B12

1819

No 1819

Block 7
Rack No. 47
Shelf No. 1275
Serial No. 1819
General No. 16025

436

197

10623. Fort St. George 19th September 1842

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 19th September 1842 — John Dent Esqre. Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esqre. Acting Third Member.
and
A. Mellor Esqre. Acting Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

From the Sy. Secy. to Govt. — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 13th September 1842.

Read the following.

(1) Extract from the Minutes of Consultation — under date 6th September 1842.

Read the following Letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India.

The Supreme Government concur generally in the opinions expressed by this Government regarding the Management of the Kurnool Districts and view favorably, as a temporary arrangement, the plan of administering the Kurnool Jaghier, together with that of Banganapully, by means of a Special Commissioner on a Salary of 2000 Rupees a Month, and a Military Assistant.

Captain Trower's successor in the charge of the Kurnool Horse to have 150 Rupees per Month, on a Consolidated Salary of 500 Rs. per Month, and to be appointed from the Madras Establishment.

That the required legislative enactment will be passed.

Para 1. — The arrangements which have received

Here enter 29th June 1842 No 2371

received the concurrence and sanction of the Government of India, as conveyed in the letter recorded above, are, that the affairs of the Kurnool Territory, together with the Jaghire of Bunganapullz, shall for the present, as a Temporary measure, be administered by a Commissioner exercising the same powers as have been given to the Agents in Ganjam and Vizagapatam in those parts of their Districts which are exempted from the operation of the Regulations, aided by a Military Assistant who will also have charge of the Kurnool Horse.

2. The Judges of the Sudr and Fouzdary Adalut will be requested, through the Judicial Department, to draw up for submission to the Government of India a draft of an Act, similar in its provisions to Act N. XXIV of 1839, for the administration of the Kurnool Country and Banganapully Jaghire, conferring upon the Commissioner the same powers for the due administration of Civil and Criminal Justice, including the superintendence of the Police, and the

Collection

Collection and the Superintendence of the Revenues of every description, as are now vested in the Agents to the Governor of Fort St. George in Ganjam & Vizagapatam.

3. The reports recorded in the Judicial Department on the State of Crime, and the Judicial Management both Civil and Criminal of the Country, shew that the existing system works ill in Kurnool. A Copy of these reports will be furnished to the Court of Sudr and Fouzdary Adalut, and the Judges are requested to place themselves in direct communication with the Commissioner, for any further information they may desire to have, to enable them to frame the rules which will be required, in accordance with the provisions of the new Act, and which they are requested to prepare as soon as practicable, not however detaining the Draft Act, which they will be pleased to submit without delay.

Mr. Blane's report dated 16th October 1840 in Cons. 7th November
Mr. Bayley's report dated July 1842 in Cons. 6th Sept.

4. Pending the issue of detailed instructions to the Commissioner, the following rules shall be his guide.

1st. The Commissioner and his Assistant will be guided by the rules heretofore in

Fort St. George 19th September 1842.

in force for the conduct of all local duties.

2d. The Assistant will, under the control and direction of the Commissioner, perform such duties as that Officer may prescribe.

5. Under the authority conveyed in the letter from the Government of India recorded above, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to fix the salary of the Commissioner at two thousand Rupees per mensem, besides the Tent allowance of a Collector, and that of the Assistant at Rupees Two hundred per mensem, in excess of his ordinary Military pay and allowances.

The following letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India is ordered to be recorded.

With transcript of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Supreme Government in the Legislative Department, and requesting that such a Draft of an Act for the administration of Kurnool may be submitted as His Lordship would wish to be passed.

/Here enter 6th August 1842 No. 250/

To the Revenue & Judicial Departments for communication to Board of Revenue and Sudr Adalut.

/A true Extract/.
/Signed/ Robert Clerk
Actg. Chief Secy to Govt.

Ordered

Fort St. George 19th September 1842.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue and the Court of Sudr Adalut, for their information and guidance.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy to Govt.

Order thereon.

(1-2)

From the Actg. Secy to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th September 1842.

Read the following

(3)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 30th Augt 1842.

Read again letter from the Military Board No. 270, dated 25th May, recorded in Diary 21st June 1842.

Read the following letter

From the Military Board, Forwarding Copy of a letter from the Zillah Judge at Cuddapah stating the necessity for employing carts in the construction of Roads, and recommending that the Rum. be suggested in their former report 25th May last may be sanctioned.

/Here enter 2nd Augt 1842 No. 299/

The necessity for employing

employing carts to convey materials for the repair of the roads, appearing to be more urgent at Cuddapah than at other Stations

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to sanction the supply of fifteen Carts at Rupees /25/ Twenty five and Annas /4/ four each, and to authorize the employment of the Public Cattle for a further period at that Station.

An advance of Rupees /300/ Three hundred will be made by the Collector of the District to Mr. Ingles, the Acting Criminal Judge, on account of the above Carts.

The unserviceable Carts will be sold on account of Government.

/Signed/ S. H. Steel, Lt. Colo
Secy. to Government.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue and the Court of Foujdaree Udalut, for their information and guidance.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Aff. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon,

3-4

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 30th August last, and of the order of Government

Government in the Revenue Department dated 13th Instant, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah.

Read the following Resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated 13th September 1842.

From the Acting Secy. to Government

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants Special Sanction for the sum of Rupees /2-6-11/ two annas Six and Dice eleven, repaid to an Enamdar at Pillootlah in the Guntoor District as reported in the foregoing Proceedings.

5

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Aff. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon,

5-6

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Guntoor, with reference to his letter of the 18th Augt. last No. 80.

Read the following letter.

From the Coll. of Rajahmundry

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

The Estate of Kirlumpoody heretofore

7

heretofore registered in the name of Rajah Row Vencata Neiladry Row, the late father of Rajah Row, Sooria Row Zemindar of Pittapoor Talook, was decreed finally, by Her Majesty in Council to Cotagherry Boochiah, under date 4th February 1839, after it had already been put in the actual possession of her as per Sudr Court's Precipt of 14th December 1835, to the Provincial Court northern Division and attested Copies of the said Decree of Her Majesty and the Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Court of 5th August 1839, regarding the same subject, having now been produced to me, with a Petition from the said Cotagherry Boochiah requesting me to register the said Estate accordingly in her name, as the Proprietor of it, I have, at her request, duly registered, the said Estate of Kirlumpoody in the name of the said Cotagherry Boochiah, in the Public register of lands paying revenues to Government, according to the Regulations-

Vide letter to Bd. of 27th April & Board's Sanction of 15th May 1837-

* Vide enclosed Copy No 1-

** Do Do No 2-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office,
Cocanada, 6th Sept. 1842-

(Signed) G. N. Smith
Coll.

No 1.

At the Court at Buckingham Palace the 4th of February 1839-

Present-

The Queen's most Excellent Majesty-
Lord Chancellor — Viscount Palmerston-
Lord President — Viscount Melbourne-
Lord Privy Seal — Viscount Howick-
Lord Steward — Lord Holland-
Lord Chamberlain — Lord Glenelg-
Earl of Albemarle — Mr. Poulett Thomson
Earl of Minto — Sir J. Hobhouse
Lord John Russell — Mr. Chancellor of the exchequer-

Whereas there was this day read at the Board a report from the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council dated the 17th of December 1838, in the words following Viz.

"His late Majesty having been pleased by his order in Council of the 23rd of February 1836, to refer unto this Committee the humble Petition and appeal of Chalekavy Dhurmarow- Guardian of Rajah Row Sooria Row- Bahader then a Minor and Son and Heir of Rajah Row Vencata Neiladry Row deceased, Zemindar

Zemindar of Pittapoor in the Zillah of Rajahmundry in the Presidency of Madras in the East Indies, against Cotagherry Boochiah, Widow of Cotagherry Neeladry Row deceased, from a Decree of the Court of Sudr Dewany Adalut at Madras of the 23d November 1831, and to direct the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies, to bring the same to a hearing, the Lords of the Committee in obedience to His late Majesty's said Order of reference, have this day taken the said appeal into consideration, and also a humble Petition of the appellant setting forth, That on or about the 27th Day of December 1824, Cotagherry Boochiah Widow of Cotagherry Neeladry Row deceased, the respondent hereto filed her Plaint in the Provincial Court for the Northern Division of the said Presidency, against Rajah Row Venkata Neeladry Row deceased, in order to recover possession of the Mootah of Pirlumpoody situate in the Zillah aforesaid and also to recover 25,387 Rupees being the alleged amount

Amount of profits accruing from the said Mootah during the Years 1232 and 1233 of the Madras Fusly from July 1822 to July 1824 A.D. That on or about the 23d day of May 1825 the said Rajah Row Venkata Neeladry now deceased duly appeared and put in his Answer to the said Plaint and the said Respondent having replied to the said answer, the said Rajah Row Venkata Neeladry Row deceased put in a Rejoinder to the said reply. That the said Cause being then at issue oral and documentary evidence was entered into both on the part of the said Respondent and the said Rajah Row Venkata Neeladry Row deceased. That some time previous to the 12th day of March 1828 the said Rajah Row Venkata Neeladry Row departed this life, leaving the said Rajah Row Sooria Row a Minor his Son and Heir him surviving. That by a Decree of the said Provincial Court dated the 12th day of March 1828 it was ordered and decreed that the said Respondent should be

put

put into possession of the said mootah of Kirlumpoody and the Value of the produce sued for being Rupees 25,387 and that the Heirs of the said Rajah Row Venkata Rela-dry Row deceased should pay all Costs. That the appellant as Guardian of the said Rajah Row Sooria Row appealed from the said Decree to the Court of Sudder Dewany Adalut at Madras. That by a Decree of the said Court of Sudder Dewany Udalut Dated the 19th day of October 1831. It was ordered that the said Decree of the said Provincial Court should be affirmed and that all Costs should be paid by the Appellant. That on or about the 23d of November 1831, the last mentioned Decree was duly signed and Certified by the Judges or other proper Officers of the said Court of Sudder Dewany Adalut. That the appellant feeling himself aggrieved by the said Decree of the said Court of Sudder Dewany Adalut, on or about the 2d day of January 1832, presented a Petition of Appeal to His late Majesty in Council which was duly received by the said Court of Sudder Dewany Adalut and

and transmitted to His late Majesty in Council together with a Transcript of all the Proceedings in the said cause and humbly praying that His late Majesty in Council would take his said Appeal into consideration and that the said Decree might be reversed, altered or varied or for other relief in the premises and their Lordships having heard Council appointed by the East India Company on behalf of the said Appellant and also on behalf of the said Respondent to agree humbly to report as their opinion to your Majesty that the Decree of the Court of Sudder Dewany Udalut at Madras of the 23d November 1831 ought to be affirmed and this Appeal dismissed with costs - and in Case your Majesty should be pleased to approve of this report and to order as is herein recommended, then their Lordships do direct that there be paid to the said East India Company or to their agents the sums following for the costs charges and expences incurred in bringing the said Appeal to a hearing

Viz.

Viz.t by the Appellant Sooria Row - or his Representative or representatives the sum of seven hundred and one Pounds fourteen shillings and four pence sterling for Costs incurred on behalf of the said Appellant and also the sum of four hundred and forty Pounds fifteen Shillings and Eleven pence sterling for costs incurred on behalf of the respondent and by the said Respondent or her Representative or Representatives the sum of one hundred and seventy Eight pounds ten shillings and three pence sterling for further costs incurred on her behalf -

Her Majesty having taken the said report into consideration was pleased by and with the advice of Her Privy Council to approve thereof and to order as it is hereby ordered that the said Decree of the Court of Sudder Dewany Udalut of Madras of the 23d of November 1831 be and the same is hereby affirmed with Costs whereof the Judges of the Court of Sudder Dewany Udalut at Madras for the time being and all

all other persons whom it may concern are to take notice and Govern themselves accordingly -

/Signed/ Co. G. Greville

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R.G. Clarke

Asst. to the Regr.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ G.A Smith

Coll.r

No. 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 5th August 1839

In Appeal No. 11 of 1840.

Rajah row Sooryarow, son and heir to the late Rajah row Vencatanecladry row Bahadar by his guardian Chelikany Dhurmarow. -

Versus

Cotagery Boochiah, Widow of the late Cotagery Neeladry row.

Read Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th ultimo forwarding with a despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors the Decree of her Majesty in Council on the Appeal made from

from the judgement of the Sudr Udalut in Appeal No.a 11 of 1828 with instructions to take the proper measures for carrying the orders of her Majesty in Council into execution and for recovery on behalf of the Honorable the Court of Directors the costs Charges and expences incurred by the East India Company in bringing the case to a hearing) -

(Here enter No.a 1841 of 1839)

Also read again return dated the 19th.a June 1837 made by the Provincial Court in the Northern division of the precept of the Sudr Udalut of the 14th.a December 1835. directing the Provincial Court to carry the decree of the Sudr Udalut in No.a 11 of 1828 into execution by placing the respondent Rajah Cotagery Boochiah in possession of the Mostah of Kirlumpoody and to suspend further execution of the said Decree until the respondent may be prepared to give security for the sums leviable under it from the heirs of the appellant. -

(Here enter No.a 44 of 1837)

In

In the above return the Provincial Court certified that Rajah Cotagery Boochiah respondent in No.a 11 of 1828 has been placed in possession &a of the Kirlumpoody Mostah.

2 The Decree of Her Majesty in Council being to the effect that the Appeal of Chelikany Dhurma row &a Guardian of Rajah Row Sooreya row Bahadar Minor son and heir of Rajah Row Venkatancladry row deceased Zemindar of Pittapoor against Cotagery Boochiah widow of Cotagery Ineladry row deceased from the Decree of the Sudr Udalut &a pronounced in Appeal No.a 11 of 1828 should be dismissed the Lords of the Judicial Committee have directed that there &a be paid to the United East &a India Company or their Agents by the Appellant and respondent respectively or their respective representative or representatives the following sums for costs of bringing the said Appeal to a hearing Viz.t by the Appellant Sooreya row or his &a representative or representatives the sum of

seven

from the judgement of the Sudr Udalut in Appeal No.a 11 of 1828 with instructions to take the proper measures for carrying the orders of Her Majesty in Council into execution and for recovery on c.s behalf of the Honorable the Court of Directors the costs Charges and expences incurred by the East India Company in bringing the case to a hearing -

(Here enter No.a 1841 of 1839)

Also read again return dated the 19th.a June 1837 made by the Provincial Court in the Northern division of the precept of the Sudr Udalut of the 14th.a December 1835. directing the Provincial Court to carry the decree of the Sudr Udalut in No.a 11 of 1828 into execution by placing the respondent Rajah Cotagery Boochiah in possession of the Mootah of Kirlumpoody and to suspend further execution of the said Decree until the respondent may be prepared to give security for the sums leviable under it from the heirs of the appellant. -

(Here enter No.a 44 of 1837)

In

In the above return the Provincial Court certified that Rajah Cotagery Boochiah respondent in No.a 11 of 1828 has been placed in possession c.s of the Kirlumpoody Mootah -

2 The Decree of Her Majesty in Council being to the effect that the Appeal of Chelikany Dhurma row c.s Guardian of Rajah Row Sooreya row Bahadar Minor son and heir of Rajah Row Venca tancelady row deceased Zemindar of Pettapoor against Cotagery Boochiah widow of Cotagery Neeladry row deceased from the Decree of the Sudr Udalut c.s pronounced in Appeal No.a 11 of 1828 should be dismissed the Lords of the Judicial Committee have directed that there c.s be paid to the United East c.s India Company or their Agents by the Appellant and respondent respectively or their respective representative or representatives the following sums for costs of bringing the said Appeal to a hearing Viz.t by the Appellant Sooryia row or his c.s representative or representatives the sum of

seven

£ s d
£ 701-14-4
" 441-15-11
1143-10-3

seven hundred and one pounds fourteen Shillings and four pence sterling for costs incurred on behalf of the said Appellant and also the sum of four hundred and forty one pounds fifteen Shillings and eleven pence sterling for costs incurred on behalf of the respondent and by the respondent Cotagery Boochiah or her representative or representatives the sum of one hundred

£ 178-10-3

and seventy eight pounds ten Shillings & and three Pence sterling for further costs incurred on behalf of the said Respondent-

3. Ordered that the Provincial Court in the Northern Division be commanded by precept returnable in 6 Weeks from and after its receipt to carry the said Decree into full execution by causing to be recovered from the Appellant Sooreya row and paying to the respondent Cotagery Borchiah the sum of Rupees 25,387 adjudged on account of the net profits of the fusly years 1232 and 1238 together with the balance of costs Rupees 2,273-3-7 incurred by the & respondent both in the Provincial and in the Sudr Udalut Court as well as the further sum that may be found & to

to be payable by the Appellant under the orders of the said Udalut of the 22d February 1832-

4 Ordered further that the Provincial Court in the Northern Division be required to recover from Cotagery Boochiah or his representative or representatives the sum of one hundred and seventy

£ 178-10-3

eight pounds, ten shillings and three pence sterling or Rupees one thousand seven hundred and forty eight annas eleven and pice one at the exchange of 1/2 0/2 9/2 pr Company's Rupee on account of the further Costs incurred by the East India Company on her behalf, the sum when so recovered to be remitted to this Court by a bill on the Accountant General in favor of the Register of the Sudr Udalut-

5 Ordered also that the Register of the Sudr Udalut in communication with the Accountant General and Sub Treasurer be directed to sell the promissary Note No. 2355 of 1832/33 for Sicca Rupees 9,200 deposited by Rajah Row Sooreya row for the eventual costs in the Appeal preferred by him to his late Majesty in Council and from the proceeds & thereof

thereof and from other funds in deposit in this Court on account of the Appellant aforesaid there be paid into the General Treasury on account of the Costs, charges and expences incurred by the East India Company in bringing the Case to a hearing one thousand one hundred and forty three pounds ten shillings and three pence sterling or Rupees eleven thousand two hundred and one, annas twelve and pice one at the exchange of 2s 0 1/2d per Company's Rupee -

£1143—10—3

Ordered accordingly that Extract from the proceedings be forwarded to the Provincial Court in the Northern Division for the information and guidance by precept returnable in six weeks, from and after its receipt -

Ordered also that the above be communicated to the Vakeels of the parties respectively for their information -

(True Extract)
(Signed) R. G. Clarke
Head Asst. to the Regr.

(True Copy)
(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Resolution

Resolution thereon - The Board of Revenue

(7-8)

Sanction the Registration of the Estate of Kerlampoody in the name of Cotagherry Boochiah, to whom the Estate was finally decreed by Her Majesty in Council, under date the 4th of February 1839 as reported in the foregoing letter -

Read the following Statements -

Statement

10644 (9)

Fort St. George 19th September 1842.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store, and ready for Exportation to Calcutta, at the undermentioned Port on the 12th September 1842.

1	Quantity of Salt permitted to be Exported to Calcutta in the year 1842. 2	Quantity already exported up to 12th September 1842. 3	Quantity remaining to be Exported 4	Quantity actually in Store and ready for Exportation 5	Remarks 6
	Bm. S	Bm. S	Bm S	Bm S.	
Coringa	4,00,000 "	2,71,954 "	128,046 "	128,046 "	Sold and in Course of Shipment.

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Collectors Office.
Cocanada, 13th September 1842

C. E. Jr. (Signed) G. A. Smith.
Collector.

Statement

10644 (10)

Fort St. George 19th September 1842

Statement Shewing the Quantity of white Salt in Store, and ready for Exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1241/42, at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 8th September 1842.

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already stored and ready for Exportation	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under Estimated Heaps	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	"	"	B Maunds	B M	B M.	B M.	B M.	
1	Eskapully	14	44	90,000	82,323	7,677	7,677	"
2	Gogostapully	14	39	40,000	15,104	24,896	24,896	"
3	Varency	14	37.	20,000	10,813	9,187	9187	"
				1,50,000	1,08,240	41,760	41,760	"

Nellore,
Principal Collectors Cutcherry, 14th Sept. 1842.

(Signed) T. L. Stonehouse
Principal Collector.

Statement

10647

Order on the three foregoing Statements - } Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

Read the following

9-12

Letters -

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir

Fort St. George -

From the Asst. Collr of Cuddapah } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th August 1842.

13

The

10646 11 Fort St. George 19th Sept. 1842.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Coringa in the Gulf of Bengal, up to 8th September 1842 -

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported -	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach -	Quantity already exported -	Remarks -
500,000	224,080	242,320	

Fort St. George 19th Sept. 1842.

Bengal Pilotage -

Ordered

Coringa Gulf - District - - -

10618 Fort St. George 19th September 1842.

The Balance of the Jaghier of Bungarupally, up to — Fuzly 1250, was Rupees 32,378-12-4. A Demand, Collection, and Balance Statement of this Jaghier will hereafter be furnished Monthly as directed.-

Cuddapah. — — —
Principal Coll.rs Office.
22d August 1842.

Signed W. U. Arbuthnot
Acting 2d Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to be record-ed.—

(13-14)

Fo—

10619 Fort St. George 19th September 1842

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the 1st Coll.r of Cuddapah

Sir,

Submitting a revised Estimate of advances for Cultivation for Fusly 1252 in conformity with the instructions of the Board of Revenue

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th August, directing me in conformity with the orders of Government to prepare a revised Estimate of Tuccavi for the Current Fusly and calling my attention to the orders of the Honorable Court of Directors directing that advances of Tuccavi be restricted within the most moderate limits.—

(15)

2 In reply I beg leave most respectfully to explain that it has been always customary in this District to frame this Estimate exhibiting the amount of Tuccavi that may be required for each Talook. No advances are however given until the special circumstances which render them necessary are distinctly ascertained. While therefore the aggregate amount of Tuccavi that will be required may be

be pretty correctly estimated, it is impossible to foresee at the time of the preparation of the Estimate in which Talooks the disbursements will be made. If it is considered of importance that the Estimated amount and that actually disbursed should nearly correspond, I beg leave to suggest that the System of detailing the Talooks and the amount of Succaui to be granted to each be discontinued and inearly the total amount required for the whole district entered in the Statement.

3 From the late period of the year at which the Statement now forwarded has been prepared, I have already ascertained the necessity of the advances proposed to be made in the Cumbum, Budwail Jeovor and Camalapoor Talooks and I have estimated a very moderate sum in case of further advances being found necessary in any of the remaining 10 Talooks, but even these will not be disbursed unless it is absolutely required.

4 The following Statement exhibiting the amount of Succaui estimated and disbursed in each Fusly since 1231 will I trust satisfy the Board and the Government, that the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors have been duly attended to in this District.

No.	Fusly	Estimate			Amount actually disbursed		
		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	1231	102,265	"	"	46590	11	"
2	1232	77,223	"	"	16373	7	"
3	1233	71374	"	"	24324	"	"
4	1234	75083	"	"	26352	4	"
5	1235	75083	"	"	18884	12	"
6	1236	71700	"	"	23722	12	"
7	1237	75,600	"	"	26980	8	"
8	1238	70,000	"	"	33171	5	"
9	1239	70,000	"	"	29,610	8	"
10	1240	65,600	"	"	29646	"	"
11	1241	64,300	"	"	28557	1	"
12	1242	59,500	"	"	27300	6	"
13	1243	59,500	"	"	27810	10	"
14	1244	30,000	"	"	20578	"	"
15	1245	30,000			9292	4	"
16	1246	30,000	"	"	8823	"	"
17	1247	20,000	"	"	5719	12	"
18	1248	16,600	"	"	5322	6	"
19	1249	15,100	"	"	5430	12	"
20	1250	13,000	"	"	2024	"	"
21	1251	13,000	"	"	1347	8	"

From

Fort St. George 19th September 1842

From the above it will be observed that the amount of Succaui disbursed during the last 3 Fusilies have not averaged more than Rupees 2934-1-4 which will be considered sufficiently moderate in a District the annual Land Revenue of which may be fairly estimated at 18 lacs of Rupees

5 The amount of advance is always recovered without balance.—

Cuddapah Pt. Coll.rs Office
10th September 1842

(Signed) W. A. Arbuthnot
ag Pt. Collector

Statement

Statement of Disbursed in Fusly 1252 and requiring the

No.	Names of the	Remarks of the Board	Orders of Government
1	Cumdum—		
2	Budwail—		
3	Coilcoonta		
4	Camalapoo		
5	Doopaud—		
6	Jummulma		
7	Doovoor—		
8	Chaitwail—		
9	Sidhout—		
10	Chennoor—		
11	Goorrumcon		
12	Maddenput		
13	Poolevendla		
14	Raychoty—		

Cuddapah
Pt. Coll.rs Office
10th September 1842

(Signed) W. A. Arbuthnot
ag Pt. Collector

9th September 1842.

h of Cuddapah proposed to be disbursed in Fuesly 1252

Increase	Decrease	Explanation of the Principal Collector	Remarks of the Board	Orders of Government
Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘			
" " "	" " "	It is recommended on that this Estimate may be sanctioned for the reasons detailed in the letter dated 10th September 1842. –		
" " "	1000 " "			
" " "	1000 " "			
" " "	4500 " "			
" " "	6500 " "			

W. H. Arbuthnot
Ag. Pr. Collector

Vantage
Exclamation
ner
of the
Cause of
Ex
Vantage

the Quantity

Orders to be recorded
Ans. the following Account Current

17-18

10654 Fort St. George 19th September 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.—

(15-16)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Actg Chief Secty to Government

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council a revised estimate for Tuccavy advances for Fusly 1252 in the Cuddapah District, together with a letter of explanation from the Acting Principal Collector. The amount of advances for which sanction is now sought is in the opinion of the Board very moderate, and they instruct me to recommend that under the further explanation of Mr. Arbuthnot this revised estimate may receive the sanction of Government.—

Extract Min. of Cons. Augt 16th 1842 in Cons. 20— Rs 1010

† Sept 9th 10th 1842 in Cons. 19th 2—

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George 19th Sept. 1842

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Coll. in the North Arcot Dist.

Sir,

(17)

With reference to your letter of the 29th Ultimo I have the honor to submit the accompanying blank Statement of salt wastage for Fusly 1251 as therein required.—

North Arcot Coll. Cutcherry Chittoor 14th Sept. 1842

(Signed) J. Goldingham Coll.

Statement

10655 Fort St. George 19th Sept. 1842.

Statement Shewing the quantity of Salt sold and the wastage on the Quantity Stored in North Arcot Circuit Stores for Fusly 1250.—

Name of the Cutcherry &c.	Fusly 1250 Quantity imported into Stores each Fusly	Quantity Sold	Wastage Amount	Wastage per Cent.
Congoolum	3	2	1	33 1/3
North Arcot Collr's Cutchery Chittoor	4	3	1	25
Total	7	5	2	28 4/7

Contage of Wastage Fines by Orr & Cochlan Collrs Answer of the 18th March Callrate

Contage of the Callrate

Wastage

Signed J. Goldingham Collector

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following Account Current

Co.

Co.

Order thereon.—

(17-18)

19th September 1842.

4th Quarter or from 1st April to 11th July in Fusly 1251. Dr.

Garee	Pr	On				Garee	On	On	Garee	On	On
			1842 April	1st	Total Sales from 12th July 1841 to this day for	Inland Consumption	159	190	"		
						Home Ditto	"	"	"		
						Export by sea	"	"	"		
										159	190	"
43	149	"	July	11th	Ditto Do. in this Quarter for	Inland Consumption	31	150	"		
						Home Do.	"	"	"		
						Export by sea	"	"	"		
										31	150	"
										190	340	"
166	122	"			Balance of salt in hand to this day or 11th July 1842 as per No. 1		"	"	"	19	33	"
"	102	"										
209	373	"				Total Salt.				209	373	"

or from 1st April to 11th July 1842 in Fusly 1251. Dr.

Rs	a	p				Rupees	a	p	Rupees	a	p
			1842 April	1st	By servants wages to the end of last Quarter	531	5	5			
			July	11th	By Ditto in this Quarter as per No. 4	269	"	"			
									800	5	5
7144	14	"			By payments to the Manufacturers to the end of last Quarter	1149	8	10			
					By Ditto in this Quarter as per No. 5	226	2	7			
									1375	11	5
2946		"			By Charges Extraordinary to the end of last Quarter	47	8	8			
					By Ditto in this Quarter as per No. 6	67	3	6			
									114	12	2
539	4	"							2250	13	"
"	"	"			By advances for the Monopoly of Salt as per No. 3	"	"	"	"	"	"
2039	4	"			Net Revenue: To the end of last Quarter	15016	7	1			
					Net Revenue: Ditto Ditto in this Quarter	2731	15	11			
									17748	7	"
					Total Rupees				20039	4	"

E. P. (Signed) J. Goldingham
Coll.r

Ordered

10656. Fort St. George

A Dr. Salt account Current in the Northern Division of Arcot for

				Garee	Pr	On
1841 July	12	Balance of salt on hand on the commencement of the Current Fusly Viz.t				
			Manufactured Salt	43	149	"
			Spontaneous Do.	"	"	"
1842 April	1st	Amount Manufactured to the end of Salt Quarter		116	122	"
July	11th	Do. Ditto in this Quarter		30	"	"
		Gain by measurement to the end of last Quarter		"	3/4	"
		Ditto Do. in this Quarter		"	28	"
			Total Salt.			

Dr. Money account Current of Salt for the 4th Quarter

				Rupees	a	p
1842 April	1st	Total Sales to the end of last Quarter for	Inland Consumption	16744	14	"
			Home Ditto	"	"	"
			Export by Ditto	"		
July	11	Ditto Do. in this Quarter as per No. 2 for	Inland Consumption	3294	6	"
			Home Ditto	"	"	"
			Export by Ditto	"	"	"
		Recoveries of advances for the Monopoly of Salt as per No. 3		"	"	"
			Total Rupees			

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 15th September 1842

E

10657 Fort St. George 19th September 1842.

Order thereon

(19-20)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Sir.

From the Collector of Madras

(21)

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Smallett's letter under date the 6th December last and to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that a descriptive roll

x 7th January 1842. of Khy roonissah has been furnished by the Principal Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot, and two Jeemiahdars who draw their allowances from this Office, Shumsoodeen and Abdul Cauder,

x 18th August 1842. have given a x Certificate of the existence and identity of the incumbent, (whose application is under consideration) Khyroonisah - I am not, therefore, aware of any objection to the payment of her Jeemiah being transferred to this Department subject to her producing her Purwannah or certificate as required by the rules now in force.-

Madras, 12th September 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Ordered.

Ordered -

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.-

To the Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir.

To the Chief Secy to Government—

(21-22)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the papers noted in the Margin having reference to the request of Khairoonissah, a female yeomiahdar of North Arcot, for the transfer of the payment of her yeomiah to the treasury of the Collector of Madras, and as there appears to be no objection to this measure, the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for carrying it into effect, subject to the applicant producing her Purwannah, or certificate, as required by the Rules now in force.—

From North Arcot 20th November— 1841.
In Cons 6th December— u—
Boards Proceedings.
6th December— u—
To Madras 6th Dr— u—
From Madras 12th
in Cons 19th September 1842.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
19th September 1842.

Signed J. D. Boardillon
Secretary.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below

below and to record their remarks thereon.-

From the Collector of Guntoor.- Statement of actual

(23) charges incurred under Estimate on account of advances for Cultivation for fusly 1251.-

From the Collector in the Nth Dn of Arcot— } Statement of actual charges

(24) incurred under Estimate on account of advances for Cultivation for Fusly 1251.—

From the Dt Collector of Coimbatore.- Estimate of sums to

(25) be disbursed on account of advances for the purchase of Tobacco &c for fusly 1252.-

Order on the three abovementioned Statements }

(23-26) Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement and submitted for the sanction of Government.-

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

From the Collector of Guntoor.- Statement of contingent

(27) charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1251.-

From the Collector in the Nth Dn of Arcot— } Statement of contingent

(28) charges

charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1251.-

From the Pt. Coll.r of Coimbatore.- Statement of contingent

29 charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1251.-

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore } Statement of contingent

30 charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Magisterial Department in the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1251.-

From the Sub Treasurer.- Statement of Contingent

31 charges incurred during the Month of July last.-

From the Secretary to the Govt. Savings Bank. } Statement of contingent charges

32 incurred during the Month of June last.-

From the Secretary to the Govt. Savings Bank } Statement of contingent

33 charges incurred during the Month of July last.-

Order on the Seven abovementioned Statements } Resolved that the

27-34 necessary orders be passed.-

Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Collector of Gantoor.- Register of Petitions

35 bearing the Boards Endorsements presented to him

him for the Month of July last.-

From the acting Pt. Coll.r of Cuddapah } Register of Petitions bearing

36 the Boards endorsements presented to him for the Month of August last.-

Order on the two abovementioned Registers } Ordered to be lodged in

35-37 the Office.-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

From the Accountant General.- Indent on the Commissary General for Articles required in the Revenue Depart.

38 ment for the Month of September 1842.-

Order thereon. Ordered that the Indent be passed

38-39 and returned.-

From the Collector in the Nth Div.n of Arcot } Return of persons charged with

40. offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.-

From the Collector in the Nth Div.n of Arcot } Reporting that no persons

41 were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.-

From the Collector of Malabar.- Statement shewing the

42 quantity of smuggled Tobacco seized for the Month of June last.-

From

From the Principal Coll.r of Nellore — Statement of goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of June last.—

(43)

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Statement shewing the goods on which double or treble duty has been levied for the Month of June last.—

(44)

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Statement of goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of July last.—

(45)

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Statement shewing the goods on which double or treble duty has been levied, for the Month of July last.—

(46)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement of smuggled goods

(47)

goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of August last.—

Order on the Six abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sales of the smuggled goods, as well as the levy of double or treble duty, enumerated in the abovementioned Statements, be authorized.—

(42-48)

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Return of Village Moonsiffs suspended or dismissed, for the Month of May last.—

(49)

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1841/42 from 1st to 8th Instant.—

(50)

From

From the Principal Collector of Nellore } Requesting a further supply of the Blank Printed Demand, Collection, and Balance, Statements.

51

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.—

51-52

From the acting Principal Collector of Tanjore } Stating that no persons have been charged or confined under Regulation IX of 1822, during the Month of August last.—

53

" Ditto,

"

" Ditto

"

Diary

21st September Received the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St George

From the Collector of Chingleput } Sir,

1

The number of Troops now collected at Poonamalee with the consequent congregation of Camp followers of all descriptions renders it absolutely necessary to have some additional Tanks in and about the town for the preservation of order and due protection to the property of the people and I therefore request the Board will obtain authority for my entertaining as a temporary measure 9 additional Police Peons and 1 Duffadar on the aggregate Salary of Rupees 38-8-0 thirty eight, and Annas eight per mensem to be discontinued on the Troops leaving — that

10666 Fort St George — September 1842

That Station

Chingleput District,
Magistrate's Office,
Carangoly 19th September 1842

Signed / A. Freese
Magistrate

21st

Sent the following letters in consequence

To

The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput.

To the Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to Acknowledge your letter of the 19th Instant and to convey to you the sanction of the Board pending a reference to Government for your entertaining the additional Police force of nine Peons and a Duffadar for the Cantonment of Poonamallee which you consider necessary under present circumstances; the expense not to exceed Rs 38-8 a per Mensem, and the additional Establishment to be Discontinued when the present necessity for it has ceased.

In Dft Cons 22d September 1842

2

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
21st September 1842

Signed / I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To

10667 Fort St George — September 1842

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Jr Chief Sec to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to forward for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the Margin. Under the circumstances stated, the Board considered it necessary to give a conditional sanction without Delay for the small increase of force desired by Mr. Freese, a measure to which they solicit the approval and confirmation of Government.

1-3

From Collector Chingleput Sept 19th 1842
To Do Sept 21st Do

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
21st September 1842

Signed / I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

21st

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Received the following letter

From the Pt Collector of Madura

Sir,

In reply to your letter of

4

of the 8th Instant, received yesterday I have the honor to request you will inform the Board that considering their instructions calculated, by their precipitancy to cause an almost entire failure of proof of the serious suspicions brought to notice in mine of the 27th Ultimo I deem it my duty to the Government, and in protection of my own character to decline obedience till their repetition will throw the onus of the failure from my shoulders upon them. And more in event of the Board's

continuing/

continuing in the same opinion and persevering in the same instructions I request the matter may be laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

From intercepted letters

2.

the originals of which are with me, and careful translates of which I forwarded to the Board, suspecion of Forgery, Malversation and extensive Bribery attaches to the Manager of Ramnad - Doubtful of the law I begged the Board to inform me if they were of opinion I had authority to issue a search warrant to seize certain accounts which I anticipated would tell all particulars, you answer they cannot venture any instructions on translates of Documents on the

responsibility.

responsibility of Mr. Fischer and without seeing the Documents themselves but leave me on my own judgement of the originals to issue the search warrant. if I think I am justified- I beg to take the responsibility of the correctness of the translations on myself and again to put the question to the Board. Do the Regulations or do they not, bear me out in issuing a warrant to seize the managers & private papers in this case.[2]

At the same time the Board direct me to proceed instantly on receipt of their order "to Pannad and take the Zemindary under the Court of Wards," and leave all future proceedings against the Manager to my discretion. I have asked & from

from my immediate superiors advice and legal opinion - They decline to give it but order measures the execution of which will in my opinion create alarm and frustrate my endeavours to elucidate satisfactorily the truth - when living their non-Assent to the measure I proposed there is not the slightest cause for hurry & precipitancy.

I again beg to put the question - Is it desirable to endeavour to convict the Manager of Forgery there being as yet no private & accuser.[2]

3. The above intercepted letters raise suspecion that my head Sheristadar is corrupt but he is neither accused nor is there other proof I point out where the truth or falsehood may be ascertained by seizing certain accounts

accounts and ask the Board's opinion
of the propriety and legality of doing
so their answer is the same as for
the propriety of seizing the Manager's
private accounts but at the same time
they order his instant suspension,
yet do not tell me what further
steps to take against him and
by the publicity of the measure
take from me almost all proba-
bility of ascertaining the truth or
falsehood of the suspecion by the
means I have proposed when
I have thus suspended him
and been deprived of offensive
weapons by the Board's orders
what course am I to pursue.
how long is he to remain
suspended? and what is to be
the effect of his suspension? If
the Rammad estate is to be taken
under

under the Court of Wards, his removal
from office here seems imperatively de-
-sirable, as a precautionary measure; but
without other means of supporting accu-
-sation against him than those that I have
in view, but which I am in doubt if I have
a right to use, and which the Board will
not at present warrant, I would beg them
to consider if it is not unjust to an
old servant, who has for so many years
done the Government great service, and
who has hitherto borne an irreproacha-
-ble character, to suspend him on an in-
-tercepted letter which he knows nothing
of, and without any question being
put to him as to his culpability? In
the

the case of the Rannad Manager the Board will recollect the Documents on which I would act are his own writings; whereas in the Sheristadar's case the evidence is but from the intercepted letter of the cor=
=ruptor.—

In this case the Board do not approve of the method I propose, and para=
=lises, by their precipitate order for suspension, any measure that I might hereafter think it advisable to substitute if left to time and more mature consideration.

4. I begged the opinion of the Board how to proceed with respect to the abominably disgraceful and traitorous conduct of the Vakeel, Annaswamy Iyen, towards his client; and they desire me to bring

bring his conduct to the notice of the Judge: I will do so simultaneously with acting in the two former cases, on receipt of further instructions.

5 The Board refuse the Guar=
=dian Ranie's wish and my very strong recommendation for the agency of Mr. Fischer being employed to bring the matter which has first come to light thro him, to a complete elucidation: I again ad=
=vocate strongly both the right of the Ranee to nominate him as her agent, and the policy of readily acquiescing in it and being thankful for his assistance: It needs not that he have any concern afterwards in the affairs of the Zemindary, and

Fort St. George, on September 1842.

-and for which, when under the Court of Wards, there will be no pretext..

6. In my address of the 27th Ultimo I sought the aid and support of my immediate superiors; To me it seems they have added greatly to my difficulties in not answering my specific questions and in prescribing a course for me which it seems to me can only lead to frustration, in great part, of the object in view, and to my own discredit..

Madura,---
Mand Madura.
15th "September, 1842.

/Signed/ I. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Sent

Fort St. George, on September 1842.—

21st September. Sent the following letters in consequence.—

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir,

To the Principal Collector of Madura.

In Dy Cons: 22d September.

5

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 15th Instant, objecting to the Board's instructions conveyed in my letter of the 8th and begging that in the event of the Board remaining of the same sentiments, the matter may be referred to Government; and I am instructed to say in reply, that after a careful consideration of your present arguments as well as of your previous communication, the Board do not see reason to change their former opinion and orders, on any material point.—

2. Your first enquiry was whether you are empowered by the Regulations to search the Ramnad Manager's house for his private papers. The charge brought against this man is for Forgery and Subornation of forgery, offences cognizable

"pending further investigation." you your=
=self expressed, both in your earlier com=
=munication, and in your remarks on the
documents which accompanied it, the
very strongest suspicions, if it might not
be said conviction of his guilt; investiga=
=tion of his conduct was absolutely neces=
=sary, and his previous suspension from
office and power, is an indispensable
requisite to such enquiry - you object to
the severity of such a step; but you ur=
=ged the adoption of a much harsher mea=
=sure, the forcible search for the Seristta=
=dar's most private papers, in the house of
his confidential agent; and this on the
very same information, which you so
much depreciate on the question of
suspension.-

5. Lastly, with regard to the
Government Vakeel; the Regulations are
precise in specifying the [x] course to be
taken where a Court Vakeel is accused of
gross acts of fraud and misconduct. such
charges are to be investigated by the Judge of the

[x] Sec. XI Reg.n XII of 1816.

the Court; and no other Officer has cog=
=nizance; though for specific injury, arising
from such the misconduct of his Vakeel, a
civil action is open to the client. your own
positive [+] recommendation seemed to be in
exact accordance with this law; and the
order given you was based on it. The Board
are therefore quite at a loss to account
for your opposition to that order.-

6. You have now been more [o]
specifically apprised, in the foregoing [o]
Paragraphs, of the grounds on which the
Board proceeded in determining on the
orders conveyed to you in my former letter;
and I am directed to require you to carry
them into effect without further delay;
and to report having taken the estate un=
=der the Court of Wards. The Board much
regret that so much time has already
been permitted to elapse, as they can=
=not participate in your confidence [o]
that your proceedings in the matter [o]
hitherto, are a secret to the parties most

deeply

[o] Sec: XII

[+] Aug.t 27th Para 6. latter part.

cognizable by you only in your capacity as Magistrate - you are not to look to this Board for instruction in that part of your duty; but they remark that even though it should be lawful, on sufficient information, to make a search for private papers to prove these crimes; yet they are not aware of any provision of law which would justify such a step with the object which you

Augt. 27th Para 5. state, Viz. to know the amount, and mode of disposal, of the Manager's supposed unauthorized gains. It was for you, as a Magistrate, to estimate the value of the accusation and evidence you had received and proceed agreeably to the law, for the investigation and establishment of the charges -

3. With regard to the asserted mismanagement and malversation of the Manager, you seem to have overlooked that he is not in the public service, or subject to the laws for the punishment of dishonesty in the Revenue servants of Government. If any steps

steps are to be taken against him for such wrongdoing, or any sums embezzled to be recovered, it must be by Process in a Court of law; and you are not competent to take a part in such proceedings, except acting on the behalf of the minor, and as an agent of the Court of Wards. To empower you to act at all in the matter, as well as to deprive the Manager of the power of evil, the Board directed that the estate should be instantly taken under the care of that Court; and they considered your own presence on the spot necessary to that measure -

4. As to your next enquiry regarding a search of Perianna Chetty's house for private papers, the Board direct me to inform you that they are not acquainted with any Regulation authorizing such a step in a case of this kind. The Board are surprised that you should express yourself at a loss as to ulterior proceedings after the suspension of your Serishtadar; which is specifically ordered to be made "pending

deeply interested in them, with reference to the alternative you suggest, I am directed to say that the principal papers connected with this case have already been before the Governor in Council; and the Board's proceedings in it have been approved, as you will learn from the enclosed †order of Government.

† Sept.r 11th. 1842.

7. In consideration of the strong reiteration of your former recommendation, the Board so far modify their order, as to permit you to avail yourself of the aid of Mr. Fischer, in elucidating the two charges brought before you by his means; Viz.t the Criminal charge against the late Manager, and the accusation of bribery. To this however, his interference must be limited; the Board cannot consent to his taking any part in the administration of the affairs of the Zemindary, and they trust to you to act up to the spirit of this prohibition in its fullest extent.

Rev.e Board Office,
Fort St. George,
21st. September 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To, The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Ag. Chief Secy. to Government.

4-6

Adverting to my letter of the 10th. Instant, I have the honor by direction of the Board of Revenue, to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the two †letters accompanying which have passed on the same subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
21st. September, 1842.

(Signed) J. D Bourdillon
Secretary.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday, 22nd. September 1842.

John Dent Esq.re Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esq.re Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esq.re Acting Third Member.
and
A. Mellor Esq.re Acting Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th. Ultimo and entered in

10684 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

in Consultation 15th Instant.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To The Principal Collector of Salem.

Sir,

To the Pl. Coll.r of Salem.---- 30th Aug.t in Cons.s 15th September 1842.-

Your letter of the 2nd Ultimo to the Register to the Sudder Court has been sent down by Government for the consideration and report of the Board of Revenue and I am directed by the Board to apply to you for some further information.-

7

2. You state that you had received "reports" that part of the land for which rent is claimed by the Salem Mootadar as the Site of the Zillah Court, is not in the occupation of Government but, except the vague expression that you "have had it twice inspected", it does not appear that you took any measures to test the truth of these "reports". The Board consider that such information as you appear to have possessed was not sufficient to justify you, after the enquiry and correspondence that have passed, and the act performed by yourself in the matter, in calling on the Mootadar to point out the land on which he claims rent, or in withholding payment till it is done.

Letter Sept.r 24th 1839 Para 1. in Cons.s 17th October -a-

22nd Dec.r 1837 in Cons.s 11th January 1838.

3. It have been officially and formally stated by your predecessor that the land in question is included in the

the nerium peonjee field No. 62, the rate of assessment on which is also stated.- Is it in reality so included; How much of the field is occupied by the Court house and compound; and does the assessment on that portion correspond with the Mr. Fischer's demand, again does the extent for which you paid arrears of quit rent correspond with that of the ground occupied by the Court house and Compound. These are points easily ascertainable, and on which it would have been better if you had informed yourself previously to acting on the reports you received. You are now requested immediately to investigate these points and report the result to the Board, adding any further information that you may think essential.-

Letter Sept.r 24th 1839.-

4. The Board request also that you will forward a plan shewing both the pieces of ground specified by Mr. Orr in the communication above adverted to. To be prepared by an Engineer or Surveyor, if possible, otherwise by the best qualified persons at your

Command

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d. September 1842.

Command.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Extract.

From the Sec.y to Govt.

8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th September 1842.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fusly 1250

Here enter 24th March 1842.

Para 1.

Fusly	Demand	Gross Collns	Net Revs
1249	16,01,037-9-10	16,02,310-6-	12,20,360-8-9
1250	15,58,919-1-1	15,59,214-4-4	11,81,804-10-1
	42,118-8-9	43,096-12-2	38,555-11-8

The result of the settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fusly 1250, as contrasted with 1249, is a decrease in the total demand, in gross collections, and net Revenue, to the extent shewn in the margin.

2

*11-11-9

The collections under the head of Salt, including a very small sum for Extra Revenue, amounted to Rupees 3,49,979-8-4 being in excess of those of Fusly 1249 1249-346600-13-8- in the sum of 33,378-10-8. The increase in question is shewn to have resulted from an increase in the sales for exportation to the extent of 213-71-8 and a decrease in those for home consumption to that of

of 179-32-7. It is satisfactory to observe that the deficiency under the latter head has not been occasioned by any internal distress but by "the apprehension of a scarcity entertained "generally by Salt Merchants in consequence of "the difficulty experienced in supplying the "monopoly during the preceding year which "but to their collecting large supplies the "best part of which remained to be retailed "in Fusly 1250." As it is the sales in 1250 exceed the average of the last 5 years by 261 garce-

3. Although the quantity purchased for the Monopoly in the year under review exceeded that of the year preceding by 711-104-1 the charges on that account were less by Rupees 952-9-10 in consequence of the reduction in the price per garce- In the expenditure for "superintendence and Sale" there has been an increase to the amount of Rupees 2,910-1-10, the causes of which are explained in this para, whereby the increase in the Net Revenue has been reduced to Rupees 1,421-2-8 above that of 1249-

*Coll.r report Spara 3.

Boards' Proceedings *Para 3-

Net Revenue-
1249-2,25,845-5-4
1250-2,27,265-8-0-

4 In the amount collected from the sales of Tobacco there was a

decrease

decrease to the extent of Rupees 20,726-2-0 as compared with the fusly preceding which is attributed by the Collector to the more than usual inferior quality of the article supplied, to which the Board of Revenue seem partly to object because "the result of the year under consideration as compared with the 10 years previous to fusly 1249 shews an increase above the average of Rupees 49,917-14-1. The conjecture of the Board that the deficiency above noticed is not to be attributed solely to the badness of the Tobacco may be correct but with reference to the orders as per Margin it is desirable that immediate measures be taken by them to ascertain the cause of the wretched quality of an article of such general consumption and to report the measures they may adopt to ensure the supply being for the future of a better description as well for the sake of the people for whose use it is intended as for the interests of Government.

5 The gross collections under this head amounted to Rupees 5,25,852-9-7 from which after deducting Rupees 4,39,55[illegible]-8 on

2d March 1841. para 24. 2d Dr 1842 — 19.

on account of charges in which there was an excess to the extent shewn in the margin in consequence of the larger disbursements for purchase, there remained a net Revenue of Rupees 38,897-8-11 or Rs. 24,890-6-4 below that of 1249.

*4654-4-4

*40987-15-3-

In the collections under the head of Land Customs a decrease is observed to have taken place in the year under review which is explained to have been the result "partly" of "the correction of an abuse in the system of valuing Piece Goods imported by land into this province" in the propriety of which Government fully concur and partly "of a falling off in the duty of Betel-Nut consequent upon the deficiency of the article from the adverse nature of the season." The net revenue was reduced in the sum of Rupees 13,379-5-6 below that of the preceding year by the abovementioned causes and by reason of the increased expenditure on account of drawbacks to the extent of 5,386-4-1 and amounted only to Rupees 75,648-6-11.

1249-18,324-7-1
1250-123381-5-8-
7993-1-0.
4769-10-11.

Although the collections under the head of Sea Customs are somewhat below those of 1249 they are still considerably in excess of 1248 as will be seen by the statement entered in the margin. The decrease

*1248-254297-9-0-
1249-266071-10-8.
1250-254733-10-7-

in

in the collections in the present fusly below those of the preceding is shewn to have arisen from the unfavorable nature of the season to the Cocoanut crop causing a falling off in the duty levied on Cocoanut, Coperahs and Cocoanut Oil to the extent of nearly 2,000 Rupees but which was almost compensated by an increased amount derived from Pepper

x 17,971-4-6- Timber &c. A decrease in the amount of charges chiefly on the item of Drawback as explained in this para, raised the net

x Para 11 Revenue to 2,17,961-12-1 or 16,634-6-1 above that of 1249. The excess in question however

x 20,326-02. must be considered merely nominal.

8 The net revenue derived from above mentioned amounted in fusly 1250 to Rupees 59,549-7-11 and exceeded that of the year preceding in the sum of Rupees 1,210-7-8. It is satisfactory to observe that at the date of the Collectors report there remained but Rupees 115-0-7 to be collected of the settlement under this head.

1249-81,255-0-0- 9 The demand under the head of "Sundry small Farms and licenses" exhibits
1250-79,105-2-0- a considerable diminution compared with that of 1249. This unfavorable result is

Para: 15 attributed to the failure of the Cardamum

Crops

crops in 1249 and 1250 which are shewn not to have equalled in quantity those of 1248 alone and which were brought to account in 1249. There was a slight increase in the revenue derived from Ferry Farms and Hill produce under rent. In the gross collections however there was a deficiency of 12,286-3-11 and in the charges an excess of Rupees 1,632-10-5, consequently the net

x Para 9. Revenue fell below that of fusly 1249 in

1249-73,452-9-4. the sum of 13,918-14-8.

1250-89,533-11-0- In the Net Revenue derived

10 from the sale of Stamps there was a decrease of Rupees 5,542-14-5 as compared with that of 1249 which appears to have been occasioned by a diminution in the number of suits filed before the Civil Courts.

In the settlement of the revenue

11 derived from Motarpha there is a small

1250-11,927-7-7- increase in favor of fusly 1250 of Rupees 346-11-

1249-11,580-13-1- The arrangement under which this result was obtained, as affording relief to the poor and indigent and facilitating the realization of the revenue from this source is viewed with great satisfaction. Of the demand under this head Rupees 924-14-10 remained due at the close of the fusly of which the whole has since been realized

with

with the exception of Rupees 171-1-5. The net Revenue derived from Neturpha in Fusly 1250 was 109628-7-11 or Rupees 90-6-6 below that of Fusly 1249.

†1249-109,718-14-5

12. At the recommendation of the Board of Revenue the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the sum of Rupees five hundred and eight and annas three /508-3-/ being the amount of arrears of Extra Revenue due for former fusles, & declared to be irrecoverable, to be struck out of the accounts.

13 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to record his approbation of the management by Mr. Conolly of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fusly 1250.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy to Govt.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon

(8-9)

Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance with reference to the Board's Proceedings relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1250 under date 24th March 1842.

Adverting to the observations contained in para 4 of the above Extract Minutes of Consultation the Board observe that they are about to submit a report to Government on the subject therein referred to.

Read

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th September 1842.—

/ From Board 25th August /

From the Acting Secy to Govt. Ordered that the following

(10) Endorsement on Petition No 807 of 1842 be communicated to the Petitioner Vencatachary.

"The Board of Revenue having reported to Government that the land claimed by the Petitioner has been out of his possession for 27 years and during that period has been regularly assessed to the revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council cannot interfere in the case."

Signed / W. Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt.

Order thereon. Ordered that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be furnished to the

(10-11) Collector of South Arcot for his information with reference to his letter of the 6th June last.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th September 1842.—

/ From Board 27th August 1842 /

From the Acting Secy to Govt.

(12) The Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to furnish the

RECORDED DEC 1842

the Board of Revenue for communication to the Collector of Malabar Copy of para 1 and part of para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st December 1840 No. 1335 containing the decision of Government upon a similar reference from the Principal Collector of Madura.—

2. The Medical Board will also be furnished with an Extract from the orders above referred to for communication to the Zillah Surgeon of Malabar and they will distinctly inform that Officer that the Services of the Native Dressers attached to the Revenue Establishment are entirely at the disposal of the Collector and that they are not available for the duties of the Hospital at Calicut without his permission.—

(Signed) Walter Elliot
A. Sec. to Govt.

A

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st December 1840.—

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that Native Dressers have been attached to the Revenue and Judicial Officers in the Provinces for

for the purpose of affording Medical aid to those Officers and to the Native Establishments under them, and that they are not available for other purposes without permission of their immediate superiors namely the Revenue and Judicial Officers unless under special orders of Government.

2 The orders of Government in the Public Department No. 999 dated 9th June 1837 were issued for the express purpose of rendering efficient medical aid to the Revenue and Judicial Departments. Those orders do not confer upon "the executive Medical Officer of the Zillah" any authority as to the disposal of the subordinate Medical Servants, but provide only that they perform their duties "namely the duties for the performance of which they have been specially appointed" in communication with him or under his immediate instructions.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot
A. Sec. to Govt.

Order thereon.

Ordered that copy of the above order of Government be transmitted to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 3d Ultimo.— Extract

12-13

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th September 1842.—

(From Board 29th August 1842)

From the Acting Sec.y to Govt.

(14)

Under the circumstances reported in the foregoing letter, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Collector of North Arcot the balance due on account of the Frontier Sayer Rent of Fasly 1242 Amounting to Rupees 15986/ Fifteen thousand nine hundred and eighty six and also for the release of the securities from all future demands on this Account.—

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Acting Sec.y to Govt

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To The Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot

To the Collr in the Northern Division of Arcot

(14-15)

Sir,

With reference to your letter under date the 13th of August last. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased under date the 19th instant to grant authority for writing off from the Accounts the

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842.—

the balance of Rupees 15986 due by on account of the Frontier Sayer Rent for Fasly 1242 and also for the release of the securities from all future demands connected with the above balance.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Resolution.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th September 1842.—

(From Boards 15th & 19th Sept.r 1842)

From the Acting Sec.y to Govt.

(16)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Rajahmundry to refund the sum of Rupees 10 Ten being part of the value of the stamp paper referred to in the foregoing Proceedings.—

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Sec.y to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

(16-17)

To the Collector of Rajahmundry

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

With reference to your letter

In Cons. 8th Sept. 1842.

From Govt 20th Sept. 1842 in Cons. 22nd Do. No 1141.

letter of the 27th Ultimo No 109 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you, that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to authorize you to remit to the Tillah Court at Rajahmundry the sum of Rupees 6 being part of the value of a stampt paper sold to Appellant in a suit filed before the Register of that Court and ordered to be refunded.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 22nd Sept. 1842

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following Resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th September 1842.

(From Board's 22nd Sept. 1842)

From the Acting Sec. to Govt. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to accede to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue as conveyed in their Secretary's letter of the 11th November last and is pleased in the above communication and approves of the instructions proposed to be issued to the Collector of North Arcot on the subject

(18)

Signed Walter Elliot
Actg Sec. to Govt.

Order thereon. Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of North Arcot in reference to his letter of the 13th August last.

(18-19)

Read

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir.

From the Jr. Collr. of Vizagapatam... } Para 1. The Statement forwarded with Mr. Porter's letter of the 28th April last is herewith returned. In doing so, I regret I am unable to fill up the columns left blank, because there is no standard rate of tax on land newly taken up for cultivation. Lands fit for culture all bear fixed money rents in this District. Where a contrary practice obtains, the revenue is realized by a division of produce.

Submits information relative to land held under Government Grants at Vizagapatam together with a Statement thereof returning the Statement received from the Board.

(20)

2. But it may be at once observed that the land on which Houses are generally built at this station is pack, unfit for cultivation, and not worth one farthing an acre.

3. As regards the question put in the second para of Mr. Porter's letter, I find that from the earliest period to the present date, not a cash has ever been realized on any house held under Government Grants at

at this station.

4. This information could have been furnished in a day, but on enquiring I have ascertained that the grants entered in the Statement forwarded from the Board, formed but a moiety of those actually existing- many of them too are not subject to quit rent at all, being situated within the Military limits, and others are part of the Wattair Estate, and the rent thereon is due to the Proprietor, and not to Government- A full list of all houses held under grants has therefore been prepared with much difficulty, containing the date of the grants, the office from which they issued, the name of the present owners, with other particulars. They appear to amount to twenty nine.

5. Out of these, eight are situated within Military limits and not therefore liable to quit rent, and three have disappeared from the face of the earth and are known only by tradition.

6 There are five houses in Vizagapatam and five houses in Wattair held under Government grants, the quit rent of which is payable to Government.

The

The amount due on each from date of grant to the present time, also the amount of demand, if restricted to five years, is shewn in the proper column of the Statement.

7. Four houses are held, on grants issued from Government office, on various dates, the quit rent being payable to the owner of Wattair. I believe the Zemindar has never collected the demand in any one instance. There are four more houses held on grants in which the mention of quit rents is altogether omitted.

8. I shall be prepared to give effect to any orders in reference to the enforcement of the demand of the quit rent, which the Board in their wisdom may think fit to issue. I believe none of the proprietors will pay except upon compulsion.

9. There are, I believe, in addition some houses held by the owners without any title deed.

Vizagapatam ---
Collector's Cutcherry ---
12th. September 1842.

Signed P. B. Smollett
Actg. Collector.

Statement.

10702

Fort St George

No 1. Statement shewing the houses held under Government

Houses at Vizagapatam

1 No. as entered in Table	2 To whom Quit Rent is paid and where the houses are.	3 By whom Grants were issued	4 Name of Grantee	5 When Granted
	Written Military limits	Government	1 Captain T. Blackmore	17.12
	Do	Do	2 B. Audemaraiven	13.2.15
	Do	Chief and Council	3. J. Oliver	1st May 1785
	Do	Government	4. E. Balfour	13th Jy 19th December 1809
	Do	Collector of Madras	5. Mrs E. Richter	23rd Feb 1st June 1820
	Do	Do	6. V. Avenantoren	13th July 15th October 1810
	Do	Chief and Council	7. J. Crabtree	5th May 1780
	Do	Do	8 W. Stephenson	6th August 1794

22d September 1842

Grants in the District of Vizagapatam.

6 Names of present Owners	7 Extent of Land	8 Amount of annual Quit rent	9 Amount of Quit rent due to the end of June 1842	10 Quit rent due if the demand be restricted to 5 years as per orders of 4th June 1840.	11 Remarks.
	Co Gs Sf	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	
——do——	6 3 270	17 8 "	" " "	87 8 "	
B Ruganaickloo	" 15 111	3 6 1	" "	16 14 5	
Amon Kalingum	N.D.F. 117 to 68 & W.F. 170	1 " "	57 " "	5 " "	These houses are situated in the Military limits and no quit rent is demandable.
Mrs L. Jones	" 3 270 3/4	" 14 9	29 8 "	4 9 9	
G Hofland	" 18 926	4 2 5	91 5 2	20 12 1	
Heirs ———	" 18 4	3 15 6	99 3 6	19 13 6	
Mrs Dawson	N.D.F. 105 E.W.F. 99	1 " "	62 " "	5 " "	
——Do——	N.D.F. 69 E.W.F. 69	1 " "	66 " "	5 " "	
		" " "	32 14 9	105 " 8	164 9 9

149

10704

Fort St George

22d. September 1842.

Whether Houses at Wattair	To whom the Quit Rent is paid and where the houses are	By whom grants were issued	Name of Grantee	When granted	Name of present Owners	Extent of land	Amount of annual Quit Rent	Amount of Quit rent due to the end of June 1842	Quit rent due if the demands be restricted to 5 years as per order of the 4th June 1840	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
						Cs Gdf	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Payable to Government	Government	14 J. Fatheringam	24th January 1811	General Stewart	6 5 1579	32 11 6	1,341 7 6	163 9 6	
	—Do.—	—Do.—	15 A. Mackenzie	8th November 1805	A. Randoss	2 9 165	12 10 0	454 8 0	63 2 0	It is mentioned in the grants that the quit rent is payable to Government.
	—Do.—	Chief and Counsil	16 J. Snow	30th May 1790	—Do.—	No 7 714 E. 782	1 0 0	62 0 0	5 0 0	
	—Do.—	—Do.—	17 H. Crawford	19th August 1780	G. Scoria Pracasarew	No 71 180 E. 220	1 0 0	61 0 0	5 0 0	
	—Do.—	—Do.—	18 N. Barlow	6th November 1792	H. Taylor	No 7 200 E. 300	1 0 0	67 0 0	5 0 0	
						0 0 0	48 5 6	1,975 15 6	241 11 6	
	Payable to the Proprietor of Wattair	Government	19 R. Campbell	19th December 1792	W.W. Arbuthnot	22 9 0	52 8 0	1680 0 0	262 8 0	
	—Do.—	Chief and Counsil	20 Mr. Alston	27th July 1789	—Do.—	N.T. 270 E. 240	1 0 0	57 0 0	5 0 0	She quit rents is due to the Wattair Proprietor.
	—Do.—	Coll.r of Madras	21 J. Boutflour	30th May 1821	H Taylor	10 . 58½	1 0 0	21 0 0	5 0 0	
	—Do.—	—Do.—	22 C. Desormeaux	19th May 1821	Mr. Hofland	1 13 1200	14 0 0	140 0 0	70 0 0	
						0 0 0	68 8 0	1893 0 0	342 8 0	
					Total	0 0 0	174 5 8	4,464 2 8	711 12 4	

10703

Fort St. George

22d. September 1842

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Abstract of Collection.	To whom the quitrent is paid and where the houses are	By whom Grants were issued	Name of Grantee.	When granted	Names of Present Owners	Extent of Land	Amount of Annual Quitrent	Amount of quit rent due to the end as per order of June 1842	Quitrent due if the demand be restricted to 5 years to the end of June 1840.	Remarks.
								Cs. Fs.	Rs a p	Rs a p
Hosur	Out of Military limits	Government	G. J. Paravaramputtee	Bt. No. 10	B. Baupanah	1 15 54	8 8 7	" "	42 10 11	
	Do	Chief and Council	C. Maclane	11th August 1778	G. Hosland	E.H.F. 196 N.B.F. 116	1 " "	66 " "	5 " "	It is mentioned in the grants that the Quit rent is payable to Govt.
	Do	Do	Do	C. Pauparany	2d May 1780	Major Butler	N.D.F. 65 E.W.F. 60	1 " "	62 " "	5 " "
	Do	Do	Do	A. Gungasany	N.F. 17½ E. 11½	1 " "	62 " "	5 " "		
	Do	Do	Do	12. P. Tetan	27th Do. 1780	A. D. McIntosh	2 11 190	13 " 10	" " "	65 42
	Do	Government	13 Mr. H. Wilson	Bt. No. 5						
							" "	24 95	190 " "	122 15 1

10704

Fort St. George

22d. September 1842.

Whether the Quit Rent is paid and where the houses are.	By whom grants were issued	Name of Grantee	When granted	Name of present Owners	Extent of land	Amount of annual Quit Rent	Amount of Quit rent due to the end of June 1842	Quit rent due if the demands be restricted to 5 years as per order of the 4th June 1840.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
						Cs. Grf.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Payable to Government	Government	14. G. Fetheringuw	24th January 1801	General Stewart	6 5 1577	32 11 6	1,341	7 6	163 9 6	
—Do.—	—Do.—	15 A. Mackenzie	8th November 1805	A. Randoss	2 9 165	12 10 0	454	8 0	63 2 0	It is mentioned in the grants that the quit rent is payable to Government.
—Do.—	Chief and Council	16 J. Snow	30th May 1790	—Do.—	No. 7 714 E. 782	1 0 0	62 0 0	5 0 0		
—Do.—	—Do.—	17 W. Crawford	19th August 1780	G. Scoria Pracasarow	No. 7 180 E. 230	1 0 0	61 0 0	5 0 0		
—Do.—	—Do.—	18 N. Barlow	6th November 1775	H. Taylor	No. 7 200 E. 300	1 0 0	67 0 0	5 0 0		
						48 5 6	1975 15 6	241 11 6		
Payable to the Proprietor of Wallaw	Government	19 R. Campbell	19th December 1799	W.W. Arbuthnot	22 9 0	52 8 0	1680 0 0	262 8 0		
—Do.—	Chief and Council	20 Mr. Alston	27th July 1789	—Do.—	N.T. 270 E. 270	1 0 0	52 0 0	5 0 0		She quit rent is due to the Wallaw Proprietor.
—Do.—	Coll.r of Madras	21 J. Boutflour	30th May 1821	H. Taylor	10 58½	1 0 0	21 0 0	5 0 0		
—Do.—	—Do.—	22. C. Desormeaux	19th May 1832	Mr. Hofland	1 13 1200	14 0 0	140 0 0	70 0 0		
						68 8 0	1893 0 0	342 8 0		
				Total		174 5 8	4,464 2	871 12 4		

Names of Natives

10705

Fort St. George

1	2	3	4	5
Numbers of Allotments	To whom the Quitrent is paid, and where the houses are	By whom Grants were issued	Name of Grantee	When granted
Without Quitrent	Coll.r of Madras	23.	J. Smith	1.t September 1818
	Government	24	Mrs. Ure	27.th January 1807
	Do.	25	Messrs.s Brant & Sappenys	10.th April 1806
	Coll.r of Madras	26	Mrs. Ure	13.th Do. 1819
Not known		27	Honble. L. G. K. Murray	Bo. No. 3
		28.	T. Cherry	Bo. No. 4
		29	H Elliot	Bo. No. 13

22.d September 1842.

6	7	8	9	10	11
Names of present Owners	Extent of Land	Amount of Annual Quitrent	Amount of quitrent due to the end of June 1842.	Quitrent due if the demand be restricted to 5 years as per order of the 4th June 1840	Remarks.
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Lt. Col. Smith	125 4 92	" " "	" " "	" " "	No quitrent mentioned in the Grants.
G. Sooria Narainarow	5 17 393	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Mrs. Dawson	6 23 1914	" " "	" " "	" " "	
C. Hawkins	1 22 84	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	4 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	16 21 7/8	88 10 2	" " "	443 2 10	The former sites of these houses is unknown.
	9 22 1/63	50 2 "	" " "	250 10 "	
	16 13 75	70 " "	" " "	350 " "	
	" " "	208 12 2	" " "	1043 12 10	
Grand Total	" " "	383 1 10	4,464 " 2	1915 9 2	

10706

Fort St. George

No. of Lot or Plan	To whom the Quit Rent is paid and where the houses are.	By whom Grants were issued.	Name of Grantee.	When Granted
1	2	3	4	5

Particulars

Quit Rent not to be paid in No. 8 at Vizagapatam without as per the Board's letter in July 1840.

Quit Rent payable to Government.
At Vizagapatam houses in No. 5
At Waltair Do. in No. 5

Quit rent payable to the Proprietors
the houses at Waltair in No. 4
Do. not known to whom payable
Do. quit rent inserted in the

Houses as per the
Do. as per the

Vizagapatam
12th September 1842

22d September 1842.

Names of Present Owners	Extent of Land	Amount of annual Quit Rent	Amount of Quit rent due to the end of June 1842.	Quit rent due if the demand be restricted to 5 years after order of the 4th June 1840.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11
			Co. Rds. Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
For the houses the Military limits	"	32 14 9	405 " 8	164 9 9	
Vizp.	"	24 9 6	190 " "	122 15 1	
	"	48 6 6	1975 15 6	241 11 6	
	"	72 14 11	2165 15 6	364 10 7	
Lot of Waltair for	"	68 8 "	1893 " "	342 8 "	
Houses in No. 3	"	208 12 2	" " "	1043 12 10	
grants of Houses in 64	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Total Houses 29	"	383 1 10	4464 " 2	1915 9 2	
Board's Statement 13	"	319 9 10	2156 " 2	1598 1 2	
Examination 16	"	63 8 "	2308 " "	317 8 "	
Total 29	"	383 1 10	4464 " 2	1915 9 2	

[Signed] P. B. Smollett
Agt Collector.

10707 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

No. 2. Statement of Lands in the District of Vizagapatam.

Name of Grantee	Extent of Land	Rate of Quit Rent per Cawney	Total amount of Quit Rent	Amount leviable on the Land in columns 2 according to the usual rate of Land taken up for cultivation	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Cawneys Sqr. feet	Pagodas Fs. Cs.	Pagodas Fs. Cs.		
Lieutenant Colonel G. Fotheringham	6 5	1599	7 22 40	9 14 50	
Captain Thomas Blackmore	6 13	2270	" " "	5 " "	
Honble L. G. H. Murray	16 2/5	"	1 22 40	25 14 47	
Mr. Peter Cherry	9 22	2163	1 22 40	14 14 40	
Mr. H Wilson	2 11	1700	1 22 40	3 32 61	
Mr. Alexander Mackenzie	2 9	165	1 22 40	3 25 39	
E. Balfour	" 3	2220 3/4	1 22 40	" 11 4	
Bahadrshow Audenarain	" 15	114	1 22 40	" 43 31	
Vasuntarow Anuntarow	" 18	4	1 22 40	1 5 53	
Ellamahuntee Parasseroma Peter	1 16	54	1 22 40	2 19 60	
Mrs. Elizabeth Richens	" 18	1926	1 22 40	1 7 68	
Mr. Asst. Surgeon C. Desormeaux	" 22	1284 1/2	1 22 40	1 12 68	
Mrs. Helen Knott	16 13	76	" " "	20 " "	

New form Certificate introduced in 1828.

					Rs a P
Cornelius Desormeaux Esqr. Garrison Surgeon	1 13	1200	" " "		14 " "

10708

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(21)

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you, in reply to your letter of the 28th. April last, that the extent of land entered in the Statement which accompanied it, and which I am directed to fill up, is incorrect as regards the measurement which have now been taken, and that several grants are omitted in it. I have therefore prepared, and forward herewith, a new Statement, giving the information required.

Tinnevelly ---
Tencausey ----
10th. September 1842.

I have &c.
Signed H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Statemen

10709

No.

As per Statemen
of Revenue
S. R. Lushi ah of Travancore by
_____ Do. _____ 1837
_____ Do. _____
Colonel A. C
Captain A. remain in the enjoy=
Mr. S. Idlete
Mr. Richard unts of this District.
Captain Cha
Mr. John Cas
Reverend W.
Mr. J. S. Sullivan within the limits
_____ Ditto _____ jacent.
T. Saalachena
brother T. Tru
W. O Shakespe
as per account
Mr. Young _____
T. Vengao Mud in the Statement
Mr. Robertson
Major Wake
_____ Ditto
Lieutenant Ge
Mr. G. A. Ho
Arnachella C

Tinnevelly.

10708

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(21)

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you, in reply to your letter of the 28th. April last, that the extent of land entered in the Statement which accompanied it, and which I am directed to fill up, is incorrect as regards the measurement which have now been taken, and that in several grants are omitted in it. I have therefore prepared, and forward herewith, a new Statement, giving the information required.

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
10th. September 1842.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Signed A. L. Montgomery
Collector.

Statement

10709

Fort

Statement of Lands held on Grants in the District of Tin

Name of the Grantee	Extent of Land	Total amount of Quit rent	Do. of Do. in Company's Rupees	Extent of land as now measured	Amount leviable thereon according to the actual rate of land tax in force for Cultivation
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Cawny G. M. G.	Rs. F. C.	Rs. A. P.	G. M. G.	Rs. A. P.
As per Statement forwarded by the Board of Revenue.					
L. R. Leushington Esqre.	4 4 "	6 11 20	21 14 "	4 5 1882	42 15 2 } an a
Do.	7 3 "	10 30 75	37 6 6	42 13 371	153 11 9 } Gover
Do.	4 3 1300	6 9 76	21 12 5	4 3 1300	" 15 11
Colonel A. Dyce	4 13 252	6 36 67	23 13 10	4 13 252	45 1 2
Captain A. Bower	1 4 334	1 34 13	11 8 4	2 4 1734	26 7 " { Land measu
Mr. S. Isbleston	1 " 1350	1 23 78			
Mr. Richard Henry Lathom	44 " 2352	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " No
Captain Charles Farron	2 19 1000	4 9 42	14 11 10	2 19 1000	1 7 10
Mr. John Casamajor	22 22 "	34 16 70	120 5 "	22 22 "	215 15 3
Reverend W. J. Rengeltombe	2 22 1038	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Mr. J. J. Sullivan	9 23 1862	" " "	" " "	13 1 1495	124 9 4 } Th
Ditto	7 23 1647	" " "	" " "	7 23 1793	138 3 4 } of
P. Saalachena Maadeliar and his young brother P. Sullatumby Maadeliar	17 10 370	26 6 50	91 3 3	17 10 370	238 8 1
H. O Shakespear Esqre.	15 15 1450	5 41 50	20 11 3	15 15 1450	18 1 7
As per accounts on the records of this office			41 3 6	15 19 1630	147 11 4
Mr. Young	15 19 1630	" " "	47 6 10	9 " 1913	148 1 5
P. Vengoo Maadeliar	9 " 1913	" " "	3 8 "	" 3 2180	1 15 7
Mr. Robertson	" 3 2180	" " "	3 8 "	" 17 1830	8 14 4
Major Make	" 17 1830	" " "	3 8 "	" 20 2310	10 8 2
Ditto	" 20 2310	" " "	3 8 "	" 17 1830	8 14 4
Lieutenant Gutro	" 17 1830	" " "	150 " "	41 16 400	378 5 7
Mr. G. A. Hughes	41 16 400	" " "	62 3 3	8 13 1322	62 3 3
Arnachella Row	8 13 1322	" " "			

Tinnevelly 10th. September 1842.

E. E. Fox. / Signed / H. C. M
Co

10709

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842.—

Statement of lands held on Grants in the District of Tinnevelly.—

Name of the Grantee	Extent of Land	Total amount of Quit rent	Do. of Do. on Company's Rupees	Extent of land as now measured	Amount leviable thereon according to the actual rate of land taken up for Cultivation	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	County Gs Sf Gs	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	A B L Gs Sf	Rs As Ps	
As per Statement forwarded by the Board of Revenue.—						
L. R. Lushington Esqre	4 4 "	6 11 20	21 14 "	4 5 21884	42 15 2	an excess of 37-11-" was given to the Rajah of Travancore by Government under Date 30th March 1837
Do.	7 3 "	10 30 75	37 6 6	42 13 371	153 11 9	
Do.	4 3 1300	6 9 76	21 12 5	4 3 1300	" 15 11	
Colonel A. Dyce	4 13 252	6 36 67	23 13 10	4 13 252	45 1 2	
Captain A. Bower	1 4 384	1 34 13				
Mrs. S. Ibbetson	1 " 1350	1 23 78	11 8 4	2 4 1734	26 7 "	Lands formerly held by 2 Grantees are now in the enjoyment of a single person.—
Mr. Richard Henry Lathan	44 " 2352	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	No such grants are entered in the accounts of this District.—
Captain Charles Farron	2 19 1000	4 9 42	14 11 10	2 19 1000	1 7 10	
Mr. John Casamajor	22 22 "	34 16 70	120 5 "	22 22 "	215 15 3	Ditto ——— Ditto
Reverend W. J. Rengeltombe	2 22 032	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Mr. J. S. Sullivan	9 23 1863	" " "	" " "	13 1 1495	124 9 4	This excess is caused by the inclusion within the limits of some waste Jungly land adjacent.—
Ditto	7 23 1647	" " "	" " "	7 23 1798	138 3 4	
T. Saslachena Moodeliar and his young brother T. Nullatumby Moodeliar	17 10 870	26 6 50	91 2 3	17 10 870	288 8 1	
H. O Shakespear Esqre	15 15 1450	5 41 50	20 11 3	15 15 1450	18 1 7	
As per accounts on the records of this office						
Mr. Young	15 19 1630	" " "	41 8 6	15 19 1630	147 11 4	These lands are not included in the Statement sent by the Board.—
T. Vengoo Moodeliar	9 " 1913	" " "	47 6 10	9 " 1913	148 1 5	
Mr. Robertson	" 3 2180	" " "	3 8 "	" 3 2180	1 15 7	
Major Wake	" 17 1430	" " "	3 8 "	" 17 1830	8 14 4	
Ditto	" 20 2310	" " "	3 8 "	" 20 2310	10 8 2	
Lieutenant Gubro	" 17 1830	" " "	3 8 "	" 17 1830	8 14 4	
Mrs. G. A. Hughes	41 16 1400	" " "	150 " "	41 16 1400	378 5 7	free of yearly quit rent.—
Arnachella Row	8 13 1322	" " "	62 3 3	8 13 1322	62 3 3	

Tinnevelly 10th September 1842.—

E. E. Jbs / Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters — Ordered to lie on the Table

(20-22)

Read the following letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting the particulars of his settlement for Fusly 1250 of the Estates of Ramachundrapooram and Vagayammapetta which are under his management.—

In Con.n 24th March 1842

/ March 15th 1842 No. 36 /

Proceedings Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Estates of Ramachendrapooram and Vagayammapettah in the Rajahmundry District for Fusly 1250.—

(23)

Para 1 Ramachundrapooram is a moiety of the joint Zemindary of Cotah and Ramachundrapooram; it came under the management of the Officers of Government in the following manner. The joint Estate being involved in embarrassments Government was applied to for assistance by Ramachundra Rauze who then managed the whole property but there was difficulty in granting aid at the time because his relative Jagunnanda Rauze a half share in the property to whom the Cotah Talook had been adjudged by a decree of Court was anxious to obtain possession. This obstacle was shortly removed by the final transfer of Cotah to Jagunnanda Rauze, together with half of that incumbrance for relief from which the aid of Government

July 23d. 1838 In Con.n 26th Do.

was sought and with the sanction of Government an advance of 47,000 Rupees was made to Ramachundra Rauze his Estate being pledged as security. The ensuing two seasons were very disastrous, and in both only a small part of the Peishcush was paid; and the Collector having knowledge that the Zemindar's payments did not bear a fair proportion to his receipts, attached the Estate, and with the sanction of the Board of Revenue, it was taken

August 13th 1840

under the Collector's management. The present report is an account of the first year of his administration of its affairs.—

2 Mr. Smith states that the demand against Ramachundrapooram is Rs. A. P. 62298-4, being a moiety of the whole permanent Settlement for Cotah and Ramachundrapooram, with the addition of half the Settled Beriz of Nowabpettah Village. In his letter of the 30th November 1839 submitting Accounts prepared with a view to the partition of the Zemindary Mr. Smith proposed to fix Rs. As. 60220-9 as the Beriz of Ramachundrapooram, and 64,375-15 as that of Cotah; if the whole assessment of Nowabpettah was to

to be included in the latter, or if this Village was to be divided between the two parties, he assigned 60585-4 to Ramchundra param, and 6401-4 to Cattah. He offers no explanation of the present departure from his former plan; and the Board are at a loss to understand it as no final decision has yet been passed by them regarding the division. Mr. Smith will be pleased to state his reasons and to report whether the Ramachundrapuram Zemindar concurred in this increase of the burden laid upon him.

3 The season of Fusly 1250 was unfavorable like those of the preceding two years Mr. Smith was unable in consequence to realize from the Estate more than 53231 Rupees; the gross income thus falling far short of the amount even of the Current Peishcush; he considers even this result however to be satisfactory, when compared with the outturn of the District generally.

4 The Estate contains 37 Villages, of which it appears from Para 5 that 18 were rented and 19 retained under Veesabuddy

Veesabuddy or Annany management; but Statement 6 shows 20 Veesabuddy Villages. The twentieth village appears to be that of Sevala, but as the Board have not before them a list of the Rented Villages, they are unable to make a strict comparison and they desire that the Collector will explain the variation of the 18 Villages stated to be under rent, 12 were rented out by the Zemindar, and the leases had not expired at the close of Fusly 1250. Mr. Smith has omitted to state the number of years for which these rents were made, and when they will terminate. It would have been satisfactory too to know that he had examined their conditions and found them fair and not liable to suspicion of collusion. He will be pleased to give his attention to this point in his report for Fusly 1251.

5. The rents for the other 6 villages were formed by the Collector on Royanny Rent. The amount of these rents is less by above 7,000 Rupees than their Veesabuddy Settlement

Fusly
Settlement 29,667-7-11
Collections 12,307-15-11
Remissions
F 1250 } 22,532-0-0

Settlement in Fusly 1249; but that settle=
=ment by the Zemindar was fictitiously
high, far above the capabilities of the
Villages and as the rents for Fusly 1250
greatly exceed the revenue actually realiz=
=ed in Fusly 1249, the Board will not ques=
=tion their correctness.

6 The particulars of this Settlement
of the Veesabuddy Villages are given in
Statement 5. The Revenue of the land
accepted for cultivation amounted
to 39,749-2-8 the net Settlement amounts
to 28,139-7-9. The deductions from the
gross amount are four. It is not stated
what the "usual remissions" consisted
of; but on referring to Statement 5 it is
found that no such remissions were
made in Fusly 1249. The Collector is
requested to explain how this hap=
=pened if the remissions are customa=
=ry, and also what is their nature, and
how they were affected by "the present cir=
=cumstances of the Estate" the same remark
applies to the remission for "village charge";
no such remission was made in Fusly
1249. and the Collector will explain by

Col 14

by what rules he was guided in grant=
=ing it in Fusly 1250. There is a re=
=mission of 79 Rupees for land
not cultivated; but it is not stated how
much of the land engaged for
was left waste, and what rules
were followed in bringing it to ac=
=count or remitting it. The same is
observable of the large remission of
9,180-11-9 for failure of Crops; the
Board are not informed whether the
lands were wet or dry what was the
cause of failure, what measures
were taken by the Collector to check
the accounts of such failure given
in by the Curnums, or whether they
were taken without question, or what
rule was followed in granting the
remission. On all these points it was
incumbent on the Collector to give
specific information without
which the Board are unable to
judge of the propriety of his
management and they desire
that he will supply the omission
as regards Fusly 1250, and be
careful

careful to observe this injunction in his future reports.

7 Mr. Smith proceeds to say that he paid a large sum out of the Collections in compensation to some Brahmins, Moormen &c. and Pagodas for Manium land which had been attached by the Zemindar, and these money payments fixed in lieu. He does not inform the Board what was the nature of these Enams. If they were ancient and in existence at the time of the permanent Settlement the Zemindar had no right to attach them and the Collector will state his sentiments as to the propriety of giving them to the grantees or their representatives. If on the other hand the Maniums are of recent origin or since the permanent Settlement, and granted by the holders of the Zemindary, they are liable to resumption and should be attached and held under management like the rest of the Estate, in satisfaction of the Government demand. Mr. Smith will also be pleased to state whether the commuted

Sec XII Reg XXX of 1802

commuted allowances were formed permanently on a calculation of averages, or whether they vary year by year and whether or not they are adequate to the value of the lands attached. On comparing the statement in Para 7 with that in Para 8 it appears that the total amount payable under this head was 2,69-9-11 and from the statement in Para 12 that the actual payments were 2,326-12-5. The percentage of balance to Demand is 13, and it appears strange that so large a proportion should have been paid to these parties out of the treasury, while the outstanding balance of Revenue due to the Government amounted to so much as 29 per Cent.

8 The following Statement shows the Settlement of the Rented and Veesabuddy Villages for Fusly 1250, as compared with the average on which the permanent Berij was determined, and

and with the 13 years average which was taken as the standard in preparing the subdivision account, as well as the existing balance of Fusly 1250 in both Classes of Villages.—

Description of Settlement	Thirteen years average from Fusly 1196 to Fusly 1208	Thirteen years average from Fusly 1234 to Fusly 1246	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Centage of Col. 4 on Col. 2	Centage of Col. 4 on Col. 3	Outstanding balance of Fusly 1250	Centage of Balance on the Settlement
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Veesabuddy	47826 / 8	43,332 / 8	32,327 / 14	3	67½	74 7/8	13729 3 / 42 29/64
Rented	42,041 / 11	33,731 / 4	5 24,325 / 9	93 5/16	109 1/4	10,130 / 1	24 33/64
Total	89,867 / 13	1 81,146 / 11	10,73,663 / 7	3 82	19	238594 / 1	32 3/2

From this it is seen that the Settlement of Fusly 1250 in the Veesabuddy villages is 1/32 less than the former average and 1/4 less than the latter; while the Beriz of the rented Villages is a trifle less than the earlier, and 9 per cent above the more recent; yet, notwithstanding this large falling off in the demand against the Veesabuddy villages as compared with the rented Villages, the balance for Fusly 1250 in the former is

is nearly twice as heavy in proportion to the settlement for the year as it is in the latter. This demand explanation; no reason is given by the Collector for the striking difference, and with the present amount of information there appears reason to fear, that the interests of the Zemindar have not been so carefully attended to while under management by the servants of Government as they ought to have been.—

The statement of balances given in Para 13, shows that the demand against the Estate which at the commencement of Fusly 1250 was 14,2,708-14-5 amounted at the period of the Collectors report to 158208-10-11 The years management therefore added above 15,500 Rupees to the incumbrances of the property although no part of its produce was allowed for the personal use of the Zemindar; it is to be hoped that the administration for Fusly 1251 will exhibit a more favorable result.—

Vegayamanapettah 10 This is a small Estate bearing a Peishcush of 8,750 Rupees. It was under the Collectors management from Fusly 1241 to Fusly 1247, but was given over to the charge of the proprietor in Fusly 1248 on his furnishing security for the regular payment of the current Peishcush. The condition was not fulfilled and the surety declining to continue his guarantee the Estate returned to the Collectors hands in Fusly 1250.—

11 This Estate adjoins Ramachendrapaarum, and the season is stated to have been as unpropitious as in that Zemindary. It contains ten Villages

Villages of which one was rented and nine retained under Vessabuddy management. The Collector's report on this property is liable to the same remarks as that on Ramachendrapooram the No. of years for which the one Village was leased and the time when the rent expires are not stated. And there is the same omission as in the case of the other Estate, to explain the details of the remissions in the Veesavabady Villages, and of the payment for resumed Mauniums. These omissions No. Smith will be pleased to supply.

12 The total Settlement for Fusly 1250 was less by 2,390-9-9 than that of Fusly 1249. The Collections for 1250, up to the date of the Collector's report amounted to no more than 5,524-6-11, leaving a balance of 3,980-7-11 or 42 per Cent. Comparing this result with the balance of Fusly 1249 still outstanding, the Board perceive but little room for the Collector's remark in the conclusion of para 17 of his report. The arrears on this Estate increased from 17,796-9-8, their amount at the commencement of Fusly 1250, to 19,553-9-8, at the date of the Collector's report, although no allowance was made for the support of the proprietor.

Fs 1249-11,895-8-7-
Fs 1250-9,804-14-10
2,390-9-9-

* Settlement of Fusly 1249-11,895-8-7/= Balance outstanding 5,111-3-10 or 43 per Cent.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to Government, and that another copy be sent to the Collector of Rajahmundry, who is requested to supply the information called for on various points by the Board, when making his report on these Estates for Fusly 1251.

Read

P. C. B.

Read the following letters.

(24)

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry. } Sir Para 1.

Requesting orders whether the Estate of Mulkapully be registered immediately under the circumstances represented now as was directed by the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry or the case be brought to the notice of Sudr Court.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue Copy of a letter received from the Judge at Rajahmundry, together with Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court Northern Division dated the 1st Ulterno requiring the Estate of Mulkapully in this District to be registered jointly in the names of Koodoory Surrayanah, the present proprietor, and Lawroowoory Tautiah. I also beg leave to forward copy and translation of a Petition received from the present Proprietor, and Copies of the correspondence as noted in the Margin which has taken place with the Court at Rajahmundry on this subject.

2. It will be seen by the proprietors Petition that she still declares that she was no party to the Rajeenamah, and objects to attest the Registry Book, the orders of the Board are therefore necessary as to whether the Registry

No. 2 dated 21st Aug.t 1842.

No. 3 Precipt of the Judge at Rajahmundry dated 20th with Extract of the Courts Proceedings dated 26th of October together with my Return of 3d November 1841 & other Precept of Dr. Dated 26th with Extract of Dr. Dr. dated 25th of November together with my return of 11th Dec.r 1841.

* Vide Board's Letter of 6th January 1835.

Registry is to be made without her acquiescence immediately or whether it is desirable that the case should receive the consideration of the Court of Sudr Adalut. According to common report there has been some irregularity in this case, but of course before giving credence to any assertions of the kind it was necessary for me to satisfy myself whether any of the publicly recorded assertions of the Proprietor were founded on facts which could be brought to the test. Of these there is one, which decidedly requires consideration. The Proprietor declares that she received no notice from the Zillah Court of the Complaint having been filed against her, as prescribed by Regulation III of 1802. Section V. and on reference by the Government Vakeel of the Court to the record of the case it appears that the complaint was filed on 12th October and the Ranzeenamah is dated the 19th of that Month but no notice was given to the Defendant, or

or return made, that the Defendant had been any notice within that period. On this point it appears to me that the case ought to be brought under the notice of the Court of Sudr Adalut before the registry is carried into effect. The present proprietor has been one of the very few who have paid their public revenue regularly notwithstanding the past adverse seasons, and at present there is only a small balance outstanding against the Estate of Rupees 203-13-11.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Coll^rs Office, Cocanada,
6th September 1842

/ Signed / G. A Smith
Collector.

No. 1.
No 550.

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

The Northern Provincial Court of appeal having directed me in their Proceedings of the 1st Instant Copy of which accompanies to carry the Ranzeenamah into execution filed by both parties in Suit No 676 of 1841 this Court's file, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of the Ranzeenamah

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

Rauzeenamah filed in the said Suit and request you will have the goodness to carry the orders of the Provincial Court into effect as early as convenient.

Rajahmundry Zillah Court 9th Augt 1842. } I Signed I. A. Anstruther Judge

No. 564.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal Northern Division.

Present.

I Hordy Esqre Agt 1st Judge.
C. B. Glass Esqre Agt 2nd Judge.

Dated 1st Augt 1842.
C. P. No. 159 of 1842.

Cauroomoory Tantiah Petitioner.

Read again Extra Petition No. 159 of 1842 presented by Cauroomoory Tantiah Plaintiff in Suit No. 676 of 1841 on the file of the Zillah Court of Masulipatam (Rajahmundry) complaining against the order of the Zillah Judge of the 7th January 1842 wherein he refused to give effect to the Rauzeenamah presented in this suit by both parties. This Petition was fully recorded in the Court Proceedings of the 20th April 1842.

Read also Extra Petition No.

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842.

No. 218 of 1842 presented by the same party to the same effect as that in Extra Petition No. 159 of 1842; this Petition was recorded in the Courts Proceedings of the 20th April 1842.

Read also Extra Petition No. 279 of 1842 presented by Codoory Suswamah. This is a Counter to the Extra Petitions Nos. 159 and 218 of 1842. This was recorded in the Courts Proceedings of the 22nd June 1842.

Read also Extra Petition No. 296 of 1842 presented by Cauroomoory Tantiah to the same effect as that in Petition No. 159 of 1842. This was recorded in the Courts Proceedings of the 22nd June 1842.

Read also the whole of the Proceedings connected with the case of the above Petitioners and received with the return of the Zillah Judge at Rajahmundry to the Courts Precept of the 20th April 1842 No. 390.

The Petitioner (in Extra Petitions No. 159 and 218 of 1842) complains of the Judge of Rajahmundry not having executed a Rauzeenamah which

which was regularly filed by both parties, the Public Pleaders on the grounds that the Defendant in the suit said, when called before the Collector to sign the Register Book that she did not make nor agree to the Rauzeenamah. This Statement was proved on respectable evidence to be false. The Court therefore consider Mr. Anstruther's order as quite irregular, for, when a party files a Rauzeenamah in a Zillah or any other Court. If once filed and a suit withdrawn, because a few days after, one party changes his or her mind, that is no reason that the Rauzeenamah so filed should be set a side.

The Zillah Judge to be ordered to execute the Rauzeenamah as a matter of course the summary record be returned.

Ordered that an extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Zillah Judge at Rajahmundry for

his information and guidance Precept returnable in 10 days.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ C. B. Glass.
Acting 2nd Judge for Register.

No. 2.

To G. A. Smith Esqre
Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

An Arzee from Coodoory Surramah Proprietor of Malakapilly Village.

Have on the 20th Instant at 6 O'Clock P. M. received your order of the 17th in No. 82 stating that upon your directing me to attend at your Huzzoor in order to get the said Village registered in your Office both in my name and in that of Cauroomoory Tautach Plaintiff, in case No. 676 of 1841 (wherein I was Defendant) according to the conditions of a Rauzeenamah filed by both of us in the Zillah of Masulipatam under date the 19th October 1841, as I addressed you an Arzee denying the execution of the said Rauzeenamah by myself and representing my unwillingness to agree to it

you

you have communicated the same to the Zillah Court, but as the Provincial Court have confirmed the Rauzeenamah upon the Plaintiff the said Tautiahs filing an appeal against the orders of the Zillah Court. I should in consequence attend at your Kuzzoor in order that both parties may sign the Register Book of your Office, but I beg leave to state briefly the following circumstances which would convince you that the Plaintiff and others fraudulently produced the Rauzeenamah &c.a

The late Proprietor of Malakapully Villages named Goondoo Venkatroydoo sold that Village to my husband Chettayah on the 1.st February 1833 for Rupees 8,500, and caused it to be registered in his name in the Office of the late Collector so that he may permanently enjoy it. While the Village has been thus under our enjoyment the said Goondoo Venkatroydoo with a view of depriving me of my property fabricated a fraudulent agreement as having been executed to him by my husband during the sale of the Village with certain conditions to wit

wit, That the Village should be returned to Venkatroydoo at the end of 10 years that if Venkatroydoo intends to take the Village within the term of these 10 years the Village should be transferred to his name upon his paying to my husband at 1000 Rupees per Annum for such period as may remain for the completion of that term, that if the Village be prepared for sale in any year or within the term, for arrears of revenue, the said Venkatroydoo should discharge that arrear in ready Cash and take possession of the Village, and in which case my husband should not demand from him the balance said to be due by him to that time. The said Venkatroydoo took an opportunity of getting this fraudulent agreement registered and then he transferred it to his father in law the said Plaintiff on 15.th November 1836. in satisfaction of a balance of Rupees 8258 which he pretended to be due from him to that person and thus brought

the

the matter into dispute. The nature of this agreement will (if considered) plainly shew as if this Village of Mulkapilly was merely given in rent for obtaining a loan. But you will plainly perceive by the Puttah given by the said Vencatroydoo to my husband as well as by the Register Book of your Office that, the said Village should be permanently enjoyed by us. Had it been agreed in the 1st instance that the said Village should be returned to Venkatroydoo after 10 years, why should he allow the Puttah and the Diary of your Cutcherry to specify that it should be enjoyed permanently. And the false agreement produced by the said plaintiff been really passed by my husband, there would be no reason whatever not to specify the circumstances thereof in our Puttah as well as in the Diary of your Office or at least why was an Arzee not presented to the late Collector on the subject of the said Agreement. With respect to the condition contained in the said fraudulent Agreement produced by the

the Plaintiff that if the Village be prepared (at the end of any year during the term for sale for satisfying the arrear he (the said Venkatroydoo) should liquidate that arrear and take possession of the Village himself it is to be observed that if the Village would accordingly fall in arrear in the 1st Year only by the adversity of the season and, the said Venkatroydoo would pay the arrear and take possession of the Village. We should then give up not only the Village, our rightful property, but a large sum of balance due to us, consequently, it is trusted you will find that such a condition, as it is pretended that my husband had entered into, could never have been proposed even by foolish people. In fusly 1249, the Village was almost prepared for sale for arrears of Revenue, and if the agreement was really passed, why did not the Plaintiff, in whose favor that fraudulent Agreement had been transferred, then propose to the Collector to pay the balance of arrear and take possession of

of the Village according to the conditions contained in the said agreement under these circumstances the said Goondoo Venctroydoo fraudulently caused the said agreement to be drawn out and attested by his own Servants and relations but it never really was executed.

2. The Plaintiff and the said Goondo Vencatraydoo have both fabricated as if the latter owed to the former Rupees 8258 and transferred him my Village on the said date under the fraudulent Agreement in question, but the Plaintiff was never possessed of such wealth as to lend so many thousand Rupees had the Plaintiff really obtained the transfer of the said Agreement on the said date and had Goondoo Venkatraydoo owed him so large a sum he would have ascertained the reality of that voucher and settled that business through the means of some Public authority or through me, because I am the rightful owner of the Village instead of his obtaining a mere transfer and not mentioning any thing of it for these five years consequently you

you will perceive that the Plaintiff is now desirous to deprive me of my property (for I am a woman) by combining with certain persons (and others) who addressed you on the 12th October 1841. It is further to be observed that the Plaintiff at first presented an extra petition to Mr Glass the late Judge in A. 1572 of 1841 claiming the whole Village; and if this claim was not a fraudulent one, why should he further cause a Petition to be presented in my name (by keeping my enemies as witnesses) to Mr Hickens in charge of the Court, who was a protector to him (Plaintiff) and the said Goondoo Venkatarydoo, by the same pleader who had presented the false Razeenamah, pretending that he, the Plaintiff owed me Rupees 1000, and that he gave me for that sum one fourth of the said Village which would be valued at upwards of Rupees 3000, being the part of Rupees 13000, and odd, for the whole Village, that would accrue including the interest for the amount of transfer he obtained, Viz.t. Rupees 8258 from the date of such transfer to the date of his claim. although no regular suit was filed against me Mr Glass issued me a notice, Contrary

contrary to the Regulations to submit myself to the said irregular petition. and then Mr Hichens in charge of the Court gave more irregular orders. All these circumstances I have immediately addressed to you and at last Mr Anstruther who was appointed as Judge rejected those two petitions, and ordered to file a regular suit. After which the Plaintiff again pretended that the amount due to me was Rupees 36-14-"- and that I agreed to receive this amount. The Plaintiff and the said Goondoo Venkatarroydoo Ver also took from me the Puttah promising that they would return it to me after shewing to Mr Hichens, and threatening me with imprisonment by Mr Hichens if I refused to give it. They did not thereupon return me the Puttah but inserted (as they pleased) on the back of it that I have transferred the whole Village. besides this the Plaintiff stated in the complaint that I executed him another documents on a stampt paper transferring him the whole of the Village. Previous to the filing of this Complaint I had addressed you an Argee stating that they not only took away from me the Puttah in question. but purchased stampt papers

papers in my name in order to fabricate some documents, and that you should take care and inform it to the higher authorities. The Plaintiff stated in the Complaint that he owes me only Rupees 36-14-" but in order to conceal his fraud he (and others) caused to be fraudulently filed a Rangeenamah (attested by my enemies) by the said Pleader four days after the institution of the Complaint before I received any Summons from the Court pretending that one fourth of the Village with two Pottahs of Land should be held by me and the other three fourths of the Village by the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff stated in the Plaint that I have written on the back of the Puttah and executed a fresh Puttah transferring him the whole Village and as he would have got some persons to attest upon these papers, the Court did not order the Counter questions to be proposed on my behalf in examining those witnesses as well as the Witnesses who attested on the Rangeenamah consequently if a further trial of these Witnesses be ordered and Counter questions be put on my part, the whole fraud of the Plaintiff the said Goondoo Venkatarroydoo.

Venkatarooydoo and the Witnesses will be very plain to any authorities.—

3. The Plaintiff pretends that the agreement which Gorroo Venkatarooydoo received from my husband with certain circumstances of the Puttah granted to us during the purchase of the Village, is the one which he now forged for supporting his claim but this is not the case. The agreement passed by my husband not being of great consequence was really drawn upon common paper under date the 1st February 1833 to the following effect. As the said Gorroo Venkatarooydoo fell in arrear Rupees 5,000 against his Chagulloo and Durmavarum and the said Mulkapilly the latter were attached and prepared for sale when he sold to my husband the said Mulkapilly for 8,500 Rupees that is 3,500 due to my husband from him and 5,000 Rupees to be paid to the Circar. but he (Venkatarooydoo) proposed to my husband that he would apply to the then Collector to pay one thousand of the said five thousand Rupees by some instalments and that as the Collector will receive the whole balance if he understands the

the real amount of purchase of Mulkapilly, the Puttah should be executed for 7,500 Rupees only (including the said former balance) leaving the other Rupees 1000 to be paid to him separately. My husband accordingly agreed to it, received a Puttah for the said amount and after deducting the said balance of 3500 Rupees, sent the other 4,000 Rupees into the Treasury of the Collector in Cash and passed the aforementioned Private Sunnud or agreement, on Common paper, to pay to Venkatarooydoo within two Months the remainder Rupees 1000 in question.

The then Collector immediately finding that Gorroo Venkatarooydoo had received the private agreement for the said Rupees 1000 ordered the sale of the Chagulloo, and Durmavarum Estates, and in the mean time, my husband, at the earnest request of the said Venkatarooydoo, caused his paternal Uncle Vamoolapilly Roydoo to present a Sunnud to the Collector in the Month of February 1833 for payment of the said

said 1000 Rupees within a short time and received back from him (Venkatarayadoo) his private Sunnud or agreement above alluded to my husband formerly told me that he had paid the said money within the time agreed but the Sunnud was still in the Collectors Office for he had not received it. While the circumstances of the agreement of my husband was thus settled the Plaintiff now troubles me under a forged Agreement.—

4. Although the said Goonoo Venkatarayadoo and the Plaintiff knew that under a Precept from the Provincial Court (that a Village cannot be subdivided into portions under Regulation I of 1819) the Zillah Court struck off their file the Case No. 1076 of 1831 wherein a Suit was filed between the said Goonoo Venkatarayadoo and Woopoo Venkatachellum Puntoolu for a fifth share of the Totaly Tudoor Village, yet you will perceive that they deceived the Court by laying a claim for four shares in a Village.

5. As I have presented a Motion to

to the Court in No. 67 of 1842 regarding the above circumstances and previously to the filing of the said Complaint and Rauzeenamah addressed you since Orgees on the 30th September - 2d. 3d and 12th October 12th November and 6th December 1841, and as you were so good as to state in your Return to the Court regarding the fraud committed by the Plaintiff &c. the Court took the Case into consideration cancelled the Rauzeenamah ordered the Suit already filed to be carried on, and then issued me the Summons regarding the said Complaint - I have accordingly filed the answer - The Plaintiff upon being called for reply did not make his appearance but presented to the Provincial Court in the Northern Division the Extra Petition as above; I likewise presented a Counter Petition to it but the Provincial Court erroneously approved the said false Rauzeenamah to my misfortune notwithstanding my statement was proved by the record

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Adalut of the 20th October 1841 to the orders contained in which you are required to conform returning this Precept executed or good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed and what you may have done in pursuance hereof within one Month from and after its receipt --

(L.S.) Given under my hand and the Seal of the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry this 28th day of October 1841. -

/ Signed T. A. Anstruther
A.J. Judge.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Adalut of the Zillah of Masulipatam at Rajahmundry. -

Dated 26th October 1841.

Read Extra Petition No 1998 of 1841. presented by Cauvamony Santiah the Plaintiff in Suit No 675 of 1841 of this Court's file and an inhabitant of Mundapetta --

The Petitioner referring to the Rauzeenamah filed between himself & Kodoory Sawamy Chetty the Defendant in this Suit, wherein the latter agreed to give him three fourths of the Village of Mulkapilly and retain one fourth herself, prays that the Collector of Rajahmundry may be requested to make a registry to this effect and to

Consider

consider both parties as joint proprietors of this Village the Petitioner concludes with a prayer to be furnished with copies of certain documents and that certain documents which he filed in this Court may be returned to him --

Ordered that a copy of this Petition together with a copy of the Rauzeenamah referred to the Collector of Rajahmundry with a request that he will be pleased to comply with the prayer of the Petitioner if he see no objections and if he has any objections that he will state them. The Copies and the documents filed in the Record are to be furnished to the Petitioner.

Ordered that an Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry with a precept requiring conformity thereto and returnable within one Month from and after its receipt. -

/ True Extract /

/ Signed T. A. Anstruther
Acting Judge.

In reply to the exigence of this Precept dated the 28th and the Extract from the Proceedings of the Adalut Court at Rajahmundry which accompanied it dated the 26th Ultimo requiring him, to comply with the prayer of Cauvamony Santiah, Plaintiff in Suit No 675 of 1841 of the said Court's file to the effect that agreeably to a Rauzeenamah filed between himself and

record and issued a Precept to the zillah Court under date 1st August 1842 to put that Rangeenamah into execution - By this I trust you will perceive that I have experienced a great injustice --

6. I have written two letters to my Pleader Solaty Poorshottamiah to present a motion to the Provincial Court to give me an Extract of their Proceedings and to suspend the execution of their orders until three months, under the Circular order of the Sudder Court dated 6th January 1836 - so that I may make an Appeal to the Court of Sudder Udalut. I have also prepared the Drafts of my Petition &c to the Court of Sudr Udalut so that I may forward them when I receive the Extract from the Proceedings from the Provincial Court; as it is their duty to favor me with the same and to postpone the execution of their orders in such a Case. The Plaintiff and the said Gowroo Venkatarayaroo committed this irregularity towards me, in concert with my enemies, because I am a helpless female, and not a learned person nor acquainted with the justice. I only used to address you occasionally as I have not

not any protection and you are also fully aware of the fraud committed by the Plaintiff &c in this case. It is not proper to make me only obtain injustice during the authority of the Honorable Company by causing me to sign upon the Register book (as directed in your order) according to a fraudulent Rangeenamah (allowing me only a fourth share of the Village) which was annulled by the zillah Court with directions to the Plaintiff to carry on the regular suit filed by him for the whole Village, and I am accordingly bound to defend this suit while the Plaintiff is exerting to get the false Rangeenamah confirmed as his fraud will be discovered in the trial if he carry on the suit - By this only you will perceive that I should obtain Justice in preference to the Plaintiff in this Case. I therefore humbly request you will be pleased to communicate all the foregoing circumstances to the zillah Court and higher authorities with your recommendation for redress - I make the present address for your information, as I am unable to attend myself on the spot from Cold - Please to peruse dated 21st August 1842 -

Marked. Sarvamah
(True Translation)
(Signed) G. A. Smith Collector

No. 3.

Masulipatam zillah Court of Udalut.
C. P. No 199 of 1841.
Carrimoosy Tautiah Plaintiff in Suit
No 675 of 1841. G. & T. Petitioner

To The Collector of Rajahmundry

Herewith you will receive an Extract

10744 Fort St. George 22nd. September 1842.

and Kooroory Soorummah, the defendant in the Suit in question, the latter having agreed to give him three fourths of the Village of Mulkapully and retain one fourth herself the necessary registry may be made to that effect and both parties be considered as joint proprietors of the above Village the Collector in the zillah of Rajahmundry begs leave to observe that no apportionment of the Permanent assessment of the said Village can be effected on the portions of the three fourths and one fourth there of respectively as no subdivision is authorized to be made in the case of a single Village with reference to the provisions of section II Regulation I of 1879 but there appears no objection to the said Village being registered in the names of the said two parties as the joint Proprietors of it.—

(L.S.)

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Collectorate at Samulcottah this 3rd. day of November A. D. 1841.

(Signed) G. A. Smith Collr.

Masulipatam Zillah Court of Adalut.

E. P. No. 2320 of 1840. Presented by Cauvemoory Tautiah the Plaintiff in Suit No. 676 of 1841 Petitioner.

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Herewith you will receive an Extract

10745 Fort St. George 22nd. September 1842

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Adalut of yesterday's date, to the orders contained in which you are required to conform, returning this Receipt executed or good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed and what you may have done in pursuance hereof within 20 days from and after it's receipt.—

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry this 26th. November 1841

(Signed) T. A. Anstruther

2d. Judge.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Adalut of the Zillah of Masulipatam at Rajahmundry dated 25th. November 1841.—

Read Extra Petition No. 2320 of 1841 presented by Cauvemoory Tautiah, the Plaintiff in Suit No. 676 of 1841, of the Court's file.—

The Petitioner states that on his applying to the Court for the enforcement of the Panjeenamah, the Court wrote a letter to the Collector of Rajahmundry to register the Village as the joint property of himself and the Defendant and he heard that the Collector reported that he would do so that the Defendant may have probably made some misrepresentation to the Collector which induced the Collector to tell the Petitioner's Vakeel that he would not register the Village unless the Defendant

appeared

Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

appeared before him: that had the Defendant not filed the Rauzeenamah voluntarily, she would not have given the Petitioner the original Pottah regarding the Village that was in her possession. She moreover caused the Rauzeenamah to be filed by an authorized Vakeel of the Court and likewise obtained a copy thereof.

The Petitioner concludes with a prayer that the Court will be pleased to write again to the Collector to register the Village agreeably to the Rauzeenamah.

Read again Return of Collector of Rajahmundry under date the 3d instant, to the Courts Precept of the 28th Ultimo signifying his willingness to register the Village of Mullapilly in the names of Cauroomory Tauliah and Codoory Suwamah, the Plaintiff and Defendant in this Suit, as joint Proprietors, but declining to comply with the other part of the Courts request being opposed to Regulation I of 1819.

The Pleader for the Petitioner having been informed of the above Return from the Collector expressed his willingness on behalf of his client to have the joint registry as specified by the Collector.

The Court resolve to forward to the Collector a copy of this Petition, and to

Fort St. George 22d September 1842

to request he will be pleased to make the necessary registry in the joint names of Cauroomory Tauliah and Codoory Suwamah.

Ordered likewise that an Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry with a Precept requiring conformity thereto and returnable within 20 days from and after its receipt.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. W. Anstruther

Act. Judge.

In reply to the exigence of this Precept of 26th and the Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Adalut at Rajahmundry of 25th Ultimo which accompanied it, the Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry begs leave to state that on its receipt he issued a Notice to Codoory Suwamah and Cauroomory Tauliah requiring their attendance at his Cutcherry either in person or by Vakeel to attest by their signature the act of joint registry in the Books of his Office and he now begs leave to submit copy of the reply of Codoory Suwamah denying all knowledge of the Rauzeenamah, and declaring it to have been fraudulently filed without her knowledge, and that she has not transferred to Cauroomory Tauliah any of her right in the Mullapilly Estate.

No. 2320 of 1841. Dated 6th Dec. 1841.

In the Petition presented to the Court Cauroomory Tauliah has declared that the

collector

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Rupees 1,676, the amount stated in my letter
letter of 13th. June last, Viz.

The Monthly amount last Sanctioned	Rs. 2,466	A. 8	P. 0
Deduct Do. now proposed	1,676	0	0
Net Decrease	790	8	0

I therefore, beg leave to request that the said mistake may be rectified.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collr. Office,
Cocanada, 10th Septr. 1842.
/Signed/ G. A. Smith,
Collr.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To
The Acting Chief Secretary
to Government.

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

In Consn. 29th Augt. 1842.

(26-27)

Sir

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th August last No. 998, sanctioning the Establishment proposed to be maintained in the Rajahmundry District for Fusly 1252, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to point out that the sum therein sanctioned for the Proprietary and Minor Estates under Management is 1,616 Rupees a Month, and as this appears to be a mistake for Rupees 1,676. the Board request that amended sanction may now be granted for the latter amount.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
22d. September 1842.
/Signed/ J. D. Boundillon
Secy.

Read

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Read the following letter.

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry

(28)

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 7th Instant, I beg leave to state that as I cannot conscientiously sign the Deed of Kaueenamah prepared by Mr. Arbuthnot, in the case of the prosecution brought against me in the Provincial Court by the late Godey Sooria Pracajs-arow, the further correspondence noted in the Margin, has passed with Government, and I have requested that my whole conduct in the case, together with all the correspondence and papers connected with it, may be laid specially before the Honorable Court of Directors, and in the meantime, the defence of the suit, which was commenced under the sanction of Government of 14th July 1841, must continue at my own risk.

To the Chief Secy. to Government dated 23d. June 1842.	
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 12th July — Do.	
To the Chief Secretary to Govt. 26th — Do.	Do.
From the acting Secy. to Government 30th August — Do.	
To the Chief Secy. to Government 12th Septr. — Do.	

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Office
Cocanada, 12th Septr. 1842.
/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

ordered

10752 Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

Ordered that the following address be Submitted with the foregoing letter and the correspondence therein referred to.—

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.—

To the acting Chief Secry to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for Submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the Margin, regarding the refusal of the Collector of Rajahmundry to file a Kauzeenamah in a Suit brought against him in his official capacity; which Kauzeenamah has been approved and confirmed by this Board and Government.—

(28-29)

From the acting Coll.r of Vizagapatam dated 8th Inst. in Cons.n 14th Sept.r

To Coll.r Rajamundry September 1st

From Dp. Dp. Sept.r 12th in Cons.n 22d

+To Acting Chief Secry November 4th 1841. Encl.s a/c Minutes of Cons.n Nov.r 16th in Cons.n 2 Jan.y 1842. To Chief Secry April 28th 1842. Encl.s a/c Minutes of Cons.n June 7th in Cons.n 9th

2.

It will be perceived from Mr. Smollett's letter that the Plaintiff complains and is impatient at being thus kept out of rights, which have been accorded to her by Government, but as Mr. Smith's language is not positive, there appear to be only two courses of proceeding open, Viz.t either to compel him by a peremptory order, to perform the official act, as required of him by Govt. under the recommendation of the Board, or to leave him to defend the Suit at his own sole risk, making him clearly understand that however serious the pecuniary consequences may ultimately prove, he is not to expect any aid from Government to meet them.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d September, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Boudillon
Secy.

Read.

10753 Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.—

Sir,

From the Collector of Guntoor.—

(30)

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement, No 1, shewing the amount of Offers received for the abkarry Rents, and another, No 2, for Sundry small farms and Licenses, for fusly 1252, and to Request the Board's Sanction for accepting the same.—

Guntoor Coll.r Cutcherry 12th September 1842.

(signed) H. Stokes
Collector.—

Statement

Statement Shewing the Amount of offers re
the Fusly 1252 in the Zillah

Number of Tanahs	Names of Tanahs	Number of Stations	Names of Stations	
1	Guntoor Tanah	20	All Villages	
2	Ruttepaud Do	1	Vunghee poorum	
		1	Ruttepaud	
		1	Annaverum	
		1	Annapurtoo	
		1	Peda Nundepaud	
		1	Woolpoo toor	
		1	Caucoonnaunoo	
		1	Juggapooram	
		1	Dentarapaud	
		1	Peda Chercoor	
		1	Gollapoody	
		1	Woonnavah	
		1	Condapaustoor	
		1	Ralloor	
		1	Alpapooram	
		1	Vutty Chercoor	
		1	Caurempoodypaud	
		1	Advoolumully	
		1	Enagulloo	
		1	Davarapully	
		1	Mooloocoodoor	
		1	Appecutlah	
	22			
3	Nizampatam Do	4	All Villages	26
4	Poloor Do	14	All Villages	842
5	Innacondah Do	1	Yalechoor	341
		1	Toorromullah	14
		1	Naidepollem	
		1	Cotcherlah	13
		1	Cottaloor	
		1	Boggarum	22
		1	Bommaravuzpully	

Statement Shewing the amount of offers received for the abkairy Statins for the Fusly 1252 in the Zillah of Guntoor.

Number of Tanahs	Names of Tanahs	Number of Statins	Names of Statins	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
				Rs ⅄ ℘	Rs ⅄ ℘	Rs ⅄ ℘	Rs ⅄ ℘	
1	Guntoor Tanah	20	All Villages	2690 " "	3200 " "	510 " "	" " "	
2	Ruttepaud Dº	1	Vungheepoorum					
		1	Ruttepaud					
		1	Annaverum					
		1	Annaputtoo					
		1	Peda Nundepaud					
		1	Woodpootoor	162 4 "	168 4 "	6 " "	" " "	
		1	Cancoormaunoo					
		1	Juggapooram					
		1	Dentanapaud					
		1	Peda Chercoor					
		1	Gollapoody					
		1	Woonnavah					
		1	Condapauloor					
		1	Ralloor					
		1	Alpaporam					
		1	Vully Chercoor	22 " "	23 4 "	1 4 "	" " "	
		1	Cauvempoodypaud					
		1	Adoosoomully					
		1	Cragullco					
		1	Davarapully					
		1	Moolocoodoor	74 " "	85 " "	11 " "	" " "	
		1	Appecutlah	9 " "	9 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	
	22			267 4 "	286 " "	18 12 "	" " "	
3	Nizampatam Dº	4	All Villages	842 4 "	880 4 "	38 " "	" " "	
4	Poloor Dº	14	All Villages	341 14 "	353 14 "	12 " "	" " "	
5	Innacondah Dº	1	Yalechoor	14 12 "	19 12 "	5 " "	" " "	
		1	Toorromellah					
		1	Naidepollem	13 12 "	14 4 "	" 8 "	" " "	
		1	Cotcherlah	22 " "	22 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	
		1	Cottaloor					
		1	Boggarum	22 " "	23 " "	1 " "	" " "	
		1	Bommaraujepully					
		1	Gundyanoorvollah	21 " "	22 8 "	1 8 "	" " "	
		1	Vellaloor	120 " "	121 8 "	1 8 "	" " "	
		1	Gunnavarum					
		1	Bellapully					
		1	Gunnavarum					

Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

				Fo 1						
1	Cotcherlah									
1	Cottaloor	22	"	"	22	8	"	8	"	"
1	Boggarum									
1	Bommaraujepully	22	"	"	23	"	"	1	"	"
1	Gundeganoormoollah	21	"	"	22	8	"	18	"	"
1	Vellaloor									
1	Gunnaviarum	120	"	"	121	8	"	18	"	"
1	Bollapully									
1	Agreegoondalah	8	12	"	9	"	"	4	"	"
1	Gundlapollem									
1	Rompecherlah	35	12	"	37	12	"	2	"	"
1	Epoor									
1	Woodzerlah	8	"	"	8	8	"	8	"	"
1	Coonealagoontah									
1	Enoormellah	14	"	"	15	"	"	1	"	"
1	Chazerlah									
1	Inavole	6	"	"	6	8	"	8	"	"
1	Yanovgapollem	8	4	"	8	4	"	"	"	"
1	Innacondah	93	4	"	93	4	"	"	"	"
1	Pedacuncherlah									
23		387	8	"	401	12	"	14	4	"

10755

Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
6 Bellumcondah Tanah	1	Anuntavarum			
	1	Tuckellapaud	46 " "	46 10 "	10 " "
	1	Gollapaud	148 "	1414 "	6 " "
	1	Santoolloor	12 " "	12 4 "	4 " "
	1	Paulapaud	58 8 "	58 12 "	4 " "
	1	Cancany	4 " "	5 " "	1 " "
	1	Nursarompettah	155 " "	155 4 "	4 " "
	1	Nacrikul			
	1	Goondlapully	104 " "	104 8 "	8 " "
	1	Cundepaud	12 8 "	13 " "	8 " "
	10		406 8 "	410 4 "	3 12 "
7 Condaveed Dr	1	Condaveed			
	1	Canoparroo	25 5 "	25 13 "	8 " "
	1	Govindapooram			
	1	Sunkooratypaud			
	1	Noodiopaud	31 " "	39 " "	8 " "
	1	Ferungepooram			
	1	Madeendoor	18 " "	19 " "	1 " "
	1	Naudendla			
	1	Yedlapaud	27 5 "	27 9 "	4 " "
	1	Vudhapully in the Sutrami Pully	26 12 "	26 12 "	" " "
	1	Maudalah			
	1	Tondapy			
	12		128 6 "	138 2 "	9 12 "
8 Datchapilly Dr	1	Chintapully			
	1	Peda Garlapaud			
	1	Andegoppoola			
	1	Caurcurpoody	78 12 "	78 12 "	" " "
	1	Pydepaud			
	1	Mellamagoo			
	1	Peda Codamagoondlah			
	1	Punaly			
	1	Manchaverum			
	1	Mullavole	113 8 "	113 8 "	" " "
	1	Caumapully			
	1	Joolamullvo			
	1	Goottecondah			
	1	Pedigural	97 8 "	97 8 "	" " "
	1	Chautoo Camapully			
	1	Ragoolaguddah	46 " "	46 " "	" " "
	1	Valumpully	75 8 "	75 8 "	" " "
	1	Datchapully			
	1	Tungadah	45 " "	39 " "	6 " "
	1	Ramoygoodum			
	20		456 4 "	450 4 "	6 " "

10756

Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

			Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
9 Timmercottah Tanah	1	Paulvoy	33	0	0	33	0	0						
	1	Wooppalapaud	178	0		178	0							
	1	Gottepollah	41	0		41	0							
	1	Doggy	64	0		64	0							
	1	Polapully	30	0		30	0							
	1	Goorjalah												
	1	Cherlagoodepaud	57	4		57	4							
	1	Gogoolapaud												
	1	Timmerecottah	58	0		58	0							
	1	Puswamoolah	155	0		155	0							
	1	Mauchertah	102	0		102	0							
	1	Royavarum	298	0		298	0							
	1	Toomurapully	33	0		33	0							
	1	Gottemootoolah	588	0		588	0							
	1	Mullavarum	438	0		438	0							
	1	Pootopully	658	0		658	0							
	1	Naikoonambadah	268	0		268	0							
	1	Pondigul	398	0		398	0							
	1	Munchiculloo	248	0		248	0							
	1	Samaravazepoorum												
	20		8784	0		8784	0							
10 China Ganjam &c	1	Cpoorpollem	304	0		352	12		48	12				
	1	&c Wadaravoo												
	1	China Ganjam												
	1	Peda Ganjam	188	0		198	0		10	0				
	1	Cudwacoodoor												
	1	Muttagoontah												
	6		492	0		530	12		58	12				

10757 || Fort St. George 22d September 1842

		Rs	ct	ø	Rs	ct	ø	Rs	ct	ø	Rs	ct	ø
11 Coorapaud Tanahe-	1 Coorapaud	6	8	"	10	8	"	4	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Comperlapaud	8	"	"	8	8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
	1 Ponnecullo												
	1 Navaliculloo	7	8	"	7	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Atchennapettah	11	7	8	11	8	8	1	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Cheyoorpaud	16	"	"	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Conoor	20	"	"	20	8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
	1 Chintapully	10	"	"	10	8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
	1 Ravalah	2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Dedvogoo	7	8	"	7	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Munnasultanpolliem	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Motadatah	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Luckarauze garlapaud	8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13		211	"	"	217	8	"	6	8	"	"	"	"
12 Baupetlah Do:	1 Paralah	256	"	"	258	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Yazaly												
	1 Booddam	125	"	"	132	"	"	7	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Aursombakah	33	8	"	34	8	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Chundole	118	"	"	121	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Pootoomerkah	86	"	"	88	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Baupetlah cari Moodooga Moottah												
	1 Garden of Poolegueddah Nunderauze	220	"	"	222	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
	1 Etaroo												
10 Chuna Ganjam Do:	1 Curlapollem												
	1 Mauchavarum												
	1 Paraly	66	8	"	68	"	"	1	8	"	"	"	"
	1 Ganapavarum												
	1 Cherkoomully	16	"	"	17	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
14	Carried forward	921	"	"	940	8	"	19	8	"	"	"	"

10760

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
14 Rauchoot Tanahy	1	Soorapully	59 " "	59 " "	" " "	" " "
	1	Volaroo				
	1	Chutraguddah				
	1	Bhutteprole				
	1	Addapully				
	1	Ilavarum				
	1	Reypully				
	1	Peda Poolleurroo				
	1	Caurroomoot				
	1	Pedaluntiah				
	1	Pamedimuttoo				
	1	Gorega poody	564 14 "	565 14 "	1 " "	" " "
	1	Cavega tam				
	1	Vesuaswarum				
	1	Chavaly				
	1	Penoomaody				
	1	Wooppoody				
	1	Travapully				
	1	Caumaraunze guddah				
	1	Doonapoody				
	1	Goondlapully				
	1	Batapoody				
	1	Nulloor				
	1	Roune Avuntavarem	22 8 "	22 8 "	" " "	" " "
	1	Rauchoor	13 " "	14 " "	1 " "	" " "
	1	Isakapully	15 4 "	15 4 "	" " "	" " "
	1	China Avuntavarem				
	1	Peddavarum	1 8 "	1 8 "	" " "	" " "
	1	Allamoody	2 " "	2 " "	" " "	" " "
29			678 2 "	680 2 "	2 " "	" " "
262			11,714 3 "	12,610 5 "	902 2 "	6 " "
				Deduct Decrease		6 " "
				Net Increase		896 2 "

Guntoor, Collectors' Cutcherry, 12th. September, 1842. E. E. Jr. (Signed) H. Stokes. Collector.

Statement.

10759

Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

		R	A	P	R	A	P	R	A	P
18	Brought forward	344	0	0	407	0	0	63	0	0
1	Mellempoody	3	8	0	4	0	0	0	8	0
1	Vadhamannoo	5	0	0	5	12	0	0	12	0
1	Nundevulgoo	11	0	0	13	0	0	2	0	0
1	Goodewadah	19	5	0	21	13	0	2	8	0
1	Toomboor	74	0	0	78	0	0	4	0	0
1	Vadlamoody	81	0	0	86	0	0	5	0	0
1	Taudapully	109	8	0	141	8	0	32	0	0
1	Chirravoor									
1	Vullabahpooram	40	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0
1	Penoomakah	23	0	0	23	8	0	8	0	0
1	Mundadum	10	8	0	11	0	0	0	8	0
1	Peta. Mungalagherry	312	0	0	337	2	0	25	2	0
1	Nombor									
1	Parakalapoody									
1	China Cawany									
1	Autoocoor									
1	Sroongarapand	4	0	0	4	8	0	0	8	0
1	Batapoody	28	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0
1	Roypoody									
1	Lingayapollem	9	8	0	12	0	0	2	8	0
1	Dooggeralah	15	0	0	18	0	0	3	0	0
39		1063	13	0	1205	11	0	141	14	0

10758

Fort St. George 22d September 1842.--

		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
14	Brought forward	921	0	0	940	8	0	19	8	0
1	Pullaputlah	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
1	Poodewadah	245	0	0	247	0	0	2	0	0
1	Cauvoor	16	0	0	17	0	0	1	0	0
1	Nagrum	63	0	0	64	0	0	1	0	0
1	Serepoody	90	0	0	91	0	0	1	0	0
1	Bauperlah	165	0	0	165	0	0	0	0	0
1	Ruggem	36	0	0	37	0	0	1	0	0
1	Ventapollem, Aunoormully-Nainipully, Sunlaravoor and Motoopully	445	0	0	448	0	0	3	0	0
1	Cottaravoo a hamlet of Nainipully									
1	Garden situated in the Travellers Bungalow at Bauputtah	33	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
1	Bataspoody	16	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0
1	Coochenapoody	254	0	0	263	0	0	6	0	0
1	Balsvolapalum	86	0	0	92	0	0	6	0	0
1	Alloor	40	0	0	42	0	0	2	0	0
1	Paravaly	70	0	0	76	0	0	6	0	0
1	Aduuldeeny	378	0	0	46	0	0	38	0	0
30		2871	0	0	2957	8	0	868	0	0
13 Chabrole Tanahs	1 Peda Vudlapoody	33	8	0	35	0	0	1	8	0
	X Toommapoody	21	0	0	31	0	0	10	0	0
	1 Pedapurroo	25	8	0	30	0	0	4	8	0
	1 Cooppootaurvoor	6	8	0	7	8	0	1	0	0
	1 Goodemadah	20	0	0	26	0	0	6	0	0
	1 Nedamurroo	23	0	0	28	0	0	5	0	0
	1 Cristnayapollem									
	1 Venkatapollem	15	0	0	15	8	0	8	0	0
	1 Ewany	42	8	0	50	0	0	7	8	0
	1 Ippatum									
	1 Peda Cawany	38	0	0	44	8	0	6	8	0
	1 Chaybrole	26	8	0	28	8	0	2	0	0
	1 Lam	1	0	0	18	0	8	0	0	0
	1 Toolloor	18	8	0	18	8	0	0	0	0
	1 Cazah	23	0	0	41	0	0	18	0	0
	1 Numboor									
	1 Amaravutty	50	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0
	1 Vycoondapoorum									
18	Carried forward	344	0	0	407	0	0	63	0	0

10761

10761 Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

No 2. Statement Shewing the amount of Offers received for the Sundry Small Farms and Licenses for Fusly 1252 in the Zillah of Guntoor.—

Sundry Farms	Names of Farms	Number of Stations	Names of Stations	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1.	Guntoor Tanah	9.	All the Villages	60 " "	96 " "	36 " "	" " "	The decrease shewn in this Statement is chiefly attributable to the abolition of the tax "Boota-pooshy". —
2.	Puttlepaud Dr	1	Annavarum	4 " "	2 4 "	" " "	1 12 "	
		1.	Mooloocoodooroo	105 " "	103 " "	" " "	2 " "	
		2.		109 " "	105 4 "	" " "	3 12 "	
3.	Nezampatam Dr	4	All of the Villages	866 " "	912 " "	46 " "	" " "	
4.	Pellore Dr	14	All of the Villages including Rajahpattah &c.a	153 2 "	144 1 "	" " "	9 1 "	
5.	Innacondah	1.	Naidepollem	9 " "	9 " "	" " "	" " "	
		1.	Yalechvoo	1 4 "	" " "	" " "	1 4 "	
		1.	Tooroomellah					
		1.	Cotcherlah	13 " "	12 8 "	" " "	" 8 "	
		1.	Cottaloor					
		1.	Vellatoor	52 " "	50 8 "	" " "	1 8 "	
		1.	Gunnavarum					
		1.	Bollapully	12 " "	13 " "	1 " "	" " "	
		1.	Agnagoondalah					
		1.	Gundlapollem					
		1.	Rompecherlah	1 4 "	" " "	" " "	1 4 "	
		1.	Epoor	8 " "	8 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	
		1.	Poodejerlah					
		1.	Innacondah	1 " "	" " "	" " "	1 " "	
		1.	Peddacuncherlah					

	15.		97	8 u	93	8 u	1	8 u	5 8 u
6. Bellum condah.	1.	Anantavarum	8	u u	4	u u	u	u u	4 u u
	1.	Tuckallapaud							
	1.	Gollaupaud	2	u u	1	u u	u	u u	1 u u
	1.	Sauctoo loor	2	u u	1	u u	u	u u	1 u u
	1.	Paulapaud	6	u u	3	u u	u	u u	3 u u
	1.	Nursarampettah	20	u u	10	u u	u	u 10	10 u u
	1.	Nacricul	10	8 u	5	½ u	u	u u	5 ½ u
	1.	Goondalapully							
	1.	Cundepaud	2	u u	1	u u	u	u u	1 u u
	9.		50	8 u	25	½ u	u	u u	25 ½ u

10762 Fort St. George 22 September 1842.

7 Condaveed Tanah	1	Condaveed										
	1	Canoopurroo	2	7	1	10					13	
	1	Govinda poorum										
	1	Sun Koora try paud										
	1	Noodooroo paud	4	1	3	7					10	
	1	Tierungepoorum										
	1	Made condoor	2		1	11					5	
	1	Naudendlah										
	1	Yeddla paud	1	9	1	4					5	
	1	Yuddhanully in the Suttana pully	3	8	2	5				1	3	
	1	Tondapy										
	1	Hilla Chintaloo &c.a	156	4	153	4	2					
	12		169	13	168	9	2			3	4	
8 Datchapully Tanah	1	Chintapully										
	1	Kudegopoola										
	1	Caurempoody	3	4						3	4	
	1	Mullavangoo										
	1	Penedepaud										
	1	Peddacodoomagoondala										
	1	Punnaly										
	1	Manchavarum										
	1	Caumapully	5							5		
	1	Goolaculloo										
	1	Goottecondah										
	1	Pedigural		8							8	
	1	Rungoolaguddu		8							8	
	1	Datchapully		8							8	
	1	Tungadah		8							8	
	15		10	4						10	4	

10763

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

| | | |

10764

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

12 Baupettah Tanah	1	Baupettah Mootah											
	1	Nerzampatam Do.	228	"	"	233	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Paralah Do.											
	1	Yazaly											
	1	Booddam	105	"	"	106	8	"	1	8	"	"	"	"
	1	Curoombakah	28	8	"	27	8	"	"	"	"	1	"	"
	1	Chundole	117	"	"	120	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Edoopully	90	"	"	91	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Batapoody	3	"	"	3	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"
	1	Potoomerkah	117	"	"	117	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Baupettah Carimodooga Mootah												
	1	Garden of Poolegueddah Mundeyrauze												
	1	Curlapollem	130	"	"	132	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Marchavaram												
	1	Bharatapoody												
	1	Pairlee	16	"	"	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Ganapavaram												
	1	Cherkoonully	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Pullaputtah	9	"	"	10	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Poodevadah	161	"	"	161	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Cauroor	22	"	"	22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Nagrum	48	"	"	48	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Serepoody	55	"	"	55	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Baupettah	340	"	"	340	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Pruggem	36	"	"	36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Ventapollem annoamilly Nainepully Suntaravoor and Mootoopully	100	"	"	100	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Garden situated in the Travellers Bangalow at Baupettah	23	"	"	23	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Coochanapoody	155	"	"	155	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Bulsoolapollem	154	"	"	154	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Alloor	40	"	"	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Pedapully	25	"	"	30	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
	1	Chundole &c. Villages	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35	"	"
	1	Adrutdeesy	170	"	"	170	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	33		2517	8	"	2500	4	"	18	12	"	36	"	"

10765

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

13	Chaybrole Tanah	1. Pedda Vudlapoody	9	u	u	8	u	u	u	u	u	1	u	u
		1. Toonimapoody	19	u	u	17	12	u	u	u	u	1	4	u
		1. Pedapurroo	12	u	u	11	u	u	u	u	u	1	u	u
		1. Coopooravoor	3	8	u	3	u	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Goondemadah	9	u	u	9	8	u	u	8	u	u	u	u
		1. Cristnayapollem	7	u	u	6	u	u	u	u	u	1	u	u
		1. Vencatapollem	11	u	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Ewany	21	12	u	20	4	u	u	u	u	1	8	u
		1. Ippatam												
		1. Peda Caucany	u	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Chaybrole	u	8	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Nundevelgoo	7	13	u	7	11	u	u	u	u	u	2	u
		1. Goondemadah	11	3	u	11	u	u	u	u	u	u	3	u
		1. Toonloor	51	u	u	50	u	u	u	u	u	1	u	u
		1. Vudlapoody	88	u	u	92	8	u	4	8	u	u	u	u
		1. Pandapully	30	8	u	36	u	u	5	8	u	u	u	u
		1. Cherravoor												
		1. Vulla Chapoorum	48	8	u	46	8	u	u	u	u	2	u	u
		1. Pennakah	11	u	u	10	8	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Mundadum	6	u	u	5	8	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Peta Mungalagherry												
		1. Nowloor												
		1. Parakalapoody	103	13	u	103	15	u	u	2	u	u	u	u
		1. Chinna Caucany												
		1. Autoocoor												
		1. Sroongarapaud	4	u	u	3	8	u	u	u	u	u	8	u
		1. Royapoody	6	8	u	5	8	u	u	u	u	1	u	u
		1. Lingayapollem												
		1. Dooggeralah	10	u	u	11	u	u	1	u	u	u	u	u
29			471	9	u	469	10	u	11	10	u	13	9	u

10766 Fort St. George 22d September 1842.

11	Rauchoor Tanah	1	Soorapully		81	"	"	79	8	"	"	"	1	8	"
		1	Valaroo												
		1	Chabraguddah												
		1	Bhattiprole												
		1	Addapully												
		1	Illavarum												
		1	Rayapully												
		1	Pedapoolavurroo												
		1	Cauroomoor												
		1	Pedalunkah												
		1	Pamedemurroo		510	8	"	513	14	"	3	6	"	"	"	"
		1	Goregapoody												
		1	Canagalah												
		1	Vesiwaswarum												
		1	Chavaly												
		1	Woolpoody												
		1	Arvapully												
		1	Caumerauze guddah												
		1	Danapoody												
		1	Roodiavarum												
		1	Goondapully												
		1	Batapoody												
		1	Nulloor												
		1	Ravee Annuntavarum		20	8	"	19	12	"	"	"	"	12	"
		1	Rachoor		10	8	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	8	"
		1	Esoorapully		12	4	"	10	12	"	"	"	"	1	8	"
		1	Chinna Annuntavarum												
		1	Peddavarum		2	7	"	2	3	"	"	"	"	4	"
		1	Rayapully												
		1	Canagalah												
		1	Naidenpully												
		1	Goondlapully		14	8	"	14	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
		1	Nulloor												
		1	Poonapully												
		34			651	11	"	650	9	"	3	6	"	4	8	"
Grand Total		214			5622	7	"	5583	1	"	121	8	"	160	14	"
													Deduct Increase	121	8	"
													Net Decrease	39	6	"

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry
12th September 1842.

E. E. J. Jr.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector.

Resolution

Resolution thereon,

(30-31)

The Board of Revenue authorize the acceptance of the offers for abkarry Rents, and for sundry small farms and Licenses in Guntoor, for the current Fusly 1252, as shewn in the Statements submitted with the foregoing letter.—

Read the following letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore dated 23d. July and entered in Consultation 11th. Ultimo.—

Proceedings thereon,

The Board do not consider this to be a question demanding a reference to the Advocate General, nor do they think it necessary or expedient that the Principal Collector should take a direct part in bringing the matter before the Supreme Court. But if Mr. Stonhouse has any doubt as to the legality of Camala Boyanmaul's claim in opposition to that of the Common Assignee of the Insolvent Court, he need not pay her the sum awarded to her, except on her finding good and sufficient Security for its refund.—

(32)

Read the following letter from the sub Collector in charge of Bellary, regarding the Quit Rent demandable on houses and premises in that District.—

In Cons 8 Sept. 1842

(33)

Proceedings thereon,—

Communicating instructions to the Sub Coll. in charge of Bellary on the subject of the levy of Quit rent on Lands occupied by Buildings in that District.—

District.—

(Here enter August 22d. 1842 No. 65)

Under the orders of Government noted in the margin,—

July 7th. Military Department.
In Cons 27th. " No. 2573.
October 19th. 1840 No. 1135.
In Cons 12th. November.

these eleven houses, held under Grants in the Cantonment, from all demand of Quit rent.—

2.

The two properties at Bellary and Adony lately belonging to the Nabob of Kurnool and now owned by Messrs. Mellor and Abraham, are chargeable without Quit rent under a special order of Government at the same rate that the land would pay if taken for cultivation and under the order of Government passed in the case of Trichinopoly, but generally applicable, the demand on houses held without Grants will be fixed by the same rule; and the Board direct that it be adopted also in the cases of the houses held on Grants beyond the limits of the Military Cantonment.—

3.

Under the rule adverted to in the foregoing Para the hundred houses at Bellary will be charged with Quit rent at the rate demandable on the land if cultivated; no good reason appears for exempting the 48 houses within the Fort.

The

Nos 12 and 13 Statement I—

* 5th May 1842 Secret Dept. No. 125

Ext. Minutes of Cons Aug. 13. 1839 in Cons 26th. No. 1403 para 4

Nos 13-14-16-17 in Stat. I

The ten houses at Gooty, and the one at Anumtapoor are subject to the same demands; and the Board regret that they cannot sanction the exceptions suggested by Mr. Pelly when a house is vacant for a whole year, the occupation of land by a house prevents it's being taken under cultivation by a common Ryot and it is clearly therefore permanently liable to the survey assessment.

The last Coin Statement 2.

4. As regards the property at Hindoopoor, it would appear that whatever decision is passed concerning the tope, so much land as is occupied by the house and the ground attached, should be subject to Quit rent. Before passing a final order as to this case however, the Board would wish to be informed of the relative situation of the house and tope and of the distance between them. They desire to know also whether the tope is open to travellers, and of any public utility; whether any care is now taken of the trees, and at whose expense; whether a travellers Bangalow is required at Hindoopoor and whether, if that in question is suited for the purpose, the present owner Mr. C. Pelly would be willing to part with it to Government, and on what terms.

5. In reference to Para 10 of the letter under review the sub Collector in charge is informed, that under the order of Government noted in the margin demands of arrears of Quit rent is to be limited to five years, or in cases of more recent possession to the time that the present possessors have had the property. In the present instance the five years should be calculated from the commencement of Fasly 1252.

August 13th 1839. Para 1.

Read

Read the following letters.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

34

From the Collr. of Chingleput. Submitting 7 Grants for the gardens in the Chingleput District belonging to His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic & reporting on the subject of certain other lands claimed by His Highness and soliciting instructions.

From No. 1067.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Porter's letter under date the 27th January directing that steps might be taken for the preparation and issue of new Grants for the Gardens and lands situated in the Chingleput District belonging to His Highness the Nabob and the Members of his Family, and in pursuance thereof to submit herewith seven Grants for the undermentioned gardens which have been ascertained to be the property of His Highness.....

The whole of these Gardens were acquired by the Ancestors of His Highness.

Number

10771 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

Number of Premises by which the Name of Premises are called. Extent.

Where situated. C. G. M.

The Highness the Nabob's Property.

1 Manickam Pakum Bhagh 15 1 16/100
2 Coolumbaukum Sir Bhagh 25 . 300
3 Kulundoor Gardens 1 . 1800
4 " Do 1 2 600
5 " Do 2 14 600
6 Sheperamdoor Do 11 . 900

Prince Azeem Jah Bahadur's Property.

7 Coolumbaukum Nyaraee Bhagh 37 1 300

There

10772 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

2. There are however several gardens and lands at St. Thomas Mount claimed by His Highness Vakeel as the Nabobs property, which are in the possession and enjoyment of other individuals, who object to Grants being issued for them in His Highness's name. I have therefore considered it advisable to report the circumstances of each case for the consideration and orders of the Board, suspending in the interim the preparation of the Grants.—

3. The first of these Gardens is at C. G. M.
St. Thomas Mount and comprizes 5 — 2 — 1500,
This Garden and House has been for a very long period in the occupancy of Mrs. Taynton, daughter of the late Colonel Kelly, in virtue of a document. / a Copy of which with an attested Translation is enclosed / purporting to be an extract of a letter from His Highness Azeemoud Dowlah to Rajah Soog Seeven Dos, wherein it is thus stated "In your letter dated on the 8th of June, "you communicated to me the request of my "valued friend Major Kirkpatrick that I would "permit one of my Houses situate at "Faringykondah to be occupied by the daughter

Vide Enclosures A and B

"daughter and family of the late Colonel Kelly
"during their lives. My attention to the wishes of
"Major Kirkpatrick is indispensable, and I have
"therefore given the aforesaid House to be possessed
"in perpetuity by the daughter and family of the
"late Colonel Kelly whom I have endeavoured
"to ajune in this respect." The Vakeel deputed
by His Highness urges that the land in question
was thereby granted to the daughter of
Colonel Kelly and the then existing family
only for their lives; and His Highness has
no objection to their occupying it on those
terms, but they can have no right to it as
their own property, and that a Grant for
it must therefore be issued in His or
Highness's name.

4. The Vakeel has also furnished
Vide Enclosure. me a Copy of a correspondence between the
C Government and the Sudr Adalut on a
reference made by His Highness thro'
the Cheputk Agent regarding the claim
of Mrs. Saynton to this land, and ac-
cording to the construction put by
the Mahomedan Law Officers of the
Sudr

Sudr Adalut upon the terms used in the original
Grant, and which are contained in the Copy
now submitted, it is clear that the property
would on the demise of Mrs. Saynton and
Mrs. Kelly revert to the Nabob. Mr. Saynton
has however informed me that it is his Mother's
intention to resist the claim of His Highness
by law, and therefore it seems to me under
such circumstances improper to prejudge the
case by the issue of the Grant.

5. Next to the above is another
garden which belonged to the Nabob of the
Carnatic, comprizing Cs. 5 — G. 18 — Ms. 750, and known
as the "Coroos Bagh". A portion of this garden
measuring G. 7 — Ms. 1800 is also occupied by Mrs. Saynton
who constructed a small Bungalow on it
about 20 years ago; this Lady states that
the land was granted to her by the then
Nabob though no written documents are
now in her possession, but as the ground
has been in her undisputed possession for so
long a period she conceives it ought not to be
included in the Grant, for the above Garden to
be issued in His Highness's name. The Vakeel
states

Vide Enclosure D

states that upon the special request of Mrs Jayn-
-ton His Highness the late Nabob granted permis-
-sion for her occupying the ground for a temporary
period which His Highness has no objection to
her still doing, but that the garden forming a
portion of the hereditary property of the Nabob
of the Carnatic, the grant to be issued should
comprehend the whole extent of the Garden,
of which that occupied by Mrs Jayton is a
portion. Mr. Jayton on the part of his mother
has communicated to me her intention to
resist the claim at law, and therefore under
these circumstances it may be advisable to
postpone the issue of this grant also.-

6. A third Garden which is situated at the foot of the Hill Comprizing 2 — 6 — 0 with a ruined building upon it and certain Huts con-
-structed by Pariahs is claimed by Mrs Chambers. This property has been in the possession of His Highness the Nabob since 1837, but Mrs Chambers, sister of Mr. John Arathoon, has advanced a claim to the property, on the ground of its hav-
-ing been transfered to her by her said brother.

Vide Enclosures E and F.

Copy of the assignment granted by Mr. Arathoon

Arathoon, and of the administration granted to him as Exr. to the Estate of the late Mr. Baboom by whom the land in question was first enjoyed, are also transmitted, together with an extracted

Vide Enclosure G

Copy of a letter addressed to me by Revd. Mr Blunt-
-sop setting forth the claims of Mrs Chambers to this property. The last of these documents states thus "Mrs Chambers the Sister of Mr.
"Arathoon of Madras, and my next door
"neighbour, has requested me to write to you
"respecting a piece of ground at the Mount,
"which she claims as her property, but which
"the Nabob has taken possession of. The ground,
"with a ruined building on it is situated at the
"bottom of the Mount steps exactly opposite the
"Wesleyan Chapel. She claims it in right of Mr.
"Johannes Miguel Baboom and his grand daughter
"Mrs Mary Baboom who died in 1837. The rents
"were paid to Mrs M. Baboom to the day of her
"death; subsequently this and other property
"belonging to her was disputed between her Nephew
"and Mr John Arathoon in the Supreme Court
"and an award given in favor of the latter,
"but during the litigation the Nabob took

possession

possession of the property." His Highness's Vakeel states that the ground was for merely granted by his Highness's ancestors for the residence of Mrs Baboom during her life, and that since her death he had resumed possession of it, and that Mrs Chambers has therefore no right whatsoever to the ground in question, no document has ever been produced by Mrs Chambers to shew the grant in favor of Mrs Baboom nor is His Highness able to shew that he resumed the land under any valid authority..

See Enclosure D

7. The Copper garden containing
8—12 referred to in the Memorandum A furnished by the Collector of Madras in his letter of the Board on the 3rd January 1842, is situated on the banks of the Adyar and has lately been included in the large Garden now in the possession of the Bow Begum. No separate grant is therefore necessary for this land.

8. The Title Deeds received with the letter under reply are returned..

Chingleput District, Collr's Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay, 29th August, 1842.

[Signed] A. Freese.
Collector.

Encl. A. in Persian.
Translation.

B.

Translation of an Extract from a Letter from His Highness Azeem and Dowlah to Rajah Soag Jeeven Doss communicated to the Resident. June 30th 1803.

"In your letter dated on the 8th of June, your communicated to me the request of my valued Friend Major Kirkpatrick that I would permit one of my Houses situate at Seringy Kondah to be occupied by the Daughter and Family of the late Collonel Kelly, during their lives. My attention to the wishes of Major Kirkpatrick is indispensible, and I have therefore given the aforesaid House to be possessed in perpetuity by the Daughter and Family of the late Colonel Kelly, whom I have endeavoured to assure in this respect..

You will therefore communicate to

10779 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

to Major Kirkpatrick that the afore=
=said House has been bestowed in perpe=
=tuity for the residence of the Family
of the late Colonel Kelly, on which
score no doubt must be admitted.

The recommendation of Major =
Kirkpatrick will always be respect=
=ed by me.—

/ A true Translation /

/ Signed / Henry Russell

Secy to the Residency.

Political

C

10780. Fort St. George 22d. September 1842

C.

Political Department.

No. 314.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th July 1842.—

Read again the letter from the Register of the Sudr Adalut dated 22nd April 1842 No. 228 recorded in Minutes of Consultation 3rd May 1842.—

Read the following letter from the Resident at Hydrabad.—

(Here enter 4th July No. 385.)

Ordered to be communicated to the Government Agent at Chepauk in =reference to his letter of the 24th March last No. 54.—

(A true Extract)

(Signed) John Orr.

Acting Chief Secretary to Govt.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the =Political Department under date the 5th April 1842 No. 163 I am directed by the Court of

10781. Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

of Sudr Udalut to return to you the letter addressed to Government by the Government Agent at Shepauk dated the 5th March 1842 and also its enclosures, and to submit the accompanying opinion of the Mahomedan Law Officers of this Court as to how the terms used in the Grant therein referred to are ordinarily understood.—

Sudr Udalut, Register's Office, 22d April 1842. } (Signed) W. Douglas. Register.

Question.

The Mahomedan Law Officers of the Court of Sudr Udalut are required to state how the terms متعلقان - علايق - تاحيات آنها - بطريق استمرار used in the accompanying letter addressed by His Highness the late Nawab to his Vakeel at Hydrabad, and in the accompanying Sunnud dated the 30th July 1803 are ordinarily understood.—

Answer.

The terms Mootahlikan متعلقان and Alayik علايق are synonymous: They are ordinarily understood to mean Wives, Children and Grand Children. But when used in a Grant

10782. Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

Grant, as, such Garden, or Village, or land has been granted to A's Mootahlikan متعلقان or Alayik علايق for Taahayat auha تاحيات آنها the term of their lives, or Batareeka Istimrar بطريق استمرار in perpetuity, then, the said terms A's Mootahlikan متعلقان or Alayik علايق would signify only such of his Dependants as existed at the time of the Grant and the said Garden or Village, or land would continue in their possession only for their lives, the terms Taahayat auha تاحيات آنها and Batareka Istimrar بطريق استمرار being intended merely to secure to the grantees the possession of the estate granted.—

If however, the term Istimrar استمرار be followed by another term Nuslun Bundanuslun نسلا بعد نسل or Batnun Banda Batnun بطنا بعد بطن (both meaning from posterity to posterity) the terms Mootahlikan متعلقان and Alayik علايق would in such case, signify not only Dependants in existence, but also those to be eventually born, and the Grant would then be called Mokhulled مخلد or permanent Enam, and the Estate granted, could be held and enjoyed by the Grantee from posterity to posterity as.

as his own property.—

(True Translation.)

(Signed) W. Douglas.

Register.

To,

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a letter from the Acting Government Agent Fort St. George under date the 7th Ultimo, No. 107, and in reply to inform you that no traces of the original Sunnud granted by his late Highness Nawab Azim ood Doulah Bahadoor to the wife and Daughter of the late Colonel Kelly, is to be found in the records of this Office.—

Hyderabad Residency, 4th July 1842.

/ Signed / I. S. Fraser.

Resident.

/ True Copies /

/ Signed / John Orr.

Acting Chief Secy to Government.

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / A. Freese.

Coll.

D.

Translation of a Statement given by Moonshee Goolamay Mahomed Hameed Vakeel of His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic Dated 6th August 1842.

The ground measuring 1 5/8 cs situated at the foot of the Mount steps at St. Thos: Mount in the limits of Numdumbaukum, together with the Ruined wall on it belong to his Highness the Nabob. During the days of His late Highness Wallajah Mrs. Mary Baboom requested and obtained permission from His said Highness to occupy the ground for her residence, and accordingly lived there till the end of 1836, when she restored it, and afterwards departed this life. The ground has ever since been in the possession of His Highness, who let it to some Parias to erect houses and live in, the latter pay the rent to His Highness. Mrs. Chambers has no right to this ground, nor does she possess any Document to shew it is her property, a Grant must therefore be issued in the name of His Highness the Nabob.—

The

The ground measuring 9a 4p 7-1800- which forms part of the "Oonsbagh" or Garden at Aulundoor in which His Highness the Nabob had been in the habit of halting on his return from the Pallaveram Feast, also belongs to His Highness - Formerly a Verandah was erected on this piece of ground - some of the Europeans who then resided at St. Thos. Mount obtained from his Highness the Nabob permission to erect a Racket Court and accordingly occupied it till about 6 years ago - When they quitted the ground Mrs. Taynton was living in it in a house erected at her own Cost, and she had since used to keep her Palankeen in the Verandah, but about 2 years since she annexed the Verandah with the Bungalow, she has no Document to establish her right to this ground - Under these circumstances a Grant should be issued for this ground in his Highness's name.—

/Signed/ Goolamay Mahomed Maircar

/True Translation/

/Signed/ A. Freese.

Collector

May

E.

My dear Sister,

You may do the needful to take possession of the late Mrs. M. J. Baboon's delapidated House at St. Thomas's Mount near the foot of the steps leading to the Church on the Hill. I am willing to transfer all my right and title to you on it, and agreeably to your wishes I herewith send you the Letters of administration granted to me by the Supreme Court on that Estate.— Have the goodness to take great care of the above document and return it to me after inspection.—

Madras,
20th May, 1842.

Your affectionate brother
/Signed/ John Arathoon.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ A. Freese.
Collector.

F.

No. 2065/38.—

In the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras. To Margaret Arathoon, with the consent of her Husband John Arathoon Esqr. as the only Child and Next of kin to

Maria

Maria Johannes Baboom, late of Madras Widow Deceased.-

(Seal.) Whereas the said Maria Johannes Baboom lately died Intestate.-

The said Court desirous that the Goods, Chattels and credits of the said Deceased should be well and truly administered and disposed of, and the Credits of the said Deceased demanded and received and all her just Debts paid, so far as her Goods, Chattels and credits shall extend, and the law requires, doth hereby relying on your fidelity, commit to you the administration of all and singular the Goods Chattels, and credits to the said Deceased, in any wise belonging being first sworn well and truly to administer the same, and also to exhibit into the said Court, a true and perfect Inventory of all the said goods, Chattels and Credits and likewise a just account of all the effects of the said deceased when you shall be thereto lawfully required, and the said Court doth by these Presents appoint you administratrix of all and singular the said Goods, Chattels and Credits.- Given under the

the seal of the said Court at Madras aforesaid, the thirteenth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and thirty eight.-

/Signed/ H. B: Acworth Proctor. /Signed/ Registrar.

Copy of Oath administered to John Arathoon and Margaret his wife on the first day of June one thousand Eight hundred and thirty Eight.

You swear you believe Maria Johannes Baboom late of Pondicherry but lately residing at Madras widow died Instestate and that you Margaret Arathoon are the only Daughter of the said Deceased and that you will faithfully Administer her Estate by paying debts so far as her effects will extend and the Law requires, and that you will exhibit a true and perfect Inventory of all the said effects and likewise a just account of this your Administratrix into the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras when you shall be thereto lawfully required.-

So help you God.

/Signed/ Margaret I. Arathoon
John Arathoon.-

/True Copies/
/Signed/ A. Freese
Coll.r

Extract

C.

Extract from a letter addressed by Revd Mr. Blenkinsop Dated 8th April 1842.—

My Dear Sir,

Mrs. Chambers, the Sister of Mr. Arathoon of Madras, and my next door neighbour, has requested me to write to you respecting a piece of ground at the Mount, which she claims as her property, but which the Nabob has taken possession of.—

The ground with a ruined building on it, is situated at the bottom of the Mount steps exactly opposite the Wesleyan Chapel— she claims it in right of Mr. Johannes Miguel Baboom and his grand Daughter Mrs. Mary Baboom who died in 1837. The rents were paid to Mrs. M. Baboom to the day of her death— Subsequently, this, and other property belonging to her, was disputed between her Nephew and Mr. John Arathoon in the Supreme Court— and an award given in favor of the latter— But during this litigation the Nabob took possession of the property.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / A. Freese
Coll.r

Read

Read also the following letter—

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Madras.—

35

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 8th Instant, and to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Certificates for Houses and Gardens enumerated in the list furnished from your Office in 1827 have already been completed and forwarded to the Government Agent

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Agent at Cheprauk with the exception of one in the name of Prince Azeem Jah Bahauder and those for the premises belonging to Her Highness the Nabob Begum the detention of which is owing to a further examination of the former measurement being considered requisite.

Madras, 14th September, 1842. } (Signed) A. Robertson Coll.r & Rej.r of Govt. Grants

(34-36)

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

(Read again in Cons: 10th Oct.r)

JGB.

Fort St. George 22.d September 1842

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Chingleput } Para- With reference to Mr. Porter's letter under date the 2.d of May with a transcript of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 29th ult.o March annexed, I have the honor to submit an Account

(37)

Enclosure A

shewing the amount of Sodee derived from Mauneum lands held by Village servants in this District, together with the actual results of 1251 F.—

2 The Sodee in the Shotrium and Zemindary Villages forms a portion of the revenues Collected by their respective proprietors.—

3 There are no Cuttoobady Peons paid by grants of Enam lands in this District.—

Chingleput District.—
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Atcherwauk 17th Sept.r 1842 } (Signed) A Freese Collector

Statement

10793

Fort St. George

A

22nd September 1842

Statement shewing the amount of Sodee derived from the Maneum lands enjoyed by Village servants of Gillah Chinglepat.

Village servants.	Ayant of the Maneum lands			Cultivated in Fusly 1251			Remarks
	Amount of assessment	Sodee payable to Circar	Remaining enjoyed by Mauniumdars.	amount of assessment	Sodee paid to Circar	Remaining enjoyed by Mauniumdars.	
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	
Circar Villages — Poty, Taliar, Carpenter, Iron Smith, Barber, Washerman &c.	6305 12 9	2919 14 11	3385 14 9	4254 6 11	1956 3 7	2298 3 5	The Sodee in the Chinglepat District is not a fixed amount payable annually but a fixed rate of remission on the rent leviable on certain portions of land and consequently varies with the extent of the Enam land cultivated. In preparing this account the Mailwaram grain received in the Shrotrium and Zemindary Villages has been converted into Money.
Shrotrium Villages — Do. Do. Do.	395 7 2	185 1 11	210 6 2	225 15 6	104 9 10	121 5 8	
Zemindary Villages — Do. Do. Do.	1761 14 9	854 10 6	907 4 3	497 14 11	241 3 7	256 10 5	
Total	8463 2 8	3959 9 6	4503 9 2	4978 16 4	2302 1 11	2676 3 6	

Chinglepat District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Atcheruack 17th September 1842

C. C. Jr. Signed / A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

10794

37-38

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842

Order thereon — Ordered to lie on the table.—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Chingleput } Sir,

Encl. A

I have the honor to forward a Statement of the wastage of Salt incurred in Fasly 1251 as required in your letter of the 29th Ultimo, and to request that sanction may be obtained for writing off the amount thereof, Viz.

39

Gorees 337 - 56M - 23/8M —

Chingleput District ---
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry ---
Atcherawauk 17th Sept. 1842 } Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

10796 (41) Fort St. George 22d September 1842

D.o Salt Account Current in the Gillah of Chinglepat for the Quarter ending on the 11th July 1842 C.o

			G	m	m	G	m	m
1841 July 12th	Balance of Salt on hand at the commencement of the current Fusly 1251		"	"	"	2890	113	5/8
1842 July 11th	Salt manufactured to the end of last Quarter		2243	250	"			
	D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		4071	210	"			
			6345	60	"			
	Gain by measurement to the end of last Quarter		"	21	"			
	D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		1	245	"			
			1	296	"			
	Total Salt					9237	69	5/8

Chinglepat District
Collectors Circuit Cutchery
Atcheravauk 17th Septr 1842

1842

			G	m	m	G	m	m
July 11th	Total sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	2798	160	"			
		Home Consumption	175	270	"			
		Export by Sea	969	266	5/8	3943	296	5/8
	D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842	Inland Consumption	689	140	"			
		Home Consumption	38	240	"			
		Export by Sea	1027	266	5/8	1755	246	5/8
	Wastage to the end of last Quarter					"	"	"
	Wastage which occured in Fusly 1250 written off from 1st to 11th July 1842 under the authority of Government dated 1st August conveyed in a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 11th August 1842					131	110	"
						131	110	"
	Balance of Salt on hand on the 11th July 1842					3406	216	2/8
	Total Salt					9237	69	5/8

D.o D.o (Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Money

10797

Fort St. George

22nd September 1842

D.o Money Account Current of Salt in the Chingleput of Chingleput for the Quarter ending on the 11th July 1842 6.o

				Rs a/s	Rs a/s
1842					
July 11th	Total Sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	2,92,782 " "		
		Home Consumption	18,445 14 "		
		Export by Sea	40,726 " "	3,51,953 14 "	
	D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842	Inland Consumption	72,331 12 "		
		Home Consumption	4,053 " "		
		Export by Sea	43,162 " "	1,19,546 12 "	
	Received on account of Confiscated Salt to the end of last Quarter			81 2 5	
	D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842			2 12 "	
					83 14 5
	Recoveries of advances D.o to the end of last Quarter			3,684 8 5	
	D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842			6,705 8 9	
					10,390 1 2
	Total Company's Rupees				4,81,974 9 7

Particulars of Rowanahs remaining on the D.o 11th July 1842

Balances of Rowanahs remaining on the 31st March 1842		6,882 " "
Received from 1st April to 11th July 1842	"	
	6,882	
Deduct issued from D.o to D.o	2,094	
Total remaining on the 11th July 1842	4,788	

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Atcherawauk 17th Sept.r 1842

			Rs a/s	Rs a/s
1842				
July 11th	By Servants wages to the end of last Quarter		8,328 11 8	
	By D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		3,914 5 "	12,243 " 8
	By Charges Extraordinary including Sunderwarad to the end of last Quarter		12,301 3 11	
	By D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		13,513 12 10	25,815 " 9
	By Payment to Manufacture to the end of last Quarter		31,297 15 7	
	By D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		51,415 13 3	82,713 12 10
	Net Balances	To the end of last Quarter	30,791 9 8	
		From 1st April to 11th July 1842	5,411 1 8	36,202 11 4
	Total Company's Rupees			4,81,974 9 7

E.o E.o W.m (Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Estimate

10798 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Estimate shewing the Quantity of Salt remaining for Exportation to Bengal up to 11th July 1842 at the Port of Covelong in Zillah of Chingleput.—

	Quantity of Salt remaining up to 11th July 1842	Quantity of Salt ready for exportation in the course of next Quarter.— To Bengal	To other Ports	Total
	G m m	G m m	G m m	G m m
Covelong	855 31	82 7/8 940 26 0	" " "	940 26 0

E. E. Pr. (Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

J. G. B.

10799 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Order thereon,

(41-42)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Collr. of North Arcot. Para. 1. I have the honor to submit the Report of the Sub Collector dated 31st May last, on the Petitions from the Ryots of the Wundewash Talook received with your Predecessor's Letter dated 20th January 1842. It will be seen that the Ryots of Wundewash complain of Losses and impoverishment and that the circumstances operating thus unfavorably on their condition are arranged by them principally under four heads 1st. Taram Losses; 2d. Loss arising from the land actually occupied by them being less than the extent shewn in the accounts 3d. Loss from the Teerwah or Land not cultivated being included in the Jumabundy and 4th. Loss from the fall in the Price of Grain. The first and second heads are of deep importance and call for immediate attention.—

Reporting upon certain Petitions and suggesting the necessity of Taram assessing the richer soils of the 5 Southern Talooks in the District.—

(43)

No. 1562 and 2775 of 1841. Forwarded with reference.

The

Fort St George 22nd September 1842.

2

[The first point to be no=
=ticed are Jaram Losses. The Wet lands
in Wundewash are assessed with 8
one Teerwah. This assessment is
payable whether the land is culti=
=vated with one or two Crops, no Reduc=
=tion is allowed under the head
of "Boganushty" when a second Crop
is not sown. In addition to this
disadvantage, the assessment is
higher than the Teerwah of Land
assessed as two Crops in most parts
of the District. Thus the Petitioners
have to contend with a high assess=
=ment in the first instance and
are shut out from the reliefs afford=
=ded under the head of "Bhoga=
=nushty." The consequence, as was
to be expected, is the abandonment
of rich Soils, on the cultivation
of which the Prosperity of the
Ryot and of the Revenue chiefly
depend.] The assessment on 2 Crops
Nunjah land in the Talooks
composing the Divisions of the
Collector

Fort St George 22nd September 1842.

Collector is with few exceptions 8 and 9
Pagodas and a deduction as Bhoganushty
is granted when the second Crop
is not cultivated. Under the
Caverypauk Tank which has the
advantage of supply from the Palar,
the assessment for two Crop land
is 7 — 3 of which 2 1/4 Pagodas are
deducted when Bhoganushty occurs.
On the other hand the assessment
in Wundewash is as high as 11 — 6 1/2
per Cawnee without the advantage
of Bhoganushty. [Further the
Wundewash Talook has no River
Channels. as it depends on the
falling rains alone during the
N. E. Monsoon, the return must be
Contingent on the supply of Water
and Poovashy, or 2nd Crops must be
more or less restricted.] The
accompanying Copy of a Statement
received from the Sub Collector,
shews the rates of assessment
under each description of irrigation,
the extent of Cultivable land
and

Fort St George 22d September 1802.

and the extent cultivated in Fusly 1247 with the extent of land cultivated with Powashy or 2d Crop. The State-ment includes the whole Sub-division. [It will be seen that the quantity of Cultivable land is 57761.-97/8 Cawnies, of which 35243-15 3/4 Cawnies were under Cultivation with 11670-5/4 Cawnies Powashy. that is about 61 per Cent of the extent fit for Cultivation in the whole Sub division is Cultivated.] as to this Statement includes the Anady Bunjer, the proportion of cultivated land to uncultivated does not appear at first sight to bear out the allegation in regard to the excessive rate of the assessment, but it must be remembered that the Statement is an Abstract drawn up for the 4 Talooks com-prising the Sub division and does not shew the particular Villages in which deterioration has occurred from excessive assessment. - again the

Fort St George 22d September 1802.

the Statement shews that a portion of the lands assessed with an excessive Teerwa is cultiva-ted, and an inference might be drawn from this that the Teerwah cannot be so much in excess as is set forth-a closer inspection of the Statement will shew that such portion is very inconsiderable, that the higher rates are almost altogether abandoned and that there is in fact but little cultivation where the rates of assessment exceed 5 and 6 Pagodas. It is further to be remarked in this place that in some instances the Ryot can afford to cultivate the highly rated land because the profits of the 2d Crop from the same land, and the profits of the 2d Crop from land favorably assessed together, make up with other advantages, as vicinity of Market, for the loss which would otherwise occur from excess of assessment but these cases must be few and the advantages sta-ted cannot justify an excessive rate on the richer soil. [It will be observed that 28 per Cent only of the land under Wells is cultivated.

The

Fort St. George 22d September 1842

The Statement from the Sub Collector does not shew the cause why so small a quantity of land under this description of irrigation is cultivated. It is supposed however that "Wells" in this place refer besides ordinary Wells, to the Pacotahs placed to convey the water of the Tanks to adjoining lands, and that the cultivation depends on the supply of Water, and is not contingent on the rate of assessment alone. On the whole the Statement under consideration exhibits the existence of an excessive assessment.

W3 The second Subject of complaint with the Petitioners is that they are called upon to pay for land which does not exist, that is, the quantity as per accounts is greater than the extent actually occupied. It is ascertained that this complaint is in some cases well founded, the accounts which are followed are those of ancient date and it is known that the quantity, as per account, is greater than the actual extent. This excess however is not general and can is to be corrected after much consideration in each case.

The

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

4 The 3d head of Loss at set forth by the Petitioners arises from the Teerwah on Land not cultivated being included in the Summah bundy. This can only be done under the rules contained in the Bookoonmanah and cannot form matter of just complaint.

5. The 4th head of Loss is from the fall in the Price of Grain. Petitioners enjoy the benefit of the rise in Price and cannot therefore reasonably set forth grounds of Complaint when prices fall. It is unnecessary to enlarge upon this subject. the Petitioners it is true have had to contend in common with others, with the low Prices of the season, but the ordinary fluctuations of the Market do not form grounds for a reduction of the assessment.

6. Having adverted to the four principal heads under which the Petitioners have classed their losses it is now proper to consider briefly the remaining matter of the Petition. The Petitioners complain that Shaivy is not allowed to the full extent of the loss sustained. The rule observed is to exclude the

Land

10804 Fort St. George 22d September 1842

The Statement from the Sub Collector does not shew the cause why so small a quantity of land under this description of irrigation is cultivated. It is supposed however that "Wells" in this place refer besides ordinary Wells to the Pacotahs placed to convey the water of the Tank to adjoining lands, and that the cultivation depends on the supply of Water, and is not contingent on the rate of assessment alone. On the whole the Statement under consideration exhibits the existence of an excessive assessment.

3 The second subject of complaint with the Petitioners is that they are called upon to pay for land which does not exist, that is, the quantity as per accounts is greater than the extent actually occupied. It is ascertained that this complaint is in some cases well founded, the accounts which are followed are those of ancient date and it is known that the quantity, as per account, is greater than the actual extent. This excess however is not general and is to be corrected after much consideration in each case.

The

10805 Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

4 The 3d head of Loss at set forth by the Petitioners arises from the Teerwah on Land not cultivated being included in the Summah bundy. This can only be done under the rules contained in the Sookoomnamah and cannot form matter of just complaint.

5. The 4th head of Loss is from the fall in the Price of Grain. Petitioners enjoy the benefit of the rise in Price and cannot therefore reasonably set forth grounds of Complaint when prices fall. It is unnecessary to enlarge upon this subject. the Petitioners it is true have had to contend in common with others with the low Prices of the Season, but the ordinary fluctuations of the Market do not form grounds for a reduction of the assessment.

6. Having adverted to the four principal heads under which the Petitioners have classed their losses it is now proper to consider briefly the remaining matter of the Petition. The Petitioners complain that Shaiv is not allowed to the full extent of the loss sustained. The rule observed is to exclude

Land

10795

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

A

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold and the wastage on the Quantity stored in the District of Chinglepat for Fusly 1251.

Names of the Salt Pans or Depôts	Fusly in which stored	Quantity stored In each Fusly	Quantity stored Total	Quantity Sold	Wastage Amount of wastage	Wastage Centage	Per centage of wastage of each Salt Pan fixed by the Collector as per Para 18 of the Salt Rules.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		G m m	G m m	G m m	G m m	G		
1 Chunambut	1249	440 55 "						
	1250	280 250 "	800 305 "	754 290 "	46 15 "	5 3/4		
	1251	80 " "						
2 Cheyoor	1249	94 80 "						
	1250	919 375 "						
	1251	70 " "	1084 55 "	1029 352 "	54 103 "	5		
3 Pyemnoor	1249	86 20 "						
	1250	119 25 "						
	1251	80 " "	285 45 "	271 166 "	13 279 "	4 3/4		
Cadoombandy	1249	143 110 "					From 2 1/2 to 8 Garces	The Gale which occurred in May 1841 caused additional loss in the heaps of Salt at some of the Depôts and particularly at Covelong and Cadumbady but on the whole the wastage is moderate.
	1250	26 25 "						
	1251	40 " "	209 135 "	190 356 "	18 179 "	8 13/16		
4 Covelong	1249	622 270 "						
	1250	1395 290 "						
	1251	70 " "	2088 160 "	1923 321 5/8	164 238 2/8	7 7/8		
5 Vadasemmanjary	1250	272 330 "	272 330 "	243 335 "	28 395 "	10 5/8		
6 Vulloor	1250	161 350 "						
	1251	20 " "	181 350 "	177 175 "	4 175 "	2 7/16		
Voyellore	1249	60 305 "						
	1250	65 345 "						
	1251	10 " "	136 250 "	131 303 "	4 347 "	3 9/16		
Soonapagoontah	1250	18 360 "	18 360 "	18 180 "	" 180 "	2 3/8		
7 Chasamoodrum	1250	21 305 "	21 305 "	21 175 "	" 130 "	1/2		
Voospoonelway	1250	35 100 "	35 100 "	34 85 "	1 15 "	2 7/8		
Total	1249	1447 40 "						
	1250	3317 358 "						
	1251	370 " "	5134 395 "	4794 338 5/8	337 56 2/8	6 9/16		

Chinglepat District Collectors Circuit Cutchery Atcherawnk 17th to 24th Septr 1842

E. E. Vos

/Signed/ A Sneese Collector

Order thereon—

Ordered to lie on the table.—

Read the following account Current.—

Salt

39-40

Land when the Crop has altogether failed and has not come to the Sickle, in all other cases if the Crop is reaped however small the outturn may be, the land is included in the Summabundy and the Teerwah is demanded. The Sub Collector is of opinion "that more liberality in this particular would be beneficial "rather than injurious to the Revenue". It is impracticable however to introduce a set of rules which would meet the object of the Petitioners without loss to Government and opening a facility for fraud. Claims without number would be preferred. The time and labour for enquiring into these would be a serious demand upon the regular Establishment and very many cases of alleged shavy would be admitted through negligence and collusion altho' the outturn might fully equal that of an ordinary season. The relief sought for is however unnecessary. Crops must vary and as permanent rent on land is intended to embrace these variations, it is so regulated or supposed to be as to leave to the Ryot every thing above every ordinary out-turn. As then in the general course of seasons the Ryot enjoys a large profit on occasional

occasional failure: partial or general cannot give grounds for remission. This subject has been often before* the Board and the rule which grants remission in cases of total failure alone does not seem susceptible of extension. If from frequent failures of the Crops a Ryot becomes impoverished, his case can only be met by a postponement of a portion of the demand against him.

*Board's Proceedings 1st March 1827 Para 8 Do Do 13th Decr 1830 Para 7.

7. The Petitioners complain that the Teerwah is demanded on seed beds. This complaint is well founded and the Sub Collector has most properly granted a deduction on this Account.

8. A further subject of complaint relates to the remission Styled Taaz-gary. The Rules on this subject have been finally fixed. It only remains to be considered whether the remission is to be continued when the land on which it has been allowed is sold or transferred by the previleged holder to another of the same class. As the remission has been already granted and does not involve a new deduction from the Revenue on its transfer and as the land in consequence of such remission has acquired a value, the indulgence referred to may be with much propriety

propriety accorded, should the Board concur in this view it will be for consideration whether the remission should be restricted to cases of transfer to previleged Individuals only, Viz.t Brahmins and Mussulmen, or whether the restriction should not be removed altogether so as to leave the Holders free to transfer the land with its advantages to any person in general. As Government will derive little, or no benefit from the restriction, and as the Public in general will derive much benefit from its removal, not only directly in the enhanced value of the Land but also in the removal of the inducement to fictitious transfers, I am of opinion that the restriction may be withdrawn with advantage. The Remission itself in the first instance was perhaps unjust to the Ryot but this view of the subject cannot be entertained now. By the removal of the restriction alluded to the Ryot may and no doubt will become the Purchaser and thus a means afforded for the improvement of his condition. The restriction in the present case seems to partake

partake of the nature of evil like restrictions in general. It is to be observed however that Tenanty gary obtains in 5 Talooks only which were formerly included in the Southern Division of Arcot

*Vellore
Arcot
Tiruvettoor
Poloor
Wandewash

The observations contained in

9- Para 9 of the letter from the Sub Collector here with transmitted do not call for remark.

The Petitioners complain of the rule

10- which taxes Poonjah Poovashy or 2nd crop Poonjah, to make good, as far as it will go in land included in the Ryots Puttut of last year, but left waste in the Current. If the same land is left waste in the following year the liability attached to the Poovashy in the previous year ceased and the land no longer forms an integral portion of the Puttut. The rule to be consistent should continue to operate as the Sub Collector observes, "every year until "the waste land might be again cultivated either "by the same Ryot or by another, but as that would "be too obviously unjust it is restricted to a "single year." The rule the Sub Collector is further of opinion, is impolitic as tending to operate injuriously in seasons of drought when the Ryot ought to have every facility afforded him to

make

make up for the losses caused by the unfavorable character of the season. The reasoning of the Sub Collector appears conclusive. The object of the rule is to keep up the Cultivation to the Standard of the preceding year, if this is done with the effect of forcing cultivation the rule is obviously unsound, but setting aside this view of the question the rule is in itself ineffective for the object it contemplates as the Ryot has only to leave the land waste a Second Year and his liability to pay the Teerwah on it ceases. The rule is unsound in principle and injurious in its effects and may with advantage be given up.

11. The Petitioners complain that a Put cut land left waste is given to others to cultivate. This complaint is most unreasonable. The Government are entitled to the Revenue in each year from the whole Put cut, and if the Petitioner ceases to cultivate any portion of his Put cut and any other person is willing to cultivate such land, the Ryot who has ceased to cultivate cannot with reason complain that it should be occupied by another who will pay the

the assessment thereon if the former Put cultivator does not return to the land, it should after the second or third year become the Put cut of the new occupant. In fact a new occupant of Put cut Waste is not easily obtained at first as no Ryot likes to occupy land which it is likely he may be called upon to give up again to the former occupant.

12. Remarks do not appear to be called for on the remaining Paras of the letter from the Sub Collector.

13. Having noticed the several subjects of Complaint set forth by the Petitioners it remains to consider what measures are called for calculated to afford relief. The attention of the Board has to be directed to 2 subjects chiefly 1st The burdensome assessment of the each Soils and 2ndly the inaccuracy of the accounts whereby the Ryot is called upon to pay for a larger extent of land than he occupies. The remedy in both cases is simple and easily effected. In the former the present assessment must at once be abandoned and a fair and moderate

rate

rate substituted. In the latter the accounts should be compared with the survey accounts and if the latter are found to be correct they will be adopted; if their accuracy is questioned the necessary examination will take place and when such corrections as are required have been made, these accounts will be substituted for the former accounts to which the complaints of the Petitioners refer. In the prosecution of this part of the work it is perhaps desirable that the survey accounts should be permanently adopted in all the Villages and corrected where correction is shewn to be necessary.

14. The relief suggested applies to the 4 Taulooks composing the Subdivision, Vellore, Arcot, Tiruttoor, Polaor, and Wandiwash, also to the Talook of Vellore in the Principal Division. These 5 Talooks were formerly included in the Southern Division of Arcot. the Survey of these 5 Talooks was completed but not acted upon.

15. Besides the foregoing 5 Talooks relief is urgently called for in several Villages of the Principal Division. The impoverishment of the Ryots and abandonment of the rich Soils shew that relief should not

+ The Survey commenced by Mr Chamier & afterwards brought to a conclusion by Mr Nisbet and Mr Roberts but never acted upon.

not be longer delayed. I was strongly impressed during the late Summabundy with the conviction that the wants of these Villages could not with justice be passed over as regards the portion of the District under the Sub Collector, Mr Bourdillon observes with reference to the reduction of the assessment, "I consider this to be urgently called for, both "on account of the over burdensomeness of "the present rates as seen in the general Poverty of the Ryots, on account of the non cultivation "of the highest rated and therefore generally speaking the most fertile lands on account of the "very great disparity between the rates of Teerwah in this District and in the adjoining ones of Chingleput and South Arcot."

The substance of the present communication has been frequently before the Board and the correspondence on the subject has grown into a large Volume. If the relief as is now suggested is granted the demands as set forth in that correspondence will be brought within narrow limits, or rather the whole question will have merged

merged in the measures now proposed.
Mr Bourdillon observes in Para 5 of his
letter now submitted with reference to
the proposed reduction "that any reduction
"granted should not be uniform but gra-
"duated and discretionary." When a Dis-
trict has been surveyed and assessed upon
regular data and the Assessment de-
clared permanent after the lapse of
sufficient time (5 years) during which
all inequalities and inaccuracies have
been corrected, the reduction must be uni-
form. The case of the Ceded Districts
is in point. The circumstances of this
District however differ widely from
those of the Ceded Districts. In this
District a reduction is proposed to
rectify inequalities that is to lower
the rates on the rich Soils. It is not
proposed to lower the assessment
generally because the assessment in
general is too high, if the assessment
were correct throughout but too high,
the

the rule of an uniform reduction would
apply, but such is not the case. Inac-
curacy exists in part and it is propos-
ed to rectify that, after this has been
carefully performed and a sufficient
period allowed to observe the effects,
and it should be then considered
necessary to make a reduction it will
be time enough to enter upon the ques-
tion in respect to the applicability of
the rule of uniform reduction. An uni-
form reduction is called for when the
Assessment generally is too high or
when it is wished to give a value
to the land, but this presupposes the
existence of an accurate Assessment.

In carrying out the proposed
16
reduction of the Assessment on the
high Soils it would appear to be
proper to carry into operation the Jarm
Assessment formerly made as far as
this is found to be correct. The Board are
aware that the Jarum Assessment was

nearly

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842

nearly completed by Mr. Nisbet. It is a valuable work and there seems no reason why it should be laid aside. It ought to be carefully examined on the present occasion and adopted generally, such alterations being made as may be found necessary. It is proposed to employ the most experienced and intelligent person on the fixed Establishment to conduct the details of the proposed measures and as these persons will be withdrawn temporarily from their duties an additional Establishment becomes necessary. The charge should be kept within proper limits and need not exceed Rupees 233 - - - per Memorandum, the particulars of which are shewn in the Margin. It seems probable that the additional Establishment will be required for 7 years. The work when complete will exhibit the introduction of the Pymash and Fasly Settlement throughout the 5 Talooks mentioned.

Establishment for 2 Talooks	Rupees
2 Head Gomastahs	40 - -
2 Gomastahs	28 - -
6 Gomastahs	60 - -
2 Arzeanavees	17 - -
2 Do	14 - -
2 Duffler Bunds	7 - -
2 Mussaljees	7 - -
	173 - -
Underwood	60 - -
Rupees	233 - -

North Arcot, Collr's Cutcherry, Palmanair, 3rd September 1842.

/ Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

EGB

Fort St. George 22d September 1842

No. 1.

To the Acting Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

No. 1862 of 1841 — Para 1. A Petition presented to the Board of Revenue, by some Ryots of the wundiwash Taluk having been sent to me for disposal by the Principal Collector in December last, I made a brief Endorsement touching on the various matters of complaint contained in it and returned it to the Principal Collector, who adopted that Endorsement and transmitted the Petition to the Board. The Board finding the information given in that Endorsement too slender to enable them to dispose of the Petition, sent it down again, to the Principal Collector with a letter from their Acting Secretary dated 7th January 1842 calling for a detailed explanation of the matters complained of, sending at the same time

† 2173 of 1841 — a second Petition which they had received on the same subject from some of the same parties. This letter, together with the two Petitions, was put into my hands by the Principal Collector just before he left the District with a desire on that I would make a report upon it, and I have now accordingly the honor to submit a more particular notice and explanation of the various subjects of complaint

complaint - I also return the letter and Petitions -

2. The first of the two Petitions is the fullest and contains the largest detail of grievances, the second is merely a reiteration of some of the same matters of complaint - My remarks, therefore, will have reference to the former and I shall notice all the thirteen Paragraphs which it contains -

3. In the 1st 2d 12th and 13th Paras Petitioners contrast their easy circumstances under the Nabob's Government, when the Revenue consisted in a share of the crop taken in kind, and under the triennial and decennial Village leases with their present distressed conditions under the Ryotwary system in use since Fusly 1231. and state the circumstances which render this latter system so unfavorable to them - These are that the assessment is grievously high and that they are obliged to pay the full Teerwah of all two crops though they cultivate only one, that in many instances the actual extent of their land is smaller than that shewn in the accounts on which the teerwah is exacted that remission is not allowed

allowed for shaury, or for low price of Grain and that teerwah is levied on Seed-beds as a remedy for the difficulties under which they labour, they pray that either the warum system as under the Nabob may be re-introduced or that an assessment in four or sixteen turns may be formed for one crop on the average of the thirteen years of the two leases to be received from them in Grain, half this rate to be levied in addition for the second crop, when two are cultivated -

4. It was with reference to the Petitioners Prayer for the Varum system or an assessment to be levied in kind that in my former Notice of this Petition I remarked that their request involved a radical change of system not necessary but I am far from thinking that they complain without cause, of a too high assessment - I have already on a former occasion + pointed out the expediency of remitting a proportion of the Teerwah of the high class lands when only one crop is cultivated but I did not at that time see so clearly as subsequent observation has shewn it to me, the necessity for a reduction in the rates of assessment - I consider this to be urgently called for both on account

of

+ Summabundy Report for Fusly 1247. P. 33 para

of the overburdensomeness of the present rates as seen in the general poverty of the Ryots, on account of the non-cultivation of the highest rated and therefore generally speaking the most fertile lands, and on account of the very great disparity between the rates of Teerwah in this District, and in the adjoining ones of Chinglepute, and South Arcot.

5. Several of your predecessors and among them the two who were best acquainted with the condition and resources of these Talooks Mr. Grame and Mr. Roberts have repeatedly and forcibly stated their sentiments on this point, and it is to be lamented that their representations have been hitherto neglected some remission indeed was granted in the two years following the return to Ryotwary Settlements after the expiration of the decennial lease, Viz. Fusly 1231. and 1232, but none whatever has been allowed since though a large deduction from the survey rates has been made permanent in the Northern Talooks of the District. It is unnecessary for me to enlarge on the subject as I am aware that your attention has been already directed to it. I would beg however

6

to add one remark. Although my opinion can be of but little weight compared with that of the two Gentlemen, named above, yet I would give the whole force of it in support of the proposition urged by them both but dissented from by the Board, Viz.t, that any reduction granted should not be uniform but graduated and discretionary. The state of the Turrum rates demands that the remission should be almost exclusively on the higher rates only, and but very little on the lower, and there are some Villages where little or no remission at all is required. The true remedy, indeed for the evils and irregularities of the Revenue System in these Talooks is to be sought, as Mr. Ogilvie† has already stated to the Board in the completion and introduction of the survey commenced many years ago, but subsequently abandoned. In its details that survey is open to much improvement but it is well capable of being made the basis of an amended system.

† Letter May 25th 1839.

6. After the burdensomeness of the assessment, and the absence of Boganistee remission, the

the next ground of complaint is that the Revenue measurements of land are above the actual truth- This matter also was noticed in my report on Fusly 1247- Para 37, and I have nothing particular to add to what I then said except that I may mention that since that, where land newly brought into cultivation has been found much less in reality than the extent entered in the accounts, especially in the case of the Tyar land to which I then adverted, I have brought to Summa, only the actual extent- The Accounts of Fusly 1213, were in some cases below in others above, the actual truth the former having been probably those lands that were cultivated, the latter those that were waste in that year, the proper remedy is the introduction of the accurate measurements of the survey- I must add however that I have found in some instances where the discrepancy was unusually large that it was only partially rectified in the survey accounts.-

7 The Next grievance is that

that Shawry is not fully remitted- I believe that more liberality in this particular would be beneficial rather than injurious to the Revenue, but this is a general question not restricted to this District only- The next boon solicited Viz. remission for loss by low prices, is inconsistent with a system of Revenue of which commutation into money at an average price is the basis- The next complaint that the Teerwah is levied on land used only as seed beds appears to me just and following the practice which obtains I believe in Chingleput and South Arcot I granted the deduction in the Settlement for the current Fusly, as will be further explained in my report-

8 The 3d Para of the Petition relates to the remission styled Teiauzgaury Petitioners complaining that the indulgence has been much restricted of late years- Government and the Board of Revenue having specially noticed this subject and desired that increased care should be taken to prevent abuse of the indulgence, it was placed under

more

more stringent rules in Fusly 1248- as exhibited in my report for that year Paras 8 and 9. and those rules received the approval of the Board of Revenue, in their Proceedings dated May 18th 1840- They have been adhered to ever since, and I see no reason to recommend an alteration of them except in the case of a transfer of land from one individual of the favoured classes to another, which point I shall take the opportunity to bring forward in my report on the current Fusly.

9. The 4th 5th and 10th Paras relative to the system of land durghasts and the addition to the Jumma of a part of the Durghast land left waste described in my repost on Fusly 1250- Para 19- In their Proceedings of the 28th Ultimo Paras 22 and 23 the Board of Revenue have expressed their disapproval of what the Petitioners here objected to, and their sentiments and instructions will be duly attended to for the future.-

10. In para 6 Petitioners complain of a practice which has been in use here many years, Viz., that when a Riot leaves

leaves waste any Poonjah land included in his last years Puttah, and cultivates any other Poonjah land with two crops, the second crop is charged with an assessment equal to half that of the first, and so much of this additional assessment is brought to Jumma as will compensate the loss of Revenue on the land left waste- This rule was of course intended to prevent Riots diminishing their Poonjah cultivation- To be consistent in this object however the supplementary exaction should be continued every year until the waste land might be again cultivated either by the same Riot or by another but as that would be too obviously unjust it is restricted to a single year.

11. The System seems objectionable because it is likely to operate most extensively in seasons of drought, when especially additional exaction should be avoided- It is in such years that from causes really, in the weather and not in himself, a Riot is most likely to leave some of his land waste, the produce of what is cultivated will probably be scanty or fail entirely,

and

and if he endeavours to reimburse himself a little by sowing a part of his land again, he is called on to pay an additional tax when his means to meet the customary one are slender. On the other hand in a favorable season a Ryot after cultivating the whole of his last years Puttah might so far as this rule is concerned, sow the whole a second time, instead of extending his cultivation without any additional demand. In fact, however the extent of Poonjah cultivated with two crops is very small and is not likely to increase much, (at least not to the diminishing of first crop cultivation,) because the returns are precarious at the best. This safe-guard to the Revenue, is not found necessary in other Districts, and I think it might be dispensed with here.

12. The foregoing remarks refer to the case of mere Poonjah Cultivation that of Poonjah land cultivated twice with valuable crops by means of irrigation from a private well, is different, and to be disposed of on different considerations. The Board have already had this

this matter under review though I am not aware what was their final opinion regarding it and it does not seem necessary therefore to notice it in this place.

† Circular letter February 6th 1840.

13. In Para 7 Petitioners complain that the whole Shawy occurring was not remitted in July 1250, but only so much of it as exceeded 10 per Cent of the Ryots other sources of profits. My Proceedings on this point were explained in my report on the July and received the approval of the Board of Revenue, and no more therefore need be said now. The same remark applies to Para 8. in which Petitioners complain that the ancient assessment of some land was raised by me in July 1250. The subject was fully explained in my report Paras 22 to 28. and the alteration was approved and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 28th Ultimo Paras 18 to 21.

Proceedings April 28th 1842 Para 13.

14. Para 9 is to the effect that I am in the habit of imposing very heavy fines for small offences and of depriving Meerasdars and bestowing their rights on other persons. A general charge can be answered only in

in general terms, it is sufficient to say in reply to the first part of the present one, that it is always my object so to proportion penalties to offences as to be sufficient and not more than sufficient, to attain the legitimate objects of punishment, and that out of the very large number of cases of Fraud on the Revenue, which have come before me in this District, there have been only ten fines levied to the amount of the smaller, and only two to the amount of the larger, of the two sums which Petitioners state to be constantly imposed for the most trivial offences, 50 Rupees and 100 Rupees and in reply to the second part of it that whenever it has been necessary to remove Meerasidars from Office for misconduct I have always nominated other Meerasidars in their room where it was practicable, considering that in every respect better than the intrusion of non-Meerasidars.

Para

J.G.B.

15. Para 11 Complains of the grant of some jungle land on cowle. The land in question is part of a vast tract of thick jungle, about 300 Cawnies has been given in Cowle to a number of Ryots from another part of the Country, who posses ample means, and have already cleared and Cultivated a considerable part of the land.

North Arcot District
Sub Collector's Cutcherry,
Arcot, 31st May, 1812.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Sub Collector.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

Abstract-

10828 Fort St. George

[illegible] Paper at Letter
in reply to the
one, that it is
transportation fee
be sufficient a

10830

Fort St. George 22d. September 1812.

Abstract Statement Shewing the quantity of Cultivable Land in the Sub Collectorate of North Arcot with the extent of Cultivation and waste in Fusly 1217.

Irrigation	Rate per Cawnee.	Fixed tierwah per each Cawnee		Total Cawnees fit for Cultivation	Punjah Cultivation of Fusly 1217		Remaining Poonasy Runjer Cawnees	Poonasy on 2d Crop	Percentage of Cultivation to total Cultivable Land	Remarks.
		Highly assessed than all	Less assessed than all		Cawnees	Teerwah				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Pags. a.	Pags. a.	Cawnees as	Cs. as	Pags. as	Cs. as	Cs. as	Cs. as	
	From 11 to 12	11 6½	11 ¾	16 ¼	1 12	19 10	14 1¼	" 5	10 15	
	From 10 to 11	10 15	10 ½	27 14½	" 1¾	1 2	27 12¾	" "	" 6¼	
	From 9 to 10	10 "	9 3	134 11¾	26 6¼	253 2½	108 5½	19 11	19 8½	
	From 8 to 9	9 "	8 ⅜	232 3½	46 2¾	393 ¼	186 ¾	16 11¼	19 14¼	
	From 7 to 8	8 "	7 3/16	703 5½	283 12¾	2128 7½	419 8¾	168 1½	40 5½	
	From 6 to 7	7 "	6 ⅛	1802 8½	741 14¼	1822 1½	1060 10¼	307 7	41 2½	

From 5 to 6	6	"	3	1/4	2949	6/4	1575	4/4	8707	12/4	1371	2	692 13 53 8
From 4 to 5	5	"	4	1/8	6109	23/8	3670	9/8	1631	12/4	2438	9/4	1313 3/8 60 1/4
From 3 to 4	4	"	3	1/8	12879	11/8	8163	8 3/8	2837	0 12/4	4716	6/4	2703 5/4 63 6
From 2 to 3	3	"	2	1/8	1687	9/4	11384	"	2320	3 12	5173	9/4	3033 13 67 8/4
From 1 to 2	2	"	1	3/8	8160	3/8	5501	11/4	8360	1/8	2638	7/8	1071 13/8 67 6/4
Sfo Haw one	1	"	"		10/8	295	13/2	111	9/4	92	12	184	3/4 " 12/4 37 11/2
Total	11	6/2	"		10/8	5016	13 7/8	3159	13	9231	10/8	1865	9 7/8 9350 8/4 62 12/4

Sfo Haw one — 1 " " 10/8 295 13/2 111 9/4 92 12 184 3/4 " 12/4 37 11/2

From 1 to 2 — 2 " 1 3/8 8160 3/8 5501 11/4 8360 1/8 2638 7/8 1071 13/8 67 6/2 1100 Rgodas Sfo than the acheard Amount —

From 2 to 3 — 3 " 2 1/8 1687 9/4 11384 " 2320 12 5173 9/4 3033 13 67 8/4 The Total Amount of Sfe. foot of the Column abroad

From 3 to 4 — 4 " 3 1/8 12879 11/8 8163 8 3/8 2837 0 12/4 4716 6/4 2703 5/4 63 6 Land in Column & also found on the Eastern ot the mountain of the

From 4 to 5 — 5 " 4 1/8 6109 23/8 3670 9/8 1631 12/4 2438 9/4 1313 3/8 60 1/4 The Land Sfe. not the Eastern Column

From 5 to 6 — 6 " 5 1/4 2949 6/4 1575 4/4 8707 12/4 1371 2 692 13 53 8

| | | |

10833

43-44

Order thereon,
Read again
in 31st Octr

Fort St. George 22d September 1812.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of South Arcot

Reporting upon the Cultivation of Mauritius Sugar Cane in South Arcot with reference to the Boards letter of 22d August 6-

45

Para 1. In reply to your letter of 22d Ultimo, I have the honor to state that of the small quantity of Mauritius cane sent to each Talook of this District 3 years ago, all failed except in one instance.

2nd Private individuals have since tried 3 or 4 times, but with no success. The natives far prefer the cane of the Country. The ill success that has been witnessed, arising some times from the cane having been bad when supplied, and at others from a want of care in its cultivation, has firmly established this prejudice.

3 I do not believe that any Sugar has been made from Mauritius cane in South Arcot.

4 I am so satisfied that this prejudice is to be overcome, if the natives can only see with their own eyes the cane growing in

10832

Fort St. George 22d September 1812.

Abstract of Sugar taken

	Qr.	at	Cwt.	at	Cwt.	at
From 11 to 12						
From 10 to 11	19	10	1	5	16	15
From 9 to 10	1	2	30	12½	"	"
From 8 to 9	20	13½	11	10¾	20	12
From 7 to 8	10	5½	238	10½	91	1¾
From 6 to 7	30	5½	513	11	288	¾
From 5 to 6	69	52	1214	8¼	617	6¼
From 4 to 5	101	89	1626	11¼	969	½
From 3 to 4	198	13	2847	8¼	1918	5⅝
From 2 to 3	31	392	603	10½	3158	6¾
From 1 to 2	3	0998	6520	3¼	3457	5
Less than one	88	2	3054	11⅜	1148	10⅛
	93	5½	185	3½	12	12¾
Total	1	3500	12	2257	10	1070

Total Cullys 5½ Arcot 5th September 1812

Signed J. D. Boudeillon
Sub Collr

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector.

Ordered

Collection of Sugar 1247.

Qu.	Remarks on Quality
Country	Farmed Canes Tinnah
16,900 lb	7030 lb 963 ⅝ 22nd 4/4
18,343	19 399 79 4/4 1606 11 3/4 10 10 6/4

in perfection, that I am now cultivating some at my own expense for free distribution, but I purpose as a means of extending it in a greater degree, to give, on condition of receiving back two fold in kind, of the cultivator has a full crop, distributing this again and so on -

5 I shall also make a portion of my own into Sugar on the spot, to prove the superiority of the cane in its yield of juice and quality of Sugar -

6 I need scarcely point out to the Board that this might be done to far greater advantage, if the Government would authorize a Collector to select uncultivated good waste land, and allow a small outlay to keep up one or two gardens, on some such principal as that I am adopting -

7 By this means the canes distributed would always be good. What has been sent from Madras has generally become bad from the journey before its arrival, and I suspect also, from having been grown on a very bad soil by artificial means, it

is was not of a healthy kind -

From the Collector of Trichinopoly, Paroomcoolattore, South Arcot, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, 14th September, 1812 -

Order thereon -

(45-46)

Signed / C. Hughes Hallett.
Collector.

Ordered to be recorded -

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 15th July 1811, I beg to state for the information of the Board that it is my intention to submit, at as early a period as practicable, a revised Kookoomanah for the District of Trichinopoly, and that the Board therefore may perhaps be saved some trouble, if they should think fit to postpone the consideration of that which accompanied my letter under allusion -

(47)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly, Voyalagoodalore, 1st Circuit Cutcherry, 10th September 1812.

Order thereon -

(47-48)

Signed / A. D. Onslow
Collector.

Ordered to be recorded.

To

10836 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th. Ultimo, in answer to mine of the 8th. of the same Month, submitting to the Board "for their orders" an application from Chellapah Moodeliar Deputy Sheristadar of the District of Trichinopoly, for his arrears of salary during the time he was under suspension.

(49)

In reply, I beg to state that the whole of the Salary in question has been carried to account, and no part of it employed for any other purpose whatever, that Chinnasawmy Pillay's remuneration was paid agreeably to the terms of my recommendation, and of the sanction of Government, out of the unappropriated Salary of the dismissed Sheristadar, Bapu Row, and that Raghavaindra Row has not received any portion of the Deputy Sheristadar's Salary. The whole sum therefore claimed by Chellapah Moodeliar has

been

10837 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

been carried to account, no portion of it having been appropriated to defray any Salary or expense whatever.

Trichinopoly District,
Voytalagoodalore
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry
15th. September 1842.

Signed J. A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence together with the paper therein referred to.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

To the Dy. Chief Secy. to Govt. 8th. Septr. 1842. in Con. 25th. Do.

(49-50)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying application from the Collector of Trichinopoly, for permission to pay to his Naib Sheristadar, Chellapah Moodeliar the arrears of his Salary, which accrued during the time that he was under suspension.

2. The Government are already well acquainted with the circumstances under which this officer was suspended, and are aware that he was fully acquitted on all the charges brought against him. He was actually under

suspension

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842.

suspension from January 6th 1840, to November 31st 1842, but Mr. Onslow withheld his pay from the 1st January 1840, and now asks — for sanction to disburse the arrears from that date till March 30th 1842 — The amount is £719 — 5 — 8, and the Board have ascertained from the Collector that this money is still available, no part of it having been given to the individual who officiated in the Serishta- -dar's department — The Board consider the application a reasonable and just — one, and recommend it for the sanction of Government —

From Coll.r 15th Inst. Con.d 22d Sept.r 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, &c. 22d September 1842.

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary —

From the P.l Coll.r of Madura — Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and his subordinates for Fusly 1251 —

(51)

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To The Principal Collector of Madura —

Sir,

To the P.l Coll.r of Madura.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return to you the Statement of Extra Tent allowance submitted for Fusly 1251, and in reference to the Remarks therein entered, to request that the number of days for which Mr. Owen is entitled to Extra Tent allowance as Acting Sub Collector, may be correctly charged @ 5 1/2 Rs per diem, exclusive of the days he resided at Dindigul

(51-52)

2 The Acting Head Assistant is not entitled to Extra Tent allowance while at Madura —

3 You will be pleased to submit a revised Statement at your earliest convenience —

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d Sept.r 1842.

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary —

Fort St. George 22nd September, 1842

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose the receipt in Duplicate &c.

(53)

for the amount of Cash in the Treasury given by E. B. Thomas Esq.r Acting Collector on my delivering over charge of the Treasury to him this day.

Tinnevelly 13th September 1842

[Signed] H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Received

10840

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842.

Received from Sir H. C. Montgomery Collector of Tinnevelly in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 2,20,918 — 1 — 7 being the balance of Cash upto this date according to the accounts of the Treasury.

Species	Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
			C. Rupees a P	C. Rupees a P	C. Rupees a P
Gold	1/3 Gold Rupees	3	500 " "	15 " "	
	Tully Fanams	9	17 5 1 "	1. 8 11	
	English Sovereigns	2	1,000 " "	20 " "	
					36 8 11
	Company's Rupees of 1835/40	187,079	100 " "	187,079 " "	
	D° 1/2 Pieces	4339	.50 " "	2169 8 "	
	D° 1/4 D°	6653	25 " "	1663 4 "	
	Company's Rupees	414	100 " "	414 " "	
	D° 1/2 Pieces	314	50 " "	157 " "	
	D° 1/4 D°	190	25 " "	47 8 "	
Silver	Double Annas	37177	12 8 " "	4647 2 "	
	Single D°	2,337	6 4 " "	146 1 "	
	Bombay Rupees	19,208	100 " "	19,208 " "	
	D° 1/2 Pieces	3,082	50 " "	1,541 " "	
	D 1/4 D°	578	25 " "	144 8 "	
	Half Pagoda Pieces	61	175 " "	106 12 "	
	Quarter D°	193	87 8 " "	168 14 "	
	Old Rupees	11	100 " "	11 " "	
	Spanish Dollars	369	219 14 2 "	811 6 "	
	German Crowns	1086	212 12 7 "	2,310 13 9	
	Madras Fanams	509	7 12 5 33	39 9 5	
	Poottens	479	3 4 4 "	15 10 8	2,20,681 " 10
Copper	Europe Copper Pice Pieces	22,349	" 8 4 "	116 6 5	
	New Copper Quarter Anna Pice Pieces	4935	1 9 " "	77 6 9	
	20 Cash Duties	342 3/4	1 15 1 34	6 10 8	200 7 10
	Total Company's Rupees				220918 1 7

Tinnevelly 13th September 1842. E. E. J.P.° / Signed / E. B. Thomas
Acting Coll.r

Jo.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Ag. Coll.r of Tinnevelly } Sir,

I have the honor to report my having taken charge of the balance of Cash in this Treasury amounting to Company's Rupees 2,20918 - 1 - 7 for which I have passed my Receipt to Sir H. L. Montgomery Collector.

54

Tinnevely 13th September 1842 } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Ag. Collr.

Order on the two foregoing letters.

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

53-55

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Ag. Collr of Tinnevelly } Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board my having this day received the charges of the Office of Collector and Magistrate of this District from Sir Henry L. Montgomery Collector.

56

Tinnevelly 13th September 1842 } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Ag. Collr.

Ordered

56-57

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

58

From the Pe Collr of Canara } Sir,

Para 1 In submitting my report to the Provincial Court of Circuit for the Western Division on the state of the Police of the District for the half year ending the 31st December 1840, I drew the attention of the Court to the inadequacy of the present Police force of the Town of Mangalore which was particularly adverted to in the letter of the Acting Additional Joint Magistrate, which accompanied my report. I at the same time expressed my intention of bringing the subject forward in a separate form with the view of obtaining sanction for some addition to the present Police Establishment of the Town of Mangalore.

Recommending an addition to the Police Sibbendy of the Town of Mangalore with reference to the Minutes of Consultation dated 21st March 1842. +23rd Feby 1841

2, Finding, however, that the expence of the additional force which would

would be requisite for forming any thing like an efficient preventive Police for the extensive Town and cantonment of Mangalore, was greater than I had anticipated, I hesitated to submit the application for the increased expenditure; and the orders of Government subsequently received (though in a different Department) restricting the submission of Estimates for new outlays, to works of absolute necessity, determined me to defer for the present any application on the subject, and I have since given what assistance I could to the Mungalore Police, by the occasional employment of Peons from the Sea Custom and Revenue Departments when not otherwise employed.

Minutes of Consultation dated 8th July 1842.

3, As in the Minutes of Consultation under date the 21st March 1842, forwarded for my information by the Provincial Court of Circuit Western Division, the Government have adverted to the proposition for the increase of the Police

Establishment

Establishment in the Town of Mungalore, and observing that the application intented to be made by me, had not been received, resolved to call upon the Board of Revenue to state, whether any report had been made to them on the subject, I beg leave now to submit, for the consideration of the Board, a list of the present Police force of the Town of Mangalore, with the increase which I think necessary, to render it efficient for the various duties required from it.

4. The total number of Police Peons in the Mangalore Talook is 50, of which only 20 are available for the Town Police, the remainder being employed in other Police duties, as specified in the accompanying Statement.

5. Of the 20 Peons under the Cutwal, 5 are required as a guard at the Choultry, and the remaining 15 are employed as a night police, in watching and patrolling the Town and are besides expected to be in

duty

duty during the day. In a large straggling Town like Mangalore, containing within the Police Jurisdiction, a population of not less than 40,000, it must be manifest that the small Police force above shewn, is quite inadequate for the ordinary duties of a preventive Police during the night, and for the efficient discharge of the various other duties which they are now expected to perform.

6. The increase to the force now proposed is, I am persuaded not more than sufficient for the maintenance of order, and the security of property in this populous Town, and the adjoining Cantonment; but should the Board not be disposed to ~~recommend~~ at once so considerable an addition to the expenditure on account of the Police, I would beg to suggest, that a Duffadar and 12 additional peons should at present be sanctioned, which with occasional assistance from other Departments will afford the means of introducing a much greater degree of order and protection within the Town, than can be obtained with the present limited Establishment.

/ Signed / H. M. Blair
Pt Collr
Present

Princ. Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore
3d September 1842.

Present Town Police of Mangalore.

No.	Rank	Monthly Pay Rupees	a	p	Remarks
1	Cutwal	17	8	"	
1	Shanbogue	7	"	"	
1	Duffadar	8	"	"	
17	Peons at 4½ Rupees each	76	8	"	
3	Do at 4 Rupees each	12	"	"	
		121	"	"	

Proposed increase to the Town Police

1	Duffadar	7	"	"	
12	Peons at 4½ Rupees each	54	"	"	
3	Do at 4 Rupees each	20	"	"	
		81	"	"	

/ Signed / H. M. Blair
Princ. Collr

Total

10847

Fort St. George 22nd September 1842.

Total Police Establishment of the Mangalore Talook.

No.	Rank	Monthly Pay	How employed
1	Police Peon	Rs. a p. 35 " "	At the Source of the Mangalore.
1	Ditto	17 8 "	Ditto.
10	8 "	"	Employed at Talook Cutchery
8	"	"	Employed under the Moolky Peishcar
8	"	"	At the Police Queen's Cutchery
1	"	"	Employed at the Cutcherie Choultry
24	"	"	2 Employed on the Talook's at the Cutcherie Choultry
1	8	"	At the Office of Moolky Peishcar - - - 10
52	"	"	Ditto of Queen of the Town - - - - 6
			2 Checkbundies at Creek & Canal Out - 6
			4 At the Mangalore Talookdar's Cutchery. 2
			Police guard to Rec's Kommul Dues. 6
			30
			Under the Town Cutwal - - - - - 20
			50
328	8	"	

Signed / H. M. Blair
Jr. Sec.

Ordered.

No.	Rank	Monthly Pay
1	Police Officer	
1	Cutwal	
1	Moorshee	
1	Ditto	
1	Ditto	
1	Shanbogue	
3	Duffadars at 8 Rupees each	
37	Peons at 4½ Rupees each	
13	Ditto at 4 Rupees each	

10848

Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

58-59

To the Principal Collr of Canara.

Stating that the Board do not consider that he has made apparent a necessity for the measure of Establishment solicited in his letter of the 8th Instant and suggesting certain arrangements for changing the distribution of the Police force in the Town of Mangalore without additional expense.

Sent. 22nd Sept. 1842.

To the Principal Collector of Canara.

Sir,

In acknowledging your letter of the 3rd Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that they do not consider that you have made apparent a necessity for the increase of Establishment which you solicit. The Board think with you that the present Police force employed in the Town of Mangalore is inadequate for so populous and wealthy a place; but it appears to them that by some modification of the existing Official Establishment of the Talook, provision may be made for the wants of the town in this respect, without any augmentation of charge.

2. Of the 50 Police Peons of the Mangalore Talook, 10 are under the Moolky Paishkar; this appears an extraordinary and unnecessary number for such an Officer. In most Districts the Paishkar manages to transact his business with no more than 2 or 3 Peons of all

all departments. Six are employed as a guard at the talook Cutchery; if there is besides these, x a Revenue guard over the Treasury, the number seems needlessly large, especially as the greater part of persons under investigation before the Tahsildars might better be in Custody at the Cutwals Choultry. The whole number of Peons of the Talook is 145, all of whom are available for Police duties; and though it may be desirable that the Peons of the minor departments should be more exclusively employed in their proper duties, yet there remain 97 Peons of the Police and Land Revenue departments; the functions of which, except at large towns, are generally better performed by the same than by separate individuals. The talook contains no fewer than nine duffadars; and the Board see no reason why one Police duffadar out of the two employed at the talook Cutchery, should not be transferred to the Cutwals Choultry.

3. If the foregoing suggestions for changing the distribution of the Police force were acted on, the number at Mangalore would be increased nearly as much as you wish. It appears to the Board too that some additional funds might be made available, by reductions in other quarters. It is observed that the Mangalore talook possesses Sixteen Monshies in the Revenue and Magisterial departments; there are two Dufterbunds, and two Sheriffs, in the Revenue Department only; besides large establishments in the other Departments. Few talooks under this Presidency are provided with Establishments on a more liberal scale than Mangalore; and under these circumstances, the Board cannot recommend an increase, till every means has been used to make the present sanctioned outlay sufficient to meet any new demand.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd September 1842.

/ Signed / J. D Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read.

x Sec: XXV. Reg.n IX. of 1816.

* Salt, Tobacco, Land Customs.

Read the following letter.

60

From the Coll.r of Madras.—

Reporting that the proposed purchase of a portion of a house & Ground in the possession of one Manada Gramany at St. Thome for widening the road to the Adyar has not been completed in consequence of his inability to give a valid title to it.—

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 5th instant respecting the proposed purchase of a portion of a house and ground in the possession, of one Manada Gramany at St. Thome, and to report that, immediately on resuming charge of this Office in November last, I called on Manada Gramany formally to transfer the portion of ground in his occupancy required for the purpose of widening the road to the Adyar, and desired him to produce his title deeds for that purpose.

2. After various delays, he declared that the title deed of the property was lost.— Having reason to believe this to be untrue, means were taken to have the instrument brought forward. This was eventually done

Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

done by the Mortgagee of the house and ground, who came to the Cutcherry, accompanied by the Brother of Manada Graniny who claimed an equal share in the property. Manada Graniny on would not acknowledge the validity of the mortgage, of which however, he had at one time previously spoken. In the end, he refused to part with any portion of the ground which was wanted, unless a transfer were taken from him alone, and the whole consideration money paid to him, whilst his Brother and the mortgagee would not consent to give up the Title Deed, or to allow any entry to be made on it, unless half of the money were paid to them, and they were allowed to take part in the transfer. In the hopes that the parties might come to a friendly understanding on the subject according to my recommendation, I did not immediately report upon the subject, but, as no title could be obtained under the

Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

the circumstances, and the ground could not, without injustice to one of the parties legally interested in the property, be on taken possession of, the purchase has not been completed.—

Madras, 12th September 1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To,
The Acting Chief Secy. to Government.

To the Ag. Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying

(60-61)

From Coll. 19th Oct. 1841
inclos. 21st " " "
To Govt. 21st " " "
From Govt. 26th " " "
inclos. 4th Nov. "
From Supt. Govt. Roads 30th August 1842.
inclos. 5th Sept. "
From Collector 12th " " "
in Cons 22nd " "

accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras, reporting his having failed to complete the purchase of a portion of a house and ground required for the purpose of widening the Road leading from St. Thome to the Adyar, in consequence of the owner being unable to give a valid Title.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd September, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

Read the following letter.

(62)

From the Collr. of Madras.

Reporting the death of Urzum Hussain the holder of a Pension of Rupees 2-10-8. and recommending the continuance, to his Widow and daughter, of the regulated proportion of Rupees 1-5-4 or Rs 10-8. each per Mensem, and the payment of the arrears remaining undrawn Rs 6-10-8.

From Bd 26th March 1821.

To.
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that Urzum Hussain, Member of the family of the late Jaghiredar of Leetumbauk, and the holder of a Pension of Rupees 2—10—8 per mensem, having died on the 31st May last his widow Anneo Beebee and Daughter Karcem Beebee, the former of whom is 25 years and the latter 4 years of age, applied to me for the continuance of the portion of the allowance they are entitled to under the orders of Government of date the 26th March 1821.

2. The deceased was one of the Sons of Gholam Hussain Khan whose name is included in entry No. 5 of the Statement submitted to the Board on the 24th February 1821. The allowance granted to Gholam Hussain Khan was Rupees 8 of which 2/3rds or Rupees 5-5-3 were, at his death, continued to Urzum Hussain and his Brother Ameen Hoosain.

3. Urzum Hussain's share being Rupees 2-10-8 his Widow and Daughter who are his only legal representatives, are entitled to Rs 1-5-4, to be enjoyed by them in equal shares for life.

4 I beg leave therefore to recommend that

Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

that the necessary sanction may be procured for continuing to the applicants, Ammee Beebee and Kareem Beebee, the regulated proportion of Rupees 1—5—4 or Annas 10—8 each per mensem, and for discharging the arrears remaining undrawn up to the 31st Ultimo Rupees

Urzum Hussains pension remaining undrawn from 1st to 31st May 42 Rupees 2—10—8.
The regulated proportion of Rupees 1—5—4, from 1st June to 31st Augt 42 } 4—0—0.

6—10—8. 6—10—8.

Madras, 13th September 1842.

/ Signed / A. Robertson
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(62-63)

Ordered that the foregoing letter, reporting the death on the 31st May ult. of Urzum Hoossain a member of the Salumpauk Sagheedar's family, and the holder of a pension of Rupees 2—10—8, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Collector may receive sanction to pay the † Widow and °Daughter of the deceased the sum of Rupees 1—5—4 per mensem, being the amount to which they are entitled for life under the orders of Government dated 20th March 1821.

† Ammee Beebee
° Kareem Beebee.

Statement. Read the following Statement.

Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 12th to 18th September 1842.

(64)

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the Year 1842.	Quantity actually in store at the Depôt ready for exportation	Remarks.
	Bl. Mds.	Bl. Mds.	Bl. Mds.	Bl. Mds.	
Madras	300,000	170,069	123,931	123,931	Since the date of last report no application was received during this Week. Quantity of Salt shipped up to last report Bengal Maunds..... 145,469. Shipped in part of applications made up to last Week Viz:- Barque Emerald Isle 11,880. Do. Bangalore... 11,160. Do. Acasta..... 7,560. 30,600
					Bengal Maunds 176,069.

N. B. In addition to Bl. Mds. 1,23,931. ready for exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842, there is an excess of Bengal Maunds 8,563 3/8 in store at the Ennore Beach, about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.

Madras, 19th September 1842. } E. E. Js. / Signed / A. Robertson
Collector

Ordered

10857 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

64-65

Ordere thereon.- Ordered to be recorded..

Read the undermentioned Registers..

From the Collector of Sinnevelly. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of July last..

66

From the Collector of Sinnevelly. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of August last..

67

Order on the two abovementioned Registers.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office..

66-68

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched..

To The Acting Prin.l Collector of Cuddapah.

To the Ag. Prin.l Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Sir,

In transmitting the accompanying further Petition No. 1380 of 1842, from Obel Reddy and others of Goonnasamoodrum Village in your District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to the Board's endorsements on their former Petitions

69

No. 1020 of 1842 and No. 427 of 1842..

10858 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

Petitions noted in the Margin, dated 24th June 1841 and 28th May 1842, and with reference to the endorsements passed by Mr. Ashton and yourself under dates August 1841 and 28th May 1842, which were produced by the Petitioners, to request you will be good enough to furnish the Board with the particulars of the case at your earliest convenience..

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22nd September, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To The Prin.l Collector of Salem.

To the Prin.l Coll.r of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Petition No. 1230 of 1842, from Seetayherry Pillay the Meerasee Curnum of certain Villages in Sengaracottah Talook of your District, and to request you will be good enough to

70

10859 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

to explain with reference to your endorsement dated 29th March 1842, whether Petitioner is the hereditary Cummum and why his claim has been set aside.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd September, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To,

The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

To the Coll.r in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to the circular letter from this Office dated 14th July 1831, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remind you that the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last, which ought to have reached this Office by the 1st Ultimo, has not yet been received, and to request that you will transmit the same with as little delay as possible.

71

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
22nd September, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To.

10860 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

To,

The Accountant General
Civil Auditor and
Sub Treasurer.
Committee for passing
Contingent charges.

To the Acct.t General, Civil Auditor and Sub Treasurer.

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1841, published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that month, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent charges incurred in this Office for the Month of August 1842, amounting to Rupees 45-13-8. and to request that they may be passed and returned.

72

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd September, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Accountant General

Indent on the Commissary General for the furniture required to

73.

to be repaired and Varnished for the use of his office.

Order thereon. (73-74) Ordered that the Indent be passed and returned.

From the Civil Auditor. (75) Indent for thirteen and half yards of Green Cloth.

Order thereon. (75-76) Ordered that the Indent be passed and returned.

From the Actg Princl Collr of Cuddapah. (77) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of August last.

From the Actg Princl Collr of Cuddapah. (78) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance of Bunganapully Jaghire for the Month of August last.

From the Actg Princl Collr of Cuddapah. (79) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt, for the Month of August last.

From the Collector of Guntoor. (80) Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of August last.

From the Collector of Guntoor. (81) Reporting that there have been no cases of Village Officers suspended or dismissed under — Regulation

Regulation VII of 1831, for the Month of August last.

From the Princl Collr of Madura. (82) Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of August last.

From the Princl Collr of Madura. (83) Return of Persons suspended or dismissed in conformity to Section VII Regulation VII of 1831, for the Month of August last.

From the Princl Collector of Nellore. (84) Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.

From the Princl Collector of Nellore. (85) Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of Salt to Chittagong on Vessels, on account of the Indent for 1841/42, for the Month of August last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. (86) Statement of Goods recommended to be released, on payment of extra duty, for the Month of August last.

Ordered

10863 Fort St. George, 22nd September 1842.

Order thereon.— Ordered that the Goods enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be released on payment of Extra duty.—

(86-87)

" Kirne.

"

"

" A. Maclean

"

10864 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

John Dent Esqre Senior Member

Monday 26th September 1842. } G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member

Alexander Maclean Esqre Jr. Third Member

and

Sir Henry C. Montgomery Bart Acting Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved

Sir Henry C. Montgomery Bart has in pursuance of his Appointment published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 2nd Ultimo Assumed his Seat at the Board this day as Acting Temporary Member and subscribed the following Oath according to Section II Regulation I of 1803.

Oath of Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart } I, Henry Montgomery do promise and swear, that I will to the utmost of my endeavours well and faithfully execute and discharge the duties of an Officer of Revenue, reposed in and committed to me by the East India Company, and that I will not demand take

(1)

take or accept directly or indirectly by, me myself or by any other person for my use, or on my behalf, of or from any Rajah, Zemindar, Talookdar, Poligar, Farmer, Renter or Ryot, or from any person paying or liable to pay any tribute, rent or tax, to or for the use of the said Company, any sum of money or other valuable thing by way of Gift Present; or otherwise, over and above or besides and except the actual tribute, rent or tax, authorized to be taken by and for the use of the said Company, and that I will justly and truly Account for, answer and pay, all the rents, duties and other revenues, and sums of money which shall come to my hands, or to the hands of any person or persons in trust for or employed by me as an Officer of the Revenues of the said Company, unto the said Company.

Sworn to before me this 26th day of September 1842 at Madras. } /Signed/ H C Montgomery.

/Signed/ J. Dent
Justice of the Peace.

Ordered that the Oath Subscribed by Sir Henry C. Montgomery Bart be

be submitted to Government together with the following letter ---

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt } (1-2)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to report that Sir H. Montgomery Bart has this day assumed his seat at the Board, in pursuance of his appointment to act as Temporary Member, having first taken and subscribed the Oath herewith transmitted.

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 26th September 1842. } /Signed/ J D Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the following Extract

From the Actg Chief Secy to Govt } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th September 1842.

Read the following letter.

(3)

From Officiating Secretary to the Government of India.

To W Elliot Esqre Acting Secretary to Government Fort St. George.

Dated Fort William 26th Augt 1842.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 965 dated the

Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

the 9th Instant, with its enclosures, and in reply to convey the sanction of the Honorable the President in Council for the continuance of the Yeomiah allowances in the Nellore District recommended by the local authorities and supported by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Viz.ts Rupees 5 per month in the name of Syed Kayamoodeen son of Syed Boorahmooden and Rupees 1/4 per month in that of Inohadeen Shah son of the former incumbent.

2 The Original Enclosures of your letter are returned herewith

/Signed/ Fred. Jas. Halliday
Offg Secretary to the
Government of India.

Ordered that the sanction conveyed in the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the recommendation submitted by them under date the 13th of December 1841 and also to the offices of account and Audit, together with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th of February 1842 No. 150 and the letter to which the one above recorded is a reply.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Acting Secy to Govt

Resolution thereon.

3-4

Resolved that a transcript of the foregoing letter from the Government of India dated 26th August last and of the Resolution of this Government thereon be communicated to the Principal Collector of Nellore for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 2nd June last.

Read

Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry, reporting on the settlement of the Government Estates in his District for fusly 1250

/Here enter January 26th 1842 No. 10/

Inl. Cons. 7th Feb.y 1842.

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Government Estates in the District of Rajahmundry for fusly 1250.

5

1 The Season is stated to have been very unfavorable. The absence of early rains deprived the Ryots of that supply of cheap dry grains on which they commonly support themselves, while the principal cultivation is in progress, and though the commencement of the south west monsoon promised well, the hopes thus raised were completely frustrated by the total failure of the North East monsoon. Mr. Smith observes that the importations of rice from Arracan were large, which though by lowering the price of home produce, they increased the burden of the grower and enhanced the difficulty of realizing the Revenue yet were of great benefit to the population at large having probably been the means of averting a famine.

2. Mr. Smith gives a Statement of exports and imports of grain and the precious Metals from and into the Rajahmundry District for the last

last ten years, designed to shew how great the failure of the crop has been in the last three seasons. From this it is seen that the exp= port of grain during Fusly 1250 was less [29-12-2] and the import greater [17784-4-3] than in any year of the ten, while the average annual import during the last three years very greatly exceeds the average of the preceding seven and the average export of the later period is far below that of the earlier. There are some errors in the statement, owing to the omission from the calculation of averages, of the years in which there were no transactions, but though this affects some particulars of the results, it does not alter their general bearing.

3 Fine Estates containing 48 Villages and bearing a permanent assessment of 80708-3-2 were brought to sale in September of the Fusly under review, for arrears, and all were purchased on account of Government. The balance of Peishcush against them was 103278-7. and the purchase money of the five exp= amounted to 44500. By this transaction the number of Government Villages in Rajahmundry district is raised from 301 to 342 of which twenty are Inam Villages

† To Chief Secy October 29th 1840. Extr Min of Cons November 13th 1840. No 1251 in Cons 3d Dec.

Villages on various tenures. Of the remaining 329 Villages 87 were under Veesabuddy or exp= individual settlement 31½ were settled on exp= aparah or a division in kind and 210½ were under rent. The Veesabuddy and aparah exp= Villages of the Mogalatoor and Nuggarum talooks were settled by the Head Assistant and the remainder by the Collector himself.

Board's Proceedings 4 3d Dec 1840 Para 7 & 18 Min of Cons 26th January in Cons 4 Feb 1841 Para 4

Both the Board and Government have expressed opinions in favor of the exten= sion of the renting system in the Rajahmundry District until better means are at the Collector's disposal for making individual settlements and this extension appears to be in progress in Fusly 1249, omitting the Villages newly exp= acquired in that year, there was an exp= increase of 47 Villages in the number rented, and in Fusly 1250 omitting again the new acquisition there is further increase of 39. Mr. Smith states however that these exp= rents are made Ryauny that is individu= ally, each Ryot being responsible for a exp= proportion of the Beriz corresponding to his share of Cultivation, and for no more.

This

The species of Rent appears to the Board to be open to serious objections - as there is no collective responsibility, an opening is again made for similar abuse and fraud to those brought to notice on a former occasion by the Collector, and which the Board and Government united in desiring to put an end to, whereby the rich Ryots were able to secure greater advantages to themselves than those possessed by their poorer neighbours and under which system though the amount of the settlement might be such as to indicate improvement in the Revenue, yet such large balances necessarily remained that really there was a deterioration - In like manner it is in the power of the richer Ryots under a Rijany rent to combine and monopolize the good lands, and saddle the poorer with the bad, and thus large balances will again accumulate: for as each Ryot is answerable for his own holding only the demand against the poorer Ryots really unable under the system above noticed to pay cannot be made good from any source.

Neither

Neither of this evils, it appears to the Board, attaches to a joint rent - Under such a settlement all the Villages, or so many of them as are parties to the rent being jointly liable for the whole Berize, will apportion the lands equally among themselves, or in the second case among themselves and the other Ryots, as nothing can be gained by an unequal division - Each Ryot will pay his portion of the whole demand: or if in any case, he fail to do so, the Revenue does not suffer, as the deficiency is made good by the other renters except where special circumstances may render a general remission necessary -

In Para 8 Mr. Smith gives a Statement of the settlement of the rented Villages of Fusly 1250, for that and the preceding Fusly, shewing an increase in the old Government Estates to the amount of 3,723-11-5: but the arrears in the rented Villages at the date of the Collectors report were no less than 59,263-8-11, out of a total demand of 337,090-5-7 - Mr. Smith says himself

himself that a considerable remission must be made out of this balance, and it is improbable that but a small part will in fact ever be realized. The Board wish Mr. Smith to form no more Pirjauny rents, but to adhere to the joint rent system. all the advantages described in the conclusion of Para 8 of his report on Fusly 1249 belong to the latter not less than to the former.

7. In the Villages settled on Aparah, (Ryot) there is a division of the crop in kind, there is a great falling off. In the two old Talooks of Ingulatoor and Woondy, the Aparah Villages were 21½ and there was a diminution in the Revenue from 18,514-0-1. in Fusly 1249 to 12,262-15-0-1250. This is ascribed to a want of rain, which both prevented the cultivation of the full extent of land engaged for, and lessened the produce of what was cultivated. There was also a decrease in the selling price of grain from 16 Rupees per poolly to 12 being 25 per cent.

8 The Veestabuddy Villages were 87 in number, 62 belonging to the old Estates and 25 to the

the new. The total Revenue of the lands engaged for was 1,35,808-13-2. the net settlement after deductions amounted to 1,04,947-11-9. The deductions come under three heads, Viz. 1st Annual remissions and Village Charges 5,781-15-9. which call for no remark, except that it is to be wished that the Collector had briefly explained what the annual remissions are especially in the newly acquired Villages. 2d list of lands unavoidably uncultivated amounting to 1,561-7-6. The extent of lands left waste, and whether the whole was remitted, or whether any was brought to the Jumma, and under what rules ought to have been stated. 3d Remissions for failure of crops to the large amount of 23,517-10-2 of which 9,954-6 was in the old estates, and Rs as p 13,563-4-2 in the new. This large remission like the others, is left wholly unexplained, being dismissed by the Collector with the brief remark that large as it was, it was hardly equal to the necessities of the season. This

may

may be true, but the Board ought not, withstanding to have been put in possession of the rules under which it was allowed and the precautions taken to guard against fraud- Permission having been given by Government to allow Ryots the liberty of throwing up under certain rules lands which they do not wish to cultivate there is the less reason for these heavy remissions for failure of crops as observed by the Board in their Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1247-

* August 22d 1839 Para 25

The Board would be glad to be more particularly informed under what restrictions they were granted in the present instance. In the Panmodam Estate the remission was considerably more than half the Annaracum Amount.

9 In the minor items of Revenue there is an increase of 1792-5 on Cham Cuttobady, Shrotriem Agraurums, and Poolary; and a decrease of 591-2-8 in the tax on Palmira trees. The course pursued by the Collector in the case of the Afsarah Cuttobady taxes in Saudimulla Estate, appears to have been the only one open to him under the circumstances

The

J.G.B.

The Board consider it desirable however, that the Afsarah tax should be converted into a fixed money charge and Mr. Smith will take measures to effect such a commutation at an equitable rate- although no documents exist to prove the justice of the complaints of exaction, yet if Mr. Smith sees reason to think them well founded, he will bear that in mind in arranging the amount of the equivalent-

10. The total settlement of all sources of Revenue in the Government Estates was 481505-2-9. of which 413584-14-5. was in the Old estates being 15293-15-6. below Fusly 1249 and 67920-4-4 in the new estates- The balances outstanding were heavy of the whole demand 406116-14-11. was realized up to the 30th November 1841. leaving a balance of 75388-3-10. of this sum, the rented balance was 59263-8-11. being 17 per cent on the settlement, the Cuttoobady balance was 14, the Vaesabady 12, and the Afsarah 2, per Cent on the settled demand under those heads respectively- The amount of the balance was reduced by the end of Fusly 1251. to 70077-15-10. being 14½ per Cent on the settlement, leaving the amount of Revenue actually realized

realized 38 per cent below the perma-
-nent assessment for little or none of
the outstanding demand will ever be
collected-

11. In Para 14 the Collector takes a view of the state of the various Government Estates in his district. He remarks that the Woondy and Pipperah Talooks have benefitted greatly by some repairs made to their works of irrigation. The Board are gratified to learn that such has been the result of the Mara-
-mut expenditure. Both this Board and Government have repeatedly directed Mr. Smith's attention to this important part of a Collectors duty. In the Godavery river and its branches, as well as in the smaller streams which traverse the Rajah-
-mundry district, that collectorate possesses facilities for the forma-
-tion of works of irrigation and it contains also ancient works which though they have been allow-
-ed to go to ruin, would in general well repay the cost of restoration. The Board wish the Collector to give his attention to this subject as they are satisfied that large increase of the Revenue

revenue as well as amelioration in the condition of the people would speedily follow the improvement of the Mara-
-mut works of the district. The Board cannot coincide with Mr. Smith in ascribing the deficiency and deteri-
-oration of the revenue so often noticed to a want of population and they believe that there would be found abundance of labourers to extend the cultivation if only it were made a profitable speculation to do so.

12. Mr. Smith is requested to furnish the Board with more detailed information regarding the Village of Gandaulah and the 200 Rupees of which he speaks as re-
-quiring to be remitted in that Village, to enable the Board to report the case to Government for orders.

13. Para 15. exhibits the balances in the Government Estates for the different fusleis from 1242 to 1249 divided into recoverable and irrecoverable. Out of a total arrear of 2,78913-9-4 outstanding at the end of November 1841 for the fusleis above stated 2,11755-11-7. is set down as irrecover-
-able under the three heads of balances due

† Collectors Enclosure No 17-

due by deceased, by absconded, and by poor Ryots and the remainder 67,157-13-9. is entered as recoverable - The Collector does not explain how the circumstances of the defaulters, whether poor or not, were ascertained but the Board fear that a far larger sum has been put down as recoverable than is likely ever to be realized -

14. From the Statement in Para 15. of the report, it appears that out of 12,055-11-5. collected on account of arrears from the beginning of fusly 1250 to the end of November 1841, no less than 1751-2-6. was on account of the last two fusles 1248 and 1249 though the balances of the earlier years were scarcely less heavy. Judging from this analogy little is to be expected to be realized hereafter out of the balances antecedent to fusly 1249 - The Board desire, therefore, that the Collector will make a further investigation into the balances returned by him as recoverable, with the simple object of ascertaining how much of them is bona fide due from Ryots, & how much has been received from the ryots and retained

returned by the Village officers, the latter class of balances are of course to be rigorously exacted, but as to the former the Board think that, except in cases of fraudulent or contumacious withholding of payment, of which the Collector will of course take notice, it will be better that they should be entirely struck off at least up to fusly 1247 inclusive. Mr. Smith will be pleased to submit the information here called for as early as he may be able, mean while the Board have no hesitation in recommending to Government that the balances (21,753-11-7) set down in the Statement as irrecoverable should at once be written off -

15. In the amount of irrecoverable balances is a sum of 306-3-5. standing against a Ryot named Bawsumsetty Nunganah for fusly 1247. which requires special notice. In fusly 1246. this individual held land assessed at 942-3. In fusly 1247. he asked permission to give up a part of this land, but as that application was not preferred in proper time, or according to the rules of the District & was made with the fraudulent design of retaining for pasturage

posturage the land ostensibly to be relin-
=quished, his request could not be com-
=plied with, and the whole was brought
to Sumnah. Nauganah afterwards
brought an action against the Collector
for attaching his property to satisfy
this demand, and Mr. Smith was
authorized to defend the suit at
the public cost. Eventually the Plain-
=tiff withdrew his action and paid
his balance in full. Upon which
Mr. Smith, who thought he had re-
=sisted his claim as involving an
important general principle, thought
nevertheless that his case merited
indulgent consideration, submitted
a recommendation to the Board
that 306-3-5, being a moiety of the
balance then due by Nauganah
should be remitted.

16. Throughout Mr. Smith's correspondence and in the Answer filed by him to Nauganah's Plaint, the Board have looked in vain to find any specification of the quantity of land which the latter person proposed to relinquish in fusly 1247, but from the examina-
=tions held by the Collector in the case under Regulation IX of 1822, which arose from the

in

15th January 1839. in toto 28th

From Collr. Rajahmundry July 25th 1840. Para 1. In toto 10th Augt.

July 25th 1840.

the transactions above narrated it
appears that he wished to give up
land assessed at 372 Rupees, and to
retain the remainder bearing an
assessment of 570-8. supposing him
then to have derived no profit what-
=ever from feeding his cattle on
the land left waste, and that is stated
to be in Rajahmundry a very pro-
=fitable employment of land, the
only balance that ought to have
been outstanding on this account
would be 372 Rupees. In Mr. Smith's
answer Nauganah's balance for
fusly 1247 is stated at 422-4 and
there is also a balance of 187-13-10
for fusly 1246 total 576-1-10. the
Board are not apprised how this
subsequently increased to 612-6-10.

17. They desire further in-
=formation regarding Mr. Smith's re-
=commendation, they request that
he will inform them of the grounds
on which he recommends indul-
=gence as to the balance of fusly 1246,
how the difference between 576-1-10.
and 612-6-10. accrued and why any
part of that amount should be re-
remitted. He will also submit
an estimate of the profit which
Nauganah may have derived from the
land.

From Collr. Rajahmundry July 25th 1840.

land which he employed as pasturage. Being informed on these points the Board will be better able to judge whether he is deserving of indulgent consideration but in determining that question they will be constrained to bear in mind that he has been guilty of contumacy and fraud. Mr. Smith will also explain how he can recommend the remission of a balance stated to have been already liquidated in full -

18 In Mr. Smith's letter of the 30th November 1838, and in his answer to the Court it is stated that Nanganah held land to the amount of 942-8. in fusly 1246 and in fusly 1247 to the amount of 900-4. and that it was out of this latter quantity that he proposed to make a further relinquishment. From the proceedings before Mr. Smith in the case adverted to above in Para 16, it does not appear that there was this difference in the amount of Nanganah's holding in the two years, and Mr. Smith will be pleased to explain how the facts really were -

in Cons 20th Decr 1838

In

19. In Para 16 the Collector gives an abstract Statement* of the Balances in the Zemindaries amounting, current and arrears, to 14,12,355-6-3. In this and the corresponding particular Statement, the Estates are devided into classes according to their resources to meet the Government demand and the likelihood of its being realized. The first seven classes comprize those estates which are free from arrears and those to which certain periods of time, varying from one to five years, have been allowed for the liquidation of their balances. These need no remark. No 8 contains the estates under attachment regarding which it is only necessary to observe that the Board hope soon to receive from the Collector the subdivision accounts of Moongondah of which he speaks, as having been already prepared and sent to the proprietors for inspection. Class 9 contains the estates under management, being only two in number Ramchendra Posum & Vangayumpetah. Both these properties were

*No 11.

were taken under management at the commencement of fusly 1250, their circumstances are treated of at length in the Board's Proceedings of the 22d Instant on review of the Collectors report of the first years management, and no further remark is needed in this place. Class 10 contains the Estates of Minors on which nothing need be said here —

20 Class 11 gives a list of those estates which having been put to public sale have still demands outstanding against them, but it includes five estates put up to sale and purchased by Government, of which the still outstanding balance was written off on the fusly and one Woopada of which the balance was liquidated within it. Deducting these six estates, the demand against the remaining 22 at the commencement of fusly 1250 was 4235-49 12-3 which was increased at its termination to 501416-5-10 by arrears of Current Peishcush accruing in fourteen estates sold a number of years ago and purchased not by Government but by private persons. From this balance however is to be

† Auchuntah Panamoodum Taudimullah Gopaveram & Weelasawlly.

§ Minutes of Cons Nov. 13th 1840 No. 1251.

* Nuvomodavenam Canjah Goompmoolooroo Tanealunkah Degoomarroo Nejeram Pedda poor comprising 7 Estates & Coilapillay.

be deducted the sum of 19170-11-7. the arrears of the Pipparah estate which have been remitted by Government but which the Collector † delays to write off till the proprietress of the estate shall stay proceedings which she had commenced in the Zillah Court against some Ryots for the enforcement of her demand against them. The deduction leaves the actual arrears due from the estates entered in Class 11. at the amount of 482245-10-3 —

21. Out of this latter sum Mr. Smith recommends that 33809-9-1. should be struck off, being the arrears if the reverted † estates noted in the Margin remaining over and above the purchase money for which they were bought in. Of these estates Veeravaperam was put to sale in 1817 and bought in for 10 Pagodas the balance at the time of sale is stated to have been 3905-17-67 or 13668-14-2 and deducting thirty five Rupees, the sale price 13633-14-2. would remain, yet in a Statement dated 30th November 1818. the balance is stated at 40389-11-1. the sum now also stated being 31206-4-7.

for

* Veeravaperam Sidambrum Nungaveram Gobrioopoody Nagaveram & Plammuchily Comadavelly.

In Cons 11th Jan 1919.

Fort St. George 25th September 1842.

for fusly 1224 and 9,183-6-6. for fusly 1225 both years anterior to the sale. Mr Smith is requested to explain the discrepancy. Siddantum was sold in 1832 for a balance of 41,747-4-8 and was bought in for 6,000 Rupees this would reduce the balance to 35,747-4-8 but it is now stated by the Collector at 29,899-15-8, the Collector will be pleased to explain this. Aungaveram was put to sale in 1833 and was purchased by Government for 1450 the balance at the time of sale was 1,983-11-7. which would have been reduced by the sale to 533-11-7. but it is now stated at 175-4-13 this also is unexplained. Goonoopody was to was put up to sale in 1833 for an arrear of 3388-9-6. and was purchased for 600 the balance remaining would be 2788-9-6. but it is now set down at 4300-3-8. the difference needs explanation. Nagarum Estate being in arrear to the amount of 24286-3-6 was sold in 1832 and bought in for 28500 this cleared the balance and made Government a debtor to the viewer in the sum of 4213-13. which was paid by order of Government yet a balance of 45-3. is now stated to

† From Govt 5th Feb 1833 in Con Dept

Fort St. George 25th September 1842.

to exist against the late proprietor. Yalamenchily was put to sale in 1838 for an arrear of 1,375-1-2. and bought in for 1100 Rupees, this would leave a balance of 9,275-1-2. but the amount now stated to be outstanding is 7,757-3-8. Coonooda was put up in 1833, and bought in for 425 this would leave a balance of 4697-9-9. but the demand against it now is stated at only 1,241-15-11. Mr Smith appears to have altogether overlooked these variations, or to have forgotten that previously to recommending to Government the relinquishment of arrears of Revenue it is necessary that the Board should have all the detail before them and be acquainted with all their history. Mr Smith will now be pleased to furnish the requisite information on the points indicated above without delay.

Regarding the remaining arrears amounting to 39,436-1-2 Mr Smith is totally silent. This large sum is due from the fourteen estates adverted to in

22.

†Nil.

in Para 20. It is not apparent from the particular Statement† which accompanied the report, what part of the arrear of each of these estates is due for the period antecedent to their sales respectively, and what part of it has since accrued It is not less proper in the case of estates disposed of to private individuals than of those bought in by Government, that when a property is sold for arrears, the amount not covered by the sale price should be cancelled. The Board are much averse to the retention of large irrecoverable balances in the accounts, and they desire that Mr. Smith will, with all convenient speed, report in a separate letter regarding the balances charged against these fourteen estates. He is requested to include in the same communication the information called for above in paras 14-18 and 21. When reviewing the report for fusly 1249, both the Board and Government desired that in his report for fusly 1250 Mr. Smith

B. Pro. 15th Nov. 1841 Para 16. & 2nd Minutes of Govt. & Cons. of 7th January 1842. Para 6 in Cons 17th

Smith would report particularly on the balances in his District; and the Board regret that the information he has now furnished is so incomplete, that, after much trouble and search among their Records, they are quite unable to make a final recommendation to Government on the subject of these balances.—

23- The Statement contained in para 21 of the Collectors' report shews that the Gross Collections of Fusly 1250 exceeded those of Fusly 1249 in the sum of 25.240-5-1 notwithstanding a decrease in the total Settlement of all species of Revenue to the amount of Rupees 40.868-2-1-

Fusly 1249	17,78,259-1-1-
" 1250	18,03,499-6-2
	25,240-5-1

Fusly 1249	21,20,071-6-5
" 1250	20,79,203-4-4
	40,868-2-1

This result is satisfactory and

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

and is creditable to Mr. Smith It is not clear from the report whether the collections alluded to were on account of the Revenues of the year only, or whether they included arrears realized, but an examination of the periodical returns would seem to shew that all are included with this increase in the collection there was also a still larger increase in the

+ Fusly 1249 - 2,42,073 - 8 - 10
" 1250 - 2,96,851 - 5 - 9
54,777 - 12 - 11

+ Charges the causes of which are explained by Mr. Smith to be, a greater marasmic expenditure, a larger issue of Tuccavy in consequence of the additions to the Government Estates, and heavier sequestration expenses from a number of Estates having been attached. These reasons appear satisfactory, but the Board would desire to impress on the Collector

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Collector the necessity of restricting the latter item of expenditure in all cases as much as possible. The second item is not properly a charge; as the amount advanced is again recovered.

24 The Board are gratified to receive Mr. Smith's testimony to the abilities and usefulness of his Head Assistant Mr. W. Knox, and his Junior Assistant Mr. T. I. Knox, and are pleased that he is able to speak in such favorable terms of his Head Sherestadar.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be submitted to Government, and that transcript of them be also furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance.

Read the following account Current.

10893

Fort St. George

Dr. { Salt Account Current in the District

(6)

1842 or for the 4th Quarter of Masulipatam from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 of Fusly 1251. } Cr.

				Mg	M	M.S	Mg	M	M.S
1841 July 12th	To Balance on hand at the Commencement of the Current Fusly			"	"	"	7080	319	3 3/4
1842 July 11th	To Quantity of Salt produced from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842			"	"	"	"	"	"
	To Ditto of D. from 1st April to 11th July 1842		900	105	"	"			
							900	105	"
	To D. gained by measurement from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		52	268	"	3/4			
	To D. of D. D. from 1st April to 11th July 1842		4	380	"	"			
							57	248	" 3/4
	To D. of D. seized from Smugglers from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		"	292	6	3/4			
	To D. of D. D. from 1st April to 11th July 1842		"	71	"	"			
							" 363	6	3/4
	Total Salt						8039	236	2 3/4

26th September 1842

				Mg	M	M.S	Mg	M	M.S
1842 July 11th	By Total sales of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	Home Consumption	363	378	6	3/4	"	"	"
		Inland D.	1770	340	"	"	2134	318	6 3/4
	By D. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	Home Consumption	144	130	"	"			
		Inland D.	221	290	"	"	366	20	"
							2500	338	6 3/4
	By wastage found from the actual measurement of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		112	329	4	"	"	"	"
	By D. D. from 1st April to 11th July 1842		34	210	"	"	"	"	"
							147	139	4 "
							2648	78	2 3/4
	Balance on hand						5391	158	" "
	Total Salt						8039	236	2 3/4

10894

Fort St. George

Dr. { Money Account Current of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 or for the 4th Quarter of fusly 1251.

Cr.

1842				6Rs a ℔	6Rs a ℔
July 11th	To total Sales from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	Home Consumption	38,214 7 "	" " "	
		Inland Dr.	185939 4 "	224153 11 "	
	Dr. Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	Home Consumption	15154 2 "		
		Inland Dr.	23781 2 "	38,435 4 "	
				262,588 15 "	
	To amount of fines levied from Public Servants Dr. from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		73 10 7		
	To Dr. of Dr. from Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842		2 " "	75 10 7	
	Total Company's Rupees				262,664 9 7

6Rs a ℔

As per Demand Collection --- 262,634 15 7
Add Receipts on account of charges written back --- 29 10 "
262,664 9 7.

Particulars of the above Amount transferred within the fusly including charges written back --- 261,155 3 7
Since transferred --- 1509 6 "
262,664 9 7

26th September 1842

12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 or for the 4th Quarter of fusly 1251.

1842		6Rs a ℔	6Rs a ℔
July 11th	By Cash paid for Servants wages from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	3978 6 4	" " "
	By Dr. for Dr. Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	1544 14 5	5523 4 9
	By Dr. for Rufsooms from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	110 13 10	
	By Dr. for Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	52 2 10	163 " 8
	By Dr. for Extra Charges including sadeerwared from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	1395 14 11	
	By Dr. for Dr. Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	1057 " 7	2452 15 6
	By Dr. share or hire to the Manufacturers of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	" " "	
	By Dr. Dr. to the Dr. of Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	895 14 "	895 14 "
			9,035 2 11
	Net Revenue from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	218742 2 6	
	Dr. Dr. from 1st April to 11th July 1842	34887 4 2	253629 6 8
	Total Company's Rupees		262664 9 7

Masulipatam,
Collectar's Cutcherry ---
15th September 1842

C. C. of Dr.
Signed J. S. Mathison
Asst. Collectar

Ordered

10895
6-7

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following letter.—

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collr. of Guntoor

1. letter to the acc. Genl. 16th March 1842
1 Do. from Do. 10th Sept. 1842

With reference to the accompanying Correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanction of Government authorising the Military Auditor General to pass the charge of Rupees 22-9-10 debited to Military books on account of expenses incurred for conveying across the Kistna a party of Officers and followers, the prescribed certificate having been lost and no Copy preserved of it.—

8

Guntoor,
Magistrate's Office,
15th September 1842.

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Collector

No. 8

To

The Collector of

Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor under instructions from the Accountant General to

10896

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Received in this Office on the 1st December 1841.—

to return the accompanying Bill for expenses incurred for conveying across the Kistna River at Condigul a party of 14 young Officers and 140 followers proceeding from Madras to Secundrabad amounting to Rupees 22-9-10 and with reference to the enclosed Copy of an Extract from a Letter from the Military Auditor General dated the 17th Ultimo, and to Copies of the Correspondence forwarded with your letter to request that you will have the goodness to obtain the special sanction of Government authorizing the Military Auditor General to pass the Charge, debited in your accounts to Military Books for insertion in the transfer accounts of the Military Department of this Office and transmit a Copy of the same to this Department together with the Voucher now returned.—

Fort St. George,
Accountant Genl's Office,
10th September 1842.

/ Signed / G. M. Swinton
Head Assist. Accountt. General

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

A

Account Particulars of hire paid to the Boatmen employed in crossing a Party Consisting of 14 European young Officers and about 140 followers over the Kistna river at Bondigul on its way from Madras to Secundrabad as per Certificate furnished by the Officer Commanding, the same being the amount charged in the accounts for the Month of October 1841 as per sanction of Government under date the 12th September Conveyed in the Deputy accountant General's letter dated 14th December 1820

		£	s	p
Hire to 18 Men belonging to 3 Boats from 4th to 7th July 1841 being 4 days at 4 Annas each per day	18	"	4	
Hire to 3 Coolies for crossing Bullocks Horses &c from Ditto to Ditto being 4 days at Do Do	3	"		
Ditto to Coolies for putting Carts, Tents &c articles on Boats and landing them —				
11 Coolies on the 4th July at 4 dubs each, being 2 Sumkums and 12 dubs per	"	13	6	
10 Ditto on the 5th Do at Do being 2 Sumkums and 8 Dubs per	"	12	4	
		1	9	10
Total Company's Rupees		22	9	10

Guntoor, 31st October 1841

C. C. Pr. /Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector
Extract.

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

B

Extract from a letter from the Military Auditor General to the Accountant in the Military Department of accounts dated Fort St. George 17th August 1842.

No. 40. Bill of the Collector of Guntoor for expenses incurred in conveying across the Kristna River at Bondigul a party of 14 young Officers with 140 followers proceeding from Madras to Secundrabad in July 1841 Rupees 22-9-10

This charge cannot be passed by this Department unless supported by the Certificates prescribed in the 14th Para. Page 195 of the Pay and Audit Regulations.—

/True Extract/
/Signed/ G. L. Rendergast
Accountant Military Department

C

To The Accountant General
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your letters of the 17th December 1841 3d February and 10th Instant, I have the honor to enclose herewith Copies of Correspondence with

10899 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

with the Quarter Master General's Office from which you will perceive that it is not in my power to furnish the Certificate required by you—

Guntoor,
Collector's Cutcherry,
16th March 1842

/ Signed / A. L. Mathison
Acting Collector

To The Quarter Master General
of the Army
Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 29th May 1841. I have the honor to inform you that the Certificate given by the Officer in charge of 14 young Officers and 140 followers who crossed the Kistna river at Pondigul has been mislaid in this Office and to request you will have the goodness to cause a duplicate thereof to be furnished, this Voucher being called for by the Accountant General in support of the Charge in my accounts for October last—

Guntoor .....
Collector's Cutcherry
10th February 1842

/ Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

To The Collector of
Guntoor

Sir

With reference to the letter from this Department of the 15th February last, I have the honor by desire of the Commander of the Forces to enclose the Copy of

10900 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

of a letter from Captain Silver of the 20th Regiment the Officer who had charge of the party of young Officers proceeding to Secundrabad and to express my regret that a Duplicate Copy of the Certificate is not procurable—

Fort St. George,
8th March 1842

/ Signed / W. Strahan Lt. Coll.
Quarter Master General

To The Deputy Assistt. Qr. Mr. Genl.
of the Army
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 15th February calling upon me for a copy of a Certificate given by me (when in charge of 14 Young Officers and 140 followers who crossed the Kistna River at Pondigul) to the person authorized to receive it, and in reply beg to inform you that I am sorry it has been lost on the March between Ayeerghur and Belgaum—

Belgaum .....
27th February 1842

/ Signed / T. G. Silver
Brevt. Capt. 20th Regt.
Native Infantry

Resolution thereon—

8-9

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing letter and the Original Enclosures which accompany it be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, authority may be granted to the Military Auditor General to pass the Charge incurred for conveying across the Kistna river a party of Officers and followers, amounting to Rupees 22-9-10—

Read the following Account Current—

Salt

10991

Fort St. George

Dr. { Salt account Current in the District of Nellore and Angole from 1st April to 11th } Cr.

(10)

July 1842 of 26th September 1842

Fusly 1251.

1841		M.G	m	m	M.G	m	m
July 1st	To Balance of quantity remaining in Store at the close of last fusly	"	"	"	8,888	357	2
	To quantity Manufactured and put into 20 Garce Heaps.						
	In last quarter			4,637	321	"	
	In this quarter			900	"	"	5,537 321 "
	To quantity gained by measurement in the sales of 20 Garce Heaps						
	In last quarter			"	"	"	224 3
	To quantity of Confiscated Salt						
	In last quarter			"	"	"	1 1/4
	To quantity of Muster Salt						
	In last quarter			"	"	"	10 "
	C. Rupees						14,427 113 1/4

1842		M.G	m	m	M.G	m	m
July 1st	By Sales.						
	Inland Consumption.						
	In last Quarter			2070	303	"	
	In this Quarter			445	330	"	2,516 233 "
	Home Consumption						
	In last Quarter			1040	135	1/4	
	In this Quarter			519	92	"	1559 227 1/4
							4076 60 1/4
	By Exportation:-						
	To Chittagong.						
	In last Quarter 15,100 Bengal Maunds	M.G	m	m			
	In this Quarter 23,950 Do. Do.	137	133	3			
		2,173	96	"			2,310 229 3
	To Calcutta.						
	In last Quarter 112560 Bengal Maunds			1023	291	4	3334 120 4
							7,410 180 3/4
	By Wastage occasioned in fusly 1249 written off as per sanction of Government dated 19th June 1841.						
	In last Quarter			"	"	"	184 36 4
							7,594 217 3/4
	Balance remaining in Store						6,832 296 2
	Total M. Garce						14,427 113 1/4

N.B.

Wastage occasioned in fusly 1251.

In last Quarter	173	157	2
In this Quarter	96	360	7
	270	118	1

10902 || Fort St. George

Dr. { Money Account Current of Salt from 1st April to 11th July 1842 of Fisly } Cr.

26th September 1842

1251-

1842										
July 11th	To Sales									
	In land Consumption									
	In last Quarter			21,732	9	7				
	In this Quarter			46,811	10	2			2,64,141	1 9
	Home Consumption									
	In last Quarter			109	23	5	8	3		
	In this Quarter			54,519	2	4				
									163,754	10 7
	Total at 105 Rs. per Garce			4,25,895	12	4				
	Dr. at 100 Rs. sold by the Rock			2,000	"	"			4,27,895	12 4
	To Exportation									
	To Chittagong.									
	In last Quarter 15100 Bengal maunds at 25 Rs. per 100 Bengal Maunds			3,775	-	"				
	In this Quarter 238950 Dr. at Dr. per Dr.			59,737	8	"				
									63,512	8 "
	To Calcutta.									
	In last Quarter 11256 Dr. at 35 Rs. pr. Dr.			39,396	"	"				
									1,02,908	8 "
	To Fractions Gained in the retail sales								5,30,804	4 4
	In last Quarter			148	11	10				
	In this Quarter			66	3	9			214	15 7
	To Fines Rs. levied									
	In last Quarter			37	6	"				
	In this Quarter			3	2	"			40	8 "
	To Recoveries of advances made on account of Salt Manufacture								5,31,059	11 11
	In last Quarter			669	10	11				
	In this Quarter			4	8	"			674	2 11
	To Refunds of Extra Charges In last Quarter								2	5 2
	To Refunds of Shipping Charges In last Dr.								36	7 "
									C. Rupees	5,31,772 11 "

Nellore Principal Collectors Cutcherry 22nd September 1842

								Cr.		
								Rs.	a	p
1842										
July 11th	By Servants Wages									
	In last Quarter							17,860	6	4
	In this Quarter							8,945	5	4
									26,805	11 8
	By Saunderward including Extra Charges									
	In last Quarter							8,169	15	1
	In this Quarter							4,667	7	7
									12,837	6 8
	By Roofrooms.									
	In last Quarter							759	1	4
	In this Quarter							379	8	8
									1138	10 "
	By Manufacturers share.									
	In last Quarter							34,48	5	11
	In this Quarter							6,757	"	6
									41,205	6 5
	By Advances for the manufacture of Salt									
	In last Quarter							1,000	"	"
	In this Quarter							359	"	"
									1,359	" "
									83,346	2 9
	Net Revenue								4,48,426	8 3
	Company's Rupees								5,31,772	11 "

E. E. 7th Sept. Signed J. V. Stonhouse Pr. Coll.

Ordered

Order thereon (10-11)

Ordered to be recorded

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 7th and entered in Consultation 15th Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To the Asst. Princ. Collector of Cuddapah

To The Asst. Pr. Collr. of Cuddapah } Sir,

In Cons. 15th Septr. 1842

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant recommending with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th July 1841 that Assignments of Land should be made to certain Choultries erected by Butcha Row the late Head Sheristadar in Cuddapah.

(12)

2 When the Board in their Proceedings above referred to suggested, agreeably to the recommendation of the Acting Principal Collector Mr. Hallett, that specific Assignments of Land should be made for each of these Choultries, they were not aware that the subject had already been before Government and that Assignments to some of the Choultries included in this Statement now submitted with Mr. Arbuthnot's

L.G.B.

letter

letter had been refused. But under these circumstances the Board decline again to make any recommendation to Government on the subject and they remark with regret the entire omission in Mr. Arbuthnot's letter of all reference to former correspondence.—

Mem. Con. 25th Feby. 1831 in Cons. 6th March

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th Sept. 1842 } /signed/ J. D. Bourdillon. Secretary.

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Asst. Pr. Coll. of Cuddapah } Sir,

I have the honor to forward an address to the Board of Revenue by Butcha Row late Head Sheristadar of this Zillah. His object appears to be to obtain a passport and permission to proceed on a tour to Madras and Mysore.—

(13)

Cuddapah Pr. Coll. Office, 15th September 1842 } /Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot Asst. Princ. Collector

A

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

The humble Memorial of Butcha-row late Head Sheristadar in the Zillah of Cuddapah.—

Most Respectable Gentlemen,

With profound respect and

and submission I most humbly beg leave to address these few lines to your Honorable Board. That in conformity with the instructions of your Board the Acting Principal Collector of the District had the kindness to favor me with the Sunnud forwarded from your Board for the Shotrium granted to me, having caused it to be read in the Public Cutcherry on the 10th. August. From this having been done, I have not the least doubt but that other servants will hereafter exert themselves and conduct themselves to the satisfaction of their superiors.

The sunnud in question in conformity with the high opinion entertained of me as expressed in a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 8th. February 1837 I stand in no need of any thing else. In the time of Hyder and Tippoo Sultan the difficulties and privations endured by us, are already fully set forth in my former Communication.—

The said Tippoo Sultan from his cruel and Tyrannical Disposition was resolved to destroy the people and the British army having attempted to put down such cruel outrage the Mooselmen assembled and advised

Tippoo

Tippoo to destroy my Uncle and others. stating that he was a secret enemy and that he would betray him and overthrow his Government, on which he directed that my Uncle and others be destroyed. It seems inconsistent to enter into that part of the country where we formerly lived in highly distinguished situations for three generations without a passport conferred on me evincing the respect shewn towards me by the Honorable Company.

The Beriz of the Shotrium Villages conferred on me is equal to 2/3ds. of my salary, the Collection thereof is about 2/3ds. of that Beriz, and even this could not satisfactorily be realized unless the Tahsildars afford Assistance. But altho' the conduct of these Officers is not what it used to be, yet, I have no wish to bring those matters to the notice of the authorities. I have therefore thought it best to set out with the Collectors on Jamabundy for 7 or 8 months and visit them now and then. They have been pleased to treat me with every mark of respect. I have now proposed to wait upon the Board and Government and to pass my

time

time for some time longer in their presence.

When Colonel Munro came on his tour towards the Ceded Districts in the year 1823 he expressed a high opinion of my integrity and uprightness and he has probably recorded it, I now beg that your favorable Board would take the above into consideration and show a kind regard towards me in compliance with the high opinion entertained of me by that noble person.—

For which act of indulgence I shall bound and shall ever pray:—

Cuddapah 12th September 1842. } /Signed/ Butcha Row
in Maratta Characters.

(13-14)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Commissioner in Kurnool. } Sir,

(15)

As the Civil Auditor informs me that he can pass no Bills for Extra Tent allowance except such as are submitted through the Board of Revenue I request that I may be favored with the Forms required, as also of copies of Circular orders relative

relative to the Batta to be allowed to my Establishment when on Circuit, the remuneration allowed for the destruction of wild animals respecting the payment of pensions, and also the forms of Statements of Extra Charges which require the sanction of the Board.—

I am not perfectly certain whether with the exception of the Extra Tent allowance, the other items should be submitted by me to the Board, or to the Government direct, as is the case in Mysore, but will follow any directions that may be given me.—

With regard to the Extra Tent allowance, if it is required that the Board should sanction it from the time Kurnool was taken, I beg to state that it will not be in my power to particularize the particular Villages in which Messrs. Blane and Stokes halted when they were here.—

Kurnool, Commissioners Office, 14th September 1842. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

(15-16)

Order thereon

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—

(Read again in Bd. 13th Oct.)

Read

10909 Fort St. George 26th. September 1842

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Chingleput. } Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that a Sum of Rupees 49-12-5 having been actually disbursed from my Treasury from 4th. January to 30th. June 1842 on account of batta to a Gomastah who attended the Board's Cutcherry agreeably to their letter of the 16th. December 1841. for the purpose of assisting in the examination of the Survey Accounts of this District, I have the honor to request that the Board will obtain special sanction for the Amount, namely Company's Rupees forty nine. Annas twelve and pice five.—

(17)

Chingleput District.—— }
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry, —— }
Atcherwank 17th. Sept.r 1842.— } /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be submitted with the foregoing letter.—

To the Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

To the Actg. Chief Sec.y to Govt.— 17th. in Con.n 26th. Sept.r 1842. } Sir,

With reference to the accompanying letter from the Collector of Chingleput, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the special sanction of Government may be granted for the sum of Rupees 49-12-5 being the amount actually disbursed from his Treasury on account of Batta to a Gomastah deputed from his Establishment by order of the Board to assist their Cutcherry in Examining and comparing certain accounts connected with the Assessment in that District.—

(17-18)

Revenue Board Office, —— }
Fort St. George, 26th. Sept.r 1842 } /Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Sec.y

Read the following Statement

10910 Fort St. George 26th. September 1842.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Collectorate of Chingleput, from 1st. to 13th. September 1842.—

Quantity of Salt submitted to be exported.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation remaining to be exported.	Remarks.
Bengal Maunds—			
500000	227680	272320	

(19)

Chingleput Collector's Office, Covelong, 20th. Sept.r 1842.—

E. E.s.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Dr. Dalr.

10911 Fort St. George 26th. September 1842

19-20

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly — Read letter forwarding

21 Statements of Collections and Charges for Fusly 1251.

Order thereon — Ordered to be lodged in the Office

21-22 Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 13th. and entered in Consultation 29th. ultimo.

Proceedings thereon. — Biccanjee Row was released from surveillance in 1836 and the large demand against him ordered to be written off to profit and loss only because it was supposed that he possessed no available property; the sum which Mr. Blackburne now notices as due to him from the late Native Pension Fund, was in fact his at that time, and had it not escaped the attention of the authorities it would certainly have been appropriated in liquidation of the demand against him — To allow Biccanjee Row to benefit by this oversight would be an indulgence, and the Board see nothing in his case to entitle him to such a kindness. The Board observe too that a discharge on the ground that no effects are found, is not equivalent to an acquittance and that all property coming to Biccanjee Row will

* Rs. a ps. 96078-11-9

* Ext.t min Cons.t aug.t 27th. 1836. P.2. in Cons.t 1st. Sept.r

23

10912 Fort St. George 26th. September 1842

will be available for the liquidation of the Government claim upon him, while any part of it remains unsatisfied. The Principal Collector will bring to the credit of that claim the sum of 264-2-5 to which his letter under notice refers.

Read the following letters

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

From the P.l Coll.r of Coimbatore — I have the honor to forward an Account Particular shewing the number and value of Stamp Papers issued for filing replies V.a in the suit against the Principal, Sub and Assistant Collectors of this District with the dates of authority of Government to defend these suits at the Public expense, and to request the sanction of the Board for the charge amounting to Rupees 176-8-0 / Seventy six, and annas eight, with a view to enable the Auditor to pass the charge.

24

Coimbatore — P.l Coll.rs Office — 13th. September 1842.

/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton Rem.r Collector

Statement.

10903

Order
10-11

10913 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Statement shewing the number and Value of Stamp Papers issued for filing Replies Rejoinders &c. in the Suits filed against the Principal, Sub, and Assistant Collectors of Coimbatore with the dates of the Authority of Government to defend the same at the public expense.—

Number	Date of Government authority	Number and Value of Papers &c.	Amount	Total
O. S. No. 8 of 1840 on the file of the Zillah Court of Salem	14th July 1840	Batta paid to Peons employed in serving summons to witnesses		1 8 "
O. S. No. 287 of 1840 on the file of Ditto	12th April 1841	2 Stamp Papers at one Rupee each		2 " "
O. S. No. 149 and 218 of 1840 on the file Ditto	23d October 15th December 1840	53 at D°	53 " "	
		8 at ½ Rupee each	4 " "	57 " "
O. S. No. 287 of 1838 on the file of the Auxiliary Court at Coimbatore	2d January 1840	7 D° at 1 R.	7 " "	
		2 D° at ½ D°	1 " "	8 " "
A. S. No. 23 of 1840 on the file of the Sudr Udalut	8th January 1839 also Boards sanction 9th November 1840 Vide Proceedings of that date.—	2 D° at 4 D°		8 " "
		Company's Rupees		76 8 "

Seventy six Rupees and eight Annas.—

Coimbatore
11th July 1842.

(24 25)

Order thereon

/ Read again in Cont. 10th Oct. /

/ Signed / C. C. Wraighton
Principal Collector

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—
To

JGB

10914 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore. } Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement of charges actually incurred on account of the Kundachar Establishment employed in this District for Fusly 1251, amounting to Rupees /8911-4-/ eight thousand nine hundred and eleven, and annas fourteen, and to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the same, in order that the charge may be passed in the Office of Audit.—

(26)

Coimbatore;
Pl. Collector's Office;
13th September, 1842.

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collector.

Account.

10915 Fort St. George 28th September 1842

A.

Account Particulars of Charges incurred on account of Rundachar Sibbendy employed in the Coimbatore District for Fusly 1251.

Employed for preventing the ravages of Elephants.

In Huzoor.

2 Duffadars — 1 From 1st July 1841 to June 1842 at 7 Rupees per month ____ 84 " "
1 From Do to Do at 5 Do ____ 60 " "
144 " "
Deduct Amount of Fine ____ 2 14 3
141 1 9

1 Lance Naique — From Do to Do at 3½ Do ____ 42 " "

18 Peons — 16 From Do to Do at 4 Do each per month ____ 768 " "
2 From Do to Do at 3 Do Do Do ____ 72 " "
840 " "
Deduct Amount of Fine ____ 2 " "
838
1021 1 9

Coimbatore Talook.

1 Goomastah — From 1st July 1841 to June 1842 at 8 Rupees per month ____ 96 " "
1 Duffadar — From Do to Do ____ at 5 Do ____ 60 " "
1 Lance Naique — From Do to Do ____ at 3½ Do ____ 42 " "

47 Peons —
42 From Do to Do at 3 Rupees each per Do ____ 1512 " "
1 From 1st to 16th July and 13th August 1841 to 22nd May and 28th May to June 1842 at 3 Rupees per month ____ 32 14 2
1 From 1st July to 24th August and 31st August 1841 to June 1842 at Do Do ____ 35 6 8
1 From 1st July to 1st August and 27th August 1841 to 17th February and 26th February to June 1842 at Do Do ____ 32 11 4
1 From July to 15th November and 26th November 1841 to June 1842 at Do Do ____ 35 " "
1 From July to 3rd November and 6th November 1841 to June 1842 at Do Do ____ 35 12 9
1683 12 11
1381 12 11

Sattimungalum.

2 Peons —
1 From July 1841 to June 1842 at 3 Rupees per month ____ 36 " "
1 From July to 3rd November and 16th November 1841 to June 1842 at Do ____ 34 12 10
70 12 10

Carried forward 2973 11 6

10916

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Brought forward. 2973 11 6

Danaickencottah.

1 Fouzdar	From July 1841. to June 1842 at 17½ Rupees per Month			210 " "
18	From Do. to Do. at 3 Rupees each per Do.		648 " "	
1	From Do. to January and March to June 1842 at Do.		33	
1	From Do. to 7th March 1842 at Do.		24 10 10	
1	From Do. to 12th April 1842 at Do.		28 3 2	
21 Peons.			733 14 "	
1 Tom Tom Beater	From July 1841 to June 1842 at 3½ Rupees per Do.		42 " "	
2 Trumpetors	From Do. to Do. at 3½ Rupees each per Do.		84	
			1069 14 "	

Andioor

9	From July 1841 to June 1842 at 3 Rupees each per month	324 " "	
1	From 1st to 3d July and 11th August 1841 to June 1842 at Do.	32 5 "	
1	From 1st to 13th July and 11th August 1841 to 1st February and 24th to 30th June 1842 at Do.	19 15	
1	From 11th August to 4th November and 10th December 1841 to June 1842 at Do.	28 9 "	
12 Peons.		403 15 7	

Colligal.

10 Peons.	From July 1841 to June 1842 at 3 Rupees each per month	360 " "	

Palachey

2 Duffadars.	From July 1841 to June 1842 at 5 Rupees each per month	120 " "	
5 Lance Naigues	From Do. to Do. at 3½ Rupees Do. Do.	210 " "	
35 Peons.	From Do. to Do. at 3 Do. Do. Do.	1260 " "	
		1590 " "	
		6397 9 1	

Ghaut Kundachar

Danaickencottah Talook.

1 Duffadar	From July 1841 to June 1842 at 5 Rupees per month	60 " "	
1 Lance Naigue	From Do. to Do. at 4 Rupees Do.	48 " "	
1	From Do. to Do. at 5 Do. Do.	60 " "	
14	From Do. to Do. at 3½ Do. Do.	588 " "	
16 Peons.			
1	From 1st to 27 July and 26 August 1841 to 19th May 1842 at Do. Do.	33 13 11	
		681 13 11	
1 Tom Tom Beater	From July 1841. to 12th May and 28th May to June 1842 at 3 Rupees per Do.	34 8 9	
1 Trumpeter	From July 1841 to June 1842 at Do. Do.	36 " "	
		860 6 8	

Colligal

1 Duffadar	From July 1841. to June 1842 at 3½ Rupees per month	42 " "	
28	From Do. to Do. at 3 Rupees each per Do.	1008 " "	
1	From Do. to 14th February 1842 at Do.	22 8 "	
29 Peons.		1072 8 "	
		1932 14 8	

Carried forward 8330 7 9

10917 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Brought forward 8330 7 9

Sandalwood Kundachar.

Danickencottah Talook

1 Goomastah From July 1841 to 12th February 1842 at 10 Rupees per Month ——— 74 4 6

2 Peons From July 1841 to 20th March 1842 at 3½ Rupees each per Month ——— 60 8 2

——— 134 12 8

Andicoor.

1 Goomastah From July 1841 to June 1842 at 10 Rupees per Month ——— 120 " "

1 From Do. to Do. at 3 Rupees per Do. ——— 36

3 Peons 1 From July to 18th September and 1st October 1841 to June 1842 at Do. ——— 34 12 9

1 From July to 13th October and 1st November 1841 to June 1842 at Do. ——— 34 4 1

——— 225 " 10

Colligal.

1 Ameenah From July to November and 21st December 1841 to June 1842 at 10 Rupees per Month ——— 113 8 9

3 Peons From July 1841 to June 1842 at 3 Rupees each per Month ——— 108 " "

——— 221 8 9

——— 5 81 63

Company's Rupees ——— 8911 14 "

Eight thousand Nine hundred and Eleven Rupees and fourteen Annas.

Coimbatore 11th July 1842.

/signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collector

ordered

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Government. } Sir,

To Govt. 14th Jany. 1839.
To Do. 5. Dec.

(26-27)

With reference to the letter from this Office, noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore, and to recommend that sanction may be granted for the charges incurred on account of the Khandachar Establishment in that District in Fusly 1251. amounting to Rupees 8911-14-- the details of which are shewn in the Statement submitted by Mr. Wroughton.—

13th in Cons. 26th Sept. 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September, 1842.— } Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.—

From the Coll.r of Malabar.— } Sir,

(28)

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under Date the 5th Instant, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to cause the amount of Rupees 1,399, erroneously given in my letter of the 25th Ultimo, to be altered to Rupees 1,390, which is the correct sum for which the Mahi river was rented in Fusly 1251.

Malabar, Calicut, 14th September, 1842.— } Collector's Office, } Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter and the previous Correspondence on the Subject.—

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.—

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Government. } Sir,

(28-29)

With reference to the Extract from

10920 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

From the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th January last No. 21, I am directed to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

From the Coll. 25th augt. in Coss 5th Sept. 1842. Proceedings of the Bd. 5th — "

the accompanying correspondence with the Collector of Malabar, and

From the Coll. 14th in Coss 26th Sept.

to recommend that sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 695 — " — " paid to the Chief of Mahé, being a moiety of the Ferry Farm on the Mahé river for Fusly 1251.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

10921 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

30

From the Coll. of Madras — Submitting copies of letters from Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. and others relative to the prices which they think a sample of Sugar the produce of Salem may realize at Madras and in England, together with a Memorandum in which those prices are entered both in English Money and in the Madras currency as well as the value estimated by native merchants.

From Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. 14th Sept. 1842.

From Messrs. Line & Co. 14th Do.

From Mr. N. Morison 14th Do.

Read the following letter —

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 5th Instant, and to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I requested the opinion of Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co. Messrs. Line & Co. and Mr. N. Morison respectively on the points referred to in that letter. I now submit Copies of their replies, from which it will be observed that they all consider the sample of sugar forwarded for their inspection to be of a superior quality. They estimate the value of it at Madras variously from Rupees 45 to 75 per Candy, and in England from 54 to 64 £ per Cwt.

2. Messrs. Line & Co. and Mr. Morison state that the sugar is well suited to the English Market, and their estimate price for Madras from 45 to 50 Rupees per Candy, and for England from 63 to 64 £ per Cwt, whereas Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. observe that the former may be from Rupees 70 to 75 and the latter 54 £.

In

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

3. In order to exhibit at one view the different prices named in the letters under consideration, I submit a Memorandum, in which those prices are entered both in English Money and in the Madras Currency, and the estimated value is therein given not only for the Cwt. but also for the Candy, with the view of facilitating all necessary Comparisons of the various rates.—

Encl. A.

4- Messrs Arbuthnot & Co. and Mr. Morison have stated what might be deducted for Charges in England, so as to ascertain the probable Net outturn. This is

Fort St. George 26th September 1842

is entered in the present Statement in Col 7; the net Amount, after deducting such Charges, being therein given for a Candy.—

5. Some of the Native Merchants appeared before me, and estimated the value of the Sugar at Rs 49. to 50 per Candy for Consumption at Madras.—

Madras, 16th September, 1842. (Signed) A. Robertson. Collector.

Memorandum—

10924

Fort St. George

21st September 1842

A Memorandum of the prices of a Sample of Sugar, the produce of Salem, according to the Opinion of Some of the Houses of Agency and others at Madras.--

Names of the Houses of Agency and others.	Madras Price Fr. Candy	English Price Fr. Cwt in English money		Price Fr. Candy at the rate stated in Col. 4	Charges at 60 Fr. Cent	Net amount or difference between Cols. 5 & 6	Remarks
		£	s d	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
1	2	3		5	6	7	8
Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.	70 to 75 Rupees	2 14 .	27 . .				Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co., say that, after deducting charges, the net amount to the Imported will be 21 Shillings equal to Rs. 10-8-- Fr. Cwt. - At this rate the net Outturn for a Candy would be that entered in Col. 7 Viz.t Rs. 46-14--, vide letter 14th September 1842.--
				120 8 7	" " "	46 14 "	
Messrs. Sime & Co.	48 to 50 Rupees	3 2 .	31 . .				
		3 4 .	32 . .				
Mr. N. Morison	45 to 48 Rupees	3 3 .	31 8 .				
		3 4 .	32 . .				
Native Merchants	49 to 50 Rupees	. . .	. . .				
				138 6 3	" " "	" " "	Vide letter 14th D.
				142 13 9	" " "	" " "	
				140 10 2	84 6 "	56 4 "	Vide letter 14th G.
				142 13 9	85 11 "	57 2 9	
				" " "	" " "	" " "	They estimated the value of the sugar in the presence of the Collector.--

Madras, 16th September 1842. (Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Andrew

B.

Andrew Robertson Esqre

Collector of Madras.

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of Yesterdays' date accompanying a Muster of Sugar the produce of Salem, and you request to be informed, what price it would bear in the Market as compared with other Sugars produced in the Madras Territories, also whether it is suited to the British Consumer, and what price it would command in England---

In reply we beg to say that we consider the muster to be a good Strong Sugar, and that the value of it in the Market at present for Country Consumption is about Rupees 70 & 75 per Candy, inferior Sugar is produced from other Districts of the Presidency, but without doubt equally good, and better quality can also be produced, particularly in the Ganjam District, and it is the quality which will fix the price without reference to the District in which it is produced. Such Sugar we consider to be worth in England according to the latest accounts 54/ per Cwt, which after deducting duty and

B

and charges will leave to the Importer 21/ per Cwt.-

Madras 14th Sept. 1842.

(Signed) Arbuthnot & Co.

To A. Robertson Esqre

Collector of Madras.

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge your letter of Yesterdays' date accompanying a sample of Sugar manufactured at Salem-

In reply thereto, we beg to state that the Sugar is of very good quality and well suited to the English Market- It appears to have been partially refined or to have undergone a further process, beyond that of simple boiling and curing, and the grain seems to have been broken by artificial means- This is an expence that might be spared with advantage, as a large and clear grain adds to the value of the Sugar especially in the English Market- We may estimate the value of the sample at Rupees 48 & 50 per Candy in Madras for local Consumption, and in England we think it would be worth according to last accounts about 62/@64/ per Cwt---

Madras 14th Sept. 1842.

(Signed) Line & Co.

A

A. Robertson Esqre.
Collector of Land Customs.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of this date forwarding me a sample of sugar, and wishing my opinion on the same, I beg to state that it is quite equal to the finest raw sugar I have seen, made within the Madras territories, it would only command a ready sale here in any quantity amongst Merchants for exportation to England, the Natives preferring for consumption amongst themselves the fine white sugar without grain, its wholesale value is about from 45 & 48 Rupees per Candy laid down here free of Charge.

This sugar would be denominated in London Bright Middling Grocery Yellow, sweet and clean, well adapted for home consumption, and would fetch 63 & 64 per Cwt, duty paid; from this price all charges have to be deducted, which amount; including duty, Freight &c. to 60 per Cent as near as posible.

Madras, 14th September 1842

(Signed) Norman Morison
(True Copies)
(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

Read again also letter from the Principal Collector of Salem, dated 15th and entered in Consultation 29th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following address be submitted, together with the foregoing letters.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Ag Chief Secy to Government — 5 Para 1

30-31

22d June Con. 15th inst. 29th ult. & 2d July 1840 — 2d July 1842

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th of July 1842, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Salem, stating that the person whose entertainment on this Establishment was sanctioned by Government, for the purpose of instructing the Ryots in the manufacture of Sugar, was employed in various parts of this District for a period of 10 Months or from the 1st September 1840 to 30th of June 1841; the entry of the year 1842, being a Clerical Error merely in Mr. Gleig's letter.

The

2. The measure would seem from this report to have been attended in its results with much success, as the Principal Collector observes that the people are fully satisfied that the manufacture of the cane into sugar according to the system introduced by this individual, is a more profitable speculation than the mode adopted by themselves of Converting the Juice into Jaggre, and adds that they had succeeded in manufacturing "some very tolerable Sugar."

3. The sample of sugar alluded to in para 4 of Mr. Glegg's letter as having been manufactured from the Common Cane of the District, by the person employed by Government, was forwarded to the Collector of Madras in order that the opinion of competent judges might be obtained as to the price it would bear in the market as compared with other sugars the produce of the Madras territories, and also whether it was suited to the British consumer, and what price it

From Coll. Madras 18th September in Cons. 26th September 1842. Enclosures A & B.

it would command in England - from the information furnished in Mr. Robertson's reply (herewith submitted) it would seem that the sample in question is of a superior quality well suited for the British market and likely to realize a remunerating price.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September 1842. } Signed - J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

From the Collector of Madras - Read Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in fusly 1251. Sanctioned by the Board Revenue.

32

Order thereon

32-33

Ordered to lie over. - The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below, and to record their remarks thereon.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Estimate of Contingent and Extra Charges for Fusly 1251.

34

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore - Statement of Actual Charges incurred under Estimate on account of advances for Cultivation for fusly 1251.

35

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore - Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate on account of purchase of Tobacco for fusly 1251.

36

Ordered

10931 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Order on the three abovementioned Statements (34-37) Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Columns of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.--

Read the Undermentioned Statements.-

From the Superintendent of Stamps - Statement of (38) Contingent Charges incurred during the Months of August last.--

From the Superintendent and Treasurer of the Government Bank.-- (39) Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of August last.--

From the Secretary to the Government Savings Bank..... (40) Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of August last.--

Order on the three abovementioned Statements (38-41) Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.--

From the Collector of Trichinopoly - Read Register of Petitions (42) bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of August last.--

Order thereon (42-43) Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved

10932 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

Resolved that the following letters be despatched

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government -- (44) Sir,

In conformity to the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Broken set of their Proceedings for the Month of April 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September 1842. -- /Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government -- (45) Sir,

In Cons 30th Sept 1841

With reference to the resolution of Government under date the 20th September 1841, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the Special

10933 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

special sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying Bill of Actual Charges incurred in conveying Salt Rowannahs &c. from this Office to different Collectors in the year 1841/42 amounting to Rupees 133-4-5

2 The Board further request that Credit on the General Treasury to the extent of 200 Rupees may be granted to their Secretary to enable him to make the requisite advances for the current year. The account particulars of the actual charges will be submitted at the end of the year.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th September 1842.

{Signed} J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Account

10934 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

{ } {

1934

Fort St. George 21st September 1842.

Account particulars of Coolies and Packing Charges actually incurred for conveying Rowanahs &c. from the Office of the Board of Revenue to different Collectors in the year 1841/42.

Month	Date	No. of Coolies	No. of Parcels	To what Stations	Distance from Madras	Rate	Total amount of Hire
							Rs. A P
1841							
Septr.	1st	2	2	To Chingleput	45	at 2 fanams 4 10 Cash per every 10 miles	1 7 10
1842 February	17th	1	1	To Tinnevelly	388 3	at Do.	Do. 6 6 8
"	"	2	2	To Madura	289 7	at Do.	Do. 9 9 2
"	26th	2	2	To Tanjore	178 4	at Do.	Do. 5 14 4
March	3d	1	1	To Vizagapatam	498 4	at Do.	Do. 8 3 10
"	10th	3	3	To Canara	446 7	at Do.	Do. 22 2 6
July	6th	1	1	to Malabar	425 2	at Do.	Do. 7 " 5

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
21st September 1842.

Signed J. D. Boudillon
Secy. to Govt.

Accountant

Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

No 10936

To

The Acting Principal Collector of

Tanjore

er 1842.

te following

Packing Charges—

Balance remitted to the Treasurer 66 11 7

Packing Charges for 15 Parcels—

7 Pieces of Wax Cloth (a) 3 Rupees each Rs 21 " "

½ Do of white Cloth (a) 2 Do ½ " 13 " "

15 Gunnies at 3 Annas each " 2 13 "

15 Mats at 2 Do Do " 1 14 "

Twine " " " 5 "

Hire for Packing 15 Parcels @ 4 Annas each " 3 12 "

42 12 "

4 Parcels sent by Bangy 3 Rupees each " 18 " "

6 Pieces of Wax Cloth @ 3 Rupees each " 18 " "

1 Parcels of Blanks exchange Bill sent by Bangy " 1 8 "

2½ Pieces of Wax Cloth @ 3 Rupees each " 7 8 "

" 58 4 "

Total Contingent Rupees 200 " "

165 ℔ at Do

To Cuddalore Jail

1 Do Do

To

Fort St. George,

26th September 1842.

I have the honor to be following

Packing Charges for 15 Parcels—

7 Pieces of Wax Cloth (a) 3 Rupees each Rs 21 " "

½ Do of white Cloth (a) 2 Do ½ " 13 " "

15 Gunnies at 3 Annas each " 2 13 "

15 Mats at 2 Do Do " 1 14 "

Twine " " " 5 "

Hire for Packing 15 Parcels @ 4 Annas each " 3 12 "

42 12 "

4 Parcels sent by Bangy 3 Rupees each " 18 " "

6 Pieces of Wax Cloth @ 3 Rupees each " 18 " "

1 Parcels of Blanks exchange Bill sent by Bangy " 1 8 "

2½ Pieces of Wax Cloth @ 3 Rupees each " 7 8 "

" 58 4 "

Total Contingent Rupees 200 " "

Balance remitted to the Treasurer 66 11 7

Packing Charges— 133 4 5

15 " 5

165 ℔ at Do 2 11 10

reference to my letter

st last, Jam

Board of

st that you

tee revised Copy

relating to is

our earliest convenience

e of being sent to

tors.

Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary.

To

10935 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched

To The Collector of Ganjam

(46)

To the Collector of Ganjam — } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will report what measures have been adopted in your District in pursuance of their instructions dated 26th September 1839 for the recovery of the Government dues on the houses and lands therein referred to —

The same to Vizagapatam, Masulipatam, Salem, Canara, Malabar,

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September 1842 — } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

To

10936 Fort St. George 26th September 1842.

To The Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore

To the Actg Pal Collr of Tanjore — } Sir

(47)

With reference to my letter of the 11th August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will return the revised Copy of the Law relating to in India, at your earliest convenience for the purpose of being sent to the other Collectors.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September 1842 — } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

To

10937 Fort St. George 26 September 1842.

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

To the Pr. Collr. of Madura. } Sir,

I am directed by the Board

(48)

of Revenue to forward to you the

accompanying Petition noted in the

margin from the Manager of the

Ramnad Zemindary; and to request

that you will pass such orders regarding

the celebration of the approaching

Duserah Festival at Ramnad as may

appear proper, with reference to the custom of the

Zemindary.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon. Secretary.

To

AAB

1451 of 1842

10938 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

To,

The Sub Treasurer

Sir,

To the Sub Treasurer. } I am directed by the Board

(49)

of Revenue to remit to you the sum of Rupees 66-11-7. being the Balance remaining unexpended of the sum of Rupees 200 drawn from the General Treasury on a Bill dated 21st October 1841. as an advance for payment to Coolies for conveying Rawanahs &c.a to different Collectors in last, and this year.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th September 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon. Secretary.

To,

The Town Major

Sir,

To the Town Major. } Some slight repairs and alterations being wanted in the Building occupied by the Board

(50)

of Revenue, I am directed to request that the necessary orders may be given for their execution to the proper Department.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 26th September 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon. Secretary

Received

Received the Undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.—
(51)

From the Principal Collector of Canara. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.—
(52)

From the Collector of Chingleput. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.—
(53)

From the Principal Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.—
(54)

From the Collector of Malabar. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last
(55)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.—
(56)

From the Ag. Collector of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.—
(57)

From the Ag. Collector of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July
(58)

July last.—

From the Ag. Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.—
(59)

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.—
(60)

From the Principal Collector of Canara. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.—
(61)

From the Collector of Chingleput Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.—
(62)

From the Principal Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.—
(63)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.—
(64)

From

From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot — Return of Fines imposed and Stoppages made from the Pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th June last.—

(65)

From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot — Requesting for a supply of Printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance Statements.—

(66)

(66-67)

Order thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

(Vide letter to the Collr. 3rd Oct.)

From the Collector of Chingleput — Stating that the Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 and of Persons convicted and in confinement under the same Regulation for the Month of August last are not sent, there being no entry to make.—

(68)

From the Collector of Chingleput — Stating that the Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of August last is not sent there being no entry to make.—

(69)

From the Collector of Madras — Statement shewing the Current prices of Salt in the Bazar per Measure, per Mercal and per Garce for the Month of August last.—

(70)

From

From the Collector of Madras — Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of August last.—

(71)

From the Collector of Madras — Statement of Goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of August last.—

(72)

Order on the two abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Goods as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized.—

(71-73)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Return of Persons in confinement in pursuance of sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.—

(74)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Reporting that the Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last is not sent, there being no entry to make.—

(75)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.—

(76)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Return of Persons in confinement

(77)

C.

Extract from a letter addressed by Revd Mr. Blenkinsop Dated 8th April 1842.—

My Dear Sir,

Mrs. Chambers, the Sister of Mr. Arathoon of Madras, and my next door neighbour, has requested me to write to you respecting a piece of ground at the Mount, which she claims as her property, but which the Nabob has taken possession of.—

The ground with a ruined building on it, is situated at the bottom of the Mount steps exactly opposite the Wesleyan Chapel— she claims it in right of Mr. Johannes Miguel Baboom and his grand Daughter Mrs. Mary Baboom who died in 1837. The rents were paid to Mrs. M. Baboom to the day of her death— Subsequently, this, and other property belonging to her, was disputed between her Nephew and Mr. John Arathoon in the Supreme Court— and an award given in favor of the latter— But during this litigation the Nabob took possession of the property.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / A. Freese
Coll.r

Read

Read also the following letter—

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Madras.—

35

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 8th Instant, and to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Certificates for Houses and Gardens enumerated in the list furnished from your Office in 1827 have already been completed and forwarded to the Government Agent

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Agent at Cheprauk with the exception of one in the name of Prince Azeem Jah Bahauder and those for the premises belonging to Her Highness the Nabob Begum the detention of which is owing to a further examination of the former measurement being considered requisite.

Madras,
14th September,
1842.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Coll.r & Mag.r of Gov.t Grants

(34-36)

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

(Read again in Cons.: 10th Oct.r)

JGB.

Fort St. George 22.d September 1842

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Chingleput } Para.

With reference to Mr. Porter's letter under date the 2.d of May with a transcript of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 29th ult.o March annexed, I have the honor to submit an Account

(37)

Enclosure A

shewing the amount of Sodee derived from Mauneum lands held by Village servants in this District, together with the actual results of 1251 F.—

2 The Sodee in the Shotrium and Zemindary Villages forms a portion of the revenues Collected by their respective proprietors.—

3 There are no Cuttoobady Peons paid by grants of Enam lands in this District.—

Chingleput District.—
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Atcherwauk 17th Sept.r 1842 } /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

10793

Fort St. George

A

22nd September 1842

Statement shewing the amount of Sodee derived from the Maneum lands enjoyed by Village servants of Gillah Chinglepat.

Village servants.	Ayant of the Maneum lands			Cultivated in Fusly 1251			Remarks
	Amount of assessment	Sodee payable to Circar	Remaining enjoyed by Mauniumdars.	amount of assessment	Sodee paid to Circar	Remaining enjoyed by Mauniumdars.	
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	
Circar Villages — Poty, Taliar, Carpenter, Iron Smith, Barber, Washerman &c.	6305 12 9	2919 14 11	3385 14 9	4254 6 11	1956 3 7	2298 3 5	The Sodee in the Chinglepat District is not a fixed amount payable annually but a fixed rate of remission on the rent leviable on certain portions of land and consequently varies with the extent of the Enam land cultivated. In preparing this account the Mailwaram grain received in the Shrotrium and Zemindary Villages has been converted into Money.
Shrotrium Villages — Do. Do. Do.	395 7 2	185 1 11	210 6 2	225 15 6	104 9 10	121 5 8	
Zemindary Villages — Do. Do. Do.	1761 14 9	854 10 6	907 4 3	497 14 11	241 3 7	256 10 5	
Total	8463 2 8	3959 9 6	4503 9 2	4978 16 4	2302 1 11	2676 3 6	

Chinglepat District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Atcheruack 17th September 1842

C. C. Jr. Signed / A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

10794

37-38

Fort St. George 22d. September 1842

Order thereon — Ordered to lie on the table.—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Chingleput } Sir,

Encl. A

I have the honor to forward a Statement of the wastage of Salt incurred in Fasly 1251 as required in your letter of the 29th Ultimo, and to request that sanction may be obtained for writing off the amount thereof, Viz.

39

Gorees 337 - 56M - 23/8M —

Chingleput District ---
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry ---
Atcherawauk 17th Sept. 1842 } Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

10796 (41) Fort St. George 22d September 1842

D.o Salt Account Current in the Gillah of Chinglepat for the Quarter ending on the 11th July 1842 C.o

			G	m	m	G	m	m
1841 July 12th	Balance of Salt on hand at the commencement of the current Fusly 1251		"	"	"	2890	113	5/8
1842 July 11th	Salt manufactured to the end of last Quarter		2243	250	"			
	D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		4071	210	"			
			6345	60	"			
	Gain by measurement to the end of last Quarter		"	21	"			
	D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		1	245	"			
			1	296	"			
	Total Salt					9237	69	5/8

Chinglepat District
Collectors Circuit Cutchery
Atcheravauk 17th Septr 1842

1842

			G	m	m	G	m	m
July 11th	Total sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	2798	160	"			
		Home Consumption	175	270	"			
		Export by Sea	969	266	5/8	3943	296	5/8
	D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842	Inland Consumption	689	140	"			
		Home Consumption	38	240	"			
		Export by Sea	1027	266	5/8	1755	246	5/8
	Wastage to the end of last Quarter					"	"	"
	Wastage which occured in Fusly 1250 written off from 1st to 11th July 1842 under the authority of Government dated 1st August conveyed in a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 11th August 1842					131	110	"
						131	110	"
	Balance of Salt on hand on the 11th July 1842					3406	216	2/8
	Total Salt					9237	69	5/8

D.o D.o (Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Money

10797

Fort St. George

22nd September 1842

D.o Money Account Current of Salt in the Chingleput of Chingleput for the Quarter ending on the 11th July 1842 6.o

				Rs a/s	Rs a/s
1842					
July 11th	Total Sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	2,92,782 " "		
		Home Consumption	18,445 14 "		
		Export by Sea	40,726 " "	3,51,953 14 "	
	D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842	Inland Consumption	72,331 12 "		
		Home Consumption	4,053 " "		
		Export by Sea	43,162 " "	1,19,546 12 "	
	Received on account of Confiscated Salt to the end of last Quarter			81 2 5	
	D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842			2 12 "	
					83 14 5
	Recoveries of advances D.o to the end of last Quarter			3,684 8 5	
	D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842			6,705 8 9	
					10,390 1 2
	Total Company's Rupees				4,81,974 9 7

Particulars of Rowanahs remaining on the D.o 11th July 1842

Balances of Rowanahs remaining on the 31st March 1842		6,882 " "
Received from 1st April to 11th July 1842	"	
	6,882	
Deduct issued from D.o to D.o	2,094	
Total remaining on the 11th July 1842	4,788	

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Atcherawauk 17th Sept.r 1842

			Rs a/s	Rs a/s
1842				
July 11th	By Servants wages to the end of last Quarter		8,328 11 8	
	By D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		3,914 5 "	12,243 " 8
	By Charges Extraordinary including Sunderwarad to the end of last Quarter		12,301 3 11	
	By D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		13,513 12 10	25,815 " 9
	By Payment to Manufacture to the end of last Quarter		31,297 15 7	
	By D.o D.o from 1st April to 11th July 1842		51,415 13 3	82,713 12 10
	Net Balances	To the end of last Quarter	30,791 9 8	
		From 1st April to 11th July 1842	5,411 1 8	36,202 11 4
	Total Company's Rupees			4,81,974 9 7

E.o E.o W.m (Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Estimate

10798 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Estimate shewing the Quantity of Salt remaining for Exportation to Bengal up to 11th July 1842 at the Port of Covelong in Zillah of Chingleput.—

	Quantity of Salt remaining up to 11th July 1842	Quantity of Salt ready for exportation in the course of next Quarter.— To Bengal	To other Ports	Total
	G m m	G m m	G m m	G m m
Covelong	855 31	82 7/8 940 26 0	" " "	940 26 0

E. E. Pr. (Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

J. G. B.

10799 Fort St. George 22d. September 1842.

Order thereon,

(41-42)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Collr. of North Arcot. Para. 1. I have the honor to submit the Report of the Sub Collector dated 31st May last, on the Petitions from the Ryots of the Wundewash Talook received with your Predecessor's Letter dated 20th January 1842. It will be seen that the Ryots of Wundewash complain of Losses and impoverishment and that the circumstances operating thus unfavorably on their condition are arranged by them principally under four heads 1st. Taram Losses; 2d. Loss arising from the land actually occupied by them being less than the extent shewn in the accounts 3d. Loss from the Teerwah or Land not cultivated being included in the Jumabundy and 4th. Loss from the fall in the Price of Grain. The first and second heads are of deep importance and call for immediate attention.—

Reporting upon certain Petitions and suggesting the necessity of Taram assessing the richer soils of the 5 Southern Talooks in the District.—

(43)

No. 1562 and 2775 of 1841. Forwarded with reference.

The

Fort St George 22nd September 1842.

2

[The first point to be no=
=ticed are Jaram Losses. The Wet lands
in Wundewash are assessed with 8
one Teerwah. This assessment is
payable whether the land is culti=
=vated with one or two Crops, no Reduc=
=tion is allowed under the head
of "Boganushty" when a second Crop
is not sown. In addition to this
disadvantage, the assessment is
higher than the Teerwah of Land
assessed as two Crops in most parts
of the District. Thus the Petitioners
have to contend with a high assess=
=ment in the first instance and
are shut out from the reliefs afford=
=ded under the head of "Bhoga=
=nushty." The consequence, as was
to be expected, is the abandonment
of rich Soils, on the cultivation
of which the Prosperity of the
Ryot and of the Revenue chiefly
depend.] The assessment on 2 Crops
Nunjah land in the Talooks
composing the Divisions of the
Collector

Fort St George 22nd September 1842.

Collector is with few exceptions 8 and 9
Pagodas and a deduction as Bhoganushty
is granted when the second Crop
is not cultivated. Under the
Caverypauk Tank which has the
advantage of supply from the Palar,
the assessment for two Crop land
is 7 — 3 of which 2 1/4 Pagodas are
deducted when Bhoganushty occurs.
On the other hand the assessment
in Wundewash is as high as 11 — 6 1/2
per Cawnee without the advantage
of Bhoganushty. [Further the
Wundewash Talook has no River
Channels. as it depends on the
falling rains alone during the
N. E. Monsoon, the return must be
Contingent on the supply of Water
and Poovashy, or 2nd Crops must be
more or less restricted.] The
accompanying Copy of a Statement
received from the Sub Collector,
shews the rates of assessment
under each description of irrigation,
the extent of Cultivable land
and

10943 Fort St. George 26th September 1842

confinement in pursuance to the sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly- Stating that the Return of Persons released from confinement for the Month of August last is not sent, there being no entry to make.—

78

From the Collector of Tinnevelly- Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.—

79

" Kirwt,

"

" A. Maclean

"

Comp.d
Lt. Boyle