No 2

Dup

C818

Vol. 1823

1882

Fort St. George 13th October 1812.

No. Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Thursday.

13th October 1812. } John Dent Esqr. Senior Member.

G. D. Drury Esqr. Second Member.

and

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart. Acting

Temporary Member.

Alexander Maclean Esqr. A.G. Third Member

absent on leave.

Minutes of last

Meeting read and approved.

Read the following

Letter.

To

11883 Fort St. George 13th October 1812.

To

The President and Members of the

Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

1

From the Adjt Chief Secy to Government

I am directed by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying Copy of the Survey of Mergui Harbour by Commander Richard Lloyd, late of the Indian Navy, received from the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Fort St. George, 30th September, 1812

/Signed/ Robert Clerk
Adjt Chief Secy

Order thereon.

1-2

Ordered that the Map accompanying the foregoing letter be lodged in the Office.

Read the following Extracts

Extract

11884 Fort St. George 13th October 1812.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th September 1812.

Read the following

3

From the Asst Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 30th August 1812.

From the Adjt Genl of the Army 27th May 1810 No. 176 } Dy Con. 23d June 1810.

From the Dy Mr Genl of the Army 27th August 1810 No. 373 } Dy Con. 1st Sept. 1810.

From the Adjt Genl of the Army 5th October 1810 No. 809 } Con. 12th Jany 1811. Read

From the Commissary General 26th January 1811 No. 18 } Dy Con. 12th Feby 1811 again papers

Extract Mint. of Con. Revr Dept. 11th March 1811 No. 335 } Con. 30th March 1811 noted

Extract Mint. of Con. Revr Dept. 22d June 1811 No. 838 } Dy Con. 6th July 1811 in the

Extract Mint. of Con. Revr Dept. 7th June 1811 No. 745 } Dy Con. 20th July 1811 Margin

Read the following letter

From the Commissary General Here enter 13th July 1812 No. 113

Minute by the Honorable C. M. Lushington Esqr } Here enter 18th Augt 1812

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council having given his best attention to the important question which forms the Subject of the foregoing papers, proceeds, with

with reference to a Military Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated the 2nd March 1842 No 8. paras 8 to 12, to record his sentiments thereon.

2 The impracticability of wholly preventing by any regulation or enactment, the Sale to Natives, in a Military Contonment, of Spirituous and fermented liquors and intoxicating drugs, is a fact that cannot be denied. It is, therefore desirable that the sale should be conducted under such Regulations as to produce the least possible amount of evil. The Abkaree system as established under the sanction of the late Sir Thomas Munro as name justly revered by the Coast Army, avowedly for the purpose of effecting this object.

3 It is essential to the right understanding of the question under consideration that the Abkaree system as at present in operation under this Presidency, should in the first instance be accurately described.

4 The following account of the Abkarry System has been prepared from

*G.O. G. 30th Oct. 1819
Minutes of Con. 7 to 20th March 1827
Regulation VIII of 1832.

from the Regulations on that head and from the terms of the Abkaree Contractors' engagement at Secundrabad, transcript of which accompanied the foregoing letter from the Commissary General 13th July 1842. No 113.

5 Under the Abkarry system a contract is entered into by some individual (he must be a Native) with the Commissariat Department on behalf of Government, for the exclusive privelege of selling Native and Foreign Spirituous and fermented liquors and intoxicating drugs to Natives within certain limits at a Military Station.

6 The proposals for such a contract are publicly invited, and the highest offer, if no personal objection exists to the party, is accepted. The contract continues for one year.

7 For the exclusive privilege, above described, the contractor pays a Monthly Sum to Government. The contract being open to competition, the Amount of the monthly payment may

may in some instances be unduly raised, by parties vying with each other - but it is understood that generally, the rent - paid by the Contractor is not more than a fair return for the monopoly granted to him -

8 The contractor is bound to - retail good and wholesome spirit distilled from certain materials which are specified in his contract at such places only as may be fixed upon by the Commissariat Officer under the orders of the Officer Commanding the Garrison - a list of which is furnished to the contractor - and such shops once established are not liable to removal - during the existence of the contract, except in the event of repeated irregularities - being proved to have occurred at them, or with the mutual consent of the contracting parties - The Commanding Officer has, - however, an opportunity annually of altering the positions of the Shops, and of reducing their number before a new contract is entered into -

9 All spirituous liquors brought in -

in by Strangers without the permit of the Commissariat Officer are liable to seizure and confiscation, unless such spirituous liquors are for the private use of European Residents, and conveyed to them from the Presidency, or other station, accompanied by a permit -

10 The contractor is not to allow any Arrack, or Toddy, or intoxicating drug to be sold directly or indirectly, to any European man, Woman, or child, - unless under a written order from the Officer commanding - and the same rule is applicable to foreign spirits -

11 No Arrack is to be sold on credit, and the contractor is bound to discharge from his employment, any retail Shopkeeper who may be proved to have acted contrary to this rule, on the Commissariat Officer giving him instructions to that effect -

12 No Native is to be allowed to drink to excess so as to become - intoxicated. The Arrack sold must be drunk on the spot and none allowed to be carried away without the special pass of the - Officer

Officer Commanding, or Commissariat Officer, and when special permission is granted. the quantity is limited to one bottle, and the Contractor is held responsible that no abuse arises from the indulgence.

13 The Contractor being bound to distil the Arrack from certain materials, stated in his contract, if it appears that any bad drugs, herbs or intoxicating substances or liquids are put into the Arrack, for the first offence, on conviction, the contractor is to suffer a fine of Rupees 50, and for the Second and every subsequent offence, a fine of Rupees 100.

14 The Contractor has to furnish a List of the retail Shopkeepers to be employed by him, under his contract, to the Commissariat Officer, and is required to notify any change in them immediately to that Officer.

15 The commissariat Officer defines the establishment of Peons, &c. which the contractor is to be kept up for the due performance of his contract.

16 The contractors Peons are regularly paid

paid according to the established rate, and they are mustered by the Commissariat Officer whenever he deems it necessary.

17 The Commissariat Officer furnishes the Contractor with Belts and Badges for his Peons.

18 Should any of the Peons be discharged or any additional number be required, the same is made known to the Commissariat Officer, with whose concurrence any increase or decrease in the establishment must be effected.

19 The Arrack and Toddy Shops are opened at 6 O'Clock A. M. and closed at 8 O'Clock P. M.

20 In the event of the Circars' Peons or other servants seizing or giving information of smuggled spirits or intoxicating herbs, the same are in the first instance offered to the contractor at fair valuation, but should he decline to become the purchaser, they are sold in front of the Cutwalls' Choultry to any person who may desire the same for the benefit of the Captors.

On

21. On signing the Agreement respecting the contract, the contractor is required to deposit in the Commissariat Office one Months rent, which is forfeited by him on his failing duly to perform his contract or any part thereof.

22. It is probable that no system could be devised which would be wholly free from defects, and although the Abkaree system appears to be admirably calculated to prevent the sale of country manufactured spirits to the European Troops (an object of the first importance) also, to a certain extent, to prevent smuggling and to supply the Native Military Classes with comparatively wholesome spirits, still there are some points which appear open to objection and His Lordship in Council proposes briefly to notice them.

23. It will be seen by the above description of the abkaree System that the Contractor is required to employ Peons. These men are entertained and paid by the Contractor they are consequently his servants, and it is obvious that a wealthy native with such a band

band of paid Followers devoted to his interests may be guilty of many irregularities. Thus he may, through his Agents, introduce liquor of inferior quality into the Cantonment, and sell it at a low rate to the soldiery, at the place most suitable to his purpose, and escape detection. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not assert that such a practice does prevail. The charge has been made by the Adjutant General of the Army, and although that Officer has adduced no proof in support of the allegation, the System appears to His Lordship in Council to afford facilities for such a practice.

24. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the System might be improved by prohibiting the Contractor from entertaining Peons, and by restricting him to the number of persons absolutely necessary for the distillation of the liquor &ca; the permanent Police Establishment being at the same time augmented with a view to the duties now performed by the Contractors Peons being discharged by Police Peons detailed in turn for these

duties

duties -

25 The change would not necessarily be attended with any extra expence to Government as the Contractor on being relieved from the expence of maintaining Peons might reasonably be expected to pay a larger monthly sum for his Contract -

26 The Commissary General is instructed to report whether any objection exists to the alteration here suggested being carried into effect -

27 Another objection which, in the opinion of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, may be urged against the present System, is the anxiety which it is understood is not unfrequently manifested by the Commissariat Officer to sell the contract at a high rate - It is doubtless the duty of the Officers of the Commissariat to attend to the interests of Government, but they should ever bear in mind that as regards the Abkaree System in military Cantonments, revenue is quite a Subordinate Consideration, the first and great object of the

the System being to improve the habits of the Soldiery -

28 The Commissary General is requested to impress this principle upon the Officers of his Department at outstations and to instruct them on each occasion of the renewal of a Contract to obtain the order of the Officer Commanding the Garrison as to whether any alteration should be made in the number and position of the Shops, and to submit to the Commanding Officer any proposals tending to check drunkenness in the Cantonment, and to improve the habits of the Troops, which the experience of the past year may have suggested without reference to the interests of the Contractor -

29 It is necessary now to notice a letter from the Adjutant General of the Army under date the 5th of October 1810, conveying the recommendation of the then Commander in Chief, His Excellency the late Lieutenant General Sir Samford Whittingham K.C.B. and K.C.H. that the Abkaree

Fort St. George 13th October 1812.

Abkaree System should be abolished, and proposing a new arrangement.

30 The opinion, on any Military question, of such a distinguished Officer as the late Sir Samford Whittingham would have great weight with his Lordship in Council but as regards the Abkaree System, it must be observed that his Excellency's opinion on that subject was expressed at a very early period after his arrival in this Country, and it is probable that some years experience, and a more perfect knowledge of the System would have produced a change in his sentiments respecting it.

Sir Samford Whittingham arrived at Madras 1st August 1810.

Date of Adjutant General's letter 5th Oct. 1810.

31 The principal arguments used in the Adjutant General's letter for the abolition of the Abkaree System appear to be.

1st That as the contractor generally engages to pay an enormous sum for the privilege of the exclusive sale of spirits &c. he is compelled in order to indemnify himself to use all the means in his power, legal and illegal, to encourage drinking among the Soldiery.

Fort St. George 13th October 1812.

2d That the system facilitates smuggling, the Contractor himself being the illicit as well as the legal dealer.

32 With regard to the first objection, it may be remarked that it is the interest of all retail dealers in spirits in this as in other countries, to encourage drinking, and the monthly rent which the Abkaree Contractor under this Presidency is required to pay merely operates as a tax, and certainly has a tendency to check rather than to increase the consumption of spirits.

33. On the second objection, His Lordship in council has already made observations, and he will only now remark that although the contractor may, through his agents, smuggle for his own benefit, it is his interest to oppose, in every way, a general System of smuggling by parties unconnected with him.

3. It is now proposed to consider the arrangements suggested in the Adjutant General's letter, to be adopted in substitution for the Abkaree System. His Lordship in Council

Adjutant Generals Letter No: 899 5th October 1840.-

Council will notice each of the proposed rules separately.-

Para 21 That no Wines, Beer or Spirits shall be sold in Military Stations or Cantonments except in Licensed Shops, the number of which is to be fixed by Commanding Officers under the orders of the Commander in Chief.-

35 This rule with the exception of the reference to the Commander in Chief is in full force at present under the Abkarree System. Commanding Officers do not regulate the number and position of the Shops

G: O: G. 30th October 1819.- Minutes of Consultation 20th March 1827 Regulation VII of 1832 Section 4th.-

it is a reprehensible omission on their parts the power of doing so is vested in them by Regulation.-

22 That no revenue be derived from the sale of intoxicating liquors or deleterious drugs.-

36 The only effect this rule could have would be to reduce the price, and as a necessary consequence to increase the consumption.-

23.- That no individual be allowed to establish more than one Shop.-

37 The utility of this rule is not apparent. The Contractor is responsible under the Abkarree system that proper persons are placed in charge of his shops.-

24 That security be taken from every Shop keeper liable to forfeiture upon an approved breach of Regulations for the sale of Spirits.-

38.- Corresponds with the rule at present in force, the Contractor being liable to a penalty for any breach of Regulation.-

This

25.- That licences be renewable every six Months, & always liable to resumption on proof of breach of Regulations.-

39 This rule would be objectionable as far as it concerned Shops established in practice to be inconvenient. If desirable, it might be adapted under the contract system.-

26.- That exception licenced Shops situated within Military limits, no intoxicating liquor or deleterious drugs shall be allowed sale within a range of four miles from the European Barracks or some central point of a Cantonment and to prevent collision between Civil & Military Authorities on this subject, that boundaries for this purpose be exactly defined & that the former are distinctly to understand that the sale of intoxicating liquors to the Military classes is under all circumstances illegal, and is never to be contemplated as forming any part of the gain to be derived from an Abkarree Contract sold by the Revenue Authorities.-

40.- In force at present

41 The principal difference between the present and the proposed arrangement is that instead of one Contractor having the exclusive privilege of selling liquor, for which privilege he is

is required to pay, it is proposed that several individuals shall be allowed to establish Shops and that no payments shall be demanded from them.-

42 The number of Shops being limited, only a few, out of the many who might wish to deal in Spirits, could be permitted to open Shops and those few would thus have all the benefit of a monopoly without paying any thing for it, or having a better right to the privilege of selling Spirits than their neighbours who are not allowed to do so- such an arrangement would be unjust as regarded the individuals excluded- and it might be expected that the practice of smuggling unwholesome liquor, &c.a into the Cantonment would increase to a most injurious extent.-

43.- The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has already observed that the revenue arising from the Abkarree system was a secondary consideration, but he must remark, that the proposed rule while

while they would deprive the Government of a revenue which at present more than provides for the Police expenditure at Military Stations are not so well calculated to check drunkenness, and to promote order in a Military Cantonment as the existing rules.-

44 Under the foregoing view of the case, and after an attentive consideration of the whole question, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has no hesitation in recording his opinion that the Abkarree system should be maintained under this Presidency- It has its defects and some of them have been noticed, but his Lordship in Council believes it would be very difficult to form a system which would better answer the great objects contemplated- All that it appears to require is to make it work well is the active superintendence of Officers aided by an efficient police.-

45 The remarks recorded on this Minute are exclusively applicable to the Military Abkarree System, as conducted under the supervision of the Commissariat Department

Department at Military Stations. It is however necessary to dispose of a question at present pending respecting the continuance of the Abkarree System, under the Collector at St. Thomas' Mount.—

46 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council having duly considered the subject, is of opinion that it is not expedient to adopt a new system at St. Thomas' Mount. The Abkarree system will therefore be conducted at that Station, as heretofore, under the Collectorship.

47 One point only now remains to be disposed of, namely, the proposed alteration in the Military Abkaree limits at Trichinopoly. His Lordship in Council is not disposed at present to sanction any alteration in these limits. A perusal of the reports on the subject above recorded, has satisfied His Lordship in Council that no inconvenience will be experienced from existing arrangements if the Civil and Military Officers concerned keep the same object in view (the suppression of drunkenness and immorality) and co-operate with

with each other for the benefit of the Public service. The Abkarry arrangements at Trichinopoly will accordingly remain as at present.—

48 The Right Honorable the Governor General in Council observes that the subject adverted to in paras 10—11 and 27 of the Adjutant General's letter of the 5th October 1840 No. 809 Viz. the sale of Rations drawn by European Pensioners, was disposed of in an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 12th January 1841 No. 126.—

49 Ordered that this Minute be communicated to the Revenue Department in reference to Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department 12th January, 1st and 16th July 1841 Nos. 126—2545 and 2439 and 17th May 1842 No. 2550.—

50 Ordered that the following letter be dispatched.—

To the Secretary to Government of India Military Department.— (Here enter 30th August 1842 No. 3475)

(Signed) S. W. Steel Lt. Coll.
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue.—

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Sect. to Govt.

Ordered

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.-

Order thereon.- Ordered that a copy of the
(4) latter part of para 45 and of para 46 be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras.-

Further Order thereon.- Ordered also that a copy of
(3-5) para 47 be furnished to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th October 1842.-

From the Asst. Sect. to Govt.
(6)

Read the following Letter.-

From,

Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of India

To W. Elliot Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Govt of Fort St. George

Dated Fort William 26th August 1842

Sir,

Judicial Department I am directed by the Honorable the President in Council to forward to you to be laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying Extract Para 21 of a Dispatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated the 4th May 1842 No 5.-

(Signed) Fred Jas. Halliday
Offg. Sect to the
Govt of India

Extract

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.-

Extract from a Dispatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Judicial Department dated 4th May 1842 No 5.-

25-26- Formation of Penitentiary without that enclosure at the Tomb of Secundra.-

21 In our Despatch of the 30th March No 4 1842 para 6 we have expressed our hope that in the use to which the Tomb at Secundra has been applied offence has been given to the religious feelings of the Mahomedan population. We think it further necessary to draw your attention to the sentiments conveyed to you in our Despatches of the 25th of February 1813 para 26, and 25th April 1820 para 131 to 133, Viz. that the credit of our administration is connected with the preservation of such a Memorial of the former splendour of the Indian Empire and that it is essential to the character of our Government that the religious prejudices and rights of the Natives whether of the Mahomedan or Hindoo persuasion should on all occasions be respected and maintained. We find it difficult to reconcile with these sentiments the idea of Akbar's Tomb at Secundra being employed as a receptacle for prisoners and

1905 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

and unless you shall be of opinion that there is ample ground for our being satisfied on that point, we desire that such an appropriation of the building may be immediately discontinued"

(True Extract)
(Signed) Fred. Jas: Halliday
Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of Inda

No. 589

Ordered that the foregoing letter and its enclosure be transmitted to the Court of Sudder Udalut and the Board of Revenue in view to the attention of the Judicial and Revenue Officers respectively subordinate to them being particularly drawn to the sentiments expressed by the Honorable the Court of Directors in regard to the appropriation of public edifices in a manner likely to be repugnant to the feelings of the community.

Ordered also to be communicated to the Political and Military Department.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

6-7

Resolution thereon, circular to all Collrs. & Commissioners at Kurnool } Resolved that a copy of the foregoing letter from the Government of India and of its enclosure, with the order of this Government thereon, be circulated for the information and guidance of the Collectors in the Province. To

1906 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

From the Secy. to Govt. to Government } Under date the 4th October 1842.

8

Resolved that the Table of statistics of the Land Revenue and of crime and criminal Justice of the North Western Provinces received under date the 29th Ultimo be communicated to the Board of Revenue and the Judges of the Sudder Udalut respectively for their information.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Statistics.

Fort St. George

Statistics of Land Revenue, area and Population in the Districts of the N.W.

13th October 1842.

Provinces.

Division	District	Number of Villages Mehals or Muzahs	Area in square Miles	Area in acres	Malgoozary Cultivated	Munbaee — Uncultivated	Munbaee — Lakhiraj	Munbaee — Barren	Land Revenue Average Jumma of past five years	Land Revenue Present or Kamil Jama
Dehlee	Bhuttee (a)	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Panneput	"	"	"	"	319284	7016	135528	514609	380200
	Bureeanah	441.	2591.	1657975	696147	19757	68699	47473	314535	363375.
	Dehlee	379.	669.	384534	174605.	347786.	2294	30121	757301	823316
	Rohtuk	290	1320	844666	474464	355668	1665	155751.	962521	1014248.
	Goorgaon	1205	1813.	1160437.	647353.	"	"	"	"	"
Meerut	Dayrah Dhoon	"	"	"	"	283841.	27035	100982	943393	1005537
	Suharumpore	1687.	1592	1013705	606847	16957.	17911	113461.	577444	627740
	Mozuffurnugur	742.	1081.	641706	392357	448997	104171.	201256	2003492	2185614
	Meerut and Serdhuna	2087	2776	776430	1034010.	335257.	43171.	54028	909469	951682
	Boolund Shuhur	1448.	1602	1025096.	592830	a. 172954	b. 17395.	b. 61224	c. 1921110.	1964486.
	Ally Gurh (be)	2183	1749	1119238.	900562.	"	"	"	"	"
Kumaon	Kumaon	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Gurhwall	"	"	"	"	241453	51619	275652	1089255	1122375.
Bareilly	Bijnour	2883	1647.	1027533	459409	413621.	72515	212179	1167044	1103064
	Moradabad	"	"	"	752103.	274690.	25445	134649	504353	418782
	Budaon	2067	1266.	1450418	232810.	289975.	{47774 15722	123104	1328535.	1263454
	Pilleebheet	788.	1121	717594	639579.	455926	28905	172831.	1095149.	1027075.
	Bareilly	2383.	1744	1118174	635549.	104836	16620	122969.	1432598.	1582935
	Shahjehanpore	2778.	2046	1312211.	835549.	69544	4263	225190.	1451773.	1392972
Agra	Muttra	834	1366	874516	630091	287265.	24604	321165.	1578279	1456237
	Agra (d)	1206	1462.	935815	646818	732661	7092	477752	1333062	1382382
	Furruckabad	1723.	1949	1247288	611253	139661.	27465.	426729.	1489921.	1310938
	Mynpoory	1463.	2081.	1281927	813422	"	"	"	"	"
	Etawah	1257.	1674	1071756.	477901	187800	43995	503734	2324011	2181540
Allahabad	Cawnpore	2284	2340	1497795	782276.	122363	8742	352574	1332325.	1418933
	Futtehpoor	1314	1555	990574	516405	"	"	"	"	"
	Mumeerpoory	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Calpee	"	"	"	"	251597	64319	496820	1988766	2156581.
	Bahnda	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Allahabad	4005	2797	1790244	997503	1304178	134156	749646	847359	2033546.
Benares	Jeruckpoor	15325	7125	4115214	1927234	274110	41738	561829	1207398	1517883
		Jungle 6409	695.	444835	773616.	"	"	"	"	"
	Azimgurh		2464	1652293		"	"	"	"	"
	Jhoonpore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Mirzapore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Benares	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Ghazeepore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tenasserim	Tenasserim	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Sangor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Dumoh	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Jubulpoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Hoshungabad	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Baitool	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Soonar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

a. Including Subathu Loodiana
b. The details of Pergunnah Mursaun
c. Jumma of latter four of 24 pirgunnahs
d. 2 Pergunnahs wanting

and Ferozepoor wanting in Col 8-9-and 10- Settlement -

1908

Fort St. George

13th October 1842.

Rate per Acre on total Area	Rate per Acre on total Malyoozaree	Rate per Acre on total Cultivation		Charges of full revenue Establishment for Collectors and inferior offices	Percentage of revenue charge on Jumma	Net Stamp Collections for 1839-1840	Dr. Abkaree Dr. for 1839-1840	Population Agriculture	Population Non-Agriculture
						483.	14470		
0-3-8.	0-4-0.	0-8-8.				3708.	13271.		
0-15-11.	1-7-4.	2-1-3.	A.	50340	13-3-10.	1957.	3437		
0-11-10.	0-12-4.	1-5-2.		44112	12-2-3.	32707.	25840		
0-13-11.	1-0-2.	1-9-10.		38484	6-2-0.	663.	1072		
				55044	5-6-9.	265.	4347		
0-15-9							6794	24493	6324
0-15-6.	1-2-0.	1-10-6.	B	102277	9-15-6.	20206	11445	374965	166236
1-3-8.	1-3-3.	1-11-6.		49884	7-6-7.	607.	6690	601211	126494
0-14-10.	1-7-7.	2-1-9.		118368	5-6-7.	34964	34322	650762	220309
1-12-6.	1-0-4	1-9-8.		78660	3-4-3.	803.	4655	310154	19023
	1-13-0.	2-0-8.		99864	5-1-4.	29924	11930	566799	644499
							1717		
1-1-5.	1-9-7.	2-7-1		70872	6-6-5.	627.	8376	211142	274990
0-12-2.	0-15-2.					23536	24404		
0-9-4	0-13-2.	1-7-6.		81240	9-5-10.	14564	11859	177211	41438
1-2-1.	1-5-9.	1-12-9.	C	44508	10-10-5.	54	8123		
0-12-6.	0-14-10.	1-15-7.		10729	8-8-5.	36894	30398	239469	
		1-9-3.		65376	6-10-6.	429.	20851.	143747.	92954
									38059.
1-8-1.	1-11-7.	1-15-2.				1035	13902		
1-7-9.	1-15-7.	2-2-5.	D.	110252	6-15-5.	35909	21841	528395	
1-2-8.	1-9-10.	2-6-0.		130078	9-5-5.	26425.	31265	217865	
1-1-3.	1-11-9.	2-4-0.		106838	7-5-2.	6477.	13521	126864	205543
1-5-7.	2-1-11.	2-11-10.		118656	8-9-9.	8322	8570	36270	502129
				106872	8-1-1.			31086.	326628
1-7-3.	2-4-8.	2-12-7.				27190	87436	266987	215797
1-6-11.	2-4-0.	2-12-9		161940	7-6-9.	13833	34241	233143	199831
				85908	6-0-10.	321.	9390	168582	180084
									105216.
1-3-3.	1-12-0.					14526	20997	299167	
		2-2-6.				42205	124493.	483257	145940
				114764	5-5-2.				208714.
0-7-3.	0-10-3.	1-1-3.	E.	136351	6-8-8.	45249	137735	1289693	
0-14-8.	1-7-1.	1-15-4							
				75780.	4-15-10.	1872	64490	779555	225451
						16325.	41904	503502	157657
						19342	52215.	85645	520040.
						30950	108877	480213	167422
						26819	62788	722297.	354490.
							16899		
							33234		
							74489.		
							3599		
							452.		

Super Compensation. Nankar.

	including	
A		
B	4360	5000
C	1200	550
D	24000	1560
E		21775

1909

Fort St. George

Statistics of Crime and Criminal Justice in the Districts of the N. W. Provinces, for 1840.

13th October 1842.

Murder and Homicide	Aggravated Dacoitee	Unaggravated D. and attempts	Highway Robbery	Burglary attempts Thefts	Affray and violent assault	Miscellaneous petty complaints and offences	Total cases	Total Criminal	By Magistrates or his subordinates: Acquitted	Punished	Percentage of Convictions to acquittals
1.	1	"	48	333.	6	203.	592	850	403.	347.	46.
8	"	"	42	523.	12	219.	804	1009	368	547	59.
3	"	1	3.	595.	6.	324	930	1213	625.	502.	44
4	"	"	4	301.	11.	47	367.	717	171	433.	72
3	"	"	9	261.	21.	100	394	722.	108.	555.	84
9.	"	"	12.	656.	15.	290.	980	1129	479.	565.	53.
"	"	1	"	87.	4	93.	135.	365	179	175	49.
12	"	"	7.	1079.	21.	199.	1319	872	408	354	46.
5.	"	"	11.	805.	26	261.	1408	888.	169.	566.	77.
5.	"	"	20	1185	8	257.	1475	829.	185.	584	75.
11.	"	"	13	2403.	25.	183.	2635.	822	368	342	48
14	1.	"	6.	1837	13	262.	2138	1092.	441.	469.	51.
8	"	"	"	34	9	589.	640	436.	316	112	26
1.	"	"	"	6.	"	313.	320	457	12	315.	96.
7	1	"	17	1060	11	289.	1385	918.	478.	349.	42
15	"	"	18	1193	38	185.	1449	1128	401.	531.	57.
9	"	"	3	1490	15	177.	1700	967.	339.	436.	56.
16.	5	6	2	839.	9.	129.	1049	663.	266.	282	51.
17.	2	"	3	1159	43.	266.	1489	1252	465	632	58
"	"	"	7.	1123.	46.	149.	1342	1830	562.	1145	67.
10	1	"	"	"	"	350	2793	1590	535.	976.	65.
12	"	"	9	2402	22	791	2752	2180	425.	1604	79.
33.	2	2	3	1931	15	1469.	3137	2216	757.	1327	64
12	"	"	14	1805	12	198.	1092	823.	367	289.	44
14	1.	"	7	357	18	198.	910.	721.	208.	433.	69.
25.	1	"	8	670.	19.	468	1640	1385	259.	981	79
18	2	1	5	1019	32	198.	588	795	278	372	57.
9	1	"	4	355	15.	305.	820	779	276.	449.	62
11.	"	"	4	4962	5	390	712	1468	630	673.	52
21.	1.	4	1	299.	11	454	1260	1984	574	1298	72
23	"	"	3.	751.	26.	493	1987	1805	245	1455	86.
13.	"	"	13.	1457	4						
12	1	"	"	"	"	333.	991.	1638	298	1225	
4	"	1	4	632	9.	389.	759	1450	324	913.	80
11.	"	1	4	237	63.	475	1066	1443	477	909.	74
15.	"	"	2	515.	9.	474	1141	2149	1057	864	66.
14	5	1	1	600	55	434	1052	1287.	394	793.	67.
13.	"	1	6.	565.	30.	360.	1056	1299	540	629.	54
14	"	1	"	"	"	169.	433	529.	138	260	65
15	1	"	16	648	12	191.	1250	827.	359.	431.	55.
4	"	4	1.	293	6	341.	2609	1506	733.	706.	49.
8.	1.	"	"	1841	4	128.	324	321.	165.	122.	46
"	"	"	21.	2219	12	103.	583.	406.	180.	184	48
"	"	"	2	186.	4						
"	"	"	1.	466.							

* Cases disposed of by Sudder Dewanny Adawlut and Session Judges not accounted for.

Sudder Board of Revenue
N. W. P. Allahabad.
the 13th May 1842.

Signed / H. M. Elliot
Secretary

Ordered

Order thereon,

8 . 9

Ordered to be recorded -

Read the following Resolutions -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th October 1842 -

(From 8th September).

From the Sec. Sec. J. to Government -

10

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the one sixteenth share from the moiety of the Dehot Enam of Poonjee in North Arcot, enjoyed by the late Kyroom Beebee being continued to Seid Tippoo on the conditions referred to in the foregoing Proceedings and on that of supporting his sister Moosain Beebee, and he also authorizes the payment of the arrears due from the date of decrease of the late incumbent to the end of fasly 1250 amounting to Rupees (157 - 3 - 6) One hundred and fifty seven, annas three and Pice six -

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Sec. Sec. to Govt.

10 . 11

Resolution thereon,

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be transmitted to the Collector of North Arcot for his information and guidance, with

with reference to his letter of the 24th August last - No 126 -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th October 1842 -

(From 8th September).

12

From the Sec. Sec. J. to Government -

Agreeably to the principle laid down in Paras 8 and 9 of the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th of September 1827, the Most Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the continuance of an allowance of Rupees (500) Five hundred and sixty per annum, (being two thirds of the amount received by the late Ramachendra Naidoo, a descendant of the late Poligar of Polore in North Arcot) to his Elder son Ragoonadoo Naud for the support of the family subject to the payment of Rupees six per mensem to Soorammah, the 2d wife of the deceased incumbent. The allowance now sanctioned will take effect from the 10th of April 1842, the date of Ramachendra Naidoo's death -

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Sec. Sec. to Govt.

12 . 13

Resolution thereon,

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be

be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter of the 24th August last No 127-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th October 1842-

(From B. 8th September)

14

From the Sec.y to Government

From the Report submitted by the Board of Revenue to Government in this case it appears that tho' every facility has been afforded to Petitioner to substantiate his charges against the Tahsildar of Darampoory he has failed to do so in any instance- The Government resolve therefore to treat his representations as unworthy of credit. No further petitions on the same subject will be attended to-

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Sec.y to Govt

14-15

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government be furnished for the information of the Principal Collector of Salem with reference to the Board's Proceedings of 8th September last-

Read

From the Asst. Coll.r of Vizagapatam

16

Read letter- Forwarding two Statements- One Comparative of Collections and the other of Charges for Fuslies 1250/51-

Order thereon,

16-17

Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Proceedings-

In Cons.s 15th July 1842-

18

Read letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated June 30th 1842 No 90- forwarding an original appeal Petition presented under Regulation IX of 1822 by three putty Sonneswara Row late a Samuntdar in the Rajahmundry District, against a decision of the Collector, by which he was fined and dismissed, together with the Original Proceedings held in the case-

Herewith returned-

Appellant in his capacity as Samuntdar took engagement from complainant Bagavanoolo to cultivate land in the Village of Singavarochem to the value of 118 Rupees. The complainant states that he paid 117--4 of that amount but that appellant only gave him receipt for 97 Rs having appropriated 20 Rupees out of a payment of 38 Rupees made to him in January last- The other 18 Rupees of that sum are entered in the receipt held by complainant but were not brought

Para 1.

brought to account in the Chittak. Appel-
=lant altogether denies this payment of
38 Rupees, and asserts that his signature
appended to the entry of 18 Rupees in the
Receipt is a forgery.

2. Two witnesses depose
that they were present when the money
was paid to appellant. but their
evidence is such as it is impossible
to rely on. Their mutual connection,
the accidental causes assigned to
account for their presence, their seeing
just so much as was necessary to
support the case and no more,
all these circumstances are too com-
=mon marks of false and collu-
=sive evidence, to allow of the state-
=ments of these men being admitted.
It is to be remarked too that there are
several discrepancies in trifling
matters of fact both between their
two depositions before the Collector
and between the two statements of
one of them before the Ameen and
before the Collector, discrepancies
which though minute, could hardly
occur in a true narrative.

3. The Collector convicts the
appellant of embezzling the whole 38 Rupees.
Twenty

Twenty Rupees on the evidence of the above
two witnesses and 18 on the receipt for
that sum produced by Complainant a
which contains appellants signature
The Board have given reasons above
for distrusting the evidence of the wit-
=nesses. It is further observable that
the 20 Rupees are stated by complain-
=ant to have been appropriated by
appellant with his knowledge not
clandestinely and under the shew of
giving him a receipt for the whole yet
it is not said that Complainant had
obtained, or was to obtain, any advan-
=tage from appellant in considera-
=tion of which the bribe might be de-
=manded or given.

As to the 18 Rupees, the
proof rests in the supposed genuine-
=ness of appellants name in the receipt.
On examining that document, indeed
it is difficult to discern any difference
between that signature, and those to
the other payments which appellant
admits to be genuine, but a mere
similarity of writing unsupported
by corroborating evidence, can never be
taken as proof, and the Collector should re-
=member how easily the paper on which the Complainants'
payments

payments are noted, might have been falsified, remaining as it did in his own hands had such an inclination existed - It appears too from this receipt that 22½ Rupees were paid by complainant on the 20th January only three days before the date (23d January) on which he states that he paid 38 Rupees This speedy recurrence of payments and the liquidation of the whole of Complainants balance except ¾ Rupee so early in the fusly would be difficult to account for -

5. From the Return for April 1842 of persons charged under Regulation IX of 1822 before the Collector of Rajahmundry it appears that the present appellant was arraigned on three separate charges of embezzling money from the Collections in the Village of Singaravochum, two of which were dismissed as unproved and unfounded, while the present charge only was considered established - The Board are clearly of opinion that the proof totally fails in this case also, and there appears too much reason to fear that all three charges are equally the offspring of a conspiracy to ruin the appellant The Board reverse the decision

decision of the Collector and direct that the fine of 38 Rupees levied from Appellant be returned to him - The appellant is justly liable to the censure passed on him by the Collector for disobedience to an important order and for neglecting the methods prescribed for securing the Ryot from wrong - The Board therefore will not order his restoration to the Office from which he has been dismissed, but they desire that the Collector will take an early opportunity of employing him in such a situation as he may consider him best fitted for - The purport of the foregoing decision should be communicated by the Collector to the Appellant in writing -

6. The Board trust that Mr Smith has duly noticed the manner in which the Curnum and Shroff of Singavarochum have been accustomed to neglect their duties, and has taken measures to prevent a countenance of such negligence -

Ordered that Extract of the foregoing Proceedings be furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance with reference to his letter recorded above -

Read the following Statement -

Statement -

11919 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Order thereon,

(19-20)

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read the following letters.

(21)

From the Head Asst. Collector in Charge of Masulipatam

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have, this day, made over charge of the Revenue management of the Zillah of Masulipatam, together with the balance of Cash in the Revenue Treasury to R. T. Porter Esqre Collector, amounting to Company's Rupees 100629-4-5. and I herein enclose his receipts for the same.

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 7th October 1842.

(Signed) E. E. Ward
Asst. Collector
In Charge

Received

11918 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in the Zillah of Masulipatam at the undermentioned Ports on the 3d October 1842.

(19)

Ports	Quantity of Salt shipped & entered for exportation to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity actually in store & already exported to 3d October 1842.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store & ready for exportation.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5
Coringa	40000 "	39420 "	580 "	5760 "	Collected in Consequence of Indent
Zillah Paymaster's Office					
Collector's Office					
Cocanada 4th October 1842					

E. E. Ward
Collector

Ordered

11920 Fort St. George 13th October 1842-

Received from E. E. Ward Esqr. Head Assistant Collector in Charge in the Zillah of Masulipatam, in the undermentioned Coins, the Sum of Company's Rupees 100629-a-5-p being the balance of Cash remaining in the Revenue Treasury on this date according to the accounts of the said Treasury -

Species	Coins -	Number of Coins -	Rate of conversion per 100 Pieces of Coins. CRS	a	b	Amount CRS	a	b	Total - CRS	a	b
Silver -	Company's Rupees	94717	100	-	-	94717	-	-			
	D°. Half D°.	806.	50	-	-	403	-	-			
	D°. Quarter D°.	2104	25	-	-	526	-	-			
	Double Annas	4681	12	8	-	585	2	-			
	Single Annas	1835	6	4	-	114	11	-			
	Company's Rupees of 1835.	2121	100	-	-	2121	-	-			
	D°. Quarter D°.	1419	25	-	-	354	12	-			
	Company's Rupees of 1840 -	954	100	-	-	954	-	-			
	Bombay Rupees	133	100	-	-	133.	-	-			
	D°. Half D°.	5	50	-	-	2	8	-			
	D°. Quarter D°.	14	25	-	-	3	8	-			
	Old Company's Rupees	24	100	-	-	24	-	-			
	D°. Half D°.	12	50	-	-	6	-	-			
	D°. Quarter D°.	32	25	-	-	8	-	-			
	Furrukabad Rupees	46.	100	-	-	46	-	-			
	D°. Quarter D°.	4	25	-	-	1	-	-	99999	9	-
Copper	Half Anna Pieces -	935	3	2	-	29	3	6.			
	Quarter D° D°.	4656	1	9	-	72	12	-			
	4 Pice Pieces	419.	2	1	4	8	11	8.			
	2 D° D°	900	1	-	8	9	6	-			
	1 D° D°	118	-	8	4	-	9	10			
	20 Cash D°	359	1	15	6/10	6	15	6.			
	10 D° D°	187	-	15	6/10	1	12	11.	129	7	5
									100129	-	5.
	Value of 9 Bank Notes								500	-	-
								Total —	100629	-	5

Company's Rupees One Lac, Six hundred and Twenty nine and Pice five -

Masulipatam — Collector's Cutcherry 7th October 1842 —

E. E. W. (Signed) R. T. Porter Collector

To —

Fort St. George 13th. October 1842-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(22)

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

I have the honor to in-
form you that I have this day, re-
ceived charge of the Revenue Manage-
ment of the Zillah of Masulipatam
together with the balance of Cash
in the Revenue Treasury From
E. E. Ward Esq.re Head Asistant
Collector in charge, amounting to
Company's Rupees 1,00629-4-6. for
which I have passed my receipt
in Duplicate-

Masulipatam
Coll.r's Cutcherry
7th. October 1842-

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters- Ordered to be
submitted for the information
of Government-

(21. 23)

Read

Fort St. George 13th. October 1842.

To,

Read the following letters-

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(24)

From the Pr. Coll.r of Nellore transmitting the Statements of the Extra branches of Revenue for fusly 1251---

Dyal 2-3 H-5-

I have the honor to transmit herewith the usual Statements of the Extra branches of Revenue for fusly 1251-

Salt
Fusly 1250- 432381-7-9
" 1251. 531,772-11-"
Increase 99391-3-3

2. There was a net increase in the Salt Revenue in fusly 1251 inclusive of Extra Collections of Rupees 99391-3-3 above that realized in the previous fusly- The items consisting of the Extra Collections are enumerated in the Margin-

Extra Collections 38-12-2
Refunds of Extra Charges
½ of advances for Manufacturing Salt 674-2-11
712-15-1

3. The following Statement exhibits a comparison of the Home, Inland and ship sales together with the amount of Extra Collections in fusslies 1250 and 1251-

Fusly

11923. Fort St George 13th October 1842.

	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251														
	Quantity			Amount			Quantity			Amount			Increase			Decrease		
	Mds	Mds		Rs	As	Ps	Mds	Mds		Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps
Home Consumption	1573	188	"	159155	4	1	1559	227	½	164010	2	1	4854	14	"	"	"	"
Inland Sales	1887	399	"	198239	12	"	2576	233	"	264141	1	10	65901	5	10	"	"	"
Total	3461	187	"	357395	"	1	4076	60	½	428151	3	11	70750	3	10	"	"	"
Ship Sales	2681	262	"	73712	8	"	3334	120	½	102908	8	"	29196	"	"	"	"	"
Total	6083	49	"	431107	8	1	7410	180	½	531059	11	11	99952	3	10	"	"	"
Extra Collections	"	"	"	1273	15	8	"	"	"	712	15	1	"	"	"	561	"	7
Grand Total	6083	49	"	432381	7	9	7410	180	½	531772	11	"	99952	3	10	561	"	7
										Deduct Decrease			561	"	7			
										Net Increase			99391	3	3			

11924. Fort St George 13th October 1842.

4. We will be given that the Home sales of Fusly 1250 increased in the Home sales of Fusly 1251 was Garees 46-39½ valued at Rupees 4,85,201. 14. In addition of the gain in the retail sales Rupees arising from a gross increase of 202. 63 " and approved decrease of 156-23-½ Gds. on the Inland sales of Rupees 65901. 5 10. The ship sales also exceeded those of the previous year by Rupees 29,196 " " 5. The following Statements exhibit the inland sales in the several Divisions in Fusly 1257 Compared with the previous Fusly.

Secretary

11925.

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Division	Fusly 1251.			Fusly 1250.			Net Increase			Net Decrease		
	Pds	Rs	As	Pds	Rs	As	Pds	Rs	As	Pds	Rs	As
Pauderty	99186	14	9	80242	5	7	18944	9	2			
Pakala	30430	4	3	29505	4	6	924	15	9			
Eskapully	55104	4	4	46524	15	7	8579	9	9			
Hurtopatam	12384	12	1	11698	5	0	686	7	1			
Doogarungapatam	7427	4	5	11505	7	9				4094	3	4
Tuddah	59463	14	0	18703	5	7	40760	8	5			
	264151	1	10	198239	12	0	69995	9	2	4094	3	4

Deduct Decrease 4094 3 4

Net Increase 65901 5 10

Fee

11926

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

6. The increase in the inland sales of Pauderty Division amounting to Rupees 18944-9-2- was in part owing to some of the Brinjaries who usually proceed to the Cottaro of the Guntoor District having taken salt at Pauderty in consequence of the prevalence of a disease amongst cattle at the former place.

7. The division where there is a considerable increase is Tuddah amounting to Rupees 40760-8-5- This increase has arisen from there having been no salt in store in the Neighbouring Districts of Chittoor and Chengleput which of necessity compelled the Brinjaries to resort to the adjoining Cottaro in the District - The increase in the other Division does not seem to call for remark - The only Division where there is a decrease of Rupees 4094-3-4- on the Inland Sales of Fusly 1251 is Doogarungapatam - The following are the Districts to which Salt for inland trade from the Doogarungapatam Division was exported in fusly 1251 compared with the previous fusly -

Names

1135
26 a
39 to 96

1924. | Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Names of Districts.	July 1250.				July 1257.			
	Aug	m	Aug	m	Aug	m	Aug	m
Cuddapah	103	112	6	292	110	59	1	95
Bellary	55				71	62		
Chittoor								
					12	265	57	262
					Deduct Increase		12	265
					Net Decrease		38	89

1928. | Fort St George 13th October 1842.

8. It will be seen from the above that the exportation to the Cuddapah District from the Division under reference has fallen off considerably, and there seems to be no other reason for this defalcation than that the Merchants who resorted to the ports of this Division in July 1250. may have proceeded from motives of convenience or other cause to other adjacent Cottars.

9. The quantity and value

11930 Fort St George 13th October 1842.

value of salt exported by sea from the Bengal Provinces during Fusly 1250 and 1257 - as exhibited in the annexed Statement No 1 - viz -

	Fusly 1250		Fusly 1257		Increase		Decrease	
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
	Mds	Rs A P	Mds	Rs A P	Mds	Rs A P	Mds	Rs A P
Calcutta	"	"	112560	39396 " "	112560	39396 " "	"	"
Chittagong	294850	73712 8 "	254050	63572 8 "	"	"	40800	10200 " "
	294850	73712 8 "	366610	102908 8 "	112500	39396 " "	40800	10200 " "
Deduct decrease					40800	10200 " "		
Net Increase					71760	29196 " "		

Thus

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

10. There is a decrease in the Extra Collections of Rupees 561-11-7. arising principally in the Salt Succary recoveries--

11. There was no spontaneous Salt to be collected in fusly 1251 as was the case in the one preceding it--

12. The total Salt charges in fusly 1251 amounted to Rupees. - - - - - - - - 83,346-2-9.
In fusly 1250. - - - - - - - - - - - - - 119,091-15-8.

Decrease in fusly 1251- - - 35,745-12-11.

which is owing to a smaller quantity of Salt having been received into store which reduced the charge for Manufacturers share and also to a decrease in the shipping charges, for although in fusly 1251 there was an augmented exportation of Salt yet in consequence of the diminished quantity received into store during the year the charges for conveying Salt from the pans to the Bench Platforms have necessarily and proportionately been less which accounts for the decrease under that item--

13. The actual Net Revenue from Salt in fusly 1250 was Rupees - - - - - - - 313,289-3-1.
In fusly 1251- - - - - - - - - - - - - 448,426-7-3
Increase fusly 1251- - 135,137-4-2.

14. The Board in their Proceedings

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Proceedings on the extra Revenue Report for fusly 1250--

have remarked that it is not apparent how the practice of limiting the sale of Salt minimum quantity of 40 Measals in the Chingleput District can operate to any great extent in effecting a diminution in the Nellore sales or an increase in those of Chingleput.

15. It has been no where stated in any Report that the existence of the above practice has in any way operated to extend the sales of Chingleput District, nor was any such inference intended. The decrease in the sale of the Tudda Division for fusly 1250 was accounted for by the circumstance of the Traders who in fusly 1249 resorted to the Cottaurs of that Division having proceeded to the neighbouring Cottaurs of the Chingleput District in consequence of there having been Salt in store for sale and the existence of the practice of limiting the sale of Salt to the minimum quantity of 40 Measals in Chingleput District was stated not in any way to shew that it has operated to increase the sales of that District or decrease the sales of this but, as an instance that that practice, which has never been in operation in this District opened the door to fraud and was a source of personal inconvenience to the traders.--

Sayer

16. The following statement exhibits a comparison of the Sayer Revenue in fusleis 1250 and 1251.--

Fusly

11933 | Fort St George 13th October 1842.

	Duty 1250.		Duty 1257		Increase		Decrease
	Rs.	A. P.	Rs.	A. P.	Rs.	A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Current Collections	109026	12 10	102761	1 10			6265 11 "
Arrears	195	4 7	146	11 1			48 9 6
Extra Collections	256	9 5	350	5 5	93	7 "	
Total	109478	10 10	103257	13 4	93	7 "	6314 4 6
				Deduct Increase			93 7 "
				Net Decrease			6220 13 6

11934. Fort St George 13th October 1842.

17. It will be seen from the above review that the Sayer Collections during the year under review fell below those of the preceding year by Rupees 6265–11–" arising from a Gross increase of Rupees 6815–8–4 and a gross decrease of Rupees 13081–3–4. The chief decrease was in the duty leviable on piece Goods in the gross amounting to Rupees 7047–7–8. the Manufacture of which has greatly diminished in consequence of there having been very little demand for the article in those places to which it has been usual to export it. The reason of the decline of this trade is stated to be that the use of the Country piece goods has been supplanted in those places by the introduction of those of English Manufacture, and that the Manufacture of a certain description of handkerchiefs for which advances were made by Messrs. Arbuthnot and Binny and Co. up to last year has been this year discontinued. The remaining decrease was principally in the duties on Lamp Oil seed the crops having been very scanty from the ravages of insects and in the inland and Town duties on Tobacco and Betel.

18. It will be necessary to mention here that the

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

the amount of revenue given up under the operation of the rule permitting to exempt from payment of duty the cloths of Ryots who follow no other occupation than cultivation and intended for their own use and Woven from Cotton produced on their own Lands was in fusly 1251 Rupees 702-3-6—

19. Cloths woven by weavers for their private use has as usual been exempted from duty, the amount which was thereby given up in fusly 1251 is Rupees 579—10—11. falling short of the — amount on the same account in the previous fusly by Rupees 31—14-10—

Extra Collections.

Sales of Confiscated goods	38-4-11
Collectors share of Commission on Do.	120-4-2
Double Duty	1210-11-10
Treble Do.	50-6-8
Repaire of Revenue buildings refunded	1-11-9
	350-4-5

20. In the Margin is shewn the different items composing the extra Collections of Sayer Revenue in fusly 1251, in which there is an increase of Rupees 93-7-11. in fusly 1251, which is owing to the increase in the levy of double and treble duty and in the sales of confiscated goods—

Fusly 1250.
Collections - 10,478-10-10.
Charges - 1,821-2-4
Net Revenue 9,165-7-8-6

21. The gross Collections under the Head of Sayer in the year under review amounted to Rupees - - -

11938.

Fort Lalgeorge 13th October 1842

24 The balance outstanding at the close of the Fusly has been subsequently realized with the exception of Rupees 45-11-1 up to 15th August for the early recovery of which the necessary measures have been adopted.

25th The Extra collections under this head appear in the Margin in which there is an increase of Rupees 30-13-3 arising principally in the amount of interest and in the rent of the Government Jar which did not form an item of Extra Revenue in last Fusly, the amount not having been collected before the close of that Fusly.

Extra collections				which there is an
Sales of Palmeiras clandestinely cut	24	10	—	
Rent of Jar	12	—	—	increase of Rupees
Interest collected	17	3	5	
Amount of deposit which was formerly made by the renters now credited to this head	—	3	4	30-13-3 arising principally
	54	—	9	in the amount of interest and in the rent

Sea Customs 26 The following Statement exhibits the Sea Custom collections for the two last Fuslies

Fusly

11937

Fort Lalgeorge 13th October 1842

	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase ◊ Decrease		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Rentals	20185	10	—	20824	2	—	638	8	—
Collections of the Current year	18038	9	10	20158	14	8	1520	4	10
Do of Arrears	474	5	11	1547	2	—	1009	10	3
Extra Collections	233	6	—	54	9	—	30	13	3
Total	19139	3	3	22059	15	8	2920	12	4
Balance	1045	6	7	1047	3	4	2	30	5
	15-4-4								

11939 Fort St. George 13th October 1842

	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
Extra duty	8984 25	109 98	" "
Import duty	25 52	" "	129 95
	9009 77	109 98	129 95
Extra collections	56 4	" "	121 13
	9065 77	109 98	251 7

	Fusly 1250
Extra duty	9014 8 9
Import duty	154 14 7
Total	9169 7 4
Extra collections	174 13 11
Total	9343 5 3

Deduct decrease 251 7 "

Net Increase 1718 2 8

11940- Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Extra collections Sales of floated wood 56 " 4-

24 From the above it will be observed that there was a net increase of Rupees 1718-2-8 which has principally arisen in the articles of Horsegram, Natchny, Green Gram, Bengalgram, Redgram, Saltpetre, Coriander seed 7cc " there having been a demand for the articles at those places to which they are usually exported - The decrease in the imports has been principally in Teakwood which was not imported into this District as was the case in last year.

Motiarpha 28 Below is a comparison of the Collections under this head in fuslies 1250 and 1251.

Fusly

11941

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

	Fusly 1250	Arrears	Decrease
Settlement	2,322 9 9	2092 " 11	" " 395 8 10
Collections of Current year	19,830 1 "	19,145 5 3	" " 660 11 9
Do of Arrears	1,341 14 11	384 8 5	" " 984 6 6
Extra Collection	" " "	3 " "	3 " "
Total	23,494 15 11	21,625 13 8	3 " 1045 2 3
Balance	1486 8 9	1751 11 8	265 2 11

11942

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

29 There was a decrease in the Settlement Bariz for Fusly 1251 of Rupees 395-8-10 The decrease is small and does not seem to call for remark.

30 Of the Moturpha bariz there remained uncollected at the close of the Fusly a sum of Rupees 1751-11-8 of which there has been realized up to the 15th September Rupees 399-10-2 leaving a balance still due of Rupees 1352-1-6. Of the amount due from the Principal Division it is expected that about 350 Rupees will be realized, the rest being mostly due by poor deceased and absconded individuals—As regards the balance outstanding on the sub Division amounting to C. Rupees 903-9-" the Acting Sub Collector states that C. Rs. 618-8-4 is due by the Cattapatam Merchants, the Ongle Butchers and some other parties who have disputed their liability to the Tax from the time it was first imposed on them and who have never paid it, and that the remainder is principally due by weavers and others whom adverse circumstances have rendered unable

Princ.l Division 448-8-6
Sub Do. 903-9-"
1352-1-6

1943.

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

unable to liquidate the demand against them.—

31 The balance due at the date of my Report for fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 1141-1-4 of the amount then so stated to be recoverable the sum actually realized was very trifling because some of those individuals who had to pay a portion of the recoverable balance have since died or left the Country, and the remainder includes the tax on two or three items the collection of which was postponed subject to the Board's orders as noticed in my report on the Woodiaghenny Talook for Fusly 1250.—

31 I beg to acquaint the Board that the report referred to in their Proceedings of the 21st ult. February last annexed to the Minutes of the 11th idem was submitted on the 19th December 1838.—

Stamp.— 33 The following is a comparison of the Stamp Revenue for the two last fuslies.—

Fusly

1143.

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Sales of Stamp Paper	6,564 4 "	" " "	1201 " "
Do. of Sayer Do.	4,481 3 "	" " "	500 3 "
Do. of Sea Custom Do.	570 2 "	725 5 "	155 3 "
	14,618 9 "	1304 2 9 "	155 3 " 1701 3 "
Penalties levied in the Zillah Court in addition	805 " "	912 8 "	107 8 " " "
Amounts writing Documents not written on Stamp Papers			
Total	15,423 9 "	1985 1 "	262 11 " 1701 3 "
		Deduct Increase	262 11 "
		Net Decrease	1438 8 "

Fo

11945

Fort St George 13th October 1842

34.

The Accountant in the Office of Revenue and Collector of Land Revenues.

Sir,

I am directed to transmit herewith a Cottah extract from the Bandar Register of Sales of Coir Yarn for the month of September last, and to request that you will cause the Sales effected by the Bandar Agent to be brought to account in the Collector's Monthly Abstract of Sales.

I am Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Sd/ [illegible]
Secretary to Government

Land Revenue Department

Sundry-Inwards
Farms and Rents.

35

The Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I am directed to transmit herewith a Cottah extract from the Bandar Register of Sales of Coir Yarn for the month of September last, and to request that you will cause the Sales effected by the Bandar Agent to be brought to account in the Collector's Monthly Abstract of Sales.

I am Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Sd/ [illegible]
Secretary to Government

Land Revenue Department

Sundry-Inwards
Farms and Rents.

11946.

Fort St George 13th October 1842

	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease		
	C Rs	a	f	C Rs	a	f	C Rs	a	f	C Rs	a	f
Settlement	195	8	4	205	4	4	9	12	4	"	"	4
Bhalarawi Cutrum	96	4	4	96	4	4	"	"	4	"	"	4
Total	291	12	4	301	8	4	9	12	4	"	"	"
Collections of the current year	291	4	11	281	5	4	"	"	4	9	15	7
Do of Arrears	5	3	4	"	7	5	"	"	4	4	11	7
Extra Collections	"	"	4	3	"	4	3	"	4	"	"	4
	296	7	11	284	12	9	3	"	4	14	11	2
Balance	"	7	5	20	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	4

11949.

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1249, 1250 & 1251 in the Nellore and Rajole Districts.*

	For the last three Years.									Comparison of the Last two Fuslies.					
	Fusly 1249			Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	Rups	A.	P.	Rups	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.
SALT.															
Gross Collections	456948	4	2	432381	7	9	531772	11	-	99391	3	3	-	-	-
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	41667	9	4	72542	6	-	41205	6	5	-	-	-	31336	15	7
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	38385	15	6	46549	9	8	42140	12	4	-	-	-	4408	13	4
Total Charges	80053	8	10	119091	15	8	83346	2	9	-	-	-	35745	12	11
Net Revenue	376894	11	4	313289	8	1	448426	8	3	135137	-	2	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	17	3	4	27	8	8	15	10	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.															
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAND CUSTOMS.															
Gross Collections	105472	-	6	109478	10	10	103257	13	4	-	-	-	6220	13	6
Charges of Collection	17392	1	7	17821	2	4	18089	4	1	268	1	9	-	-	-
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	17392	1	7	17821	2	4	18089	4	1	268	1	9	-	-	-
Net Revenue	87579	14	11	91657	8	6	85168	9	3	-	-	-	6488	15	3
Per Centage of the Charges	16	15	5	16	4	5	17	8	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEA CUSTOMS.															
Gross Collections	11214	6	11	7347	5	3	9065	7	11	1718	2	8	-	-	-
Charges of Collection	2311	13	1	2298	8	-	2294	4	-	-	-	-	4	4	-
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2311	13	1	2298	8	-	2294	4	-	-	-	-	4	4	-
Net Revenue	8902	9	10	5048	13	3	6771	3	11	1722	6	8	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	20	9	10	31	4	6	25	4	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
ABKARY.															
Gross Collections	19467	15	10	19139	3	3	22059	15	7	2920	12	4	-	-	-
Charges	336	-	-	336	-	-	336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	19131	15	10	18803	3	3	21723	15	7	2920	12	4	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	1	11	7	1	12	1	1	8	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.															
Gross Collections	289	9	4	296	7	11	284	12	9	-	-	-	11	11	2
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	289	9	4	296	7	11	284	12	9	-	-	-	11	11	2
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)															
Stamps															
Gross Collections	14586	11	-	15423	9	-	13985	1	-	-	-	-	1438	8	-
Charges	682	6	10	705	15	3	672	1	3	-	-	-	33	14	-
Net Revenue	13903	4	2	14717	9	9	13312	15	9	-	-	-	1404	10	-
Percentage of the Charges	4	10	1	4	9	3	4	12	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.															
Gross Collections	18657	1	8	21207	15	11	19565	13	8	-	-	-	1642	2	3
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	18657	1	8	21207	15	11	19565	13	8	-	-	-	1642	2	3
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND TOTAL.															
Gross Collections	626636	1	5	605274	11	11	699991	11	3	94716	15	4	-	-	-
Charges	101276	14	4	140253	9	3	104737	12	1	-	-	-	35515	13	2
Net Revenue	525359	3	1	465021	2	8	595253	15	2	130232	12	6	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	16	2	7	23	2	8	14	15	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND TOTAL.															
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt and Tobacco	584963	8	1	537732	5	11	658786	4	10	126053	14	11	-	-	-
Charges after deductions for Do	59609	5	-	67741	3	3	63532	5	8	-	-	-	4178	13	7
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	10	3	-	12	11	4	9	10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-

REMARKS.

Note The following items comprise the Salt Charges in the last Fusly

Fixed Establishment		26565 11 8
Sundreserved Extra Charges		1867 3 22072 11 11
Shipping Charges		1041 2 3
Hire for Conveying Treasure		51 1 2
Sundries Wages		133 - -
Weighing Fees		168 15 1
Cash for Keying Mastly Salt		7 10 6
Repairs of Mercadis		40 13 5
Do of Locks &c		1 - -
Value of Record Boxes		36 12 -
Do of Stamps for security Bonds		11 - 1370 6 5
Salt Manufacturers Share		4702 6 5
Salt Rufsoons or Compensation		138 10
Advances for Salt Manufacture		1359 - -
	CRs	33346 7 4

Note The following items comprise the Sayr Charges in the last fusly

Fixed Establishment		7192 14 -
Sundreserved Extra Charges		329 9 1
Value of Stamps for Security Bonds		6 8 -
Repairs of Locks & Cash Chest		5 - -
	CRs	1803 4 1

Note The following items comprise the Sea Customs Charges in the last fusly

Fixed Establishment		2216 - -
Sundreserved Extra Charges		78 - -
Value of Stamp for Security Bonds		4
	CRs	2294 4 -

Note The following items comprise the Abkary Charges in the last fusly

Fixed Establishment		336 - -

Note The following items comprise the Stamp Charges in the last fusly

Commission to Darogah & Tahsildars		669 9 3
Value of Stamps for Security Bonds		2 8 -
CRupees		672 1 3

This Statement has been compared with the Abstract sent to the Accountant General & with the Abstract of Demand Collection & Balance forwarded to the Board of Revenue

Nellore
Principal Collr's Cutcherry
30th Sept. 1842

Exam^d Tho^s Wardo

Principal Collector

1950

Fort St. George

13th October 1842

No. 2 Statement shewing the Demand collection and Balance under the head of Extra Sources of Rev. in the D

	Fasly 1250.						Fasly 1251.								
	Settlement of Fasly 1250.		Collections to 11th July 1841.		Extra Collections Fasly 1250		Total Collections Fasly 1250		Balance of Fasly 1250, on 11th July 1841.		Settlement of Fasly 1251.		Collections to 11th July 1842		Extra collections Fasly 1251.		Total Collections Fasly 1251		Balance of Fasly 1250 on 11th July 1841.	
	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8				10	
	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.	C.Rs.	a. p.
Salt	43107	8 -	43107	8 -	1273	15 9	43238	1 9			53059	11 11	53059	11 11	712	15 1	53772			
Sayer	109026	12 10	109026	12 10	256	9 5	109283	6 3			102761	1 10	102761	1 10	350	" 5	103111			
Sea Customs	7169	7 4	7169	7 4	177	13 11	7347	5 3			9009	7 7	9009	7 7	56	" 4	9065			
Abkarry	20185	10 -	18638	9 10	23	3 6	18661	13 4	1547	- 2	20824	2 -	20458	14 8	54	" 9	20513		365	3 4
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.	29124		29411	" "	"	" "	29411			-	7 5	30184	28154		3	" "	28157		2027	"
Moturpha	21322	9 9	19836	1 "	"	" "	19836	1 "	1486	8 9	20927	" 11	19175	5 3	3	" "	19178		1751	11 8
Stamps	15423	9 "	15423	9 "	"	" "	15423	9 "		"	13985	1 "	13985	1 "	"	" "	13985			"
	604527	53	604934	11	1731	10 7	603224	15 6	3034	" 4	693868	1 7	696730	15 7	1179	" 7	697910		2137	2 "

Nellore,

Princl. Collector's Cutcherry, 30 September 18 E. E. J

Fort St. George

13th October 1842

Statement Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under the head of Extra Sources of Revenue in the Districts of Nellore and Angole for Fusles 1250 & 1251.

Settlement of Fusly 1250.	Collections to 11th July 1841.	Extra Collections Fusly 1250	Total Collections Fusly 1250	Balance of Fusly 1250 on 11th July 1841.	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Collections to 11th July 1842	Extra collections Fusly 1251.	Total collections Fusly 1251	Balance of Fusly 1251 on 11th July 1841.	Comparison of the settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250. Columns 1 & 6. Increase	Comparison of the settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250. Columns 1 & 6. Decrease	Comparison of the Total collections of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250. Columns 4 & 9. Increase	Comparison of the Total collections of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250. Columns 4 & 9. Decrease
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10	11	12	13	14
C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.
431107 8 -	431107 8 -	1273 15 9	432381 7 9										
					531059 11 11	531059 11 11	712 15 1	531772					
109026 12 10	109026 12 10	256 9 5	109283 6 3										
					102761 1 10	102761 1 10	350 " 5	103111					
7169 7 4	7169 7 4	177 13 11	7347 5 3										
					9009 7 7	9009 7 7	56 " 4	9065					
20185 10 -	18638 9 10	23 3 6	18661 13 4										
				1547 " 2	20824 2 -	20458 14 8	54 " 9	20513	365 3 4	638 8 -	"	1851 2 1	"
291124	291411	" " "	291411										
				" 7 5	301 8 4	281 5 4	3 " "	284	20 3 "	9 12 "	" " "	" " "	6 15 7
21322 9 9	19836 1 "	" " "	19836 1 "										
				1436 8 9	20927 " 11	19175 5 3	3 " "	19178	1751 11 8	" " "	395 8 10	" " "	657 11 9
15423 9 "	15423 9 "	" " "	15423 9 "										
				" " "	13985 1 "	13985 1 "	" " "	13985	" " "	" " "	1438 8 "	" " "	1438 8 "
604527 5 3	601493 4 11	1731 10 7	603224 15 6	3034 " 4	69886 3 7	69730 15 7	1179 " 7	69979	2137 2 "	10240 8 2	8099 11 10	10296 8 "	8275 7 4

Nellore, Princ.l Collectors Cutcherry, 30 September 18 E. E. for/ signed/ T. V. Monhouse
P.l Collector.

1950.

Fort St. George

No. 2 Statement shewing the Demand Collec

Fusly 1250.

	Settlement of Fusly 1250.	Collections to 11th July 1841.	Extra Collections Fusly 1250	Total Collection Fusly 125
	1	2	3	4
	C Rs. a p.	C Rs. a p.	C Rs. a p.	C Rs. a
Salt	43,107 8 -	43,107 8 -	1,273 15 9	43,381 7
Sayer	10,902 12 10	10,902 12 10	256 9 5	10,928 6
Sea Customs	7,169 7 4	7,169 7 4	177 13 11	7,347 5
Al Karry	20,185 10 -	18,638 9 10	23 3 6	18,661 13
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	291 12 4	291 4 11	" " "	291 4
Moturpha	21,322 9 9	19,836 1 "	" " "	19,836 1 "
Stamps	15,423 9 "	15,423 9 "	" " "	15,423 9 "
	60,452 7 3	60,493 4 11	1731 12 7	60,322 15 6

Nellore

1951.

No. 3, Statement shewing the Demand Collect

	Total Demand on account of old arrears at the close of Fusly 1250.	Arrears due on account of Fusly 1242.	Collections	Balance
	1	2	3	4
	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p
1 Sayer	2424 9	31 " 9	31 " 9	" " "
2 Al Karry	1547 " 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 Moturpha	5,697 2 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
4 Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	" " -	" " "	" " "	" " "
	7,486 7 5	31 " 9	31 " 9	" " "

Fort St. George

13th October 1842.

ion and Balance of Arrears of Extra Sources of

Revenue on account of former fushes in the Nellore & Ongole Districts in Fusly 1251.

Arrears due on account of Fusly 1243.			Collections		Balance		Arrears due account of Fusly 1245.		Collections		Balance.		Arrears due on account of Fusly 1246.		Collections		Balance		Arrears due on account of Fusly 1247.		Collections		Balance,	
5			6				7		8				9				10		11		12		13	
6 Rs.	as	ps	6 Rs.	as	ps		6 Rs.	as	ps	6 Rs.	as	ps	6 Rs.	as	ps	6 Rs.	as	ps	6 Rs.	as	ps	6 Rs.	as	ps
87	10	4	87	10	4		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1239	8	28	"	95	9	8
"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

the Quantity of Salt Manu- factured and Sold in fus lies 1250 and 1251 in Comparison of the former with remarks for Increase and Decrease in the Collectorate

Names of Places	Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Fasly 1250 Quantity Sold	Rate P. Garce	Value	Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Fasly 1251 Quantity Sold	Rate P. Garce	Value	Increase in Manufacture	Decrease in Manufacture	
	Mds Grs Lbs Ins	Grs Lbs Ins	Rs As P	Rs As P	Grs Lbs Ins	Grs Lbs Ins	Rs As P	Rs As P	Grs Lbs Ins	Grs Lbs Ins	
Sales		170 208 "	105 " "	17,904 9 4		284 275 "	105 " "	29,892 3 "			
		87 2 "	105 " "	9,135 8 4		123 87 "	105 " "	12,937 13 6			
		408 107 "	106 " "	42,863 3 "		414 279 "	105 " "	43,543 3 10	950 " "		
	338 363 "	340 119 "	106 " "	35,731 1 4	950 " "	600 352 "					
	190 109 "	232 292 "	105 " "	24,436 10 5	600 352 "	409 68 "	105 " "	42,962 13 8	261 287 "		
	422 377 "	377 384 "	105 " "	39,685 13 "	" " "	246 303 "	105 " "	25,909 8 9	" " "	190 109 "	
	" " "	60 154 "	106 " "	6,340 7 "	" " "	338 266 "	105 " "	35,554 9 "	" " "	422 377 "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	67 53 "	105 " "	7,048 14 10	" " "	" " "	
	" " "	166 100 "	106 " "	17,456 4 1	181 50 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	181 50 "	" " "	
	" " "	69 3 "	105 " "	7,245 12 3	187 150 "	144 14 "	105 " "	15,123 10 11	187 150 "	" " "	
	1,343 10 "	1001 141 7	27 7 10	27,525 " "	" " "	146 132 "	105 " "	15,364 10 10	" " "	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,019 200 "	944 385 5¾	27 7 10	25,975 " "	676 190 "	" " "	
	470 53 "	546 77 "	105 " "	57,380 3 5	" " "	788 6 3	38 7 9	30,325 " 10	" " "	" " "	
	902 135 "	903 61 2	27 7 10	24,825 " "	703 " "	565 169 "	105 " "	59,369 6 8	229 350 "	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	850 " "	904 15 1	27 7 10	24,850 " "	" " "	62 135 "	
	566 330 "	777 68 7	27 7 10	21,362 8 "	" " "	137 145 1	38 7 9	5286 6 6	137 270 "	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	704 200 "	461 227 6	27 7 10	12,687 8 "	" " "	" " "	
	86 284 "	140 53 "	105 " "	14,713 14 6	" " "	98 137 5	38 7 9	3784 8 10	49 386 "	" " "	
	646 49 "	296 18 "	105 " "	31,084 11 6	136 190 "	171 159 "	105 " "	17,996 12 1	" " "	646 49 "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	274 280 "	105 " "	28,843 8 "	" " "	" " "	
	59 280 "	82 66 "	105 " "	8,627 5 7	964 100 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	964 100 "	" " "	
	38 172 "	8 231 "	105 " "	900 10 "	130 300 "	55 50 "	105 " "	5796 " 1	71 20 "	" " "	
	" " "	81 225 "	105 " "	8,561 1 "	107 390 "	17 200 "	105 " "	1837 7 11	69 248 "	" " "	
	108 213 "	113 359 "	105 " "	11,959 3 11	" " "	150 49 "	105 " "	15762 13 7	" " "	" " "	
	17 380 "	13 223 "	105 " "	1,426 2 6	150 " "	9 204 "	105 " "	998 8 9	41 187 "	" " "	
	184 29 "	145 236 "	105 " "	15,286 15 "	90 300 "	44 286 "	105 " "	4695 1 2	72 370 "	" " "	
	" " "	5 122 "	105 " "	557 " 7	396 250 "	340 304 "	105 " "	35753 8 3	212 221 "	" " "	
	" " "	35 116 "	105 " "	3713 5 1	100 " "	178 11 "	105 " "	18692 14 3	100 " "	" " "	
	" " "	20 228 "	105 " "	2159 13 6	106 300 "	57 270 "	105 " "	6082 2 "	106 300 "	" " "	
	5,375 301 "	6,058 95 "	" " "	4,30,860 4 9	8,376 380 "	46 180 "	105 " "	4727 11 1	" " "	" " "	
	" " "	" 21 "	" " "	6 5 "	" " "	7410 169 3/4	" " "	5,30,881 6 3	4,312 319 "	1,311 270 "	Salt having been ordered to be exported to Calcutta and an augmented quantity to Chittagong in Fasly 1251 it was necessary to augment the Manufacture and occasion the increase.
A	5,375 301 "	6,083 49 "	" " "	4,30,866 9 9 B	8,376 380 "	74 10 180 7	" " "	5,30,304 4 7	4,312 319 "	1,311 270 "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	215 9 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	214 15 4	1,311 270 "	Net Increase	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 6 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	39 2 "	3,001 79		
	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 6 "			
				4,31,107 3 1				5,31,059 11 11			

Nellore 30th September 1842 Principal Collector's Cutchery

E. E. Jr. / Signed J. C. Stanhouse Pnl. Collector

Statement

11957.

Fort St. George

13th October 1842

No. 5. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt manufactured and sold in fasslies 1250 and 1251 in Comparison of the former

Fasly 1250

Names of the Cottaurs or Places of Manufacture.	Quantity of Salt Manufactured			Quantity Sold			Rate per Garce			Values			Quantity of Salt Manufactured			Quantity Sold		
	Mds	Grs	Lbs	Mds	Grs	Lbs	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Mds	Grs	Lbs	Mds	Grs	Lbs
Canoopurty- Home and inland Sales	"	"	"	170	208	"	105	"	"	17,904	9	4	"	"	"	234	275	"
Dasarumpaud — Do	"	"	"	87	2	"	105	"	"	9,135	8	4	"	"	"	123	87	"
Pauderty — Do	"	"	"	408	107	"	105	"	"	42,863	3	"	950	"	"	414	279	"
Allore — Do	338	360	"	340	119	"	105	"	"	35,731	1	4	600	250	"	409	68	"
Poukalo — Do	190	109	"	232	292	"	105	"	"	24,436	10	5	"	"	"	246	303	"
Bingenpully — Do	422	377	"	377	384	"	105	"	"	39,685	13	"	"	"	"	338	266	"
Soonnalapenta — Do	"	"	"	60	154	"	105	"	"	6340	7	"	"	"	"	67	53	"
Ship Sales- Chittagong	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	181	50	"	"	"	"
Soonaladenna- Home and Inland Sales	"	"	"	166	100	"	105	"	"	17,456	4	1	187	150	"	144	14	"
Eskapully- Home and Inland Sales	"	"	"	69	3	"	105	"	"	7,245	12	3	"	"	"	146	132	"
Ship Sales- Chittagong	1,343	10	"	1,001	141	7	27	7	10	27,575	"	"	2,019	200	"	944	385	67
" — Calcutta	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	788	6	3
Gogoolapully- Home and Inland Sales	170	50	"	546	77	"	105	"	"	57,350	3	5	700	"	"	565	189	"
Ship Sales- Chittagong	902	135	"	903	61	2	27	7	10	24,825	"	"	850	"	"	904	15	1
" — Calcutta	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vareney — " — Chittagong	566	330	"	777	68	7	27	7	10	21,362	8	"	704	200	"	137	145	1
" — Calcutta	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	461	227	6
Gungapatam- Home and Inland Sales	86	204	"	140	53	"	105	"	"	14,713	14	6	136	190	"	98	137	5
Triolnapatam — Do	646	49	"	296	18	"	105	"	"	31,084	11	6	"	"	"	171	159	"
Ship Sales	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	964	100	"	274	280	"
Cottapatam- Home and Inland Sales	59	280	"	82	66	"	105	"	"	8,627	5	2	130	300	"	"	"	"
Joopaly — Do — Do	38	172	"	8	231	"	105	"	"	900	10	"	107	390	"	55	50	"
Doogarauzpatam Do — Do	"	"	"	81	225	"	105	"	"	8,664	1	"	"	"	"	17	200	"
Mallaru — Do — Do	108	218	"	113	359	"	105	"	"	11,959	3	4	150	"	"	150	49	"
Valaccandoo — Do — Do	17	380	"	13	223	"	105	"	"	1,436	2	6	90	300	"	9	204	"
Sadah — Do — Do	184	29	"	145	236	"	105	"	"	15,286	15	"	396	250	"	44	286	"
Poody — Do — Do	"	"	"	5	122	"	105	"	"	557	"	2	100	"	"	341	304	"
Ramapoorum — Do — Do	"	"	"	35	116	"	105	"	"	3713	6	1	106	300	"	173	11	"
Coodery — Do — Do	"	"	"	20	228	"	105	"	"	2159	13	6	"	"	"	57	370	"
	5,375	301	"	6,082	95	"	"	"	"	4,30,860	4	9	8,376	380	"	7,410	169	67
Sales of Smuggled and Cruster Salt	"	"	"	"	74	"	"	"	"	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	11	"
A	5,375	301	"	6,083	49	"	"	"	"	4,30,866	9	9 B	8,376	380	"	7,410	180	"
Fractions in the retail sale	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	215	9	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sunday	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	6	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Bribe paid to Servants	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	11	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
										4,31,107	3	1						

A. This is according to actual measurement.

B. This is according to estimate.

Nellore, [illegible]

Principal Collector's Cutcherry 30th September 1842.

11957.

Fort St. [illegible]

No. 5. Statement shewing the Quantity of Sa[lt]

Names of the Cottaurs or Places of manufacture.			Quan- Salt manufa- M[ade]
Canoopatty- Home and inland sales			"
Davanumpaud	Do		"
Pauderty	Do		"
Alloor	Do		3 31
Paukalaw	Do		190
Bingenepully	Do		422
Padmanabapenta	Do		"
	Ships Sales- Chittagong		"
Isawaladinna- Home and Inland Sales			"
Eshapully- Home and Inland Sales			"
	Ships Sales- Chittagong		1,344
	" Calcutta		"
Jogoolapully- Home and Inland Sales			470
	Ships Sales- Chittagong		902
	" Calcutta		"
Varaney	" Chittagong		566
	" Calcutta		"
Gungapatam- Home and Inland Sales			86
Krishnapatam	Do		646
	Ships Sales		"
Cottapatam- Home and Inland Sales			59
Joopely	Do	Do	38
Doogarauzepatam	Do	Do	"
Mallaru	Do	Do	108
Valeecandos	Do	Do	17
Sadah	Do	Do	184
Poody	Do	Do	"
Ramapaerum	Do	Do	"
Cooddery	Do	Do	"
			5,375
Sales of Smuggled and Muster Salt			"
			A 5,375
Fractions in the retail sale			"
Burnday			"
Bribe paid to servants			"

A. This is according to actual Measurement.

B. This is according to estimate.

[illegible]

11957.

No. 6. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt transpo

Cuddapah District.

Firstly-	Cudapah	Cumbum	Doopaud	Poddootoor	Chittavelu	Rauacha- Cuddery	Goorua- vole	-condah
	Md Srs	Md Srs	Md Srs	Md Srs	Md Srs	Md Srs	Md Srs	Md Srs
	1251	493	295	41	2/3	4	194	" 364
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" 73
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "
	1	20		41	38			

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Distances of places according to the Rowranahs issued from the Cattaurs of the zillah of Nellore in July 1751.

Gooty District.		Guntoor District.		Chittoor District.						Chingleput District.		Hydrabad		Masulipatam District.				
Gootty	Adonee	Pulnaud	Aumaravaty	Chittoor	Calastry	Tripatty	Chendragherry	Chinglepet	Hydrabad	Chittavole	Juggiah Pettah	Total	Remarks.
Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	Mls.	Frs.	
1	397	4	77	7	243	4	20	190	213	4	350	4	244	4	31	6	182	11	65	786	308	6	187	2516	233	To Cuddapah and Gooramcondah through Doranala pass Bogos Vencatapooram pass Rawpoor pass Chudoogoo pass Tomesala pass which borders the Cuddapah District.

To Gunteor Pulnaud and aumaravaty three Incalloes on the borders of the District.

To Chinglepet three Inhabitants situated on the southern border of this District.

To Cumbum & Doopaud three Podelay & Choondy Talook in the Nellore District.

To Gooty Kurnool, Adonee, Bellary & Kurnool dinna three before mentioned passto Cuddapah.

To Chittoor three Tripatty & calastry.

To Hydrabad three Pulnaud in the Gunteor District.

Nellore.

Collector's Cutcherry

September 1842.

E. E. Ff. / Signed / J. V. Stonhouse

Principal Collector.

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Quantity of Salt transported to Different Districts or places according to the Rowannahs issued from the Cottaurs of the Pallah of Nellore in

District.	Ittavelu	Rauchaty	Cuddery	Goorawpilly	Bellary	Kurnool	Gootty	Adoni	Pulnadoo	Sumarawaty	Chittoor	Calastry	Tripulty	Chendragherry	Chingleput	Chingleput
	Bellary District.									Guntoor District.						Chingleput District.
	Rowannah.		Candahs.													
	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only	On	Only
	73	"	"	1	20	41	380	527	101	392	216	1	397	"	77	7	243	"	"	20	190	213	"	350	"	244	"	31	6	182

Nellore.

Principal Collector's Cutcherry

30th September 1842.

E. E. Ff. / Signed / J. V. Stonhouse

Principal

1952 Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

(24.25)

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Sub Collr. of Nellore

Sir

(26)

With reference to the Statement of advances for cultivation for the Current fusly 1252 sanctioned by the Government on the 15th July last for the disbursement of Rupees 4,050, I have the honor to state that during the last two or 3 years, no advances were made to the Ryots in the Sub Division in consequence of which a very trifling sum was only estimated for that Division in fusly 1257 but subsequent to the transmission of the Statement, I have received a Memorandum from the acting Sub Collector in which a sum of 8,000 Rupees is stated to be required to be issued as Tuckavy to the poorer Ryots in the Talooks of the Sub Division they having suffered to a great extent from the very low prices of the past year & the so large an amount is not in my opinion necessary - that which seems called for will considerably exceed the

1953 Fort St. George 13th October 1842

the sum in the first instance estimated and as regards the Principal Division I fear the amount originally estimated will likewise be insufficient on account of the very depressed state of the agricultural community in the Zemindary of Sydapoor which lately reverted to Government. I have therefore the honor to request sanction for a further sum of 3000 Rupees as Tuccavy for the Current fusly and the favor of early orders on the subject, as the Cultivating season has considerably advanced.

Nellore

Prin. Collector's Cutcherry

7th October 1842.

Signed J. F. Stonhouse

Prin. Collector

Resolution thereon.

(26.27)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to

11953. Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

to Government with the

Board's recommendation

that under the circumstances

therein stated, sanc-

tion may be granted

for the further disburse-

ment of Rupees 3,000 as

an Increase

for the current

July

11954 Fort St George 13th October 1842

fusly 1252 in addition to the sum

of Rupees 4,050 which received

the sanction of Government

under date 15th July last.—

Read the following

Statements

Detailed

11955 Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Detailed List of Pensions and Charitable allowances in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1251.

28

Date	Number in Pension Register	Names	Estimated amount in Fusly 1251.	Actual amount in Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		Compensation in lieu of resumed Lands, Offices and Privileges.					
		Cumbum					
26th January 1835 13th March 1832 27th December 1829.	1	Tully Bussavapah Naid Grand son Bussapah Naid Dec.	1041 4 "	1041 4 "	" " "	" " "	
16th September 1825	2	Nundy Kasavapah Naids aunts Naugummah	50 " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "	
14th May 1830.	19	Hoemchala Ramapah Mother Lutchmaka — 150-"-" Dto Dittowife Soobamah - 150-"-"	300 " "	300 " "	" " "	" " "	
16th January 1829	115	Meer Bahooder Hoosains son Rajamah Cagee of Cumbum	140 " "	140 " "	" " "	" " "	
4th August 1815	117	Basker Naid son of Tully Basker Naid	840 " "	840 " "	" " "	" " "	
			2377 4 "	2377 4 "	" " "	" " "	
		Doopaud					
14th May 1830	20	Domah Sooba Naids wife Soobanah	1937 15 4	1937 15 4	" " "	" " "	
Ditto	21	Muravamala Ravoor Sooba Naids wife Chinna Soondaramah	249 15 4	249 15 4	" " "	" " "	
23d November 1838	22	Yendapully Nullagatoo Venkata nurroos son Chinnapah	207 11 8	207 11 8	" " "	" " "	
12th July 1801	23	Venkatadoo polleim Boda Comara Veerunnah	291 10 8	291 10 8	" " "	" " "	
Ditto	24	Chaupulmudgoo Boda Chennapah	312 3 2	312 3 2	" " "	" " "	
22d March 1833	25	Seelacoant Hoosein Naids son Sobah Naid	209 5 1	209 5 1	" " "	" " "	
			3208 13 3	3208 13 3	" " "	" " "	
		Budwail					
15th January 1830 29th November 1836	26	Jungumraug pully Boochi Naids son Venkutputty Naids step Mother, wife and Daughter	234 2 10	234 2 10	" " "	" " "	
23d November 1838	27	Racoolacoontah Sathum Naids brothers wives	196 10 3	196 10 3	" " "	" " "	
			430 13 1	430 13 1	" " "	" " "	
		Dooood					
3d April 1840	29	Mootialpaud Rungiah Naids son Narsimma Naid	1750 " "	1750 " "	" " "	" " "	
15th May 1835	30	Ditto Runganada Naids 2d wife Utchamah	194 7 "	194 7 "	" " "	" " "	
15th February 1833	31	Korapaud Narsimma Reddies son Veeraragavareddy	1750 " "	1750 " "	" " "	" " "	
12th July 1801	32	Ditto Rungaputty Reddies aunt Chinnamah	145 13 4	145 13 4	" " "	" " "	
			3840 4 4	3840 4 4	" " "	" " "	

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Koilgoonttah

23d September 1825	4	3	Voogalavada Chinna Mulla Reddy's wife Dodamah	420	..	420	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
8th February 1809	5	4	Ditto Pedda Mulla Reddies son Comaramullareddy	420	..	420	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
				840	..	840	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

Chitwail

13th July 1801	8	5	Mully Venkatarayava Raugt and party	16566	7	8	16346	8	10	..	..	..	219	14	10
29th November 1830	35	26	Nundial Chulputty Raugs son Venkutramaraugo	150	5	4	150	5	4	..	..	..	..	..	..
4th February 1822	36	27	Ditto Narrain raugs son Raigavaraug	150	5	4	150	5	4	..	..	..	..	..	..
14th May 1830	37	28	Gobbur Timma Raugs son Timma Raug	78	2	..	78	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
3d April 1823	39	30	Cuddapah Raug Condum Raugs brother Venket Raug	78	2	..	78	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
23d November 1830	40	31	Nundial Chinna Chulputty Raugs wife Rungamah	78	28		78	28		..	..	..	..	..	..
21st May 1839	41	32	Mutty Rama Raugs wife Chellummah brotherinlaw Venkata-krietnama Raug	240	..	..	240	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
14th May 1830	44	..	Gobbur Venkatachellaputty raugs sister Sashamah	60	..	..	60	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
13th December 1834	45	34	Berole Venkataramaraugs wife Nursingamah	78	28		78	28		..	..	..	..	..	..
23d November 1830	46	35	Sashum Raugs sisters Lutchma-ka and Abulamah	78	28		68	64		..	..	..	9	12	4 Vobulamah died on the end of March 1842.
14th May 1830	47	36	Gobbur Nursingaraugs brothers wife Ramaka	130	14		21	10	10	..	..	..	108	6	6
12th July 1801	49	37	Apurchintla Venkataputty Raug	65	.8		65	.8		..	..	..	..	..	..
14th May 1830	51	38	Dawan Jungum Naids wife Seroomalamah his brother Chin-na Moosub Naids wife Ven-gamah and another brother Pedda Moosub Naids wife Vengammah	216	..		216	..		..	..	..	..	..	..
4th February 1822	52	39	Nundial Venkataputty Raugs son Rungavaraug	358	28		358	28		..	..	..	..	..	..

11957 | Fort St George 13th October 1842.

23d November 1830	53	40	Apparachittla Sashumma Rauz	65	8		65	8								
14th May 1800	55	41	Beerode Ketchem Rauz's brother Seenumrauze wife Sashummah	39			39									
11th April 1837	56	42	Kurnata Sooba Rauz's brother's wife Venkatamah	24			24									
23d November 1838	57	43	Pondalore Sooba Rauz's son Chenchea Rauz	145	13	4	145	13	4							
14th May 1830	58	44	Pondalore Chellum Rauz's wife Atchummah	70			70									
23d November 1838	59	46	Veeracoody Ram Rauz's son Venkatarum Row	70			70									
"	111	"	Cuttoor Utchemah's brother Venkatramanah	17	8		17	8								
17th March 1836	112	90	Dº Parramaiah's son Ramjogee	17	8		17	8								
23d November 1830	113	91	Dº Baskariah's son Sobiah	17	8		17	8								
				1880	5	4	1874	7	8				338	1	8	

Sedhout

22d January 1839	60	46	Seddapully Paupa Naidu son Sooba Naid	256	10	8	256	10	8							
12th July 1801	61	47	Mundapully Moosul Naid	67	14		67	14								
21st May 1839	62	48	Jowcoolapully Vencatapatty Naid	46	10	8	46	10	8							
12th July 1801	63	49	Chintarauzpully Hoomana Boochee Naid	207	14		207	14								
23d November 1838	64	50	Henamoorauzpully Moosul Naidu son Venkum Naid	64	2	8	64	2	8							
23d November 1840	65	41	Muntapumpully Kristnareddies Younger brothers son Chenna reddy				173	13	2	173	13	2				
				641	10	8	815	7	10	173	13	2				

Chennaor

1st November 1833	66	52	Puttoor Naramah's grand son Bala Soobanah D°a	175			175									

Kamalapoor

26th May 1840	"	"	Voopaloor Rungareddies Mother Lutchmummah and Soobamah and 2 wifes Lutchmummah and Mungummah	738	4	6	738	4	6							

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

			Goorrumcondah								
14th	May	1830	69	55	Jommulgondi Ruddreputty Naid's wife Mullumah	360	..	360	..		
29th	June	1830	70	56	Yellootta Veerapah Naid's son Bommie Naidoo	210	..	210	..		
23d	November	1830	73	58	Gootapolliem Paupee Naid's son Veerapah Naid	480	11	3	480	11	3
Ditto			75	60	Rompecherla Kistem Naid's son Bala Kistnum Naid	1166	10	8	125	1	1	1041	3	7	Died on the 9th August 1841.
12th	July	1801	76	61	Mullala Venkata Ruddreputty Naid	2625	..	..	962	8	..	1662	8	..	Do on the 12th November 1841.
4th	April	1837	77	62	Doddepully Rayava Naid's son Bodi Mullapah Naid, his brother Venkata Rangoputty Naid	2353	11	11	2353	11	11				
14th	May	1830	78	63	Muddedachervoo Ramapah Naid's son Pedda Venkata Rudreputty Naid	729	2	8	729	2	8				
23d	November	1830	80	64	Maudenanepolliem Maude Naid's son Rudreputty Naid	35	..	..	35	..	..				
27th	December	1839	81	"	Goondopally Sashachellaputty Naid's son Venkata Kristnum Sashachellaputty Naid	1312	8	..	1312	8	..				
14th	July	1835	85	"	Chuttavarepolliem Ramapah Naid's son Condum Naid	175	..	..	175	..	..				
23d	November	1830	86	"	Punganagaregudda Paupi Naid's wife Nagamah	210	..	..	210	..	..				
31st	December	1833	87	"	Yeravarepolliem Timma Naid's eldest wifes son Venkatapah Naid	350	..	..	350	..	..				
14th	May	1830	89	"	Yellamunda Mullai Naid's son Mullai Naid	210	..	..	210	..	..				
12th	July	1801	90	"	Gaunegachentla Ruddreputty Naid	210	..	..	210	..	..				
27th	November	1827	91	"	Madecherroo Kistum Naid's Nephews son Paupi Naid	210	..	..	210	..	..				
11th	July	1837	92	"	Dothegamanikum Mullum Naid's eldest son Venkatapah Naid	175	..	..	175	..	..				
28th	November	1830	94	"	Dagavoo Timma Naid's wife Malamah — 52-8-" Do 1st wifes son Venkatapah Naid — 52-8-"	105	..	..	105	..	..				
12th	July	1830	95		Tulpoola Cumma Buttooliah	210	..	..	210	..	..				
27th	December	1839	99	"	Puttagudda Rama Naid's Step Mother Nagummah Dec'a	146	..	..	146	..	..				
						11273	12	6	8570	0	11	2703	11	7	

11959 Fort St George 13th October 1842.

			Muddenpully									
14th May 1830- 27th December 1839			Guttoo Ragoonaickoolo Naid's 1st son Ramapah Naid 2d Hurry Dasapah Naid 3d Vencatapah Naid and 4th Pungapah Naid	5833	5	4	5777	7			55	13 9	Pungapah Naid died on the 5th May 1842.
23d November 1830	67	"	Buttoolapoorum Tippa Naid's wives Mungamah singamah and Sooba-mah and another Sobah Naid / died 30th April 1838 / his wives Mungu-mah & Lutchmamah & Vencatapah Naid Cundins / 1st Wifes son Vasuntapah Naid	1137	8	"	1432	4	5	294	12	5
12th July 1801	68	"	Somapully Mullapah Naid	1323	"	3	1323	"	3			
14th June 1835	79		Eacunte Ichinnia Mullapah Naid's eldest wifes son Mullapah Naid	2018	14	1	2018	14	1			
25th July 1823	82	"	Paupeapully Mullapah Naid's Mother Mallemmah	192	8	"	192	8	"			
14th May 1830	83	"	Thettoo Sobah Naid's brothers wife Ichinnah Byamah	52	8	"	62	8	"			
12th July 1801	96	"	Kanamadongoopoliem Huddreputty Naid	58	54		58	54				
11th May 1830	97	"	Racoolacoontah Huddreputty Naid wifes Veeramah and Nagamah each 17- 8-	35	"	"	35	"	"			
				10657	1	"	10389	15	8	294	12 5	55 13 9
			Poolevendalahe									
14th May 1830	10	"	Reddepully Achankhan's wife Nineem thee	100	"	"	100	"	"			
13th July 1830	12	"	Soomul bosum Khan	35	"	"	35	"	"			
14th May 1830-	18	"	Dr akemmah's son abdool Mohiut Khan	1050	"	"	1050	"	"			
				1185	"	"	1185	"	"			
			Pyachetty									
7th December 1830	14	"	abdool Rummut Khan's 2d son Hamut Khan	72	4	11	72	4	11			
23d November 1830	72	"	Bonamalah Vencatadree Naid's son Ramapah Naid	109	13	1	109	13	1			
23d June 1830	101	"	Motakutla londum Naid's son Mullapah Naid	498	12	5	498	12	5			
29th November 1836	102	"	Yerramanepoliem Moseub Naid's son Mullapah Naid his son Vencatapah Naid	213	1	"	213	1	"			
12th July 1801	103	"	Chintaguntah lundah Nurseminna Naid	767	9	10	767	9	10			
Ditto	104	"	Goorugoonjacuntah Nullamanee Paupe Naid	143	8	9	143	8	9			
14th May 1830	105	"	Sanepoy Satem Naid's grand son Sateh Naid	178	7	8	178	7	8			
Ditto	106	"	Gopegoondipully Ichennum Naid's grandson Bapu Naid	223	5	2	223	5	2			
7th December 1830	107	"	Jillalamunda Singum Naid's Nephew londum Naid	246	"	"	50	10	7		189	5 5	Died on the 16th Septr 1841-
9th August 1831	108	"	Moodepaud Bomi Naid's son Mullapah Naid, his uncle's Grand son Bomi Naid	464	5	2	464	5	2			
22d April 1834	109	"	Calavapully Huddreputty Naid's wife Narasumah	570	6	8	570	6	8			
14th July 1830	110	"	Boochenanecheroo Bootchee Naid's Nephew Peddamullapah Naid	140	"	"	140	"	"			
14th December 1840-		"	Naugapah Naid 1st son of Satama Naid's 2d wife	1400	"	"	1400	"	"			
				4961	10	8	4772	5	3		189	5 5
			Grand Total	59129	4	4	56310	13	6	468	9 7	8287 " 5

11960 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues of Cumbum

13th July	1803	12	Sofeshaw	2	1	5	2	1	5			
Ditto		26	Fazulmah	10	15	8	10	15	8			
Ditto		30	Dawoodshaw	16	7	2	16	7	2			
				29	8	3	29	8	3			
Gunnulmudgoo												
1st January	1801	43	Chutty Konary Charies wife Bava ratamah	103	4		103	4				
13th July	1813	125	Gundekotah Ramakhut	78	12		78	12				
1st January	1820	1	Nunna Bheebees daughter in law Sooltanbhee	38	1	1	22	3	3	15	13	10 Died on the end of January 1842.
1st March	1820	2	Hadsun bheebees daughter in law Gufoorbhee	19	5		7	14	10	11	1	7 Ditto of November 1841.
1st January	1801	3	Sakeer Bebhee	19	6		19	6				
Ditto		4	Fazelbebhees daughter Jeneb bebhee	38	1	1	15	13	9	22	3	4 Not drawn.
				296	3	1	247	4		49	29	
Doovoor												
1st January	1801	45	Chutty Venkatroyachary's grand daughter Addie Sashummah	38	11	6	38	11	6			
Ditto		47	Poldatoor Conarechary's wife Barathamah	103	4		103	4				
				141	15	6	141	15	6			
Chitawel												
13th July	1801	57	Aulooor Anunta Nursim ma Sastry	96	4		96	4				
Sidhout												
18th March	1819	58	Nagoobhoy 101-8-0	149	8		149	8				
17th Ditto	1835		Rajamah's daughter Gojomah 48-0-0									
1st January	1801	61	Sidhout Venkatrambhut	192	5		192	5				
Ditto		63	Ditto Appiah Gosy	76	14	11	76	14	11			
				418	11	11	418	11	11			

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

			Chennoor										
17th January 1811	67	"	Cakeloory Ramacharys daughter in law Raudabby			83	11	2	83	11	2		
15th May 1832	68	"	Mudva Mooneacharrie's wife Hanoomakah			41	15	1	41	15	1		
1st March 1830	72	"	Gorlam Mohodeen's son Mohomed Gauso			35	"	"	35	"	"		
13th July 1814	126	"	Agneholtrum Theemachary			157	8	"	157	8	"		
			abdoob Zulbee Khan	210	"	"							
1st April 1802	5	"	Abdoob Mohomed Khan's son abdoob Syed Khan		210	"	"	420	"	"	420	"	"
13th July 1812	0	"	Nabob alum Khan's grandson Syed Mohomed Khan			1330	"	"	1330	"	"		
28th March 1837	66	"	Thauee Chundamah's son Soobanah Chetty			42	"	"	42	"	"		
			Shaik Hoosain transferred from Nellore Zillah			"	"	"	67	8	"	67	8
						2110	5	3	2177	13	3	67	8
			Goottumcondah										
1st January 1801	73	"	Moroolachaw's son Masoom Shaw			83	11	1	83	11	1		
Ditto	75	"	Mohomed Shaw			10	7	8	10	7	8		
Ditto	76	"	Eman Shaw			23	2	"	23	2	"		
15th May 1832	77	"	Jowhooroochaw's son Ejauff Shaw			83	11	2	83	11	2		
						201	5	11	201	5	11		
			Poolevendlah										
13th July 1801	79	"	Malgavracharry			41	15	1	31	7	3	10	7 10
13th Ditto 1802	80	"	Vellala Hanoomanta Chetty			28	8	5	28	8	5		
30th August 1841	"	"	Azeeza Khan transferred from Nellore Zillah			"	"	"	18	"	"	18	" "
						70	7	6	77	15	8	18	" " 10 7 10

Transferred 1st Apl 1842. to Bellary District.

11962 Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Royachoty

1st March 1830-87	"	Hamunchi Sinnachary's brother wife Goondamah	18	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	
15th Ditto	92	"	Alumpully Kristnamachary	23	9	5	11	12	9	"	"	11	12 8 Died on the end of December 1841.
1st Ditto	93	"	Hanoomuntacharry's wife Sa-shummah	24	"	"	24	"	"	"	"	"	"
13th July 1801	94	"	Davagoopto Goondacharry	10	7	10	5	4	10	"	"	5	3 " Ditto on the 2d January 1842
18th April 1830-98	"		Hanagherry Bheemacharries wife Droupattamah & Son Sreenavasa Chary	18	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"
13th July 1801	101	"	Allumpully Soobanacharry	5	4	"	11	4	"	"	"	4	6 " Not drawn
Ditto	104	"	Syed Shauban Saibs son Peer-pauth Shaw	167	12	6	136	3	2	"	"	31	7 4 Ditto
Ditto	105		Syed alvoodheens son Syed Boondheen	84	"	"	84	"	"	"	"	"	"
20th January 1840	106	"	Syed Abdool Cauders son Hoodaun	41	15	2	41	15	2	"	"	"	"
Ditto	107	"	Sineebys Grand son Sabroon Saib	41	15	2	41	15	2	"	"	"	"
31st August 1832	108	"	Ibrahim Dewans son Cauder Dewan his uncle Woolluff Dewan	41	15	"	41	15	"	"	"	"	"
13th July 1801	110	"	Mohomed Hoosain	20	15	6	20	15	6	"	"	"	"
Ditto	113	"	Sakoordheen	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"	"	"
Ditto	116	"	Syed Moostapha	10	7	10	10	7	10	"	"	"	"
Ditto	117	"	Bubker Khans son Hoosain Khan	10	7	10	10	7	10	"	"	"	"
Ditto	118	"	Shaik Meerahs son Shaik ally	7	13	10	7	13	10	"	"	"	"
Ditto	120	"	Syed Abdool Cauder	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"	"	"
13th July 1801	121	"	Syed Abrahim Shaw	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"	"	"
Ditto	122	"	Syed Noorshaw	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"	"	"
2d September 1827	123	"	Syed Essufs son Syed abdool Cauder	41	15	"	41	15	"	"	"	"	"
				652	8	9	599	11	9	"	"	52	13 "
			Total	4017	6	2	3990	6	7	85	8 "	112	7 7

1963.

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

| | | |

Cumbum

| | | |

11964.

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Detailed List of Pagoda and Mosque allowances in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1251.

30

Names.	Estimated amount in Fusly 1251.	Actual amount in Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Lands Privileges &c.a.					
Cumbum					
Cusbah Vencataravanaswamy	175 " "	175 " "	"	"	
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	17 8 "	17 8 "	"	"	
Ditto Pauparamo-chana Iswaraswamy	17 8 "	17 8 "	"	"	
Ravipaud Gopaulaswamy	297 8 "	297 8 "	"	"	
Cancurlah Gopaulaswamy	154 " "	154 " "	"	"	
Tooremillah Madavaswamy	148 12 "	148 12 "	"	"	
Edamacul Nursimmaswamy	70 " "	70 " "	"	"	
Rajoopolliem Gopaulaswamy	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	
Chinna Cumbum Veerabuddraswamy	112 " "	112 " "	"	"	
Giddalore Nursimmaswamy	70 " "	70 " "	"	"	
Ditto Pauttala Nagaswaraswamy	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	
Ditto Ramanowmy Festival	94 8 "	94 8 "	"	"	
Cottacotah Anjenaiswamy	21 " "	21 " "	"	"	
Kistnum Chettapully Bheemaswaraswamy	87 8 "	87 8 "	"	"	
Nurvah Nursimmaswamy	67 14	67 14	"	"	
Moondalapaud Bhavanesum Karaswamy	70 " "	70 " "	"	"	
Poollacheroo Rungaswamy	47 4 "	47 4 "	"	"	
Ditto Iswaraswamy	23 10 "	23 10 "	"	"	
	1543 3 4	1543 3 4	"	"	
Doopaud					
Mar Rapoor Chennacasavaswamy	525 " "	525 " "	"	"	

11965 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Jummulmudgoo												
Cus-bah Narapoorum Swamy	723	5	4	723	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Yatoor Chennacasavaswamy	81	10	8	81	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cadarabad Neelacuntaswaraswamy	112	"	"	112	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Doodiala Vurdarajaswamy	66	12	8	66	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Boo Rapotam Ramaswamy	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Nursimmaswamy	28	"	"	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Moodiem Ramaswamy	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Nursimmaswamy	28	"	"	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1123	12	8	1123	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Doooor												
Moottialpaud Nursimmaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Iswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Nundialumpett Veerabuddraswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Perooveng alanadaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vellalah Anjenaiswamy	291	10	8	291	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ramayswarum Ramalingaswamy	189	9	4	189	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ramanoor Gopaulaswamy	116	10	8	116	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vanipentah Casavaswamy	148	12	"	148	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Somaswaraswamy	14	9	4	14	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	14	9	4	14	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Settypully Casavaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Podatoor Durmasala Anjenaiswamy	61	4	"	61	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Casavaswamy	116	10	8	116	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	40	13	4	40	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Calava Anjenaiswamy	8	12	"	2	3	1	"	"	"	6	8	11
Ditto Agustaswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Doooor Cadunda Ramaswamy	93	5	4	93	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mydacoor Madavaswamy	99	2	8	99	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chintacoontah Bheemaswaraswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Casavaswamy	49	9	4	49	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Choudoor Bheemayswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cheepaud Casavaswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ur Kataramala Iswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cottapully Rajarajaiswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Talamapoorum Vencataswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vellaveully Iswaraswamy	26	4	"	26	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kullaar Kasavaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Gunjeegoontah Kasavaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tungatoor Kasavaswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1852	14		1845	8	5	"	"	"	6	8	11

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Koilgoonttah

Cusbah	Ramaswamy	160	15	2	160	15	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	Anjenaiswamy	18	6	"	18	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	Siddayswara Swamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Awke	Chennacasava Swamy	154	"	"	154	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Aopulpaud	Kumbagherry Swamy	144	2	2	144	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	Anjenaiswamy	14	14	"	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Colemegoondlah	Nursimma Swamy	136	8	"	136	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Parossomala	Chennacasava Swamy	147	"	"	147	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sungapatam	Chennacasava Swamy	116	6	"	116	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Hummunthagoondum	Anjenaiswamy	28	14	"	28	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Timmanampettah	Agusteeswara Swamy	105	"	"	105	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Oozalvadah	Codunda Ramaswamy	21	"	"	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chobala	Nursimma Swamy	350	"	"	350	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Roodraverum	Anjenaiswamy	21	"	"	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Nassum boogah	Vencatasa Swamy	446	13	4	446	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	Chennacasava Swamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	Anjenaiswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mayalore	Bheemaswara Swamy	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ingude	Vencatasa Swamy	14	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Alevacondah	Chennacasava Swamy	10	8	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Roodraverum	Baskera Nundeeswara Swamy	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		2060	148		2060	148		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1967

Ofs. Pr. Hogsp. 13th October 1842.

11968 | Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

| | | |

11969 Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Georumcondah											
Cusbah Nursimmaswamy	83	14	1	83	14	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vailpaud Ramaswamy	834	7	4	834	7	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Turrecondah Nursimmaswamy	434	9	4	434	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Peelair Rasavaswaraswamy	145	13	4	145	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kalikerry Nursimmaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kaderoycheros Nursimmaswamy	84	"	"	84	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Culeada Siddaswaraswamy	350	"	"	350	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Goondalore Chennacasaraswamy	291	10	8	291	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Madacoorty Nursimmaswamy	105	"	"	105	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Agusteeswaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2405	4	1	2405	4	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
Madenpully												
Pettee Vanoyspaulaswamy	699	11	4	685	2	"	"	"	"	14	9	4
Paupiapully Nursimmaswamy	172	1	4	163	5	4	"	"	"	8	12	"
Madenpully Anjenaiswamy	32	13	"	32	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tumbullapully Mullaiswaraswamy	233	5	4	233	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Saden Sungamaiswaraswamy	145	13	4	145	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
Coorroobulcotah Ramaswamy	43	12	"	43	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chinna Tippasamoodrum Nursimmaswamy	43	12	"	43	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cundacoor Ramaswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cottapully Somaiswaraswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1464	9	8	1441	4	4	"	"	"	23	5	4
Poolevendlah												
Cudree Nursimmaswamy	2,029	14	6	2,028	7	4	"	"	"	1	7	2
Ditto Hoonahmaswaraswamy	70	"	"	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Poolevendla Rungaswamy	455	"	"	455	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy in the east side	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy in the west side	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Woolemilla Chennacasaraswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cowoor Anjenaiswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Gundee Anjenaiswamy	223	14	2	223	14	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chenroyapatam Veerabuddraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Pattriapully putnam Chennacasaraswamy	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cocuntee Jagajjotee	90	10	5	90	10	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Venpullee Verashachellaraya Swamy	434	9	4	427	9	4	"	"	"	7	"	"
Ditto Soobrammuniaswamy	57	11	5	57	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Neelacuntaiswaraswamy	3	8	"	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3575	3	10	3566	12	8	"	"	"	8	7	2

11970. Fort St. George 13th October 1842

			Roycholy								
Cusbah Veerabuddraswamy				1458	5	4	1451	2	"	7	5 2
Ditto Anjenaiswamy				160	6	8	160	6	8	"	" "
Pauta Roycholy Agusteeswaraswamy				52	8	"	52	8	"	"	" "
Maushpet Vencataravanaswamy				350	"	"	350	"	"	"	" "
Dinnapaud Anuntapedmanabaswamy				140	"	"	140	"	"	"	" "
Hossainpoor Vencataravanaswamy				35	"	"	35	"	"	"	" "
Guddecottah Chennacesvaswamy				26	4	"	26	4	"	"	" "
Rayarum Sungamaiswaraswamy				26	4	"	26	4	"	"	" "
Muttee Ramaswamy				78	12	"	78	12	"	"	" "
Bramana Yerragoody Nursimmaswamy				116	10	8	116	10	8	"	" "
Mundiem Nursimmaswamy				262	8	"	262	8	"	"	" "
Umbaverum Agusteeswaraswamy				60	9	7	60	9	7	"	" "
				2764	3		2759	15	1	7	5 2
				26808	4	10	26748	5	5	59	15 5
			Mosque								
			Cumbum								
Meer Ush Karahully Mokabrah				56	"	"	56	"	"	"	" "
Bunda Khan Tashriff Durgah				11	13	1	11	13	1	"	" "
Shally Hossain Peerjada serving in the Shally saibi Durgah				46	10	8	46	10	8	"	" "
				114	7	9	114	7	9	"	" "
			Jummulmudgoo								
Davood Khan serving Abdool Syed Meahs Durgah				137	12	11	137	12	11	"	" "
Goolam Hoossain				41	15	1	41	15	1	"	" "
				179	12	"	179	12	"	"	" "
			Dooor								
Ucbur Ullishaw Mazeed				77	6	11	77	6	11	"	" "
Nundialumpet Mazeed				38	5	4	38	5	4	"	" "
Poolatoor Aushur Mobaruck Chaukerssin Durgah				39	6	"	39	6	"	"	" "
				175	2	3	175	2	3	"	" "

1197

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Koilgoontlah								
Enayet Durvish Mazeed	26 4		26 4					
Poorampet Moollavadheenshaw ally Mahomed Bauker Mazeed	2 3 1		2 3 1					
Fakeer Saib Kateeb Mazeed	26 2 8		26 2 8					
Mahomed Bauker Mazeed	52 8		52 8					
Fakeer Saib Kateeb Mazeed	38 1 7		38 1 7					
	382 2 8		382 2 8					
Chitwaid								
Syed Innoos Mazeed	70 9 6		70 9 6					
Sidhout								
Chankmazeed Shaik Booden	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Fattoomeah Mazeed Peer Mahomed	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Killah Mazeed Durvish Mhomed	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Assar Shariff abdool Cauder Mazeed	114 2 11		114 2 11					
Chendar Simall Shaik Mazeed	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Tophesham Mazeed	152 4 1		152 4 1					

Mahomed Ghyoul Mazeed Servant named Mahadeen Mudr	30 4 8		30 4 8					
Padoocottah Mazeed Syed Mahomed	30 4 8		30 4 8					
Mahomed Saib Cotas Mazeed	28 8 8		28 8 8					
Montemittah Mazeed Mahomed Hoossain	7 6 2		12 11				63 7	
Gungaporoor Mazeed Mahomed Enam	38 1 1		38 1 1					
	1322 10 9		1259 3 9				63 7	
Chennoor								
Chouk Mazeed Mahomed Enam	7 6 2		33				43 2	
Killah Mazeed Abdool Futta	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Aushoorah Sister Bheeberhaw	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Monsahibsett Mazeed Shaik booden	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Sauduck Mahomed Moollah Mazeed	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Bhadoor khan Mazeed Syed Durvish	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Ameenshaw Mazeed Cauzee Mahomed	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Khader Saib Mazeed Mahomed Kateeb	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Nudva Mazeed Mahomed Moosa	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Soipet Mazeed Goolam Modeen	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Mausoobsett Mazeed Saik ally	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Mazeed Mackbarah Mohtabally	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Bundeeummah Mazeed Shaik Komers	7 6 2		7 6 2					
Mahobut Nagarum Mazeer abdool Cauder	7 6 2		7 6 2					
	1065 12		1022 10				43 2	

11,972

Fort St. George 13th. October 1812.

Hamalapoor												
Merkhalee Mazeed	76	2	"	76	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Goorumcondah												
Amendshaw Durgah Cusbah	83	14	2	83	14	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
Turrecondah Mazeed Shaik Mahomed Shaw	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cauzee abdool Ryman	7	1	8	7	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Syed Saib Durgah	20	15	5	20	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Katubsa Hameer	44	14	4	44	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	177	13	"	177	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Poolevendlah.												
Koolacool Mazeed Ismall Saib	51	10	"	51	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cudvee Syed Mahomed Mazeed	38	5	5	38	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Komaracalvah abdool Cauder Mazeed	5	4	"	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Modeen Saib's Durgah's servant Koosmall Saib	76	10	11	76	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tulpool Mazeed Syed Mahomed	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Siddee Mahomed Mazeed	41	14	1	41	14	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kateeb Shaik abdool Mazeed	83	13	5	83	13	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
	350	1	10	350	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
Roycholy.												
Koosain Peer Mazeed	12	12	9	12	12	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3,987	6	6	3,820	13	6	"	"	"	106	9	"
Grand Total	30,735	11	4	30,569	2	11	"	"	"	166	8	5

Pagoda and Mosque allowances.

Cumbum.												
Cusbah Ramasawmy	39	6	"	39	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chinna Cumbum Anjenaiswamy	21	"	"	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vyahs Poojah	17	8	"	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	77	14	"	77	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Doopaud.												
Markapoor Byragemut or Seeduldoss	6	11		6	11		"	"	"	"	"	"
Cangherry Martandaswaraswamy	87	8	"	87	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	52	8	"	52	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Markapoor Markundaswaraswamy	35	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vyahs Poojah	8	12	"	8	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	189	13	1	189	13	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
Budwail.												
Vyahs Poojah	10	8	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Jummulmudgoo												
Cusbah Iswaraswamy	70	"	"	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto Anjenaiswamy	21	"	"	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Veahs Poojah	10	8	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	101	8	"	101	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Doogoor												
Settypully Iswaraswamy	17	8	u	17	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Ekatairnala Gopaulaswamy	17	8	u	17	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Cottapully Yellummah (Goddess)	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Canagadoor Iswaraswamy	42	u	u	42	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Veass Poojah	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
	96	4	u	96	4	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Koilgoontlah.												
Veass Poojah	21	u	u	21	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Chitwail.												
Veass Poojah	21	u	u	21	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Sidhout.												
Veass Poojah	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Chinnoor.												
Shakur Cuddapah Ramaswamy	84	u	u	84	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Boggamullaiswaraswamy	43	12	u	43	12	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Veass Poojah	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
	138	4	u	138	4	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Kamalapoor												
Cdunda Ramaswamy at Condapullisogum = tah in the Village of Cocatum	91	u	u	91	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Anjenaiswamy at Ditto	42	u	u	42	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Ramaswamy at Ditto	42	u	u	42	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Veass Poojah	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
	183	12	u	183	12	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Goorumcondah.												
Veilpaud Cauveriswaraswamy	140	u	u	140	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Rampoor Ramaswamy	93	5	4	93	5	4	u	u	u	u	u	u
Veass Poojah	13	2	u	13	2	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
	246	7	4	246	7	4	u	u	u	u	u	u
Hadenpully.												
Veass Poojah	4	6	u	4	6	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Poolvendlah.												
Cudre Anjenaiswamy	17	8	u	17	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Mopoor Byravaswamy	58	5	4	58	5	4	u	u	u	u	u	u
Veass Poojah	26	4	u	26	4	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
	102	1	4	102	1	4	u	u	u	u	u	u
Roychoty.												
Veass Poojah	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u
Total	1213	13	9	1213	13	9	u	u	u	u	u	u

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Mosque									
Cumbum									
Rumjan and Buckreed	14	14	u	14	14	u	u	u	u
Doopaud									
Rumjan and Buckreed	5	4	u	5	4	u	u	u	u
Mohorum	17	11	6	17	11	6	u	u	u
	22	15	6	22	15	6	u	u	u
Budwail									
Rumjan and Buckreed	5	4	u	5	4	u	u	u	u
Jummulmudgoo									
Rumjan and Buckreed	10	8	u	10	8	u	u	u	u
Mohorum	33	11	u	33	11	u	u	u	u
	44	3	u	44	3	u	u	u	u
Dooor									
Rumjan and Buckreed	4	6	u	4	6	u	u	u	u
Koilgoontlah									
Rumjan and Buckreed	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u
Mohorum	14	u	u	14	u	u	u	u	u
	22	12	u	22	12	u	u	u	u
Chitwail									
Shabutrashaw Durgah	10	7	6	10	7	6	u	u	u
Nundalore Horsain Mahomed Mazeed	5	14	6	5	14	6	u	u	u
Rumjan and Buckreed	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u
Mohorum	46	2	5	46	2	5	u	u	u
	167	4	5	167	4	5	u	u	u
Sidhaut									
Rumjan and Buckreed	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u
Chennoor									
To white wash the different Mosque	1	15	6	1	15	6	u	u	u
Rumjan and Buckreed	14	9	4	14	9	4	u	u	u
	16	8	10	16	8	10	u	u	u
Kamalapoor									
Rumjan and Buckreed	4	14	9	4	14	9	u	u	u
Gorumcondah									
Rumjan and Buckreed	8	12	u	8	12	u	u	u	u
Poolevendlah									
Rumjan and Buckreed	15	2	8	15	2	8	u	u	u

Order thereon,

(30 - 31)

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.

(32)

From the Ag. Pr. Coll.r of Cuddapah — Sir, Pan.t

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1842

Forwarding an application from Meer Mahomood ale Khan soliciting that the Surva Enam Villages granted for the support of the Establishment maintained at the Tomb of his Father may be made over to him and recommending the same to the favorable consideration of the Board.—

I have the honor to report that on my intimating to Meer Mahomood ale Khan the orders of the Board that the Surva Enam villages granted at the assumption of the Country by the Company's Government for the maintenance of an Establish=ment Kept up at the tomb of his Father are to be retained under the management of the Revenue authorities and the proceeds to be made over to the uncontrolled management of himself or any one he might be pleased to nominate, the above noble=man has proceeded to this place from Mysore where he usually resides and

Royalty.

| | | |

and has presented to me the accom=
=panying Petition addressed to the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council
urgently soliciting that the Villages in
question may be placed in his pos=
=session.-

2 These Villages are rather
differently situated from the Ordinary
landed endowments appropriated
to religious establishments as they
have been granted for the mainte=
=nance of the Tomb of Meer Mahomood
ali Khan's Father and may therefore
be considered almost as grants of
a personal nature and as Meer
Mahomood ali Khan himself has
brought me introductory letters from
the Superintendent of the Astagram
Division and from the Resident
in Mysore testifying to his respec=
=tability, I beg to recommend his request
to the favorable consideration of the
Board of Revenue.-

3 In the event of the Villages
being made over to Meer Mahomood
ali

ali Khan it will be Imagine be neces=
=sary to take an engagement from
him binding himself and his succes=
=sors to follow the customs of the country
and strictly to observe the provisions
of the Regulations in the Collection of
the Revenue and in the general admins=
=tration of the affairs of the Villages.-

Cuddapah - - - - -
Princ. Coll. Office
23d September 1842

(Signed) W. R. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Collector

Translation of an Urzee addressed
to the Right Honorable the Governor
in Council by Meer Mahomud ally son
of Meer Raja alli Khan under date the
24th August 1842.-

After the usual compli=
=ments, I beg leave to represent that
my father Meer Raja alli Khan was
the brother in-law of Nawab Bahadur
and Fatherinlaw of Tippoo Sultan - On
the death of my Father, Bahadur became
exceedingly sorry and ordered his son
Tippoo Sultan to have a noble tomb
erected over the grave of my Father. He
accordingly erected one, and fixed some

Villages

Villages Viz. Cherlopully Murremaroolapully, Cundrika and Mimoopully Coonta as Surva Jageer for the maintenance of a Refectory or accommodation to the Poor and for the support of a "Nowbuth Khana" as well as for the performance of religious Ceremonies &c. in that Tomb. The Villages were accordingly held from the time of Hyder, and Tippoo up to that of Meer quomaroddeen Khan the son of my Father. Afterwards Colonel Munro was pleased to continue the said four villages as before. As it was not possible for me to reside in this part of the country the Darogah employed at the Tomb having been left uncontrolled, He quarrelled with the other servants, and they therefore preferred a Complaint against him to the then Collector, who put the villages under the Superintendence of the Tahsildar, with directions that the Revenue Collected in them should be distributed among all the servants, in the presence of the Darogah for their allowances

allowances. Ever since the Revenue has fallen short and the servants have therefore been paid their salaries only for 6. 7 or 8 months instead of 12 months in a year. and owing to the same reason the charges for religious Ceremonies and the Refectory &c. have been much reduced. I am given to understand that the tomb is in want of repair in different places and in consequence of the repairs not having been undertaken it is gradually falling into decay. It is further reported that the Villages have since been placed under the Control of the Darogah who manages the affairs thereof. It is now directed that I should receive the net Revenues collected from the said jageer villages and manage the affairs of the Tomb. I therefore beg to represent that since the acquirement of the Country by the Honorable Company nearly 44 years, all the Surva Enams and jageer villages granted to several individuals have been put in the possession of their owners. but on the contrary I have

I have been directed to receive only the net Revenues of the above villages. This instruction was, I think, issued owing to my misfortune. From receiving the net Revenue of the above villages the affairs of the Tomb cannot be satisfactorily con-
-ducted. I have therefore presumed to solicit that should the Villages be made over to me I shall cause the tanks and Chan-
-nels in those Villages to be repaired. I shall grant Tuccavie to the Ryots to bring the waste lands under cultivation that the revenues may be increased. It is also absolutely necessary to construct a separate Kettle drum house. in consequence of the Tomb having been cracked by the sound of the Kettle drum Vca which are beaten in the tomb. Besides this the tomb is much da-
-maged in one Corner which urgently requires repairs. The Mosque and the Ba-
-burche Khana or Cooking room also stand much in need of repairs. How will it be possi-
-ble to complete all these repairs and to pay the charges of religious ceremonies and the Salaries of Servants attached to it from the said net Revenue. I bring his

his son if I would not take measures to keep the tomb of my father in perfect order what would the Gentlemen think of me: If it is kept in good order I would not only attain honor but also the virtue of the Honorable Company will be greatly exalted.

A tomb of my Mother is at Dindoogul and for its support there are two Villages, a tank and a garden and a Village called Bagumpoor was built by my Father in the name of his wife. the proceeds derived from those Villages are spent for the charges of that tomb. The servants attached to it having also been left uncon-
-trolled it is going to decay. If the Manage-
-ment of this is also entrusted to me I will employ my two sons to carry on the duties of these two Tombs respectively, and shall hold a superintendence over them. There are no other heirs to them except myself and my sons the servants only look to their allowances but pay little attention to the security of the tomb and Ceremonies Vca I therefore solicit that your Lordship will be pleased to grant this Villages to my charge with the

the power of employing and removing the servants attached to those Tombs. In granting this indulgence the virtue and generosity of the Honorable Company will be highly exalted in the world.

/ A true translate /

/ Signed / W. H. Arbuthnot

Acting Principal Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

32 - 33

To The Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah

To the A. P. Collector of Cuddapah

Sir,

In reply to your letter of Inits 13th Octr. 1842 the 23d Ultimo relative to the Sunna Enam Villages granted by Government at the assumption of the Country for the maintenance of the Tomb of the Meer Rajah Ali Khan I am Directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will report in detail the circumstances which led to the Villages in question being resumed possession of and from what period they have been under the Management of the Revenue Authorities

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 13th October 1842

/ Signed / I. D. T. Bourdillon

Secretary

Read

Read again letter from the Commissioner in Kurnool dated 14th and entered in Consultation 26th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

34

To the Commissioner in Kurnool - - - - &

Transmitting a list and form of periodical returns to be furnished by him to the Board together with copies of the orders relative to the rate of Batta to his Establishment and the remuneration allowed for the destruction of wild beasts with rules regarding Yeomiahs and stating that if the sanction of the Board is required by the Civil Auditor for the Extra Tent allowance incurred since the Kurnool territory was assumed by Government to submit a regular application giving the information required

Sd. 26 Oct. 1842

Govt. Order 19th Aug. 1805

Circular 10th Aug. 1815

Regl. 19th 1820

To The Commissioner in Kurnool

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 14th Ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit, agreeably to your request the forms for Bills for Extra tent allowance and for Statements of Extra charges as well as copies of the orders relative to the rates of Batta to your Establishment and the remuneration allowed for the destruction of wild beasts. The rules regarding Yeomiahs are likewise sent regarding the payment of other pensions you should apply to the Civil Auditor.

2. With reference to the 2d Para of your letter I am instructed to enclose a list specifying the periodical returns

required by the form of Statement as far as he can obtain it.

returns to be furnished by you to this Office. This list corresponds with that approved by Government in the case of the Vizagapatam and Ganjam Agents, with the omission only of the return of offers for the Sayer rents, there being no Sayer in Kurnool.

3. It appears from your concluding Para that the Board's sanction is required by the Genl Auditor for all the disbursements made on account of Extra tent allowance since the Kurnool territory was assumed by Government. if this is the case you will submit a regular application giving the information required by the form of Statement as far as you can obtain it.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Signed J. D. Doveton
Secretary

Annual

Annual-

1 A Report on the Settlement of the land Revenue of every Fusly.

2- A Report on the Settlement of the extra branches of Revenue of every Fusly.

3. Statement of Collections- Vide Accompanying Form

4. Statement of charges ..... Do .....

5 Statement of offers for the Abkarry Rent } ..... Do .....

6- Mayen Jabitah ..... Do .....

7- Estimate of Advances for Cultivation } ..... Do .....

8- Statement of Actual charges incurred under estimate } ..... Do .....

9- Estimate of Extra charges ..... Do .....

10- Statement of Extra charges incurred under Estimate } ..... Do .....

11- Statement of Extra charges incurred without Estimate } ..... Do .....

12- Indent for Stationery ..... Do .....

13- Statement of Tent allowance and return of tents } ..... Do .....

14- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 } ..... Do .....

15- Return of persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 } ..... Do .....

Quarterly

Quarterly

1 Return of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail ..... } Vide accompanying Form

2. Return of Casualties and of Revenue Defaulters released from confinement ..... } D.....

3. Return of public servants suspended or dismissed from Employment ..... } D.....

Monthly

1. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance. For Blank forms sent with printed Instructions and form of certificate of Treasury examination

2. Register of Petitions ..... } Vide accompanying Form

Read the following letter.

To

35

From the Coll.r of Chingleput Submitting the request of the Shrotriemdar of Calcor for the restoration of that Village to his Management. --- 8 Para 1

N.B. Letter to Govt dated 3 Feb.y 1838
Minutes of Consultation 29 Dec.r 1837
from Bd. to Coll.r ——— 15 July 1839

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.t George

Sir,

Referring to the correspondence noted in the Margin, the Board will observe that the Shrotrium Village of Calcor was assumed and placed under summary Management for the recovery of arrears of Government Peishcush and the shares of the net profits due by the Shrotriemdar Narrainsawmy Raz to his brother Gopah Raz and the other Members of his family These demands against the Estate having been liquidated, Narrainsawmy Raz the Senior Member of the family has addressed an Urzee to me through his Vakeel of which a translate is enclosed and has also personally waited on me with the dject of soliciting that the Village may be restored to his possession, on condition of his binding himself to pay regularly the shares due to Gopah Raz and the other Members in conformity with the agreement

Vide Enclosure A

executed by him on the 4.th March 1837. and communicated to the Board by

by Mr. Maclean on the 25th of the same Month.-

2 On reference to the 7th Para of the Board's letter to Government under date the 8th October 1838. and the 1st Para of the Minutes of Consultation thereon, I believe it was their intention to retain this Village under Aumany only, until the arrears above alluded to, were recovered.

3. Gopah Rauz and other Members of the family are averse to the Shrotrium being again placed under the Management of Narrainsawmy Raz but as head of the family I consider him entitled to it and he has had a severe lesson in the temporary resumption of the Management of it, and I would therefore suggest that the Shrotrium be restored to him, with a distinct warning that any future infringement of the family compact would be attended with the sequestration of his share of the Grant, and to which effect he should be obliged to sign an

agreement.

agreement.-

Chingleput District ... Signed / A. Freese
Collector's Cutcherry Collector
Conjeveram 3d October 1842

A

Translation of an arzee addressed by P. Ramanooja Iyengar Vakeel of Narrainsawmy Raz Shrotriumdar of Coloor in the Nayer Salook to Arthur Freese Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput Dated 15th July 1842.

I beg leave to state that should the above Village of Coloor be restored to Narrainsawmy Raz he will according to the Agreement executed by him to the Circar be prepared regularly to pay the Kists, and allow Gopah Raz his due share of the profits as well as maintenance to the other Members of the family.

It has been promulgated by Act No. XXIII of 1838. that the Courts have no power to interfere with the Shrotrium Villages granted by Government and the claim preferred by P. Chingleveroy Pillay of Madras against Coloor was on that ground

ground dismissed and the Govern-
ment sent orders to the Board of
Revenue on the subject.

The Village in question
was taken under attachment only till
the balance of hist outstanding on
it had been recovered and I therefore
beg you will be pleased to restore the
Village to Narrainsawmy Raz.

/Signed/ P Ramanooja Iyengar
/True Translation/
/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered that the follow-
ing address be submitted with the fore-
going letter.

(35 . 36)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

To the Actg Chief Sect.
to Government.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Chingleput together with a request from the Shrotriemdar of Colour that the Village of that name may be restored to his management

Sir,

I am directed by the Board
of Revenue, to request that you will
lay before the Most Honorable the
Governor in Council the accompanying
letter from the Collector of Chingleput
submitting a request from the
Shrotriemdar

management stating that as the demands against this Village which led to its assumption in 1839 have been duly liquidated the Board recommend that the sanction of Government may be granted for placing it under the management of Narrainsawmy Raz as head of the family and eldest son of the late incumbent Vencata Ranz.

Minutes of Cons. 29th Nov. 1836 Sel. 1. Dec. 1836.

Shrotriemdar of Colour that the
Village of that name may be restored
to his Management.

As it appears that
the demands against this Village which
led to its assumption in the year
1839. have been duly liquidated
the Board are of opinion as
for the reasons assigned by
Mr. Freese and in reference to
the sentiments of Government
conveyed in an Extract from
the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 29th November
1836. para 1 that the Village
in question should be again placed
under the Management of
Narrainsawmy

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Narrainsawmy Raz as head of the family and eldest son of the late incumbent Vencata Rauz and they accordingly beg to recommend that the sanction of Government may be granted to give effect to this arrangement subject to the stipulation suggested by the Collector to provide against any future infringement of the family compact.

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
13th October 1842

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

JBB

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Read the following letter—

To. The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(37)

Sir

From the Collector of North arcot } Para 1

Reports on the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1251—

Printed Statements in Triplicate— Statements A and B Do. Nos. 1 and 2 with statements in Hindoonee.—

I have the honor to submit the Annual Statements in detail of the Extra Sources of Revenue for fusly 1251 in the Northern Division of Arcot according to the Form directed by the Board.—

2 The annexed Abstract shews the Revenue from Extra Sources for Fusly 1251 in Comparison with the revenue of Fusly 1250.—

Items.—

11995

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Items	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
	Rs a fo	Rs a fo	Rs a fo	Rs a fo
Salt	36,491 7 "	20,039 4 "		16,452 3 "
Sayer	151,940 12 "	140,140 2 "		11,800 10 "
Abkarry	101,775 " "	122,800 " "	21025 " "	
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	9,790 6 /	8,569 6 8		1220 15 5
Stamps	30,469 9 "	27,744 3 /		2725 5 11
Moturfka	34,902 6 10	35,588 1 "	685 10 2	
Peishcush Khanneica	10,809 3 10	10,086 6 /		941 13 9
	4,46,178 13 4	4,53,748 6 11	21,710 10 2	42,141 " "
		Net	20,430 6 5	

Exhibiting a

11996

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

exhibiting a net decrease of Rupees 20,430-6-5 below the revenue of last year.

Salt. 3 Nos. 3 and 4 are the detailed Statements prepared according to the Boards' Letters of the 22nd September 1836 and 22nd June 1837. The Abstract of each is as follows.

	Rowanahs	Salt	
	Rolls	Garces	Measls
Fusly 1250	870	347	215
" 1251	499	190	340

	Quantity of Salt manufactured		Quantity Sold		Amount Value		
	G	M	G	M	Rs	a	fo
Fusly 1250	361	239	347	215	36,491	7	"
" 1251	166	224	190	340	20039	4	"
Decrease	195	15	156	275	16,452	3	"

Dittum -- G 283 - M 200
Manufactured - 166 - 224
Decrease 116 - 376

4 The Decrease of Garces as 116 - 376 below the Dittum or quantity ordered to be manufactured for the Fusly under report and of Garces 195 - 15 below the manufacture of the preceding Fusly is, in consequence of the Pans in which the manufacture was proceeding having been overflowed with Water thro' the Pulicat bar during the Storm* in the Month of May 1841 which destroyed the

* 15th to 17th

Fort St George 13th October 1842

Items	Amount collected in Fusly 1250	Amount collected in Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Net
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
Cloths	47,828 1 7	43,338 4 11	" " "	4,439 12 8	
Tamarind	7,190 13 5	5,435 5 7	" " "	1,755 7 10	
Jaggery	13,268 14 2	9,961 6 11	" " "	3,307 7 3	
Gingerly Oil seed	3,887 " 1	5015 1 "	1,128 " 11	" " "	
Indigo	12,435 1 7	15,170 7 7	2,735 6 "	" " "	
Petty articles the value of which did not exceed 600 Rupees	23,262 8 11	25,204 8 7	1,941 15 8	" " "	
Ditto — Ditto 600 Rupees	17,668 4 10	15,644 15 6	" " "	2,023 5 4	
	125,540 12 7	119,820 2 1	5,805 6 7	11,526 1 1	5,720 10 6
Amount of Beetle Rent	13,950 " "	11,00 " "	" " "	2,950 " "	
Ditto of Tobacco Do	12,450 " "	9,320 " "	" " "	3,130 " "	
	26,400 " "	20,320 " "	" " "	6,080 " "	6,080 " "
Total	151,940 12 7	140,140 2 1	5,805 6 7	17,606 1 1	11,800 10 6

The

7 The Decrease on Cloths arises from advances not having been made by the Mercantile Houses at Madras to the Weavers of Rajahmundry purpetah Vcu Villages in the Sutwaid Talook as the goods were not required, and from the Weavers of Trimalpoor in the Cavery-pauk Talook having quitted their Village and established their Looms in the adjoining District of Chingleput where the Loom tax it appears is less by Rupees 4-10-6 and where in some cases exemption is allowed.* the decrease is further attributable to a less collection of duty in the Chokies of Naggery. Permalrazpet, ex Wundiwash and Arcot- The whole collection however on Cloths in these Chowkies is small.—

+ Loom Tax in } Rs. a. p.
North Arcot - - } 7-10-6
Do in the Chingleput } 3-0-0
District - - - }
Rupees - - - 4-10-6

* Vide Copy of letter from the Collector of Chingleput cu herewith sent No. 5.

8 The Decrease on the Tamarind is owing almost entirely to the produce having been generally small-

9 There is a falling off under the head of Jaggery amounting to ex Rupees 3,304-7-3 In Fusly 1250 there was a decrease of Rupees 2166-11-5 below ex Fusly 1249. It is stated that the ex Demand

Demand for this article at Madras has diminished- This is probably true as regards the export from this District to Madras, arising from the Madras Market being largely supplied from other Districts and from manufacture on the spot. There is an increase in the Cultivation of Sugar cane in North Arcot.—

10 There is an increase in the articles of Indigo and Gingerly Oil seed the former from increased Manufacture and the latter from favorable Crops- The Decrease in the Beetle and ex Tobacco is owing to there having been no Competition for the Rent of the Fusly.—

Abkarry 11 Competition for the Abkarry Rent is the cause of the increase in this branch of Revenue.—

Sundry Small farms and Licenses 12 The annexed table exhibits the Items of Increase and ex Decrease in the Revenue from small farms and Licences for Fusly 1251.—

Items

12001

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Items	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase Decrease
	Rs a fo	Rs a fo	Rs a fo
Kannica of the Govindarazswamy Pagoda	1038 4 3	704 6 3	334 1 "
Tank fishery	5429 " "	6130 6 11	700 15 11 " "
Offerings at the Perwaid and Ellattoor Pagodas	2164 14 8	562 15 2	1601 15 6
Boat Chonsolay of Chevenum Vea	545 " "	515 " "	" " "
Certain farms Connected with the Fishery Pagodas			" " "
Vagaiudaly	550 " "	600 " "	50 " "
Moodramuntaram	62 9 2	26 10 4	" " " 35 14 10
Total	9790 6 8	8569 6 8	450 15 11 1951 15 4
		Net	1220 15 5

12002

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

13 The Decrease in the Offerings of the Perwaid and Ellattoor Pagodas is in consequence of the Gold and Silver Offerings which had accumulated for the last thirty years having been sold and accounted for in last year. The decrease in the Kannicca of the Govindarazswamy Pagoda is owing to a diminution in the Number of Pilgrims who resorted to the Pagoda.

Rs a fo
× 232-4-"
468-11-11
Rupees 700-15-11

14 The increase in the Tank fishery is owing to the fishery in certain Tanks in the Arcat and Trivettoor Talooks having been newly granted at a high rate as Putticut in this year and to certain Tanks in the Vellore and Trivettoor Vea Talooks having been rented out for a greater amount than in the preceding Fusly.

Stamp

15 The decrease under this Head chiefly results from a diminished sale of Stamp Papers for Suits and from the Amount of Penalties Vea not having been received from the Courts to the same extent as in the preceding year: also

+ Rs a fo
2299-8-11

Sayer Rs Rawanahs were not issued to

* 425-13-"

the extent of last year in consequence of diminished Collections on certain

articles

12003 Fort St George 13th October 1842

Articles already declared

Moturpha 15

Merchandize chiefly arrived from the ports of Moturpha or Bazar Ports collected from the Bazar Boats of Ramghut

Rs a p 1151-10-5 having been brought to the Head quarters of Deputy Collector Venoy Ramah, as was hitherto customary in the Northern and also from certain Out Posts having been newly established in the Fasly under Report.

By The amounts stated in the Margin as the Articles on which the Customs Duties are collected and the Increase or Decrease of Merchandize and Duties on the several Items comprising the Moturpha. The decrease on Coconuts among the certain Vessels at Trincomalee having putties the district as stated in Captain Reports.

Items

12004 Fort St George 13th October 1842

Items	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
On Houses	9,424 7 1	9,619 14 "	195 6 11	" " "
On Malegay and Cottahs	825 1 7	771 15 1	" " "	53 2 6
On Mundies	491 9 4	609 1 7	117 8 3	" " "
On Retail Shops	10,305 12 8	10,976 2 9	670 6 1	" " "
On Looms	12,730 10 4	12,306 12 1	" " "	423 14 3
On Oil Presses	2,150 9 2	2,319 " 6	168 7 4	" " "
Total	35,928 2 2	36,602 14 "	1151 12 7	477 " 9
Deduct the allowances for Sibbendies employed for the Moturpha and Dehat Cranes &c	1,025 11 4	1,014 13 "	" " "	10 14 4
Remaining	34,902 6 10	35,588 1 "	1,151 12 7	466 2 5
		Net	685 10 2	

The

Tripatty Kannicca

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

18 The Decrease under this head proceeds from Pilgrims not having resorted to the Tripatty Hill during the large annual festival in the same numbers as in the previous Fusly.—

19 Of the total Settlement of the fusly as per annexed table the sum of Rupees 4,50,712-13-8- was collected within the fusly leaving a balance at its close of Rupees 5035-9-3- of this Rs 4931-2-5 were realized up to the 5th October 1842 and the balance exhibited in the annexed table will be realized as soon as practicable.—

Items	Demand	Collection	Balance	Amount Collected up to the 5th Octr. 1842	Remaining
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
Salt	20,039 4 4	20,039 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
Sayer	1,40,140 2 1	1,39,358 12 2	781 5 11	781 5 11	" " "
Abkarry	122,800 " "	122,800 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Sundry small farms and Licenses	8569 6 8	6,942 " "	1627 6 8	1,627 6 8	" " "
Stamps	27,744 3 1	27,744 3 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
Moturpha	35,588 1 "	32,961 4 4	2,626 12 8	2,522 5 10	104 6 10
Tripatty Kannicca	1,00,867 6 1	1,00,867 6 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	4,53,748 6 11	4,50,712 13 8	5,035 9 3	4,931 2 5	104 6 10

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

20 The Net Decrease of Revenue has been stated above to be Rupees 20,130—6—5- The gross Decrease Amounted to Rupees 42,141—0—7 The Sources under which this decrease has occurred are Salt, Sayer, Stamps and Pagoda Offerings. The diminished sales of Salt from there being no Salt at the Pansee in the North Arcot District probably led to an increased Sale of Salt in Chingleput and South Arcot. In the Sayer the ordinary fluctuations of Trade are observable. The other two items are also liable to fluctuation. The Net Revenue for the Fusly under report after deducting Charges was Rupees 4,01,011—5—3. The Net Revenue of the preceding year was Rupees 4,16,952—8—1. The Centage of Charge was Rupees 11—6—10 in Fusly 1251 and Rupees 11—9—10 in the preceding year.—

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 7th October 1842

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

Fort St George

13th October 1842

Statement of the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the District of the Northern Division of Arcot for Fusslies 1250 & 1251.

	Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251					Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250.		Comparison of Total Collections of Fusly 1251 with that of Fusly 1250.		Remarks
	Collection to the 11th July 1841	Extra Collection	Total Collection of Fusly 1250	Balance of Fusly 1250 on the 12th July 1841.	Settlement for Fusly 1251	Collection on account of Fusly 1251 to the 5th July 1842	Extra Collection for Fusly 1251	Total Collection on Account of Fusly 1251.	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1842	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	
	36491 7 "	" " "	36491 7 "	" " "	20039 4 "	20039 4 "	" " "	20039 4 "	" " "	" " "	16452 3 "	" " "	16452 3 "	
	151940 12 7	" " "	151940 12 7	" " "	140140 2 1	139358 12 2	" " "	139358 12 2	781 5 11	" " "	11800 10 6	" " "	12582 " 5	× Since Collected
	97446 3 10	" " "	97446 3 10	4328 12 2	122800 " "	122800 " "	" " "	122801 " "	" " "	21025 " "	" " "	25353 12 2	" " "	
	9744 6 1	" " "	9744 6 1	46 " "	8569 6 8	6942 " "	" " "	6942 " "	1627 6 8	" " "	122015 6	" " "	2802 6 10	Ditto
	110809 3 10	" " "	110809 3 10	" " "	100867 6 1	100867 6 1	" " "	100867 6 1	" " "	" " "	9941 13 9	" " "	9941 13 9	
	34713 6 7	" " "	34713 6 7	189 " 3	35588 1 "	32961 4 4	" " "	32961 4 4	2626 12 8	685 10 2	" " "	" " "	1752 2 3	* Balance on the 12th July 1842 ... 2626-12-8 Collected up to 5th October 1842 ... 2522-5-10 Remaining under Collection ... 104-6-10
	30469 9 "	" " "	30469 9 "	" " "	27744 3 1	27744 3 1	" " "	27744 3 1	" " "	" " "	2725 6 11	" " "	2725 6 11	
	471615 " 11	" " "	471615 " 11	4563 12 5	455748 6 11	450712 13 8	" " "	450712 13 8	5035 9 3	21710 10 2	42141 " 7	25353 12 2	46255 15 5	

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor 7th October 1842.

E. E. J.r/a

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector.

12007

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

A Statement Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the District of the Norther

	Fasly 1250				Fasly 1251						Comparison of the Settlement of Fasly 1251 with that of Fasly 1250		Com Collec with
	Settlement of Fasly 1250.	Collection to the 11th July 1841.	Extra Collection	Total Collection of Fasly 1250	Balance of Fasly 1250 on the 12th July 1841.	Settlement for Fasly 1251	Collection on account of Fasly 1251 to the 11th July 1842	Extra Collection for Fasly 1251	Total Collection on Account of Fasly 1251.	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1842	Increase	Decrease	Incre
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a
Salt	36491 7 0	36491 7 0	0 0 0	36491 7 0	0 0 0	20039 4 0	20039 4 0	0 0 0	20039 4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	16452 3 0	0 0
Sayer	151940 12 7	151940 12 7	0 0 0	151940 12 7	0 0 0	140140 2 1	139358 12 2	0 0 0	139358 12 2	781 5 11	0 0 0	11800 10 1	0 0
Abkarry	101775 0 0	97446 3 10	0 0 0	97446 3 10	4328 12 2	122800 0 0	122800 0 0	0 0 0	122800 0 0	0 0 0	21025 0 0	0 0 0	25353 12
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	9790 6 1	9744 6 1	0 0 0	9744 6 1	46 0 0	8569 6 8	6942 0 0	0 0 0	6942 0 0	1627 6 8	0 0 0	122015 6 0	0
Tirputty Offerings	110809 3 10	110809 3 10	0 0 0	110809 3 10	0 0 0	100867 6 1	100867 6 1	0 0 0	100867 6 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	9941 13 9	0
Moturpha	34902 6 10	34713 6 7	0 0 0	34713 6 7	189 0 3	35588 1 0	32961 4 4	0 0 0	32961 4 4	2626 12 8	685 10 2	0 0 0	0
Stamps	30469 9 0	30469 9 0	0 0 0	30469 9 0	0 0 0	27744 3 1	27744 3 1	0 0 0	27744 3 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2725 6 11	0
Total	471781 3 4	471615 0 11	0 0 0	471615 0 11	4563 12 5	455748 6 11	450712 13 8	0 0 0	450712 13 8	5035 9 3	21710 10 2	42141 0 7	25353

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor 7th October 1842

E. E. Fox / Signed J.

12008

Fort St George 13th October 1842

B Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of former Years in the Northern Division of Arcot —

	Total Demand on Account of Old Arrears at the close of Fusly 1250			Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1249			Collections on Account of Fusly 1249			Balance			Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1250			Collection on Account of Fusly 1250			Balance			Total Collections of Old Arrears in Fusly 1251			Total Balance Columns 4 and
	1			2			3			4			5			6			7			8			9
	Rupees	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs
Salt																									
Sayer	4,585	7	11																			1,808	11		
Abkarry													4,328	12	2	4,328	12	2							
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	357	14	3										46			46									
Sirputty Offerings																									
Moturpha	79	5	9	3	7	6	3	7	6	189	3		188	4	7		11	8							4
Stamps																									
Total	5022	11	11	3	7	6	3	7	6	4563	12	5	4563		9		11	8	1808	11					

North Arcot

Collector's Cutcherry Chittoor 7th October 1842 E. C. Fs/ Sig

Fort St George 13th October 1842

...wing the Demand Collection and Balance of Arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of former Fuslees for Fusly 1251 on ...
Northern Division of Arcot.—

Total Demand on account of Old Arrears at the close of Fusly 1250			Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1249.			Collections on Account of Fusly 1249.			Balance			Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1250.			Collection on Account of Fusly 1250			Balance			Total Collections of Old Arrears in Fusly 1251.			Total Balance Columns 4 and 7.			Remarks
1			2			3			4			5			6			7			8			9			
Rupees	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
4585	7	11																			1808	11					
												4328	12	2	4328	12	2										
354	14	3										46			46												
79	5	9	3	7	6							189		3	188	4	7										
5022	11	11	3	7	6							4563	12	5	4563		9		11	8	1808	11		4	3	2	

* This Amount was remitted ... Abkarry Renter in consequence ... removal of Regiments from Rane ... Arnee as Sanctioned by Govern... the Extract from the Minutes of ... dated 6th December 1841 convey... Extract from the Proceedings of ... of Revenue dated 20th of that mo...

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry Chittoor 7th October 1842 E. E. & Co.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

Staten...

12009

No. 1. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of all the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Northern Division of Arcot

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

Salt Sayer.

No.	Names of the Talooks	Demand for Fusly 1251.	Collection to 11th July 1842.	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Collection to 11th July 1842.	Balance on the 12th July 1842.	Collection since	Balance to this Late	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Collection to 11th July 1842.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Hoozoor / Stamp Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	Chittoor	"	"	5,564 15 10	5,564 15 10	"	"	"	10,500	10,500
2	Tripulty	"	"	5,771 9 1	5,771 9 1	"	"	"	5,000	5,000
3	Coverypauk	"	"	8,543 15 7	8,543 15 7	"	"	"	28,080	28,080
4	Solinghur	"	"	2,247 8 10	2,247 8 10	"	"	"	2,000	2,000
5	Trivellum	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,250	5,250
6	Cautghur	"	"	13,199 7 4	13,199 7 4	"	"	"	8,000	8,000
7	Coddapanutteum	"	"	8,598 4 10	8,598 4 10	"	"	"	2,250	2,250
8	Arcat	"	"	7,410 15 11	7,410 15 11	"	"	"	13,000	13,000
9	Vellore	"	"	19,274 11 6	19,274 11 6	"	"	"	8,700	8,700
10	Tirutturoor	"	"	5,194 12 4	5,194 12 4	"	"	"	2,800	2,800
11	Poor	"	"	2,921 7 10	2,778 9 11	142 13 11	142 13 11	"	2,800	2,800
12	Tindewash	"	"	1,620 1 8	1,541 11 8	78 6 "	78 6 "	"	1,670	1,670
13	Stewaid	20,039 4 "	20,039 4 "	6,454 11 9	6,454 11 9	"	"	"	2,200	2,200
14	Tnerry	"	"	5,341 7 10	5,341 7 10	"	"	"	2,375	2,375
15	Mastry Zemindary	"	"	4,401 3 5	4,401 3 5	"	"	"	3,300	3,300
16	Vetrugger Zemindary	"	"	14,140 1 3	14,139 13 3	" 4 "	" 4 "	"	6,000	6,000
17	Tree Paghire	"	"	11,159 3 11	10,599 5 11	559 14 "	559 14 "	"	8,200	8,200
18	Tydeepautty Poliam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	630	630
19	Trgunty Poliam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	695	695
20	Tleecherlah Poliam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	140	140
21	Tlloor Poliam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	255	255
22	Tngary Poliam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1810	1,810
23	Tmbah Poliam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	45	45
	Total	20,039 4 "	20,039 4 "	121,844 10 11	121,063 5 "	781 5 11	781 5 11	"	115,700	115,700
24	Tlicat	"	"	2,062 1 8	2,062 1 8	"	"	"	2,700	2,700
	Total	20,039 4 "	20,039 4 "	1,23,906 12 7	123,125 6 8	781 5 11	781 5 11	"	118,400	118,400
25	Togoondy Poliam	"	"	4,826 4 1	4,826 4 1	"	"	"	1,600	1,600
26	Tcalagherry Cottah	"	"	11,407 1 5	11,407 1 5	"	"	"	2,800	2,800
	Grand Total	20,039 4 "	20,039 4 "	1,40,140 2	1,39,358 12 2	781 5 11	781 5 11	"	122,800	122,800

Fort St George 13th October 1842

d Collection and Balance of all the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Northern Division of Arcot

	Salt				Sayer			Abkarry				
	Demand for Fusly 1251	Collection to 11th July 1842	Settlement of Fusly 1251	Collection to 11th July 1842	Balance on the 12th July 1842	Collection since	Balance to this Date	Settlement of Fusly 1251	Collection to 11th July 1842	Balance on the 12th July 1842	Collection since	Balance to this Date
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			5,564 15 10	5,564 15 10				18,500	18,500			
			577 9 1	577 9 1				5,000	5,000			
			8,543 15 7	8,543 15 7				28,080	28,080			
			2,247 8 10	2,247 8 10				2,000	2,000			
								5,250	5,250			
			13,199 7 4	13,199 7 4				8,000	8,000			
			8,598 4 10	8,598 4 10				2,250	2,250			
			7,410 15 11	7,410 15 11				13,000	13,000			
			19,274 11 6	19,274 11 6				8,700	8,700			
			5,194 12 4	5,194 12 4				2,800	2,800			
			2,921 7 10	2,778 9 11	142 13 11	142 13 11		2,800	2,800			
			1,620 1 8	1,541 11 8	78 6	78 6		1,670	1,670			
20,039 4	20,039 4		6,454 11 9	6,454 11 9				2,200	2,200			
			5,341 7 10	5,341 7 10				2,375	2,375			
			4,401 3 5	4,401 3 5				3,300	3,300			
			14,140 1 3	14,139 13 3				6,000	6,000			
			11,159 3 11	10,599 5 11	559 14	559 14		8,200	8,200			
								630	630			
								695	695			
								140	140			
								255	255			
								1,810	1,810			
								45	45			
Total	20,039 4	20,039 4	1,21,844 10 11	1,21,063 5	781 5 11	781 5 11		115,700	115,700			
			2,062 1 8	2,062 1 8				2,700	2,700			
Total	20,039 4	20,039 4	1,23,906 12 7	1,23,125 6 8	781 5 11	781 5 11		118,400	118,400			
			4,826 4 1	4,826 4 1				1,600	1,600			
Total			11,407 1 5	11,407 1 5				2,800	2,800			
	20,039 4	20,039 4	1,40,140 2 1	1,39,358 12 2	781 5 11	781 5 11		122,800	122,800			

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Sundry small Farms and Licences.

Settlement of Fusly 1251.			Collection to 11th July 1242			Balance on the 12th July 1242.			Collection Since			Balance to this Date			Stamp Demand for Fusly 1251.		
14			15			16			17			18			19		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17,349	"	1
209	12	8	209	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	266	"	"
1,331	"	7	1,331	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	630	15	"
594	"	6	594	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	569	8	"
694	10	3	694	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	277	11	"
688	14	4	688	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	8	"
171	6	3	171	6	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	839	13	"
177	10	11	177	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	771	3	"
625	13	6	269	10	5	356	3	1	356	3	1	"	"	"	886	15	"
374	1	9	374	1	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,532	7	"
925	8	6	478	15	11	446	8	7	446	8	7	"	"	"	357	10	"
1,287	9	9	865	7	3	422	2	6	422	2	6	"	"	"	268	11	"
411	4	"	9	11	6	401	8	6	401	8	6	"	"	"	115	12	"
850	9	6	849	9	6	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	447	3	"
35	7	"	37	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	482	14	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	347	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	957	3	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	661	11	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8,377	13	6	6,750	6	10	1,627	6	8	1,627	6	8	"	"	"	26,778	"	1
8,377	13	6	6,750	6	10	1,627	6	8	1,627	6	8	"	"	"	79	11	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	26,857	11	1
191	9	2	191	9	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	362	15	"
8569	6	8	6942	"	"	1627	6	8	1627	6	8	"	"	"	523	9	"
															27,744	3	1

Moturpha

Collection to 11th July 1842.			Settlement of Fusly 1251.			Collection to 11th July 1242			Balance on the 12th July 1842.			Collection Since			Balance to this Date		
20			21			22			23			24			25		
17,349	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
266	"	"	2,193	10	5	2131	8	4	62	2	1	44	11	10	17	6	3
630	15	"	1,621	1	8	1,616	2	6	4	15	2	"	"	"	4	15	2
569	8	"	6,503	15	1	6,321	3	7	182	11	6	168	6	1	14	5	5
277	11	"	2,008	13	5	2,008	13	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	8	"	1,472	10	10	1,472	10	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
839	13	"	3,448	10	9	3,385	7	6	63	3	3	59	11	3	3	8	"
771	3	"	855	4	1	855	4	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
886	15	"	1,666	7	8	1,218	7	11	447	15	9	447	15	9	"	"	"
1,532	7	"	6,893	7	2	6,893	7	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
357	10	"	3,444	6	10	2,992	14	4	451	8	6	449	12	6	1	12	"
268	11	"	1,730	6	6	1,337	3	5	393	3	1	393	3	1	"	"	"
115	12	"	808	2	2	283	5	1	524	13	1	524	13	1	"	"	"
447	3	"	711	3	10	709	6	2	1	13	8	"	"	"	1	13	8
482	14	"	931	12	8	931	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
347	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
957	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
661	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	56	2	3	"	"	"	56	2	3	55	2	3	1	"	"
"	"	"	136	14	1	"	"	"	136	14	1	134	13	1	1	15	"
"	"	"	183	7	11	157	15	6	25	8	5	25	8	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	130	13	10	113	4	"	17	9	10	11	7	1	6	2	9
"	"	"	326	3	4	70	7	"	255	12	4	204	3	9	51	8	7
"	"	"	60	14	8	58	7	"	2	7	8	2	7	8	"	"	"
2,778	"	1	35,184	9	2	32,557	12	6	2,626	12	8	2,522	5	10	104	6	10
79	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2,857	11	1	35,184	9	2	32,557	12	6	2,626	12	8	2,522	5	10	104	6	10
362	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
523	9	"	403	7	10	403	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27,744	3	1	35,588	1	"	32,961	4	4	2,626	12	8	2,522	5	10	104	6	10

12011

Fort St. George

13th October 1842.

Tripatty Offerings												Total					
Demand for Fusly 1251.			Collection to 11th July 1842.			Demand for Fusly 1251			Collection to 11th July 1842.			Balance on the 12th July 1842.			Collection Since.			Balance to this Date.		
26			27			28			29			30			31.			32.		
"	"	"	"	"	"	17,349	"	1	17,349	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	18734	6	11	18,672	4	10	62	2	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
100867	6	1	100867	6	1	115,222	"	5	115,217	1	3	4	15	2	44	11	10	17	6	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	44,291	7	2	44,108	11	8	182	11	6	"	"	"	4	15	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	7,228	11	6	7,228	11	6	"	"	"	168	6	1	14	5	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	7,428	1	2	7,428	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	25,659	5	4	25,596	2	1	63	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	12,652	6	10	12,652	6	10	"	"	"	59	11	3	3	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	23,590	4	1	22,786	1	3	804	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	36,774	11	5	36,774	11	5	"	"	"	804	2	10	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	12,722	5	8	11,824	4	7	898	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	9,008	3	1	8,049	15	7	958	3	6	896	5	1	1	12	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	4,625	3	10	3,620	8	3	1,004	11	7	958	3	6	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	30,703	"	1	30,700	2	5	2	13	8	1,004	11	7	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	9,166	9	6	9,166	9	6	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	13	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	8,048	3	5	8,048	3	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	21,097	4	3	21,097	"	3	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	20,020	14	11	19,461	"	11	559	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	686	2	3	630	"	"	56	2	3	55	2	3	1	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	831	14	1	695	"	"	136	14	1	134	15	1	1	15	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	323	7	11	297	15	6	25	8	5	25	8	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	385	13	10	368	4	"	17	9	10	11	7	1	6	2	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	2136	3	5	1,880	7	"	255	12	4	204	3	9	57	8	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	105	14	8	103	7	"	2	7	8	2	7	8	"	"	"
100867	6	1	100867	6	1	428791	11	9	423756	2	6	5035	9	3	4931	2	5	104	6	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	4841	12	8	4,841	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
100867	6	1	100867	6	1	433633	8	5	428597	15	2	5035	9	3	4931	2	5	104	6	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	6789	3	1	6789	3	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	15325	11	5	15325	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
100867	6	1	100867	6	1	455748	6	11	450712	13	8	5035	9	3	4931	2	5	104	6	10

North Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Chittoor 7th October 1842.

E. E. T. (signed) T. Goldingham Collector

Comparative

Fort St. George 5th October 1842.

No 2.

...tement of all the Extra Sources of Revenue between Fuslies 1250 and 1251. in the Northern Division of Arcot.

the Talooks	Collection of Fusly 1250.	Collection of Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Settlement of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Settlement of Fusly 1250.	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Salt.			Sayer.			Abkary.			
...venue								1933 11 4	8000	10,500	2500	
					7498 11 2	5,564 15 10		492 3 10	4000	5000	1000	
					6263 12 11	5771 9 1		2015 4 10	24,335	28,080	3225	
					10,559 4 5	8,543 15 7			1500	2000	500	
					2048 14 6	2,247 8 10	198 10 4		4250	5250	1000	
								818 9 3	7000	8000	1000	
					14018 " 7	13199 7 4		3258 11 8	1750	2250	500	
					11857 " 6	8,598 4 10		383 5 9	11,500	13,000	1500	
					8294 5 8	7410 15 11		419 2 5	7700	8700	1000	
					19,693 13 11	19274 11 6			2300	2800	500	
					5110 3 1	5794 12 4	84 9 3	292 " 8	2300	2800	500	
					3213 8 6	2921 7 10		227 12 2	1170	1670	500	
					1847 13 10	1620 1 8		330 13 6	1700	2200	500	
	36491 7 "	20039 4 "		16452 3 "	6485 9 3	6454 11 9		2753 14 7	1585	2375	790	
					8095 6 5	3341 7 10		617 5 11	2300	3300	1000	
...tary					5018 9 4	4401 3 5			5000	6000	1000	
					13290 12 7	14140 1 3	849 4 8	715 7 8	7000	8200	1200	
					11874 11 7	11159 3 11			330	630	300	
									550	695	145	
									80	140	60	
									160	255	95	
									1727	1810	583	
									18	45	27	
Total	36491 7 "	20039 4 "		16452 3 "	135470 10 3	121844 10 11	1132 8 3	14758 7 7	96275	115700	19425	
					2150 14 7	2062 1 8		88 12 11	2200	2700	500	
Total	36491 7 "	20039 4 "		16452 3 "	137621 8 10	123906 12 7	1132 8 3	14847 4 6	98475	118400	19925	
					4792 4 9	4826 4 1	33 15 4		1300	1600	300	
					9326 15 "	11407 1 5	1880 2 8		2000	2800	800	
Grand Total	36491 7 "	20039 4 "		16452 3 "	151940 12 7	140140 2 1	3046 10 3	14847 4 6	101775	122800	21025	

12012

Fort St. George 8th October 1842.

No 2.

Comparative Statement of all the Extra Sources of Revenue between Fuslies 1250 and 1251 in the Northern Divn

No.	Name of the Talooks	Collection of Fusly 1250	Collection of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Settlement of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Settlement of Fusly 1251
		Salt.				Sayer.				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Hoozoor Stamp Revenue									
1	Chittoor					7,498 11 2	5,564 15 10		1,933 11 4	8,000
2	Tripitty					6,263 12 11	5,771 9 1		492 3 10	4,000
3	Cauvery poute					10,559 4 5	8,543 15 7		2,015 4 10	24,835
4	Shalinghur					2,048 14 6	2,247 8 10	198 10 4		1,500
5	Trivellum									4,250
6	Sautghur					14,018 7	13,199 7 4		818 9 3	7,000
7	Cuddapanuttum					11,957 6	8,598 4 10		3,258 11 8	1,750
8	Arcot					8,294 5 8	7,410 15 11		883 5 9	11,500
9	Vellore					19,693 13 11	19,274 11 6		419 2 5	7,700
10	Trivettoor					5,110 3 1	5,794 12 4	84 9 3		2,300
11	Poloor					3,213 8 6	2,921 7 10		292 8	2,300
12	Wundewash					1,847 13 10	1,620 1 8		227 12 2	1,170
13	Sutwaid					6,785 9 3	6,454 11 9		330 13 6	1,700
14	Penmerry	36,491 7	20,039 4		16,452 3	8,095 6 5	3,341 7 10		2,753 14 7	1585
15	Calastry Zemindary					5,018 9 4	4,401 3 5		617 5 11	2,300
16	Cauvelly nugghur zemindary					13,290 12 7	14,140 1 3	849 4 8		5,000
17	Arnee Sarghur					11,874 11 7	11,159 3 11		715 7 8	7,000
18	Goodespauty Polliam									336
19	Nargunter Polliam									330
20	Poolicherlah Polliam									80
21	Kallaut Polliam									160
22	Bungarree Polliam									1,224
23	Toombah Polliam									18
24	Pulicat									
	Total	36,491 7	20,039 4		16,452 3	135,470 10 3	121,844 10 11	1132 8 3	14,758 7 7	96,275
						2,150 14 7	2,062 1 8		88 12 11	2,200
	Total	36,491 7	20,039 4		16,452 3	137,621 8 10	123,906 12 7	1132 8 3	14,847 4 6	98,475
25	Congoondy Polliam					4792 4 9	4826 4 1	33 15 4		1,300
26	Vencataghery Cottah					9,526 15	11,407 1 5	1880 2 5		2,000
	Grand Total	36,491 7	20,039 4		16,452 3	151,940 12 7	140,140 2 1	3046 10	14,847 4 6	101,775

Fort St. George

13th October 1842.

Sundry small farms and Licences.—

Settlement of Fusly 1250.	Settlement of Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Collection of Fusly 1250.	Stamp Collection of Fusly 1251.
14	15	16	17	18	19
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19565 5 "	17349 " 1
197 3 5	207 12 5	12 9 3	" " "	3961 2 "	266 " "
1651 " 5	1331 " 7	" " "	319 15 10	719 8 .	630 15 .
735 8 7	594 " 6	" " "	141 8 1	608 3 "	569 8 .
694 10 3	694 10 3	" " "	" " "	257 15 "	277 11 "
641 10 6	688 14 4	47 3 10	" " "	34 " .	16 8 "
169 5 9	171 6 3	2 " 6	" " "	838 3 .	839 13 .
177 10 11	177 10 11	" " "	" " "	735 1 .	771 3 .
483 9 6	625 13 6	142 4 .	" " "	999 15 .	886 15 .
192 10 10	374 1 9	181 6 11	" " "	1491 10 .	1532 7 .
478 5 8	925 8 6	447 2 10	" " "	328 6 .	357 10 .
2888 4 3	1287 9 9	" " "	1600 10 6	288 6 .	268 11 .
395 4 6	411 4 "	15 15 6	" " "	102 6 .	115 12 .
850 9 6	850 9 6	" " "	" " "	476 2 .	447 3 .
42 7 "	35 7 "	" " "	7 " "	689 10 .	482 14 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	343 6 .	347 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	950 3 .	957 3 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	665 5 .	661 11 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Tripatty Offerings Collections of Fasly 1250			Tripatty Offerings Collections of Fasly 1251			Increase			Decrease			Settlement of Fasly 1250		
26			27			28			29			30		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19565	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18273	7	9
110809	3	10	100867	6	1	"	"	"	9941	13	9	125075	3	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	42760	14	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6564	3	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6395	13	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	25469	6	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15368	13	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22862	13	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35917	12	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11593	12	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10401	11	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4318	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47023	10	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11374	12	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7661	15	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19240	13	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19340	"	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	378	1	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	682	2	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	266	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	297	10	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1530	15	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	80	8	1
110809	3	10	100867	6	1	"	"	"	9941	13	9	432644	1	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4420	14	7
110809	3	10	100867	6	1	"	"	"	9941	13	9	457065	"	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6452	8	9
110809	3	10	100867	6	1	"	"	"	9941	13	9	12661	4	3
												476178	13	4

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 7th October, 1842. E E 8vo

Total Settlement of Fasly 1251			Increase			Decrease			Net Increase			Net Decrease		
31			32			33			34			35		
17349	"	1	"	"	"	2216	4	11	"	"	"	2216	4	11
18734	6	11	2525	6	6	2064	7	4	460	15	2	"	"	"
115222	"	5	1000	"	"	10853	3	3	"	"	"	9853	3	3
44291	7	2	3726	"	7	2195	7	11	1530	8	8	"	"	"
7228	11	6	718	6	4	53	14	"	664	8	4	"	"	"
7428	1	2	1049	11	3	17	8	"	1032	3	3	"	"	"
25659	5	4	1008	8	3	818	9	3	189	15	"	"	"	"
12652	6	10	542	4	10	3258	11	8	"	"	"	2716	6	10
23590	4	1	1723	12	10	996	5	9	727	7	1	"	"	"
36774	11	5	1276	1	1	419	2	5	856	14	8	"	"	"
12722	5	8	1128	9	7	"	"	"	1128	9	7	"	"	"
9008	3	1	518	13	5	1912	6	2	"	"	"	1393	8	9
4625	3	10	534	15	11	227	13	2	307	3	9	"	"	"
30703	"	1	500	"	"	16820	10	2	"	"	"	16320	10	2
9166	9	6	790	"	"	2998	2	10	"	"	"	2208	2	10
8048	3	5	1003	10	"	617	5	11	386	4	1	"	"	"
21097	4	3	1856	4	8	"	"	"	1856	4	8	"	"	"
20020	14	11	1200	"	"	719	1	8	480	14	4	"	"	"
686	2	3	308	"	9	"	"	"	308	"	9	"	"	"
831	14	1	149	11	10	"	"	"	149	11	10	"	"	"
323	7	11	60	"	"	2	8	2	57	7	10	"	"	"
385	13	10	95	"	"	6	12	11	88	3	2	"	"	"
2136	3	4	605	4	1	"	"	"	605	4	1	"	"	"
105	14	8	27	"	"	1	9	5	25	6	7	"	"	"
428791	11	9	22347	9	11	46199	14	11	10835	14	9	34708	4	9
4841	12	8	509	11	"	88	12	11	420	14	1	"	"	"
433633	8	5	22857	4	11	46238	12	10	11276	12	10	34708	4	9
6789	3	1	336	10	4	"	"	"	336	10	4	"	"	"
13325	11	5	2690	7	"	25	15	10	2664	7	2	"	"	"
455748	6	11	25384	6	3	46314	12	8	14277	14	4	34708	4	9
											Net	20430	6	5

Signed J. Goldingham Collector

Annual

12015

Fort St George
No. 3.

Annual Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and Sold; also the Quantity of Spontaneous Salt Compared with the preceding year in the Northern Division of Arcot.

13th October 1842.

Name of Cotaur or place of Manufacture.	Description of Salt.	Fusly 1250 Quantity Manufactured	Fusly 1250 Quantity Sold	Fusly 1250 Rate per Garee.	Fusly 1250 Value.	Fusly 1251 Quantity Manufactured.	Fusly 1251 Quantity Sold.	Fusly 1251 Rate per Garee.	Fusly 1251 Value.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		G. M. M.	G. M. M.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	G. M. M.	G. M. M.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Poongolum	Salt Manufactured	361 239 "	347 215 "	105 " "	36,491 7 "	166 224 "	190 340 "	105 " "	20,039 4 "
	Spontaneous Salt	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total		361 239 "	347 215 "	105 " "	36,491 7 "	166 224	190 340	105 " "	20,039 4 "

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor, 7th October, 1842.

E. E. Js. /Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

Fort St George
No. 3.

13th October 1842.

wing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and Sold; also the Quantity of Spontaneous Salt gathered and Sold in Fusly 1251 —
with the preceding year in the Northern Division of Arcot. —

Fusly		Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Quantity.		
Quantity Manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Quantity Manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
3	4	5	6	7.	8	9	10	11	12.	13.
G. M. M.	G. M. M.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	G. M. M.	G. M. M.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
361 239 "	347 215 "	105 " "	36491 7 "	166224 "	190340 "	105 " "	20039 4 "	" " "	1951 5 "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
361 239 "	347 215 "	105 " "	36491 7 "	166224 "	190340 "	105 " "	20039 4 "	" " "	1951 5 "	

Collector's Cutcherry
October 1842.

E. E. Fs. — /Signed/ J. Goldingham Collector

Annual.

12016

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

No 4.

Annual Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt sold and Exported, together with the Number of Rowanahs issued in the Northern Division of Arcot.

Name of the Villages where Exported.	Name of Co Lascars. Description of Salt.	Fusly 1250. Rowanahs.	Quantity of Salt.		Fusly 1251. Rowanahs.	Quantity of Salt.		Remarks.
		No.	G.	m.	No.	G.	m.	
Palmanair		13	3	20	1	"	60	Route by Goommadepoondy &c.
Penmurry		"	"	"	2	"	260	Do. by Malecalony &c.
Paulapolliam		2	"	150	"	"	"	
Chittoor		188	35	105	104	21	280	Do. by Goommadepoondy &c.
Pulicat		20	4	295	26	6	385	Do. by Pullypolliam &c.
Nagalapoor		3	"	135	4	"	200	Do. by Tairvoy &c.
Arnee		1	"	40	"	"	"	
Goommadepoondy		1	"	40	5	1	30	Do. by Nuttum &c.
Ruzzahnugghur		"	"	"	1	"	45	Do. by Tairvoy &c.
Narnavanam		2	"	180	9	1	310	Do. by Soonnapagoontah &c.
Triputty		5	1	25	3	"	305	Do. by Ditto.
Sholinghur		1	"	80	"	"	"	
Cavetnugghur		5	"	255	6	1	30	Do. by Naugalapoor &c.
Caulastry		3	"	260	2	"	160	Do. by Soonnapagoontah &c.
Vadamalput		1	"	40	1	"	115	Do. by Goommadepoondy &c.
Nagery		8	1	25	3	"	265	Do. by Naugalapoor &c.
Puttiputtoo		9	1	130	1	"	70	Do. by Malekalanee &c.
Canahumma Chuttur		1	"	50	"	"	"	
Hullioor		"	"	"	2	"	265	Do. by Yalauvoor &c.

Pattapodium
Manufactured Salt
North Arcot

Porrygorum
Manufactured Do 11
Sattee Sheet

Chittoor	188	35	105	104	21	280	Do by Goommadepoondy Ven
Pulicat	20	4	295	26	6	385	Do by Pullypolliam Ven
Nagalapoor	3	"	135	4	"	200	Do by Tairvoy Ven
Arnee	1	"	40	"	"	"	
Goommadepoondy	1	"	40	5	1	30	Do by Nuttum Ven
Puzzahnugghur	"	"	"	1	"	45	Do by Tairvoy Ven
Narnavanam	2	"	180	9	1	310	Do by Soonnapagoontah Ven
Triputty	5	1	25	3	"	305	Do by Ditto
Sholinghur	1	"	80	"	"	"	
Canetnugghur	5	"	253	6	1	30	Do by Naugalapoor Ven
Caulastry	3	"	260	2	"	160	Do by Soonnapagoontah Ven
Vadamalput	1	"	40	1	"	115	Do by Goommadepoondy Ven
Nagery	8	1	25	3	"	265	Do by Nauglapoor Ven
Pulleputtoo	9	1	130	1	"	70	Do by Malekalanee Ven
Canahumma Chuttur	1	"	50	"	"	"	
Hulloor	"	"	"	2	"	265	Do by Yalauvoor Ven
Vencatagherry Cottah	"	"	"	2	"	360	Do by Malkalanee Ven
Vadagaloor	1	"	55	"	"	"	
Aral	1	"	165	"	"	"	
Chendragherry	1	"	20	"	"	"	
Carried over	266	30	130	172	37	340	

12017

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

	No.	G.	M.	No.	G.	M.	
Brought Over	266	50	130	172	37	340	
Amboor	1	"	205	"	"	"	
Tritanny	1	"	50	"	"	"	
Nindray	2	"	90	"	"	"	
Arcot	1	"	60	"	"	"	
Wallajahnugghur	5	"	325	4	"	335	Route by Peddapolliam &c.
Gollapolliam	1	"	200	"	"	"	
Bommarazpet	"	"	"	2	"	175	Do. by Malekalanee &c.
Maunderpaute	"	"	"	1	"	130	
	277	52	260	179	39	180	
Goottum Condah	224	111	200	149	70	240	Do. by Soonnapagoontah &c.

Arrive Court / Cmd. Ord. / Cmd. Ord. / Cmd. Ord. /

Pongo Leem
Manufactured Salt
Gillah of Cuddapah.

Cottacottah	1	"	135	"	"	"	
Razempettah	3	1	65	"	"	"	
Cuddapah	37	12	40	24	8	285	Do. by Do.
Shadery	31	16	210	9	6	30	
Poonganoor	4	2	200	1	"	155	Do. by Goommadeepoondy &c.
Chillemail	5	"	275	1	"	190	Do. by Soonnapagoontah &c.
Chacreapanayameepet	1	"	100	"	"	"	
Petatoor	2	"	340	"	"	"	
Madanapully	2	"	315	"	"	"	
	310	146	280	184	86	100	

12018

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

	№	G.	M.	№	G.	M.	
Ponnary	7	"	395	11	2	10	Route by Sayinyem &c.
Arnee	20	2	230	10	1	320	Do by Oameepadvo &c.
Carreemanul	1	"	60	"	"	"	
Placepett	3	"	280	"	"	"	
Truppassoor	1	"	50	1	"	40	Do by Sayenyem &c.
Poodoovoyel	1	"	45	1	"	55	Do by Parvotharoomboor &c.
Triveliloor	43	10	365	15	3	60	Do by Sayenyem &c.
Yalavenbadvo	1	"	40	"	"	"	
Carnavaudahe	1	"	45	"	"	"	
Canjeveram	1	"	50	"	"	"	
Panapauttem	"	"	"	1	"	90	Do by Peddapolliam &c.
	79	15	360	39	7	175	

Pongorum Manufactured

Tillah of Salem

Tillah of Nellore

Tillah of Mysore

Tillah of Bellary

Bellary	42	24	290	24	14	70	Do by Loonnapagoontah &c.
Bangalore	137	94	265	52	34	100	Do by Peddapolliam &c.
Mysore	6	2	25	6	2	140	
	143	96	290	58	36	240	
Vencatagheray	5	1	95	4	"	330	Do by Loonnapagoontah &c.
Vauniembaudy	3	2	5	"	"	"	
Hustnagherry	2	1	180	3	1	120	Do by Goonmadapondy & Trivellore &c.
Pullicepolliam	1	"	395	4	2	250	
Royacottah	1	1	90	1	"	70	
Salem	5	2	230	1	"	200	
Durmapooree	"	"	"	1	"	160	
	12	8	100	10	5	"	

12020 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

No 5.-

To The Acting Principal Collector
in the Northern Division
of Arcot.-

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant, and to inform you in reply that the loom tax in the Villages attached to the Conjeeveram Talook varies from 1 to 3 Rupees each; but that the tax is not a general one, many of the looms not being subjected to it.-

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutchery,
Pulliearnay, 13th May, 1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

No 5.- To The Acting Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.-

Pollyputtum	1	"	"	230	"	"	
Coimbatore	1	"	"	310	"	"	
Erodoo Conjeveram	"	"	"	1	1	145	Roads by Conjeeveram Talloor &c.
	2	1	140	1	1	45	
Grand Total			870	347	215	499	190 340

/Signed/ A. Goldingham
Collector

To The Acting Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.-

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant, and to inform you in reply that the loom tax in the Villages attached to the Conjeeveram Talook varies from 1 to 3 Rupees each; but that the tax is not a general one, many of the looms not being subjected to it.-

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutchery,
Pulliearnay, 13th May, 1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement of Conjeeveram Cloth manufactured &c. &c. &c. during the Year 1841

12021

Triplicate

STATEMENT shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1249, 1250 and 1251 in the Northern Division of Arcot

	For the last three Years.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						Remarks.
	Fusly 1249.			Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease			
SALT.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
Gross Collections	21376	"	3	38191	7	"	25039	4	"	"	"	"	16457	3	"	This decrease arises almost solely from the reduced Sale of Salt during the year
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	1672	13	11	2503	9	3	1198	12	6	"	"	"	1404	12	9	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	868	12	5	853	7	2	915	1	7	61	10	5	"	"	"	This decrease arises from the Amount paid to the Manufacturers being on the quantity of Salt received from them during the year
Total Charges	2541	10	4	3457	"	5	2113	14	1	61	10	5	1404	12	9	This increase arises from the Amount disbursed for Expensing Platforms under the Sanction of the Board of Revenue on the 25th April 1842 & for repairing 3 Golahs.
Net Revenue	18834	5	11	23003	6	7	17972	5	11	"	"	"	15709	"	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	11	14	3	9	7	7	10	8	9							
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	142368	14	8	151940	12	7	141187	7	2	"	"	"	10773	5	5	This decrease is owing to the Rent of Wastle & Balances being smaller this year than in the Castle the Arrears for the Collection of 1250 in Sagging to the Ryots during the year
Charges of Collection	22199	"	8	21601	7	4	21627	15	1	26	7	9	"	"	"	This increase arises from the Disbursements of Cop of Sagging Collection being left in charge of Casualties during last year
Charges ,, Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges ,, Drawback	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	22199	"	8	21601	7	4	21627	15	1	26	7	9	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	126169	14	"	130339	5	3	119539	8	1	"	"	"	10799	13	2	
Per Centage of the Charges	14	14	3	14	3	6	15	5	1							
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection																
Charges ,, Commission																
Charges ,, Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	86911	7	10	97446	3	10	122800	"	"	25353	12	2	"	"	"	This increase is owing to the offers for the Rent being more this year than in the Last
Charges of Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	86911	7	10	97446	3	10	122800	"	"	25353	12	2	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	8488	15	1	9744	6	1	6988	"	"	"	"	"	2756	6	1	This decrease arises from the Amount Sale of the Gold and Silver ornaments of Pilgrim Steamboat Lagoon in last year and no Sale in the Current
Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Disputty Offerings—																
Gross Collections	82620	3	10	110809	3	10	107867	6	1	"	"	"	9941	13	9	The decrease is owing to the reduced Number of Pilgrims who resorted to the Pagoda during the year
Charges & Collections	25760	4	10	27043	10	4	24825	8	5	"	"	"	2218	1	11	This decrease arises from the purchase of Articles for the Pilgrims Expenses of the Disputty Peoples being at a cheaper rate this year than in the last
,, Commission	538	9	7	837	10	5	760	6	3	"	"	"	77	3	9	This increase is owing to the Expenses of Steamboat to the Pagoda in the actual Collections of Offerings of 8 during the year
Net Revenue Total	24293	14	5	27881	4	9	25585	15	1	"	"	"	2295	5	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	53321	5	5	82927	15	1	78281	7	"	"	"	"	7646	8	1	
Stamps Revenue																
Gross Collections	35	7	5	25	2	7	2.5	5	10							
Charges of Commission																
Nett Revenue	30682	15	3	30469	9	"	27744	3	1	"	"	"	2725	5	11	This decrease is owing to the reduced Sales of Stamp Paper during the year
Per Centage of the Charges	1613	8	8	1853	5	9	2416	11	9	563	6	"	"	"	"	This increase arises from the Amount of Stamp vends substituted for the Institution fee to the 3 Cumbed to the Appellant & Mittadars for the 4 Villah Courts being more this year than in the Last
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.	29069	6	7	28616	3	3	25327	7	4	"	"	"	3288	11	11	
Gross Collections	5	4	1	5	1	4	8	11	4							
Charges of Commission	34182	9	"	34844	"	"	33149	8	11	"	"	"	1694	7	1	This decrease is owing to the Collections under this head being left
Net Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	34182	9	"	34844	"	"	33149	8	11	"	"	"	1694	7	1	
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	412631	1	11	471745	10	4	457553	13	3	25353	12	2	14343	9	3	
Charges	55653	2	1	54793	2	3	51744	8	"	661	8	2	3700	2	5	
Net Revenue	356977	15	10	416952	8	1	401011	5	3	"	"	"	15941	2	10	
Per Centage of the Charges	13	7	9	11	9	10	11	6	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	410958	4	"	469142	1	1	457557	"	9	25353	12	2	42938	12	6	
Charges after deductions for Do	53980	4	2	52189	9	"	50545	11	6	661	8	2	2295	5	8	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	13	2	1	11	2	"	11	3	1							

I hereby certify that this Amount has been compared with the General Abstract sent to the Accountant General and with the Abstract Demand Collection and Balance furnished to the Board of Revenue & that no difference exists.

E. E. Esqr
Collector

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 2nd October 1842

Chinnaiah
Head Assistant

29 3/4 Regt for Nov 1842

12022 Fort St George 13th October 1842.

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

37 · 38

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

39

From the Collector of South Arcot—

Reporting the death of Woodiarpolliam Polygar and requesting instructions for the future payment of Pensions to the head of the family—

Sir,

Para 1— In reporting the death of the late Woodiarpolliam Polygar on the 16th July last, I have the honor to request instructions relative to future payments of pension to the Head of the family, Cutchee Yelleyana Rungapa Kaudatta Khola Odiar, the uncle of the deceased.—

2. The late Poligar received an annual payment of Rupees 910-2-5= the estimated amount of 15 per Cent on the lands formerly held by him in the Verdachellum talook of this District

12023 Fort St. George 13th. October 1842.

District for the performance of Police duties. This compensation allowance was granted in 1806, when all such lands were assumed by Government, on their taking upon themselves the management of the Police.

3 We also drew a Pension of Rupees 2861-10-6. per annum, being a like estimated per centage on similar lands in the Talooks of Manargoody and Chellumbrum in this District. This was granted from 12th. July 1839 it having been discovered that by an oversight the family had from 1806 up to that year been in possession of the whole proceeds instead of 15 per Cent.

2861-10-6
910-2-5
2)3771-12-11
1885-13-5½

4. The position of the Vaterroudlum and Poroor Polygars were up to

With Minutes of Consultation of 2d. April 1839

to 1806 precisely the same with the Wodiarpol=
=liam - As to the former the Board of Revenue
decided under date 1st. April 1810, that the cs
pension should cease - in respect to the latter
under date 11th. March 1839, the supreme cs
Government decided that the present incumb=
=ent should enjoy one half of the original
grant for his life only -

5 Consistently with those deci=
=sions I cannot recommend that more
than a portion of each pension be con=
=tinued for more especially as the family are
in the possession of a very large hereditary
land grant (about 25000 Rupees per annum)
in the adjoining Zillah of Trichinopoly
which places them very far above want -

Veloor South Arcot.....
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry.. } (Signed) C. H. Hallett
27th. September 1842..... Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing
letter be submitted with the following
address -

To, The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

39 40

To the Acting Chief Secy. to Govt. } 2d. 1.

Submitting a letter from the Coll. of South Arcot relative to the allowances enjoyed by the late Zemindar of Wodiarpolliem in consideration of certain Cowval Mauniems re=
=sumed in that district, and recommending for stated rea=
=sons that the present Poligar may be allowed a moiety of the Cowval allow=
=ance to be cs limited strictly to his life only -

Extract Min: of Cons:
dated 31st. Jany. 1842 No. 138
Inforsd. 14th. Feby. 1842 -
Extract Min of Cons:
July 22nd. 1842 - No. 892.
Inforsd. 28th. July 1842 -

Sir,

Under the orders of Government noted in the margin, the late Wodiarpolliem Zemin=
=dar whose death was recently reported to Government enjoyed up to the date of his decease two cs separate allowances in consideration cs of Cowval mauniems resumed in cs South Arct Vizt. 2,861 - 10 - 6 for the Manargoody and Chilumbrum Talooks and 910 - 2 - 5 for Verdachellum - It was expressly stated in the latter cs of the two orders of Government noticed above, that the grant was then made "for life, to be continued or not as "may hereafter be deemed expedient" and in consequence of the lapse of the life the Collector has now applied for instructions regarding them -

By

2

By direction of the Board of Revenue therefore, I have the honour to forward the Collectors' letter for the orders of Government. all the Canval commutation pensions in South Arcot which were very numerous, were for life only like that in qs question; but out of the many that have lapsed since they were first given in 1805 the liberality of Governs: ment has continued a portion of qs almost all to the next heir, and the case of the Vodiarpollium Zemindar appears to the Board to be peculiarly deserving of such indulgence.-

3

It is known to Govern: ment that by an oversight on the qs part of the local authorites he was allowed to enjoy the whole of the qs Canval maunieems and fees in Manar: goody and Chelumbrum for many years instead of only a money allowance equal to 15 per Cent of their value qs which was ordered by Government The expenditure of the Zemindar was no doubt on a corresponding scale; and

† Septr. 27th 1842 inforsd 13th Octr

and the great reduction of his income on the correction of the mistake in 1839 must have been greatly embarrassing to him - A further diminution now will of course be more convenient than if so large an income had never been enjoyed - In consideration of this peculiar circumstance and of what has been done in some similar cases, the Board are induced to re: commend that the present poligar may be allowed a moiety of the Canval allowances specified above to be limited strictly to his life only Govern: ment are aware that the family will still be in posession of a large number of Villages in the Trichinopoly District computed to yield 26795 Rs 8 a 8 p annually clear of the very small paishkush paid to Government.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ...
13th October 1842 .....

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letters.-

To

12028 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

41

Sir,

From the Pl. Collr. of Madura ... }

In the accounts for Fasly 1211, the Settlement of Goodaloor in Tenkurray Taloork is entered at Rupees 528—14—, but its Revenue has now increased to Rupees 3,580—"—"- The maximum allowed to the Village officers is

To the Moneygar ..... Rupees-47—"—"
Curnum ..... "—21—"
and a Tundulgar ..... "—12—"

As the Village is nearly at the end of the Valley surrounded by Hills, and its collection of Kist from non resident ryots is attended with much trouble and difficulty from the above Officers having to proceed to their respective hamlets, I beg to recommend the employ of three more Tundulgars at 12 Rupees each ..... Rupees-36—"—"

and an increase to the pay of the Curnum of ..... "—21—"

Total increase Rupees-57—"—"

Madura, 30th September 1842 } Signed Jn Blackburne
Principal Collector

To

12029 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

42

Sir,

From the Pl. Collr. of Madura ... }

For the Tolies and Water distributors /12 individuals/ of Poonjan=putty in Thencurray Taloork in Dindigul no maximum was allowed in the settlement of fasly 1212 because of the small extent of Cultivation- In fasly 1235 a former Principal Collector Mr. Peter ordered, without permission from the Board alienation of 76 coolies of Poonjah land yielding Chuckrums 22 and fanams 6; but it was cultivated to extent of only coolies 49½ taxed at Chuckrums 14 and fanams 8⅞. which amount, Mr. Peter remitted to them- In fasly 1240 the then Sub Collector Mr. J. C. Morris gave up to them the 76 coolies of land originally awarded by Mr. Peter but it has never ever since been cultivated to the full extent and the last amount derived by them in fasly 1251 was Chuckrums 7 and fanams 9: 13¼/16 coolies on 26 3¼/16 coolies-

the

the remainder Coolies 49 6/16 taxed at Chuckrums 14 and fanams 8 2¾/10 being entirely unfit for cultivation - The Sub Collector is now recommends alienation of other lands to the above extent in consequence of the parties declining to do their duties for the small Emolument they are in receipt of which I beg to support and offer for sanction of the Board -

Madura 1st October 1842 — [Signed] J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the two foregoing letters -

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying two letters from the Principal Collector of Madura soliciting sanction for some small additional village Enams -

2 In Goodaloor the village alluded to in the first letter the settlement of

41 - 43

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt - dated

Submitting two letters from the Principal collector of Madura soliciting sanction for some small additional Village Enams and stating that as a real necessity has been shewn

Actg 13th Octr 1842
Recd 18th ditto

Shewn in the cases under consideration the Board strongly recommend that the application should be granted -

Fusly 1211-525-14-"
" 1267-958-"

of Fusly 1211 was very little more than one seventh of what it is at present, yet the maniums then allotted to the village servants remain the same - The emoluments of the Curnum amount to no more than 1¾ Rupee a month and the Principal Collector proposes to raise them to 3½ Rupees - The village being extensive and from its peculiar circumstances the collection of the revenue being very troublesome, an addition of three Fundulcars at 1 Rupee each a month is also proposed -

3 The village referred to in the other communication had no manium originally for the Cotties and water distributers; but in Fusly 1235, the Principal Collector without authority, allowed them 76 Coolies of Poonjah - only a part of this land has ever been cultivated the remainder being unfit for tillage, and Mr. Blackburne now wishes to give good in lieu of the uncultivable portion being 49 6/16 coolies -

4 With reference to the sentiments of Government expressed on a former

†Extract Mins of Cons. March 19th 1838 in Cons. 10th May

former occasion the Board are of opinion that a real necessity has been shown in both these cases; the extent of maximum asked for is extremely moderate and the Board strongly recommend that the applications should be granted.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary-

Read the following letters.-

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(44)

From the Asst. Coll.r of Tinnevelly---

Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th Instant, and in reply to state that as far as I can learn, Mr. Jane at the time alluded to was affording general assistance to the Collector, besides specially managing the Capital Department,-and of necessity chiefly in attendance at the Collectors Head Quarters at that period at Tencausey-The Head Assistant Mr. Pringle had for some time previous to the above period

been strenuously engaged in the Gunnahbundy of the two Talooks Calaar and Streevelly-poottoor in addition to Police and other work; and was under the necessity from the state of his health to seek a temporary change to Courtallum while there, the Collector Mr. Ogilvie made over to him a considerable addition of magisterial duty involving more than the Head Assistants own Establishment could accomplish; he therefore remained for the purpose of constant communication with the Collector and the use of a portion of his Establishment.-

2. Under these circumstances I trust the Board will sanction the statements for extra Tent allowance.-

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
28th September 1842.

(Signed) C. B. Thomas.
Acting Collector.

Resolution thereon.- Sufficient cause not being

(44-45)

being shewn for the so long protracted residence of the Head Assistant Mr. Dringle and the Assistant Collector Mr. Fane at Tencausey, the Board with reference to cs their order of the 2nd Decem: ber last, cannot pass the cs charge of Extra tent allowance for a period exceeding 60 cs days in the case of each cs of these Gentlemen while residing at Tencausey with this exception the amount drawn by them in fusly cs 1251 for the number of days noted in the margin is sanctioned.-

Ordered

+ Mr. Dringle 94 days.
© Mr. Fane 136 Do.
Mr. Dringle Rs. Off. 258 — No. of days
Deduct days passed at Tencausey in excess of 60 days — 34
sanctioned 224
Mr. Fane Rs. 241
Deduct days passed at Tencausey in excess of 60 days — 76
sanctioned 165

Order thereon, Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution be transmitted to the Civil Auditor

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

(46) From the Asst. Collr. of Tinnevelly

I have the honor to request the Board will cause the accompanying notification for Spice intended to be sold by pub: lic auction at this Cutcherry on the 25th Proscimo to be published cs at the usual and some following issues of the Fort St. George Gazette..

Tinnevelly ... Tencausey ... 30th September 1842.

[signed] C. B. Thomas. Acting Collector.

Notice

Notice is hereby given that the following articles the produce of the public Spice Gardens at Courtallum, will be sold by Public Auction at the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Palamcottah on Tuesday the 25th October next unless any previous adequate tender should be made for them.--

1st Size Nutmeg No. 2431.
2nd ..... Do. ..... Do. ..... 7270.
3rd ..... Do. ..... Do. ..... 3644.
4th ..... Do. ..... Do. ..... 4438.

Mace Calunjees 3476
Cloves ... Pounds ..... 489.

(one Calunjee is equal to 1/2 Engl. weight.)

The above are fresh and believed to be of good quality.

Tinnevelly Coll. Office 27th September 1842.

Signed/ C. B. Thomas
Acting Collector.

Resolution thereon (46-47) Resolved that the Notification which accompanies the foregoing letter be forwarded for publication in the Official Gazette.--

Read

Read the following letter.

(48)

From the Pr. Collr. of Coimbatore

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to Extracts from Minutes of Consultation dated 23d October and 15th December 1840, I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board, Copy of letter received from the Sub Collector dated 26th Instant reporting the result of suits Nos. 147 and 218 of 1840. filed against him and others in the Zillah Court of Salem.

2. Copy of the Decrees when received from Mr. Bird will be transmitted to the Board.

Coimbatore Pr. Coll. Office 30th Sept. 1842.

/Signed/ I. C. Wroughton
Princ. Collr.

To
The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir.

I have much satisfaction in reporting for the information of

of the Board of Revenue that a decision has been passed in the matter of these two suits by the Zillah Court of Salem and the award given in each cause in favor of the Defendants. The Plaintiff being also declared responsible for all costs

2. The Decree was made known on the 17th Instant by the Court and reported accordingly to this Office.

Cuddalore Sub Collr's Office. September 26th 1842. } I Signed I J. Bird. Sub Collector.

Order thereon.

48. 49

The Board will await the receipt of the copies of the Decrees referred to in the foregoing letter.

Read the following letter

50

From the Collr of Malabar Bringing to

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

A class of people exist in Malabar,

to notice the wretched and degraded condition of a class of people in South Malabar, called Naiadies and suggesting measures for their improvement and support.

Malabar, whose wretched and degraded condition has at various times attracted the attention and remarks of Travellers, without having ever (as far as I am aware) been brought to the notice of Government in so particular a manner as to ensure measures being taken with a view to its improvement. The class I allude to, are the Naiadies, who to the number of about 600 (Men, women and children) are scattered through most of the southern Talooks of Malabar. Popular history makes them to be the descendents of a Brahmin who was excommunicated many centuries ago. Be that as it may, they are now and have long been looked on as outcasts of the lowest description, and their very vicinity is considered so polluting, that a Naiadie is not allowed to approach within 40 paces of a slave (himself obliged to keep 64 paces from the free man) abhorred and shunned by their fellow creatures, and shut out from all means of improving their condition

condition, they are, as might be expected, in a state of the deepest depression and misery. They live in the rudest possible hovels on the outskirts of Villages, and gain a precarious subsistence partly from charity, if the word may be so profaned, and partly from wild roots, carrion or any prey they may chance to obtain.

The Naidies like many other of the inferior classes in India are supposed to have some superhuman knowledge to be able to foretell the death or recovery of a sick person &c. The parties who make use of their skill, are in the habit of doling out provisions on festival days &c.

Miserable as their physical condition is, it is a remarkable fact, that they have preserved among themselves a morality and good feeling which is too often unpractised, however acknowledged by the superior classes. Unlike the large body of Nairs, for instance they attach great sanctity to the marriage tie, and conjugal infidelity, it is said, is unknown among them. Unlike again, too many, every where, they are strict observers of truth, and instances are very rare of their being guilty of theft.

I cannot doubt that the sympathy

sympathy of Government will be felt in behalf of these poor creatures, and that they will readily take steps to alleviate their state if a feasible mode of doing so is pointed out.

An indiscriminate charity which would merely relieve their bodily wants, without raising their moral condition, would be of little service, and this I should not wish to advocate. What I propose is to attempt to attain both these ends at the same time, by making them agriculturists, and teaching them the advantage and profit of steady labor. Degraded as they are, and accustomed to a savage and unsettled life, there will no doubt be difficulty for some time in inducing them to submit to industrious habits, but I have every hope from various communications which I have had with them, as also from a partial experiment which I have made with a few individuals that this may be overcome.

One

One great obstacle in the way, (without Government asistance) is that of obtaining land on which they can settle in any number. Land is especially valuable in Malabar, and many sites which might be attainable and sufficiently suited in themselves, would be entirely unsuitable from the vicinity of Hindoos whom nothing would induce to asent to the establishment of the Naiadies among them.

The Government have many escheated farms in different parts of the district which are let on lease to simple tenants, and they have some, and the large number, in a Talook chiefly inhabited by Moplas (Ennaad) where the difficulty I have just adverted to, would not obtain, as Mussalmen have no such feeling towards the lower castes as is felt by Hindoos. I would propose that one or more of these farms be given over to the Naiadies on very easy terms, and that

that they be left to labor on it under the supervision of some person who will instruct them in the details of agriculture.

Should the Government feel disposed to take a favorable view of this recommendation, I will shew in detail in a future communication what farms can be made available, what sacrifice the Government will have to make from remission of rent &c.

Malabar Collector's Office, Calicut 28th Septr 1842. } [Signed] H. V. Conolly Coll.r

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Malabar.

50 - 51

To the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 28th Ultimo I am directed to inform you, that the Board of Revenue approve of the scheme you propose for improving the moral and physical condition of the Naiady tribe now so degraded: but before submitting

In Cons.n 13th Octr 1842

submitting the plan for the favorable consideration of Government they desire to have before them the further detail which you promise.

Revd. Board Office
Fort St. George.
13th October 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

(52)

From the Coll.r of Malabar.

Sir,

I have to apologize for having inadvertently omitted to make an earlier reply to the Board's endorsement on the accompanying petition.

1698 of 1841

It may be sufficient for me now to state that as a considerable difference of opinion existed in Cochin as to the true weight of a Dutch Pound I called on all the principal Merchants of the place to give me their opinion and as it seemed to be generally wished that the standard / whatever the weight might be in reality / should be fixed at 42½ rupees. I issued a proclamation

proclamation that that should be the case for the future.

The petitioner objects to a decision of the Civil Court under which the weight was held to be 43¾ rupees in place of 42, I more than a year ago informed the petitioner that it was not in my power to interfere with any proceedings of a Civil tribunal and that if aggrieved he had his remedy by an appeal to higher judicial authority. All I could do was, so far as lay in my power, to prevent future disputes in consequence of the uncertainty of the weight.

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut, 30th September, 1842

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Order thereon.

(52. 53)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1698 of 1841 returned in the foregoing letter.

"The Board of Revenue having ascertained by a reference to the Collector of Malabar that the Petitioner's complaint has reference to the Proceedings of

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

of the civil board, cannot interfere in
in this behalf. If the considera himself
aggrieved the Petitioners aforesaid should
be addressed to the Register Judicial
Authorities."

54

Proceedings.

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue of the Collectorate of Madras for Fasly 1251.

The Board proceed to a consideration of the Collectors Report on the settlement of the Land Revenue of the Collectorate of Madras for Fasly 1251.

26th Augt. in Cons. 29th Do. 1842.

Read

The Demand for the current Revenues in the Fasly under review was Rupees 61,920-13-6 falling below that of the preceding year by Rupees 334-13-2 this decrease is attributable chiefly to the discontinuance in Fasly 1251 of the assessment on certain lands formerly exempted to be cultivated but which remain uncultivated the particulars thereof being shewn in the Statement B and C

2 The subjoined Abstract exhibits the Demands under each head, the Collections within the Fasly and the Balances due at the close of the year

Demand.

12047 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

	Demand			Collection			Balance		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Shotrium	210	"	"	82	14	4	127	1	8
Houses and Gardens occupied	39,836	14	9	37,420	13	9	2,416	1	"
Do. unoccupied	2,254	9	8	78	13	6	2,175	12	2
Gardens and Paddy fields cultivated	11,734	9	1	9,413	1	3	2,321	7	10
Do. Do. uncultivated	877	5	"	"	"	"	877	5	"
Quit Rent on waste lands	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ground Rent on Boutiques	7,007	7	"	4,561	13	"	2,445	10	"
Total	61,920	13	6	51,557	7	10	10,363	5	8

The

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Collections
1250 5,573-10-8
1251 5,557-7-10
Dec. 16-2-10

3. The collections of the Current Revenue in fusly 1251 were Rupees 5,557--7-10 and the Balance at its close was Rupees 10,363-5-8. If a comparison is made with the like collections of the previous year a decrease of Rupees 16-12-10 will be observed in the fusly under review.

Sales
1250 . . 117-8-0
51 . . 5,048-0-0
Excess 4,930-8-0

Para 4.

4 In the proceeds from the sale of land under authority there was an increase of Rupees 4,930-8-0. The large excess arose out of the payment of Rupees 5,005- by Mr. H. Grant for the purpose of a spot of ground as directed in the orders of Government and referred to, in the correspondence noted in the Margin.

From Actg. Collr. Tinnevelly 23d Dec. 1841.
To Chief Secretary 23d Dec.
From Government 25th in Lrs 27th Jany. 1842

Para 5

5 The Current Demand on Houses and on Paddy Fields actually in a state of Cultivation, amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 51,571-7-10 of which Rupees 46,833-15-0 were collected within the year leaving an outstanding balance of Rupees 4,737-8-10

The

Fort St. George 13th October 1842

The Collector observes that the punctual realization of this balance was not practicable in consequence of the indigent circumstances of the great number of the Defaulters in whose case a warrant of Distraint would not have been attended with success.

6. The current Demand on account of assessment on Houses and Garden Houses remaining unoccupied and on Gardens, Paddy and Paddy fields in a state of only partial productiveness was 3,131-14-8 of this Rupees 78. 13.- only were collected within the year leaving a balance of Rupees 3053-1-2. unrealized. The Collector will be pleased to state in what proportion to their extent these Gardens and Paddy fields were productive and whether the parties holding them were possessed of other lands assessed to the revenue.

7. No Bills for waste lands have been issued in the fusly under review, but the Collector states in

in reference to the observations of the Board in para 3 of their Proceedings dated 26th May 1843 that of the 52 applications for the reduction of quit rent therein adverted to, full enquiry could be made in a few cases only in consequence of his not possessing authority to compel the attendance of parties at the Office or on the ground. It appears to the Board that when parties seeking a reduction in the amount of the quit rent or assessment, omitted or refused to attend the requisition of the Collector, the ordinary course would be to enforce the full demand against the lands if cultivable, and unless there be something peculiar in the case of the parties referred to which is not explained the Board think that this course should now be followed.

Para 8. 8 The charge for Ground rent for fusly 1251 was Rupees 7007-7- the collections under

under this head within the year amounted to Rupees 4561-13- the balance being therefore 2445-10- Inclusive of recoveries of arrears Viz. Rupees 1720-10 the aggregate collections were Rupees 62 81-13-10 being below the current Demand in the sum of Rupees 725-9-2.

Para 9. 9 The arrears of former fusslies brought to account within the year amounted to Rupees 5650-14-6 if to this be added the Current Collections Rupees 51557-7-10 (Exclusive of the proceeds from the sale of Lands) the total Revenue realized in fusly 1251 is seen to be Rupees 57,208-6-4- In fusly 1250 the Collections current and arrears were Rupees 55,465-7-2 consequently the increase in the year under review compared with the preceding was Rupees 1,742-15-2.

Stat C. 10 At the commencement of fusly 1251 there was an outstanding arrear in the Madras Collectorate of Rupees 9,415-2-3 The collection

Extract from the Mins of Cons of Government 29th Nov. in Cons 13th Dec. 1841

collection on this account as stated above, co
were Rupees 5,650-14-6 and the remission, was
Rupees 62,739-4-11 leaving a balance due
at its close of Rupees 22,974-14-10 add to this
the balance of current Revenue for 1251, Viz.
Rupees 19,363-5-8 and the residue unadjusted
at the beginning of the current fusly will
be Rupees 33,338-4-6. of this sum however
Rupees 17,624-4-2 being arrears on certain
waste and uncultivated lands the Collector
Para 14 states his intention of submitting an co
application for sanction to strike out of
the accounts. The Board observe that the
amount remitted in the Extract Minutes
of Consultation above quoted was Rupees co
62,785-2-11 and that a further sum of co
Rupees 4-2-0 has been entered under the
head of remissions in the Printed abstract
of Demand Collection and Balances
for fusly 1251. The Collector co
will

S.G.B.

will be pleased to state under what sanc-
-tion this latter item has been written off
from the accounts.

12. The gross amount including
the Extraordinary Collections shewn in the
statement in para 15 of the report to co
have been realized in fusly 1251 was
Rupees 67,737-15-10. the charges for the co
period were Rupees 17,307-8-0 which being
deducted from the total Collections leaves
a net revenue of Rupees 50,430-7-10, which
exceeded the net revenue of fusly 1250
by the sum of Rupees 8,149-10-7.

Net Revenue
1251-50,430-7-10
1250-42,280-13-3
Incr. 8,149-10-7

13. The subjoined com-
-parative Statement exhibits the co
revenue derived from Land and co
Extra sources in fuslies 1250 and co
1251. from which it appears that co
there was a net Increase in the
year under review of Rupees
90,369-4-10, which the Board consider
highly satisfactory.-

Items

12054 | Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Collection of Current Revenue & Annual Arrears.

Items.	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Land Revenue	5,54,465 1 2	1,742 15 2	
Abkary	5,10,035 5 "	" " "	2,026 6 1
Sayer	5,32,347 1 "	4,220 8 4	
Sales of Salt	9,6024 4 8	13,061 4 "	34,613 10 4
Do: of Stamp Papers &c.	6,686 5 6	2,910 6 "	2,224 " 6
Sales of Land	114 8 "	3,048 " "	4,930 8 "
Sundry Small Farms &c.	111 94 10 6	11,501 8 9	305 14 3
Extra Revenue	10,118 2 1	10,484 8 6	1,369 6 5
	12,22,965 12 "	13,3338 " 10	42,385 10 11
		Deduct Decrease	2,026 6 1
		Net Increase	90359 4 10

Fee

12054 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

14 The causes of the Increase and Decrease in the different Items composing the Extra Revenue, will be considered separately when the Collector shall have submitted the usual annual report.-

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be transmitted to the Collector of Madras, for his information and guidance.-

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

55

From the Coll.r of Madras.- Rs. 1,54,9 1/4

Reporting upon the Claim of one Boodagherry Naick to certain ground at Porsewakum, on which Ground rent to the Amount of Rs. 2,15,9 10 has been collected on the supposition that it is the property of Government.- Requesting that it may be decided whether the Claim to the Ground as public property is to be continued or not, the Military Board not having produced proof of the right of Government to it.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that, on the supposition that certain Ground in the Village of Porsewakum, which is coloured yellow in the accompanying plan*, was the property of Government from its not being included in any Certificate, and its being contiguous to a public road, the usual ground rent for the benefit of the Circar was charged upon it in November 1828. Rupees 7-4-8.

* Copy not transmitted

It

2. It appears that after the collection was made, one Boodagherry Naik claimed the spot as purchased by him from the Merasidars of Porsewaukum, when an order was issued to discontinue the assessment of Ground rent as for Government land, and to levy, instead, quit rent as for private property. The Ground rent previously levied was afterwards refunded but quit rent was not assessed.—

13th. January 1829

3 In September 1836, in consequence of an encroachment, the spot was re-assessed with ground rent, but on a representation that there was only a Pyall measuring in length 68 feet and in breadth 1 foot, which encroached on the street, the demand for Quit rent was relinquished.—

4 In 1839, on a shop keeper applying for permission to re-occupy the Ground, he was allowed to do so, and the ground rent was again charged thereon. The actual Collections between February 1840 and March 1842. both months inclusive, amount to Rupees 215-9-10

5 Boodagherry Naik applied lately

lately for a Certificate in his name, — when the Occupant of the ground opposed his claim to the proprietorship. Their contradictory statements induced me to make a reference in April last, to the Military Board, requesting information as to whether, the ground had ever formed part of the street and was as such, liable to be retained by the Road Department; The reply was in the negative, but the Military Board stated in a subsequent Communication that "in consequence of a petition from the individuals who (occupied) the Bazars built on the ground in dispute, the ground (was) examined with more care and that there (was) every reason to suppose that it (was) public property, and formed at one time part of the public road." They therefore suggested "the expediency of the present occupants not being disturbed till the matter (was) again referred to the Superintendent of Roads, and the reasons ascertained on which

8th. April 1842.

26th. April 1842

22d. July "—

which he reported the space to be private property.

In a letter of date the 28th July the Military Board forwarded Copy of one from the superintendent of Government Roads with a Sketch plan, and observed that it appeared from both that the ground in question "formed originally part of the public road, and (was) therefore, it might be presumed public property". Transcripts of the Enclosures in the Military Board's letter are submitted herewith, from which it will be seen that the superintendent of Government Roads states that "with reference to the ground itself, it appears to (him) that the erection of the Boutiques was originally an encroachment."

From Supt. of Govt. Roads 25th July 1842, & plan. Encls. Nos. 2 & 3.

As the opinions of the Military Board and the superintendent of Government Roads did not appear to me to be founded on evidence, such as would enable me to dispose finally of Boodagherry Naiks application, I again applied

24th August

to the Military Board for information as to whether there was any record or plan in their Office, which shewed that the ground "formed originally part of the public road," observing that I was induced to make the request in order that if it could be proved that the ground formed a portion of the public road, this Office might, as usual in similar cases of the occupation by individuals of Ground the property of Government, levy Ground rent from the occupants, and adding that as the levy of ground rent implied the assertion of the right of Government to the ground for the occupation of which it was levied, and interfered with any asserted claim to the ground, as private property, I should not consider myself justified in levying ground rent on the spot claimed by Boodagherry Naik, if the Department which had charge of the roads was not prepared to support a claim to it as public property, this Office

not

not having the means of deciding with precision, the limits of many of the public roads.

8 In reply, the Military Board

30th Augt. a informed me that there was no record or plan in their Office proving that the ground formed originally part of the public road, but that they believed it to have been so from the general plan and width of the road.

9 I have just discovered that Ground rent bills in the name of Boodagherry Naik amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 144–6– were made out between the months of February 1818 and July 1819, and that they were all cancelled by Messrs. Murray and Hadow on their appointment in 1825 as a Committee of Enquiry; but on what grounds, I am not aware.

10 On the 23d. ultimo I received a letter from Mr. J. F. J. Jenkins, an attorney at Law, addressed to me at the instance of Boodagherry Naik stating that the Ground referred to

to above was purchased by the latter in 1816, that he rented and collected the rents thereon to the end of 1838, and that in consequence of some dispute between the tenants and Boodagherry Naik they refused to pay him the rent, and asserted the Ground to be the property of Government, and requesting me under the circumstances, to refund the Collections made by this Office, on account of Government, and in the event of refusal, to furnish Copy of the Collector's order bearing date the 3d. January 1829, and of the first and second reports of the Superintendent of Government Roads to the Military Board, to enable him to take legal opinion on the subject.

11 On the 12th instant, I received a further letter from the same attorney requesting to know whether his first application was to be complied with or not, so as to enable his client to determine as to what proceedings he should take

for

for the recovery of the money and Bon-
-tiques. To these applications from Mr.
Jenkin's I have not thought fit to reply.
I am now induced to bring the matter
to the notice of the Board of Revenue,
thinking it not impossible that, the
case having got into the hands of an
attorney, it may be brought into Court, —
whilst it appears to me that to prove the
right of Government to the land might
not be practicable. The extent is in-
-significant, but on my future pro-
-ceedings depends the assertion or the
relinquishment of what, small as the matter is, ought
not to be relinquished if the claim to the spot as public property is good.

Bodagherry Naik's claim
is founded on a purchase from the
Meerasidars supported by a Cadjan
Bill of sale. The claim supported —
by the Military Board is founded
on the Southern boundary of the
land held under certificate No.
10,773 — dated 27th May 1808, being entered as
a street, and by the general plan. It is only
as

only as having formed a portion of the
public road, and being now occupied
by private individuals, that this Office
has any concern in the question. —
The assertion of any direct claim to
the ground itself should probably
emanate from the Military Board
or the Superintendent of Roads, under
whose care the charge of the Roads is
properly placed, but it is the duty
of this Office, to levy ground rent
from private individuals occupying
ground the property of Government, —
without express permission, although
the ground itself may be under
the charge of another department. —

The object of my entering
13
thus minutely into the question is
that it may be at once decided
whether the claim to the ground
as public property is to be continued,
and if not, whether the right of
Boodagherry Naik the only indivi-
-dual claiming a private interest in it is
to be recognized, in which latter case,
it would be proper to refund to
him

him all the past collections of ground rent from the spot made by this Office on the supposition that it formed part of a public road. In my opinion it would not be practicable to substantiate the right of Govern=ment to the land, since the Military Board cannot produce what I should conceive to be sufficient proof of such a right, were the question to be brought before a Court of Justice.-

Madras. ...
29th. Sept. 1842. } /Signed/ A. Robertson
No. 1 Plan. Collector.-

No. 2

To The Secretary to the
Military Board
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to state in reply to your letter dated 22d. instant, that being confined to the house when the report on the ground at Perambore was called for. I directed my accountant to enquire into the claim and the statements made by

by some of the occupants of the Boutiques went to prove that their rent was paid to Botegherry Naik. I therefore con=cluded that the ground belonged to him. Three of the persons reported their statement to me on Saturday last, which were corroborated by Botegherry Naick, who was absent on the former occasion.

This morning both Boti=gherry Naick and the Petitioners waited on me, the former with an agreement to the effect that the Principal Petitioners were to pay "3 Rupees per month being the rent of 3 Bazars at 1 Rupee each" Boti=gherry Naick further states that for 28 years he received the rent, but that since the last 33 months the Petitioners have refused to pay him.-

With reference to the ground itself it appears to me that the erection of the Boutiques was originally an encroachment.-

July 25th. 1842. /Signed/ W. K. Worster Lieut.
No. 3 Plan Supt. Roads.

ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To,

The Collector of

Madras.

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 29th ultimo relative to the right of posession to a piece of Ground at Porsewaukum I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from one Veerasawmy Chetty and others together with 6 Ground Rent Bills therein enclosed which these parties represent as having been issued from the year 1818 up to march 1842 for the land in dispute.-

2 The Board request that you will ascertain and report whether the ground rent Bills sent in the above Petition are really for the piece of land claimed by Boodagherry naick and if so for how long a period the Parties holding them have been in possession of the land, and since what date they have

55-56

To the Coll. of Madras- Transmitting with reference to his letter of the 29th ultimo relative to the right of possession to a piece of Ground at Porsewaukum, claimed by Boodagherry naick & Petition from one Veerasawmy Chetty.-

Petition in the Collectorate 13th Oct 1842

have paid rent to Government.

3 The claim set up by Boodagherry naick is stated in para 12 of your letter to be founded on a purchase from the Meerasidars supported by a Cadjan Bill of sale, said to be dated in the year 1816- whereas the claim on the part of the Military Board would appear to rest on the particulars of Certificate No. 10773 dated 27th May 1808. With reference to the Deed of sale you will be pleased to ascertain whether the ground claimed by Boodagherry naick corresponds with that stated to have been purchased by him as set forth in the Cadjan, also the date of the transaction and the circumstances as far as they may be discoverable connected with the sale, and whether with reference to the contents of the above cited certificate there is reason to believe that the ground claimed formed part of the public road at the time when the Bill of sale was executed.

You will at the same time inform the

the Board by whom, and under what authority, Certificate No. 10,773 was granted, and communicate any further in-formation relative to the proprietorship of the land in dispute, which may be elicited during the enquiry into the points above alluded to.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th October, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To,

~~Read the following letter.~~

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

57

~~From~~ the Coll^r of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 18th January, communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated

dated 7th February last. I have the honor to submit herewith for the necessary sanction a Memorandum of certain arrears of pension due to Mahabad Ally Cawn.

Madras 7th October 1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson.
Collector.

Memorandum.

12070 Fort St. George

13th October 1842

Memorandum Shewing the Arrears of pension payable to Mahabad Ally Cawn.

Number	Name of the Pensioner	Amount of Pension Per Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which Arrears are due	Total Amount of Arrears due	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
679	Mahabad Ally Cawn	249 12 0	Authority of Government 18th February 1831	From 1st Novr 1841 to 30th September 1842 being 11 Months	228 15 0	Mahabad Ally Khan obtained leave and proceeded to Trichinopoly in January 1841. His pension up to October 1841 was every Month paid to his Vakeel on the production of a duly authenticated Certificate of the Grantee's existence. Having been absent for a period exceeding that which was allowed at first, no further payment was made. It would seem that his detention at Trichinopoly was owing to his continued indisposition, to which his appearance gives confirmation. Having now made his personal appearance at this Office, the Collector is not aware of any objection to the payment of the Arrears specified in this Memorandum being made to him. Madras 6th October 1842. / Signed / A. Robertson Collector	Under the explanation furnished by the Collector the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for payment of the Arrears of Pension due to Mahabad Ally Khan Amounting to Rupees 228–15–0. Revd Bd Office Fort St George. 13th Octr 1842. By Order / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary	Sanction granted accordingly. Fort St George 25th Octr 1842. / By Order / / Signed / Walter Elliot Actg Secy to Government

Ordered.

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Order thereon.

57. 58

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper column of the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

59

From the Secy to the Agri. Horticultural Society.

Sir,

Under instructions from the General Committee of the Madras Agri. Horticultural Society, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22nd August 1842 forwarding Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th August containing observations on an Extract from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors under date 27th April 1842.

I am directed to observe that the Committee feel gratified in being able to state that the promises held out in Dr Wight's letter of 18th October 1839 have in a great measure been fulfilled as

and

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

and that although 20,000 canes were not supplied from the Society's Garden within the time expected, nearly twice that number of full grown Mauritius Sugar canes have been supplied during the last 3 years to Collectors and private enterprizers.

The Committee after some experience in the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane from the experiments pursued in the Societys Garden carefully drew up directions for its cultivation, printed and circulated them to Collectors, and others who applied for Canes in 1840 and they have reason to believe that the instructions thus furnished in the English and Native languages greatly assisted the successful propagation of the canes in many Collectorates although in some the Ryots could not easily be induced to give up the cultivation of the Canes they had for years been growing, to introduce what they conceived to be an innovation, the object of which they could not immediately be brought to comprehend. From the supplies furnished

furnished by the Society to the Collector of Chingleput the cultivation in his District is now extensive, and the Society has no difficulty in procuring from the Ryots of the Chummumbaudoo Talook Occasional supplies to meet demands exceeding the Garden's produce.

The Committee cannot with certainty speak as to the quality of the Mauritius Sugar Cane and its advantages over other Kinds usually grown by the Natives, as they have not had any manufactured under their immediate superintendence nor indeed did they depute any one to try it by experiment, their chief object being the introduction of the Cane which was considered to be very superior. In so far as they have been able to judge however from the sample sent in at the completion for Prizes by Messrs. Line and Co. the result was very satisfactory, and the Committee are of opinion that if the Sugar were properly refined it would be far superior to the Sugar manufactured from

from the Country Cane. A report on this head was submitted to Government by the Prize Committee in November 1840 to which I take the liberty to refer.

From the report of the General Committee on the State and finances of the Society and the Garden generally at the close of 1841, submitted to a general Meeting of subscribers of 12th January 1842 the Meeting was of opinion that from the supplies of the Mauritius Sugar Canes furnished to Collectors they ought in most Districts to have Nurseries of their own, and that it would be unnecessary to cultivate the Mauritius Sugar Cane to any increased extent in the Society's Garden, But therefore abandoning its cultivation it was considered expedient to ascertain from Collectors what further supplies were required, it was consequently resolved to make application to this effect. A Circular letter was accordingly framed and dispatched to 19 Collectors of Districts, Copy of which and their replies. I beg to subjoin for the information

information of the Board of Revenue.

Applications for upwards of 10,000 canes to be supplied during the approaching season, have already been received, and the committee are sanguine that the Society will be able to comply with them to the full extent. The information afforded by this communication and the copies of correspondence referred to, will it is hoped enable the Board to meet the wishes of the Court of Directors, and it would afford the Society the highest gratification to learn that its exertions are appreciated in that high quarter.

Agri. Hort. Society's Office, Madras, 7th October 1842.

Signed/ Geo. G. Mackenzie Captn. Secretary.

To The Collector of ——

Sir,

With reference to a Resolution passed at the quarterly Meeting of the Madras Agri. Horticultural Society which I beg to subjoin, I have the honor to under instructions

instructions from the General Committee to request you will be good enough to inform me whether Sugar canes are cultivated in your District, and of what description, but chiefly if the Mauritius canes Sugar canes have been introduced and through the Society and if so whether there be any nursery from which to obtain further supplies in your District or if not whether you require a supply. The committee having it in contemplation to abandon the further cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar cane to any extent in the Societys Garden but previous to their doing so, we are desirous of ascertaining if the Mauritius sugar canes have been generally introduced throughout the Madras Presidency in Districts where Sugar cane is cultivated.

I have &c.

Agri. Hort. Society's Office, Madras, 19th January 1842.

Signed/ G. G. Mackenzie Captn. Secretary.

Extract

12077 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Quarterly Meeting of the Agricultural and Horticultural Society held at the Society's Gardens on Wednesday the 12th January 1842 at 5 Oclock P. M.

"Resolved that application be made to the different Collectors to ascertain if any further supply of the Mauritius Sugar Canes be required previous to abandoning further extensive cultivation of this Article in the Society's Garden.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ G. G. Mackenzie.
Capt.
Secretary.

No. 31.

To The Secretary to the
Agri. Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

In answer to your Circular of the 19th Instant calling for a report on Sugar Cane cultivation in this Collectorate I have the honor to inform you that only four Canes of land in the Madura Talooks

12078 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

Talooks and 195 Canes in the Talooks of Dindigul are so occupied, the Cane is of the common discription, none of the Mauritius Cane has been introduced.

Madura 22nd January 1842. /Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collr.

To The Secretary
Agri. Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of 19th Instant, I beg leave to state that the Mauritius Sugar Canes brought up from Madras in April last by my Assistant Mr. J. J. Knox have turned out very well indeed and there is every probability of the cultivation of them being sufficiently increased with the present actual supply even, but I should like to get about two hundred more Canes for which I shall be prepared to pay the expenses attending the supply of them, and their dispatch to Coringa by

12079 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

by Sea.

Gillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah 24th Jany 1842.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

No. 17.

To The Secretary to the
Agricultural & Horticultural
Society
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 19th ultimo I have the honor to inform you that having several extensive Nurseries of Mauritius Sugar canes in the District originally introduced through cuttings supplied from the Society's Garden no further supply will be required.

Chingleput District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Vellacherry 1st February 1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese.
Collector.

No. 25.

To The Secretary to the
Agri. Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of

12080 Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

of the 19th Instant, and in reply to state that several Sugar canes of a very superior description are cultivated in this District, but I am not aware that any of the Mauritius cane has been received from the Society. Both the China and Otaheitie varieties have however been introduced.

Ganjam District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Poorooshottapoor 29th Jany 1842.

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector

No. 57.

To The Secretary to the
Madras Agri. Horticultural Society.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Instant and the Extract from the Society's proceedings which accompanied it, and in reply, I beg to inform you that Sugar cane of two kinds is grown in this District the 1st Sort is termed "Shen Carrembo" and is not cultivated to any great extent, it is thick in the cane and consumed principally in its raw state, being eaten by the growers and sold for that purpose in the Bazar, the

the 2nd Sort is called Naunel Carrenboo. this is cultivated to a considerable extent, is of inferior quality to the 1st and used for making Jaggerry of. It does not appear that Mauritius cane has ever been grown in the District and as its introduction would in all probability be advantageous, I shall gladly take advantage of the Society's offer and request they will be good enough to let me have a small quantity by way of experiment, with any hints for its cultivation, if it requires a different mode to that employed on the common Cane of the Country

Tanjore, ——— } / Signed / I. F. Bishop.
Vellum, 3rd Feby 1842 }
Ag. Principal Coll.

To,
The Secretary to the
Madras Agricultural and
Sir, Horticultural Society
Madras.

In reply to your letter of the 19th Instant I have the honor to state that a small quantity of Mauretius Sugar Cane purchased by me from the Society last year

year has been distributed to cultivate in different parts of the Trichinopoly District. The greater part of the Canes however on arriving at this place were so much dried up that the portion which has grown is very small.

The superiority of the Mauritius to the Country Cane is so obvious that the Ryots are extremely anxious to possess themselves of it and a further supply to any extent which the Society can afford will be thankfully accepted. It should be sent so as to reach Trichinopoly early in the Month of July, at present it would be almost useless.

I have planted a small quantity in the Trichinopoly Horticultural Garden and there is space for some more to be planted for occasional distribution.

Trichinopoly } / Signed / A. P. Onslow.
Seerungham } Collector.
1st February 1842 — —

No. 49.

To The Secretary to the Madras
Agri. Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the

the receipt of your letter of the 19th ultimo and to acquaint you that the Government Estate of Poleconda in this District and also other parts in its neighbourhood afford great advantages for the cultivation of the Sugar Cane which is carried on to some extent.

2. A large quantity of Jagerry is annually exported to Aska in the Ganjam District for the purpose of being manufactured into Sugar as the Art is better understood there than here.

3. Mr Young of Chittivalsah has I understand recently introduced the cultivation of the Mauritius Cane in parts of this District, and he will no doubt be ready to communicate with the Society on the subject of its success or otherwise. At the same time should it be the desire of the Committee to have the assistance or influence of the Collector to obtain a more general cultivation of that description of Cane, it can be in my

my opinion easily effected, and for that purpose I would suggest the transmission of 4 or 5 Candy loads, which however should not be later in their arrival, than in the Month of April.

Vizagapatam District,
Vizianagrum Collectors,
Circuit Cutcherry
4th February 1842.

(Signed) T. Conway.
Acting Collector.

To,
The Secretary to the
Madras Agri Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you, that Sugar cane is extensively cultivated in Canara, but with exception of a small quantity procured by Mr Maltby the Sub Collector, from Bombay, none of the Mauritius Cane has yet been introduced in the District, as the ryots who are in the habit of cultivating the Sugar cane, would gladly make the experiment of growing the Mauritius Cane, if furnished to them free of expense, I would beg that a supply from the Society's Garden may be sent to me for distribution, with

with any instructions that are necessary for its proper culture.

Prin.l Collectors Cutcherry, Mangalore, 7th February 1842.

(Signed) H: M. Blair.
Prin.l Collector

To,
The Secretary
Agri Horticultural Society
Madras

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of letter from the Sub Collector of this District which contains the information required.

No Mauritius Sugar cane is cultivated in any of the Talooks under my charge, and the introduction of a better kind of cane would be a work of Supererogation from the fact, that there is not a single individual throughout the whole Province who is acquainted with the mode of manufacturing Sugar. I have for many Months past endeavoured to introduce such a person but I regret to say without success.

Coimbatore Camp Sattimmyalum, 8th February, 1842.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton.
Prin.l Collector

To,
The Principal Collector of
Sir, Coimbatore.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th Ultimo, enclosing copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Agri Horticultural Society at Madras, and beg to state that-

Sugar Cane to some considerable estate is grown in Carvor and Dharapooram Talooks along the banks of the River Amaravanty, and in the Villages irrigated by the Cavery. The plantations consist of the common variegated Cane, the small white, and the red-cane. Besides these the Mauritius cane was introduced in 1839, partly through the Agency of the Agri Horticultural Society from whom a small supply of ratoons was received, and partly from Canes purchased at my own expense through the kindness of Dr Wight at Madras. The experiment has proved successful, and about 30 Cawnies of the cane cultivated in the Sugar grounds of this Division.

A

A small nursery has been formed from the cane of the Society from which a third crop is now flourishing luxuriously at Caroor. No further supply is necessary.

Dharapooram Sub Collectors Office on Circuit
February 2d 1842.

(Signed) J. Bird.
Sub Collr.

To,
The Secretary to the
Madras Agri Horticultural Society.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to state for your information that Sugar Cane is not generally cultivated in this District. The Mauritius sugar cane lately sent for from the Society have been cultivated in the Village of Emany in this District, where it has yielded a fair return. From this place a supply was sent to Simmercottah & 2 Villages in the Palnaud, where it has been cultivated. There also they are in a thriving condition.

Sugar is not manufactured in this District, but attempts are making with the view of introducing

introducing the manufacture. A further supply of Mauritius Sugar Cane is not required as there is now a nursery of this description of cane.

Guntoor,
Collectors Cutcherry,
15th February 1842.

(Signed) J. Goldingham.
Collector.

To,
The Secretary to the
Agricultural and Horticultural
Society at Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the General Committee, that no other than the Country Sugar cane is cultivated in this District. The Mauritius Canes were once obtained from Darwar in Fusly 1246 and introduced in a few Talooks in this District but failed. No cuttings have been supplied from the Societys Garden. none have applied.—

2. Being of opinion that a trial should be given to the Mauritius cane, if a small supply of cuttings

can

can be furnished from the Society's Garden, before the contemplated abandonment of its cultivation takes place, I would beg to suggest that as many as can be spared may be sent by the return Carts which conveyed Treasure to the Presidency in last Month. In the event of the Committee being prepared to order the supply above required, I shall give the necessary instructions to the Servants of my Establishment who escorted the Treasure to take charge of the same at once, on receiving your reply to this communication as to the time and place of delivery. The servants will probably leave Madras about the end of this Month.

Bellary ------
Collector's Office,
16th February 1842.

(Signed) A: Mellor
Collector.

No. 55.

To
The Secretary to the
Agri. Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

In answer to your letter of the

the 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that the remainder of the Mauritius Sugar Cane received last year from Madras amounting to 1095 were distributed to different ryots of which 611 have failed, but the rest 484 have succeeded.

2. In consequence of this partial success with the cane in question the ryots are not still very anxious to go on with its cultivation nor have there been any applications for more. The cause of its partial success may be attributed to its having been cut (at Madras) so long before it could be properly planted again in the soil prepared for it, but as the quality and quantity of the juice of this appears from the Report of the Tahsildar to be far superior to that of the Ordinary canes of the District, I have little doubt that they will be induced to carry on the cultivation of the former as soon as they observe the abundant

abundant produce which may probably be obtained this year from the new canes now cultivated.—

Cuddapah District—
Print. Collector's Office,
Summulmudgoy—
21st February 1842—

(Signed) I. I. Cotton.
Sub Coll. in Charge.

No. 123.

To

The Secretary to the
Agri: Horticultural Society.
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 19th January, I have the honor to state that Sugar Canes are cultivated in this District. they are of the common kind indigenous to the place and are of deep red colour. 400 Mauritius canes were this time last year sent in by the Society for cultivation in Tinnevelly of which 308 were found good and were planted. From these there are now 631 Canes in the Plantation which will not come to maturity for the next three Months. The specimens I have seen of these are not satisfactory, and the growth

growth of the indigenous cane has decidedly exceeded that of the Mauritius. There does not appear any necessity for a further supply of cane for this District the present plantation being sufficient to enable another experiment to be made in the next season.

Tinnevelly Panagoody
23d February 1842.—

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery.
Collector.

To,

The Secretary
Madras Agri Horticultural
Society.

Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Ultimo relative to the cultivation of Sugar cane, and to inform you that although the cultivation of any kind of Sugar cane has never yet been attempted in this District, I am desirous of making a trial and will be much obliged by your sending me

me as many cuttings as can be spared.
I shall also feel obliged by your forwarding any rules which you may have found useful in prosecuting the Cultivation of this valuable production

Masulipatam,
Collector's Cutchery,
24th February 1842.

(Signed) T. Grant.
Collector.

No. 23.

To,

The Secretary to the
Madras Agricultural and
Horticultural Society.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 19th Ultimo and to inform you that country Sugar cane was at a former period cultivated but not to any great extent in this District, but it has since been nearly discontinued. No Mauritius Sugar canes were sent to me by the Society. Some however were obtained by individuals and grown by myself as well

and others, but they did not seem to thrive any where. This District is not well adapted for the cultivation of the Sugar cane.

Nellore
Principal Collr's Cutchery,
28th February 1842.

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse.
Princl. Collector.

To,

The Secretary to the
Agrl. Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that in some of the Talooks in the Salem District the Sugar cane is pretty extensively cultivated, particularly in the Raizepoor talook the cane is of the small white kind common to the Southern Districts of Salem and Coimbatore. The Mauritius cane has also been introduced through the means of the Society, and at present I believe there are canes in the District which would yield about 45,000 cuttings. In the talooks

talooks of Raizepoor and Oofsoor where the Society's canes were first introduced the people would take and be thankful for as many plants of Mauritius cane as could be given to them. They are much preferred by those who have cultivated them to the common canes of the country. They make no difference in the mode of culture. The talooks to which I have distributed the Mauritius Sugar cane, are noted in the Margin. At Raizepoor I have a nursery for plants in which at present I have canes of the 4th growth from the same planting. They are small however. Two cuttings or perhaps three may be got fit for seed. I regret the Society's intention of giving up the cane cultivation, as I think its general introduction would be a great benefit to the country. it is better not to mistify the people by proposing new modes of cultivation. the cane thrives very well cultivated after their

Opoor.
Denkencottah
Attoor
Raizepoor.
Namcul.

their own method. They are apt however to cut it before it is fully ripe, to which circumstance I attribute the idea which obtains amongst them, that the juice does not contain the same quantity of saccharine matter, as that of their own small cane.

Salem Zillah
Yatanoor,
Prinl: Collectors Cutcherry,
2d March, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Gleig.
Princl: Collector.

To,
The Secretary to the
Agri: Horticultural Society.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 19th January last, I have the honor to inform you that the only person who has

commenced

commenced the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar cane in this District is Mr. T. H. Baber, to whom I had communicated the subject of your letter, but not having since heard from him in reply, I presume he does not require any supply of it - The cultivation of the Sugar Cane is not carried on in this District.

Malabar
Collector's Office,
Calicut 18th March, 1842.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector

(True Copies)

(Signed) Geo G. Mackenzie Capt
Secretary.

Ordered

Order thereon - Ordered to lie on the table -

(59-60) Read the undermentioned registers.

(61) From the Acting Principal Collr of Tanjore Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of May last.

(62) From the Acting Principal Collr of Tanjore - Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of June last -

(63) From the Principal Collr of Canara - Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him from July to September last -

Order on the three abovementioned Registers - Ordered to be

(64-64) lodged in the Office -

Resolved that the following letter be despatched with reference to the Endorsement therein referred to -

To,
The Acting Secretary to
Government.

(65) Sir,

To the Actg Secy to Government -

With reference to your Endorsement by order of Government on Petition No. 1002 of 1842, dated 23d August 1842 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council,

Copies

B.d. Proceedings dated 9th July 1838.
Letter from the Pr. Coll.r of Madura dated 15th Feby. in Cons.n 4th March 1841.
B.d. further Proceedings dated 4th March 1841.

copies of a correspondence noted in the Margin which passed between the Board and the Principal Collector of Madura on the subject of the Petitioner Palany's claim to certain Meerasy emoluments stated in her Petitions to the Board Nos. 531 of 1838 and 1786 of 1840 and by which it will appear that her case has been finally disposed of.

The Petition No. 1002 of 1841 is herewith returned.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th October, 1842.

(Signed) J. D: Bourdillon
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

66

To the Actg. Pr. Coll.r of Cuddapah.

To
The Acting Principal Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir,

In Mr. Cotton's report on the Dawastanums of your district, he stated that no Managers had yet been found for 69 Pagodas, and the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 30th May, expressed an opinion

* Paras 388.

+ Feby 23. 1842 Sub Committee Minute

opinion that 63 of this number, in which the interference of Government had been always limited to extraordinary occasions only, might "without difficulty be made over entirely to "the Village authorities, who might be "constituted Managers"; and you are desired to endeavour to effect this without delay, as well as to make provision for the remaining 6 Pagodas. So long a time having elapsed without any communication from you in reply to those instructions, I am directed to request that you will inform the Board what you have done in pursuance of them, and to desire that you will let no unnecessary delay take place in carrying them fully into effect.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D: Bourdillon
Secretary.

67

To the Pr. Coll.r of Salem.

To
The Principal Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

Referring to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 29th August last I am directed by the Board of

Revenue

Revenue to request that you will submit the information therein called for regarding the cultivation of Nutmegs in your District as early as practicable.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon.
Secretary.

To,
The Collector in the
Southern Division of
Arcot.

68

To the Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to the letter from this Office dated 9th May 1842 on the subject of transferring the payment of certain yeomiahs from North Arcot to your District and to request that you will report what measures you have taken in consequence of that communication.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th October, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon.
Secretary.

To,
The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

69

To the Princl. Collr. of Coimbatore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter of

Salem 1st Sessn.

of the 10th August I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that draft of a plaint in appeal from the decree in O. S. 287 of 1838 of the Coimbatore Auxiliary Court is being submitted for the approval of Government - and as the legal period of appeal has already expired, and some further delay must necessarily occur before the Plaint can be filed, I am instructed to request that you will move the Zillah Court at Salem to allow a further period of ten weeks, commencing from the termination of the regular period -

17th September.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th October, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon.
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

70

From the Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for Articles required for the use of the Revenue Department for the Month of October, 1842.—

71

From the Deputy Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of the Military

Military Department for the Month of October 1842.

70-72 Order on The two abovementioned Indents Ordered That the Indents be passed and returned.

73 From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot } Quarterly Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail ending 30th September last.

74 From the Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary } Transmitting statements of goods recommended for confiscation and of goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of August last.

74-75 Order thereon- Ordered That the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the above-mentioned Statements be authorized.

76 From the Prin.l Coll.r of Canara. Stating that the returns of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail from July to September and of casualties and Releases from April to June last are not sent, there being no entry to make.

77 From the Collector of Chingleput. Indent for Stationery and Sundries required for the use

of his Office for the year 1843.

77-78 Order thereon- Ordered that the Indent be compared, countersigned, and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

79 From the Prin.l Collector of Madura. Return of Fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

80 From the Prin.l Collector of Madura } Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last.

81 From the Prin.l Collector of Madura } Return of Persons suspended or dismissed in conformity to Section VII of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of September last.

82 From the Prin.l Collector of Madura } Stating that the returns of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail and of casualties and revenue defaulters released during the quarter ending 30th September last, as well as the Statement of

of smuggled goods for that Month are not sent there being no entry to make.—

83

From the Collector of Malabar— Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831. from January to June, 1842.

84

From the Collector of Malabar. Return of fines and Stoppages from the 1st of the Public Servants from 1st January to 31st March last.—

85

From the Collector of Malabar— Return of alterations in the List of Fines and Stoppages from 1st January to 31st March last.—

86

From the Collector of Malabar— Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from 1st January to 31st March last.—

From

From the Collector of Malabar— Return of—

87

Public Servants suspended or dismissed from 1st April to 30th June last.—

88

From the Collector of Malabar— Reporting that no cases were tried under Regulation IX of 1822 from January to June last.

89

From the Collector of Malabar. Reporting that no persons were in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 from January to June 1842.—

90

From the Collector of Malabar— Reporting that no

Fort St. George 13th October 1842.

no Revenue defaulters were in confinement during the Quarters ending 31st March and 30th June 184.

91

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Reporting that no suspensions or dismissals have taken place amongst the Village Moonsiffs during the Month of June last.—

92

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of the printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance Statements.—

92-93

Order thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly.—

Mr John Dent "

A.G.D. Drury "

Mr Sir H. Le Montgomery "

Compd
J.G. Boyle

Fort St. George — October 1842.

Diary.

14th October — Received the following letter.

To

The Honorable Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.
Madras

Gentlemen,

1

From Capt. W. J. Saunders
H: M: 57th Regiment

Transmitting a Petition from his Servant Pennooty, a Native of Cannanore complaining of the appropriation without her consent of a portion of her land at Cannanore for a Burial Ground.

Permit me to address you a few words explanatory of the cause of my servants accompanying Petition your Petitioner Pennooty is now and has been my servant for the last eight 8 years, is by Caste a Tier and a Native of Cannanore and being at present at so great a distance from her friends relations and Country she naturally looks up to me for support and protection, consequently I am induced to offer these few lines to your Honorable Board in her behalf. The property alluded to in her Petition was purchased by her by my advice and I supplied the Money to make the purchase that it might be a provision for herself and Children in old age. It was regularly made over

to

to her by its former owner who at the time put her in possession of the Title Deeds of the property for years back and which Documents are now in her possession in my House in the Fort, also the Queen Beebee's receipts for the Taxes and Quit Rent lined by her on the estate for the last six 6 years. Since your Petitioner left her Native Country to attend on my Family the Taxes have been paid the Queen Beebee by Petitioner's Tenant her Father who is now living on the Estate. But Gentlemen this property will be of little or no use to the Petitioner if the portion taken by Mr. Crozier (Sub Collector of Malabar) is converted into a Grave yard as the proximity of a Native Christian Grave yard to her Dwelling is against her Cast and she must therefore abandon it and as it is equally against the Cast of other Natives it will prevent getting a purchaser. The Estate I consider now worth 500 five hundred Rupees but it will be of little or no

no value if the Grave yard is esta=blished in it. Gentlemen, I have been five years Quartered at Cannanore and beg to forward your Honorable Board a Plan which I have drawn from memory shewing the situation of Petitioner's Estate and that of other Ground in its imme=diate neighbourhood which I think will prove to the Honorable Board's satis=faction that there is no necessity for encroaching on your Petitioner's Garden as there is abundance of room in its rear which is Government Land. Permit me Gentlemen to observe that I consider Petitioner's right to the Estate in question sacred, and that there is no authority in India who have legal power to wrest any part of it from her and I strenuously intreat your Honorable Board of Revenue will see her righted and protected from the injury complained

complained of in the Petitions-

Fort St. George,
14th October, 1842.

(Signed) William John Saunders-
Captain H: M: 57th
Regiment.

15th October Sent the following Letter
in consequence-

To,
The Collector of
Malabar.

(1-2)

Sir,

To the Collector of Malabar.

I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to forward for
your speedy report the
accompanying Petition from a person
who complains that a part of her
Garden at Cannanore has been taken
by

1560 of 1842.

by the Civil Officers of Government
without her consent, and made over
to some Missionaries for a
burial Ground - The Board desire
that you will state the actual
facts of the case, and explain
under what authority the act
complained of, if true, was
done.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon.
Secretary.

At

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

At a Meeting Present

Monday — 17th October 1842

John Dent Esqre Senior Member

G: D: Drury Esqre Second Member

and

Sir: H: L: Montgomery Bart. Agt Temporary Member

Alexander Maclean Esqre Agt Third Member

Absent on leave.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and appeared.

3

From the Agt Chief Secy to Govt

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th October 1842.

To,

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government in the Public Department.

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith, an Extract of a letter to my address from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated the 12th Ultimo, and to request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Most Honorable

Fort St. George 17th October 1842

Honorable the Governor in Council, for the Collector of Trichinopoly to make a grant of land to any respectable person who may be found willing to convey the mails over the river Nundiar, without any expense to Government.

Fort St. George,
General Post Office,
5th October, 1842.

(Signed) A. F. Bruce.
Post Master General.

A.

Extract of a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly to the Post Master General dated Fort Saint George, dated the 12th September 1842.

I further beg to bring to your notice the difficulty which the runners experience in crossing three Nullahs on the Madras road when they are swollen by the rains, one the Nundiar near Oottatoor, and two branches of the Oosspar near Samiaveram, a grant of half the teerwah, on three Cawnies of poonjay land, is allowed to an individual to keep a raft for crossing the latter, but he now refuses to give any assistance, except on one of the branches,

and

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

and I have made over the grant in consequence to a respectable Enamdar, who has kindly undertaken to do what is necessary, on consideration of being put in possession of the grant, it seems to me very desirable, that a similar measure should be adopted with regard to the Nundiar, and I beg to suggest, that you should apply for permission to make a grant to any one who may be found willing to take it in compensation for the proposed duty.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) A: F. Bruce
Post Master General.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their consideration and report.

(Signed) Robert Clerk.
Acting Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

(3 - 4)

To the Collector of Trichinopoly

Your proposition contained in

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

September 12th 1842. in a letter to the Post Master General, to grant a Mannium for providing the means of crossing the Sappard over the Nundiar river has been sent down by Government for the consideration and report of the Board of Revenue to enable them to comply with this order the Board request that you will inform them how many days in a year on an average the Sappard is delayed by this stream, and how it has hitherto been conveyed across and that you will specify the quantity and nature of the land which you propose to allot as a mannium. You will be pleased also to consider and state your opinion whether the object in view might not be better attained by a fixed daily allowance, for the number of days that the raft may be actually used in each year.

10th Con. 17th October 1842.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

5

From the Secy. to Govt.

to Government,—

Sanctioning the arrangement of the Coll.r of Malabar for the payment of an Annual Sum in compensation for the space from which the Todas have been excluded in Cotacamund and Pykeras for the lands without the Cantonment and directing the Coll.r to ascertain and define the extent of such lamps as are actually in the occupation of the Todas and that they should be maintained in possession of them on payment of the usual low grazing tax— Also stating in reference to a Board's letter of the 5th April 1841 that all taxes on the Neilgherry Hills must be held on the same terms as those in other parts of the Country—

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

under date the 17th September 1842.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Malabar—

Submitting a translation of the agreement concluded with the Todas to make over to the Government the land in the Cantonment of Cotacamund on the payment of an annual Quit rent of 150 Rupees from the ensuing Fusly (Here enter 13th July 1840) and suggesting whether the rent should not be paid in arrear from the 27th December 1836 the date on which it was first resolved that compensation should be made to the Todas—

Also Memorandum by the Acting Principal Collector of Malabar—

Offering his opinion that, all that the Todas have a right to expect for the land on the Neilgherries is that the portion

portion for which they do pay a tax should be guaranteed to them, and with regard to the remainder the rule which prevails in Malabar may be fairly applied, that is, the Todas might be allowed the proprietary right to the whole of the soil, but it might be insisted that they should either pay a tax for it, or failing that, allow it to be occupied by those who would pay the assessed rate, receiving however as in Malabar, 15 per Cent of the profits as the land lords share— (Here enter 16th June 1840)

Also the following papers.

× × ×

From the Secy. to the Board of Revenue—

Submitting with reference to the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st September last a correspondence with the Coll.r of Madras and Register of Government Grants and the Advocate General and stating that under the circumstances stated by Mr. Norton it would be advisable that a new form

form of lease should be prepared to apply to the lands on the Neilgherry Hills included in the arrangements recently made by Government with the Todas and that Grants should be issued to the present Proprietors in lieu of those under which they now hold- also stating that the form of certificate proposed by the Advocate General to be issued to Mr Sullivan for certain lands purchased by him from the Todas would be sufficient to answer the purpose for which it is required.—

(Here enter 5th April 1841.)

Para 1. The papers above recorded relate to the rights of a particular class of the inhabitants of the Neilgherry Hills, derived from their long occupation of the lands or of portions of the lands on the summits of the mountains; and to the manner in which compensation is to be made to them, with reference to these rights on certain localities occupied by Government for public purposes or by individuals either by permission of Government or by engagements with the Todas themselves

See Extract Minutes of Cons: 19th February 1830 6th October 1835-

themselves- And the difficulty now experienced in dealing with the subject is explained by the fact that in the previous discussions upon it, the exact nature and value of these rights have never hitherto been fixed-

Extract Minutes of Cons: 6th Octr 1835 Para 6-

2- It is true it has been declared that the Todas should not be disturbed in the possession of the lands held by them long as they paid the taxes at present contributed by them- that private persons should not appropriate such lands without their consent- or Government take any part of them for the public purposes without compensation-

Des: 19th April 1837 Para 14-

and that the Honorable the Court of Directors have "approved of the determination to respect the immemorial rights of the Todas over those tracts of which they retain the possession for the purpose of pasturage-

Memo: 16th June 1840-

But it is sufficiently clear from Mr Conolly's memorandum, that the portion of the land referred to as in their possession is still undetermined, whilst the observations of the Honorable Mr

Mr Lushington in the Minute above recorded and the opinions of the Advocate General in the communications forwarded by the Board of Revenue point out the tendency of the present state of the question to produce litigation and shew the necessity of obtaining a precise and legal understanding of the various rights and interests concerned.

24th Octr 1840 See particularly paras 8-9 3d February 1841 22-24-47

3. The former resolution of Government adopting the views of the Board of Revenue, founded on Mr Sullivan's Minute, was intended chiefly to provide for the Settlement of claims at Ootacamund and its vicinity and sufficient attention does not appear to have been given to its bearing on the more extensive colonization of the Hills. The proposals lately received for the grant of wide tracts of waste to be converted into Coffee plantations, &c. involving large outlays of Capital, invest the question with additional importance and call for the speedy adoption of arrangements by

6th October 1835.

which in the words of the Honorable Court, when last adverting to the matter, "the rights and interests of both parties may be reconciled."

Dis: 10th April 1839 para 38.

After an attentive consideration of the whole of the voluminous correspondence that has passed, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, is unable to perceive in what respects the rights of the Todas in the soil, differ, save as affected by the peculiar state of society in which they live, from those of the inhabitants of the plains. They have always paid a land tax to the existing Government of the Country, and this was a pasture tax, only because the Todas were a pastoral half nomade tribe, unacquainted with agriculture. There can be no doubt that the same Government would have exacted a heavier and more regular assessment if the Todahs had betaken themselves to tillage, in the same way as a similar demand

demand was enforced from the Burghers-
With regard to the payment styled "Goodoo"
made by the Burghers to the Todas it is
observed that similar payments are made
to other tribes and that the former Principal
Collectors of Coimbatore did not consider
them to imply any admission of superiority-
Those paid to the Curumbars are certainly
not claimed by them in return for any
service whatever and from the prominent
part taken by that race in all the
agricultural operations of the Burghers,
coupled with the universally received
tradition that the Curumbars were the
first known possessors of the whole of
Southern India it is fair to infer
that they have an equal if not a superior
claim to antiquity and priority of occupa-
tion - Be this as it may, His Lordship
in Council can perceive no traces of the
complicated rights and tenures peculiar
to Malabar which can only arise out of a
highly civilized and artificial state of Society

But

x Mr Sullivan's Minute quoting Captn Harkness p.p. 56-7-102-109-136.

J. Ellis on Marupoo Rights Appx 1 Report on the Mackenzie Mss. S.S.

But as the interests of a purely pastoral
tribe in the grazing lands of their neigh-
-bourhood are evidently of more value
and importance to them than the mere
"Common-right" of pasturage in the
waste lands of an agricultural Village,
can be to the cultivating ryots. they
require to be treated in a somewhat-dif-
-ferent manner-

5. His Lordship in Council
therefore resolves to sanction the arrange-
-ment of the Collector of Malabar for
the payment of an annual sum in
compensation for the space from which
the Todas have been excluded in Ootaca-
-mund and likewise for the lands
without the Contonment but he directs
that this disbursement be distinctly made
as "compensation" and that the terms "Quit
rent" "Goodoo" or other words of similiar
import be carefully avoided.-

6- His Lordship in Council is
further of opinion that the Collector should
ascertain

ascertain and define the extent of such lands as are actually in the occupation of the Todas and that they should be maintained in possession of them on payment of the usual grazing tax as it has been or may hereafter be determined by the Circar. But he considers that these rights should not be suffered to bar the progress of improvement or to hinder the application of the land to more useful and valuable purposes. It will be sufficient to provide that the Todas shall in such cases receive as now, a compensation, or as Mr. Conolly proposes, a share of 15 per Cent on the Net profits when under tillage. But the necessity for carrying out this arrangement will not soon arise and it is to be hoped that before it arrives, the Todas will have been brought under the influence of more settled habits, and thereby have secured for themselves a more permanent and beneficial hold on the lands they occupy.—

7. With reference to this point Mr. Conolly will state what steps

steps have been taken for the education of the population of the Hills with reference to the instructions in the Honorable Court's dispatch of the 19th April 1837.

8. He will also be pleased to ascertain and report whether there is any foundation for the rumour that the practice of female infanticide still continues to be observed.

9. Resolved also that the Board of Revenue be informed with reference to their communication of the 5th April 1841. That His Lordship in Council does not consider a new form of Lease to be required and that all lands on the Hills must be held on the same terms as those in other parts of the Country.

10. Resolved further that the Magistrate be required to report whether he has reason to believe that the Tahsildar on the Hills lives free of cost at the expence of the inhabitants and that he be instructed to apply to Colonel King the late Commandant for such

such information as he may be able to give on the subject reporting, at the same time the fitness of the Tahsildar for his present Office.

11. Resolved also that copies of these papers be transmitted by the earliest opportunity to the Honorable the Court of Directors with reference to their despatch of the 10th April 1839.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot.
Acting Secy to Government.

5-6 Resolution thereon-

To Malabar-

Resolved that copy of the foregoing paper be transmitted to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance.

Mr. Conolly's particular attention is requested to the directions of Government in Para 5, he will also be pleased to submit a report as to the extent and limits of the tract occupied as grazing ground by the Toders, and the arrangements necessary in pursuance of the sentiments conveyed in Para 6.

Mr. Conolly is also requested to report on the subjects noticed in Paras 7, 8 and 10 at his earliest convenience.

To Madras.

Ordered also that copy of the above Extract from Minutes of Consultation with the omission of Paras 7, 8 and 10 be furnished to the Collector of Madras, and that his attention be particularly called to Para 9, with reference to the letters noted in the Margin from the late acting Collector.

* June 25th 1840 in Cons: 30th July
August 10th 1840 in Cons: 10th —
October 31st 1840 in Cons: 3d Dec.
February 8th 1840. in Cons: 25th Feby

J.G.B.

Read

Read the following resolutions-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th. October 1842.

(From Board 8th. Sept.r)

7 From the Actg. Secy. to Government.- His Lordship in Council is of opinion that the objections urged by the Principal Collector of Salem to the course recommended by the Commander of the Forces for the payment of the Details at Royakotah are good and sufficient. That measure will therefore be abandoned, but instructions will be issued through the Military Department to the Officer in Command of the Details to depute a trustworthy person to receive charge of the money at the Treasury.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.-

7. 8 Resolution thereon- Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Salem, with reference to his letter of the 19th. August last.

Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation -
dated 4th. October 1842.-
(From Bs. 26th. September)

(9)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government.- Under the circumstances stated, His Lordship in Council grants special sanction for the admission of the charges - incurred by the Collector of Guntoor - for conveying a party of Officers and - followers across the Kistna River, amount - ing to Rupees (22-9-10) Twenty two, Annas nine and Pice ten, the same being debited to Military Books.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot -
Actg. Secy. to Govt.-

(9-10)

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that a transcript of the foregoing Order of Government be - communicated to the Collector of Guntoor for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 15th. Septem - ber 1842.- No. 87.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 11th. October 1842.
(From Bs. 26th. September)

(11)

From the Actg. Secy. to Govt. - His Lordship in Council sanctions the charge of Rs. (4.9-12-5.) Forty-

Forty nine Annas twelve, and Pice - five, being the amount of Batta paid by the Collector of Chingleput, to a Goomastah deputed to the Board's Office for the purpose stated in the - foregoing letter.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

(11-12)

To The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput.-

To The Collr. in the Zillah of Chingleput.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the charge - of Rupees 4.9-12-5 being the amount - of Batta paid by you to a Goomas - tah deputed to this Office as reported - in your letter of the 17th. September - last.-

From Govt. 11th. October 1842 in Cons. 17th. - No. 1212.-

In Cons. 26th. Sept.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th. October 1842.-

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.-

Read

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th October 1842.

(From Board 29th Sept.)

13

From the Actg Secy to Govt. Agreeably to the recommendation submitted in the foregoing Proceedings, His Lordship in Council authorises the Collector of North Arcot to resume payment of the Yeomiah of Sakeenah Bee from the date of her first application to him, which date the Collector will notify to the Civil Auditor.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt.

13-14

Order thereon. Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated to the Collector of North Arcot for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 14th September 1842 No. 147.

Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th October 1842.

(From 3rd October)

15

From the Actg Secy to Government. His Lordship in Council authorizes the Collector of South Arcot to disburse the arrears of Cauil pension reported to be due to Andeapah Soora Bundary from the 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 amounting to Rupees (798-1-9) Seven hundred and ninety eight, Annah one and Pice nine.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

15-16

To The Collr in the Southern Divn of Arcot.

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to communicate to you the authority of Government for the disbursement of the arrears of Cauil pension due to Andeapah Soora Bundary from the 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 Amounting to Rupees 798-1-9. as reported in your letter of

In Cons. 3d. October 1842

of the 16th. September 1842.

You will be pleased to cautions this individual to be punctual in future in drawing his pensions.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 17th October 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th. October 1842.

(From Bo. 26th. Sept.)

17

From the Sec. to Government. His Lordship in Council sanctions the payment to the Chief of Mahé of the Moiety of the Ferry Farm on the Mahé River for Fusly 1251 amounting to Rupees (695) Six hundred and ninety five.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot

Asst. Sec. to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

17. 18

To the Coll. of Malabar

In Cons. 26th. Sept. 1842.

To The Collector of

Malabar

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 14th. September last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to

From Govt. 11th. Oct. 1842 in Cons. 17 No. 1215.

to inform you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the payment to the Chief of Mahé of the moiety of the Ferry Farm on the Mahé River for Fusly 1251 Amounting to Rupees 695.

Revenue Board Office Fort St George 17th October 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th. October 1842.

(From Bo. 22d. Sept.)

19

From the Asst. Sec. to Government. In accordance with the principle of reduction laid down in the letter to the Board of Revenue dated the 20th. March 1821, No. 244, the Most Honorable the Governor in Council authorises the Collector of Madras to pay to Sumee Beebee and Kareem Beebee, the Widow and daughter of the late Uzeem Hussain of the family of the Satumpank Jagheerdar an allowance of Rupee (1-5-4) One, annas five and Pice four

per

per Mensem commencing from the 1st. June 1842.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

(19-20.)

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 13th. September last No. 78.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th. October 1842.
(From Bd. 12th. Sept.)

(21.)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government— The Most Honorable the Governor in Council approves the course of proceeding recommended by the Board of Revenue in respect to the Loom Tax of the Ammahpollium Jaghire in the Salem District and authorizes the same being adopted. The Board will consider whether this course should not also be followed in respect of the Mohturphia taxes, the authority to collect which on the part of the Jaghiredar is not established.—

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered

Order thereon.— Ordered that copy of the foregoing resolution of Government and of the letter on which it issued, be furnished for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Salem, and that he be directed to attach the Loom tax and Moturpha of the Ammapollium Jaghire, until the production of the original grant by the Jaghiredar shall enable Government to determine as to the validity of his claim to those duties.—

12th. Sept. 1842.

(21-22)

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(23)

From the Coll.r of Ganjam.

Letter to the Board of Revenue dated 6th. January 1885. Dis.d from Dis. 22nd. Dec.

Referring to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Paulooy Ramajoghee, the Proprietor of the small Estate of Sowdam in this District died on the 4th. September 1842 without issue. The deceased was a widow, and her father named

Capela

Capela Ramdas Puntoolo, being the nearest of Kin, has applied to me to have the Estate registered in his name. There appears to me to be no objection to compliance with his application and I beg to request the authority of the Board for registering the Estate accordingly in the name of Capela Ramdas Puntoolo.

Ganjam District,
Collectors Cutchery,
Ganjam 1st Octr. 1842.

(Signed) R. H. Bannerman
Collector.

Order thereon.

23 - 24

Ordered that the Estate referred to in the foregoing letter be registered in the name of Capela Ramdos Puntoolo the father of the deceased.

1928

30th October in Fons 22d November 1842.

25

Proceedings thereon Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1250.

Read letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam reporting on the Land Revenue of the District for Fusly 1250. Para 1. Of the state of the season the Collector says no more than that the N. E. Monsoon failed entirely; the character of the earlier part of the season is not noticed. Large importations of Paddy from Arracan prevented in

in a great measure the distress which would otherwise have resulted. Vizagapatam like the adjoining District of Rajahmundry, has been converted by the late adverse seasons from an exporting to an importing country. There was a great deal of sickness during the year. Small pox and cholera having been very prevalent after a long succession of very disastrous years, it is highly gratifying to find the prospects of the season of Fusly 1251 so promising as the Collector describes them.

Fusly
1249-2,11,81-8-9.
1250-1,89,191-2-6.

2 Only a small portion of the Revenue of this District is payable immediately to the Collector; of this portion part is rented, and part is under Ryotwar management. In the Ryotwar Villages a net decrease is shewn of 22,313 — 3: but part of this is only nominal, being the result of transfers of Villages from one plan of settlement to the other. The real decrease is 21,985 — 7 — 3, being the difference

difference between an increase of 1,320-10-4 in the Palcondah Talook, and a falling off of 23,306-1-3, in the southern Talooks, from want of rain. For although the same failure was experienced throughout the District, yet its ill effects, so far at least as regards the Revenue and the resources of the land owners, were not felt in Palcondah, because that Talook is watered by a River which never fails, and the Revenue system is such that, in a year of scarcity, the rise in price is exhibited as a set off against any slight deficiency of Produce.

3. Three rented Villages whose leases had expired were transferred to Aumany management in Fusly 1250, their aggregate rented Beriz was 372 Rupees and their Aumany settlement is stated at precisely the same. The Board wish to be informed how this coincidence happened, how the amount of the Aumany demand was determined, and how much of it was realized.

*Sumbadah	240.
Pennikoy	25
Cottapallah	107
	372

There

There was an increase of 4-365-11-8 in the Beriz of the rented Villages; but this, like the decrease in the Aumany, was almost wholly nominal. The Statement given by the Collector in Para 10 of the Demand and Collections in the two Villages of Pantapandoo and Coodapillyes rather startling. During the four years from Fusly 1246 to Fusly 1249 the proportion of the Collection to the demand fell off in a most surprising manner. It is difficult to believe that this could have occurred to any thing like the same degree under vigilant supervision, and this impression is strengthened by the fact that the amount of the rents of these Villages in Fusly 1250 the first year of a new system

Village names	Fusly	Demand		Collection		
Pantapandoo	1246	560	0	436	11	10
	1247	550	0	340	8	0
	1248	566	6	230	12	0
	1249	579	9	43	9	0
Coodapilly	1246	120	0	13	11	0
	1247	120	0	2	0	0
	1248	120	0	1	0	0
	1249	120	0	0	0	0

*Pantapandoo	451
Coodapilly	40
	491

far

far exceeded the highest revenue obtained from them in any of the Fuslies above specified. The present Acting Collector is requested to furnish the Board with an account of the Revenue of these Villages for the ten years previous to Fusly 1246, shewing the plan of settlement, the demand and the collections in each year. He is requested also to state what he considers to be the cause of the falling off above noticed.

5. The variations in the amount of the Mocasah and cuttubady receipts need no particular remark. But the Board observe that no reduction in the amount of a Cuttoobady, such as that alluded to in Para 12 in the case of M. Vencatachariar, ought to have been made without the Board's sanction; and they desire the Acting Collector to explain under what circumstances it was granted, and whether it is the only instance of the kind.

The

6. The aggregate of all the items of Land Revenue in the Government Estates shews a decrease of 21990-6-3, there is a slight increase in Palcondah from the causes noticed above, but a decided falling off in all the other estates.

Fusly 1249-2,1481-8-9
1250-18,9191-2-6.
21990-6-3.

out of 1,89,191-2-6 the total demand, 1,83,416-10-0 was collected within the Fusly, leaving a balance of 5,774-8-6. The Collector hoped to be able to recover within Fusly 1251 that part of this balance which was due from the Palcondah and Golcondah Estates, amounting to 3,842-0-11, but a reference to his demand and Collection Returns shows that he only succeeded in realizing 1,987-1-8 within that period from all the Estates.

7. With reference to Paras 19 and 20 of the Report, the Board desire the Acting Collector's attention to the Esctract from their

their Proceedings dated October 7th. 1841. Mr. Smollett is requested to use his endeavours to effect an amicable and equitable settlement of the channel dispute, in which the Board hope that he will meet no insuperable difficulty.

8. The arrears in the Government Estates amounted at the beginning of Fusly 1250 to 10,810-10-7½ of this sum 1,648-10-2. was realized during the Fusly, and 551-10-8 was written off agreeably to the sanction of Government – a further sum of 476-11-10½ was permitted to be struck off, but the amount actually cancelled under this sanction was only 371-8-10, the difference having probably been collected in the interval. Of the remaining sum 8,238-12-11 the Collector recommends that 2,666-11-2 should be written off, being the proportion due from deceased, deserted and poor Ryots, and the Board beg to submit this recommendation for

Extract minutes of Cons. July 29th. 1840 in Cons. 20th. Augt.

Extract minutes of Cons. dated 30 Augt. Para. 4 Letter to 1 Sept.

Statement F Column 9.

for the favorable consideration of Government. The residue 5572-1-9 is stated to be recoverable.

x Para. 46. The Board approve of the means practised in Vizagapatam for ensuring that remissions of arrears should benefit the parties for whom they were intended; they think, too, that additional security would be obtained, if when the nature of the balances is investigated at the Jummabundy previously to recommending remission, each defaulter were interrogated as to the amount which he actually owed.

9. The net Collections from the Government Estates exceeded their permanent Settlement by 32,646-14-5, this excess was increased by Collections up to the end of Fusly 1251 to 34,634-0-1. The increase was in Palcondah and Golcondah, the Revenue of the former of which estates under Ananary is considerably more than

Permanent Settlement	130545-8-4	
Collections	16919-6-5	
	32646-14-5	

than double and of the latter nearly half as much again, as their respective permanent settlements. These two fine estates became the property of Government by forfeiture for rebellion. Of the rest all but Hoonjiram were put to sale for arrears and brought by Government not many years ago; the revenue derived from all is this year far below the amount of the permanent Settlement.

10 In concluding their remarks on the Settlement of the Government Estates, the Board are constrained to remark that the information given by the Collector is far less specific than it ought to be. An annual Revenue report should contain a concise but clear exhibition of the various particulars of the gross Summa; and of the remissions customary or occasional allowed from it; shewing the necessity for the latter, and the rules under which

J.J.

which they were granted. The Acting Collector is requested to attend to this in his Report for Fusly 1251.

11. The permanent demand on the zemindaries and proprietary Estates in the District is 10,477 50 Rupees; of which 8,83142-11-6 was realized within the Fusly

Realized within the year	1249 - 974186 - 12 - 4	
	1250 - 883142 - 11 - 6	
		91044 - - - 10

being 9,1044 - - - 10 less than in Fusly 1249; a falling off which is attributable to the badness of the season. The payments after the conclusion of the Fusly were large, and the outstanding balance was reduced at the period of the Collector's

Para 30 report to 32,374 - 10 - at the close of Fusly 1251 the balance of Fusly 1250 was still 31,594 - 2 - - The Dimlay proprietor having died, his engagement to liquidate his arrears within the year was not fulfilled; no part of it was paid, and a large balance having accrued against him for Fusly

Sept 5th 1842 - 1251 the Board authorized the acting Collector

Collector to sell the Estates.

12. The Board regret that the Madigole proprietor is again becoming irregular in his payments; no part of the demand against him at the date of the Collectors' report was satisfied at the end of Fusly 1251. But Mr. Smollett has since come to an arrangement with the proprietor, under which the balance is to be discharged in four years. The Board hope that this engagement will be fulfilled as the Estate is a very profitable one, and the arrears are owing only to the extravagance and consequent pecuniary difficulties of the proprietor.

† letter Augt 10th 1842 Para 8. In Conl. 29th

* Para 38

The Board expect the promised report regarding the Coorpaum Estate now under the Court of Wards, which has not yet been received.

13. It is not surprising that in such a season as that of Fusly 1250 is represented to have been throughout the

Vizagapatam District little progress should have been made in clearing off the balances against the estates of Pudmanaba Rauz. It is satisfactory to observe however that though the Collections in Velchoor Codoor and Cottoor were below the amount of the current Peishcush, yet in Condah Kurlah a considerable sum remained after satisfying the demand of the year, and was carried to the credit of the old arrears. As Fusly 1251 is stated to have commenced very favorably, and is believed to have continued so, the Board hope to find that the result of the management in that Fusly has been a considerable reduction of the balances.

† Condakerlah Velchoor Codoor Cottoor.

* 5729-0-6

14. It would have been more satisfactory if the Collector had furnished a separate report on these estates, the information contained in his general report is too limited to be of any use in affording a view of their management and

and resources. The Acting Collector will be pleased to submit a more detailed account of these for Fusly 1251 in a separate report. In the Statements for these estates now submitted, the Collector has entered the "atookhoopody" or extent of land which the Ryots engaged before the Talook authorities to cultivate, as the demand; and if such is the practice in the Zemindaries &c there is no objection to this proceeding merely as a matter of account. But the demand against the Ryots, so long as the estates are under the Collectors charge, must be limited to the &c amount of the net settlements and no part of the difference between that &c and the atookhoopody must ever be added to the burden of the Ryots. The Board infer that the concluding remark in para 39 relates to the Balance of the net &c settlements and not of the Atookhoopody; and care must be taken in levying these old &c balances that that is not exceeded.

The

15. The old arrears in the &c Zemindaries at the close of Fusly 1250 amounted to 2,24,573-8-2. Government have allowed three years for the liquidation in as many equal &c instalments of the whole of these balances except those against the three Estates under management, and that against Ponchepentah which latter it appears will require still more time. Under the disastrous circumstances of the season, the Board think that Mr. Arbuthnot exercised a judicious forbearance in suspending the collection of the one third leviable in Fusly 1250, they trust that his expectation of the realization of the Current Peishcush and the first instalment of the arrears in Fusly 1251, has not been &c disappointed.

* Report for Fusly 1249 Para 38.

Para 15

16. The Board have much pleasure in noticing Mr. Arbuthnot's strong testimony to the

the good effects resulting from the establishment of the Government Agency in Vizagapatam, in improved security of Person and property and the tranquillity of the Country.

17. The Board have before them the Collectors proposed Hookoommanah for the Revenue Survey of his District, on which they will be able very shortly to furnish him with final instructions.

18. In conclusion, while observing with pleasure the favorable terms in which Mr. Arbuthnot speaks of his Assistants, and especially his principal Assistant Mr. Conway the Board are pleased to be able to record their own sense of the success with which he himself administered the affairs of the Vizagapatam District during the Fusly under review.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's

Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government, and that a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam for his information and guidance.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(26) From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.- Statement shewing the total Amount of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in Fusly 1251 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

(27) From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Forwarding Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in Fusly 1251 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

(26-28) Order on the two above Statements.- Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter

(29) From the Head Asst. Magistrate in charge of Masulipatam

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Madras

Sir,

Para 1 I regret to say, The cholera having commenced its ravages in

From 8th to 30th September 1842.
Total number of deaths from cholera as per official returns 200
Recoveries 251
Total number attacked 451

in this Town as well as in so many other parts of the District in the latter end of August last, the late Acting Magistrate with the concurrence of the Zillah Surgeon deemed it expedient to appoint 5 native Doctors and to station them one at each of the 4 Tannahs in the Pettah and one in the Fort for the distribution of Medicine to Patients. I propose to give them the pay of 12 Rupees a Month each as was the case on former similar occasions.

This being a case of emergency these persons were entertained 2 on the 8th / 1 on the 13th / 1 on the 14th Ultimo, and one on the 1st October without waiting for sanction which I now request the Board will be pleased to obtain

Masulipatam Magistrate's Court 6th October 1842.

/ Signed / C. C. Ward
Head Asst. Magte in charge

Ordered

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought
Read again in Cons. 27th Octr. / forward at a future day.

29-30

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

31

From the Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor /

With reference to the Board's remarks on Item No. 22 of the Statement of charges incurred in the 4th quarter of Fasly 1251 received with your letter of the 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board that the Aumeen of Condaveed was ordered by the Collector on the 9th of November 1839 to advance the sum of 4 or 5 Rupees for a bullock to be furnished, as a bait, to a Shikaree; and to recover the amount from the reward for the first tiger that might be destroyed by him. On the 27th December in the same year the Aumeen reported that the bullock was dead, and no tiger having been killed the advance was not recovered

no

no reason appears why the charge was not submitted for sanction sooner, except through inadvertence.

Guntoor, - - - - -
Coll.r Cutcherry, - -
6th October 1842.

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Collector.

Resolution thereon.

(31 - 32)

Under the explanation conveyed in the foregoing letter the Board sanction the charge of Rupees 4 / Four incurred for the purchase of a bullock furnished as a bait to a Shikaree and entered in the 4th Quarterly Statement of contingent charges for July 1251 similar charges being unauthorized should not be incurred in future.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

Read the following letters.

(33)

From the Principal Collr of Nellore, - - -

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to Para 2 of the Board's Proceedings dated the 8th August

August last, I have the honor to state for the information of Government that the Zemindary of Choondy has been placed in possession of the Zemindar Caumy rayany Bungaroo Mootharauze on the 22d of that Month and as he appeared personally before me on the 10th Ultimo and executed the counterpart of the Sunnud or Cabooleyat, the Sunnud i Nilkent Istimrar furnished by the Government through the

Fort St. George 17th October 1842

the Board, has been made over to him together with the net surplus proceeds which accrued on the Zemindary, amounting to 6. Rupees 4,3441-5-9--

Nellore,
5th October 1842.

Print. Coll.r Cutcherry,

/ Signed / T.V. Stonhouse
Print. Coll.r

Order thereon.-

(33 - 34)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of Government with reference to Para 4 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th July 1842.

To

S.G.B.

Fort St. George 17th October 1842

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(35)

From the P.l Coll.r of Nellore ---

Sir,

In the year 1829 the Calastry Zemindar filed an original suit against Abbas Ally Khan the late Jagheerdar of Woodiagherry and 17 other Defendants in the Zillah Court of Nellore for the recovery of 200 Goortoos of pasture land in the Villages of Doobagoonta a Shrotrium, and Rayavole, a Village belonging to the late Jagheerdar, of which 180 Goortoos are situated in the former and 20 Goortoos in the latter Village. The lands he stated to belong to his Village of Choutalheemanaram. He further sued for the recovery of 50 Rupees being the Poollary tax on the Cattle which had grazed on that land.

Forwarding Copy of the Zillah Courts Decree also Translation of the Appeal Petition and Draft of the Answer to be filed in the Provincial Court in the Appeal suit preferred against the Principal Collector and 17 others for the recovery of a certain extent of land from Rayavole a Village in the Woodiagherry Talook and requesting authority to defend the suit at the public expence.-

2 This suit was not adjudicated till after the death of Abbas Ally Khan when as the Jagheer had lapsed to Government the Northern Provincial Court on a reference made by the Zillah Court, ordered

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842.

ordered the Principal Collector to be substituted for the deceased Jagheerdar as a Defendant in the suit.—

3 On the 29th. June 1841 the Zillah Court passed its decree in favor of the Defendants who in June 1842.— appealed against it to the Provincial Court and the usual notice has been served upon me. I beg to forward Copy of the Zillah Courts Decree, also Translate of the Appeal Petition, and Draft of the Answer I propose to file if approved and at the same time request authority to defend the suit at the public expence. It is rather a singular one and I am surprised that it was considered admissible by the Civil Court. The Calastry Zemindar has not defined the boundaries of the tract of land he sues to recover— but the documents and evidence prove it incontestibly to be identical with land formerly the subject of a Civil suit between the Shrotriemdars of Doobagoonta and the Rajavole Villagers when by a formal decree it

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842

it was adjudged to belong to Rajavole. The Calastry Zemindar some time after comes forward and claims the land as belonging to his Village of Chouta Bheemavaram, though the Bheemavaram Villagers — at the time of the original suit between the Doobagoonta and Rajavole people addressed the Collector and also filed a Motion in Court stating that the Doobagoonta Villagers were the parties who have encroached upon their lands, not those of Rajavole.—

4 I have applied to the Provincial Court for a further period of one Month for filing the Appeal Answer which will take date from the 1st. Instant and trust that the Board will favor me with an early reply to this reference.—

Nellore, Principal Collectors Cutcherry 5th. October 1842.

/Signed/ T. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

No. 1.

Decree of the Zillah Udalut Court of Nellore

Original Suit - - - - - - - - - No. 86 of 1829

Plaintiff	Defendants
Maanada Rajaha Raja Damara Coomara — Vencatuppa Naidoo Bahadoor Pleaders	1. Moogancharloo alias Abby the adopted son of late Malatoory Bastchiah Vencata=

Pleaders

Ternacharloo and Aununtacharloo.-

Documents

A Copy of an Arzee addressed by the Doobagoonta Shrotrumdars to the Collector of Nellore and Ongole under date the 5th March 1826

B D. by D. to D. on the 25th October 1826

C D. by Coupaas and Curnums of Chouta Bheemavaram in the Paumoor seemah to the Collector on the 5th April 1827.-

D. Copy of an Arzee addressed by the Doobagoonta Shrotrumdars to the Collector of Nellore dated 6th April 1827.

E Copy of the report written by Potta Loodunda Ramareddy Ameen in No. 330 of 1827 to

2. Vencatacrishnamacharloo son of late Nalatoory Raghavacharloo.
3 Nalatoory Sashacharloo
4 D. Rangacharloo.
5 Raghavacharloo son of late Nalatoory Narasimhacharloo.-
6. Nalatoory Venkatacharloo
7. D. Streenevasacharloo.
8 D. China Narasimhacharloo.-
9 D. Narasimhacharloo
10 D. Annuntacharloo
11 D. Ramacrishnacharloo.
12 D. Streenevasacharloo.
13. Annuntacharloo son of late Nalatoory Tautacharloo.-
14. Nalatoory Varadacharloo
15. Comandoory Appalacharloo.
16. D. Narasimhacharloo
17. T. V. Stonhouse Esqre Principal Collector of Nellore who was made Defendant in lieu

to the Court on the 2d June 1828.-

F Cadjan Account called Haddao Patreca or Deed of limits regarding the Village Chouta Bheemavaram dated the 10th Magha Soodda year Nundana.-

Witnesses.

1. Ravoory Uckunnah
2 Chillara Vencatanursoo.-
3. Taulloory Bungarich.-
4 Choudoo Puttabhiramoodoo.-
5 Muddala Chenchoonaidoo.-
6. Mandereddy Boochereddy.-
7 Mandala Venganah
8 Damara Rungappanaidoo.-

lieu of Syed Abbas-ally Khan Bahadar under the orders of Provincial Court for the Northern Division as the latters Jaghier of Woodiagherry was permanently assumed by Government.-

18. Surwur Beebee the Widow of Mohuddeen Saheb Jagheerdar of Rajavole.-

Pleader for the 6th and 15th Defendants.-

Tautacharloo.-

Documents filed on behalf of Defendants from 1st to 16th.-

A Copy of the copy (filed in No. 330 of 1827) of an Account of measurement of Doobagoonta (the Defts. Village) made by Mr. Travers in the year 1803

B Copy of an order No.

No. 273 issued by the Col-
-lector to C. Singiah Taha
-sildar of Ravoor and
Varegoantapaud Talooks
dated the 20th April 1827.

C Copy of a Jareb eza-
-bitah or Account of
measurement made by
Caumaraugie Singiah
Tahasildar of the said
Talooks contrary to the
measurement of Mr.
Travers on the 4th June
1827 or Fusly 1236.

D Copy of a Caarnamah
executed in presence
of the above Tahasil-
-dar by Daumara Tim-
-manaidoo the Renter of
a Village Chouta Bheema-
-varam attached to Dau
-merlavaur, and by Moode
-reddy Vumaarreddy and
Taullaory Baschana Vu.
who are Caupoos and also
Chillara Vencatanurso
Vu. Curnums of the said
Village

Village on the 4th June 1827.
Documents filed on behalf
of the 17th Defendant.

E Copy of an English
Decree passed in No. 330
of 1827.—

Witnesses for Defendants
from 1st to 16th.

1 Caratee Calareddy.
2. Singareddy Adevereddy.
3. Somanarapoo Naugereddy.
4. Potreddy Chenchooreddy.

Witnesses for 18th Defendant.

5 Cheemala Booddareddy.
6 Cota Boyereddy.

On the 23d April 1829 the
Plaintiff, the Zemindar of Calastry sued the
Defendants 18 in number for the recovery of
200 Goortoos of pastura land situated in the
Western direction of the Village of Bheema-
-varam forming part of his Zemindary and
for 50 Rupees on account of Dollary tax
payable on the Lands for the year Surwajeetoo
corresponding with the year 1827 in which
a boundary dispute arose between Doopagoonta
the Shotriam Village belonging to the 16 first
Defendants and Rajavool the Village belong-
-ing to the 17th Defendant but in posseseion
and

and enjoyment of the 18th Defendant.—

2 In settling this dispute the Tahsildar of Ravoor and Varegoontapaudoo transferred 180 Gorroos on the West and north west side of Bheemavaram to Doobagoonta and about 20 Gorroos to the Village of Rajavole.—

3 To this settlement objections were made by the inhabitants of Bheemavaram, when on that occasion, the 13th and 14th Defendants represented that the lands of Bheemavaram had been included in their Village Doobagoonta, and those belonging to their Village had been transferred to the Village of Rajavole.—

4 The 16 first Defendants consequently preferred a Suit in No. 330 of 1827 against the 18th Defendant and others for land transferred from their Village to that of Rajavole. The claim was dismissed and in appeal no reversal of decision has taken place. The Amueen deputed by the Court in that number having measured the lands belonging to the Village of Doobagoonta including those of

of Bheemavaram in the same manner as done by the Amildar on a former occasion. Damagooroopanaidoo Renter of the Village of Bheemavaram presented a Motion to the Court objecting to the measurement made by the Amildar and Ameen, when he was instructed to seek redress by regular action.—

5 The first 16 Defendants deny that the ground claimed by Plaintiff ever belonged to the Village of Bheemavaram, or that the inhabitants of Bheemavaram ever made any objection at the time to the measurement of the Tahsildar who took an agreement from them, and measured the land in their presence.—

6 The Defendants also observe, that if the land of their Village were measured by the Amildar and Ameen in ten directions according to the Yarreek Account of Mr. Travers, there would have been no excess found in their Village.—

7 The 17th Defendant claims his right to 20 Gorroos included in his Village

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842

Village under decree of the Court, from which no reversal had taken place by the higher tribunals and contends that the same had been held by himself and the 18th Defendant uninterruptedly for several years.—

8— The 18th Defendant cor-
=roborates this statement.—

9— The reply and Rejoinder contain nothing material and the — pleadings abound with superfluous matter regarding the boundaries of their respective Villages.—

Decree on the first investigation.—

10 The point upon which this action rests is whether the land claimed belongs to the Plaintiff or to the other parties.—

This point has already been determined by Decree in original suit No. 330 of 1827 as regards the other parties and no reversal of decision has taken place by the higher tribunals.—

12 The Plaintiff has produ-
-ced 6 Documents and the 1st 16 —
Defendants 4 Documents.

13 Eight witnesses have been

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842

been examined for the Plaintiff, 4 for the 16 first Defendants and two for the 18th Defendant—

The witnesses of the —
14 Plaintiff are interested in the claim; and their evidence should be viewed on that account with great caution and circumspection—The witnesses differ — materially in their statements respect-
-ing the renting out of the disputed — lands for pasturage in former years by the renter of the Village, the 8th witness, whose house with Moochelkas, it is pretended were destroyed by fire, so that neither Cowles and Moochelkas are now forthcoming to support the claim of the Plaintiff. By the teste-
=mony of the 2d witness, the Cumum of the Village it would appear that the 8th witness has regularly paid to the Plaintiff the fixed rent of the Village without remission for the land now claimed so that in point of fact, the Plaintiff himself has sustained no loss by the land being in possession of other

persons

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842

persons - He therefore, has no grounds to complain, but the 8th. witness, the person who has paid the money and has been kept out of possession of his right.

15. While the case was agitated in original suit No. 330 of 1827 by the Villagers of Doobagoonta and those of Rajavole, the Plaintiff if he be entitled to the land in dispute, he should have preferred his claim thereto. By his not having done so, it is clear he could not establish his claim. If he was on pil= =grimage as stated to be the case, no= good reason appears for the 8th. Witness, who is the renter and the claimant re= =maining quiet.

16 The witnesses in behalf of the first 16 Defendants depose to their enjoyment of the ground here claimed by the Plaintiff for several years and no material contradictions are perceptible in their statements. The two Witnesses for the 18th. Defendant speak to the principal Villagers of Bheemavarani having

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842

having been present at the measurement made by the Tahseldar, and to their having approved of the measurement at that time.

17. The dispute between the Villagers of Doobagoonta = and Rajavole have been as = before observed determined by the Court of Justice and as regards them, the Court has no occasion to reconsider the sub= =ject of their controversies. The Plaintiff adverts to some repre = =sentation or other having been made to the Collector by the 13th. or 14th. Defendant, but this representation was

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842.

was made prior, and not subse-
-quent, to the measurement
made by the Tahasildar.

18. The Court does not consider the Plaintiff to have established his claim for the reasons aforestated to justify any alteration in the decision already passed in original suit No. 330 of 1827, and therefore the suit is dismissed with Costs.

19. This case was re-
-investigated under the orders of the Provincial Court in their Proceedings dated 20th. March 1840.

Decree

Fort St. George 17th. October 1842.

Decree on the re-investigation

20. The Sudder Judge having carefully reviewed all the proceedings connected with this case and having taken into consideration the Report of the Surveyor deputed to measure the lands is of opinion that the Plaintiff has totally failed to establish his claim. The Plaintiffs admit it will be observed have admitted there are no boundary stones at the places which they point out as the limits of the Villages and the marks which they allude to as shewing the boundary lines are only such as exist in all jungles. Plaintiff produced a Document purporting to be a list of the boundary marks drawn out many years ago, but has not brought forward any evidence to support this Document. The other Documents do not seem of much importance to the issue of the case. Document D, it appears was presented to the Collector previous to the measurement being finally made, and therefore cannot now be considered as objecting to what subsequently took place. The evidence of the Witnesses regarding the enjoyment of the land is so contradictory that no reliance can be put on their

Fort St George 17th October 1842.

their testimony and most of them are interested in the question at issue. The Ameen who was deputed by the Court to measure the Lands in dispute, instituted a regular enquiry on the spot and measured the lands in the presence of the inhabitants of the Villages of both parties and there appeared no doubt but the lands in dispute belonged to the Defendant's Villages. The Plaintiff claimed 180 Coorlies of land from the Shrotriumdars of Debagoontah but on examining the limits mentioned by Plaintiff the measurement amounted only to 129 Coorlies. Plaintiff — — claimed 20 Coorlies from Paikoods but on measurement according to his own boundaries it only amounted to 7 and odd Coorlies and these 7 and odd Coorlies are part of the land which was in dispute in No. 330 of 1827 under these circumstances the acting Judge dismisses the claim of Plaintiff and directs that all costs be paid by the Plaintiff. As the Ameen was employed nearly one months and had to travel a considerable distance the sum

of

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

of 40 Rupees is fixed as his compensation and this sum must be paid by Plaintiff.

Costs incurred by the 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th 10th 11th 12th 13th 14th 15th 16th Defendants during the first investigation of the suit —

Stamp duty on 2 Vakalats.	
namah papers under section XX	
Regulation XIII of 1816	1 — 0
Do on 3 Answer papers under	
Section XIX Regulation XIII	
of 1816	3 — 0
Do on 2 Rejoinder papers under	
Section Do Do	2 — 0
Proportional sum due to the Defendants out of the 5 Rs of	
the Pleaders fees	4-7-2
Stamp duty on 4 applications praying the admission of Documents	
under Section XVI Regulation XIII of 1816	4 — 0
Do on 3 Applications praying the	
attendance of the witnesses	
under Section XVII Regulation	
XIII of 1816	4 — 0

Stamp

Stamp duty on 6 papers required
for the Copies of documents under
Clause 1st Section XXII Regulation XIII
of 1816 ——— 3 — —
Batta paid for serving process ——— 5 — —
Costs incurred by the 6th and 15th De-
fendants during the pendency of
the suit ——— 26 — 7 — 2
Stamp duty on 1 Vakalutnamah
paper under Section XX Regulation
XXIII of 1816 ——— — — 8 — —
One third of the Pleaders fees ——— 1 — 10 — 8
Batta paid for serving precep ———
Costs incurred by late Syed
Abbaussully Khawn Bahadoor
(17th Defendant) during the first
investigation of the Suit ——— 4 — 2 — 8
Stamp duty on 1 Vakalutnamah
paper under Section XX Regulation
XIII of 1816 ——— — — 8 — —
Do on 5 Answer papers under
Section XIX Regulation XIII of
1816 ——— 5 — — —
Do on 1 Rejoinder paper under
Section Do Do ——— 1 — — —
Do on application praying

the

the admission of a document
under Section XVI Regulation
XIII of 1816 ——— 1 — — —
Proportionate sum out of the
5 Rupees of the Pleaders fees ——— — — 4 — 5
Stamp duty on 18 papers required
for Copy of a Document under
Clause 1st Section XXII Regulation
XIII of 1816 ——— 18 — — —
Costs incurred by the 18th Defend-
ant during the 1st investigation
of the Suit ——— 25 — 12 — 5
Stamp duty on 1 Vakalutnamah
paper under Section XX Regulation
XIII of 1816 ——— — — 8 — —
Do on 5 Answer papers under
Section XIX Regulation XIII of
1816 ——— 5 — — —
Do on 1 Rejoinder paper under
Section Do Do ——— 1 — — —
Do on a motion paper under
Section Do Do ——— 1 — — —
Stamp duty on 1 application
praying the attendance
of the witnesses under Section
XVII Regulation XIII of 1816 — 4 — — —

Proportionate

Fort St. George 17th October 1822

Proportionate sum out of the
5 Rupees of the Pleaders fees — " — 4 — 8
Batta paid for serving the Process — 3 — " — "
Amount of compensation due to
Mallavarapoo Rademoorty who
was deputed by the Court as Ameen
to measure the Land in dispute — — — — — 10 — 12 — 5
This sum to be paid by Plaintiff — — — — — 40 — " — "
Costs incurred by the Plaintiff — — — — — 113 — 2 — 8
during the first investigation
of the Suit —
Stamp duty on 4 Vakalulnamah
papers under Section XX Regulation
XIII of 1816 — — — — —

12179 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

Stamp duty on 8. Motion papers
under Section XIX Regulation
XIII of 1816 ——— 5-0-0
Batta paid for serving process ——— 1-8-0
The above Sum to be borne
by the Plaintiff ——— 9-2-8
——— 73-6-8
Fees deposited by the Plaintiffs
Pleaders Irmalacharlu and
Ramauntacharlu to be return-
-ed to them ——— 1-10-8
Fees deposited by the 6th and 15th
Defendants pleader Jaalachar-
-lu to be returned to him ——— 1-10-8

Given under my Hand and
the Seal of the Court this
29th day of June A.D. 1841
(Signed) W. Dowdeswell
Acting Judge

This Decree was signed and certified on the 27th
day of August 1841 and the period limitted
for preferring an appeal from it will expire
on the 27th November 1841.

(Signed) W. Dowdeswell
Acting Judge

J.G.R.

J.G.R.

12180 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

No 2.

To,
The Provincial Court of Appeal
Masulipatam.

Appeal Plaint presented by Woomaday
Rajaha Rajay Domara Coomara Vencatapa
Naidoo Bahadar appellant in No 45 of
1841 on the file of your Court against
the Respondents Nellatoory Bootcha-
-ah Veea.-

Your Court on referring to
the Record in Suit filed in your Court
in No. 1 of 1835, and to the evidence adduced
by the appellant, and to the arzees ad-
-dressed to the Collector by the 13th and
14th Respondents, considered that the
Respondents would have usurped upon the
disputed land either in whole or in part,
held proceedings on the 2d March 1840.
that an ameen should be deputed to
the land in dispute and a decision passed,
on ascertaining the whole state of the
case, and referred the matter to the
Zillah Court for a review of Judgment.
The Zillah Court instead of deputing as
an Ameen, a person who may be agreed
to

12181 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

to by both parties, and without informing my Vakeels that such a person is appointed as Ameen, deputed as ameen one Mulla=vapoorum Audemoorty who is greatly indebt=ed to Mooloomoody Narainreddy [a Cultivator of Pleader Nalatory Satacharloo] and who is an intimate friend of Satacharloo, who is one of the Shrotriumdars of Doobagoonta in dispute, the Younger brother of one of the Respondents and pleader on behalf of the Respondents in the Zillah Court. This Se=lection has happened thro' the intrigues of the Pleader Sautacharry. This Ameen combined with the Respondents, and acted very irregularly and partially in the Measurement &c. of the lands. I pre=sented a Motion to the Zillah Court setting forth all the circumstances attending the case, but the Court without taking it into their consideration and passing any orders thereon, dismissed my Suit on the 29th June 1841. and passed a decree in behalf of the opposite party, making an allusion of the grounds set forth by the late Judge, got the decree Copied fair on the 28th August 1841, and fixed the period for appealing. The

12182 Fort St. George 17th October 184

The grounds of the decree are as follows.-

1st. That the Plaintiff has totally failed to establish his claim

2nd That the Plaintiffs Witnesses have admitted, there are no boundary Stones at the places which they point out, as the limits of Villages and that the marks which they allude to as Showing the boundary lines are only Such as exist in all Jungle.-

3d That the Plaintiff has not brought forward any evidence to support the Document F. produced by him purport=ing it to be a deed of limits.-

4th That the other documents do not Seem of much importance to the issue of the case.-

5th That the Document D. appears to have been presented to the Collector previous to the Measurement being finally made, and therefore cannot now be considered as objecting to what Subsequently took place.-

6th That the evidence of the witnesses regarding the enjoyment of the land

is

Fort St. George 17th October 1842

is so contradictory that no reliance can be placed on their testimony, and most of them are interested in the question at issue.-

7th. That the ameen instituted a regular enquiry on the spot, and measured the lands and there appeared no doubt but the lands in dispute belonged to the Defendants and Villages.-

8th. That on examining the limits of the 180 Gortoos claimed from the Shrotreiundars of Doobagoonta the measurement amounted only to 129 Gortoos. and on measuring the 20 Gortoos of Land claimed from Rajavole according to the boundaries Spoken to by the Plaintiff, it amounted only to 7 and odd Gortoos- and that these 7 Gortoos and odd formed part of the land which was in dispute in Suit No. 330 of 1827.-

As the Suit has been inadvertently dismissed under the above 8 reasons, I now proceed to state herunder the

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.-

the Just and Satisfactory explanations for the Same.-

Answer to the 1st Reason.

I have adduced ample proofs as per points recorded for me by the 8 witnesses on my behalf, who are respectable and experienced persons, and by the documents marked ABCD and E, and by the deed of limits Marked F, that the land in dispute formed part of the lands situated within the limits on the four directions of Chowtabheemavarum appertaining to my Zemindary- and it appeared also that the land did not belong to Doobagoonta- while such is the case, the allusion made by the acting Zillah Judge that I failed to establish my claim, it will be clear, was quite an inadvertancy.-

Answer to the 2d Reason

The non existence of stones at the limits was the cause of others encroaching upon the lands and of the disputes- altho' there were no stones, Yet as the boundary lines and certain other marks were clearly to be seen, the witnesses were able to point them out

and

and some other circumstances appearing in the Record supported the fact of the boundaries- under such circumstances, the Acting Judges asserting that those marks are only such as exist in all Jungles it would seem is a mere Supposition.-

answer to the 3d reason.-

The Zillah Judge alludes in his decree that the deed of limits was drawn out many years ago and it would therefore seem difficult that the Witnesses of that period should be alive up to the present time- It will thus be evident that the assertion made that it has not been supported by any evidence is inadvertent, that enjoyment and other circumstances in proof thereof should be taken into consideration, that as it is out of question that there should be witnesses living to support a document which was drawn out 69 years ago- the reason recorded by the acting Zillah Judge is not right.-

answer to the 4th reason.-

All the documents produced by me in this suit are public documents which establish my claim and it will be evident

evident to you that the allusion that they are not important to the case is quite inadvertent.-

Answer to the 5th reason.-

The document D is one which was produced after the Tahsildar's measurement was finished but not previously This circumstance will be known from the document itself- The documents marked A. B. and C. support that marked D If these public documents attended with the admission of the Defendants ca. are inapplicable, what other documents would be of use. If therefore the Court peruses the document, it will be manifest that the opinion entertained by the acting Zillah Judge is not grounded on right principles.-

answer to the 6th reason.-

There are no contradictions perceptible in the evidence adduced on my behalf nor the witnesses are not trustworthy- The acting Judge has not even stated the particulars of the contradictions nor the reasons which induced him to disbelieve them- Most of the witnesses on my behalf, are those reputable persons-

persons who came from other Taloofs and got their cattle grazed, and entirely unconnected with any thing. It will be evident that the allusion of the 6th reason without even taking the evidence into consideration is not attended with propriety.

Answer to the 7th reason.—

As regards the Ameen, I have detailed in the first part of the Plaint as to what sort of man the Ameen is and the way in which he acted and presented a Motion to the Zillah Court about the things done by him. From which those irregularities will be known. However, I again Succinctly State them. The Ameen has not conducted either a regular enquiry or a just measurement. If the Zillah Court had immediately on my Starting objections about him deputed a proper person and examined it I would not only have had justice done to me but would not be exposed to this trouble. It is not right to State that there appeared no doubt but the disputed land belonged to the defendants Villages on the ground of the Ameen's Report

Subject.

Answer to the 8th reason.—

Subject to Several objections.—

The Ameen combined with the Respondents, and was very partial and altho' my people were objecting in many ways he employed Doobagoonta people to measure and to put Small pieces of wood between each measuring cord. got long pieces of wood made to put between each cord in order to lessen the extent, conducted a very intriguing measurement and with an intention of disproveing the complaint tried that the land which ought to be 180 Goortoos might amount to 129 goortoos, and that which ought to be 20 co. Goortoos might come to 7 Goortoos and odd. If on the other hand the lands had been measured justly the result would have nearly corresponded with the extent claimed. The 7 Goortoos and odd do not form part of the land which was in dispute in Suit No. 330 of 1827 and this circumstance was formerly proved by the witnesses on my behalf. The Ameen's measurement, therefore, cannot be considered valid. moreover, the Respondents Documents.—

12189 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.-

Document C purporting to be an account of measurement made by Tahsildar Cauma=
=ruzu Singiah, should an excess of 134 50/64 Coortoos in the lands of Doobagoonta, and the Document E filed on my behalf and which is the account of measurement of the lands made by Poolla Cotunda=
=rameredy who was the ameen in No. 330 above alluded to, exhibited an excess of 205 50/64 Coortoos while the excess according to the present ameen's measurement amounted only to 105 10/64 Coortoos. From these discrepancies it will be manifest that the lands were not regularly measured on and that the present ameen measured them irregularly. The ameen in his Report Stated that the land included in Rajavole in No. 330 belonged to Doobagoonta and that in case of the disputed land being included in Bheemavarum Village, it would occasion much loss to Doobagonta people From this circumstance alone, it would appear that the ameen being convinced that the disputed land belonged to Bheema=
=varum Village reported in that manner

with.

12190 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.-

with an intention of allowing Doobagoonta people to get my land in lieu of that included in the Rajavole Village. The ameen dexterously tried to report order to favor the Respondents on the mere verbal Statements of the Defendants who are inhabitants of Doobagoonta but as regards the two ponds called Casavolagany goonta and Yerragoonta Spoken to by the Villagers of Bheemavarum appertaineing to me, which do not at all appear in Mr. Travers Goodicut Accounts of the Doobagoonta Village and which from many proofs, appear to have been situated within the limits of Bheemavarum Village, the ameen has made his Report on a suppositi=
=tion, in Such a way that the Kypheyats given by Chalapaty Ruzu curnum of Doobagoonta and Some others to the effect that the disputed land belonged to the Village of Bheemavarum may not be of any use. This circumstance will convince that the ameen made an irregular Report from party feeling Moreover Doobagoonta is situated to the west and Bheemavaram to

the

the East of it. The Respondents in their Plaint in No. 330 above alluded to averred that the boundary limit of the three Villages of Bheemavarum, Rajavole and Dooba=goonta was situated to the South East of Casavoolaganygoonta lying in the land now disputed for, and that Fysulnamahs were formerly executed Amongest themselves to that effect and in accordance to it, they filed Plans in that Suit Exactly as the case stood while such is the case, Respondents, in the present case, claim contrary to their own Statement in the other Suit, that the said Casavoolagany=goonta together with Yerragoonta situa=ted at the distance of one Mile to the North east of it belongs to them. If the Court take into consideration what a deception it is as well as as other discrepancies, it will be clear that the Respondents having given themselves up entirely to irrega=larity have begun to defend litigiously with

with the view of taking possession of the disputed land belonging to my Village Bheemavarum, in lieu of their land which in Suit No. 330. was included in Rajavole Village, and that the Ameenah's Report which is attended with many or unaccuracies is utterly unworthy of belief. I therefore pray that your Court will take the foregoing or Reasons into your consideration, reverse the decision passed by the

12193 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

the Vellore Zillah Court and pass a decree in my favor as claimed by me 17th June 1842.-

/Signed/ Pleader Balejapully Balakristnasastoorloo.

Filed in Court this 20th day of June 1842.-

/Signed/ J. Walker

Acting 3d Judge

For Register-

/True translate/

/Signed/ P. V. Stonhouse

Prin. Coll.r

No.

12194 From Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

No. 3

No. 45 of 1841 on the file of the Provincial Court of appeal, Northern Division---

To the Provincial Court of appeal Northern Division, Masulipatam--

Appellant. Respondents.

Woomady Rajaha Rajay Damara Comara Ven catapa Naidoo Bahadur } Versus Natatoor Boobchia and 17 others.

Answer to be filed by the Government Vakeel of the Provincial Court on behalf of J. V. Stonhouse Esqre Provincial Collector of the Vellore Zillah, being the 17th Respondent in the above Suit--

As a perusal of the Record in the Original Suit will convince the Provincial Court that the appellants statement that a portion of the land in dispute was encroached upon by the Villagers of Rajavole is quite undeserving of belief it is not necessary to state at length any thing to disprove his statement but as the appellant has in supporting his claim laid in the original suit set forth in his appeal Plaint that the original decree was granted on 8 reasons and considers to have given satisfactory explanation for

for those reasons it has been considered essential to state briefly the objections to which his explanation is subject in as far as it regards the Village of Rajavole situated in Woodiagherry Talook under the immediate Management of the 17th Respondent.

1st The appellants 1st Witness in the Original Suit deposed that the Moorasadinna which the appellant (original Plaintiff) stated to be the joint boundary of the three Villages of Rajavole, Doorageonla and Bheemavarum was situated at the distance of 8 fathoms to the south of Woolendamanepootta while the appellant had stated it to have been situated to the North of it, and that there was no joint boundary stone on that moorasadinna to be seen from the time he had a knowledge of the world. The 2d Witness asserted that there was the boundary stone on that Dinnay. The 3d Witness deposed that there was Kalagaroogoo to the south of Woolendamanepootta which he was given to understand to be the joint boundary. The 4th Witness declared that he knew nothing about the boundary limits previous to the measurement made by Camaraudje Singiah, that when he

he appeared as a Witness in No 330 of 1827, he stated Pootta to be the Mooyyala or joint boundary instead of Dinnay and that the distance between the Pootta and Dinnay was 1 fathom. The 5th Witness speaks that the Pootta was situated at a distance of 10 fathoms from the Dinnay and that there was no stone on it for the last 7 or 8 years. The 7th Witness deposes to having seen the boundary stone on the Moorasadinnay 10 years ago, while the 8th Witness alleges that he had never seen a stone on the Dinnay. The evidence adduced by the appellant abounds with so many Contradictory statements as regards the important point of the Mooyyala or the joint boundary of the three Villages above alluded to, and it is left for the consideration of the Provincial Court how the 5th and 7th Witnesses were able to see the joint boundary stone, (the former 7 or 8 years ago and the latter 10 years ago) which the 8th Witness who is also an Inhabitant of Bheemavarum and who as a renter enjoyed profit and suffered loss from the Village had himself admitted never having seen the stone. Besides this the 1st witness stated that

that there were no other Goontahs or Ponds -- excepting Yerragoonta and Casavoolavane= =goonta on the land in dispute while the 2d -- witness asserted that there was no other pond excepting Yerragoonta; that the bed of the -- Casavoolaganegoonta was situated on the lands of Doobagoonta Village and that its bunds -- extended from North to South and the bed was situated to the west. The 3d Witness deposed that two ponds called Casavoolaganygoon= =ta and Gungeagoonta were situated in the disputed land, and that the bund of -- Casavoolaganegoonta was situated from east to west and the bed in Cumcha land. The 5th and 8th witness deposed that the bank of Casavoolagoonta was from east to west and the bed to the North. and the 7th and 8th witnesses spoke that the bed of Casavoola= =goonta was from East to North and the bund from west to South and that the goonta was situated in the lands of Bhee= =mavarum Village. Thus the evidence of the appellant is replete with discrepan= =cies. Some of the Witnesses had moreover --

contradicted

contradicted each others' statement as regards the distance from east to west and North to south of the disputed land, and there are contradictions also on some other import= =tant points. From this it will be manifest that the boundary limits of the lands in -- dispute spoken to by these Witnesses are false and that the witnesses are quite ignorant even of the exact site of the land. In the Documents A and B. which are arzees presented by the Doobagoonta Shrotriem= =dar to the former Collector of this District and filed by the appellant in the original suit there is nothing to show that any men= =tion was made about him or his Village in any way to favor his claim. In the Docu= =ment C. the boundary limit situated -- close to his Village and to Rajavole and Doo= =ba-goonta Villages was stated to be Casavoola= =ganegoonta. This part of this Document -- is quite at variance with his statement in the Original Plaint that Moorasadinnay was the joint boundary of Rajavole, Dooba= =goonta and Bheemavarum Villages, and with the Document marked E. invented to support

that

that statement - Moreover in this Document
O. it was only stated that 200 Gortoos of -
Bheemavarum Village were included in -
Doobagoonta lands but no allusion was
made in it about Rajavole Village - From
the Document D. it will be seen that the
Doobagoonta Villagers Complained that the
Tahsildar Camaraujo Singiah caused the
lands of Bheemavarum and Chundrapaud
Villages to be measured under Doobagoonta and
it appears from the Document E. that the
Bheemavarum people stated at that -
time that a certain extent of Land was in-
cluded in the Doobagoondah Village in its
North east direction - Thus it will be observed
that there is nothing in these two Documents
in support of the appellants claim as -
regards Rajavole Village which on the
contrary the Document E supports the de-
fence of 17th and 18th Defendants in the Origi-
nal suit -

As regards the Document
E. which was maintained to be the most im-
portant to the appellants claim it is
to be observed that the Documents -

was

was never spoken of before any authority -
previous to its having been filed in this
suit nor was it produced as it ought to
have been either at the time at which a
Petition was stated to have been presen-
ted to the Collector by Bheemavarum peo-
ple complaining that the Bheemavarum
lands were included in Doobagoonta Village
or when the ameen who made the report which is
the Document E. was deputed for enquiry or when
the renter of Bheemavarum presented a Motion in
No. 330 of 1827. and in Coctra No. 291 of 1828 of this
Court or at the time the Document D. filed on
behalf of the first 16 Defendants in the original
suit was executed by the Bheemavaram peo-
ple on the 4th June 1827 - Nor does there appear
the least reason for no allusion having been made
about this Document in any of them altho'
it may not have been actually produced -
There are therefore strong grounds to lead to the
inference that the said Document E. had
not been drawn out on the date which it
bears and the appellants claim has therefore
been weakened by the oral and Documentary
evidence adduced by himself -

The

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

2d Para The appellant even in order to support his unfounded claim ought to have necessarily inserted in the original Plaint the limits of the disputed land which he alleges to have been possible for the witnesses to point out and to have stated the particular Villagers who and when as he observed have removed the boundary stones, but such had not been the case - and the appellant who seems to have known that the nonexistence of boundary stones was the cause of others having encroached upon his land and that the people of the Villages removed the stones with the design of usurping his land should as Zemendar have taken the necessary steps at the time of the stones being removed to prevent its being done and has not even given any explanation whatever for his not having done so nor does he seem to have ever agitated the matter before any publie authority tell now -

3d Para If as the appellant observes no evidence is to be required to support the appellants' Documents E - which is neither a publie Document nor one which had at any time before been produced

before

Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

before any puble functionary and which is of such a nature as can probably and very easily be drawn out at any time the appellant may wish to do it, it is also a Matter of consideration that the people of all Villages situated around the appellants Village Bheemavarum would necessarily become sufferers when the Zemendar may wish to encroach upon the lands of these Villages on the ground of such Documents - Had the Document E been genuine one, the Villages of Poonogodoo between whom and the Bheemavarum Villages this Document is shewn to have passed, ought to have a Counterpart of it and it ought to have been produced in the Circar on the assumption because Poonogodoo is a Government shrotrium Village - If any such Document had really existed, it would no doubt have been adduced as a proof in this suit - but no such thing seems to have ever taken place -

Para 4th. The Documents which the appellant maintains have established his claim are in no ways of any use to the appellants claim as regards the Rajavole

Village

Village which fact being already explained in the 1st Para of this answer no further mention is necessary --

Para 6th The inaccuracies and contradictions in the evidence addaced by the appellants are innumerable - some of which have been already noticed in Para 1. among his witnesses the 1st is a nearest relation of the 2d witness who is Curnum of Bheemavaram the appellants Village under reference - The 4th Witness is the Vilge priest or Pourohetooloo of the Bheemavaram Village and the 5th 6th and 7th witnesses are also cultivators of the same Village while the 8th was a renter of that Village and one who was entitled to loss or profit as the case may be arising from the Village - which facts are of themselves evident from the depositions delivered by them - It is therefore clear that they were naturally subject to appellants orders and were actually obedient to him - Further the appellants 3d witness who seems to have rented the Cuncha forming part of the disputed land, Deposed that he was not present when the Cowle alleged to have been granted to him was drawn out

out that he had it not in his posession, that as Gooravapanaidoo renter of Bheemavaram Village had given the Cowle rolled up into his hands and as he had not read it he did not see what was the size of the Cadjan and that he did not execute a Moochilka being the Counterpart of the Cowle granted him - The 2d Witness deposed that both the Cowle and Moochilka were drawn out about the sale of the Cuncha to the 3d Witness that he was the writer of them; and that the Cadjan on which the Cowle was drawn out was a little less then 1 Cubit long in size -- The 5th Witness deposed that the 3d Witness was present when the Cowle was drawn out - These and such other contradictions are of themselves evident from the Records - under this consideration the Acting Judge did not deem it necessary to state them at length and has only noticed in his decree that there were contradictions in the evidence and so forth - The appellants objections therefore on this point are quite improper --

7th Para - Whatever may have been the way in which the enquiry and measurement were

were conducted by the ameen, it will be seen from the evidence itself of the appellants witnesses that that portion of the disputed land which the appellant alleges to have been usurped by the Rajavole Villagession situated within the limits distinguished by stones fixed by the Tahsildar formerly and was under the Village of Rajavole above all, that very portion of the disputed land was decreed to belong to the Rajavole Village in suit No. 330 of 1827 on the file of the Nellore Zillah Court. The appellants people in their motions and arzees presented to the public authorities before the institution of the present suit had only urged that Bheemavaram lands were included with those of Doobagoonta and those of Doobagoonta with Rajavole; but it was no where asserted by them that any portion of Bheemavaram land was encroached upon by Rajavole people while such had been the case the appellant has not at all stated in the Plaint the cause of his now claiming that 20 Goortoos of the disputed land was encroached upon by Rajavole people under these circumstances it

is clearly to be considered that the land which appears from the Original answer to belong to Rajavole appertains beyond all doubt to Rajavole.

8th Para. The 5th witness for the appellant in the Original suit stated that the land in dispute consistent of 100 or 180 Goortoos; of which 160 were under the Doobagoontah and 20 under Rajavole Villages. The 6th witness deposed that he heard the disputed land to have been 120 Goortoos, of which 100 Goortoos were included in Doobagoontah and 20 in Rajahvole Villages. Besides this it was stated (as remarked in part 1st of this answer) in the motion presented in Extra. No. 291 of 1828 that 200 Goortoos of Bheemavaram land was encroached upon by Doobagoontah people. In contradiction to this motion; the 8th witness for the appellant (who was the said motioner) declared that 180 Goortoos were included in Doobagoonta and 20 in Rajavole Villages. while such had been the decrepancies in the evidence on his behalf on most important points, it is improper in the appellant to state useless objections as regards the

12207 Fort St. George 17th October 1842.

the extent of the disputed land as found by
Measurement. It will be sufficient to state in
this place that it was not the province of the
Ameen deputed to measure the disputed
land in the original suit to have stated at
length certain objections quite irrelevant to
the Commission entrusted to him against the
Decision N. 330 of 1827 and as his useless
and improper observation on the said clearly
are of no avail, it has not been considered
necessary to state any thing in refutation
thereof.

In conclusion the Govern-
ment Vakeel prays for the 1st Respondent
that the provincial Court will take into their
deliberate and just consideration the whole
of the Original and appeal Records in the
case and confirm the Decree passed by the
acting Judge of Tanjore and direct the Appel-
lant to bear all cost incurred by the 1st
Respondent in the appeal suit.

(35 36)

Order thereon

(Read again in
Cons 27th October)

Signed J. H. Stonehouse
Pres. & Coll.

Ordered to be brought for-
ward at a future Meeting.

Read