2498/XII
72
16188/80.

11587. Fort St. George 4 October 1842.

Diary.

3 Oct. Sent letter following Ster. to the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

(1)

To the Coll.r in the Sn. Div.n of Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 16th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward by Bangy 10 copies of the printed form for the Monthly Statements of Demand, Collection and Balance, & further supplies will be sent as soon as the required number of copies are printed.

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
3 October 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Inv. Canc 26 Oct. 1842

DESTROYED ORIGINAL

At

Fort St. George 5th October 1842.

At a Meeting - Present:-

Thursday 6th October 1842.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member

G. D. Drury Esqr Second Member

and

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart - Acting Temporary Member.

Alexander Maclean Esqre 3rd Member.

Absent on leave

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

(2)

From the Act. Sect. to Govt.

Read the following Extracts.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th September 1842.-

Read the following Letter

From Officiating Secretary to the Government of India

To W. Elliot Esqre

Act. Sect. to Govt of Fort St. George

Dated, Fort William The 2 September 1842

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 999 Dated

Fort St. George 5th October 1842.-

dated the 15th Ultimo with its enclosures and in reply to state that under the explanation afforded of the cause of the increase, Viz: that 5 Estates have reverted to Government of the annual value of Rupees 42,362-4-. the Honorable the President in Council has been pleased to approve the sanction given by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council for maintaining the proposed Establishment for Fusly 1252 in the Rajahmundry District amounting to a charge of Rupees 11579-12-. per Mensem being Rupees 195 per Mensem in excess of the Moyen yahitah sanctioned under the order of the 22d April last.-

The original Enclosures received with your letter are returned herewith.-

(Signed) Fred. Sat. Halliday

Offg Sect. to Govt of India.-

Ordered, that the foregoing Letter be communicated to the Board

11590 Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Board of Revenue, and to the Offices of Account and Audit, with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th of August 1842 No. 998.-

Signed/ Walter Elliot
A.t Sec.t to Gov.t

(2.3)

Order thereon.- Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Order of Government, with copy of the letter from the Government of India which accompanies it be communicated for the information of the Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to the Board's order dated 29th August last.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th October 1842.-

(4)

From the A.t Sec.t to Govt.

Read the following letter.-

From Officiating Secretary to the Government of India

To Walter Elliot Esq.re
A.t Sec.t to Government
of Fort St. George
Dated Fort William
the 2d September 1842

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1000 dated

11591 Fort St. George 6th October 1842.-

dated the 15th Ultimo, with its Enclosures and in reply to state that in the judgment of the Honorable the President in Council the compound described in para 3d of the letter of the Collector of Sauth Arcot of 11th July last under the name of Saggery comes within the scope of Act XV of 1839 and if produced within the Company's territories is entitled to protection.-

2.- The original Enclosures of your letter are returned herewith.-

Signed/ Fred.k Jas. Halliday
Off.g Sec.t to Govt. of
India.-

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Proceedings of the 4th August last No. 339.-

Signed/ Walter Elliot
A.t Sec.t to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

(4.5)

To the A.g Chief Sec.t to Govt.

Sir,

The Board of Revenue regret

Fort St. George 5th October 1842.

regret to be compelled to address the Most Honorable the Governor in Council again on the subject of a

Sept. 2 1842

letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India re-

In Cons. 5 Oct. 1842

ceived with an order of this Govern-ment under date the 4th Instant.-

2.- Mr. Hallett's question which

Ex. Re. Aug. 4 1842 No. 339

was brought by the Board to the notice of Government was whether it was competent to him, by a liberal construction of Act XV of 1839, to give the certificate of origin prescribed by that Act, for sugar made in his District from raw material produced in an adjoining one. This point is not touched on by the communication from the Govern-ment of India above adverted to, and I am directed by the Board to request that you will lay the matter once more before the Most Honorable the Gover-nor in Council with a view to a decisive answer being obtained. The subject is one of general interest, and immediately affects, to a considerable

degree,

Fort St. George 5th October 1842

degree, the prosperity of the new sugar manufactories in the Southern Circle.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th October 1842.

Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

dated 4th October 1842

(From B 22 September)

6

From the Asst. Sect. to Government.- The Most Honora-ble the Governor in Council grants sanction for the Establishments maintained on account of the Pro-prietary and Minor Estates under management in the Rajahmundry Districts at a charge per Mensem of Rupees (1676) One thousand six hundred and seventy six.-

The sanction for Rupees 1616 granted on this account in para 2 of the Extract Minutes Consultation dated 16th August 1842 No 998, is cancelled.-

Signed/ Walter Elliot
Asst. Sect. to Govt.

Resolved

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a copy
(6-7) of the foregoing order of Government
be communicated for the information
and guidance of the Collector
of Rajahmundry with reference to
his letter of the 10th September last.—

Read the following letter
To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

(8)
From the Coll.r of
Rajahmundry
Sir,

With reference to Mr.
Sub Secretary Ranbetts Endorsement
of 8th July last made on the Petition
No. 837 of 1842 presented to the Board
of Revenue by Varadah Stree-
ramulu, I have the honor to
report for the information of the
Board, that the individual
in question never was my
regular Establishment but was
employed as Naik in the
Duffy Establishment of the
Bickavole Mootah attached
to the Reddapoor Zemindary,
when serious quarrels and dis-
putes occurred between
him and his Superior, the
Ameen of that Estate, in
consequence.

+ No Original and Enclosure herewith returned.—

consequence of which I was unavoid-
-ably obliged to remove him from
that situation.—

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Office
Cocanada 2d Sept.r 1842
} Signed/ G. A. Smith
Coll.r

Under the explanation
Order thereon.—
(8-9) furnished by the Collector in the
foregoing letter, the Board order —
that the following Endorsement
be passed on original Petition
No. 837 of 1842 therein returned.—

The Board of Revenue
having made a reference to the
Collector on the subject of this
Petition — see no reason to interfere"

Read the follow-
-ing Statements.—

Statement

1507.

Fort St. George 5th October 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 26th September to 2d October 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
5 October 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(12)

1506.

Fort St. George the 26th September 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 19th to 25th September 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
25 September 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(10)

1505.

Fort St. George the 19th September 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 12th to 18th September 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
18 September 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(11)

1504.

Fort St. George the 12th September 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 5th to 11th September 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
11 September 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(10)

1503.

Fort St. George the 5th September 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 29th August to 4th September 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
4 September 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(9)

1502.

Fort St. George the 29th August 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 22d to 28th August 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
28 August 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(8)

1501.

Fort St. George the 22d August 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 15th to 21st August 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
21 August 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(7)

1500.

Fort St. George the 15th August 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 8th to 14th August 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
14 August 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(6)

1499.

Fort St. George the 8th August 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 1st to 7th August 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
7 August 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(5)

1498.

Fort St. George the 1st August 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 25th to 31st July 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
31 July 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(4)

1497.

Fort St. George the 25th July 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 18th to 24th July 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
24 July 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(3)

1496.

Fort St. George the 18th July 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 11th to 17th July 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
17 July 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(2)

1495.

Fort St. George the 11th July 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beaches of Ennore from 4th to 10th July 1842.-

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842 -	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	Bshs	
Madras	300000	194634	105366	105366	Quantity of Salt Ships bespoken to the date of Cuddalore port to Bengal Measures 182394 Her Ship's Heroine in part of her application Ships spoken 66 Bshs 6240
					194634

N. B. In addition to 66 Bshs 10534 Brandy per exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bengal Measures 90866 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.-

No application was received during this week.-

Madras
10 July 1842

E: E: per /Signed/ A: Robertson
Collector

Ordered

(1)

Order thereon.—

(12: 13)

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.—

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(14)

From the Asst. Collr. of Masulipatam

Requesting authority to make advances for cultivation to the extent of 5000 Rupees to the Ryots in the Estates bordering on the Colair Lake which has received a large supply of water from the freshes of Godawary.—

Sir

3 Paras.— With reference to my letter of the 21st July last submitting Statement of Tuckavy disbursement proposed to be made in the Estates of Kykalaar and Boojabalapatam for fusly 1252, I have the honor to state that in consequence of the Colair Lake having received a large supply of water from the freshes of the Godaweary, it has became necessary to make further advances.—

2.— Since fusly 1245 the Lake has not received its usual supplies from the Godaweary, and the country surrounding it, which in former years, yielded abundantly, has of late years been completely dried up and the revenue has considerably deteriorated.

as

Revenue of Bitterguilly Purganah, consisting of Kykalaar and Boojabalapatam and Moatah independently of that of the Villages in other Purganahs bordering on the Lake which receive irrigation from it.—

Fusslies	Revenue
1243	40,206-16-"
1244	31,244-16-3
1245	20,105-6-"
1246	12,749-1-9
1247	13,268-7-9
1248	2,513-5-9
1249	14,522-6-10
1250	14,401-13-10
1251 (Estimate)	10,440-2-3

as shewn in the Margin.—

3 Great attention was paid by the late Civil Engineer Captain Bird to the improvement of this part of the District, and great benefit appears to have resulted from the repairs made to the channels according to his Estimate as the Lake has received a full supply of water and a material increase of revenue may be expected.—

To secure the full advantage of this supply however, it will be necessary to make large advances of Tuckavy more especially as no cultivation has been for a long time carried on under this reservoir, and the Ryots have become much impoverished—I would, therefore, beg to recommend that authority may be granted for making advances to the

Fort St. George 5th October 1842.

the amount of 5000, Rupees and — would request that early sanction may be obtained for its disbursement.—

Masulipatam Coll.r Cutcherry 26th September 1842 } (Signed) A: S: Mathison A.t Coll.r

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Acting Coll.r in the Zillah of Masulipatam

(14-15)

To the A.t Coll.r in the Zillah of Masulipatam } Sir,

1.— In reply to the late Collector's letter of the 26th Ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that in the face of repeated orders from Government and the Court of Directors enjoining all practicable reduction in the amount of Tuccavy advances they cannot entertain or forward Mr. Mathison's recommendation.—

2.— The two estates in which that Gentleman now proposes to advance 5000 Rupees, have already obtained advances to the amount of 8000 Rupees which is itself a larger sum when compared with their Revenues. It would be utterly useless, as you must be aware, to apply for sanction for any

Fort St. George 5th October 1842.—

any further disbursement except one of an absolute necessity, and for a small sum. If you agree with Mr. Mathison, and are able to shew such necessity, the Board will take your application into consideration, but your recommendation must be restricted to the smallest possible amount.—

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 5th October 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read the following Letters.—

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.—

(16)

From the A.t Coll.r of Masulipatam. } Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day made over charge of the Revenue management of the Zillah of Masulipatam together with the balance of Cash in the Revenue Treasury to C. C. Ward Esq.r Head Assistant Collector amounting to company's Rupees 10,555-4-4 and I herein enclose his receipt to me for the same.—

Masulipatam Coll.r Cutcherry 27th September 1842 } (Signed) A. S. Mathison A.t Coll.r

Received

11602.

Whole Sheet 7th October 1842.

Continued

No 1 Assured-Farm of Wm Hutchinson Esqr & Sharing Collectors of the 4 Wards of Allendale Parish as the same is described and bounded in Cowl Young Surveyors Plan thereof dated 10th May 1836 - 4 - 4 Young the Quantity of Land Assessed in the said Plan exclusive of the Quantity of Land Assessed in the Glebe Terriers of the Rectory of the Parish of Allendale

Arable			37	1	20	37	1	20
Meadow			4	2	10	4	2	10
Pasture			1	1	30	1	1	30
Wood			1	1	30	1	1	30
Waste			1	1	30	1	1	30
Total			45	2	0	45	2	0

Allendale Parish of

Cowl Young Surveyor

Plan 100 Inches Square

Scale 4 Chains to an Inch

Signed

Examined

Cowl Young Surveyor 1836

Arable Land Do of Do

Double Stinted Do of Do

Single Stinted Do of Do

Cowl Young Surveyor 1840

Pasture Do of Do

Cowl Young Surveyor 1840

Meadow Do

Do Arable Do

Do Pasture Do

Early Allotments Do

1	94	2	-	12	4	-					
16	103	15	9	168	7	2					
6	51	15	10½	2	9	8					
22	26	15	11½	5	11	6					
24	81	14	1	19	10	6					
33	68	5	6	28	17	11					
4	84	3	5	3	3	11					
1	99	-	8	-	15	10					
37	100	-	-	37	-	-					
17	50	-	-	8	8	-					
42	100	-	-	42	-	-					
10	100	-	-	10	-	-					
4	26	-	-	1	-	-	10	4	60	3	5
26½	3	2	-	8	3	-					
36	1	9	-	5	11	-					
96	2	1	4	20	1	4					
6	13	1	-	8	6	2					
116		-	8	4	-	9	8				
1206	6	1	15	16	23	11	6				
1044	4	-	15	6½	101	6	3				
								394	-	11	
								1060	5	4	
								1060	5	4	
								760	-	-	

9 Closes & Garden Steads

Total 1065 4 4

Remarks

Cowl Young Surveyor 1836

Arable Land Do of Do

Double Stinted Do of Do

Single Stinted Do of Do

Cowl Young Surveyor 1840

Pasture Do of Do

Cowl Young Surveyor 1840

Meadow Do

Do Arable Do

Do Pasture Do

Early Allotments Do

94	03	110	-	-	94	03	-	-			
127	28	50	-	-	63	64	-	-			
2	42	21	0	-	30	4	-				
88	7	6	4	-	63	7	-				
27	12	100	-	-	27	12	-	-			
80		60	-	-	40	-	-				
665		26	-	-	141	4	-				
201		100	-	-	201	-	-				
315		100	-	-	315	-	-				
97		60	-	-	48	8	-				
18		25	-	-	4	8	-				
3		176	-	-	5	4	-				

Allendale Parish of 1842 & & Allendale 19th & & January 1842 Sharing Collectors Plan 4to

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

17

From the Head Asst. Coll. of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day received charge of the Revenue management of the 7½ Taluks of Masulipatam together with the balance of Cash in the Revenue Treasury from A. S. Mathison Esq. Acting Collector amounting to Company's Rupees 105554-4-4 for which I have passed my receipt in Duplicate.

Masulipatam Collr. Cutcherry 27th September 1842

Signed E. E. Ward
Head Asst. Coll.

P. S. On examination, I found the whole of the Coins correct, but some of the Company's Rupees of 1840 amounting to 31 Rupees were found mixed up in the bag containing the Company's Rupees of 1835. This mistake appears to have arisen in the classification from an imperfect description of the two different coins.

Signed E. E. Ward
Head Asst. Coll. in charge

16. 18

Order on the two foregoing letters

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Read

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Read the following Letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

19

From the Sub Coll. in charge of Bellary

Transmitting his Report on the Management of the different Sources of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1251

I have the honor to transmit

1. the Report on the Management of the different Sources of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1251, together with the following Statements in both the English and Native Languages
   No. 1. Jalookwar Statement of the Settlement of Extra Branches (Stamp Revenue excepted) for fusly 1251 Compared with that of Fusly 1250.
" 2. Printed Statement in Triplicate exhibiting the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all branches of Land Revenue excepted for Fusleies 1249. 1250. & 1251.
" 3. Comparison of the Settlement of Veesabady and Moturpha between fusleies 1250. & 1251.
4. Statement of the Collections of Duties both Rented and under Aumanie for Fusly 1251 according to the Board's Form of 18th June 1823.
5. Statement A Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue for Fusleies 1250 & 1251.
6. Statement B Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Arrears of Extra branches of Revenue due on account of former fusleies up to fusly 1250.
7. Statement Shewing the irrecoverable balance of Moturpha on account of fusleies 1249 and 1250 now recommended for remission.
8. The following Abstract shews the Revenue for Fusly 1251 of the whole five branches Compared with that of fusly 1250.

Betel

No.			Revenue of Fusly 1250	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Sayer	Betel & Tobacco Rented	68590 " "	71903 " "	3313 " "	" " "
		Aumanie	274777 2	5 271896 3 "	" "	2880 15 5
		Total	343367 2	5 343799 3 "	432 " 7	" " "
2	Abkarry Rented		234080 8 "	243808 9 "	9728 1 "	" " "
3	Sundry small farms and Licences Rented		18419 13 "	18606 6 "	186 9 "	" " "
4	Moturpha		256589 8 "	259860 11 "	3271 3 "	" " "
5	Sales of Stamps		26205 1 "	26699 10 "	494 9 "	" " "
	Grand Total		878662 " "	5 892774 7 "	14112 6 7	" " "

There

Sayer— 3. There is as appears a Net increase in each branch. In the Sayer the increase is Rupees 432 above the last year resulting from an increase of Rupees 3313 in the Rents of Betel leaves and Tobacco and a decrease of Rupees 2881 in the Sayer under Aumanie Management—

Fusly 1250-274777
" 1251-271896
Decrease 2881

4 The Collections of Sayer under Aumanie (though below the last year) are above either of the three Antecedent years. The following Abstract will shew the Sayer Revenue since it reverted to Aumani under each head

Items

Consolidation Interim Act, 1850 and 1851.

Items	Amount of Consolidated Fund for the Year ending 5th January 1850	Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues for the Year ending 5th January 1850	Amount of Collection of Customs for the Year ending 5th January 1850	Amount of Collection of Excise for the Year ending 5th January 1850	Amount of Collection of Stamps for the Year ending 5th January 1850	Increase or Decrease Centage
Salt Duty	32837	3181	40564	51230	57381	151 " " 87
Coconut Kernel & Husk	12870	7201	9050	10977	19742	" 236 2 2
Sugar	5336	5159	7406	62860	67235	" 1025 15 6
Tea	4935	3524	7215	9585	8985	1400 " 18 7
Silk	8735	7432	6701	9725	9648	" 77 " 13
Cotton and Thread	18845	19359	19061	28900	19910	" 8990 31 2
Rice Goods	109779	106277	1044270	898494	108970	76 " " 01
Tapioca	19250	22403	72145	17549	13859	6310 " 36 1
Coal Oil Seeds	9795	6736	7800	7998	9529	1531 " 19 2
Cinnabar	9641	8754	7574	6973	6857	" 116 1 11
Earth Salt	4479	3534	3823	3777	3835	58 " 1 8
Iron	3713	2424	2914	2604	2608	4 " " 2
All other Articles	153bb	12686	10737	10312	10337	25 " " 4
Total	216491	129582	214467	271784	271898	" 888 " 57
Duties on Chillies	3038	3441	4617	1994	"	" 1993 100 "
Total	258049	143023	153986	214777	271898	" 2881 1 3

Proceeds of the Sale of Articles seized by the Police.

Items	Proceeds from the Confiscation	Proceeds from other Sources	Total Proceeds	Increase or Decrease Centage
Opium	1284	1949	2999	1561 1245 " 316 20 4
Tobacco	7617	6826	8151	9386 " 1293 1 11
Betel	7142	6827	8461	9202 9313 " 1909 2 "
Cocoanuts	4306	5451	5591	6147 6142 " 5804 9 6
Cocoanuts to other Countries	10292	8792	8936	9078 9226 " 1348 " 1 8
Coir	33362	24721	24604	26930 30414 " 3484 " 12 4
Coir Manufactures	18068	17417	17949	17853 17873 " 992 " 9
Total Proceeds	25804	24302	25396	27477 27198 " 2881 1 3

Proceeds

From the above it will be observed that by far the greater portion of the net decrease below the last year Viz.t Rupees 1993 is explained by the abolition of duty on Chillies—Of the 5 + Articles which in the above Statement shew a decrease, that of Cotton and Cotton thread is very great being Rupees 8990 or 31-2 per Cent. below last year—This decrease is not to be attributed to the falling off of Exports in Cotton but to the circumstance of the Produce being carried to Canara instead of Mysore and consequently Maffee Rowanahs being issued for that District in place of duty collected for exportation to Mysore as is shewn below—

Vide the Board's order of 14th Jan.y 1841.

+ Cocoanuts—
Sugar—
Silk—
Cotton & Thread—
Cumblies—

Stews

Stews.	Fusly 1250 Candies	Fusly 1250 Duty	Fusly 1251 Candies	Fusly 1251 Duty	Comparison Increase Candies	Comparison Increase Duty	Comparison Decrease Candies	Comparison Decrease Duty
		6 Rs		6 Rs		6 Rs		6 Rs
Exports to Mysore Country	5,329	28,900	3815½	19910	"	"	1713½	8990
Do to Canara District	3807	"	7139	"	3332	"	"	"
Total	9,336	28900	10954½	19910	1618½	"	"	8990

The other Articles all shew an increase especially Jaggery There is a small falling off in the Imports, and a large Decrease in the Exports to Foreign States only, which is attributed to diminished Exportation of Cotton to Mysore as above Noticed—

5

Abkarry

The Abkarry Revenue for the fusly 1251 is higher than last year by 9728 Rupees or 4-2-" per Cent, compared with the previous 10 Years; the Revenue is higher than any since fusly 1243 and exalso than the average by 12492 Rupees—

+ Average of 10 years 23,317
Fusly 1251 24,3809
Increase 12492 or 6-6-0 per Cent.

Fusly

Fort St George 6th October 1842.

Fusly Years	Amount of Beriz	Comparison of fusly 1251 with each of the former fuslies — Increase	Decrease	Centage
1241	253,710	"	11,901	4 10
1242	255,795	"	11,986	4 11
1243	254,237	"	10,428	4 2
1244	213,178	30,631	"	14 4
1245	205,665	38,144	"	18 8
1246	208,101	35,708	"	17 2
1247	223,099	20,710	"	9 4
1248	233,466	10,343	"	4 7
1249	229,835	13,974	"	6 1
1250	234,081	9,728	"	4 2

The

Fort St George 6th October 1842.

Sundry Small farms & Licences.

The demand in this branch for the fusly under Report is Rupees 18,606 6 " and compared with last year it is Rupees 186 9 " above fusly 1250 — The following are the Items —

Items	Amount of Beriz of Fusly 1250. 6Rs as	Amount of Beriz of Fusly 1251. 6Rs as	Comparison Increase 6Rs as	Decrease 6Rs as
Produce of Fruit Trees { Old Plantations	12,601 "	12,363 2	" "	237 14
{ New Do.	378 2	529 15	151 13	" "
Total	12,979	12,893 1	151 13	237 14
Rent of Hills Woods & Pasture Lands &c.	5,440 11	5,713 5	272 10	" "
Grand Total	18,419 13	18,606 6	424 7	237 14
Net			186 9	

The

11612. Fort St George 6th October 1842.

The item of new Topes shews a trifling increase from a few Trees beginning to yield Revenue and if the Seasons are favorable a progressive increase may yearly be expected.—

Veesabady and Moturpha

8. In the demands on account of Veesabady and Moturpha there is an increase of Rupees 3,271—3—0 as is stated in the following Memorandum.—

Branches

11613. Fort St George 6th October 1842.

Branches	Demands of Fasly 1250	Demands of Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Centage
	6 Rs	6 Rs			6 Rs a/
Veesabady	110457	111247	818		12
Dagsapa Veesa =	146133	148585	2452		11
= bady					
Total	256590	259832	3271		4

Fee

No 3 Col. 4 9.

The Gross increase in the Accompanying Statement Amounting to Rupees 15,892-12-0 is Comprised of the tax on New Comers ——— 6783-15-0

Increased taxes on Old Ryots ——— 9108-13-0

15892-12-0

The Gross decrease amounting to Rs. ——— 12621-9-0 is caused by Deaths ——— 515-3-0

Emigration ——— 4,470-6-0

Poverty ——— 529-14-0

Exemptions of persons who have newly occupied Circar land for Cultivation ——— 115-11-0

Relinquishment of Trade or Profession ——— 1,193-2-0

6,854-4-0

Add decrease of Assessment on Ryots present, from Poverty } 5767-5-0

Total ——— 12,621-9-0

Making thereby a Net increase of Rupees — 3,271-3-0

Stamp Revenue 9. The Sale of Stamps amounting this year to Rupees 2,699-10-0 gives an increase of Rupees 494-9-0 above last year as follows.—

Items

Items.	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P
Sales of Money Paper ———	6,371 4 0	6,975 4 0	604 0 0	0 0 0
Do. of Rowanahs ———	19,833 13 0	19,724 6 0	0 0 0	109 7 0
Total ———	26,205 1 0	26,699 10 0	494 9 0	0 0 0

Amount of Sales — 26,699-10-0
Charges — 1,284-15-10
Net Collections 25,414-10-2

The net Revenue after deducting the Charges as per Marginal Note Amounts to Rupees 25,414-10-2. or Rupees 475-9-1. above fasly 1250.—

10. The annexed Statement exhibits the Demand, Collection and Balance, and the charges on each branch of the Extra Revenue for fasly 1251.—

Branches

11616

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Branches.	Demand of Fusly 1251.	Collections of Fusly. 1251.	Balance at the end of Fusly.	Since Collected to the end of August	Remaining Balance.	Charges.
	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.	C Rs. A. P.
Sayer	343799 3 "	335892 5 4	7906 13 8	7906 13 8	" " "	26108 6 10
Abkarry	243808 9 "	223111 6 4	20697 2 8	20645 " "	52 2 8	" " "
Sundry Small farms and Licences	18606 6 "	17584 9 7	1021 12 5	909 2 3	112 10 2	" " "
Moturpha	259860 11 "	257099 14 10	2760 12 2	1969 1 10	791 10 4	" " "
Stamps	26699 10 "	26699 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1284 15 10
Total	892774 7 "	860387 14 1	32386 8 11	31430 1 9	956 7 2	27393 6 8

The

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

11. The Amount of Arrears due and collected in the fusly were as follows.-

	Balance at the Commencement of the Fusly.	Collection and Remissions.	Balance due.
	C.Rs. A.P.	C.Rs. A.P.	C.Rs. A.P.
On account of Sayer	19,050 1 3	8,653 11 3	10396 b. "
" of Ab.Karry	19,734 11 4	19,734 11 4	" " "
" of Sundry Small Farms & Licences	1124 12 10	1124 12 10	" " "
" of Moturpha	2676 9 "	2,343 12 3	332 12 9
Total	42586 2 5	31,856 15 8	10729 2 9

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

The balance due on account of Sayer Rupees 10396-b-" is due from the Renters of North Arcot, and as represented in the Report for fusly 1249 (Para 15), remains still unadjusted. The Balance on account of Motupha Rupees 332-12-9- is an irrecoverable balance, and I have the honor to request that it may be remitted: it is as follows.-

Items.

11619

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Items.	Balance of Fusly 1249.			Balance of Fusly 1250.			Totals		
	No. of Persons	Amount	Average per each	No. of Persons	Amount	Average per each	No. of Persons	Amount	Average per each
		CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.		CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.		CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.
Deaths	1	1 8 0	1 8 0	4	10 9 2	2 10 3	5	12 1 2	2 6 8
Emigration	21	47 6 5	2 4 1	42	116 9 0	2 12 4	63	163 15 5	2 9 8
Insolvency	3	73 5 0	24 7 0	15	83 7 2	5 9 0	18	156 12 2	8 11 4
Totals	25	122 3 5	4 11 4 3	61	210 9 4	3 7 3 86	33	212 9	3. 13 11

J.

11620. Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

12. I beg leave to Submit for the information and orders of the Board, a practice that prevails in a few of the Western Talooks of this District, of levying a certain yearly rent (Technically called "Goodevanum") at some of the Pagodas, and carrying it to the Collection under the head of Bagheire Veesabady it is an old tax, and is paid to the Circar by the Poonjaries of the respective Pagodas, from the proceeds of the Offerings made by Pilgrims resorting to these institutions. The Sum realized as "Goodevanum" for Fusly 1251 amounts to Rupees 697-1- and forms part of the total Bagheire Veesabady of Rupees 14,8584-7- The Names of the Pagodas at which the tax is levied are Stated in the Annexed Memorandum

Names

11621. Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Names of Talooks.	Names of Pagodas and Places.	Number of Pagodas.	Pilgrims of Fusly 1251.	
Kurpunhally	Gone Basapahs Pagoda in Kolhully	1.	131.	4.
	Mulle Karpinaswamy Pagoda in Kooravutty	1.	70.	"
	Oochungee Ammah Pagoda in Oochungedroog	1.	145	13.
Koodleghee.	Siddaswaraswamy Pagoda in Hooznee.	1.	268	13.
	Total	4.	615.	14.
	From Smaller Pagodas in 63 other Villages	"	81.	3.
	Total	"	697	1.

The tax "Goodevanum" is a direct tax on the funds of the Religious institutions of the Natives and the Government having directed the complete withdrawal of all interference with the Native Temples and places of Religious resort either as regards their internal arrangements or the administration of their revenues on the part of the Public officers there can be no doubt but that this tax should at once cease to be collected and with the Board's permission I will issue the necessary orders for this purpose.

Bellary Collectors Office
26th September, 1842.

(Signed) C. Pelly.
Sub Collector in charge.

Comparison.

1st October 1842

Ceded Districts for Fuslies 1250 and 1251.—

Settlement of fusly 1251.

Total.	Ab Karry Revenue.	Sundry Small Farms and Licences.	Veesabady and Moturpha.	Total
11	12	13	14	15.
31278 9 "	18000 " "	1052 12 "	26183 10 "	76814 15 "
20938 1 "	15750 " "	1080 " "	15829 13 "	53597 14 "
55764 12 "	4711 " "	1027 12 "	10689 13 "	72193 5 "
25978 " "	13810 " "	2300 4 "	19640 4 "	61728 8 "
13995 9 "	5500 " "	661 " "	14894 3 "	35050 12 "
43533 7 "	45400 " "	1224 " "	36593 5 "	126750 12 "
9032 14 "	8160 " "	725 15 "	8000 10 "	25919 7 "
18063 8 "	25000 " "	1071 " "	21730 7 "	65864 15 "
16035 7 "	21363 9 "	499 8 "	21701 10 "	59600 2 "
21121 14 "	12110 " "	295 " "	10173 7 "	43700 5 "
16091 5 "	13845 " "	1107 12 "	15481 4 "	46523 5 "
14188 4 "	4760 " "	335 8 "	9933 2 "	29216 14 "
286021 10 "	188409 9 "	11380 7 "	211151 8 "	696963 2 "
8918 10 "	12301 " "	1373 1 "	11122 15 "	33715 10 "
5345 5 "	14580 " "	2266 8 "	9913 15 "	32105 12 "
20790 4 "	6585 " "	876 2 "	6937 " "	35188 6 "
10614 12 "	6433 " "	997 8 "	6469 11 "	24514 15 "
12108 10 "	15500 " "	1712 12 "	14265 10 "	43587 " "
57777 9 "	55399 " "	7225 15 "	48709 3 "	169111 11 "
343799 3 "	243808 9 "	18606 6 "	259860 11 "	866074 13 "

1623.

Fort St. George 6th Octob

Comparison.

Land Customs.	Abkarry Revenue.	Increase. Sundry Small Farms and Licences.	Increase. Veesabady and Moturpha.	Total.	Land Customs.	Decrease. Abkarry Revenue.	Decrease. Sundry Small Farms and Licences.	Decrease. Veesabady and Moturpha.	Total.	Net Increase.	Net Decrease.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24.	25	26	27.
" " "	" " "	" " "	395. 3 "	395 3 .	4,177. 14 5	500. " "	151. " "	" " "	4,828. 14 5	" " "	4,433. 11 5
3246 " "	1550. " "	84 8 "	32 5 .	4,912 13 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,912 13 "	" " "
" " "	211. " "	" " "	68 12 .	279 12 "	1658 9 .	" " "	7 8 "	" " "	1666 1 .	" " "	1,386 5 "
2,810. 13 .	1,600 " "	28 12 "	" " "	4439. 9 .	" " "	" " "	" " "	12 11 "	12 11 "	4,426 14 "	" " "
1,028 12 "	490. " "	13 4 "	51. 12 "	1,583. 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,583 12 "	" " "
" " "	1,396 " "	82 " "	346 4 "	1,824 4 "	783 9 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	783 9 "	1,040 11 "	" " "
" " "	760. " "	" " "	144. 15 "	904 15 "	2,317 7 "	" " "	9 13 "	" " "	2,327 4 "	" " "	1,422 5 "
793 8 "	1,187 8 "	" " "	123 " "	2,104 " "	" " "	" " "	39 " "	" " "	39 " "	2,065 " "	" " "
1,909 7 "	1,747 9 "	86 8 "	140 " "	3883. 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3883 8 "	" " "
" " "	110. " "	" " "	113 2 "	223 2 .	303 9 "	" " "	24 8 "	" " "	328 1 "	" " "	104 15 "
1120 " "	1,560. " "	94 4 "	633 1 "	3,407 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,407 5 "	" " "
969 4 "	60. " "	10 8 "	85 " "	1124 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,124 12 "	" " "
11877 12 "	10,672 1 "	399 12 "	2,133 6 "	25,082 15 "	9,241 " 5	500. " "	231. 13 .	12 11 "	9,985 8 5	22,441 11 "	7,347 4 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	345 2 "	345 2 .	459 " "	410. " "	132 12 "	" " "	1,001 12 "	" " "	656 10 "
" " "	" " "	172 12 "	404 11 "	577 7 "	674 13 "	300. " "	" " "	" " "	774 13 "	" " "	197 6 "
" " "	990. " "	" " "	76 4 "	1,066 4 "	335 6 "	" " "	30 1 "	" " "	365 7 "	700 13 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	38 13 "	" " "	38 13 "	1169 2 "	174 " "	" " "	48 1 "	1391 3 "	" " "	1352 6 "
233 10 "	" " "	" " "	372 8 "	606 2 "	" " "	550 " "	30 2 "	" " "	580 2 "	26 " "	" " "
233. 10 "	990. " "	211 9 "	1198 9 "	2,633 12 .	2,438 5 "	1434. " "	192 15 "	48 1 "	4,113 5 "	726 13 "	2,206 6 "
12,111. 6 .	11,662 1 "	611 5 "	3,331 15 "	27,716 11 "	11,679 5 6	1934. " "	424 12 "	60 12 "	14,098 13 5	23,171 8 "	9,553 10 5
Net. 432 " 7	9,728 1 "	136 9 "	3271 3 "	13,617 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,617 13 7	" " "

Bellary Collectors Office, 26th September 1842

E. E. Fs. (Signed) Lt Pelly Sub Coll.r in charge Comparative

	Rupees	a.	p.	Rupees	a.	p.	Rupees	a.	p.	Rupees	a.	p.	Rupees	a.	p.
Gross Collections															
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture															
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.															
TOTAL CHARGES															
Net Revenue															
Per Centage of the Charges															
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.															
Gross Collections															
Charges for Purchase															
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.															
TOTAL CHARGES															
Net Revenue															
Per Centage of the Charges															
LAND CUSTOMS.															
Gross Collections	313871	10	-	343501	15	3	344664	8	7	1165	8	11	-	-	-
Charges of Collection	26562	14	6	26061	2	2	26108	6	10	47	4	8	-	-	-
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	26562	14	6	26061	2	2	26108	6	10	47	4	8	-	-	-
Net Revenue	287308	11	6	317440	13	1	318556	1	4	1115	4	3	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	8	7	8	7	9	5	7	9	2						
SEA CUSTOMS.															
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges of Collection	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

The increased Amount realized on Tobacco Revenue accounts for this increase

ABKARY.															
Gross Collections	233367	7	-	234395	7	-	212846	1	8	8450	10	8	-	-	-
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	233367	7	-	234395	7	-	212846	1	8	8450	10	8	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.															
Gross Collections	185.35	7	-	17869	6	3	18714	10	10	845	4	7	-	-	-
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	185.35	7	-	17869	6	3	18714	10	10	845	4	7	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)															
Stamps															
Gross Collections	25318	8	-	26205	1	-	26699	10	-	494	9	-	-	-	-
Charges	1242	5	10	1265	15	11	1284	15	10	18	15	11	-	-	-
Net Revenue	24076	2	2	24939	1	1	25414	10	2	475	9	1	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	4	14	6	4	13	4	4	13	1						
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.															
Gross Collections	264863	9	-	256274	13	5	259449	5	10	3174	8	5	-	-	-
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Revenue	264863	9	-	256274	13	5	259449	5	10	3174	8	5	-	-	-
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
GRAND TOTAL.															
Gross Collections	855956	9	-	878246	10	11	892374	4	6	14127	9	7	-	-	-
Charges	27805	4	4	27327	2	1	27393	6	8	66	4	7			
Net Revenue	828151	4	8	850919	8	10	864980	13	10	14061	5	-			
Per Centage of the Charges	3	4	-	3	1	9	3	1	1						

The increased Amount Revenue According to this increase

The recoveries of Arrea preceding finally Close

The increase arose from was greater than in the

The Settlement of this rev of the preceding finally ac

GRAND TOTAL.

Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt and Tobacco

Charges after deductions for Do.

11123.

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

6th October 1842.

of the ceded Districts for Fusly 1251.—

Settlement of Fusly 1251.								
Veesabady.		Moturpha.			Total			
Number of Puttahs.	Amount.	Number of Ryots.	Number of Puttahs.	Amount.	No. of Ryots.	No. of Puttahs.	Amount.	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
8,989	111276 4	"	"	" " "	8,989	8,989	111276	4 "
"	" " "	35531	35531	148584 7	35531	35531	148584	7 "
8,989	111276 4	35531	35531	148584 7	44520	44520	259860	11 "
628	10508 12	2656	2656	15974 14	3284	3284	26483	10 "
465	6106 1	1225	1225	9723 12	1690	1690	15829	13 "
373	3859 8	1776	1776	6830 5	2149	2149	10689	13 "
845	8602 11	1609	1609	11307 9	2454	2454	19640	4 "
701	6796 9	1737	1737	8097 10	2438	2438	14894	3 "
888	12913 15	3436	3436	23679 6	4324	4324	36593	5 "
294	4294 13	1062	1062	3705 13	1356	1356	8000	10 "
721	9060 10	2275	2275	12669 12	2996	2996	21730	6 "
522	9348 11	2900	2900	12353 " "	3422	3422	21701	11 "
245	3150 "	2472	2472	7023 7	2717	2717	10173	7 "
477	6329 3	2320	2320	9152 1	2797	2797	15481	4 "
426	4695 13	2208	2208	5237 5	2634	2634	9933	2 "
6585	85666 10	25676	25676	125434 14	32261	32261	211151	8 "
486	5917 3	2414	2414	5205 12	2900	2900	11122	15 "
550	5386 15	1778	1778	4527 " "	2328	2328	9913	15 "
384	4534 11	1863	1863	2402 5	2247	2247	6937	" "
467	2898 7	1485	1485	3571 4	1952	1952	6469	11 "
517	6872 6	2315	2315	7393 4	2832	2832	14265	10 "
2404	25609 10	9855	9855	23099 9	12259	12259	48709	3 "
8989	111276 4	35531	35531	148584 7	44520	44520	259860	11 "

11620. Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Net

Veestabady

Increase. Number of Ryots.	Increase. Number of Puttahs.	Increase. Amount.	Decrease. Number of Ryots.	Decrease. Number of Puttahs.	Decrease. Amount.
20	21	22	23	24	25
246	246	853 2 "	1	1	33 8 "
"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "
246	246	853 2 "	1	1	33 8 "
5	5	18 4 "	"	"	" " "
6	6	17 8 "	"	"	" " "
12	12	30 11 "	"	"	" " "
1	1	52 8 "	"	"	" " "
25	25	1 7 "	"	"	" " "
22	22	24 1 "	"	"	" " "
"	"	59 13 "	"	"	" " "
"	"	11 10 "	1	1	" " "
10	10	" " "	"	"	32 1 "
6	6	" " "	"	"	" " "
58	58	204 15 "	"	"	" " "
6	6	31 5 "	"	"	" " "
151	151	452 2 "	1	1	32 1 "
35	35	202 " "	"	"	" " "
8	8	105 " "	"	"	" " "
12	12	18 15 "	"	"	" " "
4	4	" " "	"	"	1 7 "
36	36	75 1 "	"	"	" " "
95	95	401 " "	"	"	1 7 "
246	246	853 2 "	1	1	33 8 "
Net 245	245	819 10 "	"	"	" " "

Difference.

Motuapha

Increase. Number of Ryots.	Increase. Number of Puttahs.	Increase. Amount.	Decrease. Number of Ryots.	Decrease. Number of Puttahs.	Decrease. Amount.
26	27	28	29	30	31.
"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "
1172	1172	2563 6 "	152	152	111 13 "
1172	1172	2563 6 "	152	152	111 13 "
158	158	376 15 "	"	"	" " "
11	11	14 13 "	"	"	" " "
48	48	38 1 "	"	"	" " "
"	"	" " "	8	8	65 3 "
"	"	50 5 "	7	7	" " "
201	201	322 3 "	"	"	" " "
38	38	85 2 "	"	"	" " "
"	"	111 5 "	78	78	" " "
87	87	172 2 "	"	"	" " "
35	35	113 2 "	"	"	" " "
171	171	428 2 "	"	"	" " "
58	58	53 11 "	"	"	" " "
807	807	1765 13 "	93	93	65 3 "
73	73	43 2 "	"	"	" " "
86	86	299 11 "	"	"	" " "
206	206	57 5 "	"	"	" " "
"	"	" " "	48	48	46 10 "
"	"	297 7 "	11	11	" " "
365	365	797 9 "	59	59	46 10 "
1172	1172	2563 6 "	152	152	111 13 "
1020	1020	2451 9 "	"	"	" " "

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Net Difference (Continued)

Increase			Decrease			Total Per centage
No. of Ryots	No. of Puttahs	Amount	No. of Ryots	No. of Puttahs	Amount	
32	33	34	35	36	37	38
245	245	819 10 "	"	"	"	" 12 "
1020	1020	2451 9 "	"	"	"	1 11 "
1265	1265	3271 3 "	"	"	"	1 4 "
163	163	395 3 "	"	"	"	1 8 "
17	17	32 5 "	"	"	"	" 3 "
60	60	68 12 "	"	"	"	" 10 "
"	"	" " "	7	7	12 11 "	" 1 "
18	18	51 12 "	"	"	"	" 5 "
223	223	346 4 "	"	"	"	" 15 "
38	38	144 15 "	"	"	"	1 13 "
"	"	122 15 "	79	79	"	" 9 "
97	97	140 1 "	"	"	"	" 10 "
41	41	113 2 "	"	"	"	1 2 "
229	229	633 1 "	"	"	"	1 4 "
64	64	85 " "	"	"	"	" 14 "
950	950	2138 6 "	86	86	12 11 "	1 " "
108	108	345 2 "	"	"	"	3 4 "
94	94	404 11 "	"	"	"	4 4 "
218	218	76 4 "	"	"	"	1 2 "
"	"	" " "	44	44	48 1 "	" 12 "
25	25	372 8 "	"	"	"	2 11 "
445	445	1198 9 "	44	44	48 1 "	2 7 "
1395	1395	3331 15 "	130	130	60 12 "	1 4 "
1265	1265	3271 3 "	"	"	"	" " "

Detailed Statement of the Difference.

Increase.

New Comers &c.

Number of Ryots	Amount	Increase of Assessment	Total Increase
	39	40	41
649	2315 8 "	3665 15 "	5981 7 "
3010	4468 7 "	5442 14 "	9911 5 "
3639	6783 15 "	9108 13 "	15892 12 "
417	809 3 "	963 15 "	1773 2 "
134	341 13 "	521 9 "	863 6 "
156	270 " "	273 10 "	543 10 "
131	321 2 "	647 2 "	968 4 "
158	438 7 "	511 7 "	949 14 "
388	721 14 "	977 1 "	1698 15 "
100	171 13 "	255 11 "	428 8 "
64	512 7 "	591 10 "	1104 1 "
291	461 5 "	786 1 "	1247 6 "
205	247 5 "	540 13 "	788 2 "
407	617 8 "	865 " "	1482 8 "
120	261 5 "	303 1 "	564 6 "
2634	5173 2 "	7237 " "	12412 2 "
206	386 10 "	319 2 "	705 12 "
193	336 8 "	509 9 "	846 1 "
313	310 5 "	200 6 "	510 11 "
101	110 8 "	81 2 "	191 10 "
212	464 14 "	761 10 "	1226 8 "
1025	1608 13 "	1871 13 "	3480 10 "
3659	6783 15 "	9108 13 "	15892 12 "

11628

For St. George 6th October 1842

Detailed Statement of the Difference (Continued)

Deaths.		Emigration.		Decrease. Inability.		By occupying Crown Land.		Relinquishing trade or profession.		Total	
Number of Ryots.	Amount.	Number of Ryots.	Amount.	No. of Ryots.	Amount.	Number of Ryots.	Amount.	No. of Ryots.	Amount.	Number of Ryots.	Amount.
42		43		44.		45		46		47	
39	167 11 "	296	1,663 " "	75.	80 14 "						
166	377 8 "	1,238	2,807 6 "	143.	449 " "						
205	545 3 "	1,534	4,470 6 "	158.	529 14 "						
17.	61 5 "	125	489 13 "	54.	101 13 "						
8.	33 " "	51	219 8 "	15	211 4 "						
8.	21 9 "	63.	164 8 "	"	" " "						
7.	13 11 "	117.	624 14 "	"	" " "						
17.	46 7 "	67.	214 10 "	11.	93 " "						
21	59 5 "	100.	393 8 "	21.	114 11 "						
6	9 6 "	41.	94 14 "	"	" " "						
15	54 15 "	91.	242 9 "	4.	8 6 "						
24.	57 9 "	128	384 13 "	4.	8 6 "						
11	30 6 "	113	223 1 "	"	" " "						
14	65 1 "	98	249 8 "	"	" " "						
11.	20 9 "	83.	238 15 "	7.	6 10 "						
159.	473 3 "	1077	3540 9 "	116.	460 11 "						
10	24 8 "	79.	118 12 "	1.	24 1 "						
17	23 2 "	80.	206 2 "	"	" " "						
7	5 1 "	71.	100 7 "	3.	" 12 "						
5	6 4 "	92	149 11 "	33.	2 5 "						
7.	13 1 "	135.	354 13 "	5.	42 1 "						
46	72 " "	457	929 13 "	42.	69 3 "						
205.	545 3 "	1534	4,470 6 "	158	529 14 "						
						6	3 11 "	2.	1 " "	98	172 " "
						"	" " "	2.	59 9 "	99	288 13 "
						6	2 15 "	8	14 3 "	95	123 6 "
						5	5 2 "	10	13 12 "	115	177 2 "
						"	" " "	40	79 4 "	187	489 3 "
						17	11 12 "	62	167 12 "	624	1250 8 "
						99	115 11 "	398	1193 2 "	2,394	6,854 4 "
						6	7 6 "	52	258 3 "	254	918 8 "
						"	" " "	43	74 4 "	117	538 " "
						6	9 9 "	19	57 12 "	96	253 6 "
						"	" " "	14	43 3 "	138	681 12 "
						"	" " "	34	113 1 "	140	603 2 "
						11	19 7 "	23	104 10 "	165	673 2 "
						"	" " "	15	43 12 "	62	148 " "
						"	" " "	22	53 14 "	143	377 " "
						11	17 4 "	25	53 12 "	197	523 11 "
						16	19 3 "	13	22 1 "	164	297 4 "
						22	21 12 "	60	159 4 "	178	478 1 "
						6	4 4 "	11	38 10 "	116	309 14 "
						4	5 2 "	336	1025 6 "	1770	5603 12 "
						82	103 15 "				

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

Detailed Statement of the Difference (Continued).

Decrease (Continued)				Result							
				Increase				Decrease			
			New Comers &c.a.			Relinquishing trade or profession.					
Decrease of Assessment	Total	No. of Ryots	Amount	Increase of Assessment	Total	No. of Ryots	Amount	Decrease of Assessment	Total		
48	49		50	51	52		53	54	55		
2,977 10 "	5161 13 "	649	2,315 8 "	3663 15 "	5,981 7 "	404	2,184 3 "	2,977 10 "	5161 13 "		
2,789 11 "	7,459 12 "	3010	4468 7 "	5442 14 "	9911 5 "	1,990	4670 1 "	2789 11 "	7,459 12 "		
5767 5 "	12,621 9 "	3659	6783 15 "	9108 13 "	15892 12 "	2,394	6854 4 "	5767 5 "	12,621 9 "		
459 7 "	1377 15 "	417	809 3 "	963 15 "				459 7 "	1377 15 "		
293 1 "	831 1 "	134	341 13 "	521 9 "	1773 2 "	254	958 8 "	293 1 "	831 1 "		
221 8 "	474 14 "	156	270 " "	273 10 "	863 6 "	117	538 " "	221 8 "	474 14 "		
299 3 "	980 15 "	131	321 2 "	647 2 "	543 10 "	96	253 6 "	299 3 "	980 15 "		
495 " "	898 2 "	158	438 7 "	511 7 "	968 4 "	138	681 12 "	495 " "	898 2 "		
677 9 "	1352 11 "	383	721 14 "	977 1 "	949 14 "	140	403 2 "	677 9 "	1352 11 "		
135 9 "	283 9 "	100	172 13 "	255 11 "	1698 15 "	165	675 2 "	135 9 "	283 9 "		
604 2 "	981 2 "	64	512 7 "	591 10 "	428 8 "	67	148 " "	604 2 "	981 2 "		
583 10 "	1107 5 "	294	461 5 "	736 1 "	1104 1 "	143	377 " "	583 10 "	1107 5 "		
377 12 "	675 " "	205	247 5 "	540 13 "	1247 6 "	197	523 11 "	377 12 "	675 " "		
371 6 "	849 7 "	407	617 8 "	865 " "	783 2 "	164	297 4 "	371 6 "	849 7 "		
169 8 "	479 6 "	180	261 5 "	303 1 "	1482 8 "	178	478 1 "	169 8 "	479 6 "		
					564 6 "	116	309 14 "				
4637 11 "	10291 7 "	2634	5175 2 "	7237 " "	12412 2 "	1770	5603 12 "	4687 11 "	10291 7 "		
188 10 "	360 10 "	206	386 10 "	319 2 "				188 10 "	360 10 "		
152 9 "	441 6 "	193	336 8 "	509 9 "	705 12 "	98	172 " "	152 9 "	441 6 "		
311 1 "	434 7 "	313	310 5 "	200 6 "	846 1 "	99	288 13 "	311 1 "	434 7 "		
62 9 "	239 11 "	101	110 8 "	81 2 "	510 11 "	95	123 6 "	62 9 "	239 11 "		
364 13 "	854 " "	212	464 14 "	761 10 "	191 10 "	145	177 2 "	364 13 "	854 " "		
					1226 8 "	187	489 3 "				
1079 10 "	2330 2 "	1025	1608 13 "	1871 13 "	3480 10 "	624	1250 8 "	1079 10 "	2330 2 "		
5767 5 "	12621 9 "	3659	6783 15 "	9108 13 "	15892 12 "	2394	6854 4 "	5767 5 "	12621 9 "		

11630. For St George 6th October 1842.

Result (Continued)

Net Increase.

New Comers &c. Number of Ryots.	Amount	Increase of Assessment.	Total.
	56	57	58.
245	131. 5 "	688 5 "	819 10 "
1020.	" " "	2653 3 "	2653 3 "
1265.	131. 5 "	3341. 8 "	3472 13 "
163.	" " "	504. 8 "	504. 8 "
17.	" " "	228 8 "	228 8 "
60.	16. 10 "	52 2 "	68 12 "
"	" " "	347 15 "	347. 15 "
18.	35 5 "	16 7 "	51. 12 "
223.	46 12 "	299 8 "	346 4 "
38.	24. 13 "	120. 2 "	144. 15 "
"	135 7 "	" " "	135 7 "
97.	" " "	202 7 "	202 7 "
41.	" " "	163. 1 "	163 1 "
229	139 7 "	493. 10 "	633 1 "
64.	" " "	133. 9 "	133 9 "
950.	398 6 "	2561. 13 "	2960 3 "
108.	214 10 "	130. 8 "	345 2 "
94.	47 11 "	357 " "	404. 11 "
218.	186 15 "	" " "	186 15 "
"	" " "	18 9 "	18 9 "
25.	" " "	396 13 "	396 13 "
445.	449 4 "	902 14 "	1352 2 "
1395	847 10 "	3464 11 "	4312 5 "
1265.	" " "	3341. 8 "	3341. 8 "
			Net 3271. 3 "

Bellary Collector's office 26th September 1842.

Net Decrease—

Relinquishing trade or profession— No. of Ryots.	Amount.	Decrease of Assessment	Total.
	59	60	61.
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	201 10 "	" " "	201 10 "
"	201 10 "	" " "	201 10 "
"	109 5 "	" " "	109 5 "
"	196 3 "	" " "	196 3 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	360 10 "	" " "	360 10 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
79	" " "	12 8 "	12 8 "
"	62 6 "	" " "	62 6 "
"	49 15 "	" " "	49 15 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	48 9 "	" " "	48 9 "
86	827 " "	12 8 "	839 8 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	110 11 "	110 11 "
44	66 10 "	" " "	66 10 "
"	24 5 "	" " "	24 5 "
44	90 15 "	110 11 "	201 10 "
130	917 15 "	123 3 "	1041 2 "
"	70 5 "	" " "	70 5 "

1842 E.E. Vr (Signed) C. Pelly Sub Collector in Charge

11630. Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Result (Continued)

Net Increase.

New Comers &c. Number of Ryots.	Amount.	Increase of Assessment.	Total.
56		57	58.
245	131. 5 "	688 5 "	819 10 "
1020.	" " "	2653 3 "	2653 3 "
1265.	131. 5 "	3341. 8 "	3472 13 "
163.	" " "	504. 8 "	504. 8 "
17.	" " "	228 8 "	228 8 "
60.	16. 10 "	52 2 "	68 12 "
"	" " "	347 15 "	347. 15 "
18.	35 5 "	16 7 "	51. 12 "
223.	46 12 "	299 8 "	346 4 "
38.	24. 13 "	120. 2 "	144. 15 "
"	135 7 "	" " "	135 7 "
97.	" " "	202 7 "	202 7 "
41.	" " "	163. 1 "	163 1 "
229	139 7 "	493. 10 "	633 1 "
64.	" " "	133. 9 "	133 9 "
950.	398 6 "	2561. 13 "	2960 3 "
108.	214 10 "	130. 8. "	345 2 "
94.	47 11 "	357 " "	404. 11 "
218.	186 15 "	" " "	186 15 "
"	" " "	18 9 "	18 9 "
25.	" " "	396 13 "	396 13 "
445.	449 4 "	902 14 "	1352 2 "
1395	847 10 "	3464 11 "	4312 5 "
1265.	" " "	3341. 8 "	3341. 8 "
		Net	3271. 3 "

Net Decrease -

Relinquishing trade or profession - No. of Ryots.	Amount.	Decrease of Assessment	Total.
	59	60	61.
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	201 10 "	" " "	201 10 "
"	201 10 "	" " "	201 10 "
"	109 5 "	" " "	109 5 "
"	196 3 "	" " "	196 3 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	360 10 "	" " "	360 10 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
79	" " "	128 "	128 "
"	62 6 "	" " "	62 6 "
"	49 15 "	" " "	49 15 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	489 "	" " "	489 "
86	827 " "	128 "	839 8 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	110 11 "	110 11 "
44	66 10 "	" " "	66 10 "
"	24 5 "	" " "	24 5 "
44	90 15 "	110 11 "	201 10 "
130	917 15 "	123 3 "	1041 2 "
"	70 5 "	" " "	70 5 "

Bellary Collector's office 26th September 1842.

1842 - E.E. Vr (Signed) C. Pelly Sub Collr in Charge

6th October 1842-

of the ceded Districts for fusly 1251-

Annexure-

Grain.	Oil	Jaggery-	Sugar	Cocoanut dried-
11	12	13	14	15.
26. 13 u	1301. 13 u	7. u u	u 7 u	778 9 u
15 14 u	205 10 u	5087 9 u	u u u	23 14 u
1 2 u	197 15 u	1514 14 u	17 12 u	36 10 u
29 11 u	585 13 u	8371 4 u	u u u	39 8 u
u 5 u	136 11 u	1686 7 u	69 u u	1 7 u
4 4 u	629 8 u	u u u	u u u	u u u
5 8 u	9 13 u	u u u	u u u	u u u
94 4 u	54 2 u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	40 5 u	1 12 u	u u u	u u u
u u u	140 4 u	u u u	u u u	u u u
2 7 u	54 6 u	1187 11 u	u u u	2125 4 u
u u u	75 14 u	43 u	u u u	u u u
178 4 u	3432 2 u	17860 12 u	87 3 u	3005 4 u
u u u	54 4 u	2 10 u	u u u	u u u
57 12 u	38 8 u	860 3 u	39 8 u	9 15 u
93 14 u	28 13 u	4869 3 u	5107 4 u	41 2 u
51 12 u	32 15 u	133 1 u	u 8 u	591 1 u
u u u	47 11 u	132 11 u	u u u	2847 10 u
203 6 u	202 3 u	5997 12 u	5147 4 u	3489 12 u
381 10 u	3634 5 u	23858 8 u	5234 7 u	6495 u u

11632.

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Lamp oil Seeds.	Ghee	Gingely Oil Seeds	Common Seeds.	Earth Salt and Salt petre	Tamarinds	Opium	Dyes and Indigo	Cocoanut	Hide
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25.
13 " "	447 2 "	20 13 "	64 13 "	543 11 "	42 8 "	" " "	346 5 "	206 2 "	41 6 "
106 " "	182 10 "	66 14 "	" " "	52 10 "	8 5 "	" " "	183 8 "	23 6 "	4 2 "
17 10 "	3 12 "	25 6 "	" 2 "	12 7 "	53 13 "	" " "	14 3 "	245 11 "	1 8 "
34 9 "	130 9 "	6 1 "	24 6 "	28 4 "	128 12 "	" " "	67 4 "	1,385 8 "	9 12 "
12 14 "	11 2 "	19 2 "	" 1 "	18 9 "	3 3 "	14 14 "	615 1 "	87 8 "	72 11 "
87 3 "	556 11 "	32 3 "	" " "	161 6 "	18 2 "	146 6 "	4,205 6 "	7 " "	4 15 "
11 3 "	31 14 "	" " 7 "	" " "	40 5 "	5 2 "	" " "	31 3 "	" " "	" " "
1388 11 "	108 1 "	100 3 "	" " "	101 5 "	121 14 "	" " "	99 10 "	" " "	" " "
210 3 "	24 8 "	65 14 "	" " "	32 7 "	113 5 "	" " "	31 8 "	" " "	" " "
197 " "	15 11 "	97 6 "	60 1 "	18 8 "	5 4 "	" " "	763 14 "	" 7 "	" " "
24 14 "	31 15 "	276 1 "	87 4 "	1610 7 "	36 13 "	" " "	7 6 "	525 7 "	42 15 "
3 8 "	50 15 "	7 8 "	305 9 "	126 15 "	2 14 "	" " "	2,122 9 "	" " "	" " "
2106 11 "	1594 14 "	717 14 "	542 4 "	2,746 14 "	539 15 "	161 4 "	8,487 13 "	2,431 7 "	177 5 "
77 4 "	24 8 "	1,191 15 "	83 1 "	10 4 "	28 10 "	" " "	126 1 "	1 7 "	1 4 "
106 12 "	15 9 "	168 15 "	" 11 "	220 5 "	90 5 "	" " "	36 5 "	35 " "	222 6 "
109 15 "	15 6 "	12 2 "	" " "	95 13 "	29 1 "	" " "	223 4 "	106 7 "	452 6 "
33 7 "	27 12 "	3 1 "	2 2 "	467 4 "	24 11 "	" " "	9 4 "	1,349 15 "	125 6 "
133 2 "	47 " "	1,232 9 "	24 6 "	294 12 "	50 6 "	" " "	102 " "	322 14 "	48 8 "
460 8 "	130 3 "	2,608 10 "	110 4 "	1,088 6 "	163 1 "	" " "	496 14 "	1,815 11 "	849 14 "
2567 3 "	1,725 1 "	3,326 8 "	652 8 "	3,835 4 "	703 " "	161 4 "	8,984 11 "	4,246 12 "	1,027 3 "

11633.

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Iron	Silk thread	Gunny	Pepper	Cardamoms	Cumblies	Sundry articles	Total Collections	Details of Col.n 33 – Imports – Company's Territories	Foreign States	Total
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
11 9 "	17 2 "	6 7 "	" " "	" 14 "	1307 3 "	1 4 "	17101 1 "	2 12 "	2307 2 "	2309 14 "
156 8 "	328 12 "	30 7 "	" " "	" 1 "	249 12 "	1 13 "	15012 1 "	119 10 "	1181 8 "	1301 2 "
85 15 "	122 11 "	34 12 "	1107 "	2 4 "	193 11 "	269 10 "	51876 3 "	" " "	43511 8 "	43511 8 "
888 7 "	9 11 "	10 15 "	" " "	" " "	630 1 "	10 11 "	18832 2 "	" " "	1496 4 "	1496 4 "
43 12 "	41 8 "	23 3 "	41 11 "	9 15 "	227 6 "	119 6 "	9182 3 "	" " "	2404 3 "	2404 3 "
" " "	34 5 "	8 5 "	" " "	2 15 "	872 2 "	135 1 "	37057 2 "	1 8 "	6567 11 "	6569 3 "
" " "	" 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	172 1 "	" " "	6532 8 "	4 3 "	" " "	4 3 "
2 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	94 6 "	45 12 "	14132 14 "	9 5 "	1932 11 "	1942 " "
" " "	32 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	184 12 "	" " "	9766 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
197 3 "	33 1 "	6 15 "	" " "	" " "	92 7 "	" " "	17506 10 "	857 "	8 8 "	93 15 "
" " "	55 15 "	" " "	" " "	8 6 "	1045 12 "	13 2 "	11578 4 "	" " "	4760 8 "	4760 8 "
						" " "	11448 5 "	864 11 "	7 5 "	872 " "
1385 13 "	676 " "	121 " "	1148 11 "	24 7 "	5869 10 "	596 11 "	220025 7 "	1087 8 "	69177 4 "	70264 12 "
" " "	10 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	439 4 "	" " "	5708 10 "	6 1 "	" " "	6 1 "
23 7 "	216 9 "	19 "	" 3 "	" " "	109 15 "	" " "	3614 12 "	117 11 "	217 9 "	335 4 "
3 " "	5582 1 "	101 3 "	8 6 "	" " "	559 14 "	8 5 "	19858 9 "	36 7 "	11366 1 "	11402 8 "
716 8 "	3155 15 "	" 14 "	15 2 "	1 2 "	245 3 "	" " "	9461 3 "	" " "	5981 7 "	5981 7 "
479 6 "	6 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	333 13 "	" " "	9567 9 "	" " "	3931 5 "	3931 5 "
1222 5 "	9971 13 "	103 10 "	23 11 "	1 2 "	1788 1 "	8 5 "	48210 11 "	160 3 "	21496 6 "	21656 9 "
2608 2 "	9647 13 "	224 10 "	1172 6 "	25 9 "	6857 11 "	605 " "	268236 2 "	1247 11 "	90673 10 "	91921 5 "

11634

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Details of Colm 33 (contd.)

Exports — Company's Territories (37)	Exports — Foreign States (38)	Exports — Total (39)	Home Consumption (40)	Extra Revenue from confiscation &c. (41)	Total of Colms 33 to 41 (42)
2,010 14 —	7,847 10 —	9,858 8 —	4,982 11 —	147 8 —	17,218 9 —
6,903 5 —	2,406 7 —	9,309 12 —	4,401 3 —	576 — —	15,588 1 —
721 12 —	1,816 9 —	2,538 5 —	826 6 —	68 9 —	5,194 4 12 —
8059 2 —	6,855 6 —	14,914 8 —	2,421 6 —	295 14 —	19,128 — —
2053 8 —	3,787 6 —	5,842 14 —	935 2 —	803 6 —	9,985 9 —
22,071 11 —	4,864 15 —	26,936 10 —	3,551 5 —	1,276 5 —	38,333 7 —
408 7 —	5,491 13 —	5,900 4 —	628 1 —	— 6 —	6,532 14 —
9,748 5 —	637 13 —	10,386 2 —	1,804 12 —	100 10 —	14,233 8 —
3,920 9 —	4,988 3 —	8,908 12 —	857 6 —	49 5 —	9,815 7 —
9,981 4 —	4,723 10 —	14,704 14 —	2,707 13 —	85 4 —	17,591 14 —
2845 9 —	2,758 7 —	5,604 — —	1,213 12 —	43 1 —	11,621 5 —
5,526 15 —	3,896 1 —	9,423 — —	1,153 5 —	17 15 —	11,466 4 —
74,251 5 —	50,076 4 —	124,327 9 —	25,433 2 —	3,434 8 —	223,459 10 —
4,677 12 —	220 2 —	4,897 14 —	804 11 —	27 — —	5,785 10 —
2,057 8 —	274 5 —	2,331 13 —	947 11 —	717 —	3,686 5 —
4,794 7 —	2,902 1 —	7,696 8 —	759 9 —	70 11 —	19,929 4 —
2,274 9 —	720 11 —	2,995 4 —	484 8 —	15 9 —	9,476 12 —
4,154 7 —	423 2 —	4,577 9 —	1,058 11 —	41 1 —	9,608 10 —
17,958 11 —	4,540 5 —	22,499 — —	4,055 2 —	225 14 —	43,436 9 —
92,210 — —	54,616 9 —	146,826 9 —	29,488 4 —	3,660 1 —	271,898 3 —

Bellary Collector's Office, 26th September 1842.

Total of Colms 6 and 42 (43)	Collections of fusly 1250 (44)	Comparison between Columns 43 and 44 — Increase (45)	Comparison between Columns 43 and 44 — Decrease (46)	Value of Stamp Paper — Fusly 1250 (47)	Value of Stamp Paper — Fusly 1251 (48)
4,177 14 5.				1,474 1 —	1,478 6 —
31,278 9 —	35,456 7 5.	— — —		1,352 3 —	1,593 1 —
20,938 1 —	17,692 1 —	3,246 — —	— — —	2,028 5 —	1,854 — —
5,764 12 —	5,423 5 —	— — —	1,658 9 —	1,858 5 —	1,997 11 —
25,978 — —	23,167 3 —	2,810 13 —	— — —	703 6 —	797 9 —
13,995 9 —	12,966 13 —	1,028 12 —	— — —	2,849 5 —	2,823 12 —
43,533 7 —	44,317 — —	— — —	783 9 —	365 9 —	275 15 —
9,032 14 —	11,350 5 —	— — —	2,317 7 —	1,192 10 —	1,201 15 —
18,063 8 —	17,270 — —	793 8 —	— — —	745 13 —	765 15 —
16,035 7 —	14,126 — —	1,909 7 —	— — —	1,648 10 —	1,542 8 —
21,121 14 —	21,425 7 —	— — —	303 9 —	949 8 —	977 6 —
16,091 5 —	14,971 5 —	1,120 — —	— — —	836 4 —	883 1 —
14,189 4 —	13,219 — —	969 4 —	— — —		
286,021 10 —	283,384 14 5.	11,877 12 —	9,241 — 5.	16,003 15 —	16,191 3 —
8,918 10 —	9,377 10 —	— — —	459 — —	633 6 —	569 5 —
5,345 5 —	5,220 2 —	— — —	479 13 —	399 12 —	348 7 —
20,790 4 —	21,125 10 —	— — —	335 6 —	1,299 — —	1,200 8 —
16,614 12 —	11,783 14 —	— — —	1,169 2 —	768 12 —	698 3 —
12,108 10 —	11,875 — —	233 10 —	— — —	729 — —	716 12 —
57,777 9 —	57,482 4 —	233. 10 —	2,438 5 —	3,829 14 —	3,533 3 —
343,799 3 —	343,367 2 5.	12,111 6 —	11,679 5 5.	19,833 13 —	19,724 6 —

Net 432 — 7

E. E. for Signed J. C. Kelly Sub Collr in charge

11635

A

Fort St. George

No. 5, Statement shewing the Demand Collection and

Fusly 1250.

	Settlement Collections of fusly to the 1250.	11th July 1841.	Extra Collections	Total Collections of fusly 1250.
1	2	3	4	5
Sayer or Land Customs	343367 25.	334713 7 2.	1 8 1.	334714 15 3
Abkarry	234080 8 u	214359 9 8	u 9 4	214360 3 u
Sundry small Farms and Licences	18419 13 u	17312 3 10	47 4 1.	17359 7 11.
Moturpha	256589 8 u	254215 10 3.	104 15 5.	254320 9 8.
Stamps	26205 1 u	26205 1 u	u u u	26205 1 8.
Total C. Rupees	878662 u 5	846805 15 11.	154 4 11.	846960 4 10

Bellary Collectors

11637.

Fort St. George

No. 7. Statement shewing the irrecoverable Balance of Motupha Revenue of fusles 1249 and 1250 in the Bellary Division of the Ceded Districts.

6th October 1842.

		Total Balance on the 11th July 1842.	By deaths.		Irrecoverable Emigration		Insolvency		Total	
			Number of Ryots.	Amount.	Number of Ryots.	Amount.	Number of Ryots.	Amount.	Number of Ryots	Amount.
		1	2 CRs as	3	4 CRs as	5	6 CRs as	7	8 CRs as	9	10 CRs as
Fusly 1249		122 3 5.	1	1 8 u	21	47 6 5.	3.	73 5 u	25	122 3 5.
Fusly 1250		210 9 4	4	10 9 2	42	116 9 u	15.	83 7 2	61.	210 9 4
		332 12 9.	5.	12 1 2	63	163 15 5.	18	156 12 2	86	332 12 9.
Collectors' Division	1 Bellary	149 4 9.	3	6 8 u	35	93 2 u	7.	49 10 9.	45	149 4 9.
	2 Rumplee	88 9 3	u	u u u	u	u u u	4	88 9 3.	4	88 9 3.
	3 Kurpenhully	1 4 2	u	u u u	u	u u u	1.	1 4 2	1	1 4 2
	4 Hoovenhudgoly	2 15 2	1	2 15 2	u	u u u	u	u u u	1	2 15 2
	5 Punchapollem	16 11 2	u	u u u	u	u u u	u	u u u	3	16 11 2
	6 Yadakee	12 3 3	u	u u u	1.	3 7 3.	1.	8 12 u	2	12 3 3.
	Total	270 15 9	4	9 7 2	39.	113. 4 5.	13.	148 4 2	56.	270 15 9.
Asst. Collectors' Division	1 Anantapoor	33 15 9	1	2 10 u	8	27 13 9.	1.	3 8 u	10	33 15 9.
	2 Dhurmawer	27 13 3.	u	u u u	16	22 13 3.	4	5 u u	20	27 13 3.
	Total	61 13 u	1	2 10 u	24	50 11 u	5.	8 8 u	30	61 13 u
	Grand Total CRs.	332 12 9.	5	12 1 2	63	163 15 5.	18	156 12 2	86	332 12 9.

Bellary, Collectors Office 26th September 1842. E. E. Jr. (Signed) C. Pelly
Sub Collr in Charge
Ordered.

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Order thereon.

(19. 20)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read the following letter:-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Actg Pl Coll. of Cuddapah.

(21)

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 19th Current, forwarding for my information an Extract from the Proceedings of Government dated the 6th idem, in which it is stated to be the intention of the Government to annex the Bungampilly Jaghеer to the Jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Kurnool.

As the land Revenue collections in that Jaghire for the current fusly will commence in November, I beg leave to recommend that I may be permitted at once to transfer the revenue administration of the Bungampilly Jaghеer to the Commissioner of Kurnool.

Cuddapah, — 30th September, 1842.
Pl Collr. office,

(Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Actg Pl Collr.

Proceedings

Fort St. George, 6th October 1842.-

Proceedings thereon.

(21. 22)

Minutes of Cons: Secret Departt, 6th Septr 1842. No 200. In Cons 19th ...

Under the order of Government there is no occasion for any delay whatever in making this transfer of the management of the Jagheer; and it is desirable that it should be effected as soon as possible.-

Ordered that the above be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah and the Commissioner of Kurnool, for their information and guidance respectively.-

(23)

From the Acting Principal Collr of Cuddapah.

Read Statement shewing the number of Tents possessed by the Principal Collector and his subordinates for Fusly 1251.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah.

(23-24)

To the Actg Pl Collr of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of Extra Tent allowance for Fusly 1251. bearing date 22nd Septem- ber last and under the explanation therein

In Cons: 6th Oct. 1842.

Fort St. George, 6th October 1842.

Mr. Arbuthnot Ag. Pr. Collector — No. of days 110
Mr. D. Mayne Hd. Assistant — 195

therein contained to convey sanction for the amount drawn by yourself and the Head Assistant Mr. D. Mayne at Cuddapah the Henzoor station.

2. It appears that the Sub Collector Mr. Cotton charged Extra Tent allowance at Cuddapah for 9 days in the Month of October 1841. No explanation being given of the necessity of his residence there during the period in question, the Board cannot pass the charge with this exception; they sanction the amount drawn by Mr. Cotton viz. for 91 days.

3. The Board further sanction the amount charged for by the Gentlemen whose names are entered in the Margin for the number of days noted opposite to them.

Mr. Ashton Pr. Collector	34 days
Mr. Shelton Sub Collector	78
Mr. Woodgate Ag. Do.	36
Mr. G. N. Taylor Assists.	79

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
6th October, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Order thereon.—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

Read the undermentioned Statements

Comparative

Fort St. George, 6th October 1842.

From the Ag. Pr. Collector of Cuddapah. (25) Comparative Statement between the Collections of Fusly 1250 and those of Fusly 1251.

From the Ag. Pr. Collector of Cuddapah. (26) Comparative Statement between the charges of Fusly 1250 and those for Fusly 1251.

Order on the two aboom. Statements. (25 - 27) Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll. of Chingleput. (28)

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information and orders of the Board the demise on the 9th Instant of Seetarama Naik the late Shrotriemdar of Cottoocaud, and to state that the widows have requested that the Shrotriem be registered in the name of his adopted Son Vencata Ramanjooloo, and that the Shrotriem be placed until the Minor attains maturity under the management of his father. The circumstances of the adoption, and the grant of the Shrotriem were detailed to the Board in my letter of the 20th July.

Chingleput District, Collr. Circt. Cutchy.
Ateherwauth, 17th September, 1842.

/Signed/ A Freese
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George, 6th October 1842.

Ordered that the following addresses be submitted together with the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject.

To, The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(28 29)

To the Ag. Chief Secy. to Government. } Para 1.

Submitting for orders Letters from the Coll.r of Chinglepat relative to the succession to the Shrotrium of Cottacaud in that District which was conferred on Savoy Naik for three lives.

from Govt. 30th Sept. 1817.

In Cons. 9th Oct.r --

from Coll.r 20th July & Encl. in Cons. 17th Aug. 1842.

from do 17th Sept. & Encl. in Cons. 4th Oct.r --

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence from the Collector of Chinglepit relative to the succession to the Shrotrium of Cottacaud in that District which was conferred on Savoy Naik for three lives, in consideration of past services, in the year 1817.

2. On the death of the original Grantee on the 17th of February last, the Shrotrium in question was registered under the authority of the Board of Revenue in the name of Seetarama Naik as the Eldest of the three surviving Sons of the deceased. This individual having no male issue, subsequently adopted his nephew named Venkata Ramanjooloo, a child of 4 years of

Fort St. George, 6th October 1842.

of age, the son of his younger brother Sagara-nadasawmy Naik, as his heir and future successor, and nominated the father of the child to be guardian of the Minor in the event of his own demise.

3. During the life time of the late incumbent Seetarama Naik, the Board did not consider it necessary to agitate the question as to the right of succession to the Shrotrium, but his death occurring shortly afterwards Viz. on the 17th Ultimo. the Collector has submitted the request of the widows of the deceased that the Shrotrium be registered in the name of the adopted heir and placed under the management of his father until the expiration of his minority.

4. The circumstance of the adoption would appear in all respects regular, but the Board consider that it rests with Government to decide whether the adopted Son as the third and last life should be substituted for the Elder surviving brother of the late incumbent who is understood to be in declining health.

5. Considering the short time the late

late incumbent survived his father the Board are disposed, as the family are unanimous and naturally anxious for the arrangement, to submit their case for the consideration of Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
6th October, 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

30

From the Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Porter's letter of under date the 4th Ultimo, and in reply to the remarks respecting the land held by Casavah Pillay to state, that the entire tract when granted by the Zemindar was Anadi waste, the classification of the land alluded to in Para 4 of my letter of the 22nd July having been effected since the execution of that Cowle, and during the prosecution of the Field Survey.

Paras 2. 3 and 4.

† Fusly 1240.

‡ Fusly 1245.

2. Of the 88 Cawnies granted to Casava

Casava Pillay, he has up to the present time redeemed 41 6/8 leaving 46 and odd Cawnies in their original state - no new source of irrigation has been created by the Cowledar, the small spot of wet land cultivated by him having been granted from the Keloy Tank.

3. With regard to the other Cowles from No. 1 to 3 alluded to in the 5th Para of the letter under reply, I have to add that the lands which were thereby granted were also bonâfide Anadi Waste at the periods those Cowles were executed, and it is those portions which had been subsequently cultivated or redeemed that were classed in the survey accounts in July 1245 as Taikh Bunger. none of the holders of these Cowles have formed any new sources of irrigation as provided for in the Cowles, the wet land in case No. 1 being cultivated as Manavary, and that in the two latter having been irrigated from the Village Reservoirs.

Vide letter to Board 22nd July 1842 - Para 14.

4. The Board are aware that the land in Cowle No. 4 was not immemorial waste

waste when granted by the Zemindar, and I do not therefore consider it necessary to offer any further remarks respecting it.

Chingleput District, Coll.s Circuit Cutcherry, Carangooly, 19th Sept.r 1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.— It appears from this communication that the land granted on Cowle to Petitioner by the late Zemindar was immemorial waste at the time it was given. The Board see nothing in the case to vitiate the Cowle which will accordingly be adopted and acted on by the Collector.

(30. 31)

It is the same with the four other Cowles brought forward by Mr. Freese, except with reference to part of the land given to Ramalinga Reddy. All these Cowles therefore will also be confirmed; but the land just noticed, which was not immemorial waste when granted, will be charged with the survey Assessment.

Read

Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(32)

From the Coll.r of North Arcot. Submits for the orders of the Board Copy of a letter from Mr. G. Thomson dated 6th July 1842 and proposes that the Tariff rates be Rs. 30 per Candy for Coarse Sugar and Rs. 50 for Fine, and to refund the amount in excess deposited by Mr. Thomson.

From the Board dated 25th March 1842

Para 1

Sir,

Fort St. George.

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying Copy of a letter from Mr. Gideon Thomson dated 6th July last, requesting that certain Native Manufactured Sugar which he has purchased for shipment to England may be passed at the valuation of Rupees 30 per Candy being the Tariff rate sanctioned by the Board for course Sugar Manufactured at Vencatagherry Colah by Mr. Jawse for exportation to England. Mr. Thomson succeeded Mr. Jawse at Vencatagherry Colah, the Sugar Works it is understood belong to Messrs. Line and Co. at Madras. The Tariff valuation of the Native Manufactured Sugar referred to by Mr. G. Thomson is Rupees 3—15— per Maund being Rupees 78—12— per Candy. Mr. Thomson has paid the duty on the Native Sugar at the Tariff rate of Rupees 78—12— per Candy but

but the difference between this rate and Rupees 30 Sanctioned by the Board, has been kept in deposit pending the orders of the Board. The Tariff valuation of Sugar in North Arcot is too high the subject from the extension of the Trade Calls for consideration.

2. The accompanying Statement No. 1 shews the whole sale and retail price of Sugar during the last 4 years. Besides Sugar Candy there are two descriptions of Sugar Coarse and Fine. The former is obtained by one Process. The latter undergoes a partial Refining and is the Sugar which is generally consumed in this Country. Under the last mentioned head the Sugars specified in the Statement as Brown Sugar, Country Sugar White, Royapoory Sugar and Jemery Sugar (4th Sort excepted) are included. Adverting to the Statement the average wholesale price

	Rs. As. P.
Brown Sugar	
1st Sort	2 13 7
2nd Do.	2 6 4
Country Sugar	
White	
1st Sort	2 13 3
2nd Do.	2 2 9
Royapoory Sugar	2 5 8
Jemery Sugar	
1st Sort	2 13 9
2nd Do.	2 6 9
3rd Do.	2 1 2
	19 15 3
Average	2 7 11

price of fine Sugar for the 4 years indicated is Rupees 2—7—11 per Maund. Messrs. Line and Co. were requested to state what they considered fair valuation for this description of Sugar. They are of opinion that Rupees 45 per Candy, being Rupees 2—4— per Maund would be fair, and they observe that "by adding Duty on the above "valuation and the expense of Transport, with "other contingent charges, to the Original Cost, "it brings the actual Cost of this description of "Sugar in Madras close upon the selling Price" "Messrs. Line and Co. think that Rupees 30 per Candy is a fair valuation for Coarse Sugar, that is Sugar produced by one Process. The rates proposed by Messrs. Line and Co. for fine Sugar Viz. Rupees 2—4— per Maund is rather below the selling rates of this District, the average Rupees

Rupees 2–7–11 shewn above appears to be an approximation to what may be considered a fair rate. I would propose accordingly, that Rupees 2–8– per Maund or Rupees 50 per Candy may be fixed as the Tariff valuation of fine Sugar. The valuation of Rupees 30 per Candy for Course or Brown Sugar, obtained by one Process appears fair. There is another description of coarse Brown Sugar Manufactured in this District called "Mucdoom". The average wholesale Price of this Sugar for the 4 Years shewn in the Statement is Rupees –14–9, but the Price in the last year of the statement is Rupee 1–4– a – The present Tariff valuation of this Sugar is Rupee 1–7–5 per Maund. This rate is excessive, and the proposed rate of Rupee 1–4– per Maund for Brown Sugar is rather above the selling price, but as a great Stimulus has been given to the Manufacture of Sugar – this description will no doubt improve in quality, at all events the difference between the selling rate Rupees 1–4– of last year and the proposed Tariff rate Rupee 1–4– is so little that it does not appear necessary to have a separate

See Col. 10 & 11 of Stat. No. 1

separate Tariff valuation for "Mucdoom" Sugar.

3. Thus the Tariff rates will be Rupees 30 per Candy for coarse Sugar and Rupees 50– for Fine. I beg to recommend that the Duty on the Sugar alluded to by Mr. Thomson may be adjusted with reference to these rates and that the amount in excess which is in deposite may be returned to that Gentleman. The Tariff valuation of Sugar Candy forms no part of the present communication.

4. Statement No. 2 has been prepared to shew the Tariff valuation of Sugar in the adjoining Districts. It is herewith submitted for reference.

North Arcot,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 21st Sept. 1812.

/Signed/ John Goldingham
Collector.

No. 1.

To

The Collector of the
Northern Division of
Arcot.

Sir,

Having purchased a quantity of Sugar of Native Manufacture for shipment to England, under the impression that the

Duty

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Duty would be levied thereon at the same valuation as on that which we have ourselves made, Namely Rupees 30 per Candy, and as the object for which it was intended will in a great measure, be frustrated by the high rate of Duty Rupees 3–15–4 per Candy, which I have since learned, is chargeable on Native Sugar, I have the honor to request you will be kind enough to bring the matter to the notice of the Board of Revenue and, should you see fit, recommend the reduction of the Duty. I may add that Rupees 30 per Candy is in my opinion the full average Cost, in this Neighbourhood, of raw Sugar to the Manufacturer. By raw Sugar I mean that which is only once boiled, and subjected to no subsequent process.

In the mean time to enable me to send the Sugar which I have purchased to Madras without delay, I will pay the Duty at the high rate, and shall feel obliged by your holding the

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the Surplus over Rupees 1/8 per Candy in the Name of Messrs. Line and Co. Madras, until the decision of the Board shall have been made known to you.

Uncalgherry, 6th July 1842.

/Signed/ Gideon Thomson

Statement

Fort St. George

No. 1.

6th October 1812.

Statement of the Wholesale and Retail Prices of Sugar in the Northern Division of Arcot.

Per Maund

Brown Sugar 1st Sort		Brown Sugar 2nd Sort		Country Sugar 1st Sort		White 2nd Sort		Mudoom Sugar		Rayapoor rice Sugar	
Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.
2 11 4	3 3 4	2 6 4	2 8 1	2 15 1	3 1 1	2 4 10	2 2 10	" 11 "	" 15 1	2 1 "	2 8 "
2 13 11	3 " 6	2 7 3	2 8 11	2 13 10	3 " 1	2 4 "	2 5 5	" 13 10	" 15 1	2 4 "	2 8 "
2 12 4	2 14 6	2 5 1	2 6 9	2 12 9	2 15 "	2 3 2	2 5 2	" 11 "	" 15 4	2 4 "	2 8 "
2 13 7	2 15 10	2 6 9	2 8 3	2 11 5	2 14 1	2 3 "	2 5 "	1 1 "	1 2 4	2 10 8	2 14 4
11 6 2	12 2 2	9 9 5	10 " "	11 5 1	11 14 3	8 11 "	9 2 5	3 10 10	4 " 1	9 6 8	10 6 4
2 13 7	3 " 7	2 6 4	2 8 "	2 13 3	2 15 7	2 2 9	2 4 7	" 11 9	1 " "	2 5 8	2 9 7

11655

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

1st Sort		2nd Sort		Demerara Sugar 3d Sort		4th Sort	
Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.	R. A P.
2 12 "	2 14 "	2 4 "	2 6 "	2 2 "	2 4 "	1 12 "	1 14 "
3 " "	3 2 "	2 8 "	2 10 "	2 4 "	2 6 "	1 12 "	1 14 "
3 " "	3 2 "	2 8 "	2 10 "	2 2 "	2 2 "	1 12 "	1 14 "
2 11 2	2 13 2	2 7 "	2 9 "	1 14 8	2 " 8	1 10 8	1 12 8
11 7 2	11 15 2	9 11 "	10 3 "	8 4 8	8 12 8	6 14 8	7 6 8
2 13 9	2 15 10	2 6 9	2 8 9	2 1 2	2 3 2	1 11 8	1 13 8

1650

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Country Sugar Candy White

1st Sort		2nd Sort		3d Sort		4th Sort		5th Sort	
Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price	Wholesale Price	Retail Price
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29		
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P		
4 15 1	5 1 8	3 15 5	4 2 3	2 3 .	2 4 "	1 7 "	1 8 "		
5 2 8	5 5 2	3 13 10	4 " 7	2 14 "	2 15 .	1 14 .	1 15 .		
5 1 2	5 3 11	4 " 1	4 3 .	2 8 "	2 9 . " " " " " "				
5 . 10	5 3 9	4 " 9	4 3 7	2 13 3	2 14 4	1 14 4	1 15 6		
20 3 9	20 11 6	15 14 1	16 9 5	10 6 3	10 10 4	5 3 4	5 6 6		
5 " 11	5 3 8	3 15 6	4 2 4	2 9 7	2 10 7	1 11 9	1 12 10		

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 21st September 1842. E. E. [illegible] Signed J. Goldingham Collector

11657.

Fort St. George 6th October 1812.

No. 2.

Memorandum shewing the Tariff valuation of Sugar in Districts adjoining North Arcot—

Name of Districts	Rate per Country Candy Brown Sugar		1st Sort		2nd Sort		Maund. white Sugar 3rd Sort		Rongapoorce Sugar		Mucdoom Sugar		Sugar Candy 1st Sort		2nd Sort	
	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
Madras	4	" "	"	" "	2	" "	18	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Cuddapah	2	12 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2	1 7	1	9 2	7	14 .	3	9 9
Chinglepute	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1	2 5	"	" "	"	" "
Nellore	4	1 8	"	" "	2	13 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Messrs. Line & Co.	2	4 "	"	" "	"	" "	18	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Salem	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1	4 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
North Arcot	1	7 5	4	14 5	3	15 "	18	" "	"	" "	1	7 5	6	9 7	4	14 2

North Arcot, Collr. Cutcherry
Chittoor, 25th September, 1812.

E. D. Jr. Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 6th October 1812.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Collector of Madras.

(32-33)

To the Coll.r of Madras—

Sept.r 25th 1812
In Con.t 6th Oct.r 1812

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you Copy of a letter from the Collector of North Arcot, having reference to the Tariff valuation of Sugar produced in his district, a subject on which some correspondence has already passed between this Office and yourself.

From the Collector of Madras May 28th 1812
In Con.t 2d June—
To ------ do ---- do June 2nd
From --- do ---- do June 4th
In Con.t 18th June—
From --- do ------ do July 1st
In Con.t 11th June—

2. The present system of making a new valuation of Sugar at the Madras Land Custom House every three months appears to the Board very objectionable, and they think that the great and sudden changes in the amount of duty demandable which frequently occur under this practice must be found very inconvenient and embarrassing in commercial transactions. They have it in view accordingly to address Government for

Under Clause 2d Sec.n VIII. Reg.n III of 1812.

Fort St. George 6th October 1812

for the purpose of having the tariff value fixed, if not permanently yet at least for a much longer period than at present, for one or two years perhaps; and they request you to put yourself in communication with the Chamber of Commerce, to ascertain the sentiments of that body on the subject.

3. With reference to the rates proposed by Mr. Goldingham, these appear to the Board to be fair as regards North Arcot, except that the highest rate might better perhaps be 45 Rupees instead of 50, and that a separate rate would be desirable for the lowest sort or Muctoom Sugar. But rates of valuation fixed at the Madras Custom House should be applicable not to the produce

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

produce of one district only, but to that
of the country at large. The Board
request therefore that after communi-
cation with the Mercantile body abo-
adverted to, you will submit a tari
for sugar, prepared with a view to it
being permanent, together with your
own sentiments, in order to its being
laid before Government. It is desira-
ble that the scale should be low,
and, so far as it is based on averag
regard should be paid to the price
during the exporting season, rather
than to those times of the
year, when though transactions
are few, the selling price rises far
above the average.

Rev. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
6th October 1842.

/ Signd / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

Read the following letters.—

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(34)

From the Coll.r of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and Orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying transcript of a letter received from the late Sub Collector Mr. Bourdillon dated 12th Ultimo, relative to the Neercooly Maunoyem claimed by the Meerassidars of Ottairy Villages of Arnee and under the explanation af-forded by that Gentleman, I beg to recommend that, independent of

	Teerwah C.	P. a.	
+ Poonjah	10	6	13
Nunjah	12	2	2¼
	16 10 13/16	8	15 7/16
* Nunjah	1 3/16	4	"
Total—	12	12	15 7/16

† 10 13/16 Cawnies of Cultivable Land, 13/16 Cawnies from the immemorial waste, in lieu of that said to be unfit for Cultivation, may be granted to the Meerassydars.—

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry—
Chittoor, 21st September 1842

/ Signed / J: Goldingham
Collector

No. 1.

To,
The Collector in the Northern Division of
Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1— In Mattapariroor Village of the Poloor Talook, 2 Cawnies of Nunjak and

10

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

10 Cawnies of Poonjah are borne in the accounts as Neercooly Maunium, being granted to the Meerasidars of Ottairy a Village of Arnee Jaghire, in consideration of the irrigation of 25 Cawnies Nunjah in Muttapaiyoor from the Ottairy Tank.-

2. This tank having been breached thirty or forty years ago and only very partially repaired, the Muttapaiyoor people got no portion of the water, and the Maunium was in abeyance; but more complete repairs having recently been made, they claimed their antient right and offered to the Ottairy Meerasidars the land specified as Maunium in the Village accounts. The Ottairy people refused this land saying that it was not the Customary Maunium, and that part of it, was unfit for cultivation. From the Thasildar's report and its accompaniments, it appears that out of the whole 12 Cawnies 13/16 Cawnies of Nunjah is totally unfit for cultivation, and it seems highly probable that the real Maunium was fraudulently changed in the Accounts at the time of the Pymash-

Neither

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

Neither party is now able to

3. point out the antient Maunium, but as it is clear that a report of the present Maunium is unfit for cultivation, it appears only fair to grant what the Ottairy people demand, Viz.te an equal quantity of land from the immemorial waste, in lieu of that part. I do myself the honor therefore to beg that you will obtain the authority of the Board of Revenue for making the change. In anticipation of their sanction, I have directed that the land indicated by the Thasildar shall be allowed as Maunium pending further orders. I enclose copies of two Arzees from the Thasildar. The accounts were sent to you with my Official Memorandum of the 29th June.-

North Arcot District,
Sub Collector's Cutcherry,
Arcot 12th August, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Sub Coll.r

Proceedings thereon.-

34 - 35

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosure be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Most Honorable the Governor

Fort St. George 8th October 1842

Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that the Collector may receive sanction to make over to the Merasadars of Ottairy Village of Arnee Talook, in his District 13/16 Cawnies of immemorial waste Land in lieu of an equal quantity of the Mamiem originally allotted, which is represented to be altogether unfit for cultivation.—

To

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(36)

From the Collector in the No. Div. dated 5

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying transcript of a letter received from the late Sub Collector Mr. Bourdillon dated 15th Ultimo relative to some Maniyems claimed in the Sautoor Village of the arcot Talook on account of a † Pagoda and ‡ Vadavanttleyar) and under the explanation afforded by that Gentleman's letter I beg to suggest that these Maniyems may be continued to them—Possession of the same has not been enjoyed for the last thirty seven years but perhaps the claims in the present case are not affected by that circumstance.—

	C.	R.	as	Towrah
†Punjah	4.	2.	2	
Punjah ½	—	1	8	
	4½	3	10	
‡Punjah ½		3	—	
Total	8	6	10	

North Arcot, - - -
Collector's Cutchery - - -
Chittoor, 21st Sept.r 1842.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

No. 1.

To the Collector in the Northern Division
of Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1 — The enclosed proceedings have reference
to

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

to some Maniem land in Sauttoor Village of Arcot Taluk, which has been included in the Seervaput for a Number of years, but which the Meerasdars now sue to have restored to them. The Mauniums are of two Kinds, Viz. 4 Cawnies Poonjah, and 1/2 Cawny Punjah, the Mauniem of the Village Pagoda, and 1/2 Cawny Punjah the Vadaverthy Mauniem, total 4 Cawnies Poonjah, and 1 Cawny Punjah.-

2 From the Proceedings it appears that the Pagoda Mauniem was free up to Fusly 1214 but the Pagoda having in that year fallen out of repair, the Poojaury having died and some of the images having been stolen, the services of the Pagoda ceased, and in the following year the land was brought to jumma. The Meerassdars having recently repaired the building, provided all things necessary for carrying on its services, and appointed a Poojary, and they pray that the old Mauniums may be again granted to them. The Vadaverthy Mauniem in like manner was free till Fusly 1213 but was attached in Fusly 1214 as the Office was vacant. The Village Meerassidars have now appointed a Vadavattiar, whom they maintain for the present themselves, but

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

but they seek the restitution of the old Mauniem to aid them in doing so.-

3 In all cases of Mauniems of such descriptions as these, it is the practice to attach them, and bring them to account under the head of Seervy jumma so long as the services for which they were granted are not rendered, but to release them when those services are resumed. The present case differs from that because this land has been regularly included in the Seervaput, and is brought to account as such in the Jummabundy. Yet as following the same analogy it seems fair that these Mauniems too should be released now that the services for which they were bestowed are performed, and I would beg you, if you concur in this view to submit the case for the favorable consideration of higher authority. Of the whole Mauniem the one Cawny Punjah and 1/2 Cawny Poonjah are regularly cultivated, the remaining, 3 1/2 Cawnies Poonjah is immemorial waste, the Cultivated land will be willingly surrendered by the Puttadars.-

North Arcot District, - -
Sub Coll.ts Cutcherry arcot,
15th August, 1842 - - - -

(Signed) S.D. Beardillon
Sub Collector

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Proceedings thereon. The Inamdums in question having been out of the professions of the Claimants, and assessed with Revenue, for a period of thirty seven years, the Board are precluded by Section IV Regulation XXXI of 1802 from acceding to this request.

Read the following letters

(36-37)

(38)

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Dpty Collr of Salem

With reference to your letter of the 12th Instnt, I have the honor to acquaint you, that on receipt of the Board's instructions conveyed in your letter of the 17th June 1841 an Ishtear was issued on the Salooks offering the sale of the Porto Novo Ploughs as old iron, but regret to state, that no purchasers came forward, the

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the ploughs being of cast iron are useless to the people for the purposes for which they require that metal.

Salem Gillah,
Cossoor,
Dpty Collr Cutcherry
1st October 1842

(Signed) J. Dalgleish
Dpty Collector.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39)

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

In reply to your letter of the 12th Instant, I have the honor to state that with the exception of one caught by myself, all the Porto Novo ploughs still remain on hand,

no

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

no one in the district being found willing to try the experiment of making use of them - and each one being of course, merely as such, unsaleable.-

*Vide Rupees 453-29

A Statement of the expences incurred on account of the ploughs accompanied my letter of the 9th September 1840. The only sum received is Rupees 18 the price of the only plough sold.-

Tinnevelly Poly. District.

Coyltalay Goodalore, - - -
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry, - - - -
28th September 1842 - -

(Signed) A.D. Anslow
Collector

(38 - 40)

Order on the two foregoing letters.- Ordered to lie on the table.

Read

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Read the following letter.-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(41.)

From the Coll.r in the Sou.th Div.n of arcot.-

1st In reply to your letter of the 1st Instant, I have the honor to request the attention of the Board to my communication on the subject of the Pagodas, dated 10th May 1842.-

2d It therein stated the extreme difficulty I had encountered in obtaining proposals for the Management of 52 out of the 107 Jusdictionals Pagodas. I pointed out the objections entertained by the Natives. I explained in particular that if the only remaining interference were to be exercised by the Collector, rather than the Judge more Managers would be likely to come forward, and also that, according as those first taken in trust by Natives prospered or otherwise, I should meet with success in inducing parties to take charge of the remaining 55, and finally that to wash my hands of all immediately was impracticable, tho perfectly to be calculated on in the course of time.-

3d The Board under date 2d June in

in parah 7 express themselves to the effect, that they trust I shall not meet much difficulty as re-
-gards the remaining 66- but at the same time give me no information which could apply towards cancelling the objections raised by the people,- no hope that interference by a European Judge will not be preferred to interfer-
-ence by a European Collector, and no order to commence the arrangement by disposing of the 62 to the proposers, as an example for others.-

4th " Thus matters were left in statu quo when I have so remained since, and in proof that every endeavour was formerly made, I regret to be compelled to apprize the Board that I have not succeeded in another single instance.-

5th " And I hardly expect to do so- the universal cry is "How can I spare time for this work" and run into danger, as I know that quarel-
-some people will never be satisfied with what I do, and I shall be ruined in the Courts.-

6th " I must here also give the Board this assurance, that I do not think many of those who now consent will continue in that mind under the penalty bond contemplated in the above quoted letter, para 6. Neither

do

do I think it can be expected of a man, when asked as a favor to take charge of the Pagoda, that he will sign such an agreement, which would only serve as a stimulus to his enemies to annoy him in the Courts.-

7th " Such a measure may be very suitable and unobjectionable where the control of a Pagoda is likely to be productive of honor and wealth- or even where the Trustees are wealthy and influential- But there is nothing of this character in South Arcot, and the form of agreement, or so much of it as relates to the Court, had better be dispensed with: I see not moreover why the Trustee is not answerable without signing such a paper.-

8th " Each part of the Country has its peculiarities connected with the funds, the usages and control of these Institutions, and it appears to me impracticable to make at once a general rule for the disposal and continuance of the whole to the Management of Native Trustees and equally impracticable for so important a change to be carried out with celerity and certainty, unless the

question

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

question can be referred to one person, or Committee of persons, prepared locally to ascertain particulars and devote undivided time to its settlement.-

9th. A point has occurred to me since my letter of 10th May which in reference to paras 2, 3, 4, 5. I will bring to notice now.- The practice is at present, where poojah is not performed in these smaller pagodas to carry the teervah of the Maunium lands to account only allowing them to be Maunium while the Pagoda and its duties are kept up. I think a fixed rule should be drawn up, to the effect that 2 or 3 years disuse should forfeit all title to the Maunium. For it will be a sort of interference if annually enquiry is made, and one year the Maunium given and another withheld, whereas on the other hand, to make over the lands unconditionally would be very unadvisable. The fact of poojah being discontinued for the time fixed to be reported by the Village officers at the annual Settlement as part of their duty.-

10 I beg the Board to believe that I have hitherto afforded, and shall continue

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

continue to afford my best exertions towards the accomplishment of the desired End; but at the same time I must add with all deference, that my Report of 10th May was written after mature discussions and consideration, and that I am confident (and subsequent experience gives confirmation) that the arguments there used are true and valid: such being the case, it is hopeless to expect any advance in the matter while those arguments meet with no favor. In what I have as yet done towards effecting the object in view, I have refrained from consulting the wishes of the Natives so much as my own inclination would have led me, or I should have made but little way. But the affair is now so completely at a lock, that I deem it expedient, to ensure ultimate success, that the reasons I have explained for their not more readily coming forward, should meet with attention. tho they may vary from what have been found in other Districts.-

Jaunapaundy South arcot, Coll.r's Cutcherry 15th Sept.r 1842.

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

(41-42)

To the Collr in the Sth Divn of Arcot—

In Con. 5th Oct. 1842.

To the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir

1 Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th Ultimo, regarding the withdrawal of Government interference from the native Religious institutions of your District.

2 The Board regret to learn that since the date of your former communication on this subject, you have made no advance to a final Settlement, and are concerned to find that the 52 Pagodas for which you then stated that arrangements had been made are still under your charge, as you were authorized in the Board's reply to carry your proposals into effect, both with regard to the Silleray and Muddiastum Pagodas, and to the 52 Insdeck Pagodas. To prevent further mistakes, I am instructed to request that you will now lose no time in doing so.—

Letter June 2d 1842.

†P2—

*P6—

3 The Board further observe that in your present communication as well as

in

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

in the former one, you have permitted yourself to enter into a discussion of the general question of the abandonment of Government Davustanum interference— This you must be sensible is now quite out of place, that question having been finally determined by superior authority, and the only part now for the executive officers, is to use their endeavours to carry the plan into effect in the best manner— In particular it was your duty as the very first step in the proceeding, to make it clearly known to all concerned that the determination of Government for the withdrawal was decisive and irrevocable and not to allow them to retain in any degree a † hope that the present system will stand "a hope which is certainly deceptive and which so long as it exists as certainly obstructs a final arrangement—

Coll.r's letter May 10th 1842. Para 8 in Cons 26th

3. The difficulties which you have found in this matter have been met with equally in other parts of the country But decision and conciliation and address have overcome them and in several districts where the Davustanums under management were larger

and

and more numerous than in South Arcot the separation has been completed - It is indeed a matter of surprise to the Board that so much backwardness and fear to undertake the charge of Pagoda Superintendence as you represent should be exhibited in your District where the public have immediately before their eyes in the Chellumbrum Pagoda, an example of a Religious institution professed of large income and landed endowments conducted for a long series of years without any degree of Government interference, and yet without that ruin both of Pagoda and managers which would have occurred if these fears were just.-

5. The Board desire again to urge you to devote your attention to the subject with the view of bringing it speedily to a satisfactory conclusion and they are glad to be able to inform you that one obstacle which you regarded as very strong is removed Government having recently determined that no trust deeds or bonds shall be executed - It is desirable that you should commence with removing all doubt from the public mind

mind as to the determination of Government, you can point to Chillumbrum as an example of the practicability of what is aimed at you can exhort the respectable part of the community to aid you in forming the best arrangement possible under the new system for the management of their institutions and proceeding in this way with the conciliation and temper which the Board are satisfied you will bring to your aid they still entertain the hope and expectation expressed in their former letter that you will not experience the difficulties which you anticipate in carrying the orders of Government into effect.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 6th October 1842

} I signed I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(43)

From the Collector of South Arcot -

Sir.
Fort St. George

In reply to your letter of 1st Instant calling attention to the remarks under No. 56. I have the honor to

11680. Fort St. George 6th October 1842

From the Office 11th Septr 1840 - From Board's Proceedings of 1st October 1840 -

to refer to the correspondence noted in the margin -

2. It will be observed that the Board were two years ago made acquainted with the circumstance of the pension having been continued, and I may say that it was tacitly sanctioned as no disapproval was expressed in their reply.

3. It has not been understood in this Office that a pensioner forfeits his claim to future payments but only to those due during his non-appearance by absenting himself for any short period. The loss of pension for the period of his absence has been supposed to be the full punishment and not the discontinuance of the pension altogether, which would appear to be a very severe penalty -

4. I should also mention that this is a Cavelly pension in lieu of assumed lands and fees, and therefore not perhaps obnoxious to the strictest enforcement of the Rules.

Tauny pandy, - - - -
South Arcot,
Collrs Circuit Kutcherry,
15th Sept 1842

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector

11681. Fort St. George 6th October 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject -

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(43. 44)

To From the Actg Chief Secy to Govt

The Accounts of the Collector of South Arcot having been retrenched by that office of Account and Audit for the Disbursement of a Cavelly pension amounting to Rupees 21 per Mensem to one Tamasevoy Thundy, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying correspondence for the consideration and orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council.

From the Collector 11th Septr in Cons 1st Octr 1840
Bd's Proc 1st Octr
From Coll. 16th July in Cons 15th Augt
Enclosure 4
From Coll. 16th Septr in Cons 6th Octr
1842

2. It appears that the pension in question was left undrawn for a period of 3 Years at the end of which time, Vizt. 1 September 1840. the pensioner applied for it when the Principal Collector rejected his claims for arrears but issued orders for its payment from the year his application was made. The Board of Revenue subsequently

confirmed

11682. Fort St George 6th October 1842

confirmed the Proceedings of the Principal Collector as regards the claim for arrears, but it does not appear that any recommendation was made to Government for the continuance of the allowance from the Date of application.

3. As the pension however was conferred originally in lieu of resumed lands and privileges and consequently not liable to resumption the Board now beg to request that the sanction of Government may be granted for its payment from Fusly 1249. for the adjustment of the Collectors accounts.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
6th October 1842

/Signed/ I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

11683. Fort St George 6th October 1842

Read the following letter

45

From the Collector of South Arcot, 1st.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose copy of a correspondence with the Post Master General for the perusal of the Board.

2d. As is well known the Talook Tappal is arranged exclusively by the Collector for the convenient discharge of his duties in connection with his Officials. It is carried by Revenue and Police peons or Talaries, whose duties in this character is subservient to the performance of their other more important ones.

3d. The Government are put to no expence whatever, and when first the reception of private letters was admitted and a charge collected for their conveyance, it was not on the ground that Government could well claim such a tax, so much as on the principle that it would benefit individuals and prevent the extortion, practised by the runners in taking private letters secretly.

4th. To establish such a system as

as that now proposed would in principle therefore appear a hardship unless the receipts are granted for improvement in its method and moreover would for many reasons be highly objectionable.

5th "

Natives seldom write except on thick paper and scarcely any letter would weigh less than 3 or 4 tola - thus for the conveyance of each there would be a charge of about 2 as and this when its transit would be slow and uncertain and its delivery not at the door, The Tahsildar would be compelled to appoint a servant to look out all the distances to weigh the letters and to keep an account in the event of objections and much extra trouble would be occasioned, while the ordinary work is already too heavy moreover there are no scales in any talook kutcherry - The present plan of a uniform low postage on each letter without reference to weight obviates all these difficulties.

6th "

I do my best with limited means / for in South Arcot the peons are very few / to make the talook tappal regular and safe, one Anna is charged on each letter, and it is my intention to Lower it to 6 Pice, which is ample and will probably double the number of

of letters sent, but if the formal Rules of the Post Office are to be grafted on such an inefficient institution, I think it most unreasonable not to ensure both safety and regularity which can only be done at a very considerable outlay by Government.

7th "

If besides these Rules are to be adopted it is tantamount to giving the Post Master General partial authority over all my Tahsildars, and others employed in the Management - I need hardly point out how vexatious such interference must prove - and no doubt it would frequently be demanded because every person sending a letter on payment of the Post Office Regulated fee, would justly appeal to that Officer against any delay or irregularity, altho it arose very probably from the Collectors express order called for by the importance of some Revenue or Magisterial business.

8.

The Board I trust will agree with me therefore, after a consideration of the subject, that in the first place it is highly expedient that the Post Master General should not possess the power of interfering with the Collectors' Talook tappal - and in the second, that the proposal contained

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

contained in his letter ought not to be carried out.

9. In the event of the Post Master General persisting or referring the subject I have the honor to request that his communication may be met before Government either by the reasons for my non compliance here given or by such other more urgent ones as the Board probably will have it in their power to adduce.

Jaunypaudy ..... / Signed / C. H. Hallett
South Arcot Collectorate Circuit
Cutcherry 18th September 1842 } Collector

No. 1.

To The Post Master
in the Southern Division
of Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to direct that Palook postage may hereafter be levied in your range, according to the reduced rate of postage sanctioned by the Supreme Government under Date the 14th August 1839 if the same had not been brought into operation before this.

Fort St. George ... / Signed / A. F. Bruce
General Post Office
9th September 1842 } Post Master General

To

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

To The Post Master General

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of 9th Instant and in reply to regret that I must refuse compliance

1st

2d Because the Palook Tappal is merely a means of connecting communication between my officials and myself at no cost to Government - and interference by your Department with its internal management would be productive of inconvenience to the Revenue and Police business of the District.

3d Thro' the Revenue Board only, should I be justified in my opinion in following instructions relative to the Palook Tappal but in case you should differ in this respect - I have this Day addressed the Board stating my objections to the exercise of such a power as well as to the adoption of the measure proposed with a view to a reference to Government in the event of the point being pressed by you.

Jaunypaudy ..... / Signed / C. H. Hallett
South Arcot Collectorate Circuit
Cutcherry 18th September 1842 } Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

To the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot

(45-46)

To the Collector in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot--

Fort St. George 6th Octr 1842

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 18th Ultimo-

2 The Board do not anticipate the inconveniences which you fear from the operation of the measure proposed by the Post Master General- They do not apprehend that in carrying it into practice, any interference will be necessary on the part of that Officer with the members of your Establishments nor do they think that with the small number of private letters that will be sent by the talook Tappal and the distances between places along its route being so well known, any calculable increase of labour will be thereby entailed on the Talook servants-

3. As to the trouble of weighing letters it is within the Board's knowledge, that since the promulgation of the new scale of postage in 1839 the form and size of communications sent by

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

by natives through the Tappaul are greatly altered; instead of the heavy packets as previously common, they are now written on small pieces of thin Europe or China paper and very seldom exceed the weight of ¼ Rupee. In the few cases where they are heavier, little difficulty will be found, the Board believe, at the Talook Cutcherries in determining the weight with sufficient accuracy-

4 The Post master General's object appears to be to lighten the postage by the Talook Tappaul, and the Board think that this object will be attained, as almost all letters will come under the single rate of 6 Pice-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 6th October 1842 } Signed I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter-

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(47)

From the Collr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot--

Sir

I have the honor to request

that

that you will be so good as to return the documents forwarded with my Predecessor's letter of the 10th August 1840. in order that they may be delivered back to the party by whom they were presented.—

Pennattoor South arcot, 2d September 1842 — } Signed C. H. Hallett, Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

To the Collector in the S. D. of Arcot. — } Sir,

I have the honor to transmit the original documents referred to in your letter of the 24th Ultimo as noted in the margin.—

Four Persian documents inclosed in a letter from the Collr. 18th ins. in Cons. 22d August 1840.—

Revenue Board office Fort St. George 6th October 1842 — } Signed J. D. Boundillon, Secretary

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 29th July and entered in Consultation 18th August last.—

49

Proceedings thereon

Recording observations and orders on a further report from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 23d May 1842.

In the last Communication from the Board on this subject, to which the letter above recorded is a reply, the Board objected to the proposed

of Trichinopoly regarding the withdrawal of the Servants of Government from Davastanum management in that District.—

proposed arrangement for the Seringhum Pagoda on the grounds that the Jeer was not a fit person to have the management of extensive and intricate transactions, and that the antipathy existing between the Stullators and archagaurs would prevent their cordially uniting in the duty of trustees— These objections are now obviated; both Jeer and archagaur are removed from the Committee as now proposed, which is to consist of two independent and respectable persons in Conjunction with two of the Pagoda Stullatours, all the four Officers of that description officiating on the Committee in alternate years.—

2 There is a change also in the individuals chosen for trustees of the other two Pagodas which is so far an improvement on the former plan that it removes the Sumbouraun of the Rock Pagoda to whom the Board before objected, from all interference with that part of its affairs which has hitherto been under the officers of Government.—

+ Sumbogaswerum and the Rock Pagoda.

3 The Board approve generally of

of the arrangements as now proposed for all three institutions, and authorize the Collector to carry them into effect immediately; thus completing the withdrawal of the servants of Government in the Srichinopoly District from all Devastanum management: Except in the case of the Seringhum Stullatars whose successors in that office will in like manner be trustees ex officio. the provisions made for the appointment of successors to the individual trustees now nominated are not what the Board can fully approve this however need be no bar to the immediate execution of the plan as it is expected that the mode of succession will be settled generally by a legislative enactment.

4 It is discretionary with the Collector to employ and pay out of the Pagoda revenues such servants as he may deem necessary for the object specified in the 3rd Para of his letter but these servants will not appear in the Moyen Japtah of the District or form a part of any public establishment, and the Board do not consider that their special sanction is necessary to the measure.

Government

Government have declared

5 it objectionable that any trust deeds or engagements of this kind should be executed by the trustees and the Board therefore cannot confirm those submitted by Mr. Onslow.

6 The disposal of the surplus funds of the Devastanum Institutions now in deposit is a subject that has been referred for the determination of the Home authorities and pending the receipt of their instructions no orders can be passed regarding it in this Country.

7 Ordered that Petitions 1178 and 1211 of 1842 be respectively endorsed as follows.

On 1178 of 1842. "Petitioners are informed that it is not considered proper to associate them with the Stullatars in the management of the Seringhum Pagoda

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

Pagoda: and that no reason appears for so setting aside the persons selected for the trust in favour of those whom they recommend."—

On 12th of 1842 "Petitioners are informed that it is not considered expedient to associate the archagaurs with the Stullatars in the management of the Seringhum Pagoda."—

Ordered that extract of the foregoing Proceedings be submitted for the information of Government together with the former correspondence on the subject as noted in the margin, and that a copy be furnished to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter recorded above.—

Read

+ From the Coll. 9th in Cons 26th August 1841
To Do 26th Oct.
From Do to 30th Sept.
Proceedings of the Board 12th Dec.
From the Coll. 22nd Feby 1842 in Cons 10th March
To the Do Coll 23rd May

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary

Board of Revenue

50

From the Collr of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I take the liberty of reminding the Board of Revenue of my letter of the 18th Ultimo — nominating my Deputy Theristadar Chellapah Moodelliar as successor to the office lately vacated by the death of Rungarow Head Seristadar.—

I consider it for the interests of the charge committed to me that the Board's approval or disapproval should be communicated at

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

at an early period as the Sum-
-mabundy for the Current fusly
will commence the Month after
next and it is very necessary
that I should conclude arrange-
-ments regarding the Sheristtah
Department before that time and there
must of necessity be some delay in case
I should be compelled to submit other
proposals. Besides, as the Board will
readily understand, the present
state of uncertainty is highly pre-
-judicial to the conduct of business.

Vayatala Goodaloor
Trichinopoly
27th September 1842.

/ Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

Read

51

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St George.

52

From the Collr
of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to
report the decease, on the 13th Ultimo of
Sinnoba Vajavapa Cumbia Naiker, late
Zemindar of Veeramalai, in the taluck
of Maroongapoory, receiving a subsistance
of 10 per cent on the Net settlement of
his Pollium, which I beg to recommend
may be entered in the name of his
eldest son Kistanaba Cumbia Naiker.

A list of the family
and Kindred accompanies.

Madura
Mona Madura
14th September 1842

/ Signed J. Blackburne
Dy Collector.

List

11698 Fort S. George 6th October 1842

A

List of Family and Kindred of the deceased Sinnoba Vajaraya Cumbia Naiker late Zemindar of Veeramalai in the Taluk of Maroongapoory.—

No.	Names	Age	How related
1	Ger Ammal	47	Widow
2	Chin Ammal	40	Do
3	Kistneba Cumbia Naiker	44	Son
4	Papdoo Chinamma Naiker	41	Do
5	Vajsava Naiker	41	Do
6	Pari Avoo Naiker	40	Do
7	Coomaslek Naiker	39	Do
8	Bommia Naiker	38	Do
9	Camia Naiker	31	Do
10	Chinama Naiker	30	Do
11	Mootoo Ramlinga Naiker	30	Do
12	Ramasawmy Naiker	3	Do
13	Sawmy Ammal	36	Daughter
14	Sun Ammal	35	Do

Sind

11699 Fort S. George 6th October 1842—

A

No.	Names	Age	How related
15	Sind Ammal	30	Daughter
16	Mini Ammal	29	Do
17	Mootoo Cam Ammal	23	Do
18	Thumby Ammal	22	Do
19	Pethoy Ammal	20	Do
20	Pap Ammal	18	Do
21	Sumue Ammal	37	Daughter in law
22	Rum Ammal	37	Do
23	Sooba Naiker's Widow Sumue Ammal	30	Do
24	Pummue Ammal	30	Do
25	Pap Ammal	23	Do
26	Pethoy Ammal	18	Do
27	Sooba Naiker	13	Grand Son
28	Sooba Naiker's Son Pari Avoo Naiker	12	Do
29	Pari Avoo Naiker	3	Do
30	Camue Ammal	15	Grand daughter
31	Camue Ammal	14	Do
32	Pomue Ammal	13	Do

Vetra

11700. Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

No.	Names	Age	How related
33	Yerra Chin Ammal	9	Grand Daughter
34	Pethoy Ammal	7	Do
35	Thoy Ammal	4	Do
36	Lam Ammal	3 Months	Do
37	Pari Coro Naicken	61 years	Brother
38	yer Ammal	50	Brothers Widow
39	Chokalinga Naiker	35	Nephew
40	yer Ammal	27	Brothers Sons Wife
41	Lamue Ammal	25	Do
42	Soba Naiker	13	Do Grand Son
43	Ramalinga Naiker	10	Do
44	Ponnia Naiker	4	Do
45	Mod Alagoo Naiker	2	Do
46	Lamue Ammal	9	Do Grand Daughter
47	Meenachee	7	Do
48	Sondra Ammal	2	Do

Madura
Manna Madura
14th September 1842

Signed J. Blackburne
Dy Collector

Ordered

J.G.B.

11701. Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address:-

To, The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

52. 53

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government - dated 14th Septr in Consn 6th Octr 1842

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura reporting the death on the 13th of August last of Sinnoba Vafsavapa Cumbia Naicker Zemindar of Veeramalia of the Talook of Marongapoory in his District who received a subsistence of 10 per Cent on the net settlement of his Pollum agreeably to the orders of Government under date 5th of January 1821.

In Cons. 11th Jany 1821.

2 The Board beg to support the recommendation of the Principal Collector that the above mentioned allowance may be continued in

Fort St George 6th October 1842

in the name of his eldest son Kistnaba Cumbia Naikar.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
6th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

54

From The Pl Coll: of Madura.

On the 24th Instant I had the honor to receive your letter of the 15th Idem, giving cover to an extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of May as if in conformity to the Board's Circular orders of the 13th July 1826. As those orders lead me to suppose I am to be furnished with copies of all Endorsements made by them monthly and as these Extracts are very rarely received and never embrace all the Endorsements passed I am at a loss to know the Board's object in furnishing me with these partial Extracts

Fort St George 6th October 1842.

Extracts. I am afraid it is necessary for me to say that my question is not meant to waste your time but solely to enable me to comprehend the Board's object and wishes.-

Madura
27th September 1842

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pl Coll:

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

54-55

To,
The Principal Collector of
Madura

To the Pl Coll: of Madura.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 27th ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the Endorsements referred to in their standing order of July 13th 1826 of which it is there said that copies will monthly be furnished to Collectors, are not "all endorsements" as you suppose, but only those "made by the Board on Petitions referred to

to the Collectors" as particularly spe-
-cified in the order itself. Copies of
Endorsements of this latter description
have, it is believed, regularly
been sent to you, for all Months
in which any such were made.-

2 The Board remark
that the concluding sentence of your
letter was quite unnecessary.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
6th October 1842. - - -

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the follow-
-ing letters..

To

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

56

From the Coll-of Tinnevelly

As called for in the Board's letter of the 9th June last submitting opinion regarding the propriety of relieving the Zemindar of Nadoovacoorchy of a portion of the arrears and recommending its restoration to him on certain conditions.-

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 9th June last, calling for my opinion as to the propriety of relieving the Zemindar of Nadoovacoorchy of a portion of the arrears which have occurred while the Estate had been under Circar Management.-

2 This Zemindary as the correspondence which has passed on it shews, was attached in March 1830 for arrears of Revenue to the amount of 1050-
The Balance against the Estate now outstanding is Rupees 12,098-7-8- In 1837 endeavours were made by Mr Eden to indace the Zemindar to enter into some arrangements for the liquidation of a portion of the balance then outstanding and for the due payment of future Peishcush, but though the Zemindar was frequently called on by that Gentleman, he was quite unable to offer any terms which Mr

Fort St George 6th October 1842

Mr. Eden thought himself warranted in recommending for acceptance with a view of the restoration of the Estate to its owner,- since that period the balances under the Circar Management have increased and with these of course the inability of the Zemindar to satisfy the demand against him - For 1250 the entire Peishcush was realized and the sum of Rupees 739-15-8 was also carried to the credit of the Estate. In the last Fusly 1251, I sanctioned the outlay of Rupees 889-10-8 for repairs to the works of irrigation, and, but for the recovery of this outlay, the Peishcush of the present year would have been realized in full.-

3 Mr. Eden has stated his opinion that the Estate is highly assessed and there are grounds for such an opinion; but it does not appear that the Zemindary ever was well managed under the Zemindar and his influence since its attachment, as described by Mr. Eden has always been a bar to the due eliciting of its resources. The effects of the Maramat expenditure even of the last year are apparent and the renewed application of the Zemindar for the restoration of the Estate to him on its old terms tend to shew that

J.G.B.

Fort St George 6th October 1842.

that though it may be highly assessed it is not more so than with good management it can yield.-

4- The present Zemindar is ignorant and indolent and unfit of himself to manage any thing, but as the Court of Directors appear averse to his being on this occasion deprived of his estate, and as he has tendered to me - a proposal to give security for the liquidation of the arrears against the estate at 500 Rupees per Annum on condition of its being restored to him and also at once to pay up the balance of Peishcush due for the Fusly just expired. I would recommend that

5 Rs 527-2-3. after deducting Rs 1186-13-2. being the value of Grain sold

Fort St George 6th October 1842

that these terms be acceded to on the full understanding that if the estate again falls in arrears it will be without fail sold for those which have now accrued, and the punctual performance for some time of his agreement to liquidate these arrears may on a future occasion be grounds for any indulgence in the way of their remission which the Government with its wonted liberality may be pleased to extend to him --

The Petition which accompanied your letter under reply is herewith returned --

Tinnevelly --
Tencandy, --
12th September --
56-57 1842 --

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Order thereon -
(Read again 1st Octr)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting --

To.

Fort St George 6th October 1842.

To.
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,
Fort St George.

58

From the Collr of Tinnevelly - Reporting the delivery of Pagodas in the District to persons concerned with the exception of the Pagodas in the Cusbah Nelliambalum & its dependants and at Srechendore for causes therein mentioned and requesting to know as to who are to be considered the Village Community -

Para 1.

With reference to my letter of the 22nd April last reporting on the Devastanum Establishment of this District and to the Board's proceedings thereon - I have the honor to inform you that the Pagodas have with two exceptions been made over as proposed and approved of -

The exceptions are the large Pagoda of the Cusbah Nelliambalum and several attached to and dependant on it and the Pagoda of Srechendore --

2. The Parties who at first consented to compose the Committee for the former Pagodas have now made objections to accept the charge, and it will be necessary to obtain others - This will cause some little delay in the disposal of these Pagodas, but I do not anticipate any ultimate difficulty - The Board will remember that I proposed to make over the Pagoda at Srechendore to the

11710. Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the Management of the Rayah of Travancore, I regret to state that the Resident is not inclined to permit His Highness accepting the charge and His Highness has declined to undertake it. I am not yet prepared to propose any other arrangement, as there will be some obstacles to procuring persons residing in the neighbourhood of the Pagoda of sufficient influence to undertake the management of it. Some little time will elapse before the final disposal of this Pagoda can be effected.—

3. The Board in the 8th Para of their Proceedings dated 30th May last have objected to the Plan I submitted for the filling up of vacancies in the Committees and have expressed their desire that this should be left to the Village Community. The difficulty of defining what the Village Community consists of the disputes which will on every occasion occur, the rivalry which it will occasion, and the ultimate appeal that may be expected to be made on differences of opinion existing with respect

11711. Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

respect to the selection of the parties to succeed to these Vacancies, occur to me as serious obstacles to the practical working of this Plan. The interference of the Officers of Government will not, it appears to me, be got rid of so easily as by the more simple method proposed in the 15th Para of my letter to which I would beg again to call the attention of the Board. But should it be still considered that the selection should rest with the Village Community I would request the opinion of the Board as to who are to constitute that Community, whether the influential only, or the whole body of the agricultural and trading classes.

Tinnevelly, Tencausey } Signed H. C. Montgomery
12th September 1842. } Collector.

58-59

Proceedings thereon. At the date of the Collector's former report he had finally transferred 130 Pagodas out of 350 the whole number heretofore under management to the custody of Committees. From his present communication the Board

Recording the resolutions on a further report from the Collector of Tinnevelly on his arrangements for putting an end to Govt. interference with the management of Pagodas in that District.

Signed by Chas. Mills Esq.

leave

11712. Fort St George 6th October 1842.

learn that with the exception of the two large Pagodas of Nullambalum and Trichendoor and a few small ones dependant on the former, all the Religious institutions of the district have been similarly separated from the interference of Government Officers. The Board are gratified at this result, and at the manner so peaceable and considerate, and so consonant to the feelings of the community in which it has been effected; in a part of the country where, more than in others, the apprehensions of the people had been aroused in opposition to the measure itself.

2 It is now desirable that the best attention of the present Acting Collector should be given to the object of completing the severance, by disposing of the few remaining institutions; with respect to the Pagodas of Nullambalum, the Board hope that Mr. Thomas will be able to conciliate some of the parties whose jealousies and disagreements form the bar, it is understood, to the formation of a Committee

11713. Fort St George 6th October 1842.

Committee and induce them to undertake the charge. The Trichendoor Pagoda with its large revenues and extensive celebrity is a subject of more importance and difficulty; the Board would have been pleased if this institution could have been entrusted to the care of the Travancore Rajah, but as that mode of providing for the Pagoda seems unattainable, it remains that the Acting Collector should use his best endeavours to devise and carry into effect some other, the most advantageous within his reach.

3. With regard to the mode of filling up vacancies occurring in the Committees, the Board are still of opinion that the best way of doing this will be by the choice and election of the community interested in the institution concerned, rather than by the nomination of the remaining members of the Committee; they also consider this method to be more in accordance with the customs of the people. In the smaller pagodas, where the village body only are concerned, there will be no difficulty in determining who are to have a voice in the selection every village has a variety of common interests and common concerns, which are discussed and managed in common, and the same individuals who take part in

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

in these affairs will have a share also in appointing the trustees of the Pagodas - But as the object is to surrender the management of the Pagodas in the mode which will ensure the safety of their revenues, as well as prove acceptable to the great body of the people, if after this expression of the Board's opinion, objections should still be urged against the plan of filling up vacancies by the voice of the village community, the Board will be prepared to submit those objections for the consideration of Government --

4. The cases of the large Pagodas, where it is proposed to vest the right of nomination to vacancies in the public of a Talook or Province, would present more difficulty, and some authoritative specification would seem necessary, determining the qualifications requisite to constitute an elector - That point however will receive consideration hereafter, for, as Government have determined that no trust deeds shall be executed, as was formerly proposed, there is at present no document to fix the constitution of the Committees, and a legislative act on the subject being in contemplation, the settlement of this point will necessarily be among its provisions - Meanwhile the trustees to whom Pagodas have been confided will continue to conduct their affairs as arranged at the time of transfer. -

Ordered that Extract of the foregoing proceedings be submitted to Government and that a copy be furnished to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly for his information and guidance with reference to the letter recorded above -

Read

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

60

From Dist. Collector of Coimbatoor - - 1

Forwards the Original Proceedings held in the case of Anniah Tahsildar of Pulladum with translated Copies of them -

Sir,

With reference to my letter dated 31st December 1841 and also to the Board's instructions dated 7th July 1842 directing me to put Anniah the Tahsildar of the Pulladum Talook on his trial under Regulation IX of 1822 on charges pervionsly forwarded by me, I have the honor to submit the original Proceedings held under this order together with translated Copies of the same -

2 The case is again clearly made out to the extent of sending in accounts which he was aware were incorrect, of holding lands in false names in Pulladum which he acquired subsequent to his appointment of Tahsildar of that Talook, in defiance of the orders contained in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 19th June 1826 of having made a wilful misrepresentation regarding certain Jungle lands in the Coimbatoor Talook and of wilful disregard and neglect

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

neglect of the Huzoor orders for the Dittum settlement, but nothing has transpired in this second trial to subject him to the provisions of the Regulation under which he has been tried beyond the forfeiture of his appointment and I consider that it would be improper were I to add any thing beyond the repetition of my request that Anniah may be dismissed from his situation as Tahsildar of Pulladum.

Coimbatoor
Princ. Collectors Office
10th September 1842.

/signed/ J. C. Wrightson
Principal Collector.

No. 1

Translation of a Deposition given by Chokkapa Moodelly son of Veerakistna Moodelly Curnom of Moottanompolliem in the Pulladum Talook by caste Moodelly of the sect of Jenah by profession a Curnom and aged 57 years. Dated 10th September 1842.

2. How long since have you performed the duties of Curnom of that Village?

A. From the last 25 years.

2. Who made the Dittom settlement of that Village for Fusly 1251 and when?

A. In the North of Arpesee of the

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the current year the date is not in my recollection, Kistna Row Goomastah of the Taloork arrived at Nulloor situated at a distance of one mile from Moottanompolliem, and there collected the Ryots of this Village, obtained to the accounts the signature of such as were willing to occupy their lands on the same terms as in last year, and reserved those whose cases did not admit of his doing so, for the decision of the Tahsildar they were 8 in number. Viz.t. Lundappa Gounden Vca. Anniah came to Nulloor three days afterwards and having enquired into the circumstances of these eight Ryots, struck off their Revenue in the Dittom accounts, in consequence of their indolvency, and inability to adjust the Government demand. The Tahsildar never visited Moottanompolliem. In last year the settlement was concluded to Zirpoor.

2. What was the nature of the enquiry which the Tahsildar instituted in regard to the 8 Individuals in question?

A. The Monigars and Ryots of Moottanompolliem were examined in the Village of Nulloor and the Tahsildar having satisfied himself that the 8 Ryots referred to

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

to were much reduced in circumstances, and that their lands are left waste struck off their names in the Dittom account. The Tahsildar never inspected the lands of these Ryots personally. Neither did he cause them to be inspected by other Public servants.

2. When were the names of these men entered in the accounts again and why.?

A. The Tahsildar reported to the Huzzoor the case of two of these people, Manrupah Gounden and Nanchagounden, but the order not having been received, a second statement was made out in the Taloor Cutcherry at Pulladom, in which the names of them were reentered according to the orders of the Tahsildar. this transaction took place in Margaly November, but I do not recollect the date.-

2. Upon what enquiry were their names reentered. Had their lands been then cultivated.?

A. In the Moth of Margalee I was alone called to Pulladom and there directed by the Tahsildar to credit again the sum of Rupees 119—15—" which was formerly deducted being the revenue payable

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

payable by the Ryots abovementioned. Neither the lands were then cultivated, nor the Ryots sent for nor examined. At the time the second statement was made out the Huzzoor Cutcherry was at Shooloor. I asked the Tahsildar how I could possibly make this entry the accounts having already been closed; to which he remarked that it was a matter of no importance, and that I may make out the statement as required. I therefore dictated the account accordingly. In the Jumabundy statement Rupees 82—3—1 were written off being the revenue on lands of 6 of the 8 Ryots. the remainder Rupees 37—11—11 was entered in the accounts under the head of Paroovapilloovaree &c. and realized.-

2. You have heard the deposition read over to you, is it correct.-

A. Yes it is.-

[signed] Chokapa Moodelly Curnom.

Attesting Witnesses-

[signed] Rama Row Goomastah of Pulladom

["] Ramoodoo

Solemnly affirmed before me 10th September 1842

[signed] J. L. Wroughton

Pl. Collector.

Fort St. George 6th. October 1842

No. 2.

Translation of a Deposition given by Sooba Row son of Vencatramanyen of Pulladom by caste Bramin. by profession a Gomastah of the said Talook, of the sect of Sevah and aged 18 years. Dated 14th September 1842

2. Look at the Hindooie Abstract of the Dittom account for Fusly 1251 of the Village of Moottanompolliem in the Talook of Pulladom. which has now been given into your hands. Whose writing is it, and when, and in what place was it prepared?

A. These three sheets of Paper of the Hindooie account in question were written by me - One day in the month of November 1841. the date being out of my recollection, when the Tahsildar Anniah returned to Pulladum from Sooloor, at the time the Jummahbundy Cutcherry was in the latter Village, he gave me the Chittah account of the above Village for Fusly 1251 which exhibited a sum of Rupees 3,579 — 7 — 4 and which was in the first instance prepared by Kistna Row who was Gomastah in the said Talook and directed me to prepare another Chittah in lieu there of including

Fort St. George 6th. October 1842.

including the sum of Rupees 119 — 15 — which was deducted when the Dittom was made in the first instance - I accordingly prepared and gave it to him.

2. You have heard what was read over to you, is it correct?

A. Yes - It is.

Attesting Witnesses. [Signed] Sooba Row Gomastah

[Signed] Rama Row Gomastah of the Pulladom Talook.

["] Tippoo Khan

Solemnly affirmed before me this 14th of September 1842.

[Signed] J. C. Wroughton

Dl. Collector.

No. 3.

Translation of Deposition given by Vencatramanyen son of Sooboien Curnom of the Village of Avenashypolliem in the Pulladum Talook by caste Bramin, of the sect of Vishnoo. by profession Curnom of the Village and aged 57 years - Dated 10th September 1842.

2. How long have you performed the duties of the Curnom of that Village?

A. I am performing the duties of the Curnom of that Village from the time of the assumption of this Country by the Company

When

2. When at what place and by whom was the Dittom settlement of that Village for Fusly 1251 concluded.?

A I do not recollect the date - but at the end of Arpesee, October, Venkat Row the Roysum and Sooba Row, his assistant came to Avenashypolliem for the Dittom of Fusly 1251 and conducted the settlement, obtaining the signatures of the Ryots to the account - The Tahsildar never visited the Village either at that time or subsequently. Neither did he send for me nor my Joint Curnom Pooshpananda Moodelly nor his Father Shegunpana Moodelly - In the 5 Month of Avany (August) that is previous to the Dittom, the Tahsildar had been to Avenashypolliem when I informed him that before entering in the accounts the lands of my Joint Curnom Pooshponanda Moodelly and Shegunpana Moodelly and his relations that a regular measurement of their lands should be made, and that these people would not allow me to do so - The Tahsildar went on the evening of that day to the house of the said Shegunpana Moodelly at Kangalingapooram, and on his return next morning he told me that a correct measurement may be made at the

the time of Dittom - and then proceeded to the Talook - Informed this circumstance to the Roysum who came to the Dittom settlement, for which he observed that he was not able to do what I requested, and that it must be reported to the Tahsildar - On their return to Pulladam after the completion of the Settle- ment I was called to the Talook to make up an abstract of the accounts of my Village when I plainly informed the Tahsildar as well as the Sheristadar that my Joint Curnom has left out of the accounts the garden lands belonging to him and others in which the early dry crop was already relized and also a certain sum of Moturpha Revenue payable by his Relations and unless these are enquired into and entered in the accounts I will not sign the abstract Statement - In fact I did not sign my name in the account - I reported this circumstance to the Tahsildar on the 27th of Perattassy by writing. for which I received no reply - When the Gentle- men (Principal Collector) came to Cullee- :polliem in the Month of Caurtegay. November, I delivered an Urzee as well as a list shewing the extent of land belonging to my Joint

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Joint Curnom and others which were omitted in the accounts. One of the Hungamah Gomastahs, Muddoo Row was deputed to the Village for examination when my statement was found to be correct.-

2. In this Village an increase of 39 Rupees 9 annas and 6 Pice was credited in the accounts on the 28th November 1841 and in the Hoozzoor examination a further increase of Rupees 87—11—2 was elicited making in all 127—4—8. When were these lands cultivated.?

A. Of this deduct Rs. 56-1-1. the Cs revenue of pasture lands; the remainder Rupees 71—3—7 is upon lands which were cultivated previous to the Dittom settlement namely, in the months of Andy Auvany and Perattasey July. August and September 1841.-

2. What are the reasons which led to the deduction from the Jamabundy accounts of lands belonging to Ramiagoundon Puttah No. 6.?

A. This Individual is much reduced in circumstances. The Monigars of the Village Lenghia goundon, Narraina Goundon and Chinna Goundon, reported to the Tahsildar previous to Dittom settlement that certain Ryots

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Ryots propose to hold the lands of the Cs said Ryot provided a field assessed at. 14 Rupees is deducted from the gross Cs amount of Puttah. No order was received in reply. I do not recollect the month and date of this Report they were intending to obtain the instructions of the Tahsildar on this subject at the time of settlement but he did not then come to the Village. these lands were however, entered in the Dittom accounts and as the season for Cultivation had far advanced no one came forward to occupy them and in consequence they Cs were struck off the settlement accounts.-

2. You have heard the deposition read over to you, Is it correct.?

A. Yes. It is correct.-

/Signed/ Vencatramiah
Curnom

Attesting Witnesses.

/Signed/ Rama Row Gomastah of Palladum.

/ " / Sheik Ameed

Solemnly affirmed before me September 10th 1842.-

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

No. 4

Translation of Deposition given by Venkatrama-
=nien son of Ramien of Croogoor in the Pulla-
=dum Taluk by caste Bramin of Vishnoo
Religion by Profession Curnom Goomastah
and aged 22 years. dated 10th September 1842.-

2. How long since have you per-
=formed the duties of Curnum of that Village?

A. My Brother Ramien is the Curnum
of that Village and I am acting for him
since the last two years.-

2. By whom, when, and what place
was the Dittom settlement of that Village
for Fusly 1251 concluded?

A. The Sheristadar of Pulladum named
Rhoojenga Row came to Shooloor on the
8th of Parattasey September for the Dittum
settlement of Fusly 1251. He sent for
certain Ryots from Croogoor, I recollect
about 120 in number, and obtained signature
from them. In the mean time he proceeded
to Pulladum leaving the settlement in an
unfinished state. The Tahsildar came
to Shooloor in the month of Kartegay November,
I do not recollect the date and having
called the whole of the Ryots from Croogoor
concluded

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.-

concluded the settlement. I requested him
in person that I should be allowed to re-
=turn to my Village in order to ascertain the
actual extent of cultivation and also stated
that previous to doing so my accounts cannot
be considered correct but the Tahsildar
declined giving me permission. I therefore
included in the account such extent as
I was certain had been cultivated. The
Dittum made in the first instance by the
Serishtadar was reviewed by the Tahsildar
neither of them visited the Village for the
settlement. Croogoor is situated at a
distance of 3 miles from Shooloor.-

2. What was the extent of land ac-
=tually cultivated up to the date of the comple-
=tion of the Tahsildar's Dittum & what
quantity was entered in the account.?

A. The settlement of the Tahsildar
made at Shooloor amounted to Rupees 5014-
15-9-4 or 5 days afterwards I obtained
permission for 3 days, proceeded to my Village and
upon inspection of several fields found
an increase of 124-10-2 which I also cre-
=dited subsequently one of the Shoozoor Goo-
=mastahs named Sham Rao was deputed
and

and during his examination a further increase of 293—9—3 was brought to light which was likewise entered in the accounts. Thus the amount total of increase above the Tahsildars Dittam is Rupees 418—4—3. Of this deducting Moturpha Revenue Viz.t 37—9—6 the net increase of land Revenue is 380—10—2 the lands comprising this increase were cultivated previous to the Dittam settlement I requested the Tahsildar to be allowed to proceed to my Village for taking an account of cultivation which I was not permitted to do consequently this sum was omitted.—

2. Where were the Punjah and Nunjah lands composing this increase of 380—10—2 cultivated?—

A. The Punjah lands were cultivated in full in the month of Chittry April and the Nunjah lands in Audy and Puraney July and August. when I went to Sooloor I requested the Monigars named Aroona-chelum pillay Comarasamy Eavun and Kulasa Palaney Eavun, to let me know what was the increased quantity of cultivation above last year, for which they replied that

that they were ignorant of the matter; and that I may therefore make an inspection of every field, and draw out a correct account. In the mean time as I was compelled by the Peon to proceed to Sooloor I had not the opportunity of doing so.—

2. Of the lands composing the increase of Rupees 380—10—2 is any quantity occupied by the relatives of the Monigar and other Public Servants?—

A. Vencat Soobbarayalty Brother in law of Anniah Tahsildar holds certain Puttahs. He cultivated a portion of his Nunjah land with Betel vines and it ought to be charged with two Crop rent, the difference between which and one crop rent is Rupees 12—7—10; but this was omitted in the accounts. He has also cultivated a small extent of Garden land assessed at Rupees 1—13—11 which was likewise omitted the rest of the lands are held by the Monigars and Ryots of the Village.—

2. What extent of lands is occupied by Anniah in that Village on payment of Government Revenue and what is the

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the quantity of Manniem. When and how they were acquired. By whom are they cultivated, and how is the Government demand adjusted.

A. I was given to understand that Annamah purchased in the name of his son Peroomalien in Fusly 1250. 209½ Coolies of Nunjah Enam land in the said Village from one Appiah Charry of Cantoo Mandupporn. I do not know whether the value was paid or not. it is entered in the name of Peroomalien from that Fusly and is rented by the Monigar of that Village, Arooma-chelum Pillay and by another Ryot named Rama Pillay for an annual sum of 26 Rupees. they pay 4 Rupees to Government in adjustment of the amount of Quit rent, and the remainder Rupees 22 is received by Anniah Area Tavun who was Monigar of the Village transferred from his Puttah 129 7/10 Coolies of Nunjah land in No. 518 to Anniah in Fusly 1250. the assessment on which is Rupees 29-10-5. This was given to Anniah as reward.

and the deed of Transfer was tendered in favor of his Brother-in-law Vencat Soobbasastry. Further one named Sashien of the

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the said Village sold to Vencat Soobbasastry for a consideration of 10 Rupees in Fusly 1250, 200½ Coolies of Nunjah land No. 583. Sooreamoorty Pillay, the Father of the present Monigar Aroonachelum Pillay transferred to Anniah in Fusly 1250 as a reward 253 Coolies of wet land No. 515. and delivered a Razeenamah in favor of Vencat Soobbasastry, in whose name a Puttah is now issued. In the same Fusly Permal Pillay who is now appointed Monigar resigned on behalf of Vencat Soobbasastry, the Brother in law of the Tahsildar 287 Coolies No. 517. The Tahsildar delivered to me this transfer and I accordingly prepared a Puttah for the land in the name of Vencat Soobbasashtry. I do not know whether this land was sold or a free gift. The Tahsildar has purchased in the name of his Brother in law for a sum of one hundred Rupees in Fusly 1250. 132 5/10 Coolies of Orchard or Dhruppre land No. 608 and 609 from three Individuals. Sempa-lancy, Aroomoogum, and Vailoo Tavun. Again, Vencat Soobbasastry proposed to occupy and accordingly obtained posession of 87½ Coolies of Nunjah waste land in the Village of Erroogoor. for which he

he holds a Puttah in Fusly 1251. I was also informed by Veeroomula Tavun, Andea Tavun, Narranien and Shamy that the Tahsildar purchased from them for Rupees 150 their Nunjah land consisting of 287½ coolies, in Fusly 1251. for which a Puttah is now given in the name of one named Vencatasien, a relation of the Tahsildar. A proposal was tendered in the name of this person for the occupation of 1 2/16 Bullahs of waste Garden land in Fusly 1251. for which a Puttah is likewise issued. all these lands are cultivated by the Monigars of the Village Coomarsamy Tavuns, and Aroonachelum Pillay. and also by some other Ryots to whom some are subrented and some are given on Warrum Tenure, the demand on lands given on Warrum is adjusted by Amiah himself and the demand on those which are subrented is paid by the under tenants who pay the profit to Amiah.

2. Has the Tahsildar a house in the Village?

A. Yes. He has one

2 Who superintends the cultivation of these lands. and by whom are the live stock taken care of?

Soorea

A. Soorea Moorty Pillay the Father of the Monigar Aroonachelum Pillay, superintends the cultivation and secures the grain &c. the produce of the land in the house.

2 Is the Tahsildar in the habit of visiting the Village frequently?

A He was in the habit of coming into the Village very often during the period of his employment as Tahsildar.

2 Do you recollect the Tahsildar ever having visited the Village in Fusly 1251 if so, when and how often?

A. I recollect that the Tahsildar made about 10 trips to the Village in Fusly 1251. He came in the month of September. Paruttasey, and having inspected his lands went back. He did the same in November, Cartegay, previous to the Settlement of Dittum. and I am informed also in Arpesey, October. I was then absent in the Village having been to Pulachey on some private affairs.

The Tahsildar was here desired to question the Curnum, if necessary. and the following is his interrogation.

2. You state that the Sherishtadar in the first instance made a part of the

the

the settlement and that it was subsequently concluded by the Tahsildar. How many days previous to the arrival of the Gentleman at Sooloor (meaning the Principal Collector) did you prepare to Dittum account what number of Ryots came to Sooloor, and who were the Monigars that accompanied you thither?

A. I had been to Pulachery on some private affairs and arrived at Eroogoor within the 5th of Cartegay. The three Monigars of the Village informed me at that time that the Tahsildar visited Eroogoor two or three days before, and having obtained the signature of certain Ryots proceeded to Sooloor, I then went to Sooloor one day previous to the arrival of the Principal Collector. Monigar Palaney malay Savun, and two Ryots accompanied me.

2. You have heard the deposition read over to you. Is it correct?

A. Yes it is.

/Signed/ Vencataramanien
Curnum Goomastah.

Attesting Witnesses

/Signed/ Ram Rao Goomastah
of Palladum

/Signed/ Sheik Ahmeed

Solemenly affirmed before me Sept 10th 1842
/Signed/ I. C. Wroughton
Pr Coll.

No. 5

Translation of a Deposition given by Causepaty Iyen son of Annyen Curnom of Sooloor in the Talook of Pulladom, by caste Bramin, by profession Curnom, of the sect of Vishnoo and aged 40 years. Dated 10th September 1842.

2. Since how many years have you performed the duties of the Curnum of the above Village?

A. Since 30 years

2. When, in what place, and by whom was the Dittom of cultivation of that Village made for Fusly 1251?

A. I do not recollect the Month and date. The Tahsildar Anniah came to that Village only once and made the Dittom of Poonjee cultivation for Fusly 1251, the Dittom of Nunjee cultivation was then suspended in consequence of the want of rain. Four or five days before the arrival, at the said Village of the Principal Collector in the Month of Cartegay (November) last, the said Tahsildar came again to that Village and made a second Dittom including the Nunjee lands also, I then informed him that an inspection of such lands as were subsequently brought under cultivation was necessary

necessary for the preparation of a correct account. He told me that it can be made bye and bye and directed that such lands as I then know to have been cultivated should be entered in the account.

2 What is the amount of the Revenue of the above Village as settled by the Tahsildar &c.

A, The amount of Revenue of the Tahsildar's Dittom was Rupees 4789–10–2 and the sum discovered by me on the second day on personal inspection was Rupees 245–13–6 in all amounting to Rupees 5035–7–8. The lands forming the increase of 245 and odd Rupees were cultivated in July Audy and August Auwany.

2 Had the Tahsildar Anniah visited the said Village before the month of Cartegay, November &c.

A, One day in the month of July Audy, the date being out of my recollection, the Tahsildar came to Shooloor from Pulladom and remained there a few hours. as it was a lucky day, he obtained to the Dittum account the signature of Chokatavon No. 1 Sawmy Vaden No. 2 Poodoor Mardachella Tavan No. 3 who are the respectable inhabitants of the

the Village and of 4 or 5 others whose names, I do not know and then proceeded to Eroogoor.

2, What is the quantity of cultivation brought to account subsequent to the formation of Dittom settlement amounting to Rupees 5035–7–8 and when were those lands cultivated &c.

A, On examination a sum of Rupees 298–9–1 was found in excess of the amount of Dittom. Of the lands composing this increase, after deducting the Moturpha Revenue, some were cultivated in July Audy and August Auwany and others subsequent to the Month of November Cartegay.

2, Is what you have heard read over to you correct according to your statements &c.

A, Yes. It is correct.

/Signed/ Causeepaty Iyen
Curnom

Attesting Witnesses
/Signed/ Rama Row Gomastah of Pulladom.
/ " / Rama Rauz Moochie.

Solemnly affirmed before me Sept. 10th 1842.

/Signed/ J. C. Stroughton
Prin. Coll.
Translation

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

No. 6.

Translation of a Sakeed issued to Anniah Sahsildar of Coimbatore No. 457 dated 19th December 1837.-

Your Urzee No. 419 dated 9th Instant together with a Memorandum of remarks which accompanied it has been received. You state that in the pasture lands of Paroor Coopee Chetty of Peroomalien-pollium, and Valayoodom Pillay of Paulatoray, propose to hold 9 13/15 Bullahs, Valayoodom Pillay 8 Bullahs, Annia Pillay 9 1/4 Bullahs, and Vellay Chetty 9 Bullahs, in all 35 13/15 Bullahs, provided this quantity is given them for three years free of rent and then, upon progressive Cowle, in consideration of the difficulty attending the clearing of the Jungle grown thereon.-

As these lands have previously been assessed they cannot be allowed to be held three years free of rent - if the Ryots are desirous of taking the lands for the first year free of rent, and then on the terms of the Cowlenamah, you will obtain from them a Durkhastnamah and security Bond and

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

and submit the same to the Huzzoor as usual. You will also give over the land to the Parties and make an entry of this transaction in the Dittom account.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Princ. Collector

(Signed) Appoo Row
Head Sheristadar.

" " Annajee Row
Nail Sheristadar

No. 7.

Translation of an Urzee addressed to W. B. Babington Esqre Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore by Anniah the Sahsildar of Coimbatore Talook Dated 8th October 1838.-

I beg to submit herein enclosed a proposal tendered by 3 Persons of Coimbatore, namely Lutchmy Narayen son of Cattaru Soobyen, Rarappyen and Peroomalien, from which it will appear that they propose to hold 60 Bullahs of Jungle land (rendering it fit for cultivation at their private cost) situated in Mavoottom-padee in Paroor Village appertaining to the said Talook, bounded on the east, by the stone defining

defining the limits of Poodoor Village, on the west by Pooliencundy Pullom, on the south by the Road leading to Palghaut, and on the North by Tamarind Tree situated near the field of one, Sadyen, and to bring it under cultivation from Fusly 1248, on condition of being allowed to hold it free of rent for the first three years and from the fourth according to the provisions of Rookoomnamah, Viz:t, Quarter assessment for Fusly — 1251

Half Do. ——— for — " ——— 1252

Three Quarter Do. ——— for — " ——— 1253

and full assessment from Fusly 1254 which should likewise be determined.

A compliance with their requisition depends on the orders which I may receive and to which I shall strictly conform.

/Signed/ Anniah,
Tahsildar.

No. 8,

Translation of a Proposal tendered by Lutchmy Narnien son of Cottaur Soobbien, Rayappien and Peroomalien of Coimbatore under date the 8th October 1838.

Being desirous of holding 60 Bullahs of Jungle land (rendering it fit for

for cultivation at our private cost) situated in Mavoottumpady in Pairoor Village bounded on the east by the stone defining the limits of Poodoor Village on the west by Pooleyencundy pullum, on the south by the road leading to Paulghaut, and on the North by a Tamarind tree situated near the field of one Sadien, and being also desirous to bring it under cultivation, we hereby propose to do so, if the Circar would be pleased to allow us to hold it free of rent for the first three years and from 4th according to the provisions of Rookoomnamah Viz:t—

Quarter assessment for fusly ——— 1251

Half Do. for ——— 1252

Three quarter Do. for ——— 1253

and full assessment from fusly ——— 1254

We shall also produce competent security for the fulfilment of our engagements, and continue paying the rent of the land in question according to instalments. We execute and deliver this with our free will and consent.

/Signed/ Lutchmy Narnien
/ " / Rarappien
/ " / Peroomalien
/ " / Anniah Tahsildar

No.

No. 9.

Translation of the Defence of Anniah, son of Soobbasamiah residing at Coimbatore, by caste Bramin of the Seva sect, by Profession Public servant, and aged 46 years - dated 10th September 1842.

2. A circular order No. 5 dated 17th of August 1841 was issued directing the Tahsildars to visit each Village and to conduct the settlement of Fusly 1251 after a Minute enquiring into the circumstances of each Ryot - Was that order received by you?

A. As it is now long time ago, I have no recollection of the matter, but probably the order might have been received.

2, Did you make the Dillom of the several Villages according to that order?

A, As there was no rain in the months of Audey and Avaney - July and August. I collected the Ryots of the different Villages in the nearest one, and made the settlement of the cultivation of such as were willingly to occupy their lands on

Impurtable to Ryot as the agric lands wate until rain has fallen.

on the same terms as in last year making arrangements at the same time, to the end that any increase which may occasion from increased cultivation of land on the fall of rain should be brought to account subsequently - Moochilikas were also obtained to the effect that the settlement then made was correct, and the largest amount was entered in the accounts. The total number of Villages in this Talook are 89 - I have visited 23, the accounts of the rest were adjusted in these 23 Villages - some were visited by the Shristadar, and Peishcar also - After the fall of rain, certain extent of cultivation was made which the Curnoms have brought to account for the first time - During the stay of the Principal Collector of Sooloor, the Curnums were employed in the inspection of cultivation, and such amount of increase as was discovered was also reported by them to the Boozoor Cutcherry according to the Fuzoor orders.

2, Is the Munjeelwar Putee "or list of stages, which accompanied your Urzee No. 412 dated November 18th

18th 1841, now shewn to your correct.

A. It is the one sent by me, but as it was prepared long ago, I do not recollect the particular Villages which I visited and which I did not.

2. You have now stated that Moochilkahs were obtained to the effect that there was no increase above the amount of the Dittum settlement. the settlement of Sooloor in the first instance amounted to Rupees 4789—12—. On the next day the Curnum stated that there was an increase of 245 Rupees 13 annas and 6 pice which is entered as N. B. below the settlement account. Why did you not enquire into this irregularity and report the result to the Huzzoor.

A. It was then the season of cultivation. I had received an order from the Huzzoor to conclude the settlement within the end of December. The Deloyet deputed from the Huzzoor also required from me the "Munjeelwar Puttee" on the list of stages. under these circumstances as it became necessary that the abstract statement of the Dittom should be prepared without loss of time, and as the Tanks were then filled with water

I

I directed the Monigars and Curnums to enter in the accounts such extent of lands which they may find to have been brought under cultivation from waste, according to this order the Curnum discovered the increase in question and entered it in the accounts. He has done the same 6or7 times. On the arrival of the Principal Collector at Sooloor. I was directed to produce to Pulladum, the accounts were necessarily detained in the Huzzoor Cutcherry. which therefore precluded the possibility of taking a deposition from Curnum and reporting the circumstances to the Huzzoor.

2. The abstract statement of Dittom was prepared on the 18th November, the Curnum reported the discovery of the increase of Rupees 245—13—6 on the following day. The Huzzoor Cutcherry went to Sooloor on the 21st so that there was a period of 3 days intervening between the date of the discovery of the increases and that of the arrival of the Huzzoor Cutcherry. Why did you not take a deposition from the Curnum during these three days and communicate the fact to the Huzzoor.

I was

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

A. I was attending the Maramut works and also proceeded to Pulladum in the mean time the Huzzoor Cutcherry arrived at Sooloor where the attendance of all the Curnums was required. Immediately on the Principal Collector's coming to Sooloor on the 24th November 1841. I was ordered to go to Pulladum without delay and accordingly I left Soolor. these circumstances therefore prevented my obtaining a deposition from the Curnum. I did not also send the Salabund or abstract Statement of that Village to the Huzzoor.

2 Did you make the Settlement of Soolor or not?

A Yes. I did.

2 When did you make the Dittum settlement of Eroogoor. was the whole extent of cultivation up to that period entered in the account?

A. In the Month of July. Audey. the signature of some Ryots was obtained to the Dittum Chittah in the Villages of Soolor, Eroogoor, Neelumboor, and Milumbutty. the Ryots of the two latter Villages were collected at Neelumboor and those of the two former Villages at Soolor. Afterwards the

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

the Villages of Soolor, Eroogoor, Rasseppolliem and Wudderpolliem were partly settled. In my absence at Nungapally in the Salem District Seristadar Boojenga Row concluded the Dittum of Wudderpolliem and Rasseppolliem Soolaor and Eroogoor were again settled by him but the Settlement of certain Ryots who had much waste lands was suspended and a list thereof was transmitted by him to the Huzzoor with his report. On my arrival I concluded this and also completed the Dittum of other Villages within the 18th of November and gave directions to bring to account every item of increase which may be subsequently found. On the 18th November when I finally concluded the Settlement, every land that was cultivated was entered in the account. The Curnums said that there was still something to be brought to account, and that they will do so after an inspection of every field. I only settled the Dittum according to the Statement of the Curnums and according as the Inhabitants signed the account and not after an examination of every field.

2. You have heard the deposition

given on oath this day in the Hutzoor by the Curnum of Erooyoor - Is it true that your Son and Brother inlaw hold lands in this Village as stated by him.?

A. My Son Permalien holds Enam lands in this Village they are Subrented to Soorea Moorty Pillay Father of the present Monigar named Aroonachellum Pillay who pays the rent thereof. My Brotherin law Vencata Soobbasastry holds Malguzarry lands - I have given them upon rent to certain Inhabitants - the advantages arising from them are enjoyed by himself accordeing to the arrangements made by me to that effect My Brotherin law Veneatasienhas also purchased and enjoys some land in that Village.-

2. You have heard the deposition given by Vencataramiah, Curnum of Avenashey pollieon this day in the Hoozoor. what have you to say against it.?

A. I have heard it. The Curnum requested me to furnish him with a chain to measure certain lands and I recollect he came to the Talook Cutcherry and obtained it accordingly.-

You

2. You have also heard the deposition given this day by the Curnum of Moottanum-pollieon. Have you any thing to plead against it.?

A. To answer this question I re-ferred to the account and the remark therein made by me With regard to the case of Vellagounden, and order was received from the Hoozoor to the effect that the Razeenamah obtained from him was not sufficient to warrant the transfer of his Putcut lands to another Ryot as there is a Joint Proprietor to be consulted Vellagounden was stated by the Monigars to be insolvent and unable to cultivate his lands. Another Ryot however came forward to occupy them provided one of the fields composing the Farm is struck off the accounts, inconsequence of its difficult position for the access of water. But as there was a well in the land belonging to Vellagoun-den, and as it was unfair to give it to another without his Razeenamah I reserved this case for the deter-mination of the Hoozoor. This is what I have to say on this Subject.-

You

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

2. You have heard the deposition read over to you. Is it correct.?

A. Yes it is.-

/Signed/ Annaiah

Attesting Witnesses
Ram Row Goomastah
of Pulladum
Shaik Ameed

Given before me September 10th 1842 and adjourned until Tuesday the 13th

/Signed/ J: C. Wroughton
Princ. Collector

Examination renewed September 14th 1842.

2. When you held the appointment of Tahsildar of Coimbatoor in 1838 You have addressed the following Urzees to the Hoozoor namely. No. 382 dated 25th November forwarding the Durkast of M. Sashia and others proposing to hold certain quantity of Jungle Land on Cowle free of rent for three years in the Village of Pairoor Mauvoottumpaday, and requesting that an assessment of 8 Fanams a Bullah should be fixed on the land in question. No. 221 dated 8th October forwarding the proposal of Lutchmenarrien Vedu of similar nature, No. 348 dated 15th November transmitting the proposed

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

proposed of Videa Nadien for 10 Bullahs of Land. No. 368 dated 22d November giving cover to a Durkast of Coimbatoor Kistnien You Look at these Urzees and see whether the Signature therein put down is your own.?

A. I have examined the 4 Urzees in question and the Signature put down is my own.-

2. What relationship exists between you and Permalien and others named in the Durkast.?

A Permalien is my Brother's Son and Kistnien is my Brother the rest of the Proposers are not related to me.-

2. Did you mention in the Urzees that Kistnien and Permalien are your relations.?

A. I did not do so.-

2. You have heard that part of the Urzee now read over to you wherein you State that assessment is to be fixed on the lands in question. Did you write so.?

A. Yes. I did so.-

2. What were your reasons for so doing.?

A. The land proposed to be held was over run by Jungle. It also consisted of Prompolie to certain extent but it was not known

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Known how much. The Durkasts did not specify those particulars. I therefore made a general report without entering into details.

2. Have you ever issued the two Pattahs now shewn to you to the Monigar of Paroor dated respectively 14th November and 12th December 1838.?

A. As it is now long time ago, I have no recollection of the matter. I have addressed some Urzees to the Hoozoor on this subject. but I am unable to recollect how many times. I have but a faint recollection to the effect that I addressed Urzees stating that I did not actually measure the lands made over to the Parties. Neither did I give them any Cowle Chits.

2. Look at the Durkast dated 5th October 1836 given by Permalien Veeran which you have also counter Signed. Who signed the name of Permalien in it.?

A. My Brother Chistnien at the time in Coimbatoor delivered to me the Durkast and I do not know who signed the name of Permalien.

2. You just stated that the writing of the name of Peroomalien was yours. why do

Fort St. George 6th October 1842

do you now state to the contrary.?

A. I said that the counter Signature was mine.

2. Was the name of Permalien put down in the Durkast in question, Signed by himself. How old was he at the time he preferred the Durkast.?

A. The name of Permalien put down in the Durkast is his own writing. He was about 16 years old at that time. He is my Brother Vencatramanien's Son and not my Son.

2. You have stated in the Answers to Queries lately put to you dated 23d December 1841 that Permalien was your Son, and that you have given the Durkast yourself. What induces you to make this contradictory Statement now.?

A. All Durkasts given by my relations are considered by me as having been given by myself. I have stated this in the answers in question and even now State the same.

2. As the jungle lands in Paroor and Mauvoottumpaddy were given by you on Cowle tenure as unassessed lands while they

they were actually assessed, Government sustained a loss of Rupees 2084-9-2. are you aware of this fact.?

A. If there were unassessed lands and Cowle Chits were given the money would have been realized by Government. There were no Perampoke lands and if the Proposers had cut Timber grown on lands not included in their Durkast and had such lands been charged with full rent the demand would have likewise been realized.-

2. Look at the list of Property of China Appajien and Sashien who bound themselves as sureties for Seenuppen of Shamalapooram who rented the Betel Farm of Tirpoor in 1251 in the Pulladum Paloor. Is the Signature therein put down your own.? Did you examine the Property previous to making out this list.?

A. The Signature put down in the list is my own. I do not recollect whether the examination referred to was made by myself or by others. Anniah having stated that Permalien is also called Peeroomalien, and requested that it as must

must be entered accordingly his requisition is complied with.-

(Signed) Anniah Tahsildar

Given before me September 14th 1842.-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princl. Collector.

Attesting Witnesses
Ram Roa Goomastah
of Pulladum-
Seepoo Row-

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

60-61
Proceedings thereon.

It is distinctly shewn in the Proceedings which accompany the foregoing letter that Anniah Tahsildar of Pulladum obtained possession of certain lands by private contract in that part of the District over which he presided subsequent to his appointment as Tahasildar and without having received permission so to do- Such conduct being an infringement of the rules laid down for the guidance of Public Servants the Board direct with reference to the Circular from this Department dated

°B. 24/0 Pro. 19th June 1826.

dated 7th August 1826, that Anniah be immediatly removed from his Situation.—

The Board however having reason to think that the rules respecting the acquisition of lands by Government Servants in the Provinces and the consequences of their infraction have not been sufficiently made known will leave it to the local authorities to re-employ Anniah hereafter in the Public Service if they should think fit.—

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(62)

From Pl. Coll. of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Ultimo, and to forward the Village Cs. Jummabundy accounts connected with accounts connected with the Settlement of Fusly 1250, according to the accompanying List.

+ Hinduvi

Principal Collr's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 28th September 1842.

(Signed) H.M. Blair
Pl. Collector.

Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded.—

(62. 63)

Read the following letter.—

To

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(64)

From the Coll. of Malabar

Informing the Board of the decision arrived at, relative to 5 disputed Gardens near Cannanore on which the Beebee declined paying revenue.

Sir,

The Beebee of Cannanore in the year 1836/37 refused to pay the revenue usually collected on 5 Gardens near Cannanore, on the ground that she had discovered that they were included in the hereditary possession, which by the treaty originally entered into with the Honorable Company, she was entitled to hold free of tax in consideration of a yearly tribute of 15,000 Rupees. Mr. Clementson was of opinion that the Beebee had not proved her case, & desired her to pay the revenue as heretofore but the matter was not settled on Mr. Clementson's departure, and I found it still unadjusted when I took charge of the District. The disputed locality being in the Sub-Collector's Division, I referred all the papers to Mr. Goodwyn with a request that he would give me his opinion after a personal inspection

of

of the ground. Mr. Goodwyn had not accomplished this task on his departure for England, and it has fallen accordingly to Mr. Crozier who as will be seen by the accompanying Memorandum, has gone thoroughly and carefully into the case - agreeing with Mr. Crozier in the deduction he has arrived at I have informed the Beebee that she must absolutely pay the revenue in arrears, on the 5 Gardens, but as I have no doubt an appeal will be made to the Board I have thought it well to furnish them at once with the grounds of my judgment.-

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut, 13th Sept. 1842.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 1.

I have attentively looked over all the Proceedings and accounts connected with the five gardens claimed by Her Highness the Beebee of Cannanore, of which the revenue, since $\frac{1012}{1836/37}$ has been withheld on the grounds that they are included in the Karaar originally entered into with the Honorable Company in A. D. 1796. I have moreover personally visited the locality. caused a Plan thereof to be prepared by the

Maistry attached to this Office, examined the Beebee's Karianstan, the Ellayoor Hobly Head Menon and Unshom Menon addressed a letter to, and obtained an answer from, the Cherikul Rajah and in short, instituted numerous, particular and general enquiries on the subject -

The Beebee contends, that the 5 gardens in dispute are included in her original Karaar and in support of this assertion, adduces the following reasons. 1st. that a reference to the boundaries specified in the account prepared by Karoonagara Menon in $\frac{1008}{1832/33}$ proves the legality of her claim - Secondly, that these 5 Parambas are included in the 14 Lands and 9 Gardens situated at Chowa Tarrah surveyed and assessed in her name by Kristna Menon sheristedar in $\frac{1005}{1829/30}$ and which having been claimed as property included in her karaar were restored to her in the year $\frac{1012}{1836/37}$ in conformity to the Board's order - together with the Revenue collected thereon and kept in deposite - Thirdly, that she enjoyed the 5 Parambas in dispute from $\frac{982}{1806/7}$ without any interruption whatever, and that no assessment was fixed upon the same, from that year up to $\frac{1001}{1825/26}$ and fourthly, that she was not aware of the fact of these gardens having been assessed in her name in $\frac{1002}{1826/27}$ or of the revenue

revenue thereon having been paid by her Karaiaten upto $\frac{1012}{1836/37}$ Further that the Karaiatan affixed his signature to the Pymash account prepared in $\frac{1002}{1826/27}$ in ignorance of its real contents and that for this and the other reasons above adduced the Gardens in dispute should be given up or rather restored to her.

1st That a reference to the boundaries specified in the account prepared by Karonagara Menon in $\frac{1003}{1832/33}$ proves the legality of her claim.

1st With regard to the first argument advanced by the Beebee, I have to remark that the original Karaar does not particularize the boundaries of the property and that those set forth and represented by her as laid down or acknowledged by Karonagara Menon, are indefinate, evasive and distinguishable and clearly erroneous. These limits are described to be on the North the old high road near the Chowa Bridge on the East an old Battery on the South a Nullah joining the Canapoya river and on the West the Andatode but so far from these boundaries being correct criterions of the Beebees land (alone) or defining it, lands and 15 Gardens

Gardens (independent of the 5 now in dispute) the private property of 7 individuals are also situated within them, and from which a revenue of 54 Rupees is annually received by the Government. further, there are still waste lands within these limits of a productive nature, and which, if brought into cultivation, would produce to the Sircar a yearly assessment. and so far from Karonagara Menon himself regarding these boundaries, as conclusive evidence he, when alluding in his report to the limits adverted to by Mr. Maclean, namely the Cajana Cotta (Fort) on the east the high road on the North, the andatode on the west and the river and the Nullah on the South. stated "as the people were not able to "give more information on the subject than "they had already given, and as I could find "no others acquainted with the boundaries "I thought the matter must be settled by "figures and documents" and accordingly "so" From an attentive perusal of former written evidence and from present local enquiries, I am of opinion, that the river was the established, as it is the natural boundary.

Vide Plan.

boundary along the greater portion of the Southern side. a line running thence past the Fort to the road on the Northern side the Eastern and that the Government claims on the land beyond these demarkations, were relinquished, on other grounds. For although the Parambas and 9 of the lands restored to the Beebee in $\frac{1002}{1836/37}$ lie within these Boundaries still the remaining 5 lands are situated beyond them. hence it is evident that the restoration could not have been made with reference to the reputed boundaries, but to the fact of the Beebee having enjoyed those lands and Gardens from time immemorial, free of Government assessment. Under these circumstances, I cannot but consider the boundaries appealed to as Karoonagara Menons in no way, to be so particular or correct as to confirm or determine any present or future claims. and when or where these claims are likely to commence or terminate, it seems difficult to conjecture, if once entered into and recognized.—

Secondly. That these 5 gardens are included in the 14 Lands and 9 Gardens, situated at Chowa Tarrah, surveyed and

2. Adverting to the second point urged by the Beebee, I have to observe that

and assessed in her name that the Board's by Krishna Menon the order bore reference ristedar in $\frac{1005}{1829/30}$ and solely to Rice lands which having been claimed and Gardens, pymashed =med as property included in 1830 and previously in her Karaar, were unassessed. It having restored to her in the been brought to M= year 1012. in conformity made and notice that to the Board's order. together in Chowa Tarrah, with the Revenue a particular portion collected thereon and of the Ellayoor Umshom Kept in deposite. — situated beyond the Beebees original bounda= =ries certain lands were enjoyed by the Beebee free of of Government assessment, Krishna (1830) was deputed to survey and to assess them. at that time 5 Parambas only as proved by accounts by depositions and by the acknowledgments of the Beebee, were unassessed and therefore no others could be assessable. Krishna Menon having been deputed to Commence for "the express purpose of surveying all Parambas and lands situated.

situated at Chowa Tarah, not included in Her Highness the Beebee's Revenue Jummah and those alone. During the survey by Krishna Menon, it would appear that 4 of the Parambas at present in question were inspected by him (of the remaining one I shall treat hereafter) and entered in his rough account, but from representations then made to him, of their having been assessed by Mr. Commissioner Lyrone in 1825 - i.e. 5 years before he placed a cross before their respective names apparently, either for future and further enquiry, or erasure. Moreover, the Revenue on these 4 were paid by the Beebee without any objection whatever after Krishna Menon's survey, as they had been previously thereto. when, on the contrary the assessment on the 5 above alluded to, was objected to and an order to retain the same in deposit, and final restoration was the consequence.

Vide account.

Hence, it appears to me manifest, that the 5 Parambas now in litigation were at all events, at that time considered to lie in no way counted with the disputed property restored to

to the Beebee. If so the assessment as was that derivable therefrom, would also have been disputed, and not been paid without hesitation or objection of any kind, for a period of years to say nothing of the 5 prior to Krishna Menons survey.-

Thirdly. That the Beebee enjoyed the 5 Parambas in dispute from $\frac{982}{1806/7}$ without any interruption whatever, and that no assessment was fixed upon the same from that year up to $\frac{1001}{1825/26}$.-

3 To support the third argument advanced by the Beebee no documentary or even circumstantial evidence is set forth beyond the mere enjoyment of the 5 Parambas from $\frac{982}{1806/7}$ to $\frac{1001}{1825/26}$ From the evidence however of the adigharies and Menons, then in Office and now taken, it would appear that previous to $\frac{1000}{1824/25}$ the trees in all the Parambas alluded to, were so young that they were not assessed until the year in question. The fact is corroborated by the accounts on

on record and by the present age of the trees. If as the Beebee alleges, she had a lucrative enjoyment of these Parambas for a period of nearly 20 Years previous to $\frac{1000}{1824/25}$ I am given to understand several past bearing trees would now be found upon them. the soil being reported of so poor a nature, as only to be capable of producing the fifth class of tree. a class existing in its prolific state 12 years or so whereas, these trees are only, at the present time, in full and perfect growth. This may therefore, be considered as strong circumstantial evidence against the Beebees allegation. –

Fourthly, that she was not aware of the fact of these Gardens having been assessed in her name in $\frac{1002}{1826/27}$ or of the revenue thereon having been paid by her Karaisten up to $\frac{1012}{1836/37}$ – Further that the Karaisten affixed his signature to the Pymash Account prepared in 1002 in ignorance of

4 With regard to the 4th Objection urged by the Beebee, it is a remarkable fact that during the survey held in $\frac{1001}{1825/26}$ and $\frac{1002}{1826/27}$ of the Parambas in question by the then adigharees and menons, the Beebees Karaisten was

of its real contents. – was not only present, but consented to, and signed, the account prepared by them without objection. Previous to that Survey ¾ Rupee was the sum collected from the Beebee as negudee on her property at Chowa Tarrah but subsequently a revenue of 18 and odd Rupees were demanded and paid, when Pottahs particularizing the number of Gardens were annually granted to the Beebees Karaistan In addition to this one of these 5 Parambas called Kallekollom + the jenm property of Chowa Devasom Pagoda was during the in $\frac{1000}{1824/25}$ assessed in the name of Chelia = parambil Chekoo, who possessed a Kooikanom right thereon, when failing to pay the negudy and for the recovery of the same, the property was ordered to be sold. In $\frac{1002}{1826/27}$ the Beebees Karaisten represented to the adigharees and menons, that the Kooikanom right to the Garden had been transferred to her Chekoo claim having been removed the negudy due by Chekoo was

+ In the north corner of Plan transmitted

11768 Fort St. George 1st October 1842

was then paid by her, and her ownership, then and then only expressed to and acknowledged by the Sirkar - and the Revenue Jummah was then for the first time entered in, & altered to Her Highness name - A note to this effect was entered in the survey Accounts - and her right was thus established. These facts can ill be reconciled with the assertion of ignorance in the assessment of these 5 Parambas in the Beebee's name in 1002 / 1826/27 or of the Karnasten having affixed his signature to the Pymash Accounts in ignorance of their real contents. -

After mature consideration of all the circumstances appearing in the case, I am of opinion, that grounds have not been shewn for a remission of the assessment on the 5 Parambas under dispute - that the revenues accruing therefrom, for the past, the present and future years, should be collected as they continued to be, without opposition from the year 1000 / 1825/25 to 1002 / 1836/37 and that a contrary decision in the present claim, would form a precident likely to prove highly injurious to the interests of the Circar - The Papers connected with the case, together with a Plan accordingly drawn out, of the ground referred to, I have the honor herewith to transmit. -

Sub Coll.r Office, on Circuit
Calicut 30th July 1842. /signed/ F. H. Crozier
acting Sub Coll.r

(True Copy)
/signed/ H. V. Conolly
Coll.r

11769 Fort St. George 1st October 1842

Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded. Read the undermentioned

(64. 65)

Registers.

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry. Register of

(66) Petitions bearing the — Boards' Endorsements — presented to him for the — Month of August — last.

From the Collector of Guntoor — Register of

(67) Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to — him for the Month of August last. —

Register

11770 Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput. Register of

(68) Petitions bearing the

Board's Endorsements

presented to him for

the Month of August

last.-

(69) From the Coll.r of Madras. Register of Petitions

bearing the Board's Endorsements

presented to him for the

month of August last.-

(66-70) Order on the four above Registers. } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

To,

11771- Fort St. George 6. October 1842.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to

Government.

(71)

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

A

Para 1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly Accounts, shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12. July 1841 to 11. July 1842 of Fusly 1251 compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1250-

2- Abstract B shews a Net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 88780-10-2 resulting from a Net Decrease of Rupees 2,97,820-15- in the Collections of Land Revenue, and a Net Increase of Rupees 3,86,601-9-2 in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue-

Decrease in the Coll.ns of current	6,01,312-3-2	
Deduct Increase in the Collections of Arrears	3,03,491-4-2	
	2,97,820-15-	

3- Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue, and the Revenue from Extra Sources in the several Districts-

Revenue Board Office;
Fort St. George,
6. October

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

6th October 1872

Presidency for Fusly 1251 Compared with the Collections and Current Revenue Comparison

Total		Arrears		Current		Total		Increase		Decrease	
10		11		12		13		14		15	
Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
15,090	12 -	-	- -	57,130	3 1	57,130	3 1	-	- -	42,039	7 1
2,25,558	2 11	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	2,25,558	2 11	-	- -
2,16,988	10 7	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	2,16,988	10 7	-	- -
15,680	5 3	-	- -	56,118	15 7	56,118	15 7	-	- -	40,438	10 4
-	- -	5,637	9 1	3,032	14 4	9,241	14 5	-	- -	9,241	14 5
16,724	2 6	-	- -	2,13,419	3 2	2,13,419	3 2	-	- -	196,695	- 8
51,607	- 11	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	51,607	- 11	-	- -
52,796	6 1	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	52,796	6 1	-	- -
95,007	14 1	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	95,007	14 1	-	- -
92,986	7 9	-	- -	1,44,357	12 -	1,44,357	12 -	-	- -	51,371	4 3
175,626	6 -	-	- -	3,66,307	3 5	3,66,307	3 5	-	- -	190,680	13 5
2,27,661	10 -	-	- -	5,15,787	5 10	5,15,787	5 10	-	- -	2,88,126	15 -
4,966	8 4	-	- -	75,555	1 2	75,555	1 2	-	- -	70,588	8 10
11,314	10 5	5,115	4 4	-	- -	5,115	4 4	6,199	6 1	-	- -
1,36,945	12 11	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	1,36,945	12 11	-	- -
1,04,580	11 1	15,809	1 3	-	- -	15,809	1 3	88,771	9 10	-	- -
95,645	9 6	5,093	2 9	-	- -	5,093	2 9	90,552	6 9	-	- -
8,564	2 8	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	8,564	2 8	-	- -
19,668	2 3	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	19,668	2 3	-	- -
804	2 10	-	- -	16	2 10	16	2 10	788	- -	-	- -
-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
1342,832	6 11	82,396	8 5	14,64,724	13 5	15,47,121	5 10	9,93,447	11 1	4,97,736	10 -
								20,683	12 2	14,215	12 3
								10,14,131	7 3	13,11,952	6 3
								Deduct Increase		10,14,131	7 3
								Net Decrease		2,97,820	15 -

1773.

Journal pour Février

ordinaire 1750.

	Doit		Avoir		
16					
17					
1	2 358 792	7	2 423 538	3	
2	159 328	2	13 635	7	
3	11 977	13	1 259 928	11	4
4	2 487	697	9 2 612	8	
5	291	939	12 1 303	620	10
6	3	997	895	10	4
7					
8	2	476	756	8	8
9	4	311	57	9	11
10	3	449	1	7	29
11	1	577	172	2	8
12	3	993	601	2	8
13					
14	2	734	644	5	3
15					
16					
17					
18	3	139	573	12	3
19	3	499	477	9	7
20					
	9	604	4	8	1

Fort St. George

Journal diurnall Transactions and Occurrences.

Thursday

	28	29	30	31	32	33
	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌
1	2,631 3 11	3134 11 10	453 7 11	" " "	4702 6 1	444 9 12 8
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	599 13 10	531 13 4
3	587 8 4	5447 1	" " "	240 8 3	3703 6 11 4	9937 15 11
4	" " 162	" " 152	" " 133	" "	15477 1	16105 6 9
5	1759 8 11	7892 9 4	" " 3	3031 10 4	11657 11 5	4944 2 8
6	14621 3 4	13431 3	" "	" "	1989 6 2	1982 7 8
7	9074 6	8438 3 5	" "	619 1 1	7199 6 1	7288 2 6
8	1723 9 2	1435 9 10	2634 8	" "	" "	" "
9	296 7 11	2812 9	" "	" "	" "	" "
10	9744 6 1	6028 "	" "	14 11 2	" "	" "
11	3534 1	4096 6 10	536 6 9	" "	" "	" "
12	2613 4 9	2544 10 1	" "	2763 6 1	3484 "	9114 8 11
13	3206 15 3	2090 1 1	" "	4913 3	3984 2 6	4018 12 "
14	2301 6 11 8	2805 4 1 6	593 3 10	216 14 2	608 12 2	589 8 11 8
15	1782 2 2	1862 2 9	870 7	" "	1059 83 2	114 313 11 1
16	1812 12	1512 3 4 1	" "	" "	2560 25 4	8 2902 63 3 3
17	583 7	618 7 11	" "	3004 7 11	13782 15 3	1373 8 3 10
18	2617 11 6	2061 9 4 7	55 " 11	" "	709 91 5 9	7372 4 1
19	7077 2	4 6086 11 3	" "	5334 9 11	10 6 9 8 5 2	12107 5 11
	1194 10 6	1501 8 9	3061 4	23930 3 9	1101 14 6 9	8 12506 13 2
20	3062 3	2753 8 4	3083 2	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	293 917 3 2	2611 79 " 5	1020 7 4	4 2 9 4 5 8 1	10 2 1 6 8 5 11	8 10 4 2 9 8 2 8 4
	1388 4	7681 4	627 6 9	1243 15 3	1222 15 9	760 15 7
	2 9 5 3 0 2 8	6 2 6 1 9 4 7 12 9	10 8 3 4 11 7	4 4 1 8 9 7 4	10 2 2 9 0 9 11	5 10 3 7 4 6 7 11
			Sales Amount	10 8 3 4 11 7	Sales Amount	33 5 4 11 9
			Sales Amount	33 5 4 11 9		
	2 6 4 2 9 6 12	5 2 3 4 2 9 9 2 3				
	29 5 6 5 12	1 2 7 6 4 8 10 8				
	9 7 3 5 . 8 2 9	12 5 9 4 6 3 7				
	4 7 0 8 0	2 " 4 9 8 0 6 4 4				

Sales Contd.

	34	35	36	37	38	39
	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌	Dec. 4 ☌
	" " "	252 9 3	2075 11 8	1690 1 4	4411 3 8	" " "
	" " 164	6	2016 0 10	1757 9 7	" "	2584 10 3
	2337 4 7	" " "	8081 4	1313 7 9 10	5056 5 10	" "
	628 5 7	" " "	9681 2 2	7358 10 "	" "	2294 8 2
	" "	714 8 9	2457 7 8	2978 7 3	6201 5 7	" "
	" "	" "	3132 14 6	" "	" "	" "
	6881 25	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	1903 1 3	1403 52 3 4	9884 1	" "
	" "	" "	1578 15 7	9169 1 4	9209 1 7	1840 " 3	" "
	" "	" "	1694 7 1	" "	" "	" "
	3420 13 7	" "	1670 13 3	1934 11 5	" "	5465 8 10
	323 9 6	" "	9228 3 1	1016 80 8 3	3452 5 2	" "
	" "	" "	186 6	" "	" "	" "
	9230 12 1	" "	1863 8 2 1	2067 12 3 9	1884 1 8	" "
	9237 14 7	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	20 6 7	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	5360 14 4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1409 9	" "	" "	2648 6 13 6	" "	1721 11 4
	2357 9 9	" "	2 4 6 7 3 2 1	2 7 2 5 4 9 12 4	7 5 1 6 9 9	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	" "	" "	4 5 3 1 9 3 1 2	4 2 3 5 8 2 1 3 9	" "	29 6 10 3 3
	2901 9 9	7723 8 1	11 9 8 7 0 8 11	11 7 1 2 5 4 11	26 1 7 3 - 0	5 2 1 5 6 10 "
	11 8 17	3 7 7 1 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	9 9 1 3 7 3 4	5 3 0 0 9 10	11 9 8 7 0 8 11	11 7 1 2 5 4 11	26 1 7 3 - "	5 2 1 6 10 "
		8 3 0 0 9 10 Sales Amount			Sales Amount	25 9 8 3 10 "
		20 5 3 6 9 6 Sales Amount			Sales Amount	
			11 7 9 9 2 2 2 5	11 4 1 7 7 7 "		
			18 7 8 6 12 6	31 5 4 7 13 "		

11775

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue.

Tobacco — Stamps

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease
	40 Rs. A P	41 Rs. A P	42 Rs. A P	43 Rs. A P	44 Rs. A P	45 Rs. A P	46 Rs. A P	47 Rs. A P	48 Rs. A P	49 Rs. A P	50 Rs. A P	51 Rs. A P	52 Rs. A P	53 Rs. A P
1	"	"	"	"	8,98,914 8	9,22,615 6	237 " 10	" " "	3,04,845 1 1	3,39,930 3 "	35,337 11 4	252 9 5	35085 1 11	" " "
2	"	"	"	"	30,93,812 6	28,587 3 "	" " "	2,351 9 6	3,42,601 2 4	2,16,724 11 7	3,444 6 2	29,320 12 11	" " "	25,876 6 9
3	"	"	"	"	22,955 " "	21,670 10 "	" " "	1,287 6 "	3,30,206 7 11	4,74,654 11 2	1,47,907 2 3	3,45,815 "	1,44,448 3 3	" " "
4	"	"	"	"	23,515 9 "	23,442 8 "	" " "	73 1 "	4,23,378 6 8	4,36,749 12 8	15,738 15 2	2,367 9 2	13,371 6 "	" " "
5	"	"	"	"	14,398 3 11	7,02,315 4 "	" " "	7,374 4 7	4,33,402 10 1	4,32,506 3 5	13,247 " 10	16,143 7 6	" " "	2,896 6 8
6	"	"	"	"	14,778 10 6	12,552 2 6	" " "	2,226 8 "	5,67,295 4 9	6,28,373 10 4	75,505 13 11	14,427 8 4	61,078 5 7	" " "
7	"	"	"	"	17,401 7 "	17,381 9 "	" " "	19 14 "	33,8000 3 3	34,472 4 12 2	942 9 12 5	2,705 3 6	6,724 8 11	" " "
8	"	"	"	"	24,515 13 11	24,650 14 4	135 " 5	" " "	6,39,841 4 11	6,44,058 2 8	12,381 10 7	8,164 12 10	4,216 13 9	" " "
9	"	"	"	"	15,423 9 "	13,985 1 "	" " "	1,438 8 "	6,03,277 12 11	6,98,662 2 7	104,682 3 2	9,297 13 6	95,384 5 8	" " "
10	"	"	"	"	30,469 9 "	27,744 3 1	" " "	2,725 5 11	3,60,936 6 6	3,50,079 12 2	25,353 12 2	36,210 6 6	" " "	10,856 10 4
11	"	"	"	"	17,111 6 "	17,036 11 "	" " "	74 11 "	4,68,155 8 "	5,07,320 5 2	39,785 1 "	6,203 10	39,164 13 2	" " "
12	"	"	"	"	56,656 5 2	51,609 11 9	" " "	5,046 9 5	10,04,777 4 9	10,03,949 7 3	7,402 10 5	8,230 7 11	" " "	827 13 6
13	"	"	"	"	23,264 11 6	23,040 4 "	" " "	224 7 6	1,79,299 11 7	1,82,254 10 7	3,482 5 2	627 6 2	2,854 15 "	" " "
14	"	"	"	"	23,141 2 6	23,002 4 6	" " "	138 14 "	6,41,003 6 8	6,53,814 11 9	20,695 13 8	7,884 8 7	12,811 5 1	" " "
15	"	"	"	"	26,205 1 "	26,699 10 "	494 9 "	" " "	8,77,489 14 8	8,92,033 5 3	14,543 6 7	" " "	14,543 6 7	" " "
16	"	"	"	"	24,753 8 4	22,327 9 3	" " "	2,425 15 1	4,94,159 3 "	5,10,511 9 11	19,782 13 11	5,430 7 "	14,352 6 11	" " "
17	"	"	"	"	18,635 2 4	16,850 3 "	" " "	1,784 15 4	3,16,039 " 10	3,04,576 9 4	6,943 15 3	18,406 6 9	" " "	11,462 7 6
18	2,89,013 5 11	2,89,855 10 11	" " "	8,027 11 1	36,382 1 9	39,884 8 "	3,502 6 3	" " "	12,97,621 6 9	12,96,194 5 5	29,276 15 "	30,704 " 4	" " "	1,427 1 4
19	5,25,852 9 7	5,27,188 14 10	1,336 5 3	" " "	55,225 11 6	54,025 5 10	" " "	1,250 5 8	15,59,184 12 5	15,10,460 3 5	20,852 10 4	69,577 3 4	" " "	48,724 9 "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,686 5 6	8,910 6 "	2,224 " 6	" " "	11,57,216 7 10 9	12,34,594 2 "	79,352 13 4	2,02,606 1	77,326 7 3	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	30,623 " 6	27,538 " 4	" " "	3085 " 2	" " "	3085 " 2
					2,697 12 "	2,281 4 "	" " "	416 8 "				30,026 11 5	" " "	30,026 11 5
	8,14,865 15 6	8,08,174 9	8,1336 5 3	8027 11 1	4,94,148 13	14,71,932 15 1	6,593 1 "	28,808 15 "	4,53,589 13 2	4,25,864 1 9				
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,28,29,396 9 6	132,155 75 9 11	6,85,147 " 8	2,98,968 " 3	5,21,362 3 1	135,183 2 8
	8,14,865 15 6	8,08,174 9	8,1336 5 3	8027 11 1	4,94,148 13	14,71,932 15 1	6,593 1 "	28,808 15 "	10,427 11 7	10,850 4 4	" " "	" " "	422 8 9	" " "
			Deduct Increase				Deduct Increase		1,28,39,824 5 1	1,32,26,425 14 3	" " "	" " "	5,21,784 11 10	135,183 2 8
			Net Decrease	6,691 5 10			Net Decrease	22,215 14 "					135,183 2 8	Deduct Decrease
	7,47,042 2 4	7,34,978 9 7											3,86,601 9 2	Net Increase
	67,523 13 2	73,196 " 1			4,64,730 11	4,44,211 8 11 1			12,10,337 8 15 4	12,45,629 8 5 5				
					29,418 1 9	29,814 "			73,644 5 9	7,70,127 8 10				

1170

Short Stories

State including Land and Lots Amounts of Persons

	Yearly 1250	Yearly 1251	Yearly Persons	Amount Persons
	54	55	56	57
1	Abr. 48	Abr. 48	Abr. 48	Abr. 48
2	11,653,607	11,536,061	35,337	4,232
3	17,903,231	3,209,286	2,290,292	1,792,220
4	19,518,818	10,244,818	6,157,382	3,644,892
5	14,918,617	10,385,537	4,286,603	3,614,361
6	1,609,218	1,172,398	73,578,113	21,112
7	2,092,054	2,150,326	6,103,613	1,335,611
8	23,771,663	24,376,611	8,933,1	5,702,1
9	24,428,713	2,636,539	1,996,901	3,929,392
10	24,428,582	23,872,386	7,353,128	5,702,13
11	2,539,745	6,244,578	3,971,881	1,902,392
12	4,617,609	4,103,392	3,912,103	1,923,1
13	1,633,911	1,586,177	3,182,512	1,913,15
14	2,679,102	2,692,110	2,895,317	1,902,10
15	3,063,072	2,173,861	1,314,892	1,902,10
16	2,516,538	1,261,822	10,834,217	1,314,892
17	23,412,21	8,524,203	11,744,681	7,902,18
18	31,247,065	10,312,842	8,375,141	7,902,18
19	31,246,047	9,816,992	8,052,012	7,137,14
20	12,412,956	12,902,816	8,014,202	6,893,77
	30,633,6	27,838,4	1,202,8	7,814,73
	4,558,901	2,458,641	9,300,2	3,902,8
	4,524,137	4,642,921	10,167,940	9,196,704
	15,40,8	8,092,7	5,191,8	3,194,821
	4,544,425	7,9,532,926	1,1	1,928,017
	4,901,720	19,430,732	2,7	1,292,236
	24,397,04	24,729,861	34	8,7,80

Bill. Item of Old Account
Land Persons.

	Yearly 1250	Yearly 1251	Amount Persons	Yearly 1250	Yearly 1251	Amount Persons
	60	61	62	63	64	65
	Abr.	Abr.	Abr.	Abr.	Abr.	Abr.
	31,79	8,11,192	13	16,513	8,4	
	4,253,1	3,652,1	399	7,4	987	21,10
	26,78	11,11,123	1	9	1,345	102
	476,39	206,45			790	94
	274,61	992,59			718	18
	106,47	116,11			148	96
	1,808,11	1,205,1			1,095	79
	1,913,15	1,902,10			1,902	79
	1,902,10	1,314,89			1,314	89
	1,314,89	1,902,18			1,902	18
	1,902,18	1,914,89			1,914	89
	1,914

11777.

Fort St George 6th October 1842.

	Abkarry Fusly 1250		Abkarry Fusly 1251		Increase		Decrease		Sundry Small Farms and licenses Fusly 1250		Sundry Small Farms and licenses Fusly 1251		Increase		Decrease		Moturpha Fusly 1250		Moturpha Fusly 1251		Increase		Decrease	
	68		69		70		71		72		73		74		75		76		77		78		79	
	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘	W	a ℘
1	80	5 2	297	10 2	217	5 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	8 9	"	"	15	4 3	"	"	14 8 9
2	6,491	8 "	3,008	8 "	"	"	3483	" "	"	"	6	8 "	6	8 "	"	"	2	15 6	18	3 9	"	"	"	"	192 5 5
3	2	8 8	413	10 7	411	1 11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	340	4 7	147	15 2	14	" 8	"	"	" " "
4	111	7 5	186	8 6	75	1 1	"	"	21	4 "	8	4 "	"	"	13	" "	"	"	14	" 8	"	"	"	"	71 2 11
5	65	3 8	4	" "	"	"	61	3 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	443	11 8	372	8 9	"	"	"	"	9 8 4
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	289	1 4	57	5 "	"	"	231	12 4	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	20 11 "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	27	10 1	7	12 4	43	8 3	"	"	9	8 4	5	12 "	"	"	"	"	" " "
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	26	7 "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	13 "	"	"	"	"	" " "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	69	15 11	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	136 15 10
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	23	7 8	55	5 4	"	"	"	"	22	13 6	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
15	457	14 4	13	13 "	"	"	"	"	24	11 4	33	0 "	34	13 8	"	"	159	13 4	78	14 9	"	"	"	"	65 10 7
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	444	1 "	"	"	17	3 8	525	4 8	"	"	144	9 4	"	" "	88	12 8	"	"	" " "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	3 8	"	"	11	1 4	99	14 "	"	"	"	"	" " "
18	"	" "	2,297	13 6	2,297	13 6	"	"	11	14 11	"	"	"	"	11	14 11	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	9	8 7	4	" "	"	"	9	8 7	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" " "
	7,208	14 11	6,221	15 9	3,001	5 6	3,988	4 8	1,385	5 4	768	12 4	627	6 3	1,203	15 3	1,222	15 9	763	15 7	118	1 7	577	1 9

11778

Fort St George 1st October 1842

Tobacco.

	Fiscly 1250		Fiscly 1251		Increase		Decrease		Total Fiscly 1250.		Total Fiscly 1251.	
	80		81		82		83		84		85.	
	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3,374	6 10	20,127	3 5
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6,494	7 6	3,026	11 9
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4638	14 6	5,242	6 4
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	209	14 5	225	4 4
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3,476	12 7	1,566	15 6
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	514	" 2	277	6 9
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	300	13 1	999	1 9
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1,190	13 11	1,261	14 11
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	113,146	6 6	9,734	4 4
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4,157	5 8	1,889	15 10
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4,199	9 7	1,408	10 10
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1,763	13 5	4179	9 7
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1,422	11 2	1,495	12 5
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	947	4 8	405	1 11
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1,994	14 9	1,738	2 9
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3,459	1 11	3034	9 7
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1625	3 5	3,236	6 5
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	141	10 7	8	4 1
20.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	766	2 9	1,721	1 11
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	151,088	7 5	60,979	" 1

Grand Total of Collections.

Increase		Decrease		Fiscly 1250		Fiscly 1251.		Increase		Decrease	
	86		87		88		89		90		91
R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗	R	a ℗
16,752	12 7	"	" "	1,166,235	6 5	1,176,033	13 10	9,798	7 5	"	" "
"	" "	3467	11 9	1,471,848	14 2	1,668,062	14 7	196,214	" 5	"	" "
603	7 10	"	" "	1,735,470	3 8	2,097,510	9 4	362,040	5 8	"	" "
15	5 7	"	" "	1,052,095	3 3	1,025,043	4 6	"	" "	27057	14 9
"	" "	1,909	13 1	1,435,338	9 5	1,338,120	7 3	"	" "	97,218	2 2
"	" "	236	9 5	1,608,729	2 3	1,472,875	13 9	"	" "	135853	4 6
698	48	"	" "	2,092,355	5 8	2,151,385	4 2	59,029	14 6	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	2,377,663	10 11	2,434,676	14 9	57,013	3 10	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	2,447,058	1 6	2,637,521	6 3	190463	4 9	"	" "
71	1 "	"	" "	2,562,630	5 8	2,396,990	4 11	"	" "	165,640	" 9
"	" "	1,034	2 2	2,601,842	6 2	2,447,865	" 1	"	" "	153,777	6 1
"	" "	2261	5 10	4,622,170	7 4	4,104,740	12 1	"	" "	517,429	11 3
"	" "	3,090	14 9	1,635,675	2 4	1,570,357	4 8	"	" "	65317	13 8
2415	12 2	"	" "	2,680,522	12 "	2,699,606	8 5	19,083	12 5	"	" "
73	1 3	"	" "	3,066,989	6 "	3,217,966	6 9	150,977	" 9	"	" "
"	" "	512	2 9	2,518,552	14 10	2,620,820	3 7	102,267	4 9	"	" "
"	" "	856	12 "	2,344,680	10 4	2,423,346	1 3	78,665	6 11	"	" "
"	" "	424	8 4	3,126,330	10 3	3,135,078	14 7	8,748	4 4	"	" "
1,611	3 11	"	" "	3,196,189	4 "	3,166,999	6 9	"	" "	29,189	13 3
"	" "	133	6 6	1,212,733	1 11	1,291,802	8 4	79,069	6 5	"	" "
954	15 2	"	" "	30,623	" 6	27,538	" 4	"	" "	3,085	" 2
"	" "	"	" "	4,538,90	13 2	4,258,64	1 9	"	" "	30,026	11 5
"	" "	"	" "								
23,195	15 3	11,305	6 7	45,441,425	7 9	45,534,206	1 11	1,313,370	8 2	1,224,589	14 "

Deduct Decrease. 1,224,589 14 "

Net Increase — 88,780 10 2.

11779

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

Particulars of Balances.

Arrears of fusly 1250 - and do Land Revenue

	Old Balances or Balances of more than 15 years standing	Balances under 15 years standing	Total	Jaury Mootahs	Minors.
	92.	93.	94.	95.	96.
	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘
1	" " "	2,32,303 1 "	2,32,303 1 "	29,405 4 11	" " "
2	" " "	12 6 "	12 6 "	1,79,169 10 5	5744 12 4
3	60,389 11 1	3,32,615 7 6	3,93,005 2 7	5,09,880 5 1	5,04,677 13 "
4	" " "	10,154 15 8	10154 15 8	8,71,891 9 7	85825 10 10
5	" " "	1,16,021 2 "	116,021 2 "	7,97,576 10 9	" " "
6	" " "	5,833 8 11	5,833 8 11	308 9 2	16,538 9 2
7	" " "	3,418 14 "	3,418 14 "	1,898 1 11	" " "
8	" " "	" " "	" "	9,948 7 9	" " "
9	" " "	8,01,398 2 4	8,01,398 2 4	7,19,28 7 10	" " "
10	" " "	1,53,913 6 9	1,53,913 6 9	" " "	" " "
11	" " "	43,263 11 5	43,263 11 5	" " "	" " "
12	5863 9 6	2,27,671 6 9	2,33,535 " 3	12 7 8	" " "
13	" " "	3,174 10 "	3,174 10 "	" " "	" " "
14	7731 " 5	1,91,462 4 5	1,99,193 4 10	2,87,297 10 8	" " "
15	" " "	10,518 9 5	10,508 9 5	" " "	" " "
16	" " "	29,602 13 6	29,602 13 6	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	12,134 7 "	12,134 7 4	" " "	" " "
18	" " "	2,406 15 9	2,406 15 9	" " "	" " "
19	" " "	3,826 8 11	3,826 8 11	" " "	" " "
20.	491 1 10	16,319 13 4	16,810 15 "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	74,475 6 10	21,98,052 4 8	22,72,527 11 6	27,59,317 5 9	6,12,786 13 4

Balances of prior date in train of liquidation permanently settled -

Land Revenue not permanently settled.

	Sequestered.	Total	Rented	Summary	Total Land Revenue
	97	98	99	100	101.
	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘	₹ a ⊘
	3813 5 4	33,218 10 3	" " "	73,800 10 5	1,07,019 4 8
	56,696 3 "	2,41,610 9 9	" " "	11,145 1 5	2,52,755 11 2
	1,37,336 7 7	11,51,894 9 8	" " "	70,077 15 10	12,21,972 9 6
	11,22,506 8 1	20,80,223 12 6	" " "	885 " 11	20,81,108 13 5
	42,74,794 5 5	50,12,371 " 2	" " "	9,926 2 "	50,87,796 2 2
	" " "	16,817 2 4	" " "	7,336 3 9	24,183 6 1
	" " "	1,898 1 11	" " "	4,372 3 "	6270 4 11
	5,840 3 1	15,788 10 10	" " "	" " "	15,788 10 10
	" " "	71,928 7 10	" " "	1,45,859 " 3	2,17,787 8 1
	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,328 7 1	5,328 7 1
	" " "	" " "	" " "	16,732 15 2	16,732 15 2
	" " "	12 7 8	16,652 5 1	" " "	16,664 12 9
	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,393 5 6	9,393 5 6
	12,116 10 11	2,99,414 5 7	" " "	8,964 12 3	3,08,379 1 10
	" " "	" " "	" " "	3511 13 1	3,511 13 1
	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,904 10 2	2,904 10 2
	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,238 " "	6,238 " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,140 " 2	2,140 " 2
	" " "	" " "	61 3 7	471 10 10	532 14 5
	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,655 1 6	6,655 1 6
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	56,13,103 11 5	89,85,207 14 6	16,713 8 8	3,85,742 1 4	9,38,663 8 6.

11780

Fort St. George

6th October 1842.

	Salt			Sayer			Abkarry			Sundry Small farms and Licences			Moturpha			Extra Sea Customs			Tobacco			Stamps			Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109.			Balance of Current Demand of July 1251. Land Revenue			Extra Revenue			Total		
	102			103			104			105			106			107			108			109			110			111			112			113.		
	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø
1	"	"	"	"	"	"																			111626	14	11	144129	"	7	5198	8	10	149627	9	5
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	20149	1	4	646	9	1	1912	"	2										253045	12	11	70821	9	10	815	10	9	71637	4	7
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	57	13	4	"	"	"	238	4	5										128310	8	4	109791	4	9	19262	7	5	129053	12	2
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	3012	10	6	395	6	"	2929	14	4										2081457	7	3	537854	14	6	9369	12	8	547206	11	2
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	237	12	3	"	"	"	110	13	7										508737	5	9	513828	11	"	5524	6	4	519353	1	4
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1130	3	7										24609	8	11	393917	10	8	22003	2	"	415920	12	8
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	"	"	145	13	9										6337	3	7	31705	9	11	2278	12	7	33984	6	6
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	280	5	1	60	14	8										15788	10	10	26449	5	9	2805	8	"	29254	13	9
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										218890	5	5	258405	8	8	21137	2	"	279542	10	8
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1102	13	4										5329	2	9	317940	8	4	5035	9	3	322976	1	7
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	118	"	"										16756	5	8	408943	2	"	14133	7	4	423076	9	4
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	1	"	12	5	6										16938	10	7	325093	8	10	23543	13	3	350637	6	1
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	273	13	10													9523	14	7	66211	6	11	4484	"	9	70695	7	8
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	130	9	1													309916	7	5	99611	7	6	11244	11	9	110856	3	3
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	103	8	10													3722	6	5	28659	1	8	32386	8	11	61045	10	7
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	563	12	9										13239	1	7	91352	10	6	18902	6	5	110255	"	11
17	"	"	"	365	14	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	210	9	4										7259	15	10	11037	11	3	1239	2	10	12296	11	1
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	334	7	5										7207	"	2	23050	10	8	5930	9	2	28981	1	10
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	139	3	5	45	"	"	666	1	5										793	3	3	10825	11	5	1851	7	9	12677	3	2
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	121	1	5										6655	1	6	10363	5	8	10926	"	10	21289	6	6
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	365	14	5	490	8	6	1897	4	11	8835	15	4	"	"	"										9404275	3	8	1090273	"	5	192075	2	10	1282348	3	3

11781.

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

	Total Columns 110 and 113.	Total Balance Columns 94 & 114	Remission Of recent Arrears	Remission Of old Balance	Total.
	114	115	116	117	118.
	₹ a ₰	₹ a ₰	₹ a ₰	₹ a ₰	₹ a ₰
1	561,254 8 4	79,3557 9 4	898 15 9	9,599 " 9	10,498 " 6
2	324,683 1 6	3,24,695 7 6	371 8 10	4670 4 3	5,041 13 1
3	16,48,344 4 6	20,41,349 7 1	" " "	11,780 10 6	11,780 10 6
4	26,38,664 2 5	26,48,819 2 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	6602,090 7 1	57,18,111 9 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	440,530 5 7	446,363 14 6	" " "	2,330 " 3	2,330 " 3
7	40321 10 1	43,740 8 1	" " "	5518 4 4	5518 4 4
8	45,043 8 7	45,043 8 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	4,79,433 " 1	12,80,831 2 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	328,305 4 4	4,84,718 11 1	4,328 12 2	7,197 6 1	11,576 2 3
11	439,832 15 4	483,096 10 5	" " "	83 3 3	83 3 3
12	367,576 " 8	601,111 " 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	80,219 6 3	53,394 " 3	" " "	8278 6 9	8278 6 9
14	449,902 10 8	619,095 15 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	64768 1 "	75,786 10 5	" " "	6,849 13 3	6,849 13 3
16	113,494 2 6	143,097 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	19,556 13 11	31,691 4 11	" " "	42,659 2 11	42,659 2 11
18	31188 2 4	33,595 1 9	" " "	588 4 "	588 4 "
19	13,470 6 5	17,296 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
20	27,944 8 "	44,755 7 2	4 2 4	62,785 2 11	62,789 1 11
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1,36,86,623 6 11	15,95,9151 2 5	5,603 6 9	1,62,339 11 3	1,67,943 2 "

(Signed) I D Bourdillon.
Secretary

11782

B. Comparative Abstract of the Collections of Land Revenue &c. for 1250 Fusly, 1251 Fusly, 1252 Fusly, 1253 and 1254 Fusly, made up to the end of 11th July 1842.

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

Nil

Land Revenue Arrears Demand Arrears Demand

| | | |

Fort St.e George 6th October 1842.

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 11th July 1842.

	Land Revenue				Extra Sources of Revenue			
	Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
	R a p		R a p		R a p		R a p	
Ganjam	" " "		25,495	14 9	35,294	6 2	" " "	
Vizagapatam	22,558	2 11	" " "		" " "		" " "	
Rajahmundry	21,388	1 11	" " "		" " "		29,344	2 6
Masulipatam	" " "		" " "		14,652	3 9	" " "	
Guntoor	" " "		40,138	10 4	13,386	11 7	" " "	
Chingleput	" " "		93,957	8 7	" " "		3260	9 7
Salem	" " "		1,96,965	10 4	61,112	5 6	" " "	
Madura	52,326	" 7	" " "		6,703	13 11	" " "	
Nellore	52,796	6 1	" " "		4,216	13 9	" " "	
Northern Dv of Arcot	95,793	11 6	" " "		95,269	9 3	" " "	
Southern Dv of Arcot	" " "		15,6592	1 5	" " "		9,047	15 4
Tanjore	" " "		1,92,942	3 3	39,164	13 2	" " "	
Trichinopoly	" " "		5,16,601	13 9	" " "		827	13 6
Tinnevelly	" " "		69,008	2 1	3,690	4 5	" " "	
Bellary	5,884	2 6	" " "		13,199	9 11	" " "	
Cuddapah	136,926	2 1	" " "		14,050	1 4 8	" " "	
Combatore	87,826	1 2	" " "		14,441	3 7	" " "	
Canara	99,139	13 4	" " "		" " "		11,474	6 5
Malabar	8864	12 2	" " "		" " "		116	7 10
Madras Land Customs	19,534	11 9	" " "		" " "		18,774	9 4
Madras Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	1742	15 2	" " "		77,326	7 3	" " "	
Madras Sea Customs	" " "		" " "		" " "		3085	" 2
	" " "		" " "		" " "		30,026	11 5
Total	9,94,181	1 2	12,97,202	" 2	5,72,509	4 11	1,35,907	11 9
Deduct Increase	9,94,181	1 2	1,35,907	11 9	Dr Decrease			
Net Decrease	2,97,820	15 "	38,6601	9 2	Net Increase			

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Fort St.e George 6th October 1842.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To The Collector of North Arcot.

72

To the Collector in the Nth Dv of Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remind you that no answer has been yet received to my predecessor's letter of the 8th August last, on the subject of a Petition presented to the Board by Pedda Reddy of your District.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St.e George, 6th October 1842.

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.

73

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

Referring to Para 4 of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 3d September 1840, I am directed to request that you will report the result of your enquiries as regards the situation of the 9 grants of land therein alluded to, and whether the arrears of Duit rent outstanding against them have been recovered.

2. Final orders having been communicated to you for levying in arrear the Assessment

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

To bal. 7th Nov. 1840
To D°. 25th Dec. 1841.

Assessment payable on the Premises occupied by the Vestry School at Trichinopoly, you will be pleased, as directed in the Extract of the Boards Proceedings above referred to, to report the amount of the remaining irrecoverable arrears for the purpose of sanction being obtained for writing off the same to profit and loss.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 6th October 1842

(Signed) I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

74 From the Collector of Guntoor Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.

75 From the Collector of Guntoor Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of August last.

76 From the Collector of Masulipatam Forwarding Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of February last; and reporting that no cases in which goods are liable to the levy of double duty have occurred during that period.

Forwarding

Fort St. George 6th October 1842.

From the Collector of Masulipatam Forwarding Statement of Goods recommended for the levy of double duty for the Month of March last and reporting that no cases in which goods are liable for confiscation have occurred during that period.

77

78 From the Collector of Masulipatam Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of April last.

79 From the Collector of Masulipatam Statement of Goods recommended for the levy of double duty for the Month of April last.

80 From the Collector of Masulipatam Forwarding Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last and reporting that no cases in which Goods are liable to the levy of double duty have occurred during that period.

81 From the Collector of Rajahmundry Forwarding a Statement of smuggled Salt seized and recommended for confiscation from 1st to 31st August last.

82 From the Principal Collector of Salem Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of August last.

Statement.

From the Principal Collector of Salem. Statement of Articles
(83) on which double or treble duty was levied for
the Month of August last.

Order on the Eight Abovementioned Statements. Ordered that
(76-84) confiscation and sale of the Smuggled goods
as well as the levy of double or treble duty
enumerated on the abovementioned Statement
be authorized.

From the Principal Collector of Madura. Reminding that
(85) no sanction has been received for the con-
fiscation of certain goods recommended
in the Statement submitted for the Month
of May last.

" Thmc

" [illegible]

" H.G. Montgomery

Comp.d
S.G. Boyle

Diary.

6th October Sent the following —
letter.
To The Collector in the Northern Division of
Arcot.

(1)

Sir,

To the Coll.r in the N.th D.n of Arcot

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to return to you the Statement received —
In Con.n 15th Sept.r 1842. with your letter of the 7th Ultimo of arrears
due to certain Yeomiahdars, with the remarks
of the Board and the orders of Govern-
-ment inserted in the proper Columns.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
6th October 1842.

/Signed/ I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

6th Sent the following —
letter.
To The Principal Collector of
Salem.

(2)

Sir,

To the Pr.l Coll.r of Salem.

The Board of Revenue observe on reference to the Statement —
Submitted

27th Augt in Cons. 5th Septr 1842.-

submitted by you of Goods released on payment of Extra duty in the month of July last that treble duty was levied on a quantity of Iron ore- This commodity is not included in the list of 36 Articles liable to duty, nor is it entered in the Tariff which accompanied your letter of the

1/4 Mds

In Cons. 9th April 1838.

23d March 1838. Under these circumstances the Board request you will state why the article in question was seized and treble duty levied thereon.-

Revd. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
6th October 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

At a Meeting Present.

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member

Monday 10th October 1842

G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member

and

T. C. Montgomery Bart. Actg. Temporary Member

Alexander Maclean Esqre. Actg. Third Member absent on leave.-

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

Read the following Extract.-

3

From the Actg. Chief Secy. to Govt.

Extract from Minutes of Consultations dated 24th September 1842.-

Read the following letter from the Military Board.-

/Here enter 16th September 1842/

The Government were aware that the Burial Ground, alluded to in the Petition forwarded to the Military Board under date the 10th Instant, was different to the one adjoining the Lines of the Native Regiment at Vepery; but as the former had been for some time in use, consequent on a representation from

from the Officiating Chief Magistrate, dated 16th. October last, that the interment of bodies in the Old Roman Catholic Cemetery in the Vepery, owing to its low position, had been the cause of much sickness among the inhabitants in its neighbourhood, the attention of the Military Board was directed to the resolution of Government of the 24th. June last, with a view to their ascertaining, in communication with the Revenue Board, "whether there was "any probability of obtaining at an "early period a spot of Ground in an "eligible situation" for a Roman Catholic Cemetery, previously to the commencement of the improvements to the old Burial Ground sanctioned in that resolution.

3-4

/Signed/ Robert Clerk
Jr. Chief Secretary.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter of the 17th. August 1842.

Read

From the Sec. to Govt.

5

Read the following Extract.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th. September 1842.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 21st. June 1842 No. 16.

A copy of this despatch will be furnished to the Board of Revenue with instructions to communicate the decision of the Honorable Court of Directors and to effect an adjustment of Kistna row's Salary in the manner indicated.-

The Despatch of the Honorable Court dated 20th. January 1841. No. 2, it is observed, was received by the Overland Mail on the 22d. March, 1841.-

Revenue Department.-

No. 16 of 1842.-

Our Governor in Council at Fort St. George.

1. With your Secretary's letter dated the 18th. December/ No. 74/ 1841, you transmit some correspondence which has

has taken place between the Board of Revenue and the Collector of Malabar on the subject of a claim advanced by Kistna Row, Head Sheristadar of that District, to be allowed to reckon as service the period which intervened between his dismissal in Canara and his reappointment in Malabar in the calculation of his Salary.—

2.

By our Despatch of the 20th. January / No. 2 / 1841 we authorised you in consideration of the unmerited dismissal of Kristna Row from the Office which he held in Canara, to pay him the sum of Rupees 15,563-14-6, being the difference between the sum which he would have received had he continued in Office, and the amount actually paid to his Successor.—

3.

Having by this decision declared our conviction that Kistnarow's removal from Office was altogether undeserved on his part, we are prepared to admit his claim to the extent to which it has

has received your recommendation, and we accordingly authorise you to reckon the period during which he was deprived of his appointment in the settlement of his future salary, and to adjust the same in arrear from the date of the receipt of our despatch of the 20th. January / No. 2 / 1841, before referred to.—

London ---
21st. June 1842.

/ Signed / J. L. Lushington
/ " / John Cotton
Vcs Vcs Vcs

/ True Extract & Copy /
/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Aff. Sect. to Govt.

To, The Resident and Members
of the Board of Revenue.—

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a copy of

5-6

the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation with copy of the letter from the Honorable Court which accompanies it, on the subject of the Head Sheristadar Kistnarow's salary, be forwarded for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Canara.

Mr. Blair will be pleased

pleased to adjust Kistnarow's salary in the manner indicated in the Honorable Court's letter above referred to.—

Read the following Resolution.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th. October 1842.—

7

From the Asst. Secy. to Government.— /From Board 26th. September/

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants special sanction for the actual charges incurred in conveying Salt Rowannahs Vca. from the Board's Office to the different Collectors in the year 1841/42, Amounting to Rupees /133—4—5/ One hundred and thirty three, Annas four and Pice five.—

His Lordship in Council also directs that credit to the extent of 200 Rupees in the General Treasury be granted to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to meet similar expenses for the current year.—

7. 8

Order thereon.—

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

9

From the Collr. of Ganjam.—

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 12th. Instant I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that every endeavour has been made to dispose of the Porto Novo Ploughs sent to this District, but no offer at any price could be obtained. These Ploughs are perfectly useless in their present shape, and I am informed that the Cost of converting the Cast Iron material into malleable Iron, would be considerably more than the price at which the best Iron is procurable. Under these circumstances I request the Board's orders for the disposal of the implements in question.—

Ganjam District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Ganjam 28th. September 1842.—

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

To

Fort St. George 10th. October 1842

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(10)

From the Pr. Coll.r of Canara ....

Sir.

In reply to your letters of the 17th. June last and 12th. Ultimo, I have the honor to forward a statement showing the amount realized by the sale of the Porto Novo Ploughs sent to this District - The amount proceeds falls short of their cost by Rupees 362 - 7a - 11p which is owing to their having been purchased only as old Iron; the ploughs themselves being unsuited to the Agriculture of this Province.-

I beg to apologize for the long delay in submitting

Fort St. George 10th. October 1842

submitting this report, which has been principally occasioned by some of the Ploughs sent up to the Balaghaut Talooks, having been brought down and sold on the Coast.-

Principal Collr.s Cutcherry,
Mangalore, ... 1st. October, 1842 ...

(signed) Hy. Blair
Pr. Collector.

Account

11799

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

Account particulars of the Amount realized by the sale of Porto Nova Plough &c.
in the Zillah of Cuddapah.

		Rs	a	p
1 Lot of 30 Ploughs		63	5	0
1 Do. of 8	Do.	16	8	0
1 Do. of 1	Do.	1	"	"
1 Porto Nova Plough & fitted up with wood furniture complete		19	10	5
	Total	100	7	5
Charges as per account submitted to Board on the 8th October 1842		1	15	11
	Nett	362	7	11

(Signed) H. C. St. Blair
Principal Collector.

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read

Marapatoor, 1st October 1842.

9-11

Order on the two foregoing letters.

11800

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

12

From the Collr of Rajahmundry.
No 1.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit, a Statement of an estate attached for arrears of revenue, according to the form which accompanied the Deputy Secretary's letter under date the 28th March 1836, and request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the Establishment proposed. This Estate was referred to in the 4th para of my letter of 20th July as not having been attached, a measure then considered unnecessary, in consequence of no difficulty having been apprehended to be experienced for the realization of the Government demand, as the estate is very profitable, but as no arrangement has been since made by the Zemindar for the discharge of the present balance of arrears against it Rupees 710-11-4 and as, in consequence of disputes pending in the Civil Court between him and other parties regarding the Estate, the Zemindar himself has requested me now to take it under attachment, I have, consequently on the approach of the Current kists, considered it right to attach it.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office -
Cocoanada, 23d September 1842

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement

1801

Fort St. George 11th October 1842

Statement of Estates attached for fusly 1252 in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

Number	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages in-cluded in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemin-dary or estate.	Amount of Kists due for Current fusly.	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages propos-ed to be attach-ed.	Actual or estimated annual value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establish-ment propos-ed to be em-ployed in the attach-ment.	Percentage of the establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
				Cr.s a p	Cr.s a p	Cr.s a p	Cr.s a p		Cr.s a p				
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Ancient Zemundary Estate.														
1	Vellah	Maulavie Mahomed Abdul rajaksh													
	Zemundar		1	1445 8	" " "	" " "	" " "								
	Arrears of Fusly 1251			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "								
				710 11 4											
	Total		1	1445 8	" " "	" " "	" " "		1 2168 4	1 Summut-dun -10 1 Mun -1/11 Sadut -2	14 8	" "	10 84	23d Sept. 1842	Placed under the Darogah of Drachareum Divi-sion.
				710 11 4					1 2168 4		14 8	" "			

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Collector's Office,
Cocanada 23d September 1842

E. E. Pr / Signed / G. A. Smith,
Collector

Under

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.-

Proceedings thereon.

12-13

Under the circumstances stated in this letter the Board are to approve and sanction the attachment of this Estate; but they think it very objectionable to burden so small a property with the heavy charge contemplated by Mr. Smith. It would be very desirable to annex temporarily the single Village composing the estate to a Government Talook or another managed or attached estate, and the Collector is requested to make such an arrangement if possible; if this is found impracticable, he will be pleased to submit a revised Mooenzahitah on a reduced scale.-

+ Permanent assessment 1445-8-.

To,

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

14

From the Collr of Rajahmundry submitting further Estimates of Tuccavy required for Fusly 1252 with the necessary explanations and requesting sanction for the same.-

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that the amount of Tuccavy Rupees 5,4,316-13-. sanctioned by Government on 2d Instant, at the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, not being sufficient for the exigencies of the present season, I am under the necessity of submitting for sanction a further Estimate, shewing the difference now required to be sanctioned, to be Rupees 21,853-3-. including four Estates since attached.-

		Cur as o
Of Land Revenue	}	5,4,316-13-.
Of Salt		9350-.
		63665-13-.

No 1

Land Revenue Present Estimate amounting to } Cur as o 7,6,670-. +

Deduct already sanctioned		5,4,316-13-.
Remaining		21853-3-.

Estates.-

		Permanent Assessment
1	Panoonmuntra	9,1,968-12-9
2	Munchely	5,672-12-11
3	Sopalpoodroog	1,6,368-3-.
4	2 Villages	1,4,45-8-.
		1,4,456-9-8

2 In Fusly 1251, the amount of the Permanent Assessment of the Estates under Government Management was Rupees 11,16,858-. -. -7, for which the amount of Tuccavy Estimated and sanctioned was Rupees 8,7,920-. -. -, of this amount, Rupees 5,4,316-13-. only were issued in consequence

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.-

consequence of the peculiar nature of the season, the characteristics of which were as follows- The rains set in very heard indeed in May, which enabled the lands to be ploughed, and the Poonusah cultivation to be carried on to a very great extent, which afforded cheap food to the Ryots, while carrying on the cultivation of the rice and other principal crops, which they were thus enabled to do without requiring much Tuccavy- Unfortunately later in the season the rains failed and there was very little Pyrah cultivation- The small issue of Tuccavy on this occasion may I think, be taken as a guarantee that I would not issue it if I could avoid it.-

3

In the present fusly 1252, the amount of the permanent Assessments of the Estates under Government is Rupees 15,49,850-0-1, for which the amount of Tuccavy originally estimated by me was Rupees 99,200-0-0, which was subsequently reduced by me to Rupees 82,090-0-0, of which Rupees 64,316-12-0 only have been sanctioned, which amount

+ Original Estimate dated 8th June 1842.-

* Revised Estimate dated 26th July 1842.-

Equal to the Actual Charges in Fusly 1251.-

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.-

amount is not sufficient with reference to the actual nature of the season- The reason of this increase of Tuccavy being necessary in the present season is this- There were no rain sufficiently strong to permit the ploughing of the lands for the early Poonusah crops of which there was a general failure- Thus, the ryots had to commence the cultivation of the principal crops, after having sustained the loss of two subsequent crops, namely the Pyrah crop of fusly 1251 and the Poonusah crop of fusly 1252 which I think, a fair cause for more Tuccavy being required in the current than in the past fusly even should the District perfectly recovered from the effects of the three preceding unfortunate seasons of fusles 1248, 1249 and 1250.-

Gillah Rajahmundry Collectors Office
Cocanada
28th September 1842

Signed J. A. Smith
Collector

Estimate

Allemanderie.											
1 Oliefpeer	½	00	6	483	½	700	5	000	1	50	6 50
2 Leeuwerik	½	000	5	498	11	600	6	000	"	"	600
3 Leeuwerik	2	500	617	½		150	1	400	"	"	400
4 Aalscholver	2	500	1	000	"	150	0	110	0	10	120
5 Aanvoerder	3	500	9	34		2	500	1	500	0	300	1	800
6 Schelvisch		80		10	53		1	000		80	"	"	80
Olie of het Oelietpeer	13	300	15	586	3	19	600	1	300	1	900	17	700
7 Aanmerkenswaardig		4	000	3	650		4	000	3	000	1	000	4	000
8 Aanspelling		100		68	12		70		70	"	"	"	70	
Olie of Aanmerkt	2	14	400	10	80	14	15	16	23	57	0	1	89	70	2	000	2	17	90

Olie of Aanmerking	3	17	20	1	46	77	10	3	67	70	2	80	50	1	00	3	27	50

1803. Oct. 28th Monday 10 A.M. October 1842.

	Cattle	Sheep	Goats	Swine	Horses	Asses
Allotments.						
1 Ostlands	1400	2340	3000	2500	600	3600
2 Westlands	6000	4321	5000	4000	90	4000
3 Uttenhoven lands	1600	972 8	1900	1200		1200
4 Summerhays lands	400	256	300	265	60	300
Total Allotments	19200	8540	9500	8750	1350	9300
5 Commonlands	9000	1234	1800	1400	100	1800
6 Longhammer pasture	1900	498 12	700	642	67 8	700
7 Middlefarm			2000	1000	500	1500
8 Marsh grass			2000	600	600	1200
9 Coals			4000	3000	1200	4000
10 Quay			1500	1000		1000
11 Swailey			800	750		750
12 Cuttertails			200	150	50	200
13 Quakelands			100		100	100
14 Summerhouse Meadow			150		500	100
15 Upholsterers					100	300
16 Almsfolds					500	100

	Cattle	Sheep	Goats	Swine	Horses	Asses
Summer allotments	3472 10	2467 10	3577 10	1284 50	400	3475 0
Winter allotments	2400	1930 15	2570	1870	900	2170
All Cattle	14100	10334	12750	16592 8	5057 8	2050
Offered	19210	6431 13	8200	4112 8	1205 18	4070

Deducted amount transferred by Commissioners on 2d October 1842 equal to the estimated stock used last July 1861 — 21823

Remained to remain depastured

Total Amount of Stock Depastured by Commissioners on July 1861 — 8090

Deducted amount of Stock not depastured on 2d October 1842 — 5470 13 8

No. 63.

Total Amount of Stock Depastured on 2d October 1842 — 21823 8

Deduct amount of Stock not depastured on the following Commons viz.

Littlemoor	1600
Summerhays	300
Uttenhoven Heath	150
Swaney	150
Cuttertails Plantation	150
	4700

Remaining as above — 17122

Millard Pinhorn Clerk to the Commissioners

Examined J. Hyde Clerk

Declared 28th September 1842

1804 Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the accompanying letter.

(14 - 15)

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the consideration and orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry, begging sanction for further Tuccavy advances. Government were pleased to sanction the disbursement of the same sum on this account as was actually distributed last year but the Collector explains the reasons which rendered the more early for advances last season than usual. Under all the circumstances the Board are induced to forward the application, under the idea that Govt should Government see fit to grant all the whole sum asked for, they may perhaps grant a moiety of it.

† 28th Sept. in Cons 10th Oct. 1842

† 2 Sept. 1842 in Cons 5th Oct.

Rs a
54316 43

Rs a
21853 3

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th October 1842

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

1805 Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Read the following letters

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(16)

From the Coll. of Guntoor

Submitting the information called for on the Petition No. 1215 of Tota Venkatrayadu.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 12th Instant, transmitting Petition No. 1215 of 1842 from Tota Venkat Royadu and requesting me to report what enquiry was made by Mr. Goldingham on a petition from Tota Kistnamma on the same subject and in what manner it was disposed of.—

† No. 1184 of 1841.

2. From the accompanying translation of the Collectors Telugu Endorsement on the last named petition, it will be observed that the petitioners claim to exemption from the tax on Sheep and Goats was inadmissable on the general principles explained in the 38 para of his report dated 30th September 1839 which Mr. Goldingham applied to the introduction of the reduced rates of this tax sanctioned by Government under date the 23d March 1841.

The Petition is herewith returned.

Guntoor Coll's Cutchery
30th September, 1842.

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Collector.

Translation

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Translation of the Endorsement made by J. Goldingham Esqr. Collector dated 28th September 1841. on the Petition No. 1184 presented to the Board of Revenue by Solah Kistnamah.

In this zillah, the Moturfa tax on Sheep and Goats was for a long time levied to the rate of 6 Rupees per Cent from all individuals generally without reference to Caste or profession. It is true that the Petitioner and certain others were not during the above period subjected to the tax on their Sheep. But, since my arrival, as it was, on consideration, deemed inexpedient to subject others to the same extent as Shepherds, who subsist upon the produce of their flocks, and as it also appeared that the above rate pressed heavy upon the Shepherds, the practice of levying the tax on all classes equally according to the former usage, but remitting the same on a certain Number in the possession of some individuals, has been discontinued, and with the sanction of the Board, the tax is fixed thus: Individuals are exempted from paying tax as far as ten

and

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

and they are to pay the regulated tax on those above that Number. Cultivators are exempted as far as ten, and they are to pay 1/4 Rupee per year for any Number above ten and not exceeding fifty, and the regulated tax for those above that Number. The regulated tax is that, all below one year old should not be taken into account, and those above that age are to be taxed at 3-12 a per Cent. As this system is equally applicable to all Classes, it has been introduced in the case of the Petitioner in common with others, but no injustice has taken place. The Petitioner therefore should be aware that it is improper for him to present unnecessary petitions of this kind.

(Signed) J. Goldingham.
Collector.

(True translation)

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Collector.

Proceedings thereon. The Petitioner complains that he has been newly subjected to a demand of Tax on account of his Sheep and Goats. From the letter above recorded it appears that he was

(16-17)

taxed

x 20th January 1840. In Cons. 7th February 23d March 1841. In Cons. 8th April.

taxed in accordance with the orders of Gov. Government authorizing some changes in this tax suggested by the Collector which changes, though they materially reduced the demand in general, yet deprived of their partial and unauthorized exemption, some parties who had been collusively permitted to be free from it.—

Ordered that Petition 1215. of 1842. be endorsed as follows—

"The Petitioner's Sheep and Goats are stated by the Collector to be taxed according to the rules established by Government, as explained to him in the endorsement + made by the late Collector on the Petition formerly presented by his Father. The demand is just and the Petitioner should pay it without demur."—

+ 1184 of 1841.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(18)

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith the revised Copy of the Laws relating

relating to India which accompanied your letter of the 8th Ultimo the necessary alterations having been made in the 2d Edition of the same work in this Office.—

Guntoor Coll.r Office,
1st October, 1842.

(Signed) H: Stokes
Collector

Order thereon.

(18-19)

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following Statements.—

Statement.

11810

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1241/42 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 30th September 1842.

20

No.	Names of Ports.	North Latitude.		Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42.	Quantity already Exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity already stored and ready for Exportation.	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under estimated Heaps.	Remarks.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9
		"	"	Bm	Bm	Bm	Bm	Bm.	
1	Eskapully	14.	44	90,000	89,763	237	237	"	
2	Gogoolapully	14.	39	40,000	15,104	24,896	24,896	"	
3	Vareney	14.	37	20,000	16,543	3,457	3,457	"	
				150,000	121,410	28,590	28,590	"	

Nellore

Prin.l Coll.r Office, 5th October, 1842.

E. E. J.r (Signed) J. V. Stonhouse

Prin.l Coll.r

Statement.

11811

Fort St. George 11th October 1842.

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 27th September 1842.

21

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in Store ready for exportation on the Beach.	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs.	Remarks.
For 1841/42. Bengal Maunds.					
500000	231280.	268720	109200	180991½	

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecauay, 7th October 1842.

E. E. J.r (Signed) A. Freese

Collector

Ordered.

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

Order on the two foregoing Statements — Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(20-22)

(23)

From the Actg. Prin.l Collr. of Cuddapah —

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information and orders of the Board of Revenue that an individual by name Poolla Pedda Conduppah has been discovered in attempting to smuggle a quantity of Indigo of the estimated value of Rupees 276-9- into the town of Poddatoor, he had procured it in the Villages of the Poolevendlah Talook and conveyed it without a Rowanah to his own Village (which is in the Neighbourhood of Poddatoor) where he concealed it in the house of another person.

Intimation of this was given to the Tahsildar who discovered the

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

the Indigo. The owner of the Indigo did not attempt to deny the fact of his having conveyed it to his own Village without a Rowanah and it was abundantly proved. The above individual is also suspected of having been once before concerned in a similar transaction and I therefore beg to recommend that the Indigo may be sold and the proceeds disposed of in the usual manner.

Cuddapah, Prin.l Collr.s Office, 26th September, 1842. — (Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot. Acting Prin.l Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To, The Acting Principal Collr. of Cuddapah.

Sir,

(23. 24)

To the Actg. Prin.l Collr. of Cuddapah, In Cons.n 10th Octr. 1842

With reference to your letter dated the 26th Ultimo reporting the discovery and seizure of a quantity of Indigo attempted to be smuggled. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will state whether the seizure in question was made in

in transitu or whether as it would appear it was effected when concealed in the house of a Second party. If in the latter case you will be pleased to report whether the rules laid down in the Circular from this Office dated 17th April 1834 were acted up to, and the escpence effected by the assistance of the Officers of Police.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Boardillon.
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25

From the Asst. Princl. Collr. of Cuddapah.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the accompanying Petition addressed to the Board of Revenue by Middy Moosaluppah an inhabitant of Camatampilly of the Poolevendlah talook and which bears an endorsement requiring me to report upon it.—

2. The Petitioner complains of

of his Enam land having been placed in possession of the Mirasi Reddy of the Village. The circumstances of the case are as follows.—

3. In the year 1831, the Mirasi Reddy of the Village complained that the present petitioner was unjustly in possession of a portion of Cumburty Enam land which of right belonged to the person who performed the duties of Reddy. The Petitioner denied the truth of the complaint and alleged that the land was Pullacut Enam which he had inherited from his ancestors.—

4. The Principal Collector ordered the case to be tried by assessors under section IV Regulation VII of 1831.—

5. The assessors decided that the land was Cumburty Enam but that as it had long been in the possession of the Petitioner and his ancestors, they were of opinion that he ought to be permitted to retain the land and to pay the regular assessment to the Mirasi Reddy Mr.

Lacon

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Lacon confirmed this award and no appeal was preferred against it, numerous orders have been issued for its enforcement but the Petitioner has most pertinaciously refused either to pay the assessment or to give up the land. In the present year the Mirasi Reddy again complained of being kept out of his dues and as the Petitioner still declined to pay the assessment I caused the land to be put in possession of the Mirasi Reddy which in my opinion ought to have been done in the first instance.

6. A translation of Mr Lacon's order confirming the award of the assessors is herewith submitted.

Cuddapah,
Print. Coll.r Office,
27th September, 1842. } (Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot.
Acting Print. Collector.

A.

An order issued by H. Lacon Esqr. the Principal Collector of Cuddapah to Pujjuli Reddy the Tahsildar of Poolevendlah talook dated 25th August 1831.

You report in your Perzee No.

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

No. 24 of the 8th current, that the witnesses and parties concerned in the dispute as to the Cumbuty Enam of the Village of Conatompilly have been sent to the Poyjor, as per order, in consequence of the Defendant Mooseliah not having acted in conformity to the award passed by a former Punchayet. It is directed that although a Punchayet was assembled formerly and passed their award yet agreeably to the clause 3d section IV Regulation VI of 1831 recently enacted new assessors were assembled in the Poyjor and their decision was given to the Complainant and Defendant with my seal and signature and a Copy is herewith sent for record. In this decision it is stated that the land under dispute having been in the possession of the Defendant from a series of years the same should now also be left in his occupation on the condition of his paying the taram after the deduction of the ½ Remission to the Plaintiff who is in the Office of Reddy. You are therefore to take care that the Defendant

may

Fort St. George 11th October 1842.

may pay it accordingly from the current Fasly 1241. The Defendant has been given to understand the same. Should the Defendant fail to act as per this decision you are to report the same to the Hazor when orders will be issued to act agreeably to the provisions of the foregoing Regulation and the Defendant will thereby lose his right to hold the land in question.

(Signed) H. Lacon
Pt. Collr.

(A true translate)

(Signed) W. H. Archbold
Asst. Pt. Collr.

Proceedings thereon.

(25-26)

It appears that the Petitioner Midday Moosalapah whose case is reported on in the above letter was in possession of a Cumbarty Onam which property appertained to the Reddy Onam of the Village, but having been for a very long period in possession it was decided in the year 1831 by assessors duly appointed that he should continue in possession paying the Tarum of the Land (after deduction of 1/4 Remission) to

1 Sheet Blank

Fort St. George 11th October 1842.

to the Reddy Mipal performing the duties of that Office.

The Petitioner ill appreciating the great indulgence shewn him and having failed and refused to pay the Tarum in conformity with the award passed by the Punchayet the Board consider that Mr Arbuthnot has very properly put the Mipal Reddy into possession of the land.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on original Petition No 1108 of 1842 returned in the foregoing letter.—

The Board of Revenue consider "that the Petitioner by failing to conform "to the decision passed by the Assessors "has forfeited all claim to future indulgence "and accordingly approve of the proceedings of the "Acting Principal Collector in restoring the "land to the Mipal Reddy."—

Read again letters from the Collectors of Chingleput and Madras dated 29th August and 11th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 22nd Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the aforementioned letters.—

To

27

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Govt.

Submitting with reference to a previous correspondence with Government two letters from the Collectors of Madras and Chingleput relating to the issue of new grants for the lands held as private property by His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic & his family with the observations of the Board.—

Extract Minutes of Cons: July 18th 1837 in Cons. 31st " To the Chief Secretary September 11th " Resolution of Government Octr 3d " In Cons 9th "

August 10 1842 in Cons. 22 Octr 1842

Fort St George 10th October 1842.—

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margen, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letters from the Collectors of Madras and Chingleput relating to the issue of new grants for the lands held as private property by His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic and his family.—

2. On the 3d January last the Collector of Madras informed the Board that some of the Gardens for which he had been desired to prepare Certificates were situated in Chingleput District, and the Board then directed the Collector of Chingleput to prepare and submit Certificates for such Gardens. In his present letter Mr Robertson states that Certificates for all the Houses and Gardens belonging to the Nabob and his family within the Madras Collectorate have been completed and forwarded to the Government Agent at Chepauk with the exception of a few, regarding which some further examination is necessary. As soon as that examination is concluded the Certificates for those premises also will, in like manner, be forwarded direct the Board conclude, to the same Officer.—

3. Mr Freese forwards seven grants which he has duly prepared for the same number of properties belonging to the Nabob and his family in the Chingleput District and which accompany this letter. There are three more gardens, all situated at Saint Thomas' Mount, for which he has not prepared grants as the titles are disputed.—

4 The first case is that of a garden & house containing 5-2-15½ which has been long in the occupation of Mrs Taylor of which the claims as her

In Cons 17 Octr 1842

Fort St George 10th October 1842.—

her own property by gift of the late Nabob Azeem-ood-dowlah. The paper which she produces in support of this claim is a complimentary letter from Azeem-ood-dowlah to a third person. This letter acknowledges a request from Major Kirkpatrick that one of the Houses belonging to the Writer at Saint Thomas' Mount might be occupied by the Daughter & family of the late Colonel Kelly during their lives, and the Writer from respect to Major Kirkpatrick grants what he requests "in perpetuity." The Board consider that a document of this nature, being merely an expression of respect and friendship for an unconcerned party and containing none of the usual formal terms of grant or conveyance cannot be held valid to pass landed property. The opinion given by the Law Officers of the Sudr Udalut is also adverse to the interpretation sought to be put upon this document.—

5 The two other cases are of a similar kind but both are unsupported by any document whatever. These two gardens containing 2-6-7 and 2-6-7 respectively appear to have been allowed by the late Nabob for the occupation of the above Mrs Taylor in the one case, and of Mrs Baboom in the other; but there is not a shadow of evidence to shew a design of conveying a proprietary right and such design cannot be presumed without evidence. The Board are of opinion that the occupants of all the three Gardens cannot be considered as any thing more than tenants by sufferance, and that the claims set up by them are no bar to the issue of grants for the premises in the name of the Nabob. As little do they think the possibility that these claims may be brought to issue in a Court of Law, any reason for delay; because the issue of the grant will not fetter the judgment of the Court. The Board direct me to say that they would have instructed Mr Freese to the foregoing purport, but considering the nature of the case, they deem it better to submit it to Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
19th " October 1842.—

(Signed) D. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

28

From the Pt. Collr. of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Copy of an arzee received from the Tahsildar of Kistnaghorry, reporting that he had furnished 15 Coolies upon requisition, to assist the Mortar Carriage proceeding from Kurnool to the Presidency, and to request that the Board will have the goodness to obtain the sanction of Government for the hire of the said Coolies amounting to Rupees 3-5-10. A Certificate from the Sub Conductor in charge of the Carriage is forwarded in support thereof.

Salem Cutcherry
2d Septr 1842.

I have &c.
(Signd) J. D. Gleig
Pt. Collr.

Resolution thereon.

28. 29

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the charge of Rupees 3-5-10 incurred on account of Coolies furnished by the Tahsildar of Kistnaghorry to assist the Mortar Carriage conveyed to the Presidency from Kurnool.

Read

1824

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

30

From the A. Pl. Coll.r
of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a statement shewing the extent of Lands held under Government grants in this District and such other particulars which I trust will afford the information called for in your letter of the 28th. April last.—

Tanjore
Vellam 1st. October 1842

I have &c.
Signed J. F. Bishop
Asst. Pl. Coll.r

Statement

1825

Statement of Quit Rent as well anjore.—

Names of	Remarks		Amount under annual Collection on account of Quit Rent	
		8	4	5
		a c	Fc	Rs. as
		10 5¼	"	" "
Captain	The has not been occupied by the Grantee of this having died shortly after the st. The Ground therefore reverted to the Sang—	5 6½	"	" "
		4 4	27	4 4 of
Mr. F. Richar	Ground were purchased by and are now occupied by the Vestry Cutchery.—	" "	"	" "
Monsieur Sis	nues as a Indigo Factory	" "	"	" "
Chedumbera	ue of the Grant the Ground in been made over to the French to.—			
N. Tiagoo M	tinues as a dwelling House	"	"	" "
Mr. P. Haw	w occupied as Government ich purpose it was purchased	4 4½	"	" "
Mr Augustu	tinues as an Indigo Factory	"	"	" "
Mr. L. G. Ditt	Ditto.			

Bishop
Asst. Pl. Coll.r

1

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

Amount leviable on the land in Col: 2 according to the usual rate of Assessment up to Cultivation.	The purpose for which the land was granted	Remarks
5	7	8
Rs a p		
1 11 1	For building a Chapel	Still continues as Chapel and is exempted from Quit Rent as per sanction of Government dated 14th July 1831.-
" 2 1	D:	D: and D: D:
12 9 9	For D: a dwelling House	Still continues as a dwelling house
4 9 11	For D: a Chapel & School	Still continues a a Chapel and school and is exempted from Quit Rent.-
" 7 10	For D: a Chapel	Still continues as a Chapel and is D: from
2 11 4	For a dwelling House built at Negapatam before the Capture of this Town by the English.-	Still continues as a dwelling house and is D: from D:.-
4 11 11	For a Garden	Still continues as a Garden
11 0 9	For building a Chapel & School	Still continues as a Chapel and School and is exempted from Quit Rent.-

Ordered to lie on the table.-

Read

11827 Fort St. George 10th. October 1842.-

Read the following letter-

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

32

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly Forwarding for the consideration of the Bd. a translation of a Cultivation Hookamnamah for the District of Trichinopoly for fusly 1252.

Para.h 1. With reference to my letter of the 17th. Instant, I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Board a translation of a Cultivation Hookamnamah for the District of Trichinopoly for fusly 1252.-

2. With the exception of the prohibition in para.h 2 against Meerasidars retaining portions of their Putcut Poonjah &ca and the declaration in para.h 7 regarding Meerasidars who refuse to receive Puttahs which have not been so expressly stated in former Hookamnamahs, the other provisions of the District and that such restrictions as those alluded are absolutely necessary, cannot, I think, admit of a doubt.-

3. The Hookamnamah submitted with my letter of the 19th. July 1841 contained provisions which perhaps

perhaps require much discussion and many references. that now submitted is intended to meet present exigencies.

Trichinopoly District,
Voytalaygoodaloor, - - - - -
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry, - - - -
24th. September, 1842. - - - - -

(Signed) A. P. Onslow.
Collector.

Rookoomnamah for Fusly 1252.

Wet Villages. Para. 1. Every tract of land in the Wet Villages has been already clossed and assessed, and you were for a length of time paying the rent fixed thereon. On your subsequent complaints of the loss you often sustained from the decrease of produce and from the low price of grain, the Government having considered the reasons allowed you reductions in the rate of assessment in fusly 1231, at 12, 20, 30, and 40 per Cent. You cannot therefore now avail yourselves of such reasons to pay the rent; but what you should do is that you shall pay punctually the fixed assessment on the lands you cultivate for fusly 1252.

2 The lands which you hold being the Merassy lands fit for sale, you are bound to pay the assessment

assessment indisputably, so long as you may possess them without any regard as to whether you cultivate or not cultivate them, but if you find yourselves unable to cultivate any portion of what you held in the preceding fusly, you may at the commencement of the cultivating season, give up such lands by means of written Razeenamahs, in doing which you should bear in mind that you have not the liberty of retaining fertile parts of your lands, and giving up only those from which losses are to be sustained. In order to render other Individuals to whom the lands may be rented to sustain losses, you should give both good and bad lands promiscuously with their respective portions of Puttah, Poonjah, Bagayet, and Topes, as well as Munnay or other Samvodayems. Independent of which you are hereby prohibited from relinquishing such piece of land as may remain almost surrounded by those that you may retain

retain, because an inconvenience can be experienced by the Individual that may come forward to cultivate. Any Razeenamahs that may be tendered contrary to these provisions or after the expiration of the cultivating season will never be admitted, and the Assessment will no doubt be collected from you altho' you cultivate or do not cultivate.

3. The lands which you may give up, in accordance with the above directions, will be rented out to any other Individuals for one or more years as the Cirkar may think proper, and the Assessment will be collected from them. They shall not be liable to be deprived of it on your account before the expiration of the period for which the lands may have been leased out to them, and you shall have nothing to do with the profits arising therefrom.

4 If you fail to cultivate Talady upon two Crops lands from an anticipation of greater profits by using all your labour and exertions for

for the 1st. Crop alone, while there is a sufficient supply of water enough for two Crops; or if you fail to do so by any neglect of necessary labours on your part, in either case you will not be exempted from the payment of Assessment for double Crops. In such cases in which failure of the Cultivation of the 2d. Crop may have occurred for any special reasons, and which may be brought to the notice of the Cirkar at the time of the Summahbundy Settlement an investigation will be held on the subject, and necessary remissions will be allowed if the reasons you may assign be found satisfactory. In doing which, the Cirkar will fix the highest rate to which the land would be liable either for a first Crop, or for one Crop using its discretion whether to retain the rate of the 1st. Crop or the rate of one Crop to which any land of the same class in the village may be liable.

5. The Cirkar demand will be regulated according to the fixed Assessment

Fort St. George 10th. October 1842.

assessment upon Nunjah lands you cultivate without any reference to the kinds of Crops which may be sown thereon, nor can any deduction be made on the same account - but as usual, the high rent will be levied for the Crops termed Nanjier - You are at liberty to extend the sugar Cane Cultivations for your profit, as the Cirkar has already laid it down as a rule that no higher Peerwah will be imposed upon that article but such as leviable on double Crops.

6. If you convert Poonjah and Bagayet lands as well as Anady thurshy lands into Nunjah, such lands will be assessed at the same rates fixed on the neighbouring or in any other fields of the same quality.

7. In the event of your declining to receive Puttahs, the Cirkar will deposit them with the Curnums and the fixed Assessment will be collected from you as if you had duly received the Puttahs.

Dry Villages 8. Among the dry Talooks as

Fort St. George 10th. October 1842.

as well as the dry Villages attached to the Wet Talooks, the assessment having been regulated in some Villages with reference to the classification and Crops growing thereon, in some with reference to their Crops alone, and in other Villages upon an average rate, the settlement will be concluded advertising to the practices of the Villages in which you may cultivate the lands.

9. You should cultivate to the full extent, the lands which you engaged to hold at the time of Sagoopady Dittum made by the Tahsildar. If you by your own neglect when there is not any failure of rain or supply of Water, or by engaging to cultivate the Enam, Poroopoo Manium and other lands bearing favorable assessment, or by your undertaking the Cultivation of the lands of the neighbouring Villages for a reduced Peerwah under the pretext of "Poracoody" lay waste any quantity of the lands taken by you for

for cultivation, you will be held liable to pay the Assessment of such waste lands, but should the reasons which may be assigned at the time of the Summabundy Settlement, on the subject of your having suffered any part of your lands to run waste, be satisfactory, the Cirkar will act as the case, after due consideration, may seem to require.

10. If you find yourselves unable to cultivate any portion of your Nunjah and Poonjah lands held in last year, you must relinquish it up by means of Razeenamahs at the commencement of the cultivating season in doing which you must give up both good and bad lands together. If you retain the best part of your lands, and those that bear a light assessment and relinquish only those that are bad and bear a higher rate, your Razeenamahs on the occasion will not be admitted. Those that may be given up in the manner aforesaid will be made over to some other Individuals, and you will no more consider yourselves

yourselves possessing any right to them; but they will be restored to you, provided your request for retention be made prior to their being transferred to any other Indi=
=viduals.-

11. Those Bagayet lands which are watered by wells should be cultivated according to the Dittum. If lands of this description became unfit for cultivation as Bagayet, or are left uncultivated from unavoidable causes, the circumstances will be taken into consideration by the Cirkar. but it is to be understood that remissions will only be granted for special reasons and not as a matter of course or Mamool. The proprietor will of course be at liberty to relinquish the entire extent depending on one well by Razeenamah, but not a portion.-

12 If you cultivate any of the Bagayet waste lands, which bore an high rate of assessment in former years the same amount will be levied from you without any reference to

to the Crops you may cultivate for the Current year. Should it be found impracticable to cultivate the two Crops, or the superior kinds of Crops, formerly cultivated thereon for want of water, in such cases, the Tahsildar will report the matter to the Hoozoor, and the necessary orders will be issued about it.

13. If an individual who has lands both fully assessed and favorably assessed cultivate only those that bear favorable assessment, laying waste the fully assessed lands, the full rent will be levied from him for the land cultivated.

14. If Mahajanums, Nataurs and Sookavasies get their lands cultivated by their own labourers, ploughs &c. at their own expences, the usual favorable assessment will be fixed on them. If they for the purpose of raising their income assign any such reasons as that they made necessary advances to the Ryots for Cultivation or that they let out their lands

lands for payment of rent either in Money or grain, or if they procure it to be entered fraudulently in the Accounts in their own names, the lands which were actually cultivated by others, in such cases the Cirkar will not only collect from them the full rate of Peerwah leviable from the actual cultivators but will also oblige the persons who have actually cultivated the lands to pay the full rent as a fine, considering them guilty of having entered into a combination to defraud the Government.

15. The Individuals who obtain Cowles to cultivate waste lands by clearing either light or thick Jungles, should do it at their own expence and labor. but if they get it done by other Individuals of the same or other Villages holding lands and paying rent to the Cirkar or if it be known that they laid waste any part of their own lands bearing full assessment in cultivating the Cowle lands, in such case, the Cowle will be set aside and the full

full rent fixed.

16. If a part of one District piece of land bearing a separate No. be laid waste among the lands that had been agreed upon, or among those that were taken over and above the Dittum for Cultivation, the Assessment will be levied on the entire piece of land considering it as if cultivated fully, because it will be inconvenient for others to cultivate it. If a tract forming one No. consists of more than 2 Cawnies, and the Cultivation of the entire No. be found impracticable the person that may be inclined to cultivate such land should represent to the Curnum and Puttadars of the Villages the impracticability he foresaw and they should, with the permission of the Tahsildar, divide such land into 2 or 3 portions numbering them as inner numbers, and then they should be cultivated; but if such land had been already, Shushy, V^ca., and not numbered such part of it as may have been cultivated will be

numbered

numbered new.

17. No Razeenamahs relinquishing Trees and Topes common to the community of the Village will be admitted as it will be difficult to the Circar to secure the produce of that common property. In the event of there being any apprehension of failure of produce to the full extent, the Ryots should report it to the Circar previous to their participating in the enjoyment of the produce which they should do after the public servants inspect and prepare an Estimate account of it as usual. At the time of Jummabundy any representations that may have been made about the Estimate will be considered. If the produce be enjoyed without giving such previous notice, and remission claimed at the time of Settlement, no manner of regard will be paid to it, but the amount will be collected to the same extent as in last year.

/ A true Translation /

Trichinopoly, Coll^rs Cutcherry;
24th September, 1842. } / Signed / A. P^s Onslow
Collector.

Ordered

Statement

11840

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To, The Collector of Trichinopoly.

32. 33

To, the Collr. of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will furnish the Board with a Copy in the Native language of the proposed Cultivation Kookunnanah for your District for 1252, of which a translation was enclosed in your letter of the 24th Ultimo.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following Statement.

Estimate.

Statement

Proceedings thereon.

(34-35)

The Board authorize the charges amounting to Rupees 2297-3-11. proposed to be incurred for the repairs of the Religious Vtes & Institutions referred to in the foregoing Statement, to be defrayed from the surplus funds of those Institutions respectively -

Read the following letter

(36)

From the Asst & Collr of Tinnevelly -

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to enclosed copy of a letter from the Head Assistant Mr. Pringle I have the honor to request the favor of his application for the usual increase of salary being forwarded for sanction of Government as supplied for by him -

Tinnevelly Tencausey 24th September 1842 - (Signed) P. B. Thomas Actg Collr

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

I beg leave to bring to your

notice, that on the 21st of the present month I completed six years of residence in India, during nearly four of which I have been employed in the Revenue line. In February 1838 I joined this District as Assistant Collector and remained so till March of the following year when I was appointed to act as Head Assistant to the Collector of Chingleput - In that situation I remained till August of the same year when I was appointed as Acting Head Assistant to the Collector of North Arcot - Till July 1840 I remained in that District when I received the appointment which I now hold - I therefore have the honor to submit that from the 21st of this month I am entitled to an increase of 50 Pagodas on my present allowances and to request that you will be so good as to take the necessary steps to enable me to draw the same -

Courtallum 23rd September 1842 - (Signed) J. B. Pringle Asst Collr

(True Copy)

(Signed) P. B. Thomas Actg Collr

As.

11844 Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(36-37)

As under the orders of Government conveyed in the Board's circular letters dated respectively 15th June 1818 and 15th November 1821 Mr. Pringle is entitled to the increased allowance claimed by him, it does not appear to the Board to be necessary to apply for the special sanction of Government for his drawing it. The Board presume that the increased salary will be passed by the Civil Auditor without objection.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 13th and entered in Consultation 26th Ultimo.

(38)

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the charges incurred on account of Law Suits in the District of Coimbatore amounting to Rupees 76-8-0 as per details in the Statement furnished by the Principal Collector.

Read

11845 Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

From the Pt Coll. of Coimbatore. Read Comparative Statement of Contingent Fee Charges incurred in Suits 1250 and 1251.

(39)

Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon.

(39-40)

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Pt Coll.s of Canara

I have the honor to request the sanction of the Board for the purchase of the lands specified in the accompanying Statement by the public servant mentioned therein.

(41)

The purchase is unobjectionable.

Pt Coll.s Cutcherry Mangalore 24th September 1842.

(Signed) B. M. Blair Pt Coll.

Names

Fort St. George 10th. October 1842-

Names of the Purchaser-	Talook-	Mogany-	Village-	Rent.	Sicca Bags-	Net Rent after paying Sicca Bags-	Price-	Years Purchased with reference to the Pot Cont-
				Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	
Kristna Row	Mangalore / Mangalore / Olore / Mudbidry	Moor / Sura / Thal		172 4	1. 79	1 7. 93	24 6.	1422 - 15 years 3 Months and 5 days
Head Sheristadar	Do-	Do-	Do-	108 - -	37 14 -	70 2 -	1,050 - -	14 Do- 11 Do- and 21 Do-

Pl. Coll.s Cutcherry, 24th. September, 1842- } (Signed) H. M. Blair Pl. Coll.

Resolution thereon. The Board of Revenue are pleased to permit the purchase by Kristna Row - Head Sheristadar in Canara of the lands specified in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter -

To-

(41 - 42)

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(43)

From the Pl. Collr. of Canara — Para 1

Applying for sanction to defend the suit No. 22 of 1842 brought against the Principal Collector and another by one Saleah Rubhoo -

Sir,

I beg leave to forward to be laid before the Board of Revenue the accompanying translation of a Plaint and draft of answer, proposed to be filed to it in the suit No. 22 of 1842 brought by one Sale Rubhoo against myself and another. —

2. The nature of the Case is sufficiently explained in the answer and as my proceedings were conducted under the provisions of Regulation V of 1822 by which an appeal from the decisions of the Collector lies to the Zillah Judge, but without rendering him liable to be sued for such decisions, I have the honor to request, that sanction may be obtained for filing the answer now submitted, if approved of by the Board. —

Principal Collector's Cutcherry Mangalore - - - 27th September, 1842 } (Signed) H. M. Blair Principal Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

No. 1

Translation of the Plaint in Suit No. 22 of 1842.

Plff. Saleah Probhoo Versus 1st Deft. H. M. Blair Esqr.

Principal Collector

2d Deft. Munjana Shetty

I raised a plantation on a ground bounded on the East by Rupeekal: hody panjary, on the west by the wall of the garden of one Ragoonathaya, on the north by Tampadaw hill, and on the South by Rosripady hill. The 1st defendant and other Revenue Officers being on enquiry satisfied that the ground was Government property, and that it was planted at my labor, assessed it at Fs. 1-6-4 and confirmed the same to me on the 20th February 1250. I paid a Berij accordingly for that year, and made further considerable improvements on it. The 2d defendant, who could not look at them without envy, made some difficulties which the 1st defendant did not however admit on the ground that the spot in question is situated at a distance of half a league from the 2d defendants' hody & land

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

land, three several wurgs intervening between them, and that I was in an undisputed possession of it from a long time, by making improvements on it, and without having executed any lease in favor of the 2d defendant Vide and &c determined on these and other strong grounds like them, that the Spot in question was not attached to the 2d defendant's ancestral estate. Whereupon the 2d defendant brought an unjust action against the 1st defendant and myself which I answered mentioning all the particulars of the Case. The 2d defendant being convinced that he would be cast in his suit according to justice, and availing himself of my absence and consequent inability, took forcible possession of the ground now 6 Months ago, and withdrew the suit, pretending that the said ground had been made over to him by the 1st defendant. The 1st defendant having assessed me with a Berij for the ground in question, and collected the assessment from me, it was incumbent on him to remove all difficulties against my enjoyment of the

same

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

same. But the 1st defendant failed to af=
=ford redress to the several Complaints made
by me of the 2d defendants aggressions on
the ground, which he usurped on pretence
of its having been made over to him by the
1st defendant. Although my prayer in peti=
=tion No. 224 of 1841 was not granted. I
consider it reasonable to sue the 1st defend=
=ant also, and have brought this action,
and pray that the defendants may be sent
for and examined, that the ground bounded
as above, producing Rupees 8 assessed at
Hs. 1—6—4; the improvements thereon, not
produce up to the date of the ground being
transferred to my possession together with 8
Rupees as damages, may be awarded to me;
and that the 2d defendant may be ordered
not to interfere with me on pretence of
the ground having been made over to him
by the 1st Defendant.——

(True Translation)
(Signed) H. Mc. Blair
Principal Collector
Draft

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

No. 2

Draft of an answer.—

The Plaintiff Saleh Pokkoo
claims from the 1st defendant Rupees 8, being
the loss occasioned by his not having enquired
into a Complaint preferred by the Plaintiff
against the 2d defendant Munjanna Shetty
for his trespass upon a ground, which Plain=
=tiff had brought into Cultivation at his
own labor; and from the 2d Defendant a
garden paying a Beriz of Hoons 1— 6— 4;
and net produce.——

The answer of the 1st defend=
=ant to this plaint is as follows. The Plaintiff
presented a petition praying that he might
be placed in possession of the ground in
question, which was in the occupancy of the
2d Defendant. On enquiry it appearing that
under the provisions of Regulation V of 1822,
his prayer could not be granted, he was referred
to the Civil Court. There is therefore no ground for
bringing this suit against the 1st Defendant: as
Plaintiff, if dissatisfied with the decision in his case,

should

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

should have appealed to the Court, or brought an action against the parties in possession, as provided for by the above named Regulation. Under these circumstances the 1st defendant prays that the Plaintiff may be ordered to withdraw his suit as respects himself. The following are the circumstances of the case. —

The ground in question was originally Circar waste, and brought into cultivation by the Plaintiff about 20 years ago. he afterwards proceeded to Coorg, and on his departure, the 2nd Defendant enjoyed the land in Consideration, it appears, of a sum of money lent by him to Plaintiff for the improvement of the land. The 2nd defendant rented the land to the Plaintiff's uncle Antuppa, and subsequently to apulea and Mona. The Plaintiff returned after an absence of 3 years, but made no attempt to interfere with the 2nd Defendants possession for a period of nearly 10 years. He afterwards petitioned that the 2nd defendant might be caused to leave the ground to him

on

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

on mooligueny terms, or that it might be assessed and made over to him. an enquiry was instituted, and the Sub Collector Mr. Lushington on a perusal of the report of the Tahsildar on the subject directed that the ground should be registered in the Plaintiffs name, as an Hofsagunny Warg, and a Beriz of Hs. 1-6-4 fixed on it. The 2nd Defendant appealed against this decision to the 1st defendant (Principal Collector) who sent for the proceedings in the case; and it having appeared from a perusal of them as well as from Plaintiffs admission that he (Plaintiff) was not in possession of the said ground for about 15 years, the 1st Defendant (Principal Collector) reversed the above decision as Contrary to Regulation V of 1822. and ordered that the ground should be continued to the apptd (2d defendant) Thunjunna Shetty. The Plaintiff in support of his claim states that Hofsagamy assessment for this ground has been collected from him. As the original order was passed by the Sub

Fort St. George 10th October 1842

Sub Collector at a time when Collections were making, and some time elapsed before the order appealed from was reversed by the 1st Defendant, the Berij may have been collected from the Plaintiff; but this payment made by the Plaintiff before the original decision was reversed by the 1st Defendant, can give him no tittle to the ground in question. —

/Signed/ H. M. Blair

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter. —

To. The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

43. 44

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara having reference to an action brought against him and another in the Zillah Court, which he solicits authority to defend at the Cost of Government. —

Sept. 27th 1842 No. 98 in Cons 18th Oct. 12 with 2 Enclosures

2 The merits of the case as shewn

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Enclosure 2.

shewn in Mr. Blair's draft of answer are as follows. The Plaintiff reclaimed a piece of Circar waste land and held it for some years; after which he left that part of the Country and 2nd Defendant occupied the land after the lapse of about fifteen years Plaintiff applied to the Sub Collector to recover the land, that Officer put him in possession but the Principal Collector on appeal revised the order as being unauthorized by the Regulation on which it proceeded; as the land having come into 2nd Defendant's possession without a forcible seizure, and been held by him for a long period.

Sec. X Reg. V of 1822.

3. The facts being as stated Mr. Blair's order was quite right, whatever the rights of Plaintiff to the land, his claims was cognizable by the Civil Courts, not by the Revenue authorities. The Board recommend as that Sanction may be given to defend the Suit on the account of Government.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th October, 1842. —

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Read the following letters.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

45

From the Pl. Coll. of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Board, that no Return of the issue of Grants of waste Land for the Support of Choultries built for the accommodation of Travellers in this Zillah for Fusly 1251, has been sent, no such Grants having been issued during last year.-

Princ. Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
30th September 1842

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Princ. Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

45-46

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

47

From the Pl. Coll.r of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of the Board, that no Statement of

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

of the arrears of Land Revenue of more than 15 years outstanding at the close of Fusly 1251 has been sent, no such arrears being outstanding in this District.-

Princ. Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
30th September 1842.-

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Princ. Collector.

47-48

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.

49

From the Pl. Coll.r of Canara.

Read letter Forwarding for Board's Sanction a revised Statement of Extra Sent on allowance due to Mr. Lushington for Fusly 1250.-

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

The

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Proceedings thereon:- The Board

(49-50)

Sanction the tent allowance charged by Mr. Lushington on Duty 1250 as Head Assistant and Acting Additional Sub Collector in Canara,

Mr. T.D. Lushington
Head Asst. to Coll.r
No. of days
Dec. 165.

Dr. Dy. Asst. Surg. Coll.r } 165.

for the number of days noted in the Margin.-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.-

Read.

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(51)

From the Coll.r of Malabar.

Sir,

By the orders of Government under date the 4th October 1841 communicated in the Board's letter of the 7th February last, the reward hitherto given for the destruction of Dogs is directed to be discontinued.- I earnestly beg a reconsideration of these orders as far as this Province is concerned.- I believe there is no place in India where Hydrophobia is more prevalent, and yet unfortunately there is so Strong a prejudice among the Natives against Killing dogs, that I cannot expect to be able to get rid of any number by employing Peons (as directed by Government) who are chiefly of a respectable class (the Nair) for that purpose.- In fact the only way we have been able to manage it hitherto, has been, by employing a band of Pariahs, chiefly hangers on of the Native Regiments

=guments at Cannanore, and it has been Sometimes necessary to send a person with them from the Magistrates Office to see they were not molested in the discharge of their employment.-

Malabar, Collector's Office,
Calicut
26th September, 1842.

/Signed/ H.V. Conolly
Collector & Magte

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To,
The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

51 - 52

To the Jt. Chief Secy to Govt.

Sept. 26. 1842.
Recd 10 Octr.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will cause Submit for the consideration of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Malabar.-

2 The prejudice noticed by Mr Conolly may be stronger in his district than elsewhere, but it exists in all to a sufficient degree, the Board believe,

believe, to render the execution of the order of Government adverted to almost if not quite an impossibility - and the destruction of Pariah dogs being of so much importance for the prevention of the dreadful malady Hydrophobia, the Board strongly recommend that the order in question should be rescinded.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October, 1842.

(Signed) J.D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letters.-

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

53

From the Collr of Madras.-

Sir,

With reference to the Orders of Government under date the 18th January communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th February last, I have the honor to submit herewith, for the necessary Sanction, a Memo of certain arrears of pension due to Nunnee Beebee and Zorah Begum.-

Madras, - - - - - -
30th September, 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

Memorandum

1862

Fort St. George

Memorandum Shewing the arrears of Government Pension payable to Nunnee Beebee widow, and Fautmah Begum and Zorah Begum daughters of the late Najib Hussain Khan.-

Number	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per annum.	Date of Authority	Period for which Arrears are due.-	Total amount of arrears due.
43.	Nunnee Beebee widow, and Fautimah Begum and Zorah Begum daughters of the late Najib Hussain Khan.-	40-	Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th August 1841, Communicated by the Board of Revenue on the 6th of the Month following	From 1st May to 16th August 1841 being 3 Months and 16 days.-	11-11-6
"	Nunnee Beebee and Zorah Begum.-	26 10	Do.	Do.	From 17th August 1841, to 30th September 1842, being 1 year 1 Month and 16 days.-	29 14 8
				Rupees.-	41 10 2

Madras,-
30th September, 1842.

10th October 1842.-

payable to Nunnee Beebee widow, and Fautmah Begum Khan.-

Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.-	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
Fautmah Begum died on the 16th August 1841, the allowance payable up to that date to the three incumbents hereinamed is therefore entered separately from that payable to Nunnee Bebee and Zorah Begum only, for the subsequent period.- The delay in applying for payment arose from the two surviving incumbents having applied for the continuance to them of the share of the late Fautmah Begum, whose husband relinquished it in their favor, but their application is pending impossible in consequence of separate allowances having been granted to them and Fautmah Begum having died without issue to succeed to her share It is now recommended that the portion of allowance remaining undrawn by her may be paid to Nunnee Bebee and Zorah Begum in addition to their own shares Amounting in the whole as shewn in this Memo to Rupees 41 - 10 - 2.- (Signed) A. Robertson Collector.	Under the explanation furnished by the Collector, the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears due Amounting to Rupees 41 - 10 - 2.- Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 24th October 1842. (Signed) Walter Elliot Actg Secy to Govt.	Sanctioned Rupees (41-10-2) Forty one, annas ten and Pice two.- Fort St. George 8th November 1842.- By Order (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary-

Ordered.

Statement

Fort St. George 10th October 1842.-

Ordered that the following letter be Sent in reply.-

To.

The Collector of

Madras.

(53-54)

To the Coll.r of Madras.-

Sir,

With reference to the Memorandum Shewing arrears of pension &c payable to Nunnee Bee Vda which accompanied your letter of the 30th Ultimo, the Board of Revenue observe that Fautmah Begum is therein Stated to have died on the 16th May 1841, whereas the period for which arrears are stated to be due to her, and the other two incumbent to Nunnee Beebee and Zorah Begum are from the 16th August 1841. The Board request you will be so good as to explain this apparent descrepancy.-

In Cons.n 10th Oct.r 1842-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October 1842.-

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George, 10th October 1842.-

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(55)

From the Coll.r of Madras.-

Sir,

With reference to your letter under date the 29th August last, I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, a Statement, in the prescribed form, shewing the quantity of Salt stored and sold in Fusly 1251 with the actual wastage thereon, and to request that, under the explanation therein contained, the sanction of Government may be obtained for my writing off the total amount of the wastage in question, which is specified in Col.n 6, f. 33: 199: 5½.-

Enclosure 1 in Statement

ORIGINAL PROVED

Madras,
7th October 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Statement

Cumore Co. Acct. — 1850 — 430 179-
1851 568 330-
999 109-

Plum. by Mersell — 22 97 4

1021 lbs 4 — 1017 299 ½ — 3 248 5½ " 142 5 "
per 100 lbs
Deduct 3/4 #
per Cent.

Total — 33 199 5½

Madras, &c
7th Octr. 1842

Jeremy & Hoskyn
Collectors

Order.

Jeremy & Hoskyn Collectors 1842

Cumore is not known in statistics as a manufacturing town, but it is evidently a place of importance, and would, therefore, appear that the quantities be taken into further consideration when the statistics of Duty 1252.

The quantity of duty remaining in store on the Books at Cumore, at the Commencement of June 1251 was 430-179-3 the quantity added for from the Manufactures of that year was 1382-19-0, making Plum in delivery by receipt was 23-37 1, making an aggregate of 1834-298-1, the duty for Distribution to Calcutta in 1251 was 1017-299-6½ and the warehouse 219-2. The quantity on the quantity of duty ascertained in 1251 was 3-248-5½, making a total of 1021-148-4. The quantity transferred to the credit of the accounts of 1252 was 1017-299-6½ and the warehouse 219-2. The quantity on the quantity of duty ascertained in 1251 was as above stated, 3-248-5½ at 142-5 Per 100 Plum equal to 4½ Per Cent. It is proved that the Inspector Distillery Cumore Establishment for the year 1252 after the distillation of June 1252.

Madras.

11866 Fort St. George, 10th October 1842.

Order thereon,

(55-56)

Ordered to lie on the table.

To,

Read the following letter.

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(57)

From the Coll.r of Madras
& Regr of Govt. Grants

Sir,

I have the honor to trans-
-mit herewith for sub-
-mission to the Board
of Revenue the papers
noted in the margin
relative to leases &c.
-plied for by Mr. Bates,
Major Laine and others.

1. Explanatory Statement from the Collector and Regr of Govt Grants.
6. Applications.
6. Plans.
1. Letter from the Collr of Malabar 15th September 1842.

For

11867 Fort St. George, 10th October 1842.

for certain lands at Cotaca-
-mund on the Neilgherries,
in the Collectorate of
Malabar.

Madras,
28th September, 1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Collr and Register
of Govt Grants.

Description.

11868

Description of Ground the proprietor's of which have applied for leases from the Fort St. George. 10

A.

No.	Names of the proprietors.	Situation of the Ground	since when enclosed.	Title under which it is held.	Extent of Ground. bs	gs	ds.	ft.	Nature of the Soil.	How laid out whether any building upon it.
1	Mr. W. Bates	Within the Cantonment of Ootacamund on the Neilgherries, in the Collectorate of Malabar.	Not enclosed	None	4.	23.	1980		Red and Gravelly	Waste
2	Major A. Lawe- Engineers	Do.	February 1842	Permission of the Coll.r of Malabar	18.	15.	1005.		Hard Soil mixed with rock.	In grop with a dwelling erected upon it.
3	Dr. A. N. Magrath	Without the Cantonment of Do.	Not enclosed	No title	9.	-	1700.		Yellow Soil-	Waste
4	Do. Do.	On the side of the Hill behind the Church at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries Do. Do.	Not enclosed	No title	7.	18.	1891.		Yellowish Red.	Waste
5	Mr. J. R. Prager	Within the Cantonment of Ootacamund Do.	Not enclosed	None	"	6.	1200.		Gravelly	None
6	Dr. A. N. Magrath	Do. Do.	Being enclosed	By permission of the Coll.r	5.	11.	104.		Red & Gravelly-	None

10th October 1842.

Government of Fort St. George.

Quit rent proposed per Cawney.	Remarks of the Collector of Madras.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government.
According to the Notification at Page 60 of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 1st. February 1837, one Cawny on account of the Site of the building Vizt. in each enclosure should be assessed at Rs. 5–4–0. and the rest at Rs. 1–2–4 per Cawney. It will be proper that a Memorandum be attached to any new Grant or which may be issued for the land referred to in this application, to the effect that, if there shall be more Dwelling Houses erected thereon than one, one Cawney on account of each shall be chargeable with Quit Rent at Rs. 5–4–0 per Annum.	It is recommended that Sanction may be obtained for the lease applied for in this case, being issued at the rates of assessment and subject to the condition suggested in Col. 4.	Nos. 1 to 4 & 6. The Board recommend that authority may be granted for the issue of the leases applied for in these cases, at the rate of assessment and subject to the conditions proposed by the Register of Government Grants, and that a Memorandum be attached to each grant to the effect that, if there shall be more dwelling houses erected on the land hereafter than one, one Cawney on account of each will be chargeable with an annual Quit rent of Rupees 5–4–0.	Nos. 1 to 4 and 6. The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the issue of leases to Mr. Bates, on Major Lowe and Dr. Magrath at the rate of assessment and subject to the conditions proposed by the Register of Government Grants, and that a Memorandum be attached to each Grant, to the effect that, if there shall be more dwelling houses erected on the land hereafter than one, one Cawney on account of each, will be chargeable with an annual Quit Rent of Rupees 5–4–0.
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.			
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.		No. 5. The Board recommend that the lease applied for in this case, may be granted at the rate of assessment proposed by the Register of Government Grants.	No. 5. The Most Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the issue of a lease to Mr. Prager at the rate of assessment and subject to the conditions proposed by the Register of Government Grants.
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.			
This is a small Spot, and should be assessed at the rate applicable to the Sites to be built upon, Vizt. Rupees 5–4–0 per Cawney.	Do. Do. Do.		
In this case, one Cawney should be assessed at Rupees 5–4–0, and the rest at Rupees 2–4 per Cawney. A Memorandum similar to the one suggested in Nos. 1 to 4 may be appended to any new grant, which may be issued for this property also.	Do. Do. Do.		
	Madras, 28th September 1842. / Signed / A. Robertson Coll. & Register of Govt. Grants.	Revd. Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th October, 1842. / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.	Fort St. George, 25th October 1842. By Order / Signed / Walter Elliot. Act. Secy. to Government. Ordered.

Fort St. George, 10th October 1842.

that the existing law provides for the security of the Ryots from oppression, he nevertheless recommends that such lands should be retained in the lands of Government.

3. Besides the replies above noticed, from Officers specially consulted on this question, the Board have received the sentiments of some others, expressed incidentally in their reports on the general subject. The Principal Collector of Tanjore in his able report on the Devastanums of that Province declares his opinion that the retention of the lands is "due as a measure of justice to the agriculturists, whose contracts and engagements "have been made in expectation of it". The Collector of Trichinopoly on the other hand considers that the lands "may be made "over without compromising the interests "of any party," and again "the lands attached to the Pagodas consist of 23 entire Villages, and detached portions of land "situated in 91 Villages. The ready money

November 26th 1841 Para 12. In Cons: 16th October.

Letter August 9th 1841. Para 9. In Cons: 26th "

Letter Sept: 1st 1841. Para 35 & 38. In Cons: 30th "

purchased new her Cantage of wastage, and would, therefore, suggest that the question be taken into further consideration after the expiration of July 1252.

The quantity of salt remaining in Store on the Board at Cuinore, at the Commencement of July 1251 was Garces 1230–179–as the supply added to it from the manufacture of that year was Garces 1382–179–a, and the sales for delivery by weight was Garces 32.37 gain in delivery by weight was Garces 1834–296–4, making an aggregate of Garces 1834–296–4, the sales for exportation to Calcutta in 1251 were Garces 1017–299–6½ and the wastage Garces 3–246–5½, making a total of Garces 1021–146–4. The residue transferred to the credit of the accounts of 1252 was Garces 813–219–a. The wastage on the quantity of salt exported in 1251 was as above stated, Garces 3–246–5½ or Rs. 1142–5. Per 100 Garces equal to 8 Rs. Cent. It is proposed that the present Cantage of wastage for the Cuinore Depôt be fixed also for the operations of July 1252.

Order.

Cuinore Depôt	1250	430	179	
	1251	568	330	
		998	109	
Gain by Weight		22	274	
		1021	146	4

Wastage 3 246 5½ " 1142 5 per 100 Garces equal to 8 Per Cent.

Total 33 179 5½

Signed A. Robertson
Collector.

Madras
9th October 1842.

"money Collections are already in many in-
"stances made by the Head men and others
"who take a principal part in Pagoda affairs.
"The Revenue from Topes and lands not con-
"sisting of whole Villages is also in many
"instances collected without the interference
"of the Circar Servants, and I am not aware
"of any reason why all the lands should re-
"not be entrusted to the management of
"the trustees. The rights of the agricul-
"turists will not in any way be affected
"by this measure". Mr. Onslow here refers to Villages now actually Enam, but ma-
naged by the Officers of Government and not to lands resumed long ago on the substitution of a Jaudeek; as regards the Ryots however, both cases are the same.

Letter Sept. 11th 1841. Para 7. Inclos. 7th Oct.

The Collector of Malabar recommended that the lands belonging to two Pagodas, but under Circar management should be given up to the co manager appointed; and this proposal was supported by

Letter to Chief Secy Oct. 7th 1841. Para 6. Letter Mine of Cons: Nov. 20th Para 3. Inclos: 6th Dec. 1841.

by the Board and approved and sanctioned by Government. But the tenure of land in Malabar is such as to make the transfer there safe and proper.

4. The sentiments of the Board on the question, in opposition to such transfer in general, are set forth at length in the Proceedings above adverted to; and they have since seen no reason to modify them. They desire, however, to point the attention of Government more particularly than was before done, to the case of lands granted in former times to Pagodas or other institutions, but resumed very many years ago in exchange for a money allowance; and whose very extent or situation is now unknown. It is of course necessarily impossible that these lands should be given up in lieu of the Jaudeek.

March 24th 1842. Para 11 & 12.

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 10th October, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To,

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

(63) To the Ag. Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

In conformity to the orders of Government in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of May last.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th October, 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

To the Collector of Ganjam.

(64) To the Collr. of Ganjam.

Sir,

Your report on the withdrawal of Government interference in Davastanum affairs in your District not having yet been received though repeatedly called for, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to beg that it may be forwarded without

without further delay; as the Board will otherwise be compelled, in answer to the frequent requisitions of Government to explain why it is not laid before them.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th October, 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

To the Collector of Ganjam.

(65) To the Collr. of Ganjam.

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 1st Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the Report on the Land Revenue of your District may be submitted without further delay.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th October, 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam.

(66) To the Ag. Collr. of Vizagapatam.

× Bd. No. 120 Spl. No. 830. } of 1842.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Petition presented to Government by Coorapoy Narasiah late Sammuttadar and Sub Police Officer of Surchapa Mootta in

Fort St. George. 10th October 1842.

in your District and to request you will be good enough to state whether in your opinion, with reference to the Government endorsement dated 15th August 1842 the Offence for which the Petitioner was dismissed is such as to preclude his reemployment in the public service.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

67

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

The reports noted in the margin for July 1250 not having yet been received, I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to my letter of the 1st Ultimo to the late Acting Collector to request that they may receive your early attention.

To the Colls of Masulipatam. Gov. Lands. Minors Estates. Estates under management.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To

Fort St. George, 10th October 1842.

66

To the Collr in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot.

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition No. 1372 of 1842 from Shatum Barkhan Son of the late Jaghirdar of Runjingudy who was in the receipt of a Pension of 21000 Rupees per annum from Government.

2. The Board are of opinion that from the Birth and station of this individual the Yeomiah Rules of the 19th November 1840 were not intended to apply to his case and that the Security sought by these rules may be attained by the occasional appearance of this person before the Sub Collector near whose station, it is understood the Khan resides. His death could not fail to be immediately known.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th October, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

69

To the Pl. Coll. of Madura.

Sir,

Nothing having been received from you with reference to my letter of the 21st Ultimo, on the subject of the Ramnad Guardian Ranee's Complaint, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will briefly inform the Board what you have done in pursuance of their orders conveyed in that communication.

Revr. Board Office Fort St. George,
10th October 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Received

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.

(70) From the Princ. Collector of Coimbatore. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.

(71) From the Princ. Collector of Coimbatore. Return of persons in confinement in pursuance of sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.

(72) From the Princ. Collector of Coimbatore. Return of persons holding the Offices described in Section VII Regulation VI of 1831 suspended or dismissed for the Month of August last.

(73) From the Princ. Collector of Coimbatore. Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of August last.

(73. 74) Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

(75) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Stating that there is no return of smuggled Tobacco for the

Month of August last.

(76) From the Collector of Chingleput. Forwarding copy of the Board's Proceedings of the 30th May last relative to the registry of the Shotriem Villages of Perumbaur and Veerungavadoo.

(77) From the Collector of Malabar. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.

(78) From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of August last.

(79) From the Princ. Collector of Nellore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.

(80) From the Princ. Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of August last.

(81) From the Principal Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation to Chittagong on account of the Indent of 1841/42 from 24th to 30th September last.

From

1881 Fort St George 10th October 1842.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Indent for Station-
(82) -ery and Sundries required for the year 1843.-

Order thereon. Ordered that the Indent be com-
(82-83) -pared, countersigned and transmitted to the
Secretary to the Military Board.-

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Stating that
(84) there is no return of persons suspended or
dismissed under Section VII Regulation VII of
1831 in the Month of August last.-

" Salmet

"

" H. Montgomery