

9237 Fort St. George 22nd August 1842

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 22nd August 1842 — John Dent Esqre Senior Member
G D Drury Esqre Second Member
Alexander Maclean Esqre Officiating Third Member
and
A Mellor Esqre Officiating Temporary Member

Minutes of the last consultation meeting read and approved

Read the following letter

From the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government &c. &c.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit to you, for distribution among the several Collectors 30 copies of a Pamphlet recently published by Dr. Royle "on the production of Saingalz along the Coasts of India" received from the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Fort St. George 9th August 1842

(Signed) R. Clerk
Officiating Chief Secretary

Ordered,

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842

Ordered that the following letter be Circulated in consequence.—

Circular

To

The Collector of

1. 2

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying copy of a Pam= =phlet recently published by D= Royle "on the production of Cot= =ton & Long Staple along the Coasts of = India" received from the Honorable the Court of Directors.—

(Signed) J. D. Bourdill

Secretary

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22nd August 1842

Read the following Extract

Extract

Circular to all Collectors

Sanjaon
Vizagapatam
Rajahmundry
Masulipatam
Guntoor
Nellore
Bellary
Cuddapah
North Arcot
South Arcot
Chingleput
Salem
Trichinopoly
Tanjore
Madura
Tinnevelly
Coimbatore
Malabar
Canara
Madras
Do Sea Customs

Rec'd 22nd August 1842

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842

3

From the Addl Secy to Government to the Board transmitting letter from the Honble Court of Directors dated 27th April 1842 with the resolutions of Government thereon.—

Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 27th April 1842 No 6.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th August 1842.—

10 Statements of offences disposed of under the provisions of Regulations IX of 1822 & VII of 1828 during the year 1838 & 39 submitted.—

Para 4. These state= =ments do not appear to require any particular observation.—

5 We approve the Cir= =cular letter which was addressed by the Board of Revenue to the Collectors under your Presidency under date the 15th July 1839, calling their atten= =tion to the difference which was apparent in the mode of treating offences of this nature at different times, & and in different Dis= =tricts and containing instructions with the view

Para 2. These paras will be communicated to the Board of Revenue

view of ensuring greater uniformity of practice for the future. The propriety of this measure was pointed out in Para 7th of our Despatch dated the 25th April / No 4 / 1838.

12. Measures adopted for extending the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane reported.

We have much satisfaction in noticing the assurance given in the correspondence which accompanies this paragraph of the probable success of the experiment; and we approve the donation of Rupees 1000 which you made to the Agri-Horticultural Society in order to enable that body to comply more speedily with the numerous requisitions what they

4. The Board of Revenue to whom this Para will be furnished will be requested to draw up in communication with the Agri Horticultural Society and the

they have received from all parts of the Presidency for cuttings of this valuable description of Sugar Cane.

the local officers in the Provinces a special report on the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane for transmission to the Honorable Court.

The report is required to embrace the number and manner of the Districts in which the species has been introduced, the extent and value of the land occupied, the result of the produce compared with that of the common country cane, together with the opinions of the natives as to its superiority and the mode in which the produce is afterwards applied and if to an extension of the manufacture of Sugar, its quality and comparative value.

13 Refusal of the Board of Revenue to confirm the nomination of Mr Golding Sam Collector of Guntoor

8. These proceedings were strictly within the competence of the Board

of

Venkata Krishna Row to the office of Head Sheristadar of that District and their order for the restoration of Tucka-lapatta Booba Row to the situation of Sub Sheristadar from which he had been dismissed by the Collector for alleged corrupt conduct reported

Board of Revenue and do not appear to require any observations from us — We have however noticed with much dissatisfaction the conduct of Mr. Goldingham in delaying under various pretexts to carry into effect the peremptory orders of the Board of Revenue both as regards the removal of Venkata Krishna Row from the office to which he had been appointed and the restoration of Tooba Row to the situation from which the Board acting in their Judicial Capacity as a Court of Appeal under Regulation IX of 1822 considered that he had been improperly removed,

5. — This para will be furnished to the Board of Revenue who will communicate to Mr. Goldingham the dissatisfaction expressed by the Honorable Court to his conduct while in the Guntoor District —

We

22 Request of the Rani of Shevagunga a Zemindary in Madura to be put in possession of her estate when complied with

10. We approve your having declined to comply with the Rani's request at least until the balances due on the Estate shall have been liquidated when the case will be again brought under your consideration —

7. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue

9. Proceedings on adopted on the receipt of an Anonymous petition complaining of the levy of an extra assessment on the Maunium in the Vizianagram Zemindary and of other acts of oppression on the part of the Tahsildars and Subordinate officers —

21 The explanation furnished by the Agent in Vizagapatam appears to be quite satisfactory as regards the non existence of the alleged grounds of complaint —

9. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue

We

Venkatakistna Row to the office of Head Sheristadar of that District and their order for the restoration of Kucka Lapulla Dooba Row to the situation of Sub Sheristadar from which he had been dismissed by the Collector for alleged corrupt conduct reported

Board of Revenue and do not appear to require any observations from us — We have however noticed with much dissatisfaction the conduct of Mr. Goldingham in delaying under various pretexts to carry into effect the peremptory orders of the Board of Revenue both as regards the removal of Venkata Krishna Row from the office to which he had been appointed and the restoration of Kucka Lapulla Dooba Row to the situation from which the Board acting in their Judicial Capacity as a Court of Appeal under Regulation IX of 1822 considered that he had been improperly removed,

5. — This para will be furnished to the Board of Revenue who will communicate to Mr. Goldingham the dissatisfaction expressed by the Honorable Court to his conduct while in the Guntoor District —

We

22 Request of the Rani of Shevagunga Zemindary in Madura to be put in possession of her estate not complied with

10. We approve your having declined to comply with the Rani's request at least until the balances due on the Estate shall have been liquidated when the case will be again brought under your consideration —

7. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue

19 Proceedings on adopted on the receipt of an Anonymous petition complaining of the levy of an extra assessment on the Maniums in the Vizianagram Zemindary and of other acts of oppression on the part of the Tahsildars and Subordinate officers —

21 The explanation furnished by the Agent in Vizagapatam appears to be quite satisfactory as regards the non existence of the alleged grounds of complaint —

9. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue

We

12 The removal of Raghonada Deo younger brother of the Zemindar of Chinna Kimedy from that Estate and his detention at Chitterpore reported.

29. We are sorry to see that it has been found necessary on for the preservation of tranquillity again to remove this individual from the Zemindary which he disturbed by his intrigues. We communicated our approbation of your having adopted a similar course on a former occasion in our Letter dated the 22nd March (Pol. No. 4) 1837 para 34.

13. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue who will ascertain from the Agent in Ganjam if the necessity for preventing the return of Raghonada Deo to Chinna Kimedy still continues as His Lordship in Council observes that 3 years have elapsed since his removal from it. —

Complaint

20 Complaint of one Soobaah that the Mootah of Anandoor in Salem had been disposed of at public Sale to a person whose bid was less than his own notice.

35 The explanation of this transaction furnished by the Principal Collector of Salem is satisfactory. —

15. This para will be communicated to the Principal Collector of Salem through the Board of Revenue. —

31. A further application from one Congally Lubby Mercoyen for the grant of a piece of land for the support of a Choultry in Tanjore stated

36. We have already communicated to you our sentiments as relative to the application of this person in para 37 of Letter dated 13th ult. January (No. 1) 1841. —

16. As the Honorable Court adverts in this para to a previous dispatch in which the remarks had reference to the application of Congally Lubby Mercoyen that of the amount

amount of revenue to be given up did not exceed the sum which it was competent for the Government to assign for Chauttries under the established rules, their prohibition against permanent settlement was not applicable, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to direct the Board of Revenue to cause a communication to be made to the Proprietor that he can hold the lands he has applied for on the prevailing rate of assessment subject to a deduction of Rupees 60 per annum, so long as the Chauttry is maintained for the accommodation of Travellers. — (True Extract) (Signed) Walter Elliot Ag. Secy to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(3 - 4)

Order thereon. — Ordered that the foregoing para

Court's Letter Para 5

para of the Honorable Court's letter be recorded in the Office of the Board of Revenue.

Transcript of this para of the General Letter recorded above together with the observations of Government contained in para 4 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation which accompanies it, are ordered to be furnished to the Secretary of the Agri Horticultural Society of Madras with the request of the Board of Revenue that he will be pleased to communicate such information as the Society may possess upon the several points therein adverted to with a view to the preparation of the special report on the culture of Mauritius Sugar Cane called for by Government for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors. In reference to the orders of Government contained in the Extract Minutes of Consultation just alluded to the Board resolve to address the following letter by circular to the Collectors in the Provinces under this Presidency. —

Court's Letter Para 7 Min of Cons 4

Circular

Government

9248 Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

Circular to Collectors &c.

Bellary
Cuddapah
Salem
N. Arcot
S. Arcot
Tanjore
Coimbatore
Ganjam
Vizagapatam
Rajahmundry

Masulipatam
Guntoor
Nellore
Chingleput
Trichinopoly
Madura
Tinnevelly
Coimbatore
Malabar

(Sent 13th Octr.)

Government having called upon the Board of Revenue to furnish a special report for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors on the subject of the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will state whether the species of Sugar Cane has been introduced into your District and if so, the extent and nature of the land occupied, the result of the produce compared with that of the common Country cane, together with the opinions of the natives as to its superiority, and the mode in which the produce is afterwards applied, and if to the extension of the manufacture of Sugar, its quality and comparative value. —

Court's Letter para 8. —

As directed by Government transcripts of this para will be furnished to Mr. Goldingham for his information and to the Office of the Collector of Guntoor for ditto.

Ordered.

9249 Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

Court's Letter para 10 —

Ordered that copy of this para be furnished to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information with reference to the communication for this Department under date the 16th May 1839. —

Court's Letter para 21

The observations recorded in this para of the Honorable Court's letter are ordered to be communicated to the Collector and Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam for record with reference to Mr. Arbuthnot's reports dated respectively the 18th January and 8th of June 1840.

Pub. Cons. 10th Feby 1840.
" 2 July —"

Courts Letter Para 29.
Min of Cons. 13

In communicating the foregoing Extract from the Honorable the Court of Directors letter, together with para 13 of the Minutes of Consultation thereon to the Agent to the Governor in Ganjam, the Board request that Mr. Bannerman will be pleased to report for the information of Government whether the necessity still continues for preventing the return of Reghonada Deo to Chinna Kemedy. —

Transcript.

9248. Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

Circular to Collectors. Sir,

Bellary. Masulipatam.
Cuddapah. Guntoor.
Salem. Nellore.
N. Arcot. Chingleput.
S. Arcot. Trichinopoly.
Tanjore. Madura.
Coimbatore. Tinnevelly.
Ganjam. Coimbatore.
Vizagapatam. Malabar.
Rajahmundry.

(Sent 13th Oct.)

Government having called upon the Board of Revenue to furnish a special report for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors on the subject of the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will state whether the species of Sugar Cane has been introduced into your District and if so, the extent and value of the land occupied, the result of the produce compared with that of the common Country cane, together with the opinions of the natives as to its superiority, and the mode in which the produce is afterwards applied, and if to the extension of the manufacture of Sugar, its quality and comparative value. —

Court's Letter para 8. As directed by Government transcript of this para will be furnished to Mr. Goldingham for his information and to the Office of the Collector of Guntoor for record.

Ordered.

9249. Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

Court's Letter para 10. Ordered that copy of this para be furnished to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information with reference to the communication from this Department under date the 16th May 1839. —

Court's Letter para 21. The observations recorded in this para of the Honorable Court's letter are ordered to be communicated to the Collector and Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam for record with reference to Mr. Arbuthnot's reports dated respectively the 18th January and 8th of June 1840.

Dis. Cons. 10th Feby 1840.
" 2 July "
Court's Letter para 29.
Min of Cons. 13

In communicating the foregoing Extract from the Honorable the Court of Directors letter, together with para 13 of the Minutes of Consultation thereon to the Agent to the Governor in Ganjam, the Board request that Mr. Bannerman will be pleased to report for the information of Government whether the necessity still continues for preventing the return of Reghonada Deo to Chinna Kennedy. —

Transcript.

9250

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842

Court's Letter para 35

Transcript of this para is ordered to be furnished for record to the Principal Collector of Salem with reference to his letter to their Department dated 16th July 1839.

In Con. 5th Aug. 1839

Court's Letter para 36 Mem of Con. 16

Ordered that transcript of these paras be furnished to the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore for his information and guidance with reference to the correspondence transmitted from this Department under date 19th June 1839.

Mr. Bishop will be pleased to acquaint Cowgally Lubby Mercoyen with the decision of the Honorable Court in his case, and make over to him the lands in question on the terms and subject to the deduction specified by Government in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation above recorded.

Extract

9251

5

From the Asst. Secy to Govt.

Communicating orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the settlement of the land revenue in the Guntoor District for Fasly 1250 and on the settlement of the Mulrajah Zemindary and Chilkaloor Paud Estate in that District.

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 8th August 1842.

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue —

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the Guntoor District for Fasly 1250. —

Relative to the settlement of the Mulrajah Zemindary and Chilkaloor Paud Estate in the District of Guntoor for Fasly 1250. —

(Here enter dated 11th July 1842 & Nos. 311 and 312)

Para 1. — The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is glad to observe that the somewhat adverse circumstances of the season of 1250 Fasly in Guntoor, together with the greatly diminished sales of salt have not been attended with the loss of revenue that might have been anticipated and that on the contrary there has been a small increase of Rs. 12,998-8-3 on the settlement of the preceding year — A considerable portion of this amount however appears to be still due the balance against the Amanie lands in Palnad being Rupees 9946-9-9 and against the Extra Sources — " — 530-4-4 10476-13-9. exclusive of the deficiency in the permanent peishcush amounting to 454328-1-4 — His

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

Court's Letter para 35

In Con. 2nd Aug. 1839

Court's Letter para 36 Min of Con. 16

Transcript of this para is ordered to be furnished for record to the Principal Collector of Salem with reference to his letter to this Department dated 16th July 1839.

Ordered that transcript of these paras be furnished to the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore for his information and guidance with reference to the correspondance transmitted from this Department under date 19th June 1839.

Mr. Bishop will be pleased to acquaint Congally Lubby Mercoyen with the decision of the Honorable Court in his case, and make over to him the lands in question on the terms and subject to the deduction specified by Government in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation a Copy recorded.

Extract

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842

5

From the Sec'y to Govt.

Communicating orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the settlement of the land revenue in the Guntoor District for Fasly 1250 and on the settlement of the Mulrauze Zemindary and Chilkaloor Pand Estate in that District.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th August 1842.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the Guntoor District for Fasly 1250.—

Relative to the settlement of the Mulrauze Zemindary and Chilkaloor Pand Estate in the District of Guntoor for Fasly 1250.—

(Here enter dated 11th July 1842 Nos. 311 and 312)

Para 1.—

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is glad to observe that the somewhat adverse circumstances of the season of 1250 Fasly in Guntoor, together with the greatly diminished sales of salt have not been attended with the loss of revenue that might have been anticipated, and that on the contrary there has been a small increase of 12,998-8-3 on the settlement of the preceding year.—A considerable portion of this amount however appears to be still due the balance against the Amanie Lands in Palnad being Rupees 9469-9-9 and against the Extra Sources — — — 530-4-11

10476-13-9.

exclusive of the deficiency in the permanent peishcush amounting to 45,328-1-4.—

His

2 His Lordship in Council entirely approves of the instructions to the Collector conveyed in the 2nd para of these proceedings under the present distribution of the Civil Engineers the neglect to take advantage of their experience and skill in the construction of any public work whether in the Government or assumed lands is very objectionable

3 The improvement &c apparent in the attached Zemindaries of Satanapilly and Chilkaloorpad is very satisfactory. — The latter has been for the most part under the management of the Collector and on the separate references that have been made by the Board regarding the future disposal of this estate it has appeared to His Lordship in Council that the same system of management should be continued. —

4 The observations on the different detailed points in the Settlements of

of Palnad Talook seem just and proper, particularly with reference to the unchecked annual transfer and relinquishment of Cultivation and to the unauthorized alteration of Garden Assessment into the rate levied on dry lands on the ground that the former have become depreciated in value, by the permission accorded to individuals to convert their dry cultivation &c into such at their own expense &c without being subjected to any increase of tax. —

5 His Lordship in Council likewise approves of the observations on the points, to &c which the Collector's attention has been called in the Mulraj and Chilkaloorpad Estates. — Besides the balances remaining unrecovered in each of these &c estates, the large accumulation of arrears of farmers years in the Mulraj Zemindary has &c been specially brought to notice both on the present and on former occasions

occasions by the Collector. These it appears have amounted to the enormous sum of Rs. 10,39,578-3-9 from 1828 Fusly to the end of 1246 and being due entirely by ryots and renters many of whom are dead or have left the district, and the remainder are reduced to poverty and distress, by the succession of adverse seasons and other calamities with which the province has been visited, no other course appears to be left, but to write off as recommended by the Board of Revenue. —

6 In sanctioning this arrangement however, His Lordship in Council desires that the Collector may be specially instructed not only to ascertain during the progress of the ensuing settlement that no part of the sums now appearing as arrears has

has been collected by the village officers, but also to take due measures for preventing any such demands being brought against the ryots by such parties hereafter. For this purpose it will be necessary to make each individual understand clearly that he is released from the amount standing against his name in the accounts and if possible it would be very desirable to enter the exact sum from which he is released in his pattah. —

(A true Extract)

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Actg. Secry to Govt.

To

The President and Members

of the

Board of Revenue

Ordered

Order thereon. — Ordered that copy of the foregoing paper be furnished to the Collector of Canton for his information and guidance. —

(5-6)

The Collector will be specially careful to ascertain that no part of the arrears now allowed to be cancelled have been collected and embezzled by the Village Officers, and he will take all necessary care that each individual benefiting by this remission shall know that he has been relieved from his balance and the precise extent of the relief. —

(7)

From the Ag. Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th August 1842. —

Read the following letter from the Acting Agent and Collector in Vizagapatam. —

Forwards for Con sanction a claim submitted for Tent allowance Con by Lieut. Wilson (Here enter 15th July 1842 No 332) Junior Assistant while employed on duty at Jeypoor

(DRAWING OFFICE Received)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the payment to Lieut. Wilson of the same Extra Tent allowance as is received by

by Assistant Collectors under the Rules at present in force. —

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot
Ag. Secy to Govt.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(7-8)

Order thereon. — Ordered to be recorded. —

Read the following Resolutions

From the Ag. Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th August 1842. —

(9)

(From Bd. 4th August)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the arrangement under which the Collector of Malabar obtained a Teak forest in the Ernaad valley from the Zamorin Rajah and authorizes that officer to make Con disbursements not exceeding 20 Rupees Twenty per mensem to meet the expenses of its conservation. —

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Ag. Secretary to Govt.

(9-10)

Order thereon. — Ordered that copy of the foregoing resolution of Government and of the letter to which it relates be sent to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance.

guidance with reference to his letter of the 15th Ultimo.

11

From the Asst. Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th August 1842.

(From Bd. 1st August.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees no necessity for making any alteration in the amount of the allowance sanctioned for the support of the late Shah Mahomed, which he desires may be continued in full for the benefit of his family.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy to Govt.

11-12

Order thereon. — Ordered that copy of the above order of Government be transmitted to the Principal Collector of Chellore with reference to his letter of the 16th Ultimo, and that he be directed to continue to the family of the late Shah Mahomed the whole pension of 7 Rupees a Month sanctioned for that Individual

Extract

From the Asst. Secy. to Government

13

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th August 1842.

(From Bd. 1st August.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants special sanction for the payment of the arrears of Pension, due to the late Cherry Ammaul at the period of her death, to her Nephew Narasimha Charry, Amounting to Rupees 41-5-8 forty four annas five and pice eight.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy. to Govt.

13-14

Order thereon. — Ordered that transcript of the above order of Government be furnished to the Collector of North Arcot for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 14th June 1842.

Read the following letter.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

15

From the Coll. of Guntoors.

Sir,

With reference to the

Board's

9260 Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

Board's proceedings dated the 11th. ultimo, and the orders of Government thereon, with respect to the Chilkalvarpaud Estate. I have the honor to return the accompanying petitions bearing the Board's Endorsement, dated the 3d. of March last, the late Acting Collector having considered it proper to retain them in the Office, pending the reply to his letter of the 22nd. March 1842.

†No. 579 of 1841 on Board's file. No. 975 of Do.

Guntoor,
Collector's Cutcherry,
6th. August, 1842.

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

15 - 16

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

17

From the Pol. Collr. of Nellore

Sir,

Para 1. The detailed accounts of Mr. Stratton for the Zemindary of Sydapoor are not in my Office, but they should be in that of the Principal Collector of North Arcot, the Zemindary having for a time, after the Assumption of the Country, been attached to that District. The only Statement furnished

to

9261 Fort St. George 22nd. August 1842.

to this District has been "a General Statement of the actual Resources of the Sydapoor Zemindary taken from Curnums Village accounts for fusly 1208".

2. The said Zemindary having been purchased by Government in Public Auction, all the detailed accounts of Mr. Stratton will be required for reference in this District, and they are of no use in the Guntoor District. I have therefore the honor to request that the Board will direct the Principal Collector of Guntoor to transmit to me all the accounts in his office relating to that Zemindary.

Nellore,
Prin. Coll's. Cutcherry,
8th. August, 1842.

/Signed/ F. W. Stonhouse
Pl. Collector.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a copy of

17 - 18

the foregoing letter be furnished to the Collector of North Arcot, with the Boards request, that if the detailed accounts of the Sydapoor Zemindary, therein referred to, are forthcoming in the Records of his Office, he will transmit them to Mr. Stonhouse as under existing

circumstances

circumstances they can be of no use in the Chittoor District.

19

From the Asst. Princl. Coll.r of Cuddapah

Read the undermentioned Returns.

Abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge; and the number of Appeals preferred and disposed of, under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, from 1st. January to 30th. June last.

20

From the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore

Submitting an Abstract Statement, shewing the number of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822, from 1st. January to 30th. June last.

21

From the Pr. Collector of Canara

Abstract Statement, shewing the number of Persons charged, Convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge, and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of, under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, from 1st. January to 30th. June last.

Ordered

Order on the three above Returns. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole will be prepared, and submitted for the orders of Government.

19.22

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

23

From the Coll.r of North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council dated 28th. July 1818 received with Mr. Campbell's letter of the 28th. September 1818, relative to a Pension of 150 Rupees per Mensem continued to one Mahomed Abdoollah (now deceased) until the further orders of the Honorable Court of Directors, I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to inform me, whether any subsequent instructions were received in the Case of the Pensioner; if so, I request that I may be furnished with a Copy of the same, to enable me to

circumstances they can be of no use in the Chittoor District.

19

From the Asst. Princ. Coll.r of Cuddapah

Read the undermentioned Returns.

Abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge; and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of, under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, from 1st. January to 30th. June last.

20

From the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore

Submitting an Abstract Statement, shewing the number of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822, from 1st. January to 30th. June last.

21

From the Pr. Collector of Canara

Abstract Statement, shewing the number of Persons charged, Convicted, Acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge, and the number of Appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, from 1st. January to 30th. June last.

Ordered

Order on the three above Returns. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts, when an Abstract of the whole will be prepared, and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

23

From the Coll.r of North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council dated 28th. July 1818 received with Mr. Campbell's letter of the 28th. September 1818, relative to a Pension of 150 Rupees per mensem continued to one Mahomed Abdoollah (now deceased) until the further orders of the Honorable Court of Directors, I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to inform me, whether any subsequent instructions were received in the Case of the Pensioner; if so, I request that I may be furnished with a Copy of the same, to enable me to

Fort St. George 22nd. August 1842.

to Dispose of the Claims preferred by the family to the Pension

North Arcot,
Coll.rs. Cir.t. Cutcherry,
Palmanair, -----
8th. August, 1842.

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector.

Order thereon.
(Read again in Bon.d 1st. Sept.r)
23-24.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25

From the Coll.r in the Jun.r D.n of Arcot.

Sir,

Gareeb Shaw the Mushroolty Yeomadar No. 60. referred to in the list No. 3, forwarded to the Board under date 6th. March 1838, died on the 7th. of May last, leaving 2 Sons and 2 Daughters. The eldest Son Nizam Mohdeen, alias Nizam Shah, claims the succession to this Yeomiah, amounting to Rupees 88-8-1 per Annum.

On reference to the records it appears that the Grant was made by

Fort St. George 22nd. August 1842.

by the late Nabob Wallajah, under date the 7th. Rumjan 1207 Hizerry, to one Nizamoodeen for the support of the tomb of Bismoollah Shah in Arregasity Village in the Talook of Cuddalore, and renewed by Mr. Ravenshaw on the 1st. January 1808 in the name of his Son, Gareeb Shah now deceased.

This tomb is Kept up, and the usual Ceremonies properly performed.

I have the honor to recommend that the Grant be renewed in the Name of Nizam Shah the eldest Son.

Cuddalore, -----
Coll.rs. Cutcherry,
9th. August, 1842.

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Collector.

Resolution thereon.

25-26

Resolved that the foregoing communication from the Collector of South Arcot, reporting the death of Gareeb Shaw a Mushroolty Yeomiahdar in this District, be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council. The Board beg to recommend that the pension

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

pension enjoyed by the deceased amounting to Rupees 88. 8. 1 per annum, may be renewed in the Name of his eldest Son Nezam Mohdeen, alias Nezam Shaw; as it appears that the Service continues to be performed for which the original Grant was made.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

27

From the Pt. Collr. of Madura.

Sir,

In reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 11th Ultimo, with a correspondence with Government, on the subject of substituting the Indian Maund for the Garce, I take the liberty of stating, my understanding of the instructions is that, no change is to be made in the Collector's account, but that when a total of all Collectorates is struck at Madras, it will be for the Board to make the conversion from Garce into Indian Maunds. Should this be meant to be done by the Collectors, no monthly account can be sent without most inconvenient fractions, and the serious minute difference may in the aggregate

Fort St. George 22nd August 1842.

aggregate be considerable, whilst often the grand total only the Indian Maund be substituted, the balance and difference will alike be trifling.

Madura, 5th August, 1842.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pt. Collector.

27-28

Order thereon
(Read again on 5th September)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read the following letters.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

29

From the Pt. Collr. of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to lay before the Board an abstract Statement of the Revenues of this district for the Fusly just expired 1251, in comparison with those of the preceding year. The increase in the late Fusly Amounts to Rupees 8,720. 7 – 10 a. p.

Pt. Collrs Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
3d August, 1842.

(Signed) K. M. Blair
Pt. Collector.

Comparative

9268

Fort St. George 22nd Augist 1842-

A- Comparative Statement of the Land and Extra Revenue of Canara in Fuslies 1250 and 1251-

Items of Revenue-	Revenue of Fusly 1250	Revenue of Fusly 1251-	Increase-	Decrease-	Balance at the end of Fusly 1250	Balance at the end of Fusly 1251-	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
Land Revenue	17,26,527 8 1	17,35,489 13 "	8962 4 11	" " "	19,041 13 "	23050 10 8	4008 11 8	" " "
Devastanum ditto	105923 10 9	105923 10 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Maturpha ditto	10698 3 2	13782 3 4	3083 14 2	" " "	" " "	1674 13 5	1674 13 5	" " "
Small Farms	4231 12 9	4623 14 6	392 1 8	" " "	" " "	392 1 8	392 1 8	" " "
Abkarry	52926 12 "	60154 12 "	7228 " "	" " "	2213 " 1	3653 4 6	1440 4 5	" " "
Ferry Farms	4755 12 "	5782 4 "	1026 8 "	" " "	434 9 4	210 3 7	" " "	224 5 9
Land Customs	2,82,579 14 7	2,95,241 15 6	12662 " 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Salt	313875 12 6	319036 3 6	5160 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Tobacco	2,89335 5 11	2,80685 10 10	" " "	8649 11 1	322 " "	" " "	" " "	322 " "
Sea Customs	2,86,726 8 10	2,69,604 13 6	" " "	17121 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Stamps	36312 2 11	39788 11 6	3476 8 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ameendevi	17925 13 1	10425 14 1	" " "	7499 15 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	31,31,819 6 7	31,40,539 14 5	41991 13 3		33271 5 5	22011 8 5	28981 1 10	7575 15 2	546 5 9

Deduct Decrease ——— 33271 5 5

Net Increase ——— 8720 7 10

Deduct Decrease ——— 546 5 9

Net Increase ——— 6969 9 5

Principal Collrs Cutcherry }
Mangalore 3d August 1842- }

(Sigsd) H. M. Blair Pt Collector-

Ordered

2269 Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

Order thereon.

(29. 30) Ordered to be recorded.

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(31)

From the Pl. Coll.r of Canara ... Sir,

I have the honor to request the sanction of the Board, for the purchase of the lands specified in the accompanying Statement by the Public Servant mentioned therein.

Print.d Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Mangalore, ...
3d. August, 1842.

Signed / H. M. Blair
Pl. Collector.

Name

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

Nett Rent after paying Sircar Peshcush.

Years purchase, with reference to the nett rent.

Name of the purchaser.

Taluk.

Situation.

Extent.

Assessment.

Remarks.

Sircar ... Rice ... Moojany ... Village ... Rent ... Years ... Months ... days.

Sircar ... Rice ... Taluk ... Village ... Rent ... Years ... Months ... days.

Christian Names at Length / Surnames / Residence

Mangalore ... Godlad ... Godlad ... Godlad ... Rs. As. Ps. ... Rs. As. Ps. ... Rs. As. Ps. ... Rs. As. Ps. ... Years ... Months ... days.

Christian Names at Length / Surnames / Residence

Mangalore ... Godlad ... Godlad ... Godlad ... 51 10 ... 6 15 2 ... 44 10 10 ... 0 5 3 ... 21 Years, 3 Months, and 8 days.

Signed / H. M. Blair
Pl. Collector.

Print.d Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
3d. August, 1842.

9271 Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(31-32)

The Board of Revenue are pleased to permit the purchase, by Krishna Row Head Serishtadar in Canara, of the lands specified in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(33)

From the Pr. coll. of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to request, that you will have the goodness to furnish me with a Copy of the Boards' letter dated the 17th April 1834, containing instructions for seizing smuggled goods.

Princ. coll. Cutcherry, Mangalore, 3d. August, 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pr. Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(33-34)

To the Principal Collector of Canara.

To the Princ. coll. of Canara

Sir,

I am Directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3d. Instant and to transmit a Copy of the letter from this Office dated 17th April 1834, as therein requested.

Inbon. 22d. Aug. 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. August, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

9272 Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(35)

From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 13th July 1837, I shall be obliged by your laying the accompanying correspondence before them. It will be seen that my request to have the services of the Dresser attached to my Department for an hour or two during the day, in order to employ him in a way which I believe will greatly tend to the efficiency of my Establishment, is refused, on the ground that during the time I am at Calicut he is under the sole orders of the Medical Officer, who does not choose he should attend me, even when he has no occasion for his services. I am not

+ To Civil Surgeon Calicut dated 20th June 1842.
From Ditto 21st Ditto.
To Superintending Surgeon Malabar and Canara 24th Ditto.
From Dr. 28th Ditto.

not aware of the exact practice in other Districts, but I should hardly think there was one in which a similar mark of Courtesy (to say the least) would be found. My own Sub Collector at Tellicherry has the advantage I have requested, and finds it, as I no doubt should, most useful.

Malabar
Collector's Office,
Calicut 3d August 1842. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

A.

To The Civil Surgeon.
Calicut.

Sir,

I have frequently had considerable inconvenience is entailed on my Cutcherry from Native Servants absenting themselves from their work, on the plea of sickness, a plea which I am unable to prove untrue (however much I may suspect it) from the want of any person who can make the requisite enquiry. I beg to know therefore whether you can spare me the services of the Dresser, who is attached to my Department, for two hours every day, in order that he may visit and report on such persons

persons as I think it desirable to send him to. It appears that formerly it was the custom for this Dresser to attend at the Cutcherry from 10 a. m. to 4 P. m. for this very purpose, and the knowledge that he did so was productive, I believe, of the best effects.

Collector's Office,
Calicut 20th June 1842. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 16.

To The Collector of
Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of yesterday's date, and regret the heavy duties of the Medical Subordinates, and the necessary attention to the sick, will preclude my sparing the 2d. Dresser for the purpose of his daily attending at the Cutcherry, on the possible contingency of his services being required, but as the orders of Government (which I had the honor of shewing you on a former occasion) direct that all Subordinate Officers when sick, should attend at the Hospital or the Surgeon's Quarters, unless incapacited by severe sickness from leaving their homes, in which

not aware of the exact practice in other Districts, but I should hardly think there was one in which a similar want of Courtesy (to say the least) would be found. My own Sub Collector at Tellichery has the advantage I have requested, and finds it, as I no doubt should, most useful.

Malabar.
Collector's Office,
Calicut 3d August 1842.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

A.

To The Civil Surgeon.
Calicut.

Sir,

Considerable inconvenience is frequently entailed on my Cutcherry from Native Servants absenting themselves from their work, on the plea of sickness, a plea which I am unable to prove untrue (however much I may suspect it) from the want of any person who can make the requisite enquiry—I beg to know therefore whether you can spare me the services of the Dresser, who is attached to my Department, for two hours every day, in order that he may visit and report on such persons

as I think it desirable to send him to. It appears that formerly it was the Custom for this Dresser to attend at the Cutcherry from 10 A. M. to 4 P. M. for this very purpose, and the knowledge that he did so was productive, I believe, of the best effects.

Collector's Office,
Calicut 20th June 1842.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 16.

To The Collector of
Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of yesterday's date, and regret the heavy duties of the Medical Subordinates, and the necessary attention to the sick, will preclude my sparing the 2d. Dresser for the purpose of his daily attending at the Cutcherry, on the possible contingency of his services being required, but as the orders of Government (which I had the honor of shewing you on a former occasion) direct that all Subordinate Officers when sick, should attend at the Hospital or the Surgeon's Quarters, unless incapacited by severe sickness from leaving their homes, in which

not aware of the exact practice in other Districts, but I should hardly think there was one in which a similar want of Courtesy (to say the least) would be found. My own Sub Collector at Tellichery has the advantage I have requested, and finds it, as I no doubt should, most useful.

Malabar
Collector's Office,
Calicut 3d August 1842. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

A.

To The Civil Surgeon.
Calicut.

Sir,

Considerable inconvenience is frequently entailed on my Cutcherry from Native Servants absenting themselves from their work, on the plea of sickness, a plea which I am unable to prove untrue (however much I may suspect it) from the want of any person who can make the requisite enquiry. I beg to know therefore whether you can spare me the services of the Dresser, who is attached to my Depart=ment, for two hours every day, in order that he may visit and report on such persons

persons as I think it desirable to send him to. It appears that formerly it was the Custom for this Dresser to attend at the Cutcherry from 10 A. M. to 4 P. M. for this very purpose, and the knowledge that he did so was productive, I believe, of the best effects.

Collectors Office,
Calicut 20th June 1842. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 16.

To The Collector of
Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow=ledge the receipt of your letter of yesterday's date, and regret the heavy duties of the Medical Subordinates, and the necessary attention to the sick, will preclude my sparing the 2d. Dresser for the purpose of his daily attending at the Cutcherry, on the possible contingency of his services being required, but as the orders of Government (which I had the honor of shewing you on a former occasion) direct that all Subordinate Officers when sick, should attend at the Hospital or the Surgeons Quarters, unless incapacited by severe sickness from leaving their homes, in

which

Fort St George 22d August 1842.

which case they will be seen at their own houses, I conceive every object will be obtain=ed, every inconvenience to the Public service obviated, and all maligneering prevented, & if the above orders are acted upon, and if a Book containing the names, and designations of the Sicks absent is sent to me, the necessary reports shall be furnish=ed for your information, which I trust will meet your views with regard to the Dresser formerly attending at the Cutcherry from 10. A. M. till 4 P. M. that entirely arose from the Collector having taken a Mistaken view of the case, supposing the Dresser was personally attached to him, and con=sequently not allowing him to do any= duty at the Hospital. At that time there was the following Establishment of Medical Subordinates, one 1st Dresser, one 2d Dresser, One Native Doctor, and two Medical Pupils, whereas now there is only one 1st and one 2d Dresser— the subject was referred to Higher authority previous to my arrival at the Station, when the 2d

Dresser

Fort St George 22d August 1842.

Dresser was placed under the Medical Officer, and directed to do duty at the Hospital, which order also I laid before you on a former occasion—

Calicut, 21st June, 1842.

(Signed) R. H. Buchanan
Civil Surgeon, Malabar.

To The Superintending Surgeon,
Malabar & Canara
Cannanore.

To Civil Surgeon dated 20th June 1842. From Do 21st Ditto.

Sir, I beg to forward for your consideration the accompanying corres=pondence between myself and the Civil Surgeon of this Zillah— Mr Buchanan declines, you will observe, my request, to have the Services of a Dresser for a short= time during the day and recommends that all Subordinate Officers who may not attend at my Cutcherry, should be required to attend at the Hospital or Surgeon's Quarters, (unless absolutely incapacitated) to prove the fact of their illness— But I should resort with great regret to a proceeding, which would entail great personal annoyance on

the

the man who was really unwell, equally with the one who merely pretended to be so. It is the latter description of individual whom alone I want to deal with - and as it is impossible to discriminate between the two in any general Order, I am anxious to obtain my purpose by sending a fit person to make enquiry at the house of those, whom from character or other circumstance, I have good reason to suspect.

I cannot think that Mr Buchanan, would be subjected to any particular inconvenience by being deprived of the services, of the Dresser for the short time I should require him. It must be remembered that the man is altogether lost to him when I am out on Circuit, & hitherto more than 6 Months in the year. During many days I might not find it necessary to send him to visit any one, and on all such occasions, I should of course at once retransmit him to his more peculiar Medical duties, should an early hour be objected to, I would willingly have him at any more convenient time - that he is all day engaged under the orders of the Medical

Officer

Officer, can hardly be imagined.

I doubt if Mr Buchanan is entirely borne out in his Statement that "the 2d Dresser was placed under the Medical Officer and directed to do duty at the Hospital" and the inference he evidently assumes from it, that I have no sort of claim to the services of the Dresser unless when on Circuit. The orders of Government † direct that "Medical servants in the Civil Department are to "be subjected in their professional capacity "to the Supervision and control of the executive Medical Officer of the Zillah," and that they will be required to perform "their duties in communication with him "under his immediate instructions" a phraseology which I think hardly warrants the strong conclusion I have just alluded to. Perhaps you will favor me with your opinion on the exact intentions of the Government order, which I observe is founded on a recommendation of the Medical Board.

† Dated 9th June 1837.

Collectors Office
Calicut 24th June 1842. } Signed H. V. Conolly
Coll[r] and Magistrate.

To

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842

No. 126.

To

The Collector and Magistrate of

Malabar.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 24th Instant, I have the honor to state my opinion, that by the Minutes of Consultation No. 899 dated 9th June 1837, all Medical Servants when not actually employed on Circuit in the district, are entirely under the orders of the Civil Surgeon, to perform their duties in the manner he may consider best for the good of the Public Service.

G. O. No. 143 in the Judicial Department dated 22d. February 1840, points out how Subordinates attached to Courts should receive Medical attendance, and my opinion is, that when the Cutcherry remains at the same station with the Medical Officer, the rule is applicable to the Revenue Native Servants.

If the Medical Officer be requested to furnish a certificate when any of the Public Servants are unfit for their duty, in consequence of ill health, and another when sufficiently recovered to perform their work, it will be impossible for them to stay away feigning Sickness.

Cannanore
28th June, 1842.

(Signed) B. Williams
Asst. Supt. Surgeon
M. F. C.

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of Government, the enclosed letter from the Collector of Malabar, with the Correspondence which accompanied it. It appears from these papers that the Dresser attached to Mr. Conolly's Office is with him altogether so long as he is absent from Calicut on Circuit in the District; but that while the Collector is at Calicut his Dresser is required by the Zillah Surgeon to attend solely at the Hospital, and he is altogether deprived of his services. Mr. Conolly wished the Dresser to attend him while at Calicut for an hour or two daily to test the truth of the pleas of illness

35-36

To the Jr. Chief Secy to Government.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Malabar with the correspondence which accompanied it on the subject of the attendance of the Dresser attached to his office and recommending that the Zillah Surgeon be directed to comply with the Collector's request for the Dressers daily attendance on him for certain hours while at Calicut.

Read 22d August 1842 No. 35

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842

illness made by absentees from duty; but this accommodation was refused by the Zillah Surgeon, and the refusal being supported by the Acting Superintending Surgeon of the Division, Mr. Connolly made this reference to the Board.

2. The grounds of the refusal are the orders of Government in Minutes of Consultation 9th. June 1837, and the severity of the duties at the Hospital at Calicut. The Board think that the meaning of the order quoted is quite mistaken by the two Medical Officers above specified. The object in view in the Consultation above noticed appears to have been to improve the efficiency of the Medical subordinates attached to Collectors Establishments; and one of the means adopted with this view was to place these persons under the Supervision of European Medical Officers in the discharge of their duties. It does not appear from the terms of the order (see Para 4) that Government intended

to

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842

to deprive a Collector at any time of the services of his native Dresser, and still less to remove them entirely from his control, nor does that seem to be necessary to the attainment of the object which Government had in view. With regard to the other plea advanced by the Zillah Surgeon only Viz. the severity of the duty at the Calicut Hospital, there is nothing in the order above quoted which authorizes the Zillah Surgeon to require any Services whatever from the Collectors Dresser, except those connected with the Collectors Office. It may further be remarked that during a large portion of the years, when the Collector is on Circuit, the Zillah Surgeon is totally deprived of that aid, which however he states to be so indispensably necessary.

3. On the foregoing considerations the Board are of opinion that the Collector while at Calicut might reasonably require

a

illness made by absentees from duty; but this accommodation was refused by the Zillah Surgeon, and the refusal being supported by the Acting Superintending Surgeon of the Division, Mr. Connolly made this reference to the Board.

2. The grounds of the refusal are the orders of Government in Minutes of Consultation 9th June 1837, and the severity of the duties at the Hospital at Calicut. The Board think that the meaning of the order quoted is quite mistaken by the two Medical Officers above specified. The object in view in the Consultation above noticed appears to have been to improve the efficiency of the Medical subordinates attached to Collector's Establishments; and one of the means adopted with this view was to place these persons under the Supervision of European Medical Officers in the discharge of their duties. It does not appear from the terms of the order (see Para 4) that Government intended to

to deprive a Collector at any time of the services of his native dresser, and still less to remove them entirely from his control, nor does that seem to be necessary to the attainment of the object which Government had in view. With regard to the other plea advanced by the Zillah Surgeon only Viz. the severity of the duty at the Calicut Hospital, there is nothing in the order above quoted which authorizes the Zillah Surgeon to require any services whatever from the Collector's Dresser, except those connected with the Collector's Office. It may further be remarked that during a large portion of the years, when the Collector is on Circuit, the Zillah Surgeon is totally deprived of that aid, which however he states to be so indispensably necessary.

3. On the foregoing considerations the Board are of opinion that the Collector while at Calicut might reasonably require

a

a much larger measure of attendance from his Dresser, than that which he wishes for; and they recommend that the Zillah Surgeon be directed to comply with his request for the attendance of the Dresser for two hours a day or such further period as may be required for the object sought by Mr. Conolly at Pillicherry in the same district this is customary in the instance of the Dresser of the Sub Collector's Office; it is found beneficial there, and the Board agree with Mr. Conolly that the same practice would be conducive to the efficiency of his Establishment also.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. August 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letters-

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

From the Collr. of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the remarks of the Board of Revenue under

under date the 1st. Instant, on the application of Doodeia Ahmed's for the payment of certain arrears of Jeemiah adverted to in my Memorandum bearing date the 22d. Ultimo, which has been returned, and is now retransmitted herewith. I have the honor to report that it has been ascertained from persons of respectability that that individual proceeded to Salguddy about 18 Months ago to see his Mother in law, who was at the time dangerously ill, and that he returned thence only in last Month.-

Madras, 9th. August 1842.

Signed A. Robertson
Collector.

Memorandum

9285.

A.

Memorandum Shewing the Arrears of

No.	Name of the Yeomiah dar	Amount of Yeomiah per Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due.	Total of Amount of Arrears due
		Rs. a P			Rs
1	Assadeen Ahmed	30 " "	Order of Government dated 13th August communicated in a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 23d September 1822	From 12th January 1841 to 11th July 1842 being 1 year and 6 Months.	45 ed Rs ty five- eorge, ember, 1842 rder, tter Elliot y to Govt

Madras
22d July
1842

ed

Order thereon. Ordered that the

37. 38

necessary remarks of the

Board be inserted in the

proper Column of the

Statement accompanying

the foregoing letter, and

submitted for the Sanction

of Government.

To

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

39

From the Coll.r of Madras

Sir,

To B.d 19th Nov.r 1839.
From Do 23 Dec.r Do.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that Mootiah Pillay, the individual named in my letter of the 19th November 1839, has now produced, again the Original Certificate for Compensation for the suppressed manufacture of Salt at Coongeoorium, No. 9, with a fresh Vakalutnamah in his favor from the holder of that instrument, Narrainsawmy Pillay, authorizing him to apply for, and receive, the Arrears payable from the 12th July 1838 to the 11th July 1842, or for 4 Years, amounting at Rupees 30-1-0 per Annum, to Rupees 120-4-0, in consequence of his being still employed as a Tamil Moonshee by a Missionary at Tranquebar.

14th July 1842.

2. No payment having been made beyond the Amount authorized by Mr. Parker's letter of the 23d December 1839, which was due to the 11th July 1838, and the present Vakalutnamah being attested and sealed by a Notary Public of Tranquebar, I beg leave

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government,

Sir,

(46)

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Govt.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit, for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying Petition from Meer Soottan Ali and others, enclosing the original summud called for in Para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation under date 4th September 1840, in support of their claim to the Enam Villages of Naulpaukam and Manuladay-

1232 of 1842.

Con. 24th September 1840

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22d. August, 1842.

/Signed/ J.D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To The Principal Collector of
Nellore,

Sir,

(47)

To the Pl. Collr. of Nellore

The same to South Arcot.

With reference to Mr. Porter's letter of the 18th July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will return the revised Copy of the Law relating to India, without further delay

delay, as therein requested.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22d. August 1842.

/Signed/ J.D. Bourdillon,
Secretary.

Proceedings.

Communicating to the Collector of North Arcot the orders of the Board on Petition No. 1223 of 1842 from Tattacharriar Meerasdar of Permuntangal in that District praying that Soobroy Gounden the present holder of the Meerasy may be directed to pay him Sawmy Bogum with arrears-

Read Petition from Tattacharriar Meerasdar of Permuntangal in North Arcot, praying orders that Soobroy Gounden, the present holder of the Meerasy, should pay him Sawmy Bogum with arrears-

From the Pl. Collr. of North Arcot 28th June in Cons. 11th July 1839-

(48)

Board's Proceedings 4th July 1839-

On a review of the circumstances under which Soobroy Gounden has come into possession of the Meerasy, and the fact of Tattacharriar's right to it having been already recognized by the Board, it seems just and proper that the latter should receive Sawmy Bogum from the Meerasy, in accordance with the terms of the Bond, formerly granted to his father by the father of Soobroy Gounden, upon whom it is incumbent, whilst in possession of the Meeras, to fulfil the condition on which it came into his

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

(46)

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Govt.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit, for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying Petition from Meer Soottan Ali and others, enclosing the original summud called for in Para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation under date 4th September 1840, in support of their claim to the Enam Villages of Naulpaukam and Manulvady.

1232 of 1842.

In Cons. 24th September 1840

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22d. August, 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To The Principal Collector of
Nellore,

Sir,

(47)

To the Pr. Collr. of Nellore

The same to South Arcot.

With reference to Mr. Porter's letter of the 18th July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will return the revised Copy of the Law relating to India, without further delay

delay, as therein requested.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22d. August 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon,
Secretary.

Proceedings.

Communicating to the Collector of North Arcot the orders of the Board on Petition No. 1223 of 1842, from Tattacharriar Meerasidar of Permuntangal in that District - praying that Soobroy Gounden the present holder of the Meerasy may be directed to pay him Sawmy Bogum with arrears.

Read Petition from Tattacharriar Meerasidar of Permuntangal in North Arcot, praying orders that Soobroy Gounden, the present holder of the Meerasy, should pay him Sawmy Bogum with arrears.

From the Pr. Collr. of North Arcot 28th June in Cons. 11th July 1839.

(48)

Board's Proceedings 4th July 1839.

On a review of the circumstances under which Soobroy Gounden has come into possession of the Meerasy, and the fact of Tattacharriar's right to it having been already recognized by the Board, it seems just and proper that the latter should receive Sawmy Bogum from the Meerasy, in accordance with the terms of the Bond, formerly granted to his father by the father of Soobroy Gounden, upon whom it is incumbent, whilst in possession of the Meeras, to fulfil the conditions on which it came into his

his profession Viz.t by the payment of four Culums of paddy as Landlord's Rent. The Board of Revenue accordingly desire the Collector of North Arcot to intimate to Soobroy Gounden, the Board's Orders to this effect, and to see that the above Conditions are fulfilled, by the payment to Pattachariar of the Stipulated Sawmy Bogum, with the arrears accruing. Or, should Soobraya Gounden demur to this, the only alternative will be to remove him from the office of Monigar and appoint the Petitioner, transferring to him, at the same time, the whole emoluments of the office.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1223 of 1842.

The Board's Orders in the matter of this Petition have been communicated to the Collector of North Arcot.

Received the undermentioned

undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

(49) From the Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for sundry articles required to be repaired, painted Dark Green, and superscribed for the use of his Office.

(49. 50) Order thereon. Ordered to be passed and returned.

(51) From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot. } Forwarding an Indent for Cloth required for the use of the several Offices under his Superintendence.

(51-52) Order thereon. Ordered that the Indent be compared, countersigned and transmitted to the Secretary to the Clothing Board, with a request that the Cloth therein required may be supplied.

(53) From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot. } Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII, Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of April last.

(54) From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot. } Return of Persons

Persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII, Regulation VII of 1831, for the Month of May last.

55 From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot . . . } Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII, Regulation VII of 1831, for the Month of June last.

56 From the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary . . . . } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

57 From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

58 From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah . . . } Acknowledging the receipt of certain printed forms.

59 From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah . . . . } Statement shewing the levy of additional duty on certain Articles for the Month of June last, being under the value of 10 Rupees.

60 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore . . . } Statement of Smuggled

Smuggled Goods recommended for Confiscation for the Month of June last.

59. 61 Order on the two abovementioned Statements . . . . } Ordered that the Confiscation and Sale of the Smuggled Goods, as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be Authorized.

62 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore . . . . } Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. for the Month of June last.

63 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore . . . } Return of Persons holding Offices described in Section VII, Regulation VI of 1831, suspended or dismissed, for the Month of June last.

64 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore . . . } Return of Fines imposed and Stoppages made from the Pay of public Servants from 1st April to 30th June last.

65 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore . . . } Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from Employment, for

for the quarter ending 30th. June last.

(66) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore ..... } Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in the Jail for the quarter ending 30th. June last.

(67) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore ..... } Stating that there is no Return of Persons in Confinement, in pursuance of sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822, for the month of June last.

(68) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore ..... } Stating that there are no Returns of alterations in the list of fines, and of Casualties, and Revenue Defaulters, released from the Jail, for the quarter ending 30th. June last.

(69) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore ..... } Stating that there is no Return of Smuggled Tobacco for the month of June last.

(70) From the Principal Collector of Canara ..... } Return of fines and Stoppages made from

from the Pay of the Public servants from 1st. April to 30th. June last.

(71) From the Principal Collector of Canara ..... } Return of alterations in the list of fines and Stoppages from 1st. April to 30th. June last.

(72) From the Principal Collector of Canara ..... } Stating that there is no Return of Persons suspended or dismissed from 1st. April to 30th. June last.

(73) From the Collector of Chingleput. Acknowledging the receipt of 10,000 Salt Cowanahs.

(74) From the Collector of Madras. Statement shewing the Current prices of Salt in Bazar per Measure, per Mercal, and per Garce, for the Month of July last.

Stating

9300 Fort St. George 22d August 1842.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly, Stating that certain Returns for the month of July last are not sent, there being no entry to make.

75

" Govt.

"

"

" A. Maclean

"

9301 Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday, 25th August 1842. John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esqre Acting Third Member.
and
A. Moller Esqre Officiating Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

1 From the Secy. to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22nd August 1842.

Read the following Letter.

From Officiating Secretary to the Government of India.

To J. Orr Esqre Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George.

Dated Fort William the 22nd July 1842.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 808, dated the 4th Instant, with its enclosures and in reply to state, for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, that the Honorable

the

Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

the President in Council, in consideration of the distressed circumstances in which the family of the late Latifa Begum is represented to have been left, is pleased to sanction the continuance of 2/3rds of the Pension received by her or Pagodas 20 per Mensem.—

2. The Original Enclosures of your letter are returned herewith.—

(Signed) Fred. Jas. Halliday.
Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Ordered that the foregoing be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 9th May 1842, No. 192, and also to the Offices of Account and Audit, with the correspondence therein referred to.—

(1·2)

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Resolution thereon.— Ordered that Copy of the foregoing order of Government, and of the letter to which it refers, be sent to the Acting Collector of Masulipatam, for his information and guidance, with reference to the late Collector's letter of the 6th April 1842.—

Extract.

Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

From the Actg. Secy. to Government.

3

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations under date the 22nd August 1842.

Read the following letter.

From
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of India.

To,
J. Ott Esqre
Actg Chief Secy to the Govt. of
Fort St. George.

Dated Fort William, the 22nd July 1842.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 842 dated the 7th Instant, with its enclosure, and in reply, to convey the sanction of the Honorable the President in Council, to the continuance of a Rassroom of Rupees 21—4—8 per Annum, enjoyed by two brothers Ramacharry and Rungacharry (since dead) of the Salem District, in the names of their descendants, Mudra Chary and V. Narnacharry, for life.—

The Original enclosure of your letter is returned herewith.

(Signed) Fred. Jas. Halliday.
Offg. Secy to the Govt. of India.

Ordered.

9302 Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

the President in Council, in consideration of the distressed circumstances in which the family of the late Latifa Begum is represented to have been left, is pleased to sanction the continuance of 2/3ds of the Pension received by her or Pagodas 20 per Mensem.

2. The Original Enclosures of your letter are returned herewith.

(Signed) Fred. Jas. Halliday
Offg Secy to the Govt of India

Ordered that the foregoing be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 9th May 1842 No 1792, and also to the Offices of Account and Audit, with the correspondence therein referred to.

(1.2)

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt

Resolution thereon

Ordered that copy of the foregoing order of Government, and of the letter to which it refers, be sent to the Acting Collector of Masulipatam, for his information and guidance, with reference to the late Collector's letter of the 6th April 1842.

Extract

9303 Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

From the Secy to Government

(3)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations under date the 22nd August 1842.

Read the following letter.

From
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of India.

To
J. Ott Esqre
Actg Chief Secy to the Govt of
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Dated Fort William, the 22nd July 1842

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 842 dated the 7th Instant, with its enclosure, and in reply to convey the sanction of the Honorable the President in Council, to the continuance of a Russoom of Rupees 21 — 4 — 8 per Annum, enjoyed by two brothers Ramacharry and Rungacharry (since dead) of the Salem District, in the names of their descendants, Mudra Chary and V. Narnacharry, for for life.

The Original enclosure of your letter is returned herewith.

(Signed) Fred. Jas. Halliday.
Offg Secy to the Govt of India.

Ordered.

9304. Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

Ordered that the sanction conveyed in the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to the recommendation submitted by them under date the 13th June 1842, and also to the Officer of Accountant and Audit.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Ag. Secy to Government.

(3-4)
Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the letter to which it refers, be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Salem, for his information and guidance.

(5)
From the Ag. Secy. to Government.

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22nd August 1842.

/From Board 28th July./

Agreeably to the principle observed in similar cases, as explained in Paras 8 and 9 of the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 4th September 1827, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction, for the continuance of a reduced allowance of Rupees /1500/ One thousand and five hundred

9303. Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

hundred per annum, to Chinna Ramaiah Naid of the family of the late Poligar of Paukul in North Arcot, to take effect from the 6th May last, the date of decease of his Father Appasawmy Naid

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Ag. Secy to Govt.

(5-6)
Resolution thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the above order of Government be sent to the Collector of North Arcot, for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 16th Ultimo.

(7)
From the Ag. Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22nd August 1842.

/From Bd. 1st August/

Agreeably to the terms of the original grant, as laid down in the letter to the Board of Revenue dated the 20th of March 1821 No. 244, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the continuance of two thirds of the pension of Rupees 2-8-0 per Mensem received by Hussain Beebee, of the family of the late Jaghiredar of Saturinbank

and

Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

-and Poonday, to her son Ameenoodeen -and her daughter Ganjee Beebee, in the proportion of / Annas 13 and Pice 4 / Annas thirteen and Pice four, per mensem, to each for life, to take effect from the 16th of July 1839, the date of decease of their Mother.

His Lordship in Council also grants special sanction for the payments that have already been made by the Collector of Madras, on account of the pension due to the deceased from 1st April to 16th July

Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

July 1839. and that payable to the present incumbents from 17th July 1839 to 31st July 1841. Amounting to 6. Rupees /39-9-5/ Thirty nine, annas Nine, and Pice five.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Ag Secy to Govt.

7.8

Ordered that Copy of Resolution thereon.

the foregoing resolution of Government be furnished to the Collector of Madras, for his information and guidance.

9
From the Ag Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23rd August 1842.

/ From Cuddapah 28th July & Cons: 8th Augt /

The Right Honorable

1.

the Governor in Council grants sanction for the disbursement of the

9308. Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

the arrears of allowance reported to be due to Peer Podshaw, a Yeomiah-dar in the Cuddapah District, amounting to Rupees /34—15—3./ Thirty four, Annas fifteen, and Pice three, and he also authorizes the continuance of the pension

2. His Lordship in Council approves of the course, which the Board of Revenue suggest should be pursued, in respect to parties applying for payment of arrears of pension, or without assigning satisfactory reasons for the delay.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Sy. Secy. to Govt.

(9-13)

Resolution thereon.- Ordered that copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings to which it relates, be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah for his information and guidance.-

Read the following Statements

Statement.

9309. Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt manufactured at the several Stores and ready for Exportation on the 15th August 1842.

(11)

Stores.	Quantity of Salt remitted to the Collector's Office.	Quantity of Salt already exported.	Quantity remaining in the Stores and ready for exportation.	Remarks.
	Candies. Maunds. Seers.	Candies. Maunds. Seers.	Candies. Maunds. Seers.	
Coringa	4,000	1,69,170	2,00,230	

Ipallah Rajahmundry 15th August 1842.

Collector's Office, 15th August, 1842.

Collector.

9310. Fort St. George, 25th August 1842.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation at the Beach of Cuddalore from 15th to 21st Augt 1842.

(12)	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842.	Quantity actually in Store at the Depôt ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
	Bs. Mds.	Bs. Mds.	Bs. Mds.	Bs. Mds.	
					Quantity of Salt Shipped up to the date of last report Bengal Maunds 121789.
Madras	300,000	130,789	169,211	127960½	Barque 'Mary Elizabeth' and Do 'Crater' in part of their applications have Shipped Bengal Maunds 3840 and 2640 respectively — 6480.
					Bark 'Monarch' which has applied in this Week for Bs. Mds. 5,000 has Shipped in part — 2520
					130,789.

Madras, 22nd August, 1842. } E. E. Fs. (Signed) A. Robertson Collector.

(11 · 13)

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements — Ordered to be recorded.

Read

9311. Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George,

(14)

From the Acting Collector of Masulipatam }

Sir,

In conformity with the Orders of Government under date 3d June 1834, I have the honor to forward a Return of Tahsildars in this Collectorate for the official year 1841/42.

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 17th August, 1842. } (Signed) A. S. Mathison Acting Collector.

Return

9312. Fort St. George

No. 1 A. Return of Tahasildars of the Col-

25th August 1842

lectorate of Masulipatam for the Official year 1841/42.

Name and place of birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahasildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahasildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahasildar and length of Service in such Employments	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				C. Rs	C. Rs	y. m. D.	y. m. D.	years m. D.		
1. Jeddoo Lingiah Inhabitant of Bunder	Brawin	42	Bunder Talook	10953. 100	2	16 2 9	24	As Zuffy Revenue Ameen of Calidindy Purganah As Head of Police of Weyore Do. As Head of Police and Revenue Ameen of Cocanada in the Zillah of Rajahmundry As Do and Amuldar of Mogultore in the Do of Do. As Do and Do of Nagaram in the Do of Do As Do and Do of Pettapore in the Do of Do As Amuldar of Bunder Division	4 12 1 4 7 2 13 1 6 12 7 10 27 1 23 10 20 7 6 5 1 3	

9312.

For Fort St. George

No. 1 A. Return of Tahasildars of the Col-

25th August 1842

lectorate of Masulipatam for the Official year 1841/42.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahasildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahasildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahasildar and length of Service in such Employments.	Total length of Service.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				C. Rs	C. Rs	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Years M. D.		
1. Jiddoo Lingiah Inhabitant of Bunder.	Brahmin	42	Bunder Talook	10953.	100.	" 2 "	1. 6. 2.	As Fusly Revenue Ameen of Calindy Purganah As Head of Police of Weyore Do. As Head of Police and Revenue Ameen of Cocanada in the Zillah of Rajahmundry As Do and Amuldar of Mogultore in the Do of Do. As Do and Do of Nagaram in the Do of Do As Do and Do of Pettapore in the Do of Do As Amuldar of Bunder Division	" 4 12 1. 4 7. " 2 13. 1. 6 12. 7. 10. 27. " 1 23. " 10 20. " 7 6.	9. 4. 24.	
									5. 1 3	

9313.

Fort St. George

25th August 1842.

Name and place of Birth.	Caste	Age.	Talook	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in such Employments.	Total length of service.	Remarks.
1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11.
				C. Rs.	C. Rs.	y. m. D.	y. m. D.			
2 Vetcha Durma-poory Inhabitant of Bunder.	Brahmin.	46.	Challapully Do.	97321.	100	2. 11. 30.	3.	As English writer in the Collector's Cutcherry at Guntoor As Revenue Sawabnevis in the Do. at Do. As Assistant Sheristadar in the Do. at Do. As Head English writer and Translator in the Do. at Do. As Revenue Aumeen of Padacoorapaudoo in the Do. at Do. As Head English writer and Translator in the Do. at Do. As Head English writer and Revenue Aumeen of Coorapaudoo in the Do. at Do. As Head English writer and Translator in the Do. at Do. As Deputy Accountant in the Do. at Do. As Head Moonshee in Do. of Do. As Deputy Accountant in the Do. of Do. As Zufty Aumeen of Chelkaloorpaudoo in the Do. of Do. As Revenue Aumeen of Chabrole Tanah in the Do. of Do. As Head English writer in the Do. of Do. As Head of Police of Mungalgirry in the Do. of Do. As Head of Police and Revenue Aumeen of Rachoor Talook in the Do. of Do.	Years m. D. 2. 0. 0. 2 2 10 4. 8. 0. 0. 9 10. 1 15 2 11. 13. 3 22 1. 3. 10 5 20 1. 11 15 1. 2 18 8 13 2 8 25. 2 20 11. 18. 2 8 22 9 7	25. 10. 7	

No.	Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of service as Tahasildar in the present Talook.	Total length of service as Tahasildar in the Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Tahasildar and length of service in such Employments.	Total length of service	Remarks.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					C. Rs.	C. Rs.	Y. M. D	Y. M. D		Years M. D	
3	Wooppooloory Vencatapah Inhabitant of Bezoarah	Brahmin	36	Deputy Talook	6,2115	80-0-0	4-2-16	4-6-17	As Sayer Zufty Goomastah of Aukeed in the Zillah of Masulipatam	" 3 11	
									As Zufty Revenue Peishcar of Nadavole Purganah in the Dt. of Dt.	2 2 9	
									As Revenue Muddutgar of Goondoogolanoo Purganah in the Dt. of Dt.	1 5 7	9.6.23
									As Goomastah of Minor Estates in the Dt. of Dt.	" 10 9	
									As Salt Auneen of Pandracecotaur in the Dt. of Dt.	" 3 -	
										5 " 6	
4.	Paunem Goormoorutiah Dt. of Dundoocoonnatore	Dt.	37	Goodemadah Dt.	36,082	100-0-0	2-5-3	4-3-14	As Head of Police of Suggiahpettah Division in the Dt. of Dt.	" 7 20	4.11.4
									As Persian Recordkeeper in the Zillah of Guntoor	" 6 "	
									As Jawabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of the Collector of Dt.	" 5 7	
									As Assistant moonshee in the Dt. of Dt.	4 11 20	
										5 10 27	
5.	Ramarauze Cristniah	Dt.	49	Bezoara Talook.	15050	50-0-0	4-5	3-11-1			

0315

For Ft St George

25th August 1842

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.
1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8
				6. Rs.	6 Rs.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.
6. Chittoor Nursingarow Inhabitant of Arcot	Maha-rastram Bramin.	38.	Tirvore Do.	14,081-100	4-5-40	4. 6	

Employments previously to appointment as Tahasildar and length of Service in such Employments.	Total length of Service.	Remarks.
9	10	11
	Years M. D.	
Brought forward	5 10 27	
As Javabnevis in the Salt Establishment in the Dº of Dº	" 3 18 14-8	
As Head Moonshee in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Dº	1. 1 9	
As Head Moonshee in the Zillah of Vizagapatam	" 8 9	
As Revenue Ameen of Bellumcondah in the Zillah of Guntoor	1. 1 9	
As Dº Dº of Coorapaty Tanah in the Dº of Dº	1. 7 17	
	10 8 29	
As Sayer Muddutgar of Bunder Division in the Zillah of Masulipatam	" 7 17	
As a Goomastah in the General Treasury Establishment in the Dº of Dº	2 4 15	
As Head of Police of Chentalapoody Division in the Dº of Dº	" 9 5	
As Dº Dº of Ralunghy Dº in the Dº of Dº	" 6 9	
As Dº Dº of Mylaveram Dº in the Dº of Dº	2. " 19-17-1-1	
As Dº Dº of Noozeed Dº in the Dº of Dº	4 5 9	
As Dº Dº of Tirvore Dº in the Dº of Dº	" 5 17	
As Dº Dº of Noonnastalum in the Dº of Dº	1. 2 21	
As Revenue Ameen of Valavadum Mootah in the Dº of Dº	. 3 3.	
	12. 8 25	

9316.

Fort St. George

25th August 1842.

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				C. Rs.	C. Rs.	Y. m. D.	Y. m. D.
7. Valagapoody Ledumbram Puntoolo — Inhabitant of Chinnoor.	Bramin	50.	Jyggiah pettah	20227.70	— —	1. 9. 19.	3. 1. 24.

Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in such Employments.		Total length of service.	Remarks.
9		10	11
	Years m. D.		
As Moonshee in the College at Madras	4 " "		
As Goomastah to the Sheristadar in the zillah of Nellore	" 9 "		
As Abkary Darogah in the Dº of Dº	" 2 "		
As Revenue Sumeen of Tommalacullore in the Dº of Dº	" 9 "		
As Tahsildar of Dº Dº in the Dº of Dº	" 3 4		
As Moorzoomdar of Sea Customs in the Dº of Dº	" 9 "		
As Goomastah in the Sheristah Department in the Dº of Dº	" 4 "		
As Translator in the Auxiliary Court at Cumbummet	4 5 15	20. 5. 1	
As Dº in the Provincial Court for the Northern Division	" 6 "		
As Dº in the Auxiliary at Masulipatam	" 2 "		
As Revenue Sumeen of Bauputlah in the zillah of Guntoor	" " 20		
As Dº Dº of Dauchapully in the Dº of Dº	2 " 15		
As Dº Dº of Guntoor in the Dº of Dº	1 1 19		
As Amuldar of Tanoo Koo in the zillah of Rajahmundry	1 2 20		
As an Extra moonshee in the College at Madras	" 3 24		
As a Moonshee in the Dº at Dº	" 4 10		
	17. 3 7.		

9316.

Fort St. George

25th August 1842.

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				C. Rs.	C. Rs.	Y. m. D.	Y. m. D.
7. Valagapoody Codumbram Puntoolo — Inhabitant of Chinnoor	Bramin	50.	Jyggiah pettah	20,227 70	— —	1. 9. 19.	3. 1. 24.

Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in such Employments.	Total length of service.	Remarks.
9	10	11
	Years. m. D.	
As Moonshee in the College at Madras	4. 4. "	
As Goomastah to the Sheristadar in the zillah of Nellore	" 9. "	
As Abkary Darogah in the Dº of Dº	" 2. "	
As Revenue Sumeen of Poommalaulloor in the Dº of Dº	" 9. "	
As Tahasildar of Dº Dº in the Dº of Dº	" 3 4.	
As Moozoomdar of Sea Customs in the Dº of Dº	" 9 "	
As Goomastah in the Sheristah Department in the Dº of Dº	" 4. "	
As Translator in the Auxiliary Court at Cumbummet	4 5 15	20. 5. 1.
As Dº in the Provincial Court for the Northern Division	" 6. "	
As Dº in the Auxiliary at Masulipatam	" 2. "	
As Revenue Sumeen of Bauputlah in the zillah of Guntoor	" " 20	
As Dº Dº of Dauchapully in the Dº of Dº	2 " 15	
As Dº Dº of Guntoor in the Dº of Dº	1 1 19	
As Amuldar of Tanooko in the zillah of Rajahmundry	1. 2 20.	
As an Extra moonshee in the College at Madras	" 3 24	
As a Moonshee in the Dº at Dº	" 4 10.	
	17. 3 7.	

Fort St. George

25th August 1842

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of service as Tahasildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahasildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahasildar and length of service in such Employments	Total length of service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				C. Rs.	C. Rs.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Years M. D.		
8. Tota Bramiah Naidoo Inhabitant of Masulipatam	Gentoo	35	Ralumgiry Talook	1149 903	100	2. 15	2. 15	As Sayer Sumeen of Condapully Division in the Zillah of Masulipatam	5 27	
								As an additional Superintendent to assist in the Settlement of the boundary disputes between the Villages in the Territories of His Highness the Nizam and those of the Honorable Company	3 3	3. 11. 2.
									3. 8 27	
9. Kato Vencata Kistna manaidoo Inhabitant of Madura	Dº	38	Gollapully Dº	748 7. 50		4. 23	9	As Writer in the Sudder Udalat Court	7.	7. 9.
10. Cancurla Lutchmeneursiah	Bramin	36	Ellore Dº	7215 80		1. 10. 26	1. 10. 26	As Deputy Tahasildar of Rycalore Mootah in the Zillah of Masulipatam	8 23	2. 7. 19.
								As Police Jamabeesis of Munnaroogoody Talook in the Zillah of Tanjore	5	22
11. Capestalum Peddanamiah inhabitant of Capestalum	Dº	43	Pedanah Dº	2360 20		3. 2. 20	3. 10. 4	As Revenue Peshcar of Seeyaly Dº in the Dº of Dº	11.	10. 10. 3.
								As Salt Sumeen of Innegoodoora Cotaur in the Dº of Masulipatam	4	24
									6 4 26	

Masulipatam,
Collector's Cutcherry 17th August, 1842

E. E. Jrs.

(Signed) A. S. Mathison
Acting Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon,

14 . 15

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah dated 26th February, and entered in Consultation 31st March last.

16

Proceedings thereon,

Recording observations on a letter from the Sub Coll.r late in charge of Cuddapah on the subject of the division of the service Enams in that District.

The sentiments of the Board on the subject discussed in the above paper were stated generally in their proceedings of 16th December 1841, and they do not perceive anything in what is now put before them to call for lengthened remarks, or to require any specific order. The state of things described by the Sub Collector in Charge is not peculiar to Cuddapah, but in all Districts where the remuneration of the Village servants is derived wholly, or in part, from land held free of rent, there will be found many Villages in which the Enams have been allowed to descend according to the ordinary Hindoo Law of inheritance: In some cases this is found productive of inconvenience and in such it is competent to the Collector to interfere under Regulation VII of 1831 in order to secure the efficient discharge of the duties of the

Office

Office to which the Enam is attached, but as a general rule it is more agreeable to the usages of the country, and more in accordance with the feelings of the people to leave things as they are, especially as regards the Reddy and Curnum Meerassies of which alone Mr. Cotton appears to speak.

2 Mr. Cotton notices two chief evils of the present custom; one of these affects the officers themselves, Viz.t that they are inadequately remunerated; and the other the interests of Government, Viz.t that dismissal from office for misconduct is not now felt as a punishment.

† Sub Collectors Letter, P. 4.

It is a mistake, however to suppose that the only inducement to the Meerasdars to desire misul is the meeras of the office; the possession of authority has charms for all and while this operates most strongly in the case of the Reddy, the Curnums either do the duties of their office in turns, proportionate to their respective shares in the meeras or those who do them have an allowance for their trouble. It never happens, the Board believe, that either of these

Offices

offices remains vacant, from unwillingness to undertake them on the part of the Meerasdars.—

3. Mr. Cotton observes that women hold office in some cases, and that in such instances, and where the misals are otherwise physically incompetent to discharge their duties, the dismissal of their Goomastahs is the highest limit of punishment. In such cases the principals are justly liable to a personal responsibility for the misconduct of their deputies, and it is competent to the Collector, where he may consider that the public service requires it to interfere and appoint a Gomastah himself to do the duty. Some of the cases given in Mr. Cotton's postscript are such as might fairly admit of a modification of the present enjoyment of the Enam. But all such interference should be made without harshness, and with a due regard both to the general custom of the District and to the previous particular usage of the Village; it will commonly be found that such disputes are far more satisfactorily settled by negotiation and arbitration, than with the strong hand of authority, and that

that where there is really a ground of complaint, an equitable arrangement, and agreeable to all parties, may amicably be made.—

4. For the foregoing reasons

Para 10 the Board cannot accede to Mr. Cotton's proposition for a general division of the Village Enams. But while they consider it inexpedient to adopt his recommendation, they are gratified to observe the industry and attention with which he took up an extensive subject, supposed by him to be important to the welfare of the District, confided to his care, at a time when he was severely pressed by the duties of both the Principal Collector's Office and his own.—

Ordered that copy of the above proceedings be furnished to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah for his information, and to be communicated by him for the information and guidance of his Sub Collector.—

Read again letter from the Collector of Chengleput dated 27th ultimo and entered in Consultation 11th Instant.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To—

under each of them, and transmit to the Board as many as can be found within a reasonable time. Having these precedents before them, the Board will be better able to determine, whether or not it is desirable to carry the case under notice, any further, or whether it should be allowed to drop.

4. You will be pleased also to inform the Board what is the present condition of the land under litigation, and whether it was cleared and cultivated, and assessment paid on it, or on any part of it, agreeably to the Cowle, or by any other parties than those specified in the Cowle. If it be necessary, you will move the Court to grant a further extension of the period of appeal.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 25th August, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

Read the following letter.

(18)

From the Pt. Collector of Salem.

Requesting instructions for his guidance on the subject of the Sub Divisions & registry of the Trialum Mootah, under an order of the Zillah Court.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to bring to your notice the undermentioned circumstances, which I request you will lay before the Board, and solicit their instructions for my guidance.

2. The Mootah of Trialum is registered in the names of three brothers Rungapillay, Vencatapillay and Veeraragava-pillay, and as far as is known from public documents in their joint enjoyment. It appears that in fusly 1247, the three brothers entered into an †agreement, dividing the Mootah between them into three shares; but this was only a private arrangement, the divisions so made were never separately assessed or registered in their separate names. the agreement also provided that in the event of any one of the brothers failing to pay the sum fixed by them on his own division, his share should be made over to the one who made good the payment.

† Copy accompanied.

under each of them, and transmit to the Board as many as can be found within a reasonable time. Having these precedents before them, the Board will be better able to determine, whether or not it is desirable to carry the case under notice, any further, or whether it should be allowed to drop.-

4. You will be pleased also to inform the Board what is the present condition of the land under litigation, and whether it was cleared and cultivated, and assessment paid on it, or on any part of it, agreeably to the Cowle, or by any other parties than those specified in the Cowle. If it be necessary, you will move the Court to grant a further extension of the period of appeal.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 25th August, 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.-

Read

Read the following letter.-

(18)

From the Pr. Collector of Salem.-

Requesting instructions for his guidance on the subject of the sub Divisions & registry of the Trialum Mootah, under an order of the Zillah Court.-

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.-

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to bring to your notice the undermentioned circumstances, which I request you will lay before the Board, and solicit their instructions for my guidance.-

2. The Mootah of Trialum is registered in the names of three brothers Rungapillay, Venkatapillay and Veeraragava-pillay, and as far as is known from public documents in their joint enjoyment. It appears that in fusly 1247, the three brothers entered into an †agreement, dividing the Mootah between them into three shares; but this was only a private arrangement, the divisions so made were never separately assessed or registered in their separate names. the agreement also provided that in the event of any one of the brothers failing to pay the sum fixed by them on his own division, his shares should be made over to the one who made good the payment.-

† Copy accompanied.-

payment - such being the case, Rungapillay, in fasleis 1247 and 48, having neglected to pay his Kist, the sum was made good by Veeraravapilly, and the latter entered a suit in Court for Rungapillay's share of the Mutah - Rungapillay and Veeraravapillay executed a Razenamah in Court, and according to that Razenamah, the Judge called on me to Register Rungapillay's share Coot aunds Coopum and 4 other Villages, in the name of the Plaintiff - Veeraragavapillay.-

3- Having doubts as to the legality of setting aside the rights of the other brother Venkatapillay as he had never been before the Court, or a party to the Razeenamah on which the decision of the Court was founded, I addressed the Court on the subject, and forward Copies of the correspondence for the Board's inspection. My doubts of the legality of the Registry are as follows.- The lands being registered in the names of the three brothers, and no separate division having taken place, for by Regulation XXVI, Section III of 1802, transfers

transfers of lands made by individual persons, without being entered in the public Register, are invalid, and the brother Venkatapillay therefore being in possession of a conjoint right over the whole estate, and made no party in the suit brought in to Court by Veeraragavapillay against Rungapillay, the defaulting brother and Venkatapillay refusing to consent to the subdivision, as required by the Court, am I at liberty, against his will, to limit his rights over this property, by making over the Villages, as required by the Court, to his brother Veeraragavapillay as his sole property.-

4- Had Venkatapillay been made a party in the suit, and the Razeenamah, on which the Court formed its decision, there could have been no difficulty in acting; but having been neither the one nor the other, it appears to me, that his rights over the whole estate are untouched, and without his consent, the Villages mentioned by the Court cannot be taken out of his possession - I beg to solicit the instructions of the Board for my guidance in this case at their earliest convenience, as the brothers

are

are at enmity, and the people suffer inconvenience thereby.-

Salem Zillah Office Pr. Collectors Cutcherry 4th August 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector

A

To The Principal Collector in the District of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will register in the name of the Plaintiff Veeraragavapillay for Coottaunda Coopum and 4 other Villages attached to Teryalum Mootah, according to the accompanying copy of the Pauzeenamah filed in O.S. No 113 of 1838 of the file of this Court.-

M.P. No 474 1839.

Salem Zillah Court 21st December 1839.

/Signed/ W. A. Neave
Acting Judge.

To The Judge

in the Salem Zillah Court.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 21st Instant requiring that Coottaunda Coopum and four other Villages attached to Tereyalum Mootah should be registered in the name of Veeraragavapillay, I have the

honor to inform you that the Mootah of Teeal is registered in the names of the three brothers Rungapillay, Veeratapillay and Veeraragavapillay, and that the division they appear to have made of the estate, is not sanctioned by the Government - at present the whole of the estate is answerable for any portion of balance, and the request therefore of registering, as per Pauzeenamah, cannot be complied with, except a regular division of the property takes places; and I be informed of the particular portion to be appropriated by each.-

Salem District Office 26th December 1839.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Principal Collr.

To The Principal Collector in the District of Salem.-

With reference to your letter of the 26th ultimo requiring further information for registering Coottaundacoopum and 4 other Villages attached to Tereyalem Mootah

Os No 113 1838
Mis. No 474 1839

Mootah in the name of the Plaintiff — Veeraragavapillay; I have the honor to — transmit to you a Tamil Memorandum, together with Copy of an agreement passed on the subject. You will perceive that all requisite information for registering the Villages in question has been produced before the Court, and further the requisite preliminary arrangements for making the properly separate and divisible, are shewn to have been entered into by the parties — It is therefore incumbent on the Court to require, that the communication of the 21st ultimo should be acted on, and the registry effected —

Salem Zillah Court
10th January 1840 — } (Signed) W. A. Neane
Judge —

To The Judge in the Zillah of
Salem —

Sir,

The settlement of the fusly being now at an end, I am enabled to call the Curnums from their Villages, in order to effect the required divisions in the different

different estates —

Regarding the information furnished by you for the division of the — Treyalum Mootah; I regret to inform you, that it is still so incomplete as to prevent my proceeding — In the Memorandum — furnished, it is stated, that the estate should be divided into 3 Shares, of which Veeraraga — vapillay should enjoy 6 Villages, Rungapillay 5 and Veeratapillay 5, and in the Razeenamah which is filed to the said number, it is stated, that Rungapillay's name should be registered in the name of the Plaintiff — Veeraragava pillay, that therefore the — defendants name should be struck off the register, and 5 Villages registered in the name of the Plaintiff abovementioned —

The division there adverted to between the three brothers is not registered, nor has the yearly demand on the Mootah been portioned off to each, as stated in the agreement — it is a private agreement — between themselves, and not legally binding until

until a regular division and apportionment of the Beriz be made and registered. It therefore appears to me, that when the brothers came into Court, they had a joint equal claim over all the Mootah - In the case of the Plaintiff and defendant, the Court has passed its judgment, and the only difficulty I have is, in making a separate registery in the Plaintiffs name of a portion of the estate, over which the brother Venkatapillay has a conjoint right, and who has not made his appearance before the Court in the case, by the decision on which I am called on to act.-

I therefore request that the Court will inform me if the Mootah is to be divided according to the agreement, Copy of which I have received and the share of Rungapillay registered in Veeraraghavapillays name, without asking Venkatapillay any thing more about the matter, or whether, before proceeding, it would not be necessary to have the consent of the last mentioned person before

before depriving him of a right over the Villages and lands, to which he has up to this time, undeniable claim.-

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Pb Collector.

Salem Zillah
Poor
Pb Coll.r Cutcherry
26th June 1840.

To The Judge of the
Zillah of Salem.-

Sir, I have the honor to request your reply to my letter of the 26th June relative to the difficulty I experience in carrying into effect the decision regarding the Mootah of Trialum, the brother of Venkatapillay has refused his consent to the division, and as he is no party to the suit in Court, nor to the Razeenamah there entered, I require the Courts further instructions how his right is to be disposed of. Copy of Registry, as it now stands, accompanies for your information - As the Mootah is in arrears to Government, and will be sold if they are not paid, I shall, in the event of not receiving your reply before the

the 18th Instant, consider it necessary to refer to the Presidency for instruction.-

Salem Zillah -
Office of the
Pr. Collectors Cutcherry
12th August 1840.

[Signed] I. D. Gleig
Principal Collector.

To The Principal Collector
in the District of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will cause the name of Veeraragava Pillay to be inserted in the Registry as proprietor of the five + Villages noted in the Margin and situated in the Mootah of Trialum. These Villages have been transferred to him by his elder brother Rungapillay under a Rauzenamah, and the family are shewn by documents already filed and proved in the subordinate Court, to have been long since divided. The Younger brother Veeraragavapillay has been duly summoned to appear, and state his objections to the enforcing of the Rauzenamah, and on his failure, a proclamation has also been issued to him to appear within a

O.S. No 113 of 1838.

+ 1 Veerapulty
2 Bommenackenpulty
3 Puttanamattoor
4 Kalthandapulty
5 Cottandaeerpum.

given period. this period has long elapsed, and he appears to keep out of the way purposely: under these circumstances, it is incumbent on the Court to direct, that the Registry, as agreed to by the parties, be immediately carried into execution.-

A copy of the Rauzenamah and a Memorandum accompany this communication. As the sale of the estate is to take place at so early a date, the transfer should be registered as soon as possible.-

Salem Zillah Court
21st September 1840.

[Signed] W. A. Neave
Judge.

To The Zillah Judge of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will, in conformity to Section IV Regulation XXVI of 1802, forward Copy of decree and translate thereof made by you in the Original Suit No 113 of 1838, regarding the Trialum Mootah to which your letter of the 21st September last refers, as I shall have

result of my examination of Venkatapillay

Salem ------
Zillay Court
7th December 1840

/ Signed / W. A. Neave
Judge.

To,
The Zillah Judge of
Salem.

Sir,

Great inconvenience being experienced in the collection of the revenues in the Treal Mootah, in consequence of the disputes between the Mootahdars, who have applied for the Settlement thereof to your Court, I have the honor to request, that until a decision be come to on the suit now pending, you will appoint some person to manage the Mootah affairs, in order that parties may know to whom they, with safety, can pay the Rists

Salem Zillah,
Ashton
Prin. Coll. Cutcherry
14th February 1842

/ Signed / J. D. Gleig
Pl. Collector.

To
The Principal Collector
in the District of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th Ultimo, and to request, that you will be pleased to cause the name of Veeraraga pillay, to be entered in the Re: = gistry Book for the Trealum Mootah, instead of Runga pillay; and further beg to state, that the above Veeraragava pil: = lay and Venkata pillay are jointly re: = sponsible for the Rists of the Mootah in question.-

Copies of the Miscel: = laneous Petitions, Razeenamah, Do: = cument, and Deposition, of the said Venkata pillay, are herewith forward: = ed, for your information.

Salem, ----
Zillah Court,
8th March 1842

/ Signed / T. Mole.
Register in charge.

/ Signed / J. G. S. Bruere.
Judge.

To
The Judge in the Zillah of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to ac: = knowledge the receipt of the letter of the Register in charge, dated 8th ultimo, and with reference thereto beg your attention

to

to the correspondence as noted in the

To	Judge 26th	December	1839
From	Do 10th	January	1840.
To	Do 26th	June	Do
Do	Do 12th	August	Do
From	Do 21st	September	Do
To	Do 27th	November	Do
From	Do 7th	December	Do
To	Do 14th	February	Do

Margin.

2. The difficulty I have experienced in this case, as decided by the Court, is regarding the disposal of Venkatapillay's claim on the Mootah.

3. The three brothers having had an equal claim on the Mootah, and the Mootah being as yet undivided for an unregistered agreement, cannot be acted on, except through a decision of Court. Vencatapillay's rights extend over all the estate, and not having been made a party in the Suit, remain as yet untouched. The order of Court thereof to enter in Veeraragavapillay's name, the share of Runga pillay which would appear to be a third of the Mootah, is an encroachment on the rights of the elder brother, without his having been a suitor before the Court, as

he refused before me to agree to such a division. I had no means of coercion, and therefore requested the Court's attention to this subject. Mr. Neave in his letter of 7th December, promised to communicate finally when he had ascertained the result of his examination of the eldest brother Vencatapillay.

4. Not having heard further, and the people of the Mootah being at a loss to whom they should pay the Kists, induced me again to address the Judge, and Mr. Mole's reply does not do much to clear up my uncertainty. I would beg of the Court to inform me of the particular portion of the estate which is to go to each brother, or if the whole is to remain undivided in the joint and equal possession of Vencatapillay and Veeraragavapillay. A copy of the Court's decision, as to the claim of the elder brother, would materially assist me.

Salem Zillah,
Office of
Pr. Coll. Cutchery,
20th June 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Gleig,
Pr. Coll.

To.

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

To

The Principal Collector

in the District of

Salem

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 20th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward Copies of the Miscellaneous Petition, Rauzeenamah, document, deposition, of Venkatapillay, and a Precept issued to the Nazir for the execution of the said Rauzeenamah, and to request your attention to Mr. Mole's letter of the 8th March 1842, in reply to yours of the 14th February.

I also beg to state these are the only papers connected with the above case.—

Salem—
Zillah Court
1st July 1842.

/ Signed / J. G. S. Bruere
Judge

To

The Judge in the Zillah of

Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st Instant, and request that I may, in conformity to Regulation

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

Regulation XXVI of 1802, be furnished with copy and translate of the Courts decision, passed on the complaint of Veeraragavapillay, relative to the Mootah of Trialum—

Salem Zillah Cutcherry,
Pl. Coll.r
4th July 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Gleig
Prin.l Coll.r

To The Principal Collector in the District of Salem—

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Copies of the Plaint, Answer, Reply, and Rejoinder, filed in the case of Veeraragavapillay, relative to the Mootah of Trialum, referred to in your letter of the 4th instant, and to inform you the case was adjusted by the Rauzeenamah, copy of which, together with other papers, were forwarded with my letter of the 1st Instant.

Salem—
Zillah Court
11th July 1842

/ Signed / J. G. S. Bruere
Judge

Proceedings thereon

18. 19

The Principal Collector appears to have overlooked Section VIII, Regulation XXVI of 1802, and the Circular Order of Sudr Udaulut of September 17, 1832, explanatory.

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

explanatory of the meaning of that section, and of Section III, Regulation XXVI of 1802. There is no objection to the alteration of the registration of the Precaulum Mootah in the manner desired by the Zillah Court, But it is to be distinctly understood that, under the former of the two enactments above quoted, the liability of the whole estate for the whole Government demand against it, and the proper mode of inforcing that demand, are not affected by the change in the Registry, because the revenue due from the estate has not been separately determined, and fixed, on the divided portions.

The rights of the dissentient Brother Venceta Pillay are not a subject for the decision of this Board, and the change of names in the Register in no way affects them. The question is altogether a civil one, and if Venceta pillay is dissatisfied with the Zillah Judge's award, he has his remedy in an application to that, or the superior Court.

20

From the Princ.l Collector of Salem.— Read Abstract Statement of persons charged, convicted, acquitted, and forwarded to the Criminal Judge, and of the number of appeals preferred and disposed of, under the provisions of Regulations IX of 1822, and VIII of 1828, during the 1st half year of 1842.

20. 21.

Order thereon Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts, & when a Statement of the whole will be prepared and submitted to Government.

Read

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Read the following Letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

22

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

Sir,

Adverting to the Board's proceedings of the 4th March 1841, directing the restoration of Chellapah Moodelliar to the office of Nair Serishtadar, I have the honor to forward an application from that person for the payment of arrears of Salary during his Suspension.

The Board are already acquainted with the circumstances of the case, it is only therefore for me to submit Chellapah Moodelliar's application for their orders, begging at the same time to remark that since his re-employment, his attention to his duties has been unremitted, and his conduct such as to give me great satisfaction.

3. In the event of the Board's deciding favorably for Chellapah Moodelliar, it will, I presume, as the amount has not been kept in deposit, to obtain the Sanction of Government for the disbursement of it Viz.t, at Rupees 17.5 per Month, for 2 years, and 3 Months,

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Months or from 1st January 1840, to 30th March last Rupees 4719-5-8.

Trichinopoly Collector's Office, 8th August 1842

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow. Collector.

A.

To,

A. P. Onslow Esqre
Collr of Trichinopoly
&c &c &c

Honored Sir,

Encouraged by the kindness which I experience at your hands, I respectfully take the liberty of approaching your honor with this address, and humbly trust that it will meet with kind reception.

I was under suspension for a long period of 27 Months without my Salary, and without a proportionate decrease in my expenditure consequent on the largeness of my family. This circumstance has reduced me to such pecuniary difficulties, that I am induced to have recourse to your goodness for relief. In the Treasury there are 4725 Rupees being the Arrears of my Salary for the said period. Should it appear to your honor unobjectionable to grant my prayer, I humbly request your honor will be pleased to order the payment to me of the said arrears of my pay.

Hoping to be excused the trouble which I hereby give your honor.

I beg to remain with profound respect Honored Sir Your Most Obedient and very humble Servant.

Trichinopoly 8th August 1842

/ signed / S. Chellapah
Nair Sheristadar.

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

(22.23)

To the Collr of Trichinopoly

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Instt, Instant, submitting an application from your Nair Serishtadar Chellapah

Instt 25th Augt 1842

Modely for the arrears of his salary accruing during the time that he was lately under suspension, and recommending compliance with his request.

2. As your letter does not specify whether the money in question was carried to account,

or

or whether any, and what part of it has been employed. I am directed to desire that you will state particularly, whether the whole sum is now available. And that you will also inform the Board out of what funds the remuneration to Chinnadaumy Pillay was paid; and whether Rogananindna Row, appointed by you to Nail Serishtadar, at a time when that office was not vacant, received any remuneration in consequence, or any compensation for discharging the duties of the Office previously to that appointment, and if so, out of what funds he was paid.

Rev. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
25th August 1842

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read

+ Letter to Coll. Trichinopoly Sept. 21st 1841.

From Coll. a Trichinopoly Jan. 14th 1842. In Lond. 3d July.

Read the following letter &c.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

Sir,

24

From the Pr. Coll. of Coimbatore - Submits a statement of the actual possessions of Europeans who have acquired landed property, on that portion of the Neelgherry Hills which is situated within the District of Coimbatore; and offers certain remarks with reference to the possessions of Mr. Lascelles & Captain Vallancey.

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 15th January last, I have the honor to submit a Statement of the actual possessions of Europeans who have acquired Landed Property on that portion of the Neelgherry Hills which is still considered as forming part of the Coimbatore District -

2. The Column of Remarks is intended to shew how they came into the possession of the present Parties the period of their occupation and the tenure by which they are held -

3. I deem it necessary to remark with reference to the possessions of Mr. Lascelles and Captain Vallancey, as to 9 and 13. that the former made his purchase from the Burghers. the soil

Fort St. George 25th August 1842-

soil is of the best possible description, and to add to its value Mr. Lascelles has diverted the course of one of the principal feeders of Moyaur, which irrigated the whole of his property How far this is consistent with the many orders which have been passed for the preservation of those streams which flow direct to the low country is a matter for the consideration of the Board —

4. As regards the diversion of the waters above alluded to Captain Vallancey has done precisely the same thing. His acquirement of the land is of a questionable character and demands consideration - This location was in the first instance applied for by Captain Needham, but previous to the execution of the forms required by the Rules, circulated under the authority of the Board, dated 15th March 1835, he left the country, and without any communication with

Fort St. George 25th August 1842-

with my office, transferred as much of the right to Property as he proposed for a consideration to Captain Vallancey, and it rests with the good will of the Board to sanction an arrangement which has been effected in secret, without cognizance of my office, and without reference to the standing orders above quoted -

Coimbatore — Pl. Coll.r's Office 30th July 1842-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Coll.r

Statement -

9352

Statement shewing the Landed Property acquired distinguishing the site of Buildings, Enclosure, and

Fort St. G

by Europeans o ict of Coimbatore

the extent occup

Purposes for wh

Buildings 2

Names of former Proprietors	Names of present Proprietors	Extent as entered in the survey accounts — Poonjah Assess= Ballahs=ment			Site of Buildings	Ground appropriated	Amount of Quit rent at 5½ Rupees a Cawny	Cawnies	Remarks —	Extent as entered in the survey accounts — Poonjah Assess= ment			Site of Buildings	Ground appropriated	Cawnies
1	2	3.	4	5						5					6
1 Mr. H.R. Dawson	Mr. H.R. Dawson	10	10¾	12 14 3	"					1 " 2 " 1			above —		
2 Mr. Brown	Major General Wahab	1	7½	2 2 4	"					2 " 8 " 1.					
3 Mr. Palmer	Major General Kennet	1	12	2 14 1	"					5 " 9 " 1.					
4 Messrs. Harriot Dawson	Ditto	1	7	2 1 9	"					" 10 6 " 1			mand		
5 Mr. Norris	Mr. Norris	2	9¼	3 12 10	"					18 4 8 " 1					
6 Ditto	Ditto	1	11.	2 7 8	"					1 8 4 " 2					
7 Captain Vallancey	Captain Vallancey	17	"	30 12 7	"					" 12 2 " "					
8 Captain Nedham	Captain Vallancey	4.	9.	68 1 3	"					13 5 " " "					
										12 15 2 " 4					
										14 3 10 " "			from 1810		
										15 3 1. " "					
										17 7 8 " "					
										2 1 5. " 3					
Total		78	2	125 3 7	"					54 9 5. " 17					
										79. 13 " 1 4					
										11 5 3.					
										1 8 3.					
										2 " 4.					
										1 8 5.					
										4 5 1.					
										8 11 4					
										9 6 8.					
										19 3 8.					

and of Quit rent is regu= ing to the rates determined Vide notice published rge Gazette for 1807 - Page 60

Ditto.

Ditto

Ditto

Ditto

Ditto -

1841. No Grant: the demand egulated as above —

Applied for by Captain ugust 1841 — previous to a with it, the land has ptain Vallancey with to any authority - it is ed for Mulberry ultation - the demands ulated as above —

1841 — Grant issued —

Principal Proprietor.

5

9352

Statement shewing the Landed Property acquired distinguishing the site of Buildings, Enclosure, and

Fort St. G

by Europeans o

the extent occup

Purposes for wh

Buildings

Gardens

Enclosure

Amount of Quit rent at 5½ Rupees a Cawny

Cawnies

Cawnies

Cawnies

Names of former Proprietors

Names of present Proprietors -

Extent as entered in the survey accounts -

Poonjah Assessment -

Bullahs-ment -

Site of

Cawnies

1 | 2 | 3. | 4 | 5. | 6 | 7

1	M^r. R. Dawson	M^r. R. Dawson	10	10¼	12	14	3	"				
2	M^r. Brown	Major General Wahab	1	7½	2	2	4	"				
3	M^r. Palmer	Major General Kennet	1	12	2	14	11	"				
4	Mess^rs. Norris & Dawson	Ditto	1	7	2	1	9	"				
5	M^r. Norris	M^r. Norris	2	9¼	3	12	10	"				
6	Ditto	Ditto	1	11.	2	7	8	"				
7	Captain Vallancey	Captain Vallancey	17	"	30	12	7	"				
8	Captain Nedham	Captain Vallancey	41.	9.	68	1	3	"				
		Total	78	2	125	3	7	"				

3	2044	"	3	6	3	
1.	819	"	6	2.	4	
2.	924	"	9	4.	5	
"	1680	"	25.		4	
1	1206	"	53.		7.	
"	435	"	"	7.	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
10	908	2	43.		29	

Collector

Assistant to the Collector

Collector

Assistant to the Collector

Collector

Assistant to the Collector

Fort St. George 25. August 1842.

Purposes for which the extent in Column 4 has been occupied

Total -

Names of the Estates		Buildings Enclosure - Square feet	Amount of Quit rent at 4 As a Cawney.	Coffee & Mulberry plantation Cawnies	Grounds	Square feet	Amount of Quit rent at 1-2-4 a Cawney -	Cawnies	Grounds	Square feet	Amount of Quit rent at 1-2-4 a Cawney -	Total - Cawnies	Grounds	Square feet	Amount of Quit rent.	Remarks.
		7		8			9	10			11	12			13.	
1		2152	u 6 8.	1	22.	289	2 3 1.	-	u	u	u u -	2	u	40.	2 9 9	No Grant. The demand is regulated as above -
		2069	u 6 6	3	22	330	4 7 7.	u	u	u	u u -	3	23	2399	4 14 1.	Ditto - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
		1265	u 5 4	1	22	1055	2 3 2	6	12	144	7 7 u	9	12	64	9 15 6.	Ditto - - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
1 Mr.		3.	u 3 6.	1	6.	1222	1 7 2	u	u	u	u u u	1	7.	1225	1 10 8	Occupied from 1840 - No Grant - The demand
		3.	u 3 6	1	18	837	2 u 6.	45	20	15.	52 8 3.	47	15	855	54 12 3	is regulated as above -
2 M.		529.	u 7 9.	2	21.	2127	3 5 4	u	u	u	u u -	3.	u	256.	3 13 1.	Ditto - - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
		1088	u 1 9.	1	11.	1312	1 11 2	u	u	u	u u -	1	12	u	1 12 9.	Ditto - - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
3 M.		u	u u u	u	u	u	u u u	26	3.	1179	29 15 4.	26	3.	1179	29 15 4.	Ditto - - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
		1352	1 u 3.	24	19.	848	28 7 6.	u	u	u	u u -	25	u	u	29 7 9.	Ditto - - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
4 Mr.		u	u u u	u	u	u	u u u	29	14	2256	33 15 1.	29	14	2256	33 15 1.	Ditto - - - - - - Ditto Occupied from 1840 -
		u	u u u	u	u	u	u u u	28	21.	1440	33 1 9.	28	21.	1440	33 1 9.	Ditto - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
		u	u u u	u	u	u	u u u	135	7.	2397	155 1 1.	135	7	2397	155 1 1.	Ditto - - - - - - Ditto - - - -
5 M.		572	u 11 4	3	9.	1928	3 14 4	u	u	u	u u -	3	13.	u	4 9 8.	Ditto - - - - - - - - - - - -
		2033	3 145.	43	10	247.	49 11 10	272	8	231	312 u 6.	316	12	111.	365 10 9.	
		541.	6 28.	72	13	1428	83 1 10	468	15	459	536 15 3.	542	9	28	626 3 9.	
6												20	u	u	27 u 4	Held under Grant -
												3	u	u	7 8 8.	Ditto -
7 Ex.												4	u	u	8 11 u	Ditto -
												4	u	u	7 8 8.	Ditto -
												3	u	u	7 8 8.	Ditto -
8 Ex.												7	2	808	12 3 7.	Remission Certificate not yet received -
												20.	1.	1667	27 1 7.	Held under Grant -
												57.	4	75.	90 1 10.	
												599	13.	103	716 5 7.	

Errors Excepted J.C. Broughton
Principal Collector.

Collectors Office 30th July 1842 -

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

24-25

To the Pal Collr of Coimbatore
Directing him with reference to his letter of the 30th July last to call immediately on Mr Lascelles and Captain Vallancey for an explanation of their acts in diverting Public streams of water from their natural course; and to require them to restore the streams, they have improperly arrested, to their former state - that he should satisfy himself that the land in the occupation of these Gentlemen and others are held with the consent of the inhabitants of the Villages and calling for a report on the land acquired by Captain Vallancey said to be of a questionable character -
24 Cons 25 August 1842

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To The Principal Collector of Coimbatore -

Sir,

Para 1. Adverting to the observations contained in your letter of the 30th July last, relative to the lands in the occupancy of Mr Lascelles and Captain Vallancey, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe, that without the permission of Government, the diversion of Public streams of water from their natural course for the private advantage of individuals whether Natives or Europeans is altogether unauthorized and the Board accordingly desire that you will immediately call upon Mr Lascelles and Captain Vallancey for an explanation of their acts and require them to restore the streams, they have improperly arrested, to their former state -

2. Act IX of 1837 provides for occupancy of lands by any of Her Majesty's Subjects, under the same rules as they are held by the Natives in the Provinces; who are prohibited from injuring any sources of irrigation whatever - and any Parties concerned in such acts become liable to the penalties

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

penalties of the law -

With respect to the lands
3. which Mr Lascelles, Captain Vallancey and others have acquired and are now employing for Coffee and Mulberry Plantations, the Board think it necessary that you should satisfy yourself that they are held with the consent of the inhabitants of the Villages in which they are situated, and particularly with respect to the acquirement of land by Captain Vallancey, said to be of a questionable character, the circumstances of which you will be pleased to report under the ordinary rules for regulating the occupation of waste land in the District no waste lands, land in private property, should be permitted to be occupied, without the cognizance of your Office -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 25th August 1842 -

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary -

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Canara dated 1st and entered in Consultation 17th February last -

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To -

9356 Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

To The Principal Collector of
Canara.

Sir,

(26)

To the Pl. Collector of Canara.

Adverting to your letter of the 1st February 1842, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to intimate to you, that they are anxious to hear from you as speedily as possible regarding the withdrawal of Government interference from the Religious Institutions in your District.

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
25th August 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

9357 Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Read the following letters

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(27)

From the Pl. Collector of Canara ——— Sir,

Para 1

Reporting the failure of the Tobacco Contractor to supply the whole quantity contracted for by him and of the measures adopted for supplying the deficiency.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that in consequence of the Tobacco Contractor Sallé Rubo, having failed to deliver the quantity of Tobacco engaged to be supplied for the Monopoly of this year, I have been under necessity of taking measures for obtaining a supply from other quarters to make good the deficiency.

2 The quantity contracted for, was 1,600 Candies of which the Contractor has only as yet delivered about 895 Candies and I do not expect that of his Stock remaining unexamined, more than 200 Candies will be received as fit for sale which leaves a deficiency of 500 Candies.

3. I have accordingly accepted the offer of Krishnapah an Inhabitant of the Myeore Country, (Copy of which is herewith forwarded) to supply 300 Candies of Surjoody Tobacco, the growth of this and the preceding year, at the rate of 60 Rupees per Candy.

6

to be delivered before the end of November next
Should this quantity not prove sufficient for
the consumption of the District, until the
new Crop of the next season comes in, I shall
be under the necessity of procuring a further supply
from Coimbatore, as I do not expect any addi-
-tional quantity fit for the Canara Market will be
procurable from Mysore.

4 The price at which the Contractor
Salle Pruboo supplied his tobacco was 50 Rupees
per Candy and the difference in the price of 300 Candies
to be supplied by Kristnapah will have to be paid
by Salle Pruboo according to the terms of his Contract.

5 I think it necessary to state
that I consider the failure of Salle Pruboo
to be entirely wilful on his part, as although
the Tobacco Crop in Mysore last season,
was below the average, I have certain
information obtained from the superintend-
-ent of the Ashtogram Division, that
the Contractor could have easily fulfilled
his contract had he been willing to
pay the price demanded by the Ryots, &c.
but as the price of course became enhan-
in consequence of the limited supply he
was

was unwilling to risk any loss by paying the
higher rate to which the Tobacco had reached trusting
no doubt, that as on the former occasion of his &c
failure the penalty he incurred, would not be
enforced. I should state however, that in urging
his inability to fulfil his contract, Salle Pruboo
offered to supply old Tobacco in lieu of this
years growth; or to pay the extra price, if I sent
Servants to purchase any new tobacco that
might be procurable. The first of these proposals
I did not feel justified in accepting, as it
was contrary to the Terms of the Contract; and
with regard to the second, had such a mea-
-sure even been advisable, it was then too
late in the Season to make arrangements,
with any prospect of success, by means of
Circar Servants. I therefore urgently pressed
the necessity of the Contractor proceeding
himself to Mysore, and making every &c
endeavour to send down what quantity of
new Tobacco was procurable before the close
of the fair season. This however he did not
think proper to do, and as the failure of
the Tobacco Contractors in this District
have been of frequent occurrence which there

can

to be delivered before the end of November next. Should this quantity not prove sufficient for the consumption of the District, until the new Crop of the next season comes in, I shall be under the necessity of procuring a further supply from Coimbatore, as I do not expect any additional quantity fit for the Canara Market will be procurable from Mysore.

4 The price at which the Contractor Salle Pruboo supplied his tobacco was 50 Rupees per Candy and the difference in the price of 300 Candies to be supplied by Kristnapah will have to be paid by Salle Pruboo according to the terms of his Contract.

5 I think it necessary to state that I consider the failure of Salle Pruboo to be entirely wilful on his part, as although the Tobacco Crop in Mysore last season, was below the average, I have certain information obtained from the superintendent of the Ashtogram Division, that the Contractor could have easily fulfilled his contract had he been willing to pay the price demanded by the Ryots, &c. but as the price of course became enhanced in consequence of the limited supply he was

was unwilling to risk any loss by paying the higher rate to which the Tobacco had reached trusting in doubt, that as on the former occasion of his failure the penalty he incurred, would not be enforced. I should state however, that in urging his inability to fulfil his contract, Salle Pruboo offered to supply old Tobacco in lieu of this years growth, or to pay the extra price, if I sent Servants to purchase any new tobacco that might be procurable. The first of these proposals I did not feel justified in accepting, as it was contrary to the Terms of the Contract; and with regard to the second, had such a measure even been advisable, it was then too late in the season to make arrangements, with any prospect of success, by means of Circar Servants. I therefore urgently pressed the necessity of the Contractor proceeding himself to Mysore, and making every endeavour to send down what quantity of new Tobacco was procurable before the close of the fair season. This however he did not think proper to do, and as the failure of the Tobacco Contractors in this District have been of frequent occurrence which there

† The end of April

can

can be no doubt is mainly to be attributed to the leniency hitherto shewn to the Defaulters. I think for the sake of the example in future, the full penalty of the failure should be exacted in the present case from the Contractor.

Principal Collr's Cutcherry,
Mangalore 4th August 1842.

Signed H. M. Blair
Pr. Collector

A

I Kristnapah of Jaongal of Bannaver Taloke in the Mysore Country do Collector of Canara for the Monopoly of the District for Fasly 1251, 300 Candies of Sirjody Tobacco, the produce of the Mysore Country, the growth of the present and the preceding year, of the best sort to be approved by the Puthedars and Government Servants at the rate of Rupees 60 per Candy of 576 lbs to be delivered at the Sircatty at Mangalore before the end of November next, do further engage in case of failure to deliver the Tobacco by the period stipulated to pay to Government a penalty of 35 Rupees on each Candy that may remain undelivered by the time fixed besides

besides forfeiting my Contract. I agree to receive two-thirds of the Amount of my Contract in advance in signing this Contract, and the remaining third on the delivery of the whole quantity contracted for. All the Tobacco that may be rejected as unfit for the Government Monopoly shall, with the permission of the Collector, either be taken back to Mysore 90 Miles beyond the boundary or exported by Sea, or be burnt at Mangalore. The Tobacco shall be imported by the Haddadekul Ghaut and by no other without the permission of the Collector. The Tobacco shall be passed duty free through this District and the Customs payable thereon on the Mysore Country are to be borne by myself. For the due fulfilment of my Contract I offer as my security Venkatraya Chetty of Mysore, who will be generally answerable for the performance of this Contract, and to make good, any balance which may become due by me, or to pay any penalty to which I may be subjected by non fulfilment of

of the terms of this my contract.

19th July 1842 } (Signed in Canarese Characters)
Joangal Kristappah of
Bannawar

Witnesses.-
(Signed) B. Venketramanah Shetty
" B. Soora Shetty.

(Signed) H. Montgomery
Superintendent

27. 28

Proceedings thereon The Board of &c.

Revenue approve of the arrange-
ments made by the Principal
Collector for obtaining the &c.
required supply of Tobacco for
the use of the Monopoly in his
District as reported in Para 3 of the
foregoing letter- and direct that the
full amount of penalty to which the
contractor has rendered himself liable
be enforced against Selle' Ruboo &c.
for failing to complete the terms
of his contract.

To

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

From the Pl. Coll. } Sir,
of Canara - - - - }

Accompanying I have the
honor to forward an Extra Indent for
printed forms of Bills of Exchange and letters
of Advice Specimens of both of which are herewith
forwarded and request that the number &c.
applied for may be forwarded so as to reach
my Office by the end of next month.

2 I beg to add for the in-
formation of the Board that in consequence
of an increased demand for Bills on the
Accountant General, the greater part
of the supply sent by the Board in the
month of December 1841
upon my Indent dated 8th
February of the same year,
which was calculated to
be sufficient for two years
Consumption upon the average
number of Bills granted during
the previous years has been
exhausted as shewn in the Margin,
within the first year, which has rendered this application
necessary.

#	Indented for 2 years Consumption	Received in December 1841	Expended up to July 1842.	Balance on hand
Forms of Bills	200	195	136	59
Do. of Advices	150	150	80	70

Pl. Collector's Cutcherry - -
Mangalore 9th August 1842. } (Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Coll.

Extra

of the terms of this my contract.

19th July 1842 } (Signed in Canarese Characters) Joangal Kristappah of Bannawar

Witnesses:-
(Signed) B. Venkatramanah Shetty
" B Sowa Shetty.

(Signed) H. Montgomery Superintendent

27. 28

Proceedings thereon

The Board of Rs

Revenue approve of the arrange-
ments made by the Principal
Collector for obtaining the Rs
required supply of Tobacco for
the use of the Monopoly in this
District as reported in Para 3 of the
foregoing letter - and direct that the
full amount of penalty to which the
contractor has rendered himself liable
be enforced against Selle Pruboo Rs
for failing to complete the terms
of this contract.

To,

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

From the Pal Collr of Canara ----- } Sir,

Accompanying I have the
honor to forward an Extra Indent for
printed forms of Bills of Exchange and letters
of Advice Specimens of both of which are herewith
forwarded and request that the number &
applied for may be forwarded so as to reach
my Office by the end of next month.

2 Beg to add for the in-
formation of the Board that in consequence
of an increased demand for Bills on the
Accountant General, the greater part
of the supply sent by the Board in the
month of December 1841
upon my Indent dated 8th
February of the same year,
which was calculated to
be sufficient for two years
Consumption upon the average
number of Bills granted during
the previous years has been
exhausted as shewn in the margin,
within the first year, which has rendered this application
necessary.

#	Indented for 2 years Consumption	Received in December 1841	Expended up to July 1842.	Balance on hand
Forms of Bills	200	195	136	59
Do of Advices	150	150	80	70

Pal Collectr's Cutcherry --
Mangalore 9th August 1842. } (Signed) Ha Ma Blair
Principal Collr

Extra

9363 Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Order thereon

29. 30

Ordered that a number of Bills of Exchange and letter of advice be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press for transmission to the General Collectors, with reference to the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th December 1840.-

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George.

31

From the Collector of Malabar -- } Sir, Para 1-

In consequence of the presentum: satisfactory mag: ragement of the Teak Forests, professing the introduction of a new arranger ment for carrying on the work more effectually-

Having reason to fear that matters were not going on satisfactorily in the Acting Sub Conservator's Department I deputed my Jemedar of Peons, a very respectable and pains taking man, to make a careful inspection of all that had been done or was doing in the way of sowing Teak seeds or planting Teak saplings- The detailed Account which has brought me after several day's absence is highly unsatisfactory- It appears that out of 30,000 Teak seeds which it was reported to me by the Acting Sub Conservator had been sown in various localities by the end of May, not one has come up, and that out of about 16,900 Teak Saplings that have been transplanted nearly

half

the Teak from seed should make its appearance in about 40 days

9382. Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Extra Assistant of Printed Forms required for use in the Canara Collectorate

Description of Papers	Number required in December 1841 for 2 years	Received from Bellary on account of Indents dated 31st July 1842 - required	Balance on hand on the 31st July 1842	Further Indents required
Bills of Exchange drawn upon the Accountant General	195	130	59	250
Letters of Advice accompanying ditto	157	90	70	100

Mangalore 9th August 1842

(Signed) W. H. Blair
Principal Collector

Ordered.

half are already dead - The thorough failure of the Seeds I know not how to account for - for the partial but large failure of the plants, I can only account from the fact of want of care in their selection.

2- However this may be I am satisfied, from this as from other matters, that have lately occured, that the Government interests are not likely to be advantaged by a continuance of the present System and Superintendence -- The work required will, I believe be carried on much more effectually by the employment of Natives who are conversant with the mode of work, habits of the working people &c and as this latter plan will entail much less expense on the Government than is now entailed - I have resolved (assuming the Revenue Boards' sanction to the arrangement) on its immediate introduction - In place therefore of a European Sub Conservator on 150 Rupees per mensem, I propose to have one respectable Native on fifty Rupees, and three or four Overseers on the Aggregate Pay of thirty five Rupees - Total Eighty five Rupees a Month

3 As Mr. Smith has not returned from

from 15 days leave granted him on the 14th Ultimo on the plea of severe illness, there will be no injustice in considering his appointment vacant from the 1st Instant --

Malabar ------- }
Collector's Office ------ } Signed F. W. Conally.
Calicut 4th August 1842. } Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To The Collector of Malabar

(31 · 32)

To the Collector of Malabar -
In Cons 25th Augt 1842 -

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to your letter under date the 4th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish further explanation on the subject of the unsatisfactory management of the Teak Forests therein alluded to -

2. With this view the Board desire that the Acting Sub Conservator Mr. Smith be called upon to explain the causes which have operated to the total loss of the Teak Seed stated to have been sown in various localities and also to the destruction of so large a portion of the planted saplings -

3. The Board request moreover that

half are already dead - The thorough failure of the Seeds I know not how to account for - for the partial but large failure of the plants, I can only account from the fact of want of care in their selection.

2. However this may be I am satisfied, from this as from other matters, that have lately occured, that the Government interests are not likely to be advantaged by a continuance of the present system and superintendence -- The work required will, I believe be carried on much more effectually by the employment of Natives who are conversant with the mode of work, habits of the working people &c and as this latter plan will entail much less expense on the Government than is now entailed - I have resolved (assuming the Revenue Boards' sanction to the arrangement) on its immediate introduction - In place therefore of a European Sub Conservator on 150 Rupees per mensem, I propose to have one respectable Native on fifty Rupees, and three or four Overseers on the Aggregate Pay of thirty five Rupees - Total Eighty five Rupees a Month

3 As Mr. Smith has not returned from

from 15 days leave granted him on the 14th Ultimo on the plea of severe illness, there will be no injustice in considering his appointment vacant from the 1st Instant --

Malabar ---------- }
Collector's Office ------ }
Calicut 4th August 1842. }

Signed A. V. Conally.
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To The Collector of Malabar

(31 · 32)

To the Collector of Malabar -

In Cons 25th Augt 1842 -

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to your letter under date the 4th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish further explanation on the subject of the unsatisfactory management of the Teak Forests therein alluded to -

2. With this view the Board desire that the acting Sub Conservator Mr. Smith be called upon to explain the causes which have operated to the total loss of the Teak Seed stated to have been sown in various localities and also to the destruction of so large a portion of the planted saplings -

3. The Board request moreover that

that you will state whether Mr. Smith has from time to time submitted reports of his proceedings and what steps have been taken by you with reference to the communications

Revenue Board office--
Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(33)

From the Coll. of Malabar.

Sir,

Para 1

Submitting for the orders of the Board Copy of a correspondence on the subject of a boundary dispute between the Districts of Malabar and Cochin.

I regret to have to trouble the Board with the accompanying voluminous correspondence on the subject of a boundary dispute between the Districts of Malabar and Cochin. I have done my best to bring the matter to a conclusion without having recourse to this reference but in vain.

2.

In order that the Board may have the chief circumstances of the case before them at one view, I will here introduce with a few alterations and additions a letter which will be found in the Enclosure marked No. 7.

3. On the 11th May 1840, I received a letter from the Travancore Residency Surgeon then acting as Resident requesting

me to take measures for the re-apprehension of one Ibramsah and others who it was stated had made their escape from the custody of the Cochin authorities. This request led me to look into the circumstances attending the original seizure which I found to be as follows.

4. On the 1st October 1839, Captain Douglas forwarded a report from Cochin Dewan to the effect that the Conservator of Forests had seized certain Individuals who were cutting Timber within the Cochin territories and on the 14th October a further letter was received suggesting that the Conservator and Tempalpo-ram Tahsildar should meet on the spot where the robbery was said to have been committed with the view of investigating the case.

After

5. After sundry further correspondence a meeting appears to have taken place between the Tenalpooram and Cochin Tahsildars but it was attended with very little benefit. The former seems by no means to have agreed to the conclusions drawn by the latter, and in a communication to my Office stated it to be exceedingly doubtful whether the charge of trespass brought against the Company's subjects could

could be substantiated and whether the locality assumed by the Conservator and Dewan to belong to Cochin did not in reality appertain to this District. An enquiry I found that much evidence could be adduced to strengthen the Tahsildar's opinion, and that the regret therefore of thinking, that from want of due vigilance and enquiry on my part, the parties who were then in Custody as well as those whom I was requested to re-apprehend had been subjected to confinement, disgrace and loss without any cause, but on unsubstantiated and dubious accusation.

Took charge of the Office in the middle of February 1840, which will afford some excuse for the inattention.

6 I earnestly requested that under these circumstances the persons and property seized by the Conservator in Panamparrah Pindoo might be, at once, released, engaging to ensure their appearance at any tribunal they might be amenable to, on its being proved that the charge under which they lay was a true one. I suggested further that an immediate enquiry should be set on foot, to settle the question as to the place in dispute, and doubting from past experience whether it could

could efficiently be carried on by the Tahsildars alone proposed to depute my Head Assistant to meet any nominee of the Cochin Government.-

This last proposition was acceded to by the Resident, and accordingly Mr. Silver on my part, and Mr. Vernede on the part of the Cochin Government, met at Wadacanchierry on the 17th September 1840. Their meeting was however as futile as had been that of the Tahsildars, in as much as Mr. Vernede was instructed to enter into no enquiry, and merely insist on the accuracy of a Map which had formerly been made by a Captain Macarthur (and which included the disputed tract in the Cochin limits) but which had always been considered of no weight as regarded that locality by the authorities of this district.- Mr. Silver accordingly left the place re-infecta but I felt so certain from his report of what he had seen as well as heard, that there was every probability of the right being on our side, that I strongly pressed the Resident to appoint some person with more extended powers

Vide Extract from Mr. Pavies letter as quoted in Mr. Vernede's Report Enclosure in No 11, page 114.

powers than had been given to Mr. Vernede, and to have the matter settled in compliance with the judgment (after due enquiry) of the two parties. After much correspondence the Resident proposed to visit the Spot in person towards the close of last year. It appears however that he has been unable to do this, and as his last letter holds out no prospect of any probable settlement of the question, I am compelled to refer it for instructions to superior authority.-

8 I do not think any satisfactory result can be arrived at, without a personal examination, conducted by parties, in whom all confidence and discretion can be placed on both sides. The Cochin Dewan, as will appear from the correspondence, wishes to carry matters with a very high hand, and insists on the clearness of the right of his Government to the disputed tract. The right may be as he asserts, and if so, he can entertain no apprehension in submitting it to a fair and unprejudiced tribunal. but I cannot allow that his confident assertions should be allowed to decide a matter, which, from Mr. Silver's report

report after repeated personal inspection is involved in considerable obscurity. I attach much importance to Mr. Silver's opinion. He is a Gentleman of considerable experience, and as well qualified to give a fair judgment, of any matter that may be brought to his attention, as any one I am acquainted with.—

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut 27th July 1842.— —
No 1150
1839

} Signed H. W. Conolly
Collector.

To The Acting Magistrate
of Malabar.

Sir,

In forwarding for your information the enclosed copy of a letter to my address No 305 under date the 28th Instant, received from the Dewan of Cochin, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to pass such orders as you may deem proper on the matter therein alluded to.—

President's Office
Trevandrum 1st October 1839.
No 305
1839

} Signed A. Douglas
Acting, President.

To Captain A. Douglas
Acting Resident of Travancore
and Cochin.

Sir,

I have the honor to

state that I have received a report, dated the 11th Ultimo from the Conservator of the Cochin Forests informing me that it having come to his knowledge that certain Merchants residing in the Tennellpooram Taluk, within the District of Malabar, were in the habit of coming into the Cochin Forests for the purpose of felling Teak Trees, he immediately repaired to a place called Panampparah Tirdam on the Purwattany Hills, and found that the information that had been given to him was correct. Four Elephants, he reports, were at work, on his arrival there, one of which he succeeded in seizing, together with the Mohant, and four other persons, who confessed to him that 200 and odd Teak Trees, had already been taken away to Panamparah a place within the Honble Company's jurisdiction.—

2 The Conservator further states that whilst on his return from the Hills, he discovered at Combla Toda, also within the Company's Country, 36 Teak

Teak logs bearing the Circar mark as well as that of some private individuals.-

3 The conservator stated that the names of the principal persons concerned in this fraudulent appropriation of Circar Timber are Maddattil Kittoo Nair, and Beev=anisah, the latter of whom is one of the five apprehended by him.-

4 The Conservator has been directed by me to forward the delinquents, now in his custody, to the Huzzoor for examination, and to send one of the most intelligent of the servants employed in his Department to the Tahsildar of the Tennulporam Talook, to point out to that authority the Timber in question, and to request him to take the necessary steps for preventing their removal from the places where they are at present lying.-

5 I beg that you will be so good as to take such measures

measures as you may deem requisite in the case, in order that the Timber may be restored to the Circar.-

Ernacollum
Cochin
Huzzoor Cutcherry
28th September 1839.

[Signed] S. Venkatasoobiah
Dewan.

(A true Copy)

[Signed] A. Douglas
Acting Resident.

No. 1200
1839

To
The Acting Princ. Collector
of
Malabar

Sir,

With reference to

may

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

my letter under date the 5th
1st Instant, I have the
honor to transmit for
your information the
enclosed Copy of a fur-
-ther one to my Cad-
-dress No. 319 of the 12th
Received from the Dewan
of Cochin.—

Resident's Office
Duilon
14th October 1839.

(Signed) A. Douglas
Acting Resident.

To

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

No. 319
1839

To, Captain A. Douglas

Acting Resident of Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

Adverting to my Letter No. 305 under date the 28th Ultimo, I have the honor, with reference to the 4th Para, to state that the Conservator of the Cochin Forests has reported to me, that he has applied to the Tenalpooram Tahsildar to take the necessary steps for preventing the removal of the timber therein alluded to, but that that Authority has refused to Comply with his Request.—

2. I request therefore that you will be so good as to adopt such measure as you may deem requisite, to prevent their removal from the place where they now lie, and beg at the same time to suggest, as the easiest and most satisfactory Method of ascertaining the true state of the case, forming the subject of my letter before adverted to, that the Tenalpooram Tahsildar be directed to meet the Conservator of the Cochin Forests at

at Panamparah Taudoo, the place from which the Timbers are alledged to have been taken, and there to investigate into the matter

/ Signed / S. Vencata Soobiah
Dewan.

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / A. Douglas
Acting Resident.

Ernacollam in Cochin, Huzzoor Cutcherry, 12th October, 1839.

No. 3.

To The Acting Resident
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

With reference to your letters dated respectively the 1st and 11th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward, herewith, Copy of an Arzee from the Tahsildar of Temulpooram, communicating the result of the enquiry made by him into the case of Timber Cutting, which is the subject of the Dewan's Communications to your Address.

The Tahsildar's Statement that the Individuals named in the Margin, all British Subjects, were apprehended within the limits of this District being supported by the evidence of several Witnesses, I beg to request, with reference to the orders of the Honble the Court of Directors dated 1st June 1836, that

Madatil Schera Nair
Nerviv Sah
Muston.
Govind Nair and
Chalara Nair

that you will be pleased to direct that they be made over to the Tahsildar of Temulporam together with such evidence as the Dewan of Cochin may be prepared to adduce, to prove the act of trespass with which they stand accused.

/ Signed / E. P. Thompson
Acting Collector.

Collector's Office, Calicut 2d Novr 1839.

1551/1839

No 4.

To The Acting Magistrate in Malabar.

Sir,

I lost no time in transmitting to the Dewan of Cochin a Copy of your Letter dated the 2d Ultimo, regarding the Timber cutting in the Cochin Forests by certain Individuals who are British Subjects, & I have the honor to forward to you Copy of the Dewan's reply to my communication, in which you will observe it is positively asserted that the persons named in the Margin of your letter, had, with one exception, acknowledged the act of trespass with which they are charged - and have also declared that they were seized within the limits of the Cochin Circar, and not at

at this place, or the Territories of the Honble Company, named by the Temulporam Tahsildar, further that these facts have been sworn to by Seven persons who were examined upon Oath, who were on the spot at the time of the Seizure of the trespassers by the Officers of the Cochin Circar.—

The Dewan therefore argues that from the evidence already adduced, the accused, although British Subjects, are amenable to the Courts of the Cochin Circar.—

I had myself a conversation with Mr Rohlhoff the Conservator of the Cochin Forests on the subject of the depradations alluded to in the 3d para of the Dewan's letter, and he confirmed the statement therein set forth—

I beg to suggest for your consideration that the proposal of the Dewan, for the meeting of the two Tahsildar's, would be a desirable arrangement when both parties could be heard: from the present state of the evidence, it is perhaps difficult to come to a Conclusion, although it seems to preponderate on the side of the Dewan—

Residents Office
Cochin
31st December, 1839.

/Signed/ J. Maclean Lt Col
Officiating Resident.

N° 390
1839

To

Colonel J. Maclean
Officiating Resident Travancore
and Cochin—

Sir,

With reference to your letter N° 1299 under date the 7th Ultimo, transmitting Copy of a communication dated the 2d of the same Month from the Acting Magistrate of Malabar, I have the honor to furnish you with the result of my enquiry into the case therein adverted to.—

2. The Individuals named in the Margin of the Acting Magistrate's letter to your address, with the exception of Ebraham Sah, have acknowledged the act of trespass, with which they stood charged, and have also declared that they were Seized by the Conservator of the Cochin Forests at a place called Panamparrah Taudoo, which is within the Circar limits, and not at Perow Pauden, as stated by the Temalporam Tahsildar. Their declaration has been

Corroborated

corroborated by the evidence of seven persons examined on oath, who were on the spot, at the time of their seizure by the Officers of this Circar:- The fact of their having been apprehended within the Circar limits having been clearly proved both by their own declaration, and the evidence of witnesses, the parties though British subjects are, I beg to state, amenable to the Courts of this Country.—

3 The information obtained by the Conservator of the Cochin Forests and alluded to in the 1st Para of my letter No 305, under date the 28th September, that a large quantity of Timber had been felled within the Circar limits, and taken away to Peroom Pauden a place situated within the Honorable Company's jurisdiction, has been confirmed by the enquiry now instituted into this Case.—

4 I request therefore that you will be pleased to adopt such measure as you may deem necessary for the restoration of the said Timber to the Circar,

but

but beg at the same time to repeat the suggestion offered in my letter to your address No. 319 of the 12th October last that the Ternalporam Tahsildar be directed to meet the Tahsildar of the Trichore District at Panamparrah Taudoo, the place where the Timber in question was felled and there to investigate into the Matter.—

Ernacollum in Cochin Huzzoor Cutcherry 28th December 1839.—

(Signed) T. Vencatadoobiah
Dewan.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) J. Maclean Lt. Col.
Officiating Resident.

To The Officiating Resident
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir, With reference to your letter dated the 31st Ultimo giving cover to copy of a communication from the Dewan of Cochin to your address, I have

the

the honor to inform you that the Temal-
=poram Tahsildar has been directed to
visit the Tahsildar of the Trichore District
at Panamparrah Taudoo, on Monday
the 20th Instant, for the purpose of
ascertaining, by further enquiry, the
real spot at which the Timber, which
is the subject of the present corres-
=pondence, was felled, as well as the
place at which the British sub-
=jects, named in my letter, dated
the 2d November last, were apprehend-
=ed by the Officers of the Cochin Circar
I therefore request you will be good
enough to issue corresponding instruc-
=tions to the Trichore Tahsildar.

Magistrate's Office,
Calicut,
4th January 1840.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Acting Magistrate

To

No 642
1840

To The Acting Magistrate of
Malabar.

Sir,

In transmitting for your in-
=formation the accompanying Copy of a letter
to my address No 205, under date the 11th Instant,
I have the honor to request you will be so good
as to take Measures for the re-apprehension,
and delivery to the nearest Circar authority,
of the Individuals therein alluded to

I have &c.

Resy Office
Tellicherry
13th May 1840.

(Signed) J. Brown M D
Acting Resy Surgeon
in Charge.

No 205

To J. Brown Esqre M. D.
Acting Residency Surgeon,
in Charge.

Sir,

From the Dewan of Cochin to the Resident 28th September 1839.
Ditto Ditto 12th October Ditto
From the Resident to the Dewan 7th November 1839
Dewan to the Resident 28th December 1839
Resident to the Dewan 6th January 1840.

With reference to the Correspond-
=ence noted in the Margin,
I have the honor to state that
of the five individuals,
therein alluded to as having been
apprehended and placed in
confinement in consequence
of their being concerned in the
fraudulent appropriation of
Circar Timber, three have made their escape one
named Mudutteel Cacherren on the 3d Instant / 13th April and
the other two Ebramsah and Mustan join the 22d
of the same Month.

J

the honor to inform you that the Temal-
=poram Tahsildar has been directed to
visit the Tahsildar of the Trichore District
at Panamparrah Taudoo, on Monday
the 20th Instant, for the purpose of
ascertaining, by further enquiry, the
real spot at which the Timber, which
is the subject of the present corres-
=pondence, was felled, as well as the
place at which the British sub-
=jects, named in my letter, dated
the 2d November last, were apprehend-
=ed by the Officers of the Cochin Circar
I therefore request you will be good
enough to issue corresponding instruc-
=tions to the Trichore Tahsildar.

Magistrate's Office,
Calicut,
4th January 1840.

/Signed/ E. F. Thompson
Acting Magistrate

To

No 642
1840

To The Acting Magistrate of
Malabar.

Sir,

In transmitting for your in-
=formation the accompanying Copy of a letter
to my address No 205, under date the 11th Instant,
I have the honor to request you will be so good
as to take Measures for the re-apprehension,
and delivery to the nearest Circar authority,
of the Individuals therein alluded to.

I have &c
/Signed/ J. Brown M.D
Acting Resdt Surgeon
in Charge.

Resdt Office
Tellicherry
13th May 1840.

No 205

To J. Brown Esqre M.D.
Acting Residency Surgeon
in Charge.

Sir,

With reference to the Correspond-
=ence noted in the Margin,
I have the honor to state that
of the five individuals,
therein alluded to as having been
apprehended and placed in
confinement in consequence
of their being concerned in the
fraudulent appropriation of
Circar Timber, three have made their escape one
named Mudullee Cacherree on the 3d Medom / 13th April and
the other two Ebramsah and Mustan join the 22d
of the same Month.

From the Dewan of Cochin to the Resident 28th September 1839.
Ditto Ditto 12th October Ditto
From the Resident to the Dewan 1st November 1839
" Dewan to the Resident 28th December 1839
" Resident to the Dewan 6th January 1840.

J

opinion, and I have the regret therefore of thinking that from want of due vigilance and enquiry on my part, the parties who are now in Custody, as well as those whom I am requested to reapprehended have been subjected to confinement, disgrace and loss, without any cause, but on unsubstantiated and dubious accusation.

4 I earnestly request that under these circumstances the persons and property seized by the Conservator in Canampanah Tandoo may be at once released, and I engage to ensure their appearance at any tribunal they may be amenable to, on its being proved that the charge under which they lie is a true one. I suggest further that an immediate enquiry be set on foot to settle the question as to the place in dispute. I doubt from past experience whether it can efficiently be carried on by the Tahsildars alone, but so soon as I have the services of a head assistant which I expect daily, I will depute him to

to visit the spot and bring the matter to a satisfactory termination.

Collectors Office
Calicut 23th June 1840
No 862
1840

Thane 8ca
(Signed) H. W. Conolly
Collector.

To
The Acting Magistrate in
Malabar.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 23d Instant, and to acquaint you that a Copy of the same will be forwarded by this days Tappal to the Dewan of Cochin, with my recommendation that the persons now in Custody for an alleged trespass on the Forests of the Cochin Circar in the Month of September last year, may be released from confinement, on the conditions stated in your letter, also that their Elephants &ca may be given up to them.

It will be most desirable to have this matter settled as soon as possible. I had a conversation with the Cochin

2 I beg therefore that you will be so good as to requisit the Magistrate of Malabar to issue orders for the re apprehension, and delivery to the Nearest Circar Authority, in the event of their taking refuge in the Honorable Company's Territory.

3 The District Officers of this Circar have also been directed to apprehend them if found within this Country.

I have &c.

/Signed/ Shungra Warriar
Dewan.

(True Copy)
/Signed/ J. Brown M. D.
Acting Resdt. Surgeon in Charge.

E Macollum
in Cochin
Huzzoor Cutcherry
11th May 1840.

To
The Resident
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

On the 13th Ultimo, I received a letter from the Acting Residency Surgeon, requesting me to take measures for the re-apprehension of Ibramsah and others, who, it was stated, had made their escape from the Custody of the Cochin Authorities. This request led me to look into the circumstances attending their Original seizure, and to my regret I found them to be as follows.

2. On the 20th September last your Predecessor Captain Douglas forwarded a

a report from the Dewan to the effect that the Cochin Conservator of Forests had seized certain individuals, who were Cutting timber within the Cochin territories, and on the 11th October a further letter was received, suggesting that the Conservator and Iemelpooram Tahsildar should meet on the spot where the robbery was said to have been committed with the view of investigating the case.

3 After sundry further correspondence a Meeting appears to have taken place between the Iemelpooram and Cochin Tahsildars, but it was attended with very little benefit. The former seems by no means to have agreed to the conclusions drawn by the latter, and in a communication to this Office states it to be excessively doubtful, whether the charge of trespass brought against the company's subjects can be substantiated, and whether the locality assumed by the Conservator and Dewan to belong to Cochin does not in reality appertain to this District. On enquiry I find that much evidence can be adduced to strengthen the Tahsildars'

opinion,

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

opinion, and I have the regret therefore of thinking that from want of due vigilance and enquiry on my part, the parties who are now in Custody, as well as those whom I am requested to reapprehended have been subjected to confinement, disgrace and loss, without any cause, but on unsubstantiated and dubious accusation.

4 I earnestly request that under these circumstances the persons and property seized by the Conservator in Canampanah Tandoo may be at once released, and I engage to ensure their appearance at any tribunal they may be amenable to, on its being proved that the charge under which they lie is a true one. I suggest further that an immediate enquiry be set on foot to settle the question as to the place in dispute. I doubt from past experience whether it can efficiently be carried on by the Tahsildars alone, but so soon as I have the services of a head assistant which I expect daily, I will depute him to

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

to visit the spot and bring the matter to a satisfactory termination.

I have &c.

Collectors Office
Calicut 23th June 1840
No 862
1840

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

To
The Acting Magestrate in
Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 23d Instant, and to acquaint you that a Copy of the same will be forwarded by this days Tappal to the Dewan of Cochin, with my recommendation that the persons now in Custody for an alleged trespass on the Forests of the Cochin Circar in the Month of September last year, may be released from confinement, on the conditions stated in your letter, also that their Elephants &c. may be given up to them.

It will be most desirable to have this matter settled as soon as possible. I had a conversation with the Cochin

Fort St. George 25th August 1812.

Cochin Conservator of Forests on the subject, and he told me that the strongest proofs could be adduced that not only on the present occasion, but that it had been the practice for years past, to commit similar depredations on the Cochin Forests.

Residents Office,
Quilon,
27th June 1810.

× × × × × ×
× × × × ×

/Signed/ J. Maclean
Lt. Colo. Resident.

No. 861
1810

No. 9

To
The Acting Magistrate of
Malabar.

Sir,

Referring to my letter to your address No. 842 of the 27th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward for your information copy of one No. 260 dated the 29th from the Dewan of Cochin.

Residents Office,
Quilon,
1st July 1810.

/Signed/ J. Maclean
Lt. Colo. Resident.

To

Fort St. George 25th August 1812.

No. 260
1810

To
Colonel J. Maclean
British Resident of Travancore
& Cochin.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 843, under date the 27th Instant, forwarding Copy of one from the Acting Collector and Magistrate of Malabar, and in reply beg to state that agreeably to your advice, I have this day released the two individuals, connected with the trespass therein advert=ed to, who were still in Confinement,

Confinement, Mr. Conolly having rendered himself responsible, for the re-appearance of the persons concerned, at any future period.

2 With regard, however to the Elephant, I am of opinion that it should be retained till the large quantity of Teak Timber, fraudulently carried off by Ibraunsah and others be restored to the Circar.

3 I fully concur with Mr. Conolly in the propriety of the suggestion contained in the 4th Para of the letter, before referred to, and as soon, therefore as I am informed that an Officer has been deputed to visit the spot in dispute, the proper persons shall be sent to meet him on behalf of this Circar.

Ernacollom
in Cochin, 10th
Huzzoor Cutcherry
29th June 1840.

× × × ×
× × × ×

(Signed) T. Maclean
Resident.

No 10

To The Acting Resident
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin, I beg to inform you that Mr. Silver the Head Assistant of this District proposes being at Wada Kanjerry on the 15th proximo, and I request therefore that some Individual may be deputed to meet him on the part of the Cochin Government, with the view of having a satisfactory adjustment of the Boundary now in dispute in that direction.

From my Res.t 8/1. Oct.r 1839 with enclosure
" ditto 14th Oct.r 1839 with 1 enclosure
To ditto 2d Nov.r
From ditto 31st Dec.r with 1 enclosure
To ditto 4th Jan.y 1840
From ditto 13th May with 1 enclosure
To ditto 23d June 1840
From ditto 27th " "
" ditto 1st July with 1 enclosure

Collector's Office,
Calicut, 8th August 1840. } No 989/1840

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No 11

To The Acting Principal Collector
of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Instant, and to transmit, for your information, the Copy of one No 287 dated the 18th from the Dewan of Cochin.

Resident's Office,
Duilon,
20th August, 1840. } No 287/1840

(Signed) J. Brown, M. D:
Res.y Surgeon in charge.

To
J. Brown Esqre M. D:
Acting Residency Surgeon in charge.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.-

the receipt of your letter No. 975 under date the 15th Instant, transmitting Copy of one to your address of the 8th from the Acting Principal Collector of Malabar, and in reply beg to State that Mr. Vernede, the Commercial Agent of this Government, has been deputed to meet Mr. Silver at Wadakancherry on the 15th ens. Proximo, for the adjustment of the Boundary dispute, alluded to in the Acting Principal Collector's letter.-

Ernacollum in Cochin,
Huzzoor Cutcherry,
18th August 1840.-

/Signed/ Shungara Warier
Devan.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J. Brown. M. D.
Res. & Surgeon in charge
1812.

To The Acting Principal Collector
of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to report to you the enquiry made by me into the boundary dispute, which arose from the seizure, last year, of five British Subjects, by the Cochin Conservator of forests.-

2. On the 17th of this Month I proceeded to the forests together with Mr. Vernede, deputed by the Devan of Cochin, and the Tasildar of Temalpooram, Some of the individuals who

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.-

who had been apprehended by the Cochin Authorities, and a Considerable number of the inhabitants of Wadakancherry, who accompanied me to point out the different hills.-

3. The first Spot I visited was a place called Peram Para, where it was stated in the Complaints made before the Temalpooram Tasildar, the people had been seized, that the place is within the Honorable Company's country no question can be raised.- After inspecting this place, I proceeded on to another, called Panamparala Sinda where it was stated by the Cochin party the individuals were apprehended, and which Spot is also claimed as being within the Company's limits.-

4. There Sent the Cochin Conservator and the Trichoor Tahsildar, and as the individuals denied, that this was the place they were apprehended at, a deposition was taken from the Cochin Conservator, as to the place, where he stated the timber to have been cut, and the people Seized, and he stated,

he

he apprehended them dragging timber, co within half a Mile South west from the top of the Pinda, or ridge.-

5. Peramparah Pinda runs nearly due north and South and is claimed by the Cochin party, as the boundary between the two countries, the forests lying to the eastward, to belong to the Company, and those to the Westward, to Cochin, and in proof of this, Mr. Vernede produced Captain Arthur's Map, Shewing Peramparah Pinda on the boundary line.-

6. I however pointed out to Mr. Vernede that in Mr. Commissioner Vaughan's report, and in Mr. Warden's co letter to the Revenue Board, it was Stated that this Map had been framed by Captain Arther, without any person having attended on the part of Malabar, and as stated by Mr. Warden, the boundaries on the part of Malabar, were not to be assumed as indisputably correct, and the present co

case

case was clearly one of the nature co contemplated by Mr. Vaughan, when he says in his report refer= ring to this Map "I have no doubt but there are many disputed Spots of the Same trivial nature as existed at, and near, Puttamungalum, but these can, I should think, be co easily adjusted without reference to Government by amicable arrange= ment and fair discussion."

7. Mr. Vernede however co gave me to understand he was co intrusted with no authority to investigate documentary evidence, for the purpose of altering or co Correcting the boundaries of the Map he had brought with him, and had brought no documentary evidence whatever on the part of

Cochin

he apprehended them dragging timber co-
within half a Mile South west from the
top of the Pinda, or ridge.-

5- Peramparah Pinda runs
nearly due north and South and is claimed
by the Cochin party, as the boundary between
the two countries, the forests lying to the
eastward, to belong to the Company, and
those to the westward, to Cochin, and in
proof of this, Mr. Vernede produced
Captain Arthur's Map, Shewing Peramparah
Pinda on the boundary line.-

6. I however pointed out
to Mr. Vernede that in Mr. Commissioner
Vaughan's report, and in Mr. Warden's co-
letter to the Revenue Board, it was
stated that this Map had been framed
by Captain Arther, without any person
having attended on the part of Malabar,
and as stated by Mr. Warden, the
boundaries on the part of
Malabar, were not to be
assumed as indisputably
correct, and the present co-

Case

case was clearly one of the nature co-
contemplated by Mr. Vaughan,
when he says in his report refer-
-ring to this Map "I have no doubt
but there are many disputed Spots
of the Same trivial nature as existed
at, and near, Puttamungalum, but
these can, I should think, be co-
easily adjusted without reference
to Government by amicable arrange-
-ment and fair discussion."

7. Mr. Vernede however co-
gave me to understand he was co-
intrusted with no authority to
investigate documentary evidence,
for the purpose of altering or co-
Correcting the boundaries of the
Map he had brought with him,
and had brought no documentary
evidence whatever on the part of

Cochin

Cochin, I questioned the Trichoor Tahsildar but he was also unprovided with any; it was therefore impracti: cable at that time, to bring the co matter to a final arrangement ac according to the plans proposed by Mr. Vaughan, and which in a matter like the present, is the only way an arraingement can be made. I shall therefore briefly State the proofs brought forward to Shew that the place is within the Company's Country.-

8- In the Grantwary produced by the three Jemmys, Pola Kilidom- Nilla Killidom - and Canampair Nair - the different Hills, and Padas or Nullahs around Paramparah Tinda, & on

are very clearly and specifically mentioned, and include not only Panamparah Tinda but several Hills from four to five miles W. S. W. One Hill Kalcondom Nialivaram, mentioned in the Grantwary appears to have been previously worked by an individual Reimkhan; and his son produced to me, a parcel of old Accounts corroborative of this; and the Polahilidate ackon stated, that his mark was engraved on a Stone, on this very Hill which in the Grantwary is laid down four or five Miles W. S. W. of Panamparah Tinda, the place now in dispute.-

9- It would be tedious to in: sert here, the names and bearings of the different Hills, but I have made Extracts from the Grantwary of all the evidence it gives.-

10- The labours of the Com: mission composed of Mr. Vaughan, and Nanjeroyah, the Dewan of Cochin, being directed to settling the disputed Boundaries on the Palghaut Talook, and the Pala Deshom, and the Boundaries of Takeye: mangalam, in Pedeginnaad, the schedule on cadjans received from Calicut, did not throw any light on the present question.-

11- I find however from Mr. Vaughan's report and the examinations of sent

Fort St George 25th August 1842

sent me that an enquiry was instituted into claims then preferred by the Canampair Nait, on the Placote Hills. These Hills lie several miles W.S.W of the Panamparah Tinda and so little question would seem at that time to have existed, regarding Panamparah Tinda being within the Company's limits, that the claims of their subjects extended as far beyond as the Placote Hills.

12 Mr. Vaughan did not consider the claim on Placote well founded and in his report "proposes to relinquish all claims to those Hills." but there he stops, and I can find no mention of where he intends the boundary line should be drawn between Placote and Panamparah Tinda. In the examination this place is particularly mentioned as the road, by which timber felled on Plakote, was dragged, lay a cross the chuch and athadom (Valley) and "over the Panamparah Tinda," but which route, Mr. Vaughan, in a note states must be a difficult one.

13. Although I am unacquainted with the data, on which the extended survey (exclusive of the boundaries of Nela Deshom and Tekeymangalom

Fort St George 25th August 1842

of Captain Arthur was made, yet as the Map has continued for the last 25 years, I have no doubt it is generally correct, and sufficient to answer all useful purposes; the only thing required to settle a matter of this kind is a person deputed on the part of the Rajah with more extended powers than Mr. Vernede had, and who is empowered to examine and compare documentary evidence, and thereby correct the boundaries laid down by Captain Arthur where they may appear erroneous.

14 The presence of a scientific man accustomed to measure distances would greatly conduce to the arrangement of the question, and I would therefore suggest as the easiest way of settling the matter, that the Civil Engineer be requested to visit the spot, (if you deem it necessary, I should have much pleasure in accompanying him) and if the Dewan will meet us there, with the documentary evidence on the part of the Rajah,

I

Fort St George 25th August 1842

I have no doubt but that the question might be at once settled.

Palghaut, 27th Sept. 1840.

(Signed) J. Silver
Assistant Collector

Calicut 1st October 1840

To, The Resident
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 11th August last, I do myself the honor 27th Sept. 1840. to forward copy of a report just received from my Head Assistant who was deputed to proceed to Temalpooram to settle a disputed boundary question in conjunction within the Cochin Agent Mr. Vernede.

The meeting between these two Gentlemen you will observe has been of fruitless in consequence of Mr. Vernede being furnished with no powers to enquire into any documentary or other evidence that might be produced. It is clearly undesirable to leave matters in their present unsettled state and I beg to suggest the propriety of the Cochin Government giving the requisite powers either to Mr. Vernede or to any other person in whom they may place sufficient confidence

Fort St George 25th August 1842

as the case stands at present it appears to be very doubtful whether the trespass complained of by the Dewan in October 1839 actually took place and under these circumstances I beg strongly to press on you the justice of giving up the Elephant belonging to Ibram Saib which was seized at that time.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Asst Magistrate

P. S. There is unfortunately no Engineer at present in this District (Major Lawe being on sick certificate) perhaps the services of Lieutenant Horsley could be spared for the purpose indicated by Mr. Silver who of course would be present in such a case.

R. 1175
1840

To, The Acting Principal Collector of
Malabar

Sir, With reference to your letter of the 8th and to my reply R. 989 of the 20th August last, I have the honor to forward for your information Copy of a letter R. 313 dated the 24th ultimo from the Dewan of Cochin, with a transcript of its accompaniment from Mr. Vernede the Commercial Agent of that
Circar

Fort St George 25th August 1842

Circar, and to state that it appears evident from this communication and by the Map executed in October 1815 by Captain Arthur the then superintending Engineer in Travancore, that Ibraw sah had trespassed within the limits of the Cochin Circar.

With regard to the Extract from Mr Warden's letter to the Board of Revenue dated the 9th October 1816, herewith transmitted, upon which Mr Silver questioned the correctness of Captain Arthur's Map, I beg to observe that upon a reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department No 759 under date the 9th August 1831, it does not appear that either the Board of Revenue or the Government had disputed its correctness altho I find that Mr Warden's letter of the 9th October 1816 is also quoted in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 18th July 1831. I therefore beg you will give this communication your best consideration, and favor me with your opinion on the subject at your earliest convenience.

Resident's Office,
Quilon, 2d October 1840.
No 313/40

(Signed) J. Brown M. D.
Residency Surgeon in Charge

To
J. Brown Esqre M. D.
Residency Surgeon in Charge

Sir,

With reference to your letter No

Fort St George 25th August 1842

No 975 under date the 15th Ultimo and to my reply of the 18th I have the honor to forward for your information Copy of a report received from Mr Vernede, and at the same time to express my entire concurrence in the course pursued by him in regard to the Boundary question.

2. The Map, with which Mr Vernede was furnished, was received from the Resident and has always been considered as an Official Document nor was the Circar aware till now that any doubts had ever arisen in regard to its correctness on the contrary I have been given to understand that the Settlement of the Commissioners and the Maps framed thereon by Captain Arthur the Surveying Engineer, who accompanied them, were approved of and confirmed by the Government of Fort St George in 1831, notwithstanding various reports against them from the Collectors of Malabar and Coimbatoor and that this decision of Government was in Octobr of that year verbally communicated to His Highness the Rajah of Cochin by the then Acting Resident Major Cadogan.

3. I beg therefore that you will

will be so good as to take such steps as you may deem necessary to bring this Dispute to a conclusion.

Ernacolum in Cochin Hoozzoor Cutcherry 24th September 1840

/ Signed / Shungra Warrier
Dewan

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / J. Brown M.D.
Residency Surgeon in Charge

To The Dewan of Cochin

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 304 under date the 12th Instant and to its enclosures, I have the honor to state that I proceeded on Thursday last with Mr. Silver to the Ponnampurrah Tund, the Hill on which the Conservator of the Cochin Forests seized Ibramsah and others in September 1839 for the purpose of inspecting the spot, and of settling the Boundary disputes to which the seizure in question has given rise.

2. It is with regret that I have now to inform you that we have not been able to bring the matter to an adjustment in

in consequence of the following circumstances.

The Map with which you furnished me framed by Captain Arthur of the Engineers, and purporting to be a survey of the Northern Boundary of the Cochin Country where it adjoins the Company's Districts in South Malabar and Coimbatore, as determined by I. Vaughan Esqre and Nunyapiah Bramin Commissioners, the former on the part of the Company and the latter on that of the Rajah of Cochin in October 1815 / was the basis on which I proposed enquiring into the dispute. Mr. Silver however, on the strength of an opinion of the then Principal Collector of Malabar / Mr. Warden / espressed in his report to the Revenue Board dated 9th October 1816 / an Extract of which is annexed / Mr. Silver having kindly allowed me to take a copy of it / questioned the correctness of Captain Arthurs Map and suggested for the Settlement of the Boundary disputes a reference to Documentary Evidence. To this I felt myself under the necessity of objecting on the ground that no Documentary evidence would be of sufficient weight with me to set aside the Boundaries as

as defined in the Map, of the correctness of which I could not entertain a doubt, it having always been viewed by the Circar in the light of an Official Document, and having been delivered to me as such.

3. Had I acceded to Mr. Silver's suggestion of settling the Boundary dispute by documentary evidence without reference to Captain Arthurs' Map, I should virtually have nullified it, and thus involved the British Government and the Cochin Circar in a multitude of Boundary disputes, a result I conceived most carefully to be avoided.

4. Though precluded by the circumstances above detailed from bringing the boundary question to a settlement, I think it incumbent on me to state my opinion respecting it, as far as I could form one from an inspection of the locality itself. The Ponnamparah Pund is a range of Hills with a declivity on either side, the summit being a platform forming a most admirable natural Boundary between the territories of the Company and those of the Cochin Circar the slope on the Western and S. western Side according to the

the land of the platform, belonging to the latter and that on the Eastern and N. eastern side to the former. The spot on which the trespass is alleged by the Conservator of the Cochin Forests to have been committed being on the western slope, of the Hill is decidedly within the Territory of the Cochin Circar if the Platform in question be allowed to be the Boundary line. The dispute however might easily, I imagine be settled by ascertaining the distance of the Seawcote stream, which is laid down in the Map at about half a mile or so from the Boundary on the Company's side, from the spot on which the trespass is alleged to have been committed by Ibramsah and others. This could only be effected by a person proposing some practical knowledge of surveying, and I beg therefore to suggest the expediency of having an Engineer Officer deputed on either side for the Settlement of the dispute.

5. Ibramsah and others concerned having declared to us that they had been apprehended by Mr. Kohlhoff the Conservator of the Cochin Forests

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

as defined in the Map, of the correctness of which I could not entertain a doubt, it having always been viewed by the Circar in the light of an Official Document, as having been delivered to me as such

3

Had I acceded to Mr. Sal's suggestion of settling the Boundary dispute by documentary evidence without reference to Captain Arthur's Map, I should virtually have nullified it, and thus involved the British Government and the Cochin Circar in a multitude of Boundary disputes, a result I conceived most carefully to be avoided.

4

Though precluded by the circumstances above detailed from bringing the boundary question to a settlement, I think it incumbent on me to state my opinion respecting it, as far as I could form one from an inspection of the locality itself the Ponnamparah Pund is a range of Hills with a declivity on either side, the summit being a platform forming a most admirable natural Boundary between the territories of the Company and those of the Cochin Circar the slope on the Western and S. western side according to the

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

the bend of the platform, belonging to the latter, and that on the Eastern and N. eastern side to the former. The spot on which the trespass is alleged by the Conservator of the Cochin Forests to have been committed being on the western slope of the Hill is decidedly within the Territory of the Cochin Circar if the Platform in question be allowed to be the Boundary line. The dispute however might easily, I imagine be settled by ascertaining the distance of the Seweode stream, which is laid down in the Map at about half a mile or so from the Boundary on the Company's side, from the spot on which the trespass is alleged to have been committed by Ibramsah and others. This could only be effected by a person proving some practical knowledge of surveying, and I beg therefore to suggest the expediency of having an Engineer Officer deputed on either side for the settlement of the dispute.

5th

Ibramsah and others concerned having declared to us that they had been apprehended by Mr. Kohlhoff the Conservator of the Cochin Forests

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Forests within the Companys territory and not on the spot pointed out by Mr. Kohlhoff the latter, at the suggestion of Mr. Silver, in the propriety of which I perfectly concurred, corroborated his assertion in regard to the place of seizure by an oath. A copy of his deposition, duly attested by Mr. Silver accompanies this.

Trichoor ... 21st September 1840 } I Signed I. S. Vernede
Com.r Agent to the
Cochin Government

Extract from Mr. Warden's letter to the Revenue Board dated 9th October 1816.

Accompanying Mr. Vaughan's letter are three Maps which were sent to him by the surveying Engineer Captain Arthur. One of the Cochin Boundaries at Palghaut as defined by the Commission one of the Tykymungalum also defined by the Commission and a third shewing the whole line of Boundary of the Cochin territory as it is connected with the Company's territory in Malabar. In reference to the last mentioned

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

mentioned Maps I deem it necessary to observe that the Boundary as laid down thereon excepting that part in Palghaut and Tekymungalum the survey of which Mr. Vaughan's attended, is not to be assumed as the acknowledged Boundary on the Malabar side

no

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

no person from Mala-
bar having attended
it nor being it known
to me on what date
or under what
Authority
Captain
Arthur

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Arthur framed that extended survey.

(True Extract)

(Signed) A. S. Vernede.

The deposition on Oath of Mr. John
Rohlhoff taken on the 17th September 1840.
before A. S. Vernede and A. Silver Esqrs.

Query. Was the timber Seized by you
in September 1839, Seized at the place it was
cut at.?

Answer. It was seized a little beyond.

Q. Is the place you have now
pointed out about a hundred yards more
or less to the South West on the slope of the
Ponnamparrah Fund, the place where
you seized Ibramsah, the person now
pointed out to you, and others dragging
Timber in the Month of September last
year?

A. Within half a mile of the place
I have pointed out.

Q. Was the timber cut near
the place?

A. I do not know the exact distance.

Q. Did you in that month ap-
prehend any individuals whatever at
Peeramparada

Peeramparada or any other place?

A. No.

2. Was the timber you seized cut and the parties apprehended in September last year on the Western side of the Annamparah Fund, and were you present and witnessed their Seizure?

A. It was west or South west within half a mile, and I was present and witnessed their Seizure.

(Signed) I. Rohlhoff

Before us.

(Signed) I. Silver

" I. S. Verneder

(True Copy)

(Signed) I. Silver

(True Copies)

(Signed) Shangna Warrier

Dewan

(True Copies)

(Signed) I. Brown M. D

Residency Surgeon in charge

Calicut 4th February 1844.

No 15.

To The Resident

Travancore & Cochin

Sir

I do myself the honor to request your

your attention to my letter of the 1st October last and again to beg that under the very great doubt whether any trespass was made in the Cochin Territories by Ibramsah and others, the Elephant which was not released at the time these alledged trespasses were made, may now be given up to the owners who are naturally clamorous for its restoration.

2. In a letter received from the Acting Resident under date the 2d October last, I find that it is assumed that the spot in dispute belongs to Cochin chiefly on the authority of a Map executed by Captain Arthur in 1815. The strong objections of Mr Warden to the accuracy of this unauthorized Map (objections which are more and more strengthened by the body of evidence now producable by the Malabar proprietors) are met by the observation, "that it does not appear that either the Board of Revenue or the Government has disputed its correctness in their review of the boundary question in 1831 altho Mr Warden's letter containing this objection was then before them." The Revenue Board and Government had at that time before them all the letters that had been written on the subject since 1813, 27 in number and their omission to notice every point in each

can

can hardly be assumed to warrant the conclu-
-sion, which the Acting Resident wished to establish.
It appears to me to be fairly inferrible from a
reference to these proceedings that the Board and
Government only considered it necessary to deal
with such points, as were considered of importance
and that while settling the boundary questions
which had arisen in the Palghaut and Nedenga-
-naad Talooks they left the minor question of any
disputes that might occur in the Temalpooram
Talook, to be settled as the emergency should render necessary.

In the hope of being favored with an
early Answer.

I have &c.
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Ag. Mag.te

No. 376/1841.

To The Magistrate of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the 4th Ultimo on the subject
of the trespass by Ibramsah and others in the Cochin
Territories for the purpose of cutting Timber the
property of that state.

2.

From a perusal of the whole
correspondence I am led to consider the
claims of the Cochin Circar, to the Panam-
-parahtind as the boundary, to be well
founded and the trespass therefore is so

as

as proved.

3. It is not only in Captain
Arthurs Map but in the more com-
-plete survey afterwards made by
order of the Madras Government
of the South of India and conduct-
-ed by Lieutenants Ward and Conner I
find the boundary similarly defined.

4. The heading of Captain
Arthi's Map distinctly states
it to be "as determined by J.
Vaughan Esqre and Nunjapah
Bramin Commissioners" and
it has Captain Arthurs origi-
-nal signature affixed to it.
The whole boundary is more

over.

over minutely described
in writing and
corresponds accu
-rately with the
survey—

5, In the letter
from the Board of
Revenue to Government 18th
July 1831 Para 19 they
quote an expression
from Mr. Vaughan's
report

L.C.B.

report that he "traced step by step the whole line of Boundary"—

6 The removal of Lieut. Horsley from this Province has put it out of my power to employ him to making any further observations as has been suggested but the boundary appears to me so clearly defined as to have rendered such a measure unnecessary—

Residents Office
Trivandrum
20th March 1841

(signed) W. Cullen
Resident—

519
Calicut 3rd April 1841—

To,
The Resident
Travancore & Cochin

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Ultimo, on the subject of an alleged trespass on the Cochin Territories—

2 I am unwilling to enter into any lengthened and needless correspondence but feel constrained to remark that I cannot assent to the conclusion at which you have arrived that the

Claims

claims of the Cochin Government to the Pa=
=namparahtinda as the boundary are well
founded.-

3 The ground on which this
conclusion is arrived at is that "it is to
"entered in Captain Arthers Map as also in
"the more complete Survey afterwards made
"by Lieutt. Ward and Conner".-

4 The question in dispute is as
I presume whether or no Captain Arthers
Map is to be considered as a dfinite author=
=ity.- The Survey of Lieutts. Ward and Conner
26th Janr. 1820. as stated by the latter Gentleman himself
was entirely a Military and Geographical
one the definition of boundaries or investi=
=gation of disputes regarding them not as
belonging in any way to their duties".-

5 Now I find it explicitly
9th October 1816. stated by Mr. Warden in transmitting to
the Board of Revenue the report of the
Commissioners appointed to settle the
boundaries of the Cochin and the Company's
territory that "the Boundary as laid down
"in Captain Arthers Map excepting that
"part in Palghaut and Tekeymungulow, the

"the Survey of which Mr. Vaughan attended,
"is not to be assumed as the acknowledged
"boundary on the Malabar side.- No person
"from Malabar having attended it, nor being
"it known to me on what data, or under
"what authority Captain Arther framed
"that extended Survey".-

6 A reference to former re=
=cords shews that the boundaries to which
the Commissioners especially directed their
attention were those of the Nola Deshom
on the borders of the Palghaut and those of
Tekeymungalow in the Nedinganand
Talook. That the words of Mr. Vaughan
as quoted in your letter under reply "that
"he traced step by step the whole line of
"Boundary" refer only to those places is
proved clearly by another part of his re=
=port in which he states as follows.-

Para 15. "You will observe that
"the Map herewith sent includes the
"whole Boundaries between Cochin and Malabar
"for the correctness of which I cannot vouch
"my personal survey extended only to the
"Boundaries of the Nala Deshoms and
Tekeymangalom

"Tekeymangalom to which places alone
"you directed my attention: so far from being
"correct. I have no doubt that there are
"many disputed spots of the same trivial
"nature as existed at and near Tekey-
"mangalom, but these can I should think,
"be easily adjusted without reference to
"Government by amicable arrangements
"and fair discussion, leaving to the Native
"Officers and Mookiestars of both Countries
"to settle all disputes, and where they cannot
"agree to depute one of your Assistants
"to the spot to meet some person deputed
"on the part of the Cochin Rajah. Mutual
"concession will be necessary throughout
"and exchanges must be made in many
"places of spots of ground insulated in
"the respective Territories, of which I
"have reason to think there are a con-
"siderable number."

7

Various Grandhums warries and accounts of Timber formerly felled by Malabar Proprietors are forth-coming to shew that Panamparakhtinda

is

is within the limits of the Company's

Territory.-

8 I enclose translation of

an Urzee dated 15th May 1827 to Mr. Sheffield

reporting the result of an enquiry made

by two of his Gomastahs on a Complaint

that the Cochin people had trespassed

on this very Panamparakhtinda which

was then stated to belong to Cannanbrum

Nair of Malabar. I forward also Copy

of a Sketch of the localities to the

original of which Mr. Sheffield's ...

signature is attached which you will

see includes the disputed place in

our territory.-

Had the Survey of Lieut. Ward & Conner been considered decisive on the subject of boundaries this question could at once have been settled by a reference to the Map. So far was this from being the case that Mr. Sheffield deemed it necessary to recommend that a new Commission be appointed to settle this part of the boundary.-

Of

9 As observed above the Commission of which Mr. Vaughan was a Member had their attention chiefly directed to the boundaries of the Nala Deshom and Tekeymangalom - consequently although they did meet at Wadakancherry and examined claims made by the Proprietors to three hilly tracts in that direction the boundaries were not laid down in the same exact manner as in the places above named when, Mr. Vaughan says, they were traced step by step.-

10 From the argee of the Gomastahs which I have quoted above it would appear however that certain trees had been marked as boundaries on the Wadakancherry Forests, though many of them had subsequently been burnt

burnt by the Cochin people.-

11. If any reliance is to be placed on this Statement, it would seem to be clearly shown, that Paiyampara Kinda was at the time of the Commission, considered to be within the Company's limits. I do not wish to lay undue stress on this or other evidence but I trust you will be of opinion, that sufficient has been advanced to shew that the question is not altogether a settled one, and that I have reason for my proposition that further measures be taken to bring it to a satisfactory conclusion.-

12 I do not go the length of questioning the entire correctness of Captain Arthur's Map, but merely suggest as before, that the Cochin party produce their documentary proofs, if they have any, and according to the evidence shewing the boundary on their particular spot, be defined as proposed by Mr. Vaughan in the conclusion of his report.-

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.-

Jos

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

To William Sheffield Esqr.
Pt. Collr. of Madura.-

The Aryee of Madilagata =
Sampoo Menon, Sumastah of the Southern
Tooccaddy Cutcherry, and Achayata Chapoo
Menon, 2nd Sumastah of the Penal Tooccaum
Talook Cutcherry.-

In conformity with the orders
issued from the Kuzzoor in consequence of
its having been represented that certain
subjects of the Rajah of Cochin had
felled and carried off some Timber =
from the forests belonging to the Honour=
=rable Company's District, with direction to
ascertain and report whether the above re=
=presentation is founded on truth, and if
so, to specify the boundaries which have
been trespassed upon. We took with us Moota
Putter, the Kariastan of the Cun=
=nambrum Nair, Kangat Kistna
Menon, the Deshwathikar of Aycaad
Unstan, Moota Savanny Pillah
the Mookistan of Vaddakanjerry
Desham and some inhabitants
who reside in the neighbour=
hood of the above forests and
proceeded

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.-

proceeded to the spot, and having made
an inspection we found that at a dis=
=tance of two Nariga's directly to the
East of Teravanny Malah, there is a
rock which stands close by the high
road, on which there are engraved the
Rajah's Palankeen, the Shangoo or Shell,
two flag staves and the figures of
two men with the following inscription
"Vayanaar Bagavady Sateino"- the In=
=habitants and the Nairs Kariastan
then told us that from that rock
directly to the South at a distance
of four Nariga's there was near a Tank
called Plaoot a Benteak Tree, that
on that Tree as well as on a Perinjery
Tree which stood in the ——
Southern side of Canninal Koonu
which is situated about three Nariga's to
the Southward, there were the same
Marks as above described but that
the Cochin Rajah's subjects had
set fire to and burnt down those
two trees, we thereupon saw, proceeded
to those spots and saw the stumps
of two large Trees on which we have
reason to believe there were the Rajah's
Marks

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

To William Sheffield Esqr &c
&c &c &c Collr of Madura.

The Aryee of Madilagata a Sanjeo Menan, Canastah of the Southern Toocaddy Cutchery, and Achayata Chapoo Menan, 2nd Canastah of the Tenaljooram Talook Cutchery.

In conformity with the orders signed from the Huzzoor in consequence of its having been represented that certain subjects of the Rajah of Cochin had felled and carried off some Timber or from the forests belonging to the honourable Company's District, with direction to ascertain and report whether the above representation is founded on truths, and if so, to specify the boundaries which have been trespassed upon. We took with us Moottu Putter, the Kariastan of the Cumbrum Nair, Hongah. Kistna Menon, the Deshcheitkar of Aycaad Vandoor, Mootoo Swamy Pillah the Mookistan of Vaddakanjerry Desham and some inhabitants who reside in the neighbourhood of the above forests and proceeded

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

proceeded to the spot, and having made an inspection we found that at a distance of two Narigas directly to the East of Peravoany Malah, there is a rock which stands close by the high road, on which there are engraved the Rajah's Palankeen, the Shangoo or Shell, two flag staffs and the figures of two men with the following inscription "Vayanaar Bagavady Sattinn" the Inhabitants and the Nair Kariastan then told us that from that rock directly to the South at a distance of four Narigas there was near a Jack called Plaoute a Beetlenut Tree, that on that Tree as well as on a Periyery Tree which stood in the Southern side of Cannanal Konna which is situated about three Narigas to the Southward, there were the same Marks as above described but that the Cochin Rajah's subjects had set fire to and burnt down those two trees, we thereupon proceeded to those spots and saw the stumps of two large Trees on which we have reason to believe there were the Rajah's Marks

Vide A B in the Sketch

C

D
E
F

G

Marks. We found likewise the
Rajahs subjects having crossed the
boundary proceeded about four Nazigas
to the East of it and felled about
thousand Trees in the Ottapparrah Koond
and Pannampparattinda Malah, which
are Forests belonging to Cannanpurum Nair
and the Stumps thereof being still to be
seen on those Forests. About one hundred
logs of Timber which were felled in
the said Forests and which have been
floated down through Manniancherry
Angel Fodder are now lying at the
Cheria Capas Fodder there are besides
four & Logs of Timber now lying on the
abovementioned two forests. On
some of them there are carved the
Names of Chatoo Achen and Chand
Packey with the words "Cochin
Sirkar Parwatanny". Some Timber were
felled also in the Eastern declivity of
the Perooruny Malah which is within
the Company's limits, by the subjects
of the Cochin Rajah and have been
carried and kept out of the boundary.
The rock on which there is the engraving
of the Shangoo the Perooruny Malah
which

which is the Eastern boundary the tree
which was near Elacal Tanky, and the
other one which was in the Southern
corner of Cannial Koona appear to be
in a direct line. We have drawn and
a sketch of these boundaries which is
herewith forwarded. We moreover found
that the subjects of the Cochin Rajah
having come to the Company's District
felled and carried off Timber from
several other Forests situated therein.

3 Edalawam 1002. 15th May 1827.

(Signed)

9130

Fort St George


25th August 1842.—

Honorable Company

East.—

Territary.—





9130

Fort St George

Honorable Company

25th August 1842.—

East.—

Territary.—

B

A

The distance from Tevonnany
Malais to Vanniarpurah
is 2 Navigahs.—

Stamp on the Rock

Distance

C

Cochin

Pannam parratinda Malais
I

Mannia Tenare

Mariantinde Tuguds Tod

Attapurrah Malais
H

J

D

Black Rock Tank

E

K

Distance
of 6
Navi=
gahs

Bentea Tree
which was
stamp & but which
burnt down

Commanr Tavare

F

G

Tranc Bariyang &c. to
Pannam parratinda Malais
with Navigahs distance

Peninjy tree
which was stamp'd
but which was
burnt down.—

Rajah's Territory
Received this 16th May 1827
(Signed) W. Sheffield
Pte Call.

9131. Fort St. George 25th August 1842

No 814/4
1841

No 18

To the Collector of
Malabar.-

Sir,

Para 1.- I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter of the 3 of April 1841, on the subject of the trespass on the Cochin territories by Tiravancore and others.-

2 I merely referred to Captain Arthur's Map, and the more recent survey by Lieut.ts Connor and Ward, as being in confirmation of the evidence previously given in favour of the right of the Cochin Circar to the Ponnanpara Hill as the boundary.-

3.- It appeared to me probable as all the local Revenue Maps are copied from those original Surveys that, upon reference to the sketch of the Northern Districts, the Panam-para Hill would appear therein also, as distinctly forming the Eastern boundary of the Province of Cochin.-

4 I beg however now to forward copy of a further communication

A.C.

9132. Fort St. George 25th August 1842

No 193 of the 19th Instant from the Dewan of Cochin, containing some additional documentary evidence in support of the pretensions of the Circar, in an Extract from the Report of the year 1815 from one of the Commissioners and wherein not only is the boundary as at present claimed distinctly defined, but it appears that no claim was at that time brought forward on the part of the Tenies to Teak Timber on the Placate Hills, nor for ten years afterwards that Mr. Vaughan continued in the Province of Malabar.

Resid.cy Office
Travancore
24th June 1841

Signed W. Cullen
Resident.-

No 193
1841

To Colonel W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore and Cochin.-

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 480 under date the 10th April last transmitting copy of a communication of the 3rd of the same Month with an original Sketch from the Collector of Malabar

+ herewith returned

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Malabar, I have the honor to forward for your information a translated Extract from a report dated the 15th Toolam 901 / 1815 found among the records of this Government from Nunjapiah and Thungra Narain Pillah, Commissioners on the part of the Circar for settlement of the boundary disputes, to his Highness the then Rajah of Cochin.-

2 The Extract in question shews that the Commissioners assembled at Wadakencherry in the Company's Country, and there entered into a full enquiry respecting the Placate Hills which they adjudged to belong to the Cochin Circar.- The boundaries of this range of Hills are, you will perceive, clearly defined and Pannam parah Hill, the place which had given rise to this correspondence is there laid down as its Eastern boundary- This fact is both corroborative of the correctness of Captain Arthur's Map, and conclusive in regard to the rights of the Circar to the place where the trespass was committed by Ibram Sah and others. The document from which this Extract is

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

is taken, is a peculiarly valuable and important one in the settlement of this disputed point, as it alludes distinctly to the inability of the Jennies who then preferred a claim to the Hills in question, to bring forward any documentary proofs, or to adduce any witnesses in support of it.-

3.- Mr. Conolly, in the 7th para of his letter to your address of the 3d April last, states that "various "Grendamwarries and accounts of Timber, "formerly felled by Malabar Proprietors "are forthcoming to shew that Pannam "parah Hill is within the limits of the "Company's Territory" and Mr. Silver in the 8th para of his letter of the 27th September 1840 to the Collector of Malabar (a copy of which was received by me with a letter from Dr. Brown S. 1195 of the 5th October last alludes to a "Grantwary produced by the then "Jennies Palakelidan Nellikillidan and Canampara Nair." The parties here mentioned are the identical ones named in the report before quoted and I have only to observe that any documents

documents or Grandhums warries which
may now be produced by their descend-
-ants for they themselves are long since
dead, must be regarded with suspicion
and can never be received as evidence
after the acknowledgment of the original
claimants, before the Commissioners Mr.
Vaughan and Nunja pia that they had
no documentary proofs whatever and
their declaration that their claim rested
entirely on hearsay.-

4 To prove further the utter invalidity
of the Jennies claim I beg to observe that Mr
Vaughan for upwards of ten years after the sit-
-ting of the Commissioners, of which he was a
Member, was employed in Malabar in several
capacities, as Sub Collector, Principal
Collector, &c. and that during this
period the Circar enjoyed uninter-
-rupted and undisputed possession
of the Plain to Hills and of the Pan-
-nam parah Timber, where Timber was
annually felled by the Servants of
this Government and carried away,
without the slightest opposition.
The Jennies then advanced no claim,
their

their silence, at a time when the pers-
-ons to knowledge of Mr. Vaughan
could have terminated the dispute
at once, is a strong presumption
-ving a proof that their claim now is
utterly and entirely without foundation-

5 Mr Silver on the 6 para
of the letter before adverted to, states
that the present case was clearly
one of the nature contemplated by
Mr Vaughan, where he observes, "I have
"no doubt but there are many dis-
"puted spots of the same trivial
"nature and existed at and near
"Pattanum ya here, but these can
"I should think be easily adjusted
"without reference to Government by
"amicable arrangements and fair
"discussion" To this I would observe;
that a Boundary Line extending
for several Miles cannot be viewed
as a spot of a trivial nature, nor
is it to be supposed for a
moment that a matter of such
importance would have been left
undecided by the Commissioners,
and

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

and the Extract which accompanies this gives a negative to such a supposition.-

The Paunnipparah Land being proved to be the Eastern Boundary of the Palnate Hills, and the Timber taken away by Ibram Sah and others having been felled by them (as proved by the depositions given on Oath by the Conservator of the Cochin Forests on the 14th September 1840 before Messrs. Silver & Vernede) on the Western slope of the Land in question, and consequently within the Territories of this Circar, there can be no further doubt of a trespass having been committed by them.- I beg therefore, that you will be so good as to request the Collector of Malabar to hand over this Circar, Ibram Sah and the others concerned, who were in custody here and were only liberated from confinement as you will perceive on a reference to Cat: Maclean's letter No. 843 of the 24th June 1840, and to my reply No. 260 of the 29th of the same Month) on the understanding that they should be delivered over again to any Tribunal to which they might be amenable on the charge against them being proved; and I have also to request that the Timber which has been fraudulently carried away by them, may be returned to this Government.-

Ernacollum in Cochin Hurryhoor Cutchery 19th June 1841

(Signed) Shungra Warrier Dewan

(True Copy)

(Signed) W. Cullen Resident.-

Translation of an Extract from a Robart made by Nungiapiah and Walia Wad Ellah Shungha Narranda Pillay to His Highness the Rajah of Cochin on the 16th Tulam 997 29 October 1815

Having been appointed in the

9438 Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

1st Chingom 15th Aug. Commissioners to enquire into the Boundary Disputes in conjunction with the Commissioners nominated by the Madras Government we agreeably to your Highness' order proceeded on the 3d from Ernacollum to Trichore, where we arrived on the 4th and so did Captain Blacker the Assistant Resident.- afterwards Mr. Vaughan Head Assistant Collector of Malabar, Commissioner on the part of the Madras Government arrived,- and we all proceeded together to Waddakancherry in the Company's Country- and on the 14th Cunny 28th Sept. we commenced our enquiry into the disputed points and the following decision is the result.-

Para 1st.- That in the year 989 1813/14 when the Circar felled some timber on the Placote Hill attached to the Purvatamy Hill in the Trichore District Cunneamparrah Nair brought forward some objections: Mr. Vaughan asked him what documents or accounts he had to substantiate his claim- He replied that he had none, but that he had heard that the Placote Hill belonged to him, he had no witnesses to adduce in support of his claim Mr. Vaughan then asked us what documents we professed to prove the right of the Circar to the Hill: We produced

the

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

the accounts from the year 947 / 1771/72 of the renters whom the Placote Hill had been leased out the Circar, also accounts of Timber felled and floated down by the Circar as well as the ex Grendamwary of the Perowarnem Dewas= =sons. Mr. Vaughan examined witnesses also and was convinced that the Placote Hill be= =longs to the Cochin Circar and so decided accordingly. The boundary of the said Placote Hill is as follows.- On the west it is bound= by the Chonar (River) on the North by Wan= =naiparrah on the east by Punnumparat= Tind and on the South by Malcoondan= the Polapilly Hill.-

/True Translation/
/Signed/ Shungra Warrier
Dewan

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident.

To The Resident
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th ultimo enclosing a further communication from the Dewan of Cochin which you appear to think of importance in settling the question as to which Government the Cochin or British the Panim= =ratind belongs.

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

2. The Document furnished by the Dewan and on which he lays stress as being "conclusive" seems to me to be anything but this It is a mere report from the Cochin Commissi= =oners to their own Superiors drawn up and signed by themselves alone- to give it any validity as a document it should have been drawn up in conjunction with and have had the signa= =ture of the Malabar Commissioner also- but the report itself bears marks of being drawn up in a loose and vague manner, utterly incon= =sistent with the care necessary in fixing a boundary line (Panimparatind is said to be a boundary of the Placote Hills. Now without saying any thing of the fact that such an expression by no means clearly implies that the Panamparatind was (in the Cochin Com= =missioner's opinion) within their boundary (The rivers indeed may be assumed. Muttun= =charry is the boundary of British Cochin but not therefore British) I beg to observe that I learn from the personal knowledge of my Head Assistant that the Placote Hill lie 6 miles beyond the place called Panam= =paratind.-

3. The Wadakancharry Jenmies as I before informed you, produced a Grunta= =wary to prove their rights to this Hill (Panumparatind)

Mr.

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

the accounts from the year 947 / 1771/72 of the renters to whom the Placote Hill had been leased out by the Circar, also accounts of Timber felled and floated down by the Circar as well as the extrendomwary of the Perowannem Dewan= =some. Mr. Vaughan examined witnesses also and was convinced that the Placote Hill be= =longs to the Cochin Circar and so decided accordingly. The boundary of the said Placote Hill is as follows.- On the west it is bounded by the Chonar (River) on the North by Wan= =naiamparrah on the east by Punnumparah Pind and on the South by Malcoondan in the Polapilly Hill.-

/True Translation/
/Signed/ Shungra Warrier
Dewan

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. Cullen
Resident.

To The Resident
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th ultimo enclosing a further communication from the Dewan of Cochin which you appear to think of importance in settling the question as to which government the Cochin or British the Panimpa= =ratind belongs.

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

2. The Document furnished by the Dewan and on which he lays stress as being "conclusive" seems to me to be anything but this. It is a mere report from the Cochin Commissi= =oners to their own Superiors drawn up and signed by themselves alone- to give it any validity as a Document it should have been drawn up in conjunction with and have had the signa= =ture of the Malabar Commissioner also- but the report itself bears marks of being drawn up in a loose and vague manner, utterly incon= =sistent with the care necessary in fixing a boundary line. Panimparatind is said to be a boundary of the Placote Hills. Now without saying any thing of the fact that such an expression by no means clearly implies that the Panamparatind was (in the Cochin Com= =missioner's opinion) within their boundary / The rivers indeed may be assumed. Muttun= =charry is the boundary of British Cochin but not therefore British / I beg to observe that I learn from the personal knowledge of my Head Assistant that the Placote Hill lie 6 miles beyond the place called Panam= =paratind.-

3. The Wadakancharry Jenmies as I before informed you, produced a Grunta= =wary to prove their right to this Hill Panumparatind/

Mr.

Q 1441

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

4.- Mr. Fell, the son of the former Conservator of Forests had furnished me with a copy of an Old sketch of the disputed boundary which he believes to have been taken from one made at the time of the Commissioners+ - The Gruntawary and the sketch both shew Panmparatind to be within the Company's limits and as they are unconnected Documents the evidence afforded by them is not unimportant altho' I have no wish to lay undue stress on them.-

+ As mentioned in my letter of the 5th April last Para 10 some boundary line tho' not a very exact one appears to have been made in this tract.

5 It is most desirable not to confuse the subject. The Devan's letter and argument tends to shew that the Malabar Sanmiers were unable to prove to the Commissioners satisfaction their rights to the Placote Hills. This I allow but I utterly object to his begging the question and assuming the Panimparatind to be clearly a part of those Hills to his assuming that because their right to one was not proveable their right to the other was therefore invalidated.-

6- The Panamparatind, I repeat, is as personally ascertained by Mr. Silver 6 miles distant from the Placote Hills on the Malabar side. There is ample room therefore for a boundary between the two places and the information before me leads me to believe that the real boundary is between them.- Whether this is even

Q 1442

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

is the question in dispute and one which I am anxious to determine by a due enquiry.-

7. I appeal to your justice whether till this enquiry is made (an enquiry which the present lengthened correspondence shews can only be made effectually on the spot) the Elephant should not be given up which was seized from Ibram Sah and others.- Its detention is of course a cause of severe loss to them.-

Collector's Office, Calicut 17th July 1841 - - - - } (Signed) H. V. Conolly Collector.

No 265 1841.

To The Collector of Malabar.

Sir, With reference to the 7th Para of your communication to my address dated the 17th July last I have the honor to annex for your information Copy of a letter No 278 of the 4th Instant from the Devan of Cochin reporting the death of the Elephant alluded to by you.-

Resident's Office, on Circuit Courtallum 8th September 1841 } (Signed) W. Cullen Resident.

No 278 1841

To Colonel W. Cullen British Resident of Travancore & Cochin.-

Sir, I regret to inform you that the female Elephant which was seized by the Forest Servants on the occasion of the trespass committed in the Circar Forests by Eberam Sah

Vide letter from the Devan of Cochin to the Resident No 305 dated 28th Sept 1839.

Q 1443

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Sah and other Inhabitants of the Company's Country died last evening. The Anninal had been sick for upwards of two Months during which time every care had been taken of it — both in regard to Medicine and food. —

Ernacolum, in Cochin
Huzzoor Cutcherry
4th September 1841

/Signed/ Shungra Warrier
Dewan.

/A True Copy/
/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident.

To The Resident
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 8th Instant informing me of the death of the Elephant seized by the Cochin people from Ibram Sah I can only express a hope that the Cochin Sircar will see the justice of reimbursing the owner for the loss he has sustained. —

Collector's Office, Calicut
14th September 1841

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

To The Resident
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an Arzee to which I am unable to give any reply till favored with an answer to my letter

Q 1444

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

letter of the 14th ultimo. —

Collector's Office, Calicut
Calicode, 6th October 1841.
No. 1466/1841.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

To The Collector of
Malabar.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th ultimo and 6th Instant together with the Arzee enclosed in the latter. —

2. The papers shall be forwarded immediately to the Devan of Cochin, but I should apprehend that as a considerable quantity of Timber, claimed as the property of [illegible] stated to have been carried off by Ibram Sah and others the Cochin Government may not readily accede to the indemnification demanded for the loss of the Elephant. —

Para 2 of letter from the Devan of Cochin 29th June 1840.

3. I hope to be at Cochin about the close of the year and to have an opportunity of visiting these Forest Districts or of sending thereto a practical Surveyor for the purpose of comparing them with the Government Surveyors.

President's Office
Trevandrum
15th October 1841.

/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident

To

9443 Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Sah and other Inhabitants of the Company's Country died last evening. The Anmimal had been sick for upwards of two Months during which time every care had been taken of it — both in regard to Medicine and food. —

Enacolum, in Cochin
Hazzoor Cutcherry
4th September 1841

/Signed/ Shungra Warrier
Dewan.

/A. True Copy/
/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident

To The Resident
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 8th Instant informing me of the death of the Elephant seized by the Cochin people from Ibram Sah I can only express a hope that the Cochin Sircar will see the justice of reimbursing the owner for the loss he has sustained. —

Collector's Office, Calicut
14th September 1841

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

To The Resident
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an Arzee to which I am unable to give any reply till favored with an answer to my letter

9444 Fort St. George 25th August 1842

letter of the 14th ultimo. —

Collector's Office, On Circuit, Calicut, 6th October 1841

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1466 1841.

To The Collector of
Malabar.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th ultimo and 6th Instant together with the Arzee' inclosed in the latter. —

2. The papers shall be forwarded immediately to the Devan of Cochin, but I shall apprehend that as a considerable quantity of Timber, claimed as the property of the Cochin Sircar, is stated to have been carried off by Ibram Sah and others the Cochin Government may not readily accede to the indemnification demanded for the loss of the Elephant. —

Para 2 of Letter from the Devan of Cochin 29th June 1840.

3. I hope to be at Cochin about the close of the year and to have an opportunity of visiting these Forest Districts or of sending thera practical Surveyor for the purpose of comparing them with the Government Surveyors. —

Resident's Office
Trevandrum
15th October 1841

/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident

To

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

To The President

Travancore and Cochin-

Sir,

From Ebram Sah of 12th Koombum 22d February 1842

In forwarding the accompanying Petition I have the honor to request you will inform me with reference to your letter of the 15th October last whether your late visit to Cochin has enabled you to form a definite judgment on the merits of the boundary dispute which has so long been in agitation between the authorities of Cochin and Malabar.-

Collector's Office
Calicut
23d February 1842

Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Zillah Malabar.

The Magistrate of.

Malabar

Sir,

Parsee Merwanjee Kasarjee instituted suit No 402 of 1840 in this Court against Pattany Ebram Sah. for recovery of an Elephant, or its value 5000 Rupees

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

with arrears of hire, at 5 Rupees per diem amounting to 590 Rupees, allowing 20 days in the month for labor.-

2. This suit is grounded on a bond delivered by Defendant in favor of Plaintiff's clients who are merchants of respectability in Bombay, the spirit of the Bond is such as to render the Defendant liable for all losses, except those resulting from the "will of God" or are beyond the foresight and control of man. It appears in evidence that the Elephant and Defendant were seized by certain officers of the Cochin Government on Ground which is contended belongs to the Honorable East India Company and which, in my opinion is, to say the least of it, debatable since the boundaries between the Cochin and Malabar territories have not been defined to the satisfaction of the Authorities in this District, and, without land marks or some rules to direct him, I should conceive no person

person amenable to punishment for an unintentional trespass on one side or the other, and this, if a correct principle of equity, removes the Defendant's liability to those who on a doubtful question, have acted as if no doubt existed. —

3 Between neighbouring States where a trespass entails the severest penalties, and before severity can be justifiable, it is necessary, I presume, that the boundaries should be defined with the greatest possible exactness and an artificial where no natural line of demarcation exists, or formed so plain and prominent that "all who run may read".

4 I shall feel obliged by your informing me as to the above points, and, since the case has become under your cognizance what steps have been taken and in what light it is viewed by the Cochin Government. the entire demand amounts to about 1,800 Rupees, viz. 1,000 for the Elephant 590 for arrear of hire and about 200 for Costs of suit. —

Calicut 11th March 1842 } (Signed) R. W. Chatfield Register in charge

To The Resident Travancore and Cochin

Sir, I have the honor to forward the accompanying letter from the Register in dated 11th Instant.

in charge Tellah Malabar which as you will see, I am unable to answer in any satisfactory way till favored with replies to my communication of the 23rd Ultimo. —

Collectors Office Calicut 11th March 1842. — } (Signed) H. J. Conolly Collector.

No 380/1842

To The Collector of Malabar. —

Sir, 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 11th Instant with its enclosure, Copy of communication from the Register in charge of the Tellah Court of Malabar. —

2 Having referred the subject to the Dewan of Cochin, I beg now to submit to you a copy of his reply No 173 of the 19th Instant. —

3 I am disposed to concur with the Dewan in considering this question of boundary to be one not cognizable by the Court, and only to be arranged between the Collector and Resident, or perhaps indeed, requiring a reference to Government. —

4 I was prevented by illness from visiting the Ground in dispute, but I detached a surveyor and others to examine it from Vaniaparah and

J

9449 Fort St. George 25th August 1842

I will communicate to you the result as soon as practicable.—

5. I appeal to you whether so long as the boundary was disputed, the Cochin Sirkar were under any obligation to deliver up the Elephant unless the Timber was returned to them.—

Resident's office
Trevandrum
23rd March 1842

(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.—

No. 73
1842

To Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 337 under date the 16th Instant forwarding copies of communications from the Magistrate of Malabar relative to the seizure of the Elephant, belong to Ibramsah, by the Cochin Circar in September 1839.—

2 In my letter to your address No. 193 of the 19th June 1841 I have adduced proofs which must, in my opinion, be considered quite conclusive in regard to the right of the Cochin Government to the ground on which Ibramsah and the Elephants were seized, I think it

9450 Fort St. George 25th August 1842

it therefore unnecessary to say any thing further on that subject here.—

3. Ibramsah was always looked upon by this Circar, as the owner of the Elephant, and no other party ever came forward to claim it.—

Under these circumstances the Circar was justified in detaining the Animal, it having been seized together with Ibramsah whilst the latter was fraudulently removing Timber from the Cochin Forests.—In the 2nd para of my letter to the late Colonel Maclean No. 260 under date the 29th June 1840, I stated my opinion that the Elephant in question should be retained till the large quantity of Teak timber fraudulently carried off by Ibramsah and others might be restored to the Circar.—To this no objection was ever offered.—

+ 200 and odd Logs the value of which at the lowest rate may be estimated at about 5000 Rupees

4 In regard to Mr. Chatfield's comments respecting the boundary question, and the seizure of the Elephant by officers of the Cochin Government, I beg to submit to your consideration whether they are not quite extra-

judicial

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

I will communicate to you the result as soon as practicable.—

5. I appeal to you whether so long as the boundary was disputed, the Cochin Circar were under any obligation to deliver up the Elephant unless the Timber was returned to them.—

Resident's office
Trevandrum
23rd March 1842.

(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.—

No. 73
1842.

To Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 337 under date the 16th Instant forwarding copies of communications from the Magistrate of Malabar relative to the seizure of the Elephant, belong to Ibramsah, by the Cochin Circar in September 1839.—

2 In my letter to your address No. 193 of the 19th June 1841 I have adduced proofs which must, in my opinion, be considered quite conclusive in regard to the right of the Cochin Government to the Ground on which Ibramsah and the Elephants were seized, I think it

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

it therefore unnecessary to say any thing further on that subject here.—

3. Ibramsah was always looked upon by this Circar, as the owner of the Elephant, and no other party ever came forward to claim it.—

Under these circumstances the Circar was justified in detaining the Animal, it having been seized together with Ibramsah whilst the latter was fraudulently removing Timber from the Cochin Forests.—In the 2nd para of my letter to the late Colonel Maclean No. 260 under date the 29th June 1840, I stated my opinion that the Elephant in question should be retained till the large quantity of Teak timber fraudulently carried off by Ibramsah and others might be restored to the Circar.—To this no objection was ever offered.—

+ 200 and odd Logs the value of which at the lowest rate may be estimated at about 5000 Rupees

4 In regard to Mr. Chatfield's comments respecting the boundary question, and the seizure of the Elephant by officers of the Cochin Government, I beg to submit to your consideration whether they are not quite extra-

judicial

judicial and irrelevant to the case before the Zillah Court of Malabar.—

Hooz oor Cutcherry
Ernacollum in
Cochin 19th March 1842 } (Signed) Shungra Warrier
Dewan

True Copy
(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident

To The Acting Judge
Zillah Malabar.—

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 11th Instant, I have the honor to forward a Copy of a communication received from the Resident of Travancore relative to the seizure of the Elephant therein alluded to.

of 23d March 1842

Collector's Office on Circuit
Cotacamand 30th March 1842 } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

To The Resident

Sir,

A complaint having been referred to me from the Revenue Board on the subject of the boundary dispute near Wadakancherry about which so much correspondence has already taken place I am compelled to request a

From Cannanore Cherry

a reply to my last letter of the 23d February last.—

Collector's Office Calicut
8th June 1842.— } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

No 1086/1842

To The Collector of
Malabar.—

Sir,

In my letter No 1466 of the 15th of October 1841 I expressed a hope of being enabled to visit the disputed Forests in the vicinity of the Punnampparah Thunder-Iwarah Vaniamparah in the immediate vicinity of the Ground in the beginning of February last, but was prevented by illness from personally examining the locality.

2. The Dewan of Cochin after-consulting Survey or Armstrong of the Company's service, and Mr. Cr. Kohloff the conservator of Forests

Forests however proceeded to the spot, following the whole line of Hills from Vanniamparah and along the top of Pannamparah Thund nearly to its southernmost extremity where it abuts against the great chain of mountains which there run E to Poonmullay, and they continued there that night and a great part of the following day. No new proofs of the true line of boundary were obtained, but the singular

Remarkable

remarkable feature of the ist line formed by the Pannamparah Thund seemed distinctly to mark it out as boundary between the two States.

3. I have taken the liberty to forward to you copy of Assistant Surveyor Armstrongs' report and of the sketches which he has taken from the two Maps, Viz.t one from Captain Arthur and one from Captain Ward.

4 In Captain Wards Map the Pannamparah is within the Company's Country and the Boundary line appears to coincide more nearly with that claimed by the Company's subjects but the Pannamparah Thund appears so much more likely to have been selected as a boundary, the rivers on either side of its summit running E and W into the Company's Country on the one hand, and into Cochin on the other, that supported by the authority of Captain Arthurs' map, a Map drawn up expressly to illustrate such dispute, that I cannot but consider it entitled to a preference. It was not perhaps

Disposed to former opinion. Vide No 15.

filled

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

filled up in its details with all the minuteness and accuracy that might have been desirable, but that would have occupied unnecessary length of time, and he appears therefore to have directed his attention chiefly to delineating the boundary itself.

sufficiently answered I think in my letter of the 9th July 1841

5 I would beg your careful consideration of the Dewan of Cochin's letter No. 193 of the 19th of June 1841 and its enclosure in reply to yours of 3d April of the same year.

A hint to be proved on enquiry.

The arguments there brought forward appear to be deserving of the utmost consideration. The Cochin Circar appears to have been in uninterrupted possession of that locality for a long series of years, and to have constantly felled & and carried away the timber without impediment, notwithstanding the occasional claims of the Company's subjects, and they seem only now to have been revived from the failure of the resources on their side.

Residents Office, Trevandrum
14th July 1842. } I have the Signed W. Cullen
Resident

To

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

To

Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

Having agreeably to your orders examined the Vannumpara thond, I respectfully beg to submit the following observations made from the best information I could collect on the subject, and obtained principally from the Conservator of Forests, and the Local Officers of the Trichoor District in which the dispute exists, together from a careful personal inspection of the ground.

Quitting the Vannumpara Rock, on which the various devices of the Cochin Circar consisting of a Gong Lamp and Palankeen &c have been cut, indicative of the limit between the territories of the two Governments; the line of boundary as pointed out to me, proceeds in an easterly direction, along an irregular and broken chain of Hills about the distance of one mile, when it reaches

the

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

the range known as the Paniampara thund, which it then follows, alternately varying in its course in the direction of S.E. and S.W. and continues along the braw of the Hill until its termination and connection with the great Pone: mullay range, forming on the whole a distance of about 5 miles—

From the general bearing and features of the Country alone as the former range it is evidently that marked in the several Maps as the Pannumpara thund and far from any doubts of its identity being entertained by either party, it is fully corroborated by them as such—

The line of boundary as above described, it will be seen on reference to the enclosed Extract from the boundary Map prepared by Captain Arthur the Engineer Officer who conducted the survey as defined by the Commissioners, appointed to investigate into these matters, corresponds with a trifling deviation therewith—

In order therefore to place beyond

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

beyond a doubt, the right of the Cochin Circar to the land under dispute, the authenticity of the map (now disputed by the Principal Collector of Malabar, from the circumstance of Mr. Vaughans declining to vouch to the accuracy of this portion of Captain Arthurs survey, and various other reasons) remains to be established, a question I humbly beg to submit I am in no way competent to determine, requiring as it does the test of documentary evidence, and a more thorough knowledge on the subject, to decide upon—

I beg however to state that as far as I have been able to learn, the Boundary Map appears to have been furnished to that state by the then British Resident, on the settlement by the Commissioners of the various boundary disputes then existing from which period it has invariably been considered by that Circar, as a standard document for defining the boundary between the

the range known as the Paniampara thund, which it then follows, alternately varying in its course in the direction of S.E. and S.W. and continues along the braw of the Hill until its termination and connection with the great Ponsmallay range, forming on the whole a distance of about 5 miles—

From the general bearing and features of the Country alone on the former range it is evidently that marked in the several Maps as the Pannumpara thund and far from any doubts of its identity being entertained by either party, it is fully corroborated by them as such—

The line of boundary as above described, it will be seen on reference to the enclosed Extract from the boundary Map prepared by Captain Arthur the Engineer Officer who conducted the survey as defined by the Commissioners, appointed to investigate into these matters, corresponds with a trifling deviation therewith—

In order therefore to place beyond

beyond a doubt the right of the Cochin Circar to the land under dispute, the authenticity of the Map (now disputed by the Principal Collector of Malabar, from the circumstance of Mr Vaughans declining to vouch to the accuracy of this portion of Captain Arthurs survey, and various other reasons) remains to be established, a question I humbly beg to submit I am in no way competent to determine, requiring as it does the test of documentary evidence, and a more thorough knowledge on the subject, to decide upon—

I beg however to state that as far as I have been able to learn, the Boundary Map appears to have been furnished to that state by the then British Resident, on the settlement by the Commissioners of the various boundary disputes then existing from which period it has invariably been considered by that Circar, as a standard document, for defining the boundary between the

the

the two States, and with reference as to which they had reason to believe the adjustment of all future differences would be decided -

The felling of timber on the Pannumpara thund and the forest west of it, has likewise been exclusively enjoyed, and without molestation, by the Cochin Circar, for a serious of years, a circumstance perfectly well known to the local authorities of the adjoining Company's District, and which it is scarcely possible to imagine would have been admitted from the valuable stock of timber abounding in these Forests, had the slightest doubt of the Circars' right to this portion of Country then existed -

It may at the same time be desirable to notice, that the working of these forests commenced several years previous to Mr. Vaughan's departure for England, and whilst residing at Malabar such a violation of the boundary settlement

Settlement so recently effected by that Gentleman, as Commissioner it cannot be presumed could have been practised, by the Cochin Circar without immediate opposition to such proceedings, and at a time when a reference to Mr. Vaughan was only necessary to decide the question, and check all further operations -

On the contrary, it is to be inferred from the indisputable possession of these forests by the Cochin Circar, that their claims to it had been fully acknowledged, and the report of the Cochin Commissioners (on the result of their investigation) which expressly defines the Pannumpara thund as the line of boundary between the provinces of Cochin and Malabar, tend in some measure to justify the opinion -

In conclusion I respectfully beg to state that the Pannumpara thund appears to me from

Fort St. George 25th August 1842

from the circumstances detailed in the concluding Paras of this report to be the line of boundary between Cochin and Malabar, and which from its distin=guishing features, it is better calcu=lated to define than any other natural object in its vicinity and which it is very probable may in consequence have been rendered the boundary.—

+ to be returned

Accompanying are + Extracts from the Maps of Captain Arthur and Captain Ward relative to the land in question drawn on an equal scale, and shewing the boundary as defined in each.—

Trevandrum
Engineers Office
20th March 1842.

/Signed/ G. W. Armstrong
Asst. Surveyor

(True Copy)
/Signed/ W. Cullen
Resident

(True Copies)
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

J.G.B.

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.—

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.—

33-34

To the Actg Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Sec.y to Government

July 27th 1842 in Cons. 25th August

Para 1. Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council a letter from the Collector of Malabar regarding a boundary dispute between that District and the Cochin State: together with the correspondence which accompanied it.—

2 It will be perceived that Mr Conolly has exerted himself to bring the dispute to a satisfactory termination; but without success chiefly because the person deputed by the Cochin Government to meet the Head Assistant Collector of Malabar was not empow=ered to investigate the facts but only to maintain the claim set up by his Government. The Board see no other course now than to bring the case before Government with a view to the deputation of a duly authorized Officer on the part of each Govern=ment. Adverting to the failure of the conference alluded to above, the Board direct me to state that they consider it essential that the persons now to be deputed on this duty should be invested with

Mr Conolly's letter Para 7 and enclo=sure No 12 Dy.

full

full powers to make enquiry and come to a settlement or compromise to be subject of course to the approval and confirmation of the two Governments. The suggestion in the concluding Paragraph of Mr Silver's report that an Engineer Officer should be present appears to merit attention

Enclosure 1842

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 25th August 1842

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter.

35

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

From Capt. Vallancey
Combatore
Para 1

Sir

I have the honor to inform you that having made an application to the Collector of this Zillah for some jungle land lying in the plains at the foot of the Nulghery Hills on the supposition that by the Act of Parliament No 4 of 1837 all Europeans whether Servants of the Government or otherwise, may hold land, that Gentleman in reply has stated that he considers Section III of Regulation XIX of 1802 as not rescinded by the abovementioned Act of Parliament and that previous to his making over land to me or to any other European being a public servant he considers it is his duty that the application should be made in the manner prescribed in that Regulation

Regulation leaving the Government to determine whether Act No 4 of 1837 has rescinded the Regulation mentioned.

2 May I request that you will have the goodness to lay this question before the Board of Revenue for their decision or if necessary to pass it on to Government.

3 I would mention that my purpose is to introduce the cultivation of the Bourbon Sugar Cane, and that I should place an agent on the land until such time as I am at liberty to undertake the Superintendence.

Combatore
10th August 1842

Signed G. Vallancey
Capt. on Civil Employ

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

35-36

To The Principal Collector of
Combatore.

To the P. Coll. of
Combatore

16th Consultation
25th August 1842

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the enclosed copy of a communication from Captain G. Vallancey regarding your rejection of an application made by him for some land under the Nulgherries. The Board request that you will report at your earliest convenience what were the terms

terms on which Captain Vallancey proposed to take the land, what description of land he applied for, and what were the reasons for which you refused to give it to him.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George 25th August 1842 } Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

37
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Estimate of Tuccavee to be made for cultivation for Fasly 1252.

37. 38
Order thereon.

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

39
Registers.

Read the undermentioned

From the Collector of Chingleput. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of July last.

40
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of July last.

39-41
Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched with reference to an Endorsement of Government on Petition No 3477 of 1842

To

42
To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the Endorsement by Order of Government dated 9th August 1842. on the Petition noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that the lands claimed by the Petitioner as Enam have been out of his possession and regularly assessed with land tax for upwards of 27 years. The provisions of Section IV Regulation XXV of 1802 therefore preclude the recognition of his claim at this distant period. Of this fact the Petitioner was informed by an Endorsement of the Board which he received on his Petition dated 7th May 1842.

Board No 3477 of 1842 Govt. No 807 for

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th August 1842 } Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Resolved

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

43

To the Collr of Guntoor.

To The Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to their Proceedings dated 28th April last approving of Mr. Mathison having made his selection of Servants from the most deserving of those formerly in Employment the Board resolve to refer the claims of Petitioners noted in the Margin for such consideration as they may appear to you to deserve.

Revenue Board Office — Fort St. George 25th August 1842

I have &c. Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

44

To the Actg Collr of Cuddapah

To The Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request your attention to an Extract from their Proceedings under date 7th June 1841 on the subject of the Cuttrabady Inams in your District and to the letter of their Acting Secretary dated 10th February 1842 regarding the improvement of the Police and the extinction of

Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

Gang Robberies on both which subjects the Board are anxious to have your sentiments and suggestions before them as early as practicable.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 25th August 1842

I have &c. Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

To the Collr of Tinnevelly

Para 11

45

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to an Extract from their Proceedings dated 26th March last and to request that you will submit your opinion on the points therein referred for your consideration regarding the Lands of the Native Religious Institutions in your District at your earliest convenience.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 25th August 1842

I have &c. Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

46

From the Subcollr in charge of Pollams. Transmitting Statements of Goods recommended for confiscation and of Goods released on payment of Extra duty during the Month of July last.

47

From the Collr of Pulicat. Monthly Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of July last.

48

From the Collr of Tinnevelly. Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation in the Month of July last.

46.49

Order on the 3 above mentioned Statements. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled Goods and the Levy of Extra duty enumerated in the Statements be authorized.

50

From the Collr of Tanjam. Stating that certain Monthly Returns for July last are not sent, there being no entry to be made.

51

From the Collr of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balances from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842.

From

9475 Fort St. George 25th August 1842.

From the Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July 1842.

52

From the Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

53

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Monthly Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.

54

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of persons in confinement in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for July 1842.

55

" Same.

" Same.

" Same.

A. Maclean

Compd
J.G. Boyle
Secy

9476 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 29th August 1842. } John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esqre Acting Third Member.
and
A. Mellor Esqre Acting Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and Approved.

Read the following Extracts.

1

From the Secy to Govt &c. transmitting an Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable Court of Directors with the resolution of Government thereon.

Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 27th April 1842 No 7.

Para 284 Measures ordered to be adopted for introducing into the Peninsula of India of the Mulberry of the Philippines Islands reported.

Para 14. We are informed by the Government of Bombay Letter dated 30th January 1840 (No 7) Paras 10 to 12 that the experiments which have hitherto been tried

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th August 1842.

Para 1 A copy of this para will be furnished to the Board of Revenue and to the Horticultural Society. It is understood that cuttings

tried at Daporee — and at the Nursery garden at Nerjoree — under that Presidency in the cultivation of the Mulberry tree of the Philippine Islands have not been successful, but as Dr. Gibson is of opinion that it may not have been treated according to its habits and wants further trial would be desirable. We accordingly desire you to follow up your intention of prosecuting the experiment. Cuttings may be obtained either from Bengal or Bombay and placed under the Superintendence of the Agricultural

Cuttings of the Philippine Mulberry may be obtained from Pondicherry where there is a plantation and Silk Manufactory. The Board will instruct the Collector of South Arcot to procure a quantity and make over the same to the Horticultural Society.

Agricultural and Horticultural Society of your Presidency, who, we observe, have signified there willingness to take charge of the cultivation of them.

[29] A supply of plants of the real Nutmeg from Tinnevelly for cultivation in the Salem district, ordered.

15 Approved.

2. The Board of Revenue will report to Government what has been done in pursuance of the order passed upon the recommendation contained in their Acting Secretary's letter of the 17th October 1839 for the cultivation in the Salem District of the real Nutmeg from the Tinnevelly District.

The Whem

A

31/ The quit rent on certain land held by Mr. Vigie in South Arcot has been re-duced agreeably to Court's orders.-

17- When small spots of land are held by Europeans under Government Grants as the sites of houses, compounds &c. it would not appear expedient to disturb existing arrangements, but when lands are held under the same authority for purposes of cultivation, we think that the Govern-ment demand should not exceed the ordinary rate of assessment of the district.-

4- A copy of this para will be furnished to the Board of Revenue with reference to the order of Govt. noticed in the 1655 dated 27th December 1839, with instructions to carry into effect from the commencement of the current fasly. the wishes of the Honorable the Court of Directors in respect to lands held by Europeans under Government Grants for purposes of Cultivation.-

33/ Abandonment of the attempt made to instruct the Natives of Madura in the Art of Weaving on the European principle, and on the use of the Fly Shuttle reported to be the failure of this attempt mainly to the bad con-duct of the Euro-peans employed for the purpose of instruction, but as he states that many of the con-victs have made progress in learning the use of the fly shuttle, it is to be hoped that some beneficial result may be hereafter manifested.

19- The Principal Collector Mr. Black-burne attributes the failure of this attempt mainly to the bad con-duct of the Euro-peans employed for the purpose of instruction, but as he states that many of the con-victs have made progress in learning the use of the fly shuttle, it is to be hoped that some beneficial result may be hereafter manifested.

5- A copy of this para will be fur-nished to the Board of Revenue and Court of Foujdaree Adalut for Communication respectively to the Principal Collector and Zillah Judge of Madura. The Judges will be pleased to ascertain and report whether the Fly Shuttle continues to be used in the Madura Jail, and if so to what extent.-

19 A Petition from the widow of the late Zemindar of Ramnad, praying for the removal of Mootoo Chella Thaven from the office of Manager of the Zemindary, not acceded to.—

24. The complaints preferred by the Rance against the Manager, appeared, on enquiry to have no foundation in fact, and we according-ly approve your having declined to interfere in the matter.—

6. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue.

/ True Extracts /

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Acting Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

1-2

Order thereon,

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th inst. August containing observations on an Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors under date the 27th April 1842.

In Cons. 29th August 1842

Court's Letter para 24 / Min. of Cons. para 6

/ Hereinter /

Ordered that copy of the foregoing para from the Honorable Court's Letter together with transcript of paras of the Minutes of Consultation recorded above be furnished for the information and

and guidance of the Collector of Salt Revenue who will be pleased to report hereafter his having obtained the necessary supply of Cuttings of the Phillipsine Mulberry from Pondicherry and their despatch to the Horticultural Society at Madras as directed by Government. Previously however to obtaining the Cuttings in question Mr. Ballett should ascertain the proper season for their cultivation.

Min. of Cons. para 2

Resolved that the Collector of Tinnevelly and the Principal Collector of Salem be furnished respectively with a Copy of the foregoing para of the Minutes of Consultation for report with reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 21st November 1839.

para 7 Court's Letter

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing para from the Court's Letter be circulated for the information and guidance of all Collectors under this Presidency

who

who will be pleased to carry into
effect from the commencement
of the current fusly the wishes of
the Honorable Court of Directors
in respect to lands held by Europeans
under Government Grants for purposes
of Cultivation.

As directed by Government

+Courts Letter 19th para. Mem of Cons 5 para

transcript of this + para is ordered to be
communicated for the information of
the Principal Collector of Madura and
for record in his office.

Courts Letter 24th para.

Ordered that a Copy of the
Honorable Courts observation contained in the
para noted above be furnished to the Prin-
cipal Collector of Madura for record.

Extract

J.G.B.

From the Secy to Government transmitting an Extract from a General letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 18th May 1842. with the resolution of Government thereon.

3

Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors Dated 18th May 1842

No. 12.

2. One half the allowance of Rs. 42 per annum enjoyed by the late Widow of a Yeoman-ahdar in Cuddapah continued to her son for life.

3. Three fourths of the Pension of Rupees 984-6-0 per Annum enjoyed by the late Ranga-reddy a Palli-gar Pensioner in Cuddapah, continued in equal shares to four females of his family

Para 8. We approve the decisions of the Government of India in these cases, as we think that when-ever you see reason-to entertain applications for the continuance of pensions not strictly hereditary to the surviving Members of the family, some reduction should be generally made in the amount, so as to provide for their gradual extinction.

also We The

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 15th August 1842 No. 992

Para 1. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue, and their attention particularly directed to the observations of the Honorable Court.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

4. Also para 11 of letter dated 20th April No 17 para 6 of letter dated 6th August No 31 and letter dated 1st November No 41 1841 - Proceedings connected with the Pension of Rupees 350 per Mensem enjoyed by the late Chengal Naick Sowcar Poligar of Madras reported -

9. We observe that notwithstanding the intimation stated in the first of these paragraphs to have been given to Chengal Naick, — as to the discontinuance of this pension, in the event of his decease, you have on the occurrence of that event, continued to his son a reduced allowance of Rupees 200 per mensem for life, with the approbation of the Governments of India, and that you have subsequently submitted for the indulgent consideration of that Government the request of this individual as

2. The Board of Revenue to whom a copy of these paras will be furnished will be requested to communicate to Angarappa Naick, the reply of the Honorable Court to his Memorial conveyed in the 13th para graph.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

to be allowed the full amount of the pension enjoyed by his Father -

10. The views of your Government regarding this family have at different periods been contradictory. We find it stated by you "that there was no proof, or "even probability that the pension was "intended to be hereditary" and the Secretary of the Board of Revenue on the 20th of August 1840 says "The allowance "of 350 Rupees per Month was received "in 1814, and again in 1825; and on that "occasion was expressed by the Board "of Revenue that the pension was "intended to be hereditary in the descendants of the ancient Poligars."

11. These discordant opinions have led us to seek in the records of this House for further information regarding this family. We find that the Company were not the originators of their consequence, but that they were vested with Official dignity by the Emperor Aurungzebe; that we

we respected the position in which we found them on first occupying Madras, that the Office and Emoluments of Town Poligar were held by the head of the family until 1796, when in consequence of the misconduct of the actual incumbent, they were resumed, but a shortly afterwards again conferred on another Member of the family, by whom they were enjoyed till 1806, at which period the Office was abolished, and the Emoluments commuted for a pension of 100 Pagodas a Month: and moreover that the Company have at different periods received important services from some Members of the Family - With advertance to all these circumstances, we are disposed to regard their case with lenity and consideration.

12. Although we concur in the reduction of the allowance of Angarapia Naick to 200 Rupees per Month we do not wish as you propose, that all provision for the family should cease with his life

life, but we should rather desire the principle laid down in para 8 to be carried out; namely; that on the decease of Angarapah Naick a reduction shall be made in the Amount of the pension to the surviving Member of his family so as to provide for its gradual extinction.

13. You will inform Angarapa Naick in reply to his Memorial that we are not disposed to interfere with the decision, respecting the Amount of his pension, which has been communicated to him.

Certain frauds perpetrated in the Yeomiah Department of the Nellore Collectorate reported.

15. It appears that Mr Stanhouse the Principal Collector in consequence of a suspicion of the correctness of the disbursements in the Yeomiah Department commenced a searching investigation into the accounts of 26 years and ascertained to his own

3 These Papers will be furnished to the Board of Revenue for communication to the Principal Collector of Nellore.

own satisfaction that a sum at least Rupees 10,886-6-5 had been embezzled. Out of this amount the misappropriation of Rupees 3,378-12-8. was admitted by the Deputy Cashkeeper, who appears to be the only Officer to whom the evidence as stated by Mr Stenhouse attaches criminality although that Gentleman is strongly inclined to the belief that other parties were cognizant of, and probably accessary to, the fraud. 16. The System under which the disbursement of so large an Amount was left to the entire control of a subordinate Officer, without any apparent check in any quarter, was of the most lax description, and we are glad to learn that you had taken measures for the prevention of similar abuses in future.

8. Petition from the Sons of Bheem Row late Head Sheristadar of North Arcot praying for the continuance of their Pension to their heirs of the next generation submitted.

19. In our Judicial Despatch of the 11th. October No. 7. 1835 we referred to the circumstances

Ordered that this Petition be furnished to the Board of Revenue for their information

Secretary's letter of the 27th. February 1840 No. 107, with instructions to make the necessary intimation to the sons of Bheem Row.

circumstances under which the intention to grant to this individual Shotrium for three lives was suspended, and in consequence of Bheem Rows death having in the mean time taken place we authorized you to provide for his family either by a grant of the intended Shotrium for two lives or by bestowing a pension of half the Amount of Bheem Rows pay and pension of Sixty Rupees 4,200/ upon his sons in equal shares. The latter alternative was adopted and as the values of the Shotrium and of the Money allowance were the same, it was clearly our intention that they should be granted on the same terms. This appears however to have been overlooked when our orders of the 31st. July 1839, directing the resumption of the pension on

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

on the demise of the present incumbents were framed. We therefore cancel those orders, and direct that our original instructions of the 14th. October 1835 be acted on, and that the sons of Bheem Row be informed that their pensions will be continued to their heirs of the next generation.

/True Extracts/

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Acting Sec.y to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(3.4)

Order thereon.

Court's letter para 8.

Ordered that copy of para 8 of the Honorable Court's letter recorded above be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to the correspondence entered in the Margin, and that the same be communicated by Circular, for the information and guidance of all collectors who will be pleased to bear in mind the wishes of the Honorable Court, when submitting hereafter applications for the continuance of Pensions

From Govt. 27th. May in Cons. 15th. June 1840.
From Govt. 26th. May in Cons. 15th. June.
To Bd. of Colls. 13th. June.

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

Pensions not strictly hereditary.

Claim of Cons. para 2. Court's letter 12 & 13.

Resolved that transcript of para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and Copy of paras 12 and 13 of the Court's Despatch be furnished to the Col. Collector of Sea Customs at the Presidency, for his information and guidance, with reference to the Communication from this Department dated 28th. January 1841.

As directed by Government Mr. Underwood will be pleased to inform Angarapa Naick of the decision of the Honorable the Court of Directors on his Memorial.

Court's Letter Paras 15 & 16.

Copy of these paras is ordered to be transmitted for the information of the Principal Collector of Nellore, and for record in his Office, with reference to his letter under date 16th. November 1839.

Court's Letter para 19.

Ordered that a copy of this para of the Honorable Court's Despatch be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore, and for

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

on the demise of the present incumbents were framed. We therefore cancel those orders, and direct that our original instructions of the 14th. October 1835, be acted on, and that the Sons of Rheim Row be informed that their pensions will be continued to their heirs of the next generation.

/True Extracts/

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Acting Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

3. 4

Order thereon.

Ordered that copy of para 8 of the Honorable Court's letter recorded above be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to the correspondence entered in the Margin, and that the same be communicated by Circular, for the information and guidance of all collectors who will be pleased to bear in mind the wishes of the Honorable Court, when submitting hereafter applications for the continuance of Pensions

Court's Letter para 8.

From Govt. 27th. May inst. 15th. June 1840.
From Govt. 26th. May inst. 15th. June.
To Ag. Pr. Colr. 13th. June.

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

Pensions not strictly hereditary.

Resolved that transcript of para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and Copy of paras 12 and 13 of the Court's Despatch be furnished to the Collector of Sea Customs at the Presidency, for his information and guidance, with reference to the Communication from this Department dated 28th January 1841.

As directed by Government Mr. Underwood will be pleased to inform Angarapa Naick of the decision of the Honorable the Court of Directors on his Memorial.

Claim of Sons para 2 Court's letter 12 & 13.

Copy of these paras is ordered to be transmitted for the information of the Principal Collector of Nellore, and for record in his Office, with reference to his letter under date 16th. November 1839.

Court's Letter dated 15 Feb. 1841. para 15 & 16.

Ordered that a copy of this para of the Honorable Court's Despatch be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore, and for

Court's Letter para 19.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

To Coll.r of Tanjore
& Madura
11th July 1836
To Coll.r Tanjore
23d Octr. 1839

for record, with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin.

The Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore will accordingly intimate to the sons of Bheem Row, late Head Sheristadar of North Arcot, the decision of the Honorable Court, that their Pensions will be continued to their heirs of the next generation.

5

From the Sec.y to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th August 1842.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with reference to Mr. Lehmanier's letter of the 29th March last a letter from the Collector of South Arcot, furnishing further information upon the subject of a Petition addressed to Government by Coolunda valloodian, a Peraffy Puttah Monigar of Moodianar.

/ Here enter 25th July 1842 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion, that claims, of the nature of those advanced by the Petitioners, can only be settled legally under the provisions of Section IV Regulation VI of 1831

and

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

And it does not appear from the papers submitted that any such decision has yet been passed in this case.

Ordered that Petition No. 237 of 1842 be returned with the following endorsement.

"Petitioner is directed to file a suit, for the recovery of his claims, before the Collector, in conformity with Section IV Regulation VI of 1831."

/ A true Extract /

Walter Elliot

Ag. Sec.y to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

6-6

Order thereon.

From the Sec.y to Govt.

Ordered to be recorded.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th August 1842.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

7

Recommending that Sanction may be granted for the establishment proposed to be maintained in the Rajahmundry District at the Government charges and at the charges on account of Proprietary and Inam Estates under management for the current Fusly 1252.

/ Here Enter 21st July 1842 No. 317 /

Para 1.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Sanctions, subject to

to the confirmation of the Government of India, the Establishment proposed to be maintained in the Rajahmundry District in Fusly 1252, at the expence of Government, at a charge of Rupees /11579-12-4/ Eleven thousand, five hundred, and seventy Nine, and annas twelve, per Mensem, being Rupees 196 per Mensem in excess of the amount sanctioned for the previous year.

2. The establishments proposed, on account of the Proprietary and Minor Estates under Management, at a charge of Rupees /1016/ One thousand Six hundred and sixteen per Mensem, being below the amount previously sanctioned by Rupees /790-8-/ Seven hundred and Ninety, and annas Eight, are approved and sanctioned.

/ A true Extract /
Walter Elliot
Sy. Secy. to Govt.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

7-8

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes

Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Collector of Rajahmundry; for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 30th. June 1842.

9

From the Acting Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 16th. August 1842.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting for sanction a statement of advances for cultivation proposed to be disbursed in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1252.

[Recd. enter 4th. Aug. 1842 No. 3857.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not consider the reasons assigned by the Principal Collector of Cuddapah for so large an amount of advance for cultivation during the current Fusly to be good and thinks that a much smaller sum will suffice. He desires that a revised estimate may be submitted and the Principal Collector's attention drawn to the repeated orders of Government discouraging

to the confirmation of the Government of India, the Establishment proposed to be maintained in the Rajahmundry District in Fusly 1252, at the expence of Government, at a charge of Rupees 11579-12-0 / Eleven thousand, five hundred, and seventy nine, and annas twelve, per mensem, being Rupees 196 per mensem in excess of the amount sanctioned for the previous year.

2. The establishments proposed, on account of the Proprietary and Minor Estates under management, at a charge of Rupees 1616 / One thousand Six hundred and sixteen per mensem, being below the amount previously sanctioned by Rupees 1790-8-0 / Seven hundred and Ninety, and annas Eight, are approved and sanctioned.

/ A true Extract /
Walter Elliot
Sy. Secy. to Govt.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

7. 8

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes

Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Collector of Rajahmundry; for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 30th. June 1842.

9

From the Revd. Sec. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th. August 1842.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting for sanction a statement of advances for cultivation proposed to be disbursed in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1252.

[Here enter 4th. Aug. 1842 No. 385.]

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not consider the reasons assigned by the Principal Collector of Cuddapah for so large an amount of advance for cultivation during the current fusly to be good and thinks that a much smaller sum will suffice. He desires that a revised estimate may be submitted and the Principal Collector's attention drawn to the repeated orders of Government discouraging

discouraging such advances

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Ag. Sec.y to Government

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

9.10

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 19th July 1842. No. 56.

Mr. Arbuthnot will be pleased to submit a revised Estimate of Succavie for the current Fusly as directed by Government. His attention is also requested to the orders of the Honorable Court of Directors dated 19th September 1838 directing that advances of Succavie be restricted within the most moderate limits.

Para 42
Circulated 11th Feby. 1839.

11.

From the Ag. Secretary to Government

Communicating an order of Government directing that a duty of 5 per Cent be for the present levied on articles, grain and Cotton excepted, passing from the Kurnool Frontier into other parts of the Company's Territory and requesting that the necessary instructions may be issued to the Officers concerned.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23 August 1842

Read Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Secret Department. dated 19th. August 1842.

Read the following letter from the Acting Assistant Commissioner at Kurnool.

No. 168.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I.

In reference to the Extract from

from the Minutes of Consultation under date 19th. May 1842, No. 135, I have the honor to request the consideration of Govern:- ment to the following circumstances.-

On my applying to the Collectors of Bellary and Cuddapah to levy no Frontier duties on articles passing from Kurnool, which is evidently what the Government intended, some difficulty is raised as to these goods being allowed to pass free through all parts of the Presidency, which they would do, if they once passed the Frontier free.

2 These objections appear to have been overlooked in framing the order, because Mr. Blanes / and my / recommendation to abolish the Frontier duties was made under the impression that some kind of Sayer would be continued in Kurnool, but the total abolition rather alters the case: the boon is sufficiently great to the Kurnool people in relieving them of the oppressions they have suffered in their own District, and it is hardly

to

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue for their information and guidance.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Ag. Sec.y to Government.

Read also the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(12)

From the Sub Coll.r in charge of Bellary ---

Sir,

Referring to my letter of the 22d. Ultimo No. 55, I have the honor to enclose Copies of Papers received from Mr. Baley in charge of Kurnool.

Bellary District,
Collector's Office
On Circuit, Raidroog,
1st. August 1842.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Sub Coll.r in Charge

To A
The Sub-Collector in charge of
Bellary

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 22d. Instant, I beg to enclose Copies of the para of Mr. Blane's letter requred by you, and also of the preceding portion of the Extract from Minutes of Consultation quoted by me in my letter of the 18th. Instant.

This

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

2. This I do that you may be assured that my request to you was founded on the actual order of the Government.

3. At the same time, I quite agree with you, that some duty should be levied on your Frontier on Kurnool Goods, and am now about to make a reference to Government on the subject.

4. When Mr. Blane (and myself subsequently) protested against Frontier duties on Kurnool goods, we did not expect that the Sayer here would be abolished altogether, which alters the case considerably.

5. I would propose that goods from this Jagheer be subjected at your Frontier Chowkies to the general inland duty, and that Cotton and grain be passed free, as if in transit through any part of the Presidency. In this case, the duties though levied at a Frontier Chowky will be of the usual inland nature, and your Rowanahs will clear them on their onward route.

6. It will however be necessary to refer the case to Government, and no

doubt

daubt their consent will be obtained.
When the notification of the Sayer abolition
was made public here, the people were told
they would be subject to some duty on
entering the Cuddapah and Bellary Districts.

Kurnool Commissioner's
Office, July 27th 1842. } / Signed / W. H. Bayley.
In Charge of Kurnool.

No. 132.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 39. Notwithstanding the smallness of
the Revenue derived from the Sayer, the
people are not benefited in a proportionate
degree, for the mode of Collection is
most harassing to the trade of the
Country. and the want of fixed rules
and an uniform rate of duty leaves
the merchants greatly at the mercy of
the renters, and renders it difficult to exercise
any efficient check over them. It
would be extremely difficult to reduce
the present system to any regula-
rity, and perhaps the best plan
would be at once to introduce the
system of inland duties now prevailing
in the other provinces under the Madras
Presidency

Presidency, and abolish the Frontier Chowkies
now established in the Cuddapah and Bellary
district on the borders of the Kurnool
Country. This would be a measure of relief
to the trade of the Country, but no increase
of Revenue from this source could be looked
for I think, for, though there would be
some gain in the enhanced rates of duty
on cloths and some other Articles and in
export and Import duties between Hy-
drabad and Kurnool Countries, it would
probably be more than counterbalanced by
the abolition of the Frontier duties between
the Company's old Districts and Kurnool,
and from the Rawanahs granted in the
Company's districts carrying articles free
through the Kurnool Districts.

Kurnool, Commissioner's
Office, 12th October 1842. } / Signed / J. S. Blane
In charge of Kurnool.

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
In Charge of Kurnool

No. 135.
Secret Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 19th May 1842.

Read the following Letter
from the Acting Assistant Commissioner at Kurnool.

(Here enter 29th April 1842
No. 176)

Under date the 25th April,

d

a recommendation was made to the Government of India for entirely relieving Rusnool from Sayer duties, and also from the Frontier duties to which it is liable on the borders of the Cuddapah and Bellary Districts: but His Lordship in Council is now of opinion, that it will be expedient at once to relieve that Country from these vexations, and to introduce hereafter, in the event of the proposed measures not being approved by the Government of India the System of Transit duties at present prevailing in the other provinces of the Madras Presidency: His Lordship in Council accordingly authorizes the Acting Assistant Commissioner to suspend the levy of the Sayer duties at the expiration of the present Fusly. should final orders on the subject not be previously received, and at the same time carry into effect the arrangement in respect to Frontier duties recommended by Mr. Blane in para 39 of his report dated 12th October 1841 No. 132.

× × × × × ×

To The Acting Assistant Commissioner at Rusnool.

A true Extract
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary
Read

Read again. also letters from the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary and the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 22nd and 28th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 8th Instant.

11-13

Ordered that a copy of the letter from the Acting Assistant Commissioner in Rusnool together with transcript of the resolution of Government thereon recorded in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Secret Department under date the 19th August and furnished to the Board of Revenue in the foregoing communication be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary and the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah respectively with reference to the correspondence entered in the Margin.

Order thereon.

From Sub Coll. Bellary 12th July in Cons. 18th August 1842.
From Actg Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah 22nd July in Cons. 29th August 1842.
From Actg Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah 28th July in Cons. 8th August 1842.

These Officers will be pleased to adopt measures to give immediate effect to the orders of Government now communicated and direct the issue of Rowanahs at the Frontier Chowkies on the levy of a 5 per Cent duty on all articles, except Grain and Cotton passing from the Kurnool Territory into their respective Districts

Read.

a recommendation was made to the Government of India for entirely relieving Rusnool from Sayer duties, and also from the Frontier duties to which it is liable on the borders of the Cuddapah and Bellary Districts: but His Lordship in Council is now of opinion, that it will be expedient at once to relieve that Country from these vexations, and to introduce hereafter, in the event of the proposed measures not being approved by the Government of India, the System of Transit duties at present prevailing in the other provinces of the Madras Presidency: His Lordship in Council accordingly authorizes the Acting Assistant Commissioner to suspend the levy of the Sayer duties at the expiration of the present Fusly. should final orders on the subject not be previously received, and at the same time carry into effect the arrangement in respect to Frontier duties recommended by Lt. Col. Blane in para 39 of his report dated 12th October 1841 No. 132.

× × × × × ×

To The Acting Assistant Commissioner at Rusnool.

I A true Extract
I Signed I H Chamier
Chief Secretary

Read

Read again. also letters from the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary and the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 22nd and 28th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 8th Instant.

11-13

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the letter from the Acting Assistant Commissioner in Rusnool together with transcript of the resolution of Government thereon recorded in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Secret Department under date the 19th August and furnished to the Board of Revenue in the foregoing communication be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary and the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah respectively with reference to the correspondence entered in the Margin.

From Sub Coll. Bellary 22nd July in cons. 8th August 1842
From 1st Asst. in cons. 29th Aug. 1842
From Actg. Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah 28th July in cons. 8th August 1842.

These Officers will be pleased to adopt measures to give immediate effect to the orders of Government now communicated and direct the issue of Rowandahs at the Frontier Chowkies on the levy of a 5 per Cent duty on all articles, except Grain and Cotton passing from the Kurnool Territory into their respective Districts.

Read.

G507 Fort St. George 29th. August 1842

Read the following Resolution-
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 23d. August 1842-

(14) /From Board 11th August 1842./

From the Ag. Secy. to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the 1st. instance at the public expense of the suit No. 94 of 1842 instituted against the Principal Collector of Salem and three others in the Zillah Court at that station by one Maurice Moodelly, for the recovery of 83 Goontahs and 14 Annas of land in the Village of Conagapandy, but will determine on a copy of the decree being laid before him, whether the costs if any be adjudged against the Collector shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Ag. Secy. to Govt.

(14-15)

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a transcript of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Salem with reference to his letter of the 27th July 1842. No. 42.

J.G. Reid.

G508. Fort St. George 29 th August 1842.

Read the following Letter-

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(16)

From the Civil Auditor

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to submit to the Board, in order to its being passed the accompanying Indent on the Commissary General for Office Furniture for the use of the additional permanent Servants, nine in number, sanctioned to my Establishment under the Orders of Government of the 12th Instant-

Fort St. George,
Civil Auditors Office.
17th August 1842.

/Signed/ J.C. Morris
Civil Auditor.

Resolution thereon- Resolved that the Indent which accompanies the foregoing letter be passed, and returned to the Civil Auditor-

(16-17)

Read the following

Salt Account Current-

Salt

9509

Fort St. George 29th August 1812

Dr. Salt Account Current in the Zillah of Vizagapatam from 1st April to 11th July 1812 of Fusly 1251 Cr.

(18)

		Garces M M	Garces M M
1812 April 1st	To Balance of Salt on hand brought from last Quarter		11,08 224
	From 1st April to 11th July 1812		
	Surplus Salt	12 287	
	Total	11,51 111	

Cr.

		Garces M M	Garces M M
1812 July 11th	By Total Sales from 1st April to 11th July 1812		
	For Inland Consumption	37 385 2	
	Home Do	364 173 5	
	Exportation by Sea	" " "	1,02 158 7
	Balance up to 11th July 1812		1,048 332 3
	Total		11,51 111 2

Particulars of the above
Wastage 10 205 4
Remaining 1038 126 7
1048 332 3

Dr. Money Account Current of Salt in the Zillah of Vizagapatam from 1st April to 11th July 1812 Cr.

		Rs. As.	Rs. As.
1812 April 1st	To Balance of Cash on hand brought from last Quarter	221 1	
	Salt Sales from 1st April to 11th July 1812		
	Inland Consumption of 105 Rs. per Garce	3986 6	
	Home Do	38267 9	
	Exportation by Sea	" "	12253 15
	Total	12475	

Vizagapatam 11th July 1812.

E. E. Fs. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Asst. Collector.

Cr.

		Rs. As.	Rs. As.
1812 July 11th	By Servants Wages from 1st March to 30th June 1812, being 4 Months	1286 6 9	
	Sundry ward	50 8 .	
			1336 11 9
	Extra Charges -		
	Charges incurred on account of Salt Manufacture for Fusly 1250		1356 1 11
			2693 . 8
	Net Revenue to 11th July 1812		39778 11 4
			12471 12 .
	Balance remaining by the Sunadar of Coopilly		3 4 .
	Total		12475 . .

Ordered

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded -

18-19

Read the following letters -

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

20

Sir, Fort St. George -

From the Jr. Coll.r of Vizagapatam } Para 1 With reference to my letter of the 1st June last, and to the Boards' Proceedings of the 8th of the same Month, I have the honor to submit herewith - a Statement, exhibiting the offers for the rent of the remaining 29 Toddy Makums, the Settlement of which has been recently completed -

2 These Makums are chiefly Small, and never vary to any great

great extent from year to year, they are situated in the Zemindaries, and are managed by the people connected with the Proprietors, without whose assistance the collections could not be realized - There is in them a small increase in the year of 6 Rupees -

3 The whole Settlement of the Abkarry Revenue of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1252 Amounts to Rupees 46,114-8 - and exceeds that of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 2,628-8 - -

Vizagapatam, Collr.s Cutchery, 15th August 1812 - } /Signed/ P. B. Swollett Acting Collector -

Statement -

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded —

18-19

Read the following letters —

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

20

Sir, Fort St. George

From the Asst Coll.r of Vizagapatam } (Para 1) With reference to my letter of the 1st June last, and to the Boards Proceedings of the 8th of the same Month, I have the honor to submit herewith a Statement, exhibiting the offers for the rent of the remaining 29 Toddy Makums, the Settlement of which has been recently completed —

2 These Makums are chiefly small, and never vary to any great

great extent from year to year, they are situated in the Zemindaries, and are managed by the people connected with the Proprietors, without whose assistance the collections could not be realized — There is in them a small increase in the year of 6 Rupees —

3 The whole Settlement of the Abkary Revenue of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1252 Amounts to Rupees 45,114-8 — and exceeds that of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 2,628-8 — —

Vizagapatam — Coll.r Cutcherry, 15th August 1812 — } /Signed/ P. B. Swollett Acting Collector.

Statement —

Fort St. George 29th August 1812.

A Statement of Fusly 1252. in the Zillah of Vizagapatam.

No.	Names of Sircurs	Amount offered	Comparison of fusly 1251 and 1252. Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		Fusly 1252.			
	10	11	12	13	
1	Hyderabad	10			
2	Coopilly	33			will remit the whole sum at once
3	Sherumahom	66			Do.
4	Royanarum	36			Do.
5	Muchapilly	2			Do.
6	Goodeshellah	36 8			Bauspanah
7	Ankapilly	2 30			Do.
8	Carcopolle	6			Do.
9	Burneekum	12			Do.
10	Streeramjoorie	12			Do.
11	Moungapanka	162 8			Do.
12	Capeencottah	80			Do.
13	Maloopanka	40			Seevaramsastry
14	Cheepoorpilly	18			Do.
15	Golgondah	48			
16	Hoorullah	50		4	Venkadoo
17	Salloor	56			Camalanabodoo
18	Bobilly	55		17	Venkiah
19	Raoladah	300			Bobilly Zemindar
20	Muntanah	47 8			Do.
21	Kintalay	43			Do.
22	Seereepooram	32			Do.
23	Mamoolapoody	26			Do.
24	Yedoolapanka	78	28		Pohatty
25	Nandigole	10			
26	Honjaram	110			Croostumraze
27	Surrasidd	81		9	
28	Rajalah	15 8			G. Potunnah
29	Dem	50 8			
		12 8			
		1761	32	26	Nett Increase Rs. 6
		9353 8	632 8		
		11114 8	664 8	26	
		Deduct Decrease	26		
		Nett Increase	638 8		

Amount as per Boards Letter dated 29th August 1812.

(Signed) J. Stracey

D. B. Scott

A. J. Cobbe

The

9512-

Statement of the Zillah of St. George for Fusly 1252-

29th August 1812-

Ulzagapatam-

No	Names of Securities	Amount offered	Comparison of fusly 1251 and 1252- Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	10	11	12	13	
1	Hiseendah	10			
2	Coopilly	33			
3	Sherumahoun	66			
4	Royanarum	36			
5	Muckapilly	2			
6	Goodicherlah	36 8			
7	Anka pilly	230			
8	Carcopolle	6			
9	Burneekum	12			
10	Streeramsoorin	12			
11	Moongapauka	162 8			
12	Capelencottah	80			
13	Maloopauka	40			
14	Cheepoorpilly	48			
15	Golgondah	50	4		
16	Wooruttah	56		17	
17	Sallor	55			
18	Bobilly	300			
19	Raadadah	47 8			
20	Muntanah	43			
21	Kintalay	52			
22	Seereepooram	26			
23	Ramoolapody	78	28		
24	Yedoolapauka	10			
25	Nandygole	110			
26	Honjaram	81		9	
27	Surwasidd	15 8			
28	Rajalah	50 8			
29	Dem	12 8			
		1761	32	26	Nett Increase Rs. 6
		9353 8	632 8		
		11114 8	664 8	26	
		Deduct Decrease		26	
		Nett Increase		638 8	

Amount of Sd Board's Lakd

2

agt

(Signed)

D. D. Smollett

A. Colls

The

9513 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Order thereon. The Board Sanc-

20. 21

-tion the acceptance of -

the offers for the Rent -

of the Remaining 29 -

Toddy Rakrums -

for the Current Fusly

1253 reported in -

the foregoing Letter,

Amounting to Rupees

1,7.61 -

J. C.

9514 Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

22

From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam, Forwards a Sale advertisement of the several Estates which have fallen in arrears. —

Sir,

Para 1. At the close of the fusly year just expired, I have the honor to submit a sale advertisement of several Estates, which have fallen into arrears. In doing so I shall take the opportunity of bringing under the Review of the Board, as briefly as is consistent with perspicuity, the condition of the several Zemindaries comprised in the Collectorate of Vizagapatam. —

2. The undermentioned Zemindaries Viz: Coorpunn, Sungumvalsah, & Marengby are the property of Minors, under Manage-ment, by reason of the incapacity of the owners. The Current Peishcush as exhibited in the an-nexed Statement has been realized on each. And a separate Report on the Management will be made to the Court of Wards at an early period. —

No	Names of Talooks.	Peishcush of the current year.		Collections.			Balance.		
1	Coorpunn	12,464	"	"	12,464	"	"	"	"	"
2	Sungumvalsah	6,700	"	"	6,700	"	"	"	"	"
3	Marengby	18,500	"	"	18,500	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	37,664	"	"	37,664	"	"	"	"	"

3. In the Estates noted below the Peishcush has been paid in full either within the fusly, or up to the present

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

present date.

No.	Names of Talooks.	Paishcush of the Current Year.	Collection	Balance
1	Vizeanagarum	500,000 " "	500,000 " "	" " "
2	Capeepoorum	600 " "	600 " "	" " "
3	Boobilly	90,000 " "	90,000 " "	" " "
4	Ranvadah	3,761 " "	3,761 " "	" " "
5	Coradah Cundeavulsah	681 " "	681 " "	" " "
6	Cheedee Condah	1,750 " "	1,750 " "	" " "
7	Pentah Vizn	3,045 11 5	3,045 11 5	" " "
8	Suggandaporum Vizn	3,108 3 "	3,108 3 "	" " "
9	Surasadah	2,036 " "	2,036 " "	" " "
10	Kintaly	7,349 5 6	7,349 5 6	" " "
11	Muntanah	7,101 10 6	7,101 10 6	" " "
12	Belgaum	10,500 " "	10,500 " "	" " "
13	Layaporum	16,000 " "	16,000 " "	" " "
14	Capinicottah	14,010 " "	14,010 " "	" " "
15	Condakerlah	19,800 " "	19,800 " "	" " "
16	Velchoor Codoor	7,267 " "	7,267 " "	" " "
17	Cottoor	9,067 " "	9,067 " "	" " "
18	Goodecherlah	17,400 " "	17,400 " "	" " "
19	Anhapilly	30,766 " "	30,766 " "	" " "
20	Coorcopolee	8,100 " "	8,100 " "	" " "
21	Bareenethum	1,566 8 "	1,566 8 "	" " "
22	Moonagapankah	24,647 " "	24,647 " "	" " "
23	Sheeramporum	18,000 " "	18,000 " "	" " "
24	Royavarum	18,194 " "	18,194 " "	" " "
25	Shair Mahomed poorum	19,343 " "	19,343 " "	" " "
26	Coopilly	8,457 " "	8,457 " "	" " "
27	Naickapilly	5,606 " "	5,606 " "	" " "
28	Secreepoorum	10,618 8 "	10,618 8 "	" " "
29	Cheepoopilly	4,291 " "	4,291 " "	" " "
30	Mannedevadah	1,786 8 "	1,786 8 "	" " "
31	Moonganadah	3,080 " "	3,080 " "	" " "
32	Alipeecondah	613 " "	613 " "	" " "
	Total	368,545 6 5	368,545 6 5	" " "

At.

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

4. At the same time it is proper to observe that there are considerable balances outstanding against some of these Estates for Fusly 1248, which were allowed to stand over for adjustment, by fixed instalments. Some Proprietors have paid these instalments, others have paid in part only. But a particular Report on these Balances will be made to the Board at an early date, in compliance with the Board's Proceedings of the 24th March last. The present condition of the Condakerlah and other Estates under attachment, for arrears, under instructions from the Board of Revenue of date the 15th October 1840, will likewise be noticed hereafter, and orders solicited as regards their disposal.

Annexed is a list of Zemindaries on which the Government demand of the year has not been liquidated, with Statement of the Balances outstanding. On such of those as require notice, I shall proceed to make

a

9517. Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

a few observations.—

No.	Names of Talooks.	Amount to be Collected in Fusly 1251.	Collection.	Balances.
1	Andra	2,277 " "	897 " "	1,380 " "
2	Suripilly Cheemavarum	400 " "	" " "	400 " "
3	Madagole	56,404 15 7	28,698 4 "	27,706 11 7
4	Sallore	33,259 15 1	26,722 15 3	6,536 15 10
5	Chamoodoor	5,000 " "	3,295 8 "	1,704 8 "
6	Waltair	9,421 8 "	4,500 " "	4,921 8 "
7	Peddagoomaloor	8,133 3 4	3,366 " "	4,767 3 4
8	Maloopurkah	35,000 " "	22,252 8 5	12,787 7 7
9	Wooutlah	28,100 8 "	19,031 8 "	9,069 " "
10	Yedoolapauca Bonenghy	6,135 6 8	2,818 12 "	3,316 10 8
11	Demaly	36,453 9 9	11,289 9 7	25,164 " 2
12	Paunchepentah	8,779 1 2	1,782 8 "	6,996 9 2
	Total	229,365 3 7	124,654 9 3	104,710 10 4

The

9518. Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

6. The Proprietor of Andra and Seeravilly Cheemavarum is competent to discharge the Balance, and it is believed will do so, without permitting the Estate to be exposed to sale—

7. The Madagole Zemindary is again greatly in arrears, for the past and former fusslies. The Balance amounting to Rupees 27,706-11-7. It is an Ancient Estate, and known to be a very profitable one, but the Zemindar is now in embarrassed circumstances, and extremely irregular in his payment to Government.

8. Being aware of the disinclination of the controlling authorities to dispossess an Ancient Proprietor, except the necessity of the case was urgent, I had organized an Establishment for the Sequestration of the Estate, and for its management by the Officers of Government, with a view to retrieve the Zemindar's affairs, judging this course preferable to bringing the Estate to public sale. Before completing these arrangements however, the Zemindar's Dewan, a near relation, and possessed of some property presented himself, and engaged to discharge the whole current balance during this Month, and on the

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

the arrears within four years. I have accordingly acquiesced on these terms, and I have reason to think, they will be kept. If they are not, the Estate will be at once sequestered. I do not think it will be advisable to sell the Zemindary.

9. The Saloor Zemindary exhibits a balance of Rupees 6,536—15—10½ Chenoodoo Rupees 1704—8—a. The Vattair and Puddagoomaloor Proprietor's arrear amounts to Rupees 9,688—11—4, and that of the owner of Maloopaukah is Rupees 12,747—7—7 all included in the sale Advertisement, but it is not expected they will actually come to sale.

10. The Estate of Mouttah for a balance of Rupees 9,069, and of Yedoolapaka Bonnighy for Rupees 3,316—10—8 are likewise advertised, their Proprietors will probably arrange, so as to render a sale unnecessary. I likewise trust that the Possessor of the small Ancient Estate of Pounchepenta will manage so as to avoid the sale of his small Zemmindary.

It

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

11. It only remains to notice the Dimlay Mootah, the property of the late Mr. John Leonhard whose death was reported, and the attachment of the Estate in my letter of the 12th April last, I regret to be compelled to report that on assuming possession, it was found that nearly the entire Revenues had been forestalled, and that no means existed by which almost any portion of the Current Revenue could be recovered.

12. The Estate is known to be unprofitable, and greatly over assessed. Mr. Leonhard became its possessor in 1832, and has been brought into very embarrassed circumstances from the acquisition, notwithstanding the large arrears of Revenue unpaid. the family in short have been ruined by the purchase. I do not believe that there will be any competitors for it, and with the sanction of the Board, I propose to bid for it to the extent of Rupees One thousand. If a higher offer is obtained, it will be desirable to accept it, for the permanent assessment will never be realized under Annamy.

13. But if, as I anticipate, it will become the property of Government, I shall take another opportunity of reporting on the balances due, by the late Mr. Leonhard, and the mode of the adjustment.

14. In the sale Advertisement, which accompanies, the date of sale has been fixed for the 4th October next. this admits sufficient time for publication of the notification in the Board's Office; and I trust the period of sale will not be further extended.

Vizagapatam, Collr's Catcherry, 16th August, 1842.

Signed J. P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector.

Advertisement.

9521 Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Advertisement.

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue including interest, Charges of Sequestration, and advances for Cultivation and Repairs, which were outstand:

11. Panchayentah			15	3,000	"	"	1,630	8	"	5,366	1	2	"	"	"	6,996	9	2
Total			265	111,398	12	7	49,454	1	2	27,549	13	7	"	"	"	77,003	14	9

9521.

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Advertisement.

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue including interest, Charges of Sequestration, and advances for Cultivation and Repairs, which were outstand-

11. Panchpentah			15	3,000	"	"	1,630	8	"	5,366	1	2	"	"	"	6,996	9	2
Total			265	111,398	12	7	49,454	1	2	27,549	13	7	"	"	"	77,003	14	9

9522. Fort St. George, 29th August 1841

No. 1.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 22nd August 1842.

24

1	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842. 2	Quantity already Exported up to 22nd August 1842. 3	Quantity remaining to be exported. 4	Quantity actually in Store and ready for exportation. 5	Remarks. 6
	Bm. S.	Bm. S.	Bm. S.	Bm. S.	
Coringa	400,000 "	199,770 "	200,230 "	200,230 "	

Tillah Rajahmundry,
Collector's Office,
Cocanada, 24th August, 1842.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

24-25

Order thereon,

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Statement.

9523. Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of White Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1841/42, at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 15th August 1842.

26

No.	Names of Ports.	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the Year 1841/42.	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be Exported	Quantity already stored and ready for Exportation	Quantity of Salt manufactured remaining under Estimated Heaps.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			B. M.	B. M.	B. M.	B. M.	B. M.	
1	Eskapully	14—44	90,000	79,023	10,977	10,977	"	
2	Gogoolapully	14—39	40,000	15,104	24,896	24,896	"	
3	Vareney	14—37	20,000	10,813	9,187	9,187	"	
			150,000	104,940	45,060	45,060	"	

Nellore, Prin. Collr's Cutchy.
24th August, 1842.

E. E. J.

/Signed/ T. V. Stonhouse
Prin. Collector.

Ordered

9524. Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.

(26. 27)

To Read the following letter.

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Asst. Collr of Masulipatam.

Sir,

(28)

I have the honor to acquaint you that in consequence of a communication from the Head Assistant Magistrate, I have been under the necessity of suspending Valaya-poody Codundaram, Sahsildar and Head of Police of Suggiapettah, pending an inquiry into certain charges of oppression and abuse of authority, the result of which will hereafter be communicated to you for the Board's information.

Masulipatam, ----- } Signed J. S. Matheson
Collr's Cutcherry, 17th Septr 1842. } Acting Collector.

(28. 29)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

To the Secretary to the Read the following letters.
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(30)

From the Collr of Guntoor.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit the prescribed return of Salt wastage in this district for Fusly 1251. The wastage has occurred chiefly in the Salt stored in Fusly 1249, and the actual out-turn will be better understood by the following Statement.

Fusties.

9525 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Fusties.	Quantity Stored.		Quantity Sold.		Wastage.		Per Centage	
	G.	M.	G.	M.	G.	M.	G.	M.
1248	5	296	4	111	1	185	25	192
1249	959	249	901	115	53	134	5	235
1250	1,622	280	1,597	292	24	388	1	215
1251	390	368	387	166	3	202	"	385
Total	2,973	393	2,890	284	83	109	2	320

Here, it will be observed that on the Salt of Fusly 1248 there is an apparent wastage of 25½ per Cent— But this is only apparent as this Salt was the remnant of two heaps of 20 Garces each, so that the correct proportion of the loss is 1— 185 only on 40 Garces or under 3¾ per cent— I beg to request that sanction may be given for writing off the amount of wastage—

Guntoor, ----- } Signed H. Stokes
Collr's Cutcherry, } Collector
9th August 1842—

Statement

9526

Fort St. George

29th August 1842.

A

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Sold and the wastage on the quantity Stored in the District of Guntoor for Fusly 1251.

No. of Colums	Names of the Colours	Fusly in which Stored	Quantity Stored — In each Fusly	Quantity Stored — Total	Quantity Sold	Wastage — Amount of wastage	Wastage — Centage	Per Centage of wastage for each Colour fixed by the Collectors per Para 18th of the Salt rules	Explanation of the cause of Wastage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Garces In	Garces In	Garces In	Garces In	Garces In	Garces In	
1	Chinna Ganjam	Fusly 1249	763 291						
		" 1250	1162 143	1926 34	1869 25	57 9	2 384	2 200	
2	Peda Ganjam	" 1249	18 69						
		" 1250	375 218						
		" 1251	323 250	717 137	704 154	12 383	1 322	2 200	
3	Nezampatam	" 1248	5 296						
		" 1249	172 289						
		" 1251	10 134	188 319	178 150	10 169	5 208	2 200	
4	Pairlee	" 1250	48 319						
		" 1251	56 384	141 303	138 355	2 348	2 10	2 200	
		Grand Total		2973 393	2890 284	83 109	2 320		

Guntoor
Collectors Cutcherry
9th August 1842

E. E. Jr.

Signed H. Stokes
Collector

Extract

9527 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Order thereon — Ordered to lie on the table.

30 - 31

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

32

From the Coll.r of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to report the seizure, on the morning of the 18th July by the salt Duffadar and Peon (named in the margin) of the Parale Cottaur &c attached to the Nezampatam Tanah of 146 Meracals 5½ seers of salt, of this 102½ Meracals, 18 persons were attempting to remove with Bullocks for 73 Meracals - The remaining 44 Meracals 5 seers were found concealed in the houses of the parties from whom the persons concerned confessed to have illicitly purchased it. On examination, it proves not to be the same salt as that sold at the public Stores. I request the order of the Board for the confiscation of the whole of the salt.

Duffadar Puttalla Ramasawmi
Peon Davaraz
Seedah Sobiah.

Guntoor, - - - - - - - -
Collectors Cutcherry
16th August 1842.

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Coll.r

The

9528 Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Proceedings thereon — The Board authorize the confiscation of 146 Meracals and 5½ Seers of salt referred to in the above communication, and the distribution of the proceeds of the sale in the mode &c prescribed by the Regulations.

32. 33

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

34

From the Coll.r of Guntoor

Sir

I have the honor to enclose an Extract from the retrenchment received from the Civil Auditor for Rupees 2-6-11 repaid to an Enamdar at Pillootah as per Board's orders under date the 27th May 1841 and request the Board will be pleased to obtain the special Sanction of Government for the same.

Guntoor - - - - -
Coll.r Cutcherry
18th August 1842.

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Coll.r

Extract -

9529 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

A

Extract from the Retrenchments from the Accounts of the Collector of Guntoor from the 12th July 1840 to 11th July 1841 on account of expenses for Fusly 1250.

No.	Charges objected to.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor
	Profit and Loss.	
68	Amount repaid to an Enamdar at Pillotla being the Collections made from him on the alleged excess of Enam land in Fasles 1247 & 48 as per Boards letter of the 27 May 1841 2 6 11	No 68 the Civil Auditor sanctions Sicca Rupees 2 6 11 as required for this item.

/True Extract
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

34. 35

Order thereon

The Board recommends that under the explanation contained in the correspondence noted in the margin Special sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 2-6-11 repaid to an Enamdar at Pillottah in the Guntoor District.

To

Coll.r endorsement on Rs. No. 146 of 1841 Board's order thereon 27th May 1841.

J.J.B.

9530 Fort St. George 29th August 1842

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

36

From the Coll.r of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanction of Government for the charge shewn in the annexed Memorandum the same having been retrenched by the Civil Auditor in his Audit for Fusly 1250.

Guntoor
Coll.rs Cutcherry
18th August 1842

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Memorandum.

	To the Pay of a Vaccinator employed at Amaravutty from 1st April to 30th June 1841 at Rupees 8 per mensem in compliance with an application from Ramanadha Baboo Vaspereddy Zemindar to the late Collector in consequence of the unusual prevalence of small pox at that place	Rs as: p 24 - - -

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector
Under

9529 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

A

Extract from the Retrenchments from the Accounts of the Collector of Guntoor from the 12th July 1840 to 11th July 1841 on account of expenses for Fusly 1250.

No.	Charges objected to.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor
	Profit and Loss.	
68	Amount repaid to an Enamdar at Pillotta being the Collections made from him on the alleged excess of Enam land in Fusles 1247 & 48 as per Boards letter of the 27 May 1841 2 6 11	No 68 the special sanction of Government is required for this item.

/True Extract
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

(34. 35)

Order thereon

The Board recommends that under the explanation contained in the correspondence noted in the margin special sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 2-6-11 repaid to an Enamdar at Pillottah in the Guntoor District.

To

Coll.rs endowment on Rs. 2-2-146 of 1840 Board's order thereon 27th May 1841.

J.G.B.

9530 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(36)

From the Coll.r of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanction of Government for the charge shewn in the annexed Memorandum the same having been retrenched by the Civil Auditor in his Audit for Fusly 1250.

Guntoor
Coll.rs Cutcherry
18th August 1842

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Memorandum.

To the Pay of a Vaccinator employed at Amaravutty from 1st April to 30th June 1841 at Rupees 8 per Mensem in compliance with an application from Ramanadha Bauboo Vaseereddy Zemindar to the late Collector in consequence of the unusual prevalence of small pox at that place.	Rs. as. p. 24 - - -

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector
Under

Order thereon

(36. 37)

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board recommend that special sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 24 being the pay of a Vaccinator employed at Amaravutty from 1st April to 30th June 1841 in consequence of the unusual prevalence of the small pox at that place.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(38)

From the Coll.r of Guntoor, - - -

Sir,

With reference to the accompanying copy of a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam dated 1st August 1840 with enclosures I have the honor to request the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the sum of Company's Rupees 21-5-9 being the amount of charges for Shipping Europe Ploughs supplied to this District, by the Principal Collector of the Southern Division Arcot which has been

retrenched

retrenched by the Civil Auditor.

Guntoor, - - - - -
Collectors Cutchery,
18th August 1842

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon

(38. 39)

Under the explanations furnished in the foregoing letter the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 21-5-9 being the amount of Charges incurred for Shipping certain Ports Novo Ploughs sent to the Guntoor District.

Read the following Account.

Current.—

Quarterly.—

9534 Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

Order thereon — Ordered to lie on the table.—

(40-41)

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(42)

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.—

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that Henry Newill Esq.r has this day arrived at this Station, and resumed his duties as Assistant Collector.—

Guntoor.—
Collector's Cutcherry
24th. August 1842.

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.

(42-43)

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(44)

From the Sub Coll.r Ser. in charge of Bellary.

Sir,

I have the honor to send a Statement shewing the amount of Jodee payable in this District on Village Servants Enams as called for in your letter of 2d. May last.—

9535 Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

2. The Statement exhibits the total amount of Jodee, levied on all Lands and Fees, for Fusly 1251, in Column 6. Viz.t 168879 Rs. Of this sum 155092 Rs. is the sum paid by Village servants, of which 16503 Rupees only is the Jodee levied from the Class of Village servants, who more immediately perform Police duties, Viz.t from Talaries 10,617 Rupees, from Cavilgars 817 Rupees, and from Cuttoobadies 5.069 Rupees. The remainder 132589 Rupees is paid by other Village servants, the particulars of which will be found in the Statement.—

Bellary District,
Coll.rs Office on Circuit,
Raidroog 8th. August 1842.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Sub Coll.r in Charge.

Statement

The

9533 (40)

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Dr. Quarterly Account Current of Salt in the Zillah of Guntoor for the Quarter ending with the 11th July 1842 Fusly 1251 Cr.

				Mds	Mn	Mds	Mn
1841 July	12th	Balance of Salt at the commencement of the Current fusly 1251		"	"	4142	158
1842 March	31st	Quantity manufactured to the end of last Quarter		1091	267		
July	11th	Quantity manufactured in this Quarter	465–58				
		Surplus gained by measurement in this Quarter	6–7	1471	133	2563	"
		Total Madras Garces				6705	158

				Mds	Mn	Mds	Mn
1842 March	31st	Sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	1250	93		
			Home Consumption	637	60	1887	153
July	11th	Sales in this Quarter	Inland Consumption	770	16		
			Home Consumption	233	115	1003	131
						2890	284
		Wastage in the Storing of fusly 1249 as per sanction of Government dated 19th June 1841		"	"	17	82
		Balance of Salt on hand to this day as per accompanying Statement No. 2		"	"	2907	366
		Total Madras Garces				3797	192
						6705	158

Dr. Money Account Current of Salt for the Quarter ending with the 11th July 1842 Fusly 1251. Cr.

				Crs	As	Ps	Crs	As	Ps
1842 March	31st	Sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	1312	74	6 5			
			Home Consumption	66900	12	6	198175	2	11
July	11th	Sales in this Quarter	Inland Consumption	80854	3	4			
			Home Consumption	24495	3	"	105349	6	4
		Fines for Cattle trespassing to the end of last Quarter		17	7	3			
		Do Do in this Quarter		"	6	7	17	13	10
		Sale of manufactured Salt sent as Musters to the end of last Quarter		2	"	3			
		Do Do in this Quarter		4	1	10	6	2	1
		Wages of Servants &c refunded to the end of last Quarter		"	"	"	48	13	3
		Sale of Confiscated Salt to the end of last Quarter		1	7	4			
		Do Do in this Quarter		13	15	3	15	6	7
		Fines levied to the end of last Quarter		"	"	"	4	8	"
		Batta or gain by Exchange to the end of last Quarter		"	3	7			
		Do Do in this Quarter		"	3	1	"	6	8
		Amount adjusted in this Quarter being the sum refunded on account of Charges for remittances over charged in last fusly		"	"	"	2	15	2
		Recoveries of advances to the Manufacturers of Salt in this Quarter.		"	"	"	595	4	"
		Total Company's Rupees					304215	14	10

			Crs	As	Ps	Crs	As	Ps
	By servants wages to the end of last Quarter		7705	1	"			
	By Do in this Quarter		3980	14	6	11685	15	6
	By Payments to the Raccoondars to the end of last Quarter		103	5	4			
	By Do Do in this Quarter		51	10	8	155	"	"
	By Sundrywards to the end of last Quarter		158	5	2			
	By Do Do in this Quarter		385	4	11	543	10	1
	By repairing Markums to the end of last Quarter		"	3	9			
	By Do Do in this Quarter		"	6	14	6	5	1
	By payment to the Manufacturers to the end of last Quarter		7641	10	9			
	By Do Do in this Quarter		10256	"	2	17897	10	11
	By Amount adjusted in this Quarter being the sum refunded on account of Charges for remittances over charged in last fusly		"	"	"	2	15	2
	By repairing the Platforms to the end of last Quarter		"	"	"	1105	8	4
	By repairing Pens and depots Huts in last Quarter		57	11	5			
	By Do Cutcheries in this Quarter		"	8	"	59	3	5
	By advances to the Manufacturers to the end of last Quarter		5	7	1			
	By Do Do in this Quarter		1600	"	"	1605	7	1
	By Extra charges to the end of last Quarter		667	6	1			
	By Do Do in this Quarter		826	15	3	1494	5	4
						24255	"	11
	Net Revenue in this Quarter.					279960	13	11
	Total Company's Rupees					304215	14	10

Guntoor Collectors Cutcherry 23d August 1842.

E. E. Bs. (Signed) H. Stokes Collector

Ordered

9534 Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

Order thereon : Ordered to lie on
(40-41) the table.—
To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(42) Sir,

From the Coll.r of Guntoor,— I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that Henry Newill Esq.r has this day arrived at this Station, and resumed his duties as Assistant Collector.—

Guntoor. — — — —
Collector's Cutcherry
24th. August 1842 } /Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon.—
(42-43) Ordered to be recorded.

To Read the following letter

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(44) Sir,

From the Sub Coll.r Ser. in charge of Bellary } I have the honor to send a Statement shewing the amount of Jodee payable in this District on Village Servants Enams as called for in your letter of 2d. may last.—

The

9535 Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

2. The Statement exhibits the total amount of Jodee, levied on all Lands and Fees, for Fusly 1251, in Column 6. Viz.t 168879 Rs. Of this sum 155092 Rs. is the sum paid by Village Servants, of which 16503 Rupees only is the Jodee levied from the Class of Village Servants, who more immediately perform Police duties, Viz.t from Talaries 10,617 Rupees, from Cavilgars 817 Rupees, and from Cuttoobadies 5.069 Rupees. The remainder 138589 Rupees is paid by other Village Servants, the particulars of which will be found in the Statement.—

Bellary District. — — — —
Coll.rs Office on Circuit. } /Signed/ C. Pelly
Raidroog 8th. August 1842 } Sub Coll.r in Charge.

Statement.—

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

Order thereon : Ordered to lie on
(40-41) the table.—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(42)
From the Coll.r of Guntoor, — } Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that Henry Newill Esq.r has this day arrived at this Station, and resumed his duties as Assistant Collector.—

Guntoor. — — — —
Collector's Cutcherry 24th. August 1842 } (Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon.—
(42-43) Ordered to be recorded.

To Read the following letter

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(44)
From the Sub Coll.r Ser. in charge of Bellary } Sir,

I have the honor to send a Statement shewing the amount of Jodee payable in this District on Village servants Enams as called for in your letter of 2d. may last.—

The

Fort St. George 29th. August 1842.

2 The Statement exhibits the total amount of Jodee, levied on all Lands and Fees, for Fusly 1251, in Column 6. Viz.t 168879 Rs. Of this sum 15592 Rs. is the sum paid by Village servants, of which 1653 Rupees only is the Jodee levied from the Class of Village servants, who more immediately perform Police duties, Viz.t from Talaries 1067 Rupees, from Cavilgars 817 Rupees, and from Cuttoobadies 5069 Rupees. The remainder 138589 Rupees is paid by other Village servants, the particulars of which will be found in the Statement.—

Bellary District, — — —
Coll.rs Office on Circuit. } (Signed) C. Pelly
Raidroog 8th. August 1842 } Sub Coll.r in Charge.

Statement.

Fort St. George

A

ants paying Quit Rent

-gate amount-

Of which Amount of Amount of Jodee or Quit Assessment rent payable on actual Cultivation for Fusly 1251 including Marah & Vurtanah 5	for Fusly 1251. 6
12	5,32,362 11,6244
44	1,06,050 32,354
26	45596 20,281
2	6,84,008 1,68,879
4	65,386 10,817
	6,016 817
	20,154 5069
	9,1,556 16,513

29th August.

Jodee to Government on Enam lands in the Bellary

Details

Per Centage Of Column 4 on Col. 5	Per Centage Of Column 5 on Col. 4	Of Persons enjoying Enam, & also Marah & Vurtanah and paying Jodee or Quit Rent to Government. Total Terrin of Enams and Marah Vurtanah. 9	Amount of Assessment on actual Enam Cultivation for Fusly 1251 & Marah & Vurtanah. 10	Jodee or Quit rent for fusly 1251. 11
7	8			
18 7 "	21 13 "	6,30,662	5,32,362	1,16,244
24 12 "	30 8 "	"	"	"
44 8 "	44 8 "	"	"	"
20 15 "	24 11 "	6,30,662	5,32,362	1,16,244
13 7 "	16 4 "	67,885	55,382	8,383
11 5 "	13 8 "	6,994	5,847	691
20 7 "	25 2 "	"	"	"
14 14 "	18 " "	74,879	61,229	9,074

9537 Fort St. George 29th August 1841.

Division of the Cedeil District for Fasly 1251.

Details (Continued)

Persons enjoying Enam alone & paying Jodee or Quit Rent to Government.			Persons enjoying Marah and paying Jodee or Quit		Marah and Vurtanah only Rent to Government.
Total Terrim of Enams.	Assessment on actual Enam Cultivation for Fasly 1251.	Jodee or Quit Rent for Fasly 1251.	Total Marah & Vurtanah as per Revaz Puttee.	Actual Marah Vurtanah for Fasly 1251.	Jodee or Quit Rent to Government for Fasly 1251.
12	13	14	15	16	17
130664	106050	32354	"	"	"
"	"	"	45596	45596	20281
130664	106050	32354	45596	45596	20281
10518	9593	2179	411	411	55
166	104	38	65	65	88
24792	20154	5069	"	"	"
35476	29851	7286	476	476	143

Fort St. George

29th August 1842

Aggregate amount

			Details					
			Of Persons enjoying Enam, Palas Marah and Turtanah, and paying Jodee or Quit rent to Government					
Per Centage			Total Terrin of Enams and Marah Turtanah	Amount of Assessment on actual Enam Cultivation for Fusly 1251 and marah Turtanah	Jodee or Quit rent for fusly 1251.			
Of Column 5 on Col: 4	Of Column 6 on Col: 5	Of which Amount of Assessment on actual Cultivation for Fusly 1251 including Marah & Turtanah.	Amount of Jodee or Quit rent payable to Government for Fusly 1251					
7	8	5	6	9	10	11		
306	14.0604	4.3960	24 15	31 4	159300	127706	37427	
272	17.823	27.685	13 1	15 9	199.841	167.977	25.944	
78	10.051	2.811	25 6	27 15	9.298	8.496	2.074	
13	9.724	3.634	32 11	37 6	8.444	7.143	2.100	
74	30.360	6.037	18 "	19 14	29.356	26.174	5.066	
15	24.456	4.894	18 "	20 "	23.377	20.627	4.013	
09	8.960	2.578	25 8	28 12	8.225	7.163	1.733	
64	1.310	315	21 8	24 1	864	753	201	
71	13.084	2.809	19 9	21 7	13.455	12.259	2.584	
119	27.277	12.250	41 6	44 15	21.397	19.107	7.163	
83	92.750	28.851	28 11	31 2	71.120	64.327	18.444	
68	9.725	2.765	24 2 "	28 8	6.921	6.021	1499	
72	546124	138589	21 11 "	25 6	551598	467753	106248	
103	637.680	155092	20 11 "	24 5	626477	528982	115322	
12	6.320	1216	17 6 "	19 4	"	"	"	
24	8.451	1663	15 9 "	19 9	"	"	"	
19	652.450	157971	20 9 "	24 3 "	626477	528982	115322	
74	12.965	4.795	30 10 "	37 "	1485	1184	347	
29	18593	6113	25 11 "	32 14 "	2700	2196	575	
122	684.008	168879	20 15 "	24 11 "	630662	532362	116244	
Mootah	483.604							
	200.404							

Details (continued)

Persons Enjoying Enam alone, & paying Jodee or Quit Rent to Government			Persons enjoying marah & Turtanah also paying Jodee or Quit rent to Government		
Total Terrim of Enam	Assessment on actual Enam Cultivation for Fusly 1251.	Jodee or Quit rent for Fusly. 1251.	Total marah & Turtanah as per Ravaz Puttee	marah Turtanah for Fusly 1251	actual Jodee or Quit Rent to Government for Fusly 1251.
12	13	14	15	16	17
17.006	12.898	6533	"	"	"
12.046	9.761	1729	85	85	12
1538	1313	670	242	242	87
450	362	184	2.219	2.219	1350
310	278	61	3.908	3.908	910
105	96	38	3733	3733	843
805	718	361	1.079	1.079	484
521	478	111	79	79	3
452	361	117	464	464	108
717	665	501	7.505	7.504	4.586
4.310	3270	1015	25153	25153	11392
4200	3357	1152	347	347	114
42.466	33557	12.472	44.814	44.814	19.869
77.936	63.408	19.758	45.290	45.290	20012
7.012	6.320	1218	"	"	"
10.433	8.179	1435	271	271	228
95.381	77.907	22.409	45.561	45.561	20240
14.186	11.778	4436	3	3	12
21097	16.365	5509	32	32	29
130664	106050	32354	45.596	45.596	20281

Bellary District Collectors office on Circuit Raidroog 8th August 1842.

{E.E.} Signed C. Pelly
Asst Collector in Charge ordered

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

(44. 45)

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From the Coll.r of Chinglepet.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will instruct Parthasarady Chetty, a writer in your Office, to attend at my Cutcherry on Tuesday the 16th Instant, to make some arrangement for the balance of land Revenue due by him, on account of Fusly 1251, for Lands in the Village of Chinnamoolvoil and Coodeypullum, amounting to Rupees 398-2-7.

Chinglepet District, Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry, Cuttewauke 12th Aug.t 1842.

Signed A. Freese
Collector.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(47)

From the Coll.r of Chinglepet.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 12th instant, I have the honor to request

9539 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Details (continued)

Persons Enjoying Enam alone, & paying Jodee or Quit Rent to Government			Persons enjoying marah & Turtanah only paying Jodee or Quit Rent to Government		
Total Terrain of Enams	Assessment on actual Enam Cultivation for Fusly 1251.	Jodee or Quit rent for Fusly 1251.	Total marah & Turtanah as per Ravaz Puttee	Actual marah Turtanah for Fusly 1251	Jodee or Quit Rent to Government for Fusly 1251.
12	13	14	15	16	17
17.006	12.898	6.533	"	"	"
12.046	9.761	1.729	85	85	12
1.538	1.313	670	242	242	67
450	362	184	2.219	2.219	1.350
310	278	61	3.908	3.908	910
105	96	38	3.733	3.733	843
805	718	361	1.079	1.079	484
521	478	111	79	79	3
452	361	117	464	464	108
717	665	501	7.505	7.504	4.586
4.310	3.270	1015	25.153	25.153	11.392
4.200	3.357	1.152	347	347	114
42.466	33.557	12.472	44.814	44.814	19.869
77.936	63.408	19.758	45.290	45.290	20.012
7.012	6.320	1216	"	"	"
10.433	8.179	1435	271	271	228
95.381	77.907	22.409	45.561	45.561	20240
14.186	11.778	4.436	3	3	12
21.097	16.365	5.509	32	32	29
130664	106.050	32.354	45.596	45.596	20.281

Bellary District Collectors office on Circuit Raidroog 8th August 1842. } E.E.F. Signed C. Polly Sub Collector in Charge ordered

9540 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Order thereon.

(44-45)

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

(46)

From the Coll.r of Chinglepet.

I have the honor to requestyou will instruct Parthasarady Chetty, a writer in your Office, to attend at my Cutcherry on Tuesday the 16th Instant, to make some arrangement for the balance of land revenue due by him, on account of Fusly 1254, for lands in the Village of Chinnanoolvoil and Coodelpullum, amounting to Rupees 398-2-7

Chinglepet District, Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry, Cuttewauk 12th Aug.t 1842. } (Signed) A. Freese Collector.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(47)

From the Coll.r of Chinglepet. Sir, With reference to my letter of the 12th instant, I have the honor to request

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

request you will instruct Parthasarady Chitty to attend at my Office with the bearer—

Chingleput District
Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 25th Augt 1842

} Signed A. Freese
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

To The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput.

(46-48)

To the Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 12th and 25th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you, that Parthasarady Chitty was directed on the 24th Instant, to present himself at your Office—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th August 1842.

} Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(49)

From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Sir,

Para. 1. I have the honor to submit to the

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

the accompanying Statement of Shrotriem balances in this District, for Fusly 1251, and to suggest that should the balances remain unliquidated, for one Month after notice, the Shrotriem grants be resumed—

2. The Board will observe that some of these Shrotriemdars have not made the slightest effort to pay their Quit Rent—

Chingleput District,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay, 22nd August, 1842.

} Signed A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

9543

Fort St George 29th August 1842.

A.

Statement shewing the Balance of Rist outstanding against the Shrotriem Villages in the Chingleput District on the 1st Fusly.

Chingleput	Moolapet	Seevoo Boy Dhondoo Boy	17	8	0	12	1	0	5	7	0
			2,625	0	10	1279	7	7	1,345	9	3
	Puttecandoo	Raghoonauda Iyengar	218	12	8	-	-	-	218	12	8
	Melarypaukum	Goolam Hoosain	107	15	5	95	2	9	12	12	8
			326	12	1	95	2	9	231	9	4
Sydapet	Coladay	Cothunda Ramiah Basla Kistiah	524	13	8	504	1	1	20	12	7
	Neelungary	Poorna Sastry	108	13	5	39	15	0	68	14	5
	Cuttevaukum	Comara Seroomul Naidoo	27	14	3	2	0	0	25	14	3
	Madepaukum	Do Do	62	6	6	4	0	0	58	0	6
			723	9	10	550	0	1	173	9	9
Kunjer	Autloor	Runga Raz & Co	87	3	11	73	2	0	14	1	11
	Cadapaukum	S. Varada Pillay	562	9	2	-	-	-	562	9	2
	Carimanel	Fukarooddeen Mohomed / Abab Cuker	213	11	8	-	-	-	213	11	8
			863	8	9	73	2	0	790	6	9
		Total	4,538	15	6	1997	12	5	2,541	3	1

Chingleput District. / Collectors Cutcherry. / Pullecarnay 22d August 1842. — E. E. P.r / Signed J. A. Freese Collector.

The

9543 | | Fort St George 29th August 1842.

A.

Statement shewing the Balance of Rist outstanding against the Shrotriem

Moolapet		Seevoo Boy	Dhondoo Boy		17	8	0	12	1	0	5 7 0
					2625	0	10	1279	7	7	1345 9 3
Chinglepet.	Puttecandoo		Raghoonauda Iyengar		218	12	8	-	-	-	218 12 8
	Melarypaukum		Goolam Hoosain		107	15	5	95	2	9	12 12 8
					326	12	1	95	2	9	231 9 4
Sydapet.	Colady		Cothunda Ramiah Basha kistiah		524	13	8	504	1	1	20 12 7
	Neelungary		Poorna Sastry		108	13	5	39	15	0	68 14 5
	Cuttevaukum		Comara Seroomul Naidoo		27	14	3	2	0	0	25 14 3
	Madepaukum		Do	Do	62	6		4	0	0	58 6
					723	9	10	550	0	1	173 9 9
Nungar.	Autloor		Runga Raz Vca		87	3	11	73	2	0	14 1 11
	Cadapaukum		S. Varada Pillay		562	9	2	-	-	-	562 9 2
	Carimanel		Fukarooddeen Mohomed / Abab Cuker		213	11	8	-	-	-	213 11 8
					863	8	9	73	2	0	790 6 9
				Total	4538	15	6	1997	12	5	2541 3 1

Chinglepet District. — Collectors Cutcherry, Pullecarnay 22d August 1842. — E. E. Pte / Signed J. A. Freese Collector.

The

Proceedings thereon.—

(49-50)

1st The Collector of Chingleput is directed to issue a Notification to the several Shotriemdars in his district in arrears for fusly 1251, as specified in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, that their Shotriems will be resumed, if the balances are not discharged within one Month after the publication of such Notice.—

2d Mr Freese will report the result hereafter, in order that a reference may be made to Government, relative to the disposal of the lands of any Defaulters.—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George—

(51)

From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to transmit an advertisement for the sale by Auction of 23 Mootahs, for balances due against them on account of Fusly 1251, and to request that the same be published

published in the mode prescribed by the Regulation—

2d The Board will observe that I have, in consequence of the low price of grain, allowed in the Advertisement a longer period than usual, to give the Zemindars additional facilities for defraying their Instalments.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay, 22d August 1842.

(Signed) A: Freese
Collector.

Advertisement.

4. The intention of the conditions herein referred to, is, that the estate, interest, and title which the Crown may have in the property, at the time of sale, shall be conveyed to the purchaser, free from all charges and incumbrances, except such as he may himself create, or assent to, or which may arise from his own act or default.

5. Should the purchaser neglect to pay the purchase money, or any part thereof, on the day appointed for payment thereof, he shall pay interest for the same after the rate of five pounds per centum per annum, from the day appointed for payment thereof, until the same shall be paid; and in case the purchaser shall neglect to pay the interest on the purchase money, on the days appointed for payment thereof, the same shall be added to the principal sum, and bear interest accordingly.

6. In case the purchaser shall neglect to pay the purchase money, or any part thereof, or any interest thereon, on the days appointed for payment thereof, the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues may, if they think fit, by notice in writing, signed by any two of them, and addressed to the purchaser, or left at his last known place of abode, declare the contract void, and thereupon the purchaser shall forfeit all claim to the property, and to all benefit from the purchase, and the property may be resold, either by public auction or private contract, without any further notice to the purchaser, and the deficiency, if any, on such resale, together with all costs, charges, and expenses attending the same, shall be made good by the purchaser, and recovered from him as and for liquidated damages; and if on such resale there shall be any surplus, the purchaser shall have no claim to the same.

7. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any abatement or compensation in respect of any error, misstatement, or omission in the particulars of sale, or in the conditions of sale, or in the description of the property, or in the boundaries thereof, or in the measurement, quantity, or quality of the land, or in the tenancies, rents, or profits thereof, or in the title to the property, or any part thereof, or any matter relating thereto, unless he shall have given notice in writing thereof to the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, before the delivery of the conveyance to him, or to his solicitor or agent; and in case any such error, misstatement, or omission shall be so made known, the purchaser may, if he think fit, decline to complete the purchase, and in that case his deposit money shall be returned to him, but he shall have no further or other claim upon the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, or upon the Crown, for any such error, misstatement, or omission, or for any compensation in respect thereof.

8. The purchaser shall not be entitled to call for the production of any deeds, evidences, or writings, other than such as are in the possession of the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, at the time of sale, or such as can be procured from the persons who have the custody thereof; and the purchaser shall not be entitled to require that the boundaries of the property shall be ascertained, or to call for any abstract of title, or to make any inquiry respecting the title, except such inquiries as shall be made of the persons who have the custody of the deeds, evidences, and writings relating thereto, which persons shall be required to answer all such inquiries as they shall be able to make, and to produce all such deeds, evidences, and writings as they have the custody of, and can produce, and to give such information as they can give, touching the same.

9. The purchaser shall not be entitled to require that the property shall be conveyed to him before the purchase money thereof shall be paid, or to require that the conveyance shall be executed at any other place than the Office of the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, in London, or at such other place as the Commissioners may appoint.

10. The purchaser shall pay the expense of the conveyance, and of the duplicate thereof, and of all attested copies of deeds, evidences, and writings, which he may require.

11. The purchaser shall not be entitled to require that the property shall be conveyed to him before the purchase money thereof shall be paid, or to require that the conveyance shall be executed at any other place than the Office of the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, in London, or at such other place as the Commissioners may appoint.

12. The purchaser shall pay the expense of the conveyance, and of the duplicate thereof, and of all attested copies of deeds, evidences, and writings, which he may require.

13. Should it be required that deeds and documents of title, or attested copies thereof, should be produced in support of any matter, the same shall be produced at the Office of the Solicitor to the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, in London, and at the expense of the purchaser.

14. All timber and other trees, and all saplings, and all underwood, which shall be cut down, or grubbed up, or destroyed, by the purchaser, or by any person employed by him, before the completion of the purchase, shall be paid for by the purchaser, at a valuation, to be made by such person as the Commissioners of Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues may appoint.

15. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any timber, or trees, or saplings, or underwood, which may be cut down, or grubbed up, or destroyed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

16. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

17. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

18. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

19. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

20. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

21. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

22. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

23. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

24. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

25. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

26. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

27. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

28. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

29. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

30. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

31. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

32. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

33. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

34. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

35. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

36. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

37. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

38. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

39. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

40. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

41. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

42. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

43. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

44. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

45. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

46. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

47. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

48. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

49. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

50. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

51. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

52. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

53. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

54. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

55. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

56. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

57. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

58. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

59. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

60. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

61. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

62. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

63. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

64. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

65. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

66. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

67. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

68. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

69. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

70. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

71. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

72. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

73. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

74. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

75. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

76. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

77. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

78. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

79. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

80. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

81. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

82. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

83. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

84. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

85. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

86. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

87. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

88. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

89. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

90. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

91. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

92. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

93. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

94. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

95. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

96. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

97. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

98. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

99. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

100. The purchaser shall not be entitled to any fixtures, or to any buildings, or parts of buildings, which may be pulled down, or removed, by the Crown, or by any person employed by the Crown, before the completion of the purchase, or to any compensation in respect thereof.

4. The intention of the collection being to prevent fraudulent collusions, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government, and the Estate be resold.

5. A diamond-ring, a pearl Ear-ring, and a Gold watch, have been lodged at the Butchery of the Collector and at the office of the Board of Revenue, describing the Ornaments to be claimed and the rights to be obtained by purchase of Land under this Advertisement. All persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6. The purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the whole has been completed by the Board of Revenue, but in the mean time 15 per cent of the purchase Money will be required to be deposited immediately, and steadily given for the payment of the remainder, on the receipt of the Board's sanction, or within one Month from the day of sale, at the rate of the whole purchase money will be forfeited, and the Estate sold at the risk of the first purchaser.

7. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Estate or Estates.

For further particulars enquire at the office of the Board of Revenue, or at the Collectors Butcherry between 10 and 5 Oclock, except on Sundays.

Revenue Board office,
Fort St George,
29th March 1842

J. Lushington
Secretary

Form of sale.

9. Person desirous of becoming purchasers may deposite authorized Bonds or Tankeels for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Tankeels, shall be held to be vested in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by their principals.

3. Should it be required that such authorized Tankeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their constituents, on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

1	Chennamadoo		1	2933	14	3	2533	"	9		190	13			
2	Cattamunty		3	2028	12	9	733	4	9		41	6	11		30	10	8	825	8	4
3	Mutcavandy		2	117	11	3	45	15	"		5	"	3		9	12	11	60	12	2
4	Cooperaillore		1	358	12	1	61	14	8		6	14	11		15	2	"	83	15	7
5	Chooppumum		4	1285	9	"	144	1	"		45	12	7		43	1	1	832	13	8
6	Coromandalum		1	540	9	6	259	7	6		17	1	9		18	4	4	294	13	7
7	Mulindadoo		1	1088	11	7	1018	11	7		45	1	2		38	9	1	1102	5	10
8	Gamacumbadum		2	527	15	4	280	"	10		16	11	10		19	4	"	316	"	8
9	Kadavumbadum		6	1428	6	6	1199	6	6		56	7	4		54	13	1	1310	10	11
10	Coonlee		1	245	15	8	97	15	8		3	14	10		12	8	9	44	7	3
11	Pimacalacauly		1	357	7	10	162	3	10		9	6	5		19	"	2	190	10	5
12	Cheroocalloor		1	44	1	5	22	1	5		1	10	"		4	"	"	27	11	3
13	Chillore		1	165	9	4	52	9	4		3	"	1		14	"	"	69	9	5
14	Peroondadoo		1	492	1	10	452	5	10		21	11	7		19	4	"	493	5	5
15	Pinnamparum		1	390	12	8	390	12	8		18	2	11		14	9	10	423	9	3
16	Cumroo		2	3666	1	10	863	3	9		52	13	9		"	"	"	916	1	6
17	Cheetimuly		4	2077	14	7	409	8	7		19	11	1		"	"	"	429	3	8
18	Calicoo		3	2557	12	2	1727	12	2		82	10	3		"	"	"	1810	6	3
19	Coominloor		1	502	"	6	153	11	7		5	15	10		"	"	"	159	11	6
20	Chittoocaud		4	1088	11	4	281	9	11		16	13	1		"	"	"	298	9	"
21	Chittoay		1	1197	2	1	297	13	9		13	"	8		"	"	"	310	14	3
22	Parimaccoil		1	292	9	9	167	"	3		7	5	2		"	"	"	174	5	5
23	Cheevanoor		2	308	9	3	74	8	3		6	5	9		"	"	"	80	13	6
			45	23155	2	6	1198	13	5		617	15	1		400	10	2	12999	12	8

9546 | Fort St George 29th August 1842.

Advertisement.

valid, in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by their principals.

3. But it is required that such authorized Vakeel shall state at the time of purchases the names of their constituents, on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4. The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government, and the Estate to be resold.

5. A Summud Inilkeaut Estimerar, or deed of permanent rights, has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligation to be incurred and the rights to be obtained, by purchases of land under this Advertisement. All persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6. The purchasers of Estates will not be put in posession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase Money will be required to be deposited immediately, and security given for the payment of the remainder, on the receipt of the Board's sanction, or within one Month from the day of sale, in default whereof, the deposit money will be forfeited, and the Estate will be sold, at the risk of the first purchaser.

7. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibilty, with regard to the Estate or Estates.

For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue, or at the Collector's Cutcherry between 10 and 5 Oblock, except on Sunday.

By Order
Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th August, 1842.

The

Proceedings thereon The Board of Revenue

(51-52) Authorize the publication of the Advertisement in the Boards Office, submitted by Mr Freese in the foregoing letter, for the sale of certain Mootah in the District of Chingleput for arrears of Revenue, the date of sale being fixed for the 29th October next.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(53) From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Suggesting in consequence of the low price at which produce sold during the past Fasly, that certain remissions should be granted to the poorer Classes of Cultivators, and extended period for the payment of balances to others.—

Nos 913-1019-1201-1208-1235-1239-1247-1252 & 1264 of 1842.

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Instant, transmitting for my report the Petitions enumerated in the Margin, and addressed to the Board by certain Merasidars of this District, submitting claims for remission in consequence of the alleged losses they had sustained, consequent on the very low rates at which all Agricultural produce has been selling during the past year, and requesting permission to liquidate their balances, by small instalments.—

2. The price of all descriptions of

of produce has been so, unusually low during the first Fasly, that a regular realization of the current Kists would have entailed upon the Cultivators heavy losses, and in many instances actual distress; and I therefore, at the Summahbundy, desired the Tahsildars not to press the Ryots immediately to dispose of their grain, but to allow portions of the revenue to lie over for a period, until, by an improvement in the Markets, they would be able to dispose of their produce, at fair remunerating rates.— The result of these measures were that of the Current Demand for land revenue in the Ryotwar Villages amounting to Rs 9,00,199-6-7, the Collections on the 11th July amounted to 5,43,022-10 only leaving a balance outstanding of Rs 3,57,177—5-9 or 39 3/4 per Cent— A considerable portion of the produce however of the District was then still in the hands of the Cultivators; whilst the prices having risen to within 1 or 1½ Mercall per Pagoda of the conversion price, Orders were issued to press for an early Settlement of the balances, and they have been reduced between the 12th July and 10th August —

from

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Rs. as. P. Rs. as.
from 3,57177 — 5 9 to 2,59,142 — " — 6 and are
in a regular course of liquidation.

3 Under such depreciation of prices however, Cultivators are differently affected, the poorer classes suffering more severely than their richer neighbours, because the former are compelled to part with a great portion of their produce at the unfavorable rates, to enable them to pay even a portion of their early kists, whilst their more fortunate neighbours from having other resources, are enabled to meet those demands retaining the whole of their produce in store, until a favorable Market offers for its' disposal. These facts, and unusual low price, at which all produce has been selling, with the difficulties encountered by some of the poorer classes of Cultivators, had induced me, previous to the receipt of your letter under reply, to resolve on bringing their circumstances to the notice of the Board, and to solicit permission to grant such remissions, or extended periods of payment as the circumstances and condition of the different classes might call for, and I shall now briefly submit the general rules under which I

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

had proposed to be guided in suggesting those remissions, and which should be granted after personally visiting each Taluk, a duty on which I proposed setting out about the 1st Proximo.

4 There are many Villages in this District in which the former settlement has been based on too low a scale, and which formed the subject of my report of the 8th February 1841. In these, and in others where the whole produce raised is insufficient for the actual consumption of the inhabitants, and who annually purchase grain, living and paying their kists by the profits of the manufacture of Salt, I would propose to allow no remissions nor would I recommend remissions being granted to such cultivators as had the means of paying their kists from other sources, or who had withheld payment retaining their entire produce in store, as from the present state of the Market they will be able to dispose of it at an average price.

To

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Rs. as. p. Rs. as.
from 3,57,177 — 5 — 9 to 2,59,142 — — 6 and are
in a regular course of liquidation.

3 Under such depreciation of prices however, Cultivators are differently affected, the poorer classes suffering more severely than their richer neighbours, because the former are compelled to part with a great portion of their produce at the unfavorable rates, to enable them to pay even a portion of their early kists, whilst their more fortunate neighbours from having other resources, are enabled to meet those demands retaining the whole of their produce in store, until a favorable Market offers for its disposal. These facts, and unusual low price, at which all produce has been selling, with the difficulties encountered by some of the poorer classes of Cultivators, had induced me, previous to the receipt of your letter under reply, to resolve on bringing their circumstances to the notice of the Board, and to solicit permission to grant such remissions, or extended periods of payment as the circumstances and condition of the different classes might call for, and I shall now briefly submit the general rules under which I had

Fort St. Georg 29th August 1842.

had proposed to be guided in suggesting those remissions, and which should be granted after personally visiting each Talook, a duty on which I proposed setting out about the 1st Proximo.

4 There are many Villages in this District in which the former settlement has been based on too low a scale, and which formed the subject of my report of the 8th February 1841. In these, and in others where the whole produce raised is insufficient for the actual consumption of the inhabitants, and who annually purchase grain, living and paying their kists by the profits of the manufacture of Salt, I would propose to allow no remissions nor would I recommend remissions being granted to such cultivators as had the means of paying their kists from other sources, or who had withheld payment retaining their entire produce in store as from the present state of the Market they will be able to dispose of it at an average price.

To

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

5. To those parties however who have done their best to meet the current demand by the sale of their produce at unfavorable rates, and who might find it difficult, without distress, to discharge the balances standing against them, I would recommend liberal remissions being granted, not only as a measure of justice, but on the score of prudence, as a rigid exaction of the balances under the unusual circumstances of the past year might injure the resources of many future ones.

6. The aggregate that it would be necessary to remit and to retain suspended, for many merely ask for time would probably amount from 40 to 50,000 Rupees, being 6 per cent on the aggregate demand of the Ryotwar Villages the net settlement of which amounted to Rupees 9,00,199-6-7 an amount that will not I conceive be considered excessive when the circumstances of the season are remembered.

7. The result of the revenue of the past Fusly cannot but be considered satisfactory, for on a comparision with

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Fasly	Amount		
1241	9,33,101	10	8
1242	5,66,408	7	3
1243	8,10,669	14	1
1244	8,40,136	11	8
1245	8,75,352	10	7
1246	9,18,482	3	-
1247	9,73180	15	3
1248	9,03,530	5	3
1249	1,14,172	11	10
1250	10,66936	11	1

with the small Statement exhibited in the margin, it will be observed that the land revenue of the District has been gradually rising for the last 10 years, and that even after the proposed remission the Beriz will with a single exception (1249) be in excess of any one of those years.

8. With reference to the remarks in the concluding portion of the 2nd Para of your letter under reply, I would beg to remark that the Puttamanygars in this District are unfortunately in the receipt of no allowance or remuneration for their duties, they merely share with the other Meerasidars of the Village in the enjoyment of the common rights, It will therefore be necessary in granting remissions to view them in the same light as other Individuals, considering your remark as one of general import to guide me in the steps to be pursued.

9. In returning the accompanying Petitions I would merely notice for

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

5

To those parties however who have done their best to meet the current demand by the sale of their produce at unfavorable rates, and who might find it difficult, without distress, to discharge the balances standing against them, I would recommend liberal remissions being granted, not only as a measure of justice, but on the score of prudence, as a rigid exaction of the balances under the unusual circumstances of the past year might injure the resources of many future ones.

6.

The aggregate that it would be necessary to remit and to retain suspended, for many merely ask for time would probably amount from 40 to 50,000 Rupees, being 6 per cent on the aggregate demand of the Ryotwar Villages the net settlement of which amounted to Rupees 9,00,199-6-7 an amount that will not I conceive be considered excessive when the circumstances of the season are remembered.

7.

The result of the revenue of the past Fusly cannot but be considered satisfactory, for on a comparision with

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Fusly	Amount		
1241	9,33,101	10	6
1242	5,16,408	7	3
1243	8,10,669	14	1
1244	8,40,136	11	8
1245	8,75,352	10	7
1246	9,12,082	3	"
1247	9,73,180	15	3
1248	9,03,530	5	3
1249	11,14,172	12	10
1250	10,66,936	11	1

with the small Statement exhibited in the margin, it will be observed that the land revenue of the District has been gradually rising for the last 10 years, and that even after the proposed remission the Beriz will with a single exception (1249) be in excess of any one of those years.

8.

With reference to the remarks in the concluding portion of the 2nd Para of your letter under reply, I would beg to remark that the Puttamanygars in this District are unfortunately in the receipt of no allowance or remuneration for their duties, they merely share with the other Ineerafsidars of the Village in the enjoyment of the common rights. It will therefore be necessary in granting remissions to view them in the same light as other Individuals, considering your remarks as one of general import to guide me in the steps to be pursued.

9

In returning the accompanying Petitions I would merely notice for

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

5

To those parties however who have done their best to meet the current demand by the sale of their produce at unfavorable rates, and who might find it difficult, without distress, to discharge the balances standing against them, I would recommend liberal remissions being granted, not only as a measure of justice, but on the score of prudence, as a rigid exaction of the balances under the unusual circumstances of the past year might injure the resources of many future ones.

6.

The aggregate that it would be necessary to remit and to retain suspended for many merely ask for time would probably amount from 40 to 50,000 Rupees, being 6 per cent on the aggregate demand of the Ryotwar Villages the net settlement of which amounted to Rupees 900,199- 6-7 an amount that will not I conceive be considered excessive when the circumstances of the season are remembered.

7.

The result of the revenue of the past Fusly cannot but be considered satisfactory, for on a comparision with

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Fusly	Amount		
1241	9,33,101	10	6
1242	5,16,408	7	3
1243	8,10,669	14	1
1244	8,40,136	11	8
1245	8,75,352	10	7
1246	9,18,482	3	-
1247	9,73180	15	3
1248	9,03,530	5	3
1249	11,14,172	11	10
1250	10,66,936	11	1

with the small Statement exhibited in the margin, it will be observed that the land revenue of the District has been gradually rising for the last 10 years, and that even after the proposed remission the Beriz will with a single exception (1249) be in excess of any one of those years.

8.

With reference to the remarks in the concluding portion of the 2nd Para of your letter under reply, I would beg to remark that the Puttamanygars in this District are unfortunately in the receipt of no allowance or remuneration for their duties, they merely share with the other Meerasidars of the Village in the enjoyment of the common rights; It will therefore be necessary in granting remissions to view them in the same light as other Individuals, considering your remark as one of general import to guide me in the steps to be pursued.

9

In returning the accompanying Petitions I would merely notice for

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

for the information of the Board that I observe the names of several individuals who are well able to defray the demands &c. against them from other sources than the actual produce of the past season, and who have as yet paid little more than half of their kists, on the plea that they had not yet disposed of any of their produce to those persons I deemed it unnecessary to shew any consideration, and their grain was attached, but there will not probably be any necessity for proceeding to extremities, as the mere intimation of my resolution to realize the revenue, will have the desired effect -

Chingleput District -----
Collector's Cutcherry -----
Pullecarney 24th August 1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector -

Proceedings thereon Para 1

53. 54

It is apparent from this communication that some indulgence to the poorer classes is required in the collection of the balances of Fusly 1251 in the Chingleput District but the information is not sufficiently explicit to enable the Board to pass a specific order

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

as to its nature and amount nor indeed is it desirable to do so while the outstanding balance is so large - The Collector should proceed deli=gently with the realization of the arrears which

Para 2. he states to be in regular course of legui=dation, and when the collections are more advanced, he will be able, especially after

Para 3. his proposed visit to the Talooks, to form a more decided judgment as to the capabilities of the various Ryots, and to inform the Bd Board more in detail to what amount and in what localities indulgence will be necessary or expedient - and the Board will then be prepared to give a favorable considera=tion to such a Communication -

2 - The Board approve generally of the principles stated by Mr. Freese in the 4th and 5th Paras of the letter under notice as those which would guide him in allowing indulgence, with reference to the 6th Para. they will defer the expression of any opinion as to the nature of the relief to be allowed, till better informed as to the effects of the season on the means of the defaulters

Read

55

From the Collector of Chingleput

56

From the Collector of Chingleput

55-57

Order on the two above-mentioned Statements

Read the undermentioned Statements-

Comparative Statement of Gross Collections for Fusly 1251-

Comparative Statement of Gross charges for Fusly 1251-

Ordered to be lodged in the office-

Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 2nd and entered in Consultation 15th Instant-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

To

The Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot-

Sir

Para 1- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 2nd Instant, reporting the proposal of Veelagavanam Moonniapah Chetty to Construct a dusswuntum Tank in the limits of Amoor in Cauneripauk Talook, and recommending that the permission sought be granted-

The-

58

To the Coll[r] in the N[th] D[n] of Arcot-

Authorizing him to accept under certain conditions the proposal of Veelagavanam Moonniapah Chetty to construct a Dusswuntum Tank in the Cauneripauk Talook-

In Con[n] 15th Septr 1842

2- The Board observe that the objections of the Chengaud Ryots to the proposed work on the plea of a prior right of water, have not been satisfactorily met- their claim cannot be set aside merely in default of a document to prove it; nor would it be just to deprive them of a supply of water which they appear to have enjoyed for at least fifty years, on the ground that the enjoyment does not extend to a still more remote period- As Moonniapah Chetty, moreover, intends to make the Tank much larger than the Ancient taungal, Chengaud will receive less water from the surplus than it did even when that was in existence- Provision it appears to the Board may be made, to the satisfaction of both parties, to settle this difference, by means of a Calingala in the new tank at a certain point and elevation, and to be opened and closed under certain fixed rules- Care must be taken also that the works not allowed, without the consent of those parties, whose land in the interior of the Tank will be flooded by its waters-

3- If these objections are satisfied the Board see no other obstacle to accepting Moonniapah Chetty's proposal, and confiding it to you to make an equitable arrangement regarding them- They authorize you

you to accept his offer and to enter into engagements with him accordingly - The terms shall be those of a Cundadusawundum = I. E. a computation shall be made of the extent of land that the tank will suffice to irrigate, and one fourth of that extent shall be given as Inam to the Dusawundadar, the calculation and consequent extent of Inam to be liable to correction, if found erroneous after one or two years trial - The remaining three fourths of the land not to be the property of the Dusawun= =dadar, but to be cultivated and brought to account in the same way as other Punjah land irrigated in the ordinary methods -

Revenue Board office -
Fort St. George - -
29th August 1842 -

} Signed J. Du Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Letters -

59

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r in the Nth Div.n of Arcot - -

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation bearing date the 28th Ultimo, conveyed in the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Instant, I have the honor to report that Mr. Boardillon Sub Collector of this District, is in Charge of the Cavelnuggur Jemindary as therein directed and Mr. Hall has been placed in charge of the Sub Division -

North Arcot - - - - - - - - -
Coll.r Arc.t Cutcherry - - - - -
Palmanair 11th August 1842 -

} Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded -

59. 60
J. C. R.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

61

From the Coll.r in the North Division Arcot

Requesting sanction for writing off the balance of the Frontier Sayer or rent of Fusly 1242 amounting to Rupees 15986 and to release Venkatasoy Chitty one of the Security confined in Jail under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 11th January 1841.

Sir,

Para 1 The correspondence noted in the Margen has reference to the Balances due by the Sayer Renters Tamanah and Chengalapah, who undertook the Rent of Fusly 1242 in the Chowkies on the Mysore Frontier - The Renters and their Securities were unable to fulfil their obligations and the Government were pleased to resolve under date 29th April 1839 that on the payment of Rupees 3000 by the Renters Securities, Chengalroy Chetty Collah Chennapah Chetty and Venkatasoy Chetty agreeably to the proposition made by these individuals the parties would be relieved from all obligations on account of the Rent in question - Subsequently on Venkatasoy Chetty refusing to abide by this arrangement he was confined in Jail as a Revenue Defaulter under the orders of the Board dated 11th January 1841 - This individual has not paid any portion of the amount stipulated, but the whole sum, Viz.t Rupees 3,000 has been paid by the other two persons - The terms of the engagement having been fulfilled I beg to recommend that the parties may be relieved from further responsibility and that the Balance of

Rent

you to accept his offer and to enter into engagements with him accordingly - The terms shall be those of a Cindadusawandum = i. E. a computation shall be made of the extent of land that the tank will suffice to irrigate, and one fourth of that extent shall be given as Enam to the Duswundadar, the calculation and consequent extent of Enam to be liable to correction, if found erroneous after one or two years trial - The remaining three fourths of the land not to be the property of the Duswun= =dadar, but to be cultivated and brought to account in the same way as other Punjah land irrigated in the ordinary methods -

Revenue Board office -
Fort St. George --
29th August 1842 - } /Signed/ J. Du Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letters -

59

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll. in the Wn. Dn. of Arcot --

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation bearing date the 28th Ultimo, conveyed in the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Instant, I have the honor to report that Mr. Bourdillon Sub Collector of this District, is in Charge of the Cavelnuggur Zemindary as therein directed and Mr. Hall has been placed in charge of the Sub Division -

North Arcot ----------
Coll. Circ. Cutcherry ----------
Palmanair 11th August 1842 - } /Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

Order thereon -

59 . 60

Ordered to be recorded -

J. C. B.

To -

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

61

From the Coll. in the North Division Arcot, Requesting sanction for writing off the balance of the Frontier Sayer rent of Fusly 1242 amounting to Rupees 15986 and to release Vencatarayah Chetty one of the Security confined in Jail under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 11th January 1841 -

Sir,

Para 1 The correspondence noted in the Margen has reference to the Balances due by the Sayer Renters Tamanah and Chengalapah, who underlook the Rent of Fusly 1242 in the Choukies on the Mysore Frontier - The Renters and their Securities were unable to fulfil their obligations and the Government were pleased to resolve under date 29th April 1839 that on the payment of Rupees 3000 by the Renters' Securities, Chengalroy Chetty Collah Chennapah Chetty and Vencatarayah Chetty agreeably to the proposition made by these individuals the parties would be relieved from all obligations on account of the Rent in question - Subsequently on Vencatroyah Chetty refusing to abide by this arrangement he was confined in Jail as a Revenue Defaulter under the orders of the Board dated 11th January 1841 - This individual has not paid any portion of the amount stipulated, but the whole sum, Viz. Rupees 3000 has been paid by the other two persons - The terms of the engagement having been fulfilled I beg to recommend that the parties may be relieved from further responsibility and that the Balance of

Rent

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Rent amounting to Rupees 15,936 — may be written off.

2 On the payment of the Rupees 3,000 in full Vencataroy Chetty was released from Jail and is in attendance at the Cutcherry pending the orders of the Board for his release. It does not appear that this individual has the means of discharging any portion of the Balance.

3 It appears that Cottia Chenapah Chetty and Soonka Chengalroya Chetty have received Rupees 2317-10-1 subsequently to the arrangement entered into by them on account of Decrees of Court in their favor. It further appears that a sum of Rs. 2,744-11-10 has been decreed in their favor, but not collected and that suits to the amount of Rupees 1,393-14- are still pending although 2,744-11-10 have been decreed in favor of these individuals it is uncertain what portion of this will be realized. A similar uncertainty exists in regard to the suits which are pending. Under these circumstances I am of opinion that it is inexpedient that further demands should be made against these individuals.

North Arcot, Coll.r's Cutcherry, Palmanair 13th August 1842

Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

61-62

To the Act.g Chief Sec.y to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot.

Dated 13th in Cons. 29th August 1842

2 In the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 29th April 1839 Government were pleased on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue to resolve that on the payment of Rupees three thousand by the Securities of the renters of the Frontier Chaukies

In Cons. 16th May 1842

for

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Rent amounting to Rupees 15926 — may be written off —

2 On the payment of the Rupees 3,000 in full Vencataroy Chetty was released from Jail and is in attendance at the Cutcherry pending the orders of the Board for his release. It does not appear that this individual has the means of discharging any portion of the Balance.

3 It appears that Cotta Chenna Pah Chetty and Soanka Chengalraya Chetty have received Rupees 2317-10-1 subsequently to the arrangement entered into by them on account of Decrees of Court in their favor. It further appears that a sum of Rs. 2,744-11-10 has been decreed in their favor, but not collected and that suits to the amount of Rupees 1393-14- are still pending although 2,744-11-10 have been decreed in favor of these individuals it is uncertain what portion of this will be realized. A similar uncertainty exists in regard to the suits which are pending — Under these circumstances I am of opinion that it is inexpedient that further demands should be made against these individuals —

North Arcot, Coll.r's Cutcherry, Palmanair 13th August 1842

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter —

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

(61-62)

To the Act.g Chief Sec.y to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot —

Dated 13th in Cons. 29th August 1842

2 In the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 29th April 1839 Government were pleased on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue to resolve that on the payment of Rupees three thousand by the securities of the renters of the Frontier Choukies

In Cons.t 16th May 1842

for

for fasly 1242. They should be relieved from all responsibility on account of their obligations for the above rent.—

3 This sum the Collector reports has been made good by two of the securities the third having suffered incarceration in default of discharging his proportion of it. Under these circumstances and with reference to the opinion expressed by M.r Goldingham in the concluding para of his letter, the Board beg to recommend that this balance of rent amounting to Rupees 15,986 may be struck out of the accounts and the securities released from all future demands against them on this account.

Chengalroy Chetty
Cottah Chennapah Chetty
Venkataroy Chetty

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S.t George,
29.th August 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon.
Secretary

Read

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S.t George

63

From the P.e Coll.r of Salem, stating that the person for whom application was made by him to instruct the Ryots in the manufacture of Sugar was entertained on the 1.st Sept.r 1840 to 30.th June 1842 and reporting the result of his employment.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your 1. Extract from Proceedings of the Board dated 18.th Ultimo, and with reference to the instructions therein conveyed to report, that the person for whom application was made to shew the ryots how to make up the produce of their sugar cane into a more profitable shape was entertained from the 1.st September 1840 to 30.th June 1842 during which time, he manufactured sugar in the Districts of Raizepoor, Trichengode, Tripatoor Denkencottah and Ayoor.—

2 I was induced to solicit the sanction of the Government for the employment of a person for the purpose abovementioned, in consequence of the estimation in which the Jaggery made from the small cane in the Raizepoor District was held but on making the proposal to the people there, had difficulty in inducing them to make the attempt, as all the Jaggery is generally contracted for before it is manufactured a few however allowed us the use of their cane and

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

and were satisfied that the manufacture was
profitable speculation the difficultty I
experienced during that season was, that being
uncertain of the success of the experiment and
therefore unwilling to put their crop in jeopar-
dy the Ryots would not consent to a trial which
they thought might entail loss and even they said
if the Sugar should turn out good they knew
of no market for it the Jaggery was taken off
their hands as soon as made the Sugar might
be for their own use. The sugar which was
made from the Canes of some of the more
wealthy ryots, yielded a price of from Rupees 2 p
to 2 3/4 per maund - now 6 maunds of Sugar is made
from the juice which would yield 10 maunds of
Jaggery the highest price of Jaggery is 3/4 Rupee per maund
so that from the same quantity of Cane juice
made into Sugar the return is at the lowest
Rupees 15. and made into Jaggery at the
highest Rupees 7 1/2. The value of the sugar is always
mented by 3 maunds of coarse Jaggery, which
can be made from the Molasses value Rupees
1/2 - Of all this, the people are now well aware
they want however a certain demand and ready
payment - I have had some very tolerable sugar
since forwarded to me as the manufacture
of the ryots -

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

3 At nearly the end of the Cane
season of 1840 I learned that a premium was
offered by the Agricultural society for Sugar,
the manufacture of the Madras provinces -
same was sent from this, to which the prize of
Rupees 350 was awarded that same Sugar I forward-
ed to England to test its value in the London markets
the brokers reported very favorably, and it sold
at 63/ the heavy duty however brought the
profits so low, that when all charges were
paid, the return made to the Ryot was what
he might have received here, Viz^t. about
2 3/4 R^s. a Maund -

4 The premium which the person
employed received from the Agricultural
Society, was employed by him after his
discharge, in making advances to the ryots for
the purchase of Cane juice in 1842 he has
made about 100 Maunds, a sample of which,
I forward per Cooley - this is made from the
Common Cane of the District and appears
to me fitted to find a sale at home at a
remunerating price, were not the duty so
excessively heavy the Sugar sent was ma-
-nufactured with the common articles used
by the ryots in the manufacture of their

Jaggery

and were satisfied that the manufacture was profitable speculation the difficultty I experienced during that season was, that being uncertain of the success of the experiment and therefore unwilling to put their crop in jeopardy the Ryots would not consent to a trial which they thought might entail loss and even they said if the Sugar should turn out good they knew of no market for it the Jaggery was taken off their hands as soon as made the Sugar might be for their own use. The sugar which was made from the Canes of some of the more wealthy ryots, yielded a price of from Rupees 2½ to 2¾ per Maund. Now 6 Maunds of Sugar is made from the juice which would yield 10 Maunds of Jaggery the highest price of Jaggery is ¾ Rupee per Maund, so that from the same quantity of Cane juice made into Sugar the return is at the lowest, Rupees 15, and made into Jaggery at the highest Rupees 7½. The value of the sugar is also augmented by 3 Maunds of coarse Jaggery, which can be made from the Molasses value Rupees 1½. Of all this, the people are now well aware they want however a certain demand and ready payment. I have had some very tolerable Sugar since forwarded to me as the manufacture of the ryots.

At

3. At nearly the end of the Cane season of 1840 I learned that a premium was offered by the Agricultural society for Sugar, the manufacture of the Madras provinces. some was sent from this, to which the prize of Rupees 350 was awarded that same Sugar I forwarded to England to test its value in the London market. the brokers reported very favorably, and it sold at 63/ the heavy duty however brought the profits so low, that when all charges were paid, the return made to the Ryot was what he might have received here, Viz.t, about 2¾ Rs. a Maund.

4. The premium which the person employed received from the Agricultural Society, was employed by him after his discharge, in making advances to the ryots for the purchase of Cane juice in 1842 he has made about 100 Maunds, a sample of which I forward per Cooley. this is made from the Common Cane of the District and appears to me fitted to find a sale at home at a remunerating price, were not the duty so excessively heavy the Sugar sent was manufactured with the common articles used by the ryots in the manufacture of their Jaggery

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Jaggery the mill used was the commun one of the Country there was only one boiler the Cooler was a Common trough, and it was placed to drip in bamboo baskets of this sort of pro=duce; India could send England a very large supply were the made of manufacture more ex=tensively known and the demand constant and returns quick.—

5. The person employed by me in 1840/41, is now in the employ of Mr. Pugh, a merchant of Madras, who has commenced the manufacture of Sugar in South Arcot— as the means of encouraging the ryot, it may perhaps please the Government to au=thorize the Troops in Bangalore to be supplied from the Company's provinces instead of Nundidroog from whence, all their supplies are now received the manufacture is an object of great importance, not only to England, but to this Country it increased the value of the produce a hundred per cent.—

Salem Zillah,
Office,
Pe. Collr. Cutchery,
15th August 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector

Order thereon
Read again on the 26th Sept.r— } Ordered to be brought for=ward at a future Meeting.—

63 . 64

To

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

65

From the Pe. Collr. of Salem.

Sir,

As requested in your letter of the 14th Ultimo I have the honor to— forward a translate in Hindoostanee of the revised Coole and Hookummanah of this district these I have formed as much as possible to suit the oft repeat=ed sentiments of both the Board and Govern=ment that Cowles are got and held on too easy terms in the Salem district— if their provi=sions are carried into effect, I think they will do all that is expected in checking the too liberal terms on which the Salem lands are supposed to be held, but I confess, that taking into consideration the means of the great Mass of poorer ryots occupying the Salem lands and the greater extent of waste land lying useless either to the Government or the people had I not been influenced by the orders received I— should have felt inclined to be— more lenient in the terms of occupation

As

* See Fasly 1251—94833, paying under 10 Rupees.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

As I have no Translator on whom I can perfectly depend, I beg that the accompanying translate may be examined at the Board by one accustomed to the duty.—

Salem Zillah,
Office - - - - -
Pe. Coll.rs Cutcherry
15th August 1842.

} Signed / J. D. Lyeig
Pe. Collector

Order thereon

(65. 66)

Ordered to lie on the table

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(67)

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.t of Arcot - - - -

Sir,

I have the honor to return the revised copy of the Law relating to India, which accompanied your letter of the 18th Ultimo, the necessary alteration having been made in the 2d. Edition of the same work in the records of this Office

Cuddalore, - - -
Coll.rs Cutcherry
22d August 1842

} Signed / C. H. Hallett
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

(67. 68)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

From the Collector in the S.th D.t of Arcot - - -

Read Abstract Statement

(69)

shewing the number of persons Charged Convicted Acquitted and forward to the Criminal Judge and Number of appeals preferred and disposed of under provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 from 1st January to 30th June last.—

(69. 70)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts when an Abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted to Government.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(71)

From the Asst Pe. Coll.r of Tanjore

Sir,

With reference to the

9569 Fort St. George 29th August 1842

the Boards Proceedings of
under date the 13th June
last I have the honor to
inform you that the highest
offers for the Abkarry Rent for
Fasly 1252, Viz.t Rs. 65,810 have been
accepted.—

Tanjore, ----
Negapatam, ---
12th August 1842,
/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Ag.t Pn.l Collector

Order thereon
71-72

Ordered to be recorded

Read

S.B.

9570 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Read the following Letter—

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

73

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly—

With reference to the
Boards Circular Letter of the 3d
October 1839, I have the honor to
report for their information that
Runga Row the Head Serishtadar
of this District died this Morning—

Trichinopoly,
Coll.r Cutcherry,
18th August 1842.
/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector—

Order thereon—

73-74

Ordered to be recorded—

Read the following
Account Current and Letter—

Salt

9571

Fort St. George 29th August 1812.

Salt Account Current in the District of Madura & Dindigul, for the quarter ending 11th July 1812.

75

	Garce	Mds	Mrs	Garce	Mds	Mrs
Ramnad & Shevagunga —						
Balance of Salt on hand, on the commencement of the present Fusly				990	285	1 3/4
1812 July 11th Amount manufactured up to the end of — :						
last quarter	2827	17	5			
Dr. in this quarter	143	37	0			
				2971	11	5
Gained by measurement to the end of last quarter	14	22	7			
Dr. by Dr. in this quarter	3	38	4			
				18	21	1
Total Salt				2989	33	6
				3980	21	1 3/4

Ramnad & Shevagunga

			Garce	Mds	Mrs	Garce	Mds	Mrs
Total Sales to the end of last quarter	For Inland Consumption		238	21	5			
	For Home Consumption		1161	17	7			
						1699	39	2
1812 July 11th Sales in this quarter	For Inland Consumption		152	30	5			
	For Home Consumption		713	7	1			
						865	37	6
						2565	36	8
Wastage						"	"	"
						2565	36	8
Balance of Salt on hand						1414	27	3 1/4
Total Salt						3980	21	1 3/4

Dr

9572

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Dr. Money Account Current for the quarter ending to 11th July 1842.

Cr.

		Clr. A	Clr. A
Total Sales to the end of last quarter	For Inland Consumption	24,596 7	
	For Home Consumption	153,401 7 6	17,997 11 6
Sales in this quarter	For Inland Consumption	15,690 1	
	For Home Consumption	74,883 10 2	
		90,573 11 2	
			26,857 9 8
Recovery of Advances to the Manufacturers in the last quarter		994 8	
Do Do in this quarter		994 8	
			2695 6 8
Total Company's Rupees			269566 1 8

N.B. Balance as per last quarter 3438
Rowanahs received in this quarter 7992
11,430
Do issued in do 2948
" Remaining on the 11th July 1842 8,485

Madura, 11th July 1842

E. E. (Signed) F. Blackburne
Prin. Collr.

Ordered

Cr.

		Clr. A	Clr. A
By Servants Wages to the end of last quarter		8,411 14 5	
By Do Do in this quarter		4,208 "	12,622 14 5
By payment to the Manufacturers to the end of last quarter		29,688 1 5	
By Do Do in this quarter		1511 3 5	
			31,199 4 10
By Roozoons & Manufacturers' Commuted share, to the end of last quarter		153 1 8	
By do do in this quarter		91 14 10	275 " 6
By Charges extraordinary, including Sunderward, to the end of last quarter		1999 "	
By do do in this quarter		1333 "	932 12 "
By advances to the Manufacturers to the end of last quarter		6912 8 "	
By do do in this quarter		" "	6,912 8 "
			51,942 7 9
Nett Revenue	To the end of last quarter	132,994 4 "	
	In this quarter	84,629 5 11	
			217,623 9 11
Total Company's Rupees			269566 1 8

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded —

75-76

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura — 77

Sir

I have the honor to apprize you that Biccaye Row, Cashkeeper in the time of Mr. Peter, was convicted of embezzlement, sentenced to lengthened confinement, with hard labor on the roads, and awarded to pay back a large sum of money, of which sum a lac was recovered. When the period of his final confinement expired he was released on my representation to the Board as an insolvent pauper. He has a claim against the late Native fund to the amount of Rupees 26h-2-5 which has been ordered for payment with all other claims of this nature. The debt from Biccaye Row has been adjusted and written off

off the accounts, under date the 27th August 1836, and I request the Board's opinion if it should not be given to him —

Signed J. Blackburne
Pro. Jr. Collector —

Madura, 13th August 1812.

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again in Circulation —

77-78

Read the following letters.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

79

Sir

From the Pr. Coll.r of Canara

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board of Revenue —

3d August 1812 Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector Mr. Lushington, reporting the seizure of Co.s Rup.s 1-1-11 of smuggled Betelnut, on the Eastern frontier of the Soudah Talook —

2. The circumstances, under which the seizure was effected, are fully detailed by Mr. Lushington, and as no reasonable doubt can exist of the article having been clandestinely conveyed beyond the Chowkies, where the Halut duty should have been levied,

9573 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

(75-76)

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Pt. Collr. of Madura

(77)

I have the honor to apprize you that Biccaje Row, Cashkeeper in the time of Mr. Peter, was convicted of embezzlement, sentenced to lengthened confinement, with hard labor on the roads, and awarded to pay back a large sum of money, of which sum a lac was recovered. When the period of his final confinement expired he was released on my representation to the Board as an insolvent pauper. He has a claim against the late Native fund to the amount of Rupees 264-2-5 which has been ordered for payment with all other claims of this nature. The debt from Biccajee Row has been adjusted and written off

9574 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

off the accounts, under date the 27th August 1836, and I request the Board's opinion if it should not be given to him.

Madura, 13th August 1842

Signed J. Blackburne

Pro. Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

(77-78)

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

(79)

Sir Fort St. George.

From the Pt. Collr. of Canara

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board of Revenue,

3d August 1842. Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector Mr Lushington, reporting the seizure of Co. Pds. 11—1—11 of smuggled Betelnut, on the Eastern frontier of the Soudah Talook.

2. The circumstances, under which the seizure was effected, are fully detailed by Mr Lushington, and as no reasonable doubt can exist of the article having been clandestinely conveyed beyond the Chowkies, where the Salt duty should have been levied,

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

levied, with the view of evading payment of the same, I request the Board will sanction the sale of the Betelnut, and authorize the distribution of the Proceeds according to Regulations.

Prin.l Coll.r Cutcherry, Mangalore, 9th August 1842.

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Prin.l Collector.

A

To
The Principal Collector of
Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue, for the confiscation of the quantity of Betelnut shown in the Margin which was seized on the 9th July in the Jungle of Naginkerry close to the Eastern frontier of the Sonda Talook, under the circumstances stated below.

2 Information having been given to the Police Jemadar at Mulghy, to the effect that several bags of Betelnut had been seen secreted in the Naginkerry Jungle, by a Villager who had been out in search of game, he at once proceeded to the spot, and found eleven Bullocks packs

Duplicate Sanctioned
& Mr. H. M. Blair Esq.
Secretary Board

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

packs filled with Betelnut, and one Cooly load of the same Article. On searching the Jungle in the Vicinity the Bullocks were found under charge of a person who gave his name as Benah, and upon his statement 4 other persons who gave their names as Sheva Roodrapah, Buswannee Soobennah, and Kensha, inhabitants of the Dharwar district, were arrested in a Village in the neighbourhood. Of these persons Sheva Roodrapa claims 4 of the cattle as his property, Buswannee three, and Soobennah the remaining four animals. Sheva Roodrapa and Buswannee when seized both stated that the Betelnut did not belong to them, that they know nothing about it, and had on that morning arrived within the Boundaries of this District from the Dharwar District, bringing with them their cattle to graze in the Jungles, and repeated this statement before me. The 3d person Soobennah states that the Betelnut belongs to Sheva Roodrapa and Buswannee, and that he was hired by them for the trip, that the Betelnut was purchased at some Village in the Sonda Talook the name of which is unknown to him, and that it was brought by pathways through the Jungle to the spot where it was seized. Through very gross negligence on the part of the Police the 3 other persons, Beenah and Kencha, who appear to have been Coolies, were

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

were allowed by the Police to escape from Mulghe and have not since been reapprehended.—

3. There is thus no other direct proof of the parties abovenamed being the owners of the Betel nut than the statement of Soobeinah, although from the fact of their having been found in the Vicinity of the spot where it was discovered, and of the number of Cattle in their possession corresponding exactly with the number of Bags on which the Betelnut was packed, there appears to exist no doubt of their having been the parties who were engaged in smuggling the Betelnut, and who had placed it in the spots where it was found. As the spot is at least 12 Miles in advance of any of the Chowkies at which, under the existing Regulations, the Hawlu duty ought to have been levied, the fact of its having been smuggled is clearly established, and under these circumstances I trust that sanction may be granted for its confiscation and sale, and the distribution of the proceeds in accordance with the Regulations. The parties who are entitled to the reward are Hajjoo Saib the informant, and the Captors consisting of the Jemmadar of the Mulgy Police, with 3 of his Peons.

Sirce, Canara
3d August 1842

(Signed) J. D. Lushington
Ag. Sub Collector.

Resolution thereon.—1.— Under the circumstances set forth in the foregoing letter and the correspondence which accompanies it, the Board authorize the confiscation of the Betelnut, the seizure of which is therein reported, and the distribution of the proceeds according to the regulations.

79-80

2. The Principal Collector will direct that the Cattle, seized at the same time, be released and restored to their respective owners.—

To

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

81

From the Pr. Coll.r of Canara

No. 386 of 1840

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue, a translation of an original and a supplemental plaint in which I have been called upon to give up the Government right to a varg, to which the Plaintiff claims a proprietary right.—

The circumstances under which the present claim is advanced by the Plaintiff are fully stated in his plaint.—They are not such as in any opinion give him any right to the ownership of the estate in question. The Board is aware, that the proprietary right to lapsed vargs is only conceded to the legitimate heirs of the original proprietors but in the present instance the Plaintiffs claim is solely founded on his relationship to a Lease holder of the varg, which is even called in question by other Defts. I would beg to observe, that the particulars of this

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

this case entirely corresponind with the one brought to the natice of the Board in my letter of the 1st October last.—

3 Under these circumstances, I request the Board will obtain the sanction of Government for the defence of this suit at the public expences.—

4 I beg to enclose a draft of an answer which I have prepared to be filed in the suit, for the approval of the Board.—

Presd. Coll. Cutcherry. — — —
Mangalore 11th August 1842 } Signed H. M. Blair
Presd. Collector

No. 1

Translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 386 of 1840 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara dated 19th September 1840.—

Plaintiff
Chendiah Nephew of Sunnarce Timapa Nanaya of the Maya Village, Bellal Magany in the Buntwal Talook:—

Versus

Defendants.
1st Padma Nanaya, inhabitant of the same Village and his sons 2nd Dammo Shetty 3rd Deva Shetty 4th Elliana Shetty and 5th Machar Kristna, Bullaminaya of the Bajiry Village in the Bellthungady Magany.—

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Is claim from the 5 Defendants as follows.—

" My uncle Timapa Nanaya having registered in his name the Mooly vurg of Pagodas 13-2-1 and Circar Gueny vurg of Pagodas 9-1-3 making a total of Pagodas 22-3-4 which were acquired by his uncle Shunkra Nanaya, enjoyed the lands together with house, property, cattle, Dhers &c. attached to them; and provided all members of the family and myself with food The said Timapa Nanaya died now about 20 years ago, and myself being then about 2 years old was under the age to take up the management of our affairs My mother and sister were females therefore the Noktesars of our Magany, sent for the first Deft. who was our Cohein that is to say a distant relation of our family and made over all the property to his charge on condition of carefully looking after it and of restoring it all to me when I might attain to a competent age. While he was thus managing the estate, when I grew up, I began to demand the restoration of my property since these four or five years. Hence the 1st Defendant has acted with hostile feelings towards me

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

me, and instead of transferring the pro-
perty gave the said Gueny vurg of 9-1-3 of
Beriz as anny atha or gift to his sons
the 2d 3d and 4th defendants, and pretended that
the other Moolly vurg was mortgaged to the fifth
defendant whom he also instigated to bring
a law Suit in No. 122 of this year in the Court
of Poperungaddy Moonsiff and moreover
drove us out of our house. I then presented
a petition to the Moonsiff who rejected
it whereupon in the month of July I gave
in an arzee to the Court, in Consequence
of which the aforesaid suit was called up
and entered in No. 242 on the Court File
and is now pending before the Pundit
Sudr Ameen to whom it was referred
As the 1st Defendant forsooth not the
least title to the vurgs of P. F. C. 23-3-4 entered in my
Uncles name, to the house or property therein
he had no right to make the donation in
favor of the 2d 3d & 4th defendants, nor to mortgage
to the 5th defendant, both which acts must
be invalid. I therefore presented a petition
to the Pundit Sudr Ameen on that
account who in reply directed me to institute

a

Fort St. George 29 August 1842

a Suit. I therefore claim from the defendants
the following land yielding Rupees 92 pro-
duce Viz.t.

The Byle land called Furrary being P. F. C.

12 Moodies at 1 Pagoda per Moodie 12-0-0

15 Moodies Mazul land at ½ Pag. each 7-5-0

7 d.o Bett land at ¼ Pag. each 1-7-8

Rent for a piece of the Garden 1-7-8

Pagodas 23, or

Rupees 92-0-0

Together with the property hereunder men-
tioned Storied house, with out houses &
valued at about Rupees 100-0-0

Dhers of Merr caste Tama Mooka,
Tamma Toma Tamma Koka young valued 68-0-0

Dhers of Marry-Caste, Ungara, sons
Toma, Tania, Kurka, Tamma Toma
young Tamma Ungara, females
Bollya Doddy Mudarroo, Malaty
young and two little children
without names 116-0-0

4 Pairs Buffaloes 64-0-0

6 d.o Bullocks 60-0-0

7 She Buffaloes with 7 youngs. 80-0-0

4 Young Buffaloes 24-0-0

12 Cows with 5 Calves & 6 Bullocks 92-0-0

Gold

me: and instead of transferring the Pro:
perty gave the said Gneny vurg of 9-1-37
Pd
Beirig as annyatha or gift to his sons
the 2d 3d and 4 defendants, and pretended that
the other Moolly vurg was mortgaged to the fifth
defendant whom he also instigated to bring
a law suit in No. 122 of this year in the Court
of Cosserungaddy Moonsiff and moreover
drove us out of our house. I then presented
a petition to the Moonsiff who rejected
it whereupon in the month of July I gave
in an arzee to the Court, in Consequence
of which the aforesaid suit was called up
and entered in No. 242 on the Court file
and is now pending before the Pundit
Sudr Ameen to whom it was referred
As the 1st Defendant professes not the
least title to the vurgs of P. 23-3-4 entered in my
Uncles name, to the house, or property therein
he had no right to make the donation in
favor of the 2d 3d & 4th defendants, nor to mortgage
to the 5th defendant, both which acts must
be invalid. I therefore presented a petition
to the Pundit Sudr Ameen on that
account who in reply directed me to institute

a

a Suit. I therefore claim from the defendants
the following land yielding Rupees 92 pro:
duce Viz.t —

The Byle land called Furrary being P. F. C.
12 Moodies at 1 Pagoda per Moodie 12-0-0
15 Moodies Mazul land at ½ Pag: each 7-5-0
7 d.o Bett land at ¼ Pag: each — 1-7-8
Rent produce of the Garden — 1-7-8

Pagodas — 23, or

Rupees — 92-0-0

Together with the property hereunder men:
tioned Storied house, with out: houses &
valued at about — Rupees 100-0-0

Dhers of Merr caste Toma Mooka,
Sanna Toma Sanara Koka young valued 68 0 0
Dhers of Marry caste, Ungara, sons
Toma, Tania, Kurta, Sanna Toma
young / Sanna Ungara, females
Bollya Doddy Mudarroo, Malaty
young and two little children
without names — 116 0 0
4 Pairs Buffaloes — 64 0 0
6 d.o Bullocks — 60 0 0
7 She Buffaloes with 7 youngs. 80 0 0
4 Young Buffaloes — 24 0 0
12 Cows with 5 Calves & 6 Bullocks 92 0 0

Gold

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

1 Gold Bangle ——— 40 " "

1 Pair of large Earrings set with Emerald and Ruby stones ——— 50 " "

1 Pavitra Pongra or blessed ring weighing 3 Pags ——— 13 8 "

1 Bandy Jewel weighing 12 Pags ——— 36 " "

1 Silver Zone weighing a seer ——— 24 " "

1 do. Chain weighing a seer ——— 22 " "

Russund Casper and Brass vessels weighing 1½ Maund ——— 25 " "

34 Moonas of seed Paddy ——— 25 " "

Ploughs Yokes troughs Pickaxes Momotees 8 ea. ——— 25 " "

The Hoolwally of Circar Gonenyoung of 9-13 ⅌ ea

Beriz is as follows:

13½ Moodas Byle land ———	13	5	"
2 do. Mazal land ———	1	"	"
2½ do. Bett do. ———	"	6	4
Garden ———	1	5	12
Total	16	7	"
Total land and Garden yeilding	158	3	20
House 8 ea. value ———	100	"	"
Dhers ———	184	"	"
Cattle ———	320	"	"
Gold & Silver daticles ———	185	8	"
Casper & ea. Pots ———			
seed Ploughs 8 ea. ———	75	"	"

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

I pray that the Defendants may be sent for and examined the claim of the 5th Deft. on the ground of a fraudulent mortgage may be cancelled and the said land, house, property, &c. ordered to be restored to me. Following is the particulars of Dhers, Cattle and other property.—

Merr Dhers ₨

Toma ———	20
Torkra ———	20
Sunna Toma ———	20
Koka (young) ———	8

Marry Dhers

Ungara Toma, Toniah & Kutaal

10 Rupees each ———	40
Sunna Tomah ———	4
Sunna Ungara ———	4
3 Females, Bollya, Doddy &c Madaroo at 20 each ———	60
Malaty ———	4
2 little children ———	4

Cattle.—

4 Pairs Buffaloes @	16
Rupees 16 ⅌ pair ———	64
6 Pairs Bullocks @ 10 do. ———	60
7 She Buffaloes @ 10 do. ———	70
3 Young do. @ 1½ do. ———	4 -8- "

young

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

3 d. the d. at 1/2 d. ——— 4 - 8 - "

1 d. ——— 1 " "

4 Young Buffaloes at 6
Rupees each ——— 24 " "

12 Cows at 5 d. ——— 60 " "

5 Young Calves @ 4 P. each ——— 20 " "

6 ditto Bullocks @ 2 d. 12 " "

2 Copper pots weighing 20 lbs

2 d. Water pots d. 14 - 14

2 Brass & Copper Tumballoos 3

1 Rufsund Gundig ——— 2

2 d. Plates ——— 3

42 lbs. at the rate of 1/2 Rs. per lb

16 Yokes ——— 8

32 Ploughs at 1/4 each ——— 8

1 Mill Stone ——— 3

1 d. d. ——— 3

5 Wooden Troughs ——— 1

2 Mamoties ——— 1.

1 Pickaxe ——— 1

/ Signed / Sir Chundaya
/ A true Translation /
/ Signed / H. M. Blair
Principal Asst.
Supplemental

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Supplemental Plaint in Suit No. 386 of 1840 presented by Padro Vaz Vakeel for the Plff. Chendiah 10th June 1842.

The Plff Chendiah brought an action against five defendants Padma Nayaya Dummoo Shetty, Dhevo Shetty, Ellianna Shetty and Krishna Bullemaniaya for the recovery of the real and personal property. But the 1st and 2nd defendants contend that of the property claimed, the land assessed at 9-1-3 situated in the maya village Bullal Nagary of the Buntwal Talook is a circar Guany vurg, and that the Collector having confirmed it to the 2nd defendant the latter enjoys the vurg as its Moolgar. The Plff. on the other hand contends that the Collector cannot on any account transfer the proprietary right of the land to the 2nd defendant which was enjoyed by the Plff. since his ancestors time. Under these circumstances in order to remove the objection which the first and 2nd defendants make on the ground of the land having been given by the Collector, the Court directed the Plff. to sue the Collector also for it.

J.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

3 do. the do. at 1½ do. ——— 4 – 8 – "

1 do. ——— 1 " "

4 Young Buffaloes at 6

Rupees each ——— 24 " "

12 Cows at 5 do. ——— 60 " "

5 Young Calves at 4 P. each — 20 " "

6 Ditto Bullocks at 2 do. 12 " "

2 Copper pots weighing 20 lbs

2 do. Water pots do. 14 – 14

2 Brass & Copper Tumballoes 3

1 Kulsund Gundig ——— 2

2 do. Plates ——— 3

42 lbs. at the rate of ½ R. per lb

16 Yokes ——— 8

32 Ploughs at ¼ each ——— 8

1 Mill Stone ——— 3

1 do. do. ——— 3

5 Wooden Troughs ——— 1

2 Mamoties ——— 1

1 Pickaxe ——— 1

/Signed/ Sir Chendaya

/A true Translation/

/Signed/ H. M. Blair —

Principal Collr.

Supplemental

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Supplemental Plaint in Suit No. 386 of 1840 presented by Padro Vaz Vakeel for the Plff. Chendiah 10th June 1842. ——

The Plff Chendiah brought an action against five defendants Padma Namaya Dummoo Shetty, Dhevo Shetty, Elliamma Shetty and Krishna Bullemmaya for the recovery of the real and personal property. But the 1st and 2nd defendants contend that of the property claimed, the land appeared at 9-1-3 situated in the Maya village Bullal Magany of the Buntwal Talook is a Circar Guemy vurg, and that the Collector having confirmed it to the 2nd defendant the latter enjoys the vurg as its Moolgar. The Plff on the other hand contends that the Collector cannot on any account transfer the proprietary right of the land to the 2nd defendant which was enjoyed by the Plff since his ancestors time Under these circumstances in order to remove the objection which the first and 2nd defendants make on the ground of the land having been given by the Collector the Court directed the Plff to sue the Collector also for it —

J —

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

I therefore pray that Mr. Blair the Principal Collector of this District may be sent for and examined and after doing away the difficulties now raised by the Defts. that the Court will decree all the property as stated in the original plaint to be restored to the Plaintiff.—

/Signed/ Pedro Vas. Vakeel

/A True Translation/

/Signed/ H. M. Blair.
Principal Collector

No 2.—

Draft of Answer.—

The Plaintiff in his supplemental Plaint sues the Principal Collector for having confirmed to the 2nd Defendant as to the
Mool the vurg assessed at 9-1-3 situated in the Village of Maya Bellal Magany in the Bantwal Talook which the Plaintiff states was enjoyed as his own since the time of his ancestors.—

The vurg in question appears to have been abondoned by its Proprietor and fallen under the Circar management long before the accession of the Country by the Company's Government; since. In which

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

which time the vurg has continued to be rented by the Circar as is clearly shewn by the Chitta itself. In the beginning it was first rented to Timapa Nanaya, and after his death to Padma Nanaya for cultivation. For some years the Settlement was paid according to Thara. Since Fusly 1237 the occupant represented the decrease in the produce, as well as his inability to improve the Vurg and obtained remissions, so that it fell below the Thara as much as 1/3 in amount in Fusly 1240. At this time he was considered incapable of bringing the estate into a profitable state and the Talook Officers were directed to procure eligible Tenants for its cultivation. A Lurkast having been made by the 2nd Deft to pay the full demand thereon, and as on inquiry nothing appeared to render its acceptance inexpedient the estate was granted to him. The former Cultivator Timapa Nanaya not possessing any proprietary right to the Vurg, it follows of course that the Plff cannot have any just claim to the ownership on the ground of his being heir to that person

The

9589 Fort St. George 29th August 1842

The Plff. himself acknowledges in his original plaint that the property he claims is a Circar Enemy estate a designation conveying in itself the indisputable proprietary right of Government to the estate. Under these circumstances the Plff. can have no just demand against supplemental defendant and it will be proved to the Court in the course of the pleadings that the Plff. has sued him without any good reason for so doing.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter.

H

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders

(81 - 82)

To the Actg Chief Secretary to Government } Paral.
Submitting a letter from the Pr. Coll. of Canara

9590 Fort St. George 29th August 1842

reporting the institution of a suit in the Zillah Court vs. against himself for the recovery of certain land and recommending that the Pr. Coll. be empowered to defend it on account of Govt.

11th Encl. 29 August 1842

of Government the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara together with its enclosures having reference to a suit instituted in the Zillah Court of Canara against the Principal Collector which he solicits authority to defend at the Government charge.

The action in question is brought by one Ryat against some others for the recovery of a Wurg to which the Plaintiff claims a proprietary right; and the defence being set up that the Wurg in question is a Circar lapsed Wurg, the Principal Collector is made a Defendant in a supplemental Plaint, by permission of the Court. Mr. Blair states in his draft of an answer that the property is as alleged by 1st and 2nd Defendants

Fort St. George 29th August 1842

Defendants &c Circar Guiny Wurg having lapsed prior to the British assumption and been uniformly leased by Government since that event. The individual from whom Plaintiff professes to derive his title is stated by Mr. Blair to have been a renter of the Wurg and Consequently to have had no proprietary right in it; and this fact he states will be proved before the Court. Under these circumstances the Plaintiff cannot possess a title to the land, and the Board recommend that the Principal Collectors application to be empowered to defend the suit on account of Government should be Complied with —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

93

From the Pt. Collr of Canara. } Sir,

1. — I have the honor to forward translations of 2 Plaints in a suit brought against me and 5 others, together with a draft of an answer which I propose to file to it, for the consideration and approval of the Board.

2. The circumstances under which the present Suit is prosecuted, are detailed in the accompanying draft of answer. The Plaintiff claims the circar waste, as included in the deed of Sale of a certain portion of a Wurg, transferred to him, which had previously passed through Several hands.

3. It will be well known to the Board the extent to which Circar waste lands have been fraudulently appropriated in this district; and that owing to the remissness of the public Servants in former times in bringing these frauds to light, much of the waste or Coolnustland cannot now be discovered. In order to check Similar frauds in future, and to protect the Governmen

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.-

Government Interests I consider it necessary that the present Suit should be defended, and I request the sanction of Government may be obtained as early as possible for its being conducted at the public expence.-

Princl. Collrs. Cutcherry
Mangalore - -
11th August 1842.-

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 171. of 1840 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara

Plaintiff-Ananta Shetty- Versus 1 Imam Saheb.
2 Loressa 3 Motessa
4 Soubboo Baye and
5 Krishnayya.-

Jagny Prabhoo father to the 2nd 3rd and 4th defendants, in order to redeem the garden transferred to one Beemjee Haridas, bearing an assessment of Hoons 4-11 fs. as enjoyed by him from the time of his ancestors, and forming a portion of a Warg registered in the name of Sunna Bussaya, situated in the said Bolloor Village, received from the 1st deft, the Sum of Hoons 25-1-4. and executed a deed of Sale for the Said Garden

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.-

Garden, half a Mooda of Bettoo fields as well as his share of a Hassagunnay ground, Specifying the boundaries. The 1st deft accordingly paid the Beriz, and enjoyed it, and on the 18th August 1839 in consideration of Hoons 23-- receeived from me, executed a transfer deed for the Same. I have laid out great Sums for improvement on the Same, planted cocoanut, and Plantain Trees &ca, and enjoy it. As the 1st deft. however did not cu present a petition for the Beriz being collected from me, and has paid the same himself for one year, and he and the 5th deft instigated the remaining defts to prefer complaints, and otherwise disturb my possession of the ground, I pray that the defendants may be sent for and examined, and may be ordered not to interfere with my possessing the ground.-

(Signed) Ananta Shetty-

(True Translation)

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.

Translation of a Supplemental Plaint in Suit No. 171 of 1840.-

Ananaty Shetty Plaintiff

in

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.-

Government Interests I consider it necessary that the present Suit should be defended, and I request the Sanction of Government may be obtained as early as possible for it's being conducted at the public expence.-

Rect. Coll.rs Cutcherry
Mangalore - -
11th August 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 171. of 1840 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara

Plaintiff Ananta Shetty- Versus 1 Imam Saheb
2 Loresa 3 Matesa
4 Sonkoo Baye and
5 Krishnayar.

Jagmy Prabhoo father to the 2nd 3rd and 4th defendants, in order to redeem the garden transferred to one Beemjee Haridas, bearing an assessment of Hoons 4-11 Fs As enjoyed by him from the time of his ancestors, and forming a portion of a Warg registered in the name of Punna Buswayi, situated in the said Bolloor Village, received from the 1st deft, the Sum of Hoons 25-1-4 Hs Fs As and executed a deed of Sale for the said Garden

Garden, half a Mooda of Bettoofields as well as his share of a Hassagunnay ground, Specifying the boundaries. The 1st deft accordingly paid the Beriz, and enjoyed it, and on the 18th August 1839 in consideration of Hoons 23-- received from me executed a transfer deed for the same. I have laid out great Sums for improvement on the same planted cocoanut, and Plantain Trees &ca, and enjoy it. As the 1st deft, however did not present a petition for the Beriz being collected from me, and has paid the same himself for one year and he and the 5th deft instigated the remaining defts to prefer complaints, and otherwise disturb my possession of the ground, I pray that the defendants may be sent for and examined, and may be ordered not to interfere with my possessing the ground.-

(Signed) Ananta Shetty-

(True Translation)

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.

Translation of a Supplemental Plaint in Suit No. 171 of 1840.-

Ananty Shetty Plaintiff

in

in Suit No. 171 of 1840 against 1 Imam Saib 2 Lowfsa, 3 Motafsa 4 Sooboo Bye and 5 Krishnaya, claimed hettoo fields, garden &c. producing Rupees 12, as stated in the Plff's deed of sale.- The above includes a portion of a ground situated in the Bollaor Village Codyal Mogany in the Mangalore Talooke, containing Mango, Cajoo, and Gooly trees, and Bamboo bushes, and bounded on the East by the highway, on the South by a lane, on the North by an open ground, and on the West by a wall of the garden attached to Sunna Buswaya's Vurg included in the Plff's deed of Sale.- The Sub Collector Mr. Anderson declared the whole ground, including the half share of copartner Joas, to be Hofsuguny and the Court, in order to remove his objections, ordered to make him deft in the Suit.- I as, Vakeel for the Plaintiff, beg that the said Gentleman being absent from the Payen Ghaut the Principal Collector as the Head of the Depart:=ment may be examined with the defts, and that the ground being included in the deed of Sale executed by the owner Jaguy Probhoo

Probhoo who has been paid the consideration of his labor and improvements thereon, may be assessed and added to the Vurg in question and the garden &c. Sued for, may adjudged to the Plff.

/Signed/ Vakeel Anantaya

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H. M. Blair

Princ. Collector.

Draft of answer.-

In this Suit the Plaintiff prays that out of a ground paying a Beriz of Fs. - - 9 - 6 as enjoyed by João Probhoo alias Pascoo Siquery, and Jaguy Sequery alias Jaguy Probhoo, forming part of the co Bollaor Sunga Buswaya Vurg; the latter's Share of Fs. - - 4 - 11 as Beriz having been transferred to him, he may be put in possess:=sion of it; and also that the Collector should be ordered to assess Jaguy Probhoo's Share of a Hofsuguny ground newly culti:=vated by them near the said Vurg, Situated in the Bollaor Village of the Codyal Mogany.-

It is only incumbent on the Supplemental

in Suit No. 171 of 1840 against 1 Imam Saib 2 Lowessa, 3 Motessa 4 Sooboo Bye and 5 Krishnaya, claimed hettoo fields, garden Vcas producing Rupees 12, as stated in the Plffs deed of sale. The above includes a portion of a ground situated in the Bellur Village Codyal Mogany in the Mangalore Talook, containing Mango, Cajoa, and Gooly trees, and Bamboo bushes, and bounded on the East by the highway, on the South by a lane, on the North by an open ground, and on the West by a wall of the garden attached to Sunna Buswaya's Vurg included in the Plff's deed of Sale. The Sub Collector Mr. Anderson declared the whole ground, including the half share of copartner Joas, to be Hofsagumy and the Court, in order to remove his objections, ordered to make him deft in the Suit. Jas, Vakeel for the Plaintiff, beg that the said Gentleman being absent from the Payen Ghaut the Principal Collector as the Head of the Depart=ment may be examined with the defts, and that the ground being included in the deed of Sale executed by the owner Jaguy Prabhoo

Prabhoo who has been paid the consideration of his labor and improvements thereon, may be assessed, and added to the Vurg in question and the garden Vcas sued for, may adjudged to the Plff.

/Signed/ Vakeel Anantaya

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H.M. Blair

Princ. Collector.

Draft of answer.-

In this Suit the Plaintiff prays that out of a ground paying a Beriz of Hs. - - 9 - 6 enjoyed by João Prabhoo alias Pascoo Siquery, and Jaguy Sequery alias Jaguy Prabhoo, forming part of the co Bollcor Sunga Buswaya's Vurg; the latter's Share of Hs. - 4 - 11 Beriz having been transferred to him, he may be put in possess=sion of it; and also that the Collector should be ordered to assess Jaguy Prabhoo's Share of a Hofsugumy ground newly culti=vated by them near the said Vurg, Situated in the Bollcor Village of the Codyal Mogany.-

It is only incumbent on the

Supplemental

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.-

Supplemental Defendant to answer, that part of the Plaintiffs claim which adverts to the assessment of the Hofsugamy Beriz, while the defence of the remainder of the claim rests with the 1st to 5th Defendants.

The answer of the Supplemental Defendant is as follows, An enquiry into the dispute between the parties, shewed that the ground in question was originally Circar Waste, and had been clandestinely cultivated without previous permission of the Circar That it had so passed through several hands, and that it further formed the subject of Civil Suit As the Plaintiffs had no right to institute a Civil Suit for the land, without having previously presented a Durkast in the Revenue Department, obtained a cowl Moolpattah, and yet got the ground duly assessed, the Government Vakeel was directed to move the Court to order the Plaintiffs to exclude the said ground from the Suit. Thereupon the Plaintiff filed a Supplemental Suit praying that the Principal Collector might be made a

party

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.-

party in the case, and ordered to assess the ground.-

The Plaintiff in his original, as well as Supplemental Plaint admits that the ground is Hufsugamy, and prays that it may be assessed; while the Defts. far from pretending any Moolyright to it, agree with him in that respect. Under these circumstances, any further proof in support of the Government right thereto, is unnecessary.-

With respect to the prayer of the Plaintiff that the ground may be caused to be assessed, it is to be observed that no right to Hufsugamy ground can be acquired, unless a Moolputta is obtained from the Revenue Department. While therefore the Plff. acknowledges that no such right has been obtained by him his demand to have an assessment fixed on it, through the means of the Civil Court, is contrary to the Revenue Regulations.-

The Plff should, in the first instance, according to the custom in such cases have presented a Durkast to the Supplemental

Defendant,

9599 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Defendant, Satisfied him of his ability to cultivate the ground, and obtained a Moolputtah for it. But not having done So, he can have no just cause whatever for bringing this Suit against the Supplemental Defendant, who therefore prays that the Plaintiff may be ordered to withdraw his Suit as respects himself.

(Signed) H. M Blair
Princ[l] Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

83 84

To the Ag. Chief Secretary to Government. Submitting a letter from the Pr[l] Collector of Canara reporting the institution of a suit against him

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will Submit for the orders

9600 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

him in the Zillah Court, regarding certain land, and recommending that sanction may be granted for its defence at the public expence.

Aug. 29 11 in Cons. 29 Aug. 1842.

Orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara and its enclosures, referring to a Suit instituted in the Zillah Court against the Principal Collector, which he begs for Sanction to defend at the expence of Government.

2. This action was brought against certain parties to recover some land stated by Plaintiff to be his by purchase; and, a part of the land Sued for, having been declared by the late Sub Collector Mr[a] Anderson to be Hoojsuguny ground newly cultivated, without licence on circar waste, and liable to a

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

a new assessment, the Principal Collector was made a Supplemental Defendant. Mr. Blair states, in his draft of an answer, that the land to which Mr. Anderson's order referred is of the description so declared by him, and that Plaintiff admits it to be so, and prays that an assessment may be fixed on it accordingly. The Board direct me to say that they consider Mr. Blair's draft to be sufficient, as far as he and the Officers of Government are concerned; and they recommend that he should be permitted to conduct the defence at the Government charge, as he desires.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Read the following report.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(85)

From the Collr. of Madura Submitting Statements of Collection & Balances of Land Revenue of the Madura Collectorate for Fasly 1251 & Comparative Statement of Revenue derived from Land and the Extraordinary Sources for 1250 & 51 which shews that the Total Increase in favor of the latter Year is Rs. 5,63,1/4 - 10 - 10

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue the Statements A to E of the Settlement and Collection of the Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fasly 1251.

Statement A, which has reference to the current Revenue, exhibits a Decrease of Rupees 334 - 13 - 2 in the demand for the amount of Rupees 334 - 13 - 2. The particulars in Statements B and C shew that this Decrease is attributable, chiefly, to the Assessment on certain Lands formerly expected to be cultivated, but which remain uncultivated, having been discontinued in 1251.

3

The Decrease in the Collections of the current Revenue is Rupees 16 - 2 - 10 owing to some of the Ryots on which the demand was discharged in 1250 having been

Collections of the current Land Revenue	
Fasly 1250	5,573 - 10 - 8
1251	5,557 - 7 - 10
Decrease	15 - 2 - 10

been shut in the succeeding year.

Sale of Lands. 4/-

Fusly 1250 — Rs 114-8-.
" 1251 — 5048-.-.
Increase — 4930-8-.

Rs 346-1-6

In the Proceeds from the sale of Lands there is an Increase of Rupees 4,930-8-. The Sales for 1251 include the sum of Rupees 5005 paid by Mr. Grant for the Land referred to in the Board's Proceedings dated 27th January last. The Interest thereon, which was received from him forms part of the Extra revenue of the year.

3,836-14-9
1,934-9-1
5,541-7-10

5 Statement E shews that the Current demand on Houses and Garden Houses occupied, and Paddy fields in a state of productiveness, was Rupees 5,541-7-10, of which Rupees 4,833-15-. were recovered within the Fusly and the balance outstanding at the close was Rs 4,737-8-10. This could not have been collected within the Fusly in consequence of the indigent circumstances of a great number of the Defaulters in whose case a warrant of distraint could have been but of little avail. Arrears were collected only from such Tenants or Proprietors as were better able to liquidate the same. It will be seen that the recovery of arrears under the two heads above referred to amounts to Rupees 3,767-6-4. The aggregate Amount collected of both Current and Arrears is Rupees 5,601-4-4, falling short of the total demand for the year under review by Rupees 970-3-6.

3,420-13-9
941-3-1-3
4,833-15-.
4,737-8-10

1,899-1-.
1,467-11-.
420-9-4
3,767-5-4
4,833-15-4
5,601-4-4
5,541-7-10
970-3-6

225-2-9
84-6-.
313-11-6
45-13-6
359-1-2

6 Of the Current Demands Rupees 3,131-14-8 imposed on Houses and Garden Houses remaining unoccupied and on Gardens and Paddy fields in a state of only partial productiveness, Rs 178-13-6 have been recovered, and the balance at the termination of the Fusly was Rupees 3,053-1-2. The Collection under the head of Arrears was on the Rupees 58-2-6. As regards property of this description, if direct application to the owners for payment is not complied with, it is the rule of this Office to issue a warrant of distraint wherever distraint is likely to be of any use.

7 No Bills for waste Lands were made out in the year under review. Adverting to Para 5 of the Board's Proceedings dated 25th May last, it is proper to observe that as in the case of so few of the several applications of arrears of Quitrent has it been practicable to make full enquiry, the Collector not having the power to compel parties to attend upon either at the Office or in the ground called upon either at the Office or in the ground.

8 Of the demand on account of Ground rent, Rupees 7,097-7-. the Collection amount to Rupees 4,551-13-. and the balance remaining unpaid at the close of year was Rupees 2,445-10-. The recoveries of arrears amount to Rupees 1,448-9-11 and the aggregate of both Current and

7,097-7-.
4,551-13-.
2,445-10-.
1,448-9-11
273-6-11
1,720-.-.10
5,231-13-10
725-9-2

and Arrears is Rupees 6,281–13–10, falling short of the
Current demand by Rupees 725–9–2.

9– Thus of the whole demand Rupees
6,920–13–6, of the Current Revenue, Rs 5,554–7–8
were collected, leaving, at the close of the year
arrear outstanding balances of Rupees 1,366–6–8.
but, adding the recoveries of arrears, Rupees 5,752–11–
6, to the Collection of the Current Revenue
the total is raised to Rs 5,208–6–4.—

10 It will be observed that a considerable
portion of the balance of Current
Revenue, Rs 3053–1–2 is composed of
items not recoverable immediately
some perhaps never.—

11– In the Collection of arrears in Fusly 1251
compared with that of the previous year
as per Statement C, there is an Increase
of Rupees 1,759–2–. The total Amount
collected in 1251 of both Current and Arrears
Rupees 5,208–6–4, exceeds the total Collection
of Fusly 1250 by Rupees 1,742–15–2: In making
this comparison, the proceeds from
the sale of Lands are excluded the
particulars thereof are referred to in Para
4 above.—

12 Statement D shows that the extent
of lands cultivated in 1251 was Cs 2,084–2–1130
yielding

6,920–13–6
5,554–7–10
1,366–5–8
2,929–12–7
721–1–11
5,650–14–6
5,554–7–10
5,208–6–4

Note– Of this sum the actual Collections between the 12th Ultimo & 28th Instant amount to Rs 1,748–3–2–

	Rs	as	p
Houses unoccupied	2,175–12–2		
Paddy fields uncultivated	877–6–		
	3,053–1–2		

Collection of Arrears.—

Fusly 1250	Rs	3,891–12–6
—"— 1251	—"—	5,650–14–6
Increase		1,759–2––

Total of Current Revenue & Arrears exclusively of the proceeds from the Sale of Lands—

Fusly 1250	Rs	5,465–7–2
—"— 1251	—"—	5,208–6–4
Increase		1,742–15–2

yielding a Revenue of Rupees 9,929–10–8, of
which Rupees 9,413–1–3 form part of the
Current Demand– The average Collection
is Rupees 4–12–– per Cawney– The Revenue
of Fusly 1250 was Rupees 9,907–4–9 on Cs 1,968
20– 1,385 giving an average of Rs 6 per Cawney–
13– The following abstract shews the
various sources from which the land
Revenue of Fusly 1250 and 1251 respectively were realized.—

	1250	1251
Pykarrimas	244 10 2	189 4 2
Quit Rent on Houses and Garden Lands occupied	4,030 7 13 2	4,076 9 9
Do Do unoccupied	28 10 7	137 – –
Garden & Paddy fields Cultivated	4,965 5 4	4,833 10 7
Do Do uncultivated	– – –	– – –
Waste Lands	4,918 15 11	6,281 13 10
Ground Rents	55 6 5 7 2	57 2 8 6 4
Fusly 1250	55 6 5 7 2	
Increase	1 7 4 2 15 2	
Add Increase in the value of land	4 9 3 8 – –	
Total amount of Increase	6 6 7 3 7 2	

14– The total demand of Current Revenue
and Arrears at the commencement of the Fusly
was Rupees 15,333–15–9 of which Rupees 5,208–
6–4 being collected and Rupees 2,789–4–11 remitted
under due sanction, the residue is Rupees 3,338–4–6
This includes certain arrears on waste & uncultivated lands of Cs amounting to Rupees 1,624

9607. Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

4-2 of which detailed Statements have already been forwarded with reference to the orders of Government communicated in Para 6 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th June last and will be submitted in a few days in order; that, if the Board should consider it unobjectionable, the necessary sanction may be applied for to write off that sum to profit and loss.

From Bd. 20th June 1842.

15 I submit, as usual, a Statement (marked F) shewing the collection of Land Revenue and charges against it, under the heads of Ordinary and Extraordinary respectively for Fusly 1251. The Collections as per Summabundy Statements amount to ——— Rs 57,208-6-4

& the Extraordinary Collections to 10,529-9-6

67,737-15-10

Charges ——— 17,307-8-"

Net Revenue 50,430-7-10

The Net Collections of 1250 were 42,280-13-3

Increase 8,149-10-7

16 I beg leave to subjoin an abstract of the Revenue derived from Land and from the Extra sources for the two Fusles under consideration. On the latter, I shall do have the honor to submit, at an early date, a separate report accompanied by the annual printed Statements.

Hs

9608 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Collection of Current Revenue & Arrears.

Items:-	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Land Revenue	55,465 7 2	57,208 6 4	1,742 15 2	" " "
Abkarry	51,103 5 5	50,900 8 14 11	" " "	202 6 1
Sayer	53,234 7 11	57,453 5 1	4,220 8 4	" " "
Sales of Salt	96,004 4 8	130,617 15 3	34,613 10 7	" " "
Do of Stampt papers &c	6,686 5 6	8,910 6	2,224 " 6	" " "
Do of Land	117 8 "	5,048 " "	4,930 8 "	" " "
Sundry small farms &c	11,194 10 6	11,501 8 9	306 14 3	" " "
Extra Revenue	10,118 2 1	16,487 8 6	6,369 6 5	" " "
	1,22,968 12 "	1,31,333 8 "	10,923 85 10 11	202 6 1

Deduct Decrease 202 6 1

Net Increase 90,369 4 10

Madras 26th August 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

Summabundy

9609

Fort St. George

A.

29th August 1842.

Summabundy Statement of the Demand and Collection of the Land Revenue in Fusly 1251, or those of Fusly 1250, in the Collectorate of Madras.

	Demand.			Collection.			
	Fusly 1250 or from 12th July 1840 to 11th July 1841.	Fusly 1251 or from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842.	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1250 or from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1841.	Fusly 1851 or from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Land Revenue including Quit Rent &c.—	62,253 10 8	61,920 13 6	" " "	334 13 2	51,573 10 8	51,557 7 10	" " "	16 2 10
Sales of Land ——	117 8 "	5,048 " "	4,930 8 "	" " "	117 8 "	5,048 " "	4,930 8 "	" " "
Total Rupees ---	62,373 2 8	66,968 13 6	4,930 8 "	334 13 2	57,691 2 8	56,605 7 10	4,930 8 "	16 2 10

Madras 12th July 1842 E. E. Jr.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

Comparative

Fort St. George

B.

Fusilies 1250/1251 Shewing the Increase & Decrease of the Dowlie.-

No.	Lands exceeding one Cawny not held under Grants or Certificates.- Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Lands less than one Cawny held under Certificates.- Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Increase			Decrease		
34	672	"	5	636	4	2												
"	"	"	"	"	"	"												
83	17	13	10	17	13	10												
"	"	"	"	"	"	"												
48	18	7	6	18	7	6												
"	47	11	10	47	11	10												
44	"	"	"	"	"	"												
"	6	9	9	6	9	9												
24	44	6	10	75	5	3												
02	39	10	10	56	1	4												
"	14	1	7	14	1	7												
"	"	"	"	"	"	"												
"	64	12	8	64	12	8												
28	144	3	6	144	"	11												
08	"	"	"	"	"	"												
44	"	"	"	"	"	"												
"	"	"	"	"	"	"												
"	"	"	"	"	"	"												
33	8	10	9	8	10	9												
8	1078	9	6	1089	15	7												

29th August 1842.-

No.	Lands exceeding one Cawny not held under Grants or Certificates.- Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Lands less than one Cawny held under Certificates.- Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Increase			Decrease		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35	12	3	5,469	14	"	5,512	3	2	42	5	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				2,425	1	1	2,572	6	9	87	5	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				1,057	12	4	1,108	"	9	50	4	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				32	8	"	31	8	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				363	11	5	465	11	5	102	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				214	12	"	209	9	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				888	3	6	921	6	5	33	3	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				212	13	3	214	2	9	1	5	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				242	8	11	234	8	11	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	14	5	275	11	8	280	3	8	4	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	6	6									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				73	8	8	73	8	8	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				1,232	13	4	1,258	14	6	26	1	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				299	3	5	297	14	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				3,478	14	1	3,523	4	10	44	6	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	7										
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				700	13	11	652	14	2	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				138	11	5	148	14	7	10	3	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				18,649	13	1	18,664	6	9	14	9	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"				2,262	9	2	2,288	14	10	26	5	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"												
47	4	11	35	14	10	38,019	7	3	38,398	10	2	442	10	3	63	7	4	

9611.

Fort St George

29th August 1842.

Lands less than one Cawny held without Certificates —

Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Total Fusly 1250	Demand — Fusly 1251 —	Increase	Decrease	Total — Remarks.
464 4 4	430 10 11		33. 9 5	10806 14 .	10,642 10 2	42 5 2	206 9 .	
8 15 10	4 " "		4 15 10	3176 2 6	3317 5 5	146 2 9	4 15 10	
63 15 10	68 3 1	4 3 3	" " "	1847 6 7	1847 6 .	54 7 8	54 8 3	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	32. 8 .	31 8 .	" " "	1 " .	
150 6 .	152 6 .	2 " .	" " "	1219 5 11	1188 7 3	104 " "	134 14 8	
39 7 4	39 5 4	" " "	" 2 "	909 13 3	904 8 8	" " .	5 4 7	
13 10 .	13 10 .	" " "	" " "	2151 13 11	2165 12 8	33. 3 1	19 4 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	943 9 6	944 15 .	1 5 6	" " "	
8 3 3	8 3 3	" " "	" " "	1395 15 1	1377 11 2	30 14 5	49 2 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1025 7 9	1044 12 1	20 14 6	1 10 2	
84 21	83 " 1	" " "	" " "	1329 8	132 9 8	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1816 13 4	1842 3 4	29 8 .	4 2 "	
84 15 5	73 3 4	" " "	4 2 .	364 7 1	362 11 1	" " "	1 5 .	
37 13 .	34 7 .	" " "	" " "	6363 3 "	6334 8 3	44 6 9	73 1 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	11 12 1	932 10 8	872 10 3	" " "	60 " 5	
" " "	" " "	" " "	3 6 .	353 7 5	348 3 3	10 3 2	15 7 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18663 14 7	18683 8 3	14 9 8	" " "	
185 1 4	95 5 5	" " "	" " "	24 6 4	23 2 6	" " "	6 3 10	
1143 14 5	1002 6 5	6 3 3	89 11 11	27211 10	2638 13 4	26 5 8	109 4 2	
			147 11 3	54891 13 5	54703 6 6	558 6 4	746 13 3	
							146 6 3	
					7004 7 "	" " "	893 3 6	
					61710 13 6	558 6 4	" " "	
					210 " "	" " "	893 3 6	
					61920 13 6	558 6 4	558 6 4	
							334 13 2	

Ground Rent ---------- 7153 13 3

Total including Ground Rent ---------- 62045 10 8

Shotrium ---------- 210 " "

62,255 10 8

Deduct Increase ----------

Net Decrease ---------- 334 13 2

Madras 12th July 1842 E. E. Y.

Signed A. Robertson Collector

Summabundy

9611.

Fit St George

29th August 1842.

Lands less than one Caunj held without Certificates -

Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Increase			Decrease			Total Fusly 1250			Demand Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease			Remarks
464	4	4	430	10	11	"	"	"	33.	9	5	10,806	14	"	10,642	10	2	42	5	2	206	9	"	
8	15	10	4	"	"	"	"	"	4	15	10	3176	2	6	3317	5	5	146	2	9	4	15	10	
63	15	10	68	3	1	4	3	3	"	"	"	1847	6	7	1847	6	"	54	7	8	54	8	3	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	32.	8	"	31	8	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	
150	6	"	152	6	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	1219	5	11	1188	7	3	104	"	"	134	14	8	
39	7	4	39	5	4	"	"	"	"	2	"	909	13	3	904	8	8	"	"	"	5	7		
13	10	"	13	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2151	13	11	2165	12	8	33.	3	1	19	4		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	943	9	6	944	15	"	1	5	6	"	"	"	
8	3	3	8	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	1395	15	1	1377	11	2	30	14	5	49	2	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1025	7	9	1044	12	1	20	14	6	1	10	2	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132	9	8	132	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	
87	2	1	83	"	1	"	"	"	4	2	"	1816	13	4	1842	3	4	29	8	"	4	2	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	364	"	1	362	11	1	"	"	"	1	5	"	
24	15	5	73	3	4	"	"	"	11	12	1	6363	3	"	6334	8	3	44	6	9	73	1	4	
37	13	"	34	7	"	"	"	"	3	6	"	932	10	8	872	10	3	"	"	"	60	"	5	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	353	7	5	348	3	3	10	3	2	15	7	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18668	14	7	18683	8	3	14	9	8	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	6	4	23	2	6	"	"	"	6	3	10	
185	1	4	95	5	5	"	"	"	89	11	11	2721	11	10	2638	13	4	26.	5	8	109	4	2	
1143	14	5	1,602	6	5	6	3	3	147	11	3	54891	13	5	54703	6	6	558	6	4	746	13	3	
												Ground Rent			7004	7	"	"	"	"	146	6	3	
												Total including Ground Rent			61710	13	6	558	6	4	893	3	6	
												Shotrium			210	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
															61920	13	6	558	6	4	893	3	6	
												Deduct Increase									558	6	4	
																					334	13	2	

Madras 12th July 1842 E. E. Y.

Total -

Net Decrease

Signed A. Robertson Collector Gummabunsky

9612.

Fort St George
C.

29th August 1842.

Summabundy Statement of the Demand and Collection
12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842. Compared with those of
of the Arrears of Land Revenue in Fusly 1251 or from 12
Fusly 1250 in the Collectorate of Madras.

	Demand				Collection			
	Arrears at the beginning of Fusly 1250	Arrears at the beginning of Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1250 or from 12th July 1840 to 11th July 1841	Fusly 1251 or from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842	Increase	Decrease
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Land Revenue including Quit Rent &c.	83,138 12	91,415 23	6,276 6 3		" " "	3,891 12 6	5,650 14 6	1,759 2 " " " "

Madras
12th July 1842.

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Statement

9613

Fort St George

D.

Statement Shewing the Lands actually Cultivated & Demand of Quit Rent due thereon up to 11th July 1842.

Names of the Villages.	Quantity of Land.	Period for which the arrears are due.	Demand Arrears.		
	C G Sft.		Bills	Rs	A P
Saint Thome	809 19 1243		163	918	6 2
Nongumbaukt	157 21 292		22	90	6 2
Poorasavaukt	59 4 20		14	36	1 9
Vepery	4 22 "		1	3	1 6
Etrongoondrum	" 11 1440		1	1	6 1
Peerenbore	201 12 494		8	89	9 1
Veyasarpaudy	215 " "		15	74	12 8
Nadoombaray	6 21 "		"	11	5 "
Tondiarpet	523 14 202		26	73	4 8
Egmore	36 16 1696		21	72	13 5
Chetput	23 11 1753		2	40	2 2
Keelpaukt	36 11 1805		"	"	" "
Moottyal Retta	" " "		53	327	7 2
Triplicane	14 4 1790		"	"	" "
Total	2084 2 1135		326	1739	" 10

Memo
Each Cawny realized at 4¾ Rupees.

Madras 12th July 1842. C. C. Jr

29th August 1842.

Demand Collection & Balance in Fusly 1251 and to 11th July 1842.

Current Demand			Collection Current			Arrears			Total Collection			Balance		
Bills	Rs	A P	Bills	Rs	A P	Bills	Rs	A P	Bills	Rs	A P	Bills	Rs	A P
605	4183	15 7	762	5602	10 9	530	427	0 15 11	38	288	13 11	568	4339	13 10
252	791	3 6	274	881	9 8	156	551	7 4	"	"	" "	156	551	7 4
68	300	6 10	82	336	8 7	59	180	7 2	6	13	11 6	141	49	11 2
5	28	10 6	3	12	4 5	2	8	10 1	-	3	1 6	6	31	2 4
1	3	6 1	2	4	12 2	"	"	" "	1	1	6 1	1	1	6 1
80	1061	4 4	28	115	0 13 5	49	75	9 14 5	8	8	9 9 1	57	84	9 6
94	1005	2 4	109	1079	15 "	58	68	0 6 10	15	7	4 12 8	73	735	3 6
8	52	14 3	8	64	3 3	3	40	11 "	"	11	5 "	3	51	3 11
166	2304	7 8	192	2377	12 4	145	1976	28 6	40	11	3 15 1	201	6 13	11 41
70	320	3 1	91	393	" 6	70	320	31 "	"	"	" "	70	320	31 21
12	133	14 6	14	174	" 8	12	133	14 6	"	"	" "	12	133	14 6
11	313	11 "	11	313	11 "	11	313	11 "	"	"	" "	11	313	11 "
14	91	7 "	6	7	4 8	12	83	43 "	"	"	" "	12	83	43 55
3	74	8 6	3	74	8 6	3	74	8 6	"	"	" "	3	74	68 "
1389	11164	9 6	1715	12903	10 4	1113	941	3 13 7	1	57	6 9 5	1184	9929	10 8

Collection Total			Balance			
Bills	Rs	A P	Bills	Rs	A P	
531	2973	15 8				

Signed A. Robertson Coll. Demand

9614.- ...t. George

E.

Demand ...pared with

29th ... August ... 1st Sept ... 1842

those of th... of Land Rev...

Electorate of ...

| | | |

9614.- | St. George

E.

Demand | ...pared with | 29th August | ...t St. Geo.

... | those of th | ... | ...of Land Rev.

... | ... | ... | Electorate of ...

... | ... | ... | Rupees as to Rupees

... | ... | ... | ...4

... | ... | ... | ...ment " " " 5,7208 4

| | | |

ipe 29th August 1842.

F

ievenue and Charges under the heads of Ordinary and

Madras for Fusly 1251.

	Rupees	as	Rupees	as
Charges.				
2/12ths of the Hoozoor Servants Pay apportioned to the debit of Land Revenue Charges	269	1	8	
Register Department	29	5	3	—
Quit Rent Dº	853	9	4	8
Yeomiah Dº	2	10	—	—
Total Fixed Establishment			1439	7 4
Surveyors Pay	168	—	—	—
Extra Charges as per annexed Particulars	424	6	8	
Saudermed— Hoozoor 89-15-4				
District 6-1-—	96	—	4	
Repairs of Tanks & Water courses	705	3	2	
2/12ths of the Extra Revenue Charges apportioned to this Head	476			
			1230	1 8
Total Land Charges			1730	7 8

Madras
12th July 1842 } E. E. Pr / signed / A. Robertson
Collector

9616 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Particulars of Extra Charges in Fusly 1251.

	Rupees	as
Paid to a Tagum Canicapillay employed	49	15 4
Dº to Dº Boys employed for watching the produce of Land	254	7 4
Dº a Water Pandal Bramny	105	— —
Dº Servants employed for Examining Yeomiahdars and female Pensioners	7	— —
Dº Binding Books of the Quit Rent & Register Departments	6	4 —
Dº Making a Stamp for cancelling the irrecoverable Bills of Quit and Ground Rent	2	4 —
Total Rupees	424	6 8

Madras
12th July 1842 } E. E. Pr / signed / A. Robertson
Collector

Explanatory

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Revenue and Charges under the heads of Ordinary and Madras for Fusly 1251.

	Rupees	as	Rupees	as
Charges				
2/12ths of the Hoozoor Servants Pay apportioned to the debit of Land Revenue Charges	2695	1	8	
Register Department	2953			
Quit Rent Do	8539	4	8	
Jeomiah Do	210			
Total Fixed Establishment			14397	4
Surveyors Pay	1630			
Extra Charges as per annexed Particulars	424	6	8	
Sundaries — Hoozoor 89-15-4; District 6-1-0	96		4	
Repairs of Tanks & water courses	705	3	2	
2/12ths of the Extra Revenue Charges apportioned to this Head	476			
			1230	1
Total Land Charges			17307	8

Madras 12th July 1842 } E. E. Fs / signed / A. Robertson

Collector

9618 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Particulars of Extra Charges in Fusly 1251.

	Rupees	as
Paid to a Togum Conicapillay employed	49	4
Do to Do Peons employed for watching the produce of Land	254	4
Do a Water Pandal Bramny	105	
Do Servants employed for Examining Jeomiahdars and Female Pensioners	7	
Do Binding Books of the Quit Rent & Register Departments	6	
Do Making a Stamp for cancelling the irrecoverable Bills of Quit and Ground Rent	2	
Total Rupees	424	6 8

Madras 12th July 1842 } E. E. Fs / signed / A. Robertson

Collector

Explanatory

96 17.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

G.

Explanatory Remarks on the Increase & Decrease in the Demand on account of Land Revenue for Fusly 1251 Compared with that of the previous year referred to in the Statement Marked B.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	R a R a R a R a					
Saint Thome.						
Grants	4,200 11 3	4,063 7 11		137 3 4	1	The non issue of Bills for Land originally held under Grant No. 972 for which Certificates have been issued as well as for some other Lands which were uncultivated in Fusly 1251 is the cause of this decrease.
Without Grants	672 5	636 4 2		35 12 3	2	The latter part of the explanation in No. 1 is equally applicable to this decrease.
Certificates	5,469 14	5,512 3 2	42 5 2		3	The first part of the explanation in No. 1 accounts for this increase.
Without Certificates	464 4 4	430 10 11		33 9 5	4	This decrease is owing to the non issue of Bills for certain lands remaining uncultivated in Fusly 1251.
	10,806 14	10,642 10 2	42 5 2	206 9		

9618

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Poondavauck.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	742 1 7	800 14 8	58 13 1	- - -	5	The Cultivation of lands under this head in 1251 was extended beyond that of the previous year.
Without Grants	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		
Certificates	2425 1 1	2512 6 9	87 5 8	- - -	6	Vide remark in No. 5
Without Certificates	8 15 10	4 - -	- - -	4 15 10	7	Vide remark in No. 4
	3176 2 6	3317 5 5	146 2 9	4 15 10		

9619

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Noongumbauck.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	707 12 7	653 4 4	- - -	54 8 3	8	Certificates having been issued for certain lands held under Grants accounts for this decrease
Without Grants	17 13 10	17 13 10	- - -	- - -		None.
Certificates	1057 12 4	1108 - 9	50 4 5	- - -	9	Vide remark in No. 8.
Without Certificates	63 15 10	68 3 1	4 3 3	- - -	10	Vide remark in No. 4.
	1847 6 7	1847 6 -	54 7 8	54 8 3		

9618

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Poorasavauck.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		
Grants	742 1 7	800 14 8	58 13 1	- - -	5	The Cultivation of lands under this head in 1251 was extended beyond that of the previous year.
Without Grants	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		
Certificates	2,425 1 1	2,512 6 9	87 5 8	- - -	6	Vide remark in No. 5
Without Certificates	8 15 10	4 - -	- - -	4 15 10	7	Vide remark in No. 4
	3,176 2 6	3,317 5 5	146 2 9	4 15 10		

9619

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Noongumbauck.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		
Grants	707 12 7	653 4 4	- - -	54 8 3	8	Certificates having been issued for certain lands held under Grants accounts for this decrease.
Without Grants	17 13 10	17 13 10	- - -	- - -		None.
Certificates	1,057 12 4	1108 - 9	50 4 5	- - -	9	Vide remark in No. 8.
Without Certificates	63 15 10	68 3 1	4 3 3	- - -	10	Vide remark in No. 4.
	1,847 6 7	1847 6 4	54 7 8	54 8 3		

9618 Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Poradavauck.

	July 1250	July 1251	Increase	Decrease	Nos.	Remarks.
	R a P	R a P	R a P	R a P		
Grants	742 1 7	800 14 8	58 13 1	- - -	5	The Cultivation of lands under this head in 1251 was extended beyond that of the previous year.
Without Grants	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		
Certificates	2,425 1 1	2,512 6 9	87 5 8	- - -	6	Vide remark in No. 5
Without Certificates	8 15 10	4 - -	- - -	4 15 10	7	Vide remark in No. 4
	3,176 2 6	3,317 5 5	146 2 9	4 15 10		

9619 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Noongumbauck.

	July 1250	July 1251	Increase	Decrease	Nos.	Remarks.
	R a P	R a P	R a P	R a P		
Grants	707 12 7	653 4 4	- - -	54 8 3	8	Certificates having been issued for certain lands held under Grants accounts for this decrease
Without Grants	17 13 10	17 13 10	- - -	- - -		None.
Certificates	1057 12 4	1108 - 9	50 4 5	- - -	9	Vide remark in No. 8.
Without Certificates	63 15 10	68 3 1	4 3 3	- - -	10	Vide remark in No. 4.
	1847 6 7	1847 6 -	54 7 8	54 8 3		

9618

Fort St. George, 29th August 1842.

Poorada vauck.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	742 1 7	800 14 8	58 13 1	- - -	5	The Cultivation of lands under this head in 1251 was extended beyond that of the previous year.
Without Grants	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		
Certificates	2425 1 1	2512 6 9	87 5 8	- - -	6	Vide remark in No. 5
Without Certificates	8 15 10	4 - -	- - -	4 15 10	7	Vide remark in No. 4
	3176 2 6	3317 5 5	146 2 9	4 15 10		

9619

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Noongumbauck.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	707 12 7	653 4 4	- - -	54 8 3	8	Certificates having been issued for certain lands held under Grants accounts for this decrease.
Without Grants	17 13 10	17 13 10	- - -	- - -		None.
Certificates	1057 12 4	1108 - 9	50 4 5	- - -	9	Vide remark in No. 8.
Without Certificates	63 15 10	68 3 1	4 3 3	- - -	10	Vide remark in No. 4.
	1847 6 7	1847 6 -	54 7 8	54 8 3		

9620. Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Chepauck Valajackerry.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	32 8 "	31 8 "	" " "	1 " "	11	This is a trifling decrease and does not call for any particular remark.
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	32 8 "	31 8 "	" " "	1 " "		

9621 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Chetput.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Grants	086 13 "	551 4 4	" " "	134 14 8	12	Vide Remark in No. 1.
Without Grants	187 6 "	187 6 "	" " "	" " "		None.
Certificates	363 11 5	465 11 5	102 " "	" " "	13	Vide Remark in No. 3.
Without Certificates	150 6 "	152 6 "	2 " "	" " "	14	This is a trifling increase.
	1219 5 11	1188 7 3	104 " "	134 14 8		

9822

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Kilpauk.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Nos	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	607 14 1	607 14 1	" " "	" " "		None.
Without Grants	47 11 10	47 11 10	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	214 12 "	209 9 5	" " "	5 2 7 15		Vide remark in No. 11.
Without Certificates	39 7 4	39 5 4	" " "	" 2 " 16		
	909 13 3	904 8 8	" " "	5 4 7		

9823

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Egmore.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Nos	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	1,250 " 5	1,230 12 1	" " "	19 4 4	17	Vide remark in No. 8
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	888 3 6	921 6 7	33 3 1	" " "	18	The explanation under No. 8 the fact of certain uncultivated lands having been cultivated in Fasly 1251 account for this increase.
Without Certificates	13 10 "	13 10 "	" " "	" " "		
	2,151 13 11	2,165 12 8	33 3 1	19 4 4		

9624 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Poodoopauck.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	724 26	724 26	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	6 99	6 99	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	212 33	214 29	1 5 6	" " "	19	Vide remarks in No 14.
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	943 9 6	944 15 "	1 5 6	" " "		

J.G.B

9625 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Perumboor.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	1100 12 1	1059 9 9	" " "	41 2 4	20	The decrease is owing to the non issue of Bills for certain lands which were uncultivated in Fusly 1251.
Without Grants	44 6 10	75 5 3	30 14 5	" " "	21	Vide remark in No 5.
Certificates	242 8 11	234 8 11	" " "	8 " "	22	Vide remark in No 20.
Without Certificates	8 3 3	8 3 3	" " "	" " "		
	1395 15 1	1377 11 2	30 14 5	49 2 4		

9624

9626.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Vayasersandy.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p		
Grants	710 1 3	702 7 1	" " "	1 10 2	23	Vide remark in No 11.
Without Grants	39 10 10	56 1 4	16 6 6	" " "	24	Vide remark in No 5
Certificatis	275 11 8	280 3 8	4 8 "	" " "	25	Vide remark in No 14.
Without Certificatis	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	1025 7 9	1044 32 1	20 14 6	1 10 2		

9627.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Nadombara.

	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	44155	44155	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	14 117	14 17	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	738 8	738 8	" " "	" " "		
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	13298	13298	" " "	" " "		

9626.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Veyaserpaudy.

	Fusly. 1250	Fusly. 1251	Increase.	Decrease.	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	710 1 3	702 7 1	" " "	1 10 2	23	Vide remarks in No 11.
Without Grants	39 10 10	56 1 4	16 6 6	" " "	24	Vide remark in No 5
Certificatis	275 11 8	280 3 8	4 8	" " "	25	Vide remark in No 14.
Without Certificatis	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	1025 7 9	1044 32 1	20 14 6	1 10 2		

9627.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Madombrara.

	Fusly. 1250.	Fusly. 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	No.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
Grants	4415 5	4415 5	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	14 1 7	14 1 7	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	73 8 8	73 8 8	" " "	" " "		
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	1329 8	1329 8	" " "	" " "		

9626.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Vegaserpaudy.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p		
Grants	710 1 3	702 7 1	" " "	1 10 2	23	Vide remarks in No 11
Without Grants	39 10 10	56 1 4	16 6 6	" " "	24	Vide remarks in No 5
Certificates	275 11 8	280 3 8	4 8	" " "	25	Vide remarks in No 14
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	1025 7 9	1044 12 1	20 14 6	1 10 2		

9627.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Nadomibara.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p		
Grants	44 13 5	44 13 5	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	14 1 7	14 1 7	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	73 8 8	73 8 8	" " "	" " "		
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	132 9 8	132 9 8	" " "	" " "		

9628

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Vapery

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p		
Grants	496 13 11	500 4 9	3 6 10	" " "	25	Vide remark in No 5.
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	1232 13 4	1258 14 6	26 1 2	" " "	27	Vide Remarks in No 5.
Without Certificates	87 2 1	83 " 1	" " "	4 2 "	28	Vide Remarks in No 4.
	1816 13 4	1842 3 4	29 8 "	4 2 "		

9629

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Crongondrum.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	
	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p	Rs ct p		
Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	64 128	64 128	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	299 3 5	297 14 5	" " "	1 5 "	29	Vide remarks in No 11.
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	364 " 1	362 11 1	" " "	1 5 "		

9630

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Tondiarpet

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.		
Grants	2655 2.	2593 15 4	" " "	61 2 8	30	Vide remark in No 1.
Without Grants	144 3 6	144 " 11	" " "	" 27	31	Vide remark in No 11.
Certificates	3478 14 1	3523 4 10	44 6 9	" " "	32	Vide remark in No 3.
Without Certificates	84 15 5	73 3 4	" " "	11 12 1	33	Vide remark in No 4.
	6363 3.	6331 8 5	44 6 9	73 14 "		

9631

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Attapaulicum

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.		
Grants	193 15 9	185 5 1	" " "	8 10 8	34	Vide remark in No 20.
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
Certificates	700 13 11	652 14 2	" " "	47 15 9	35	Vide remark in No 20.
Without Certificates	37 13 "	34 7 "	" " "	3 6 "	36	
	932 10 8	872 10 3	" " "	60 " 5		

11930

9632. Fort St George 29th August 1842.

Oiasamoor.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
Grants	214 12.	199 48	" " "	15 7/4	3	Vide Remark in No 1.
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
Certificates	1381 5	1481 4 7	100 32	" " "	38	Vide remark in No 3.
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
	353 7/5	348 33	10 32	15 7/4		

9633. Fort St George 29th August 1842.

Peddoo Naick's Pettah.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
Grants	19 16	19 16	" " "	" " "	"	
Certificates	18649 13 1	18664 6 9	14 9 8	" " "	39	The increase is owing to the high rates at which a few Houses in the Black Town were assessed on the issue of fresh Certificates in 1251.
	18668 14 7	18683 8 3	14 9 8	" " "		
Value of Accar. share from the produce of Certain lands called Gomasomedoyem	29 64	23 96	" " "	6 3 10	40	Lands under this head were not Cultivated in Fusly 1251 to the same extent as in the preceding year.
Total Quit-Rent	52170 1 7	52064 9 2	532 8 6 3/4	91		

9.634.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Triplicane.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
Grants	265 6 7	245 14 4	" " "	19 8 3	41.	Vide Remarks in No 17.
Without Grants	8 10 9	8 10 9	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	2262 9 2	2288 14 10	26 5 8	" " "	42	Vide Remarks in No 18
Without Certificates	185 1 4	95 5 5	" " "	89 11 11	43.	Some lands were discovered in the enjoyment of an Individual without the payment of Quit Rent for many years, and were assessed in 1250, which was not the case in the succeeding Fusly and is the cause of this decrease.
	2721 11 10	2638 13 4	26 5 8	109 4 2		
Total Quit Rent including that of Triplicane	54891 13 5	54703 6 6	538 6 4	746 13 3		

9.635

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Ground Rent

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
Ground Rent	6349 8 3	6176 5 6	" " "	173 2 9	44	The lands occupied by temporary Bazars on the Streets in Tolyn & its Vicinity were considerably less in Fusly 1251 than in the Preceding Year.
Triplicane Do.	804 5	831 1 6	26 12 6	" " "	45	Bazars placed on public Streets were greater in Number in Fusly 1251 than in the previous Year.

Read the following Report of the Board of Revenue, dated the 19th Instant, in reference to the Petition of the Ryots of Triplicane, praying that the Ground Rent assessed upon their Houses may be reduced, and that the Ground Rent assessed upon Shops may be increased, for the purpose of compensating such reduction.

Ordered that the Petition be rejected.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

To the Right Honble. the Governor in Council.

Memorandum.

9634. Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Tabulie

Fasly.	Fasly.	Amount Demand	Remarks.
1250	1251.		

Abstr. Rolls Boxed

Total Ground Rent	1/53	13	3	1/00
Abstruse	210	"	"	210
	6235	10	3	6235 10 3

Madras 12th July 1842.

(Signed) H. Ambrose
Collector.

9636 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Order thereon, Ordered to be sent

85-86 again in Circulation.

Read the following

letters.

87 To

From the Coll.r of Madras } The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Sir, Fort St. George.

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 18th January, Communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th February last, I have the honor to submit herewith, for the necessary sanction a Memorandum of certain arrears of Nyeemiah due to Mahomed Mohuddeen.

Madras 13th August 1842. } Signed, A. Robertson
Collector.

Memorandum

9637 Fort St. George

1st.

Memorandum Shewing the arrears of Yeomiah payable to Mahomed Mohuddeen.

Number	Name of the Yeomiahdar	Amount of Yeomiah per annum	Date of authority	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears due	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
		Rs. a P.			Rs. a P.			
42.	Mahomed Mohuddeen.	40. " "	Transferred from Nellore under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 6th December 1819.	From 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842.	40. " "	This is the individual named in Column 7 of the Statement under date the 1st ultimo, and the brother of the party whose case is particularly referred to in it. He (Mahomed Mohuddeen) is now at Madras and has appeared in person at this office. As his identity has been duly ascertained the payment of the arrears specified in this Memorandum appears to be unobjectionable. — (Signed) A. Robertson. Collector.	Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears due to Mahomed Mohuddeen amounting to Rupees 40. — Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 29th August 1842. By order (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.	Sanctioned Rupees (40) Forty. Fort St. George 13th September 1842. By order Signed Walter Elliot Acting Secy. to Government.

Madras
13th August 1842

29th August 1842.

To

9638 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

To;

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(88)

From the Coll.r of Madras

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government, under date the 18th. January, I communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th. February last, I have the honor to submit herewith, for the necessary sanction, a Memorandum of certain arrears of Yeomiah due to Mauboo Begum.—

Madras
23. August 1842

(Signed) A. Robertson

Collector.

Memorandum.

9639.

Memorandum.

Number.	Name of the Yeomiahdar.	Orders of Government.
54/24	Mauboo Begum.	Sanctioned Rupees (55-6-8) fifty five, annas six, and Pice eight.

Fort St. George
12th. September
1842.

By order,
(signed) Walter Elliot
Acting Secretary
to Government.

Ordered

9640 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

87. 89

Order on the foregoing letters. Ordered that

the necessary remarks of

the Board be inserted in

the proper Column of the

Statements accompanying the

foregoing letters, and submitted for

the Sanction of Government.

Read

9614 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Read the following Letter.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

90

From the Coll.r and Register of Government Grants

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th Instant, and to observe that, in my opinion, it is necessary that a Memo to the effect therein stated should be attached to the Lease for C.s 6-10-254, proposed to be issued to Mr. Ray. It is proper to add, that as from the numerous cases in which the insertion of such a Memo was recommended and approved of, it became a rule of Office to provide, in each Lease, for a large Spot of Ground on the Neilgherries, for the assessment of Quit rent at Rs. 5-4-0 per Cawny on account of each Building to be erected thereon, and the rest at Rupees 1-2-4 per Cawny. I have not considered it requisite to submit of late any distinct recommendation relating to it. This will account for the omission for a recommendation on this point in the Statement transmitted on the 3d Instant.

Vide letter to the Bd. 3d Sept. 1842.

Madras, 24th August 1842

Signed J. A. Robertson
Coll.r & Reg.r of Govt. Grants.

ordered

Order thereon. Ordered that the necessary

(90-91) remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Columns of the Statement, received with the letter from the Collector and Register of Government Grants, dated 3d, and entered in Consultation 15th Instant, and Submitted to Government, with the correspondence on the subject.

Read the Undermentioned Statements.-

(92) From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1251.

(93) From the Supt. and Treasurer of the Government Bank } Statement of Contingent charges incurred during the Month of July last.-

(92-94) Order on the two abovementioned Statements } Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

Resolved

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

(95)

To the Actg Chief Secretary to Government. } Sir,

Para 1. With reference to Para 6 of the orders of Government, dated 1st June 1841, published at page 456 of the Official Gazette of that year, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Abstract Comparative Statements of contingent charges incurred in the Revenue and Magistrates Departments, and sanctioned by the Board, under the orders above referred to, Viz.-

No. 1 For broken periods of Fusly 1249 compared with Fusly 1248 and No. 2 For Fusly 1250 compared with Fusly 1249.

It will be observed that these Accounts have reference to the Fusly year, that being the period for which all revenue accounts in the Provinces are usually closed..

+ From 12th July of one year to 11th July of the following year.

2. Accompanying is also submitted a No. 3 + Statement of contingent charges incurred in the Offices + at the Presidency for portions of the Official year ending 30th April 1841, & sanctioned by the Board. This being the first year in which these accounts have passed through the Board, they are unable to shew a comparison of the charges with those of former years.

+ Stamp Office, Sub Treasurer, Govt Bank, Civil Auditor, Sea Custom Dept, Dk Grain weighing Dt, Reporter of External Commerce.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th August 1842. } /Signed/ I. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Statement

9644 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

No. Statement Shewing the Contingent charges incurred in Fusly 1249 and Sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the Orders of Government, Published in the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 21st August 1840 and 1st June 1841 in the Districts under the Madras Presidency.

	Actual charges in Fusly 1248	Actual charges in Fusly 1249 Sanctioned by the Board	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Ganjam		None		
Vizagapatam		Do.		
Rajahmundry (last 2 Qrs 1st April to 11th July)	93 4 4	32 6		61 3 10
Masulipatam Do	141 12 3	17 6		24 6 3
Guntoor		None		
Chinglaput Do	44 14 8	26 8		18 6 8
Salem		None		
Madura	61 3 3	36 8 3		24 11 0
Nellore	44 5 1	96 1 6	51 15 5	
North Arcot	4 11 4	37 3 7	32 8 3	
South Arcot		None		
Tanjore - 3d & 4th Quarter		17 15 8	17 15 8	
Trichinopoly last Quarter	15 12 9	21 8 0	5 11 3	
Tinnevelly Do	83 11 7	11 8 5		72 3 2
Bellary Do	35 0 5	50 9 5	15 9 0	
Cuddapah		None		
Coimbatore, last Quarter		16 4 2	16 4 2	
Canara	13 6 8	14 12 5	1 5 9	
Malabar		None		
Madras		None		
Total Charges within 20 Rupees	438 2 4	378 5 11	141 2 6	200 14 11
		Deduct Increase	141 2 6	
		Net Decrease	59 12 5	

Madeira	138	2	10	96	32	61 15 8
Wheat	79	4	10	169	711	90 01
Oats	97	2	3	75	53	21 13
Peas & Beans	101	11	10	75	4	26 7 10
Turnips	79	4	7	68	111	10 5 8
Three Years	67	15	7	64	15	3 14 2
Meadow	73	11	8	125	11	51 8 3
Pasture	114	5	11	65	5	8 96
Total						
Arable	265	3	2	193	2	72 25
Wood	45	2	5	46	68	14 3
Coppice	315	8	5	354	10	2 39 1 9
Garden	3	163	4	8	212	6 11 4 9 2 3
Meadow						
Pasture						
Orchard						
Three Years						
Oats						
Peas & Beans						
Turnips						
Wheat						
Oats						
Barley						
Hay						
Fallow						
Total Arable						
Wood						
Coppice						
Garden					

9646 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

No. 3 Statement Shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the Official year 1840/41, and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government Published in the Fort St. George Gazettes dated 21st August 1840 and 1st June 1841, in the undermentioned Offices.—

	Actual charges in the Official year from May 1840 to April 1841 sanctioned by the Board. Rs.	A.	P.	
Stamp Office	711	13	1/4	From August 1840 to April 1841.
Sub Treasurer	1,684	2	10	
Government Bank	249	4	3	
Savings Bank	27	9	4	
Civil Auditor	71	15	4	
Sea Custom Department	140	9	9	From July 1840 to April 1841.
Dr. Grain Weighing Deptt.	589	13	8	
Reporter of External Commerce	25	2	11	
Accountant General	"	"	"	The articles required for the use of this office are obtained by an indent made on the Commissary General, and passed by the Board, the value is not therefore entered
Total	3,500	2	9/4	

9647 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

(96)

To the Acting Chief Secy to Government — Para 1

Sir,

To Acting Chief Secretary July 26th 1842 Extract Minutes of Consultation 16th August no Cons 18 " "

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request the sanction of Government for paying part of the salary attached to the situation of Manager in this Office to the individual by whom the duty was executed during the time that the appointment remained vacant after the death of the late Manager. The situation was vacated on the 26th ultimo and the new arrangement proposed by the Board in the letter above noted to was sanctioned by Government on the 16th and carried into effect on the 18th instant. The Board propose to give to Mr. Cavendish Selby the Deputy Manager so much out of the pay of the Vacant office as will raise his emoluments during the period from 27 July to 17 August to the Amount of the late Manager's pay for a

Similar

similar period an arrangement which will only require Rupees 60-5-1 out of Rupees 119-0-6 the pay of the Manager's situation while vacant.

2. The Board desire me to say that they would have made this Restriction without a reference to Government, but as the Manager's pay for the last five days of July was not drawn and as no one stood appointed to the Office from the 1st to the 17th Instant it appeared more regular to obtain special sanction for it.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th August 1842.

Sign'd J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched:-

97

To the Acting Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

To the Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for enquiry and report the accompanying Petition No. 1124 of 1842 from certain Cultivating Ryots of Ellore and other Mootahs attached to the Talook of Ellore

Ellore in your District complaining of the levy of Extra Collections from them from July 1237.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842

Sign'd J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

98

To the Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam

To the Acting Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Sir,

Petition No. 1124 of 1842 transmitted to the late Collector for report with the Board's Proceedings dated 7th February 1842 being required in the Government Office I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will send it by return of Post stating a Copy for the use of your Office.

2 I am further directed to request that you will furnish at your earliest convenience the information called for in the Board's Proceedings above referred to.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842

Sign'd J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Resolved

Resolved that the following Proceedings be dispatched with reference to the Statement of smuggled Goods received from the Collector of Bellary for the Month of March last.

Proceedings.

99

Under the explanation furnished by the Collector the Board authorize the confiscation and sale of the articles enumerated in the Statement above referred to, and the distribution of the proceeds according to the Regulations.

100

To The Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot

To the Coll.r in the N.n Div.n of Arcot

Sir

Adverting to the correspondence noted in the Margin and to the intended arrangement which would have placed your late Assistant Collector in charge of the Cauvetnuggur Limindary and in consequence of that arrangement having been defeated by the nomination of

In Acting Collr North Arcot Inst.r 23d 1842. From 22d July to 2d Inst.r in Cauvetnuggur Extract Proc.s Board of Revenue Aug.t 29th

of that Officer to another appointment I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you to report for their information at your earliest convenience what are your present plans for the administration of the Estate in question and to intimate to you that if you require more European aid, the Board will be prepared to recommend that another Assistant should be placed under you.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

} Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

101

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

To the Coll.r in the S.n Div.n of Arcot.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Petition of Chinna Salem in your District and the reference to Mr. Hyde's letter of the 21st January 1818 to request you will be good enough to report what family, alluded to in that correspondence

In Cons.n 29th Aug.t 1842.

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Resolved that the following Proceedings be dispatched with reference to the statement of smuggled Goods received from the Collector of Bellary for the Month of March last.

Proceedings.

99

Under the explanation furnished by the Collector the Board authorize the confiscation and sale of the articles enumerated in the statement above referred to, and the distribution of the proceeds according to the Regulations.—

100

To The Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot

To the Coll.r in the N.n Div.n of Arcot

Sir

Adverting to the correspondence noted in the Margin and to the intended arrangement which would have placed your late Sub-Collector in charge of the Cavelnuggur Limindary, and in consequence of that arrangement having been defeated by the nomination of

In the Acting Sub Coll.r North Arcot Since 23d 1842. From 2d Sub in Coun.l Extract Proc.s Board of Revenue Augt 29th

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

of that Officer to another appointment I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you to report for their information at your earliest convenience what are your present plans for the administration of the Estate in question and to intimate to you that if you require more European aid, the Board will be prepared to recommend that another Assistant should be placed under you.—

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.—

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

101

To the Coll.r in the S.n Div.n of Arcot

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Petition No. 1287 of 1842 from Gungatharra Nimar of Chinna Salem in your District and in reference to Mr. Hyde's letter of the 21st January and the Board's order thereon dated 9th April 1818 to request you will be good enough to report what family alluded to in that correspondence

In Cons.n 29th Sept.r 1818.

9652

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petitions from Oppayee alias Sonaboy Ammal the Mother of the deceased Minor Zemindar, praying that she may be allowed to retain the management of the Estate during her life time, and to request you will submit your sentiments in her case and also state what provision you propose to make for her support.—

2 A Petition received from Rogemmall alias Sobbamaul the 3d Wife of the Grandfather of the late Minor Zemindar is also forwarded for enquiry and report—

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842.

Signed J. D. Boudillon
Secretary.

102

To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly—

To The Collector of Trichinopoly—

Sir

With reference to their Proceedings under date the 11th August 1842 authorizing the recognition of Cachee Calleyana Rungapah Calaka Zilla Cudiar as Zemindar of Cudiar-pollam, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petitions from Oppayee alias Sonaboy Ammal the Mother of the deceased Minor Zemindar, praying that she may be allowed to retain the management of the Estate during her life time, and to request you will submit your sentiments in her case and also state what provision you propose to make for her support.—

2 A Petition received from Rogemmall alias Sobbamaul the 3d Wife of the Grandfather of the late Minor Zemindar is also forwarded for enquiry and report—

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842.

Signed J. D. Boudillon
Secretary.—

103

To the Colls of Vizagapatam—

To The Collector of Vizagapatam.—

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the Statement of Salt wastage for Fasly 1251 which has not as yet been received from your District may be submitted without delay.—

Signed J. D. Boudillon—
Secretary

Rev.e B.d Office
Fort St. George
29th August 1842

Received the undermentioned Public Letters and Statements.—

Abstract

9653

Fort St. George 29th August 1842.—

104

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.—

105

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11 July last.—

106

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.—

107

From the Principal Collector of Canara } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.—

108

From the Collector of Chingleput } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.—

109

From the Collector of Ganjam } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.—

110

From the Collector of Rajahmundry } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.—

111

From the Coll.r of Salem } Abstract of Demand Collection

Collection and Balance from 1st to 31st July last.

(112)
From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of July last.

(113)
From the Collector of Chingleput — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

(114)
From the Collector of Ganjam — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

(115)
From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of July last.

(116)
From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot — Statement of Smuggled Goods recommended for Confiscation from 1st to 11th July last.

(117)
From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Statement of Smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation from

from 12th to 31st July last.

(118)
From the Principal Collector of Canara — Submitting Statement of double duty levied on smuggled goods in the Months of March and April last and stating that no Tobacco and Goods have been confiscated during the same period.

(119)
From the Collector of Madras — Statement of Smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of July last.

(120)
From the Collector of Madras — Statement of Goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of July last.

(116-121)
Order on the five abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled Goods as well as the levy of double or treble duty enumerated in the above mentioned Statements be authorized.

(122)
From the Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary — Reporting that no persons have been charged or convicted

Regar

9656 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last

123

From the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary — Reporting that no Persons have been suspended or dismissed under the Provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of July last.

124

From the Collector of Malabar — Submitting a Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in Fusly 1250 and requesting it may be substituted for the one transmitted on the 3rd Instant.

125

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and readily for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1841/42. from 9th to 15 August 1842.

Return

9657 Fort St. George 29th August 1842.

126

From the Principal Collector of Salem — Return of fines &c imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants from 1st April to 30th June last.

127

From the Principal Collector of Salem — Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages from 1st April to 30th June last.

128

From the Principal Collector of Salem — Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from Employment from 1st April to 30th June last.

129

From the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore — Reporting that there was no seizure of smuggled goods in the month of July last.

130

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants from 1st April to 30th June last.

131

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines

1658

123

From the

1658 York S. C. George 29th August 58

135

Fines and Forfeitures from 1st

to 30th June last

From the Collector of

Southampton } Stating that no

Returns from Telfair Court

have been made

124

From the

125

From the

6658 "North" St. George 29th August 1842.

Fines and Stoppages from 1st April to 30th June last.

(132)

"From the Collector of Twichonopoly" } Stating that certain Returns from 1st April to 30th June last are not sent, there being no entry to make.

"

"

"

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

leave to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for the Amount remaining undrawn to the 11th. Ultimo, Rupees 120-4-
", being paid to Mootiah Pillay.

Madras---
10th. August 1842. } / Signed / A. Robertson
Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

(39. 40)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

August 10th. 1842 No. 62. in Cons 22d. Augt. -u-
in Cons 19th. Dec. 1839.

By direction of the Board of Revenue I have the honor to submit a letter from the Collector of Madras, soliciting sanction for the payment of arrears due on a Salt compensation Certificate. On the 16th. December 1839, Government sanctioned the disbursement of the arrears of 7½ years due on the same Certificate up to the 11th. July 1838; and the payment now sought is the arrears of the four years, from July 12th. 1838 to July 11th. 1842 Amounting to 120-4-u-

2. The holder of the Certificate is still absent at Tranquebar as at the time of the former payment; his Vakeel is the same individual as on that occasion, and his Vakalutnamah is duly attested. Under these circumstances the Board recommend that sanction should be granted for the payment agreeably to Mr. Robertson's letter.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d. August 1842. } / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter

To

Fort St. George 22d. August 1842.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(41)

From the Coll. and Register of Government Grants } Para 1.

Recommending that the inclosed Lease No. 53, in the name of Mr. H. Higgins, may be cancelled, in order that another may be issued instead, in consequence of it's being requisite to make certain alterations in the amount of Quit Rent Rs. 15th. June 1842.

Sir,

I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that in pursuance of the orders of Government under date the 4th. February last, a Lease for the property entered as No. 3 in the detailed Statement, submitted with my letter of the 6th. of the previous Month, was prepared in the name of Mr. Higgins, and forwarded to the Collector of Malabar.

2. My Statement shewed that, on Mr. Higgin's lands, there were "a Bungalow and out Offices, three dwelling Houses, and "one Livery Stable, which was then being converted "into a dwelling House". These particulars were taken from his application, and his subsequent Counterpart Agreement stated that the number of dwelling Houses was "five," and the Quit rent Rs. 41-7-4 at Rupee 4 per Cawny for each House, and Rupees 1-2-4 per Cawny for the rest.

3. On the 6th. Ultimo, Mr. Connolly informed me that the correct number

number of Houses being only "there" be altered with red ink, the word "five", shewn in the Memo attached to the lease, and requested that a corresponding alteration might be made on my records-

4- As in altering the number of Houses from 5 to 3, it would be requisite to alter also the Amount of Quit Rent from
11th July 1842- Rupees 41-7-4 to Rs. 33-4-, I suggested that the original lease should be returned, in order that it might be cancelled and another issued instead, on the execution of a fresh Counterpart agreement-

5- The original lease has
Fort August 1842 been returned, but as any alteration in it would, I conceive, vitiate the existing agreement entered into by the grantee, and as the lease in question has had the common seal of the East India Company affixed to it in the Office of the Chief Secretary
Lease No. 55 to Government, I beg leave to transmit it herewith, and to recommend that it may be cancelled, so as to enable me to issue a fresh lease in lieu of it-

Madras
12th August 1842 } /Signd/ A. Robertson
Coll. and Register of Govt. Grs

Resolved

Proceedings thereon, Resolved that the foregoing letter, and the lease which accompanies it, be submitted to Government, with
(41-42) the Board's recommendation that the latter document be cancelled for the reasons stated by Mr Robertson, and that authority may be granted him for the issue of a fresh lease-

Read the Undermentioned Registers-

(43)
From the Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the month of July last-

(44)
From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore } Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him, for the month of June last-

(43-45)
Order on the two abovementioned Registers } Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched-

To