from Sambaraj Ramaya to Condamudi Ramachendrudoo - Filed by Ramachendroodoo on the 27th May 1842.

To Rajapujita Rajastree Cundamudi Rama-Chendroodoo.

Sambaraj Ramaya presents salutation.- You must write of your welfare.- Afterwards- The subject of your letter is understood - I have sent the arzee that I have written this Morning and that which the lady has written.- Regarding the arzee which is to be written to the elder Gen-tleman, I set out to day and am coming to Chilakalurpaud - I will prepare it tomorrow and get it presented the next day.- You must write what were the contents of the Yadast written by the young Gentle-man to the elder regarding the lady - I sent a short note (Mukteear) without name, having shewn get it backe - The Bunder people may not be trusted - You must looke out and speak regarding Ja-naikeeramu Case - I have written an arzee very strongly and will send it on Monday afternoon - Must get the decision delayed till then.-

June 29. 1839.

(Signed) Ramaya.

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

In case a promise be made to get a fine of even 100 Rupees imposed, if I be informed, I will write what is to be done for him - If they two Defendants be fined each fifty, I will write what is to be done for him - Write what takes place after giving the note - Same not willing to go above 250 Rupees.

No. 18

Translation of paper bearing seal and signature of Mānur Venkamma row.

After Compliments.

We sent to your presence by Bandaur Rajeshwar row the 1000 Rupees which were promised immediately to send when you come to Rajahpett, but not Know-ing whether the Rupees reached you - My request in this letter is this, we have sent a confidential person, the bearer of this Chitti. We shall be obliged to you to let us Know by him whether the Rupees reached you. As it rests with you for ever to take us with you (patronize) we pray you to send by bearer an answer to the letter already sent.-

you

You must also please to write an answer to this Mortab

Seal

Dasrat Rajah Manicar
Ven Rammarow

No. 19

Remarks on the case of the Complaint preferred by Ragam Chintalu dated 13th March 1842. against Venkat Krishna Row Subnavis 1st Unkala Pedda Potalu &c (2) Unkala Chinna Potalu (3) Unkala Pichagad (4) Chicca Subadu (5).- 6th May 1842.

This Complaint appears to comprehend 3 distinct charges-

1st

Against the 1st Prisoner for instigating the other 4 Prisoners for perpetrating an assault on the Prosecutor on the 28th December 1841.

2d

Against the Police authorities of the Gunteer Tanna for abuse of authority in the disposal of the above case-

3d

The interference of Venkat Krishna Row in the enquiry and the attempt to defraud Chintalu of the payment of duty on his betle nut and to

extort a bribe from him-

The 1st charge against the 2d 3d 4th and 5th prisoners is proved- It does not appear however from the report of the dresser that the injury received by the Prosecutor was more than that of bruises caused by the blows of sticks as those Prisoners have been in Confinement in the Cutwalls Choultry from the 11th April till the 2d May no further punishment is called for-

Against the 1st Prisoner the charge is not considered proved- The principal witness to prove that the other prisoners went from Venkat Krishna Rows house, namely the 3d Balehund does not seem entitled to credit- The other evidence is chiefly that of persons who say they heard the Chuckelers say that they had been employed by the 1st Prisoner- This if ever believed, would not be conclusive to fix the charge on him- The other Prisoners deny that they were employed by him, and the Prosecutor does not appear to have accused him either to the Magistrate, the Assistant Magistrate in Charge, or the late acting Magistrate for several days after his arrival-

The

The date of the offence is fixed to be the 28.th December 1841. The first occasion on which Venkat Krishna Row seems to have been accused is the 15.th March 1842. The first Prisoner is therefore acquitted.

2.d Charge.

1 Mudumbee Ramasami Head of Police.
2 Punganamamula Ramaya Peishcar of D.o
3 Sonnayajalu Appiah Police Madalgar.

For abuse of authority in the disposal of the above case.

It appears from the record that the 1.st charge was made before the Head of Police on the 28.th December immediately after the affray had occurred. Yet no enquiry was made into it and the Counter charge which was subsequently preferred is the only one examined. The Head of Police states that he was persuaded by a message or letter from Venkat Krishna Row first to delay the enquiry, then to decide to send Chintalu to Court, and then to release him on security. He says the Commitment was made out on the 7.th January but the next day he allowed Chintalu to be taken to Venkata Krishna Row's house. The sending to

to Court is deferred, but no report is made to the Magistrate till the 17.th January. This arzee the Head of Police states to have been drafted by another man. In it he proposes to fine each of 3 prisoners 3 Rupees, and to take security from them. An order was sent in reply to this arzee on the 24.th January, calling for explanation but no reply seems to have been made to it.

For all these gross irregularities, the Police Officers of Guntoor Zamindaries are alone in justice responsible. admitting Venkat Krishna Row's interference, as he was at that time Ameen of Rachoor with no authority whatever over the Guntoor Head of Police, there can be no excuse whatever for the latter allowing his interference and acting according to his wishes.

The Police Peishcar and Madalgar respectively admit their cognizance of and share in the irregularities. As they failed to protest against them or to bring them to the notice of the Magistrate, they are guilty to the extent of their respective

respective responsibilities.

They are therefore sentenced to pay fine respectively.

The Head of Police of Rupees 50

Peishear ——————— 9

Madaligar ——————— 5

The interference of Venkat Krishna Row does not seem to come under the Cognizance of the Magistrate under Section III Regulation III of 1819.

He was not exercising any authority. The exercise of his influence, though culpable, seems to come under the general control of the Collector over his Establishment and shall be brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue.

The Charge that he extorted a promise of bribe of 100 Rupees or that he neglected to pay the duty on the betelnut used by him, does not appear proved.

6th May 1842 } (Signed) H. Stokes

Magistrate.

Deposition

No. 20

Deposition of Ramasawmy family name Moodumbaarae son of Satchemeraraia a Bramin of the Vishnava Sect, Ameen of Mungalagherry, aged fifty four years, taken on the 12th April 1842 (corresponding with a Tuesday the 2d increasing moon of Chytrave year Soobacrooti)

I solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God that what I shall state, shall be the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

Q. In what language do you wish your deposition to be written.

A. In Teloogoo

Q. State what you know regarding the affray which occurred between the Prosecutor Rangum Chintalu and the Defendants Anakaluru Peda Poladoo, and others now present before the Magistrate.

A. The late Collector issued an order to me while Head of Police of Mungalagherry Tanah, to act as Head of Police of the Guntoor Tannah on the salary of the former Office during the time that I was according to the Police

Duties

Duties of the Gunloor Tannah, One day, towards the end of December about 1 Oclock P. M. the Prosecutor, his father, and Mother came to my house and complained that certain Checklers had attacked their shop and cruelly maltreated the Prosecutor. Having taken my meals, I came out, and told them I would enquire into the case after putting on my Turband and Jacket to go to the Cutcherry, as I reached the Gate, the said Ant Rauloo Pollado with about 30 others, whose names I am not acquainted with, came with two persons carried on Cots, and complained that Rauguna Chintoloo and others had maltreated them. When I was proceeding to the Tanah Cutcherry Popoory Canapriah met me opposite my gate, and said that the Defendants had maltreated the Prosecutor, and committed an affray - all those, whom I met in the Bazar on my way to the Cutcherry, told me that the Checklers had attacked the shop of the Rauguna Family, and cruelly maltreated them. On arrival at the Cutcherry I sent for two Peons B. Venkata Dos and Ally Beig, whose duty it is to prevent quarrels

quarrels in the Bazar and questioned them as to where they had been and why they had allowed a disturbance to take place in the Bazar. Ally Beig said that he had gone away to eat his rice. Venkata Dos said that he was present, that the dispute originated with the Rauguna people who had beaten the Checklers. In question which I put to him whether there were any other persons present at the time, he answered, that there were several, but that he could not recollect the names of any except that of Shaik Davood a Sayer Pygusti, who is a friend of his. I then took a deposition from the Prosecutor's father Veerasha. I do not recollect whether I took a deposition from any other person. The Checklers at the same time brought a charge which as it did not contain the names of the persons then present before me, I rejected telling them to make out and present another one. I recollect having taken Depositions from one or two

of

of the Checklers, but do not remember their names- they were unable to stand and appeared intoxicated- Whilst this was going on, I was called out of the Verandah, where I was sitting carrying business by a Braman named Maguntz Colapah, who is in the habit of frequenting Kristna Row's house, and waiting on him and whom I had occasionally met there- He said he had a request to make- I do not reflect that any note was brought to me- I then went inside and he told me that Subrow's Kristnarow Pantulu had desired him to tell me to make a short enquiry into the affray that had taken place between the Checklers and the Rangum family, that Rangum Chintaloo had become too proud, and that I ought to try the case carefully- to this, I replied that I would act as desired- that on examination, Rangum Chintaloo appeared to have been severly beaten- but that I would enquire into the matter properly and to report to Venkata Kistna row-

Colapah

J.S.

Colapah then left me- but owing to the number of persons present at that time, I did not see whether he remained or went to Kistnarow. As I did not consider it proper to continue the investigation without previously ascertaining the nature of the wounds on the persons of the prosecutor and Defendants, I sent a Memorandum (as usual) to the 1st Dresser Shaik Hommed desiring his immediate attendance. He however did not come, but the 2d Dresser Mooroogapah Modali, on my writing him a Separate Memorandum which he said was necessary, he said it was then evening- that he would make an examination and write a Statement on the Morrow- He then again came into the Cutcherry and having seen the Checklers said that he would make examination and write a Statement next morning as it was then late in the evening- I recollect that Rangum Chintaloo was confined to his house in consequence of severe ill treatment, but I do not remember having seen him at that time- The Dresser, when he spoke to me as above stated, desired

desired me to send to him in the morning, the Prosecutor Bangaru Chintaloo, and the Defendants the Checklers to enable him to examine them and write a Certificate - The next day I forwarded both parties in charge of a peon to the Dresser - It having been reported to me that the whole of the Checklers had collected together and were creating disturbances in the Bazar, I went to the Cutwal's Choultry and sending for the Cutwall as well as the Checklers, took an agreement from the latter that they would not create any disturbance - I at the same time warned them that the recurrence of such conduct would be visited with a suitable punishment - Having other current business to attend to, no enquiry was made into the case on that day - The following day, the Dresser Moorgappah Moodali sent in two Certificates - One to the effect that Bangaru Chintala has been severely beaten with sticks whereby he was very much bruised - the other - that the wounds on the persons of the Checklers were occasioned not by any

any maltreatment, but by scratches inflicted by themselves with some instrument or other I then stopped any further examination of the case for two or three days intending after investigation to punish both parties according to the powers vested on me, or else to report the case to the Magistrate and act according to his order - In the mean time, I went one day to the house of Kistna Row, who asked me whether I had disposed of the case - I answered him that I had not yet enquired into the case, but that the Complainant Chintaloo had received some severe blows which fact was certified by the dresser, that I would investigate the case - He (Venkata Kristna Row) replied that however that might be, Chintaloo had become proved and that I must commit him to the Court; I said very well and left the house - Shortly afterwards a peon named Tunneru Amarapah attached to Kistna Row and the Arrack Renter of this town Retnah Nagayah came to my house,

house, and told me that Kistna Row had sent them to tell me that I must commit Chintaloo to Court. Whilst hesitating what course to adopt a Buccall named Guntoor Chelamiah came to me and said "Kistna Row "is angry with this Chintaloo; and having "caused this business, I will reconcile the "two parties. Do not be in a hurry and "unjustly send Chintaloo to Court." Afterwards Chintaloo and his father requested me to wait till the return of Chelamiah, who had in the mean time gone to Yanamadalah, also to give them an opportunity of speaking with Kistna Row. I continued silent as I was fully conscious that the case ought not to be sent up to Court, but as Kistna Row repeatedly told me to send Chintaloo up to Court, and knowing the power of Kistna Row through whose influence all matters of business were arranged, I was afraid to disobey his wishes lest I should thereby lose my situation (I being a servant of 33 years standing) and obeyed his words. At this time, Kistna Row troubled me much with requests that I should hold an enquiry in favor of the Cheetlers, I therefore examined their witnesses, but did not examine the witnesses

witnesses of the other party. Merely taking depositions from the Prosecutor, his father Veerashai, his brother Chinna Chintaloo and Koolah Ramaswamy on receiving further message from Kistna Row to send up Chentaloo to the Court, I told the Police Muddutgar Somayajoolah Appayah to prepare the proper Form for committal to the Court, which he accordingly did. Previous to my signing the form of committal, the Prosecutor and his father both said to me. "We have been beaten, will you unjustly send us to Court. "We must go to Kistna Row, and settle the "business with him. Guntoor Chelmayah has "promised to speak for us. let us go to settle "the matter". The father of Prosecutor went to Kistnarow the prosecutor who was in confinement in consequence of the Cheetlers charge having been proved against him, requested me to allow him to go also. I sent him to the house of Kistna Row in charge of a Police Peon Jamal Mohomed telling Chintaloo at the time that I was aware injustice had occurred, that he must represent his case to Kistna Row as I could do nothing for him. I do not know what took place at Kistnarow's house, but at 12 O'Clock the Peon Jamal Mohammed returned with

with Chintaloo and left him in the Cutcherry— his father Veerasha came to me and said Histna Row has shewn us favor— you must therefore release my son. Moreover, a Braman named Cavory Histriah came and said that it was Histnarow's wish that I should not commit Chintaloo to Court but release him. In consequence released him that day— I do not recollect whether I did so on the arrival of the Peon Jamal Mahommed, or after the above Report of Histriah. Either on the following or the 3d. day I went to the new Bungalow which Histna Row was then building at about 4 P. M. Histna Row after speaking few words in English with Numboory — Histriah, who was there, desired me to give N. Histriah the Record of Chintaloo's case; adding that it would be necessary to take security from Chintaloo to keep him in fear, and that if I give Histriah the Record he would draw out a proper Argee to that effect— I returned home in the evening— and sending for the Record from the Police Muddelgar S. Appayah, forwarded it that night by

by a peon with this direction "Rajasree "Numboory Histriah Auneen and Head of "Police"— He kept the Record for two or three days after which he forwarded me a Draft of an Argee, in which it was stated that Security ought to be taken from the Prosecutor Chintaloo, and a fine ought to be imposed upon him— He had stated in the Draft of the argee that Ihad taken a fine from Chintaloo, but as in fact I had not done so, I altered those words— I copied the Draft fair and sent it to the Magistrate.—

2. Here is the Draft said to have been sent to you by Numboory Histriah. Do you know whose hand writing it was in?—

A. I see it— It is dated 17th January— the hand writing is that of another — Numboory Histriah Cousin of the above named Histriah— he was then Police — Muddelgar in the Cutcherry—

Q. Why did you not forward with the Argee sent to the Huzzoor, the depositions taken on the 22nd December 1841 from the Prosecutor R. Chintaloo and his father Veerasha as also the Certificate of the Dresser?—

A. Because

Fort St. Georg 27th October 1842.

A. Because the Muddutgar Kistniah told me that Kistna Row wished me not to do so as it was unnecessary.

2 When you had investigated the case as Head of Police, why did you attend to the words of others, instead of acting according to your own Judgment?

A Kistna Row is in the habit of telling the different Servants the opinion of the Collector on all matters of business, and as he is possessed of full authority in this place, I was afraid to act contrary to his instructions, I looked upon his wishes as those of the Collector.

2. Look at this paper, what is it?

A. It is the Draft of the Form of Committal prepared in accordance to the directions of Kistna Row with a view to send Chintaloo up to Court. it is in the hand writing of A Kistniah the Police Muddutgar. We were compelled to draw it out. but as it was unjust to commit Chintaloo to Court, it was dispensed with. This is all I know. I have been a servant for many years. I have disclosed every thing I knew without any concealment.

Signature of M. Ramaswmy.

The Prosecutor has no questions to put. Do the Defendants.

Solemnly affirmed before me this 12th April 1842.

(Signed) A. S. Mathison
Acting Magistrate.

Fo.

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No. 21.

To H. Stokes Esq. &c.
Collector in the Zillah of
Guntoor.

Yd. Yd. Yd.

Letter addressed by Sabapaty Sashagherry row the Huzzoor Head Sheristadar.

In the defence given by Subneviss Venkatacroostnarow Puntoolo in the cases that have come under investigation of bribes he received while Deputy Sherusta-dar from the late Rajah Maunoor Venkum-nah row Zemindar of Toolloor Division, he mentions that he is rich that the fortune left to him by his father is itself sufficient for him, that he held the Service merely for reputation, and such other things. I therefore consider it necessary to bring to your honors notice regarding the same, the circumstance hereunder mentioned.

It is true, that there was certain Estate consisting of Jewels &c. up to the demise of his father and uncles that it was divided after several disputes among himself and the other Sharers of the estate

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

estate, and that he had thereby certain pro-
perty for his share. Tho' he was for the sake of
name, accustomed to make charitable expenses,
yet he, since his youth, used to spend likewise
considerable money for purposes disagreeable.
Thus, his estate was greatly exhausted in a
short period and he was therefore necessitated
to contract Loans from other persons. Before
his arrival at Guntoor, he Mortgaged the
Bungalow (which his ancestors had pro-
cured at Masulipatam his native place,
and on which he was in the receipt of cer-
tain rent) with Gaudeecherlah Seetiah the pre-
sent Government Vakeel in the Provincial Court
and so borrowed from him a sum of three thou-
sand Rupees, executing a Bond with same
terms binding himself to discharge the same with
interest, at five hundred Rupees for every
Six Months. We had the same bond registered
too in the Auxiliary Court at Masulipatam.
In this manner he contracted Loans from
some others too, upon Mortgage, but I am
unable to state them in detail at present, but
shall do so on my receiving correct infor-
mation of the same.

It is four years one Month

and

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

and 5 days since the 27th October 1837 the day
in which he was entertained in the Huzzoor
Cutcherry by Mr. Goldingham up to the end of
November 1840 the time that he was removed
from the Huzzoor Cutcherry under the instruc-
tions received from the Board. He has remain-
ed subsequently in the Situation of Ameen for
a period of 4 Months and 12 days, making in
all 4 years 5 Months and 17 days. The salary he
received during these periods amounts to Rupees
7245-6-7. The usual expenses he incurred is
not less than 400 Rupees a Month at least.
He having some time since kept 3 or 4 Horses
and other things, the Monthly expenses might
be 500 Rupees. All aware of this. However
say on average that his Monthly Charges are
only 400 Rupees, and at this rate, it should be
considered that he has expended a sum of
Rupees 21,400 from the time of his entertain-
ment in the Huzzoor Cutcherry up to the day
of his coming out of service.

Besides this, he annually
spent at about 2000 Rupees for Dasarah
Festivals and the expenditure on this Account
Amounts to Rupees 8000 for the 4 years past.
Together with this sum, his Charges Amount

to

to Rupees 29,400 and which added to Rupees 6,600 the sums he has invested in the Company's Loan make Rupees 36,000. when from these the Amount of the salary he received as above particularized being Rupees 7,245- 6-7 goes the difference will be Rupees 28,754- 9-5. And which added to the sum he expended on account of the Bungalows he has erected and purchased at Guntoor which I estimate at about four or five thousands of Rupees make a total of about 33,000. These thirty three thousands of Rupees have of course been acquired by him in receiving bribes from the Zemindars &c during the time that he served in this Cutcherry- If your honor should be pleased to take this point into consideration it will be seen that he received strange bribes while serving in this Cutcherry that no circumstance of such bribes has ever occurred in the Guntoor Zillah within the last 30 years and that his statement in his defence on this point is quite false-

If your honor should be pleased to peruse the proceedings of the Court of Fouzdary Adalut under date the 29th June 1840 in the case in which Veeka Durmapoory the late Head of Police of the Mungalacherry Tanah

Tanah had been committed to the Criminal Court it will be apparent that the Charge brought against Durmapoory was false, and that the said Croostna Row Runtoolo himself was chiefly the cause of it-

His (Veneatabroostnarow Puntoolo) conduct will likewise be seen from the Proceedings of the Board in regard to his removal from the Huzzoor Cutcherry and the other things-

With a view to shew how this Croostna Row Puntoolo is accustomed to intrigues, I beg to make likewise a further mention, that is to say, that if the Charge brought against me shewing as if I had postponed in fusly 1248 and 49, considerable balances of Palnaud Revenue, and as if the late Collector had afterwards reduced the Amount so postponed, should be investigated by your honor personally in Palnaud, when your honor will proceed thither, it will be seen that he (Crisnarow Puntoolo) is the first or principal man in giving a shape of truth to falsity and that of falsity to truth.

Please to peruse-

Guntoor 2d August 1842

Signed/ N. Shashagherry Row
Head Sheristadar

To

to Rupees 29,400 and which added to Rupees 6,600 the sums he has invested in the Company's Loan make Rupees 36,000. when from these the amount of the salary he received as above particularized being Rupees 7,245-6-7 goes the difference will be Rupees 28,754-9-5. And which added to the sum he expended on account of the Bungalows he has erected and purchased at Guntoor which I estimate at about four or five thousands of Rupees make a total of about 33,000. These thirty three thousands of Rupees have of course been acquired by him in receiving bribes from the Zemindars &c. during the time that he served in this Cutcherry. If your honor should be pleased to take this point into consideration it will be seen that he received strange bribes while serving in this Cutcherry that no circumstance of such bribes has ever occurred in the Guntoor Zillah within the last 30 years and that his statement in his defence on this point is quite false.

If your honor should be pleased to peruse the proceedings of the Court of Fouzdary Adalut under date the 29th June 1840 in the case in which Veleha Durmapoory the late Head of Police of the Mungalacherry Tanah

Tanah had been committed to the Criminal Court, it will be apparent that the Charge brought against Durmapoory was false, and that the said Croostna Row Runtooloo himself was chiefly the cause of it.

His (Venecatabroostnarow Puntoolod) conduct will likewise be seen from the Proceedings of the Board in regard to his removal from the Huzzoor Cutcherry and the other things.

With a view to shew how this Croostna Row Puntooloo is accustomed to intrigues, I beg to make likewise a further mention, that is to say, that if the Charge brought against me shewing as if I had postponed in fusly 1248 and 49, considerable balances of Palnaud Revenue, and as if the late Collector had afterwards reduced the Amount so postponed, should be investigated by your honor personally in Palnaud, when your honor will proceed thither, it will be seen that he (Croostnarow Puntooloo) is the first or principal man in giving a shape of truth to falsity and that of falsity to truth.

Please to peruse.

Guntoor 2d August 1842

Signed / A. Shashagherry Row
Head Sheristadar

To

No. 22

To The Worshipful H. Stokes Esqre
the Collector in the Zillah of
Guntoor.

The humble Petition
addressed by Submevisi
Vencata Kristanarow

Most Honored Sir

Para 1. In obedience to your honor's instructions giving Cover to a petition of Napatty Sashagery row puntooloo the Head Sheristadar and directing me to reply the same I beg leave to represent as follows returning back his petition.

2. The above petition consists four parts as herewith detailed.

1st. That I have exchausted the Estate I received for my share by charity for a name, and by irregular expenses of youth, that I have at last during my stay at Masulipatam borrowed Rupees 3,000 from Gaudecherla Seetiah and that I was thus out of funds at all by the time of my leaving Masulipatam.

2nd. That I have expended about Rupees 400 Monthly after my coming down here, that I spent yearly about Rupees 2,000

for

for the Dasara feast, that I let out about 4 or 5 Thousands of Rupees in erecting and purchasing Bangalows, that I deposited Rupees 6,600 in the Government's Security, and that a view of these expenses and the income that I had here, draws an inference as if I had obtained bribes to an amount of Rupees 33,000-

3d. That a perusal into the Proceeding of the Sudr Udalut in regard to Vetcha Durmapoory and another of the Board of Revenue for my removal from Guzzoor will explain my Conduct.

4th. That I have contrived a false charge against him as if he had remitted a large amount of revenue when he was sent for the Zemabundy settlement of Palnaud during fusslies 1248 and 49 and that the late Collector got recovery of a portion of it.

Answer to the Partr. Para 3d. At the interval of our dividing the Estate such Jewels &c. as seemed important to be divided by means of mediation are only exposed to the sight of such trustworthy Gentlemen as the Nabob of Masulipatam and some the like - As for the ready Cash it was not let out even to their knowledge While it is the case, how can Sashagery row

puntooloo

=puntoolu who is entirely ignorant of my pro=
=perty have means to know to what amount
I received Estate for my share, how I have im=
=proved the same, and whether I have made
my expences in the principal or the benefit
gained thereon? Mr. Parks the late first Judge
of the Provincial Court N. D. and Colonel
Townsend and some other Civil and Military
Gentlemen who resided a long while at Masu=
=lipatam have some knowledge of my fortune=
Moreover Mr. Goldingham the late Collector
got some acquaintance of it during his stay
at Masulipatam as the Acting Collector
of that place. A perusal of the letter from
Mr. Goldingham to the Board of Revenue
of date the 24th November 1837 will convince
this point.

It is natural with the Sou=
=kars in general that their money be depo=
=sited with others and that they when it oc=
=casions receive some thing from some others.
In the same manner, it is also in practice
with me. up to this moment several of the
European and Native Gentlemen owe me
a great deal. I am now drawing it by de=
=grees. Notwithstanding which if I am to

prove

prove to the public the circumstance of
my being possessed with a large property
by the time of my leaving Masulipatam, it
will occur that several private affairs be di=
=vulged. Then it will reveal the names and
vouchers of several European and Native Gen=
=tlemen. Thus I will become an instrument of
lowering their honors. Nevertheless if Sashagery
row puntoolu does bind himself by and enter into
a recognizance that he will prove me to be out
of a deserving property by my departure from
Masulipatam, and that on failure thereto will
present himself not only to the chastisement
of the Government but also to answer the da=
=mages arising to myself and the other Gentle=
=man by a public view of my private affairs,
I shall produce all the vouchers &c. to your
honor and prove the real state in detail. If
on the other hand your honor be pleased to
have a private view of any thing I shall
expose some of them to your honor's private
perusal which I in that case beg you will
be pleased not to bring to public. Although
it is of course a private affairs that I bor=
=rowed Rupees 3,000 from Gaudecherlah Sec=
=tiah yet as it seems important that even
a single point be explained in detail
I shall describe it as widely as convenient.

I.

I did not receive that amount
during my stay at Masulipatam. Thus it is
quite false that I borrowed the money before
my leaving Masulipatam for want of funds.
During my continuance in the Public service
of this District certain native Gentlemen of Ma-
=sulipatam wanted me to lend Rupees 3000, Think-
=ing that I cannot easily recover the same from
him, I gave several excuses. He begged and importun-
=ed me much. Then considering that if I
make it seem that I for his sake borrowed
money from another Gentleman and lent him
it will be possible to demand the same of him
under a plea that the other is pressing me,
I dissembled to that Gentleman that my funds
are not at present in hand at Masulipatam
that I have for your sake borrowed money from
such a Gentleman, and that it will be incum-
=bent upon you to discharge the amount with-
=out the least demand upon me for your sake;
and so obtained Rupees 3000 from the above See-
=tiah and paid them to the other one. This Gentle-
=man was punctual in his payments. He had
really discharged a large amount. However
he

he having suddenly departed this life, It seem-
=ing difficult that I could recover the rest
from his heirs who are young and at the same
time not liking myself to give any trouble to
those infants, I have returned the bond to his
heirs carrying the balance to my Account.
I have on some conversation related these
particulars to Mr. Goldingham some time
ago but cannot assure whether or not he might
have it in memory.

In regard to the allegation
that I have made charity for a name and spent
some thing in debauchery I beg to solicit if he
is to have any share of the fruit of my good
or bad actions or has he any thing to speak
for me before the God or is he to have any
part of the gain or loss of my property? If
such unworthy allusions as are injurious
of ones honor took place among European
Gentlemen your honor is aware of to what
honor the one will call the other. It is to be
ashamed that he of such old age should
make mentioning of this kind.

Reply to the para 4th — In case of bribery Complainant,
part 2nd if it is guided by the rules thereof with details
in what case and by what means it is
received an answer thereon would be
deserving. your honor I trust will consider
how

how much weight such argument (as main=
=taining that my Expenditure is the only proof
of my having received bribes) attains. Before
my Entertainment in this Office, some of the
servants here were practised to receive bribes
and commit irregularities. Under the orders
of Mr. Goldingham I have watched all such
actions, carried them to the notice of that
Gentleman, and prevented their recurrence.
The loss of their perquisites having inspired
them with ferocity against me, this Sasha=
=gery row puntoolo and some others having
joined together got some petitions present=
=ed to the Board of Revenue and the Collector
against me by Paulvoy Kristnarow &c. the
the persons dismissed from the Honorable
Company's service for several offences setting
forth that I have received bribes. Moreover
this person got a petition the like sent to the Go=
=vernment by Colum Royelnoidoo his friend then
holding the Chay brole district. Monsiff situation
A trial into them having proved them false they are struck=
=en off. As those records are in Office a perusal into them
as well as a letter from the late Collector to the Board of Re=
=venue dated 21st March 1811 will convince the same to your
honor.

honor. All those pernicious designs proving
abortive, this Sashagerry row puntoolo preferred
some charges by himself, and some by means of
others to the late Acting Collector. As the trial
thereof took place before your honor, your
goodness is already well aware of them.
Under the inclination of prolonging my
Suspension by any means, he is bringing
Such groundless petitions. For Example I
Shall represent another thing. A few days
ago I have drawn the interest of an instal=
=ment on some promissary Notes amount=
=ing to Rupees 6,600 from the treasury of this
district as per draft of the Accountant General.
An excess amount having been sent, I have
returned it back to your honor. With refer=
=ence to the draft he mentioned in his
petition, that the amount of those lands
being Rupees 6,600 is also part of the bribes.
I believe that had he been unacquainted with
those bonds he would have limitted the bribes
to the amount of 26,400 only, and had an
another draft been also sent to this very trea=
=sury for the interest on some other bonds, he
would have added up their amount too, to
the

the Rupees 33,000 he now limitted. How could he Know, how many bonds and to what amount I have, and where I am drawing the interest. This only point is sufficient to guess how certain his declarations in ge=
=neral might be. Even in this single point, I should be prepared to prove that I have no more bonds than these, on condition of re=
=ceiving a punishment if failed, I shall prove to your honor by public documenta=
=ry evidence how long since I have bonds to what amount they extend, and in what manner they are exchanging. It appears that he Keeping his own circumstances in view, Made this mentioning of me. If your honor pleases to Know by others in what state his Father was in his first age, and to what he came in his last, in what condition he (Sashagery row puntoloo) came down here, what salary he was in receipt of for a great many years, how many celebrations of happy and griefs, he performed, how much family he has, and at what cost he built a house, it will be apparent to your honor that the mentioned in his petition the circumstances

Similar

Similar to his own, and that these considerations are not worthy of my state.-

5. I have no hand in the Criminal charge against Vetcha Durmapoory. It is plain that any Court, unless perusal in the records of the lower Court or Known by a trial before them, can give no opinion.- No record declares that I had any hand therein- The Court on the merely construction of Durmapoory's petition Mentioned that, they Suppose that the charge might have had its rise with me.- As it was their own Supposition and not a thing supported by any records, I did not venture to take a trouble of pleading any thing thereon.- After a while the Board having inserted the same in their Proceedings, it occurred that Mr. Goldingham then Collector and Magistrate should relate the fact to that authority.- A perusal of the letter from Mr. Goldingham to the Board of date the 30th November 1841, will convince that I had no interference at all therein. I hope that your honor can believe that the reality of any case cannot be more wide to the authorities at Madras, than to those on Spot here, without a regular

investigation

investigation- With respect to the Proceedings of the Board directing my removal from Nuzzoor, I beg leave to represent, that it is in that Proceeding inserted that the number of petitions against me render it proper that I should be removed to Palook's- The petitions against me are none but those of the individuals dis= =missed from Service on various offen= =ces- Those of them as came to trial have been proved to be false and so stricken off- On re= =ceipt of the Proceeding Mr. Goldingham addressed a letter to the Board under date the 24th December 1841, which if perused will explain to your honor, that I committed no offence- Although this Sashagery row= =puntoloo caused Several false charges to be preferred against me before, although he is always of the same intention and although he is holding the Head Sheristadar Situation while I was in a lower Office, yet trusting that when your honor who is judicious and unacquainted with the both of us comes here and investigates the reality of his false charges, will be noticed that your honor will not then

fail

fail to report the fact to the Board, and that their opinion in regard to me will in that case be altered. I incline to remain here in a lower Situation, but not for want of food and paiment, I have explained the same to the Board of Revenue under date the 22nd April 1842.-

Reply to the part 4th

6. The affair here noticed of the remission he made in the revenue of Palnaud for Fasslies 1248 and 49, took place directly before Mr. Goldingham, and not indirectly thro' others- A perusal of the letter from Mr. Goldingham to the Board of date the 19th October 1841 and the remarks on

a

13349 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

a deposition of this individual accompanied therewith will perfectly speak how falsely he maintained in a case done in the immediate presence of that Gentleman, and how many falsehoods he uttered to support this own argument. It is not worthy of admiration, that he who made such false arguments with his immediate Superior being a Christian Gentleman would venture to mention falsehoods against me.

7. Under these circumstances I most humbly beg that yours honor will take this case into your serious consideration and treat it in such a manner, as no further instances of disgrace to people of high principle may arise.

Guntoor, 4th August 1842. } (Signed) Sub. Venkata Krishna Row

13350 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No. 24.

Translate of a letter from Sambarauze Ramiah to Condamoody Ramachendrudoo.

Your letter of yesterday has been received and the contents observed. I herewith forward a Petition to the Collector addressed by Yakah Ramiah inhabitant of Pratty paud. you must pay one anna on account of Postage and make it reach the Collector. you must settle the case of Choultoor in any one way and come down. As we however determined to file a motion in Circuit, I do not believe that the business would be effected favorably by the Men here. You have mentioned your wish of visiting the Gentleman through the Jamadar. As there is no profit by it, you must not think of it. You mentioned about the Nedubrole people. I wont send for them unless they come themselves. The dispute will be continued. The answer will be sent the day after tomorrow. you must use your endeavours for dispensing with the Penalty evidence

Enquiry

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Enquiry will be made at the time of preparing annual accounts in relation to the land cultivated by your father. The arzee which was formerly presented by Yakah Ramiah thro' Goolishness has been referred to the Head of Police of Pruttypaud. As this is the further arzee requesting to dismiss the case without the necessity of making the above investigation, you must have it despatched by any person to the Moolky Writer, so as to shew that it was sent up by himself (Ramiah) you must try whether the case of Jana Kramdoo would be effected favorably if any Sum is paid to Numboor Ristniah, Yatoor Colaya, and others. 12th July 1839. corresponding with Friday the 2nd increasing Moon of Aushadum year Vel Eari.-

/Signed/ Ramiah.

Cause it to be delivered after having put Sealing wax, and report whatever may pass thereon.-

/True translation/

/Signed/ H. Stokes

Collector Translate

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No. 26.

Translate of a letter from Sambarauze Ramiah to Gare Repaty Vencatraidoo.-

Your two letters of the 11th and 12th October, together with the Statement of Sist of last year have been received when the said Statement is examined, you will be informed of the same you say that the inhabitants of Coomma=moor have filled up the breaches. an arzee, has been addressed and despatched this day on the same subject. As (Pyangratah or) care at the Sudder Station, has also been taken, the final order may be expected quickly. - therefore, I write this.-

12th October 1840 corresponding with Monday the 2d decreasing Moon of Aswejah year Sarvaree-

/Signed/ Sumbarauze Ramiah

/True Translate/

/Signed/ H. Stokes

Collector.

No. 28.

Translate of a letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Gare Rapauty Venkatroydoo.

Your letter to Stree Rajah has been received and its contents perused.- you state that the dispute could not be settled

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.-

Settled unless a Sum of Rupees 200 is paid to the Ameen and Peishcar - you need not consider that it would be disposed of favorable if Something is paid to them, or that it would be Spoiled otherwise. If this cannot be done here, justice can be obtained even in the Court. Besides this, Supposing that these people submit a report in any other way, it is not to be considered that the effect will come according to their opinion, for it will of course be disposed of according to the pleasure of Ristnarow. Therefore a Sum of Rupees 150 will be paid at last. On your informing the person, to whom a document for the above Amount Should be written it would be Sent immediately. If after all, you are of opinion that the busineſs would be Spoiled unleſs Rupees 200 are paid, you must do it by means of the Villagers and report the Same afterwards. When proper arrangements would be made for its adjustment, but you must consider that permiſsion could not be given at present for a Sum exceeding the

Sum

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.-

Sum of 150 Rupees - as there is no doubt for iſsuing a document for Rupees 150, I now write the Same for your information - 26th August 1840 corresponding with Wednesday the 30th decreasing moon Sravanum year Sarvary.-

/Signed/ Sumbarauze Ramiah.-

/True translate/
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector-

No. 30.

Translate of a letter from Sumbarauze Ramiah to Gur Repaty Vencatroydoo.-

Your letter of the 15th Instant has been received and its contents observed - you are right in reporting that the ameen, Peishcar &c. arrived in the Village and iſsued Summonses for the attendance of the Witneſses to be investigated. You Still require a document for Rupees 40 being in part of the Amount to be paid to them - Your ability is understood hereby - It is astonishing that you are obliged to write now in this way when you were already directed that

You

you should pay them according to the documents sent by me, and that in the event of their not agreeing to it, you should satisfy them by payying them after having levied a contribution in the Village itself. If they, after all do not consent to the extent lately ordered by me, you must make Collections in the Village, pay them the same and thus after having Settled the business report it to me when it would be adjusted as circumstances would permit. Therefore yow need not frequently write on this Head. With respect to the payments to be made to the Witnesses &ca you will write a letter to Condapantory &c Baupiah, who will pay to the care of his brother Venkiah for expenses. You are, therefore, to Send for him accordingly, and pay for the present one half of the Amount promised to the witnesses and the remainder after they had given their depositions in a favorable manner. Yow &c will perceive all other circumstances from the letter addressed yesterday.

your

your letter of the 15th September, together with the list of Settlement for the Current year which you sent thro' the Samutdar, has been duly received. You must be aware that the esteem with which you are heretofore treated, cannot be maintained any longer by your addressing in different ways each Guddy. Whatever they may &c write, it cannot be effected &c without incurring expendes in the Sudder Station. If they are themselves able enough to send for the Hookroom without the &c necessity of incurring expenses in the Sudder Station, it would &c have been proper to pay to any extent all that already done towards them is to be considered &c to be very great. You are &c therefore to act as above directed dated 16th September 1840, corres-ponding with Wednesday the 5th decreasing Moon of &c Bhadrapadah.

(Signed) Sumbaranze Ramiah

(A true translate)

(Signed) H. Stokes

Collector.

Translate

13357 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

No. 32.

Translate of a letter from Samba-
-raujze Ramiah to Gare Repau-
-ty Vencatraidoo.

I observed all the circumstances you had addressed. The Copies of the Kyfeyets &c. with me are herewith Sent as requested you must therefore, on the arrival of the 3 Ameens, Argue that the dam should be continued in that position as was determined formerly by Bootchiah when he was Head of Police you should not make vain promises to Bootchiah you need not make vain promises to any one as they can do nothing cantrary to the Ft Coolroom caused

13358 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

caused to be written by Stree Puntoolavaroo. As about Four Rupees would be incurred after the arrival of Bootchiah You as well as witnesses on account of their batta you must pay the Same. You must only take care in giving proof by means of arbitrators. As I now send Gollamoody Kistniah with directions to remain with you and give answer, you must inform him also the extent of the dam formerly existed and make him argue accordingly. I wrote to Gollamoody Kistniah regarding the points to be

13359 Fort St. George 27th. October 1842.

be spoken to Achiranuze Boot-
-cheah- you do not make un-
-necessary promises, but cause
the arbitrators to give proof
properly besides strongly urging yourself
and the Capoos in relation to the dam
that remained formerly during
Bootchiah's time 24th. October
1840, Corresponding with Suturday
the 30th. decreasing Moon of
Aswejah.-

/Signed/ Sambaraauze
Ramiah-
/True translate/
(Signed) H. Stokes.
Collector-

13360 Fort St. George 27th. October 1842.

No. 33.

Arzee No. 7. I. That the Tanahdar, Nevishadar, Superintending Duffadar and others in storing the 10 Garce heaps of Salt- stored in each an excess of half a Garce or a quarter of a Garce- That after the heap was disposed of to the Lumbadies they made out in the Circar account that there was a deficiency below 10 Garce- That part of the profits of this salt not accounted for to Government was given to Kishnarow- the rest went to the Tannahdar and other servants.

II. That each year they collected from every Manufacturer a rupee (as present) for each pan.

III. That when they gave the salt Manufacturers the Succavy they took out of every rupee and a half- One or two Annas.

The money obtained in the last two methods.

The Tannahdar and others enjoyed.

1st. Witness Pedda Sheshayar. Vencappa the late Nevishdar at Pedda Ganjam told me, that previous to the last 4 years they in storing the salt heaps- used to measure out the salt without pressing it down in the Marcab- the top was also cut off- that during the last 4 years in storing the heaps- they pressed down the Marcab- left

a

left a quantity of Salt at the top - and also counted unfairly - in this manner there would be occasioned in each heap of 10 Garce an excess of 1/2 a Garce or so - Of the profits arising from this excess - a part was sent to Kistna Row by the Tannahdar the rest the Tannahdar Goomashtah and others enjoyed - after Mr. Goldingham's departure, - none was sent to Kistna Row - the servants here enjoyed it in the following proportion - The Goomashtah C. Vencatachellum 1/4 share - The Nenishdar C. Soobarow 1 share - The Duffadar Shaik Chand 1 Share - Pygasty Mahommed Gallub 1 Share - Measurer V. Nagayah 1 Share, and the Dody Peon 1 Share -

That the money Collected from the Salt Manufacturers at the rate of 1 Rupee for each pan and from the Succavy Money at the rate of one or two annas in each rupee and a half, was enjoyed by the Tannahdar and servants here - This year, the Tannahdar Hafsain khan appropriated one or two annas out of each Rupee of Succavy Money -

Names B. Cotayah as a Witness -

Gives in a list of persons who have given money for their pans -

---

Heard

IInd Witness Bolch Cotayah.

Heard from C. Vencatareddi and C. Pedda Akkaya that the measuring when storing the salt took place as stated by 1st Witness - that the Tannahdar sent part of the profits to Krishtnarow the rest the servants here appropriated -

Owns 5 pans himself - He together with B. Mojely P. Tchana, C. Adanna, and B. Palaya who own 5 pans, gave during the last 4 years -

1st Year - - - 8 Rupees - - - - } To the Goomashtah C. Vencatachellum.
2d Year - - - - 1/4 Candy Jonna - }
3d - - - - - 1/2 Candy Paddy - - }

Also

1st Year 1/4 Rupee - - - } To the Pygusty Mohammed Galib
2d ——— 4 Tooms Jonnah }
3d ——— 1/4 Candy Jonna }

Knows nothing about the Succavy Money -

IIIrd Witness C. Papayah -

Confirms the Statement of the above with ——— regard to the manner of measureing when storing the salt - Heard one M. Vencayah say - that of the profits part went to Kristnarow - the rest to the different servants -

Has 3 pans of his own - besides which, he shares with the Vencatareddy 10 pans - During the last 4 years gave as his share -

1st Year - - 1 Rupee to the Goomastah C. Vencatachellum -

2d ——— 1/4 Candy Jonna to Do. ———

Vencatareddi

Vencatareddi gave.—

1st Year - - - - 4 Rupees - - - }
2d - - - - - 1/4 Candy Jonna } To the Goomastah C. Vencatachellum.—

The 3d. year both of us conjointly took upon ourselves a debt of 20 is due from the Goomastah to —

+ C. Devappa. the Comaty.—

Gave 1st. year - - 1 Rupee
2d. —— 1 Rupee
3d. —— 2 ——

To the Pygusty Mohommed Gabi.—

Vencatareddy gave 1 year 1 Rupee
2 —— a Turban worth 2 1/2 Rupees.
3. does not know.

With regard to the Tuccavy Money—

Gave 1st Year - - 1 Dub
2d —— 1/2 ——
3d —— 3 ——

to the Shroff V. Papayah, who said that he gave 1 dub to the person who furnished the Tuccavy book, 1 dub to the person who wrote it, and one dub to charity, the Shroff deducted this when he gave the Taccavy money.—

IV th Witness C. Colapiah.

That the servants in storing the salt stored an excess and accounted — for

for a deficiency 7 Co.s.— Heard from the late Nimishadar C. Vencappah "that of the profits part was sent by — "the Tannahdar to Hristna Row. the rest the — "servants appropriated, that the Goomashtah — "Vencatachellum and other servants collected — "of the Salt Manufacturers 1 Rupee for each — "pan. Of the Tuccavy Money 1 or 2 annas for "each rupee."—

The Pans are managed by his father— therefore did not give any thing himself— does not know if his father did. Mentions the — names of several persons whom he knows did give.—

1 B. Colappah
2 P. Papayah
3 M. Vencatareddi
4 B. Mogily
5 C. Parareddi

V th Witness M. Vencatareddi.

Corroborates the Statements of the above with regard to the servants storing an excess and accounting to Government for a deficiency— does not know who enjoyed the — profits.—

During 4 years gave for his Salt pans,

1st Year - - - - 4 Rupees
2d —— - - - 1/4 Candy Jonna
3d —— - - - 10 Rupees as his 5th Share of a bill due by the Goomashtah to so cal. C. Devappa. } To the Goomashtah Vencatachellum

+ 20 Rupees.

1st Year - - a turban worth 2 1/2 Rupees.
2d —— - - - 2 Rupees —— } To the Pygusty Mohommed Gabi.

The

The Shroff deducted in the presence of the Tan=
=nahdar from my Tuccavy 1st. year 1 dub, 2d. year
1/2 dub, 3d. year 3 dubs.—

Also gave 4 years ago

To the
Dody
Reen
Ibrahim
Labib— { 1st. Year ——— 2 Rupees
2d. Year ——— 2 Rupees.

VIth Witness
Shroff
V. Papayah.

Has been shroff here for 20 years—
till last year it was the custom to take out of the
Tuccavy money from the Salt Manufacturers —
2 dubs, this year made it 3. does not keep any
account, but of the 3 dubs gave 1 as Charity —
to Kristnamacharloo a doctor — 1 dub to the
writer of the book — 1 dub to the person who makes
up the Taccavy book —

* This person is his own Son.

VIIth Witness.
Vencappiah late Salt Neishdar —

Formerly, about 3 or 4 years ago —
in storing the 20 Garce heaps the servants used
to store an excess of Salt from about 1/4 Garce —
to 3/4 Garce per heap — This, as also what they —
accounted to for Government & wastage they —
sold — The measurer Nagayah sent the money
thro' the Goomastah Vencatachellum to the —
Tannahdar Sheshagarow — The Goomastah told
him that the Tannahdar said he sent to Kristna=
=row the profits arising from the sale of the
salt, and all his pay but 30 rupees — Told the
above circumstance to several persons in —
Pedda Ganjam

Pedda Ganjam but does not recollect names.—

Arzee presented by Superintending Duffadar Chenna Ganjam — V. Vencata=
=chellum —

That during the last 4 years ago —
the servants have been in the habit of storing the
Salt tho' that there shall be an excess — at the same
time when selling it to the Lumbadies accounting
for a deficiency.—

That when Mr. Golding have —
had some heaps measured — they caused a deficiency
to be shewn by unfair measuring — that part of
the profits arising from the sale of the excess was
sent by Tannahdar Sheshagerrow to Subenevis —
Kristnarow.—

I st Witness
C. Bungarow —

About one half year ago when
the heaps were sold by contract to the Lumbadies —
he measured out the heaps afterwards for the —
Lumbadies — In most of the heaps there was a —
great excess in the 20 Garce heaps upwards of a
hundred Mercals — in the 10 Garce heaps an excess of
70 - 50 - Vca some were search — Does not know what
was done with the excess.—

IIst Witness
Veerasha=
=Lingum —

Also measured out the con=
=tract heaps privately for the Lumbadies — confirms
the above statement — Does not know what was
done with the excess.—

IIIst Witness
C. Gungadaroodoo —

Same as the above.—

Soobiah —

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

N.o 4 Witness
B Venkatareddi
Sea Custom
Goomastah

V.e Witness
A. Venkatachel-
-lum Peon.—

Soobiah a private peon of the late Tannahdar Sheshagarow told him that a Hoondy had gone for Kristna Row at Madras from Mooty Chetty by order of the Tannahdar Sheshagarow.—

Heard from Soobiah a private peon of the late Tannahdar Sheshagarow that he had taken an order from Sheshagarow to Mooty Chetty for a Hoondy of 100 Rupees to send to Kristnarow at Madras— he afterward paid it in 2 instalments of 50 Rupees.—

N. B. Two or three other persons were mentioned as knowing of money being sent by the Tannahdar to Kistna Row but as none pretend to know whereupon it is not thought necessary to investigate this point as there is no proof.—

The Petitioner delivers in some accounts shewing that the when two heaps of Fusly 1248 were measured out by Mr. Goldingham's orders the Servants contrived to shew a deficiency— Yet the heaps of the same fusly when measured by Veraswa Lingum (the confidential person of the Tannahdar) privately to the Lumbadies, an excess is exhibited. The lists are in the hand writing of Veraswa Lingum.—

Heap No. 22 in the Kanoomuntory Doddy— Chinna Ganjam of the Manufacture of Fusly 1248 examined by the orders of Mr. Goldingham.—

A deficiency is shewn of 355 Marcals less than 10 Garce.—

Heap No. 14 in the Anikamah Mogaly Dody Manufacture

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.—

Manufacture of fusly 1248 examined by the orders of Mr. Goldingham.—

A deficiency is shewn of 318 marcals less than 10 Garce.—

Measured out privately after the purchase by contract— to the Lumbadies by Veraswa Lingum.—

Heap No. 1— Venkataswarla Dody.

A Surplus of 76 Marcals over the 10 Garce is exhibited.—

Heap No. 6— Hadjoin Dody.

A Surplus of 179 Marcals over the 10 Garce is exhibited.—

Heap 1 Kanoomuntory Dody.

A Surplus of 120 Marcals over the 10 Garce is exhibited.—

The Writer of the Arzee Mentions as the ground of his supposing that the Tannahdar sent part of the profits arising from the sale of the excess of salt— to Kristna Row— that three years ago he met in the Chinna Ganjam Bazar Mr. Soobiah a private servant of the Tannahdar K. Sheshageerow carrying a bag on his shoulder— on asking him where he was going and why he had such a heavy bag, he replied. I am going to Guntoor with a bag of 500 Rupees for Kristnarow from

K

K. Sheshagerow - it is only my usual burden.

VI th Witness M. Soobiah - Arzee of Moonama Venkayah.

This Witness is reported dead.

That out of each rupee of Tuccavy money given to the Salt manufacturers, 4 annas and sometimes 2 annas, were taken by the late Tannahdars K. Sheshagerow, D. Lutchmanarrayan, Sheir Khan, and Hussun Khan.

That, on forming the new Dody - the Hookums of allotment of the pans belonging to the new Dody were issued in the name of certain ryots - but that afterwards others bought them of the Tannahdar - and that Khristna Row had a share in the profits of such sale.

I st Witness x D. Bungaroo (x as to the first point in the Arzee)

Last year they gave me 8 Rupees as Tuccavy - I gave the Nevishdar Govindoo Purushotum 2 Rupees of this to save him from troubling me - A Venkataraidoo saw me give it in the Nevishdar's house.

II d Witness M. Pullayah.

Knows nothing.

III d Witness Chinna Bayah.

Since 5 years has given 1/2 a Rupee for three years to Nevishdar G. Purushottum, on receiving Tuccavy Received each time about 2 1/2 Rupees - Last year refused to give the 1/2 Rupee - so this

year

year they did not give him any Tuccavy.

IV th Witness Atla Venkata raidoo.

Saw 1st witness go into the house of Nevishdar G. Purushotum, he told me he had given Nevishdar 2 Rupees out of his 8 Rupees Tuccavy.

The 3d witness also used to give - one year saw him give in the bazaar - once in Public Cutcherry.

I st Witness x Teddu Soobiah. (x as to 2d point in the Arzee)

Knows nothing about it - but one Singa Reddi offered to sell him a pan for 50 Rupees which he refused.

II d Witness Chenna Pa= payah.

Bought pan of Singa Reddi for 50 Rupees - did not give the Ameen any bribe.

III d Witness Cotta Ramayaumy

Bought pan of Singa Reddi for 50 Rupees but did not give the Ameen any thing.

Defendant.

I. C. Venkata= chellum.

Defence of the Gomasta C. Venkata= chellum. Denies the charges brought against him.

Allege that it is out of enmity to him on account of his having thrown the bad salt manufactured by the Ryots into the water again - that the excess which has been proved to be lost in the heaps by actual measurement - is owing to the carelessness of the Coolies who measured when it was stored.

II d Nevishdar C. Sorbarow.

Denies the charge.

Denies

IIIrd Pygusty M. Galib.

Denies the charge.

Statement shewing the excess above 10 Garce in the salt heaps measured since the 18th April 1842 on which date arrived as acting Tannahdar the late one having been suspended.

Chinna Ganjam.

Quantity supposed to be stored.	Date of manufacture	When stored	Dody	No. of Heap	Excess.
1st Garce 240. Marcals	Fusly 1249	16th December 1840	Veera Bathrami No. 6	No. 4	12 Marcals

Persons who stored the Salt.— Nevishdar Govindaraze Vencatappa, Cutcherry Duffadar Shaik Illayatullah, Pygusty Mesullah Nagasha, Dody Peon V. Veerayah, Measurer B. Veeraraghavaloo.

Quantity supposed to be stored.	Date of manufacture	When stored	Dody	Heap	Excess.
10 Garce.	Fusly 1249.	3d March 1842	Hanumantha	No. 16.	2. Marcals

Persons who stored the salt.— Navishdar G. Vencatappah, Pygusty, Superintending Duffadar V. Vencataramaraze, Dody Peon G. Vencayah, Measurer B. Veeraraghavaloo.

Quantity supposed to be stored.	Date of manufacture	When stored	Dody	No. of Heap	Excess.
10 Garce.	Fusly 1249.	30th March 1842	Chinna Ganjam No. 11.	No. 23.	11. Marcals

Persons who stored the salt.— Navishdar G. Vencatappah, Duffadar P. Ramaswami, Pygusty Mohomed Beig, Dody Peon T. Paramareddi, Measurer V. Vencayah.

Date

Date of manufacture	When stored	Dody	Heap	Excess.
1249 Fusly.	21st December 1840.	Chettumbara-raswami.	No. 4.	Marcals 132.

Persons who stored the salt.— Tannahdar D. Lutchmanarayana. Nevishdar S. Venkatanarasimloo. Pygusty M. Nageshah. Dody Peon Sheik Rufsool. Measurer V. Kristinah.

1249 Fusly. 21st December 1840. Chettumbara. Swami dody. No. 5 86 Marcals

The above mentioned servants stored this heap.

1249 Fusly. 22d December 1840. Chettumbara Swami Dodi. No. 37. 70 Marcals.

Persons who stored the salt.— Nevishdar G. Vencatappah. Superintending Duffadar N. Juggunnatharaja. Pygusty T. Vencataramaraj. Dody Peon Sheik Rufsool. Measurer V. Veeraraghavaloo.

1249 Fusly. 7th December 1840. Nammalvarie Dody. No. 3. 132 Marcals.

Persons who stored the salt.— Navishdar S. Venkatanarsimaloo. Pygusty M. Nagasha. Dody Peon S. Venkatanarasoo. Measurer V. Vencayah.

1249 Fusly. 12th February 1841. Hajee Dody. No. 23. 109 Marcals.

Persons who stored the salt.— Nevishdar G. Vencatappa. Pygusty M. Beig. Measurer V. Vencayah.

1249

1249 Fusly 13th February 1841. Wayu Dody. No 24 - Marcals 7.

Persons who stored the Salt
- Nuishdar G. Vencataseshah.
- Duffadar C. Ramaswamy.
- Pygusty M. Nagasah.
- Dody Peon Colapah.
- Measurer V. Vencayah.

Pedda Ganjam.

Quantity supposed to be stored	Date of manufacture	When stored	Dody	Wt Heap	Excess
10 Garce.	1250 Fusly.	26th Febry 1842.	Kotta Dody No 3.	No 7	Marcals 79

Persons who stored the Salt
- Goomastah C. Venkatachellum.
- Superintending Duffadar S. Chandoo.
- Pygusty Mohomed Galib.
- Dody Peon Mohomed Sillaman.
- Acting Measurer B. Gopalan.

Quantity supposed to be stored	Date of manufacture.	When stored.	Dody	Heap.	Excess.
10 Garce.	1250 Fusly.	8th January 1842.	Viswaswara Radswamy Dodi	No 9	Marcals 38.

Persons who stored the Salt
- Goomastah C. Venkatachellum.
- Pygusty Mohomed Galib.
- Dody Peon Abdul Khan.
- Do. Mohomed Ibraheem.
- Acting Measurer B. Gopadoo.

Quantity supposed to be stored	Date of manufacture.	When stored.	Dody	Heap.	Excess.
10 Garce.	1250 Fusly.	26th June 1840.	Kotta Dody	No 8.	Marcals 27.

Persons who stored the Salt
- Goomastah C. Venkatachellum.
- Superintending Duffadar S. Chandoo.
- Pygusty M. Gallib.
- Dody Peon M. Sillemon.
- Acting Measurer V. Gopado.

10 Garce.	1249 Fusly.		Viswaswara Sawmy Dodi	333	Marcals

Persons who stored
- Goomastah C. Venkatachellum.
- Sup. Duffadar S. Chandoo.
- Pygusty M. Galib.
- Measurer V. Gopadoo.

N. B. This Heap was Examined by me but not sold to the Lombadies.

Chinna Ganjam.

Quantity supposed to be stored	Date of manufacture.	When sold.	Dody	Heap.	Excess.
10 Garce.	1248 Fusly.	21st Octr 1839.	Kotta Dody	No 14.	Marcals 6 -

Persons who stored the Salt
- Nuishdar S. Venkatanarasimloo.
- Pygusty M. Beig.
- Dody Peon. M. Appayah.
- " M. Khan.
- Measurer V. Vencayah.

From

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

From the above statement, it appears that in 13 heaps there has been an actual excess of Salt to the amount of 1519 Marcals.

To this, we must add an average amount of wastage as in all the heaps of the same fusly manufacture and storage the Servants have, previous to this enquiry was set on foot, accounted for a wastage of at least 45 Marcals on every heap - (which in 13 heaps is 585 Marcals) this exhibits Salt to the amount of more than 5 Garce accruing to the Servants out of 13 heaps.

Combining the decisive evidence of the actual state of the heaps by Measurement with the above depositions of witnesses, I consider that gross fraud is established against the Servants on the Salt establishment both at Pedda Ganjam and Chinna Ganjam. They have turned with their eyes open as it was the invariable custom of Mr. Wirth to turn out the Servants who stored a heap of Salt if afterwards on examination there resulted an excess.

Not the least pernicious effects of the system of fraud that has been carried

on

Fort St. George 27th October 1842

on for some time here - is that the Lumbadies are induced to desert other Cotaurs and resort here in consequence of their receiving better measurement which increases the fraudulent profits of the Servants - whereby the bread is taken out of the mouths of the Salt Manufacturers at Nellore - at whose hands less Salt is required.

During the last month a large Tundah quitted the Nellore Cotaurs even after the Salt measuring had been commenced - and took their requisite supply from those here.

The Servants cannot plead the excuse of the Salt having during this enquiry been measured out in a different manner to what was adopted when the Salt was stored as 5 heaps have been measured out according to the old way and in every heap was there an excess.

I would strongly recommend the punishment of Servants concerned - as if no notice be taken of their conduct - they will continue their practices with impunity, and the Ryots are not likely to speak out again.

Chinna Ganjam
May, 1842.

(Signed) A. Hathaway
Ag. Collr.

True Copy
(Signed) F. Stokes
Collector
Lisb

13377

Fort St George 27th October 1842.

No 34.

List of Accompaniments to a letter to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th October 1842.

No

1 Copy of the defence of S. Venkat Krishnarow in Case No 1, charge for receiving a bribe of 800 Rupees.

2 Do of the decision in Do

3 Do of the defence in Case No 2, charge for receiving a bribe of 1,000 Rupees.

4 Do of decision in Do

5 Do of the defence in Case No 3, charge for receiving 100 Rupees.

6 Do of the decision in Do

7 Do of the defence in Case No 4, charge for receiving 40 Pagodas and a pair of bullocks.

8 Do of the decision in Do

9 Do of the Do of Acting Head Assistant Collector in Case No 5, charge for receiving 300 Rupees. No defence taken

10 Do of the defence in Case No 6 charge for receiving 100 Rupees from Adala Venkat-reddy.

11 Do of the decision in Do

12 Do of the Defence in Case No 7 charge for receiving 100 Rupees from Cummins of Canajal.

13 Do of the decision in Do

14 A Sealed envelope containing document filed in No 1

15 Translation of the above document.

16 Sealed envelope containing 2 documents filed in No 2

17&18 Translations of the above documents.

19 Copy of the Memorandum in Case No 8.

20 Translation of a deposition given in Do

21 Copy of the Arzee in English from N. Sheshagerry row Head Serishtadar

22 Venkat Krishnarows reply to Do

23 to 32 Copies and translations of letters from Sumbaranze Ramiah filed in Cases No 1 and 2 to prove his hand writing.

33 Copy of the Memorandum from the Acting Head Assistant Collector shewing the results of his enquiry in the Salt Department.

Guntoor, - - -
Collector's Cutcherry,
10th October 1842 - -

Signed H. Stokes
Collector,

Venkata Krishna

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Proceedings thereon. (31 - 32) Venkatakistna Row was tried on eight charges, of which seven were for receiving Bribes, under Regulation IX of 1822 and one was in the Magistrates' Department for instigating an assault. He was acquitted on all. Although in some of the cases there were circumstances of strong suspicion, yet there was no decisive proof and considering the nature of the evidence, and the strong party feeling in existence, the Board are of opinion that an acquittal was necessary.

2 It is not requisite to go into the particulars of the charges here, and the Board will only observe that the perusal of these papers confirms them in the opinion formerly expressed, as to the employment of Venkata Kistna Row in Guntoor. In particular they would notice as justifying that order the very objectionable and suspicious practice mentioned in Para 10 of the Collector's letter, the strong and well deserved censure passed on the accused in Para 7 with reference to the 8th Case and the suspicious circumstances of case 4. If therefore the suspension of this individual from

Recording observations on a letter from the Collector of Guntoor reporting the result of his investigation of some charges preferred against Sabnavis Venkatakistna Row was tried on eight charges of which seven were for receiving Bribes under Regulation IX of 1822 and one was in the Magistrates' Department for instigating an assault. He was acquitted on all. Although in some of the cases there were circumstances of strong suspicion, yet there was no decisive proof and considering the nature of the evidence, and the strong party feeling in existence, the Board are of opinion that an acquittal was necessary. It is not requisite to go into the particulars of the charges here, and the Board will only observe that the perusal of these papers confirms them in the opinion formerly expressed, as to the employment of Venkata Kistna Row in Guntoor. In particular they would notice as justifying that order the very objectionable and suspicious practice mentioned in Para 10 of the Collector's letter, the strong and well deserved censure passed on the accused in Para 7 with reference to the 8th Case and the suspicious circumstances of case 4. If therefore the suspension of this individual from office has not yet been changed into final dismissal it should be done at once, and the prohibition of his reemployment in the District attended to.

The Board remark with 3 strong displeasure the part taken by the Head Sirishtadar in these accusations and especially in Cases 1 and 2. It is to be hoped that on the removal of the causes which have given rise of late to so much quarrelling and intrigue, the tone and character of the native public Officers of the District will now be gradually and generally improved. The Board approve of Mr. Stokes abstaining from the investigation of the Sirishtadars' Arzee mentioned in Para 8, which could not have led to any useful result.

4 The evidence against Venkatakistna Row in the case of malversation in the Salt Department investigated by Mr. Hathaway is of no value. As regards the other Servants implicated, it is for the Collector to determine whether or not there is sufficient ground to institute regular charges of corruption or fraud, as well as regarding the dismissal or not of the parties concerned.

Extract Proceedings 8th September 1842. P 3.

Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

Office has not yet been changed into final dismissal it should be done at once, and the prohibition of his reemployment in the District attended to.

The Board remark with 3 strong displeasure the part taken by the Head Sirishtadar in these accusations and especially in Cases 1 and 2. It is to be hoped that on the removal of the causes which have given rise of late to so much quarrelling and intrigue, the tone and character of the native public Officers of the District will now be gradually and generally improved. The Board approve of Mr. Stokes abstaining from the investigation of the Sirishtadars' Arzee mentioned in Para 8, which could not have led to any useful result.

4 The evidence against Venkatakistna Row in the case of malversation in the Salt Department investigated by Mr. Hathaway is of no value. As regards the other Servants implicated, it is for the Collector to determine whether or not there is sufficient ground to institute regular charges of corruption or fraud, as well as regarding the dismissal or not of the parties concerned.

13380 Fort St. George 27th October 1842

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(33)

From the Collector of Guntoor — Sir,

With reference to the accompanying Extracts from the Audit of Accounts of this District, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the charge in dispute, incurred under the arrangement reported to the Board by my predecessor under date the 22nd January 1839.

Guntoor — — —
Collr's Cutcherry
21st October 1842 —

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter with its original enclosures be submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that under the explanation therein contained a special sanction may be granted for the charges incurred in Fuslies 1249 and 1250 Amounting to Rupees 183-8-0 on account of the pay of a Sayer Gomastah employed in the Tanaly Tanah. The letter from Mr. Goldingham dated 22nd January 1839 referred

(33. 34)

Pay of a Sayer Gomastah from 2nd August 1839 to 30th June 1840 of Fusly 1249 @ 8 Rupees per mensem — 87-12-0

Do. Do. from July 1840 to June 1841 of Fs. 1250 @ Do. Do. — 95-12-0

Total 183-8-0

13381 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

to by the Collector, is submitted for the information of Government.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore dated 5th, and entered in Consultation 17th Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Principal Collector of
Nellore.

(35)

To the Pl. Collr of Nellore — Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 5th Instant with its enclosures having reference to a Suit in which you were made a Defendant by order of the Provincial Court in succession to the Codiaghery Jagheerdar on the forfeiture of that principality, which suit having been decreed against the Plaintiff and appealed by him, you solicit authority to defend the appeal at the public cost.

2 The Board have carefully perused the papers which accompanied your letter containing it may be supposed all that can be said on both sides, and they are by no means sure that the right

13382 Fort St. George 27th October 1842.

right is on the side of the Respondents as regards the principal part of the claim. It appears also that appellants object regards that part only viz. the recovery of the 180 Goontahs of land from Doopagoontah for all the arguments throughout the appeal Plaint are pointed at that and the 90 Goontahs originally claimed from Rayavle seem to be scarcely at all alluded to. The former of these Villages is a Shrotrium and the interests of Government are not concerned in a dispute between the Shrotriemdar and the Calastry Zemindar about a little pasture land. It was not for any act done by you or by any other Servant of Government that you were made a defendant but merely as a matter of forme The parties interested in defending the action are the first 16 Defendants proprietors of the Shrotrium and the Board think that the case should be left to them and that so far as you are concerned it should be exparte.

3 Should you be of opinion that any of the arguments in the appeal Plaint affects the land of Rajanol and that it is necessary to meet them, you will be pleased to prepare and submit draft of an answer to that part of the suit only.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th October 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read